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## PREFACE.

AT the present time the only existing Apparatus Criticus to the Peshitta is the work of Herbert Thorndike in Volume vi. of the London Polyglot of 1657. For Chronicles Thorndike cites two MSS. only, viz., Poc. 391 and Bodl. 14I both of the Seventeenth Century and of the same type of text. Yet since the printed text is derived from a MS. equally late in date and poorer in text, even such an Apparatus is useful. I gratefully acknowledge the help which it has given in the compilation of the present work.

But 240 years have passed since Thorndike published his collations, and time has brought to light MSS. of the Peshitta far older than his. For the present Apparatus two MSS. of the Sixth Century, one of the Ninth, and one of the Twelfth have been used. Moreover for the first time for the book of Chronicles a Nestorian or East Syrian MS. has been within reach.

It is not necessary to say much of the later Jacobite MSS. which are cited for the first time in these pages, or of the fuller citation here of later Jacobite MSS. already known. Such MSS. may probably all be correctly described either as poor relations (if not descendants) of the Buchanan Bible, or as transcripts of the Florentine MS., or as partly one partly the other. They have freely furnished me with specimen-readings,
but future editors of the Peshitta text of most books of the Old Testament will, I believe, as a rule dispense with the services of these MSS.

This Apparatus lays no claim to completeness; it does not attempt to register all the variants even of the five primary MSS. It is incomplete also on another side, for it does not appeal to the authority of the Arabic version or of Patristic quotations. The Arabic version indeed does promise help ${ }^{1}$, but it must be edited before it can be used. Patristic quotations on the contrary are unpromising. Aphraates and Ephraim have references, but vague ones; Ephraim moreover can be used for the most part in an uncritical edition only. Bar-Hebraeus is late and the source of his quotations (Peshitta, LXX., Hebrew original) is frequently uncertain. Philoxenus (Discourses) seems to have no references. Such evidence as I have collected from the Fathers does not seem to be worth printing.

But if the treatment of the text of Chronicles be incomplete, it is hoped that this book will by way of compensation contribute a little towards the textual criticism of the Peshitta in general. Three important questions are directly or indirectly touched on, viz., the value of the Codex Ambrosianus, the source of the characteristic readings of the printed text, and the relation of the Urumia edition (1852) to Nestorian authorities.

It is not easy to acknowledge at all adequately the help and sympathy which scholars both within and without the United Kingdom have given me. Let me first thank Professor Bevan, whose advice, given at an early stage of my work, proved most valuable. To Professor Gwynn of Dublin, Professor D. H. Müller of Vienna, and Mr Gwilliam of Oxford I owe many thanks for

[^0]their courteous replies to the enquiries of a stranger. Professor Sachau of Berlin most kindly collated MS. "Sachau 90 " in three important passages for me. Professor Guidi of Rome to whom, though I was a stranger, I ventured to apply for half-a-dozen readings from Codd. $\mathrm{d} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{r} v$, most generously sent me readings from these MSS. covering the first six chapters of Chronicles. These readings are given in the Apparatus; rightly they should be marked $\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{m}^{8} \mathrm{r}^{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{g}}$.

My heaviest debt of thanks remains to be paid. Dr Ceriani of Milan has always been generous to scholars who apply to him for help; my own experience has been no whit behind that of others. He it was who called my attention to the two important MSS. F and s. He allowed me to use his own collation of $s$ with $A$ in the books of Chronicles,-a most generous permission. He gave me introductions which greatly smoothed my course in Rome. Perhaps the greatest boon of all was the sympathy shewn by a Veteran Scholar for a novice's work. My heartiest thanks are due to Dr Ceriani.

I desire also to acknowledge the courteous assistance given me at the following Libraries, the Cambridge University Library, the Bodleian, the British Museum, the Bibliothèque Nationale, the Ambrosian Library at Milan, the Laurentian at Florence, the Royal Library at Berlin, the Vatican Library and the Library of the Propaganda at Rome. At Rome I desire particularly to acknowledge the kindness of Father Ehrle, Monsignor Ugolini, and Signor Durantini.

To the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press I offer my heartiest thanks for undertaking the publication of this book, and to the staff of the Press for the care bestowed on the printing of it.

One last word remains to be said. I have challenged in the Introduction a view put forward by Professor Cornill in 1886; I
am glad to know on the best authority that I do not thereby challenge the Professor Cornill of to-day. In a letter (dated May 24) written in a most generous tone he tells me that he has changed his view "schon seit Rahlfs" (i.e. Rahlfs' article, "Beiträge zur Textkritik der Peschita," ZATW i889, pp. I6i -210). It is a great pleasure to me that this book thereby loses whatever controversial character it originally had.

A brief answer may be given here to the question, What on the whole is the result of a comparison of the printed text of Chronicles with the best MSS. at present known. The answer may be given under four heads.
(a) The text is augmented by the addition of several clauses and of a passage of fifty-four verses (I. XXVI. I3-XXVII. 34) previously omitted.
(b) Two or three clauses have to be omitted.
(c) The text remains corrupt in several places.
(d) The Midrashic euphemistic and paraphrastic character of several passages remains unaffected.
(a) The clauses which must probably be restored to the text occur as follows:-
I. IV. 24 ; V. 23 ; XVI. IO; XVII. 2,4 ; XXI. I7; XXIX. II, 18.
II. III. 8 ; IV. 8 ; VI. 32 ; X. I7; XXII. 6 ; XXVIII. I8, 23 ; XXIX. 22, 23 ; XXX. 2 ; XXXI. I ; XXXII. I4, I7.
(b) For clauses which must be omitted see :-
I. XVIII. 7 ; XX. 4, 5 ; II. IX. I 2.
(c) Corruptions for which the MSS. supply no remedy occur :-

(d) Striking passages containing characteristic renderings which remain unaffected by an appeal to MSS. are the following:
I. Iv. 9. "[His father] called his name 'My eyes" (Heb. ' Jabez')." So ABCF s ${ }^{\text {b }}$.
v. 2. "From Judah shall proceed ("hath proceeded" F) King Messiah" (Heb. 'Of him-Judah-one became ruler'). So ABC [F] s ${ }^{\text {b }}$. Cod. $d^{1}$ stands alone in reading: Kォama ז.
12. "And Joel went forth at their head and judged them and taught them the Scriptures well" (Heb. יואל הראש וששפם) המששנה). So ABCF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$.
viI. 2I b (Heb.) is represented simply by the words, "The men the sons of Gath who were born in the land came down to take their cattle." Thus the Peshitta (so ABCF sb) represents the raid as carried out by the Gittites against the Ephraimites, reversing the statement of the original.
xII. I. The paraphrastic rendering of this verse is confirmed by ABCF scer. "All these mighty men of David were with him in war, and these entered in with David into the city of Ziklag when he fled from the presence of Saul the son of Kish; and they in their might were all servants (so BC, "men " AF) of David ; and if he had been willing, they would have killed Saul the son of Kish, for they were mighty men, and the men were warriors ; and David was not willing to allow them to kill Saul."
xxir. 12. "And he shall give thee wisdom and propleccy." So AB s. [hiant CF] Not so Targum.
xxix. 15. "For we are made like the smoke of the pot, and we sojourn with thee and are of small account in the world ; and thou didst rule over our fathers of old and thou didst command them in what way they should go that they might live."
"For we sojourn with thee and are strangers as all our

[^1]fathers; as the shadow of a bird that flieth in the air of heaven, so is our day upon earth, and there is no hope for a man that he will live for ever" (Targ.).
II. viII. I4. "David...who rose up in the kingdom before the Lord." So ABCF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$. "David the prophet of the Lord" (Targ.) "David the man of God" (Heb.).
xxi. io. "Then the Edomites who dwelt in Libnah rebelled." So ABCF sb. "Then Libnah rebelled" (Heb. Targ.).
xxi. ir. "And he gave the Nazirites of Jerusalem wine to drink." So ABCF s. "And he caused the inhabitants of Jerusalem to commit fornication" (Heb.). "And he caused the inhabitants of Jerusalem to err" (Targ.).
xxiv. 22. "And his sons (the sons of Jehoiada) when they were put to death said" etc. So ABC sb.
xxviII. 23-25. The strange transposition of these verses is supported by $\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$. (In the apparatus criticus the Hebrew order of verses has been given.)
xxxil. 31. "And he sought the law of the Lord, as it was given him in the land; and he knew all that was in his heart." So ABF scer. "And thus in the business of the ambassadors of the princes of the king of Babylon who were sent to him to enquire of the wonder which was in the land, to see the two tables of stone which were in the ark of the covenant of the Lord, which Moses deposited there with the two tables of stone which were broken because of the sin of the calf which they made in Horeb, the Word of the Lord gave him licence to shew them (and he was not injured) in order to try him, to know all that was in his heart" (Targ.).
xxxiII. 7. "And he put the image of four faces which he had made in the house of the Lord." So ABF sb. Cp. Talm. Bab. Sanhed. IO3 b: "פصל is written (i.e. in v. 7) and again פםילים is written (i.e. in v. 19). R. Johanan said: At the
beginning he made for it one face, and at the end he made for it four faces, so that the Shekinah might see it and be grieved." "And he set up the graven image of the form which he had made in his own likeness (בדיוקניה si sana sit lectio) in the sanctuary of the Lord" (Targ.).
xxxv. 23. "Pharaoh the Lame shot Josiah with two arroavs." So $\AA B F \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$. "The archers shot arrows at king Josiah " (Targ.).

The most striking feature however of the Peshitta version of Chronicles in $G W L U$ is the substitution of I Kings xiI. 25 - 30 followed by 1 Kings XIV. I-9 for 2 Chron. XI. 5-XII. I2. This substitution has the support of Codd. ABCF sb and must therefore be accepted as a feature of the original text. This important fact should make the critic pause before rejecting readings simply because they have been to all appearance introduced from some parallel passage. The Peshitta version of Chronicles appears in the printed editions as a freely altered text ; an appeal to the best MSS. within our reach suggests that the alterations belong to the original form of the version.
W. E. B.

[^2]
## ADDENDA.

( $\mathrm{s}^{s}=$ readings of "Sachau 90 " collated by Professor E. C. Sachau.)
II. Chron. II. I4 [I3]. We may trace the growth of variation as follows:-
A.


" $\quad$ (so GWLU).

F $F$.
XVI. 12. indira (sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$.

