

To Be a Man – Our path to peace so far

Introduction

It would be fair to say that, for my wife and I, the journey to peace began long before we discovered what a peaceful inhabitant was or how 'the game' really worked, it began the moment we made the conscious decision to start following our conscience.

After a 12 month period of information overload with conspiracies, banking, freeman etc we had come to discover what we believed at the time to be the fraudulent nature of the banking system, and we were left with a choice; to continue to be complicit with the apparent fraud, or to withdraw our compliance and cease making payments to corporations for 'loans' that had already been paid for. We had no idea at the time how we would keep a roof over our heads or 'fight' off the 'vultures' but nonetheless we continued on the basis that we would figure out the answers by the time they were needed.

The next six months were an intense 80 hour per week study session in an attempt to acquire all the necessary knowledge to 'stick it to the man,' keep OUR house over OUR heads and to carry out all the other objectives generally characterized by the commercial redemption, freeman and trust schools of thought. Whilst following these processes I believed that I was acting for the greater good of all, and whilst that was the intent I always spoke of, the actions of both myself and those I was surrounded by, served to betray our words. The simple fact is that we still believed that there was someone or something external to ourselves which was to blame for our unrest and for the degenerate behaviour being observed in the greater world; we had not yet come to 'know,' on anything more than an intellectual level, that we are each responsible for our own experiences in our own lives.

As with most people we experienced a number of small 'successes,' attributable I believed to nothing more than the hassle saving approach of some of the corporations we were dealing with, and we were never able to shake the overwhelming feeling that the answer to mans enslavement was not 3000 hours of law study, 20 trips to court and chipper smile. That said, not having conceived of any better alternative, we returned from holiday after taking a breather, with renewed vigour and intentions of finally settling the myriad of legal and financial matters affecting the names.

On our return I was given, by a previous study partner, the Batman and Boris audios,¹ which formed the starting point and much of the foundation of the information offered in this series of texts. Having been searching for and growing into a more spiritual existence for many years, here at last was a more spiritual and holistic approach to dealing with fiction land and our brothers and sisters who fight to maintain it. Within 72 hours the errors of our previous ways became clear and I couldn't help but laugh at the irony that we had started out learning two years earlier with Robert Menard's 'you are not a person' speeches and had come full circle, after a massive amount of pointless research, to a point where the 'person' or 'name' really was the only thing we need concern ourselves with. The following three weeks were an intense period of insights and realisations regarding life, the universe and everything; the culmination of which being a true insight into the creative process and the essential part that the process of giving freely in the service of others plays in the grand scheme of creating a peaceful existence; we now 'knew' what we needed to do to create the heaven on Earth we had dreamed of – service to others. This period set the tone for the rest of the journey which continues to this day. These realisations are not something that I, nor anyone else, can impart upon you with words, but they will come to us all in time.

The events that followed are described herein simply to show just one perspective on the implementation of the path to peace, simply following our process will not necessarily bring you the same outcomes as it brought us, in fact to my knowledge no two peaceful inhabitants who have followed the same process have as yet had the same results. As I have laboured to drive home in these texts, this journey is very much a personal one; all of your actions must come from your own heart if you are to see the returns you desire. Please take from this information only that which truly resonates with you.

Everything of significance to our journey is shared here, our triumphs and our mistakes, as I wish for you to see not only how it 'should' be done but also the potential pitfalls. The hope is that you will see that I am not some 'guru,' just a normal man, who makes as many mistakes as the next man.

There are of course many more 'smaller' occurrences as peaceful inhabitants which have brought us to our current place, but in the interest of keeping your attention I have kept this e-book as short as possible. Whilst writing I have tried to use words that reflect the mindset and perspective we possessed at the time of each occurrence and not necessarily that which we possess at the time of writing. All the events in the following chapters took place between the end of July 2010 and the end of January 2011. The events are not entirely sequential in so far as the chapters do overlap chronologically speaking.

¹ <http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=44889&cmd=tc> start at episode 9 onwards

The First Steps

We now understood that financial matters involving the name were not our responsibility; in fact to take on that responsibility would involve us continuing to trespass on the property of another, which was completely unacceptable. We were however responsible for misunderstandings which had arisen as a result of our misguided mistakes and so correcting those mistakes became the only honourable thing we could do.

This process simply involved responding to mail which came through the letterbox. Our chosen format, for reasons described in the Addendum, was a hand-written notice informing the sender of the mistake and offering any information which may assist them in identifying the liable party for the name so that they could have any matters settled. Having previously ran my own company and worked for large corporations at a reasonably high level I am aware that, despite popular belief, corporations are not necessarily aware of the true nature of voluntary slavery and the name game, thus my conscience dictated that to simply step back and say “not me” was not sufficient to rectify these situations. Accordingly, in addition to the standard text or “four cornerstones” I felt it necessary to point my brothers and sisters who worked for these corporations in the right direction, thus our initial notices were typically as follows:

Re: NAME

Dear friend

In the matter of the ‘name’ [NAME] there has been a mistake. Where is the proper notice, so that I can deal with this matter honourably?

The name associated with the account is, in absence of evidence to the contrary, derived of the original of the copy and extract from the instrument attached [copy Birth Certificate enclosed]. It is apparent that the instrument attached bearing the ‘name’ is property of the Crown and should not be used as personal identification.

I do not wish to create a controversy by claiming that which belongs to another.

Who is the liable party for that ‘name’?

With Sincerity and Honour

Your friend and peaceful inhabitant

Care of: xxxx

Other peaceful inhabitants would say that enclosing birth certificates and making statements as we did is meddling and to a certain degree I agree with that, but nonetheless our own conscience dictated that we assist where we can. The question is what do you personally feel constitutes sufficiently rectifying your own mistakes in these matters? Whatever that is go with it.

