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## PREFACE.

The immediate aim of this treatise is to familiarize the student with the earlier and later forms of the Latin verb, and the method by which the latter have been corrupted from the former. It is not in the power of the Latin, however, to expound itself. Latin scholarship may state the facts, but it camot give the philosophy, of Latin formation. The superficial analysis of the verb amo, for example, in the first person plural of the present subjunctive active would be, - root, am; connecting vowel, e; personal ending, mus. An acquaintance with Latin, however thorough, will not, without assistance from the cognate languages, discover in that connective $e$ a union of a with $i$, the former a corruption of aja, the affix of conjugation, and the latter, like the iota of the Greek, the modal sign of the optative. We have preferred, therefore, to explain the Latin system of conjugation by reference to the Sanskrit, not at all because the Sanskrit is the progenitor of the Latin, but because it most fully retains the forms belonging to the parent language of the entire IndoEuropean family, and as such offers to us the proximate original, from which the later Latin derivatives are corrupted.

The mediate aim of these pages is to introduce the pupil to the study of Comparative Grammar, - a science which is engaging the energies of the profoundest scholars of Germany, and one which, though failing to satisfy the utilitarian tendencies of the age, is yet rich in promise to the less ambitious few, with whom research and discovery are their own sufficient and abundant reward.

We have not assumed on the part of the student any acquaintance with either the Sanskrit or the Greek. As a necessary consequence, however, of the sisterly relation existing between the Greek and Latin, one familiar with both cannot, we believe, fail to discover in our discussion of the Latin the solution of many problems in Greek formation.

In the preparation of this Manual we have taken as our guide the writings of Professors Bopp and Schleicher. As respects the content of the "Analysis" we lay no claim to originality, its primary object being to give the best approved results of German research, in a form convenient and intelligible to the English reader. Many of the conclusions attained rest rather upon probable than upon demonstrative evidence. Care has been taken in each instance to put these conclusions in no more positive form than that with which they are enunciated by the authorities we follow.

We are happy to acknowledge our indebtedness to Professor Greenough, of Harvard College, for his examination of the manuscript, and for valuable suggestions and criticisms.

Conscious of the imperfection of the work both in form and content, we submit it to the public with the hope that it may not be altogether without its influence in quickening the spirit of linguistic inquiry, and that its perusal may serve to reproduce in the case of some earnest student, something of the pleasure experienced by the author in the course of its preparation.

Williston Seminary, Feb. 24, 1870.


## - ANALYSIS OF THE VERB.

1. The oldest form of a word is called its Root.
2. Roots are of two kinds, Pronominal and Verbal. From pronominal roots are developed pronouns and particles; from verbal roots, verbs and nouns (substantive and adjective).
3. Inflection is the union of pronominal with verbal roots. When so combined as to denote relations of gender, number, and case, the process is termed Declension. When so combined as to denote relations of voice, mood, tense, number, and person, the process is called Conjugation.
4. Etymological analysis is the converse of inflection, and consists in resolving a verb or noun into its ultimate verbal and pronominal elements.

5 The analysis of the verb consists in separating from the root those pronominal accretions which mark distinetions in conjugation, and serve to denote relations of voice, mood, tense, number, and person.

## The Latin Alpiabet.

Note. - As preliminary to the analysis proper, sections 6-49 will be devoted to a consideration of some of the more general euphonic and emphatic changes employed in verbal formation.

## (a.) Vowels.

6. The relative value of the vowels will be best determined by giving to them the sounds with which they are pronounced in the continental languages of Europe: viz., a, as in father, man; e, as in they, met; $\mathbf{i}$, as in machine, him ; $\mathbf{o}$, as in no, not $\mathbf{~} \mathbf{u}$, as in rule, full.
7. By comparing these sounds, it will appear that only that of a, $i$, and $u$ is simple, -i.e., produced by the vocal organs in a single
fixed position; while that of $e$ and $o$ is compound, -i.e., in their production the position of the organs is changed.
8. The first element of $o$ is a; the second, $u$ : hence we place $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{o}$. The first element of $\mathbf{e}$ is $\mathbf{a}$; the second, $\mathbf{i}$ : hence we place $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{e}$. An instance wherein e stands in place of an earlier $\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{i}$, will be found, for example, in the present subjunctive of conjugation first; amem for an earlier amain ; amemus for an carlier amaïmus.
9. $u$ and its corresponding semi-vowel $v$ are readily exchangeable, as also $i$ and its semi-vowel $j$. Thus in the perfect of conjugation second we shall find mon-(e)-vi becoming mon-ui; and in the affix of conjugation second, aj changed successively to ai and e.
10. As in the Sanskrit, so also in the Latin, uv sometimes develops itself out of $u$; thus fluo becomes in the perfect fluvsi, whence fluxi.
11. The weight of a vowel is the fulness of tone with which it is enunciated. The order of vowels from heaviest to lightest, ranked according to their weight, is as follows: a, $u, o, e, i$. The Latin everywhere exhibits a tendency to pass from a heavier to a lighter.
12. As regards the verb, this tendeney is particularly noticeable in the formation of reduplicated perfects. Thus fallo, perfect fe-felli for fa-falli; cano, perfect ce-cini for ca-cani; cado, perfect ce-cidi for ca-cadi. Cecini and cecidi also illustrate another tendency in the formation of reduplicates, that of attenuating the perfect more in its radical than in its reduplicate syllable. Thus in place of ca-cani not ce-ceni, but ce-cini ; so ce-cidi instead of ce-cedi, te-tigi for te-tegi.
13. A radical u or o, however, reappears in the perfect, both in its radical and reduplicate syllable. Hence tundo, tu-tudi; posco, po-posci.
14. In like manner verbs in composition often lighten the vowel of the root; e.g., concino for con-cano, assideo for as-sedeo.
15. The tendency of a nasal is to convert the preceding vowel into u; c.g., capiunt for an earlier capiant.
16. The influence of $r$, or of any two consonants, is often to change the preceding $i$ into e; e.g., amaverunt of the perfect indicative for amavirunt, acceptum for acciptum.
17. A final $m$ or $t$ tends to shorten the preceding vowel; c.g., sī-m becomes sim; audiā-m, audiăm; amā-t, amăt; regā-t, regăt.
18. e at the end of a word is usually preferred to $i$; e.g., in the imperative of conjugation third, lege for the regular legi.

## (b.) Consonants.

19. The consonants of the Latin are nineteen; seventeen simple, and two, $\mathbf{x}(=\mathrm{cs})$ and $\mathbf{z}(=\mathrm{ds})$, compound. Consonants produced with a resonance of the vocal cords are termed sonant; those produced without such resonance, surd.
20. As still farther classified according to the method of their generation, the scheme of the Latin consonants is as follows:-

Surds.
Palatals. Labials.

Sonants.

$$
\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{~h} .
$$

$\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{f}$.

b, v.
d.

Nasals. \{m,
21. As a result of inflection, letters sometimes become so combine as to be difficult of pronunciation. The principles according to which such combinations are simplified are called Laws of Euphony. The more general of these which require to be applied in the analysis of the verb are the following : -
22. Before the affix si of the perfect a sonant palatal becomes surd: e.g., aug (augeo) $+\mathrm{si}=\mathrm{auc}+\mathrm{si}$; reg (rego) $+\mathrm{si}=$ rec + si.
23. c , or any other surd palatal converted to c , combines with s to form z , according to 19 : e.g., aus $+\mathrm{si}=$ auzi $; \mathrm{coq}+\mathrm{si}=$ $\mathrm{coc}+\mathrm{si}=\mathrm{coxi} ; \mathrm{veh}+\mathrm{si}=\mathrm{vec}+\mathrm{si}=\mathrm{vexi}$.
24. Exception. Roots ending in a palatal immediately preceded by 1 or $r$ drop the palatal before the affix si: e.g., algeo, alsi; merge, mersi.
25. Before si the labial sonant b is represented by its cognate (id. \&1, b) surd p: e.g., nubo, nupsi; scribo, scripsi.
26. $\mathbf{v}$, through an intermediate conversion to c , combines with $s$ to form $\mathbf{x}$, after the analogy of palatals : e.g., vino, viz $+s i=$ vic $+\mathrm{si}=$ vixi; flo, fluv + si $($ vid. 10) $=\mathrm{fluc}+\mathrm{si}=$ flux.
27. Roots in $m$ optionally insert $p$ before the affix si: e.g., como, com-p-si or comsi; sumo, sum-p-si or sumsi.
28. Lingual roots in $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{t}$, and r , cannot directly coalesce with the affix $s$. Such succession of consonants may be prevented (a) by dropping the characteristic: e.g., ardsi from ardeo becomes arsi; sentsi from sentio, sensi; hærsi from hæreo, hæsi; (b) by dropping the s: e.g., prandsi from prando becomes prandi; vertsi from verto, verti; (c) by assimilating (vid. 41, c) the characteristic to the affix: e.g., cedo, cedsi, cessi; quatio, quatsi, quassi; gero, gersi, gessi.
29. Before the affix $t$, the sonant palatal $g$, and the surd palatals $q$ and $h$, are changed to $c:$ e.g., jungo, jungtum, junctum; coquo, coqtum, coctum; veho, vehtum, vectum.
30. Exception. Roots ending in a palatal immediately preceded by 1 or r commonly affix t (frequently converted into s , its associate lingual surd) with the elision of the palatal: e.g., fulc (fulcio) becomes in the supine fultum; sarc (sarcio) becomes sartum; mulceo gives mulsum; tergeo, tersum.
31. Before the labial sonant $b$ is represented by its cognate surd p: e.g., glubo, glubtum, gluptum; nubo, nubtum, nuptum.
32. The harshness of the combination vt may be relieved (a) by the conversion of $v$ into $u$ : e.g., cautum for cavtum, from caveo; volutum for volvtum, from volvo; (b) by the elision of $v$ : e.g., motum for movtum, from moveo; (c) by the conversion of $v$ into $c$ (conf. 26) : e.g., victum for vivtum, from vivo; fructum for fruvtum, from fruor.
33. Roots in $m$ optionally insert $p$ before the affix $t: c . g$. , demo gives dem-p-tum or demtum: emo, em-p-tum or emtum.
34. Lingual roots in $\mathbf{d}$ and t convert the affix t into $\mathbf{s}$, and either drop or assimilate the characteristic: e.g., arsum for ardsum, from ardeo; cessum for cedsum, from cedo; versum for vertsum, from verto : messum for metsum, from meto.
35. Lingual roots in $r$ sometimes receive the affix $t$ without modification, but commonly either (a) change the characteristic to $s$ and retain the affix, or (b) change the affix to $s$ and retain the characteristic. Examples of these three methods of formation are, -pario, partum; gero, gestum ; curro, cursum.
36. Final s preceded by a vowel, and medial s between two vowels, is nsually changed to r : e.g., amor from amo +s , present indicative passive; eram for esam; regerem for regesem.
37. A few verbs strengthen some or all of their parts by prefixing or affixing $n$ to the characteristic: e.g., ju-n-go, from roct jug; fi-n-do, from root fid; ster-n-o from root ster or stra.
38. A few verbs, mostly inchoatives, are formed by affixing to the root, in the present system, the letters sc with a connective. When formed from verbs, the connective is the same as the conjugational affix of the primitive. Those derived from adjectives insert e: e.g., inveter-a-sc-o from inveterare; flor-e-sc-o from florēre; ingem-i-sc-o from ingemĕre; obdorm-i-sc-o from obdormīe; moll-e-sc-o from mollis.
39. In rare instances the use of sc is not limited to the present system, and in a few cases no comnective is employed: e.g., po-sc-o, po-po-sc-i; di-sc-o. In both of these instances the vowel is radical. Vid. "Anomalous Formations."
40. Assinilation. This term, as commonly employed, embraces a class of euphonic changes, differing not at all in their spirit from another and much larger class, to which no special denomination is applied. We should prefer, therefore, to define assimilation as the accommodation of one letter to the eharacter of a concurrent letter, without at all limiting it to the case in which the assimilated letter becomes a simple reduplieate; for precisely the same influence which converts $\mathbf{s}$ into 1 in vellem (for velsem) is also operative in the conversion of $g$ into $c$ in rectum (for reg-tum).
41. As employed in this broader sense, assimilation admits of three cases: ( $\alpha$ ) in which, under the influence of the assimilating letter, a sonant becomes surd, or a surd sonant; (b) in which one consonant makes its concurrent letter cognate (i.e., of the same class, either palatal, labial, lingual, or nasal); (c) in which the assimilated letter becomes a simple reduplicate. Mlustrations of these three eases, are, respectively, -ges-tum for ger-tum, ru-m-po for ru-n-po, quas-si for quat-si.