Add. ,mall $\ddot{i}$ (ut 3 Reg. xv. 23, ABN)

## INTRODUCTION.

## § 1. Printed Editions.

The Peshitta text of I. II. Chronicles has been printed five times. The editio princeps is that of the Paris Polyglot of 1645 (cited in these pages as $G$ ) which was reproduced without any improvements in the London Polyglot of 1657 (cited as $W$ ). In 1823 Lee reproduced again the text of $G$, omitting the vowels, introducing about half a dozen better readings (I. XVI. I, 43 ; xxi. 22 ; II. v. 9 ; xxili. 18) and about half a dozen misprints (I. v. 25 ; Xxi. 17; II. v. 6, 9, 14; viI. Io). It will be seen moreover from a list of readings given below that Lee rejected or neglected better readings even in cases in which his own three MSS. (the "Buchanan Bible" and the two Oxford codices) agree against the readings of $G$. His edition is here cited as $L$. The edition (cited as $U$ ) printed at Urumia by the American missionaries in 1852 is a reproduction of $L$ in Nestorian characters with Nestorian vowels and with improved spellings. Some of Lee's misprints are corrected, but no variation from $L$ may be safely reckoned as a various reading based on manuscript authority. Thus the four editions of the books of Chronicles are but for Lee's half-dozen improvements reducible to one edition ; so that except in these half-dozen places $G$, the editio princeps, represents the present state of the printed text. The fifth issue is dated " Mosul, i887," but I have not been able to see it, nor does it seem, judged by the reports of those who have seen it, to have any independent value.

Most unfortunately $G$, the editio princeps, was printed from MS. "Syriaques 6 " of the Bibliothèque Nationale, a MS. of less
value than any other which I have examined. "Syriaques 6" (cited here as " z ") was unfortunate both in its birth and in its bringing up. From the first it contained more errors due to homœoteleuton than any other of the MSS. here cited; and it was afterwards revised by an editor ${ }^{1}$ who made corrections in the text and supplied omissions in the margin on a large scale often without any manuscript authority whatsoever. The editorial readings in $z$ frequently stand, as will presently be shewn, absolutely alone. I have cited these readings whether occurring in the text or in the margin with $\mathrm{z}^{2}$. Through $G$ many of them have passed not only into $W$ and $L$, but also into $U$.

The two tables following illustrate the dependence of the printed editions on $z$ and on $z^{2}$. (The sign $>$ should be read "against the authority of".)

## I.

$G W[L U]=\mathrm{z}>$ all other authorities examined.
I. vi. $49^{*} \dagger \quad G W L U>\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{demprs} \mathrm{u} v$
xini. 6* $\dagger \quad, \quad>$ BCF aeprscr uv
xvi. ı $G W>\mathrm{BF} \quad$ a e prsbuv [AC hiant]
" $39^{*} \dagger \quad G W L U>\mathrm{BF} \quad$ a e prs ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{uv}$ [AC hiant]
, $43 G W>\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{F}] \quad$ aeprs $\mathrm{b} v[\mathrm{AC}$ hiant $]$

, 22. $G W>$ ABC ademprsb [F hiat]
xxix. I $^{*} \dagger$ $\dagger ~ G W L U>A B F \quad$ aeprsbu [C hiat]
II. VII. $14^{*} \dagger \quad \gg$ ABCF eprsbu
IX. I ${ }^{*} \dagger \quad>\quad>$ ABCF eprsbuv
X. $17^{*} \dagger$ " $\quad>$ ABCF elpr[s $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\right] \mathrm{uv}$
xiv. II* $\dagger$ e $\quad>$ BCF epr[ $\left.\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}\right] \mathrm{uv}$ [A hiat]
xxv. 16* $G W L>A B C F$ elprsbu

[^3]xXVIII. $9 \quad G W \quad>\mathrm{ABCF}$ eprs $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{v}$
" $23^{*} \dagger G W L U>A B C F \quad$ elprs ${ }^{\text {b }}$ v
XXXII. $17^{*} \dagger \quad \geqslant \quad>\operatorname{ABF} \quad$ a eprs ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{uv}$ [C hiat]
" 32* $G W L>A B F \quad$ elprs ${ }^{\text {cer } u v[C ~ h i a t] ~}$
xxxifi. i $7^{*} \dagger G W L, U>A B F \quad l \mathrm{prs}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u} v$ [C hiat]
xxxiv. $17^{*} \dagger \quad, \quad>\mathrm{ABF} \quad$ eprs ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{uv}$ [C hiat]

## II.

( $G W L[U]=\mathrm{z}^{2}>$ all other authoritics cxamined.)
I. I. 7* $7^{*} G W L>$ [A]BCF demprs ${ }^{\text {b }}$ uv IV. $9^{*} \dagger$ مصعـ $G W L U>A B C F$ a delmprs ${ }^{\text {cer } u v}$ V. I* $\uparrow$ rdaca ェafo $G W L U>A B C F$ adel 1 mp $r s^{b} u v$
 XI. $3^{*} \dagger$ مתتم $G W L U>$ [A]BC[F] a e prs cer u [hiant $\mathrm{v} \mathrm{Z}^{1}$ ]

 $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{u} v \mathrm{z}^{1}$
XIII. 7* $\dagger$ rdis $G W L U>B C F \quad$ acprs ${ }^{\text {b }}$ uv [hiat A]
" 13 * $\dagger$ rda_n modal Ja_d. $G W L U>B C F$ acprs ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{u}$
XIV. $\left.3^{*} \dagger \boldsymbol{\Omega}\right\rfloor$ (sinc add.) $G W L U>B C F \quad$ a cprs ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathbf{u v}$ [A hiat]
 [ $\left.\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]{\left.\mathrm{u} v \mathrm{z}^{1} \quad \text { [AC hiant] }\right]}^{\text {a }}$
 hiant]
XVIII. 7* $\dagger$ 保 a cprsbuvz
 [ $z^{1}$ hiat]
xxix. 9* ${ }^{*}$. $r s^{b} u v z^{1} \quad$ [C hiat]
II. vi. $20^{*} \dagger$ مr. (sine add.) $G W L U>\operatorname{ABCF}$ e prscer


The above lists shew that the two editions $L$ and $U$ follow $z$ (or $z^{2}$ ) even in places in which we have most right to expect that other and better authorities would be followed. Thus in thirtynine places (marked above with ${ }^{*}$ ) $L=z$ (or $z^{2}$ ) against the combined authority of Lee's own three MSS., B p u i.e. the Buchanan Bible and the two Oxford codices. Again in thirtysix instances (marked above with †) $U$ agrees with $z\binom{$ or }{$z^{2}}$ though the only Nestorian MS. of Chronicles known to us ("Sachau 90") disagrees with $z$ (or $z^{2}$ ). Thus $L$ and $U$ have brought hardly any improvements into the text of Chronicles, but on the contrary have preserved many of the least trustworthy readings of $G$.

It may be added that this blind following of $z$ (or $z^{2}$ ) on the part of $L$ and $U$ (particularly the former) is not confined to Chronicles. The following instances are taken from the Prophets:-

Isaiah XIII．22．$\infty$ ．$G L=z$（＂alii legūt $\infty$（ $\infty$ on $U=$［ABDF］S［k］pu［C hat］
XVI．I．i．ฉะR $G L U=z$
（ai．zz）ix ABCDFS kp u
xxi．9．$\quad$ ．$\quad$ ת
Om． ． A ．
Jer．vi．I．＊viva［
ai $U=$ ABCFS pu
vi．6．mat $G L=z$
ต்atマ $U=$ ABCFS kpu
XVII．I．（sine add．）$G L U=z$
Add．am＿
－ABCFS pu
XVIII．23．$G L$ תבת．$G L=z^{\text {rut id }}$
inc ABCFS $\mathrm{kpu} \mathrm{z}^{1 \text { ut id }}$
 dian к๓ッみl ג $U$＝ABCEFS




EFS kpuv
See also Ezek．vi． 8 ；xvi．12，32， 47 ；XVII． 6 ；xviii． 17 ；xx． 6 （bis）， 15 ；Xxi．IO；XxiII． 7 ；XXVI． 3 ；XxVII．I4， 33 ；XXIX． 6 ；

[^4]XXXI. I6; XXXIII. 20; XXXVII. 24; XXXVIII. 16 ; XL. 2 cited below in the section on the Codex Ambrosianus. In each of these cases the reading which Cornill assumed to be the reading of the Peshitta is simply the reading of $z$ (or-still worseof $z^{2}$ ), while the reading of the Codex Ambrosianus is with a few insignificant exceptions that also of BCEFS kp uv .

On the other hand it is clear that $z$ was not the only MS. used for the text of $G$. The Editors note in one place (not in Chronicles) that certain verses are missing "in utroque manuscripto." Indeed an inscription in MS. "Syriaques 7 " of the Bibliothèque Nationale ("l") claims that this MS. was used for the production of $G$ (cp. Zotenberg, Catalogue p. 2). Two facts however speak against this claim; 1 , unlike $z$, is clean and mpointed. Perhaps the truth is that 1 was at the elbow (without being in the confidence) of the Editors. Still some other MS. (not yet identified) must have been used besides $z$ to set up the type from, at least for II. Kings, a book which is represented in z by a small fragment only. However this may be, we may say with some confidence that the bulk of the O. T. was printed in $G$ from z, for Printers' marks untouched or half erased are to be traced in this MS. through the Pentateuch, Job, Joshua, I. Kings, Chronicles, Proverbs, I. Maccabees (II. Macc. is wanting), EzraNehemiah, Isaiah, Jeremiah, Ezekiel and Daniel.

## § 2. MSS. of the Peshitta.

(a) The Codex Ambrosianus (here cited as A) has been published in facsimile by Dr Ceriani (Milan I876-83) and therefore needs little description. It contains the following books in the order given:-The Pentateuch, Job, Joshua, Judges, I. II. Samuel, Psalms, I. II. Kings, Proverbs, Wisdom, Ecclesiastes, Canticles, Isaiah, Jeremiah (with Lamentations, the Epistle of Jeremiah, and I. II. Epistles of Baruch), Ezekiel, XII. Minor Prophets, Daniel (with Bel and the Dragon), Ruth, Susanna, Esther, Judith, Sirach, I. II. Chronicles, Apocalypse
of Baruch, IV. Esdras, Ezra-Nehemiah (undivided), I. II. III. IV. Maccabees, Josephus B. J. book Vi.

A full palæographical description of the MS. is expected from the pen of Dr Ceriani ; it is enough to say here that it is written in an Estrangela hand probably of the Sixth Century.