The notices were generally sent straight back to where the corporations correspondence had originated, enclosing the original of whatever had been sent to the names. After all if there has been a mistake and we are not the name, why would we want to hold on to any paperwork in the name? Initially we did keep copies of the notices we had sent but as you will later see, this didn't last and was a pointless exercise. My advice would be not to respond to the contents of any communications to the name in your notices – remember that it is not you who they are addressing, it is the name; an account. By responding in substance you are meddling and this only serves to display that you don't really understand who you are in this process. It is only the ego that feels threatened by these words.

The intention of course is to provide first hand knowledge wherever possible thus, if practicable, we would visit the local office of the corporation in question and verbally present the information first hand, leaving the hand written notice with the agent to whom we had spoken. Any notices for which this was not possible were mailed. Special or recorded delivery is completely unnecessary because if you wish to abstain from conflict you will never be able to enter your communications as evidence.

Bear in mind that we never expected any success from this exercise; we believed that each matter would likely need settling in court until at least the court system had tired of us and engaged us privately – an outcome that we desired at the time to control. However within weeks of this first phase a new gas bill was sent to the names showing a credit for the previous six months outstanding liability and a fresh bill for the latest period.

Buoyed by this initial 'victory' I began to force the process along, with the "you will acknowledge that we are peaceful inhabitants" attitude which was a remnant of previous and redundant processes. I met with the local MP, sent letters to the Attorney General, Solicitor General, the Public Trustee, Chancellor of the Exchequer, Secretary of State, the list goes on, all as you can imagine to absolutely no avail.

We considered one notice to be sufficient for each matter, after all most recipients will either have no idea or will not in any event roll over, so what's the point in wasting your energy on futile exercises; once you have fulfilled your duty to your conscience, there is little point in doing anything other than waiting for a chance to correct the mistake in court, where the judge as banker can settle the matter or choose to further 'test' you. The only instances where we have sent second notices are where the corporation has made a concerted and decent attempt to understand the previous communication, and appear to genuinely listen and want to understand. Even then I would advise that you not let your

desire to assist compromise your position by trying to meddle or educate. I have not included any examples of such notices because they are case specific and would only serve to draw you away from the sound of your own inner voice when you need to do the same.

Meanwhile an issue had been brewing that would lead us to great insight. Prior to arriving at this new approach to dealing with the system, whilst in fact we were away on holiday, a council tax issue was coming to a head. Having not paid, again on moral grounds, for approximately a year, a summons to the local Magistrate's Court was issued in the names. Obviously being on the other side of the world was an impediment to our attendance, but given what we thought we knew of the shambles which is council tax court cases, we made no attempt to rearrange the date, deciding instead not to endorse their charade by attending.

Bear in mind that at this point we had no idea of the path and processes we now follow, so when we got back it was a bit late to rectify the mistake in court, because unlike any other court case the council's court is a privately convened affair, so we pointlessly informed the council and their bailiffs of the situation, knowing full well that at some point we would have a visit from the bailiffs. Of course we were right.

Fear was not something that had gripped us too tightly, at least not in respect of dealing with authority or self protection, for quite a long time at this point so we had already resolved that we were going to approach the situation with love whilst consciously abstaining from ego protection mode; it would be a learning experience; an opportunity to express and apply the values which we had long held, to the real men and women of the world of commerce. Earlier that morning I had been discussing with other peaceful inhabitants how we could go about returning all of Caesar's money to Caesar; by what means would we make the return? How will we put food on the table? This was a conversation which I continued with my better half, during which we resolved to leave both issues in the hands of the universe. As the conversation was drawing to an end, there was a knock at the door which was of course the bailiffs. We knew exactly how to deal with bailiffs – they are debt collectors, and in the eyes of the law debt collectors are the lowest of the low; they have very little power, thus whilst we would talk to them and express the loving attitude we had wanted to express, we would simply not allow them access – if you don't invite them in (leave a door or window open etc) they cannot enter. However for some reason, which will no doubt become clear to you by the end of this story, having spoken to and tried to assist them in their plight I closed the door but neglected to lock it before removing myself to the kitchen. Moments later there were three bailiffs in the house cataloguing every item of any value! Keen to maintain presence of mind and a loving approach we offered them coffee and spoke to them with smiles, never questioning their actions; despite their angry dispositions we knew that they were just doing their jobs and were not themselves capable of reciprocating our affections. We did call the police, who promptly arrived in number declaring that they were there to keep the peace; this we

welcomed, explaining that we were peaceful inhabitants and that there had been a mistake; that the bailiffs were operating under the faulty presumption that we were the names on their paperwork. The police demanded that we prove our identity and that if we did not we would be arrested for trespassing! There are very few non-egoic responses to such a demand so we could do little more than raise a rye smile and lovingly offer our brother a coffee if we wished to stand by our peaceful intentions. Rather than acting within their powers and sticking to their mandate of “keeping the peace,” one of the officers proceeded to rifle through the house until he could find something with a name and picture on which in his mind would confirm our ‘identity,’ and having found what he was looking for, instructed the bailiffs to proceed. The officers left shortly afterwards, but not before noticing one or two ‘illegalities’ in our garden, which we can only assume they considered more hassle than it was worth to pursue with ‘our sort’. Before leaving they were all offered a hug and blessings for a peaceful day. Meanwhile, as the bailiffs were beginning to remove the things we were using from the home we were using whilst awaiting a tow truck to remove the car we were using, we were quietly contemplating this rather surreal experience when it occurred to us that we had ourselves asked for this entire scenario. “How can we return Caesar’s money to Caesar? We had asked. Here was the opportunity; all the money that was left in the name’s account amounted to little more than the £3,000 bill so I took a trip to the bank and withdrew the funds to give to the bailiffs.

