but if thim plan do not suit them, there is no alternative but controversy. Unicen holding their conguee be agreeing, men cannot agree till they have found something to agree upon: that first step made, controverny will opeedily diminiah, as it bas constantly done in cience, since the firat spot of neutral sround Whe won. Like eclearing in the wrods, it bae grown with a progress constantly accelerated, at the estonded circumforence aforded roorn Cor more pioneer to work witbont collision, and wee extended ares comement of those of your correspondent's way of thinking (for even they clash when they bave not standing-room). But neither the old plan of continued extension, nor his new one of contented possessiun, is applicable where the first clearing has not beep commenced. Like all who have undercaken to write on arebitecture, for many jectrs past, I have done so with the settled conriction that whatever principles may hare been discocered, not ooe hav yet been agreed upon,that the entire work of estahlishiog thern has yet to be commenced. And this is, I suppose, -hat your correspondent calls beiag "thrown simost entirely on our own resourcen, to nayigete a ship without compass or rudder." But he is quite mistaken: we bave for resources a mes of literature more volutininous, perhaps, than that relating to any ather one art or meience, and for compass and rudder the simple object of discoveriog truth.
The "rude buts" of which he speaks, thether rude or aot, were artisfic buts. We bave an rood evidence of this as we can have, short of ocular teatimony, since ecery other object preserved from that ane and country it aristic. With thanks for the information that "Grecian ladies had not the comforta or conveniences of one of our coltagers' wives," 1 ral avere of this, but was alludiog to a itill atrancer fact, equilly northy of his attention vis., that English ladiea have not and can mof oblain objecte of buch pure tante (i. e., such coograity, such atadied design, such condeosed and refined thought), as were to be found in the cottages of ancient Greece, Panagenet England, or perhapi modern Ceylon. Comforts and convenienoes are not the only or chive good thing of this life, except in the eutamation of nen verging towarde eecond childhood, or nations verging bward eecond avagehood.
The nezi two quotations which be brings together as apecimeo of my "hasty and falbeious reseoning," will bether cerve as a ape. cimen of bis love of truth. You will perceive, © referring to thern, that, occurring some pagea apert, they relate to two widely different objects, -the first to architectural expression, the second to what I have, in complisence with an established custom, though reluctantly on scoonot of is vagueness, termed the poetry of architecture. It is to the former, expression, that I assert those who have the misfortune to grow up in modern English cities prove themelves, in gomera, totally deadened; and whoever is 60 , may rell be said to be deadened to the whole art. Taste is impossible to bim, for, if be cannot distinguish one expression from another, boy mo he tell whetber the expression of aiven building be right or -rong? But this depravation of taste need pot prevent bim from seeing and acknowledk. ing, in the triumply of the art, something not preent in in every-dsy productions. doubtul whether any one is blind to this, at least whea accompanjed by greatneas of scale, at it aلl the exanples given. It is juat the arne in other arts. A man may discorer, Mithout belog told so, that Shakspeare and Milw are superior to modern poets, and yet, on seeing two verses in a dewspaper, may lack the curte.to prefer that one which ought so be preferred. It in the peciliar merit of works of the bighest excellence, that false laste, whether ariaing from neglect or miscalture, canot prevent their superiority from being eonlessed, though only un infinitesimal part of it may be seen.

I do not give this erplanation in defence of opiniont (which must be lett to stand of fall on their own merits), but to easble such of your readers as have seen only jour correepondea's verrion of them to jadge (not thes her they are right or wrong but) to whom they are indebted for the " banty and fallecion reesoning," the "montrous conchution," and
the "intult' to eommon eense, 1 do not pretend to asy that the rensoniog po my side is not fallecious-tine only oan ded de that-but I do sssert that your correspondent is greatly mistaken in supposing it hasty, and will find it is not bactily to be disposed of.
To the nert fallacy, vis., thas po knowledge of an art is needed to diacinguiablits differencen of expression, I plead guilty, a 1 d will admit it! fallacy as moon as be show that nourical knowledge" is required to divtidguiab a dace from a dirge, or produces any fustic eo ixaco rant as not to perceive which fa ment to be menty and which shlemn. His quite riphe when he says, that "If art be nany paing, ib that they be anything, we may he quite pure that hay
do not thun diaplay themselves at once:"who maid they did? But erpreseion io ent must wholly or prartly display itedf a once and that to the mont ingoray, or it in not expression a! all. He is quite right, too, when he says of works of genius, the "they remnire she study of an educated and panod coind for their [due] appreciation;" bat unlean they hare also womething to aftey the texally ua-
educated, they are no more works of art than the Novum Organum, or the Principia. It is true alike of all works that the more study and culture is brought to their emmination (ohor of that of their suthor himselty, the more fully will they be appreciated; put those which cannot be appreciated at all fichout such preparation are not art, but scieque.
Myobject in addressing you howerer, is notio derend opinions, bus the fur leas piemeant task of pointing oot injurious misstacements of facts. The question is nol whether certaio opinious of mine are trae, put whether your correspondent has inteaded to give a true
account of them. Hin last secoark relates co account of them. Hin last something which he anamos 1 would say, viz. chat such an appreciation of - picture as coe Sir Joshua Rejgolds weete of sludy, "oug b to be roused at once in the hind of che child and the rustic." You con decide whether anything which I did could poesibly bo winted into such 3 conclumpor
I have the more faith in your impartiality es to these mattere of fact, bedname in at lesat two matters of opinion we proldsselly differ. But even if these pointa were reuch more important -if many other points of ay creed depended on them (which is not the fase)-I do not see why the differeace should lead to any such language being unod beerreen us as that used by the "Amateur." What if I consider the expression of uneelfish liesign neocssary to distinguish architecture from building, and you consider it unneceass ! ! ? The hypothenis is only a stop-gap, ready to be removed with pleasure the moment a hore efficient one is fonnd. What if you contaider the old parish charches to be arod. Gowpic, and I think thern mostly bad Gothic? I to not helieve either opinios to have been hasfily formed, or without long and attentive stupy; sad so far from leading us w use bard hames, I beliese there diferences might incrosse our good-will to each other, and to all who have these two points in common, 一that they have bestowed rauch study on the mane subjects and with the same object-the diecontry of truth
E. L. Garsett.

