et of the building, nor sought to produce ets, which, though they may be allowed to belong to a more advanced period of pictorial art, are yet very undesirable in windows. Equally does my experience disagree with his, that the best works of the fifteenth and sixteenth centuries are distinguished by strong contrasts of colour, and light and shade: the windows in Brussels quoted by him, if my windows in Brussels quoted by him, if my recollection serves me truly, would not support any such view. I think I may safely assert that the characteristics he mentions never obtained but a very partial influence, and were attempting to smulate oil pictures in effects as far beyond their reach as they were destructive of those fine qualities of brilliancy and colour legitimately belonging to glass, and which the highest efforts of pictorial art can never attain. I may onote a very late (and in never attain. I may quote a very late (and in some respects a very fine) window, now in St. George's, Hanover-square, where the flatness is perfectly preserved, in support of these remarks. Of course, I do not mean to say that a greater amount of shading was not used in the later etyles, and used with greater skill and delicacy. What I wish to insiet on is and delicacy. this, that where the qualities and true use of painted glass have been properly understood, the importance of flatness has never been lost aight of, and that its preservation was not merely an accident with the early painters, nor was neglected by the more finished artists of later times. And baving said this much on the architectural and relative bearings of painted windows, would you permit me a few words in reference to the proposed scheme for the decoration of St. Paul's? I cannot see that there is, or ought to be, any difficulty in carrying out the third section of Mr. Cockerell's report, vis. to reglaze the whole of the twenty-three lower windows of the cathedral with Scripture subjects in coloured glass. The very rev. the Archdeacon speaks of lost pigments; but I hope I am not disre-spectful when I say, that it is neglected principles, not lost pigments, which we ere called upon to deplore. Mr. Papworth would seem to assert, that our country has no artist and that the painters and glasters, as he is pleased to term the makers of stained windows (I must appose him to include the designers), will be likely to produce even more extraordinary paradoxes than anything yet exhibited on the walls of the "Academy exhibition!" Such things are easily said, and I have no dispute with nicknames, but when he says "that the employment of stained glass in "that the employment of statute grant figure subjects would be unacceptable—inapplicable with sound sense to our cathedral"think he assumes too much; and that, on the contrary, by usage, precedent, and sound sense, the windows in the interior of a great church like St. Paul's, are, perhaps, the most fit place for such representationseye being naturally drawn to them, and the whole building acting as one magnificent

I have no conscious desire of raising the art of glass painting to an andue elevation. I have said before that it must be subject to the architecture.

Some there are who fear that mediaval taste and influences may, through means of the glass, force their way with evil effect into St. Panl's: to this I have one remark, i.e. that the beanty of ancient windows results, more than is generally admitted, from the perfect knowledge the artists of those days had of the quality and capability of their material, and the purposes and relation of their art. same truths acted upon will render coloured windows as applicable and advantageous to St. Paul's as to Chartres, Strasbourg, or Cologne; and though St. Paul's may demand a fuller and grander character of design, I can ecaroely allow our country to be totally wanting in artists equal to such an achievement; at all events, let not the glass or the windows bear the burden. They offer scope for the most elevated design. The light is supplied to them direct from beaven, while the beenty of the material—its brilliancy and purity (quite separate and superior to any other kind of transparent painting)—the simplicity of its

truntment, and the regularity of its composition, even to me to give it a peculiar appropriatences for such subjects as are proposed by Mr. Cockerell to be represented in the windows of St. Paul's. F. W. O.

THE DECORATION OF ST. PAUL'S CATHEDRAL.

PREMETT me to offer a few remarks upon a subject which interests not alone the authorities of St. Paul's, not alone the architects and artists of the metropolis, but every Protestant Englishman, Churchman or Disaster, and all who love the grandeur and beauty of this great camp of the English race.

Of a thousand form.

Of a thousand fanes which lift their fronts into the summer sky, this alone, dedicated in the name of the great preacher and aspositor of the Gospel, has been the work of a people and its kings,—more than this, stands alone, even unto opprohium, the peculiar temple of the Reformed Faith throughout the world. There would be a marked indecency, then, in adopting in the decoration of this edifice any model, however acceptable to individual predilections, which should be alien to the affections or offensive to the tastes of the great commonwealth of Protestantism. Neither have we here a fitting theatre for experiment in educating the English mind to a knowledge and love of art; we have, on the contrary, the mightiest edifice wherein, over the whole world, the pure Gospel of Christ is appointed to be preached by the poice of man.

