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Macula, moder, was neuere in thee, Filia Syon, thou art the flour; Full sweteli schalt thou sitte bi me And bere a crowne with me in tour, And alle my seintis to thin honour Schal honoure thee, moder, in my blis, That blessid bodi that bare me in bowur, Veni, coronaberis.

Lambeth MS. 853.

## INTRODUCTION

In the Cottonian Manuscript, Vespasian D. viii, containing the N-town cycle of miracle plays, commonly but incorrectly known as the Ludus Coventriae, there is one play, that numbered forty-one, treating of the Death and Assumption of the Virgin, which stands markedly apart from the rest. It is written in a hand which appears nowhere else in the volume, and this hand presents certain peculiarities differentiating it sharply from that in which, with few exceptions, the whole of the rest of the cycle is written. The paper of the play likewise is quite distinct from any used in other parts of the volume ; it forms a single quire inserted in the middle of one of the other quires of the manuscript, and could be removed without in any way interfering with the remaining leaves. These facts necessarily raise a question as to how far this particular play forms an integral part of the cycle in which it appears, or at least as to whether it may not have an origin essentially different from the rest. It is noteworthy that the play in question is not recorded in the very explicit catalogue which serves as a prologue to the cycle, but the same is true of other plays which do not differ in handwriting from their companions. To enter fully into this question would be to raise the whole intricate problem of the origin and history of the N -town cycle, which it is not my purpose to do on the present occasion. My immediate and more modest intention is to inquire whether any marked difference in dialect or style of composition exists between the Assumption play and the bulk of the cycle, such as could be adduced in support of the bibliographical evidence for an independent origin. This limited inquiry would hardly of itself have necessitated, or perhaps even justified, reprinting the text in question, since the whole cycle is already accessible in J. O. Halliwell's edition, issued by the Shakespeare Society in 1841 under the title of Ludus Coventriae. I have, however,
been impeiled to the more ambitious course by two other consicterations." The first of these is that one of the most remarkable characteristics of the play is its metrical structure, and that this, though perfectly clear in the manuscript, is very effectively concealed in the printed edition. The other is the opportunity which a reprint affords of bringing the English text into close relation with its source in the narrative of the Legenda Aurea, and thus of drawing attention to the importance of Jacobus de Voragine's work for the study of the religious drama. For this purpose I have reprinted at the end of this Introduction those portions of the legend of the Assumption upon which our present play is based.

I owe a debt of gratitude to Dr. Henry Bradley, to whose expert assistance is due whatever may be found of value in my Notes, and to Mr. C. E. Stuart for help with the Latin both of the manuscript and of the Legenda.

## 1. The Scribe.

The bulk of the N-town cycle is written in a clear, rather commonplace hand of the later fifteenth century. At the end of one of the plays is the date 1468, and there does not appear to be any reason to suppose that this is not the date of writing. The scribe makes free use of $y(\beta)$ for $t h$, though the latter also appears, and likewise of the letter 3 , though more often for $y$ than for gh. He also has the well-recognized East Anglian, or at least East Midland, peculiarity of writing $x$ in place of $s c h$ in such words as shall, \&c. The ink used is generally some shade of brown.

Of the play of the Assumption Halliwell remarks (p. 417): 'The whole of this pageant is written in a more recent hand, of the time, I should think, of Henry VIII.' I do not know upon what ground he based this opinion, possibly upon the fact that the special letters, or uses of letters, just mentioned as occurring in the rest of the manuscript, are all but entirely absent from the play in question. But whatever may have suggested Halliwell's opinion, that opinion is wrong. There is nothing whatever in the character of the hand, as may be seen by consulting the accompanying facsimiles, to suggest that it is not contemporary
with that of the main scribe, and it is easy to prove that it is so. For the whole manuscript, including our play, has been rubricated at one time and in one manner, obviously by one person, and a careful examination of his work throughout the volume will show that that person was none other than the main scribe. Moreover, at line 261 of our particular play, some words that had been added in the margin and subsequently mutilated have been written over again by a different hand, and this hand is that of the main scribe. It follows, therefore, that the Assumption play was written at any rate not long after the rest of the manuscript and may even have been written before it. The ink is black.

The main scribe we know belonged, as already said, to the East Midlands, probably to the more restricted area to which the term East Anglia may be applied. Is there any indication as to the locality in which our play was written down? In it the letter 3 does not appear, $\bar{b}$ is used only twice, and $y$ for th is so rare as to suggest mere accident. Nor does $x$ replace sch. This obvious criterion of East Anglian writing is therefore absent. But another recognized test exists in the dropping of the guttural. The peculiarity is properly a scribal, not a dialectal, one, for the sound probably went out of pronunciation in the midlands early in the fifteenth century, but except in the extreme eastern district it continued as a rule to be written. We start in OE with final $-h$ or $-h t$; in ME these are represented by $-3,-3 t$ or -gh, -ght. With the loss of the guttural -3, -gh disappear altogether, $-3 t$ gives $-t$, and $-g h t$ may give $-h t$. In the last case, the $h$ having no phonetic value, the termination comes to be written indifferently $-h t$ or $-t h$, and this leads to the substitution of $-t h$ for $-t$ even where there was no original guttural. The question has been discussed at some length by Furnivall in his 'Afterwords' to the EETS edition of the Macro Plays (1904, p. xxxv). He there cites many such forms as fite (fight), lite (light), rith (right), sith (sight), deth (dead), kyth (cut), from Norfolk manuscripts. Now this peculiarity, though observable in the main body of the cycle (p. 58, syte; p. 87, nowth; p. 147, sowth ; p. 186, rowethte, rout), is not very prominent ; we usually find the more customary spellings. But in the Assumption play the case is altered. Spellings with $g h$ seem to be as completely
absent as those with 3. A final guttural usually disappears, as in hye for high (1.33), but we once find nyhyn (1. 194). Before $t$, however, the scribe does not affect mere omission. The ending -ght becomes regularly $-t h$ or $-t h t$ or, less frequently, $-h t$ (1. 5, tauht, 1. 146, myhtis, 1. 199, myhtys).

The only other orthographic peculiarity that seems worth mentioning is the use of $q w$ for $w h$, which occurs more than once in our play, though by no means regularly (11. 137, 269, quyche). This is peculiarly though not exclusively northern; Furnivall in the above-mentioned 'Afterwords' cites instances from the East Midland play of Mankind. Anyhow it occurs in other parts of the cycle (see Halliwell's glossary), and is, therefore, not distinctive of the present piece.

There is one striking piece of evidence that the scribe had northern leanings, to say the least of it. In line 238 , namely, we find the form skele for skill. Now this form is characteristically northern, or even Scotch, but it will be observed that it is due to the scribe, not the author, for the rime requires skill. Curiously enough there is just such another isolated piece of evidence that the main scribe of the other portions of the cycle had the same northern tendency. We once (p. 404) find the very distinctively northern spelling ssalte, in place of what would be the regular xalte, shalt.

Consequently, I do not think that there is any reason to suppose that the play of the Assumption was written down in a different locality from the rest of the manuscript in which it is found.

A word may be added on the use of contractions by the scribe. In the English text these are as a rule perfectly normal and present no difficulty. It will be sufficient to remark that the contraction for ser consists of a long $\int$ with a mark like a 7 through it. This I have represented by ' $\mathfrak{F}_{3}$ ' (1l. 194, 209, 210), which must be regarded as a single symbol. Whether the cross stroke of $t t$ and $\hbar$ was intended by the scribe to have any particular meaning it is difficult to say, but the fact that he avoids adding a final $e$ to these letters seems to indicate that the marks were not wholly devoid of significance. As is so often the case, difficulty is caused by the final curl over a letter which may be either $n$ or $u$. I have printed $\tilde{n}$ wherever possible. But now and then the temptation to print $\tilde{u}$ has been great: for instance in
adoñ and moñ, ll. 620,622 . But it must be observed that in the same rime-series we have demon which requires no $u$, and town $\tilde{n}$ where the insertion would be preposterous. I think, therefore, that the curls are best regarded as throughout insignificant.

In the Latin portions the contractions are much more frequent and less usual, the scribe apparently considering that a curl might be expected to do duty for any termination, or indeed for any part of a word which he felt disinclined to write in full. In certain cases his forms are, to say the least, misleading. For specific instances the notes may be consulted. I have there considered such difficulties as arise, and have also indicated the meaning of certain normally contracted forms which may nevertheless be unfamiliar to modern readers.

## 2. Dialect.

The problem of determining whether the dialect of the Assumption play differs from that of the rest of the N -town cycle is rendered the more difficult by the fact that even apart from this play the collection is manifestly of very complex origin and may well include portions originally composed in widely different localities. In what follows it must, therefore, be borne in mind that if we succeed in showing that the one play under review possesses dialectal peculiarities not shared by any of its companions, we shall, of course, have satisfactorily estab. lished its independent origin, but that if, on the other hand, we fail to do so, it does not in the least follow that the dialect of the whole cycle is homogeneous, nor even, strictly speaking, that the dialect of our particular play is identical with that of any other single member of the collection.

The language of the N-town cycle was investigated by Dr. Max Kramer as long ago as 1892 in his dissertation on Sprache und Heimat des sogen. Ludus Coventriae. His object was to compare the dialect of the plays with that of the Coventry records, and his result that the former, so far from being identical with the latter, belonged in its present form rather to the northern border of the East Midland district. He treated the dialect of the cycle as a whole, and though recording certain anomalous forms, made no attempt at a more individual treatment of the
separate pageants. I propose, nevertheless, to take his investigation as a basis, and to examine how far, in the extensive collections of variant forms his work contains, those from the Assumption play can be regarded as forming a class by themselves. The only statement regarding the dialect of this particular play with which I am acquainted is one by Mrs. M. H. Dodds in the Modern Language Review for January 1914 (vol. ix, p. 90). Speaking of the N-town cycle she says: 'All the plays are in the dialect of the East Midlands except the addition of "The Death, Funeral, Assumption and Coronation of the Virgin", which bears some traces of the northern dialect'. No evidence, however, is adduced in support of this opinion.

In the following survey I shall adopt the order of Dr. Kramer's paragraphs. OE $a$ gives in ME $a$ (sometimes $\hat{d}$ in open syllables), but this in turn gives $x$ in NE, and it may be asked whether this process had already begun at the time when the N -town cycle was written. That it had seems proved by rimes with words of which the vowel is derived from OE $e$ or $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$. But it should be observed that the evidence for this seems stronger in the case of the Assumption play (A) than in that of the rest of the cycle (LC). Thus we find, A 575, save (OF salver), have (OE habban), riming with belave (OE bel̂̂ffan), and, A 310, declare (OF declarer), fare (OE faran), care (OE cearu) : lare (OE l̂̂ran). Elsewhere we do indeed find rimes of care, fare, spare (OE sparian), with ware, were (OE ŵ̂ron), but the latter is open to the suspicion of having been influenced by ON wadru (LC $47^{12}, 73^{10}, 183^{26}$ : the large figures indicate the pages, the superior figures the lines, of Halliwell's edition). One clear case, however, is quoted, LC $30^{27}$, qweke (OE cwacian) : freke (OE freca), breke (OE brecan). Possibly the same sharpening of the sound may be observed in rimes with OF ai, particularly the word sertayn, of which there are several instances, LC $43^{1,227^{18}}$. One case occurs in A 30 , name (OE nama), same (ON samr), defame ( L defamare) : attayne (OF attaindre), but here the text is very likely corrupt. As a rule before $m, n$ the $a$ seems to remain pure or to become 0 . An exception is, according to Kramer, A 45, on (OE an) : won (OE wunian). But here it must be observed that on being emphatic has been assimilated to the ôn-rimes, and that won is rather from ME wanien, OE
wanian, or else perhaps from OE wandian. However, these words undoubtedly show the Anglian change of $a>0$ before a nasal.
The ME vowel derived from $\mathrm{OE} \mathfrak{x}$, or by shortening from $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$, rimes both with $a$ and $e$. No instances from our play are quoted, and very few appear to exist. In the rime, A $269, b a d: s a d$, the vowel in either case goes back to $\mathrm{OE} \mathscr{x}$, and nothing can therefore be inferred. There is one instance of an e-rime, A 314, ment ( OE mêned) : sent ( OE sended), but this belongs to a group which regularly has $e$ in ME. Once we have, A 575, grave (OE graf) : cave (OF cave), have (OE habban), but these vowels, as we saw above, must have been sharpened, for the rime series likewise includes lare ( OE lâran). The paucity of examples of rime words with these vowels in our play is unfortunate, for the cycle as a whole shows a marked tendency to make these rime on $e$, indeed whole classes, such as the preterites and participles which in OE end in -x̂dan, - $\hat{x}$ ban, do so exclusively. The tendency points to the southern and south-eastern district.
The ME representative of $\mathrm{OE} u$ is found riming with the vowels derived from OE $\hat{\delta}$ ( $\mathrm{OF} o$ ), from $\mathrm{OE} \hat{d}$, and once from $\mathrm{OE} a(o)$ before $n g$. Only the second of these is illustrated by Kramer from our play, and this in the word won, A 45, which he takes to represent OE wunian, whereas we have already seen that it represents either OE wanian or else OE wandian.

The weakening of $\mathrm{OE} i$ to $e$ does not seem to be illustrated in our play ; on the other hand, the parallel weakening of $\mathrm{OE} y$ to $e$ is common, as throughout the cycle. Rimes with short $e$ are A 184 , mende ( OE gemynd), kynde, kende (OE cynd) : hende (OE gehende), pretende (OF pretendre); A 660, mend, kend: ende (OE ende), wend (OE wendan) ; A 596, felthe (OE fŷlb?) : helthe (OE hâl $l p$ ), welthe (ME wêle, OE wela), the vowel in each case being probablyshortened; A 614, herne (OE hyrne), brenne (ON brenna). Rimes with $\hat{e}$ are only found in the case of the word meche (OE mycel), as in A 326, where it rimes with speche (OE sppêc), teche (OE tâcan), preche (OF prêcher). It may be remarked that of course the representative of $\mathrm{OE} y$ also rimes with $i$, as in A 232, hyllys (OE hyll) : wyll is (OE gewill), though indeed both may have become dulled.

That OE $\hat{a}$ had in the great majority of cases developed into
$\delta$ is shown by the frequent rimes with OF $o$ and the representative of OE $\delta$. Instances from our play are, for the former, A 333, gon ( OE gan ) : tron (OF trone) ; A 430, alon (OE ân): tron; for the latter, A 250 , sone ( OE sôna), done ( OE dôn) : one, none ( OE
 harro (OF haro). But in a certain number of cases the northern tendency to retain $\hat{a}$ manifests itself, as appears from rimes between the representative of OE $d$ and OF, ON, or OE $a$. Examples from the cycle are, LC $5^{9}$, more (OE mâra), sore (OE sär) : war (OE wxr, ON var), Abyacar; LC 431, gan (OE gan): man (OE mann, monn), tan (ON taka), certayn (OF certain); from our play, A 310, evermare (OE mâra) : fare (OE faran), care ( OE carian), declare ( OF declarer) : lare (OE lêran). It will be observed that not only does the Assumption agree with the rest of the cycle in sometimes retaining OE $\alpha$, but likewise in indicating a certain sharpening of this sound as shown by the rimes with certayn and lare respectively.