## A WORD TO ABCAITECTS PUPILS

Whatever may be tbe opinion of the pupiln who bave addrofeed you, I candot help thioking that they are by nu means the sub. jects for a Jeremind.

Having in my own pupilage gone through a courne of fencing with $T$ squares, loungeing in carte at a mark on the wall, and reading Jamen's novels in office hours; and knowing. from experience, bow these and the like practices engender a tabit of loitering and dallying, of geting through time, rather than of laying it out to the weat advantage, I should be giad if I could ba of service to others, in waraing them of the danger they jacur ; and herein, I would more eupecially addrcus myself to your correapondeces.

Did they poseses put a withe of the ardour for inatruation they froyate to theraselves, it is certain they enfuld have mastered the differences of tho ppioted styles long ago,that it to my, the bromd and dislinctive
blueh of shame thould have auffued their cheeks, when they confessed how recent wat heir moquanuace with what ha been learn or amosement by many of the other cex-the mero alphabet of sbeir profesion. Do they remember the waggoner in the fable, who when his waggon tuck in the mud, called upon Hercules and all the gads to corne to his assintavce; but, no aid appearng, he wan com palied to recmin where he was, until a coun tryman, chaneing to pans, recommended him to puthis own shoulders to the wheel. Let thers epply the moral of this fable, with which, in ther younger yeari, they must have heeñ familiar. la is not usual for an arehitect to do more tomarda the oducation of his pupila than allow them the negatire benefit of ecquiring inforration from the drawings with which eithar is tracing or in completing, they are converned.
It in a syelem of "picking up" what they can. There binte are to be improved upoa by bee proper employment of the time after they have lelt tho office. A ad it may be made a fair mater of question whether what in ac quired out of office bours be not the more valuatile and precious from its beiog the product of self-education.
But, while they are thu secking an acquaintance with their owa profestion, assisted by the elementary work published for weir eapecial benefit, they whould not exempt thein. selven from those neverer studien that train the mind to essay the bighest efforts. If they do neglect the disciplining and educating of their own minds, which is to ensentially requisit before they cas make any progress in science they way be sure they will repent them here after of such neglect. Whas more particularly mast be insisted upon is the puarding agains the contracting of bed habite. Every one know: how easily habite sre formed, bu esperially ouch as are had; and of all bind habits, idleness is the worst. It in as difficult to get rid of, and proves to the full as areal an encumbrance as the old man of the sea who rode apon Sinbad" shoulders. "A huay man is truubled with but one devil, but the idle man with a thousand," saya the Turkish proverb. It is to be feared these pupila are not speaking 10 foguratiecly, when they say they "have eat for a long time with their hand before them ;" and, if the conjecture be correct, here then is the firat toil they have to undergo-the first step apon the Indder of usefulneas - to ankearn a most pernicious hatil But, if they are in earnest in wishing to be guided, they will, without doubt, instead of passively oubmitting to the dorpination of the tyrunt, by a continned courue of activity, wrentle with and subdue thia formadable ankagoDist to their advancement,-from every strugglo gathering freah strea th, like the fabled Anurus in bis eontest with Hercules but unlike him, inasmueb as they will cospe out conquerors.
One atumbling-block in the path of architecte pupts is, thas they.are apt to imagine shecoselves destined to strike out a new style. Their language, individually, is, "Who knows but what I may invent a'style of nrehitecture utterly unknown before?" Thia meatal ballu cination cannot be too rudely dispelled. I am not hardy enongh to assert that, because thing has not been, it may not be; but, from analogy, it may be safely asecred, that no one can hope to invent an new style of arehitecture without haviog first learnt what is already extant, with all the mechanical contrivances the collective wiedom of centuries has discovered. Lord Hacon did not rublish his "Novam Urganum" until he bed pondered deeply the systeman of logic bequeathed by the ancients. That great man oceupied bimaself till his sixticth year in the studies decessary to produce that work, and copied it no less than twelvo times, revising, correcting, and alteriag it year by year. What a noble example of indastry to men in all time! Those whose names stand higheat in our own profession will reatily admit. (for diffideoce in ever the sttri bute of real geaius) how vart are the fields of knowledge by theom unexplored-how limited is what they know compared to what they might know, and compared to what, by patien laborious application and prolonged life, they may jet suain. And if this be their unanimons verdict. how incumbent is it upot those who ase oaly starting in the sace to hold on their

