# THE U.S. POWER STRUCTURE AND THE MASS MEDIA

by

Frank Spurgeon Morrow, Jr., B.A., M.A.

### DISSERTATION

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of
The University of Texas at Austin
in Partial Fulfillment
of the Requirements
for the Degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN
August 1984

Copyright

Ьу

Frank Spurgeon Morrow, Jr 1984

### DEDICATED TO

My mother and father

Ethel and Frank Morrow

My son

Michael

#### **ACKNOWLEGEMENTS**

Dr. Emile McAnany, whose guidance, positive feedback and gentle pressure rescued me from the pleasent purgatory of research and brought me through the hell of writing and into the heaven of completion.

Dr. Gene Burd, whose steadfast interest and helpful information led me in the right directions, and whose broad shoulders were crucial in keeping me going through some difficult times during the past eleven years.

Mike Jankowski, whose tireless efforts behind the scenes have been crucial to the success of <u>Alternative Views</u> and whose efforts in proof reading and reproducing this manuscript and in finding obscure bibliographical sources have been irreplaceable.

Dr. Doug Kellner, whose generosity in providing information, interpretations and encouragement have facilitated and enriched this dissertation, and whose efforts on <u>Alternative Views</u> have greatly contributed to the program's success.

Al Slivinske, whose input on the economic aspect of the U.S. power structure was so important, and whose early participation on <u>Alternative Views</u> helped establish the show's uniqueness.

Jack Schierenbeck, whose feedback and source material from a Marxian viewpoint broadened my perspective, and whose participation on <u>Alternative Views</u> gave the program depth.

Dr. Alfred Watkins, whose classes in the real world of government and economics provided a wealth of information.

Dr. Dan Morgan, whose class on wealth and income distribution was extremely valuable, and whose continuous encouragement for almost a decade helped sustain me.

The people of Austin Community Television, whose assistance has been vital in starting and continuing <a href="Alternative Views">Alternative Views</a>: Bryan Owens, Alan Bushong, Mark Zuefeldt and Paula Manley.

Dr. Tom Philpott, friend and fellow fighter for the good cause, whose help in an emergency was crucial, and whose participation on <u>Alternative Views</u> provided some of its most electifying moments.

Dr. Warner Severin, whose kind, collegial words and actions helped to keep me aware of the uniqueness and importance of what I was trying to accomplish.

Dr. Rita Atwood, whose warm encouragement and sharp feedback at precisely the right time were so helpful.

Ellen Atha, whose love and friendship helped to make five years of the past decade the most enriching period of my life.

And, finally, to Robert Schenkkan, who started it all by taking a chance on a forty year old naval officer and letting him into the doctoral program in the Radio-Television-Film Department at The University of Texas at Austin.

F.S.M.

July, 1984

### THE U.S. POWER STRUCTURE AND THE MASS MEDIA

Publication No. \_\_\_\_\_

Frank Spurgeon Morrow, Jr., Ph.D. The University of Texas at Austin, 1984

Supervising Professor: Emile McAnany

There are three basic approaches to the analysis of the U.S. power system. The Power Elitists say there is a small group of people, mainly from the corporate world, which controls the prime decision making positions of the economy and government and which receives the most benefits from the system. The Pluralists claim that power is diffused through many competing individuals and groups. Marxists have traditionally said that the economic system determines the controllers of the means with the system. production being the ruling class. They recently developed the concept of hegemony, a means by which the ruling class inculcates its values in the underclassses in order to maintain societal control.

A combination of the Power Elite and Marxist approaches most closely describes the reality of the U.S. power system. This ruling group, which is called the Ruling Cartel, controls the core economic organizations: the largest financial institutions and corporations. It

dominates the country's political institutions through a hölding combination σf the kev decision making positions--regardless of administration. of financing political campaigns and by creating ruling concensus policy formation through elite organizations such as the Council on Foreign Relations, the Bilderbergers and Trilateral Commission. Idea and educational control is effected through an interlocking network of think tanks, foundations, corporations, universities and the government. Ideological control is maintained through many meaans, particularly through the mass media. These also dominated by the Ruling Cartel through the same mechanisms which are used to control the other institutions. content coincides with the range of respectable discourse within the Ruling Cartel itself, thereby shielding American people from knowing the realities of the nation's power system. Thus, ruling class hegemony is maintained.

However, if public access TV is allowed to proliferate and grow, it has the potential to play a significant contributory role in the production of a greater vartiety of media content. It can be particularly useful in conjunction with the efforts of individuals and groups which are trying to change or modify the existing power relationships in the country. The success of an alternative news magazine show

on the public access channel in Austin, Texas, is an example of what can be accomplished in presenting information and opinions which are outside the hegemonic range of the Establishment media.