Note. - Exceptional verbs, not conformable to the rules above stated, will be considered under "Anomalous Formations."

## Reduplication.

42. Reduplication consists in prefixing to a root its vowel and initral consonant (for the attenuation of the vowel, vid. 11 and 12), and occurs -
43. (A.) In the formation of a few perfects: e.g., tundo (root, tud) becomes, in the perfect, tu-tudi; mordeo becomes momordi; the root man, to think, makes, in the perfect, memini, to remember.
44. Remark 1. Two roots, sta (from sto, stare) and spond (from spondeo), prefix the first two consonants, dropping, however, the initial from the radical syllable. Thus, ste-ti for stesti, spo-pondi for spo-spondi.
45. Remari 2. If the root begins with a vowel, the initial only is reduplicated; c.g., ago, perfect a-agi, which becomes (by 12) a-igi, (by 8) egi.
46. The Latin contains a number of concealed reduplicates, whose later form is the result of syncope and contraction; e.g., capio (root, cap) made its perfect originally ca-capi, which became successively ca-cipi, ca-ipi, cepi.
47. A few eases occur in which perfects originally reduplicated have lost the initial syllable; e.g., turli (from tollo) for the earlier te-tŭli, fidi for the earlier fi-fĭdi.
48. In composition with prepositions, the reduplicate syllable is commonly omitted: e.g., con-tingo, con-tigi ; per-curro makes per-curri, or per-cu-curri.
49. (B.) Rarely in the formation of the present. Examples are: gi-gno (for gi-geno), root gen; si-sto, root sta.

## Conjugational Affines.

50. The conjugational system of the Latin is not the product of Italian soil, but an inheritance received from the progenitor of the Indo-European family of languages, and will be best explained by reference to the Sanskrit, the oldest and fairest representative of that family. Indian grammarians classify Sanskrit verbs into ten conjugations, according to the changes which the roots experience in inflection. Of these, the first, for example, lengthens its radical vowel by combining it with a, and to the root thus strengthened affixes a, before adding the personal terminations. Thus, budh, to hinow, by the insertion of a becomes baudh $=(\mathrm{by}$ 8) bodh, and, by affixing a, bodha. By uniting with this base the termination ti, of the third person singular, there appears the form bodhati=he knows. Again, the tenth conjugation lengthens its radical vowel, and to the root thus strengthened affixes aja,*

[^0]before adding the personal endings. Thus, kăm, to love, becomes successively kām, kām-aja, kām-aja-ti = he loves. This Sanskrit tenth conjugation is the archetype of the Latin first, second, and fourth, the affix aja being variously reduced to the forms $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{e}, \overline{\mathbf{1}}$, the characteristics of these conjugations respectively.
51. Remirk. This aja is probably an expansion of the root $\mathbf{i}$, to go. KKām-aja-ti may therefore be literally rendered he goes to love. Compare with this the use of such expressions in the Latin as ire ad gaudium, ire ad cogitationem ; also, the employment of this same verb ire in conjunction with the supine, to form the future infinitive passive ; e.g., amatum iri.

## Conjugation First.

52. In this conjugation, the semi-vowel $\mathbf{j}$ of the affix aja is syncopated ; and the two a's combine to form ā long. The Latin amo has lost an initial palatal, - $\mathbf{k}$, - and is radically identical with the Sanskrit kam, mentioned above. To verify our explanation of the a characterizing the first Latin conjugation, we place side by side the inflection of kam and amo, in a part of the present active indicative forms.

SANSKRIT. Latin.

| 2D | Sing. | kam-aja-si | (k)am-a-s(i) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3D | Sing. | kam-aja-ti | (k)am-a-t(i) |
| 1st | Plur. | kam-aja-mas | (k)am-a-mus |
| 3D | Plur. | kam-aja-nti | (k)am-a-nt(i) |

The only parts of the verb amo, in which, as respects the conjugational affix, the actual seems not to be in accord with the theoretical form, are the first person singular, present indicative active, which will be explained at 123 ; and the present subjunctive, which will be explained at 85 .

## Conjugation Second.

53. In this conjugation, the second a of the affix aja is clided, the semi-rowel $\mathbf{j}$ changed to its corresponding full vowel $i$, and the resulting form ai passes into e (by 8). That the e of the second conjugation, like the a of the first, is derived from the old affix aja, appears on comparing the inflection of the Sanskrit man with the Latin verb moneo, of the same root.

SANSkRit.
2D Sing. man-aja-si
3D Sing. manl-aja-ti
1st Plur. man-aja-mas
3D Plur. man-aja-nti

Latin.
mon-e-s(i)
mon-e-t(i)
mon-e-mus
mon-e-nt(i)

The full form of the perfect and supine would be mon-e-vi, mon-e-tum. For the method by which these have been abbreviated to mon-ui, mon-i-tum, and for the explanation of the first person singular, present indicative active, vid. 125.

## Conjugation Fourtif.

54. Considering next the fourth conjugation (as its affix i has the same origin as the a of the first, and the $\mathbf{e}$ of the second), we find that in this the first a of aja becomes $i$, the semi-vowel is changed to its corresponding vowel $\mathbf{i}$, and the two $i$ 's combine to form $\bar{i}$ long. The second a is generally dropped: the parts of the verb in which it is retained and changed will be considered at $\mathbf{1 2 6}$. That the $\boldsymbol{i}$ of the Latin is sprung from the Sanskrit aja appears, on comparing the inflection of the Sanskrit svap (conjugated as a causal) with that of the identical Latin root sop.

Sanskrit.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { 2D } & \text { Sing. } & \text { svap-aja-si } \\
\text { 3D } & \text { Sing. } & \text { svap-aja-ti } \\
\text { 1ST } & \text { PLUR. } & \text { svap-aja-mas } \\
\text { 3D } & \text { PlUR. } & \text { svap-aja-nti }
\end{array}
$$

## Latin.

sop-i-s(i)
sop-i-t(i)
sop-i-mus
sop-iu-nt(i)

The third person plural - sop-iu-nt - is one of the forms in which the second a of aja has been retained, and changed to $u$.

## Conjugation Third.

55. Two classes of verbs, which may be represented respectively by veho and capio, with characteristic vowels distinct in their origin, have been, by grammarians, referred to the third conjugation.
56. The Sanskrit first conjugation affixes to the root simple a, and from this a comes the $\mathbf{i}$, which characterizes verbs like veho;
as, in the forms veh-i-s, veh-i-t, \&c. The parts in which a is not affixed, or is changed into another vowel than $i$, will be considered at 127. For the relation of the Sanskrit a to the Latin $i$, compare the inflection of vah (first Sanskrit conjugation), and veho (root, veh) : -

Sanskrit.

| 2d | Sing. | vah-a-si |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3D Sing. | vah-a-ti |  |
| 1st Plur. | vah-a-mas |  |
| 3D | Plur. | vah-a-nti |

## Latin.

veh-i-s(i)
veh-i-t(i)
veh-i-mus
veh-u-nt(i)

The third person plural is one of the forms in which a has been changed, not into $i$, but into $u$, giving veh-u-nt in place of veh-i-nt.
57. The Sanskrit fourth conjugation adds ja to the root, and to this ja is to be referred the affix of the so-called "Verbs in io, of Conjugation Third." The $\mathbf{j}$ of this $\mathbf{j a}$ is changed to $\mathbf{i}$, the $\mathbf{a}$ is often dropped. The parts of the verb in which it is retained and changed will be considered at 128. We subjoin a specimen of the probable earlier and later inflection of capio:-

| 2d Sing. | cap-ja-si $=$ cap-i(a)-s | ap-i-s |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3d Sing. | cap-ja-ti $=$ cap-i(a)-t | = cap-i-t |
| $1 \mathrm{st} \mathrm{Plur}$. | ap-ja-mas $=$ cap-i $(\mathrm{a})$ | cap-i-mus |
| Plu | cap-ja-nti $=$ cap-ia- | = cap |

## Irregular Verbs.

58. Beside those verbs that are classified into conjugations, there are, in the Latin, a few known as irregular. Irregular verbs are such as add the personal affixes to the root without an intermediate vowel, and correspond to the Sanskrit second conjugation. They are of two kinds : -
59. (A.) Irregular verbs, whose root ends in a vowel. These are: do, dăre (root, dă); for, fāri (root, fā); flo, flāre (root, flā); no, nāre (root, nā); sto, stāre (root, stā); in-quam (root, qua) ; eo, ire (root, i). Of these, all except eo and inquam are, from their resemblance to the first conjugation, usually classified with that, -improperly, however, for the a of these verbs
is not derived from the affix aga, but constitutes a part of the root; and do, for example, is to be analyzed, -
not but
Root. Conj. Af. Perl. Af.

| 2d | Sing. | d | a | s |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sd | Sing. | d | a | t |
| Mst | Plur. | d | a | mus |
| Sd | Plur. | d | a | nt |

Root. Peri. Af.

| da | $s$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| da | $t$ |
| da | mus |
| da | nt |

60. (B.) Irregular verbs, whose root ends in a consonant. These are: edo, root ed; vole, root vol; fero, root fer; sum, esse, root es. We subjoin the parallel inflection of the Sanskrit (second conjugation) root ad, to eat, and the identical Latin root ed, giving, in each instance, first the regular, then the euphonic form : -

Sanskrit.

| 2D Sing. | ad-si (at-si) | eds (es) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sd Sing. | ad-ti (at-ti) | edt (es-t) |
| Mst Plur. | ad-mas | ed-mus (ed-(i)-mus) |
| 2d Plur. | ad-tha (at-tha) | ed-tis (es-tis) |

By the side of es, est, \&c., are found also edis, edit. But it is not to be so understood that es is a contraction of edis: es or eds is the original form, from which, by the insertion of a vowel (i), after the analogy of the conjugations, has been formed the later edis. To the same influence of analogy is to be referred the very general use of a connecting vowel, in forming the parts of fere and vole.

## Affixes of Voice.

61. The active was the voice earliest developed, and therefore contains, in its inflection, no element by which it is specially characterized. The Latin passive is a modification of the active, and is formed by affixing to the latter the accusative of the reflexive pronoun se, or its initial s. This min is limited to the present system, and is attended with various euphonic changes, as follows: -
62. Indicative and Subjunctive. The affix $s$ is changed to $r$ in all forms (by 36), except that of the second person singular; e.g., amo $+\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{amo}+\mathrm{r}=$ amor.
63. Final m , and final s of the first person plural, of the active forms, are dropped before the appended s: e.g., amabam $+s=$ amaba $+\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{amaba}+\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{by} 62)=$ amabar; audiremus +s $=\mathrm{audiremu}+\mathrm{s}=$ audiremu $+\mathrm{r}=$ audiremur.
64. Active forms terminating in a consonant, except those mentioned under 63, affix $s$ with a connecting vowel. This vowel, in the sceond singular, is $i$; in other cases, $u$; e.g., regeret $+\mathrm{s}=$ regeret $+u+s=$ regeret $+u+r=$ regeretur.
65. In the second person singular, final $s$ of the active becomes r (by 36) ; e.g., capiebas $+\mathrm{s}=(\mathrm{by} 64)$ capiebas $+\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{s}=$ capiebar $+\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{s}=$ capiebaris.
66. i, immediately preceding sfinal of the aetive voice, appears in the passive as e (conf. 65 and 16) : e.g., monebis $+\mathrm{s}=$ (by 64) monebis $+\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{s}=($ by 65) monebir $+\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{s}=$ moneber $+\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{s}=$ moneberis.
67. Note. - The second form of the second singular-amare, amabare, $\mathbb{d c}$. - is simply an abbreviation of the regular amaris, amabaris.
68. Imperative. The only special remark to be made upon the imperative is, that its second person singular adds the full form of the reflexive se to the active voice, with the regular change of s to $\mathrm{r}:$ e.g., $\mathrm{ama}+\mathrm{se}=\mathrm{ama}+\mathrm{re} ;$ cape $+\mathrm{se}=$ cape +re. In other respects, this mood is formed according to the rules given above for the indicative.
69. Infinitive. To form the infinitive, $\mathbf{s}$ (changed to $\mathbf{r}$ ) is added as above, a connecting vowel-e-inserted, and the final e of the active form changed to i. Thus, we have successively, amare, amare-r, amare-er, amari-er. This form amarier, of frequent occurrence in carlier Latin authors, is reduced to the later amari, by the elision of the final syllable. In the third conjugation, the corruption seems to have been carried still farther, and for forms like regi (obtained by elision from regier) may be conjectured an earlier regerier.
70. Remark. We have said that the passive voice is formed from the active by affixing to it the accusative of the reflexive pronoun, or its initial consonant. Exception to this principle is, however, to be taken in the case of the second person plural. The
present indicative - amamini, for instance - cannot be explained as a union of se with the corresponding active amatis. Compare also monebitis with monebimini; regeretis with regeremini. In each instance, there is added to the base of the teuse the ending mini; and this mini, identical in root with the Greek menos, is to be regarded as no other than the nominative plural maseuline of a passive participial affix in minus-a-um. Thus, amaminus, amamina, amaminum ; plural, amamini, amaminae, amamina. Considered as a participle, this form suits the requirements of the place in respect to number and case, and as usually employed in respect to gender; for forms like capti estis are much more frequent than captae estis, while capta estis would be almost impossible. Amamini is, then, to be understood as standing for amamini estis; and the omission of estis must be put upon the same ground as the not infrequent suppression of est and sunt with the existing participle in tus: thus, amatus for amatus est, amati for amati sunt. As confirmatory of the above explanation, it is of interest to notice, in this comnection, traces of the use of this same participle in the singular number; e.g., alumnus (from alo, to cherish) for alu-minus, one that is cherished, hence a fos-ter-child; ter-minus, that which is passed over, from an old Sanskrit root (ter), signifying to go over; so probably geminus for genminus.

