


Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2007 with funding from  
Microsoft Corporation

ONE HUNDRED YEARS OF  
AMERICAN COMMERCE


From the Personal

Library of  
Salford Erickson





Muthodge  
1932



*John Jay* —

*Reproduced from the painting by Gilbert Stuart, in the Metropolitan Museum of Art.  
With the permission of the owner, Mr. Augustus Jay.*

Ec. H  
D419474

1795-1895

# ONE HUNDRED YEARS OF AMERICAN COMMERCE

CONSISTING OF

ONE HUNDRED ORIGINAL ARTICLES ON COMMERCIAL TOPICS DESCRIBING THE PRACTICAL  
DEVELOPMENT OF THE VARIOUS BRANCHES OF TRADE IN THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE PAST CENTURY  
AND SHOWING THE PRESENT MAGNITUDE OF OUR FINANCIAL AND COMMERCIAL INSTITUTIONS

*A History of American Commerce by One Hundred Americans*

WITH A

## CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE

OF THE IMPORTANT EVENTS OF AMERICAN COMMERCE AND INVENTION WITHIN THE PAST ONE HUNDRED YEARS

EDITED BY

CHAUNCEY M. DEPEW, LL. D.

ISSUED IN COMMEMORATION OF THE COMPLETION OF THE FIRST CENTURY OF AMERICAN  
COMMERCIAL PROGRESS AS INAUGURATED BY THE TREATY OF AMITY, COMMERCE, AND NAVIGATION  
NEGOTIATED BY CHIEF JUSTICE JAY AND APPROVED BY PRESIDENT WASHINGTON IN 1795

IN TWO VOLUMES

VOL. I

*Illustrated*



383389  
6-8-70

NEW YORK  
D. O. HAYNES & CO.  
M DCCC XCV

Entered according to Act of Congress, in the year 1895, by  
D. O. HAYNES & Co.  
In the office of the Librarian of Congress, at Washington.

---

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

## EDITOR'S PREFACE

THIS volume illustrates the dignity of labor, the beneficence of liberty, and the triumphs of invention. It is an epic on the marvels of intelligent work. The wonders of the material development of the most remarkable of the centuries of recorded time are exhibited in this gallery of pen-pictures. They are the word-paintings of artists, each eminent in his own department of beneficent industry. It is an American story; but the United States is the most conspicuous illustration and example of the nineteenth century and its results. Peace and free institutions have furnished the opportunity for individual efforts. States constructed, cities founded, wildernesses settled, and vast populations prosperous in varied industries are the rich contributions of our country to the world's progress in the past hundred years. Capital and labor have caused and shared this creation of power and production, and this volume, which is an encyclopedia of industrial development for a century, written by business men, is appropriately dedicated to the business men of America.

C. M. D.



## PUBLISHERS' INTRODUCTION

THE evolution of an idea is always interesting. In submitting to the public this history of American commerce, an explanation of the causes in which it had its inception may most properly premise a review of the finished work. The present year marked for the oldest commercial paper in America, the "Shipping and Commercial List and New York Price Current," the completion of one hundred years of useful existence. In seeking some method of celebrating the centennial in a manner worthy at the same time of the paper and of the business interests of the country, the present idea was evolved. It was decided that in no better way could service be rendered to the American commercial community than by gathering together in compact form the interesting facts of its remarkable development. At first the intention was to present this history in a centennial edition of the paper, and upon this plan the work was begun. Then, as in the end, the plan contemplated the publication of one hundred chapters, written by one hundred men representing the great lines into which our trade and industries had been developed and specialized in recent years. The suggestion of such a work met with most generous welcome in the business world. Its need was recognized at once, and its novelty and value elicited eminent aid. The very success of the idea compelled the changing of the original plan. In the form of a newspaper publication the work would have lacked permanence and breadth of scope. It seemed almost unfair to interest representative men throughout the country, who would bring enthusiasm, ability, and experience to the work of describing the industries of the country, and then to place upon them limitations of space within which they could do justice neither to themselves nor to their subjects. Moreover, it was not solely as a newspaper centennial that the event was of importance; it had a deeper and more extended historical significance. Like the "Shipping and Commercial List" itself, the centennial to be celebrated was but the natural outcome of a great event in the history of our establishment as a nation.

In the year 1795 there was ratified by the Senate of the United States, and formally approved by President Washington, a treaty of amity, commerce, and navigation with Great Britain. This treaty, negotiated by John Jay, of New York, as envoy extraordinary, secured to this country a commercial liberty commensurate with its position of national independence, as recognized in the treaty of peace twelve years before. It conceded the actuality of the national existence, and implied conviction as to its permanence. Above all, it averted the almost certain disaster of a war, then imminent, between the two countries. The confidence it inspired in the business world by its recognition of this country as a treaty power, and

the immediate advantages it brought to our commerce, are shown in the fact that the foreign trade of the United States almost doubled in the single year following its making. Arranged at a time when the American people were smarting under a sense of bitter wrong inflicted by Great Britain, the many advantages obtained by the Jay treaty were not, at first, fully appreciated. Political partizanship attacked it blindly, and the great party then clamoring for an alliance with France denounced it fiercely. In its support, the calmer counsels of such great statesmen as Washington and Hamilton, representing the conservative and substantial elements of the nation, finally prevailed, and the treaty was adopted. Time has too fully demonstrated the wisdom of this action to make necessary a further discussion of the long-since-refuted arguments by which the consummation of the treaty was opposed. The era it ushered in was for the nation one of progress and prosperity unprecedented.

The opportunity to celebrate the centennial of our oldest commercial paper as well as that of our country's commercial progress naturally spurred us on to the highest possible attainment. It was determined to have nothing ephemeral or meretricious about the publication, and to make it, not a newspaper issue, but a standard book of reference, prepared under the best literary guidance and made with the best mechanical skill. The opportunity was in every way worthy of the undertaking, for in addition to the commemoration of commercial liberty there was demanded a permanent and authentic record of the results accomplished through this liberty. Properly produced, such a history of American commerce would not only do long-delayed justice to the memory of the patriots of one hundred years ago, but would appreciatively recognize the men who by their industry and genius have aided in the industrial advance of this country, and would provide for the present and the future a source of inspiring and stimulating knowledge of the grandeur of American achievement. It was to this end that this history of American commerce, as it now appears, was undertaken, and in this spirit the work has been carried on throughout. The incentive and the material were at hand, and the men whose influence had directed our commercial activities in the crowning years of the century were still here to aid in making the work authentic and complete.

These considerations were presented to Hon. Levi P. Morton, Governor of the State of New York, and to Dr. Chauncey M. Depew. Governor Morton at once accepted the assignment of "American Banking," and Dr. Depew generously consented to edit the entire work. From this time the success of the undertaking was assured. The merits of the plan impressed the leaders in other lines of industry, and the most generous coöperation followed. In choosing the men to contribute the various articles, the editorial committee, to whom was delegated the authority of selection, considered but one question: Was each fitted by ability and experience to represent the industry with which he was identified? No other question entered into the matter. Political considerations were especially avoided. The work was to be simply a magazine of facts collated by men who knew their significance, and made interesting with the vitality of actual experience,—a book about business, by business men, for business men,—a record of events in the departments of enterprise and production, with such reference to causes and conditions as should be necessary to describe intelligently those events.

If the need of such a history was understood before, it certainly became more impressive as the work upon the book progressed. For a century the commercial history of the United States had remained unwritten, and records such as the compiler of political and universal



history finds preserved for his reference, were not obtainable for a work of this character. They were scattered, incomplete and often conflicting, through every conceivable channel, from the old ledger entries of long-forgotten firms to the modern monographs in the files of periodical publications. The wisdom of dividing the work into one hundred chapters written by one hundred contributors now received corroboration anew. Upon no other plan could the data essential to the work have been gathered; nor by any other means could the publication have obtained that historical accuracy and standard of authenticity which a work of this kind must possess to have permanent value. No one historian, however industrious or versatile, could have written "One Hundred Years of American Commerce." Only by the coöperation of the leaders in every branch of industry treated could the desired results have been obtained, and it is here due to the writers of this book to state that, chosen as they have been from the ranks of the busiest men of to-day, they have still found time cheerfully and ably to coöperate for the patriotic purposes of this history of American commerce. In order that the reader may understand something of the plan upon which the work was written by these contributors, we quote from the first letter of suggestions sent out by the editorial committee in charge of the work:

"As to the character of the work. In the varied individuality of style, naturally resultant upon so many contributors, we hope to escape that dullness of machine-made history which keeps so many otherwise useful volumes unread. Therefore upon every contributor we would impress the fact that he should not sacrifice his personal style or preferences. It is not the encyclopedic knowledge of the pedant that the world wants to-day. It is the living acquaintance with men and things, causes and effects, that shall show what is and the promise of what is to be. The information that every successful man has of his own business is of greater value than the statistics of the records. In our work we desire to bring the man and the records together, and to have him show the meaning of the records in the light of his personal and practical knowledge. Is this to be a statistical or a descriptive work? is an important question that has been asked. Are the articles to be nearly all statistics, and is the progress in the various lines to be shown by figures or by words? The answer is that this is to be both a statistical and a descriptive work; but the statistics are to be subordinated to the description, or not used at all unless they are necessary to the description. Description without statistics would have no force; statistics without description would be meaningless to many. The union of the two in the hands of men who know the significance of the statistics they cite will give these articles their interest and weight. In dealing with branch or allied subjects pertinent to the article under discussion, contributors are recommended merely to summarize the cognate subject briefly and with special reference to its application. There are so many ramifications of every great industry that to attempt to follow more than the main story would be impossible. To conform to the centennial feature of the work, it has been decided to limit the number of chapters to one hundred. A history of 'one hundred years of American commerce, in one hundred chapters, by one hundred Americans,' has the ring of a slogan of success. And the men in charge of this work will keep constantly before their minds not only the making of the work, but the making it of such a nature that business men will not only *need* but *want* it. A strong, accurate, and true record, as well as an attractive one, is the aim."

The policy persistently observed has been studiously to refrain from interfering with either

the style or method of treatment by which each writer has stamped his own individuality upon his work. The editors have attempted no greater uniformity than that which was necessary to prevent extended and useless duplication in allied subjects. If, therefore, the reader of this book finds that its chapters are not always uniform in length or treatment, he is but noting the differences which must exist in literary work among one hundred men. In these very differences exists one of the most interesting and most effective phases of the history. In presenting the book herewith it is only necessary to add that each article bears the trade-mark of its quality in the signature of its contributor. When it is further recalled that actual personal knowledge covering from one half to two thirds of the century under discussion, and directly received but hitherto unpublished oral tradition concerning the remainder, are possessed by the majority of the relators, the present work has had sufficient testimony to its worth. The figures accompanying each article are such as are deemed the most authentic, and have been derived from every available source. In the frequent preference given to the reports of the United States census the writers have taken the stand that, however imperfect these may have been found in certain particular instances, they are still, taken collectively and with due regard to their official nature, the soundest basis for comparisons covering extended periods. Where particular trades have preserved their own records, and these have been considered reliable, figures have been based upon them, while in other instances special statistics personally compiled by the writer have been given. In all these cases the figures given are considered the most authentic by the writers, and this judgment by them must be the support for their accuracy.

The method pursued in dividing the work into its one hundred chapters so as both to comprehend and to distinguish all the great factors in the industrial activities of the country will be apparent upon examination of the Table of Contents. Beginning with great national interests, as banking and interstate commerce, the classification follows through the great corporate subdivisions of industry,—as the telegraph, ship-building, newspapers,—then through the products of the earth — as cotton, rice, and sugar — and our natural resources,— as mines, live stock, etc.,— and so on down through the long list of manufactures in which the genius of America has been shown, to the mercantile activities comprised under the various trades. The chapter numbered XCIX, "Other Industries," was introduced to provide representation for other more or less important industrial factors not elsewhere treated.

The editorial management of the history, under Dr. Depew, has been conducted by Mr. Thomas C. Quinn. Of the associate editors whose work deserves mention are Mr. Wesley W. Pasko, Mr. William Douglas Willes, and Mr. Charles Frederick Stansbury. Mention should be made also of the work of Mr. John Winfield Scott, whose wide acquaintance and patriotic labors did much toward making possible the final successful result. For the typographical excellence of the book-maker's art evidenced in this volume, credit is due to the De Vinne Press, to whose reputation for elegance and fine work little can be added. The art work of the history was placed in charge of the artist William C. Smith, of whose skill many of the portraits in this work give evidence. The engraving of the portraits drawn by Mr. Smith, as well as the reproduction of the other portraits, was done by the Gill Engraving Company. Words of recognition are also due to the L. L. Brown Paper Company, of Adams, Mass., for their care in the manufacture of the hand-made paper for the authors' edition of the history.

One result of the work upon this history which was not directly foreseen when the project was conceived has been the setting aside of December 19th as "Commercial Day," in honor of the centennial of American commercial liberty, and in recognition from year to year hereafter of the beneficent results of American industry and enterprise which this history of American commerce both demonstrates and commemorates. The idea of this celebration came to Dr. Depew through his editorial work on this history. His suggestion of Commercial Day has already been taken up throughout the country. The Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Trade of New York led off in the movement. In the resolutions passed by the Chamber of Commerce their leadership in the promotion of Commercial Day was most strikingly justified by allusion to the fact that it was the solid men of New York, as represented by the Chamber of Commerce one hundred years ago, who, uninfluenced by partizan clamor, came to the assistance of President Washington in securing calmer consideration for the Jay treaty. Commercial Day this year will be celebrated with a banquet in New York at Delmonico's, given under the auspices of the editors and contributors to this history of American commerce, and to which have been invited representative business men in all lines of industry and from all sections of the country. Chambers of Commerce and Boards of Trade throughout the country, following the example set by New York, will commemorate the day with appropriate exercises. From 1895, the centennial of American commercial liberty, will date Commercial Day, devoted to the interests of American trade and to renewing from year to year the vigor of our national patriotism and enterprise.

In the closing days of the work on this history the painful news of the death of Mr. Frederic Gunther was received. Only a few days before his death Mr. Gunther had revised the proof of his article on the fur trade for the history. This contribution from his experience will remain to testify to his ability and the success of his business career.

We must finally express our deep sense of obligation to the one hundred Americans who have coöperated in the production of this history, and to whose enthusiasm, experience, and ability it is a lasting monument. That our part has been done in a manner which shall be considered worthy of them and of the commercial interests of our country is the highest praise for which we hope.

THE PUBLISHERS.

December 10, 1895.





## CONTENTS

### VOLUME I

CHAPTER	PAGE
1 AMERICAN BANKING . . . . . LEVI P. MORTON, <i>Governor of the State of New York</i> . . . . .	1
2 AMERICAN LABOR . . . . . CARROLL D. WRIGHT, LL.D., Washington, D. C., <i>United States Commissioner of Labor</i> . . . . .	11
3 IMPORTS AND EXPORTS . . . . . WORTHINGTON C. FORD, Washington, D. C., <i>Chief United States Bureau of Statistics</i> . . . . .	20
4 INTERSTATE COMMERCE . . . . . EDWARD A. MOSELEY, Washington, D. C., <i>Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission</i> . . . . .	25
5 THE POSTAL SERVICE IN COMMERCE . THOMAS L. JAMES, New York, <i>President Lincoln National Bank, and Ex-Postmaster-General</i> . . . . .	33
6 OUR MERCHANT MARINE . . . . . EUGENE T. CHAMBERLAIN, Washington, D. C., <i>United States Commissioner of Navigation</i> . . . . .	38
7 OUR COMMERCIAL WEALTH AND VOLUME OF BUSINESS, CHARLES F. CLARK, New York, <i>President The Bradstreet Company</i> . . . . .	42
8 THE CORPORATION IN COMMERCE. . . . . Col. WILLIAM JAY, New York . . . . .	47
9 COMMERCIAL ORGANIZATIONS . . . . . ALEXANDER E. ORR, New York, <i>President New York Chamber of Commerce</i> . . . . .	50
10 ONE HUNDRED YEARS OF NEW YORK COMMERCE, General HORACE PORTER, LL.D., New York . . . . .	55
11 OUR FOREIGN TRADE FROM A TRADER'S STANDPOINT, CHARLES R. FLINT, New York, <i>Flint Eddy &amp; Co., Merchants</i> . . . . .	63
12 WALL STREET . . . . . JOHN P. TOWNSEND, LL.D., New York, <i>President Bowery Savings Bank</i> . . . . .	67
13 ADVERTISING IN AMERICA . . . . . FRANCIS WAYLAND AYER, Philadelphia, <i>N. W. Ayer &amp; Son</i> . . . . .	76
14 FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE . . . . . HENRY H. HALL, New York, <i>Hall &amp; Henshaw</i> . . . . .	84
15 LIFE INSURANCE . . . . . SHEPPARD HOMANS, New York, <i>First President Actuarial Society of America, and Corresponding Member Lond. Inst. of Actuaries</i> . . . . .	91
16 AMERICAN RAILROADS . . . . . STUYVESANT FISH, New York, <i>President Illinois Central Railroad</i> . . . . .	98
17 AMERICAN CAR-BUILDING . . . . . JAMES McMILLAN, Detroit, <i>United States Senator from Michigan</i> . . . . .	113
18 AMERICAN SHIP-BUILDING . . . . . CHARLES H. CRAMP, Philadelphia, <i>President William Cramp &amp; Sons Ship and Engine Building Co.</i> . . . . .	119
19 THE TELEGRAPH . . . . . General THOMAS T. ECKERT, New York, <i>President Western Union Telegraph Co.</i> . . . . .	125

CHAPTER	PAGE
20 THE TELEPHONE . . . . .	133
	JOHN E. HUDSON, Boston, <i>President American Bell Telephone Co.</i>
21 THE EXPRESS . . . . .	137
	LEVI C. WEIR, New York, <i>President Adams Express Company</i>
22 THE STREET RAILWAYS OF AMERICA . . . . .	141
	HERBERT H. VREELAND, New York, <i>President Metropolitan Traction Company</i>
23 THE HOTELS OF AMERICA . . . . .	149
	HIRAM HITCHCOCK, New York, <i>Hitchcock, Darling &amp; Co., Proprietors Fifth Avenue Hotel</i>
24 AMERICAN THEATERS . . . . .	157
	ALBERT M. PALMER, New York, <i>Proprietor Palmer's Theater</i>
25 AMERICAN NEWSPAPERS . . . . .	166
	General CHARLES H. TAYLOR, Boston, <i>Editor and Managing Proprietor Boston Globe</i>
26 THE AMERICAN TRADE AND TECHNICAL PRESS, DAVID WILLIAMS, New York, . . . . .	174
	<i>Publisher and Proprietor The Iron Age</i>
27 AMERICAN MINES . . . . .	178
	RICHARD P. ROTHWELL, New York, <i>Editor The Engineering and Mining Journal</i>
28 AMERICAN QUARRYING . . . . .	188
	REDFIELD PROCTOR, Proctor, Vt., <i>United States Senator from Vermont</i>
29 POWDER AND EXPLOSIVES . . . . .	192
	FRANCIS G. DUPONT, Wilmington, Del. . . . .
30 AMERICAN LUMBER . . . . .	196
	BERNHARD E. FERNOW, Washington, D. C., <i>Chief Division of Forestry, U. S. Department of Agriculture</i>
31 PETROLEUM: ITS PRODUCTION AND PRODUCTS, HENRY C. FOLGER, JR., A. M., LL.B., New York, . . . . .	204
	<i>Standard Oil Company</i>
32 AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS . . . . .	215
	GEORGE E. MORROW, Stillwater, Oklahoma, <i>President Oklahoma Agricultural and Mechanical College, and Director Agricultural Experiment Station</i>
33 AMERICAN LIVE STOCK . . . . .	220
	LAZARUS N. BONHAM, Oxford, Ohio, <i>Ex-Secretary Ohio State Board of Agriculture</i>
34 AMERICAN COTTON . . . . .	231
	RICHARD H. EDMONDS, Baltimore, <i>Founder and Editor Manufacturers' Record</i>
35 AMERICAN WOOL . . . . .	236
	WILLIAM LAWRENCE, A. M., LL.D., Bellefontaine, Ohio, <i>President National Wool Growers' Association, and President Ohio Wool Growers' Association</i>
36 AMERICAN HORTICULTURE . . . . .	248
	ALFRED HENDERSON, New York, <i>Peter Henderson &amp; Co.</i>
37 AMERICAN SUGAR . . . . .	257
	JOHN E. SEARLES, New York, <i>Secretary and Treasurer American Sugar Refining Company</i>
38 AMERICAN RICE . . . . .	262
	JOHN F. TALMAGE, New York, <i>Dan Talmage's Sons</i>
39 AMERICAN FLOUR . . . . .	266
	CHARLES A. PILLSBURY, Minneapolis, <i>Pillsbury-Washburn Flour Mills Company</i>
40 AMERICAN GLASS INTERESTS . . . . .	274
	JAMES GILLINDER, Philadelphia, <i>President Gillinder &amp; Sons, Incorporated</i>
41 AMERICAN POTTERIES . . . . .	285
	JOHN MOSES, Trenton, N. J., <i>President The John Moses &amp; Sons Company</i>
42 AMERICAN GAS INTERESTS . . . . .	295
	EMERSON McMILLIN, New York, <i>Emerson McMillin &amp; Co.</i>
43 AMERICAN PAPER MILLS . . . . .	302
	WARNER MILLER, Herkimer, N. Y., <i>Herkimer Paper Company</i>
44 AMERICAN PUBLISHING . . . . .	308
	JOHN W. HARPER, New York, <i>Harper &amp; Brothers</i>
45 AMERICAN PRINTING . . . . .	314
	THEODORE L. DE VINNE, New York, <i>The De Vinne Press</i>
46 THE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY . . . . .	320
	CHARLES HUSTON, Coatesville, Pa., <i>President Lukens Iron and Steel Company</i>
47 COPPER AND BRASS . . . . .	329
	ALFRED A. COWLES, New York, <i>Vice-President Ansonia Brass and Copper Company</i>

## VOLUME II

CHAPTER		PAGE
48	LOCOMOTIVE AND ENGINE WORKS . . . ALBA B. JOHNSON, Philadelphia, <i>Baldwin Locomotive Works</i>	337
49	MACHINERY MANUFACTURING INTERESTS, WILLIAM SELLERS, Philadelphia, <i>President and Engineer William Sellers &amp; Co., Incorporated</i>	346
50	AGRICULTURAL MACHINERY AND IMPLEMENTS, ELDRIDGE M. FOWLER, Chicago, <i>Vice-President McCormick Harvesting Machine Company</i>	352
51	STOVES AND HEATING APPARATUS . . . JEREMIAH DWYER, Detroit, <i>President Michigan Stove Company</i>	357
52	PLUMBERS AND STEAM-FITTERS' SUPPLIES, JORDAN L. MOTT, New York, <i>President J. L. Mott Iron Works</i>	364
53	BUILDING MATERIALS . . . . WILLIAM H. JACKSON, New York, <i>President Jackson Architectural Iron Works</i>	371
54	ELECTRICAL MANUFACTURING INTERESTS, THOMAS COMMERFORD MARTIN, New York, <i>Editor The Electrical Engineer</i>	377
55	THE PACKING INDUSTRY . . . . PHILIP D. ARMOUR, Chicago, <i>Armour &amp; Co.</i>	383
56	AMERICAN FISH FOODS . . . . EUGENE G. BLACKFORD, New York, <i>Ex-Commissioner of Fisheries</i>	389
57	AMERICAN CANNING INTERESTS . . . EDWARD S. JUDGE, Baltimore, <i>Editor The Trade, and Secretary National Association of Canned Food Packers</i>	396
58	AMERICAN WINES . . . . CHARLES CARPY, San Francisco, <i>President California Wine Association</i>	401
59	AMERICAN DISTILLERIES . . . . JAMES E. PEPPER, Lexington, Ky., <i>James E. Pepper &amp; Co.</i>	407
60	THE BREWING INDUSTRY . . . . FRED PABST, Milwaukee, <i>President Pabst Brewing Co.</i>	413
61	AMERICAN TOBACCO FACTORIES . . . PIERRE LORILLARD, Junior, New York, <i>President P. Lorillard Company</i>	418
62	AMERICAN SOAP FACTORIES . . . . SAMUEL COLGATE, New York, <i>Colgate &amp; Co.</i>	422
63	THE CHEMICAL INDUSTRY . . . . HENRY BOWER, Philadelphia, <i>Henry Bower &amp; Son, Manufacturing Chemists</i>	429
64	THE LEAD INDUSTRY . . . . WILLIAM P. THOMPSON, New York, <i>President National Lead Company</i>	433
65	THE SALT INDUSTRY . . . . HENRY G. PIFFARD, A.M., M.D., New York, <i>President Genesee Salt Company</i>	442
66	THE BISCUIT INDUSTRY . . . . FRANK A. KENNEDY, Cambridge, Mass., <i>Kennedy's Branch, New York Biscuit Company</i>	446
67	THE COTTONSEED OIL INDUSTRY . . . THOMAS R. CHANEY, New York, <i>President American Cotton Oil Company</i>	451
68	THE STARCH INDUSTRY . . . . THOMSON KINGSFORD, Oswego, N. Y., <i>President T. Kingsford &amp; Son</i>	456
69	THE MATCH INDUSTRY . . . . OHIO C. BARBER, Akron, Ohio, <i>President The Diamond Match Company</i>	460
70	THE ICE INDUSTRY . . . . ROBERT MACLAY, New York, <i>President Knickerbocker Ice Company</i>	466
71	SODA FOUNTAINS . . . . JAMES W. TUFTS, Boston, <i>President American Soda Fountain Company</i>	470
72	AMERICAN TEXTILE MILLS . . . . S. N. DEXTER NORTH, A.M., Boston, <i>Secretary National Association of Wool Manufacturers</i>	475

CHAPTER		PAGE
73	AMERICAN CARPETS . . . . SHEPPARD KNAPP, New York, <i>Sheppard Knapp &amp; Co.</i>	485
74	THE CORDAGE INDUSTRY . . . . BENJAMIN C. CLARK, Boston, <i>Pearson Cordage Company</i>	489
75	HIDES AND LEATHER . . . . ROBERT H. FOERDERER, Philadelphia . . . .	494
76	AMERICAN RUBBER MANUFACTURES . CHARLES L. JOHNSON, New York, <i>Secretary United States Rubber Company</i>	498
77	AMERICAN WALL PAPERS . . . . HENRY BURN, New York, <i>President National Wall Paper Company</i>	505
78	AMERICAN MUSICAL INSTRUMENTS . WILLIAM STEINWAY, New York, <i>President Steinway &amp; Sons.</i>	509
79	AMERICAN CARRIAGE AND WAGON WORKS, CHAUNCEY THOMAS, Boston, <i>Chauncey Thomas &amp; Co.</i>	516
80	AMERICAN SAFE WORKS . . . . WILLIS B. MARVIN, New York, <i>Marvin Safe Company</i>	521
81	AMERICAN SEWING MACHINES . . . . FREDERICK G. BOURNE, New York, <i>President The Singer Manufacturing Company</i>	525
82	AMERICAN WATCHES AND CLOCKS . EDWARD HOWARD, Boston, <i>Founder The E. Howard Watch and Clock Company</i>	540
83	AMERICAN TYPEWRITERS . . . . CLARENCE W. SEAMANS, New York, <i>Wyckoff, Seamans &amp; Benedict</i>	544
84	THE BICYCLE INDUSTRY . . . . ALBERT A. POPE, Boston, <i>President Pope Manufacturing Company</i>	549
85	THE DRY GOODS TRADE . . . . JOHN N. BEACH, New York, <i>Tefft, Weller &amp; Co.</i>	554
86	THE CLOTHING AND FURNISHING TRADE, WILLIAM C. BROWNING, New York, <i>Browning, King &amp; Co.</i>	561
87	THE BOOT AND SHOE TRADE . . . . WILLIAM B. RICE, Boston, <i>Rice &amp; Hutchins</i>	566
88	THE HARNESS AND SADDLERY TRADE . ALBERT MORSBACH, Cincinnati, <i>President National Wholesale Saddlery Association</i>	575
89	THE FUR TRADE . . . . F. FREDERIC GUNTHER, New York, <i>C. G. Gunther's Sons</i>	579
90	THE JEWELRY TRADE . . . . CHARLES L. TIFFANY, New York, <i>President Tiffany &amp; Co.</i>	589
91	THE GROCERY TRADE . . . . JAMES E. NICHOLS, New York, <i>Austin, Nichols &amp; Co.</i>	595
92	THE FRUIT TRADE . . . . JOHN W. NIX, New York, <i>John Nix &amp; Co.</i>	602
93	THE DRUG TRADE . . . . JOHN MCKESSON, New York, <i>McKesson &amp; Robbins</i>	607
94	THE PAINT, OIL, AND VARNISH TRADE . DANIEL F. TIEMANN, New York, <i>D. F. Tiemann &amp; Co.</i>	620
95	THE CONFECTIONERY TRADE . . . . ALBERT F. HAYWARD, Boston, <i>President and Treasurer Fobes, Hayward &amp; Co.</i>	625
96	THE FURNITURE TRADE . . . . GEORGE W. GAY, Grand Rapids, Mich., <i>Treasurer Berkey &amp; Gay Furniture Company</i>	628
97	THE HARDWARE TRADE . . . . EDWARD C. SIMMONS, St. Louis, <i>President Simmons Hardware Company</i>	633
98	THE STATIONERY TRADE . . . . JOHN G. BAINBRIDGE, New York, <i>Henry Bainbridge &amp; Co.</i>	642
99	OTHER INDUSTRIES . . . . ALBERT CLARK STEVENS, New York, <i>Editor Bradstreet's</i>	648
100	THE NEXT ONE HUNDRED YEARS . . . . CHAUNCEY M. DEPEW, LL.D., New York . . . .	675



# ILLUSTRATIONS

## VOLUME I

### CHIEF-JUSTICE JOHN JAY. FRONTISPIECE

	FACING PAGE		FACING PAGE		FACING PAGE
LEVI P. MORTON . . . .	4	JAMES McMILLAN . . . .	117	LAZARUS N. BONHAM . . . .	224
CARROLL D. WRIGHT . . . .	13	CHARLES H. CRAMP . . . .	124	RICHARD H. EDMONDS . . . .	232
WORTHINGTON C. FORD . . . .	20	THOMAS T. ECKERT . . . .	128	WILLIAM LAWRENCE . . . .	241
EDWARD A. MOSELEY . . . .	29	JOHN E. HUDSON . . . .	135	ALFRED HENDERSON . . . .	252
THOMAS L. JAMES . . . .	36	LEVI C. WEIR . . . .	138	JOHN E. SEARLES . . . .	260
EUGENE T. CHAMBERLAIN . . . .	40	HERBERT H. VREELAND . . . .	145	JOHN F. TALMAGE . . . .	264
CHARLES F. CLARK . . . .	45	HIRAM HITCHCOCK . . . .	152	CHARLES A. PILLSBURY . . . .	269
WILLIAM JAY . . . .	48	ALBERT M. PALMER . . . .	161	JAMES GILLINDER . . . .	276
ALEXANDER E. ORR . . . .	53	CHARLES H. TAYLOR . . . .	168	JOHN MOSES . . . .	289
HORACE PORTER . . . .	60	DAVID WILLIAMS . . . .	176	EMERSON McMILLIN . . . .	296
CHARLES R. FLINT . . . .	65	RICHARD P. ROTHWELL . . . .	181	WARNER MILLER . . . .	304
JOHN P. TOWNSEND . . . .	69	REDFIELD PROCTOR . . . .	188	JOHN W. HARPER . . . .	308
FRANCIS W. AYER . . . .	76	FRANCIS G. DUPONT . . . .	192	THEODORE L. DE VINNE . . . .	317
HENRY H. HALL . . . .	84	BERNHARD E. FERNOW . . . .	200	CHARLES HUSTON . . . .	325
SHEPPARD HOMANS . . . .	93	HENRY C. FOLGER, JR. . . .	209	ALFRED A. COWLES . . . .	332
STUYVESANT FISH . . . .	104	GEORGE E. MORROW . . . .	216		

## VOLUME II

### CHAUNCEY M. DEPEW, LL. D. FRONTISPIECE

	FACING PAGE		FACING PAGE		FACING PAGE
ALBA B. JOHNSON . . . .	340	HENRY G. PIFFARD . . . .	444	CLARENCE W. SEAMANS . . . .	544
WILLIAM SELLERS . . . .	349	FRANK A. KENNEDY . . . .	449	ALBERT A. POPE . . . .	549
ELDRIDGE M. FOWLER . . . .	352	THOMSON KINGSFORD . . . .	456	JOHN N. BEACH . . . .	556
JEREMIAH DWYER . . . .	357	O. C. BARBER . . . .	464	WILLIAM C. BROWNING . . . .	564
JORDAN L. MOTT . . . .	364	ROBERT MACLAY . . . .	468	WILLIAM B. RICE . . . .	573
WILLIAM H. JACKSON . . . .	373	JAMES W. TUFTS . . . .	472	ALBERT MORSBACH . . . .	576
T. COMMERFORD MARTIN . . . .	380	S. N. D. NORTH . . . .	477	F. FREDERIC GUNTHER . . . .	584
PHILIP D. ARMOUR . . . .	384	SHEPPARD KNAPP . . . .	485	CHARLES L. TIFFANY . . . .	593
EUGENE G. BLACKFORD . . . .	389	BENJAMIN C. CLARK . . . .	492	JAMES E. NICHOLS . . . .	597
EDWARD S. JUDGE . . . .	396	ROBERT H. FOERDERER . . . .	496	JOHN W. NIX . . . .	604
CHARLES CARPY . . . .	401	CHARLES L. JOHNSON . . . .	500	JOHN MCKESSON . . . .	613
JAMES E. PEPPER . . . .	408	HENRY BURN . . . .	506	DANIEL F. TIEMANN . . . .	620
FRED. PABST . . . .	416	WILLIAM STEINWAY . . . .	512	ALBERT F. HAYWARD . . . .	625
PIERRE LORILLARD, JR. . . .	420	CHAUNCEY THOMAS . . . .	516	GEORGE W. GAY . . . .	628
SAMUEL COLGATE . . . .	424	WILLIS B. MARVIN . . . .	521	EDWARD C. SIMMONS . . . .	637
HENRY BOWER . . . .	428	FREDERICK G. BOURNE . . . .	525	JOHN G. BAINBRIDGE . . . .	644
WILLIAM P. THOMPSON . . . .	437	EDWARD HOWARD . . . .	540	ALBERT CLARK STEVENS . . . .	653



ONE HUNDRED YEARS OF  
AMERICAN COMMERCE



# THE CHRONOLOGY OF AMERICAN COMMERCE AND INVENTION

## WITH OTHER IMPORTANT HISTORICAL EVENTS

### 1795.

Second Year, President Washington's Second Term.

President, GEORGE WASHINGTON, Virginia.  
Vice-President, JOHN ADAMS, Massachusetts.  
Secretary of State, EDMUND RANDOLPH, Virginia.  
Secretary of the Treasury, ALEXANDER HAMILTON, New York.  
Secretary of War, HENRY KNOX, Massachusetts.  
Postmaster-General, TIMOTHY PICKERING, Massachusetts.  
Attorney-General, WILLIAM BRADFORD, Pennsylvania.  
Speaker of the House of Representatives, F. A. MUHLENBURG, Pennsylvania.

Secretary Hamilton announced his redemption policy, Jan. 15.  
Jacob Perkins, of Newburyport, Mass., patented a machine for cutting and heading nails, Jan. 16.

Secretary Hamilton resigned, and Oliver Wolcott, of Connecticut, succeeded him, Jan. 31.

Federal money first reckoned by decimal system of dollars, cents, and mills, Feb. 5.

Joseph Habersham, of Georgia, appointed Postmaster-General, in place of Timothy Pickering, resigned, Feb. 25.

National flag established with fifteen alternate red and white stripes, and a blue union with fifteen white stars, May 1.

Jay Treaty ratified by the Senate, June 24; ratifications exchanged between the two countries, Oct. 28; formally announced by President Washington to the House, December.

The United States agreed to pay annual tribute to the Dey of Algiers to secure exemption from pirates, Sept. 5.

Spain conceded the free navigation of the Mississippi River, and the Florida boundaries were established, Oct. 27.

Charles Lee, of Virginia, appointed Attorney-General, in place of William Bradford.

Timothy Pickering appointed Secretary of State vice Edmund Randolph, resigned, Dec. 11.

First issue of the New York Prices-Current, now the Shipping and Commercial List and New York Price-Current, Dec. 19.

Étienne Boré developed an improved method for the extraction of sugar from the cane.

### 1796.

Tennessee admitted to the Union, June 1.

John Fitch ran the first screw boat using steam power on the Collect, New York, August.

French Directory refused to recognize the United States Minister, Charles C. Pinckney, of South Carolina, Sept. 11.

Washington issued his farewell address, Sept. 17.

Binny & Ronaldson established in Philadelphia the first permanent type-foundry.

New York Insurance Company, the second in the country to take marine risks, incorporated.

Major Isaac Craig and Colonel James O'Hara established the first glass-works in Pittsburg.

### 1797.

John Adams inaugurated, March 4.

Thomas Newbold of New Jersey patented first cast-iron plow, June.

Yellow fever epidemic at Philadelphia and New York, Aug.  
French Directory issued decree against American commerce.  
Philadelphia Quakers petitioned Congress against slavery.

### 1798.

Navy Department created. George Cabot first secretary, May.

Congress suspended commercial relations with France, June.

Alien and Sedition laws passed, July.

First salt manufactory established in Ohio.

Joseph Hopkinson wrote "Hail Columbia."

Imprisonment for debt to the United States abolished.

First machine for making combs patented by Isaac Tryon.

First American vessel launched on Lake Erie.

First merino sheep brought from Spain by Hon. William Porter.

### 1799.

Napoleon overthrew the French Directory, and commercial relations with this country were restored, August.

George Washington died at Mount Vernon, aged 67, Dec. 14.

The government paid 8 per cent. for a \$5,000,000 loan.

Yellow fever epidemic in New York.

The Manhattan Company chartered in New York.

First shipment of ice from New York to Charleston, S. C.

Eliakim Spooner took out first patent for a seeding machine.

### 1800.

Epidemic of yellow fever at Baltimore, August.

War office and Treasury building at Washington burned, September.

Congress first assembled at Washington, Nov. 22.

General bankruptcy law passed, December.

The Second Census gave the population of the country as 5,308,483.

United States first imported india rubber at Boston.

### 1801.

John Marshall chief justice of the United States, Jan. 20.

Thomas Jefferson inaugurated, March 4.

Tripoli declared war against the United States, June 10.  
 The federal judiciary reorganized.  
 Quarantine established on Staten Island.  
 First sheet-copper turned out from Paul Revere's mill at Canton, Mass.  
 Congressional Library established.

**1802.**

West Point Military Academy established, March 16.  
 Ohio admitted to the Union, Nov. 29.  
 Process for making potato starch patented by John Biddis, of Philadelphia.  
 First important powder-works established by Eleuthère I. du Pont.  
 Philadelphia Chamber of Commerce established.  
 Abel Porter & Company commenced the manufacture of gilt buttons in Connecticut.

**1803.**

Louisiana purchased from France for \$15,000,000, Apr. 30.  
 Richard French and J. T. Hawkins patented the first contrivance for reaping machines, May 17.  
 First cotton mill established in New Hampshire.  
 Crawford built the first tavern in the White Mountains for summer tourists.  
 First bank established in Cincinnati.

**1804.**

Lewis and Clark started to explore the Northwest, March.  
 Machine-embroidering introduced by John Duncan, May.  
 New Jersey's slaves freed, July 4.  
 The Burr-Hamilton duel at Weehawken, N. J., July 11.  
 Chicago first settled as a trading post by John Kinzie.  
 National Bankruptcy Act repealed.  
 Middlesex Canal completed between Boston and the Concord River.  
 The manufacture of white lead begun by Samuel Wetherill in Philadelphia.  
 Captain John N. Chester imported the first bananas.  
 Almy & Brown of Providence, R. I., made first consignment for sale of American cottons to Elijah Warren of Philadelphia.

**1805.**

Peace with Tripoli, June 3.  
 Robert Fulton originated the marine torpedo.  
 First cargo of ice for export shipped to Martinique by Frederick Tudor.  
 First drove of cattle on the hoof for the Eastern market crossed the Alleghanies.  
 Printers' ink first manufactured here.

**1806.**

England proclaimed the blockade of the European ports, June 16.  
 France by Berlin decree proclaimed the blockade of English ports, Nov. 21.  
 The first cargo of anthracite coal shipped to Philadelphia from the Pennsylvania mines.  
 First confectionery factory established in New York by Ridley.  
 David Melville, of Newport, R. I., made earliest use of gas to light his house.  
 First American saws manufactured by William Rowland, of Philadelphia.

**1807.**

Aaron Burr's trial for treason began, May 22.  
 Fulton's first steamboat, the *Clermont*, made the trip from New York to Albany, Aug. 11.  
 Aaron Burr acquitted, Sept. 1.  
 The Embargo passed by Congress, Dec. 22.  
 Patent shot-tower of Paul Beck built on the Schuylkill.  
 Eli Terry, of Plymouth, Conn., began the manufacture of clocks by machinery.  
 Machine for the simultaneous cutting and heading of tacks patented by Jesse Reed, of Bridgewater.  
 Shipment of ice from Boston to Havana commenced.  
 Anthony Tiemann introduced the manufacture of colors.  
 First wheat-starch factory started at Utica by Edward and John Gilbert.

**1808.**

Importation of slaves forbidden, Jan. 1.  
 The *Phoenix*, built by John Stevens, of Hoboken, made first sea trip by steamboat, between New York and Philadelphia.  
 American Fur Company founded by John Jacob Astor.  
 First patent for stoves to warm by rarefied air granted to Daniel Pettibone, of Philadelphia.  
 Bakewell and Page inaugurated the manufacture of flint-glass at Pittsburg.  
 First queensware made by Columbia Pottery Company at Philadelphia.

**1809.**

James Madison inaugurated, March 4.  
 Embargo removed except to French and English ports, March 15.  
 Cotton duck for sail-cloth first made in the United States.  
 Abel Stowell, of Worcester, Mass., patented a machine for cutting screws.  
 Discovery of Manhattan Island celebrated by a banquet at the old City Hotel, New York.

**1810.**

The Third Census gave the population of the country as 7,239,881.  
 Peregrine Williamson, of Baltimore, made the first metallic pens.  
 Astoria, Oregon, founded by the Pacific Fur Company and John Jacob Astor.  
 Kaolin discovered at Monkton, Vermont.  
 Plan for cantaliver bridge across East River proposed by Thomas Pope.  
 George Frederick Cooke, the English actor, inaugurated the star system in American theatres.  
 Simmons and Rundel, of Charleston, S. C., patented a process for saturating water with "fixed air," producing a sort of soda water.

**1811.**

The first steamboat left Pittsburgh for New Orleans via the Ohio and Mississippi rivers, Oct. 27.  
 Gen. Harrison defeated Tecumseh at Tippecanoe, Ind., Nov. 7.  
 Congress refused to recharter the Bank of the United States.  
 First steam ferry-boat ran between Hoboken and New York.  
 Wooden shoe pegs invented.  
 Exports of flour exceeded 1,000,000 barrels for the first time.

**1812.**

A ninety days' embargo proclaimed, Apr. 4.  
 Louisiana admitted to the Union, Apr. 30.

War declared against England, June 18.  
Engagement between the Constitution and the Guerrière,  
Aug. 19.

The first pin factory was established in New York.  
Pittsburgh started the first rolling-mill.

**1813.**

Engagement between the Chesapeake and Shannon, June 1.  
Commodore Perry's great Lake Erie victory, Sept. 13.  
Two New York men began the manufacture of hair-cloth at  
Rahway, N. J.

First Brooklyn ferry ran.  
Stereotyping and printing from stereotype plates was  
introduced.

First complete mill in the world for turning out raw cotton  
as finished cloth, established at Waltham, Mass.

Illuminating gas apparatus patented by David Melville.  
Francis C. Lowell brought out the power-loom.

**1814.**

Washington captured by the British, and public buildings  
and records burned, Aug. 25.

Specie payment suspended, Sept. 1.

Delegates from New England States convened at Hartford,  
Conn., to devise defense against the British independently of  
the National Government, Dec. 15.

Treaty of peace with England signed at Ghent, Dec. 24.

Steel plate engraving invented by Jacob Perkins, of New-  
buryport, Mass.

**1815.**

Gen. Jackson defeated the British at New Orleans, Jan. 8.  
War against the United States declared by the Dey of Algiers,  
March.

Commercial convention with England signed, July 3.  
Secretary of the Treasury Dallas proposed a protective tariff.  
Steam-power first applied to machinery for cabinet-making.  
The first steamboat ascended the Mississippi to Louisville.

**1816.**

First savings-bank opened in America, at Philadelphia, No-  
vember.

Indiana admitted to the Union, Dec. 11.

Lighting the streets with gas introduced at Baltimore.

First Seminole war.

Concessions granted by the Spanish government allowing  
shipment of ice to Cuba.

Black-Ball packets, the first line, established between New  
York and Liverpool.

**1817.**

United States National Bank opened again at Philadelphia,  
January.

James Monroe inaugurated, March 4.

Ground broken in construction of Erie Canal, July 4.

Mississippi admitted to the Union, Dec. 10.

Steam-power first applied to paper-making at Pittsburgh.  
Work begun by the United States Coast Survey.

First Deaf and Dumb Asylum established at Hartford, Conn.  
Harper's publishing house founded.

Gas employed in lighthouse illumination by David Melville.

Thomas Gilpin & Co. operated the first cylinder machine  
for making paper at Wilmington, Del.

Steam navigation began on Lake Erie.

**1818.**

Congress established the flag with thirteen stripes, and a  
star for each State, Apr. 14.

Illinois admitted to the union, Dec. 3.

\*\*\*

Western State banks suspended.

Reed principle for musical instruments patented by Aaron  
Merrill Peasley.

First line of steam packets on Long Island Sound between  
New York and New Haven.

Elisha Mills began the packing industry at Cincinnati.  
First stage-coach over the Cumberland road to Wheeling.  
The internal revenue tax on whisky abolished.

Du Pont powder-works destroyed by terrific explosion.

First drove of western cattle brought to New York.

**1819.**

Florida purchased from Spain for \$5,000,000, Feb. 22.

The first paper devoted to agricultural interests published  
at Baltimore, Apr. 2.

The Odd Fellows organized at Baltimore, Apr. 26.

Steamship Savannah started on first trans-Atlantic trip of  
steam-vessel, May 21, and arrived at Liverpool, June 20.

Alabama admitted to the Union, Dec. 14.

Seth Boyden began the manufacture of patent leather at  
Newark.

The manufacture of porcelain from domestic materials was  
begun in New York by Dr. H. Mead.

Great financial depression existed.

First savings-bank opened in New York.

John Conant of Vermont invented his cooking-stove.

Plow with interchangeable parts patented by Jethro Wood.  
Ezra Daggett and Thomas Kensett put up the first canned  
goods in New York.

**1820.**

Thomas Blanchard patented the gun-stock lathe, Jan. 20.

Maine admitted to the Union, March 15.

The Fourth Census gave the population of the country as  
9,633,822.

Anthracite coal first used successfully for the generation of  
steam at Philadelphia.

The first steamboat ran on Lake Michigan.

First rubber shoes imported from South America.

Daily meeting with regular call of stocks begun on  
"Change."

The United States Pharmacopœia established.

**1821.**

Missouri Compromise adopted, Feb. 26.

General Jackson took possession of Florida on behalf of  
the United States, July 1.

Missouri admitted to the Union, Aug. 10.

New York quarantine station and hospitals established  
at Castleton, S. I., September.

Sophia Woodhouse, of Wethersfield, Conn., patented the  
straw hat, Dec. 25.

American Colonization Society secured Liberia, December.

Bronze printing patented by George J. Newbury.

Remains of Major André removed from Tappan, N. Y.,  
to Westminster Abbey, London.

The rotary steam-engine patented by Mr. Ward, of Colum-  
bia, S. C.

The first college of pharmacy established at Philadelphia.

**1822.**

Treaty of commerce and navigation concluded with France,  
June 24.

The Merrimac Manufacturing Company started the city of  
Lowell, Mass., Sept. 3.

Mason and Baldwin of Philadelphia began engraving cy-  
linders for calico printing.

First patent of artificial teeth secured by C. M. Graham.

Iron conduit pipes were first used in the Fairmount Water Works at Philadelphia.

Thomas Skidmore of New York introduced India rubber tubes for gaseous fluids.

Naval expedition sent against the West Indian pirates by United States.

Lock coultter for plows patented by David Peacock of New Jersey.

Depau's line of Havre packets established.

The first wheel mill for incorporating powder erected on Brandywine Creek, Del.

Luke Davies opened the first store distinctively for men's furnishing goods.

#### 1823.

Monroe Doctrine promulgated, Dec. 2. European powers not to be permitted to interfere with the independent States of America, or to acquire dominion on this continent.

First steam-power printing-press set up in Albany by a printer named Van Benthuisen.

Champlain Canal, connecting the Hudson at Albany with Lake Champlain, opened.

Manufacture and tin-plating of lead pipe for stills was begun in New York by Thomas Ewbank.

The first smelting-works in the lead region of the upper Mississippi erected by Col. James Johnson of Kentucky.

Nicholas Longworth of Cincinnati commenced the making of wine with the muscatel grape.

First corporation for the manufacture of gas started as the New York Gas-Light Company with a capital of \$1,000,000.

#### 1824.

Lafayette arrived at Staten Island on his visit to the United States, Aug. 15.

The geological survey of North Carolina was begun by Denison Olmsted.

Zadoc Pratt established a great hemlock tanning factory in Greene Co., New York.

Cape Cod began to manufacture isinglass from hake.

The first juvenile reformatory established in New York.

Glazed-ground wall-papers were first made.

#### 1825.

John Quincy Adams inaugurated, March 4.

Corner-stone of Bunker Hill Monument laid by Lafayette, June 17.

Isaiah Lukins of Philadelphia patented the lithotritter in England, Sept. 15.

First boats left Buffalo by the Erie Canal, Oct. 26.

De Witt Clinton and the first boats arrived in New York via the Erie Canal, and a grand celebration took place in this city, Nov. 4.

First performance of Italian opera at New York, Nov. 29.

Isaac Babbitt, of Taunton, Mass., invented Babbitt metal and commenced the manufacture of Britannia ware.

William Ellis Tucker commenced the manufacture of porcelain at Philadelphia.

The so-called labor movement first came into prominence.

The circular saw brought out by Mr. Richardson of Philadelphia.

Taylor & Rich erected the first mahogany mill.

#### 1826.

Eli Whitney, inventor of the cotton gin, died, Jan. 8.

New England Society for the Promotion of Manufactures and the Mechanic Arts chartered, March 3.

Death of John Adams and Thomas Jefferson, July 4.

First railroad with metal rails from Quincy, Mass., to tide water, three miles away, Oct. 7.

James Oram, founder of the Shipping List and New York Price Current, died Oct. 27; born May 10, 1760.

National Academy of Design founded in New York.

Power-loom for weaving wire invented by John S. Gastrin, of New York.

Manufacture of palm-leaf hats begun in Massachusetts.

Ice first cut on Rockland Lake and retailed in New York.

Failures of the great tea importers caused a heavy loss to the Government in customs duties.

Composition rollers for printing presses first used.

W. Kendall patented the insertable tooth for rotary saws.

#### 1827.

Switchback Railroad operating by gravity opened at Mauch Chunk, Pennsylvania, Jan. 8.

First general convention of the manufacturing interests of the country held at Harrisburg, Pa., July 30.

English artists introduced lithography at Boston.

James McClintin of Chambersburg, Pa., invented the first practical contrivance for mortising and tenoning.

The manufacture of wood type was begun at New York by Darius Wells.

The first bell made from blistered bar steel in New York.

Rope factories first applied steam as power at Wheeling.

Sandwich Glass Company made first pressed glass.

First drove of hogs entered Chicago.

Stone for Bunker Hill monument quarried at Quincy.

Harrison Gray Dyar constructed an electric telegraph on Long Island.

Jacob Perkins built a compound stationary engine, using steam of 1400 pounds pressure.

#### 1828.

The American Institute organized, Feb. 19.

Heavy duties laid on imported fabrics of cotton or wool, May 15.

The first wool sale was held at Boston and brought \$300,000, June 10.

First edition of Webster's American Dictionary published, June.

First American power-loom for weaving checks and plaids patented by Rev. E. Burt, of Conn., August 19.

Franklin Institute medal awarded Seth Boyden for first buckles and bits made of annealed cast iron, Oct. 16.

First patent for locomotive issued to William Howard of Baltimore.

Manufacture of varnish begun in New York by P. B. Smith.

William Woodworth of Hudson, N. Y., invented the first machine for planing, cutting, tonguing, and grooving boards. Sea Island cotton first appeared in the market.

The first trip-hammer shop for the manufacture of axes built by Samuel Collins, at Collinsville, Conn.

Manufacture of horse collars begun by Timothy Deming at East Hartford, Conn.

Carbondale Railroad, the first on which a locomotive was used, built.

#### 1829.

Andrew Jackson inaugurated, March 4.

Safety Fund Banking Act passed in New York State, April.

First annual fair at Castle Garden of the American Institute of the State of New York, Nov. 1.

Hamilton Stewart began in Philadelphia the manufacture of damask table linen, December.

Tin ore discovered at Goshen, Conn., by Prof. Hitchcock.



The manufacture of sewing silk by machinery begun by James Conant at Mansfield, Mass.

Dr. John M. Revere of New York perfected the process of galvanizing iron.

First paper from grass and straw fiber made by machinery by G. A. Shryock, of Philadelphia.

The *Stourbridge Lion*, the first locomotive ever run in this country, arrived from England.

**1830.**

First American locomotive constructed by Peter Cooper for the Baltimore and Ohio R. R.

Joseph Smith organized the first Mormon Church at Manchester, N. Y., Apr. 6.

The Welland Canal between Lakes Erie and Ontario completed, Aug. 3.

The City of Chicago was laid out, Aug. 4.

The Fifth Census gave the population of the country as 12,866,020.

The first astronomical telescope was erected at Yale.

Joseph Dixon began the manufacture of lead-pencils at Salem, Mass.

First native Georgia gold came to the United States.

The omnibus first appeared in the streets of New York. Windham, Conn., turned out the first Fourdrinier machines.

The Baltimore and Ohio Railroad opened its first section operated by horse power.

Holmes, Hotchkiss, Brown & Elton commenced the manufacture of sheet brass at Waterbury, Conn.

First locomotive constructed in the United States for actual service, the *Best Friend*, built at West Point Foundry Works for the South Carolina Railroad.

**1831.**

The first train drawn by a locomotive ran on the South Carolina Railroad, Jan. 15.

The Mohawk and Hudson Railroad opened in September.

Discovery of chloroform announced by Samuel Guthrie, of Sackett's Harbor, N. Y., Oct. 12.

The first four-wheel car trucks used on the South Carolina Railroad.

Timothy Bailey of Albany invented the power-loom for stocking knitting.

The Morris Canal opened, connecting Newark with the Delaware river.

The West Feliciana Railroad, the first west of the Alleghanies, incorporated in Louisiana.

The Baldwin Locomotive-Works established in Philadelphia.

Pennsylvania inaugurated a system of internal improvements, consisting of 292 miles of canal and 126 of railroad.

**1832.**

Asiatic cholera made its first appearance in New York, June 21.

Commercial and financial distress, July to October.

The first street-railway in the country opened in New York between City Hall and Fourteenth street, November.

Davis & Gartner, of York, Pa., built three locomotives of the grasshopper pattern for the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad.

The Nullification Ordinance passed by South Carolina.

First hogs packed in Chicago by George Dole.

Egbert Egberts, of Cohoes, brought out the power knitting-machine.

First cargo of Sicily oranges and lemons imported.

Manufacture of table cutlery begun in this country.

Use of tan-bark in manufacture of white lead introduced.

First soda water apparatus manufactured by John Matthews of New York.

Trowbridge, Dwight & Company established the wholesale clothing manufacture at New Haven.

First shirt factory established by David & Isaac Judson in New York.

Swiveling fore-end truck for locomotives introduced to general use.

**1833.**

The first cargo of American ice was exported to India by Frederick Tudor, May.

The "New York Sun" founded, Sept. 3.

Government funds withdrawn from the Bank of the United States, October.

The first company to import and breed cattle organized, Nov. 2.

Commercial treaties were entered into with Austria, Turkey, and the Two Kingdoms of Sicily.

Treasury Building at Washington was burned.

Obed Hussey patented and exhibited in Ohio the first practical reaping-machine.

Ross Winans built the first typical American passenger cars.

The Roxbury India-Rubber Company, the first in the business, organized.

Samuel Preston invented the pegging-machine.

The crosshead pump for supplying feed-water to the boiler in locomotives introduced.

**1834.**

New York National Guard called out for the first time in suppressing the anti-abolition riots, April.

Cornelius M. Lawrence first mayor chosen by vote of the people in New York, May.

Cyrus Hall McCormick patented his reaper, June 21.

The first vessel arrived at Chicago from the lower lakes, July 12.

Lathe for turning lasts patented, Dec. 25.

First attempt at crushing the oil from cotton-seed made at Natchez.

Screws were first made entirely by machinery.

Rope-yarn spinner invented in New York.

The first saw-mill in the Saginaw valley built by Harvey Williams.

Half-crank locomotive driving axles introduced.

The manufacture of door locks begun in Connecticut.

**1835.**

New York voted to begin the Croton Aqueduct, March.

Solyman Merrick, of Springfield, Mass., patented the first practical screw wrench, Aug. 17.

Texas declared independence, Nov. 7.

Great New York fire. Loss \$20,000,000, Dec. 16.

Chicago opened her first bank and organized a fire department.

The first house was built on the site of San Francisco.

Samuel Colt began the manufacture of the revolving pistol.

The circular web knitting-machine invented in Connecticut.

Horseshoes were first made by machinery by Henry Burden, at Troy.

Improved methods of minting introduced from Europe by Franklin Peale.

Pins first made by machinery in New York.

Gas companies organized in Philadelphia and New Orleans.

The "New York Herald" established.

The first furnaces made in New England by William A. Wheeler, of Worcester, Mass.

Professor Morse exhibited his telegraph in the University of New York.

First link in rail connection of New York and Boston formed by the opening of the Boston and Providence Railroad.

**1836.**

President Nicholas Biddle secured, on Feb. 13, a charter from the State of Pennsylvania for the Bank of the United States, the Federal charter of which expired March 30.

Arkansas admitted to the Union, June 15.

Specie Circular issued, July 11.

First patent of friction match granted Alonzo D. Phillips, of Springfield, Mass., Oct. 24.

United States Patent Office and contents burned, Dec. 15.

The manufacture of fine-cut chewing tobacco by machinery commenced at Centreville, Miss.

Brigham Young was elected president of the Mormons.

First sleeping-car ran on the Cumberland Valley Railroad.

First transatlantic cotton freight steamship built for Savannah merchants.

The first cargo of wheat shipped on Lake Michigan for Buffalo.

Astor House opened in New York.

First American patent issued for a typewriting machine.

E. R. Campbell patented the coupling together of two pairs of locomotive driving-wheels.

Rubber belting patented.

Power presses introduced for magazine and newspaper printing.

James Atwater, of New York, brought out the illuminated case stove.

J. & L. K. Bridge imported from Sicily the first cargo of flaxseed.

**1837.**

Fire at Charleston, S. C., Apr. 27, destroyed 1158 buildings.

Michigan admitted to the Union, Jan. 26.

Martin Van Buren inaugurated, March 4.

Suspension of banks and general panic, May 10.

Sub-treasuries recommended by President Van Buren, Sept. 4.

Pitts Brothers patented the combined threshing and cleaning-machine, Dec. 29.

Chicago incorporated as a city.

Capt. John Ericsson successfully applied the screw propeller to steam vessels.

The fancy weaving loom was patented by William Crompton.

Canning of corn commenced at Philadelphia by Thomas B. Smith.

Counterbalance weights introduced for locomotive driving-wheels.

**1838.**

Fire at Charleston, S. C., Apr. 27, destroying 1158 buildings.

The Specie Circular repealed, May 31.

Congress constituted every railroad a postal route, July 7.

Capt. Charles Wilkes started on his South Sea explorations, Aug. 18.

The National Silk Society organized at Baltimore, Dec. 11.

First New Jersey zinc ores smelted at Washington.

Branch United States mint established at Dahlonega, Ga.

The Smithsonian Institution founded in Washington.

Solid pin heads first manufactured at Birmingham, Conn.

Dimond Chandler began the manufacture of gold spectacles and silver thimbles at Longmeadow, Mass.

Elisha H. Root, of Collinsville, Conn., invented the first machine for punching and making the eyes of axes, hatchets, and hammers.

First shipment of wheat from Chicago.

David Bruce, Jr., invented the type-casting machine.

First tiles made by Abraham Miller at Philadelphia.

Steam introduced in heating processes in sugar-refining.

**1839.**

The first express started by W. F. Harnden between New York and Boston, March 4.

The United States Bank, rechartered by the State of Pennsylvania, failed, Oct. 10.

John William Draper, professor of chemistry in University of New York, took the first photograph from life, November.

Hot-water heating introduced at Niblo's conservatory.

The ice-plow invented.

First pottery built at East Liverpool, O.

**1840.**

Adams Express commenced between New York and Boston, May 4.

First successful iron-furnace with anthracite and hot-blast fired by David Thomas at Catasauqua, Pa., July 4.

Steamship *Britannia*, the first Cunard liner, left Liverpool for New York, July 4.

The Sixth Census gave the population of the country as 17,069,453.

The first castings for structural iron made.

John Ames, of Springfield, Mass., patented the first machine for making, ruling, and cutting paper.

Henry Disston commenced the manufacture of saws.

Patent for the electric telegraph issued to Professor Morse.

Jonas Chickering patented the grand piano with full iron-frame.

First advertising agency opened in Philadelphia by Volney B. Palmer.

The manufacture of blasting-powder begun.

Edwin Hodges built first brass-wire-drawing mill at West Torrington, Conn.

The American buggy first came into general use.

A walking-beam electric engine constructed by Davis & Cooke.

**1841.**

William Henry Harrison inaugurated, March 4.

President Harrison died and Vice-president Tyler succeeded him, Apr. 4.

First edition of Horace Greeley's *Tribune*, Apr. 10.

First steam fire-engine completed and used in New York, July.

President Tyler vetoed a bill for a United States Bank, Aug. 16.

A second bill for a United States Bank vetoed, Sept. 9.

The india-rubber ball patented by Edwin Chaffee, of Cambridgeport.

Congress passed a general bankruptcy law.

Samuel Slocum, of New York, invented a machine to stick pins in paper.

The manufacture of the metal stencil was begun in Boston by John Pope.

First electrotypes appeared in "Mapes' Magazine."

Frederick E. Sickles invented the drop cut-off valve gear for steam-engines.

The first mercantile agency established.

Making of Connellsville coke commenced.

Canning of Maine salmon begun.

The city of Philadelphia acquired its own gas plant.

**1842.**

Dorr's Rebellion in Rhode Island, May 18.  
 Fremont's first western expedition, June 10.  
 Croton water was let into the Fifth Avenue aqueduct, July 4.  
 Professor Morse laid first submarine telegraph wire between New York and Governor's Island, Oct. 18.  
 President proclaimed treaty settlement with England of the Northwestern Boundary question, Nov. 10.  
 The first attempt at a machine for sewing was made by J. J. Greenough, but proved impracticable.  
 Reuben Partridge patented the match-splint machine.  
 John Ryle built the first silk piece loom at Paterson, N. J.  
 Walworth & Nason introduced the Perkins hot-water heater.  
 Thomas Kingsford discovered and perfected a process for making starch for commercial uses from corn.  
 American ice first exported to London.  
 First factory for pocket-knives established in Connecticut.

**1843.**

Ericsson built the *Princeton*, the first screw war vessel in the world.  
 Napoleon E. Guerin introduced hatching of eggs by artificial heat.  
 The manufacture of manilla grass paper was begun in Boston by Lyman Hollingsworth.  
 Improvement in pills patented by Benjamin Brandreth.  
 Patent issued to Enos Wilder for the first fire-proof safe.  
 Congress voted an appropriation of \$30,000 to Professor Morse for an experimental telegraph line between Washington and Baltimore.

**1844.**

Prof. Morse sent a telegraphic message from Baltimore to Washington, May 27.  
 Treaty with China opened several ports there to trade and residence, July 3.  
 United States recognized the independence of the Sandwich Islands, July 6.  
 U. A. Boyden built the first turbine water wheel for a Lowell cotton mill, August.  
 Williams & Ketcham patented the first mowing-machine, Nov. 18.  
 Copper mining was commenced in the Lake Superior region.  
 Patent granted to Charles Goodyear for the vulcanization of rubber.  
 First wall-paper printing-machine imported from England.  
 Leverett Candee made first boots and shoes from vulcanized rubber.  
 Power-loom for grain carpets invented by Erastus B. Bigelow.  
 A. D. Puffer, of Boston, secured a patent for the first soda-water cooler.

**1845.**

President Tyler authorized the annexation of Texas, Mar. 1.  
 Florida admitted to the Union, March 3.  
 James K. Polk inaugurated, March 4.  
 Telegraph line between Baltimore and Washington opened for the public business, April 1.  
 Fire did \$10,000,000 damage in Pittsburg, Apr. 10.  
 Naval Academy founded at Annapolis, Oct. 10.  
 Texas admitted to the Union, Dec. 29.  
 Anti-rent riots in New York State.  
 Borings in Tarentum, Pa., struck petroleum.  
 E. B. Bigelow invented the carpet-loom.  
 The manufacture of files was commenced at Matteawan, N. Y., by John Rothery.

Eastwick & Harrison invented the equalizing beams connecting locomotive driving-wheels.  
 First shipment of apples from Boston to Glasgow.  
 Sebastian Chauveau, of Philadelphia, introduced the use of machinery in making confectionery.  
 First slate quarry in Vermont opened by Colonel Allen and Caleb Ranney at Scotch Hill.  
 Lowest price on record for cotton.

**1846.**

Magnetic Telegraph Company organized Jan. 14, and line completed between New York and Philadelphia, Jan. 18.  
 War declared against Mexico, May 11.  
 California declared independence from Mexico, July 5.  
 New Mexico annexed by the United States, Aug. 22.  
 Elias Howe, Jr., patented the first sewing-machine, Sept. 10.  
 The anesthetic property of ether discovered by Dr. William T. G. Morton, of Boston, Sept. 30.  
 Iowa admitted to the Union.  
 Mormons selected site of Salt Lake City.  
 Japan refused to open commercial relations with this country.  
 The "ten-wheel" locomotive introduced.  
 Oliver R. Chase, of Boston, built first machine for making lozenges.  
 Eastern Hotel, in Boston, the first public building to be heated by steam.  
 First iron furnace using raw bituminous coal erected at Lowell, Mahoning County, O.

**1847.**

Commodore Shubrick proclaimed the annexation of California by the United States, Feb. 8.  
 G. Page patented the revolving-disk harrow, August 7.  
 The City of Mexico fell to General Scott, Sept. 14.  
 Zinc was discovered in paying quantities in Lehigh County, Pa.  
 Pig iron decarbonized by an air-current into steel by William Kelly, of Kentucky.  
 Richard M. Hoe patented the type-revolving press.  
 Farmer constructed an electro-magnetic locomotive which drew a car containing two persons.  
 Use of adhesive postage stamps first authorized.  
 Auction sales of plants and flowers begun in New York.

**1848.**

John M. Marshall discovered gold in California, Jan. 18.  
 Treaty of peace with Mexico signed at Guadalupe Hidalgo, Feb. 2.  
 Astor Library founded, May.  
 Wisconsin admitted to the Union, May 29.  
 First meeting of the American Association for the Advancement of Science held at Philadelphia, Sept. 20.  
 Cochituate water introduced into Boston, Oct. 25.  
 Machine for punching and pointing wooden pegs patented by Henry P. Westcott.  
 Suspension bridge completed across the Ohio river at Wheeling.  
 Rogers Locomotive Works shipped locomotives to Cuba.  
 First cast-iron-front building in the world erected in New York.  
 Erastus B. Bigelow invented the power-loom for weaving Brussels and tapestry carpets.

**1849.**

First diploma to woman physician granted at Geneva, N. Y., to Elizabeth Blackwell, January.  
 First bank established in San Francisco, Jan. 9.

Zachary Taylor inaugurated, March 5.  
 Great inundation at New Orleans, March.  
 Astor Place Opera House riots, May 10.  
 Asiatic cholera epidemic in New Orleans, New York, St. Louis, Philadelphia, Nashville, Buffalo, Chicago, and Boston, August.

Connecticut river successfully dammed for utilization of water-power, Oct. 22.

Overland rush for California commenced.

The improved steam-engine valve patented by George H. Corliss.

Department of the Interior organized with Thomas Ewing as first Secretary.

New York Associated Press founded.

Henry Evans of Newark introduced the pendulum press for can tops.

#### 1850.

The first meeting of influential men was held at Philadelphia to consider the question of a transcontinental railroad, Apr. 1.

First number of *Harper's Magazine* was published, June.

Clayton-Bulwer Treaty promulgated, July 4.

President Taylor died, July 9.

Vice-president Millard Fillmore succeeded to the chair, July 10.

The manufacture of watches by machinery was commenced in Boston by Dennison, Howard, and Davis, July.

Fugitive Slave Bill passed, Aug. 23.

California admitted to the Union, Sept. 9.

The Seventh Census gave the population of the country as 22,191,876.

S. S. Putnam, of Neponset, Mass., began the manufacture of nails for horse shoes by machinery.

Collins Line, the first American line of steamships to Liverpool, established under government subsidy.

Export of coal first attained commercial importance.

First ice machine patented.

Thomas Kingsford discovered the food properties of corn-starch.

Machinery first came into use in the boot and shoe shops.

The manufacture of reed organs commenced.

Page, of Washington, constructed an electro-magnetic locomotive of sixteen horse-power.

#### 1851.

Minot's Ledge Light carried away, Apr. 16.

Fire did \$3,000,000 damage at San Francisco, May 3.

Southern Rights Convention held at Charleston, May 8.

New York and Lake Erie Railroad completed from Piermont to Dunkirk, May 14.

A second fire destroyed \$3,000,000 more property in San Francisco, June 22.

Nicaragua route between New York and San Francisco opened, Aug. 12.

Hudson River Railroad completed from New York to Albany, Oct. 8.

Louis Kossuth arrived on his visit to this country, Dec. 5.

Principal room of the Library of Congress destroyed by fire, Dec. 14.

The canal from Evansville, Ind., to Lake Erie completed.

Postal rate established at three cents per half ounce for distance less than 3000 miles.

Nelson Goodyear patented process for making hard rubber.

A. C. Gallahue, Elmer Townsend and B. F. Sturtevant patented a pegging machine which cut and drove.

Western Union Telegraph Company established.

Electric locomotive taking its power from a stationary battery constructed by Thomas Hall, of Boston.

Cyrus H. McCormick wins a great victory with his reaping-machine at the World's Fair in London.

#### 1852.

Fisheries dispute with England, May 26.

Fire did \$5,000,000 damage at Sacramento, Nov. 2.

Commodore Perry started for Japan on his special mission to open up commerce there, Nov. 24.

United States refused to join England and France in a perpetual renunciation of annexation designs on Cuba, Dec. 1.

The electric telegraph fire-alarm introduced in Boston.

American Pharmaceutical Association organized.

First paints ready mixed for use, made.

Maker's stamp on boiler-plate first demanded by law.

Tilton, Pepper & Scudder start the first plate-glass works in Brooklyn.

First pottery in Trenton built by Speeler, Taylor & Bloor.

Lamp chimneys first manufactured by Christopher Dorringer in Brooklyn.

#### 1853.

Ericsson's caloric ship made its trial trip, Jan. 11.

Franklin Pierce inaugurated, March 4.

Capt. Ringgold's South Sea expedition sailed, May.

World's Fair opened at the Crystal Palace, in New York, July 14.

Commodore Perry presented to Japan the President's desire to establish commercial relations, July 14.

Purchase of Central Park authorized, July 23.

New York Clearing House established, Oct. 11.

The first paper collar was seen in New York.

Lumber-raftering inaugurated by Schulenberg & Borckler.

United States Pottery Company of Bennington made first inlaid-flooring tiles.

Steam fire-engines put into permanent service in Cincinnati.

Yellow fever epidemic at New Orleans caused 7848 deaths.

#### 1854.

Cyrus Field, Peter Cooper, and others organized the New York, Newfoundland and London Telegraph Company, Mar. 1.

The Homestead Bill passed by Congress to encourage settlement on the public lands, March 3.

Treaty with Japan signed, March 31.

Kansas Nebraska bill passed, May.

Reciprocity Treaty concluded with England concerning the Newfoundland fisheries, June 7.

Otis Tufts patented an elevator for hotels, Aug. 9.

The steamship *Arctic* lost at sea and 350 people perished, Sept. 27.

The Pennsylvania Rock Oil Company, the first petroleum company, incorporated in New York, Dec. 30.

Registry system established by the post-office.

The first merchant flouring-mill started in Minneapolis.

Mellier process for straw-paper brought out by A. C. Mellier.

G. D. Dows introduced in Boston the first marble soda fountain.

#### 1855.

The first bridge across the Mississippi river completed at Minneapolis, Minn., January.

The railroad between Panama and Colon completed, Jan. 28.

Suspension bridge at Niagara completed, March.

Cotton-seed oil first successfully made by Paul Aldige at New Orleans.

Hugh Burgess patented chemical wood pulp.  
 Year of the country's greatest maritime construction.  
 Vacuum pan introduced in the sugar refineries.  
 Yellow fever ravaged Norfolk and Portsmouth, Va.

**1856.**

First telegraph cable laid across the Hudson at New York, Feb. 12.

The first railroad in California was completed, Feb. 22.

Central Park purchased for \$5,398,695, February.

The first street-railroad in New England began running between Boston and Cambridge, March 26.

George Esterly patented a corn cultivator, April 22.

New York, Newfoundland, and London Electric Telegraph Company organized, May 6, and cable laid to Newfoundland.

Statue of George Washington was unveiled in Union Square, July.

Gail Borden patented condensed milk, Nov. 4, and its manufacture commenced at Litchfield, Conn.

Bessemer steel first made at Phillipsburg, N. J.

Cyrus W. Field established telegraphic communication with Newfoundland.

Sorghum was introduced.

The first vessel made the passage from Milwaukee to Europe via the Welland Canal, Great Lakes, and St. Lawrence river.

First refined spelter made at Bethlehem, Pa.

Borax discovered in California.

Use of the adhesive postage-stamp made compulsory.

**1857.**

James Buchanan inaugurated, March 4.

Dred Scott decision, March 6.

First great strike and railroad riots commenced on the Baltimore and Ohio, Apr. 27.

Pennsylvania Railroad bought for \$7,500,000 the railway and canal system built by the State, June 25.

Police riots began in New York, July 3.

Ohio Life and Trust Company suspended, and a financial panic followed, Aug. 24.

First and unsuccessful attempt to lay a transatlantic telegraph cable, August.

Specie payment suspended, Oct. 15.

Resumption of specie payment, Dec. 4.

General Rodman began his experiments to discover pressures in the bores of guns at the moment of firing.

The Steamship *Central America*, having on board \$7,800,000 of treasure from California, foundered off the Cuban coast.

The manufacture of straw-paper begun by J. B. Palser at Fort Edward.

Japan teas appeared in the market.

**1858.**

Minnesota admitted to the Union, May 11.

First transatlantic cable successfully laid, Aug. 4.

First message sent over the transatlantic cable, Aug. 16.

Peter Cooper presented Cooper Union to the public.

Gold was discovered at Pike's Peak, Colorado.

Wells, Fargo & Co. established the Overland Mail Co.

First cut loaf sugar made in this country.

Creasing-machine for harness-making patented by W. K. Thornton, of Michigan.

E. S. Drake sank the first petroleum well at Titusville, Pa.

**1859.**

Oregon admitted to the Union, Feb. 14.

Treaty with China, Aug. 16.

John Brown's Raid on Harper's Ferry, Oct. 16.

Début of Adelina Patti in opera in New York, Nov. 24.

The improved grand piano patented by Steinway, Dec. 20.

Photolithography for maps in colors was introduced.

First shipment of flour from Minneapolis to the East.

Farmer invented the self-exciting dynamo to take the place of the galvanic battery.

**1860.**

117 operatives killed and 312 injured by collapse of the Pemberton Cotton Mills in Lawrence, Mass., Jan. 10.

The chain of railroads was completed from Bangor, Me., to New Orleans, January.

The Japanese ambassadors to ratify Perry's Treaty arrived at San Francisco, March 27.

The *Great Eastern* arrived at New York, June 28.

Colonel William Walker, the famous filibuster in Central America, was shot at Truxillo, Sept. 12.

The Prince of Wales arrived at Washington and visited the President, Oct. 3.

South Carolina seceded from the Union, Dec. 20.

Central Park was opened to the public.

The Eighth Census gave the population of the country as 31,443,321.

The "oil fever" broke out in the Alleghany River valley.

American merchant marine at the point of its greatest prosperity.

First importations of Sisal hemp.

Salt first attained commercial importance in Michigan.

The transcontinental telegraph sanctioned by Congress.

First wrought-iron I-beams rolled by Peter Cooper at Trenton.

Alexander Smith and Halcyon Skinner of Yonkers secured a patent for power-loom to weave Axminster and Moquette carpets.

Centrifugal machine introduced in the sugar refineries.

**1861.**

First shot of the Rebellion was fired in Charleston harbor against *Star of the West*, Jan. 9.

Mississippi seceded, Jan. 9.

Florida seceded, Jan. 10.

Alabama seceded, Jan. 11.

Georgia seceded, Jan. 19.

Louisiana seceded, Jan. 26.

Kansas admitted to the Union, Jan. 29.

North Carolina seceded, Jan. 30.

Texas seceded, Feb. 1.

First flowing oil-well struck in Pennsylvania, Feb. 1.

Provisional Confederate Government organized at Montgomery, Ala., Feb. 9.

Jefferson Davis inaugurated president of the Confederacy, Feb. 19.

Abraham Lincoln inaugurated, Mar. 4.

Fort Sumter fell, Apr. 14.

Virginia seceded, Apr. 17.

Stephen A. Douglas died, June 3.

First balloon reconnaissances, June 23.

Battle of Bull Run, July 21.

Telegraphic communication opened between St. Louis and San Francisco, Oct. 25.

Capt. Wilkes boarded British steamship *Trent* and seized Mason and Slidell, Nov. 8.

First message sent over the transcontinental telegraph line, Nov. 15.

Banks suspended cash payments, Dec. 30.

Stereotyping for newspapers introduced by the "New-York Tribune" and "New-York Herald."

The McKay sewing-machine patented.

### 1862.

Mason and Slidell released and sail for Europe, Jan. 1.  
 First legal tender act passed, Feb. 25.  
 Battle between the *Monitor* and the *Merrimac*, March 9.  
 The National Guard created by New York, April.  
 Farragut captured New Orleans, Apr. 24.  
 Revenue tax imposed on spirits, July 1.  
 Union Pacific Railroad chartered, July 1.  
 Postage stamps used for fractional currency, July.  
 Announcement of the Emancipation Proclamation, Sept. 22.  
 Dr. R. J. Gatling completed the first Gatling gun at Indianapolis, Ind., Nov. 4.  
 Lockhart & Company export first shipment of American oil.  
 Chicago became the recognized center of the packing industry.  
 Confederate cruiser *Alabama* captured and burned ten merchantmen in two weeks.  
 Brewers' Association organized.

### 1863.

3,120,000 slaves freed by the Emancipation Proclamation, Jan. 1.  
 The National Academy of Science created by Congress, March 3.  
 West Virginia admitted to the Union, June 19.  
 Certificate of authority of the Comptroller of the Currency issued to the first of the present national banks, June 20.  
 Battle of Gettysburg, July 1-3.  
 Draft Riots in New York, July 13-17.  
 Habeas corpus suspended, Sept. 15.  
 Distance limit for letter postage in the United States removed.  
 First harness-thread factory established at Paterson, N. J., by Barbour Brothers.  
 Henry Disston built first crucible-steel melting plant for saw steel.  
 The channeling-machine invented by George J. Wardwell, of Rutland, Vt.  
 The so-called musical telephone brought out by Reis.

### 1864.

Funding of the greenbacks in the six per cents. stopped, Jan. 21.  
 Sanitary Fair opened at Philadelphia, June 7.  
 Battle between the *Kearsarge* and *Alabama*, June 19.  
 Gold dollar was worth \$2.85, July 11.  
 Nevada admitted to the Union, Oct. 31.  
 From Dec. 1861 to October 1869, the advance in the price of cotton goods had been 1000 per cent.  
 Columbia College School of Mines organized, Nov. 15.  
 General Sherman left Atlanta for the Sea, Nov. 16.  
 Northern Pacific Railroad chartered.  
 Postal money-order system established.  
 George M. Pullman built the "Pioneer," his first car.

### 1865.

Union troops entered Richmond, Apr. 2.  
 Lee surrendered, Apr. 9.  
 President Lincoln assassinated, Apr. 14.  
 Andrew Johnson succeeded to the presidency, Apr. 15.  
 Johnston surrendered, April 26.

Jefferson Davis captured, May 11.

First rail laid on the line of the Union Pacific, July.  
 Capt. Wirz, jailer of Andersonville Prison, hanged, Aug. 21.  
 All restrictions removed from Southern ports, Sept. 1.  
 Martial law ended in Kentucky, Oct. 12.  
 Habeas corpus restored in the Northern States, Dec. 1.  
 National Wool Growers' Association organized, December.  
 The Bullock perfecting press brought out.  
 Polished plate glass first made at Lenox, Mass.  
 New York Stock Exchange moved into its present building, Broad and Wall streets.

### 1866.

France acceded to request of United States to withdraw troops from Mexico, Jan. 9.  
 President Johnson publicly denounced the Reconstruction Committee, Feb. 22.  
 The President proclaimed the Rebellion at an end, Apr. 2.  
 Civil Rights Bill passed over President's veto, Apr. 9.  
 Jefferson Davis indicted for complicity in the assassination of Lincoln, May 8.  
 Fenian invasion of Canada, June 1.  
 Commercial convention concluded with Japan, June 25.  
 Fire did \$10,000,000 damage at Portland, Me., July 4.  
 Tennessee restored to the Union by Congress, July 23.  
 The second Atlantic cable successfully laid, Aug. 16.  
 Convention of workingmen at Baltimore made first demand for an eight-hour working day, Aug. 21.  
 The lost Atlantic cable of 1865 brought up, spliced, and laid, September.  
 Congress established the elective franchise without respect to race or color in the District of Columbia, Dec. 14.  
 Daniel G. Chase, of Chicago, patented a machine for making conversation lozenges.  
 National Board of Fire Underwriters organized.  
 Salmon canning on the Columbia river begun.  
 Steinway & Son perfected and introduced the upright piano.  
 Tallemont & Carrol patented the velocipede with two wheels.

### 1867.

French troops evacuated the City of Mexico, Feb. 5.  
 Nebraska admitted to the Union, March 1.  
 Military Reconstruction Bill passed, March 2.  
 National Bankruptcy Bill, March 2.  
 Jefferson Davis released on \$100,000 bail, May 13.  
 The President removed Secretary of War Stanton, Aug. 12.  
 First steel rails rolled by Cambria Iron Company of Johnstown, Pa., August.  
 The President proclaimed general amnesty to all who took part in the Rebellion, Sept. 7.  
 Alaska purchased from Russia for \$7,200,000, Oct. 9.  
 Convention of the manufacturers of the country at Cleveland, O., demanded the full payment of the national debt, Dec. 18.  
 Pullman Palace Car Company organized.  
 First consignment of California green fruit received in New York.  
 Ground wood pulp first put into printing paper.  
 Hard-rubber-covered harness trimmings patented by Andrew Albright, of Newark.  
 American Institute of Architects founded.  
 Master Car Builders' Association organized.

### 1868.

The non-concurrence in removal of the Senate returned Secretary Stanton to the War Department, Jan. 13.  
 Fire did \$3,000,000 damage in Chicago, Jan. 28.

House resolved that President Johnson be impeached, Feb. 22.

Race riots between Irish and German immigrants on Ward's Island, March 5.

Impeachment trial of President Johnson begun, March 7.

Memorial Statue of Abraham Lincoln unveiled at Washington, Apr. 15.

Secretary Stanton finally retired and succeeded by Gen. John M. Schofield, Apr. 26.

North Carolina, South Carolina, Louisiana, Georgia, Alabama, and Florida again admitted to representation in the Union, June 12.

Arkansas readmitted to the Union, June 20.

New treaty with China, July 4.

A majority of the States adopted the Fourteenth Amendment to the Constitution, July 20.

Congress passed bill providing for the payment of the national debt, July 25.

Gen. Grant abolished by proclamation the military districts as authorized by the Reconstruction Act, July 28.

President Johnson acquitted on impeachment proceedings.

First Westinghouse air-brake used on the Pittsburg, Cincinnati and St. Louis.

Improved typewriting machine patented by C. Latham Sholes.

First Siemens-Martin open-hearth furnace built at the New Jersey Steel and Iron Company's works at Trenton.

#### 1869.

Great Niagara Suspension Bridge opened, Jan. 1.

Improvements to East River channel began at Hell Gate, Jan. 11.

Ulysses S. Grant inaugurated, March 4.

First transcontinental railroad completed by the junction of the Union and Central Pacific, May 15.

United States end of first Franco-American cable landed at Duxbury, Mass., July 23.

Ground broken in the construction of the New York Post-Office by Col. Joseph Dodd, Aug. 9.

Black Friday in Wall Street, Sept. 24.

Treaty negotiated for the annexation of San Domingo, but rejected by Senate, Nov. 29.

Cable screw-wire machine for boot and shoe manufacture invented.

System of traveling theatrical companies introduced.

#### 1870.

Hiram R. Revels of Mississippi, the first colored man elected to the United States Senate, Feb. 25.

President proclaimed Fifteenth Amendment ratified by the States, March 30.

Attorney General Hoar and Secretary of the Interior Cox resigned, June 20.

Kansas Pacific Railroad opened to Denver, Aug. 15.

President proclaimed neutrality in Franco-Prussian troubles, Aug. 22.

General Robert E. Lee died, aged sixty-three, Oct. 12.

The Ninth Census gave the population of the country as 38,558,783.

Mississippi, Texas, and Virginia restored to the Union.

Terra-cotta first generally used for building purposes.

Soleil's polariscope introduced into this country.

Single or continuous process for making wall-paper introduced.

Bigelow attacher and heeling machine introduced in shoe factories.

Granger movement began in Illinois.

Rhode Island passed first of the drug laws.

Chicago-Omaha railroad pool.

Advertisements in magazines first largely published by Scribner's Monthly.

#### 1871.

Income-tax law repealed, Jan. 26.

To relieve the destitution in France caused by the Franco-Prussian War, A. T. Stewart, the New York merchant, sent a \$50,000 cargo of flour to Havre, Feb. 25.

Congress passed the bill for a centennial celebration in 1876, March 3.

The first Civil Service Commission was authorized, March 3.

Charles Sumner was removed from the chairmanship of the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, March 9.

United States and England agreed to submit Alabama claims to arbitration, May 8.

Ship canal across the Isthmus of Darien reported feasible by Commander Selfridge, United States Navy, July.

Anti-Tweed mass meeting in New York upon the discovery of his gigantic frauds, Sept. 24.

The great Chicago fire destroyed \$200,000,000 worth of property in that city, and 250 lives were lost, Oct. 8.

The Post-Office extended its money-order system, making it international, October.

R. Hoe & Company complete the perfecting press.

Texas Pacific Railroad incorporated.

#### 1872.

Yellowstone National Park created by Congress, Feb. 27.

Amnesty Bill passed by Congress completed the political reorganization of the country, and filled every seat in the national legislative body, May 22.

Geneva Tribunal met, and \$15,500,000 awarded the United States on the Alabama claims, June 15.

Import duties on tea and coffee abolished, July 1.

Great fire in Boston; damage \$75,000,000, Nov. 9.

The Bonanza mines on the Comstock Lode discovered.

First iron oil-tank cars used.

Water-gas process patented by Lowe.

Cable grip patented by Andrew S. Halliday.

Hoffman Brothers made first practical application of the band saw.

National Stove Manufacturers' Association organized.

Carriage Builders' National Association organized.

#### 1873.

Political riots in New Orleans, March 1.

The annual salary of the President of the United States fixed at \$50,000, March 4.

Chicago celebrated the rebuilding in nineteen months of the entire section laid waste by the great fire, June.

Congress abolished the franking privilege, July 1.

Jay Cooke & Co., the New York bankers, failed, and a financial panic ensued, Sept. 18.

Acquittal of Mayor A. Oakey Hall of New York on charges of corruption, Dec. 24.

Westinghouse automatic air-brake introduced.

First Lowe apparatus for water-gas erected at Philadelphia.

Apparatus for hot soda water patented.

First East and West trunk line agreement made at the Saratoga Conference.

#### 1874.

Mill River dam in Massachusetts burst, destroying four villages and causing the loss of over 200 lives, May 16.

The great steel bridge across the Mississippi at St. Louis completed by James B. Eads, July 4.

Fire did \$4,000,000 damage at Chicago, July 14.

Shore end of a new Atlantic cable landed at Rye Beach, N. Y., July 15.

The Lincoln monument at Springfield, Ill., dedicated, and the remains of the martyred President placed in the crypt prepared, Oct. 15.

Bradford oil field discovered, Dec. 6.

King David Kalakaua of the Hawaiian Islands arrived in Washington on a visit to the United States, Dec. 12.

James Lick, of San Francisco, deeded millions to a board of trustees to be used in benevolent undertakings.

Massachusetts passed a ten-hour law.

First trunk pipe-line from oil regions to Pittsburgh.

Barbed-wire manufacture began at De Kalb, Ill.

First fast mail on the New York Central Railroad.

#### 1875.

Bloody political riots in New Orleans, Jan. 4.

Senator Sherman's bill for the resumption of specie payment passed to take effect Jan. 1, 1879, Jan. 14.

Hoosac Tunnel completed, Feb. 9.

Oshkosh burned, Apr. 28.

Bank of California in San Francisco suspended, Aug. 26.

Vice-president Henry Wilson died and was succeeded by Thomas N. Ferry, President *pro tem.* of the Senate, Nov. 22.

William M. Tweed escaped from his Ludlow Street jailers, Dec. 4.

Secretary Benjamin H. Bristow exposed the whisky frauds.

First use of natural gas as a fuel in glass-making by Rochester Tumbler Works.

The Palace Hotel opened in San Francisco.

First typewriting machine offered for sale.

#### 1876.

Great forgeries by E. D. Winslow, of Boston, discovered, Jan. 24.

Gen. O. E. Babcock, private secretary to the President, acquitted of complicity in the whisky frauds, Feb. 7.

Secretary of War Belknap resigned, under charges, March 2; was impeached and arrested, March 8, and acquitted, Aug. 1.

Bell secured his first patent for the telephone, March 7.

A. T. Stewart died, aged seventy-three, Apr. 10.

Dom Pedro, Emperor of Brazil, arrived in New York on a visit to the United States, Apr. 15.

President Grant opened the Centennial World's Fair in Philadelphia, May 10.

Peter Cooper was nominated for the presidency by the National Greenback party, May 18.

James Bailey, the first of the A. T. Stewart cousins, commenced a contest over the will, June.

Secretary of the Treasury Bristow resigned, June 17.

The Custer Massacre, June 25.

Colorado admitted to the Union, Aug. 1.

William M. Tweed re-arrested at Vigo, Spain, and returned to New York, Sept. 6.

Hallett's Point Ledge removed by dynamite, Sept. 24.

The first cremation furnace completed at Washington, Pa., Oct. 1.

President declared South Carolina in a state of insurrection, and Federal troops were stationed at the polls, Oct. 17.

The famous Hayes-Tilden presidential election, Nov. 7.

The Brooklyn Theater fire, 300 lives lost, Dec. 5.

Exportation of dressed beef begun.

Power-loom for hard-drawn wire cloth invented by Wickwire, of Cortlandt, N. Y.

#### 1877.

Commodore Cornelius Vanderbilt died, aged eighty-two, leaving an estate of \$100,000,000, Jan. 4.

The Special Commission announced Hayes elected president by the Electoral College with 185 votes; Samuel J. Tilden, the Democratic candidate, received 184, March 2.

Rutherford B. Hayes inaugurated, March 5.

Alexander Graham Bell successfully tested the telephone between Boston and Salem, Mass., March 15.

United States troops withdrawn from New Orleans, Apr. 24.

The great Railroad Strike commenced in and about Pittsburgh, July 1.

Moons of Mars discovered by Asaph Hall, Aug. 11.

Canal at Keokuk on the Mississippi completed, Aug. 22.

Brigham Young died, aged seventy-six, Aug. 29.

Bell's improved telephone put into general use.

Goodyear welt machine brought out.

Col. A. A. Pope has the first bicycle built in this country.

#### 1878.

Gold quoted at 101 $\frac{1}{4}$  on Wall street, being lower than it had been since 1862, Jan. 23.

Bland Silver Bill passed over President's veto, February.

William M. Tweed died in Ludlow Street Jail, Apr. 12.

The first train ran on the Gilbert Elevated Road on Sixth Avenue, Apr. 29.

Chin Lan Pin, the first regularly accredited resident ambassador from the Chinese Empire arrived in San Francisco, July 25.

The first train on the New York Elevated Road on the East side, Aug. 15.

The repeal of the National Bankruptcy Act became effective, Sept. 1.

Subdivision of the electric current accomplished by Edison, and incandescent lights introduced, October.

The Manhattan Savings Institution in New York burglarized to the extent of nearly \$3,000,000, Oct. 27.

A. T. Stewart's body stolen, Nov. 8.

Yellow fever epidemic in the South. Memphis almost depopulated.

Wall Street quoted gold at par, Dec. 17.

Knickerbocker Ice Company inaugurated long-distance shipments of ice by rail.

Blake transmitter for telephones brought out.

#### 1879.

The Government resumed specie payments, Jan. 1.

A National Board of Health established, March 3.

The United States Geological Survey created, March 3.

Beef-canning on a large scale introduced by the packing houses.

#### 1880.

Ferdinand de Lesseps entertained by the American Society of Civil Engineers at New York, Feb. 26.

The Metropolitan Museum of Art opened in New York, March 30.

The First National Meet of American bicyclists was held at Newport, R. I., May 31.

The Egyptian obelisk arrived in New York, July 19.

Dr. Henry S. Tanner of Minneapolis ended a forty days' fast, Aug. 7.

The Tenth Census gave the population of the country as 50,155,783.

Germany prohibited the importation of American pork.

Knickerbocker Ice Co. imported first Norwegian ice.



Edison built the first electric road at Menlo Park.  
California State Board of Viticulture created.  
Dongola kid put on the market.

**1881.**

Representatives from nineteen governments met at an International Sanitary Conference in Washington, Jan. 5.  
James A. Garfield inaugurated, March 4.  
Star Route frauds discovered, March.  
The *Jeannette* Arctic Expedition lost in the ice, June 11.  
President Garfield assassinated by Charles J. Guiteau, July 2.  
President Garfield died, Sept. 19.  
Chester A. Arthur succeeded to the presidency, Sept. 20.  
Cases against Star Route principals dismissed, Nov. 10.  
France prohibited the importation of American pork.  
Monroe doctrine emphasized by Secretary Blaine.

**1882.**

Congress increased the number of representatives in the House to 325, by a new apportionment based on the census of 1880, February.  
Fire did \$2,250,000 damage at Haverhill, Mass, Feb. 17.  
James G. Blaine's famous eulogy on Garfield delivered in the House of Representatives, Feb. 27.  
Congress passed the first Chinese Restriction bill, May 6.  
Guiteau hanged, June 30.  
Bill passed to extend the charters of the national banks, July 12.  
National Wholesale Druggists' Association organized.  
Mississippi floods rendered 85,000 people destitute.

**1883.**

The National Civil Service created, Jan. 16.  
Revised Tariff adopted, March 3.  
Taxes on capital and deposits of the national banks abolished, March 30.  
Peter Cooper died, aged ninety-two, Apr. 4.  
S. G. W. Benjamin appointed first minister resident to Persia, May.  
Treaty concluded with Corea, May 15.  
The Brooklyn Bridge opened, May 24.  
Gen. Brady and ex-Senator Kellogg, of Louisiana, finally acquitted on charges connected with the Star Route frauds, June 14.  
Last spike driven in the Northern Pacific Railroad, Sept. 8.  
Letter postage reduced to two cents, Oct. 1.  
Centenary of British evacuation of New York celebrated.  
First canneries for Alaska salmon established.  
Machine for stuffing horse-collars patented by William Foglesong, of Dayton, O.

**1884.**

Commercial Convention with Spain signed, Feb. 13.  
Treaty with Mexico ratified, March 1.  
Mob riots in Cincinnati, March 28-30.  
Marine Bank and Grant and Ward failures, May.  
Corner stone of pedestal for Statue of Liberty laid, Aug. 5.  
Treaty of Reciprocity with San Domingo signed, Dec. 4.  
The New Orleans Exposition opened, Dec. 16.  
National Confectioners' Association of the United States organized.  
Telephone wires first put under ground.

**1885.**

Washington Monument dedicated, Feb. 22.  
Grover Cleveland inaugurated, March 4.

President James D. Fish of the Marine Bank sentenced to ten years at Sing Sing, June 27.  
Gen. Grant died, aged 63, July 23.  
Anti-Chinese riots in the West, Sept. 2.  
Flood Rock in the East River blown up by dynamite, Oct. 10.  
Ferdinand Ward sentenced to ten years at Sing Sing, Nov. 1.  
Fire did \$2,500,000 damage at Galveston, Texas, Nov. 13.  
Vice-president Thomas A. Hendricks died at Indianapolis, aged sixty-six, Nov. 25.  
Ohio oil field discovered at Lima.  
Long-distance telephone introduced to use.

**1886.**

Senator Hoar's Presidential Succession Bill passed, Jan. 19.  
Commission appointed to investigate Jacob Sharp and the New York "Boodle Aldermen," Jan. 26.  
General strike on the New York street-railroads, March 4.  
Boycott by Knights of Labor begun on the Gould railroad system in the West, March 6.  
Anarchist riots and bomb throwing in Chicago, May.  
The great Charleston earthquake, Aug. 31.  
The Statue of Liberty dedicated, Oct. 28.  
Steamship *Oregon* was sunk off the Long Island coast.  
Wire nails first manufactured.  
First oil-tank steamers built.  
Experiments made with electrical locomotives by Frank J. Sprague on the elevated road in New York.

**1887.**

Senator Edmund's Retaliatory Bill in the Canadian Fisheries dispute passed, Jan. 19.  
The courts twice declared boycotting illegal, February.  
The Trade Dollar Bill passed, Feb. 19.  
Strike of the Massachusetts shoe factory operatives, February.  
Inter-State Commerce Commission created, April 3.  
Building trades' strike in Chicago, and stove molders' strike in St. Louis, April.  
Lehigh Valley coal miners went out, Aug. 30.  
First vestibule Pullman train in service.  
Experiment stations established by the government.  
Beet sugar first successfully produced at Alvarado, California.

**1888.**

Bell telephone patents confirmed by the United States Supreme Court, March.  
Fisheries treaty negotiated with England but rejected by the Senate, August.  
The first electric street-railway was built by Frank J. Sprague at Richmond.

**1889.**

Strike on New York street railroads, Jan. 28.  
Department of Agriculture created, with Norman J. Coleman secretary, Feb. 11.  
Benjamin Harrison inaugurated, Mar. 4.  
U. S. men-of-war *Vandalia*, *Nipsic*, and *Trenton* wrecked at Apia, Samoa, Mar. 16.  
Centennial of President Washington's inauguration celebrated at New York, Apr. 29.  
Johnstown, Pa., inundated by bursting of a reservoir, May 31, 3000 lives lost.  
Seattle, Wash., swept by a fire which destroyed \$5,000,000 worth of property, June 6.  
New York naval militia created, June 14.  
North Dakota admitted to the Union, Nov. 1.  
South Dakota admitted to the Union, Nov. 2.

Montana admitted to the Union, Nov. 8.  
 Washington admitted to the Union, Nov. 11.  
 Fire did \$4,000,000 damage at Lynn, Mass., Nov. 26.  
 Jefferson Davis died at New Orleans, Dec. 6.  
 Tanks for the making of window glass introduced by J. Chambers at Jeannette, Pa.

## 1890.

The United States recognized the Republic of Brazil, Jan. 29.  
 The Lenox Hill and Sixth National Bank, of New York, suspended, Jan. 30.  
 The Centennial of the United States Supreme Court celebrated, Feb. 4.  
 President Harrison signed the World's Fair Bill, Apr. 25.  
 Idaho admitted to the Union, July 3.  
 Wyoming admitted to the Union, July 11.  
 William Kemmler, the first murderer killed by electricity, was executed at Auburn Prison, N. Y., Aug. 6.  
 Great strike on the New York Central Railroad, Aug. 8.  
 President Harrison signed the McKinley Tariff Bill, Oct. 1.  
 Several heavy failures occurred in Wall Street, Nov. 10.  
 The Eleventh Census gave the population of the country as 62,662,250.  
 National Wholesale Saddlery Association of the United States organized.

## 1891.

Proclamation of Reciprocity Agreement with Brazil, Feb. 5.  
 International Copyright bill passed, March 4.  
 Italy recalled Baron Fava owing to troubles over the New Orleans race riots, March 31.  
 The centennial of the patent system was celebrated in Washington by a Congress of Inventors, Apr. 8.  
 Treaty of Reciprocity with Spain, Apr. 20.  
 The first railroad passenger train ran to the summit of Pike's Peak, June 30.  
 Commencement of rain-making experiments in Texas, Aug. 10.  
 First armor-plate supplied to the government by the Bethlehem Iron Company and Carnegie, Phipps & Company.

## 1892.

Chilian outrages on American seamen, Jan. 18.  
 Constitutionality of the McKinley Tariff affirmed by the United States Supreme Court, Feb. 29.  
 The Standard Oil Trust dissolved by consent of the shareholders, March 21.  
 \$3,000,000 cotton fire in New Orleans, Apr. 3.  
 Platinum discovered in South Dakota, Apr. 30.  
 Homestead Steel Works closed, June 30.  
 Attempted landing of a Pinkerton force precipitated the bloody Homestead riots, July 6.  
 Work resumed at Homestead, Aug. 3.  
 Railroad strike at Buffalo called out the militia, Aug. 13.  
 The Atlantic liner *Moravia* arrived in New York with cholera on board, Aug. 31.  
 Fire did \$7,000,000 damage at Milwaukee, Wis., Oct. 28.  
 Discoveries of gold in Colorado, Dec. 21.  
 Long-distance telephone line between New York and Chicago formally opened.  
 A Vaucain compound-locomotive attained a speed of 97 miles an hour, being one mile in 37 seconds.

## 1893.

News received of the Hawaiian revolution, Jan. 28.  
 Annexation of Hawaii recommended by President Harrison, Feb. 15.

The President raised the Stars and Stripes on the *New York* of the new American line, Feb. 22.  
 Grover Cleveland inaugurated, March 4.  
 President Cleveland withdrew the Hawaiian treaty from the Senate, March 9.  
 Fire did \$4,500,000 damage in Boston, March 10.  
 The World's Fair opened at Chicago by President Cleveland, May 1.  
 Locomotive No. 999, of the New York Central, covered one mile in 32 seconds, May 10.  
 Chinese Exclusion Act confirmed, May 14.  
 Wide-spread distrust breaks out in a terrible financial panic, June 20.  
 \$8,000,000 Clearing House Certificates issued to give relief, June 30.  
 Congress met in special session, Aug. 7.  
 The panic had passed, but confidence was not restored, September.  
 Mayor Carter H. Harrison, of Chicago, assassinated, Oct. 28.  
 World's Fair closed, Oct. 30.  
 The Silver Repeal Bill passed, Nov. 1.  
 The last outstanding Clearing House Loan Certificate retired, Nov. 1.

## 1894.

World's Fair Buildings burned with a loss of \$2,000,000, Jan. 8.  
 Decision of Court of Appeals allowed foreign corporations to buy and sell New York real estate, Jan. 16.  
 \$50,000,000 of 5 per cent. bonds issued, February; second issue of \$50,000,000, November.  
 Coxe's Commonwealth Army arrived in Washington, Apr. 29.  
 Boycott on the Pullman Works began the great Chicago railroad strike, June 25.  
 The Hawaiian Republic proclaimed, July 4.  
 Chicago railroad strike ended, July 13.  
 Fire did \$3,000,000 damage in Chicago, Aug. 1.  
 The United States recognized the Hawaiian Republic, Aug. 9.  
 The Wilson Tariff Bill passed, Aug. 27.  
 Launch and christening by Mrs. Grover Cleveland of steamship *St. Louis*, largest vessel built in America, November 12.

## 1895.

The Bond Syndicate took an issue of \$62,317,500 of government "coin" bonds, February.  
 The Empire State Express on the New York Central covered a distance of 436½ miles in 407¼ minutes, Sept. 11.  
 The New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad equipped its Nantasket Beach branch to operate by electricity.  
 Steamship *St. Paul*, the second great American liner, launched.  
 The Baldwin Locomotive Works consummated a working agreement with the Westinghouse Electric and Manufacturing Company for the production of electric equipment for railway service.  
 Great activity in the iron and steel industries.  
 Message by President Cleveland to Congress on Venezuela, emphasizing the Monroe Doctrine.  
 "Commercial Day," December 19, observed in New York, and by commercial organizations generally throughout the country. The American Commerce Banquet at Delmonico's, New York.  
 The New York "Shipping and Commercial List and New York Price Current" attains its hundredth year.



## CHAPTER I

# AMERICAN BANKING

**B**ANKS and banking, taken of themselves, constitute a chapter of first importance in American records. To the national life the banking system is as the arterial system to the animal life. Through it circulates the vitalizing current which sustains the brain of business and statecraft, and strengthens the arm of labor. It facilitates all commercial transactions, and utilizes all the resources of trade, gathering together the surplus capital of the country, each depositor affording comparatively little, but collectively producing a sum immense in quantity, which can be loaned in portions to those who may need it. No part of the uninvested capital then remains unused; what is not required by one can be used by another.

In this country the existence of banks dates from the time of the Revolutionary War. Since then the methods pursued to attain the ends proper to the banking function have been frequently and often radically changed. They have always been, however, more or less sound, considered with regard to their adaptation to the times they served and the needs they had to supply. In the history of their variations, therefore, we must see the effect of changed conditions, rather than assume the downfall of early error. One century ago the fiscal affairs of America rested in the hands of a great national bank, the Bank of the United States. The institution was modeled almost exactly upon the plan of the Bank of England, then, as now, one of the greatest financial factors in the world. For forty years, with a brief lapse of between four and five years, just before and during the War of 1812, this institution continued to be the dominant power in the financial affairs of America. Its passing away was marked by one of the bitterest political fights known to history, waged by that doughty old partisan, Andrew Jackson, and his successor, Martin Van Buren. The next quarter of a century saw the so-called State-bank system in full

control. Many of these State banks were, undoubtedly, as sound and solvent as any of the great institutions to-day. Others, it is equally true to say, were not. The condition of affairs which resulted from their operation, as a whole, however, can scarcely be said to have been of the best. With no uniform basis for their government, the prosperity of the time had constantly to struggle under the disadvantage of a demoralized currency, discounted in direct proportion to the number of miles it traveled from home.

The Civil War, with its terrible demands upon the country, found this system unable to respond as fully as was needed, and a new system, the one under which we have remained until to-day, was devised. It avoids the centralization of power in any one great chartered institution, and distributes it at large among the banks of the country. It places the pledge of our government behind every bank-note issued in the United States. Around this national system has grown up the financial world of to-day. Among these facilities are banks of discount and deposit, which furnish their conveniences to the mercantile world; great private houses, with branches reaching to every other country, and furnishing a medium of foreign exchange which renders possible the extended commercial enterprises which now characterize America; and savings institutions, trust companies, and financial engines without number, all furnishing the power to drive the great business machines of to-day.

The beginning of American banking is so indissolubly linked with the name and fame of Alexander Hamilton, first Secretary of the Treasury of the United States, that many have forgotten the fact that Robert Morris, the Philadelphia merchant, was the first great American banker. He it was who, in company with George Clymer and a few other gentlemen, taking as their sole security bills drawn in desperation by the Continental Congress on John Jay, then in Spain negotiating a loan, established on their own personal

credit in 1780 the Pennsylvania Bank, in Carpenters' Hall, Philadelphia. This was the first bank established in the United States. Its only object was to aid, with all its resources, the government in transporting and maintaining the army, then in the most desperate need. This patriotic end it accomplished, and to its aid, given at a most critical time in the national history, it is scarcely possible to ascribe too great an importance.

Robert Morris having been appointed Superintendent of Finance, the Bank of Pennsylvania went out of existence in the following year, and Congress, acting by Mr. Morris's advice, granted in December to him and his associates a charter for the Bank of North America, and in January, 1781, the new bank began business in Philadelphia. Thomas Willing was its first president, and there were twelve directors. While this bank was, like its predecessor, designed to give aid to the government, then in those desperate financial straits which marked the closing years of the war, it was also intended to furnish its facilities to individuals and to carry on a general banking business. Its capital was \$400,000, and it was conducted on a specie basis, its notes being declared legal tender. It also secured a charter from the State of Pennsylvania, and as it was the only bank in the country at that time, it soon began to roll up large profits. The years 1783 and 1784 saw this prosperous institution declaring dividends of 14 per cent. Such success immediately produced emulators, and a corporation was formed to start a rival bank. Before its charter had been secured, however, its leading projectors were pacified by being allowed to obtain large blocks of a new issue of \$500,000 worth of stock. This preserved its field undivided, and its prosperity continued. In 1787 it was rechartered by the Pennsylvania legislature as a State bank, and with renewals from time to time, has since continued.

New York, having seen the success of the Bank of Pennsylvania, and her merchants, appreciating the facilities afforded by such an institution, began agitating the question of the establishment of a bank in their city. A number of prominent men assembled, and a plan was proposed which was at once called by its opponents the "land" bank. It provided for paying in but a small proportion of the capital in specie, the balance to be secured by land accepted at two thirds of its appraised value, and against which notes, payable in specie, could be issued for one third of its value. Of this plan Chancellor Livingston was the great supporter, and his influence had nearly carried it through the legislature when it applied to be chartered. Its adversaries, prominent among whom

was Alexander Hamilton, managed to defeat its passage, however, and it was never revived. Much more serious was the experience of a modified form of "land" bank which convulsed the colony of Massachusetts a number of years before, and was finally established after the deposition of an opposing governor. In a short time, however, the British government dissolved it, and placed some severe restrictions upon banks in that particular colony.

The demand for a bank continued to be made by the New York merchants, and on February 23, 1784, a call was issued for a meeting which was held at the Merchants' Coffee House and General Alexander MacDougal occupied the chair. It was then decided to start a bank with a capital of \$500,000, either gold or silver, divided into 1000 shares. On March 15th, 500 shares having been taken, the stockholders organized by the election of General MacDougal as president, and Samuel Franklin, Robert Bowne, Comfort Sands, Alexander Hamilton, Joshua Waddington, Thomas Randall, William Maxwell, Nicholas Low, Daniel McCormick, Isaac Roosevelt, John Vanderbilt, and Thomas B. Stoughton, as directors. William Seton was elected cashier, and so unused were New York business men of that day to banks and banking methods that Cashier Seton was immediately sent to Philadelphia, with letters of introduction to the Bank of North America, to learn how such affairs were properly conducted. The stockholders, in the interim, urged on by the hopes of large profits, hastened all their arrangements, and as a charter had not been secured from the legislature, the bank started without one, opening its doors June 9, 1784.

This bank, known as the Bank of New York, had for its original location the old mansion of William Walton, at No. 67 St. George's (now Franklin) Square. Three stories high, and built of the old yellow Holland brick with hewn stone lintels, this ancient house, erected in 1752, remained standing until 1881.

But even at this early day, it appears, there were many people who believed that banks were antagonistic to the interests of the community, and in 1785 and 1786, currency becoming scarce, a cry went up that these institutions were hoarding specie, and in some States, notably New York, where the feeling was greatest, issues of paper money were put out by the legislatures. Financial affairs were in this condition, general confidence being shaken, when, the Constitution having been adopted and General George Washington elected to the presidency, Alexander Hamilton, the first Secretary of the Treasury, came

forward with his famous financial policy. The nation assumed and bonded the debt incurred by the Continental Congress and the various colonies in carrying on the war, and, going further, established in 1791 the Bank of the United States. This bank, which was chartered by Congress for twenty years, was established to act as the fiscal agent of the government and to be the depository for the public moneys. It was also authorized to issue its notes, payable in specie, and was made in every way possible the agent of the United States Treasury and the great power in the financial affairs of the country. Its capital was placed at \$10,000,000, divided into 25,000 shares of \$400 each, payable one fourth in specie and three fourths in 6 per cent. stocks of the United States. It was allowed to hold property of all kinds up to the value of \$15,000,000, inclusive of its capital stock, and further to establish branch banks in the various cities. In accordance with this last provision it at once opened in New York a branch known as an office of discount and deposit. The prosperity of the Bank of the United States began at once, and during its whole career it averaged annual dividends of 8 and 10 per cent.

The influence of Hamilton's policy was immediately felt, and prosperity speedily returned. The spirit of speculation was let loose in the land and a stringency resulted in the currency that seemed likely to have serious consequences, and was only averted by Alexander Hamilton and the United States Treasury coming three times to the relief of the straitened business community. After this little set-back, which was of short duration, business continued steadily to improve. In New York, where political influence had prevented the granting of charters for new banks, a corporation known as the Manhattan Company, and headed by Aaron Burr, succeeded in 1799 in getting a charter, ostensibly to provide New York with pure water. The capital of the company was placed at \$2,000,000, and, unnoticed by the politicians in power, the charter contained a clause which, after reciting that the capital was to be devoted to establishing a water-supply, declared that the surplus should be "employed in the purchase of public or other stocks or any other moneyed transactions or operations not inconsistent with the laws and constitution of the State of New York." It is needless to say that with such a clause in its charter \$500,000 was quickly found, and the money, after fulfilling the object for which the charter was granted, was devoted to the establishment of a new bank. In 1803 no less than forty banks were open and doing business throughout the country.

The expiration in 1811 of the charter of the Bank of the United States, which had failed of renewal, followed by the war declared in 1812 against England, placed the country in a most unsatisfactory position. Having little or no credit, it found itself forced to fall back in great measure on the banks. These were all institutions under State charters, no less than 123 new ones having been created in the four years following the closing of the United States Bank. These had an aggregate capital of \$40,000,000 and emitted notes to the face value of \$200,000,000, a large portion of which, in the Middle States especially, were issued as loans to the government.

As might, perhaps, have been expected in view of the prostration of the public credit, the strain upon the banks speedily became too great, and September 1, 1814, specie payment was suspended. It was during this period that the private banker first assumed the importance in the commercial world that he has to-day. Stephen Girard, the great Philadelphia merchant, purchased in 1811 the building and stock of the late Bank of the United States, and then began carrying on a banking business himself, with a capital of \$1,200,000, which he shortly increased to \$4,000,000. While private bankers had, of course, existed, there had been none in America on such a grand scale, and it marks the beginning of the era of great houses whose names are associated with money the world over. Girard's patriotism was, too, quite equal to his sagacity, and in the closing years of the war, after the Treasury had vainly tried to float a loan of \$5,000,000, but had only been able to secure a total subscription of \$20,000,000, Girard took the whole amount. The assistance thus furnished undoubtedly had its effect in bringing about the successful peace. This was accomplished in December, 1814, and one of the acts of Congress soon after was to grant a new charter for twenty years to the Bank of the United States. This institution accordingly resumed business in January, 1817, and speedily became one of the greatest financial institutions in the world. Its capital was fixed at \$35,000,000, divided into 350,000 shares. Of this, \$7,000,000 was held by the United States. Of the remainder a great amount, as much as 84,000 shares at one time, was held in foreign countries, and the stock was quoted at 50 per cent. above par. This bank issued notes, none being less than five dollars, payable in specie on demand, and did a general banking business, discounting notes and making advances on bullion at the rate of 6 per cent.

Its government was entrusted to twenty-five directors, five of whom, being holders of stock, were appointed by the President of the United States. From these directors was chosen a board of seven which, headed by the president, had active control of all its operations. It rapidly established branch offices in all the cities of any importance, and in 1830 there were twenty-seven of these branch banks in existence and doing a thriving business.

One of the first effects of the rechartering of the Bank of the United States was to force the large number of State banks either to resume specie payments or to wind up their affairs. Many were forced to the latter alternative, and of the 446 State banks then existing, there were 165, including those ruined by the war, which went out of business. From the aggregate State banking capital of \$90,000,000, in the whole country, these suspensions withdrew \$30,000,000. Of this amount, \$5,000,000 was an actual loss and was distributed between the government and individual holders. For some time after this the State banks can scarcely be said to have increased, although they continued in existence and legislative provision for them and their government was made in many of the States.

In New York a general banking law, known as the Safety Fund Act, was passed in April, 1829. Under it banks were allowed to issue circulating notes up to twice the amount of their capital, and their loans were limited to two and a half times their capital. A guarantee fund was created by the annual payment of one half of one per cent. on the capital stock to the State Treasurer. This payment was only to continue until three per cent. had been paid, and the fund thus created was to go to making good the payment of the circulation and other debts of any such banks as might become insolvent. Other States had different regulations, not all of them as wise as New York, perhaps, but each one establishing certain precautions.

Coincident almost with the rechartering of the United States Bank was the introduction of banks for savings. These institutions are a branch of banking that, while deserving an extended mention, must fall, under the lines of this article, within a brief space. Benevolent in conception and designed to afford the poor an opportunity to save in small amounts, their plan is simply one of deposit, on which the bank, as borrower, pays to the depositors a fair rate of interest, and with the advantage of a large capital, the aggregate of many small deposits, makes advantageous investments unattainable to small capitals such as the individual depositor could

control. They differ from regular banks because of their philanthropic purposes, in being exempt from taxation, and in not loaning or investing their funds on personal security.

The first American savings bank was opened in Philadelphia in 1816 and was called the Philadelphia Savings Fund Society. The same year one was established in Boston, New York following in 1819, and in 1820 there were ten in the country, having 8635 depositors and \$1,138,570 in deposits. They have increased with the country, and in 1890 there were 921 with 4,258,893 depositors, and having placed to their credit the enormous sum of \$1,524,844,500.

For many years the Bank of the United States continued to grow more and more powerful. Its resources increased, its business extended, and it became a factor in the industrial and commercial life of the nation, such as had not been dreamed possible. On the first of November, 1832, it was according to its own showing one of the richest institutions in the world. Its total liabilities, including the notes it had in circulation, its deposits, and the debts owing to holders of public funds, were \$37,296,950.20; while its assets, including specie, cash in Europe, and debts from industrial and banking companies, were \$79,593,870.97. This left the enormous surplus of \$42,296,920.77. It seemed as stable as any institution of its kind in the world, not excepting the famous Bank of England, and it afforded a currency for general circulation that was freely accepted everywhere. But the great power of the Bank of the United States had made it enemies, and a demand arose, upon General Jackson's election to the presidency, that it should not be rechartered. The officers were chiefly of the party opposed to him. Immediately upon entering office the President announced that he would refuse to sign any bill extending the life of the Bank of the United States. He declared that it was dangerous to the liberties of the United States, and that it was unconstitutional. Shortly after this, the public funds were withdrawn from the bank. So great had been the prosperity of the country during the twenty years this bank had operated, however, that the war debt of the nation had been completely paid and a surplus of \$40,000,000 remained. This surplus, upon its withdrawal from the Bank of the United States, Congress voted to distribute among the States. The blow dealt to the great bank by this withdrawal was a terrible one, and with the loss of its charter impending and the unrelenting enmity of the Administration, it was thought it must close. Nicholas



LEVI P. MORTON.





Biddle, its president, determined not to give up, however, and on February 18, 1836, he stole a march on President Jackson by having it incorporated by the State legislature as the Pennsylvania Bank of the United States. In this form, as a State bank, it continued to exist, but it never assumed the importance it had had before. It finally closed in 1840.

All this, however, took years to work itself out, and in the meantime much was happening in the financial world. The demise of the Bank of the United States as a national institution left the field to the banks chartered by the States. These at once made the most of their opportunity; and helped, as they were, by receiving on deposit large sums of the distributed public moneys, they increased rapidly, and 1837 saw 634 of them in the country, having an aggregate capital of \$291,000,000. With the great prosperity which, in the shape of State bank-notes, came over the country with these financial changes, arose also a spirit of the wildest speculation. Public lands were the chosen field of the operators, and the dealing ran into millions. It was all based, though, on the current notes, many of these being issued by "wildcat" banks, and worthless. Trouble seemed certain, and President Jackson, in trying to establish our finances on a sound basis, issued his famous Specie Circular, ordering all agents to accept nothing but specie in payment for the public lands. This precipitated the crash. The banks were called upon at once to redeem all their circulation in specie, and after vainly attempting to do so, they suspended payment on May 9, 1837. Six months later, no relief having come, a meeting of 136 delegates from banks all over the country was held in New York to consider whether means could be devised for resumption, but no relief at that time was found possible.

It was during this unlucky year that, at President Van Buren's suggestion, the sub-treasury plan as it now exists was brought forward as a measure to prevent the loss of the public moneys by the failure of banks. It was defeated at this time, but three years later passed, only to be repealed in the succeeding year. Five years afterward, however, it was finally reënacted.

In May, 1838, the New York banks resumed payment. They were followed in August by the Philadelphia and Southern banks, but these only held out for a little over a year, and on September 9, 1839, suspended again. Despite all the trouble in which the banks were involved, they increased almost as rapidly as before. In 1840 their number had swelled to 901, with a total capital of \$358,000,000. The system of State banks, nevertheless, had grown un-

popular, and the suspensions of 1837 and 1839 and the continuing uncertainty and lack of confidence caused a strong demand for a return to the old national banking system. At this time the presidential campaign in which General Harrison was elected came on. One of the great issues on which this campaign was fought and won was that a new national bank should be established at once, and immediately upon his inauguration General Harrison called a special session of Congress to consider the matter. But he was destined never to carry out the wishes of his party, for he died before Congress had convened, and his successor, President Tyler, twice vetoed the measure when it was passed and presented to him,—as a bill to establish a "Financial Agent of the Government" "to act for it in all fiscal matters, and to facilitate mercantile exchanges throughout the country." This action on the part of the President settled the question of banks acting under the authority of the United States for many years thereafter, and until 1864 all banks of issue and deposit were operated under charters obtained in their various States. The effects of the lack of uniformity in the system were soon visible, not only in the stringency from 1840 to 1843, and the later suspension of 1857, but in the generally demoralized currency, which, with the exception of specie, had its standard of par only in its own neighborhood, and could be passed at any considerable distance only at a great discount. The farther away it went from the bank of issue the less it was worth. The State banks continued to put forth as many notes as they could pass. Many of these banks were perfectly solvent institutions, and were wisely conducted upon a sound basis; but truth compels the statement that many others were not, while at the root of the whole system was the lack of an essential uniformity. Bank failures were very common. It is worthy of mention here that throughout all the vexations and inconveniences caused by the State banks in their day, New England was little affected. What was known as the Suffolk Bank System was there in use; by this the Suffolk Bank of Boston redeemed and collected for all New England banks, each of which had a stipulated deposit, the whole aggregating \$300,000, with the Suffolk Bank for this purpose.

The stringency of 1840-43 having been safely tided over by the banks, better times appeared, and a still further impetus was given to our national prosperity in 1849 by the discovery of gold in California, developing great activity both industrially and commercially. In the next four or five years the one event which stands out conspicuously in American banking was the establishment on October 11, 1853,

of the New York Clearing House Association. This association, of the utmost importance in expediting and giving security to the great banking interests of the country, began with a membership of fifty-two banks. Its system, so simple and yet so effective that it seems almost impossible its origination and establishment could have been so long delayed, is that by which each bank, instead of presenting separately to the other banks for payment such of their checks as it holds and in its turn paying cash to all the other banks for such of its own checks as they hold, sends them all at a certain hour to the Clearing House. Here all the checks are assorted, a clerk being present from each bank having a membership; and the sum total of the checks each bank presents, compared with the sum total of the checks presented against it, gives a balance for which the Clearing House draws its check, and transactions that would have taken many clerks and messengers a whole day to complete, are finished in an hour or a little more. In addition to the convenience of this system, its beneficial effect in economizing currency is immense. When it is remembered that the great banking interests which center in New York have transactions daily involving exchanges of from \$100,000,000 to \$200,000,000, it will be readily understood what a vast loss such an amount of idle money would entail under the old system of separate clearance payments. The Clearing House, with its system of balances, is able to settle it all by the use of from  $3\frac{1}{2}$  to 4 per cent. of the total currency amount involved.

In addition to these advantages, the Clearing House is an assurance of protection for its members, and in its more extended operations of issuing loan certificates at critical times has been a bulwark of safety to the banking interests of the whole country. By its help, at the outbreak of the Civil War, the New York banks were enabled to come instantly to the assistance of the government with large sums, which they could scarcely have commanded otherwise; and later, in the panics of 1873 and 1893, the issuance of \$25,000,000 in loan certificates on the first occasion, and nearly \$50,000,000 on the second, again did much toward enabling the banks to withstand the terrible pressure of those times. Between these years the average daily exchanges of the Clearing House were \$105,964,277 and the average daily balances \$3,939,265. At present sixty-six banks are members of the Clearing House Association. Besides these, eighty-one other banks and trust companies which are not members are cleared here through the banks which belong to the association. A sixty-seventh member of the Clear-

ing House Association is the Assistant Treasurer of the United States, at the sub-treasury in New York. Almost 90 per cent. of the government expenditures being made in New York by check, the membership of the Assistant Treasurer greatly facilitates clearance.

The advantages of the clearing-house system were immediately recognized when the New York association started, and Boston, Philadelphia, Chicago, St. Louis, and other cities soon adopted it.

Returning to 1853, the banking interests of the country continued much in the same condition, but trouble was already brewing from over-speculation, and in 1857 the great financial and industrial depression, which was fortunately as short as it was sharp, struck the country. The great storm broke on August 24th of that year, when the Ohio Life and Trust Company suspended with liabilities of \$7,000,000. It was a terrible failure, and on September 25th and 26th the Philadelphia banks were forced to suspend; a general suspension in Virginia, Maryland, Rhode Island, and the District of Columbia soon following. The trouble increased in New York, and a run on the banks threatening serious consequences, the legislature on October 14th authorized a suspension of specie payments for one year. The banks accordingly closed, but on December 24th, after only two months, the city banks resumed. The Massachusetts banks also suspended, and the panic became general in New England, factories being shut down, banks closed, and troops held in readiness to suppress anticipated riots among the great crowds who were thrown out of work. Fortunately the trouble did not last long, but while it existed there were 5123 failures, with total liabilities of \$291,750,000.

The resumption of banks and renewal of business was general early in the succeeding year, and that the banks of the country suffered as little as any of the great interests affected is shown by the fact that in 1860, one year prior to the long suspension of specie payments caused by the war, there were in the country 1562 banks, with an aggregate capital of \$422,000,000 and a circulation of about \$207,000,000. They held in specie at the time \$83,594,537, and were credited with deposits of \$254,000,000.

During the next four years the part played by the banks was loyal and patriotic, but the history of that time with its government issues of "legal tenders" comes more properly within the domain of national finance. The national banking law, which regulates the banks to-day, was passed June 3, 1864. Its provisions are simple and eminently secure, and

in their operation have proved most satisfactory. They require a company of five persons or more and a fully paid-up capital. As a security for their notes of issue they are obliged to hold the government's pledge in the form of United States bonds, on which they are allowed circulation by the Comptroller of the Currency up to 90 per cent. of their par value. Shortly after this law was passed, Congress placed a prohibitive tax of 10 per cent. on the circulating notes of the State banks, so that for the first time since 1836 the currency of the country returned to the original basis of the national credit, where it has since remained.

The national banking law had no sooner passed than many of the old State banks began changing to the new system. While the war lasted the number of the national banks was about 500. Those that remained under the old State charters continued to do, as they are doing to-day, a general banking business of discount, loan, and deposit, but the circulation of their notes became impossible owing to the tax. When the national banks were first organized Congress had provided that the total circulation to be allotted them by the Comptroller of the Currency should not exceed \$300,000,000. So rapid was their increase, however, that four years later the full amount of these notes had been issued, and there were 1629 national banks with a paid-in capital of \$426,189,111. Of these banks Massachusetts had 207; New York, 299; Pennsylvania, 197; and Ohio, 133. Two years later, inconvenience being experienced because the limit of circulation had been reached, Congress authorized an extra issue of \$54,000,000, which was almost immediately taken up.

The following year (1873) saw the disastrous ordeal of panic and distress through which it was inevitable the nation should pass on its return from the inflation caused by the great war loans to the sound and normal basis of peaceful prosperity. It was passed without wreck, although commercial and financial interests suffered heavily. In 1875 Congress removed all restrictions upon the total amount of notes the national banks might issue. It also voted the resumption of specie payment, which had been suspended since 1861, and decreed that it should take place January 1, 1879. This resumption, it may be said, to the undying credit of the American nation, was accomplished without the slightest disturbance of business. Since then, the number of national banks in the country has increased steadily each year. With 2047 banks, having an aggregate capital of \$497,864,833 and a total surplus of \$134,-

123,649 in 1875, the next ten years showed, in 1885, the existence of 2665, with capital amounting to \$524,599,602 and a surplus of \$146,903,495, making an increase of 618 banks and a gain of \$26,734,769 capital and \$12,779,846 surplus. Still growing and prosperous, the country continued to call for the further extension of the banks with their facilities and assistance, and in 1892 their number had become 3701, having an aggregate capital of \$679,076,650 and a surplus of \$237,761,865. These banks in their average daily deposits took over \$300,000,000, which shows the enormous part they play in the business world. Of this, about 90 per cent. is in the form of the almost universal check.

In this year (1892) came upon the country the beginning of the depression of business and financial stringency that is now so happily showing signs of abatement. It came more gradually than such crises usually come and has been more persistent. Without actual panic the country verged perilously near to disaster. The money-broker, who had almost disappeared since the days of the war, reappeared and secured premium for currency of any sort. The banks had very little money of any kind, and for a time payments were almost wholly in certified checks. This showed that the trouble was not really organic, and vast sums of idle money, hoarded and withdrawn from circulation, further attested that the country was not impoverished. But confidence was lacking, and it operated as a check on enterprise which, reacting industrially as it always does, reached all classes and caused much suffering. It also gave rise to the great danger of a run being commenced on the savings-banks. In the West, indeed, this did happen; and many perfectly solvent institutions were forced to the wall, being unable to realize quickly enough on their securities to meet demands. In New York, when the trouble became threatening, and a rush of eager, excited depositors was to be expected at almost any moment, the savings-bank officials met, and taking advantage of the law, declined to pay any accounts without three months' notice. This saved the banks, but it was the nearest approach to suspension that had been known since 1873.

The causes of the trouble have been matter for much discussion and difference of opinion during the past two years; and a belief that its roots lay in certain fallacies of national finance has caused action by Congress, which has undoubtedly been beneficial in its effect. Still, it is questionable whether the true seat of the difficulty has been, or will be, reached by any of these measures or plans of alleviation. An

overreaching speculation, which had locked up resources that should have been available, coupled with great uncertainty and some apprehension, perhaps owing to political events and the commercial and industrial changes they might be expected to bring with them, had much to do with it. To-day, it is pleasant to believe we have passed beyond it.

In this brief résumé of a century of banking in America, the vastness of the present interests has been already foreshadowed. How enormous these interests are and of how general usefulness, words alone can convey no adequate idea. In figures only can expression be found for the financial magnitudes that make up the American banking interests of to-day. From the \$400,000 capital represented by Robert Morris's bank in Philadelphia a little over 100 years ago, the aggregate capital of the banks of the United States is now, according to the latest available statistics, the tremendous sum of \$1,069,826,555, while one person in every seven or eight in the whole country patronizes the banks as a depositor and thus gains the privilege of their conveniences and economy. Against the above aggregate of capital the banks hold aggregate resources amounting to \$7,342,397,052, and of the 12,000 banks in existence, exclusive of loan and trust companies, in the year ending July, 1894, only seventy-nine failures occurred. The solvency of the system is well evidenced in this, and safeguarded as the banks are by Federal and State legislation, with regular examinations by experts and sworn reports from officials, it is fair to say that no community enjoys greater security for its funds of deposit or exchange.

The very foundation of the American system for the past thirty years has been the national bank, which has opened its doors in nearly every town and hamlet of the country where the common business of life is transacted. It is a well-organized, carefully supervised, uniform system, which renders its benefits to the individual directly and indirectly, as well as in the revenue it affords the government. The latest statistics give the number of national banks in the country, October 31, 1894, as 3756, in which there were 287,842 shareholders. Their aggregate capital was \$672,671,365, and their total surplus and undivided profits \$334,121,082. Of these banks and their capital, Pennsylvania led with 406 within her borders, but her capitalization was but \$74,168,390, or less than that of New York with 334 banks and \$87,346,060 capital, or than Massachusetts with 267 banks and \$97,992,500. In the importance of its national banks Ohio ranks fourth, with 246 institutions having a capital of \$45,240,100.

The total resources of the national banks on October 2, 1894, were \$3,473,922,055, and on October 31st of the same year they had a total circulation of \$207,472,603 outstanding, as security for which there were United States bonds on deposit to the value of \$199,706,200, and \$28,071,239 lawful money reserved on deposit to redeem circulation. Their total loans and discounts were \$2,007,122,191. In individual deposits the national banks held on July 18, 1894, \$1,647,017,129, and the number of depositors was given as 1,929,340.

Under the latest statement of the condition of the national banks, based on Comptroller Eckels's call of July 11th last, the figures show the aggregate of resources and liabilities to have been \$3,410,002,591 each. The whole number of national banks was 3715.

As the national banks do not usually pay interest on current balances, the fact that they are utilized as banks of deposit to such a great extent shows the appreciation in which the facilities afforded by them for the transaction of business are held by the public at large. Since the national banking system started, upward of thirty years ago, the aid rendered through it to the business world in carrying on its undertakings has come to be fully recognized. The ruinous rates of exchange prevailing under the old State-bank system, prior to the war, are happily forgotten. A check or draft can be bought from a bank in New Orleans or San Francisco, drawn on its New York correspondent, which will cost but the smallest fraction of 1 per cent., or nothing at all, according to the time of year and the direction in which money is moving. For this same exchange in 1859 the average rate was from 1 to 1½ per cent., a tax upon the extension of business that could not be borne in the present era of close competition and narrow margins. Again, on the total issue of about \$200,000,000 of State bank-notes in circulation prior to 1860, a loss of from 1 per cent. to 10 per cent. was entailed upon the holders in any but the most restricted local transactions. The advantage of replacing this circulation of discount by a bank-note of uniform appearance, with value fixed by law and ordered receivable at par by every other bank in the system, was speedily apparent. Furthermore, behind this uniformity lies as security the quickest asset known, in the shape of the United States bond fully covering the circulation. Lawful money reserves further provide for the redemption of circulating notes by these banks, and a further reserve of deposit funds is ordered not alone to secure depositors, but to still further hedge

about the reserves from possible impairment. In all these ways, as well as by the reductions achieved in rates of interest on loans and discounts, through making available a largely increased capital, together with lessened charges for collection made possible by thorough organization, the people have directly felt the benefits of improved banking methods. The immense aggregate saving that is accomplished annually along these lines can be gathered from the fact that the clearing houses of the United States in the single year of 1894 had clearings amounting to over \$45,000,000,000. With such great sums as these, the smallest fractional charge possible becomes heavy in the aggregate of transactions.

Of the relation of the national banks to the government there is but little dispute, and practically but one opinion—that it is mutually beneficial. Until March 3, 1883, both capital and deposits of the national banks were taxed, and a further tax of 1 per cent. on their circulation has been continued from the first. From these three items of taxation, the first two discontinued since 1883, an aggregate amount of \$144,660,952 had been yielded up to July 18, 1894. In addition to this a conservative estimate allows two fifths per cent. of revenue to government on the national bank-note circulation, through failures to redeem, which forces the banks to make the full amount good before taking down their deposit of United States bonds against which the notes were issued.

As government depositories the national banks further perform without charge duties that annually save the government a great deal of money. Since their inauguration the national banks have received and stored in their vaults, at various times, \$3,500,000,000, a service of great value. As a governor of the national currency, operating to keep it within controllable bounds, the national banks have also been of the greatest assistance through the facilities they afford for the issue of instruments of credit. The depositors in the national banks in 1894 outnumbered by 492,702 those in all the State and private banks and loan and trust companies combined. As these, together with the national banks, are utilized for checking against balances on deposit rather than on those in banks for savings, it is readily seen that the check is more largely employed at the national banks than at the other institutions, and inasmuch as at least 53 per cent. of even the retail, and consequently more largely cash, business of the country is transacted through the medium of these small pieces of paper, while from 90 to 92 per cent. of the total business is thus

transacted, the important part they play will be likewise readily understood. The circulating medium which, in a relative sense, these instruments of credit supply, is perhaps a relief that should counterbalance the complaint sometimes made regarding the non-elasticity of issue under the present national banking system. The average annual circulation of the national banks between 1864 and 1894 was \$282,801,252, and the security of the notes is absolute. A fluctuating market for bonds, against which only a percentage of issue is allowed, has undoubtedly made the lines of issue a little rigid, but whether more so than is consistent with proper precautions against possible manipulation or inflation is a matter of extreme doubt. In fact, so far as the system goes, it is the most perfect yet devised, and in its operation has united uniformity and stability with great facility of adaptation to the constantly arising needs of the commercial and financial interests.

On the national banks as a foundation, then, rests the great superstructure of State, private, and savings-bank institutions, which, together with the building and loan associations and the loan and trust companies, constitute the remainder of the money-managing world of this country. Of the State banks there were in the United States 5033 on July 1, 1894, with an aggregate capital of \$244,435,573 and resources amounting to \$1,077,164,813. These banks held a surplus of \$74,412,319. The aggregate deposits were \$658,107,494, and the loans and discounts \$665,988,823. Of United States bonds these banks held but \$604,055, as against \$10,662,200 held as investment by the national banks in addition to those deposited as security. The business is profitable, but in the average rather less so than that of the national banks. In all the respects of general banking the State banks transact the same kinds of business as the national institutions, with the exception of the issuance of circulating notes and the performance of those functions of a governmental nature entailed by a Federal charter.

The savings-banks in existence in July, 1894, were 1024 in number and in two classes, the mutual and the stock. The latter class, of which there were 378, is of comparatively slight importance, not more than 15 per cent. of the total figures of this branch of banking being accredited to it. The capital stock of the savings-banks of the country is about \$30,000,000, and their total resources are \$1,980,744,189. The total amount of the deposits of individual savings is \$1,747,961,280, while about \$30,-

000,000 more is held subject to check. The loans of these banks amount to \$1,026,622,425, of which but a very small percentage relatively is secured on other than real or intrinsic values.

The private banks, while neither so numerous nor so heavily capitalized as the branches just mentioned, are a most potent factor in the commercial world, by their especial prominence in the field of foreign exchange. Their number in 1894 was 904, and their total capital \$26,652,167, with resources of \$105,379,051. Their surplus was placed at \$6,005,126. The total of the loans and discounts was \$66,596,017, being \$521,468 in excess of deposits.

The 224 loan and trust companies have a total capitalization of \$97,068,092 and a surplus of \$57,663,599. Their total resources are \$705,186,944, of which loans and discounts are \$374,421,713. With the exception of the national and savings-banks, these companies are the heaviest holders of United States bonds among the banks, \$13,449,411 being accredited to them.

These five branches constitute, properly speaking, the American banks. The building and loan associations are a species of coöperative banking, savings, and loan business, and, since they started in 1840, have grown rapidly. The statistics of 1894 gave 5838 of them in operation in the United States. These wonderfully fast-spreading institutions, deriving their capital from dues assessed on their members and loaning it again to those giving real security, had in 1894 the enormous sum of \$470,142,524 loaned on real estate alone. As nearly all the loans are small in amount, being simply enough to build a home for some comparatively poor person, the extent of this coöperative undertaking is readily seen. In addition to these loans on real estate, the associations have combined resources sufficient to bring the total to \$528,852,885, against which the heaviest items are \$370,003,478 for dues paid in, and \$35,775,366 on paid-up stock.


Under these various heads, then, the banking interests of America have grouped themselves in the closing years of the nineteenth century. Beneath

them all are the broad, strong shoulders of the United States government, bearing the final responsibility. In the magnitude of the interests now represented in the bank, all branches of industry and commercial activity have at last come to see their share. In the statistics of the annual report is told each year the story of what America has achieved. In the extension of the bank to the remoter districts are carried the same improvements to the every-day business conditions of the community that the waterworks brings to the sanitary conditions, or the public school to the educational conditions. The bank is the agent of civilization in its advance, whether in new countries or new fields of human endeavor. In the city it is the great driving engine furnishing the power for the machinery of affairs. The few brief figures of the dry and business-like report, giving the resources of the banks of the United States at \$7,342,397,052, tell most eloquently the commercial and industrial achievements of the American people. To this success the banking interests have contributed in no scanty measure, and in it they, in common with all the people, share to-day.

One very prominent feature in the history of banking has been the part played by private banks. It has been seen that Stephen Girard was very important in the history of Philadelphia banking; and later, Prime, Ward & King, bankers in New York, were enabled to perform eminent services for their country by loans negotiated in England. It was not, however, till about the time that the supply of gold from California raised the prices of commodities all over the globe, that many important American houses in banking circles became prominent. Every great city now has its private banks and bankers, who exercise an important part in the economy and distribution of wealth. They are able to handle business without making it known to the whole world; they can afford instant aid, without appeal to a board of directors, and everywhere they have proved of value. Such names as those of the Drexels, the Morgans, the Peabodys, and the Browns, will instantly occur to every one as household words in the realm of finance.

---

*Levi P. Morton*



## CHAPTER II

### AMERICAN LABOR

ACCORDING to the census of 1890, the total number of people engaged in gainful occupations of all kinds was 22,735,661, of which number 18,820,950 were males and 3,914,711 females. These figures include all engaged in any gainful occupation, whether wage-earners or wage-payers, whether employers or employees, and whether engaged in manual or professional service. Eliminating the wage-earners from this vast number, it is found that they constituted 15,099,901, of which number 11,802,540 were males and 3,297,361 were females. If we classify this large number of wage-earners, we find that 3,639,437 were engaged in agriculture, fisheries, and mining; 4,153,385 in domestic and personal service; 2,364,661 in trade and transportation, and 4,942,418 in manufacturing and mechanical industries. These statements are general, and that more specific information may be at hand the table on the next page has been made, giving the number of males and females and the total employed in specific occupations where more than 50,000 were engaged.

It would be exceedingly interesting if the growth of this great body of working-people, numbering over 15,000,000 at the present time, and the influences which have brought it into existence, could be traced step by step during all the past 100 years. It is impossible to give statistical statements of the number of persons employed in any industry, or otherwise, until the census of 1850, so we cannot ascertain what the strength of the body of working-people was in 1795. A fair calculation, based on relative statistics at different periods, would indicate that it was less than 500,000. Calculations in this respect are not satisfactory, however, because labor at the beginning of the 100-year period of which we are treating was engaged in domestic manufactures, of which no general account exists.

Four fifths of the population of the United States

at the close of the Revolutionary War was, according to Mr. Bancroft, the historian, of English descent. He states that in 1775 the colonies were inhabited by persons one fifth of whom had for their mother tongue some other language than the English. At the present time careful consideration would indicate that only about one half of our population can claim the English as their mother tongue; and yet, during the first quarter of the present century, immigration could not have affected the nationality of our working-people to any great extent, the accepted estimate of the total number of immigrants between 1790 and 1819 being placed at 250,000. Prior to this year (1819) no account was taken of the number of immigrants settling in the country, but since that year the Federal government has taken account of immigration. In no year between 1820 and 1824, inclusive, did the number arriving in this country reach 10,000. In 1833 the largest number in the first third of the present century arrived, when 58,640 immigrants were registered. In only two years, 1835 and 1838, has the number been less than that just given, but with these two exceptions, the annual immigration has been progressive, although varying in volume. Great impetus was given in the forties, the movement being accelerated by the famine in Ireland in 1846 and 1847, and by political causes in Germany. The total immigration since the Revolutionary War and up to July 31, 1895, was 17,731,678, while the foreign-born residing in this country at the census of 1890 was 9,249,547, being 14.77 per cent. of the whole population.

These large additions to our population must have had a marked influence upon our industrial conditions. In 1880 30.63 per cent. of all persons engaged in manufacturing and mechanical industries were foreign-born, while in 1890 31.56 per cent. of those so engaged were born abroad. In

1880 12.52 per cent. of the foreign-born were engaged in agriculture. It is seen, therefore, that the manufacturing and mechanical industries have absorbed a much larger proportion of the new element than has agriculture. The tendency of our immigrants is to assimilate with our mechanical industries. This, of course, increases the supply of labor in comparison to the demand, and may have at times lowered wages and crippled the consuming power of the whole body of the population. I am satisfied that this has not been serious, and it may have been imperceptible, for at the time of the accelerated movement of immigration there was a vast development of the railroad interests of the country, which development could not have been carried on so extensively and completely as it was without a large body of common laborers. Immigration supplied this labor, but it soon began to find its way into organized industry. As the tendency of wages has been constantly upward since the close of the last century, it cannot be argued that the assimilation of immigrants with our own native labor has reduced wages, but it can be assumed—without the

possibility of proof, however—that such assimilation may have retarded their increase beyond what was experienced.

During the past few years the industrial depression has checked immigration, but with renewed prosperity the movement may assume its normal proportions. The character of immigration has changed, and this change has not been for the better. If immigration could be left entirely to natural motives it is quite evident that the movement would be retarded gradually, but it is stimulated by transportation companies, in their desire to secure business, to such an extent that a large body of objectionable immigrants has been brought to the country during the past ten years. When it is known that an immigrant can be transported from Italy to Chicago for less money than a first-class passenger can travel from New York to Chicago it is not strange that people flock to the United States; and during this past decade it is quite certain that labor in America has suffered through this class of immigration, especially in mining districts, where wages have been kept down and much distress has prevailed through

NUMBER OF MALE AND FEMALE WAGE-EARNERS REPORTED FOR OCCUPATIONS IN WHICH  
50,000 OR OVER WERE EMPLOYED IN 1890

OCCUPATIONS.	MALES.	FEMALES.	TOTAL.	OCCUPATIONS.	MALES.	FEMALES.	TOTAL.
<i>Agriculture, Fisheries, and Mining:</i>				Telegraph and telephone operators . . . . .			
Agricultural laborers . . . . .	2,556,930	447,085	3,004,015		43,740	8,474	52,214
Fishermen and oystermen . . .	59,887	263	60,150	<i>Manufacturing and Mechanical Industries:</i>			
Lumbermen and raftsmen . . .	65,829	28	65,857	Bakers . . . . .	57,908	2,273	60,181
Miners (coal) . . . . .	208,330	219	208,549	Blacksmiths . . . . .	205,256	59	205,315
Miners (not otherwise noted) .	140,906	133	141,039	Boot and shoe makers and repairers . . . . .	179,838	33,609	213,447
Stock-raisers, herders and drovers . . . . .	70,047	687	70,734	Brick and tile makers and terracotta workers . . . . .	60,007	194	60,201
<i>Domestic and Personal Service:</i>				Butchers . . . . .	105,313	129	105,442
Barbers and hair-dressers . . .	82,151	2,825	84,976	Carpenters and joiners . . . . .	611,226	191	611,417
Bartenders . . . . .	55,660	147	55,807	Cotton-mill operatives . . . . .	80,144	92,914	173,058
Engineers and firemen (not locomotive) . . . . .	139,718	47	139,765	Dressmakers . . . . .	828	288,155	288,983
Housekeepers and stewards . .	6,008	86,802	92,810	Iron and steel workers . . . . .	142,087	2,449	144,536
Laborers (not specified) . . . .	1,858,504	54,813	1,913,317	Machinists . . . . .	176,937	139	177,076
Launderers and laundresses . .	31,816	216,627	248,443	Marble and stone cutters . . . .	61,006	63	61,069
Nurses and midwives . . . . .	6,688	51,402	58,090	Masons (brick and stone) . . . .	158,874	42	158,916
Servants . . . . .	237,523	1,205,876	1,443,399	Mill and factory operatives (not specified) . . . . .	51,561	41,850	93,411
Watchmen, policemen, and detectives . . . . .	74,350	283	74,633	Millers (flour and grist) . . . .	52,745	99	52,844
<i>Trade and Transportation:</i>				Milliners . . . . .	406	60,058	60,464
Agents (claim, commission, real estate, insurance, etc.) and collectors . . . . .	169,704	4,875	174,579	Molders . . . . .	66,241	47	66,288
Bookkeepers and accountants .	131,602	27,772	159,374	Painters, glaziers, and varnishers . . . . .	218,622	1,246	219,868
Clerks and copyists . . . . .	492,852	64,048	556,900	Plumbers and gas and steam fitters . . . . .	56,555	42	56,597
Draymen, hackmen, teamsters, etc . . . . .	368,265	237	368,502	Printers, lithographers, and pressmen . . . . .	80,889	5,565	86,454
Hostlers . . . . .	54,005	24	54,029	Saw and planing mill employees .	133,216	302	133,518
Locomotive engineers and firemen . . . . .	79,459	4	79,463	Seamstresses . . . . .	3,988	145,716	149,704
Messengers and errand and office boys . . . . .	48,446	2,909	51,355	Tailors and tailoresses . . . . .	121,586	63,611	185,197
Sailors . . . . .	55,875	29	55,904	Tinners and tinware-makers . .	54,427	947	55,374
Salesmen and saleswomen . . .	205,931	58,449	264,380	Tobacco and cigar factory operatives . . . . .	83,601	27,821	111,422
Steam-railroad employees (not otherwise specified) . . . . .	381,312	1,438	382,750	Wood-workers (not otherwise specified) . . . . .	63,529	3,696	67,225
				Woolen-mill operatives . . . . .	47,636	36,435	84,071







CARROLL D. WRIGHT.

the influx of very cheap foreign labor. It may be said, with almost entire truthfulness, that the mining industry is the one that has chiefly suffered in various directions through foreign immigration.

In 1795 the labor of the country was, as already stated, of a domestic character. Working-people were engaged in agricultural pursuits, the fisheries, and in the clearing of the forests, while a small percentage were engaged in what is known as domestic manufacture and in commerce. The factory system, dating from 1790 as the year of its birth, did not become influential, so far as labor was concerned, until after 1820. With the complete establishment of textile factories, which occurred in 1813 at Waltham, Mass., which town has the honor of erecting the first complete factory in the world for the manufacture of finished cloth, in all the various processes, from the raw material, labor began to find new avenues of employment, and the young women of the rural districts were induced to enter factories as spinners and weavers. The growth of the textile factory was rapid after 1820, both in the New England and the Middle States. Fair wages and easy work attracted the women of our own country and English girls, and until Irish immigration commenced in earnest our textile factories were supplied with English and American girls mostly, but since their day there have been various changes. The American and the English girl stepped out of the factories and up into higher callings, and the Irish operative stepped in. The Irish operative has during the last twenty years or more, however, been giving way gradually to the French-Canadian and representatives of other nationalities. Practically during the last fifty years there have been three changes in nationalities in the operatives of our textile works. With the adaptation of steam and water-power in the textile industry other industries grew. Of course, all manufacturing received a great impetus during the Revolutionary War, when our people were obliged to furnish their own supplies. During the war the manufacturers extended their enterprises and built mills — which are sometimes called factories — but they were simple in their construction. At the close of the war all these efforts either ceased or the production of the mills was greatly reduced.

The American nation found itself independent politically of Great Britain, but still a subject of it in respect to all its manufacturing interests. The English government sought to prevent the planting of the factory system here, but through the ingenuity and perseverance of Samuel Slater, who had served

his apprenticeship in the construction and management of factory machinery in England, the system was established in the United States; and then, as a result of the earlier legislation after the adoption of the Federal constitution, manufactures were stimulated and the era of industrial progress in this country was opened. It can be said that the century from 1795 to the present year has been one of constant progress in the labor world, the factory system gradually taking over to itself industry after industry, until nearly everything is now produced under it. The old domestic or hand system has passed away almost entirely, and the régime of invention and machinery holds full sway. These great industrial changes have practically wrought a revolution in this and other countries, bringing constant employment to our working-people, and resulting in a tendency all through the century to the increase of wages and a decrease in the cost of production.

Along with this change in the method of production, mining has been developed to an enormous degree, until now the United States produces as much iron as the mother country. The development of iron-mining and the manufacture of iron have brought into employment a vast body of skilled workmen, and the ramifications of the industry still greater forces. Our large towns and cities are, as a rule, thoroughly equipped with sewers, and the manufacture of pipes and mains for this purpose, as well as the manufacture of gas-pipes and mains and plumbing work generally, has been the result. These latter changes have occurred within the last fifty years.

The change in the system of work has practically done away with apprenticeships. Manual training and the work of trade schools are fitting boys and young men for skilled work in a better way than did the apprenticeship system, which was the universal rule at the beginning of our century. With the establishment of the factory system apprenticeships were less obligatory. By 1850 the resort to them was waning, while since the vast development of the factory system, especially subsequent to the Civil War, they have been still less prevalent. Another great change which has come in the way of industry is the employment of women. They were engaged only in domestic labor, except in rare instances, in 1795, but now there are few occupations in which they are not represented. The number grows from census to census. This change was brought about by the adoption of the factory system, under which women found they could attend light-running machines with

skill and with fair remuneration. While their compensation is exceedingly low now in almost all industrial pursuits, yet it is something where nothing was received before. They constitute a new economic factor in industry, and being a new economic factor, they cannot as yet hope to receive liberal wages. It can hardly be said that they have displaced men, but they have displaced boys and girls to a considerable extent. The first tendency under the factory system was to employ children, and the number constantly employed increased from year to year, until during the last fifteen or twenty years, when the number has been rapidly on the decline. Public sentiment voiced by legislation, as well as the economies of production, is driving the children out of our factories: women are taking their places. In some industries men have taken the places of women, the change of the form of work resulting in such displacement. Laundry work is practically factory work now; and the old domestic hand-weavers, who were to a large extent women, have seen their work transferred to the factory.

These industrial revolutions have carried with them other changes, which perhaps are more ethical than economical in their relations. For instance, under the old system of labor, employers had a paternal relation to their employees, and even in the early cotton mills in New England the paternal system of caring for employees was adopted. This was chiefly noticeable at Lowell, and later on also in Manchester, Conn., under the Cheneys' administration of the silk-works; but as the factory system has spread this paternal care has been lessened, although during the last few years there has been a great revival in the discussion of the usefulness of such paternal oversight. The absolute necessity for the congregation of great bodies of working-people in one locality is everywhere stimulating the thought that there should be some other rule than that of entire non-interference with the welfare of employees. The public is considering this question, and great employers here and there are trying the experiment of taking an interest in the home welfare of their employees as well as in their efficiency.

The changes in the industrial system have had many ramifications. The labor movement in this country, that is, the organized attempt of labor to impress its aims upon the whole people, may be said to have begun with the century that is now closing, but it did not gain full headway until the nineteenth century was fairly on its way. This is true, notwithstanding the labor question has been present always in the development of the world; but contemporaneous with the development of the industries of the

United States the movement, as it is now known, has taken place, and its speed has been accelerated as the industrial development has progressed. Prior to the establishment of the factory system there was little organization. Here and there a club of skilled workingmen existed. This was notably in the Eastern and Middle States. Since 1825, however, the movement has been rapid, and its results, while not always satisfactory, are indicative of real progress. In the early years of the labor movement many arguments were advanced against it, and the attempt made to prevent workingmen from joining in organization. The merchants and ship owners of Boston, at a meeting held in the Exchange Coffee Rooms on May 15, 1832, voted to discountenance and check what was called the unlawful combination formed to control the freedom of individuals as to the hours of labor, and to thwart and embarrass those by whom they were employed and liberally paid. This meeting was emphatic in its declaration that there was a pernicious and demoralizing tendency in combinations and an unreasonableness in any attempt made by organizations to secure more favorable conditions of work. It was held everywhere that labor ought always to be left free to regulate itself, and that neither the employee nor the employer should have the power to control the other; and the old stock argument that organization would drive trade from the country was resorted to then, as now, and a resolution was adopted at the meeting referred to, that the members of it would neither employ any journeyman who at the time belonged to a labor combination nor give work to any master mechanic who employed them while they continued pledged to their associations. These statements sound very much like those made at the present time, and yet the story of labor organization — its course, its successes, its failures, the philosophy underlying it, and the influence it has exerted in many directions — goes to prove that the world is growing better, and that the condition of labor as it now exists is a vast improvement upon its condition at any other period. This might be proved by an exhaustive citation of wages and prices during the past 100 years, were such citation necessary. It may, perhaps, be well simply to say that wages, even during the past half-century, have increased, on the whole, something over sixty per cent., while the general course of prices has been downward. This is true of other countries in which machinery performs an important part in production, but it is essentially true in America, for here, with our vast resources, our peculiar systems of education and of government, exerting great influence

upon the minds of all, wages are higher than in any other country in the world. The standard of living is necessarily higher, of course, and the workingman finds that he is able not only to preserve his working condition, but to participate in other things which are essential to his spiritual development.

To-day organized labor has many defenders. It is looked upon with disfavor in some quarters, but I think, as a rule, employers are quite willing that their employees should organize, for they have their own organizations and do not feel like denying the right to others. Of course, a very large proportion of the working-people of this country are unorganized, and I presume this is true of manufacturers and employers on their side; but as the methods of production are brought to a larger and grander scale, organization in every direction will more and more prevail. At present organized labor is estimated at 1,400,000. This is the result of an estimate based on the claims of different organizations. I am inclined to think it is too liberal an estimate, and yet, placed in comparison with 15,000,000 wage-earners, it does not seem large; but, as a rule, organized labor is employed in the manufacturing and mechanical industries, and in this sense the percentage is high. The proportion of organized manufacturers to the whole body is probably much larger.

As the labor movement has grown strikes have become more frequent, and while undoubtedly the era of strikes is passing away, yet it will be some time before the downward scale is reached as to numbers and importance. The great strikes in the country have had a marked influence in many directions. They have excited working-people to undertake other strikes; they have brought bitterness between employer and employee, and yet on the whole they are bringing a new line of thought to the public mind, and their study will, I feel sure, result in good to all classes. Strikes are teaching the public its interests in industry as over against the personal and selfish interests of the two parties immediately involved.

The labor question has met with a great change as a result of the Civil War. Our negro population has lost some of the old occupations in which it was engaged in the North half a century ago, but it is gaining others. In the South the employment of the negro is becoming more varied and his condition more hopeful as one of pecuniary prosperity. Negro labor is abundant, good, and steady in certain lines. The question is often asked, whether the division of employment lessens the quality of work. I do not believe it does. The great principles of modern in-

dustry are association, concentration, and specialization. With the first the second is absolutely essential, and the third is the result of concentration. If these things lessen the quality of the work, then the opposite must be true—that without them quality is improved. This carries the argument too far. If there is much truth in it, then the simplest, humblest kind of work is best for the worker. Sawing wood and paving streets, the most ordinary manual toil, are better for the worker than the employment of his intellect in tending a machine. A study of all the facts leads to the positive conclusion that the division of employment does not lessen the quality of the worker when considered as a man.

Working-people have experimented with coöperation, profit-sharing schemes, and other methods of increasing wages. These experiments have in many instances proved failures; in others, successes. They are likely to do some good, but it will be a long time before the moral character of the men involved will permit successful management of coöperative schemes. The principle is right. The coöperative principle is that of our modern system of industry. Pure coöperation, probably, cannot succeed, from an economic point of view, but the coöperative spirit can prevail to a higher degree than it now does; and all these things—combinations of workmen, public sentiment, economic conditions (and the latter more largely than any other)—have reduced the hours of labor from eleven, twelve, and thirteen per day to eight, nine, and ten per day. These changes, however, came gradually, and as the result of improved methods of production.

After the economic changes were assured law stepped in and made the custom the public voice. The first ten-hour law in this country, however, was not passed until 1874, when the State of Massachusetts provided that women and children should not be employed over ten hours a day in the textile factories of the State. Another specific change which has come is the frequent payment of employees for their services. The method in former times was to pay the working-people part in cash and part in goods, and settlements were made at long intervals. Now everywhere, with a few exceptions in the West, where to some extent the truck system still prevails, cash payments at short intervals are the rule. This change has been brought about both by public sentiment and by statutory enactments.

One of the greatest changes which has been wrought by the new system has come through corporations. When the century began, the workingman and his employer were practically associated;

they worked side by side; they had a personal acquaintance each with the other, and their interests were, to a large extent, practically the same. With the establishment of the factory system there came the necessity of using large capital, more than one man or a firm of men contributing; so the corporation became a necessary factor in the development of industry. Many small stockholders aggregated their means and made a large capital. The interests of the stockholders had to be administered by a corporation government, and this corporation government employed men and women. The ethical relations were changed at once. As a great capital is now the result of the aggregation of small savings in many respects — although in some instances the stockholders are heavy capitalists — the organization of labor has grown on the ground that one organization should deal with another; that if the stockholders lose their personality and are represented by a manager, the large body of working-people lose their personality, and their interests should be represented by a manager or a committee. One of the vital changes resulting from this growth of corporations is the liability of the employer to the employee for damages received while in the employment of the corporation. The old common-law rule relating to the liability of employers for accidents occurring to their employees is that a workman cannot recover damages for injuries received through the carelessness or negligence of a co-employee, although a stranger may recover for an injury following the same carelessness or negligence. This rule grew up under the domestic system, when employer and employee worked side by side, and each knew the character and skill of the other, and when several workmen working together were supposed to be acquainted with the risks of their occupation as well as with the character and skill of their co-employees. But when expanded methods are introduced this old rule becomes somewhat ridiculous; for co-employees may be a brakeman and a switch-tender, and under this rule a brakeman on a train running, perhaps, 500 miles, could secure no damages whatever from a railroad corporation employing him, in consequence of any injuries received through the carelessness or negligence of a switchman along any part of the line, although the brakeman knew nothing of the switchman, had no knowledge of his skill or capacity when he engaged with the company, and in no sense of the word, so far as risk and association of service were concerned, could be considered the co-employee of the switchman. Yet, as the common-law rule grew up before great industrial enterprises were estab-

lished, courts have projected it, and have ruled that in such a case as that just mentioned the switchman and brakeman were co-employees, and that therefore the employer could not be held liable. This rule is being broken down by statutory restrictions in different parts of the world, although it has not generally been modified, and still holds good in many States.

There are very many other points where changes in relationship have been made by the change in system. Looking the field over broadly, the conclusion must be reached that on the whole the working-people have been gainers during the progress of the past century — gainers not only in wages, both real and nominal, but in their relations to society; so with the facts briefly stated we may well consider such relations and the general philosophy of American labor conditions.

De Tocqueville, when studying this country, observed that amongst a democratic people where there is no hereditary wealth every man works, or has worked, to earn a living, or is the son of parents who have worked, and that in such a community the notion of labor is presented to the mind on every side as the necessary, natural, and honest condition of human existence; that in America even a wealthy man thinks he owes it to public opinion to devote his leisure to some kind of industrial or commercial pursuit, or to public business, and would think himself in bad repute if he employed his life solely in living.

These reflections of De Tocqueville, conveying the idea of life or of actual living, are stimulated by all the elements which make up the essential characteristics of this period. Nearly all the great fortunes, as they now exist, have been built upon the actual toil of some industrious ancestor. It does not do for our wealthiest people, if they wish to be called simply aristocratic, to look beyond a generation, or, at the most, three generations, to find their ancestry engaged in arduous labor, building from that condition to a business career, and leaving behind them at its close possessions upon which have been erected great fortunes. In some instances, to be sure, present fortunes are the result of fortunate speculation or investment in real estate, but the rule is the other way, and as first stated.

The American nation consists of workers; and at the present time more than at any previous period the younger members of very wealthy families are devoting their time and service to labor as assiduously as if their subsistence depended upon their earnings. In America, therefore, labor holds a more honorable place in the minds of all the people than it does

in any other land, and individuals can look forward to the highest class of associations, both social and intellectual, as a result of their application of skill, provided always they are ruled by integrity, and shall build up a character which will sustain itself under all conditions. A workingman may not enter the highest social ranks while a workingman, especially in dense social centres, but in our country villages and large towns observation teaches that the American workingman has the *entrée* to the best society in his community, without regard to the size of his bank-account, character being the card on which he gains his admission. I have attended social functions where I have met skilled mechanics and wealthy men, and have found them meeting on an equality, each regarding the other on the basis of the personal character which he brought to the function.

There is another side to this, of course, and a picture of certain features of American labor can be drawn under which the individual feels that he must keep at the bottom, at least, of the social ladder. A study of conditions, however, proves that the base of the social structure is growing narrower as time, as education, as a wise altruism lead men out of their lowly conditions to a better plane; and the American laborer everywhere is an active, earnest, and, I believe, an honest factor in keeping up the struggle to secure a higher standard of living. If the facts were otherwise the outlook would, indeed, be a despondent one; but a glance at the facts proves the reverse, and shows that the proportion of wage-earners to the total population is constantly increasing.

Our 15,000,000, and over, of wage-earners constitute a vast body on whose prosperity, intelligence, and moral worth is based the welfare of the Republic. With their happiness goes the happiness of the whole people. When they are unhappy, disturbed, and discontented the Republic is resting upon an insecure foundation. I do not mean that discontent can or ought to be removed, it being not wise that perfect contentment should rule in all things, for perfect contentment means a stationary condition. Progress can come only when the body of workers in a community are contented because moving onward and upward. Absolute "contentment with one's lot is the virtue of the subjects of a despotically governed and non-progressive state," and this sort of contentment does not indicate happiness, but a stationary condition, which ultimately leads to retrogression, a loss of ambition, and the growing disuse of the inventive genius of man.

Our American wage-earners demand, and are entitled to, something more than is indicated by contentment, for their experience with inventions, and under our educational system, teaches them that from rude instruments of toil they have become intelligent factors, in both a social and a political sense. They are not simply animals, wanting an animal's contentment; they are something more, and they want, and are entitled to, the contentment belonging to the best environment. They are, in a sense, and a valuable sense, the patrons of all that gives character to a great nation. They believe in education, in art, in music, in the progress of the sciences, and in political purity, and are informing themselves on the great topics which engage the thoughts of our statecraftsmen. They are often able not only to present their views clearly and forcibly, but to indulge in discussions which would be a credit to any legislative body. These features constitute the American wage-earners' exceedingly active, and, in a short-sighted way, sometimes uncomfortable, elements in the great struggle that is going on to lift themselves and all connected with them to a higher plane of living. All who aid in this struggle are the friends of humanity; all who throw obstacles in its way are the enemies of humanity—not knowingly, perhaps, but because they cannot reach far enough in their comprehension of conditions, and growing conditions, too, to see that happiness and prosperity must be the result of the struggle. Selfishness and ignorance would keep men on a level; progressive movements mean more, and look to the leading forth of all the best faculties of all members of the community.

