they have now all but disappeared, though many churches contain portions of this ancient and most appropriate furniture. I shall have to speak again of the beauty of simple open seats, when I come to shew the advantages which they possess, not less on this account, but also in the economy of room, and

this account, but also in the economy of room, and their superior suitability for the 'requirements of our Liturgy, over the wasteful and hideous boxes with which churches are now oppressed.

"We pass on now from the nave to that most essential portion of a church built in a catholic hiprit—the chancel. There are two parts, and only two parts, which are absolutely essential to a church—CHANCEL and NAVE: if it-have not the latter, it is at hest only a chancel; if it have not the former. is at best only a chapel; if it have not the former, it is little better than a meeting-house. The 12,000 ancient churches in this land, in whatever else they differ, agree in this, that they have or had a welldefined chancel, i.e. an eastern portion expressly appropriated to the more solemn rites of our reli-In this division our ancient architecture recognized our emblem of the holy Catholie Church; as this consists of two parts, the church militant and the church triumphant, so does the earthly struc-ture consist of two parts. It is well also to observe here, that this practice is not confined to the older churches; those which have been built since the Reformation are not deficient in this point; for instance, Leighton Broniswould, built by George Herbert; Lattle Giddings, by Nicholas Forrur; and South Malling, in Sussex. The symbolical idea of a separation conveyed in this division of the chancel and have seems always to have been clearly marked; in early times it was made by a well or cloth stretched across, while the chancel arch in many Norman churches is richly ornamented in many instances, probably with ornaments symbolizing this distinc-tion.

"Subsequently, the practice obtained of septhe chancel from the nave by a beautiful open acreen-work, often exhibiting an endless variety of pattern. These were called cancelli, or rails, whence the term chancel. Here, before the Reformation, the rood or crucifix, and the image of the Biessed Virgin and St. John, were placed. A crucifix remains at Sherbourne, in Donset, and at Horsely, Derbyshire, where it was dug up in the churchyard, and placed over the gable of the south porch. The doors of the road-acreen represent death as the entrance from the church militant to the church triumphant; hence they open inwards, and the sculpture upon them frequently has reference to this. sculpture upon them frequently has reference to this. The lower part of the screen was often painted with figures of spoutles and saints, and may now frequently be found busind pews, when the rest of the screen has been destroyed. Above the rood-screen was the rood-loft, approached either by an external turret or by stairs in the walls or piers of the building.

It may be said that the rood-rareen is a Roman innovation, and did not exist before the four-teenth or fifteenth centuries. So far from this, that we find St. Gregory of Tours describes that in the we find St. Gregory of Loars describes that in the church of St. Cyprisis, and one of rare beauty ex-isted in St. Sophia, at Constantinople. Moreover, our reformers did not abolish them; many were put up in the reigns of the first James and Charles. There is one at Geddington, Northamptonshire."

After describing at length the several appendages to the chancel,—sedilia, piscina, Ess-ter sepulchre, &c. &c.,—and illustrating this subject with a series of beautiful drawings from churches principally in Warwickshire, he concluded this part of his subject by some excel-lent remarks on the alter; the following is an

"In speaking of the altar itself, we must ob-"In speaking of the altar itself, we must observe, that we have now probably no single model of a high altar remaining, nor do we think it well, in our zeal for what is ancient, to advocate the restoration of the altars of stone in preference to those of wood, which were introduced at the Reformation. For practical, purposes their advantages are the same, and granting that the circumstances which called for their destruction at the Reformation (e.g., the connection in the mide of the components). the connection in the minds of the common people between stone altars and the doctrine of ar. actual, carnal, expiatory sacrifier of the very per-son of our Lord in the Eucharist) have now ceased to operate, we still consider that we have the argument of appropriatness and of antiquity as strongly with us as against us, in using wood as the material of the altar. The origin of the stone altar seems to have been the necessity which existed for seems to have been the necessity which extract to secret, worship in the ages of persecution; this was offered frequently in the catacomie, where the builds of merityrs and holy men presented the most ready and sacred spot on which to consecrate the Blessed Bucharist. Hence, naturally enough, arose. custom of stone siture, after the original nece the custom of stone stars, since the original neces-sity had ceased to exist. With respect to a symbolic meaning, the Romanist reasons thus: Ex lapide quia petra eral Christus; nor can we repudiate this notion as wrong or absurd; but surely we may, with

equal force, reason that it was on the wood of the cross that the sacrifice was effected which we on the