## Modal and Temporal Affixes.

We now proceed to review the several tenses of the different moods, considering the affixes, modal or temporal, by which they are respectively characterized.

## Indicative.

71. Present. This form receives no affix to mark either its mood or tense, the personal endings being added directly to the affix of conjugation; e.g., am-a-t, reg-i-mus.
72. Imperfect. This tense receives a temporal affix identical in its origin with the imperfect of the Sanskrit verb to be. We subjoin the inflection of this Sanskrit imperfect in the singular, omitting the augment.
bhav-a-m
bhav-a-s
bhav-a-t $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Dropping from these } \\ \text { forms the aspirate } h, \\ \text { and there remains } \\ \text { respectively, }\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { bav-a-m } \\ \text { bav-a-s } \\ \text { bav-a-t }\end{array}\right.\right.$

These forms are still farther abbreviated by the syncopation of the $\mathbf{v}$, and the union of the two a's, a change identical with that by which aja, the affix of the first conjugation, becomes $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$, and analogous to that by which the Latin mavolo becomes successively ma-olo, mālo. Thus bav-a-m becomes ba-am, bam; bav-a-s becomes ba-as, bas, \&c. Of bam, bas, bat, the letters m, s, t, are the respective personal endings: after the elision of these, there remains the common form ba, which is the temporal affix of the Latin imperfect; e.g.:

| Root. | Conj. Affix. | Temp. AF. | Pers. AF. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| am | $\mathbf{a}$ | ba | $\mathbf{m}$ | $=$ amabam. |
| mon | $\mathbf{e}$ | ba | $\mathbf{t}$ | $=$ monebat. |

73. Future. The conjugations are not in harmony in their method of forming the future. The affix of the third and fourth is strictly a modal one, and will be considered with the present subjunctive. That of the first and second is temporal, and kindred to the ba of the imperfect. The root of the Sanskrit verb to be is bhu, or, dropping the aspiration, bu. If to this root deprived of its vowel be added the Sanskrit future character ja, there results the form bja. We have already seen (vid. 57) that the letters ja, with the personal affixes, added to the root cap give the forms, -

| Singular. | Plural. |
| :--- | :--- |
| cap-io- | cap- i -mus |
| cap- i -s | cap- i -tis |
| cap- i -t | cap-iu-nt |

The inflection of b -ja we should therefore expect would give,

| b-io- | b-i -mus |
| :--- | :--- |
| b- i-s | b-i-tis |
| b- i-t | b-iu-nt |

After syncopating the first of the two vowels in the first singular and third plural, and dropping the personal endings, there
remains the common form bi (first singular, bo; third plural, bu), which is the affix of the future indicative; e.g.:

| Root. | Conj. Af. | Temp. Af. | Pers. Af. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| am | a | bi | mus | $=$ amabimus. |
| mon | c | bi | tis | $=$ monebitis. |

74. Perfect. In considering the temporal sign of the perfect, verbs may be divided into three elasses. To the first class belong those which form the tense by reduplication (vid. 42-49). They are the following : -

| ago | * frango | * posco |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cado | * jacio | $*$ pungo |
| * cædo | memini | $*$ scindo |
| cano | mordeo | $*$ sisto |
| * capio | p pango | spondeo |
| cello (obsolete) | parco | $*$ sto |
| curro | pario | $*$ tango |
| * disco | pedo | * tendo |
| * do | pello | tondeo |
| * facio | pendeo | * tollo |
| fallo | pendo | $*$ tundo |
| * findo |  |  |

75. To the second class belong verbs which employ in the perfect the affix $u$ (after vowels convertible into $v$ ). The explanation of this letter is found in the perfect of the same Sanskrit root bhu, to $b e$, whose imperfect and future have furnished respectively the affixes ba and bi. The base of the Sanskrit perfect is ba-bhuv. If from this form there be dropped the reduplication (conf. fidi from an earlier fi-fidi; tŭli from an earlier te-tŭli), there remains bhuv. Now the Sanskrit bh is the equivalent of the Latin f. Conf. Sanskrit bhar, to carry, with fer-o: bhuj, to turn, with fug-io. With the substitution of f for bh, bhuv becomes fuv, or, with the connecting vowel i, fuvi, - a form actually occurring in the earlier Latin authors, as the perfect of sum. From this by syncopation is derived the

[^1]later fui. In the word pos-sum for pot-sum, pot (for potis, able) is purely an adjective element; hence, in the perfect pot-ui, the verbal force must reside wholly in .the ui. As the present of possum is compounded of potis and the present of sum, we should have expected, as the perfect of the same verb, pot-fui; i.e., potis + the perfect of sum. As then ui is verbal in its character, as the actual pot-ui so far coincides with the conjectural pot-fui, and as there is no other primitive with which ui admits of being associated, we shall be justified in identifying it with fui, and in recognizing this $u$ ( $i$ is simply connective) as the old ba-bhuv carried to the utmost limit of abbreviation. To the same origin must of course be referred the $u$ (or $v$ ) characterizing the perfect tense of other verbs; e.g.:

| Root. | Conj. Af. Temp. Af | Con. Vow. | Pers. Af. |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| am | a | $\mathbf{v}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | t | $=$ amavit. |
| mon | (wanting) | $\mathbf{u}$ | $\mathbf{i}$ | t | $=$ monuit. |
| aud | i | v | $\mathbf{i}$ | mus | $=$ audivimus. |

76. To the third class belong verbs which in the perfect add to the root the affix s. The Latin verb to be employs in its conjugation two roots; fu, already considered, and es (Sanskrit as). From the latter is formed, among other tenses, the imperfect esam (later eram, by 36). Identical with esam is the Sanskrit āsam. Now to form the tense corresponding to the Latin perfect in s, the Sanskrit adds to the root the several numbers and persons of āsam. It may therefore with considerable confidence be conjectured that the Latin esam originally rendered the same service, and that to this, as its origin, is to be referred the affix s under consideration. Examples of perfects in s are:-

Root. Temp. Af. Con. Vow. Pers. Af.

| reg | s | $\mathbf{i}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ | $=$ rexit. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| nub | s | $\mathbf{i}$ | mus | $=($ by 25$)$ nupsimus. |

77. Instances occur in which the last two modes of designating the perfect are combined: e.g., met $+\mathbf{s}+\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{i}=(b y 28, c)$ messui; nect $+\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{i}=($ by 28, a) $\mathrm{nec}+\mathrm{s}+\mathrm{u}+\mathrm{i}=$ (by 23) nexui.
78. Aside from the three classes specified above, there remains a large number from which all trace of an original prefix or affix has disappeared, save, perhaps, in the changed quantity of the radical vowel, - e.g., vīdi from root vĭd; lēgi from root lĕg, where the lengthening of the vowel may be regarded as compensation for the syncopation of a consonant, or the aphæresis * of the reduplicate syllable. It is to be supposed that all Latin verbs, certainly all which had an existence in the earlier period of the language, originally designated the perfect by some special charaeteristic. In its loss we only see carried one step farther the process of corruption by which ba-bhuv has been reduced to $\mathbf{u}$, and esam to $\mathbf{s}$.
79. Pluperfect. This tense is formed by adding to the base of the perfect, the imperfect of the verb sum. Thus rex (for reg+s)-erat, amav-eram, ce-cin-eratis. In the first of these examples, the root reg expresses abstractly the idea of "ruling." The form reg $+\mathbf{s}$ expresses concretely the idea of " having ruled; " and the form reg $+s+$ era $+t$, with its double temporal and single personal limitation, reduces the generic conception "ruling " to the speeific thought "he was having ruled," i.e., "he had ruled."
80. Future Perfect. The future perfect adds to the base of the perfect the future of sum; e.g., amav-ero, rex-ero, ce-cin-ero.
81. There oceurs also an old future perfect in so, formed by adding to the base of the perfect eso (later ero; vid. "Table I."), with the aphæresis of e: e.g., amas-so, by assimilation for amav-so: habes-sit for habev-sit; cap-so, probably for ca-cap-so; faxo (fac-so) for fa-faxo. Vid. capio and facio under "Anomalous Formations."

## Subjunctive.

82. Present. The Latin Subjunctive, though known as a single mood, in reality comprises two, which are distinct in origin, and may be designated the Conjunetive and Optative.
83. To the conjunctive belongs the present subjunctive of conjugations second, third, and fourth. Its modal affix is a.
[^2]The full form of moneo, in the present subjunctive, first plural, will therefore be: -

| Root. | Cons. AF. | Mod. AF. | Pers. Af. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mon | aja | a | mus |
| (changing aj to e by 53), |  |  |  |
| mon | ea | a | mus |

or (combining the a's of the conjugational and modal affixes into $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ long)
mon
e
$\overline{\mathbf{a}} \quad$ mus $=$ moneāmus.

The full form of rego, present subjunctive, first plural, would in like manner be : -
Root. Conj. Af. Mod. Af. Pers. Af.
reg
a
a
mus
or (combining the two a's into $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ long),
reg $\overline{\mathbf{a}} \quad$ mus $=$ regāmus.

In the same manner, the present subjunctive, first person plural, of audio, would be : -
Rоот.
aud

> Conj. Af. aja
Mod. AF.
a
Pers. Af.
mus
or (changing aj into i by 54),
aud
ia
a
mus
or (combining the a's of the conjugational and modal affixes into à long),
aud i $\quad \bar{a} \quad$ mus =audiāmus.
84. As conjunctives are to be reckoned, also, such forms as creduam, perduam, in which a is the modal affix, and $u$ the attenuation of the radical vowel of the root da. Vid. do under "Anomalous Formations;" also 59.
85. To the optative belongs the present subjunctive of conjugation first, and the future indicative of conjugations third and
fourth. The modal affix is ja. The full form of amo, in the present subjunctive, third person plural, would therefore be :-

| Root. Conj. Af. | Mod. Af. | Pers. Af. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am | aja | ja | nt |

or (converting aja into a by 52, and dropping the a of ja),
am a jor
or (changing j to i by 9 , and combining ai to form e by 8 ),

```
am
©
\(\mathrm{nt}=\mathrm{ament}\).
```

By a like process is obtained the later form of rego, future indicative, third plural.

| Root. | Conj. AF. | Mod. AF. | Pers. AF. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reg | a | ja | nt |

or (by the elision of the modal $a$, the conversion of $j$ into $i$, and the union of a with i),
reg

The full form of audio, future indicative, third plural, would in like manner be :-

| Root. | Conj. Af. | Mod. Af. | Pers. Af. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aud | aja | ja | nt |

or (by the change of aj to $i$, by 54, and the conversion of the modal j to i ),
aud ia ia nt.
or (by the elision of the modal a, and the union of the conjugational a with the modal i to form e),
aud i e nt = audient.