“You don’t give because it feels good, you give because it hurts him [ego]” Revolver

With this in mind we joyfully handed over the cash, laughed at the universes sense of humour in delivering our wishes, and joked with the still positively angry men and women in the house we use, offering hugs and blessings as they left.

Bearing in mind our other question we had asked that morning “How will we put food on the table” – whilst I had been at the bank, a friend of ours had unexpectedly arrived who, after the bailiffs had left, announced that he was being evicted from his home and would need some where to stay. Being a working man had still used money and in return for a roof over his head was happy to put some food in the cupboards!

I share this story because this journey is as much about spiritual growth as anything else. Before the later steps that we have taken are even possible, we had to turn our information and belief that the universal creative process would provide for us into ‘knowing’ that it would, and this day was a big step forward in acquiring that ‘knowing.’ I still consider this to have been the most significant day of our journey so far; we had learnt a lot about who we are and what we are capable of that day; it was our greatest insight up to that point into the power of love and the power of forgiveness, and our experience has since allowed us to bring the same love, joy and true forgiveness to other situations absent the presence of fear. This also serves as an example that even when the process appears not to ‘work’ we must maintain our peaceful state – these are the true tests of whether or not you can walk the talk. Not forgetting also that the reason that it appears not to have ‘worked’ is because

of the excessive amount of conflict that WE have previously injected into the situation – which in all honesty was definitely the case in this instance. If this does happen to you, know that you can't cheat cause and effect, you can only roll with it and find the silver lining, resting peacefully in the knowledge that the love you have injected into this situation will surely find its way home three fold – or not, it's a choice.

The Home We Use

Having lived in the home we use for almost four years now, and being perfectly happy with this arrangement, we had no intention of abandoning it when the bank who allegedly holds a mortgage over the property decided they wanted it for themselves.

Having had eight months of 'conditional acceptance' type communication with them, when their solicitors 'letter before action' arrived in the post addressed to the names, we thought it appropriate to arrange a meeting at their offices to correct our previous mistakes and to facilitate in any way possible an honourable settlement of the matter.

The firm's senior partner had taken on the case owing to the possible complexities and upon meeting him it was clear that he was not intrinsically 'evil', rather he was simply doing the job he had been trained to do. Perhaps inadvisably we had an open and honest discussion on the matter, but this felt like the right thing to do at the time, and we did not say anything that was condemning so we viewed the meeting as a successful venture. The same loving approach that was applied to the bailiff scenario was of course employed, with all who were present seeming relatively at ease. The following notice was verbalised as our first hand knowledge of the matter and a hand written version of the same was left in their possession:

Re: NAMES

Dear friend

In the matter of the names [NAMES] there has been a mistake. Where is the proper notice so that we can deal with this matter honourably?

The names associated with the account are, in absence of evidence to the contrary, derived of the original of the copy and extract from the instruments attached [copy Birth Certificates enclosed]. It is apparent that the instruments attached bearing the 'names' are property of the Crown and should not be used as personal identification.

We do not wish to create a controversy by claiming that which belongs to another.

Who is the liable party for those 'names'?

In respect of your proposals to seek a possession order for the property in question, please be aware that we are peaceful inhabitants and are currently using the property and the land upon which the property sits, when we entered the property it was not being used by anyone else, we have bestowed bodily labour upon the property, and for the avoidance of doubt we intend to continue using the property.

With sincerity and honour

Your friends and peaceful inhabitants

Care of: xxxx

Again some would say that we have said too much, but in addition to our wish to point our brother in the right direction, this matter concerned a specific threat to taken possession of real property. We were using that real property in accordance with natural law and had every intention of continuing our period of use – thus whether you would consider the additional words relating to the use of the property as ego protection or common sense given the circumstances is up to you – perhaps it is both? My personal perspective was and still is that there is a significant difference between correcting mistakes which relate to criminal matters and those which relate to civil matters, as already discussed the former does not allow for the possibility of the court proceeding in absentia, thus with civil matters it may be necessary to provide additional information. The meeting concluded as we would now always try to conclude such interactions – with a heartfelt handshake from myself and a hug and a kiss on the cheek from my wife!

In any event, as expected, two weeks later claim forms for possession of property were issued by the local county court to the names. They were of course returned to the court with the following first hand knowledge presented face to face at the court house, and memorialised in hand written form for their records:

Re: NAMES

Dear Sirs

In the matter of the names [NAMES] there has been a 'mistake'. Where is the proper notice so that we can deal with this matter honourably? We are not the parties liable for those names? We would like to know who is, we own nothing.

xxxx of xxxx (the claimants' solicitor) was provided with first hand knowledge of this mistake, by us, at his offices, on xxxx. Is there any reason why the court has been involved in a matter where there is no controversy?

Let it be known to the court that – We are friends of the court and peaceful inhabitants using the property in question. When we peacefully entered the property it was not being used by anyone else. We have not done any act which shows an intention to abandon it and for the avoidance of doubt we intend to continue using the property indefinitely. Bodily labour has been bestowed upon the property by us.

If there is any way we can assist the court in the settlement of this matter, please do not hesitate to contact us at the address below. Please address your correspondence to friends of the court or peaceful inhabitants.

With sincerity and honour

Your friends and peaceful inhabitants

Care of: xxxx

I must be clear here that mistakes were made when writing that notice, as you can see the second paragraph is somewhat accusatory towards our friend at the solicitors. Also the statement "We are not the parties liable for those names? We would like to know who is, we own nothing" should have been reworded as the simple question "who is liable for those names?" Given the chance to re-issue it I would do so, but our mistakes are what teach us as we go and I believe it important to point out our mistakes in this process so that you do not have to make the same ones.