After a long and interesting description of iome remains of sliars, particularly to painting, as follows :--

" In St. Mary's, Leicester, very beautiful paintings in ornamental patterns have been lately brought to light. This sort of ornament was not brought to light. It is sort of ornament was not confined to large churches. I have lately learned, that in clearing the church of Twyford, in Leicestershire, a large quantity of paintings was broughtto light: only one has been spared; a figure above one the piers, bolding a scroll, on, which, probably, Scripture text was written. At Rudford, in a scripture text was written. At Radford, in Gloucystershire, the whole surface of the walls of the church was found to be covered with a legendary story told in palating, which was washed over again, as inconsistent with the proprieties of a

Protestant place of worship.

When such paintings are executed with a tole rable respect to harmony of colouring, they would give, even in their rudeness, a rich bu tint to the walls of a church. They wo hus subdued note, accord with those pointed windows, with which it would seem all our finest churches were Seen by the modern glare of light which streams obtrusively into our churches, through the thin and dispruportionate, because unatained, win-dows, the general details are thrown into a promi-nence, and invite a contrast with more finished pence, and myte a contrast with more indicate pletures, which they will not bear. But seen, as they once were, by the dim religious light of painted windows, they must have wrought an admirable effect; giving to the sacred place that dim indefiniteness which Christian architects seem to have studied an successfully. Who is there that have studied so successfully. Who is there that will not join in the lament that the glerious blazoning of our ancient fanes has passed away? When we see the few shattered remains of stained glass of former days, when we contrast its deep rich colour-ings with the washy and weak tints of modern efings with the washy and weak tints of modern efforts, or with the plain glass which has succeeded, we are almost tempted to cry Iehabod, the glory is departed, even amid the countless beauties

> " Thro' storied lattices no more In softened light the sunbcame pour,"

is true of far too many of our noblest churches Against these, the frailest portion of the holy pile of the holy pile, the rage of ignorant zeal was most furiously directed, and many a saint which had looked for years down from the lofty window, many a legendary tale of plety and devotion to God, many a glorious bla-zonry of heraldic achievement, perished beneath the hand of the destroyer. Enough, however, is left to tell us what church windows once were, to guide us in our efforts to imitate and restore. Of these we in our efforts to imitate and restore. Of these we mention the windows of York Cathedral, especially the lancet windows in the north transept, known as the Five Sisters; the windows in King's College Chapel, Cambridge, and at Great Malvern in Worcestershire. There is some good glass in the window over the tapestry in St. Mary's Hall, and in the over the tapestry in St. I east end of St. Michael's. It is, however, only in those churches where nothing but stained glass is Destroy used that the perfect effect can be seen. but one window through "which the dim come struggling through the may-coloured panes, and let in the pure white the effect of the remaining lights; the contrast is two striking and unfavourable not to be observed. Nothing can exceed the beauty of a church thus wholly lighted. as at St. Neot's, in Cornwall, in the windows of which the legend of its patron saint is graphically told. Here we have case ments high and triple arch

44 4 All garlanded with carven imag'nes Of fruits and flowers, and bunches; grass,
And diamonded with panes of quaint device,
Innumerable of stains and spleudid dyes,
As are the tiger-moth's deep damasked wings,
And in the midst 'mong thrusand heraldries,
And twilight saints and own emblanouings,
The shielded scutcherns blush with blood of
kings and queens.

ss Stained glass seems to be an essential feature in later Gothic; we have seen it was introduced in compensation for the increased light, when the lancet windows were abandoned for the flowing tracery and large windows of the fourteenth century; a, therefore, we use Norman or lancet win of a size appropriate to a building, we ought to have painted glass,—and not only so, we must have painted glass,—and not only so, we have painted glass after the ancient models. we must to attain pictorial effect, as in West's car-in St. George's Chapel, and in the beautiful chapel of Magdalen College, Oxford, we need not wonder that we full to equal the socient glass-stainers. The attempt to paint pictures shows a mistaken idea as to the real capabilities of the glass-painter's art the glass-painter's art Modern stained glass should be in smaller panes, with less attempt to conceal the lead-work, and the glass

should be both thicker and conver than it usually is; there should be less of the painter's band, and more of a musaic character. As those happier views of their art gain ground among glass-stainer's and their customers, we shall hear fewer complaints of our inability to rival our predecessors. In this one happy method of restoring the stainer's art in our cainedrals and churches, has been suggested the proposal to supply the ulsing of our insessent mountained. proposal to supply the plen of our present mon-ments by the Insertion of painted windows in memory of the dead. This practice has been com-menced in high and authoritative quarters, and we trust it will meet with many imitators."