As one would expect, the open and closed values of $\hat{o}$ rime freely together throughout the cycle, though their different development in NE (to $\hat{\delta}$ and $\hat{\imath}$ respectively) shows that they can never have been identical. It is also to be observed that our play agrees with the rest in riming the representative of OE ${ }^{2} w$ with that of OE, ON ag; for instance, LC $87^{12}$, knawe (OE gecnâwan) : lawe (OE lagu), withdrawe (OE dragan), awe (ON agi) ; A 44, knawe, blawe (OE blawan) : lawe, awe.

The OE $\hat{x}$ gave in ME both open and closed $\hat{\varepsilon}$ according as it was derived from Germanic ai or not. In Chaucer the two values are distinguished : not so in any part of the N-town cycle. We may instance, LC $40^{22}$, sprede ( OE sprâdan, Gm. ${ }^{\text {sppraid- }}$ $j a n$ ) : indede ( $\mathrm{OE} d \hat{x} d, \mathrm{Gm} . * d \hat{x} d i z)$; LC $91^{28}$, lede (OE l̂̂dan, Gm. *laidjan) : sede (OE sर̂xd, Gm. *sर̂dom) ; and, A 389, sprede: sede. In the same way ME $\hat{\ell}$ (open) from OE $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ from $\mathrm{Gm} . a i$ rimes with the closed $\hat{\varepsilon}$ from $\mathrm{OE} \hat{\varepsilon}$; and $\mathrm{ME} \hat{\varepsilon}$ (closed) from OE $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ not from Gm. ai rimes with open ê from OE êa. Examples of the former are, LC 132 ${ }^{16}$, arere ( OE ârêran, Gm. *raizjan $): ~_{\text {a }}$ here (OE hêr); LC $285^{20}$, lere (OE lêran, Gm. *laizjan) : here, fere ( OE gefêra) ; and A 542, lere: bere ( OE b̂̂r, Gm. *bêrâ), here; for the latter, LC $27^{5}$, reed ( $\mathrm{OE} r \mathfrak{z} d$, Gm. *rêdoz), dred


LC ${ }_{157} 7^{21}$, methe (OE $m \hat{x} \hat{p}$, Gm. *m $\hat{x} \beta i z$ ), brethe (OE $b r \hat{x} p, G m$. *br $\hat{x} p o z$ ) : dethe (OE dêaß) ; and A 492, red (OE rêdan, Gm. *rx̂dan), blede (OE blêdan) : ded.

We have already, in considering OE $\hat{a}$, found an instance in our play of the representative of $\mathrm{OE} \hat{\mathscr{x}}$ riming with $\hat{d}$, namely, A 310, lare (OE l̂̂ran, Gm. *laizjan): evermare, fare, care, declare. A similar instance is, A 575, belave (OE bel̂̂fan, Gm. *bilaibjan) : grave, cave, save, have. No such rimes are quoted by Kramer from the rest of the cycle. They are, however, to be explained less as broadening of the $\hat{e}$ than as sharpening of the $\hat{a}, a$, and of this, as we have seen, there is evidence elsewhere in the cycle.

Beside the common forms there, were (from be) the N -town cycle also presents instances in which both the vowels $a$ and $o$ appear: LC $73^{10}$, thare, ware : are (OE Merc. earun, North. aron), bare (OE $b æ r$ ); LC $47^{12}$, were : care (OE cearu), fare (OE faran), bare; LC $1 \mathrm{II}^{24}$, thore : bore (OE boren), beffore (OE fore), restore (OF restorer) ; LC 14644, wore : bore, beffore. In the Assumption the form there only occurs once in a rime, A 542, there : bere (OE b̂̂rr), here (OE hêr), fere (OE f̂̂r), lere (OE lêran), while the form thore occurs twice, A 164, thore: evermore (OE mára), lore (OE lâr), before; A 266, thore : more, bore. Neither thare nor any of the forms of were appears in a rime. Kramer derives the forms thore, wore from ON pâr, wâru (vâru). In the case of the latter this is no doubt correct, but as regards there the ON form is par not par. This, however, raises no difficulty, for in OE the forms pâr, pâra are well-established variants of $\bar{\beta} \hat{x} r$. As regards the forms thare, ware Kramer points out that the vowels may be instances of the retention of $\hat{a}$, a tendency we have already observed, or that they may really represent $\hat{e}$-sounds riming with a sharpened $a$, and he decides for the latter alternative on the ground that the forms also appear in texts which show no other evidence of the retention of original OE, ON $\hat{a}$. This, then, affords further evidence of the sharpening of the $a$-sound outside the Assumption play. Kramer further remarks that in some cases OE mênan has given the form mone in the N -town cycle, and he quotes LC $98^{7}, 34^{614}$. But in both these cases mone is the substantive, moan, which must go back, not to OE mênan, but to the unrecorded OE *man from the same prehistoric stem *main-. The word does not
occur in the rimes of the Assumption unless, A 319, ment is an instance.

In a few cases, according to Kramer, the representative of $\mathrm{OE} \hat{\imath}$ rimes with $e$-sounds from $\mathrm{OE} \hat{e}, \hat{x}, \hat{e} o, \mathrm{OF} e$. He quotes LC $349^{19}$, lyff (OE lîf): greff (OF gref), theff (OE pêof); and A 243, teme (OE tîma): sweme (OE aswồman), queme (OE czvêman), seme (OE seman). The first of these appears to be correct, the second is not. The word teme is not OE tima, time, but OF (*teme) tesme, theme. Similar to the $\hat{e}: \hat{\imath}$ rimes are those of $\hat{o}: \lambda$. Of these may be quoted, LC $190^{22}$, book (OE bôc) : sowke (OE sûcan) ; LC $146^{15}$, boun (ON bôn) : downe (OE dûne); LC $3{ }^{1} 5^{19}$, don (OE dôn) : mon (OE mugon). In our play we find the strange assortment of rimes, A 615 , preso(u) $n$ (OF prison, prisun) : demon (OF demon, med.L dêmôn) : so(u) $n$ (AF soun, OF son; OE sôn from L sonus probably did not survive) : ado(u) $n$ (OE of dinne) : mo(u)n (OE mugon) : town (OE tûn), but it may be questioned whether any of these were strictly $\hat{o}$-sounds.

The Assumption play agrees with the rest of the cycle in riming the word here, hear, with $\hat{e}$ only: LC $79^{\circ}$, here : appere (OF stem aper-, apareir) ; LC $114^{15}$, here : fere (OE gefêr), persevere ( F persévérer), dere ( OE dêore) ; and A 3 , here : leve ( OE l̂̂ran), clere (OF cler), yeve (OE gêar). This shows derivation from the Anglian hêran and not WS hŷran.

Throughout the cycle the words frende and fende (OE frêond, feond) are found among the ordinary rimes in end, and no indication appears of any such difference of quantity as appeared later and lead to different developments in NE. Thus, LC ${ }_{13}{ }^{629}$, frende: wende (OE wendan); A 660, frend(e) : ende (OE ende), mend (OE gemynd), kend (OE cynd), wend; and LC 276², ffende : mende; A 184, fende : mende, kynde, hende (OE gehende), pretende (OF pretendre), assende ( L ascendere).

Discussing the diphthong $a y$ with its variants, the representative of OE $x g$, eg both long and short, Kramer attempts to show that the word again rimes in the N-town cycle with the representative of OE $\hat{e}, \hat{e}$. We have, LC $168^{4}$, azen : qwen (OE cwên) ; LC $169^{28}$, ageyne : quene; LC $177^{28}$, asen: ben (OE bêon); LC $379^{4}$, ageyn, serteyn (OF certain) : seyn (OE sêon). He also quotes, A 659, ageyn : greyn. He does not say what origin he proposes for the latter word, but his quoting it in this connexion
implies that he takes it as OE grene, green. It is, however, certainly OF grain in the sense of dye, stain. The word again occurs three times in our play, but always riming with true diphthongs. Moreover, it does not appear to be correct to say that the instances quoted above show the English diphthong riming with the simple vowel. For in OE the form ongên is found beside the earlier ongegn at a time before the diphthongization took place, and ongên developed regularly into ME azen with a pure vowel correctly riming with quên, bên, sên; the form ongegn giving ME azein, while the North. again goes back to the variant form OE ongægn, perhaps influenced by ON gagn(adverbial prefix).

We now come to consider the consonants. Starting with the labials Kramer has a curious blunder in connexion with $p$. He remarks on the noteworthy phenomenon 'that OE final $p$ is occasionally found riming with $f^{\prime}$, and quotes, LC $36^{12}$, sheff (OE scêp) : lef, repreff; LC $36^{61}$, sheff : greff. But, of course, sheff is not OE scêp, sheep, but OE scêaf, sheaf. Cain offered 'de fructibus terrae' not 'de primogenitis gregis' like his brother. In no part of the cycle, therefore, is there evidence of what Kramer calls 'the inclination of final $p$ to aspiration'.

The retention of $w$ before $r$ seems indicated by alliteration, LC $52^{2}$, wrought, wyl, wourthy; LC $94^{10}$, wrake, wurde, werkyn, withowtyn; LC $120^{30}$, wronge, I-wys, wyff. To these may be added, A 315, wrechid, world; A 533, wrouth, wyndand, wod, wo. There is, indeed, nothing to show that in these cases wr was meant to alliterate, for alliteration in this cycle is purely sporadic, but according to Sweet (History of English Sounds, § 919) the $w$ continued to be sounded well on into the NE period.

Intrusive $d$ has established itself in several instances in the cycle, though in some it is only the rime that shows it, as in, LC $14{ }^{11}$, swowne for swownde (OE swôgnian) : stownde (OE stund). In the word sound (OF son) the $d$ is not yet fixed, A 6I5, so(u) $n$ : town (OE tîn), \&c.; A 466, sound : ground (OE grund). The only instances of the word recorded are from the Assumption.

An alleged peculiarity of our play is the riming of $n d: n t$, the instance quoted being, A 196, pretende (OF pretendre) : assende (OF assentir). Kramer proceeds: 'Consequently we cannot conclude from the rime sende (past part.) : kende (OE cennan)
that in the former word the change of $d$ to $t$ after voiceless $n$ (which belongs to a much earlier date) has not taken place.' But in the above-cited instance, as also in A 126, assende is clearly not from OF assentir but from L ascendere. The rime of sende : kende must therefore be considered on its own merits. Kramer gives no reference for it; it is not in our play. In favour of the retention of the $d$ is the fact that this spelling persisted even into the xvi cent. (e. g. Everyman, Huth text, 11. 90,92 , not in rime). In support of an assimilation of the $t$ and $d$-sounds (or more probably simply for the resort to impure rime). may be quoted, LC $165^{7}$, glete (OE gliden) : wete, pete, supposing always that Kramer's derivation is here correct. The question does not affect our play.

As regards $r$ a number of cases can be cited from the cycle in which it is simply neglected in the rime. Among others we find, LC $36^{64}$, wers : gesse; LC $105{ }^{10}$, erthe : nede; LC $274^{10}$, beforn, therupon. From the Assumption we have, A 614, herne: brenne, renne, denne. In the instance, LC $7^{27}$, grythe : myrthe, byrthe, we should perhaps assume the North. form gyrthe.

If we may trust the alliteration $k$ retains its sound before $n$. Out of several instances we may quote, LC $8^{16}$, knytes, cruel, unkende; A 41, knave, knad, craggyd, kylle (cf. also A 51). According to Sweet (History of English Sounds, § 924) the $k$ did not wholly disappear till well on in the NE period.

The development of OE $c$ between vowels and also finally was twofold, giving in the N -town cycle both the guttural and palatal sounds, represented by $k$ and $c h$ respectively. For the former we have the evidence of the rime in, LC $284^{5}$, seke (OE sêcan) : meke (ON mîukr); LC $189^{18}$, lyke (OE gelic) : phesyk. Our play affords no instance of rimes in eeke, but the spelling beseke (OE besêcan) occurs repeatedly, A 147, 174, 187, 309, 349. The palatal value is shown by the rimes, LC $273^{10}$, teche (OE tâcan) : preche (OF prêcher) ; LC $16 \mathrm{I}^{1}$, lyche (OE gelîc) : ryche ( OE rice, but the ME form rike was early lost under influence of OE riche); A 326, speche (OE spर̂ec), teche, meche (OE mycel): preche. In LC $167^{5}$, seche, beseche : leche (OE lâce), the pronunciation is strictly only evidenced by the spelling, but as a matter of fact no such form as leke is recorded.

As regards the representative of $\mathrm{OE} h w$ it has already been
observed, when discussing the scribe's peculiarities, that the Assumption play agrees with the rest of the cycle in occasionally having $q u, q z u$ in place of the more usual $w h$. Kramer remarks that no evidence is forthcoming of any pronunciation other than $w$, since we find $w$ and the representative of OE $h w$ freely alliterating together. There do not, however, appear to be any instances of this in the few $w$-alliterations of our play. According to Sweet (History of English Sounds, § 725) the voiceless $w$ persisted throughout ME.

Unetymological $h$ is prefixed to words in not a few instances ; thus, LC $160^{28}$, hendynge; LC $201^{3}$, hende; LC $222^{20}$, haske. From our play we may quote, A 49, houre, and probably, A 307, halle.

We have now to consider the inflections. An examination of ablaut formations yields little result; terminations seem rather more hopeful. The cycle shows an even balance between -th and $-s$ as the ending of the third person singular in the present indicative. Seven instances of each, witnessed by the rime, are quoted. Of these only two come from the Assumption, and both these show -s: A 507, louris : prechours, \&c.; A 64I, hythtis : rythtis, \&c. The - th ending is not evidenced in this play by the rime, but we do find, A 206, pshalmodyeth, magnefyeth, sertefyeth : replyeth (plural imperative) where there is no reason to suspect that the endings are not original. We also have the spelling, A 3, liketh, and A 68, halt, probably for haldeth. All three persons of the plural are in the vast majority of cases without ending, but there are a few instances of the first ending in $-n$, the second in $-n$ or $-s$, the third in $-n$ or $-t$. Only one such instance occurs in the Assumption play, namely, A 75, they seyn ( OE secgan) : agayn, \&c.

As a rule the plural imperative is without ending. Occasionally, however, forms appear with the regular -th, or even with an anomalous $-n$. Thus LC $34 \mathrm{I}^{26}$, Knyghtes now goht: worothe, clothe; LC 1801, To have hym $3 e$ gon : bon; LC $307^{7}$, thus ze hym seyn : peyn. Our play affords one riming instance, A 212, (yow) replyeth: (he) sertefyeth, and the spelling wachith in the next line. How the forms in $-n$ are to be explained is not clear, but it is worth while calling attention to the strange form, A $6{ }_{15}$, fettyn. As it stands the line can only be construed by taking this as a plural imperative addressed to Belsabub, Belyal, and

Sathan of the line before. If an imperative is out of the question emendation becomes necessary.

As in the cycle in general, so too in our particular play, certain infinitives in $-n$ occur. There are in all, according to Kramer, forty-three instances, of which thirty-four occur in the descendants of OE bêon, gân, dôn, sêon, and secgan. In our play we have only, A 250, done, gone : one, \&c. ; A 333, gon : Iohn, \&c. (but see A 6I5, note).

