A HISTORY OF THE ART OF WAR

BY THE SAME AUTHOR

WARWICK THE KINGMAKER

A HISTORY OF EUROPE, 476-918

A HISTORY OF THE BYZANTINE EMPIRE

A HISTORY OF THE ART OF WAR

THE MIDDLE AGES

FROM THE FOURTH TO THE

FOURTEENTH CENTURY

BY

CHARLES OMAN, M.A., F.S.A.

FELLOW OF ALL SOULS' COLLEGE, OXFORD DEPUTY CHICHELE PROFESSOR OF MODERN. HISTORY:

WITH MAPS, PLANS, AND ILLUSTRATIONS

NEV AND CHEAPER ISSUE

METHUEN & CO. 36 ESSEX STREET W.C. LONDON First Published . . . May 1898 New and Cheaper Issue . 1905

.

THE present volume is intended to form the second of a series of four, in which I hope to give a general sketch of the history of the art of war from Greek and Roman times down to the beginning of the nineteenth century. The first volume will deal with classical antiquity; this, the second, covers the period between the downfall of the Roman Empire and the fourteenth century. In the third volume will be included the fifteenth, sixteenth, and seventeenth centuries. The fourth will treat of the military history of the eighteenth century and of the Revolutionary and Napoleonic wars down to Waterloo.

These volumes are concerned with the history of the art of war, and do not purport to give the complete military annals of the civilised world. Each section deals with the characteristic tactics, strategy, and military organisation of a period, and illustrates them by detailed accounts of typical campaigns and battles. There are also chapters dealing with the siegecraft and fortification, the arms and armour of cach age.

The present volume should in strict logic have included two more books, dealing the one with the military history of Central and Eastern Europe in the fourteenth century (especially with the first rise of the Swiss and the Ottoman Turks), and the other with the invention of gunpowder and firearms. But the exigencies of space—the volume is already more than six hundred and sixty pages long—have compelled me to relegate these topics to the opening chapters of the third volume. It is fortunate that the influence of the discovery of gunpowder on the wars of Western Europe was so insignificant during the. fourteenth century that no serious harm comes from deferring the discussion of the subject.

I have endeavoured to avoid overburdening the volume with too voluminous foot-notes, but at the same time have given references for all statements which might seem to require justification or defence. In citing English chronicles my references are, where possible, to the Rolls Series editions; French chronicles are mainly quoted from Bouquet's magnificent *Scriptores Rerum Gallicarum et Francicarum*, German and Italian from the collections of Pertz and Muratori respectively.

Much valuable aid given to the author requires grateful acknowledgment. Most especially must I express my thanks to two helpers: to the compiler of the index—the fourth and the largest which has been constructed for books of mine by the same kindly hands—and to my friend Mr. C. H. Turner, Fellow of Magdalen College, who read the whole of the proofs, and furnished me with a great number of corrections and improvements.

I have also to acknowledge my indebtedness to Mr. T. A. Archer, who was good enough to go through with me the whole of Book V. (the Crusades) and also chapter vii. of Book III., wherein certain topics much disputed of late years are dealt with. I also owe some valuable hints to Professor York Powell and to the Rev. H. B. George of New College. The former, with his usual omniscience, indicated to me several lines of inquiry, from which I obtained valuable results. The latter will notice that in chapter ii. of Book VIII. I have adopted his theory of the formation of the English army at Creçy. Mr. F. Haverfield of Christ Church gave me some useful notes for the opening pages of the first chapter of Book I.

All the maps and plans have been constructed by myself from the best sources that I could procure. When possible, I walked over important battlefields, *e.g.* Creçy, Bouvines, Bannockburn, Evesham, in order to supplement the information PREFACE

to be derived from maps by a personal acquaintance with the ground. The English plans are derived from the Ordnance Survey, the French from the maps of the État-Major, the Syrian from the admirable publications of the Palestine Exploration Society.

• •Of the seven plates illustrating armour, the first three are sketches taken from the original manuscripts; the last four I owe to the kindness of Messrs. Parker of Oxford, who permitted me to reduce them from the blocks of one of their most valuable publications, Hewitt's *Ancient Armour*, a book from • which I derived much useful information when dealing with the later Middle Ages.

OXFORD, March 1, 1898.