He then entered into a very lengthy discourse on monuments, full wing principally the writer of the article on monumental devices, see, in the last number of the British Grine, which will be too long to insert. -

"But of all the evils which have gradually resulted from our neglect of the various offices of our Liturgy, and our exclusive attention to the preached word, to the neglect of the sacraments of grace—none has spread more widely, none has produced more unhappy results in the examplement of those who separate from our communion—none calls so loudly for reform as the system of exclusion and pride which introduced only which still fosters and defends are sense in our charges as the first investigations of the state of the s defends pews in our churches. It is quite is sible for me news to enter into a bistory of musances, or to detail a length the numberless reasons which call for this aboltion; one or two of these, however, I must in vinduation of the unqualined condemnation in which I speak of them)

grainto torrely.

go into briefly.

Pews are unacriptural; they keep up cartily distinctions in the very place where we are taught their canks and instruction to look forward to their canks and instruction to look forward to their canets. their vanity and instrument to look forward to their abolition. They shut out the poor, who ought, if there he any difference, to be first cared for in the church, not last. "If there come unto your assembly," says St. James, "a man with a gold ring, in bly, says St. James, 'a han with a gold ring, in goodly apparel, and there come in also a poor man in vile raiment; and ye have respect to laim that weareth the gay clothing, and say anto him. Sit thou here in a good place, and say to the poor, Stand thou there, or sit here under my footstool, are ye not then partial in yourselves, and are become judges of evil thoughts?" It would aimost seem as though of evil thoughts?" If would aimost seem as though this passage of inspiration was permed in direct en-ticipation of the system of pewing in our church. The rich man laya his sacragious hands upon a per-tion of the Lord's feechold; he fences himself off, lest be should be contained ated by the contact of his fellow-Christian; collects within the precincts of his pew the appliances and means of ease and self-indulgence, and leaves to the poor a sensity strip of room in the piace where all are equal. This is no exaggerated statement: there are few country churches in which it is not exemplified. Then, having once claimed as earthin property that which peculiarly belongs to God, he heastates not still further to transgress God's commands by exerciaing the lucre of gain, and setting up the table of the money-changer in the temple of God: it is nothe last few days I have seen a public advertisement of pews for male in a church at Lynn. In the meantime the poor are driven from the church, where their presence is looked upon so jealously; and driven at length from her com-

"Again, news are evidently hostile to the spirit of our Liturgy and the voice of our church: it was not without a struggle that they first gained ground. not without a struggie man vary among analysis and They were strictly forbidden by many analysis and others who had authority in the clearch, men who, were martyrs for the trail. They tend to make us were martyrs for the trust. They tend to make us forget that in the house body, and thereby offens communion of the saints. They prevent the congregation from seeing or being seen from the aliar, towards which every worshipper ought to be turned; they encourage people to come late to church, because they know their per will be limit for them however late they come, and they who sit is them are encouraged to many stem of bowever late they come, and they who sit in them however late they come, and they who sit in them are encouraged to many arts of irreversors of whach they would not otherwise be guilty—as going to shop, or amusing themselves with other concerns they would not otherwise be guilty—as going to sisep, or amusing themselves with other conscreas than the service which they ought to be sharing in. Once more—and this in a stilltarian age may pussibly be considered as the mast cogent argument of ali—pews under the most favourable circumstances, when compared with open seals, cause a loss of about thirty in every hundred, i. e. a church which without pews would hold nearly four hundred, with them, holds but three hupdred. This fact may be proved by actual measurement, and it results from the great case which open seats present for fulfilling the requirements of the rubric in the services which we render to God in the church. To kneed which we render to God in the church. To kneel in a pew, we must assume either a careless posture or one most painful and difficult to maintain. The kneeling in an open sitting, is easy and natural. The back of the next seat farms a convenient rest for the .rms, while for arraing, the height of the