Other correspondence was issued to the names and duly returned in the ensuing period but I needn't bore you with the details as nothing other than the standard style of return was used in these instances.

Court preparation was minimal in the normal sense but we spent significant time meditating and kept our focus on attaining and maintaining the correct mindset. Preparation for what would be said was confined to the following paragraphs which were taken as prompts to ensure that we didn't neglect to say anything fundamental to the process, the rest we would take as it comes:

We come before you as friends of the court with first hand knowledge. We are here because we have a usufructuary interest in the property which is the subject of these proceedings, and that interest could be affected if the proposed order is granted.

In the matter of the name, there has been a mistake. Where is the proper notice so that I can deal with this matter honourably?

Who is liable for that name?

We are peaceful inhabitants currently using the property and the land upon which the property sits. When we moved onto the property it was not being used by anyone else, we have bestowed bodily labour upon the subject property, we have not made any declaration that we intend to abandon the property, and for the avoidance of doubt we intend to continue using the property indefinitely.

We ask for the courts forgiveness for any mistakes we have made in the past.

Possessing a more complete understanding of the process now than we did at the time, we would not say that "we have a usufructuary interest in the property" simply that "we are using the property." We ought not to be using the systems legal words when standing under

natural law; the term usufruct is generally used to describe an occupying forces right to use the conquered nations/persons fruits and includes the right to collect rent (something we had not yet come to understand), however we were fortunate that the judge asked me to explain what I meant, to which the reply was “we are using it” – so, especially when considering the events of the following months, it appears there was no harm done!

Prior to the court entering session we met briefly with the Solicitor, hugs exchanged, followed by a very revealing discussion. He appeared to possess genuine concern for us and made it clear that this was one of those days where he would rather be doing anything other than his job. This only served to exemplify for us the power of injecting love into a situation where there would otherwise have been none. Despite his concerted efforts to impress upon us the gravity of the situation, we made it clear that there was nothing he could say or do that would instil any fear or anxiety in us, wished him the best of luck and suggested we get on with it. During the following 45 minutes in the waiting room before entering court, and despite our attempts at engaging him in pleasant conversation, he actually declared that he was “losing the will to live”; we appeared to be witnessing a very fearful and emotionally conflicted man in a situation which he had never been in before and which he simply wanted to end. I would be lying if I didn’t take a little pleasure in this!

The judge had been fully primed on who would be entering his court room and the solicitor had scheduled an hour of the courts time for the hearing to “allow us to voice our position.” The usual and expected attempts to obtain jurisdiction were made, and once the judge was satisfied that we knew who we were he proceeded. Of particular interest was the judges comment when he was explaining the course of the proceedings that he was “disposed to hear” from us. Evidencing that something we had said to that point had given us standing to address the court and be heard. I believe the particular statement was “we come before you as friends of the court” coupled with the declaration that we had an interest in the subject matter of the proceedings. We had expected before the hearing that an order would be granted. As users of the property this was quite agreeable with us; fictional pieces of paper are not our concern. What we were trying to achieve by attending the hearing was to retain use of the property. To do this we would need to establish in the court and if possible have the court openly acknowledge that:

1. We are a man and a woman, not a fictional entity.
2. We are peaceful inhabitants, not occupants, tenants or other legal derivation.
3. As man and woman we are using the property.

If we could establish these facts, preferably with the minimal use of negative statements, then whilst an order may be granted against the names, there would be no way of enforcing it against us. Of particular note was the judge’s acknowledgement of our status as man and woman, not only addressing us as such but also making an entry to that effect on his pro-forma for the order. Also interesting was his comfortable acceptance of our rebuttal of the statement referring to us as occupants. This we considered important because any eviction

order would state “Names and all Occupiers,” thus having established and had acknowledged the fact that we were neither, any order would be technically unenforceable. A fact that was confirmed to us when, following the granting of the order, the solicitor interjected to revisit the identity issue. To our amusement and the solicitor’s annoyance the judge, bless him, came to our rescue declaring that the identity of the man and woman in front of him had already been dealt with. At one point we were even asked by the solicitor what we call each other at home – I don’t think he was expecting my better half’s answer of “babe!”

Once we had established who we were, or rather who we weren’t, we were asked if we knew where the defendants could be found. I took this opportunity to surrender to the court, the birth certificates for the names. More suggestions from the judge that we were those names were rebutted with yet more suitable questions from us – “Well it does state upon it that a certificate is not evidence of identity sir. Is it true that anyone can obtain a copy of anyone else’s birth certificate?” etc. It was only after the hearing was concluded when the judge persistently attempted to return them to us that we were made aware of the significance of this move. Following our refusal to accept their return, the judge, for the first time in the 50 minute hearing, seemed rather displeased, in fact it would be fair to say that he appeared to turn a little white. We are unsure of the exact significance of this move, but in any event the judge had been dealt a slider and his attempts to slide it back were fruitless! As a result of this, any future notices to other corporations for other matters would now be forwarded to, or contain a referral to, the judge as a Crown agent who we believe had now been left with the liability.

The judge himself was particularly reasonable, his soft voice and warm look made him easy to deal with, and it is my personal opinion that he acted with honour throughout the hearing. It would be fair to say that since adopting the peaceful approach to dealing with the system we have encountered, generally speaking, only decent people – there have been no ‘rogue agents,’ which when contrasted with our experiences prior to this switch is a massive shift. You truly do get back what you give out.

On later reflection of the hearing my assessment of the potential areas for improvement was that:

1. We had made a few too many negative statements.
2. We should have asked more questions instead of answering as many of the judge’s questions as we did. However we wished to be polite in order that we be heard as opposed to being railroaded. Had this been a criminal matter we would have been able to stand more firm with only questions.
3. We had failed to rebut the solicitor’s hearsay evidence. Following his presentment of the claimant’s evidence we should have asked him if he had anything to offer the court that was not hearsay and whether there was anyone appearing for the claimant with first hand knowledge, also asking the judge if he was going to allow

hearsay evidence in his court. Had we done this perhaps there would not even have been an order granted, but it is impossible to say.