The occurrence of present participles in -ende and -ande, beside the later and more southern -ing (-ynge), is established by the rimes, LC $74^{30}$, knelende : amende; LC $243^{28}$, applyande, declinande : hande, plesande. The forms also occur in our play, but are not vouched for by the rime: A 25, preyand, seyand, pleyand; A 150, desyrand, requyrand, telland, expirand, seyand, presentand. We also find, A 42, kneland and, A 533, Wyndand. The only rimes in -ing in our play are, A 58 , kyng, rysyng, endyng, gynnyng; A 349, metyng, curyng, comyng, werkyng, and of these curyng alone is a participle. Indeed I fancy that present participles in -ing are much less common in rimes than seems to be implied by Kramer's statement that 'The present participle and the verbal substantive both end in -ynge in the great majority of cases'. It will be observed that there are twelve instances of participles in -ande, eende at the end of lines, though only three of these are actually certified by the rime. Now rimes in -ing (-ynge) are very common at any rate in certain portions of the N-town cycle, but a good many of these are words such as bring, zinge, \&c., and of the rest the vast majority are verbal substantives. Apart from the one case in the Assumption play mentioned above, the following are the only instances of riming participles in -ing that I have been able to find: LC $7^{25}$, lestyng, $3 \mathrm{I}^{4}$, brennyng, $96^{11}$, syttynge, $125^{10}$, conseyvenge, $125^{12}$, sekynge, 125 ${ }^{27}$, inspyrynge, $126^{10}$, praynge, $126^{13}$, beynge, $286^{4}$, abydyng. There are then ten instances, all confirmed by the rime. But it will be noticed that exactly half of these come out of one short passage from the Visit to Elizabeth, and this there are independent grounds for believing to be late revisional work. The certified majority in favour of the southern -ing over the northern -and is then not so overpowering after all. If we eliminate the Visit to Elizabeth and the Assumption the proportion of northern
to southern forms actually established by rime is three to four.

As regards past participles it is to be noticed that OE boren, -loren, from the verbs beran,-lêosan, give in the N-town cycle the double forms born, bore, lorn, lore. Of these bore alone is found in the rimes of the Assumption, A 164, 270. It is indeed characteristic of the cycle that participles in $-n$ seldom appear, some whole ablaut-classes not producing them at all. This tendency is if anything even more marked in our play than elsewhere.

The so-called substantive verb presents in the present indicative a variety of forms. For all persons of the plural we usually find be, occasionally bene for the third. Our play has, A $3^{12}$, ye are : lare, \&c. This does not seem to be used elsewhere, but we find one instance of, LC $174^{10}$, (they) are : fare.

Certain points connected with plural nouns may last be noticed. The strong plural ending is still syllabic, at least for the purposes of rime (see, however, the note to line 351). Instances are particularly numerous in the Assumption owing to the writer's fondness for dissyllabic rimes: thus, A 85, ententis, dentis: schent is; A 146, myhtis : dith is (see also A 213, 372, 446, 641); A 234, hyllys : wyll is; A 279, fistis : liste is. Examples from other parts of the cycle are, LC $127^{15}$, goodys : bonis; LC $146^{20}$, wurdys: blys; LC $355^{4}$, azentys : graunt us. The instances Kramer quotes from A 211, 213 are due to his having misunderstood the rime-scheme. On the other hand, the ending is not syllabic in, LC $294^{15}$, thretty pens : presens. Weak plurals in $-n$ are still found in the case of certain words which no longer form them: the only one in our play is, A 49, fon (OE gefan, NE foes): alon, \&c. This occurs four times in the rest of the cycle, also ton, toes, schon, shoes, eyn, eyes. Certain original strong neuters, which in NE are usually assimilated to the strong masculines, still show uninflected plurals in the N-town cycle. The commonest of these, and the only one in our play, is OE gêar, A 9, thretty yere: here, \&c.; A 486, thre skore yer: er, fer. This indeed can hardly be said to be obsolete even now. The others found in the cycle are londe and thynge. There are further certain anomalous cases of uninflected plurals in the cycle, but none occur in the Assumption.

I have followed Dr. Kramer in his arrangement of the evidence,
and I have for the sake of argument accepted his interpretation of it. It is not necessary to inquire exactly how far that interpretation is correct. I think it is easy to push arguments of the sort too far. Suppose, for instance, that one writer rimes together two sounds of diverse origin, whereas another writer does not. To argue that in the pronunciation of the former the sounds approximated closer than in that of the latter seems to imply a knowledge of the metrical scrupulousness of the two writers which must be somewhat difficult to attain. Such inquiries are, however, irrelevant to our immediate purpose. This is merely to inquire whether as a matter of fact we can detect a difference of riming habit between the Assumption play and the rest of the N -town cycle. If we can, it may then be necessary to inquire whether this arises from a difference of dialect or merely a difference of poetic temperament; if we cannot, cadit quaestio.

Now, when we take into consideration the very narrow limits of the Assumption play compared with the whole cycle, something less than a twentieth, and remember that we must consequently expect to find some peculiarities in the whole, of which no examples happen to occur in the part, I think that we shall be struck by the great similarity of riming characteristics that can be traced throughout the whole cycle. Our investigation has yielded us practically no criterion by which to distinguish the language of our play from that of its companions.

The rime of $a: c$ is better evidenced in the Assumption than elsewhere, and that of $d: \hat{x}$ is confined to it. Both peculiarities, however, seem best explained by a sharpening of the $a, d$-sound for which other evidence can be adduced from other parts of the cycle. This, therefore, will not serve to distinguish our play. Nor will the practical absence of $\hat{\varepsilon}: \hat{\imath}$ and $\hat{o}: \hat{\imath}$ rimes, for these are anyhow of rare occurrence. The southern tendency for OE $x$ to become ME $e$ which is marked in the cycle cannot be traced in the Assumption, though neither can it exactly be denied. On the other hand, the other notably southern tendency observable in the cycle, namely the dropping of $-n$ in the past participle of strong verbs, is if anything more marked in our play than elsewhere. That, I think, is the total result of our inquiry.

In the notes to the Assumption play certain peculiarities of form or spelling have been noted as northern or Scotch. They are, A 68, halt, holdeth, northern but also Anglian; A 70 , relefe, relieve, northern, witnessed by the rime; A 238, skele, skill, Scotch, but the rime requires skill; A 582, synge, sign, Scotch ; A 660 , dowe, dove, Scotch. Our investigation into the dialect has shown plenty of instances of a northern tendency in the cycle as a whole. Here it will be sufficient to add, for the dialect, the distinctively northern tan, taken (past participle of OE tacan from ON taka), LC $15^{24}$, tan: than, LC $227^{21}$, tan: man, can, sertan, and for the writing, as already mentioned in the former section, LC $404^{32}$, ssalte, shalt.

In respect to the N -town cycle as a whole the outcome of Dr. Kramer's inquiry was to the effect that, whereas there could be no doubt of the East Midlands as the general home of the work, the deeper lying peculiarities pointed towards a southern, the more superficial towards a northern connexion. From this he inferred that the cycle must have had its origin on the borders between the eastern and southern districts, possibly in Wiltshire, but that it had been worked over on the northern border of the East Midlands. Whether these conclusions are in themselves sound I am not sufficient of a philologist to pronounce: I can only suggest that the writer has perhaps not taken sufficiently into consideration the possibility of a fundamentally complex origin for the cycle. What does appear to me abundantly clear is that, whatever conclusions the phonetic evidence may justify as to the cycle as a whole, will be equally valid for the Assumption play in particular.

The above inquiry of course necessitated making a rime-index to the Assumption play. This I print here in case any reader should wish to carry the investigation further. In the headings final -E is not syllabic unless accented (-E). The line number is added to the first word of each rime-group. The words are given in the exact form in which they occur in the text. Bad rimes (other than repeated) and rime-words mis-written by the scribe are marked by asterisks. Words in brackets are either erased or cut away in the original.

Rime-Index.

| ACION |  | atl | 302 | Awe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| saluacyoñ | 113 | schatt |  | *lave | 44 |
| gratulacyoñ |  | halle |  | knawe |  |
| desideracyoñ |  | falle |  | awe |  |
| excitacyoñ |  | AME |  | blawe |  |
| domynacyoñ |  | name | 30 |  |  |
| formacyoñ |  | same |  | lawe | 606 |
|  |  | *attayne |  | drawe |  |
| congregacyoñ | 289 | defame |  | AY |  |
| relacyoñ |  | and |  | pray | 454 |
| *cõgregacyñ |  | desyrand | 150 | fay |  |
| *psecucyoñ |  | requyrand |  | nay |  |
|  |  | telland |  | pray |  |
| saluacyoñ | 555 | expirand |  |  |  |
| savacyoñ |  | seyand |  | say | 589 |
| nacyoñ |  | presentand |  | pray |  |
| facyoñ |  | ARE |  | may |  |
|  |  | lare | 310 | ayand |  |
| bad sad | 269 | are |  | seyand | 25 |
| ain see Eyn |  | declare |  | pleyand |  |
| AKE |  | care |  | AYD |  |
| betake | 301 | car'mare |  | sayde | 102 |
| make |  |  |  | payed |  |
| sake |  | mary | 4 | arayed |  |
|  |  | dyswary | 4 | $\begin{gathered} \text { AY Now (?) } \\ * \text { sav yow } \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  | dar I |  | aray now | 491 |
| sake | 574 | tary |  | nay [now?] |  |
| make |  | ate |  | aray [now? |  |
| lake |  | plate | 501 | [ |  |
| ALl |  | late |  | se | 31 |
| ath | 59 | gate |  | degre |  |
| thrati |  | AUNCE |  | be |  |
| fatt |  | attendaũce | 443 | fle |  |
| catl |  | varyaũce |  | ye |  |
| wythatl |  | affyaũce |  | kne |  |
| schatt |  | obeschaũce |  |  |  |
|  |  | ave |  | be | 177 |
| perpetuatt | 240 | gaue | 575 | ye |  |
| specyatl |  | cave |  | Iure |  |
| eternatt |  | saue |  | he |  |
| catl |  | haue |  |  |  |
| terestyatI |  | belave |  | be | $3^{23}$ |
|  |  | gaue |  | ye |  |



| schent is dentis |  | ageyne |  | crye |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ERe (see EChe |  | ageyn | 659 | glory | 679 |
| here | 3 | reyn |  | mercy |  |
| lere |  | greyn |  |  |  |
| clere |  | peyn |  | melody |  |
| yere |  |  |  | IDE |  |
|  |  | wysely | 11 | tide | 94 |
| bere | 463 | trewely |  | bid[ $[$ ] |  |
| fere |  |  |  | hide |  |
| here |  | marye | 12 | wyde |  |
|  |  | Ierarchye |  | devyde |  |
| yer | 486 | specyfye |  | tyde |  |
| er |  |  |  | IETH |  |
| fer |  | affye | 121 | pshalmod | 206 |
|  |  | alye |  | magnefy |  |
| bere | 542 | bodye |  | $f_{3}$ tefyeth |  |
| here |  |  |  | replyeth |  |
| there |  | I | 225 | illige |  |
| fere |  | redy |  | *stille I | 39 |
| lere |  | by |  | *spilly I |  |
| bere |  |  |  | kylle I |  |
|  |  | Marie | 336 | illis |  |
| dere clere | 640 | companye treulye |  | wyll is <br> hyllys | 232 |
| here |  | glorye |  | tytt [is] |  |
| empere |  |  |  | *skele [is] |  |
| estial |  | redy | 386 | ing |  |
| celestyatt | 631 | cry |  | kyng | 58 |
| terestyall |  | ny |  | rysyng |  |
| EST Now |  | m'cy | 1 | endyng |  |
| prest now | 653 | redy |  | gynnyng |  |
| fest now |  |  |  |  |  |
| best now |  | body | 455 | metyng | 349 |
| ete |  | treuly |  | curyng |  |
| pphete | 181 | celestly |  | comyng |  |
| mete |  | dewly |  | werkyng |  |
| eyand see aya |  |  |  | Is |  |
| EyN |  | melodye | 477 | blis | 155 |
| gayn | 75 | signefye |  |  |  |
| seyn |  | crye |  | I wys |  |
| steyn |  | spye |  | this |  |
| atteyn |  |  |  |  |  |
| reyn |  |  | 536 | blysse | 205 |
| peyn |  | body manly |  | wysse |  |
|  |  | manly | , | gysse |  |
| peyne tweyne | 541 | hye | 625 | this |  |
| seyne |  | lye |  | mys | 346 |


| I wys |  | myhtis |  | fon |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| blis |  | dith is |  | grone |  |
| I wys |  |  |  | won |  |
| this |  | rythis | 197 | oñ |  |
|  |  | myhtys |  |  |  |
| this | 476 | sithis |  | sone | 250 |
| I wys |  |  |  | done |  |
| is |  | nythis | 213 | none |  |
| mys |  | mythis |  | one |  |
| ISE |  | lythis |  | gone |  |
| avyse | 93 | dith [is] |  |  |  |
| ryse |  | ryth [is] |  | eu'ychoñ | 333 |
| fise |  |  |  | Iohn |  |
| devise |  | lithtis | 372 | gon |  |
| ISTIS |  | dith is |  | non |  |
| liste is | 277 | myth is |  | tron |  |
| fistis |  | rithis |  |  |  |
| tryst is |  | sythtis |  | alon | $43^{\circ}$ |
| *wyst this |  | lithtis |  | tron |  |
| ITE |  |  |  | onis |  |
| deyte | 112 | sith is | 444 | onys | 518 |
| humanite |  | mythtis |  | bonys |  |
| benygnyte |  | brith is |  | stonys |  |
| vnyte |  | flithtis |  | ORE |  |
|  | 127 | rythtis | 641 | bore | 164 |
| eternyte | 127 | myth is |  | eu'more |  |
| pplexite |  | hythtis |  | lore |  |
| diu'cyte |  | lyth is |  | before |  |
| $v i g i n i t e$ |  | ryth is |  | before |  |
| cõsorcyte |  | mythtis |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | more | 266 |
| Infyrmyte tinyte | 595 | lo eu'mo | 297 | thore |  |
| vnyte |  |  |  | bore |  |
| *pete |  | slo | 517 | o (U) N |  |
| 13 T |  | go |  | presoñ | 615 |
| syth | 169 |  |  | demon |  |
| rith |  | do | 608 | adoñ |  |
| myth |  | go |  | moñ |  |
| lyth |  | harro |  | towñ |  |
|  |  | NE |  | soñ |  |
| myth | 231 | syoñ | 16 |  |  |
| ryth |  | on |  | groũd | 466 |
| syth |  | Iordoñ |  | sound |  |
| brith |  | alon |  | OURIS |  |
| ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~T}$ TIS |  | - |  | prechours | 507 |
| bryth [is] | 141 | anon | 45 | louris |  |
| lyth [is] |  | alon |  | schouris |  |
| 1339 |  | 25 |  |  | D |


| touris |  | URE |  | nature | 377 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OUT |  | reddure | 53 | dure |  |
| owte | 482 | scripture |  | pure |  |
| dowte |  | purpure |  | sure |  |
| OUz ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| nouth | 526 | pure | 140 | pure | 419 |
| yowth |  | mure |  | cure |  |
| brouth |  | seu' |  | sepulture |  |
| wrouth |  | nortur |  | severe |  |
| routh |  | - |  | - |  |
| nouth |  | socoure | 216 | honure | 557 |
| ow (see AY Now) |  | doloure |  | seuere |  |
| now | 288 | honure |  | pure |  |
| yow |  | toure |  | cure |  |
| pray now |  | [honure ?] |  | indure |  |
| say yow |  | savyoure |  | mure |  |

## 3. Metre.

The stanzaic arrangement of the Assumption play presents at first sight a curious confusion. Indeed, so long as I studied the piece in Halliwell's edition only, I was quite unable to discover, in most of it, any regular arrangement at all. A glance at the manuscript, however, showed that not only had the rubricator understood it, but that he had been at considerable pains to make it clear to the reader. For it became evident that, whereas in other portions of the cycle he had distinguished the beginning of each stanza by a similar mark, he had here used two different marks, a larger and a smaller paragraph, and a little consideration showed that, if the lines following the smaller paragraphs were in each case omitted, there resulted a perfectly normal, though not perfectly regular, sequence of stanzas. The stanzas had namely been bound together, or separated, as we please to regard it, by a series of intercalary lines and couplets which broke the regular stanzaic sequence, and if not somehow distinguished from the stanzas themselves, had the effect of reducing the whole scheme to apparent chaos. For it is not as though these lines were obvious intruders bearing on the face of them evidence of their independence of the stanzaic structure of the play. They are, in the majority of cases, intimately connected with the rime-scheme of one of the neighbouring stanzas. To the manner of their connexion I shall return later on.

