tiality, both its faults and beauties in the The date of each may be put down Constable's Chapel and the tombe at Mira-M circe A.D. 1485," sculptor of the tombs was Gil de Burgos, father of the celebrated sculptor, Gil de Siloe. The name of the architect who designed the chapel I could not discover with containty. The monuments of Mirafores are to the m mory of Jum II. and his wife, and to his son the Infanta Alonso, Little relating to th personages, beyond armorial bearings, is to be found on their elaborate sculptures, so sich and fanciful as to be rather fitted as shrince for some Shakepears or Cervantee than for the glorification of those whose only chim on art was the accident of birth."
The Constable's Chapel is as large as so

churches, and is very rich in florid soulpture, isonwork, and paintings. It was founded by Pedro Hersendes de Velasco, constable of Castille, and one of its notice for Castille, and one of its noblest families : it was finished at the close of the fifteenth century; and there is every appearance that the semiptor of the tombe of Miraflores, if not its builder, was at least much occupied in its abundant scalpture. The wretched Churrigueresque altar-piece is but one instance of the bad t which has destroyed, in Spain, the old and valuable, to make room for the new and worthless. Mr. Waring gives several views and portions of it. Some of the drawings are rather coarsely executed, but all are very effec-

These subjects have never been illustrated, our author thinks, with the exception of seme few in Villa Amil's large work on Spain, and of these be asys,—"That anything more false and unworthy of a great work, such as his purports to be, cannot be imagined, putting aside the entire tocorrectness of the representation through an overloading of work, existleg only through the lithographer's crayon: there is a general system of imaginary make-up about them, which becomes comprehensible when we find that the artist, lo order to excite admiration, has joined the large and small Cloisters of the Huelgas into one view, with the salient points of each, thinking himself in this case probably secure, from the fact, that only by a royal order, of the atmost difficulty to be obtained, can the public enter there.

A great similarity runs throughout all the buildings in the north of Spain belonging to the last half of the fifteenth century, which leads to the belief that they are works emanating from the teachings of one master-mind; and this is more probable when we find such a very German character about them, and know that John of Cologne settled here about A.B. 1440 To him Mr. Waring thinks most likely is due the bonour of being the great teacher during the period named.

The last view in the series represents the Consumble's House, and La Trindad, the first a curious specimes of the old Gothic palace, and made striking by great monsters, and ceats of arms, and perforated balconies. It is now used as a barrack, or rather for military bureaux, in this garrison town. To each base posses has it come at last, and the very name of Velasco atrikes dully on the ear, whilst that of Velsaques brings to mind a nobility and distinction which neither costly palaces nor mensoleums can raise. The ruised Chapel of La Trinidad, says Mr. Waring, may well close this series of drawings, for it is emblematic of the land itself, rained and neglected; "its past glory is gone; and where Calderon and Cervantes, Velasquez and Murille, once wrote and painted, only so much lumber fills up the place in this dust-covered and forgotten chapel." For the enterprise which stimulated the work, and the ability with which it is carried out, Mr. Wariog richly deserves

Intem Stone.-A ressel just arrived from Galway has brought an entire cargo of marble, amounting to 160 tons weight, the produce of that part of the sister island; and another resect arrived in the river on the same day from Arklow, and brought 100 tons weight of pyrites, the produce of that district of Ireland.

ON THE EVIDENCES OF SAXON ARCHITRETURE IN THE COUNTY OF DURand hear the Word, and the King, with HAM.

The following is part of a paper read by Mr. W. Hylton Longstaffe at the late meeting of the Archmological Institute:

You will nearly all, I suppose, be familiar with the great questions which have so long occupied the satiquarian circle respecting the nature and endstence of Saxon architecture; and as the samem of history which will be pursued in this paper affects churches with some of the characteristics of presumedly Saxon work only, it is unnecessary to go into the generalities of the subject with any mi-wateness. You are aware that the technico-logy of the old writers, who made the Saxon style include all our Norman buildings, and thus tacitly assumed the architectural character of the structures before and after the Conqu to be of a similar design, was swept sway by Rickman. And then it was conceived that we had no ante-Conquestum churches, and the Norman style was dubbed the first. It was, however, soon found that churches esisted sich were totally distinct from that style; and as we had others whose ascertained date came within a very marrow apace of time from the Conquest—in Durham Cathedral, for instance, which was built about thirty years after-wards (1093)—and these aramples were in a fully developed though plain Norman fashion, these anomalous examples were necessarily thrown back into the Saxon period. That long period of course includes sub-styles. Many of the buildings included in it have an arrangement sinking into Norman and forming the transition to it. And yet we find persons going back to the unlikely theory that we have no Saxon buildings, and stating that stone was only occasionally used by the Saxons, and that their buildings were very inferior in size to those erected by the Normans. Now we shall see that these latter statements clash with the Saxon records; and Mr. Wright has properly observed, that when William of Malmesbury, a late authority at the best, speaks of Saxone wasting their substance in small and abject houses, unlike the Normans, lived moderately in ample and superb edifices, he indefinitely states a well-known fact. Saxons had not vast feudal castles. He also states another incontrovertible fact, that the Norman churches were raised novæ edificandi genere. But it is not shown that this change of style was consequent upon the Conquest, nor why the new style might not rise out of the preceding one, like all its auccessore, in the manner of the corresponding, but rather earlier change on the Continent. Isolated. resemblances to the Saxon edifices would occur long after their abyle was obsolete. We saw a triangular arch over a Norman door at Warkworth Church; but such instances as little prove for or against the Sexon date of churches, where each peculiarity is in harmony with the rest, as the herring-bone mesonry in a Roman station proves that such station is of Norman

The history of the Anglo-Saxon buildings, and probably the style of the buildings themselves, falls into three divisions.