Although we would do things quite differently if we had the opportunity to go again, these were not things we were particularly upset about and on balance we were over the moon with our first ever appearance in court; despite appearances to the untrained ear, the hearing went exactly as we had hoped. Needless to say we experienced precisely the circumstances we needed to allow us to evolve further along this path.

Over the coming weeks any further correspondence received from the mortgage company or solicitor was forwarded to the judge, noting the mistake. After a short period, orders for possession were sent from the court to the names which clearly stated that the names did not attend the hearing, whilst also noting the judges comment that “there have attended the hearing in addition to the solicitor for the claimant a man and a woman whose names have not been given to the court,” we now had written evidence (even though all paperwork is hearsay) of the courts acknowledgement that we are not the names – bingo. The orders were duly returned face to face at the court house; and the following notice handed to a court officer and verbalised:

Re: Names (Claim ref. xxxx)

Dear Judge xxxx

We hope this note finds you well.

Orders for possession (as enclosed) have been sent to the address at the property we use. They have been addressed to [NAME] and [NAME].

As the orders do state that [NAME] and [NAME] did not attend the hearing and that “there have attended the hearing in addition to the solicitor for the claimant a man and a woman whose names have not been given to the court,” which was us, we thank the court for its acknowledgement that we are not the named defendants.

There must therefore have been an administrative ‘mistake’. Accordingly we enclose the mistakenly addressed orders for your file.

We trust that our continuing use of the property known as xxxx and the land upon which the property sits, will not be affected by any enforcement process against the defendants and that the usufructuary interest we established in your court will not be impeded in any way.

Thanking you once again for your diligent settlement of this matter.

Peace to you and your family

Your friends and peaceful inhabitants

(the man and woman who attended the hearing)

Care of: xxxx

Again, were we to have our time again “usufructuary interest” would be changed to “use of,” also the last paragraph evidences the mind/egos attempt to ‘protect’ the ‘things’ that are ‘mine,’ thus was completely unnecessary.

When the 28 days on the possession order had expired, standard letters, one addressed to the names and one to tenant/occupier were later received from the bank informing the names/occupiers that the names had failed to comply with the terms of the court order and that instructions had been given to the solicitors to enforce the order. The letters were of course returned with a hand written notice and we patiently awaited the eviction procedure safe in the knowledge that the court had already acknowledged that we were neither the names nor were we occupants. A couple of weeks later, at 7.30 on a Friday evening, we heard what can only be described as an official knock at the door. It turned out to be a man, name unknown, who claimed to be acting for the solicitors who had to this point been dealing with the case. I was completely caught off guard at the time; it is much easier to deal with such situations when you know they are coming. The conversation went something like this:

Mr X: Are you Mr xxxx?

Me: No

Mr X: Who are you then?

Me: Who are you?

Mr X: I'm from xxxx Solicitors

Me: Perhaps you should ask your own Mr xxxx these questions as he was present at the hearing on xxxx where it was acknowledged by the court that we are not the named defendants.

Mr X: I know Mr xxxx, he is the one who instructed me to come here.

Me: Really, Mr xxxx asked you to disturb our peace when he already knows who we are?

Mr X: Have you been attorned?

Me: No

Mr X: Are you a tenant?

Me: No

Mr X: Are you the owner?

Me: No

Mr X: You're the occupier then?

Me: No, I am a peaceful inhabitant using the property. When we moved on to the property it was not being used by anyone else. We have bestowed bodily labour on the property. And we intend to continue using the property indefinitely. We have made no declaration to abandon the property; we will be continuing to use it.

Mr X: You're a squatter then?

Me: No, I am a peaceful inhabitant

Mr X: You mean you're a squatter

Me: Sir, I am a peaceful inhabitant and there is little point in me continuing this conversation.

Me: Have a lovely weekend, good day

We were rather flattered by this occurrence, it only served to evidence to us the fact that the solicitors did not believe they had sufficient grounds to evict us without attempting to extract something from us that would give them a way in.

At the time of writing this text it has been over three and a half months since the court granted an order for possession in 28 days and approximately two months since the unexpected intrusion described above. No further correspondence has been sent to the names by the bank, solicitor or court since that time and there has been nothing to suggest an imminent attempt at eviction. That is not to say that we have heard the end of the matter – we may or may not have. The truth is we are indifferent to any actions undertaken by a fictional entity, they were never looking for us in the first place, and we have made our position perfectly clear at every point. Will the 'tests' continue, perhaps, but I can honestly say its not something I expend my energy contemplating or concerning myself with; despite what we think, there is absolutely nothing we can do to control the actions of others, best to let the natural course of events unfold as they may; who would doubt the natural course of events to be anything other than in accordance with natural law?

This whole situation has been a massive learning experience and one which we have thoroughly enjoyed. At every turn it has provided deeper insight into who we are and the life we want to lead; it has shown us what we are capable of when we follow our conscience with unwavering faith and trust; and it has consistently shown us that our trust has not been misplaced by always providing us with what we seek and everything else besides in accordance with our highest purpose.

The Car We Used To Use

The following story relates to a car that we no longer use, but I am sharing this story in particular because it relates to a liability in the name which, owing to the streamlining of the Court system, would not present an opportunity to correct a mistake in court.