All the disturbances which we have seen during the past score of years, and which seem, superficially considered, to indicate that we are approaching an industrial war, are but protests against fixed conditions. These disturbances very often arise from unwise considerations and from ignorance of the conditions of production, but they all indicate one grand trend; and while it is to be hoped they will grow less and less as intelligence develops the unwisdom of certain forms of contest, they must be considered as a part of the progressive movements of our age, to be deprecated, to be sure, when there is an inimical animus underlying them—to be deprecated, perhaps, in most instances—and yet, out of them, American labor emerges with a clearer understanding of the inevitable conditions of life and a clearer view of the higher ethical elements essential to overcome them. These views constitute the chief elements of what is known as the labor movement,

in which American labor has actively participated for a great many years — first, seeking organization; second, by organization, making its protests and issuing its demands. Philosophically, these protests and demands must be viewed as educational factors and not as war factors.

I have always liked the definition of labor which John Ruskin has given us. "Labor," he says, "is the contest of the life of man with an opposite; the term 'life' including his intellect, soul and physical power, contending with question, difficulty, trial or material force. Labor is of a higher or lower order as it includes more or fewer of the elements of life; and labor of good quality, in any kind, includes always as much intellect and feeling as will fully and harmoniously regulate the physical force." The truth of this definition must be accepted, and with its acceptance the labor movement, so-called, is at once lifted from a sordid to a high ethical plane; taken out of narrow grooves and made to become the very essence of the whole of the religious and political movements of the closing years of this century. Whether Ruskin's definition is recognized or not, the truth exists, and so the struggle of the wage-earner becomes of that high order which insists upon recognition as a factor in securing to all people something beyond the mere wants of existence. A man who is working simply to secure food, shelter, and raiment, that is, the conditions absolutely essential to keep him an efficient working machine, is not the best product of civilization; but the man who is willing to work industriously to secure these absolute necessities to make his services efficient, and then, over and beyond them, something of the spiritualizing necessities of life, is a credit to our civilization; and these spiritualizing influences can be secured only when, after paying for the necessary lubrication of his working muscles, he is able to furnish himself and his loved ones with elements of life which have heretofore been considered luxuries. He must be able to secure something of these higher elements, or he loses, and retrogression is the result. He must be able to educate his family, and to give them of the best things of life to such an extent that they become active participants in the results of invention, which throw around life everywhere more than could be secured under old conditions.

With his conscience quickened by the very atmosphere that surrounds him, the wage-earner understands, more than any other wage-earner anywhere, that the sacredness of property must be insisted upon and preserved, and that all attacks upon ex-

isting institutions must be repelled, especially when those attacks are made for the purpose of destruction with a view to the building of a new structure upon the ruins of the old. He is often radical in his political views, but as a class in the community he is ready to aid in the improvement of governmental and social structures rather than to assist in their destruction, even when the view is presented that only on their destruction can a properly developed new structure be erected. He is often led away by specious arguments, and under such conditions allies himself to various so-called progressive movements; but he is always open to conviction, and when he sees that he is simply being led on the old, well-beaten paths of iconoclasm, he turns and allies himself with those who are seeking real and true progress through evolutionary processes.

The American workingman is sometimes a socialist, but he does not believe that socialism, and especially political socialism, has anything in it which will help him to secure the coveted margin over necessities—anything that will help him to things spiritualizing. He is a socialist, as a rule, in a certain sense, but his socialism is not political; it comes from a spirit within him, and it seeks to aid all who are engaged with him in the struggle to secure better environment. This sort of socialism in American labor has no danger in it. On the other hand, it is critical in its nature, and thus helps the whole body of the people to understand what evils exist and what conditions ought to be secured in their place.

The American laborer, as such, is never an anarchist, for he is a law-and-order man, and believes that through development of the individual character the best social conditions can be reached. Now, as the wage-earners of this country comprehend these high and moral grounds more fully and more clearly, they will become more contented in the true sense—not contented to stand still, but contented with the knowledge that they are progressing.

From what has been said it will be clearly understood that conditions are not always favorable; that there are fluctuations, business depressions, having their discouraging influence, and strikes, unsettling the public mind. The clash between ethical and economical conditions leads to disruptions sometimes in business associations, and arrays, to all appearances, capital on the one side and labor on the other, and gives color to the prophecy sometimes put forth that ultimately this clash will lead to bloody strife. I cannot acquiesce in this view, although I see clearly the clash itself, and largely the causes for it. The



causes are mostly ethical, growing out of the relations of men and the lack of appreciation of the duty which is owed to the public. Macaulay said that the evils arising from liberty were only to be cured with more liberty. So the evils which apparently surround us at the present time, and which apparently grow out of the industrial world, are the results of an intelligence which did not exist in the past, and the cure for them is more intelligence. Capital and labor are intelligent enough to get into difficulty: they are not intelligent enough yet to keep out of difficulty. It requires a very high moral character on the part of both employer and employee for each to recognize the rights and the privileges of the other; but with this recognition, quarrels, as such, will largely cease, and contests of mind will take the place of those unhappy contests which are now so frequent. When the employee recognizes that his highest social duty is to render the very best service of which he is capable, and the employer recognizes that his highest social duty is to compensate the best service with the best wage, a vast deal of friction will be avoided. Integrity of business involves both the employing and the employed elements of society. Confidence in each other is the surest cure for many of the difficulties, and while the world is growing altruistic, it will not grow altruistic at the expense of individual development; but after the rendering of the best social service there will come a coördinated force

involving both altruism and individualism. Either means destruction in a degree. Coördination means success and reasonable happiness. The ethical force cannot rule at the expense of the economical, nor can the economical force rule at the expense of the ethical. Their coördination is the true line of progress.

As American labor comprehends this more and more clearly, and I believe it is comprehending these principles, and as the employer comprehends them more and more clearly — and I believe that he is so doing — we may hope for the adjustment of difficulties on a plane of moral responsibility not yet reached, except incidentally. The settlement of labor controversies is one thing, their prevention another. If the intelligence of different elements has not reached that degree whereby they can be prevented, then there should be some recognition of that settlement and adjustment which recognize the importance of each side in the success of industrial enterprises. American labor is doing much, and can do much more, in bringing about such prevention and such adjustment. May every struggle to that end meet with the cordial appreciation and support of all right-minded citizens! The century closes with omens of this consummation. We must not look for Utopias nor the millennium; but we must look for the evolution of moral forces through industrial forces, for society flourishes or decays as industrial elements prosper or decline.

*Arnold Muehl*





### CHAPTER III

## IMPORTS AND EXPORTS

THE imports and exports of the United States are the expression and measure of its commercial dealings with the nations and peoples of the world. Their development and importance have been commensurate with the economic growth and political power of the country and people. To compare the foreign trade of the United States in 1795 with that in 1895 would be to compare a wheelbarrow with a locomotive or an ocean liner. The local nature, the simplicity of character, and the limited quantity of the trade in the earlier period have become the world-wide, the complex, and enormously extended commerce of to-day. Then the trade was confined as well by the limited markets as by the selfish greed of nations possessed of colonial dependencies, monopolized by themselves in production and in commerce. Then the long and comparatively infrequent voyages made commerce a matter of speculation, of widely fluctuating prices, of capital at risk, and consequently of doubtful returns. Now the world is one great market to buy and to sell in. Prices are equalized and made stable by banking facilities, by rapid communication by mail or telegraph, by frequent voyages, and by the free and cosmopolitan movements of labor and capital. The millions ventured in foreign trade in the last century have become the hundreds of millions embarked in foreign trade to-day; and over and above the great transfer of commodities from country to country there is a large and ever-increasing transaction in securities, national, State, and corporate. Mere statistics can convey only one idea of this growth and development. They may point out the mass or quantity, which is the least interesting and vital phase of the question; but the nature or character of that mass has also materially changed. It is on this change of nature that I wish to say something.

When the peace of 1783 was declared the United States comprised a strip of territory on the Atlantic Ocean extending from Maine to Florida, and

bounded on the west by the Mississippi River. In 1790 the total area of settlement was 239,935 square miles, having a population of 3,929,214 souls. In this comparatively limited area important commercial products were raised. The tobacco of Virginia and Maryland supplied the world; the rice and indigo of the Carolinas stood high in European markets; and the fish and lumber products of New England, with the breadstuffs of the Middle States, gave a large and profitable commerce with the West Indian Islands, then colonial possessions of Europe. In New York the fur trade centered, and even as early as this time the Northwest Territory pointed to an agricultural possibility which fifty years later was to begin an economic revolution in Europe, the results of which are still incomplete. The extension of national territory west of the Mississippi, and southward so as to include Florida and Texas, has contributed to develop commerce on almost the same lines which were marked out in the first years of the Republic.

It was agriculture in 1795 which contributed most largely to the export trade; it is agriculture in 1895 which still feeds the largest part of the exports. The rise of cotton culture, and its rapid extension through the South, were the leading features of our export development for fifty years. The rapid settlement of the West, and an enormous extension of agricultural production in cereals and provisions, were the leading features of the subsequent forty years. Beginning with 1816, the establishment of manufactures, fostered and assured by the peculiar inventiveness of Americans, laid the foundation of industries which at the end of eighty years are fitted in many lines not only to compete with, but almost to supply, the world. In 1895 the estimated population of the country was 70,000,000 and the area of the country in land surface was 2,970,000 square miles. The value of domestic exports per capita of population in the last decade of the eighteenth



WORTHINGTON C. FORD.



century was somewhat less than \$6; the per capita exports in 1895 were over \$11. The productive capacity of the country has thus been sufficient to feed, clothe, and support in increasing comfort a population which has increased in numbers seven-teenfold; and at the same time afforded a surplus which has given an export trade double in relative importance and increased fifty or sixty fold in absolute value, as the \$800,000,000 of 1895 represent an enormous trade, conducted on a basis of low prices, compared with the trade of 1795, conducted under the régime of high prices.

The lasting and substantial qualities of American export trade are proved by its survival of accidents and adverse conditions which threatened at times to overwhelm it. The Napoleonic wars practically closed the ports of the civilized world to American products and American shipping, and the disaster was aggravated by the domestic Embargo. Wild-cat banking schemes have periodically swept over the country, entailing wide-spread ruin and economic disturbance, shaking the commercial system of the country to its very foundation. State and corporation repudiation and defalcation have at times thrown a cloud over American interests, and have retarded development, while even destroying something of what had already been accomplished. To these exceptional and preventable conditions should be added others which the economist has recognized as periodic and inevitable—recurrent waves of financial distress and commercial depression, which have seemed to follow a definite law, and yet can never be foreseen, or their effects provided against and neutralized.

The geographical distribution of exports would necessitate a sketch of the changes in political divisions throughout the world during the century. The breaking up of the old colonial system and the rise of independent States and powers, the formation of alliances essentially modifying the sovereignty of political divisions, have introduced so many new conditions that the geographical nomenclature of 1795 will not apply in 1895. The great Spanish and Portuguese colonies in the New World have with few exceptions become emancipated from the mother countries, and as independent powers have sought and developed commercial connections prohibited under the mercantile system of the last century. Central and South America have framed and maintained commercial systems of their own, instead of feeding and supporting a commerce profitable only to the mother state. The Floridas in 1795 were counted among the possessions of Spain. Hayti

was a French colony. Germany had no existence as a united power, and the Hanse towns represented commercial Germany. The trade with Canada was of little importance. Australia was a geographical name. Texas was part of a foreign country, as was all westward of the Mississippi; and the exchange of merchandise with Africa and Asia, while important even at that day, was limited in its development by local hostilities and by trading monopolies.

The embryonic condition of exports is shown by the distribution of 1795. Of a total of nearly \$48,000,000 outgoing, \$31,000,000 were sent to European countries, \$14,000,000 to the West Indian possessions of those countries, and \$3,000,000 to all the rest of the world. The intimate connection between political and commercial conditions is shown by the fact that the exports to France and the French West Indies were \$12,653,635; to the Hanse ports, \$9,655,524; while to Great Britain and her possessions in the West Indies and North America the exports were \$9,218,540. France ranked first in importance, Germany second, and Great Britain third. The treaty of Jay and the necessities of the British West Indies made necessary some alterations in the regulations imposed by Parliament on colonial trade, and these changes were reflected in the current of the leading exports of the United States. France lost her dominant position and was superseded by Great Britain. This relative position has never been changed.

A study of the yearly fluctuations in the export trade, and a general statement of the leading causes, would be of exceeding interest. Each article would present the material for a study of commercial conditions as influenced by competition, production, or political factors. This, however, would be out of the question in an article of this length. The highest development of exports has occurred within the last thirty years, when the rapid settlement of the West, and the improved methods of transportation have enabled its products to reach a market at such rates as allow aggressive competition with similar products of other exporting countries. Without modern appliances the large export trade in fresh meats, butter, fruits, and even oleomargarine, could not exist.

Another side of this story is of high economic value, showing how a productive interest may wane and die through the rise of more favorable conditions elsewhere for producing or marketing, or by the discovery of other products which will better attain the end to which they are the means. In the same manner an interest may out of a very small

beginning become sufficiently important to control the market of the world. A century ago indigo was a large product of the Carolinas; it ceased to be an article of export, in quantity, at the beginning of the century. The United States was a large exporter of rice in 1795; in 1895 it was an even larger importer. Forty years ago whaling was a profitable pursuit, and whale and fish oils constituted an item of export. That industry has almost disappeared as a commercial factor; but the \$2,000,000 or \$3,000,000 worth of whale-oil has been more than compensated by the \$45,000,000 of exports of petroleum, an article which came into use about thirty years ago.

The ills of other nations have at times redounded to the benefit of the United States. European wars created an opening for the prepared meat products of the West; the vine diseases in the wine countries of Europe gave an opportunity for an export of American wine—an export which must grow. Coal was not sent abroad in any quantity till 1850, but it now represents a trade of more than \$10,000,000. Cotton was imported from the West Indian Islands in 1795; it has long been the principal item of export. Copper, when it touched \$2,000,000 in the trade returns of 1858, was believed to have reached a very high point; but that product of the American mine now controls the world's markets, and an export of \$13,000,000 is not believed to have touched an even reasonable limit.

In 1895, seventy per cent. of the total value of domestic exports was composed of agricultural products. The products of the fisheries and of the forest and mining, partaking of the qualities of agricultural products in being subject to the law of diminishing returns, raised the proportion to seventy-seven per cent., leaving about twenty-three per cent. contributed by American manufactures. The articles of food and the crude materials of manufactures are exported to countries which have developed industrial rather than agricultural systems, and which need the food to support their laboring populations, and the raw materials to feed their industries. So long as the United Kingdom held almost the monopoly in the great manufacturing industries where machinery has superseded hand labor, our export trade was chiefly with that country. Within twenty-five years the rise of large manufacturing interests on the Continent, and the extension of merchant marines of continental countries, have been reflected in the direction of American exports. What would formerly have gone to Great Britain and thence been distributed throughout continental Europe is now sent to the continental countries direct.

To sum up, the United States export trade contributes the cotton used in cotton manufactures wherever the industry is developed; by its breadstuffs and provisions it contributes a necessary element to the support of the industrial peoples of other lands, supplying a cheap and wholesome food; its mineral oils are to be found everywhere, giving a cheap and safe light to peoples who have lived heretofore in semi-darkness; its tobacco has always been appreciated, as have its naval stores; its agricultural implements and tram-cars, its clocks and watches, and its rubber goods are evidences of a superior inventive ability. The lines of the export trade of the United States are so broad and well defined that nothing within the reach of human possibilities can destroy their main features.

The imports do not require the special study that exports seem to demand. The latter are a fair gauge of the productive capacity of the country, for it is only the surplus product which can be exported—that which is beyond domestic consumption. Imports measure the purchasing ability of the people and constitute a rough measure of the industrial advancement and of the degree of taste and well-being attained. The development of the import trade has been a process of selection, rejecting one class or article and taking others, as the domestic supply is sufficient or wanting. In the last century all manufactures of a grade above the crudest were brought in from abroad. There were few "industries" outside of the household industries, and consequently little or no demand for raw material of manufacture. A little cotton was imported; some lead from England; and hemp, cordage, and cables from Russia gave material for ship building; but these few articles comprise all the imports which can be directly identified with "industry." In 1795 a little unwrought steel came from the United Netherlands; somewhat later Swedish bar-iron took its place; but manufactured iron and steel have come from the United Kingdom.

Compared with such a situation, the imports of 1895 offer a striking contrast. That there are a large number of commodities of almost necessary consumption which cannot be grown or prepared in the United States needs no proof. Tea, coffee, sugar, spices, and such tropical products can be obtained in the required quantities by exchange more easily and cheaply than by growing them. Articles of food will, therefore, always constitute a large item of imports, and in 1895 constituted one third of the total. Imports of the crude materials of manufactures—wool, cotton, flax, and hemp, coal and iron,

IMPORTS AND EXPORTS

VALUE OF EXPORTS AND IMPORTS OF MERCHANDISE

FROM 1791 TO 1895.

YEAR ENDING	EXPORTS.			YEAR ENDING	IMPORTS.			EXCESS OF EXPORTS.	EXCESS OF IMPORTS.
	Domestic.	Foreign.	Total.		Domestic.	Foreign.	Total.		
Sept. 30.				June 30.					
1791.....	18,500,000	512,041	19,012,041	843.....	77,686,354	5,139,335	82,825,689	13,254,249	29,170,215
1792.....	19,000,000	1,753,098	20,753,098	844.....	58,531,774	6,044,958	64,576,732	83,668,154	29,334,455
1793.....	21,000,000	2,109,572	23,109,572	845.....	49,920,428	7,854,761	57,775,189	16,079,598	95,106,774
1794.....	26,526,323	3,304,725	29,831,048	846.....	101,168,042	7,865,206	109,033,248	20,099,007	96,924,058
1795.....	30,500,440	8,489,472	38,989,912	847.....	150,574,844	6,106,741	156,681,585	60,774,598	148,038,044
1796.....	32,274,615	26,000,000	58,274,615	848.....	130,205,799	7,986,866	138,192,665	52,356,310	132,289,329
1797.....	41,204,710	33,000,000	74,204,710	849.....	131,710,081	8,641,091	140,351,172	15,720,453	155,427,974
1798.....	28,377,411	33,000,000	61,377,411	1850.....	174,620,233	9,475,123	184,095,356	18,653,580	191,116,343
1799.....	31,142,522	45,523,000	76,665,522	1851.....	186,869,162	13,650,284	200,519,446	24,187,890	207,440,398
1800.....	31,840,993	39,130,877	70,971,870	1852.....	151,691,147	12,620,102	164,311,249	25,760,447	172,043,347
1801.....	36,377,973	35,774,971	72,152,944	1853.....	125,328,300	21,715,464	147,043,764	36,430,524	153,474,281
1802.....	42,205,961	35,594,072	77,800,033	1854.....	192,751,135	26,158,368	218,909,503	52,748,074	257,684,574
1803.....	41,467,477	36,231,597	77,699,074	1855.....	266,438,031	14,781,372	281,219,423	59,826,760	348,428,342
1804.....	42,387,022	53,179,039	95,566,061	1856.....	278,026,713	14,017,047	292,043,760	54,267,507	348,428,342
1805.....	41,253,727	60,283,236	101,536,963	1857.....	251,351,033	20,660,241	272,011,274	61,044,779	329,203,875
1806.....	48,609,592	59,643,558	108,253,150	1858.....	316,242,423	17,333,634	333,576,057	72,286,327	405,862,381
1807.....	49,433,546	12,997,414	62,430,960	1859.....	294,899,616	14,654,417	309,554,033	73,744,470	353,616,119
1808.....	31,495,752	20,707,531	52,203,283	1860.....	294,993,012	17,096,477	312,089,489	52,721,953	336,635,024
1809.....	45,204,042	16,022,700	61,226,742	1861.....	186,002,912	17,966,435	203,969,347	33,241,924	208,093,501
1810.....	30,022,190	8,405,295	38,427,485	1862.....	136,504,027	15,333,061	151,837,088	41,126,362	175,320,952
1811.....	35,028,152	2,817,865	37,846,017	1863.....	136,010,228	20,080,955	156,091,183	44,519,516	190,610,696
1812.....	45,974,453	6,368,356	52,342,809	1864.....	337,518,109	11,341,440	348,859,549	59,028,506	372,835,510
1813.....	64,781,890	17,158,156	81,940,046	1865.....	299,389,900	12,502,999	311,892,899	13,120,783	342,549,659
1814.....	68,088,272	15,169,697	83,257,969	1866.....	279,664,697	10,951,501	290,616,198	24,646,692	395,819,667
1815.....	59,970,838	19,426,696	79,397,534	1867.....	378,616,473	16,155,295	394,771,768	26,466,780	451,817,022
1816.....	51,683,640	18,008,039	69,691,679	1868.....	426,467,131	15,060,455	441,527,586	36,587,737	483,053,947
1817.....	43,071,894	10,924,429	53,996,323	1869.....	509,433,439	17,446,483	526,880,922	47,207,233	579,327,864
1818.....	47,151,468	21,770,655	68,922,123	1870.....	499,284,100	14,158,611	513,442,711	51,485,684	497,320,346
1819.....	50,970,538	19,426,696	70,397,234	1871.....	589,670,224	12,804,496	602,474,720	146,279,927	386,725,599
1820.....	51,683,640	18,008,039	69,691,679	1872.....	698,340,708	14,156,498	712,497,206	140,304,913	310,534,674
1821.....	49,874,079	11,476,022	61,350,101	1873.....	523,882,247	14,802,424	538,684,671	78,458,452	310,534,674
1822.....	47,151,468	21,770,655	68,922,123	1874.....	589,670,224	12,804,496	602,474,720	146,279,927	386,725,599
1823.....	50,970,538	19,426,696	70,397,234	1875.....	698,340,708	14,156,498	712,497,206	140,304,913	310,534,674
1824.....	56,944,745	23,793,588	80,738,333	1876.....	832,946,333	11,692,305	844,638,638	141,273,265	995,773,267
1825.....	59,449,855	20,440,934	79,890,789	1877.....	883,295,947	18,451,399	901,747,346	142,681,505	303,096,270
1826.....	57,878,112	16,431,830	74,309,942	1878.....	779,624,632	15,648,770	795,273,402	142,681,505	303,096,270
1827.....	49,976,632	14,044,578	64,021,210	1879.....	724,924,632	15,648,770	740,573,402	142,681,505	303,096,270
1828.....	55,087,307	12,347,344	67,434,651	1880.....	665,964,520	13,506,809	679,471,329	142,681,505	303,096,270
1829.....	58,544,878	13,445,857	71,990,735	1881.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1830.....	59,216,529	13,977,064	73,193,593	1882.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1831.....	61,726,529	13,977,064	75,703,593	1883.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1832.....	60,950,836	17,577,876	78,528,712	1884.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1833.....	60,950,836	17,577,876	78,528,712	1885.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1834.....	100,450,942	14,756,341	115,207,283	1886.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1835.....	106,570,942	14,756,341	121,327,283	1887.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1836.....	94,200,880	9,417,620	103,618,500	1888.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1837.....	101,665,533	12,000,140	113,665,673	1889.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1838.....	111,665,533	12,000,140	123,665,673	1890.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1839.....	103,665,533	12,000,140	115,665,673	1891.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1840.....	103,665,533	12,000,140	115,665,673	1892.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1841.....	103,665,533	12,000,140	115,665,673	1893.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1842.....	91,799,244	8,078,753	99,877,997	1894.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270
1843.....				1895.....	624,924,632	13,506,809	638,431,441	142,681,505	303,096,270

1 Nine months.

.....

and silk—constitute a measure of industrial growth and conditions. By the establishment of domestic industries, and by the refining of demand through the accumulation of wealth and the education of taste, better products are demanded of both foreign and domestic manufacture. In 1895 the imports of

thirds of the entire imports are received through New York, and more than one half of the exports are sent out through that port. The main geographical features of the foreign commerce of the United States are shown by the accompanying figures:

## IMPORTS AND EXPORTS IN 1895 BY GEOGRAPHICAL DIVISIONS.

	IMPORTS.	PER CENT.	EXPORTS.	PER CENT.	PER CENT. OF IMPORTS AND EXPORTS.
Europe .....	\$383,645,813	52.4	\$627,927,692	77.7	65.72
North America.....	133,915,682	18.3	108,575,594	13.4	15.74
South America.....	112,167,120	15.3	33,525,935	4.2	9.46
Asia .....	77,626,364	10.6	17,325,057	2.2	6.17
Oceanica .....	17,450,926	2.4	13,109,231	1.6	1.98
Africa .....	5,709,169	.8	6,377,842	.8	.79
All other countries .....	1,454,891	.2	696,814	.1	.14
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>\$731,969,965</b>		<b>\$807,538,165</b>		<b>100.00</b>
Atlantic ports.....	\$613,737,342	83.8	\$590,392,743	73.1	78.21
Gulf ports .....	18,865,503	2.6	130,275,045	16.1	9.69
Pacific ports .....	40,568,501	5.5	36,879,310	4.6	5.03
Northern border and lake ports ..	51,016,783	7.0	49,991,067	6.2	6.56
Interior ports.....	7,781,836	1.1	.....	...	.51
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>\$731,969,965</b>		<b>\$807,538,165</b>		<b>100.00</b>


materials in a crude condition for use in domestic industries comprised more than one fourth of the total imports. What remained were articles manufactured which could not be obtained in this country to meet the tastes of the consumer or to gratify the whims of fashion. The crude materials are, as a rule, obtained from agricultural countries of recent settlement, or from older countries sparsely populated, with a semi-civilized people. Australia is the great source of wool-supply; Cuba of sugar, Brazil of coffee, Asia of silk, Egypt of raw cotton, and South American countries of hides, skins, and india-rubber. Manufactured articles are of European origin.

A word may be added on the geographical distribution of imports and exports in 1895. The United Kingdom received forty-eight per cent. of the exports and contributed twenty-two per cent. of the imports. No other country approaches this percentage in American trade. The natural advantages of the harbor of New York long since pointed it out as a great commercial center; while the enterprise and liberality of State and citizens in making internal improvements have enabled it to maintain a dominant position in the face of intense and apparently almost destructive competition. Canals and railways and banking institutions having foreign connections have made the city what it is. Two

Foreign commerce must grow with the increase of population and wealth. From time to time fears have been expressed that the United States is not holding its own in foreign markets; that its products are being undersold by similar products of other nations. Russian and Indian wheat, Indian and Egyptian cotton, Russian petroleum, and, last, the grain products of the Argentine Republic, have excited apprehensions the full extent of which have never been realized. That competition from the outside must produce some effect need not be questioned; but that this effect could ever end fatally to the productive interests of the United States is beyond belief. If the agricultural products of our country no longer meet with favor in foreign markets, there will always be room for our manufactures, the export of which has shown in recent years a marked increase. In 1875 the value of exported manufactures was \$92,678,814, constituting 16.57 per cent. of the total exports. In 1895 the value of manufactures was \$183,595,743, constituting more than twenty-three per cent. of the total. It is in this direction that the greatest development of American exports must lie; and the field is so vast that it will more than compensate for any reduction in demand for food products or for materials in a raw condition.

*Washington C. Ford*





## CHAPTER IV

### INTERSTATE COMMERCE

THE colonies, under the lead of Massachusetts, early attempted to provide roads; yet for more than two hundred years nothing existed in this country that by any stretch of the imagination could be called a postal service. The only carriers of commerce for nearly two hundred years after the first settlers sought these shores were the simple sailing vessels, that crossed the ocean only at the greatest hazard. Courageous attempts to navigate the ocean waters and the almost unknown rivers and lakes were numerous before 1800, and canals, even, were attempted. It can hardly be said, however, that anything deserving the name of interstate commerce existed in this country at the beginning of the present century, since at that time the total effects of the government were transported from Philadelphia to Washington in a frail sloop, and President John Adams and his wife lost their way, as tradition has it, in the woods beyond Baltimore, as they proceeded in their carriage toward the new capital. The Alleghanies constituted an almost impassable barrier between the East and the West, and such necessary products as the colonists could not obtain in their immediate neighborhoods were mostly brought from over seas.

There was another difficulty in the way of trade. The high price of labor rendered it impossible to manufacture linen, cotton, or woolen cloth, except at a cost twenty to fifty per cent. greater than the same stuffs could be turned out for in England. The trade of New Hampshire was principally in lumber and fish, which were exported. In Massachusetts a little wool and flax were worked into a coarse cloth, and a few hats were made, but it was cheaper to import them. In the province of New York the export of furs, whalebone, oil, pitch, tar, and provisions included everything. So it was in New Jersey. Virginia produced nothing for intercolonial trade.

Tobacco was a permanent staple, but it became chiefly an export. The early colonists were inevitably sailors. Therefore a considerable coasting trade grew up, but there were no means of internal transportation except by wagons and the rude craft plying the natural waterways. In spite of this the Constitution, which went into operation March 4, 1789, embraced the right to regulate domestic commerce,—a right not conferred by the previous Articles of Confederation,—and from that year one may find exhibits of the tonnage employed in the coastwise trade. In 1789 this tonnage was 78,607; in 1812 it was 477,971.

The Americans of those early times had only a vague knowledge of the country west of the mountains; yet the hardy settlers along the coast soon beat out for themselves paths to this unknown region. The act to provide for the Cumberland road was passed in 1806, and the first stage-coach driven from Cumberland to Wheeling in 1818. The length of the line first opened was 130 miles, and its cost \$1,700,000. In those years, too, were tried the first experiments with steam-craft. Livingston and Fulton built the *Clermont* in 1807, and Fulton claimed under his patent a monopoly of transportation on the Hudson and other rivers. His claim was carried to the courts and defeated, so that after 1815 the rivers of the country were free to steam-vessels. In 1812 steam-boats made their appearance on the Western rivers. The first craft, the *New Orleans*, built at Pittsburg by Fulton at a cost of \$40,000, a stern-wheeler of between 300 and 400 tons, put out for New Orleans. Others followed, but none proved able to ascend the river, until 1815, when the *Enterprise*, a stern-wheeler of 70 tons, made the trip from New Orleans to Cincinnati in twenty-eight days. It was later than this, again, that steamships came gradually to ply up and down the coast.

The first charter for canal building was granted to the James River Company by the legislature of Virginia in 1785. Another of these projects was the Dismal Swamp Canal, begun in 1787, under a joint charter from Virginia and North Carolina, and opened in 1794. The owners of its stock included George Washington and Patrick Henry, and it was originally designed to facilitate the movement of lumber out of the Dismal Swamp. The Chesapeake and Ohio Canal, the Delaware and Chesapeake Canal, and the Union Canal, of Pennsylvania, intended to connect the Delaware and Susquehanna rivers, were only forerunners of the Erie Canal, 363 miles long, completed in 1825. A canal from Lake Champlain to the Hudson River was completed in 1823. On the opening of the Erie Canal the cost of freight fell, according to its class, all the way in amount from \$15 to \$25 per ton, and the time of transit from twenty to eight days. Wheat was worth \$33 per ton in western New York, and it did not pay to send it to market, down the Susquehanna to Baltimore. The canal changed all that. Indeed, it has been said that the Erie Canal added \$100,000,000 in value to the farms of New York State. It made New York City the commercial metropolis. Freight which had gone overland from Ohio to Pittsburg and Philadelphia, at a cost of \$120 per ton, now went to New York by way of the lakes, the great canal, and the Hudson. The opening of the Erie Canal excited also a fever of enterprise in canal building in Ohio, Pennsylvania, Massachusetts, Maryland, and Virginia.

The first voyagers on the Great Lakes, La Salle and Hennepin, set sail in 1678 in a schooner of ten tons, which they had launched near the present city of Kingston, Ontario. From the mouth of the Niagara River they continued their journey by land, and in the following May launched the *Griffin*, the first sailing vessel to navigate the upper lakes. In September they reached their destination at Green Bay. From 1700 until 1756 the construction and navigation of sailing vessels on the lakes was largely confined to Lake Ontario. Then the English began to build and sail vessels upon Lake Erie and Lake Ontario, and the commerce of Lake Ontario increased so fast, that in 1800 it exceeded that of all the other lakes together. The first American vessel to sail Lake Erie was launched at Erie in 1798. The first steam-vessel that navigated the Lakes was built at Sackett's Harbor in 1817, and measured 240 tons. The next year the first steamboat above Niagara Falls was launched at Black Rock, and made voyages between that place and

Detroit. The schooner *Illinois*, 100 tons, was the first vessel to arrive at Chicago from the lower lakes. "This event," writes one, "occurred July 12, 1834, when all the male inhabitants of the village, amounting to nearly 100, assisted in dragging the craft across the bar."

Gibson and Linn, according to Ringwalt, in 1776, descended the Ohio and the Mississippi from Pittsburg to New Orleans, and brought back a cargo of 136 kegs of gunpowder for the use of the continental army. When they reached the falls of the Ohio River they were obliged to unload their boats and carry the cargo around the falls; but the success of their trip gave an impetus to the flatboat trade which has continued in one form or another up to the present time. The first regular packet line between Pittsburg and Cincinnati was established in 1794, and consisted of four keel-boats of twenty tons each. They were much like the modern canal-boats, and could be either propelled by sails, pushed by poles, or towed by horses. Freight charges were high, the following rates for steamboats on the Mississippi having been established by the legislature of Louisiana in 1812: From New Orleans to Louisville, four and one half cents per pound for heavy goods, and six cents for light, averaging five cents per pound, or per ton \$112; from New Orleans to Natchez, three quarters of a cent per pound, or \$1.50 per barrel; and the same rate for all intermediate landings from New Orleans to Louisville. Passage, \$125 for the full trip, and \$30 to Natchez. Half-rates were allowed for tonnage going down the river.

Hon. Levi Woodbury, who made a trip down the Mississippi in 1833, says: "At every village we find from ten to twenty flat-bottom boats, which, besides corn on the ear, pork, bacon, flour, whisky, cattle and fowls, have a great assortment of notions from Cincinnati and elsewhere. Among these are corn brooms, cabinet furniture, cider, apples, plows, cordage, etc. They remain in one place until all is sold out, if the demand be brisk; if not, they move farther down. After all is sold out they dispose of their boat, and return with their crews by the steamers to their homes."

By 1856, however, the steam-tonnage of the Mississippi and its tributaries equaled the steam-tonnage of the whole of Great Britain. Until 1850 the boats measured from 200 to 400 tons; but the builders enlarged their vessels from year to year, until, in 1878, they attained the size of the transatlantic liners. The steam-tonnage of the inland and coast lines of the United States increased from 24,879 tons in 1823 to 1,172,372 tons in 1876, as follows:

INLAND AND COASTWISE FLEETS, 1876.

	NUMBER OF VESSELS.	TONNAGE.
Atlantic and Gulf coasts . . . . .	2,081	665,879
Pacific coast . . . . .	270	78,439
Northern lakes . . . . .	921	201,742
Western rivers . . . . .	1,048	226,312
Total . . . . .	4,320	1,172,372

In 1891 there were on the Great Lakes 3700 steam- and sail-vessels, with a net registered tonnage of 1,250,000 tons. In that year they carried 63,250,000 tons of freight, while in 1890 the ton-mileage carried by this fleet was 18,849,348 ton-miles, or 24.7 per cent. of the ton mileage of all the railroads of the United States. The tonnage of the lake marine more than doubled during the five years from 1887 to 1892. On the 16,000 miles of the navigable waters of the Mississippi River and its tributaries there were afloat, in 1890, 7445 crafts of all kinds, with a registered tonnage of 3,400,000 tons. During the year this fleet carried 30,000,000 tons of freight and 11,000,000 passengers. The Hudson River had, in the same year, a traffic of 5,000,000 passengers and 15,000,000 tons of freight, exclusive of 3,500,000 tons that passed through the canals of New York by way of the Hudson River to tide-water. The total for these four divisions of waterways alone was 111,750,000 tons. The Mississippi Valley rivers furnish transportation facilities for twenty-four States, embracing an area of 1,240,000 square miles.

The average freight rate on wheat from Chicago to New York in 1890 was 5.85 cents per bushel by lake and canal, and 14.31 cents per bushel by rail, the water cost being \$1.94 per ton, and the rail cost \$4.77 per ton. The Erie Canal is only a little over 300 miles long, yet Mr. Albert Fink says that it regulates the freight rates of all the railroads east of the Mississippi River, not only on those whose tracks run parallel with the canal, but upon those which run in the opposite direction.

The development of the railway system of the United States has been without a parallel. Time and distance have been overcome, and the products of the farmers, the lumbermen, the miners, and the artisans now reach in successful competition the markets of the world. The railway had its inception less than seventy years ago in the little four-mile tramway constructed in the town of Quincy, Mass., and operated by horses. The first really important railway was the Baltimore and Ohio, fourteen miles of which were opened in 1830. In the same year the South Carolina Railway was begun; in 1833 it was completed for 136 miles, and was then the long-

est railway in the world. It was also the first railway to carry the United States mails. In 1834 the opening of the Philadelphia and Columbia Railroad, as part of the system of internal improvements of Pennsylvania, gave that State a continuous line of railways and canals from Philadelphia to Pittsburg. In 1835 the Washington branch of the Baltimore and Ohio road was opened. The completion of the Boston and Albany road in 1841, and a connecting-link composing the line from Albany to Buffalo in 1842, marked the opening of the first great railway line. The real beginning of interstate commerce in this country may be said to date from this time.

The total railway mileage of the United States has now reached 178,000 miles, or nearly one half the railway mileage of the world. The total mileage of all tracks reaches 235,000 miles, representing a capital of nearly \$11,000,000,000—an amount equal to one sixth of the entire wealth of the country, and five times greater than the entire circulating currency of the United States. The annual earning capacity of this capital is \$1,200,000,000—an amount more than three times the entire annual revenues of the government; and it operates lines having an annual traffic of over 600,000,000 passengers and 745,000,000 tons of freight. An idea of the magnitude of this single branch, concerned with the transportation of freight, may be conveyed when it is stated that 745,000,000 tons means that a train of cars long enough to reach more than six times around the earth would be required to transport it all at a single load. The average distance over which this freight was hauled by the railroads was about 125 miles. Set a single team to the task, and it would take it something like 1,020,547 years to move the same amount twenty-five miles.

The total number of tons of freight carried by the steamers and sailing vessels of the rivers, lakes, and coastwise transportation routes of the United States in 1890 was 182,448,402; the tonnage moved by the railways in the same year was more than three times greater. Suppose that there had been no increase since 1890 in the water traffic, and add to this amount the freight traffic of the railways during the year 1893, namely, 745,119,482 tons; this would make the total average tonnage of the railways and waterways of the United States 927,967,884. It is difficult to believe that the railways of the country moved in 1893 more than eleven tons of freight for every man, woman, and child within the boundaries of the United States.

As late as 1850 there seems to have been little

conception of the influence which the railways were to wield in the development of the interstate traffic of this great country, and of the country itself. It was thought that they could not successfully compete with waterways and canals, except where a speedy carriage was essential. The solution of the problem of cheap transportation from Pittsburg, for example, was not reached until the railroads threatened to take away all traffic from the traders; so that Pittsburg coal can now be delivered in New Orleans for about \$2.60 per ton, although New Orleans is 2000 miles away by river. Cow Island, on the upper Missouri, is 4300 miles from Pittsburg; yet coal is carried to market there, a distance as great as from New York to the Baltic Sea. Not less than 20,000 miles of inland navigable waters are accessible to these Pennsylvania coal traders. The aggregate number of vessels engaged in this business is more than 4000, and of the 13,000,000 tons of coal that were mined in 1893 in the counties near Pittsburg about 4,500,000 tons were carried to market by water. Yet let me illustrate further the growth of domestic trade in a part of our country which was only lately as remote and undeveloped as the westernmost provinces of Brazil. This growth, due to the transition from the pony express to the transcontinental steam-car, quickened the activities of California and of the whole Pacific slope like the inspiration of a new life. The assessed value of all property within California rose from \$260,563,886 in 1869 to \$584,578,036 in 1879. In 1889 shipments were made over the lines of the Southern Pacific system of 1,140,596,010 pounds from San Francisco, and of 1,571,347,605 to San Francisco. The probable duration of an overland journey from the Missouri River to California before the continental railways were constructed was about 110 days. It took Lewis and Clarke two years and a half to travel from the Mississippi to the mouth of the Columbia and back.

It is claimed that the practically unobstructed competition which has prevailed among railways has been a main cause of many consolidations of railway interests. On the other hand, in defense of consolidation and combination, it is asserted that these result in better and swifter service and lower rates. Whatever the cause or causes, rates generally are much lower than they were ten years ago. On June 30, 1894, 44 railways, each with an operated mileage of over 1000 miles, out of a total of 1039 operating corporations, controlled and operated 56.30 per cent. of the total railway mileage in the United States. Extend the classification to include all roads

operating over 400 miles of line, and it appears that 90 corporations operate 72.90 per cent. of our total railway mileage. In 1837 the superintendent of motive power of the Columbia and Philadelphia Railroad reported that the following charges were imposed on the railroads named:

FREIGHT RATES ON RAILROADS IN 1837.

RAILROAD.	PER TON PER MILE. CENTS.
Baltimore and Ohio .....	4½
Baltimore and Washington .....	4
Winchester and Potomac .....	7
Portsmouth and Roanoke .....	8
Boston and Providence .....	10
Boston and Lowell .....	7
Mohawk and Hudson .....	8
Petersburg .....	10

These rates seem preposterous when compared with the .878 of one cent per ton per mile, which was the average charge on all the railroads of the United States during the year 1893.

The growth of lake commerce in this country is something marvelous. The increase of freight shipments through the St. Mary's Canal, both east and west bound, was from 1,410,347 tons in 1881 to 8,888,759 tons in 1891, or an advance of over 530 per cent. There was an increase in the valuation of this tonnage from \$28,965,612.92 to \$128,178,208.51, or an increase of over 340 per cent. During the season of 225 days in 1891 in which this canal was open there passed through it 7339 steamers and 2405 sail-vessels—a total of 10,191 vessels, or an average of over 45 per day during the entire season. The total registered tonnage for the season was 8,400,680. The freight which passed through the canal was carried an average distance of about 800 miles, at a cost per mile per ton of 1.35 mills. The size of the vessels passing through the canal continues to increase. The average registered tonnage per vessel in 1867 was 626.3 tons, while in 1891 it was 962.1 tons. This freight-tonnage during the season of 1889 amounted to 19,717,860 tons. The tonnage passing through the same canal during the season of 1890, including the foreign and coastwise traffic, amounted to 21,888,472 tons, while the tonnage of all vessels of the Atlantic coast engaged in foreign trade during 1890 was but little more—22,497,817 tons. All the vessel-tonnage engaged in the foreign trade, entering and clearing at London, England, during the same year was 13,480,767 tons, and at Liverpool the same year it was 10,941,800 tons; so that the vessel-tonnage passing through the Detroit River in 1890 was more than 8,000,000 more than that of London, about double that of Liverpool, and nearly equal to that of the two combined.





EDWARD A. MOSELEY.

Another comparison: The tonnage passing through the Suez Canal in 1890 was 6,890,094 tons—less than one third of that passing through the Detroit River. It should be recalled, too, that the Detroit River was open for navigation during the season of 1890 only 228 days, while the Suez Canal was open during the entire year. Take one more comparison: The total tonnage, entrances and clearances, of the foreign and coastwise trade of Chicago and Buffalo for the season of 1890, as compared with that of the four great British ports, was as follows:

	TONS.
Chicago .....	10,288,868
Buffalo .....	9,560,590
London .....	20,962,534
Liverpool .....	16,621,421
Glasgow .....	5,977,860
Hull .....	5,061,882

Carrying the comparison still further, the volume of this inland trade is again shown in the figures giving the foreign trade of the following great commercial ports:

	TONS.
New York .....	12,646,555
Hamburg .....	10,417,096
Antwerp .....	8,203,999
Marseilles .....	7,392,556
Havre .....	4,418,876
Bremen .....	3,481,769
Boston .....	2,676,387
Philadelphia .....	2,585,866
San Francisco .....	1,986,483

It will be seen that the commerce of the two inland cities, Chicago and Buffalo, consisting almost wholly of a coastwise trade within the confines of the Great Lakes, compares most favorably with the tonnage movement of the great maritime cities of the world.

In 1859 the average freight rate by lake on a bushel of corn from Chicago to Buffalo was 15¾ cents; in 1871 the rate was 7½ cents per bushel. In 1857 the average rate by lake and canal on a bushel of wheat from Chicago to New York was 25.29 cents; in 1870 the rate for the same service was 17.1 cents per bushel; in 1880 it was 12.27 cents per bushel; and in 1890, 5.85 cents per bushel. In 1870 the average rate of freight by rail on a bushel of wheat from Chicago to New York was 33.3 cents; in 1880 the rate was 19.9 cents; and in 1890, 14.31 cents. In 1867 the average rate for carrying iron ore from Escanaba to Lake Erie was \$4.25 per ton; in 1870 the average rate was \$2.50 per ton; in 1891 the average rate was 82 cents per ton; and at one time in that year it was as low as 55 cents per ton.

The benefit of these great reductions in lake transportation rates appears very forcibly in the move-

ments of the huge cargoes of coal that are sent from ports on Lake Erie to the harbors of the upper lakes. In 1887 the average rate per ton for lake transportation of coal from Buffalo to Chicago was \$1.05; in 1891 the average rate was fifty cents per ton; and from November 10, 1891, to the close of navigation, coal was carried from Buffalo to Duluth, a distance of 1000 miles, for ten cents per ton. Using the common unit (cost per ton per mile) for comparison, and taking the official report of the movement of freight through the St. Mary's Falls Canal, the ton-mileage rate has decreased as follows: 1887, 2.3 mills; 1888, 1.5 mills; 1889, 1.5 mills; 1890, 1.3 mills. The average revenue per ton of freight per mile on all the railroads of the United States was given at 9.4 mills in 1890, or more than seven times as much as the cost of freight carriage through the St. Mary's Falls Canal.

The regulation of interstate commerce before the Declaration of Independence was by Parliament. Under the Articles of Confederation trade was controlled, where it was controlled at all, by the legislatures of thirteen distinct sovereignties. It soon became evident that the several States would not unite in any general or fixed rule to govern commerce. Discriminations naturally followed, which resulted in confusion and discord among the different parts of the confederacy. Accordingly one of the reforms demanded under the old confederacy, and introduced in the Constitutional Convention, was that "Congress shall have power . . . to regulate commerce . . . among the several States." The dissatisfaction among the States in respect to the interchange of trade, and the urgent demand for a uniform and general principle controlling their commerce, were clearly shown in the debates of the Constitutional Convention. The following contemporaneous opinions are of interest:

"The want of authority in Congress, under the confederation, to regulate commerce had produced in foreign nations, particularly Great Britain, a monopolizing policy injurious to the trade of the United States. . . . The same want of a general power over commerce led to an exercise of the power, separately, by the States, which not only proved abortive, but engendered rival, conflicting, and angry regulations." (Madison Papers, vol. v., p. 119.)

"The oppression of the uncommercial States was guarded against by the power to regulate trade between the States." (Mr. Sherman, Deb. on Fed. Cons., Mad. Pap., vol. v., p. 434, 1787.)

"Mr. Carroll and Mr. L. Martin expressed their apprehensions, and the probable apprehensions of

their constituents, that, under the power of regulating trade, the general legislature might favor the ports of particular States, by requiring vessels destined to or from other States to enter thereat." (*Ibid.*, p. 455.)

To cover this defect, Art. I., Sec. 9, Cl. 6, of the Constitution was enacted, to wit: "No preference shall be given by any regulation of commerce or revenue to the ports of one State over those of another, nor shall vessels bound to or from one State be obliged to enter, clear, or pay duties in another."

General Washington, in a letter to a friend on the weakness of the confederation, and pleading for a stronger government, wrote: "We have abundant reason to be convinced that the spirit of trade which pervades these States is not to be repressed. It behooves us, then, to establish just principles, and this cannot, any more than other matters of national concern, be done by thirteen heads differently constructed and organized. The necessity, therefore, of a controlling power is obvious, and why it should be withheld is beyond my comprehension."

Alexander Hamilton, in the "Federalist," Letter VII., wrote: "The competition of commerce would be another fruitful source of contention. The States less favorably circumstanced would be desirous of escaping from the disadvantages of local situation, and of sharing in the advantages of their more fortunate neighbors. Each State or separate confederacy would pursue a system of commercial probity peculiar to itself. This would occasion distinctions, preferences, and exclusions which would beget discontent. The habits of intercourse on the basis of equal privileges, to which we have been accustomed from the earliest settlement of the country, would give a keener edge to those causes of discontent than they would naturally have, independent of the circumstances." Also, in Letter XXII.: "The interfering and unneighborly regulations of some States, contrary to the true spirit of the Union, have, in different instances, given just cause of umbrage and complaint to others; and it is to be feared that examples of this nature, if not restrained by a national control, would be multiplied and extended till they became not less serious sources of animosity and discord than injurious impediments to the intercourse between the different parts of the confederacy."

In the debates of the Constitutional Convention the clause regulating commerce, etc., was agreed to *nem. con.*, not even a yea-and-nay vote being taken. When the grant of this power to regulate commerce among the States was made by the Constitution, the traffic which might be controlled under it was

quite insignificant. On the land there was nothing that could approach the dignity of interstate commerce, and its regulation, as also of that which was exclusively State traffic, was for the most part left to the rules of the common law. The exceptional regulations, if any seemed to be called for, were made by the State laws. For the regulation of commerce on the ocean and other navigable waters, Congress very promptly passed the necessary laws; but its jurisdiction within the limits of the States was not very clearly understood, and it was not until the celebrated case of *Gibbons vs. Ogden*, decided in 1824, that it was authoritatively and finally determined that the waters of a State, when they constituted a highway for foreign and interstate commerce, are, so far as concerns such commerce, as much within the reach of Federal legislation as are the high seas, and consequently that exclusive right for their navigation cannot be granted by States whose limits embrace them. But while providing from time to time for the regulation of commerce by water, Congress still abstained from undertaking the regulation of commerce by land. The reasons were the same. The land commerce was insignificant, and the rules of the common law were in general found adequate for the settlement of any questions. When Congress provided for the construction of the Cumberland road, it was thought undesirable to regulate its use by national law, or to take national supervision of the commerce upon it; and it was left to the supervision and care of the States through or into which the road was built. With the application of steam as a motive power for propelling vessels, conditions were immediately changed. But even then the circumstances were favorable to a prolongation of State control. The first improved highways were turnpikes, the next in grade canals; but the highways by water, as well as the highways by land, were provided for by the States. It was not unnatural that they should be left in charge of the regulation of trade upon them, especially as no complaint was made that their regulations were unjust, or that they discriminated unfairly as against the citizens or the business of other States. When, in 1830, steam-power began to be applied to the propulsion of vehicles upon land, the same conditions continued to prevail. The power of the Federal government in the regulation of commerce between the States was put forth negatively rather than affirmatively; that is to say, it was put forth in restraint of excessive State power, instead of by way of affirmative national regulation.

<sup>1</sup> See First Annual Report of the Interstate Commerce Commission.



The subject of the management of railways in respect to interstate commerce had been more or less discussed in Congress, when in March, 1885, a resolution was adopted by the United States Senate empowering a select committee, known subsequently as the Cullom Committee, to investigate it. On January 18, 1886, this committee submitted a report based upon testimony contained in more than 1450 printed pages. On page 40 the committee says: "Unjust discrimination is the chief cause of complaint against the management of railroads in the conduct of business, and gives rise to much of the pressure upon Congress for regulating legislation."

In summing up the testimony, on pages 180-182 the committee says: "The complaints against the railroad systems of the United States expressed to the committee are based upon the following charges: (1) That local rates are unreasonably high, compared with through rates. (2) That both local and through rates are unreasonably high at non-competing points, either from absence of competition or in consequence of pooling agreements that restrict its operation. (3) That rates are established without apparent regard to the actual cost of the service performed, and are based largely upon what the traffic will bear. (4) That unjustifiable discriminations are constantly made between individuals in the rates charged for like service under similar circumstances. (5) That improper discriminations are made between articles of freight and branches of business of a like character, and between different quantities of the same class of freight. (6) That unreasonable discriminations are made between localities similarly situated. (7) That the effect of the prevailing policy of railroad management is, by an elaborate system of secret special rates, rebates, drawbacks, and concessions, to foster monopoly, to enrich favored shippers, and to prevent free competition in many lines of trade in which the item of transportation is an important factor. (8) That such favoritism and secrecy introduce an element of uncertainty into legitimate business that greatly retards the development of our industries and commerce. (9) That the secret cutting of rates, and the sudden fluctuations that constantly take place, are demoralizing to all business except that of a purely speculative character, and frequently occasion great injustice and heavy losses. (10) That in the absence of national and uniform legislation the railroads are able, by various devices, to avoid their responsibility as carriers, especially on shipments over more than one road, or from one State to another, and that shippers find great difficulty in recovering damages for the loss of

property or for injury thereto. (11) That railroads refuse to be bound by their own contracts, and arbitrarily collect large sums in the shape of overcharges, in addition to the rates agreed upon at the time of shipment. (12) That railroads often refuse to recognize or be responsible for the acts of dishonest agents acting under their authority. (13) That the common law fails to afford a remedy for such grievances, and that in case of dispute the shipper is compelled to submit to the decision of the railroad manager or pool commissioner, or run the risk of incurring further losses by greater discriminations. (14) That the differences in the classifications in use in various parts of the country, and sometimes for shipment over the same road in different directions, are a fruitful source of misunderstandings, and are often made a means of extortion. (15) That a privileged class is created by the granting of passes, and that the cost of the passenger service is largely increased by the extent of this abuse. (16) That the capitalization and bonded indebtedness of the roads largely exceed the actual cost of their construction or their present value, and that unreasonable rates are charged in the efforts to pay dividends on watered stock and interest on bonds improperly issued. (17) That railroad corporations have improperly engaged in lines of business entirely distinct from that of transportation, and that undue advantages have been afforded to business enterprises in which railroad officials are interested. (18) That the management of the railroad business is extravagant and wasteful, and that a needless tax is imposed upon the shipping and traveling public by the unnecessary expenditure of large sums in the maintenance of a costly force of agents engaged in a reckless strife for competitive business."

The report of Senator Cullom's Committee formed the basis of the law commonly known as the Interstate Commerce Act, which became effective April 3, 1887. The Supreme Court in the case of the Union Pacific Railway Company against Goodridge, October term, 1892, in speaking of a similar act of the State of Colorado, said: "This act was intended to apply to interstate traffic the same wholesome rules and regulations which Congress two years thereafter applied to commerce between the States, and to cut up by the roots the entire system of rebates and discriminations in favor of particular localities, special enterprises, or favored corporations, and to put all shippers on an absolute equality."

The statute recognizes the fact that it is no proper business for a common carrier to foster particular enterprises or to build up new industries; but, deriving its franchise from the legislature, and depending

upon the will of the people for its very existence, it is bound to deal fairly with the public, to extend reasonable facilities for the transportation of persons and property, and to put all its patrons upon an absolute equality. The laws making the giving of transportation privileges a criminal offense are at present difficult of enforcement. Public opinion has not yet been roused to the energetic condemnation which is necessary to make these special favors as completely unknown as they are at the post-office window, where the value of every stamp must be paid.

At the head of all the vast machinery employed in moving interstate commerce are men of integrity, and of ability rarely developed in other walks of life, broad-gauged men, to whom the public is indebted for the efficiency with which they carry on their stupendous enterprises. Under the railway presidents are the traffic managers, the passenger and freight agents. The feeling of these men that they must serve solely the corporations which employ them has grown to be a second nature with them. Their duty to the government and to the public, therefore, is sometimes obscured, and it is hard for them to realize that many practices which they have come to regard as ordinary business methods are wrong. So also the shipper and the merchant find it hard to realize that the push and barter and dicker that have made them successful must be abandoned when they ship their merchandise; that it is no longer to be bargained for, and cannot be carried except at a rate open to every competitor.


On February 4, 1887, the Act of Congress creating the Interstate Commerce Commission, and investing it with authority to regulate certain matters with respect to commerce which were detrimental to the public interest, and with authority to require annual reports from all carriers engaged in carrying interstate commerce, was passed. This act, being in the nature of experimental legislation, has not accomplished all that its framers hoped or intended, but that great good has been accomplished cannot be denied. Various defects in its practical application have from time to time been brought to the attention of Congress, and amendments to remedy some of them have been adopted. The statistics compiled from the reports required under the provisions of this act have marked a new era in railway statistics in this country. Being compiled from sworn reports made up on a uniform plan and for a uniform period, in compliance with a requirement of law, and published as official documents of the government, they are

accepted as authority, and eagerly sought after by the public and by railway officers.

I may observe in closing that within the past two or three years the courts have taken advanced ground in asserting the power of the Federal government over interstate commerce. It was held by the Supreme Court in the case of Debs that "the government of the United States is one having jurisdiction over every foot of soil within its territory, and acting directly upon each citizen; that while it is a government of enumerated powers, it has within the limits of those powers all the attributes of sovereignty; that to it is committed power over interstate commerce and the transmission of the mail; that the powers thus conferred upon the national government are not dormant, but have been assumed and put into practical exercise by the legal action of Congress; that in the exercise of those powers it is competent for the nation to remove all obstructions upon highways, natural or artificial, to the passage of interstate commerce or the carrying of the mail; that while it may be competent for the government (through the executive branch, and in the use of the entire executive power of the nation) to forcibly remove all such obstructions, it is equally within its competency to appeal to the civil courts for an inquiry and determination as to the existence and character of any alleged obstructions, and if such are found to exist, or threaten to occur, to invoke the powers of those courts to remove or restrain such obstructions." In this case the extent and nature of the power of the Federal government over interstate commerce, and the methods by which that power can be applied, were discussed. It was decided that the United States Circuit Court, sitting as a court of equity, has power to enjoin, at the instance of the Attorney-General of the United States, acts of obstruction to interstate commerce, notwithstanding that the acts enjoined, or some of them, might amount to offenses against the criminal law of the United States.

While it is clearly the fact that, under our form of government, the national authority has no excuse for interfering with the relations existing between employer and employee in ordinary business transactions, it is maintained by many that as the government has control of the agencies engaged in interstate commerce, those who are employed by such agencies are also engaged in the public service, and for that reason an obligation exists on the part of Congress to enact such legislation as will tend to settle differences which may arise between railroads and their employees without causing inconvenience to the public.

*Edw. A. Moseley*



## CHAPTER V

### THE POSTAL SERVICE IN COMMERCE

IT is something more than a mere figure of speech to call the post-office the right hand of commerce. The rapid transmission of news, domestic and public, has been of enormous benefit to individuals and the general community, but to the merchant it has been paramountly one of the most important factors in successfully carrying on his commercial enterprises. We can scarcely conceive how a business of any consequence could ever have been prosecuted without the aid of this most important and, I am happy to say, best appreciated branch of the government service. To tell the story of the post-office in commerce, therefore, would be to recite the history of the service itself, from the time in England, in 1533, when the few posts that were established were for the exclusive use of the sovereign, down to the present day, when the letter of the poorest and most despised person in the British dominions or in the United States is treated as sacredly and handled with as much care as though it were written by the Queen of England or the President of our country. Even with the generous space allotted to me I can only hope to allude briefly to the most important episodes in the service, whose history is a part of the annals of commercial progress throughout the world.

At the beginning of the seventeenth century there were only four established posts in the British dominions—one to Ireland, one to Scotland, one to Plymouth, and one to Dover, the last-named being the most important and most used, because it passed through the county of Kent, the highroad to the Continent. There were no commercial relations between one town and another, but the foreign trade was considerable. Many foreigners, on account of being persecuted in their native countries, had been driven to London. It was the era of the Flemish merchant, who introduced the manufacture of woolen cloth, and so successfully that the exports from England to the Netherlands in the time of

Philip II. amounted to 5,000,000 crowns annually. These Flemish merchants were exceptionally intelligent, and nearly all the peasants they employed were able to read and write. A nice little quarrel arose between the crown and the foreign merchants in London. The latter claimed the right to send their letters by their own agents; the crown insisted that all communications should be sent through the regular channel. This feud had existed for many years. A proclamation issued in 1591 gave the state a monopoly of carrying letters through the county of Kent, a law which was applied to all the postal routes eighteen years later. In 1603 another proclamation gave to those who furnished horses for the post carriers the exclusive right of letting horses to travelers; but the foreign merchants, against whom these proclamations were directed, still persisted in sending their letters by their own special messengers, procuring horses from other quarters. Another proclamation, in which magistrates were urged to see that horses were procured at the post-houses alone, had no effect. Under Lord Stanhope, the master of the posts (what we should call the postmaster-general) at that time, there was a foreigner of the name of De Quester, who was superintendent of the foreign post, and who had discharged his duties so faithfully, sending the government despatches with such promptness, that the king, in 1619, made him "Postmaster of England for Foreign Parts out of the King's Dominions." Doubtless this appointment was partly intended to induce the foreign merchants to give up their special messengers; but it not only failed to produce that effect, but gave dire offense to Lord Stanhope, who had letters patent to his office which declared that he had charge of the internal parts of the kingdom and those "beyond the seas within the king's dominions." In this way, through the practice of the foreign merchants in employing special messengers, a serious quarrel was brought about between Lord

Stanhope, De Quester, and the king, which was referred to the Privy Council for settlement. The Council finally agreed that the foreign merchants (who, by the way, were called "merchant adventurers") were "to have a post of their owne choice" to the city of Hamburg and town of Delft, "where the staples of cloth are now fetched, or to have such other place or places whither the same shall happen to be removed." This action superseded De Quester's appointment, though some few restrictions were imposed upon the merchants. Stanhope gained a lawsuit he had instituted to defend his rights, and Billingsley, a broker who had been carrying the foreign merchants' letters, was sent to prison, but afterward, on petition to the king, released.

From the earliest days of the English post-office the merchants had been favored; their bills of exchange, invoices, and bills of lading, when written on a single sheet of paper, were exempt from postage. The postmaster-general contended that the exemption applied only to foreign letters; the merchants claimed that inland letters were included; otherwise, they shrewdly observed, "letters might go cheaper to Constantinople than to Bristol." The result of the controversy was that the merchants procured an act to be passed declaring their interpretation of the law to be correct.

When Sir Rowland Hill, the father of penny postage, was making his brave fight for postal reform, he was glad to have the aid of a committee of London merchants to collect evidence in favor of his plans. The chairman of this committee was Mr. Bates, of the house of Baring Brothers; and other members equally prominent were obtained without difficulty. When the act in favor of penny postage had passed the House of Commons the measure had to come before the House of Lords. The ultraconservative element were in the habit of saying in those days, "Thank God, there 's a House of Lords!" One of the members of the Mercantile Committee, with an enterprise that would be commendable in a nineteenth-century journalist, sought to "interview" the Duke of Marlborough, who was a member of the Upper House, thinking, very properly, that if some expression from him in favor of the measure could be obtained before it came up for consideration in the House of Lords, it would be of immense advantage to the postal reformers. But "interviewing" was not in vogue in that day, and the noble lords were unapproachable, especially to persons who had "views" about reforming any branch of the English government. The merchant, representing the committee, wrote to the duke that

they would like to see him and present their reasons for demanding reform in postal matters, and a reduction of the rate to a penny. The duke's reply, through his secretary, was that "he is not in the habit of discussing public affairs in private, and he declines to receive the visits of deputations or individuals for the purpose of such discussions." Rowland Hill then wrote a letter to his Grace, giving his reasons for the establishment of a uniform penny postage. The duke never answered the letter, but when the debate came up in the House of Lords he supported the measure. The merchant of to-day will smile, as I suppose the merchants of that day were amused, at the objection of one noble lord to Rowland Hill's scheme. He argued that, under the low rate of postage, the amount of correspondence would be so greatly increased that "the whole area on which the post-office stands would not be large enough to receive the clerks and the letters." The mind of many an English official or statesman becomes peculiarly dense when he comes face to face with some reformatory measure that is going to make things easier and more convenient for his government or the English people. Rowland Hill mildly observed that his lordship should have no hesitation in deciding "whether, in this great and commercial country, the size of the post-office is to be regulated by the amount of correspondence, or the amount of correspondence by the size of the post-office."

In the early history of the post-office in America it is singular that our colonies were considered second in importance to one of the West Indian Islands. By an order of the English government in 1688, after prescribing the rates of postage to be charged between the mother country and Jamaica, the order reads: "And his Majesty is also pleased to order that letter-offices be settled in such other of his Majesty's plantations in America as shall be found convenient for the service and the ease and benefit of his subjects." Four years later, in 1692, Thomas Neale obtained a grant from the crown authorizing him to "set up posts in North America." Neale never left England, but appointed Andrew Hamilton his representative in this country. By 1698 a weekly post, running over 700 miles of road, had been established between New York and Boston, and from New York to New Castle in Pennsylvania. The postage on a letter between New York and Boston was a shilling. £20 a year was paid "to Mr. Sharpus, that keeps the letter-office at New York," who earned £170 in addition for carrying the mail half-way to Boston, and the mail from New York to Philadelphia. A salary of £10 was

"allowed to him that keeps the letter-office at Philadelphia," and an allowance of £100 to the deputy postmaster of Virginia and Maryland.

The receipts from the service increased each year. In 1693 the receipts of the New York office were £61; in 1695, £82; in 1696, £93; in 1697, £122. The "Boston, Road Island, Connecticut, and Piscataway posts" produced from £148 the first two years to £298 in the fourth. The post to Philadelphia kept improving, but the Virginia and Maryland routes never yielded anything; in fact, were run at a loss of £600, the correspondence not exceeding 100 letters a year. The whole system did not pay expenses, and in 1697 Neale was £2360 out of pocket. The great question was then, as it has been even in later years, "How can the postal service be made self-supporting?" Hamilton proposed that the rates should be raised, that the post carriers should go "ferry free," and that ship-captains (after a regular postal rate had been settled between England and America) on both sides of the Atlantic should be required to take the mail they had, at once, to the post-office of the port at which they first touched. Under the new rate, the charge, where the distance was not more than eighty miles from New York, was sixpence; to and from Boston, twelvence; to and from Boston and Annapolis, Md., thirty-six pence; "to and from New York and James Towne, 380 miles, and many broad and dangerous bays and rivers to be ferried over," thirty pence. The English government, according to its own home officials, had not supported the postal service in the colonies as it should have done, the extent of its interest showing itself in an annual appropriation of £50, in consideration of which the government letters were to be carried free. Its own postmasters-general, about this period, admitted that the posts in private hands could not prosper for want of due encouragement, and they recommended that the service should be carried on by the government. Neale's offer to sell his patent for £5000, or £1000 a year for life, or for the unexpired term of the grant (about sixteen years), was not accepted by the government. He died in debt, his interest in the posts having been transferred to Hamilton, who died in 1703, when his widow took charge of the business for three or four years, and in 1707 the posts became vested in the crown. In 1722 the posts began to be self-supporting. In August of that year the postmaster-general wrote: "We have now put the post-office in North America and the West Indies upon such a foot that for the future, if it produce no profit to the revenue, it will no longer

be a charge to it; but we have good reason to hope there will be some return rather from thence."

In these early days, when there was a monthly service between Boston and New York, the post-office in the metropolis was a locked box that stood in the office of the secretary of the colony. It took four weeks, in those times, to accumulate a post-rider's mail, even with the "small portable goods" that were allowed to be carried in that way. Later on, in 1775, after the time of Benjamin Franklin, the first postal reformer, who established the penny post, made newspapers pay, quickened the pace of the riders, advertised letters, etc., the New York post-office was located in a printing-house in Water Street. Ebenezer Hazard, a bookseller, was the postmaster, and William Goddard, an enterprising journalist and printer of New York (born in New London, Conn.), had charge of the route to Philadelphia, Mr. Hazard managing the route to Boston. This latter route will be remembered for notable exploits in the way of post riding, including the ride of Paul Revere, who in 1773 rode from Boston to New York, and thence to Philadelphia, with the news of the "Boston tea-party"; that of Ebenezer Hurd, who was in the service forty-eight years, traveling over as much space as twelve and one half times around the world, or as far as the moon and half-way back; and the most famous ride of Paul Revere in 1775, when he proclaimed the intended movement of the British army to Lexington and aroused the people to arms.

The development of the ocean postal service presents interesting phases. In the days of New Amsterdam the whole colony looked upon the arrival of a ship as the most important event of the day. It was of special interest to the merchants, whose correspondence was first delivered to them, after which the letters for the general public were distributed, the crowd always being down at the dock waiting to receive their mail. The masters of ships sailing to and from America in those days unconsciously instituted what the well-known reformer, Mr. J. Henniker Heaton, of England, is striving to bring about in the present day—ocean penny postage; that is to say, correspondents would drop letters in a coffee-bag hung up in one of the coffee-houses that were so common then on both sides of the water, and the masters of the vessels would call for the mail just before sailing, and deliver the letters at the port of destination, charging one penny for a single letter and twopence for a double one.

When Thomas Neale (already mentioned in this article) failed to make the inland post pay in the

colonies, he proposed to establish sea rates of postage. Letters would then be in charge of the post-office, and the shipmaster, as its agent, would hand them over to a postal official on arriving in port. Correspondence, it was argued, that was being delivered by private hand, under the new system would have to pass through the posts and pay regular rates, which should be sixpence for a single letter, one shilling for a double letter, and one shilling sixpence for a packet. The English postal authorities of that day were wiser than those of the time of Rowland Hill, for they answered that the way to increase the revenue of the post-office was to "make the intercourse of letters easy to people." Rowland Hill, one hundred and fifty-nine years later, had to struggle long and hard to convince the post-office department of the truth of this proposition, while the postmasters-general in the time of Neale wrote: "The easy and cheap corresponding doth encourage people to write letters," and declared that the postal revenue had been increased when the rate, before this time, had been reduced from sixpence to threepence.

The system of the coffee-house delivery of letters was used by the residents of "Breucklyn, Pavonia, and Hackensack," who left their mail at some well-known tavern previously agreed upon. This custom was followed until after the English took possession of New York. The best-known coffee-houses in New York were the Exchange Coffee-House, located at the foot of Broad Street, and the Merchants', located on the southeast corner of Wall and Water streets.

After the War of 1812 the mails were carried by the packet service, which had been rapidly developed, owing to the increased trade between America and Europe. Frequent trips were made, and the facilities for foreign correspondence were much better than they had been. Then, from 1840 to 1855, came the era of the clipper-ships, which were built with special reference to speed, and whose services were quickly utilized by the American newspapers, the best representatives of our national spirit of enterprise. One of these clipper-ships, in 1846 (the *Toronto*, of the Morgan Line), beat the Cunard steamer from Liverpool, bringing a copy of the London "Times," containing European intelligence, forty-two days later than the last paper received. The New York "Herald" secured this prize, and published an "extra" about it the same afternoon.

In 1845 Congress authorized the postmaster-general to make contracts for the transportation of the foreign mails, which had now become an important feature of the postal service. After the ocean mail service had become fairly started it was im-

proved rapidly. Various suggestions have been made from time to time as to granting subsidies for this service. My own opinion is that the ships should receive proper compensation for carrying the mails, on the same plan that we pay the railroads, or should do the work under contract for specified distances. The amount of foreign mail carried has increased enormously. In 1840, when the *Great Western* brought it over, the British mail amounted to two sacks; at the present time it amounts to five or six truck-loads. Over 100,000 letters are now despatched from New York every sailing-day, and nearly the same number are received. The next great step in perfecting this branch of the service will be universal international penny postage. To bring about this change, Mr. J. Henniker Heaton, M.P. from Canterbury, has been and is working with the same intelligence and persistency that characterized Rowland Hill; and eventually, I hope and believe, he will meet with the same success.

The growth of the railway mail service is another most important feature in the history of the postal service. The railroad was first used as a post-office in England in 1837, between Liverpool and Birmingham. On the completion of the railroad line the following year what was called the "flying mail" train was started from the British metropolis to Birmingham. In 1834 the mails were being conveyed in the United States over seventy-eight miles of railroad, being carried in closed bags. In 1860 Postmaster-General Holt arranged to run a mail-train between New York and Boston, via Hartford and Springfield, with the idea of forwarding East the Southern mail more promptly, instead of allowing it, as the practice had been, to remain over a day in New York. The following year a railroad mail was established between New York and Washington. In 1863 it was suggested that "post-office cars" could be placed on the principal railroad lines, and that clerks could sort the mail for the terminal points and intervening stations while the cars were in transit. A test of this system was made in 1864, under the direction of the postmaster-general, by Colonel George B. Armstrong, at that time assistant postmaster at Chicago. The test was made between Chicago and Clinton, Ia., August 28, 1864. There were then no pigeonhole cases for letters, nor such conveniences for handling the mails as now exist. Under the system then in vogue they were not necessary; for postmasters were required to post-bill all letters, paid and unpaid, wrap them in paper, those for each post-office in the State being done up separately, and write the name of the post-



THOMAS L. JAMES.





office of destination on the package. Those for other States were massed together, wrapped up, and addressed to the nearest distributing post-office.

In 1864 a successful experiment of the same kind was made on the route between New York and Washington, expert clerks from the principal Eastern cities being selected for the work, which, it may be said, has been always exceptionally well done. Even as far back as 1863 a convention of special agents reported of the employees: "The amount of labor they perform and the degree of intelligence exhibited can hardly be estimated outside the department."

In 1865, in quick succession, postal cars were placed on the lines between Chicago and Davenport, Ia., Chicago and Dunleith, Ill.; and the Chicago-Burlington and Galesburg-Quincy lines were established. The first railway postal service was put on the Philadelphia-Pittsburg route, on all the principal railroad lines leading out of Chicago, and on the Hudson River and New York Central railroads, between New York, Albany, and Buffalo. The new system made more rapid progress in the West than in the East, the New York and Washington and the New York and Albany-Buffalo being for a long time the only postal-car routes. But the success of the service in the West led to its extension not only in the Eastern States, but over the whole country, so that by 1872 there were railway post-offices on fifty-seven lines of road.

Another improvement that marks the progress of the postal service was the change in the rate of postage in 1851. Before that year the rate was five cents per half-ounce for a distance not exceeding 300 miles, and ten cents exceeding that distance. In the year mentioned the rate was changed to three cents per half-ounce for a distance not exceeding 3000 miles, and ten cents exceeding that distance. The use of adhesive stamps was authorized in 1847 and made compulsory in 1856. In 1863 the distance limit for carrying a letter was removed. In the same year the free-delivery or carrier system was established in 49 cities. In 1895 the carrier service is in use in 610 cities. There are about 12,000 carriers employed, at an annual cost of about \$11,323,000. There are twice the number of carriers now employed in Chicago than were in the service throughout the entire country in 1864.

In 1854 the registry system was established, which is certainly one of the greatest conveniences the commercial world possesses. It took five years to


improve it and bring it into general use. The safety of the system is illustrated by the fact that the losses by fire, accident, and theft amount to but one in every 16,306 pieces. About 15,000,000 pieces of all classes of matter are registered in a year. In 1864 the money-order system was established. Within the first six months 419 offices were made money-order offices; now there are nearly 20,000 such offices.

Business men, more especially publishers, will recall the law of 1875 which enabled them to mail newspapers and periodicals at the rate of two cents per pound. Ten years later this law was amended so as to make the rate one cent per pound. In making this change the government showed that it recognized the newspaper and the periodical as educators. Although this wise provision has been abused to such an extent as to make it largely responsible for the postal deficiency, it is safe to say that the law can be so amended in the future as to stop the abuses complained of, and at the same time preserve the undoubted advantages which, by its operation, are conferred upon the people.

In the extent of its work and the manner in which the service is performed it is safe to say that the postal department in this country cannot be excelled by any other in the world. A late English writer (Mr. Herbert Joyce, of the London post-office) has this to say: "American progress has long been the wonder of the world, and in nothing, perhaps, has it displayed itself more remarkably than in the matter of the posts. The figures which the United States post-office presents to us year after year—figures as compared with which even those of the post-office of Great Britain fall into insignificance—make it difficult to believe that only two hundred years ago an enterprising Englishman [Thomas Neale] was struggling to erect a post between New York and Boston."

The United States spends more money on its postal service than any other nation, the expenditures in 1874 amounting to \$84,000,000, while Germany, the next in postal rank, expended less than \$64,000,000, and Great Britain less than \$37,000,000. The United States is ahead of the other countries in annual transportation on railroads and other roads, the miles of service in 1894 being 264,717,595; and in Germany, next in rank, 112,480,758. Our postal service gives employment to about 180,000 persons; that of Germany to 155,000; and that of Great Britain to 131,000.

*Thomas L. James*



## CHAPTER VI

### OUR MERCHANT MARINE

**E**ASTWARD for 3000 miles of the group of fifteen States along the fringe of the sea from Massachusetts to Georgia, which Jay's treaty gave a recognized place among the maritime and commercial powers of the world, stretched the barren Atlantic; for 3000 miles to the west stretched forest, plain, prairie, mountain, and lake, storing a wealth the extent of which no man of that time, even in the most extravagant burst of enthusiastic prophecy, was to conjecture, and the development of which has been the marvel of man's industrial progress. If our merchant marine has lagged far behind our other national industries; if, for the time, it has been outstripped by competitors, while American manufacture and agriculture have pushed themselves into the front rank, it must be borne in mind that illimitable natural resources, roughly to be gauged by the creation into new States of over 2,000,000 square miles of territory, and by an increase of upward of 60,000,000 in population during the century, have stood behind the latter. The American merchant marine, on the other hand, in the unrestricted rivalry of nations,—which, from the nature of the element, must obtain upon the high seas,—for forty years has been hampered by the retarded use of modern materials of construction, and by restrictions forbidding it to enter that rivalry on even terms with competing nations, which have sought out and applied every device to promote their own navigation.

The record of the American merchant marine from 1795 to the present day may be divided into two periods. The first, covering two thirds of the century after the promulgation of Jay's treaty, was a period of growth, culminating in the possession of the largest tonnage which up to that time had ever borne the flag of any nation but one, and in the attainment by the United States of a rank on the ocean second only to that of Great Britain and all her colonies combined, with the promise that before

many years our sea power would be unsurpassed. At the end of the second period the total tonnage of our great rival surpasses ours three to one, and on the ocean nine to one. We hold by uncertain tenure third rank as a mercantile power on the sea; and of the hundreds of steamships under every flag crossing the Atlantic and the Pacific from our shores to the Old World, only fifteen fly the Stars and Stripes. The dividing-line in time between these strongly contrasting periods was vaguely within the decade from 1855 to 1865. The forces which during this interval turned our maritime progress into retrogression, in the order of their ultimate importance, were the substitution of iron for wood as the chief material of marine construction, the diversion of the nation's energies from the sea to internal development, and the losses inflicted upon our mercantile marine by the Civil War. Even these causes would not have sufficed to produce such destructive results had not the inadequacy of our laws, compared with the laws of rival nations, intensified their operations. Wherein lies that inadequacy and how it may be remedied are questions which unfortunately are matters of partizan dispute. They cannot, accordingly, be discussed within the limitations necessarily placed upon this volume.

On December 31, 1789, the merchant fleet of the United States amounted to 201,562 tons, of which 123,893 tons were registered for the foreign trade, 68,607 tons enrolled for the coasting trade, and the remainder engaged in the fisheries. In May, 1789, James Madison, in the House of Representatives, stated that the tonnage entered in Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Virginia, South Carolina, and Georgia amounted to 437,641 tons (including repeated voyages), of which only 160,907 tons were foreign. "This circumstance," said Mr. Madison, "annexed to our capacity of increasing the quantity of our tonnage, gives us a favorable presage of our future independence." By 1795 the

tonnage of our merchant fleet had increased to 747,965 tons, and in 1820, in spite of the oppressive influence of the Embargo acts, to 1,280,167 tons, 583,657 tons of which were in foreign trade, compared with a tonnage for the entire British empire of 2,648,593 tons. Three years later the American tonnage (counting repeated voyages) entering the United States from foreign ports amounted to 810,761 tons, compared with 119,487 foreign, of which 89,553 tons were British.

At the outset the efforts of the United States to engage in the carrying trade were met by discriminating duties imposed by our older rivals on American vessels. Sharp retaliation, begun by the first Congress and consistently followed up, forced nation after nation to withdraw from this mode of warfare upon our commercial life, and led to a series of treaties of friendship, navigation, and commerce, which are the basis of our trade relations with the world. By these treaties, associated with illustrious presidents, and negotiated, as secretaries of state and ministers, by Albert Gallatin, John Quincy Adams, Henry Clay, Martin Van Buren, Daniel Webster, James Buchanan, Hamilton Fish, Thomas F. Bayard, and others, the United States obtained for their vessels in the ports of nearly every civilized nation equal treatment with that accorded to the vessels of the nation itself, and in return granted to foreign vessels in our ports the same treatment which we accord to American vessels. The negotiation of these treaties is doubtless the most splendid achievement of American diplomacy; it is surely one of the greatest boons ever conferred upon the mercantile marine of the world. The destructive effects of discriminating and retaliatory taxation of shipping upon all who resort to it had been forced home upon our early statesmen by the experience of the colonies and of the Confederation; and in freeing for all time American shipping, and with it the shipping of the world, from such warfare, they gave to navigation and to the international trade by which it lives an impetus equal in its way to that given by the substitution of steam for sail.

Enlisting a people predisposed to the sea, within easy reach of boundless forests permitting the building of vessels more economically than was possible in England, which was already compelled to import much of its ship-timber, and freed by diplomacy from foreign restrictions, the American merchant marine in 1860 had reached the impressive total of 5,353,868 tons, of which 2,379,396 tons were registered for foreign trade. The total tonnage of the United Kingdom was but 4,586,742 tons, and of

the entire British empire, 5,710,968 tons, while the combined tonnage of France, the component parts of the present German empire, and Norway was less than the tonnage we were employing in foreign trade alone. The tonnage (including repeated voyages) of American vessels entering the United States from foreign ports during that year was 5,921,285, and of foreign vessels, 2,353,911 tons. The tonnage of American vessels entering and clearing at the ports of Great Britain and Ireland was 2,981,697 tons, against 3,227,591 tons German and French combined.

In 1850 the new tonnage built by the United States amounted to 272,218 tons, while that built by Great Britain amounted to only 133,695 tons. In 1860 our new tonnage was 214,798, and that of our foremost rival, 301,535 tons. Our relative positions had changed during the decade before the war. In 1855, the year of our greatest construction, the United States built 2027 vessels, of an aggregate tonnage of 583,450, of which 381 were full-rigged ships. By a steady and rapid decline, without equal in our marine history, the product of our yards in four years fell to 875 vessels, of 156,602 tons, in 1859, of which but 89 were full-rigged ships, rising in 1860, but only to 214,798 tons. The decline is not to be attributed to the substitution of steam for sail, for, as the home of Robert Fulton, this country in the early years of steam-navigation easily took and held the first rank. In 1860 our steam fleet aggregated 867,937 tons, of which 97,296 tons were registered, against a total steam tonnage of only 500,144 for the entire British empire. But the change from wood—the material of marine construction in which our new country abounded—to iron, in the cheap production of which Great Britain excelled, completely altered the conditions of ship-building, and thus changed the conditions of our own and competing merchant marines. The reasons for this change of material, as well as the changes in models which it necessitated, may be more appropriately considered under American Ship Building. Only the fact and its relation to our merchant marine are within the scope of this article. The fact became important because our laws restricted the American merchant marine to home-built ships. We stood by the principle that the privileges of the flag and of national register should be bestowed only on home-built ships. Great Britain and other nations had already abandoned that principle, or soon after gave it up. Her foreign and colonial relations, too, had impressed upon England the importance of established lines of steam-communication.

tion by sea, and forced upon her the policy of liberal assistance in the establishment and maintenance of such lines. Without insular or remote dependencies, and freed from foreign complications, the United States lacked the motive which made popular in Great Britain the policy of steamship subsidies; and we took it up and abandoned it intermittently, thus establishing an uncertainty in legislation which in business affairs is often industrially more harmful even than a wrong policy consistently pursued. The policies of admitting foreign-built vessels to the national register,—or “free ships,” as it is popularly designated,—and of subsidies to shipping, may not be considered, under the restrictions placed on this article; but without transgressing proper bounds, it may be said that the two are not conflicting nor alternative policies, but independent methods of dealing with different subjects. The former aims to encourage navigation under the national flag; the latter to promote domestic ship building. All other nations have adopted one or both of these policies. Our own country has adopted and consistently followed neither. Our merchant marine, in consequence, has naturally yielded place on the seas to rival nations which hastened to adopt, and have steadily supported, legislation adjusted to the changed conditions of construction wrought by the substitution of steel for wood as the chief material of ship building.

Eastward for 3000 miles from our shores stretched the Atlantic, barren, but familiar in its dangers and rewards, and as naturally the home of the ambitious American as of the ambitious English boy, as naturally the place for the investment of American as of British capital. For more than half a century it had been the scene of many of our enterprises. The discovery of gold in California in 1849; the beginning of our railroad system, which doubled in the decade from 1855 to 1865; the discovery of petroleum, carrying confusion to our whaling-fleets,—to name but a few of many causes,—at this time turned westward from the sea our enterprise and capital. The certainty of reward for labor and capital, and the amount to be hoped for, were greater there than the Atlantic or China trade could offer; and from a maritime power, pressing close upon Great Britain, the United States became a railroad power of the first magnitude. Other articles of this centennial volume, testifying to our wonderful inland growth, bear silent witness to one cause of the decline of which this article is required to speak.

From 1861 to 1865, the period of the Civil War, the American tonnage registered for the foreign

trade fell from 2,540,020 tons to 1,504,575 tons; and within the four years immediately following the blockade of Southern ports by the Union fleets and the fitting out of Confederate privateers to destroy Northern merchantmen, 874,652 tons of American shipping were transferred to foreign flags. In September and October, 1862, the *Alabama* burned eighteen American merchantmen; and the damage then done to American vessels and cargoes by privateers fitted out in British ports was later compromised by the payment of \$15,000,000 to us by Great Britain. In 1865 the tonnage (including repeated voyages) of American vessels entering the United States from foreign ports had decreased to 2,943,661 tons, while the foreign tonnage had increased to 3,216,967 tons. The war thus tremendously accelerated a decline of American shipping which from other causes was already inevitable.

The carrying power of the world's sea-going merchant marine in 1875 was 28,407,946 tons; in 1895 it is 49,526,847 tons. The relative rank of the five principal sea powers at the beginning and end of this period follows:

MARITIME POWERS, 1875 TO 1895.

	1895.	1875.
British .....	27,885,806	13,347,583
German .....	4,065,282	1,604,773
American .....	3,261,982	4,196,463
Norwegian .....	2,343,173	1,495,958
French .....	2,121,550	1,558,290
All others.....	9,849,054	6,204,879
Total....	49,526,847	28,407,946

During the last twenty years the United States and Germany have changed their relative ranks, and this year only seven per cent. of the world's sea-going tonnage is under the American flag, as compared with fifteen per cent. twenty years ago. The United States and Italy alone of the ten principal maritime nations show a decline in over-sea carrying power since 1875.

During the fiscal year 1894 the tonnage of American vessels (counting repeated voyages) entering the United States from foreign ports was 4,654,679 tons, while the foreign tonnage was 15,334,984 tons. The American tonnage entering from Europe was 341,876 tons; the foreign, 9,326,235 tons. Transatlantic voyages from the United States to Europe and Africa numbered 187 under the American flag, compared with 5626 under foreign flags; of transpacific voyages to Asia, Australia, and Oceanica, 311 were under the American and 351 under foreign



EUGENE T. CHAMBERLAIN.




flags. Our shipping in foreign trade is now almost wholly engaged in voyages on the Lakes and northern borders to the British possessions, and to Central America, the Caribbean coast of South America, and the West Indies. The statistics given, and conclusions to be drawn from them, should be modified by one consideration, which, though not a matter of official record, is a well-understood business fact. Within the last fifteen years American capital has purchased abroad a considerable number of steamships, and American enterprise is operating them in transatlantic trade. Though barred by the law from the use of the flag, these vessels are the evidence of an awakened maritime spirit, promising the attainment of higher maritime rank by the nation. This awakened spirit has already secured the admission of the *Paris* and *New York* and the construction of the *St. Louis* and *St. Paul*, giving to the country a line of four steamships unsurpassed in the world. The United States, in consequence, for the first time in many years, have entered into competition for the express, passenger, and mail traffic of the north Atlantic. In one instance we have thus adopted the policy—free ships and liberal compensation to home-built ships for public services—by which our rivals on the sea have made themselves formidable. If that instance is sporadic, its full results are already in sight. But if it is the beginning of a new policy, approved by the experience of nations, we are entering our second mercantile century with the promise of a restored merchant marine.

More than fifty years must pass before the history of the first century of our merchant marine on the Pacific coast can be written. Beginning in 1849 at San Francisco with 722 tons sail, our Pacific fleet doubled its tonnage during the war period, and now numbers 1520 vessels, of 456,359 tons. San Francisco stands alone among our chief seaports as entering and clearing in foreign trade a larger tonnage of American than of foreign vessels; and with the opening of new Asiatic markets and the need of steadily increasing tonnage our geographical position destines us to be the sea power of the Pacific. The century's record of American shipping on the Atlantic coast has been a story of national pride, tempered with national regret and mortification; the record of our shipping on the Pacific is one of brief achievement and good promise. Splendid performance and bright augury, not only for the particular section itself, but for our national future as a maritime power, fill every year of the record of our mer-

chant fleets on the Great Lakes. Two years after Jay's treaty the first small merchant vessel was built on the lakes west of Niagara, and when the first half-century was ended the tonnage of our lake ports was only 89,000 tons. On June 30, 1895, our lake fleets comprised 3342 vessels, of 1,241,459 tons, half in numbers and two thirds in tonnage being steam-vessels. This fleet in carrying power may be estimated at 2,666,261 tons. These figures mean that we have created on our inland seas a mercantile naval power excelled only by the strength of Great Britain or Germany on the high seas, greater than France or Norway, or than any other two maritime powers combined. Natural bonds, easily broken, fetter from free employment on the ocean our reserve powers as a ship-building and ship-owning nation, now confined to the Great Lakes. So eager to pass these barriers have these powers been that the lake interests have built steamships for the Pacific trade, cut them in two in order to pass the locks and canals which separated them from the Atlantic, and then put them together for the voyage round the Horn. Of our 669 steamships of over 1000 tons, 359 are shut within the lakes. Our production of iron and steel draws close upon, and in several years has surpassed, that of Great Britain. Freed by a ship-canal to the Atlantic, our lake ship-building interests—having close at their doors the center of production of sixty per cent. of our iron output—can compete on the high seas, and who could then doubt that interests which in confinement have outstripped the nations of the world, except two, will help to restore to the United States again the rank it held as close second to the entire British empire only thirty-five years ago? Join the union of the Great Lakes to the Atlantic with a removal of the narrow Central American barrier which separates the Atlantic and Pacific, and, as steel in time becomes cheaper here than anywhere in the world, may we not look even to surpass in the first half of our second century the rank we attained in the first half of our first century, and take to ourselves the rule of the wave?

Eastward of the forty-four States of the Union for 3000 miles, westward for 5000, stretch the oceans as we begin our second century of commercial independence, a nation richer in performance and promise than any other the world knows. Geography, natural resources, and our benign policy of neutrality point to an ultimate destiny for this country as the world's great ocean carrier of the future.

Eugene Tyler Chamberlain—



## CHAPTER VII

# OUR COMMERCIAL WEALTH AND VOLUME OF BUSINESS

NOT since the history of the world began has there been such a marvelous advancement of all factors creating wealth and developing trade and commerce as during the past century; nor in any other section has the result been so phenomenal as that attained in the United States. In 1795 this country had acquired but a fraction of its present geographical limits; to the West it reached only to the Mississippi River, and not until 1803, by the purchase of Louisiana, did its territory extend north and west to the Pacific and south to the Gulf of Mexico. In addition to the thirteen original States, Vermont and Kentucky had been admitted to the Union; but the populated area of the country was only 366,000 square miles, against 3,580,000 square miles to-day; and the total population was approximately 4,500,000, scattered along the Atlantic coast, the center being about the city of Baltimore; while to-day the population is about 70,000,000, or more than fifteen times as great, the center of population having moved almost directly west nearly 500 miles.

It is hardly necessary to explain that the commerce of the country in 1795 gave little promise of what it has since become. The only efficient means of transportation were, of course, by water, travel by land being a tedious process, in wagons or on horseback, over rough and unsatisfactory roads. It is self-evident that domestic trade at that time was of a primitive character, and any attempt to fully characterize it must fail except in so far as indicated by a comparison of imports and exports.

Leading domestic industries one hundred years ago included the manufacture of household and other (chiefly wool and hemp) textile products and rag carpets, pig and bar iron in a small way, wheelwrighting and smithing, lumber, carpentry, furniture, wagons, harness, hats, shoes, ships, and meat products, the whole probably not aggregating very many million dollars in value annually. A review

of the total value of the annual products of these or like domestic industries in the census year 1890 presents a picture of unparalleled expansion, the value of the products in the nineteen lines indicated amounting five years ago to the enormous total of more than \$4,107,000,000, in addition to which our metallic and mineral products in 1890 were valued at fully \$587,000,000. It would be impracticable to indicate fully the thousand and one kindred industries to which some of those identified with the earlier history of our country have given rise. And no reader of these pages need be reminded of the enormous stimulus to the production of wealth resulting from the railroad, which is only about sixty years old, from the discovery of petroleum or mineral oil, the manufacture of illuminating gas, and the production and development of electrical motors and appliances.

The total value of foreign shipments from the United States in 1795 was about \$47,989,000, which, while small when viewed from the standpoint of to-day, meant a great deal at the time, in that it represented an increase of 150 per cent. over the total four years previous. The exports were mainly to France and her possessions, the free cities of Hamburg and Bremen, Great Britain and her dependencies, Spain and her possessions, the United Netherlands, the Danish West Indies, Italy, China, and the East Indies. Traffic with Russia was of some importance, but with the other countries of northern Europe it was inconsiderable.

A fair estimate of the character of our export trade at that time may be gained from a report of the Secretary of the Treasury in 1793, covering the year 1792, which enumerates, among the leading articles of foreign shipment, breadstuffs, tobacco, rice, wood, salted fish, pot and pearl ash, salted meats, indigo, horses, mules, whale-oil, flaxseed, tar, pitch, and turpentine, breadstuffs constituting more than one third of the whole. South Carolina and



Georgia were prominent as producers and shippers of indigo, but that was before cotton had become a noteworthy product. It had been grown and exported as early as 1791, but only in small quantities; the cotton-gin, invented by Eli Whitney, did not appear until two years later. In his celebrated report on Manufactures, Secretary Hamilton, though expressing the hope of a future of usefulness for the cotton industry, yet said that, "not being, like hemp, an universal production of the country, it afforded less assurance of an adequate internal supply;" and he devoted some space to the advocacy of the repeal of the duty on imported cotton, as well as of granting a bounty on cotton produced in the United States, when wrought at a home manufactory. In a comparatively few years, however, all this had changed, and American cotton had become a factor of the first importance in the commerce of the world.

At the period under consideration the import exceeded the export trade in value. Imports for the year 1795 were valued at \$69,756,258. Of this total, \$30,972,215 came from Great Britain and her possessions, England furnishing \$21,108,350. Next in importance was France and her possessions, of which contributions the French West Indies supplied the greater share. Following these came in order Spain and her possessions, the United Netherlands and their possessions, the Danish West Indies, Portugal and her possessions, Hamburg and Bremen, Russia, China, and the East Indies. The importations from Great Britain comprised manufactures of wool, cotton, linen, silk, metal, glass, and paper, together with salt, steel, lead, nails, cheese, beer, and porter; those from the East Indies included cotton, sugar, and pepper; from the West Indies, spirits, sugar, and coffee; and from other countries, coffee, sugar, molasses, brandy, gin, wines, and tea.

Although the total value of exports from the United States one hundred years ago was \$47,989,472, by 1844 (fifty years later) it had grown to \$105,745,832—more than doubled. It was during this period, of course, that highways were constructed between some of the larger trading centers, that the Erie Canal was built, and that the country reached a high degree of prosperity as a commercial nation. It was obliged to wait for the development of its agricultural resources and its shipping interests on the New England, south Atlantic, and Gulf coasts. The total value of importations in 1795 was \$67,756,258, and fifty years later (in 1844) it had grown to \$102,604,606, an increase of more than fifty per cent.

While to no nation has been given a preëminent

manufacturing genius, yet we have probably developed peculiar skill not only in improving upon inventions which came to us in the rough, but also in the more general utilization of them upon a much grander scale. At the outbreak of our late Civil War the total value of exports had increased to \$333,576,057, about seven times the value sent abroad in 1795. The aggregate value of importations in 1860 was \$353,616,119, being five times the corresponding total in 1795.

In 1877, at the beginning of the revival after the period of depression following the panic of 1873 (which was the outcome of inflation, overtrading, and speculation succeeding the war), exportations for the year were valued at \$602,475,220, or about twice the like total in 1860, and nearly twelve times the value of shipments abroad in 1795. Importations in 1877 were valued at \$451,323,126, an increase of forty per cent. over the total in 1860, and nearly seven times the aggregate value in 1795. From 1877 a rapid expansion in the volume of our domestic and foreign trade took place, not only in exportations of cereal and other domestic products, but owing to the extension of our railroad system and the diversification and development of our manufacturing industries. Over-speculation in financial circles brought on the panic of 1884, which was followed by a reaction in business, and after that came a wide expansion of trade in 1890, 1891, and 1892, followed by the panic of two years ago.

In the fiscal year 1894, one hundred years after, the total value of exports amounted to \$1,019,572,873, forty per cent. more than in 1877, three times the value of shipments abroad in 1860, and more than twenty-one times the total value of our exports in 1795. The aggregate value of importations into the United States in 1894 was \$740,730,822, an increase of sixty per cent. as compared with 1877, more than double the corresponding total in 1860, and eleven times the total value of importations in 1795.

An indication of the grand total value of the interior and exterior commerce of the United States must be an approximation only, owing to the dearth of statistics. One hundred years ago the total value of imports and exports amounted to only \$117,745,730, but in 1894 like totals aggregated \$1,760,203,695, or fifteen times as much. While there are not the necessary data to indicate closely the total volume of our domestic trade at the close of the last century, there is, of course, much, although incomplete, information bearing upon the interior traffic of the United States to-day.

Any general estimate of the wealth of the country at the close of the last century is, of course, deficient when contrasted with census reports on that subject during the past forty years. The total, \$620,000,000, is the appraisal of the value of houses and lands one hundred years ago, and must, of course, overlook much personal property of value, particularly in that it does not take account of the value of slaves. But even if one should presume that, with all allowances for this and other omitted items, the grand total was as much as \$900,000,000, the contrast with the total wealth of the country in 1850, after half a century of growth, was startling indeed, showing an increase of nearly eightfold.

By 1860 we had more than doubled the material resources of 1850. The ratio of gain from 1795 to 1860 (when the total was \$16,157,000,000), was still more remarkable, showing more than sixteen times the total at the close of the last century. From 1860 onward the increase of national wealth was so rapid that comparisons with the beginning of the century become fairly amazing. The increase by 1870 was nearly twenty-seven to one, in 1880 nearly forty-nine to one, and in 1890, less than a century having elapsed, the total wealth of the country was nearly seventy-five times that in 1795, the census placing it at \$65,037,000,000.

When it comes to the development of our transportation interests by land and water, the record of expansion of our railroad traffic within sixty years is seen to surpass that of the remainder of the civilized world, with 178,000 miles of main line of railways, \$5,075,000,000 of capital stock, \$5,665,000,000 of funded indebtedness, \$1,080,000,000 of gross annual earnings, and net traffic earnings of \$322,000,000 per annum, the railways having transported about 675,000,000 tons of freight alone in 1894. Our marine transportation interests, notwithstanding the check since the Civil War, present a total of 25,540 craft registered at United States interior cities and ports, sailing from the Pacific, Gulf, and Atlantic coasts, on the Mississippi, Ohio, and Monongahela rivers, and on the Great Lakes, valued at \$215,000,000. Freight transportation on the Mississippi, Ohio, and Monongahela rivers in 1894 did not vary much from 22,000,000 tons, or a little more than half that estimated to have been carried on the Great Lakes, where the total was about 40,000,000 tons. On the Erie and tributary canals the total tonnage last year probably amounted to about one tenth that on the Great Lakes, or 4,000,000 tons, which would leave probably not to exceed 125,000,000 tons of freight carried seaward per

annum in vessels registered at the United States ports. This indicates that the total freight tonnage transported by water on the Mississippi, Ohio, and Monongahela rivers, on the Great Lakes and the Erie Canal, and seaward on vessels registered at United States ports, is less than one third the weight of freight transported by the railways of the country each year.

Another evidence of the rapidity of the growth of the wealth of the country is conveyed in the fact that, whereas the government receipts in 1795 amounted to only \$9,419,802, last year they aggregated \$313,310,166, more than thirty-four times as much; and while the expenditures of the government in 1795 amounted to \$10,435,070, last year they were more than thirty-five times as much—\$356,135,215. On the other side, there was a public debt of \$80,747,587 one hundred years ago (a dozen or more years after the close of the Revolutionary War), while on December 1, 1895, the net national debt was not quite fourteen times as large, amounting to only \$1,125,883,997. The significance of this exhibit lies in the fact that notwithstanding the enormous expense involved in four years of Civil War—three decades ago; notwithstanding the consequent check to commercial and industrial enterprise in those and in succeeding years of rehabilitation, yet so great were our powers of recuperation, and so remarkable was the ability of the nation to liquidate its enormous war debt, that we find ourselves to-day with a national debt of only \$16 per capita, as contrasted with one of \$18 per capita one hundred years ago—a dozen years after the close of the War of the Revolution. These facts in reference to the relative national indebtedness, at once interesting as well as instructive, gather significance when viewed in conjunction with best obtainable data respecting the wealth of the country one hundred years ago and to-day. The strength of our position may be expressed in the statement that whereas our national debt amounted to \$18 per capita at the close of the last century, and our national wealth approximately to \$200 per capita—to-day the national debt is only \$16 per capita, and the wealth per individual somewhat more than \$1000. The postal service, of modest proportions in 1795, had already begun to show remarkable growth, for from the time the Constitution went into effect the number of post-offices had grown from 75 to 453. At this time there are more than 70,000 post-offices in the country, and the revenue and expenses have increased in almost as great a ratio.





CHARLES F. CLARK.

Recognizing the many and diverse elements involved in any discussion of the volume of domestic trade, it remains to be pointed out that the total amount of gross earnings of railroads in the United States in 1894 amounted to \$1,080,305,000, or \$61,000,000 more than the total volume of our exports of produce, coin, and bullion for that year, and more than twice the volume of gross railway earnings in 1877, seventeen years ago.

The foregoing outline of some of the more important elements involved in any consideration of the development of the commerce and the wealth of the United States during the past century must forever stand out conspicuously, as indicating a rapidity and withal a conservatism of growth on the part of a new empire the like of which the world has never seen.

Perhaps as fair an indication, within limitations, of our total volume of wholesale business, foreign and domestic, is that given by totals of transactions at clearing-house banks—about 1000 in number—at nearly eighty of the more important cities. During 1894 the grand total of bank clearings aggregated nearly \$45,000,000,000, although the corresponding total two years before amounted to nearly \$62,000,000,000, the largest annual aggregate reported since clearing-house totals have been collected. These transactions represent, for the most part, wholesale dealings at nearly all the larger towns and cities throughout the country, and, to a smaller extent, retail transactions in that portion of the business of the country which are settled with checks.

It would not be so bold a stroke as it might appear to estimate the probable approximate grand total of business transacted annually, not only through the banks, but across counters, both wholesale and retail. The average total of bank clearings annually during the past five years has been about \$55,000,000,000, or thirty-two times the total value of our exports and imports, including coin and bullion, in the fiscal year 1894. This indicates in some degree the enormous preponderance in the value of our total commercial and industrial transactions, as compared with that portion carried on with foreign countries. It would be difficult to conceive of the total value of all our domestic and foreign commerce (judged by bank-clearing totals and other available data) as averaging less than \$70,000,000,000 annually, and probably a larger sum would be required to gauge it.

Perhaps as striking an indication of the enormous expansion of wealth and business in the United States within one hundred years as any other is found in

the statement that whereas the approximate total banking capital of the country in 1795 was about \$12,000,000, the total capital of national and other banks two years ago, as reported by the comptroller of the currency, amounted to \$1,067,000,000, in addition to which there were reported belonging to the banks \$686,000,000 of surpluses and profits. From this it would appear that whereas the total available banking capital of the country one hundred years ago was only about \$2.65 per capita, the proportion per capita two years ago was only about six times as much. Yet the banking capital of the country two years ago was about eighty-nine times the amount in 1795. It may strike many as remarkable that, whereas the population has increased fifteen-fold, the volume of business probably thirty-three times, and the wealth of the country more than seventy-five times within the last one hundred years the total banking capital is, in round numbers, only about six times as much per capita to-day as at the close of the last century. The lesson taught by this is most timely in this day of excessive and frequently unnecessary fears that the volume of the currency of the country will not be maintained at the maximum. The development of the clearing-house principle in business, the systematic organization and wide-spread distribution of credits of merchants and manufacturers, together with the enormously increased use of checks, drafts, and bills of exchange,—representatives of credit,—are practically responsible for the ability of the banks to do the enormous business of the country on only six times the banking capital per capita they possessed one hundred years ago.

With the tenfold increase in populated area of the country our population is fifteen times as large as it was at the close of the last century, while the increasing complexity of governmental administration has increased total receipts from customs and internal revenue thirty-four times and expenses thirty-five times what they were in 1795. It may be no more than a coincidence, but it is certainly noteworthy that an increase of 1500 per cent. in population has brought with it almost the same increase in the total annual volume of exports and imports. The fact that total gross railway earnings have doubled in seventeen years is far less significant than that they are in excess of the total volume of our exports of merchandise, produce, coin, and bullion. But of even greater interest is the fact that the annual volume of bank clearings at about eighty cities throughout the United States indicates a grand total of domestic and foreign trade probably forty times

greater than the total value of exports and of imports. There remains only to be recalled the increase of our interior commerce to thirty-eight times the volume of our business with foreign countries, over and above which is the picture of the total wealth of the country—nearly seventy-five times what it was at the beginning of the century.

In thus concluding a hurried and necessarily brief review of some of the more salient features of the development of the wealth, trade, and manufacturing industries of the United States, the suggestion is almost involuntary that there still remains, in spite of much that has been accomplished in recording our

material advancement, an opportunity for perfecting and supplying systems by which records may be kept of various spheres of activity. It is a matter of regret that more definite information is not obtainable respecting what should go to make up an accurate estimate of the total volume of the trade of the country. It is highly probable that estimates and calculations presented herewith get as close to the fact as practicable, yet much might be done were statistics affecting trading, transportation, and banking compiled and prepared with the system and comprehensiveness which mark reports of the Census Department on manufacturing industries of the country.

*Charles F. Clark*





## CHAPTER VIII

# THE CORPORATION IN COMMERCE

THE word "corporation" is comprehensive. Every nation, every State, every city, town, and village, is a corporation. Every parish and every similar church society is a corporation, and so are most of our colleges and institutions of learning. The history of such corporations during the last hundred years, interwoven as it is with our national development, would fill volumes; but in this article the writer must confine himself to some remarks upon the corporation with which we are familiar in business—the ordinary joint-stock corporation, operated for the profit of the shareholders. The part played by such corporations in the history of the last century of American commerce is a conspicuous one, and a concise historical sketch of this important form of business organization, giving a brief glimpse at its remarkable growth, together with some reflections as to its influence upon the business community and the country at large, should be of interest.

In 1795 business corporations in America were small in number and insignificant as to wealth. There were, to be sure, several banks, a number of insurance companies, a few turnpike companies, some stage-coach companies, and some manufacturing corporations. The bulk of the business of the country was conducted, however, by individual traders or by partnership concerns. With the growth of trade and the increase in commercial activity of all sorts the organization of corporations was speedily resorted to as offering many advantages over the old-fashioned partnership. Among those advantages is the opportunity afforded to all to embark such part of their property as they may choose in enterprises, whatever they may be, without incurring the liability of general partners; in other words, a man can invest such sum as he is willing to lose in the business, with the certainty that he cannot be compelled to pay anything beyond that amount toward the debts of the concern.

Then, again, a shareholder in a corporation has his affairs managed for him by salaried officers, without care or responsibility on his part.

At first, in order to organize a corporation, legislative action was required in every case. This in earlier times answered very well; but this power was abused, and by and by it was found necessary to limit the power of the various State legislatures in this respect. Corporations are, in the eye of the law, persons,—artificial persons,—and it was found that a person of this description, having no body to be imprisoned nor soul to be eternally punished, was hard to control; so the legislatures from time to time passed general laws regulating the formation and management of corporations, endeavoring in this way to restrict them as to power, and to force them to confine themselves each to its own particular business. Efforts have been made from time to time by the State legislatures to enact a systematic code regulating all corporations, with more or less success; so now we have in many States a general law for banking corporations, another for insurance companies, another for trust companies, another for railroads, and there are still others. Recently, also, following the example of the English Parliament, many of the States have enacted laws under which corporations may be organized to carry on any legitimate business, no matter what, not already provided for by general statutes.

There can be no question that corporate organization has been of great advantage to the country—to the poor as well as to the rich. By greater economy in production, rendered possible by concentration of capital, the poor have profited in the reduced price of most of the necessaries and comforts of life. The reduction in the prices of these articles is a most interesting subject for study and reflection, and if space permitted it would be easy to give numerous illustrations. Indeed, it would be hard to find any considerable number of articles, commonly

called comforts or necessities, the price of which has not been reduced by the direct influence of corporate management. The comfort and convenience of all dwellers in this country have been greatly promoted by corporate control of business. Take, for instance, our facilities for traveling. Again, the regularity and cheapness of communication by mail, telegraph, and telephone have only been made possible by the coöperation of hundreds of corporations all working together in intelligent harmony. Again, what could we now do without banks, and without insurance companies? We owe it to the corporations that we can protect our property against loss by fire, and our families from want in the case of the death of their breadwinner; and to the savings-banks that we can safely keep our surplus earnings, and receive them back again, safe and intact, with reasonable interest. And so we may sum it all up in one word and say that the conditions of modern life would be impossible were it not for the corporations. Whether sleeping or waking, engaged in business or pleasure, eating, drinking, dressing, or traveling, or whatever we may be about, we must thank them to a great extent for the means and opportunity of doing so.

The reduction in the price of articles of general consumption, to which reference has been made, is due, in the writer's opinion, to two causes which in their operation would at first glance seem calculated to produce contrary results, but which, in fact, both tend to the same end. These two causes are competition and consolidation. It is easy to see how competition between two or more concerns engaged in the production of an article would tend to lower its price until a point should be reached when but a narrow margin of profit would remain. The consolidation, on the other hand, of all the competing concerns engaged in the same business would seem to tend to an advance in the price of the commodity produced. This would doubtless be the case at first. But experience has shown that there is more money in selling a large quantity at a small profit than in selling a small quantity at a large profit, and the application of this principle results, as has been said above, in the ultimate reduction of the price. A most notable instance of this truth is to be found in the enormous reduction of the price of kerosene-oil since the consolidation into one company of the various corporations engaged in its production.

How great have been the advantages to our commerce and our country's development from corporate organization no one can say. Have these advantages been to some extent counterbalanced by

certain evils? The concentration of wealth in the hands of corporations has had the effect of driving the individual producer out of business. In the early days of our country's existence many industries were carried on in the towns and villages by skilled workmen who were their own masters, and who were in business for themselves. Tailors, shoemakers, weavers, blacksmiths, tinsmiths, saddlers, and many other manufacturers on a small scale carried on their business for their own account, and were a useful, self-reliant, and manly element in our population. These industries are now to a great extent monopolized by large corporations, and the men who were formerly independent in their business are now represented by salaried workmen. The gradual extinction of this class of men of moderate means who carried on their business for their own account seems to be a distinct loss to the community.

In the earlier days of the history of this country our foreign commerce was entirely, or almost entirely, in the hands of individual traders and private partnerships. The vessels by means of which the trade was carried on were owned by individuals, the ownership of a vessel being divided sometimes among a number of persons, the captain in many cases being a part owner. The cargo of the vessel, on its arrival at its port of destination, was disposed of by the captain or by a supercargo for the benefit of the owners, and the proceeds invested at his discretion in the return cargo. This method of doing business afforded a good field for the exercise of individual skill, and the profits made by those engaged in it were far in excess of anything that can be realized by traders of the present day. The submarine cables going to all parts of the world, owned by corporations, have entirely revolutionized our foreign trade. Our individual ship owners have nearly all retired from the business, and the carrying trade of the country is done by steam-vessels owned by corporations, and, sad to say, nearly all of them are owned by foreign capitalists and manned by foreign sailors. No doubt the greatest good of the greatest number is promoted by the operation of great industries in corporate hands. The cost of living is reduced; but the disappearance from the ocean of American ships commanded by American skippers and manned by American sailors is a distinct misfortune. Whether this disappearance can fairly be traced, altogether or in part, to the influence of corporate organizations is a question which can never be answered. It is perhaps partly due to this cause and partly to other causes, just as the concen-





WILLIAM JAY.



tration of business above referred to in the hands of large corporations and wealthy people is partly due to corporate organizations and partly to the improvements in methods and machinery introduced by the inventive genius of modern times.

Another evil growing out of the great development of corporate control of business is a lowering of the standard of business honor and business morality. The administration of the affairs of corporations of our country by their directors has in many instances been unfair to the stockholders, and to a corresponding extent advantageous to the directors. It cannot be denied that many large fortunes have been made by men who availed themselves of the knowledge acquired by them as directors of the affairs of corporations to buy and sell the shares for their own profit. Many a director in a corporation would consider it preposterous to be told that he had no right to trade in the stock of his corporation, and yet the director is to all intents and purposes a trustee for the stockholders, and ought not, any more than any other trustee, to trade in the trust estate. More than this, it has not been at all uncommon for directors to engage in transactions with their own company, the result of which has been greatly to their own advantage. How many railroad companies have been wrecked by being saddled with worthless lines with which they have been consolidated? Many other instances might be cited where directors, under form of law, have bled the corporations for which they were acting. The directorate, for instance, of some great corporate interest, rightfully active within a certain field, leases in the form of privileges certain of its functions to outside corporations, in the success of which its members are concerned. Valuable concessions, involving thousands of annual revenue, are granted for the most nominal considerations, and the tributary companies wax rich and pay large dividends, while the great corporation whose revenues are thus diverted from its stockholders pays none at all, and its only beneficiaries are found among the directors, who have thus misused their power for their own ends.

Vast sums of money, American and foreign capital, have been invested in enterprises in this country under corporate control. A great deal of this

money has been lost to the investor forever. Some of it has gone because the project in its inception was ill considered, and the blame must rest upon the poor judgment of the investor; but too many schemes have been floated by corporations conceived in fraud, through which confiding investors have been fleeced. A common form of swindle is an issue of bonds secured upon nothing but a franchise that has cost the corporation nothing. A fraction of the proceeds may be used in construction; the balance may be, and often has been, distributed among the promoters. An allusion to this form of corporate dishonesty is all that space admits of; were it not so, it would be instructive to refer here at some length to the common device of dishonest directors who contract with so-called construction companies in which they are themselves the shareholders, thereby reaping a dishonest profit.

The power of corporate organization has been invoked to work great hardship and wrong in many cases to the towns and cities throughout the country. Franchises of enormous value—especially the right to use the streets for elevated and surface roads—have been obtained for a most inadequate consideration. This abuse of power by corporations has been demoralizing in its tendency and mischievous in its results. It is impossible to compare our great cities with those of Europe without feeling that ours have been vulgarized, degraded, and rendered hideous by the appropriation of their principal streets by private corporations for private greed. It is idle to say that public convenience requires that hideous structures like the elevated railroad should exist, or that cable-cars should be run on the surface of our principal thoroughfares. It is not so. It is not so in any other civilized country on earth, and would not be tolerated in any other civilized country. Perhaps we are not so highly civilized as we think we are.

The corporation is a tremendous power with us, both for good and evil. It is probable that as time goes on its powers will increase rather than diminish. By its means cheaper living, more comfort, and greater luxury will be brought within the reach of us all. Let us hope that a higher plane of business honor may be reached in the management of our corporations.

*William Jay*



## CHAPTER IX

# COMMERCIAL ORGANIZATIONS

IN the early part of the present century the commercial organizations then existing which had any material influence upon the home and foreign commerce of the nations of the earth were exceedingly limited in number. Indeed it is doubtful if at that period there were more than fourteen, viz., three in Great Britain, seven in France, and four in the United States. All of these, save two notable exceptions,—the Board of Trade of England and the Council General of Commerce of Paris,—were largely synonymous in their vocations and operations.

In France Chambers of Commerce had been instituted at a very early date—notably at Marseilles, at the close of the fourteenth or the beginning of the fifteenth century; at Dunkirk, in 1700; at Paris, in the same year; at Lyons, in 1702; at Rouen and Toulouse, in 1703; at Montpellier, in 1704; and at Bordeaux, in 1705. While England had her Board of Trade as early as 1660, it was not until 1786 that the present department was established in Council, being a permanent committee of the Privy Council for the consideration of all matters relating to trade and the colonies, with functions partly ministerial and partly judicial. Of Chambers of Commerce, Great Britain then had but two: that of Glasgow, instituted in 1783, and of Edinburgh, founded in 1785, and incorporated by royal charter in 1786.

In the United States the oldest existing Chamber of Commerce is that of New York, organized in 1768, and incorporated by royal charter in 1770. Shortly afterward a second was established at New Haven, Conn.; another at Charleston, S. C., about 1775; and that in Philadelphia in 1802. It is true that New York about this time had also a Board of Brokers, organized about 1792 or 1793, and had erected the Tontine Coffee-House, where merchants and others met and discussed mercantile and semi-commercial questions.

The Chamber of Commerce of New York is in some respects not only the forerunner but the type of many like institutions which have been organized in our leading cities, representing, both locally and otherwise, our multiplying and diversified industrial interests. In some instances, however, it essentially differs from other kindred institutions, since, while caring for local welfare, it is also broadly national in its sympathies and work. In this connection it may be interesting to trace back this time-honored organization to the names of the old and respected merchants who founded it. They were: John Cruger, Elias Desbrosses, James Jauncey, Jacob Walton, Robert Murray, Hugh Wallace, George Folliot, William Walton, Samuel Verplanck, Theophylact Bache, Thomas White, Miles Sherbrook, Walter Franklin, Robert Ross Waddell, Acheson Thompson, Lawrence Kortwright, Thomas Randal, William McAdam, Isaac Low, Anthony Van Dam, John Alsop, Philip Livingston, Henry White, and James McEvers. It also may not be out of place to reproduce the original terms used in its formal organization, reciting its usefulness as follows: "WHEREAS, Mercantile societies have been found very useful in trading cities for promoting and encouraging commerce, supporting industry, adjusting disputes relative to trade and navigation, and procuring such laws and regulations as may be found necessary for the benefit of trades in general. . . ."

Of the history and character of the persons who are here recorded as the original founders of this Chamber the memories of the present generation will not be wholly oblivious. The first public place of meeting of the original Chamber was at the house, now standing, on the corner of Pearl and Broad streets. This building had been originally erected as a town residence, and had undergone many alterations in size and form. During the period of Washington's first residence in this city it was chiefly remarkable as being a public tavern, where in later

days Washington was entertained and took his farewell of the officers of the army on his departure for his home in Virginia at the close of the Revolutionary War. The subsequent meetings of the Chamber were held, first, in 1769, in the "great room of the building commonly called the 'Exchange,' at the lower end of the street called Broad"; afterward, in 1779, at the Merchants' Coffee-House, on the southeast corner of Wall and Water streets; in 1817 at the Tontine Coffee-House, on the northwest corner of Wall and Water streets; in 1827 in the original Merchants' Exchange (in a room specially set apart for the purpose), until that building was destroyed by fire in 1835; then for a time in the directors' room of the Merchants' Bank on Wall Street; then in premises on the corner of William and Cedar streets, where the Chamber remained for many years prior to its final removal to its present commodious quarters on Nassau Street.

At the close of the Revolution the legislature of New York passed an act (on the 13th of April, 1784) "to remove doubts concerning the corporation of the Chamber of Commerce, and to confirm the rights and privileges thereof." Under this act the title was changed from the "Chamber of Commerce" to the "Chamber of Commerce of the State of New York." From the earlier days down to the present period the membership has been principally confined to citizens engaged in finance and commerce, although at different times our records show that public officers of the highest rank, including presidents, governors, Senators, Congressmen, foreign ministers, and members of the State legislature, have been either honorary or regular members of the Chamber of Commerce. In the earlier steps taken, almost a century ago, to form a code of commercial laws and regulations, the most prominent merchants of that era determined and bound themselves reciprocally to prevent "the scandalous practice of smuggling." Within two years after the evacuation of the city of New York by the British a strong effort was made in the new State legislature to adopt a plan for issuing paper money, to be made by law a legal tender in the transaction of business. A memorial was adopted by the Chamber, setting forth in the most forcible terms the evils and immorality of such an issue, and through its influence the proposed measure was defeated. It may be safely alleged that to the good sense and active management of the Chamber may be attributed the policy which the general government adopted at this period of peril, whereby the credit of the nation was maintained. At an early period in the active

movements of the Chamber, in January, 1786, a resolution was considered asking the assistance of the legislature of New York for the creation of a fund to connect the city of New York by artificial navigation with the lakes. This action clearly connects the sentiments of the Chamber of that early day with the great purpose of Governor Clinton for the construction of the Erie Canal. A few years later we find the Chamber entertaining the project for the construction of a ship-canal around Niagara Falls, and a railroad from Lake Erie to the Hudson River.

The question of tribunals of commerce was also considered at several periods of its history; but the legislature was not friendly to this new departure in commercial jurisprudence until 1874, when an act was passed establishing a court of arbitration, to be presided over by a judge appointed by the governor; and this court continues to this day. Another highly important subject had from time to time occupied the attention of the Chamber, that of the pilot laws of New York and New Jersey, resulting in the present excellent system. At the annual meeting in 1848 the Chamber took formal measures to assist in organizing a savings bank for the benefit of "merchants' clerks and others"; and a charter was granted by the legislature as the result of this thoughtful action, and since then this institution has grown to be one of the most successful of similar organizations in the country. In 1849 the Chamber was interested in Whitney's project for the construction of a Pacific railroad across the continent, and a report favoring its construction was unanimously adopted and forwarded to Congress. It was also instrumental in getting the United States government to remove the sunken rocks from the channel of the East River and to widen the passage through Hell Gate. In 1852 the Chamber took active measures in regard to the reciprocity agreement with the North American provinces for the free interchange of the natural productions of the respective countries, embracing also a full and joint participation in the fisheries and the free navigation of the river St. Lawrence. It also repeatedly declared its sentiments on the subject of privateering, and has at all times maintained its inviolable determination to adhere rigidly to the principles avowed by the government of the United States.

The treaty negotiated with Japan by Commodore Perry, in behalf of the United States, opened up a new pathway to commerce with an almost unknown nation, and the Chamber took a prominent part in giving signal testimony of its appreciation of that

officer's conduct in a graceful gift of a silver service of plate. At a special meeting of the Chamber, held the 21st of August, 1858, the successful result of the united efforts of the English and American nations to lay the first Atlantic telegraph-cable to connect the continent of the Old World with the New was announced, and the sum of \$10,000 was appropriated and applied to the presentation of gold medals to the prominent officers engaged in carrying out the enterprise. At the meeting of the Chamber, September 6, 1860, the following resolution was adopted: "*Resolved*, That in the judgment of this Chamber an urgent necessity exists for the establishment, at an early day, of mail facilities between the cities of San Francisco in California and Shanghai in China, with connections at such intermediate ports as the interests of commerce may indicate." It seems hardly necessary to add that the above is the germ from which has sprung the magnificent line of American steamships which traverses the Pacific Ocean to-day.

A remarkable epoch in the affairs of this country, and one especially affecting all its business interests, occurred shortly after this period. The Southern States of the Union had united in revolt against the government, and the President had issued his proclamation calling for military aid. The Chamber responded to this appeal by holding a large and enthusiastic meeting on April 19, 1861, at which an ample sum of money was raised to forward at once for the defense of the national capital two regiments of the State National Guard, and also to organize several additional regiments of volunteers, who left shortly afterward for the seat of war. At this meeting attention was called to the fact that a part of the advertised loan of the government remained untaken. A special committee was appointed, and the balance, amounting to \$8,000,000, was at once subscribed, and the Treasury Department notified that the same could be drawn for at once. The great mass-meeting at Union Square—now a matter of history—and the Union Defense Committee were the outcome of the action of the Chamber. The valuable aid rendered to the government by this committee, composed, as it was, mainly of merchants and bankers of New York, was frequently acknowledged by the highest military authorities, and sixty-six regiments were equipped and fitted for service and forwarded in the early stages of the war, as standing evidences of its loyalty and efficiency.

At a special meeting of the Chamber held on May 15, 1872, "to give expression to the views of

the Chamber on the Treaty of Washington (resulting in the Geneva award arbitration), and to urge the ratification by the Senate of an additional article thereto, as proposed by Minister Schenck," the following preamble and resolutions were adopted:

"WHEREAS, The Treaty of Washington, referring the differences between this country and Great Britain to arbitration, has justly been regarded as a measure of great importance to the interests of civilization and peace, and the honor of proposing it belongs to this country; and

"WHEREAS, Differences of opinion have arisen between the governments of the two countries respecting the proper construction of the treaty in regard to the claims for indirect damages, and a supplemental article for settlement of those differences has been proposed by the government of Great Britain, and by the President laid before the Senate for its advice, which article appears to this Chamber to be sound in principle, binding the two governments to the adoption of a beneficent rule for the future, and especially beneficial to the United States and its commerce; and

"WHEREAS, The failure of the treaty would be a great public calamity; therefore

"*Resolved*, That this Chamber, without meaning thereby to imply that our government has at all erred in its construction of the treaty, and believing that the supplemental article is more than an equivalent for the claims of our government as originally presented, and feeling the importance of removing all obstacles in the way of the execution of the treaty, earnestly recommends the adoption of the supplemental article, and prays the Senate to ratify it."

As the Senate was "hanging fire" in regard to the ratification of this treaty, and war between the two countries was apparently imminent, the action of the Chamber in this matter was not only timely and praiseworthy, but also wise, patriotic, and influential, as the sequel showed.

Thus it will be seen that to outline the history and operations of the New York Chamber of Commerce is largely to portray the political, commercial, industrial, and financial development of the country; for really no great politico-economic question has arisen in the United States from the War of 1812-15 to the present time in which it has not been vitally and patriotically interested. The foregoing are, however, but few of the services which it has so signally performed. It has been concerned in nearly everything which related to the commercial welfare and prosperity not only of the city and





ALEXANDER E. ORR.



State of New York, but also of the country at large, of which it is in a measure the commercial guardian.

The class of people who possessed the most means and experience before and immediately after the Revolution were the merchants and ship owners, and they were the first to perceive the advantages and value of mercantile or commercial organizations, which, as already outlined, they perfected in New York, New Haven, Charleston, and Philadelphia. These commercial bodies were the initial organizations of the kind in America. Their foundations were broad and deep, and each in its way and time performed substantial service for the public good, both local and general. The Chamber of Commerce of Baltimore, instituted in the early decades of the century, but subsequently reorganized as the Board of Trade, still continues its usefulness. The Merchants' Exchanges of New York and Philadelphia, which were founded at an earlier date, have passed away, probably from having been too heavily handicapped at first with expensive buildings and inadequate revenues.

Succeeding the War of 1812-15, and later, other Chambers of Commerce, Exchanges, and Boards of Trade were organized in various cities of the Union, which also have done much toward developing the industries, trade, and traffic of their localities, as well as taking more or less active part in promoting the general commercial welfare of the country. But the commercial associations which are the most numerous, and withal the strongest, are those founded by people who deal in like things in towns or cities which are to some extent centers of particular callings, such as cotton in New Orleans, leather or wool in Boston, iron in Philadelphia, crockery in Trenton, paper in Holyoke, or print cloths in Fall River or Providence. Among the earliest of the general Boards of Trade which still retain their vitality, and form an important element in the town or city in which they are located, is the Chicago Board of Trade, which came into existence on March 13, 1848, but did not begin business until May 2, 1850. From the beginning it has been an important center for grain, animal-food products, and lumber. Similar boards were established in Detroit, Milwaukee, Cincinnati, St. Louis, Toledo, Minneapolis, and other Western cities. That in St. Louis is also an important center for the cotton trade. Smaller organizations exist in towns numbering less than 10,000 inhabitants, and have proved valuable adjuncts by the infusion of greater local pride and energy among their citizens.

Next to the New York Chamber of Commerce is

the Associated Board of Trade of Boston. This is probably the best representative body among strictly business associations in this country. Founded on a new idea or plan, it has so demonstrated, during the few years of its existence, its great practicability and usefulness as to become the exemplar of the newer Boards of Trade throughout the country. The Boston Associated Board of Trade is not a promiscuous grouping of business men coming together as individuals, but is made up of delegates from the various regularly organized trade associations of that city, these representatives being duly elected by their own organizations, and attending the Associated Board of Trade meetings, to speak and act not only for themselves, but as voicing the wishes of the associations which send them. Thus, when the members of the Associated Board of Trade make a decision, their action is at once of importance (because of its comprehensiveness) in forming commercial and legislative opinion.

As New York is the commercial metropolis of the United States, her merchants, of necessity, must be equally comprehensive in their dealings not only in home products, but also in those of all other countries with whom they hold commercial relations. To facilitate the operation of this great concentration of business it was found expedient to organize separate Exchanges and Boards of Trade, which as time passed have grown into large proportions. It is impossible in this short article to describe them all,—some seventy in number,—but a few of the more prominent may be mentioned. The New York Produce Exchange, with its 3000 members, specially deals in grain, flour, provisions, lard, tallow, etc. It possesses the finest exchange building in the United States, and its business and influence are proportionally great in the line of its specialties. The Stock Exchange confines its dealings to stocks and bonds and other similar securities of this and other countries, and has given great impetus to the development of transportation in this country. The Cotton Exchange, which deals almost exclusively in that staple, buys and sells more cotton for future delivery than any other Cotton Exchange either at home or abroad. The Petroleum—now the Consolidated—Exchange first dealt in petroleum and mineral oils, but of late years it has turned its attention to stock securities, and is to some extent a competitor of the Stock Exchange. The Coffee Exchange has lately grown into very great prominence, and now surpasses in the volume of its business that of Havre, France, which is believed to be the largest in Europe. The Mercantile Exchange

confines its operations to farm products, such as butter, cheese, eggs, poultry, and the like, and now aggregates an enormous business. The Wool Exchange and the Metal Exchange are other important associations, which, with the foregoing, own their buildings; but besides these there are the Maritime

number of such organizations throughout the whole country will probably reach 2000.

The national and trade associations probably aggregate in number over one hundred. Following is a list of prominent national organizations, and their leading officers at the present time:

NATIONAL COMMERCIAL ASSOCIATIONS.

NAME.	LOCATION.	PRESIDENT.	SECRETARY.
American Association of Flint and Lime Glass Manufacturers	Pittsburg, Pa.	George W. Blair, Pittsburg, Pa.	George F. Easton, Pittsburg, Pa.
American Boiler Manufacturers' Association of the United States and Canada	St. Louis, Mo.	H. S. Robinson, Boston, Mass.	{ E. D. Meier, 421 Olive St., St. Louis, Mo.
American Iron and Steel Association	Philadelphia, Pa.	B. F. Jones, Pittsburg, Pa.	{ James M. Swank, Gen. Man., Philadelphia, Pa.
Association of Iron and Steel Sheet Manufacturers	Pittsburg, Pa.	J. G. Battelle, Piqua, O.	John Jarrett, Pittsburg, Pa.
Carriage Builders' National Association	Philadelphia, Pa.	Channing M. Britton, New York.	Henry C. McLearn, Wilmington, Del.
Heavy Hardware Jobbers' National Union	Chicago, Ill.	S. D. Kirnbart, Chicago.	{ W. C. Brown, 45 La Salle St., Chicago, Ill.
Manufacturers' National Association	Cincinnati, O.	Thomas Dolan, Philadelphia.	E. P. Wilson, Cincinnati, O.
Merchant Tailors' National Exchange of the United States	New York.	Emile Twyeffort, New York.	James S. Burbank, New York.
Millers' National Association of the United States	Milwaukee, Wis.	C. A. Pillsbury, Minneapolis, Minn.	Frank Barry, Milwaukee, Wis.
National Association of Builders	Boston, Mass.	Charles A. Rupp, Buffalo, N. Y.	William H. Sayward, Boston, Mass.
National Association of Furniture Manufacturers	Indianapolis, Ind.	Otto Strechhlan, Indianapolis, Ind.	T. B. Laycock, Indianapolis, Ind.
National Association of Galvanized Sheet-Iron Manufacturers	Pittsburg, Pa.	N. S. Whitaker, Wheeling, W. Va.	John Jarrett, Pittsburg, Pa.
National Association of Stove Manufacturers	Chicago, Ill.	Lazard Kahn, Hamilton, O.	T. J. Hogan, Chicago, Ill.
National Association of Wool Manufacturers	Boston, Mass.	William H. Haile, Springfield, Mass.	S. N. D. North, Boston, Mass.
National Board of Trade	Boston, Mass.	Frederick Fraley, Philadelphia, Pa.	W. R. Tucker, Philadelphia, Pa.
National Board of Trade of Cycle Manufacturers	Hartford, Conn.	A. G. Spalding, New York.	A. Kennedy Child, Hartford, Conn.
National Brick Manufacturers' Association of the United States	Indianapolis, Ind.	F. H. Eggers, Cleveland, O.	{ Theo. A. Randall, 5 Monument Place, Indianapolis, Ind.
National Cigar Manufacturers' Association	New York, N. Y.	Moses Krohn, Cincinnati, O.	Morris S. Wise, New York.
National Confectioners' Association	St. Louis, Mo.	John S. Gray, Detroit, Mich.	{ F. D. Seward, 525 North Main St., St. Louis, Mo.
National Dairy Union	Elgin, Ill.	W. D. Hoard, Fort Atkinson, Wis.	D. W. Willson, Elgin, Ill.
National Hardware Association	Philadelphia, Pa.	{ William W. Supplee, 503 Market St., Philadelphia, Pa.	T. James Fernley, 505 Commerce St., Philadelphia, Pa.
National Iron Roofing Association	Cincinnati, O.	James Beichele, Canton, O.	{ George M. Verity, care American Roofing Co., Cincinnati, O.
National Live Stock Exchange	Chicago, Ill.	W. H. Thompson, Jr., Chicago, Ill.	Charles W. Baker, Chicago, Ill.
National Paint, Oil, and Varnish Association	Chicago, Ill.	{ Howard B. French, Philadelphia, Pa.	{ D. Van Ness Person, Chicago, Ill.
National Retail Grocers' Association	Chicago, Ill.	George A. Shurer, Peoria, Ill.	W. M. Crawford, Chicago, Ill.
National Retail Hardware Dealers' Association	Boston, Mass.	S. S. Bryan, Titusville, Pa.	{ Hiram G. Janvrin, 9 Dock Square, Boston, Mass.
National Retail Jewelers' Association of the United States	St. Louis, Mo.	Herman Mauch, St. Louis, Mo.	William F. Kemper, St. Louis, Mo.
National Transportation Association	Chicago, Ill.	Frank Barry, Milwaukee, Wis.	George F. Stone, Chicago, Ill.
National Wholesale Druggists' Association	Minneapolis, Minn.	J. C. Eliel, Minneapolis, Minn.	A. B. Merriam, Minneapolis, Minn.
Tinned Plate Manufacturers' Association of the United States	Pittsburg, Pa.	W. T. Graham, Bridgeport, O.	John Jarrett, Pittsburg, Pa.
United States Brewers' Association	New York, N. Y.	Leo Ebert, Ironton, O.	Richard Katzenmayer, New York.
Vapor Stove Manufacturers' Association	Cleveland, O.	{ Hon. D. Dangler, Dangler Stove Mfg. Co., Cleveland, O.	F. L. Alcott, Standard Lighting Co., Cleveland, Ohio.
Vessel Owners' and Captains' National Association	Boston, Mass.	J. S. Winslow, Portland, Me.	{ R. R. Freeman, 95 Commercial St., Boston, Mass.

Exchange, the Board of Trade and Transportation, the Coal Exchange, the Mechanics' Exchange, and many more with names indicative of their trade specialties, which have organized from time to time as the city developed.

The approximate numbers of the various commercial associations located in the principal cities, not previously enumerated, are as follows: Philadelphia, 20; Boston, 48; Pittsburg, 11; Baltimore, 21; San Francisco, 15; Indianapolis, 8; Louisville, 9; New Orleans, 11; Minneapolis, 12; Kansas City, 9; St. Louis, 26; Omaha, 9; Buffalo, 16; Cincinnati, 17; Cleveland, 9; Milwaukee, 10; and the entire num-

Thus it will be seen that, starting with but four commercial organizations, of the character and scope outlined, at the beginning of the nineteenth century, their number at its close will have increased five hundred fold. What they have accomplished for the people of this country is simply incalculable. The record is found in our extensive manufacturing industries; in the products of the soil, forests, and mines; in our enormous interstate commerce; in our foreign trade; in our circulating medium and monetary institutions; and, finally, in the unprecedented increase in national wealth, prosperity, and development.



## CHAPTER X

# ONE HUNDRED YEARS OF NEW YORK COMMERCE

INEVITABLE from the first as was the supremacy of New York in the commerce of the Western world, her preëminence to-day has largely been attained along the lines of her own endeavor. Competing in the open fields of enterprise and trade, she fairly won the wealth that has rendered possible the ever-increasing magnitude of her operations. Her later progress is linked to that of the nation by the double and indissoluble bond of cause and effect.

To the geographical location of New York have been attributed, and to a certain extent justly, the great advantages she enjoys over every other city on the Atlantic seaboard. Her harbor is one of the largest and safest in the world. It is never closed by ice, and is always easy of access. Situated at the mouth of that great inland waterway, the Hudson, the island of Manhattan affords a shore front capable of docking the navies of the world, while Long Island Sound, a miniature Mediterranean, stretches far away to the east. Great trunk-lines, tapping the vast resources of every part of the country, bring here the products which are later distributed over the whole habitable globe. This is the condition of affairs to-day; but there was an era, prior to the railroads, when small vessels of far lighter draft demanded spacious harbors, and when, the manufacturing interests of the country being undeveloped, natural products alone sought the markets of the world.

This was the time, a century ago, when New York won her spurs. With a population of about 50,000, she held her claim to commercial and metropolitan honors only by contention. Philadelphia, Baltimore, New Orleans, and even Charleston represented interests as important as those which centered upon Manhattan Island. Cotton was then an infant monarch of little power, but the plantation interests of the South, which were striding daily into prominence, centered at Baltimore and Charleston; the great highway of the Mississippi was already begin-

ning to take the products of the West to New Orleans; while Philadelphia, with her great banking interests, and New England, with her flourishing West Indian trade, were further challenging New York. Of the total commerce of the country, New York had only about one fourth credited to her. Singularly enough, it differed but little in its import features from that of to-day. The causes of this are not hard to discover. The mercantile interests of the city were already developed. Her social life differed only in degree from that of the European capitals, and wealth and luxury were found everywhere. The old aristocratic flavor of the colonial days still remained, and in politics alone was found the dominant democracy of the time. Gentlemen's cellars still nursed in dusty bins the choicest wines of sunny France, of Portugal, and of Madeira, which made the invoice of many an arriving merchantman. Olives, oil, dried fruits, and hundreds of other luxuries came from the Mediterranean ports, while coffee, sugar, spices, indigo, dyestuffs, and other tropical products arrived from the West Indies and from the Orient. Cloth and manufactured articles of all kinds for the use of New York were brought from England and France, and with the other imports were traded for the wheat, flour, corn, beef, fish, provisions, furs, lumber, and tobacco which our own country sent here for a market. Very little money, generally speaking, changed hands. Commerce resembled more an extended application of the barter system of the early trading-post than an international business relation.

To this brief résumé of the situation as it presented itself to the bewigged old gentlemen who gathered daily at the so-called Merchants' Exchange in the Tontine Coffee-House during the early days of the year 1795, only one thing remains to be added. This was the extreme insecurity of our commercial relations, which dashed the otherwise legitimate undertakings of our merchants with a

speculative savor found to-day only in the stock market. England in 1783 was unable longer to withhold political liberty, but a dozen years later she still endeavored to hold on to many of the material advantages of colonial days.

The first step toward removing the obstructions which embarrassed our commerce was the Jay treaty. The successful negotiation of this first of our commercial treaties, imperfect though it was, well deserves centennial celebration. It marks our admission as a nation into the world's fraternity of commerce.

Many a famous fortune of to-day, and many a great business house since known all over the civilized world, were founded in the next decade. At this time New York was scarcely half as large as Philadelphia. Its merchants, who to-day would be called importers, and its retail storekeepers, transacted the business of the town. There were no manufacturing interests, and even in 1800 this branch of industry had only reached an annual output of about \$250,000, a large part of which was accredited to brewing and distilling. When it is considered that to-day New York's factories turn out annually over \$600,000,000 worth of goods, the significance of the change from the condition in which they started will be better appreciated.

The city of New York during this period extended only about to Reade Street or Duane Street, and above Canal Street was still the open country. The docks were in the southeastern part of the island, beginning at Whitehall Street and running around to Peck Slip. Above these, all along the shore, were the shipyards, which were the first to feel the impetus of the good times that were inaugurated in 1795. Those were the days when a few hundred dollars built a stanch little vessel. Her hull was easily mortgaged for so much as would supply her with sails and rigging, and the profits of her first voyage to the West Indies were such that she would tie up to the home dock completely paid for. Through the activity of trade the ship-builder and the merchant prince reached a prominence never before gained by any class in the community. With the exception of the farmers, they were almost the only employers of labor. It was an age which, with all its simplicity, affected a lavishness of living expenditure, and these nabobs spent their money as freely as they made it. Their argosies came back to them laden with all the latest products of European industry and skill. Their warehouses, filled to overflowing, poured into the empty holds of these vessels great cargoes of grain, breadstuffs, fish, and

provisions, which were carried to Europe, laid waste by Napoleon and his French legions, and which brought fabulous prices. Return cargoes, sold at enormous profit here, still further added to the lucrative nature of this early trade, and the merchants of New York improved their time to accumulate wealth without interruption until the Embargo of President Jefferson in 1807.

In the mean time, however, many things were happening which were later to produce their effect upon the trade of New York. These causes had already begun to shape themselves in 1800. The population of the city was then 60,489, and it was distinctly commercial and maritime in its nature. The offices of the largest merchants, the three banks, the three insurance companies, and all the business energy of the city had centered about Wall Street, excepting the shops and smaller retail establishments, which lined Pearl Street, making it a main thoroughfare then and for thirty-five years thereafter. The coastwise and inland trade had brought to the docks sloops and quaint old craft in shoals from New Jersey, up the Hudson, and along the Sound, which brought firewood, brick, farm produce, and other articles, and took away general supplies. Further than this, a large fishing-fleet made this port its headquarters, and its season's catch, dried and salted, continued for many years to be an important part of our exports.

Of manufactured articles, except the very coarsest grades, we produced almost none at that time; but under the fostering of the Embargo and the war blockade there came a great manufacturing movement, which continued for a period of three years. In 1800 attempts were made for industrial independence in many branches. The iron-working industry, always prohibited by England to the colonies, was begun in a minor way in New York by such men as Robert McQueen, James P. Allaire, and others. Pianos, soon to become an essential in the drawing-rooms of all cultivated people, were among the earliest of American manufactures. Dodds & Claus, the first firm engaged in this business, were making them as early as 1792 at 66 Queen Street, now a part of Pearl. Besides this most important branch, New York's other industries were two or three hat factories, which employed a few hands at cheap wages, and several breweries, distilleries, and tanneries. The trade in furs, too, was extensive at this time, and John Jacob Astor soon after organized a single company, with a capital, enormous for those days, of \$1,000,000, the greater part of which was furnished by him. He further increased his

operations a few years later by absorbing two other companies, and establishing a Western depot on the Columbia River. With the exception of this latter enterprise, which soon failed, his business was in New York.

Europe during all this period was torn by the struggles of those national giants, France and England. Each of the combatants had proclaimed a blockade against all European ports except those under its own control, and any merchantman flying the United States flag was liable to confiscation, if caught by a patrolling cruiser or privateer of either nation near the blockaded coast. Many ships were lost in this way; but the enormous profits gained when a vessel managed to slip a cargo through were so tempting that New York merchants continued to embark in such ventures. It was at this time that, protest having proved unavailing, President Jefferson believed himself to have found a way to force the belligerent powers to respect the neutrality rights of America. To this end he issued in 1807 his Embargo, prohibiting all American merchantmen from leaving port, and forbidding the shipment of American cargoes in foreign bottoms. It was his belief that Europe's need of the provisions this country supplied would drive her to conciliation. In this idea he proved mistaken, and the Embargo was necessarily repealed in 1809. It had, however, accomplished great mischief to New York's commerce, as well as to that of the country at large. The great fleets of the merchant princes lay rotting at their anchorages. The warehouses were deserted, and grass grew upon the unused docks. Many clerks were discharged, and their poverty, together with that of hundreds of sailors thrown out of employment, made the suffering among the laboring class severely felt.

The most important event of this time, however, and one that far outranks the Embargo in its continuing importance, was the building by Robert Fulton of the *Clermont*, the first steamboat, though it was little more than a toy. He was aided with means by Chancellor Livingston. At a speed of between four and five miles an hour the little vessel made the trip to Albany and return, thus inaugurating the present era of steam-navigation. She was speedily followed by others. Steamboats were running on Long Island Sound in 1818, and the following year John C. Stevens, of Hoboken, built the steamer *Savannah*, of 380 tons, which was the first steam-vessel to cross the Atlantic. Ten years later there were fifty steam-packets running into New York harbor, and in 1840 the first regular

transatlantic steamers were started by the Cunard Line.

The repeal of the Embargo in 1809 had scarcely time to bring about any great results before the War of 1812; and an immediate blockade by a British fleet of the port of New York again locked up the city within the narrowest limits, even her coastwise trade being stopped. Much distress resulted in the winter from the lack of firewood ordinarily brought by the Jersey sloops. The blockade, too, had an added severity over the Embargo, in the fact that, being a community dependent upon England for goods, we were suddenly cut off from our supply, and found ourselves without means at home to remedy the deficiency. Then it was that the attention of New York was for the first time turned seriously toward manufacturing. Homespun, although worn in the country at large, would scarcely do for the fashionable people in New York; and all the hundred and one conveniences demanded by dwellers in city and country must be supplied. In response to this demand factories sprang up as if by magic. Especially wonderful was the sudden growth when it is considered that there was not a shop in the country then capable of turning out anything but the simplest machinery. Despite all adverse conditions, industries multiplied and prospered. American wool, which had hitherto been supposed only fit for the coarsest kinds of cloth, was successfully used for the manufacture of finer fabrics. The first woolen-mills, owing their origin to the pressure at this epoch, were started in 1809, and during the war turned out satinets which sold at \$4 per yard, and broadcloth which brought from \$10 to \$12 per yard. In this, as in the majority of other lines, prices were abnormally high, and the manufacturers made much money. Cotton-mills were also started. Many embarked in the new ventures, and nearly every kind of manufacturing was represented. When the war ended prosperity departed as suddenly as it had come. England, in her desire to regain her former market, poured in her goods at prices far below those at which the New York manufacturer could afford to sell his products, and forced him to shut his doors. Tens of thousands of dollars of lost capital, and hundreds of operatives out of work, made up the result of New York's first effort to enter the ranks of the world's producers. It was not altogether a dead loss, though, for a spirit had been roused which continually manifested itself during the next twenty years, and which eventually placed this city high in the list of manufacturing centers.

With the return of peace, Messrs. Adams, Galla-

tin, and Clay went to England, where on July 3, 1815, a commercial convention was negotiated, copied substantially from Jay's treaty, but with an added proviso for absolute reciprocity in direct trade by the abolition on both sides of all discrimination. This convention was ratified December 22d. Confidence was seriously checked by the financial and industrial depression which followed the war, but New York was among the earliest cities to rally and continue her enterprises. By far the most important of these was the proposed Erie Canal. It contemplated the connection of the Hudson River and the Great Lakes, thereby bringing to New York the wealth of products of the great inland basin thus reached. Ground was broken in the work of digging the great canal by James Richardson, on July 3, 1817, near Rome, N. Y. Eight years were required for the completion of the task. On November 4, 1825, the first fleet of canal-boats came through from Buffalo to New York City, Governor De Witt Clinton, who in the face of almost insurmountable obstacles had carried the work through, being in the first boat. The event was celebrated in New York with the greatest enthusiasm, and marked the commencement of the system of communication since established both by rail and water with the interior of the country.

As Governor Clinton and the few far-sighted men who had supported him in his giant undertaking had foreseen, the new canal began at once to revolutionize the internal trade of America. By it New York was able to reach, cheaply and quickly, districts which had hitherto been accessible only by a long and circuitous route around Florida, through the Gulf, and up the Mississippi River. The Erie Canal afforded to New York what she then most needed—an opportunity to extend her domestic distribution and collection. It was the first move made for the protection of this city against the prosperous factors of New Orleans, to whose doors the great Mississippi was bearing in daily increasing numbers the huge flat-bottomed river-boats laden with the products of the West. Many States, like Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois, were in the habit of sending their products to New Orleans for export, although obtaining their supplies and imports from New York. The canal put all these localities in closer touch with the great seaboard city, and paved the way as nothing else could have done for railroad transportation facilities, when their turn came, a few years later.

Meantime the commerce of New York continued to flourish. Packet lines with regular weekly sail-

ings were established, the first being the Blackball Line, founded in 1816 by Isaac Wright & Son, Francis Thompson, Benjamin Marshall, and Jeremiah Thompson. It was followed by the Red Star Line, organized by Trimble & Company, in 1821; the Havre packets of Depau, in 1822; Grinnell, Minturn & Company's London Line, in 1823; and the China and California packets of Low, Griswold & Aspinwall, still later. The first of these lines, with its regular sailing-days, began the systematizing of transatlantic trade; and the imports to New York during the ten years following 1820 increased nearly \$8,000,000, while the export trade made a corresponding gain, the total imports and exports of the country in 1830 amounting to \$144,776,428. Two years later the \$10,000,000 which New York had put into the great ditch of the Erie Canal was showing its fullest results. With a registered and enrolled tonnage of 286,438,—greater than Liverpool or any city in the world except London,—the harbor of New York was daily thronged with vessels. Either discharging at the docks—which had by this time stretched themselves around to the North River front—or at anchor in the stream, over 500 vessels could be counted any day in the year. From foreign ports nearly 2000 vessels arrived annually, while twice and a half that number, engaged in the coastwise trade, ran in and out in the same time. From the invoices of all these craft could be read the story of a volume of trade of dimensions hitherto unprecedented. The amount New York paid as valuation of her imports in 1832 was \$53,214,402, while the total for the rest of the country reached only \$47,815,864. By these figures it will be seen that New York's percentage in duties would easily make her the chief contributor to the revenues of the government, as she was and always has been. Of the imports of that time, manufactured articles, fully fifty per cent. of which were dry-goods, made the great bulk. Besides the silks, woolens, cotton goods, and linen, hardware, cutlery, earthenware, and workings of brass and copper, together with the wines and spirits which England and France supplied, there was a large and flourishing trade with Brazil and the West Indies in sugar, molasses, and coffee, and with the Orient in tea, spices, indigo, dyestuffs, and other tropical products.

The exports from New York during this same year reached the amount of \$26,000,945, or between one fourth and one third of the total exports of the country. The prominence of New Orleans as a port of the West explains the discrepancy between in and out volume of trade of New York, which dis-

crepancy, in fact, existed more or less markedly up to the time of the railroads. The exports most important at that time were wheat, flour, corn, rice, beef, pork, butter, dried fish, general provisions, furs, tobacco, and lumber, together with some of the coarser grades of manufactured goods. In this list the manufacturing progress of the city since the disastrous setback that followed the War of 1812 is plainly shown. Soap, boots and shoes, furniture, carriages, trunks and leatherwork, hats, cordage, earthen and stone ware, drugs, and rough ironwork were all being turned out, and in quantity sufficient to warrant exportation in many of the lines enumerated. There were also paper-mills, type-foundries, printing-press manufacturers, and large flouring and tanning interests centered here.

The prosperity of this time, commercial and financial, was rudely broken in upon three years later by the great fire which occurred on the night of December 16, 1835, in Merchant Street, and which, after raging three days, was finally extinguished only by blowing up a number of houses with gunpowder, thus leaving a vacant space that the flames could not pass. It had destroyed, however, nearly the whole of the business section. In and around Hanover Square, Pearl and Wall streets, 648 houses and stores were burned, together with contents valued at \$18,000,000. The blow was a terrible one, and the insurance companies of the city succumbed at once. Scarcely one survived. Business of every sort had been affected, and in the severe winter weather that prevailed, building had to be delayed and many interests found themselves homeless. To the depression of this great conflagration can be traced many of the active causes of the financial panic which broke over the city and country in 1837, and for a time darkened the whole commercial horizon.

As in the past, however, New York was one of the first to feel better times. The country was growing fast and demanded hundreds of articles for which New York was the distributing point. Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois had undertaken canals connecting the Ohio and Mississippi rivers with the Great Lakes at Cleveland, Toledo, and Chicago; but with all these increased activities elsewhere New York had maintained its position as the great port of entry. Baltimore's attempt to accomplish a connection with the West by the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad in 1828 did not prove immediately valuable when completed, and Philadelphia, with the other seaboard cities, still found the lofty walls of the Alleghenies an insurmountable obstacle. Railroads were in operation, but only in unconnected lengths, and trunk-

lines were still in the future. The telegraph, destined in its later applications to revolutionize the commercial methods of the world, was discovered by Professor Morse in New York, and a line—the first—was built between this city and Philadelphia in 1845. A setback caused by another great fire in this same year (1845), which destroyed nearly \$8,000,000 of property, was speedily passed over. The railroads were surely, if slowly, increasing and improving. The trade in the China seas and with India was extending, and despite its great risks many houses were growing rich and powerful in its pursuit. Manufacturing had increased to a point where the permanency of its institution could no longer be doubted. The boundless resources of the great Western granaries were pouring in yellow streams to Europe. The Collins Line of steamers, with five magnificent ships subsidized by the United States government, were put upon the Atlantic Ocean; but the loss of the *Pacific* and *Arctic*, followed by the withdrawal of the subsidy, ended the operations of the line in 1858.

The event of this period, so far as New York's commercial greatness is concerned, however, was the opening of the first trunk-line, the Erie, to Dunkirk, in 1851. It demonstrated the usefulness of the railroad, doubted even at that day by many, and was speedily followed by other great systems stretching out in all directions. Long before this first road was finished New York's position as the metropolis of the United States was assured; but its connection with railroads of sufficient length was as important to it as the opening of the Erie Canal had been twenty-five years before. The commercial interests, which had originated, developed, and supported the city's greatness, began still further to expand. The financial troubles of 1857 found New York the least susceptible to their attack. It speedily recovered, and the next year saw the commerce of the country reach a total valuation of over \$500,000,000, of which only about two fifths was accredited to New York, despite the fact that nearly two thirds of the imports, amounting to \$180,953,843, had passed through her custom-house. The preëminence of New Orleans in the cotton export trade still continued to keep that city on terms of formidable rivalry with New York, while Galveston, also deriving its importance from the same staple, was coming to the front with Baltimore, Savannah, and Charleston.

This year of 1858 was destined to see one of the most marvelous of the century's achievements—the laying of the first transatlantic cable, which was accomplished through the enterprise of several of New

York's public-spirited citizens. Though it operated successfully for only a few days, its practicability was demonstrated, and 1865 and 1866 saw others laid and the present great oceanic system of telegraphs begun.

The brief operation of the cable of 1858 furnished one striking incident of the utmost commercial importance. Over it was announced the collision between the steamers *Europa* and *Arabia*, the reception of this news saving the business world at least \$250,000, which would otherwise have been spent in additional insurances on the vessels and their cargoes.

In 1859 the country at large owned a total tonnage of 3,485,266,—greater than that of any or all nations on earth except the United Kingdom,—while New York herself alone had a tonnage greater than any of the other countries, with the exception of Great Britain. This great fleet, carrying the chief part of all America's commerce under her own flag, was also strong in her competition for the carrying trade of the world, the lion's share of which she had already won. In the coastwise trade an enrolled and licensed tonnage of 1,377,424 plied to and from New York harbor.

The period comprised by the next few years is one which lends itself to be told by figures more readily than in any other way. The growing network of the railroads had been slowly diverting the cotton from the smaller seaports in its movement to the markets, and New York was now getting a fair share. Her total imports for the year 1861, preceding the Civil War, amounted to \$188,790,086, out of \$287,250,542 credited to the country as a whole. Of the exports, of the value of \$204,899,606, New York had more than doubled the figures of three years earlier, and claimed \$118,267,177. The tonnage of the country had swelled to the vast total of 5,299,175, and merchantmen carrying the Stars and Stripes and hailing from New York could be seen in every port of the civilized world. It was the golden age of American shipping; and although New York is a far greater city to-day than she was then, it is still a matter of regret that she cannot carry on her vast transactions with an American marine, rather than beneath the flags of other countries whose vessels traverse the seas. The golden age was brief, however. It grew up in the years between 1820 and 1860, and it was cut down almost in a year—one year of war. The close of 1862 found the United States' merchant fleet smaller by many thousands of tons than it had been the preceding year, while Great Britain, ever on the watch

to secure an advantage, had increased her fleet correspondingly and was rapidly becoming the carrier of the world's freights.

The imports at New York showed still further the effects of the war. A falling off of over \$50,000,000 was the record, but even this was far better than that which happened to the remainder of the country, which added up its total import trade to only \$189,356,677. The export trade of the country at large was affected least by the troubles of this time and only decreased slightly, while New York's exports actually increased, amounting to \$127,651,778, or about \$9,000,000 more than during the preceding year. The cause of this was shown later in the year following the war, when between the exports of New York for 1864 and those for 1866 there was a falling off in the latter year of nearly \$33,000,000, due mainly to the resumption of the Southern ports.

The effect of the Civil War upon New York's commerce fortunately lasted only a short time. Had it not been for the disturbance it caused to general business it is doubtful whether the war, in its effect commercially, would not have been considered to a high degree beneficial. The figures, when studied, show this to have been so relatively, at least. New York was undoubtedly more prominent and a larger factor in the trade of the country between 1861 and 1864 than she is now, but it was a much smaller trade. Her own particular prosperity increased with the end of the war, and in 1870 her imports and exports had increased to over \$100,000,000 greater than they were in 1862, while the total trade of the United States aggregated nearly \$900,000,000.

The foregoing figures show that the commerce of New York recovered very quickly from the shock of war. The shipping interests of the city were not so fortunate. Out of a total lost tonnage of 1,104,435 due to the war, New York had suffered about one fifth of the whole. This loss has been recovered but slowly, and even to-day the figures have not returned to the point from which they fell. Instead of two thirds of the commerce of the port being done in American bottoms, as it was prior to 1860, there is scarcely a quarter of it that does not go to foreign carriers. England has nearly 8,000,000 of tonnage more to-day than we, and much of New York's trade is carried on under her flag. Ship-building has accordingly ceased to be a great New York industry, which it was earlier in the century.

Since the war all attempt to particularize in sketching the history of such a gigantic emporium as New York is hopeless. The causes which have already





HORACE PORTER.



been laid down as operating to bring about her greatness are equally strong to maintain it. The natural center of the enormous wealth of the Eastern seaboard States, she is also in direct contact by her railroads and waterways with the most remote centers of production, and to her as the only real distributor must the imports come. Despite the fact that storage and wharfage charges are higher than in almost any other port, one third of the entire wheat crop of the country is exported from this city. The war and the railway systems together have so militated against the Southern cotton ports that a large share of that trade passes through New York. Petroleum and the valuable products of the wonderful oil regions, dressed beef and pork from the enormous packing-houses of Chicago and other Western cities, live cattle from Texas and the Western plains, and breadstuffs and provisions of all kinds, make up much of the great volume of exports. Of the staples of import, among the most important are sugar, coffee, tea, and tobacco. Of these, one half the sugar and three fourths each of the coffee and tea imported for the whole country pay duty at this port.

To show more clearly the magnitude of the business transactions involved in the commercial statements of to-day, a few figures taken from the best available sources will be useful. The year 1885 gave a total volume of commerce for the United States of \$1,304,210,275. New York's returns for the same period showed imports amounting to \$380,077,748 and exports \$334,718,227, making a total of \$714,795,975. In 1893, in the face of the financial and commercial troubles of the year, the country's total foreign trade showed an increase of nearly \$350,000,000, making a total of \$1,652,354,534. New York's share in the nation's increased trade was about \$170,000,000, her total figures for the year being \$886,487,641.

To meet the demands of the enormous traffic indicated by these figures, New York has expanded in every way. It now has a population of about 2,000,000, and manufacturing interests with an annual productivity of \$600,000,000 and employing 500,000 hands. It is a center for the greatest railways of the country, and a sailing port for half a hundred great ocean steamship lines. It has a water-front of twenty-five miles, thirteen of them being along the North River, and the dock facilities are increasing every day. The recently completed Harlem Canal between the Harlem and Hudson rivers has been put into operation, and with its facilities the great coastwise trade in bricks, ice, and

lumber between New England and the Sound ports and the Hudson River towns has been materially increased, and a saving of many miles accomplished for a number of vessels coming in on one side of Manhattan Island and having to discharge on the other side.

The harbor of New York to-day is thronged with vessels the year round. Lofty-masted sailing fleets are docked along South Street; coastwise vessels and freight and passenger transatlantic steamships stretch for miles along West Street, interspersed with slips for market-boats and fishing craft; while countless ferries furnish a connection with neighboring cities. 5,000,000 annual tonnage is computed to be the extent of the city's shipping traffic, and 928,000 of this is in the foreign trade, the coastwise trade with its colliers, and the fleet of New England schooners, making a large percentage of the remainder. A total of about 6000 vessels, steam and sail, arrive here annually from foreign ports, while nearly 16,000 enter in the coastwise trade, of which fully 14,000 are sailing craft. In addition to the European lines there are regular steamships to Brazil, Venezuela, the Central American and Mexican ports, and the West Indian Islands.

The precautions taken to guard the city from contagion from any of the increasing number of merchantmen have resulted in the establishment of an effective quarantine. Originally instituted in 1746 on Staten Island, moved to Bedloe's Island in 1784 by the State legislature, and to Governor's Island in 1794, it returned finally to Staten Island in 1801, where its usefulness has steadily increased. The immigration in this country centers almost entirely in New York, over four fifths of the total tide coming to Ellis Island.

The mercantile interests of the city have likewise increased with the general expansion, until to-day there is scarcely a great interest in the country which has not agents in New York. Foreign houses also have established branches here, and the old merchant of one hundred years ago has become the great importer of to-day, while his jealously guarded designation of "merchant" has fallen upon the modern business man, jobber, wholesale dealer, and manufacturing agent.

Diversified as the commercial lines have become, the growth to separate importance of the various branches with their ramifications has compelled the introduction of new methods. The Chamber of Commerce and the Board of Trade and Transportation constitute bodies as great and productive of good as ever, but around them have grown up many

subdivisions of the various interests. A single trade to-day transacts a greater business than the combined interests of the whole city did one hundred years ago, and some facilitation of this enormous business became necessary. This has resulted in the establishment of many exchanges, such as the Produce, Cotton, Coffee, Coal, Metal, Consolidated, Fruit, Real Estate, and others, all of which concentrate the interests they represent at some commercial point. The shipping interests are represented at the Maritime Exchange, and the facilities of the custom-house, public stores, and bonded warehouses are such as have been found to be of the greatest practical benefit. There are 1700 employees in the customs service in New York; and \$150,000,000, collected at the modest cost of about two per cent., is the annual revenue this port contributes to the Federal government.

Summing up the whole situation, New York to-day as a commercial metropolis outranks any city in the world, with the single exception of London; and it requires no especially boastful spirit to say that her prosperity is founded upon a securer basis than that of even the great English capital. Standing at the national gateway to the great West, the wealth that pours each way must pass through her portals. Combining the enterprise that attempts with the wealth that makes of the attempt a sustained effort, she has only begun her career of greatness. She has won success in the first and hardest stage of her journey, and the way is now clear before her. Her future is secure, for as surely as the nation shall wax greater, richer, and more powerful, so surely shall the metropolis of New York continue her onward progress.

*Amue Porter*





## CHAPTER XI

# OUR FOREIGN TRADE FROM A TRADER'S STANDPOINT

**D**IFFERENT conditions of soil, climate, and population exist throughout the world, so that a large portion of the wants of one section is supplied from the products of another. This interchange is the most important agency for bringing the peoples of the world into harmonious relations. By its means the interests of different regions have become so interwoven that to-day no nation can go to war without seriously prejudicing the interests of neutral countries as well as those of many of its own citizens. With improved methods of production, and the increased facilities for interchange of commodities, the wants of mankind have rapidly grown. The luxuries of one generation have become the necessities of the next, so that to-day the masses are living under more favored conditions than the nobility of medieval times, and international trade has increased fortyfold since the beginning of the eighteenth century.

The most important developments of this "industrial age" are the railroad, the steamship, and the telegraph. They have made possible the transportation of merchandise of great bulk under conditions generally beneficial to both producers and consumers. Foreign trade has become to-day of so much importance that the leading men of all nations are alive to the necessity of mastering the complex conditions governing international commerce, and he takes the highest place in this age of industrial wars who is most prominent in creating conditions favorable to the industrial development of the people he represents.

In looking at these rapidly changing conditions from a trader's standpoint, one fact stands out, that while the volume of foreign trade has increased, the margin of profit has proportionately decreased. The barter of tinsel trinkets, firearms, and spirits for ivory, pearls, and gold-dust showed such an enormous percentage of profit as to illustrate the ignorance which existed under primitive means of com-

munication. As facilities for communication and transportation improved, rates of freight declined, widening the circle of trade. During the first three quarters of this century the margins of profit in foreign commerce were so large that merchants with only moderate capital entered the field successfully, and there grew up in the maritime cities and towns of this country a well-distributed business in foreign trade and in the building and freighting of sailing vessels until we possessed the finest fleet of clipper-ships in the world.

During the past twenty-five years, however, the margins of profit in foreign trade and transportation have been reduced at least seventy-five per cent. New methods have been adopted in order to successfully meet these new conditions. Most of the houses that were leaders in our foreign trade one quarter of a century ago did not adapt themselves to the changed environment of commerce, and were forced out of business. To-day quick communication and improved banking facilities enable the foreign merchant to transact safely a much larger business in proportion to his capital than was possible half a century ago; but these very facilities have created a competition so intense that to-day there is little or no profit in transferring the great staples from producer to consumer, so that the trader is forced into the position of a speculator unless he has special facilities for distribution. While in foreign trade the middleman is more useful than in domestic commerce, the tendency of the times is, by bringing together producer and consumer, to eliminate him. The trader is forced to enlarge the field of his transactions. This he cannot safely do except by the use of expert abilities and scientific organization. All this makes necessary large aggregations of capital; and the tendency to consolidation, which is the striking feature of industrial enterprise, is finding its way into international commerce.

