

BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 9999 06422 232 4

GOVT. DOC.

See inside of  
cover for  
sources of  
pre-1896  
voting stats.

FRAGILE

DO NOT  
PHOTOCOPY

No. 6352.62



1896

GIVEN BY

*Boston Board of Elections*

Some earlier stats. appear in  
Newspapers after the "pages" morning  
Election day.

3 Oct 1988

may be seen  
on microfiche

Source of voting statistics for:

1895 = City Doc. No. 9 for 1896

1894 = City Doc. No. 30 for 1895

1893 = City Doc. No. 30 for 1894

1892 = City Doc. No. 30 for 1893

1891 = City Doc. No. 31 for 1892

pre-1891 in Newspapers?



# ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

# BOARD OF ELECTION COMMISSIONERS,

FOR THE

YEAR 1896.



3983  
4

BOSTON :  
ROCKWELL AND CHURCHILL, CITY PRINTERS.  
1897.

1897

1897

YRABU DUBIN  
ENT TO  
KOTDOR 40YTO

6718 - 12

1897

BOSTON, February 10, 1897.

HON. JOSIAH QUINCY,

*Mayor of the City of Boston:*

SIR: In compliance with the requirements of Sect. 22 of Chap. 3 of the Revised Ordinances, the Board of Election Commissioners respectfully submits its annual report for the year 1896. The past year was one of unusual activity for this department. In addition to the duties regularly incident to a presidential year, the Board was required by an act of the Legislature, passed in February, 1896, to prepare, from the voting lists of 1895, new lists of registered voters arranged upon the new ward and precinct lines, which lists were to be used as check lists at all caucuses to be held in 1896, prior to the State election. This work was hardly completed before it became necessary to prepare for the new general registration of the male voters of the city of Boston, provided for by Chap. 449 of the Acts of 1895, as amended by Chap. 547 of the Acts of 1896. This act required that a new general registration of all the male voters in the city of Boston be made between the fifteenth day of June and the first day of October, 1896. In compliance with these requirements registration was opened at the central office, Old Court House, Court square, on the fifteenth day of June and continued until 10 o'clock in the evening of September 30.

During this time 49,546 names were registered at the central office, or an average of about 550 per day. This law also required that such outside registration places should be opened during the month of September as would be necessary to reasonably accommodate the public. It became necessary, therefore, for the Election Commissioners to determine the best method of carrying out this provision of law. They finally determined that an average of one

registration place for each two precincts would accomplish this result. Accordingly, during the month of July the Commissioners selected one hundred and five sub-registration places and for this purpose ward-rooms were opened, election booths were placed upon private land and in some cases in the public streets, stores were hired, and the use of school-houses was obtained. These offices were located in the most convenient and most accessible portion of each ward, and were equipped with the necessary furniture and stationery.

Four hundred and twenty-eight assistant registrars were required to do the work of registration at these various offices, for the Board decided that to do this work properly two details of registrars were necessary, one to serve from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M. and the other from 4 to 10 P.M. At the outset the Commissioners had some difficulty in determining how the registration books could be cared for and securely held over night, without having them brought each night to the central office; such a method would be extremely expensive, very cumbersome, and attended with no little risk. This difficulty was finally overcome, with the assistance of the Police Department. The Police Commissioners very promptly and cheerfully, upon request from this department, arranged to have the books taken by police officers from each sub-registration office to the nearest police station each night, and returned by the officers in the morning. We are greatly indebted to the Police Department for this service. Before delivery to the officers the books were placed in bags made for the purpose, and sealed by the registration officers, and returned to them again in the morning with the seal unbroken. It was also necessary that the Commissioners should be in receipt of daily advice as to the conduct of the sub-registration offices, and the manner in which the sub-registrars performed their duties. To this end the city was divided into eleven inspection districts, and an expert registrar appointed inspector for each district.

Each inspector was required to visit each registration office in his district at least twice each day, between 8 A.M.



and 10 P.M., and as much oftener as circumstances would permit, and to report each morning to the Commissioners. By this system the Board was kept in constant communication with the outside stations.

When these details were finally arranged the sub-registrars were selected, and they appeared before the Commissioners, and were qualified and received such instructions as were necessary to the proper performance of their duties. On September 1, at 8 A.M., one hundred and five registration offices were opened, and each man was at his post ready to perform his duty. In conjunction with these outside offices there was opened at 32 Tremont street, in the Old Probate Building, a central bureau, where daily returns from these outside offices were made.

It was here that the inspectors met daily to make their reports, and to receive their daily list of corrections and criticisms for the men at the outside stations. This system was successfully carried out during the month of September, and at the close of registration on September 30, there were 47,200 names registered at these outside offices.

This made a total registration at the central office and the outside offices of 96,746. An analysis of this registration of the foreign born, native born, and Boston born discloses the following: Foreign born, 30,519; native born, 66,227, of which 31,770 were born in Boston. A further analysis of the places of birth of the foreign-born citizens appears upon a table included in this report. Perhaps it may not be improper to remark that some idea of the accuracy with which this work of registration was done may be formed when it is said that never in the history of registration in Boston was there less complaint made at the central office on election day on account of mistakes in registration, nor were there ever fewer certificates of registration issued to voters whose names were registered, but through error were not included in the printed check lists furnished for use at the polls. We say this wishing to make some public acknowledgment of the faithful work performed by our assistant registrars, without whose intelligent coöperation the efforts of the Commissioners could not have been productive of such gratifying results.

#### CAUCUSES AND ELECTIONS.

Nine caucuses and two elections were held during the year. It is unnecessary to enter upon the details of this part of our work. The caucuses in general were conducted in a fairly satisfactory manner. The ward-rooms and other

polling-places were opened promptly and the balloting proceeded in an orderly manner; there were no disturbances at the polls, except in the case of one ward of the city, and the count of the votes was honestly and fairly made. To this latter statement we must make an exception in the case of the ward above referred to, where in our opinion a gross fraud was perpetrated upon two occasions, and other irregularities in the conduct of the caucuses in that ward were brought to our attention. Without exception the conduct of the elections was highly gratifying, except for the delay on the part of the precinct officers in making their returns. In this matter of making the returns of the result of the balloting much remains to be desired. In many wards the caucus returns are not made to this office until early in the day succeeding that on which the caucuses are held, and the same is true of elections. In the case of caucuses this is due in the first place to the great number of ballots cast and the large number of delegates upon each ballot. This difficulty could be avoided in a great measure if the voter could indicate by one mark the delegation of his choice instead of as at present being obliged to make a cross opposite the name of each delegate that he desires to vote for; this consumes time in marking the ballot and confuses the caucus officers in making the count. The delay in receiving the returns from elections is quite as bad as that in the case of caucuses. In this case, however, the Board is somewhat at a loss to account for the great delay. But we are inclined to the opinion that it is due to the lack of system in counting and in some cases to the inexperience of the election officers, for with an average of less than five hundred votes cast in each precinct at each election, from six to ten hours seems an extraordinary length of time to make the canvass. The Board is convinced, however, that with more time given in which to appoint the election officers, they can be instructed in their duties, and some uniform system of counting can be established that will remove in a great measure this cause of complaint. On the subject of nomination papers for caucuses the Board would say that the names of delegates on these papers are written in such a manner as to be oftentimes almost wholly illegible. We would suggest, therefore, to the committees having this matter in charge the propriety of having the names of nominees typewritten, or if they cannot do this at least to pay more attention to having the names written in a legible manner. We make this suggestion because we realize the importance of the duty which we perform in preparing the ballots, which must be made up from the copy thus furnished to us. A mistake in making

up the ballot might be a serious matter and leave the Board open to unjust criticism.

#### RECOUNTS.

The recounts for the year, both for caucuses and elections, were numerous and in many cases wholly unnecessary. We distinctly state that the right to petition for a recount is in many cases grossly abused. The Board is more fully convinced than ever of the necessity of some legislation further restricting the right of having the ballots of caucuses and elections recounted. In the majority of cases the recounts made no change in the original returns, thus demonstrating the accuracy and honesty of the election officers. We must except from this statement, however, the two apparent instances of fraud before referred to in this report, but are pleased to report that this is the only exception to this rule that has been brought to our attention.

#### BALLOT LAW COMMISSION.

The Ballot Law Commissioners of the city of Boston were called upon to hear three cases during the past year. Two of these were disposed of after a brief hearing, the objections in both cases being wholly based upon some trivial technicality, and the objections were not sustained. The third hearing was had upon objections to nomination papers filed for independent candidates for Street Commissioner and the Board of Aldermen. After a hearing covering a part of two days, and before the objectors had closed their evidence, the independent nominees declined to proceed farther, and the Board unanimously decided to sustain the objections.

#### JURIES.

The Board of Election Commissioners are also required by statute to prepare the jury list for the city of Boston. By virtue of this statute it becomes the duty of this Board, between the first of January and the first of March in each year, to select, from the registered voters of the city, about five thousand citizens, who may be called upon during the year to perform the jury duty in the courts of this county. In the performance of this duty the Board endeavors to make its selections in such a manner that all classes of our citizens will have representation upon this list, but we do not lose sight of the fact that what is desirable in a juror above everything else is intelligence, honesty, and integrity. We therefore endeavor to perform this duty always having these

two ideas in mind ; and we may add, that our efforts in this direction are attended with a fair degree of success, as may be attested by the character of the juries that are drawn from the list furnished by us to the City Clerk.

In its last annual report this Board recommended that legislation be directed towards simplifying and consolidating the present caucus laws, and we are gratified to observe that the present Legislature has a bill under consideration looking toward this end. We would suggest further, that more time be given this department for the selection, qualification, and instruction of election officers. Under the present law these appointments cannot be made before September. It would appear that ample time is now given for this purpose, but when we consider that there are 2,292 of these officers, each one of whom must appear before a commissioner to be qualified, and that September and October are the busy months of the year, we easily arrive at the conclusion that the work of these appointments could be better performed in an earlier part of the year.

In closing, we would add that the booths and election furniture have been thoroughly repaired during the year, at considerable expense, but the work done was absolutely necessary, and in the end will save the city a much greater outlay. We have at our disposal for election purposes fifty-six booths and twenty-five ward-rooms ; we are dependent upon the school department for about seventy-five school-houses, and for the rest we are obliged each year to hire such stores, halls, and other places as we can secure at election time. It seems desirable that this department should be equipped in this respect with a full number of voting booths for the one hundred and ninety-one voting precincts of the city.

#### EXPENSES.

The expenses of the department during the past year were necessarily increased on account of the new general registration. At the beginning of the year, the Board estimated that the cost of this work would be \$100,000, but the City Council appropriated only \$90,000 for this purpose. The Board found it impossible to complete the registration for this latter amount, and was obliged to call for a further sum to meet the expenses incurred. The total amount expended, however, on this account, fell within the estimate of \$100,000 originally submitted. The following is a detailed statement of the expenses of the department for the financial year ending January 31, 1897, both on account of the general registration, and of the regular expenses of the department :

The expenditures of the department for the financial year ending January 31, 1897, are as follows :

## ELECTION DEPARTMENT.

Salaries, Commissioners . . . . .	\$14,500	00
Salaries, Secretary, Deputy, Mes- senger, and Assistant Registrars, regular . . . . .	17,632	79
Salaries, Assistant Registrars, tem- porary . . . . .	8,662	01
Salaries, Precinct Officers . . . . .	21,440	50
Posting Voting-Lists and Specimen Ballots . . . . .	888	25
Lighting and heating ward-rooms and polling-places . . . . .	958	15
Stationery for office, caucuses and elections . . . . .	1,830	54
Rent of stores for polling-places . . . . .	1,960	00
Rent of storehouse, storage of booths, etc. . . . .	966	66
Rent of land for location of booths for elections . . . . .	510	00
Incidentals . . . . .	2,345	35
Printing for caucuses, elections, etc. . . . .	11,243	05
Advertising . . . . .	1,377	25
Repair of, and furniture for, ward- rooms and election polling- places . . . . .	7,524	33
Janitors, caucuses and elections . . . . .	2,975	85
Erection and repair of booths . . . . .	9,585	85
Carriages for caucuses and elec- tions . . . . .	4,334	36
Teaming . . . . .	1,583	00
Preparing precinct maps . . . . .	863	20
Assessors' clerks, preparing list of assessed polls . . . . .	3,495	48
Rent of ward-rooms . . . . .	65	00
	<hr/>	\$114,741 62

## NEW GENERAL REGISTER OF QUALIFIED VOTERS.

Salaries, Assistant Registrars . . . . .	\$64,490	67
Lighting and heating registration places . . . . .	1,985	93
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<i>Amounts carried forward,</i>	\$66,476	60
		\$114,741 62

<i>Amounts brought forward,</i>	\$66,476 60	\$114,741 62
Stationery . . . . .	900 80	
Incidentals . . . . .	1,870 49	
Printing voting-lists and registers,	13,193 54	
Advertising names of registered voters, etc. . . . .	5,251 21	
Carriages . . . . .	547 05	
Janitors . . . . .	6,643 20	
Erection and repair of booths for registration purposes . . . . .	437 15	
Teaming . . . . .	277 43	
Repair, etc., of registration places,	2,180 74	
Rent of land for booths, registra- tion . . . . .	1,696 50	
	<hr/>	99,474 71
Total . . . . .		<hr/> <u>\$214,216 33</u>

The following pages show in tabulated form the number of assessed polls, registered voters, and votes cast in this city at the State and municipal elections of 1896, together with a schedule showing the proportion of male voters whose names are borne upon the voting lists, that were born in the United States (exclusive of Boston), those born in Boston, and those of foreign birth.

Respectfully submitted,

LINUS E. PEARSON,  
JAMES J. COSTELLO,  
GEORGE B. BILLINGS,  
TIMOTHY F. McDONOUGH,

*Board of Election Commissioners.*

# TABULATED STATEMENT

OF

ASSESSED POLLS, REGISTERED VOTERS, AND  
VOTES CAST AT STATE AND  
MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS,

1896,

TOGETHER WITH A SCHEDULE SHOWING THE PROPOR-  
TION OF MALE VOTERS WHOSE NAMES ARE BORNE  
UPON THE VOTING LISTS, THAT WERE BORN IN THE  
UNITED STATES (EXCLUSIVE OF BOSTON), THOSE  
BORN IN BOSTON, AND THOSE OF FOREIGN BIRTH.





## Registered Voters (men) at State Election, 1896.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Totals by Wards.	Per cent. Assessed Polls Registered by Wards.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		
1 .....	412	424	551	477	526	438	553	574	201	4,156	67.33
2 .....	461	393	497	460	373	452	519	578	....	3,733	58.24
3 .....	452	495	463	523	540	450	....	....	....	2,923	70.70
4 .....	479	448	511	493	451	406	....	....	....	2,788	66.14
5 .....	461	515	498	365	544	451	....	....	....	2,834	63.99
6 .....	405	440	524	482	544	455	538	439	....	3,827	47.36
7 .....	495	449	587	534	570	669	....	....	....	3,304	54.07
8 .....	619	798	617	696	699	769	....	....	....	4,198	52.02
9 .....	584	655	498	611	602	533	553	....	....	4,036	48.57
10 .....	483	499	490	458	473	503	664	566	390	4,526	62.11
11 .....	523	551	565	544	383	360	460	377	352	4,115	68.58
12 .....	635	606	522	663	627	690	571	....	....	4,314	66.64
13 .....	519	527	433	472	505	434	472	486	....	3,848	48.98
14 .....	621	535	442	408	458	465	477	533	....	3,939	67.38
15 .....	354	455	472	449	486	438	483	486	....	3,623	68.22
16 .....	471	514	515	569	524	496	610	....	....	3,699	72.20
17 .....	463	424	413	463	424	436	454	601	429	4,107	65.68
18 .....	535	616	651	681	648	686	....	....	....	3,817	52.49
19 .....	463	478	557	567	440	526	522	441	....	3,994	59.88
20 .....	687	576	512	510	569	592	582	546	....	4,574	71.31
21 .....	525	511	344	482	487	469	590	595	483	4,486	71.59
22 .....	650	569	458	593	576	426	498	451	....	4,221	65.51
23 .....	361	451	455	516	532	536	330	460	275	3,916	71.81
24 .....	436	463	532	457	455	438	592	594	468	4,435	69.96
25 .....	579	542	408	412	448	468	476	....	....	3,333	67.14
Total .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	96,746	

Average per cent. of men assessed who registered, 62.06.