When these intercalary lines have been eliminated the play is seen to consist for the most part of a mixture of stanzas of thirteen and of eight lines respectively. As far as line 124 and from line 476 to the end the former prevail ; in the intervening portion; with few exceptions, the latter. Each has its peculiarities.

The thirteen-line stanza is in essence one which is found in various other parts of the N -town cycle and is probably that in which its oldest and most original portions were composed. The same stanza is also found in a considerable body of work of northern origin, to which the term 'alliterative' is usually applied. But in the present case it shows a curious variation from type. The usual rime-scheme is $a b a b a b a b c d d d c$. As if this were not already a sufficiently severe test of the writer's ingenuity, he has here adopted the form $\mathrm{ababababbcccb} .\mathrm{It} \mathrm{is}, \mathrm{indeed}$, open to question whether we are right in regarding the ninth and thirteenth lines as deliberately intended to continue the b-rimes, or whether it would not be better to regard them rather as possessing fresh rimes more or less accidentally attracted to the b-form in obedience to the love of continuous jingle so characteristic of medieval times. This is not, as might be thought, a distinction without a difference. If the b-rime persists throughout the stanza, then we have a right to expect good rimes everywhere. If, on the other hand, the ninth and thirteenth lines really possess distinct rimes, then they may without offence repeat words already used in the b-rimes. It should be observed that the author does not always use the variant form. In four instances he uses the normal stanza, though it is true that in one of these, the first stanza of the play, the intention is not quite as clear as it might be. Further, in one instance the ninth and thirteenth lines have been assimilated, not to the b-rimes, but to the a-rimes, giving the rime-scheme $a b a b a b a b a c c c a$. All this points rather to attraction than to intentional modification of type.
Before considering the question of the repetition of rimes in this connexion I must make a short digression on the subject of repeated rimes in general. It must of course be borne in mind that words the same in form but different in sense are to be regarded as perfect rimes. This makes the rime-scheme
dependent upon the interpretation of the text, which is unfortunate, for it renders our criticism a little uncertain. All cases, however, of repeated rime will be found discussed in the notes, as well as recorded in the metrical synopsis at the end of the present section, and few remain in any way doubtful as regards sense. It will be sufficient if I summarize here. There are 506 lines of verse in the play. Of these fifty-five end with the same word as one of the other lines with which they are supposed to rime : there are twenty-six pairs and a triplet. For the latter there is no excuse. Of the pairs five are perfectly good rimes. Twelve are wholly without justification. There remain nine which must be classed as more or less doubtful. They are probably all bad rimes, but in each case something may be pleaded in extenuation.

I return to the consideration of the thirteen-line stanza. There are in all twenty-two such stanzas in the play; seventeen in which the b-rime is carried through. In these there are eight instances of the ninth or thirteenth line containing a repeated rime. Twice it is the ninth line which repeats from the second, the first time making a thoroughly bad rime, the second time a perfectly good one. Once the thirteenth line makes a perfectly good rime by repeating the fourth. In the remaining five cases it is the thirteenth line which repeats the second, that is, the last b-rime of the stanza repeats the first. This is a very remarkable fact, which I cannot regard as accidental, even though it be true that one of these rimes is good whereas all the others are bad. The author must, I imagine, have regarded such a doubling back of the rime-sequence to form a closed series as an embellishment, and have adopted it intentionally. This would imply that he did regard the sequence as an integral whole. And since apart from these apparently intentional instances of repetition the ninth and thirteenth lines only show a single instance of a bad repeated rime, I assume that such is indeed the fact. The three different types of the stanza might be represented graphically thus:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { normal type: } \left.\begin{array}{l}
\text { va babababocd type: } a b a b a b a b b c c c b \\
\text { attracted type: } \\
\underbrace{a b a b a b a b}_{\text {body }} \underbrace{c^{b} d d d c^{b}}_{\text {tail }}
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Passing to the eight-line stanza we find a somewhat similar
departure from normal usage. Stanzas of eight lines are among the commonest in fifteenth-century verse, but as a rule the rimescheme is $a b a b b c b c$, whereas we here find the more rigorous form $a b a b a b a b$. The latter is, of course, also well recognized in Middle English, though it is far from common. What it is important to observe here is that the octave is identical with the first part of the thirteen-line stanza already discussed, and the question forces itself upon us whether after all these octaves are anything more than disiecta membra of original stanzas of the longer type. Into this question, however, I do not now propose to enter as I see no means of arriving for the moment at any positive answer. It should be mentioned that there are nineteen stanzas with the scheme $a b a b a b a b$, and one with $a b a b b a b a$, besides six plain quatrains with alternate rime.

I have observed above that the intercalary lines are usually associated in rime with one of the neighbouring stanzas. In only two cases is an independent couplet introduced. In three cases a couplet continues the last rime of the previous stanza, and it may be noteworthy that in only one of these three cases are the lines distinguished by the customary paragraph. There remain in all twenty-six lines, eight couplets and ten single lines, which anticipate the first rime of the following stanza. Now it may be worth while to inquire how far these lines are to be regarded as actually absorbed into the metrical schemes of the stanzas in question, and how far as independent units merely attracted into the same rime-jingle. The distinction is the same as that observed above in connexion with the ninth and last lines of the thirteenline stanza, the position namely of repeated rimes. Of the thirty-two lines which are associated with the rimes of a neighbouring stanza four repeat a rime in that stanza. That is to say, one intercalary line in eight has a repeated rime, and with one doubtful exception all these repeated rimes are bad. As we have already seen, of the 506 lines of the play, fifty-five end with a repeated rime. Excluding intercalary lines altogether there are in 470 lines of text forty-eight which end with the same word as one of the other lines with which they are intended to rime. This is slightly over one in ten. But then of these forty-eight instances five pairs are really perfectly good rimes and nine pairs must be classed as doubtful. If we deduct the pairs forming good rimes
we are left with one bad or doubtful repetition in about twelve and a half lines, whereas we found in the intercalary lines one in eight. The proportion in the latter is therefore distinctly higher, but I cannot persuade myself that it is high enough to make us suppose that in these lines the author regarded himself as at liberty to repeat what rimes he pleased.

What then is the meaning, and what is the origin, of these intercalary lines? Three possibilities, I think, suggest themselves. It is conceivable, in the first place, that some reviser, either the original author going over his work, or some other, may have inserted the lines to remedy what seemed too abrupt transitions in the original text. In favour of this is the fact that they can as a rule be excised without serious injury to the text. But if the lines are insertions it is at least remarkable that they should appear nowhere but between stanzas, while in some instances they appear almost too closely interwoven with the structure of the speeches to make the theory of interpolation possible. Or again we might suppose that the whole piece was originally composed in thirteen-line stanzas, and that the intercalary lines are the remains of, or substitutions for, the tails that were excised in the process of reducing a large part of the text to octaves. This explanation is suggested by the remarkable fact that these intercalary lines are almost confined to the octave portion of the text, and it is in itself certainly attractive. There are, however, it seems to me, two objections to it, which, together at any rate, must be fatal. One is that there is no sufficient reason for the excision of the tails, since, if lines had to be substituted, the saving in length would be insignificant compared with the expenditure of labour involved. The other is that a reviser making such a change in stanzas of the modified type ( $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{b} a \mathrm{bababbcccb}$ ), such as we should suppose these to have been, if ever they were thirteen-line stanzas at all, would almost certainly have retained for his intercalated lines the two existent b-rimes of the tail, rather than invent for them fresh a-rimes of the following rime-scheme.

We are therefore driven, so it appears, to the conclusion that the intercalary lines formed part of the play as originally written. This, if correct, supplies an answer to the question previously raised as to whether the play may not have been originally com-
posed throughout in thirteen-line stanzas, a view which is now seen to be untenable, or at least highly improbable. In the central portion, where the shorter stanzas are used, the writer appears to have constantly found that he had exhausted his eight lines before having expressed what he wished to say, and to have disliked beginning a new stanza at the fag end of a speech. He seems to have hit on the device, which would commend itself to one with the love of continuous jingle he had shown in his treatment of the thirteen-line stanza, of finishing off the speech or discussion in one or two lines on a fresh rime and then of using this new rime as the starting-point for his scheme in the next stanza. It is noticeable that in general the intercalary lines belong in sense to the preceding, though in rime to the following, stanza. I do not think that the use of extra-stanzaic lines was due to mere incompetence on the writer's part, for the device laid on him fresh burdens of rime which he sustained with some success, but in some measure, at least, to a desire for greater richness of sound. At the same time it was unfortunate that he should have done anything to add to the difficulties of a form of composition which already taxed to the utmost his not very remarkable powers of intelligible expression.

As might be expected from the choice of such an intricate rime-scheme by an inferior writer, the rimes themselves are often of very doubtful purity. Without troubling to enter into phonetic subtleties we may at once point to various licences that would have been avoided by a more careful poet. Accent is, of course, commonly neglected. 'Mary' rimes both as a trochee and as an iamb within a single stanza, the first. 'Peter' is made to rime with 'teche her' and 'speche her', 'wyst this' with 'fistis', and many other doubtful rimes might be quoted. Words ending in the same syllable are freely used as rimes, and have to be accepted as current: 'spoused' 'godhed ' 'falshed' 'manhed '; 'rysyng' 'endyng' 'gynnyng'; 'humanite' 'benygnyte' 'vnyte', and so forth. Of repeated rimes I have already spoken. The justification of individual instances, or the reverse, will be found discussed in the notes.

But in connexion with repeated rimes there is one very remarkable feature to which attention should be directed. Many of them are entirely indefensible, and all but a very few are
open to grave objection. This the author seems to have recognized, and unless I am mistaken he endeavoured to remedy matters in a somewhat peculiar fashion. The a-rimes of the stanza beginning at line 362 are as follow : pete, deite, sent me, tent me. Now me is, of course, a perfectly good rime, but me, $m e$ is a helpless repetition. As it appears the stanza has not four a-rimes at all: the formula should be written $a b a b c b c b$. But is this what the author intended? Turn to the stanza beginning at line 288. Here we have the a-rimes: now, yow, pray now, say yow. In this case we are debarred from the expedient of importing a c-rime, because, unlike sent me, tent me, the words pray now, say yow are incapable of forming a new dissyllabic rime. The writer finds himself forced to use over again the rimewords now and yow which have already done duty in the first half of the stanza; to mitigate the licence he resorts to the device of making the penultimate words of the lines rime as well. In the absence of any clear idea of the relation of rime and accent he is able to regard pray now and say yow, not in their relation as wholes (which will afford no rime), but as riming pray: say and now : yow. And this throws an entirely new light upon the case we considered above. To the writer that was not a rime of sent me : tent me as wholes, but of sent : tent and of either me with pete, deite. And I suspect that we find another, though more obscure, case of the same thing later on. In the stanza beginning at line 491 the a-rimes are : say yow, aray now, nay [ ], aray [ ]. The two erasures are presumably of the word now. But to this series there is a double objection. For one thing say yow does not rime with any of the other lines: for another aray: aray cannot be regarded as a good rime even though we have in one case the substantive, in the other the verb. Now I dare to conjecture that in line 498 what the original author wrote was not faste yow aray now but faste now aray yow. According to his ideas the rimes would then resolve themselves into the series say : aray : nay: aray, supported, as was desirable in view of the repetition of aray, by the subordinate series yow: now : now : yow. Perhaps even it was this same theory of rime that gave us the strange sequence Peter: teche her: speche her mentioned above. These considerations have led me to write the formula for the stanza beginning at line 362 , not ababcbcb , but ababābā .

I fancy that these duplicate rimes are as original a device of our author as are the extra-stanzaic couplets.
Although from his ingenious use of paragraphs it may be inferred that the rubricator thoroughly understood the stanzaic structure of the play, it is questionable whether the same can be said of the scribe. For after writing the text he appears to have made a number of alterations and erasures with a view to removing certain very awkward dissyllabic rimes. Sometimes he merely deleted an unemphatic 'now', for instance, at the end of the lines, leaving them to rime monosyllabically on the preceding words; sometimes he removed a final 'is' and inserted it earlier in the line. In one or two cases he was able to carry out this process throughout the stanza, leaving it perfectly regular, but generally he was only able to do so in one half, and he does not appear to have observed that in these cases his alterations rendered the metrical structure anomalous. These alterations were evidently made after the rime-lines had been drawn, but in some instances these have been subsequently continued over the erasures. The rime-lines ought to tell us a good deal as to the scribe's comprehension of the stanzaic structure. Unfortunately they are inserted very mechanically, and illustrate it by no means adequately. Even in other portions of the cycle, where the scribe, the rubricator of our play, undoubtedly understood the metre perfectly, the rime-lines show no clear evidence of the fact. The point may be clearly seen in the accompanying facsimiles.
I append a rime-scheme for the whole play. The numbers on the left are those of the first lines of the various stanzas. The large paragraphs of the manuscript are represented by $\mathbb{I}$, the small by *. Intercalary lines, whether designated by a paragraph or inferred by analogy, are shown in italic type. The letters $x x$ indicate a riming couplet not associated with the scheme of any stanza. The numerals in square brackets are the line numbers of Latin passages which stand outside the stanzaic arrangement. A letter enclosed in square brackets indicates a conjectural restoration of a defect in the manuscript. Letters in parentheses indicate lines which as originally written certainly or presumably rimed correctly, but which have ceased to do so owing to subsequent alterations by the scribe as explained
above. A point under a letter indicates a bad rime. Diacritical marks over or through letters indicate repeated rimes whether permissible or not. Their quality is indicated by the words in the right margin. Of these good and $b a d$ speak for themselves : doubt [ ful]. indicates that the two words though identical are yet distinguished by some difference of meaning or use, or that some doubt exists as to the interpretation of the passage, while dupl[icated]. means that the repeated rime is supported by subsidiary riming words after the manner already explained. A few notes are added at the end.