In the UK, the Ministry of Justice, presumably in the interest of reducing expenditure, have implemented a new procedure for the processing of civil money claims for small to medium sized loans and other similar financial matters. This procedure means that once a claimant has followed the usual pre-action protocols (letter before claim etc), the procedure is simply to submit a claim form to the court which the court in turn issues to the address that has been provided for the defendant, and the defendant has a set period of time in which to enter a paper defence. There is no hearing – at least not one that the parties are able to attend, so a judge makes a determination based solely on the hearsay paper evidence provided by the parties. I believe that the US and Canada have also developed or are developing a similar procedure.

Such a situation arose for me to assist with, in respect of outstanding car finance in the name. Despite the usual return of correspondence, with hand written notice attesting to the mistake, to the financier in question, a claim form addressed to the name from HMCS (Her Majesty's Court Service), was sent to the address we use,. The document outlined the procedure described above. As it had been issued from a County Court Bulk Clearing Centre some 120 miles away, I was unable to present any first hand knowledge of the matter face to face, so a hand written notice was posted, returning the claim form to the court, as follows:

Re: [NAME]

Dear Sirs

In the matter of the 'name', [NAME], there has been a 'mistake'. Where is the proper notice so that I can deal with this matter honourably? Who is the party liable for that 'name'? How can it be me, I own nothing?

The named Person whom you seek was surrendered to District Judge xxxx on xxxx and can now be found at xxx County Court.

Let it be known to the court that – I am a friend of the court and a peaceful inhabitant. All property, real and personal, at the address to which you have issued the claim form, is currently being used by me. When I first acquired use of the property it was not being used by anyone else. I have not done any act which shows an intention to abandon it

and for the avoidance of doubt I intend to continue using the property indefinitely. Bodily labour has been bestowed upon the property by me.

If there is any way I can assist the court in the settlement of this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me at the address below. Please address your correspondence to friend of the court or peaceful inhabitant.

With sincerity and honour

Your friend and peaceful inhabitant

Care of: xxxx

A letter was received several days later, addressed to the name yet referring to the above communication, stating that “the court is unable to treat your response as either a ‘defence’ or an ‘admission.’” This letter was of course returned, as follows:

Re: [NAME]

Dear xxxx

I hope this note finds you well.

It appears that you may be mistaken in your understanding of my earlier correspondence. I make no claim to be the named defendant, and I am in fact not a party to these proceedings. However I am a friend of the court and a peaceful inhabitant using all real and personal property at xxxx. Your claim form lists this property as being the defendants’ place of residence. This is a mistake. I was simply alerting you to this mistake as a friend.

I do not wish to meddle in the administration of the affairs of another.

I trust that you have all that you need to settle this matter honourably.

Peace to you and your family

Your friend and peaceful inhabitant

Care of: xxxx

I understand now that this was really not the best notice – I have made negative statements and have accused HMCS of making a mistake, and accusations of any kind should be avoided, but anyhow yet another response was sent, to the name, advising that the case had been referred to a district judge for decision. Again this was returned with yet another hand written note as follows:

In the matter of [NAME]

There has been a mistake. Where is the proper notice so that I can deal with this matter honourably? Who is the party liable for that 'name'? How can it be me, I own nothing?

Despite two previous attempts to assist the court by alerting you to the fact that there has been a mistake, paperwork addressed to the name [NAME] is still being sent to the address I use.

First of all I, the peaceful inhabitant using the property to which you have addressed your correspondence, am using all real and personal property at said address including the land upon which the property sits. This interest was established at xxxx County Court on xxxx in the presence of District Judge xxxx. Would any action that interferes with this established interest constitute involuntary servitude and a breach of my unalienable natural rights?

Second, I am a peaceful inhabitant; would continuing to bombard a peaceful inhabitant with unwanted controversy constitute involuntary servitude and a breach of my unalienable natural rights?

I trust that you have all that you need to settle this matter honourably.

Peace to you and your family

Your friend and peaceful inhabitant

Care of: xxxx

This was yet another poorly constructed notice containing accusations. In fact it is my belief that these accusations are one of the main reasons for the process being so unnecessarily drawn out. What followed was the issue of a General Directions Order stating that "the judges orders that the defence is struck out as disclosing no reasonable grounds for defending the claim, also it is not signed by anyone." It is my belief that the accusations and negative statements made in previous communications showed a defensive position, which is the reason the communications were seen as a defence. In any event I did what I should have done from the start, and went to the local County Court presenting non-defensive first hand knowledge face to face with an agent of HMCS. Thus the following was presented with the return of the General Directions Order:

Notice – presented verbally and in writing

In the matter of [NAME]

There has been a mistake. Where is the proper notice so that I can deal with this matter honourably? Who is the party liable for that name? How can it be me, I own nothing?

I am a friend of the court and a peaceful inhabitant with first hand knowledge. I am also the user of all real and personal property at the address to which you have sent the enclosed correspondence, which correspondence is herewith returned. With the exception of my wife who is also a peaceful inhabitant, no one else uses this property.

The enclosed General Directions Order states that “the defence is struck out as disclosing no reasonable grounds for defending the claim, also it is not signed by anyone.” If this comment refers to the hand written notice(s) previously sent by me, how can it have been a defence if it did not come from the defendant?

Are you attempting to identify me, a peaceful inhabitant, by that legal name without my consent? If so from where do you derive the legal or lawful authority to do so?

If I have meddled or acted dishonourably in any way, I ask for your forgiveness and request that you inform me of the offending act so that I may refrain from future dishonours.

In peace

Your friend and peaceful inhabitant

Care of: xxxx

It is now approaching two months since this first hand knowledge was presented and there has been no further communication from HMCS regarding this matter. No further communication has been sent to the name from the finance company either which suggests that in addition to the lack of enforcement against anything we are using, the matter may have been properly settled by the liable party. This situation has been a lesson to me in the power of first hand knowledge when presented face to face and the futility of mailing notices, especially when dealing with the court system. It also serves as a warning against using defensive or accusatory language when presenting yourself as a peaceful inhabitant – we must not contradict ourselves.