The first person singular of these futures is, however, to be explained as conjunctive rather than optative, and hence forms regam for regem, and audiam for audiem.
86. As optatives are to be reckoned also forms like du-i-m, credu-i-m, perdu-i-m (eonf. 84) ; also ed-i-m, to eat (conf. 60).
87. Imperfect. The verb is conjugated in this tense by the use of the imperfect subjunctive forms of the verb to be, sem, ses, set, \&e. (vid. "Table I."), which after the conjugational affix or a connecting vowel become (by 36) rem, res, ret. Examples are: -

| Root. | Conj. AF. | Ending. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| am | $\bar{a}$ | rem | $=$ amārem. |
| mon | $\bar{e}$ | ret | $=$ monēret. |
| reg | è | remus | $=$ regĕremus. |
| aud | $\bar{i}$ | rent | $=$ audīrent. |

88. Perfect. This tense adds to the base of the perfect indicative, the present subjunctive of the verb to be, with the connecting vowel $\mathbf{i}$. Hence, for example, results the form, Root. Conj. Af. Temp. Af. Con. Vow. Ending.
am a v i sim.
or - with the change of $s$ to $r$ (by 36), and the displacement of i by e before r (by 16) -
am a $\quad$ a $\quad$ rim $=$ amaverim.
89. By the omission of the connective, and consequent retention of the s, are obtained such forms as negas-sim, by assimilation for negav-sim ; emis-sim for emit-sim; faxim for fac-sim, and this for a conjectural fa-fac-sim. Conf. 81.
90. Pluperfect. This tense joins to the base of the perfect indicative, by means of a connective $\mathbf{i}$, the imperfect subjunctive forms of the verb to be, sem, ses, set, \&c., with the reduplication of the initial consonants; e.g.:

Root. Cons. Af. Temp. Af. Con. Vow. Ending.

| am | a | v | i | ssem | = amavissem. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| reg | (wanting) | s | i | ssem | $=$ rexissem.. |

The reduplication of the s, though of rare occurrence in the Latin, is not without its analogies in the Greek. The $\mathbf{i}$ is to be considered purely as a comnective, and in no sense an attenuation of the e of essem: i before two consonants frequently becomes
e, but not the converse. Amavessem might have proceeded from amavissem, but not amavissem from amavessem.

## Imperative.

91. The imperative receives no modal or temporal affix, but adds the personal endings directly to the affix of conjugation.

## Infinitive.

92. The Latin infinitives are simply oblique cases (dative, accusative, or ablative) of the noun. As a dative is to be considered, first, the infinitive present. This adds to the root, with its conjugational affix, the ending se (later re).

| Root. | Conj. AF. | Mod. AF. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am | $\bar{a}$ | se |

This ending is still farther to be resolved into $s$, the radical consonant of the verb to be, and $\mathbf{e}$, the case affix of the dative. The use of this e as a case sign appears, for example, in such datives as causæ $=$ causa +e ; aquæ $=$ aqua $+e$. The $\mathbf{i}$ of forms like Romano-i, populo-i, \&c. (later Romano, populo) is the second element of this $\mathbf{e}(=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{i})$.
93. Second, the infinitive perfect active. This tense joins to the base of the perfect indicative, by means of the connecting vowel $\mathbf{i}$, the ending se with the reduplication of its initial $\mathbf{s}$ (conf. 90) ; e.g.:

| Root. | Conj. Af. | Temp. Af. | Con. Vow. | Mod. af. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aud | i | V | i | sse. |

94. Third, the infinitive perfect passive. This tense compounds the perfect passive participle with the infinitive esse. For the explanation of esse, which is also a dative, vid. 134.
95. Fourth, the future infinitive active, which combines the future active participle with esse.
96. Remark 1. The relations most commonly denoted by Latin datives are those expressed by the prepositions to and for. Precisely analogous, then, is the use of dative infinitives in Latin to that of the English infinitive in the objective case governed by to: e.g., to be, i.e., to the being; to love, i.e., to the loving. As expressing the proper case relation of infinitives in $\mathbf{e}$, the sen-
tences solent cogitare, non audeo confiteri, may be respeetively rendered, they are accustomed to thought, I have not the courage for a confession.
97. Remark 2. That infinitives strictly dative should be employed to denote a variety of relations foreign to the dative, is but another illustration of the principle, that the exact use of forms can exist only with the consciousness of their exact import. So long as e was remembered as a ease affix, and to recognized as a preposition, amare, and to love could only render the service of a dative and objective ; but the gradual identification of these elements with the body of the word resulted also in the loss of those definite relations of which they had been the symbol.
98. As an accusative is to be considered the infinitive commonly known as the "supine in m ." "This adds either to the conjugational affix, or to the root with or without the connective i, the affix turm. Examples of these three modes of attachment are, -am-a-tum, mon-i-tum, dic-tum. For the correspondence of the Sanskrit infinitive with this Latin form compare Sanskrit stha-tum, to stand, with sta-tum; pa-tum, to drink, with po-tum; jan-i-tum, to beget, with gen-i-tum; dā-tum, to give, with dă-tum. This affix may be resolved thus, tŭ-m. Tŭ is an element quite commonly employed in the Latin to form nouns of action and condition. Conf. sta-tu-s, tac-tu-s, son-i-tu-s, or-tu-s. $m$ is the case sign of the aecusative, common to all the declensions. This infinitive is used after verbs of motion, to denote that in which the motion ends, and hence as the oblique case of a substantive falls readily under the Accusative of Limit.
99. In the "supine in $u$ " may be recognized an ablative infinitive. This is formed from the same base as the infinitive in $m$, and is eharaeterized by the affix $t \bar{u}$ (for $t \bar{u}-(d)$. This ending may be resolved thus, tŭ-ŭ. The first clement is identical with the tŭ of $t u \mathbf{u}-\mathrm{m}$, considered above: the $\mathbf{u}$ final is a reduplicate of the radical vowel (received in the ablative for emphasis), and combines with it to form $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ long. Compare the nominative fruc-tŭ-s with the ablative fruc-t $\bar{u}$ ( $=$ fruc-tŭ- $\breve{\mathbf{u}}$ ), for the earlier fruc$t \bar{u}-\mathrm{d}$. This infinitive is employed to define the application of adjectives, and hence, as the oblique case of a substantive, is to be classed with ablatives of specification.
100. Remark 1. In the future passive this mood combines the dative infinitive iri (impersonal passive from eo) with the accusa-
tive infinitive in $\mathbf{m}$. In the use of this accusative after eo, note the conformity with the principle stated at the close of the 98th section.
101. Remari 2. Though properly the modal affix of these three varieties of infinitive is respectively se, tŭm, and t $\bar{u}$, for the sake of convenience the formative case elements, $e, m$, and $\breve{\mathrm{u}}$, will in the "Tables" be separated from the base, and entered under "Case Affix."

## Participle.

102. The Latin participles are formed in the use of but three distinct affixes. First, nt, which is added to the affix of conjugation to form the participle present. To the base as thus composed there is affixed in the nominative the case sign s; e.g.:

Root. Conj. Af. Mod. Af. Case Af.
am a nt $a \quad=($ by 28, a) amans.
103. With the exchange of the surd lingual $t$ for the sonant lingual d , $n$ t, the affix of the verbal adjective (participle) is converted into nd, the affix of the verbal noun (gerund); e.g. :

| Root. Conj. AF. | Mod. AF. | Case Af. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mon | c | nd | i (genitive) |

104. nt with the addition of $u$, and the conversion of $t$ into $d$, as above, forms the affix of the future participle passive; e.g.:

| Root. Conj. Af. | Mod. Af. | Case Af. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| am | a | ndu | s (nominative) |

105. Any reluctance to identify such forms as amans and amandus, on the ground that one is passive, and the other active, may be removed by noting the traces of an original active force in both. Thus from sequor, to follow ; voluto, to roll ; and vito, to avoid, - come respectively : -

Root. Conj. Af. Mod. Af. Case af.
sequ -* ndu $\quad$ - $=$ secundus, the following one. volut $a$ (bu)ndu $s \quad=$ volutabundus, the rolling one. vit a (bu)ndu $\mathbf{s}=$ vitabundus, the avoiding one.

[^3]The last example is particularly interesting, in that it is not only used in an active sense, but is also employed by Livy with a dependent accusative: Hanno vitabundus castra hostium.
106. Note. - The letter's bu in forms like vita-bu-ndus, treme-bu-ndus, are referred to the root bhu (Latin fu, fu-i; vid. also 72 and 73).
107. The second variety of participial affix is turu, which is added to the affix of conjugation, or to the root with or without the connective $\mathbf{i}$, to form the future participle active ; e.g. :

| Root. | Conj. Af. | Con. Vow. | Mod. AF. | Case AF. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am | a | - | turu | $\mathbf{s}$ |
| mon | - | $\mathbf{i}$ | turu | $\mathbf{s}$ |
| dic | - | - | turu | $\mathbf{s}$ |

108. $u$ final of the ending tur-u is inorganic (conf. at 104 nd-u from nt). Other forms of the affix tur are tor and tri. The former of these is combined with roots to form masculine nouns of agency, reckoned by Sanskrit grammarians as one of the forms of the verb. Examples are, gen-i-tor, vic-tor, sta-tor. The latter is added to roots to form feminine nouns of agency, with, however, the insertion of c before the case endings: e.g., gen-i-tri-c-s = genitrix; vic-tri-c-s = victrix. The Sanskrit root tar is probably the primitive of the later tur, tor, tri, and signifies a doer or maker. Both da-tor and da-tur(u)-s therefore literally signify one making a gift. In the development of the language, the action of the latter became limited to the future, while that of the former remained undetermined.
109. The third form of participial ending is tu, which is added to the conjugational affix, or to the root with or without the connective $i$, to form the perfect participle passive; e.g. :

| Root. | Conj. AF. | Mod. AF. | Case AF. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aud | i | tu | s |
| dic | - | tu | s |

## Personal Affixes.

110. The personal affixes are the effete representatives of old personal pronouns. We proceed to pass in review the several
endings, singular and plural, giving to each that which is its most probable and best approved derivation.
111. First Person Singular. The old root of the first personal pronoun is ma. This root, with, however, the attenuation of its vowel, appears in the oblique forms me-i, mi-hi, me-. As still farther reduced to $m$, by the surrender of its vowel, it constitutes the affix of the first person. In but two verbs, su-m (compare the English a-m), and inqua-m, is this m retained in the present indicative. It has also disappeared from the perfect and future perfect indicative, and from the future of conjugations first and second. Examples of the use and abandonment of this affix are respectively : -

| Root. | Conj. Af. | Mod. or Temp. Af. | Pers. Af. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mon | e | a (Mod.) | m |
| am | a | bo (Temp.) | - |

112. Second Person Singular. Tva, the root of the second personal pronoun, by the loss of a and the conversion of v into $u$, has been corrupted to the Latin nominative tu. The same root (tva) has become successively ta (by the loss of v), ti (by attenuation), si (by interchange between lingual surds), s by elision of the vowel. In the form of $\boldsymbol{s}$ it constitutes the affix of the second person.
113. In the imperative the ending has disappeared: in the perfect indicative it exists in the earlier form ti, before which is inserted a euphonic s (for the insertion of s, compare such Greek forms as ke-keleu-s-tai for the regular ke-keleu-tai). Examples of the second person are:-

| Root. | Conj. Af. | Temp. Af. | Con. Tow. | Pers. Af. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am | a | ba | - | s |  |
| aud | i | v | i | (s) | ti |
| mon | e | - | - |  | - |

114. Timid Person Singular. Ta, a Sanskrit pronominal root of the third person, becomes by attenuation to or te (conf. Latin is-te), or, by the surrender of its vowel, $t$. In this latter furm it serves as the third personal affix. In the imperative alone is retained the earlier form, to.
115. Remark 1. The third person in to may also perform the office of the second; hence am-a-, or am-a-to.
116. Remark 2. An old form of affix in the imperative is tod. Thus in the "Twelve Tables" occurs the expression Fidios af patre leiber estod; i.e., Filius a patre liber esto. This ending is to be explained as the reduplication of to with suppression of the second vowel, and the conversion of $t$ into its cognate sonant. Examples of the third person are:-

| Root. | Conj. AF. | Mod. AF. | Pers. Af. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| aud | i | a | $t$ |
| aud | i | - | to |