In spite of the unfavourable opinion of Professor Cornill, this MS. seems to be, all things considered, certainly the most valuable authority which we possess for the Peshitta text of the Old Testament. Some interesting and perhaps original readings in which it stands alone against all other authorities which I have examined are the following :-
I. Chr. XII. 8. The description of the mighty men of Gad who joined David closes (in the ordinary text) with the words, "And
 it out." Cod. A alone for "fought" reads "were assembled" (s) (s) thereby giving a Midrashic turn to the verse; when these 'doctors' of Gad were assembled to discuss some mountainlike difficulty, they solved it. It is interesting that in both readings כצבאים ('like the roes') is taken as a verb (כצבאם). (Compare I. V. 12. The children of Gad were under the leadership of Joel who 'taught them the Scriptures well.' In the Targum this Joel is
II. IV. 9. 'And [Solomon] made one great court for the priests and Levites' (usual text). According to the Hebrew 'he made the court (7) $\boldsymbol{7}$ ) of the priests and the great court' (הากํ). Cod. A reads simply, 'he made the new great court.'
II. X. 4. '[Lighten somewhat] of the hard lordship (ゥdoirs) [of thy father]' (usual text). Cod. A alone reads 'of the hard discipline, chastisement (mdoais) [of thy father].'
II. X. 7. 'If thou wilt speak with them grood words, they will be to thee good servants and subjects all the days of thy life.' Cod. A alone (in Chronicles) omits the second "good" which may indeed be a gloss; in the parallel passage of Kings all authorities omit the word.
II. XXII. II. Jehosheba hid Joash in the bed-chamber of her
 Chronicles except A, and of all MSS. including A in the parallel passage of II. Kings. In Chronicles however Cod. A reads 'in the bed-chamber of her dwelling' (arara). The reading marcon may be a later gloss introduced to make the meaning
 reading in two parallel passages may be preserved in one MS. of the less read (and less frequently transcribed) of the two parallel texts.

## Cornill on Cod. A.

(b) It seems almost necessary in this place to consider briefly the judgment passed on Cod. A by Professor Cornill (Ezechiel, pp. 140-145). It will be remembered that Cornill after reckoning up 86 places in the book of Ezekiel in which he says that Cod. A agrees with the Massoretic text 'gegen die übrigen Recensionen' of the Peshitta, concludes that the text of Cod. A has been corrected and altered on a large scale to conform to the Massoretic, and that 'among all accessible texts of the Peshitta A is the worst' (Ezechiel, p. 145).

An able answer to Professor Cornill has been already given (ZATW 1889, pp. 15ı-2IO) by Dr Alfred Rahlfs, but since Rahlfs, while rightly emphasising the importance of the Urumia edition of the Peshitta for textual criticism, has not appealed directly to MSS., there is room for a second answer based on a direct appeal to MSS. After a careful examination of test passages in eight or ten MSS. (some of the highest importance) I am led to the conclusion that Professor Cornill's judgment on Cod. A cannot be maintained. Cod. A in its agreement with the Massoretic text does not stand alone to the extent suggested by Cornill's words. The reverse is very often the case and the printed texts $G L$ (and sometimes $U$ also ; I omit $W$ as a mere reprint of $G$ ) are found to rest on one or two late MSS., while the older MSS. unite to support the rival readings of Cod. A. The agreement of the Codex Ambrosianus with the Massorets
is no doubt a fact，but the whole truth seems to be that a text formed from the best and oldest MSS．would agree about as frequently as Cod．A with the Massoretic and would disagree as frequently with the present printed text．The following instances chosen out of Professor Cornill＇s eighty－six will support this contention．

## EZEKIEL．

vi．8．$\quad$（ $\left.2^{\mathrm{do}}\right) G L U=\mathrm{z}$
Om．＊ABCEFS kpuv
viI．4．Rama $G L U=$ BCFS k v مヘロット＊AE
15．$G L U=$ BCS kv
حصعג＊AEF
VIII．3．एकかの $G L U=B C S \quad k$
ァみ． ＊AET
5．Ravin（sine add．）$G L=\mathrm{uvz}^{\text {v in }}$（not C ）
Add．Kevin． $={ }^{*} \mathrm{ABC}{ }^{\text {post mas }} \mathrm{E}^{\text {supra as }} \mathrm{FS} \mathrm{kp}$
6．（ $2^{\mathrm{do}}$ ）（sine add．）$G L=$ BCS k
Add．superscr．an da ح AEF u ［ $U$ $\bar{a}$ ح
 $=\mathrm{puvz}$

dos rona mom ra＊ABCEFS $k$
xiii．2．$\quad$ Chairs（sine add．）$G L=B^{\text {ut id }} \mathrm{v} \mathrm{z}^{2}$
Add．rain riv ins ran $U={ }^{*} \mathrm{~A}$ ［Com．Kif］EFS pu

[^5]XVI．I2．
תتشصـ $G L=z$
Transp．clausulas et om．$U={ }^{*} \mathrm{ABCE}$

32．rddure $G L U=\mathrm{z}$

47．$G L=z$

56．$G L=\mathrm{puvz}$
Transp．verba $U={ }^{*} \operatorname{ABCEF}^{\text {ras }} \mathrm{S} \quad \mathrm{k}$


XVII．6．dranco $G L U=\mathrm{pvz}$
danci＊ABCEFS k u［hiat $u^{1}$ ］

Add．～مams $L U={ }^{*}$ ABCEFS $k u^{2}\left[h^{2 a t} \mathrm{u}^{1}\right] \mathrm{v}$
xviII．I7．لعمه（sine add．）$G L=z$
Add．．
xx ．5．m］［hal＞droa］$G L=\mathrm{vz}$
～am】 $U={ }^{*}$ ABCEFS kpu
6．am an $G L=z^{2}$

d几んir（sine add．）$G L=z^{2}$
Add．and $U={ }^{*}$ ABCEFS kpuv
15．amel $G L=\mathrm{z}$
and $U={ }^{*}$ ABCEFS kpuv
xxi．io．［Heb．15］مهلكr（sine add．）$G L U=z$
Add．xلhro＊ABCEFS kpv ［u hiat］

[^6]```
XXIII. 7.
        Ins. (~anda) and \(L U={ }^{\text {andABCEFS }}\)
        kpuv
    21. صカixagn \(G L=\mathrm{puvz}\)
```



```
xxiv. Io. sudia \(G L=v z\)
    "udiron \(U={ }^{*}\) ABCEFS kpu
xxvi. 3. (sine add.) \(G L=z^{2}\) (om. per homoeote-
        leuton مra ing \(\mathrm{vz}^{1}\) )
        Add. ing \(U={ }^{*}\) ABCEFS kpu
xxvil. 14 .
        تُهع \(U=\) *ABCEFS kpuv
        26. alv \(G L=\mathrm{pvz}\)
        ュalح \(U=\) *ABCEFS \(k\)
    33. صकाiatrom \(G L=z\)
        Praem. a \(U=\) *ABCEFS kpuv
xxvili. 19. Kama \(G L U=\mathrm{puvz}\)
        रロゅィ *ABCEFS k
xxix. 6. ~an \(G L U=z\)
```