# \* TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  | Page                 |
|--|----------------------|
|  |                      |
| Acknowledgements   | v                    |
| Abstract   | viii                 |
| Table of Contents  | хi                   |
| List of Figures and Tables   | xv                   |
| I. OVERVIEW AND STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM   | 1-33                 |
| A. Purpose B. Research Propositions C. Theoretical Framework 1. An Overview of Theory                          | 1<br>3<br>7<br>7     |
| 2. Specific Contemporary Approaches to the Study of Power a. Mills b. Domhoff                                  | 15<br>15<br>16       |
| c. Marxian D. Theoretical Framework of Dissertation E. Methodology F. Summary of Dissertation Material         | 18<br>22<br>26<br>28 |
| II. THE U.S. POWER STRUCTURE: METHODS OF CONTROL   | 34-150               |
| A. Economic Control and Concentration 1. Merger Movements 2. Total Concentration                               | 34<br>35<br>37       |
| B. Methods of Economic Control  1. Stock Ownership  2. Interlocking Directorates  3. Commercial Bank Functions | 39<br>39<br>41<br>47 |
| a. Bond Holding<br>b. Term Loans<br>c. Trust Departments<br>d. Correspondent Relations                         | 47<br>48<br>49<br>50 |
| 4. Investment Banks 5. Accounting and Auditing Firms C. Elite Law Firms  | 50<br>51<br>54       |

|       | D. Concentration of Wealth and Income                     | 57      |
|-------|---|---------|
|       | 1. Introduction   | 57      |
|       | 2. Income   | 62      |
|       | 3. Wealth :   | 67      |
|       | 4. Taxes  | 71      |
|       | 5. Conclusion   | 78      |
|       | E. Methods of Political Control                           | 80      |
|       | <ol> <li>Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)</li> </ol>    | 82      |
|       | 2. Bilderberg Group                                       | 86      |
|       | 3. Trilateral Commission (TLC)                            | 89      |
|       | 4. Elections  | 94      |
|       | 5. Decision Makers  | 97      |
|       | F. Methods of Idea and Information Control 1. Foundations | 99      |
|       | 2. Think Tanks  | 100     |
|       | 3. Universities   | 105     |
|       | G. Methods of Media Control                               | 107     |
|       | 1. Commercial TV Networks                                 | 116     |
|       | 2. Print Media  | 117     |
|       | 3. The Media and the Power Structure                      | 121     |
|       | 4. The Media and the CIA                                  | 129     |
|       | H. Conclusion   | 135     |
|       | Concressor  | 136     |
| ~ ~ ~ |   |         |
| 111.  | THE MASS MEDIA: ECONOMIC CONCENTRATION AND                |         |
|       | LEGAL CONTROL   | 151-253 |
|       | A. Ownership Concentration of Mass Media                  | 151     |
|       | 1. Newspapers   | 152     |
|       | 2. Television and Radio                                   | 156     |
|       | 3. Cross-media Ownership                                  | 158     |
|       | 4. Cable TV   | 159     |
|       | 5. Conglomerate Ownership                                 | 163     |
|       | 6. Institutional Investors                                | 169     |
|       | <ol><li>Regional, State and Local Concentration</li></ol> | 177     |
|       | 8. Wire Service Concentration                             | 181     |
|       | <ol><li>News Magazine Concentration</li></ol>             | 183     |
|       | 10. Public Broadcasting                                   | 184     |
|       | <ol><li>Publishing Concentration</li></ol>                | 195     |
|       | a. Books  | 196     |
|       | b. Magazines  | 199     |
|       | 12. Conclusion  | 202     |
|       | B. Media Owners and Local Power Structures                | 206     |
|       | C. Economic Effects of Concentration                      | 214     |
|       | 1. Chain/Group ownership                                  | 215     |
|       | <ol><li>Monopoly newspapers</li></ol>                     | 217     |
|       | 3. Cross-ownership  | 221     |
|       |   |         |
|       | 4. Conclusion D. Advertising and Economic Concentration   | 224     |