to be preached by the poice of man. While, therefore, I would carefully shrink from any expression which might be inter-preted as offensive to any of those who have put their bands to a great work, I submit that we cannot argue this question as a more matter of art, or as submitted for final solution to any self-constituted court of appeal. regret to bear of decisions so far advanced that we are already told the work of Sir James Thornhill is to be restored. I cannot thick that Wren ever allowed his cupols to be over-laid with the architectural portraiture, now these many years happily half effaced, with other than a heavy heart. That mighty span grew not under his hands to appear at last an imposture, a distortion, an impending wreck, at the will of an illustrator. Colour, gild to the full; make it a glory to stand over the tomb of Nelson, and lift up one's eyes on high; but use the cunning pencil of Him who painted the golden and tender saure tinte of our own northern sky. Let it be a canopy of melodious, interwoven quiet and splendoor; let us look up as smid the trees to a glorious summer heaven; but—but "the Lord deliver" it from Sir James Thornhill. Neither, to be consistent and true, can we make the walls of St. Paul's a canvase on which to illustrate the history or the epochs of the dealings of the Unseen with men. For it is not to this or that god, whose exploits are the creations of fancyrhose form some symbol of nature—we may whose form some symbol of nature—we may haply receive from the hand of an approved delineator of fables, that we have reared this marvellous dome, these etately walls, this majestic portal. No ecstasy of passionate art can recall to the eyes of men the effigies of Him once "manifest in the firsh;" no trace remains to us of the likeness of those whose words and works are written for us with the pencil of truth. Leave the fahler alone with the fabulous: Bacchus or Ariadne we meet not in the realms of futurity. I would say, then, if we must intrade the sensuous food of the aye where the voice of man was intended to reign omnipotent, at least go not beyond the golden path of the parables of the For myself, I can turn my back on the gandy walls of Notre Dame de Lorette to learn of the truth-loving Moslem. I can recall to remembranes the village church of our youth, and, while I would strain splendour and beauty to the atmost reach of munificence and art, would let no other voices apeak from the walls of our temple than those which Guttemberg

is lifted with " ares and hammers." P. H.

As far as can be made out from what he been reported concerning it, the recently, started project for decorating the interior of St. Paul's has alicited only vague general ideas, more or less conflicting, without being confronted with each other. Unless the matter should now be drapped altogether, the rational and only safe way of precessing would be, before any of them were determined upon for adoption, to test the several schemes by means of such drawings and views as would show the respective ideas fully shaped out so that they might be fairly judged of and contact they might be fairly judged of and conceeding would, of course, be attended with some trouble and expense, but might tare considerable perplaxity afterwards, if not expense its value of the course of the course

pense likewise. Notwithstanding that the opinion of an unknown individual is not likely to have any weight, I venture to give mine upon two points—the dome and the windows. Most points—the dome and site windows, in the present paintings by Thornhill, or the substitution of anything similar, for the detoration of the dome; because, so applied, and only does painting (i. s. picture) usurp the place of architectural ornamentation, but does so greatly to its own diendvantage: for while the concavity is cut up, and the idea of correing overhead is in a manner destroyed and done away with, pictures cannot possibly but show themselves imperfectly, and more or less distorted in such preposterous situations, more especially at such a height from the floor w are those in St. Paul'a-a height, besid nearly double the diameter of the dome inch Should paintings so placed be of any value u works of art, they are thrown away, since ey cannot be enjoyed as they deserve, but almost any unmeaning dabs of colour would produce an equal degree of general effect. Or else, at such a distance from the eye, figure require to be enlarged to such colossal dimensions as considerably to reduce the scale the architecture. On the other hand, the unusual elevation of the dome above the floor is creatly in favour of its being ornamental architecturally with painted colfere or compartments, because the deception could hardly be detected from below. Some will protest against that as sham. Well, call it sham, if you like,—at any rate it is a very innocent sort of hypocrisy—would that we had never any worse!—and has the ment of being free from absurdity and contrade-tion. It would, indeed, be a substitute for a reality, but for a very matural and legitimite

If anything is to be done at all, something must be done to the windows, or else they will show as positive blemishes. Even now they have a very aulky look, and are mean and dingy even to shabbiness. I myself have always regretted that the architect did not discard rida windows altogether, except those of the elerestory, and light the sistes through the small segmental domes in the vaniting, opening and glazing them, instead of leaving In lieu of the present windows, there would then have been compartments for fresco. which, seen through the areades of the nave, with the light diffused upon them from above, would, I conceive, have produced a strikingly beautiful effect. The exterior, too, would have been greatly the better for the absence of windows, the present ones being quite the reverse of ornamental in every respect,-certainly of most rude and poverty-stricken appearance in their glazing.

For myself, I can turn my back on the gandy walls of Notre Dame de Lorette to learn of the irruth-loving Moslem. I can recall to remembrance the village church of our youth, and, while I would strain splendour and beauty to the names reach of munificence and art, I would let no other voices apeak from the walls of our temple than those which Guttemberg has scattered over the world on the pages of holy writ. Lastly, I would say, waste no valued hours, do no work for the ages to come that will not stand the search of a coming