Yet the trader has a great advantage over the

farmer and the manufacturer, for his capital is mobile, and not locked up in land or in machinery that in most factories must be thrown away within a decade by reason of new inventions. The Bessemer-steel rail and the triple-expansion engine have practically placed the wheat-fields of India, the Argentine Republic, and the western United States alongside the farms of western Europe. The cheap land and cheap labor of India, the natural advantages of the Argentine, and the great machine-reaped prairies of the West have destroyed the profit of the European tiller of the soil, and practically extinguished the margin for the landed proprietor. The great discontent in Europe to-day is largely due to the unfavorable condition of the agrarian classes; and the demand made by them for something to better their condition has forced to the surface the agitation of false theories for improving trade through silver legislation.

The statistician Mulhall has made it possible to know what the trade of the world has been, and to trace year by year its enormous growth. The following table shows approximately the aggregate value of imports and exports of each country in millions sterling:

FOREIGN TRADE OF DIFFERENT COUNTRIES IN MILLIONS STERLING.

COUNTRIES.	1720.	1750.	1780.	1800.	1820.	1830.	1840.	1850.	1860.	1870.	1880.	1889.
Great Britain.....	13	21	23	67	74	88	114	169	375	547	698	740
France.....	7	13	22	31	33	41	66	95	167	227	339	311
Germany.....	8	15	20	36	40	46	52	70	130	212	294	367
Russia.....	8	14	17	30	22	28	33	40	48	103	131	118
Austria.....	2	4	6	8	10	15	22	29	47	83	107	92
Italy.....	3	5	7	10	15	20	30	38	52	66	91	94
Spain.....	10	14	18	12	10	7	10	11	25	41	50	59
Portugal.....	2	3	4	4	3	3	4	5	8	10	14	18
Scandinavia.....	2	3	5	5	6	8	12	18	27	48	64	72
Holland and Belgium...	4	6	8	15	24	30	45	61	86	136	237	310
Switzerland.....	1	2	3	5	6	8	10	20	30	45	60	60
Turkey, etc.....	2	3	4	5	6	7	10	20	29	55	49	72
Europe.....	62	103	137	228	249	301	408	576	1,024	1,573	2,134	2,313
United States.....	..	..	3	17	23	22	41	62	136	165	308	320
Spanish America.....	10	15	20	25	30	35	48	70	94	135	160	166
British colonies.....	2	3	1	2	3	9	21	44	103	128	203	298
India.....	9	9	10	10	11	10	20	30	52	85	108	131
Various.....	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	50	80	105	120	149
The world.....	88	140	186	302	341	407	573	832	1,489	2,191	3,033	3,377

From this general view of international trade let us turn to the foreign trade of the United States. I am informed that Mr. Worthington C. Ford in his contribution to this history of American Commerce, will give in detail the statistics of our imports and exports. Although the foreign trade of the United States has increased so that we now do as much in one week as we did in one year a century ago, the

great force of the nation has been directed toward the development of our internal resources; to interstate commerce rather than to the extension of foreign trade. The largest commerce of the world, conducted under the conditions of absolute free trade, is carried on between the States of the United States. Untrammelled by customs-duties, the people of the United States, covering a territory of 3,000,000 square miles, have created the most efficient systems for exchange of commodities. They have built 185,000 miles of railways—as many miles as exist in all the rest of the world. They have created the most complete systems of navigation by lake, river, and canal, and a banking system by which a uniform and stable currency exists throughout the entire country. They have not only opened up mines and extended agriculture, but they have developed manufacturing; and while the rate of wages has been higher in this than in any other country, the people of the United States, forced by necessity to meet the low-priced labor of other countries, have applied their high intelligence to the invention of labor-saving machines, so that to-day, although the population of the United States is but 70,000,000, the

labor-saving machinery which is run daily in this country—its fixed steam power being one third of that of the entire world—has a far greater productive capacity than the population of the Chinese empire.

The restless enterprise of America, having conquered more than half the continent, it is now turning toward other fields of activity. In the effort to





CHARLES R. FLINT.



extend our commerce it is natural first to consider the countries south of us. These countries can buy of us manufactures and food products. Their principal employment is agriculture, and they form one of the most important groups of those nations which are known to economists as "neutral markets." There are many evidences of the strength of the movement toward enlarging our commercial relations with these sister republics: the assembling of the International American Conference, at which all the republics of the Americas were represented, called under an act of our Congress for the purpose of extending inter-American trade; the completion by an American company of telegraphic communication by land and sea to the southernmost cities of South America; the appointment of a commission, with representatives from North, South, and Central America, to report the most desirable route for an intercontinental railway; the establishment of the Bureau of American Republics, for the purpose of publishing their statistics and other information of interest to those engaged in American trade; the simplification and unification of customs regulations; a Monetary Conference to study plans for facilitating inter-American exchange; the unanimous recommendation by all of the American republics to establish an International American Bank under an act of the Congress of the United States, with branches in all the other American republics; the celebration of treaties of reciprocity; the proposed establishment of a permanent court to settle all inter-American disputes by arbitration; the opening to our southern neighbors of this great consuming market by continuing the free admission into the United States of hides, rubber, nitrate of soda, and other products, and the recent removal of the duties on coffee, sugar, and wool, so that to-day over ninety-five per cent. of the products imported from Mexico, the West Indies, South and Central America, amounting to \$235,000,000, are admitted by us free of duty. Important as these have been, of still more efficiency is the incessant activity of American merchants and manufacturers who are engaged in pressing their wares upon the attention of these most excellent customers.

The merchant engaged in foreign trade is obliged to study not only the conditions of the markets which are the distributing points of products, but he must also investigate the conditions of production. The American system of manufacturing great quantities of articles all precisely alike is favorable to uniform quality at the lowest cost. This cost is still further decreased when manufacture is highly con-

centrated. As a result many great industries are availing themselves of the advantages of centralization, and so securing economies. The first important aggregation in capital and intelligence for the purpose of securing cheap production was the Standard Oil Company, and they show what may be accomplished by economical methods in building up a great foreign trade. Without assistance from tariff protection that great combination has reduced the cost of illuminating oil to a point where it has been able to furnish a brilliant but low-priced light even to the countries where the people are the poorest and demand the lowest price, such as China, Japan, and India. The aggregate of these exports has reached the enormous sum of \$45,000,000 per annum. The underlying principles which have created this great success are now being applied to many other industries. Through these consolidations the capacity for cheap production is greatly increased, and such concentration of capital and industry will be a great lever in enabling the United States to take possession of foreign markets that heretofore have been dominated by competing nations.

In labor-saving machinery and in intelligence of the labor employed, the United States to-day is in advance of the rest of the world. As an evidence of the progress we are making as a manufacturing nation our exports of manufactures this year will amount to about \$200,000,000 as against \$40,000,000 in 1860. While our merchant marine has relatively declined, the fleets of other nations are at our service. But in one respect we are far behind the manufacturing nations of Europe. Our banking system was organized originally with a view to enable the government to borrow great sums of money from the people during the Civil War by selling bonds to be used as a basis for circulation. It has since been modified, and is to-day a most excellent instrument of interstate commerce; but it is utterly inadequate to deal with foreign trade. The banking facilities of Great Britain devoted exclusively to the foreign commerce of that country represent an investment of hundreds of millions of pounds sterling, while the foreign merchants of the United States are forced to not only be their own traders, but their own bankers. Yet the advantages of foreign trade are great, and when the attention of the financiers of the country shall be directed to the organization of proper institutions devoted to supplying this deficiency, the effect upon the increase of American exports will be marked.

Such are the conditions of the past and of to-day from the trader's standpoint; yet he may look


toward the future with equanimity. While there is a tendency to eliminate the middleman, nevertheless, if he be one of those fittest that are to survive, he will greatly increase his capital. He will perfect his organization so that he is ably represented in every market where he attempts to do business. He will freely use the cable to put himself in possession of all the price-making facts. He will assist in the formation of banking organizations which will enable him to finance his operations. While the average profit of transactions is steadily decreasing, he may so increase their volume and decrease the expenses of doing business that the net profits shall be as large as or larger than before. Then the rapid advance of America into the field of international trade will almost push him forward into prosperity, for the skill and knowledge acquired through long years of business relations with foreign markets must be availed of by the manufacturers and producers who wish to sell their goods abroad. By reason of superior organization he is able to perfectly protect himself with reference to the standing and credit of his customers, and through his large capital he is enabled to spread his transactions over so many countries as to greatly divide his risks. By associating himself with the many movements toward concentration of capital and consolidation of production he will be able more readily to defeat his European rivals in the markets of the world. He will do all that he can to forward such enterprises as the Nicaragua Canal and the Intercontinental Railroad, which, while in a sense yet dreams, are dreams in course of realization. By means of these agencies certain disadvantages of the United States in the struggle for the world's trade will be more than counterbalanced, and the trader will be brought far nearer than before to the many regions with which he desires to do business.

During the past ten years the foreign trader has been most seriously prejudiced by the violent fluctuations and uncertainty arising out of the unwise attempts to create an artificial value for silver. Through legislation the price of silver was advanced to \$1.20 per ounce, but speedily reacted to less than sixty cents. While these conditions, because undermining confidence, caused the panic of 1893, the trading in this country, owing to the government sustaining the stability of its currency, had the advantage of being conducted upon a fixed basis; but the trade of our sister republics and of the other countries on a silver basis was directly subject to the rapid fluctuations in the white metal. Importers were obligated to remit in gold, and then, owing to the depreciation of the currency, had to take fifty cents on the dollar. These conditions doubled the prices of imports, thus curtailing the volume of importations.

No conditions have ever arisen which have so obstructed foreign trade. False hopes of relief were based upon efforts to formulate an international agreement fixing a uniform ratio between gold and silver. Fortunately the silver question, after several campaigns of education, is better understood, and this vexed problem is in course of solution by natural laws. Low prices are reducing the production of silver, while the output of gold is rapidly increasing. No business has been so seriously affected by the uncertainty and extreme fluctuations in the price of silver as international trade, and probably none will benefit so much by stable monetary conditions. Our foreign trade is already beginning to feel the effect of greater financial stability. The power of returning confidence, with the accumulated energy of years of inactivity, multiplied by the modern facilities for production and transportation, will create an era of prosperity in international trade unknown in the history of the world.

*Chas R. Bliss*





## CHAPTER XII

# WALL STREET

THE name "Wall Street" is but a symbol used to signify the American money market. As the dollar-mark placed before long rows of figures throws a golden luster on the column, so the name of the little great thoroughfare that runs from the high gate of old Trinity down to the East River lends its own significance to the surrounding locality. Nassau, Pine, Cedar, Broad, New, William, and Hanover streets are all as truly parts of the expanded Wall Street of to-day as their bankers, brokers, and business are a part of the great American money market. Around the Wall Street of a century ago as a nucleus have gathered the great moneyed interests of the New World, and it is they, rather than any particular street, that are designated to-day by the term "Wall Street." Yet, if the historic old street has broadened somewhat in significance and application during the past century, it has still lost none of its identity. Since the memorable day in 1789 when George Washington, standing on the steps of the old Federal House, took the oath as first President of these United States, the street he then surveyed has been a center for every great national enterprise. It has been the one fixed point around which have revolved the great financial panics that swept the land, and it has also been the source whence have sprung many of the greatest of those undertakings which have rendered our country and the age alike famous.

Something over two centuries ago green rolling fields stretched from Broadway to the East River. Along the ridge of the hill at the head of Broad Street stood the high palisade of stout timber defending the town against any sudden incursion of the red warriors who still prowled the neighboring land. This palisade, which gave its name to Wall Street, has long been gone. It outlived the red men, and was finally torn down, the line it made being laid out and named Wall Street. To-day it

and its significance are forgotten, as are those fair-haired, red-cheeked Dutch maidens, who, tripping down the foot-path to the water, bearing the household linen to the wash, gave their name to Maiden Lane; or the jolly old burghers, clad in baggy knee-breeches and smoking long pipes, who, in the days of doughty Peter Stuyvesant, played their game of bowls upon the smooth turf of Bowling Green. It is only in the few names like these still left that we find how historic are many old city ways. Among them all Wall Street stands with the earliest. There, when the old Town House was demolished in 1699, was built, upon the site of the present Sub-Treasury, a new City Hall, the building which was fitted up six years after the close of the Revolution for the meeting-place of Congress, and at which President Washington was inaugurated.

The importance of Wall Street, therefore, may be dated from 1700, when the affairs of the municipality centered there. By the middle of the century it was a "grand street" with handsome private residences, the seat of the colonial legislature, and the central point for all the political and social life of the day. The State legislature, too, met in Wall Street until the capital was removed from New York to Albany, and for fully fifty years the official life of New York converged there. Nevertheless the tide of affairs was slowly rising in the old thoroughfare, and the private residences began to give way before the offices of the great merchants, who were forsaking lower Broadway and the smaller streets downtown. The shopkeepers and small traders, however, did not venture upon this ground. It was only the great merchant princes and moneyed traders who first planted the standards of business in Wall Street. To them naturally came others, and the Bank of New York, of which General Alexander McDougal was the first president, was in existence but a few years when it was removed to Wall Street,

where it established itself in 1791 at the corner of William Street, being the first bank in New York City and the first on Wall Street.

Had the wishes of the Bank of New York been respected there might never have been another one in the Street; for its influence was strong in the legislature, and for years it was impossible for any other banking charter to be obtained. The establishment of the second bank in Wall Street, and the State as well, came about in a most curious manner, and the credit of its accomplishment belongs to that shrewd lawyer, Aaron Burr. He introduced in 1799 into the legislature a bill to charter the Manhattan Company, a corporation of large capital which proposed constructing a system of water-works. Yellow fever, then an annual scourge, caused the people to welcome gladly any improved sanitary regulation, and pure water was considered of the utmost importance. Viewing the matter thus, even the watchful politicians who were assembled in the legislative halls saw little to object to in the new company, and it was chartered accordingly. One brief clause had been overlooked, however, and in it lay the pith of the cunning Burr's success. This clause, after reciting that the company's capital should be expended in the construction of a system of water-supply, provided that if any surplus should remain it could be used in any business "not unlawful." Under this head banking most certainly fell, and the Manhattan Company, finding speedily that they had a surplus, used it in founding their bank that same year, the location chosen being at what was then 23 Wall Street.

One thing, however, must be said, which is that the Manhattan Company was equally prompt in providing its water-supply. The water was obtained from an old spring, and the reservoir was located near the corner of Reade and Center streets, where it remains to this day, an odd-looking, old-fashioned cistern enough, but still capable of providing water as it did nearly a century ago, when it was considered almost as great an engineering feat as the present Croton Aqueduct. It is years since water has been used from it. The pipes by which the Manhattan Company carried water through the town were made from solid logs, the centers carefully bored out and the lengths jointed together. Occasionally, even now, some contractor digging in the lower streets of the city brings to light one of these old pipe logs, laid so long ago; and several sections thus exhumed have been bronzed, and are carefully kept in the Manhattan Bank as mementos of the great work in the earlier days.

The choice by these two banks—the only ones in

the city—of Wall Street for their location must be regarded as the final election of that street as the home of American finance. The United States Branch Bank was opened there in 1792; the Merchants' was there in 1805, and the Mechanics' Bank in 1810. Meanwhile, too, another potent factor in centering business interests in Wall Street was introduced by the erection in 1794 of the Tontine Coffee-House. Here at noon every day gathered the merchants from their counting-rooms and warehouses to discuss the news of the day, compare notes, chat, and even make trades. At the plain old bar in the center of the great room the best liquors, at a time when good liquor was the rule, were to be had; and sedate old merchants, with a piece of the thirst-provoking salt codfish or a dry cracker in one hand, and a steaming glass of old Jamaica, oily schnapps, or sound old port in the other, gravely exchanged the courtesies of the day. "High 'Change" they called this hour, and, entirely apart from its convivial features, the benefits of this general intermingling of the business men of the city were found to be so important that a merchants' exchange, having the Tontine Coffee-House as its headquarters, was formed. Thus did the Exchange first manifest itself in Wall Street, and quotations now disseminated broadcast by electricity were then obtained by word of mouth, the Tontine Coffee-House being large enough to contain all the great interests of the New York business world of 1795.

In this latter year, with which the century under discussion begins, the banking facilities of New York, exclusive of the branch office of the Bank of the United States, aggregated considerably less than \$1,000,000, and business was synonymous with foreign trade. The merchants were the men of affairs, and, except in foreign commerce or domestic traffic, there were few ways to invest idle funds. The buying of land—real-estate investment—had not then become general, and manufactures were almost unknown, at least as a field for the investment of large capital. Gradually the very extension of trade and business requirements began to bring complexities. Capital increased, and the distinctive function of the banker began, which, according to Ricardo, is "using the money of others." Banks increased, insurance companies sprang up, and the management of money as apart from its use in the channels of trade gradually became more and more distinct. Private bankers, always in existence, gave up little by little the mercantile branches of their business, brokers who bought and sold for others on commission could be found as easily in Wall Street





JOHN P. TOWNSEND.

as at the present time, and by 1810 all the various elements found on 'Change to-day could be observed working themselves into distinctness.

One of the earliest of the great merchants and bankers who ruled on Wall Street in 1796 was Nathaniel Prime, better known as "Nat" Prime. Later on he was the head of the famous banking-house of Prime, Ward & King, a firm as great in its day as any whose name rules the Street now. "Nat" Prime was a hard-headed, picturesque old figure, who had, rumor said, been a coachman in Boston in his younger years. A keen fellow, he had saved and loaned at interest until he gathered a small sum. He was doing a small brokerage business in New York, when, it is related, he met at a dinner-party one evening a rich Southern planter. The conversation turned on money-making, and Prime remarked that if he had \$5000 he would double it in a year. The planter asked him what security he could give for such a loan. "The word of an honest man," replied Prime; and on that collateral the Southerner advanced him the money. So Nathaniel Prime got his start. Within the year he had paid his benefactor back; but he gave no more than was strictly due; and when, some years later, the same Southerner, being in financial straits, applied to him for a loan on the security he himself had given, he refused him. Gratitude was a debt the law did not recognize nor "Nat" Prime pay, but in his financial dealings he was always the very soul of integrity.

From these beginnings to being head of the greatest banking-house in New York and a king in Wall Street was a career, however, that showed the business qualities of Nathaniel Prime; and in the dawning importance of that famous street his was one of the most prominent figures. One of the first significant events showing the extending influence of Wall Street as a financial center was the famous conference of its four great powers, Nathaniel Prime, John Jacob Astor, John Robins, and John Hone, when the State of Ohio, in 1825, contemplating internal development on a large scale, applied for a heavy loan. Two days and a night did this session last, and then the first great ultimatum of Wall Street magnates went forth to the Ohio ambassadors. Enact into statute certain stipulated concessions and the money will be forthcoming, was the tenor of this decision. Back to Ohio went the delegates. The legislature deliberated, and passed the required bills, and from Wall Street to Ohio went a vast loan. This first syndicate was one that might have been a little more peremptory in stating its

terms than those of to-day, but it was equally prompt in living up to its agreements.

The development of the business of Wall Street as a financial power brought in its train a system of operations based upon the exchange of funds, the representation in stocks of intrinsic values, and the acknowledgment in bonds of indebtedness and lien. Around these three simple quantities has grown the multiplex money market of to-day. There were few stocks, or bonds either, in 1795; nevertheless the brokers were already on the Street, and Bleecker's famous old auction-room was the first place where the early bulls and bears resorted. It was a small enough stock-list they had to operate with in those days, and seemingly simple to master. The two or three banks and insurance companies then existing were quoted, and the three or four classes of government securities, but these were all. Sudden or extreme fluctuations, except in time of war, were almost unknown, and an operator who conned his list well on Monday was generally posted for the week. Upon such a field as this did the great New York Stock Exchange make its first appearance. Under an old buttonwood-tree standing in front of 60 Wall Street the early brokers of New York met one day in 1792, and set forth the purposes and obligations of the association in the following agreement:

"We, the subscribers, brokers for the purchase and sale of public stock, do hereby solemnly promise and pledge ourselves to each other that we will not buy or sell from this date, for any person whatsoever, any kind of public stocks at a less rate than one quarter of one per cent. commission on the specie value, and that we will give a preference to each other in our negotiations. In testimony whereof we have set our hands, this seventeenth day of May, at New York, 1792. Lemuel Bleecker, Hugh Smith, Armstrong & Barnewell, Samuel Marsh, Bernard Hart, Sutton & Hardy, Benjamin Seixas, John Henry, John A. Hardenbrook, Samuel Beebee, Alexander Zuntz, Andrew D. Barclay, Ephraim Hart, Julian McEvers, G. N. Bleecker, Peter Anspach, Benjamin Winthrop, John Ferrers, Isaac M. Gomez, Augustine H. Lawrence, John Bush, Charles McEvers, Jr., Robinson & Hartshorn, David Reedy."

This agreement was the only one by which the members were bound until 1820, when daily meetings and the regular call of stocks began. The board had its permanent headquarters after 1825 in the Old Merchants' Exchange; but after that was destroyed by fire it established itself in one of the

Jauncey buildings, whence it removed in 1842 to the New Merchants' Exchange, now the Custom-House. There it remained until 1853. Until that time the board had been the closest of corporations, its membership being governed by iron-clad rules. Financial news agencies were unknown in those days, and the board kept its proceedings a profound secret, violation of this secrecy being punished by expulsion. So intense was the curiosity over the proceedings of this body that an Open Board, which had been organized in 1837, took a building adjoining and dug the bricks out of the wall for the purpose of spying out what was going on.

The board removed from the Merchants' Exchange Building in 1853 to a room in the old Corn Exchange Bank Building at Beaver and William streets. In 1857, the year of the great panic, the board changed its headquarters to the Daniel Lord Building, with entrances on William and Beaver streets. Here it was that some of the great speculators of the day flourished. Among these were Daniel Drew, Jacob Little, and Morse, known as the "lightning calculator," who made and lost a fortune of millions in a little over a year. The rule enjoining secrecy still continuing in force, it is a fact of record that \$100 a day was freely offered for the privilege of listening at the keyhole during the time of the calls. The board continued to hold its meetings in the Lord Building until 1865, when it removed to its present location. During the war period the Stock Exchange, with a view to assisting the government, prohibited its members from selling government bonds "short," and also forbade them all dealings in gold. The later action led to the formation of the Gold Exchange, which, although resulting in a loss of many millions of dollars to its members, was taken for purely patriotic purposes. A second Open Board of Brokers was organized in 1863, with headquarters in a basement in William Street, called the "Coal-Hole." So rapidly did its business increase that it soon took more spacious accommodations in Broad Street, adjoining the Stock Exchange. The competition continued until 1869, when the old board called a truce. Amicable negotiations led to a consolidation of the Stock Exchange, the Open Board, and the United States Government Board, the result being the strongest public financial association in the country, and one of the most important in the world. William H. Neilson was the first president.

The business of this exchange has become to-day much greater than that of the combined exchanges of the kind existing in the rest of the country. It is

the very heart of Wall Street, and its functions are as vital to the development and prosperity of the country as to the money market. It affords a constant and regular market for the securities of the great corporations, and indexes their value in quotations of actual bids and sales. Without such facilities as it affords, the shares of these corporations, aggregating a total par value well up in the billions, would move so slowly that great enterprises would often lag from sheer lack of capital. Again, transactions would be vague, only known to the public when the interested parties were willing, and the door would be opened to manipulation and fraud almost unlimited were the safeguard it affords to be removed. The Stock Exchange, it is true, cannot control the relation of values to prices, nor can it direct the management of corporations by their officials; but it can and does secure a fair, free, and absolutely open market, where the dealings are matters of record and public knowledge. It can and does further insist that all stocks dealt in on its floor shall have certain qualifications warranting their genuineness, and its "listing" committee examines and investigates the claims of every new security brought before it, before it is allowed on the list of those in which members may deal. In admitting a security to its list the Stock Exchange does not recommend it to the public; it simply places it among the honest possibilities of the market, to stand or fall by its own merit. In the unlisted securities dealt in by special privilege of the exchange the action of the board differs but in degree, and any stock in which transactions are allowed, however slight its intrinsic value may be, is stamped as not bogus.

In the exercise of these functions the Stock Exchange has come to stand as the great regulator of the market for securities, and its transactions, fully reported, serve as the standard by which values are established. In the internal economy of the Stock Exchange every method best adapted to conserve the ends of straightforward and legitimate business investment has been adopted. Among the more important changes of the last thirty-five years have been the following: the rule requiring the registry of stocks, in 1869; the abandonment of the regular call of stocks, in 1875; the rule authorizing the buying in, if not delivered when due, of contracts of active stocks, in 1884; the establishment of the Department of Unlisted Securities, in 1885; and finally the establishment in 1892 of its own Clearing-House, where all active stocks dealt in are daily cleared. The publicity the Stock Exchange thus allows to all transactions, the centralization it affords



to the great interests of the country, and the regulations it imposes upon all operations are among the greatest advantages it confers. Its liberal enterprise, coupled with the strictest integrity, aided by the advantages mentioned, has most naturally placed it in the van of organizations of this character in the whole world.

Leaving now the consideration of the component parts of Wall Street, and taking the Street in its true significance as one of the greatest financial centers in the world, its history becomes so vast, so interwoven with the woof of national affairs and prosperity, that it will only be possible to review it in its more important phases. The War of 1812, which, treading on the heels of the Embargo, brought the first set-back to the new Republic, found Wall Street still so identified with the mercantile interests that its prostration with them at the close of the struggle was only natural. The heavy war loans floated by the government, however, had found their largest takers in Wall Street, and that at a time when men needed all their faith and patriotism to believe even in the eventual solvency of the country. This was the first time that the men and institutions of Wall Street came to the nation's assistance. Looking back and recalling the era of prosperity that followed the war and the reestablishment of the United States Bank,—a prosperity that in twenty years paid off the great war debt and amassed a surplus of nearly \$50,000,000,—we can see that their confidence was not misplaced. In this same period, too, during which De Witt Clinton, in the face of the most violent opposition, achieved the construction of the great Erie Canal and placed commercial advantage in the hands of New York, the evolution of Wall Street was rapid. For twenty years its progress was unimpeded, and then came the great fire of December, 1835. Millions of intrinsic value went up in smoke and flame, and millions more followed in lost time and opportunity before conditions could readjust themselves. Every insurance company in Wall Street gave up without recourse before the overwhelming loss, and the banks felt most keenly the ruin of their best customers, the merchants.

Just at this juncture grim old Andrew Jackson demolished at a blow the great national bank. It was the match to the train, although few saw the mine it would explode. Between \$40,000,000 and \$50,000,000 distributed to the State banks throughout the country gave a momentary prosperity that found vent in the gigantic bubble of land speculation which the Specie Circular so woefully pricked. Banks were asked to redeem their notes, but could

not, and then came the panic of 1837. Wall Street felt the crash, but nevertheless her bankers were the first to reopen their doors, and her capitalists the first to regain their confidence. Long and slow was the process of recuperation in the country at large; but through it all, with the banks of the West and South opening one day only to suspend the next, Wall Street continued evenly on its course, and the completion in 1851 of the Erie Railroad to Dunkirk shows how well her capitalists had retained their faith and their courage.

The long drag of ten years, succeeded by an equal period of prosperity struggling against bad banking and ill-regulated finance, culminated in 1857. A branch office of the Ohio Life and Trust Company was located in Wall Street, and from there on the memorable 24th of August, 1857, issued the news of its suspension. Like a house of cards the great financial structure of the country came tumbling down. Over-importations, with no comprehension of the effects of heavy and continued gold shipments, joined to over-speculation and high prices, may be said to have been primarily the cause of the disaster. It was more severely felt in Wall Street than its predecessor of a score of years before, for the reason that it affected wider and more general interests. The railroad, initiated in 1830, accepted by 1835, and being pushed in every direction by 1857, was an interest with which, as to-day, Wall Street was identified. By means of the telegraph, then lately brought into use, the dimensions of the panic were thoroughly known in a week. Failures aggregating \$291,750,000 were reported for the year, and Wall Street set itself to work to repair the damage. Of what might have been, had the troubles of 1860 never arisen, no one can say; of what did occur history tells us plainly. The government, harassed and embarrassed, turned to Wall Street, and it did not seek in vain. Never did a threatened power obtain freer or more speedy relief. Obligations were fast maturing which the government found no means to meet. Besides this, vast sums were needed to carry on military operations. Not only the national credit, but the national existence, was threatened.

In this emergency, Salmon P. Chase, Secretary of the Treasury, communicated with John J. Cisco, the subtreasurer of New York, to use his utmost endeavors to raise the money necessary to sustain the nation's credit. Mr. Cisco informed the banks of the condition of the national finances and of his instructions from Washington. He pointed out to the leading operators and financiers that within a

few days interest on the accruing obligations of the government would have to be paid or it must necessarily go to protest. This was clearly one of the most critical moments in the history of the nation, and the crisis demanded sound judgment and prompt action. The gravity of the situation was clear to the bankers. The collapse of the government's credit would endanger the perpetuity of our very institutions. The foundation of all security was threatened, and the destruction of all values was imminent.

The outlook throughout the Union at that time was dark, while all Europe looked on either in apprehension or in hope that our political fabric was going to pieces. But Wall Street took prompt and united action to extricate the government from its perilous position. The spirit of patriotism was everywhere, and the great financial institutions of the country responded with a heartiness that showed their faith. The old Bowery Savings-Bank, one of the richest, as it was one of the first, of such establishments in New York, voted in February, 1861, to loan one half of all its funds to the government, and this was accordingly done. It is difficult at the present time, when four per cent. bonds of the United States are selling daily in the market at twenty-one per cent. premium, to estimate the courage that was necessary at that period to resolve on such a course as that followed by this bank. Government securities paying as high as seven and three tenths per cent. interest were at that time at a substantial discount, and it is matter of history that the issue, a year later, of legal-tender notes, or "greenbacks," fundable in six per cent. bonds, was largely influenced by the fact that except by such seemingly arbitrary methods the loan could not have been secured with either certainty or rapidity.

In the history of war-time finance, and the measures adopted under stress of the sternest necessity, none was more lasting in its effects, nor greater in the lengths to which it was ultimately carried, than this authorization of the issue of legal-tender notes—"greenbacks." When, in the autumn of 1861, the bankers of the country had paid to the government the last instalment of \$50,000,000 of the \$150,000,000 in gold loaned, their condition was one of extreme exhaustion. This money, disbursed by the treasury to the army and navy, returned to the banks but slowly, and the result of the drain that it had produced was seen when, on December 30, 1861, the banks suspended specie payment. Of this \$150,000,000 in gold thus lent the government in the time of its direst need during the dark

days following the disaster of Bull Run, Wall Street may pride itself on the fact that \$105,000,000 came from its associated banks. The suspension of the banks complicated the financial situation seemingly beyond extrication. The maintenance of the army and navy, which was synonymous with maintaining the Union itself, was dependent upon a vast sum being raised within three months. Therefore it was as an expedient dictated solely by necessity and not choice that the first Legal-Tender Act, providing for an issue of treasury or government notes to the value of \$150,000,000, redeemable in six per cent. twenty-year gold bonds, was passed, and signed by President Lincoln, February 25, 1862. \$50,000,000 of this issue, however, was to be in lieu of the treasury demand notes authorized the previous July. An issue of \$500,000,000 in bonds bearing six per cent. interest, and redeemable in five and payable in twenty years, was also authorized by this act for funding purposes. The first legal-tender notes issued under the act bore the date March 10, 1862, and none were of smaller denomination than \$5. Their effect in easing the pressure upon the treasury was immediate. Within a month another and smaller issue was declared, and on July 11th a second issue of \$150,000,000 in notes of the same kind was authorized, and bills of smaller denomination than \$5 were authorized. On March 3, 1863, a bill was passed authorizing the \$900,000,000 six per cent. loan; but, at the urgent request of Secretary Chase, a clause was inserted leaving it optional with the Secretary of the Treasury to permit the right of holders to fund greenbacks into six per cent. gold bonds. Under this new power greenbacks were funded into sixes until January 21, 1864, when, the original \$500,000,000 issue of bonds having been all taken up, the secretary decided that greenbacks in future could only be funded in the five per cents. The effect of this decision was to instantly and seriously depress the value of the enormous paper currency, and in it may be found the cause of much of the manipulation which, using the premium on gold as a leverage, shook and deranged values in the money market for so many years.

It is thirty years now since the war closed, and during that time there has been so much of notable importance linked with Wall Street that only the more prominent events need be mentioned. The speculation in gold, giving the opportunity to unscrupulous operators to manipulate the stock market for their own ends, culminated in "Black Friday," September 24, 1869, when many in Wall Street began business in the morning as rich men and

went home ruined. Many versions of the causes have been given; but one thing remains certain: that had not unnatural financial conditions permitted the famous Gold Room to exist, the disaster would never have occurred. It is always a situation of incalculable danger, when a nation's paper is at a discount in her own markets. The next few years saw no abatement of the troubles by which the financial world was beset, and the rally which followed 1869 was but the comparative calm preceding the storm which burst four years later. The great banking-house of Jay Cooke & Co., staggering almost single-handed under the terrible burden of the Northern Pacific Railroad, precipitated the trouble in 1873. Wall Street knew that a catastrophe was imminent, but how to avert it was a problem.

As a bit of the unwritten history of that time, it is related that a representative of one of the great banking-houses in Wall Street, having formulated a plan to relieve the tension, went to Washington to lay it before the Secretary of the Treasury, William A. Richardson. The latter declined to believe in the gravity of the situation, and the banker gained an audience with President Grant, to whom he related his fears of impending trouble and outlined certain measures for relief. So much was the President impressed by the imminence of peril that he not only gave the banker a letter to the Secretary, requesting that official to give him a careful hearing, but the President at once ordered the withdrawal of his own private funds, a great part of which, as it happened, was on deposit with the firm of Jay Cooke & Company. How fortunate this action of the President's was was shown when the very next day the failure of the great banking-house was announced.

The panic which this failure brought on was sharp, as was the rally which followed and overdid itself about ten years later, when over-extension of railroads and incautious speculation brought a relapse. In May, 1884, the failures of Grant & Ward and the Marine Bank first alarmed the Street. A few days elapsed without further serious trouble, and then the Metropolitan Bank closed its doors and the trouble became general. No less than fifteen firms on the Stock Exchange failed during this time.

It was in the panic of 1873 that the wonderful power of the Clearing-House as exercised in the issue of loan certificates was made manifest. This power had already been appreciated as one of the moving causes which had permitted Wall Street to respond so readily to the government's demands for

large loans during the war, but its influence as a factor in easing a tense market and relieving the strain of panicky times was first learned in 1873, when certificates aggregating \$26,565,000 were issued. Its second great manifestation was in 1884, and its latest in 1893, which, following, as we have, the course of financial crises since 1795, brings us to the present time. This panic, from the effects of which we are but now slowly recovering, had its origin in many causes. Some solvent institutions were forced to the wall through a general distrust which compelled them to realize on good security at a time when the market would not buy. In looking for the causes of this distrust many things must be considered. Tariff changes long impending provoked a general feeling of uncertainty detrimental to our commercial interests. The Silver Purchase Law caused, in addition, distrust of our currency both at home and abroad, causing the foreigner, for that reason, added to his needs on account of failures in South America, Australia, and Africa, to send back our securities for sale, which caused large shipments of gold out of the country. The Interstate Commerce Law and the State Railroad Commission laws decreased the earnings of railroads. The Reading Railroad receivership, which occurred early in the year, was followed by others; the failure of the Cordage Company in April; the failure of Western farm mortgage companies, caused by the inability of farmers to pay interest and principal of their mortgage loans; the failure of banks, caused by an unusual demand for deposits; the hoarding of currency withdrawn from banks, so that the premium on it went up to five per cent., were all causes tending to the general disaster.

The issuance of Clearing-House certificates to the amount of nearly \$50,000,000 followed, which tended to strengthen public confidence, or prevent it from being wholly destroyed. All this happened before the people's attention was directed to the modification of the tariff which the election of the new administration and House of Representatives indicated. At and before the assembling of the new Congress in December public attention was attracted to the tariff, and this added to the distress; and together with continued failures of corporations, individuals, and railroads, the year 1893 closed in the midst of gloom. The last week, when the Atchison and New England railroads went into the receivers' hands, was the bluest week the country experienced in its history, unless the blue week in July may be the exception.

Since then Wall Street and the government, or

rather the treasury, have been in more intimate relation than at any other time since the Civil War. The real necessity for this close connection is found, perhaps, in those principles of national finance which leave an unprotected treasury to bear the brunt of attacks which it is powerless to avert. In this position no more logical ally than Wall Street could be found, despite the clamor of the uninformed; and in the work of the recent Bond Syndicate, headed by J. Pierpont Morgan, has been given a demonstration of certain important economic and financial principles never before correctly estimated. In the preliminary steps leading up to the formation of the Bond Syndicate of 1895 was demonstrated the helplessness of the treasury, unaided, to control our national finances. A depleted gold reserve in the first month of 1894 was met by an issue in February of \$50,000,000 of bonds bearing five per cent. interest, which sold at a sufficient premium to yield \$58,661,000 in gold to replenish the waning treasury reserve.

The tide of exchange, always flowing outward in the spring and summer, speedily lowered again the gold reserve. From \$106,527,068 in February, the reserve had fallen to \$52,189,500 early in August. The movement of the crops turned the tide at this juncture, but by October the reserve was only \$61,361,826, or far below its traditional limit of \$100,000,000; so a second bond issue was made in November. \$58,538,500 was netted by this sale, and the gold reserve stood at \$105,424,569. Then came the most significant and disquieting event in all our financial history. At a season of the year when large exports of gold were scarcely to be expected there came a drain upon the treasury such as had never before been known. Distrust and rising excitement were visible everywhere; less than half the gold withdrawn was for export, the remainder was hoarded. In less than two months the gold reserve fell to \$44,705,967, and drastic measures were required. It was evident that while the treasury might continue selling bonds, it could not hold the gold in reserve in the face of the prevailing rates of exchange and the wide-spread distrust. Not only was action required that would inspire immediate confidence, but it must be also such as to sustain that confidence by regulating foreign exchange.

This was the problem before the treasury in February, 1895, and the Bond Syndicate, which came forward to undertake the novel task, had far more to overcome than was generally recognized. For this syndicate to supply the treasury with gold was, comparatively speaking, a simple matter; but for

them to so protect this reserve that it should not be drained away as the proceeds of the previous bond sales had been was a different matter. Nevertheless this the syndicate undertook to do, and a contract was entered into whereby the treasury bought from them, by an issue of \$62,317,500 in "coin" bonds, 3,500,000 ounces of gold, making the amount paid by the syndicate for the bonds \$65,117,500. From February, when the agreement was entered into, until the last week in June, when the final payment into the treasury was made and the connection of the Bond Syndicate with the government terminated, this association kept the gold reserve above suspicion, and their final payment left the treasury with \$107,512,362. How well they performed their contract is shown in the fact that during April, May, and June, when heavy gold shipments are always made, they so regulated exchange that instead of losing \$45,000,000 of its reserve, as the treasury had done during the same three months of the preceding year, it actually increased it by \$7,242,963. The method of the syndicate was to meet the local needs for exchange and to sell American securities abroad in sufficient amounts to offset this exchange. This it accomplished from February until the end of July. By that time the movement of the crops should have been sufficient to influence exchange in our favor, but a delay of some three weeks in their shipment caused a brief fall. Nevertheless the power of the Bond Syndicate had been shown. It had done all it had contracted to do, and revived the public confidence at a time when it sadly drooped. It took great risks, accomplished great good, and showed again how far-reaching is the influence of Wall Street. That the reserve of the treasury cannot remain where it placed it is no fault of the syndicate's. The root of this evil lies far deeper—in the fallacies of national finance; and the problem it presents must some day be met and solved.

Without entering into any exhaustive argument of a subject so vast as this, it may be said that at the very base of the trouble are the greenback or legal-tender and United States treasury notes. While the aggregate of these is only about \$500,000,000, their actual volume is unlimited, for the reason that they are redeemed only to be reissued. Like an endless chain, these notes running in and out of the treasury drain in a steady stream the nation's gold, of which by far the greater part goes to foreign countries, while our government, confronted with the task of paying out gold to redeem notes it may not cancel, has to borrow that its reserves and credit may be maintained. The nation is in the position where it

has to give gold to all comers, but may not demand it for itself, except in the duties of the custom-houses. It is a fallacy which has already caused great loss to our people, and unless speedy action be taken will cause still more. It demands that the treasury exercise banking functions that it was never meant to have; and until these so-called legal tenders are retired it is hard to see how the finances of this country can be either satisfactory or sound.

If Wall Street was the sole reliance for supplies of gold when it was required for export, it could protect itself from too great a drain by raising the rate of discount, which would check imports and stimulate exports, thus giving the danger-signal to the commercial classes, who are directly responsible for the error of over-trading. The United States Treasury, which does not discount commercial paper, but has obligations outstanding in the form of legal tender or treasury notes redeemable on demand, has no means of protecting its reserve, which must be paid as long as the demand for it continues or until its stock of the metal is exhausted.

The work of the Bond Syndicate closes the chap-

ter of memorable events by which Wall Street has risen to its present importance. A century ago Lombard Street was the center of the world's moneyed interests; Wall Street hardly had an existence. To-day it rivals the former in many respects. The Paris Bourse and the great centers of Berlin and Vienna are as intimately connected with Wall Street as with one another. A flurry in one center reflects itself within the hour in all the others. The Old World is coming to regard American securities as the best and safest outlet for her investors. At the present time Wall Street most certainly is the channel leading to the richest and most profitable fields of enterprise in the world. The railroads, commerce, mines, and industries of our continent serve as her sources of supply, and in their development has been and will be still greater wealth.

If in tracing this sketch of Wall Street I may have seemed to infringe in some degree upon the domain of national finance, it must be remembered that the two are indissolubly linked together, and in the integrity of both lies the great safeguard of our country's prosperity.

John P. Cowsead.





## CHAPTER XIII

# ADVERTISING IN AMERICA

THE development—yes, even the continued existence—of every industry described in this work depends on the dissemination of information concerning it, and the resulting knowledge of what it is and what it is doing. Such dissemination of information is advertising.

It may take myriad forms,—traveling representatives; exhibits at fairs, by window displays, or in the stores of the retailers; distribution of samples; circulation of catalogues, circulars, or other printed and lithographed matter; advertisements in newspapers; signs, stationary and movable; use of “novelties,”—but whatever it is, it is all advertising, and, for want of a better term, may be defined as “an effort to cause others to know,” and which it is hoped will also cause them to remember and do.

Emerson says we should read history actively rather than passively; that is, we should treat it as a commentary on our own lives. While much history has in it nothing in common with our surroundings or purposes, and cannot, therefore, yield us anything of direct value, the history of advertising, being a record of the adaptation of business methods to modern business conditions, is peculiarly rich in helpful information, and a careful study of it in the manner Emerson suggests should greatly benefit the modern business man.

The advertising of the pre-printing period had, of course, to be adapted to the conditions of that age; the crier, the carved sign, the crude poster, were then the best means of conveying information to the public. Even after the advent of the printing-press and the newspaper the development of advertising necessarily awaited the general education of the masses. Book and paper were alike valueless to those who could not read. How slowly conditions changed may be gathered from the fact that the Boston “News-Letter,” the first paper in the country to maintain publication, had only 300 subscribers in 1744—forty years after its establishment.

A century’s history of advertising in the United States is a story of wonderful development; but so marvelous has been its growth during the last fifty years that the record of the other fifty now seems scarcely more than one of mere existence. American advertising has advanced along many lines, concerning each of which much of interest might be written.

Inasmuch as it has been estimated that more than seventy-five per cent. of the amount expended for American advertising is now paid to the newspapers,—in which term are included the magazine, the trade journal, and all other publications of the class known as periodicals,—we will speak first of newspaper advertising.

The wider use which it has attained over all other methods is not to be accounted for on the ground that newspaper advertising is a fashion or a fad. Its wonderful use to-day, and the still more wonderful future which awaits it, have for their enduring foundation the fact that newspaper advertising appeals to human intelligence by the great method through which information will for all time be communicated—the printed page. The plain millions of America are an ever-reading, ever-wanting, ever-buying people, and the business man who realizes all three of these facts can but recognize the reasonableness of newspaper advertising as a means of telling others what he has and what he is doing.

Newspaper advertising could not, of course, exist apart from the newspaper press. So closely are they related, that the growth of the former cannot be adequately set forth without some reference to the latter. This accounts for the appearance here of a few newspaper statistics, which may be found in more complete form in the able article on newspapers elsewhere in this work.

In 1795, at the beginning of the period covered by this work, there were in this country about 200 newspapers. In 1810 there were 366; in 1820, 700



FRANCIS WAYLAND AYER.







11,000 were published in the United States; at the present time the whole number is probably in the neighborhood of 40,000, of which more than one half are published in this country.

The first daily in the United States was the "American Daily Advertiser," of Philadelphia, established in 1784, of which the "North American" of that city is the direct lineal descendant. The following year the "Daily Advertiser," New York, was started. We reproduce on preceding page the first page of this paper, date of March 7, 1795. To-day we have upward of 2000 dailies.

The first newspaper advertisement in America appeared in the Boston "News-Letter," established in 1704, a two-page paper, printed on a sheet eight inches by twelve, two columns to the page. It had but one advertisement, which read as follows:

"This NEWS-LETTER is to be continued Weekly, and all persons who have any Houses, Lands, Tenements, Farms, Ships, Vessels, Goods, Wares or Merchandizes, &c., to be Sold or Let; or Servants Runaway, or Goods, Stole or Lost; may have the same inserted at a Reasonable Rate from TWELVE PENCE TO FIVE SHILLINGS AND NOT EXCEED. Who may agree with John Campbell, Postmaster of Boston. All Persons in Town and County may have said NEWS-LETTER every Week, Yearly, upon reasonable terms, agreeing with John Campbell, Postmaster for the same."

The earliest recorded instance of the publication of any number of advertisements was in the "New England Weekly Journal," of Boston, established in 1728, a two-page sheet, seven inches by thirteen. The news in this paper was all foreign, and from three to four months old. The advertisements were of books, coffee importations, runaway slaves, sales of negro girls, and a notice of a school for negroes. Beyond this there was nothing but obituaries and the sailing and arrival of vessels. But notwithstanding these early instances of the use of advertisements, American advertising cannot be said to have begun before 1788, and then only in a very humble way, the advertisements being confined almost entirely to the classes just enumerated. These conditions continued until about 1820, when greater prominence began to be given to news. Hitherto the columns not devoted to advertising had been largely filled with elaborate treatises on party principles and politics, and articles on literary and scientific subjects; but as the news columns became fuller and more interesting, the number of subscribers and readers increased, and the growth of the advertising

patronage kept pace with both. The rapid increase of newspaper advertising may, however, be said to date from the establishment of the "Sun," New York, in 1833; the "Herald," New York, in 1835; the "Public Ledger," Philadelphia, in 1836; and the "Tribune," New York, in 1841.

Leading metropolitan papers of to-day carry during the week from fifteen to forty columns of advertisements, while their big Sunday editions frequently have over 100 columns each. In a recent examination of an ordinary week-day issue of ten leading dailies selected from different sections of the country, the space occupied by advertisements ranged from twenty-five per cent. to seventy per cent., the average of the ten being forty per cent.

In the beginning of the century advertising was almost exclusively local; but to-day newspaper advertising divides itself, naturally and perhaps quite equally, into two classes—local and general. Local advertising portrays the activities of the locality. These find expression in the myriad "want" advertisements and other classified announcements, for the gathering of which numerous branch offices are maintained, and the services of local and district telegraph companies employed; and as well in the large daily announcements of the leading retailers, from some of whom single papers are said to receive an annual income approximating \$50,000.

General advertising, on the other hand, voices the enterprise of the business man anywhere who believes he has that which is really wanted elsewhere. By such advertising, and with moderate outlay, almost numberless articles, otherwise little known, have been brought into general use throughout the country, and in like manner some of the most remarkable commercial successes of the century have been achieved. General advertising ranges from the advertisement of the dealer, who seeks to make direct sales to the consumer, to that of the manufacturer who annually expends from \$500,000 to \$750,000 to acquaint people with the name and merits of an article which can be procured only through the retailer. It has grown of late years to such dimensions that many papers find it profitable to employ one or more representatives whose only duty is to present the claims of the publication to advertisers.

Just as the marvelous strides by which American journalism has outstripped the journalism of all the rest of the world could never have been possible except for the marvelous patronage of American advertisers, so there would never have been such wonderful growth in advertising except for the men

whose ability and energy have been entirely and untiringly devoted to the promotion of newspaper advertising. From the small beginning of special representation of a few papers, there has grown the advertising agency system of to-day, which well deserves recognition among American industries. There are probably more than fifty concerns in the United States trading as newspaper advertising agents, and to at least thirty of them the leading mercantile agencies accord recognition and commercial rating. The aggregate of capital invested runs into the millions, and one or more representatives of the industry are to be found in every prominent newspaper center.

The first beginning in this line was made in Philadelphia, in 1840, by Volney B. Palmer, who afterward established branches in New York and Boston. The S. R. Niles Agency was an outgrowth of the Boston branch, and, with a record of honorable dealing through all these years, still continues business. Mr. W. W. Sharpe, of New York, commenced as a boy in Mr. Palmer's employ, and to-day does business under the style of W. W. Sharpe & Company. Mr. S. M. Pettingill, of New York, was also employed by Mr. Palmer, and with Mr. Bates carried on the business there established. The Bates & Morse Advertising Agency was their legitimate successor, and this business is now continued by the Lyman D. Morse Agency. The business at Philadelphia was likewise carried on continuously and with constant growth, until in 1878 it was absorbed, by purchase, into the business of N. W. Ayer & Son, who are to-day recognized leaders in this line. Some idea of the magnitude of their business can be gathered from the fact that their outlay for clerical help during 1895 will fall little, if any, below \$100,000.

As in the enormous growth of the advertising interest the advertising agency became an important factor as well as a necessary result, so the newspaper guide or directory was a necessity to, as well as an outgrowth of, the exigencies of the agency. At the first the agencies guarded with jealous care their lists of the papers of the country, but the rapid multiplication of papers soon necessitated printed lists; and as the preparation of these lists necessitated the expenditure of large sums of money, the agents finally concluded to give them to the public, and solicit advertisements from the newspaper publishers to help pay their cost.

The first attempt was the "Newspaper Record," containing lists of newspapers and periodicals in the United States, Canada, and Great Britain, by Lay

& Brother, Philadelphia, in 1856. The first permanent publication of this character, however, the "American Newspaper Directory," was started in New York, in 1869, by George P. Rowell & Company, newspaper advertising agents, who have continued the publication regularly to this date. In 1880, N. W. Ayer & Son, of Philadelphia, began the publication of the "American Newspaper Annual," which has been regularly issued since. In addition to these two directories, Pettingill & Company, of Boston, publish a very commendable handbook, while Dauchy & Company and J. Walter Thompson, of New York, and Lord & Thomas, of Chicago, all widely known advertising agents, with some others of lesser repute, publish manuals, more or less pretentious, varying in contents and make-up according to the publisher's conception of the needs of the advertiser.

Perhaps no better general idea can be obtained of the great extent of the newspaper press of the United States, and of the vastness of its advertising patronage, than by an examination and study of the most complete of these publications. It is almost impossible for one not familiar with the book to appreciate the amount of labor and expense which its annual revision involves. Hourly changes are going on in all parts of the country: changes of location, changes in editors, changes in size, price, or day of publication; consolidations; removals; suspensions. When it is known that about 4000 publications are started annually, and that, owing to suspensions and consolidations, the net annual increase in seasons of business prosperity ranges from 750 to 1000, even the uninitiated can appreciate in some degree the immensity of the undertaking, and the greatness of the industry that renders the publication of such books not only advisable, but absolutely necessary. The newspaper directory is as essential to the general advertiser as are the reports of the great mercantile agencies to the business man.

An important factor in the spread of advertising has been the coöperative newspaper, known to printers and advertisers as "patent insides" or "patent outsides"—a system which has had all its growth within the last twenty-five years. Under this system half-printed sheets are supplied to the offices from which, after the printing of the other half, the papers are issued. The cost of type-setting is reduced to a minimum, because the reading matter, with slight variations, is the same in all papers issued from any one house. This and the wholesale purchase of paper, together with the income from

the advertising, make it possible to supply the half-printed sheets at a price scarcely more than the ordinary cost of white paper. It is readily apparent that this whole system is contingent upon newspaper advertising. Except for the income from the advertising, the system could not exist. Except for the system, hundreds of small places over the country could not sustain the local papers which they now issue. There are at present nearly 8000 such papers published,—more than one third of the entire number of the newspaper press of the country,—and consequently a large amount of money is annually expended for advertising in them.

Magazine advertising is only about twenty-five years old. Although there were successful magazines before that time, they did not admit advertisements. It was with the appearance of the "Century" (then called "Scribner's Monthly"), in 1870, that the new order of things came in. Its first number contained advertisements, which have steadily increased in quantity, until its issue of December, 1894, contained 134 pages of them. In 1882, after thirty-two successful years without them, Messrs. Harper & Brothers yielded to the inevitable and began the insertion of advertisements in their "New Monthly Magazine." Here, too, the increase in quantity was rapid, reaching 144 pages in the number of December, 1894. At the page rate of \$250 the advertising income of such an issue would be \$36,000. Putting the average amount of advertising the year through at 92 pages per month, the advertising receipts of this one magazine for one year would reach \$276,000. It is estimated that the December, 1894, issues of six leading monthly magazines represented an advertising investment of more than \$180,000. There are, of course, a great many other excellent publications of this class which cannot here be mentioned, but which are widely recognized as advertising mediums of great value.

It is said that Mr. Gladstone prefers the American to the English edition of such of our magazines as print both, for the reason that the advertisements in the American editions are so interesting, and set forth so clearly the enterprise and progress of our country. Thousands of people have made the same discovery as the great English statesman and student of human affairs. The truth is that the public has to-day a great and growing interest in the information which we call advertising, and the newspapers and periodicals themselves would feel bound to print much of it as news, did they not print it in the form of advertisements.

The trade journal is an interesting illustration of

specialization. Starting with the papers which attempt to set forth the condition and movement of trade in general,—of which class the "New York Prices Current" (from an old issue of which we reproduce a page) was one hundred years ago, as it is to-day, a good example,—it has followed the branching out of each particular industry, keeping close step with its progress, until to-day there is scarcely a manufacturing or commercial interest but has its representative journal, and often several of them, whose reading and advertising columns alike are of value chiefly to its own special class of readers.

In early days a certain amount of advertising went with each subscription. For instance, one hundred years ago the payment by a merchant of a certain sum to the "Shipping List" as a subscription, carried with it the privilege of the use of all needed advertising space during the same period. That this privilege was not overworked is perhaps as forceful proof as can be given that the value of such advertising yet lacked recognition.

That space itself then had no fixed value may be seen from the announcements in the "New Jersey Journal," of Elizabethtown, on January 16, 1790, that "advertisements of A MODERATE LENGTH will be inserted three weeks for eight shillings, and two shillings for each insertion afterward."

While newspaper space to-day very often sells at a fixed rate, the fixing of that rate is very arbitrary. The most mentioned factors are quantity of circulation, character of readers, and control of the field. The price of newspaper space has advanced greatly with its wider use. The "Herald," New York, and "Public Ledger," Philadelphia, having always enjoyed liberal advertising patronage, are good illustrations of this. Established in 1835 and 1836 respectively, they both at first charged for advertising fifty cents per square per insertion. The square was for a long time the unit of measurement, and fifty cents was for a long time the rate per square; but the square itself gradually shrank in size with the flying years, until from being nineteen agate lines in 1836 in the "Ledger," in 1863 it equaled only four agate lines. This, of course, was twelve and one half cents per agate line. The minimum price soon climbed to twenty cents in the "Ledger" and forty-five cents in the "Herald," at which it stands to-day, showing an increase in the sixty years of 750 and 1800 per cent. respectively.

While the price of advertising has been advancing, the size of the papers has been increased many times also. These enlargements have in almost

every case been made necessary by the encroachment of the advertising upon the reading columns. In some instances the paper would become three fourths advertising, then an enlargement would follow which would relieve the condition until the ever-flowing, ever-growing stream of trade again filled its columns. The average daily edition of the

umns. There are, even now, conspicuous exceptions to the rule above stated. A number of the most successful publications have obtained very unusual circulation, in very unusual time, by means of advertising in the columns of their contemporaries. A notable instance is the "Ladies' Home Journal," of Philadelphia, whose 750,000 circulation has been

The New-York Prices Current.



Published every MONDAY by JAMES ORAM,

No. 33, Liberty-street, near Mr. Carey Dunn's.

3 Dols. per ann.

MONDAY, JANUARY 9, 1797.

[No. 55.]

CHAMBER of COMMERCE.  
Monthly Committee.

THEOPHILACT BACHE,  
ROBERT BOWNE,  
CHARLES L. CUMMAN,  
WILLIAM COOMAN,  
DAVID GRIM.

NEW-YORK PRICE of STOCKS,

MONDAY, Jan. 9.

U. S. Bank Stock, 12 p. ct.  
New-York, - 28  
6 per Cent. - 16/3  
3 per Cent. - 9/3  
Deferred, - 19/9

COURSE of EXCHANGE.

MONDAY Jan. 9.

Bills on London, 60 days sight,  
5 per cent. under par.  
On Amsterdam, 60 days sight, 40  
cents per guild. at 60 days credit.

WHOLESALE PRICES, carefully corrected—In Dollars and Cents.

		From	To			From	To			From	To	
		D. C.	D. C.			D. C. D. C.	D. C. D. C.			D. C. D. C.	D. C. D. C.	
ASHES, Pot,	Ton.	190	195	Cheefe, English,	25	31	Flour, Superfine,	bl.	11			
Pearl,	none.			American,	8	10	Common,	10	50			
Allum,	Cwt.	7	8	Chocolate,	25		Virginia Flour,	10	10	75		
Almonds,	lb.	21	22	Cloves,	1	25	Middling,	6	8			
Anchors,	Gal.	9	10	Coal, Foreign,	Chal	10	10	Cornell,	Cwt.	2	3	
Arrack,	Gal.	none.		Virginia,	9	9	Buckwheat,	3	50			
BACON,	lb.	12		Cocoa, Surinam,	Cwt	21	22	Rye,	bl.	7		
Barley, (Scotch)	7	8		Island,	20		Indian meal,	196	5	62	5	75
Beans, white,	bufl.	1	37	Copper in sheets,	lb.	29		Furs, Otter,	Skin.	1	4	
Beef, Cargo,	bl.	9	50	Copperas,	Cwt	2	25	Fisher,	25	1		
Prime,	10	50	11	Coffee, for export.	lb.	26	28	Mink,	6	37		
Mets,	11	50	12	Cordage,	Cwt	13	75	Martin,	18	60		
Brandy, Fre. 1st proof	Gal.	1	50	Currants,	lb.	6	8	Red Fox,	25	1	25	
2d proof,	1	50		Cotton, Georgia,	28		Crofs Fox,	50	3			
3d proof,	1	60	1	Bahama,	35		Grey Fox,	19	75			
4th proof,	1	81	1	W. Island,	30		Wild Cat,	19	62			
Spanish, 1R proof,	1	37	1	St. Domingo,	32	37	Lucife Cat,	50	3	50		
2d proof,	1	50		Demarara,	35	37	Mulkrat,	6	34			
3d proof,	1	59		Surinam,	36	40	Raccon,	6	62			
4th proof,	1	75		Cayane,	37	42	Bear, North,	75	4	50		
Brazilletto,	Ton.	75	80	DUCK, American,	Bolt	12	50	Wolf,	25	1		
Bread, Pilot,	Cwt	9	50	Ruffia,	15	18	Beaver, North,	lb.	2	25	2	50
Middling,	7	7	50	Ravens,	12	50	do Northweft, (best)	3				
Ship,	4	75	5	English, No. 1,	yard	34	36	GENEVA, Holland	Cafe	6	25	
Crackers,	Keg.	75	81	Ruffia Sheetings,	Piece	17	17	Cask,	1	18	1	25
Bran, (truck meaf.	bufl.	27		FLAX-SEED,	bufl	2	50	Grain,				
Brimstone, Roll,	Cwt	3	27	Flax,	lb.	11	14	Wheat, North,	bufl.	none.		
Butter, for export.	lb.	13	14	Feathers,	lb.	53	62	South,	1	87		
CANDLES, dipt,	lb.	15		Fuffie,	Ton.	20	25	Rye,	1	12		
mould,	17			Fish, Cod, dry,	Quin	5	50	Barley,	none,			
Sperm,	53			do, pickled,	bl.	5	50	Oats,	50	33		
Caffia,	50			Salmon,	9	50	10	Corn, North. (new)	1	1	1	
Capers,	Bott	40	47	do, smoked,	Piece	9	40	South. (old)	1	1	1	
Cesar,	lb.	2	50	Mackarel	bl.	9	45	Gunpowder, Engl.	lb.	61	60	
Cinnamon,	2	50	1	Herrings,	5	5	25	American,	45	60		

"Herald" and the "Ledger" is now perhaps eleven times the size of their first number, with the advertising barometer steadily on the rise. In this respect the two papers named are not exceptional, but rather good examples of prosperous journals the country through.

The development of newspaper advertising has been so rapid that many newspapers themselves have not yet caught up with it; that is to say, while they all freely recommend it to other people for the improvement of their business, but comparatively few employ it for the development of their own. This, of course, refers to advertising in other journals—not to the exploiting of a paper in its own col-

largely obtained and maintained by newspaper advertising.

Some attempts have lately been made to introduce color-work into the display of newspaper advertisements. This has generally taken the form of covers for special editions of newspapers and periodicals. Quite recently a large newspaper advertiser has been using color printing on colored paper for inserts in the leading magazines. This is regarded as a significant innovation. The wide use of color printing in the regular issues of daily papers, however, awaits the overcoming of mechanical and financial obstacles.

We have no means of knowing what was the

value of the advertising in the newspapers of 1795, but the Tenth United States Census gives the value of advertisements in the American press in 1880 at \$39,136,306, and the next census shows that these figures had increased in 1890 to \$71,243,361—a gain of eighty-two per cent. in ten years. We are justified in believing that the value to-day is considerably over \$100,000,000—a notable result of a century of progress!

Perhaps nothing has done more to develop newspapers, and therefore newspaper advertising, than the railroads, whose remarkable story is told elsewhere in this volume. Perhaps, also, nothing has done more to develop the railroads than the newspaper. Each without the other would seem to be as ineffective as a half-pair of scissors; but worked together they have cut the restraining cords of environment and made possible the greatest national and individual prosperity. With the newspapers to tell of affairs and trade, and the railroads to carry persons and things, in spite of our wide territory, we really touch elbows with one another, and the future greatness of our commercial interests is beyond prediction. But of one thing we may feel certain: "the best is yet to be."

When the business man of an earlier time put an advertisement in the newspapers, what he inserted was often an inventory of his leading articles—a sign, so to speak, showing the nature of the business carried on at the address indicated. The preparation of such an advertisement required no special ability. Then, again, he generally expected what he put in the paper to stay there for a long time. This fact also contributed to make his newspaper advertising of very little trouble to him.

But a change of ideas of what an advertisement should be, and how it should be used, brought into existence what are to-day two prominent features of advertising, viz., the advertisement writer and the paper devoted to advertising. The advertisement writer is an outgrowth of very recent years. The fierceness of competition and the increasing cost of newspaper space have made attractive, interesting, truthful, and convincing advertisements a necessity. The advertisement writer studies to supply this need. That he well supplies it must be evident to any reader of to-day's advertisements. Many an advertisement now represents far more thought than has been used in a corresponding space in any other part of the publication.

The good advertisement writer must of necessity be able to see and to tell very clearly. The really capable ones are in demand, and receive good pay.

Some business houses employ one exclusively; others use the services of those who write for any one on order. The leading advertising agencies also have them in their employ. Their work is telling for the better on American advertising.

Papers devoted exclusively to the subject of advertising have appeared in the last ten years. There are to-day perhaps a dozen of these, the largest number of them being connected more or less intimately with some particular advertising agency. In so far as they point out methods of proved success, publish unbiased statements, and call wider attention to the common-sense nature of newspaper advertising, they do the community a service; but to whatever extent they air the foolishness of the "ad. smith," with his "catchy" and "fortune-bringing" advertisement, or circulate ill-informed or ill-intended criticism, they do injury to the greatest business-getting method of modern times. We believe those familiar with them will agree that these journals are as a class growing broader in their treatment of newspaper advertising, better recognizing its seriousness and its dignity. They certainly have great responsibility, as they receive very careful reading and are the exponents of a most useful business idea.

The trade catalogue, always a useful business adjunct, has in recent years been transformed into what is often a work of beauty and interest, reflecting credit on all concerned, and materially increasing trade. The "descriptive circular" which the advertiser of other days was wont to offer his readers has been to a large extent superseded by the business primer, booklet, or brochure, which is now a distinct feature in general advertising. It grew out of recognition of the fact that everything cannot be told in an advertisement. Perceiving that the prime object of a newspaper advertisement is accomplished when the reader has by replying to it singled himself out from the mass of mankind and placed himself within reach of correspondence or representatives, the bright advertiser employs these publications to give details and to further or complete sales. To their preparation the best writing, illustrating, and printing skill is often brought, with the result that their value in advertising has now become widely recognized. It is impossible to estimate closely the amount annually expended in advertising matter of this class, but the figures are certainly enormous.

Reference should here be made to lithographic printing, which now covers an annual expenditure estimated at more than \$15,000,000. Most of this

output is intended for advertising purposes. Cards, folders, hangers, banners, albums, booklets, and posters are produced by the million. The work as a class is artistic and attractive, while competition and ingenuity have greatly cheapened its cost and widened its use.

The use of posters for advertising is of course very old. The practice has not only grown greatly, but many of the posters themselves have of recent date possessed great artistic merit. The poster, as its name implies, was originally an announcement intended to be posted or put up in a certain place, and it was therefore for a long time confined to local use. About twenty-five years ago it transpired that the effectiveness of a poster was often increased by its being placed in unusual positions. This led to sign painting, which in turn has become a recognized method of general advertising. To-day the most effective and ingenious use is made of blank walls, barns, etc., for acquainting the public with various articles. The employment of natural scenery as a background for this work has fallen under public disapprobation, and appears to be going into disuse.


Another development of this outdoor work is the erection and painting of large bulletin-boards along the lines of railroads and great travel. These are leased by the year to advertisers. Such a sign-board, thirty feet long and four feet high, costs the advertiser \$30 a year. Perhaps \$1,250,000 are spent annually in all kinds of out-of-door painting, exclusive of the bill posting above referred to.

Street-car advertising may be said to be a development of the last fifteen years. During the first half of this period it received practically nothing but local patronage. About seven years ago the invention of the now everywhere common curved car-rack, because of the uniformity in the size of cards which it secures, opened the method to the use of general advertisers, who were not slow to avail themselves of it. From that time the growth has been very rapid, until to-day there are perhaps in this country 15,000 street-cars carrying advertisements. At \$100 per year per car this would make the annual advertising expenditure \$1,500,000.

Enterprise is ever seeking expression. Advertising has always been the expression of enterprise. The few meager, colorless announcements of 1795, written with a dull and heavy pen, fittingly expressed the enterprise of that day. At the close of a century of marvelous progress the enterprise of to-day finds expression in advertising of every conceivable form, in every available place, in the preparation and illustration of which have been combined the best obtainable skill of hand and brain.

Great as has been the evolution of a hundred industries in a hundred years, wonderful as has been the advance in the arts and sciences, the printing-press has always led the way, and is to-day the herald and helper of them all. Its usefulness will still further increase with the discharge of its duty, which will be to tell the story of the better things which the opening century will unfold to the better-seeking millions of America.





## CHAPTER XIV

# FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE

**A**MERICAN fire and marine insurance business had its birth at about the close of the eighteenth century. Both kept in the forefront of American affairs for many years, but marine insurance suffered heavily when the American flag began to disappear from the high seas. For the past quarter of a century it has had a hard struggle to keep itself anywhere near the old standard of prosperity. To do this it has had to draw for the greater part of its returns upon foreign commerce, and been forced to compete with English companies. Fire-insurance has not, as a whole, fared much better.

So distinct are the differences in the business operations of these two lines of insurance that it is necessary to treat of each separately. The theory of fire-insurance is exceedingly simple—it collects from the many and distributes to the few, relying for its profit upon an intelligent calculation of the chances of fire and the collection of more than it distributes. The sources of profit are twofold: first, interest upon invested funds; second, excess premium receipts over losses and expenses.

Reviewing the history of fire underwriting for the past century, it cannot be classed as one of the profitable departments of business activity. A certain number of companies have been successful, but only a very insignificant percentage of the various companies organized in the United States during the past century have sustained life for a score of years. Only one American company which was in existence in 1795 is now in successful operation. It is the Insurance Company of North America, of Philadelphia, organized in 1794, and which now has a cash capital of \$3,000,000, with total assets of nearly \$10,000,000.

The large conflagrations of the century at New York, Chicago, Boston, Philadelphia, Portland, and Pittsburg each in turn crippled all interested companies and ruined many; but, as experience is a dear but a sure teacher, these fires brought about

needed improvements in municipal fire departments, and led to new safeguards in underwriting. At the time of the great New York fire in 1835 there were about forty companies doing business in the city, and all but two found themselves hopelessly in debt when the blaze had burned itself out. The two companies spared were the Bowery Fire and the Jefferson, which had not taken many risks downtown, in which section of the city the fire raged. To save the companies from utter ruin the legislature passed an act on February 20, 1836, allowing them to take what assets they had and pay their losses, without interfering with their charters. This privilege was granted for a limited period. About ten companies availed themselves of this opportunity, and then obtained a new capital and continued in business. Twenty-eight of the remaining thirty companies never recovered from the blow. The company paying the greatest percentage of losses was the Howard, which gave fifty-eight per cent. To-day there are only two companies—the Eagle Fire and the North River—in existence that survived the conflagration of 1835. Ten years later there was another great fire in New York, in which the damage was also large; but neither the public nor the insurance companies suffered as much comparatively, owing to more careful underwriting. The fire of 1845 brought about a schedule of new tariff rates, which lasted until 1850.

The Chicago fire of 1871 was the most disastrous conflagration underwriters have ever known. It has been accurately estimated that \$118,000,000 worth of property was destroyed, on which the insurance amounted to \$92,000,000. Of this sum companies outside of the State of Illinois had written \$58,144,000, and while the exact amount held by Illinois companies could never be ascertained, it was calculated to be \$33,878,000. \$39,233,000 was paid to the assured by the companies outside of the State. About every insurance company involved in the fire





HENRY H. HALL.



was forced to make assessments on its stockholders in order to live. Credit is due to the Liverpool, London & Globe Insurance Company for their promptness in paying the amount of their losses at Chicago; but to the Home of New York, Ætna of Hartford, as well as to many other American companies, equal credit is due. The strength of many American companies was manifested by this severe trial, and the necessity for foreign capital was fully demonstrated. It is safe to say that over one hundred companies were driven to the wall, while every company in the State of Illinois was wiped out. Shortly after the Chicago fire came the great Boston fire, both preceded by the one in Portland, each adding its proportion to the general wreck of fire-insurance companies.

It may therefore be very readily seen that the business of fire underwriting in the United States for the past century has been done at a loss, and the most successful companies, as a whole, have not retained more than simple interest upon their capital and invested funds. The question has been asked many times, Why cannot this important interest be placed on such foundations as to present a reasonable hope of profit to capitalists on their investment? The chief obstacle to this attainment has been the ignorance of legislators. Every year the fire-insurance interest runs the gauntlet of the legislatures of all the States, protecting themselves from attacks made with a persistency born of ignorance, suspicion, and prejudice. Every recurring legislature is freighted with schemes without number to "regulate" the fire-insurance business. To the average legislator there is just enough mystery about the business to tempt him to the same mental exertion he displays on the "Thirteen Puzzle" and in squaring the circle.

Every insurance company must exhibit for publication its premiums and losses in every State where it transacts business, and every detail of its management is open to public inspection. It is a blow to all originality, a handicap to enterprise, when skill and knowledge gained by experience are thus given to every competitor; but this, even, does not satisfy our lawmakers. Various schemes of taxation are devised, State and municipal, to which are added all the forms of restrictive legislation that the mind of man can conceive. In many States insurance companies are denied recourse to the United States courts, must submit to policy forms drafted by the various legislatures, and are compelled to adopt such methods of loss adjustment as can be comprehended by the feeblest lawmaking mind. The history of

fire underwriting for the past century is a record of the incapacity of American legislators.

The aggregate fire premiums collected annually in the United States amount approximately to \$140,000,000. This is a tax levied upon every property owner in the United States. If complaint is made of the expense of continuing the fire-insurance business, it should be recalled that the fire-insurance capital of the world is at the command of the resident of the smallest village. With few exceptions, the largest manufacturing plant can secure in the village in which it is located ample insurance from the strongest companies in the world; and if loss occurs, the same is adjusted and paid on the ground. To afford these facilities vast and expensive organizations are necessary. Every important insurance company has a large staff of special agents and adjusters, and in addition to this there are many associations to advance the interest of associate companies. Among these is the National Board of Fire Underwriters, composed of the leading companies of the country, which was organized in 1866. The chief work of this organization is on the line of public benefit, such as the recommendation of proper building laws to the various municipalities of the country; the inspection of all fire departments, with suggestions for their improvement and the increase of their efficiency; and the arrest and punishment of incendiaries. Through the efforts of this board the people have been educated as to the true economy of good building laws and efficient fire departments. Within the past few years the board has maintained an electrical bureau, and by experiments and investigation has done much to minimize the hazard incident to the general use of electricity for light and power. With great labor and expense it is endeavoring to awaken public interest to the great drain on the national resources by the annual fire waste, so large a portion of which is due to careless building and lax municipal administration. In addition to this organization the fire underwriters maintain in every State and in every town local boards of underwriters, for the collection of statistics, upon which equitable rates can alone be predicated.

Through the influence of the New York Board of Fire Underwriters a paid fire department for the city of New York was secured. The fire-insurance companies are also maintaining, at their own expense, fire patrols in thirty of the large cities. These patrols are established by law, and supported entirely by the fire-insurance companies transacting the business in their several localities. New York City was

the pioneer in the establishment of these organizations, and they are organized to protect life and property at fires, regardless of the insurance interest therein; and the New York Board of Fire Underwriters has already distributed numerous gold medals to its patrolmen for heroic efforts in the saving of life. Fire underwriters stand unrivaled by any form of purely business association in their successful efforts for the general good.

Reviewing the history of fire underwriting for the past century, there can be observed a steady advance in the methods and practice of the business. There must always be an element of chance in its conduct, but there has been a gradual advance to a more scientific basis of action. In the past fifty years there has been a complete change in the controlling principles of the business. The older method was to "accept the risk as you find it," and charge accordingly. The more modern method is to suggest improvements, with a view to a lower rate and larger liability. To make this more clear, in days past, underwriters would accept a small "line" on a poor risk at a high rate; but the present method is to decline it altogether and suggest improvements, and, when made, give a lower rate and larger line.

The fire underwriters now maintain several very expensive organizations of expert surveyors for the sole purpose of instructing manufacturers as to the best means of fire protection, that the lowest rate of fire-insurance may be secured. This entire change of method is due to the influence of the New England system of mutual insurance; and it is but simple justice to these companies, of which Edward Atkinson is now the official head, that this recognition should be made.

The conflict between projectile and armor-plate is no more interesting than the constant combat between increase in the size of buildings and growth of cities, and the improvement in fire-extinguishing facilities shown by the development of the New England system. The inception of this system was due to the lack of proper recognition by stock companies of improved appliances for the-extinguishing of fire. A manufacturer, having introduced a fire-pump in his mill, asked for a reduction of rate for this appliance. It was denied. Other manufacturers were interested, and, having equipped their mills with fire-pumps, a mutual company was organized; and from that time there has been a constant study to reduce the fire hazard, and to secure insurance indemnity at least cost by the agency of a mutual system. From a simple pump to perforated

sprinklers, thence by various improved devices to the present perfected automatic sprinkler head, were gradual steps in the line of defense against fire.

The general introduction of automatic sprinklers has not only reduced the fire waste, but will eventually (with slow-burning construction) revolutionize the practice of fire underwriting; for, with less liability to fire, the stronger companies will increase their acceptances on individual risks, thus concentrating the business in a smaller number of companies, and reducing competition and expense.

Starting from the change in the conception of the province of the underwriters, the advance to the present practice is plain and logical. In former times the underwriters would promulgate minimum rates for various classes of merchandise—sole-leather, package dry-goods, etc. Upon each of these various classes a uniform rate would be made for brick and frame buildings. Assuming the rate to be adequate to pay the losses and a profit on this class, this system was clearly inequitable. If the stock of one merchant was in a two-story brick building of small area, with no open skylights, etc., it was certainly unfair to charge him the same rate as the merchant whose stock was in a higher and larger building, with skylights, wood cornice, and well-holes. To rectify this and similar cases of inequality a plan of schedule rating was put in force by General Arthur C. Ducat, of Chicago. While surveyor of the Chicago Board of Fire Underwriters he formulated a plan of schedule rating, constructing a theoretically perfect building, and adding for deficiencies of construction. Within the past few years this system of schedule rating has been elaborated by President F. C. Moore, of the Continental Insurance Company, of New York. A universal mercantile schedule has been devised by him, which adopts the same principle for various classes of towns and cities. This system has already been adopted by local underwriters in several of the larger cities. The application of this principle will lead to a gradual improvement in the construction of buildings, and ultimately to the modern "fire-proof," or, more correctly, "buildings of slow-burning construction." In the line of schedule rating, and a corollary thereto, is the general introduction of the "coinsurance clause." With the improvement in the construction of buildings and the increased efficiency of fire departments, and with the aid of fire patrols, it is expected (and to some degree realized) that the percentage of loss by fire will be reduced—a fact that many property owners have not failed to appreciate, and many have inclined toward a reduc-

tion of the percentage of insurance carried to valuation.

Fire-insurance rates, to be equitable, must not only be predicated upon the construction and environment of each building insured, but must also have relation to the percentage of insurance to value carried by the merchant. The sole object of the various forms of coinsurance clauses insisted upon by fire underwriters is to secure a uniform practice upon the part of property owners as to the percentage of values insured.

Each State has an insurance department, to which all classes of insurance companies doing business in the State must make an annual statement of their financial condition. The head of such department is charged by statute with the duty of determining the solvency of every company applying for permission to transact business in his State, as well as at the time of the renewal of the annual license. The system of State supervision was first adopted by the States of New York and Massachusetts; and the policy adopted by William Barnes and Elizur Wright, respectively superintendents of the insurance departments of the States named, for the government of such departments has been generally followed, and in the main the standard of personal and official probity established by these gentlemen has been observed, with a few monumental exceptions. There is no class of government officials, either State or national, in whom is vested such autocratic power as is accorded the superintendents of the insurance departments of the various States. This power, exercised wisely in the protection of the public against fraudulent institutions, is beneficent and mutually advantageous to the reputable companies and the public; but when exerted in securing and publishing the smallest detail of management, it is a barrier to proper development, and when exerted corruptly it becomes legalized blackmail, of which, unfortunately, there have been a few instances.

The business of insurance supports many trade papers, many of them useful and edited with great skill and ability. The "Insurance Cyclopedia" (published by the "Weekly Underwriter," one of the best insurance journals) gives a list of fifty-one such papers now regularly issued. Fire-insurance is now conducted throughout the United States by thousands of agents, and the percentage of funds lost through misappropriation is infinitesimal. These agents, as a rule, are selected with great care. From the ranks of insurance agents have sprung governors of States, judges, senators, and foreign ministers.

At the present time there are five British companies engaged in the business of fire underwriting in the United States that have been continuously in business for over a century, to wit: London Assurance Corporation, organized 1720; Norwich Union Insurance Company, organized 1797; Phoenix Assurance Company, organized 1792; Sun Fire Office, organized 1710; Union Assurance Society, organized 1714. To-day the fire-insurance companies of foreign countries transact twenty per cent. of the entire business of fire underwriting in the United States.

The distribution of the risks assumed by the fire-insurance companies doing business in the United States is shown by the following table of the amount at risk and premiums collected in 1894:

	AMOUNT AT RISK.	PREMIUMS.
Alabama . . . . .	\$66,828,364	\$1,067,445
Alaska . . . . .	1,110,545	23,726
Arizona . . . . .	4,310,368	105,454
Arkansas . . . . .	32,620,429	705,398
California . . . . .	377,813,892	6,336,734
Colorado . . . . .	85,894,340	1,422,026
Connecticut . . . . .	221,828,297	2,171,851
Delaware . . . . .	19,679,838	176,117
District of Columbia . . . . .	75,148,286	475,502
Florida . . . . .	26,698,005	596,775
Georgia . . . . .	138,769,873	1,905,826
Idaho . . . . .	5,907,466	151,079
Illinois . . . . .	946,661,803	11,805,170
Indian Territory . . . . .	4,570,368	125,614
Indiana . . . . .	268,107,483	3,480,419
Iowa . . . . .	232,011,959	3,867,475
Kansas . . . . .	140,109,802	1,961,450
Kentucky . . . . .	187,397,787	2,605,337
Louisiana . . . . .	197,442,627	2,649,323
Maine . . . . .	94,894,475	1,477,289
Maryland . . . . .	214,414,675	1,859,261
Massachusetts . . . . .	687,413,281	7,648,298
Michigan . . . . .	283,738,338	4,302,988
Minnesota . . . . .	233,942,097	3,680,966
Mississippi . . . . .	37,951,832	787,985
Missouri . . . . .	348,602,501	4,903,494
Montana . . . . .	26,852,407	626,905
Nebraska . . . . .	107,641,249	1,816,538
Nevada . . . . .	4,182,969	119,813
New Hampshire . . . . .	64,784,571	853,963
New Jersey . . . . .	433,453,659	3,735,983
New Mexico . . . . .	7,302,979	147,579
New York . . . . .	3,078,604,705	22,339,420
North Carolina . . . . .	48,274,243	783,751
North Dakota . . . . .	18,088,057	390,576
Ohio . . . . .	564,925,910	6,749,335
Oklahoma . . . . .	4,438,202	114,075
Oregon . . . . .	45,287,428	936,068
Pennsylvania . . . . .	886,271,730	9,808,572
Rhode Island . . . . .	90,434,532	940,054
South Carolina . . . . .	43,057,308	639,698
South Dakota . . . . .	18,745,334	396,047
Tennessee . . . . .	115,880,325	1,784,281
Texas . . . . .	179,937,487	3,217,273
Utah . . . . .	20,644,800	357,886
Vermont . . . . .	33,878,289	512,612
Virginia . . . . .	110,663,406	1,598,356
Washington . . . . .	54,018,972	1,181,901
West Virginia . . . . .	39,934,554	476,487
Wisconsin . . . . .	255,243,795	4,237,866
Wyoming . . . . .	6,922,024	132,262

The history of American marine insurance begins in 1793, when the General Assembly of Pennsylvania chartered the Insurance Company of North America. This company is still in existence, and its long life is in a measure due to its special charter privileges of being able to conduct a marine as well as a fire insurance business. In 1796 the second marine-insurance company was formed under the name of the New York Insurance Company, with a capital of \$500,000. Since that time twenty-seven other marine companies have been organized and commenced business in New York State, and of this number only one, the Atlantic Mutual, which was chartered in 1842, is still in operation.

New York's marine-insurance history is that of all the other seaboard States, for in nearly all marine insurance once flourished, but has now succumbed to English competition. The golden period of American marine insurance was between the years 1840 and 1860, when the clipper sailing ship was developed and perfected. In those times the leading merchants owned their own ships, and frequently a member of the firm would go to China or the East Indies to supervise the proper distribution of the cargo, and to secure a remunerative one for the return. The ship and cargo were insured with an American company, and as it might be as long as nine months before the vessel was heard from, the risk was considerable and rates were high. As much as five or six per cent. was charged for insurance in those times. The rate on dry-goods from Liverpool to New York in the old packet sailing ships was placed at two per cent. This trade was carried in American ships, and the insurance, both on the vessel and on the cargo, was naturally placed in American companies.

But the rates of insurance have changed with the transformation of the ocean carrying service. The East India goods are now shipped across the Pacific to San Francisco, and thence East via rail. The cost of insurance on these is now only three quarters of one per cent. Rates on the Atlantic have likewise declined. Insurance on dry-goods and like merchandise carried in the modern "liners" is placed at two tenths of one per cent. In other classes of goods depreciation in rates is in like proportion.

Marine underwriters do not ascribe the decline in American marine insurance to any trouble from unwise laws or legislative interference, but to the changed business conditions and to English competition. The bulk of the carrying trade of the world has passed into British hands, and a British mer-

chant and ship owner insures in a British company. The English marine companies have, as well, invaded American soil, and have secured a large portion of the American business. When the English companies first established themselves in America, along in the early seventies, they began cutting rates. The American companies did not effect any combination to prevent this, but followed their example. The American companies were also placed somewhat at a disadvantage by the laws governing the admission of foreign marine-insurance corporations. The foreign companies are required to make a deposit before they can write American business; but in New York State, which has stringent insurance laws, the amount is fixed at the minimum capitalization allowed a home company, viz., \$200,000. So much of the carrying trade of the world is done under the British flag and with the aid of British credit, and with countries under British control, that the American underwriter, working against all these disadvantages, is seriously handicapped. Therefore, there being no national or local tariff associations among marine underwriters, the American companies are worsted in this rate war. There are now not enough of them to form any sort of an association which would wield much power.

Despite the uphill work of the American companies to hold their own, through loss of prestige on the ocean and active rivalry on land, there are a number of stock and mutual American marine-insurance companies which continue to do a flourishing business. The largest and one of the oldest is the Atlantic Mutual, of New York, which has over \$12,000,000 of assets, and has been most carefully managed throughout its career. It was formed in 1842, at the time when many stock companies were turned into mutual companies, and by which change the profits accrue to the policy holders instead of the stockholders. The company is noted for retaining its faithful and tried officers until their death. The late John P. Jones was connected with the company for fifty years, and was its president for forty. In his life-work of building up the company he was ably assisted by Vice-Presidents W. H. H. Moore and A. A. Raven, who have been with the company thirty and forty years respectively. Among the other large companies which still do a thriving business are the two Boston corporations, the China Mutual and the Boston Marine.

There have never been many marine Lloyds in the United States, though this form of marine insurance has been most in vogue in marine underwriting in Great Britain. The origin of the term is both

SUMMARY OF RISKS IN FORCE AND PREMIUMS CHARGED THEREON DECEMBER 31, 1889, BY THE FIRE, OCEAN MARINE, AND INLAND NAVIGATION AND TRANSPORTATION INSURANCE COMPANIES TRANSACTING BUSINESS IN THE UNITED STATES.

BY CLASSES.

CLASSES AND STATES IN WHICH HOME OFFICES ARE LOCATED.	NUMBER OF COMPANIES.	FIRE, OCEAN MARINE, AND INLAND RISKS IN FORCE, AND PREMIUMS CHARGED THEREON, DECEMBER 31, 1889.		CLASSES AND STATES IN WHICH HOME OFFICES ARE LOCATED.	NUMBER OF COMPANIES.	FIRE, OCEAN MARINE, AND INLAND RISKS IN FORCE, AND PREMIUMS CHARGED THEREON, DECEMBER 31, 1889.	
		AMOUNT IN FORCE.	PREMIUMS CHARGED.			AMOUNT IN FORCE.	PREMIUMS CHARGED.
Total .....	1,926	\$18,691,434,190	\$211,424,242	Class 3 A .....	5	\$127,613,864	\$1,730,377
Class 1 .....	434	15,413,429,842	174,201,696	Maine .....	1	1,748,406	135,000
Alabama .....	7	30,789,209	428,382	Massachusetts .....	2	7,949,890	194,076
Arkansas .....	1	696,999	14,061	New York .....	2	117,915,568	1,401,301
California .....	11	383,678,288	5,803,335	Class 4 .....	152	971,866,938	23,600,007
Colorado .....	1	4,788,204	74,907	Connecticut .....	1	9,277,077	256,294
Connecticut .....	10	1,359,878,764	16,399,218	Delaware .....	3	25,988,388	93,406
District of Columbia .....	11	37,754,794	198,455	District of Columbia .....	2	13,715,239	25,688
Georgia .....	17	29,431,941	453,182	Georgia .....	1	20,435,693	241,213
Illinois .....	8	342,381,186	5,459,474	Illinois .....	11	33,321,034	1,191,233
Indiana .....	16	10,172,607	99,630	Indiana .....	12	4,040,998	327,800
Iowa .....	10	173,392,934	3,243,525	Iowa .....	5	22,476,902	1,207,608
Kentucky .....	12	65,045,177	908,167	Kansas .....	2	4,391,567	84,217
Louisiana .....	16	144,181,430	2,161,380	Kentucky .....	3	5,709,452	37,933
Maine .....	2	1,885,379	126,526	Maryland .....	10	47,297,788	920,895
Maryland .....	14	111,530,402	820,519	Massachusetts .....	21	269,167,557	4,013,430
Massachusetts .....	15	406,517,661	5,597,740	Minnesota .....	2	12,062,998	612,156
Michigan .....	3	59,517,482	764,025	Missouri .....	12	54,330,327	1,778,083
Minnesota .....	4	113,469,208	1,506,046	New Hampshire .....	7	11,481,171	189,053
Mississippi .....	13	5,038,207	108,940	New Jersey .....	10	31,118,584	2,265,924
Missouri .....	4	76,252,301	1,028,840	New York .....	12	145,245,931	1,354,681
Nebraska .....	4	46,163,699	885,966	Ohio .....	17	75,075,375	1,001,589
New Hampshire .....	9	163,398,665	2,062,401	Pennsylvania .....	19	99,510,249	2,590,723
New Jersey .....	10	282,878,026	2,884,863	Rhode Island .....	1	19,291,414	175,023
New York .....	57	4,965,230,523	46,021,786	South Carolina .....	1	3,543,955	60,305
North Carolina .....	3	2,787,430	60,413	Tennessee .....	4	.....	.....
North Dakota .....	1	8,300	304	Texas .....	4	.....	.....
Ohio .....	29	213,216,829	2,623,036	Vermont .....	2	49,999,981	5,005,211
Oregon .....	16	22,147,389	655,945	Virginia .....	3	11,121,594	45,822
Pennsylvania .....	42	1,785,670,413	24,211,683	West Virginia .....	1	79,350	692
Rhode Island .....	3	136,689,339	1,679,380	Wisconsin .....	2	3,184,314	121,028
South Carolina .....	1	62,406	840	Class 5 .....	1,281	1,561,418,038	830,771
South Dakota .....	16	16,636,119	405,580	Connecticut .....	16	78,308,021	.....
Tennessee .....	14	32,484,808	525,685	Delaware .....	3	2,889,971	.....
Texas .....	2	8,898,345	223,219	Illinois .....	187	84,166,658	.....
Utah .....	4	.....	.....	Indiana .....	60	30,261,418	.....
Vermont .....	1	2,805,495	31,279	Iowa .....	127	65,200,389	.....
Virginia .....	8	33,316,514	663,102	Kansas .....	11	3,063,307	.....
Washington .....	6	2,092,760	53,677	Kentucky .....	5	10,433,819	.....
West Virginia .....	9	14,997,402	611,252	Maine .....	29	11,250,866	.....
Wisconsin .....	4	207,431,944	2,698,181	Maryland .....	7	36,528,277	.....
Foreign .....	73	4,120,105,263	42,706,752	Massachusetts .....	19	102,592,626	.....
Class 2 .....	3	25,360,152	464,512	Michigan .....	60	165,412,143	.....
Iowa .....	1	3,512,380	218,118	Minnesota .....	86	23,979,024	.....
Massachusetts .....	2	21,847,772	246,394	Missouri .....	27	6,778,874	.....
Class 3 .....	51	591,745,356	10,596,879	Nebraska .....	10	6,336,415	.....
Georgia .....	1	525,221	5,172	New Hampshire .....	30	11,781,011	.....
Illinois .....	8	31,989,479	926,303	New Jersey .....	17	36,456,381	.....
Indiana .....	3	576,650	20,585	New York .....	113	136,919,530	.....
Iowa .....	1	1,628,000	70,100	North Dakota .....	4	342,074	.....
Kansas .....	1	535,725	111,772	Ohio .....	86	106,461,569	.....
Maryland .....	1	1,287,253	128,712	Pennsylvania .....	178	462,333,093	830,771
Massachusetts .....	8	242,331,766	5,341,230	Rhode Island .....	4	35,312,684	.....
Michigan .....	3	6,101,882	158,722	South Carolina .....	1	818,775	.....
Minnesota .....	2	7,189,441	865,984	South Dakota .....	18	640,334	.....
Ohio .....	4	6,699,941	93,775	Virginia .....	11	22,047,304	.....
Pennsylvania .....	5	14,448,211	171,130	West Virginia .....	1	610,000	.....
Rhode Island .....	12	273,449,172	2,546,264	Wisconsin .....	7	120,403,415	.....
Wisconsin .....	2	4,982,675	157,130				

1 Includes 1 company for which no report is made.  
 2 Includes 3 companies for which no report is made.  
 3 Includes 2 companies from whom a statement of risks in force could not be obtained.  
 4 Only 1 company reported and that too incompletely to tabulate.  
 5 Includes 4 companies which could not report risks in force.  
 6 The companies of this class, as a rule, charge no premiums, but assess for losses.  
 7 Includes 6 companies from which no report was received.

interesting and peculiar. The name of Lloyd originated in old Lloyd's Tavern, in Tower Street, London, far back in the days of good Queen Anne. It was the practice of many ship owners and traders to drop in at the tavern and talk over their prospective profits; and gradually a custom developed of inscribing their names on a blackboard, certifying that the men signing would be jointly liable for the loss of a vessel during a certain voyage. From this crude beginning have grown the world-famous associations in the British Isles. In the United States there are a few Lloyds, two of the principal ones being located in New York City—the United States Lloyds and the New York Marine Underwriters.

The scope and definition of a marine policy is, of course, entirely different from a land fire policy. The risks insured against are many, and may be summarized as including all perils of the sea. There are two classes—a voyage and a time policy; the former is generally used in insuring vessels, and the latter for cargoes. There are naturally many clauses governing marine-insurance policies, such as capture, seizure, war, and so on. The life of the insurance on a ship begins at the port from which it is insured until moored for twenty-four hours at the port to which it is insured. When an insurance is made on freight to be carried under a charter, the policy attaches as soon as the vessel sails, although she may be destined to a distant port for her cargo.

Though single losses to marine underwriters have been small, compared with some of those of fire underwriters, there have been shipwrecks that have lived in marine-insurance men's memories. One of the greatest losses to American marine insurance was that of the American steamer *Central America*, which foundered off the Cuban coast in September, 1857. The *Central America* was bound from Aspinwall, now Colon, to New York, and was loaded principally with treasure from the California gold-mines. She carried insurance amounting to between \$700,000 and \$800,000, all of which had to be paid by American underwriters. Another notable loss was that of the steamer *Erie*, which sailed from Pernambuco, Brazil, loaded with coffee, on January 1, 1893, and was burned at sea. Coffee prices were high in those days, and the *Erie* went down with \$500,000 insurance.

Two losses which not only made inroads on the American marine companies, but which also seriously crippled the growth of American steam transatlantic service, were the sinking of the steamer

*Arctic*, off Newfoundland, in 1854, by collision, and the disappearance of the steamship *Pacific*, which sailed from Liverpool for New York in January, 1856, and was never heard from. Both steamships belonged to the Collins Line, which was the first one to put on steam-vessels for the Atlantic trade. These early losses were particularly detrimental to American marine insurance, because the companies carried extremely heavy lines in those days. Among the recent heavy losses was that of the steamer *Oregon*, which was run into and sunk off the Long Island coast in 1886. American marine underwriters had between \$700,000 and \$800,000 on the *Oregon's* cargo. The loss of the *Oregon* also showed underwriters how quickly even a properly constructed iron ship sinks. The introduction of iron in place of wood for building vessels has not made any material difference in the rates of insurance, for iron has hazards which wood has not, and vice versa.

As to the future of American marine underwriting, it is difficult to prophesy. As trade follows the flag, so marine insurance flourishes in the country with a prosperous merchant marine. The United States is again forging to the front as a great ship-building nation, and this gives American marine underwriters hope that American marine insurance may follow in the wake of the growth of American ship building.

The United States census of 1890 gives the statistics of the fire-insurance interest at the close of that year, which may be found in the table on page 6.

The following classification is employed in that table:

Class 1.—Companies having a joint-stock capital, and doing either a fire, ocean marine, or inland navigation and transportation insurance business.

Class 2.—Companies having guaranty capital, and doing either a fire, ocean marine, or inland navigation and transportation insurance business.

Class 3.—Companies doing a fire-insurance business on the mutual plan and insuring only manufacturing property.


Class 3A.—Companies doing a marine-insurance business on the mutual plan and insuring ocean-marine risks.

Class 4.—Companies doing a fire-insurance business on the mutual plan and insuring all kinds of property on land.

Class 5.—Companies doing a fire-insurance business on the mutual plan and insuring only dwellings and contents and farm property.

Henry H. Hall





## CHAPTER XV

### LIFE-INSURANCE

IT is a singular fact that the doctrine of chances, upon which the science of life-contingencies is based, had its origin in the solution of problems connected with games of hazard. It happened in this way. In the year 1654, the Chevalier Méré, of Paris, an ardent gamester, applied to the celebrated Abbé Pascal for solutions of two problems for which he himself was unable to find answers.

His first problem was to ascertain in how many casts of two dice one might bet with advantage that two sixes would be thrown. The second was to find a rule for dividing the stakes between two players, should a game of hazard be interrupted, in the exact proportion to their relative chances of winning at the moment of interruption. Pascal considered all possible combinations in casts of two dice, and all possible changes which might occur in an unfinished game, and was thus enabled to solve the two problems. He illustrated his solution by casts of dice. While in a single cast the chance that an ace would be thrown is just one out of six, in a sufficiently large number of casts the number of aces would be precisely one sixth of the whole number. Generalizing, Pascal proved that, by observing a sufficiently large number of happenings in the past, he could, with great precision, predict the number of happenings which would occur under similar circumstances in the future, and he thus enunciated the theory or doctrine of chances. Thus, if it were ascertained that out of a large number of persons of a given age, similarly situated as regards health, occupation, climatic influences, etc., a certain number had died in one year, the percentage of deaths in a given time, under similar circumstances, could be predicted with precision, provided the number were large enough to secure a proper average. Hence the solution of problems connected with trivial games of hazard led to the discovery of the laws of chance, upon which, as an exact science, was built up not only the theory of life-contingencies, but also of all astronomical calculations. By means of careful

observations as to the rates of mortality which have prevailed among a vast number of insured lives, at all ages and in different circumstances, we can foretell, with almost absolute accuracy, the rates of mortality which will be experienced under similar conditions in the future. In other words, while nothing is more uncertain than the duration of a single life, nothing is more certain than the number of deaths which will happen in a given time, among a large number of persons under known conditions.

Hence life-insurance has for its basis an exact science, depending upon inflexible laws of nature; so that it has been well said by the late Professor De Morgan, of London, an eminent authority, "There is nothing in the commercial world which approaches, even remotely, the security of a well-established life-office."

In an abstract or mathematical sense, life-insurance is a bet or a series of bets. The individual bets the insurance office that he will die within one year; the office bets the individual that he will not die within that time. The stakes, called the premiums, are accurately and equitably adjusted—one is bound to win, the other to lose. The office gives to the individual the right to make a series of similar bets during each of the remaining years of his life, or for a limited period.

In a concrete or moral sense, life-insurance is precisely the reverse of gambling—unless, indeed, the individual who neglects to protect those dependent upon him from pecuniary loss in the event of his own death, and thus assumes the risks of loss to them, is a gambler.

Life-insurance is one of the most beneficent devices of modern civilization. By its means the pecuniary loss and hardship which would result to a family from the death of its natural protector are assumed by a vast number of persons, upon each of whom such loss falls lightly. It is benevolence without ostentation, and charity without humiliation. It is practically a fulfilment of the divine injunction

to "bear one another's burdens," and is therefore an evidence of the highest Christian civilization.

Important as was this discovery by Pascal, it attracted but little attention until 1671, when the Grand Pensionary De Witt, of Holland, celebrated alike as a statesman and a mathematician, conceived the idea of applying the doctrine of chances to the valuation of annuities. From the registers of births and deaths in several towns in Holland he deduced rates of mortality, or probabilities of living and dying for each age. In a report to the States-General in April of that year he computed the value of annuities for the several ages. This report is valuable as the first instance of the application of scientific principles to the solution of questions depending upon the contingencies of living and dying, combined with the improvement of money by interest. De Witt's report was lost to the public for one hundred and eighty years, or until 1851, when it was recovered through the perseverance and skill of Mr. Augustus Hendricks, actuary of the London, Liverpool and Globe Insurance Company, and at one time president of the Institute of Actuaries, London.

In 1693, the illustrious Halley, astronomer royal of Great Britain, constructed the first complete table of mortality, in a form which has ever since been followed, showing for each age the chances of living and dying, with various monetary values deduced therefrom. Halley's table was based upon the records of births and deaths in London and in Breslau. It was more than half a century afterward before Halley's labors were applied to any work of importance. As life-insurance became better known and appreciated the necessity of accurate tables of mortality became more evident. The following list comprises the principal mortality tables which have at any time been used by life-insurance companies:

1. The Northampton Table, based upon an enumeration of the deaths in that town for the forty-six years prior to 1780, constructed by Dr. Richard Price. As the number of persons living in these years was not known, but merely assumed, this table was quite inaccurate; yet it was used as a basis of values for many years by insurance companies, and by courts of law in the determination of insurance premiums, annuities, and rights of dower. It was used in the determination and distribution of the surplus of the Equitable, of London, as late as the year 1889.

2. The Carlisle Table, based upon the numbers of both living and dying in the city of Carlisle during eight years prior to 1787. This table was constructed in 1815 by Joshua Milne, actuary of the

Sun Life-Office, and was, for a full half-century, the standard adopted by British and American life-insurance companies. A great variety of monetary values were computed upon this table, and a vast number of insurance contracts were based upon it.

3. The Actuaries' or Combined Experience Table, deduced from the mortality of seventeen British life-insurance companies, embracing 83,905 assured lives. This table was constructed in 1845, by the late Jenken Jones, actuary of the Guardian Assurance Company. It is valuable as being the first important table based upon the actual mortality among persons whose lives were insured. Although the Actuaries' Table has long since become obsolete in Great Britain, it has been adopted, and is still used, as the official standard of valuation by Massachusetts and by several other state insurance departments.

4. The H<sup>M</sup> (Healthy Male) Table, based upon the later experience of twenty British companies, embracing the mortality among 147,000 insured lives, and completed in 1869, under the supervision of a committee of the Institute of Actuaries. Elaborate monetary values have been computed upon this table, which are embodied in the "Text-book" by George King, actuary of the Atlas. This table has long been the vade-mecum with actuaries, and until it shall be superseded by tables based on later and more extended observations will be the most reliable standard of value in Great Britain.

5. The American Experience Table (so called), constructed by the writer, and based upon the mortality experience of the Mutual Life-Insurance Company, of New York, during its first fifteen years. Confirmed as it has been by later and more extensive observations upon the mortality in that and in other American companies, this table is unquestionably the best exponent of rates of mortality which may be expected to prevail among insured lives in the United States. Rates of premium and estimates of the value of contingent insurance liabilities in nearly all American companies are based upon this table, which is also the official standard of insurance valuations in many of the States.

The origin of life-insurance is lost in antiquity. At a very early period the lives of masters of vessels and of merchants voyaging with them were insured, always for brief periods and generally by individual underwriters, against death or captivity by pirates. In the middle of the sixteenth century, lives of persons were insured for short periods by individual underwriters, who divided the risks among themselves very much in the manner of the modern Lloyd's.





SHEPPARD HOMANS.

The earliest life-insurance policy on record was issued June 15, 1583, by the Office of Insurance within the Royal Exchange, London, upon the life of one William Gybbons. The insurance was for twelve months for £383 6s. 8d., at a premium of eight per cent. The policy was underwritten by thirteen different persons, who guaranteed sums varying from £25 to £50 each. The oldest existing office, which transacted at any time a life-insurance business, is the Hand-in-Hand, London, chartered in 1696; but its first life-insurance policy was not issued until 1836. The earliest purely life-insurance company was established in 1699, under the name of the Society of Assurance for Widows and Orphans. This association had a brief existence. The celebrated Amicable Society for a Perpetual Assurance was chartered March 25, 1706, by Queen Anne. This society carried on the business of life-insurance for one hundred and sixty years, or until 1836, when, under an act of Parliament, it passed out of existence as a separate institution and was merged into the Norwich Union Life-Office. In the year 1721, there were founded two insurance offices, still existing, the Royal Exchange and the London Assurance Corporation, each of which at once issued life-policies, and each has continued to do so until the present time. They are therefore the oldest existing offices writing life-insurance contracts, but their principal business has always been that of marine and fire insurance. All of the offices above named charged a uniform rate of premium for all ages of about five per cent. until after the commencement of the present century, and their business was conducted upon methods very similar to those practised by modern assessment associations.

In 1762, the famous Equitable Society for the Assurance of Life and Survivorship, of London, commenced business. This society was founded upon the recommendation of Dr. Richard Price, with the view of charging rates of premium adjusted to chances of living and dying at the different ages. In other words, its business was from the first conducted on sound principles. The society has had from the outset a phenomenal success. It has never employed agents or paid commissions or solicited business. It has always been managed with great ability, and is still pointed out with pride as the "Old Equitable." It has led the way in many of the advances and improvements in the system. In the amount of business transacted it has been distanced by many modern offices; and although its volume has greatly diminished since its maximum, about 1816, it is now increasing quite rapidly. The

Equitable, of London, is not, however, as has generally been assumed, the oldest office in existence doing a purely life-insurance business. That honor is due to a little American office in Philadelphia, Pa., called the Presbyterian Ministers' Fund, organized in 1759, or three years before the Equitable, of London. It has, for one hundred and thirty-six years, pursued quietly, unostentatiously, and without interruption the business of life-insurance. In the Papers and Transactions of the Actuarial Society of America, No. 2, page 83, may be found a facsimile of a policy issued by the Presbyterian Ministers' Fund, dated May 22, 1761, on the life of Rev. Francis Allison. In consideration of a premium of £6 annually, it provided for the payment, after his death, of £20 annually, for a stated number of years, to his widow and orphans. The premiums were based upon the hypothesis of De Moivre, the rates being level for life. It is, therefore, the oldest purely life-insurance company in existence. It has ever kept pace with modern improvements in the science of life-contingencies, and is to-day in a sound condition, with every prospect of continued success.

After the formation of the Equitable, of London, in 1762, came the Pelican, in 1797, the London, the Provident, and the Rock, in 1806, and new offices were started in almost every subsequent year. There were founded during the present century, in Great Britain, about three hundred and seventy life-offices, out of which only eighty-eight, according to the Parliamentary Return for 1894, remain. The others have had, generally, an ephemeral existence. Some have been wound up voluntarily, some by processes of law, some have been merged into stronger or better-organized institutions, and all have suffered penalties from the violation of sound principles of science and commercial experience.

On the continent of Europe, life-insurance has been a plant of slower growth and development. Many strong offices have been built up in France, Germany, Holland, Belgium, and Austria, with a few in the other kingdoms. It is in the United States and in Great Britain, however, that the system has flourished and attained its highest development.

In the United States, the Presbyterian Ministers' Fund was, as stated, organized in 1759, and is still in existence. The Baltimore Life was organized in 1831, and was merged into the Equitable in 1860. But modern life-insurance dates from 1843, when the Mutual Life-Insurance Company, of New York, first commenced business. This great company, in volume of assets the largest in the world, issued its first policy February 1, 1843. It is organized upon

the mutual plan, having no capital, and its enormous accumulations (\$203,822,134 on December 31, 1894) have resulted entirely from insurance premiums and interest thereon, after deducting payments for death-claims and expenses.

This company was organized by friends of the late Morris Robinson, solely to give a position to that gentleman. Its affairs were managed with great skill by him and by his successors in the office of president, the late Joseph B. Collins and Frederick S. Winston. Under the present incumbent, Mr. Richard A. McCurdy, the business and accumulations are rapidly increasing. The history of the Mutual Life-Insurance Company is a record of phenomenal success, resulting from the application of science and sound business principles to the most important economy of modern times, by men of exceptional ability, energy, and business training. The American Experience Table of Mortality, so called, constructed, in 1858, by the writer, and since adopted by all American companies and by many of the States as a standard of valuation for premiums and liabilities, was deduced from the mortality records of this company. The "Contribution Plan" of dividing surplus equitably among the members of a life-insurance company was first applied by the writer in the distribution of the surplus of the Mutual Life in 1863. When we consider the vast amount of surplus now held for policy-holders by American companies, amounting to more than \$112,000,000, in addition to over \$325,000,000 of surplus already awarded and paid to them under the "Contribution Plan," one may appreciate its importance and value.

In the report of the Massachusetts Insurance Department for 1868, the commissioner, Hon. John E. Sandford, states:

"The forty-seven life-insurance companies doing business in this State, or rather twenty-one of them, were fortunate enough to find themselves during the last year in possession of divisible surplus to the amount of more than seven and one half millions of dollars (\$7,595,671.97). The whole of this magnificent fund was made up of the overpayments of individual policy-holders, or was the surplus earnings of their money held in reserve by the companies. They were consequently entitled to have it divided among them by some rule or method of distribution. The propriety of so dividing it that each policy-holder should receive his own—the share of it which belonged to him, neither more nor less—is too plain to need argument or illustration.

"How, then, shall it be divided? This is not a

question of usage, of precedent, or of convenience, but of equity and right—of right to property, to one's own money; and involving, as it does, millions of dollars annually, it is a question of the first importance.

"As a practical question, at the present time, it resolves itself into the discussion of two essentially different methods of distribution, which, with some variance of detail, appear to divide the practice of all the mutual companies. (1) The 'Percentage Plan' distributes the surplus by a uniform percentage of the annual premium—assuming, apparently, that this premium fairly represents, for the current year, the whole capital or stock in trade of each policy-holder in the joint concern, on which his share of the profits or savings for the year is to be computed. There is no other assumption on which such a mode of distribution is intelligible. (2) The 'Contribution Plan,' rejecting the annual premium as the measure of distribution, inquires for the *sources* of the surplus—how much of it is traceable to the surplus earnings of each one's share in the accumulated reserve of previous years, as well as of the current premium, and how much to each one's share in the savings on the payments for losses and expenses—and professes to return to each what he or his money has actually *contributed* to make up the sum total of the surplus which is to be divided. If one of these methods is right in principle, and the other wrong—and they cannot both be right—the sooner it is known and admitted the better.

"We think it admits of demonstration that the percentage plan ignores the origin of the surplus; that its idea is radically wrong, and discordant with the theory and methods of life-insurance; that it gives money which belongs to one policy-holder, without reason or right, to another, subtracting from the dividend to which the longer insured is entitled, to make for the newly insured an equal dividend to which he is not entitled; that it does this uniformly and inevitably, and does it on an extensive scale. The equity of the uniform percentage plan in dealing with the money of the insured is like the hospitality of the famous old robber of Attica, who, if the legs of his unwilling guests were too long for his bed, lopped them off, and stretched them to the requisite length if they were too short.

"The contribution plan, on the other hand, recognizes the constant sources of surplus—a higher rate of interest than was assumed, a lower rate of mortality than was expected, and a less percentage of expense than was provided for—in establishing the premiums and reserve of the com-

pany. These sources yield a surplus which varies with the reserve on each policy, with the age of the insured, and with all the terms and conditions of the insurance. The system adapts itself to the incidents of each policy, and returns the surplus earnings from interest, and the excess of the payments for mortality and expenses, which belong to it. In a word, it seeks to give to each of the insured the surplus which his money has earned or created. It requires no other statement than this to demonstrate its theoretical equity. The actual adaptation of the plan is demonstrated by the fact that its formulas are deduced from and harmonize with the fundamental processes of life-insurance, while no mathematics either suggest or justify the percentage plan.

“In this country, where every improvement is eagerly sought and usually accepted, its essential features have received the indorsement of the most eminent actuaries, and it has been already adopted by a majority of the participating companies. The statutes of this State have been amended in order to admit of its adoption by our own companies. Actual trial, which is the best test of its merits, seems to have approved its equity and the practicability of its use. Other companies, whose practice has sanctioned thus far the older plan, are known to be considering seriously its adoption. A firm belief in its superior equity and in the general good results to be expected from its use cannot fail to induce the hope that this, with every other improvement that science or experience suggests, may be ingrafted on a system whose present success and beneficent future are cherished and believed in with a strong and abiding faith. Life-insurance claims an alliance

duce the system of non-forfeiture, since adopted by all other American companies. By this concession, policy-holders, who are unable or unwilling to continue their contracts, are guaranteed an equitable surrender-value in paid-up insurance or in cash. The company owes its success largely to the ability and energy of its former president, the late William H. Beers. Under its present able executive, the Hon. John A. McCall, its business is growing with great rapidity.

The Equitable Life-Assurance Society of the United States was organized in 1859, by Mr. Henry B. Hyde, who, although declining to be its first president in favor of Colonel William C. Alexander, has been the guiding spirit from its organization to the present day. Under the superb management of Mr. Hyde, the Equitable has surpassed its two great rivals, the Mutual and the New York Life—which started respectively sixteen and fourteen years prior—in the items of income, volume of business, and surplus. In one respect the Equitable is unique among all large life-companies, and that is in the fact that it has always remained under the management of one man from its organization to the present day. These three American offices are by far the largest in the world. Want of space prevents mention of other American life-companies by name.

The remarkable progress of life-insurance in the United States may, perhaps, be best illustrated by the following statistics, compiled from the reports of the Insurance Department of Massachusetts for the years ending December 31, 1859, and December 31, 1894. The list includes all companies which reported to that department at the two dates named.

MASSACHUSETTS INSURANCE REPORTS, 1859 AND 1894.

	COMMENCED BUSINESS.	AMOUNT INSURED.		ASSETS.		PREMIUM INCOME.		SURPLUS—COMBINED EXPERIENCE. 4 PER CENT.	
		1859.	1894.	1859.	1894.	1859.	1894.	1859.	1894.
		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
New England Mutual.	1844	13,041,484	93,868,387	1,347,637	24,252,829	347,717	3,079,506	533,711	1,697,009
State Mutual.....	1845	2,876,591	52,909,932	351,617	9,893,072	57,429	1,849,884	147,950	1,053,008
Berkshire.....	1851	1,787,650	38,159,229	106,685	6,430,146	52,565	1,455,372	115,007	598,083
Massachusetts Mutual	1851	4,210,380	89,877,280	183,516	15,653,367	109,387	3,109,360	134,905	1,033,620
Mutual Life, N. Y....	1843	37,235,392	854,710,761	5,840,150	202,494,184	1,032,663	36,123,164	1,518,868	15,089,823
Mutual Benefit, N. J..	1845	22,559,177	209,369,528	2,800,717	55,656,860	649,157	7,626,152	886,387	3,577,984
Connecticut Mutual..	1846	22,701,294	156,686,871	2,528,842	62,229,586	709,613	4,677,973	849,599	7,450,858
National, Vermont...	1850	1,751,540	64,975,950	187,768	11,046,572	46,370	2,472,702	125,891	1,055,001
Union Mutual.....	1849	4,368,542	36,312,041	582,840	6,592,373	167,688	988,582	340,684	260,314
Manhattan, N. Y....	1850	10,333,644	61,618,675	670,268	13,695,656	308,354	2,056,336	227,716	774,451
Equitable, N. Y....	1859	808,000	913,556,733	107,974	183,138,559	15,590	36,938,931	91,882	28,115,809

with interests too high and sacred to be persistently guilty of systematic wrong.”

The New York Life-Insurance Company commenced business in 1845. It was the first to intro-

Among the early workers and fathers of American life-insurance who are no longer living, special honor should be given to Judge Phillips of the New England; Guy R. Phelps of the Connecticut Mutual;

Morris Robinson, Frederick S. Winston, Henry H. Hyde, and Professor Gill of the Mutual Life; Joseph L. Lord of the Mutual Benefit; William H. Beers of the New York Life; and last, but not least, the late Elizur Wright, the first insurance commissioner of Massachusetts.

There is one specialty in the larger American companies which is worthy of attention, and that is the very large amount of insurance written upon tontine plans. Tontine assurance, as now written, is simply an agreement by which surplus is retained and accumulated for the exclusive benefit of those policy-holders who survive and keep in force their policies until the end of the tontine period agreed upon—generally ten, fifteen, or twenty years. Upon ordinary plans the surplus is divided annually; upon both plans the full sum insured is always payable at death.

Life-insurance is, in effect, an arrangement or device by which the pecuniary loss to family or dependents, which would result from the death of their protector, is borne by a large number of associates, upon each of whom the burden or loss falls but lightly. In the case, however, of a person who dies after paying one premium, or only a small number of premiums, the pecuniary gain to his beneficiaries is abnormally great, since the amount of insurance is very large in comparison with the premiums paid therefor. To pay dividends, in addition to the insurance in such cases, only aggravates the relative inequality between persons dying early and those who live longer and pay premiums for many years. The tontine system, by awarding and paying sur-

such a large number of applicants prefer and select tontine policies may be considered a proof of the confidence of the companies and of their patrons in the system. In the volume of business the tontine companies surpass by far the companies which refuse to issue that class of policies. Incidentally, it is claimed that lapses are fewer among tontine than among ordinary policies, and that there is a great advantage to those who survive the tontine period in the opportunity of closing their contracts by receiving their full equities both of reserve and surplus in cash or in paid-up insurances, or of continuing their policies with greatly reduced premiums.

While many companies in the United States have failed and been wound up, those now doing an active business are believed to be on a sound, healthy basis. The cause of failure in almost every case may be traced to extravagance or inexperience, but not to excessive mortality in any instance. There are at present, in the United States, fifty-six regular old-line life-insurance companies, of which thirty-two only are authorized to transact business in the State of New York. The companies not admitted to that State, however, are mostly small and unimportant. The magnitude of the business in the thirty-two old-line companies doing business in New York may be seen by the following statistics, taken from the report of the Insurance Department for the year 1894. The statistics for the British offices (counting five dollars to one pound) were taken from the Parliamentary Return for 1894, published in 1895. The business of industrial companies is omitted in both cases.

## INSURANCE STATISTICS FOR 1894.

	UNITED STATES. (32 OFFICES ONLY.)	GREAT BRITAIN.
Total insurance in force, December 31, 1894 .....	\$4,675,583,046	\$2,500,030,330
Total number of policies in force, December 31, 1894 .....	1,780,307	.....
Total income from premiums, 1894 .....	205,132,044	91,391,415
Total income from interest, etc., 1894 .....	51,492,434	37,662,580
Total income from all sources, 1894 .....	256,624,478	129,053,995
Payments for death-claims .....	78,313,162	63,874,645
Payments for commissions .....	\$29,854,751	.....
Expenses of management .....	13,672,918	.....
Total .....	\$43,527,669	12,522,145
Total liabilities, December 31, 1894 .....	916,591,138	.....
Total surplus, " " .....	139,740,544	.....
Total assets, " " .....	1,056,331,682	1,038,626,035
Total number of companies reporting .....	32	88

plus to the latter class only, equalizes these otherwise unavoidable and unforeseen inequalities. Moreover, each person should be allowed full liberty in the choice of different forms of insurance, and so-called tontine companies issue all kinds. The fact that

In addition to the fifty-six regular old-line companies, there are, in the United States, several hundred coöperative or assessment companies, fraternal and secret associations, in which, generally, the promise to pay the sum insured in case of death is not def-



inite and absolute, but is made contingent upon the result of assessments to be collected from survivors. The exact number of these organizations, with the number of members and the total amount of insurance, cannot be given, but the total insurance in force no doubt exceeds eight and one half billion dollars at the present time, or nearly double the amount outstanding in all the regular life-insurance companies.

Insurance in the old-line companies is secured, almost invariably, through the intervention of soliciting agents or canvassers, who are compensated by commissions on the premiums collected. Men, as a rule, will not seek life-insurance as they seek fire or marine insurance upon their houses and merchandise. They require the urgent solicitations of canvassing agents to persuade them to do what every one, who has a family dependent upon his exertions, should recognize as a duty and a privilege. In the coöperative or assessment companies the expense of procuring business is less, but the quality of the insurance is inferior.

In one respect, life-insurance in the United States differs in a remarkable degree from that in Great Britain, and, in fact, from that in all other countries. Each of the United States, in the absence of legislation by the national government, has power to impose restrictions, conditions, and taxes upon corporations of every other State seeking to do business within its precincts. Each State has its own Insurance Department and its own statutes regulating life-insurance. In consequence, the policyholders of life-insurance companies are subjected to great hazard, inconvenience, and expense by reason of diverse and oftentimes incongruous legislation. The burden imposed upon the management of our life-insurance companies by reason of the require-


ments of the different States, and of the necessity laid upon them to protect the interests of the policyholders by guarding them against unfavorable and unwise legislation, is very serious.

In striking contrast with the American system of State supervision by legislative enactments is the system adopted in Great Britain. There the companies are required simply to file with the Board of Trade sworn statements as to the amount of assets, of income, and of liabilities, giving the table upon which such liabilities are computed; and the public is left to find out their relative merits or standing by such illumination as active competition and public information may bestow. No attempt at supervision of companies is made, and in Great Britain no tax is laid upon life-insurance. It is there assumed, and very justly, that life-insurance is a public benefaction; that it tends to promote thrift and economy on the part of its citizens, and to avoid the burden of paupers upon the state, and as such should be fostered and encouraged by every proper means.

In other words, life-insurance in the United States is the subject of supervision and tax by our legislative Solons, while in Great Britain publicity and natural competition are relied upon to keep the companies in sound condition. The two methods are in sharp contrast. It cannot be denied that the American system has one advantage in the complete published returns, even to the minutest detail, of the items of assets, liabilities, and methods of business, which are open to the inspection of the public. American companies are thus enabled to dispel honest doubts and disarm designing criticism by the simple logic of facts, and to demonstrate beyond question their claims to the confidence of the community.

*Sheppard Homans*





## CHAPTER XVI

# AMERICAN RAILROADS

**D**YNAMICS has never produced a greater power than the locomotive engine. Stephenson's *Rocket* drew in its train results more momentous in their relation to human destiny than any motive force the world has ever known. Today, railroads, their achievements and their problems, are of vaster importance than any other one factor in economic affairs. Evolved from the discoveries that found steam a force and harnessed it, through the means of applied mechanics, their development has produced those marvelous feats of constructive and engineering skill which distinguish both them and the age alike. Their extension has blazed the path of progress, and as they have built up, so have they bound, the new sections to the old, until beneath their network has broadened homogeneously the greatest nation on the face of the earth.

Transportation, whether of the person or of property, with ease, speed, and safety is the first and most self-evident of the achievements of the railroad. In the administration and regulation of this function questions have arisen, legislation been framed, and experiments made during nearly thirty years, but with small beneficent result. In the mists of the discussion thus raised the "railroad problem" has ever loomed larger and more distorted than it should appear. Primarily the railroad is based upon certain broad and immutable principles underlying the commercial and industrial system, as an integral part of which its dependence should be at once apparent. That such has not been universally recognized is due to two causes: first, few people except those whose interests and prejudices have moved them strongly either to one side or the other have ever investigated the matter to its ultimate conclusions; second, the railroad system itself, in the strong throes of its formative period, has sometimes seemed to deny its manifest destiny. Unrestrained and ruinous competition, reacting upon itself, has forced

rate wars and discriminations, confined to no one locality or territory, but threatening even such results as the diversion of the nation's commerce. That this period, now approaching its end, should give way to better conditions and wiser policies is as inevitable as that iron rails should give place to steel. Potent as the railroad is, it must conform to rather than make conditions. The New York merchant will trade with Chicago if transportation rates leave him a profit; if they do not, his business with Chicago ceases, and the carrier loses. From this it follows that, within the limits of a just and reasonable freight tariff, the equalizing laws of trade must determine conditions for the railroad. With this elementary principle in mind, the "railroad problem" loses many of its difficulties; but it is not the purpose of this article to discuss this question further, except as its effects are seen in tracing the history of the system's development.

The first railroad commonly claimed to have been built in America was in Massachusetts, and ran from the Quincy granite quarries to tide-water at Neponset, a distance of three miles. It was completed in 1826, at a cost of \$34,000. Candor compels the statement that this much-vaunted bit of road was neither more nor less than an ordinary tramway for horse-power, such as had been common at the English coal-mines for many years before that time. Waiving, then, the claims of the Quincy road, as well as those of the Mauch Chunk switch-back road, built in 1827, the record shows the first railroad in this country really entitled to be called such, and the first on which a locomotive was actually run, to have been the Carbondale Railroad, built in 1828, by the Delaware and Hudson Canal Company, from their coal-mines to Honesdale, Pa., a distance of sixteen miles. In 1829 a locomotive built in England from the plans of Horatio Allen, an American engineer, was brought over, and in August commenced running regularly on this road.

That locomotive, called the *Stourbridge Lion*, was the first ever used in the United States, and was imperfect even for those times. The multitubular-boiler engines which succeeded this type were perfected by Stephenson, and the *Rocket*, the first of this new class, was successfully tested over the Rain-hill track in the same year.

The *Rocket* was to the railroad what the *Clermont* was to steam-navigation, and to its inventor, as to Fulton, should be accorded the full measure of glory for the achievement. At the same time, in this case again, as in that of Fulton, the idea thus perfected and demonstrated practicable was not a new one. Little known as the fact is generally, an American was the first to conceive the locomotive engine. His name was Oliver Evans, and in Philadelphia he perfected in 1782 a steam-carriage, consisting of a high-pressure engine placed on wheels. This machine, when exhibited during that year, was found capable of running a mile and a half at a single stretch. From this time the records show no further attempts in this direction for twenty years, or until 1802, when Richard Trevethick, an Englishman, patented a self-acting steam-engine, capable of drawing a light load at the rate of five miles an hour. Two years later this engine was put in use at the Merthyr-Tydvil mines; and the demonstration in 1811, by Mr. Blackett, an English coal proprietor, that weight and friction would suffice, even with smooth wheels and rails, to render the steam-engine self-motive on grades or with heavy loads, caused the further introduction of short lines at the mines. The final triumph in locomotive engineering, and the one which made possible a speed and draft-power of practical utility, was reserved for George Stephenson, the rough and unlettered Northumbrian miner. Passing over his earlier struggles and partially successful models, we find the *Rocket*, in 1829, standing boldly forth as the alpha of the modern railroad.

The first American locomotive did not appear for nearly a year later, and was but a diminutive affair. It was called the *Tom Thumb*, and its inventor was no less distinguished a personage than the late Peter Cooper. The boiler of the *Tom Thumb*, although little larger than that of an ordinary kitchen range, was provided with vertical tubes, thus securing the necessary heating surface; but the waste-steam blast of Stephenson was replaced by a primitive bellows-like contrivance worked by a drum, with a belt which passed over one of the wheels of the carriage. Notwithstanding its crudity, this little locomotive, which was run by its inventor over the tracks of

the Baltimore and Ohio,—then operated by horse-power,—was capable of a very fair speed.

Mr. Cooper's retirement as a locomotive engineer came about too speedily, however, for his genius in that line to be thoroughly tested. It was due to an amusing circumstance, which caused the late venerable philanthropist much mortification for many years. While out with a party of friends exhibiting the *Tom Thumb*, Mr. Cooper met, at a spot where the road and railroad tracks paralleled each other, the proprietor of the great stage-coach line of that part of the country. This gentleman, who was waiting with one of his fleetest trotters, proceeded to demonstrate the superiority of horse-flesh over steam. He would scarcely have been able to do this but for a mishap, as Mr. Cooper fired up his tiny furnace and ran steam far above license limits, while the diminutive *Tom Thumb* trundled along at a rate that after the first quarter was placing steam-power well in the lead. Slowly the engineer-fireman-inventor saw his engine drawing away from the wearied horse, and victory seemed certain, when suddenly the belt, before mentioned, ran off the drum, the fires slackened, and the race was lost. Mr. Cooper felt his defeat keenly.

The second American locomotive was built at the West Point Foundry near Cold Spring, N. Y. (where the Parrott guns were cast during the War of the Rebellion), after plans by E. L. Miller, and was equipped with a common vertical boiler. Despite this drawback, this locomotive, which was called the *Best Friend*, did attain, unattached, a speed of thirty to thirty-five miles an hour, and with a train of five cars fifteen to twenty miles. This locomotive was built for the South Carolina Railroad, which ran between Charleston and Hamburg, and with the consideration of which is fairly begun the history of American railroads.

On the fifteenth day of January, 1831, or precisely four months after that memorable day when George Stephenson, standing on the foot-board of the *Northumbrian*, had started the first train, on board of which was the Duke of Wellington, over the Manchester and Liverpool Railroad, the stockholders of the South Carolina Railroad celebrated the first anniversary of the opening of their road by introducing steam motive power. The *Best Friend* was the locomotive, and by means of it a train of two pleasure-cars, carrying a band and 150 stockholders, together with a specially fitted up carriage bearing a detachment of United States troops and a field-piece, went down the road on a grand excursion. This was the inauguration of the passenger

railroad system of the country, and it followed very closely, as can be seen, upon the English beginning made by the Stockton and Darlington road in 1825. The fact that the road was a year old before steam was introduced illustrates a point which every student of American railroads has had brought to his attention and consideration, viz., that America, as though foreseeing the final triumph of the locomotive, commenced her railroads some time before this motive power was developed. As an example of splendid assurance, the action of this same South Carolina Railroad in voting, on January 14, 1830, that "steam" should be the only motive power used on the road stands unequalled. Other roads were similarly forehanded in laying their tracks in anticipation of the locomotive. The Baltimore and Ohio, begun in 1828, was operating by horse-power a short stretch of road fifteen miles long, from Baltimore to Ellicott's Mills, in 1829, and carried as many as 80,000 passengers and 6000 tons of freight during the year 1831. A year later, when the line had been extended to Frederick, steam was introduced as the motive power. In 1831 the South Carolina Railroad had progressed to a point where it originated the four-wheel car-truck, and had replaced the primitive old *Best Friend*—which had unfortunately suffered from a boiler explosion early in its career—by locomotives of more improved construction and design. In connection with the apprehension caused by the bursting boiler a curious custom developed on this road. This was the introduction of a car loaded with several bales of cotton, and known as the "barrier car," between the locomotive and the passenger-cars. Behind this the early Carolina traveler felt comparatively safe.

Among others of the very early roads were the Baltimore and Susquehanna, dating from 1830; the little four-and-a-half-mile line between New Orleans and Lake Pontchartrain, starting the same year; the Boston and Lowell, incorporated in 1830; the Boston and Providence, and Boston and Worcester, incorporated in 1831; and the Mohawk and Hudson, which commenced running in September, 1831. Of all the early roads this latter is probably the best known, through the numerous old prints that have been preserved of the *De Witt Clinton* puffing along, with a train of most extraordinary cars in the rear. These were nothing more or less than ordinary stage-coach bodies mounted on trucks, coupled together with chains. The track consisted almost universally of wooden rails, laid upon stone or timber ties, and having an iron bar or "strap," of from one half to five eighths of an inch in thickness,

spiked along the top on its inner edge, on which the wheels ran. The early American locomotive engine, of which the *De Witt Clinton* may fairly be said to be typical, was a small, rather rickety affair, weighing from three to three and one half tons, with a detached tender carrying pitch-pine for fuel, and capable, when driven, of making thirty miles an hour. The spark-arrester for smoke-stacks was unknown, and outside passengers escaped lightly if their clothing caught fire no oftener than once or twice during a trip.

The English locomotives built by George and Robert Stephenson at Newcastle-on-Tyne were heavier and better machines. The first of these, brought here before the *Rocket* model had been perfected, was landed at New York in 1829, and set up in an iron-yard on the East River, where it was exhibited as one of the mechanical marvels of the time. This engine, however, was little, if any, better than the home-made ones; but in 1831 there was imported another of the improved models, which weighed seven tons, and was considered a most powerful machine. This engine was for the Mohawk and Hudson road, and cost when delivered, with all charges paid, \$4869.59. Its general appearance and effectiveness will be easily imagined by those who saw at the World's Fair at Chicago the famous old *Johnny Bull*, of the Camden and Amboy line, of historic memory. This engine, a great machine in its day, was landed at Philadelphia in August, 1831.

Almost the first improvement made by American engineers upon the English models was the introduction of the swivel fore-end truck, suggested in 1831 by Horatio Allen, of the South Carolina Railroad, but first perfected and adopted by John B. Jervis on the Mohawk and Hudson road, in the same year. This change, so absolutely necessary in a country where railroad companies had neither money nor time to spend in avoiding heavy gradients and sharp curves, gave the American machines an advantage over the rigid English locomotive which they have ever since maintained. Even today a billiard-table road-bed is essential in obtaining good results from machines of English make. The equalizing-lever, patented by Joseph Harrison, Jr., of Philadelphia, was the second improvement, and was absolutely demanded by the rough-and-ready nature of the work required on American railroads. It gave greatly increased stability, and lessened to a large extent the danger of derailment. The idea of two pairs of driving-wheels was patented in 1836 by Henry R. Campbell.

The railroads of the country were growing, meanwhile, and those already mentioned and a few others were either undertaken or in view within twelve months of the day that the *Best Friend* pulled the first passenger-train out of the Line Street station in Charleston. In 1830 there were but 23 miles of railroad in operation in the United States. Within a year this had been increased to 95, and a year later still to 229—a wonderful record, considering the undeveloped resources of the country at that time. It cannot be claimed that these railroads were such as to compare even distantly with those in England. They were but primitive constructions at the best, cheaply built, poorly equipped, faultily designed, and, briefly, such only as a young country commanding the crudest of mechanical appliances could produce. Then, as in later times, it was the practice of railroad managers to construct their lines as quickly and as cheaply as possible, leaving their improvement to the future, when its necessity should have been demonstrated, and the expense could be borne by the earnings and surplus funds. This policy, avoiding enormous initial outlay, is still working itself out, as has been seen so plainly of late years in the gigantic undertakings by which the Pennsylvania road is straightening its crooked course, and the New York, New Haven, and Hartford is obviating highway crossings at grade. In England, on the contrary, construction has always proceeded upon a different plan. Heedless of obstacles, regardless of expense, and careless of time, engineers have gone slowly forward. Had Edinburgh and London been as far apart as New York and San Francisco, they might not yet have had a rail connection. The Manchester and Liverpool, the second English railroad opened, well illustrates this. It approached very nearly to those attainments of engineering skill which characterize construction to-day. George Stephenson, who had invented the locomotive, also carried out the building of its pathway; and in this road, with its underground tunnel, high embankments, deep cuttings, lofty viaduct, and buoyed road-bed across the quaking bogs of Chatomoss, he achieved a distinction as an engineer that was second only to the greater glory of his mechanical inventions.

America, slow though she necessarily was at first in developing the resources which were essential to perfect railroad construction and equipment, was behind no nation in her realization of the economic value of this new method of transportation. Her initial crudity, even if the circumstances of the time did not sufficiently excuse it, may perhaps be par-

done when it is considered what sacrifices the proprietors have made in later years in order to overtake and outstrip every other nation on the face of the earth. The American railway system stands forth to-day as the most stupendous and progressive, and among the most perfect, in the world. But this is outrunning history. Sixty-five years ago, the great mass of the people never dreamed, wonderful as they believed the railroad to be, of the extended achievements of to-day. Only by a few men of great minds was the true significance of this new factor in affairs properly appreciated. Long after the excitement and novelty attending the opening of a new road or the trial of a new locomotive had worn off through the very frequency of its occurrence, they were planning and working toward great ends. They saw that the canal system must give way before the new force as soon as the public needs demanded that speed and convenience should replace the old-time delays and discomforts. With it all, the men who had made New York the great commercial center of the country, and who, down the long Erie Canal and the broad waterway of the Hudson, had led to their city the produce of the great central and lake region, then known as the West, saw their commercial supremacy menaced. Nor did they realize the danger more quickly than did the enterprising spirits of the other great rival seaports—Boston, Philadelphia, and Baltimore—recognize their opportunities. The Erie Canal, striking to the very heart of the continent on the line of least elevation above tide-water, had settled the question, until then contested, as to which of the great Eastern cities should become the national port of entry and distributing center. Away down in New Orleans, reaching up with the long arm of the Mississippi, as well as in all the Atlantic seaports, had been felt the diversion of the stream of Western trade; and it was, in fact, the effort to recover this lost ground that caused one of the earliest of the railroads, the great trunk-line of the Baltimore and Ohio, to be projected. Between Baltimore and her hopes, however, stretched the rough barrier of the Alleghanies, and the engineering skill of those days was scarcely sufficient to compass all at once this difficulty. Philadelphia, too, actuated by the same motive and attempting reprisal by the same means, found herself balked by the same great wall. Still, these delays were recognized as being only temporary, and already, by 1835, Boston was seen to be reaching out over the Boston and Worcester to cross the previously supposed insuperable barrier of the Berkshire Hills and enter Albany. This, we know,

was accomplished in 1841; but long before that time, in 1836, the great trunk-line of the Erie Railway was commenced, and the foundation laid for New York's greatness as a railroad center. The completion of this road to Dunkirk in 1851, and its opening for through traffic, marks the inauguration of the trunk-line system.

Another great railroad power, active during all the earlier period in behalf of New York, was the New York Central, which was formed in 1853 by the consolidation of five small railways. This shows how, before its future great president, Commodore Vanderbilt, entered on his successful career as a manager, others appreciated the axiom that competition among railroads cannot exist where combination is possible. Commodore Vanderbilt was, however, well known before that as an important factor in the business of conducting transportation. In the very earliest days of railroads, when the Boston and Providence, in 1835, established the first link in the rail connection between New York and Boston, his steamboats afforded the complementary transportation. It would be far too tedious, and require too great a space, to trace in detail the fortunes of the American railroads through the disconnected links of short lines which began in 1831 to spring up all over the country. As an evidence of the number and comparative insignificance of these roads, it can be stated that in 1832, when the total mileage of the country was only 229, there were no less than sixty-seven separate railroad companies in the State of Pennsylvania alone. In this multiplicity of beginnings a general idea of the growth of the railroads of the United States can best be derived from the following figures, which give the total mileage of the country by demi-decades from 1830:

MILES OF RAILROAD IN OPERATION FROM  
1830 TO 1894.

YEAR.	MILES IN OPERATION.
1830.....	23
1835.....	1,098
1840.....	2,818
1845.....	4,633
1850.....	9,021
1855.....	18,374
1860.....	30,626
1865.....	35,085
1870.....	52,922
1875.....	74,096
1880.....	93,296
1885.....	128,361
1890.....	166,706
1891.....	170,795
1892.....	174,750
1893.....	170,607
1894.....	175,441

Omitting for the present the consideration of the later figures, the proportionate importance of the

early increase as expressed in percentages is seen at once. From 1835, when the first 1000 miles of railroad were in operation, the increase for each established period of five years varies but little from one hundred per cent. until the time of the Civil War. With the railroads of the country thus doubling twice in every ten years, it is easy to understand that conditions must have been more or less chaotic so far as rates and facilities were concerned. Towns reached only by a long, tiresome, and expensive wagon-ride one year were placed in close communication with the outside world the next. The communication naturally established trade relations; a new market and a new source of supply were concurrently developed, and the effect could not be anything but stimulating to the industrial condition of the country.

There was much unevenness in this early development, however, and much inequality; not only was one town favored at the expense of another, but even the favored ones found themselves confined within the limits of a system that was ignorant of coterminous facilities, and jealous to an extreme degree of joint traffic. In such conditions, therefore, it was some time before the many links began to realize that they were but part of what must eventually be a great chain. It was not until so late as 1860 that the railroad chain was complete and continuous along the Atlantic coast and to the South, and that Bangor, Me., and New Orleans were at last at the ends of a connecting system.

In the West, prior to 1850, there were, broadly speaking, no railroads. The first ones to be built on the farther side of the Alleghanies were, singularly enough, in the extreme Southern States of Louisiana and Mississippi. These roads were the Clinton and Port Hudson, incorporated in 1833, and the Bayou Sara and Woodville road, incorporated as the West Feliciana Railroad Company in 1831. They were operating before 1840, and have continued ever since, enjoying the distinction of being the pioneer Western railroads. For ten years thereafter no new ones entered the field, but by the middle of the next decade a network of them was stretching across the face of the great central region. A system of land grants did much to foster this growth in the West. The general government allotted certain alternate sections of the public lands to the several States in the West, and these States ceded them under certain conditions, in the nature of a subsidy, to the railroads. The Illinois Central and the Mobile and Ohio were the first railroad corporations to gain the advantage of these grants.

It was during this period that the far-reaching effects of the railroads began to be appreciated in the fuller significance to which their extension has brought them to-day.

The intervention of the five years of war and turmoil which came coincidentally with this realization prevented the immediate carrying out of the plans then formed. Nevertheless men were planning all through that dark and disturbed time, laying the foundations of those gigantic undertakings the beginnings of which were made almost before the dawn of peace at Appomattox was saddened by the death of Lincoln. By 1866 the spirit of railroad extension was spinning the shining network of its rails throughout the land; by 1869 it reached dimensions wonderful to behold, 8000 miles in each of the two succeeding years being the rate of increase. Profits satisfying the grasping hopes of avarice beckoned capital on, and, with small regard for consequences to themselves, the railroad managers plunged recklessly into competition. Existing lines were paralleled; territories already covered by one system were invaded by rivals, and the great war of competition began in earnest.

This weakness of unlimited competition, coupled with the extreme sensitiveness of the railroad to industrial and commercial changes, found it more than vulnerable when the crash of 1873 came upon the country. In view of the disastrous consequences of the failure of Jay Cooke & Company, in the troubles of that time, the railroad may fairly be said to have aided in bringing about its own decline, since it was in attempting to carry singly the enormous financial burden of the Northern Pacific construction that this great house went under. Within the next two years railroad increase dropped off seventy-five per cent. Then, responding to improved conditions, it started again on the wonderful career which ended early in the eighties, when enterprise, having overdone itself in such follies as the Nickel Plate and the West Shore bubbles, fell from sheer exhaustion. Recovering therefrom within the short space of three years, a fresh start was taken, at a pace that placed the record for annual railroad extension at nearly 13,000 miles. This was between 1886 and 1887, and was followed by a normal growth lasting until the financial troubles and industrial depression of 1893, when for the first time in the history of railroads in the United States the number of miles of road operated decreased. The discussion of this phase of the subject, bringing us as it does to the present time, will properly come later. Reverting, then, to the period immediately

following 1869, extending, with the brief interruption already noted, to 1883, we find an idea of the pace at which the great systems of the country were evolving in the figures for the single decade between 1869 and 1879.

INCREASE OF SELECTED SYSTEMS, 1869 TO 1879.

NAME OF ROAD.	MILEAGE, 1869.	MILEAGE, 1879.
Pennsylvania R. R. ....	538	4,000
N. Y. Central and H. R. R. ....	593	2,500
Chicago and Northwestern. ....	1,150	2,158
Chicago, Milwaukee, and St. Paul ...	839	2,250

This increase is not, of course, to be set down wholly to structural extension, which was in fact but one factor in the growth, and scarcely more important than several others. Consolidation, or acquirement by lease or purchase, has much to do with the formation of great lines. This policy was undoubtedly based in its conception upon the fallacious idea, generally held by railroad managers at that time, that it was possible for a road, by exclusive control of territories, to obtain advantages in the dictation of rates and facilities that would enable it to maintain itself upon the arbitrary basis of charging "all that the traffic will bear." Undertaken in this spirit, however, the great systems, coming to understand more fully the limitations of their power, have applied themselves to the problem as it actually exists, and in the constantly decreasing rates of transportation, made possible by the economies of concentration and latter-day improvements, they have given that stimulation to trade which is at once the encouragement of the merchant and the advantage of the carrier. To illustrate the growth that has resulted, the increased mileage of the following large systems in the period from 1883 to 1894 is given:

GROWTH OF SELECTED SYSTEMS, 1883 TO 1894.

NAME OF ROAD.	MILEAGE, 1883.	MILEAGE, 1894.
Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fé. ....	2,510	9,345
Baltimore and Ohio. ....	1,554	2,907
Central Pacific. ....	1,213	1,428
Chicago, Burlington, and Quincy ...	3,322	5,730
Chicago, Rock Island, and Pacific. ...	1,381	3,572
Illinois Central. ....	1,927	4,296
Lake Shore and Michigan Southern. .	1,339	1,476
New York, Lake Erie, and Western .	1,025	2,061
Northern Pacific. ....	2,546	4,457
Southern Pacific. ....	990	6,651
Union Pacific. ....	1,820	4,469

Sketching thus in outline the history of the railroads down to recent times, one branch of the subject has been omitted until the last in order that its importance might have the full consideration that it deserves. This is the transcontinental system. Its conception, its accomplishment, and its development are the glory of American genius, and its union of the most distant bounds of this great nation the bond which makes one in material fact a nation that must ever be one in sentiment and purpose. So early as April 1, 1850, there met at Philadelphia a convention called to discuss the feasibility of a railroad to the Pacific coast. The discovery of the California gold-fields, and the rush thither in the years preceding, had turned men's minds as they had never been turned before toward that wonderful country so lately won from Mexico by the aggressive patriotism of Commodore Shubrick. From a little-known region where traders bartered for hides with the indolent and suspicious Mexicans, California had become the El Dorado where hundreds of thousands longed to go, and thousands already there clamored for the supplies the East would so willingly have furnished them. But there were no means of getting there except by the long sea-voyage, either crossing the Isthmus or around Cape Horn, or by the equally slow and far more perilous voyage in the prairie-schooner across the plains and mountains, where hostile Indians, starvation, thirst,—every danger, in short, that an unknown and arid land could offer,—awaited the traveler. Could a railroad but be built, these gentlemen who gathered at Philadelphia in 1850 felt how great would be its achievement and how instant its success. They were ahead of their time, however, and the project was too vast for immediate acceptance. Man had not then become accustomed to working miracles, as he has in these days, when no project is too immense or chimerical to have its stock subscribed for at some figure. Accordingly nothing was done beyond the mere exploiting of a great idea; but perhaps that was the best thing that could have been done, inasmuch as it familiarized men's minds to the contemplation of the thing as possible. The second great step in the preliminary endeavors toward transcontinental railways was made during the administration of President Pierce. The War Department, at whose head was Jefferson Davis, organized and carried out a great survey, laying out several railroad routes across the continent. The report of these governmental engineers still further interested the country in the subject.

The idea first enunciated in 1850 was twenty

years in coming to its full fruition. The conditions caused by the war, and the necessity, more strongly felt than ever, for close communication with the great Western regions and the Pacific slope, were powerful motive forces in the direction of such an undertaking. California had built her first railroad in 1856, and was as eager to reach the Atlantic as the Eastern States were to arrive at the Golden Gate. With a united sentiment in its favor, and a government ready to aid by every means in its power, the stupendous project was inaugurated on July 1, 1862, by the incorporation by Congress of the Union Pacific, which in its junction, seven years later, with the Central Pacific near Ogden, Utah, completed the first railroad line across this or any continent. The government, as its share in the undertaking, granted subsidies of enormous value. To the Union Pacific—the main line of which ran from Omaha, a straggling frontier town, to Ogden, Utah, a distance of 1033 miles—was granted a subsidy in bonds of \$16,000 per mile from the Mississippi River to the base of the Rockies. Across this almost impassable barrier the amount was raised to \$48,000 per mile, and between there and the Sierras lowered again to \$32,000 per mile. In all, 1038 miles were subsidized, at an expense to the government in bonded indebtedness of \$27,236,512. In addition to this the company was granted, subject to securing patent, no less than 12,000,000 acres of land.

The Central Pacific, in its turn, with a subsidized mileage of 737, cost the government in bonds issued \$25,885,120, and received land grants amounting to 90,000,000 acres. The first rail on the Union Pacific was laid in July, 1865, and between then and May 15, 1869, when the junction with the Central Pacific was finally made, the work was carried on amid difficulties such as can scarcely be understood to-day. Surveying parties, cut off by Indians, perished miserably; construction camps harassed, stock driven off, stragglers cut down almost within hearing of the clicking picks and striking shovels; constant alarms and wearying watchfulness—all these things made up the price which the white man paid the Indian for passage across his lands. Nor were these the only difficulties. Nature herself opposed her most formidable front to the invaders of her solitudes—deserts parched and alkaline, rivers rock-walled and turbulent, valleys to be crossed, hills to be cut down, mountains to be wound about in snake-like, tortuous curves. Now clinging to the side of a sheer precipice, now spanning a fathomless chasm, now diving beneath some





STUYVESANT FISH.



huge spur barring the way across the everlasting heights, slowly the twin threads of steel crept on. Men who had shriveled with fever on the sun-baked levels shivered with the deadly cold on the cloud-girt heights, and hundreds fell. But the Rockies were crossed at last; to an altitude of 8205 feet above sea-level the long roadway climbed, falling thence slowly to the plateau beyond. It was the greatest engineering feat man had ever achieved, and marks an epoch in the progress which there began to stretch beyond the accepted bounds of human limitation. The Central Pacific crossed the Sierras in a similar manner at an altitude of 7042 feet, and dragged for hundreds of miles through the Humboldt Desert, and the work was done. There is no need to enlarge upon the importance of what is self-evident. The correlation of Occidental development and Eastern prosperity is too well understood to require demonstration, and even if it were not, the results which the brief quarter of a century of transcontinental communication has effected speak far beyond the power of either words or figures.

Others of the early transcontinental lines speedily followed on the commencement just related. Long before the first through train from East to West was run, new companies had been chartered, and long construction trains, laying their roads before them as they went, were crawling across the continent. The Northern Pacific, chartered in 1864, was organized to construct a line from Lake Superior to Puget Sound, a distance of 1800 miles, with a branch 200 miles in length to Portland, Ore. The land grants obtained by this company aggregated 47,000,000 acres. The Atlantic and Pacific Railroad, chartered in 1866, obtained grants of land based on mileage; 12,800 acres being allowed per mile in the States, and 25,600 acres per mile in the Territories. This line in connection with the Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fé, and the St. Louis and San Francisco Railway, made practically two routes across the continent. The Texas Pacific, which was incorporated in 1871 to extend from New Orleans to Sierra Blanca, a distance of 1068 miles, joined there the Southern Pacific, which ran to San Francisco, and the rail connection was opened on October 15, 1882, thus perfecting the union of the Pacific coast with the country at large, and more fully binding it in the following year by the further junction of the Southern Pacific with the Galveston, Harrisburg, and San Antonio road to the Gulf.

It would be impossible to trace further, even if space allowed, the progress in detail of that most complicated organism, the American railroad system,

toward its present condition. By just what steps the advance, undeniably making toward homogeneity and a concentration of control, is to be brought about is a question hard to answer, and admitting of explanation based on varying opinions. It is unquestionable that this potent force steadily working is the one in which the final solution of the so-called "railroad problem" will be found. It is a power best observed in the results following its manifestations as railroad history knows them, and therefore best studied in the abstract rather than in the detailed enumeration of the absorption by the XX line of the YZ road, and so on through all the permutations of railroad evolution.

The constructive period of the railroad in the United States may be said to have ended in 1869, assuming our definition of this period as that during which extension was purely on legitimate lines, with new fields for all, and non-competing roads the rule. This period, being naturally one of great prosperity for existing lines, became through this very reason the cause of their own undoing. It showed men where money was to be made, and regardless of the fact that where one man may live in plenty two men may find but scanty rations, and four men starve, they rushed into the new field. Thus was inaugurated, almost imperceptibly at first, but more and more impetuously as it went on, the era of unchecked competition, through which it seems to have been necessary that the railroads should pass. The very swiftness with which it came on only aggravated the distemper. Industrial and commercial conditions found it impossible to keep up with the facilities that the railroads were offering. Factories were only producing such an amount as trade demanded, and trade, in its turn, was only of such volume as consumption, regulated by existing conditions, required. In the handling of this internal commerce, transportation facilities as they then existed sufficed.

Into this seemingly well-balanced order was suddenly injected the new element of vastly increased transportation capacities. Competitors built rival roads side by side with the old ones, and tapped from opposing sides the tributary territories. Then, that they might secure business, rates were lowered and the war fairly begun. Where one railroad had been able to handle the traffic of a given section, two now divided between them the same traffic. Commerce could not double itself at a bound; it had to grow. Furthermore, it saw its advantage in this struggle of the railroads, and so in turn crowded each of the competitors to a fresh concession, which

was at once used as the lever to screw down again the rival. This state of affairs could not last, and its effects were soon seen in the bankrupt roads that began to appear. These only brought a fresh complication to a condition of affairs that was fast becoming alarming to the longer heads who were managing the great lines. Thus was demonstrated the fallacy that competition, free and untrammelled, could work no evil. With nothing in their treasuries and profit earning impossible, the only resource of the bankrupt roads was to secure business at any price in order to live, and they did it, and kept on, while the solvent lines became poorer.

From such a state of affairs there was but one issue—natural, but distasteful to a degree to men who were jealous of their company's exclusive sovereignty, even to the extent of refusing joint traffic. This issue was combination, and the lukewarm manner of its early adoption made it but a poor remedy. Furthermore, the public, ever ready to view with alarm the harmony of great interests, saw in this only a gigantic scheme of the railroads to monopolize power. The very men and communities who had thrived by the discriminations forced by a fierce competition were loudest in protesting when a more equitable adjustment was proposed. Towns fifty miles apart and connected by two or more roads could exchange their goods at a less rate of freight than was paid by the shipper in the small half-way town who had only one road to depend upon. By such a system as this the railroad managers sought compensation for the slaughter of rates, and the secretly favored shippers acquiesced silently. From those who paid full rates in the less favored towns, however, there was no such approbation. They were undoubtedly discriminated against, and instead of recognizing that it was the inevitable result of that competition so universally applauded, they regarded it as the deliberate persecution of great corporate interests.

In the West this feeling was most intense, and the Granger movement, which began in Illinois in 1870, and attained the dimensions of a political power three years later, attests its violence. Of the legislation growing out of this agitation in the West there is little need to speak. The railroad commissions, as at first there organized, were too extreme in their partisanship to exert great remedial influence. Drastic laws enacted by the legislatures, scaling arbitrarily all rates to the basis of the competitive rate, nearly ruined the railroads. Taxes, wages, and fixed charges had to be met, and rates on that basis could not accomplish it. Capital became frightened

and withdrew, and development in those sections was arrested to such an extent that even the legislatures themselves became alarmed, and where the Granger movement had flamed the fiercest it died the soonest, and within three or four years less arbitrary laws were passed, and the commissions became less bitter in their antagonism.

Early commissions in the East were more fortunate, owing to the fact that the resident ownership of railway stocks and bonds made their spirit more temperate and their powers less arbitrary. Of this early appearance of the State regulation of railroads, afterward developed in 1886 to national proportions, the scope of this article prevents extended mention, the subject falling more strictly within the lines of the chapter on "Interstate Commerce."

Adhering, then, to the original lines of railroad discussion, we come in 1873 to that epoch-marking event, the Saratoga Conference. Competition was verging on chaos. The solvent lines, having competed until combination had been forced as the alternative of ruin, now sought to present a united front to the bankrupt and reckless roads, whose motto was "business at any price." The five great trunk-lines connecting the Eastern seaboard with the interior were the Baltimore and Ohio, the Pennsylvania, the Erie, and the New York Central, and north of all these the Grand Trunk, a Canadian line. Agents from the first four of these lines had from time to time met at regular intervals and published agreed rates. In the summer of 1873, however, Commodore Vanderbilt being at Saratoga, representatives from the Erie and the Pennsylvania met him there, and an arrangement was entered into by which, in addition to agreeing upon tariffs, the roads in question were to establish a board of arbitration to adjust disputes. President Garrett, of the Baltimore and Ohio, absent from the original conference, but consulted later, was the only dissentient American. He refused to submit the independent action of his road to any board of arbitration. A rate war with his nearest neighbor in the combination, the Pennsylvania, was therefore begun, which resulted in the undoing of the work of the Saratoga Conference, and all four of the American lines going back to the old arrangement of a mutually agreed-upon freight tariff and independent action.

The Grand Trunk, coöperated with by numerous small Western roads, started one of the most momentous railroad wars ever known, and one that bade fair for a time to transfer to Boston the commercial supremacy previously enjoyed by New York. The terminals of this line, by virtue of its connec-

tions, were Milwaukee and Boston, and between these points rates were fixed at a figure that was shortly diverting from Chicago and New York the great stream of traffic, hitherto uninterrupted, between these great centers. Neither Milwaukee nor Boston being competitive points for the other four great trunk-lines, these roads were disinclined to commence a ruinous rate war; but the divergence of New York's trade to Boston became at length so alarming, in the winter of 1875, that the New York Central was forced to take action, which it did with an initial and sweeping cut of sixty per cent. Following the invariable rule in such cases, the warring parties soon reached the point when an agreement was necessary, and a sort of truce was patched up in December, which, after enduring a few weeks, ended in a general *mêlée*, in which the Erie, the New York Central, and the Grand Trunk were the most prominent, although after about eight months the entire five trunk-lines were ready for almost any sort of an agreement.

The significance of this earliest rate war, by which Boston had benefited so greatly, was not lost upon Philadelphia and Baltimore, and all through the succeeding struggles the underlying motive was found in the desire of one of the three other great seaboard cities to surpass New York. With the exception of Boston, already sufficiently favored by the Grand Trunk, both Philadelphia and Baltimore had always been conceded a slight differential advantage in rates to neutralize the difference in ocean freights their location imposed. New York found herself unable to concede the advantage longer when her rivals began their war for supremacy, and various more equitable substitutes were proposed and tried. Nothing availed, however, to avert one final struggle between all the lines; and after rates had sunk to from 2.8 mills to 3.5 mills per ton per mile between the East and West, the roads at length wearied, and the joint or "pool" system was for the first time adopted on the great trunk-lines in 1877; Colonel Fink, who had originated and successfully carried out this idea two years before in the Southern Railway and Steamship Association, being called upon to take charge. Under the terms of this first "pool" the Baltimore and Ohio received but nine per cent., the Pennsylvania twenty-five per cent., and the New York Central and the Erie thirty-three and a third per cent each.

The important relation which these four great trunk-lines concerned in the East and West traffic bear to the railroad system causes them to serve most readily the purposes of illustration of the

tendency toward closer relations displayed by the American railroads in their advance toward the homogeneous, even if not united, system of the future. Through wars almost numberless the outcome has been seen in every case to have been the assumption by the competitors of some mutual obligation for the sake of peace. The "pooling" idea thus traced to its first great manifestation has not been, however, of such recent growth as might be supposed. It was introduced into New England at an early date, and quietly used for a long time. The celebrated Chicago-Omaha pool of 1870 and the Southern organizations also preceded the Trunk-Line Association; but all of these were largely experimental, and certainly lacked the coherence arising from the discipline of an actual central authority. When, after years of the bitterest war, however, the great trunk-lines finally came to adopt it, men realized that it had been inevitable. To-day, while rate wars and the tactics of competition are by no means ended, nor ever will be so long as many interests compete for similar ends, their effects are no longer so ruinous as twenty years ago. With the great corporate interests vested in the railroads joining with one another for mutual protection and advantage, that thing most vividly pictured by the demagogues has never come to pass. Instead of a great monopoly crushing the public rights underfoot is found a condition of things so vastly improved since 1873 that it seems scarcely possible that railroad science can have advanced so greatly in so short a space of time. Rates have fallen to a point absolutely impossible before the era of improvement, and both freight and passengers are now transported for less money, and with more safety, speed, and convenience, than in any other country on the face of the earth. Freight rates, which in 1873 averaged 1.985 cents per ton mile on the great trunk-lines, fell in the twenty years ending in June, 1893, to .8 of a cent per ton mile, a reduction of nearly sixty per cent. In the West and in the South the reduction has been much greater. In order to better understand the tremendous significance of this decrease a further reference to the figures will be useful. The shippers of the country paid in round figures the sum of \$808,000,000 for the transportation of their freight in 1893. Had the rates of twenty years ago still prevailed, the sum of \$2,020,000,000 would have been required to meet these charges. Thus the people and the commercial interests of the United States were saved an annual amount of \$1,212,000,000.

Such a tremendous falling off in rates has, of

course, only been withstood by the railroads by the exercise of the most rigid economies, the adoption of every improvement tending to minimize the cost of operation, and an adaptation to latter-day needs, which, on the closest of profit margins, demand a volume of business of gigantic proportions in order to balance the long account of the fixed charges. Nor has this wonderful change in railroad conditions come about without injury to the corporations engaged. No less than forty per cent. of the mileage, representing about thirty-one per cent. of the property valuation of the railroads, has been forced into bankruptcy during this period. The lines that have survived the strain have done so only by the expenditure of millions in the improvement of their properties.

One of the greatest, as it is perhaps the most important, of all these changes has been the introduction of steel rails in the place of the old iron ones. In the twenty years following the adoption of these rails on the New York Central the volume of traffic increased from 400,000,000 ton miles to 2,000,000,000 ton miles. With the old iron rails such an enormous traffic would have been practically impossible, and its cost absolutely prohibitive. Beginning with a rail but little heavier than the iron ones then in use, the weight has been gradually increased as its economy was appreciated. To-day the 100-pound rail is in not uncommon use on lines of heavy traffic, especially on curves and grades, and it has been found one of the most potent factors in reducing cost both in draft-power required and in diminishing wear and tear on rolling-stock. The increased use of steel in place of iron for rails, resulting in the practical displacement of the latter by the former, is best shown in the figures giving the annual production of railroad bars during the period covered by the change.

PRODUCTION AND DOMESTIC CONSUMPTION  
OF RAILROAD BARS.

YEAR.	IRON.	STEEL.	TOTAL.	RETAINED FOR DOMESTIC CONSUMPTION.
	<i>Tons.</i>	<i>Tons.</i>	<i>Tons.</i>	<i>Tons.</i>
1873. . .	679,520	115,192	794,712	794,371
1875. . .	447,901	259,699	707,600	706,598
1880. . .	440,859	864,353	1,305,212	1,304,181
1885. . .	13,228	963,750	976,978	973,009
1890. . .	13,882	1,871,425	1,885,307	1,869,426
1892. . .	10,437	1,541,407	1,551,844	1,536,146

The tons in this table are figured at long weight, 2240 pounds.

A still clearer idea of the increase in the use of steel rails, expressed in mileage, may be had from

the fact that where in 1880 there were 81,967 miles of iron to 33,680 miles of steel rails, there were in 1892 only 38,641 miles of iron as against 182,858 miles of steel rails, an increased percentage of steel from 29.1 to 82.6 of the total mileage.

The direct result of the introduction of steel rails was an increased weight of rolling-stock, and an increase in more than an arithmetical proportion of the carrying capacity per car. The freight-car of a capacity of 30,000 pounds, used a few years ago, is obsolete and wasteful, while those of 60,000 pounds and of even greater capacity are now in general use, and may be classed as standard. As cars increased in weight so did the locomotives. With the heavy steel rail came of necessity the weightier and more compact road-bed, and stone-ballasted ways succeeded the old dirt embankment. Over this, immense weights can roll freely, and the locomotive has become a mammoth. In place of the little one-ton *Tom Thumb* of Cooper, or the heavy seven-ton engines of the Stephensons, are found to-day the sixty and seventy ton passenger-fliers and the eighty and ninety ton freight-engines. One giant of the modern rail is a ten-driver freight-locomotive of the Lake Erie and Western, which weighs, as it couples to its train, 115 tons, and could draw the combined rolling-stock of every road existing in the United States in 1835.

Important as track and road-bed are to this development, they are but a part; and as the strength of a chain is that of its weakest link, so would the modern railway fail were it not for the improved bridge construction which has also come during the past quarter of a century. All bridges in the earlier days of the railroad were of wood, and the long trestleworks with which the old engineers crossed uncomfortable swamps are still well remembered. Apart, however, from the question of its structural strength, the wooden span was dangerous from other reasons: it would decay in the weather; it would burn if a hot coal dropped; and it would warp and shrink if the material used in its construction was unseasoned. Even an improved truss, obviating to a certain extent the latter fault, was insufficient to make the wooden bridge either a safe or a profitable feature of railway construction, and by 1870 it had begun to retreat before the iron bridge. This latter material has now so nearly superseded wood in the bridges of the country that it is scarcely necessary to discuss it. The many designs of truss and span give wide variety in its application, from great suspension-bridges to lofty viaducts. One of the latest, and perhaps the greatest achievement of the bridge

builder's art, is the so-called cantilever, which may fairly be claimed as an American invention, since the first suggestion of it came from Thomas Pope, who proposed in 1810 a cantilever bridge across the East River. The first cantilever bridge built for railroad traffic was across the Kentucky River, C. Shaler Smith being the engineer. Since then there have been some wonderful examples of this style of construction.

The bridges and road-beds, improved as outlined above, have constituted lines over which the enormous traffic of to-day passes easily and cheaply. Single locomotives now draw trains weighing 2500 tons. Huge palace-cars, weighing as much as a whole train did in the earlier days, are now whirled along at a rate that fifty years ago would have been considered beyond mortal attainment. Still engineers and railway officials are not satisfied, and there is a never-ceasing endeavor on all sides to advance still further. The introduction of electricity as a motive power, already heralded by the Baltimore and Ohio in their Baltimore subway, and by the line at Nantasket, Mass., is the first step in what many able engineers believe will be an advance to speed in comparison with which that of to-day will seem as little as already does the "frightful velocity" of forty years ago, when a traveler held his breath if the speed was greater than thirty miles an hour.

A very natural query raised by the discussion of speed on the modern railway is how it has been accomplished concurrently with perfect safety. That traveling is nearly as safe as remaining at home is generally conceded, and in the United States especially fewer deaths are placed against the railroads in proportion to the miles traveled than in any other nation. Even with this favorable showing the laws are so rigid in holding railroad corporations to the strictest liability that nearly \$2,000,000 annually are awarded in death-claims and damages against them. Spurred on by the strictness with which they were held to account, and, little as it may be believed, actuated also by humane motives, the railroads have adopted every new and improved appliance tending to increased safety.

Since the first use of the telegraph on the line of the Baltimore and Ohio, everything tending to place hundreds of miles of road under central and systematized observation and control has been adopted as it appeared. The train dispatcher, with his numerous assistants, in the great union station, now directs the movements of every train. Not a driving-wheel turns but by his orders, nor a moment of lost

time is noted that is not at once explained to him. The great switch-towers, where scores of levers concentrate the directing force of acres of steel network, are the development of the interlocking-switch system. Air-brakes, torpedoes, flags, lights, semaphores, electric enunciators, derailment guards and split-rail switches, safety-bolts, and, last and greatest of all, the block system, guarding both ends of the flying express at once, are some of the methods and devices by which safety has been secured. Of these, next to the block system, the air-brake, which was first applied to passenger-trains in 1868, is perhaps one of the most notable advances.

The evolution of the rolling-stock of the railroads, particularly as it is connected with the passenger service, began almost with the introduction of train service. The English compartment coach was quickly superseded by the so-called American car, with its central aisle, side-seats, and undivided space. The first sleeping-car, which was simply an ordinary passenger-car fitted with rude wooden berths, was run on the Cumberland Valley Railroad of Pennsylvania from Harrisburg to Chambersburg in 1836. Sleeping-cars continued of the same crude sort until 1864, when George M. Pullman built the first of his modern coaches in the shops of the Chicago and Alton road. This car, named the *Pioneer*, was both too heavy and too wide for the roadways of that day; but a special car being required to convey the body of President Lincoln after his assassination, the *Pioneer* was taken, and the Chicago and Alton altered its road to suit its dimensions. Later, when President Grant traveled through the West, this car was taken, and several of the other roads made the changes necessary to its passage over their lines.