|     | E.  | Government Action and Media Concentration                     | 237    |
|-----|-----|---|--------|
|     |     | 1. Federal Communications Commission                          | 238    |
|     |     | 2. Justice Department   | 243    |
|     |     | 3. The Courts   | 245    |
|     |     | 4. Antitrust  | 246    |
|     | F   | Conclusion  | 252    |
|     | • • | Conclusion  | 202    |
| IV. | THE | CONTENT OF MASS MEDIA: ELEMENTS FOR BIAS 25                   | 54-349 |
|     | Α.  | Introduction: General Framework of Hegemony                   | 254    |
|     |     | Macro-level Influences on Content                             | 258    |
|     |     | 1. Corporate Ownership Influences                             | 258    |
|     |     | a. Chains and Groups  | 260    |
|     |     | b. Newspaper Monopoly   | 261    |
|     |     | c. Cross-ownership  | 262    |
|     |     | d. Total Media Monopoly                                       | 263    |
|     |     | e. Conclusion   | 264    |
|     |     | 2. Corporate Economic Influences                              | 265    |
|     |     | a. Profits  | 266    |
|     |     | b. News Budgets   | 269    |
|     |     | c. Ratings and Circulation                                    | 273    |
|     |     | d. Advertising  | 279    |
|     |     | 3. Local Media Owner Influence and Control                    | 281    |
|     |     | a. Owner's Personal Influence                                 | 282    |
|     |     | b. Social Control in the Newsroom                             | 284    |
|     |     | <ul> <li>Owner and Local Power Structure</li> </ul>           | 286    |
|     |     | 4. Media Operational Influences                               | 288    |
|     |     | a. Agenda-setting   | 288    |
|     |     | b. Gatekeeping  | 293    |
|     |     | c. Kingmaking   | 296    |
|     | C.  | Micro-level Influences on Content                             | 301    |
|     |     | <ol> <li>Decision Making and Bias and Censorship</li> </ol>   | 301    |
|     |     | a. Owners, Directors, Executives                              | 304    |
|     |     | <ul> <li>b. Government, Advertisers, Affiliates</li> </ul>    | 307    |
|     |     | <ol><li>Program Production in Incorporation of Bias</li></ol> | 310    |
|     |     | a. Structural Factors   | 310    |
|     |     | b. Newspersons' Roles   | 317    |
|     |     | c. Program Formats  | 321    |
|     |     | News Interview Shows  | 321    |
|     |     | Talk Shows  | 323    |
|     |     | Entertainment Programs  | 323    |
|     |     | <ol><li>Power Structure coverage and Bias and</li></ol>       |        |
|     |     | Censorship  | 329    |
|     |     | a. TLC, Bilderbergers and CFR                                 | 329    |
|     |     | b. The "Best Censored Stories"                                | 338    |
|     |     | c. The Opinion and Information Range                          | 342    |
|     | D.  | Conclusion  | 346    |

| V. ACCESS TO THE MASS MEDIA: AN ALTERNATIVE TO              |                 |
|---|-----------------|
| ESTABLISHMENT MEDIA   | 350-432         |
| :   |                 |
| A. Introduction   | 350             |
| B. Newspaper and Broadcast Access: Legal History            | 353             |
| <ol> <li>History of Access through 1967</li> </ol>          | 353             |
| 2. History of Access from 1968-1972                         | 369             |
| 3. History of Access from 1973 to 1976                      | 375             |
| C. Cable TV: Access History 1976 to Present                 | 388             |
| 1. Introduction   | 388             |
| 2. CTV Access Legal History, 1976 to 1983                   | 391             |
| <ol><li>CTV Access: Political History in Congress</li></ol> | 5               |
| and the States  | 404             |
| <ol> <li>The Potential of Access to Cable TV</li> </ol>     | 410             |
| a. General Trend in the 1980s                               | 410             |
| <pre>b. Success Story: "Alternative Views"</pre>            | 413             |
| D. Media Access: A Power and Ruling Class Analys:           | is 424          |
| E. Conclusion   | 430             |
|   |                 |
| VI. INTERPRETATIONS   | 433-493         |
| A. Empirical  | 433             |
| B. Theoretical  | 451             |
| 1. Power Theory   | 452             |
| 2. Media Theory   | 464             |
| 11 neard meery  | · <del></del> · |
| VII. CONCLUSION   | 475-492         |
|   | 475             |
| A. The American Power Structure                             | 475             |
| B. The American Mass Media                                  | 478<br>481      |
| C. The Public Access Alternative                            | 481<br>483      |
| D. The People's Strategy                                    |                 |
| 1. Organizational   | 484             |
| 2. Media Usage  | 486             |
| ENDNOTES  | 493-503         |
| BIDI INGBADUV   | 504-553         |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY 50   |                 |

### FIGURES AND TABLES

|           |   | Page |
|-----------|---|------|
|           |   |      |
| Table 1:  | Newspaper Directors' Participation in<br>Cartel Organizations             | 123  |
| Table 2:  | Media Participation in Bilderbergers,<br>1950s and 1960s                  | 124  |
| Table 3:  | Media Participation in Bilderbergers<br>in 1970s, and Total Participation | 125  |
| Table 4:  | Media Participation in Trilateral Commission                              | 126  |
| Figure 1: | Gatekeeping Model in a Newspaper  | 294  |
| Figure 2: | Gatekeeping in a Network Newscast   | 295  |

Frank Spurgeon Morrow, Jr., was born in Bartlesville, Oklahoma, May 17, 1933, the son of Frank Spurgeon Morrow and Ethel Goodfellow Morrow. Graduating in 1951 from Central High School in Tulsa, Oklahoma, he entered the University of Tulsa on a full, four-year radio scholarship. time at various radio attending school he worked full stations as announcer, disc jockey and newsperson. He also appeared in many plays, won a city oration contest, and was narrator for the Tulsa Easter Pageant. He received his B.A. in radio and speech in 1955 and worked on an M.A. in history (received in 1968). He entered the Navy in 1957 and served as a communications intelligence officer and linguist for sixteen years, living and travelling in four He left the Navy in 1973 to attend The University of Texas at Austin, and since then has been promoted to captain in the Naval Reserve. Since October. 1978, he has produced a weekly, sixty-minute alternative news magazine program on the public access channel of the Austin cable system. The program has received national and international notice.