```
xxxi. i6. \(G L=z\)
    下ーブの \(U\) =*ABCEFS kpuv
XXXII. 27. \(G L U=\mathrm{puz}\)
    Praem. \(\mathbb{\int}\) *ABCEFS kv
```

[^7]XXXIII. 20. mdw ت̈ar $G L=z$

XXXVII. 24. می入in $G L=z$

Add. . $U={ }^{*}$ ABCEFS kpu v
xxxviii. I4. >asa $G L=\mathrm{p} \mathrm{uvz}$

Om. $U={ }^{*}$ ABCEFS k
16. dx.odros $\rightarrow G L=z$

 rà. *AEF
18. م $G=\mathrm{uvz}$

XL. 2.
 रmbrin (tantum) $G L U=z$



## The Buchanan Bible.

(c) The Buchanan Bible (Camb. Univ. Lib. Oo. I . I, 2) is a Pandect bound in two volumes which was brought from the Malabar Coast at the beginning of the present (nineteenth) century by Dr Claudius Buchanan. It contains the following books of the Old Testament in the order given :-Pentateuch, Job, Joshua, Judges, I. II. Samuel, Psalms, I. II. Kings (the order of books thus far being that of Cod. A), Chronicles, Proverbs, Ecclesiastes, Canticles, Sirach, Isaiah, Jeremiah (with Lamentations, I. II. Epistles of Baruch, and Epistle of Jeremiah), Ezekiel, XII. Minor Prophets, Daniel (with Bel and Dragon),

[^8]Ruth, Susanna, Esther, Judith, Ezra-Nehemiah, Wisdom, I. II. III. IV. Maccabees, Esdras, Tobit. The New Testament follows, the Apocalypse being left out and the four Minor Catholic Epistles being given in a group by themselves.

Though this MS. was brought from India, we have reason to believe that its birthplace was nearer Syria. It is written in a Jacobite serta probably of the twelfth century. (See the facsimile in the late Professor Bensly's "Fourth Book of Maccabees.") Two other important MSS. written in a very similar hand are "Crawfurd Syr. 2" from which Professor Gwynn has recently (at the beginning of i897) published a version of the Apocalypse before unknown, and "Vatican Syr. 266 " which contains the three Catholic Epistles (not Jude also as is stated in Mai's Catalogue) and the Epistles of S. Paul. Professor Gwynn (Apocalypse of S. John, Introductory Dissertation, pp. Cvi-cxix ; cp. Transactions R. I. A., vol. xxx, p. 347 ff .) after careful consideration of all the phenomena of the Crawfurd MS. assigns it 'probably to the last quarter of the twelfth century' and to the district of Tur-'abdin. The same date (and perhaps the same birthplace) may be assigned to the Buchanan Bible without much fear of error.

In text Cod. B is related rather to the later than the earlier MSS. In its best moments it agrees with Cod. C, but it frequently leaves $C$ in order to company with the run of seventeenth century MSS. A few examples will illustrate the affinities of B. (The late MSS. are given without any attempt at completeness, just as there was time to collate them or not.)
(a) Mistakes.

> I. XIV. II.
> xxiII. 4.
(b) Gaps.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I. XIII. II. } \\
& \text { B aepruvz } \\
& \text { II. } \mathrm{Xxx} .2 . \\
& \text { B epruvz } \\
& \text {,, 14-22. B aepruvz } \\
& \text { xxxil. 17. B aepruvz }
\end{aligned}
$$

B.
(c) Other readings.

| I. I. 46. | B | aeruvz> ACF | $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x. 3 . | B | aepruz ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ACF | $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$ |
| XIX. 13. | B | aepruz ${ }^{\text {cecF }}$ | $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$ |
| II. Iv. 5. | B | epruz>AF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| v. 2. | B | epruz>AF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| vi. 32. | B | epruvz>ACF | $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$ |
| VII. 14. | B | epruv[z] > AC[F] |  |
| xxili. if. |  | ep [u]z>ACF $\mathrm{s}^{\text {ce }}$ |  |
| xxiv. 7. | B | epuz ${ }^{\text {p }}$ ACF $\left[\mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}\right.$ |  |
| xxviii. 16. | B | puvz> ACF ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |

## Codex C.

(d) The MS. here cited as C (Brit. Mus. Add. 17104) is no. xxv. of Wright's Catalogue. The writing is Estrangela of the sixth century. It contains the book of Chronicles only and that with large gaps. From the First Book two passages are missing, viz. xiv. I2-xviI. 27 and xxir. 8 to the end of the Book. From the Second Book four passages, viz. I. I-V. 14, XviII. 19-29, xx. 24-32, xxix. 5 to the end of the Book.

Though Cod. C and Cod. A belong probably to the same century they exhibit different types of text, which are preserved in later MSS., the C-type in Cod. B, the A-type-in part at least-in Cod. F. The following instances will suffice to illustrate this. The more interesting are marked with an asterisk.

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\text { I. IV. I5*. } & \text { BC }\left[+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]>\mathrm{AF} \\
\text { X. 2*. } & \mathrm{BC}\left[+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}\right]>\mathrm{AF} \\
\text { XI. II (bis)*. } & \mathrm{BC}\left[+\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}\right]>\mathrm{AF} \\
\text { " I 5 }
\end{array}
$$

## Codex Florentinus．

（e）Cod．F（Laurent．Orient．58）is the Palatino－Mediceus I． of Asseman＇s Catalogue．It is written on parchment in a small cursive hand probably of the ninth century．As to spelling it may be noticed that prosthetic Alaph is common，we have e．g．

 at least one instance．The form rondrarn is common；and Yabm is found in one place（I．Chr．IX．I）for asm．Two large portions of Chronicles（I．XII．II－XX． 3 and II．XI．3－XXI．I） are given in facsimile from this MS．in Dr Ceriani＇s facsimile edition of the Codex Ambrosianus（Milan 1876－1 883）．

Asseman＇s account of the contents of Cod． F is inaccurate． The MS．is defective at the beginning and at the end，and contains the following books in the order given：－Leviticus （beginning xv．13， $\mathbf{\text { masగrs），Numbers，Deuteronomy（wants }}$

 I．II．Kings（undivided），I．II．Chronicles（undivided），Psalms， Canticles from the Old and New Testaments and some extra－ canonical source，the＂True and Orthodox Confession of the Three Hundred and Eighteen Holy Fathers，＂Isaiah，Jeremiah （with Lamentations），Ezekiel，Hosea（ends at xiv． 6 R⿴囗⿱一兀寸ia）．

A later scribe seems to have imitated the original hand． To him are due（a）Gen．I．I－xxxiv．I5 written on paper and prefixed to the volume，and（b）a supplement at the end（also on paper）containing the missing part of the XII．Minor Prophets， Daniel（with apocryphal additions），Esther（ends IX．18），Judith （beginning VI．I8），and Ezra（the canonical book，unfinished）． I have referred to the work of this later scribe as $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ ．

The following passages may be cited as containing interest－ ing readings attested practically by F alone（since d 1 m are
clearly derived from F). [Compare also I. Chron. chaps. xviin. and NIN. passim.]

| v. 2. | $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{ABC}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| II. ソ. 36. | $F>A B C \quad s^{\text {b }}$ |
| NVI. 12 | $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$ |
| XVili. 12. | $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{BC}$ |
| 33. | $\mathrm{F}>\mathrm{BC}$ |
| (II. 3. | $F>A B C$ |
| XXIII. 19. | $F>A B C \quad s^{\text {b }}$ |
| Xxir. | $F>A B s^{\text {b }}$ |

The text of Cod. F is peculiar. While resembling that of Cod. A in many striking instances, it frequently departs from A (and from all other MSS. which I hazic examined) in other instances equally striking to agree with the Massoretic text. It is difficult to quell the suspicion that F would be found guilty if tried on the count brought by Professor Cornill against A. It seems quite probable that in Chronicles at least its text has been so freely conformed to the Massoretic, that its value as a witness to the text of the Peshitta is seriously lessened. Yet where $A$ is silent through loss of text. $F$ should surely be heard, for it seems sometimes to preserve the reading of the lost mutual ancestor of $A$ and $F$. One instance of this is probably to be found in II. XIII. 5, and another in II. xNIX. 22, 23.

> Codex "Sachau 90."
(f) MS. "Sachau 90 " (s) is written on paper in a Nestorian hand with many Nestorian vowels. It has many differences of spelling from the late West Syrian MSS., e.g. the scribe writes دمix and rad. It was finished according to the colophon A. Gr. 1966 , i.e. A.D. 1654 or 1655 . Its contents are as follows in the order given:-I. II. III. Maccabees, Chronicles, Ezra-Nehemiah, the Great Wisdom, Judith, Esther, Susanna, the Epistle of Jeremiah and the two Epistles of Baruch. Chronicles is divided into thirty-seven sections: II. Chr. I. I occurs in the middle of Section Eighteen.

In text Cod. s stands far above the West Syrian MSS. of the seventeenth (or sixteenth) century ; indeed it must be reckoned as a primary MS. and as sometimes speaking the decisive word when the other primary MSS. viz. ABCF disagree. It contains several readings (probably original) which occur elsewhere in Cod. A only ; see II. vi. 18 ; XIII. 4 ; XXIII. 3; XXIV. I ; XXVI. 16; XXVIII. 21. Cod. s supplies also some striking instances of agreement with F against most authorities; see II. XIII. 5 ; XXVI. 20 ; and XXIX. 23, places in which F perhaps preserves the reading of the lost mutual ancestor of A and F .

## § 3. Aim and arrangement of this book.

My object in these pages is not to give a complete apparatus criticus to Chronicles, but rather to use Chronicles to illustrate the relation of some of the chief MSS. of the Peshitta to one another and to the printed text. A critical edition of the Old Testament in the Peshitta version is badly needed, and my hope is that, if interest be aroused, the want will be supplied. In the mean time the following considerations may be of service in the use of existing helps to the textual criticism of the Peshitta. (Compare Rahlfs, ZATW 1889, pp. 165, 6.)
(1) $G L U$ when they agree may or may not be independent witnesses. The reading which all three support is sometimes dependent on the single attestation of $z$.
(2) Only the variations of $L$ from $G$, and of $U$ from $G L$ may be safely treated as significant.