117. First Person Plural. Mus, the affix of this person, is a derivative by elision and attenuation from the primitive masi; i.e., ma + si. Of this compound the element ma is identical with the pronominal root of the first person singular. The second element si is one of the forms which we have seen to be derived from tva, the pronominal root of the second person singular; ma + si therefore $=\mathrm{I}+$ you $=$ we. This person affords no exceptional cases.
118. Second Person Plural. Tis, the affix of the second plural, is derived by elision from tisi, and this by attenuation from tasi $=t a+s i$. The first element, ta, is derived from the pronominal root of the second person singular (vid. 112); and si, the second element, is a later derivative from the same root. ta + si therefore $=$ you (sing.) + you (sing.) $=$ you (plural). For the insertion of $\mathbf{s}$ in the perfect indicative, vid. 113.
119. To form the imperative, the root ta is reduplicated to ta-ta, and the vowels attenuated respectively to o and e, hence to-te. Examples of the second person are : -

| Root. | Conj. Af. | Temp. Af. | Con. Vow. | Pers. Af. |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mon | e | bi | - | tis |  |
| aud | $\mathbf{i}$ | v | $\mathbf{i}$ | (s) | tis |
| am | a | - | - |  | to-te |

120. Third Person Plural. The full form of ending in this person was probably anta, -i.e., an + ta, - of which an is an old demonstrative root, and ta identical with the pronominal root of the third singular. An + ta therefore $=$ he + he $=$ they.
121. The first a of anta is retained only when the ending is attached to consonantal bases, and is then modified to $u$, under the influence of the nasal $n$ (by 15). The second a appears only in the imperative, and that under the attenuated form of o. Examples of the third person are: -

| Root. | Cons. Af. | Temp. AF. | Pers. Af. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| am | a | ba | nt |
| mon | 0 | - | nto |
| (e)s | - | - | unt $=$ sunt |

122. Perfects indicative, like amaverunt, require still farther explanation. This form is the corruption of a regular am-a-v-i-nt. Into this, after the analogy of the second persons of the same tense, was introduced an $\mathbf{s}$, after which the ending was added in the form of unt (by 121). Am-a-v-i-s-unt, as thus obtained, was still farther modified by the conversion of $s$ to $r$ (by 36) and the change of the preceding i to e (by 16). Hence, amaverunt, or, in its abbreviated form, amavere.

## Irregularities of the Conjugational Affin.

The readiest apprehension of these irregularities seemed dependent upon a prior acquaintance with modal, temporal, and personal affixes. The discussion of them has therefore been deferred to the present time.

## Conjugation First.

123. In the first person singular of the present indicative, the affix aja becomes successively aa (by syncopation), ao (by attenuation), o (by contraction). Hence, am-aja, am-aa, am-ao, am-o. A few rerbs of this conjugation omit the affix in the perfect and supine systems, ordinarily inserting in the latter, however, the connective i: e.g., dom-o, dom-ui, dom-(i)-tum; but sec-o, sec-ui, sec-tum.
124. Note. - The i regularly occurring in the perfect of the indicative and infinitive and the perfeet and pluperfect subjunctive, of all conjugations, we have also preferred to distinguish from the affix, and to designate as connective.

## Conjtgation Second.

125. In the first person singular of the present indicative the final a of aja is retained, and attenuated to o. Hence, mon-aja becomes successively mon-aia, mon-ea, mon-eo. In the present subjunctive, it is likewise preserved, and combines with the modal a to form $\bar{a}$ long. A few verbs like fleo, fle-vi, fle-tum extend the use of the affix to the three systems ; but it is, in almost all cases, limited to the first: e.g., doc-eo, doc-ui, doc-tum ; augeo, auxi, auc-tum. In the third srstem, a connective $i$ is often inserted: e.g., mon-eo, mon-ui, mon-(i)-tum ; hab-eo, hab-ui, hab-(i)-tum.

## Conjegation Focrth.

126. The a final of the affix aja is retained and modified in the following forms: it is attenuated to 0 in the first person singular of the present indicative; to $u$ in the third person plural of the same tense, as also in the third plural of the imperative: to e in the imperfect indicative. and before the participial affix nt (nd, ndu). Examples of the above changes are respectively. aud-io, aud-iu-nt, aud-iu-nto, aud-ie-bant, aud-ie-n(t)s. In the conjunctive forms, the final rowel of the affix combine- with the modal a to form $\bar{a}$ long. In the optative, it unites with the modal $i$ to form e; e.g. :

| Root. Cosid. AF. | Mod. AF. | Pers. AF. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| aud | ia | a | mus | $=$ audiāmus |
| aud | ia | i (a) | nt | $=$ audient |

The use of the conjugational affix is, in some instances, confined to the present srstem: e.g.. aper-io, aper-ui, aper-tum; vinc-io, vinc-si ( $=$ vinxi), vinc-tum.

## Conjggation Third.

127. Te obserre. here, the method of classification adopted at 55-57. The parts of the verb which. in conjugation fourth, retain. in its modified or unmodified form, the final rowel of the affix aja. treat in the same manner the affix a in the first class of conjugation third. In the second singular imperative, $i$ is converted to
e (by 18), except in the case of the verbs dico, duco, and fero, where it is dropped. In the imperfect subjunctive and present infinitive, i becomes e under the influence of $\mathbf{r}$ (according to 16 ).
128. The parts of the verb which, in conjugation fourth, retain, in its modified or unmodified form, the final vowel of the affix aja, retain and treat in like manner the final vowel of the affix ja in the second class of conjugation third. $\mathbf{i}$ is converted to $\mathbf{e}$ in the same forms of the verb, and in obedience to the same principles as in class first, except in the second singular imperative of facio, where it is dropped. This conjugation limits the use of its affixes a and ja to the present system, - in rare instances, however, inserting in the third a connective i: e.g., claud-o, clau(d)-si, clau(d)-sum ; but gem-o, gem-ui, gem-(i)-tum.

## TABLE 1.

## Conjugation of Esse.

The parts of the Latin verb to be are formed in the use of two roots, - es and fu. Although $s$ is rarely found in the parts formed from the first of these, yet that it was originally radical appears from the comparison of the third singular, present indicative, in the cognate languages:-
Sanskrit. Zend. Lithuanian. Greek. Latin. Gothic.
as-ti as-ti es-ti es-ti es-t is-t

INDICATIVE.
Present.
SINGULAR.

| Probable Primititg. | Later Derivatife. | Probable Pbimitive. | Latse Derifativg. |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. es-mi | s-u-m | es-mus | s-u-mus |
| 2. es-si | e-s | es-tis | es-tis |
| 3. es-t | es-t | es-ant | s-unt |

Imperfect.

| 1. es-a-m | er-a-m | es-a-mus | er-a-mus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. es-a-s | er-a-s | es-a-tis | er-a-tis |
| 3. es-a-t | er-a-t | es-a-nt | er-a-nt |

## Future.

SINGULAR.
Probasle Primitive. Later Derivatite.

1. es-io-m
2. es-i -s
3. es-i -t
er-O-
er-i-s
er-i-t

PLURAL.
Probadle Primitify. Later Deritative.

| es-i -mus | er-i -mus |
| :--- | :--- |
| es-i -tis | er-i -tis |
| es-iu-nt | er-u-nt |

er-u-nt

## Perfect.

1. $\mathrm{fu}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}$
fu-i-
fu-i-(s)ti
fu-i-t
fu-i-mus
fu-i-tis
fu-i-nt
fu-i -mus
fu-i-(s)tis
fu-e-(r)unt

Pluperfect.

1. fu-esam
2. fu-esas
3. fu-esat
. fu-esiom
4. fu-esis
5. fu-esit
fu-eram
fu-eras
fu-erat
fu-esamus
fu-esatis
fu-esant
Future Perfect.
fu-ero
fu-esimus
fu-esitis
fu-esiunt
fu-erit
fu-eramus
fu-eratis
fu-erant
fu-erimus
fu-eritis
fu-erint

SUBJUNCTIVE.
Present.

| 1. es-ja-m | s-i-m | es-ja-mus | s-i-mus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. es-ja-s | s-i-s | es-ja-tis | s-i-tis |
| 3. es-ja-t | s-i-t | es-ja-nt | s-i-nt |

Imperfect.

| 1. es-a-i-m | es-(s)-e-m | es-a-i-mus | es-(s)-e-mus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. es-a-i-s | es-(s)-e-s | es-a-i-tis | es-(s)-e-tis |
| 3. es-a-i-t | es-(s)-e-t | es-a-i-nt | es-(s)-e-nt |

Perfect.

| 1. fu-i-sim | fu-e-rim | fu-i-simus | fu-e-rimus |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. fu-i-sis | fu-e-ris | fu-i-sitis | fu-e-ritis |
| 3. fu-i-sit | fu-e-rit | fu-i-sint | fu-e-rint |

Pluperfect.
SINGULAR. PLURAL.
Probable Primitive.
Later Derivatife. Probable Primitive.
Later Derivative.

1. fu-i-sem fu-i-(s)-sem fu-i-semus fu-i-(s)-semus
2. fu-i-ses
fu-i-(s)-ses fu-i-setis
3. fu-i-set
fu-i-(s)-set fu-i-sent
fu-i-(s)-setis

## IMPERATIVE.

| 2. es-ti, or | es-, or | es-te, or | es-te, or |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| es-to | es-to | es-to-te | es-to-te |
| 3. es-to | es-to | es-anto | s-unto |

## INFINITIVE.

Present.
es-e
es-(s)-e
Future. futurus esse.

## PARTICIPLE.

Present.
es-e-nt-s
s-e-n-s.

Perfect.
fu-i-se

| Present. | Future. |
| :---: | :---: |
| es-e-nt-s | s-e-n-s. | fu-turu-s.

## Remarks on Esse.

129. Indicative. Present. The comparison of such forms as the Sanskrit as-mi, Zend ah-mi, Greek (Doric) em-mi (for es-mi), Lithuanian es-mi, points to the existence of an original Latin es-mi, the final vowel of which is the attenuated representative of the old a of the pronominal root ma. On the disappearance of the vowel, the consonant was appended in the use of a connective $u$, which connective appears also in the first person plural, s-u-mus for s-mus (conf. vol-u-mus for vol-mus). A comparison of the same languages renders probable also an original es-si in the second singular. In this person, however, after the disappearance of the final vowel, the verb preferred the sacrifice of a consonant to the insertion of a connective, and thus reduced the intermediate es-s (possible only in theory), to the
later e-s. We prefer to regard the syncopation as removing the s of the root rather than of the affix, as of the two consonants of an impossible combination the syncopation usually falls upon the first.
130. Imperfect. This tense inserts a connective a throughout, and makes the usual change of $s$ to $r$ between two vowels.
131. Future. The future es-io-m (or es-io -, later es-o) es-i-s, es-i-t, \&c., is formed from the root es in the use of the same affix ja, by which b-io (later b-o) b-i-s, b-i-t is produced from the radical $b$ (vid. 73). It requires to be noticed that while the verb, in its simple form, retains in the third plural the latter of the two vowels iu, when compounded with the base of the perfect to form the future perfect, the former only is preserved. Hence fu-er-iu-nt becomes, not fu-er-u-nt, but fu-er-i-nt; am-a-v-er-iu-nt gives, not am-a-v-er-u-nt, but am-a-v-er-i-nt.
132. Subjuctive. Present. This form of essc is optative, and affixes as its modal sign ja, which becomes by the usual conversion ia, and by attenuation ie. Hence the earlier forms s-ie-m, s-ie-s, s-ie-t, \&e. In later Latin ie are contracted into long i. Thus, s-i-mus, s-i-tis. In explanation of the short $\mathbf{i}$ of $\mathrm{s}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{m}$ and s-1̆-t, vid. 17. The present subjunctive fuam, fuas, \&cc., is to be explained as an old conjunctive form, based upon the root fu , and appending the conjunctive modal affix a; hence, fu-a-m, fu-a-s.
133. Imperfect. This tense is optative, and is formed from es-a, the base of the imperfect indicative, by affixing i of the modal sign ia. Hence, es-a-i-tis, es-a-i-nt, \&c., which, by the union of ai to form e, become respectively es-e-tis, es-e-nt, forms of actual occurrence in earlier authors. For the derivation of es(s)-e-m, \&c., from the carlier es-e-m, conf. 90. The forms es-e-m, es-e-s, \&e., with the aphæresis of the vowel, constitute the affix of the several conjugations in the imperfect subjunctive; e.g., am-a-rem, reg-e-rem for am-a-sem, reg-e-sem. Forem, probably a corruption of fu-rem, is to be explained as a union of the same ending rem (for sem) with the root fu.
134. Infinitive. Present. The present infinitive adds to the root, es, the case sign of the dative, e. This form es-e, which occurs in earlier Latin, developed by the reduplication of its consonant into the later es(s)-e. Fore, another form of the same tense, with however, in general, a future signification, stands
for fure, and this for fu-se; i.e., the sign of the dative infinitive added to the root fu.
135. Participle. Present. This participle appears only in composition, and then with the aphæresis of e. Hence, nominative ab-sens (for ab-s-e-nt-s), genitive ab-s-e-nt-is. The compounds absens and præsens have been commonly resolved thus: abs-ens, præs-ens. The s belongs, however, in each case, to the second element, and represents the old root es.