The primary reason for sharing this particular story is to show that even though it was not possible to correct the mistake in this instance in the presence of the judge as is usually required, it appears that notice to agent really is notice to principal, provided that notice is presented face to face.

Recent Endeavours

Whilst other situations come and go in respect of past liabilities in the name, I will not go into too much detail as the processes are much the same as those described above, and the number of people who have just given up even trying increases every day. The fact is that we have paid little mind to these things of late, other than simply doing our bit if a mistake needs correcting.

Following the court case our focus drifted to the future. By what means would we exercise our choice to stand under natural law and freely use the fruits of the earth? There appeared to us to be two options, neither of which ought to be judged above the other:

1. Create a remedy for ourselves through necessity, by whatever means necessary, that allowed us to continue using the name when engaging with the system; perhaps a sort of blank cheque/card arrangement with an agreement with the Crown addressing the relationship between us and the names, such that we would be left in peace by the system and its agents whom would no longer seek to impose its 'positive law' upon us, or
2. Withdraw entirely from the world of commerce, distance ourselves from the name completely, and return to source everything that is fictional, anything relating to the names, money etc. Embrace natural law in its entirety and rid ourselves completely of any dependence on the commercial world for our needs.

The former is discussed in more detail in the Addendum, but for our part we have, for now at least, chosen the latter. We do not rule out the former path in the future, but if such a remedy as the former describes does manifest in our lives it will not be because we have gone and created it for ourselves through mind/ego guided action (which in my opinion was the only way to 'make' that happen), it will be because the natural creation process has provided it in accordance with our highest purpose. For this reason we are not presently seeking that form of remedy, at least not until our motive for doing so is in accordance with our own truths. However we are aware that we may not yet have properly corrected the record at source to allow us to peacefully proceed about our lives without any temporary hindrances from the systems agents, and this is something that is very high on our current agenda.

That aside, from day one this whole path to peace has resonated with us because it champions a natural approach to both life and law; and the natural values it upheld were in complete accordance with our long held dreams for a more natural lifestyle. In addition the latter approach embraced more fully our spiritual ideals and we considered it to provide a

much more conducive arena to enhance the spiritual growth we both sought to manifest in our lives, providing greater opportunity to express ourselves in this way.

With this in mind we set about cleansing our lives of everything that was not real. Bonfire night became the arena for our 'person burning' event, we invited friends to come and join us and we experienced a very 'enlightening' evening as more and more of the fictional weight of the past was forever removed from our lives. Using a fire bin it was only possible to burn at a certain pace and it actually took almost 24 hours over two days to burn everything in the house relating to the names. Until we undertook this exercise we had not fully appreciated the volume of fiction holding us down and invading our lives (it is for this reason that I suggested earlier that the copying of notices we have sent was a pointless exercise).

There were a couple of things left in the names which we hadn't burnt, specifically because we would take the opportunity to make a gesture of our intent to the Crown and let them know more fully our position and relationship (or lack of) to the names. Accordingly the driving licenses, passports, national insurance cards and bank accounts we each surrendered back to the Crown with the following hand written covering letter:

In the matter of [NAME]; National Insurance Number [NUMBER]

There has been a mistake.

I have spent the majority of my life believing that I was a person represented by the birth certificate containing the name [NAME] and that somehow that piece of paper, bearing the words Crown Copyright, was evidence of my identity. I now understand this is not the case. This was a mistake on my part; a mistake which I am now taking steps to rectify.

Having realised this, and being an honourable man, I do not wish to create any further controversy by incorrectly operating or claiming property which belongs to another.

I am a peaceful inhabitant and a living flesh and blood man. I no longer use the birth certificate for personal benefit or gain.

As the name used on the enclosed documentation is Crown Copyright, I return the same to you as the Freedom of Information Policy Scheme states that your department should be contacted concerning matters of Crown Copyright.

There are two accounts in the name of [NAME] containing funds which I hereby return to the appropriate OPSI/Treasury official, or to whosoever should receive and account for it that a full return is made to source. The details of said accounts are as follows:

[BANK] Account: [NUMBER]

[BANK] Account: [NUMBER]

There are further items in the name of [NAME] also enclosed. Please deal with these items as you deem fit and appropriate:

Driving Licence - card

Driving License - paper part

National Insurance Numbercard

Passport

If there is no law that requires a man to accumulate, spend, trade, hoard or otherwise use Bank of England promissory notes or any credit based derivative thereof, I hereby request that any and all money, income, funds, proceeds, benefits, etc., payable to "the name" from any and all sources be paid directly to and credited to the appropriate OPSI/Treasury official, or to whosoever should receive and account for it that a full return is made to source. You may, if you wish, provide an account number and reference that I may provide to any third party wishing to make such a payment to "the name."

It was acknowledged by District Judge xxxx of HMCS at xxxx County Court on xxxx 2010 that I, a peaceful inhabitant, am not [NAME], and the original certified copy birth certificate for that person was surrendered to Judge xxxx, in his capacity as Crown agent, at the hearing.

Having already sought legal advice on this matter, and to no avail, I would be grateful if you would refrain from advising me to do the same.

If I appear to act in dishonour or meddle, can you forgive me and point out the offending action so, if possible, I may avoid these mistakes in the future?

One's trust is in an honourable settlement of this matter. Should there be any way I can assist you further, please do not hesitate to contact me. Please address any correspondence to friend or peaceful inhabitant.

With Sincerity and Honour

Your friend and peaceful inhabitant

Care of: xxxx

We chose to send the letter to the Office for Public Sector Information (OPSI) upon the suggestion of a friend whose excellent research had discovered that they are the department responsible for matters concerning Crown Copyright. As we were in the process of returning Crown Copyright information (the name), they seemed appropriate.