Thus the Pullman car was introduced, and the Pullman Car Company was organized in 1867. The Wagner palace-car was also early in the field, especially on the Vanderbilt lines. The first hotel or buffet car was built in 1867, and the *Delmonico*, the first Pullman dinner-car, was run on the Chicago and Alton road in the year following. The vestibule, making a safe passageway between the cars of a moving train, was first suggested by a sort of canvas diaphragm used to connect cars on the Naugatuck Railroad in Connecticut in 1857, but it was not until 1887 that the first vestibuled Pullman train was operated. To-day a vestibuled limited express has several luxurious sleeping or chair cars, a dining-car, smoking-saloon, library and writing-room, with stenographers and type-writers in attendance, bath-room, and barber-shop. The old-time method of tickets issued by each line separately,

involving change of cars and several payments of fare, is now done away with by the system of coupon tickets, in regulation of which the passenger-agents department of the different railroads has assumed a complexity of detail second only to that in the freight department.

The government's use of the railroad for the conveyance of the mails is too generally understood to require more than a brief mention. Congress, on July 7, 1838, constituted every railroad in the United States a post-route. For this service a stipulated amount per pound has always been paid the railroads as common carriers of freight mail-matter. A special compartment in the baggage-car served for many years for the mail; but in 1864 Colonel Armstrong introduced the railway mail-car, as had been suggested two years before by W. A. Davis, a clerk in the St. Joseph post-office. The first fast mail-trains were run in 1874 by the New York Central, and a little later by the Pennsylvania. The receipts from the mail service, together with those from the express companies, etc., make up about five per cent. of the revenues of the railroads, and the passenger service contributes about twenty-five per cent.; while the transportation of freight, which is the bulk of the business, adds seventy per cent. to the incomes of the railroad corporations.

The rolling-stock necessary to the transaction of this business, as apportioned among the different branches, is as follows:

Passenger-cars .....	27,909	
Baggage, mail, and express cars.....	7,937	
		35,846
Freight-cars .....		1,191,884
Total cars....		1,227,730
Locomotives.....	36,293	

The freight service being, therefore, the most important function, financially and commercially, of the railroads, it has attained an economic importance of the first magnitude. In this phase it has already been considered, but in its practical working there has been developed a system of such far-reaching scope and immense potentiality that it deserves description. The days when no road allowed its freight-cars to leave its own tracks have long since passed. The expense and delay incident to the frequent transshipment of through freight became insupportable, and the commercial world rebelled. The adoption of a standard gauge and the acceptance of the principles of joint traffic began directly after the Civil War, and have extended until they have reached the present conditions. Freight is

now loaded in a car at New York and not unloaded until it reaches San Francisco. Each line over which the car travels on its journey charges its own rates and receives its due proportion of the total charges. The road owning the car in which the goods are shipped receives in addition from three eighths to three fourths of a cent per mile from the other roads, for whatever distance the car may travel on their lines. The theory is that the Eastern car, when it reaches San Francisco and is unloaded, is to be returned to its home line as soon as possible. Unfortunately in practice this results but unsatisfactorily, despite the thorough organization of the modern car-accountant's department. Delays in unloading, reloading for a point on the homeward journey, reloading consigned to order, and hundreds of other causes contribute to make more than problematical the date of return of a car that has once got out of home territory. Plans to remedy the detention and "to order" abuses have been proposed and tried in great number, the per diem plan of demurrage or car rental, advocated by Mr. Fink, and introduced for a short time on the trunk-line roads in 1888, being about as successful as any.

The so-called fast freight lines are an important feature of this branch of railroad transportation. They are of two kinds. The first is simply the development carried a little further of the system already described—the application of the coöperative principle among a number of roads. The second is the operation of cars by a private corporation deriving its revenue from the same mileage charge with which the railroads compensate one another for the use of their rolling-stock.

Through all these various channels the great volume of the country's traffic flows steadily back and forth. If our system is not the best in point of routine detail and administration, it is still easily first in that far more important consideration of cost. Nowhere in the world is freight hauled so cheaply as it is in the United States. The average cost of transportation per ton mile is, as has already been stated, .8 cent. In Europe it is two and one half times as much, or two cents per ton mile. The difference amounts in the annual aggregate to millions of dollars, the greater part of which represents an actual saving to the people of the country on the standard articles of consumption and necessities of life. The actual value in dollars and cents which this saving represents can easily be figured from the totals given by "Poor's Manual" for 1895: the number of tons of freight moved was 675,129,747, and the average length of haul 121.89 miles, giving



82,289,400,498 ton miles. Estimating the average difference between American and European rates at 1.2 cents, the difference in total charges, accruing as a clear saving to the public, is \$987,472,805.97.

The strikes and labor troubles from which the railroads have ever suffered are scarcely to be discussed within the limits of this article. The first great strike appears to have been that on the Baltimore and Ohio in 1857, and the last was the uncalled-for and disastrous Chicago riot of 1894. It is scarcely possible to measure in money the damage done, since, apart from the losses sustained by either party to the dispute, is the loss to the business interests of the country through impeded transportation and obstruction of the mails. Any one branch of business that employs, as the railroads do, 2,000,000 people is, of course, liable to labor troubles; but in view of the relations held by the railroads to the general interests of the country, it is scarcely reasonable that the conveniences and necessities of nearly 70,000,000 should be disregarded, even if the interests of the other 2,000,000 were being thereby advanced, which is by no means so certain as labor leaders would make others think.

The growth of the railroads of this country, coincident as it has been with Western development, has witnessed a steady march of the mileage center in the direction popularly supposed to be taken by the star of empire. This advance, together with the relative growth of railroad mileage of the different groups of States, is shown by the following tables:

MILEAGE CENTERS.

1840.....	25 miles west of Mauch Chunk, Pa.
1850.....	25 miles northwest of Williamsport, Pa.
1860.....	60 miles south of Mansfield, O.
1870.....	Paulding, O.
1880.....	30 miles northwest of Logansport, Ind.
1888.....	90 miles south-southwest of Chicago, Ill.

MILEAGE INCREASE BY GROUPS OF STATES.

	1850.	1860.	1870.	1880.	1890.
New England....	2,507	3,660	4,494	5,982	6,831
Middle States....	3,202	6,705	10,964	15,872	21,536
Southern States...	2,036	8,838	11,192	14,778	29,209
Western States and Territories....	1,276	11,400	24,587	52,589	62,394
Pacific States and Territories....	....	23	1,677	4,080	9,804

The last subject to be taken up in the discussion of the American railroad falls more properly within the domain of the financier. When it is remembered that \$5,075,629,070 capital stock and \$5,665,734,249 of bonded indebtedness are represented by railroads in this country, the importance of the financial interests involved becomes readily apparent. Financ-

ing has come to be as essential a department of railway management as any other, and is, generally speaking, more complicated and less capable of explanation. Historically considered, railroad securities, which have been for years the most prominent feature of the money market, have had numerous ups and downs.

In the very earliest days, when all roads made money freely, and the field had not yet become overcrowded, investors subscribed for railroad stock almost as fast as it could be issued. The crisis of 1857, with its demonstration of the liabilities of stock under the bondholders' mortgage, caused a sudden and violent reversion of public sentiment in favor of the latter class of securities. Here again the pendulum swung too far in the opposite direction. It was a simple matter for unscrupulous men to organize a company and pay in a small fraction of the stock and float their bonds. The bonds once floated, some favored construction company would be given the contract to build the road at a price from ten to forty per cent. in advance of its real cost. Then the first reverse threw the road into bankruptcy, and under their mortgage the bondholders would take possession, thus securing a road worth far less than the face value of its bonds, and, as shown, from ten to forty per cent. less than the investment made at the price for which these bonds had been floated.

The crisis of 1873 brought the abuses under the bond system home to many, but the bitter days during 1885 were necessary to fully impress the lesson upon the public mind. Since then a better understanding of conditions has prevailed, and under responsible managements the securities of the railroads have come to represent intrinsic values, reliable and stable, except so far as all great interests are subject to prevailing national conditions.

The present condition of the railroads of the United States is thus summarized in a statement of their revenues and expenditures in "Poor's Manual" for 1895:

STATEMENT OF RAILROAD CONDITION AND REVENUES.

Capital stock .....	\$5,075,629,070
Funded debt .....	5,665,734,249
Unfunded debt .....	383,567,232
Current debt .....	440,669,656
<b>Total liabilities .....</b>	<b>\$11,565,600,207</b>
Cost railroad and equipment .....	\$9,789,543,001
Real estate, stocks, bonds, and other invest's.	1,167,879,162
Other assets .....	240,526,350
Current accounts .....	226,502,371
<b>Total assets .....</b>	<b>\$11,924,450,884</b>
<b>Excess assets over liabilities.....</b>	<b>\$358,850,677</b>

## STATEMENT OF RAILROAD CONDITION AND REVENUES.—

*Continued.*

Passenger-traffic earnings .....	\$276,031,571
Freight-traffic earnings .....	700,477,409
Other traffic earnings .....	91,134,533
Elevated roads (New York) .....	12,661,502
All other receipts, including rentals received by lessor companies .....	96,477,443
Revenue .....	\$1,176,782,458
Interest on bonds .....	\$237,620,367
Other interest .....	7,464,971
Operating expenses .....	757,765,739
Dividends .....	85,278,669
Rentals, tolls, etc. ....	60,900,454
Miscellaneous .....	38,220,492
Payments .....	\$1,187,250,692
Excess of fixed charges and miscellaneous payments revenue .....	\$10,468,234

Pacific coast roads were the heaviest sufferers. Consumption had almost ceased in the articles which were superfluous, or purely for ornament, and the demand for other articles ceased as far as was possible. Under these circumstances manufacturers had little to deliver, and merchants and dealers found it impossible to pay for more than a moiety of what they had required in previous years. In the face of this tremendous decline in receipts the railroads set themselves to a retrenchment of expenses that resulted in reducing the net loss in earnings to a point where, in some few notable cases, the net income increased. How vast these economies were is best shown in the following table, including a selected number of the larger and better-known roads:


## ECONOMIES OF RAILROADS, 1894.

RAILROADS.	DECREASE IN GROSS EARNINGS.	DECREASE IN NET EARNINGS.	ECONOMIES.
Pennsylvania (three roads) .....	\$12,794,499	\$2,445,129	\$10,349,370
Atchison, Topeka, and Santa Fé (four roads) .....	7,965,956	5,706,743	2,259,213
Chicago, Burlington, and Quincy .....	6,841,605	1,453,723	5,387,882
Philadelphia and Reading C. and I. ....	6,083,823	1,742,612	4,341,211
Delaware, Lackawanna, and Western (three roads) .....	5,732,111	1,203,734	4,528,377
Chicago, Milwaukee, and St. Paul .....	5,380,656	1,453,355	3,933,301
New York Central and Hudson River .....	4,913,080	704,562	4,208,518
New York, Lake Erie, and Western .....	4,888,272	2,572,317	2,315,955
Chicago and Northwestern .....	4,680,638	2,491,366	2,189,272
Union Pacific (eight roads) .....	4,607,006	3,477,057	1,129,949
Illinois Central .....	3,695,638	2,311,809	1,383,829
Southern Pacific (six roads) .....	3,571,791	2,092,716	1,479,075
Baltimore and Ohio (two roads) .....	3,485,692	1,245,263	2,240,429
Michigan Central and Canada Southern .....	3,478,000	363,000	3,115,000
Northern Pacific .....	3,046,726	1,520,518	1,526,208
Delaware and Hudson (four roads) .....	2,604,099	1,083,515	1,520,584
Chicago and Alton .....	1,274,604	247,202	1,027,402
Manhattan Elevated .....	1,149,659	1,021,711	127,948

The financial and commercial troubles of 1893 developed in the railroads a new phase of administrative excellence that is the highest tribute that can be paid, in closing this article, to the men who are in practical charge of the great railroads of the country. By the report of the Interstate Commerce Commission, the year ending June 30, 1894, witnessed a shrinkage of \$840 per mile in the gross revenues of 570 roads, representing a total mileage of 149,559. Dividends on these roads fell off \$3,999,169, and there was a total deficit in their accounts of \$28,255,121. The Southeastern and

To treat the vast subject of the history of American railroads exhaustively in an article the limits of which are circumscribed by the exigencies of space would be manifestly impossible. If I have succeeded in conveying a picture to the mind, although set in a small frame; if I have succeeded in demonstrating the importance of our railroad system as a matter in which every patriotic and intelligent citizen is deeply concerned; and if I have, by telling what has been done, foreshadowed the unlimited possibilities of the future, I shall feel satisfied with my effort to cover the ground of American railway history, however briefly.

*Stephenson*



## CHAPTER XVII

### AMERICAN CAR BUILDING

THE memory of men still living is sufficiently elastic to stretch back to the beginnings of steam-railroads in this country, and to comprehend the various changes by which the modern railway has become a highly organized and elaborately equipped mechanism. We borrowed the railway from England, but developed it on our own lines. The invention of the locomotive at first simply furnished a mechanical power to transport freight in cars that had formerly been hauled by horses. Tramways were in use in the Hungarian mines during the sixteenth century; and Ralph Allen's English stone-car of 1734, with its flanged wheels and its hand-brake, is clearly the forerunner of the freight-cars of to-day.

The term "railway" was invented in 1775, when it was first used in Smeaton's reports on English transportation, a quarter of a century before steam was applied to locomotion. Thanks to the recent researches of Mr. Clement E. Stretton, we now know that the first persons ever conveyed by a locomotive on rails traveled, on February 24, 1804, behind Trevethick's locomotive on the Pennydarran cast-iron plateway or tramroad to Merthyr-Tydvil, in Wales, a distance of nine miles. In order to transport long bars of iron and timber, the cars were made in pairs, coupled together by an iron draw-bar having a joint at either end. The cars had no sides, but in the middle of each was fixed a center-pin upon which worked a cross-beam or bolster, and upon this cross-beam the timber or bars of iron were placed. On the occasion adverted to the trucks were loaded with ten tons of iron bars, and seventy persons stood on the iron. Here we have the origin of the bogie or truck, the invention of which has been claimed for this country, as we shall see hereafter. Also the capacity of the freight-car, fixed at the beginning at ten tons, remained at that figure for half a century or more.

In 1812, John Blenkinsop, of Leeds, had a pri-

vate car built to carry himself and his managers to his Middleton colliery, while the workmen rode on the coal-cars. On July 27, 1814, George Stephenson's first locomotive, *Blucher*, drew over the Kenilworth colliery line a passenger-car made by placing the body of Lord Ravensworth's four-in-hand coach on a wooden frame fitted with flanged wheels. This car was used for twenty years. On September 27, 1825, the Stockton and Darlington Railway was opened, and trains of coal-cars were run, with one passenger-coach, named the *Experiment*. This was the first passenger-car to be run regularly for the use of the public. It was placed on four wheels, and had a door at each end, with a row of seats along either side and a long deal table in the center. This car was operated ten days, until the novelty was worn off; and then the faster stage-coaches carried the passengers. It was not until September 15, 1830, that the Liverpool and Manchester Railway opened its line with a train carrying 600 passengers, and immediately thereafter began to run the first regular passenger-trains.

It is a striking fact in the history of car construction that the English invented both the truck and the long passenger-car with the door at each end; and that these forms, once invented, were almost immediately discarded in England, so that it was left for this country to reinvent them and to make them the distinguishing features of American car building as contrasted with English construction. Indeed, it has been with great reluctance that we have ceased to claim them as original discoveries.

The fact that passenger-trains, by displacing stages, threw out of use many of those vehicles, coupled with the other fact that the stage owners, submitting to the inevitable, often became railroad promoters, furnishes a reason why the early masters of transportation both used the stage-coach body as a matter of economy, and also built their new cars on the model in which the conveniences of travel

had been most highly developed. The first passenger-coach used in Pennsylvania in 1832 was a stage-coach slightly enlarged. To be sure, the early prints show that in 1830 Peter Cooper's first locomotive hauled an open boat-shaped car from Baltimore to Ellicott's Mills, on the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad; but this model must have been adopted for economy's sake, because in 1833 that railroad placed in service the *Ohio*, a stage-coach in shape, with seats on top as well as inside.

As President Mendes Cohen well observed in his address before the American Society of Civil Engineers in 1892, the first important modifications in car building were called forth by the speed developed in the locomotive. Naturally the wheels first demanded attention. The names of four men are connected with early wheel improvement. Mr. Knight improved the shape of the tread and flange; John Edgar and Ross Winans developed the chilled features; and Phineas Davis further improved and perfected the wheel by altering the disposition of the metal in the tread and the angle of the flange, and by introducing within the cast-iron wheel a wrought-iron ring of five eighths or three quarters of an inch round iron, which both perfected the chill and also added strength to the wheel. Mr. Winans's shops turned out thousands of these wheels for use not only in this country, but also in Germany and Switzerland. From 30,000 to 50,000 miles represented the capabilities of a Winans wheel.

With increased speed came the need for increased steadiness, and it occurred to Ross Winans that by adopting the device of the bogie, or swiveling truck used in the transportation of freight, he could build an easy-riding passenger-car. In 1833 Mr. Winans constructed three long houses on wheels, each capable of seating sixty passengers. Having patented his invention, he was confronted by the fact that the principle he had used was one that had been utilized frequently on tramways, and particularly on the famous Quincy granite railroad, built to transport stone for the Bunker Hill Monument. At the end of protracted litigation the courts annulled the patent.

We now know that prior to 1830 England had three bogie-engines at work; that in 1831 Stephen's *John Bull*, built for the Camden and Amboy road, was made into a bogie after it reached this country—a fact made patent by the famous run of that engine from New York to Chicago in 1893; that Horatio Allen used a bogie-engine on the South Carolina Railroad in 1832, the same year in which the bogie-locomotive *Experiment* was built for the

Mohawk and Hudson Railroad. Moreover, the bogie principle was patented in England in 1812. Yet, whatever may be the legal aspects of the case, it is certain that the American passenger-car of today originated with the three passenger-coaches built in Ross Winans's shops in 1833. England discarded the bogie principle for engines in 1830, and did not return to it until 1876; and that country to this day has not adopted the bogie for passenger or freight cars. In 1889, the Paris, Lyons and Mediterranean Railway adopted the bogie for certain passenger-cars; and this year (1895) the Great Western Railway of England has begun to experiment with the bogie-truck. In America the Winans passenger-coach almost immediately supplanted everywhere the stage-coach form, which England still retains in a modified shape, excepting only on the Pullman cars, introduced into that country in 1874. With us not only the passenger-cars, but the baggage, mail, and freight cars, all were placed on swiveling trucks.

That the early railroads of this country were designed to carry passengers rather than freight is to be seen by their reports. The Baltimore and Ohio road, from January 1, 1831, to October 1st, carried over its thirteen miles of track 5931 tons of freight and 81,905 passengers; and so late as 1839 the Camden and Amboy carried only 13,520 tons of merchandise as against 181,479 passengers. In fact, the railways as freight carriers could not compete with the canals, which in those days were the traffic routes. In 1831 the Tuscarora and Port Carbon Railroad could not meet canal rates by thirty-nine and one quarter cents per ton, the railway charges being forty cents, plus a toll of fifteen cents per ton, while the canal rates were ten and three quarter cents, plus five cents toll.

Mr. John Kirby, describing from memory the freight-car of 1848, says that it was the same square box it is to-day; its capacity was from six to ten tons; the roof was covered with cotton duck painted and sanded. The hot sun cracked this covering and let the water in on the freight, an annoyance common also to passenger-coaches of that day. Few freight-cars were used in New York State at that date, the Erie Canal being sufficient for summer freight. Wood was the universal fuel, so there was no coal transportation. Wooden brake-heads were used, and it required three men to turn the screw that pressed the wheels on and off the axles. The ripping of planks was done by hand, as was also the dressing up; and when one man had tools to grind, a fellow-workman turned the stone. Carpenters

and car builders of six years' experience commanded \$1.12½ a day wages.

Viewed from the standpoint of to-day, the passenger-car of the early fifties, built at a cost of about \$2000, was a combination of inconveniences. The cast-iron stove in the center of the car broiled those who sat immediately around it, while the unfortunates one seat removed from its satanic glare shivered and froze. In summer the dust was intolerable, and, notwithstanding elaborate devices for ventilation, the dust problem did not begin to be solved before the appearance of the monitor roof in 1860. Hot-water heating and the abolition of the deadly car-stove came with the Pullmans.

In 1856, Captain (now Sir) Douglas Galton, of the Royal Engineers, was sent to America to investigate our railways. His report to the Lords of the Privy Council for Trade gives a straightforward and unbiased account of his investigations. Perhaps there is extant no other report which so comprehensively discusses the railway situation in the United States about that date.

"The practice of constructing railways [in America] in a hasty and imperfect manner," says Captain Galton, "has led to the adoption of a form of rolling stock capable of adapting itself to the inequalities of the road; it is also constructed on the principle of diminishing the useless weight carried in a train. The principle is that the body of the car is carried on two four-wheeled trucks, to which the body is attached by means of a pintle in the center, the weight resting on small rollers at each side. The framing of the truck is supported on springs resting on the axles, and the pintle and rollers are fixed to a cross-beam, which is attached by springs to the main framing; so that between the body of the car and the axles are a double set of springs. India-rubber springs are in general use, but they often become hard; consequently sometimes steel springs are used, with great advantage. Any side movement which might result from the slight play allowed to the cross-beam is counteracted by springs placed between its ends and the framing. An iron hoop attached to the framing passes under the axle on each side, so as to support the axle in case it should break."

The bearings Captain Galton found not unlike those used in England, but the use of oil as a lubricator was novel. He was told that under favorable circumstances the oil in an axle-box needed to be renewed but once a month; but that it was difficult to obtain good oil. The wheels were of cast-iron, with chilled tires; they were from thirty to thirty-six

inches in diameter, weighed rather more than 500 pounds, and were without spokes. When made by the best makers they would run from 60,000 to 80,000 miles before the tires were worn, and they cost from £3 to £3 10s. each. The iron used in making wheels was of very superior quality; and so great was the practical skill required that but three firms in the United States could be relied on to furnish wheels of the first grade.

The most approved form of draw-bar was continuous under the car, and was attached to the elliptic springs, acting in both directions. The iron shackle was in general use, but some railways preferred an oak shackle eighteen inches long, two inches thick, and six inches broad. This block was bound with an iron band divided on each side at the center, so that a car on leaving the rails would break the shackle transversely.

Already the automatic coupler for freight-cars was prefigured in a device by which the pin in the bumper of one of the cars was supported by means of a ball, so that the shackle of the on-coming car pushed back this ball and let the pin fall into its place. All passenger-cars and most freight-cars were supplied with brakes; and the Philadelphia and Reading Railroad was endeavoring to anticipate the day of train-brakes by an invention whereby a sudden check in the speed of the engine applied the brakes to the wheels of all the cars. The saloon, the car-stove, and the ice-water tank all had established themselves in the best cars, and were novelties to the visiting Englishman.

On the Illinois Central, between Cairo and Dubuque, some of the cars were filled with compartments in which the backs of seats turned up and so formed two tiers of berths or sofas, for the accommodation of persons who might wish to lie down and were willing to pay for the privilege. The passenger-car had attained a length of sixty feet, though the thirty and forty-five foot cars were more common; the baggage-cars, with their compartments for mail and express, were thirty feet long, and the freight-cars from twenty-eight to thirty feet. In those days the freight-cars were constructed more strongly than were the passenger-coaches; a Baltimore and Ohio freight-car twenty-eight feet long, and with a capacity of nine tons, itself weighed six tons.

In summing up the result of his observations as to the rolling stock in this country, Captain Galton notes that the Americans appear to have taken their ideas more from a ship than from an ordinary carriage, and to have adopted the form best calculated

to accommodate large masses, with a minimum of outlay for first cost; and that while the cars had been designed with a view to avoid every appearance of privilege or exclusiveness, or of superiority of one traveler over another, they had been constructed so as to secure to every traveler substantial comfort and even privacy.

"There is but one class," he said; "but as the cars are designed with more regard to comfort than English railway carriages, this class is much superior to our second and third classes, and is inferior only to the best first-class English carriages. Notwithstanding the superior comfort of the American railways, the rates of fare averaged lower than the second and sometimes even the third-class fares in England."

Of necessity progress in car building had to wait for the development of the railroads. The original roads were not constructed as through lines between the larger cities, but as the connecting-links between natural waterways, answering to the portages or carrying places of the old days when commerce was conducted in canoes. Often built as the result of local or State enterprise, a short line was sufficient to use up the scanty capital available, or to exhaust the willingness of the people to be taxed for public improvements. The great systems of to-day represent survivals of the fittest early ventures, and development according to environment. Thus the various small roads which traversed the present main line of the New York Central were not consolidated until 1853, and the same year the roads between Philadelphia and Pittsburg came under one control. So late as 1862 there were five separate companies operating the lines between Lake Erie and Lake Michigan; and as each road had a gauge of its own, it was regarded as a triumph in car construction when freight-cars of compromise gauge were built to run over all five roads. In 1869, however, the Lake Shore and Michigan Southern lines came under a single head.

When, in October, 1865, a combination was formed among eight railroads to establish a fast freight line between New York and Boston and Chicago, the maximum difference in the gauges of the several lines was one inch; and this was compensated for by a broad tread-wheel. Each company contributed a number of cars proportionate to its mileage, one car for every three (afterward increased to one for every two) miles. In 1865 the quota of the Lake Shore and Northern Indiana was 179 cars; while in 1894 that road's quota of Red Line cars was 2200.

In 1862 the United States government conducted the greatest railroad business known up to that time. With headquarters at Nashville, the government operated 1500 miles of road with 18,000 men, whose monthly wages amounted to \$2,200,000. The rolling stock consisted of 271 engines and 3000 cars. No entirely new locomotives were built, but the 3000 men employed in the locomotive repair-shops pieced out fully equipped engines founded on a serviceable boiler or a pair of sound driving-wheels.<sup>1</sup> Among the triumphs of the national car-shops were, first, a headquarters car for General Thomas, the car being fifty feet long, iron-plated, and provided with a kitchen, a dining-room, a sleeping apartment, and an office; and, secondly, the hospital-trains, in which the jars and jolts were reduced to a minimum. It was during the year 1864 that General McCallum and Colonel Wyman came to Detroit and summoned the managers of the Michigan Car Company to stop all building then in progress and to work solely for the government. They gave a contract for a number of box and flat cars to be operated on Southern roads; and inasmuch as the gauge differed from that of the Northern roads, the new cars were loaded on flat cars and sent to Cincinnati. The government officials fixed the price of the cars and made payment in certificates, some of which the company exchanged for materials, and the remainder were held until money could be obtained for them.

The enormous transportation business developed by the war, together with the labor conditions and the paper-money issues, combined to raise the price of cars; so that the standard freight-car of 1864, a car twenty-eight feet long and with a capacity of ten tons, cost \$1000 or more. To-day a car thirty-four feet long, with a capacity of thirty tons, and provided with automatic couplers, air-brakes, and other improvements, can be purchased for about \$500.

When the war ended the managers of railways were called on to face a heavy decline in both freight and passenger traffic, due to the disbanding of the armies. Money was not plenty, cars were very expensive, and the mania for extending lines into new territory had begun. Under these conditions the roads began a system of borrowing cars from the builders or from car-trust companies. My impression is that the Michigan Car Company was the first to make contracts on a car-loaning basis; be that as it may, this company had at one time

<sup>1</sup> "Development of Transportation Systems in the United States," by J. L. Ringwalt (1888), p. 210.





JAMES McMILLAN.



loaned to railroads between 6000 and 7000 cars, payment being made according to the car's mileage. With better times and better credit the roads began to buy cars for cash or on long time, as was most convenient; and loaning freight-cars to railroads on a mileage basis practically has been discontinued. A majority of the refrigerator-cars, however, are still owned by private parties, and are run on a mileage basis. The recent reduction in the mileage rate from three fourths to three fifths of a cent has practically killed the business of private ownership, since the new rate does not much more than pay for the repairs.

The sleeping-car had its beginnings as early as 1838. The Baltimore "Chronicle" for October 31st of that year described one such car that had been put on the line between Baltimore and Philadelphia. The enthusiastic reporter related that the car had berths for twenty-four persons, and that for a small consideration the weary passenger might spend the six hours of travel between those cities as pleasantly as if he were asleep in his own bed. Nothing then seemed to be wanting except dining-cars, and those were promised for the near future—a promise, alas! not fulfilled for many a long year.

Twenty years later, in 1858, George B. Gates invested \$5000 in two sleeping-cars to run between Cleveland and Buffalo; but passengers could not be persuaded to use them. The same year the line between Toledo and Chicago was equipped with two sleeping-cars built by the Wason Company, of Springfield, Mass., and owned by Mr. Bates, of Utica, N. Y. These cars were fifty feet long, with sixteen sections in summer and fourteen in winter. When not in use, the bedding and curtains were stored in an end section; and a single wash-basin and one saloon furnished the toilet conveniences for the forty-eight persons the car was expected to carry. A sofa along the side of the car formed the lower berth, the middle one was hinged to the window-casing, and the upper berth rested on cleats fastened to permanent cross-partitions. It was while traveling in one of these cars, in 1858, that Mr. George M. Pullman began to plan the sleeping-cars that have revolutionized railway travel in this country, and are making their way in Europe, where comfort is less an essential to the traveler than it is in America.

In 1859 Mr. Pullman transformed two Chicago and Alton coaches into better sleeping-cars than any others; but it was not until 1863 that the *Pioneer*, the first Pullman, was placed on the road. The car cost \$18,000—an astounding price in those days.

It was higher and wider than most roads could admit, and it was not until President Lincoln's funeral that the roads between Chicago and Springfield narrowed their platforms and adapted their bridges so as to allow the *Pioneer*, carrying the funeral party, to pass over their lines. Shortly afterward General Grant's trip from Detroit to Galena, Ill., in the same car, opened those lines to the *Pioneer*. After that time progress was rapid. The Pullman Company was organized in 1867, and its success is too well known to need comment here. From the palace sleeping-car to the parlor and the dining-room car is a short step. But a long jump was taken in the vestibule, invented by Mr. Pullman in 1887, by which trains are made solid and the platform is robbed of the last of its terrors.

In the winter of 1868-69 the first Westinghouse air-brake was used on the Steubenville accommodation train running on the Pittsburg, Cincinnati, and St. Louis Railroad. The Pennsylvania road adopted it, and since the automatic feature was added, in 1873, it has come into almost universal use on passenger-trains, while by far the larger proportion of new freight-cars built are equipped with it.<sup>1</sup> In 1887 a train of fifty freight-cars made a triumphal tour of the great lines, and by repeated tests, under varying conditions, proved that the Westinghouse brake can stop a train in one tenth the space required by the hand-brake. In 1867 Colonel Miller placed his patent platform, buffer, and coupler on three cars building in the shops at Adrian, Mich.; and with great rapidity the dangerous old platform, with its loose link-coupling, disappeared. In 1860 the Post-Office Department began to demand more room from the railroad companies, and year by year the mail-cars were increased from seventeen to twenty feet in length, then to thirty-five, and finally to sixty feet. The "Fast White Mail" now requires two trains each way between New York and Chicago. Each train is made up of six mail-cars, and the second train leaves New York three hours before the first train reaches Chicago.

The interchange of cars among the various roads made it necessary to adopt standards in car construction, in order to facilitate repairs to cars when away from the home road. Some authority, too, was needed to settle disputes between roads, arising from charges for repairs; to investigate new brakes and couplers; and, in general, to keep the work of construction fully abreast of the times. The Master Car Builders' Association, organized in 1867, amply

<sup>1</sup> Out of 331,094 freight-cars fitted with train-brakes up to June 30, 1894, 315,729 had the Westinghouse brake.

fills this need ; and the reports of its annual meetings contain the latest word on all subjects relating to car building. Its arbitration committee also acts as a court of conciliation for the various roads.

Few railroads in this country build their own cars, most roads finding it cheaper to buy of car companies, and to confine their own work to repairs. There are some exceptions. The Pennsylvania Company, which is a large purchaser, in 1894 built 1963 cars to replace its worn-out and damaged equipment, besides repairing 66,437. The maximum capacity of the Pennsylvania shops is twenty-eight cars a day, or about one half that of the largest works not conducted by a railway company.<sup>1</sup> In June, 1894, there were in the United States 33,018 passenger and 1,205,169 freight cars, besides 39,891 cars used in the service of the roads, and also the privately owned cars. Of the freight-cars, 25.20 per cent. are fitted with train-brakes, and 27.23 per cent. with automatic couplers.

Prior to the panic of 1873 all the car-works were busy. That panic caused the failure of a large number of new railroads, which, in turn, forced into bankruptcy and eventual reorganization many car companies. From 1873 to 1879 the car-shops throughout the country were practically idle ; but with the revival of business in 1878-79 the car-works again became busy, and, with the exception of a slight dullness in 1883-84, did a large and profitable business until 1893. The effect of the recent busi-

<sup>1</sup> " Railway Car Journal," March, 1895.

<sup>2</sup> These figures are only for cars built by companies reporting their output, and the statements, therefore, are comparative.

ness depression on car building may easily be seen from the fact that in 1890, 103,000 freight-cars were built by fifty companies ; in 1893 the output of forty-three companies was only 51,216 cars ; and in 1894 the twenty-seven companies operating their plants turned out 17,029 cars. Fifteen companies that built 3000 freight and 300 passenger cars in 1893 built not a single car in 1894.<sup>2</sup> The seventh annual report of the Inter-State Commerce Commission is authority for the statement that the increase in the total number of cars during the fiscal year 1894 was but 4132, as against 58,854 in 1893. With the revival of business the car companies are again starting up. The average life of a freight-car being from fourteen to twenty years, at least 75,000 cars must be built each year to repair the ravages of time ; besides the cars required to make good the losses by accidents and for the increase in mileage and business.

The transportation of various kinds of products, such as live-stock, dressed meat, oil, and timber, has called into being cars especially adapted to each class of freight, so that scores of different kinds of cars are now constructed to answer the demands of the shippers. Within the past year electricity has been used as a motive power for both freight and passenger cars, and possibly in the future each freight-car will be equipped with an overhead trolley whereby it can move independently of the train on branch roads and for switching purposes. At all events, if the future is to be judged by the past, great changes in transportation are likely to come suddenly, and to secure wide-spread adoption in the minimum of time.

*James W. Tilton*





## CHAPTER XVIII

# AMERICAN SHIP BUILDING

THE revival of American shipping has been scarcely more than a hope of the American people for more than thirty years. By a revival of this industry is meant the reappearance, in frequent and constantly increasing numbers, of American-built ships for our commerce with other nations, rather than for our own internal or coast-wise trade. This has been a theme, and more or less a dream, for statesmen, capitalists, manufacturers, and all patriotic citizens. All have recognized that complete national independence without a merchant marine proportionate to our standing as a nation is impossible. All thoughtful citizens understand that, so far as our foreign commerce is concerned, we are to-day, as we have been for a long time, practically in subjection to the trade impulses of Great Britain. If England should place an embargo upon us we should be practically helpless—for a considerable time, at least—in our trade with other nations. All agree that American shipping should be revived. It is as to the best method of reviving it that we disagree. There can be no doubt that this disagreement has been a national misfortune.

The creation of a new navy, or, strictly speaking, the beginning of a new navy, and the recent building of two notable specimens of marine architecture for the transatlantic trade, have caused many persons to think, and a few to assert, that the revival has come already. Every one wishes that this were true. The fact is, these are simply indications of a revival of this splendid art and trade. We have shown most emphatically in the last ten years that we can not only build ships equal to the best of foreign construction, but actually superior to them, ship for ship, in finish and in results. Moreover, we have so wonderfully progressed in these ten years that we can now actually build ships at only a trifle more in first cost than the most progressive of foreign ship builders.

Nevertheless we cannot say truthfully that American ship building has revived. As a people we have risen to a height from which we can see the promised land. We have yet to enter into it. Except for the creation of this new navy, and for the insistence of such men as Secretaries Whitney and Tracy, of our Navy Department, that our war-ships should be entirely of American make, notwithstanding that at first they would cost more than if we had them built in England, the ship-building industry of this country for foreign trade would be practically paralyzed to-day. The most, therefore, that can be said is that we can now build our own ships, and that manufacturers are ready at any minute to enter upon the work. This of itself is a tremendous gain, and is the first step—the one of greatest importance, perhaps—toward the completion of our independence of all other nations. The situation is, therefore, one of promise.

Ship building began in this country in the earliest colonial times. It was fairly well established in New England in 1640. It began on the Delaware in 1683. The conflicts in Europe made it necessary for the early Americans to build their own vessels. The industry had its vicissitudes, like the colonists themselves, for a century. In 1740, however, New England had no less than 1000 sail in the fishing trade. In 1770 Massachusetts built nearly one half of the American ships. At the beginning of the Revolution the American tonnage amounted to 398,000. It comprised nearly one third of Great Britain's entire tonnage. Philadelphia had then come to be the leading center of the industry here. The trade of this country was then largely with the West Indies, and Philadelphia was a most accessible port for the products of those islands.

In 1793 Philadelphia built double the number of ships that any other place in the United States furnished. In 1800 the tonnage of American shipping was put down at 669,921. The War of 1812 caused

a sharp decline, but in 1815 there came a great revival. It dropped in 1820, and recovered somewhat in 1830. In 1835 it went lower than for any other year of the century, but forthwith there came the greatest time of prosperity in the industry, which culminated in 1855. The tonnage for representative years of these periods is recorded: 1820, 47,784; 1830, 58,094; 1835, 46,238; 1845, 146,018; 1850, 272,218; 1855, 583,450.

Then came the decline for twenty years. It was about as rapid as the increase for twenty years had been. In 1855 we built 381 ships and barks, and 126 brigs. In 1875 we built 114 ships and barks, and 22 brigs. In 1885 we built 11 ships and barks, and no brigs. We built no steamers for foreign trade. The last census showed that there were more than 1000 ship-building plants in the United States. Most of them were small affairs. They were occupied in building all sorts of craft for our own waters, chiefly for the large landlocked commerce of our lakes, and, of course, scarcely enter, so far as their product is concerned, into a consideration of the revival of American shipping as it is commonly understood.

There should be little need to recount the causes for the decline in this country of this noble industry. Nature intended us to be a seafaring people, and for eighty years we were such. In the beginning of this century we not only surpassed other nations in the quality of our ships, but we could build them cheaper than England could build her vessels. We had splendid forests and hardy, fearless sailors. Year by year we increased our output in this industry, so that when the decade from 1850 to 1860 was reached we were second in rank in this industry, and in 1860 so close to England that there was practically no difference between the two nations. Soon after the year 1840, however, England's forests had begun to show serious depletion. It became necessary, after a time, for her to import the greater part of her materials for building ships. Tools were then invented for the working of iron for ship building. She had plenty of iron in her hills, and forthwith the iron ships began to appear, slowly at first, but none the less surely and steadily.

There was no such incentive in this country for iron ships, the feasibility of which had been demonstrated for forty years or more. Our forests were still plentiful and close at hand. Our experience with wooden ships had been profitable. The industry was increasing all the time. There was little need for a drastic change in our system of manufacture. The gold fever was upon us, and the tide

of immigration was sweeping to our shores in a mighty current. There was no time for any change in our methods, even had we been inclined to make one. From a fleet of 201,562 tonnage in 1789, we had grown to a fleet of 5,353,868 tonnage in 1860. In the latter year, the entire tonnage of the whole British empire was only 5,710,968. Truly an impressive showing was ours.

The Civil War came. For a time our shipping showed no marked decline. Then it began to go down. The Confederate privateers, built in England, began to sweep the seas. American ships with hundreds of thousands of tonnage sought the English flag for protection. Year by year we built fewer ships. When the war ended we had practically ceased to be a maritime nation. We were at the threshold of a magnificent interior development of our own country. Our capitalists could not begin to furnish the money needed in this work. We had to go to England, even as we have been doing in recent years, to borrow money to build the intricate and amazing network of our railroads. New methods had come into the ship-building industry. The business had become revolutionized. England had taken full advantage of her opportunity. She had fostered the industry by placing her government work in private yards. Her plants had been established on a broad scale, and a resulting cheapening in cost of production had followed. The United States was out of the race. Her forests near the coasts were depleted. When we built our first battle-ship, the *New Ironsides*, in 1863, the timbers used in her were cut within twenty-five miles of Philadelphia. The great interior development of the country had swept all such forest supplies away. Labor was costly. This made the product of our iron-mines most expensive, and as a people we found that one of the results of the great Civil War was the destruction of our shipping industry, and, deplorable as it well may seem, and not yet fully understood by all our people, we were commercially dependent once more upon Great Britain. The rising cloud of our internal prosperity hid this from the eyes of most of our people, but fact it was and is nevertheless.

England had become mistress of the seas. With an eye single to her commercial interests—at once the explanation of all her statecraft—she resolved to maintain her supremacy. To-day she is as resolute in her purpose as she was thirty years ago. Her shipping is the sign whereby she conquers in the mercantile world. It is the standing proof of her national prowess and independence. With keen

foresight she resolved that this conquering industry should not become stagnant. She enrolled certain of the steam-craft in the reserve force of her navy, paying the yearly rate of twenty shillings per ton to their owners. She established liberal subsidies for carrying the mails. She recognized that a ship carrying the British flag was something more than the private property of the individual owner. The nation had a share of ownership in every such vessel.

Recognizing that this country could never have complete national independence without a merchant marine, American capitalists in 1870 decided to make a start in bringing about a revival. Four vessels were built for the transatlantic trade in the Cramp shipyard. They were the *Ohio*, *Indiana*, *Pennsylvania*, and *Illinois*. They were equal to any vessels of their day, and a credit to the industry and to the nation. England met their advent with increased subsidies. The American vessels had no such aid, and had to fight their way in commercial rivalry. It was not a winning fight. Ship building here was confined thereafter to building coastwise vessels. The industry sank to such a low stage that when, in 1882, we started to build a new navy, the English newspapers scoffed at the idea that we could produce either hulls or engines. They finally admitted that we could build the hulls, but for us to make the complex modern marine engine was out of the question. Congress gave the Secretary of the Navy power to get abroad what he wanted in this respect, but Messrs. Whitney and Tracy resolutely refused to take advantage of the privilege.

When we started in this work of building a navy we had no mills in which to roll the plates, no foundries to make the great castings, no forges to fashion the shafts and gun forgings, no plants to supply our armor. It had taken England thirty years or more to equip herself with these appliances. What have we done? In ten years, practically, we have gone to the front. Our marine engines and boilers are and for years have been confessedly the best in the world. Not one of our new war-ships has broken down when put to a test of four hours' work at its maximum power, and none has been injured in the slightest by such an enormous trial of endurance. On the contrary, no English war-ship has been equal to such a task. The English experts freely admit that we have won supremacy in this respect. Our ships are acknowledged to be superior in finish. There is one simple explanation for this: workmen in American shipyards get nearly double the wages of workmen in English shipyards, and a

better-paid man always does better work. Our designers have made distinct advances over their English competitors. The *Indiana* class of battle-ships proves this. With vessels only two thirds the size of the English *Royal Sovereign* class, the *Indiana* class has a greater fighting capacity and as much speed and endurance. Moreover, the recent trial of the *Indiana* herself demonstrated that she was a signal success in the one respect where English ships fail oftenest, the matter of stability. Lack of stability has been the crowning fault of foreign battle-ships. No steadier ships will float than these new battle-ships of ours.

In addition to all this, we have produced the two fastest war-ships of large size in the world, the *Columbia* and *Minneapolis*. England became aroused by their appearance, and she answered our success by ordering two vessels of stupendous dimensions, the *Powerful* and *Terrible*, for the sole purpose of outclassing them. The creation of this new navy has stimulated ship building in many yards. On the Pacific coast, in New England, in Maryland, even on the Mississippi River, as well as on the historic Delaware, we have proved our ability to compete with all the world in the making of ships of every kind. Our mills and forges and foundries cannot be surpassed anywhere, and a striking triumph of our skill is shown by the fact that Russia has recently placed two orders for armor in this country, to the exclusion of all the plants of Europe.

Our skill had become so thoroughly demonstrated that three years ago American capital, encouraged by legislation providing for a moderate compensation for carrying the mails,—much less than that which England pays for the same work,—decided to make another start in the revival of our merchant marine. We admitted two vessels to American register,—the *New York* and *Paris*, of the International Navigation Company's line,—upon the express condition that two more vessels equal to them in size and capabilities should be built in American yards. Congress guaranteed a payment of \$4 a mile to these ships for carrying the mails to foreign countries, upon the condition that they should show themselves capable of maintaining a sustained speed of twenty knots an hour. As a result of this the *St. Louis* and *St. Paul* were built, and in October, 1895, the mail-carrying contract went into effect. The *St. Louis* and *St. Paul* have shown, in the short time they have been in service, their splendid worth; and the hearty reception given to them by the entire country speaks well for the patriotism of the American people, and is of itself a most hopeful sign. The

*St. Louis*, on her official trial in Great Britain, made an average of twenty-two knots an hour.

This, then, is the condition of our ship building to-day. In ten years we have built, in round numbers, fifty most creditable vessels for the new navy, and two fine specimens of ocean-going passenger-craft. The reports of the Navigation Commissioner show, as is pointed out by Mr. Chamberlain in another chapter of this work, that, of the ten leading countries of the globe, Italy and the United States alone show a decline in this industry since 1875. The tonnage of Great Britain for 1895 is placed at 27,885,806. That of Germany, now the second maritime power, is 4,065,282. The United States comes next, with a tonnage of 3,261,982, a decline in twenty years of nearly 1,000,000 tons. In twenty years Germany has increased her tonnage nearly 3,000,000 tons. Perhaps an incident in the experience of a young woman who several years ago made a spectacular trip around the world for a New York newspaper will illustrate the extent of the decline of American shipping better than any set of figures. The last instructions given to this young woman were to make note of the number of times and the occasions on which she might see the American flag on vessels during her journey. When she came back she reported that not once did she see a vessel flying the American flag from the time she left New York until she reached San Francisco. Nothing more need be said, therefore, to show the complete prostration of this industry, notwithstanding the fact that we have built the nucleus of a new navy in ten years, and are now in a position to build ships of any kind and any speed within the limits of recognized possibilities.

The great question, therefore, is, How shall our merchant marine be restored? With no desire to manifest a controversial spirit in these pages, I think every one who has studied this question agrees that national legislation of some kind is necessary. On the one hand, some assert that the repeal of the navigation law passed December 31, 1792, is necessary. This act specifically closed American registry to foreign-built ships, except those taken as prizes in war. Its repeal would give us free ships. We could buy vessels, if this act were removed from the statute-books, at English prices. On the other hand, those who oppose the repeal of this act assert that what is needed is government aid similar to that which England and most other nations give to their shipping industries. These advocate the adoption of one or all of three kinds of government assistance. The first is special compensation to special lines of

steamships; the next is a general bounty on tonnage to all ships; the third is a liberal compensation to our vessels, according to size and grade, for carrying the United States mails.

Now, eliminating any question of partisanship in discussing this matter, I think that no one will dispute that probably the most powerful incentive to the growth of the shipping of Great Britain has been this matter of government aid. It will also be admitted by all those who have examined the question historically that our law of 1792 was intended to promote our national independence rather than to foster an industry by a protective system. In those days the industry needed no protection, because it was admitted, and had been proved beyond any doubt, that we could build ships cheaper than any of our rivals. In 1789, James Madison, then a member of the House of Representatives, said that our capacity for increasing the tonnage of our ships "gives us a favorable presage of our future independence." Moreover, there is conclusive proof that this navigation law did not interfere with the growth of our shipping. It has been in effect from the day it was passed until now. When we were at the height of our prosperity in shipping the law was in actual operation, just as it is to-day, in the time of the prostration of this industry.

It would seem, also, that we all ought to agree that if this law were repealed these things would happen: England, under our natural desire to buy as cheaply as possible, would unload her poorest vessels on us, and her shipyards would reap a benefit in an enormous activity in building new vessels for her own use. A new market would be opened for the relief of the over-developed English shipyards, now sorely languishing because other nations are beginning to build their own vessels. It ought also to be admitted that in time of war England would be able, by a series of sales easy to accomplish, to transfer her merchant marine to the American flag, and thus escape the terrible penalty that must befall her in case she should enter into conflict with any other nation. Her immense shipping is a perpetual bond upon her not to engage in warfare. If she could make an asylum of the American flag temporarily she could resume control of her shipping when hostilities were at an end.

As to the effect on the shipping industry of this country, it is generally conceded that the repeal of the navigation law would wreck the industry as at present organized here. Those who favor this plan see no reason why the government should foster any single industry. Such vessels as England produces

she could build cheaper than we could build them. The argument that our yards would be kept busy with repair-work and building ships for the coastwise trade would fail, because repair-plants are of an entirely different character from constructing plants. If we could import ships for the foreign trade we ought to have the same privilege for our coastwise trade. A discrimination between the two kinds of trade would be absolutely unjust to our mercantile interests. Again, if we could get our ships at English prices, we should be confronted by the fact that England, to retain her supremacy, would doubtless continue to insist on her liberal policy of government aid to her ships, and to hold her own would probably increase that aid at once. It is difficult to see how, under these circumstances, we could compete with her in the commerce of the world. By unloading her least desirable vessels on us she would have better ships, and these, with favoring legislation, would place us at once under a disadvantage.

It is for this reason that the advocates of government aid have declared for a so-called bounty system in this country. We use this system in our inland commerce extensively. We pay large sums every year to the railroads for carrying the mails. In that case we call it a compensation. It is called a "bounty" when we give such aid to ships. Why should subsidies of land be given to the great railroads and not to the ship-building interests? Enormous grants of land have been allotted by the government to the great railway companies, and these very roads, fattened on government patronage, are now giving the preference of business at their terminals to foreign bottoms, to the exclusion of American ships, as is the case at Pensacola, Newport News, New Orleans, and on the Pacific coast. All the advocates of a general tonnage bounty, if such a term is to be used, declare that within ten years after the passage of such a law we should be practically independent of every nation in the matter of ships. Many such bills have been introduced in Congress, but there seems little prospect at present that any such law will be passed. Three years ago we did adopt a scale of compensation for American vessels carrying the mails to foreign countries. The contract has just gone into effect. It requires from two to three years to build ships such as the *St. Louis* and *St. Paul*. The post-office authorities at first reported that the new law seemed to have little effect. By special legislation the *New York* and *Paris* were admitted to American register, and now, for the first time in our history, we are to have an actual trial of

the effect of this kind of government encouragement of our shipping industry.

The system is to run for ten years. What the result will be time alone will tell, but this much can already be said: it has added to our naval reserve fleet four magnificent specimens of marine architecture, capable of immense use in time of war as commerce destroyers. The money paid to them for carrying the mails is much less than it would cost us to keep actual war-ships of that grade in commission. It would take only a short time to equip them as war-ships, and plans for that purpose have already been drawn. If a general tonnage law cannot be passed, we are assured of a fair trial of the mail-carrying compensation system. Already in the building of the *St. Louis* and *St. Paul* it has had some effect. It is doubtful if this system of itself will be sufficient to restore the ship-building industry. The fact that our capitalists are willing to try the experiment is most encouraging.

If, however, the matter of government aid, as now constituted, should fail, the future is not entirely without hope. The period of enormous internal development of our country seems to be ending. Our railroads are practically built; our mines are developed. The time for amassing great fortunes may be said to be past. Only in the line of the development of real estate do opportunities for making large fortunes seem to remain. In all grades of mercantile interests there will be close competition. Nevertheless the country has accumulated a vast amount of wealth, and it is beginning to seek investment. The fact of the appearance of the *St. Louis* and *St. Paul* is proof of this. As time goes on it must be that our wealth will increase. As the margin of profits on present investments grows less, new fields will be opened. If it can be shown that a reasonable profit will follow investments in ships, slowly but surely the industry will revive without the stimulus of government assistance. This must needs be a matter of extremely slow growth.

By the creation of a new navy our shipyards may be kept in condition to build this new merchant marine, if it shall come within a reasonable time. Naval work alone, however, is not sufficient to restore our shipyards to complete efficiency. At best there is very little profit in government work. It is surrounded by such a system of slow and intricate inspection and approval, of rigid rules and regulations, that rapid work is impeded, and freedom to make changes in the legitimate line of development of the industry is prevented. Then, too, government work is intermittent in character. Although

it is inadvisable to fix a set program of naval development, owing to vast and constant changes that are being made in this branch of warfare, it is a fact that to keep the ship-building industry as at present constituted at its fullest capability there should be a steady and comprehensive advance movement in adding to our fleets. The argument that it is not the province of the government to stimulate any single industry to the exclusion of another and to the private benefit of individuals loses its force when we consider that a merchant marine is necessary to the commercial independence of any country with extended sea-coasts.

It is a fact that cannot be disputed that so timid is capital that it will not invest in ships unless the flag they carry is assured of complete protection by a navy. England's naval policy is to be interpreted alone on these lines. A navy capable of maintaining the dignity of a nation is not a constant menace to peace. It is the best guaranty to the development of commerce that any nation can give. It means, under proper conditions, the prophecy of a merchant marine. The steady development of a well-defined policy in naval construction, therefore, means the maintenance to a certain extent of ship-yards which will be ready to build a merchant marine as soon as there are war-ships in sufficient quantity to protect it, and money and government aid sufficient to start it.

Under present conditions, therefore, the future is one of promise. It may be several decades before our flag is even partially restored to the high seas. The revival of our merchant marine must surely come in time if we continue in the rate of prosperity that has marked our development for the last thirty years. It will come sooner if liberal aid is given

by the government. So complex are the subsidiary industries in the present condition of building ships that the revival will affect not only capitalists along the coasts and elsewhere, but will employ a vast army of men in the interior as well as along the seaboard. The probable completion of the Nicaragua Canal will cause, undoubtedly, an immense stimulus to American commerce. Whether those who oppose the system of government aid on general principles, owing to their views as to the proper function of a nation, are right or not, is it not worth considering if it would not be well for especial reasons to be ready to carry this coming commerce of the United States in American ships? Once started on the road to prosperity, who that knows the character of the American people can doubt the result?

A fine specimen of marine architecture is always a standing lesson in patriotism. It is required to display the flag of its country. As it passes from port to port it is more than a mere floating vehicle for commerce. It is a bit of its nation's soil. Around its existence and its journeyings the romance of travel and the dignity of nationality center. No other manufactured thing is so complex or delicate. It tells a story of national progress such as nothing else can tell. It speaks of home to the citizen in foreign lands. It means prosperity for those at home and abroad; for every vessel added to the fleet of any nation means more commerce, more trade. No patriotic citizen should relax his efforts to secure a revival of this industry in this country in some form or other. We have the mills, we have the men, we are just beginning to have the money, and we have the materials in rare abundance. The situation calls for the wisest statesmanship, the loftiest patriotism, the noblest effort.

*Chas. H. Cramp*







CHARLES H. CRAMP.





## CHAPTER XIX

# THE TELEGRAPH

THE first real manifestation of telegraphy as an applied art dates from just one hundred and one years ago, and to Claude Chappe, a Frenchman, is due the discovery of it and its possibilities. It was a visual telegraph or semaphore that Chappe invented, and for the better part of a half-century afterward it was the only quick mode for communicating at a distance that Europe knew. An ingeniously contrived signal-code and perfected mechanical appliances made this semaphore-telegraph not only most useful, but very rapid, a despatch traveling at the rate of from fifteen to twenty miles a minute on the main lines. It was introduced in France in 1794, and, after the populace had destroyed the signal-towers several times, it was finally completed in time for the first message sent over it to be the thrilling news of a French victory. "Conde is taken from the Austrians," came the signaled words from the frontier within three or four hours after the event, and Paris went wild. Chappe was as great an idol as he had before been an object of hatred, and his telegraph became the wonder of the day. Europe followed France in 1802 in introducing Chappe's idea, and England shortly afterward, in 1823, made use of it at home and in India. It was, in fact, the common telegraphic system of the world up to the time when the invention of the electric telegraph upset all previous ideas of human limitations.

The germ of the idea which came, in Chappe's hands, to full development was first seen in the signal used by the Americans during the Revolutionary War. This consisted of a barrel on the top of a high pole or mast, on which was, furthermore, a movable yard or arm to which a basket was attached. To each of the different positions of this arm a meaning was given, and signals could be sent many miles by these means. While it is certain that Chappe never saw this contrivance, the similarity of its elementary design with that of his telegraph gives

them a direct connection. The semaphore-telegraph was in use, with an elaborate system of signals, in this country for many years prior to 1850. It was the means for communicating news of incoming ships from the Highlands of New Jersey to New York, where the signal-tower was located in the dome of the old Merchants' Exchange, now the custom-house.

Before entering upon the detailed history of the modern telegraph, a brief diversion will be necessary. No fitting idea of the glorious successes it has attained could be conveyed were the earlier discoveries and experiments in electrical phenomena to be omitted. Electricity is to the telegraph as steam to the motive engine or gravity to the universe—the force that makes it possible. The discovery that amber (from the Greek name of which the word "electricity" is derived) became electrified under friction is an old one, but the reduction of this discovery to anything like scientific analysis or classification only dates from about the middle of the last century. In the list of those whose discoveries have borne the most important relation to the development of this wonderful science the names of Americans are at the head. Europe reverences the glory of Galvani, Volta, Oersted, Arago, Ampère, and Steinheil, while England vaunts her Cooke, Wheatstone, and Bain; but above them all are written the names of Franklin, Henry, and Morse.

It was in 1747, the year after the discoveries which developed the Leyden jar and the principle of the restoration of electric equilibrium, that Benjamin Franklin first interested himself in the phenomena of electricity. A letter from Peter Collinson, fellow of the Royal Society of London, to the Literary Society of Philadelphia, of which Franklin was a member, interested the latter, and he then began by his reply that interesting series of letters, continuing for many years, in which he laid down, and later proved, so many propositions, since be-

come axiomatic, but totally at variance with the accepted European theories of that day. In 1749 he declared electricity and lightning identical, and in June, 1752, proved it by the celebrated kite sent up during a thunder-storm. Franklin was succeeded in America by Professor Joseph Henry, in after years connected so prominently with the Smithsonian Institute. At the time when this distinguished savant was commencing his researches, and just before, great discoveries were being made in Europe. Coulomb in 1785 laid the foundation of electrostatics. Galvani, of Bologna, in 1790 discovered by accident that metallic connection between the crural nerve and the legs of a frog caused convulsive action. He ascribed it to animal electricity, and all the physiologists of Europe adopted his theory. The electricians, however, doubted, and in 1800 Professor Volta, of Pavia, demonstrated beyond a doubt that the effect produced was through electricity generated chemically. In proving this he brought out the voltaic pile, which was the first the scientific world knew of any electricity other than static or frictional. On this discovery of Volta, affording, as it did, a current electricity, together with the subsequent discovery of electro-magnetism by Professor Christian Oersted, of Copenhagen, in 1819, is based the electric telegraph of to-day. The voltaic pile, to which improvements were early made by Cruikshank, Daniell, Smee, Bunsen, Grove, Chester, and by many others since, is the battery of to-day; and Oersted's electro-magnetism, in the hands of Schweigger, Arago, Ampère, Sturgeon, and finally Henry, has afforded the electro-magnets, giving the principle on which were based the old English deflecting-needle telegraphs and the present Morse instruments.

These discoveries in electrical science, the latest of which was in 1825, left the field free for the pioneer who should carry forth the telegraph. Many had already essayed this honor, but the man and the time were not yet in conjunction. So early as 1749 Franklin had sent a current through a long wire across the Schuylkill, and in 1753 Charles Marshall, of Paisley, Scotland, had proposed a telegraph with a wire for each letter.

Among the many who have originated forms of electric telegraph are an Englishman named Lomond, who in 1787 is said to have operated a short telegraph line on his front lawn; Reizen, who in 1794 invented the illuminated-letter telegraph by the application of the broken current; Salva, a Spaniard, in 1798, who used electrified pith-balls; Samuel Thomas Sömmering, who in 1809 first

applied the current from the voltaic pile to telegraphing; Ronald, in 1816; Gauss and Weber, of Göttingen, who brought out the magnetic-movement mirror and glass in 1833; and Steinheil, who in 1838 discovered the "earth-circuit," which did away with the previously supposed indispensable return-wire to bring the current back to the battery. Steinheil also invented a system of telegraphy, and ran his wires on poles with insulated attachments. Across the Channel, William Fothergill Cooke, having invented a magnetic-needle telegraph in 1836, associated himself with Professor Wheatstone the succeeding year, and introduced his invention to general use. The needle-telegraph in various and improved forms, and Bain's electro-chemical telegraph, continued to be the ones used in England up to a late date, and were supplanted by the Morse system only when the latter became practically universal.

Of the early telegraphers there is one whose name, too nearly forgotten, had almost been written before that of Morse on the roll of fame. This man was Harrison Gray Dyar, and the evidence is strong that so early as 1827 he had erected and operated, upon a certain Long Island race-track, a telegraph line strung upon poles with glass insulators. This telegraph communicated signals by the discoloration produced by the electric current upon a piece of moving litmus-paper, which had been previously moistened. Dyar used only frictional electricity, and was therefore unable to attain results so eminently successful as those of inventors after 1835, who could apply the wonderfully improved device of the Daniell cell in supplying their current. An attempt made by Dyar to introduce his telegraph to general use encountered intense prejudice, and, becoming frightened at some of the manifestations of this feeling, he left the country.

Meantime, while all these claims were advancing, the one preëminently great invention was rapidly maturing on this side of the Atlantic Ocean. In 1832 the transatlantic packet *Sully*, bound for New York from Havre, had on board among her passengers a distinguished historical painter named Samuel Finley Breese Morse. In the long evening talks in the passengers' cabin the subject of electricity and the electric current was brought up one night. A well-known professor of sciences, Dr. Jackson, made the statement that an electric current would manifest itself at the distant end of a conducting wire instantaneously. The remark, made in the course of conversation, impressed Professor Morse deeply, and going to his state-room, he commenced work on

the application of this space-annihilating current to the transmission of intelligence. Before the *Sully* reached her dock the thing was accomplished—in the inventor's mind, at least; and certain drawings and explanations made by him at that time, and sworn to by the captain, were later produced before the Supreme Court during the suits by which the validity, scope, and priority of the Morse patents were fully confirmed.

On landing, Professor Morse constructed his first machine, making the type himself for his famous alphabet, which stands to-day as the most wonderful piece of cryptography ever devised. Lack of funds was a great drawback to the inventor, both at this time and for many years to come; but in November, 1835, he successfully exhibited his telegraph in a large room of the New York City University, transmitting a message through a long wire. Among those who witnessed this first exhibition of the electric telegraph were Leonard D. Gale, D. Huntington, O. Loomis, and Robert Rankin. The following year the invention was on public exhibition in New York, and in February, 1837, when Congress passed a resolution requesting the Secretary of the Treasury to report upon some method of electric telegraphing, the claims of Morse were strongly presented, and in April, 1838, the Committee of Commerce of Congress made a unanimous report of the most favorable tenor upon the Morse invention. The chairman of this committee, Hon. Francis O. J. Smith, characterized Morse's telegraph as the "most wondrous birth of this wonder-teeming age." So impressed was Mr. Smith with the great possibilities of the telegraph that he resigned his seat as a member of Congress and purchased a quarter interest in the Morse rights. The other members of Mr. Smith's committee, whose names appear signed to the unanimous and earliest indorsement of the value of Professor Morse's discovery, were S. C. Phillips, Samuel Cushman, John I. de Graff, Edward Curtis, James M. Mason, John T. H. Worthington, William H. Hunter, and George W. Toland.

The recommendation of this committee to the contrary notwithstanding, Congress refused to appropriate the \$30,000 asked by Morse to construct an experimental line. Mr. Smith and Professor Morse accordingly sailed for Europe to attempt its introduction there. Their mission proved a failure, patents being refused them in England on the ground that a partial description of the Morse system had been published. In France a patent was issued, only to be withdrawn. Returning to

this country, Professor Morse received his letters patent in June, 1840, based on the specifications of his application in April, 1838. In 1842 he again presented his invention before Congress, asking an appropriation of \$30,000. The House promptly passed it (see report on the debate, p. 461 of Prime), but the session dragged along and the traditional delay of the Senate kept the bill from reaching a hearing. On the last night of the last day of the session, March 3, 1843, Professor Morse waited in the Senate corridors until late in the evening, when, believing his cause hopeless, he returned to his hotel almost broken-hearted. Had he but known it, one of the last acts of the Senate during the very last hour was to take up the Morse appropriation. Singularly enough, no dignified questioner arose to ask for information concerning the bill, which would have required time and so proved fatal to it, but it was straightway passed, and early the next morning the news was brought to Professor Morse by Miss Annie Ellsworth, to whom the overjoyed inventor then and there promised the honor, which she afterward enjoyed, of sending the first message when the line should be completed.

The condition under which Professor Morse received the \$30,000 was that he should use it in the construction of a line of electric telegraph from Baltimore to Washington. He immediately commenced work on this line; but his early efforts were wholly useless, owing to a serious mistake in his plans. He projected a subterranean line, and for this purpose two copper wires covered with cotton and gum lac were drawn through a lead tube. A deep furrow was then made with a heavy plow, and the pipe laid as far as the relay-house, nine miles from Baltimore. (See Cornell's account in the "Biography of E. Cornell.") It was then discovered that an earth-circuit was formed and the wires refused to work. The greater part of the appropriation having been thus unsuccessfully expended, Professor Morse was in great trouble; but finally, by withdrawing all the wire from the miles of lead pipe and stringing it on poles above-ground, the line was completed in May, 1844, and on the 27th of that month the first despatch, "What hath God wrought!" flashed over the wires from Washington to Baltimore, being sent by Miss Annie Ellsworth, as long before agreed. Professor Morse's manipulating assistants at this trial were Mr. Alfred Vail, who in 1837 had invented and patented a printing-telegraph, and Mr. L. F. Zantlinger. The electro-magnets used on this line weighed 185 pounds, and for some time after this Professor Morse believed

that the wire used in winding them had to be of the same size as that on the line itself. The present fine-wired, compact, and portable electro-magnets, weighing less than a pound, and allowing a man to carry a telegraph office in his pocket, so to speak, were not dreamed of at that early day. This line was also opened with the primitive system of combined circuits, as first proposed by Professor Morse in obviating the difficulties arising from lost strength in the current on long distances. He speedily saw a better way to accomplish this result, however, and in that same year began the experiments which in 1846 were crowned with success, and developed the short circuits and relays which made possible the great main lines and uninterrupted communication of to-day. In 1844 he also invented the "key" which is still in use. Without attempting the purely scientific and technical aspects of telegraphy, we will study at more length the practical and utilitarian application of it to the world of American business and every-day affairs.

The experimental line opened from Washington to Baltimore with the \$30,000 appropriated by Congress having proved practical, it was declared ready for public business on April 1, 1845. Alfred Vail was the Washington operator, and Henry J. Rogers occupied a similar position at Baltimore. The tariff was one cent for four characters, and the first four days saw just one message transmitted. Thus did the American people welcome the facilities of the electric telegraph. About this time Professor Morse offered his interest in the invention to the government for the ridiculously low price of \$100,000. A brilliant Postmaster-General, however, who saw no value in the invention, saved Morse the loss he was so willing to incur; so other means had to be resorted to in bringing it before the public. The proprietors of the patent at this time were Morse, Vail, L. D. Gale, and F. O. J. Smith. The latter struck out alone, taking the New England States for his field, while the other three, having selected Amos Kendall, formerly Postmaster-General under President Jackson, as their agent, took the remainder of the country. Kendall devoted himself particularly to the South and Southwest, although it was early decided to have the first line run from Washington to New York. In carrying out this plan it was decided further that the first link should be constructed from New York to Philadelphia. The excitation of the public interest in the undertaking, and the consequent raising of capital, were intrusted to Ezra Cornell and his brother-in-law, O. S. Wood. These two opened a small

office on Broadway, where they set up their instruments; and having obtained with great difficulty permission to run a short wire over the neighboring roofs, they began exhibiting the telegraph. Interest was roused but slowly, however, and capital was apathetic.

The sum needed for the construction of the line from New York to Philadelphia was \$15,000, and it was only after the greatest difficulty, and the granting of two shares for every one paid for, that it was finally raised. There were about twenty-five subscribers, and to them was issued \$30,000 in stock, while another \$30,000 went to the patentees, making the total capital stock \$60,000. The company was organized under the name of the Magnetic Telegraph Company, and its line was completed from Philadelphia to Fort Lee on January 20, 1846. The first New York office was at 16 Wall Street, and later it was moved to Post's Building, behind the Merchants' Exchange. The first clerk was Charles S. Bulkley, and messages had to be sent across the river by messengers, either for delivery or transmission. The attempt to cross the North River by cable failed in this year. Later a detour of 105 miles, by which the line went up the Hudson and crossed on high masts at Anthony's Nose, proved a failure. Various attempts to lay a cable were made, but success was not achieved until February 12, 1856, when S. C. Bishop, the New York manufacturer, provided an armored cable insulated with gutta-percha. The Magnetic Telegraph Company formally organized on January 14, 1846, by the election of Amos Kendall, president; T. M. Clark, secretary; A. Sidney Doane, treasurer; and B. B. French, John J. Haley, John W. Norton, John O. Sterns, William M. Swain, and J. R. Trimble, directors. The line was extended to Baltimore, June 5, 1846, on an issue of \$10,000 more stock, and later to Washington. Its cash receipts during the year 1846 amounted to \$4,228.77. Six years later, even with the handicap of competing lines, its annual receipts amounted to \$103,641.42, which indicates the increasing public favor shown to the telegraph.

In the decade that followed 1845 and the first telegraph, companies started and wires ran over the country at an almost magical rate. Henry O'Reilly, one of the most energetic promoters and builders this continent ever produced, started westward, leaving his lines of wires behind to mark his course. From Philadelphia to Pittsburg he ran the Atlantic and Ohio Telegraph Company, capitalized at \$300,000, and completed December 29, 1846.



THOMAS T. ECKERT.





From Pittsburg to Louisville he built, in 1847, the Pittsburg, Cincinnati, and Louisville Telegraph Company's line. It was over this wire that, in 1847, using a House machine, O'Reilly sent the first despatch ever transmitted by the printing system. Still further did O'Reilly go, notwithstanding the fact that a bitter legal battle was raging between himself and F. O. J. Smith for the Morse patentees, who claimed O'Reilly had infringed on their rights. From Louisville he boldly struck out for New Orleans via Nashville, and with a branch to Memphis. This line was incorporated as the People's Line, and was completed in 1849; but it was unsuccessful from the start, and nearly ruined O'Reilly. It was later consolidated with the Ohio and New Orleans Telegraph Company; the two organized, January 6, 1860, as the Southwestern Telegraph Company, which was absorbed by the American prior to that company itself being taken in by the Western Union. Among the other early telegraph lines were the following:

EARLY AMERICAN TELEGRAPH COMPANIES.

NAME.	DATE OF ORGANIZATION.
New York and Boston Magnetic Telegraph Co.	1845
New York, Albany, and Buffalo Electro-Magnetic Co. . . . .	1847
Lake Erie Telegraph Co. . . . .	1847
New York State Printing Co. (House line) . . . . .	1848
Ohio and Mississippi Telegraph Co. . . . .	1848
St. Louis and New Orleans Telegraph Co. . . . .	1848
New York State Telegraph Co. (Bain line) . . . . .	1849
New York and New England Telegraph Co. . . . .	1849
American Telegraph Co. . . . .	1849
Illinois and Mississippi Telegraph Co. . . . .	1848
Erie and Michigan Telegraph Co. . . . .	1849
New York and Erie Telegraph Co. . . . .	1849
Cleveland and Cincinnati Co. . . . .	1847
Maine State Telegraph Co. . . . .	1848
Vermont and Boston Telegraph Co. . . . .	1848
New York and Washington Printing Telegraph Co. . . . .	1848
North American Telegraph Co. (Bain line) . . . . .	1848
Washington and New Orleans Telegraph Co. . . . .	1846
Western Telegraph Co. . . . .	1848
Ohio, Indiana, and Illinois Telegraph Co. . . . .	1849
St. Louis and Missouri River Telegraph Co. . . . .	1850
Northwestern Telegraph Co. . . . .	1856
Western Union Telegraph Co. . . . .	1851

These companies, with the branch lines represented by them, comprised the bulk of the capital invested in the telegraph of the United States prior to 1855. The Magnetic Telegraph Company, as the oldest and for many years one of the most successful, was the first to perceive how essential uniformity was to an economical and at the same time improved service. Under President William M. Swain this company made many advances and also many concessions to other companies to bring about this condition of affairs. To several of the Western and Southern lines it leased wires, thus allowing them to compete for through business. To give

itself equal opportunities it leased the Washington and New Orleans lines in 1856, the Western Telegraph Company's lines, including the Marietta and Cincinnati branch, in 1858, and, under the Supreme Court decision upholding the Morse patent rights as against the Bain electro-chemical telegraph, it absorbed the North American Company.

The second great seaboard line and power for consolidation was the American Telegraph Company, with the history of which the greatest telegraphic undertaking ever known—the transatlantic cable—is connected. In 1850 some thoughtful writer pointed out that St. Johns, Newfoundland, being the port for the speediest arrival of European steamships, ought to be the center for the telegraphs of America, in order that the earliest foreign news should be obtained. Acting on this hint, Mr. F. N. Gisborne in 1851 incorporated the Newfoundland Electric Telegraph Company. A short cable was brought from England, but the attempt to lay and operate it was unsuccessful. In 1854, Mr. Gisborne, having sunk all his property in the venture, came to New York seeking capital. He was introduced to Cyrus Field and laid the proposition before him. Field not only grasped the idea, but he carried it further—to its very end, in fact; and then and there he determined that the transatlantic cable should be laid. He interested in the project his friends Peter Cooper, Marshall O. Roberts, Chandler White, and Moses Taylor, and on May 6, 1856, the New York, Newfoundland, and London Electric Telegraph Company was incorporated, with a capital of \$1,500,000. Both this government and that of England made valuable concessions and grants to the company.

In 1856 the cable to Newfoundland was successfully laid, and October 31st of that same year the first transatlantic cable was ordered from Messrs. Newall & Company, and Glass, Elliott & Company, of London. This cable was composed of seven small twisted copper wires, surrounded by gutta-percha covered with tarred hemp, and inclosed in an iron armor of eighteen cords of small wire. During this year the U. S. S. *Arctic* and H. M. S. *Cyclops* took soundings along the proposed route for the cable. The United States and England each placed two vessels at the disposal of the company for the purpose of laying the cable. The United States ships were the *Niagara*, carrying one half the length of cable, and the *Susquehanna*, which acted as a tender. The English ships were the *Aganemmon*, having the other half of the cable, and her consort, the *Leopard*, acting as a tender. The shore end of

the great cable was landed from the *Niagara* at Ballycarberry Strand, in Valentia Bay, Ireland, August 5, 1857, and two days later the fleet started slowly away for the distant shores of Newfoundland. The first three days all went well; but on the 11th, late at night, there was a sudden jar and shock, and the cable was found to be broken. Three hundred and eighty miles of it had been laid. The fleet returned to England, and the remainder of the cable was stored at Keyham docks for the winter. More cable was provided, and on the 10th of June the succeeding summer the same little fleet left Plymouth, this time for mid-ocean, it having been determined to start both ships, paying out simultaneously. This plan was tried, and twice the cable parted before more than a short distance had been traversed. The third time 142 miles were paid out before a break finally occurred. This time the vessels failed to meet each other, and so returned to Plymouth. Having thus got together again, a last attempt was determined upon, and on July 29th it was made and was successful. Almost simultaneously the two vessels reached the shore and landed the cable, on the afternoon of August 5th, the *Niagara* at Trinity Bay, Newfoundland, and the *Agamemnon* at Valentia Bay, on the Irish coast. Two thousand and thirty-six miles of cable had been laid, and on August 16th the first message was flashed under the ocean, from the Queen to the President of the United States. From the first this cable suffered from defective insulation, and amid world-wide grief it finally gave out, September 1st, after having grown steadily weaker from the moment it was first tested.

The connection of this the first transatlantic cable with the inception of the American Telegraph Company may not at first be seen; but it is direct, nevertheless, and to one who knew the late Cyrus Field and his character, it should be clear. Mr. Field from the first believed fully in his cable project, and, so believing, he was far-sighted enough to recognize the importance of a system of land telegraphs connecting the cable with the great centers. For this reason, when David E. Hughes, who had just invented an excellent printing-telegraph, was introduced to Mr. Field's notice, that gentleman was easily induced to purchase the idea, and despite the fact that the transatlantic cable was still high and dry ashore, he secured the incorporation of the Boston and New York Printing-Telegraph Company. Besides this company others were organized at this time, notably the East and West and the Troy and Boston. The Commercial Printing-Telegraph Com-

pany gradually replaced these, and when the American Telegraph was incorporated, May 30, 1858, with \$200,000 capital, it had no difficulty in leasing this latter, together with other Eastern lines, such as the Maine State Telegraph Company. The extension of the American Telegraph Company from this time was rapid, and in 1865, when the *Great Eastern* made the third, and unfortunately fruitless, attempt to lay a cable, this company controlled nearly every line on the seaboard east of the Hudson. On July 1, 1866, its \$4,000,000 capitalization being replaced by an issue of \$12,000,000 of Western Union stock, the American was quietly absorbed into that company.

Scarcely a month and a half later, on August 16th, the Anglo-American Telegraph Company, the successor of the various other cable companies, succeeded in laying a cable from the *Great Eastern* which has worked ever since. The failure of the attempt made by the same ship the previous year was also mitigated shortly after this by the supposedly lost cable being found, grappled, brought up, spliced, and successfully laid.

These momentous events in the story of transoceanic telegraphy were being duplicated on land, however. Five years before the cable of 1866 was even wet by salt water a transcontinental telegraph line was flashing the stirring news of that warlike time from Washington to San Francisco. Hiram Sibley is the man to whom much of the credit for the accomplishment of this great feat is due. So long before as 1857 he had become possessed by the idea of the feasibility of this undertaking, and had proposed it to the directors of the Western Union Company. They were conservative, and a transcontinental telegraph was no light thing in those days. Nothing discouraged, Mr. Sibley laid his idea before Congress, and obtained from that body in 1860 not only indorsement, but liberal concessions as well. Armed with these, Mr. Sibley secured the coöperation of the Western Union, and the Pacific Telegraph Company was organized. The California State Telegraph Company, learning of the plan, agreed to take a share in it, and a company was organized there to build the line as far as Salt Lake City, which was to be the Western end of the Eastern constructors. Everything seemed propitious, and work was begun.

The public fully expected that two years was the minimum time in which the line could be completed, and many well-informed people believed it would take longer. The surprise of the country can be imagined, therefore, when just four months and

eleven days from the time work was commenced the lines met and were joined at Salt Lake City, and the first through message sent. This was November 15, 1861. Since then the telegraph across, around, lengthwise, or breadthwise of the land has stretched its threads of steel. The blank refusal with which the New Jersey Transportation Company met the request of grim old Amos Kendall to run the first wires of the Magnetic Telegraph Company along their roadway was modified a year or two later, when the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad granted the first of such permissions; and to-day the railroad and the telegraph are seen to be inseparable. The insignificant sum—less than \$5,000—which represented the first year's receipts of the old Magnetic Company has grown to dimensions where even millions have to be reckoned in hundreds.

Prior to 1866, the year that saw the transcontinental line opened, the many companies and small lines divided the business of the country into so many channels that the totals are not obtainable. The advance of system and uniformity through consolidation brought comparative order out of this confusion, and in 1866 figures were made up giving the total wire mileage of the American telegraphs as 75,686, covering an actual line distance of 37,380

mated for the country at large. There were 22,909 people employed in the telegraph business by all the companies.

In the year ending June 30, 1895, the figures for the Western Union Company had reached dimensions scarcely conceivable as the result of a single half-century's improvement. From a total wire mileage in 1883 of 462,283, it had increased nearly 100 per cent., the total in 1895 being 802,651 miles. These wires represented a line length of poles and cables of 189,714 miles, joining in one complete and organized system of communication 21,360 offices. The number of messages transmitted during the year was 58,307,315, or forty per cent. more than in 1883. The expenses of the company in transacting this business were \$16,076,629, leaving a profit of \$6,141,389. This return for one year's business is a wonderful contrast to that modest little sheet which set forth the first annual balance of the old Magnetic Telegraph Company. The gradual advance by which this tremendous volume of business has been rendered possible is best shown in the following table, giving the mileage of lines operated, number of offices, number of messages sent, receipts, expenses, profits, and average tolls and cost per message, for selected years since 1866.

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH COMPANY, 1866 TO 1895.

YEAR.	MILES OF POLES AND CABLES.	MILES OF WIRE.	OFFICES.	MESSAGES.	RECEIPTS.	EXPENSES.	PROFITS.	AVERAGE TOLLS PER MESSAGE.	AVERAGE COST TO CO. OF MESSAGE.
1866....	37,880	75,686	2,250	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	...
1870....	54,109	112,191	3,972	9,157,746	\$7,138,737.96	\$4,910,772.42	\$2,227,965.54	75.5	51.2
1875....	72,833	179,496	6,565	17,153,710	9,564,574.60	6,335,414.77	3,229,157.83	54	35.2
1880....	85,645	233,534	9,077	29,215,509	12,782,894.53	6,948,956.74	5,833,937.79	38.5	25.4
1885....	147,500	462,283	14,184	42,096,583	17,706,833.71	12,005,909.58	5,700,924.13	32.1	24.9
1889....	178,754	647,697	18,470	54,108,326	20,783,194.07	14,565,152.61	6,218,041.46	31.2	22.4
1895...	189,714	802,651	21,360	58,307,315	22,218,019.18	16,076,629.97	6,141,389.21	30.7	23.3

miles. There were 2250 telegraph offices open. By 1870 the figures had increased to 112,191 miles of wire, 54,109 miles of line, and 3972 offices, which were doing a business annually of 9,157,646 messages. The year 1880 found an equally marked gain. There were 253,534 miles of wire, 85,645 miles of line, and 9077 offices, while the number of messages annually transmitted had increased to 29,216,509. Six years later and the growth was astounding in its rapidity: 217 telegraph companies existed throughout the country, 20,899 offices were ready to receive or transmit messages, and 671,002 miles of wire, covering 226,308 miles of line, were at the service of the operators. Of this great total the Western Union Company was the chief quantity; 462,283 miles of its wires were included in the 671,002 esti-

The aggregate assets of this company are \$125,966,171, and the capital stock outstanding is \$95,370,000, of which \$550,000 was added during the last year for the purchase of the lines and property of the American Rapid Telegraph Company.

To these statistics, in estimating the whole importance of the telegraph in the United States, must be added the business done by the Postal Telegraph-Cable Company, and a few small telegraph systems in various parts of the country. I have at hand no particulars of the amount of that business, but it would, perhaps, be fair to say that the total telegraph receipts in the United States for the year 1895 amounted to about \$25,000,000.

The important part played by the telegraph in the development of the world's commerce is so self-

evident as to need little demonstration. Facilities for rapid transit such as we have to-day both on land and water would of themselves have accomplished much, it is true, but they would suffer a serious diminution of their usefulness were the vastly more rapid transmission of intelligence impossible. A grain broker in Chicago who had only the railroads and the Atlantic liners as carriers for his queries and the return information would be obliged to wait two weeks at the very least before he could hear from London. Business methods to-day prohibit such delays. The buyer in California must have instant communication with his New York house, which in turn must be equally well aware of what its foreign agents are doing. The telegraph and the cable permit this. In 1840 the total exports and imports of the United States amounted to but \$221,927,638. The year the first telegraph line was built, and a year later, showed the totals even less, \$219,224,433 being their estimated amount. Since then, while each decade has seen improvement except the one which included the disastrous Civil War, the subjoined summary will show the added impetus given to commercial enterprise, first in the decade between 1845 and 1855, when the telegraph lines of the country sprang into prominence, and secondly in the period between 1865 and 1875, when the transatlantic cable became of every-day use.

EXPORTS AND IMPORTS, 1845 TO 1895.

YEAR.	TOTAL EXPORTS AND IMPORTS.
1845 .....	\$219,224,433
1855 .....	476,718,211
1865 .....	404,774,883
1875 .....	1,046,448,147
1885 .....	1,319,717,084
1894 .....	1,547,135,194

These figures, significant though they are, still fail to show the greatest benefit accruing from the telegraph. This is in the money it saves. Every cause and every happening that affect the community, its business, its crops, its affairs, are instantly communicated to the farthest corner of the earth. Nothing need come as a surprise. The distant dealer is as well posted as the trader on the ground, and he operates accordingly, with an intelligence that saves millions every month. All this is in addition to the advantages obtained in social and family life through it, as well as in those occupations which are not primarily commercial.

Twenty-five billion dollars are to-day represented by the internal commerce of this great nation; \$1,500,000,000 more are included in our trade with foreign lands; a merchant marine with a carrying capacity of 3,261,982 tons now flies our flag; railways with a mileage of nearly 180,000, or one half the total mileage of the world, gridiron our continent; and a population more prosperous and more enterprising than that of any other country or time is pushing steadily onward. All these have come to fruition since the birth of the telegraph. With their advent and growth that of the great telegraph system of the United States is inseparably linked by the interdependence of a common cause and effect. Each has rendered the other possible. The end, however, is far from being reached; and when the wonders which one short century has worked are considered, the futility of setting limits to the progress of the future is but too apparent. The movement is all in advance, and daily improvements testify to its earnestness; but its ultimate results I must leave to others the chronicle.

*Thos. J. Eckert*





## CHAPTER XX

# THE TELEPHONE

THE word "telephone" in its original use was not applied to the transmission of speech by the use of the electric current. The word is much older than the art to which it is now exclusively applied. To an exhibition of the transmission of musical vibrations through solids, given by Wheatstone as early as 1821, he gave the name of "telephone concerts," and certain kinds of trumpets for signaling, used as early as 1845, were called telephones. Indeed, the name was at one time applied by the Germans to the common speaking-tube.

The effort to transmit sounds, and especially musical sounds, suggested the possibility, and perhaps encouraged the hope, of the transmission of articulate speech beyond the limits to which it may be transmitted through the natural medium of its propagation, the air; but the hope was not realized until the invention of Bell, described in his patent of March 7, 1876. In that patent were described and claimed a method of, and apparatus for, transmitting sound by means of an undulatory current of electricity. "This invention solved the problem, long labored upon by inventors and scientific men, of the transmission of human speech by the use of the electric current, and laid the foundation of the art of speaking-telephony, since widely introduced throughout the world."

In 1836, Dr. Charles G. Page, of Salem, Mass., an examiner in the Patent Office and an electrical inventor of note, while employing a rapidly interrupted electrical current produced by the ordinary vibrating spring-tongue circuit-breaker, found that if this intermittent current was passed through the coils of an electromagnet the latter gave forth a musical note the pitch of which corresponded to the rapidity of the interruptions; the law of acoustics being that after air-vibrations have become rapid enough to blend together as a continuous musical sound, an increase in their number per second raises the pitch of the sound. He published this discovery

under the name of "Galvanic Music." Although not utilized in the speaking-telephone, this served to attract the attention of many experimenters to the electrical production of sound.

In 1854, Charles Bourseul, of the French telegraphic service, suggested that the circuit-breaking tongue or plate might perhaps be vibrated by the air-waves produced by the voice of a speaker. Would the resulting sound at the distant receiver be articulation? He inclined to doubt it; but he said that our knowledge of the precise nature of articulate sound was too meager to enable us to answer that question *a priori*, and the subject was worth experiment. In the same year, "Didaskalia," a periodical of Frankfort-on-the-Main, published an abstract of Bourseul's article, and Philip Reis, a schoolmaster who lived at Frankfort-on-the-Main, then took up the subject. For his circuit-breaking transmitter he used the membrane diaphragm of the old lover's telegraph or string-telephone, so mounted as to make and break the circuit once at each vibration. For his receiver he employed Dr. Page's singing-magnet. He hoped to transmit speech, and his efforts attracted much attention. But he found that musical sounds or confused noises were all that came from his receiver, and in 1863, having perfected his instrument, he put it on the market as a musical telephone.

Reis's discoveries contributed nothing toward the speaking-telephone, unless it be the suggestion that the diaphragm of the lover's telegraph might be employed as a part of an electrical apparatus. Reis attracted attention to the subject, however, though, on the other hand, the failure of both Bourseul and himself after ten years of experiment must have been very discouraging to others. In 1862 Helmholtz published his great work on sound. In this he showed, by direct experimental proof, that each articulate sound was a composite, made up of a fundamental or principal tone which gave volume

and pitch to the whole, while the peculiar character, or, as it is technically called, "quality" or "form," which distinguishes one articulate sound and its air-vibrations from another, is due to the admixture of a considerable number of much feebler tones, called "overtones," of successively higher and higher pitch.

These materials—namely, the discovery by Helmholtz of what articulation is, and the proof by the experience of Reis that the only plan thought of for its transmission was a failure—were needed for the creation of the speaking-telephone. But they had been widely known for a dozen years without leading to that invention, when Alexander Graham Bell, son of an Edinburgh professor of articulation, and himself a teacher in Boston of articulation to deaf-mutes, brought them to bear with success on this problem. In his patent of March 7, 1876, Mr. Bell stated the well-known fact that an intermittent current, such as would be produced by a circuit-breaker, would reproduce musical pitch. Then he showed that a current which, instead of being interrupted, was caused to vary as sound-waves vary, could transmit and reproduce every kind of sound which sound-waves could convey, including vocal sounds and the utterances of the human voice. He defined this current as a current consisting of "electrical undulations, similar in form to the vibrations of the air accompanying said vocal or other sounds," whence it took the short name "undulatory current."

An early and noteworthy public exhibition of Bell's telephone was made shortly after the granting of the patent, before the judges at the Centennial Exhibition. One of these judges, a man of the highest scientific repute, Sir William Thomson, now Lord Kelvin, speaking to a fellow-scientist on the evening of that day, said of Professor Bell's invention, "What yesterday I should have declared impossible I have to-day seen realized." And later, addressing the British Association, after describing the telephone, he said, "Who can but admire the hardihood of invention which devised such very slight means to realize the mathematical conception that, if electricity is to convey all the delicacies of quality which distinguish articulate speech, the strength of the current must vary continuously, and, as nearly as may be, in simple proportion to the velocity of a particle of air engaged in constituting the sound?"

Bell's improved instrument, which was put into commercial use early in 1877, still remains the most perfect articulator in the world. But as all the electricity employed in it is such as the mere force of the voice itself generates,—the current so pro-

duced is usually reckoned as not over  $\frac{1}{100000}$  part of that employed on an ordinary telegraph line,—its sounds are feeble, its effects easily drowned out by disturbances, and the instrument is therefore not well fitted for ordinary commercial use as a transmitting-telephone, where the listener is in a noisy place, and the earth below and a network of neighboring wires are full of other and more powerful currents.

On April 14, 1877, Mr. Emile Berliner filed in the Patent Office a caveat, and on July 20, 1877, Mr. Edison filed an application, each of which described what we now know as the speaking-microphone. In this instrument the voice, acting to vary the pressure between two electrodes in contact with each other, molds the flow of electricity from a battery into Bell's undulatory, speech-bearing current. The microphone of Berliner, with the addition of carbon contacts, the value of which, as distinguished from metal contacts, was first discovered by Edison, has become the universal transmitter of the world. These inventions have been chiefly used in the United States in the form of the Blake transmitter, an instrument of beautiful organization and construction, devised in the summer of 1878 by Mr. Francis Blake, then, or not long before, in charge of the electrical determination of longitudes for the government. The receiving-telephone, made by Mr. Bell in 1877, still remains the preferred instrument for that purpose.

The telephone was naturally first used over a single wire connecting two stations; but the possibility of a wider use was immediately perceived, wherein a number of such wires, practically unlimited, should be so connected together that a person at any station of such a system could hold conversation with persons at any other station, and the "exchange" arose. The exchange was, naturally, at first confined, or substantially confined, to the municipal limits of single cities or towns. It spread rapidly, until in 1884 there was an exchange in every town or city of 10,000 inhabitants or over in the United States, and of course in many towns of smaller population. The connection of neighboring exchanges with one another by trunk-lines, whereby the subscribers in either exchange could talk with the subscribers in any other exchange of the group, naturally followed, and this in an ever-widening circle, until in 1892 it had become possible for the subscribers to the exchanges in the city of New York to talk with the subscribers to the exchanges in Chicago, and a little later the system of exchanges in New England was connected with New York, and thence to Chicago.





JOHN E. HUDSON.



The line from New York to Chicago was formally opened to the public on the 18th of October, 1892. The connecting of these cities, and the furnishing of apparatus for personal conversation between them, was such an addition to the facilities of business as, by a sort of common consent, to be recognized as a matter of public concern, and the formal opening was made by a conversation between the mayors of the respective cities.

As exchanges have grown and lines have been extended, new questions have suggested themselves and new difficulties have arisen. At the outset, and for a considerable time thereafter, one wire only extended from the central office to the premises of each subscriber, the ground being used to complete the electrical circuit, as in telegraphy. But this opened the door to an amount of interference from other currents,—the earth-currents, so called, and currents like those from electric cars, discharged into the earth,—which, owing to the extreme delicacy and sensitiveness of the telephone, seriously impaired the service, and often rendered conversation impossible. This difficulty has been overcome by the use of metallic circuits; that is, by using two wires to connect the central office with each subscriber's premises, and ceasing to use the ground as a "return." It was found, however, especially in the longer lines, that when a number of wires were strung on the same poles, or when such wires were paralleled by wires carrying electric light or power currents, there were produced—by a subtle sympathetic effect called induction—certain disturbances which confused the speech and often rendered it unintelligible.

This was overcome by changing the relative position of the wires in different parts of the line. As has been explained, each circuit consists of two wires. On each line of poles are a number of circuits. At certain measured distances, determined in accordance with rules deduced from theory and from experiment, each wire crosses over and changes places with the others. The plan is that just as much as one line influences another to generate these counter-currents on one part of the route, just so much shall another part of the same line influence another part of that same other line to generate counter-currents, but in a different direction, so that these "induced" currents shall exactly neutralize and destroy one another. If one will endeavor to think out how, in a long line of fifty or a hundred wires (for some of the larger routes carry that greater number on the poles), each wire can at frequent intervals be so transposed that each line shall thus, by balancing, protect every other one, and

shall be itself protected from every other, some idea of the difficulty of hitting upon a perfect plan will be realized. When wires are made up into cables the same result is obtained by twisting each pair of wires together, and then "laying up" these twisted pairs according to a rule which has been carefully studied out to accomplish the desired result.

There was still another difficulty, experienced on long lines especially. The telephone transmitter produces in that part of the line where it is situated Bell's speech-carrying variations of current. These consist of alternate increases and decreases of current exactly corresponding to the ever-varying sound-waves; and when these act upon the receiver the spoken word is reproduced. These changes, necessary for articulation, corresponding to what are called overtones, succeed one another, in telephony, at the rate of, say, 2000 to the second. Now it is found in a long line that this change of electrical condition takes place at the distant end with a certain sluggishness, so that before there has been time for an increase to fully manifest itself there, the succeeding diminution comes along. Thus the rise and fall of current at the end of a long line becomes so insufficient or so inaccurate that the spoken words are not clearly heard. This difficulty, which is due in part to other causes, is known as "retardation." In underground lines, as formerly constructed, the difficulties from both induction and retardation are increased from fifty to one hundred fold for equal distances. To meet the trouble from retardation the character of the lines must be changed, and this has been done.

What the change should be was by no means a simple matter to determine. Diminishing the surface area of the wire per unit of length lessened the evil of retardation, other things being equal. But when a smaller wire was used other things did not remain equal, because the smaller wire would not carry as much electricity per unit of time, and this aggravated the trouble. Proximity of the wires of one circuit to other wires increases the evil; close proximity of the wires to the earth enormously increases it. Wrapping the wires with any of the usual insulating coverings increases it. But the wires cannot be far apart on pole lines, and in a cable the wires must be embedded in an insulator, must be packed closely together, and must be laid under water or underground. The capacity of iron to become magnetized also unfitted it for use in telephony. Balancing all these evils, advantages, and necessities, the plan adopted has been to employ metallic circuits,—that is, two wires for each

set of instruments,—to use copper as the material, and to take very large wire for aerial or overhead pole lines, but, on the other hand, decidedly small wire for cables. The size of the wire for overhead lines varies with the length of the line. Thus, while the copper wire used between New York and Boston weighs 172 pounds to the mile, wire weighing 435 pounds to the mile is used between New York and Chicago, so that each of the several metallic circuits uniting Boston with Chicago contains more than a million pounds of copper.

As wires have multiplied there has been a strong public demand that they should go underground, at least in the more thickly settled portions of the larger cities. A beginning on underground work was made in 1884. On the 1st of January, 1885, there were 1225 miles of wire underground, and on the 1st of January, 1895, 149,592 miles of underground wire, in some sixty cities. As already stated, the difficulties experienced from retardation and induction are greatly increased in underground work, and hence the length of buried conductor that can be used is limited.

Experience having made manifest the difficulties which have been detailed, and the remedies having been learned, they were at once applied. But before they were learned much work had been done, and to bring this up to the proper standard a very general rebuilding was entered upon, not only of lines, which had to be changed from iron to copper and converted into metallic circuits, but also of switchboards and other apparatus.

As there has been improvement of lines, there has also been a steady improvement of apparatus, and the result is that it is now possible from any properly appointed station to talk north and east to Augusta, Me., north to Concord, N. H., Buffalo, and Milwaukee, west to Chicago, and south to Washington, Cincinnati, Nashville, and Memphis; and of course to the principal cities intermediate. This system of telephonic intercommunication is by far the most extensive in the world. It may be interesting to note that within that territory live and do business something more than one half of the whole population of the United States, so that it is hardly a figure of speech to say that one half the people of the country are within talking distance of one another.

The development and present extent of the telephone business are clearly shown by an examination

of its statistics. On January 1, 1881, there were in use in the United States, for telephone purposes, 29,714 miles of wire. Ten years later, January 1, 1891, the wire mileage had reached 331,642; and on January 1st of the present year it had grown to 577,231. During the current year there has been a further increase, bringing the total above 600,000 miles.


It will be remembered that the electric speaking-telephone became known in the spring of 1876. On December 20, 1877, 5187 had gone into use in the United States. Ten years later the number had increased to 380,277. The number in use October 20, 1895, was, approximately, 660,817.

On January 1, 1881, the total number of exchange subscribers was 47,880. On the same date in 1891 this number had grown to 202,931, and on January 1st of the present year it had still further increased to 243,432.

Statistics as to the number of connections or conversations by telephone between exchange subscribers date back to 1884 only. During 1884 it was 215,280,000, the yearly rate being based on daily use. January 1, 1895, the estimated number of exchange connections daily in the United States, made up from actual count in most of the exchanges, was 2,088,152, or at the rate of about 670,000,000 per annum. Not only has there been an increase in the number of subscribers to the telephone, but there has also been a steady increase in the average daily use by each subscriber. The average number of calls per subscriber per day was, in 1885, five and one half; in 1895, eight and one half.

With these statistics it will be interesting to compare the statistics of the larger features of the business as it has been established in the principal foreign countries. There are in the United States about 250,000 subscribers. The British Isles, with more than half our population, have less than 75,000. France, with a population of 38,000,000, has but 25,000 subscribers, or about as many as New York and Boston combined. Germany makes a better showing, having 90,000 subscribers in a population of 50,000,000; but this is less than one half the number she should have to bring her up to our standard. Austro-Hungary, with 40,000,000 people, has but 20,000 subscribers; and Russia, with over 108,000,000 inhabitants, only 9000.

John E. Hudson.



## CHAPTER XXI

### THE EXPRESS

THE familiar picture of the old-fashioned stage-coach and horses standing in front of an ancient tavern, ready to transport passengers and merchandise to some distant place, with the driver perched high on the first seat, and seemingly conscious of his individual prominence as the conductor of a very essential method of conveyance, quite clearly brings to view the manner in which the general intercourse of this country was chiefly transacted during its early years; and it particularly suggests, through the personality of the driver, the means by which small parcels were sent, and the various errands or commissions he performed, for they were then customarily intrusted to that channel of communication between localities. The vessels then engaged in the carrying trade along the coast and on the lakes, rivers, and canals likewise afforded a further method of transportation between districts which were more readily accessible by water than by land, and to the masters of such vessels were confided duties similar to those required of the stage drivers.

Such methods sufficed until there came into operation a series of railways, which, with their greater speed and convenience, necessarily displaced the stage-coach lines; and the obligations theretofore assumed by the stage drivers were naturally transferred to the conductors of the railway trains. Many of those conductors had been stage drivers, and they were employed by the railways because of their general acquaintance with the people, and their familiarity with traffic between the cities and towns. The advent of the railways had given an unusual impetus to the commercial relations of the country, so that, on the opening of a through route by water and rail from New York to Boston, the merchants, bankers, or others who wished to send small parcels enlisted the services of not alone the railway and steamboat employees, but the assistance of their friends traveling between those cities; for New

York and Boston were then two of the most important places in the country, their interchange of business was large, and no opportunity was neglected to secure its prompt transaction. The general demand thus made upon the time of the railway and steamboat employees ultimately necessitated a division of their labors; and eventually they were required to make a choice between acting as agents of the public or as servants of their respective companies.

One of the earliest railways to enforce this distinction was the Boston and Worcester Railway, of Massachusetts. That road had in its service a conductor by the name of William F. Harnden, who was one among the many conductors employed by it and the public as agents in the transaction of their various interests. Harnden thought best to sever his relations with the railway, came to New York in 1838, and met James W. Hale, then proprietor of a reading-room in Wall Street, which was largely attended by merchants and travelers. With him Harnden discussed the advisability of separating from the general railway traffic the business of carrying parcels and fulfilling orders, and converting it into an individual enterprise. Harnden's previous experience in a similar respect enabled him to perceive a fair opening for his own benefit and for that of the public in the establishment of an independent service between New York and Boston; and, with the encouragement of Hale, the express business, as now conducted, then and there had its conception.

Acting on that determination, Harnden promptly effected arrangements with the railroad and steamboat companies forming the through line via Providence, and on February 23, 1839, published advertisements in the New York and Boston newspapers announcing that on March 4th ensuing he would begin personally to conduct an "express" service between the two cities, which service would embrace the purchasing of goods, collection of drafts, notes, and bills, and the carriage of small parcels. The

trip was made from Boston to New York as outlined, and was then followed by a regular service three or four times a week.

Thus the first express, actually known by that name, had its birth. And here it should be stated that, although Harnden was first to start an express between Boston and New York, there were at the same time others engaged in a similar occupation throughout New England, having been attracted to that new field of industry by the opening and extension of railway lines. Among those who then embarked in the business was Alvin Adams, who came from Vermont to Boston early in 1840, and shortly afterward determined upon the introduction of a route between Boston and New York, via the Norwich line. Adams duly advertised his purpose, and on May 4th in that year began the express which, under his name, has since become so widely known.

In a short time the express routes between New York and Boston had attracted considerable attention, their facilities were regularly utilized by the general public, including the financial institutions of both cities, and the transportation companies cheerfully assisted in their operations, for the enterprise had relieved their employees of extra labor, and materially added to their revenues, besides taking from them a large amount of responsibility. The readiness with which the services offered by Harnden and Adams had been accepted, and the confidence displayed in intrusting to them valuable packages and large sums of money for transmission, are particularly noteworthy facts, as those men at the inauguration of the business were almost unknown in mercantile affairs. It was evident they had no financial resources with which to meet losses to property in their care, and their only stock in trade consisted of the special privileges which each had obtained from the railroad and steamboat companies for the transaction of their business; but they soon earned a reputation for efficiency and integrity that was aptly described in the proverbial phrase, "with the promptness and fidelity of an expressman."

The success of those lines naturally led to the formation of others, and from 1840 to 1845 express routes were opened from New York to Albany, Philadelphia, Baltimore, Washington, Buffalo, Pittsburg, Detroit, Chicago, Cincinnati, Louisville, St. Louis, and New Orleans, connected with which were such other expressmen as William B. Dinsmore, Henry Wells, Edwards S. Sanford, Samuel M. Shoemaker, Johnston Livingston, and William G. Fargo.

At that time there were few railroads in the East, and none beyond Pittsburg; and transportation be-

tween prominent localities in the West was almost wholly conducted over the great waterways of the Ohio, Mississippi, and Missouri rivers, with their tributaries, which included canals then recently completed in several of the States to connect those rivers with the lakes. These formed the most frequently traveled routes of communication between the West and the East, and the express was duly established thereon. Within the next few years railroad lines were rapidly constructed throughout the country, and by them the express was likewise carried, so that its scope was thus steadily enlarged in all directions. The great trunk-lines which now cover the United States had not then been projected, and such railroads as were at that time in operation consisted of local and independent routes, widely scattered, and without connection except that which might be had by steamboat or stage. The expressmen, observing the necessity for through and continuous facilities from point to point, however distant, arranged to give the public that very essential service; and in bridging these intervals they for a time called themselves "forwarders," in analogy to the forwarding business as theretofore conducted, and which had been the receipt and delivery of merchandise between two carriers not otherwise connected.

The important manufacturing interests, as well as the largest firms, principally located in the Eastern and Middle States, were during this period forwarding supplies for the country in general by railroad freight and by vessel—such supplies being most frequently sent to large cities, particularly in the South and West, for further distribution; but with the inauguration by the express of continuous lines, those shipments were made directly from point to point, so that the outlying sections of the country, which had not theretofore had any considerable business relations with the important cities, were brought into close touch with them. In then endeavoring to increase its business the express naturally became not only solicitor, purchasing agent, and forwarder, but was, in a degree, responsible for any commercial credit that might thus be extended through its influences. The express also undertook the carriage of letters; and the public, quick to appreciate such service, very promptly availed of it in preference to that of the mail; but the venture met with opposition on the part of the government, and was ultimately abandoned.

Soon after the discovery of gold in California in 1848, when great numbers of people went there to assist in developing the resources of that region,—in which the whole country was interested,—the express



LEVI C. WEIR.



readily anticipated their necessities for prompt and reliable commercial intercourse with the East by opening agencies in San Francisco, and at the various mining camps on the Pacific coast, for the transmission of packages, money, and gold-dust, and for the transaction of a banking business.

For several years just previous to 1854, the tendency of the principal expresses had been toward consolidation of interests, as it was believed that much better, more prompt, and less expensive service could be rendered by such association. Accordingly, in that year, through the efforts of Adams, Dinsmore, Sanford, and others, the routes of Harn-den's Express, the lines of several minor concerns in the Eastern States, and those on the steamers running from New York to Charleston, Savannah, Mobile, and New Orleans were combined with the express of Adams & Company, under the title of the Adams Express Company. Alvin Adams became president, William B. Dinsmore vice-president, and a board of directors was formed, of which Edwards S. Sanford, Samuel M. Shoemaker, Johnston Livingston, and others were members. In this year, also, Wells, Livingston, Fargo, and Butterfield, through a similar incorporation of lines extending from the East, via Albany, Buffalo, and the lakes, to the far West, organized the American Express Company, with Henry Wells as president, John Butterfield vice-president, William G. Fargo secretary, Johnston Livingston and Alexander Holland directors, and Daniel Butterfield, James C. Fargo, and Charles Fargo superintendents. Likewise in 1854 the United States Express Company was formed by Kip, Barney, and Marsh, to operate an express over the then recently completed line of the New York and Erie Railway, and other routes extending farther into the West. D. N. Barney was made president, H. Kip became superintendent, and T. B. Marsh treasurer. About that time, also, Wells, Livingston, Fargo, Barney, and others introduced another express on the Pacific coast, under the title of Wells, Fargo & Company, to form a through connection, both overland and by water, with the East. During the next few years several expresses operated stage lines, and the famous "Pony Express," between St. Louis and San Francisco, Wells, Fargo & Company, however, being the most prominent among them; and in 1858 that concern, through an association with such lines, formed the Overland Mail Company, which until the completion of the Union Pacific Railroad exclusively carried the United States mails between the Missouri River and the Pacific coast. In 1855, under the title of the

National Express Company, there were organized several express routes which had been operated between New York, Albany, Troy, Saratoga, Whitehall, Rutland, and Montreal. D. N. Barney was made president, J. A. Pullen general manager, and E. H. Virgil superintendent. Some time thereafter, Johnston Livingston and L. W. Winchester, previously identified with other companies, became active in its management.

These consolidations of routes, which connected the principal sections of the country and brought together in a common enterprise such bright and energetic men as those mentioned were known to be, laid the foundation for the thoroughly organized service of the express as it exists to-day. The express had then become a recognized necessity in the commercial and individual transactions of the country; its lines had ramified in every direction, until nearly the whole United States was traversed by them; it had attracted to itself sufficient capital to place it on a firm financial basis, and obligations to insure the safe and speedy transmission of merchandise, valuables, and money were readily assumed, so that when loss or damage did occur, due reparation was promptly made; and it is current history, extending from that time until to-day, that whenever goods or valuables in the care of the express have been tampered with or stolen, it has been swift, sure, and untiring in its pursuit of the offenders until adequate punishment was effected.

In 1861 the Southern Express Company was organized to operate in the Southern States, and Henry B. Plant became its president.

Upon the breaking out of the War of the Rebellion the express was the only means of communication between the soldiers in the field and their friends at home. For certain of the States it acted as the gatherer of the soldiers' votes, and transmitted them to the capitals of such States. The new securities of the government, which were so largely purchased by our people, were forwarded by the government through the express—a choice made with full knowledge of the fact that the express afforded greater safety than the mail. The intercourse thus established was, at the solicitation of the government, continued after the war had ceased, and at its further request a contract was made with the Adams Express Company, acting for itself and the other express companies, by which the transmission of all the securities and moneys of the government was confided to the express. This function of the express was especially noted in the award which was made at the Columbian Exposition to the

Adams Express Company, and the testimonial concluded thus: "The Adams Express Company has, by the faithful performance of every trust reposed in it, and the discharge of duties devolving upon it, enlarged its business to the grand dimensions it now enjoys, and has achieved the enviable position of a pattern and guide for all similar corporations."

The further development of the express is remarkable for the introduction and perfection of a number of facilities necessary to meet the constantly increasing demands of our 70,000,000 people,—features of transportation and attendant services that are peculiarly its own,—and chief among which may be mentioned its wagon service, now to be found on almost every avenue or street of our cities, towns, and villages; and, in conjunction therewith, its employment of special cars or trains for transportation of express matter at high speed between the principal cities. It has to a great extent created the business of transporting varieties of game, poultry, fish, oysters, fruit, and vegetables to localities where they are not usually obtainable; it has originated a novel method of selling goods for merchants, by collecting on delivery the amount of the invoice and returning the cash to the shipper; it has improved the methods of collecting the proceeds of negotiable paper, and assumes therewith the responsibility of an indorser; it has created and affords the only efficient means for the safe transportation of moneys and valuables intrusted to it by the general public, the banks, the railroads, and the government, and, as indicating the general recognition of this specially important feature, it may be stated that during a recent year there were sent through the express \$2,500,000,000, and similarly shipped by the government \$1,500,000,000, making a total carriage of \$4,000,000,000 in money, no part of which was lost in transit; it has introduced at 40,000 agencies the express money-order system, which thus meets almost every citizen of the United States at his residence or place of business, and there affords him a handy and safe means for transmitting his money to any locality, such money-orders being universally convertible into cash—a convenience not otherwise obtainable, for postal money-orders are only purchasable and redeemable at large and important offices. This is an accommodation also impossible for the banks to render, as they are located at less than 8000 points. The express has improved the facilities for immedi-

ate transportation of foreign goods from the port of entry to destination, by accepting and carrying them under heavy bonds to the government.

These are some of the features of the express which distinguish its services from mere acts of transportation, and indicate that its facilities cover a much wider range of operations than originally designed, particularly such as are not afforded by any common carrier, and which necessitate the assumption of obligations and liabilities not contemplated by any other agency of commerce.


The great lines of railway communication are a necessary adjunct to the successful conduct of the express business, but they are an adjunct only. Were the express dissolved the railway lines could not supply the needs of the public. There is an interval between the act of transportation and the demands of the public which railway companies do not fill, and were not organized to fill, and which renders the express so essential to the general welfare of the community. The express, in its turn, is among the most efficient supporters of the railway systems; it purchases the right of transportation at wholesale, and sells it at retail to the public, at prices fairly remunerative and universally accepted.

In round numbers, the routes of the express now cover 200,000 miles of railroad, steamboat, and stage lines; the number of packages of merchandise annually carried is over 100,000,000; the number of money packages transported is 20,000,000; the number of money-orders issued is 7,000,000; it employs 50,000 men at 40,000 agencies, uses 15,000 horses and 6000 vehicles, and it has an aggregate capital of over \$60,000,000.

And now, when consideration is given to the prominence achieved by the express in the history of this country through the services it has rendered, not alone to the people at large, but to the United States government, there will be no hesitation in acknowledging that its usefulness may not be measured by any ordinary standard of comparison; it has constantly aided commerce by opening new markets for the sale, purchase, and distribution of the products and manufactures of the country, and has promoted individual communications and financial transactions to an extent not attainable by any other means; it is distinctively of American birth, and not elsewhere are there similar instrumentalities so combined in one efficient and complete system.







## CHAPTER XXII

# THE STREET-RAILWAYS OF AMERICA

IT is not necessary to turn back the pages of history a century to present a complete account of the inception and development of street-railways in the United States or the world. The first horse-car ever known appeared upon the street in New York as late as 1832, but the idea of conveying people in vehicles over iron rails was put to very little practical use until nearly twenty years later. The history of street-railways in America, therefore, is practically confined to the last half-century; and yet there are now in the United States nearly 1000 street-railway systems, with a total mileage of nearly 14,000, and a capitalization exceeding the enormous sum of \$1,300,000,000. These simple figures, of such magnitude as to be almost impossible of comprehension, are sufficient to indicate the growth and extent of the street-railway service of this country.

This extraordinary development of the idea, conceived by John Stephenson, of placing the wheels of an omnibus upon iron rails instead of dragging them over cobblestones, may be divided into three parts: First, street-railways operated with horses as separate organizations; second, the substitution of mechanical traction by means of a cable; third, the inauguration of electricity as a motive power, with all that the adaptation of this wonderful agency to practical uses conveys both for the present and the future.

Sixty-five years ago, there lived in New York a man who had served his apprenticeship and begun work for himself as a builder of carriages. He was only twenty-four years old. His name was John Stephenson. That he built strong and handsome coaches while engaged in that occupation is evidenced by the world-wide reputation which he subsequently acquired. That he was not content to pursue that occupation in the stereotyped manner of his predecessors is shown by the fact that before reaching the age of twenty-five he conceived the

idea of transporting passengers, as millions are transported to-day, over rails laid upon the pavements of city thoroughfares.

The immediate development of this conception was the inauguration, in 1831, of the New York and Harlem Railroad, which obtained a charter to operate a street-car line through Fourth Avenue in the city of New York. This road was constructed and opened in November, 1832, Stephenson building the first car drawn over the track. If a duplicate of that car should be made to-day, and placed upon the street of any city in the Union, it would attract no less attention than a Roman chariot. Prior to that time there had existed only two forms of public conveyance. One was the English railway-coach; the other was the American omnibus. Stephenson's car was a combination of the two. Outwardly it resembled the omnibuses used on Broadway until a few years ago, when they succumbed to the more convenient and comfortable street-cars. Its exterior was divided into three compartments, after the English idea, and it accommodated, when full, thirty passengers, or ten in each compartment, besides affording seats to perhaps a dozen more upon the roof. Over the second door was painted the name of the car, "John Mason," after the gentleman of that name, who was then the president of the new railroad, as well as of the Chemical Bank. Upon the panel of the first door appeared the words "New York"; upon the second, "Yorkville"; and upon the third, "Harlaem," then spelled in the good old Dutch way; and in very modest letters, upon one of the steps between the wheels of this extraordinary vehicle, "Stephenson Patent."

Although this first of all street-cars would probably seem to-day quite as ridiculous as the famous "one-hoss shay," it would be unjust to assert that it was not an exceptionally good beginning. Judging from the picture now before me, there certainly was

a dearth of springs; but it must be borne in mind that springs were not so common in those days as they are now, and that passengers were far less exacting. Moreover, the outward appearance of the car, although cumbersome, was certainly handsome. The upholsterings were also said to be of the finest material, and conducive to a sense of luxury. Altogether, therefore, it must be admitted that John Stephenson's first car, considered by itself, was a success. Practically, however, it proved a failure for the time being. Steam had just then begun to be used as a motive power, and all other agencies, including this wonderful car, were superseded by it wherever it could be employed to advantage.

In 1837 horse-car service on Fourth Avenue was abandoned for steam-cars, and was not resumed until 1845, and then in a very tentative and unsatisfactory manner. In 1852 a French engineer, named Loubat, revived the idea in New York city, and a road was constructed upon a portion of Sixth Avenue. During the next eight years about thirty roads for horse-car service were constructed in the United States. Of these probably the most important was the one built from Boston to Cambridge. The company which undertook this project made use of the old omnibus cars that had been used on Fourth Avenue in New York. As the traffic increased they afforded additional facilities by placing upon tracks the omnibuses which they had formerly used upon the road from Boston to Cambridge. It soon became apparent that the new form of conveyance was destined to achieve general popularity, and one improvement after another was adopted until there were produced really very comfortable and attractive cars, exactly balanced upon the best of springs and handsomely finished, such as are in use in all of the large cities of to-day.

Aside from the personality of the inventor there is little that is not commonplace in the history of street-cars operated with horses. They served their purpose as a process of development, but that was all. As a rule, they were operated by separate companies over short lines, and afforded comparatively little convenience to the public. The transfer system, which has since attained such great importance in the large cities, was unknown, because of the separation and, in many cases, antagonism of the various companies. The owners of the roads were not progressive, and instead of endeavoring to afford the public the best possible accommodation, they exerted every effort to obtain the largest revenue from their properties. This short-sighted policy produced the inevitable result of popular dissatisfaction. Never-

theless, there soon appeared in New York a striking illustration of the fact that street-cars had become, and would continue to be, a most important factor in municipal life.

When the elevated railroads were built in this city, many people believed that the end of the surface car had come, but in reality the companies operating lines directly under the elevated structures suffered comparatively little loss even at the beginning, and within a few years they had regained their former traffic, which has since increased, year by year, until it is now larger than ever before in their history. The chief cause of this was undoubtedly the increase in population, but another, hardly less potent, lies in the improvement of service, the change of motive power, and a natural tendency of the public to prefer surface transportation to any other method, above or below. The first great change, and the first really progressive step in street-car service, however, came with the substitution of mechanical traction for horses, and this brings us to the second chapter of our history.

The first cable railroad in the United States was a direct result of physical conditions in the city where it was constructed. If all cities in the country had been built upon marshes and bogs like Chicago, St. Louis, and New Orleans, or even upon moderately level ground, like New York, Philadelphia, and Boston, it is entirely within the range of probability that strands of wire would never have been used for the purpose of drawing street-cars. But there existed in San Francisco a physical configuration of ground which made it impossible to transport people from one part of the city to another, from the wharves to Nob Hill, by means of horses. Necessity, therefore, became the mother of this invention, as of most others, and the native Californians, being both quick-witted and enterprising, were not slow in the exercise of ingenuity.

To Andrew S. Hallidie belongs the credit of adapting the theory of cable traction to successful practical use. In 1872 he obtained a patent upon a cable grip. Meanwhile he had prepared plans for the building of a cable road, and far-seeing capitalists of San Francisco had pledged the requisite financial support for its construction. The work was pushed forward with the energy characteristic of the far West, and in September, 1873, the pioneer cable railroad of the world was put into operation on Clay Street, San Francisco.

Many doubted the success of this new method, and more questioned its safety. The road was only about a mile in length, and yet rose from a low level terminus to a height of nearly 300 feet. It is said the

first gripman who operated a car over this road alighted at one stage in its descent and insisted that he could not, in justice to his family, proceed further unless there should be attached to the car a steel rope above the surface of the ground which he could actually see and rely upon to save a corporation from the payment of his life-insurance. This difficulty having been overcome, either by the attachment of the rope or by persuasion and threats—upon which the history of California is less specific than might be desired—the car continued without accident, and after a few days a service was given of sufficient regularity to make certain the success of the experiment.

This result accomplished one immediate effect. It proved beyond a question that heavy cars loaded with people could be drawn by cable up and down the steepest grades, without the expenditure of an extraordinary amount of money, and without menace to the lives of passengers. Unfortunately for the quick development of the new idea, this was the only problem solved by this first cable road. It was a perfectly straight track, containing none of the curves, depressions, and tortuous routes necessarily used or followed by street-car lines in the majority of large cities. For this reason the experiment attracted no more interest than that which for several years naturally attaches to a novelty; but the people of San Francisco, daily seeing and understanding the merits of the new system, appreciated its advantages over the old, and in 1876 supplemented the Clay Street road with another on Sutter Street, and three years later with one on Union Street.

In 1882 Chicago, either from jealousy of another western city winning the laurels of a first effort in any direction, or from the reputed far-sightedness of its capitalists in taking advantage of public needs, inaugurated a cable railroad considerably more pretentious than the one which had been built in San Francisco. Charles T. Yerkes was the leading spirit in this enterprise, achieving not only a success for the city, but a fortune for himself. A year later, slow-going Philadelphia followed the lead of Chicago and built a cable railroad two and one half miles in length, which has since given way to the invincible power of harnessed electricity.

New York, more conservative than any of its sister cities, and notoriously jealous of experiments upon its streets, finally accepted the tests in San Francisco, Chicago, and Philadelphia as satisfactory, and authorized the construction of the present cable railroads on Broadway and Third Avenue. Here, for the first time, was introduced the duplicate system which has since become a practical necessity upon

lines where traffic is heavy, and where an interruption, even for the fraction of an hour, is extremely costly to the operating company.

Other cable railroads were built in every section of the country except New England, and there are now in operation in the Eastern States, 157 miles; in the Central States, 252 miles; in the Southern States, 6 miles; and in the Western States, 217 miles;—making a total of 632 miles of cable railroad now in operation, although soon, in my judgment, to be superseded by the more tractable, more economical, and less objectionable electricity. If this prediction should prove to be correct, it is obvious that the invention and use of the cable as a motive power deserves no more attention than it has received, for the reason that it will have been only tentative and a filling of the gap between the quadruped and the magic fluid.

Far more important than the success, however great or small, of this method of traction, was the fact that its discovery led directly to the consolidation of distinct street-railroad companies in such a way as to enlist more capital, more brains, and more energy in the development of street-car service. Just as the primary credit for introducing the cable-system belongs to Mr. Hallidie, so does the yet greater credit, so far as practical results are concerned, of working out the idea of efficient consolidation, belong to Henry M. Whitney.

There were at that time innumerable street-car lines in Boston, operated, as in all other cities, as separate organizations, and affording accommodations wholly inadequate to the demands of the public. Mr. Whitney conceived the idea of a general consolidation of all these companies in such a way as to make possible the substitution of a better form of motive power, more direct routes, and a general improvement in every direction. His first intention, when he had accomplished the great work of uniting the many adverse interests involved, was to introduce the cable, but before he had fully succeeded in his primary undertaking to such an extent as to warrant reconstruction, the most important event in the history of street-railroads took place.

Electricians had believed and asserted for years that the wonderful power to the adaptation of which to practical uses they had given much intelligent study, could be utilized directly in the drawing of heavy loads. Edison built the first electric road in America at Menlo Park, New Jersey, in 1880, and three years later the same great inventor, coöperating with Stephen B. Field, built a similar road for temporary use at the Chicago Exposition in 1883. Leo Daft at the same time was making similar experiments

in Baltimore, Pittsburg, and other places; and Charles J. Vandepole was doing likewise in Toronto. None of these, however, had reached such a point that its practical value was demonstrated beyond a doubt at the time when Mr. Whitney engaged in his work of consolidation in Boston. But, in 1888, Frank J. Sprague, first among the younger electricians of America, obtained sufficient capital to make an actual test upon a street in the city of Richmond, Virginia. He brought together the best features of all the systems which had then been devised, applied to motive-power the fundamental principles which he had learned in building electric-light plants and establishing stationary motors; added new and simple, but effective, methods of motor-control and suspension, and in general worked out a well-defined system, the essential features of which have not been changed in the seven years which have elapsed since he installed the first practical electric railroad in the United States.

His work in Richmond naturally attracted the attention of men engaged in the street-railway business, and scores visited the famous old Virginia capital to behold its actual operation. Among these were Mr. Whitney and Messrs. Widener and Elkins of Philadelphia. They appreciated at a glance the possibilities of the new invention, and after making most thorough examinations personally, as well as through expert engineers and electricians, did not hesitate to adopt, expand, and improve it in every possible direction. Mr. Whitney at once abandoned the idea of laying a cable under the streets of Boston, and began forthwith to lay the foundations of the great West End system, which is now the largest in the world in point of carrying capacity and revenue. Mr. Widener and Colonel Elkins proceeded with no less vigor to consolidate and electrify the principal lines of Philadelphia, and within three years after the Richmond road was inaugurated, there were hundreds of miles of overhead trolley-lines in successful operation in the streets of nearly every large city in the Union.

Since that time the work of changing old horse-car lines into modern electric railways by the overhead system has progressed so rapidly that there are now in actual operation in New England 1392 miles; in the Eastern States, 3189 miles; in the Central States, 3578 miles; in the Southern States, 743 miles; and in the Western States, 1461 miles; making a total of more than 10,000 miles of overhead trolley-lines now in actual operation, against less than 2000 operated by horses.

It will thus be seen that the development of the overhead trolley system has been one of the most

rapid ever known, in a change so radical and involving so many untried elements. This has been due no less to a spirit of competition among rival electrical companies than to the public demand for improved facilities for local transportation. The two or three large companies engaged in the business of furnishing electrical supplies so thoroughly appreciated the possibilities of the new method, that they invested millions of dollars, not merely in the building of extensive plants, but in the perfecting of their individual systems. The inevitable result has been the concentration of an abundance of ability and energy in solving the difficult problems involved in the adaptation of electrical power to this most practical of uses.

Under this stimulus improvement has followed improvement so rapidly that little apparently remains to be achieved. Cars are now run in hundreds of cities by devices so simple that skilled labor is no longer essential to their operation, and they are both lighted and heated by the same current which propels them. Moreover, all this is done far more economically than was ever possible through operation with horses or by cable. Chief among the important effects of electrical operation has been the building of roads of a very few miles in length, which, despite their limitations of both district and patronage, can be and are conducted at so small a percentage of gross receipts, as to produce a fair profit upon the investment.

It was formerly supposed—and the supposition, while horses and cables afforded the only means of motive power, was correct—that street-car service could be used to advantage only within the limits of a city or village. But since the introduction of electricity has widened the possibilities and increased the diversity of such traffic, it has been found distinctly profitable to connect municipalities and towns having common interests by the new system. A notable illustration of this fact is afforded by the great success of the trolley road connecting Minneapolis and St. Paul. Before this line was established the steam railroads operated scores of trains of cars between the two cities daily, for the sole purpose of accommodating the local traffic. As soon, however, as the trolley road was put into successful operation, the demands upon the steam railroad decreased rapidly, and have gradually been reduced to such a point that nearly, if not quite, all of the steam-railroad trains formerly operated for this purpose have been taken off. A more recent but hardly less striking illustration of the same tendency is afforded by the new trolley lines connecting





HERBERT H. VREELAND.

Newark, Elizabeth, and Jersey City. Indeed, it is now an established fact, that on distances not exceeding ten miles, the steam-road cannot compete with the trolley because of the more frequent, more cleanly, cheaper, and more pleasant accommodations offered by the latter.

The most recent development of the trolley idea has been the creation of an entirely new traffic, namely, that of riding upon street cars for mere pleasure. Few people appreciate the extent of the demand for this branch of street-car service; but an instance is afforded by the fact that so-called "trolley parties" during the past summer added more than seventy thousand dollars to the receipts of Philadelphia companies alone. Street-car managers themselves have only begun to appreciate the magnitude of business which may be created by offering exceptional accommodations for pleasure-seekers, and the development of the idea has, consequently, only begun. That it will become a decided factor in the operation of trolley lines, especially in suburban districts, is now beyond question.

There have been, and always will be, objections to the overhead trolley. Some are founded upon reason, but more upon fancy, and it is a fact that in the great majority of cases, where the introduction of the system was most bitterly opposed, its removal now could by no possibility obtain the assent of the public. Only in the largest cities, where overhead wires of any description are objectionable because of the density of population and the seriousness of placing any obstacle in the way of extinguishment of fires, is there any good reason for opposing its introduction and use. These objections, and the natural conservatism of the community, have prevented the adoption of the new method in New York city. The direct result of this condition of affairs has been the inauguration, during the past few months, of an experimental railroad, operated by electricity, conveyed through wires strung in a conduit beneath the surface of the ground. For this experiment, which now bids fair to achieve success, the far-sighted directors of the Metropolitan Traction Company are entitled to full credit. They saw the necessity of overcoming the objections to the overhead system, and at the same time of superseding both horses and cables.

With this object in view they sent to Budapest, where an underground system had been in operation for several years, Mr. F. S. Pearson, one of the most capable and resourceful electrical engineers in the country. Mr. Pearson made a careful examination of the system there in use, studied the condi-

tions, climatic and otherwise, which would make necessary certain changes, and finally worked out a plan which he submitted to the directors of the Traction Company, with an assertion of his belief that, if tried properly, without an attempt to save money in making the experiment, it would prove successful. The road was constructed upon the lines thus suggested, and has been in operation several months under my direction. During this time no accident of any kind has taken place, and no money has been required or expended for maintenance or changes. Although far more expensive in construction than the overhead trolley, it is also far more satisfactory in operation when once built. It only remains to be seen whether this system, the success of which in fair weather has already been demonstrated, will be found capable of defying the severe storms of the winter and spring months in northern American cities. If so, it will undoubtedly become the favorite system in large cities, as it comprises all the advantages, with none of the disadvantages, of the overhead trolley over cables and horses. Storage-battery systems have been tried at various times in various places, but so far have met with so little success that, although affording apparently the ideal system, they have not yet reached the point of efficiency which warrants serious consideration.

It is not of the future, however, that I am supposed or would presume to write, and regarding the past, all has been said in detail that can be said within limits which would not trespass upon the patience of the reader. In summarizing, I can only add that there have been four great events in the history of the street-railways of America during the past seventy years. The first was the invention of the primitive street-car by John Stephenson. The second was the use of the cable by Andrew S. Hallidie. The third was the harnessing of electricity to the street-car service by Frank J. Sprague. The fourth, and most important of all in actual result, has been the outgrowth of Henry M. Whitney's idea of consolidation, which has resulted in a benefit to the American people so vast as to be incalculable, and in the investment of hundreds of millions of dollars in an industry which could never have been created or imagined in any age other than that in which we live. As an interesting and valuable summary of the magnitude of the street-railway business of the country, I present the following tables, obtained from the census reports of 1890 and from other equally reliable sources of a much later date:

## COMPARISON OF STREET AND STEAM-RAILWAYS IN 1890:

STREET-RAILWAYS.		STEAM-RAILWAYS.		PER CENT. OF TOTAL STEAM- RAILWAYS.
Length of line (miles) . . .	5,783.47	Length of line (miles) . . . . .	157,758.83	3.67
Passenger cars . . . . .	32,505.00	Passenger cars . . . . .	25,665.00	126.55
Employees . . . . .	70,764.00	Employees . . . . .	704,743.00	19.04
Passengers carried . . . . .	2,023,010,202.00	Passengers carried . . . . .	472,171,343.00	428.45

## DIVISION OF THE MOTIVE POWERS OF STREET-RAILWAYS IN 1890:

ITEMS.	ALL MOTIVE POWERS.	DISTRIBUTION.			
		ANIMAL.	ELECTRIC.	CABLE.	STEAM.
Length of line (miles) . . .	5,783.47	4,061.47	914.25	283.22	524.06
Length of all tracks (miles)	8,123.02	5,661.44	1,261.44	488.31	711.30
Passenger cars . . . . .	32,505	22,408	2,895	5,089	2,113
Employees . . . . .	70,764	44,314	6,619	11,673	8,158
Passengers carried . . . . .	2,023,010,202	1,227,756,815	134,905,994	373,492,708	286,854,685
Total cost . . . . .	\$389,357,288.87	\$195,121,682.50	\$35,830,949.63	\$76,346,618.23	\$82,058,038.51

## NUMBER OF PASSENGERS CARRIED BY STREET-RAILWAYS IN SIXTEEN OF THE PRINCIPAL CITIES OF THE COUNTRY IN 1890:

CITIES.	POPULATION.	PASSENGERS CARRIED.	AVERAGE NUMBER OF RIDES PER INHABITANT.
Baltimore, Md. . . . .	434,439	40,659,982	94
Boston (including Lynn and Cambridge), Mass. . . . .	574,232	129,038,563	225
Brooklyn, N. Y. . . . .	806,343	147,500,399	183
Buffalo, N. Y. . . . .	255,664	16,685,983	183
Chicago, Ills . . . . .	1,099,850	180,326,470	164
Cincinnati, Ohio . . . . .	296,908	37,905,370	128
Denver, Col. . . . .	106,713	21,281,584	202
Kansas City, Mo. . . . .	132,716	38,000,978	286
Louisville, Ky. . . . .	161,129	21,535,735	132
New Orleans, La. . . . .	242,039	30,510,662	126
New York, N. Y. . . . .	1,515,301	449,047,853	297
Philadelphia, Pa. . . . .	1,046,964	165,117,627	158
Pittsburgh, Pa. . . . .	343,904	46,099,227	134
St. Louis, Mo. . . . .	451,770	67,800,252	150
San Francisco, Cal. . . . .	298,997	80,619,005	270
Washington, D. C. . . . .	230,392	31,032,187	135

## COST OF CONSTRUCTION PER MILE OF LINE OF STREET-RAILWAYS IN 1890:

ITEMS.	ANIMAL.	ELECTRIC.	CABLE.	STEAM.	MIXED AND INSEPARABLE.
Total cost of construction and real estate . . .	\$99,812,886.27	\$14,074,049.13	\$33,374,627.39	\$35,777,187.08	\$65,583,242.72
Miles of line to which this cost pertains . . .	2,388.48	403.70	166.48	350.31	734.12
Cost of construction and real estate per mile.	\$41,789.29	\$30,351.63	\$200,472.29	\$102,130.08	\$89,335.86
Total cost of equipment . . . . .	\$22,344,285.14	\$3,873,544.21	\$3,827,436.62	\$4,348,511.10	\$12,022,289.54
Miles of line to which this cost pertains . . .	2,473.56	404.93	167.13	361.83	855.43
Cost of equipment per mile . . . . .	\$9,033.25	\$8,331.46	\$22,900.96	\$12,018.11	\$14,054.09
Total cost per mile . . . . .	\$50,822.50	\$38,683.09	\$223,373.25	\$114,148.19	\$103,389.95

The above table gives a comparative summary of the cost of each five classes of roads making completed reports. It will be noticed in this table

that cost of road is given in two principal items, viz., "Total cost of construction and real estate," and "total cost of equipment."



THE CAPITAL STOCK, FUNDED DEBT, AND ACCRUED INTEREST OF THE STREET-RAILWAYS OF THE UNITED STATES IN 1890:

ITEMS.	CAPITAL STOCK ISSUED AND OUTSTANDING.	DIVIDENDS DECLARED.	RATE OF DIVIDENDS DECLARED (PER CENT.).	FUNDED DEBT ISSUED AND OUTSTANDING.	INTEREST ACCRUED.	RATE OF INTEREST PAID (PER CENT.).
All motive powers . . . .	\$163,506,444.50	\$11,600,334.54	7.09	\$103,494,259.99	\$5,870,710.72	5.67
Animal . . . . .	\$62,415,614.50	\$4,390,519.54	7.03	\$34,361,904.99	\$1,977,664.92	5.81
Electric . . . . .	4,034,900.00	225,697.00	5.59	3,230,300.00	187,505.00	5.80
Cable . . . . .	6,437,900.00	653,587.00	10.15	4,076,000.00	218,160.00	5.35
Steam . . . . .	25,917,180.00	1,561,512.00	6.03	19,326,200.00	1,181,512.00	6.11
Mixed and inseparable.	64,700,950.00	4,769,019.00	7.37	42,499,855.00	2,285,868.80	5.38

The above table covers only those roads reporting the payment of either dividends or interest, as the case may be.

Now, turning from the facts and figures, as given by the census reports of 1890, the following data, compiled from other equally reliable sources, are of more recent date, covering the years 1892, 1893, and 1894. But before entering upon the details of the same, it may be well to make some additional general comparisons between the street-railways and the steam-railroads of the United States. The former represent about seven and one-half per cent. of the mileage of the latter, and in passenger receipts, about forty-five per cent. The total capitalization, bonds, and stocks, of the steam-railroads in the United States, is about \$11,000,000,000, and of the street-railways, about \$1,300,000,000, the latter being about eleven per cent. of the former, while the profits of the steam-railroads were \$332,000,000, and of the street-railways, about \$43,000,000, thus making the latter about thirteen and one-half per cent. of the former.

Of the 976 operating street-railway companies reported in "American Street-Railway Investments," 109 have been first selected as presenting the most complete reports for the past three years. They represent about twenty-two per cent. of the total mileage of the country—their capital stock amounting to \$200,497,681, their funded debt to \$193,844,145, and their gross capital liabilities to \$394,341,826. Their capitalization is about thirty per cent. of the total capitalization of American street-railways. The report of these roads is as follows:

	1892.	1893.	1894.
Gross receipts . . . . .	\$56,119,612	\$63,165,976	\$57,232,545
Operating expenses . . . . .	36,787,919	40,010,812	35,863,607
Earnings from operation . . . . .	\$19,331,693	\$23,155,164	\$21,368,938
Fixed charges . . . . .	8,834,282	10,373,510	11,118,217
Net income . . . . .	\$10,497,411	\$12,781,654	\$10,250,721

	1892.	1893.	1894.
Per cent. operating expenses to gross receipts . . . . .	65.6	63.3	62.7
Per cent. fixed charges to gross receipts . . . . .	15.7	16.4	19.4
Per cent. net income to gross receipts . . . . .	18.7	20.2	17.9
Per cent. net income to capital stock . . . . .	5.2	6.4	5.1

The combined reports of 146 street-railroad companies, representing capital stock, \$240,477,324; funded debt, \$231,091,645; capital liabilities, \$471,568,969—or thirty-six per cent. of the total liabilities of the country—make the annexed showing for the years 1893 and 1894:

	1893.	1894.
Gross receipts . . . . .	\$71,847,580	\$65,791,187
Operating expenses . . . . .	45,697,130	41,205,904
Earnings from operation . . . . .	26,150,450	24,585,283
Fixed charges . . . . .	12,281,424	13,329,765
Net income . . . . .	\$13,869,026	\$11,255,518

	1893.	1894.
Per cent. operating expenses to gross receipts . . . . .	63.6	62.6
Per cent. fixed charges to gross receipts . . . . .	17.1	20.2
Per cent. net income to gross receipts . . . . .	19.4	17.2
Per cent. net income to capital stock . . . . .	5.8	4.7

The combined operating report of 232 American street-railway companies—representing capital stock, \$316,762,149, funded debt, \$278,995,755, and capital liabilities, \$595,757,904, or about forty-six per cent. of the total capital liabilities of the American properties—make the showing as below for the financial year ending June 30, 1894:

	1894.
Gross receipts . . . . .	\$84,664,338
Operating expenses . . . . .	53,175,278
Earnings from operation . . . . .	\$31,489,060
Fixed charges . . . . .	19,387,729
Net income . . . . .	\$12,101,331

Per cent. operating expenses to gross receipts . . . . .	62.8
Per cent. fixed charges to gross receipts . . . . .	22.9
Per cent. net income to gross receipts . . . . .	14.3
Per cent. net income to capital stock . . . . .	3.8

The mileage, cars, capital stock, funded debt, and capital liabilities of the street-railways in the

United States—some 976 in number—made at the beginning of the present year, make the following showing:

Aside from the accommodation afforded the residents of the territory through which the roads run, it is a source of profit to the railroad companies.

GEOGRAPHICAL DIVISION.	NUMBER OF ROADS.	MILES OF TRACK.					NO. OF CARS.		CAPITAL STOCK.		FUNDED DEBT.		CAPITAL LIABILITIES.	
		HORSE.	ELECTRIC.	CABLE.	MISCEL.	TOTAL.	TOTAL.	PER MILE TRACK.	TOTAL.	PER MILE TRACK.	TOTAL.	PER MILE TRACK.	TOTAL.	PER MILE TRACK.
New England States (1)	104	168	1,392			1,560	5,519	3.54	\$ 53,778,300	\$24,500	\$ 43,546,000	\$27,900	\$ 97,324,300	\$62,400
Eastern States (2)	305	567	3,189	157	189	4,102	16,001	3.90	348,194,073	84,900	249,318,505	60,800	597,512,578	145,700
Central States (3)	278	555	3,578	252	134	4,519	16,936	3.74	222,641,025	49,300	173,567,500	38,400	396,208,525	87,700
Southern States (4)	111	214	743	6	213	1,176	1,930	1.64	33,155,725	28,200	23,578,900	20,100	56,734,625	48,200
Western States (5)	178	410	1,461	217	143	2,231	4,359	1.95	90,245,083	40,300	62,114,600	27,700	152,359,683	68,000
United States	976	1,914	10,363	632	679	13,588	44,745	3.29	\$748,014,206	\$55,000	\$552,125,505	\$40,600	\$1,300,139,711	\$95,600


(1) Includes Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut; (2) New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Delaware, District of Columbia, Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia; (3) Michigan, Ohio, Indiana, Kentucky, Wisconsin, Illinois, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri; (4) North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Alabama, Mississippi, Tennessee, Louisiana, and Kansas; (5) South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas, Texas, Colorado, Montana, Idaho, Utah, Washington, Oregon, and California.

As to the recent innovation—involving the placing of postal-cars upon street-railways—the St. Louis and Suburban Railway Company of St. Louis was the first of the kind in this country to make the movement in this direction, by running from the business part of the city to the choicest residence and suburban portions of the town of Florissant, distant sixteen miles from the center of the city. This began several years ago, and was followed by Brooklyn, over the Atlantic Avenue line to Coney Island, in August, 1894; by Boston, in April or May, 1895; by Philadelphia, to Chestnut Hill and Passayunk, June 1, 1895, and to Manayunk, October 1, 1895; and by New York, over the Third Avenue line, October 1, 1895. For these mail-cars, the railway companies furnish conductors and motormen, while the Post-Office Department supplies the mail-clerks.

The cars are built especially for the purpose, equipped with their own motors, and furnished with the necessary desks, cases, racks for mail-bags, etc. This mail service has now been in operation, as already noticed of St. Louis, for about three years, and new features are being constantly added to it.

The question as to whether or not such mail service is called for, depends almost entirely upon local conditions,—the length of the road, the territory through which it runs, the proximity of depots and post-offices to the line of the road, and many other considerations. An advantage, independent of any financial return, and one which is regarded by many as the one reason for street-railways embarking in this service, lies in the prestige of the government's name. This point was never so thoroughly illustrated as in the late troubles in Chicago in the transmission of the United States mail, which has precedence or right of way above all else. As the Second Assistant Postmaster-General governs all transportation of the mails, the street-car postal service is within his province, and has now become part and parcel of the postal railway system of the country. The fuller development of this system is only a question of time, and its progress will be viewed with more or less interest until it becomes a permanent and widespread factor in the distribution of the mails in the larger cities to their suburbs.





## CHAPTER XXIII

# THE HOTELS OF AMERICA

“There is nothing that has yet been contrived by man by which so much happiness is produced as by a good tavern or inn.”—DR. JOHNSON.

“Shall I not take mine ease at mine inn?”—SHAKESPEARE.

“Whoe’er has traveled life’s dull round,  
Where’er his stages may have been,  
May sigh to think he still has found  
The warmest welcome at an inn.”—SHENSTONE.

IN old colonial times many of the inns in the towns and scattered along the few routes of travel bore such names as “King’s,” “Queen’s,” “Red Lion,” and the like; but the revolt of the colonies produced a change, and these names gave place to those in harmony with the spirit of the time. The portrait of Washington replaced that of George III. on the swinging signs, as these once quiet taverns became the meeting-places of patriots. Clustered about many of them are historic memories of special scenes and events, and of the men of the Revolution and of the formative period immediately following. Washington was a guest of the City Tavern, Philadelphia (1775); the Bunch of Grapes Tavern, Boston, where he enjoyed “an elegant dinner provided at the public expense, while joy and gratitude sat on every countenance and smiled in every eye” (March 28, 1776); the True American Inn, Trenton (1777); Arnold’s Tavern, Morristown; Sufferin’s Tavern, Smith’s Clove, New York; the Buck Tavern, near Philadelphia (after the battle of Brandywine); Smith’s Tavern, Smith’s Clove (1779); the tavern at East Chester, New York, where he was ill (1780); the Fountain Inn, Baltimore (1781); Day’s Tavern, Harlem (with Governor Clinton, 1783); Fraunces Tavern, New York, where in the assembly-room he bade farewell to the faithful men who, with him, had achieved the liberties of the States; Mann’s Hotel, Annapolis, from which he proceeded to the Congress and resigned his commission; and the City Hotel, Alexandria, where he was entertained by the Alexandria Lodge, of which he was a member. The tavern where Washington

stayed during an illness at East Chester was built early in the seventeenth century, and now stands within the New York City limits. The room occupied by him remains as he left it. Lafayette was entertained there later. For a season the house was in a sense the seat of government, when President John Adams sojourned at East Chester during the yellow-fever epidemic at the then capital, Philadelphia. There was also the Catamount Tavern, Bennington, Vt.; George Burns’ Coffee-House, New York, the lounging-place of British officers, and at the same time privately frequented by the Sons of Liberty during the British occupation; the Tun Tavern, Philadelphia, in which the first masonic lodge in America was organized; the Rose Tree Inn, at Media, Pa.; the City Tavern and the Bird in Hand, Richmond; and many others. From the memories that haunt these ancient hostelries our literature has drawn much of its inspiration. The red Wayside Inn at Sudbury inspired the thought that it was

“Built in the old colonial day,  
When men lived in a grander way,  
With ampler hospitality.”

In 1795 our inns were kept on the “American plan,” which embodied a fixed price for a day and for each fraction of a day. One dollar a day was then considered a good round price. As a rule the taverns were small; one containing twenty rooms was regarded as a commodious house. The rooms were comfortable and the furniture plain and strong; carpets were rarely found. The meals were served at fixed hours, and at the summons of gong or

bell, to which all guests were expected to respond promptly. The cooking was done by the "landlady" and her assistants. The table was abundantly supplied with palatable and substantial dishes, among which meats predominated. Game was comparatively more abundant than now; and as the Western regions, especially, were opened to settlement, some taverns kept their hunters. Vegetables and fruit were plentiful in New York, but in most localities the variety was limited, many coming into use since that date—tomatoes, for example, about 1840, and celery still later. Fresh sea-fish could not be carried far inland without deterioration, and transportation to a distance of the salted sea products was expensive. In the towns ice came into early use,—in wide contrast with the custom in foreign countries,—and ices appeared on the tables in 1793. In some districts it was difficult for a time to get good milk, owing to the repulsive flavor given it by the wild garlic and other grasses. Decanters of liquors were upon many hotel tables, from which the guest could serve himself freely. The favorite wine of the period was Madeira, the others used being mainly port and sherry. There were no bills of fare, the food being placed on the table, and any information desired concerning it being given by some person at hand. In the Southern States the landlord frequently called out the names of the dishes in a loud voice, and each guest—whom the landlord usually knew personally—would then express his wish. In the main these taverns were generously conducted for the "entertainment of man and beast"; and a bar, a ball-room, and a stable were necessary adjuncts. The first Congress met in New York, then the capital of the Republic, in 1789, and the members were mostly accommodated at private boarding-houses, which were relatively more important than now. Talleyrand, as well as other distinguished travelers, made use of these houses. They were located at the Battery, lower Broadway, Cedar Street, and Maiden Lane. Their number increased with the times, and 330 licenses were granted the year of the first Congress. People from other places complained of the high prices of the New York taverns and boarding-houses, as "board of the Congressmen was paid out of the common treasury, to which every citizen of the United States contributed his share." This wail was met by the statement that "board ranges from three to seven dollars a week"; and one of the houses was cited as furnishing "from seven to nine dishes a day, with four sorts of liquor."

In 1795 the taverns of consequence were in New York, Philadelphia, Boston, and Baltimore. Those

in New York were Fraunces (first opened in 1762 as the Queen Catherine), which was the largest during the Revolution, containing about thirty rooms; the City Hotel, erected in 1793, on the site of George Burns' Coffee-House (upon which the Boreel Building now stands), where the fashionable City Assembly met, and which was frequented by the so-called "Three Hundred"—not "Four Hundred"—of that day; Bunker's; Washington Tavern; and the Tontine Coffee-House in Wall Street. It was at the last-named house that the historic dinner was given to John Jay, May 30, 1795, in honor of his return from concluding the first commercial treaty between the United States and Great Britain; and here the "Century of Commerce" may almost be said to have been initiated.

In 1809 the two-hundredth anniversary of the discovery of Manhattan Island by Henry Hudson was celebrated at the City Hotel, in a manner which attracted universal attention, there being "a banquet in keeping with the historical spirit of the occasion, all modern delicacies having been rigidly excluded." In December, 1812, at the same hotel, 500 gentlemen attended the banquet in honor of the naval heroes, Hull, Decatur, and Jones. De Witt Clinton presided, with Decatur on his right and Hull on his left. The banquet-hall "had the effect of a great marine palace," and "other surprises of the most novel and stirring character enraptured the assemblage." The following month Decatur's gallant crew were dined at the same place, amid the same decorations. It was here, also, that Lafayette was sumptuously entertained in 1824.

In the first quarter of the present century the leading men of the larger towns seem to have realized that the hotel, as a rule, was the index of the place of its location. A good hotel meant a prosperous town, and a public-spirited town would have a good hotel. When the general government became permanently established at Washington, the regular journeyings to and fro of public officials, members of Congress and their families, and foreign ministers, resulted in the appearance of good hotels for their entertainment in the principal towns and along the various routes of travel. These were graced by the familiar presence of the eminent Northern and Eastern statesmen, from the time of Hancock, Adams, and Otis to that of Webster and others, on the route from Boston; and of Jackson, Clay, Benton, and Cass along the old Government Road over the mountains from the Ohio River. It was at a hotel in St. Louis—the Missouri—that the first governor of the then new State of Missouri was inaugu-

rated in 1821, and that the legislature convened and elected Benton Senator. The increasing desire for more commodious and comfortable hotels—for the pretentious ones were now all called hotels—continued to manifest itself. The National Hotel was opened in Washington in 1827, and at once became, and continued for a whole generation, the home of eminent public men, and is rich in memories of events of vast national interest. The principal taverns in Boston were Doolittle's City Tavern, the Eastern Stage House, and the Lamb Tavern. The Tremont House was opened there in 1829 by Dwight Boyden, and was the grandest hotel in the land. It was even claimed at the time to be the largest and most elegant hotel in the world, and certainly there was nothing equal to it in England.

It was about 1830 that Delmonico introduced in New York the high-class restaurant. Previous to that there had been great monotony in the dishes served at the better restaurants, and the flavoring was limited. Delmonico used new flavors; gave new "fancy" dishes; brought into more general use claret, champagne, and the light wines of Germany and France; and served bread and coffee superior to anything before known in America. In 1833 the United States Hotel, New York,—now standing in Fulton Street,—was opened. In 1834 the Louisville Hotel, and in 1835 the Galt House at Louisville, were opened, and their names are perpetuated in fine houses. In 1835 the United States Hotel was opened in Boston, and has since been greatly enlarged. At about this period the old Washington Hotel, Portland, Me., which opened before 1823, took the name of the United States, and has also been enlarged from time to time. The Rockingham at Portsmouth, N. H., once the palatial home of Governor Langdon, was opened in 1834, and came into high repute. It has recently been rebuilt. Up to 1836 there were few hotels in the world that could accommodate 200 people.

In 1836 New York opened its rival to the Tremont, the Astor House, built, like the former, of massive granite. This became at once the resort of the wealthy and of men in public life. For a time, under Coleman & Stetson, it was the one place in which to meet distinguished people, and it is still prosperous. Barnum's Hotel at Baltimore opened about this date, and eclipsed the hitherto important houses there—the Washington, Eutaw, and the rest; although the United States Hotel still held the patronage and friendship of Webster and others.


The most important hotel event of 1836 was the opening of the St. Charles Hotel, New Orleans, in

the center of the "American town," fronting upon three streets, with its stately portico in the style of a Corinthian temple, the vast rotunda surmounted by dome and cupola,—next to the Capitol at Washington the most imposing structure in America,—finely appointed for that day, and accommodating more than 700 persons. Rich planters of vast estates then dominated the South, and with their families and retinues of valets and maids came from their country houses in winter to the Southern cities. New Orleans was the metropolis, and the St. Charles became the most famous hotel in the country—thronged throughout the season by tourists from abroad, Northerners in search of health or a milder climate, and by the intellect, wealth, and beauty of the ancient glory of the Southland. This fine hotel was destroyed by fire in 1851, rebuilt in 1852 with all the former exterior grandeur except the dome, and with more interior splendor, and continued a career of increased popularity and charm until the outbreak of the Civil War. It was again burned in 1894, and a new St. Charles is now about to open. In 1839 the Charleston Hotel was opened at Charleston, and burned on the same day. It was rebuilt and reopened in 1840. It was the frequent resort of Calhoun and his great Southern compeers, and continues to be the leading hotel of the city. In 1841 the Planters' House, St. Louis, was opened, being the "largest hotel west of the mountains," and equal to any east in furnishing and appointments. It had 215 rooms, a classic ball-room, a floor-space "8911 square feet more than the celebrated Tremont House in Boston"; the china and cutlery were made in England, and the name of the house "fired on the china." Dickens stopped there in 1842, and even spoke favorably of it in his "American Notes." A magnificent new Planters' House now occupies the old site. The house was opened by Stickney & Knight, who came from Boston. It is well, perhaps, to say here that New England was the nursery of a very large majority of the prominent hotel men of this country. The Massasoit House, Springfield, Mass., one of the celebrated New England houses, opened in 1843. The name reminds one not only of the Indian chief, but suggests the fact that much might be written of the special dishes of certain hotels, prominent among which would appear the old Massasoit "waffle." The New York Hotel was opened about this period, and soon became, and continued for many years, the favorite summer resort of the people of wealth and distinction from the Southern States. The Delavan House at Albany was opened in 1845.

The year 1847 will ever be remembered in hotel annals as the date of the opening of the Revere House, Boston, by Paran Stevens. It immediately took the first rank and commanded the best patronage of the country. The gathering there at the time of the funeral of President John Quincy Adams in 1848 was the most notable assemblage, up to that date, ever seen in the country outside of Washington. Mr. Stevens here introduced his advanced ideas of a system of management so liberal, so thorough in its details, and so comfortable, pleasing, and even luxurious, that the Revere became the pattern for American hotels; and his subsequent achievements in connection with several of the great hotels of the country, upon the same broad and careful lines, justly caused him to be regarded as the most eminent man of his vocation. The principal hotels in Philadelphia in 1830 and later were the Mansion House, United States, Washington, City, and others. In 1850 the Girard House was opened, and continued to be the principal house for ten years. In the same year was opened the Burnett House at Cincinnati, with its 250 bedrooms, large drawing-rooms, and spacious corridors and public conveniences. The Eagle Hotel, Richmond, of high repute, where Lafayette was entertained in 1824, was burned in 1840, and about 1850 the Exchange and Ballard's were opened. The same year the Clarendon was opened in New York on the European plan, and the Irving House was in successful operation. The first Tremont House, Chicago, soon appeared on the lists, and was for some time the leading hotel there; and at the same time Colonel McMicken, of musical voice, continued to call out his bill of fare in the large dining-room of the Washington Hotel at Vicksburg. In 1852 the Battle House, Mobile, was opened by Messrs. Darling & Chamberlain, Paran Stevens being interested with them. It was here that Mr. Darling successfully introduced for the first time on a large scale in the American hotel the system of serving breakfasts cooked to order. The house was admirable in its management, the social life was akin to that of the St. Charles in its palmy days, and it was here that the gracious courtesy of Madame Le Vert and her fair coterie was exercised. The popular St. Louis Hotel, New Orleans, was then in successful operation, under the genial Colonel Mudge. About that time (1852) the St. Nicholas and the Metropolitan were opened in New York, both very large houses, upon a more expensive scale, in some respects of furniture and decoration, than any that had preceded them, introducing "bridal chambers" and other novelties, and being sought by the

best patronage. In 1854 the Brevoort and Everett were opened, on the European plan, and, like the Clarendon, were of a high order; and in 1855 the famous Parker House, also on the European plan, was opened in Boston.

In 1859 the Fifth Avenue Hotel, Madison Square, New York, was opened by Messrs. Stevens, Darling, and Hitchcock (Hitchcock, Darling & Company). The building covers eighteen city lots, and every advanced idea in construction was availed of—heavy subdivision walls of brick every twenty-five feet from foundation to roof, with two inches of cement on every floor, flush from wall to wall, making it practically fire-proof. As to the exterior, an eminent author on architecture, writing of Roman palaces, remarks: "The best type of palatial structure is the Farnese Palace. The edifice is a classic, a standard, the very perfection of house building, and in style it looks familiar to us. It is not unlike the Fifth Avenue Hotel." The same classic spirit pervades the interior of the hotel in its architecture, decoration, and furnishing. Among things deserving special mention, it was here that the first passenger-elevator in the world was erected ("Tuft's vertical railway"), and shortly succeeded in the same place by a later one by the same inventor. A noted writer says of the Fifth Avenue: "It is unequalled in the number and spaciousness of its corridors, halls, and public rooms, and the commodious character of its guest-rooms. Beginning with the Prince of Wales in 1860, a never-ending procession of the great men of this and other countries has marched through its corridors. No other single hotel in the world has ever entertained so many distinguished people as have been received at the Fifth Avenue—Presidents of the United States, United States Senators, Congressmen, governors, judges, generals, admirals, emperors, princes, foreign ambassadors, untitled men and women of renown; the list would fill a volume. The London 'Times,' in speaking of the gathering at Grant's funeral in 1885, said that it was the most noted assembly of distinguished Americans ever brought together; and the same description would apply to many another occasion there. Throughout its entire career it has been identified with the most notable and brilliant local and national events of the generation." In 1860 the Continental Hotel, Philadelphia, similar in many respects to the Fifth Avenue, was opened under the auspices of Mr. Stevens, and has had an eminent career. The outbreak of the Civil War (1861) found Willard's Hotel, Washington, the very focus of thrilling scenes and events that in intensity have had scarcely a parallel



HIRAM HITCHCOCK.





in American annals. The Lindell Hotel, St. Louis, was opened in 1863, and the Southern Hotel in the same city in 1865. They have since been destroyed by fire, and rebuilt and reopened on a larger scale than before. The opening of the Albemarle, Hoffman, St. James, and Grand, all in New York, came within this half-decade. The Arlington, Washington, was opened in 1869, has been recently greatly enlarged, and is the present hotel center of the national capital. The Gilsey House, New York, was opened in 1871, and at once took the first rank among houses on the European plan. In 1873 the Windsor Hotel, New York, commenced its successful business career, and at about that date the Buckingham also opened. In 1874 the Brunswick was opened in Boston. At this time the large and attractive hotels of Chicago, the Palmer House and the Grand Pacific, were deserving their enormous patronage.

The year 1875 is noted for the opening of the "largest and most magnificent structure ever dedicated to the needs of the traveling public," the Palace Hotel, San Francisco. The immensity of the building as a whole; the grand court, a vast amphitheater as it were, occupying 12,000 square feet of surface, with its charming accessories, sheltered by a roof of nearly 150 feet elevation; the immense palatial apartments for various functions, in such admirable arrangement and effect; and the roominess, comfort, and convenience of the private apartments, all conspire to make this hotel justly preëminent.

In the last two decades of the century there has been an uprising, as it were,—those that lacked the earth seeking the sky,—of splendid hotels, as well as an enlarging and beautifying of those already built, all over the land—from the Vendôme and Young's at Boston; the Narragansett at Providence; the Grand Union, Park Avenue, and Murray Hill at New York; the Lafayette and Stratford at Philadelphia; the Rennert at Baltimore; the De Soto at Savannah; the Kimball at Atlanta; the Iroquois at Buffalo; the Hollenden at Cleveland; the Grand at Cincinnati; the Cadillac and Russell at Detroit; those almost without number, including the grand Auditorium, at Chicago; the Plankinton at Milwaukee; the Ryan at St. Paul; the West at Minneapolis; the Coates House at Kansas City; across the plains to the Brown Palace Hotel at Denver; "over the range" to the great houses of the Pacific; away north to the Portland at Portland, Ore., with its accommodations for a thousand guests; and beyond to the Tacoma at Tacoma, Wash. In this brief article outlining the growth of the hotel business it is impossible to name

all of the houses worthy of mention. It should be remembered that there are less pretentious houses that are special types of excellence, each in its way, in nearly all the large cities; for example, the Sinclair, Continental, and Ashland in New York. There are large houses poorly managed; and also small houses scattered throughout the country whose names are synonymous with real comfort. Within the last few years the Plaza, Imperial, Savoy, Holland, Waldorf, Netherland, and Majestic, all splendid hotels, have opened in succession in New York. The Waldorf, when its proposed extension is completed, will outrank all in size, if not in magnificence. Of these last creations an enthusiastic writer says: "Tessellated pavements, marble columns, groined, fluted, and quartered ceilings, veneerings of precious stones, statuary and paintings, Pompeian conceits in color and subject, tapestries superb enough for an Oriental queen, and a glitter of gold and silver and crystal, are all baptized in a flood of delicate colors, as a thousand jets of flame glow softly through colored glass, and flash their splendors through overhanging pendants and candelabra." As we are closing this paper the Jefferson at Richmond, considered by those who have seen it to be the loveliest of all, is opening its ample portals to "fair Virginia" and the world.

The watering-place hotels are a very distinctive, important, attractive, and rapidly increasing part of the business, and are of grades to suit all tastes and purses. In 1795 there were ordinary country taverns at Saratoga, Ballston, and at some of the Virginia springs. The first tavern at the White Mountains was built by Crawford in 1803, and "sheltered the scattering tourists." The Catskill Mountain House was built in 1822. At that date there was no tavern at Sharon, and only very primitive ones at Niagara and Rockaway; but by 1840 these were improved and houses were opened at Trenton Falls and the Delaware Water Gap. Twenty years later (1860) there were large hotels at Newport, Nahant, the White Mountains, Saratoga, Lake George, Niagara, Cape May, Old Point Comfort, and at the Virginia springs; but it was not yet customary for great numbers of our active population to "go away" in summer for relaxation, nor to indulge the taste for natural scenery. Long Island was almost a *terra incognita*, the beauties of the Adirondacks were undiscovered, the coast of Maine unexplored, and the Rocky Mountains seemed an eternal barrier between the Atlantic and the Pacific. But now in summer, with conditions of greater wealth and leisure, the whole world appears to be traveling. Great hotels

stand out as sentinels at the Isles of Shoals and Block Island; and others have arisen as by magic—from the great houses on the northeastern coast, and on Long Island, where scores of thousands go daily, and along the Jersey shore, where their number is legion, away down to the Princess Anne at Virginia Beach. At Jekyll Island the scene is renewed, culminating in Florida in that remarkably beautiful example of Spanish architecture, the Ponce de Leon, in the Royal Ponciana, and in the grand Tampa Bay. So numerous and resplendent are our seaside resorts that yachtsmen cruising along our eastern shores in summer are ever in view of the sheen of their hundred lights. But even these palaces are excelled on the Pacific by the perfection and liberality of the appointments of the Del Monte at Monterey, "in the center of a beautiful garden—the finest, the most gorgeous, the richest, the most varied in all the world;" and by the splendid Hotel del Coronado at Coronado Beach, covering nearly eight acres. In the interior of the country, at the springs,—Poland, Saratoga, Sharon, Richfield, all through Virginia, Waukesha, and Hot Springs, Ark.,—there are vast establishments which are thronged in the "season" with health and pleasure seekers. The many inland lakes and the rivers are bordered with summer hotels, of which the Champlain is most "beautiful for situation." Sunny skies are at Lakewood, and over Aiken and the Bon Air in the midland South; and the White Mountains, the Adirondacks, the Catskills, the unique resorts of the Shawangunk range, the great Appalachian chain away down into North Carolina, are alive with hotels that illumine the night with lights that cluster into beacon-fires. In the Rocky Mountains—the great continental range, so vast in its scenes of grandeur, of beauty, and of charm—there is many a fine house at spring and on mountain-side.

In many parts of the country, when railroads were first built, and long afterward, the hotels at the stations, in their imitation of city houses, were vastly inferior to the old taverns along the public highways. In later years some of the great railway lines across the sparsely settled continent have rendered the traveling public a real service in opening and managing hotels of merit. In some marked instances houses of great magnitude and cost have been erected far in advance of population, to aid in the opening of vast tracts of land and the building up of railway systems.

Much might be said, did space permit, of historic rooms in American hotels: the colonial dining-room of Governor Langdon at the Rockingham; "P" at the St. Charles; Daniel Webster's room at the Astor;

the famous "D. R." at the Fifth Avenue, and others of similar interest. One could dwell with interest, also, upon long terms of management, like that of the Cataract at Niagara, which has been in the same family for three generations, and Downer's Tavern in Vermont, which he has kept for fifty-three years.

The American plan—a fixed price per day, including room, meals, and service—generally prevails at the watering-places, and to a considerable extent in the cities and towns; but the European plan, which is of comparatively recent introduction,—a special price for each room and for each item on the bill of fare and for service,—has come to be very largely patronized in the cities. In some instances both plans are combined. The practice of tipping has greatly increased with the introduction of the European plan, and also liveries and coats of arms have in some cases been introduced. There are hotels for all conditions and nationalities of men, and at all prices, from that of a plain room off from the great thoroughfares, and of meals where they serve "ten thousand a day at an average of thirty cents" (in the manner of Pattinson and Sweeny in 1832), up to princely apartments where every dish means dollars and every tap of the bell a *pour-boire*. The different departments of trade and commerce and their representative commercial travelers are catered to, as well as tourists and men in public life, as are also the various clubs and associations of gentlemen and of ladies. The charges of the best hotels now are about twice those of the corresponding class in 1850. It may be said in passing that the modern apartment-house or flat has lessened somewhat the need for private houses, but has not met the requirements of a "travelers' home." In the general prosperity, as large fortunes have been created and the number of persons of wealth and leisure has multiplied and travel extended, the requirements and wishes of many patrons of hotels have increased in a most marked degree; and at times nothing seems too lavish, sumptuous, and palatial for the novelty of the hour. Yet the great majority of patrons seek those "home comforts" which gratify refined taste and leave no tinge of care.