## TABLE II．

## Conjugation of Amo．

Active Voice．

ANALYTIC．
SYNTHETIC．

| Mood and Tense． | Pers． | Root． | Conj．Af |  | Mod．and Temp．Af． | Con． <br> Vow． | Pers．Affix． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (a a)^{*} \\ & (a) \\ & (a a) \\ & (a a) \\ & (a a) \\ & (a a) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ao } \\ \bar{a} \\ \bar{a} \dagger \\ \bar{a} \\ \bar{a} \\ \bar{a} \end{gathered}$ | 二 | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & \mathbf{s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | amo． amās． amăt． amāmus． amātis． amant． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ \text { P. } \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） | $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ | $b a$ $b a$ $b a$ $b a$ $b a$ $b a$ | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | amābam． amābas． amābat． amābamus． amābatis． amābant． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） | $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bo } \\ & \text { bi } \\ & \text { bi } \\ & \text { bi } \\ & \text { bi } \\ & \text { bu } \end{aligned}$ | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{s} \\ & t \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \text { nt } \end{aligned}$ | amābo． amābis． amābit． amābimus． amābitis． amābunt． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{v} \\ & \mathbf{v} \\ & \mathbf{v} \\ & \mathbf{v} \\ & \mathbf{v} \\ & \mathbf{v} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (s) } \ddagger \overline{\mathrm{ti}} \\ & \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { (s) } \ddagger \mathrm{tis} \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | amāvi． amāvisti． amāvit． amāvimus． amāvistis． amāverunt．§ |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （aa） <br> （a） | $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ $\bar{a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { v-era } \\ & \text { v-era } \\ & \text { V-era } \\ & \text { v-era } \\ & \text { vera } \\ & \text { v-era } \end{aligned}$ | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | amāveram． amāveras． amāverat． amāveramus． amāveratis． amāverant． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { v-ero } \\ & \text { v-eri } \\ & \text { v-eri } \\ & \text { v-eri } \\ & \text { veri } \\ & \text { v-eri } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 二 } \\ & \text { 二 } \\ & \text { 二 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | amāverv． amāveris． amāverit． amāverimus． amāveritis． amāverint． |

＊In this conjugation we carry back the conjugational affix no farther than to aa，the form resulting from aja by the syncopation of $\mathbf{j}$ ．
$\dagger$ Shortened from $\bar{a}$ long by the influence of the following $t$ final（Vid．17）．
₹ Vid． 113 and 118.

| ANALYTIC． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SYNTIIETIC． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mood and Tense． | Pers． | Root． | Conj．Af． |  | Mod．and Temp．Af． | Con.Vow. | Pers．Affix． |  |
|  |  |  | Early | Late． |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | am | （aa） | ā | i（a）＊ | － | m | amem． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\bar{a}$ | i（a） | － | S | ames． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | i（a） | 二 |  | amet． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | i（a） | － | mus | amemus． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\bar{a}}$ | i ${ }_{\text {i（a）}}(\mathrm{a})$ | － | nis | ametis． ament． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | am | （aa） | a | re | － | m | märem |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | re | － | S | amāres． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\bar{a}$ | re | － | t | amāret． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | re | － | mus | amāremus． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\bar{a}}$ | re | 三 | tis | amāretis． |
|  |  | am | （a） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | re | － | nt | amārent． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | am | （aa） | $\overline{\bar{a}}$ | v－eri | 二 | m | amāverim． <br> amāveris． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\bar{a}}$ | v－eri | 二 |  | amāveris． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\bar{a}$ | v－eri | － | mus | amāverimus． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\frac{\square}{\text { a }}$ | v－eri | － | tis | amāveritis． |
|  |  | am | （aa） |  | v－eri | － | nt | amaverint． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ \frac{1}{2 .} \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \\ & \mathrm{am} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \\ & \bar{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & v \\ & v \\ & v \\ & v \\ & v \\ & v \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{i} \\ & \mathbf{i} \\ & \mathbf{i} \\ & \mathbf{i} \\ & \mathbf{i} \\ & \mathbf{i} \end{aligned}$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { Mod．and } \\ \text { Temp．}\end{array}\right\}$ | amāvissem． amāvisses． amāvisset． amāvissemus． amāvissetis． amavissent． |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sse m |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sse ${ }_{\text {s }}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sse t |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sse mus |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | sse nt |  |
|  | S． 2.  <br> 2.  <br> 2.  <br> P．  <br> P．  <br> 2.  <br> 3.  <br> 3.  | am | （aa） |  | － | － | － | amà． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | ā | － | － | to | amāto． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | － | － | to | amā̄to． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\frac{\bar{a}}{\text { a }}$ |  | － | tote | amate． amātote |
|  |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | － | － | nto | amanto． |
| Mood and Tense． <br> Pres．Inf． <br> Perf．Inf |  | am | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (aa) } \\ & \text { (aa) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{a} \\ & \overline{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{r}}$ | i | $\begin{gathered} \text { Case Afix. } \\ \text { e f (Dat.) } \\ \left\{\begin{array}{c} \text { (ss M. Af.) } \\ \text { e (Dat.) } \end{array}\right\} \end{gathered}$ | amāre． amāvisse． |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | （aa） |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Former Supine． |  |  | am | （aa） | à | tŭ | － | $\underset{\mathrm{u}}{\mathrm{u}}$（Acc．） | amātŭm． |
| Latter Supine．Pres．Part． |  | am | （aa） | ā | tŭ | 二 | u（Abl．） | amātū． |
|  |  | am | （aa） | ā | $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})$ | － | s（Nom．） | amans． |
| Pres．Part． |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\bar{a}}$ | nd | 二 | i（Gen．） | amandi． |
| Fut．Part．（Pas．）Perf．Part．（Pas．） |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\bar{a}}$ | ndu | 二 | S（Nom．） | amandus． amãtus． |
| Perf．Part．（Pas．） |  | am | （aa） | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}$ | turu | 二 | s（Nom．） | amāturus． |
| Fut．Inf．$\ddagger$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]
## TABLE III．

## Conjugation of Moneo．

## Active Voice．Present System．

| ANALYTIC． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SYNTHETIC． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mood and | Pers． | Root． | Conj．Af． |  | Mod．and Temp． Af ． | Con． Vow． | Pers．Affix． |  |
|  |  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ea) } \\ & \text { (ea) } \\ & \text { (ea) } \\ & \text { (ea) } \\ & \text { (ea) } \\ & \text { (ea) } \end{aligned}$ | eo e e e e e | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 二 } \\ & \text { 二 } \\ & \text { 二 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{Z} \\ & 二 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -\bar{s} \\ & t \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \text { nt } \end{aligned}$ | moneo． mones． monet． monemus． monetis． monent． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \end{aligned}$ | （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ba } \\ & \text { ba } \\ & \text { ba } \\ & \text { ba } \\ & \text { ba } \\ & \text { ba } \end{aligned}$ | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | monebam． monebas． monebat． monebamus． monebatis． monebant． |
| 范 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | mon mon mon mon mon mon | （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | bo bi bi bi bi bu | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & - \\ & s \\ & t \\ & \text { mus }_{\text {tis }} \\ & \text { nt } \end{aligned}$ | monebo． monebis． monebit． monebimus． monebitis． monebunt． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \\ & \text { mon } \end{aligned}$ | （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） | ea <br> ea <br> ea <br> ea <br> ea <br> ea | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | 二 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | moneăm． moneās． moneăt． moneāmus． moneātis． moneant． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 \\ 3 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | mon mon mon mon mon mon | （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \stackrel{e}{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \stackrel{e}{e} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { re } \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { re } \\ & \text { re } \end{aligned}$ | 二 二 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | monerem． moneres． moneret． moneremus． moneretis． monerent． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 2 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 2 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | mon <br> mon <br> mon <br> mon <br> mon <br> mon | （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） <br> （ea） | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \\ & \mathrm{e} \end{aligned}$ | 二 | 二 二 二 | to <br> to <br> te tote nto | mone． moneto． moneto． monete． monetote． monento． |

＊In this conjugation the conjugational affix is carricd back no farther than to ea，the form resulting from aja by the conversion of $j$ into $i$ ，and its combination with initial a to form e．

| ANALYTIC． |  |  |  |  |  |  | SYNTHETIC． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mood and Tense． | Root． | Conj．Af． |  | Mod．and Temp．Af． | Con． <br> Vow． | Case Affix． |  |
|  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |  |
| Pres．Inf． |  |  |  |  | － |  |  |
| Pres．Part． | mon | （ea） | e | n （ t ） | － | $\mathbf{s}$（Nom．） | monens． |
| Gerund． | mon | （ea） | e | nd | 二 | i（Gen．） | monendi． |
| Fut．Part．（Pas．） |  | （ea） | e |  | － | $\mathbf{8}$（Nom．） |  |

TABLE IV．
Conjugation of Audio．
Active Voice．Present System．

| ANALYTIC． |  |  |  |  |  |  | SYNTHETIC． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mood and | Pers． | Root． | Conj．AF． |  | Mod．and <br> Temp．Af． | Pers．Affiz． |  |
| Tense． |  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ia) }{ }^{*} \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { io } \\ \overline{1} \\ \text { Ì } \\ \overline{1} \\ \overline{1} \\ \text { iu } \end{gathered}$ | ב | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{s} \\ & t \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \text { nt } \end{aligned}$ | audio． audīs． audĭt． audīmus． audītis． audiunt． |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 遏范荡 } \\ & \text { 曾宫 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \end{aligned}$ | ie <br> ie <br> ie <br> ie <br> ie <br> ie | ba ba ba ba ba ba | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | audiebam． audiebas． audiebat． audiebamus． audiebatis． audiebant． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ia } \\ & i a \\ & i a \\ & i a \\ & i a \\ & i a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & a \\ & i(a) \\ & i(a) \\ & i(a) \\ & i(a) \\ & i(a) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | audiăm． audies． audiet． audiemus． audietis． audient． |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud <br> aud | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \\ & \text { (ia) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ia } \\ & \text { ia } \\ & \text { ia } \\ & \text { ia } \\ & \text { ia } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{m} \\ & \mathrm{~s} \\ & \mathrm{t} \\ & \text { mus } \\ & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | audiăm． audiās． audiăt． audiāmus． audiātis． audiant． |

＊In this conjugation the conjugational affix is carried back to $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ ，the form resulting from aja by the conversion of the initial vowel into $i$ ，and its union with $\mathbf{j}$（also con－ verted to $\mathbf{i}$ ），to form $\overline{\mathrm{i}}$ long．

| ANALYTIC． |  |  |  |  |  |  | SYNTHETIC． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mood and Tense． | Pers． | Root． | Conj．Af． |  | Mod．and Temp．Af． | Pers．Affix． |  |
|  |  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |
|  | S． 1. | aud | （ia） | $\frac{1}{1}$ | re | m | audīrem． |
|  | 3. | aud | （ia） | $\frac{1}{1}$ | re |  | audires． |
|  | P．1． | aud | （ia） | － | re | mus | audīremus． |
|  | ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | aud | （ia） | $\bar{i}$ | re | tis | audiretis． |
|  | 3. | aud | （ia） | I | re | nt | audirent． |
|  | S． 2. | aud | （ia） | $\overline{1}$ | － | － | audi． |
|  | 2. | aud | （ia） | İ | 二 | to | audīto． |
|  | P．${ }_{\text {2 }}^{2}$. | aud | （ia） | $\overline{1}$ | 二 | to | audīto． |
|  | P． 2. | aud | （ia） | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 二 | to | audite． |
|  | 3. | aud | （ia） | iu | － | nto | audiunto． |
| Mood and Tense． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Case Affix． |  |
| Pres．Inf． <br> Pres．Part |  | aud | （ia） | İ |  | e（Dat．） | audire． |
|  |  | aud | （ia） | ie | n （ t ） | s（Nom．） | audiens． |
| Gerund． |  | aud | （ia） | ie | nd | $\mathbf{i}$（Gen．） | audiendi． |
| Fut．Part．（Pas．） |  | aud | （ia） | ie | ndu | s （Nom．） | audiendus． |