(3) The variation of $L$ from $G$ may signify that B pu combine against z , or (in the Prophets) that BC p u combine against z .
(4) The variation of $U$ from $G L$ signifies as a rule that $U$ has a genuine Nestorian reading; if $U$ be supported in the variation by Cod. A, the reading is probably original, if $U$ be supported not only by Cod. A but also by Thorndike's MSS. (Walton's Polyglot, vol. vi.), the reading is probably that of practically all the MSS.

In citing MSS. I have striven after accuracy with all my power; every reading of a primary MS. has been twice examined ${ }^{1}$. The readings marked " $s$ cer" have been thrice examined, twice by Dr Ceriani, and once by myself to make sure that I had made no error in transcribing the notes which Dr Ceriani most generously lent me. No reading of any MS. is cited which I have not examined with my own eyes, save with the following exceptions. Professor Guidi of Rome, though I was a stranger, sent me collations of codices dmrv for the first six chapters of I. Chronicles. Professor Sachau of Berlin very kindly collated Cod. s ("Sachau 90") in II. II. 14, XV. 17, and XVI. I2; these readings will be found in the Addenda. In one or two places (hardly more) I have quoted the Bodleian MS. Poc. 39 I as "p ${ }^{\text {th }}$ " from Thorndike's collation ; otherwise I have never used Thorndike without verification. I have been much helped by the work done for the London Polyglot, but I have found several blemishes in it.

I have used capitals to designate MSS. which are at least as early as the thirteenth century, and small letters for the later MSS. In the case of one MS. ("r") this rule has perhaps been broken ; see $r$ in the list of MSS.

In cases in which a MS. supports a given reading but with some small variation (specially in spelling) of its own, the letter designating the MS. is as a rule silently enclosed in square brackets. If however the variation is of interest it is added within the square brackets.

In cases in which it is at all probable that a parallel passage has exercised a disturbing influence on the text, reference is made immediately to such a passage within curved brackets. In no case however has it been assumed without examination that the printed text of any parallel passage is trustworthy. References to Genesis have been verified by comparing $L$ with Codd. AB and with Cod. D (B. M. Add. 14425, dated A.D. 464).

[^9]References to Samuel and Kings rest on an examination of Codd. ABF and also of Cod. N (Camb. Univ. Add. 1964, Nestorian, xiII. century). References to Isaiah have been verified by the use of Codd. ABF and also of Cod. C (Camb. Univ. Ll.2.4, the "Cant." of Thorndike, dated at Edessa A.D. il73). In any case in which the text of the parallel passage is uncertain, the authorities on both sides are given, e.g. under I. Chr. xviII. io it is noted that in II. Sam. viri. io
,melera is read by AF and, omata by BN.
In order to help the reader to readily identify the words affected by a divergence of reading I have often added within square brackets from the immediate context one or more words which are unaffected by the divergence.

## LIST OF MSS. CITED.

A, the Codex Ambrosianus of Milan (vi. century). It is cited mainly from the facsimile edition of Dr Ceriani (1876-1883). An asterisk marks readings taken from the MS. itself.
B, the Buchanan Bible (xir. century), Camb. Univ. Oo . i . I, 2.
C (of Chronicles), Brit. Mus. Add. 17104 (vi. century).
C (of the Prophets), Camb. Univ. L1. 2.4 (Edessa, A.D. II73).
D (of the Pentateuch), Brit. Mus. Add. 14425 (A.D. 464).
D (of Isaiah), Brit. Mus. Add. 14432 (vi. century).
E (of Ezekiel), Brit. Mus. Add. 17107 (A.D. 54I).
F, the Codex Florentinus (Ix. century), Palatino-Mediceus I. of Asseman's Catalogue, now " Laurent. Orient. 58." N (of Nestorian.
S, Sachau 201 (x. century ?) of Berlin. Nestorian in Estrangela character.
a, Codex Ambrosianus alter (A.D. 1615). West Syrian. d, Vat. Syr. 8 1, Bib. Nat. Syr. 7 m, Vat. Syr. 7 apparently transcripts of F , supplemented from other sources where $F$ fails.
e, Brit. Mus. Egerton 704 (XviI. century). West Syrian.
k (for the Prophets), Camb. Univ. Add. 1965 (xviI. century). Nestorian.
p, Bodleian Poc. 39I (A.D. I6I4). West Syrian.
r, Vat. Syr. 259. West Syrian.
The date of the writing of this MS. is uncertain. It was in existence A. Gr. 1800 (=A.D. 1488 ), for it contains a record that it changed hands in that year. At the end of Judges is the date -ar. i.e. A.D. 1066, 7,
 i.e. A.D. IO67, 8. But have these dates been copied from the exemplar from which $r$ itself was copied? Cod. $r$ is written in a cursive hand which does not seem to be as early as the eleventh century.
s, Berlin Kön. Bibl. Sachau 90 (A.D. 1654, 5). Nestorian. $s^{\text {cer }}$, the readings collated by Dr Ceriani of Milan ; $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$, the readings collated by myself.
u, Bodleian I4I (A.D. I627), Usher's MS. West Syrian.
I am compelled to think that Rahlfs has somewhat overestimated the value of u (ZATW 1889, pp. 192-199). Have we not a much older representative of the same recension in B if not also in r ?
v, Vat. Syr. 258 (xviI. century ?). West Syrian.
z, Bibl. Nat. Syr. 6 (xviI. century?). West Syrian, subsequently tampered with.
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For Read
(XVII century) (A.G. $1804=$ A.D. 1493 )

## I. II. CHRONICLES.









## I Chronicles I.

7. (ut Gen. 1о. 4, $z^{2}$ ) $G W L=z^{2}$
(ut Gen. ıо. 4, ABD) $U=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{dem}$ prs ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{v}$

 'Póסıoı).
 IO. $\left.14, \mathrm{~F}^{2}\right] \mathrm{z}$
ممac:معـ~ (ut Gen. ıo. ı4, ABD z) $U=\mathrm{ABCF}$ a s ${ }^{\text {b }}$

8. నazra $G W L U=\dot{\mathrm{A} B} \mathrm{rvz}$ [C legi neq.] iのعR (ut Gen. 10. 22, $\mathrm{ABDF}^{2} \mathrm{z}$ ) dms ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (N.B. אשׁור apud Syros plerumque indro evenit)


43．No $G W L U=\mathrm{Brvz}$ Nma ACF dms 百可
 لكr．（ut Gen．36．35，A［B］D z）ACF $\mathrm{d} 1 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 豩

Chapter II．
 $\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{ut}^{\mathrm{vid}}$ ar $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$
－וֹהา v
18．i々．$G W L=\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{dmrs}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{v}$
i．x（per errorem）$U$
34.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kis } ァ G W L U=B C F \quad \mathrm{ars}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{vz} \\
& \text { 的 }
\end{aligned}
$$

44，46．．



## Chapter III．

2．Frobd div $G W L=z \quad$［B legi neq．］百
，Sad div（ut 2 Sam．3．3，ABFN）$U=$



3．anindur $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{a}[\mathrm{r}] \mathrm{vz}$ pidur（ut 2 Sam．3．5，ABFN）［A panid。］ CF $\mathrm{dm} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 思（6）
（证

## Chapter IV．

 （مـن）


，man AC
 фoùs aủzoû．
יקיר וחבים באוריתא יתיר מן אחוהי ש

$G W L U=\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{C}] \mathrm{arvz}$
هسلم
］
稀（＝6）ובני כלב בן יפנה（tantum）
19．～iar $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ arvz

敉 הודיה， $\mathfrak{F}^{\mathrm{A}}$＇Iovóaias．
24．K．אดm．（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{d} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{z}$

 ［Abhorret $\mathcal{F}$ h．l．ab 嶪（6］
38．$\dot{\text { Leح」a }} G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ arvz
a ACF $\mathrm{dm} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$


42. تدر $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ arvz


Chapter V.
 $G W L U=z^{2}$
mdraxh insa ABCF adelmprsbv [prorsus om. $z^{1}$ ]
2. $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC}$ aeprsb $\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{z}$



23. (sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{BF}$ almpruvz Add. محתچ AC $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$


Chapter VI.
32. مس, $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \quad \mathrm{rs}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{vz}$ مro AF dm 积 (6)
49. (ABCF dem prsiov 筑
55. and $G W L U=z^{2}$
 להם את חברון

Chapter VII.
14. Kan<a $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad z$


Chapter IX.
I. $G W W L U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$
 alvin $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{els} \mathrm{s}$ ads nadm $F$ d

## Chapter X.

2. Jrarl $G W L=$ a epruz Jares $U=\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{d} 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
, a_eda (ut I Sam. 3I. 2, ABFN ${ }^{2}$ ) $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ aprsidz
mada (ut i Sam. 3I. 2, N1) AF dl
אבינדב (fere ac (f) אנר
3. Kw (sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a e pruz Add. [ [ A (6) vac.]
4. $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF}$ ars $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 积 (6)

Om. صل (ut i Sam. 3i. 7, ABFN) A
 ardan (ut i Sam. 3I. 7, ABFN) A

## Chapter XI.

2. Irdisan Jodire $G W L=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{ruz}$


3．$G W L U=z^{2}$ 皕


［A prorsus om．］
 حـ هـ

［2 Sam．5． 8 Redrand tantum ABFN］
8．inl rohiris or $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ z


punct．）$G W L U=\mathrm{BC}[\mathrm{F}$～odocr］a u z
הیה A ［ $s^{\text {cer }}$ punct．post nonr．］
ano－（ut 2 Sam．23．8，ABFN）GWLU $=\mathrm{BC}$ a $\mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }} Z$
and AF
䅰（Chron．$=\mathfrak{G}$ ）vac．，解（2 Sam．23．8，fere ac（a）עדינו




 （supra ras．）
［
phiv．BC au
odn．AF dl

dudxra＊BCF aeprsbuvzit vid

Om.
20. $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC}$

кiodr F ( F
数 (tantum)
21. Ram iners phld lo $G W L U=z$


23. dan $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ a pz
ram dur $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }[\mathrm{u} \text { sine dara] }}$ [2 Sam. 23. 2 I , dane dur ABFN]