BOOK III

FROM CHARLES THE GREAT TO THE BATTLE OF HASTINGS, A.D. 768-1066

Chapter I.—Charles the Great and the Early Carolingians

The Empire of Charles the Great- Importance of Cavalry-Charle				of the	
The Beginnings of Feudalism	•		•		75-88

CHAPTER II .- THE VIKINGS

- - CHAPTER III.—THE VIKINGS TURNED BACK—THE FEUDAL HORSEMAN AND THE FEUDAL CASTLE—THE THEGN AND THE BURH

CHAPTER IV .--- THE MAGYARS

CHAPTER V.-ARMS AND ARMOUR (800-1100)

CHAPTER VI .- SIEGECRAFT AND FORTIFICATION

The Siegecraft of the Early Middle Ages—The Ram and the Bore—Mining —The Movable Tower—Military Engines : the Balista and the Mangon —The Crossbow—The Great Siege of Paris (885–886) and its Stages . 131-148

> CHAPTER VII.—THE LAST STRUGGLES OF INFANTRY —HASTINGS AND DYRRHACHIUM

Duke William invades England—His Army and its Tactics—The Senlac
 Position—Harold adopts the Defensive—Battle of Hastings (1066)—
 Victory of the Horseman and the Archer over the Old English Infantry
 —Dyrrhachium (1081): the Norman Horse and the Varangian Axemen 149–165

. PAGES

BOOK IV

THE BYZANTINES, A.D. 579-1204

CHAPTER I.—HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE BYZANTINE ARMY

PAGES

CHAPTER II.—ARMS AND ORGANISATION OF THE BYZANTINE ARMY

> CHAPTER III.—STRATEGY AND TACTICS OF THE BYZANTINE ARMY

CHAPTER IV.—DECLINE OF THE BYZANTINE ARMY (1071-1204)

BOOK V

THE CRUSADES, A.D. 1097-1291

CHAPTER I.-INTRODUCTORY

CHAPTER II.—THE GRAND STRATEGY OF THE CRUSADES

PAGES

Lack of Geographical Knowledge among the Crusaders—Their Mistaken Choice of Itineraries—The Land-Routes of Asia Minor—The Lines selected by the Leaders of the First, Second, and Third Crusades —Strategy of the Conquest of Syria—The Crusading States and their Boundaries—The Causes of their Fall—Zengi and Saladin—The Attacks of the Crusaders on Egypt—King Amaury, John of Brienne, and Louis IX. 233-267

> CHAPTER III.—THE TACTICS OF THE CRUSADES I.—The Earlier Battles (1097-1102)

CHAPTER IV.—THE TACTICS OF THE CRUSADES—continued II.—The Later Battles (1119–1192)

Regular Combination of Infantry and Cavalry—Battle of Hab (1119)— Battle of Hazarth (1125)—Battle of Marj-es-Safar (1126)—King Richard I. and his Tactics—The March from Acre to Jaffa—The Triumph of Arsouf (1191)—Combat of Jaffa (1192) 294-317

CHAPTER V.-THE GREAT DEFEATS OF THE CRUSADERS

BOOK VI

WESTERN EUROPE—FROM THE BATTLE OF HASTINGS TO THE RISE OF THE LONGBOW

CHAPTER I.-INTRODUCTORY

Complete Supremacy of Cavalry-Neglect of the use of Infantry-The General Type of Battles in the Twelfth and Thirteenth Centuries . 353-356

> CHAPTER II.—THE ARMIES OF THE TWELFTH AND THIRTEENTH CENTURIES

A.—England.—The Fyrd and the Feudal Host—William the Conqueror and Knight-service—The Vetus Feoffamentum—The Cartae Baronum— Scutage—Status of the Knight, and its Changes—The Rise of Mercen-

B.—The Continent.—Different Fate of the term Miles in different Countries —The Clientes and Sergeants—The Military Caste—Importance of Mercenaries—The Flemish Pikemen—The Crossbowmen of Italy . 369-377

CHAPTER III.—ENGLISH BATTLES AND THEIR TACTICS (1100-1200)

Battle of Tenchebrai (1106)—Battle of Bremûle (1119)—Combat of Bourg Théroulde (1124)—Battle of Northallerton (1138)—First Battle of Lincoln (1141)—The Wars of Henry II. : Alnwick and Fornham—The English in Ireland and their Enemies—Battle on the Dinin (1169)— Battle of Dublin (1171)—Surprise of Castle Knock (1171)—Characteristics • of Irish War

CHAPTER IV.—ENGLISH BATTLES AND THEIR TACTICS (1200-1272)

CHAPTER V.—CONTINENTAL BATTLES (1100-1300)

The Types of Battle and their Variety—Battle of Thielt (1128)—Battle of Legnano (1176)—Battle of Steppes (1213)—Battle of Muret (1213), the Greatest Triumph of Unaided Cavalry in the Epoch—Campaign of 1214: King John's Strategy: Causes of its Failure—Battle of Bouvines (1214)
—Battle of Benevento (1266)—Battle of Tagliacozzo (1268)—Tactics of Charles of Anjou—Battle of the Marchfeld (1278)
436-509