**Number of Votes cast for President at National  
Election, 1896.**

Wards.	PRECINCT 1.						PRECINCT 2.						
	BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).	MCKINLEY (Republican).	PALMER (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).	MCKINLEY (Republican).
1	54	7	1	1	299	9	30	1	1	1	341	5	
2	258	18			6 126	9	150	8	1	1	1 128	14	
3	68	5	3	1	301	19	192	25	2	3	183	5	
4	199	6	5		154	15	78	8	3	22	284	6	
5	77	8	2	1	314	14	181	27	1	1	1 211	18	
6	202	23	3		77	5	242	14	2	7	104	9	
7	126	12	3	1	2 222	17	124	17	4	1	4 186	17	
8	305	10	1	19	140	6	275	28	3	2	6 317	8	
9	220	10	3	1	122 191	8	167	67	3	1	24 279	18	
10	97	5	1	5	251	7	103	21	5	6	314	13	
11	64	6	1	1	4 353	27	84	3	4	361	2		
12	51	7	5	2	3 479	34	42	9	1	5	471	25	
13	306	17	2		72	8	302	20	4	2	2 74	9	
14	247	28	2		192	13	242	3	5	77	44		
15	133	8		4	153	5	228	14	1	5	39	10	
16	167	14	9	4	214	8	200	17	4	4	178	9	
17	152	14	2	1	131	7	183	12	8	1	111	1	
18	208	15	1	1	7 221	12	184	12	1	2	5 292	10	
19	149	10	3	9	156	11	159	14	4	1	5 172	7	
20	137	16	3		441	34	110	12	4	2	378	24	
21	78	6	5	1	353	19	113	17	2	5	1 295	17	
22	115	20	2	2	5 422	27	200	18	4	7	188	12	
23	54	3	2	245		20	193	18	1	120	17		
24	119	12	2	1	198	11	119	21	2	247	5		
25	88	19	2	375		11	38	11	1	431	16		

Number of Votes cast for President at National Election, 1896. — *Continued.*

PRECINCT 3.						PRECINCT 4.						Wards.			
BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).	MCKINLEY (Republican).	PALMER (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).		MCKINLEY (Republican).	PALMER (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	
54	11	4	1	1	426	10	49	4	1	.....	1	386	7	.....	1
150	20	2	.....	.....	205	16	170	20	.....	.....	3	153	9	.....	2
152	17	2	2	1	198	24	232	23	3	.....	.....	100	10	.....	3
94	19	2	1	.....	336	16	158	12	1	.....	4	205	17	.....	4
241	27	5	.....	.....	77	4	105	7	.....	4	.....	154	8	.....	5
222	21	8	.....	27	173	12	234	7	3	.....	.....	153	3	.....	6
173	15	6	.....	2	270	15	86	6	3	1	4	310	5	.....	7
67	6	2	2	3	461	20	269	15	6	.....	15	264	23	.....	8
303	19	3	.....	14	81	5	213	14	.....	2	5	249	14	.....	9
49	9	2	1	3	357	12	39	10	1	2	.....	365	8	.....	10
94	8	1	.....	4	355	22	48	11	1	6	.....	358	74	.....	11
100	8	1	4	.....	294	14	120	14	1	6	2	442	26	.....	12
280	12	2	.....	1	42	7	260	18	3	1	1	95	8	.....	13
162	20	2	.....	.....	181	18	112	13	.....	.....	2	251	13	.....	14
175	33	3	1	1	162	12	168	13	7	4	.....	194	16	.....	15
134	12	.....	1	2	248	10	82	11	.....	1	.....	410	24	.....	16
76	7	1	.....	.....	267	24	76	13	1	1	.....	290	19	.....	17
122	9	5	3	15	395	17	158	32	6	1	7	343	9	.....	18
259	23	2	2	.....	106	14	213	15	3	.....	4	171	18	.....	19
96	11	1	.....	1	318	27	143	9	3	2	.....	219	15	.....	20
53	7	3	.....	1	224	23	64	11	3	.....	2	361	15	.....	21
155	15	2	.....	2	145	11	96	18	3	.....	18	372	17	.....	22
168	16	3	.....	4	144	10	135	9	1	5	3	273	15	.....	23
117	8	1	2	.....	328	18	86	6	4	2	.....	278	21	.....	24
36	9	1	2	.....	309	16	179	11	1	.....	1	117	9	.....	25

**Number of Votes cast for President at National  
Election, 1896. — Continued.**

Wards.	PRECINCT 5.						PRECINCT 6.							
	BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).	MCKINLEY (Republican).	PALMER (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).	MCKINLEY (Republican).	PALMER (Democratic National Nomination Paper).
1	107	10	2	1	1	321	10	185	16	.....	.....	1	141	7
2	205	10	.....	1	.....	110	6	175	5	...	2	.....	197	14
3	278	32	2	1	1	127	25	246	8	1	.....	1	57	12
4	230	.....	.....	.....	.....	129	1	91	6	.....	5	2	235	10
5	203	19	1	.....	1	166	14	143	15	.....	2	.....	164	8
6	145	6	1	4	2	302	16	59	5	.....	5	2	315	21
7	271	37	2	2	7	113	13	299	30	2	1	1	161	14
8	344	6	5	.....	22	202	12	355	8	1	2	5	322	19
9	119	64	19	5	1	332	9	73	6	5	5	5	362	18
10	20	.....	2	1	1	382	9	25	4	2	1	1	413	22
11	11	.....	.....	.....	.....	304	55	9	1	.....	.....	.....	310	26
12	83	5	5	2	1	428	17	180	16	2	1	1	327	31
13	242	13	4	.....	.....	115	11	211	25	3	1	.....	119	7
14	105	7	4	2	4	263	15	100	13	2	1	3	274	11
15	165	39	2	.....	4	205	11	110	18	2	.....	4	248	14
16	94	16	.....	1	2	333	21	29	3	1	1	.....	408	29
17	168	17	2	.....	5	139	9	186	16	.....	.....	.....	84	3
18	286	27	1	.....	2	147	10	280	.....	.....	.....	4	315	36
19	139	12	2	2	8	173	19	188	18	3	.....	6	209	24
20	95	18	.....	1	.....	374	74	85	12	2	3	2	443	22
21	78	8	4	3	2	323	15	18	11	.....	2	2	386	20
22	117	14	5	1	21	318	18	47	3	5	4	2	332	7
23	151	18	2	.....	6	290	14	44	9	3	4	2	417	10
24	52	7	.....	3	.....	339	18	81	6	2	1	3	267	12
25	118	18	1	1	.....	226	19	78	24	6	6	5	284	34

Proportion of men registered who voted for President, 84.91 per cent.



**Number of Votes cast for President at National  
Election, 1896. — Concluded.**

Wards.	PRECINCT 9.						TOTALS.								
	BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).	McKINLEY (Republican).	PALMER (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (Democratic).	BRYAN (Democratic Nomination Paper).	BRYAN (People's Party).	LEVERING (Prohibition).	MATCHETT (Socialist Labor).	McKINLEY* (Republican).	PALMER (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	For all Presidential Candidates.
1	32	5	2			128	6	883	83	13	7	5	2,553	76	3,620
2								1,693	105	5	4	10	1,173	91	3,081
3								1,168	110	10	6	7	966	95	2,362
4								850	51	11	6	28	1,343	65	2,354
5								950	103	9	8	2	1,086	66	2,224
6								1,534	112	19	9	48	1,396	72	3,190
7								1,079	117	20	6	20	1,262	81	2,585
8								1,615	73	18	6	70	1,706	88	3,576
9								1,383	186	39	16	203	1,633	82	3,542
10	32	4	2	1		299	21	459	79	22	17	11	3,282	153	4,023
11	19	2				291	26	340	34	7	8	8	3,043	309	3,749
12								739	86	17	22	8	2,696	175	3,743
13								2,101	156	22	4	9	685	71	3,048
14								1,241	122	17	6	16	1,722	137	3,261
15								1,284	168	18	9	25	1,431	86	3,021
16								931	92	16	5	13	2,029	123	3,209
17	112	17		1		223	22	1,343	142	20	4	9	1,623	101	3,242
18								1,238	95	14	7	40	1,713	94	3,201
19								1,495	122	20	6	40	1,333	115	3,131
20								792	97	14	9	6	3,015	240	4,173
21	62	17	1	2		342	33	603	89	14	20	11	3,154	201	4,092
22								901	106	26	9	65	2,383	126	3,616
23	63	17	1		2	139	10	882	105	15	13	22	2,241	116	3,394
24	77	8	2	5	5	288	19	820	119	14	17	13	2,754	148	3,885
25								685	107	13	9	6	1,933	123	2,876
Totals								27,009	2,659	413	233	695	48,155	3,034	82,198

\* Elected.

Number of Votes cast for Governor, at State Election, 1896.

Wards.	PRECINCT 1.							PRECINCT 2.								
	BROPHY (Socialist Labor).	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS. (No designation).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	BROPHY (Socialist Labor).	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (No designation).	WOLCOTT (Republican).
1.....	2	1	16	48	....	4	....	283	2	2	12	20	....	3	....	331
2.....	8	1	20	196	10	22	....	114	4	3	32	126	4	21	1	110
3.....	4	2	26	50	4	3	....	291	7	....	14	171	8	31	6	174
4.....	3	2	25	181	3	15	8	145	1	1	11	65	5	11	1	268
5.....	4	1	19	63	2	9	2	303	1	1	33	139	9	30	4	216
6.....	4	1	15	197	8	20	....	64	8	2	27	160	5	40	1	90
7.....	4	2	26	112	4	21	....	219	7	2	32	77	19	29	14	195
8.....	24	5	21	263	7	31	18	115	11	3	19	235	19	44	12	296
9.....	23	1	32	130	7	30	....	254	23	3	33	154	8	39	1	250
10.....	13	2	17	54	5	15	37	218	....	2	20	87	2	8	....	303
11.....	7	1	24	45	2	11	....	356	6	1	9	64	3	15	3	313
12.....	5	2	35	43	5	11	1	464	....	5	25	25	4	15	....	462
13.....	2	....	21	252	10	47	20	55	6	2	20	229	17	51	4	71
14.....	5	1	33	231	9	52	....	180	7	....	26	221	13	61	21	64
15.....	6	5	13	97	7	17	....	142	12	....	23	181	5	39	13	31
16.....	13	1	14	126	12	17	2	193	3	2	21	147	11	46	....	176
17.....	5	....	25	128	13	26	17	118	1	....	21	167	9	35	7	88
18.....	14	2	25	166	1	20	....	209	6	3	23	148	8	46	....	247
19.....	17	1	21	133	19	11	12	134	9	1	15	125	13	28	9	159
20.....	4	3	36	101	7	24	6	440	4	4	37	75	5	13	6	367
21.....	3	4	28	61	3	15	....	347	1	2	33	90	4	25	3	282
22.....	8	2	28	104	4	25	2	401	14	3	25	186	10	23	11	177
23.....	2	2	27	47	....	11	4	235	2	....	23	175	5	35	1	123
24.....	3	2	22	114	2	19	1	186	6	1	4	83	27	45	2	226
25.....	1	1	21	84	5	28	6	313	1	2	22	37	3	12	1	409

Number of Votes cast for Governor, at State Election,  
1896. — *Continued.*

Wards.	PRECINCT 3.							PRECINCT 4.							
	BROPHY (Socialist Labor).	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (No designation).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	BROPHY (Socialist Labor).	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (No designation).
1.....	2	2	13	41	1	6	413	3	1	11	33	2	8	2	364
2.....	7	....	31	83	104	....	178	10	1	16	161	5	27	....	165
3.....	4	....	28	128	1	37	200	....	....	17	219	19	42	9	88
4.....	1	1	25	73	2	15	7 304	6	....	25	126	3	23	3	204
5.....	6	1	20	213	9	44	11 73	2	3	13	88	7	22	7	147
6.....	7	....	24	214	....	....	160	8	2	7	203	....	....	8	101
7.....	10	1	20	166	3	10	1 273	10	....	12	66	9	15	....	279
8.....	6	2	19	48	....	12	5 450	19	1	31	236	6	30	13	232
9.....	11	....	24	218	9	45	5 61	9	3	20	181	2	35	....	226
10.....	4	3	14	36	2	13	2 361	3	3	17	25	3	6	....	354
11.....	8	....	28	76	5	13	.... 340	1	7	45	39	3	15	1	377
12.....	2	4	23	82	4	22	4 295	2	8	27	81	4	14	....	428
13.....	5	1	16	221	9	43	12 37	2	2	22	274	9	18	5	68
14.....	1	2	27	143	5	31	.... 171	3	....	19	95	3	16	....	235
15.....	4	....	26	163	4	41	1 153	2	3	29	124	12	26	5	194
16.....	5	1	19	117	4	20	8 247	2	1	24	59	1	19	2	395
17.....	....	....	29	52	1	11	2 259	1	2	34	71	4	11	1	372
18.....	16	1	16	92	8	25	7 359	9	2	18	118	14	47	....	339
19.....	6	1	42	238	12	16	18 88	12	1	32	187	6	26	5	165
20.....	5	2	21	92	3	11	2 315	3	3	23	126	4	27	7	209
21.....	1	2	24	42	2	14	1 216	3	3	16	39	6	16	4	355
22.....	4	....	22	143	6	26	.... 154	21	1	19	63	28	33	4	352
23.....	5	2	21	140	9	23	5 143	7	6	22	103	7	19	3	272
24.....	....	5	30	29	4	14	2 396	3	....	19	79	5	15	5	289
25.....	1	2	17	22	4	13	.... 306	6	2	18	143	6	29	9	105



Number of Votes cast for Governor at State Election,  
1896. — *Continued.*

PRECINCT 5.							PRECINCT 6.							Wards.			
BROPHY (Socialist Labor).	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (No designation).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	BROPHY (Socialist Labor).	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).		WILLIAMS (No designation).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	
5	1	15	82	3	16	3	302	3	1	12	127	5	28	31	127	.....	1
2	1	16	78	2	10	65	105	2	1	19	124	12	17	4	200	.....	2
6	2	33	209	5	42	5	122	7	1	20	214	6	27	12	60	.....	3
6	....	24	179	4	28	....	93	8	2	12	81	3	14	....	210	.....	4
3	4	25	187	10	33	1	158	3	3	22	121	5	23	7	163	.....	5
7	2	17	88	12	24	4	249	3	4	14	43	4	10	....	319	.....	6
14	2	40	227	41	33	....	102	5	1	29	252	18	44	12	160	.....	7
35	4	24	269	4	22	10	184	16	2	19	241	11	42	16	275	.....	8
4	3	19	86	16	137	....	231	3	4	21	62	5	11	2	366	.....	9
3	3	7	7	17	1	....	358	1	2	30	12	2	9	2	389	.....	10
....	....	35	6	1	1	....	322	....	1	19	8	....	2	....	304	.....	11
1	2	19	63	6	17	....	410	2	2	52	148	3	18	7	312	.....	12
5	1	27	203	10	38	13	104	6	....	10	190	6	37	5	103	.....	13
5	3	24	84	10	15	6	253	5	2	18	96	2	15	1	264	.....	14
8	....	29	146	9	40	2	184	6	3	14	96	20	12	....	228	.....	15
5	....	28	61	4	32	8	331	....	1	18	23	1	3	....	405	.....	16
9	....	31	136	4	27	8	123	4	2	13	171	5	32	....	79	.....	17
12	1	23	111	28	57	139	124	10	1	38	39	36	73	37	331	.....	18
10	1	30	135	6	14	7	166	11	....	48	141	3	20	8	199	.....	19
2	1	30	69	3	23	3	381	3	2	17	62	8	21	...	441	.....	20
1	4	21	52	5	22	5	317	1	4	26	24	1	3	....	374	.....	21
27	1	17	117	4	....	....	301	2	6	10	27	5	12	....	319	.....	22
10	4	19	108	20	20	1	286	2	2	14	40	5	10	....	406	.....	23
3	4	19	8	....	38	....	322	2	1	12	72	6	19	6	269	.....	24
5	1	34	95	7	26	4	220	7	1	23	17	4	17	67	229	.....	25