During the century great changes and improvements have been made in hotel construction, appointments, and management. We now have running water with set basins, water-closets and baths with exposed plumbing, open grates and steam-heat, improved ventilation, more numerous stairways, fire-escapes, fire-proofing, elevators for passengers and baggage, electric bells, and telephones; and the laundry and other machinery which was the wonder

of the Astor in 1836 was primitive compared with that of to-day. There are now single hotel structures that are valued at three or four million dollars and rented for one fifth of a million. The complete furnishing represents an outlay of several hundred thousand dollars, in which variation in style, reproduction of old patterns, special designs in china, glass, etc., carpets and hangings, pictures, bric-à-brac and gilding, with elaborate fixtures and decorations, all conspire to rival a palace in a golden age. The industrial arts and appliances have fairly reveled in hotels; utensils and machinery have multiplied; oil and candles have been succeeded by gas, and that by electric light, with (in some cases) its special plant; water is sometimes distilled on the premises, and the ice-machine is at times the companion of the many wonderful preservative and economical results of cold storage. Among the now necessary conveniences and adjuncts are reading, writing, and music rooms, coat, package, baggage, and boot rooms, barber-shop, billiard-room, church directories, railway and steamship announcements, telegraph, telephone, and various ticket offices, book and news stands, stenography and type-writing, and carriage and messenger service.

The general purveying for a great hotel is most varied and important. For the table alone the markets of the entire world contribute their many and choicest foods, nectars, and spices, which are placed in stores representing scores of thousands of dollars in value. The cuisine, of infinite variety, has perhaps attained the highest possibility in gastronomic art; and the almost hourly service, at times enlivened by music, approaches perfection. The fastidious guest, with ever-developing tastes, requires all that the world can provide, and the most constant and immediate attention. The host, in turn, by his alluring and tempting novelties, creates a demand for newer luxuries; and daily a feast is spread of viands so delectable that a Lucullus might envy.

The hotel business has grown to enormous proportions, its growth stimulated recently by millionaires of other occupations who have erected palatial houses regardless of cost. It is impossible to give correct statistics and financial results, and any attempt to do so would be unwise and misleading. Under favorable conditions houses prosper; but at present, in most of the large cities, the supply of first-class houses exceeds the demand.

There is no business more complex and exacting in details, or that requires greater ability in management. The proprietor has "all sorts and conditions

of men" to deal with; he must know human nature in its varied phases; and he must solve race and class problems with delicate tact. He must have a fair knowledge and conception of trade, and of everything that meets and supplements the wants and desires of mankind. In all this he is a helpful factor in the commerce and industries of the world. He is aided in caring for hundreds of guests by the several important heads of departments, from the clerk who receives the guest, through all the intricate working of the establishment, to the head porter who gives the final sign of departure; and by (in some cases) several hundred servants, including skilled artisans engaged in manufacture and repair. Too much can never be said of the aid, influence, and encouragement of woman, from time immemorial, in bringing to pass splendid successes; and there are rare instances in the hotel business of her sole management, such as furnished by Mrs. Alvord's most excellent houses in Colorado. The local and State hotel associations (originating in New York) and the Hotel Men's Mutual Benefit Association are of great advantage to the business in many ways; the newspapers and magazines published in the interest of hotels are able and influential; and the publications entitled "Hotel Red Book" and "Where to Stop" are of much value. On the other hand, the business is greatly hampered by legal restraints, is subject to the whims of legislation, and is a sufferer from pilfering thieves.

The life of the host is one of constant watchfulness. His responsibilities for and in behalf of his guests are as continuous for the full twenty-four hours of each and every day as the swinging of his ever-open doors. He is responsible always for the safety, oftentimes for the respectability and conduct, and constantly for the comfort of his household. To his guest he has the opportunity of being a friend and a guide. He makes him feel "at home," is his banker, tells him of the shops, galleries, churches, libraries, places of interest and amusement, and informs him of forthcoming events and routes of travel. He is ever ready in felicitation and always at hand in the hour of trial. He calls in the counsel, goes on the bond, witnesses the will, summons the physician and the clergyman, and aids in the last sad rites. It is not strange, therefore, that the realized hope of Archbishop Leighton was that he might die at an inn.

The taverns of 1795 were the "fountains of news." The hotels of to-day are closely related to the public welfare; statesmen and men of affairs meet in them to consider the public weal and for-

multate policies of state ; and in the hour of national peril or elation it is to the center of public sentiment, the hotel, that the citizen goes for the latest information and the truest measure of the public mind. And in the presence of great events the host is a not unimportant factor, and with the historian of old he can say, "All of which I saw, and a part of which I was."

In the future it is hoped that proprietor and guest will take serious counsel together, and that faulty and mixed architecture and florid and meaningless decoration and furnishing may be avoided, and correct taste and practical methods followed. Health and cleanliness are of the first consideration. A hotel


should occupy ample space and not be uncomfortable in elevation. The plumbing, ventilation, and sanitary arrangements should be perfect. A hotel contains a large and daily changing population from all places under the sun, and as far as possible all wall-stuffs and hangings, those pestilential resorts of disease-germs, should be avoided. *Safety, respectability, and comfort* are the three hotel graces ; all else, in comparison, is "sounding brass and a tinkling cymbal." In this spirit the host will stand at the gateway of commerce and welcome all her votaries on their journey.

"The world 's an inn, and death the journey's end."

DRYDEN.

*Hiram Hellicock*





## CHAPTER XXIV

### AMERICAN THEATERS

IN order to convey to the reader a fair understanding of the progress of the American theater since 1795 it is perhaps necessary to state something about its beginnings, which, indeed, previous to 1750, are involved in much obscurity. Tony Aston, an English stroller of some celebrity, visited the Southern and Middle colonies about 1730, and gave entertainments at New York and perhaps other places; and there is some evidence that a company of comedians acted plays in New York in 1732; but it was not until 1749 that an organization came into existence of which we can form any definite judgment. This company attempted to open a playhouse in Philadelphia, and Addison's "Cato" was actually performed; but the performers were arrested and admonished by Recorder Allen to give up the undertaking. Thomas Kean was the principal actor in both tragedy and comedy, and one Murray seems to have been associated with him in the management. Finding Philadelphia too inhospitable, the players went to New York, where they were advertised as the company of comedians from Philadelphia, and gave the first theatrical season of which we have any connected account. The performances were given in a "convenient room" in a house belonging to Rip Van Dam in Nassau Street, and extended over a period of more than a year—from March 5, 1750, to July 8, 1751. The first play was "Richard III.," in which Kean played *Richard*. So far as is known, the company appeared in fifteen plays and nine farces. Although Mr. Kean formally announced his withdrawal from the stage to resume his business of writing, he was with a company called the "Virginia Comedians" at Annapolis in the summer of 1752, when Lewis Hallam and his London players arrived at Williamsburg, Va. Besides Mr. Kean there were other members of the New York company among these "Virginia Comedians." Perhaps this disposes

of the claim usually made for Hallam's company as being the first regular theatrical organization in America.

Lewis Hallam, who brought a company of comedians from London in 1752, was not an actor of any consequence in England, nor is it likely that his wife, known to the American stage successively as Mrs. Hallam and Mrs. Douglass, was an actress of recognized ability there. William Hallam, who is reported to have furnished the money for the American venture, was not the manager of the theater in Goodman's Fields where Garrick made his debut, but of a theater of no importance or reputation at the Wells in Lemon Street, Goodman's Fields. It was at this house that Mrs. Hallam, the wife of Lewis, played leading parts between 1746 and 1751. In the latter year she had a benefit at which she played *Desdemona*, with her husband, Lewis Hallam, as *Roderigo*. At the time of this benefit the American venture was in preparation, and one Robert Upton was sent to New York to prepare for the coming of the players. He proved false to his trust, and attempted to establish a theater on his own account, but met with little encouragement and had disappeared before the Hallams came to Virginia.

The Hallam company reached Yorktown in June, 1752, and began playing at Williamsburg on the 5th of September following, the opening pieces being "The Merchant of Venice" and "Lethe." The only other play the Hallam company is known to have performed at Williamsburg was "Othello," November 9, 1752. From Williamsburg Hallam went to New York, where he arrived in June, 1753, just one year after the arrival at Yorktown. The New York season lasted from September 17, 1753, until March 18, 1754. Mrs. Hallam played the leading parts in both tragedy and comedy, while her daughter, Miss Hallam, was put forward in farces. Hallam

seldom appeared. The great Shakespeare rôles were divided between Malone and Rigby, the former playing *Shylock* and *Lear*, and the latter *Richard* and *Romeo*. From New York the company went to Philadelphia, where the engagement was limited to twenty-four performances and one night for the benefit of the poor. The season began April 15, 1754, and closed in June. This ended the theatrical campaign of Lewis Hallam the elder, who retired with his family to Jamaica, where he died soon afterward.

A year or two after Mr. Hallam's death his widow married David Douglass, who organized a theatrical company in Jamaica in 1758 for another American campaign, with Mrs. Douglass as his chief attraction. Besides his mother, young Lewis Hallam was the only member of Mr. Douglass's company who had previously appeared in the New York and Philadelphia theaters. He had already become a full-fledged tragedian, although he was only in his twentieth year, sharing the leading parts in tragedy and comedy with Mr. Harman, as Rigby had previously shared them with Malone. Mrs. Harman, who was a daughter of Charlotte Charke and a granddaughter of Colley Cibber, was also with the company, and next in consequence to Mrs. Douglass. The low comedian was Owen Morris, who was identified with the American theater for a full half-century—1759–1809. After his arrival in New York, Douglass had much difficulty in obtaining permission to open the theater that he had built on what was called Cruger's Wharf, and it was not until December 28, 1758, that he began his season with the tragedy of "Jane Shore." The season was a very brief one, closing February 7, 1759.

During the following spring and summer Mr. Douglass built a theater at Vernon and Smith streets, in Philadelphia, which he opened June 25, 1759, and maintained with considerable regularity until the close of the year. He had obtained authority to act from Governor Denny, and the compact was kept, although the opposition to the theater was so great in the province that an act prohibiting plays was passed by the Assembly to go into effect January 1, 1760. After Philadelphia was closed against him, Mr. Douglass went to Annapolis, where he played an engagement extending from March 3 to May 12, 1760. The company also performed in other Maryland towns, and then invaded Rhode Island, playing engagements at Newport and Providence in 1761. In the autumn Mr. Douglass built another theater in New York, in what was then Chapel (now Beekman) Street, where he gave per-

formances from November 19, 1761, to April 26, 1762. This ended his first attempt to achieve the mastery of the colonial stage. In his few years of management Douglass had become an actor of considerable authority, attempting such parts as *Sir John Falstaff* in "King Henry IV.," and *Mercutio* in "Romeo and Juliet." In the latter young Hallam played the lover to his mother's *Juliet*. In the last New York engagement, Mrs. Hallam, the wife of the youthful tragedian, was seen in a few parts, but the pair separated soon afterward.

It has always been understood that after his retirement from New York, in 1762, Mr. Douglass did not venture upon the continent again until 1766, when he built the Southwark Theater in Philadelphia. On the contrary, he appeared in Charleston in November, 1765, and remained there until the following April. Lewis Hallam was not with the company, and, with the exception of Mrs. Douglass and Miss Hallam, the performers were all new to the stage. Only three of the new players were still with Douglass when he reached Philadelphia—Messrs. Woolls and Wall and Miss Wainwright. With the opening of the new theater in Southwark, Philadelphia, began the theatrical organization afterward known as the "Old American Company." Lewis Hallam was once more in the lead. Mr. Morris and Mrs. Harman were again with the company. On the opening night Miss Cheer appeared as *Katherine* in "Katherine and Petruchio," and subsequently succeeded to most of the parts previously filled by Mrs. Douglass. Mr. Woolls and Miss Wainwright were the principal singers. During this season a so-called comic opera, "The Disappointment," said to have been written by Colonel Thomas Forrest, afterward a distinguished officer in the Revolutionary army, was announced for production, but it was withdrawn because it contained "local reflections." As a recompense for its withdrawal, "The Prince of Parthia," by Thomas Godfrey, Jr., was produced April 24, 1767. This was the first tragedy written and played in America. The season lasted from November 21, 1766, to July 6, 1767, and was followed by a supplementary season of two months, September 24 to November 23, 1767. The latter was noteworthy for the first appearance in America of John Henry, who was the partner of Lewis Hallam after the Revolution in the management of the Old American Company.

While the company was playing in Philadelphia, Mr. Douglass built a new theater in John Street, New York, which was the second of the permanent theaters in the colonies, the Southwark being the

first. The first season at the John Street house lasted from December 7, 1767, to July 2, 1768. The company alternated between these two theaters down to the time of the Revolution; but Mr. Douglass found the patronage of the two cities inadequate as early as 1770-71. In the latter year he made a tour to the southward as far as Williamsburg, Va., playing at Fredericksburg, Suffolk, and other towns, and building a theater at Annapolis, where the company played an engagement in the autumn of 1771. In 1773 Douglass also built a theater at Charleston, S. C., which was the last of the many buildings he erected for theatrical purposes between 1758 and 1774. The company played at Charleston from December 22, 1773, to May 19, 1774. It was the manager's intention to reopen the New York theater in the autumn, and Mr. Hallam embarked for England from Charleston for the purpose of engaging recruits for the company; but in October the Continental Congress passed a resolution forbidding theatrical performances, in view of the impending Revolution, and the organization was disbanded. Hallam remained in England, where he appealed to the London public at Covent Garden Theater as *Hamlet* in 1775. His mother, Mrs. Douglass, died in Philadelphia at the close of 1774, and Mr. Douglass returned to Jamaica, where he became a magistrate.

It is an interesting fact, showing the theatrical activity before the Revolution, that while the American Company was acting in New York and Philadelphia in 1766-69 there was a company in the South giving performances at Annapolis and Williamsburg. This company was known as the "Virginia Comedians" in 1768, when it gave a long season at the Virginia capital; but it assumed the name of the "New American Company" when it was at Annapolis from January to June, 1769. The leading spirits of the Virginia Comedians were Messrs. Verling and Bromadge, and Mrs. Osborne, who had played with Douglass at Charleston in 1765-66, and Mr. Godwin, who was with the American Company at the Southwark in Philadelphia in 1766-67. All these were with the New American Company, with the exception of Mr. Bromadge. A number of bills of the Virginia Comedians at Williamsburg in 1768 have been preserved.

The most important annals relating to the American stage that have escaped the destroying hand of time are a collection of playbills made by Thomas Llewellyn Lechmere Wall—Mr. Wall of Douglass's company. These cover forty years of the theatrical life of the actor, and are especially valuable for the

complete information they afford in regard to the Baltimore Company, organized by Wall and Lindsay in 1782. Wall was perhaps the only member of the American Company who remained behind when Douglass returned to Jamaica in 1774. He was also the only manager who undertook to produce plays before the close of the Revolution. In 1781 he was at Annapolis giving entertainments with the assistance of his wife and daughter when the French army was on the march to Yorktown. For one of his performances at that time he succeeded in securing the services of the band belonging to the regiment of Count de Chaleur. Later in the year he went to Baltimore, where he repeated his Annapolis entertainments, and in conjunction with Adam Lindsay, a tavern keeper at Fell's Point, built a theater, of which Lindsay and Wall were the nominal managers, with Wall as the stage director. The company was formed on what was afterward known as the "commonwealth plan." The theater was opened January 15, 1782, and continued open without important interruptions until the 9th of July—forty-two nights. In all nineteen plays and fourteen farces were produced, and the total receipts for the season were £2841 17s. 5d., an average of £69 5s. 10d. per night. With the exception of the Walls the players were all new to the American stage, and, it may be assumed, were all amateurs.

The second season at the Baltimore theater extended from September 13, 1782, to February 7, 1783; but the house was closed from October 18 to November 15, 1782, when the company was at Annapolis. The receipts for ten nights at Baltimore were £896 6s. 7d., an average of £89 12s. 6d.; and for seven nights at Annapolis, £688 2s. 7d., an average of £98 6s. 1d. On the third night of the season at Baltimore, Mr. and Mrs. Dennis Ryan appeared in "Douglass," the former as *Young Norval* and the latter as *Lady Randolph*. Ryan dominated the company from the outset, and when Wall retired from the management, February 7, 1783, he assumed the reins, keeping the theater open from February 11th to June 9th. From Baltimore Ryan carried his company to New York and opened the theater in John Street, June 19th, keeping it open until August 16, 1783, although the city was still in the occupation of the British. Wall was with Ryan's company, which remained until the evacuation, giving two performances in October, 1783 while the military players gave a performance for Mrs. Ryan's benefit. In the winter Ryan again opened the Baltimore theater, the season extending from December 7, 1783, to February 14, 1784.

The only noteworthy event of this season was the first production of the "School for Scandal" in America, February 3, 1784, with Mrs. Ryan as *Lady Teazle*. After the close of the Baltimore season in 1784, Ryan took the company to Richmond, where he played a long engagement. Mr. Heard, who was the original *Sir Peter Teazle* in this country, joined the forces of Hallam and Henry, while other members of the organization found professional employment in the South during the rest of the century.

After the Revolution both Lewis Hallam and John Henry sought to control the theaters that had been built by Douglass; but Hallam was the first to present a company of comedians to the New York public, opening the John Street Theater August 24, 1785. None of his players had ever appeared under Douglass's management. The Old American Company had passed into Henry's control in Jamaica, and while Hallam and his feeble forces were playing their New York engagement Henry arrived with a number of the old favorites, ready to renew operations in the United States. The company included Mrs. Henry,—previously known to theatergoers as Miss Maria Storer,—Mr. and Mrs. Morris, and Mr. Woolls. Besides these were Thomas Wignell, an excellent low comedian, afterward one of the managers of the New Theater in Philadelphia, and Miss Tuke, who subsequently became Mrs. Hallam. Confronted by the returning players, Hallam proposed a partnership with Henry, and the firm of Hallam & Henry, which ruled the American stage during the next seven years, came into existence. The John Street Theater reopened under their management, November 21, 1785. This company played alternately in New York and Philadelphia, with an occasional visit to Baltimore and Annapolis, without any important changes in its composition until 1792, when Wignell seceded, carrying with him Mr. and Mrs. Morris. Hallam had agreed to send Wignell to England to engage recruits, but it was afterward determined that Henry should go instead. The quarrel that resulted was very bitter, but its final consequence was the establishment of the theater in America on new foundations. Henry engaged a number of capable actors and actresses whose names are part of the history of the American stage, while Wignell not only succeeded in building in Philadelphia the first really handsome and complete theater in the United States, but put into it the best company of players that had as yet been tempted to cross the Atlantic.

The only incident of the Hallam and Henry partnership, previous to the reorganization of the company, that needs to be noted here is the production of the first American comedy, "The Contrast," by Royall Tyler. This piece, which was first produced in New York April 18, 1787, was written for Wignell, who wished to play a Yankee character. Wignell's *Jonathan* deserves remembrance as the forerunner of the long series of stage Yankees that afterward became popular with American audiences. The comedy was printed in Philadelphia, and was often played by strolling companies before the close of the century.

The only really important recruits engaged by Mr. Henry in England were Mr. and Mrs. Hodgkinson, of the Bath and Bristol theaters, and Mrs. Wrihten, who had long been a favorite singer and actress at Drury Lane. Hodgkinson was a man of great talent and versatility, and the best actor seen in America up to that time and for many years afterward. He made his *début* as *Don Felix* in "The Wonder," at Philadelphia, September 26, 1792, succeeded Henry as one of the managers of the Old American Company in 1794, and was active as actor and manager in New York until after the opening season at the New Theater in 1798. Mrs. Hodgkinson, known at Bath and Bristol as Miss Brett, was an actress of merit, and in this country eclipsed both Mrs. Henry and Mrs. Hallam, the wives of the managers by whom the Hodgkinsons were engaged. Mrs. Wrihten was known in America as Mrs. Pownall. She died at Charleston in 1796, after introducing her two daughters to the stage in this country. One of them, Caroline, married Alexander Placide, who had been a rope dancer in England. She was the mother of the famous Placide family of actors. It was during this period that William Dunlap became prominent as a dramatist and adapter of plays. His first comedy, "The Father," was produced at the old John Street Theater, September 7, 1789. Dunlap became associated with Hallam and Hodgkinson in the management of the New York company in 1796, and he was afterward for a brief period the sole manager of the New Theater, better known as the Park.

After leaving the Old American Company, in the beginning of 1792, Thomas Wignell associated himself with A. Reinagle, a musician who came to America in 1786, in the project of building the New Theater in Philadelphia, afterward known as the Chestnut Street Theater. The house was modeled after the theater at Bath, and was completed early in 1793; but owing to the yellow-fever epidemic it







ALBERT M. PALMER.

was not opened by the company of players engaged by Wignell until February 17, 1794. Among the actors and actresses comprising the Philadelphia company were Mr. Fennell, a young tragedian of much promise; Mr. and Mrs. Whitlock, the latter a sister of Mrs. Siddons; and Miss George, who was the wife of Sir John Oldmixon, and was known to our stage as Mrs. Oldmixon. This company remained intact without any important changes or additions for three years, playing alternately in Philadelphia and Baltimore, with an occasional visit to Annapolis; but in the autumn of 1796 Mr. Wignell brought three important recruits from England—Mrs. Merry, the famous Miss Brunton of Covent Garden Theater, who had become the wife of Robert Merry, the Della Cruscan poet; Thomas Althorpe Cooper, then a young man of twenty, but destined to be the manager of the New York theater for many years; and William Warren, who had been a strolling player in England, and who became the successor of Wignell in the management of the Philadelphia theater. Mrs. Merry became a widow in 1798. She soon afterward married Wignell, and after his death she became the wife of Warren, who survived her many years.

A fortnight before the formal opening of the Philadelphia theater by Wignell's company a new theater in Boston, scarcely inferior to the Philadelphia house, was opened by an English company engaged and brought over by Charles Powell. This theater was in Federal Street, and was built by subscription. It was destroyed by fire in 1798. Powell's company was a feeble one, and he was compelled to relinquish the management upon the close of his second season in 1795. Powell was succeeded by Colonel John S. Tyler, a brother of Royall Tyler, the author of "The Contrast," who managed the house on behalf of the stockholders from January to May, 1796. The season proved a failure; but the theater was reopened in September by John Brown Williamson, an English actor, whose wife was popular in London as Miss Fontenelle; but neither he nor his wife, nor a stronger company than had as yet been seen in Boston, availed to make the season successful. One reason for this was that a new theater, known as the Haymarket, had been built through the exertions of Charles Powell, and opened by him for the first time December 26, 1796. Among Powell's English recruits for the Boston Haymarket were Mr. and Mrs. Giles L. Barrett, the parents of the famous New York comedian, George H. Barrett; Mr. and Mrs. Simpson, afterward New York favorites; and Mrs. Simpson's three daughters, the Misses

Westray, of whom Juliana became Mrs. William B. Wood; Eliza, successively, Mrs. Villiers and Mrs. Twaits; and Ellen, Mrs. Darley. Powell again failed at the Haymarket, and the house passed into the control of Hodgkinson, Hallam, and Dunlap, under the personal direction of Hodgkinson. The New York company occupied it in the summer of 1797, after which it was abandoned. The Haymarket deserves to be remembered for the production of two American war plays—"Bunker Hill," by John Daly Burke, February 20, 1797; and "West Point Preserved," the first of the André pieces, by William Brown, on the 17th of April following. Dunlap's "André" was not produced in New York until March 30, 1798.

This epoch, 1792-98, was also remarkable for theatrical activity in the South. Not only had the Baltimore company, including Mr. and Mrs. Ryan and Mr. Wall, played a long engagement at Richmond as early as 1784, but in 1790 John Bignall and Thomas Ward West were the managers of a company called the "Virginia Comedians." This organization maintained its existence for many years, its circuit extending from Richmond and Norfolk to Charleston. Bignall, who was held by his Southern admirers to be the best actor on the continent, died in 1794. His real name was Money-penny, and he had been a stroller in England in the same company with William Warren, of the Philadelphia theater. After Bignall's death West became the sole manager of the company, and piloted it over the Southern circuit for a number of years. In 1795 there was a rival theater in Charleston, conducted by Mr. Jones, who had been previously at the Boston Theater. His principal actress was Mrs. Whitlock, who had just retired from the Philadelphia company. A Frenchman, Mr. Sollee, succeeded to the management of this theater, and organized a company in Boston to play in Charleston for the season of 1795-96. Mr. and Mrs. Whitlock, Mr. and Mrs. Placide, and Mrs. Arnold—afterward Mrs. Poe and the mother of Edgar Allan Poe—were in the company.

The prosperity which had given to America three splendid theaters within five years—the Chestnut Street in Philadelphia, the Park in New York, and the Boston Theater in Federal Street, Boston, rebuilt immediately after its destruction in 1798—was followed by a period of depression that was severely felt over all the country. At the close of the century Wignell was in jail for debts incurred through the Philadelphia theater, and Dunlap, who had undertaken the sole management of the New York theater

to retrieve previous losses in New York and New England, lost his entire private fortune in the venture. Mr. Barrett was induced to undertake the management of the new Boston Theater in 1799, but he failed dismally.

In all these cities theatrical enterprises were experimental for several years, but in every case a manager was finally found in the local company who succeeded in placing the theater on a sound business and artistic basis. Mr. Warren, after he became Wignell's successor in Philadelphia, associated with himself in the direction of the Chestnut Street Theater a popular young member of the company, William Burke Wood. This partnership lasted until 1825. In New York the young tragedian Cooper retrieved the fortunes of the Park Theater and made the house a paying one for a number of years. In Boston, Snelling Powell, a brother of Charles Powell, secured control after other attempts had failed, including the assumption of the management of the Boston Theater by Charles Whitlock in 1800. John Bernard, an English actor of some repute who joined the Philadelphia company in 1797, was for a while Snelling Powell's associate in directing the Federal Street Theater; but for many years Powell's partner was Mr. Dickenson, who was an actor of moderate ability, but a man of sound judgment and an excellent manager. These were the dominating theaters in the United States during the first quarter of the century, and their influence in giving tone and character to theatrical enterprises in the country was felt down to 1850.

The Old American Company was designed to be permanent in organization, but all the early managers, from Douglass to Wignell and Hodgkinson, aimed at controlling a circuit of playhouses modeled after the provincial circuits in England. The building of the new theaters in Philadelphia, New York, and Boston resulted in giving companies that were permanent in organization permanence of home. These were the real stock-company days, but a tendency toward the star system was manifested almost from the outset. As early as 1796 Mrs. Whitlock played what was essentially a star engagement at the Boston Theater; it was limited to twelve nights, for which she was paid \$450 and allowed a benefit. Hodgkinson played star engagements in all the leading cities between 1798 and 1805, and Cooper followed Hodgkinson's example, and was a star from youth to old age. But the first star to shine with extraordinary effulgence in the American theatrical firmament was George Frederick Cooke. He was the first English actor of great reputation

who came to America to play the leading rôles of tragedy and comedy with the stock companies in the principal cities. In view of this the star system, as it ruled in the American theaters for the next half-century, may be said to date from his appearance here in 1810-11.

Simultaneously with Cooke's performances in the theaters of Philadelphia, New York, and Boston were the star engagements of our own "young Roscius"—John Howard Payne. Cooke played three engagements in Philadelphia—in all thirty-nine nights. His highest receipts for any one night were \$1475, his lowest \$474. His average for his last Philadelphia engagement of twelve nights in 1811 was \$807.50. Payne played to an average about the same time of \$442, while Cooper's Philadelphia average was \$509. Young Payne's popularity rapidly diminished, and in 1812 he performed to receipts that fell as low as \$255. After Cooke the next English star to appear in America was Holman, in 1812; but he came at a time of serious depression in consequence of the war with Great Britain, and the impression that he made fell far below his expectations. Then came Incledon and Phillips as musical stars, and after them the Wallacks, Henry and James W., and finally, to close the first decade of the star system in America, 1810-20, Edmund Kean. The great English stars who came to this country during the next three decades were Junius Brutus Booth and William Charles Macready, 1820-30; Fanny Kemble and her father, Charles Kemble, and Charles Kean, 1830-40; and Tyrone Power, James R. Anderson, and Macready, again in the fullness of his fame, 1840-50. This long period had developed only two American stars of surpassing brilliancy—Edwin Forrest and Charlotte Cushman.

The century opened with about half a score of theaters in the leading American cities, only three of which, as already described, were worthy of the name or of the drama. Between 1800 and 1850 about twenty theaters were built in New York, none of them superior to the Park, and only one, the Bowery, in any sense its rival, until Burton established himself in Chambers Street in the last decade of the epoch. The only new theaters of importance in Philadelphia during the same period were the Walnut Street and the Arch Street theaters, the former erected for a circus in 1808 and fitted up for theatrical uses in 1820, and the latter built in 1826. The theaters built in Boston in these fifty years were the Tremont, the American Amphitheater,—afterward the Warren and National,—Kimball's Museum,

the Eagle, and the Howard Athenæum. Baltimore had nothing better than the old Holliday Street Theater during this epoch, and Washington was without a place of amusement worthy of the drama until 1835. The theater builder of the period in the South and Southwest was James H. Caldwell. He built the American Theater in New Orleans in 1823, and afterward erected the Camp Street and Charles Street theaters. Mr. Caldwell also built theaters in Cincinnati, St. Louis, Natchez, Huntsville, Nashville, and Petersburg. Another manager, John S. Potter, was concerned in building as many, or more, theaters in the South and Southwest; but, after all, the theatrical activity of a century resulted in an approximate number of theaters in actual use at its close not exceeding fifty.

The figures that show the periods of prosperity and the intervening periods of depression are not easily obtainable, those that are in existence being widely scattered through books and newspapers or in private hands. The losses were sometimes heavy even in the early enterprises. The Philadelphia company in 1797 played fourteen weeks in New York with a loss of \$2350; but, on the other hand, Caldwell, in 1818, cleared \$10,000 in four months at Petersburg, Va. The receipts of the Park Theater, New York, for the season of 1832-33 reached nearly \$150,000, Fanny Kemble and her father drawing \$56,000 for sixty nights, an average of \$933 per night. In 1833-34, when the receipts at the Park fell to \$135,000 for the season, the Kembles averaged \$732 per night; but in 1834-35, without the Kembles, the season's total was over \$160,000. At this time the star system was at its height of favor, with both managers and the public; but its effects were disastrous in cities where there were rival theaters outbidding one another for the best stars. This was especially true of the managers of the three rival theaters in Philadelphia, who for nearly twenty years continued to cut one another's throats for the benefit of stars of no great magnitude. Wood, in his "Recollections," cites an example of the effects of the system. One of Fanny Ellsler's engagements in Philadelphia yielded \$10,869.25, out of which the danseuse received \$6436. The money paid to the other dancers, the ballet, and for the ordinary expenses of the house brought the expenditures up to \$11,826, involving a loss to the manager of \$1000 for ten nights. This system finally culminated about 1846, when nearly all the theaters in the country were ruined. But it was divided patronage as well as the excessive percentages of the stars that made the theaters in Philadel-

phia, New York, and Boston unprofitable; for in the South, where Caldwell had a monopoly in his own field from Richmond to New Orleans, the profits were very large, notwithstanding the frequent engagement of stars like Cooper, Booth, and Forrest. This contrast receives additional emphasis from the fact that Caldwell was the only manager produced by the first century of the American theater who died rich.

The century that will close with this decade has witnessed a partial revival of the old stock companies in their purity and simplicity, without the intervention of great stars, and it has also witnessed the nearly complete abolition of this form of theatrical organization. In the theaters managed by William Wheatley, John S. Clarke, and, for a time, by Mrs. John Drew in Philadelphia, by James H. Wallack in New York, and by Moses Kimball in Boston, stock companies were maintained. Later on, Lester Wallack, Augustin Daly, M. H. Mallory, Daniel Frohman, Charles Frohman, and the writer of this article in New York, and R. M. Field in Boston, kept together for years organizations which were managed upon the pure stock system. Only one or two of these companies remain. Throughout the country generally the theaters for a while employed stock companies, but mainly for the purpose of supporting traveling stars. This lasted until after the close of the war between the States, when the impetus given to business enterprises of all kinds was felt in renewed theatrical activity not only in the cities, but over all the country. What is known as the combination system (that is, a traveling company made up of a star and a supporting company), which began about 1869 and reached its highest development before 1876, involving the destruction of the stock companies in all except a few theaters, was the consequence of this theatrical revival. Nearly every inland town and city from Maine to California built a theater, with the expectation that traveling companies would occupy it at intervals. The demand thus created could be supplied only by the combinations.

One of the first results of this new state of things was the banishment from the managerial office of all, or nearly all, the actor-managers. Their places were filled by business men, who, while they may have lowered, in a sense, the artistic character of the theater, have raised its financial standing to a point which, during the first century of its existence, seemed beyond its reach. The theater in America is no longer a haphazard thing, living from day to day on uncertainty. It is a business conducted on the principles which govern other forms of commer-

cial enterprise, and is as stable, as sound, and as certain of adequate rewards as any. Indeed, so abnormal has been the development of the business character of the theater that it has excluded from general managerial attainments everything else. Very few of the managers throughout the country ever undertake the original production of plays, or take the trouble to acquire the artistic knowledge requisite for this kind of work. New York chiefly, and in a lesser degree Chicago and Boston, are the play-producing centers. A few New York managers and the play-producing stars select and bring forth all the plays and gather together all the companies which, supplemented by the imported attractions, keep the theaters of the country supplied with entertainment during the season. The advantage of this system is that playgoers everywhere are furnished with well-trained and perfectly equipped companies, appearing in plays which have been tried and found to be worthy. The local manager, free from the worries and cares incident to stage-work, devotes his time and attention to the comfort of his patrons at the front of the house, and to the strict conduct of business there. The results are well-regulated and comfortable auditoriums and good order in all the business departments of the theater.

A remarkable aspect of the American theater, from a commercial point of view, is the enormous profit it has yielded and continues to yield to home and foreign celebrities. Among American actors, Edwin Forrest acquired and left behind him a great estate, from the remnant of which was established the Forrest Home, near Philadelphia, a retreat for aged actors, noble in its purpose and efficient in its benefaction; Charlotte Cushman, resting for long periods in England and Italy, left a fortune of \$600,000; Edwin Booth, having made and lost more than one competency, renewed his financial successes in his declining years, and left \$750,000 to his heirs, after having founded the Players' Club at a cost of \$200,000; Mary Anderson retired from the stage after a few seasons of brilliant and uninterrupted triumph, to enjoy a happy marriage in her youth, her labors having brought her a fortune of \$500,000; Joseph Jefferson, blessed with that continuous vitality often found among the children of the stage, still reaps the harvest of his well-earned popularity, and should he retire now he would realize in his fortune of \$1,000,000 that the public he has served so long and so well is, to say the least of it, not ungrateful; while Lotta Crabtree, Fanny Davenport, Maggie Mitchell, Francis Wilson, and many others of diverse gifts are in the list of for-

tune's favorites. Among foreign actors, William C. Macready owed to America the realization of his dream of retirement from a profession he affected to loathe; Sara Bernhardt acquired here a fortune which enabled her to defy the authority of the house of Molière and to establish a theater of her own in beautiful Paris; Tomasso Salvini, adding his great earnings here to his modest ones in other lands, became the richest actor Italy has ever known; and Henry Irving has found in his frequent visits to our country a public eager and willing to fill his coffers to overflowing with the rewards so justly due to his unequalled managerial achievements and to his undoubted genius as an actor.

The list of the well-rewarded favorites of the public might be greatly extended, but this glimpse of results is sufficient to make clear the profits and prosperity of the American stage, and to indicate the extent of its commercial advancement during the century.

The development of the theater in all its departments, especially since 1860, has been vast. From not more than 100 in 1800, and fewer than 800 in 1860, the number of actors and actresses in the United States increased so immensely that in 1888 it was estimated at 4500, and now probably exceeds 7000. This number represents only the performers engaged in presenting the drama in its higher forms. It does not include the managers, who number several hundred, as compared with 25 or 30 in 1850 and 6 or 8 in 1800. If the exponents of variety and vaudeville and the other employees in the amusement business are added, the number of people who gain a livelihood by giving public entertainments will not fall below 12,000; including stage hands and all the persons who derive their support from the theater, the number may be roughly estimated at 50,000. This vast army of workers is well organized, generally well paid, and reasonably prosperous. It has numerous charitable and social organizations, which are models of their kind. The Actors' Fund, the Actors' Order of Friendship, the Players' Club, the Professional Women's League, are institutions of which any profession might well be proud; and there are numberless others of equal merit supported by the amusement makers of the United States. There are as many as 400 regularly organized theatrical companies on tour through the United States during the season, and the number of theaters of all kinds is not fewer than 4000. The cities of New York and Brooklyn have at the present moment first-class theaters in greater number than either Paris or London.

The improvement which has taken place in the


construction of theaters in America within the past twenty years is worthy of especial notice. The tragic disaster in Brooklyn on the night of December 5, 1876, awakened the attention of managers and of the public authorities in the different States to the flimsiness of construction which marked even the best theaters of the period. The result was the passage of new and most stringent laws, involving requirements which, while they seemed onerous, perhaps, have resulted in giving to America the best and safest theaters in the world. Even the older theaters, built before the new regulations, have been so altered under the direction of the authorities that they are now comparatively free from danger. In New York, where these regulations are perhaps the strictest, there is a larger number of absolutely safe theaters than in any city in the world; while for beauty and convenience combined with safety it is impossible to find elsewhere such theaters as the Garden, Abbey's, the Empire, the American, and the Metropolitan Opera-House. As the older houses pass away they must be replaced by absolutely fire-proof structures if replaced at all, and before the end of the next two decades it is almost certain that there will not be a building devoted to amusement in the Greater New York which will not be a model of safety, convenience, and comfort.

Perhaps the most marked change that has taken place in the American theater during the century, however, is in the character and number of its patrons. Attendance upon the theater was looked upon even fifty years ago by at least seven tenths of the people of the United States as almost a sin. The fashionable ungodly and the lowest and most depraved made up the audiences. We have seen how, in the Revolutionary period, theaters were closed by act of Congress, doubtless because, in those days of danger, the fathers of our country felt that they would help their cause by propitiating the Almighty, who was supposed to frown upon godless amusements. But in the last two decades this unreasonable prejudice against the most enjoyable and least harmful of all forms of amusement has so materially lessened that it is estimated by a

good authority that not more than three tenths of the people refuse to patronize the theaters as a matter of principle. It is true that a clergyman now and then inveighs against the stage in the old-fashioned, puritanical way; but his words, in all likelihood, fall upon ears that the night before were listening to the sorrows of "Camille" or were taking in the laughter-provoking catch-lines of "The Private Secretary." Indeed, the element of moral usefulness in the theater is no longer successfully derided. In 1878 there was established in the city of New York a theater the avowed purpose of which was to produce plays of a moral tendency, and to which religious persons might go. This effort succeeded. The theater was thronged for several years by a new class of theater goers. I do not hesitate to give it as my opinion that one of the most powerful agencies in breaking down the barriers which intolerance had raised between the better people in our community and the theater was this effort, so honorably put forth and so brilliantly carried out by the gentlemen who established the Madison Square Theater. Their influence was far-reaching. Their plays were given in almost every city and town and hamlet of the United States, and everywhere they had the same attractiveness; and thus they increased to an extent which can hardly be estimated the volume of theatrical patronage.

It is almost impossible to forecast the future of the American theater; but we may hope, I think, that as the past century has witnessed such a marked increase in its material prosperity, the next century will be marked by a distinct progress toward higher forms of art, toward a clearer appreciation of its mission by its patrons, and toward the creation of a national drama. Considering the brief history of the stage in the United States, and the vast future of this people, what the managers and the literary artisans are now doing is but the beginning, holding the promise of great achievements; the material greatness of our stage, already greater than that of any other country, must eventually find a corresponding elevation in its literature, upon which its prosperity will so largely depend.

*A. M. Palmer*



## CHAPTER XXV

# AMERICAN NEWSPAPERS

NEVER in the history of the world has there been a time when ideas were so necessary for progress and success as now. Right here I want to record the fact that the first journalist in America had an idea two hundred and five years ago which would be a very popular feature for any newspaper to-day. On the 25th of September, 1690, in Boston, he issued the first number of "Publick Occurrences, both Foreign and Domestick." In his salutatory he stated that there were many false rumors constantly circulated in the town of Boston which did a great deal of harm. He asked his readers to send him the names of people who started these stories, and he would print the list in his next and succeeding issues. Briefly, he proposed to publish regularly a list of the liars of the town. That is an idea which I think would certainly sell well to-day; but alas! the authorities of that day had no sooner read this announcement than they promptly suppressed his newspaper. The name of that original journalist was Richard Pierce. I now cheerfully embalm him in this history. I really believe that if he were now alive, in his prime, in any leading city, his contemporaries would find him an exceedingly lively and original journalist.

The first regular American newspaper was also born in Boston, the Boston "News-Letter," which was started by James Campbell, the postmaster, in 1704, eighty-two years after the first newspaper appeared in London. The first French journal was earlier than the first newspaper in England by seventeen years. Germany preceded all other countries, having made several ephemeral attempts at journalism in the last years of the sixteenth century.

Here are what I regard as the stages of American journalism, and its principal distinction at each stage:

1. A mere abstract of European newspapers.
2. Employed by the agitators of the Revolution for printing appeals to the people.

3. The puppet of the politicians in the first years of fierce party conflict under our new government, and usually edited by imported adventurers who had worn out their welcome everywhere else in the world; often men of flashing wit, but never men of sober purpose.

4. The vehicle of an editor's oracular and often eccentric opinions on politics. The press was now emancipated from the control of politicians; it was free, courageous, and influential, but was narrow in its field, and intolerant. It was not yet a newspaper, and it still excluded from its interest and support seven tenths of the people, including all the women and young people. To them the newspaper of 1815-35 was as forbidding as any political tract is to-day to women and children.

5. At last the News paper! It gives the news for the first time; it has vindicated and illustrated its name; it is more educational than ever, though less dogmatic; it is freer than ever, because it has become too vast a concern to be the mere instrument of any single personality or any single clique, however powerful; it has become a property instead of a plaything; it is devoted to the public interest and is more clearly the representative of the public, because it is too great to live on the favor of a few, as it once did; it is more independent and fairer in politics, because to attain the first rank it must have the respect of people of all parties. No mere organ of any party is a leader among the newspapers of any city to-day. The press is more scrupulous and conservative in all respects than ever before, because an immense capital is always at stake. It is more influential than ever, not only because it is more widely read and more varied in its interests, but also because its opinions carry the weight of business sagacity and success, as well as intellectual acumen.

Until the time of the Revolution the newspapers of the country were very small affairs. After we became an independent nation the politicians and



political parties did much to develop the press on the lines I have indicated; but the News paper came with the advent of the New York "Sun" and "Herald," in the early thirties. Still the great development of the century has been since the early years of our Civil War. Since then the progress in journalism has kept pace with the marvelous advance which has been shown in other lines of life. Indeed, since that time journalism itself has come to be regarded as a profession, and is properly considered by many as the "first" rather than the "fourth estate."

Let us consider cold but interesting statistics. Perhaps the average reader can get a good idea of the progress of one hundred years by a statement of the increase in the number of newspapers during that period, and the volume of the business which is annually transacted. There was no census of newspapers in the earlier years of our government. Thomas says that in 1800 there were at least 150 publications, and in 1810 the number had increased to 360, more than 20 being dailies. The dailies of that time (1810) were, in New York, the "Gazette," "Evening Post," "American Citizen," "Public Advertiser," "Columbian," "Mercantile Advertiser"; in Pennsylvania, the "Daily Advertiser," "True American," "Gazette of the United States," Philadelphia "Gazette," "Aurora," "Political and Commercial Register," "Freeman's Journal," "Democratic Press," "Evening Star"; in Alexandria, the "Daily Advertiser"; in Baltimore, the "Federal Gazette," "Whig," "Federal Republican," "Evening Post," "American"; in Charleston, the "City Gazette," "Times," "Courier"; in New Orleans, the "Gazette" and the "Courier." There were then no dailies published in Boston, Albany, or Cincinnati, although one had been issued in Boston as early as 1796.

The statistics in 1810 were:

NUMBER OF NEWSPAPERS PUBLISHED IN 1810.

STATE OR TERRITORY.	TOTAL	DAILY.	SEMI-WEEKLY.	TRI-WEEKLY.	WEEKLY.
New Hampshire.....	12	.....	.....	.....	12
Massachusetts.....	32	.....	9	.....	23
Rhode Island.....	7	.....	1	.....	6
Connecticut.....	12	.....	.....	.....	12
Vermont.....	15	.....	.....	.....	15
New York.....	67	6	9	.....	52
New Jersey.....	8	.....	.....	.....	8
Pennsylvania.....	73	8	3	1	61
Delaware.....	3	.....	.....	.....	3
Maryland.....	21	5	1	5	10
District of Columbia..	6	1	1	3	1
Virginia.....	23	.....	6	1	16
North Carolina.....	10	.....	.....	.....	10
South Carolina.....	10	3	2	.....	5
Georgia.....	13	.....	2	1	10
Kentucky.....	17	.....	.....	.....	17

NUMBER OF NEWSPAPERS PUBLISHED IN 1810.—Continued.

STATE OR TERRITORY.	TOTAL	DAILY.	SEMI-WEEKLY.	TRI-WEEKLY.	WEEKLY.
Tennessee.....	6	.....	.....	.....	6
Ohio.....	14	.....	.....	.....	14
Michigan Territory....	1	.....	.....	.....	1
Indiana Territory....	1	.....	.....	.....	1
Mississippi.....	4	.....	.....	.....	4
Territory of Orleans..	10	2	2	4	2
Territory of Louisiana.	1	.....	.....	.....	1
Totals.....	366	25	36	15	290

The American "Newspaper Directory" for 1895 gives this table, showing the number and frequency of issue of newspapers and periodicals published in the United States:

NUMBER OF NEWSPAPERS PUBLISHED IN 1895.

STATE OR TERRITORY.	DAILY.	WEEKLY.	MONTHLY.	QU'RTERLY.	TOTAL.
Alabama.....	21	153	16	.....	200
Alaska.....	.....	3	1	.....	4
Arizona.....	10	33	.....	.....	43
Arkansas.....	20	223	18	1	266
California.....	97	447	78	.....	640
Colorado.....	35	209	25	1	276
Connecticut.....	43	113	44	6	213
Delaware.....	5	26	5	.....	37
District of Columbia	5	36	19	4	67
Florida.....	15	114	12	.....	146
Georgia.....	26	237	42	1	311
Idaho.....	3	50	1	.....	57
Illinois.....	141	1,060	241	20	1,532
Indian Territory....	2	35	1	.....	39
Indiana.....	120	564	80	1	791
Iowa.....	68	810	65	5	979
Kansas.....	38	595	59	3	707
Kentucky.....	28	220	28	1	296
Louisiana.....	17	141	10	.....	173
Maine.....	17	108	47	4	184
Maryland.....	16	145	38	6	210
Massachusetts.....	79	343	184	24	657
Michigan.....	60	575	77	1	741
Minnesota.....	40	439	56	3	554
Mississippi.....	8	154	9	.....	177
Missouri.....	89	697	119	9	937
Montana.....	12	71	3	1	91
Nebraska.....	33	532	34	.....	614
Nevada.....	10	16	.....	.....	29
New Hampshire... ..	13	83	14	1	114
New Jersey.....	49	265	39	1	370
New Mexico.....	5	41	.....	.....	52
New York.....	178	1,127	530	47	1,993
North Carolina....	18	156	17	1	200
North Dakota.....	10	119	7	.....	139
Ohio.....	150	783	136	14	1,146
Oklahoma.....	12	90	7	.....	111
Oregon.....	17	143	21	1	189
Pennsylvania.....	197	921	234	14	1,433
Rhode Island.....	14	39	11	1	70
South Carolina....	10	90	6	.....	119
South Dakota.....	19	227	14	.....	264
Tennessee.....	15	213	33	3	275
Texas.....	56	548	32	1	659
Utah.....	8	39	7	.....	65
Vermont.....	4	61	13	.....	80
Virginia.....	34	181	44	4	272
Washington.....	18	181	22	1	225
West Virginia.....	12	141	12	.....	167
Wisconsin.....	54	467	37	2	578
Wyoming.....	5	32	.....	.....	38
Total.....	1,956	14,096	2,548	182	19,530

The total includes 37 tri-weeklies, 301 semi-weeklies, 5 tri-monthlies, 79 bi-weeklies, 272 semi-monthlies, 5 semi-quarterlies, 49 bi-monthlies, and 182 quarterlies.

From reliable sources the following list of newspapers, which were started prior to or during the year 1800 and which are still in existence, was compiled :

MAINE.	
Portland	Advertiser ..... 1785
NEW HAMPSHIRE.	
Keene	New Hampshire Sentinel ..... 1799
	Cheshire Republican ..... 1793
Portsmouth	New Hampshire Gazette ..... 1756
	Journal ..... 1793
VERMONT.	
Rutland	Herald ..... 1794
Windsor	Vermont Journal ..... 1783
MASSACHUSETTS.	
Greenfield	Gazette and Courier ..... 1792
Haverhill	Gazette ..... 1798
Newburyport	Herald (weekly) ..... 1793
Northampton	Hampshire Gazette (weekly) ..... 1786
Pittsfield	Berkshire County Eagle (weekly) .. 1789
	Sun ..... 1800
Salem	Gazette and Mercury ..... 1768
	Register ..... 1800
Worcester	Spy ..... 1770
RHODE ISLAND.	
Newport	Mercury ..... 1758
CONNECTICUT.	
Bridgeport	Republican Farmer ..... 1790
Hartford	Courant ..... 1764
New Haven	Connecticut Herald and Journal ... 1766
Norwalk	Gazette ..... 1800
Norwich	Courier ..... 1796
NEW YORK.	
Ballston Spa	Journal ..... 1798
Cambridge	Washington County Post ..... 1798
Catskill	Recorder ..... 1792
Hudson	Gazette ..... 1785
Newburg	Register ..... 1796
Owego	Gazette ..... 1800
Troy	Northern Budget ..... 1797
Utica	Herald and Gazette ..... 1793
New York City	Commercial Advertiser ..... 1797
	Shipping and Commercial List and New York Prices-Current ..... 1795
NEW JERSEY.	
Newark	Sentinel of Freedom ..... 1796
New Brunswick	Times ..... 1792
Trenton	State Gazette ..... 1792
PENNSYLVANIA.	
Chambersburg	Franklin Repository ..... 1790
Gettysburg	Star and Sentinel ..... 1800
Greensburg	Westmoreland Democrat ..... 1798
Lancaster	Intelligencer ..... 1794
Norristown	Herald ..... 1799
Philadelphia	North American ..... 1784
Pittsburg	Commercial Gazette ..... 1786
Reading	Adler (German) ..... 1796
York	Gazette ..... 1796
DELAWARE.	
Wilmington	Delaware Gazette and State Journal. 1784

MARYLAND.	
Annapolis	Maryland Gazette ..... 1745
Baltimore	America ..... 1773
VIRGINIA.	
Alexandria	Alexandria Gazette ..... 1784
GEORGIA.	
Augusta	Chronicle ..... 1785
OHIO.	
Cincinnati	Commercial Gazette ..... 1793

The total number in 1810 was 290; in 1850, 2526; in 1860, 4051; in 1870, 5871; in 1880, 11,314; in 1890, 17,616; and in this year (1895), 19,530. The circulation of any one daily newspaper did not, in either 1795 or 1810, go beyond 900, and that of the ordinary weekly or semi-weekly did not reach more than 600. Supposing that there were 13 dailies in 1795, issuing 310 times a year, 18 semi-weeklies and 7 tri-weeklies, sending out as many copies as a weekly, and 150 weeklies, the circulation for the year would be 9,985,400, and the value of the paper used \$62,410. The total number of copies issued of all kinds of newspapers in 1880 was 2,067,848,209, which might perhaps have been worth, as white paper, \$12,500,000. North states it at \$15,131,603.84. The amount received for these papers was probably not less than \$50,000,000. While the census attempts to make some estimates, it rarely does so with entire accuracy. The total receipts in 1880 were stated at \$39,136,306 for advertising and \$49,872,768 for subscriptions, making a grand total of \$89,009,074. Thus it will be seen that the advertising brought in 44 per cent. and the subscriptions 56 per cent. of the total receipts.

The amount received from advertising in 1890 was \$71,243,361, and from subscriptions and sales \$72,342,087, making a total of \$143,585,448. The advertising forms 49.62 per cent. and the subscriptions and sales 50.38 per cent. of this amount. The gain in advertising between 1880 and 1890 was about 82 per cent., and if, in the five years since then, the ratio has been maintained, which I see no reason to doubt, the advertising for this year will amount to \$100,000,000. The increase in the sales and subscriptions was about 43 per cent. in ten years, and if the same ratio has been maintained during the last five, the receipts this year from that source will be about \$90,000,000. The steady gain of the advertising is noteworthy, as the per cent. this year is likely to be 52.63, and 47.37 from circulation.

Of the total quantity of paper consumed in printing newspapers and periodicals, according to the census of 1890, 59.08 per cent. was used on the



CHARLES H. TAYLOR.



dailies; 30.79 per cent. on the weeklies, semi-weeklies, and tri-weeklies; and 10.13 per cent. on the monthlies, quarterlies, and all others. The aggregate number of copies of papers printed during the census year of 1890 for all classes of newspapers and periodicals was 4,681,113,530, distributed as follows: dailies, 2,782,282,406, or 59.44 per cent.; weeklies, 1,492,460,587, or 31.88 per cent.; semi-weeklies, 57,637,353, or 1.23 per cent.; tri-weeklies, 7,634,350, or 0.16 per cent.; monthlies, 232,617,133, or 4.97 per cent.; quarterlies, 32,479,100, or 0.70 per cent.; all others, 76,002,601, or 1.62 per cent. of the aggregate.

The patent insides, or papers printed partly in some considerable city and partly in the town of publication, played an important part in establishing the country weekly press, which has been the kindergarten of the American newspaper public. Now the stereotype-plate firms, which are making daily newspapers possible in every town of 7000 or 8000 inhabitants, instead of competing with the newspapers of the larger cities, are really helping them, because, while they satisfy the demand for local news, they stimulate a desire for general news, which only the big newspapers can satisfy.

When Max Maretzek was once asked if there was any money in Italian opera, he said he knew there was because he himself had sunk \$300,000 in it. Still money is made in opera, as in journalism. Many millions have been made in American newspapers, and many have been sunk. In New York, for instance, in 1840 there were 18 daily newspapers, with an aggregate circulation of 60,000. Since that time 110 have been started. To-day there are 29 or 30 daily papers, each having a circulation fifteen or twenty times greater than was enjoyed in 1840. The late Erastus Brooks once told my friend, William B. Somerville, of the Western Union Telegraph Company, that during his lifetime he had seen 67 daily newspapers born and die in the city of New York alone.

In Boston in 1846 there were 14 daily newspapers. Now there are 10, and yet the average circulation of the latter must be fifteen or twenty times greater than that of their predecessors of 1846. During the last twenty years I have seen more than \$2,000,000 sunk in old and new daily papers in Boston.

Perhaps I may here properly consider the value of a newspaper property. We do not seem to have any fixed standard in this country. In England a newspaper property is supposed to be worth the aggregate of its net income for five years. So much depends upon the personality and ability of the head of a

newspaper that this is considered a fair valuation. In this country very poor properties have brought very high prices, and very good properties have frequently sold for low ones. The New York "Sun" was sold as early as 1849 for \$250,000. During the management of Mr. Charles A. Dana ten times that sum has been refused for it. At both periods there were profits to warrant a good price. Mr. Joseph Pulitzer, on the contrary, in 1883 paid \$350,000 for an "opportunity" when he bought the New York "World." The paper had lost from \$50,000 to \$100,000 a year for a great many years before he bought it. The price paid at the time was ridiculously high, as by the sale Jay Gould simply unloaded a liability. But it was the merest trifle when one considers the possibilities which Mr. Joseph Pulitzer has developed in this paper, and the fact that he has made it one of the greatest and most profitable newspaper properties in the world.

The improvement in the methods for the quick transmission of news has, of course, been one of the most important factors in the progress of journalism, and the great growth here has been since our Civil War. Before the days of the telegraph there were three quick methods:

1. Pony expresses, with frequent relays of fast horses.

2. Carrier-pigeons were used almost exclusively in getting European news to Boston and New York from the steamship at Halifax, after the Cunard Line began its trips, that being the nearest port to Europe.

3. Special engines were often employed in the early days of railroading.

In addition to these, steamboats were used, particularly between New England ports and New York, and Albany and New York.

Henry J. Raymond, when a reporter for the "Tribune," brought printers and type-cases with him when coming to Boston to report a notable speech by Webster, and returned by boat. In a vacant room frames were set up, the cases upon them, and then as fast as he could write a sheet it would be put in type; thus it was ready for instant publication on arrival in New York. The New York "Journal of Commerce" and the "Herald" introduced the scheme of owning a swift-sailing yacht with which to meet European vessels and get news of the Old World.

One of the conspicuous enterprises of the century was the overland express from New Orleans to Baltimore which was established by Mr. A. S. Abell, of the Baltimore "Sun." It comprised sixty blooded

horses. During the Mexican War he not only led all other newspapers, but beat the government mails by thirty hours. The government received its war news from the "Sun" many hours ahead of its own despatches.

In 1846, when the country was in a great war excitement over the question of the Oregon boundary line between Great Britain and the United States, and the cry was "54 40 or fight," there was a combination of newspapers which sent a swift pilot-boat to England. Obtaining its news, then highly important, it hastened back. The cost was great, but not greater than the popular approval won by this early instance of newspaper enterprise. In the decade of the first general extension of the railway and the invention of the telegraph, which was between 1840 and 1850, American newspaper circulation increased more than twofold, New York printing and selling more papers than London. The newspapers were the first to seize upon the telegraph in 1844, 1845, and 1846, and they so crowded one another on the few wires then strung that by 1850 they were forced into press associations. These press associations would gather all the news along the lines of telegraph, some one at the end of the lines reading the newspapers from farther back in the country. From these the important news was clipped and sent with the rest. So it was with the cable, when finally established in the latter sixties. The Boston "News-Letter" in 1719 flattered itself because, whereas general European news had been a year late in its publication here, it had reduced the delay to five months. The Franco-Prussian War in 1870 was lavishly reported by cable by special war correspondents sent from the United States, and was the first important cable news. W. W. Story, of the Chicago "Times," while cable rates were yet high, caused 8000 words of the New Testament, at the time of its revision in England, to be cabled to him; and when the New Version reached New York on the steamer, he had it telegraphed to him in its entirety over twenty-one wires.

The extension of the telegraph lines, the increase in this business, and the lowering of the rates which has taken place within a few years, and the introduction of special wires, have made it possible for newspapers to get an almost unlimited news service. The New York Associated Press was formed in 1849, but it made very little use of the telegraph until 1861, partly because the public had not been accustomed to it, and partly because the rates were so high. Even as late as 1879 the night rate between San Francisco and Boston was ten cents a word, between

Chicago and Boston five cents a word, and between Washington and Boston two cents a word. Now the rate between San Francisco and Boston is one and three quarter cents a word, between Chicago and Boston one half a cent a word, and between Washington and Boston one third a cent a word. The rates have actually been reduced sixty-six per cent. The average rate paid by press associations is about fourteen cents for 100 words, regardless of the number of papers to which the matter is delivered.

In 1879 the Western Union Telegraph Company handled 28,000,000 words of specials, at an average rate of one and one half cents a word. Last year the same line handled 212,000,000 words, at an average rate of one half a cent a word. Mr. Somerville estimates that last year between 1,500,000,000 and 1,600,000,000 words were handled over the Western Union lines for the newspapers, and by the leased wires of the press associations. This year it will probably be very much larger. The Postal Telegraph Company handled about 82,250,000 words for the press during the year ending July 31, 1895. This does not include leased wires.

In July, 1866, the cable rates were \$100 for twenty words to newspapers and the public. The rate to newspapers now is ten cents a word for day or night service. The New York Associated Press, which was established in 1849,—much of its efficiency being due to Mr. James Gordon Bennett,—was followed by other associations. Various changes have been made from time to time, but the official list now embraces the United Press, the Associated Press, the New England Associated Press, the Maine Associated Press, the New York State Associated Press, the Southern Associated Press, the Trans-Mississippi Associated Press, and the Union Associated Press.

But promptness in gathering news would count for little indeed if not coupled with equal promptness in its distribution. Fortunately the facilities for rapid and wide-spread circulation of newspapers have grown with the growing facilities for getting a paper together. It is hardly more than thirty years ago since the Boston publishers, at least, depended upon boys or at most a wheelbarrow to carry their papers to the railway stations and outlying news-stands. But now a well-equipped and prosperous newspaper must have the use of dozens of delivery wagons. Moreover, where twenty-five years ago there was one train leaving any of our great centers of population, there now are a dozen trains to speed each edition of the newspaper hot from the press to the remotest hamlet of the contributory territory. But

even yet there are not trains enough, and the more prosperous newspapers find it necessary to charter specials of their own on Sundays and on days following important elections. One special newspaper train in New England, for example, makes a run of 303 miles every Sunday morning during the summer months.

The improvement in presses has, of course, had much to do with the progress of newspapers. The old idea that any shabby, insignificant, dirty building would do for a newspaper has been exploded, fortunately for the employees and the newspaper makers. A newspaper building should serve two purposes: it should be a credit to the city in which it is located, and it should also be large enough, as a factory, to produce an unlimited number of papers with due regard to the health and comfort of the employees.

See how we have progressed in presses. The old flat press of the colonial period, worked by a screw, could print 50 papers an hour. The compound-lever press came next, with a capacity of 250 an hour. The revolving-cylinder press in 1814 brought the capacity up to 1000 an hour. The London "Times" first achieved this "velocity." But in 1827 the "Times" had a double-cylinder press that printed 2000 an hour. In 1835 all American newspaper presses were worked by hand, and popular papers actually could not meet the daily demand upon them. Hoe's lightning steam-press, patented in 1847, was the first fast press obtained in the United States. It was made at first with four, but finally with six, eight, and even ten cylinders, the capacity of the latter being 30,000 an hour, printed on a single side. In 1865 the Bullock perfecting press was made in Philadelphia. This press made it possible to print a paper from plates, both sides at once, at the rate of from 6000 to 10,000 an hour. In 1871 R. Hoe & Company completed a perfecting press which printed from 10,000 to 12,000 eight-page papers an hour. Then followed the double press, the quadruple press, and now the sextuple, with a working capacity of from 60,000 to 75,000 eight-page papers an hour, and with attachments by which from four to forty-eight pages may be printed. An octuple press is now building. It will have the capacity of eight single presses and will print from four to sixty-four pages. Within a few years color-presses have been made by R. Hoe & Company, and there is also the Scott press for rapid color-work. The Hoe press will print from 16,000 to 20,000 four-page papers an hour, producing several colors at once. In 1861 the New York "Tribune" began stereotyping. Up to that time a paper with a large circulation had to

go to press earlier than its lesser rivals, and thus was at a great disadvantage in news.

Type-setting machines have at last come into general use among all the leading papers of the country. On these an expert operator can do the work of at least three men, as compared with hand-work. Some type-setting machines give a new cast of type each day, and all permit a large increase of product at a reduced cost. The machine most in use in the leading daily papers of the country is the Mergenthaler linotype, while the Thorne machine is used among a great many of the smaller newspapers and in book offices.

I have referred to the color-press, for now there are newspaper offices actually equipped for printing every hue of the rainbow. Yet excluding one transient illustrated daily in the late seventies, I am sure it cannot be fifteen years since any newspaper attempted regularly to illustrate its news even in simple black and white. Although the most ancient journals printed what are called "stock cuts" in their advertising columns, the process of cut making was not adapted to the swiftness required by the daily press until a time much more recent than we can realize when we look at the profusely and often admirably illustrated newspapers of to-day. Only twelve or thirteen years ago the woodcut was the only possible illustration, and since two and three days were required to make such a cut, its unavailability for newspaper uses is obvious. But with present methods, still in a comparatively undeveloped state, midnight happenings are often pictured in the regular morning editions of our papers.

No great progress was made in Sunday newspapers until the time of the Civil War. This naturally suggests a brief discussion concerning the size of newspapers. It is the size of the Sunday newspaper that is most extensively criticized, but this criticism is beginning to be applied to the large daily papers as well. The large newspaper is the only bargain of which people complain that they are getting too much for their money. It was only twenty years ago that the then leading Sunday newspaper of Boston increased its size from four to eight pages. On the day following many very intelligent and eminent citizens called at the office to express their indignation, and to insist that the paper was much too large, and, in fact, larger than the people would stand. The criticism has increased steadily with the growth of the papers. In my opinion this is as absurd as it is unjust. Equally idiotic is the carping against what are called the large blanket sheets. People sigh for the small compact newspaper of the olden times. If

the publishers should give them a sample of that kind of newspaper for a week there would probably be indignation meetings in every city, and a falling off in circulation which would bankrupt most of the newspapers. The newspaper, and especially the Sunday issue, covers so much ground to-day that people who have not carefully analyzed the situation have no conception whatever of the necessity for the enlargement which is coming year by year with the natural growth of American journalism.

While I was preparing this article the great international yacht-race for the *America's* cup was in progress in New York. Every live newspaper in the country was giving it pages each day, with illustrations. The accounts were so accurate and faithful, and the illustrations so correct, that a person who could not attend the race (and this was only possible for a small fraction of the people) could follow it from day to day as well as an actual spectator of the contest. I had a curiosity to inquire how much space the American press gave to the race in which the yacht *America* first won this cup. The race occurred August 22, 1851. The first news printed in America was in telegrams from Halifax in the issues of September 4th, in the Boston and New York papers, thirteen days after the race. The New York "Sun" had 500 words about the race tacked on the end of three quarters of a column about the markets and the harvests and miscellaneous European news. On September 6th the "Sun" had 500 words copied from the London papers. The "Tribune" of September 4th had a list of the passengers on the steamer which arrived at Halifax, the summaries of the market, labor notes, etc., followed by 250 words about the contest, there being only eight lines devoted to the actual description of the race. On September 15th the "Tribune" gave a column about the race, clipped from the London "Times." On September 6th the New York "Herald" published three quarters of a column from the London "Times." The "Evening Post" of September 4th had 200 words about the race at the end of a European despatch of a column. On September 12th the "Post" gave about 500 words descriptive of the race from its correspondent at Cowes.

In Boston the descriptions were even more meager. On September 4th the "Journal" printed one and one half inches about the race. The "Herald" had half an inch on its second page, without a heading. The "Post" had two and three quarter inches on its second page, among other foreign news, with no mention of the race in the heading. The "Advertiser" had two despatches, one on the first page, at

the bottom of the cotton market, half an inch in length, while on its second page it had three inches or more in a general despatch beginning, "The news from Europe is of little importance." The next day, when the English mail had arrived in the office, the "Advertiser" gave two thirds of a column, the "Journal" two inches, and the "Herald" three and one half inches. This gives one a good idea of the small compact paper of the old days, for which some people pretend to sigh. How would it answer to-day?

When Brooks assaulted Sumner, in 1854, I believe the longest despatch in any Boston paper on this startling and historic episode was less than half a column, that being printed at the bottom of the page.

Even as late as 1860, when Lincoln was nominated for the presidency at Chicago, one operator at the Wigwam sent out all the press matter that was offered to him in regard to it. In 1892, at the convention in Chicago which nominated Mr. Cleveland, the Western Union line had 100 operators at the convention hall, and in addition had a pony express to carry matter to the main office. It also sent from Chicago to newspapers throughout the country, during the days just previous to the convention, about 17,000,000 words of press matter. This was in addition to what the press associations sent over numerous leased wires, and the work of the Postal Telegraph Company. Did any one complain that the convention was over-reported? And what would have been done in newspaper offices with small newspapers when a proper share of this avalanche of news was received?

I cite these few examples; I might give hundreds. Do the people who criticize the size of newspapers realize what it means when they are told that the possible few hundred thousand dollars received in 1810 for advertising will amount this year to nearly \$100,000,000? Where are you going to put all this advertising in small compact newspapers? If a Sunday newspaper has from eight to twenty pages of advertising to start with, how in the world are you going to have a small compact newspaper? These pages of advertising are fully as interesting to many thousands of readers as the news and miscellaneous columns are. The fact is that the newspapers have simply kept pace with the development of the country. Whatever the critics may think or say, the people have indorsed this form of progress by buying their newspapers in constantly increasing numbers. The events that are covered now are numberless. I have not the room to enumerate them. Further-



more, the newspaper has the best talent among the story writers of the world, and among professional men of all kinds, and gives an immense mass of most entertaining, interesting, and instructive reading in addition to the news.

On the enterprise in giving news I need not dwell. Shall we go back to the old days when a Boston reporter told his editor that Daniel Webster was going to make an important speech in a town near by, and asked if the paper had better send a man out to report it? The editor said he thought not, because somebody would send in something about it within a few days.

The realm of journalism is enlarging so constantly that even the most enterprising and active men in it can hardly comprehend its limits or possibilities. If any thinker in any part of the world has a new idea of importance, is not his greatest aim first to reach the people through the universal press? A newspaper on Sunday, or even daily, is not meant to be devoured as a whole by each reader, any more than the guest at a hotel is expected to eat every dish on the bill of fare. Men, women, and children find a list of contents, and select to read that which interests them the most. That their wants are met with intelligence and success is best shown by the fact that millions more newspapers are circulated in every year of our history.


After all, a jury decides most questions out of the court as well as in it. The American people form

the jury which every newspaper and every business man has to meet. It may be claimed that papers print much matter which is useless and worthless. Any newspaper which does this very soon finds itself left behind in the race, and the people decide what they want and will have. A man who likes a common-sense shoe for comfort frequently wonders why the manufacturer should put a pointed toe shoe on the market. As soon as he sees millions of them worn in the streets the wonder ceases. Newspapers simply meet the demand of the age in size and in quality. I think that every person in this country can certainly make up his mind that newspapers will steadily grow larger instead of smaller. When the limit will be reached no man knows.

The controllers of newspapers are frequently criticized for what they print, and for the damage that they do in the community. Journalists have a much greater responsibility than other professional or business men. I fully believe that they appreciate it. They reach their ideal as nearly as they can. I believe firmly that the journalists of this country are just as loyal and patriotic citizens, just as true men, just as anxious to build up their communities, just as eager to uplift and broaden and improve the people, just as anxious to carry sunshine rather than sorrow and grief into the families which they visit, as are the same number of men in any other profession or any other line of business in these United States.

Chas. H. Taylor.





## CHAPTER XXVI

# THE AMERICAN TRADE AND TECHNICAL PRESS

ONE of the most surprising of recent developments of the press in America is the growth of trade and technical publications, which now far surpass in number and value those of any other country. Every line of trade, every science, every art, has its organs, in many cases wielding a large influence among the most enterprising and active classes of the community, and enjoying a degree of respect and prosperity commensurate with the importance of the interests which they represent.

This great development which has taken place, not within the century under review in this book, but more properly within the life of even the younger men of this generation, is one of the natural consequences that have followed the enormous extension that has taken place in almost every branch of production and industry, coupled with the division of labor, and the specialization which is characteristic of all the industrial arts and sciences.

The general newspaper keeps the public informed of the happenings in every country in the world, bringing men into one great community. So the technical press brings all professional and scientific men, as it were, together in one vast university, where the results of the thought, investigation, and experiment of all are made available for the common good. This is one principal reason why science and the industrial arts are advancing at a rate never before seen. The suggestion of a theory sets thousands of minds in distant countries and different environments instantly at work, and the theory is soon either established or overthrown. An invention or discovery which is destined to modify, perhaps revolutionize, a great industry, would probably have little interest to the general public, and the ordinary newspapers would be unwilling, even if they were competent, to treat it intelligently and fully. The technical press, however, brings it to the attention of those interested, and is glad to devote the necessary space to its discussion and illustration.

Every great trade has its organs which gather from the principal markets at home and abroad all that can throw light on its present and forecast its future condition, usually giving extensive tables of quotations which are inaccessible to the trade in any other way. They inform their readers of the bearing upon the trade of improvements in processes of manufacture that may cheapen production; they describe and illustrate the changes in style that play so large a part in many lines; they discuss public questions bearing on their trade with a knowledge of details and a grasp of the subject to be found nowhere else; they chronicle in many cases the gossip of the trade, and all strive to make each issue a compendium of everything of interest relating to the line with which they are concerned.

The editorial standard of the best technical and trade papers is very high. Their readers are experts in the topics of which they treat. They must, therefore, be edited by experts, and their contributions are often written by the ablest men in the business. Their readers will rebel against any inaccuracy of statement; and errors of judgment are not forgotten. A mistake in a quotation may entail loss on very many people, and will not be pardoned. The best trade papers employ a large corps of reporters who must be skilful and enterprising to ascertain the tendencies of the market before they have become apparent. They have, very generally, confidential relations with the leading minds of the trade. They must, above all, avoid being the dupes of interested persons. When a paper has established a reputation for a broad-minded, accurate knowledge of its trade, its influence is very great, and the leading dailies will quote it as the highest authority when discussing the subjects of which it treats and of which, in the nature of things, they cannot have so intimate a knowledge. Even when they do not quote it, they usually derive their information in large part from it. It will be studied in the com-

mittee rooms of Congress, and statesmen will form their opinions from its information, and fortify their arguments by quotations from its pages.

As a medium for advertising, the trade and technical press occupies a unique position. The advertiser can select the publication which goes to the class he desires to reach, whether in Maine or California, and he knows that each issue will be carefully consulted and read, the advertisements not being neglected, for its readers use it for business purposes, and are as eager to buy the best, the newest, and the cheapest, as he is to sell. As a result the leading journals of this class have always a large line of advertising, and it is, I believe, more generally profitable to the advertiser, if he use care and judgment, than that addressed to the general public in the ordinary newspapers.

The two fields, however, do not conflict. To reach the public it is necessary to use the publications they read; to reach a particular class, the special journal. But the advertiser must be sure the publications he spends his money in can really render him the service he pays for. The majority of the candidates for his business will, upon examination, prove to be but little worthy of it. If from the total were deducted those which are unsuccessful efforts to compete with the leading journals, and those which can only be properly characterized as traps, designed in fraud, to catch his advertising, the number would be very much reduced. If he has no sufficient knowledge himself of the field he desires to reach, his only safety lies in investigation and consultation with those who are in a position to inform him. "Claims" must go for nothing. I know of one weekly publication which enjoyed a large advertising business for years, and was very profitable, under a claim of 15,000 circulation, when they never had as many as 250 subscribers.

The growth of the American trade and technical press has been largely coincident with that of the trade and industry of the country. The early newspapers of America were devoted entirely to politics, war, and foreign news. The editors of that day did not know how to pick up the interesting news which was at their doors. Rarely was anything published in a commercial way, and only three or four times a year was the market price of country produce given. In the "New York Gazette" of March 4, 1739, there were quotations of flour, rum, wheat, corn, molasses, tea, and sugar, and it stated that cotton, wool, turpentine, and indigo were not in the market. Other newspapers gave brief reports occasionally in the same way. They rarely extended to twenty

lines. This continued to be the rule up to the end of the Revolutionary War, and for some years after, although a larger tabulated market list, sometimes one or two columns in length, was given toward the end of this period by some daily journals. Among others which did this was the "New York Diary," published by Samuel Loudon, and the "United States Gazette," published in Philadelphia by Enos Bronson.

The desire to have this information in detail, and to have it every week, was the occasion of the founding of the "Shipping List" and of the "Price Current," at the beginning two distinct publications. These were afterward consolidated in the "Shipping and Commercial List and New York Price Current," the oldest commercial paper in America, and of which this volume celebrates the centenary. They were not absolutely the first in date, but were preceded by others of the same kind. Frederic Hudson, in his comprehensive book entitled "Journalism in America," states that the "Boston Prices-Current and Marine Intelligencer, Commercial and Mercantile," the publication of which was begun on the 5th of September, 1795, was the first regular and legitimate commercial paper issued in this country. It preceded the "New York Price Current," begun on December 21, 1795, a little over three months. It did not, however, continue as a commercial paper later than 1798, when it embraced politics, and a year or two afterward changed its name. Each of these journals was small, and required little time on the part of the printer, who was still the only editor. Several other price-lists of this kind were begun in early years and were maintained for a long time; two are still existing — one in Philadelphia and one in New York.

Meager and insufficient as they were, they supplied the needs of the public until the advent of the "Journal of Commerce," in 1827. This newspaper, although reasonably well conducted, was not successful until two new men — Hale and Hallock — took it. The latter was the editor, and Hale was the manager. It speedily became more utilitarian, paying great attention to all that could interest commercial men, and its markets were well reported. It was as good as could be expected until New York grew greater, until something of modern methods was known in journalism, and until improvements in machinery rendered possible the production of a newspaper easily and at a moderate cost. David M. Stone began reporting the money market about forty-five years ago. His previous experience on newspapers had been small, and he was

chiefly known as a writer of poems and light sketches. When he began his reporting of Wall street, he did it at much greater length than his predecessors and rivals had ever attempted, and it was followed up with extreme thoroughness. Little had been given relating to the stock market as far back as 1830; the first newspaper which made a specialty of this line being the "New York Herald," at its beginning in 1833. The "Boston Post" shared with the "Journal of Commerce" and the "Herald" in the thoroughness of its ship news. The "Philadelphia North American" and the "Baltimore American" devoted much attention to these topics. Many general commercial weeklies have since been begun, covering every field.

Something more, however, was needed than this. However good a general journal may be, it can only cover the whole field incompletely. The last business directory of New York gives nearly three thousand occupations sufficiently large to be carried on in trade or manufactures in an office or shop apart from other business. It might be thought by a superficial observer that these callings could be classed together, and that they might be grouped somewhat as they are in the census, under manufacturing, commerce, etc. But the commerce in naval stores, for instance, is entirely different from that in dry-goods; and the manufacture of shoes bears no analogy to that of Bessemer steel. The maker or dealer desires chiefly to know what is going on in his own calling; what others are doing in it; what new things are coming out; what competition he is likely to meet; what the prices are for the goods he handles, and what the price of the raw material he needs may be, together with general news of the commercial world. This he requires to be given with fullness and particularity. No weekly or daily can be so planned that it can include this special information among other topics, for the journal would be too large for convenience, and the subscriber would care nothing about the remainder of its contents.

It was not until 1830 that any newspaper was begun bearing exclusively upon one commercial subject. It was the "American Railway Journal" of New York. A few others appeared and disappeared in the interval which succeeded before the first specialty commercial journal which still exists was founded in 1846. Conditions were not favorable, and it was only after long struggles that what is now the "Dry-Goods Economist" was at last on firm ground. The previous journals were weak and inefficient, and of no particular use either to him who

sought for abstract information, or to him who desired to increase his sales or purchase his goods more cheaply. This periodical began in the largest trade—one which now in its subdivisions prints many journals; but it then had difficulty in making both ends meet, or in attracting the attention of either buyers or sellers. The next important journals were those in the hardware trade and in leather, now known as the "Shoe and Leather Reporter" and "The Iron Age." After some years of struggle their position was secure, and their value was perceived, not only by those in the same occupation, but by those in other callings, and similar journals soon began to multiply.

The philosophy of such a journal is that it masses together the information of the day in a way to render it pecuniarily profitable to the reader, if in the trade. It is of importance that the merchant or manufacturer should know the cost of his raw commodities, and the fluctuations in the value of all that enters into them. The price of coal affects the woolen manufacturer, for he must buy large quantities of it. A war in the East Indies between Holland and England affects the canned-goods manufacturer, for it sends up the price of tin; and a series of earthquakes in Sicily enhances the price of many chemicals, for it makes sulphur more difficult to obtain. Trade at the present day is carried on with more accurate knowledge of the sources of supply, the quantity which may be expected, the prices at which an article is selling, the cost of transportation, and the probable amount of competition which will be met, than it was half a century since. Every source of competition and supply must be watched by the commercial man of to-day, if he is to be more than a mere retailer, and the knowledge is most surely and amply obtained through a trade journal. How else can he know what is going on? Suppose the French government publishes a book on the diseases of grapes, all information being gathered by experts. Will the grower in America know of this unless his trade journal tells him of it? It is in French, and he cannot read it even if he hears of it; but his journal gives a summary of its facts and shows its conclusions. This may be worth many thousands of dollars to him; but he could have no knowledge of such facts without a newspaper.

Much of the advancement of American science is owing to the technical press. What the ancients knew upon any subject has to a great extent been lost to us because their writers had no means of supplementing or aiding each other. A discovery in history, art, or science was made, but was not



DAVID WILLIAMS.



specifically recorded in some book. There was no method of giving bare announcements, of communicating interesting facts to those engaged in the same studies, or of preserving trifles. This continued to be the case to a less extent long after the discovery of printing, although there was, then, of course, an opportunity of publishing a pamphlet, and there were universities in which many branches were taught. Such was the only course open to Americans until the advent, in 1818, of Professor Silliman's periodical, the "American Journal of Science," in New Haven, and the "Journal of the Franklin Institute," in Philadelphia, in 1825.

Soon medical journals sprang up in Boston, New York, and Philadelphia, and are now to be found everywhere. A little later druggists' journals were begun. In law, a periodical was founded in New York eighty years ago, but legal journals were not common until nearly half a century later. The "Scientific American" was founded in 1847. Since 1840 some scientific or semi-scientific journals have been begun each year, and various professional journals, which have had no relation to science, have also been originated.

No means exists for finding out the exact position of the trade and technical press in 1860 or 1865, for no newspaper directory was then published. It may be estimated, however, that there were in 1860 about twenty trade papers and fifty other technical papers. In 1872 there were in the United States 124 trade papers and 132 other technical papers in forty-one different lines. Among these are not included religious, agricultural, educational, or sporting journals, although these are also class journals of a certain kind.

The rate of multiplication has not ceased since, the total number of technical journals now being over seven hundred, and of trade papers over a thousand. The wide field they cover will be seen by the following list of subjects:


Architecture, anthropology, astronomy, the army and navy, agents, art trade, advertising, banks, botany, brewing, building, building and loan associations, butchering, brickmaking, books, book-binding, bookkeeping, blacksmithing, carpentry, carriages, carpets, cabinetmaking, clocks and watches, chemistry, collecting (objects of art or science), commerce and finance, china decorating, clothing, coal, catering, confectionery, crockery, cemetery management, cooperage, cordage, crops,

corporation reports, credits, custom house news, drugs, dry-goods, dentistry, the deaf, dumb, and blind, electrotyping, engineering, exporting, express business, elevator and grain trade, entomology, economics, electricity, furniture, fruit, fire protection, fish, fancy goods and notions, furnishing goods, fashions, gas, groceries, glassware, geology, hardware, hops, hosiery, hotel keeping, hairdressing, history, hats and caps, iron and steel, insurance, ice trade, jewelry, law, ladies' wear, lumber, leather, lithography, laundrying, manufactures, mathematics, mechanics, mental philosophy, machinery, microscopy, mining, mineralogy, metals, milling, music, nature, nursing, numismatics, newspapers, optics, oölogy, ornithology, produce, printing, paper, plumbing, provisions, patents, postal matters, paints, power, photography, philately, philology, psychology, popular science, railroads, real estate, storekeeping, stationery, street-railways, soap making, sugar manufacturing, slate trade, spirits, science, saving-banks, shoes, shipping, social science, sanitation, statistics, stocks, tanning, trade-marks, tobacco, tailoring, textile manufacturing, upholstering, undertaking, weaving, woodenware, wine, wall paper, weather, and whaling.

Every important field has several publications. For example, there are thirty-seven now in groceries, although the first was not begun until 1869; and there are probably fifty in printing, although no printers' journal appeared before 1855.

It is too soon to tell what the future of the trade and technical press will be, but it is apparent to those who are most conversant with its history, and who have devoted the largest study to its details, that the development of the past will be continued in the future. Every group of thinkers, every line of trade, every one interested in certain kinds of knowledge, will require better means of communication, a more thorough analysis of facts, and more certain methods of chronicling the occurrences of the day. Many new lines will doubtless be represented in the press, while it is not unlikely that the increasing demands of both readers and advertisers will drive out of the field many of the weak and questionable publications which are now parading under the banner of the trade and technical press. The pace will be a hard one, and only those can keep it up whose business is based on a substantial foundation and managed with unflinching energy, intelligence, and enterprise.

*Daniel Wilson*



CHAPTER XXVII

AMERICAN MINES

A CENTURY seems but a brief period in the history of an industry in this old world of ours, and though mining, next to agriculture, has been an occupation from the earliest times, when Tubal-cain was "an instructor of every artificer in brass and iron," nevertheless, when we consider mining as an "industry," in the modern acceptation of the term, a few hundred years reach far back toward its commencement, even in the older countries. But a single century ago an American mining industry had not been born, though gold was then produced in this country in an irregular and unsystematic manner, and bituminous coal, which had been known to exist in Illinois as early as 1670, and in Virginia, Kentucky, Ohio, and Pennsylvania certainly as early as 1770, or a century later, and anthracite, which had been discovered in Pennsylvania in 1768, were mined, though in very small quantities, for the use of blacksmiths, at various points throughout the country.

The American mining industry may be said to have commenced about three quarters of a century ago (1820), when Virginia was producing nearly 50,000 tons of bituminous coal a year, and all the rest of the country perhaps 15,000 tons more, and when the output of anthracite in Pennsylvania

amounted to 1965 tons, of which 365 tons were shipped that year down the Lehigh River to Philadelphia, a shipment which is generally assumed to have been the commencement of the anthracite trade. From this modest and recent beginning the American mining industry has advanced with a marvelous rapidity, until in 1894, a year of unprecedentedly low prices, its products in their first marketable form had a value of \$553,356,499, a sum which, though less by ten per cent. than the value of a smaller output the previous year, was still much greater than the value of the mineral production of any other country in the world.

This marvelous growth of the industry, and the fact that nearly every mineral and metal is now produced in this country at a cost as low, and in most cases lower than in any European country, while the wages of the workmen who produce them here are far higher than in any other country, must be recognized as demonstrations of skill, knowledge, and enterprise without equal in any other part or age of the world. It is natural, therefore, that the eyes of the whole industrial world should be turned toward the American mining industry for instruction in the arts that have produced these standing miracles.

TABLE OF PRODUCTS, BY DECADES.

YEAR.	COAL. MET. TONS.	PIG-IRON. GROSS TONS.	LEAD. GROSS TONS.	COPPER. GROSS TONS.	QUICKSILVER. FLASKS OF 7½ LBS.	GOLD. OZ. FINE.	SILVER. OZ. FINE.	PETROLEUM. BARRELS OF 42 GALS.
1820 .....	67,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1830 .....	409,000	165,000	7,163	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
1840 .....	2,000,000	347,000	15,000	.....	.....	40,000	25,000	.....
1850 .....	7,500,000	563,755	19,500	650	7,723	2,418,965	33,673	.....
1860 .....	13,000,000	821,222	14,000	7,200	10,000	2,225,447	116,019	500,000
1870 .....	29,940,607	1,665,178	15,919	12,600	30,077	2,418,965	12,375,360	5,200,000
1880 .....	60,813,453	3,835,190	87,314	27,000	59,926	1,741,500	30,320,000	26,286,123
1890 .....	141,589,080	9,202,702	126,888	119,000	22,926	1,588,880	54,517,440	45,822,672
1894 .....	154,229,383	6,657,388	143,332	161,510	30,440	1,923,619	49,846,875	48,527,336



Let us glance at the course of the industry as outlined in this table, and call attention to a few of the elements that have characterized its marvelous story.

Coal mining commenced in this country in Virginia, where, as has been said, the output as early as 1820 was about 50,000 gross tons a year. The rest of the country is estimated to have added to this 15,000 tons of bituminous coal, and the anthracite trade commenced with an output of 1965 tons. At that time we were sixth in the list of coal producers. Austria-Hungary, Belgium, France, Germany, and Great Britain exceeded the United States in output. Ten years later, in 1830, the total production of coal here exceeded 400,000 tons, and we had already passed Austria-Hungary, and then ranked fifth. In 1840 our output had nearly reached 2,000,000 tons, the demand for iron making and steam-engines having greatly stimulated the production. In 1850, with an output of about 7,500,000 tons, we had already passed Belgium, France, and Germany, and held, as we have since done, the second place. Great Britain was then producing about 54,000,000 tons, or more than seven times as much as the United States; but we have since gained so rapidly on her that it seems certain that by the close of the century, or in the year 1900, the United States will, with an annual production of about 200,000,000 tons, pass Great Britain, and hold from that time forward the first place as the producer of this "foundation of modern civilization."

In attaining this enormous output the mines have grown to great extent, though they have reached but moderate depths, no coal-mine in the United States to-day having a vertical depth of 2000 feet. Yet, with even this depth, some of our mines are the most "fiery" or gaseous in the world, and have called for a perfectionment of mine ventilation probably unequalled in any of the older countries. It is no uncommon thing to find a Pennsylvania anthracite mine circulating 250,000 cubic feet of air per minute through a single fan. This is done with a very low water-gauge, thanks to the large sectional areas of the airways which are possible in our great coal-beds.

Though in no other coal country do the mines produce such enormous amounts of explosive gases, yet in none are serious explosions so rare, because the mines are so thoroughly ventilated by enormous fans and by skilful distribution of the air in the workings. Half a century ago there was scarcely any systematic ventilation, and there was no official

inspection of mines until after the "Avondale disaster" in the Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania, in 1868, when 110 men were suffocated in the mine by the burning of a shaft and shaft-house, the fire having been caused by a ventilating furnace in the mine. This "accident" enlisted attention, already directed by the mining journals, to the need of better ventilating appliances, and the writer of these lines then aided in drawing up for the Pennsylvania legislature the first law enacted in America requiring efficient ventilation of mines and the appointment of State inspectors of mines to see to its enforcement.


Fires in mines are sometimes caused by powder-blasts (the use of explosives being necessary in the hard anthracite), but they are quickly extinguished by the wonderful skill that constant practice has engendered. Water is led down the shafts and through the mine in pipes and hose, so that when such a fire occurs, water under the pressure of many hundred feet head is instantly thrown on it. In pumping machinery great improvements have been made, until now the old Cornish standard of 100,000,000 pounds of water raised one foot high by the expenditure of 112 pounds (one hundredweight) of coal has been far surpassed.

The system of mining in universal use in the anthracite mines, and in general use in the bituminous beds, is what is known as chamber and pillar work, "chambers," "rooms," or "stalls" being excavated in the coal, the intermediate portions of the bed being left as pillars to support the roof or rock over the coal. In a few—too few—places the "long-wall" system, under which the whole of the coal-bed is excavated and the roof allowed to fall, has been adopted. No radical improvements have been made in the systems of coal mining, which, especially in the anthracite fields, are extremely and disgracefully wasteful of coal. It is estimated that the coal and coal-dirt wasted in the culm banks in the anthracite fields since the mines were opened amount to thirty-five per cent. of the entire production of the mines, or to some 400,000,000 tons. At present this loss is smaller, but it may be counted at thirty per cent. of the coal shipped to market. Timber is still used for props, and in all the anthracite and in most of the soft-coal mines powder is used for breaking down the coal. In mechanical appliances vast progress has been made. The tools of the coal miner are better and lighter here than in any other country, and auger-drills in the anthracite, and coal cutters of various designs in the bituminous mines, are now in common use.

Underground haulage is done on roads laid with heavy steel rails, and with one or other of the following means: mules or horses, steam-locomotives, wire ropes, and, more recently, electric motors.

Hoisting is effected with abundant power and at comparatively high speeds, though, since none of our coal-mines yet attains a vertical depth of 2000 feet, very rapid hoisting cannot be practised. It is in the handling of the cars that the greatest economy is shown. Most of the coal is hoisted to the surface in the mine cars. In the anthracite mines

Wyoming Valley, Pennsylvania, in one month of which the details are at hand. This shaft has a hoisting depth of 470 feet. During the month of October, 1891, this colliery was operated twenty-four days and one and one half hours (ten hours constituting a day), and it shipped 70,152 tons of coal, to which we must add, as already mentioned, about thirty per cent. for coal and coal-dirt sent to the waste or culm banks. This would give us a total of 91,150 tons hoisted from the shaft in the month, or 3798 tons a day, or nearly 380 tons an



where vertical shafts are used a single car (from 80 to 100 cubic feet capacity) is raised at a time on the cage. In some cases the cage with the car on it is dumped automatically, but in more cases the car is pushed off the cage and run some distance to the breaker, where it is dumped. The time occupied in changing the cars, taking an empty car off and putting a loaded one on at the bottom, and the reverse at the top, of the shaft,—that is, from the time the cage emerges from the shaft until it disappears,—is about seven seconds only, and this wonderful speed is kept up hour after hour through the day.

I may cite as an example of this almost incredible work the hoisting at the Nottingham shaft, in the

hour. Each car (eighty-six cubic feet), therefore, carried about 2.88 tons in addition to its own weight, which was 2250 pounds. In all 3.88 tons were moved at top and bottom of shaft within about seven seconds. Since there were two hoistways in the shaft, one car going up while the other went down, and the average hoist per day of ten hours was 1318 cars, or 132 cars an hour, a single trip was made in about fifty-four and one half seconds, including the changing of the car at the top and bottom, and the time required to hoist the 470 feet. The whole of the 70,152 gross tons shipped (or 91,153 tons hoisted) came through this one shaft. To show that this was not merely a spurt for a month





RICHARD P. ROTHWELL.

it is sufficient to say that in June of the same year the average hoist through the month was 1305 cars a day, and shipments were 66,714 tons in twenty-three days and three and one half hours; in July, in twenty days and eight and one half hours, 57,145 tons were shipped, 26,468 cars being hoisted; in August eighteen days and nine hours were worked, and 23,527 cars were hoisted and 51,031 tons of coal shipped. This record is believed to far exceed anything ever done in any other country in the world, though it has been almost equaled in other collieries in Pennsylvania.

It is by such extraordinary speed—rendered possible only by the adoption of ingenious mechanical and labor-saving devices—that the output per man in the American coal-mines exceeds that in any other part of the world, as shown in the diagram on the preceding page; and that, in spite of the payment of much higher day wages, the cost of coal is less than anywhere else in the world.

The anthracite coal is all broken in rolls and sized in various classes in screens for the trade—a custom which, while rendering its use much more convenient, adds to its cost and to the waste of the coal, as already stated.

The economy with which coal is mined in this country may be illustrated by a certain colliery which produces about 1800 tons of bituminous coal per day of ten hours. The miner is paid twenty-five cents per ton for mining and loading in the mine car, and the total cost delivered on the railroad cars is about forty-five cents per gross ton. A total cost of forty cents per ton is reached at some of our other collieries, and a selling-price of sixty cents per gross ton has enabled certain mines to pay very handsome dividends for a number of years past, though miners earn from \$1.50 to \$2.25 a day.

The actual average selling-price of all the coal produced in the States of Pennsylvania and West Virginia in 1894 was only seventy cents per ton, while in several other States it averaged seventy-five to eighty cents per ton; and the average selling-price of all the bituminous coal mined in the United States in 1894 was only eighty-eight cents per short ton, or say ninety-six cents per ton of 2240 pounds. In Great Britain the average price of coal at the mines in 1894 was \$1.59 per ton, and in 1893 it was \$1.63 per ton. The average wages paid to all men working in the coal-mines of Great Britain in 1894 were \$5.50 per week, as compared with about \$12 per week in Pennsylvania. It is quite evident, then, that the cost of mining coal, as well as other minerals, depends much more upon other things

than on the rates of wages of the workmen. With wages fully twice as high in the United States, the selling-price of coal at the mines is just about one half as great as that at English mines.

Anthracite is, of course, much more costly than bituminous coal to mine and to prepare for market. Nevertheless it is mined and prepared at a total cost of from \$1.20 to \$1.40 per gross ton, as against about \$1.40 to \$2 per ton at the same mines in 1830. Miners earn now from \$1.75 to \$2.25 per day, and laborers \$1 to \$1.75; while wages at the anthracite mines in 1830 were \$1 per day for miners and eighty-two cents for laborers. It must also be remembered that in 1830 the mines were all working above water-level, requiring neither pumping, hoisting, nor much ventilation. The progress made in coal mining is thus shown in an actual large reduction in cost, while the difficulties and many of the elements of cost, including wages, have greatly increased.


The production of coal and the growth of the industry in the United States as compared with that in other countries are shown graphically in the diagram on the following page.

The mining of other minerals than coal has shown a progress both in economy and in extent of production which is, in some respects, still more wonderful than that shown in the coal industry.

The iron-ore industry probably commenced with the shipment of ore from Jamestown, Va., to England in 1608, and was continued with the production of iron in this country. The mining of iron ore in the early years was confined to a small and intermittent output from open-pit work on brown hematite or bog-ore deposits, requiring no mining skill. Iron was produced solely in bloomeries previous to 1724; and after that pig-iron was produced in blast-furnaces. The cost of mining ore in open pits, and with the low wages prevailing in those days, was much higher than it is to-day, when in the red-ore mines of Alabama thirty-five cents per ton is a common cost figure, and in the great Mesabi iron-ore mines of Minnesota, where the average cost of mining several million tons of ore that will run sixty to sixty-two per cent. in iron will this year probably not exceed fifty cents per ton; and there are mines where, after the stripping of the bed has been done, the actual cost of mining is at present less than ten cents per ton. The industry, which was formerly carried on laboriously by hand labor and wheelbarrows, with an output of a few tons a day, now employs steam-shovels and railroads in open pits, and the output per shovel per day (ten hours) is 1500 to

2000 tons, and there is a record of 3200 tons of ore having been loaded into the railroad-cars by a single steam-shovel in ten hours. The influence of the

have been made. The system of mining now most in favor where the ore is not very hard is the working in chambers, which are kept full of ore as the



rate of wages on the cost of mining in such cases is infinitesimal.

In regular underground mining in the iron-mines of Michigan and Minnesota great improvements

excavation goes on. Thus no timber is required, and when the chamber is opened through to the mined ground above it, the ore is drawn out from it, the roof allowed to fall, and then the pillars are

worked out from the top down by what is known as the "caving system." In other cases the whole of the ore is worked by the caving system in horizontal slices, commencing at the top, the roof being allowed to fall as the ore is mined out. By these methods and other improvements ore that only a few years ago cost over \$2 per ton is now mined at a cost of seventy-five cents to \$1 per ton. The average value at the mines of all the ore mined in the United States in 1894 (nearly 12,000,000 tons) was but \$1.10 per ton. A large proportion of the 14,000,000 tons of ore which will be mined in this country in the current year (1895) will be quarried or mined in open pits as described above, as is all the limestone used for flux in the blast-furnaces.

The cost of quarrying stone has been so greatly reduced that contracts for rock-work on the great Chicago drainage canal, where the sides of the excavation are cut down in smooth vertical walls by channeling-machines, are made at only seventy-three cents per cubic yard, or say thirty-seven cents per ton.

Lead-ore mining is carried on for the most part for the winning of silver as well as lead, and the cost of lead is therefore affected by the values of the other metals gained. Nevertheless in Missouri and Kansas, where ores are mined for lead alone, this has been mined, concentrated, smelted, and sold with profit even below three and one quarter cents per pound of lead, though the grade of the rock scarcely exceeded six per cent. Where the ore is somewhat richer it is estimated that the mines can compete in cost with Spanish mines, which are operated with labor costing about one third as much as here. The reductions in cost of both lead and zinc are, however, due rather to improvements in metallurgy than in mining. The price of pig-lead, which in 1820 was 6.36 cents per pound, was in 1894 but 3.29 cents per pound.

Perhaps in no other department of the mineral industry has progress been so rapid or so great as in copper mining and metallurgy. Though the existence of great deposits of native copper in Michigan was known to the early Jesuit missionaries, yet copper mining as an industry had its modest beginning about 1846, or just half a century ago, when Michigan produced 26 tons of the metal, and all the rest of the country produced only 124 tons. In 1850 the total output of the United States was only 650 tons, and in 1880 it was 27,000 tons. Since then there has been an enormous development of this industry, the output going up at a wonderful rate; in 1890 it had already reached

the enormous figures of 119,609 gross tons, and in 1894, 161,510 tons, or almost equal to the aggregate production (163,349 tons) of all the other countries in the world, as shown in the diagram on the following page. This country has, indeed, now become the great source of supply for Europe, and the regulator of the copper markets of the world.


The reduction in the cost of copper, as in that of every other mineral product in the United States, has been due to increased skill, knowledge, and economy in administration, and has been almost everywhere accompanied by higher wages and a general betterment in the condition of the workmen. Lake copper, the standard brand, which in 1860 was worth 22.25 cents per pound, in 1870 was sold at 20.74 cents; in 1880 it was worth 20.12 cents per pound; while in 1890 it brought 15.75 cents per pound, and in 1894 only 9.55 cents per pound, all in New York. Yet with these prices the output constantly and rapidly increased, and the producers made handsome profits, even greater with the lower prices of recent years than with the high values of the earlier days. What these profits have been may be judged from a few examples. The Quincy Copper-Mine, in Michigan, has a capital of \$200,000 paid in, and has paid in dividends no less than \$7,690,000. It has for many years paid from \$200,000 to \$450,000 a year, or from 100 per cent. to 225 per cent. a year on the money invested. The Calumet and Hecla Mine, with a paid-in capital of \$1,250,000, has already divided dividends to the amount of \$43,850,000, and pays regularly about \$2,000,000 a year, or 150 per cent., on the capital invested.

The copper-mines in Michigan are the best examples known of skilful and economical mining. They are much the deepest mines in the world; the new Calumet and Hecla shafts are now more than 4800 feet in vertical depth, while the Tamarack shafts are 4400 feet, and the new Tamarack shafts will be 5000 feet.

We may take the Atlantic Mine as affording perhaps the best illustration of what the art of mining has attained in this country. This mine, which in 1874 produced 69,728 tons of ore, produces now more than five times as much, or 315,626 tons of ore a year. The cost of stoping has been reduced from \$17.33 per fathom in 1874 to \$3.42 in 1894, and drifting per foot from \$14.42 to \$4.23. The introduction of rock-drills and high explosives was not at once a great economy; but as experience was gained, and as the contract miners made higher wages by their use, the economy became more and

more apparent, and affected not alone the cost of breaking ground per foot or per fathom, but, through the rapidity with which ground was opened and could be broken, the extent of openings required for

has undergone a progress scarcely less important than that shown in drifting and stoping. More work per man per day is accomplished through the greater facilities provided for doing it, and through



a given output was reduced, and the work in every department was pushed with a degree of energy heretofore unknown.

It would be absolutely impossible to attain the great output of to-day by the old methods of work, no matter how many men were employed. The general conduct of the work in and about the mines

the high-pressure energy infused in every department by the example of one department where men strive to earn extra high wages by the use of improved appliances. The great reduction in the cost of work has been accompanied by an increase in the remuneration of the workmen from an average of \$46 per month in 1881 to \$51 per month in 1891.



Comparing the costs in 1874 and 1894, we find:

	1874.	1894.
Tons stamped.....	69,728	315,626
Average yield of ore.....	98%	70%
Cost of mining and all surface expenses, taxes, etc.....	\$2.32	\$0.75
Transportation three miles to mill.....	.18	.03
Concentrating.....	.99	.23
Freight to market, smelting, selling, etc.....	.35	.18
<b>Total cost mining expenses.....</b>	<b>\$3.82</b>	<b>\$1.19</b>

When the work of mining a hard rock in a mine nearly 2000 feet deep, the transporting of the rock to a mill three miles distant, the crushing and concentrating of the ore, the smelting of the concentrates and refining the copper, the transportation 1500 miles to market, and all the expenses of administration both at the mine and at the companies' offices in New York, are done at a cost of \$1.19 per ton of ore milled; and when an ore which carries only fourteen pounds of copper to the ton can be mined at a profit with copper selling at 9.55 cents per pound, we have certainly reached the marvelous. No other mine in the whole world can equal this.

In gold and silver production the story has been the same. The American miner invented the wonderful "hydraulicking" process by which the work of mining the gold-bearing gravels is performed by jets of water under very high pressure, the water being carried across valleys thousands of feet deep in iron pipes, and carried around precipices in timber flumes pinned to the face of the vertical cliff in a manner which for boldness and originality has never been equaled elsewhere. Thus it is that gravels containing gold to the value of only five cents per cubic yard are worked at a profit. It is to American engineers and metallurgists that the greatest improvements in gold and silver milling are due, a fact so universally recognized that our engineers are now found in charge of the most important mining and metallurgical enterprises in every part of the world, and their services are so highly valued that they are paid in South Africa and in Australasia from \$15,000 to \$50,000 a year salary. The United States is the largest producer of both gold and silver among the nations; in silver, in fact, it produces about thirty per cent. of the whole world's output.

The ore of the American quicksilver-mines is extremely low grade, having only about twenty pounds per ton, as compared with about 200 pounds per ton of ore in the Spanish Almaden Mine. Wages paid in California are from \$1.50 to \$3 per day, or four times as great as those paid in Spain. Never-

theless the American mines have been able to stand the competition, and have even paid dividends, though they seem now almost exhausted.

It is characteristic of the two countries that while the average number of tons handled for each worker in the Spanish mine was only 6.23 tons per year, at the California mines just ten times as much, or sixty-three tons, was handled in the same time per workmen employed. It costs no more to extract and reduce rich ore than poor, and were the American ores equal in richness to the Spanish, the production of the American mines would be ten times as great, and the cost would be \$2.64, or, including flasks, \$3.64 per flask, as against \$7.10 in the Spanish Almaden, notwithstanding the difference in wages.

We may extend the review of work done, of great increases in output and diminutions in cost, until we exhaust the entire list of mineral products, and we will find substantially the same story; but this is unnecessary. In 1840 our mineral industry was summed up in four items: coal, 2,000,000 tons; pig-iron, 347,000 tons; lead, 15,000 tons; all other minerals valued at \$238,980 and employing 728 men.

The table (given on page 186) of the mineral and metal production in the United States in 1893 and 1894, or fifty-four years later, adding up to a value of \$553,356,499, tells the story of the present. What language can more eloquently describe the progress of this industry?

For this table, as well as for the diagrams comparing the world's production of coal and copper, and for much of the other data used in this paper, I am indebted to the volumes of "The Mineral Industry: Its Statistics, Technology, and Trade in the United States and Other Countries."

The achievements of the American mining industry are indeed marvelous, and have never been approached by those in any other country. It was argued, and not so very long ago, that though nature had munificently endowed this favored land with the richest of her mineral gifts, yet the high prices of wages (which average from two to five times as much as in European countries), enhancing the cost of production, and the enormous distances which our coal, iron, copper, lead, zinc, and other minerals and metals would have to be transported to tide-water ports, would forever prevent the United States from competing in the markets of the world with the old European countries, or, as it is sometimes expressed, from competing with the "pauper labor" of Europe or of China and Japan. Glorious achievements have triumphantly answered

No.	PRODUCTS.	CUSTOMARY MEASURES.	1893.			1894.		
			QUANTITY.		VALUE AT PLACE OF PRODUCTION.	QUANTITY.		VALUE AT PLACE OF PRODUCTION.
			CUSTOMARY MEASURES.	METRIC TONS.		CUSTOMARY MEASURES.	METRIC TONS.	
NON-METALLIC.								
Abrasives :								
1	Corundum and emery	Short tons	1,747	1,585	\$140,589	1,220	1,106	\$109,500
2	Garnet	"	1,520	1,379	55,800	1,000	907	35,000
3	Grindstones	"	45,340	41,133	345,920	37,400	33,922	335,800
4	Millstones	"	155	141	2,359	297	269	4,447
5	Tripoli and infus. earth	"	1,351	1,226	25,625	1,802	1,634	36,687
6	Whetstones	"	1,903	1,726	89,550	1,735	1,574	84,450
7	Alum	"	96,000	87,093	2,880,000	72,000	65,304	2,160,000
8	Antimony ore	"	850	771	41,000	165	150	9,075
Asbestos and Talc :								
9	Asbestos	"	120	109	6,000	250	227	3,750
10	Fibrous talc	"	36,500	33,113	337,625	39,600	35,917	396,000
11	Talc and soapstone	"	20,100	18,235	366,825	21,044	19,087	401,892
12	Asphalt	"	3,490	3,166	68,682	4,198	4,080	75,654
13	Bituminous rock	"	31,404	28,489	114,752	34,199	31,018	148,120
14	Barytes	Long tons	26,632	27,067	133,160	23,758	24,141	95,032
15	Bauxite	"	11,041	11,222	55,205	10,732	10,908	42,928
16	Borax	Pounds	9,199,000	4,173	689,925	13,140,589	5,962	919,841
17	Bromine	"	348,399	158	87,100	379,444	172	98,655
18	Cement, natural hydraulic	BbLS., 300 lbs.	7,445,950	1,013,238	5,010,958	7,895,259	1,074,179	4,397,407
19	Cement, Portland	"	673,989	91,715	1,052,173	738,196	100,352	1,080,644
20	Clay, refractory	Short tons	3,214,989	2,916,501	4,822,483	3,375,738	3,061,794	4,050,885
21	Clay, kaolin	"	30,183	27,382	205,667	24,552	22,246	185,169
22	Coal, anthracite	"	<sup>1</sup> 47,355,387	42,960,116	74,605,885	<sup>1</sup> 52,010,433	47,183,345	80,879,404
23	Coal, bituminous	"	<sup>1</sup> 128,826,364	<sup>1</sup> 116,869,397	<sup>1</sup> 123,899,415	<sup>1</sup> 117,950,348	<sup>1</sup> 106,953,311	<sup>1</sup> 103,842,467
24	Coke	"	8,939,961	8,110,245	14,706,544	8,495,295	7,766,846	12,654,558
25	Cobalt, oxide	Pounds	3,894	2	5,452	6,550	3	8,843
26	Copperas	Short tons	17,862	16,204	134,520	14,897	13,511	104,100
27	Copper sulphate	Pounds	54,000,000	24,492	1,822,500	<sup>2</sup> 60,000,000	27,215	2,016,000
28	Chrome ore	Long tons	1,629	1,646	16,000	2,653	2,697	35,125
29	Feldspar	"	17,000	17,274	85,000	23,280	23,655	116,400
30	Fluorspar	Short tons	9,700	8,800	63,070	9,000	8,165	64,000
31	Graphite	Pounds	882,912	400	39,731	770,846	349	34,689
32	Graphite, amorphous	Short tons	1,691	1,534	8,996	165	150	1,252
33	Gypsum	"	330,231	299,582	927,615	287,517	260,834	849,925
34	Lime	BbLS., 200 lbs	<sup>2</sup> 60,000,000	5,443,164	30,000,000	<sup>2</sup> 56,750,000	5,148,326	28,375,000
35	Magnesite	Short tons	1,143	1,037	8,000	1,370	1,243	4,864
36	Manganese ore	Long tons	9,150	9,297	60,000	11,735	11,924	74,899
37	Mica, ground	Pounds	679,000	308	29,522	829,500	377	35,957
38	Mica, sheet	"	6,500	3	5,478	9,900	4	11,103
39	Monazite	"	130,000	59	7,600	750,000	340	45,000
40	Natural gas	"			14,000,000			11,000,000
41	Paints, mineral	Short tons	44,799	40,559	726,160	38,801	35,200	662,262
42	Paints, vermilion	"	37	34	40,000	41	37	45,600
43	Paints, white lead	"	88,500	80,286	9,469,500	87,242	78,155	8,445,174
44	Paints, zinc oxide	"	25,000	22,679	1,875,000	22,814	20,697	1,711,275
45	Petroleum (crude)	BbLS., 42 gals.	50,349,228	7,043,857	32,223,505	48,527,336	6,788,974	40,762,962
46	Phosphate rock	Long tons	981,340	997,140	3,434,690	952,155	967,485	2,856,455
47	Marls	"	200,000	203,814	540,000	225,000	228,622	607,500
48	Precious stones	"			200,000			250,000
49	Pyrites	Long tons	95,000	96,529	285,000	107,462	109,192	466,466
50	Salt, evaporated	BbLS. 280 lbs	9,703,419	1,232,392	4,945,583	9,161,053	1,163,508	4,608,275
51	Salt, rock	"	1,935,642	245,838	678,064	2,341,922	297,438	788,681
52	Silica, sand and quartz	Long tons	300,000	304,814	330,824	315,531	320,610	347,951
53	Slate, roofing	Squares	803,887	237,014	2,956,895	693,944	204,656	2,551,259
54	Slate, other manufactures	Square feet	4,138,920		475,681	5,099,791		499,578
55	Soda, natural	Short tons	2,500	2,268	12,500			
56	Soda, natural sulphate	"	90	82	450			
57	Stone, limestone (flux)	Long tons	3,750,000	3,810,375	2,250,000	3,544,393	3,601,458	2,126,636
58	Stone, marble	Cubic feet	5,639,681	429,399	2,087,758	5,681,766	433,093	2,177,280
59	Stone, onyx	"	2,175	166	28,750	1,450	110	29,000
60	Other building stones	"			<sup>2</sup> 38,000,000			<sup>2</sup> 30,000,000
Total, non-metals					\$377,517,086			\$353,760,877
METALS.								
61	Aluminum	Pounds	312,000	142	202,800	817,600	371	490,560
62	Antimony	Short tons	350	318	63,000	220	205	39,200
63	Copper	Pounds	327,255,788	148,441	35,179,997	353,504,314	160,392	33,540,489
64	Gold	Troy ounces	1,739,323	<sup>3</sup> 54,093	35,955,000	1,923,619	<sup>3</sup> 59,824	39,764,708
65	Iron, pig	Long tons	7,043,384	7,157,782	93,888,399	6,657,388	6,764,572	71,966,364
66	Lead, value at New York	Short tons	166,678	152,080	12,434,178	160,867	145,906	10,585,048
67	Nickel, fine	Pounds	25,893	<sup>3</sup> 11,745	12,429			
68	Quicksilver	Flasks, 76½ lbs.	30,104	1,046	1,108,527	30,440	1,056	1,095,840
69	Silver, commercial value	Troy ounces	60,500,000	<sup>3</sup> 1,881,731	47,311,000	49,846,875	<sup>3</sup> 1,550,387	31,403,511
70	Zinc spelter	Short tons	76,255	69,178	6,214,732	74,004	67,135	5,209,822
Total metals					\$232,370,022			\$194,095,622
Est. products unspecified					6,000,000			5,500,000
Grand total					\$615,847,108			\$553,356,499

<sup>1</sup> Bituminous coal includes brown coal and lignite. The anthracite production is the total for Pennsylvania, Arkansas, and Colorado.

<sup>2</sup> Estimated.

<sup>3</sup> Kilograms.

these timid economists. True, our wages have remained far higher than in any other country, and have actually advanced, except in our far Western mining camps; and even there the net earnings have increased by reason of the cheapening of the cost of living. True, also, the miles to tide-water are many; but distance disappears when railroad freights are much less than a cent per ton mile. To-day we are producing coal, iron, copper, gold, silver, and many other metals and minerals cheaper than anywhere else, and have already demonstrated our ability to compete successfully in the markets of the world with any other producer. Moreover, strange as it may appear, it is with the product of our highest-priced labor, that is, with fine machinery and high-class goods, that we defy competition in prices and are most successfully competing with Europe.

To whatever department of the mineral industry we turn we learn the same lesson: that the unit cost of most mineral products is lower in the United States than elsewhere, and that the labor cost of producing nearly everything is less here than in any other country, while our rates of daily wages are the highest paid in the whole world. The explanation of this apparent paradox is neither difficult nor doubtful. The self-reliance engendered by our free institutions, the intelligence, industry, and enterprise which are stimulated by the possibility of earning not only a competence, but wealth and luxury, and the wants which larger means and better conditions create, all tend to make our labor more efficient than that of the older countries, where traditional conditions limit wants and cause life to the workman

to be without inspiration and almost without hope. Moreover, the scarcity of workmen and the high rates of wages have necessitated economy in the use of labor, and have led to the invention of wonderfully ingenious and practical labor-saving devices, and have encouraged the adoption of every improvement discovered or introduced elsewhere. The outcome has been that these contrivances and improvements have increased the efficiency of labor here beyond the increase in wages, and have thus actually lessened the labor cost of producing nearly everything, and especially in the higher classes of products, in which the proportion of cost of labor is greatest, to a point below that in producing the same articles in countries where the fetters of custom retard the introduction of improvements, and where lack of incentive lessens the efficiency of the workman.

Magnificent natural resources, intelligence and industry in the workmen, knowledge of what all the rest of the world is doing, and enterprise to adopt whatever is advantageous, are the solid foundations on which this marvelous development of the American mineral industry is based. Technical and trade periodicals, technical schools, and technical societies have been the efficient aids in this progress and prosperity.

Time was when the supremacy of a nation was determined by the sturdy wielding of the sword; to-day the nation that makes cheapest and best the material from which sword-blades are fashioned is strong. It is preëminence in the ennobling arts of peace that now renders nations invincible and their people prosperous and contented.

*R. P. Rothwell*





## CHAPTER XXVIII

# AMERICAN QUARRYING

IF it is true, as is claimed by many, that the prosperity and growth of a country can be traced in the character and stability of its buildings, then it must be that the United States in the original plan of creation was given advantages far superior to those of other countries. The natural resources of this land, fast being developed by American ingenuity and skill, have at no time been more apparent than during the past twenty years, as is shown in the quantity and quality of stone buildings which have been erected. As wood in building has given way to brick, and brick in its turn has given way to stone, so have American quarries, out of barren pasture-lands supposed to be worthless, been worked and developed until last year they yielded a product of more than \$37,375,000, distributed among the different kinds of stone as follows: Granite, \$10,029,156; marble, \$3,199,585; slate, \$2,790,324; sandstone, \$3,945,847; limestone, \$16,512,904; bluestone, \$900,000. I know that figures and statistics are as a rule dry and uninteresting, and yet there is nothing that will show the growth and present volume of the quarrying business more quickly or eloquently than the few here given. In 1889 the capital invested in this industry, represented by 4257 quarries, was \$89,688,133, which probably is not far from the amount at the present time. Employment was given to upward of 83,000 men, to whom was paid nearly \$31,000,000 in wages. There were produced 235,264,351 cubic feet of stone for building, monumental, and mechanical purposes; about 75,000,000 cubic feet (principally limestone) for street and bridge work; nearly 1,000,000 squares of roofing slate, and 18,474,668 barrels of lime.

The census statistics just completed by Dr. Day show that in actual output of stone for every purpose Pennsylvania takes the lead, with shipments last year of over \$5,245,507; with the Buckeye State second, its output being a little over \$3,500,000. If,

however, we exclude the amount used for lime, flux, and road-building, Vermont would be at the head of the list in actual production of stone for building and monumental purposes, with shipments in 1894 of \$3,053,602. Although stone suitable for at least rough building purposes is largely distributed (the census returns being made up from the productions of forty-four different States), and will, I believe, in time be extensively worked everywhere, yet at the present day the different marketable products are limited to a few places, so much so as to give the localities where found a world-wide reputation. The great bulk of the granite output comes from the eastern coast of the United States; slate from Pennsylvania and Vermont; sandstone from Ohio, and marble from Vermont, Tennessee, Georgia, New York, and Massachusetts, the product from the three latter States being used largely for exterior building, while that from Tennessee is employed principally for interior decoration.

One hundred years ago stone-quarrying in this country was practically unknown. There were isolated places where the native rock was used sparingly in buildings, or rough slate or marble slabs had been hewn out to mark a grave, but quarrying as such cannot be said to have begun until many years later, and then only in a very meagre and small way. The total output, even fifty years ago, would have been no more than wealthy men to-day put into a private residence for themselves. Nothing shows the comparatively recent growth of this industry better than the gain made from 1880 to 1889, the production in 1880 being a little over \$18,500,000, while for 1889 it was nearly \$53,000,000.

It is impossible in the course of this article to trace with any degree of detail the history of the quarrying interests up to the present time. In some of the oldest cemeteries in New England you will occasionally find a slate slab bearing an inscription that



REDFIELD PROCTOR.



shows it was erected previous to 1795, one even bearing an inscription as far back as the seventeenth century. But any such slabs came from Wales as ballast. As early as 1785 a marble-quarry, although it could hardly be called such at the present day, was opened at Dorset, Vt., from which were taken stones for fire-jambs, chimney-backs, and lintels. Their beauty was such that people came from a long distance for these pieces, and something of a trade was done in them. About the year 1800, at Marble-dale, Conn., Philo Tomlinson was at work quarrying and sawing marble into slabs, and two years later Newell & Clark erected a stone saw-mill at Stock-bridge, Mass. The next year Johnson & Stephens took a contract for 33,000 cubic feet of marble for the front of the New York City Hall, and for several years thereafter the quarrying of marble for buildings was more or less actively carried on in this neighborhood. In a general way, however, with the exception just noted, it may be safely said that but little was done previous to 1830. From then on, marble, granite, slate, and limestone—but more especially granite, owing to its proximity to the sea-coast—came into gradual use, but lack of proper railroad facilities within reasonable access to the stone were a bar to their active development until many years later.

Sandstone was first put upon the market about sixty years ago, in the form of a grindstone, by Mr. John Baldwin, the founder of Baldwin University. These stones were turned out by ox-power, and hauled by him into Cleveland, O., by ox-teams; and from that modest beginning the industry has grown to such proportions that one firm alone in Ohio last year furnished sidewalk slabs (that being merely one department of their business) sufficient to lay a walk from New York City to Albany.

The first slate quarry in Vermont was opened in 1845, by Colonel Allen and Caleb Ranney, at Scotch Hill, in Fair Haven, and its prosperity may be judged from the fact that land which they leased for sixty dollars an acre was ten years later sold to Boston parties for \$50,000. This same quarry is, I am told, in operation to-day, and purple slate quarried from it can be found in the treads and landings in almost any public building or business block in any city. In 1847, the production of roofing slate began, and developed rapidly. The first year but 200 squares were produced; eight years later the output in the same locality had increased to 45,000 squares.

The granite industry had its beginning in New England, at Quincy, Mass. This was about 1820, although King's Chapel, in Boston, the first build-

ing of any architectural pretensions from Quincy granite, was erected in 1752. It was from these ledges that in 1827 the stone was blasted out for Bunker Hill monument, and it is recorded that the first railroad in America owes its origin to these quarries and the monument, a road having been built under a Massachusetts charter to transport the stone from the quarries at Quincy to the Neponset River, the iron rails resting upon granite sleepers. The road was, to be sure, but two miles in length, but it is said to mark the beginning of railroading in the United States. The growth of granite for building purposes, owing to its proximity to the sea-coast and consequent low freight rates, was gradual and steady, so that, in the year 1880, the output of granite for all uses amounted to a trifle over \$5,000,000. In the next nine years, however, its development was phenomenal, the output nearly trebling, and in that year it furnished 62,000,000 paving blocks alone.

The process of quarrying any of these stones, except marble, is a comparatively simple and inexpensive operation, and as a natural result the number of quarries from which stone is taken is extremely large. Occasionally, in the case of granite, top rock has to be removed, owing to imperfections in it, but, as a rule, fairly marketable stone is found even at the surface. I am speaking now of all but marble. That is so different that I will mention it separately later on. The stone is all removed by blasting, with the exception of sandstone, where the beds are thin, and some of the limestone quarries, particularly adapted to building purposes, where channeling machines are extensively used for cutting up the stone into strips. The fundamental idea in this style of quarrying is to remove the largest and best-shaped blocks possible, with the minimum of expense, and the skill of the quarry foreman is shown in so arranging his blast-holes as to take the best possible advantage of any natural cut or fissure in the rocks. Large blocks freed from the other rock by blasting are then split up into the sizes required, by wedges driven into small holes, drilled a little way into the rock, in the direction in which it is desired to make the break. Ordinarily, in quarrying of this kind, the blast-holes are filled with but a small amount of powder, the purpose being merely to loosen the rock without shattering it. Sometimes, however, the formation of the stone is such that very large blasts are fired, Dr. Day, in his report on mineral resources, instancing one case where 32,700 pounds were used in a single charge. Improvements in methods in these quarries

have not kept pace with development in other lines. Steam or compressed air gadding drills take the place of a wedge and sledge-hammer, and the steam-derrick and crane have in most places supplanted the old horse-sweep for lifting the blocks from the quarries, but otherwise the process of getting the stone from the ledge has been changed but little.

While any of the stone comprising the quarrying industry in some form can be used for building work, for monumental purposes one is restricted to either granite or marble. Although limestone is widely distributed, marble, which is really a limestone in such a crystalline condition as to be susceptible of a high polish, is found only over a limited area, and then in such shape that its production is a matter of much greater expense than attends the quarrying of any other stone.

Nathaniel Chipman, the ablest of Vermont's early jurists, in a letter written July 25, 1792, from Rutland, Vt., says: "There are also in this part of the country numerous quarries of marble, some of them of superior quality. Machines may easily be erected for sawing it into slabs by water, and in that state it might become an important article of commerce." Yet it was not until 1836 that even a small beginning was made to take advantage in any degree of what Judge Chipman foresaw forty-four years before was destined to give world-wide reputation to the State. Six years later, William F. Barnes, the real pioneer in the working of Rutland marble, began labor upon the quarry of West Rutland, which, in connection with others on the same belt, has given this marble its reputation.

Quarrying in those days was all done by hand-labor, and scores of men with their long steel drills struck away at the rock from morning until night, cutting deeper and deeper with each stroke until finally the point was reached from which the rock could be raised from its bed, and hoisted from the quarry. For some time attempts had been made to do away with this hand labor, and have the channeling done by steam. In 1863 the first channeling-machine, invented by George J. Wardwell, of Rutland, Vt., was tried upon the Sutherland Falls Quarry. This single-gang machine, nicknamed the "Posey," and used upon this same quarry, I believe, for about twenty years, was the beginning of the use of machinery, in a short time destined entirely to supplant hand labor in marble quarrying. The introduction of American marble for monumental purposes was a hard and up-hill fight, owing to the strong prejudice in favor of the Italian product. The Census of 1870 credits Vermont with marble

sales that year of less than 131,000, while the importations amounted to \$479,337. That the tide finally had to turn was shown in 1889, in which year there was shipped from Vermont stone worth \$2,169,500, while the total importations for the same year, mainly from Italy, were but \$701,518. Of all the marble produced in 1889, for whatever purpose, Vermont furnished more than sixty-two per cent., and of the marble used for monumental purposes alone it is probably safe to say that at least ninety per cent. was quarried among the Green Mountain hills. Although the deposit extends through a considerable portion of the State, it is only in a comparatively small part of it, Rutland county, that the most valuable quarries are found. It is a curious fact that towards the north of this county the stone is much finer grained than in the south, evidently having been subjected to greater pressure, and in consequence it is very seldom that sound marble is obtained. So finely grained and beautiful is this stone that numerous attempts have been made to quarry it, the result being financial disaster in every case. Towards the south the reverse is true; the marble proving more sound, but gradually becoming so coarsely crystallized that it is suitable only for building purposes. The deposit known as Rutland marble is all contained within an area of less than half a mile. The hills embracing this deposit were so barren and poverty-stricken in appearance that the story goes that the entire tract was traded for an old horse to the man who first opened the quarries there. Be that as it may, the spot from which are now taken annually from 15,000 to 20,000 blocks, requiring, to reduce to merchantable shape, the employment of a small army of men, was at that time considered practically valueless.

In the Rutland deposit some fifteen different layers have been uncovered to date, varying in thickness from two to ten feet, and varying also in color, texture, and value; nor is it unusual to see the same layer produce several different varieties and colors of stock. At the surface the marble lies at an angle of about forty-five degrees, but after reaching a depth of from 150 to 200 feet it suddenly turns and is found lying almost flat, so that one can readily see that at that depth, to get the same marble that was found at the surface, it is necessary to tunnel far into the hills. The tremendous stone roof which is thus formed is supported at regular intervals by enormous piers left for that purpose as the quarry penetrates deeper and deeper. Marble worth the sawing is very rarely found until a depth of from twenty to thirty feet has been reached, and it does not then



follow that the quarry may have any real merit; heads, cracks, tight cuts, and a predominance of inferior stock may all develop, and thus make it necessary to dump the blocks even after being quarried. Throughout the marble region it is not an infrequent sight to see abandoned quarry openings into which thousands of dollars have been poured by people carried away with the stone craze.

If an outcropping of marble is found that looks favorable for a future quarry, it is first bored, as a rule, with a machine specially constructed for this purpose, to ascertain if the deposit has any depth, and is of suitable quality and color. The soundness, however, of a deposit can be proved only by opening, and the opening of a marble quarry is laborious and expensive. From \$40,000 to \$75,000 has been spent upon several of the West Rutland openings before stock that would even pay to saw has been taken out. A quarry is first stripped of its top-rock by means of small blasts, great care being taken that the charge does not penetrate into the marble. Channeling machines, operated by steam or compressed air, are then put on to cut the layers into strips of the requisite size. The quarry being cut up into strips, a cut is made at each end, and a set of key-blocks, as they are termed, are cut and removed. This gives a chance to get at the bottom of the layers that have been cut. Steam-drills are then used to bore holes into the bed of the layer at intervals of eight to twelve inches, and into these holes steel wedges are driven. In this way the entire floor is freed from its bed, and it is not unusual to see a strip of rock, fifty feet or more in length, raised in this manner. By the same process the strip is cut into blocks of the size desired.

No powder is used in quarrying marble, although it is sometimes very sparingly used in removing scales and scalps when the layer has not raised evenly on its bed. Where the marble lies at an angle tunneling is resorted to, instead of removing the immense amount of top-rock that would otherwise be necessary. Powder is used for this purpose. To avoid shattering the marble in any way, a channel is cut into the side of the rock, and just above the good marble to be taken out a large number of small blast-holes are put in. The cut which has been made prevents the powder from shattering the marble below, and a sufficient space is thus made upon which to place a machine to cut the underlying layers. It is not usual to tunnel during the winter

months, because, although quarrying is carried on throughout the entire year, it cannot be done so cheaply or satisfactorily as in summer.

Let us glance for a moment at the methods in vogue in Italy. Almost surrounding the city of Carrara, and within a few miles of it, is a high mountain range, bare of trees or vegetation, containing the marble quarries of Italy. These quarries, some 400 in number, are scattered through the mountains, beginning near the base and extending up the sides from 3000 to 3500 feet. So inaccessible are many of them, that the descent into some is by means of ropes, and in others the men do all the work while suspended in mid-air. The entire quarrying process is most primitive, no machinery of any kind being employed. Hand-drills are used to cut a hole in the face of the ledge. This is filled with powder, the charge exploded, and the quarrying is done. Unless unusual care is taken, huge blocks are frequently detached, and go tumbling down the mountain side. It often happens that the blast only detaches pieces that are too small to be of any use, and even in the huge boulders the powder that has been used is very apt to penetrate and check the stone, so that it is worthless, although the damage it has done may not be discovered until years after, when the marble has for a long time been exposed to the action of the weather. The boulders that have been tumbled out in this way are next put into shape by men with a hammer and chisel picking them over and knocking off the rough pieces. Blocks of unusual size are divided by sawing. An iron saw operated by two men, much after the manner we saw logs, except that there are no teeth in the saw, is used. Sand and water are applied, and gradually the implement wears through the block. The blocks are next transported on huge wagons, drawn by half a dozen yoke of poor, ill-kept oxen, to the railroad or the harbor, a few miles behind. The life of these laborers is, indeed, a hard one. Many start for work at sunrise, and leave only when darkness makes it necessary, and for their work receive from twenty-five to forty-five cents a day, a pittance so small, that it is a wonder how they live. Such, in brief, is the Italian quarrying industry. Compare it with the same kind of work carried on in Vermont, and how quickly is noted the difference between the results of American ingenuity and push, and of Italian adherence to antiquated methods.

*Proquiter Proctor*



## CHAPTER XXIX

# POWDER AND EXPLOSIVES

**I**N order to gain a just appreciation of the progress of the manufacture of explosives in the United States during the last 100 years, it will be necessary to consider in a cursory manner the condition of the manufacture in the old world prior to 1795.

This review must of course refer to "black powder" only, as it is called, as this was the only explosive then manufactured. Very few others were known, most of the chemical explosives being discovered during the present century. Gunpowder, as is well known, is composed of three ingredients—saltpeter, sulphur, and charcoal. In the preparation of these, their purification, their incorporation, and the subsequent finishing of the product, consists the manufacture of powder. From remote times it has been the custom to granulate gunpowder in some way. The original method of manufacture was very simple, and consisted of pulverizing the ingredients in a mortar and forming grains of it by working the damp material through a sieve. The grains thus formed were hardened by final drying. At first the pestle of the mortar was worked by hand, then by means of a rope passing over a pulley, and afterward by mechanical means, as in the stamping-mill. This mill was a series of mortars excavated in a block of wood, a battery of pestles being raised and let fall in them by means of pins in a revolving shaft. The use of stamping-mills dates from the latter part of the seventeenth century. In 1794, the separate pulverization of the materials was adopted because of the frequent explosions of the stamping-mills. These explosions were said to destroy one sixth of the whole number of stamping-mills in France annually. The process of pulverizing in drums was first made use of in France, both for the separate comminuting of the ingredients, and for the intimate mixing of them. For the latter purpose was used a cylinder made of rawhide

stretched upon a frame of wood, and containing zinc balls. This form of apparatus was imported into the United States, and is still in use to a limited extent. About the middle of the eighteenth century incorporating-mills were first used in France. Some time before this they had been introduced into Sweden. The wheel-mill is now the standard incorporating-machine, and is used in almost all powder-works of importance.

At the end of the last century the art of gunpowder-making had been brought to a high degree of perfection in France, having received the direct personal care of men of the best abilities in this line. Some of the processes used at that time have not been improved upon since. There were two factories at Essone under the personal care of Antoine Laurent Lavoisier, the great chemist and the discoverer of oxygen. An English writer says of him: "He improved the manufacture of gunpowder so as to add one third to its explosive force, thereby reversing the previous superiority of English over French ordnance."

Associated with Lavoisier was a young man who must be mentioned as the pioneer in improved gunpowder-making in the United States. Eleuthère Irénée du Pont, son of Pierre Samuel du Pont de Nemours, a statesman of reputation, came to this country in 1801. Having occasion to use some powder of native manufacture, he was struck by its very poor quality. Immediately his thoughts turned to the business he knew so well, and he conceived the idea of starting a manufactory here. Returning to France, he secured the capital required, and came again to this country, bringing his machinery with him. As soon as the necessary preparations could be made he started his works. His name still lives in connection with the establishment he founded. His coming marked the advent of improved methods. Before the importation of the French machinery, the



FRANCIS G. DU PONT.



art of gunpowder-making had been in a rudimentary state. A few small mills in which the old methods of manufacture prevailed were all that then existed in the United States. The names of the mills have passed away, but the location of one is remembered because it was upon the Brandywine Creek at a point not far from the site of the largest powder-manufactory at present in the country. This small factory was entirely destroyed by a freshet in the stream about the year 1800. From what has been said it may be understood how poor the quality of the powder was which was supplied by the domestic mills before the beginning of this century. The importation of the French methods gave the proper start, at once improving the powder made. With the right start made, it may be safely said that the progress since on this side of the Atlantic has been upon lines independent from those in Europe.

During the war of 1812 our forces were supplied with gunpowder of domestic manufacture. In the period which had elapsed from the beginning of the century the mills had been increased to such an extent as to render this possible. At the beginning of hostilities the United States found it a difficult matter to obtain saltpeter, as it was principally imported, and our coast was blockaded as far as possible by the British. Recourse was had to the old process of "nitre beds." These were masses of organic matter, animal and vegetable remains, mixed with calcareous earth to render the mass porous and to afford a base with which the acid formed could combine. The beds were placed in shaded situations. Nitric acid, being formed by the decomposition, united with the lime and magnesia present in the earth. The beds were afterward lixiviated with water, and the solution treated with water from wood ashes, the potassium carbonate of which precipitated the earthy salts as carbonates, forming in their stead potassium nitrate or saltpeter. This was afterward crystallized from the water of solution. Only a few years ago the plant of one of these nitre manufactories, constructed during the second war with Great Britain, was in a fair state of preservation in the Mammoth Cave in Kentucky, the dry atmosphere of the place having kept the wood of the vats and pipes from decay.

In the period from 1802 to 1840 two large gunpowder-factories were established in the United States, as well as a few smaller ones. During that time the building of canals and mines caused a considerable demand for powder for use in blasting. This became so marked that to meet it the manufacturers placed blasting-powder upon the market, which was

simply a powder of ingredients less pure and less carefully incorporated. It was not, however, until 1856 that blasting-powder, as now commonly known, was made. For some years the idea of using sodium nitrate had obtained, but its deliquescent property hindered its introduction. In 1856, however, its preparation was begun on a large scale by the principal manufacturers, a result due to American enterprise alone. It was found that the difficulties which were supposed to be insurmountable were capable of being overcome, and the great blasting-powder industry of the present was the result. Indeed, the introduction of the sodium nitrate into the manufacture of powder may be considered a turning-point in its history. Not only did it revolutionize the industry, but its use so reduced the cost of the production of nitric acid that its influence was felt on the high explosive manufacture which came in a few years later. It gave to the United States the great benefit of a cheap nitrate which it could not otherwise have had.

Gunpowder made from the Chilian nitrate, as the sodium nitrate was at first called, has become one of the articles of prime necessity to our modern civilization. By its means have been developed the great mining operations of the United States, and as yet nothing is known which is capable of taking its place. Its introduction stimulated the extension of the older manufactories and the building of new. When the Civil War began, the gunpowder-factories of the North were in a condition to furnish all the powder that was required by the forces in the field and the vessels afloat. It was the older establishments, however, that were instrumental in supplying the needs of the government, as their experience and financial standing gave them preëminent ability. It must be said, however, that the requirements of the government at the time of the outbreak of the war were simple enough to admit of their being complied with without much difficulty. Had the necessities of modern guns formed the standard attempted, the task would have been different. The supplying of the government with the powder needed during the war called for the exercise of patriotism as much as did the duties of the camp and field. Great lack of skilled labor existed with which to operate the mills. The danger from emissaries of the enemy and lawless persons was always present, and constant vigilance was required to prevent their entrance to the works. It has never been ascertained whether the enemy did cause any damage to the powder-factories of the North during the war; but it is a fact that there were many disastrous explosions, which make the record

of the four years of the war the most unfortunate in this particular ever known. Just before the battle of Gettysburg there was a plan on the part of the enemy to destroy the nearest powder-works. This plot was disclosed after the war by the officer who had been instructed to carry it out. The owners of the works, expecting an attack, had everything in readiness to destroy the finished powder, as well as that in fabrication, together with their mills, rather than let them fall into the hands of the enemy.

The Civil War acted as a stimulus to most of the industries of the United States, but there was a different reason for its effect upon the manufacture of powder than for the impetus given elsewhere. While, of course, this industry partook of the general increased activity, it was on account of the improvement in the ballistic conditions of guns that the time of the war was a turning-point for gunpowder. In 1860, General Rodman began his celebrated experiments, upon which it may almost be said were founded the modern theories of heavy ordnance; for he did what had never been done before, he measured the pressure in the bore of a gun at the moment of discharge. Immediately upon this followed the preparation of powder of a larger granulation than had heretofore been used. This change proved of much value; so that it was again due to American ability that new light was thrown upon the subject of explosives. This invention marks the close of the old and simple methods of manufacture and proof, and ushers in the more expensive, difficult, and exacting manufacture, and the more scientific proof. Prior to the time of this invention, the test that was universally applied to gunpowder was that of the "éprouvette" mortar. This was a mortar having about a six-inch bore, with a chamber at the bottom holding one ounce of powder. When the mortar was elevated to forty-five degrees the distance to which the round ball was thrown was the test of the efficiency of the powder. The required distance was 300 yards. It was a very imperfect test, as it showed the quickness of the powder only. No knowledge of the pressure or of the velocity imparted to a given weight of ball was sought or required. The ballistic pendulum was also used. This was a pendulum to which a rifle was securely fastened, being free to swing in the direction of the line of fire. The bullet was received in a metal case filled with sand, covered with a thin board. This case was hung upon another pendulum, also free to swing in the line of fire. The amount of swing of these two pendulums, registered by suitable devices, was the index of the value of the powder for use in the rifle.

To General Rodman belongs the credit of inventing the pressure "plug." This was a piston, the head of which was capable of being acted upon by the gases of explosion in the bore of a gun. The end of the piston carried a knife, which had a curved cutting edge resting upon a block of soft copper. The gases at the moment of explosion acting upon the known area of the piston caused the knife to make a certain cut in the soft copper. The length of this cut is the indication of the pressure upon the end of the piston acted upon by the gases in the bore. The length of the cut made with a known weight applied to the knife is compared with this, and an accurate result is obtained. Sir Andrew Noble in England substituted a cylinder of soft copper for the knife, and measured the amount of its compression. This form is in use to-day, and is sometimes called the "crusher gauge."

The importance of this invention can scarcely be overestimated, for it was a step toward the success of modern gun practice, without which improved results would be impossible. The velocity of the ball was measured early, and the two combined made effective the adaptation of the powder to the gun. Benton's chronograph was used for a short time in this country. In it the velocity was measured by the crossing of the swing of two pendulums, which were let fall by electro-magnets, the one by the cutting of a wire in front of the muzzle of the gun, and the other at a measured distance from the muzzle. This form of chronograph was superseded by the Boulenge chronograph, in which a plummet is dropped by the cutting of the muzzle wire, and another by the same at the target, the second by means of a spring making a nick on the side of the first while it is falling, the distance of which mark from the mark made when both plummets are dropped together being the index of the velocity of the ball while traversing the distance from the muzzle to the target. These two instruments are of prime importance in the testing of powder for guns, but there are many other requirements as to density, susceptibility to moisture, and other matters. American ingenuity and enterprise has long been employed in the production of powder for large guns. Here our discoveries have antedated or run parallel with those in foreign countries. Hexagonal, spherohexagonal, cubical, and prismatic granulations of powder are all American inventions, the latter in all but its form. The United States has ample supplies to command in the manufacture of domestic powder in event of war.

Soon after the manufacture of nitro-glycerine began abroad it was imported into this country, but as this

substance was then in the form of a liquid, several terrible explosions were the result of its transportation. After Nobel's discovery that it could be safely handled when held by an absorbent, works were established in the United States for its manufacture. On the Pacific coast particularly was its use encouraged, the hard quartz-mining being a most desirable field for its operation. Hercules and Atlas powders are the most important forms of American high explosives. Judson powder, an American invention also, is much used upon the Pacific coast. It combines some of the advantages of black blasting-powder with those of a mild form of high explosive. Modern engineering works are now almost wholly dependent upon the use of high explosives in some form. Black powder still has its uses, and will hold its own for years, but in hard rock there is need of more power than is possible with this kind alone. The detonation of the nitro-glycerine compounds shatters the hardest rock in a manner which makes its subsequent removal very economical. There are two engineering works which indicate very well the era of the introduction of high explosives in this country. In the year 1870, the Nesquehoning tunnel, near Wilkesbarre, was excavated in very hard rock by the use of black powder only. The engineers in charge were unwilling to introduce the then new and untried explosive. The work was, however, completed in good form and very quickly, owing largely to the extensive use of compressed air-drills. About the same time the Hoosac tunnel was completed, nitro-glycerine alone being used in the work. This explosive was principally manufactured upon the ground, and was much used in the liquid state. This work was a greater one than the tunnel first mentioned, but the two serve to mark the transition period in the practical use of explosives. One of the greatest of modern engineering works, the Chicago drainage canal, is now being carried on largely by high explosives. It is an example of the magnitude of the work that is attempted with explosives. Most of the American dynamite made by the older manufacturers is very safely handled. One large factory is shipping the material by rail all over the United States, and in thus transporting millions of pounds not one explosion has ever happened in transit. Frequently derailments and collisions have occurred, the dynamite cars, and even the boxes, being broken, and the cartridges scattered, but

without evil results so far as this explosive was concerned.

Smokeless powder for small arms was in use in Europe for some time before its introduction here. Schultze powder was the first, but its use was restricted to sporting purposes only. E. C. powder was an English invention, and was imported to this country soon after its use began in England in 1882. Later a plant was built in the United States for its production. Like the Schultze, it was employed for sporting arms only. The idea of smokeless powder for larger guns was first advanced by Viele, in his Poudre B., and later by Nobel in ballistite, in 1886. Ballistite was a combination of nitro-glycerine with gun-cotton, and was the first use of the former attempted in gun practice. As late as 1889 cordite was patented by Sir Frederick Abel and Professor James Dewar for the use of the English government. It derives its name from the fact that it is made in cords or strings, in which state it is used. In smokeless powders the United States is not behind the European nations. An entirely original smokeless powder for sporting purposes has been invented here which is in many respects an improvement on the older powders, and is meeting with success and favorable notice. The adoption of the new .30 calibre rifle by the army and the .236 calibre by the navy has stimulated the efforts of domestic ingenuity, with the result that satisfactory powder can now be procured in large quantity for both branches of the service. In the production of smokeless powder for the large guns the Naval Torpedo Station has taken an important part, having just brought a long line of experiments to a successful conclusion. It has produced an excellent powder for the six-inch rifle. Good smokeless powder has also been offered by private manufacturers, but as yet the departments have moved slowly in the adoption of any of the new powders, being desirous of obtaining the best, and also to be sure of the stability of the product when subjected to the changes of climate necessary.

Gunpowder and explosives are manufactured in a number of the States, Pennsylvania producing the most, and being followed by Delaware, New Jersey, Connecticut, Ohio, California, Iowa, Tennessee, Massachusetts, and Maine. It is estimated that \$7,000,000 to \$8,000,000 worth is produced annually, the capital being about \$20,000,000 and the number of employees about 5,000.

*Francis G. Dickson*



## CHAPTER XXX

# AMERICAN LUMBER

TO describe the progress of the lumbering industry during the last hundred years is to write of a class of sturdy people who have carried the first germs of civilization into the deepest wilderness of our vast forests, and who have furnished one of the most essential materials for the building up of our civilization and development in all parts of the country. But it also means the recording of a destruction and deterioration of natural resources such as has perhaps nowhere else been witnessed in so short a span of time. It is a record of which those who have been engaged in making it may be proud, because it required pluck, persistence, and ingenuity on their part; but the nation and coming generations can only regret the wastefulness with which seemingly boundless resources have been exploited without regard to future needs, and to the detriment of desirable reproduction.

Wood is, has been, and probably will always be the most indispensable material for human civilization; and in no country, perhaps, has it played a more important factor in the progress of material development than in the United States. If, as the imperfect statistics at our command indicate, the per capita consumption of wood in all shapes at present falls hardly short of 350 cubic feet,—nearly nine times that of Germany and twenty-five times that of Great Britain,—the probability is that one hundred years ago it was even greater, when iron and stone had not yet replaced the native timber in building, and when coal had not yet been substituted to any extent in the fireplaces of the fathers. While, then, the consumption of wood has always been large, and the exploitation of forest resources one of the earliest occupations of the settlers in the new country, the great lumber industry as we know it to-day is a child of comparatively recent times—hardly over fifty years old; but in that short time it has not only developed in all its parts to gigantic proportions, from a commercial point of view, but

has also become an art distinctively American; for no other nation can compete with us in the expertness of the axmen, loggers, drivers, and sawyers, in the excellence of machinery and appliances, or in the systematic methods used in this exploitation of our great natural forest resource.

A hundred years ago logging was carried on only along the coast and the Eastern river-courses. Beside all convenient waters small sawmills, the common accompaniment of all early settlements, were established, the mill parts costing no more than from \$60 to \$500 at the most. These mills sawed to order for home consumption or sent material to the mouth of the river, to be carried by vessel to home and foreign markets. They were often run in the manner of the country grist-mills,—in fact, usually formed a part of them, the log owner paying toll to the miller for the sawing, and perhaps using the lumber to pay for store goods. That this petty method of doing business lasted until the middle of this century is evidenced by the census of 1840, which reports 31,560 lumber-mills, with a total product valued at \$12,943,507, or a little over \$400 per mill. The exports of timber, also, although a comparatively important item to the struggling colonies and States, rarely exceeded \$5,000,000 per annum during these first four decades of the century.

The getting out of timber, squared and hewn, was then a much more important business; and the construction of wooden ships, then the only kind afloat, furnished a good market for large and select timbers, which constituted, no doubt, the bulk of the exports of a century ago. Timbers worth \$200 and more apiece were often cut. "We saw brought in with fourteen yokes of oxen a pine spar, eighty-three feet long, seven feet in diameter at butt, bringing \$250," reports a writer from Belfast, Me. In this connection it is interesting to note that such long timbers as masts, spars, etc., were quoted by the inch on the diameter, measured twelve feet from the butt, bring-



ing \$1.50 and more per inch in the rough as late as 1850 in Philadelphia. There were then no lumber markets, no prominent lumbering regions, where the business was concentrated; and even in 1820, Williams, in his excellent history of Maine, while carefully enumerating her resources, fails to mention the lumber industries of that State. Although a considerable amount was exported from places like Belfast and others, this lumber was brought to town, like farm produce, by the rural population of the neighborhood. Thus 300 to 400 sleighs arrived loaded with lumber one Saturday in 1816; and in a single day in 1822 136,000 feet were brought into Belfast by the numerous teams of the farmers.

To give an idea of the development of milling in Maine the following example will serve. At Lewiston, Me., the first sawmill, forming part of a gristmill, was erected in 1770, and destroyed and rebuilt in 1808 and 1814. Not until 1851 was a new mill started, at a cost of \$7000; in 1865 one valued at \$60,000 found business with gang and circular saws; while in 1867 the Lewiston Steam-Mill Company completed a \$100,000 plant. Similarly we find in Pittsburg, Pa., although large amounts of lumber were handled at the place, no mention of the sawmill business in the enumeration of the trades for 1804, 1812, and even as late as 1837; in 1876 there were enumerated thirty-four sawmills, at the head of the list, showing their importance. Yet even then the decline in supplies of certain kinds of lumber in Pennsylvania and New York had already become noticeable, as appears from the report of the Chamber of Commerce of Cincinnati, which was supplied by river from these States. We read in the report for 1869: "Receipts per river light, since the pine of western New York and Pennsylvania is largely exhausted." Prices of raft-run lumber were quoted at this market in 1867 at \$24 to \$25, and 130,000,000 feet were received. Three years later the chief supply came from Michigan by canal and rail.

In 1838 the first large mills were erected at Williamsport, Pa.; but the boom which afterward supplied between forty and fifty mills was not finished until twelve years later, in 1850. In 1834, Harvey Williams, the well-known pioneer of Michigan, built the first steam sawmill in the Saginaw Valley, and in 1837 completed the Emerson mill, which was considered the "crack" mill of the West. Yet the great lumber industries which have made Saginaw, Mich., famous all over the world were then mentioned only as "prospects," and the great pineries of Michigan, Wisconsin, and Minnesota were still unexplored. Even in 1857, while pine lumbering

was carried on as the principal business at Stevens Point, Portage County, Wis., and on the Black, Wisconsin, and Chippewa rivers, the great lumber streams of later years were hardly mentioned. In 1854 a sudden increase in exportations to nearly double the previous figures indicates a change of methods, brought about, no doubt, by improved means of transportation. The export of forest products from that time constantly increased until the present average of \$28,000,000 to \$30,000,000 worth was attained.

Until 1819 the lumber supplies which found their way into St. Louis, Mo.,—then a mere trading-post, now one of the greatest lumber markets in the world,—were cut in the neighborhood, with whip-saws, at rates of \$3 to \$3.50 per 100 feet; and in a retail price-list of those times boards are mentioned as "not in the market," pine boards coming from Pittsburg, Pa., in flatboats, and selling at \$8 per 100 feet. An accident in the breaking of the boom on the St. Croix in 1843 led to the construction of a log raft, which found its way to St. Louis, and seems to have given an impetus to the growing log trade in that direction, which in 1853 was changed into lumber rafting, initiated by Schulenburg & Boeckler, the extensive mill owners of the St. Croix River. In 1858 a regular lumbering business began at Alpena, Mich., when Archibald & Murray put in 1,000,000 feet of logs at \$2 per 1000 feet, board measure. This material was of a quality which could not now be bought for less than \$12 to \$15. Later, in 1874, this place turned out 85,000,000 feet of lumber alone, not mentioning shingles and lath.

After the war the settlements of the West grew as if by magic, and with them the lumber industry of modern times developed by rapid strides. In 1868 the "golden age" of lumbering in Michigan had arrived; in 1871 lumber rafts filled the Wisconsin; in 1875 Eau Claire had thirty, Marathon thirty, Fond du Lac twenty sawmills, now all gone; and La Crosse was cutting millions of feet annually from the Black River and St. Croix. By 1882 the Saginaw Valley had reached the climax of its production, and the lumber industry of the great Northwest, with a cut of 8,000,000,000 feet of white pine alone, was in full blast, while even the Southern pineries were filled with the hum and buzz of the circular saws, Mobile and Florida ports alone sending over 300,000,000 feet, board measure, of lumber and hewn timber to foreign markets.

The enormous increase in railroad mileage, opening up new territory and making virgin supplies

accessible to markets, had doubtless much to do with this expansion of the lumber trade. It was probably, also, favorable to the concentration of this trade at great centers, and the establishment of lumber markets with wholesale and retail yards, independent of the points of lumber production. Of these, Chicago, the greatest lumber market in the world, derived its supplies from the three great lumber States, Michigan, Wisconsin, and Minnesota, which for a quarter-century have furnished the bulk

Census figures are, as a rule, only approximations, keeping generally below the truth; and since the method of enumeration is changed with each census, the data do not permit of ready and reliable comparison. Yet the following compilation, taken from the census for 1890, will be useful in showing the rapid increase in lumber production during the last three decades, and will exhibit the marvelous growth of the lumber industry, especially during the last decade:

COMPARATIVE SUMMARY, LUMBER AND SAWMILLS, 1870, 1880, AND 1890.

ITEMS.	1870. <sup>1</sup>	1880.	1890.
Number of establishments reporting.....	25,832	25,708	21,011
Capital.....	\$114,794,586	\$181,186,122	\$496,399,968
Average number of employees (aggregate) .....	149,997	147,956	286,197
Total wages.....	\$32,007,322	\$31,845,974	\$87,784,433
Cost of material used.....	\$82,674,744	\$146,155,385	\$231,555,618
Value of products.....	\$168,127,462	\$233,268,729	\$403,667,575
Average value of products per mill.....	\$6,508	\$9,073	\$19,212

<sup>1</sup> The amounts for 1870 reduced to gold basis.

of the lumber that has built up our civilization in the West as well as in the East. The receipts at Chicago from decade to decade best exhibit, perhaps, the rapid growth of this wonderful industry. In 1847 only 32,000,000 feet of lumber found its

That the increase in lumber production is mainly due to home consumption will appear from the following table of exports, which, although showing increases, exhibits no extraordinary growth of the export trade.

VALUE OF EXPORTS OF FOREST PRODUCTS,<sup>1</sup> 1860 TO 1895.

YEAR.	VALUE.	TOTAL EXPORTS OF DOMESTIC PRODUCTS.	YEAR.	VALUE.	TOTAL EXPORTS OF DOMESTIC PRODUCTS.	YEAR.	VALUE.	TOTAL EXPORTS OF DOMESTIC PRODUCTS.
		Per Cent.			Per Cent.			Per Cent.
1860....	\$10,299,959	3.26	1881....	\$19,486,051	2.20	1889....	\$26,997,127	3.70
1870....	14,897,963	3.27	1882....	25,580,264	3.50	1890....	29,473,084	3.49
1875....	19,165,907	3.43	1883....	28,636,199	3.56	1891....	28,715,713	3.29
1876....	18,076,668	3.04	1884....	26,222,959	3.62	1892....	27,957,423	2.75
1877....	19,943,290	3.14	1885....	22,014,839	3.03	1893....	28,335,115	....
1878....	17,750,396	2.55	1886....	20,961,708	3.15	1894....	26,164,114	....
1879....	16,336,943	2.34	1887....	21,126,273	3.01	1895....	28,743,887	....
1880....	17,321,268	2.11	1888....	23,991,092	3.51			

<sup>1</sup> These figures include, besides lumber, timber, and logs, representing from fifty to sixty per cent., shingles, cooperage stock, firewood, barks, and naval stores.

way to the then just budding metropolis; in 1855 this had grown to nearly ten times that amount, or over 306,000,000 feet; in 1865 it had more than doubled, the receipts being 647,145,734 feet, to be nearly doubled again in 1875, with 1,153,715,432 feet; increasing to 1,744,892,000 feet in 1885, and reaching a maximum in 1892 with 2,203,874,000 feet; it then fell with the general business depression in 1894 to 1,562,527,000 feet, board measure.

It is interesting to note that, next to England, South America, Australia, and Africa are among our best customers.

While the census figures above given refer to the lumbering and sawmill business only, the other industries relying upon the same resource, the forest, swell the values derived thence to at least double the amounts, as the following table of estimates based partly on census figures will show.

AMOUNT AND VALUE OF FOREST PRODUCTS USED DURING THE CENSUS YEAR 1890.

CLASSES OF PRODUCTS.	QUANTITY.	ESTIMATED CUBIC CONTENTS OF FOREST-GROWN MATERIAL.	VALUE.	
<b>I. Mill products :</b>		Cubic Feet.		
Agricultural-implement stock . . . . . feet, B. M. . . . .	30,000,000	.....	\$582,000	
Bobbin and spool stock . . . . . do . . . . .	49,000,000	.....	688,000	
Carriage and wagon stock . . . . . do . . . . .	66,000,000	.....	1,306,000	
Furniture stock . . . . . do . . . . .	94,000,000	.....	1,435,000	
All other sawed lumber . . . . . do . . . . .	27,630,000,000	.....	310,818,000	
Total sawed lumber . . . . . do . . . . .	27,869,000,000	4,000,000,000	314,829,000	
Lath . . . . . pieces . . . . .	2,365,000,000	}	3,709,924	
Pickets and palings . . . . . do . . . . .	110,000,000		750,000	
Shingles . . . . . do . . . . .	9,276,000,000		200,000,000	17,000,000
Staves . . . . . do . . . . .	1,178,000,000		300,000,000	7,762,000
Headings . . . . . sets . . . . .	183,000,000		175,000,000	4,934,000
Total lumber and cognate products, directly from logs . . . . .	.....	4,675,000,000	\$348,984,924	
<b>II. Railroad construction :</b>				
Ties . . . . . pieces . . . . .	80,000,000	400,000,000	.....	
Round and hewn timber used for bridges and trestles . . . . .	.....	80,000,000	.....	
Telegraph poles . . . . .	.....	5,000,000	.....	
Total . . . . .	.....	485,000,000	\$40,000,000	
<b>III. Exported timber not included in subdivision I. :</b>				
Hewn timber, 6,900,000 cubic feet . . . . .	.....	9,000,000	\$1,230,000	
Logs and round timber . . . . .	.....	2,500,000	2,000,000	
Rived staves, stave and bolts . . . . .	.....	500,000	1,500,000	
.....	.....	12,000,000	\$4,730,000	
<b>IV. Wood-pulp :</b>				
300,000 tons ground-paper-pulp . . . . .	.....	}	\$3,550,000	
80,000 tons soda-pulp . . . . .	.....			
60,000 tons sulphite-pulp fiber . . . . .	.....			
50,000 tons pulp for other purposes . . . . .	.....			
<b>V. Miscellaneous mill products other than lumber manufactured directly from logs or bolt . . . . .</b>	.....	80,000,000	20,765,000	
Total materials requiring bolts or log size . . . . .	.....	5,327,000,000	\$418,029,924	
This last figure of "miscellaneous products" is a very considerable underestimate, based upon census returns, and we are entirely safe in rounding off the total of sizable timber used and its value to . . . . .	.....	5,500,000,000	\$450,000,000	
<b>VI. Fuel in the shape of wood . . . . .</b>				
In the shape of charcoal . . . . .	.....	18,000,000,000	450,000,000	
.....	.....	250,000,000	7,000,000	
<b>VII. Wood used for dyeing extracts and charcoal for gunpowder . . . . .</b>	.....	16,200,000	437,000	
Total amount and value of wood consumption . . . . .	.....	23,766,000,000	\$907,437,000	
	QUANTITY.	VALUE.	TOTAL VALUE.	
<b>VIII. Naval stores :</b>				
Turpentine . . . . . barrels . . . . .	346,544	\$5,459,115	.....	
Rosin . . . . . do . . . . .	1,429,154	2,413,757	\$7,872,872	
<b>IX. Wood alcohol . . . . . gallons . . . . .</b>	2,000,000	1,750,000	.....	
Acetic acid in acetate of lime . . . . .	.....	360,000	2,110,000	
<b>X. Tanning materials :</b>				
Hemlock bark . . . . . cords . . . . .	1,056,000	6,925,000	.....	
Oak bark . . . . . do . . . . .	322,150	2,783,500	.....	
Hemlock and bark for extract . . . . . do . . . . .	64,200	307,500	.....	
Sumac leaves for tanning . . . . . tons . . . . .	3,300	198,000	.....	
Sumac leaves for extract . . . . . sets . . . . .	3,750	112,000	.....	
Various materials not accounted for . . . . .	.....	74,000	.....	
.....	.....	.....	10,400,000	
<b>XI. Maple sugar . . . . . pounds . . . . .</b>	32,952,927	3,300,000	.....	
Maple syrup . . . . . gallons . . . . .	2,258,376	2,200,000	5,500,000	
Total value of forest by-products . . . . .	.....	.....	\$25,882,872	
Total value of all forest products . . . . .	.....	.....	\$933,319,872	
Add 10 per cent. for omissions and underestimates . . . . .	.....	.....	93,331,987	
Total value of wood and forest products at original place of production, estimated to have been used during census year 1890 . . . . .	.....	.....	\$1,026,650,859	

Comparing similar estimates for the census years since 1860, an increase in the consumption of forest products at the rate of thirty per cent. more or less can be asserted for every decade.

Imports of such a bulky material as wood are naturally drawn chiefly from neighboring communities, except in the case of specially valuable woods. With the exception, therefore, of fine cabinet and dye woods of tropic origin, and other kinds which we do not produce, we import lumber and timber from Canada only. Although considerable discussion has been raised over the tariff duties on Canadian lumber, the importations from that country represent hardly five per cent. of our lumber consumption, ranging in total value for the last fifteen years between \$10,000,000 and \$20,000,000 out of a total importation of forest products ranging from \$15,000,000 to \$30,000,000. Almost the entire cut of the province of Ontario, tariff or no tariff, goes to the United States, while over eighty per cent. of the Quebec, New Brunswick, and Nova Scotia lumber goes to England.

At present, while sawmilling is, to be sure, carried on wherever trees can be found to cut, the staples of the market come from those regions where supplies are still most abundant and, at the same time, means of communication are well developed. White pine, the king of the American forest, furnishing the most useful lumber for building, as well as for a great many other purposes, is, of course, our greatest staple, forming more than one quarter of our entire lumber output. The long-leaf pine of the South,—the celebrated pitch-pine of the English markets, the yellow or Georgia pine of our markets,—unsurpassed for strength and combining most desirable qualities as timber, comes next in quantity of production. Two other Southern pines, the short-leaf and loblolly,—also known in the markets as North Carolina and Virginia pine, although growing in all the Southern States,—help to replace the waning supplies of white pine, spruce, fir, and hemlock; and while their use is chiefly local, they form a considerable amount in our lumber consumption. Cypress and cedar also help in a limited way in filling the requirements for coniferous timber, of which not less than 30,000,000,000 feet, board measure, are needed annually. The magnificent timbers of the Pacific coast,—the redwood, the Douglas spruce, the sugar-pine, the Port Orford cedar, etc.—of the most excellent quality, and obtainable in sizes and clear material found nowhere else, have hardly yet reached the Eastern markets, the distance preventing profitable shipment. Most of this material goes by water

to foreign markets. Of hard woods, our oaks (some ten or twelve marketable species), ash in several species, and hard maple are superior to those of other regions of the world; the tulip-poplar and the hickories have no equals of their kind; sycamore, walnut and cherry, birch and elms, furnish rich ornamental woods; and altogether the supply of wood materials in the United States excels every other region of the world in the combination of diversity of kinds, quality, utility, and abundance.

Maine, once the white-pine State, has long ceased to cut any appreciable amounts of that greatest staple of the American market, but supplies the bulk of the spruce, with New Hampshire and the Adirondacks in New York to help, and Boston, Albany, and New York City for markets. The white pine of Michigan is nearly all cut, and the supplies in Wisconsin and Minnesota are beginning to show signs of exhaustion; so that the enormous output of a round 10,000,000,000 feet per year will soon be reduced, and that materially. Hemlock supplies, despised twenty years ago except for the tan-bark, are still abundant in northern Pennsylvania and the neighboring counties of New York, but will not last long.

With the waning of the Northern coniferous timbers the Southern supplies are coming more and more to the front. The coast regions of the Atlantic, as well as the Gulf shore, furnish large quantities of long-leaf, short-leaf, and loblolly pine, some 7,000,000,000 feet, board measure, of these being cut annually, with eastern Texas probably still best supplied. Cypress, long despised, is now a well-established article, with main supplies in Louisiana and along most of the river-bottoms of the Southern States. Hard woods still abound in nearly all the central portions of the country east of the Mississippi, with St. Louis and Memphis as the principal markets, although some kinds, like the ash, the tulip-poplar, and the walnut, are more or less exhausted. An attempt to estimate the standing supplies for the lumber industry, based on rather slim and unsatisfactory data, would distribute the same as follows:

#### STANDING SUPPLY OF LUMBER IN THE UNITED STATES.

Southern States .....	700,000,000,000 feet, B. M.
Northern States .....	500,000,000,000 " "
Pacific coast .....	1,000,000,000,000 " "
Rocky Mountains, etc .....	100,000,000,000 " "

2,300,000,000,000 feet, B. M.

Other estimates increase this amount doubtfully by twenty-five per cent.

The present cut, based on somewhat more reliable



BERNHARD E. FERNOW.



data furnished by census figures and other sources, may be estimated at a round 40,000,000,000 feet, board measure, including all material requiring bolt or log size, valued at about \$450,000,000. This cut may be roughly estimated as distributed by regions and kinds in the following manner :

and the systematic methods of handling the business. If Germany has become the teacher of the world in the matter of forestry,—that is, in the rational reproduction and management of timber crops,—the lumbermen of the United States of America have become the most expert exploiters of the natural for-

LUMBER CUT BY REGIONS AND KINDS.

REGIONS.	FEET, B. M.	KINDS.	FEET, B. M.
New England and North Atlantic States ..	6,000,000,000	White pine ..	12,000,000,000
Central States (mostly hard woods) . . . .	5,000,000,000	Spruce and fir ..	5,000,000,000
Lake regions (mostly pine).....	13,000,000,000	Hemlock ..	4,000,000,000
Southern coast (mostly pine).....	10,000,000,000	Long-leaf pine . . . . .	4,000,000,000
Pacific coast .....	4,000,000,000	Short-leaf and loblolly ..	3,000,000,000
Miscellaneous .....	2,000,000,000	Cypress ..	500,000,000
	40,000,000,000	Redwood.....	500,000,000
		All other conifers.....	1,000,000,000
		Oak.....	3,000,000,000
		All other hard woods.....	7,000,000,000
			40,000,000,000

One of the remarkable facts in connection with the rapid development of the lumber industry is that with the necessary decrease of natural supplies the expected increase in price has not followed. This is due to several causes, the competition especially of the smaller mills, the increased facilities of transportation to market, and the lack of appreciation of the decrease of supplies being the most potent. That this latter condition is, however, not entirely lost sight of we find in comparing the price paid for stumpage of white pine, the leading staple during twenty-eight years, with that paid for the manufactured lumber.

est resources. Methods of cutting, hauling, handling, sawing, marketing, and all the appliances and tools employed have been developed to the highest degree, and all means have been adapted to the end which from the standpoint of private interest appears desirable, namely, largest immediate profits.