## Rime-Scheme.

$177{ }^{*} a a$ T abab

205 Ilabababab
213
23 I $\quad$ I $a b a b a(b) a(b)$
$240 \quad * a \operatorname{labababab}$
$250 \quad * a \operatorname{l} \mathrm{~T}^{\circ} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{abababbccc}$
$266 \quad * a$. 1 abab
$272^{*} a a$ ๆा ã babã bab


310 * $a$ a ${ }^{\text {T }} \mathrm{abababab}$
$3^{2} 3$ * $\tilde{\text { T T a b a babã }}$
333
346
$35^{*}$ * $a$ a $\frac{1}{} \mathrm{ab} \mathrm{b}$ b ã bã b
$37^{2}$ * $\tilde{a} a$ ๆा ababab a b
good
dupl., bad, dupl. doubt., doubt.
bad
bad
dupl.
bad

386
419
427
$443 \quad$ It $a b a b a b a b$
454 ๆाã bababã 463 * $a$ 9 $\mathrm{a} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{b} \mathrm{[b} 47$ I-4]
$476 \quad$ I $a b a b a b a b c d d d c$
$491 \quad$ If $a$ bã $b(a) b(a ̃) b$ b ccc $c$ ITababababcdddc ๆ $a b a b a b a b b c c c b$ T $a$ babababbcccb ๆ $\mathfrak{a} \mathrm{b}$ ã bababbcccb
 ๆlababababcdddc
614
631
640
659
678

|  | bad |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tlabababab |  |
| $a$ ã a a b [ $\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ 434-9] | bad |
| ¢ $a b a b a b a b$ |  |
|  | $b a d$ |
| * $a$ 行 $\mathrm{abab}\left[\mathrm{b}^{\prime} 47 \mathrm{I}-4\right.$ ] |  |
| T $a b a b a b a b c d d d c$ |  |
|  | bad, dupl., bad |
| ¢ $a b a b a b a b c d d d c$ |  |
| T $a b a b a b a b b c c c b$ | bad |
| 毋 $\mathrm{T}_{\text {b }} \mathrm{ababababcccb}$ | bad |
|  | doubt. |
|  | bad |
| 91ababababcdddc |  |
| ף $\mathrm{a}_{\text {a }} \mathrm{babababbcccb}$ |  |
| ๆ $\mathrm{abab} \mathrm{b}^{*} \mathrm{~b}$ b |  |
| ¢ $a b a b a b a b s c c c b$ | good, good |
|  | bad |
| $a \mathrm{bab} b 6$ |  |

3. In the first stanza it is possible that $c$ may be intended to continue $b$, but it does not in fact do so, being if anything a monosyllabic and not a dissyllabic rime. It has indeed really been attracted to $d$.
4. The intercalary couplet does not belong to the rime-scheme of either stanza. It is best taken with the preceding, as a break in the action follows.
5. The order of the lines is corrected.
6. This is a quite anomalous stanza, $a$ being carried through the tail in place of $b$.
7. One rime is missing owing to the cropping of the manuscript. It was not improbably a repeated rime.

250 . The order of the lines is corrected.
288. A curiously irregular stanza.
297. Another instance of a wholly independent couplet. It is possible that the repeated rimes in this stanza may be good.
454. This stanza is anomalous in that it reverses the rimes in the second half.
491. Another very irregular stanza. The duplication in the second repeated rime is conjectural, it may be merely doubtful or bad. If it is duplicated, then the first line of the stanza is involved in the duplication, and is not merely incorrect.
555. There may possibly be repetition and duplication in $c$.
631. This is the only instance in which intercalary lines, so marked in the manuscript, rime with the preceding stanza.

## 4. Authorship.

It is time to review the evidence which we have collected. We may be said to have failed completely in our search for any dialectal differences between the language of the Assumption play and that of the N-town cycle as a whole. It is true that the evidence upon which we had to rely is to some extent unsatisfactory in quantity and quality alike, and did we propose to base on it any positive argument that the play in question was written either by the same author as its companions, or by a different author from them, it would be sadly deficient for our purpose. But it will suffice for the moment if we draw the purely negative inference that there is nothing in the dialect of our play to necessitate our supposing a difference of authorship, or even to suggest that any such difference exists.

There are, however, two other considerations which may, and in point of fact pretty clearly do, point in that direction, namely metre and style. Of the latter I do not propose to speak in this place, since it must always remain largely a subjective matter, upon which each reader had best be left to form his own opinion. I will only record here my personal view that several different styles may be traced in the cycle as a whole, and that while it would hardly be possible to differentiate that of our play from every one of these, it is quite certainly not that of any prominent section of the cycle elsewhere.

The question of metre, or rather of stanzaic structure, we have already examined at length. The stanzas employed are, with certain modifications, those in which considerable portions of the rest of the cycle are written. What chiefly characterizes this play, and differentiates it from all its companions, is the fact that the stanzas are connected by an elaborate system of interstanzaic lines. I may be merely exposing my ignorance, but I do not remember to have met with anything at all similar elsewhere in Middle English literature. It is a highly original device, and I am not sure that, if used with greater skill and discretion, it might not prove highly effective. Moreover, the stanzas themselves, though ostensibly of rather common types, have minor peculiarities that give them a character of their own.

In the thirteen-line stanza the b-rime is usually carried through the tail in a manner practically, if not entirely, unknown elsewhere. The octave has throughout two rime-sounds only in place of the usual three. Another metrical peculiarity of the Assumption play is the prevalence of dissyllabic rimes. Of course there are plenty of these elsewhere in the cycle, but nowhere do they abound as they do here. In particular, what is fairly common in this particular play, and, I believe, very rare in all the rest, is the use of two words to make up the double rime. It will have been noticed that in collecting above the evidence for the syllabic value of the plural ending -is, eight quotations were given from our play, all double rimes, while from the whole of the rest of the cycle only two could be gathered and of these one was monosyllabic. Lastly we must remember the very peculiar device by which the writer has endeavoured to support bad repeated rimes by a subsidiary rime-sequence. This, taken in conjunction with the intercalary lines and the modification of the thirteen-line stanza, I think shows the writer to have been, if not himself a skilful versifier, at any rate profoundly interested in metrical experiment. If any other verse exists showing the same peculiarities it ought to be identified and closely studied for its prosodic interest. Personally, howover, I know of none.

There seems, therefore, ample evidence of a metrical character for saying that the Assumption play does stand apart from the rest of the cycle, not in handwriting only, but in composition likewise. That it is by a different author from any other part of the cycle, I am not prepared to assert; but it does seem to me that if the same writer's work appears anywhere else it can only be in incidental passages. There is no such marked individuality of style as to render this unlikely, while the writer's metrical experiments would naturally be confined to the one play which was entirely, or at any rate substantially, his own composition.

Whether or not the play is entirely the original composition of the writer whose characteristics it manifestly bears, is a difficult point to decide. I do not think that the differences of stanza observable are due to composite origin. The close and continuous dependence of the text upon its source renders any theory of amalgamation highly improbable. Some of the
thirteen-line stanzas, notably those beginning at lines 476,525 , 541 , consist of lines far shorter and less rambling, or shambling, than the average, and in this resemble some of the plays near the beginning of the cycle for instance. But it will be noticed that two out of these three stanzas are of the peculiar rimestructure only found in the present play, and we are, therefore, driven to the conclusion that the difference of rhythm they exhibit was deliberate on the author's part. The occurrence of the rare word celestly in our play (1. 459) suggests some connexion with Mary's praise of the psalter in the Betrothal play, which is the only other recorded passage in which the word is found. The suggestion that that passage was likewise written by our author must at once be rejected on the ground of style. Nor do I think it likely that we have in the Assumption a revision of earlier work by the author of the Betrothal, for there is good reason to believe that the passage concerning the psalter is itself late revisional work. Most likely the author of the Assumption had seen the Betrothal play in its present form and borrowed from it the word in question. A similar borrowing, probably, is the word lake in the sense of grave, which occurs in line 585 of our play and also in the account of the Resurrection (p. 350).

We have not come to any definite conclusion as to the significance of the change of handwriting which marks the Assumption play. It is to be observed that the play is not autograph, for it contains errors, such as the alterations of the rimes, of which the author himself could hardly have been guilty. Apparently the copy from which our text was transcribed made use of a $y$-shaped $b$. If the difference in handwriting means that the copy was commissioned at a distance (though from an original produced in the same district) with a view to its incorporation in the cycle, it is unlikely that any work of the same author appears elsewhere in the cycle. If, on the other hand, the difference of scribe is accidental (and the apparent acquaintance of the author with other parts of the cycle in a late form would lead us to suppose so), the inference does not follow.

The general result, then, at which we have arrived is that the Assumption play is probably an original work of a single author, who, if responsible for anything else in the cycle, is responsible for revisional work only, though the dialect in which he wrote is
not distinguishable from that of the rest of the collection, and further that his play was copied by a scribe who, though different from the one who wrote the bulk of the volume, belonged to the same district and had some of the same peculiarities.
5. Source.

I print below the story of the Assumption contained in Jacobus de Voragine's Legenda Aurea in so far as it was used by the author of the play in the N -town cycle. The natural course would have been to reprint the fragment as given in the edition by T. Graesse published in 1846 (ch. cxix, p. 504), but on examination this proved to offer so unsatisfactory a text that I have preferred to resort to a copy of the Strassburg edition of 1482 in my possession. This I have reproduced, only normalizing the spelling, adding such punctuation as seemed necessary, and correcting a few slips of the compositor (viz. printing p. 4I, 1.8 in matrem for matrem, p. 43, 1. 8 lectulo for lemulo and manibus for recanibus, 1.25 pones for ponens). I have not made any minute inquiry into Graesse's edition, and cannot say what use he has made of the manuscripts and early prints of the work. So far as the legend of the Assumption is concerned, his text does not differ in any fundamental way from that of 1482, but it is characterized by very queer spelling, awkward punctuation, and a heavy sprinkling of bad misprints. Thus, compared with the present reprint he has: p. 40, 1.12 Probabiliter for Probabilius, p. 4I, 1. 36 die for de, p. 42, 1. 24 quae for quia, 1. 27 portasti for potasti, 1. 31 corporis for corpus, 1.33 suum for sum. He also has, p. 4I, 1. 37 Tunc cantor omnibus intonavit dicens excellentius, which is certainly wrong; and further p. 41, 1.28 thronum for torum, 1. 3I te in for in te, and p. 43, 1. 25 ponas for pones (where 1482 has ponens), which are probably so. Otherwise the most important variant is perhaps the repetition of the words $d e$ Libano before coronaberis, p. 41, 1. 39. In the Vulgate the passage reads, Cant. Sal. iv. 8: 'Veni de Libano, sponsa mea, veni de Libano, veni : coronaberis de capite Amana,' \&c. The version of Graesse is supported by Caxton's translation, that of 1482 by our play. We also find, p. 43, 1. 33 corpus Iesu for corpusculum, which I fancy is wrong (cf. 1. 39), and 1. 34 archangelus for angelus, which may be right.