Number of Votes cast for Governor at State Election, 1896. — *Concluded.*

PRECINCT 9.								TOTALS.										Wards.
BROPHY (Socialist Labor)	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (No designation).	WOLCOTT (Republican).	BROPHY (Socialist Labor).	COFFIN (Prohibition).	PRINCE (Democratic National Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (Democratic).	WILLIAMS (People's Party).	WILLIAMS (Democratic Bryan Nomination Paper).	WILLIAMS (No designation).	WOLCOTT * (Republican).	For all Guber- natorial Candidates.		
		6	24	2	8	4	128	23	12	148	668	24	136	60	2,424	3,495 ... 1		
								42	9	191	1,214	147	171	75	1,100	2,949 ... 2		
								28	5	138	991	43	182	32	935	2,354 ... 3		
								25	6	122	705	20	106	19	1,224	2,227 ... 4		
								19	13	132	811	42	161	32	1,060	2,270 ... 5		
								67	16	141	1,305	35	118	14	1,197	2,893 ... 6		
								50	8	159	900	94	152	27	1,228	2,618 ... 7		
								111	17	133	1,292	47	181	74	1,552	3,407 ... 8		
								76	17	173	1,097	47	313	8	1,503	3,234 ... 9		
1	1	19	21	2	10		302	25	22	174	312	45	95	46	3,180	3,899 ... 10		
		25	15		4	1	282	22	10	242	267	14	66	5	3,008	3,634 ... 11		
								14	27	211	580	33	131	73	2,570	3,639 ... 12		
								36	7	164	1,793	102	306	81	581	3,070 ... 13		
								34	16	183	1,141	52	227	31	1,619	3,303 ... 14		
								48	14	166	1,076	78	241	37	1,318	2,978 ... 15		
								31	8	157	728	41	177	28	1,983	3,153 ... 16		
	1	24	76	4	31	1	226	25	5	217	1,164	57	238	51	1,617	3,374 ... 17		
								67	10	143	674	95	268	183	1,609	3,049 ... 18		
								81	5	237	1,303	61	139	59	1,235	3,120 ... 19		
								25	22	205	630	37	145	39	2,972	4,075 ... 20		
3	2	32	45	3	13	1	341	20	23	253	437	33	130	14	3,112	4,022 ... 21		
								88	17	162	770	71	160	25	2,281	3,574 ... 22		
6	1	13	50	2	25	2	136	43	21	161	741	78	150	26	2,207	3,427 ... 23		
3	5	15	74	11	13		281	24	26	170	579	62	225	20	2,770	3,876 ... 24		
								26	9	165	497	35	146	122	1,765	2,765 ... 25		
								1,050	345	4,347	21,675	1,393	4,364	1,181	46,050	80,405		

\* Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted for Governor, 83.11 per cent.

**Votes cast for State Officers (except Governor), State Election, 1896, in the City of Boston.**

CANDIDATES FOR LIEUTENANT-GOVERNOR.	VOTES CAST.
Callahan, Democratic Bryan Nom. Paper .....	27,866
*Crane, Republican .....	42,631
Dakin, People's Party .....	592
McConnell, Democratic National Nom. Paper .....	3,864
Nash, Prohibition .....	421
Ruther, Socialist Labor .....	811
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>76,185</b>

Proportion of men registered who voted, 78.75 per cent.

CANDIDATES FOR SECRETARY OF STATE.	VOTES CAST.
Hall, People's Party .....	864
Lincoln, Democratic National Nom. Paper .....	5,210
*Olin, Republican .....	42,777
Potts, Democratic Bryan Nom. Paper. Democratic...	22,779
Usher, Socialist Labor .....	727
Wylie, Prohibition .....	428
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>72,785</b>

Proportion of men registered who voted, 75.23 per cent.

CANDIDATES FOR TREASURER AND RECEIVER-GENERAL.	VOTES CAST.
Claus, Socialist Labor .....	1,056
Haberly, Prohibition .....	860
*Shaw, Republican .....	40,484
Tobey, Democratic National Nom. Papers .....	7,745
Watson, People's Party, Dem. Bryan Nom. Paper, Democratic .....	22,556
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>72,701</b>

\*Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 75.15 per cent.

**Votes cast for State Officers (except Governor), State Election, 1896, in the City of Boston. — Concluded.**

CANDIDATES FOR AUDITOR.	VOTES CAST.
Cavanaugh, Democratic Bryan Nom. Paper .....	8,804
Fitzgerald, Democratic .....	18,617
Frissell, Prohibition .....	826
*Kimball, Republican .....	40,718
Phinney, Socialist Labor .....	775
Proctor, People's Party .....	493
Spellman, Democratic National Nom. Paper .....	3,263
Total .....	73,496

Proportion of men registered who voted, 75.97 per cent.

CANDIDATES FOR ATTORNEY-GENERAL.	VOTES CAST.
Chase, Socialist Labor .....	1,025
Hamlin, Prohibition .....	656
Hurlburt, Democratic National Nom. Paper .....	5,330
*Knowlton, Republican .....	41,729
Morse, People's Party .....	715
O'Donnell, Democratic Bryan Nom. Paper. Democratic,	23,743
Total .....	73,198

\* Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 75.66 per cent.

**Votes cast for Councillor, State Election, 1896.**

**SECOND DISTRICT.**

<b>Wards.</b>	<b>CANTWELL, Dem.</b>	<b>LOVELL,* Rep.</b>
<b>16</b> .....	1,173	1,778
<b>20</b> .....	1,060	2,719
<b>21</b> .....	884	2,944
<b>22</b> .....	1,244	2,135
<b>23</b> .....	1,142	2,128
<b>24</b> .....	1,023	2,579
<b>Totals</b> .....	6,526	14,283

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 25,331.

Votes cast, 20,809.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 82.15 per cent.

The balance of this district comprises the First Bristol, and the First and Second Norfolk Senatorial Districts.

**THIRD DISTRICT.**

<b>Wards.</b>	<b>BENTON,* Rep.</b>	<b>McSORLEY, Dem.</b>
<b>1</b> .....	2,297	986
<b>3</b> .....	822	1,352
<b>4</b> .....	1,133	948
<b>5</b> .....	955	1,144
<b>Totals</b> .....	5,207	4,430

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 12,701.

Votes cast, 9,637.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 75.88 per cent.

The balance of this district comprises Chelsea, Revere, Winthrop, and the First, Second, and Third Middlesex Senatorial Districts.

**Votes cast for Councillor, State Election, 1896.**  
**FOURTH DISTRICT.**

Wards.	ALLEN,* Rep.	SULLIVAN, Dem.	Scattering.
<b>2</b> .....	851	2,094	
<b>6</b> .....	1,007	1,567	
<b>7</b> .....	1,001	1,350	
<b>8</b> .....	1,385	1,808	1
<b>9</b> .....	1,485	1,552	
<b>10</b> .....	3,072	654	
<b>11</b> .....	2,841	636	
<b>12</b> .....	2,402	1,054	
<b>13</b> .....	430	2,356	
<b>14</b> .....	1,472	1,719	
<b>15</b> .....	1,180	1,682	
<b>17</b> .....	1,368	1,842	
<b>18</b> .....	1,448	1,417	
<b>19</b> .....	1,074	1,920	
<b>25</b> .....	1,720	1,019	
Totals .....	22,736	22,670	1

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 58,714.

Votes cast, 45,407.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 77.34 per cent.

**Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.**

**SEVENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 4.</b>	BARRETT,* Rep.	DOHERTY, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1.....	129	245	
“ 2.....	235	107	
“ 3.....	290	130	
“ 4.....	173	211	
“ 5.....	77	262	
“ 6.....	196	120	
Totals .....	1,100	1,075	
<b>Ward 5.</b>			
Precinct 1.....	286	93	
“ 2.....	180	238	1
“ 3.....	57	307	
“ 4.....	129	166	
“ 5.....	137	273	
“ 6.....	147	181	
Totals .....	936	1,258	1
Grand totals.....	2,036	2,333	1

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 5,622.

Votes cast, 4,370.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 77.73 per cent.

The balance of this district is composed of the following cities and towns: Chelsea, Everett, Lynn, Malden, Melrose, Nahant, Revere, Saugus, Stoneham, and Wakefield.



**Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.**

**EIGHTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 10.</b>		<b>JACKSON, Dem.</b>	<b>McCALL.* Rep.</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	135	196	
"	<b>2</b> .....	119	272	
"	<b>3</b> .....	69	330	
"	<b>4</b> .....	55	332	1
"	<b>5</b> .....	41	340	
"	<b>6</b> .....	43	379	
"	<b>7</b> .....	72	475	
"	<b>8</b> .....	92	364	1
"	<b>9</b> .....	43	288	
Totals.....		669	2,976	2
<b>Ward 11.</b>				
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	99	315	
"	<b>2</b> .....	92	289	
"	<b>3</b> .....	144	314	
"	<b>4</b> .....	63	389	
"	<b>5</b> .....	35	304	1
"	<b>6</b> .....	21	295	
"	<b>7</b> .....	33	377	
"	<b>8</b> .....	17	325	1
"	<b>9</b> .....	25	287	
Totals.....		529	2,895	2
Grand totals.....		1,198	5,871	4

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 8,641.

Votes cast, 7,073.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 81.85 per cent.

The balance of this district is composed of the following cities and towns: Arlington, Cambridge, Medford, Somerville, and Winchester.

**Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.**

**NINTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.**

	FITZGERALD, Dem.*	FLETCHER, Rep.	RYAN, Dem.	SEARS, Rep.	Scattering.
<b>Ward 1.</b>					
Precinct 1 .....	75	5	10	253	
“ 2 .....	52	8	5	303	
“ 3 .....	89	11	15	360	
“ 4 .....	81	15	14	309	1
“ 5 .....	145	15	24	238	
“ 6 .....	264	7	24	82	
“ 7 .....	238	6	42	183	
“ 8 .....	296	4	38	161	
“ 9 .....	50	6	9	106	
Totals .....	1,290	77	181	1,995	1
<b>Ward 2.</b>					
Precinct 1 .....	297	4	27	72	
“ 2 .....	219	4	24	77	
“ 3 .....	258	21	32	109	
“ 4 .....	247	17	27	64	
“ 5 .....	228	2	29	62	
“ 6 .....	205	7	44	139	
“ 7 .....	316	3	56	61	
“ 8 .....	347	4	30	83	
Totals .....	2,117	62	269	667	
<b>Ward 3.</b>					
Precinct 1 .....	91	11	22	265	
“ 2 .....	233	10	66	108	
“ 3 .....	179	10	68	152	
“ 4 .....	321	2	78	37	
“ 5 .....	309	3	76	69	
“ 6 .....	301	4	45	37	
Totals .....	1,434	40	355	668	

\* Elected.

## Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.

## NINTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.—Continued.

Ward 6.	FITZGERALD, Dem.*	FLETCHER, Rep.	RYAN, Dem.	SEARS, Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1.....	261	5	61	31	
“ 2.....	297	3	68	25	
“ 3.....	311	6	65	71	
“ 4.....	298	5	26	55	
“ 5.....	222	14	57	144	
“ 6.....	109	24	13	245	
“ 7.....	291	4	66	72	
“ 8.....	254	3	104	11	
Totals.....	2,043	64	460	654	
<b>Ward 7.</b>					
Precinct 1.....	162	11	51	165	1
“ 2.....	164	9	48	137	
“ 3.....	181	12	88	188	
“ 4.....	141	14	31	183	
“ 5.....	314	6	107	42	
“ 6.....	346	8	106	90	
Totals.....	1,308	60	431	805	1
<b>Ward 8.</b>					
Precinct 1.....	400	6	45	56	
“ 2.....	342	12	75	208	
“ 3.....	88	16	31	389	
“ 4.....	340	9	79	148	
“ 5.....	382	13	76	97	
“ 6.....	377	15	67	178	
Totals.....	1,929	71	373	1,076	

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.**

NINTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.—*Concluded.*

<b>Ward 9.</b>	FITZGERALD,* Dem.	FLETCHER, Rep.	RYAN, Dem.	SEARS, Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	205	13	61	184	
“ <b>2</b> .....	303	23	63	134	
“ <b>3</b> .....	290	0	101	16	
“ <b>4</b> .....	235	9	73	154	
“ <b>5</b> .....	124	9	53	298	
“ <b>6</b> .....	102	17	38	299	
“ <b>7</b> .....	300	5	58	78	
Totals.....	1,559	76	447	1,163	
<b>Ward 13.</b>					
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	310	4	88	21	
“ <b>2</b> .....	308	3	100	20	
“ <b>3</b> .....	254	2	89	15	
“ <b>4</b> .....	275	5	86	31	
“ <b>5</b> .....	278	5	96	47	
“ <b>6</b> .....	215	4	90	65	
“ <b>7</b> .....	264	2	85	29	
“ <b>8</b> .....	266	8	81	40	
Totals.....	2,170	33	715	268	
Grand totals .....	13,850	483	3,231	7,296	2

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 30,025.

Votes cast, 24,862.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 82.80 per cent.

This district includes also the town of Winthrop.

**Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.**  
**TENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 12.</b>	* BARROWS, Rep.	CHASE, Rep.	HALL, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	416	38	87	
“ <b>2</b> .....	416	34	85	
“ <b>3</b> .....	243	25	143	
“ <b>4</b> .....	376	29	149	
“ <b>5</b> .....	337	52	122	
“ <b>6</b> .....	265	25	248	
“ <b>7</b> .....	201	17	265	
Totals.....	2,254	220	1,099	
<b>Ward 14.</b>				
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	150	21	317	
“ <b>2</b> .....	53	9	337	1
“ <b>3</b> .....	144	17	213	
“ <b>4</b> .....	188	40	145	
“ <b>5</b> .....	204	42	142	
“ <b>6</b> .....	199	34	150	
“ <b>7</b> .....	172	22	180	
“ <b>8</b> .....	198	33	210	
Totals.....	1,308	218	1,694	1
<b>Ward 15.</b>				
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	95	22	157	
“ <b>2</b> .....	80	11	263	
“ <b>3</b> .....	123	19	251	
“ <b>4</b> .....	175	13	198	
“ <b>5</b> .....	160	13	224	
“ <b>6</b> .....	195	24	160	
“ <b>7</b> .....	173	33	189	
“ <b>8</b> .....	152	24	222	
Totals.....	1,153	159	1,664	

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.**

TENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT. — *Continued.*

<b>Ward 16.</b>		* BARROWS, Rep.	CHASE, Rep.	HALL, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct <b>1</b> .....		157	43	169	
“ <b>2</b> .....		140	30	238	
“ <b>3</b> .....		188	34	193	
“ <b>4</b> .....		349	43	108	1
“ <b>5</b> .....		286	30	143	
“ <b>6</b> .....		365	51	44	
“ <b>7</b> .....		193	20	303	
<b>Totals</b> .....		1,678	251	1,198	1
<b>Ward 17.</b>					
Precinct <b>1</b> .....		98	14	215	1
“ <b>2</b> .....		73	10	242	
“ <b>3</b> .....		199	45	101	
“ <b>4</b> .....		215	25	133	
“ <b>5</b> .....		116	11	211	
“ <b>6</b> .....		59	7	248	
“ <b>7</b> .....		109	15	193	
“ <b>8</b> .....		195	19	267	
“ <b>9</b> .....		204	18	141	
<b>Totals</b> .....		1,268	164	1,751	1
<b>Ward 18.</b>					
Precinct <b>1</b> .....		173	20	215	
“ <b>2</b> .....		193	25	256	
“ <b>3</b> .....		277	53	162	
“ <b>4</b> .....		255	54	209	
“ <b>5</b> .....		113	20	336	
“ <b>6</b> .....		261	42	237	
<b>Totals</b> .....		1,272	214	1,415	

\* Elected.

## Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.

TENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.— *Concluded.*

Ward 19.		* BARROWS, Rep.	CHASE, Rep.	HALL, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct	1 .....	136	17	205	
"	2 .....	125	11	215	
"	3 .....	70	7	319	
"	4 .....	130	12	274	
"	5 .....	138	22	198	
"	6 .....	152	22	252	
"	7 .....	142	13	258	
"	8 .....	95	8	211	
Totals.....		988	112	1,932	
Ward 20.					
Precinct	1 .....	315	109	186	
"	2 .....	326	39	144	
"	3 .....	291	32	132	
"	4 .....	179	32	213	
"	5 .....	317	36	143	
"	6 .....	385	56	114	
"	7 .....	352	48	109	
"	8 .....	368	36	86	
Totals.....		2,533	388	1,127	
Ward 24.					
Precinct	1 .....	162	29	161	
"	2 .....	193	34	165	
"	3 .....	271	72	115	
"	4 .....	242	34	118	
"	5 .....	279	38	83	
"	6 .....	191	45	143	
"	7 .....	333	59	130	
"	8 .....	332	33	151	
"	9 .....	223	40	133	
Totals.....		2,226	384	1,199	
Grand totals.....		14,680	2,110	13,079	3

\* Elected. Registered voters, 36,502. Votes cast, 29,872.  
 Proportion of men registered who voted, 81.84 per cent.  
 The balance of this district is composed of Milton and Quincy.

**Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.**

**ELEVENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 21.</b>	<b>BAKER, Dem.</b>	<b>SPRAGUE,* Rep.</b>	Scattering.
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	131	296	
“ <b>2</b> .....	177	238	
“ <b>3</b> .....	102	185	
“ <b>4</b> .....	93	317	
“ <b>5</b> .....	111	291	
“ <b>6</b> .....	62	358	
“ <b>7</b> .....	147	355	
“ <b>8</b> .....	88	431	
“ <b>9</b> .....	99	319	
Totals .....	1,010	2,790	
<b>Ward 22.</b>			
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	207	347	
“ <b>2</b> .....	282	146	
“ <b>3</b> .....	250	111	
“ <b>4</b> .....	154	306	
“ <b>5</b> .....	178	268	1
“ <b>6</b> .....	82	295	
“ <b>7</b> .....	78	356	
“ <b>8</b> .....	190	200	
Totals .....	1,421	2,029	1

\* Elected.



## Votes cast for Congressmen, State Election, 1896.

ELEVENTH CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT. — *Continued.*

Ward 23.	BAKER, Dem.	SPRAGUE,* Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1 .....	88	238	
“ 2 .....	243	115	
“ 3 .....	221	113	
“ 4 .....	184	240	
“ 5 .....	185	245	
“ 6 .....	95	359	
“ 7 .....	82	218	
“ 8 .....	66	341	
“ 9 .....	111	116	
Totals .....	1,275	1,985	
<b>Ward 25.</b>			
Precinct 1 .....	155	304	
“ 2 .....	81	379	
“ 3 .....	65	282	
“ 4 .....	212	85	
“ 5 .....	196	185	
“ 6 .....	141	236	
“ 7 .....	195	160	
Totals .....	1,045	1,631	
Grand totals.....	4,751	8,435	1

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 15,956. Votes cast, 13,187.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 82 65 per cent.

The balance of this district comprises the city of Newton and the towns of Bellingham, Belmont, Brookline, Dedham, Dover, Foxboro', Franklin, Holliston, Hopedale, Hyde Park, Medfield, Medway, Milford, Millis, Needham, Norfolk, North Attleboro', Norwood, Sharon, Sherborn, Walpole, Watertown, and Wrentham.

**Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.**

**FIRST SENATORIAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 1.</b>	<b>DAY, Dem.</b>	<b>ROBERTS,* Rep.</b>
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	107	228
" <b>2</b> .....	57	305
" <b>3</b> .....	83	366
" <b>4</b> .....	84	320
" <b>5</b> .....	143	248
" <b>6</b> .....	236	91
" <b>7</b> .....	223	210
" <b>8</b> .....	245	195
" <b>9</b> .....	54	105
Totals .....	1,232	2,068

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 4,156.

Votes cast, 3,300.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 79.40 per cent.

The balance of this district is composed of Chelsea, Revere, and Winthrop.

## Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.

## SECOND SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 3.		HAYES,* Dem.	HOLDEN, Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	92	285
"	<b>2</b> .....	277	133
"	<b>3</b> .....	230	172
"	<b>4</b> .....	347	60
"	<b>5</b> .....	351	91
"	<b>6</b> .....	327	40
Totals.....		1,624	781
Ward 4.			
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	270	103
"	<b>2</b> .....	97	257
"	<b>3</b> .....	137	287
"	<b>4</b> .....	211	175
"	<b>5</b> .....	263	64
"	<b>6</b> .....	124	187
Totals.....		1,102	1,073
Ward 5.			
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	93	278
"	<b>2</b> .....	245	174
"	<b>3</b> .....	317	55
"	<b>4</b> .....	143	145
"	<b>5</b> .....	273	134
"	<b>6</b> .....	178	145
Totals.....		1,249	931
Grand totals.....		3,975	2,785

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 8,545.

Votes cast, 6,760.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 79.11 per cent.

Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.

THIRD SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 2.	BRADMAN, Rep.	LOMASNEY,* Dem.
Precinct 1.....	87	246
“ 2.....	96	201
“ 3.....	148	228
“ 4.....	110	243
“ 5.....	75	192
“ 6.....	155	193
“ 7.....	90	274
“ 8.....	109	311
Totals .....	870	1,888
<b>Ward 6.</b>		
Precinct 1.....	63	212
“ 2.....	62	224
“ 3.....	126	210
“ 4.....	109	185
“ 5.....	217	152
“ 6.....	292	80
“ 7.....	122	209
“ 8.....	53	230
Totals .....	1,044	1,502
<b>Ward 8.</b>		
Precinct 1.....	80	396
“ 2.....	249	346
“ 3.....	428	87
“ 4.....	189	356
“ 5.....	163	372
“ 6.....	253	342
Totals .....	1,362	1,899
Grand totals.....	3,276	5,289

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 11,758.

Votes cast, 8,565.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 72.84 per cent.

## Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.

## FOURTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

Ward 7.	QUINN,* Dem.	SCHRIFTGIESSER, Rep.
Precinct 1.....	187	179
“ 2.....	175	159
“ 3.....	247	189
“ 4.....	116	214
“ 5.....	391	61
“ 6.....	419	92
Totals.....	1,535	894
Ward 9.		
Precinct 1.....	209	214
“ 2.....	288	177
“ 3.....	338	29
“ 4.....	271	178
“ 5.....	151	296
“ 6.....	120	312
“ 7.....	315	88
Totals.....	1,692	1,294
Ward 17.		
Precinct 1.....	232	89
“ 2.....	247	66
“ 3.....	117	225
“ 4.....	152	208
“ 5.....	222	103
“ 6.....	274	54
“ 7.....	251	95
“ 8.....	272	180
“ 9.....	160	182
Totals.....	1,927	1,202
Grand totals.....	5,154	3,390

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 11,447.

Votes cast, 8,544.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 74.64 per cent.

**Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.**  
**FIFTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 10.</b>	McNAMEE, Dem.	TOWLE,* Rep.
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	124	209
“ <b>2</b> .....	133	250
“ <b>3</b> .....	62	345
“ <b>4</b> .....	44	342
“ <b>5</b> .....	33	343
“ <b>6</b> .....	42	381
“ <b>7</b> .....	64	494
“ <b>8</b> .....	86	374
“ <b>9</b> .....	46	293
Totals.....	634	3,031
<b>Ward 12.</b>		
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	72	455
“ <b>2</b> .....	58	471
“ <b>3</b> .....	112	298
“ <b>4</b> .....	123	407
“ <b>5</b> .....	94	385
“ <b>6</b> .....	241	290
“ <b>7</b> .....	242	219
Totals.....	942	2,525
<b>Ward 18.</b>		
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	216	176
“ <b>2</b> .....	224	232
“ <b>3</b> .....	152	342
“ <b>4</b> .....	195	306
“ <b>5</b> .....	335	115
“ <b>6</b> .....	228	292
Totals.....	1,350	1,463
Grand totals.....	2,926	7,019

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 12,657.

Votes cast, 9,945.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 78.57 per cent.

**Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.**  
**SIXTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 13.</b>		GALLIVAN,* Dem.	RICE, Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	377	29
"	<b>2</b> .....	372	32
"	<b>3</b> .....	332	18
"	<b>4</b> .....	336	47
"	<b>5</b> .....	343	60
"	<b>6</b> .....	271	75
"	<b>7</b> .....	322	34
"	<b>8</b> .....	308	44
Totals.....		2,661	339
<b>Ward 14.</b>			
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	355	140
"	<b>2</b> .....	365	38
"	<b>3</b> .....	237	125
"	<b>4</b> .....	154	203
"	<b>5</b> .....	151	217
"	<b>6</b> .....	168	217
"	<b>7</b> .....	209	158
"	<b>8</b> .....	215	208
Totals .....		1,854	1,306
<b>Ward 15.</b>			
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	165	108
"	<b>2</b> .....	301	42
"	<b>3</b> .....	275	113
"	<b>4</b> .....	236	138
"	<b>5</b> .....	259	121
"	<b>6</b> .....	175	194
"	<b>7</b> .....	215	165
"	<b>8</b> .....	240	154
Totals .....		1,866	1,035
Grand totals .....		6,381	2,680

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 11,410.

Votes cast, 9,061.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 79.41 per cent.

**Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.**  
SEVENTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

<b>Ward 16.</b>	FOLSOM,* Rep.	KING, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1.....	137	218	
“ 2.....	143	248	
“ 3.....	213	197	
“ 4.....	381	93	
“ 5.....	312	130	
“ 6.....	374	57	
“ 7.....	205	279	
Totals .....	1,765	1,222	
<b>Ward 20.</b>			
Precinct 1.....	427	158	1
“ 2.....	355	121	
“ 3.....	317	117	
“ 4.....	222	166	
“ 5.....	354	119	
“ 6.....	415	105	
“ 7.....	363	96	
“ 8.....	410	62	
Totals .....	2,863	944	1
<b>Ward 24.</b>			
Precinct 1.....	213	128	
“ 2.....	206	139	
“ 3.....	351	93	
“ 4.....	273	102	
“ 5.....	272	95	2
“ 6.....	253	111	
“ 7.....	392	102	
“ 8.....	383	106	
“ 9.....	274	94	
Totals .....	2,617	970	2
Grand totals.....	7,245	3,136	3

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 12,708.

Votes cast, 10,384.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 81.71 per cent.



**Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.**  
**EIGHTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 21.</b>	COUG, Dem.	DAVIS,* Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1 .....	95	332	
“ 2 .....	152	266	1
“ 3 .....	75	209	
“ 4 .....	81	330	
“ 5 .....	97	308	
“ 6 .....	44	374	
“ 7 .....	118	379	
“ 8 .....	60	446	
“ 9 .....	80	325	
Totals .....	802	2,969	1
<b>Ward 22.</b>			
Precinct 1 .....	151	382	
“ 2 .....	256	152	
“ 3 .....	213	126	
“ 4 .....	137	337	
“ 5 .....	159	291	
“ 6 .....	61	317	
“ 7 .....	68	358	
“ 8 .....	152	214	
Totals .....	1,197	2,167	
<b>Ward 23.</b>			
Precinct 1 .....	78	234	
“ 2 .....	250	99	
“ 3 .....	200	115	
“ 4 .....	171	240	
“ 5 .....	145	277	
“ 6 .....	67	391	
“ 7 .....	50	237	
“ 8 .....	55	350	
“ 9 .....	99	122	
Totals .....	1,115	2,065	
Grand totals .....	3,114	7,201	1

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 12,623.

Votes cast, 10,316.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 81.72 per cent.

**Votes cast for Senator, State Election, 1896.**  
**NINTH SENATORIAL DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 11.</b>	HOLDEN,* Rep.	SULLIVAN, Dem.
Precinct 1 .....	314	109
“ 2 .....	271	108
“ 3 .....	306	156
“ 4 .....	367	91
“ 5 .....	305	43
“ 6 .....	297	31
“ 7 .....	375	48
“ 8 .....	309	37
“ 9 .....	281	39
Totals .....	2,825	662
<b>Ward 19.</b>		
Precinct 1 .....	146	198
“ 2 .....	136	197
“ 3 .....	89	314
“ 4 .....	144	289
“ 5 .....	163	174
“ 6 .....	170	239
“ 7 .....	142	276
“ 8 .....	97	209
Totals .....	1,087	1,896
<b>Ward 25.</b>		
Precinct 1 .....	312	161
“ 2 .....	392	69
“ 3 .....	302	54
“ 4 .....	82	222
“ 5 .....	192	182
“ 6 .....	243	142
“ 7 .....	171	200
Totals .....	1,694	1,030
Grand totals .....	5,606	3,588

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 11,442; votes cast, 9,194.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 80.35 per cent.

## Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.

## FIRST DISTRICT.

Ward 1.		JOHN L. BATES,* Rep.	JAMES R. HOBDELL, Dem.	HUGH L. STALKER,* Rep.	JAMES STAPLE- TON, Dem.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	276	53	243	62
"	<b>2</b> .....	332	23	319	25
"	<b>3</b> .....	410	42	380	54
"	<b>4</b> .....	363	39	346	40
"	<b>5</b> .....	318	97	282	95
"	<b>6</b> .....	133	189	111	183
"	<b>7</b> .....	237	193	221	177
"	<b>8</b> .....	238	200	198	179
"	<b>9</b> .....	136	33	104	30
Totals.....		2,443	869	2,204	845

## SECOND DISTRICT.

Ward 2.		MANASSAH E. BRADLEY,* Dem.	HERBERT B. HOBBS, Rep.	HARRY W. JOHNSON, Rep.	JOHN L. KELLY,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	278	76	59	278	
"	<b>2</b> .....	202	75	61	240	
"	<b>3</b> .....	222	163	118	235	
"	<b>4</b> .....	232	90	87	235	
"	<b>5</b> .....	203	63	50	224	1
"	<b>6</b> .....	210	134	134	212	
"	<b>7</b> .....	344	61	56	313	
"	<b>8</b> .....	325	86	80	337	
Totals.....		2,016	748	645	2,074	1

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.**

**THIRD DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 3.</b>		GEORGE H. ROBBINS, Rep.	DAVID B. SHAW,* Dem.	PETER F. TAGUE,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	291	135	80	2
"	<b>2</b> .....	144	283	244	
"	<b>3</b> .....	172	255	204	
"	<b>4</b> .....	59	345	344	
"	<b>5</b> .....	92	321	356	
"	<b>6</b> .....	39	299	322	
<b>Totals</b> .....		797	1,638	1,550	2

**FOURTH DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 4.</b>		TIMOTHY J. DONOVAN, Dem.	JEREMIAH J. MCCARTHY,* Rep.	MARK E. SMITH,* Rep.	HENRY LEONARD WIGHTMAN, Dem.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	189	245	104	178
"	<b>2</b> .....	87	266	239	66
"	<b>3</b> .....	114	333	278	75
"	<b>4</b> .....	167	272	170	143
"	<b>5</b> .....	244	229	56	143
"	<b>6</b> .....	140	252	189	69
<b>Totals</b> .....		941	1,597	1,036	674

\* Elected.

## Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.