These improvements, almost all put in practice since 1850 and later, are to be found in the logging appliances, the means of transportation of the logs to the mill, the sawmill, and the handling of the lumber. The ax of to-day, although much the same in shape as of old, is of better material and of superior workmanship; the handle of hickory,

PRICES FOR LUMBER AND STUMPAGE OF WHITE PINE.

(COMPILED FROM REPORT OF SAGINAW BOARD OF TRADE.)

YEAR.	LUMBER, PER 1000 FEET, B. M.	STUMPAGE, PER 1000 FEET, B. M.	YEAR.	LUMBER, PER 1000 FEET, B. M.	STUMPAGE, PER 1000 FEET, B. M.
1866 .....	\$11.50 to \$12.00	\$1.00 to \$1.25	1877.....	\$9.25 to \$9.75	\$2.25 to \$2.75
1867 .....	12.00 12.50	1.25 1.50	1878.....	9.50 10.00	2.25 2.75
1868 .....	12.00 12.50	1.50 1.75	1879.....	10.50 11.00	2.50 2.75
1869 .....	12.50 13.00	2.00 2.50	1880.....	11.50 12.00	2.75 3.00
1870 .....	12.00 12.50	2.00 2.50	1881.....	12.50 13.00	3.00 4.00
1871 .....	12.50 13.00	2.00 2.50	1882.....	14.00 14.50	3.50 4.50
1872 .....	13.00 12.00	2.00 2.50	1883.....	13.50 14.00	4.00 5.00
1873 .....	11.50 11.00	2.00 2.50	1884.....	12.50 13.00	4.00 5.00
1874 .....	10.50 10.00	2.00 2.50	1885.....	12.50 13.00	4.50 6.50
1875 .....	9.50 10.00	2.25 2.75	1886.....	12.50 13.00	4.50 6.50
1876 .....	9.00 9.50	2.25 2.75	1887.....	12.50 13.00	4.50 6.50

That the stumpage value has increased sixfold, while the lumber value has hardly increased at all, points to a potent influence upon price, which can hardly be accounted for even by increased competition and transportation facilities. We have to seek it in the improvement of the tools, the machinery,

manufactured wholesale and sold cheaply, of a form which permits best execution, has, even in conservative Europe, supplanted the clumsy straight handle. Since the fifties cross-cut saws have more and more been used in felling, and in reducing the waste in the woods; the improvements in form, in the shape of

the teeth, in the adjustable handle as well as the superior workmanship, have made American saws, and especially those of the firm of Disston & Sons, Philadelphia, Pa., world-famous. Steam drag-saws and tree fellers have been invented, but are not used to any extent; the application of the electric current in tree felling has not yet been more than experimental. One of the simplest yet most valuable aids to the logger, the ingenious peavy or cant-hook, perfected after 1870, excites the admiration of the European woodsman by its effective adjustment and almost elegant form.

The organization of the logging crew into swampers (road makers), choppers, sawyers, loaders, and teamsters is, at least in the pineries of the Northwest, as perfect as that of any business concern in New York. The timber estimators of large firms, and the scalers using scalers' rules, a specifically American invention of comparatively recent date, are experts in their way. Log sleds and log wagons with high wheels are essentially American inventions, but have not changed much in the last thirty or forty years. A mechanical steam-logger, which makes its own ice-road, traveling through the woods like a locomotive, skidding the logs, was put into practical operation a few years ago, but seems not to have been generally accepted. On the other hand, the "pull-boats" used in the swamps of the South, which, by wire ropes operated from the steam-engine on the boat, skid the cypress logs for a distance of two to three miles on either side of river or canal, have proved a perfect success, cheapening and simplifying the otherwise difficult logging operations in these swamps.

Railroads have not only brought distant lumber centers within easy reach of markets, but they have even penetrated the woods themselves, connecting the mill with the sources of supply, reducing, although not superseding, the river-drive. The temporary tramway, broad or narrow gauged, reaching out for fifteen, twenty, and more miles from the mill to the cuttings, is a common feature of lumbering operations, especially in the Southern woods; while water carriage is still largely practised in the North, and especially in the mountain country. Here driving of logs is done as in times gone by, both loose and in rafts; but the orderly arrangement of drives, booms, and boom companies, which act as carriers of the log crop of many firms from the woods to the mill, are in their present form an American practice developed within the last forty years.

The greatest improvements have been made in the

mills themselves. The water-mill, with its single sash-saw, pulled downward by the water-wheel and back by means of a large elastic pole, with its cog-wheel feed, old-fashioned carriage and blocks, and its independent dogs made by the blacksmith, which was most common until well-nigh the middle of this century, hardly exists to-day. It was superseded at first by the circular or rotary saw, an invention of an entirely new principle, which may be claimed by Europe; for S. Miller received a patent in England for a saw of circular form—the description, however, being doubtful—in 1777, and C. A. Abert obtained patents in France in 1799 for a circular saw in sections, which in England was patented by Brunel in 1805. In the United States the year 1814 seems the first in which a consignment of such saws was received from England at Pawtucket, and the same year one was manufactured by B. Cummins at Bentonville, N. Y. But it is apparent from the many patents for single and gang reciprocating saws that until about 1830 the rotary saws did not find much favor. They were, however, perfected gradually, and improved in mounting, in plate and teeth (the first insertible teeth, an American patent, was issued to W. Kendall in 1826). The ease with which they could be set up anywhere, and the rapidity with which they did their work, favored their introduction, until in 1860 the great mass of lumber was cut by them. Gang-saws were operated in the old countries as early as the sixteenth century, and muley-saws were also of early origin, although many improvements were made in the United States; and with the growth of the lumber trade the gang-saws for the manufacture of better-grade material kept pace in their introduction with the rotaries.

The band-saw, the perfection of sawmill machinery, although invented as early as 1808 by an Englishman, W. Newberry, and patented in the United States by one Barker, seems to have been first put into practical operation for log sawing—it had hitherto been used only for scroll sawing—in 1872 by Hoffman Brothers, for cutting hard woods in the Maumee Valley in Ohio. Into the pineries of the North it found its way only in the eighties, the difficult adjustment, especially for rapid work, being against it; but now all the best-equipped mills of that region have discarded the rotary, and work with band-saws, single and sometimes double, supplemented by nicely adjusted gang-saws, the band-saw preparing the log for the latter rather than converting it into lumber. In hard woods, and in Southern and Western mills, to be sure, the rotary, single or with top and bottom saws, still prevails. Of the



many improvements in the mill, covered, together with those in saws, by over 2500 patents, over 700 of which fall in the decade from 1870 to 1880, and over 800 in the last decade, I can only mention the direct steam-feed, supplanting the rope and friction appliances; the accurately adjusted setting-works, head-blocks, and dogs; the steam-nigger, a most remarkable log-turning device; endless chains for bringing the log into the mill; and mechanical carriers for lumber and for refuse. The improved edger, which converts the rough unedged board into commercial shape, and the trimmer, with its complicated system of levers and "lift" or drop saws, prevent in the better mills much waste and a loss of millions. With the improvements in the mill came improvements in its adjuncts, the introduction of shingle, lath, and slab saws reducing the waste and using up inferior material; planers, flooring, matching, and molding machines, in connection with the sawmills, refining the lumber at the original point of manufacture. In the manner of sawing rift, or quarter-sawing, is a notable departure, as it adds to the ornamental effect of certain kinds of lumber, as well as to the wearing qualities for certain uses. The simple piling of lumber to secure seasoning has been gradually superseded, especially in the South, by artificial drying in kilns and other devices, all introduced since 1867, natural-draft and blower kilns being most popular. This method of driving out the water from lumber artificially is perhaps the greatest advance in the lumber industry during the last fifteen years, in its saving of time, material, and capital. Systematic

and uniform inspection or classification of lumber is still rather undeveloped in this country, though lately considerable attention has been paid to the subject in the meetings of the lumbermen's associations, and of the wholesale and retail yardmen.

That the lumber business has progressed to a high degree of development is perhaps best attested by the existence of at least thirty or forty associations of manufacturers and dealers, of wider or narrower scope, having more or less direct relation to the lumber business. Besides the lumber departments forming parts in general trade journals, there are fifteen or twenty publications specifically devoted to the lumber trade, at least five or six of which will compare favorably with the best trade journals of other branches in make-up and contents.

With the end of the century the lumber industry will have reached the climax of its development. The white pine, the great staple, will then have been reduced so as to be practically exhausted, and the lesson of the need of economy with our forest resources will then have been learned. The means of economy will be found in more careful preparation, and especially in more careful selection of material for different uses; many species now overlooked or despised will be utilized, and inferior material will satisfy the hitherto lavish taste; finally, the cutting in the woods will be done with more care, and they will be managed for reproduction. In other words, forestry, the art of producing wood crops, will have become established as the basis of the lumber industry of the twentieth century.






CHAPTER XXXI

PETROLEUM: ITS PRODUCTION AND PRODUCTS

EXPORTS OF PETROLEUM.



THE Historic Moment for petroleum was that at which Drake "struck oil" on Watson's Flats, near Titusville, Pa., August 28, 1858. In less than forty years, therefore, petroleum production and manufacture have grown to their present proportions. To-day the exports already rank fourth in the list for value, being surpassed by only cotton, breadstuffs, and provisions. For the year ending June 30, 1864, the total exports were 23,000,000 gallons; by 1869 they had grown to 100,000,000 gallons; by 1874 to 200,000,000 gallons; by 1877

to 300,000,000 gallons; by 1880 to 400,000,000 gallons; by 1882 to 500,000,000 gallons; by 1887 to 600,000,000 gallons; by 1891 to 700,000,000 gallons; by 1893 to 800,000,000 gallons; and last year to 900,000,000 gallons. To-day a larger percentage of the oil product of the country is sent abroad than of any other product except cotton.

The growth in exports of illuminating oil is still more marked. Those for the year ending June 30, 1866, were three times those of 1864; those of 1868 twice those of 1866 and six times those of 1864;

those of 1871 twice those of 1868 and twelve times those of 1864; those of 1877 twice those of 1871 and twenty-four times those of 1864; those of 1891 twice those of 1877 and forty-eight times those of 1864. In other words, beginning with 1866, the exports of illuminating oil were doubled in 1868, again in 1871, again in 1877, and again in 1891. Those of last year were more than sixty-two times those of thirty years ago. The average exports per week in 1894 were twenty-five per cent. more than the total for the entire year 1864. While considering this great growth in business, a glance at prices may be of interest. Export oil averaged in 1861 61½ cents per gallon; in 1871, 23⅝ cents per gallon; in 1881, 8 cents per gallon; in 1891, 6⅞ cents per gallon; in 1894, 5⅙ cents per gallon, or one twelfth the price in 1861. But this decrease, great as it is, does not represent the actual reduction in the price of oil, as the cost of barrels is included in these prices. A gallon of bulk oil cost, in 1861, not less than 58 cents; in 1894, not more than 2¾ cents, or less than one twentieth. The money that in 1861 was required to buy 1000 barrels of oil would have purchased, in 1894, over 20,000 barrels.

Enormous capital and energy have been required to establish an industry of such magnitude. Pipe-lines aggregating 25,000 miles in length—a girdle for the globe—and 9000 tank-cars—placed end to end, an unbroken train extending three fourths the distance between New York and Philadelphia—helped in moving the products to the home markets; while sixty-nine bulk steamers, not to mention bulk sailing vessels and the fleet of steamers and ships carrying oil in barrels and cases, transported them to the most distant quarters of the earth. Petroleum undoubtedly has a wider sale than any other American product. Wherever commerce has made its way it has found a welcome. "It is carried wherever a wheel can roll or a camel's foot be planted. The caravans on the Desert of Sahara go laden with astral oil, and elephants in India carry cases of standard white. Ships are constantly loading at our wharves for Japan, India, and the most distant isles of the sea."

The able special agent on petroleum for the Eleventh United States Census estimated the value of wells and land at over \$155,000,000, and showed that the investment in plant employed in the production of crude petroleum brings this sum up to \$229,000,000. This does not include the value of pipe-lines, nor of tank-cars, nor of the great fields of tankage for the storage of crude, nor of the costly refineries, nor of the terminals and docks at the sea-

board for export shipments, nor of the fleet of bulk vessels carrying the product to foreign shores. The census report gives the value of refineries as over \$77,000,000. We think it no exaggeration to estimate the total capital required for the production, manufacture, and transportation of petroleum and its products at \$400,000,000.

The sinking of Drake's well was an event so momentous, starting the grand industry we are to describe, that the story is briefly repeated. The first petroleum company organized in the United States was the Pennsylvania Rock Oil Company, with a nominal capital of \$500,000, incorporated in New York, December 30, 1854. The projectors were George H. Bissell and Jonathan D. Eveleth, members of a law firm in New York City. It chanced that Mr. Bissell's attention had been directed to petroleum by noticing a sample of it when on a visit to Hanover, N. H., his native place. This sample had been brought to Professor Crosby, of Dartmouth College, by Dr. T. B. Brewer, the son of one of the members of Brewer & Watson, lumber merchants at Titusville. Mr. Bissell's interest found substantial expression in the purchase of 105 acres of Watson's Flats, near Titusville, including an island at the junction of Oil and Pine creeks. On this island oil had been collected for eight or nine years by means of a series of pits arranged like separators, the water flowing away below, leaving the oil floating on the surface, to be dipped up with blankets. Some of the organizers of the company resided at New Haven, Conn. At their suggestion a quantity of the oil was sent to Professor Benjamin Silliman, Jr., who made an exhaustive analysis and an elaborate report. As it was most favorable, a Pennsylvania Rock Oil Company was formed in Connecticut, with headquarters at New Haven, and the property held by the New York corporation transferred to it. Mr. Bissell still retained, in 1857, his interest in the Connecticut company. He happened, in 1856, to see an advertisement of "Kier's Petroleum," a patent medicine owned by Samuel M. Kier, a druggist at Pittsburg. The advertisement showed the derrick of the brine-well from which the oil was secured with the brine. It suggested to Mr. Bissell that perhaps the crude, which was being obtained in such limited quantities by means of surface pits, might be found in paying quantities if artesian wells were sunk. The Seneca Oil Company in 1857 succeeded the Pennsylvania Rock Oil Company, of Connecticut, with a plan of drilling for the oil. Mr. E. L. Drake—soon known as "Colonel" Drake—was sent to Titusville the following year to

carry out this project. He was forced to invent some new way of reaching the rock at which to begin drilling, as the hole he tried to dig filled with water and quicksand. It occurred to him to drive a pipe down to the rock—a plan afterward adopted not only in oil-well boring, but in all artesian drilling. Drake's tool struck the rock at thirty-six feet. Drilling then proceeded slowly, under the direction of "Uncle Billy" Smith and his two sons, until the bore had penetrated the rock thirty-three feet, when, on Saturday night, August 27th, the drill dropped into a crevice about six inches. The tools were pulled out and put aside for the work to be resumed on Monday. But Sunday afternoon Smith visited the well, to make sure that all was safe, and saw liquid within a few feet of the top of the pipe. He dipped up a little and found it to be oil. They had reached petroleum in the first sand, thirty-three feet through the rock, and sixty-nine and one half feet below the surface of the ground. When the pump was started on Monday, the well produced at the rate of twenty-five barrels per day, at that time an incredible quantity. They had hoped for gallons, and found barrels of the precious fluid.

It is impossible to state when petroleum was first discovered. In some form it seems to have been applied to the uses of mankind from the earliest periods known to history. The "slime" of the Old Testament, mentioned as the mortar used in constructing the Tower of Babel, 2200 years before Christ, was probably partially evaporated petroleum; and the "pitch" with which Noah coated the ark, 250 years earlier, was doubtless a similar product. The ruins of Nineveh and Babylon indicate that the asphaltic cement used for their walls and buildings was composed, in part at least, of semi-fluid bitumen. Perhaps the first mention of the use of petroleum for illuminating purposes is the "Sicilian oil," described by Pliny and Dioscorides Pedanius, the Greek botanist, as secured near Agrigentum, now called Girgenti, on the island of Sicily, to be remembered as the site of the temples of Concord and of Olympian Jupiter. This oil was burned in lamps as early as the beginning of the Christian era.

In America the Indians collected what was known as "Seneca oil" from petroleum springs; and the indications are that, long before them, the mound-builders, who worked the copper-mines of Lake Superior, the lead-mines of Kentucky, and the mica-mines of North Carolina, not only gathered the oil that flowed from natural springs and appeared on streams, but even dug numerous wells in Pennsyl-

vania Ohio, and Canada, and dipped up the petroleum that flowed into them. Trees now growing in the earth thrown out in digging the wells, or in the wells themselves, show that this work was done from 500 to 1000 years ago.

The success of Drake's well ushered in a period of almost unparalleled excitement, surpassed only by the gold fever of California, ten years before. Western Pennsylvania, in 1859 and the next few years, was the scene of indescribable activity and speculation. Wells were sunk in great numbers along Oil Creek, French Creek, and the Alleghany River. Adventurers flocked thither from all parts of the country. What was soon known as the "oil region" was transformed from an almost unbroken forest into camps and towns. Many of the wells yielded nothing, others lasted but a short time, while some gave enormous quantities of oil. As the producing fields changed, the population shifted with the fields, and the towns that had sprung from the wilderness as by the touch of a magician's wand vanished almost as quickly as they had grown. Pithole City, for example, in 1865 next to Philadelphia the largest post-office in the State, has now entirely disappeared and the site of the city become a farm.

Elsewhere is given a table showing the quantities of oil produced each year. From this it will be seen that by the end of 1859 fully 200 wells were in successful operation, and the production of crude oil amounted to 2000 barrels. Phenomenal growth then followed. The next year the production was 500,000 barrels, and in 1861 it had increased to 2,113,609 barrels. In addition to this amount, it is estimated that at least 10,000,000 barrels ran to waste because of lack of barrels to hold it or a market to take care of it.

During the first two years after the success of Drake the search for oil was restricted to the territory around Titusville, wells being sunk up and down both sides of Oil Creek, and back on the hills that form its banks. The drills were then tried on the Alleghany River, and its shores were found to yield abundantly. It was not unnatural, though not very logical, for the petroleum seekers to feel that there must be some connection between the trend of Oil Creek and the Alleghany River and the underground deposits of oil. As it happened, the oil-bearing strata extended generally under these two streams; but a glance to-day at a map showing the location of all the oil-fields that have been discovered will demonstrate to the eye the fallacy of this belief, as the fields in some instances stretch across the Alleghany River at right angles. Up to this time all

of the oil secured had been lifted from the wells by pumps. A new surprise was now in store for the producers. The first flowing well was struck in February, 1861, on the McElhenny farm, yielding 300 barrels per day. It flowed for fifteen months. This surprise had not spent itself when the Phillips well was struck, shooting forth ten times as much oil per day as the first well, and was followed soon by the Funk well, matching the Phillips in productiveness, giving 3000 barrels per day; the Noble well, with 3000 barrels per day; and the Sherman well, with 2000 barrels per day. The Noble well produced upward of \$3,000,000 worth of oil, and the Sherman well flowed an average of 900 barrels per day for two years.

Such a stimulus as the finding of these "gushers," or petroleum fountains, following one another in quick succession, increased the production enormously; for not only did the large wells add to the quantity produced, but the success in striking them encouraged prospectors generally to renewed efforts for obtaining capital for further development. The production in 1861, a little more than 2,000,000 barrels, was increased fifty per cent. in 1862—to 3,000,000. As a natural consequence prices rapidly declined. Five cents per barrel was the price actually touched in November, 1861. A fresh surprise was still in store for the oil operators when it was found that productive territory need not necessarily underlie the valleys and river-bottoms, but that the high lands also covered the hidden treasure. In 1862 the drillers became crowded in following the banks of the Alleghany River, and pushed back into the adjacent country. They had already climbed the hills bordering Oil Creek and the Alleghany River, but now tested the high plateaus of Clarion, Butler, Armstrong, McKean, and Warren counties. In 1864 the Economy well and the surrounding region in Warren County, and the Pithole division in Venango County, became prominent.

Much of this extension of the oil region was carried out on lines developed by C. D. Angell and others, who formulated "belt theories" which they thought would enable them successfully to locate the subterranean deposits. Angell made a study of the relative location of the largest wells. In the Titusville group a narrow strip of country running in a direction a little east of north took in all the most productive ones. It is strange that the fact had not been noticed before. When the lower country was discovered, he quietly mapped out a similar field in Clarion and Butler counties, parallel to the Titusville one, and secretly secured leases of much

of the territory. His success was patent, and others were led to see that he worked with method, which they soon copied. The plan was somewhat more scientific than that which had been followed in developing the territory along Oil Creek and the Alleghany River; and yet wildly tracing a line by the direction of a compass, and hoping to find productive territory after passing miles of untested country, almost suggests superstition. Even if the trend of the oil-bearing strata has been found, and there is reason to believe that the same strata extend under untried territory, still, when one remembers that the slightest variation from the true angle at the start soon becomes an error of miles if carried to a distance, the futility of the plan is seen. Besides, nature's lines are seldom straight. The oil-bearing sands are undoubtedly deposited in curves and in beds at intervals only. This is now recognized, and the oil-leads are traced by means of the drill, without any reference to the topographical conformation of the surface.

A northern district next claimed from the middle and southern a share of public attention when the Bradford field was found. The date generally given is that of December 6, 1874, when a well on the Buchanan farm, two and one half miles from the town of Bradford, was struck. In 1875 the production was fully 25,000 barrels; in 1876 it had increased to 380,000 barrels; in 1877 to 1,450,000 barrels; in 1878 to 6,500,000 barrels—as much in a day as was produced in a whole year in 1875. In the following year the production was again doubled, and brought up to 14,200,000 barrels. In 1880 it was 22,300,000 barrels; in 1881, over 23,000,000 barrels. The production of all the other Pennsylvania fields in that year was only 4,238,000 barrels, the Bradford production being six sevenths of the whole. In 1876 the Bullion and Warren oils appeared. The same year the Beaver district of Clarion County became prominent. In June, 1879, oil was found in the Richburg field in Allegany County, New York, closely allied—so far, at least, as location is concerned—with the Bradford territory. The first well was put down as a "wild-cat" or test well, and produced at the rate of four barrels per day, hardly foreshadowing the enormous output soon to follow; for in 1881 it had reached 600,000 barrels, and in 1882, 6,450,000 barrels. In 1880 the Clarion and Warren productions became a feature in the calculations of the producers. In May, 1882, the Cherry Grove oil made its appearance, of sudden growth and of almost as sudden decline. Found in May, it yielded in July over 24,000 barrels per day, but

in October less than 9000, the average for 1883 being only 2000 barrels per day, which fell to 400 the following year. In September, 1884, the Thorn Creek oil was secured; the great Phillips well, the largest flowing well ever opened in America, starting at the rate of 10,000 barrels per day, which gradually declined to 500 barrels.

In 1885 and 1886 the production in Washington and Greene counties became prominent. During these two years the number of wells put down was greatly increased, the total for 1886 being 3478, the largest number for several years. The stocks of crude continued to be so large as to occasion general alarm among producers. The largest stock on record is that of August 31, 1884—a total of 39,084,561 barrels. The average stock of 1884 was 35,953,975 barrels; of 1885, 37,698,481 barrels; of 1886, 35,732,291 barrels. The early part of 1887 showed little decrease in production; and prices, with some minor fluctuations, steadily declined. In August, 1885, crude was quoted at \$1.04 per barrel; in January, 1886, it had declined to 90 cents. It averaged for December, 1886, only 71 cents, having several times during the year fallen below 65 cents. The bottom price of 54 $\frac{3}{8}$  cents was touched in July, 1887, the average for the month being only 59 $\frac{1}{4}$  cents. A plan was formulated at this time by the producers, looking to curtailing for a time the output of the oil-fields. An agreement was drawn up and signed by the members of the Petroleum Producers' Association. By it about one quarter of the production, or at least 17,500 barrels per day, and as much more as possible, was to be "shut in" for one year, beginning November 1, 1887. The movement was a success. The average daily production of the three months ending October 31st was about 64,000 barrels; that for the following three months only 41,000 barrels, a reduction of 23,000 barrels per day. The agreement was to stop cleaning out and torpedoing all wells for one year, and to shut in a certain part of the production of other wells. In 1888 the production was only 16,488,668 barrels; while it had been, in 1887, 22,356,193 barrels. The stock reported for October 31, 1887, of 30,662,583 barrels, was reduced to 18,995,814 barrels by December 31, 1888; and the average price of certificates advanced from about 67 cents in September, 1887, to 93 cents in September, 1888; the average for the year 1888 being 87 cents, as compared with 66 $\frac{5}{8}$  cents for the year 1887. In 1889 production was again resumed, and 5435 wells were completed, as compared with only 1515 in 1888, and 1660 in 1887.

The phenomenal McDonald field appeared in 1891, but began to decline in the latter part of the year and continued to decline through 1892. In that year the production of the Sistersville field took its place to a considerable extent. Since then the production has steadily declined. In 1894 the production of what is known as Pennsylvania crude was 84,000 barrels per day, while the consumption was 100,000 barrels per day. The stocks were reduced to 6,336,777 barrels at the end of the year.

Fortunately for the American industry, the Ohio field appeared, to supplement the supply of the Pennsylvania field. At the World's Columbian Exposition the display of petroleum, particularly that offered by the Standard Oil Company, was impressive and magnificent. Its cost was commensurate with the magnitude of the industry it typified. The judges made many awards, but one was unique in the Mining Department, if not in the whole fair. It was "a special award for the manufacture from Ohio crude, known as 'Lima oil,' of the best illuminating oil ever made from any kind of crude oil." The breadth of this statement arrests attention, and, had we nothing else to signalize the Ohio petroleum-field, this alone would make it worthy of careful study. But a glance at the field's record shows that, for other reasons, it should not be overlooked. The total production of crude petroleum in the whole United States in 1894 was about 49,000,000 barrels. Of this, 20,000,000 barrels, or more than two fifths, came from the Ohio territory. For many years—in fact, up to 1885—the Pennsylvania field was regarded as the undisputed source of supply of petroleum for the world, and up to to-day its production has aggregated 500,000,000 barrels—a quantity so vast as to be almost incomprehensible. Yet the Ohio territory, operated during only the past eight years, has already furnished over 100,000,000 barrels, or one fifth the quantity secured from the more eastern field during its whole career of over thirty years.

The finding of what is known as the Ohio field—which is not limited to the State from which it takes its name, but, much like the Pennsylvania field, stretches out into adjoining States—was a surprise to both geologists and practical men. Expert drillers and scientific geologists feared that the limits of the American industry had been reached. So high an authority as the late Dr. Charles A. Ashburner, the eminent geologist, who made the oil-fields of Pennsylvania his life-study, wrote in 1885 that, in his opinion, the boundaries of the oil regions were well established, and that there was no reason-





HENRY C. FOLGER, JR.



able expectation that any new and extensive field would be found. This was but another instance to support the maxim of the practical driller that "geology never filled a tank." Even while this opinion was being written, the drill was penetrating the rock at Lima to reach the oil reservoirs underlying so large a part of the State, and within a few months the great Ohio territory was an assured fact.

The production of Ohio crude in 1885 amounted to 650,000 barrels; in 1886 it had grown to 1,800,000 barrels. The following year it had grown to 10,000,000 barrels; the next year to 12,500,000 barrels; and in 1890 to 16,000,000 barrels, the average production each year up to 1893, when it was 18,500,000 barrels. Last year it was over 20,000,000 barrels. Until 1890 the Ohio crude had to be marketed as fuel, the sulphur compounds it contained rendering it impossible to refine it into illuminating oils; but during the last few years enormous strides have been made in the way of improvement in handling this refractory product, until not only satisfactory but even very superior oils are now manufactured from this crude product.

One of the first problems which the oil producer had to solve was that of transportation. The market for his product was the refineries that had been constructed in some of the large cities—particularly at the seaboard—for the production of illuminating oil out of coal. The oil-wells along Oil Creek and the Alleghany River were at first many miles from a railroad, in a lumber district where there were often no roads, or at best very poor ones. Those who have traveled in the oil region know that for several months of the year the roads are rendered almost impassable by the mud. Their condition in the days when they were merely trails up over the hills and through the valleys of the sparsely settled country can hardly be imagined. Oil City was the nearest shipping point, and Pittsburgh the large distributing center. Crude oil was put into barrels, loaded on trucks, and hauled to Oil City. The loss was very great. The barrels, being old, leaked freely as they made their rough trip from the interior to the railroad. Barges were soon called into use and the barreled oil loaded on them; or the barges themselves were made tank-boats for holding the oil in bulk, and the load floated down Oil Creek to the Alleghany River at Oil City. But Oil Creek during most of the year was a shallow stream, and the novel plan of slack-water navigation, known as a pond freshet or "pond fresh," was resorted to. The water in the streams tributary

to Oil Creek was held back by dams until sufficient quantities had accumulated; and then, at a fixed hour, each body of water was in turn released, filling the main stream for a short time with a flood. On this the barges of oil were carried down to their destination, warning having been given so that the boatmen along the stream might be ready to take advantage of the tide as it passed. The body of water was not large in extent, and considerable skill had to be used in starting at the right moment, and in navigating the boat during the trip. If the start was made too late, the waters would pass ahead and leave the craft stranded. If it was made too soon, the barge might be caught in the boiling waters and the power to guide it be lost. Losses were frequent. The barges collided with one another or struck projecting rocks in their rapid trip. Therefore, when boats were introduced for carrying the oil from Oil City down the Alleghany to Pittsburgh, larger and stronger ones were constructed.

In the mean time, in 1862, the Atlantic and Great Western Railroad was carried into the oil region. In 1866 the Alleghany Valley Railroad was opened up from Oil City, at the mouth of Oil Creek, to Pittsburg, and a number of narrow-gauge lines constructed as feeders into the heart of the producing country. At first the barrels were loaded on flat cars; but the water mixed with the oil dissolved the glue used for coating the inside of the barrels, and the leakage in consequence was so large that wooden tank-cars were soon built, with two wooden tubs or vats, each holding 2000 gallons, placed on an ordinary platform-car. This was the forerunner of the tank-car of to-day. In 1872 cars consisting of a horizontal cylindrical tank of iron, mounted on a four-wheel platform or railroad truck, appeared. These were at first of no greater capacity than the wooden cars they displaced, but have been gradually increased in size as their plan of construction has been improved, until many of them are now of 8000 gallons' capacity each. There are between 8000 and 9000 tank-cars in use in the United States.

The magnitude of the petroleum industry made it necessary to find some mode of transportation even cheaper than a railroad. By force of circumstances barges and tank-cars for oil in bulk displaced the truck carrying oil in barrels. The pipe-line, in turn, displaced the car and boat. The introduction of this mode of transporting oil marks an era in the petroleum industry. The freight by rail amounted to five or six dollars per car from the region to New York. It was most economical, therefore, to refine the crude product near the wells, so that freight

need be paid only on the kind desired, and the quantity to be moved reduced to a minimum. The country around Pittsburg and Oil City was filled with small works taking out of the crude the refined oil needed for export. When the idea of allowing the oil to flow from place to place through iron pipes was put into practical form, the cost of transportation was so much reduced that a few enormous refineries were built at the seaboard, near New York, Philadelphia, and Baltimore, and on the shores of Lake Erie, near Buffalo and Cleveland, to do the work which the almost countless small refineries in the oil region had heretofore done. This meant a revolution in methods of manufacture and in costs.

Samuel Van Syckle, of Titusville, was the first to put down a working line. It was only four miles long, extending from Pithole to Miller's farm, and carried but eighty barrels per day. It demonstrated, however, the thorough practicability of moving oil in this way. The difficulty up to this time had been in making the joints of the pipe tight. Van Syckle overcame this; and, although his line faced an ascent of nearly 500 feet, the oil was delivered at the further end practically without loss. This line, together with another laid in the same year by Henry Harley from Benninghoff Run to the Shafer farm, passed into the control of a corporation known as the Alleghany Transportation Company, by which it was operated. The owners and drivers of oil wagons saw that this mode of transportation must soon deprive them of occupation, and they did what they could to retard the progress of the work. They cut the lines, set fire to the tanks with which they were connected, and even threatened the proprietors and managers with personal violence. An armed patrol and the arrest of the ringleaders by detectives soon quelled this outbreak. The pipe of oil was a great general improvement, and personal interest had to yield. To-day the oil region is a network of pipes; and great trunk-lines, pulsing with the moving oil, supply the needs of New York, Philadelphia, Baltimore, Cleveland, Buffalo, Pittsburg, Chicago, and of many intermediate points.

The growth, however, was gradual. Lines were first laid only to the refineries in the oil region, and to the railroads taking the oil out of the region. With the lengthening of the pipes and the increase of pressure to force the liquid to greater distances, men became more and more impressed with the possibilities of the new mode of transportation, and enthusiastic ones began to believe there was no point short of the seaboard to which the oil might not be sent. In 1875 an organization called the Pennsyl-

vania Transportation Company was granted a charter with power to construct a pipe-line to the seaboard. The only outcome of this venture was the building of various lines within the oil region. Short lines multiplied, and pipe after pipe from the producing fields to the refineries and railroad shipping points crossed and paralleled one another in every direction. Competing companies waged war upon one another, cutting rates to the point where business was done at an actual loss. When the producer had run his oil into the storage-tanks of one of these concerns he was not certain whether the certificate received (for they all issued certificates instead of paying cash for oil) had any value; yet he must either send the oil through the pipe nearest to him, or allow it to pass back into the earth from which it came. The concentration of these badly managed competitive companies into some centralized organization with systematic and economical methods was a necessary consequence of the situation.

The United Pipe-Lines Association, first known as the Fairview Pipe-Line, organized by Captain J. J. Vandergriff and George V. Forman, became the starting-point for such a movement. Into it were merged from time to time the other local lines—the Antwerp, Oil City, Clarion, Union, Conduit, Karns, Grant, Pennsylvania, Relief, the Clarion and McKean divisions of the American Transfer Company, the Prentiss lines, the Olean pipe, the Union Oil Company's line at Clarendon, and the McCalmont line, with others too numerous to mention. The first trunk-line was laid in 1874 from the lower oil country to Pittsburg. It consisted of thirty-nine miles of three-inch pipe, running from Carbon Center in Butler County to Fairview, a suburb of Pittsburg. The trunk-line to Cleveland next followed. Pipe-lines now extend from the Pennsylvania oil-fields to Cleveland, Buffalo, New York, Philadelphia, and Baltimore; and from the Ohio fields to Cleveland and Chicago. It is probably not an overstatement to say that the total length of these lines is 25,000 miles.

In a few instances petroleum has been obtained from the earth of color and odor so good that it could be burned for illuminating purposes in its natural state. Again, in a few instances—somewhat more numerous than those just mentioned, but still limited in number—oils have been found, heavy in gravity, and so free from both light ingredients and paraffine that they are excellent lubricants in the condition in which they come from the ground. But these instances are so few that it can be given

as a rule that all the uses to which petroleum is put require a manufactured article.

Below is given a table of the production of petroleum in the United States from the time of its discovery through 1894. These figures are taken from the records of the United States Geological Survey. They show a total production of over 650,000,000 barrels, valued at not less than \$500,000,000.

projects represented by these works had to be abandoned when the existence of Pennsylvania crude oil became known, and the plants were sold at a great sacrifice and rearranged for the distillation of petroleum. It was in such stills as those at the works named, constructed originally for handling coal, that refined oil was first manufactured in commercial quantities.

PRODUCTION OF CRUDE PETROLEUM IN THE UNITED STATES.  
(Barrels of 42 gallons.)

YEAR.	PENNSYLVANIA AND NEW YORK.	WEST VIRGINIA.	OHIO.	INDIANA.	CALIFORNIA.	COLORADO.	KENTUCKY AND TENNESSEE.	ALLOTHER STATES.	TOTAL UNITED STATES.
1859	2,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,000
1860	500,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	500,000
1861	2,113,609	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,113,609
1862	3,056,690	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,056,690
1863	2,611,309	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,611,309
1864	2,116,109	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,116,109
1865	2,497,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2,497,700
1866	3,597,700	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,597,700
1867	3,347,300	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,347,300
1868	3,646,117	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	3,646,117
1869	4,215,000	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	4,215,000
1870	5,260,745	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,260,745
1871	5,205,234	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	5,205,234
1872	6,293,194	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,293,194
1873	9,893,786	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	9,893,786
1874	10,926,945	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	10,926,945
1875	8,787,514	13,000,000	1 200,000	.....	1 175,000	.....	.....	.....	12,162,514
1876	8,968,906	120,000	31,763	.....	12,000	.....	.....	.....	9,132,669
1877	13,135,475	172,000	29,888	.....	13,000	.....	.....	.....	13,350,363
1878	15,163,462	180,000	38,179	.....	15,227	.....	.....	.....	15,396,868
1879	19,685,176	180,000	29,112	.....	19,858	.....	.....	.....	19,914,146
1880	26,027,631	179,000	38,940	.....	40,552	.....	.....	.....	26,286,123
1881	27,376,509	151,000	33,867	.....	99,862	.....	.....	.....	27,661,238
1882	30,053,500	128,000	39,761	.....	128,636	.....	1 160,933	.....	30,510,830
1883	23,128,389	126,000	47,632	.....	142,857	.....	4,755	.....	23,449,633
1884	23,772,209	90,000	90,081	.....	262,000	.....	4,148	.....	24,218,438
1885	20,776,041	91,000	650,000	.....	325,000	.....	5,164	.....	21,847,205
1886	25,798,000	102,000	1,782,970	.....	377,145	.....	4,726	.....	28,064,841
1887	22,356,193	145,000	5,018,015	.....	678,572	76,295	4,791	.....	28,278,866
1888	16,488,668	119,448	10,010,868	.....	690,333	297,612	5,096	.....	27,612,025
1889	21,487,435	544,113	12,471,466	33,375	303,220	316,476	5,400	2,028	35,163,513
1890	28,458,208	492,578	16,124,656	63,496	307,360	368,842	6,000	1,532	45,822,672
1891	33,009,236	2,406,218	17,740,301	136,634	323,600	665,482	9,000	1,509	54,291,980
1892	28,422,377	3,810,086	16,362,921	698,068	385,049	824,000	6,500	135	50,509,136
1893	20,314,513	8,445,412	16,249,769	2,335,293	470,179	594,390	3,000	110	48,412,666
1894	19,019,990	8,577,624	16,792,154	3,688,666	705,969	515,746	1,500	42,867	49,344,516
Total.	497,512,870	29,059,479	113,782,343	6,955,532	5,475,419	3,658,843	221,013	48,181	656,713,680

<sup>1</sup> Includes all productions prior to this year.

When Drake opened the way to an indefinite production of crude petroleum there were many coal-oil refineries in active operation ready to turn from the distillation of coal or shale to this cheaper and more tractable article. Two large refineries—one built on Newtown Creek, almost at the site of the present Kings County Oil Works, on Long Island, by L. F. Cozzens, the West Point hotel proprietor, and the original Delmonico; and the other, the Empire Works in South Brooklyn, also on Long Island—had just begun a successful career. The

The first great step forward in the art of refining was the result of an accident. Crude petroleum is made up of a great number of differently compounded hydrocarbons. The earlier methods of rapid running resulted in a simple fractional distillation, these compounds being separated from one another as the degree of heat was increased, and, beginning with the lightest, being vaporized and passed over as a vapor into the condenser-coil, there to be reduced to liquid form by being cooled. Such a distillation produced a series of products following

one another in regular order from the lightest in gravity or density down to the heaviest, until the liquid in the still was all vaporized, and nothing was left but the dry or burned oil on the sides and bottom. "Cracking" is the technical term for destructive distillation, whereby the compounds of which the crude substance is composed are separated not only from one another, but to a degree into their component parts, and new compounds are allowed to be formed. The result is that vapors are thrown over into the condenser-worm, and liquefy into products of lighter gravity—in other words, of less density; while the heavy vapors, being condensed in the still before passing into the worm, fall back into the liquid in the still, to be again and again vaporized and decomposed. It was by accident that it was discovered that the compound known as crude oil could, by destructive distillation, be converted into compounds of greater simplicity of construction; the lighter ones, which are more valuable for the production of illuminating oils, being carried over into the condenser-worm to be there liquefied, and the heavier ones left in the still to be further broken up or reduced to liquid residuum in the still, or to a dry sediment or coke on its bottom. Allen Norton Leet claims that the discovery was made at a little works in Newark, N. J., in the winter of 1861-62. This increased the yield of burning oil fully twenty per cent. By means of retarding the distillation the same result in the way of destructive distillation was secured as would have been reached had the distillation taken place under pressure. The heavy vapors struck the upper part of the still, were condensed, and dripped back into the oil below, which was at a higher temperature than the boiling-point of the oil falling back. This produced decomposition in the oils by superheating the vapors. The discovery was soon known at all refineries, both at the seaboard and in the region, and methods of manufacture were revolutionized.

It is no exaggeration to say that 200 different products are now made from crude petroleum. The limits of this chapter will not, of course, permit even mention of each, further than to outline some general classification. The broadest that can be made is to divide the products into those that result from the distillation and those that result from the reduction of the crude article. Every product, we think it safe to say, that has been obtained from crude oil is secured by one or the other, or, in some cases, by a combination of both of these processes. By distillation is meant the converting of the crude by heat into vapors, and the condensation of those

vapors back to a liquid, from which the manufactured article is produced. By reduction is meant the driving out of the crude petroleum by heat its lighter portions, leaving the remaining product behind, still in liquid form. Products of both classes can be, and usually are, made by the same process; that is, while heat is converting one part of the crude oil into products by distillation,—that is, turning them into vapor for condensation,—it is at the same time converting the other part into a product of reduction by driving off the very vapors that make the distillate products. Again, both processes are often resorted to in successive stages of manufacture to produce certain articles. A distillate product is afterward reduced, and a reduced product is afterward distilled, in some instances the processes being repeated several times before the finished goods are secured. This is particularly true of the lighter and the heavier parts resulting from the method of manufacture, aiming to convert the major part of the oil under manipulation into some desired product. These lighter and heavier parts are therefore known to petroleum manufacturers as by-products. As petroleum in its crude state is composed of an almost indefinite number of differently compounded hydrocarbons,—that is, combinations of the chemist's elementary substances, carbon and hydrogen, varying in volatility,—and as the manufactured products are almost countless in number, it will be readily understood that the methods of manufacture must be many, complicated, and delicate. In the early days of the industry but one product, refined oil, was sought for, and to-day the staple article of manufacture is that same product, secured, however, in many grades. But the possibility of making other valuable products was soon apparent, and each year experience and study in the art have developed almost unlimited extension of the uses of petroleum.

A considerable portion of our domestic trade in refined oil, and some portion of the trade in lubricating oils, has for many years been done in bulk. By this is meant that no package is used for the product as it passes from the refinery to the consumer. Its course is somewhat as follows: When finished at the refinery it is pumped into large storage-tanks. From these it is delivered in bulk to barges or tank-cars. These carry it to the stations, where it is pumped, again in bulk, into tanks, from which it is delivered to tank wagons. These serve it in bulk to the dealers' tanks, to be by them delivered to the customer, or, in some cases, direct from the tank wagon to the consumer. But this mode of

transportation for export trade is of recent growth. The change in the mode of transportation, when it had once begun, was carried forward with startling rapidity. In 1886 two steamers were fitted up, the *Crusader* and the *Andromeda*. The former was filled with a large number (forty-five in all) of cylinder-tanks of different sizes, averaging in capacity 125 barrels, making the total capacity of the ship about 275,000 gallons. The *Andromeda* was provided with rectangular tanks, seventy-two in number, making the total capacity about 685,000 gallons. Neither of the steamers made many voyages. But when the thought was once fairly presented it soon became apparent that it was only mechanical construction which stood in the way of making the change. Sailing vessels carried from 5000 to 8000 barrels each, and made about two and one half to three trips per year; bulk steamers could be built to carry 20,000 to 30,000 barrels, or three times as much as a sailing vessel, and make seven to nine trips per year, or three times as many as a sailing vessel. The result has been that last year as many as sixty-nine different tank steamers carried oil from the United States abroad, and fully ninety per cent. of the total exports of crude and refined oil, other than those in cases, was made in bulk.

Some of these steamers are "converted"—that is, turned—into bulk boats, although built for other uses. They can generally be distinguished by the fact that their boilers and engines are amidships. In the case of the vessels built for this trade the boilers and engines are placed aft for greater safety. Many of the tank steamers are constructed especially for this service. They are models of marine architecture. They are built entirely of iron, the decks included. When loaded the whole body of the vessel is filled with oil, the ship's structure forming the necessary receptacle, the liquid occupying all the space to the "skin" or iron of the sides and bottom. This is a great improvement over such a form of construction as that of the *Crusader* and the *Andromeda*, already referred to, decreasing the cost of transportation by increasing the carrying capacity of the vessel, there being no unoccupied space between the tanks, and decreasing the risk of fires and explosions, as these empty spaces gave room for the accumulation of gas. Both these objections held true against the style of construction adopted later of a double bottom, the bottom of the oil-tanks being elevated a short distance above the actual bottom of the ship. The tank-ships, as now built, have a longitudinal and numerous transverse bulkheads, which, with the stringers and beams put in to pre-

vent the slightest straining, make them, from a structural point of view, undoubtedly the strongest and safest vessels in the mercantile marine.

The change from barrel to bulk transportation means large economies in many ways. Before it was made, oil was filled into barrels, each package weighed by itself, then rolled to the dock front and hoisted up over the side of the ship, lowered into the hold, and stowed away. Each operation required considerable manual labor. The sailing vessel, for a month or six weeks, was then exposed to the delays and vicissitudes of an ocean voyage, arriving at length at its destined port. Here she was unloaded, a barrel at a time, and the oil stored away in packages to be held until used, subject to loss from leakage and serious damage in appearance. By the new method of transportation a steamer comes to the wharf, and the oil is pumped from the refinery storage into her tanks with great rapidity, the largest of the ships being loaded in from twelve to fifteen hours, even though they hold four or five times as much as the sailing vessels of a few years ago. A voyage of two weeks and a few days, perhaps, the time being subject to very close calculation, brings the cargo to the foreign port. Here it is unloaded with the same despatch that was used in loading, the oil being pumped into large storage-tanks on shore, in which it is held without loss or damage until needed, the steamer starting immediately on her return trip. Not a moment is lost, and no item of unnecessary expense incurred.

It seems scarcely credible that the exports of petroleum, which have now attained such enormous proportions, could have begun only thirty years ago. Messrs. Lockhart & Company, of Pittsburg, have been generally considered the pioneers in the export business, having the distinction of sending the first American oil abroad—some 400,000 gallons, in 1862. But Mr. Allen Norton Leet claims that James Day sent 1000 gallons of refined oil to Australia in 1859; and that Colonel A. C. Ferris, in the same year, made shipments to South America, Germany, and Italy. However this may be, there were no exports worthy of the name before 1863 or 1864; so that it is not an overstatement to say that the export trade in petroleum has reached its present proportions in the short space of thirty years.

The following tables show the annual exports of illuminating oil from July 1, 1863, to June 30, 1895, and the average price in barrels each year. The graphical table at the beginning of this article shows the total petroleum exports, aggregating for thirty-one years the enormous quantity of 11,830,068,888

gallons, valued at not less than twelve hundred millions of dollars (\$1,200,000,000).

EXPORTS OF ILLUMINATING OIL.

YEAR ENDING JUNE 30TH.	GALLONS.	YEAR ENDING JUNE 30TH.	GALLONS.
1864.....	12,791,518	1880.....	367,325,823
1865.....	12,722,005	1881.....	332,283,045
1866.....	34,255,921	1882.....	488,213,033
1867.....	62,686,657	1883.....	419,821,081
1868.....	67,909,961	1884.....	415,615,693
1869.....	84,403,492	1885.....	458,243,192
1870.....	97,902,505	1886.....	469,471,451
1871.....	132,608,955	1887.....	480,845,811
1872.....	122,539,575	1888.....	456,487,221
1873.....	158,102,414	1889.....	502,257,455
1874.....	217,220,504	1890.....	523,295,090
1875.....	191,551,933	1891.....	571,119,805
1876.....	204,814,673	1892.....	564,896,658
1877.....	262,441,844	1893.....	642,239,816
1878.....	289,214,541	1894.....	730,368,626
1879.....	331,586,442	1895.....	714,859,144

Many subsidiary industries have sprung up, based upon the value of oil as an illuminant and as a material to give heat. There are very few houses west of the Alleghanies, in cities of moderate size, where an oil-stove is not to be found; many are also used in the East, but not in as great a proportion. The manufacture of these goods is carried on in Cleveland, Chicago, and New York. Oil-lamps


afford employment to the manufacturers of lamp-chimneys, lamps, and lamp-stands. By discoveries in the methods of supplying oil and air to lamps in a better way than formerly, these can now be made of a brilliancy far beyond those of twenty years ago. It may be said that those produced in 1860 did not generally exceed four candle power and those of 1876 twenty candle power, but now it is perfectly practicable to obtain, in any city of the country, lamps giving from sixty to one hundred candle power, larger ones also being manufactured.

AVERAGE EXPORT PRICES OF REFINED OIL, IN BARRELS, AT NEW YORK.

YEAR.	CENTS.	YEAR.	CENTS.
1861.....	61½	1878.....	10¾
1862.....	36¾	1879.....	8½
1863.....	44¾	1880.....	9
1864.....	65	1881.....	8
1865.....	58¾	1882.....	7¾
1866.....	42½	1883.....	8
1867.....	28¾	1884.....	8½
1868.....	29½	1885.....	8
1869.....	32¾	1886.....	7½
1870.....	26¾	1887.....	6¾
1871.....	24¾	1888.....	7½
1872.....	23¾	1889.....	7½
1873.....	17¾	1890.....	7¾
1874.....	13	1891.....	6¾
1875.....	13	1892.....	6
1876.....	19¾	1893.....	5¾
1877.....	15½	1894.....	5½

*H. O. Folger Jr.*





## CHAPTER XXXII

# AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS

**A**GRICULTURE is by far the chief industry of the United States. The agricultural products of the country greatly exceed in quantity and value any other class of products. While the percentage of our population directly connected with agriculture is steadily decreasing, it is still much larger than that engaged in any other calling, and this must long remain true. Agricultural products, as produced, or as transformed by processes of manufacture, are the basis for by far the largest part of the trade and commerce of the country, whether domestic or foreign. Averaging one year with another, agricultural products constitute about seventy-five per cent. in value of all our foreign exports, and nearly or quite one half our total imports.

The growth of the United States in population, as in many other things, has been phenomenally rapid, but the growth in agricultural products has more than kept pace with the increase in population. There are great fluctuations from year to year, but the rule is that we not only feed and help clothe our people, increasing at the rate of from 1,250,000 to 1,500,000 annually, but we have a larger surplus each year to send to other countries. The total exports of merchandise from this country in 1795 amounted to less than \$50,000,000. The average value of the agricultural exports alone has been nearly \$650,000,000 annually during recent years. This nearly or quite equals the rate of increase in population.

There are no means by which we may determine, with any approach to accuracy, either the area devoted to farm crops or the quantity produced in the United States in 1795. The total population was perhaps 4,500,000. The great majority of these lived on farms or in villages, but the farms were small and, as a rule, poorly cultivated. In a great degree the agriculture of the country was simply self-sufficing. There was a considerable surplus of a

few articles, as shown by the exports. Of these tobacco was chief. Even before the beginning of the Revolutionary War as much as 40,000,000 pounds of the weed had been exported in a single year. Prior to 1795 there had been annual exports of some millions of bushels of wheat and some hundreds of thousands of barrels of flour; and the exports of corn had risen to at least 2,000,000 or 3,000,000 bushels in favorable years. There was, however, little incentive to the raising of agricultural products, generally, beyond the needs of the people of the country. The miserable roads, and the lack of transportation except by means of wagons, furthermore made it practically impossible to send the surplus to a seaport, except from neighborhoods near at hand. Even had it been possible to market the surplus, it was not possible to produce any great quantities of most kinds of farm crops. Not one of the great labor-saving machines now in use on farms had reached any considerable advancement, and very few had been invented or discovered. With the exception of plowing and harrowing, nearly all farm operations were performed by manual labor, with the use of rude and relatively inefficient tools and machinery. The plows in use were miserably inefficient in comparison with those everywhere to be found at the present time. Efforts were being made to improve these tools. A patent was granted in 1797 for a cast-iron plow. In 1798 Thomas Jefferson wrote an essay in which he discussed the best form and curvature of the mold-board of plows, this being, so far as is known, the first attempt in this country to apply scientific principles to such a problem. Much of the effort of the farmers was still necessarily expended in enlarging the cultivated areas of their farms—cutting down the forests, removing the timber or stones, etc.

It is obvious that the most persistent and intelligent efforts, under such unfavorable conditions, could not produce any great surplus of food pro-

ducts over the wants of the people, and it must be confessed that the majority of the farmers of the country were far from being intelligent, enterprising men. There were many exceptions, perhaps most notably among the plantation owners of the Southern States; but the rule was that the farmers were poorly educated, very often not especially industrious, and, of course, without any knowledge of what is now known as scientific agriculture. A very few associations for the advancement of agriculture had been organized, but their influence was almost nothing. The agricultural exhibition, the agricultural paper, the agricultural meetings for discussion, were still of the future. As a class the farmers were very poor, only beginning to recover from the great industrial depression caused by the Revolution and the subsequent attempts to establish a stable government. It is an interesting fact, however, that almost every farm crop now produced in the United States had been tried even prior to the Revolutionary War. The chief exception is sorghum—not only the saccharine but the non-saccharine varieties, of which large quantities are now grown as food for farm animals. In comparatively recent years some plants have been introduced which give promise of becoming important farm crops, but no one of them is as yet to be so classed.

This is not the place for the discussion of the subject, but it may be said that the farm animals of the United States were few in number and generally quite inferior in quality a century ago. There were more good horses, relatively, than there were either cattle, sheep, or swine. It may also be pointed out that efforts at improvement of the farm live stock of the country may be said to have begun about the commencement of the century—at least so far as cattle and sheep were concerned. The dairy industry of the country, so important in recent years, and which has made such marvelous advances within the last third of a century, was practically unknown, except in so far as there were attempts to supply each community with some butter and less cheese from small farm dairies. It is the pleasant duty of others to chronicle the marvelous development of horticulture, but it may be noted that the condition of this now great interest was even less advanced one hundred years ago than was the growth of farm crops or the rearing of farm animals.

As we look back one hundred years, then, we see that 1795 was not only a day of small things, of mere beginnings of the nation, but peculiarly was it a day of small things in agricultural work. Compared with the present time the farmers were few in

number, poor in purse, poor in implements and machinery; doing most of the farm work with hand-tools of rude design; with little or no idea of the benefit of rotation of crops or the best utilization of manures; with little incentive to produce more of most crops than was sufficient to supply the neighborhood demands; and with the poorest of facilities for transporting any surplus to relatively distant markets in this country, or to seaports for export. All honor to them for what they accomplished under great difficulties; double honor to many of them for their perception of the need for improvement in many lines, and the wise and persistent efforts to secure improvement by the invention and introduction of improved machinery, better varieties of grains and animals, better methods of culture and management, and better facilities for transportation.

Turning now to the present, we find a really marvelous development along many lines. Size is not a proof of excellence, but we may well be interested in the vast extent of our agricultural domain and its annual products. By the census of 1890 there were in the United States 4,564,641 farms, containing 623,218,619 acres, or covering 973,779 square miles. Of these millions of acres, 357,616,755, or over fifty-seven per cent., were improved, and produced farm crops in 1889 valued at \$2,460,107,454. These farms, with machinery and live stock, were valued at almost \$16,000,000,000. In the more than five years since the census was taken there has been a large increase in these figures. In the decade preceding 1890 there had been an increase of 555,704 farms, and over 87,000,000 acres of the farms of the country. The aggregate value of the yearly product of these farms, inconceivably large as the figures given are, does not include the value of the live stock on the farms, although much of the vegetable product was consumed by it. Of the 357,000,000 acres reported as improved on the farms of the country, not quite one half are in crops which require plowing and cultivating each year. A few great crops occupy most of this area. The corn-field of the United States annually covers an average of about 72,000,000 acres, the wheat about 37,000,000, the oats 27,000,000, and cotton some 20,000,000. From 2,500,000 to 3,000,000 acres are devoted to the potato crop, about 3,000,000 to barley, 2,000,000 to rye, less than 1,000,000 to buckwheat or tobacco. The meadows occupy some 50,000,000 acres. Nearly all the remaining vast area is used for pasturage, or lies practically uncultivated, as no one other crop has, relatively, a large acreage.





GEORGE E. MORROW.



Mere numbers give little idea to most of us when they reach into the millions; but a few more may be given here. Indian corn or maize is the chief grain crop of the United States, as it was the most valuable addition to the world's list of foods contributed by America. This country is far in advance of all others in corn production. The average crop is about 1,700,000,000 bushels. Twice in recent years the official estimates exceeded 2,000,000,000 bushels, and the estimates of the crop of 1895 have made it larger than in any preceding year. In seasons favorable for this crop the product is at least thirty bushels for each man, woman, and child in the country. Corn is more largely used for human food in the United States than in any other country; but the total so used is only a small percentage of the whole crop. Nearly the entire product is consumed in the country, however, as it is the chief grain used in the production of beef and pork, and is largely fed to all classes of farm animals. The quantity exported is large actually, but very small relatively, averaging less than four per cent. for the last twenty-five years. In but one year (1890) did the exports equal 100,000,000 bushels. Earnest efforts have been made in recent years to cause an increased demand from Europe for this grain. As yet no striking effects have been produced, but there is reason to hope that there may ultimately be a large increase in our exports of this greatest of all our farm products. Grown in every State and Territory, by far the larger part of the crop is produced in seven States, lying in the eastern central part of the country—Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, and Kansas. In a favorable year a single county in one of the great corn-growing States will have a much larger yield than will the six New England States.

In area devoted to the crop and in value of the product wheat has long ranked second among the grain crops of the country. For a series of years recently the average yield has been about 475,000,000 bushels. The maximum crop was over 611,000,000 bushels, produced in 1891 from almost 40,000,000 acres. The rapid and continuous decline in value of wheat, believed by many to be permanent, has had a considerable effect in reducing the acreage. As wheat is the great bread-food grain of highly civilized races of men, as it has been relatively little used as food for animals, and as five to six bushels per inhabitant per year is a liberal allowance, it is obvious that we have had a large surplus for export year by year. The exports of wheat and wheat-flour have long formed a large part

of our enormous exports of breadstuffs. In 1892 these articles to the value of over \$236,000,000 were sent abroad. It may well be doubted whether wheat culture has not reached its maximum for a series of years, but there is no reason to believe that this grain will cease to be one of the most important of our agricultural products.

Third in area, and of increasing importance among the grain crops, are oats. The average crop for the past six years exceeds 650,000,000 bushels; the crop of 1895 being considerably larger than that of any former year. The quantity of oats used for human food in this country has greatly increased in recent years, actually and relatively; but the grain is still chiefly used as food for farm animals, and, as with corn, the crop is almost entirely consumed in our own country, the quantity exported being insignificant in comparison with that used within the United States.

Among the most valuable of all the farm crops of which any considerable percentage is directly sold is hay. In 1893 the acreage devoted to this crop was about 50,000,000, and the yield over 65,000,000 tons, valued at \$570,000,000. Much of this is shipped considerable distances within the country, but only a very small percentage is exported.

The great cotton crop will be separately treated, and space will not permit even the briefest mention of other crops important as some of them are. As has been indicated, many of these crops are used chiefly in the production of animals or animal products. These will be treated in another chapter.

One farm industry is so important and interesting in its rapid spread and development that it deserves at least brief recognition. No agricultural interest of the country has had a more striking growth since the Civil War than has the dairy. Prior to 1860, while much butter and a good deal of cheese were manufactured, dairying received special attention in but few parts of the country. The methods of manufacture were primitive, and much of the product was inferior in quality. Associated dairying—the manufacture of butter and cheese in large factories, which often receive the milk produced on many farms—may be claimed as a system of American origin; and its introduction and rapid spread soon after the close of the Civil War probably did more to cause prosperity and increased intelligence among the farmers over large areas of the country than did any other one thing in connection with our agriculture. In quite recent years there have been most important improvements in methods, and while there has been serious decline in prices,—in part

caused by the introduction of substitutes for butter, made from other animal fats,—it seems certain that American dairying is to continue to advance in the extent of the products and, if wise measures be pursued, in the quantity of these exported. Already the value of the dairy exports in a single year has closely approximated \$200,000,000. So large a proportion of the milk given by the more than 16,500,000 dairy cows in the country is consumed on the farms where produced that it is almost impossible even to approximate the total quantity.

As was the case with our government, so in relation to agriculture it may be said that all that had preceded the century under consideration was but the preparation for a great and rapidly developing system. Our agriculture, like our government, has characteristics which more or less sharply separate it from that of other countries. The contrasts between our agricultural systems and those of Great Britain are especially striking. Most noticeable of all is the vast extent of our agricultural domain and the vast aggregate of our products. Agriculture is here not only the basal but the chief industry of the country. We export very much more of the products of the farm than we import. In the past, and in large degree in the present, we have had low-priced lands and relatively high-priced labor. Naturally this has given great stimulus to the invention, improvement, and general introduction of agricultural machinery, and we need not be surprised at the fact that a larger percentage of farm work is done with the aid of machinery over much of our country than in any other land. Systems of management are simple, not firmly established, and relatively readily modified. Probably in no other country are farmers more ready to take up the cultivation of new crops or new varieties of plant or animal crops, new machinery, new markets or methods of marketing.

The system of land tenure is still, as a rule, absolute ownership of moderate-sized farms. The percentage of tenant farmers is, unfortunately but perhaps inevitably, somewhat rapidly increasing as the average price for farm lands advances in the more newly settled regions; but more than seven out of ten of the farms of the whole country are still cultivated by their owners. The average size of the farms, estimated at 137 acres, indicates that the division has, as a rule, been for the purpose of direct personal management by the owner, with comparatively little hired labor. Of the 4,564,641 farms reported by the census of 1890, only 58,207 were returned as containing over 500 acres, while there were over 2,000,000 containing between 100

and 500 acres, and over 1,100,000 with between 50 and 100 acres.

As has been noted, our agriculture is still expanding; the acreage in farms, and in a much greater degree the acreage under cultivation, is steadily increasing. This fact suggests an explanation of the apparently uncomplimentary fact that the average yields per acre of our great crops are below those of some other countries with certainly no better soils. In the past the abundance of low-priced lands—much of them to be had almost for the asking—led to their occupation by many who had little experience in farming, little capital, and too often had more expectation of profit from an advance in the price of the land than from the growth and sale of farm crops. Much of the criticism passed on American farming and American farmers has not, however, been just. Compared with their fellows in other lands, the actual working farmers of the United States are more cosmopolitan, coming from many lands, and changing location within the country with too great readiness; they have at least equal intelligence and education, and more of ability to adapt themselves to new conditions and successfully solve new agricultural problems.

Space will not permit an extended review of the causes of the marvelous development of agricultural products in the United States in the last century. Mention may be made of some of the more noticeable ones, however. Of course the most obvious one was the existence of such almost immeasurably large tracts of fertile soil, inviting tillage not only by the descendants of the early colonists, but by millions of immigrants from the more densely populated countries of Europe. But until better means of transportation were discovered than existed a century since it was practically impossible that regions distant from the seaboard or navigable rivers should be settled. Few things have done more to stimulate settlement and the cultivation of the soil than the introduction of the steamboat, the canal, and, most of all, the railroad; but no student can fail to realize that without the invention of improved agricultural machinery it would have been absolutely impossible to have grown, harvested, or prepared for transportation one half of our present annual farm crops.

These and like things well illustrate the great truth, so often apparently forgotten, that no man, and equally no class of men, lives to himself or for himself. That graceful essayist and thoughtful statesman, George William Curtis, well said, "The test of national welfare is the intelligence and pros-

perity of the farmer." It is equally true that the prosperity of the farmer depends on the prosperity of the other workers of the nation. The government, too, has officially attempted to aid and develop agriculture in many ways. Without going into disputed political questions or pronouncing on the wisdom of all its efforts, it is obvious that the aid granted to the building of railroads, notably the offer of free lands to settlers, the establishment of a national Department of Agriculture, of agricultural colleges and experiment stations, were largely or wholly designed to help agriculture and those engaged in it.

We may not wisely attempt much of prophecy; but the story of the past, with its alternations of

great prosperity and serious depression, always tending, however, to advance when viewed for any considerable series of years; with its abundant illustrations of triumph over great obstacles and of the solution of most perplexing problems, leaves no room for pessimistic predictions. We are seeing the beginnings of great changes in our farming systems; we are to see more severe competition with the agriculture of other lands, narrower margins of profit, the necessity for better preparation on the part of those who are to be American farmers; but we need not fear that the agricultural products of our country will decline in quantity or quality, and so long as the nation endures we may confidently expect agriculture to be our chief industry.

*G. E. Morrow*





## CHAPTER XXXIII

### AMERICAN LIVE STOCK

THE tastes, habits, and character of a people are indicated by the class of domestic animals they breed; and a nation's advance or decline in civilization can readily be traced in the improvement or degeneration of the animals kept for labor and pleasure, or raised to supply food and raiment. This principle we see strikingly illustrated in the horses and cattle brought to America by the Spaniards in their invasion of South America. The horses of Spain represented the best blood of Arabia and the East, and her cattle that of Andalusia and the Moors. These animals, left in a genial climate, spread through Central America northward; but through the negligence and ignorance of the Mexican the blood of Spain degenerated into the wiry and stubborn Mexican pony. This, again, passing northward into the colder regions of the Indian tribes, became the ungainly and dwarfed Indian pony of the plains, destitute of the style and beauty of the elegant Andalusian, but with all his spirit and hardiness remaining to tell of his Eastern and royal origin.

The animals that came with the emigrants from Europe and the British Isles gave America such a mixed aggregation of traits and types as the world has never before witnessed. From this rare gathering of blood from every civilized land came our native cattle, our wild horses, and the common hog and sheep. From these the pioneers bred, and their sons, in turn, improved by importation and by selection, aided by a temperate climate, fertile soil, rich herbage, and grasses and grains such as no other country had ever furnished for the foundation and development of domestic animals. The mingling of bloods from every nation has given us a class of domestic animals called native or common stock, which has been easily impressed by the use of males of definite or fixed type. The result has been to give to the United States in one century the highest type

and greatest number of high-grade and pure-bred animals of any nation on the earth.

The intelligence of man has more to do with fixing the type and character of the horse than has food or climate. Jacob was the first color specialist of history, and succeeded, by his skill in fixing color and breeding from the strongest of the herds, in taking from his father-in-law the best that he possessed. Darwin, in his "Domestication of Plants and Animals," shows that a damp climate does not favor the development of the highest type of the horse. Yet, notwithstanding this, under the courageous and enterprising reigns of William the Conqueror and Henry I., England bred a strong and fleet type of horses for her cavalry, and under William we find the first mention of the horse being used for the purposes of agriculture. In the reign of James, English racing was fostered by matches against time and trials of speed and endurance that verged on cruelty. But the pluck and push of Britain was tending steadily, meanwhile, against the climate, ungenial as it was to the horses brought from Spain and Flanders, to give speed, courage, and weight to the horses of England. So valuable proved this Eastern blood that the stud-book was established in 1791, although the first volume did not appear until 1808. By judicious crossing, training, and feeding, with the selection of the fittest, was evolved the blooded horse, whose descendants in America, under a more favorable climate and brighter skies, have eclipsed the records of Arabia or Barbary.

The type of the thoroughbred was heavier at the beginning of this century than it is now, as the blooded horse was then more used for the improvement of the horses for cavalry and parade. In America the horse has been bred more for business than pleasure. The invention of the elliptic spring and the use of American hickory in the production of light vehicles for pleasure and business, together

with the invention of macadam and Telford roads, turned the demand from the running to the trotting horse. Up to that time the best horses were used for the saddle, in parade, pleasure, sport, or war. It needed a country devoted to business, and seeking advancement by the arts of industry rather than those of war, to evolve that purely American type, the trotter. Until the present century the horse was a minor factor in the uses of business and agriculture, the ox, the ass, and the camel being more important servants of the trades and the husbandman. The first private coach was introduced into New York in 1745; but coaches were scarce until after the Revolutionary War, and not until after 1840, when the light one-horse vehicle came into use, did the changed conditions of travel develop a harness-horse for purposes of business and pleasure. The attention of horse owners once attracted to the new demands, a revolution was brought about in the business of breeding and training horses. Along with the change in vehicles incident to the evolution of the trotter came as great a change in the style of harness and trappings. The effect upon trade and commerce of the new lines of industry made possible by the evolution of the trotter is not surpassed by the changes now coming with the bicycle, trolley, and electric motor.

About the beginning of this century there came out from the lines of breeding of the thoroughbred, traceable to such noted horses as Flying Childers, Byerly Turk, and the Darley Arabian, a gray, stoutly built horse, of wonderful power and stamina, with a slashing, open gait, just fitted to found a race of trotters. This was Messenger, foaled in 1780, and he became the progenitor of the trotting families in America. In 1793 Justin Morgan was foaled, sired by one believed to be thoroughbred. Three of his sons, Bulrush, Sherman, and Woodbury, became noted as the sires of horses of intelligence, courage, and speed, and the get of some of them excelled as roadsters and stage-horses. From Black Hawk Morgan, sired by Sherman out of a fast-trotting English mare, has come the beautiful, useful, and courageous line of Morgans. The original horse could trot in 2.40, and died in 1856 at the age of twenty-three. In 1826 or 1827, James McNitt, of Washington County, New York, purchased in Montreal a large dapple gray, "a strong, active, and fast trotter," which has since become famous through the Morse horse, sire of Alexander's Norman.

In 1849 was foaled Rysdyck's Hambletonian, the founder of the most noted family of trotters. He was sired by Abdallah, who traced to Messenger by

both the sire and dam, out of a dam by Bellfounder, with Messenger crosses on the dam's side. As early as 1876 the interest in breeding and rearing trotters had become so great that fabulous prices were paid for colts, simply on the strength of their breeding. Two fillies, untrained, sold for \$13,000. A lot of thirteen young colts sold for \$41,200. The three-year-old colt Steinway was sold for \$13,000 in 1879. After the animals had proved their high quality prices still further advanced, and Governor Sprague sold for \$27,000 as a five-year-old. Maud S., bred at Alexander's noted stock-farm in Kentucky, was sold to Mr. Bonner for \$21,000 when four years old, with a record of 2.10 $\frac{1}{4}$ , and the title "Queen of the Turf." Smuggler sold for \$40,000, Pocahontas for \$45,000, Goldsmith Maid for \$36,000, Dexter for \$36,000, and so on, until we come to Axtell, who sold for \$100,000 after he had eclipsed the time of all stallions, and retired to the stud, where his service fee was \$1000.

As an illustration of the wealth invested and the possible earnings of a successful breeding establishment we may state that "the money value of the sons and daughters of Rysdyck's Hambletonian that have beaten 2.30 can scarcely be computed. The stallion himself was purchased with his dam for \$125, and earned in the stud \$205,750. Thirty-six of his get have trotted in 2.30 or better, and the prices for which they could have been sold in their best days amounted to \$325,000. Among them were Sentinel, George Wilkes, Jay Gould, and Administrator, all noted sires. Their united progeny was worth many thousands for stud and track uses. Some of his sons, without a 2.30 record, became successful in the stud. Alexander's Abdallah was sold for about \$3500, but he got Goldsmith Maid, which made a record of 2.14, and won on the turf close to \$250,000; Almont sired twenty-two 2.30 trotters; Belmont got nine with records better than 2.30. So the descendants of Alexander's Abdallah have been worth to their owners hundreds of thousands of dollars." Volunteer was another who ranked among the most successful of the noted Hambletonian sires, having to his credit twenty-three 2.30 performers.

Electioneer, bought by Governor Stanford, proved to be a noted sire, getting the fastest yearling, 2.36 $\frac{1}{2}$ ; the fastest two-year-old, 2.21; the fastest three-year-old, 2.19 $\frac{1}{2}$ ; and the fastest four-year-old, 2.18 $\frac{3}{4}$ . The bracing climate of Palo Alto, and the methods of handling peculiar to Governor Stanford's breeding farm, aided in these accomplishments. These are but a few of the thousands of good horses that owe success to the Hambletonian blood. It is

not strange that the enthusiasm among lovers of the trotting horse has led many beyond the limits of safe business methods, and that a reaction should follow and prices decline. The value of trotters has been measured largely by their speed, taken as a measure of ability to win future races, or as evidence of blood lines that will make the animal valuable in the stud. Success in campaigning is undoubted evidence of pluck and stamina; and the breeding and training of the trotter, and his contests on the track, have developed these qualities in so high a degree that no other class can equal him. The evolution of the trotting horse has also shown the value of a training peculiar to America as a factor in breeding. Scientific handling, joined with reinforced lines of trotting blood, has led to a gradual reduction of time since the first record was made at Haerlem race-course, the following notice of which appeared in the "Connecticut Journal," New Haven, June 19, 1806, copied from the New York "Spectator":

"*Fast Trotting.*—Yesterday afternoon the Haerlem race-course of one mile's distance was trotted around in two minutes and fifty-nine seconds by a horse called Yankey, from New Haven—a rate of speed, it is believed, never before excelled in this country."

The following table shows how, under skilful breeding and tireless training, the trotting and pacing records have been reduced from year to year:

## TROTTING AND PACING RECORDS, 1806 TO 1895.

YEAR.	HORSE.	TIME.
1806	Yankey (saddle) . . . . .	2.59
1810	"A horse from Boston" (saddle) . . . . .	2.58½
1824	Top Gallant (saddle) . . . . .	2.40
1830	Buster (saddle) . . . . .	2.32
1834	Edwin Forrest (saddle) . . . . .	2.31½
1843	Lady Suffolk (saddle) . . . . .	2.28
1852	Tacony (saddle) . . . . .	2.26
1853	Tacony (saddle) . . . . .	2.25½
1856	Flora Temple . . . . .	2.24½
1859	Flora Temple . . . . .	2.19¾
1865	Dexter . . . . .	2.18¾
1866	Dexter . . . . .	2.18
1867	Dexter . . . . .	2.17¼
1871	Goldsmith Maid . . . . .	2.17
1872	Goldsmith Maid . . . . .	2.16¾
1874	Goldsmith Maid . . . . .	2.14
1878	Rarus . . . . .	2.13¼
1879	St. Julien . . . . .	2.11¼
1880	Maud S. . . . .	2.10¾
1881	Maud S. . . . .	2.10¼
1884	Jay-Eye-See . . . . .	2.10
1884	Maud S. . . . .	2.09¾
1884	Maud S. . . . .	2.09¼
1885	Maud S. . . . .	2.08¾
1891	Sunol . . . . .	2.08¼
1892	Nancy Hanks . . . . .	2.04
1894	Alix . . . . .	2.03¾
1895	No reduction.	

From 1810 to 1824 the record was not reduced.

It is pertinent to notice that about this time running races had become common in the Middle and

Southern States, while a strong sentiment against racing prevailed in the Northern States. In 1820, Pennsylvania, for example, not only forbade racing, but also enacted that no person should "print or cause to be printed, set up or cause to be set up, any advertisement mentioning the time and place for the running, trotting, or pacing of any horses, mares, or geldings," etc. A similar law was in the statutes of Connecticut until within twenty years. New York passed an act to prevent horse racing March 19, 1802, which was amended March 30, 1821, permitting the "training of pacing, trotting, and running horses" in Queens County for five years. The sheriff was required to be on hand to witness these "trials of speed," as called in the statute. This amendment was reënacted April 3, 1826, without a time limit. In 1825 the New York Trotting Club was organized, with a view of "improving the speed of road-horses." This track was probably the first trotting course in the world. The Hunting Park Association was formed in Philadelphia in February, 1828, and the next year a trotting club was organized in Baltimore. These facts show a changing public sentiment, and the records begin to fall. The keeping of records became an established custom as early as 1829, when the American Turf Register began. The English had not then begun to keep records, but the American custom has enabled us to mark the development of speed and establish well-defined breeds during the threescore and more years it has been in use. Wallace's American Trotting Register was started in 1871 by J. H. Wallace, New York, since which time the business of breeding trotters has increased, until now it is estimated by good authority that the number of registered standard-bred trotters exceeds 120,000. In the early history of the record many animals were admitted to registry that are not now classed as standard-bred. The term "standard" indicates to-day ability of one or more ancestors to trot within 2.30.

The lovers of the Morgan horse have organized an association to publish a stud-book and to breed Morgan horses to meet the growing demand for stylish-going roadsters with the sense and stamina characteristic of the Vermont Morgans early in this century.

Except the produce and incidental benefits to other breeds from the use of the blooded horse of England, no nation or age has produced a race of horses that exemplifies so forcibly the intelligence, pluck, enterprise, and thrift of a people as the full history of the evolution and successes of the trotting horse shows the character of the Americans. He has won his way against the prejudices of every



nation and rival, until we find the English, French, German, and Russian are buying the American trotter for the uses of pleasure, business, and breeding.

Before the days of macadam roads and light vehicles, saddle-horses were as common as trotters are to-day. They were of no particular breeding, but traced to the thoroughbred, the Narragansett pacer, or the Scottish Galloway. Herbert suggests that they were of Spanish origin, their ancestors coming from Cuba. They were not only of general use, but were shipped in large numbers from New England to Cuba and the Southern coasts. There is now a revival of interest in the saddle-horse as a luxury, the demand being beyond the supply. A stud-book has been started, and some breeding farms, especially in Kentucky, are engaged in breeding and training saddle-horses of high excellence. The originators of the stud-book hope to establish a breed of American horses of this class that shall combine the highest intelligence with great style and ability to go in any of the acquired gaits, and not to be limited to the walk, trot, and canter. From the ideal set up, and the success that has thus far attended these efforts, it is safe to predict that an improved breed of American saddle-horses will soon have its representatives in every horse show or fair that will give them a class.

Prior to the introduction of railroads Vermont had what Herbert called a distinct breed of cart-horses. He described them as "the models of what draft-horses should be, combining immense power with great quickness, a very respectable turn of speed, fine show, and good action." They had "none of the shagginess of mane, tail, and fetlocks which indicates descent from the black horse of Lincolnshire," and none of the curliness of mane and tail which marks the Canadian or Norman blood. "The peculiar characteristic of these horses is the shortness of their backs, the roundness of their barrels, and the closeness of their ribbing up." The only other breed of American horses we have to notice is the Conestoga, which before the days of the Pennsylvania Railroad was common on the farms and highways of Pennsylvania. It seems to have descended from the stock brought by emigrants from Flanders, Denmark, and Germany. It was a mixture of several breeds, resulting in a large, patient burden bearer, held in high esteem by the Germans of that State.

Although we have not originated and permanently established any American breed of draft-horses, the number of heavy horses has greatly increased, and the quality has improved. The increasing heavy business of factories, jobbers, importers, and transfer and express companies in our well-paved cities has

called for a great number of powerful horses. This demand has led to the importing of heavy horses from France, England, Scotland, and Germany. The Vermont cart-horse and Conestoga draft-horse excelled the types of foreign heavy horses, as a rule; and, with the start thus made in such breeds, it is to be regretted that our pride in American animals has not led our people to perpetuate and further develop these useful horses.

Tens of thousands of dollars have been sent abroad since the fad of importing heavy elephantine horses became common in the Western States. The enterprising importers took advantage of the American love of a big thing, and scoured France, England, Scotland, and Germany for the heaviest animals. They imported more than they could sell, and then adopted the plan of leasing stallions for a term of years. Since 1890 there have been many disastrous failures among this class of importers. There were, however, several importers who had truer ideals, and who imported the best type of the draft and heavy coach breeds to be found abroad, establishing breeding farms not excelled in the world. These men will weather the storm and disseminate some of the best blood of the Old World.

The earliest importer of high-class draft-horses was Edward Harris, of Moorestown, N. J. In 1839 he imported two mares and the stallion Diligence, who was in many respects similar to the McNitt horse, but heavier and more compactly built, being a little over fifteen hands high. He left an impress upon the stock of New Jersey and eastern Pennsylvania which has been of great value. The next valuable importation was made by Charles Fullington, of Union County, Ohio, in the spring of 1851. He bought and brought home from France the famous Louis Napoleon, a "short-legged, closely ribbed, blocky, and compact gray, three years old." The style of the horse was ridiculed by horsemen of that region. In 1853 he was sold to A. P. Cushman, of De Witt County, Illinois. After his colts in Union County proved his worth, a company was formed for importing other horses of his type. The author of the "Percheron-Norman Stud-Book" says of him that he was undoubtedly the best-known and most popular French horse ever brought to America. Thus the French blood was introduced into the fertile plains west of the Alleghanies.

The first importations west of the Wabash were made in 1868 by W. J. Edwards, of Chicago, in the great stallions Success and French Emperor. The latter went to Iowa as the property of Hon. J. B. Grinnell. Success was sold to the Fletcher Horse

Company, of which M. W. Dunham, of Wayne, Ill., was an active member. In 1874 he purchased the entire interest of the company, establishing his celebrated importing and breeding farm at Wayne. Of the great horse Success it may be said he was truly named. His colts at the average age of two years and eight months sold at the average price of \$450 per head, and in 1874 alone the sales of his get amounted to \$36,000.

The Clydesdale has been the strong rival of the Percheron-Norman at the horse shows and fairs. This breed is popular in Canada, and has its most numerous representatives in the Northwest. The secretary of the American Clydesdale Association, Alexander Galbraith, says: "No importations into the United States appear to have been made until about 1870 and 1872, when John Reber, of Lancaster, O., and the Fullingtons of Union County, began the work. From that date small importations were made by various parties, the most prominent being the Powell Brothers, of Shadeland, Pa. Importations steadily increased up to 1888. To-day the largest breeder in America is Colonel Holloway, of Illinois; N. P. Clarke, of Minnesota, and R. B. Ogilvie, of Wisconsin, coming next. These three breeders have among them about 175 brood-mares, and have the very cream of Scotland both in blood and individual merit. As high as \$10,000 has been paid for one Clyde. Eight volumes of the 'American Clyde Stud-Book' have been published, containing 8000 entries."

The Shire horse is little esteemed in Canada, but in the American craze for heavy horses he finds admirers. There is an American stud-book of three volumes, with 4100 entries, 3500 of which represent imported horses.

Of the foreign coach-horses the French and German have creditable representatives in the West, where Mr. M. W. Dunham has imported many high-grade specimens of the French, and some other firms have introduced the German breeds.


The hackney is gaining rapidly, and there are some enterprising breeders and importers who are diligently introducing them at the present time. As the importation of heavy draft-horses wanes, farmers and horsemen are becoming interested in breeding horses of more action and style, so that the hackneys and foreign coach breeds are now receiving more attention.

In the West and South the mule, as a draft and farm animal, has long been of great service. General Washington, with his practical nature, appreciated the mule as an animal suited to the plantations

of the Southern States. He was America's first successful breeder of mules. Mr. Curtis says the king of Spain presented Washington with a jack from his royal stud in 1787. General Lafayette also presented him one which proved of great value, and which sired Washington's favorite jack, named Compound. To him he bred his best coach-mares, and produced such valuable animals that the Southern planters began to use their thoroughbred mares for raising mules. The mule being more steady at a draft, less liable to injury or disease, less subject to lameness, and being able to endure heat and hardship better than the horse, his price for heavy work has kept as high as that of draft-horses. The number of mules in the United States increased from 559,331 in 1850 to 2,295,532 in 1890. The number of horses increased from 4,336,719 in 1850 to 14,969,467 in 1890, which gives one horse to every family in the Union, more than is possessed per capita by any other nation. We are still importing horses for breeding purposes at the rate of 10,402 in 1890, at a cost of \$2,881,657; and 37,675 horses for other purposes the same year, valued at \$1,882,976. The number exported for breeding purposes is on the increase, as well as for sporting and general service.

The quality of our horses will undoubtedly improve more rapidly in the next decade. The present low prices have forced the sale and destruction of many thousands of inferior animals. The rapid increase of the low grade of horses from the ranches of the West and Southwest has tended to lower the price of farm and common draft and street horses. The rapid displacement of horses in street-car service by the trolley has had its effect in lowering prices. During the past year new avenues for disposal of the surplus have been opened, as it has been found that the price is now so low that horse-meat, cured, can be shipped to Belgium and Germany at six cents per pound. Fertilizer factories have been known to buy cast-off horses as low as \$2 per head. The hide is worth, on an average, \$3.25, the bones \$1.25, and the fat and tankage about as much more. At these figures many unemployed and disabled horses will find their way to fertilizer establishments, and the land be doubly blessed.

In the salubrious and temperate climate of the United States, with its various elevations and depressions, and with the wealth of rich herbage of the mountains and hillsides supplemented by the variety and abundance of grains throughout the valleys and plains, we have conditions more favorable for the raising of cattle than those enjoyed by any other nation. Our herds have been singularly free from



LAZARUS N. BONHAM.



any of the diseases which have swept off the cattle of middle and southern Europe by the thousands. Pleuropneumonia and anthrax have entered our shores with cattle imported from lands where such diseases have a hold, but in no case have any of these plagues spread over any great extent of country. Under the efficient organization of our Bureau of Animal Industry, outbreaks of any contagion have speedily disappeared; and while to-day the cattle of the United States are spread from the Atlantic to the Pacific, and from the everglades of Florida to the plains of Dakota, numbering nearly 50,000,000, every cargo of cattle leaving our ports carries with it a clean bill of health. Every epidemic of contagious disease that has ever visited our herds, if we except the epizootic among horses, has been traceable directly to a foreign source. In our herds is represented the blood of the choicest of the Devon, the shorthorn, the longhorn, the Hereford, the Sussex, and the Norfolk of England; the Ayrshires, Angus, and Galloway of Scotland; the Kerrys of Ireland; the Alderney, Guernsey, and Jersey of the Channel Islands; with the Holstein from Holland, and the cattle from highland and lowland of every land where good cattle are produced. In the century just closing our enterprising farmers and dairymen have imported every year cattle at a cost of many thousands of dollars.

The first English colonial settlement on the James River, we are told, brought cattle from England as early as 1607. Succeeding colonies brought cattle from the countries whence they emigrated. In 1625 the settlers of New York made an importation from Holland, which was followed by further importations, each leaving its impress on the cattle of that region. The English colonies in Massachusetts and New Hampshire, the Dutch in New Jersey, the Swedes in Delaware, and the Danes on the Piscataqua River, all brought cattle from the countries nearest the ports from which they sailed. The cattle of Normandy came in with the French around Quebec, and the Spanish cattle from South America and Mexico made their impress on the Southwest, as seen in what are now called Texas cattle. From all this motley and diverse stock have sprung the common or native cattle of America, giving the foundation on which we have builded. The shorthorns have been more used, perhaps, than any other beef breed for improvement of this native stock; and the early settlers were more interested in developing cattle that could concentrate the wealth of grass and corn of the fertile valleys into beef than into butter and cheese. During the last quarter of the century great atten-

tion has been paid to the improvement of dairy cattle. The importation of Channel Islands cattle and Holstein-Friesians has been large, and even the dairy qualities of shorthorns have attracted attention, some of the milking families of the breed bringing advanced prices. The World's Fair dairy test of shorthorn, Jersey, Guernsey, and Ayrshire cattle, continuing through several months, gave a new impulse to the breeding of Channel Islands cattle and dairy shorthorns.

Soon after the Revolutionary War a few shorthorn cattle were imported into Virginia. They were well fleshed, and the cows gave as much as thirty-two quarts of milk a day. In 1783, Matthew Patton, Sr., of the South Fork of the Potomac, imported a longhorn bull. In 1785 three of his sons moved to Kentucky, taking with them some of the half-bred heifers. In 1795 they sent back to Virginia and Maryland for cattle known as "milk cattle." In 1803 the Pattons brought out the "milk bull" Pluto 825, which proved a noted breeder. Descendants of this bull and another named Mars, and a cow, Venus, found their way into the Virginia Reservation of Ohio, and thus Mars, Pluto, and Venus laid the foundation for future improvement of cattle in the West.

In 1817, Lewis Sanders, of Lexington, Ky., imported three bulls and three heifers from England, which were of so good a quality that they laid the foundation of many excellent herds. In 1818, Cornelius Coolidge, of Boston, Mass., imported a heifer and a bull. About 1820 several public-spirited men in the neighborhood of Boston brought out at different times a number of valuable animals, whose descendants are still numerous in New England. In 1823, General Stephen Van Rensselaer, of Albany, N. Y., imported the bull Washington and two heifers. In 1824, Colonel John Hare Powell, of Philadelphia, began to import shorthorns, and bred largely at his estate near the city, selling them to go into Ohio and Kentucky.

The first drove of fat cattle from the fertile Scioto country and the Virginia Reservation crossed the Alleghanies on the hoof in the spring of 1805. Of the sixty-eight head, twenty-two were disposed of at Morefield, Va. The remainder were driven on to Baltimore, where they were sold at a net profit of \$31.77 per head. The problem of getting cattle from the grazing lands of the West to the Eastern markets was solved, and its effects were as great as those of the successful shipment later of the first cargo of fat cattle to England, or the first efforts of Swift & Company in sending dressed beef from Chi-

cago to New England. In 1817 Mr. Felix Renick took a drove of 100 fat cattle to Philadelphia, which sold for \$134 per head. In 1818 Joseph Harness sent the first drove from the West to New York City. The 100 were sold at \$69 per head. From Ohio and Kentucky, also, cows and oxen were driven to Michigan as early as 1825-40, to supply the demands of immigration into that State.

The Virginians of Ohio and Kentucky coöperated in the exchange and improvement of their best cattle. Not content, however, with the slow improvement of cattle, ex-Governor Duncan McArthur, Felix Renick, George Renick, and nineteen others from Ross County, Ohio; William Renick, S. S. Denney, and fourteen others from Pickaway County; M. L. Sullivan and two others from Franklin County; and seven others from Fayette, Highland, and Pike counties, resolved "to try the experiment of direct importation from Great Britain." A company was formed on November 2, 1833, with ample capital and unlimited public spirit, as no subscriber expected any profit on the money invested. Mr. Felix Renick, with E. J. Harness and Josiah Renick, were sent to England to buy the best cattle they could find, regardless of price. Their first importation consisted of seven bulls and twelve cows and heifers. Further importations followed. In 1835 and 1836 Felix Renick had charge of the company's business and the breeding of the cattle, continuing up to the closing sale in 1837, when those remaining were sold at prices ranging from \$425 to \$2500. Other companies were afterward formed in Kentucky and Ohio. The success of this pioneer company led also to heavier importations by the Eastern men; and Mr. Whitaker, an English breeder, sent 100 head to Philadelphia, which were sold on the farm of Mr. Powell, an extensive breeder and importer.

During the thirties, and even up to this date, the Devons and Herefords had stanch admirers. Henry Clay had been to England and imported Devons, Herefords, and shorthorns, and in a letter to Governor Trimble he advised the Ohio company to bring out Devons and Herefords, as they were "better for the yoke." The Devons were at that time the favorites in New England. "The battle of the breeds," spoken of by Cassius Clay, still wages. The Herefords have been vastly improved in the prairie States, and have been used in great numbers on the plains, to the vast improvement of the range cattle of the West. As beef-cattle they have carried off in later years a full share of prizes with the shorthorns at the Chicago fat-stock shows.

As the farms of the country became improved, and

cattle no longer wintered in the forests or open fields, farmers found horns to be an expensive and unnecessary appendage, and a constant menace to the quiet and peace of the herd inclosed in yards and sheds. The shipper, too, finds the horns a source of loss in the pens and the cars of the railroads. Buyers of feeding cattle prefer those without horns, since they can accommodate a greater number with peace and quiet at the feeding racks and troughs. These causes have led to the practice of dehorning cattle intended for the dairy and feed lots. The polled breeds of Scotland and England have been imported extensively within the last decade; and the polled Durham, a new breed of cattle originated in the Miami Valley, is so far established that already the number of breeders and their favorites are numerous, and the type so well fixed that the first volume of the "American Polled Durham Herd-Book" has been issued. At present there are successful herds of polled Durhams in Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, and Iowa.

We now turn from the hornless type to the long-horned Texas cattle. These ungainly beasts are but one remove above the buffalo. They doubtless are of Spanish origin, introduced into Mexico, of which Texas was then a part, about the year 1500. They overran the plains of the Southwest, and were for years killed for their hides and tallow. Before the advent of railroads into the Southwest, Texas was supposed to have one seventh as many cattle as all the other States and Territories. Until Kansas became settled they were driven by trails into the Northwest, and made the base for founding the numerous and extensive cattle-ranches which utilized the wild grasses of government lands. These ranches made a market for thousands of bulls from the older States. The grade steers were a vast improvement on the cattle of the Southwest, and came into competition with the cattle of the States east of the Missouri, in the Chicago and Kansas City markets. The settlers have pushed west and taken up lands along the watercourses of the mountain-ranges, and the ranchmen have reluctantly retired before the plowmen. The vast ranges of the Northwest invited millions of capital from the States and from England and Scotland, until the boom in the cattle business burst, leaving wrecked fortunes and a clearer field for the legitimate production and improvement of cattle on the farms.

The necessity of greater attention to live stock, and of plowing less and grazing more, is recognized by the more intelligent. More capital and thought have gone into the improvement of dairy cattle within the last decade than were ever employed at

any other period in the history of the country. The Jersey, Holstein, and Ayrshire can be found in every community, and our milk records and dairy tests show that our improved cattle and our methods of breeding and feeding enable us to excel any records made even in the countries in which dairy breeds originated. Our experiment stations and agricultural colleges are investing in dairy plants and employing every means known to science for the fostering and development of the dairy interests of the people. The States of New York, Wisconsin, Iowa, Indiana, and Ohio have their dairy schools and courses of lectures, stimulating their residents to higher standards and more economical production.

Our foreign trade in dairy products is older than the government. During a part of the first half of this century our shipments of butter exceeded those of cheese. This continued until about 1842, when the introduction of cheese factories led to increased exports of that product. Instead of our American cheese growing in favor abroad, it deservedly lost standing, because of the process of "filling cheese" with lard, unmerchantable butter, etc. The history of the dairy business in America is one of vast fluctuations. The legitimate manufacturer has had to cope with the most ingenious substitutes. The fats of swine and cattle have come into competition with butter fat, by the introduction of oleomargarine, lard neutral, and filled cheese. The business has been demoralized, and the reputation of American butter and cheese impaired. There is no longer any mystery about the character of oleo and filled cheese. Some States have regulated their sale by law, compelling them to be sold on their merits. The change in the values of butter and cheese for the last thirty years has been steadily downward, as shown by the following table taken from the Department of Agriculture report, December, 1890:

BUTTER AND CHEESE, 1861 TO 1890.

PERIOD.	BUTTER.		CHEESE.	
	POUNDS.	PRICE. CENTS.	POUNDS.	PRICE. CENTS.
1861-70 . . .	13,398,053	23.0	44,657,282	14.3
1871-80 . . . .	15,245,288	18.0	99,992,441	12.7
1881-90 . . . .	18,820,780	17.2	104,158,600	10.0

The fact that the average price of butter imported into England was 23 cents, while our exports of butter the same year averaged only 14.1 cents at ports of shipment, is discreditable to American enterprise and skill. The causes for this disparity of prices are

many, the chief being that our best butter and cheese find a ready market at home, and only the lower grades are shipped abroad.

As our dairy exports have declined with the quality of goods offered, our exports of beef-cattle have increased, the quality of stock being improved in the same ratio. One of the first attempts to export cattle from the Southwest was made by a company of ranchmen of Texas. It was before the days of refrigerator-cars and cold storage in vessels. Only fifteen per cent. of a large cargo of the Texas long-horns reached Liverpool. I believe the first cattle exported for beef went to Glasgow about twenty-five years ago. Only two consignments a week were first sent out. The number increased to fifty per week, but as the cost of export was \$48.66 per head, shipments were discontinued in 1874. Freights declining, the business was resumed, and has gradually increased as the prejudice against American beef gave way to enthusiasm in its favor. Freights have declined to \$10 or less per steer. Since the first trials the business of exporting beeves, either alive or dressed, has grown to mammoth proportions. To Mr. Eastman, of New York, belongs the credit of successfully inaugurating and establishing the business. He is still the largest exporter, his weekly shipments running up into the thousands. His success has been followed by the organization of other similar firms. The effect of the transfer of the choicest beeves to a foreign market has been to stimulate the price of prime cattle. Illinois, Kentucky, and Ohio for years furnished the bulk of export cattle, but now Iowa and Missouri also send many. Mr. J. R. Dodge has estimated that the average value of beeves exported by this country in 1861 was \$19.65. In 1878 the average value had risen to \$46.68, and in 1894 to \$93.14; but this last estimate includes the export of some of the finest breeding cattle sent to Great Britain, twenty-eight head of which averaged \$5850. There was but a small surplus of cattle in this country prior to 1850. About that time grass-fed beeves began to find market in Cuba. The real commencement of our export business was in 1877, when the improvement started in Ohio and Kentucky, and worked westward, where cows and grass were abundant and cheap. In 1877 50,000 head were exported to Great Britain, Cuba, the British West Indies, Canada, and Mexico. More than half of this number went to Cuba, and only 5091 to Great Britain. The quality of cattle having improved, the export trade to Great Britain in eighteen years increased to 355,852, worth nearly \$32,500,000. France, Germany, Belgium, and the Netherlands took

less than \$2,000,000. The dressed-meat trade, fresh and salt, represented in 1894 \$28,259,863, which, with live animals exported, makes an aggregate of \$61,721,785, mostly for animals of improved grades. In 1877 the first shipments of fresh beef in refrigerators were made. In 1870 the value of all shipments of beefs and beef products was \$6,194,626. In 1891 the total value was \$65,533,564, taking more than 1,000,000 of the choicest cattle from the central corn-growing States. In 1870 an export beef was worth \$15.98. In 1891 the average price was \$81.26 each, showing that as quality improves price advances. There is no longer any demand for good cattle among country butchers, and the farmer who formerly could fatten one to six prime bullocks has now no market, hence has become a dairyman or grain grower, to the injury of the land. The receipts and shipments, as now recorded at our principal markets, embrace, therefore, a large per cent. of the actual production of the country, the bullocks, pigs, and lambs being all bought up today by the country shipper, and in promiscuous lots dumped into the great stock-yards.

The hog crop of America is most closely related to the corn crop. The States in the corn belt west of the Ohio River furnish the surplus pork for export and for home consumption in States where corn is not largely grown. Hogs came with the Cavaliers and Pilgrims, and in the common hog of the country was early found a mixture of types and races from every country where pork was produced. This mongrel was the base, easily impressed by the blood of the China, Neapolitan, Berkshire, Tamworth, and other breeds, known as early as the second quarter of the century. After the settlement of Ohio and Kentucky improvement in hogs was marked. The corn in the valleys and the mast in the timber furnished food in such abundance that the energies of the early settlers were bent upon producing pork and cattle to utilize the superabundance. The West Indies furnished a market for all surplus pork of the Eastern States, and under the stimulus of this trade heavy hogs were produced along the Delaware, before the development of the interest in the country around Cincinnati. The production of hogs in Ohio, Kentucky, and eastern Indiana increased so rapidly that Cincinnati early became the packing center of the West. As the Wabash, the Illinois, and the Missouri valleys and the prairies became vast corn-fields, and the railroad pushed westward, the center of pork production also moved west. Ohio is no longer the leading corn and hog State, being now the seventh; and Cincinnati is excelled as a packing city by

Chicago, Kansas City, Omaha, St. Louis, and Indianapolis.

The China and Berkshire, along with the Russian and Irish grazer, were earliest used to cross upon the common hog. In New Jersey the red hog formed the foundation for the large hogs to furnish the heavy meat for the West Indies and the Carolinas. In Chester County, Pennsylvania, the white hog was the favorite, and was improved, and the type called Chester white was established. In the Miami Valley the China, Berkshire, Woburn, Russian, and Irish grazer blood mingled with that of the common hog, and the Poland China breed was evolved and improved to meet the wants of the packer and feeder. In northern Ohio, in the dairy districts, where the conditions of feed, soil, and handling were very different, the white hog of Pennsylvania has been improved, and we find a breed known as Todd's improved Chester whites. The red hog of New Jersey has come West into a land of plenty, and has filled out, and is taking on the plumpness and refinement of bone, ear, and head peculiar to the breeds in a corn-growing country. In northern Indiana we find a breed of white hogs called Victorias, finer in type than the Chester whites, and of more growth than the small English breeds.

The above-named American breeds have become so well fixed and established that each has its record. The Poland China holds about the same relation to other breeds of swine that the short horn does to other breeds of cattle. Pigs of this breed have been shipped to Germany, Russia, Australia, the Argentine Republic, Cuba, and Canada. The improvement of the swine of America has been greater than that of its horses, cattle, or sheep, and with a far smaller outlay for imported animals for breeding purposes. Swine are raised in every State in the Union and on almost every farm. The cotton States consume more pork than they produce. The States producing the surplus are Iowa, Illinois, Ohio, Missouri, Indiana, Kansas, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Tennessee, Kentucky, Minnesota, and Michigan, and their rank is about in the order named. It has been estimated that ninety-five per cent. of the exports of pork, eighty-six per cent. of the exports of lard, and ninety-three per cent. of the total exports of hog products from the United States come from the surplus of these States.

Our unequaled system of transportation is one of the prominent factors which have helped to the remarkable development of the pork business. Pork products are carried from Chicago or St. Louis to New York for only about one third of a cent per



pound, a distance of 900 miles. The ocean charge from New York to Bremen is about the same. Direct consignments from St. Louis or Chicago to Bremen have been shipped for a little more than half a cent per pound. Lard production has suffered somewhat since the discovery of the process of utilizing a waste product of cotton. Cotton-seed oil has now come into such extensive use as a substitute for lard and lard-oil, for culinary and manufacturing purposes, that its present annual sale is estimated to exceed the equivalent of 70,000,000 pounds of lard. The production of oleo from beef suet has also furnished the by-product of stearine, which enters largely into the manufacture of lard substitutes, to give body and consistency to imitation lard. This adulteration of lard has brought American lard into disrepute in foreign markets, and reduced the demand. The surplus of pure lard continues great, and its extent fixes the price.

The healthfulness of American pork, like that of our beef, has been a distinguishing feature of our meat products. Our herds have been singularly free from disease; and the superior quality of our pork products, and their low cost compared with that of European products, gave us an immense and growing trade abroad, furnishing a wholesome and cheap meat-supply to the densely populated districts of Germany and France.

On the 25th of June, 1880, the German government issued an edict prohibiting the importation of "chopped, or in a similar manner divided or prepared, pork, and of sausages of all kinds, from America." In the following February France gave a blow to our rapidly growing trade by prohibiting the importation of all hog-meats from the United States. Our pork trade in 1891 with France was \$267,804, and in 1883 \$4,987,673. Germany not only prohibited the use and sale of American pork, but prevented our using the free ports of Hamburg and Bremen in shipping to other countries. And yet these blows have not paralyzed us, as the improvement of our swine and sales of pork go bravely on, and the farmers of America look upon the porker as their mortgage lifter and taxpayer. The census enumerations for the past fifty years show the increase in the number of hogs raised as follows: 1850, 30,354,313; 1860, 33,512,867; 1870, 25,184,569; 1880, 47,681,700; 1890, 57,409,583.

Exportations as early as 1872 increased to an encouraging degree, amounting to over 500,000,000 pounds, and continued to increase until more than 1,000,000,000 pounds were shipped in 1881. The edicts of exclusion, referred to above, reduced ex-

ports to 651,109,020 pounds in 1882, but they shortly ranged up again to 853,298,881 pounds, and by 1890 had reached 1,205,814,813 pounds. In other words, foreign demand has taken about 6,000,000 hogs per annum of our surplus, which is less than one fifth of the entire hog product of the United States. The lowest price of pork per 100 in thirty-three years was \$2.85 in 1878-79, and the highest \$11.46 in 1864-65, when gold was at its highest premium.

The specified imports and exports of the various pork, cattle, and dairy products, together with live stock, for 1890 are given in the subjoined tables:

EXPORTS AND IMPORTS OF HOG PRODUCTS IN 1890.

	EXPORTS.	IMPORTS.
Hogs .....	\$909,042	
Sausage casings .....	697,772	\$484,958
Lard-oil .....	663,343	
Bacon .....	39,149,635	} 339,178
Hams .....	7,907,125	
Fresh pork .....	15,406	
Salt pork .....	4,753,488	
Lard .....	33,455,520	1,286,219
Bristles .....		132,089
Grease .....	753,409	
Total .....	\$88,304,740	\$2,242,444

EXPORTS OF CATTLE PRODUCTS IN 1890.

KIND.	VALUE.
Cattle .....	\$31,261,131
Bones .....	271,533
Glue .....	88,484
Hides .....	1,828,635
Canned beef .....	6,787,193
Fresh beef .....	12,862,384
Salt beef .....	5,250,068
Cured beef .....	9,223
Tallow .....	5,242,158
Oleo .....	6,476,258
Butter .....	4,187,489
Cheese .....	8,591,042
Milk .....	303,325
Grease .....	753,409
Total .....	\$83,912,312

IMPORTS OF CATTLE PRODUCTS IN 1890.

KIND.	VALUE.
Cattle .....	\$244,747
Butter .....	13,079
Cheese .....	1,295,506
Glue .....	471,829
Grease .....	132,044
Hair .....	3,026,566
Hides .....	21,881,886
Hide cuttings, etc .....	348,440
Hoofs, horns, etc. ....	236,648
Preserved meats .....	203,579
Other meats .....	136,099
Milk .....	102,954
Oil .....	3,235
Unenumerated .....	371,795
Total .....	\$28,468,547


Adding the values of 3501 horses exported in 1890, amounting to \$680,410; of 3544 mules, \$447,108; of 67,521 sheep, \$243,077; and of all other animals and fowls, \$97,360, making the grand total of exports of live stock and animal products for 1890, \$175,986,750. Our total exports of animals, breadstuffs, cotton, and articles made from these three leading classes of farm products are \$627,216,656. The value of all exports other than of animals and farm products is \$218,087,172, thus making the percentage of agricultural products exported 74.2, as compared with the total exports, and the percentage of animals and animal products 80, by the same comparison.

The inhabitants of the United States are singularly rich in horses, cattle, and swine. For every 1000 in-

habitants we have 239 horses, 264 milch cows, 557 neat cattle, and 917 swine. Great wealth has grown up with our herds, and vital interests surround them. In many parts of the country dairying and animal production have driven out the growth of wheat and oats or other cereal crops, and although the population is not as dense in those regions as elsewhere, the inhabitants seem more prosperous, their houses and outbuildings are larger, and the annual profits are as great. In her live stock America has done more during a century than many older nations have accomplished in ten times that period. Her rise has been rapid, her achievements great, and her future may safely be predicted to bring forth results far more wonderful than those I have been attempting to review.

*L. N. Bourne*





## CHAPTER XXXIV

### AMERICAN COTTON

THE introduction of the Whitney cotton-gin laid the foundation for the cotton industry, the present magnitude of which may be judged from the statement of Mr. Thomas Ellison, of Liverpool, the leading authority on cotton statistics, who has said: "The cultivation of the cotton-plant, the manufacture of its fiber, and the distribution of its product afford employment to a much larger amount of capital and labor than any other branch of mechanical industry." Mr. Ellison adds: "And yet, so far as Europe and America are concerned, this vast agricultural and manufacturing system has been built up almost within the limits of the past century."

A number of cotton-machinery inventions made a few years prior to Whitney's had brought about an increasing demand in England for cotton for manufacturing purposes, and there was considerable anxiety on the part of mill-owners in Great Britain as to whether production throughout the world could be so stimulated as to cause it to keep pace with consumptive requirements.

While it is supposed that the cotton-plant is indigenous to America, and it is known that it was cultivated in Virginia as early as 1620, its production was very limited until after the invention of the saw-gin. The total crop in 1791 is estimated to have been 2,000,000 pounds, equal to 4000 bales, of which about 200,000 pounds, or 400 bales, are supposed to have been exported to Great Britain. A shipment of eight bags had been made to Liverpool in 1784, though there are reports of trifling shipments prior to that date, but these are supposed to have been of West India cotton exported via Charleston. This shipment, however, was sold to an English firm, in whose mill was employed at the time Samuel Slater, who in 1790 built in Pawtucket, R. I., a mill for Messrs. Almy & Brown, of Providence. It is supposed that the first mill built in the South was in the same year (1790), and that it was in South Carolina. An old report states that a mill was established in South Carolina in that year,

"driven by water" and having "spinning-machines with eighty-four spindles each." Though Slater is regarded as the father of the New England cotton-mill business, cotton manufacturing to a limited extent had been carried on for some years prior to his coming to America, especially household manufacture, Thomas Jefferson having "employed two spinning-jennies, a carding-machine, and a loom with a flying shuttle, by which he made more than 2000 yards of cloth, which his family and servants yearly required."

In 1739 it was testified in an English court that "cotton grows very well in Georgia, and can be raised by white persons without the aid of negroes." When the colonies undertook to encourage the manufacture of cotton goods the home government did everything in its power to hinder the progress of the industry, with a view to compel them to confine their attention to the production of food and raw materials and to purchase their manufactured goods from Great Britain. At the request of English merchants, who were disturbed by the efforts of American manufacturers to export their goods, an act of Parliament was passed imposing a fine of £500 for every offense of exporting such goods, and, this not proving effectual, a law was enacted forbidding the exportation of textile machinery from Great Britain, in order to prevent American manufacturers from getting cotton machinery. Despite all these disadvantages, however, more and more attention was given by Americans to the study of methods to develop the cotton industry. Massachusetts especially took active steps to encourage cotton manufacturing, and in 1786 the legislature gave £200 to two brothers to help them establish carding and spinning machinery. Later £500 was granted to assist another factory, and afterward £2000 to another. Up to this time the progress in cotton cultivation and manufacture had been very slow, and it was felt that some improved method of ginning cotton must be invented before

the cotton business could attain much larger proportions. This was a subject of frequent discussion.

In 1792, Eli Whitney, a native of Massachusetts, while in Georgia, had his attention called to the need of a machine to separate the seed from the lint, and succeeded, in 1793, in perfecting a gin which did this.<sup>1</sup> With the introduction of the gin the cotton business in all branches advanced with leaps and bounds. The South's crop jumped from 2,000,000 pounds in 1790 to 10,000,000 pounds in 1796 and to 40,000,000 pounds in 1800, or only four years later; while the yield of 1810 was 80,000,000 pounds, and that of 1820 160,000,000 pounds.

The rapid increase in the demand for cotton, and the profitableness of its cultivation, caused a concentration of the energy and capital of the South in planting; and other industrial interests which had been flourishing declined under the craze for cotton raising. According to Donnell's "History of Cotton," in 1816 the tariff on cotton goods was largely increased, the measure being strongly supported by the South on the ground that it would promote the consumption of its cotton, and opposed by some of the Northern States because of their large shipping interests—another illustration of how tariff sentiment changes as conditions change. From a crop of about 400,000 bales in 1820, production rapidly increased, the growth of this industry probably surpassing in extent and wide-reaching importance any other crop in Europe or America. The energy of the South was turned into cotton raising, and production really increased in advance of the world's needs. Other

<sup>1</sup> As there has been much discussion as to who is really entitled to the credit of the invention of the cotton-gin, the following extract from a pamphlet entitled "Cotton as a Factor in Progress," by Mr. D. A. Tompkins, who has made a careful investigation of the subject, is of interest:

"It appears to be commonly believed that the successful production of large cotton crops in the United States is due to the invention of the gin alone. While this has been an essential element in the problem, yet Egypt, India, and South America, which have the advantage of perfected gins, due to the inventions made in America, produce cotton neither so cheaply nor in such quantities as the United States. I am far from wishing to take from Mr. Eli Whitney any of the credit that attaches to his name for the invention of the cotton-gin. He stands in my estimation at the head of the list of all those whose inventions have been of benefit to mankind. In the invention of the cotton-gin there is glory enough to immortalize Whitney's name, with plenty to spare for the credit of others who did valuable and essential work in the development of what he produced.

"When Mr. Whitney first visited Savannah much had already been accomplished in the way of creating conditions for the more economical production of cotton. A commission had

agricultural interests were not, however, neglected. Diversified farming was the rule, and the South was more nearly self-supporting in the way of foodstuffs—corn, bacon, etc.—than it has been since the war. In general, prices were well maintained for forty years, though gradually tending downward after the beginning of this century. In 1801 the average New York price was forty-four cents a pound, and from this it slowly declined, often with an upward spurt for a year or two, to thirteen and one half cents in 1839.

With prices ranging from thirteen to forty-four cents, and averaging for forty years, from 1800 to 1839, a fraction over seventeen cents a pound, cotton cultivation was so profitable that it is not to be wondered at that the disposition of the people of the South was to concentrate their efforts more and more on cotton cultivation to the exclusion of other industrial interests. Beginning with 1840 there came a period of extremely low prices, and the cotton States suffered very much from this decline. In that year the average New York prices dropped to nine cents, a decline of four cents from the preceding year; and this was followed by a continuous decline until 1844-45, when the average was 5.63 cents, the lowest average price for a year ever known to the cotton trade. Moreover, in 1844-45 the seed was without market value, while now the sale of seed adds largely to the value of the crop, transportation being also very much cheaper than in 1845. In 1847 the crop was short and prices advanced sharply, only to drop back to eight and then to seven and one half cents, the average for the

been appointed by the State of Georgia, charged with the duty of causing a machine to be devised for the separation of the lint of the cotton from the seed. Mr. Josiah Watkins had in operation a crude machine similar in many respects to the more nearly perfect gin which Whitney constructed. The substitution of the saw for wire spikes seems to have been first made by Colonel O. A. Bull, of La Grange, Ga., and a little later, but independently, by Hogden Holmes, of Fairfield County, South Carolina; and it was this improvement, more than any other one thing, that put the cotton-gin in shape to become such an important factor in the development of the cotton interest.

"While the times were ripe for the invention of the cotton-gin, and many persons were working at the problem, and while the gin would probably have been invented even had Whitney never gone to the South, he was just the right man quickly to take up the suggestion of the Georgia State commission. He saw the Watkins machine, worked on the problem himself, heard of Holmes's improvement and went to see it, and to his own ideas and work he added the best of what he gathered from various other workers on the same problem. The result was the Whitney gin."

Whitney realized comparatively little from this invention.



RICHARD H. EDMONDS.



decade from 1840 to 1849 being the lowest of any decade in the history of cotton.

These excessively low prices brought about a revival of public interest in other pursuits than cotton cultivation; and the natural tendency of the people to progress in other industrial matters, as evidenced by the history of the Southern colonies prior to the Revolution, but which had long been dormant, was again aroused, and for some years there was a very active spirit manifested in the building of railroads and the development of manufactures. With 1850 a period of much higher prices was ushered in, and for the next ten years the average was about twelve cents. Then came the war, with its accompanying scarcity of cotton, prices rapidly advancing until 1863-64, when the New York average was 101½ cents. When the war ended the world was bare of cotton. The demand was pressing, and the prices continued very high. But the South was bankrupt. It had no capital on which to operate; its planters were burdened with debt; their houses and fences were destroyed; their labor system was disorganized; and in this condition they were in no position to buy foodstuffs, live stock, and agricultural implements.

Money lenders, however, were ready to make advances on mortgages on unplanted cotton, but not on other crops. Most of them were factors or commission merchants who would agree to advance a certain sum of money, or rather to grant a certain amount of credit at their stores for merchandise of all kinds, for every acre planted in cotton. Under these circumstances diversified agriculture had to be abandoned, and the planter was forced to buy Western corn and bacon from his commission merchant. By the time he had paid nearly double the cash values for his supplies, and had paid commission, storage and drayage, and insurance on his cotton when marketed, the planter usually ended the year in debt to his factor. The profits of the factor, though, were sufficiently large to justify him in continuing his credit, and by doing so the farmer was kept in debt from year to year. The negroes and the tenant class of whites could borrow money on cotton in the same way, and this developed a tenantry system for raising cotton which prevented any attention being given to the improvement of the land. Year after year the farmer was forced into cotton raising to the exclusion of everything else, until it became only too true that "the South kept its corn-crib and smoke-house in the West."

After 1880, although the Southern farmers were still heavily in debt, they commenced to give increased attention to the cultivation of grain and to

the raising of early fruits and vegetables. The progress made since then has been very remarkable, but, despite this great increase, the production of corn in the central cotton States does not yet equal the average prior to 1860. In the mean time the cotton crop has increased rapidly, rising from 5,456,000 bales in 1881-82 to 9,900,000 bales in 1894-95. Summing up in tabular form the statistics of the cotton crop since 1840, we have:

COTTON SINCE 1840.

YEAR.	CROP. BALES.	CONSUMPTION IN U. S. BALES.	EXPORTS. BALES.	AVERAGE PRICE PER LB. MIDDLING UPLANDS IN N. Y. CENTS.
1840-41	1,634,954	267,850	1,313,500	9.50
1841-42	1,683,574	267,850	1,465,500	7.85
1842-43	2,378,875	325,129	2,010,000	7.25
1843-44	2,030,409	346,750	1,629,500	7.73
1844-45	2,394,503	389,000	2,083,700	5.63
1845-46	2,100,537	422,600	1,666,700	7.87
1846-47	1,778,651	428,000	1,241,200	11.21
1847-48	2,439,786	616,044	1,858,000	8.03
1848-49	2,866,938	642,485	2,228,000	7.55
1849-50	2,223,718	613,498	1,590,200	12.34
1850-51	2,454,442	485,614	1,988,710	12.14
1851-52	3,126,310	689,603	2,443,646	9.50
1852-53	3,416,214	803,725	2,528,400	11.02
1853-54	3,074,979	737,236	2,319,148	10.97
1854-55	2,982,634	706,417	2,244,209	10.39
1855-56	3,665,557	777,739	2,954,606	10.30
1856-57	3,093,737	819,936	2,252,657	13.51
1857-58	3,257,339	595,562	2,590,455	12.23
1858-59	4,018,914	927,651	3,021,403	12.08
1859-60	4,861,292	978,043	3,774,173	11.00
1860-61	3,849,469	843,740	3,127,568	13.01
1861-62				31.29
1862-63				67.21
1863-64				101.50
1864-65				83.38
1865-66	2,269,316	666,100	1,554,664	42.30
1866-67	2,097,254	770,030	1,557,054	31.59
1867-68	2,519,554	906,636	1,655,816	24.85
1868-69	2,360,467	926,374	1,465,880	29.01
1869-70	3,122,551	865,160	2,206,480	23.98
1870-71	4,352,317	1,110,196	3,169,009	16.95
1871-72	2,974,351	1,237,330	1,957,314	20.48
1872-73	3,930,508	1,201,127	2,679,986	17.15
1873-74	4,170,388	1,305,943	2,840,981	18.00
1874-75	3,832,991	1,193,005	2,684,708	15.00
1875-76	4,632,313	1,351,870	3,234,244	13.00
1876-77	4,474,069	1,428,013	3,030,835	11.73
1877-78	4,773,865	1,489,022	3,360,254	11.28
1878-79	5,074,155	1,558,320	3,481,004	10.83
1879-80	5,761,252	1,789,978	3,885,003	12.02
1880-81	6,605,750	1,938,937	4,589,346	11.34
1881-82	5,456,048	1,964,535	3,582,622	12.16
1882-83	6,949,756	2,073,096	4,766,597	10.63
1883-84	5,713,200	1,876,683	3,916,581	10.64
1884-85	5,706,165	1,753,125	3,947,972	10.54
1885-86	6,575,691	2,162,544	4,336,203	9.44
1886-87	6,505,087	2,111,532	4,445,302	10.25
1887-88	7,046,833	2,257,247	4,627,502	10.27
1888-89	6,938,290	2,314,091	4,742,347	10.71
1889-90	7,307,281	2,390,959	4,955,931	11.53
1890-91	8,652,597	2,632,023	5,847,191	9.03
1891-92	9,035,379	2,876,846	5,933,437	7.64
1892-93	6,700,365	2,481,015	4,402,890	8.24
1893-94	7,549,817	2,319,688	5,287,887	7.67
1894-95	9,901,251			

A study of the foregoing figures will show that during a period of seven years, from 1885-86 to

1891-92, there was an annual increase in production, a continuous growth unprecedented in the history of the cotton trade. It is doubtful if any leading crop raised can show such an unbroken increase for seven years. Jumping from 5,700,000 bales in 1884-85 to 6,500,000 bales in 1885-86, there was practically no halting, as the variations in two years were too small to be noticeable, to 9,035,000 bales in 1891-92, a gain of 3,300,000 bales, or nearly sixty per cent. advance in seven years. After this came two smaller crops, but the following year (1894-95) gave a yield of 9,901,251 bales. Moreover, the average weight of the bales that year was considerably above that of preceding years. Based on the same average weight per bale, the crop of 1894-95 was equivalent to 10,089,000 bales of 1893-94 weight, and to 10,099,000 bales of the weight of the next largest crop, that of 1891-92; so that as a matter of fact the yield of 1894-95 was equal to 1,064,000 bales in excess of the largest previous crop.

The average total value of crop and average yield per acre of late years have been as follows:

COTTON AVERAGES, 1875 TO 1894.

YEAR.	ACRES.	TOTAL VALUE OF CROP.	NET LB. PER ACRE.	BALE PER ACRE.
1875-76 . . .	11,635,000	\$399,445,168	177	0.39%
1876-77 . . .	11,500,000	252,602,340	171½	0.39
1877-78 . . .	11,825,000	255,768,165	181¾	0.40¾
1878-79 . . .	12,240,000	236,586,031	185¼	0.41½
1879-80 . . .	12,680,000	313,696,452	206¼	0.45½
1880-81 . . .	16,123,000	356,524,911	188½	0.41
1881-82 . . .	16,851,000	304,298,744	145½	0.32¾
1882-83 . . .	16,276,000	327,938,137	200¾	0.42¾
1883-84 . . .	16,780,000	288,803,902	157½	0.34
1884-85 . . .	17,426,000	287,253,972	150½	0.33
1885-86 . . .	18,379,444	313,723,080	165½	0.36
1886-87 . . .	18,581,012	298,504,215	162½	0.35
1887-88 . . .	18,961,897	336,433,653	173½	0.37
1888-89 . . .	19,362,073	344,009,801	167¾	0.35¾
1889-90 . . .	19,979,040	373,161,831	173¾	0.36½
1890-91 . . .	20,583,935	429,792,047	200¾	0.42
1891-92 . . .	20,555,387	391,424,716	209¾	0.44
1892-93 . . .	18,057,924	284,279,066	176	0.37
1893-94 . . .	19,684,000	294,495,711	182	0.38

In the nineteen years from 1875-76 to 1893-94 cotton brought into the South over \$6,300,000,000, a sum so vast that the profits out of it ought to have been enough greatly to enrich that whole section. Unfortunately, however, the system (which was developed by the poverty following the war) of raising cotton only and buying provisions and grain in the West left at home but little surplus money out of the cotton crop. The West and North drained that section of several hundred million dollars every year, because it depended upon them for all of its manufactured goods, as well as for the bulk of its food-stuffs. Hence, of the enormous amount received

for cotton, very little remained in the South. The increase in diversified farming, the raising of home supplies, the development of truck farming, and the building of factories are now all uniting to keep at home the money which formerly went North and West.

The importance of cotton in our foreign trade relations can be appreciated from the simple statement that from September 1, 1875, to August 31, 1895, our exports of this staple were valued at over \$4,200,000,000, while the total exports of wheat and flour combined for the same period were \$2,610,000,000, showing a difference of \$1,600,000,000 in favor of cotton. Moreover, during the same period we exported over \$200,000,000 of manufactured cotton goods, making the full value really \$4,400,000,000. Compared with the exports of wheat, flour, and corn combined, the value of which for the period named was a little less than \$3,200,000,000, there is a difference in favor of cotton of \$1,200,000,000. Going back to 1820, it is found that the total value of flour and wheat exported for seventy-five years was \$4,000,000,000, or \$400,000,000 less than the value of the cotton exported during the nineteen years from 1875 to 1894.

The growth of the cotton manufacturing industry in this country has not kept pace with the increase in production, nearly three fourths of the crop being annually exported to Europe. With an annual yield of from 7,500,000 to 9,900,000 bales, the total consumption by American mills is a little less than 3,000,000 bales a year. Nevertheless this industry has grown rapidly, and the capital invested aggregates in round figures about \$400,000,000. The census returns, being compiled for fiscal years ending with June, always differ somewhat from the commercial reports which cover crop years ending with August. It is necessary, therefore, to bear this in mind.

The number of spindles at present is estimated at about 17,000,000. The "Textile Manufacturers' Directory" of 1894-95 reports this number, and credits the leading cotton manufacturing States with the following: Massachusetts, 6,755,000; Rhode Island, 2,000,000; New Hampshire, 1,350,000; Connecticut, 1,088,000; Maine, 945,000; South Carolina, 720,000; North Carolina, 703,000; New York, 673,000; Georgia, 569,000; New Jersey, 419,000; Pennsylvania, 424,000; and Alabama, 240,000.

The progress of cotton manufacturing in the United States from 1830 to 1890, according to the census reports, was as follows:



SIXTY YEARS OF COTTON MANUFACTURE.

YEAR.	CAPITAL EMPLOYED.	NUMBER OF SPINDLES.	COTTON CONSUMED, REDUCED TO BALES OF 400 LBS.	HANDS EMPLOYED.	WAGES PAID.	VALUE OF PRODUCTS.
1830 .....	\$44,914,941	1,246,503	184,000	62,208	\$12,155,723	\$32,036,760
1840 .....	51,102,350	2,284,631	340,000	72,119	14,000,000	46,350,453
1850 .....	74,500,931	3,633,693	721,393	92,286	17,276,112	65,501,687
1860 .....	98,585,269	5,035,798	1,056,762	122,028	23,940,108	115,681,774
1870 .....	140,706,291	6,621,571	995,770	135,369	39,044,132	177,489,739
1880 .....	208,280,346	10,768,516	1,875,859	174,659	42,040,510	192,090,110
1890 .....	354,020,843	14,088,103	2,794,864	221,585	69,489,272	267,981,724

During the last two years this industry has made rapid progress in the South, and that section promises to dispute New England's supremacy within a comparatively few years. In 1880 the Southern States had 667,000 spindles, representing a capital in cotton manufacturing of \$21,900,000. By 1890 this had increased to 1,712,000 spindles and \$61,000,000 capital. In September, 1895, the South had 3,000,000 spindles, representing an aggregate investment of about \$100,000,000; and the mills under construction would add about 800,000 spindles to this number. The annual report for 1895 of the New Orleans Cotton Exchange gives the relative growth of consumption of cotton in Northern and Southern mills of late years in commercial bales (as distinguished from 400-pound bales) as follows:

CROPS AND CONSUMPTION.

CROP YEARS.	ACTUAL CONSUMPTION.	
	NORTHERN MILLS. COMMERCIAL BALES.	SOUTHERN MILLS. COMMERCIAL BALES.
1889-90 .....	1,800,000	519,478
1890-91 .....	1,925,000	605,916
1891-92 .....	2,025,000	681,471
1892-93 .....	1,950,000	733,701
1893-94 .....	1,675,000	723,329
1894-95 .....	1,840,769	853,352

According to these figures the actual consumption in Northern mills, while larger, of course, than during the panic year 1893-94, was less than for any year since 1890-91, having been 85,000 bales smaller than in the latter year, and 185,000 bales smaller than in 1891-92. Southern mills, on the contrary, gained nearly 250,000 bales compared with 1890-91, and 172,000 bales compared with 1891-92. In 1890-91 the South consumed less than one third as much cotton as Northern mills; last year Southern consumption was nearly one half as much as Northern.

MANUFACTURING IN THE NORTH AND SOUTH.

CROP YEARS.	NORTHERN MILLS.	SOUTHERN MILLS.
1894-95 .....	2,083,839	862,838
1893-94 .....	1,601,173	718,515
1892-93 .....	1,687,286	743,348
1891-92 .....	2,190,766	686,080
1890-91 .....	2,027,362	604,661
1889-90 .....	1,799,258	546,894
1888-89 .....	1,785,979	479,781
1887-88 .....	1,804,993	456,090
1886-87 .....	1,710,080	401,452

The Cotton Exchange report gives the following comparison in commercial bales, since 1850:


COTTON TAKEN BY AMERICAN MILLS.

YEAR ENDING AUG. 31ST.	NORTHERN MILLS. BALES.	SOUTHERN MILLS. BALES.	TOTAL BALES.	COMMERCIAL CROPS.
1850 ....	475,702	87,067	562,769	2,171,706
1860 ....	786,521	178,107	964,628	4,823,770
1870 ....	806,690	90,000	896,890	3,154,946
1880 ...	1,573,997	221,337	1,795,334	5,701,252
1890 ....	1,789,258	546,894	2,346,152	7,311,392
1892 ....	2,190,766	686,080	2,876,846	9,035,379
1895 ....	2,083,839	862,838	2,946,677	9,901,251

Under the activity prevailing in cotton manufacturing interests during 1894-95 Northern mills regained most of the loss of the two preceding years, but their purchases were still 107,000 bales less than in 1891-92, while during the same period Southern mills increased their consumption 176,800 bales compared with 1891-92. The "Commercial and Financial Chronicle" distinguishes between the takings or purchases and the actual consumption, and makes the figures as follows:

The figures of Southern mills represent actual consumption; those of Northern mills the takings or purchases for the year.

*R. H. Edmonds.*



## CHAPTER XXXV

### AMERICAN WOOL

FOOD is essential to human existence; clothing is a concomitant of civilization, and an absolute necessity for mankind outside of equatorial limits. The use of animal food for our race has the sanction of Holy Writ, general usage, and adaptation to support life, impart vigor, and secure health. The science of dietetics has demonstrated, and experience proves, that mutton is generally better adapted to satisfy a cultivated taste, furnish nutrition, and insure health than any other meat-food. Sheep furnish wool for the making of clothing, which, for sanitary reasons, durability, and economy, is superior to that manufactured from other fibers or materials. The food and clothing thus provided are suited to every climate and latitude, and sheep, in their numerous species, find a suitable habitat in all. These considerations add to the teleological evidence that all things are ordered by divine wisdom and power, and that sheep husbandry, which in the pastoral state preceded, and in many localities exists even without agriculture, is of universal utility, and deserves the favor of mankind and of governments.

The antiquity of sheep, wool, and woolen goods is attested in history, sacred and profane. "Abel was a keeper of sheep," and Abraham gave sheep to Abimelech. The sacred record testifies of woolen garments also. The purple robes of the Roman emperors were woven from the merino fleece. The Roman conquest of England brought to that country the first knowledge there of the use and manufacture of wool, which grew in importance until early in the nineteenth century, when English wool manufactures were unsurpassed in perfection. This result was aided by legislation. In 1261, England by statute prohibited the export of wool, or the wearing of foreign woollens. This was followed by other more stringent statutes having the same objects, up to that of 1660, which remained substantially in force until 1824, when wool was admitted free of duty.

The western hemisphere had no sheep when European discoverers and conquerors first visited it. The first mission established in California, in 1697, found two varieties of animals (the *Ovis montana*, "or a species closely allied to it"), one the Rocky Mountain goat, the other the Rocky Mountain sheep. Their bodies were covered with coarse hair, under which was a coat of fur-like fibers, corresponding with noils in our present varieties of sheep. This fur was fine, and adapted to the manufacture of clothing. A subspecies of these animals is found in Alaska—the *Ovis montana dalli*. Spanish sheep were introduced into California in 1773, under the care of the Catholic priests, and woolen manufactures of coarse varieties were produced soon afterward. In South America the European discoverers found "four forms of the genus *Auchenia*—the *guanaco* and *vicugna*, in the wild state, and the *llama* and *alpaca*, known only in the domesticated state." These animals furnished fibers used in making clothing.

The *mouflon* (*Ovis aries*), even yet found wild in the mountains of Sardinia, Corsica, Barbary, Greece, and Asia Minor, with short, coarse fleece resembling hair quite as much as wool, is the parent stock from which all our various breeds have been produced by domestication and breeding. The effect of breeding and feeding is shown in the increase of the weight of fleeces in the United States, as follows: "Weight of fleece, 1840, 1.9; 1850, 2.4; 1860, 2.7; 1870, 3.5; 1880, 4.8; 1887, 5.1; 1891, 5.5; 1893, 5.3; 1894, 5.33; 1895, 6.375 pounds."

The first importation of sheep was made from the Canary Islands by Columbus, on his return voyage to the New World, to stock the island of Hispaniola. Other importations followed from Spain to the same island and to Cuba. Woolen cloth was made in New Spain in 1560. These Spanish sheep "were the progenitors of the immense herds in Mexico, New Mexico, Utah, and Texas. In 1736 there

were over 1,500,000 sheep in the Mexican State of Nuevo Leon." These are the parent stock from which came the common coarse, or so-called native, Mexican sheep. Spanish sheep were subsequently imported into South America. Prescott recounts, in his "History of the Conquest of Mexico," that Cortes imported large numbers of merino sheep into what is now Central America. From all of these early Spanish importations sprang the immense flocks of Mexico and all the southwest territory. Wool manufacturing developed rapidly, even the Indians learning to weave. By 1750 sheep raising was the principal business in Mexico.

The first sheep introduced into the American colonies were brought from England to Jamestown, Va., in 1609. In 1633 a few sheep were brought from England to Massachusetts. In 1625, and again in 1630, the Dutch brought some sheep to the New Netherlands. In 1663 a Swedish colony in Delaware imported eighty sheep. In 1645 and 1656 Massachusetts passed laws encouraging the raising of sheep. In 1657 Virginia, by statute, prohibited the export of sheep, and in 1662 a statute prohibited the export of wool, and provided a bounty in tobacco for every yard of woolen cloth made in the colony. In 1664 looms were established by the General Assembly, and provisions made for weavers in each county. In 1682 a statute affixed heavy penalties against the export of wool, hides, and iron. Other colonies, by local statutes, encouraged sheep husbandry.

The Parliament of Great Britain passed an act providing that "after the 1st of December, 1699," no wool produced in the colonies should be exported to the mother country, the preamble to the act reciting that the colonial industry would "inevitably sink the value of land" in England. Other hostile legislation followed, but space will not permit a statement of the details.

In 1798 Hon. William Porter, of Massachusetts, is said to have smuggled from Spain two ewes and a ram, worth, each, \$1500, which he presented to a friend, Andrew Craigie, who, in ignorance of their value, consumed them as mutton. They were the first merino sheep introduced into the United States. Seth Adams, at Dorchester, Mass., founded a flock of merinos from a single pair imported from France in 1801. He removed to Zanesville, O., in 1807, and there bred merinos. In 1802 Hon. R. R. Livingston, American minister to France, sent two pairs of French merinos to his New York farm. In the same year Colonel David Humphreys, of Connecticut, United States minister to Spain, sent twenty

merino rams and seventy ewes to this country. In 1803 Dr. James Mease, of Philadelphia, imported two black Spanish merinos. In 1807 Dr. Muller imported a few merinos from Hesse-Cassel. In 1809 William Jarvis, United States consul at Lisbon, sent to the United States 3850 Spanish merinos. In 1823 Saxon merinos were imported. Since then the increase in the number of sheep has been too great for such specific mention.

In January, 1895, the sheep in the States and Territories of the United States were as follows:

SHEEP BY STATES AND TERRITORIES, 1895.

STATES AND TERRITORIES.	NUMBER.	AVERAGE PRICE.	VALUE.
Maine .....	284,435	\$1.93	\$549,670
New Hampshire ...	106,233	1.97	208,961
Vermont .....	226,938	1.60	363,464
Massachusetts .....	49,383	3.43	169,137
Rhode Island .....	11,279	2.79	31,468
Connecticut .....	37,934	3.25	123,243
New York .....	1,096,560	2.27	2,486,449
New Jersey .....	50,662	3.41	172,849
Pennsylvania .....	1,178,795	1.95	2,304,309
Delaware .....	12,873	2.64	33,921
Maryland .....	138,174	2.62	361,519
Virginia .....	449,357	2.17	974,027
North Carolina ....	357,494	1.34	480,472
South Carolina ....	78,384	1.64	128,863
Georgia .....	402,946	1.33	537,530
Florida .....	110,627	1.56	172,357
Alabama .....	326,640	1.45	474,804
Mississippi .....	390,904	1.24	484,331
Louisiana .....	178,745	1.37	244,112
Texas .....	3,738,117	1.21	4,541,812
Arkansas .....	212,328	1.36	288,278
Tennessee .....	493,782	1.55	767,633
West Virginia .....	635,535	1.79	1,137,734
Kentucky .....	1,046,788	1.85	1,934,046
Ohio .....	3,577,419	1.72	6,139,924
Michigan .....	1,961,946	1.88	3,697,091
Indiana .....	836,217	1.89	1,581,454
Illinois .....	857,370	2.04	1,747,835
Wisconsin .....	895,756	1.65	1,474,414
Minnesota .....	489,192	1.79	876,241
Iowa .....	627,930	2.06	1,292,028
Missouri .....	860,820	1.63	1,401,587
Kansas .....	274,883	1.67	458,808
Nebraska .....	183,448	1.85	339,783
South Dakota .....	323,482	1.55	532,909
North Dakota .....	367,171	1.68	616,701
Montana .....	2,808,717	1.51	4,227,400
Wyoming .....	1,222,538	1.64	2,004,107
Colorado .....	1,305,989	1.52	1,984,058
New Mexico .....	3,008,824	.90	2,692,898
Arizona .....	746,546	1.21	901,081
Utah .....	2,039,226	1.47	2,998,885
Nevada .....	544,077	2.42	1,316,667
Idaho .....	919,865	1.41	1,299,770
Washington .....	748,857	1.74	1,304,360
Oregon .....	2,529,759	1.16	2,945,905
California .....	3,526,341	1.65	5,817,052
Oklahoma .....	22,778	2.80	63,760
Total .....	42,294,064	\$1.58	\$66,685,767

In January, 1895, there were in the world 571,163,062 sheep. The wool product of 1894 was 2,692,986,773 unwashed pounds, or something less than half

this amount clean. The sheep, January, 1895, were, in North America, 48,129,537; in Central America and the West Indies, 505,825; in South America, 101,308,583; in Europe, 192,080,003; in Asia, 74,245,090; in Australasia, 119,204,376; in Africa, 35,689,648.

The production of wool throughout the world for the first fifty years of the present century was 32,360,881,950 pounds, and the yearly average for the first fifty years, 647,217,639 pounds.

The following shows the world's production of wool, in pounds, from 1810 to 1890 inclusive, together with the increase in population:

WORLD'S WOOL PRODUCT, 1810 TO 1890.

YEAR.	POPULATION. <sup>1</sup>	YEARS.	PRODUCTION. POUNDS.	YEARLY AVERAGE. POUNDS.
1810.....	269,400,000	1801-10	5,109,663,200	510,966,320
1820.....	298,900,000	1811-20	5,427,612,600	542,761,260
1830.....	337,450,000	1821-30	5,753,904,200	575,390,420
1840.....	384,060,000	1831-40	6,867,524,000	686,752,400
1850.....	435,223,740	1841-50	9,102,177,950	910,217,795
1860.....	480,800,450	1851-60	11,035,584,400	1,103,548,540
1870.....	537,183,250	1861-70	14,883,648,300	1,488,364,830
1880.....	641,858,085	1871-80	17,080,363,490	1,708,036,349
1890.....	729,591,430	1881-90	19,462,037,826	1,946,203,782
Total.....	.....	.....	94,722,416,960	1,052,371,299

<sup>1</sup> The population in this table includes eighteen nations of Europe. In America: the United States, Mexico, the Argentine Republic, and the Dominion of Canada. In Africa: the Cape Colonies. In Australia: the whole continent. In Asia: India and Turkey.

PRODUCTION OF WOOLS IN THE ARGENTINE REPUBLIC, AUSTRALASIA, AND ASIA FROM 1800 TO 1890, FOR YEARS STATED.

YEAR.	ARGENTINE REPUBLIC.	AUSTRALASIA.	ASIA.
1800.....	<i>Pounds.</i> 1,200,000	<i>Pounds.</i> No returns.	<i>Pounds.</i> 52,498,150
1810.....	2,800,000	No returns.	56,993,200
1820.....	3,750,000	No returns.	68,837,420
1830.....	5,940,000	2,860,650	70,571,200
1840.....	14,965,250	13,860,780	85,149,270
1850.....	24,864,300	42,958,645	104,941,500
1860.....	55,885,760	69,964,320	121,910,890
1870.....	166,987,500	179,459,780	134,507,120
1880.....	259,824,840	345,010,338	135,995,140
1890.....	360,000,000	400,879,240	264,860,050
1891.....	376,700,000	550,000,000	.....
1894.....	443,000,000	581,000,000	.....

TABLE SHOWING NUMBER OF SHEEP ON DIFFERENT DATES.

YEAR.	COUNTRY.	NUMBER OF SHEEP.
1871.....	Australasia.....	49,773,584
1891.....	... do.....	114,628,301
1892.....	Australia.....	111,998,504
1860.....	Argentine Republic..	16,262,827
1870.....	... do.....	61,707,827
1880.....	... do.....	91,582,206
1887.....	... do.....	103,413,817
1891.....	... do.....	.....
1888.....	Cape of Good Hope..	13,177,285

The sheep in the United States are owned by about 1,000,000 flock-masters. In January, 1893, there were 47,273,533 sheep, of the value of \$125,909,264, with a wool product of 348,538,138 pounds. The decline in numbers, value, and product in two years is great. That this decline is not the result of a diminished consumption is shown by the following statement, which gives the annual consumption of wool during the last five fiscal years. (See Table 1, on following page.)

Our annual consumption was equal to nearly one fourth of the world's wool product, and more per capita of population than in any other nation.

Of the wool product of 1894, about 47,000,000 pounds were "pulled wool," the residue, fleece sheared.

Continuing still further, the following figures, which are from official sources and have the approval of the National Association of Wool Manufacturers, show clearly the comparative consumption of wool in the United States since 1840. It will be seen that while our population has increased fourfold, our consumption and production is more than eightfold. (See Table 2, on following page.)

TABLE 1.

TOTAL CONSUMPTION OF WOOL FOR YEARS ENDING JUNE 30.	1891.	1892.	1893.	1894.	1895.
Domestic wool (clip of the previous year).....	<i>Pounds.</i> 309,474,857	<i>Pounds.</i> 307,101,507	<i>Pounds.</i> 330,018,405	<i>Pounds.</i> 364,156,666	<i>Pounds.</i> 328,437,858
Imported wool .....	129,303,647	148,670,652	172,433,838	55,152,558	206,181,890
Wool imported in shape of goods, shoddy, rags, and waste .....	123,180,240	106,697,637	114,145,545	55,318,050	109,627,188
Total .....	561,958,744	562,469,796	616,597,788	474,627,274	644,246,936

Estimated total consumption for fiscal year ending June 30, 1895 ..... 644,246,936 lbs.  
 Estimated average total consumption for the past five fiscal years ..... 571,980,107 lbs.

Estimated increased consumption for 1895 over the average of five years ..... 72,266,829 lbs.

TABLE 2.—WOOL CONSUMPTION, 1840 TO 1896.

YEAR.	IMPORTS OF WOOL ENTERED FOR CONSUMPTION, YEAR ENDING JUNE 30. POUNDS. <sup>1</sup>	HOME PRODUCTION OF WOOL, YEAR ENDING JANUARY 1. POUNDS.	DOMESTIC EXPORTS. POUNDS.	NET SUPPLY. POUNDS.	IMPORTS OF WOOL MANUFACTURES, ALLOWING 3 POUNDS OF WOOL TO THE \$1 IN VALUE. POUNDS.	TOTAL CONSUMPTION. POUNDS.	PER CAPITA CONSUMPTION OF WOOL. POUNDS.
1840 .....	<sup>2</sup> 9,813,212	35,802,114	.....	45,615,326	31,095,276	76,710,602	4.49
1850 .....	18,695,294	52,516,969	35,898	71,176,365	58,178,613	129,354,978	5.58
1860 .....	26,125,891	60,264,913	1,055,928	85,334,876	128,497,923	213,832,799	6.80
1870 .....	38,634,067	162,000,000	152,892	200,481,175	105,289,422	305,770,597	7.93
1880 .....	99,372,440	232,500,000	191,551	331,680,889	95,503,641	427,184,530	8.52
1890 .....	109,902,105	295,779,479	231,042	405,450,542	162,496,269	567,946,811	9.07
1891 .....	119,390,280	309,474,856	291,922	428,573,214	129,706,230	558,279,444	.....
1892 .....	134,622,366	307,101,507	202,456	441,521,417	107,378,718	548,900,135	.....
1893 .....	175,636,042	333,018,405	91,858	508,562,589	110,963,712	619,526,301	.....
1894 .....	45,726,056	348,538,138	520,247	393,743,947	58,784,262	452,528,209	.....
1895 .....	<sup>3</sup> 206,133,906	325,210,712	4,279,109	527,065,509	109,627,188	636,692,697	.....
1896 .....	.....	294,296,726	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

<sup>1</sup> Quantities for 1840, 1850, and 1860 are imports less reexports.

<sup>2</sup> Year ending September 30th.

<sup>3</sup> Gross imports; imports for consumption not yet reported.

Other interesting figures bearing on this point, taken from the United States census, give us a comparative statement of domestic and imported wool manufactures, with per capita value and percentage of total consumption:

The financial panic which commenced July, 1893, reduced consumption of wool in the fiscal year 1894, and this in turn necessitated increased consumption in the fiscal year 1895. The imports of wool in the fiscal year 1893 were 172,433,833

DOMESTIC AND IMPORTED WOOL MANUFACTURES, 1820 TO 1890.

DOMESTIC MANUFACTURES. (CENSUS.)		VALUE PER CAPITA.	PER CENT. OF TOTAL CONSUMPTION.	NET IMPORTATIONS. (AVERAGE FOR TEN YEARS.)	VALUE PER CAPITA.	PER CENT. OF TOTAL CONSUMPTION.
YEAR.	VALUE.			VALUE.		
1820.....	\$4,413,068	\$0.46	39	\$6,859,702	\$0.71	61
1830.....	14,523,166	1.13	64	8,290,862	0.64	36
1840.....	20,696,999	1.21	60	13,950,772	0.82	40
1850.....	49,636,881	2.14	79	13,005,852	0.56	21
1860.....	80,734,606	2.57	72	31,333,273	1.00	28
1870.....	217,668,826	5.65	87	33,046,521	0.86	13
1880.....	267,252,913	5.33	87	39,537,694	0.79	13
1890.....	337,768,524	6.30	89	43,345,981	0.69	11

pounds, but in 1894 the imports fell to 55,152,588 pounds. In 1895 the imports, with the first two months under the tariff act of 1890, and the last ten months under the free-wool act of 1894, were 206,181,890, at an import value of \$25,556,421, besides rags, noils, and waste.

The total value of imports of wool manufactures for fiscal years specified, with the pounds of raw wool therein, were as follows:

YEAR.	VALUE OF WOOL IMPORTS.	POUNDS OF RAW WOOL IN MANUFACTURES.
1891 .....	\$41,060,080	123,180,240
1892 .....	35,565,879	106,697,637
1893 .....	38,048,515	114,145,545
1894 .....	19,439,372	58,318,116
1895 .....	36,542,396	109,627,188
Total .....	\$170,656,242	511,968,726

In the fiscal year 1894, the last under the tariff act of 1890, the imports of shoddy, rags, waste, mungo, flocks, and noils were only 143,002 pounds of the import value of \$47,522. For the fiscal year 1895, and almost wholly during the ten months of the tariff act of August, 1894, 14,066,054 pounds of similar adulterants, of the import value of \$1,980,464, were imported—an increase of over 1000 per cent. However, it is not within the province of this chapter to discuss the political aspects of wool tariff legislation, nor consider the economic questions growing out of sheep husbandry.

The condition in which wool is marketed depends considerably upon the section of country where it is grown. Wools produced west of the Mississippi River are generally sold unwashed; east thereof much of it is washed on the sheep's back. The average shrinkage in scouring of the fleece-wool of 1894 is estimated at 59.71 per cent.; of the pulled wool, 40 per cent.; the product of all in scoured pounds, 140,292,268. The average weight of fleece in the grease was 6.395 pounds; of the year's product as marketed, "washed and unwashed," 5.33 pounds.

In 1870 the wool product was 163,000,000 pounds, of which there were marketed, washed on sheep, tub-washed, and pulled, 130,000,000 pounds, and 33,000,000 unwashed, from California, Oregon, Nevada, Texas, New Mexico, Colorado, Utah, and sundry Southern States. At that time only twenty-six per cent. of the sheep, or 7,418,000, were west of the Mississippi River; but in 1893 there were west of that river 27,614,699 sheep, or fifty-six and

one half per cent. of all, leaving 19,658,854 east of it. With the development of the new States and Territories, with their cheap pasturage, the wool industry westward "took its way," and a comparatively small part of wool is now marketed unwashed.

Fleece-wool is marketed as (1) "unwashed," that is, as shorn from the sheep; (2) "washed," that is, washed in cold water on the sheep; and (3) "scoured," that is, cleaned ready for manufacture. "Pulled wool" is that pulled from pelts. "Tub-washed" includes fleeces broken and washed more or less by hand or machinery. "Unmerchantable" is wool partially washed on the sheep's back, but not sufficiently so to be classed as "washed." After the year 1870, in order to evade the full effect of the wool tariff of 1867, Australasian wool was imported "skirted"; that is, with the belly, head, and breech wool removed from each fleece, thereby adding to its value.

The wool product for the last ten years has been:

#### FLEECE AND PULLED WOOL IN THE GREASE.


YEAR.	POUNDS.	DECREASE.	INCREASE.
1886 .....	323,031,026	.....	.....
1887 .....	302,169,950	20,861,076	.....
1888 .....	301,876,121	293,829	.....
1889 .....	295,779,479	6,096,642	.....
1890 .....	309,474,856	.....	13,699,377
1891 .....	307,401,507	2,073,349	.....
1892 .....	333,018,405	.....	25,606,898
1893 .....	348,538,138	.....	15,519,733
1894 .....	325,210,712	23,327,426	.....
1895 .....	294,296,726	30,913,986	.....

#### SCOURED WOOL.

YEAR.	POUNDS.	DECREASE.	INCREASE.
1886 .....	149,365,625	.....	.....
1887 .....	140,556,685	8,808,940	.....
1888 .....	136,591,955	3,964,730	.....
1889 .....	134,795,350	1,796,605	.....
1890 .....	136,628,220	.....	4,832,870
1891 .....	139,326,703	301,517	.....
1892 .....	145,300,318	.....	5,973,615
1893 .....	151,103,776	.....	5,803,458
1894 .....	140,292,268	10,811,508	.....
1895 .....	125,718,690	14,573,578	.....

The clip this year is the smallest since that of 1889, which was again smaller than that of any preceding year since 1881. These figures may aid in illustrating results under the wool tariff acts of 1883, 1890, and 1894.

The following is the estimate of the National Association of Wool Manufacturers, for years specified, of the wool product of the United States:





WILLIAM LAWRENCE.



WOOL PRODUCT OF THE UNITED STATES CLASSIFIED, 1893 TO 1895.

STATES AND TERRITORIES.	1895.				1894.	1893.
	WOOL, WASHED AND UNWASHED, POUNDS.	AVERAGE WEIGHT OF FLEECE.	SCOURED WOOL POUNDS.	PER CENT. OF SHRINK-AGE.	WASHED AND UNWASHED.	WASHED AND UNWASHED.
Maine	1,657,116	6	944,556	43	1,889,040	2,392,224
New Hampshire	719,838	7	302,332	58	768,691	950,936
Vermont	1,632,462	7½	552,985	60	2,036,138	2,472,090
Massachusetts	253,038	6	139,171	45	303,708	318,192
Rhode Island	65,508	6	37,340	43	64,224	73,560
Connecticut	215,538	6	120,701	44	232,152	212,395
New York	6,250,392	6	3,000,188	52	8,432,413	9,328,300
New Jersey	245,455	5	127,437	48	274,900	306,230
Pennsylvania	5,899,867	5½	2,772,937	53	8,664,144	9,823,296
Delaware	70,801	5½	38,233	46	68,888	74,531
Maryland	661,165	5	343,806	48	699,595	681,777
Virginia	1,952,455	5	1,112,899	43	2,361,570	2,492,000
North Carolina	1,662,320	5	847,783	49	1,802,520	1,980,575
South Carolina	362,135	5	199,174	45	377,025	391,920
Georgia	1,494,126	4½	866,592	42	1,772,550	1,947,641
Florida	485,655	5	276,823	43	539,025	532,475
Alabama	1,255,280	4½	715,510	43	1,483,808	1,611,711
Mississippi	1,663,295	5	581,749	53	1,952,440	1,862,936
Louisiana	630,970	5	328,104	48	876,220	959,753
Texas	22,669,809	6½	6,800,943	70	23,529,155	30,341,857
Arkansas	1,198,806	6	479,522	60	1,290,408	1,441,956
Tennessee	2,033,150	4½	1,057,238	48	2,440,320	2,977,849
West Virginia	2,149,393	5½	1,139,178	47	4,030,290	4,627,887
Kentucky	5,272,312	5½	3,163,387	40	6,089,980	6,805,359
Ohio	18,534,610	5½	8,896,613	52	20,090,031	21,893,625
Michigan	12,140,524	6½	5,341,831	56	15,194,316	16,370,536
Indiana	4,701,210	6	2,585,666	45	5,589,042	6,482,298
Illinois	5,271,968	6½	2,635,984	50	6,465,914	7,717,638
Wisconsin	5,202,552	6	2,601,276	50	6,199,908	7,189,050
Minnesota	2,841,228	6	1,136,491	60	3,015,480	2,999,646
Iowa	4,219,691	7	1,603,483	62	5,247,480	5,537,301
Missouri	4,906,674	6	2,453,337	50	5,831,550	6,599,688
Kansas	2,296,785	8½	757,939	67	2,535,472	3,117,016
Nebraska	1,475,103	8½	542,531	70	2,421,522	2,452,518
California	23,153,956	7	8,566,964	63	26,275,158	26,808,444
Oregon	19,610,688	8	6,471,527	67	19,853,552	19,648,616
Nevada	4,352,616	8	1,349,311	69	4,047,936	4,441,448
Colorado	8,233,609	6¾	2,881,763	65	8,861,328	9,236,130
Arizona	6,678,603	9	1,803,223	73	6,221,214	5,227,911
North Dakota	2,097,282	6	817,940	61	2,243,825	2,440,000
South Dakota	1,869,078	6	757,631	60	1,916,628	1,994,000
Idaho	6,747,210	7½	2,026,579	67	5,788,140	6,114,096
Montana	19,031,866	7	6,661,153	65	17,642,079	17,696,686
New Mexico	13,948,907	4¾	6,277,008	55	13,389,994	12,285,369
Utah	11,391,114	6	4,100,801	64	11,756,043	14,823,039
Washington	5,158,125	7	1,650,600	68	5,655,531	5,766,775
Wyoming	9,747,390	8½	3,119,136	68	9,861,811	10,187,820
Oklahoma	155,141	7	51,197	67	127,554	.....
Total	254,296,726	6.3¾	101,718,690	60	278,210,712	301,538,138
Pulled Wool	40,000,000	..	24,000,000	40	47,000,000	47,000,000
Total Product	294,296,726	..	125,718,690	..	325,210,712	348,538,138

The average weight of fleeces is 6.375 pounds.

The London "Meat Trades' Journal" shows that of the world's sheep nearly one half are of merino blood—so-called "fine wools." A change has recently set in in favor of the long-wool or mutton breeds. The 16,000,000 sheep which Australia has added to her flocks during the last five years have been chiefly "fine wools." Of the total 122,000,000 sheep in Australasia, 110,000,000 are merinos. In South America the increase of the merino has been phenomenal during recent years. Of the sheep in

the Argentine Republic not fewer than 45,000,000 are merinos; of the 28,500,000 sheep in Mexico, Chili, Peru, and Brazil, 16,000,000 are merinos; of the sheep in the United States more than three fourths are merinos. In Europe there are said to be nearly 65,000,000 merinos. Spain has more than 12,000,000 merinos; and France, Germany, and Russia each have almost as many fine-wooled sheep as Spain; while the merino either predominates or is bred extensively throughout every other European country outside of the British Isles. Asia and

Africa, with 78,000,000 sheep, have at least 15,000,000 merinos. In addition to these there are various other breeds which have one or more crosses of the merino in them. The British Isles and Canada grow the mutton breeds almost exclusively. The merino is the oldest of all the breeds now known. Its origin is completely lost in the night of antiquity. After the merino the Cotswold can be traced farthest into the realms of the past. With the exception of the Southdown, all our dark-faced mutton breeds are of recent origin. The following estimate of the different kinds of sheep in the United States in 1894 will be found substantially reliable: Pure merinos, 5,000,000; registered merinos, 1,000,000; other merino grades, 17,000,000; cross-breeds (got by merino ewes and rams of English blood), 15,000,000; pure-bred English blood, 2,500,000; registered of English blood, 500,000; natives and inferior grades, 3,000,000; scrubs, 1,000,000.

The classes of sheep in different countries are somewhat variously reported. The census report of 1890 on "Agriculture," differing a little from statistics in the Department of Agriculture, gives the number of sheep in this country as follows:

Sheep on farms . . . . .	35,935,364
Of these merino "fine wool" (one-half to full blood) . . . . .	16,725,415
English breeds, long or medium wool (one-half to full blood) . . . . .	7,435,471
All others . . . . .	11,774,478
<b>Total of these . . . . .</b>	<b>35,935,364</b>
Sheep on ranges, breeds not designated, but to a large extent merino . . . . .	6,828,182
<b>Total of all . . . . .</b>	<b>42,763,546</b>

The magnitude of the sheep and wool interests of this country can be best presented through the medium of figures. The wool industry was estimated in 1893 as representing capital, product, labor employed, and wages paid as follows:

Capital in sheep . . . . .	\$120,000,000
Capital in farms and barns for sheep . . . . .	\$400,000,000
Number of flocks and flock-masters . . . . .	1,000,000
Number of men employed a portion of the year . . . . .	100,000
Wool produced, pounds . . . . .	329,410,542
Value . . . . .	\$80,000,000
Number of sheep . . . . .	45,000,000
Value of sheep sold for pelt and food . . . . .	\$35,000,000
Amount paid in wages . . . . .	\$25,000,000
Value of services of flock-masters . . . . .	\$50,000,000
Cost of washing and shearing sheep . . . . .	\$5,000,000
Total amount paid for labor . . . . .	\$80,000,000

Here is an aggregate capital invested of \$520,000,000, giving partial employment to more than 1,000,000 people, with wages and value of services \$80,000,000, and with a total product of \$115,000,000 annually. This is an underestimate of the value

of sheep, based too much on assessors' returns for taxation.

These figures give a correct view of sheep husbandry now, except as the number and value of sheep and the product and price of wool have declined since 1892, and especially since the tariff act of 1894.

The imports of sheep in the fiscal year 1895 were, for breeding purposes, 1942, of the value of \$30,885; for mutton, 288,519, of the value of \$651,733.

Mulhall's "Dictionary of Statistics" (London, 1892) gives the annual production, in tons, of mutton as follows:

PERIOD.	UNITED KINGDOM.	CONTINENT.	UNITED STATES.	COLONIES, ETC.	TOTAL.
1831-40 . . . . .	480,000	1,320,000	170,000	80,000	2,050,000
1851-60 . . . . .	430,000	1,390,000	220,000	163,000	2,203,000
1874-84 . . . . .	390,000	1,420,000	310,000	350,000	2,470,000
1887 . . . . .	365,000	1,480,000	390,000	474,000	2,709,000

The wool tariff acts of 1867 and 1883 placed wools in three classes: First, "clothing," including the various types of merino and "Down clothing"; second, "combing," the wools of the "mutton breeds," including Leicester, Cotswold, Lincolnshire, Down combing, Shropshire, Canada long wool, and similar types; third, "carpet," including Donskoi, native South American, Cordova, Valparaiso, native Smyrna, China, Scotch black-faced, and similar wools. To this third class belongs our American "common wool"—that from the so-called native Mexican sheep. These classes or designations are preserved in the London wool sales, the advertisements frequently specifying twenty-four varieties of clothing, thirty-two of combing, and seventy-seven of carpet.

Under the tariff act of 1890 the Secretary of the Treasury collected 234 samples of foreign wools and other animal fibers used in manufactures, each differing more or less from all others in quality or condition. This act omitted the designations "clothing," "combing," and "carpet," and substituted instead "class one," "class two," and "class three," because by improvements in machinery much of the merino is now combed in manufacturing, and many of the so-called carpet wools are used in the manufacture of clothing goods. The wools of Montana, New Mexico, Utah, Oregon, Nevada, Colorado, Arizona, North and South Dakota, Idaho, Washington, and Wyoming are frequently designated in market reports as "Territory" wools.

Certain terms are used to denote various kinds of wool, so that it has a language of its own. Thus "X and above" means wool of full merino blood; the designations "X," "XX," "XXX," respectively, indicate variations in quality produced by breeding, care, or local influences. "No. 1" means three-fourths blood merino; "No. 2," half-blood merino; "No. 3 and coarse," one-fourth to one-half blood. These include wools of merino blood with crosses of other bloods, such as English and native Mexican. "Medium" includes wools of mixed blood, neither finest nor coarsest in staple.

The merino wools grown in the United States are the best in the world—especially those grown east of the Mississippi River. Thus in Switzler's "Wool Report" of 1888 it is said: "In 1851, at the World's Exhibition in London, four prize medals were awarded to American sheep; and at the International Exhibition of 1863 at Hamburg, where all the finest flocks of Europe were represented, two first-class prizes were awarded to merino sheep from Vermont."

It has been conclusively shown that under proper conditions this country can produce all wools of every kind needed for consumption therein. This would require an increase to about 110,000,000 sheep for existing conditions, with a prospective increase which would more than double the present capital and the wool and mutton product. Among the benefits accruing through such increase would be the achievement of national independence in peace and war for wool supplies; the enlargement of taxable wealth, resources, and power; an increased demand for labor, pasturage, hay, and grain, and thus profits to farmers; the means of preserving the fertility of lands; the utilization of mountain and other regions now waste; the retention of gold otherwise exported to buy foreign wools; and other considerations, all elsewhere amplified. (See U. S. Senate Mis. Docs. Nos. 35, 77, and 124, 53d Congress, 2d Session.)

The annual mutton supply would, with an adequate number of sheep, reach 20,000,000, of a farm value of \$80,000,000. A great benefit that would result directly, also, from this advancement in sheep husbandry would be the supply of healthful meat-food it would furnish. The statistics of Denmark and Germany show that in the four years from 1890 to 1893, inclusive, there were slaughtered at Copenhagen 132,294 cattle, of which 33,305 showed evidence of tuberculosis; in 185,755 calves, 339 were more or less tuberculous; in 8292 swine slaughtered 1272 were tuberculous; while in 337,014 sheep

slaughtered there was but *one* in which tuberculosis was found. The figures at Berlin for one year, covering parts of 1892 and 1893, show that in 142,874 cattle slaughtered 21,603 showed signs of tuberculosis; in 108,348 calves 125 had tuberculosis; in 518,063 swine 7055 were tuberculous; in 355,949 sheep slaughtered there were but 15 in which there was any sign of tuberculosis.

No less than twenty-five acts of Congress have prescribed, modified, or regulated tariff duties on wool, commencing with the Calhoun act of April 27, 1816, and ending with that of October 1, 1890, repealed by the act of August 28, 1894, which, after a period of seventy-eight years of wool duties, placed wool on the free list. The four acts of March 2, 1867, March 3, 1883, October 1, 1890, and August 28, 1894, mark eras in sheep husbandry. The act of 1867 imposed the heaviest duties. Under it the sheep and wool product was as follows:

YEAR.	NUMBER OF SHEEP.	POUNDS WOOL PRODUCT.
1870 .....	28,477,591	100,102,387
1884 ... ..	50,626,626	337,500,000

The act of 1883 reduced duties somewhat. Under it the sheep and wool product was as follows:

YEAR.	NUMBER OF SHEEP.	POUNDS WOOL PRODUCT.
1884 .....	50,626,626	337,500,000
1890 .....	44,336,072	309,474,856

The act of 1890 increased wool duties. Under it sheep and wool were:

YEAR.	NUMBER OF SHEEP.	POUNDS WOOL PRODUCT.
1890 .....	44,336,072	309,474,856
1893 .....	47,273,553	348,538,138

The act of 1894 placed wool on the free list. Under it the following statistics appear:

YEAR.	SHEEP IN U. S.	WOOL PRODUCT. POUNDS.	VALUE OF SHEEP.
1893, January .....	47,273,553	348,538,138	\$125,909,264
1895, January .....	42,294,064	294,296,726	66,824,621
Decline .....	4,979,489	54,241,412	\$59,084,643

These are statistical facts, without a consideration of the effect of legislation, or other causes, if any, operating to produce them.

The wool tariff of 1867 was the outgrowth of a meeting of wool growers and wool manufacturers of

The "Wool Book" of 1895, by S. N. D. North, secretary of the National Association of Wool Manufacturers, gives the number, average price, and value of sheep on farms in the United States, 1810-95, as follows:

STATISTICS OF AMERICAN SHEEP, VALUE AND WOOL PRODUCT, 1810 TO 1895 INCLUSIVE.

FROM THE ANNUAL REPORTS OF THE COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE.				POUNDS OF WOOL GROWN.	
DATE OF REPORT.	NUMBER.	AVERAGE PRICE.	VALUE.	DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.	1867 TO 1885 ESTIMATED BY JAMES LYNCH, NEW YORK; 1886 TO 1891, BY J. P. TRUITT, PHILADELPHIA.
				POUNDS.	POUNDS.
1810.....	10,000,000	....	.....	13,000,000	.....
1820.....	.....	.....	.....	14,100,000	.....
1830.....	.....	.....	.....	17,829,000	.....
1840.....	19,311,000	.....	.....	35,802,114	.....
1850.....	21,723,000	.....	.....	52,516,969	.....
1860.....	22,471,275	.....	.....	60,264,913	.....
1867 <sup>1</sup> .....	39,385,386	\$3.37	\$132,774,660	160,000,000	160,000,000
1868.....	38,991,912	2.52	98,407,809	168,000,000	177,000,000
1869.....	37,724,279	2.17	82,139,979	180,000,000	162,250,000
1870.....	40,853,000	2.28	93,364,433	162,000,000	163,000,000
1871.....	31,851,000	2.32	74,035,837	160,000,000	146,000,000
1872.....	31,679,300	2.80	88,771,197	150,000,000	160,000,000
1873.....	33,002,400	2.96	97,922,350	158,000,000	174,700,000
1874.....	33,938,200	2.61	88,690,569	170,000,000	178,000,000
1875.....	33,783,600	2.79	94,320,652	181,000,000	193,000,000
1876.....	35,935,300	2.60	93,666,318	192,000,000	198,250,000
1877.....	35,804,200	2.27	80,892,683	200,000,000	208,250,000
1878.....	35,740,500	2.25	80,603,062	208,250,000	211,000,000
1879.....	38,123,800	2.07	79,023,984	211,000,000	232,500,000
1880.....	40,765,900	2.21	90,230,537	232,500,000	264,000,000
1881.....	43,576,899	2.39	104,070,759	240,000,000	290,000,000
1882.....	45,016,224	2.37	106,596,954	272,000,000	300,000,000
1883.....	49,237,291	2.53	124,365,835	290,000,000	320,400,000
1884.....	50,626,626	2.37	119,902,706	300,000,000	337,500,000
1885.....	50,360,243	2.14	107,960,650	308,000,000	329,600,000
1886.....	48,322,331	1.91	92,443,867	302,000,000	323,031,026
1887.....	44,759,314	2.01	89,872,839	285,000,000	302,169,950
1888.....	43,544,755	2.05	89,279,926	269,000,000	301,876,121
1889.....	42,599,079	2.13	90,640,369	265,000,000	295,779,479
1890.....	44,336,972	2.27	100,659,761	276,000,000	309,474,856
1891.....	43,431,136	2.51	108,397,447	285,000,000	307,101,507
1892.....	44,938,365	2.58	116,121,270	294,000,000	333,018,405
1893 <sup>2</sup> .....	47,273,553	2.66	125,909,264	303,151,055	348,538,138
1894.....	45,048,017	1.98	89,186,110	287,105,930	325,210,712
1895 <sup>3</sup> .....	42,294,064	1.58	66,824,621	294,296,726	.....