## Chapter XII.

1. منصم ( $\left.\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{mo}}\right) G W L U=$ a e r u z Praef. . $\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

 ,mař AF
 R-oíra A

مerdudran $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{u} z$
 Introduction]
14. . als in $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ a uz Praem. wa $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
17. ind an $\boldsymbol{\sim}$ - Desid. apud $A$ omn. post haec usque ad xvii. 25 (rirァi duld).

## Chapter XIII（hiat A）．

I．R－ヤiai $G W L=\mathrm{az}$
مـจัด่ $U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$
a $G W L U=\mathrm{F}$ a s $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$（Praem．CF sb ［
م BC
amel mra $G W L U=z$ ，malv morr BCF aeprs ${ }^{\text {cer } u v ~[v a c . ~ 7 a ~(6)] ~}$
7．$G W L U=\mathrm{uz}^{2}$ 袘 a BCF a eprvz
rdLA $G W L U=z^{2}$ r\＆L＿BCF aeprsinv［ $\mathrm{z}^{1}$ prorsus om．］
8．$[G W] L U=\mathrm{B}$［ $G W=\mathrm{C}$［ 0 ］ ［om．s ${ }^{\text {b }}$［
の $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$

11．مんォ ．．dwiodr＜a $G W L U=[\mathrm{C}][\mathrm{F}]\left[\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}\right] \mathrm{z}^{2}$
Om．B a epruvz


13．Kdran extial Jondis $G W L U=z^{2}$
 ［ $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{m}$ mana］
（om．oxdaل） B a epruv
14．Yiva $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{ers}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$ 倠（6） yiva apu

3． $\mathfrak{m}$（sine add．）$G W L U=z^{2}$

i i．a a a $G W L U=\mathrm{BF}$ a z
alon C $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 鳃
－id $G W L U=\mathrm{CF} \quad \mathrm{u}^{2} \mathrm{z}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$
$\rightarrow$（a B apru $\mathrm{z}^{1}$
I2．，maich Desid．apud C xiv．ı2－xvir． 27 （1） durs．）

Chapter XVI．（hiant AC）
1．Rتی（sine add．）$G W=z$
 $\mathrm{rs}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u} v$［思（6）］

לפני האלהים（＝

Add．مזק محهלס F d e

an（cf． 2 Sam．6．i9 $L=A B F N$ ）F
4．
㒾 $\sqrt{6}$

10．mirava，rivl $G W L[U$ mara

 ra aerzi
～anim．$G W L U=z^{2}$
－
（
25．مז．חwl（sine add．）$G W=z$
Add．a๓ $L U=\mathrm{BF}$ ars ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$
30．工aid（ $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{mo}}$ ）$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ e r［p u maid］［ $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
二aida］© $(\phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega)$

 ais an aeprsbuz
（ine add．）$G W L U=z$

43．$G W=z$


［Om．ond上al F 解（6）
Chapter XVII．（hiat C ；hiat A usque ad v．25）
I．iתr $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ e

2．a（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{e}$

$\mathrm{ABFN}) \mathrm{F} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$［敳（G］




㷫

7．id ص $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{e}$ idroa（ut 2 Sam．7．8，ABFN）F $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
9．（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 现 $\mathfrak{G}$ Add．دad F



Om．$\downarrow \mathrm{F} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$


مע．$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$



21．qJ hara $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
Om．～ $\mathrm{F} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 然 6

duly कार० $F$
22．q ductiro $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
Om．q F 36
24．局 $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
Om．palv $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
Text．breviorem h．l．exhib． $\mathfrak{F l}$ ，vac． $\mathfrak{G}$ ．
25．duld A rursus incipit post hiatum．

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 设 } G W L U=\mathrm{B} \text { aepuz [F~حس } \\
& \text { د } \mathrm{A}
\end{aligned}
$$

הת A

## Chapter XVili．


［صm a p ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ］
Kdr．אn $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC}$
 ABFN］
3．Hon $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ vina $F$
 （ut 2 Sam．8．6，ABFN）F s ${ }^{b}$
7．مra $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ nal（ut 2 Sam．8．7，ABFN）F
plyinas an ，duran（ut 2 Sam．8．8，ABFN）
$G W L U=z^{2}$ 兒 $\mathfrak{G}$
Om．ABCF aeprsbuz
 Om．F 昭 $\mathfrak{G}$
10．，meurn（2 Sam．8．io AF，，orata BN）GWLU $=z^{2}$



Add．am ABCF a
15．（2 Sam．8．16 תרת ABFN）GWLU $=\mathrm{BC} \quad \mathrm{az}$


Chapter XIX．
2．$G W L U=\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{z}^{2}$
山 ，$\quad$ BC apruz


3．dal $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC}$
Yhal（ut 2 Sam．10．3，ABFN）F sb
5．ancm rotam $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
 च（ut 2 Sam． Io．5，ABFN nisi omnino ut ${ }^{6}$ ？
6．＞añ ra $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
Om．F 筑 $\mathfrak{6}$

Om．F
（Text．breviorem h．l．exhib．可 $\mathfrak{G}$ ）
9．$G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 7（6）
مrad
11．．
$\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{u} \quad$［區：（G）］
Om．A

13．ama＿s andidio ab $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a epruz a hidron（absque ams） $\mathrm{ACF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
ת $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ apru
Praem．ェdadua AF $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$

 $\tau o \hat{v} \lambda \alpha o \hat{v} \hat{\eta} \mu_{\mu}^{\omega} \nu^{\cdot}$ к．т．入．
ィ $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a

16．$\quad$ ．$G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$ 验
Om．A（b）
yara $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad$ u z
ypara（ut 2 Sam．1o． $16, \mathrm{AB}^{\text {ut vid }} \mathrm{FN}$ ）AC $\mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$

 ［A］CF
arara B ser

Chapter XX．（a ver． 4 hiat F）

a aBC［F sine sey．］ad prsbuz
حרح $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad \mathrm{as} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$
A

Plur．ter habet A
4．Desid．apud F xx．4－xxv．I（raca）

4，5．هـ
 $=z^{2}$ 新 5

Om．ABC adeprs ${ }^{b} u^{1}$
 $=\mathrm{ABC}$

6．
rivis
rduramal $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a dmz
rdouraucr $\mathrm{AC} \mathrm{s}^{b}$
8．$\quad$ rdwacas $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ ad muz
rhanconal AC $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$

Chapter XXI．（F hiat）
I． $\boldsymbol{\square} \boldsymbol{\text { ®ina }} G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ ade $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{uz}$
صாiமのภロ $A$
 àraro $A$

غ $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$
ع $A \mathrm{pss}^{\text {cer }}$
6．$\sim_{5}$ GW $G W U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$ Add．صad A［longe distat $\mathcal{F}$ ab 7！（6）
I2．$G W=z$
תacr $L U=\mathrm{ABC} \quad \mathrm{dm}$
（ $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ a z［vac．72（6）］
（ut 2 Sam．24．I3，ABFN）A sb
inordh (ut 2 Sam. 24. 13, ABFN) $G W L U=\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~B}$ a s ${ }^{\text {b }}$
incrमम: C









18. $G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ admp s ${ }^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{u}$
(ut 2 Sam. 24. 18 F, ممת تد ABN) A*
21. ~~~ $1 \sim$ r $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a


22. $\quad$ [ad construct. ${ }^{\text {v }}$ verb. cf. II.
xvi. $3, L=\mathrm{BCF}]$
rladua (ut 2 Sam. 24. 21, ABF [hiat N]) $L U$
$=A^{*} \mathrm{BC}$ ademprs $u$
魏

Chapter XXII. (hiat F ; a ver. 8 hiat C )
I. $\operatorname{L}$, $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$

5.
ing am $\mathrm{AC} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

i2. $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a
[vac. 76 (6)]


14. mbund, $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a e u

(sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a e u $\mathfrak{6}$ (6)
Add. C A $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$
am $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a e u am M A $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$

## Chapter XXIII (hiant CF).


mमت̈ra



Ins. ァA $\mathrm{s}^{b}$

24. mduen rodizi l $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ e z


## Chapter XXV (hiat C).

I. $\quad$ : , omainain $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad[\mathrm{p}] \mathrm{u}$


30, 3i. Habent hos versus $G W L U=z^{2}$
Om. ABF $\mathrm{demrs} \mathrm{z}^{1}$

Chapter XXVI.
 $G W L U=\mathrm{BF}$ a e[d 1 spatio relicto] pruvz Add. xxvi. I3—xxvir. 34 A $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$ 䅤 $\mathfrak{G}$ Cod. A sic pergit:-

आ תira


$\mathrm{Kd}_{5} 9$ d!ava . Re工ido 14

حنا๓ .
 panR ival . Kroite mby 15
, صس , marnall
I6
R idd R
ת.
rdifrs
Kdr 17
لתهسسR .
'scer sacour (sine seyamis)

(8

- 19


20

2 I

R
22

.
. 23

iv aris is lorarra 24
.
in .in in 25
 .

${ }^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$ sic interpungit . ivial pid
desalr ạ .
مאتهس, صـ صـ





Jana.reris. mdur. 28
ه.فی. .

.

. ..
1


30
تد. یعلمr. אחلa.
ת ת ת
.
لa
( 3 I
 dra . andialäd
${ }^{1}$ sic
${ }^{2}$ sic

.

 32


 مت̈ rest do al


Chapter XXVII.


.
a rdatalan restda
 (1) 1) 2

 مrean matala la , 3


roin rhata la 4
. dalosa . mbatlaa
مion mbatia la . R (iva 5
. Ruduld R~wiel Readuld
 مrion mbatla
6

. ๓iص
7

aוصæゥ
مina mbata
( 8
 La .
 9 iv مin . Reodrdr 1/a .
 Io racerara حـهٌ,


 In .
 (12
.R.Rdadur LTی . Reverd Sa .
 I3
. Redrafi,

 I4 .

. . inaحid.r 15

1. mकatla la . Iorandur
-次
.
 حi دi תصar.
aimR .
. amelr ranmel .anis 18
ת־ אR
(ل)

صin
. பorin in dori
 20 ~ .
ress rodatlala 21


－加埌 22
هسلم （1） 23

i马గ


 Rด๓̣а ．

مranion
אז
دص 25 ．darュ Realia risan जi זתیملمr．
 Sa ．Rrial iv dua 26
 ，in ．Reciri renelaal
${ }^{1}$ sic，dwdla $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ${ }^{2}$ بix $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$ 3 Om．${ }^{3}$ ．


B．

صi حلa Ja . Redriv Reva תیּ0
.
Kana rdis 28
ת.

29
.

.
1a .
. Radirs ranar. .
.

32


in
תara . حمر صتةه,
33


: sic, مiacon ${ }^{\text {b }}$