## FIFTH DISTRICT.

Ward 5.		GEORGE W. BLISS, Rep.	ROBERT F. DENVER,* Dem.	JAMES H. LEARY,* Dem.	FRED A. NOR- TON, Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	243	107	87	250
"	<b>2</b> .....	155	256	219	156
"	<b>3</b> .....	46	313	313	71
"	<b>4</b> .....	120	149	131	137
"	<b>5</b> .....	112	293	271	123
"	<b>6</b> .....	125	191	172	134
Totals.....		801	1,309	1,193	871

## SIXTH DISTRICT.

Ward 6.		MARCUS C. COOK, Rep.	JEREMIAH E. MAHONEY,* Dem.	AARON PINKOF- SKY, Rep.	DANIEL D. ROURKE,* Dem.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	58	201	34	207
"	<b>2</b> .....	41	206	59	257
"	<b>3</b> .....	107	206	96	281
"	<b>4</b> .....	82	250	52	154
"	<b>5</b> .....	163	117	211	184
"	<b>6</b> .....	284	85	206	61
"	<b>7</b> .....	66	178	190	229
"	<b>8</b> .....	42	222	41	227
Totals.....		843	1,465	889	1,600

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.**

**SEVENTH DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 7.</b>		CHARLES A. BATES, Rep.	WINSLOW C. COOKE, Rep.	DANIEL M. DRISCOLL,* Dem.	JOHN J. FALVEY,* Dem.	MICHAEL J. MALONEY, Rep., I. N.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	166	159	188	157	12
"	<b>2</b> .....	157	156	179	139	14
"	<b>3</b> .....	196	192	218	191	21
"	<b>4</b> .....	171	198	96	88	81
"	<b>5</b> .....	53	56	327	354	21
"	<b>6</b> .....	87	87	360	411	10
Totals .....		830	848	1,368	1,340	159

**EIGHTH DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 8.</b>		WILLIAM W. FARR, Rep.	THOMAS F. KEENAN,* Dem.	DAVID F. KING,* Dem.	MOSES S. LOURIE, Rep.	DANIEL J. O'BRIEN, Dem. I. N.	Scattering.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	80	332	319	101	35	
"	<b>2</b> .....	236	291	244	226	82	
"	<b>3</b> .....	407	71	71	355	17	
"	<b>4</b> .....	167	294	248	169	95	
"	<b>5</b> .....	180	311	291	158	76	1
"	<b>6</b> .....	206	341	294	180	75	
Totals .....		1,226	1,640	1,467	1,189	380	1

**NINTH DISTRICT.**

<b>Ward 9.</b>		DAVID H. IRVING, Cit. Rep.	JAMES KEENAN,* Dem.	JOHN A. KELNER,* Dem.	FRANZ H. KREBS, JR., Rep.	EVERETT T. MALLORY, Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	37	187	229	200	156	
"	<b>2</b> .....	42	258	276	213	144	
"	<b>3</b> .....	2	322	299	90	16	
"	<b>4</b> .....	41	260	256	228	114	1
"	<b>5</b> .....	85	115	132	295	208	
"	<b>6</b> .....	47	90	97	304	237	
"	<b>7</b> .....	17	308	290	104	66	
Totals .....		271	1,540	1,579	1,434	941	1

\* Elected.

## Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.

## TENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 10.	FREEMAN O. EMERSON,* Rep.	CHARLES H. INNES,* Rep.	CHARLES F. RICHARDS, JR., Dem.	EDWARD J. STANTON, Dem.
Precinct 1.....	188	183	118	119
" 2.....	257	267	97	96
" 3.....	325	317	53	54
" 4.....	318	302	52	43
" 5.....	314	311	15	23
" 6.....	340	353	47	41
" 7.....	456	448	68	70
" 8.....	338	347	86	94
" 9.....	270	270	44	44
Totals .....	2,806	2,798	580	584

## ELEVENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 11.	FRANCIS C. LOWELL,* Rep.	ALBERT W. MONTY, Cit. Rep.	JOHN H. MORRISON, Dem.	OTHO T. O'LEARY, Dem.	WILLIAM L. REED,* Rep.
Precinct 1.....	279	85	101	68	205
" 2.....	225	45	103	72	242
" 3.....	268	50	147	121	231
" 4.....	389	32	212	34	181
" 5.....	314	9	179	16	139
" 6.....	296	14	111	12	165
" 7.....	375	9	132	10	240
" 8.....	324	14	167	8	131
" 9.....	263	6	93	21	174
Totals.....	2,733	264	1,245	362	1,708

\* Elected.

## Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.

## TWELFTH DISTRICT.

Ward 12.		JAMES M. DOUGLASS,* Rep.	JOHN W. JOHNSON,* Rep.	JOHN H. KEENAN, Dem.	ANTHONY G. NEARY, Dem.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	428	414	78	68
"	<b>2</b> .....	438	423	74	54
"	<b>3</b> .....	272	265	130	109
"	<b>4</b> .....	378	374	126	108
"	<b>5</b> .....	362	364	106	87
"	<b>6</b> .....	252	263	250	224
"	<b>7</b> .....	185	183	249	224
Totals .....		2,315	2,286	1,013	874

## THIRTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 13.		PETER ANDER- SON, Rep.	JAMES B. CLANCY,* Dem.	MICHAEL J. McCULLOUGH, I. Dem.	JAMES S. Mc- KENNA,* Dem.	ANTHONY STOCKMAN, Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	24	259	138	309	21
"	<b>2</b> .....	27	274	237	203	22
"	<b>3</b> .....	12	218	151	223	13
"	<b>4</b> .....	24	260	158	225	26
"	<b>5</b> .....	39	268	158	282	36
"	<b>6</b> .....	43	209	108	253	39
"	<b>7</b> .....	19	194	231	180	19
"	<b>8</b> .....	30	247	191	193	31
Totals.....		218	1,929	1,372	1,868	207

\* Elected.



Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.  
FOURTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 14.		WILLIAM H. ANDREWS, Rep.	JOHN E. BALD- WIN,* Dem.	JOHN F. PER- KINS, Rep.	JOHN J. TOOMEY,* Dem.
Precinct 1.....		144	377	115	332
“ 2.....		58	365	36	352
“ 3.....		158	218	114	222
“ 4.....		187	145	165	156
“ 5.....		210	140	199	145
“ 6.....		194	149	196	161
“ 7.....		160	182	151	197
“ 8.....		176	228	179	239
Totals.....		1,287	1,804	1,155	1,804

FIFTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 15.		SAMUEL W. JOHNSON, Rep.	JOHN A. Mc- MANUS,* Dem.	ROBERT F. MEANS, I. Dem.	MICHAEL J. REIDY,* Dem.	WILLIAM F. SEARS, Rep.
Precinct 1.....		79	163	28	151	86
“ 2.....		46	305	18	307	40
“ 3.....		114	213	30	244	119
“ 4.....		121	205	58	205	111
“ 5.....		102	262	49	248	94
“ 6.....		159	151	90	162	141
“ 7.....		142	181	100	194	114
“ 8.....		140	212	37	230	126
Totals.....		903	1,692	410	1,741	831

\* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.  
SIXTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 16.		OSGOOD C. BLANEY, * Rep.	THOMAS F. DONOVAN, Dem.	JOHN DROHAN, Dem.	ALBERT W. LYON, * Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	220	210	160	109	
"	<b>2</b> .....	175	233	261	110	
"	<b>3</b> .....	233	182	219	172	
"	<b>4</b> .....	340	101	119	342	
"	<b>5</b> .....	279	118	168	270	
"	<b>6</b> .....	359	36	62	365	1
"	<b>7</b> .....	179	299	297	181	
Totals .....		1,785	1,179	1,286	1,549	1

SEVENTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 17.		JOHN BALLANTYNE, Rep.	CHARLES A. BANCROFT, Rep.	GEORGE H. NORTON, * Dem.	CHARLES I. QUIRK, * Dem.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	87	82	236	223
"	<b>2</b> .....	84	73	275	232
"	<b>3</b> .....	223	206	110	101
"	<b>4</b> .....	212	223	128	150
"	<b>5</b> .....	111	96	215	221
"	<b>6</b> .....	45	56	265	265
"	<b>7</b> .....	104	101	219	267
"	<b>8</b> .....	185	185	257	285
"	<b>9</b> .....	177	181	124	161
Totals .....		1,228	1,203	1,829	1,905

\* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.  
EIGHTEENTH DISTRICT.

Ward 18.		TERRANCE ADAMS, Rep.	JOHN S. COOMBS, Rep.	DANIEL J. CURLEY, * Dem.	FRANK J. O'TOOLE, * Dem.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	167	150	202	267
"	<b>2</b> .....	213	207	232	248
"	<b>3</b> .....	301	295	144	178
"	<b>4</b> .....	270	245	190	258
"	<b>5</b> .....	97	87	381	358
"	<b>6</b> .....	290	259	234	232
Totals .....		1,338	1,243	1,383	1,541

## NINETEENTH DISTRICT:

Ward 19.		JOHN J. FENENO, * Cit. Dem.	OLIVER S. GRANT, * Dem.	WILLIAM H. MORGAN, Dem.	JOHN F. PFAU, Rep.	GEORGE M. SCHELL, Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	182	186	101	110	102
"	<b>2</b> .....	159	168	100	84	126
"	<b>3</b> .....	325	303	131	39	39
"	<b>4</b> .....	243	261	150	85	81
"	<b>5</b> .....	159	168	103	116	115
"	<b>6</b> .....	140	186	180	140	141
"	<b>7</b> .....	260	229	114	80	92
"	<b>8</b> .....	231	166	97	69	63
Totals .....		1,699	1,667	976	723	759

\* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.  
 TWENTIETH DISTRICT.

Ward 20.		THOMAS COGS- WELL BACH- ELDER, * Rep.	MAURICE CONDON, Dem.	WILLIAM J. POWER, Dem.	JOSEPH I. STEWART, * Rep.
Precinct	1.....	372	165	174	364
"	2.....	329	126	133	310
"	3.....	286	126	126	255
"	4.....	164	199	185	166
"	5.....	319	116	176	300
"	6.....	385	86	93	420
"	7.....	340	81	95	325
"	8.....	389	60	63	345
Totals .....		2,584	959	1,045	2,485

TWENTY-FIRST DISTRICT.

Ward 21.		LEOPOLD ABRAHAM, Dem.	RICHARD F. ANDREWS, Jr., * Rep.	DANIEL D. KEARNS, Dem.	WILLIAM E. SKILLINGS, * Rep.
Precinct	1.....	99	296	91	290
"	2.....	149	263	143	231
"	3.....	83	195	85	175
"	4.....	92	300	94	274
"	5.....	108	287	95	262
"	6.....	66	330	41	335
"	7.....	144	336	134	310
"	8.....	77	407	56	425
"	9.....	79	299	79	278
Totals .....		897	2,713	818	2,580

\* Elected.

## Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.

## TWENTY-SECOND DISTRICT.

Ward 22.	JOHN BLEILER,* Rep.	CHRISTOPHER D. HOURIN, Dem.	ARTHUR A. MAXWELL,* Rep.	JOHN F. SULLIVAN, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1 .....	326	129	334	195	
" 2 .....	174	261	123	219	
" 3 .....	114	183	98	243	
" 4 .....	281	118	296	150	1
" 5 .....	262	144	253	158	
" 6 .....	279	44	298	70	
" 7 .....	302	58	355	71	
" 8 .....	175	135	185	182	
Totals .....	1,913	1,072	1,942	1,288	1

## TWENTY-THIRD DISTRICT.

Ward 23.	FRANK W. ESTEY,* Rep.	WILLIAM J. LEONARD, Dem.	GUY F. NEWHALL, Dem.	LEMUEL W. PETERS,* Rep.
Precinct 1 .....	197	112	79	215
" 2 .....	85	253	227	93
" 3 .....	93	256	199	98
" 4 .....	219	186	164	219
" 5 .....	249	159	154	250
" 6 .....	336	72	98	356
" 7 .....	216	48	66	222
" 8 .....	304	47	89	340
" 9 .....	96	94	119	122
Totals .....	1,795	1,227	1,195	1,915

\* Elected.

Votes cast for Representatives, State Election, 1896.  
 TWENTY-FOURTH DISTRICT.

Ward 24.	JONATHAN B. L. BARTLETT,* Rep.	EDWARD B. CALLENDER,* Rep.	QUINCY A. LOTHROP, Dem.	CHARLES F. M. MALLEY, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1 .....	166	205	132	121	
" 2 .....	199	208	141	124	
" 3 .....	322	341	77	81	
" 4 .....	258	257	117	100	
" 5 .....	307	294	65	66	1
" 6 .....	249	224	99	118	
" 7 .....	389	373	95	99	
" 8 .....	374	333	129	97	
" 9 .....	307	262	78	86	
Totals .....	2,571	2,497	933	892	1

TWENTY-FIFTH DISTRICT.

Ward 25.	A. GLENDON, DYAR,* Rep.	FREDERICK HAMMOND,* Rep.	JOHN J. HANNON, Dem.	GEORGE H. WENTWORTH, Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct 1 .....	299	287	148	137	1
" 2 .....	294	362	134	68	1
" 3 .....	245	278	123	52	
" 4 .....	115	77	233	158	
" 5 .....	170	165	202	183	
" 6 .....	167	238	177	140	
" 7 .....	137	143	172	220	
Totals .....	1,427	1,550	1,189	958	2

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Clerk of Supreme Judicial Court, State  
Election, 1896.**

**SUFFOLK DISTRICT.**

<b>Wards.</b>	<b>NOBLE.*</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>	<b>Wards.</b>	<b>NOBLE.*</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>
<b>1</b> .....	2,580		<b>15</b> .....	2,269	
<b>2</b> .....	2,018		<b>16</b> .....	2,408	
<b>3</b> .....	1,787		<b>17</b> .....	2,434	
<b>4</b> .....	1,550		<b>18</b> .....	2,096	
<b>5</b> .....	1,612		<b>19</b> .....	2,205	
<b>6</b> .....	1,748		<b>20</b> .....	3,208	2
<b>7</b> .....	1,719		<b>21</b> .....	3,210	
<b>8</b> .....	2,225		<b>22</b> .....	2,749	
<b>9</b> .....	2,112		<b>23</b> .....	2,544	
<b>10</b> .....	2,880		<b>24</b> .....	2,937	
<b>11</b> .....	2,903		<b>25</b> .....	2,073	1
<b>12</b> .....	2,712				
<b>13</b> .....	1,957				
<b>14</b> .....	2,472				
			<b>Totals....</b>	<b>58,408</b>	<b>3</b>

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 96,746.

Votes cast, 58,411.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 60.38 per cent.

The balance of this district is composed of Chelsea, Revere, and Winthrop.

**Votes cast for Clerk of Superior Court, Civil Session, State  
Election, 1896.**

**SUFFOLK DISTRICT.**

<b>Wards.</b>	<b>WILLARD.*</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>	<b>Wards.</b>	<b>WILLARD.*</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>
<b>1</b> .....	2,567		<b>15</b> .....	2,252	
<b>2</b> .....	2,026		<b>16</b> .....	2,460	
<b>3</b> .....	1,779		<b>17</b> .....	2,464	
<b>4</b> .....	1,557		<b>18</b> .....	2,142	
<b>5</b> .....	1,647		<b>19</b> .....	2,212	
<b>6</b> .....	1,786		<b>20</b> .....	3,278	
<b>7</b> .....	1,557		<b>21</b> .....	3,287	
<b>8</b> .....	2,146		<b>22</b> .....	2,774	
<b>9</b> .....	2,148		<b>23</b> .....	2,612	
<b>10</b> .....	2,968		<b>24</b> .....	2,961	
<b>11</b> .....	3,011		<b>25</b> .....	2,153	2
<b>12</b> .....	2,809				
<b>13</b> .....	1,961	1			
<b>14</b> .....	2,478				
			<b>Totals....</b>	<b>59,035</b>	<b>3</b>

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 96,746.

Votes cast, 59,038.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 61.02 per cent.

The balance of this district is composed of Chelsea, Revere, and Winthrop.