## Legenda Aurea, Cap. Cxiili

## De Assumptione Beatae Mariae Virginis.

Assumptio beatae Mariae uirginis qualiter facta sit ex quodam libello apocrypho, qui Iohanni euangelistae ascribitur, edocetur. Apostolis namque ob praedicationis gratiam diuersas mundi subeuntibus regiones uirgo beata in domo iuxta montem Sion posita dicitur remansisse. Omniaque loca filii sui, scilicet locum baptismi, ieiunii, orationis, passionis, sepulturae, resurrectionis et ascensionis, quoad uixit deuotione sedula uisitauit. Et secundum quod ait Epiphanius uiginti quatuor annis post ascensionem filii sui superuixit. Refert ergo quod beata uirgo quando Christum concepit erat annorum quatuordecim et in quinto decimo ipsum peperit et uixit cum eo annis triginta tribus, et post mortem Christi superuixit 10 uiginti quatuor annis. Et secundum hoc quando obiit erat annorum septuaginta duorum. Probabilius tamen uidetur quod alibi legitur, ut duodecim annis filio superuixerit, et sic sexagenaria sit assumpta, cum apostoli totidem annis predicauerint in Iudaea et circa partes illas, sicut ecclesiastica tradit historia. Die igitur quadam dum in filii desiderium cor uirginis uehementer accenditur aestuans animus commouetur et in exteriorem lacrimarum abundantiam excitatur, cumque ad tempus subtracti filii aequanimiter non ferret subtracta solacia, ecce angelus cum multo lumine eidem astitit et reuerenter utpote sui domini matrem salutauit. 'Aue', inquit, 'benedicta Maria suscipiens benedictionem illius qui mandauit ${ }^{20}$ salutem Iacob. Ecce autem ramum palmae de paradiso ad te dominam attuli quem ante feretrum portare iubeas cum die tertia de corpore assumeris, nam filius tuus te matrem reuerendam exspectat.' Cui Maria respondit, 'Si inueni gratiam in oculis tuis obsecro ut nomen tuum mihi reuelare digneris. Sed hoc peto instantius ut filii et fratres mei apostoli ad me pariter congregentur, ut eos antequam moriar corporalibus oculis uideam, et ab eis sepeliri ualeam, et ipsis praesentibus spiritum deo reddam. Hoc iterum peto et obsecro ut anima mea de corpore exiens nullum spiritum teterrimum uideat, nullaque mihi Sathanae potestas occurrat.' Cui angelus, 'Cur scire desideras, domina, nomen meum quod admirabile $3 \circ$ est et magnum? Ecce autem omnes ad te hodie congregabuntur apostoli qui nobiles tibi exhibebunt exsequias funeris, et in eorum conspectu spiritum exhalabis. Nam qui olim prophetam de Iudaea in Babylonem in crine attulit subito, ipse procul dubio ad te apostolos adducere poterit in momento. Malignum autem spiritum uidere cur metuis cum caput eius omnino contriueris et spoliaueris ipsum suae potestatis imperio? Fiat tamen uoluntas tua ut ipsos non uideas.' His dictis angelus cum multo lumine
caelos ascendit. Palma autem illa nimia claritate splendebat, et erat quidem uirgae uiriditate consimilis, sed folia ipsius ut stella matutina fulgebant. Factum est autem, dum Iohannes in Epheso predicaret, caelum repente intonuit et nubes candida ipsum sustulit ac raptum ante Mariae ianuam collocauit. Percutiensque ostium interius introiuit et reuerenter uirgo uirginem salutauit. Quem felix Maria conspiciens uehementer obstupuit et prae gaudio lacrimas continere nequiuit. Dixitque, 'Fili Iohannes, memor esto uerborum magistri tui quibus me tibi in matrem et te mihi in filium commendauit. Ecce a domino euocata debitum humanae conditionis exsoluo, ac corpus meum tibi cura sollicita recommendo. io Audiui enim Iudaeos iniisse consilium, dicentes, "Exspectemus, viri fratres, quoadusque illa quae Iesum portauit subeat mortem et corpus eius continuo rapiemus ac iniectum ignibus comburemus." Tu igitur hanc palmam deferri facies ante feretrum cum corpus meum duxeritis ad sepulcrum.' Dixitque Iohannes, ' O utinam hic essent omnes apostoli fratres mei ut decenter tibi parare possemus exsequias ac exsoluere laudes dignas.' Haec illo dicente omnes apostoli de locis in quibus praedicabant a nubibus rapiuntur et ante Mariae ostium collocantur. Qui uidentes se ibidem insimul congregatos mirabantur, dicentes, 'Quaenam causa est propter quam nos hic dominus insimul congregauit?' Iohannes igitur ad eos 20 exiit et dominam de corpore recessuram praedixit. Et addidit dicens, 'Videte, fratres, ne cum obierit aliquis eam defleat, ne hoc uidens populus conturbetur et dicat, "Ecce quomodo isti timent mortem qui tamen aliis predicant resurrectionem!"'.. Cum autem beata Maria omnes apostolos uidisset congregatos, dominum benedixit et in medio eorum ardentibus lampadibus et lucernis consedit. Circa uero horam noctis tertiam Iesus aduenit cum angelorum ordinibus, patriarcharum coetibus, martyrum agminibus, confessorum acie, uirginumque choris, et ante torum uirginis acies ordinantur et dulcia cantica frequentantur. Quales autem exsequiae ibidem celebratae sint ex praedicto libello qui Iohanni ascribitur edocetur. $3^{\circ}$ Nam prior ipse Iesus incohauit et dixit, ' Veni, electa mea, et ponam in te thronum meum, quia concupiui speciem tuam.' Et illa, 'Paratum cor meum, domine, paratum cor meum.' Tunc omnes qui cum Iesu uenerant dulciter intonauerunt, dicentes, 'Haec est quae nesciuit torum in delictis, habebit fructum in refectione animarum sanctarum.' Ipsa autem de semetipsa cecinit dicens, 'Beatam me dicent omnes generationes quia fecit mihi magna qui potens est et sanctum nomen eius.' Tunc cantor cantorum omnibus intonauit excellentius, 'Veni de Libano, sponsa, veni, coronaberis.' Et illa, 'Ecce uenio quia in capite libri scriptum est de me ut facerem uoluntatem tuam, deus, quia exultauit spiritus meus in te 40 salutari meo.' Sicque Mariae anima de corpore egreditur et in ulnas filii.
aduolauit. Fuitque tam a dolore carnis extranea quam a corruptione exstiterat aliena. Dixitque apostolis dominus, 'Corpus uirginis matris in vallem Iosaphat deferte et in monumento nouo quod ibidem inuenietis illud recondite, et me ibidem triduo donec ad uos redeam exspectate.' Statimque circumdederunt eam flores rosarum scilicet coetus martyrum et lilia conuallium agmina scilicet angelorum confessorum et uirginum. Post eam apostoli clamitant dicentes, 'Virgo prudentissima, quo progrederis? Esto nostri memor, o domina.' Tunc ad concentum ascendentium coetus qui remanserant admirati concite obuiam processerunt. Videntesque regem suum feminae animam in ulnis propriis baiulantem, illamque io super illum innixam, obstupefacti clamare coeperunt, dicentes, 'Quae est ista quae ascendit de deserto deliciis affluens innixa super dilectum suum ?' Quibus concomitantes dixerunt, 'Ista est speciosa inter filias Hierusalem sicut uidistis eam plenam caritate et dilectione.' Sicque in caelum gaudens suscipitur et a dextris filii in throno gloriae collocatur. Apostoli autem uiderunt eius animam tanti esse candoris ut nulla mortalium lingua possit effari. Tres autem uirgines quae ibidem erant cum corpus eius lauandi gratia exspoliassent tanta statim corpus claritate resplenduit, ut tangi quidem ad lauandum posset, uideri autem non posset. Tamdiu autem lux illa ibidem resplenduit donec corpus a uirginibus lotum fuit. 20 Apostoli autem corpus eius reuerenter ceperunt et super feretrum posuerunt. Dixitque Iohannes Petro, 'Hanc palmam ante feretrum, Petre, portabis, quia dominus nobis te praetulit et suarum ouium pastorem et principem ordinauit.' Cui Petrus, 'Hanc potius portare te conuenit, quia uirgo a domino es electus et dignum est ut palmam uirginis uirgo ferat. Tu super pectus domini recumbere meruisti et exinde sapientiae ac gratiae plus ceteris fluenta potasti, et iustum uidetur ut qui a filio recepisti plus muneris impendas uirgini plus honoris. Tu igitur portare debes hanc palmam luminis ad exsequias sanctitatis qui potatus es poculo lucis de fonte perpetuae claritatis. Ego autem portabo cum feretro 30 sanctum corpus. Ceteri autem apostoli fratres nostri circumdantes feretrum referant laudes deo.' Paulus autem dixit ei, 'Et ego qui minimus omnium uestrum sum portabo tecum.' Eleuantes itaque Petrus et Paulus feretrum, Petrus incipit cantare ac dicere, 'Exiit Israel de Aegypto, alleluia.' Ceteri autem apostoli cantum dulciter prosequuntur. Dominus autem feretrum et apostolos nube protexit, ita quod ipsi non uidebantur sed tamen eorum uox audiebatur. Affuerunt et angeli cum ipsis concinentes et totam terram sonitu mirae suauitatis replentes. Excitati omnes ad tam dulcem sonum et melodiam de ciuitate uelocius exeunt, et quidnam hoc sit diligenter sciscitantur. Tunc 40 exstitit qui diceret, 'Mariam illam discipuli Iesu efferunt mortuam, circa
illam hanc quam auditis concinunt melodiam.' Tunc ad arma omnes concurrerunt, et se mutuo hortabantur, dicentes, 'Venite omnes discipulos occidamus ac corpus illud quod seductorem illum portauit ignibus comburamus.' Princeps autem sacerdotum hoc uidens obstupuit et ira repletus ait, 'Ecce tabernaculum illius qui nos et genus nostrum conturbauit, qualem gloriam nunc accipit.' Et hoc dicens manus ad feretrum misit uolens illud euertere ac ad terram deducere. Tunc manus eius subito ambae aruerunt et lectulo adhaeserunt, ita ut ad lectulum manibus penderet et nimio cruciatu uexatus lamentabiliter eiularet. Reliquus autem populus ab angelis qui erant in nubibus caecitate percussus est. Princeps autem 10 sacerdotum clamabat, dicens, 'Sancte Petre, in hac tribulatione me non despicias sed pro me obsecro ad dominum preces fundas. Memor enim debes esse qualiter aliquando tibi astiti et qualiter te accusante ancilla ostiaria excusaui.' Cui Petrus, 'In obsequiis dominae nostrae impediti sumus et curationi tuae intendere non ualemus. Verum tamen si in dominum nostrum Iesum et in hanc quae ipsum genuit et portauit credideris spero quod continuo sanitatis beneficio potieris.' Qui respondit, 'Credo dominum Iesum Christum uerum esse filium dei et hanc sacratissimam matrem eius.' Statimque a feretro manus eius solutae sunt sed tamen in brachiis adhuc ariditas remansit et dolore uehemens 30 non recesserat. Dixit ei Petrus, 'Osculare feretrum et dic, "Credo in deum Iesum Christum quem ista in utero portauit et post partum uirgo permansit."' Quod cum fecisset continuo pristinae est redditus sanitati. Dixitque ei Petrus, 'Accipe hanc palmam de manu fratris nostri Iohannis et pones eam super populum excaecatum, et quicumque credere uoluerit recipiet uisum, qui autem credere noluerit uidere non poterit in aeternum.' Mariam autem portantes apostoli in monumento posuerunt et iuxta illud ut dominus iusserat consederunt. Tertia autem die ueniens Iesus cum multitudine angelorum ipsos salutauit, dicens, 'Pax uobis.' Qui responderunt, 'Gloria tibi, deus, qui facis mirabilia magna solus.' Et dixit apostolis 30 dominus, 'Quid gratiae et honoris uobis uidetur ut meae nunc conferam genetrici?' Et illi, 'Iustum uidetur, domine, seruis tuis ut sicut tu deuicta morte regnas in saecula, sic tuae resuscites matris corpusculum et a dextris tuis colloces in aeternum.' Quo annuente Michael angelus continuo affuit et Mariae animam coram domino praesentauit. Tunc saluator locutus est, dicens, 'Surge, proxima mea, columba mea, 'tabernaculum gloriae, uasculum uitae, templum caeleste, ut sicut per coitum labem non sensisti criminis, sic in sepulcro solutionem corporis minime patiaris.' Statimque anima ad Mariae accessit corpusculum et de tumulo prodiit gloriosum. Sicque ad aetherium assumitur thalamum comitante secum multitudine ange- 40 lorum . . .

## FACSIMILES.

I. Folio 202 recto, beginning of the Emmaus pageant, in the hand of the main scribe of the cycle.
II. Folio 218 verso (lines $333-79$ of the present text), showing the hand of the scribe who wrote the Assumption play.
In each case the facsimile is three-quarters the size of the original.
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# THE ASSUMPTION OF THE VIRGIN 

## NOTE.

The following text aims at reproducing the manuscript as exactly as possible. All contractions are retained; and no readings have been intentionally altered, however strong the presumption of scribal error appeared. Square brackets indicate deletions of all kinds, their precise nature being indicated at the foot of the page. Pointed brackets indicate the edge of the leaf where it is probable or possible that a portion of the text has been cut away. The footnotes are confined to information concerning peculiarities of the text : insertions, deletions, alterations, doubtful readings, and the like. No attempt at emendation is made; all matters of interpretation being reserved for the critical notes at the end.

The manuscript has been rubricated by a hand which is not that of the scribe, but is that of the corrector in $11.261-2$. Certain words and passages have been underlined in red : these are here printed in italics. The rubricator also added the paragraphs, both large and small, which mark the stanzaic arrangement, and the signs ( $b$ ' $=$ versus) which distinguish certain Latin versicles, and placed the number of the play, 41, in large arabic numerals in the right margin opposite ll. 11-14. He further crossed out a number of words and letters which the scribe had merely expunged.

The original is contained in a quire of ten leaves inserted in a volume of which it was originally independent. The first leaf is blank. The numbers of the remaining leaves, $2-10$, are here placed in the margin opposite the top line of each recto and verso. The leaves of the inserted quire correspond to fols. 213-22 of the complete manuscript.

R$y \hbar t$ worchepful Souereynes. liketh yow to here
Jof the assũpcioñ of the gloryous moder mary that seynt Ihoñ the eungelist • wrot and tauht as I lere In a book clepid Apocriphũ $\cdot$ wythoutyn dyswary At fourten yer sche conseyved cryste in hire matere clere and in the fiftene yer sche childyd this avowe dar I here lyvyng wyth that swete sone-thre and thretty yere And after his deth in erthe - xij yer dede sche tary Now acounte me thise yeris wysely and I sey the age was of this maide marye when sche assüpte above the Ierarchye thre score yer - as scripture dothe specyfye legenda sc̃oұ autorysyth this trewely She was inhabith in Iure by the moũte of syoñ after the assencioñ of hir sone - conseyved in spoused alle the holy placys in erthe that criste duellyd on devouthly sche went hem - honouryng the godhed
Ferste to the place there criste cistenyd was clepid Flũ Iordoñ there he fastyd and takyn was-by malicious falshed
there he beryed was and roos - victoryously alon there he assendid alle hevenys $\cdot$ god in his manhed
Thus was sche ocupyed I rede and meche sche was in the temple preyand now blissid mot sche be $\cdot$ we owe to be seyand how sche was assũpte • here men schul be pleyand preyng you of audience $\cdot$ now ses and tak hede

Pes now youre blaberyng in the develis name
fol. $2^{\text {b }}$
what lousy begchis mow ye not se owre worthy prynsis lo are gaderid in same $3^{32}$ that are statis of this lond $\cdot$ hye men of degre by there hye wisdam they schal now attayne how alle Iure beste gou'nyd may be and of this pillid prechouris - that oure lawis defame they schul ben slayn as they say-or fayn for to fle wherfore in pes be ye and herkenyth on to hem moste stille I For what boy bragge outh - hym spilly I 40 as knave wyth this craggyd knad hym kylle I now herkenyth oure pryncis alle kneland on kne

## Eppsloge

51] probably inserted. 7 matere] first e altered from $\mathbf{r}$ II thise] se altered from s 18 duellyd] e interlined. 35 Iure] e altered? 36 oure] $u$ altered from r 39 stille]e probably altered from i altered from y 1] added.now let sere pryncis in purpureIn savynge of owre lawys now telle oñ
$J$Sere syn we slew hym - that clepid hym oure kyngand seyde he was goddis sone - lord ou' attSyn his deth I herd of no maner rysyngand lo yif he hadde levyd • he had mad vs his thratt

Therfore oure wysdam was to schortyn his endyng
ya yit of on thing I warne yow at the gynnynghis dame is levyng mary that men caftMyche pepil halt hire wythattwherfore in peyne of reprefeyif we Suffre hyre thus to relefe
oure lawys sche schal make to myschefe and meche schame don vs sche schatt
A sere ye ben bolde I now - art thou ferd of a wenche what trowyste thou sche myht don vs a gayn

Sere there are other in the contre that clenche and prechyn he is levyng that we slewe they seyn and yif they ben sufferyd thus this witt bredyn a stench [ ] for thorow here fayre speche oure lawys they steyn
and therfore devyse we now - vp on this pleyn bench
what is beste for to do - hem for to atteyn
we are but loste yif they reyn
why let se than - sey me youre ententis
let vs preson hem til here myht schent is
bettyr is to slen hem wyth dentis
nay best is to hang hem wyth peyn
Nay seris nowth so • youre bett' avyse [ ]
haue insyth before $\cdot$ what after maytide
yif we slewe hem - it wolde cause the comownys to ryse人 and rathere the devyl sle hym-than we schulde that a bid< But be that seustere ded mary that fise [ ] fol. $3^{\circ}$ we shal brenne here body - and the aschis hide and don here aft the dispith - we can here devise and than sle tho disciplis $\cdot$ that walkyn so wyde and here bodyes devyde
halde ye not this beste as is sayde
Wyth youre wysdam sere we are wel payed
than ye knyhtis I charge yow beth arayed
and ye turmentouris • redy that tyde
© When mary is ded
and but she deye the sunere - the devyl smyte of here hed
Maria 1 п

## hic est maria in templo orans et dicens

O hye wysdam in youre dygne deyte
youre infynyth lovnesse mad oure saluacyoñ
that it lyst you of me sympilest - to take here humanite Wyth dew obeschyaũs - I make you gratulacyoñ and gloryous lord and sone - yif it like youre benygnyte nouth to ben displesid wyth my desideracyoñ me longith to youre presense now coiimict to the vnyte Wyth att myn herte and my sowle - be natures excitacyoñ
To youre domynacyoñ
For att creaturis in you don affye and [ ] myche more owe I - youre moder be alye syn ye wern born god - and man of my bodye to desyre yowre presens -that were oure ferste formacyoñ

My suete moderis preyere on to me doth assende
here holy herte and here love $\cdot$ is only on me
93 erasure at end. 97 erasure of we ? 106 arayed] ayed over erasure of adye? IO9 the sunere] t altered. II5 I] inserted? 118 presense] final e added. 122 a letter crossed out. 124 yowre] $y$ altered? presens] first.s inserted?