## TABLE V．

## Conjugation of Rego．

Active Voice．Present System．

| ANALYTIC． |  |  |  |  |  |  | SYNTIIETIC． |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mood and Tense． | Pers． | Root． | Conj．Af． |  | Mod．and <br> Temp．Af． | Pers．Affix． |  |
|  |  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |
|  | S． 1. | reg | （a） | 0 | － | － | rego． |
|  | 2. | reg | （a） | i | － | s | regis． |
|  | 3. | reg | （a） | i | － |  | regit． |
|  | P． 1. | reg | （a） | i | 二 | mus | regimus． |
|  | 2. 3. | reg reg | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (a) } \\ & \text { (a) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{i} \\ & \mathbf{u} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tis } \\ & \mathrm{nt} \end{aligned}$ | regitis． regunt． |
|  | S． 1. | reg | （a） |  | ba | m | regebam． |
|  | 2. | reg | （a） | e | ba | s | regebas． |
|  | 3. | reg | （a） | e | ba | t | regebat． |
|  | P．1． |  | （a） | e | ba | mus |  |
|  | $\stackrel{2}{3 .}$ | reg reg | （a） | e | ba | tis | regebatis． |
|  |  | reg | （a） | e | ba | nt |  |
| 荡淢 | S． 1. | reg |  | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | 2. 3. | reg | （a） | a | i（a） | $\stackrel{s}{s}$ | reges． reget． |
|  | P．1． | reg reg | （a） | a | i（a） | mus | regemus． |
|  | 2. | reg | （a） | a | i（a） | tis | regetis． |
|  | 3. | reg | （a） | a | i（a） | nt | regent． |

ANALYTIC．
SYNTIETIC．

| Mood and Tense． | Pers． | Hoot． | Conj．Af． |  | Mod．and <br> Temp．Af． | Pers．Affix． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |
|  | S． 1. | reg | （a） | a | a | m | regam． |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 2 . \\ & 3 . \end{aligned}$ | reg | （a） | a | a | s | regas． |
|  | P．1． | reg reg | （a） | a | a | mu | regat． |
|  | P．${ }_{2}$ | reg reg | （a） | a | a | mis | regamus． regatis． |
|  | 3. | reg | （a） | a | a | nt | regant． |
|  | S． 1. | reg | （a） | e | re | m | regerem． |
|  | $\underset{n}{2} .$ | reg | （a） | e | re |  | regeres． |
|  | P．1． | reg | （a） | $\stackrel{\text { e }}{\text { e }}$ | re | ${ }_{\text {mus }}$ | regeret． |
|  | 2. | reg | （a） | － | re | tis | regeretis． |
|  |  | reg | （a） | e | re | nt | regerent． |
|  | S． 2. | reg | （a） | e | － | － | rege． |
|  | 2. | reg | （a） | $\stackrel{1}{i}$ | 二 | to | regito． |
|  | $\bigcirc$ | reg | （a） | i | 二 | to | regito． |
|  | P．2． | reg | （a） | i | 二 | tote | regite． |
|  | 3. | reg | （a） | u | － | nto | regunto． |
| Mood and Tense． |  |  |  |  |  | Case Affix． |  |
| Pres．Inf． |  | reg | （a） | e |  | e（Dat．） | regere． |
| Pres．Part． |  | reg | （a） |  | $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{t})$ | S（Nom．） | regens． |
| Gerund．${ }_{\text {Fut．}}$ |  | reg | （a） | e | nd | i（Gen．） | regendi． |
|  |  | reg | （a） | e | ndu | s（Nom．） | regendus． |

## TABLE VI．

## Conjugation of Capio．

Active Voice．Present System．

ANALYTIC．

| Mood and Tense． | Pers． | Root． | Conje Af． |  | Mod．and <br> Temp．Af． | Pers．Affix． |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Early． | Late． |  |  |  |
|  | S． 1. | cap | （ia）＊ | io | － | － | capio． |
|  | 2. | cap | （ia） | i | － | s | capis． |
|  | 3. | cap | （ia） | i | － |  | capit． |
|  | P．${ }_{2}$. | cap | （ia） | i | － | $\mathrm{mus}_{\text {tis }}$ | capimus． |
|  | ${ }_{3}^{2 .}$ | cap | （ia） | iu | 二 | nt | capiunt． |

＊In this table we carry the conjugational affix as far as to ia，the form derived from $j a$ ，by the conversion of $\mathbf{j}$ into its vowel．

| ANALYTIC. |  |  |  |  |  |  | SYNTHETIC. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mood and Tense. | Pers. | Root. | Conj. Af. |  | Mod. and <br> Temp. Af. | Pers. Affix. |  |
|  |  |  | Early. | Late. |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{S} .1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | cap | (ia) | ie | ba | m | capiebam. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ie | ba | s | capiebas. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ie | ba | t | capiebat. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ie | ba | mus | capiebamus. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ie | ba | tis | capiebatis. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ie | ba | nt | capiebant. |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ | cap | (ia) | ia | a | m | capiam. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | j(a) | S | capies. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | i(a) |  | capiet. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | i(a) | mus | capiemus. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | i(a) | tis | capietis. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ |  | (ia) | ia | a | m | capiam. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | a | S | capias. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | a | mus | capiamus. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | a | tis | capiatis. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | ia | a | nt | capiant. |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 1 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 1 . \\ 2 \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ |  | (ia) | c | re | m | caperem. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | e | re | S | caperes. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | e | re | mus | caperemus. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | e | re | tis | caperetis. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | e | re | nt |  |
| シ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { S. } 2 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \\ \text { P. } 2 . \\ 2 . \\ 3 . \end{array}$ |  | (ia) | e | - |  | cape. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | i | - | to | capito. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | i | - | to | capito. |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | i | - | tote | capitot |
|  |  | cap | (ia) | iu | - | nto | capiunto. |
| Mood and Tense. |  |  |  |  |  | Case Affix. |  |
| Pres. Inf. |  | cap | (ia) | e | $\mathbf{r}$ | e (Dat.) | capere. |
| Pres Part. |  | cap | (ia) | ie | n (t) | s (Nom.) | capiens. |
| Gerund. |  | cap | (ia) | ie | nd | i (Gen.) | capiendi. |
| Fat. Part. (Pas.) |  | cap | (ia) | ie | ndu | 8 (Nom.) | capiendus. |

## ANOMALOUS FORMATIONS.

The following list comprises verbs which, in some or all of their parts, are inexplicable by the more general rules of conjugation.

Accumbo $=\mathrm{ad}+$ cubo. The nasal prefixed (by 37) to the labial characteristic takes the form of $m$ (by $\mathbf{4 1}, b$ ).

Apiscor. Root ap (conf. ap-tum), which is strengthened in the present system by the addition of sc with $i$ connective. This same root probably appears also in coepi (for co-api) ; possibly also in capio (for c'-apio, i.e., con-apio); which see.

Bibo, formed from the root bo, by reduplication. The identity of bo with po (conf. po-tum, a draught) rests upon the interchange of the surd and sonant labial.

Cædo. The perfect ce-cīdi contains in its reduplicate syllable the second element of the diphthong $æ$. The long vowel of its radical syllable represents the weight of the diphthongal root.

Capio. Root cap: by reduplication, ca-cap; by syncopation and attenuation, ca-ip; by union of vowels, cep. If, however (vid. apiscor), capio is for conapio, the base cep is obtained without syncopation; thus, c'-ap, c'-a-ap, c'-a-ip, c'-ep.

Cedo, say. This defective verb (occurring only in the imperative) employs no conjugational affix, and hence the concurrent consonants of root and affix require to be accommodated, as follows: ced + to $=($ by 4l, $a)$ ced + do $=$ ce-do by syncopation. With reference to the question whether the syncopated letter was radical or terminational, conf. the closing remark of 129 . The plural accommodates the sonant to the surd, instead of the converse, and makes ced + te $=$ cet-te.

Censeo. The supine when formed without a connective becomes successively cens-tum, cens-sum, cen-sum.

Cerno. Root cre (conf. cre-vi, cre-tum). Cre becomes by metathesis cer; and by 37, cern.

Cœpi $=c o+e p i=c o n+e p i \quad$ (vid. apiscor). For the method of obtaining epi from ap, vid. capio. For similarity of formation, conf. co-epi, from con-ap, with co-egi, from con-ag.

Comminiscor $=$ cum + miniscor ; minisc the base $=\min +$ $\mathbf{i}+\mathbf{s c}$. For the root min, vid. memini.

Conniveo $=\mathbf{c u m}+$ obsolete niveo. $\mathrm{Niv}+\mathrm{si}=\mathrm{nic}+\mathbf{s i}=$ nixi (vid. 26, and conf. nic-to, to wink).

Curro. Curr + sum $=$ cur-sum, by the law that before an affix beginning with a consonant a doubled letter becomes single.

Disco. Root dic: conf. perfect di-dic-i. The present disco therefore stands for dic-sc-o. Conf. for identity of root, doc-eo; and for identity of root and similarity of formation, the Greek di-dasco, for di-dac-sc-o.

Do. Root dă. This is commonly classed as a verb of the first conjugation. It is such, however, only in appearance, the a being not a conjugational affix corrupted from aja, but simply an element of the root, to which, without an intervening affix of conjugation, the other endings are directly appended; e.g., dă-mus, dă-ba-m, dă-re. This serves to explain the nonconformity of do with verbs of the first conjugation in respect to the quantity of its first increment. The Sanskrit has two roots, da and dha, similar in form yet distinct in origin. Of these the former signifies to give, and is represented by the Greek dor. The latter signifies to place, and appears in the Greek thĕ. Both of these roots are represented in Latin by a single form dă, which however, in the sense of to place, occurs only in composition. In the following compounds this element is employed in the sense of to give: de-do, inter-do, red-do, tra-do (for trans-do) ; probably also di-do and pro-do. With the sense of to place, it occurs in the compounds, ad-do, ab-do, circum-do, con-do, in-do, ob-do, per-do, sub-do, super-do; probably e-do. To these are to be added, also, vendo or venundo ( $=$ venum + do), pessundo ( = pessum + do), and credo ( = Sanskrit root śrat, faith, + do). In the first person singular, present indicative active, the radical a becomes o by the same change that the conjugational affix a becomes o in the same part of verbs like reg-o (for reg-a). For the irregular forms of subjunctive made by this verb and its compounds, vid. 84 and 86.

Edo, to eat (vid. 60). Those parts of the verb which employ no connective attach the ending to the root in accordance with the rule, that before $t$ or $s$ the radical $d$ is changed to $s$. Exception. In the second singular indicative and imperative $\mathbf{d}$ is dropped. Observe that in the imperfect subjunctive and present infinitive, the s of the affix has been retained, under the protection of the preceding consonant (conf. 87).

Eo. Root i: this root is not preserved in all the forms of the verb, but is extended (1) to eo, in the first singular, present indic-
ative; (2) to ea in the present subjunctive; (3) to eu before n followed by d or t . While it is impossible to explain these various modifications other than by general reference to the influence of the consonants, with which, by inflection, the original i became associated, it is of interest to notice in this comnection that precisely the same methods of extension have also been employed by the pronominal root $i$, of the pronoun i-s, i-d, \&c. Thus,

1. $\begin{cases}\text { Abl. Sing. } & \text { eo. } \\ \text { Gen. Plur. } & \text { eo-rum. } \\ \text { Acc. Plur. } & \text { eo-s. }\end{cases}$
2. \{Acc. Sing. eu-m.
3. $\begin{cases}\text { Non. Sing. } & \text { ea. } \\ \text { Acc. Sing. } & \text { ea-m. } \\ \text { Abl. Sing. } & \text { ea. } \\ \text { N. \& Acc. Plur. } & \text { ea. } \\ \text { Gen. Plur. } & \text { ea-rum. }\end{cases}$

In the present subjunctive, the modal affix a combines with the final vowel of ea to form $\bar{a}$ long; e.g., eā-mus, eā-tis. I-ns, the unmodified form of the participle, would become, by $\mathbf{1 6}$, e-ns. The requirements of euphony have, however, been satisfied, and the radical i retained, by the insertion of an e inorganic, i-e-ns.