```
34
vin .idurn .
```



## Chapter XXVIII. (hiat C)

1. $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad \mathrm{u}$ مfra $A s^{b}$

ifr A
 ח

- Son $G W L U=\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
ns B

21. Om. refre A*

Chapter XXIX. (hiat C)
I. om Reddana $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{u}$ वा dلana $A F s^{b}$
кial ( $\left.2^{\mathrm{do}}\right)$ $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
кial1 AF $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$

poldxh R A
 [A]BF a e r s ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{z}^{1}$
 Om. $U=\mathrm{AF}^{1}$

Io. $G W U=[\mathrm{A}] \mathrm{BF} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$
~amb $L$ (per errorem)
II. ramals (sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{a} z$

Add.



18.

 ( $\triangle$ ABF aeprs $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
24. , صه a $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a p u

Praem. .
Ia $G W L U=[\mathrm{AF}$ amla $a \mathrm{~B}$
. 9 Rの $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$


$\rightarrow 1 \mathrm{~A}$
30. , זוּחتם Divisionem in Libros ignorant ABF a p $\mathrm{r} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{uz} \quad$ [C hiat] $\left.\quad[V i d e ~ a d ~ I I . ~ v . ~ 14] ~\right] ~$

## II CHRONICLES.

## Chapter I. (hiat C)

I. $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a pru

6. مr $G W L U=z^{2}$ (76)
. ABF $\mathrm{ars} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}^{1}$
7. حسلیع $G W L U=\mathrm{BF}$ a p s ${ }^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{u}$


 Kam $2^{\text {do }}$ ]
مra ram you $A$
12. $G W L U=\mathrm{BF}$ a s ${ }^{\text {b }}$

ה A 缐 6
13. ת. $G W L U=\left[\mathrm{B}\right.$ a pr u z ${ }^{1 \text { ut vid }}$

F F 1
A


Om. مح.מ B a pruz

< ${ }^{\text {cer }}$
17. $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ [F F F ,
(nulla interpunct.) A

## Chapter II. (hiat C)

4. [3] aity
int rim .

の.מ. $\mathrm{AB}^{2} \mathrm{~F} \quad 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
5. [13] चm๐dris. $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a
drambiris $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
, $G W L U=\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{F}$ om. ת , A [76 (6)]
6. [15] ydwïras yro $G W L U=\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{F}$ y dwars] $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{u}$
ydran $A$䄸 oov.

## Chapter III. (hiat C)

2. $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{u}$ AF 1
3. (sine add.) $G W=z$

Add. mתain $L U=\operatorname{ABF}$ sb $\operatorname{ta}^{\mathrm{A}}$ (q.v.)

8. Om. AF 1
$\mathfrak{G}^{\text {B }}$ каі̀ $\tau \grave{o ̀} \mu \hat{\eta} \kappa о \varsigma \pi \dot{\eta} \chi \epsilon \omega \nu$ єїкобь.
, $\quad$ ( $G W L U=\mathrm{AB} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$
Ins. iح F 1
לכברים שׁ מאות (
(sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ pru



 م் (tantum) F 1
 $\chi є \rho о \nu \beta \epsilon i \nu$.

Chapter IV. (hiat C.)
5. inar ama $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ ㄴar am, $A F 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
ara $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ epruz
1 AF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$
6. in $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pru}$ (6)

8.

عï $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
Om. זה A 冩
(sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{A}^{*} \mathrm{~B} \quad \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$
Add. مrتص (sic) F l
מאה : ו"עש (=6) (
9. Kdصi रran Rdix $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{u}$

תسعא $G W L U=\mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}{ }_{z}$ ת ABF 1

## Chapter V. (hiat C)

2. $\dot{\oplus}$ ح $G W L U=\mathrm{ABF} \quad \mathrm{r} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

~ $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ epruz
~
3. ล® .


 [ A
4. in anm prodra $G W=z$



5. $G W L U=\mathrm{AB}$ eprs uz ז F (
, $G W L=$ B
, ๓ , ๓. $U=\mathrm{e}$
,m,m $\mathrm{AF} 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {cer }}$
6. mduna. $G W U$ mand $L$ (per errorem)
 $F^{2}$ notam adscripsit quae, nescio cuius manu, erasa est.
 [epruvz]
[C hiat]


 Chapter VI.
7. حiv (sine add.) $G W L U=z$ वח $\mathrm{aBCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
8. Rafor $G W L U=\mathrm{rz}$


9. K. $G W L[U]=\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{C}] \mathrm{F} 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}^{2}$ 臽 (6) ( B pruvz
10. anmol $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$ A
в.
 صتصهمת ACF ser

18．［حسת［ת $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF}$ 1 $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

את אדם（＝（
19．$\kappa \mathbb{R}$［ （6） ，
20．می，（sine add．）$G W L U=z^{2}$
Add．هـ．ABCF e pr［s $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ ］u v z ${ }^{1}$
حمنل，$G W L U=[$ p r u sine sey．］z صما
23．modras $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{e}$
amdal $_{5} A C F s^{b}$
24．$G W=z$
ת $L U=\mathrm{B}$ er
حرلتحصא ACF $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$
31．دهـملa（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$
Add．q AF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 㿟
32．（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ epruvz
Add．Kri q－ina rdـamod y．rora（ut 3 Reg．8． 42 ABFN）ACF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 舄（6）
36．（ut 3 Reg．8． 46 ABFN）$G W L U=z^{2}$
侥


Chapter ViI．
1．Kärali $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
（cf． 3 Reg．18． 38 شlara

 ，حنغ A
aーiーa $G W=\mathrm{ABCF}$ elrs ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$ חaiv $L U$

14．ai土．xdun $G W L U=z$
－aividun B epruv
aivdidua AC［－aĩdua F］ $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
7． 7 ）
16．anma $G W L U=\mathrm{F} \quad 1$
anms（sine a） $\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$
18．，mهゥizュ $G W L=\mathrm{BC}^{2} \mathrm{~F} \quad 1 \mathrm{z}$
，๓ロネ்ட $U=\mathrm{AC}^{1} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
分 $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ pruz
rhats is $\mathrm{ACF} 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
 $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$



22．am لala a $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{puz}$
－（ut 3 Reg．9．9ABFN）ACF $s^{b}$

Chapter Vili．
7．aid．．$G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ adio．r（ut I．vi．70， ABC ） $\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{F}]$ הנותר（＝（
א $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ F 1

 AF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$
 є̉ン $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a$ ．

整
Om．مrona ABCF elprsbuz
凡iת $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$
18．
صصת $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Chapter IX．
 arive ABCF eprsbv
2．$G W L U=B C \quad \mathrm{ps}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$ A
iir mata 1
暞（＝6）את כל דבריה（tantum）



4．Lana $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
Loran ACF［1］sb
6．متشا，בשت，（ut 3 Reg．io． 7 ABFN）$G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$

，בست，divan 1




13．حغـه（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pruz}$
Add．טس．א（ut 3 Reg．io． 14 ABFN）ACF 1 sb睍 $\mathfrak{G}$
16．人 K ．．．


Chapter X．
1．［3］مỉr＜a adre $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$

（tantum）A
4．mbaics $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
कमのaics A
6．$\downarrow$ adur $G W L U=$ B epruz
Om．$\downarrow \mathrm{ACF} 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 百国
7．mb aicra $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ r mb مivra ACF 1 ［ $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ om．mb］
حجتّك $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF}$ elprs ${ }^{\text {cer } u}$ Om．（ut 3 Reg．12．7 ABFN）A

qami．$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{lps}$ ser $^{\mathrm{cer}}$ nama $A C$
［－anami F］
16．مiナRn（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
Add． $\boldsymbol{m} \mathrm{ACF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Om．a et praem． $\boldsymbol{\perp}$（cf． 3 Reg． 12．i7，$L=A B F N$ ）ABCF elpr $\left[\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}\right] \mathrm{uv}$路 $\mathfrak{6}$

## Chapter XI．

9．مصم ．．．（ut 3 Reg．12．29，ABFN）GWLU＝ ABC s ${ }^{b}$
صه ．．．F
וo．［17］［ ${ }^{17}$［sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$



Add．خلar ABCF $\mathrm{r}^{2} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 的 $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{A}}$（ $\mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{B}}$ vac．）
12．［20］Nircha $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
लird～R F 1
．$G W L U=\mathrm{A}^{\text {ut vid }} \mathrm{B}$［C sine puncto $] \mathrm{F} \quad 1$
R ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
14．（ut 3 Reg．14．6， $\mathrm{ABF}[\mathrm{N}]) G W L U=\mathrm{B}$


## Chapter XII．

 Kina
14．لحصس $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ e mad ACF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Chapter XIII．
4．［кial］$\rightarrow G W L U=\mathrm{BCF}$ elpuz
L $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$

 rancrab

II．ن ن $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{es}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$
－i． F 1

कमのत̈af $G W L=\mathrm{B} \quad 1 \mathrm{u}$
क्माiafi $U=$［C Rमïaf $] \mathrm{F} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$


17．asc［כina］$G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{uz}$
－F 1

 кみっi CF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

18．$G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$ مصر $p$

（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{u}$ Add．am CF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Chapter XIV．（hiat A）
5．［4］［an a $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{u}$认～ロ F 1

旌
 F

 $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
 （absque a）CF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$
～$\sim$－is $G W L U=z$

腺 יהוה אלהּ，（6）Kúpıє ó $\theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．
inadzo R $\quad G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{rv}$

13．［12］
Add．ح． $\mathrm{FF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$ 㬜

## Chapter XV．（hiat A）

12 （item 14）．مدعـه $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{p} \mathrm{u}$ ［a

17．هi［ C ］$G W L U=\mathrm{CF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}[\mathrm{u}]$

ma $G L U=\mathrm{BC}$
mat F
 （ $z^{1}$ om．mb．r）
mont at 3 Reg．15．I5，ABFN）
$\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$

Chapter XVI．（hiat A）
 Add．تشا CF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
 nashalus $C$ s ${ }^{\text {cer }}$
3．Klutus $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF}$ z［Cf．I．xxi．22，$L=z$ ］

4．［لتّصم［ستلهـ］$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{puz}$上ブロン CF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$
 Ins．Nom CF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$ 睍
12．miadrea．．．．ェdadrea $G W L U=B C$ ep sbuz ${ }^{1}$ mishra．．．．miadra（sic） F d 1 m

Chapter XVII．（hiat A）
16．$G W L U=B \quad$ pu


[^10]
## Chapter XVIII．

（A hiat ；v．r9b－29a desid．apud C）
I．$\quad$ ．$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
： ：$_{\text {CF }} 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$
5．（ut 3 Reg．22．6，ABF ${ }^{1}$ N）$G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad \mathrm{lpu}$
筑 $\mathfrak{b}$
Add．Runis $\mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
مで $\mathrm{C}^{\text {ut vid }}$

F）$G W L U=\mathrm{BC}$ e p s ${ }^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{u} z$

13．$K$ K $\quad\left(2^{\mathrm{do}}\right) G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$
，m」R CF $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$
16．$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$
（sine a） $\mathrm{CF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Om．F dlm
（dـorcicch post anluanal 3 Reg．22．34， ABFN）

Chapter XIX．
4．dїэァィ $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ e p u

10．La $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ p u 㿟
（ل

Chapter XX．
16．ふ๓ロ $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{p}$ u
～ぃ（sine a）ACF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$






Chapter XXI．
4．$G W L U=\mathrm{ABCF}$ e


Chapter XXII．
1．1． L ］$]$ inark Kduirs $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{pu}$保 ${ }^{\text {cer }}$

2．एdidin ，एion $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad 1$
Ins．Kam $\mathrm{AC} \mathrm{s} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$［B，non F ，ins．Kam post ［12］
3．




4．$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$

amalara C
6．，maraucs $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

」（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$

Kam ๓ゥiง．，ABFN）ACF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
ii． $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$

הת $A$

## Chapter XXIII．

ravan $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{ps}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$

3．$G W L U=\mathrm{CF}$

（
4．a．and $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