Wherfore aungyl to here thou schalt now dyssende seyinge here sche schal comyn to myn eternyte
resseyuỹge here to Ioye • from worldly pplexite and in tokyn ther of this palme now pretende Seyinge here sche fere no man' of diu'cyte

By youre myth I dissende to youre moder in viginite
For qwyche message inioyeth the hefnely cõsorcyte
hic discendet angelus ludent' cithar' \& dicet marie
heyl excellent prynces - mary moste pure
heyl radyant sterre the suñe is not so bryth [is]
heyl moder of m'cy and mayde most mure
the blessyng that god yaf Iacob vp on you now is lyth [is]
Now wolcom bryth berde - goddis aũgyl I seu'
ye ben messager of att myhty - wolcom wyth my myhtis
I beseke you now say me - vp on youre hie nortur
what is the very name - that to youre psone dith is
C what nedith you lady my name ben desyrand
A yis gacyows aũgyl I beseke you requyrand
My name is gret and m'veylous • treuly you telland
the hye god youre sone abidyth you in blis
the thrydde day hens - ye schul ben expirand
and assende to the presense - there my god youre sone is
Mercy and grom'cy god now may I be seyand $M a<$ thankyng you suete aũgyl for this message I wys
In tokenyng where of lady I am here presentand
A braunce of a palme - outh of paradis com this
【 be fore youre bere god biddith it be bore
Mari<
now thanke be to that lord - of his mercy eu'more

[^0]yowre meknesse youre lovnesse and youre hie lore
is most acceptable in the trynite syth
youre sete ryatt in hefne apparaled is thore
now dispose yow to deye • youre sone wyl thus rith Mark
I obbeye the cõmaũdement - of my god here before
but on thyng I beseke that lord of his myth
that my brether the appostelis • myht me be before
to se me and I hem - or I passe to that lyth
【 But they ben so deseverid - me thynkyth it nyl be
Angelus
A yis lady inpossible to god nothyng trowe ye
For he that sent abbacuc $\mathrm{w}^{t}$ mete to babylonye from Iure
In to the lake of lyonys to danyel the pphete
b Se the same myht god make may the appostolis here mete
a be an her of his hed lo-so myhty was he
And therfore abasche you not lady .in yowre holy mende Maria
no more I do glorious aũgyl in kynde
also I beseke my sone. I se not the fende
What tyme outh of this word. I schal passe hens
his horible lok wold fere me so hende
ther is nothyng I dowte - but his dredfutt $p$ 'sens
what nedith [yow lady] it to fere you emp's so hende
syn be the fruth of youre body - was convycte his vyolens
that horible f3pent - dar not nyhyn youre kende
and yowre blosme $\cdot$ schal make hym recistens
that he schal not pretende
Desyre ye outh ellys now rythis
nouth but blessyd be my god in his myhtys
Maria
I Angts 200
to yow I recomaunde me than moste excellent in sithis and wyth this ageyn to god I assende
hic ascendet angelus
Now lord thy swete holy name - wyth lovnesse I blysse of qwyche hefne and erthe - eche tyme pshalmodyeth that it lykyth youre [ ] m'cy • me to you to wysse my sympil sowle in serteyn - youre name magnefyeth

[^1]Maria

183 myhty] first y over beginning of an $\mathrm{h} \quad 192$ yow lady] expunged and
rossed out. 202 ageyn] e altered from a 203 ascendet] second
altered from $i \quad 206$ pshalmodyeth] is altered from $\mathrm{c} \quad 207$ beginning
of y expunged and crossed out.

Now holy maydenys the §ुuauntis of god as I gysse I schal passe from this world -as the aũgyl f3tefyeth

Swe schal gacyous lady • wyth alle oure mythis schul ye from vs passe swete sonne of socoure that are oure sengler solas - radyant in youre lythis youre peynful absence-schal make me doloure
Moste excellent pinces in all vertu that is dith [is] Virgo Sc̃da<
alle hefne and erthe lady you doth honure
we schal wachyn and wake as oure dewe $\&$ ryth [is]
In to the tyme ye passe•to that hye toure

God thãke you and so do I now I wyl dispose me to this Iurne redy so wolde god my brether were here me by To bere my body - that bare ihu oure savyoure

## hic subito apparet Sc̃s Iones eunngelista ante portã marie

$\sigma_{1}$A myrable god meche is thy myth [ ]many wonderis thou werkyst evyn as thi wyti isIn pheso I was prechyng afer contre ryth [ ]and by awhyte clowde. I was rapt to these hyllyshere duellyth cistis moder - I se wel in syth [ ]Sum m'veylous message is comyñ that mayde tytt [is]I wyl go saluse that berde that in vertu is moste brith [is]and of my sodeyn comyng • wete what is the skele [is]

[^2]on mayde to another as convenyens wold seme
【 And now that gacyows lord • hath sent me yow son[ ]e
fol. $5^{\text {b }}$

$\varsigma$now good fayr lady $\cdot$ what is ther to done tellyth the cause why I am heder sent

Maria

swete sone Iofn so wyit I a none
owre lord god sent to me an aũgyl that glent and sayde I schulde passe hens $\cdot$ where thre were in one tho I askyd the aungyl to haue you present

A holy moder schul ye from vs goneeu' trybulacyoñ lord meche yn vs sendyst
a thou oure mayst' and oure cõfort fron vs ascẽdist thanne all oure comfort is from vs detent
C.but what seyde then aũgyl moder on to you more

Che brouth me this palme from my sone thore qwyche I beseke as the aũgyl me bad that aforn my bere - by you it be bore $\quad 270$ saynge my dirige - devouthly and sad
© For Ionn I haue herde the Iewys • meche of me spelle
A good lady what likyth it you to telle
Secretly they ordeyne in here conseytis felle
Whẽ my sowle is paste where god is [wyti] liste is
to brenne my body - and schamly it quelle
for Ithu was of me born - that they slew $w^{t}$ here fistis
And therfore I beseke you Iohn - both flech and felle
helpe I be beryed for yn yow my tryst is
Fere yow not lady for I schal wyth you duelle wolde god my brether were here now and wyst this
hic subito om̃s aptic cõgregent" ante portü mirates
250 sone] something crossed out in red, ? $n$ altered from $m \quad 253$ tellyth] e altered? 258 aũgyl] y altered from e 260 ye] y altered from e ? from] m altered from n 261-2 in the left margin is the addition... ye schuld |... bse . . . crossed out in red, partly erased, and partly cut away, with a line drawn to mark the insertion: the words being repeated in a different hand on the right margin. 262 yn$]$ y altered? 272 For] radded? 277 wytt] expunged, and crossed out in red. liste] interlined. 285 expunged, and. crossed out in red.

Good brother [powle] Peter how schuld I here pray now
that am lest and most vnworthy of this cõgregacyñ
I am not worthy to ben clepyd apostle sothly I say yow
For as a woodmã ageyn holy cherche I mad psecucyoñ
C but neu'theles I am the $\mathrm{g}^{\text {ace }}$ of $\mathrm{god} \cdot$ in that $\cdot \mathrm{j}^{t} \mathrm{I}$ am lo
A gree is yours lownesse powle brother eu'mo
the keys of hevene peter. god hath you betake [ ]
and also ye ben peer of lith - and prŷce of vs all
it is most sittyng to you this [pred] preyere to make [ ]
and I unworthy wyth yow • preyed here schatt
[ Per

I take this vp on me poole for youre sake [ ] now almythty god that sittiste - aboue cherubyn halle
In syg̃e of thy holy cros-oure handis we make [ ]
be sekyng thy m'cy - may vp on vs fall
【 And why we ben thus met gif it lye vs lase
A holy brether all welcom ye are
why ye be [sent] met here I schal you declare
For mary goddys moder by message is sent
that from this wrechid world - to blysse she schal fare
and at here deying ache desyryth to have vs p'sent
A brother Ion we may syhyn and care gif it displese not god • for these tydyngis met

Forsothe so we may peter hevyin eu'mare
that oure moder and oure comfort schuld ben vs absent
【 but neu'theles the wy of god • fulfyllid mot be
that is wee seyd poule - but her of bewar ye
that non of you for here deth schewe hevy speche
For a non to the Iewys it schuld than notyd be
that we were ferd of deth and that is ageyn that we teche
For we seyn aft tho belevyn in the hal Trynyte
$290 \mathrm{vp}] \mathrm{p}$ altered? 293 powle] expunged, and crossed out in red. 301, 303, 306, 308 erasures. 303 pro] expunged and crossed out: r inserted? 308 syg̃el? $\tilde{\mathrm{g}}$ altered from n . 313 sent] expunged and crossed out. 316 here] he altered? 319 gif] y altered ? 328 is] interlined.
they schul eu' leve and nouth deye this truly we preche
and yif we make hevynesse for here than wyl it seyd be lo yone p'chouris to deye - they fere hem ful meche
© And therfore in god now beth glad eu'ychoñ
we schal don as ye sey vs holy brother Iohn now we beseke you let vs se-oure [ ] moder Marie

Tones

| now in goddys name to here [let] thã att let vs gon |
| :--- |
| Sche wyl ben ful glad to se-this holy companye |

heyl moder and maydyn - so was neu' non
but only ye most blissid treulye
Paulus
heyl incompabil quẽ $\cdot$ goddis holy tron
of you spreng salvacyoñ $\cdot$ and aff oure glorye
]heyl mene for mankynde $\cdot$ and mendere of mys
A wyth all myn hol herte brether • ye are wolcom I wys
I beseke you now to telle me - of youre sodeyne metyng
In dyu'is contreys we prechid - of youre sone \& his blis
Diu'is clowdys eche of vs was - sodeynely curyng
w in on were brouth before youre yate here I wys
the cause why no man cowde telle of oure comyng
now I thanke god of his m'cy • an hy merakle is this
now I wyl telle yow the cause - of my sonys werkyng
CI I desyrid his bodily p'sence to se
Maria
-Iohes
no wonder lady $\cdot$ thow so dede ye
Tho my sone inu of his hye pete
sent to me an aungyl $\cdot$ and thus he sayd
that the thredde nyth I schuld assende to my sone in deite
thanne to haue youre p'sence brether • hertly I prayed
And thus at my request $\cdot$ god hath you sent me
wys gacyous lady $\cdot$ we are ryth wel payed
blissid brethere I beseke you than tent me
now wyl I rest me in this bed • that for me is rayed

336 a letter crossed out. 338 let] expunged and crossed out. 345 spreng] $\mathbf{r}$ inserted. 346 a large red paragraph erased. 348 herte] ? h altered from s 354 why] haltered from y 359 Iotes]o over beginning of an $h$

【 wachith me besily wyth youre laũpys and lithtis
Paulus
we schal lady redy aft thyng for you dith is
now sone schul ye se what god is myth is
my flech gynnyth feble be nature
hic erit decenter ornatus in lecto
Petrus
brether eche of you a candele takyth now rithis
and lith hem in haste whil oure moder doth dure
and bisyli bet vs wachyn in this vigyne sythtis
that when oure lord comyth in his spoused pure
he may fynde vs wakyng and redy wyth oure lithtis
for we knowe not the ho . of his comyng now sure
Maria
$\int$
A swete sone Ihu now mercy I cry
ou' alle synful thy mercy let sprede
hic dissendet dñs cũ omii celest curia \& dicet
the voys of my moder me nyhith fulny
I am dyssend on to here of whom I dede sede
hic cantabunt org)
A wolcom gracyous lord • Itu sone and god of m'cy
an aungyl wold assuffysed me hye kyng at this nede
Inppire psone moder I wyl ben here redy
wyth the hefnely quer yowre dirige to rede
$\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ Veni tu electa mea \& ponam in te thronũ meũ quia concupiuit rex speciem tuã
$\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ Paratũ cor meũ deus patũ cor meũ cantabo et psalmũ dicam dño

| Inppire psone moder I wyl ben here redy | Dns |
| :--- | :--- |
| wyth the hefnely quer yowre dirige to rede |  |
| Veni tu electa mea \& ponam in te thronũ meũ |  |
| quia concupiuit rex speciem tuã |  |

$\mathfrak{V}^{\prime}$ veni de libano sponsa mea veni coronaberis

> Ecce venio quia in capite libri sciptũ est deme vt facerem voluntatẽ tuã deus meus quia exultauit sp̃s meus in deo salutari mes
hic exiet anima marie de corpore in sinu dei

SaNow com my swete soule in clennesse most pure
and reste in ny bosom [brist] brithtest of ble alle ye myn apostelis. of this body takyth cure In the valle of Iosephat - there fynde schul ye
A grave new mad for maryes sepulture there beryeth the body wyth att youre solẹ̃nite and bydyth me there stylle thre dayes severe and I schal pere ageyn to yow - to côfort yo ${ }^{\text {" }}$ adu'cyte Wyth this swete soule now from you I assende

> -Petrus

In oure tibulacyoũs lord thou vs defende
We haue no comfort in erthe - but of the alon
O swete soule of mary prey thy sone vs defende
haue mynde of thy pore brether • when thou comyst to $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i}}$ tron
$\mathfrak{b}^{\prime}$ Que est ista que assendit de deserto delicijs affluens innixa sup dilectũ suũ
$\mathfrak{b}$. Ista est speciosa int' filias Iertm sicut vidistis eam plenam caritate \& dileccõe - sic $C B$ in celũ gaudẽs suscipit ${ }^{\text {t }}$ et a dextris filij - in trono gtie collocatur

## hic cantabit omis celestis curia

Now suster I beseke you - let vs do oure attendaũce and wasche this gloryous body-that here in oure sith is as is the vse among vs wyth outyn ony varyaunce now blessid be this psone that bar god of mythtis.
I am redy sust' wyth atl myn hol affyaũce

> to wesche and worschepe this body that so brith is
alle creaturys therto owyn dew obeschaũce
For this body resseyved • the holy gostis flithtis

## Et osculabunt corpus marie

9
Now holy brother peter. I hertely you pray
to bere this holy palme - before this gloryous body
For ye ben pince of apostelis - and hed of oure fay
therfore it semyth you best to do this offis [tru] treuly

Sere and ye slept on cristis brest - seyng att celestly
ye are goddis clene mayde $\cdot$ wyth outyn ony nay
this observaũce is most like • you to do dewly
Wherfore tak it vp on you • brother we pray
CI and I schal helpe for to bere the bere

| Sere and ye slept on cristis brest $\cdot$ seyng att celestly | Petrus |
| :--- | :--- |
| ye are goddis clene mayde $\cdot$ wyth outyn ony nay | fol. $8^{\circ}$ |
| this observaũce is most like $\cdot$ you to do dewly | 460 |
| Wherfore tak it vp on you |  |
| Wrother we pray |  |
| and I schal helpe for to bere the bere |  |

and I peter wyth oure brether in fere
this blessid body schal helpe to the groũd
this holy cors now take we vp here
Seyng oure observaũce $\cdot$ wyth devouth sound
hic portabüt corpus versus sepulturã cũ e042 luminib3
$\mathfrak{G}$ ' Exijt israel de egipto $\cdot$ dom $^{9}$ iacob de ppto barbaro Alta
$\mathfrak{b}$ ' Facta est iudea sc̃ificac̃o eius Isr̃l potestas eius Alta
hic angti dulcit cantabüt in celo. Atta
herke sere $\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{i} n c y s}$ - what noyse is all this
the erthe and the eyer - is ful of melodye
I herde neu' er • swyche a noyse now I wys
con ye outh say $\cdot$ what they signefye
I not be my god that of myht meche is
What sũeu' they be - hougely they crye wyth owte
I am a ferd there wyit be sũ thyng a mys
It is good prevely among vs we spye

> Now I haue levyd this thre skore yer but sweche [another] another noyse $\cdot$ herd I neu' er myn herte gynnyth ogyl • and quake for fer
> there is sũ newe sorwe $\cdot$ sprongyn I dowte
the pphetis moder [is] mary is ded the disciplis here beryn in gret aray now and makyn alle this m'the in spyth of oure hed

Fy on yon lousy doggys • they were bett' nay [ ] outh harrow the devyl is in myn hed $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { ye dodemvsyd prynces • faste yow aray [ } \\ \text { or I make avow }\end{array}\right]$ to mahound youre bodyes schul blede Now that quene is ded
fol. $8^{\text {b }}$
ye coward knytys in plate
and ye tormentours • thryfe schul ye late
Faste harlotys •go youre gate
and brynge me that bychyd body I red
$P^{i} m^{9} p^{i} n c^{3}$
dowte you not sere byschop in peyne of repref
$J$ We schal don schame to that body $\cdot \&$ to tho prechours
Sere I schal geyne tho glabereris or gramly hem gref tho teynt tretouris schul tene - yif my loke on hem louris

To hurle wyth tho harlotys $\cdot$ me is ful lef
I schal [snrle] snarle tho sneveleris • wyth rith scharp schouris
hens than a devylis name - and take me that thef
and brnge me that bygyd body evyn to fore these touris
and here disciplis ye slo
hye you hens harlotis atonys
the devyl boyes mot breke youre bonys
go stent me yone body wyth youre stonys
Outh harrow • alwod now I go
hic discendüt pincipes cü suis ministris vt feroci percucient' petras cũ e04 capitib3

What devyl where is this mene
I here - here noyse - but I se ryth nouth
allas I haue clene lost my poste
I am ful wo $\cdot \mathrm{mad}$ is my yowth
I am so ferd I wold fayn fle
the devyl hym spede $\cdot$ hedyr me bro
I renne I rappe - so wo is me
Wyndand wod wo hath me wrouth
492 is] expunged, and crossed out in red. 496,498 erasures at end.
513 snrle] expunged, and crossed out in red.