Facio. This verb will be best considered in connection with fio. The Sanskrit root bhu, to be, becomes, by the addition of the passive affix ja, bhu-ja, or, syncopating $u$, and representing bh by the Latin equivalent f, f-ja. In the same mannner as from the original cap-ja, we have seen (at 57) developed the forms cap-io, cap-i-s, cap-i-t, \&c.; so, from f-ja is derived the Latin f-io, f-i-s, fi-t.

This same root bhu appears also in the strengthened form bhav. Now, the affix aja is, in general, employed in Sanskrit with a causal signification: e.g., bodh-a-ti $=$ he knours (vid. 50); but bodh-aja-ti $=$ he informs, i.e., he causes to know. As then bhu, or bhav, means to be, bhav-aja means to cause to be, i.e., to make. It has been already seen that in some cases $\mathbf{v}$ is hardened to a palatal; e.g., fluc-si for fluv-si, from flu-o. Substituting in the Sanskrit bhav-aja $f$ for bh, as above, and $c$ for $v$, there would result the form fac-aja, whose development in the first plural, for example, into fac-1-mus, differs from the development of sop-aja into sop-i-mus (vid. 54) only in the quantity of its vowel. Admitting the correctness of this explanation. facio must then be
considered as radically identical with fio, and standing to it in the relation of causal to primitive. The perfect feci contains a concealed reduplication (vid. 46); fa-fac, fa-fic, fa-ic, fec.

Fero. This verb originally formed its parts without the use of a conjugational affix. Traces of this method of conjugation are still retained, - (1) in the second and third person singular of the present indicative; fer-s, fer-t: ferr-is (by assimilation for fersis), fert-ur ; (2) in the second plural, indicative active, fertis; (3) throughout the imperfect subjunctive, fer-rem, \&c.; and (t) in the present infinitive, fer-re. In the subjunctive and imperative, we might have expected that under the protection of the preceding consonant, the $s$ of the original affix would be retained. Its conversion to $\mathbf{r}$ results, in this instance, from assimilation. Compare, in this respect, vel-lem for vel-sem. In the parts not specified above, the verb is inflected after the analogy of the third conjugation; i.e., in the use of the affix a. The root fer occurs only in the present system. For the bases tul and la of the perfect and supine, see tollo.

Ferveo. In the perfect ferb-ui (for ferv-ui), the radical $\mathbf{v}$ is represented by its associate cognate sonant.

Figo. In the supine, contrary to rule, the t of tum is converted to $s$ after the palatal. Hence, fig-tum, fic-tum, fic-sum, fixum.

Findo. Root fid. In explanation of the perfect fidi, vid. 47.
Fio. Vid. facio.
Fluo. A secondary form of the root flu, occurring in the second and third systems, is fluv (vid. 10). Thus, fluxi for fluc-si, and this for fluv-si (by 26). Supine, fluv + tum $=$ fluc + tum $=$ fluc + sum $=$ fluxum.

Frango. Root frag. Full form of the perfect made by reduplication, fra-fragi, which became successively fra-frigi, fra-igi, fregi.

Frendeo. Root probably fred.
Fruor, root fru or (by 10) fruv; from the latter, fructus for fruvtus. This verb is also explained by supposing the present to have syncopated a radical palatal, -fruor for frugor.

Gaudeo. Gaud + tus = gaud + sus $=$ gau + sus. In the union of the affix with the base, the verb assumes long i after the analogy of the fourth conjugation, necessarily accompanying this insertion with the conversion of $u$ into $v$; hence, gav-i-sus.

Gigno. Vid. 49.
Gnosco. Root gno (later, no). When in composition with ad or con, the root attenuates its o to $\mathbf{i}$ in the forms of the third system; e.g., ag-nĭ-tum (for ag-gnĭ-tum).

Hæreo. This verb is exceptional to 35 , in that it converts the $\mathbf{t}$ of the supine into $\mathbf{s}$, and syncopates the characteristic.

Haurio. For hausum, vid. haesum from haereo.
Inquam, i.e., in + quam : early root (retained only in the first singular of the present indicative), qua; later form, qui. Though a pure verb, it employs a conjugational affix, - viz., a, - limiting its use, however, to precisely those forms in which the a of ia (ja) is retained in verbs like capio, and subjecting it to the same euphonic changes. Though coinciding thus in appearance with verbs in io, of conjugation third, the resemblance is purely accidental; for while in them the $\mathbf{i}$ is derived from the $\mathbf{j}$ of $\mathbf{j a}$, in the verb we are considering it is an element of the root.

Jacio. Root jac. Perfeet, ja-jaci, ja-jici, ja-ici, jeci.
Jubeo. In this verb the $t$ of the supine is converted into $s$, and both in the perfeet and supine the radical labial assimilated. Hence jus-si and jus-sum, for the regular jup-si and jup-tum (vid. 25 and 31).

Jungo. Root jug. Although appearing in all the forms of the verb, the nasal is not to be considered as radical. Conf. jug-um, con-jug-is.
Labor. Participle lap-sus, for the regular lap-tus.
La-tum. Vid. tollo.
Lavo. Of the three forms of supine lav-a-tum, lau-tum, lo-tum, the first is regular. The second converts $v$ into $u$ (lautum for lav-tum), the third combines au of the second into o , by 8 .

Linquo. Root liqu. Conf. liqu-i, lic-tum (in re-lictum).
Malo. Vid. volo.
Memini. Root man, to think. This is a perfect formed by reduplication accompanied with the usual attenuation. Compare, in the latter respect, ce-cidi from root cad. The same root with the attenuation of its vowel appears in com-min-iscor, and re-min-iscor, also in mon-eo (Sanskrit man-aja; vid. 53), in which eo is the corruption of the causal affix aja. Mon-eo therefore signifies to cause to think, i.e., to advise.

Metior. Root ma (conf. mo-dus); later form, met. The
participle men-sus is for ment-sus, the $n$ being a euphonic insertion, and the $t$ disappearing according to rule. As regards the euphonic nasal, compare the Latin mensis formed from the same root ma.

Misceo. Root mig. $\operatorname{Mig}+\mathrm{sc}=$ (by syncopation) misc, the base of the present and perfect. The full form of the supine, including root, inchoative sign, and modal affix, would be mig-sc-tum. This is corrupted to the form mix-tum, by the syncopation of the second palatal, c ; and to mis-tum, by the sacrifice of both $c$ and $g$.

Morior. The perfect participle passive is regularly formed in the use of tu. Forms in tuu (for tvu) seem also to have occurred. Conf. fa-tuu-s from root fa. To this class is to be referred the perfect participle mor-tuu-s, root mor.

Nanciscor. Root nac. The root inserts in the first system (and optionally in the third) a euphonic $\mathbf{n}$, and adds the affix sc.
Nitor. Of the two forms of participle nisus and nixus, the first is regular. In explanation of the second, which contains a palatal, I conjecture a connection with nicto, to strive.

Nolo. Vid. Volo.
Pango. Root pag. The perfect pegi is for paigi and that for pa-pigi.

Pello. Root pal; hence pe-pul-i, pul-sum. This seems originally to have been conjugated as a verb in io of the third conjugation, and to have assimilated the $\mathbf{i}$; pello for pel-io.

Percello $=$ per + obsolete cello. The perfect per-cŭli is for per-ce-cŭl-i; and the present cel-lo for cel-io. Conf. pello.

Pingo. n is probably euphonic. Conf. pic-tum and the substantive pig-mentum.

Pinso. $n$ euphonic. Conf. pis-tum, and the substantives pis-tor and pis-trinum.

Pono for pos-n-o, n euphonic.
Posco. Root prac; whence by attenuation, prec; by attenuation and aphæresis, roc or rog; by attenuation and metathesis, porc. These three forms of the root, prec, rog, porc, appear respectively in prec-or, rog-o, po-sc-o, for porc-sc-o. For the syncopation of rc before the inchoative sc, conf. di-sc-o for dic-sc-o.

Poto. Root pot, lengthened from po (vid. bibo). Of the
two supines, pot-a-tum and po-tum, the first is formed from the longer, the second from the shorter root.

Premo. Perfect pres-si for prem-si. Supine pres-sum for prem-sum.

Quæro (by 36) for quæso, which also occurs.
Rumpo. Root rup. Vid. 41.
scindo. Root scid. The perfect scĭdi probably results from an old reduplicated form by the aphæresis of the repeated syllable.

Sero, to sow; perfect se-vi, supine sa-tum. The authorities give no clew to a valid method of reconciling the three forms, ser, se, sa, under which the root of the verb appears. I suggest the following. There is no part of a verb from which the original root may be with more confidence deduced, than the supine. Here we find not sar-tum, but sa-tum. It is more natural, then, to consider sar a lengthening of sa, than sa an abbreviation of sar. This view is confirmed by the se of the perfect se-vi, and still more by the noun of agency, sa-tor, which, uniformly with the method by which in general this formation is obtained, we should expect to be compounded of the root in its earliest form and the affix tor. How then is ser to be derived from sa. It must be either by the addition of $\mathbf{r}$, or by the addition of some other consonant subsequently converted to $r$. To affirm the original assumption of $r$, is to suppose a case quite exceptional to the general method of Latin formation. Had either of the linguals $\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{l}$, been affixed, there could have been nothing in the position between two vowels to occasion its couversion into $r$. Still less ground is there for supposing an original labial or palatal. Only s remains, a letter which not only finds a possible representative in $r$, but one which, if standing between two vowels, as in the case under discussion, we should have expected to be thus converted, conformably to the almost universal requirements of the language. But what is the explanation of an original addition of s? We answer, reduplication. It is true that as the reduplication of gen gives gi-gen (later gi-gn), and that of bo gives bi-b (vid. bibo), we might have expected in place of se-s, si-s, and thus in place of se-r-o, si-r-o ; but the use of e instead of $\mathbf{i}$, in the present instance, is only in accord with the principle already stated (at 16), and abundantly illustrated, that the general tendency of $r$ is to convert the preceding $i$ into $e$.

Sisto. Root sta. For the formation of the present, vid. 42 and 49. For the perfect, vid. sto.

Sperno. Root spre, by metathesis sper; $\mathbf{n}$ euphonic.
Spondeo. Vid. 44.
Sterno. Conf. sperno.
Sto. Root sta. The perfect of both sto and sisto is formed by the reduplication of sta aecording to 44 .

Struo. Root stru or struv. Conf. fluo.
Sum. Vid. "Table I."
Tango. Root tag. $n$ euphonic.
Temno. Root tem. In the simple verb p is never inserted before s and t (conf. 27 and 33) ; but optionally con-tem-si, or con-tem-p-si ; so the supine.

Tendo. The supiue ten-tum is exceptional to 34 in its retention of the affix $t$.

Tero. Root tri; by metathesis tir; by 16 ter.
Tollo. Root tal. This root seems to have been conjugated originally as a verb in io of conjugation third. Thus tal-io, or by attenuation tol-io; by assimilation, tol-lo. The perfect tŭli (appearing in sus-tŭli) results from the original te-tŭli (used by Plautus), by the aphæresis of the reduplicate syllable. The regular form of supine would be tal-tum, or by metathesis tla-tum. It is probable that la-tum is a corruption from this by aphæresis.

Tuli. Vid. tollo.
Tundo. Root tud.
Volo. The old root val appears under the three attenuated forms, vul, vol, vel. In the following parts the verb retains its original method of inflection without a conjugational affix: the present indicative ( $u$ in vol-u-mus is simply connective), except the first person singular, the present and imperfect subjunctive, and present infinitive. The present subjunctive is an optative ; vel-1̆-m for vel-i-m, and this for vel-ja-m or vel-ie-m. The imperfeet subjunctive assimilates the s of the affix; vel-lem for vel-sem. So the infinitive vel-le for vel-se. In other parts the verb employs the affix of conjugation third. Like volo is conjugated malo (magis + volo) and nolo (non + volo).
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[^0]:    * Pronounced as though spelled aya.

[^1]:    * Vid. "Anomalous Formations."

[^2]:    * Aphæresis is the loss of a syllable or letter at the beginning of a word.

[^3]:    * A dash denotes that a part is wanting.

[^4]:    ＊Brackets are here used to enclose that element of the modal affix which does not appear in the synthetic form．
    $\dagger$ Vid． 101.
    $\ddagger$ The future infinitive combines the future participle with esse．

[^5]:    $-$