**Votes cast for Clerk of Superior Court, Criminal  
Session, State Election, 1896.**

**SUFFOLK DISTRICT.**

<b>Wards.</b>	<b>MANNING.*</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>	<b>Wards.</b>	<b>MANNING.*</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>
<b>1</b> .....	2,530	1	<b>15</b> .....	2,323	
<b>2</b> .....	2,027		<b>16</b> .....	2,484	
<b>3</b> .....	1,799		<b>17</b> .....	2,634	1
<b>4</b> .....	1,539		<b>18</b> .....	2,232	
<b>5</b> .....	1,659		<b>19</b> .....	2,420	
<b>6</b> .....	1,760		<b>20</b> .....	3,271	
<b>7</b> .....	1,719		<b>21</b> .....	3,290	
<b>8</b> .....	2,126	1	<b>22</b> .....	2,803	
<b>9</b> .....	2,193		<b>23</b> .....	2,591	
<b>10</b> .....	2,909		<b>24</b> .....	2,915	
<b>11</b> .....	2,978		<b>25</b> .....	2,162	
<b>12</b> .....	2,796				
<b>13</b> .....	2,104				
<b>14</b> .....	2,533				
			<b>Totals</b> .....	59,797	3

\* Elected.

Registered voters, 96,746.

Votes cast, 59,800.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 61.81 per cent.

The balance of this district is composed of Chelsea, Revere, and Winthrop.



### Votes cast for or against the proposed Amendments to the Constitution.

First Article of Amendment: Shall the proposed amendment to the constitution establishing biennial elections of State officers be approved and ratified?

Wards.	Yes.	No.	Wards.	Yes.	No.
1 .....	971	1,597	15 .....	614	1,814
2 .....	505	1,645	16 .....	1,021	1,439
3 .....	509	1,470	17 .....	773	1,779
4 .....	526	1,131	18 .....	763	1,531
5 .....	524	1,224	19 .....	631	1,854
6 .....	466	1,562	20 .....	1,592	1,642
7 .....	595	1,354	21 .....	1,735	1,585
8 .....	726	1,676	22 .....	1,129	1,727
9 .....	709	1,775	23 .....	1,139	1,462
10 .....	1,661	1,299	24 .....	1,250	1,760
11 .....	1,971	970	25 .....	935	1,249
12 .....	1,385	1,438			
13 .....	321	2,032	Totals.....	23,151	38,869
14 .....	700	1,854			

Registered voters, 96,746. Votes cast, 62,020.  
Proportion of men registered who voted, 64.11 per cent.

Second Article of Amendment: Shall the proposed amendment to the constitution establishing biennial elections of members of the General Court be approved and ratified?

Wards.	Yes.	No.	Wards.	Yes.	No.
1 .....	927	1,547	15 .....	587	1,745
2 .....	403	1,637	16 .....	909	1,415
3 .....	453	1,447	17 .....	702	1,735
4 .....	474	1,102	18 .....	688	1,497
5 .....	454	1,201	19 .....	572	1,778
6 .....	428	1,478	20 .....	1,470	1,631
7 .....	461	1,322	21 .....	1,631	1,579
8 .....	663	1,901	22 .....	1,035	1,708
9 .....	620	1,753	23 .....	1,070	1,440
10 .....	1,585	1,268	24 .....	1,136	1,657
11 .....	1,886	995	25 .....	878	1,217
12 .....	1,278	1,418			
13 .....	282	1,968	Totals.....	21,222	38,257
14 .....	630	1,818			

Registered voters, 96,746. Votes cast, 59,479.  
Proportion of men registered who voted, 61.48 per cent.

### Number of Men registered who Voted

(AS PER WARD OFFICERS' RETURNS OF NAMES CHECKED ON VOTING LIST) AT STATE ELECTION, 1896.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Number of names checked.	Number registered.	Per cent. registered who voted.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.			
1. ....	387	397	514	453	478	412	515	530	186	3,872	4,156	93.17
2. ....	433	362	462	416	346	426	473	523	....	3,441	3,733	92.18
3. ....	419	459	435	462	508	417	....	....	....	2,700	2,923	92.37
4. ....	451	405	477	464	408	386	...	....	....	2,591	2,788	92.93
5. ....	430	478	448	332	493	400	....	....	....	2,581	2,834	91.07
6. ....	372	418	492	439	502	423	497	405	....	3,548	3,827	92.71
7. ....	446	408	528	460	525	605	....	....	....	2,972	3,304	89.95
8. ....	576	720	571	647	642	715	....	....	....	3,871	4,198	92.21
9. ....	552	592	464	559	549	504	521	....	...	3,741	4,036	92.77
10. ....	430	458	454	431	433	475	607	517	368	4,173	4,526	92.20
11. ....	484	495	527	517	373	347	445	366	340	3,894	4,115	94.63
12. ....	598	570	476	604	573	628	533	....	....	3,982	4,314	92.30
13. ....	476	491	398	445	470	410	440	442	....	3,572	3,848	92.83
14. ....	582	485	409	397	437	436	433	495	....	3,674	3,939	93.27
15. ....	330	421	463	418	460	420	445	450	....	3,407	3,623	94.04
16. ....	437	480	479	536	499	477	579	....	...	3,487	3,699	94.27
17. ....	421	397	389	431	393	393	423	557	397	3,801	4,107	92.55
18. ....	500	571	610	616	598	634	....	....	....	3,529	3,817	92.45
19. ....	437	434	510	520	411	490	483	411	....	3,696	3,994	92.54
20. ....	649	540	477	476	538	574	552	524	....	4,330	4,574	94.67
21. ....	494	484	325	462	460	456	560	566	464	4,271	4,486	95.21
22. ....	612	527	413	554	531	403	471	438	....	3,949	4,221	93.56
23. ....	350	419	418	488	500	508	321	443	264	3,711	3,916	94.77
24. ....	403	437	496	436	433	419	564	568	437	4,193	4,435	94.54
25. ....	543	515	395	383	430	444	445	....	....	3,155	3,333	94.66
Totals .....										90,141	96,746	

Average per cent. of men registered who voted, 93.17.

MUNICIPAL ELECTION,  
1896.



## Registered Voters (Men) at Municipal Election, 1896.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Totals.	Per cent. as- sessed Polls registered by Wards.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.		
1 .....	409	424	545	472	519	434	550	566	199	4,118	66.72
2 .....	457	393	495	456	368	451	518	577	....	3,715	57.96
3 .....	437	492	458	520	536	448	....	....	....	2,891	69.93
4 .....	474	442	500	487	450	389	....	...	....	2,742	65.05
5 .....	456	511	497	363	545	445	....	....	....	2,817	63.60
6 .....	406	441	520	479	540	450	534	438	....	3,808	47.12
7 .....	495	448	586	529	566	672	....	....	....	3,296	53.94
8 .. ..	616	789	611	691	694	765	....	....	....	4,166	51.62
9 .....	582	654	498	611	597	531	553	....	....	4,026	48.45
10 ....	482	495	482	455	465	501	633	553	383	4,449	61.05
11 .....	519	549	561	540	381	352	456	374	350	4,082	68.03
12 .....	632	603	516	656	620	687	569	....	....	4,283	66.16
13 .....	514	525	432	467	506	433	471	486	....	3,834	48.80
14 .....	620	536	442	406	457	468	474	532	...	3,935	67.31
15 .....	352	456	470	448	483	436	481	485	....	3,611	67.99
16 .....	471	513	513	564	522	492	605	....	....	3,680	71.83
17 .....	462	419	414	456	423	436	454	598	432	4,094	65.47
18 .....	534	613	643	679	647	682	....	....	....	3,798	52.23
19 .....	462	478	555	567	435	527	521	438	....	3,983	59.72
20 .....	684	572	511	508	568	593	581	546	....	4,563	71.14
21 .....	524	507	344	478	484	464	588	596	478	4,463	71.23
22 .....	646	567	456	589	574	424	495	451	....	4,202	65.22
23 .....	360	450	455	514	530	536	329	456	274	3,904	71.59
24 .....	436	459	535	455	454	439	592	593	467	4,430	69.88
25 .....	574	541	402	411	447	465	476	....	....	3,316	66.80
Total..	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	96,206	

Average per cent. of men assessed who registered, 61.71.

## Votes cast for Aldermen, Municipal Election, 1896.

Wards.	BARRY,* Dem.	BREWIN,* Rep.	CODMAN,* Rep.	COLBY,* Rep.	DEAN,* Dem.	WILLIAM F. DONOVAN,* Dem.	WILLIAM J. DONOVAN,* Dem.
1 ...	1,091	1,664	1,697	1,719	1,059	1,088	1,681
2 ...	1,803	693	683	674	1,819	1,885	2,133
3 ...	1,160	672	704	677	1,223	1,229	1,221
4 ...	951	893	907	894	995	974	978
5 ...	1,073	734	769	743	1,107	1,102	1,125
6 ...	1,345	1,011	857	797	1,449	1,556	1,552
7 ...	1,128	800	806	831	1,172	1,175	1,131
8 ...	1,012	1,153	1,097	1,085	1,716	1,817	1,711
9 ...	1,539	1,096	1,079	1,030	1,362	1,331	1,358
10 ...	642	1,989	2,036	2,020	657	523	513
11 ...	943	1,641	1,896	1,863	821	682	599
12 ...	987	1,671	1,695	1,749	1,015	940	926
13 ...	1,696	384	428	387	2,256	1,930	1,914
14 ...	1,461	1,016	1,163	1,059	1,902	1,524	1,483
15 ...	1,213	858	973	882	1,732	1,303	1,290
16 ...	1,022	1,450	1,573	1,450	1,234	1,088	1,053
17 ...	1,656	1,147	1,206	1,161	1,749	1,729	1,731
18 ...	1,260	1,112	1,139	1,074	1,328	1,276	1,279
19 ...	1,805	869	866	845	1,818	1,839	1,821
20 ...	1,049	1,977	2,304	2,055	1,141	980	1,004
21 ...	875	2,048	2,095	2,088	924	802	777
22 ...	1,278	1,602	1,700	1,652	1,250	1,136	1,117
23 ...	1,287	1,496	1,646	1,584	1,199	1,123	1,094
24 ...	1,036	1,974	2,446	1,997	1,076	987	965
25 ...	1,026	1,312	1,377	1,357	1,082	1,001	995
Totals	30,338	31,262	33,142	31,673	33,086	31,020	31,451

\* Elected.

## Votes cast for Aldermen, Municipal Election, 1896.

DYAR,* Rep.	LEE,* Dem.	LOTT,* Rep.	MAHONEY, Dem.	MCDONALD, Dem.	PATCE,* Rep.	PRESHO,* Rep.	Scattering.	Wards.
1,585	973	1,592	966	950	1,642	1,796		..... 1
710	1,680	639	1,794	1,737	673	922	2	..... 2
741	1,105	645	1,291	1,140	708	1,276		..... 3
927	915	858	1,059	896	911	1,249		..... 4
777	1,030	707	1,140	1,007	769	1,233		..... 5
862	1,275	761	1,485	1,380	792	1,234		..... 6
902	1,025	776	1,141	1,164	862	967		..... 7
1,082	1,646	1,015	1,671	1,575	1,079	1,298	2	..... 8
1,122	1,213	984	1,297	1,254	1,172	1,253	4	..... 9
2,054	609	1,913	453	460	2,015	2,074	2	.....10
1,708	914	1,531	498	535	1,686	1,788	4	.....11
1,719	975	1,603	893	876	1,706	1,786	1	.....12
455	1,505	381	1,891	1,866	1,269	868	2	.....13
1,174	1,266	1,033	1,435	1,439	1,951	1,311	4	.....14
935	1,049	872	1,253	1,298	1,799	1,223	1	.....15
1,529	1,016	1,641	991	1,089	1,657	1,545	1	.....16
1,265	1,592	1,179	1,691	1,696	1,210	1,351		.....17
1,133	1,291	1,059	1,290	1,256	1,096	1,187		.....18
978	1,764	791	1,811	1,813	857	967		.....19
2,078	1,037	2,012	916	1,237	2,085	2,113		.....20
2,124	893	2,026	713	761	2,063	2,184	1	.....21
1,683	1,370	1,580	1,116	1,104	1,660	1,763	1	.....22
1,632	1,273	1,482	1,083	1,097	1,564	1,663	3	.....23
2,043	1,018	1,979	948	1,092	2,039	2,098		.....24
1,483	1,503	1,244	947	935	1,318	1,454	1	.....25
32,701	29,937	30,303	29,773	29,657	34,583	36,603	29	Totals.

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 1.</b>		<b>CHARLES I. ALBEE,* Rep.</b>	<b>A. DUDLEY BAGLEY,* Rep.</b>	<b>DANIEL N. GENERSON, Dem.</b>	<b>HENRY S. HOWARD, Dem.</b>	<b>DANIEL A. MC- DONALD, Dem.</b>	<b>COLLINGWOOD C. MILLAR,* Rep.</b>
Precinct	<b>1.....</b>	214	219	64	71	52	213
"	<b>2.....</b>	260	253	26	30	31	255
"	<b>3.....</b>	324	312	48	54	47	317
"	<b>4.....</b>	271	267	32	40	37	276
"	<b>5.....</b>	223	228	100	100	94	222
"	<b>6.....</b>	94	100	197	201	202	97
"	<b>7.....</b>	187	202	203	199	186	194
"	<b>8.....</b>	173	238	170	223	168	183
"	<b>9.....</b>	107	112	35	39	36	106
<b>Totals.....</b>		1,853	1,931	875	957	853	1,863

  

<b>Ward 2.</b>		<b>JOSEPH A. CONRY,* Dem.</b>	<b>WILLIAM J. CRONIN,* Dem.</b>	<b>JAMES H. DONOVAN,* Dem.</b>	<b>JOSEPH G. JORDAN, Rep.</b>	<b>FREDERICK G. MOORD, Rep.</b>	<b>ALBERT R. STEDFAST, Rep.</b>
Precinct	<b>1.....</b>	299	279	293	72	51	61
"	<b>2.....</b>	200	204	197	74	62	58
"	<b>3.....</b>	245	214	218	132	107	113
"	<b>4.....</b>	248	233	230	83	78	84
"	<b>5.....</b>	211	206	193	59	48	87
"	<b>6.....</b>	239	194	201	115	102	115
"	<b>7.....</b>	312	287	289	49	42	72
"	<b>8.....</b>	338	327	348	85	74	85
<b>Totals.....</b>		2,092	1,944	1,969	669	564	675

\* Elected.



**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 3.</b>		HENRY B. CARROLL,* Dem.	WILLIAM H. CASEY, Rep.	GEORGE P. HARRINGTON, Rep.	JOHN J. O'CALLAGHAN,* Dem.	JAMES H. STEVENS, Rep.	JOHN I. TOLAND,* Dem.	Scattering.
Precinct <b>1</b>		63	227	224	68	236	60	1
" <b>2</b>		227	123	126	218	130	212	
" <b>3</b>		189	131	135	178	139	182	
" <b>4</b>		293	62	62	272	92	280	
" <b>5</b>		286	78	68	293	69	304	
" <b>6</b>		288	50	34	282	30	287	
<b>Totals . .</b>		1,346	671	649	1,311	696	1,325	1

  

<b>Ward 4.</b>		JOHN W. DONOHUE,* Dem.	FRANK W. EASTERBROOK, Rep.	WILLIAM E. MAHONEY,* Dem.	CHARLES O. SHUTE, Rep.	JOSEPH A. TURNBULL,* Dem.	HENRY W. WOODBURY, Rep.
Precinct <b>1</b> . . . .		227	103	218	102	218	116
" <b>2</b> . . . .		74	215	77	228	78	217
" <b>3</b> . . . .		104	250	108	267	114	252
" <b>4</b> . . . .		196	169	185	157	190	179
" <b>5</b> . . . .		268	69	294	54	288	52
" <b>6</b> . . . .		105	165	130	137	110	137
<b>Totals . . . . .</b>		974	971	1,012	945	998	953

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 5.</b>	<b>HENRY A. BLUNT, Rep.</b>	<b>JAMES J. BROCK,* Dem.</b>	<b>DENNIS J. FALVEY,* Dem.</b>	<b>WILLIAM O. D. GRACE, Rep.</b>	<b>ORISON LITTLE, Rep.</b>	<b>WILLIAM J. MILLER,* Dem.</b>
Precinct 1.....	224	73	71	227	229	83
“ 2.....	134	212	216	154	139	244
“ 3.....	42	305	273	40	45	300
“ 4.....	99	144	139	96	99	149
“ 5.....	110	230	270	111	113	279
“ 6.....	99	171	188	101	104	186
Totals.....	708	1,135	1,157	729	729	1,241

<b>Ward 6.</b>	<b>MICHAEL J. DONOVAN,* Dem.</b>	<b>JOHN H. LEWIS, I. Rep.</b>	<b>NUNZIATO F. MANDELLO, Rep.</b>	<b>MICHAEL J. MCCOLGAN, I. Dem</b>	<b>JOHN A. ROWAN,* Dem.</b>	<b>JAMES H. SHANNON,* Dem.</b>	<b>SAMUEL L. SILVERMAN, Rep.</b>	<b>WALTER L. WETHERBEE, Rep.</b>
Precinct 1....	194	25	24	105	162	161	27	28
“ 2....	137	16	32	138	159	183	50	35
“ 3....	177	41	88	125	159	172	84	68
“ 4....	214	31	79	62	103	127	70	72
“ 5....	108	25	150	94	100	114	195	140
“ 6....	84	42	165	6	64	65	190	217
“ 7....	107	17	75	135	168	125	154	79
“ 8....	122	15	13	208	229	134	22	16
Totals.....	1,143	212	626	873	1,144	1,081	792	655

\* Elected.

Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1896.

Ward 7.		FREDERICK M. BRINNICK, Rep.	BENJAMIN F. COLBY, Rep.	WILLIAM T. A. FITZGERALD,* Dem.	THOMAS MACKEY,* Dem.	JAMES ROBERTSON, Rep.	WILLIAM H. ROTH,* Dem.
Precinct	1.....	145	150	140.	106	170	137
"	2.....	119	123	132	159	123	138
"	3.....	152	156	165	171	157	169
"	4.....	184	175	99	99	188	85
"	5.....	60	54	298	292	70	296
"	6.....	91	99	281	297	108	335
Totals.....		751	757	1,115	1,124	816	1,160

Ward 8.		BENJAMIN CLARK, I. Rep.	ALFRED H. COLBY, Rep.	JOHN H. DEANE, I. Rep.	WILLIAM W. FARR, Rep.	HENRY GEDIMAN, Rep.	FRANCIS J. HORGAN,* Dem.	DANIEL J. KILEY,* Dem.	LOUIS SONNA- BEND,* Dem.	HARRY S. WHITE, S. Lab.	Scattering.
Precinct	1.....	10	73	11	75	85	355	347	324	37	
"	2.....	37	201	48	175	167	299	271	242	45	1
"	3.....	67	306	98	257	186	82	70	44	14	
"	4.....	31	153	30	159	146	304	296	246	34	
"	5.....	19	124	19	131	135	359	344	312	69	1
"	6.....	53	128	16	145	128	335	332	295	41	
Totals.....		217	985	222	942	847	1,734	1,660	1,463	240	2

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 9.</b>		WINTHROP BACON, Rep.	PATRICK BOWEN,* Dem.	WILLIAM ROPER CURTIS, Rep.	MICHAEL LEON- ARD,* Dem.	MAURICE J. McCARTHY,* Dem.	HENRY W. STILL- INGS, Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct <b>1</b> .....		174	194	163	171	163	155	1
“ <b>2</b> .....		168	241	168	219	234	157	
“ <b>3</b> .....		43	291	46	267	280	36	
“ <b>4</b> .....		136	221	144	222	241	130	
“ <b>5</b> .....		239	100	235	100	96	231	
“ <b>6</b> .....		248	82	245	88	90	244	
“ <b>7</b> .....		84	249	57	319	293	82	
<b>Totals</b> .....		1,092	1,378	1,058	1,386	1,397	1,035	1

<b>Ward 10.</b>		GEORGE S. BROOKS,* Rep.	JEREMIAH J. KEANE, Dem.	ALFRED F. KINNEY,* Rep.	WALTER E. NICHOLS,* Rep.
Precinct <b>1</b> .....		177	133	172	153
“ <b>2</b> .....		214	93	213	206
“ <b>3</b> .....		272	58	245	257
“ <b>4</b> .....		248	46	231	245
“ <b>5</b> .....		221	36	212	227
“ <b>6</b> .....		274	50	275	281
“ <b>7</b> .....		309	56	290	303
“ <b>8</b> .....		259	71	259	267
“ <b>9</b> .....		203	43	198	195
<b>Totals</b> .....		2,177	586	2,095	2,134

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 11.</b>	<b>FRANK H. BRIGGS, * Rep.</b>	<b>MATTHEW A. FITZ- GERALD, Dem.</b>	<b>CHARLES R. SAUNDERS, * Rep.</b>	<b>GEORGE HOLDEN TINKHAM, * Rep.</b>	<b>RICHARD D. WARE, Dem.</b>	<b>MYER E. YERETSKY, Dem.</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	229	79	228	218	100	82	
“ <b>2</b> .....	158	142	166	153	114	113	
“ <b>3</b> .....	220	130	230	223	142	123	2
“ <b>4</b> .....	239	90	236	219	162	75	
“ <b>5</b> .....	212	28	187	184	98	21	
“ <b>6</b> .....	198	16	184	184	51	11	
“ <b>7</b> .....	253	16	234	252	73	16	
“ <b>8</b> .....	194	19	174	176	94	14	
“ <b>9</b> .....	177	22	170	171	56	18	
<b>Totals</b> .....	1,880	542	1,809	1,782	892	473	2

  

<b>Ward 12.</b>	<b>DENNIS D. DRISCOLL, Dem.</b>	<b>JOHN B. DUMOND,* Rep.</b>	<b>EDWARD P. SANDS, * Rep.</b>	<b>ISAAC SIMON, Dem.</b>	<b>JOSEPH G. THOMP- SON, Dem.</b>	<b>ARTHUR G. WOOD, * Rep.</b>
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	67	299	304	60	69	309
“ <b>2</b> .....	49	321	325	62	52	330
“ <b>3</b> .....	122	208	206	112	110	210
“ <b>4</b> .....	113	283	280	109	114	288
“ <b>5</b> .....	98	252	247	91	102	253
“ <b>6</b> .....	255	194	194	253	249	198
“ <b>7</b> .....	227	137	133	222	202	146
<b>Totals</b> .....	931	1,694	1,689	909	898	1,734

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 13.</b>		AXEL ANDERSON, Rep.	HUGH W. BRES- NAHAN,* Dem.	JAMES T. MA- HONY, JR.,* Dem.	JOSEPH Mc- WILLIAMS, Rep.	PATRICK J. O'TOOLE,* Dem.	STEFAN PLE- HOWIEZ, Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	33	283	304	34	271	33
"	<b>2</b> .....	35	291	282	28	327	29
"	<b>3</b> .....	25	248	262	28	257	24
"	<b>4</b> .....	40	256	279	42	254	39
"	<b>5</b> .....	58	264	285	51	256	35
"	<b>6</b> .....	61	212	214	64	210	58
"	<b>7</b> .....	37	291	249	39	270	33
"	<b>8</b> .....	38	277	250	41	245	39
Totals.....		327	2,122	2,125	327	2,090	310

<b>Ward 14.</b>		JOSEPH W. BATEMAN, Rep.	GEORGE F. CLARK, Rep.	JOHN H. DUNN,* Dem.	WILLIAM P. HICKEY,* Dem.	JAMES F. MULCAHY,* Dem.	EUGENE G. WHIT- TEMORE, Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct	<b>1</b> .....	146	135	297	317	309	131	1
"	<b>2</b> .....	40	33	324	356	341	32	
"	<b>3</b> .....	132	111	205	213	202	122	
"	<b>4</b> .....	189	177	128	131	123	189	
"	<b>5</b> .....	180	181	130	129	130	196	1
"	<b>6</b> .....	206	203	154	140	124	219	
"	<b>7</b> .....	146	142	169	176	174	150	
"	<b>8</b> .....	175	171	227	186	186	189	
Totals.....		1,214	1,153	1,634	1,648	1,589	1,228	2

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 15.</b>		THOMAS F. BRAD- FORD, I. Rep.	EDWARD C. CAD- GAN, * Dem.	MILES P. CAR- ROL, Rep.	GEORGE DEFREN, Rep.	DAVID A. GAVA- GAN, Rep.	JOHN J. MA- HONEY, * Dem.	DANIEL V. Mc- ISAAC, * Dem.
Precinct	<b>1..</b>	12	120	79	85	83	123	138
"	<b>2..</b>	12	219	59	66	67	216	246
"	<b>3..</b>	42	179	115	117	134	194	189
"	<b>4..</b>	40	146	111	119	152	163	149
"	<b>5..</b>	16	191	115	129	113	193	206
"	<b>6..</b>	33	123	174	180	153	126	139
"	<b>7..</b>	24	115	150	229	144	138	132
"	<b>8..</b>	23	129	166	176	124	158	163
Totals ....		202	1,222	969	1,101	970	1,311	1,362

  

<b>Ward 16.</b>		OLIVER F. DAVENPORT, * Rep.	FREDERICK W. FARWELL, * Rep.	RICHARD H. FOLEY, Dem.	AUSTIN J. Mc- GIVERN, Dem.	PATRICK E. RIDDLER, Dem.	ARTHUR P. RUSSELL, * Rep.	WILLIAM WILSON, I. Dem.
Precinct	<b>1..</b>	135	174	149	137	156	152	23
"	<b>2..</b>	125	160	241	224	222	117	6
"	<b>3..</b>	170	215	180	174	184	170	7
"	<b>4..</b>	300	289	79	74	125	309	9
"	<b>5..</b>	252	240	105	97	126	256	9
"	<b>6..</b>	303	291	35	29	65	312	10
"	<b>7..</b>	164	157	205	194	289	183	14
Totals ....		1,449	1,526	994	929	1,167	1,499	78

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 17.</b>		JOHN F. BROWN, I. Dem.	TIMOTHY L. CONNOLLY,* Dem.	CHARLES J. KIDNEY, C. Rep.	JOHN P. LANERGAN,* Dem.	OSWALD F. H. LAUBRICH, Rep.	ANDREW VICTOR LINDQUIST, I. Rep.	TIMOTHY E. MCCARTHY,* Dem.	JAMES A. MURPHY, I. Dem.	CHARLES T. SCOTT, I. Dem.	EDWARD B. SWETT, Rep.	SILAS R. TUPPER, I. Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> . . . .	76	227	55	194	57	4	168	18	12	68	9
"	<b>2</b> . . . .	26	218	67	215	65	6	199	12	24	67	13
"	<b>3</b> . . . .	13	88	160	66	172	9	77	6	15	172	28
"	<b>4</b> . . . .	10	131	152	119	157	13	126	10	26	155	23
"	<b>5</b> . . . .	12	178	102	184	88	6	173	26	26	84	14
"	<b>6</b> . . . .	19	214	50	266	39	3	212	26	13	40	4
"	<b>7</b> . . . .	11	200	126	197	79	6	187	34	29	87	3
"	<b>8</b> . . . .	13	217	159	216	159	10	207	29	22	162	19
"	<b>9</b> . . . .	10	115	177	133	133	12	102	20	54	142	18
Totals . . . . .		190	1,588	1,048	1,590	949	69	1,451	181	221	977	131

<b>Ward 18.</b>		EDGAR P. BENJAMIN, Rep.	GEORGE W. BENNETT, Rep.	JAMES J. CASEY,* Dem.	JOHN H. DALY,* Dem.	MICHAEL E. GADDIS,* Dem.	GEORGE A. ROBINSON, Rep.	CHARLES H. KEMP STURGEON, I. Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> . . . . .	130	127	231	216	182	107	57
"	<b>2</b> . . . . .	149	162	219	228	191	136	39
"	<b>3</b> . . . . .	240	246	141	139	119	240	28
"	<b>4</b> . . . . .	194	212	176	183	174	196	41
"	<b>5</b> . . . . .	77	74	268	315	312	73	69
"	<b>6</b> . . . . .	213	227	186	198	205	200	61
Totals . . . . .		1,003	1,048	1,221	1,279	1,183	952	295

\* Elected.



Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal Election, 1896.

Ward 19.		MICHAEL T. ATH- RIDGE, I. Dem.	ALEXANDER A. CANNAPAN, I. Dem	GEORGE W. CROCKER, Rep.	JOHN F. DEMP- SEY, * Dem.	JOSEPH ESSELEN, Rep.	JOHN J. FLANA- GAN, * Dem.	CHARLES P. NANGLE, * Dem.	FRANK TUCKER, Rep.
Precinct 1.....		105	86	104	123	83	140	118	100
" 2.....		55	62	167	119	71	151	160	80
" 3.....		151	134	49	208	45	242	183	64
" 4.....		145	145	89	148	85	183	190	122
" 5.....		48	48	124	122	119	128	124	153
" 6.....		70	53	146	203	141	185	172	178
" 7.....		120	105	98	143	90	160	150	115
" 8.....		146	99	83	132	61	129	110	84
Totals.....		840	732	860	1,198	695	1,318	1,207	896

  

Ward 20.		WILBUR F. ADAMS, * Rep.	EDWIN D. BELL, * Rep.	HENRY BORGMAN, Dem.	WILLIAM L. CAHALAN, Dem.	JOHN F. GARRITY, Dem.	LOUIS T. HOWARD, * Rep.	Scattering.
Precinct 1.....		314	310	140	139	131	325	
" 2.....		256	255	145	146	141	257	
" 3.....		228	218	126	133	127	221	3
" 4.....		146	148	234	234	234	146	
" 5.....		240	242	134	129	128	238	
" 6.....		345	336	75	71	71	335	
" 7.....		313	315	88	89	85	307	
" 8.....		309	319	53	57	61	310	
Totals.....		2,151	2,143	995	998	978	2,139	3

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 21.</b>		<b>SAMUEL C. JONES,* Rep.</b>	<b>WILLIAM J. KELLEY, Dem.</b>	<b>ALFRED NEWMARCH,* Rep.</b>	<b>ALBERT C. SAWYER,* Rep.</b>	<b>FRANK C. SCOTT, Dem.</b>	<b>MICHAEL F. SHAY, Dem.</b>
Precinct <b>1</b> .....	236	86	229	236	79	89	
“ <b>2</b> .....	202	148	197	191	136	143	
“ <b>3</b> .....	164	79	157	152	73	78	
“ <b>4</b> .....	241	70	243	242	74	75	
“ <b>5</b> .....	235	91	225	229	95	98	
“ <b>6</b> .....	265	47	260	264	49	55	
“ <b>7</b> .....	270	114	281	266	120	116	
“ <b>8</b> .....	295	60	288	289	65	61	
“ <b>9</b> .....	246	76	242	242	71	76	
Totals.....	2,154	771	2,122	2,111	762	791	

  

<b>Ward 22.</b>		<b>CHARLES F. ADAMS,* Rep.</b>	<b>WILLIAM DALLOW, Jr.,* Rep.</b>	<b>JAMES A. DESMOND, Dem.</b>	<b>JOHN A. MAIER,* Rep.</b>	<b>JAMES M. RYAN, DEM.</b>	<b>G. WALDON SMITH, I. Rep.</b>	<b>WILLIAM P. VAN TASSEL, Dem.</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>
Precinct <b>1</b> ....	228	230	161	219	127	127	102		
“ <b>2</b> ....	90	98	268	120	233	107	202		
“ <b>3</b> ....	86	78	216	75	211	64	190		
“ <b>4</b> ....	225	202	113	204	92	137	191		
“ <b>5</b> ....	173	184	165	214	134	145	103		
“ <b>6</b> ....	251	216	48	225	31	88	28		
“ <b>7</b> ....	284	256	56	251	46	71	45		
“ <b>8</b> ....	155	133	166	139	138	87	161	1	
Totals.....	1,492	1,397	1,193	1,447	1,012	826	1,022	1	