CHAPTER VI.—ARMS AND ARMOUR (1100–1300)

CHAPTER VII.—FORTIFICATION AND SIEGECRAFT (1100–1300)

PAGES

BOOK VII

ENGLAND AND SCOTLAND, A.D. 1296-1333-DEVELOPMENT OF THE LONGBOW

CHAPTER I.—ENGLAND AND SCOTLAND (1296-1328)

PAGES

- The History of the Longbow—Its probable Origin in South Wales—The Assize of Arms of 1252—Use of the Bow in the Welsh Wars of Edward I.—Difference of Welsh and Scottish Campaigns—Wallace and the Battle of Cambuskenneth (1297)—The Longbow at Falkirk (1298)—Bruce at the Combat of Loudon Hill (1307)—Bruce victorious at Bannockburn (1314)—Mistaken Tactics of Edward II.—"King Robert's Testament"
- CHAPTER II.—ENGLAND AND SCOTLAND (1328–1333)—FIRST COM-BINATION OF ARCHERY AND DISMOUNTED CAVALRY
- The English change their Tactics—The Longbow at Dupplin Muir (1332)

 —Edward III. victorious at Halidon (1333)—Complete Ascendency of the Bow over the Pike

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

 .
 .

BOOK VIII

THE LONGBOW BEYOND THE SEAS

CHAPTER I.—THE ARMIES OF EDWARD III.

Comparison between the Military Strength of England and France—The Methods by which English Armies were raised : Commissions of Array and Indentures—Character and Composition of the Armies of Edward III. 591-596

CHAPTER II .- THE LONGBOW IN FRANCE : CRECY

Archers and Crossbowmen : Combat of Cadzand (1337)—King Edward in Flanders—The Great Invasion of France : Edward marches from La Hogue to Creçy—Battle of Creçy (1346)—Its Tactical Meaning . 597-615

CHAPTER III .- POICTIERS, COCHEREL, AND AURAY

CHAPTER IV.-NAVARETTE AND ALJUBAROTTA

TABLE OF MAPS, PLANS, AND ILLUSTRATIONS

PLATE	I.	Plans of Daras and Taginae	To face page 28
,,	II.	Frankish Warriors	,, 36
• • • •	111.	The "Themes" of the Eastern Empire in 680	
•		and 900	,, 180
,,	IV.	Byzantine Soldiery	,, 186
,,	v.	Byzantine Soldiery	,, 188
"	VI.	Byzantine Cavalry Formation, and Neighbour- hood of Antioch	,, 196
**	VII.	Siege and Battle of Antioch	., 282
,,	VIII.	Battles of Ascalon and Hab	., 288
"	IX.	Battle of Arsouf	., 310
. ,,	X.	Battles of Tiberias and Acre .	,, 326
,,	XI.	Map of Lower Egypt: Plan of Mansourah .	,, 342
"	XII.	Battles of Tenchebrai, Bremûle, Northallerton, and Lincoln	,, 394
	XIII.	Battle of Lewes; Campaign of Evesham .	
**		Battles of Muret and Bouvines.	,, 420
"		Battles of Benevento and Tagliacozzo .	,, 450
"		The Marchfeld .	,, 484
**			,, 504
**		Seals of William I. and William II.	,, 510
"		Seals of Richard I. and Henry III.	,, 512
"		Thirteenth-century Armour	,, 514
,,		Thirteenth-century Armour	,, 516
**	XXI.	Typical Castles of the period 1100-1300 .	,, 530
**	XXII.	Battle of Bannockburn	,, 5 7 *
"	XXIII.	Battles of Creçy and Poictiers	,, 606
"	XXIV.	Battle of Navarette	,, [·] 644

BOOK I

THE TRANSITION FROM ROMAN TO MEDIÆVAL

FORMS IN WAR

TABLE OF CONTENTS

BOOK I

THE TRANSITION FROM ROMAN TO MEDIÆVAL FORMS IN WAR, a.d. 235-552

CHAPTER I.—THE LAST DAYS OF THE LEGION, A.D. 235-450

Collapse of the Frontier Defences of the Roman Empire—Disasters of the Third Century—Reorganisation of the Army by Diocletian and Constantine 1.—Final Success of the Barbarians—Battle of Adrianople (378) —The Foederati—Vegetius and the Decay of Infantry—The Huns