The First or Anglo-Saxon Period is the period (about 670) hefore Wilfred brought the foreign mode of building from the Continent of stone, more Romanorum, which forms the juste Roma second period. Now, in this early period we facerent. might expect a number of wooden churches, yet the contrary seems to be the fact; and little more appears to gratify such an expectation than that temporary wooden oratories were raised. Such a cell at Tynemouth, erected between 617 and 633, speedily gave way, before 642, to a small monastery of stones (Monk of St. Albana, xil. cent. Lel. Coll. iv. iii. 42.)

The first bishops of Lindiusarne were Scotchof the disputes about Easter with the Romish Church, they left behind them houses of the smallest size, save the church. It was not,

and hear the Word, and the King, with his five or aix servants, departed as soon as service was over. (Simcon.) The cathodral had been built in 651, fit, says Bade, for an episcopal sent; yet more Scotseum, not of stone, but of stoles only and the the min and only and the the min and the stoles. split oak only, and thatched with reed.

That this architecture, more Scoterum, was not usual in England at the period, is evident from Bede's own words, even if we had not evidence of the existence of stone churches. Had it prevailed in England, we should have had more indigenerum or more Anglorum, or some such expressions, in opposition to more Romanorum. And against my objection that the English style had become confined to Scotland in Bede's time, and that Bade's expresland in Bede's time, and that Bede's expres-sion was not prospective, but alluded to his own period, we have his decharation that, in 710, Naiten, King of the Scots, had sent to Coolfrid, one of the introducers of the second Saxon style, begging him to despatch archi-tects who might make a stone church after the manner of the Romane in that nation also;

and despatched they were.

As the walls of the building of this first period were strong enough to stand after the gotten, I see no imprehability in the supposi-tion that some of them may be built in with later masonry, although I cannot point out an example in this district. They were, doubt-less, very rude in the manner of joining the stones, and very inferior to the structures of the next and style. Some have supposed that the strips on the walls of such towers as Earl's Barton were in imitation of an earlier timber style, and that the verh "to timber" alludes to the circumstance. But occurring as strips do in what appear to be buildings in a transition to Norman, such as Stanton Lacy, and being wanting in what appear to be the earlier examples, I am disposed to consider them as rather late in the period, when greater ornament was wanted on the walls, and as leading to the fix buttresses and other rough decorations of Norman date.

The Second or Romano-Saxon Period estends from the introduction of an improved and Continental masonry to the destruction of monasteries by the Danes, say to shout 860. A practice now prevailed of English ecclesiastics visiting Rome. In 654, two individuals ties visiting Rome. In 654, two individuals were at Rome, and they were destined to effectuate a revolution in the architecture of their native country. One was the turbulent Wilfrid; the other, Biscop, of noble bloodbe who afterwards received the prænomen of Benedict. Wilfrid was first in architectural order. On his being made Archbishop of Northumberland, in 669, he found the early stone exthedral an dameliahad he Panda the atone cathedral so demoliabed by Penda the pagan King of Mercia, that it was only fit for birds to build their nests in. He repaired the walls, roofed them with lead, and glased the windows. Between 670 and 678 he erected the monasteries of Herbaus and Ripon.

In 673, Benedict Bucop founded a monastery at Wearmouth, upon or close to a Roman Its material le unknown, but scarce had twelve months elapsed from its foundation when Biecop again crossed the ocean, for masons who might make a stone church after the manner of the Romans—a style he always loved—(comentarios qui lapideam sibi ecclesiam juste Romanorum, quem semper omabat, morem facevent). They prosecuted the work with such diligence, that, within a year after the foundation had been taid, the spacious edifice was roofed and mass orlebrated. When it was nearly finished, he obtained glassmakers from France, who glased the windows of the church, the porches, and the refectories, and taught the mystery of their trade to the natices, who at that time were ignorant of it. Wilfrid had previously used glass, but it had been imported.

Jarrow was founded in 682, on a further donation by King Ecgfrid of a strong peninsola overlooking murch and stream and Ecgfrid's port. Ceolfrid was despatched to the new asys the chronicler, necessary to provide bouses possession, on which a suitable convent had to receive the powers that wore, or mosey.

The paper at greater length will be found in the Gardenad Observer.

The paper at greater length will be found in the Wearmooth and Jarrow were properly one mo-