Praep．． $\mathrm{ACF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
I1．$G W$ ，لحن ，$G W=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{u}$
Ins．ァ A
Kinana $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ epz［u rinan］
K．Kan ACF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {cer }}$
12．$\quad$ ．$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{p} u$
ה．ACF s

14．［rdärs］［صت $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad \mathrm{pu}$上フinil $A C s^{\text {cer }}$
［hard］$\sim \operatorname{sid} G L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}$ －A
18．mdwian $G W=z$

19．（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{ps}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$


－${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ACF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
20．$G W L=z$
مתـمحه $U=\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Chapter XXIV．
1．عت عتخ ．$G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \quad z$
Ins．Ram $A s^{b}$
$\underbrace{}_{5}$（ut 4 Reg．12．1，ABFN）$G W L U=\mathrm{BC}_{2}$
 $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{F}$ dlm
مهعז．$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad$ epuz
Om．． $\mathrm{ACF} 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$［進 $\mathfrak{G}$ vac．］
 A ep
7．حعم $G W L U=$ B epuz
تصم ACF 1
ينغ
18.凡irl (tantum) A (6)
 مancind $A C s^{b}$
 a
,maclor $G W L U=z$ (àsoror)
R ABCF p s ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{u}$



Chapter XXV.
6. حمهـ $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF}$

A $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
9. Kmbr [yiv] $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
yon AF

Praem. a $\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$


16. dvomodir $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
dumodir [A] F 1 [A add. 0 ] $]$

R $U$
↔ ABCF elprs ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{v}$
19. مص. $G W L U=\mathrm{AB}[\mathrm{C}] \quad \mathrm{p} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$
 ABN) F

- isdrs $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}^{2}$ [u dur divisduc]
-inids $\mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{Pz}^{1}$
Cf. 4 Reg. 14. Io ris did reba [A]BN

Kdreal (ut 4 Reg. 14. Io, ABN) A
Ladis $G W L U=z^{2}$
Lada (ut 4 Reg. i4. io, ABN ) $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$
ladian BC $\mathrm{puz}^{1}$
[אanos] man $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$
duan AC[F dua] $\mathrm{s}^{b}$

28. , mara (sine add. ut 4 Reg. 14. 20, ABN) GWLU
= pu[z z a a ]


## Chapter XXVI.

3. $G W L U=\mathrm{BC} \mathrm{ps}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{u} z$

تصلدی F 1
7e (Ḳri) יכליה
4. droididr (sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$

Add. $\boldsymbol{m}$ CF 1
Add. ml dan $A s^{b}$

[^11]20. ( $G W L U=\mathrm{ABC} \mathrm{pu}$



21. Khan.


Chapter XXVII.
2. $\sim$ [racon] $G W L U=z^{2}$ ~ABCF $\mathrm{ps} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{uz}^{1}$
4. $G W=\mathrm{ABCF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{z}$

مهتّدم (sine a) $L U$

[A]BCF e prsbuv

Chapter XXVIII.
9. [erv] raron $G W=z$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { रमार. } L U=\mathrm{ABCF} \text { eprs }{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

> andmarsi $A C s^{b}$
> - andmari $F$
13. जad rum $G W L U=\mathrm{BCF} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{z}$

Om. sad A 酸
16. mdaina $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{puvz}$ 酸 (6)

$$
\text { Praem. rdrend ACF } 1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}
$$


जص:ص. AC
dural scer

正

antan ABC e s $^{\text {b }}$ v


23. toran rramel $G W L U=z$

Ins. ©
[F meal]

תحתּתאֹ ABCF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {cer }}$
d $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{puvz}$
selarl ACF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
(
 к.ィดмя. AF

Chapter XXIX. (a ver. 5 hiat C)


Om. AF 1

Ins. rom $A$
B.
下モ๓の AF 1
محتر محته $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{puv}$
Praem．مدتّم A s
Add．میتّم F
 AB puv $\operatorname{Gb}^{B}$

．

36．$G W L=$ B pruvz
Ir $U=$［ra A $] \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$
（Kgyda Kama alr Fl）

Chapter XXX．（hiat C）
 Add．． 1 AF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\text {b }}$
2．थtchro $G W L U=z^{2}$
arcuhron $\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{F}]$［1］［5 $\left.\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{cer}}\right]$

～AF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
Add．anma 1 ， $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
 epruvz prorsus om．Habent AF $\mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }} z^{3}$
6．$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{p} u \mathrm{v}$
תaمor．ar $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
yaama $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$
yamia $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathfrak{6}^{\mathrm{A}}$
8．مـ．$G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad \mathrm{ps}^{\mathrm{cer}} \mathrm{u} \quad$ 的 $\boldsymbol{6}^{\mathrm{A}}$
［ $\mathrm{CB} \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{B}}$ ］
ェ． ax．rodrr．［A ar．rodira］F p
 （ C ABF eprsbv

Add．Kinda． $\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$［G q．v．］

حمْ AF ser
Post rdali hiant B aepruvz usque ad ver． 22 （عحح．مةתد）

16． $\mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{mo}}$ ）$G W L U=\mathrm{F}$
ra $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$

$\infty$ ©
21．




## Chapter XXXI．（hiat C）

1．rohali ，aion $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{p} \mathrm{u}$


．${ }^{\text {ant vid }} 1$
5．－$G W L U=z^{2}$
می：
1．
10．Kion $G W L U=B^{\text {ut vid }} \mathrm{puz}$

 Om．F s $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \quad \mathfrak{G}^{\mathrm{a}}$（vide supra）
 pdld A

## Chapter XXXII．（hiat C）

1．Kdrana（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ a pru Add．～m AF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathfrak{G}$
הדברים והאמת האלה
 rdra

毛
 axchra AF 1 鼠


9．idv ッ $G W L U=\mathrm{z}$ 晛

12．．


14．
Ins．aradras A A s scr ［丞（6）
16．anm allo $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
Om．anm A
17．rdïה radan $G W L U=z^{2}$
sha rdit
筧 16

arcacis（ut 4 Reg．i9．4，i6 ABFN）ABF
a eprsbu
（sine add．）$G W L U=\mathrm{B}$
a epruvz


22．mフand $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$


Om．ABF aeprs ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{uvz}^{1}$
26．
（ $z^{1}$ hiat）
i
sine $\quad]$


KR
rduliva A
rhti ai $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad$ pruvz roti dati A sb [F l rodsi la]程 ולכל כלי חמד
30. $G$ rdal $G W L U=\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$ Kdルת F (cf. Is. 22.9 $L=$ ABCF)
rand $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad \mathrm{u}$

 A

Praem. هr A 1
,, ara BF eprsicr

## Chapter XXXIII. (hiat C)

2. . Raאn $G W L U=z^{2}$




ויבן את הבמות אשר נתץ
amlal ran $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$
amlala (om. مه_ (o) AF $1 \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
II. , صسعةם $G W L U=\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$

3. mdialra $G W L U=\mathrm{vzz}_{\text {z }}$


14．anch： （מערבה לגיחון A（䊈）
16．K̈̈nho $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{prs}^{\text {cer }} \mathrm{uvz}$

17．rdalio $G W L U=z$ rä̈ 1 ABF $1 \mathrm{prs}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{uv}$
19．ductizron motals $a W L U=\mathrm{B}$ epuz


a AF sb

## Chapter XXXIV．（hiat C）

 a $A$
17．Fمصمصロ（sine add．）$G W L U=z$
Add．m ABF eprs ${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{u}$
 مـع A

22．K．K＿$[i \rightarrow] G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ ep uz
av．un（ut 4 Reg．22．I4 ABFN）AF $\mathrm{s}^{\text {b }}$
舄（4 בן חן חרחם（Cheg．22．14）
26．Kals lıa $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$（6аі $\epsilon \pi i$ ）

30．${ }^{2}$ ． F ］

 Add．K．א ABF p sb v
 a A［B neq．legi］ a F
Chapter XXXV. (hiat C)

1．$G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad[\mathrm{p}] \mathrm{u}$

3．مh al $G W L U=\mathrm{puvz}$ anh al $\mathrm{AB}^{u t} \mathrm{vid}^{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{Fs}^{\mathrm{b}}$
6．$\left[11 \Omega \pi\right.$ r．$\quad$［ $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \mathrm{ps}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$ ；$A$
［ $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \quad$ z ［理（6）］
－A sb


［ $G W L U=\mathrm{BF} \mathrm{ps} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u}$［洮（6） A

 ¹ ．R欠codld AF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
if．agiva $G W L U=\mathrm{uz}$
ه⿴囗十介贝 $\mathrm{ABF} \mathrm{ps}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{v}$
『．AF $\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$
21. Nकadurad $G W L U=F \quad \mathrm{ep} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{u} v$ ,halours $A$
GWLU=A BF psbv
23. Naicur , $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ epuv


 ~an A
حمنáa $G W L U=\mathrm{AF}$
( C - $\mathrm{eps}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{uv}$
25. $G W L=$ B epuv

كتی $U=\mathrm{AF} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{b}}$

Chapter XXXVI. (hiat C)
I. (sine add.) $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$

 [B periit]
Om., mall $F$ al $\mathrm{a}^{1}$ 白 $\mathfrak{G}$

Om. A A s
15.
a ep ut vid
「 $G W L U=\mathrm{B}$ epu

B.
18. mbar $G W L U=\mathrm{B} \quad \mathrm{pu}$ lonR AF $s^{\text {b }}$
23.


## COLOPHON.

 علم rdidia RKت̈dza $\quad$ [B] epruvz zalr (tantum) F
 $\therefore$ چ $\quad \mathrm{s}^{\text {cer }}$

## INDEX.

The following are the most important or most interesting words found in the variations from the printed text. The word used in the printed text is appended in curved brackets. Verbs are cited in the voices in which they occur.

| ( | I. xxilil 4. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ruafor (rafor) | II. vi. I3. |
|  | II. xiv. 5. |
| ivadire v. ivditre (ivadre) | II. VII. I4. |
|  | I. XII. 8. |
| कiงdre (ェdudre) | II. xvi. 12. |
|  | II. xxxil. 7 . |
| - | II. xxv . 19. |
| iصdhr See ivadr | II. vil. I4. |
| حةٌ | II. xxxi. i. |
| (\%) (\%ali) | II. xiv. 5. |
|  | II. xxiv. 7. |
|  | II. xxxili 30. |
| ותּוֹת\% | I. xXvil. 24. |
| पam ( ه冂) | I. IX. I. |
| q/m-ans | II. vi. 16. |

N.


NRa (Nina)
a)
roses
Ross (iss)
[ans] in

Thanet (romeos)
ค (
(م)
-
TArs (Ness)
io (ido)
(d) (ina)
(مشا (\%)
(

LH (RA)
(s)
dais (ronais)
Res (N)
II. IX. 13 \& XI. Io.
II. XXVI. 21.
II. IV. 9.
II. XXIII. II.
II. XXXIV. $5 \cdot$
II. XXII. 3.
II. XXXII. 17.
II. XIII. 17.
I. XIX. 2.
I. IV. 4 I.
I. XIII. 8.
II. VI. 20.
II. XXXII. 30.
II. VIII. 7.
I. x .7 .
II. Xxx .9 .
II. XXXII. 27.
I. XVIII. 15 .
II. VI. 36.
II. XIII. 5.
II. X. 4 .
I. VI. 32.

（In）

（STR（T NR
$\operatorname{ls}(\pi)$
，，（os）
（صロロッ）
，，（صiャ）
$R=\leq \ddot{a}\left(\dot{L}_{\sim}\right)$

$\rightarrow$ ia（ $\rightarrow$ id）
dross（rdscrs）
is（sa）


In（N RM）
（ォ

Thing（nom．to dresodr）
（مصـ）
rdasoi
陙（

I．XIII． 8.
I．XVIII． 7 ．
I．XVI． 3.

II．IX． 16.

I．XIX． 2 \＆II．VI． 18.
II．XIII． 4.

II．XXXIII． 2.
II． $\mathrm{XXX} . \mathrm{I}_{4}$ ．

I．XIII． 8.
I．XVII． 10 ．
I．XIV．II，

I． XX .3 ．
I．V．I．

II．XI．II（cp．I．XII．I）．
I．XII． 8.
I．XVIII．Io．
II．VII．I．

II．XXV．I 5 ．
I．IV． 9 ．
II．XXXII． 26.
II．V． 10 ，

（عar）
（ת）
Risdr Nesx（rian）
for（alr）
，iと（，iェみ）
rdrinah（riad）

II． XxX .2 I ．
I． XX .5 ．
II．Xxix． 36.
I．xi． 20.
I．XXI． 5 ．
II．ViI．io．

II．XXXIII． 16.

## INDEX OF PASSAGES REFERRED TO ON TEXTUAL GROUNDS.



CAMBRIDGE: PRINTED BY J. AND C. F. CLAY, AT THE UNIVERSITY PRESS.
p



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In a Chr. IV. 9 it agrees with Codd. AC (wa-çâra maḩbûban min ummihi wa-abîhi).

[^1]:    ${ }_{1}$ Written by Sergius Risius, Archbishop of Damascus, + A. D. 1638 .

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Joseph Perles, Meletemata Peschitthoniana, p. 16.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Zotenberg (Catalogue, p. 2) strangely identifies this editor with [E.] Renaudot (born 1646), while (rightly) asserting that this MS. was used for the Paris Polyglot of 1645. It is clear that $z$ was edited for the press, and that the editor's notation corresponds with the notation of the folios and columns of $G$.

[^4]:    1 This passage is strangely enough cited by Cornill（Ezech．pp．140，141）among the instances which he gives of Cod．A standing alone（or almost alone）in agreement with the Massoretic text＂gegen die ubrigen Recensionen＂of the Peshitta．

    B．

[^5]:    ＊＂A gegen die uibrigen Recensionen［der Peschito］．＂Cornill．

[^6]:    ＊＂A gegen die uibrigen Recensionen［der Peschito］．＂Cornill．

[^7]:    ＊＂A gegen die übrigen Recensionen［der Peschito］．＂Cornill．

[^8]:    * "A gegen die übrigen Recensionen [der Peschito]." Cornill.
    ${ }^{1}$ FS v are here bracketed because the last three words of the reading in support of which these MSS. are quoted have not been verified in them.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cod. S (Sachau 20I) may be an exception; for I have no note of my revision of the readings of this MS.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Add．，mal $\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i}$ dm（et F l？）

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Desid. 4 Reg. 13. 13b-16. 19a apud F