<sup>1</sup> The figures previous to 1867 are from the United States Census Reports.  
<sup>2</sup> See U. S. Senate Mis. Doc. No. 77, 53d Congress, 2d Session, chart, p. 54; Senate Mis. Doc. No. 35, 53d Congress, 2d Session, p. 81.  
<sup>3</sup> Estimate of National Association of Wool Manufacturers.

sundry States at Syracuse, N. Y., December 1, 1865, at which a committee representing these two interests was appointed, which drafted a bill, subsequently passed by Congress with modifications, especially reducing the proposed rates on so-called carpet wools. ("Special Rep. Dept. Agriculture on Sheep Industry," 1892.) It is a part of the history of this act that President Johnson had decided to veto the bill, but was finally prevailed on by Hon. Henry Stanberry, his attorney-general, to approve it. The wool tariff of 1883 was the result of the report of the Tariff Commission under the act of Congress of May 15, 1882.

But it has been shown that the foregoing is not accurate as to number of sheep prior to 1871. According to the census statistics the sheep were as follows:

YEAR.	NUMBER OF SHEEP.
1840.....	19,311,374
1850.....	21,723,220
1860.....	22,471,275
1870.....	28,477,951
1880.....	35,192,074

Statistics prior to 1810 are not obtainable.

The free-wool provision in the tariff act of August 28, 1894, was first inaugurated in the annual report of the Secretary of the Treasury, December 6, 1886,

indorsed by President Cleveland's message to Congress, December 6, 1887, repudiated by the people in the presidential election of November, 1888, but by a change in political parties finally carried into effect and made law in the act of 1894.

The ravages of dogs, wolves, coyotes, and foxes have been a serious obstacle in the way of rearing sheep. In many States legislation has attempted to remedy this by placing bounties on scalps of wolves, coyotes, and foxes, by making the owners of dogs liable for sheep killed, and by taxes on dogs, creating a fund from which to pay for sheep killed. In 1894 the loss of sheep in the United States from all causes was estimated at five and one half per cent. For some years prior to 1892, the loss by dogs in Alabama was estimated at twenty per cent. annually of the sheep. Legislation against dogs has encountered much opposition in many of the States, especially the Southern. Shepherd dogs of five different kinds have been successfully used in herding sheep in many of the States.

Sheep husbandry has diffused its wealth in every State and Territory. Apart from the wool, mutton, pelts, and fertilizer directly produced, it affords an economy of natural resources in the utilization of lands and vegetation otherwise waste. Concerning the single article of wool an eminent authority says: "The value now of the world's wool clip is easily \$250,000,000 in first hands; any status which seriously and permanently influences that value cannot safely be ignored." The value of the clip in the United States can be ascertained with comparative accuracy by computation of pounds produced in specific years, with prices. The number of sheep and amounts of wool produced in the United States from 1810 to 1895, inclusive, have been heretofore stated.

There is a difference between farm value and the usually quoted prices at Boston and other Eastern cities, where most of the wool is manufactured and finds its ultimate market. The difference between farm value and the Eastern prices is affected by cost of shipment to market, and other considerations. A reliable authority says of wool freights: "From London [to Boston] freight rates are one third of a cent per pound. From the Western plains it costs from two and one half to three cents a pound to bring wool to Boston; and this difference is practically so much against the Western sheep growers as against the prevailing prices in the London market."

Freights from Melbourne to Boston cost no more than to London. The freight to Eastern markets, local wool buyers' profits, commissions of wool

brokers, etc., from Ohio, reach three cents per pound, and from the Rocky Mountain region still more. (Senate Mis. Doc. No. 35, 53d Congress, 2d Session, pp. 66, 249, 250, 253, 271, 273, 329, 379, 380; see Senate Mis. Doc. No. 77, *passim*.)

Wool purchased in large lots at the London wool sales costs but little for commission. In addition to freight charges the wool growers of the United States lose the profits of local wool buyers; sometimes, too heavy discounts, for difference between wool in the grease and scoured; the commission of Eastern wool brokers, insurance, and other expenses. The London price fixes that for the whole world, and forms the basis on which purchases are made, except as values may be enhanced by wool duties. The prices of wool in London and Boston from 1824 to 1895 are given in official documents. (See Special Rep. Dept. Agriculture Sheep Industry, 1892, pp. 569-574; U. S. Senate Mis. Docs. Nos. 35, 77, and 124, 53d Congress, 2d Session; Bulletin National Association Wool Manufacturers, June, 1895; House Mis. Doc. No. 94, 52d Congress, 2d Session, being Treas. Dept. Rep. Chief Bureau Statistics, 1894.)

On the basis mentioned the Boston and the farm values of the wool clip of the United States have been estimated by an eminent authority—Theodore Justice—for specified years as follows:

YEAR.	POUNDS WOOL.	FARM AND RANCH VALUE.	BOSTON VALUE.
1880 . . .	264,000,000	\$80,000,000	\$90,000,000 under tariff.
1890 . . .	309,474,856	73,000,000	84,000,000 " "
1895 . . .	294,296,726	28,000,000	37,000,000 free wool.

For a decade prior to 1893 the average annual farm value may be estimated at \$70,000,000; farm value of mutton sheep at \$35,000,000; value of pelts, chiefly in hands of butchers, at \$7,000,000; the fertilizers, farm value, at \$4,000,000; or a total of all, \$116,000,000. Theodore Justice, in a letter of September 19, 1895, estimates that the wool values above given for 1880 and 1890 are probably too small by \$8,000,000. Mr. Justice adds: "Our estimate of the scoured value of wool in 1880 would be not less than seventy-five cents per pound nor over eighty cents per scoured pound. Our estimate for the scoured value in 1890 would be not less than sixty cents nor over sixty-five cents, and we are quite confident that for 1895 thirty-five cents scoured is nearly correct." This is Philadelphia value.

The total product exceeds the average annual value of that of all the gold and silver mines of the

country during the same decade, which was \$919,964,000, or an annual average of \$91,996,400. In the calendar year 1893 our gold-mines produced 1,739,323 fine ounces, of the value, in round numbers, of \$35,955,000. The silver product of 1893 was, in round numbers, 60,000,000 fine ounces, of the commercial value of \$46,800,000, and of the coinage value of \$77,575,757. And as the domestic production of wool is now less than half the needs of the American people, the product of our flocks can, under proper conditions, be more than doubled.

The price of wool has been gradually declining in all the markets of the world since 1860, because of (1) the vast increase of sheep; (2) the increase of wool in fleeces; (3) the extension of wool growing into Australasia, South Africa, and Argentine, where pasturage costs but little and winter feeding is rarely required; (4) the extension of wool growing in the new States and Territories, with much of the grazing free on public lands; (5) since 1873 the demonetization of silver in most of the states of

Europe, depressing prices generally (see Vol. U. S. Coinage Laws, 1894, 4th Ed. Government Print); and finally (6), in the aggregate, over-production—the world's supply exceeding the world's demand. Hence in the "Annual London Report" on wool for 1894 of Helmuth, Schwartze & Company it is said:

"The value of wool, though starting from about as low a level as had ever been known, has yet in the course of 1894 suffered a fresh fall of ten to twelve per cent.; and a bale of colonial wool, which during the preceding decade was worth £14 on the average, and in former times (1871) £21, was last year barely worth £11½, and on the basis of the closing sales of the year only £10⅓. The process of depreciation has during the past five years been continuous, and, though more prominent in merino than in coarse descriptions, has not affected one class of wool to the exclusion of another, but has extended to all." (See Bulletin National Association Wool Manufacturers, June, 1895, p. 116.)

This is shown by the following statistics:

IMPORTATION OF COLONIAL WOOL  
INTO EUROPE AND AMERICA FROM 1860 TO 1894, WITH APPROXIMATE AVERAGE VALUE PER BALE.

IMPORTS PER SEASON.

YEAR.	AUSTRALASIAN BALES.	CAPE BALES.	TOTAL COLONIAL BALES.	AVERAGE VALUE PER BALE.	TOTAL VALUE.	
1860	187,000	79,000	266,000	£25¾	£6,850,000	} £7,000,000 Period.
1861	212,000	84,000	296,000	23¾	6,882,000	
1862	227,000	82,000	309,000	22¾	7,030,000	
1863	242,000	94,000	336,000	22¾	7,644,000	} £11,000,000 Period.
1864	302,000	113,000	415,000	24¾	10,271,000	
1865	334,000	109,000	443,000	23¾	10,521,000	
1866	351,000	128,000	479,000	24½	11,735,000	} £11,000,000 Period.
1867	414,000	135,000	549,000	20¾	11,392,000	
1868	483,000	156,000	639,000	18½	11,822,000	
1869	504,000	153,000	657,000	15¾	10,348,000	} Year of Transition.
1870	546,000	152,000	698,000	16¾	11,691,000	
1871	573,000	186,000	759,000	20½	15,560,000	
1872	554,000	189,000	743,000	26½	19,690,000	} £20,000,000 Period.
1873	571,000	176,000	747,000	24¾	18,115,000	
1874	659,000	170,000	829,000	23¾	19,274,000	
1875	720,000	197,000	917,000	22¾	20,403,000	} £20,000,000 Period.
1876	769,000	167,000	936,000	18¾	17,550,000	
1877	835,000	186,000	1,021,000	18¾	19,144,000	
1878	801,000	169,000	970,000	18¾	18,187,000	} £20,000,000 Period.
1879	826,000	189,000	1,015,000	16½	16,748,000	
1880	869,000	219,000	1,088,000	20¼	22,032,000	
1881	957,000	204,000	1,161,000	17¼	20,027,000	} £26,000,000 Period.
1882	993,000	197,000	1,190,000	17½	20,825,000	
1883	1,054,000	199,000	1,253,000	16¾	20,988,000	
1884	1,112,000	191,000	1,303,000	16	20,848,000	} £26,000,000 Period.
1885	1,094,000	188,000	1,282,000	14	17,948,000	
1886	1,196,000	236,000	1,432,000	13½	19,332,000	
1887	1,207,000	237,000	1,444,000	14	20,216,000	} £26,000,000 Period.
1888	1,315,000	289,000	1,604,000	13½	21,654,000	
1889	1,385,000	310,000	1,695,000	15½	26,272,000	
1890	1,411,000	288,000	1,699,000	14¾	25,060,000	} £26,000,000 Period.
1891	1,683,000	322,000	2,005,000	13½	27,067,000	
1892	1,835,000	291,000	2,126,000	12	25,512,000	
1893	1,775,000	299,000	2,074,000	12½	25,925,000	} £26,000,000 Period.
1894	1,896,000	256,000	2,152,000	11½	24,748,000	

The average weight of the Cape and Natal bales is about 315 pounds, and the average weight of an Australian is about 365 pounds. But this is an aggregation of greasy and scoured wools and of wools from colonies, which vary in the weight of wool bales. The bales given above, therefore, mean the actual number received in the London market, without reference to their weight. It is impossible, therefore, to compute from the bales any average value per pound. Still the value of bales from 1860 to 1894 sufficiently shows the decline in prices.

But notwithstanding this decline in price, sheep husbandry was fairly remunerative and prosperous under the operation of the wool tariffs of 1867 and 1890, under conditions then existing. The cost of producing wools in the several States and in foreign countries has been elsewhere fully shown. (U. S. Senate Mis. Doc. No. 35, 53d Congress, 2d Session, pp. 83, 293; Bulletin National Association Wool Manufacturers, June, 1895, p. 117; Senate Mis. Docs. Nos. 77 and 124, 2d Session, 53d Congress.) A contrast of the cost of production and the American farm values, or rather prices of wools based on London sales, will form a basis for judging of the reasons for the decline in numbers and value of sheep and wool in the United States, and the necessity for legislation in aid of the wool industry.

The South Carolina Agricultural Society, the pioneer of its character in the United States, was the first to offer a premium for the introduction of merino sheep, in 1785. In 1796 the Massachusetts Society for Promoting Agriculture urged the importance of improving the breeds of sheep. The Pennsylvania Society for Improving the Breeds of Cattle organized at Philadelphia in 1809, and offered

<sup>1</sup> The reader will find valuable matter on the subject of this chapter in the documents therein referred to and in the following: North's "Wool Book," Boston, 1895; Bennett's "American Shepherd's Year Book," 1895; Tariff Hearings before Committee of Ways and Means, 51st Congress, 1st Session, 1889-90, p. 216; U. S. Senate Finance Committee, Rep. 2332, 50th Congress, 1st Session, 1888, Part 3, p. 1984; U. S. Senate, Ex. Doc. No. 3, 53d Congress, Special Ses-

premiums for sheep. In November, 1809, a society was organized at Georgetown, D. C., for the purpose of encouraging home manufactures and the rearing of domestic animals, including sheep.


The Ohio Wool Growers' Association was organized in 1863. The National Wool Growers' Association was organized at Syracuse, N. Y., December, 1865, with the Hon. Henry S. Randall, LL.D., of Cortlandt, in that State, president; William T. Greer, of Ohio, secretary; and Henry Clarke, of Vermont, treasurer. Its first work was at its organization, on conference with representatives of the wool manufacturing industry, to formulate a wool and woolen goods tariff bill to be presented to Congress, and which resulted in the act of March 2, 1867.

The subsequent presidents of the association were Hon. A. M. Garland, of Illinois, a member of the Tariff Commission of 1882; Hon. Columbus Delano, of Ohio; and since October 5, 1893, William Lawrence, of Ohio, with Hon. John T. Rich, of Elba, Mich., and governor of that State, vice-president; William G. Markham, of Avon, N. Y., treasurer; and a board of directors. (Senate Mis. Doc. No. 35, 53d Congress, 2d Session, p. 324.) The association has rendered effective service in aid of sheep husbandry, and has been fully heard on all legislation affecting it.

Among all the American industries none is more important or more useful than sheep husbandry. It feeds the hungry, clothes the naked, gives health, vigor, and happiness to mankind, adds to industrial and national wealth, independence, and power. It has never been allied with any evil; it never united with any conspiracy against personal or public right. Its purpose and effect have been to elevate and bless mankind.

sion, March, 1893; Senate Ex. Doc. No. 1, 53d Congress, 1st Session, March, 1893; Senate Mis. Doc. No. 149, 53d Congress, 1st Session, p. 42; "The American Wool Interest" (Lawrence), New York, 1892; Switzler's Special Rep. on Wool, U. S. Treasury Dept., 1887; Tariff Hearings before the Committee of Ways and Means, 53d Congress, 1st Session, 1893, p. 929; Rep. or Tariff Commission, 1882.

*William Lawrence.*



## CHAPTER XXXVI

# AMERICAN HORTICULTURE

THE pursuit of horticulture, that department of the science of agriculture which relates to the cultivation of gardens, including the growing of vegetables, fruits, and flowers, is the most ancient and honorable of callings. It was Bacon, I think, who remarked that "God Almighty first planted a garden," and he further emphasizes his respect for the gentle art of gardening by saying "a man shall ever see, that, when ages grow to civility and elegancy, men come to build stately sooner than to garden finely; as if gardening were the greater perfection." Dr. Johnson treated the subject humorously when he remarked to one of his friends: "If possible, have a good orchard. I know a clergyman of small income who brought up a family very reputably which he chiefly fed on apple dumplings."

In looking over the field of our own literature of horticulture for the past one hundred years, we encounter, with few exceptions, nothing very coherent or comprehensive until we open Downing's "Treatise on the Theory and Practice of Landscape Gardening adapted to North America" (1841), together with his "Rural Essays." From that time an occasional American milestone in horticultural literature is passed and rapidly noted until we come to Peter Henderson's first published work. In 1858 Frederick Law Olmsted and Calvert Vaux issued a "Description of a Plan for the Improvement of Central Park." In 1859 came Copeland's "Country Life," and Charles Follen's "Suggestions on Landscape Gardening." "The Art of Beautifying Home Grounds of Small Extent," by F. T. Scott, appeared in 1870. H. W. S. Cleveland's "Landscape Architecture as applied to the Wants of the West" was published in 1873, as was William Hammond Hall's "The Influence of Parks and Pleasure Grounds." In 1881 Mr. Olmsted published "A Consideration of the Justifying Value of a Public Park." In 1889

"The Garden's Story," by George H. Ellwanger, was told, and the "Report of the Metropolitan Park Commission of Boston" appeared in 1893.

In addition to these landmarks of the science of horticulture, its progress has been marked by the appearance of other useful books from time to time. One of these was "Elliott's Fruit Book, or the American Fruit Grower's Guide in Orchard and Garden," published in New York in 1857. Notwithstanding the publication of so much valuable matter upon the subject by such writers as Coxe, Lindley, Downing, and Thomas, Elliott's work was welcomed as a useful addition to the literature of the art. This branch of horticulture is a subject so boundless in a country of such extent and capacity of soil and climate as ours that it can only be lightly touched on here. It will doubtless surprise the casual reader to learn that in this little book, published nearly forty years ago, upwards of 1050 varieties of apples alone are enumerated and described as having been the object of experiments.

The student of American horticulture, in delving into this branch of the subject, will have his task lightened by keeping in mind a few of the pioneers who have helped in a large measure, by their labors and investigations, to bring the time-honored pursuit to its present state of importance. In Massachusetts they were M. P. Wilder, C. M. Hovey, Boston; Samuel Walker, Roxbury; B. V. French, Braintree; Robert Manning, J. M. Ives, Salem. In New York, Peter Henderson; Charles Downing, Newburgh; S. B. Parsons, Flushing; P. Barry, George Ellwanger, Rochester; John J. Thomas, Macedon; David Thomas, Aurora. In Pennsylvania, W. D. Brinckle, Philadelphia; Thomas Meehan, Germantown. In New Jersey, Thomas Hancock, Burlington. In Ohio, George Hoadley, J. P. Kirtland, Cleveland; A. H. Ernst, J. A. Warder, Cincinnati; M. B. Bateham, Columbus. In Michigan, Daniel Cook, Jack-



son. In Indiana, John C. Teas, Raysville. In Wisconsin, F. K. Phoenix, Racine.

It is somewhat remarkable that in a pursuit like horticulture, so largely regarded as a luxurious one, and in a country so young as ours, we should find as far back as 1728 an account of the establishment of a botanic garden in Philadelphia by John Bartram. We of New York were later in the field, although as early as 1750 places were advertised for sale on Long Island, in which, among the inducements offered to purchasers, it was stated that they had "flower gardens attached." In 1756 others were offered as having "greenhouses filled with tropical plants."

To show beyond question that at that early period there was some general taste in regard to the cultivation of flowers, we find that in 1751, at White-stone, L. I., a pottery was under way which advertised that "any persons desirous may be supplied with urns and flower-pots to adorn their gardens."

In 1767 William Prince, of Flushing, N. Y., offered for sale a large variety of fruit trees, "so packed that they can safely be sent to Europe." He was an enthusiast in all departments of horticulture, and at the opening of the present century had added to his nursery a greenhouse department which contained a very full collection of plants for that time.

American horticulture must always remain greatly indebted to Mr. Prince, who was the pioneer nurseryman in the New World, and laid the foundations of the business here.

In 1801 Dr. David Hosack originated the Elgin Botanic Garden in New York. Its curator in its earlier years was a Mr. Dennison, who began business as a florist in 1814 at a point near where the Fifth Avenue Hotel now stands. Mr. William Wilson was the author of a book on "Kitchen Gardening," and was, with Dr. Hosack, one of the originators in 1818 of the first Horticultural Society in New York. Another prominent horticulturist of that day was Mr. Thomas Bridgman, who was the author of "The Young Gardener's Assistant," to which hundreds of European gardeners, coming here unacquainted with the American climate and plants, were much indebted. To enumerate the various magazines and periodicals devoted to horticulture from an early period of the century up to this time would be of no special interest, although most of them have done yeoman service in diffusing horticultural knowledge all over the land. But I must pay a passing tribute to such pioneers in the art as Charles M. Hovey of Boston and Robert Buist, Sr., of Philadelphia, both of whom in their day were ac-

knowledged high priests of American horticulture. Later on, towards the middle of the century, came such kindred spirits as Patrick Barry, Peter B. Mead, A. S. Fuller, E. P. Roe, and many others of less prominence.

No review of horticulture would be complete without a reference to its real culmination in landscape-gardening, and the history of that branch of the art in America is most interesting. The first and unquestionably the greatest American landscape-gardener was A. J. Downing. His book on the subject, published in 1841, sprang Minerva-like into the arena, and it remains to this day without a superior, or even a competitor, worthy of the name. A true genius in his calling, it remains a great pity that he did not live long enough to complete his labors. In addition to this work on landscape-gardening, he had in course of preparation a book on the fruits and fruit trees of America, which was left unfinished, but which was completed by his brother Charles. The influence of A. J. Downing on American ornamental horticulture cannot be overestimated; in fact, it might not be too much to say that he created it. He had a worthy pupil in Frederick Law Olmsted. It was the latter who took charge of the improvements in Central Park, and practically created that grand pleasure-ground upon what had been a barren waste of rock and swamp. Only this summer, the city of New York set apart, in Bronx Park, a large area of land for the establishment of a Botanic Garden, with an appropriation of \$500,000 which has been increased by public-spirited citizens to \$750,000.

Another potent factor in developing ornamental horticulture has been and is still an institution which is peculiarly and distinctively American—the rural cemetery. To Jacob Bigelow of Boston is due the original conception of this idea. He agitated the question in 1825, and soon the Massachusetts Horticultural Society lent its aid to the movement, the result being the formation of the Mount Auburn Cemetery Association at Cambridge, Mass. This was the forerunner of Greenwood, Woodlawn, Forest Hills and the numerous park-like cemeteries which now dot the country from the Atlantic to the Pacific, where nature, softened and subdued by man's cunning touch, lends beauty and repose to what would otherwise be only a place of harrowing memories. Every cemetery has its cluster of florists, who derive profit from the sale of plants, with which loving hands make beautiful the last resting place of those dear to them.

By 1840 commercial horticulture had come to be liberally patronized, and nurseries, greenhouses, and

market-gardens had been established in Long Island, New Jersey, and New York Island, so that the markets were fairly supplied with fruits, flowers, and vegetables; but scantily, however, compared to the present time.

In 1866 a most important epoch in a century of the art was reached when Peter Henderson sent forth his earliest work, "Gardening for Profit," the first book ever written on market-gardening in this country. This work brought a national reputation to its author, and its value to the United States is beyond computation. Its appearance just after the close of the war rendered it of special and inestimable value to the Southern States. The enormous market-gardening or trucking interests which have been for years and are to-day such a factor in the prosperity of the South, owe their birth and subsequent development entirely to the teachings of "Gardening for Profit." Stimulated by the success of his first book, Mr. Henderson in 1868 issued his "Practical Floriculture," written to show how flowers and plants could best be grown for profit. This book did for esthetic gardening what its predecessor had accomplished for material horticulture, and established thousands of people in a pleasant, safe, and profitable business.

In 1875 Mr. Henderson's prolific pen produced "Gardening for Pleasure," a work intended to meet the wants of those desiring information on gardening for private use. In 1884 he published "Garden and Farm Topics," a series of interesting and instructive essays; and also, in 1884, he, with Mr. William Crozier, wrote "How the Farm Pays." Finally, in 1889, he finished just before his death his most pretentious work, "Henderson's Hand-Book of Plants."

Besides his published works, Mr. Peter Henderson was for thirty-five years previous to his death, in 1890, a constant contributor to the leading American horticultural and agricultural papers. His name is inseparably linked with commercial gardening and floriculture in the United States. Not only by his teachings, but through his wonderful business success, by precept and example, he blazed the way for commercial horticulture, and stands in the same relation to it that A. J. Downing does to its ornamental branch. He it was who saw the possibilities of our varied soils and climate in the production of many plants, seeds, and bulbs which, previous to his time, had been imported from Europe. In the one item of tuberoses alone he changed the current of trade, so that, instead of importing, we now export, thousands of dollars being thus saved to the country annually. He it was who predicted "that California before fifty years will be the great seed and bulb-growing coun-

try of the world, as it has the exact conditions of climate necessary for their growth." His prophecy is being fulfilled, and bids fair to be realized even sooner than he anticipated.

When "Practical Floriculture" was issued, florists were few and far between, and their establishments were crude and insignificant in comparison with those of to-day. There are no trustworthy statistics to be obtained of the number engaged in the trade at that time, or the extent of glass in operation; and even now exact information is unobtainable, as the last census is obviously imperfect. Through information gleaned by the Society of American Florists and from private sources, it is safe to estimate that there are in the United States to-day, say, 10,000 florists, the principal ones owning a glass area ranging from 50,000 to 100,000 square feet; while the least among them would own, say, 1000 square feet. After careful consideration, I estimate that there would be a grand average of 5000 square feet to each florist, making a total area of 50,000,000 square feet of glass devoted to commercial floriculture, a small portion being used for raising vegetables during the winter months. Estimating the average yield at one dollar per square foot, we have a total output of \$50,000,000 in plants, flowers, and vegetables. Many florists also use the space under the greenhouse benches to grow mushrooms, an industry which is rapidly assuming importance.

In addition to the above, the private conservatories, greenhouses, and fruit houses, and the greenhouses in connection with public gardens, cemeteries, and experiment stations, should be considered in estimating the amount of glass devoted to plant and flower-culture. These combined would probably amount to one fourth of the commercial area, or 12,500,000 of square feet; and their contents are of equal value proportionately.

The interest of the people of the whole country in horticulture cannot be better shown than in the perfection to which that marvelous flower, the chrysanthemum, has been brought, and the remarkable exhibitions that take place annually in every city and town of any importance. To show the strides that have been made in greenhouse structures, I may say that I doubt if there was previous to 1845 in all the United States a greenhouse in use for commercial purposes having a fixed roof; and at this point it seems pertinent to give a short history of the rise and growth of greenhouse construction in the United States. The first one, as far as my researches have been able to discover, was erected early in the last century for Andrew Faneuil in Bos-

ton. The credit of having owned the first greenhouse in this country is generally given to James Beekman, the claim being made that it was erected for him in New York in 1764. Be that as it may, however, we have authoritative proof by Gardiner, Hepburn, and McMahan, that greenhouses were in existence in 1804 and 1806, and also that Dr. Hosack had extensive greenhouses in his botanic garden in 1801. Many of these early structures had very little, if any, glass in the roof, and it is the wonder of modern horticulturists how the gardeners in those days were able to grow plants with such crude facilities. It would seem ludicrous now to attempt it in one of the greenhouses described by McMahan as a "modern" structure. "One third of the front side of the roof, for the whole length of the house, to be formed of glass-work"; and so as to get all the light possible, he stated that "to have as much glass as possible, the piers between the sashes are commonly made of good timber from eight to ten inches thick according to their height; the width of the windows for the glass sashes may be five or six feet. The panes of glass in the roof should be six inches by four, this size being not only the strongest, but much the cheapest, and they should lap over each other by half an inch." Compare this with our modern greenhouse structure, its glass 16 x 20, and even larger, its light iron purlins and supports, its light sash-bars, the pitch of the roof—everything calculated to get the greatest amount of light possible, so that flowering plants may get the needed carbon to maintain them in health, and enable them to perfect their blossoms. It is little wonder that perpetual spring and summer seem to reign in the modern home when we have such facilities for the propagation of nature's choicest products. The first published advocacy of the fixed-roof system was made by Mr. Peter B. Mead in the "New York Horticulturist" in 1857. Before that all greenhouse structures for commercial purposes were formed of portable sashes, and nearly all were constructed as "lean-to's," with high back walls, and none were connected. All were separate and detached, being placed at all angles, without plan or system. Then, too, the heating was nearly all done by horizontal smoke-flues, or manure fermenting, although there was a crude attempt at heating by hot water by some private individuals as early as 1835. The first use of heating by hot water on anything like a large scale, however, was in 1839, when Hitchings & Co., of this city, heated a large conservatory for Mr. William Niblo of New York; and yet for nearly twenty years after this time heating by hot water was almost ex-

clusively confined to greenhouses and graperies on private places, as few professional florists in those days could afford to indulge in such luxuries.

All this is changed now. The use of steam, hot water under pressure, and the gravity system of hot-water heating are almost universally in operation, the hot-air flue having been relegated to the past. The best evidence of progress is in the fact that the florist has not waited for the tradesman, but has brought about these improvements himself. In many places to-day the florist puts up his own heating apparatus, and there are many men in the trade who are competent to give learned dissertations on the various systems of greenhouse-heating. It may not be out of place here to refer to the "blue-glass craze" launched upon the country by the late General Pleasanton. Absurd as it seems now, yet there were many hard-headed, practical men among the gardeners and florists who adopted it to a limited extent. In many of the private places it may still be seen, at Newport and along the Hudson River, the owners being either too uninterested to remove it, or perhaps still having a lingering faith in the exploded "fad." In weak imitation of the "blue-glass theory" came the era of "blue whitewash," but that also has disappeared. One thing worthy of record is the great advance made in producing glass in this country. Up to within a very short period all the glass for greenhouses was imported from France and Belgium, the American product being so full of "blisters" that it was useless for the purpose. The consumption of glass in greenhouse structures, both old and new, is something enormous, and undoubtedly stimulated the American manufacturers to better efforts. The result is that for the past few years our American natural-gas-made glass is used exclusively, and is found to be superior to the foreign article.

While we have undoubtedly made great strides in the past thirty years in every department of horticulture, perhaps the most wonderful advance of all has been in the construction of cut flowers into bouquets and other designs. The late Mr. Henderson used to relate that in 1844 he was an assistant in one of the largest floral establishments then in New York City. If a wreath was to be made its base was usually a piece of willow or a barrel-hoop. If a cross, two pieces of lath formed the groundwork, and the work when done was usually such as to reflect but little credit on the "artist." The wire-design-man did not put in an appearance until twenty years later. Bouquets in the forties were usually flat, one-sided affairs. Occasionally a round bouquet was at-


tempted by some artist of local fame, but with a result that must have done violence to the feelings of the flowers that were used in the structure.

The growth of the use of cut flowers at funerals in elaborate symbolic designs is one of the features of modern horticulture. At first it was confined chiefly to the realms of wealth and fashion, but it spread quickly into all ranks. When a public official or popular man in private life died, the offerings of friends and acquaintances in the shape of wreaths, crosses, crowns, anchors, broken columns, gates ajar, etc., etc., were something enormous; in fact, during the early seventies, it is safe to say that "funeral work" was the sheet-anchor of the flower stores. But a change occurred about twenty years ago; exaggeration and bad taste had brought great floral displays somewhat into disfavor. What Murray Hill frowned upon, however, was taken up enthusiastically by Cherry Hill, and a man's popularity during life was soon gauged by the number of "set pieces" sent to his funeral by admiring friends. New designs were created to meet the demand, and a florist on the Bowery—an artist in this particular line—showed much originality in inventing symbolic designs to express the grief of the sender. Lettering on designs came into greater prominence under his régime, and many a good story, tragic and ludicrous, has he told of the composition of these expressions of regard for the dead. One of his best is about a young man who in life belonged to several East Side social organizations. Each club was anxious to outdo the other in the matter of flowers, and great was the display of designs at his funeral. One committee, who ordered a pillow, wanted some original lettering on it. The florist showed them his book of set phrases, "At Rest," etc., etc., but to no purpose. They retired and held a long consultation, and at length ordered the words "He was a Brick" to be lettered on the pillow. It was in vain that the florist mildly suggested a change; and the young man went to his last resting-place with the inscription "He was a Brick" boldly staring out from the pillow in purple letters on its snowy ground of flowers. It was, no doubt, incidents such as this which turned many people against the use of cut flowers in designs at funerals; but the practice, under certain restrictions, must always be appropriate.

There has been a radical change in the character of the flowers used for cut-flower purposes. Fifty years ago camellia flowers retailed freely for a dollar each, and during the holidays Philadelphia used to send thousands to New York florists, getting \$500 per 1000; while roses then went begging at one

tenth these figures. Now, the rose is queen, and the poor camellia finds none so poor to do her reverence. Decided as the change has been from one class of flowers to another—a vagary of that erratic jade, Dame Fashion—the evolution in the rose itself is more pronounced. As I write, there stands on my desk a vase of roses, *Bon Silene*, *Safrano*, *The Bride*, *Catherine Mermet*, *Maman Cochet*, *Souvenir de Wootton*, *La France*, *Bridesmaid*, *Perle des Jardins*, *Sunset*, *Belle Siebrecht*, *Meteor*, *Papa Gontier*, *Niphetos*, *Kaiserin Augusta Victoria*, *Mme. Cusin*, *Mme. Caroline Testout*, *Mme. Hoste*, *Mme. de Watteville*, and, crowning all in regal splendor, *American Beauty*; the latter name, by the way, is a misnomer, as the variety is not of American origin. Paltry, indeed, appear the *Bon Silene* and *Safrano* in comparison with the others, and yet, twenty years ago, they were the leading roses grown for the New York market, and they sold, too, around the holidays, at eighteen to twenty-five dollars per 100. During the holiday season of 1894-95 the first one was rated as being worth two to three dollars per 100, and the second was not even given the poor honor of being named in the market list; *American Beauty*, during the same time, sold at from \$30 to \$150 per 100; the others, except *Belle Siebrecht* and *Mrs. Pierpont Morgan*, which have yet to go through the season—having made their *début* this year—were quoted at from five dollars to twenty dollars per 100, the difference between the quotations being entirely due to quality, showing the varying skill of the growers.

Only five of the above roses are of American origin: *Sunset*, a "sport" from *Perle des Jardins*, which originated with and was introduced by Peter Henderson in 1883; *The Bride*, a "sport" from *Catherine Mermet*, which originated with James Taplin and was introduced by John N. May in 1885; *Souvenir de Wootton*,—named in remembrance of the visit of the Society of American Florists to Wootton, the home of G. W. Childs,—a seedling raised by J. Cook and introduced in 1889; *Bridesmaid*, a "sport" from *Catherine Mermet*, which originated with F. L. Moore, and was introduced by him in 1892; *Mrs. Pierpont Morgan*, a "sport" from *Mme. Cusin*, which originated with F. W. Miles of Plainfield, N. J., in 1895. All the others are of European origin. I confidently believe that the time is not far distant when we shall compete seriously with the foreign grower in the production of new varieties of roses. In the realm of garden varieties of the ever-blooming class, which is separate from the winter-forcing section, we have already produced many fine



ALFRED HENDERSON.



sorts, which, being raised under the conditions in which they must live, are, for the most part, better adapted to our climate than many imported sorts which are magnificent on their native heath. America can, however, claim the honor of having given to the world one of the greatest classes of roses, viz.: the *Noisette* class, originally produced by M. Noisette at Charleston, S. C., in 1817, and sent to his brother in Paris, by whom it was introduced into European gardens; thus the latter is commonly credited with the honor of having originated it. Among the many famous roses belonging to this class are Maréchal Niel, Cloth of Gold, Wm. Allen Richardson, Gloire de Dijon, and Lamarque. These grand roses festoon the walls and verandas of Southern and Pacific Slope homes, as well as in France and England; and along the Riviera millions of their flowers breathe incense, telling to the American traveler, in their mute way, that his country has done something for rose culture of which he may be proud. Our prairie roses have been improved greatly; such fine sorts as Baltimore Belle, Prairie Queen, Gem of the Prairies, and others adorn walls and fences in our Northern States, where the Noisette roses would not survive the winters. The culture of tuberose came a little later. The books of Peter Henderson & Co. show that in 1865 their receipts from a house of tuberose, 10 x 100 feet, were \$1500; now they are rarely grown under glass, being mostly a summer crop, and but few are sold in New York, except to the poorest classes.

The increase in the sales of all products of floriculture in the past fifty years has certainly kept pace with most other industries. In 1844 the sales at retail of a New York florist on New Year's Day footed up the sum of \$200, and yet this florist did nearly the entire business of the city at that time. In spite of the general depression of business, which, of course, bears heavily against the sale of cut flowers, in all probability the sales at retail on the first of January in 1895, in New York City, reached \$500,000, and the aggregate for the past year would run up in the neighborhood of \$5,000,000, probably double that of any European city of its size. The greater profits in cut-flower-growing, with comparatively less labor than in general plant-growing, attracted capital, hence the great advance made. With competition has come a cheapening of the product, an advance in its quality, and a consequent shrinkage in the profits of the grower; but up to the present time there has been no apparent check to the growth and sale, but rather the reverse, as on all sides new structures for growing cut flowers are going up

and old ones are being remodeled to adapt them for this use.

A few years ago nearly all the growers for the large cities sold their own product by sending men from store to store with the day's cut, but this is no longer possible, the output being too great for such a primitive method. Then came the commission dealer and the cut-flower exchange, and now an association of cut-flower growers has been organized in New York City for the sale of their product at wholesale to retail dealers. It is a company, and it claims to control \$750,000 worth of flowers. Probably twice as much will be sold by the commission dealers and the cut-flower exchange, amounting to perhaps double what it was ten years ago.

The growth around New York, although more pronounced than elsewhere, is not exceptional, as every large city and town throughout the country has felt the stimulus and advanced accordingly. Taste has advanced as well. The day of huge wads of flowers, by courtesy termed bouquets—bare stems and wires, coarse garden flowers and arbor vitæ for green—has given way forever to the light, graceful bunch, long, natural stems with foliage, of fine roses, lilies, carnations, violets, orchids, etc., etc., with maiden-hair fern and filmy asparagus fronds for garnishing.

Of the many remarkable developments in commercial floriculture during the past ten years, there is one that stands out prominently above all others, being the expansion of the trade in decorative plants—palms, ferns, and allied plants. The use of palms and decorative plants has been general in Europe for many years, and even now the American grower draws heavily on Europe for his supplies. From the present outlook, however, the day is not far distant when we shall produce all we need ourselves. It is difficult to give trustworthy figures showing the development of this branch, and we must depend on comparative showings to get near the true result.

It may be safely stated that ten years ago it was a rarity to see a group of palms in the average florist's establishment, and equally rare was the sight of a palm in the windows of dwelling-houses. Even five years ago, it was the exception to find them in a commercial florist's greenhouses, yet to-day there is not a florist doing a general plant trade, be it large or small, who does not keep some in stock and buys constantly. In all the large cities and towns palms are found in the homes of people of taste and refinement, and even in country hamlets the catalogues of the large seed and plant houses do their missionary work, and young palms, of which one firm

makes a specialty, are there found growing. Not a ball, wedding, or social function of any pretension is complete now without a decoration of palms. Large greenhouse establishments are devoted exclusively to their culture. Florida and the extreme Southern States have their palm establishments, and palm nurseries have been established in Trinidad and Jamaica by American florists, in order to keep pace with the growing demand for their product in this country.

When an industry of any kind assumes imposing proportions, one of the first things that occurs is that it becomes broken up into departments, or specialized. American horticulture to-day is no exception to the general experience, for within the last ten years, whether in practical work, in its different associations and societies, or in its literature, the tendency is to specialize. Not only have we exclusive rose-growers, carnation-growers, and those who devote themselves entirely to the cultivation of the chrysanthemum or the violet, but even in the representative national association, the Society of American Florists, the minor divisions at their annual meetings devote their time almost entirely to the consideration of some particular plant, in whose cultivation or management they have an absorbing interest.

Up to this point I have dwelt but lightly upon one division of American horticulture which, from a financial point of view, far exceeds in importance the ornamental department of the business. I refer to market-gardening, or, as it is now known in the Southern States, the trucking interest. For thirty years previous to 1875, market-gardening was a most profitable business in and around New York. Thirty years ago the New Jersey market-gardener, mainly located in Hudson County, grew better vegetables than the Long Island men, but their limited area of land becoming less and less annually, in consequence of the inroads made for building purposes, the Long Islanders forged ahead. The Long Island men, however, have not had it all their own way, for of late years a formidable competitor has been met by them in the large truck-gardens of the South. While this competitive factor has certainly lessened their profits, even at the lower prices that prevail to-day there is still a fair profit in the business for them, certainly more than in ordinary farm crops.

It is a matter of regret that only a hurried additional reference can be made to that other great branch of horticulture, fruit-growing. The truck-gardener of the South has a valuable field for profit

in strawberries, blackberries, and raspberries. Florida owes much of her prestige to her orange groves, and California is more indebted to her fruits than to her gold-mines for her prosperity. All over the length and breadth of the land are felt the beneficent results arising from a variety of fruits. Our export of apples is no mean item. This industry really began in 1845, when a trial shipment was made from Boston to Glasgow. The season of 1880 and 1881 saw a total exportation to Europe of 1,328,806 barrels, and in the season of 1891 and 1892, 1,450,336 barrels were exported. The history of the American grape would of itself be sufficient for a separate article. American horticulturists have taken our native grapes and produced the fine named varieties now known. The American grape has been the salvation of European vineyards by providing stocks for their vines which successfully resisted the phylloxera, and it has supplied us with cheap and wholesome native wines; it has given employment to thousands, and has taken millions of acres out of idleness. Its usefulness is growing, and will strengthen with the years. However brief this sketch must be, I must refer to the debt of gratitude the country owes to John Adlum for his work in connection with our native grapes. He it was who first saw with accurate vision that it was absolute folly to continue using the varieties imported from the old country and to follow the methods of culture practised there. To him, above all others, is due the credit of rescuing our native grapes from the danger of destruction by advancing civilization, and the utilization of them to develop the fine varieties of to-day after crossing with the imported varieties. To establish his theory on a basis of fact, he started an experimental vineyard at his own expense on Rock Creek, in the District of Columbia, after vainly applying to the national government for aid. He planted a complete collection of imported and native sorts, and finally discarded the imported varieties. The lessons of the past are not fully understood, or else many who should know are ignorant of them, and, as a consequence, English and continental planters in the Southern States since John Adlum's time have gone on planting imported varieties, and in nearly every case failure has resulted. Read what he said: "The way is to drop most kinds of foreign vines at once (except a few for the table), and seek for the best kinds of our largest native grapes, and if properly managed there can be no doubt but we can make as much wine, if not more, than any part of the world on the same space of ground, as far



north as the forty-third degree, if not farther north, and of good quality." In 1823 he published the first book on indigenous-grape culture, and stated that his only desire was to be useful to his countrymen. He has an additional claim to gratitude from the people of to-day in the introduction of the Catawba grape. He laid the foundations for Rogers, Ricketts, Haskell, Rommell, Jaeger, Moore and others, and, like Bull, who introduced the famous Concord grape, and who died very recently a dependent on public charity, poor Major Adlum, prodigal of his substance for the benefit of others, passed away practically unnoticed, except for the grateful recognition accorded to him by Rafinesque, when he named our beautiful "mountain fringe" *Adlumia* in his honor. The peach, also, has found a congenial home here, and has added millions to the wealth of the nation. The blackberry, although indigenous to this country, has been greatly improved by American horticulture. Its possibilities were foreseen many years ago by Downing, when he wrote: "The sorts (blackberries) are seldom cultivated in gardens, as the fruit is produced in such great abundance in the wild state; but there is no doubt that varieties of much larger size, and greatly superior flavor, might be produced by sowing the seed in rich garden soil, especially if repeated for two or three successive generations." As showing the wonderful diversity of our soil and climate, the same authority remarks that many of the so-called new varieties of fruits, especially from the West, prove to be old and well-known kinds, altered in appearance by new soil and different climate.

The outgrowths from the results of successful horticulture are many, and I am compelled, for want of space, to pass many of them by in silence. There is one, however, that is far too important to be ignored, however brief the sketch may be. It is the canning industry. What the metallic cartridge is to the breech-loader and vice versa, canning may fairly be considered in relation to small-fruit and vegetable-growing; this must be obvious to the most casual observer. This method of preserving fruits and vegetables is credited to a Frenchman; but it first became an assured and recognized success in this country. To Ezra Daggett and Thomas Kensett, in 1819, is due the credit of having first canned fruits and vegetables, and in 1825 President Monroe signed patents to them to protect them in that industry. Its growth has been marvelous and far-reaching in its benefits. At the present time it is estimated that there are twenty thousand factories

in North America employing directly or indirectly over a million hands during the canning season, a result entirely traceable to the advance in American horticulture. Following the process of canning came drying fruit by fire heat; then came the Alden drier, about 1870; then Williams and others brought in the "evaporated" product, now a staple article of commerce and the salvation of the California fruit grower.

In a brief summary of matters upon which the exigencies of space will not permit me to enlarge, it may be stated that auction sales of plants and flowers were started in New York about 1847.

America has led the way in improving garden and farm-tools, and bettering the methods and systems of horticulture, and as a result, while we pay more wages and live better, the cost of trees and plants is on an average less in America than in Europe, where they still cling to slow and cumbersome methods. This is noticeable in many important details, but in none more than in packing plants for shipment, the system in vogue here being of the simplest kind, differing entirely from the European method, and being a result of the necessities forced upon us by the higher price of labor. In the old country the ball of soil is generally wrapped in moss and then tied round and round with string, the plants when so prepared being laid in layers and each layer fastened with a cleat—a process unnecessarily slow and expensive. With us, when the ball of soil is sufficiently firm and well protected with roots, we wrap it in paper, leaving the top uncovered. This wrapping in paper not only serves to keep the ball of soil intact, but it also, to some extent, relieves the pressure of the plants upon each other. In packing the plants in a box, they are placed alternately in layers, with an inch or two of "excelsior" between. In cold weather the boxes are lined with heavy felt paper, with two inches of sawdust on the bottom, sides, and top; and rarely is there any injury from frost even in the coldest weather. In spring and summer light baskets and open boxes are used, and, contrary to the European custom, no charge is made to the customer for either boxes or packing. Mr. Peter Henderson, in "Practical Floriculture," relates how he sent some fifty plants to a London florist, in a basket packed in the American style, and only two plants failed to live. A return shipment of about the same quantity was sent by the florist referred to, packed in hampers, each one of itself weighing forty pounds, without the contents, and three-fourths of the plants were dead when received, due, he states, entirely to the cumbrous manner of packing. The ad-


vancement in our gentle art has been phenomenal in America, and there is no symptom of halting. Both national and State governments have recognized the importance of horticulture, and special legislation has been enacted to foster it. The scientific researches which the business man could not undertake are accomplished at the experiment stations, founded on the Federal law known as the Hatch Act, which went into effect in 1887. There are now fifty-five of these stations in the United States, constantly making tests of new varieties and methods, as applied to agriculture and horticulture. They issue bulletins, which have a free circulation, as often as necessary, and publish annual reports. In 1892 and 1893 these stations issued 564 bulletins and reports, of which 110 were devoted to horticulture. The agricultural colleges lend valuable aid in this work, and there are a dozen scientific bureaus and divisions connected with the

Department of Agriculture at Washington, three of which are purely horticultural.

To attempt to record in a space as brief as this the history of horticulture for a century must necessarily result in an inadequate and imperfect account. At the best I have only been able to touch upon what seemed to me to be the most prominent features in the history of the craft. Still, we who man the ships composing the horticultural squadron of to-day, as we look back over the billows of the past, have some right to feel proud of the great development the "most ancient of professions" has attained in the last 100 years. At the same time, we doubt not the chronicler of our art in 1995 will have a still grander record of progress to relate, for, paradoxical as it may seem, the dawn of American horticulture is only fairly above the horizon as the sunset of the nineteenth century fades away.

*Alfred Henderson*





## CHAPTER XXXVII

### AMERICAN SUGAR

THE history of the sugar industry in this country forms one of the most interesting chapters in the development of its resources and growth. Sugar, which was known to the ancients as a product of the far East, reached Europe as an article of commerce in the fifteenth century. Spain, in its colonies, was the first to engage in its cultivation; but for centuries it was regarded as a luxury, and so slowly did it find its way into general use that in England the consumption for the year 1800 was but a little more than 100,000 tons, and in 1837 but 216,000 tons, or sixteen pounds per capita, whereas the consumption in England to-day is over seventy pounds per capita.

The first cultivation of the sugar-cane in the West Indies was in St. Domingo, where it was found at the close of the fifteenth century, and for a long time the Europeans derived their principal supplies from that island. By the beginning of the eighteenth century the culture had been largely established in the West Indies, as also in Central America, Mexico, and the northern countries of South America. In the earlier history of the United States the very small amount of sugar consumed was imported chiefly from the Spanish colonies and the West Indies.

Sugar-cane was first introduced into Louisiana by the Jesuits in 1751, but they failed to produce a merchantable article of sugar. In 1779 better results were obtained, but it was not until 1795 that sugar was successfully made in any considerable quantity, Étienne Boré, of that State, having succeeded, meanwhile, in developing an improved method of extraction. At the end of seven years more, in 1802, the entire crop of the State of Louisiana amounted to about 2500 tons. The mills which produced the cane were driven by horse or cattle power, and even at so late a date as 1882 there were over 150 of such mills in operation in the State.

The success of Boré attracted general attention,

and additional capital was soon invested in the new industry. Steam-mills were introduced, and thenceforward the progress of the industry was rapid. Planters from other States migrated to Louisiana and engaged in the sugar culture, and the business steadily increased. In the year 1816 a duty was imposed upon imported sugars of three cents per pound, which still further stimulated the production, the crop of 1832 reaching 40,000 tons. In that year the duty was reduced to two and one half cents per pound, which apparently checked the sugar production; but after the panic of 1837 it revived again, and in 1840 the number of sugar plantations was estimated at 525, the production of that year being 50,000 tons. In 1850 it reached 104,000 tons. From this time on, with a growing demand, the yield steadily increased, notwithstanding a reduced protection against imported sugar, until, in 1861, with a tariff of only one-half cent per pound, the crop reached 240,000 tons. The outbreak of the Civil War nearly obliterated the sugar production of Louisiana, which in three years fell to less than 6000 tons.

A generous protection from 1861 to 1870, in the form of import duties equivalent to more than three cents per pound, furnished an opportunity for the rebuilding of the sugar industry in the South. The increase of the crop, however, in view of these favorable conditions, was very slow, the entire amount of cane-sugar raised in the United States in 1875 being only 75,000 tons; and in 1880, with an average protective duty of two and one half cents per pound, was less than 125,000 tons. In 1890 the import tax on raw sugars was abolished, and a law passed giving the planters a direct bounty equivalent to something more than two cents per pound for fifteen years. Under this stimulus large amounts of capital were immediately invested in sugar culture, and the crop of cane-sugar in the year 1894-95 is stated as being more than 315,000 tons.

It remains to be seen what the effect will be of the repeal of this bounty law in 1895, and the substitution of an import tax affording a protection to the planter of about one half the amount. The representatives in Congress of the Louisiana planters insist that this industry cannot survive without a larger protection in the form of import duties or direct bounties, inasmuch as, in addition to the danger from drought or floods, they are also constantly in peril from early frost. Even in the most favorable seasons it is necessary to cut and windrow the cane before it matures, to save it from freezing, thus reducing by a considerable amount what would be its normal yield if the climate would admit of its maturing in the field. The culture of sugar-cane has been practised to some extent in Texas, and, under the recent bounty law, gave promise of a considerable development. The only other of the Southern States in which any attempt has been made to raise the sugar-cane is in Florida, where thus far, however, it has not been commercially successful.

During the war repeated experiments were made in different sections of the country—principally in the West—with the hope of producing sugar from a species of cane called sorghum. These experiments were fostered by the government, and the reports of the Agricultural Department from 1875 to 1877 promised practical results on a large scale. Factories were established in Illinois, Iowa, and Kansas, and, on a smaller scale, in New Jersey and several other States; but after several years of experiment the attempt was practically abandoned, the sugar made being of inferior quality, and the cultivation carried on at too great cost to make it commercially profitable. Small amounts of sorghum-cane are still raised in some districts, but the product is generally used by the local community in the form of syrup.

There are but few facts to be obtained concerning the production of maple-sugar in the United States. In 1860, as nearly as can be ascertained, this product amounted to over 50,000,000 pounds, supplied by the New England States, New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, and Michigan. In 1870 it was less than 30,000,000 pounds. The production has been steadily diminishing, and it has ceased to be an important factor in the sugar-supply of the country.

The extraction of sugar from the beet-root was begun in France in the time of Napoleon I. The production, fostered by the imposition of high duties on foreign sugars, rapidly increased, until in 1838 it reached about 40,000 tons. The cultivation of sugar-beets extended to Germany, Austria, Belgium, and Russia; but so recently as 1858 this industry

amounted to only 400,000 tons. Under the patronage of those governments, in the shape of bounties, enormous strides have been made in beet-sugar cultivation in Europe, until in the year 1894 the crop amounted to 4,842,000 tons, or fifty-eight per cent. of the entire sugar production of the world.

Fifty years ago attempts were made to introduce the sugar-beet in this country, and during succeeding years these efforts embraced several Eastern States, Illinois, and Wisconsin; but, owing to unfavorable soil or climate, the results were unsatisfactory, until the tide of experiment reached the Pacific slope, where, at Alvarado, Cal., after repeated failures, the first approximation to success was reached in 1887. This was followed by the erection, by Claus Spreckels, of a large factory at Watsonville, Cal., in 1888. The Oxnard Beet-Sugar Company, in 1890, after a careful analysis of soil and climate, established a large and well-equipped factory at Grand Island, Neb., and, later, one at Norfolk, in the same State. These factories have been yearly in operation since that time, as has been for the last three years, also, a factory located at Lehi, Utah. The only place, however, where beet-sugar cultivation has been commercially successful on any considerable scale up to this date is in California, a third factory having been erected in that State in 1891 by the Oxnard Company, at Chino, which, in addition to those above named, is now in successful operation. The entire output of the beet factories in the United States during the year 1893 was about 20,000 tons.

In the earlier history of the country the sugar consumed was almost entirely what is known as raw sugar; that is, sugar as made from the cane-juice on the plantation. This varied in color from a dark brown to a light straw-color, but, owing to the imperfect processes of manufacture then known, contained more or less of syrup and a large amount of impurity. Such refined sugar as entered into consumption was imported in the shape of loaves, which were counted a great luxury and were correspondingly expensive.

The raw sugar came principally from the West Indies and South and Central America, and was imported in great tierces and hogsheads, weighing from 1200 to 2000 pounds, in which form it was delivered to the grocers. Before it could be weighed out to the customer it was necessary to run it through the grocer's hand-mill to break the coarse lumps, and as the bottom of the hogshead was reached the proportion of "foots" or syrup settlings increased. This sugar was sticky and dirty, but sweet, and, in

ignorance of the insectivora and impurities it contained, our forefathers consumed it with avidity. Molasses, the drainings of the sugar in the plantation sugar-house, being somewhat cheaper than sugar, entered also largely into consumption, and was used to sweeten tea and coffee, as well as to serve many culinary purposes for which only sugar is now used.

Early attempts at sugar refining were crude in the extreme. The first was made in England in the sixteenth century. Melting in solution, removal of some of the foreign matter by the laws of gravitation assisted by the coagulation of bullocks' blood, filtration through linen bags for the purpose of separating the floating particles, and boiling to the point of recrystallization, constituted generally the tedious and, compared with present methods, far from effective process. For, while it is true that melting, filtration, and recrystallization remain to-day the fundamentals in the art of sugar refining, the means of accomplishing them have greatly changed. Discoveries from time to time improved the product of the refinery in one respect or another. Seventy years ago the claying process, which consisted in washing the refined crystals in molds, produced a very good quality of white sugar. Up to fifty years ago the difference between the cost of the raw and the refined sugar was ten cents per pound.

The first refinery in this country was probably Rhinelanders', which stood on the present site of the Rhineland Building, at the head of William Street, in New York City. The growth of population and the increase of the per capita consumption were much more rapid than the increase in the refined product, and profits were large; the result being competing refineries, which, with new machinery, greatly reduced the time of refining, improved the quality of the product, and augmented the capacity of the plants, thereby reducing the cost of operating. In 1838 steam for heating purposes established itself as a factor. The vacuum pan, for crystallizing the sugar at a low temperature,—a most important invention,—was adopted about 1855, the charcoal filter at a somewhat later date, and the granulating-machine, for drying the damp white sugar and reducing the grain, in 1848. In 1860 the centrifugal machine, for separating the syrup from the crystallized sugar, introduced a new era in sugar refining, and the really active competition in the business began.

But more radically important even than improvements in machinery were the improvements in methods which began to show themselves shortly after the war. Soleil's polariscope, a French inven-

tion, made its appearance in this country about 1870, and exerted the most marked influence upon the art and business of sugar refining. With a single flash of light this wonderful little instrument designated in accurate figures the commercial value of any grade of sugar to a fraction of a degree. The result was that the attention of the more progressive refiners was at once turned to the chemical possibilities involved in the industry. The exact proportion of crystallizable sugar, scientifically designated sucrose, and uncrystallizable, or glucose, being determined by the polariscope, attention was directed to methods of treatment which would accomplish at once the preservation of the former and the utilization of the latter. Improvements in machinery had reduced the cost of operating, enhanced the grade of the product, and greatly increased the capacity of the refinery; but the possibility of wresting from chemistry her long-kept secrets brought new methods into prominence as a factor in the art of sugar refining.

It soon transpired that, with equal advantages in the matter of machinery, one refiner, by the discovery of some simple fact and its application in the matter of method, obtained a decided advantage over another. Instead of two weeks, the usual time for the refining process, the time was reduced for "soft" refined sugars to sixteen hours, and for granulated sugar, of which by far the greatest quantity is sold, to but a few hours longer. Sugar refining became a thing of mysteries, each refiner seeking to discover for himself the method of treatment which would enable him to improve upon that of his competitor. These changes of methods involved the practical remodeling of the older refineries, and so great was the advantage of the more modern houses that the older and weaker ones were driven to the wall.

Among the earlier firms engaged in the sugar-refining industry the more prominent were those of R. L. & A. Stuart and the Havemeyers. The Stuarts, who had flourished and acquired great fortunes under the old conditions, when the margins in the business were large, found themselves, through the advent of new methods and the fierceness of competition, unable to contend with their younger rivals; and, rather than attempt the remodeling or rebuilding of their refineries, they went out of the business.

The house of Havemeyer was founded in New York in 1805 by A. & D. Havemeyer, in a little building on Vandam Street, twenty-five by forty feet; four or five employees, with the proprietors,

being sufficient to manufacture and deliver their product. In 1828, William F. Havemeyer, afterward mayor of the city, and his cousin, Frederick C. Havemeyer, who were sons of the original Havemeyers, entered into a partnership in the same business, and continued until 1842. F. C. Havemeyer resumed business in 1851, and in 1861 the firm of Havemeyers & Elder was formed. This firm up to 1887 were the largest refiners in this country.

In 1875 there were forty-two refineries in the United States, with an estimated aggregate output of about 25,000 barrels per day. The margin between raw and refined sugar was reduced from ten cents per pound in 1838 to three cents per pound in 1876, at which time raw sugar costing eight cents per pound was sold for eleven cents refined. The forty-two refineries in existence in 1875 dwindled to twenty-seven in 1880. Of these, twelve were located in New York and vicinity, five in Boston, four in Philadelphia, two in New Orleans, two in San Francisco, and one each in Portland, Me., and St. Louis, Mo.

It now became a question of the survival of the fittest. The first movement in the direction of self-preservation was made in the winter of 1882, when, by mutual agreement, the refiners in New York and Boston adjusted their meltings from week to week to the demand of the market. This agreement was in the nature of an experiment only, and necessarily but temporary. It was repeated from time to time, but at last found to be utterly futile. The movement toward community of interest, in which direction only lay the possibility of permanence of coöperation, did not crystallize until the summer of 1887. The number of refineries had been further reduced, and the unequal war of methods and means had still further reduced the margin between raw and refined sugar, until the losses of the refiners brought rumors of impending disaster to hitherto prosperous concerns. Finally nineteen of the refineries, after months of laborious negotiation, were brought into an agreement by which they were capitalized on the basis of \$50,000,000, under the designation of the Sugar Refineries Company.


Under this organization the autonomy of each of the refineries was preserved, but all the capital stock of the several companies was held by a board of trustees, who issued against it certificates of common interest. These trustees, as the stockholders, elected the directors and managers of the several properties, thus insuring unity of action; and, through economy of management and prevention of over-production, the financial results were eminently

satisfactory. The success of the company, and the then popular notion that all combinations were of necessity inimical to the public interest, led to attacks upon it, the result being that the form of organization was adjudged illegal by the courts in the State of New York, on the ground that it was a combination of corporations; whereupon a new company was incorporated under the laws of the State of New Jersey, and in January, 1891, the entire business of the Sugar Refineries Company was transferred to The American Sugar-Refining Company, with the same amount of capital. Under this new organization the business was still further unified, there being but one board of directors and one set of officers, the result being still greater simplification and economy in management.

At this time there were four independent refineries in Philadelphia, two of them being of large proportions. In 1892 all these refineries were acquired by The American Sugar-Refining Company, its capital stock being increased to \$75,000,000. Under this great corporation the American consumer is supplied with the purest and best refined sugar made in the world. At the same time, by new and improved processes, the cost has been lessened, until the average margin at the present time between raw and refined sugar is less than one cent per pound, as against three cents per pound in 1876—a net gain to the consumer of two cents per pound.

The supplies of the refineries are drawn from all parts of the world, wherever they can be purchased to the best advantage. The lowest forms of crude sugar from Jaggery and the Philippine Islands, as well as the higher grades of the Dutch East Indies, Hawaii, the West India Islands, South America, and, in addition, a portion of the beet crop of Europe, are put under contribution to supply the 1,500,000 tons annually required for the consumption of this country, in addition to the domestic crops of cane and beet sugars, of which, also, about one half pass through the refineries before going to the consumer.

The refineries of The American Sugar-Refining Company are the largest and most complete in the world. The collateral industries dependent upon the business are themselves of great magnitude. In the item of coöperation alone there are consumed annually for barrels 200,000,000 staves, with corresponding hoops and heading. This material furnishes over 5000 car-loads of freight to be transported by the railways from the Western States to the refineries. Not less than 800,000 tons of coal are annually consumed in the manufacture of refined sugar. Fully one mile of the water-front in Brook-



JOHN E. SEARLES.





lyn, N. Y., is occupied by these mammoth refineries, their cooperage establishments, and the railway terminals which have been constructed solely with a view to handling their product. Other vast establishments are located in Jersey City, Philadelphia, Boston, Baltimore, New Orleans, and San Francisco.

Each succeeding revision of the tariff laws has witnessed a reduction of the protection to American refiners against foreign refined sugars, until at the present writing, with a forty per cent. ad valorem duty on all grades of sugar, the discrimination in favor of refined is but one eighth of a cent per pound, as compared with one half to six tenths of a cent under the McKinley law of 1890, and three

quarters to one and one half cents per pound under the previous law. This has largely stimulated the production of foreign refined sugar for the American market. Under conditions existing prior to 1887 the American refining industry would be obliterated by this law. It remains to be seen whether, with the advantages growing out of large facilities in the purchase of raw sugars, and the economies possible only to so great a corporation, The American Sugar-Refining Company, and the independent companies which live under its lee, will be able successfully to compete with the refined product of Germany, where a direct bounty is paid on the exportation of unrefined sugars to this country.

*J. W. Charles.*





## CHAPTER XXXVIII

### AMERICAN RICE

**R**ICE is the greatest of grains and the principal diet of one half of the human kind, a statement which cannot be made of any other edible. It stands preëminent as regards the number of persons who consume it, the area devoted to its culture, and the amount annually produced. This holds especially true in the far East, where its merits are more thoroughly appreciated. In China and its dependencies, with a population of 400,000,000, or twenty-five per cent. of the total population of the world, rice is the principal food-supply. The same may also be said of India with its population of 275,000,000, and Japan with its 40,000,000. In addition to these, it is a chief article of diet with other peoples of Asia and Africa, whose population is estimated to amount to 100,000,000. The total reaches 815,000,000, or, as above stated, over fifty per cent. of the total population of the earth, which is estimated (1890) at 1,500,000,000.

The foregoing enumeration does not include the Americas, Europe, or Australia, for while the culture of this grain receives considerable attention in these sections of the globe, and rice is there largely consumed, it cannot be said to be the most prominent of their food supplies in comparison with wheat, rye, maize, and other grains. In the United States there is a growing appreciation of its value, yet the amount at present consumed seems insignificant in contrast with the older countries of production. Our annual consumption, measured by the receipts of milling centers and trade for the past five years, was 4.7 pounds per capita. There is good reason to believe, however, that the amount is considerably larger than the figure indicated, as that which is grown throughout all parts of the South for local use fails to appear in the commercial movement, and is consequently not included in the commercial estimates. The consumption per capita in Bengal and the central provinces of India is placed at about

one pound a day, and in the presidency of Bombay and Sind at half a pound. Higher figures are given for Burmah, with an intimation that their trustworthiness is impaired by several sources of possible error. Official figures, however, for Japan, for the five years 1887 to 1891, indicate an annual average of 308.75 pounds per capita. The consumption per capita in European nations is as follows: France, 3.8 pounds; Germany, 5.9; Great Britain and Ireland, 9.6; Italy, 13.7.

The value of rice as a food has for many years been a subject of lively discussion, some scientists and economists claiming that it is lacking in potential energy or fuel value. Investigation and experiments disclose that one pound of rice contains 3.12 per cent. more nutriment than corn or rye, 3.45 more than wheat, and 11.97 more than oats. When compared with potatoes or meats, the difference is still greater in favor of rice as an article of food; a pound of rice yielding more than four times as much nutriment as a pound of potatoes, three times as much as lean, and almost twice as much as fat beef. Dr. Frankland, in his "Comparative Value of Foods," places them in the following order of excellence, both as to economy and effect: Rice, oatmeal, flour, bread, potatoes, and lean beef. In corroboration of this scientist's conclusions are noted the famous porters of Constantinople, who are veritable Titans in burden-bearing, and live almost exclusively on rice; also a recent report (1895) received from Dr. J. Talmage Wyckoff, stationed at Basrah, at the head of the Persian Gulf, who states that there are no finer specimens of human physique to be found in the world than are characteristic of a tribe (the Telekafé) living in the vicinity of ancient Nineveh. Many of them earn a livelihood as laborers on the light-draft steamers plying on the river Tigris from Bagdad to Basrah. They carry the heaviest burdens from boat to shore, bales of Manchester cottons

weighing from 500 to 1000 pounds being an ordinary load. Their food is entirely rice, and practically attests that it is indeed "strong meat" for the working man; possessing not only every requisite essential to general health and well-being, but in an unusual degree those elements which create and conserve physical strength.

In the South, rice is upon the table every day, it taking the place of potatoes and bread. It can be served in a variety of ways; as the Frenchman remarked in commendation of the egg, "there are 250 culinary combinations in which it may play a prominent and advantageous part," but it is especially adapted for use at the breakfast hour as a cereal or at dinner as a vegetable in lieu of potatoes. Good digestion will wait on both, thus contributing health and comfort to the "inner man." One reason perhaps for its limited use in the country at large is because of ignorance in the matter of cooking. How often rice appears, a repulsive, sodden mass, whereas it should be a dish tempting to the eye and inviting as food, each snow-white grain being separate and distinct. To sum up the merits of rice, its digestibility is unchallenged, its assimilative qualities unequalled, and the waste, as a consequence, is less than with any other food consumed by human kind.

Whether in retrospect or prospect, the rice industry within our own borders may be regarded with satisfaction. The beginnings were indeed small, but the results have been great, and there is fair reason to expect that the production of this cereal in the United States will ultimately surpass that of wheat, and a fair possibility of its outcome equaling that of all other grains combined. While not indigenous to the Western Hemisphere, it took promptly to our congenial soil and climate. Possibly due to the high latitude, the initial attempt at rice culture by Sir William Berkley in Virginia in 1647 failed to have satisfactory results, its practical introduction not taking place until 1694 in lower Carolina. Its incoming was due to an accident. A vessel bound for Liverpool from Madagascar, blown out of her course and in need of repairs, put into Charleston. Before starting on the homeward voyage the captain, in exchange for courtesies received, gave Landgrave Thomas Smith a small parcel of rough rice, suggesting that it might possibly grow and afford an additional article of food. Being of good seed, cast on good ground, the gift proved valuable, for it increased at biblical ratio, soon becoming adequate for the immediate territory, and early in the following century it began to furnish a considerable amount for export. In 1707 seventeen ships left Carolina

with cargoes of rice. During the years 1730 to 1739 the shipments to Great Britain and other ports were 223,787,200 pounds. In 1754 the exports to England were over 100,000 barrels of unhusked rice (30,000,000 pounds cleaned), still leaving an ample supply for home consumption. The yield might have been much greater had the system of water culture now in use been practised at that time, but this was not introduced until 1784. With sparse population during the colonial period, and because of the natural trend of commerce toward the Old World and the West Indies, most of the rice went thither until the present century. The following table will give an idea of the culture at the opening of the century covered by this article, its progress and present condition, together with prevailing tariffs. For the purpose of brevity statistics are grouped in periods of five years, with annual average:

PRODUCTION OF RICE IN THE UNITED STATES FOR 100 YEARS, 1795 TO 1895, WITH TARIFF RATES PREVAILING FROM 1789 TO 1857.

FIVE YEARS ENDING JUNE 30.	PRODUCTION FOR FIVE YEARS. (POUNDS.)	AVERAGE PER YEAR.	TARIFF ON RICE.	
			YEAR ENACTED.	RATE AD VALOREM.
1800. . . .	320,631,803	64,124,361	1789	5 per cent.
1805. . . .	240,044,600	48,008,920	1792	7½ "
1810. . . .	274,477,000	54,895,400	1794	10 "
1815. . . .	274,867,800	54,973,560	1800	12½ "
1820. . . .	282,397,800	56,479,560	1804	15 "
1825. . . .	333,447,000	66,689,400	1812	30 "
1830. . . .	417,333,600	83,466,720	1818	15 "
1835. . . .	457,282,200	91,456,440	1832	Free.
1840. . . .	429,585,600	85,917,120	1836	15 per cent.
1845. . . .	481,669,200	96,333,840	1841	20 "
1850. . . .	543,494,400	108,698,880	1857	15 "
1855. . . .	483,279,600	96,655,920		
1860. . . .	545,592,600	109,118,520		
1865. . . .	115,738,680	23,147,736		
1870. . . .	160,837,790	32,167,558		
1875. . . .	276,704,430	55,340,886		
1880. . . .	415,332,000	83,066,400		
1885. . . .	534,720,400	106,944,080		
1890. . . .	675,950,400	135,190,080		
1895. . . .	762,098,460	152,539,692		

DUTY FROM 1861 TO 1894.

SPECIFIC DUTY.	CLEANED PER POUND.	UN-CLEANED PER POUND.	PADDY PER POUND.	FLOUR GRANULATED.	AD VALOREM EQUIVALENT.*
	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cts.	Cleaned Rice.
1861. . . .	1	½	...	...	41 per cent.
1862. . . .	1½	1	¾	...	48 "
1864. . . .	2½	2	1½	...	94 "
1876. . . .	Hawaiiian Rice				Free
1883. . . .	2¼	1½	1¼	ad val. 20% specific	110 per cent.
1890. . . .	2	1¼	¾	¼	99 "
1894. . . .	1½	10	¾	¼	88 "

\* Ad valorem equivalent of specific duties imposed is given for purposes of comparison. In explanation of the apparent disparity under similar tariff rates, the prime cost diminished and thus the ad valorem equivalent increased. The per cents given cover the period during which the different rates were in force.

Even at the risk of being charged with national predilections, it is due to this country to state that it produces "the best rice in the world," for it has here shown its finest development. This was true of its main crop before the war, and the magnificent quality grown by many planters to-day shows that its culture is not a lost art. The high standard previously established was owing to a generous rivalry among Carolina planters, who sought the best seed and methods of cultivation. At the front in its day, and of historic fame, was Ward's "long grain Carolina" rice. Equal in grain to the largest Honduras head, but of more crystalline character, it was properly described as "an elongated pearl." Mr. Ward made it a practice to gather the heaviest and best-filled heads, and in the course of a few years he possessed seed unequalled in the world. It paid doubly, making him a prince among planters, as well as yielding rich returns for his purse.

While the cultivation for local consumption was carried on to a considerable extent in almost every Southern State prior to the late war, only that of the Carolinas and Georgia was of national importance. The rice fields where the commercial crop is mainly grown are reclaimed cypress swamps and tide-water lands along the coast. Many of the best plantations, however, are among the marshes higher up the rivers and upon level tracts in the interior, so situated as to be easily irrigated. Upon all of these the system of water cultivation is generally followed. The tide-water lands lie along the rivers in such a position, above the meeting of fresh and salt water, that they may be flooded by fresh water at high tide, and drained when the tide is low. They are protected by means of dikes from salt water (always fatal to rice), coming from below, or from freshets from above. These lands were formerly valued from \$200 to \$300 per acre, but owing to a cessation of culture during the war, the difficulty of obtaining labor, and other adverse conditions, are now obtainable at \$20 to \$30 per acre. As incidental protection was derived from the tariff, the rehabilitation of plantations at the close of the war was undertaken with considerable vigor, but of late years production has somewhat declined, owing to a want of energy and economy on the part of the planters.

The falling away in the culture along the Atlantic Coast, however, has been more than made up by the wonderful enlargement in the Southwest. That the retention of the tariff and incidental protection were beneficial and stimulating is demonstrated by the fact that the total culture (including that of Louisiana),

which was fairly under way by 1870, was, in the decade following, more than doubled, and at the end of the second had quadrupled, as will appear by reference to the foregoing table. Since the war other Southern States have exhibited an increased interest in the cultivation of this product, but the growth outside of the old rice-growing States above mentioned, excepting Louisiana, is still principally for local use. The culture in Louisiana dates back to 1718, and it continued of minor importance, principally confined to the parish of Plaquemine, until after the close of the Civil War. At this time planters were rich in lands, but poor in purse, and the necessity of the hour was for a crop requiring the least possible outlay, yet offering an assured and prompt return. Sugar was out of the question, as the investment required was large, and the outcome questionable and delayed. As a result there was a general turning to rice, and this crop almost immediately sprang from local to national importance. By 1875 Louisiana furnished thirty per cent. of the total yield of the United States, and in each of the five years following, 1880, averaged forty per cent.; 1885, sixty per cent.; and 1890, sixty-five per cent. In 1895 it is seventy-five per cent. of the aggregate production. The development during the past thirty years has been so marvelous that it is worthy of statistical illustration.

#### PRODUCTION OF RICE IN LOUISIANA.

FIVE YEARS ENDING JUNE 30.	POUNDS.	AVERAGE PER YEAR.
1865 .....	9,667,080	1,933,416
1870 .....	35,268,590	7,053,718
1875 .....	81,756,030	16,351,206
1880 .....	176,694,000	35,338,800
1885 .....	255,516,200	51,103,240
1890 .....	422,775,000	84,555,000
1895 .....	555,595,400	111,119,080

Prior to the War, the annual product was about 1,000,000 pounds.

More recently the culture of the older localities along the Mississippi River has been somewhat reduced because of the delusive sugar bounty, which tempted planters from a good and profitable crop into the growth of the saccharine exotic. In 1885 a new era was entered upon by the opening up of the southwestern part of the State, and it now contributes the largest portion of the entire product of the United States. This section, known as the "Calcasieu Country," extending from the Atchafalaya River on the east to the Sabine River on the west, embraces several parishes containing thousands of acres of land, in a virgin state, and most



JOHN F. TALMAGE.



admirably adapted to the culture. Like the country chosen by Lot of old, the section is level, yet well watered, rivers and bayous extending in every direction, making irrigation an easy matter. In this lies the secret of the gigantic strides made by the culture in that part of the State. When once the planter has his levees made, which can be done at a small cost with the improved machines in vogue, they can be kept up with slight expenditure, and good crops raised almost every year beyond any contingency. The streams also afford cheap transportation by barges. Another reason for the great enlargement of the culture in that locality is the fact that machinery can be employed from start to finish, the cost of production being nominally the same as wheat, while the yield per acre is manifold greater.

Up to 1820, as already suggested, the crop was largely marketed abroad, but with an enlarging population, home consumption became of prime interest. New York was the main point for distribution, and rice was largely used as a medium of exchange between the North and South, finding its way into the hands of dealers in dry-goods, boots, shoes, machinery, etc., and these in turn jobbed the product in a small way to the grocery fraternity. Results were unsatisfactory to the producer, as they bought supplies on long time, paying a long margin, while in selling their product realized short prices. This cutting on both sides of planters' interests lasted until 1841, when the founder of one of the oldest firms in the line took up rice as a specialty, concentrated the receipts, and from a business of barter made it one of cash, thereby enhancing its value as a staple product. Even up to the time of the War exports to foreign markets were large, but since then the whole product has found a market at home, and is inadequate to the demand. The annual import of this grain is from 200,000 to 300,000 bags, of two hundredweight each, as will more exactly appear by the following statistics:

IMPORTS OF EDIBLE RICE.

FIVE YEARS ENDING JUNE 30.	POUNDS.	AVERAGE PER YEAR.
1865 .....	248,657,641	49,731,538
1870 .....	228,772,804	45,554,561
1875 .....	268,234,740	53,646,948
1880 .....	254,373,855	50,874,771
1885 .....	361,053,545	72,210,709
1890 .....	362,810,988	72,562,198
1895 .....	415,421,957	83,084,391

In addition to the rice required for eating purposes, there is a large amount which enters into manufacturing channels, to which that grown in the United States contributes but an insignificant per cent. The following table gives an exhibit of imports for such special uses :

IMPORTS OF RICE FOR MANUFACTURING.

FIVE YEARS ENDING JUNE 30.	POUNDS.	AVERAGE PER YEAR.
1865 .....	.....	.....
1870 .....	.....	.....
1875 .....	855,350	171,070
1880 .....	6,833,458	1,366,692
1885 .....	111,510,875	22,302,175
1890 .....	258,089,459	51,617,892
1895 .....	352,214,257	70,442,851

Rice is a good crop, as the yield is more than that of any other grain; the outcome under equal conditions is quite double, and not infrequently is three or four times greater than wheat. Good lands yield from forty to fifty bushels per acre, and at a low average price, say fifty cents per bushel, the outcome in comparison with wheat will be quickly appreciated. It is easily cultivated, any one acquainted with other grains having the assurance of success from the start. Occasions are not exceptional when the outcome of a single crop has paid for the farm, as well as given support to the farmer and his household. In the immediate future southwestern Louisiana is the most promising field. Here are tracts of land nearly level, almost surrounded by a natural levee, with an abundance of water for irrigation, and sufficient elevation for ample drainage. In the four initial items of rice farming, leveeing, plowing, pulverizing the soil, and sowing, the average increase in the capacity of a man to do work has been 500 per cent in the past five years. Every process of rice cultivation has been changed by the introduction of machinery. A decade ago twenty acres of rice required as great an individual expenditure of force, time, and money as 100 acres to-day. There is no reason why the United States should not produce the largest rice crop in the world. There are millions of acres lying along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts suitable for rice culture, otherwise being of little value. When these waste lands are brought under tillage the United States will have an abundance for its own requirements and will be a serious rival of the East in the markets of the world.

*John S. Deming*



## CHAPTER XXXIX

# AMERICAN FLOUR

IT takes about 2,500,000,000 bushels of wheat a year to feed the race, most of this being ground into flour. The flouring industry is older than history. It is the first manufacture recorded in American annals. Its annual product exceeds in value that of any other manufacturing industry carried on in this country. It employs more power, with the exception of one, and supplies more home demands and foreign markets, than any other industry. During the past one hundred years our output of flour has brought to our shores more European gold, and redeemed from foreign hands more American indebtedness, than all other American manufacturing industries. The American miller has never asked for government protection and support.

The first wheat was brought to this country by Bartholomew Gosnold, and landed at an island in Buzzard's Bay in 1602. Thence it came to Virginia in 1611. In 1648 Virginia had planted several hundreds of acres of wheat, and was sending it to the New England colonies. During the ten years just preceding the Revolution, Virginia exported 800,000 bushels of wheat per annum. But in the memorable year of 1776 the Hessian fly alighted upon our coast, and made a more successful raid upon the American wheat-fields than the Hessian soldiers were able to make upon the American patriots, and as a result practically drove the wheat industry across the Alleghanies. As early as 1718 the first wheat went into the Mississippi Valley. In 1746 the port of New Orleans received 600 barrels of flour from the Wabash. In 1833 one Illinois county raised 900,000 bushels of wheat. In 1836 the first cargo of 3000 bushels went from Lake Michigan to Buffalo, and two years later the first shipment of thirty-nine bags went out from Chicago. For seventy-five years the growing of wheat and the flouring industry have been following lake navigation into the Northwest, which is now the chief locus of the world's bread-basket.

The first flour-mill mentioned in American history was the hand-mill, which consisted of two small millstones, one having a handle, rubbed upon the other. In the year that Peter Minuit bought Manhattan Island for \$24, namely, in 1626, it is recorded that François Molemacker built upon it a horse-mill. Two years later Minuit erected two or three wind-power grist-mills. About the same time the first windmill in New England was erected near Watertown. A "Dorchester mill" is mentioned in the records of 1628. The first Van Rensselaer who went up the Hudson took with him a millwright and a pair of millstones. In a few years nearly every hill on the Atlantic coast had its windmill, superseding the hand-mill, ox-mill, and horse-mill, and the stone and pestle of the Indians. The first water-mill in New England is credited by history to Israel Stoughton, and was built on the Dorchester side of the Neponset in 1634, thus being the prototype of the water-wheels of New England industry. About the same time John Jenney was granted leave to erect "a mill for grinding and beating corn upon the brook of Plymouth." In ten years Massachusetts was sending wheat and mill-stuff to Portugal. In 1649 Virginia had four windmills, five water-mills, and numerous horse-mills, and was exporting bread-stuffs. In 1678 New York was doing a considerable business both in the manufacture and export of flour. At that time bolting was a separate industry, in which New York enjoyed a charter monopoly. When the charter was repealed in 1694, the cry was raised that the withdrawal of the monopoly "hath produced anarchy in the province, and destroyed the reputation of New York flour."

Perhaps the most celebrated flouring-mills in the period immediately after the Revolution were those of Delaware, on the Brandywine. Twelve merchant flouring-mills, with twenty-five pairs of stones, ground 400,000 bushels of wheat per annum. Wilmington exported 20,000 barrels of superfine flour a year,



in addition to the ship-stuff. There were 130 mills within a radius of forty miles. It was then claimed that "the manufacture of flour was carried to a higher degree of perfection on the Brandywine than in any State in the Union."

Baltimore, on the Patapsco, also came into early prominence as a milling center. As early as 1769 Baltimore exported 40,000 tons of flour and bread, made in the Baltimore district. Its flour ranked high before the Revolution, and it was the first milling point to take up with the new improvements invented by Oliver Evans. Up to 1785 the different milling processes were separate and largely done by hand; but Evans, by the introduction of the elevator, conveyer, and other mechanisms, combined the different steps into a continuous system, dispensing with one half of the labor formerly required, and enabling the miller by machinery alone to take the grain through "from wagon to wagon again." The Brandywine millers, conscious of their superiority, were slow to take up with the revolutionary improvements of Evans; and thus the invention and the milling development passed from the Brandywine to the Patapsco. In 1787 there were 325 barrels daily made in Baltimore, the labor saving as a result of Evans's improvements being estimated at \$4875 per annum, and the increase in value of product being placed at \$32,500. In 1840, within the thirty miles in which the Patapsco fell 800 feet, there were sixty flouring-mills, which ground several hundred thousand barrels of flour per annum, finding a ready market in South America and the West Indies, and being in demand because of its high quality.

After the Brandywine and Patapsco came the falls of the James, which made the mills of Richmond celebrated in home and foreign markets up to recent times. The fame of the Gallego and Haxall mills is traditional. In 1845 a writer in the "National Magazine and Industrial Record" says: "The Gallego and Haxall mills are the largest in the United States, the great mills at Rochester not excepted, and the flour turned out from them commands better prices than any other. It is almost exclusively shipped to and consumed in South America." There were twenty-one flour-mills at Richmond in 1840, which made and shipped a large quantity of superior product, regarding which the government agricultural report of 1864 paid the following high tribute: "The flouring-mills of Richmond are probably equal to any in the world, both in the perfection of their machinery and in the quantity and quality of flour produced." At that time the Gallego mills had thirty-one pairs of bur-

stones and a yearly capacity of 190,000 barrels, while the Haxall mills had a capacity of 160,000 barrels. The Richmond brands commanded fifty cents to one dollar per barrel more than most grades of flour, because of their peculiar quality of keeping sweet on long voyages and in hot climates, thus commanding Latin-American markets.

It is something over three quarters of a century since Rochester and the Genesee Valley sprang into fame as a region of wheat and flour production, and obtained a name which was celebrated on two continents for half a century. The 2300 square miles of the Genesee Valley were unsurpassed in alluvial fertility, and its wheat took prize medals at European exhibitions. Within the city limits of Rochester the Genesee River had successive falls aggregating 268 feet. The Erie Canal, Genesee River, and Tonawanda Railroad brought to the Rochester mills not only the famous wheat of the Genesee Valley, but also that of Ohio and Canada. Rochester was not platted until 1812, but in 1835 there were twenty-one Rochester flour-mills, with ninety-five runs of stone and 5000 barrels' daily capacity. The Rochester brands were on sale in all Atlantic markets. In 1860 there were nineteen flouring-mills, with a yearly product valued at \$2,500,000. In 1865 the flour output was 800,000 barrels. In 1870 Monroe County had thirty mills and a product worth \$4,600,000 a year. Rochester continued to be the "Flour City" of the continent until, in recent years, the growth of the nursery business caused the spelling of the name to be changed to "Flower City."

During the present century the wheat and flour industries of the United States have steadily progressed toward the lake region and Mississippi Valley. The Western trend is shown in the fact that, as early as 1840, the five States of Ohio, Kentucky, Indiana, Illinois, and Michigan had a total of 1200 flouring-mills, which turned out 2,000,000 barrels of flour, or about thirty per cent. of the country's product. In 1850 the milling product of Ohio alone was greater than that of the New England States, New Jersey, and Delaware. In 1860 the Western States produced more flour and other mill products than the New England and Middle States combined. Ohio was second only to New York in value of flour product, while Illinois stood fourth and Indiana fifth in the rank of flour-manufacturing States. Over one half of the flour of the United States in 1860 was produced in the Mississippi Valley and westward. The first trend of flour production westward was down the Ohio River. A steam flour-mill of 700 barrels' weekly capacity was

built in Cincinnati in 1815. Pittsburg had a steam-mill with three pairs of burrstones in 1808. Barges were floated down the Ohio to the Mississippi, and thence to New Orleans, before the era of canals and railroads developed the lake region and the upper Mississippi. Cincinnati, St. Louis, and New Orleans rejoiced in a flourishing business in breadstuffs when Buffalo, Chicago, and Milwaukee were in their cradles, and long before Minneapolis had its first house. Cincinnati possessed ten steam flour-mills in 1840 and thirty-one in 1860, when its mill product reached about \$2,000,000 a year. The flour trade of New Orleans, which began with 600 barrels in 1746, was about one hundred times that figure in 1846, and exceeded 1,000,000 barrels ten years later. Cincinnati's flour receipts rose from 200,000 barrels in 1846 to 500,000 in 1856; and its wheat receipts in that period rose from 400,000 bushels to 1,000,000. But after 1856 Cincinnati began to ship its wheat North and East, instead of to New Orleans, and the latter port rapidly declined as a shipping port for breadstuffs. The delay, risk, and uncertainty of river and Gulf navigation, and the danger to flour and grain from warmth and moisture in the Gulf and lower river climate, made the lake region the natural channel of transportation, as soon as the canals, lake ports, and Northern railway system were equipped for the traffic. The receipts at New Orleans during the past few years are about 700,000 barrels a year, of which only about 100,000 barrels are exported. St. Louis is the one point on the lower Mississippi which has maintained its place as a manufacturer and shipper of flour. Starting with two flour-mills in 1840, St. Louis was turning out 400,000 barrels a year in 1850, and 800,000 in 1860. The million point was passed in 1869, and the two-million point reached in 1879. Since then the output of the St. Louis mills has run from 1,600,000 to 2,000,000 barrels per annum. St. Louis in addition receives over 1,000,000 barrels a year from other points, and ships to Eastern and foreign markets over 2,000,000 barrels per annum. It was the leading flour-manufacturing center just before Minneapolis forged to the front, and is still among the first, being excelled in volume of product by only Minneapolis and Superior.

The era of Northwestern development in flour and grain production and trade dates from the completion of the Erie Canal, October 25, 1825. The New York canals delivered 1,000,000 barrels of flour in 1835, and 3,000,000 barrels in 1850; and of wheat they took to tide-water about 700,000 bushels in 1835, and 19,000,000 bushels in 1860. Of all kinds

of grain the New York canals handled 11,000,000 bushels in 1850, and 41,000,000 in 1860. The flour receipts of Buffalo grew from 139,178 barrels in 1836 to 2,846,022 barrels in 1862; while the wheat receipts mounted up from 304,000 bushels in 1836 to 30,000,000 bushels in 1862. Oswego and Toledo were telling similar stories of growth. The breadstuffs which were giving this enormous traffic to the New York canals and shipping ports were being produced by the rapidly multiplying population which was pouring into the lake States. Michigan, which in 1818 did not have farmers enough to supply the local grain demand, began exporting in 1835. Ohio was second only to New York as a producer of wheat in 1845, and soon after stood at the top of the list, with an annual product of 20,000,000 bushels and over. In 1860 the four leading States in wheat production—Illinois, Indiana, Wisconsin, and Ohio—were all northwest of the Ohio River. The total grain product of what were then called the Northwestern States increased from 200,000,000 bushels in 1840 to 600,000,000 in 1860. Chicago began to ship wheat in 1838, and Milwaukee in 1841. The Illinois and Michigan Canal was constructed in 1848. The railway mileage of Michigan, Wisconsin, Iowa, Illinois, Ohio, and Indiana advanced from 1250 miles in 1850 to over 10,000 miles in 1860. The lake vessel tonnage, mostly grain, increased from 76,000 in 1845 to 390,000 in 1860. The upper Mississippi grain trade, beginning at about 1855, sent 6,000,000 bushels of wheat to Lake Michigan in 1863. Chicago's flour and wheat shipments grew from 78 bushels in 1838 to 22,000,000 in 1862. The wheat and flour shipments of the St. Lawrence, for the four years ending with 1871, as compared with the four years ending with 1859, advanced 165 per cent. Minnesota, which had no railways in 1860, had 3000 miles in 1880, and has 6000 miles at the present time. The Minnesota wheat crop has advanced correspondingly, from 1401 bushels in 1850 to 18,000,000 bushels in 1870, and to 60,000,000 bushels for the present crop year. The Dakotas, which raised 945 bushels of wheat in 1860, and less than 3,000,000 in 1880, have just harvested a crop exceeding 100,000,000 bushels of hard spring wheat. The above facts give eloquent evidence of the enormous development of the Northwest in breadstuffs in recent years, and indicate the resources upon which rests the world's chief flouring industry. Chicago entered upon the manufacture of flour in the forties. In 1855 its flour output was 80,000 barrels; in 1865 it reached 288,000 barrels, going to 575,000





CHARLES A. PILLSBURY.

in 1885, and dropping to 444,000 in 1894. In flour shipments, Chicago rose from 6320 barrels in 1844 to 3,714,000 in 1894. Milwaukee has been a prominent flour-manufacturing point ever since the war. Its product of 142,500 barrels in 1859 went to 752,000 in 1879, rising to 2,117,000 in 1892, and stopping at 1,576,000 in 1894. Its receipts from other points aggregate over 2,000,000 barrels more, and its annual shipments are over 3,000,000 barrels. Milwaukee vies with St. Louis and Superior for the second place among flour-manufacturing cities.

The Minneapolis milling industry, which now seems to be easily the first in the world in the volume of its product, dates back to the first merchant mill of 1854. It is a matter of interest, however, that the first grist-mill at the Falls of St. Anthony was erected for the government by a detachment of fifteen soldiers from Fort Snelling, in 1823. The plant was billed at \$288, and consisted of one pair of burrstones, some plaster of Paris, and two dozen sickles. With this harvesting and milling machinery was reaped and ground the first wheat in Minnesota. The first custom grist-mill did not appear until nearly twenty years later. In 1859 occurred the first shipment East, 100 barrels being sent to Boston at a cost of \$2.25 per hundred for freight, which is \$2 more than the present cost of transportation. In 1865 there were six mills running, with an aggregate daily capacity of 800 barrels; and three years later there were thirteen mills, which turned out 220,000 barrels of flour, valued at \$1,875,000. Down to 1870 the milling process in the United States was that invented by Oliver Evans, with some minor and gradual improvements. From 1787 the nether and upper millstones, the former stationary and the latter balanced to rotate upon it, ground the flour of America. The stones were set close together, to produce as much flour as possible at one grinding. This produced friction and heat, and often brought about chemical changes which injured the color, taste, and quality of the flour. In the early milling history of Minneapolis, when enterprising manufacturers rushed the speed of the stones to secure a large product, the flour came out dark, and so hot the hand could not be held in it. The old Cataract mill of this city cooled its flour with an old-fashioned water-cooler having a circular pit thirty feet across, around which traveled a double sweep. Minneapolis spring wheat-flour then stood low in the scale, and was sometimes branded, at the request of buyers, "St. Louis flour from winter wheat." The hard spring wheat, rich in gluten which made it tough, ren-

dered difficult the separation of flour from bran, and thereby yielded a dark-hued flour which brought a low price in the market. The soft and starchy winter wheat, on the other hand, yielded readily to the old low-grinding process; the bran was more easily separated, and the flour was lighter in color and less damaged by hard grinding. The color and quality of spring wheat-flour were somewhat improved in the best mills by a reduction in pressure and speed and by scientific stone dressing; but the main difficulty remained. The difficulty in grinding spring wheat by the old process was with the middlings, or that part of the kernel between the bran covering and the starchy central body. The middlings, although known to be rich in the gluten which gives wheat-flour its chief value with the baker and pastry-cook, were associated with the bran; and the richer the wheat in gluten, as in the case of hard spring wheat, the more difficult was the process of separation, because the gluten was the cause of the toughness. The first experiments were made with a view to the purifying of middlings. In 1868, E. N. La Croix, a French millwright, came to Faribault, Minn., and experimented in making a middlings purifier, like one he had seen in France. In 1870 he moved to Minneapolis and continued his experiments. At length a machine was made, and a sample batch of flour was sent to New York. Word came back by wire that the new flour was selling at fifty cents a barrel higher than other brands. The La Croix machine was crude and in some respects unsatisfactory, and George T. Smith went to work and produced a superior machine, different in many points, but retaining the same principle, and obtained a patent. As a result of the new middlings purification process the mills using it added fifty cents a barrel to their profits in the first year, \$1 the second year, and from \$2 to \$4 per barrel the third and fourth years. Thereupon Mr. George H. Christian, representing the Washburn mills, a number of head millers from other mills, and myself, representing the Pillsbury mills, went to Europe and made a thorough study of the Hungarian "high-milling" or gradual-reduction roller and middlings process. As a result some of the Minneapolis mills adopted the Hungarian process bodily, middlings purifier and all, and in a few years were compelled to throw away some of the complex machinery with which they were loaded. The Pillsbury mills, however, adopted only what seemed to be the best features of the Hungarian process, such as the rolls, made modifications all along the line, and retained the American middlings purifier invented by Mr. Smith. We

found that the Hungarian system needed simplification to increase its efficiency, to save labor, and especially to avoid dangerous accumulation of mill-dust. The new and improved high-milling system of Minneapolis and Minnesota thus established made the hard spring wheat of the Northwest the best flour material on the globe, immediately added ten to fifteen cents per bushel to its market value, and gave Minneapolis flour the first place among the cooks and bakers of the world. By the new process chilled-iron and porcelain rollers gradually came into use in place of the old millstones. The grain, in place of being ground in a single pair of millstones, was run through six or seven sets of rollers, being sifted and graded after each breaking by the rollers. The old process aimed to get as much flour as possible at one grinding; the new seeks to get as little flour as possible at the first two or three breakings. The old millstones were set so close together that the weight of the upper stone rested almost wholly upon the grain. The first rollers in the new process are set so far apart that the kernel is simply split for the liberation of the germ and crease. The old process sought to avoid middlings as far as possible, because they entailed loss of flour. The new process seeks to produce as much middlings as possible, because out of the middlings comes the high-grade "patent" flour. In the handling of the middlings the new process exhibits the highest art. The gluten, which gives flour its "strength" or "rising" power, is saved and made available to the baker, and made a prominent source of profit both to the farmer who raises the wheat, the miller who grinds the flour, the baker who makes the bread, and finally to the consumer, in whom it is transformed into brain and muscle.

With the introduction of the new milling process came the big mills which have made Minneapolis famous, and the development of the spring-wheat industry which has made the Northwest known around the globe. In 1873 was erected the Washburn "A" Mill, then the largest in the world, and a few years later the Pillsbury "A," which since then has borne the palm. In 1884 there were twenty-three mills equipped with the new process machinery and possessed of a daily capacity of 30,000 barrels. In 1876 the flour shipments of Minneapolis were 1,000,000 barrels; in 1884 they were 5,000,000; and at present are nearly 10,000,000 barrels per annum. The output increased from 940,000 barrels in 1878 to 9,400,000 in 1894. Dividing the fifteen years from 1880 to 1894 into five three-year periods, we find that the second period, 1883-85,

gained 6,214,000 barrels over the first; the third period, 1886-88, gained 5,214,000 over the second; the fourth period, 1889-91, gained 1,156,000 barrels over the third; while the fifth period, 1892-94, including the panic period, gained 7,572,998 barrels over the three years preceding. The twenty-five mills of the city now have a capacity of not quite 60,000 barrels a day, and grind about 50,000,000 bushels of wheat per annum. In the calendar year of 1892 Minneapolis received 72,000,000 bushels of wheat, of which 51,000,000 bushels were converted into 9,750,000 barrels of flour by the Minneapolis mills. During the week preceding this writing the output was 298,900 barrels, which was something more than double the combined outputs of the two next largest milling centers in the United States. Its heavy receipts as a primary wheat market, and extensive shipments as a direct exporter of flour to foreign markets, are prominent factors which have contributed to the development of Minneapolis as a flour-manufacturing center. In the past ten crop years Minneapolis has received 492,000,000 bushels of wheat, nearly double the receipts of any other primary wheat market in the country; and of this has consumed in its mills 370,000,000 bushels. During these ten crop years Minneapolis has exported to Europe 25,000,000 barrels of flour, or not quite twenty-five per cent. of the flour exports of the United States for that period. The wheat receipts increased from 1,000,000 bushels in 1867-68, when the first elevators were built, to 10,000,000 bushels in 1880, when Minneapolis ranked eighth among the primary wheat markets of the country. Four years later Minneapolis was the leading primary wheat market, a position which has been maintained during the ten years succeeding. The first flour exports to foreign markets were made in 1878, with an entering wedge of a little over 100,000 barrels. It took considerable effort and time to overcome European prejudice, but at the end of a dozen years Minneapolis was able to place 2,000,000 barrels of its high-grade product in the hands of Europe's bakers and housekeepers, and the trade is still growing. American flour is used abroad both alone under its own name, and also as an ingredient to mix with European flour. Contrary to the general habit here, English millers often mix one kind and grade of wheat with another, so as to produce flour which shall be adapted to their particular needs. Their climate is moist, and their bread is baked in larger loaves than those to which we are accustomed. Little bread is eaten in the United States that is over thirty-six hours old, while that which has been made twice

as long is frequent on British tables. There is little consumption of flour there in biscuits, such as are made by the ordinary American housewife in large quantities. In spite of all these differences, flour from this side is in great request abroad, and is now essential to the English baker and householder.

The flour output and direct exports of the Minneapolis mills for eighteen crop years, ending with August 31st of each year, are given in the table attached:

OUTPUT AND EXPORTS OF MINNEAPOLIS FLOUR.

YEAR.	OUTPUT.		EXPORTS.	
	BARRELS.		BARRELS.	
1894-95.....	9,418,225	2,377,090		
1893-94.....	9,321,630	2,362,551		
1892-93.....	9,349,615	3,066,972		
1891-92.....	9,500,255	3,668,380		
1890-91.....	7,434,098	2,576,545		
1889-90.....	6,863,015	2,091,215		
1888-89.....	5,749,830	1,557,575		
1887-88.....	7,244,930	2,617,795		
1886-87.....	6,375,250	2,523,030		
1885-86.....	5,951,200	2,288,500		
1884-85.....	5,221,243	1,834,544		
1883-84.....	5,317,672	1,805,876		
1882-83.....	4,046,220	1,343,105		
1881-82.....	3,175,910	1,201,631		
1880-81.....	3,142,972	1,181,322		
1879-80.....	2,051,840	769,442		
1878-79.....	1,551,789	442,598		
1877-78.....	940,786	109,183		

Superior, St. Louis, and Milwaukee, in the order named, are the milling centers next in size, following Minneapolis. Then follow Duluth, Toledo, Kansas City, Indianapolis, Buffalo, and Niagara Falls; the next group being Chicago, Baltimore, Cleveland, Cincinnati, Detroit, Philadelphia, and Peoria. Superior has made the most remarkable progress during the past two or three years, increasing its output from 60,000 barrels in 1892 to 2,028,000 in 1894. Superior and Duluth, the twin head-of-the-lakes towns, have produced during the first nine months of this year 2,387,375 barrels of flour, as against 1,969,135 for the same months last year, and 710,000 for the corresponding period in 1892. Toledo has been showing marked advancement of late, having pushed its 1892 output of 589,000 barrels to 869,000 barrels in 1894. Kansas City exhibits a still larger advance, climbing up from 275,000 barrels in 1892 to 725,000 last year. The Buffalo and Niagara Falls mills have a desirable location and have taken rank as flour producers within the past few years. Their production of the past two seasons, however, has shown no increase. Buffalo's 729,000 barrels of 1892 became 678,500 in 1894, and the outside mills allowed their output to drop from 696,770 to 614,032. Cincinnati and Indianapolis, in the valley

of the Ohio, have shown recent increase in production; while the lake ports of Chicago, Milwaukee, Detroit, and Cleveland have dropped somewhat, as also have Baltimore, St. Louis, and Peoria. The 1894 products of the dozen chief milling centers were as follows:

PRODUCTS OF TWELVE MILLING CENTERS.

PLACE.	BARRELS.
Minneapolis.....	9,400,535
Superior—Duluth.....	2,946,292
St. Louis.....	1,656,645
Milwaukee.....	1,576,064
Buffalo—Niagara Falls.....	1,292,565
Toledo.....	869,500
Kansas City.....	725,390
Indianapolis.....	690,096
Chicago.....	444,000
Baltimore.....	420,373
Cleveland.....	402,000
Cincinnati.....	335,821

The flour export trade of the United States is almost as old as the flour industry. It dates back over two hundred years. Virginia and New York were exporting breadstuffs and building up a trade with Spain, Portugal, and the West Indies a century before the Revolution. The New England colonies were sending flour to the West Indies in 1720-30. In 1729 Philadelphia exported 35,438 barrels of flour, together with enough bread and wheat to bring the export value of breadstuffs for that year to \$300,000. In 1865 Philadelphia's exports of breadstuffs reached the value of over \$2,000,000. In 1771 that city's flour exports were 252,000 barrels. When, in 1770, the total flour exports of the colonies reached 458,000 barrels, Lord Sheffield announced in Great Britain that he doubted that this country would ever be able to exceed that figure. Edmund Burke, in his speech of 1774, paid the flour export trade of America the following exuberant and ponderous tribute: "For some time past the Old World has been fed from the New. The scarcity you have felt would have been a desolating famine if this child of your old age, with a true filial piety, with a Roman charity, had not put the full breast of its youthful exuberance to the mouth of its exhausted parent."

Just one hundred years ago this year the flour exports of the United States were 687,369 barrels, and the breadstuffs comprised about one third of the total exports. In the first year of the present century the flour exports passed the million-barrel point, and in 1811 passed a million and a half. But the export trade was extremely fluctuating, and did not pass the two-million point until forty years later. During the twenty-five years 1820-44 the average value of flour exports per annum was about \$5,000,-

000, which was about ten per cent. of the value of all exports. In 1844 our shipments of breadstuffs, mostly flour and bread, to Latin America were not quite \$7,000,000, which exceeded the exports of all other manufactures, and was more than one third of our total Latin-American exports. During the first half of the century, flour, next to cotton, was our chief dependence for export. Then there was a sudden and radical dropping off in the flour trade, with no signs of recovery during the next twenty-five years.

The reason why, from 1850 to 1875, this country lost its foreign trade in flour, and shipped its wheat for European mills to grind, was that milling during that period was making rapid progress on the other side of the ocean, while we were still clinging to the old process of 1800. As early as 1810, Ignaz Paur, of Austria, invented a middlings purifier. Experiments began with the roller-mill in Paris, Vienna, and Switzerland in 1820. Pesth and half a dozen other milling centers successfully used roller-mills before 1840. Ten years later roller-mill machinery was exhibited at the London Exhibition, and was thereafter used in Great Britain. Gradual improvements were made down to 1873. This development in the art and science of European milling called for large quantities of American wheat to be used in European mills, and gradually shut out American flour from European markets. In 1854 our millers sent 1,846,000 barrels to Great Britain; while in 1865 they sent only 200,000 barrels to all Europe. During the five years ending with 1830, 99.5 per cent. of the value of wheat and flour exports was flour; in the five years ending with 1835, flour constituted 97.5 per cent. of the total value of wheat and flour exports; and in the ten years ending with 1845, still 92.5 per cent. of the total wheat and flour exports was flour. Then came the hungry demand of European millers for American wheat. From 2,900,000 bushels in the five years ending with 1845, they increased their demands to 21,864,000 for the five years closing with 1855, to 178,000,000 for 1860-65, and to 296,000,000 bushels for the five-year period 1870-75. The percentage of flour exports dropped to 43 per cent. in 1860-70, and finally to 27.8 per cent. for the five years ending with 1875. The percentage of flour in the total wheat and flour exports had declined over 70 per cent. in forty years.

But the improved milling process and the hard wheat of the Northwest have in a measure retrieved our lost ground in the European flour market. Our flour exports to the United Kingdom have risen

from 1,231,324 barrels in 1875 to 9,987,179 in 1894, and our exports to the Continent have been multiplied by fifty, increasing the insignificant 31,718 barrels of 1875 to 1,853,156 barrels in 1894. During the past two fiscal years, ending June 30, 1895, this country has exported \$120,000,000 of flour, as against \$103,000,000 of wheat. In other words, the percentage of flour exports to wheat has about doubled since the new milling process was established in the hard-wheat region twenty years ago. We have shipped to the United Kingdom during the past two fiscal years \$73,000,000 of flour, as against \$63,000,000 of wheat. To Latin America and the Orient flour is the chief export in breadstuffs, being about \$5,000,000 for the Orient and \$23,000,000 for Latin America during the two fiscal years. With the milling cities of the Pacific coast to supply the Orient, where, indeed, they are now building up a good trade; the flour manufacturers of Baltimore, Richmond, St. Louis, and the valley of the Ohio to supply the Latin-American markets, as they are now doing with success; and the milling centers of the lake region and upper Mississippi to meet the demands of Europe, the United States is in a fair way to take care of the world's hungry. That our efforts in this line are not vain is shown by the fact that the exports of the milling industry nearly equal all the exports of the other manufacturing industries.

Until 1890 the flour industry led all other manufacturing industries in the value of its annual product. In 1890 it was exceeded only by the meat-packing industry. The flour industry still turns out a product greater in value than that of the iron and steel industry, the foundry and machine, the lumber, clothing, or than that of all the textile industries. The annual product of the flour industry was valued at \$135,000,000 in 1850, at \$223,000,000 in 1860, at \$444,000,000 in 1870, at \$505,000,000 in 1880, and at \$513,971,000 in 1890. The iron and steel industry follows, with a product valued at \$430,000,000; the foundry and machine industry, with a \$412,000,000 product; lumber, \$403,000,000; and clothing, \$378,000,000. The total value of textile product, including cotton, woolen, silk, and linen goods, is about \$500,000,000. The slaughtering and meat-packing industry, in 1890, tops all others in the value of its product, which is placed at \$564,000,000; although it is represented by only 1367 establishments, as against something over 18,000 flour and grist mills.

Until 1890 New York was the leading State in the aggregate value of its flour and grist mill product.



New York's mill product was valued by the government census bureau at \$16,900,000 in 1840; \$33,000,000 in 1850; \$35,000,000 in 1860; \$60,000,000 in 1870; \$49,000,000 in 1880; and \$52,000,000 in 1890. It is noticeable how radically New York's product fell in the ten years between 1870 and 1880, when the new milling process was being adopted in the hard spring-wheat region, thus changing the seat of the flour industry from the winter-wheat States to the Northwest. In 1890 Minnesota rose to the place formerly held by New York. In 1840 Minnesota made no flour; in 1850 the value of the product was \$500; in 1860 the State is credited with a product worth \$1,300,000; in 1870 the product is still worth only \$7,500,-

000; but in 1880, with the new process successfully established, the product suddenly rises to \$41,500,000, and in 1890 to \$60,000,000. New York, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Illinois, Missouri, Indiana, Wisconsin, and Michigan follow in the order named, with products running from \$52,000,000 down to \$22,000,000.

The part which the American flour industry has had in redeeming the country's indebtedness and in bringing to our treasuries European gold appears in the fact that during the one hundred years ending with June 30, 1895, this country has exported something over \$1,700,000,000 worth of flour, which is about ten per cent. of the entire flour and grist mill product of the United States for the century.

*Chas. A. Pillsbury,*





## CHAPTER XL

# AMERICAN GLASS INTERESTS

THE products of the glass-furnace, according to the ancient records, date back from four to six thousand years. Rawlinson states that glass was known in Egypt in the pyramid period, which he places at 2450 B.C.; and from that period down to the Christian era there is no doubt that the art had reached a high state of perfection, from the beauty of the specimens that are still in existence. Glass making has always attracted much attention, and had made much progress in Europe before the discovery and settlement of America. One of the first articles manufactured in this country was glass. Mr. Joseph D. Weeks, who has had charge of the glass interests for the census of 1880 and 1890, says, in a carefully prepared history of glass making in this country, that the first American glass was made within a mile of Jamestown, Va., in 1608. The hope of sudden wealth from the discovery of gold and silver was doubtless the chief cause for the formation of the London Company and its first attempt to colonize Virginia. It was, however, a commercial venture with the hope of profit; and, with the shrewdness characteristic of the English merchant not only of that but of other periods, this company did not forget the possibilities that were near at hand in its search for what it believed would be greater ones in the near future. The vessel which carried Captain Newport on his second voyage in 1608 brought out also eight Poles and Germans to make pitch, tar, glass, mills, and soap-ashes, and the first exports of manufactures from what is now the United States were the results of the trials made at the first furnace erected in this country. It is said the works were destroyed at the massacre in 1622.

In 1795, the time from which this record is to be made, there is no record of any glass-works in Virginia. In the census of 1810 Virginia does not appear as a glass-making State. In the census of 1820 a glass-works is reported in Brooke County. It made that year \$20,000 worth of glass; had \$12,000 capital;

paid out \$8000 for wages and \$12,000 for materials and contingent expenses, or exactly the value of the product. It employed 14 men and 12 boys in 1827. It is reported that glass decanters of great beauty were made at these works, and white-flint and green-glass wares were made that rivaled the foreign. At the Tariff Convention in 1831 there were two flint-furnaces, with twelve pots, reported in operation in Wellsburg, and one, with six pots, at Wheeling, Va. Two window-glass furnaces were also reported at Wheeling. In 1840 one glass-works is reported in Brooke County (the Wellsburg), and three in Ohio County (the Wheeling).

The first mention of a glass-works in Pennsylvania is found in a letter written by William Penn, in August, 1683, to the Free Society of Traders. In this letter he alludes to their tannery, sawmill, and glass-works. Where these works were located, or what kinds of glass they made, is not known. In 1795 there was doubtless some glass made in Pennsylvania. A glass-house was sold on March 6, 1800, to Joseph Roberts, Jr., James Rutlans, and James Rowland, for \$2333, subject to \$15 ground-rent. They carried on these works under the firm name of James Rowland & Company, and in 1801 had their store at 80 North Fourth Street. The works were afterward carried on by several parties, and finally, in 1833, were sold to Dr. Thomas W. Dyott. In eastern Pennsylvania, prior to 1831, a number of attempts seem to have been made with but little success, and the works carried on by Dr. Dyott were evidently looked upon as being of national importance. It is stated that President Jackson visited this establishment, which in 1833 consumed 15,000 barrels of rosin for fuel. From 250 to 300 men and boys were constantly employed; five furnaces were operated, which used both wood, coal, and rosin, melted 8000 pounds of batch a day, and produced about 1200 tons of glass a year, which was blown into apothecaries' vials, bottles, and shop-furniture. Dr. Dyott failed in 1838, and

the works passed into other hands, and at this time are operated in the manufacture of green glass, and have quite a reputation for the making of demijohns.

Of early glass making in western Pennsylvania full accounts are given. It is claimed that Albert Gallatin commenced the first glass-works there at his settlement of New Geneva, ninety miles south of Pittsburgh, on the Monongahela River. It seems to be generally accepted that the works were started in 1797, and were used for the manufacture of window-glass. The furnace was a small one, with eight pots, using wood as fuel and ashes for alkali. The glass-house was forty by forty; three sides frame and one side stone. One man could lift the pots, while now it would require four men to lift the pots used in window-glass works. The title of the firm was Gallatin & Company, but was afterward changed to the New Geneva Glass-Works. It is said that for a time this enterprise was exceedingly profitable, there being but two or possibly three other window-glass works in the country, most of the glass for that purpose being brought from England. The glass was sold at \$14 per box of 100 feet, but was doubtless of inferior quality. A works at New Geneva was reported as late as 1832, but when they were finally abandoned Mr. Weeks was not able to learn.

In 1796 Major Isaac Craig and Colonel James O'Hara erected the first glass-house in Pittsburgh. It is claimed that these were the first works west of the mountains to make glass, and they are said to have started a month before those of Mr. Gallatin. These were the first works to use coal as a fuel, and were located at the south side of the Monongahela River, just above where it unites with the Allegheny to form the Ohio. The site, or part of it, has been continuously occupied as a glass-works, Thomas Weightman & Company occupying it until quite a recent date. The use of coal was an innovation, and even as late as 1810 this fuel was not used in any of the glass-works in the United States other than those in Pittsburgh. Messrs. O'Hara and Craig were the pioneers in its use, and to them should be given the credit. As was the custom in window-glass factories in those days, one or more of the pots were used for the making of bottles, and among Colonel O'Hara's papers, found after his death, was a memorandum in his handwriting, stating: "To-day we made the first bottle, at a cost of \$30,000."

As in all new enterprises, and particularly the making of glass, it is only men of perseverance and determination who succeed; and had not Messrs. Craig and O'Hara been men of that character the venture would have fallen the first year. As a rule,

the men who are secured from old-established glass factories are really not the best men; and not only did the early manufacturers suffer from a lack of experience, but also from the fact that their employees were not always capable of doing the work they were engaged to do. And it may be said that at the present time no new works, established in a location in which glass has not been made, can make a profit of any moment the first two or three years; and the first year must invariably be counted as a losing one. Major Craig wrote to Samuel Hodgson, of Philadelphia, August 5, 1803: "With respect to our glass manufacturing, the establishment has been attended with greater expense than we had estimated. This has been occasioned partly by very extensive buildings necessarily erected to accommodate a number of people employed in the manufacture, together with their families, and partly by the ignorance of some people in whose skill of that business we reposed too much confidence. Scarcity of some of the materials at the commencement of the manufacturing was also attended with considerable expense. We have, however, by perseverance and attention, brought the manufacture to comparative perfection. During the last blast, which commenced at the beginning of January, and continued six months, we made on an average thirty boxes a week of excellent window-glass, besides bottles and other hollow ware to the amount of one third the value of the window-glass, eight by ten selling at \$13.50, ten by twelve at \$15, and other sizes in proportion."

In the fall of 1807, Mr. George Robinson, a carpenter, and Mr. Edward Ensell, an English glass worker, commenced the erection of a flint-glass works in Pittsburgh, on the banks of the Monongahela, under the firm name of Robinson & Ensell. They appear, however, to have lacked capital, and were unable to finish the establishment, which, without being completed, was offered for sale. In August, 1808, Mr. Thomas Bakewell and his friend, Mr. Page, who were visiting Pittsburgh at the time, were induced to purchase this plant, on the representation of Mr. Ensell that he thoroughly understood the business. This was the beginning of the firm of Bakewell & Page, which by itself and successors continued in the manufacture of flint-glass until some time after the census of 1880. Mr. Bakewell experienced the trouble usual in a new business. The difficulties he met with would have disheartened a less determined man, and the lack of skill on the part of his workmen, and the inferiority of the materials, interfered at first with his success. His furnace was badly constructed; his workmen

were not highly skilled, and would not permit the introduction of apprentices; and his materials were received from a distance at a time when transportation was difficult and expensive, pearl-ash and red lead coming over the mountains in wagons from Philadelphia, and pot-clay from Burlington, N. J. The sand was obtained near Pittsburg, but was yellowish, and up to that time had only been used for window-glass and bottles. The saltpeter came from the caves of Kentucky until 1825, when the supply was brought from Calcutta. These difficulties in time were overcome; good clay was procured from Holland, and purer materials were discovered, and Mr. Bakewell rebuilt his furnace on a better plan, competent workmen being either instructed or brought over from Europe. Through his energy and perseverance the works became eminently successful, and there is no doubt that Mr. Bakewell is entitled to the honor of erecting and operating the first flint-glass works in this country. The furnace built or completed in 1808 held six twenty-inch pots; this was replaced in 1810 by a ten-pot furnace, and in 1814 another furnace of the same capacity was added to the works. The establishment was burned down in the great fire of 1845, but was immediately rebuilt. The site is now occupied in part by the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad depot.

During the last one hundred years Massachusetts has played a very important part in the production of glass, which was manufactured as early as 1639 at Salem. But, from all the records that exist, the history previous to the Revolution was one of failure. Shortly after the Revolution Boston again commenced the manufacture of glass, which for many years was one of the leading industries of Boston and Massachusetts. The new enterprise, the Boston Crown-Glass Company, which was really the first successful glass-works in this country, was greatly helped by the liberal action of the State. In July, 1787, Messrs. Whalley, Hunnewell, and others received from the legislature a charter conferring upon them the exclusive right to manufacture glass in Massachusetts for fifteen years, and imposing a fine of \$500 upon any one infringing on this right. The capital stock was exempted from all taxes, and the workmen from all military duty. To counteract the effect of the bounty paid by England on the exportation of glass from the kingdom, a bounty was paid for every table of glass made. Owing to the many difficulties incident to the starting of a new industry, the operation of making glass did not commence until 1792. The company commenced with the manufacture of crown window-glass, and in 1798

produced glass to the value of \$82,000 per annum. This concern was incorporated in 1809, and under the influence of the State bounty the proprietors were encouraged to continue their efforts, and became very successful. The glass was said to be superior to the imported, and well known throughout the United States as "Boston window-glass." These works were continued until 1826, when the company failed, from bad management. This early establishment led to the commencement of many others, but none of them could be considered successful. Many attempts have since been made in Massachusetts to establish the manufacture of window-glass. In 1860 a large establishment was erected for the manufacture of sheet window-glass, but its operation proved unprofitable, and at this time there is only one window-glass works in the State, which is located in Berkshire County, in the western part.

The manufacture of flint-glass grew out of the Essex Street works. Mr. Thomas Caines, who was an employee there, was also a skilful blower and metal mixer. He prevailed upon the management to allow him to build a small six-pot furnace in a part of their works at South Boston. This furnace was fully employed during the War of 1812, and was the beginning of the flint-glass industry in Massachusetts; but it was compelled to cease work, and although several attempts were made to operate it between 1820 and 1840, they all failed. About the time this furnace was started, the Porcelain and Glass Manufacturing Company was incorporated, and built a factory at East Cambridge. The furnace was a small one, containing six pots. Workmen were brought from abroad, but it proved a failure. The plant in 1815 was leased to a firm of workmen, Emmet, Fisher & Flowers; but they failed to agree, and in 1817 the Porcelain Company sold the property at auction to the New England Glass Company. This was the beginning of one of the most successful glass companies in this country. The works, when they commenced, had a small six-pot furnace, the pots holding about 600 pounds; 40 hands were employed, and they produced glass to the value of \$40,000. It was really the foundation of the flint-glass industry in the United States. The management was broad and liberal from the beginning; for fifty years they led in the production of flint and colored glass of all varieties. Workmen were brought from abroad, and every means employed that capital and skill could compass to produce results equal to anything in the world. In 1865, which was probably the highest point reached in their history, they operated five furnaces of ten pots each, each pot



JAMES GILLINDER.



holding 2000 pounds; 500 hands were employed, and glass to the value of \$500,000 was produced yearly. The influence of the New England Glass-Works has been felt all over the land, as many of their employees and managers have been the means of establishing the industry in other parts of the country. Fine-blown, cut, and pressed glass were made in great variety. The works are not now in existence.

When the Western manufacturer commenced to make lime-glass with bicarbonate of soda and lime, in place of lead and pearl-ash, the thought in the minds of the management of the New England Works was that its success would be only temporary, and they failed to meet the changed condition. A very large proportion of their production at this time was pressed glass, and for several years, in the attempt to meet the competition of the cheap products of the Western manufacturers with their more costly products, the works were run at a loss, which amounted during the last year they operated to more than \$40,000. In 1879 they ceased operation, after a successful career of sixty-two years, and were then leased by William L. Libbey & Son, and operated by them until August, 1888, when they moved to Toledo, O., and the old works were dismantled.

In 1825 a plant was established at Sandwich, commencing in a small way, with one eight-pot furnace, and melted 7000 pounds of glass. In 1865 it had been increased to four furnaces, ten pots each, and a melting capacity of 100,000 pounds weekly. It was in these works that the modern invention of pressing glass was first successfully introduced, in 1827. Of this I will speak later on. The same cause that brought about the failure of the New England Glass Company caused their failure, and in 1888, after several years of financial loss, the company suspended operation. They had built up quite a town at Sandwich, and up to 1865 had been prosperous and successful, employing for sixty-three years a large number of people, and making a fine line of cut, blown, colored, and pressed glass.

During the period in which these two Massachusetts factories were in existence they were in the lead, and while a number of others had been established, none had reached the success of these two noted works, which are now only a part of the record. Quite recently an attempt has been made to operate one of the furnaces at Sandwich, the success of which is yet to be demonstrated. At this time there are only four flint-furnaces operated in Massachusetts, two of them being at New Bedford, one at Somerville, a suburb of Boston, and one at Sand-

wich. There are, besides, the window and part-plate works at Berkshire. So that Massachusetts, that in 1860 led the flint-glass industry in this country, has almost ceased to be a factor at this time.

Maryland was quite an important State in the early production of glass, and the records show that the attention of Congress was called to the value of the industry by Mr. John Frederick Amelung, who petitioned Congress to extend its patronage to his works at New Bremen. A motion was made in Congress by Mr. Carroll to loan him not exceeding \$8000, on his giving security for its repayment. The motion was debated for several days, during which was brought out the fact that Mr. Amelung had spent over £20,000, and brought over from abroad over 200 workmen, in his attempts to establish the industry. The motion was defeated. We have an after record that in 1794 Mr. Amelung, with Mr. Whalley, of Boston, presented a petition for an increase of duties. These works appear to have been built at Fredericktown, but were afterward moved to Baltimore. They were not a success, and it is probable he crossed the mountains and helped to start the flint-works at Pittsburg. According to Howard, a plant was established for the making of window-glass in 1790, known as the Baltimore Glass-Works. These are the window-glass works operated by Baker Brothers until quite recently, and said by them to have been established in 1790. They have operated them since 1852. Maryland, however, since that period, has been quite a glass State. Window-glass and green and flint bottles have been made to a greater or less extent, and according to the census of 1890 the State has eleven works, producing wares to the value of \$1,256,697, and employing 1363 hands.

One of the earliest glass-works in this country was located at Allowaystown, in Salem County, N. J. It was the beginning of the glass industry in that State, and was built about the year 1760 by a German named Wister, who carried on the works until his failure in 1775. The workmen then went from this place to Glassboro, and established the industry there. Plenty of pine-wood for fuel was found in this locality, and a very fair grade of sand, which was good enough for bottles, jars, vials, and the common kinds of green glass made by them. Glass making has been carried on at this place ever since that time. The first establishment commenced with a six-pot furnace, but gradually extended until a town surrounded the works, and they now report a capital of \$1,106,499.95, and manufacture from 50,000,000 to 60,000,000 bottles each year. A member

of the present firm, Mr. John P. Whitney, is said to be a descendant of one of the original workmen who established the works.

Up to 1870 there were glass factories erected at thirty-seven different localities. Many of them ran for only a short period. The cheapness of wood and sand no doubt led to the building of many, and the fact that expensive buildings were not required, most of them being frame structures built of the cheapest materials. With the exception of a flint-works at Jersey City and one at Camden, the glass made in New Jersey was bottles, jars, vials, and window-glass, and in 1880, according to the census, New Jersey produced bottles, jars, and vials, under the head of green glass, to the amount of \$1,681,015, the largest amount produced by any one State; window-glass to the amount of \$729,155; and glass-ware, under which head come flint-glass bottles, valued at \$400,000.

New York is now losing ground as a glass-producing State, but during the past one hundred years large quantities of glassware have been made, and some of the works have had a national reputation. In January, 1785, Leonard de Neufville and his associates, the proprietors of a glass factory located ten miles from Albany, at Dovesborough, in the midst of a well-wooded pine forest, applied to the legislature for aid in the undertaking, giving as a reason that £30,000 annually was sent abroad for glass. In 1793 the legislature of New York voted to loan them \$3000 for eight years without interest, and five years at five per cent., but by this time the works had passed out of the De Neufville family. The history of glass making in New York State shows that up to 1850 there had not been much headway made in establishing it on a permanently successful basis. Many factories were started, but ran for only a short time, and none of those in operation in 1850 are now in existence.

In 1820 some workmen left the New England Glass-Works at East Cambridge and built a factory in New York City, under the firm name of Fisher & Gilland; but in 1823 the partnership was dissolved, and Mr. Gilland removed to Brooklyn, where he established what were known as the South Ferry Flint-Glass Works. Mr. Gilland up to 1850 was evidently very successful. He had the reputation of making the finest flint-glass made in this country, and at the London Exhibition in 1851 took a medal for the best flint-glass on exhibition. He afterward failed, and the works are not now in existence. In the census of 1880 New York had nine window-glass works, producing glass to the value of \$1,157,571; nine

green-glass works, producing glass to the value of \$722,322. This record shows that the establishments were not very extensive, as they average only a little more than \$75,000 per factory.

From all the information obtainable, glass had been made up to this time in fifteen States in the Union. In Maine and Connecticut there is no glass made at the present time. It is impossible, owing to the imperfect state in which the census was taken, to get anything like an accurate account of the value of the product, or the number of people employed, previous to the census of 1870. Like other industries in the United States, the history of the glass business was, between 1850 and 1860, one of great depression. Fine glass was made in New England and in New York and in one or two factories in Pittsburg, but the bulk of the product was of poor quality, and the window-glass did not in any way measure up to the imported glass. During this period, however, a great impetus was given to the flint-glass business by the making of coal-oil from coal and the later discovery of petroleum. The demand for lamps and lamp-chimneys was very extensive. One of the first to make a specialty of glass for lighting purposes was Christopher Dorflinger, who started with a capital of \$1000 in 1852, in Concord Street, Brooklyn. The furnace held five small pots, and was afterward increased to hold seven, until in 1861 he was operating four furnaces. The first year his sales amounted to \$30,000, and he employed eighty-five people. When he left Brooklyn in 1865 his sales amounted to \$300,000. The factories increased in Brooklyn, from 1858 to 1865, from two to fifteen, mostly making the same class of ware, which was principally for lighting purposes—lamp-chimneys, gas-globes, and lamps. In 1865 Mr. Dorflinger moved to White Mills, and established what is now one of the best-known and largest of the manufactories of cut glass, while at the same time the reputation of the Dorflinger cut glass is second to none. Mr. Dorflinger has a record of forty-three years in the manufacture of flint-glass.

In 1860, from the best records we can get, the product of the glass factories did not exceed \$7,000,000. 1861 and 1862 were off years. The excitement incident to the commencement of the war produced great depression, but from 1862 until 1870 the increase in production was very great, and the census showed 154 establishments, with 15,367 employees, producing glass to the value of \$16,470,507, with a capital invested of \$13,826,142. It was during this decade that great improvements were made in the making of pressed glass. The modern dis-



covery of pressing glass was an American invention, and the credit is given to the Sandwich Glass Company, who, at the solicitation of a carpenter, in 1827 made a mold to press an article he wanted made. After that the mold increased rapidly in favor, but was used only for the commoner class of goods for many years, until the New England Glass Company, by a series of expensive molds, had produced some very fine effects in pressed glass. The triumphs of pressed glass in this country, however, came from Pittsburg. James B. Lyons & Company, of the O'Hara Glass-Works, Pittsburg, made for many years pressed glass only, and in 1867 made an exhibit at the Paris Exposition, and took the first prize for fine pressed glassware. Goblets and wine-glasses were made almost as fine and delicate as those made by the old mode of blowing and cutting. Prior to 1864 the pressed glass was either made of flint-glass, the ingredients of which were the best of sand, pearl-ash, refined saltpeter, and oxide of lead, and was a very good crystal glass, or from what was then known as German flint or lime glass, the ingredients of which were soda-ash, lime, nitrate of soda, and sand. This latter made a very inferior glass, apt to crack, and very poor in appearance. It was used principally in common tumblers and some lamp-chimneys.

In the winter of 1864, Mr. William Leighton, Sr., of the firm of Hobbs, Brockunier & Company, of Wheeling, made a series of experiments with bicarbonate of soda, with pure sand, lime, and refined nitrate of soda, and produced a very clear, brilliant glass, at a cost for the batch of not more than one third that of the lead-glass or flint batch. The result was a complete revolution in the pressed-glass business. It was impossible for the manufacturer making flint-glass to compete, and the result was that all had to adapt themselves to the change, and some were driven out of the business. Up to this time (1870) there had been very little change in the furnaces, which were mostly the old-fashioned type of round furnace, with the coal fired over the bench, or the Frisbie bucket-teaser, where the coal was pushed up from below. But the close competition and the desire for increased production led to the effort to get better results from the furnaces, and between 1870 and 1880 larger furnaces were built, into which, by a series of flues, hot air was introduced to the combustion-chamber, and much greater heat secured with much less fuel. Many of the furnaces also hold from thirteen to fifteen pots, and many of the pots each hold two tons of glass.

In 1880 the census reports show that the number

of establishments had increased to 211, employees to 24,177, production to \$21,154,571, and that the industry was divided among sixteen States. It was during this decade that the Centennial Exhibition held in Philadelphia gave a large impetus to so many industries. One of the great attractions was the glass-works operated by Gillinder & Sons, of Philadelphia. It was a complete establishment, showing the processes of melting, blowing, pressing, cutting, etching, and annealing. The furnace held six pots, and melted double the amount of glass made by the first flint-glass works operated in this country by Bakewell & Page, in 1808. This was the first time anything of this kind was attempted in an international exhibition. The product was sold as souvenirs, and realized \$96,000. Over \$14,000 was paid to the Centennial Board of Finance as commission on the sales.

At the close of 1880 the glass trade was in a very prosperous condition. Prices were good, and the outlook looked promising for the future; and it is from this period we must date the wonderful progress of plate-glass making in this country. In 1880 there were but four plate-glass works in this country, and only three in operation. They were located at New Albany, Ind., Jeffersonville, Ind., Crystal City, Mo., and Louisville, Ky., the latter plant being idle. The first attempt to make plate-glass was made in 1852, when Messrs. Tilton, Pepper & Scudder started a factory at Williamsburg, now part of Brooklyn, N. Y. The works were under the management of Cuthbert Dixon, a plate-glass worker from the Thames Plate-Glass Works, London, England. They produced a good quality of rough plate, but, owing to the ruinous competition of the English and German manufacturers, at the end of two years they were compelled to close. There is some dispute as to where the first plate-glass was made in the United States, but there are existing proofs that the Williamsburg works were the first, based upon the records found in an old diary of the late William S. Dixon, of Pittsburg, who was employed there as pot maker, his father being the manager.

Attempts were made to make plate-glass at Cheshire, Mass., Lenox Furnace, Mass., and at Greenpoint, L. I., previous to 1860. There are records of polished plate-glass being made at Lenox in 1865, but it was not continued. The successful founder of the plate-glass industry in this country is Mr. James B. Ford, of Pittsburg. In the year 1869 Mr. Ford conceived the idea of making polished plate-glass, and with this in view visited the works at Lenox, gathered what information he could from the work-

men who had been imported from abroad, and returned to New Albany with the determination to make plate-glass. Machinery for this purpose was imported, and the new plant was speedily successful so far as the production of plate-glass was concerned; but, like all new enterprises of the kind, it was not profitable, and in 1872 Mr. Ford withdrew. The factory was continued by William C. de Pauw until his death, and afterward by his heirs. To the indomitable will and perseverance of this gentleman this country is indebted for the early success of the industry, as he demonstrated, after a hard struggle, that polished plate-glass could be made here at a profit. Mr. Ford afterward built a factory at Louisville, Ky. It had two twelve-pot furnaces and was equipped with the old-style French machinery. He ran these works for two years and sold out, removing to Jeffersonville, Ind., where he built a plant that he operated until he moved to Creighton, Pa., in 1881.

Shortly after the building of the New Albany plant, Mr. E. B. Ward, of Detroit, and others, attracted by a very extensive deposit of sand of fine quality, originated the American Plate-Glass Company, with a capital stock of \$250,000, and began in 1872 the erection of works at Crystal City, Mo. The capitalization was increased in 1874 to \$500,000, and the works were operated until 1876, producing some glass of good quality; but, owing to lack of experience, the management failed to make a profit. In 1877 the works were reorganized, new capital was secured, Mr. A. E. Hitchcock, of St. Louis, president of the old company, continuing in charge. Mr. G. F. Neal, a practical plate-glass manager, took charge of the works, and a Siemens furnace was erected. The works have been largely increased, and plate-glass is made in Crystal City equal to any found in Europe. This was the condition of the plate-glass business when Mr. Ford built the Creighton Works in the midst of a rich gas-coal country. He built a factory with a capacity of 70,000 square feet per month. It was equipped with two sixteen-pot furnaces, eight grinding and sixteen polishing machines. This was really the first plate-glass works in this country that paid for the large investment required in its establishment.

While the success of these works was very largely helped by the experience that Mr. Ford had gained from his previous ventures, a new factor was introduced that had never been used in the making of plate-glass before. This was natural gas, which it was found could be used as a fuel. The Rochester Tumbler Works had used it in their leers, and par-

tially in their furnaces, as far back as 1875; but not having sufficient for the furnaces, it was not a success. At about the time Mr. Ford was starting at Creighton, wells had been drilled that promised inexhaustible quantities of the new fuel. For glass making it is impossible to conceive of a more perfect fuel—no labor required for firemen, no dirt, no ashes, and a uniform heat, or just what was required. Natural gas was a great factor in the success of these works, which were sold by Mr. Ford to the Pittsburg Plate-Glass Company, who enlarged them in 1883, and increased the output from 70,000 square feet to 110,000 square feet finished product. Having a great desire to own and operate his own works, Mr. Ford, in 1884, commenced the building of a plant at Tarentum, Pa., with a capacity of 150,000 square feet per month. Before it was completed the Pittsburg Plate-Glass Company made him an offer, which he accepted, and the Tarentum plant became part of the Pittsburg Plate-Glass Works. The success of their plants resulted in the building of plate-glass works at Butler, Pa., in 1886, and at Cochran Station, Pa., in 1889.

Natural gas had been discovered in Indiana. A large plant was built at Kokomo, Ind., under the name of the Diamond Plate-Glass Company. The gas being in abundance, this same company erected another large factory twenty miles away, at Elwood, in 1891; and the extensive works at Charleroi and at Irwin, Pa., were erected the same year. The Pittsburg Plate-Glass Company in 1887 commenced the erection of what are now the largest plate-glass works in the world. The company bought 480 acres of land, and a town was laid out, and named Ford City, in honor of Mr. J. B. Ford, who is one of the largest stockholders. Under his personal supervision the works were built, which have a monthly capacity of 400,000 square feet.

In 1891 the De Pauw Plate-Glass Company built a small plant at Alexandria, in the heart of the gas belt, in Indiana; but the panic of 1893 caused its suspension, and it has not been operated since.

The works mentioned have an aggregate monthly capacity of 1,785,000 square feet, or an annual maximum production of 21,420,000 square feet, while the consumption in this country has never exceeded 14,000,000 square feet; 3,075,491 square feet were imported in the fiscal year ending June 30, 1895. This great over-production, with a reduction in the tariff, has caused greatly reduced prices, in consequence of which several of the factories have remained idle and none has operated to its full capacity since 1893. In 1894 a movement was made by some

of the companies for self-preservation, which resulted in the outright purchase by the Pittsburg Plate-Glass Works of all the plate-glass works in the United States, with the exception of those at Butler and Irwin Station and the De Pauw plants of Indiana.

The total number of furnaces is forty-three of twenty pots each, and two of sixteen pots each. Of this number there are in operation at this time only twenty-three furnaces, containing 460 pots. Plates of glass are made containing 180 square feet, or, say, twelve by fifteen feet. The success of the plate-glass business, which really dates back only twenty years, is one of the wonders of our age. Much credit must be given to Mr. J. B. Ford, and especially when we consider that when the factory at Creighton was started he was over seventy years of age, and had to impress upon the capitalists his own faith that the business could be made to pay. So far as Pennsylvania was concerned it was an entirely new venture, the census of 1880 showing that no plate-glass was then made in Pennsylvania; while in this year (1895) Pennsylvania has capacity enough, including the 3,000,000 feet imported, to supply the whole country. The imports of 1894-95 are fifty per cent. more than the imports of 1893-94.

Mr. Ford is now trying to make us independent of other countries in soda-ash, and at eighty-four years of age is demonstrating that soda-ash can be produced in this country at a profit. He erected a factory at Wyandotte, Mich., for the production of fifty-eight per cent. alkali. After a very large expenditure of money and a loss of \$150,000 it proved a flat failure; but, not discouraged, he started again and almost entirely rebuilt the plant, and now has much better success, and is producing fifty tons per day of as good soda-ash as ever was imported. He is now adding to this plant, to increase his output to 100 tons per day. He has since purchased 143 acres of land to erect a factory to produce 150 tons more, and he says when this is done his ambition will be complete. It is to men of like ambition and character that this country is indebted for its commercial greatness.

From the year 1880 may be dated also the great success of window-glass making. Prior to this time, with few exceptions, the old furnaces and flattening-ovens that had been in use for fifty years were still prevailing. Fully twenty-five per cent. of the window-glass used in this country was imported. For many years the workmen have been organized into a union, which not only takes in the blowers, but the gatherers, flatteners, and cutters; these last two being practically unskilled labor, and paid as such in

European countries. Then, to mend matters and make the competition worse, the manufacturers of Belgium and England had adopted what is known as the tank-furnace; no pots were required, a more uniform quality of glass could be depended upon, and a much larger production. Mr. James Chambers, of Pittsburg, who had succeeded his father in the manufacture of window-glass, was in 1887 operating four furnaces, with thirty-six pots, using natural gas in his furnace and flattening-ovens. He had the improved flattening-ovens, but he came to the conclusion that something had to be done to put the window-glass business upon a better basis. He made a trip to Europe, obtained all the information possible, came back to Pittsburg and organized the Chambers & McKee Company, and, as president, planned, built, and operated the plant at a place on the Pennsylvania Railroad, twenty-seven miles east of Pittsburg, called Jeanette. The foundation of the tanks was laid in 1888, and in the spring of 1889 they commenced making glass. Glass workers and manufacturers all over the country, with few exceptions, had predicted that the tanks would be a failure, and that window-glass could not be made that way; but the tanks were a success from the first.

Mr. Chambers had associated with him in the building of these tanks Mr. George F. Moore, afterward general manager of the works; W. D. Hartupe, as engineer; and H. L. Dixon, a furnace builder, in charge of the construction of the tank-furnaces, leers, ovens, etc. Their furnaces at that time were the largest tank-furnaces in the world. Each furnace holds 800 tons, has a melting capacity of 30 tons for every twenty-four hours, and turns out 480 boxes of single and 250 boxes of double strength every twenty-four hours. There are three of these furnaces at Jeanette that are 20 feet wide and 120 feet long, inside measure. Owing to financial disagreement, Mr. Chambers withdrew from the Chambers & McKee Company, and in 1892 formed a company and erected a factory at New Kensington, nineteen miles from Pittsburg, on the Allegheny Valley Railroad, and built two continuous tanks that are said to be the largest in the world. They are 25 feet 6 inches wide, 130 feet long, inside measure; each furnace will hold 1000 tons of molten glass, and has a melting capacity of 35 tons, turning out 600 boxes of single and 300 boxes of double strength every twenty-four hours. This is said to be the largest and most complete establishment in the world for the manufacture of window-glass.

Although it has been only six years since the first window-glass tank-furnace was started in this coun-

try, other manufacturers, quick to see its advantages, have adopted the system, and now sixty per cent. of all the window-glass made in this country is made in tanks, and it needs no prophet to say that in the year 1900 there will be very little window-glass made in pots. The total capacity of the country is 1664 pots, of which Pennsylvania has 12 tank-furnaces, with capacity of 532 pots; Indiana, 7 furnaces, capacity 282 pots; New York, 1 furnace, capacity 36 pots; New Jersey, 1 furnace, capacity 48 pots; Ohio, 2 furnaces, capacity 54 pots; or a total of 952 pots made in tank-furnaces. Some idea of the size of these large furnaces at New Kensington can be obtained by considering that previous to 1880 the largest window-glass pots held but 1200 pounds, and a furnace of ten pots 12,000 pounds or six tons, and then comparing these figures with the tank-furnace at New Kensington, holding 1000 tons.

Mr. Weeks gives the value of the product of window-glass in 1893 as \$10,500,000. This was a calculation based on the works operating January 1, 1893, before the depression came. The imports of the year ending June 30, 1895, amounted to \$837,730, which is the smallest amount imported for many years, and is doubtless caused by the increased facilities and cheapening of the products of our tank-furnaces.

The discovery of natural gas, and its application to the glass-furnaces, has led to a very great increase in the building of flint and green-glass works, and the census of 1890 gives the relative value of the products of each branch of the industry:

	1880.	1890.
Plate-glass .....	\$868,305	\$4,869,494
Window-glass .....	5,047,313	9,058,802
Glassware .....	9,568,520	18,601,244
Green and black glass .....	5,670,433	8,521,464
Total.....	\$21,154,571	\$41,051,004

From these figures it will be seen that in this period the industry has almost doubled its production, the largest increase being in plate-glass and glassware. Glassware covers all the glass used for lighting purposes, such as lamp-chimneys, gas-globes, and shades, globes and bulbs for electric light, table-glass, both pressed and cut, flint-glass bottles—in fact, everything that is made in crystal or fancy colored glass. In this branch of the industry, in 1880, 73 establishments were reported, with a capital of \$6,907,278. In 1890, 125 establishments were reported, with a capital of \$15,448,196, an increase of 123.65 per cent. It is impossible to go into detail as to all the works, and I will confine myself to a few of the notable ones in the different lines.

Probably the largest flint-bottle works in the world are those of Messrs. Whitall, Tatum & Company, located at Millville, N. J. They have thirteen flint-furnaces, in addition to five green-glass furnaces and a green-glass tank, and employ from 1500 to 1900 employees, according to the demand for their goods. This business has been principally built up since 1860.

The Rochester Tumbler Company, at Rochester, Pa., was organized in 1872, and commenced making glass in July of the same year. They commenced with one ten-pot furnace and ninety employees, making a specialty of tumblers, and with a capacity of 12,000 dozen per week. At present they operate seven furnaces with eighty-eight pots, with a capacity of 75,000 dozen per week, or 150,000 tumblers each day. The melting capacity of the furnaces is 120 tons of sand per week. The pots are very large, and over 1000 hands are employed. When they first commenced they made only common tumblers, but now they make every kind of tumblers, with a cutting, engraving, and decorating department. The works cover over seven acres of ground. They make their own barrels, boxes, and machinery, and almost everything used for the manufacture of glass. All the fuel used is natural gas. They do some export trade,—probably more than any other concern in this country,—and without question have the largest plant in the world making a specialty of tumblers.

The discovery of natural gas was the means of largely stimulating the erection of flint-glass furnaces, and many small towns offered land and a bonus in money to have a glass-works established in their boundaries. By this means many works were started by parties who had little knowledge of the business, so that the business was largely overdone, and prices in 1891 were such that little or no profit could be made. Labor was high, and, in view of there being so much demand for it, was aggressive and unreasonable in its claims, being backed up by its labor organizations. A number of manufacturers met together and formed a stock company under the name of the United States Glass Company, which company bought up fifteen of the largest and most complete press manufacturers in the country, located in Pennsylvania, Ohio, and West Virginia. The fifteen establishments had a capacity of twenty-nine furnaces. The company afterward erected a plant at Gas City, Ind., with three fifteen-pot furnaces, to get the benefit of the natural-gas fuel. The capital stock of the company is \$4,158,100, \$640,000 of which is preferred and \$3,518,100 common stock.



## GLASS PRODUCT BY STATES IN 1890.

Pennsylvania .....	\$17,179,137
Ohio .....	5,640,182
New Jersey .....	5,218,152
Indiana .....	2,995,409
New York .....	2,723,019
Illinois .....	2,373,011
Maryland .....	1,256,797
Missouri .....	1,215,529
West Virginia .....	945,234
Massachusetts .....	431,437
Kentucky	} ..... 1,065,397
Georgia	
Wisconsin	
California	
Colorado	
Delaware	
Michigan	
	\$41,051,004

The uses of this material in new ways have wonderfully increased during the past century. Dr. Muspratt says, that without speaking of the economical uses of this compound, and considering it only

with reference to its application in the study of natural phenomena, it is impossible to doubt the singular influence it has exerted on the progress of science. It is chiefly by its aid that astronomy has attained a perfection so wonderful. By it also naturalists have been enabled to study under the microscope a host of phenomena which have before escaped notice. But perhaps of greater importance is the use made by chemists in their experiments. It requires no profound chemical knowledge to recognize the fact that to glass is chiefly owing the present advanced state of the sciences so fruitful in marvelous applications.

With increased capital and the intense competition of the age there must be still greater improvement, and with her many advantages the United States in the future will be the great glass-producing country of the world.

*James G. Miller*





## CHAPTER XLI

### AMERICAN POTTERIES

THE potter, with his wheel, is the oldest artisan of whom we have any record. In fact, the potter antedates history. His was one of the arts earliest known to man, and in the face of an inscrutable antiquity the date of its origin can scarcely be established by the evidence of the oldest records, which are those of the Chinese, ascribing the invention of pottery to their Emperor Hoangti, about 2700 B. C. It might be said, that no people known to history have been without evidences that they made, and used, earthen vessels in some form.

The Hindoo and the Hebrew knew the art, and practised it, as did the Egyptian bond-master of the olden times and the Roman conqueror of the later day. When, in its turn, Rome fell, and its civilization sank beneath the barbarian flood which rolled in from the north, the potter disappeared from Europe. With the invading Moors he returned to Spain, however, and during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries the wonderful art of the Italian Middle Ages had adopted him, and masters such as Raphael were designing the decorations for his wares, and the priceless majolica of the modern collector was being produced. In the latter century, also, potteries for the manufacture of the famous Delft ware were established by the Dutch, at the town of that name in Holland. The Dresden potteries were opened in 1751, those at Sèvres in 1754, and, a little later, Josiah Wedgwood had so mastered the art in England that he was able to produce copies of the famous Portland Vase of such excellence and beauty that very high prices were readily obtained for them.

The Greek potters, also, in early times, produced many beautiful forms in pottery, decorated in refined taste. Many are the rare and beautiful specimens of ancient production that have become historical and are of fabulous value. In early Colonial days small potteries were established from time to time,

as needed, in nearly if not all the American colonies, to supply the demand for the commonest kinds of pottery ware. Since the remotest times pottery, or earthenware, has been an American product. The Mound Builders in the prehistoric era, and the Indians before the white man, both made and used it. The first manufactory for white ware in America of which we can find any record was established by Dr. Daniel Coxe, of London, at Burlington, N. J., in 1685. Dr. Coxe was one of the West Jersey proprietors. The extent to which the undertaking had been carried by 1688 is best related in an inventory of that date, offering the works for sale, as follows:

“I have erected a pottery at Burlington for white china ware. A great quantity, to the value of 1200 pounds, has already been made, and vended in the country and neighbouring colonies and ye islands of Barbadoes and Jamaica, where they have been in great request. I have two houses and kilns with all necessary implements, diverse workmen, and servants. Have expended thereon about 2000 pounds.”

That the ware turned out from this pottery was china is scarcely to be credited, inasmuch as yellow and cream-colored were the only wares known, even to the English potters, except, of course, porcelain, which came from China, whence the name of “china-ware” was derived.

To Mr. Edwin Atlee Barber the writer is indebted for much information regarding the early pottery attempts in this country. From his recent work on “Pottery and Porcelain of the United States,” I make the following interesting abstract:

“A patent was taken out, in 1744, by Edward Heylyn, of the Parish of Bow, in the County of Middlesex, merchant, and Thomas Frye, of the Parish of West Ham, in the County of Essex, painter, for the manufacture of China ware, and the following year they enrolled their specifications, in which they state that the material used in their invention is an

earth, the produce of the Cherokee nation in America, called by the nation 'Unaker.' The specification of the patent is of startling interest. Who would have thought, until Mr. Jewett unfolded this document to modern light, that the first English china that we have any knowledge of was made from American china clay? Let our American cousins look out for and treasure up lovingly specimens of the earliest Bow-ware after learning that. This 'Unaker,' the produce of the Cherokee nation in America, is decomposed granite rock, the earth or clay resulting from the washing being the decomposed feldspar of that rock. It is curious that it should have been imported from among the Cherokees, when we have mountains of it so near as Cornwall, unknown, however, to any whom it might concern until Cookworthy discovered it, twenty-four years later than the date of the above patent."

There are records of a pottery enterprise started in South Carolina in 1765, which maintained a very brief existence, and of which but little is known; the results of which, however, seem to have seriously alarmed the greatest of English potters, Josiah Wedgwood, who, writing to a friend, shows his anxiety regarding the establishment of the pottery industry in America. This letter runs as follows:

The bulk of our particular manufactures are, you know, exported to foreign markets, for our home consumption is very trifling in comparison to what is sent abroad; and the principal of these markets are the continent and islands of North America. To the continent we send an amazing quantity of white stone ware and some of the finer kinds, but for the islands we cannot make anything too rich and costly.

This trade to our Colonies we are apprehensive of losing in a few years, as they have set on foot some Pottworks there already, and have at this time an agent amongst us, hiring a number of our hands for establishing new Pottworks in South Carolina, having one of our insolvent master Potters there to conduct them. They have every material there equal, if not superior, to our own, for carrying on that manufacture, and as the necessaries of life, and consequently the price of labor amongst us are daily advancing, it is highly probable that more will follow them and join their brother artists and manufacturers of every class, who from all quarters are taking a rapid flight, indeed, the same way. Whether this can be remedied is out of our sphere to know, but we cannot help apprehending such consequences from these emigrations, as make us very uneasy for our Trade and Pottery.

It is said that Wedgwood, for several years, used considerable quantities of these Carolina clays, and also those from Florida.

There is mention of a pottery at Germantown, New Quincy, Mass., as early as 1760. Some samples of its ware were said to be almost vitreous. There is but little information to be found concerning it.

There seems to have been a "China Factory" built on Prince Street, Philadelphia, in 1769, which ended in failure in a very short time, and was abandoned.

There was a serious attempt to establish works about the same time in Philadelphia, as will appear by the following announcement in a newspaper in the year 1769, which I quote from Mr. Barber:

"Notwithstanding the various difficulties and disadvantages, which usually attend the introduction of any important manufacture into a new country, the proprietors of the china works, now erecting in Southwark, have the pleasure to acquaint the public they have proved to a certainty that the clays of America are productive of as good porcelain as any heretofore manufactured at Bow, near London, and imported into the Colonies and plantations, which they will agree to sell upon very reasonable terms, and, as they propose going largely into the manufacture as soon as the works are completed, they request those persons who choose to favor them with commands, to be as early as possible, laying it down as a fixed principle to take all orders in rotation, and execute the earliest first. Dealers will meet the usual encouragement, and may be assured that no goods under thirty pounds worth will be sold to private parties out of factory at a lower advance than that from their shops. All workmen skilled in the different branches, throwing, turning, modeling, moulding, pressing and painting, upon application to the proprietors, may depend upon encouragement suitable to their abilities, and such parents as are inclined to bind their children apprentices to either of these branches, must be early in their application, as only a few of the first offerings will be accepted without a premium. None will be received under twelve years of age, or upwards of fifteen. All orders from the county or other provinces, enclosed in letters, post paid, and directed to the China Proprietors in Philadelphia, will be faithfully executed, and the ware warranted equal to any in goodness and cheapness hitherto manufactured in or imported from England." The proprietors were Gousse Bounin, probably from Bow, and George Anthony Morris, of Philadelphia. In 1771 their financial needs impelled them to seek assistance from the Colonial government, in which



they were not successful. Being unable to withstand the competition with the manufacturers in Europe, Mr. Bounin ceased his labors, and the pottery was closed.

The year 1795, with which we begin the discussion proper of the pottery trade in this country, saw a goodly number of potteries in operation, but their output was comparatively small. Everything was made with the hands and feet by the use of the ancient potter's wheel, to which, in those days, the power was applied by the thrower's foot. The thrower's wheel in these early days was called a "kick wheel." The potter's wheel is still used, and nothing new can take its place. Better ware can be made in the ancient manner of throwing and turning than in any other way. The text of Scripture which says that the clay is in the hands of the potter is still as true as when it was first written, for nothing can take the place of the human hand as applied to the clay on the thrower's wheel. The only advancement made in the thrower's wheel, from the most ancient times to the present, is, that the rotary motion is now given to the wheel by steam-power instead of foot-power, thus allowing the operative potter to give his whole attention to the clay on the wheel.

Abraham Miller, for many years, had a pottery in Philadelphia, succeeding his father, who commenced, before 1791, making common earthenware, fire-brick, etc. He seems to have been one of the most intelligent potters of his day. He was one of the earliest to make fine porcelain, and produced some very superior ware; but, for some reason, did not undertake to make it a practical business, probably for the reason that, while there was a profit in making common ware, the disadvantages in making porcelain in competition with foreign goods of the same character were so great, owing to an insufficient tariff, that profit was impossible.

It is known that there was a "china" factory in existence in 1800, in Philadelphia, near Fourth and Chestnut streets, probably making plain white ware, as such ware seems to have been called chinaware at that time, but little is known of it.

The Columbian Pottery in 1808 was making queensware—as crockery ware was then and now is sometimes called. Alexander Trotter was the proprietor, and he continued the business until about 1813. This pottery claimed to produce ware of a quality equal to any made in Staffordshire, England. But little can be learned of it.

The Jersey Porcelain and Earthenware Company of Jersey City was incorporated in 1825,

with George Dummer, Timothy Dewey, and others, as incorporators. The next year, the Franklin Institute, of Philadelphia, awarded to its exhibit a silver medal as "the best china from American material." The making of porcelain was, however, of short duration. In 1829 the establishment passed into the hands of Messrs. Henderson, who in a few years organized the American Pottery Manufacturing Company, with a capital of \$150,000, and commissioners were appointed by an act of the Assembly to receive subscriptions.

The ware produced by this company was of very good quality, but was confined to special articles, no general line of crockery ware being made. The pottery afterward fell into other hands, and continued making a similar class of goods under the name of the Jersey City Pottery. After various other changes and vicissitudes of fortune, Rouse & Turner became the proprietors, still making druggists' wares and specialties. It existed until after 1861, when it gradually changed its products into a general line of crockery, and continued in existence until a recent date, having maintained a checkered existence of upward of sixty years.

One of the most determined attempts in the first half of this century to establish a pottery enterprise for the manufacture of a full line of goods was commenced in Philadelphia in 1825, by William Ellis Tucker, after experiments made for several years previously with American materials.

The location of the pottery was at the corner of Schuylkill Front—now Twenty-third Street—and Chestnut Streets. From the beginning he seems to have met with serious troubles, as the following extract from a paper read before the Historical Society of Pennsylvania, in 1868, graphically narrates: "We burned kiln after kiln, with very poor success. The glazing would crack, and the body blister, and, besides, we discovered we had a man who placed the ware in the kiln who was employed by some interested parties in England to impede our success. Most of the handles were found in the bottoms of the seggers after the kilns were burned. We could not account for it until a deaf and dumb man in our employment detected him running his knife around each handle, as he placed them in the kiln. At another time, every piece of the china had to be broken before it could be taken out of the segger. We always washed the round O's, the article in which the china was placed in the kiln, with silex; but this man had washed them with feldspar, which, of course, melted and fastened every article to the

bottom. But William discharged him, and we got over that difficulty."

The committee of the Franklin Institute on awards, in 1827, when considering pottery wares, made a report from which the following extract is taken: "This is a manufacture of great importance to the country, as most of the capital expended is for labor, the materials being taken out of the soil in great abundance and purity. The highest credit is due to Mr. William E. Tucker for the degree of perfection to which he brought this valuable and difficult art. The body of the ware appeared to be strong, and sufficiently well fired, the glaze, generally, very good, the gilding executed in a neat and workmanlike manner. Some of the cups and other articles bear a fair comparison with those imported." A silver medal was awarded. In 1829, Mr. Thomas Hulme, of Philadelphia, became a partner in the enterprise and put in additional capital, and the firm became Tucker & Hulme. The quality of the goods rapidly improved. The partnership was of short duration, as Mr. Hulme withdrew shortly thereafter. Financial support seems to have been needed; application was made for government aid, and among other public men communicated with on the subject was Andrew Jackson, as the following letter from him indicates:

WASHINGTON, April 3, 1830.

*Sir:* I have had the honor to receive your letter of the 3rd of March, and since, the porcelain, which it offered to my acceptance. I was not apprised before, of the perfection to which your skill and perseverance had brought this branch of manufacture. It seems to be not inferior to the finest specimens of French porcelain. But whether the facilities for its manufacture bring its cost so nearly to an equality with that of the French as to enable the moderate protection of which you speak to place it beyond the reach of competition in the markets of the world, is a question which I am not prepared to answer.

If Congress could be made acquainted with the experiments on the subject, and they should confirm your favorable anticipation, there would be scarcely a doubt of its willingness to secure the important results of the manufacture. I do not see, however, any mode by which this can be effected on any other principle than that of protection.

You would probably have a right to a patent for the discovery, but this right would have to be determined in the usual way.

Congress has refused to make a donation to the heirs of Robert Fulton for the national benefits resulting from his discovery, upon the principle that the constitution does not provide any other reward for the au-

thors of useful discoveries than that which is contained in the article in relation to patents. The same objection would of course defeat your application for \$20,000 as remuneration for this discovery, as a reward for its free communication to the world.

It will give me much pleasure to promote the objects you have in view, so far as they are within my constitutional sphere. There is no subject more interesting to me than that which concerns the domestic economy of our country, and I tender you my sincere thanks for an example of its success so creditable to yourself.

With great respect, believe me,

Yr. Obt. Svt.,

ANDREW JACKSON.

MR. WM. ELLIS TUCKER,  
Philadelphia.

Mr. Tucker's scheme for gaining congressional help proved unsuccessful. He continued the business, receiving a silver medal from the American Institute of New York for an exhibit of his wares in 1831.

Judge Joseph Hemphill, of Philadelphia, who had recently become interested in the subject of the manufacture of china while abroad, just before the death of Mr. Tucker, had obtained a pecuniary interest in the pottery, and the firm became Tucker & Hemphill in 1832.

Just previous to the death of Mr. Tucker, another appeal to Congress was made for a tariff of protection to the industry from foreign competition, which brought the following letter from Henry Clay:

WASHINGTON, June 23, 1832.

*Gentlemen:* I received your favor of the 21st inst. on the subject of your manufacture of porcelain. I had been previously aware of its existence, and had seen some beautiful specimens of its production.

When the Tariff Bill shall be taken up in the Senate, I will take care that its attention shall be called to it. Such is the state of parties here, however, the friends of protection combating against the Treasury bill, sustained by the whole weight of the Administration, that it is extremely difficult to anticipate results on any part of the tariff.

With great respect,

I am your ob. svr.

H. CLAY.

Mess. TUCKER & HEMPHILL,  
Porcelain Manufacturers, Philadelphia.

After the death of the founder of this pottery, William Ellis Tucker, his brother, Thomas Tucker, managed the business in the name of Joseph Hemphill, who associated with him his son, the late Mr. Robert Coleman Hemphill, of West Chester, Pa.

Remarking upon the appeal for greater protection to the pottery industry above mentioned, it





JOHN MOSES.

may not be out of place to mention the fact that in 1833 a tariff bill was passed decreasing instead of increasing the tariff generally, which no doubt to some extent had its influence on the few years' existence which this pottery still maintained. Under Joseph Hemphill's ownership a more pretentious style of decorations was introduced, and foreign artists were imported for the purpose. The ware was extensively sold to the wealthy classes of Pennsylvania and New Jersey, and many prominent families had dinner sets made to order for their use. Some very interesting pieces are still to be seen in various parts of the country. Several exhibits of the ware were made in Philadelphia and New York, and it was very highly spoken of and admired for its quality and decorations. The business continued until 1835, when the American Porcelain Company was incorporated, but this company amounted to little, and in 1838 it ceased operations altogether. Thus, after an existence of thirteen years of varied experiences, this enterprise went down in the contest with foreign competition, after making the most determined effort to establish the pottery industry ever attempted up to that time in the United States.

The prices asked for china during the days of this early factory were such as the buyer of to-day would scarcely care to pay. Without going into the matter at too great length, it might be interesting to note what was asked at the factory for a few of the more common articles of daily use, in the plain white undecorated wares. Teapots sold at from \$1.00 to \$1.25 each; coffee pots, \$2.00; pitchers, \$1.00 to \$1.50; butter-coolers; \$1.00; fruit-baskets, \$2.00; sugars, \$0.75; creams, \$0.37½; gravy-boats, \$.50; plates, \$2.50 to \$4.00 per doz.; saucers, \$1.50 to \$2.00 per doz.; cups, \$1.50; cake-stands, \$1.00; and salads, \$2.00 each. During the period covered by the operation of the Tucker & Hemphill china factory, and the years immediately succeeding, the trade was growing rapidly in stoneware, yellow and Rockingham, and other colored wares throughout the country at large. Perrine's stoneware works were opened at Baltimore in 1827; Homer & Shirley commenced the making of flint-ware at New Brunswick, N. J., in 1831; John Hancock started his first yellow-ware factory at South Amboy in 1828; in 1837 Charles Cartlidge began to make porcelain hardware trimmings at Greenpoint, Long Island. During the forties William Bock & Brother established a pottery in the same line of goods. In 1829 the Lewis Pottery was incorporated at Louisville, Ky., for making queensware and china. The owners, at that time, of a

small pottery were induced to join the company. The plant was moved from Pittsburg, and they commenced making C. C. ware. The business was continued until 1836, when it was abandoned.

About this time a Mr. Clews, an experienced English potter who had been manufacturing for years large quantities of goods for the American market, appeared. He had been successful in his American trade. His goods had been very popular, and he was known as a successful pottery manufacturer. Among his various decorative designs were American scenery in dark blue, noticeably the views of the Hudson River, the "Landing of Lafayette at Castle Garden" in 1824, etc. He was soon engaged in inaugurating a pottery enterprise at Troy, Ind., situated on the Ohio River. The location was considered favorable as being a good shipping point, and was well situated regarding proximity to suitable materials. In 1837 the Indiana Pottery was incorporated by an act of the Legislature, with James Clews and others as incorporators. The company began business with the brightest anticipations. After a short time considerable money was lost, the company changed its management, and after a checkered career it disappeared in 1846.

Bennington, Vermont, which was one of the towns where the old stone and earthenware pottery was earliest established, came again to the front about 1846, when C. W. Fenton, Henry D. Hall, and Julius Norton commenced making Rockingham, yellow and white wares in the old stoneware pottery of Norton & Fenton. After several changes in the personnel of the firm, the establishment, in 1849, became the "United States Pottery," and for many years afterward ranked as one of the most progressive of American potteries. It produced the first Parian, and also excelled in a peculiar ware, patented by Mr. Fenton, somewhat resembling majolica and called flint enamel. White granite and soft paste porcelain were also turned out by them, and so great was their success, that in 1853 their works were enlarged and six new and improved kilns built. Difficulties arose, however, and the factory closed in 1858. The other potteries of that day, so far as can be recalled, were those of Ralph B. Beach, in Philadelphia; William Wolfe, in Sullivan County, Tenn.; George Walker's Temperance Hill Pottery, at West Troy, N. Y.; Sanford S. Perry's stoneware works at the same place; Moro Phillips's on the James River; James Carr's Swan Hill Pottery, at South Amboy—Mr. Carr is still living, and, I believe, the oldest living potter in America; T. D. Wheeler's, at

South Norwalk; the American Porcelain Manufacturing Company's, at Gloucester, N. J.; Houghwout & Daily's decorating establishment, at 561-563 Broadway, N. Y.; and the Southern Porcelain Company, in Aiken County, S. C., whose kaolin factory was the only one in the South turning out white and porcelain ware during the war. East Liverpool, Ohio, the other great home of the trade, owes the foundation of its prosperity to the discovery of clay in its neighborhood by James Bennett, an English potter, who, in company with Anthony Kearns, erected the first works there in 1839. In 1854 Isaac W. Knowles and Isaac A. Harvey started a one-kiln factory for the manufacture of yellow ware. Earlier than this, also, Salt and Mear were making yellow and Rockingham wares in 1841, and Woodward and Vodrey in 1848. Other cities where pottery interests have had well-known representatives are Cincinnati, Baltimore, Wheeling, Peoria, Pittsburg, Boston, New York, Steubenville, Ohio, Greenpoint, Long Island, and many others.