3-21

PAGES

CHAPTER II.—COMMENCEMENT OF THE SUPREMACY OF CAVALRY, A.D. 450-552

The Army of the Eastern Empire—The Isaurians—Justinian and his Wars —The Horse-Archer—Belisarius and his Tactics—Battle of Daras (530)—Battle of Tricameron (535)—Belisarius and the Goths—Battle of Taginae (552)—Battle of Casilinum (554)

22-37

BOOK II

THE EARLY MIDDLE AGES, A.D. 500-768

CHAPTER I.-THE VISIGOTHS, LOMBARDS, AND FRANKS

Cavalry and Infantry among the Teutonic Peoples—The Visigoths in Spain, their Military Institutions and their Decay—The Lombards, their Arms and Tactics—The Franks and their Early Methods of War—They finally adopt Armour and take to Horsemanship—Their Weakness as an Offensive Power

41-62

63-72

CHAPTER II-THE ANGLO-SAXONS

The Conquest of Britain—Arms of the Old English—They remain a Nation of Foot-Soldiery—Evidence of the *Beauvulf*—Indecisive Nature of the Old English Wars sword-hilt sunk low, cut his way through the thickest hedge of pikes, turned back the onset of the mailed horsemen of the East, and stood unmoved before the wildest rush of Celt or German. The old military organisation of Augustus and Trajan began to fall to pieces in the third century; in the fourth it was so weakened and transformed as to be hardly recognisable; by the end of the fifth it had disappeared.

The change in the character of the Roman army which ultimately substituted cavalry and light infantry for the solid strength of the ancient legion was mainly caused by the exigencies of border-warfare. From the time of Hadrian to that of Severus, the system of frontier-defence which the Roman Government adopted was to fix the limit of the empire at a great natural boundary, such as the Rhine, Danube, or Euphrates, and to place behind the boundary at suitable points large permanent camps, in which one or more legions were quartered. These garrisons were placed many scores or even hundreds of miles apart, and the long intervals between them were only filled by minor posts occupied by small bodies of auxiliary troops. Where natural obstacles, such as rivers or mountainchains, were wanting, the frontier was not unfrequently marked out by long lines of entrenchments, like our own Northumbrian Wall, or the similar structure which stretches across South Germany. The stations were connected with each other by good military roads, and the alarm could be passed from one to another at the shortest notice by a system of beacons and mounted messengers. If the barbarous enemy across the frontier, German, Sarmatian, or Parthian, essayed a raid on Roman territory, he must first cross the obstacles and then cope with the garrisons of the local posts. These would be able to beat back any small plundering parties; but if they found the invaders too strong, they could at least endeavour to harass them, and to restrict the area of their ravages, till the nearest legion could march up from its great permanent camp.

This system worked well for more than a hundred years. But it had its weak points; there was a great want of a central reserve, in case the legions of any frontier should be unable to hold their ground against an attack of unusual strength. For the middle provinces of the empire were kept entirely denuded of troops, and new legions could not be improvised in a hurry from the unwarlike subjects of the empire, as they had once

4

been from the citizens of the early republic. Hence it came to pass that a disaster on one point of the border had to be repaired by drawing troops from another. This rather dangerous device could only be employed so long as the enemies of Rome were so obliging as to present themselves one by one, and to refrain from simultaneous onslaughts on far distant tracts of frontier. For more than two centuries the empire was fortunate enough to escape this contingency; its military system was never tried by the crucial test of an attack all along the line; in the times of stress Germany could lend troops to Britain, or Moesia reinforce the legions of Syria. Disasters were suffered from time to time which threw a province for a moment into .hostile hands, but because they came singly they could always be repaired. The rebellion of Civilis shook the Roman hold on the Rhine frontier for a space; the defeat of Domitian's generals Sabinus and Fuscus let the Dacians into the interior of the Danube provinces; Marcus Aurelius once saw the Quadi at the gates of Aquileia. But reinforcements were brought up from frontiers where no war was in progress, and the incoming flood of invasion was at length stemmed.

In the third century there was a complete change in the face of affairs: the system of defence broke down, and the empire well-nigh collapsed under the stress. From the day of the murder of Alexander Severus (235 A.D.) to the moment at which Diocletian put down the last surviving rebel Caesar in the remotest corner of the West (297) the empire was subjected without a moment's respite to the double scourge of civil war and foreign invasion. In the space of sixty years no less than sixteen emperors and more than thirty would-be emperors fell by sword or dagger. While the arms of the legions were turned against each other, the opportunity of the enemies of the empire had arrived. All its frontiers simultaneously were beset by the outer barbarians, and the fabric reeled before the shock. For Rome's neighbours were growing more powerful just when Rome herself was weak and divided. The new and vigorous Persian kingdom had just replaced the decrepit Parthian power in the East (A.D. 226). The Germans were already commencing to form the confederacies which made their scattered tribes for the first time really formidable. The names of the Franks, Alamanni and Goths begin to appear along the Rhine and Danube.