We expected no response to this letter, but a couple of weeks later one came to each of us. They had been sent by Royal Mail “signed for” delivery and as they had been mistakenly signed for I returned them to the local Royal Mail sorting office and let them know about the mistake. A couple of days later the same letters had been returned in new envelopes addressed in quotation marks to “friend and peaceful inhabitant.” Perhaps this gesture was nothing more than a sarcastic attempt at denigrating us by some office junior, or perhaps their use of quotation marks simply denotes the “unusual” circumstances, but nonetheless we have had our status formally acknowledged by a Crown department on a National Archives (the governing department for OPSI) headed envelope. We were relatively underwhelmed by this experience because we pay little mind to pieces of paper anymore, but you never know, they may come in useful one day.

One possible imminent use, should the need arise, would be to use it as further proof that we are not occupiers. Since the Hague and Geneva Conventions clearly show belligerents/combatants and peaceful inhabitants have been separated in to two distinct categories, and in order for a force to be in occupation it must necessarily be a belligerent one, thus this simple acknowledgement of our status as peaceful inhabitants is to my mind relatively conclusive. However at the end of the day we are not in the business of proving anything, to do so would be an acknowledgement that we have something to prove – which we don’t, and would also be an acknowledgement of the jurisdiction of the fictional positive law system – which we will not acknowledge. In any event they will know us by our fruits, thus I consider our actions to be somewhat more important than their words.

Having now surrendered the name and all its representations back to the Crown we now simply forward all mail sent to the names straight to OPSI (unless of course there is a specific reason for not doing).

Much of the rest of our recent endeavours have been spiritually focussed, the key issue for us was how to make the transition to a life without money. Following the bailiff experience approximately five months ago we were left with little money, which did end up lasting a little longer than expected. In light of our earlier realisations about the nature of the creative process and the joys of service to others, we were no longer able to accept payment for our labour/services and so everything we required to survive could no longer come from purchasing things.

We had been continuing to pay for the telephone line and internet service because we considered it a necessity to the path we were following, however following the bailiff experience we cancelled the direct debits (this is just prior to returning bank accounts to OPSI) and as expected both the internet service and the telephone line were cut off. We used a dongle as a temporary measure but knew that was not a sustainable solution as credit was still required. Approximately three weeks later, whilst I was using the dongle, my wife wanted to use the internet and had checked for a wireless network that she could use. What she found was that the original internet service appeared to be back up and running,

but on further investigation it was not just the wireless laptop that was functioning but the entire network, including two desktops with no wireless capability. On checking we found the phone line to be completely dead and to this day we have no idea how this has happened. Not wanting to undermine the process we simply accepted and gave thanks for what appeared to be a rather marvellous gift.

Just as we were down to our last few pounds I had a chance meeting with a lovely and peaceful man with whom I exchanged contact information. A week or so later he had been inspired to contact me to share some of his own recent experiences and we were talking about the fact that he needed to find somewhere to live. Whilst he had a few offers, he wasn't quite sure what to do. We invited him to come and stay for a week or two whilst he decided what he would like to do and we discovered once he had arrived that he was also making the transition to the life without money and remarkably had the same few pounds left that we had. On further discovering all that we had in common he is now with us on a more permanent basis. The skills we each possess perfectly complement each other in really making this transition work. Over the last month or so the creative process has been in full swing, without boring you with the details, there is more food in the house than there has been at any time in our four years here, if we need to go somewhere far away, the means by which to get there just appears, and a synchronistically provided bike is now also a fixture. If some action is required to make any of these things happen someone comes to us at just the right time to provide the inspiration.

Dozens of other people have come in to our lives at just the right time to provide just the right thing, or point us in just the right direction. Where food or other necessities come from is no longer something we really concern ourselves with. Our faith in the creative process is becoming an ever strengthening 'knowing,' and the only participation required on our part is to give thanks for a life more abundant and to give freely in everything we do. What could be easier and more pleasurable than that?

These stories are just a small section of the endless synchronistic events that our lives are now blessed with and I share them simply to show just a small part of what can happen when we stop getting in the way of the creative process and reign back our ego's self preservation/protection mode long enough to just allow the basic laws of existence to do their work. Is it really possible to be at peace with ourselves until we can step off the self protection hamster wheel? If we can't be at peace with ourselves, how will we be able to be at peace with the system, or for that matter any of our brothers and sisters within and without it?

I am however aware that this approach of relying on the universe to fulfil our every need, although very beautiful and fulfilling, is to some degree a temporary refuge and that at some point, most probably very soon, a further stand is required in order to fully live in accordance with the principles described in these e-books; it will be necessary to openly exercise free use of the earth's fruits regardless of corporate consequence – especially now

that I deem my motive for such action to be in accordance with my highest purpose and not purely the active seeking of 'remedy.' When that time comes, the experiences will likewise be shared.

This whole journey has left many of our relationships with family and friends a little battered and bruised, but that was no excuse for not following our consciences and doing the right thing for us, and we believe, for mankind in general. At the very least it has shown who our true friends are, and family bonds will no doubt be strengthened again in the future.

We have by no means completed our 'mission,' we each still have some 'issues' to deal with, the mail continues to come through the door, there are still some restrictions on us (though we now realise these restrictions are self imposed), there are bridges to build, and people to love, but if we can just remain focussed on 'now' it will no doubt continue to fall into place nicely.

"There we are, there's nothing else to be done" Secret of Moonacre

The future is bright my friends, it's gonna be one hell of a ride!

I love you

Don't forget, there are many others out there choosing to live their own truths along the same or similar paths to that outlined in these e-books, for some support, direction, or just a little like minded company, come and join us at <http://peacefulinhabitants.socialgo.com>