The foregoing brief review of the personnel of the pottery trade in the earlier days summarizes briefly those beginnings upon which all our later success and artistic excellence have been reared. Trenton, the foremost pottery center of the United States to-day, built its first factory in 1852, Messrs. Taylor, Speeler & Bloor being the proprietors. The following year William Young & Sons erected the second Trenton pottery for the manufacture of common ware and Rockingham. Situated most advantageously as regards transportation, either by rail or water, the Trenton potteries were enabled to extend the previous searches made for material, and, in addition to the native clay deposits, Maryland and Pennsylvania were drawn upon for flint and china-clay, and Maine, Connecticut, and North and South Carolina for feldspar. To-day the ground feldspar or flint can be shipped from much greater distances and still handled profitably, owing to improved methods of running and grinding. Trenton, in common with the rest of the country, scarcely considered her pottery interests as her greatest industry until some time after Messrs. Taylor and Speeler had fired their first kiln. It was not, indeed, until the first real protection by tariff ever accorded the potteries was enacted, as a war measure, that the American maker found himself able to enter the field against the English potter, especially in the two staple lines of white granite and C. C. The premium on gold, doubling, as it did, the increased

duty, gave the potters the long-needed opportunity, and new establishments sprang up in Trenton during the decade succeeding the war at a rapid rate. By 1880 the potteries of the country were turning out a product valued at about \$9,000,000. Only ten years later, from the 707 establishments of the country, an annual product of no less than \$22,057,090 was being turned out, of which Trenton alone produced a little over \$5,000,000. From a general production by all makers twenty years ago of a few staple lines, Trenton potteries now turn out a product that ranges from the daintiest of decorated porcelain to the heavy earthenware of the sanitary factories.

For some years previous to 1861 the tariff rate was twenty-four per cent. on white granite, etc. By the tariff legislation of that year the rate was increased to forty per cent. on white. The legislation made the tariff rate on some other articles, needing no more protection than pottery wares, double that amount. This was due to the fact that the large pottery industry, as now known, was not in existence at that time, and had no representatives to fairly and fully urge its needs before the committee who prepared such legislation. In no industry in this country is labor more largely represented in the cost of its production, it being ninety to ninety-five per cent. of the entire cost, the other five per cent. being represented as the value of the mining right on the materials in the ground; the ninety-five per cent. being labor in mining, preparation, grinding, transportation, and the whole amount of wages paid in the potteries. The wages paid by American pottery manufacturers are fully double those paid by English manufacturers, as is so accurately shown on page 14 of the Report of the Tariff Commissioners. It has been claimed by the enemies of the pottery industry that the cost has been largely reduced by the use of improved machinery, as has been the case in other industries. This statement is not true. The only use for improved machinery that yet has been found practical is in the mixing and preparation of the clay, flint, and spar for the use of the workmen, and the substitution of steam for hand-power, for the benefit of but a limited number of men in the pottery. No article can be made fully complete, in the clay state, and no large part of any, can be made by machinery. No machinery has ever been invented to work automatically, and none can work without the guiding hand of the potter. The yielding nature of the clay is such that, now as in the earlier days, it must be formed and molded by the hands

of the potter, savage or civilized. A new era opened to the manufacturing industries of the United States by the protective legislation of 1861, the design being to increase the revenue and provide protection to American labor. While the tariff bill of that year was under consideration, the representatives of the established industries appeared before the committee regarding the rate of duty necessary for their respective needs. As before stated, there was no adequate representation of the pottery interest. Instead of receiving a rate of duty as high as any other industry, as its needs required, the tariff rate was made forty per cent. This rate was totally insufficient to encourage its establishment, as I have remarked before. The premium on gold soon began, but not until 1862 did it reach a point that induced the establishment of one pottery for the manufacture of W. G. and C. C., and the change of two or three others from yellow and Rockingham to W. G. and C. C.

During the year 1863 several new potteries were started, and other changes made in the potteries already established for Rockingham, yellow ware, etc. In 1866, eleven potteries were making W. G. and C. C. wares, and one continued making yellow and Rockingham ware. The number has grown from time to time, until they now number twenty-nine, all told, in the city of Trenton, all making decorated wares in addition to white, and some making large quantities of underglaze printed ware as well.

The first pottery was started at East Liverpool, Ohio, in 1839, to make Rockingham and yellow ware, and during the following fifteen years five or six more had been built making the same class of goods. After this a few other potteries were built from time to time, all making the same class of goods. Clay suitable for making this ware having been found in the neighborhood, made East Liverpool a peculiarly fitting place for this branch of the industry, and especially so as they had close at hand coal suitable for their use. Soon after the tariff legislation of 1863, they began, one after another, to change their products to the better class of crockery ware, the W. G. and C. C., and each added a decorating department to its establishment. New potteries also were rapidly built, until the pottery establishments, all told, were twenty or more in number. Trenton and East Liverpool are the principal centers of the pottery industry. There are also scattered about the country a considerable number of potteries, in all making a total of about one hundred in the United States, including those making floor tile, etc., producing white

and decorated wares, annually, of the value of from \$8,000,000, to \$9,000,000, employing nearly an equal amount of capital, and from 9000 to 10,000 operatives. Fortunately for the industry, the gold premium which furnished the additional protection to the tariff continued for several years, and, gradually diminishing, did not disappear until 1879. Thereby a remarkable development had been attained, the difficulties and discouragements incident to most new enterprises had been well overcome, and the consumers of the country had realized the fact that American pottery wares were equal in quality to foreign wares for household use. Thus were the American potters generally able to withstand the strain caused by the entire disappearance of the incidental protection of the gold premium on the resumption of specie payments in 1879.

No one, with any knowledge of the manufacture of fine pottery, can question the fact, when it is asserted that as a branch of industry it is surrounded on all sides by dangers peculiar to itself. Every piece of white goods, from the smallest to the greatest, must pass through the hands of upward of thirty different operatives in its growth from the materials into the finished piece of ware. It will readily be seen, then, that neglect, carelessness, or ignorance on the part of any one individual can only be detected when the piece of ware passes through the two fires at white heat. It is then often found to be absolutely worthless, in spite of the skilled labor of the number of men that has been expended upon it. This is true of all general pottery wares for domestic use, but it is also true in a far greater degree in the manufacture of porcelain, or china, and the still finer Belleek or egg-shell china now being made in this country. We have several factories devoted solely to the production of porcelain goods for table use, and these goods successfully compete with the French and English of the same class. Again, there are a number of factories that produce the finest possible grade of Belleek and egg-shell china, surpassed in quality by none. The extreme delicacy and fragility of these goods multiply the dangers to which they are exposed in the process of manufacture. Notwithstanding the fact that only the most skilfully trained workmen are employed in this branch of the industry, it is yet impossible to prevent great loss by carelessness, accident, etc.

The United States Census reported that "there were four hundred and eighty-four potteries in the United States in 1850." The potteries named were scattered all over the country, making common

stoneware, red earthenware, gas-retorts, drain-pipes, terra-cotta ware, fire-brick, etc., to all of which the twenty-four per cent. tariff then existing seems to have been sufficient protection on account of the goods being cheap and bulky, and because they were manufactured where used. With the exception of a few goods of the commonest kind of white ware, known as cream colored, or C. C., no white crockery ware was made in 1850. Between 1850 and 1860 a number of potteries started making yellow and Rockingham ware in Trenton, East Liverpool, Philadelphia, Baltimore, and other places; and even in 1860 the making of white tableware had but barely commenced. Between the years 1860 and 1865, and after the stimulating effects of the rapidly-increasing gold premium had been felt, the substantial growth of the pottery industry began, and at the expiration of this decade in 1870, the annual production of white ware reached \$4,000,000. During the succeeding decade the number of potteries decreased, the growth of the industry having been checked by the steady decline in the gold premium and its final disappearance.

The facts regarding the industry as shown by the tariff commissioners' report are as follows :

AMERICAN POTTERIES, 1850-1880.

	POT- TERIES.	CAPITAL.	PRODUCTION.	AVERAGE CAPITAL.	AVERAGE PRODUCE- TION.
1850 ..	484	\$ 777,544	\$1,466,063	\$1,606	\$3,028
1860 ..	660	1,701,774	2,706,681	2,578	4,100
1870 ..	777	5,249,398	6,045,536	6,813	7,780
1880 ..	686	6,380,610	7,943,229	9,301	11,578

Thus showing the ratio of increase of capital employed and of production to be as follows (these statistics include all kinds of clay productions, brick, terra-cotta, pipe, tiles, stoneware, flower-pots, red earthenware, etc.):

	CAPITAL PER POTTERY.	INCREASE PER POTTERY.	PRODUCTION PER POTTERY.	INCREASE OF PRODUCTION PER POTTERY.
1850 .....	\$1,606	Increase.	\$3,028	Increase.
1860 .....	2,578	over 1850 . . . 60 per cent.	4,100	over 1850 . . . 36.7 per cent.
1870 .....	6,813	" 1860 . . . 164 "	7,780	" 1860 . . . 89.7 "
1880 .....	9,301	" 1870 . . . 37 "	11,578	" 1870 . . . 48.8 "

From the above figures it will be seen by the very small amount of capital employed and the production, in 1850, that the potteries were then very insignificant affairs, and that no white ware could have been produced. The small increase in

amount of capital and production per pottery in 1860 shows that the status of the potteries had not then materially changed. In 1870, however, the increase is very marked, and in 1880 the figures show the growth to have been greatly retarded by the gradual decline and final disappearance of the gold premium. The last quarter of a century, within which so many advances have been made in the pottery trade proper, has also seen an extension of the industry along lines previously undeveloped. The potter has contributed largely to the accomplishment of many of those latter day conveniences known as "modern improvements." The extensive sanitary and plumber's-ware trade is a branch as important and generally recognized to-day, as the older lines, and it is steadily growing. The porcelain bath-tub is among the latest of the luxuries of American life; but the end is not yet, and the next decade will probably witness many innovations.

Pottery, literally speaking, could scarcely be considered to include brick and tile, and yet both of these, and especially the latter, now approach very closely in the processes employed and the artistic results obtained to the proper craft of the potter. The glazed and ornamental kinds of brick which have become so common during the last decade are all made by machinery, turned out by specially constructed model presses, burnt in continuous kilns, and treated with the utmost care. The enameled brick is ordinary pressed brick treated with a soft glaze and re-fired, or, in some cases, is a fire-brick body on which the enamel is originally placed and the whole burnt at one firing. This is the English process, and while used by a few of our larger manufacturers, the composition of the enamel, or glaze, has been kept a profound secret.

Tiles, and architectural terra-cotta work, are also important branches of the pottery trade. Abraham

Miller, mentioned earlier as one of the pioneer potters of the century in Philadelphia, was the first, in 1838, to make tile other than the old terra-cotta roofing tiles, known in 1740. The first tiles for in-laid flooring were turned out by the United States



Pottery at Bennington, Vt., in 1853, and the manufacture, after this factory closed in 1858, was largely of an experimental nature until during the seventies, when the damp-dust process succeeded that of the wet clay, and works sprang up all over the country. The artistic excellence which this branch of the working of clays has now attained is too well known to need description. The modeler with his plastic sketches, reliefs, intaglios, and ambitious panels, has already won a place well up in the ranks of art, and closely akin to that of the sculptor. Through him and his work, supplemented by the cunning of the potter, America has achieved and holds the proud distinction of leading the world in this branch of ceramic production. Of the many processes and effects by which the beauties of the art tile are thrown into fuller relief or accentuation, want of space forbids mention. The mechanical branch of tile-making, however, has kept pace with the increased demands of artistic endeavor, and clays, glazes, and coloring are now handled with a precision and certainty never before known. Terra-cotta, seen to-day in nearly every building of any pretensions to architectural elegance, is, comparatively speaking, an innovation in building materials in this country. The first attempts made to introduce it, about 1853, were completely unsuccessful, and it was not until 1870 that the Chicago Terra-cotta Company, having introduced the English method of manufacture, succeeded in turning out a product that became immediately popular. Apart from the beauty and finish of this material, it is, also, one of the most enduring known, and as it has considerable range in color, its use is steadily increasing. There are many manufacturers of terra-cotta throughout the country to-day, and at least a score of them are producing work of a highly artistic nature. Roughly speaking, an equal number may be said to be engaged in the manufacture of ornamental art tiles.

The relations borne by the pottery trade to the national commerce have, unfortunately, been altogether one-sided in their character. American goods have never sought a foreign market, but there is scarcely a port of entry along our seaboard where earthen, stone, or chinaware does not figure more or less prominently in the customs returns. Since the old days, when every village that could boast a clay-pit had its own pottery and drew from it the household-supply, the domestic product has never been dominant in the market except during the period of the Civil War and the protection then received, which lasted, although far less effectively, until 1884. In this year European goods were pouring in again,

and by the next year, 1885, the total importations of pottery amounted to \$4,837,782. Since then, the increasing volume of this trade has continued with scarcely a break until the last year, when it has declined slightly, owing to the depressed business conditions since 1893, together with the impending tariff changes at that time in contemplation.

The figures giving the imports since 1885 are as follows :

IMPORTS.

YEAR.	EARTHEN, STONE, AND CHINAWARE.	YEAR.	EARTHEN, STONE, AND CHINAWARE.
1885 .....	\$4,837,782	1890 . . . .	\$7,030,301
1886 .....	4,947,621	1891 .....	8,381,388
1887 .....	5,716,927	1892 .....	8,708,598
1888 .....	6,410,871	1893 .....	9,529,431
1889 .....	6,476,299	1894 .....	6,879,437

Between these years the exports, of course, fluctuated slightly, but the total variation has been trifling and unimportant. From \$135,385 in 1885 the exports by 1894 had fallen to \$127,437, a difference inconsequential in itself, but significant by comparison with the greatly increased imports.

The present year has witnessed, so far as the returns up to date can show it, a still further increase of importations. Coincident with this, of course, has been more or less depression of the domestic pottery interests; but that is merely temporary and, in its effects, will operate to force upon those concerned a realization of certain vital principles which are at the base of all American industry. There are too many millions of dollars and thousands of working men bound up in the welfare of the pottery-trade to-day for its interests ever to suffer more than temporary repression. The people of no country in the world has at its very feet a more bountiful supply of the raw material than Nature has given to us. The finest materials for the manufacture of china are found, so far as I know, in every State in the Union.

The native genius and persevering spirit have overcome, so far, every obstacle placed in their path. Recognition is already coming for the prolonged patience of the potter, and whoever shall have to write of pottery in the annals of the coming industrial age will speak of it as one of the greatest of the American trades, and one which has ever been expansive to the increased demands of our modern wants.


In the foregoing account I have endeavored to give, in a condensed form, some account of the early struggles, disappointments, and disasters connected


with the history of the pottery business in this country, but who can describe the anxious but disappointed hopes of men such as Bernard Palissy and thousands of others unknown to history, who, like him, ventured their all on the chances of fire — a god or demon, as the result turned for good or evil — lifting them into ecstasies of delight, or plunging them into the depths of despair, want, and misery, broken in fortune, health, and spirit? In America the development of the pottery business for some years was phenomenal; but this growth of late years has been checked, and, I might say, altogether stopped. We do not make quite half of the domestic crockery used in this country, and it can be truthfully said that the American potteries have not been run up to their full capacity for several years. The conditions of the business at the present time and ever since August, 1894, are very discouraging, having been caused by an unintentional and accidental reduction of the tariff to the extent of twenty-five per cent. more than was intended, making the reduction on plain white goods from fifty-five to thirty per cent., and on decorated goods from sixty per cent. to thirty-five per cent.

It may be thought that this statement is out of place in this article, but it is a part of the history of the pottery business, and one of the most trying

incidents. In writing this review of the trade I have omitted the names of many prominent potteries because I could not fix the date of their first beginning. Among them is a chinaware factory at Greenpoint, Long Island, which for many years has been successfully run by Messrs. Thos. C. Smith & Son, making china after the French method. There have been several large potteries built in the Ohio Valley, a few of which have had a checkered experience. Some have stopped, others have been in the hands of receivers, been reorganized, and started up again; for the potters, as a rule, are plucky men, not easily discouraged nor driven from their hopes of ultimate success. One great difficulty that the foreign practical potters met with in their early efforts to establish the business in this country arose from the fact that American materials are different from those of England and other European countries, requiring different treatment, different combinations, and a greater amount of heat to produce the same results. I am afraid that already more space has been occupied by the writer than was intended, and will close by expressing the hope that whoever lives to write the history of pottery for the next one hundred years will be able to show as much business success as has been achieved during the past century in artistic development of the potter's art.

*John Moelt*





## CHAPTER XLII

# AMERICAN GAS INTERESTS

**A** CENTURY covers, with some margin, the history of gas-lighting, not alone in the United States, but in the world. Late in the eighteenth century, William Murdock of England, and Philippe Lebon of France, investigated the possibilities of the manufacture and distribution of illuminating gas distilled from bituminous coal. To which of these investigators should be accorded the merit of priority in the application of coal-gas to domestic purposes is one of the questions over which English and French authorities are still disputing.

The first recorded instance of the illumination of a house by artificial gas reported in the United States fixes the date at 1806. In that year David Melville, of Newport, R. I., lighted his house, and the street in front of it, with gas manufactured upon his premises. This was one year before the first public gas-lighting in England, but it was four years after a display made at the Soho factory of Boulton & Watt, and nine years after William Murdock lighted his premises in Old Cumnock with gas of his own manufacture. Melville improved his apparatus from time to time, finally patenting it in 1813. He introduced gas for the lighting of a cotton-mill at Watertown, Mass., and of a mill near Providence, and in 1817 employed it in lighthouse illumination. From this small beginning the gas industry in America grew at first slowly, and later, with the development of improved apparatus and the acquirement of more accurate knowledge of the physical laws involved, much more rapidly. In 1816 a company was chartered in Baltimore, Md. In 1822 Boston adopted gas-lighting. In 1823 a company was organized in New York City. In 1825, Brooklyn, New York, and Bristol, R. I., were lighted with the new illuminant. In 1835 the New Orleans Gas-Light Company was chartered. These were the pioneer companies in the United States, and the number grew until in the year 1859 there were, according to tables pre-

pared by the "American Gas-Light Journal," 297 companies, with a capitalization of \$42,861,174, supplying a population of 4,857,000 through 227,665 private meters.

From 1860 the growth of the business has been rapid, until in 1895 the capital invested is, approximately, \$400,000,000, and the annual output is, approximately, 60,000,000,000 cubic feet, supplying a population of 24,500,000, in 885 towns. The number of plants named by the authority for the above data (Brown's "Directory of American Gas Companies") is 999. Thus in thirty-five years the number of companies has increased almost three and one half times, the population supplied five times, and the capital invested almost ten times. It is probable that the sales of gas have increased twenty times. It has been impossible to obtain a record of the total sales for an earlier date than 1890.

While it is not possible to state the number of premises at present supplied throughout the United States, an idea of the multitude of people who in their homes and places of business enjoy the convenience and security of this modern illuminant may be gathered from the fact that in 1894 there were 134,447 premises supplied in the State of Massachusetts; and in the city of Philadelphia, for the same year, there were 153,546 premises supplied. There can be little doubt that there are in the United States to-day nearly 2,000,000 premises supplied with gas.

The history of the gas-works in Philadelphia may be taken as typical of the history of the earlier plants erected to supply gas; and this plant, being operated by a city, has records which are available for the scrutiny of the historian. Apparently the earliest attempt to secure gas-works in Philadelphia was made in 1815, when it was proposed to manufacture gas from wood. This attempt failed. In the winter of 1826-27 there was a proposition made

to erect works and light the city lamps with gas. This plan also failed. There was at this time a strong opposition on the part of certain Philadelphians, many of them men of high standing, to the introduction of gas, it being claimed that there was danger to life, limb, and health from the erection of gas-works and the distribution of gas. It was not until 1835 that an ordinance for the construction and management of gas-works was passed. This ordinance provided for the issuing of stock to the amount of \$100,000. It was estimated that the lighting of the entire city would require 20,000 burners, consuming an average of four feet per hour each. The works were completed early in 1836, and in 1837 distributed 17,000,000 cubic feet of gas. The gas was made from bituminous coal, and 6816 private burners and 301 public lamps were supplied. The growth of the business is shown by the following figures:

PRODUCTION OF GAS.

YEAR.	GAS MADE. FEET.	NUMBER OF CONSUMERS.	PRICE PER THOUSAND.
1840.....	56,410,000	2,393	\$2.25
1850.....	182,016,000	9,216	2.25
1860.....	639,578,000	41,200	2.25
1870.....	1,241,485,000	66,943	\$2.55 and \$2.30
1880.....	2,173,010,000	99,035	2.00
1890.....	3,311,995,000	134,555	1.50
1894.....	4,110,401,000	154,743	\$1.50 (3 Mos.) and \$1.00 (9 Mos.)

In fifty-four years the sales have increased approximately seventy times, the number of consumers about the same, and the number of burners from 6816, as given above, to nearly 2,000,000.

The history of one of the earlier companies, the New Orleans Gas Company, shows a similar growth. In 1836 the output was 7,300,000 cubic feet at \$7 per thousand; in 1840 the business had grown to 20,075,000, at \$7 per thousand. In 1850 the sales were 53,562,000, at \$5; in 1860, 132,418,000, at \$4.50; in 1870, 238,468,000, at \$4. The panic of 1873 was very severe on general business in New Orleans, and a full recovery was not made until after 1880. The gas sales in that year were 230,296,000, at \$2.70. Between 1880 and 1890 the candle-power of the gas, which had, previous to that date, been about 16.5, was raised to thirty-three candles, and the consumption fell away until in 1890 it was 181,497,000 feet. This falling off is due to the great increase in the candle-power of the gas. In total illuminating value the gas sold in 1890 was equal to

363,000,000 cubic feet of the gas sold in 1880. The New Orleans Company is one of the few at present in the enjoyment of a legal monopoly.

The first movement toward furnishing a supply of gas to the city of Cincinnati was based upon a communication written by John Towne, a resident of Pittsburg, Pa., under date of September 7, 1827; but it was nearly ten years later—April 3, 1837—when seven public-spirited citizens procured a charter for the purpose of making and vending gas. Though they made active efforts to induce capitalists to advance the funds, and even secured coöperative pledges from the city, all their efforts were unavailing, and four years were consumed in fruitless endeavor. In the spring of 1841, a young Englishman, John S. Conover, appeared upon the field, and after much earnest effort induced the municipal council to pass an ordinance, on the 16th of June, 1841, granting to him and his associates the exclusive use of the city's streets for the purpose of laying mains, and also granting him certain contract privileges in the way of supplying gas to public lamps. He then purchased the charter of the company previously organized, and proceeded to comply with his contract obligations. While blessed with untiring energy, he possessed but little capital, and had a very hard time getting construction under way and fighting off the ceaseless attacks of councilmen. He finally assigned to John H. Caldwell, a capitalist of New Orleans, a half-interest in the undertaking, and with the capital advanced by Mr. Caldwell was enabled to turn gas into his mains on or about January 1, 1843. Two years later he died at Bedford Springs, Pa. John H. Caldwell then succeeded to the presidency and assumed the management of the company. The capital of the company was nominally \$100,000, though probably not half this sum had been expended in building the works and laying about six miles of mains. The price then charged for gas was \$3.50 per 1000 cubic feet. December 1, 1846, the price of gas was reduced to \$3, and January 1, 1854, to \$2.50. The company had, January 1, 1847, 546 meters and 192 public lamps in use, supplied through 32,487 feet of main pipe from two to eight inches in diameter. Dry meters were first introduced in July, 1847. By January 1, 1848, the number of meters was 738, with 289 lamps; and the largest "send-out" in one day, 88,600 cubic feet. Clay retorts, imported from Belgium, were introduced in December, 1861, and exhausters in October, 1863. The following table represents the growth of the enterprise:



EMERSON McMILLIN.



## PRODUCTION OF GAS IN CINCINNATI.

YEAR.	CUBIC FEET.	CONSUMERS.	LAMPS.
1845.....	7,947,300	561	181
1850.....	33,039,900	1,593	486
1855.....	71,359,200	4,401	1,220
1860.....	157,216,200	7,560	2,102
1865.....	245,441,200	9,893	2,780
1870.....	355,449,000	12,247	3,328
1875.....	577,244,000	13,000	5,042
1880.....	518,336,000	13,828	6,957
1885.....	751,278,000	16,601	7,488
1890.....	1,076,780,000	20,978	9,676

The capital has been gradually increased, as extensions demanded, to its present requirements of \$8,500,000, with market value of \$17,000,000. The price of gas has been periodically reduced from the initial price of \$3.50 to the present price of \$1 per 1000 cubic feet.

Gas-lighting in the city of New York has increased at a rapid rate. Efforts to obtain accurate data from some of the larger companies failed to elicit a response. It is safe to assume, however, that the output for the year 1894 was, in round numbers, 12,000,000,000 cubic feet.

In the first days of gas-lighting in America the material used was almost exclusively soft or bituminous coal. In some Southern cities rosin and pine-wood were used, and during the war these materials were very largely employed in towns which were unable, owing to the blockade, to obtain coal. The gas made from soft coal had an illuminating value of approximately fifteen to seventeen candles, and was considered a brilliant illuminant in the earlier days, when comparison was made with whale-oil lamps and tallow dips. But the advent of kerosene-oil and the improvement in oil-lamps marked the commencement of an era of higher candle-power, and, by creating a new factor in the competition for urban lighting, promised to reduce the rapid growth of the gas business. While its convenience and safety would, in the face of any oil competition, insure gas a large share of the lighting business of cities, the quality of gas supplied in 1870 could not, at 1870 prices, compete on the basis of cost per unit of light with the oil-lamps of that day, and its value as a cooking and apartment-heating fuel had not been demonstrated. Its prospect was somewhat dimmed. At this crisis in its history a Frenchman, Tessie du Motay, and an American, Professor T. S. C. Lowe, of aeronautic fame, were independently experimenting in the manufacture of gas by the dissociation of steam in contact with incandescent carbon. The result of these experiments was the

development of the water-gas systems that bear the names of the distinguished inventors—the cupola-retort system of Du Motay, and the generator-superheater system of Lowe, the most important of all inventions affecting the manufacturing of gas. The experiments of Tessie du Motay, as well as of Lowe, were carried on in the United States, and the development of the water-gas system is purely American. The first plant of any magnitude under the Tessie du Motay system was erected for the Municipal Company, of New York City, by the Continental Iron Works, of Brooklyn, N. Y. Under this type may be included the Jerzmanowski and Wilkinson processes. In all processes of this type the non-luminous water-gas is generated in cupolas, carbureted with oil vapor, and passed through retorts externally heated, the gas thereafter being condensed and purified, as in coal-gas and other water-gas systems.

The Lowe process, covered by patents dated 1872 and 1875, may be regarded as the basis of the modern water-gas system. It covers, broadly, the use, in connection with a generator in which non-luminous gas is made, of a superheater, or fixing-chamber, fired by internal combustion, the combustible being the gases which are formed during the process of "blowing up"; that is, during and from the passage of air through the fuel in the generator. This air is blown through the fuel—hard coal or coke—at a high velocity, for the purpose of raising the fuel to a condition of incandescence, fitting it to dissociate the steam admitted during the gas-making period. The Lowe process further covers the introduction of oil or other enriching substances into the non-luminous gas, and the fixing of this oil by passage through the super heater. The first Lowe apparatus was erected at Phenixville, Pa., in 1873. A short time later one was erected by the inventor himself at Conshohocken, Pa., and a third, also by him, at Columbia, Pa.

The modern water-gas apparatus is undoubtedly the double superheater or improved Lowe, a development of the Lowe idea by the United Gas Improvement Company, the owners of the Lowe patents (now lapsed) for the greater part of the United States. Many modifications of each of the two water-gas systems have been made and patented by their inventors, but none of these have been of sufficient importance to command special attention or to overshadow the original inventions. After several years of neglect or bitter antagonism on the part of the coal-gas interests, the water-gas processes obtained a firm footing, and since 1880 the intro-

duction of water-gas has been rapid. In 1880 there were in operation approximately 12 plants of the Tessie du Motay type, and approximately 75 plants of the Lowe type. By 1890 the number of Du Motay plants in operation had grown to 30, and the number of Lowe plants to 260. At this writing it is estimated that there are in operation 40 plants of the Tessie du Motay type and its modifications, and 350 plants of the Lowe type and its modifications. There are about thirty-five companies operating water, oil, and combined plants of various forms, included in the above estimate. Every city in the United States of over 400,000 inhabitants uses water-gas, wholly or in part; and all but six of the cities of over 50,000 population by the 1890 census have water-gas plants.

It is to be noted that among the largest water-gas plants in the country are the Tessie du Motay plants in New York City and Baltimore, and the Lowe plants of Boston, Providence, Chicago, and the Twenty-fifth Ward Works, Philadelphia. It is probable that at this date seventy per cent. of the illuminating gas manufactured in the United States is water-gas, and by far the greater volume of this is made under the Lowe process. Among the modifications of the Lowe apparatus, but covered by the Lowe type, are the Granger-Collins, Hanlon-Leady, Springer, Flannery, McKay-Critchlow, Martin, Pratt and Ryan. These are all of the generator-superheater type, variously modified according to the ideas of the inventors.

There are several points of advantage in the operation of a water-gas plant, each of which had its weight in the argument that finally persuaded so many coal-gas makers to adopt the water-gas process. In its influence on the extension of the use of gas, the particular point of advantage was the candle-power. Water-gas is sold of candle-powers varying from twenty-two, for a probable minimum, to thirty-five candles in Pensacola, thirty-three in New Orleans, and thirty in New York, with a probable average throughout the country of twenty-five to twenty-seven candles.

Americans are peculiarly fortunate in the quality of gas supplied them. There is probably not five per cent. of the gas manufactured and sold in England that is above seventeen candle-power, and some of the English companies are chartered to supply gas at as low as fourteen candle-power. When we remember that, with few exceptions, the large cities of this country are supplied with gas of above twenty candle-power, and that the far greater part of the gas supplied to them is twenty-five

candle-power and above, while, with rare exceptions, the smaller cities (above 25,000 inhabitants) are supplied with gas of twenty to twenty-five candle-power, we can see how much more illumination the American is getting per 1000 cubic feet of gas bought than is his English cousin. In the matter of impurities in the gas the American is equally fortunate. The English law allows twenty grains of sulphur in forms other than sulphureted hydrogen, and three grains of ammonia, per 100 cubic feet of gas. The average of sulphur per 100 cubic feet of gas sold in the United States is certainly not above twelve grains, and the ammonia may be truly said to be a mere trace. A long series of analyses, extending over a period of ten years, in one of the largest cities of the country, has shown the gas to contain, approximately, ten grains of sulphur per 100 cubic feet, with practically no ammonia. The superiority of American coals, and the pride that the American gas-engineer has in the quality of his product, are sufficient explanations of the smaller quantity of impurity in the American gas than in the English gas.

The development of the water-gas process came at a time peculiarly fortunate for the American gas industry, which was just then threatened, as stated above, by cheaper oils and improved lamps. A few years after the invention of the water-gas processes, and during their development, the electrician appeared on the field as a competitor for the business of city illumination. The effect of the appearance of the new light on the value of gas shares was disastrous. The general introduction of water-gas, however, checked the fall in prices and enabled the gas-man to hold his own. The high candle-power of the water-gas made it a cheaper illuminant, unit of light for unit of light, than the incandescent electric lamp; and while the introduction of electricity doubtless retarded the growth of the gas business, it did not succeed in reducing the sales, or even entirely stopping their extension. The fright that the electric light gave gas-men has resulted in good to the companies and to the consumer. Many gas managers believed that their sole refuge from the storm would be in the cultivation of other uses for gas than that of illumination. This idea resulted in the development of the gas-stove for cooking and heating, and of the gas-engine and many other mechanical devices for the utilization of gaseous fuel. This branch of the business has grown enormously within the last ten years, and there are now gas companies supplying, during portions of the year, fifty per cent. of their product for fuel purposes.



This is a field in which electric energy has so far been unable to compete; and the rapidity of its growth, past and present, indicates that it will soon be the larger branch of the gas business. In the field of illumination, electric invention and the improvement of oil-lamps have made great advances in the last decade, and have threatened again to give the gas industry a close fight for supremacy in this branch of its business. In this crisis, invention again helps the industry of which I write, making its method of illumination so much cheaper than the incandescent electric lamp or the kerosene lamp that it is apparently only a question of a brief period until—except for special work—gas will be used almost exclusively for illumination wherever gas mains are laid. This new factor is the Welsbach lamp, the invention of Auer von Welsbach, of Vienna. It develops an illuminating power of twenty candles per cubic foot. This means that five feet of the gas will give a light of 100 candle-power, making the illumination, from a given quantity of gas, from six to seven times greater than could be obtained with the best burners known to the art thirty years ago. The Welsbach invention has so cheapened gas-light that it may be said—on the question of cost per unit of illumination—that it has no competitor but the heavenly bodies.

The convenience with which the electric arc is lighted and extinguished gives it an advantage over gas, even with the Welsbach burner, for the illumination of streets, large railway-stations, etc.; but even in these places the Welsbach light is making progress in competition with electric light. The rapidity with which the use of this burner has grown within the past two years is one of the wonders of the history of gas-lighting. It is estimated that there are now in use, approximately, 1,000,000 Welsbach burners in the United States, and it is believed that the sales for the year ending June 30, 1896, will aggregate 1,500,000 burners.

For many years "gas logs" and gas-heating stoves have been in use in a limited way. Neither have met the popular requirement, either from an effective or an economic view. About 1890 a combination gas-heater and steam-radiator, the invention of Q. S. Backus, of Philadelphia, was brought to the attention of gas companies and the public. For three or four years it met with indifference, and in many instances open hostility, on the part of gas managers. During the past year, however, it has rapidly grown in favor, and at present the demand for these heaters exceeds the supply. It is by far the most economical of any of the inventions for heating

by gas that have yet been offered to the public of which the writer has knowledge.

The history of the gas-lighting industry in the United States would not be complete without a reference to the standing of the companies in the communities in which they operate, their relation to the municipalities, and the trend of legislation affecting them. In the early days of gas-lighting monopoly franchises were commonly granted to companies agreeing to stated and generally easy conditions. The industry was regarded as hazardous, and legislators, anxious to secure for their constituents the possible advantages of the modern system of illumination, found that capital could be tempted into the untried field only by the offer of a special concession. This ordinarily took the form of a franchise, exclusive for a term of years estimated to cover the time of development, and a period of profitable operation in which to earn interest on the investment for the life of the franchise. The right to use the streets and continue the business of supplying gas was not ordinarily made to terminate with the exclusive clause of the franchise. A few years of experience demonstrated the safe and profitable character of the business, and capital becoming more willing, legislation became more exacting. Exclusive franchises were less readily granted, and conditions as to price and quality and amount of investment were attached, and the right of municipalities to interfere in the conduct of the business of established companies was asserted. This tendency has grown with the century, until in its closing years exclusive clauses are almost unknown, and many Western cities are attempting to fix the price at which gas shall be sold within their boundaries. Franchises are now commonly granted for a term of years, the right to charter other companies being reserved, and conditions as to price and quality of the gas supplied being attached.

A number of attempts on the part of councils and legislatures to fix prices at which gas and electric light shall be sold and the business of the common carriers conducted have of recent years been the subject of judicial investigation and decision. The tendency of these decisions is to limit the power of regulation to the fixing of a reasonable rate, the adjective "reasonable" being construed to be a rate that should not result in the depreciation of the value of the property of the company affected. There is every reason to believe that gas companies, in common with railroads and other corporations serving the public, will be protected in their right to earn an interest that shall be commensurate

with the investment, and with the risks of the business.

Gas companies, because of their commonly enjoyed monopolistic privileges, either actual only, or actual and assured, and because of the fact that their commodity is taken as wanted from a maintained supply, and paid for after use, have been generally subjected to the suspicion of the unthinking, and charges of extortion have been common in the public prints. This feeling on the part of citizens and officials that gas companies were getting more than their deserts, and the belief that there are fabulous profits to be earned in the gas business, have resulted in some instances in the acquisition of gas property by municipalities. The example set by the city of Philadelphia, which in 1841 took over the gas-plant, and has since continued it as a branch of the city government, was followed later by Wheeling, W. Va.; Richmond, Va.; Danville, Va.; Charlottesville, Va.; and Hamilton, O.

The result of municipal ownership and management of gas properties has not encouraged other cities to acquire works. It has been amply demonstrated that it is better for the municipality and better for the citizens that the gas-plant should be conducted by private enterprise. With the single exception of Hamilton, O., there has been no recent instance of the erection or purchase of a gas-plant by a municipality in the United States. The Hamilton works were erected about 1890.

American gas literature contains but few books. The American contributions have consisted principally of papers read at gas association meetings. Many of these papers have been of the highest order, but for our more formal literature we have been dependent upon Europe. There are three periodicals devoted to the gas industry at present published in America. In the order of their age they are the "American Gas-Light Journal," of New York; "Progressive Age," of New York; and "Light, Heat, and Power," of Philadelphia. For the purposes of the American gas-man they are more valuable than the journals published abroad.

The commercial importance of the gas industry is indicated by the amount of money collected from sales of the products of gas-works. While accurate figures are not obtainable, enough information is at hand to indicate that the receipts for gas sold in the United States amounted in 1894 to between \$70,000,000 and \$75,000,000. It is probable that the receipts for residuals of gas manufacture amounted to an additional \$5,000,000, making the total receipts

for the products of gas companies \$75,000,000 to \$80,000,000.

In the first years of gas-lighting—indeed, up to about 1870—lime was the purifying agent of gas manufacture, to the exclusion of every other material. Since 1880, however, the use of oxide of iron as a purifying agent has become popular, and to-day it is probable that more than three fourths of the gas purification in the United States is effected with this material, with a reduction in the cost, and without the nuisance attending the removal of the spent lime.

The American gas business is to-day entirely independent of foreign countries. The New York Gas Company, incorporated in 1823, made its first gas from oil, using rosin later, and in 1860 was distilling English coals for the manufacture of its product. Most of the earlier companies imported the material from which their gas was made from England. Ultimately the opening of American mines furnished them with a bituminous coal that for gas-making purposes has no known superior. In water-gas manufacture America took the lead through invention, and will probably continue to hold it, because of the fact that the materials from which it is manufactured, anthracite and petroleum, found in the United States, are superior in quality to the products of any other country. Meters and clay retorts were originally imported from England and from the continent of Europe. At present American meters and American retorts have no superiors. For many years cannel-coal, for the enrichment of coal-gas, was brought from Scotland and Australia. Beds of cannel equal to any in the world have since been found in the United States, and cannel-coal has been shipped in quantity from America to Europe.

It cannot be said that the business of gas manufacture in America has been made by any man or set of men, or any corporation or set of corporations. Gas is peculiar in that it must be manufactured in the vicinity in which it is used, and, as a rule, local enterprise is responsible for the erection of the local plants. There has been, of late years, a tendency to the formation of what are known as "parent" companies; that is, companies controlling and operating a number of plants, situated in different parts of the country. Of these the best known are the United Gas Improvement Company and the American Gas Company. Such combinations of capital have in them nothing of the objectionable characteristics of the much-abused "trust." Prices cannot be kept up by such combinations. The gas for each city's

use must be made in, or close to, that city, and local conditions control the prices. The tendency to-day is toward further concentration in the ownership of gas properties, and there can be no reasonable doubt that such concentration as has taken place up to this date has resulted in good to the investor and to the consumer, chiefly through the introduction of improved processes and apparatus, and the employment of more skilful management.

This is intended to be a history; prophecy is foreign to the purpose of the publishers, and the limit set for the story of the gas business has been passed. Otherwise it would be interesting to speculate on the future of this great industry—the producer and the distributor of the cheapest lighting and heating agent of the present, and possibly of the future. After passing through the recent financial depression with practically no shrinkage in the volume of its business, it finds itself to-day in what

promises to be the most prosperous year of its existence, with new and superior appliances for manufacture and utilization to guarantee it a still more prosperous future. "More, better, and cheaper light" will be the demand of the dawning century; and, as in the nineteenth, so we have every reason to believe in the twentieth cycle, gas will fill that demand to the profit alike of its manufacturer and its consumer.

In the preparation of this article the author is indebted for data and assistance to the London "Journal of Gas-Lighting," Brown's "Directory of Gas Companies," the Gas Bureau of Philadelphia, Shelton's "History of Water-Gas," the "American Gas-Light Journal," "Progressive Age," "Light, Heat, and Power," General Andrew Hickenlooper, and above all to Walton Clark, general superintendent of the United Gas Improvement Company, of Philadelphia.

*Emerson M. Miller*





## CHAPTER XLIII

### AMERICAN PAPER-MILLS

**A**NTIQUARIAN and philologist, seeking the origin of paper, have always come alike to the same beginning. By the banks of Egypt's great northward-flowing river they have found, green and tall, the papyrus growing. Here the record ends, or rather begins, and with the seventh century before the Christian era the tale of paper making is commenced. Papyrus manuscript has been found, it is true, of a seemingly far earlier date; but the authentic record begins with 670 B.C., in which year a dweller by the Nile, named Numa, is believed to have written several works upon this paper. Later in the same century there were manufactories of paper from this aquatic plant in Memphis, papyrus being for many years one of the products of the land of the Pharaohs, and an important article in the commerce of that ancient day. Both Greece and Rome, despite the fact that parchment from the skins of sheep and goats appeared and went into common use during the second century B.C., used much of the papyrus product every year; and as the supply could never meet the demand, the price was always high.

The papyrus paper was formed from the thin, separated films of the plant, superimposed upon one another crosswise to the desired thickness, made coherent by pressure, and smooth by drying and polishing. Of the paper of to-day, the Chinese, who seem to be credited with every art the beginnings of which are sufficiently remote to be uncertain, are believed to be the originators. A mandarin of the palace in the year 95 A.D. is said to have been the first to make a fibrous pulp from which paper could be produced. In addition to the bark of the mulberry or bamboo this ingenious Oriental used cotton and hempen rags, the paper thus obtained soon demonstrating its superiority over anything then known in the Flowery Kingdom. It is still made there to-day, after much the same primitive methods as were used at that time. From China to Tartary the art

of pulp making extended, and there the fiery Arabs, when they humbled the Tartar hordes about 170 A.D., are supposed to have found and borne it home with them to the West.

Paper made from a pulp of linen rags is first known in an Arabic manuscript of the "Aphorisms" of Hippocrates of the date 1100 A.D. Coincident, almost, with the appearance of linen paper was the final disappearance of the papyrus roll from general use. It had been little used for centuries, parchment taking its place. It was not until 1290 that the first paper-mill was established in Germany. Forty years later Italy followed suit, and France and Austria came next after a few years. England was among the last, the first mention of the art of paper making in that country being late in the fifteenth century. During the next three centuries the art became general, and Holland and France took the lead over all other nations. In Holland windmills were used instead of the water-mill elsewhere, and the Dutch were also the first to use machines, called *Hollanders*, or engines in macerating the rags into pulp.

Colonial enterprise turned to paper making in the New World among the very earliest of its endeavors. The fringe of population from which was to grow one of the mightiest and most numerous nations on earth had scarcely stretched from the mouth of the James River to Massachusetts Bay before the first mill was started. William Rittinghuysen (now Rittenhouse), a native of Broich, Holland, was the first paper maker, and he had associated in partnership with himself that celebrated old printer, William Bradford. By the banks of a little stream known as Paper-Mill Run, flowing into the Wissahickon at Roxborough, near Philadelphia, the old Hollander opened his mill in 1690, grinding up the rags of the home grown and woven flax for pulp. For twenty years this mill represented the American paper trade, a second being established only in 1710 near the

first one, by William de Wees, a brother-in-law of the original William Rittenhouse's son.

At this time all paper making was by hand; and until 1750, when the pulp-engine was invented in Holland, and 1756, when it was introduced into America, the rags were beaten into pulp by hand. The pulp-engine accomplished a great saving in time and labor. The effect of its introduction was seen in 1770, when the three colonies of Pennsylvania, New Jersey, and Delaware alone had a total of forty mills, turning out an annual product valued at £100,000. The process of manufacture in these old mills, where everything was done by hand, and still kept up to-day in the making of some special kinds of paper, was very simple. The pulp floating in great vats was dipped out by the workman on his "mold," around the outer edge of which he formed a rim by superimposing a thin frame known as a "deckle." This kept the pulp from running off, as the water drained away through the wire cloth of which the bottom of the mold was made, and allowed it to settle in a thin film or layer over the surface of the mold. It was then passed to another man, known as the "coucher," who dexterously applied the pulp-covered mold to a sheet of felt, where the pulp adhered and the mold was removed. This left a thin sheet of pulp evenly disposed upon the felt. Another piece of felt was placed on the top of this, and another mold applied, the process being continued until the pile reached a certain height, when it was called a "post," and removed to a press where the water was expressed. The sheets were then removed from the felt, pressed, and hung up to dry over "tribbles" or lines in the drying-room. When this was finished, the sheets, which were rough and like blotting-paper, were dipped in size, pressed, and dried again, coming out finally the finished paper. This process, briefly described, is that by which all paper was made prior to the invention and perfection of the Fourdrinier machine, during the first decade of the present century. Neither this machine, nor any other, in fact, was known in this country until several years after their use had become common abroad. Despite this the industry had progressed, and, after a great scarcity of paper during the Revolutionary War, it was beginning in 1795, when the century we are now to consider opened, to make appreciable headway. The first mill in the northern part of New York State had only been erected the preceding year at Troy by Messrs. Websters, Ensign & Seymour. This mill turned out from five to ten reams daily, using rags in making its pulp, as did all the others

at that time. The scarcity of rags was one of the great difficulties with which these early manufacturers had to deal. Stirring appeals to the ladies were constantly appearing in the public prints, beseeching them by their patriotism to stand by American industries and save their rags. A further, if less lofty, argument was made to this end in the offer of the manufacturers to pay three pence per pound for white, brown, blue, or checked rags, delivered at the mill. The first mill in the United States to use other than rags for pulp was one which was built this same year by Matthew Lyon at Fairhaven, Vt., and which made use of the bark of the basswood-tree in the manufacture of coarse wrapping-papers. While the exact number of mills in operation in 1795 is nowhere stated, it is known on the authority of Debrett, in his "Bibliotheca Americana," that six years before the United States was producing paper enough for its own consumption.

By the primitive methods of that time the American paper makers continued to abide even so far into the present century as the latter half of the second decade. During this time, in France and England, there was being perfected one of the most wonderful machines which the ingenuity of man has ever devised. This was the so-called Fourdrinier machine; and while it was not an American invention, the history of paper making, whether here or elsewhere, demands its mention. Despite its name, it was originally the invention of one Louis Robert, a workman in the mill of François Didot at Essone, France, who, in 1799, secured a patent for the making of paper by an endless web-machine. The internal troubles of France at this time being highly unfavorable to the development of any great industrial undertaking, Robert sold his patent to Leger Didot, who went to England in 1801, and in association with John Gamble, and later Bryan Donkin, attempted to perfect the invention. Didot's funds were scanty, however, and in 1804, having interested two wealthy London stationers, brothers named Henry and Sealy Fourdrinier, in the matter, he transferred his interests to them. They erected a plant at Boxmoor, and began a series of experiments which, though finally successful in producing a practicable machine, ruined them financially. Their sole reward, for all they did for the paper-making industry, has been that the machine they brought out has been named after them.

The Fourdrinier machine, as it was presented in 1806, revolutionized the making of paper. A seven-vat mill, operated under the old system at an annual expense of about \$13,000, could run with a

machine for about \$3600, an annual saving of \$9400. While the form of this machine has changed often and greatly since 1806, the essential principles it then established are the basis of paper making today, and its process is the one still in use. It consists of an endless web of revolving wire cloth, upon which flows evenly a stream of liquid pulp. As in the hand process, the water drains away from the pulpy mixture as the whole is borne on and up by the running wire cloth, and the precipitation of the pulp-sheet is completed just as the wire web meets an endless belt of felt, which takes the fresh pulp from the wire and carries it through large metal rolls, where it is pressed and taken from the felt, in the same condition as the hand-made product when the "post" comes out from the presses; then it passes over cylinders heated by steam, which dry the paper, leaving it ready to be polished and cut into sheets. This is substantially the process in use today; but the modifications and improvements now employed would render it difficult to recognize the early machine. To-day the pulp goes in at one end of the Fourdrinier machine to come out at the other finished paper, sized, dried, calendered, and cut into sheets, or wound in immense rolls ready for the modern press.

Besides this machine, a second was invented in 1809 by an English paper maker named Dickinson. It was called the "cylinder-machine," and differed from the Fourdrinier in having a hollow, perforated, wire-gauze-covered cylinder placed directly in the vat with the pulp-water. In motion this cylinder drew out the water, leaving the pulp-sheet precipitated on the wire gauze, by which it was carried to the felt, which carried it through the couching-rolls, and on as in the Fourdrinier machine. This machine, or rather an American invention of similar nature, seems to have been the first paper-making machine employed in this country, one having been built and operated by Thomas Gilpin & Company at Wilmington, Del., in 1817. This machine of Gilpin's turned out a sheet wider than any then made in this country, and of any length desired. The introduction of the Fourdrinier or any other machine from Europe did not occur until three years later, and it was ten years after that, again, before they were commonly used or their manufacture begun here.


Meantime the manufacture of paper was steadily increasing. In 1810 there were 185 mills in the country, turning out an annual product valued at \$811,000. In that year, owing to the insufficiency of the supply from domestic sources, the importation of rags was commenced. All paper-stock at that

time was made from rags, and the trade in them was a large one. Rag-pulp is still used in many of the more expensive grades of paper, and its manufacture is a distinct process in itself. The rags are first cleansed and softened, by boiling in a strong lye of caustic alkali or lime, from which they are transferred to a washing machine or engine, where a heavy cylinder with knives partially macerates them, and everything is removed except the vegetable fiber itself. It is then treated with a solution of bleaching powders; the mass is placed in great stone bleaching vats, and allowed to remain until the bleaching process is complete. The water is then drawn off, and the partially prepared stock, known as "half stuff," is taken to the beating-engine, where it is washed with water to remove the chlorine, and is then reduced to pulp ready for the Fourdrinier machine.

In 1817, the first steam paper-mill in the country was put into operation at Pittsburg, Pa. This mill, which employed forty persons, consumed 120,000 pounds of rags yearly, and turned out a product valued at \$20,000. The coal required in generating the steam necessary for running the sixteen horsepower engine of this plant was 10,000 bushels annually. Three years later the Gilpins, on the Brandywine, began the introduction of foreign machinery for making paper. There was at this time an annual output of \$3,000,000 from the paper-mills of the United States, and 5000 persons found employment in them. The popularity of the new machines was far from immediate, as they were too expensive. In 1822, John Ames, of Springfield, Mass., produced a new cylinder-machine. It met with some success; and in 1829, Isaac Saunderson, of Milton, Mass., and Reuben Fairchild, of Trumbull, Conn., patented improvements based on it which did much toward introducing it to general fame. Culver and Cole, of Massachusetts, also participated in the improvements brought out in this year, and the cylinder-machine has been in general and extended use ever since. During that year the paper production of this country reached \$7,000,000, and 10,000 men, women, and children earned a livelihood in the mills. The same year (1829) also saw straw and grass first utilized here in the making of paper by machinery. G. A. Shryock, of Philadelphia, was the manufacturer who accomplished this, and he claimed to be the first in the world to do it, inasmuch as the straw paper made in England by Matthias Koop, in 1801, had been hand-made. The manufacture of Fourdrinier machines in this country was begun in the next year (1830)



WARNER MILLER.





by Messrs. Phelps & Spofford, of Connecticut. They succeeded in turning out good machines, which were capable of very fair work. The introduction, in 1831, of chlorine as a bleaching agent, to whiten and cleanse the pulp-fiber, helped in the advance which the increasing use of machinery had brought about. As an almost universal bleacher the new chemical permitted the use for paper-stock, of colored and dirty rags, hemp, tow, and many other previously unavailable fibers. This of itself was a great benefit to the paper trade, for the scarcity of material for paper-stock was already causing serious inconvenience, and many were the experiments made, in the attempt to find new and more plentiful substances.

The history of the paper trade for the next three decades is mainly a history of research and experiment. All the expedients of ingenuity were employed to continue along on the old lines, and all the invention of that same ingenuity was being exhausted in the attempt to discover new lines, at once more practicable and more profitable. Nearly every substance known or believed to have the fibrous qualities needed was experimented with more or less successfully.

The first real and practical advance along the lines indicated by these experiments was not made until 1854. Many inventions were recorded, in the mean time, for improving, simplifying, and expediting the processes of manufacture; but until that date the main object was still as far from being attained as ever. The business had extended, though, very greatly, and by 1842 it was estimated that \$15,000,000 represented the value of the annual production. The capital invested in paper-mills was placed at \$16,000,000, and nearly 50,000 people were dependent upon the employment it afforded for a living. The consumption still kept ahead of the domestic supply, and the paper exports for that year were valued at only \$69,862, as against imports amounting to \$92,771. The importation of rags had increased during the thirty years it had been going on, until in this year it amounted to nearly \$500,000. By 1850 these figures had still further increased. A total capitalization, for 500 mills, of \$18,000,000 was turning out an annual product of \$17,000,000. The importations of rags had increased to \$750,000, and the imports of paper itself amounted to \$496,563. There were at this time only five mills in the country still turning out exclusively hand-made paper, and the paper-machine had been improved the previous year to the point where laid paper was being produced with it. A. H.

Lafin, of Herkimer, N. Y., was the manufacturer to introduce this improvement, although the machine-papers had long had the water-mark, a small cylinder with the desired impression nearest the couching-rolls having been invented for this purpose many years earlier.

A new era began for the paper makers in 1854. In that year, A. C. Mellier, a Frenchman, discovered the process that has since borne his name, and which consisted in the conversion of certain vegetable fibers, notably straw, into pulp. The process consisted in boiling the soaked and cleaned straw in a solution of about four per cent. of caustic soda, and at a temperature not less than 310° Fahrenheit. The paper produced from pulp thus made was claimed to be superior to anything ever yet brought out for newspaper. The process was patented in 1857, and the same year, J. B. Palser, an Englishman, of the firm of Howland & Palser, began the manufacture of straw paper at Fort Edward, N. Y., and in 1859 secured patents for improvements on the process that came later to be universally adopted. From this time on, during the war, and for a few years after, straw paper made by this process was the staple of the market, and nearly all newspapers were printed on it. The farmers of the country appreciated their rye straw in those days, when the price jumped almost at a bound from \$6 to \$20 per ton. There were many objections to the straw paper, however, and experiment was by no means ended in the matter of pulp ingredients. The silicious nature of the straw gave the paper a glassy, brittle surface that wore out type at a rate direful for the newspaper proprietor to contemplate. A dress of type that on other paper would have worn a year, was used up in three months. Furthermore, straw paper would have been useless on the fast presses of today, because it was neither soft nor absorptive, nor could it, owing to its brittle surface, be printed from the roll. Such as it was, however, the newspapers were glad to get it; and from twelve to twenty-six cents per pound was the price they paid for it during the war, an amount which to-day would make a modern paper manufacturer a millionaire, and beggar the newspaper publisher in a few months. We find the 555 paper-mills of the country, in 1860, turning out an annual product valued at \$21,000,000, which exceeded that of either Great Britain or France. During the next few years, while the Civil War was raging, the demand for paper increased to a very great extent, and new methods were demanded. These were discovered, and have accomplished one of the greatest results of the century; for they have,

by the introduction of wood-pulp, made possible a cheap and excellent paper, which gives to the American people cheap newspapers, periodicals, and books. Thirty years ago newspapers such as we have in New York to-day, with paper at twenty cents per pound, would have cost the publishers for paper alone no less than four cents apiece, where to-day the cost is scarcely half a cent.

Wood-pulp and the changes it has brought, not only to the paper trade, but to the world at large, form the final chapter in the story of American paper. Excellent and inexpensive paper has done more than any one thing to develop the American press, and the publishing business. No better evidence of this could be desired than was given last year, at Cornell, in an address delivered by a certain far-famed New York editor, of the school which produced Raymond, Greeley, and the elder Bennett. In discussing the wonderful advance made by newspapers in late years, Mr. Charles A. Dana concludes: "But the great revolutionary agent is the cheapness we have reached in the cost of paper." With this high testimony regarding its importance, we may proceed to a more detailed consideration of wood-pulp.

Many attempts to produce a pulp out of the softer kinds of wood had been made, and many patents had been issued for such processes both here and abroad, prior to 1854. This year, the same one which brought out the Mellier process, also saw the first patent for a chemical wood-pulp that was practicable, secured by Watt & Burgess, of London. This process, in a crude form, was the soda-pulp that is still in extended use. It began by boiling the wood in caustic soda lye, after which it was subjected to the action of chlorine. Both this, and the later and much-improved sulphite process, produce in effect a more fibrous pulp than that to which the name wood-pulp is more commonly and properly applied.

The patent of Watt & Burgess was assigned by them to Ladd & Keane, who secured a reissue in 1858. In the mean time, however, in 1855, Hugh Burgess, of Roger's Ford on the Schuylkill, brought out a similar process in this country, using the wood of the poplar. His patent and that of Mellier were later purchased and continued, in 1865, by the American Wood-Paper Company, of Manayunk, Pa., and a considerable quantity of poplar-pulp turned out by it.

While the manufacturer of the chemical pulp, or wood-fiber, was thus slowly working here, the ground wood-pulp was being developed abroad. A German named Keller patented a wood-pulp grinding-

machine in 1844. He figures as the originator of the process, but having no money he sold his invention to Voelter, who developed the grinding of the wood by stones, and is usually credited with being the discoverer. The ground wood-pulp was used by Voelter in Germany, in large quantities, for the manufacture of newspaper as early as 1847, and two years later the process was introduced into France, at Souche. In America the ground wood or wood-pulp was first successfully made by Alberto Pagenstecher, at Stockbridge, Mass., and put into printing-paper, in 1867, by Wellington Smith, William A. Russell, and myself.

The prominence that the paper industry has achieved since the introduction of wood-pulp, and the extent of the trade relations arising therefrom, are the best and most direct evidences possible of the usefulness of the product. To-day paper figures, either wholly or in part, in more diverse and numerous articles than any other one substance known. It is manufactured into boards, roofing, boxes, barrels, pails, furniture, buttons, collars, tapestry, belting, car-wheels, carpets, canoes, and even at one time, some few years ago, into coffins, which were declared more enduring than those of lead, steel, or wood. All these, and many more uses, seemingly outside its ordinary and proper sphere, make paper an article of the greatest demand. The great metropolitan newspaper, consuming many tons a day, needs a mill to feed it alone. The consumption is something enormous, and will always be an increasing one. When modern paper making from wood-pulp was in its infancy, about 1869, and rags were still largely used, the dimensions to which the paper-manufacturing trade had grown were indicated by the fact that, at New York alone, the importation of rags amounted to \$2,149,202. Besides this the entire domestic rag product, as well as thousands of tons of wood and straw, was being put into paper; and yet not only was it all consumed at home, but a considerable quantity was imported in order to supply the demand. The figures of exports and imports of paper for this year (1869) are perhaps the best indication of the condition of the trade at that time. The imports amounted to a total of \$355,511, of which \$96,158 were credited to newspapers, and \$259,353 to fine writing-papers. Contrasted with these figures were the exports, which for paper manufacturers of all sorts amounted to less than \$20,000.

The growth that has come in this trade, during the quarter of a century that has elapsed since then, has been remarkable. The following year (1870)

the mills engaged in the manufacture of paper in this country were estimated to number 669, with an annual production of \$48,436,935. Six years later, despite the depressed condition of affairs resultant upon the financial troubles of 1873, the number of mills had increased by nearly 200, and their production was sufficient not only to supply the home market, but, still further, to lay the foundations for a decidedly profitable export trade, which has remained ours ever since. The paper exports for 1876 amounted to \$96,138, while the imports, on the other hand, had increased, although in less proportion, to \$1,218,159.


The year 1880 saw a still further addition to the paper-manufacturing interests. Of paper-mills proper there were 692, with a combined capital of \$46,241,202, and an annual output of \$55,109,914. Besides these, the manufacturing interests in the coordinate branches of the paper industry, such as paper bags and boxes, envelopes, wood-pulp, and cardboard, included 543 mills, with an aggregate capital of \$7,922,646, and a production of \$18,684,127, making the totals for the paper industry of the United States for this year (1880) as follows: mills in operation, 1235; total capital invested, \$54,163,848; aggregate product, \$73,794,041.


In 1886 the import and export trade showed an increase for the ten years, particularly noticeable in its exports. This tendency to a more equable adjustment of the balance of trade indicates the healthful condition of the industry. The exports had made the extraordinary jump from \$96,138 to \$1,106,616, while the imports had increased by only about \$600,000, their total value being given as \$1,838,822. In addition to this, the enormous amount of \$5,194,951 was represented in the importation of rags and crude paper-stock, which were admitted free of duty, and swelled the total of importations due to the paper industry to \$7,033,773.

The number of mills in the country had increased by 1890 to 1086, operated exclusively for the manufacture of paper or pulp. Of their product an amount valued at \$1,226,686 was consumed in the export trade, while of rags and crude paper-stock from foreign countries the mills imported to the value of \$5,261,448. The general consumption of the country further demanded imports of manufac-

tured paper aggregating \$2,816,860, which, added to the paper-stock importations, gave a total for this year of \$8,078,308.

In 1892-93, the mills of the country were turning out annually considerably over 3,000,000 tons. Of this enormous amount the news and book prints consumed between 750,000 and 800,000 tons, which was a third more than went into wrapping-paper. The writing-paper consumed was estimated to be in the neighborhood of 150,000 tons. At the present time the available figures place the total number of mills in the country at 1101, with a daily production averaging about 10,000 tons, in round numbers. For the supply of these mills there was imported in 1894, crude paper-stock to the value of \$3,048,094. Imports in addition to this amounting to \$2,628,351 were received during the same period, credited to paper and its manufactures, making the total importations of the paper trade for that year \$5,676,445. The export trade also has increased, and so large has it become with England, that that country has recently ordered that in all reports of imports, rendered by the customs officials, the paper and manufactures of paper coming from the United States shall be so specified and made a separate item; whereas they have always previously been included in the lump sum given under the classification "From all other countries." Last year, the total of the paper exports from this country was \$1,906,634. The dimensions to which the domestic trade had grown meantime are shown in the fact that the production of news and book paper alone was more than \$45,000,000, or nearly as much as the total production of the country for all grades, twenty-five years ago. With this still so recent advance, achieved in the last quarter of a century of endeavor, it is perhaps a little improbable that the near future will see any such pronounced changes as those which have brought things to the present point. It is rather more reasonable to expect that for some time to come the progress of the paper industry will be along the lines of a natural and healthy growth of the present establishments. That this growth will come is certain, as it is also that developments will follow as fast as they are needed to keep the paper-mills of America in the place they have won in the front rank of the world's industries.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Anna Miller". The signature is written in dark ink on a light background. The first name "Anna" is written in a larger, more prominent hand than the last name "Miller". The signature is underlined with a long, sweeping flourish that extends to the left and then curves back under the name.



## CHAPTER XLIV

# AMERICAN PUBLISHING

WHAT is understood by a "publisher," in the generally accepted meaning, is defined as "one who, as the first source of supply, issues books and other literary works, maps, engravings, musical compositions, or the like, for sale; one who prints and offers books, pamphlets, engravings, etc., for sale to dealers or the public." This definition—a comprehensive one—includes the publishers of newspapers; but the business of journalism, being distinct from that of book publishing, need not be further referred to, save incidentally.

One of the differences which exists between the book publication of the past and that of to-day is in the primal source of derivation of the matter printed. This change is due to the immensely greater distribution of newspapers and magazines, and the improved methods of intercommunication. Half a century ago literary matter was usually issued or published for the first time in book form, and with few exceptions the text had never been read before; whereas it is a common practice to-day for an author to supply a magazine or a newspaper with his writings, which, widely read in daily, weekly, or monthly issues, are afterward put in book form. As a volume it is then, however, only a "first source of supply" when considered in a material sense. Generally the text collated in this way is republished in book form by the firm in whose journal or magazine the text originally appeared; but sometimes, by prior arrangement with the author, this is not the case, for in its book form the work may be published by another house.

There have always been reprints of particular books. A popular work of a past century, in the one hundredth year after its first publication, is often found to have been reprinted twenty times by as many different publishers. Of the world's great standards, hundreds, and in some cases thousands, of editions have appeared. Old lamps are made as good as new, and if they have served as

shining lights in the past, it is to the advantage of mankind that they should be kept constantly luminous to-day. There is, nevertheless, a distinction to be made—but not in the least of a disparaging character—between the manufacturer of books who takes old works and reprints them, and the publisher who, selecting entirely fresh and original matter, issues this in book form and for the first time.

"Robinson Crusoe," or some other standard book, may appear as a two-cent pamphlet, mutilated by abridgment, on wretched paper, and with blurry type; or as an *édition de luxe*, a masterpiece of typography and binding, with illustrations for which the artist alone has been paid \$10,000. Both works are, in a sense, manufactured. In the cheap book to be sold for two cents there is the minimum of risk; in the costly *édition de luxe* perhaps the maximum of risk. But, as to risk, there never was an original work published wherein the element of uncertainty as to the pecuniary result did not exist for the publisher.

The people of the United States are the greatest readers and book-buyers in the world. By means of inexpensive books there is presented the amplest opportunity for instruction and recreation, and when the text of these books is carefully selected, their publishers, in no small measure, cater to the general education of our people. There are, of course, exceptions. In some cases there are, unfortunately, reprints made of vile and vulgar books, and these are issued in all parts of the country. It is not within the province of this article to indicate the methods of suppression.

The origin of the publishing business of the United States may be thus briefly described: In the year 1640 the first book, the "Bay Psalm-book," was printed by Steven Daye at Cambridge, Mass. After its publication in the colony it was reprinted in England, where it went through seventeen editions, the last one bearing the date of 1754.



JOHN W. HARPER.



It was also a highly popular work in Scotland, twenty-two editions having been printed there, the last dated 1759. It is somewhat remarkable that the first colonial book written and the first book printed were both in verse. Sandys's translation of Ovid's "Metamorphoses" was the first true "copy" written here, although issued in Great Britain; but the "Bay Psalm-book" was the first book put into type in this country. The first original American book printed here was Mrs. Anne Bradstreet's "Poems," and this volume, issued in Cambridge, Mass., in 1640, was republished in London in 1650. Cambridge remained the only publishing town for a long time, and for twenty-one consecutive years issued about one volume per annum. In 1653 Samuel Green published John Eliot's famous Catechism in the Indian language, followed in 1659 by the Psalms in Indian, in 1661 by the Indian New Testament, and in 1663 by the whole Bible in the Indian tongue. This was the first Bible printed in America.

William Bradford, who moved to New York from Philadelphia in 1693, was the originator of the publishing business in that city. To Christopher Sauer, of Germantown, Pa., the United States is indebted for the first Bible printed in a civilized tongue, his German Bible having been issued in 1743. Benjamin Franklin, in the first half of the last century, stood at the case, worked the press with his own hands, first in Boston, then in Philadelphia; and he left an indelible impress on this country, his "Autobiography" being the first book of real importance in American literature.

It is interesting to note that the business of publishing has been identified generation after generation with certain families. Many of the best-known firms of publishers in the United States to-day have carried on their calling for over sixty years—in some cases quite one hundred—through three or four generations. The most notable instance is that one of the direct descendants of Christopher Sauer (established 1738), the publisher of the German Bible in 1743, is still in the business of book publication in Philadelphia. It would be impossible, within the limits of this article, to give any complete list of publishing firms which are carried on to-day by the descendants of those who established the business several generations ago, but a few may be named. For instance, in New York City: Harper & Brothers, 1817; Baker, Voorhis & Company, 1820; D. Appleton & Company, 1825; David G. Francis, 1826; D. Van Nostrand, 1830; Ivison & Company, 1831; John Wiley & Sons, 1832; John F. Trow, 1835; A. S. Barnes & Company, 1838.

In Philadelphia: Lea Brothers & Company, 1785;

Henry Carey Baird, 1785; J. B. Lippincott Company, 1835; Butler & Company, 1837.

In Boston: William Ware & Company, 1792; Ticknor & Company, 1832; Little, Brown & Company, 1837.

In other cities: Northampton, Mass., S. E. Bridgman & Company, 1785; Cincinnati, O., U. P. James, 1831; Springfield, Mass., G. & C. Merriam, 1831; Louisville, Ky., John P. Morton, 1825; Richmond, Va., J. W. Randolph Company, 1831; Mobile, Ala., G. H. Randall, 1831; Montgomery, Ala., Joel White & Company, 1833; Lancaster, Pa., John Baer's Sons, 1817.

Above the fireplace in the private office of one of the publishers in New York are the following lines by George William Curtis. They exemplify not only the facts in that particular instance, but seem further to apply to many firms of book publishers.

"My flame expires; but let true hands pass on  
An unextinguished torch from sire to son."

With the great massing of the population of the country in certain cities, the character of the publishing business has become more general, and the convenience of the purchaser now presents itself as a constant factor. If New York City is to-day the largest book mart and the producer of the greatest number of books, Philadelphia and Boston still hold their own. With new centers of population arising in the West, also, other elements are being introduced, and to-day Chicago is fast becoming an important publishing center. Examining the list, which includes 617 American publishers who issued books in 1894, New York is found to have 187, Philadelphia 60, Boston 52, Chicago 51, San Francisco 12, and Baltimore 9, the remainder being scattered over almost every State in the Union.

The great bulk of the books are published by less than one hundred firms in the four chief cities. The conservatism of the trade is shown in this. Before there were easy means of transportation, as in the first third of this century, a newspaper office in a small town would publish a book, and this business has been retained in a lesser proportion until to-day. In examining the number of books published by the 617 firms it is found that a large proportion of these houses issue only one or two books a year. These publishers of one or two books, however, are not all to be classed as among minor producers of books. In many cases a publisher may turn out but one book in a year, but that single book may be of paramount importance and may cost a very large amount of money to produce.

In tracing briefly the history of book publishing in the United States during the last one hundred years various periods may be indicated. At the conclusion of the War of Independence, with the severance of the bonds which united us with England, there sprang up a demand for books, principally of a religious and educational character. During this early period literary reputation was in a measure dependent on the politician, and many pamphlets on state and international topics were published; but books of theology were in the lead.

The second period of publishing owes its progress in some degree to improved mechanical devices. Stereotyping, first used in the United States in 1813, soon became of universal application, and very much cheapened the price of books, though it led to the persistency of typographical errors, and prevented revision and enlargement when a new edition was called for. The prime material—paper—was, however, costly. The raw material—rags—was not readily obtainable in sufficient quantity at home or abroad, and to furnish the necessary paper for new publications old books and papers were regularly collected and sent to the paper-mills.

The third period is one of marked improvement, and dates from about 1843. It was not alone an awakening on the part of the publisher as to the better manufacture of books, but he called in the artist for illustrative aid. Harper's Bible, with 1400 illustrations, Verplanck's Shakespeare, with 1100 illustrations, and many other works, with and without illustrations, were published in parts during the period from 1843 to 1850 inclusive. They found their way into almost every family in the United States. The many thousands of illustrations made during that period gave employment to artists, especially to wood-engravers, and laid the foundations for that school of American wood-engraving which soon took its place in the first rank, and which, within a generation, was acknowledged to be without an equal.

From 1850 to 1855 the demand for books increased rapidly. The estimated output in 1850 was \$10,500,000, and in 1855, \$16,000,000, being an increase of over fifty per cent., whereas the population had not increased more than twenty per cent. during the same period. The panic of 1857, the Civil War from 1861 to 1865, and the disturbed state of the country during the reconstruction period did not prevent a steady growth of the publishing interest.

About the year 1872 the publication of standard works in pamphlet form at cheap prices was begun. Within a very few years everything that had ever

appeared worthy of note in English fiction, together with books in every other branch of literature, was issued in enormous numbers. Millions of books were put on the market at nominal prices, and the supply exceeded the demand. As a result a change was made in the form of these cheap editions, from a quarto to a handy 16mo or 12mo form; and, in addition, these same books were then bound up in cloth, and offered to the trade at a very slight advance over the cost of paper, printing, and binding. There was a perfect flood of books. Whenever a new book by a popular English author appeared it was seized upon by publishers in every portion of the country, and reprints were thrown on the market. This very excess of books in time brought about its own cure, however. Many of the publishers of these very cheap books went out of business. Others joined together in one gigantic company; and this company, in turn, disappeared. A demand arose for an International copyright law, and resulted in the passage of the law in 1891. This copyright law, during the four years of its existence, has proved to be equally advantageous to the public, the author, and the publisher.

It is needless to state that on the intelligence of a people depends the prosperity of the book publishers. It would be trite to remark that where there are illiteracy and ignorance there can be no demand for books. It is the mental activity existing in the United States which has had all to do with the business of the publisher. There must be interdependence between the author and his readers. Literature belongs to the civilized world, and authors are of all nationalities. Our own writers have achieved signal success, and we may be said in a measure to be freeing ourselves from foreign influence; but yet no one would insist, from patriotic motives, that publishers should confine their issues of books to those of an American origin. It is worthy, then, of mention that the American reader, through the medium of the American publisher, has had brought to his notice on many occasions the works of foreign authors whose powers had been overlooked in their own country. In this way the excellence of many foreign authors, by their popularity in the United States, has been revealed to European readers, and finally their reputation at home has been fully established.

A selective power on the part of the American publisher is one of the elements of his success. Though the publisher must always strive toward the production of the best books, he must bear in mind how different are the ages of his readers and the



variety of tastes. Nevertheless the imprimatur on a title-page must be regarded as the flag covering the merchandise. A discerning public at a glance determines for the most part from the name of the publisher the quality of the wares purchased.

To estimate the value of the total output of the book publishing business in the United States is a very difficult matter. There are in the United States over 70,000 post-offices, and this gives some idea of the vast field for the distribution of literary matter in book form. According to a careful estimate made six years ago there were engaged in the publishing, subscription, and retailing of books, periodicals, and stationery, in the United States, not less than 40,000 concerns. Their number has not diminished during the last six years, but has increased, and it is estimated that there are in the United States at least 50,000 firms which make the selling of books the whole or a part of their business. The major part sell the cheapest kinds of paper-bound books only, their main business being the sale of periodicals or stationery.

Studying the output in books of the year 1894, and counting the retail price of one copy of each book published during that year, the total value amounted to \$11,000. As a great number of these books cost less than fifty cents, an idea of the quantity may be, in a measure, understood. Eleven thousand dollars representing, then, the price of one copy of each book, the number of these same books constituting what is known as an edition must be borne in mind. Sometimes very expensive books are limited to an edition of 100 copies. On the other hand, there are works of fiction of which from 20,000 to over 100,000 copies are sold within the year. Of school-books, editions of 50,000 to 500,000 copies, intended for one year's consumption, are not an unusual event. Messrs. D. Appleton & Company for many years sold over 1,000,000 copies of Webster's "Speller" every year; and a Western house, W. B. Smith & Company, of Cincinnati, O., was believed to have sold over 1,000,000 copies of the Eclectic Series during each year. If an edition of 1000 copies only be taken as an average of the books published during the year 1894, their value would be \$11,000,000. This, of course, can be but a small proportion of the total sales of books during the year. The electrotype plates of school-books, Bibles, prayer-books, hymn-books, and other books of that nature, are very rarely changed, and enormous quantities are sold every year.

Making the proper deductions for ages, the child in the United States is a large consumer of books,

due to the public-school system. One other factor often overlooked must be added, and it is that the preparation of a large and increasing class of young men and women for the higher professions is much more extended as to time to-day than in the past, and additional books have to be supplied.

Such books as the "Encyclopædia Britannica" (of which there are several editions in the market), the "Century Dictionary," "Standard Dictionary," etc., are sold by subscription; and the initial expense of such books being enormous, before a single copy of the book is made, the sales must be enormous also. Then there are many "books which are not books"—such as city directories, which are usually published by a company devoted exclusively to the publication of this one book; State directories, lists of dealers in each business, and commercial agency reports (each of these agencies makes four revised editions of their book each year, each book measuring about eleven by thirteen inches, and containing about 2500 pages of matter in close print). There are innumerable genealogies, indexes, catalogues, together with many other productions which are truly books, but which cannot be called literature.

The records of American publications for the twelve years ending in 1841 show an aggregate of 1115 works. Of these, 623 were original and 492 were reprints from foreign works. It is believed, however, that the list of reprints is incomplete, owing to the difficulty of obtaining complete data. Possibly twenty-five per cent. should be added to the number given. The population of the United States in that year was about 17,000,000. In 1853, 733 new works were published in the United States, of which 278 were reprints of English works, 35 were translations of foreign authors, and the remainder were original American works. The population of the United States had reached about 25,000,000, an increase of fifty per cent. compared with 1841. The original American works published in 1853, compared with the twelve years ending in 1841, show an increase of about 800 per cent. in less than twenty years. In other words, the publications of the book trade seem to have advanced about fifteen times as fast as the population.

In 1880, with a population of 50,000,000, the new books published during that year amounted to about 2000—nearly three times more than in 1853, whereas the population had only doubled. The total number of new books published in each year, according to the records of the "Publishers' Weekly," from 1881 to 1894 inclusive, were as follows:

NEW BOOKS PUBLISHED.

1881	2,991	1888	4,631
1882	3,472	1889	4,014
1883	3,481	1890	4,559
1884	4,088	1891	4,665
1885	4,030	1892	4,862
1886	4,776	1893	5,134
1887	4,437	1894	4,484

These figures, of course, include the different editions of the same book issued by different publishers. During the period from 1872 to 1890 inclusive it was no unusual thing for six or seven editions to be made of the same book by different publishers, most of them being in the cheap pamphlet form or in the cheapest cloth binding.

Below is a table of the publications for the year 1894, classified according to subjects and the source of origin. The variety of books by foreign authors (chiefly English) imported bound or in sheets is very large, but the number of copies of each book thus imported is usually small.

PUBLICATIONS FOR 1894.

CLASSIFICATIONS.	BOOKS BY AMERICAN AUTHORS, INCL. NEW EDS., MANUF. IN U. S.	BOOKS BY ENGLISH AND OTHER FOREIGN AUTHORS, INCL. NEW EDS. MANUF. IN U. S.	BOOKS BY FOREIGN AUTHORS IMPORTED BOUND OR IN SHEETS INTO U. S.
Fiction	370	297	62
Law	474	1	10
Theology and Religion	184	22	262
Education and Language	330	22	90
Juvenile	261	22	61
Poetry and the Drama	107	82	77
Political and Social Science	174	8	72
Literary History and Miscellany	152	35	50
History	125	14	48
Physical and Mathemat'l Science	76	11	78
Biography, Memoirs	50	32	79
Medical Science, Hygiene	145	1	14
Description, Travel	83	17	44
Fine Art and Illustrated Books	93	7	38
Useful Arts	92	..	46
Sports and Amusements	33	..	23
Domestic and Rural	35	2	14
Mental and Moral Philosophy	28	4	17
Humor and Satire	9	..	1
	2821	577	1086

Several methods of estimating the yearly output of books have been attempted. One of these was to take the capital employed in every firm which published books during the year 1894—in the case of firms not exclusively devoted to publishing, subtracting from their known capital a definite proportion, so as to allow for that part of the business not connected with books. In the case of several incorporated companies, their capital and their output are known, thus giving a basis for calculation. The same proportion of output to capital

was observed in the case of all the publishing-houses given on the list. A second method was to estimate the output by classes; for instance, the amount of books used in schools and colleges, the amount bought by free and subscription libraries, the amount sold by subscription only, the amount bought by lawyers, doctors, and other professional men, etc. A third method was to take the reported total value of books made in 1820, 1830, 1840, 1850, and 1855, and to carry forward the same progression to date. Still another method tried was by taking the retail prices of the books published during 1894 as a basis. Estimating that each book sold an edition of 1000 copies, which is probably well within the limits, the result was multiplied by the proportion estimated as sold of those books printed previous to 1894.

These four methods were suggested to a number of booksellers, with a request for their estimate of the total amount paid by the public during the year 1894 for all classes of books. The results obtained varied greatly, not only as to individuals, but in several cases where persons made the estimate according to each of the four methods suggested above, their four estimates did not correspond in any appreciable degree. After a careful comparison of all the estimates it seems a fair conclusion that the public pays at least \$25,000,000 per year for what may be called "general literature," and probably an equal amount is paid each year for school and college text-books, for books sold by subscription only, for directories and other similar works, and by the public and subscription libraries.

For many years there has been a gradual increase of American books in all departments of literature, with the exception of fiction. The English novel, owing to lack of international copyright, could be printed and published at low prices; but since 1891 the tendency has been altogether in favor of American novelists. In 1893, 263 American novels and 834 English or foreign novels were published in the United States; but in 1894 there were 370 novels by American authors and 297 by English and other foreign authors.

The study of the export of books for the last year shows that we sent books or other printed matter to all parts of the civilized world to the amount of \$2,147,391. British North America was the largest receiver, taking something over a half-million of dollars (\$581,066); and the United Kingdom was the next, taking \$548,358. The book business with South America and the West Indies is an important one, having amounted in 1894 to about \$579,000.

Australia uses \$50,780 of our books. In estimating this total of exports of books to be \$2,147,391, some natural speculations arise as to what must be the home consumption of books, since the exports can express only a small proportion of the total output.

As to the life of the average book in the United States during various periods, it has been estimated as follows: During the first half of the century probably three fourths of the books published at any time during that period could be found on sale in the book-stores at the end of it. During the next twenty-five years the average life of a book was from five to twenty years. In 1872 began the publication of the cheap "libraries." These "libraries" tended to materially reduce the life of the average book printed after that date. It is probable that one third of the books published in any calendar year will be out of date, and only asked for occasionally, within one year of publication. Another third of the books published during the same year will probably have a life of about two or three years. Of the remaining third practically all but ten per cent. will be "dead stock" within seven or eight years of their publication. This arises from the fact that such an enormous number of books are published to-day.

Prior to 1870 the publication of any book, and the necessary machinery of distribution, required an outlay of capital which very few firms possessed.


One large and increasing demand for books is that arising from the many public libraries in the United States, which, according to the last enumeration, in 1891, numbered nearly 4000, having an average of about 9000 volumes each. Some of the most important libraries take copies of all the works published. When a book is popular—not necessarily fiction, but historical, biographical, philosophical, etc.—many copies may be taken by a single library.

The increase of the legitimate business of book publishing in the United States is a healthy and perfectly natural one. The demand for books must increase with the growth of the country. The publisher and the book distributor are at once in touch with the new sections of the country that are being opened constantly. The need of general instruction is the predominant idea in the American mind, and it is for that reason that the Americans are the most universal of book-buyers and of book-readers.

This sketch of book publishing in the United States was prepared by Mr. Barnet Phillips and Mr. Frederick A. Nast, under my supervision.

*John W. Harper*





## CHAPTER XLV

### AMERICAN PRINTING

WHEN the Revolutionary War closed, the printing trade in America was almost exclusively confined to the tide-water towns. Except in two or three instances in Pennsylvania and Massachusetts, the art had not penetrated inland, and the total number of places where it was practised before 1775 was only twenty-nine, aggregating about 100 offices. In most of these establishments printing and the publication of newspapers were carried on concurrently, the latter being esteemed an integral portion of the printer's art. This continued to be the rule for a long time after, and until within the memory of some living men; and that extension of the calling which began immediately after the struggle for freedom was through newspapers. The first ones established beyond the coast settlements were those at Lexington, in Kentucky, and Pittsburg, in Pennsylvania. They were soon followed by another in Cincinnati; and by 1810 there were thirteen newspapers in Kentucky, fourteen in Ohio, six in Tennessee, and one each in Indiana and Michigan. Each of these offices did whatever job-printing was offered to it, and also printed and bound books on occasion.

The chief centers of the printing trade, however, have always been the three great cities on the Atlantic coast. Baltimore has never executed much printing in proportion to her size, and Charleston, Savannah, and Norfolk did little except that which was purely local in its character. Those towns which first developed a comparatively large trade in printing, not above mentioned, were Albany, Hartford, and Worcester. The leading printer in the latter place, Isaiah Thomas, was denominated by a French traveler as the Didot of America. Of the three great cities, Philadelphia was, for the first fifty years after the conclusion of the War of Independence, unquestionably the first in this line. There the earliest daily paper was begun; there bookbinding and bookselling were most vigorously carried

on; there the greatest publisher of the United States, Mathew Carey, was established; and there Congress sat most of the time after the adoption of the Federal Constitution, before a permanent seat of government was established at Washington. Philadelphia was, too, the largest city in the United States. So great was this industry there shortly after the beginning of this century that 110 presses were kept at work. They were wooden presses, it is true, and their performance was small, measured by the standards of to-day; but the number surpassed that of any other English-speaking city on the globe except London. New York and Boston were alike much smaller in the quantity of the work they did, although the latter had been on a parity with Philadelphia until about 1760.

There was no job-printing to speak of in the year that Jay's treaty was ratified. Probably one man could have set up all the jobs that were executed in Philadelphia in 1795. An important city of that size would now require perhaps sixty men to do the small work offered to its printers. In these offices books and pamphlets took nearly the entire force. Newspapers were little read, and there was in them very little discussion of important matters. They were repertories of dry American facts and summaries of foreign news. Condensation and rewriting were little practised, and there were no editorials. Very little local news was given. Whenever a politician wished to address the public in a forcible way, he wrote a pamphlet. The books were very largely pirated from English publishers. Next followed religious works, books upon law and medicine, and school-books. A few original works were issued each year, but the departments just mentioned comprised the great bulk of all those printed. There were no authors who lived by their calling, and wood-engraving was commenced only in 1793, any one who had natural skill in this line being considered qualified to pursue it.

The printing art in both England and America in 1795 was substantially that which existed two hundred years before. Type-founding was better executed in England in the second quarter of the eighteenth century than at any time before, and there had necessarily been some development occasioned by the greater wealth of the English printers and the greater number of men they employed. But with the single exception that the press had been slightly altered, no new inventions had been made. It was soon to improve, however, and marvelous changes were to originate in the mother-land of the race, and be carried still farther both there and here. The shape in which progress was to appear in this country was chiefly, for a series of years, in the enlargement of printing-offices, the multiplication of places in which the art was carried on, and the introduction of minor industries which had not hitherto been known in America. The first of these was the establishment of a permanent type-foundry. Some foundries had been started by self-instructed workmen, and had attained a certain measure of success, but none of them had been of long continuance. Even a Scotch type-foundry which had been begun in Philadelphia about 1785 had ceased operations, the senior member of the firm having died in 1790. The first permanent establishment was also in Philadelphia, and began casting in 1796. It is still in existence and doing good work, and until lately was known as the foundry of the MacKellar, Smiths & Jordan Company. Those who began it were two Scotchmen, who formed the firm of Binny & Ronaldson. They had no competitors till 1805, when ingenious mechanics in Hartford started another foundry, but with very indifferent success, until Elihu White, one of them, brought the tools to New York in 1810. Here he did very well. A firm of printers in New York, David & George Bruce, desired to enter the field of stereotyping, and applied to the two existing foundries to accommodate them by the casting of types suited to their special needs. This was refused, and the Bruces began making their own type, and soon became successful. Other foundries began in Boston in 1816, and in Baltimore in 1817; in 1830 there were a dozen in the country.

Stereotyping by the plaster process was practised in the city of New York by David & George Bruce in 1813. David Bruce had been to England to learn the particulars of a process invented there, but was able to do no more than to approximate to the thorough knowledge requisite. Facts were held back. When he returned he found that some processes must be reinvented, and that Lord Stan-

hope had not attained complete success. His diligence and mechanical skill finally enabled him to make a plate which was perfectly level on both sides, and of exactly the same thickness in every part. This made the work far more perfect than that done abroad, and an Englishman in New York named Watts, who had succeeded in making stereotype plates here by another process in the same year with Bruce, left this city, with Bruce's improvements, and went to Vienna and other cities in Europe, where he taught master printers the art of making stereotypes "in the American way." Through him Germany acquired the art. His sojourn in Vienna was in 1819. In that year an Englishman then traveling through the United States declared that stereotyping was more largely employed in America than in England, and that the results were excellent. It reached its acme of development here by 1865, forty or fifty firms carrying on the business, and 1000 workmen being employed in it. The plaster process was finally superseded by the introduction of electrotyping for book work, and the papier-mâché process for news work, which had been used concurrently with it for some time. The facility with which, when types had been composed, a cast could be taken of them through the agency of plaster of Paris, that replica then remaining useful for a lifetime, induced Americans to stereotype almost all books that were likely to sell for longer than a year. This proved a very great economy. In England, and upon the Continent, where labor was less high-priced and where stereotyping did not meet with so much favor, the types were recomposed for each new edition.

Ink, during the colonial period, was made by most of our printers. Few attained the skill that would enable them to manufacture a good article. The theory is very simple. It is to mix soot or lampblack with a boiled oil that is transparent and sticky, remaining fluid when in mass, but rapidly drying and adhesive even when laid in a very thin coating upon a sheet of paper. But practice was difficult. Most printers bought their good inks in England and made their poor inks. About 1805 one firm in Philadelphia and another in Cambridgeport began the manufacture of printers' ink. Shortly after another was begun in New York, and in 1816 a fourth one. After this date enough was made and demanded to increase materially the standard of excellence. Competition has been active among these houses, and as a result inks are now cheap and good. There are perhaps thirty firms engaged in preparing this article. Until 1850 no systematic attempt was

made to supply colored inks. Before that time almost the only color used other than black was vermilion, which each printer mixed as he needed for use. Ten years after aniline colors appeared and became very popular. Their use is still increasing. A curious thing about bright-colored inks is that many of them are made as near to the desired tints as possible by the use of mineral and vegetable substances, each variety then having brilliance added to it by the employment of an aniline mixture which differs very little from it in hue. Thus a very bright effect is produced at the moment, but afterward vanishes, although the substratum remains, and gives an indication of what the color originally was. The whole amount manufactured does not reach a value of \$1,000,000.

Another step in the progress of the printer's art was the introduction of elastic rollers for inking the types. In Washington's day ink was applied to the face of types with balls of pelt in a slow and laborious way. An ingenious compositor in England found an elastic substance, formed from glue and molasses, used in the potteries of England, and fancied it might work well if employed on presses. He tried the experiment, which was successful; and shortly after, when machine presses went into use in England, composition rollers were found to be indispensable. Their first employment in America, it is believed, was in New York in 1826, but their use soon rapidly spread throughout the whole country. Printing-machines could not be used to profit without cylindrical inking rollers. More than a dozen establishments are constantly engaged in making rollers for printers.


Another great change was that which came between 1819 and 1830, when wooden hand-presses were driven out and iron ones came in. To-day this seems unimportant, but it was the greatest change that had taken place in the printer's art since the time of Gutenberg. The wooden press was weak and wheezy; it creaked with every pull; the sheets printed were no larger than about a page of the ordinary daily, and each press required two expert men to keep it going. It was very slow. A year's work by four men would produce no more than a man and two boys can now accomplish in a single month with modern machines. The change from wood to iron did not begin in the United States until about 1820, although several presses had been imported before that time, the invention being an English one. Nor was the change a rapid one. Eight years later the majority of the presses employed in New York were still of wood, and many

were used up to as late a date as 1840. The iron press was very much stronger in all its parts than its predecessor; it took no more muscle, and it printed a sheet three times the size of the former one. Among the first manufacturers were Turney, Worrall, Wells, and Smith; but in a few years nearly all presses were manufactured by Hoe in New York and Ramage and Bronstrup in Philadelphia.

It is to be noted throughout all the earlier history of printing in the United States that our country followed Great Britain. There the improvements originated, after a time being taken up by us. This continued to be the case till half a century ago, since which time the lead has been on this side. Among the inventions which were perfected to a great extent in England before they came here was the new method of paper manufacture introduced by the Fourdrinier machine, which was brought to America in 1825. The result of the change was that paper immediately became lower in price, and larger sheets were made. Only one further advance was now necessary for the production of cheap newspapers and books—the construction of rapid presses.

In the third half-decade of the century a German named König, who lived in England, succeeded in producing a cylinder-machine upon which the London "Times" was printed with great speed. After constructing several, he returned to Germany, and there began again the manufacture of presses. In England engineers took up the problem of improving the machine as he left it, and succeeded in doing so in many important respects. But in America no presses like König's were made which were successful in practice until about 1829. Platen printing-machines were made by Treadwell and Tufts, which answered a useful purpose, but these could not print as swiftly as those in England. About 1826 an English machine was imported, and it was while repairing this that Colonel Richard M. Hoe gained his first knowledge of power-presses. Shortly afterward Colonel Hoe's father began the manufacture of presses on substantially the same plan as the one imported, although certain improvements were added. They were made strong where there was much wear, and light where no wear was expected. The very best material was used, and the most thorough workmanship demanded. This thoroughness has always been kept up. As a result, although English presses have always been cheaper than ours, it has never been found expedient to import them. The high pitch set by Hoe has since been followed by all the manufacturers, and no more trustworthy





THEODORE L. DE VINNE.



ironwork is executed anywhere than by our press builders. Hoe improved all machines that he constructed, brought out new patterns, and added new devices. The other early power-press makers were Adams and Taylor.

The early stage of American printing ended in 1833. For some years after the productions of the art were not altogether pleasing, and some of them were offensive to a cultivated taste. But all the requisites for rapid development were at hand. Paper, ink, type, and presses were made here; money which could be invested in new enterprises had accumulated, and the people were anxious to get cheap reading and better printing. By the invention of cloth bookbinding, which began to be used here two or three years before, the production of bound books had become much less costly. What had before cost fifty cents or more a copy to bind could then be bound for ten cents. Schools were formed everywhere, mechanics earned good wages, and roads had been much improved. At about this time railroads first went into use, enabling newspapers printed at one city in the morning to reach another 150 miles distant by nightfall, which could not have been done by any method of riding express previously known. On the 3d of September, 1833, the New York "Sun," the forerunner of a new class of newspapers, appeared. At that time nearly all dailies were slow and dull, having little in them but political argument and foreign news. After the power-press came in they began to enlarge, and increased their sheets as they could, until finally some of them had an area of two thousand square inches. They printed few copies. The blanket-sheets, however, had to wait for the general employment of the Fourdrinier paper-making machine, and those with larger circulations required the double-cylinder printing-machines. The New York "Courier" and the New York "Daily Advertiser" were compelled to buy their first paper in England after power was applied, for the product of the American mills was too flimsy. On the small papers there was a continual struggle against time. The "Sun" was printed on a sheet eleven and one half by seventeen inches, a hand-press being used. Two persons, working at their utmost speed, relieving each other every twenty minutes, were able to produce about 400 copies an hour; but this performance did not supply the demand for the papers. In 1834 a cylinder-press was used, propelled by the arm of a laboring man at the crank of a balance-wheel. This was followed, in 1835, by a double cylinder driven by steam-power. Such, with a change of names and places, was the

experience of all other cheap dailies of that time, including the Baltimore "Sun," the Philadelphia "Ledger," and the New York "Herald." The amount of printing increased rapidly. In 1808 the combined circulation of all the New York dailies was estimated at less than 9000; in 1840 ten dailies had a circulation of about 87,000, of which 70,000 was attributed to the penny papers. The population had increased a little more than threefold; the circulation had increased more than ninefold.

The changes in the decade from 1840 to 1850 were in the introduction of the lightning press, the institution of news agencies, the testing of power-presses in job-work and upon books, and the multiplication of shops and mills subsidiary to the art. The double-cylinder press in general use by newspapers in 1845 was ultimately found to be too slow for the requirements of a large circulation. R. Hoe & Company in 1847 invented the type-revolving rotary printing-machine, on the cylinder of which the type was fastened, and successively presented to the four, six, or ten impression cylinders placed around it. For twenty years this form of cylinder was approved as fast enough. After that time it was adjudged too slow. In 1869 the same house introduced the web printing-machine, which printed continuously from stereotypes on a cylinder against an endless roll of paper, with a speed that then seemed incredible. This machine was made in many forms: to print four, eight, twelve, or more pages; to fold, count, and paste them, and to add covers or insetted sheets; or to print illustrations in two, four, or six colors. All this can be done at speeds varying from 6000 to 70,000 an hour. Large as this performance is, one machine is not enough for the needs of a paper of large circulation. From two to twelve are used in the more prosperous dailies. Fast newspaper machines are made in Europe, but few of them are sold in America, although the machines constructed here are used in England and the English colonies. It is admitted that the largest printing-press manufactory in the world is that of R. Hoe & Company. The efficiency of the fast machine presses is largely aided by improvements in stereotyping. Instead of printing the type on one press, two or more stereotypes of a page can be made for use on as many different presses. This would have been impossible with the liquid plaster method, but the use of paper pulp enables it to be successfully accomplished. Moist papier-mâché is driven into the interstices of the type, dried, and laid in a concave mold, so that when metal is poured upon it it will make a convex plate. The stereotyp-

ing of curved surfaces was successfully done, for the first time in America, by Charles Craske, of this city, in 1854, and plates were made regularly in 1861.

Job-offices, as distinct from book-offices, first began to be numerous about 1850, and book printers added to their facilities those of the job trade. Before 1830 printers had no opportunity to develop their art. There were more printers than work, and the abler men had to seek other trades for the exercise of their ability. Jonathan Seymour, for many years the leading printer of New York, became a paper dealer. Others in New York also made a change. Alderman Clayton gave up printing and bestowed exclusive attention to the sale of paper and stationery; Mather undertook the manufacture of ink; Darius Wells began the making of wood-type; David & George Bruce, at first printers and afterward stereotypers, became type-founders. All these, and many others that could be named, both here and elsewhere, achieved distinction in their newly selected callings. Harper & Brothers, then J. & J. Harper, became publishers by necessity. Failing to get from established publishers work enough to keep their presses busy, they selected and printed at their own risk books which they sold in small quantities to leading booksellers in every part of the country, adding the purchaser's name to the regular imprint.

The decade before the war was one of great advancement in every department of the art. New press builders came in, and this branch, which had been carried on almost entirely by Hoe, Adams, and Taylor, was henceforth to be practised by many. Among them were Cottrell, Babcock, Campbell, Potter, and Huber, each making some new improvement. The introduction of the power-press into book and job offices was very slow. All the work of Harper & Brothers in 1835 was done on hand-presses. The first power-press used by this house was introduced the next year. The first power platen printing-machine was made in this country by Daniel Treadwell, of Massachusetts. Although bulky and inconvenient, it proved of so much advantage to Daniel Fanshaw, of New York, then the printer of the Bible Society, that in 1829 he mortgaged his establishment to that corporation, so that he might put in nine more. It was superseded in a few years by the Adams press. In 1845 this latter machine was the favorite in every office in the great cities. Publishers of books would not allow their plates to be printed upon a cylinder even as late as 1860. The use of cylinder-machines was confined to newspapers, posters, and coarse job-work. Fran-

cis Hart was the first New York printer, and probably the first in the country, to prove that the cylinder could be successfully used on fine book and job work, but for a long time his demonstration was received incredulously by other printers.

In this branch of printing improvements in machinery began with the small presses used by job-printers. The Yankee card-press and the Gilman card-press, introduced in the decade between 1840 and 1850, took card-printing away from the hand-press. Soon followed the Ruggles printing-engine and the Gordon press, equally efficient for the printing of circulars and hand-bills. These little machines not only did the work quicker, but better. They made a revolution in the methods of printing. It was found that on these machines wet or damp paper was not necessary; a stronger and clearer impression could be had on dry paper when the type was resisted by the hard packing of glazed mill-boards. This method of printing on dry paper was afterward utilized on cylinder-presses, and applied with great success to fine woodcuts. The success of American magazines is largely due to the dry-paper method of printing illustrations. The old "Scribner's Magazine," now the "Century," was the first magazine to develop dry-paper printing. Its example has been ably followed by "Harper's," the "Cosmopolitan," and others.

The American method of making-ready woodcuts was first shown in "Harper's Pictorial Bible," by Joseph A. Adams, who made the engravings, also made ready the forms, and developed the system of overlaying that is now adopted in all printing-houses of this country. The type-casting machine, that rapidly reduced the price of printing-types, was invented by David Bruce, Jr., of New York, in 1838. For many years it was the only effective machine, and as such was adopted in every type-making country. About 1848, Lovejoy, from Boston, introduced in New York the art of electrotyping. The feasibility of the new process had been demonstrated in this city by Joseph A. Adams in 1839, who made electrotype plates in 1841 for "Mapes's Magazine." On books the new art supplanted plaster and papier-mâché stereotyping, which could not properly reproduce engravings on wood.

There are several claimants for the honor of introducing and developing the art of photo-engraving in America, but it is generally admitted that John C. Moss was one of the earliest and most efficient workmen in this field. This new process has practically destroyed the art of engraving on wood. Illustrations that once cost \$100, and

that required a month of time, can be had for a tenth of the price, and sometimes in one day. The success of the cheaper illustrated magazines is based on the low cost of ordinary illustration. When engraving on wood was in fashion, there were here engravers of marked eminence, and their work was admired abroad. Adams, Linton, Juengling, Nichols, Howland, Filmer, are but a few of the many able men of that period. The high reputation of New York engravers is now worthily sustained by Cole, Muller, Whitney, and King. Closson and Anthony of Boston are equally famous.

The progress made in the United States has been in many directions, and leaders in the art have been found in many places. More printing has been done in New England than elsewhere, in proportion to the population. The two principal colleges of the United States are located there, and the general standard of education is high. Much book-printing was executed in early years in Hartford, Boston, New Haven, and Worcester, and each of these cities is still steadily increasing in its production. The chief center of the printing business is in New York; Philadelphia and Chicago coming next, and Boston, Washington, St. Louis, and Cincinnati following. The bulk of the work done in Washington is for the government. There are at least ten other cities where the amount executed is great, and where large establishments can be found. The amount of capital required has greatly increased since the beginning of the century, although each tool or appliance is lower in price. Fifty years ago an expenditure of \$200 in types and materials was enough to keep a man at work; but now the material required per hand in cities will cost at least

\$1000. The growth of printing has been very rapid. It is not probable that the total number of workmen of full age in this art in the United States reached beyond 500 at the beginning of the century; it must at present exceed 100,000. The product is in the neighborhood of \$150,000,000.


Type-founding is another branch of the business that has increased greatly. The amount manufactured in 1890 was supposed to be about \$3,000,000 worth. Since then many of these establishments, of which there were about thirty, were consolidated, and the price of type has been lowered. Recent improvements in the art have enabled type-founders to cast type which is perfect, or nearly so, not requiring much subsequent finish. A very great change has been made in the composition of newspapers, and to some extent in books. Matrices are assembled upon a machine, and a whole line is cast at once. Nearly all large daily papers employ this apparatus, which saves a very large proportion of the cost of composition. Type-setting machines, handling separate types, are also in use, and promise to be equally efficient.

Lithography, or printing upon stone, was employed in 1819 in the United States, but not commercially. Since 1825, however, it has thus been used, and it has made wonderful progress since the Civil War. Three or four years after that closed this kind of printing was executed successfully on a power-press. In 1890 the amount of work done was about \$20,000,000 a year, and 8000 persons were employed.

For valuable assistance in the preparation of this article I am indebted to Wesley W. Pasko, recording secretary of the Typothetæ of New York.

*Theo. L. De Vinne*





## CHAPTER XLVI

# THE IRON AND STEEL INDUSTRY

THE probable period at which iron was first adapted to the use of man is a disputed subject among antiquaries. For a long time the claim was generally conceded that the use of copper and bronze by primitive man preceded that of iron; this assumption, however, appears to be based almost entirely upon the fact that few or no traces of iron implements have been found in the prehistoric remains of man. This absence of iron implements may readily be accounted for by the very perishable nature of iron, and the comparative rapidity with which it oxidizes or rusts away when in damp places. The tendency of recent antiquarian investigations is to place the use of iron by man contemporaneously with, if not antedating, that of copper and bronze. It has been contended by some authorities that the difficulty with which iron is smelted from its ores would cause it to be one of the very last metals used by a primitive race. This claim, however, cannot be entirely substantiated, from the fact that iron is not a difficult metal to reduce from its ores, particularly if they are rich, as is abundantly illustrated by the methods of making iron still in use among the savage and half-civilized tribes of Asia and Africa. It is certain that both the Assyrians and the Egyptians used implements of iron many centuries before the Christian era. Iron and furnaces in which it was made are mentioned in the Pentateuch. The Greeks obtained their iron from the Chalybes, a nation that dwelt on the south coast of the Black Sea, from whom it was also obtained by the Asiatic nations. The Romans not only procured their iron from this district, but also from Spain, Elba, and Noricum. The iron-mines of Elba, which to the present day yield a large amount of ore, were worked by the Etruscans, and the method employed by them for extracting the iron from its ores was probably very similar to that now known as the Catalan forge process.

It may be safely assumed that the aboriginal in-

habitants of North America were unacquainted with the use of iron in any of its forms. At the time of the first visits of the Europeans to these shores the few metallic implements in the possession of the natives were probably made of copper. In order properly to comprehend the development of the iron industry in any country it is essential at the outset that the distinctive characteristics of the three great groups under which the iron of commerce is classified should be understood. Though the terms "wrought-iron," "steel," and "cast" or "pig iron" are not scientific and are incapable of technical distinction one from the other, they are by virtue of long usage essentially broad, hence convenient for use. When a lump of pure and easily reducible iron ore is heated on a bed of ignited charcoal in a smelting-fire or forge it is readily reduced to a lump of metallic iron similar in shape to the mass of ore treated. If the lump be sufficiently large one end may be hammered and drawn out into a bar or rod, while the other end remains in the fire as a mass of reduced or partly reduced ore. Such an operation represents the essential features of the primitive methods of iron smelting practised in the early colonial days of this country; the product thus obtained is known as wrought or malleable iron, whether it is made in the rude manner described or by the improved bloomeries which later replaced the rude old forge. From the bloomery, producing its soft malleable bar or bloom, the blast-furnace was gradually evolved, new metallurgical reactions were effected, and the product obtained in a fluid condition, in which it could be run into simple sand receptacles, forming pig-iron, or into specially constructed molds to produce castings for practical use. The metal thus obtained was hard, brittle, and possessed distinct physical characteristics not found in malleable iron. Since by the use of improved methods it became possible to obtain the product of the blast-furnace readily and with vastly greater economy, pig-iron



soon became, as it is at present, what the Germans call raw iron (*Roheisen*), from which practically every other variety of finished iron or steel is obtained. The ton of pig-iron is therefore very properly taken as the rough standard by which the world's production of iron is now measured.

Prior to the year 1795 the iron industry in the United States was not only of a primitive character, but was essentially feeble. The British government had for years been systematically discouraging the efforts of the American colonists to produce iron, in order to avoid competition with the home industries; these repressive measures continued until the Revolutionary War. Forges or bloomeries were to be found in nearly all the colonies from the times of earliest settlement, and as the population increased in districts more or less remote from the seaboard the difficulties of transportation were sufficient to stimulate the colonists at such localities to manufacture iron for their own consumption. Unlimited supplies of fuel being always at hand in the vast forests which covered the country, it became only necessary to find ore and obtain persons sufficiently skilled to construct the smelting appliances. The rude forges of earlier days were gradually, as the demand for iron increased, superseded by simple forms of blast-furnaces, producing, as a rule, a strong and excellent quality of charcoal-iron; indeed, the earlier blast-furnaces in the United States were practically foundries manufacturing all the hollow ware and iron castings required for domestic consumption in the rural communities in which they were established. The iron required for structural purposes, such as bars, straps, nails, sheets, etc., was obtained in the early days either by hammering the bloom from the forge or bloomery, or by shaping by means of rolls propelled by water-power. In fact, before the invention of the puddling process in England by Cort, in 1784, a large proportion of all forms of wrought-iron were derived in this manner. The old so-called "Walloon" process of refining pig-iron into the malleable or wrought form or into a crude mild steel was introduced into the colonies at an early date in their history. We have, however, no means of knowing to what extent it was used; but as it required skilled workmen specially trained in its operations, it would seem probable that the colonists, who were generally their own iron makers, did not take kindly to its adoption. By the puddling process malleable iron is not directly produced from the ore, as in the older methods of manufacture, but indirectly from pig-iron. The introduction of the puddling process was second in importance to no other invention in

the history of the iron industry of this country; it has, moreover, held its own with the greatest tenacity wherever established, and may, in fact, be considered to have held the same relation to the iron industry of forty years ago that the Bessemer process bears to that of the present day. The Revolutionary War, though causing the ruin of many colonial industries, had the effect of stimulating the iron industry to some extent, by reason of the unusual demand for cannon, projectiles, and other war material, which could not be obtained abroad.

For a number of years after the Revolution the iron industry developed steadily but slowly, probably owing to the fact that, as in colonial days, much, if not most, of the iron used along the seaboard was imported. As the more remote communities in the interior, however, increased in wealth and population, the demand for iron grew apace, and the product not only increased in quantity, but also in quality. According to Mr. James M. Swank, who is undoubtedly the best authority upon the history of the iron industry in the United States, no statistics of the production of iron were collected before the year 1810. The production of pig and cast iron in that year was 53,908 tons; wrought and malleable iron of all kinds, 27,105 tons; having a total value of \$6,081,374, of which amount Pennsylvania produced \$2,473,748. The product of the steel furnaces of Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and South Carolina in 1810 was 917 tons, valued at \$144,736; of the whole number of steel furnaces Pennsylvania contained five, producing 531 tons, valued at \$81,147. An analysis of these figures gives us some idea of the state of the industry at the beginning of the century. The product of the blast-furnaces—pig, or, as it was at that time termed, cast iron—was made or run directly into small castings then in demand for commercial purposes; the malleable iron was probably all derived directly from the ore in forges or bloomeries, whence it was taken to the rolling or slitting mills to be made into rods, bars, plates, nails, etc. The steel made at this period in the United States was probably all produced by the cementation or blister process, and was all of the grade now known as high-carbon or tool steel. Although Huntsman's improvement of this process, by which the steel bars thus made were fused in crucibles and subsequently cast into ingots, had been in operation in Sheffield, England, a number of years prior to 1810, it is doubtful if his invention had been adopted in the United States at this early date. In the census of 1820 the quantities of iron made are not given; their value, however, is stated as follows:

pig or cast iron, \$2,230,275; wrought-iron, \$4,640,669; total, \$6,870,944. If these figures be correct either the value per ton had decreased since 1810 or else the quantity produced failed to increase in a ratio corresponding to the general growth and development of the country. The census statistics of 1830, however, show a decided improvement as to values, although no estimate of the quantity is quoted. The returns for the year 1830 were: pig-iron and castings, \$4,757,403; wrought-iron, \$16,737,251; total, \$21,494,654. As the puddling process had probably not been used at this period to any extent, the disproportion between the production of cast or pig iron and that of wrought-iron is marked. This condition could not be due to the difference in value of the two products ton for ton, since in those early days the blast-furnaces were small and crude, and consumed what would now be considered an enormous proportion of expensive (charcoal) fuel. As a consequence the ton of pig-iron cost from \$35 to \$40, and the ton of wrought-iron perhaps one third as much more.

In the decade between 1830 and 1840 few changes or innovations were introduced having much influence upon the character of the industry in the United States. New inventions and improvements devised and operated in Europe did not then, as they do now, make their appearance here almost simultaneously with their practical application in the countries where they had their inception. During this period the production of iron steadily increased, but upon much the same lines as heretofore. Primitive and insignificant as compared with those of to-day, the capacity of the blast-furnaces of that period may be judged from the fact that it required, in the year 1840, 804 of them to produce 286,903 tons of iron. The number of tons of malleable (bar) iron produced for this year were 197,233, by 795 bloomeries, forges, and rolling-mills. It will be noted from this statement that for the first time in the history of the industry the production of cast or pig iron exceeded that from the bloomeries and forges; this was possibly owing to the fact that the puddling process and other methods of refining from the pig-iron instead of the ore, as in the case of forges and bloomeries, were gradually being introduced. The establishment of the puddling process as an adjunct to the industry was of the very greatest importance, as this method of refining iron was destined to supplant all others and to continue in existence until in turn replaced by newer methods of making mild steel for structural purposes. No figures are published for the monetary value of the product in 1840, but

if we assume the ton of pig-iron to have cost \$30, and the ton of hammered bar-iron \$90, we obtain \$8,607,090, or nearly double the value of pig and cast iron produced in 1830. The total value of the bar-iron at this estimate would be \$17,750,970. It will be observed from these figures that the value of the bar-iron rose since 1830 in a ratio considerably less than that of the blast-furnace product, although up to 1840 little or no iron was made in blast-furnaces using any other fuel than charcoal. In 1840 we arrive at a stage in the history of the American iron industry when great changes were to be effected. Notwithstanding the great supplies of timber still available in even the more settled parts of the country, the relatively high cost of manufacturing charcoal, and its enormous consumption in the furnace per ton of iron produced, were serious obstacles to the growth of the industry, even where a good supply of ore was well assured. The discovery a few years previous of great deposits of anthracite coal in northeastern Pennsylvania directed attention to the utilization of this fuel in the manufacture of iron. As early as 1835 the adaptation of anthracite to the manufacture of iron began to attract attention. In that year the Franklin Institute offered a gold medal "to the person who shall manufacture in the United States the greatest quantity of iron from ore during the year, using no other fuel than anthracite coal, the quantity to be not less than twenty tons." Mr. William F. Durfee, in his "History of the Iron and Steel Industry of the United States," states the medal was never awarded, and that it is fair to assume that the required quantity of iron was not manufactured in this manner. He further remarks that there is abundant evidence to prove that from 1830 to 1840 a number of attempts to use mineral fuel in smelting iron ores were made. The first practically successful attempt to produce pig-iron by the use of anthracite was made by Mr. David Thomas at Catasauqua, Pa. The furnace which he erected there for this purpose was blown in on July 3, 1840, and the first "cast" made on July 4th. This furnace was equipped with a "hot blast" operated by water-power, thus inaugurating in the United States, simultaneously and at the same locality, two of the greatest innovations in blast-furnace practice. This furnace, producing from the original start fifty tons of iron per week, continued in profitable operation until the year 1879, when it was dismantled. The earlier forms of hot-blast apparatus consisted essentially of a series of nests of iron pipes heated externally by separate fires, the object being, in passing the air from the blowing or blast engine through

these pipes, thereby greatly augmenting its temperature, not only to increase the heat in the furnace, but to decrease the consumption of fuel per ton of ore smelted. The invention of the hot blast was patented by James B. Neilson, of Glasgow, in 1828, and subsequently improved upon from time to time, notably by Cowper and Whitwell, until at the present time the increased heat of the blast is not only obtained by the combustion of the waste gases from the top of the furnace without the expenditure of additional fuel, but the temperature obtained in the modern regenerative fire-brick hot-blast stove has been increased to 1200° Fahrenheit, whereas in the older type of stove the temperature of the blast probably seldom exceeded 600° Fahrenheit. The use of the hot blast is perhaps the most important improvement ever made in blast-furnace practice, for without it the production of pig-iron as cheaply and in such enormous quantities as at present would have been impossible. Notwithstanding that the success in smelting iron in blast-furnaces with anthracite had been practically demonstrated in 1840, the general use of this fuel appears to have grown slowly; it was ten or more years before the use of coal (either anthracite, coke, or a mixture of the two) became general, and the broad river valleys were illuminated by the flames of the furnaces which produced for Pennsylvania the wealth of an empire. In 1846 the first furnace constructed with the intention of using raw bituminous coal as fuel was successfully placed in operation at Lowell, Mahoning County, O. Although coke had been in general use in England for a number of years, it was not, according to Overman, until 1837 that it was successfully used in the United States in the blast-furnace at Lonaconing, Alleghany County, Md. The manufacture of Connellsville coke was commenced in 1841, but, according to Weeks, it was not until a number of years later, when railroad transportation had become more fully developed, that its value as a furnace fuel became thoroughly demonstrated. The period between the years 1840 and 1850 was a most eventful one in the history of the American iron industry. The introduction of the improvements in smelting already indicated, together with the use of steam-power for propelling the blast and in performing other varieties of work about the furnaces, its replacement of water-power in operating rolling-mills and hammers, in mining coal and ore, and the rapid growth of the railroads, produced a stimulating effect probably never before experienced in a similar degree by any American industry. The railroads contributed largely to the development of the iron industry in

two ways: directly, by rendering transportation comparatively cheap, thereby enlarging the iron market and increasing the demand; and indirectly, by creating in their construction a new and unprecedentedly large consumption of iron. The railroads, in fact, have perhaps had more influence in shaping the character of American industry than any one other factor. As the production of iron increased in later years, the older iron-ore deposits became exhausted, or else proved inferior to the newly discovered ore-beds of the Lake Superior region. The problem of suitably locating a modern blast-furnace producing from 9000 to 10,000 tons of pig-iron per month became a serious one, and its solution has had the effect of moving the geographical center of the iron industry west of the Alleghany Mountains, nearer a new and larger ore supply, yet handy to the coke of Connellsville. It is a curious fact of economic geology that the best iron-ore deposits in any part of the world are seldom found in the vicinity of large coal-fields. As it is essentially cheaper, considered bulk for bulk, to transport the ore than the fuel a long distance, we find to-day most of the larger iron-producing establishments clustered in the immediate vicinity of the coal-mines, where they will doubtless remain until the supply of fuel is exhausted or until radically different methods of obtaining the iron from the ore are devised. In 1850 there were produced in the United States 563,755 tons of pig-iron by 377 establishments, and wrought-iron to the value of \$22,629,271 in 552 establishments. Swank gives no estimate of the amount of steel produced, but as it is probable that most of the steel consumed in the United States in this year was imported, the domestic product must have been necessarily small.

The evolution of iron and steel plate making, particularly boiler-plates, which are of immense commercial and industrial importance, forms an interesting chapter in the growth of our great industry. As I have stated, the pig-iron made early in the century was either used for foundry purposes or was taken to a Catalan forge, where it was reworked and brought to the condition of wrought-iron. It was then made into bar-iron or sheet-iron for commercial use. About the year 1815, when steam began to be used, Dr. Charles Lukens remodeled his mill to produce a thicker plate for that purpose. The bloom, as it was called, was reheated at the forge and hammered as thin as possible, usually about one and one half inches thick. It then went to the rolling-mill, where it was laid on a bed of coal in what was called a grate-furnace. After heating, it was rolled into plates one quarter and three six-

teenths of an inch thick and sent to the boiler maker. He, however, soon tired of shearing and having such a quantity of scrap on his hands. The mill then sheared the product into the regular commercial sizes: forty-eight and forty-nine by twenty-six by one quarter or three sixteenths; or, if large enough, it was sheared into plates sixty-eight and sixty-nine by twenty-six, the scrap being cut into nails. Very soon, however, the reverberatory furnace was introduced, the scrap being arranged into piles of such size as was necessary to produce the required plate, heated to a welding heat, and rolled in the mill. This state of things continued until the introduction of the puddling furnace. In 1852 Congress passed a law requiring all makers of boiler-plate to stamp their names, place of business, and letter to indicate whether charcoal or puddled, upon the goods produced. This led to a great amount of deception, as there was no penalty; and very soon the reputation of the maker was the only safeguard. In 1872 Congress passed another law requiring the maker of boiler-iron for marine boilers to stamp his name and place of business upon it, with the tensile strength which he would guarantee, under a penalty of \$2000 fine and imprisonment of two years for fraudulent stamping, and making it obligatory for the inspector to see that the law was complied with. This also proved a dead letter until the present supervising inspector-general, James A. Dumont, was appointed in 1877, as appears by the report of the Board of Inspectors to the Secretary of the Treasury in January, 1878, and subsequent years. He at once went to work and placed a testing-machine in each of the ten districts, allowing no plate subject to tensile strain to be used until after it had been tested and approved. Feeling the necessity of a better knowledge, I began, as soon as the law was passed, to test my own manufacture, and when General Dumont came into office he requested the makers of boiler-plate to appoint a committee to come to Washington and appear before the full Board of Inspectors to devise "a set of rules which would protect the public without unnecessary hardship to the manufacturer." I was appointed chairman of that committee, and after several consultations the rules at present in use were adopted, very little alteration having been found necessary since their adoption. In connection with this subject I published in the "Franklin Institute Journal" for February, 1878, an article upon "The Strength and Ductility of Iron and Steel Boiler-Plate at Different Temperatures," and another in January, 1879, upon "The Effect of Continued and Progressively In-

creasing Strain upon Iron." The Hartford Steam-Boiler Insurance Company about this time wrote to me for a standard for steel, which was given to them, and still forms their standard. It places the tensile strength of boiler-steel at 55,000 to 60,000 pounds to the square inch, with an elongation of twenty-five per cent. in eight inches. In reference to this rule, I have recently written to Mr. J. M. Allen, president of the Hartford Steam-Boiler Insurance Company, who has had eighteen years' testing practice, and quote from his letter in reply:

"You told me at that time that you thought it would be from 55,000 to 60,000 tensile strength on the specimen tested, with an elongation of twenty-five per cent. in eight inches. We had various tests made about the same time, and have since had them made on other machines, more particularly at Watertown Arsenal, Massachusetts, and we have found that your opinion in regard to this matter has been carried out in every instance, and we now vary but little from it in our requirements, except in some cases where the steel is to be used for special purposes, where we have gone a little over 60,000 tensile strength; but our standard rule does not exceed 60,000, and as to the elongation of twenty-five per cent. in eight inches, we have never changed that. We have found the ductility ample in most cases in connection with the thousands of boilers which we have insured."

It has now become the practice in all engineering work to fix some standard, and there is hardly a day that we do not have one or more inspectors in our mill; so that what a very few years ago was merely a rule of thumb is now reduced to a rule by which the quality of all iron or steel is weighed and measured.

The period in the development of the iron industry between the years 1850 and 1860 was not characterized by the introduction of any such changes or innovations as in the preceding decade. The most important changes appear to have been in increasing the efficiency of the rolling-mill machinery and appliances then in use, as, for example, the invention of the "three-high" roll-train; the introduction of mills for rolling beams, by Cooper & Hewitt, at Trenton, N. J.; and the invention in 1848 of the "universal mill," by Daelin, a German engineer, which invention found its way to America some twelve years later. Between the years 1850 and 1860 the production increased steadily, if slowly, foreign competition being at this time a particularly serious obstacle to overcome. In fact, in the manufacture of the finer qualities of steel, no progress was made up to the year 1860. The first edition of "Appleton's







CHARLES HUSTON

Cyclopedia," printed that year, states that "American cast-steel is hardly known in the markets." According to the census of 1860, 97 establishments in the United States produced 51,290 tons of blooms, valued at \$2,623,178; 286 establishments produced 987,559 tons of pig-iron, worth \$20,870,120; 256 establishments produced 513,213 tons of rolled iron, worth \$31,888,705; 13 establishments produced 11,838 tons of steel, worth \$1,778,240. These last figures probably refer to the crude or cheaper grades of steel, if the statement in "Appleton's Cyclopedia" be correct. Such was the condition of the American iron industry at the beginning of the decade which saw the country in the throes of the most dreadful war of modern times. During the years 1861-65 the resources of the iron industry in the Northern States were taxed to their utmost to provide the Federal armies with war material and the navy with guns and projectiles. The industry in the South, strained at an early day beyond its feeble capacity, soon broke down, and most of the requirements of the Confederate armies were supplied from abroad. In the train of dire disaster wrought by the Civil War some good to the iron industry may be found; for not only did iron ships make their appearance in the navy, but the application of iron plates or "armor" to their sides had its inception. The American iron-clad monitors which made their appearance at this period were not, as has been popularly supposed, the first armor-clad vessels ever constructed, since in 1859 the French built the frigate *Gloire*, which was armored with iron plates five inches in thickness. The British, not to be outdone by their ancient naval foes, constructed in 1861 the magnificent frigate *Warrior*, which was protected on its sides by solid iron plates four and one half inches in thickness. As regards armor, either of these vessels was much better protected than any of our monitors constructed during the Civil War. It appears doubtful if we possessed any rolling-mills at this period capable of producing as heavy iron armor-plate as was then made abroad, for we find the first monitor was protected by armor consisting of from six to eight thicknesses of one-inch iron plates bolted one on the other with overlapping joints. The later vessels were probably protected in much the same way by armor made up of a greater number of similar one-inch plates. One of the marked incidences in the history of the iron industry between the years 1860 and 1870 was the gradual abandonment of the production of iron in districts remote from the coal-fields, charcoal-iron continuing, as at present, to be made in large quantities, its superior qualities

for certain purposes rendering the demand fairly uniform.

In the New England States, containing no coal deposits, but some fairly good iron ores, all the iron smelted in the earlier days was by use of charcoal. As the timber supply decreased and the competition from furnaces more favorably located became greater, the industry began to wane, and gradually, one after the other, the old furnaces were abandoned and dismantled, until to-day scarcely any remain. In 1855 and 1856, Henry Bessemer, of London, obtained patents for a process of converting molten pig-iron into steel by forcing small jets of cold air through the molten iron; but he did not achieve success with his invention until a modification of the process was patented by Robert F. Mushet. Mushet's improvement consisted in adding to the molten steel, after the blast had been stopped, a sufficient quantity of spiegeleisen (an alloy of iron and manganese) to neutralize the oxide of iron caused by blowing and to give the steel the proper degree of hardness and fluidity. In 1856 Bessemer obtained two United States patents for his invention, but was immediately confronted by a claim of priority of invention preferred by William Kelly, a native of Pittsburg, Pa. The result of this incident was that Kelly obtained a patent, but did not appear to avail himself of his success, and the introduction of the pneumatic or, as it is now universally termed, Bessemer process was delayed several years. Since neither Bessemer's nor Kelly's United States patents could be made of much practical value without the control of those of Mushet, it became necessary, in order to create the Bessemer-steel industry in this country, to consolidate all the conflicting interests, which was done in 1866; and the first plant to produce the steel as a commercial article was put in successful operation by the Pennsylvania Steel Company at Steelton, near Harrisburg, Pa., June, 1867. The first steel rails ever rolled in the United States upon order in the way of regular business were rolled by the Cambria Iron Company, Johnstown, Pa., August, 1867, from ingots made by the Pennsylvania Steel Company. The production of Bessemer steel in the year 1867 was 3000 tons, the industry continuing to grow with rapid strides. In 1890, 4,131,535 tons were produced. Of these amounts, 2550 tons were made into rails in 1867, and 2,091,978 tons in 1890. In the year 1891 3,247,417 tons and in 1892 4,168,435 tons of ingots were produced. The output of 1892 was the largest in our history, but in 1893 and 1894 it decreased about eighteen and twelve per cent. respectively. The importance of the invention of the

Bessemer process to the world in general and the United States in particular cannot be overestimated, since it has reached a development with us greater than in any other country in the world. In 1890 the total amount of all varieties of steel made in the United States was 35.2 per cent. of the entire world's product. The rapid and enormous development of the Bessemer-steel industry in the United States is attributable to the great extension of our railroads, as nearly all the steel rails used in their construction were made of this material. Within recent years Bessemer-steel ingots are becoming largely used in the manufacture of black and tinned plates.

The open-hearth steel process had its inception in the year 1856, when the Siemens Brothers, who were natives of Germany, but then residents in London, perfected what is now generally known as the Siemens regenerative gas-furnace, without which no open-hearth steel can be made. In 1864, Messrs. Émile and Pierre Martin, of the Sireuil works in France, erected, with the assistance of Dr. Siemens, one of the regenerative gas-furnaces to convert steel in an open-hearth or reverberatory furnace of their own construction. This scheme was a success from the start, and by a subsequent consolidation of the Siemens and Martin inventions a steel-making apparatus was devised, known as the Siemens-Martin or open-hearth process. The first open-hearth furnace introduced into this country for the manufacture of steel by the Siemens-Martin process was built in 1868 by F. J. Slade for Cooper, Hewitt & Company, at the works of the New Jersey Steel and Iron Company, at Trenton, N. J. The building of this furnace was commenced in the spring of 1868, and in December of the same year it was successfully put in operation. In 1870 the production of open-hearth steel in the United States was 1500 tons, and in 1890 574,820 tons, the industry showing a rapid development during the intervening twenty years. Great Britain is at present the largest producer of open-hearth steel in the world, and in this branch of the iron industry the United States is still somewhat behind its great rival. In 1890 Great Britain produced 1,564,200 tons, as against 574,820 tons in the United States. In 1894 the production in the United States amounted to 784,936 tons, and in Great Britain 1,575,318 tons, of which 104,531 tons were made by the basic process. From the present indications it seems probable that the production of open-hearth steel in the United States for the year 1895 will reach nearly 1,000,000 tons, and that it will not be many years before it equals that of Great

Britain. The so-called "basic" open-hearth process, although having been in successful operation in Europe for a number of years, did not have its inception in the United States until the year 1888, when a number of such furnaces were constructed at the works of Carnegie, Phipps & Company, at Homestead, near Pittsburg, Pa. The manufacture of the basic open-hearth steel has developed slowly in the United States, and it does not seem likely to increase with great rapidity as long as the supply of cheap and excellent iron ore from the Lake Superior region continues undiminished. During the remarkable boom in the iron industry of the Southern States a few years ago we heard much about the possibilities of making steel by the basic process in this part of the United States, the cheaply available iron ores of this section being assumed to be particularly suitable to the production of steel in this manner. These expectations, however, appear to have failed to be realized. Without going into technicalities, the basic open-hearth process may be briefly defined as an ordinary open-hearth plant whose furnace lining is made of a basic material, such as dolomitic limestone or the mineral magnesite. When pig-iron containing a sufficiently great quantity of phosphorus to render it unfit for conversion into steel by any other method is melted in a furnace thus constructed, the basic lining, together with a basic flux which is added, removes the objectionable phosphorus and renders (other conditions being normal), in most cases, the resulting steel equal to that prepared in the open-hearth furnace in the old and usual manner. The purposes for which open-hearth steel is ordinarily adapted are quite different from those for which the Bessemer steel is most suitable; but the converse of this fact, however, is not true, since open-hearth steel may be and frequently is used to an equal, if not greater, advantage wherever Bessemer steel is employed. In this country, at least, all high-grade structural material, such as boiler and ship plate, bridge and building members, high-grade castings, etc., is almost invariably of open-hearth steel, which is generally considered, and doubtless is, more uniform in quality than soft steel made by the Bessemer method.

One of the most curious phases in the history of the American iron industry is the fact that although the United States at one time consumed nearly sixty per cent. of the world's entire production of tinned plates, with the exception of a few sporadic attempts in 1873 and 1875, no tin or terne plates were made in the United States until the year 1891. This phenomenon cannot be explained by the fact that

this country mines or produces no tin, because Great Britain, since the practical exhaustion of her Cornish deposits, has been similarly situated, and is obliged to import over two thirds of the tin consumed from the East Indies, whence comes, also, most of the tin used in the United States. According to the report of Colonel Ira Ayer, special agent of the Treasury Department, the total amount of tin and terne plate produced in the United States in the year ending June 30, 1892, was 13,646,719 pounds or 6092 gross tons; for the year ending June 30, 1893,—which was a very bad year for the iron trade,—99,819,202 pounds or 44,563 gross tons; the year ending June 30, 1894, 139,223,467 pounds or 62,153 gross tons; and, finally, the year ending June 30, 1895, 193,801,073 pounds or 86,518 gross tons. In 1889 the imports of tin and terne plate from Great Britain into the United States were 331,311 gross tons, having a foreign value of \$21,726,707. Great Britain furnished virtually all the tin-plate used in the United States during the twenty years ending 1890. No better evidence of the success of our domestic tin-plate industry could be afforded than the fact that our imports have steadily decreased since 1889, those for the year 1894 being 215,068 gross tons, having a foreign value of \$12,053,167. It will be observed from the figures given for the American production that the industry has increased more than fourteen-fold in four years. Verily this industry is here to stay, and it is not too much to expect that within a very few years we will be able to supply our entire domestic demand, and importations will practically cease.

If the history of the development of the American blast-furnace practice were written it would form a large book of itself, and it is therefore only possible in this sketch to mention very briefly some of the most important factors which influenced it. I have already intimated how the introduction of the hot blast, coke-fuel, and the use of steam-power increased the efficiency of many of the furnaces. In 1870 most of the blast-furnaces in operation were still very primitive, and although no statistics for that year are given, it is probable that the best of them did not produce as an average over fifty tons of pig-iron per day, whereas in 1895 the production of 300 tons per day is a common occurrence, and in exceptional cases 350 to 400 tons per day have been made by some of our best furnaces. The following table from the United States census reports exhibits the rate of production of pig-iron in the different sections of the United States during the twenty years ending in 1890:

## PRODUCTION OF PIG-IRON.

DISTRICT.	TONS OF 2000 POUNDS.		
	YEAR ENDING MAY 31, 1870.	YEAR ENDING MAY 31, 1880.	YEAR ENDING JUNE 30, 1890.
New England States . . .	34,471	30,957	33,781
Middle States . . . . .	1,311,649	2,401,093	5,216,591
Southern States . . . . .	184,540	350,436	1,780,909
Western States . . . . .	522,161	995,335	2,522,351
Far Western States . . . . .	.....	3,200	26,147
Totals . . . . .	2,052,821	3,781,021	9,579,779

From the above figures it will be noted that the manufacture of pig-iron in New England was practically stationary for the period of twenty years ending in 1890. Between this date and 1895 it has steadily decreased, the total amount produced in 1894 being 7572 tons. During the twenty years between 1870 and 1890 production in the Middle States had nearly quadrupled, in the Western States increased nearly five times, and in the Southern States nearly ten times. The production of pig-iron in the United States for the census year 1890 was 9,202,703 gross tons, the largest in the history of the country; in fact, larger than that of any other nation in the world, being 616,023 tons in excess of the production of Great Britain in 1882—the greatest on record. In 1870 Great Britain produced 5,963,515 tons of pig-iron; in 1880, 7,749,233; in 1890, 7,904,214; in 1894, 7,364,745. The United States produced in 1891 8,279,870 tons of pig-iron; in 1892, 9,157,000; in 1893, 7,124,502; in 1894, 6,657,388. It will thus be observed that, owing to the general depression in the iron business during these latter years, the production has gradually decreased and fallen considerably short of that in Great Britain during the same period. The production in 1895, however, will probably show a great increase, although it is not likely to equal that of the phenomenal year 1890.

A sketch of the American iron industry in the past hundred years would be incomplete without some reference to the introduction of the manufacture of armor-plate into the United States. This class of material not only has a peculiar and limited demand, but its manufacture requires the highest degree of metallurgical and mechanical skill, together with an exceptionally expensive plant. When the reconstruction of the United States navy was begun, some ten years ago, we had absolutely no facilities for making the simplest kind of armor-plate, although possessing some of the largest steel-works in the world.


One of the first of the new armored vessels completed (the monitor *Miantonomoh*) was protected by "compound" plates imported from England. All the large forgings for the guns and shafts of the earlier ships were likewise imported. Owing to the wise and liberal policy of Congress, the Bethlehem Iron Company and Carnegie, Phipps & Company, of Pittsburg, were induced to erect expensive plants necessary for making not only the heavy gun-forgings required, but also for all the different grades and thicknesses of armor-plate. In 1891 these firms began to supply armor for the ships in course of construction, although at first their output of finished armor was extremely slow. The delays have now been slowly overcome, and at the present time there is little doubt that these great steel-works will be able to supply the armor as fast as new ships are constructed. How successful these works have been in furnishing our government with the best grades of armor-plate could have no better illustration than the fact that the Bethlehem Iron Company is now supplying foreign governments with armor for their ships. The only two important iron and steel commodities which the iron industry of the United States did not supply in 1890 (tin-plate and armor-plate) are at present being made in large quantities, and the year 1895 sees this country for the first time in its history absolutely independent as regards the production of every important variety of iron and steel.

Vast improvement has been made in the machinery necessary to manipulate iron and steel. The Bethlehem Iron Company has, I believe, the largest hammer in the world, of 125 tons' capacity. This hammer was built by Mr. John Fritz and put into successful operation in 1891. The Bethlehem Iron Company and Carnegie, Phipps & Company are now prepared to make the heaviest forgings required for armor-plate, heavy shaftings, etc., up to forty to fifty tons in weight. Long previous to this, however, Mr. Fritz, while at Cambria, put into successful operation the three-high roll-train invented by

him (and afterward adapted to plate-mills by Mr. Lauth); and his brother, Mr. George Fritz, invented what is known as the "automatic tables," all of which improvements enable the manufacturer to successfully handle almost any weight of ingot. I well remember when a 500-pound mass of iron was thought to be so heavy that the whole neighborhood gathered in to see it rolled. The necessity of handling such very heavy weights as could be made from ingots cast in large masses brought into play the invention of hydraulic machinery, so that we now have pumps to produce any required pressure in a series of pipes which deliver the water to the hydraulic engines in any part of the works. By simply turning a valve now a boy will pick up a heavy ingot (say of 10,000-pound weight) with his hydraulic crane and deliver it anywhere within reach of the crane. If on a car, it may then be taken by a small locomotive to the rolling-mill, where another crane picks it up and puts it into the furnace, and, after heating to the required degree, takes it out and delivers it to the machinery at the rolls; then the automatic tables push it back and forth through the rolls until it is reduced to the required dimensions. The same tables now take it to the shears, which are also operated by hydraulic power, and the plate, sometimes two inches thick, is sheared ready for shipment. All this is done with more ease than was possible a few years ago. Within the last few years electricity has been brought into play to do some of the heavy work, being for some things even more available than hydraulics.

In this brief account of the evolution of this great industry I have been much indebted for information to Mr. James M. Swank, secretary and general manager of the American Iron and Steel Association, and author of the elaborate work, "Iron in All Ages." My space has been too limited to more than outline the vast subject, but I have endeavored to give a slight idea of the giant in iron production our country has become in so short a space of time.





## CHAPTER XLVII

### COPPER AND BRASS

**T**HE Naugatuck River has its sources in the hills of northwestern Connecticut, and flows southward for about forty miles to its junction with the Housatonic River at Derby, taking its course through a narrow, winding valley, between steep, well-wooded hills, that rise directly from the river-bank to a considerable height. From Torrington, at the head of the valley, to Derby, there is a fall of about 600 feet. Four times, within six miles from its mouth, the water is diverted from its channel by dams, and held in large reservoirs to furnish water-power. Further up the valley, wherever it broadens to give room for a village or a city, there are water privileges, and the power is utilized for manufacturing purposes.

In this narrow valley, which contains a population of more than 80,000 people, evidence of thrift and prosperity is everywhere seen in the neat, comfortable homes of the workingmen, and the fine houses of their employers. This is the seat of the brass-rolling industry of America. Ten great corporations are here directly engaged in this business, producing about three fourths of the total quantity of rolled brass manufactured in the United States, giving direct employment to 8200 persons, and indirectly to many thousands more. Nearly 100,000,000 pounds of copper, or about one half the total quantity of this metal consumed in the United States, are conveyed annually to the Naugatuck Valley for use in these manufacturing establishments.

The Naugatuck is a capricious stream. It is subject to freshets in the early spring months, while in the summer there is often a scarcity of water. The valley of the Housatonic River, running parallel with the Naugatuck through Connecticut, furnishes better water privileges, and broader plains for laying out towns and cities; but in the Naugatuck Valley were found the men of foresight, energy, and activity who could originate great enterprises and

carry them to completion. They began the brass-rolling industry sixty-five years ago. Its development and progress with the growth of the country are due to the energy and ability of those who have conducted the business and furnished the necessary capital for its enlargement. The causes that have led to the concentration of so large a proportion of this industry in the Naugatuck Valley are more complex. The cheap power afforded by the water privileges in the valley undoubtedly led to the establishment there of the first rolling-mills, which, as they increased in size and capacity, finally outgrew the water-power, and are at the present day operated by steam, or by steam and water-power together. An abundant supply of pure water is always necessary in a brass-mill for washing the metal, for fire protection, and for use in condensers in connection with steam-power; and the water-supply from the Naugatuck River is very useful for such purposes, as well as for power.

The mills originally established in the valley have enlarged and extended from time to time to keep pace with the growing demand for brass. According to the general law governing the concentration of kindred industries and trades in particular localities, new mills were started there, even after the water-power had ceased to be a determining factor in the problem of location. Other advantages, such as the cheapness and accessibility of wood of the variety best suited for annealing purposes, were among the causes that held the trade in the valley. Then, too, there arose a race of workmen skilled from generation to generation in the mixing, rolling, and manipulation of brass; and as time went on and competition increased, the production of rolled metal becoming less profitable, many of the rolling-mills began remanufacturing their own metal. Other corporations were formed, some being direct offshoots from the brass-mills,

until the location became what it is to-day; a great center for the reworking and consumption of metal. There are many reasons why it is desirable that a brass-mill should not be too far from the place where its product is chiefly consumed, and thus it happens that, while a few brass manufactories are operated in other parts of the country, the Naugatuck Valley still is and probably will remain the seat of the brass-rolling industry in America. Other enterprises, such as the rolling of iron and steel, thrive best where their raw material, their fuel and labor, are cheapest and most accessible, transportation, labor, and fuel being great factors in the cost of the product; but the brass manufacturer, working a high-priced raw material, and bringing his finished product to the point of nicety in gauge and quality, finds the cost of labor, fuel, and transportation factors of far less importance relatively, and he is governed largely by other considerations in his choice of locality. Therefore, while the shifting centers of the manufacture of iron and steel are marked throughout the country by abandoned furnaces, the seat of the brass-rolling industry remains to-day where it was established sixty-five years ago, it being a noteworthy fact that, with hardly an exception, all of the brass-mills which are operated outside of the State of Connecticut were constructed and are carried on by Connecticut men.

Israel Coe, a farmer of Connecticut, John Hungerford, of Connecticut, and Anson G. Phelps, a capitalist of New York and founder of the house of Phelps, Dodge & Company, were pioneers in brass-manufacturing in this country, and in 1834 they built a brass-mill at Wolcottville, now Torrington, Conn. Previous to 1830, brass was imported, or manufactured here in a very primitive way. As early as 1811, James G. Moffett, of New York, rolled brass in small quantities, using for power a sweep actuated by oxen. In 1802, the manufacture of gilt buttons was begun in Connecticut by Abel Porter & Company. At that time these buttons were articles of fashionable use. To obtain brass for this purpose, the mixture was cast in ingots at Waterbury, and taken to Bradleyville, near Litchfield, Conn., where there was an iron-mill driven by water-power; here it was broken down and rolled into strips, and returned in a rough state to the button factory in Waterbury, where it was rolled thinner by being passed between two rolls two inches in diameter, driven by horse-power. The copper for brass-making was obtained from old boilers which had been used in distilleries and in sugar-making.

This copper was cast into ingots and mixed with spelter, which was obtained from abroad. In 1808, Abel Porter & Company purchased the water-power now owned by the Scovill Manufacturing Company at Waterbury, and soon afterward put in rolls suitable for breaking down and finishing brass. For a period of about twenty years they rolled brass, but it does not appear that their production was any more than enough to supply their own requirements. In 1830, the firm of Holmes, Hotchkiss, Brown & Elton established a mill and engaged in the manufacture of sheet brass at Waterbury. This was substantially the beginning of the sheet-brass business in America, although the metal, in small quantities, may have been occasionally supplied to consumers before that time by the firm of J. M. L. & W. H. Scovill, and by Benedict & Coe, of Waterbury.

There was at that time also a demand for brass kettles, which were manufactured in England by a process known as the "battery" process: that is, they were hammered into shape from metal blanks. The establishment of the mill at Torrington, at the head of the Naugatuck Valley, in 1834, was for the purpose of rolling brass for use in manufacturing these kettles, and to supply the growing demand of the button factories. A small rolling-mill was built, with machinery imported from England, and Israel Holmes, of Waterbury, was engaged as manager of the mill. There was great difficulty in securing workmen competent to carry on the business. Mr. Holmes was sent to England, and succeeded in procuring a few experienced men. He afterward made another trip abroad for the same purpose, but the English manufacturers, fearful of losing their American trade, endeavored to prevent him from hiring their men, and it was with great difficulty and some danger to himself that he succeeded in embarking a colony of workmen and their families, about thirty persons in all. These were landed at Philadelphia, taken in a schooner from there to Hartford, Conn., from which place they proceeded on foot through the woods, a distance of twenty-five miles, to Torrington.

From this small beginning, and with no end of difficulty and discouragement, the enterprise continued to grow. Local competition arose, and in 1840, Edwin Hodges, of West Torrington, started a mill for the purpose of making brass kettles, and also for drawing brass wire. This seems to have been the first brass-wire-drawing establishment in this country. It was located in Cotton Hollow, in the town of Torrington. The enterprise was unsuccessful, and the mill was soon closed, with the



loss of all the capital invested. In 1841, the original enterprise at Torrington was made into a stock company, with a capital of \$56,000. It was named The Wolcottville Brass Company, and the incorporators were John Hungerford, Anson G. Phelps, and Israel Coe. The records of this company for the first few years of its existence contain some interesting details. The copper used was imported from Chile, or was obtained in the form of old copper, which was collected from different places throughout the country. The price of copper was then eighteen and three fourth cents per pound. Spelter, which was imported, cost eight and three eighth cents per pound. The fuel used was mainly wood, but some Lehigh coal was procured, which cost, at Hartford, \$8.43 per ton, to which was to be added the cost of transportation by teams from Hartford to Wolcottville. Fire-brick for the furnaces cost \$60 per 1000. The manufactured product, in the form of rolled and sheet brass, was valued at twenty-six to thirty cents per pound. It was taken by teams either to Waterbury, or twenty-five miles across a hilly country to Hartford, and from there shipped on sloops to New York. Upon the site of the works occupied by the Wolcottville Brass Company are to-day the great factories of the Coe Brass Manufacturing Company. The name of Anson G. Phelps is perpetuated by the city of Ansonia the Ansonia Brass and Copper Company, and the Ansonia Clock Company, as well as by the firm of Phelps, Dodge & Company, which he founded; and the name of Israel Holmes appears in the title of the corporation of Holmes, Booth & Haydens, of Waterbury.

The decade from 1840 to 1850 saw the birth of many of the prominent brass-manufacturing corporations of the present day. In 1843 a joint-stock company, at Waterbury, was organized under the title of the Benedict & Burnham Manufacturing Company, with a paid-up capital of \$100,000. Aaron Benedict was president and treasurer, and John S. Mitchell secretary. Mr. Aaron Benedict continued at the head of the company until his death in 1873. This company now operates extensive works, and gives employment to 967 persons, manufacturing brass, German silver, etc., and remanufacturing metal.

The Waterbury Brass Company began business in 1845 with a capital of \$40,000. Among the incorporators were John P. Elton, Lyman W. Coe, Israel Holmes, and Hobart V. Welton. They now give employment to 525 persons, and manufacture brass, brass wire, etc., and also remanufacture.

In 1849 the Naugatuck Railroad was completed,

and the product of the valley mills was thereafter shipped by rail to tidewater at Bridgeport.

In 1848 Thomas Wallace and his sons, John, William, and Thomas, began the business of wire-drawing at Birmingham, Conn. Their cash capital was \$500. Their knowledge of their trade enabled them to increase their business, and in a few years they built a factory at Ansonia, which has since been greatly enlarged. At present it is conducted under the name of Wallace & Sons, and gives employment to 646 persons, in manufacturing brass and copper wire, and remanufacturing.

The Scovill Manufacturing Company, of Waterbury, succeeded the firm of J. M. L. & W. H. Scovill, and was incorporated in 1850, with a capital of \$200,000, which has since been increased. They now manufacture brass, German silver, etc., employing 1650 persons, and are extensive remanufacturers of metal.

The Coe Brass Manufacturing Company, of Torrington, Conn., was founded by Lyman W. Coe in 1863, and succeeded the Wolcottville Brass Company. Lyman W. Coe, the son of Israel Coe, was the president of the corporation, which began business with a capital of \$100,000. Their capital has been increased from time to time, and they now employ 650 persons, manufacturing brass, German silver, tubes, wire, etc. They do not remanufacture.

In 1844 Anson G. Phelps purchased extensive lands in the vicinity of what is now the city of Ansonia, which was founded by him, and named in his honor. He constructed a dam across the Naugatuck River, a canal, large reservoirs for water-power, and built a mill for rolling copper. The firm of Phelps, Dodge & Co. had for some years prior to 1844 operated a copper rolling-mill at Birmingham, Conn. The water privilege at Ansonia is now owned and operated by the Ansonia Land and Water-Power Company, and is the source of water-power for the city of Ansonia. Mr. Phelps brought from the Wolcottville works J. H. Bartholomew and George P. Cowles, who managed the business at Ansonia under the name of the Ansonia Brass and Battery Company, the term "battery" being indicative of the process by which brass kettles were hammered from metal blanks. This method of making kettles was in use until 1851, when it gave place to a patented process for spinning kettles from circular blanks of metal. The business of the Ansonia Brass and Battery Company was conducted by the firm of Phelps, Dodge & Company of New York. A brass-mill was built, and later a wire-mill. The company afterward engaged in the manufac-

ture of clocks. In 1869 this manufacturing enterprise was incorporated under the name of The Ansonia Brass and Copper Company. In 1877 the manufacture and sale of clocks had increased to such an extent that it was decided to form a new joint-stock corporation under the name of The Ansonia Clock Company, which began business on January 1, 1878. The location of this part of the company's business was transferred to Brooklyn, N. Y., where large factories were erected and are now in operation. The ownership and management of the two companies are practically the same. They operate at Ansonia four factories, where they give employment to 1125 persons, and in the factories in Brooklyn 1000 persons are employed. They manufacture at Ansonia sheet brass, sheet copper, wire, tubing, etc. They also remanufacture their metal, making brass bedsteads and other articles.

During many years brass manufacturing was conducted on what would now be regarded as a very small scale, and, although the methods pursued at the present day are substantially the same as at the beginning, wonderful progress has been made in cheapening these methods, and improving the quality of the articles manufactured. It is stated that in the early forties it was customary for the manufacturers at Waterbury annually to appoint a committee to make the long journey to Baltimore for the purpose of purchasing copper for the season's supply. At that time the purchase of 500,000 pounds of copper was sufficient for a year's supply for these manufacturers. At present that quantity would not supply the demand of the Naugatuck Valley for two days.

Copper and spelter being the metals from which brass is made, a brief account of the sources of supply from which these materials are obtained will throw some light upon the development of the business of brass and copper rolling. The first coppermine worked in the United States was the Simsbury Mine, at Granby, in Connecticut. The record of this mine extends back to the year 1705. It was worked until 1770, but was not profitable, and only a small quantity of ore was taken out. During the War of the Revolution it was used as a prison, and to-day it is an object of interest to those who are curiously inclined. About the year 1719, the Schuyler Mine, near Belleville, N. J., was opened and became one of a number of small mines which were worked in that section of the country for a series of years following. The Gap Mine, in Lancaster, Pa., was started in 1732. The production of copper from all these openings, however, was of very little commercial importance, and

until the Lake Superior region became a source of supply, the consumers of copper in the United States had to procure their raw material in Chile. It was brought to this country in the form of pigs, and refined near Boston, at Baltimore, and at other points along the coast. In 1844, the Cliff Mine, near Eagle River, Lake Superior, was opened, and in 1845 regular records of production were begun. The great development of the copper-mining industry at Lake Superior soon placed the United States in the front ranks of the copper-producing countries of the world, and the product of these mines, being of a quality much finer than the copper produced abroad, naturally took the place of the foreign product for home consumption. Copper production in the United States from 1845 to 1880 kept pace with home consumption, a comparatively small quantity being exported up to the last-named period, so that the record of the copper produced in the United States between the periods named will indicate the progress made in manufactures of brass and copper. Beginning in 1845 with a product of 100 tons (which was much less than the quantity required for home consumption), the record as shown by periods of ten years is as follows: 1850, 650 tons; 1860, 7200 tons; 1870, 12,600 tons; 1880, 27,000 tons.

Very little copper was imported to the United States after 1860. In 1879 the Lake Superior region furnished about eighty-three per cent. of the total quantity of copper produced in the United States, but after 1880 the opening of the copper-mining regions of Arizona and Montana increased the output largely beyond the quantity required for use here. A heavy exportation at once followed, and this country became one of the world's great sources of supply of copper. The quantity of copper produced in the United States in 1894 was 157,814 long tons, of which there were consumed here 78,687 tons, and the quantity exported was 75,737 tons.

A fair estimate of the average price of copper in the United States from 1845 to 1859 is twenty cents per pound. From 1859 to 1876 the yearly average price of copper varied from twenty and a half cents to thirty-two cents per pound, with the exception that in the years 1864 and 1865 the price was advanced, so that in 1864 the average price of Lake Superior copper was forty-six and one fourth cents per pound, and in 1865 thirty-six and one fourth cents. Since 1876 there has been a gradual decline in the yearly average price, which was eighteen and five eighth cents in 1877, and eleven



ALFRED A. COWLES.



and one fourth cents in 1887. In 1894 the price touched nine cents per pound, which is the lowest point recorded. The price at present is twelve cents per pound. Since we became great exporters of copper, the price of this metal in the United States has been nearly at a parity with the price in Europe. With increased production the cost of mining has been greatly reduced, while improvements in metallurgy, and methods of electrolytic extraction, have brought into the market great quantities of copper suitable for the finest work from sources which formerly furnished only coarse and ordinary grades of material. In former years the tariff upon copper had affected the price of the raw material in this country, often enabling the mining companies to obtain from the consumer at home a higher rate than that which ruled abroad. The price of copper in this country was sometimes sustained by arrangement between the mining companies, who would market the copper here at a fixed price, and ship their surplus product abroad at a considerably lower rate. The American brass manufacturer was, therefore, usually confined to a home market for his product, and the statement that, in certain cases, he succeeded in taking large foreign contracts for brass, with the disadvantage of having to pay a higher price than his competitor abroad, not only for his raw material but for his labor and supplies, is the best possible tribute to the excellent quality of his work. Ingot copper was admitted to this country, duty-free, until the Act of July 30, 1846, when a duty of five per cent. was imposed. The Act of March 3, 1857, restored copper to the free list. Subsequent duties were imposed upon copper: in 1861 of two cents per pound, and after that period of from two and a half to five cents per pound. The McKinley Bill made the duty one and a quarter cents per pound, and at present ingot copper is on the free list.

The first refined spelter produced in this country was made in the year 1856, at Bethlehem, Pa., from ores mined there, and it was sent to the government arsenal at Washington. Up to 1865 or 1866, the spelter used by brass manufacturers was imported from Germany and Belgium. In 1867 the Missouri Zinc Company, at Carondelet, Mo., began to make spelter from Wisconsin ores. The first year they made about 1800 tons; the next year about 2500 tons. This was used in the United States. In 1869 the first zinc ores were discovered in southwestern Missouri, and since then the development of the zinc industry has been constantly increasing. The output of the present year will

probably be between 80,000 and 90,000 tons. The American brass manufacturers have used domestic spelter almost exclusively for the past twenty-five years, the quality of the American spelter being superior to that of the foreign article. One of the finest grades of spelter is produced in New Jersey, and is sold at a high price, but the greater part of the spelter produced at present in this country comes from southwestern Missouri and Kansas. At no time within the past twenty-five years has spelter been admitted to the United States free of duty. The duty under the McKinley Bill was one and one half cents per pound. Under the present tariff the duty is one cent per pound.

On January 13, 1801, Paul Revere, of Revolutionary fame, wrote to a friend in London, requesting him to go down to Maidenhead, where rolling machinery was manufactured, and ascertain the price of a pair of rolls nine inches in diameter and twenty inches long, for making sheet copper. Colonel Revere was a silversmith, and had previously corresponded with Benjamin Stoddard, Secretary of the Navy, upon the subject of copper rolling. It is not known whether or not these rolls were procured at that time, but in January, 1801, Colonel Revere purchased an old powder-mill at Canton, Mass., where he began the production of sheet copper. The business has been carried on continuously since that time, and is now incorporated under the name of the Revere Copper Company. Among the names of those originally connected with this enterprise are Joseph A. Revere, James Davis, John Revere, and S. T. Snow. This company now manufactures sheet copper and yellow metal, giving employment to 125 men.

In 1812 the Soho Copper Company was established in Belleville, N. J., where there is a good water-power, and water transportation by canal and by the Passaic River. The originator of this enterprise was Harmon Hendricks, the son of Uriah Hendricks, who was an importer of copper and metals. Some of the buildings were of brick, roofed with tiles imported from Europe. The rolling-mill was of wood, and contained one pair of breaking-down rolls, one pair of sheet rolls, and one pair of bolt rolls, all of which were imported from England. The plant and machinery cost \$50,000, and were intended for the purpose of furnishing the United States government with heavy copper sheets for boilers, and bolts for ship-building, during the War of 1812. This business has descended from father to son in a direct line, until it is now in the hands of the fourth and fifth generations, and is known

as the "Belleville Copper Rolling Mills," operated by Hendricks Brothers, and employing 100 men. In the year 1815, ingot copper sold for eighteen and one half cents per pound, and the price of copper sheets was thirty-nine cents per pound.

The Gunpowder Copper Works were built in 1817, on the Gunpowder River, ten miles from Baltimore, by Levi Hollingsworth. Water power was used in manufacturing. In 1866 the rolling-mill was transferred to Canton. It is now operated by the Baltimore Copper Smelting & Rolling Co., who are engaged in smelting, and in the manufacture of blue vitriol and sulphuric acid. They employ in all about 500 operatives, of whom fifty are employed in the rolling-mill.

The manufacture of yellow metal for sheathing vessels was the subject of a patent by H. F. Muntz, of Birmingham, England, about the year 1840. This mixture, which contains a large percentage of spelter and can be rolled while hot, being cheaper than copper, naturally came largely into use for ship-sheathing. It was first made in this country by the Revere

Copper Company, within a year or two after its production in England. Later, it was made by the Taunton Copper Manufacturing Company, the New Bedford Copper Company, and the Bridgewater Iron Company. The decline of American ship-building, and legislation permitting American vessels engaged in foreign trade to use the foreign metal without payment of duty, have greatly decreased the demand for yellow metal in the United States.

The causes that have tended to localize the manufacture of sheet brass do not affect the rolling of copper. There is no mixing to be done, and less skill is required in rolling copper than is needful in rolling brass. The makers of sheet copper do not remanufacture their product. So that, while out of a total of nineteen brass-mills fourteen are located in Connecticut, the copper-mills are distributed throughout the country: in Massachusetts, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, Michigan, and Illinois. The following is a list of the brass and copper rolling-mills in this country at the present time:

BRASS AND COPPER ROLLING-MILLS, 1895.

NAME.	LOCATION.	YEAR ESTABLISHED.	NUMBER PERSONS EMPLOYED.	PRINCIPAL PRODUCTS.
Ansonia Brass & Copper Co. ....	Ansonia, Conn.	1845	1,135	Rolled brass, sheet copper, wire, etc. Remanufacture.
American Electrical Works .....	Providence, R. I.	1882	698	Wire.
Benedict & Burnham Mfg. Co. ....	Waterbury, Conn.	1843	967	Rolled brass, German silver, wire, etc. Remanufacture.
Baltimore Copper Smelting & Rolling Co.	Baltimore, Md.	1887	50	Sheet copper.
Brooklyn Brass & Copper Co. ....	Brooklyn, N. Y.			Rolled brass, copper, etc.
Birmingham Brass Co. ....	Birmingham, Conn.	1892	206	Rolled brass, wire, etc. Remanufacture.
Bristol Brass & Clock Co. ....	Bristol, Conn.		455	Rolled brass, etc. Remanufacture.
Bridgeport Brass Co. ....	Bridgeport, Conn.	1865	750	Rolled brass, German silver, wire, etc. Remanufacture.
Coe Brass Mfg. Co. ....	Torrington, Conn.	1863	650	Rolled brass, German silver, wire, etc.
Chicago Brass Co. ....	Kenosha, Wis.	1886	144	Rolled brass and copper.
Detroit Copper & Brass Rolling-Mills..	Detroit, Mich.	1881	275	Rolled brass, sheet copper, wire, etc.
Holmes, Booth & Haydens .....	Waterbury, Conn.	1853	1,012	Rolled brass, wire, etc. Remanufacture.
Hendricks Bros .....	Belleville, N. J.	1812	100	Sheet copper, etc.
C. G. Hussey & Co. ....	Pittsburgh, Pa.	1848	90	Sheet copper, etc.
Manhattan Brass Co. ....	New York.	1865	575	Rolled brass, etc. Remanufacture.
Edward Miller & Co. ....	Meriden, Conn.			Sheet copper, etc.
New Haven Copper Co. ....	Seymour, Conn.			Sheet copper, yellow metal, etc.
New Bedford Copper Co. ....	New Bedford, Mass.	1860	100	Rolled brass, wire, etc. Remanufacture.
Plume & Atwood Mfg. Co. ....	Waterbury, Conn.	1869	791	Sheet copper.
Park, Bro. & Co., Ltd. ....	Pittsburgh, Pa.			Sheet copper, etc.
Parsons Manganese Bronze & Copper Co.	Philadelphia, Pa.	1894	50	Sheet copper, etc.
Randolph & Clowes .....	Waterbury, Conn.	1886	550	Rolled brass, sheet copper, etc. Remanufacture.
Rome Brass & Copper Co. ....	Rome, N. Y.	1879	397	Rolled brass, copper, etc.
Revere Copper Co. ....	Boston, Mass.	1828	125	Sheet copper and yellow metal.
Scovill Mfg. Co. ....	Waterbury, Conn.	1850	1,600	Rolled brass, German silver, etc. Remanufacture.
Seymour Mfg. Co. ....	Seymour, Conn.	1878	220	Rolled brass, German silver, etc.
Taunton Copper Mfg. Co. ....	Taunton, Mass.	1831	150	Sheet copper, yellow metal, etc.
Tamarack-Osceola Copper Mfg. Co. ....	Dollar Bay, Mich.	1888	90	Sheet copper, wire, etc.
Wallace & Sons .....	Ansonia, Conn.	1848	646	Rolled brass, sheet copper, wire, etc. Remanufacture.
Waterbury Brass Co. ....	Waterbury, Conn.	1845	525	Rolled brass, wire, etc. Remanufacture.

In addition to these, there are two manufacturers of iron wire, who are extensive manufacturers of copper wire also. They are the Washburn and Moen Manufacturing Company, of Worcester, Mass., and the John A. Roebling's Sons' Company, of Trenton, N. J.

Brass founders or manufacturers of articles of cast brass are not included in the foregoing list. This is a separate branch of business, and it is carried on by a great number of foundries in the United States, some of the most prominent of these being:

The Eaton, Cole and Burnham Company, of Bridgeport, Conn. The Crane Company, of Chicago. The Buckeye Brass and Iron Works, of Dayton, O. The Wm. Powell Company, of Cincinnati. Henry M'Shane Manufacturing Company, of Baltimore. M'Nab and Harlin Manufacturing Company, of New York. Jarecki Manufacturing Company, of Erie, Pa. Walworth Manufacturing Company, of Boston.

It is estimated that these eight companies consume about ten million pounds of ingot copper annually, and that the total consumption of ingot copper by all the foundries is from eighteen to twenty-five million pounds. In addition to this there is a large quantity of old metal annually converted into brass castings by these foundries.

Many manufacturing concerns, also, have their own foundries, where metal is cast, to be used in their various departments. These foundries are not included in the foregoing estimate.

Seamless Brass and Copper tubes are made by a number of the brass-mills in Connecticut, by the American Seamless Tube Company, near Boston, and by the Bloomsburg Brass and Copper Company, in Pennsylvania. Early in 1848, Joseph Cotton, Joseph H. Cotton, William E. Coffin, Holmes Hinckley, and Daniel F. Child, all of Boston, despatched to England an engineer, Joseph Fox, to learn how to make seamless brass tubes, paying a large sum to Messrs. Green and Alston, the English patentees, for the instruction of Mr. Fox, and the right to make tubes by their process in the United States. Previous to that time all copper and brass tubes for use in locomotive and marine boilers and for the hundreds of other uses to which tubes were put, were brazed; that is, made of strips of metal put in a rounded form, and their edges brazed together. In 1850, the gentlemen before-named organized a corporation called the American Tube Works, of Boston, and began the manufacture of seamless drawn brass tubes. These tubes have taken the place of the brazed tubes in all cases where steam or other high pressures are involved, and they are made

by seven or eight manufacturers in the United States.

There are no public records showing the present condition of the brass and copper industry in America. Figures can only be obtained by personal application to the manufacturers themselves. The following details, showing the state of the business at present and covering the year ending July 1, 1895, are taken from information furnished by twenty-seven corporations, and include the entire business of the country in rolled brass, copper, and wire. In a few instances, where information could not be obtained, an estimate of the business has been made.

The nominal capital invested is \$12,137,000, but the amount of the actual investment is about \$28,000,000.

The number of persons employed is 14,350.

The annual consumption of copper is 191,000,000 pounds.

The annual consumption of spelter is 31,500,000 pounds.

The value of the annual product is \$36,400,000, of which the metal is valued at \$29,700,000, and the remanufactured products at \$6,700,000. This includes only remanufacturing by brass rolling mills.

Any one of the principal establishments in Connecticut will serve as a type of the modern brass and copper rolling-mill. The buildings are usually of brick, roofed with iron, and contained in an inclosure of from twelve to twenty acres. They are generally one story high, and are light and well ventilated. An air of neatness and order prevails. The machinery is of modern construction and the best that can be made. The motive power is steam. In the remanufacturing departments automatic machinery takes the place of hand labor. In the rolling-mill, metal of the finest finish is produced, and brought to a degree of accuracy in gage which is not usually found in other countries. Eyelet metal, for example, is required to be rolled to a width of six inches, and not to vary more than one two-thousandth of an inch in gage; that is, it must not vary in thickness more than one-fifth of the breadth of a human hair. A skillful roller will produce metal within these requirements. It is well understood by those who are familiar with the methods employed abroad, that nearly all the improved processes of brass rolling have originated in this country; that we have taken the lead in this branch of business from the beginning, and that our products at present, in point of accuracy of gauge and fineness of quality and finish, are far in advance of

similar articles produced in other countries. This has been brought about indirectly by the fine quality of our copper and spelter, which has enabled our manufacturers to produce brass of a kind readily adapted to mechanical manipulation, while Yankee ingenuity has taught our mechanics to invent machinery for metal rolling and metal working, which in its turn has created a demand for metal of the utmost nicety in gauge; so that a very large proportion of the brass produced in this country to-day is gauged by the micrometer, which registers fractions of the thousandth part of an inch.

Many of these brass manufacturing corporations have a nominal capital, which represents only a small part of the real sum invested. They have from year to year enlarged their plants, using their surplus earnings, and increasing their outlay without increasing their capital, so that often the real investment is three or four times the amount of the capital stock. Seven of the principal brass rolling-mills, with a nominal capital amounting to \$2,419,000, claim an actual investment of \$12,000,000; nearly five times the amount of their capital stock. Brass rolling is now carried on upon a very narrow margin of profit, so that what would appear to be a fair dividend upon the nominal capital is a very small return for the actual investment. As a natural result, in some cases new plants, that have been erected with modern machinery, have had to close their doors, being unable to compete with

those already established. Laborers employed in brass-works are well paid, and, as a rule, are thrifty, often owning their houses. Difficulty with workmen is of very rare occurrence, and no serious labor troubles are recorded in the history of the business.

That the present low price of copper, the revival of business, the natural increase in consumption following the growth of the country, and the extension of electric lighting and telegraph lines, all using a great quantity of metal, will lead to increased production of every form of manufactured brass and copper, is already shown by figures indicating that the domestic consumption of copper for 1895 will be at least twenty per cent. in excess of the quantity consumed in 1894.


The writer is indebted to the courtesy of the manufacturers of brass and copper for such information concerning their business as was necessary to enable him to compile the statistics contained in this article, and he desires also to acknowledge his obligation to Messrs. S. T. Snow, of Boston, Edmund Hendricks, of New York, F. J. Kingsbury, of Waterbury, and Charles F. Brooker, of Torrington, Conn., for facts relating to the early history of the manufacture of brass, copper, and yellow metal; to Mr. E. H. Cole, of New York, for a list of brass foundries, and to Messrs. John Stanton and Edward F. Byrne, of New York, for information touching the history of copper-mining and the production of spelter.

*A. A. Fowler*









aug  
275

2 vols