So long as the frontier defence of the legions held firm, the

empire presented to its foes a hard shell and a soft kernel. The border was strongly held and difficult to pierce, but the rich provinciae inermes within were defenceless and ripe for plunder, . if only the shell could be pierced. When the legions were withdrawn from the frontier to take part in civil war, and marched off time after time to enthrone some new usurper upon the Palatine, it was impossible to keep back any longer the pressure from without. The period 235-297 opens with a heavy and long-continued onslaught of the Quadi Carpi and Goths on the Middle and Lower Danube (236). It was beaten back by Maximinus I. and Philip for a few years; but in 249, while a vigorous civil war was distracting the Illyrian regions, the line of resistance was at last broken through. The Goths crossed Danube and Balkans, overran Moesia and Thrace, and scattered the Imperial troops before them. The Emperor Decius, having put down his rivals, hastened to meet them; but he, his son, and his whole army were cut to pieces in the disastrous battle of Forum Trebonii in the summer of 251. No Roman emperor had ever been slain before in battle with the barbarians; no Roman host of such strength had suffered defeat since the day of Cannae. It seemed for a moment as if the empire was fated to be cut in twain, or even as if some earlier Alaric were about to present himself before the gates of Rome.

For the next twenty years the Goths ranged almost unresisted over the middle provinces of the empire. The troops that should have been called in to resist them were occupied in civil wars in Italy, or were employed in defending other menaced frontiers. For, while the Gothic war was at its height, the Persian king Sapor overran Mesopotamia, defeated and took captive the Emperor Valerian, stormed Antioch, and ravaged Syria and Asia Minor (258-259). Favoured by these distractions, the Goths were able to carry all before them in the central provinces of the empire. Not only did they harry the whole Balkan peninsula as far as Athens and Dyrrachium, but daring bands of plunderers crossed the Hellespont and sacked Chalcedon, Alexandria Troas, Ephesus, and even the distant Trebizond. With a little more guidance and a single leader at their head, they might have made an end of the empire, for usurpers were rising in every province. Civil war had become endemic among the Romans; the Germans of the Rhine frontier were battering at the defences of Gaul and Rhaetia; and the indolent and frivolous Gallienus, who still maintained his precarious seat on the Palatine, bade fair to be the Sardanapalus of Rome, and to see city and empire go down together in one universal conflagration of civil strife and foreign war. In the years 260-268 all seemed lost. But deliverers arose—the tough Illyrians, Claudius, Aurelian, and Probus, reconquered the West from, rebel Caesars, cleared the Germans out of the Balkan peninsula, and won back the East from the Persians and the Palmyrenes. Soon after came Diocletian, the reorganiser and restorer, and with the reconquest of Britain (A.D. 297) the empire resumed its old external shape.

But the restoration was external only. In the sixty years of battle, murder, and plague which had elapsed since the extinction of the dynasty of Severus, the vital strength of the empire had been fatally sapped. Half the provinces lay waste; the other half had been drained dry of their resources. By twenty years of incessant labour Diocletian restored a superficial semblance of strength and order; his grinding taxation enabled him to put an end to the chronic bankruptcy of the Imperial exchequer, and to restore and regarrison the long broken-down military frontier of the Roman world.

But the sixty years of anarchy and disaster had left indelible marks on the composition and organisation of the Roman army. Though few of the old legions of Trajan and Severus seem to have disappeared,-most of their names are still found in the Notitia, a document a hundred years later than Diocletian,-yet they had apparently been much pulled about and disorganised, by being cut up and sent apart in detachments. Often the legionary eagle at headquarters must have been surrounded by a mere fraction of the corps, while detached cohorts were serving all about the world, drafted off under the pressure of necessity.¹ All sorts of cohorts and *alae* with new and often strange names had been raised The old broad division of the army into legions and auxilia, the former filled with Roman citizens, the latter with subjects of the empire who did not possess the citizenship, could no longer exist, for Caracalla in 212 had bestowed the franchise on all provincials. Thus the ancient distinction between the legionary

¹ So, at least, one would deduce from such facts as that the usurper Carausius in Britain strikes coins to celebrate the fidelity to himself of legions whose proper headquarters were in Germany or Moesia, *e.g.* IV. Flavia and XXX. Ulpia.