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 23.</b>		<b>JOHN F. CRONIN, Dem.</b>	<b>CHARLES W. DENNIS,* Rep.</b>	<b>THOMAS F. LONG, Dem.</b>	<b>WILLIAM M. MACDONALD, Dem.</b>	<b>EDWARD ORCHARD,* Rep.</b>	<b>KONRAD YOUNG,* Rep.</b>
Precinct	<b>1.....</b>	106	178	87	83	161	163
"	<b>2.....</b>	265	80	269	254	81	79
"	<b>3.....</b>	232	86	213	212	89	88
"	<b>4.....</b>	199	168	171	174	171	164
"	<b>5.....</b>	154	204	132	131	191	233
"	<b>6.....</b>	70	272	55	58	229	290
"	<b>7.....</b>	49	198	39	48	183	207
"	<b>8.....</b>	58	294	37	54	273	284
"	<b>9.....</b>	107	102	88	139	87	92
<b>Totals .....</b>		1,240	1,582	1,091	1,153	1,465	1,600

  

<b>Ward 24.</b>		<b>WILLIAM E. HARVEY,* Rep.</b>	<b>WILLARD W. HIBBARD,* Rep.</b>	<b>TIMOTHY A. KELLIHER, Dem.</b>	<b>JOHN J. KENNEY, Dem.</b>	<b>JOHN E. WALSH, Dem.</b>	<b>HARRY B. WHALL,* Rep.</b>	<b>Scattering.</b>
Precinct	<b>1....</b>	159	150	148	145	145	154	
"	<b>2....</b>	199	192	156	138	151	192	
"	<b>3....</b>	268	273	92	91	87	273	
"	<b>4....</b>	224	228	110	100	117	224	1
"	<b>5....</b>	251	253	71	67	70	243	1
"	<b>6....</b>	198	201	118	119	116	196	
"	<b>7....</b>	319	306	110	100	75	313	
"	<b>8....</b>	343	300	110	99	79	298	
"	<b>9....</b>	205	208	111	108	99	200	
<b>Totals .....</b>		2,166	2,111	1,026	967	939	2,093	2

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Members of Common Council, Municipal  
Election, 1896.**

<b>Ward 25.</b>		JOHN J. COAK- LEY, Dem.	JAMES H. DAL- TON, Dem.	EDWARD FAR- RELL, Dem.	WILLIAM M. FARRING- TON, * Rep.	EZRA M. ROL- LAND, * Rep.	WILLIAM D. WHEELER, * Rep.
Precinct	<b>1</b> . . . .	129	140	141	256	253	287
"	<b>2</b> . . . .	54	75	61	294	306	321
"	<b>3</b> . . . .	35	55	41	248	258	269
"	<b>4</b> . . . .	247	245	234	81	71	73
"	<b>5</b> . . . .	201	186	189	158	138	163
"	<b>6</b> . . . .	136	130	156	212	196	211
"	<b>7</b> . . . .	240	229	237	122	112	127
Totals . . . .		1,042	1,060	1,059	1,371	1,334	1,451

\* Elected.

**Votes cast for Street Commissioner, Municipal Election,  
1896.**

Wards.	CRAM, Rep.	DUANE,* Dem.	Scattering.
<b>1</b> .....	1,643	1,009	
<b>2</b> .....	980	1,492	
<b>3</b> .....	652	1,175	
<b>4</b> .....	892	919	
<b>5</b> .....	698	1,046	
<b>6</b> .....	903	1,310	
<b>7</b> .....	839	1,067	
<b>8</b> .....	1,015	1,483	
<b>9</b> .....	1,089	1,191	
<b>10</b> .....	1,763	664	
<b>11</b> .....	1,428	953	
<b>12</b> .....	1,504	970	
<b>13</b> .....	468	1,792	1
<b>14</b> .....	1,126	1,484	
<b>15</b> .....	956	1,331	
<b>16</b> .....	1,443	1,042	1
<b>17</b> .....	1,176	1,489	
<b>18</b> .....	1,040	1,192	
<b>19</b> .....	746	1,689	
<b>20</b> .....	1,780	1,184	
<b>21</b> .....	1,835	964	
<b>22</b> .....	1,444	1,262	
<b>23</b> .....	1,307	1,218	
<b>24</b> .....	1,782	1,093	
<b>25</b> .....	1,097	1,152	
Totals.....	29,606	30,171	2

\* Elected.

Proportion of men registered who voted, 62.14 per cent.

### Number of Men Registered who Voted

(AS PER WARD OFFICERS' RETURNS OF NAMES CHECKED  
ON VOTING LIST) AT MUNICIPAL ELECTION, 1896.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Number of names checked.	Number registered.	Per cent. registered who voted.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.			
1	308	300	399	334	357	334	424	439	157	3,052	4,118	74.11
2	359	287	382	352	294	352	392	456	....	2,874	3,715	77.36
3	320	385	349	383	426	359	....	....	....	2,222	2,891	76.86
4	352	318	391	391	381	290	....	....	....	2,123	2,742	77.43
5	326	416	381	268	406	317	....	....	..	2,114	2,817	75.04
6	217	329	398	374	386	312	402	369	....	2,787	3,808	73.19
7	349	312	377	306	426	504	....	....	....	2,274	3,296	68.99
8	513	560	395	528	566	553	....	....	....	3,115	4,166	74.77
9	395	449	380	412	367	353	426	....	....	2,782	4,026	69.10
10	299	302	318	284	266	328	371	324	242	2,734	4,449	61.45
11	336	334	395	376	260	228	302	240	212	2,683	4,082	65.73
12	394	401	353	422	369	483	397	....	....	2,819	4,283	65.82
13	384	384	337	363	386	322	361	350	....	2,887	3,834	75.30
14	482	435	358	340	341	384	362	415	....	3,117	3,935	79.21
15	248	344	367	348	371	354	375	378	....	2,785	3,611	77.13
16	368	403	413	427	390	368	477	....	....	2,846	3,680	77.34
17	352	341	302	340	337	354	356	454	339	3,175	4,094	77.55
18	395	424	435	446	479	488	....	....	....	2,667	3,798	70.22
19	343	354	421	421	324	433	362	337	....	2,995	3,983	75.19
20	481	432	375	416	389	427	411	387	....	3,318	4,563	72.72
21	338	359	248	331	349	329	405	370	332	3,061	4,463	68.59
22	447	439	346	442	417	311	360	362	....	3,124	4,202	74.35
23	276	375	343	381	381	360	260	358	221	2,955	3,904	75.69
24	323	360	386	356	342	338	439	434	335	3,313	4,430	74.79
25	432	397	318	347	377	376	397	....	....	2,644	3,316	79.73
Totals .....										70,466	96,206	

Average per cent. of men registered who voted, 73.24.



**Votes cast (Men and Women) for School Committee,  
Municipal Election, 1896.**

Wards.	THREE YEARS.								
	FRANK E. BATEMAN,* I. W., R.	SAMUEL H. BOROFKY, D.	WILLIAM H. BOWDLEAR,* I. W., R.	FRANCIS L. COOLIDGE,* D.	WILLIAM H. DEVINE, D.	FREDRICK C. DOWD, D.	EDWARD H. DUNN,* I. W., R.	EMILY A. FIELD,* I. W., R.	CHARLES FLEISCHER,* I. W., D., R.
1 ....	2,100	992	2,051	1,128	1,005	1,029	2,089	2,056	2,221
2 ....	819	1,808	797	1,850	1,803	1,804	843	831	1,317
3 ....	851	1,295	807	1,365	1,318	1,323	861	862	1,195
4 ....	1,097	991	1,044	1,057	1,018	1,004	1,090	1,044	1,292
5 ....	891	1,109	833	1,203	1,164	1,164	881	863	1,169
6 ....	717	1,592	720	1,494	1,465	1,465	787	756	1,116
7 ...	850	1,117	824	1,243	1,175	1,157	862	879	1,128
8 ....	1,130	1,622	1,123	1,727	1,663	1,645	1,140	1,162	1,646
9 ....	1,252	1,402	1,151	1,454	1,373	1,380	1,200	1,180	1,516
10 ....	2,259	531	2,192	915	523	523	2,243	2,238	2,239
11 ....	1,934	473	1,885	1,404	554	537	2,048	2,082	2,113
12 ....	1,989	969	1,942	1,257	954	949	2,000	1,963	2,212
13 ....	386	2,098	361	2,134	2,245	2,140	460	461	983
14 ....	1,576	1,572	1,524	1,764	1,815	1,618	1,606	1,533	2,024
15 ....	1,285	1,480	1,244	1,586	1,659	1,503	1,315	1,276	1,666
16 ....	1,726	1,082	1,699	1,256	1,136	1,114	1,753	1,720	1,965
17 ....	1,252	1,767	1,218	1,929	1,819	1,799	1,292	1,279	1,711
18 ....	1,231	1,354	1,198	1,427	1,349	1,415	1,220	1,226	1,605
19 ....	963	1,849	947	1,978	1,871	1,867	1,002	969	1,460
20 ....	2,475	1,026	2,436	1,562	1,066	1,064	2,487	2,541	2,721
21 ....	2,585	780	2,537	1,218	803	835	2,592	2,526	2,741
22 ....	1,837	1,146	1,903	1,455	1,136	1,164	1,957	1,972	2,261
23 ....	1,894	1,134	1,885	1,472	1,139	1,139	1,914	1,849	2,110
24 ....	2,398	1,043	2,358	1,390	1,050	1,082	2,407	2,636	2,656
25 ....	1,596	992	1,553	1,348	995	1,016	1,600	1,617	1,798
Totals .	37,093	31,224	36,232	36,616	32,098	31,736	37,649	37,521	44,865

\* Elected.



**Votes cast (Men and Women) for School Committee,  
Municipal Election, 1896.**

THREE YEARS.					ONE YEAR.		Scattering.	Wards.
HENRY D. HUGGAN,* I. W., R.	OTIS H. MARION, I. W., R.	JAMES A. MCDONALD,* D., R.	LOUIS P. PFAU, D.	EDWARD W. PHILBRICK, I. W., R.	SAMUEL E. COURTNEY,* I. W., R.	CHARLES V. DASEY, D.		
1,992	1,994	1,713	959	1,938	1,992	1,070	1	..... 1
1,960	781	1,438	1,674	763	775	1,885		..... 2
1,408	799	1,433	1,243	805	777	1,371	1	..... 3
1,182	1,016	1,355	968	1,038	1,001	1,080	1	..... 4
1,244	805	1,402	1,064	836	796	1,226		..... 5
1,274	698	1,259	1,303	677	712	1,640		..... 6
1,153	817	1,188	1,110	839	834	1,281		..... 7
1,630	1,140	1,514	1,510	1,081	1,212	1,581		..... 8
1,473	1,114	1,374	1,269	1,225	1,133	1,429	3	..... 9
1,226	2,139	1,647	504	2,123	2,100	606	4	.....10
1,262	1,897	1,662	470	1,840	1,954	668	3	.....11
1,474	1,799	1,778	936	1,887	1,871	1,002		.....12
1,777	361	1,469	2,079	389	357	2,248		.....13
1,927	1,414	1,737	1,554	1,514	1,430	1,758	2	.....14
1,693	1,240	1,543	1,491	1,223	1,207	1,568		.....15
1,464	1,687	1,690	1,087	1,620	1,630	1,213	4	.....16
1,923	1,130	1,643	1,773	1,173	1,189	1,835	1	.....17
1,490	1,132	1,390	1,272	1,172	1,184	1,394		.....18
1,866	833	1,554	1,865	874	852	1,973		.....19
2,003	2,143	2,036	992	2,352	2,312	1,161	1	.....20
1,754	2,348	1,974	791	2,441	2,433	858	3	.....21
1,629	1,783	1,812	1,194	1,821	1,845	1,202	4	.....22
1,793	1,688	1,663	1,194	1,755	1,812	1,161		.....23
1,810	2,238	2,007	1,018	2,293	2,234	1,188	2	.....24
1,605	1,570	1,423	968	1,467	1,530	1,049		.....25
40,012	34,566	39,704	30,288	35,146	35,172	33,447	30	

\* Elected.



**Number of Women registered who voted for School Committee**  
 (AS PER WARD OFFICERS' RETURNS OF NAMES CHECKED ON  
 VOTING LIST) AT MUNICIPAL ELECTION, 1896.

Wards.	PRECINCTS.									Number of names checked.	Number registered.	Per cent. registered who voted.
	1.	2.	3.	4.	5.	6.	7.	8.	9.			
1.....	67	69	101	63	57	3	20	18	8	406	694	58.50
2.....	19	27	18	23	15	18	6	4	...	130	187	69.52
3.....	86	39	26	11	12	6	...	...	...	180	318	56.60
4.....	33	65	64	40	16	13	...	...	...	231	367	62.94
5.....	53	42	7	14	27	14	...	...	...	157	285	55.09
6.....	2	10	6	5	6	5	4	0	...	38	92	41.30
7.....	11	31	27	17	10	6	...	...	...	102	173	58.96
8.....	1	32	39	22	6	13	...	...	...	113	177	63.84
9.....	12	16	2	5	55	56	13	...	...	159	271	58.67
10.....	22	46	54	39	21	33	44	28	13	300	593	50.59
11.....	57	19	68	31	10	23	17	10	14	249	453	54.97
12.....	56	80	52	64	35	29	33	...	...	349	563	61.99
13.....	3	2	...	2	19	18	1	5	...	50	75	66.67
14.....	34	11	37	89	103	74	56	29	...	433	629	68.84
15.....	14	15	43	71	50	65	64	39	...	361	515	70.10
16.....	42	35	42	52	46	54	19	...	...	290	472	61.44
17.....	4	16	52	58	8	1	11	36	23	209	332	62.95
18.....	20	21	34	44	17	61	...	...	...	197	308	63.96
19.....	10	28	5	24	26	38	24	9	...	164	265	61.89
20.....	96	74	62	22	40	64	68	38	...	464	732	63.39
21.....	74	34	34	77	61	88	44	70	59	541	899	60.18
22.....	37	17	23	61	19	42	66	31	...	296	419	70.64
23.....	51	4	13	33	52	79	56	45	27	340	482	70.54
24.....	20	30	45	23	62	62	100	54	32	428	651	65.75
25.....	34	38	62	11	23	44	18	...	...	230	388	59.28
Totals.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	6,417	10,340	

Average per cent. of women who voted, 62.06.

**Votes cast on the question: Shall Chapter 410 of the Acts of the year 1896, entitled "An Act providing a salary for the Members of the Common Council of the City of Boston," be accepted? Municipal Election, 1896.**

Wards.	Yes.	No.
1.....	1,366	1,285
2.....	1,619	928
3.....	1,098	880
4.....	1,119	708
5.....	1,081	740
6.....	1,516	772
7.....	1,277	677
8.....	1,814	884
9.....	1,536	853
10.....	1,226	1,132
11.....	1,233	1,085
12.....	1,285	1,180
13.....	1,712	844
14.....	1,581	1,179
15.....	1,343	1,119
16.....	1,364	1,166
17.....	1,633	1,158
18.....	1,403	985
19.....	1,554	1,105
20.....	1,466	1,496
21.....	1,319	1,432
22.....	1,499	1,243
23.....	1,369	1,244
24.....	1,398	1,460
25.....	1,341	962
Totals.....	35,152	26,517

Proportion of men registered who voted, 64.10 per cent.

**Votes cast on the question: Shall Licenses be granted for the Sale of Intoxicating Liquors in this City? Municipal Election, 1896.**

Wards.	Yes.	No.
1.....	1,523	1,356
2.....	1,746	931
3.....	1,223	878
4.....	1,190	802
5.....	1,244	720
6.....	1,729	779
7.....	1,363	731
8.....	1,915	923
9.....	1,605	995
10.....	1,548	997
11.....	1,832	713
12.....	1,603	1,067
13.....	1,679	1,017
14.....	1,694	1,232
15.....	1,520	1,094
16.....	1,419	1,249
17.....	1,825	1,150
18.....	1,482	1,115
19.....	1,859	947
20.....	1,447	1,757
21.....	1,670	1,278
22.....	1,831	1,123
23.....	1,611	1,189
24.....	1,350	1,817
25.....	1,503	1,001
Totals.....	39,411	26,861

Proportion of men registered who voted, 68.89 per cent.











FRAGILE

DO NOT  
PHOTOCOPY