To dey I ne mouth
A cowards vp on you now fy are ye ford of a dod body I schal sterte the to manly alle that company fere I myth mouth
.
4alle that company fere I ryth mouth
hic saltat insane ${ }^{9}$ af feretrü marie \& pended pean ${ }^{9}$ ..... fol. $9^{2}$
Alas my body is ful of peyne
I am fastened sore to this bere
myn [hõdys] handys are ser $\cdot$ bothe tweyne o pet ${ }^{5}$ now prey thy god for me here
In cayfas halle - when thou were seyne
And of the pet' amayde acusid there
I halpe the tho now helpe me ageyne sum medycyñ me lire
I may not tend to the sere at this ho ${ }^{\text {u }}$
For ocupacyoñ of this body of hono ${ }^{\text {u }}$
but neu'theles • beleue in Itu criste ore saveyo ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ and that this was his [mder] moder that we bere on bere
9
I beleue In Itu mannys saluacyoñ
In goddis name go don than and this body honure
$P^{i} m^{9} p^{i} n c^{9}$
now m'cy god and grom'cy of this savacyoñ ..... 560
Petrous
Than take yon holy palme - and go to vi nacyoñand bid hem beleve in god yif they wy be pure
and tow che hem the wyth both hed - hand and facyonand of her sekenesse-they schal have cureand ellis in here peynys [ ] indure
$P^{i} m^{9} p^{i} n c^{9}$
grom'cy holy fader peter
I schal do as ye me tech her
thankyng god eu' in my speche her ..... 570
Wyth he repentance and harte most mure
hic portabüt feretriu ad locum sepulture

9
Now holy brether this body let vs take and wyth alle the worschepe we may ley it in the gae kyssyng it alle atonys $\cdot$ for here sonys sake now insence ye - and we schal put here in this cave
hic ponent corpus insepulcrü insensantes \& cantantes
De terra plasmasti me \& carne induisti me redemptor meus dñe resuscita me in novissimo die

Now god blysse this body and we oure synge make
hic vnanimit bũdicent corpus. In nõie pat's \& filij \& sp̃s sc̃i
the fruth that it bar oure soules schal saue now reste we vs brether vp on this pleyn lake
tyl from oure god and oure [d] lord-tydyngis we haue here muste we belave

| so muste we Ionn as ye say |
| :--- |
| thanne byde we here and pray |
| besekyng hym of comfort that best may |
| restyng here abowtyn this gaulus |

hic vadit princeps ad Iudeos cü palma
ye Iewys that lango in this gret Infyrmyte
belevyth in crist Inu and ye schal haue helthe
throw vertu of this holy palme that com fro the tinyte
yow ${ }^{u}$ sekenesse schal aswage $\cdot$ and restore you to welthe
ye Iewys that lango in this gret Infyrmyte
belevyth in crist Inu and ye schal haue helthe
throw vertu of this holy palme that com fro the tinyte
yow ${ }^{u}$ sekenesse schal aswage $\cdot$ and restore you to welthe
ye Iewys that lango in this gret Infyrmyte
belevyth in crist Inu and ye schal haue helthe
throw vertu of this holy palme that com fro the tinyte
yow ${ }^{u}$ sekenesse schal aswage $\cdot$ and restore you to welthe
ye Iewys that lango in this gret Infyrmyte
belevyth in crist Inu and ye schal haue helthe
throw vertu of this holy palme that com fro the tinyte
yow ${ }^{u}$ sekenesse schal aswage $\cdot$ and restore you to welthe
I beleve in crist It . Scds $p^{i n} c^{\prime}$
I beleve in crist Itu - goddis sone in vnyte
and forsake my mavmentryes • fals in here felthe
hic tangat credentes cü palma \& sanati süt
A I thanke the gacyous lord $\cdot$ and thy moder of pete
now are we hol of oure seknesse $\cdot$ and of oure foul belthe
what harlotys forsake oure lawe
So hald I beste the do
hens fro me in the develis name ye go
besekyng hym of comfort that best may
restyng here abowtyn this gave

## the wylde develys • mot me to drawe

$$
\text { -Pim }{ }^{9} \text { demon }
$$

## 4

herke belsabub and belyal sere sathan in the herne
vs fettyn oure servauntis to this presoñ
blow flamys of fer to make hem to brenne
mak redy ageyn we com to this demon
Sc̃ds demon
Faste for tho harlotis now let vs renne
to caste hem in this pet here that depe is adon $\quad 620$
they schul brenne and boyle and chille in oure denne
gowe now a dewelys name as faste as we moñ
harrow harrow - we com to town
drag we these harlotis inhye
In to the pet of helle for to lye
gowe now helle hoũdis ye crye
sere sathan may heryn oure soñ
Now aũgyl and alle this court celestyatH
In to herthe now discendith wyth me to reyse the body of my moder terestyalt and bryng we it to the blysse of my deyte
$\mathbb{C}$ assent ye here to now the vnyteya for yowre hye $\mathrm{m}^{\prime}$ cy lord $\cdot$ al hefne makyth melode

## hic discendit et venit ad aptos dicens

pes be to yow alle - my postelis so dere
lo me here yowre lord $\cdot$ and youre god now rythtis

A wolcom criste oure comfort - in thy mãhed clere gret merveylous god - mekyl now thy myth is
what worschepe and $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ce} \cdot$ - semyth you now here that I do to this body . mary that hythtis

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { lord as thou rese from deth - and regnyst in thyn empere } \\
& \text { so reyse thou this body } \text { to thy blysse that lyth is } \\
& \text { vs semyth this ryth is }
\end{aligned}
$$

hefne and erthe wold thynke this the best now
In as myche as sche bare you god in youre mythtis
hic vadit aĩa in corpus marie
Go thanne blyssid soule to that body ageyn
arys now my dowe $\cdot m y$ nehebo ${ }^{\text {u }} \cdot$ and my swete frende
660
tabernacle of Ioye • vessel of lyf • hefnely tẽple to reyn ye schal haue the blysse wyth me moder that hath non ende For as ye were clene in erthe - of alle synnys greyn so schul ye reyne in hefne clennest in mend

Maria
A endles worchepe be to you Itu $\cdot$ relesere of peyn
I and alle erthe may blisse ye com of owre kend
lo me redy wyth you for to wend
A bouen hefnys moder assende than we $6 \%$
In endles blysse for to be
Michael
hefne and erthe now inIoye may ye
For god throw mary is mad mannys frend
Et hic assendët in celü cantantibz organis
Assüpta es maria in celũ
yow to worchepe moder $\cdot$ it likyth the hol trinyte
Wherfore I crowne you here - in this kyndam of glory
of alle my chosyn - thus schul ye clepyd be
qwen of hefne - and moder of mercy

Now blysid be youre namys we cry
For this holy assũpcyoñ $\cdot$ alle hefne makyth melody

## $\int$ Deo gracias

[^3]
## List of Variants in Halliwell's Edition.

Some of the following variants are silent emendations; a few arise through doubtful readings. The latter will be found mentioned in the footnotes. In all cases of the omission or insertion of a word the context on both sides is quoted.

| 6 wythowtyn | 229 Maria | 474 coelo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 chyldyd | 239 Maria | 478 sweche |
| dare | 242 welcome | 496 you |
| 19 honoryng | 249 another at | 501 The coward |
| 24 occupyed | 258 aungel | knytis |
| 29 (omitted.) | 262 meche thou | 502 And the |
| 30 Ces | 264 from | 507 Ded schal |
| 31 now ye | 277 Godis sete is | body to |
| 34 wisdom | 286 mirantes | 508 Secundus Princeps. |
| shal | 287 (omitted.) | 509 glaberis |
| 37 se or | 295 to be | 512 the |
| 39 stillyn | 308 synge | 515 develys |
| 43 Episcopus. | 315 wrecchid | 516 bringe |
| 44 prynsis | 319 Xif it | thes |
| lawe | 321 evermore | 524 pecucienter |
| 50 blowe | 332 youe | 528 thowth |
| 56 oure | 342 only the | 530 would |
| 75 that sche | 348 welcom | 533 Wynd and |
| 87 Lete | 353 And in | 540 adferetrum |
| myght | 366 Aud | Maria |
| 96 abyde | 380 nowe | 544 thi |
| 97 senstere | 382 let | 555 Primus Princeps. I |
| 103 Primus Episcopus. | sythis | 560 senere |
| 105 (omitted.) | 383 sponsed | 562 youe |
| 107 And the | 390 celeste | 569 as the |
| 116 glorious | 396 welcom | 585 rest |
| 118 conjunct | 407 Hæ口 | brother |
| 122 modyr | quæ | 587 must |
| 124 youre | 410 generationes | 594 Judaos |
| 131 Resservynge | 416 spes meus | 598 Yowr |
| 133 man of | mee | 604 foule |
| 137 consorcyt | 418 Mavice | 608 best |
| 138 ludentibus | 419 come | 620 cast |
| citharis | 424 withe | 622 fast |
| Maria | 430 on erthe | 632 with |
| 45 welcom | 434 Quæ | 634 deyt |
| aungel | quæ | 643 welcom |
| sen | 435 injunxa | 649 requyst |
| 152 A! this | 437 Jherusalem | 654 likyth you |
| 57 presence | 438 dilectione | 658 Maria |
| 163 of palme | cœlum | 664 schal |
| 179 A! this | gandeus | 667 blisse the |
| trowe the | 439 gloriæ | 668 with |
| 194 dare | 444 glorious | 675 coelum |
| 201 most | 451 resseyvid | 676 calum |
| 202 agayn | 453 Maria | 679 glorye |
| 203 ascendit | 460 any | 683 blissid |

## NOTES

3. liketh, impersonal interrogative.
4. a book clepid Apocriphum, not 'a book named Apocryphum', but 'a book said to be apocryphal'. NED quotes: Capgrave, Chron. 7. 'The Penauns of Adam be cleped Apocriphum', i.e. is called spurious. The Legenda Aurea has: 'ex quodam libello apocrypho qui Iohanni euangelistae ascribitur'. All the same, the dramatist may have misunderstood his source, for if the book was written by John it was not apocryphal. The work indicated is apparently the Greek Dormitio Mariae printed by Tischendorf (Apocalypses Apocryphae, 1866, p. 95),
 dyias $\theta$ eotókov. There is, however, a sufficiently close verbal agreement with the Latin text, Tischendorf's Transitus Mariae B (ibid. p. 124), to suggest that this may really have been the source. Some manuscripts of the Transitus represent the work as written by Melito after the instruction of John, and one apparently actually prefixes to the text the account given in the Legenda Aurea (ibid. p. XLIII). The work was in fact declared apocryphal by Pope Gelasius.
5. assumpte, ascended. But the passage is certainly wrong as it stands. Possibly was has been omitted, cf. 27. The verb assume can only mean to take up into heaven, not to ascend, and moreover the pret. is assumed. From the part. assumpt was formed a new verb, but this had the same meaning, and formed the pret. assumpted. It is moreover not found till xvi cent.
6. legenda sanctorum, i. e. the Legenda Aurea.
7. inhabith. The $h$ has probably got appended by analogy with the cases in which the loss of a guttural has left a long vowel, see Introd. p. 7. Similar instances are 113 infynyth, 468 devouth. Both inhabite and inhabtt are recognized forms of the part., while the use of the passive, with the sense of being resident, is also well established for $\mathrm{xv}-\mathrm{xvi}$ cent. The phrase 'Merchauntes Adventurers inhabite and dwelling in divers parties of this Realme' is quoted by NED from the Statutes of 12 Hen. VII, c. 6.
8. spoused, for spoushed, wedlock.
9. went, almost a technical term. You go a pilgrimage (cognate object), hence you go the stations of a pilgrimage (transitive). The transitive use appears to be a late one, but NED quotes Caxton, 1483 : Golden Legend, $47 \mathrm{~b} / \mathrm{I}$, 'With my staf I have goon this ryver of Jordan'. 28. ses. If we take this as the imper. pl. of see the form is certainly
northern; but in that case we should rather expect the spelling seis, and also takis or tas. It is more probably from cease.
10. Mi, partly cut away : no doubt Miles.
11. Pes, not peace (subs.) but pease (vb. imper.), appease, still.
12. begchis, bitches: NED records begch as an exceptional form, without, however, giving a quotation.
13. statis, estates; the use is elliptical, persons of rank.
14. attayne, the rime is bad and the sense strained. The former might be mended by substituting attame. This has the sense of undertake, endeavour, but though used with an infinitive is not recorded with an indirect question.
15. pillid, literally peeled, hence bare, poor, miserable. The expression 'pillede screwe!' occurs in the A-text of Piers Plowman, vii. 143 (NED). However, it also had the sense of bald, hence tonsured, which is perhaps the appropriate meaning here.

39-41. The scribe has misunderstood the rimes: that in 4 I alone is correct. stille I should be stilly. This, in all probability, was the original reading, for the tail of a $y$, partly erased, is still visible under the $e$. But there is also a dot above which is not erased; so that the $y$ seems to have been replaced by an $i$, though what the object of the alteration may have been is not clear. Then the body of the $i$ was altered to $e$ and $I$ was added at the end to make it agree with 41. This last alteration took place after the rime-lines had been inserted. spilly $I$ has not been altered, it should, of course, be spille $I$.
41. craggyd knad, I suppose a knotted cudgel. knad may be connected with knag, the stump of a branch. NED omits the word, though it quotes the present passage s.v. Cragged. Halliwell, in his glossary, gives knad, knife, on what authority I know not.
43. Episcopus legis, bishop of the (old) law.
44. prysis, the word has been altered; read prynsis.

I prest of the lave, I, priest of the law=episcopus legis. Halliwell's explanation, $I$-prest, pressed, is nonsense, and his conjecture and prest is not much better.
lave, sic for lazve.
46. fer as, as far as, NED As B I 3.
51. gnaggyd, or knaggyd, hung up; I suppose, hung from a knag, cf. 4 I.
$52-3$. 'We must not won, i.e. fail or hesitate, (to) set reddure, i.e. severity, upon such harlots', cf. 48. The word won is not from OE wunian, to dwell, but perhaps ME wanien, from OE wanian, to wane, diminish. The sense is a curious one; nearest comes the OE trans. use, meaning to cause to fail. Or else won may be an alteration, for the sake of the rime, of wonde, OE wandian, to turn aside, hesitate.
68. halt hire wy thall, holds with her. The form halt, with $t$, is good for the 3 pers. sing., contracted from holdeth; the vowel is said to be Anglian and northern.
70. relefe, relieve, rise again. The form is northern.
71. make to myschefe, bring to harm.
77. clenche, affirm; the only quotation in NED.
93. Halliwell punctuates so as to give the sense : your better judgement is not so. Otherwise youre must be an error for you.
94. maytide, sic for may tide, may happen.
97. be . . . ded, i.e. when she is dead. mary that fise is parenthetical, in apposition to seustere.
fise, a form of fist, fart, as a term of contempt.
107. tyde, time, occasion. In origin no doubt the word is identical with that in 94 , but the meaning is sufficiently different to make an allowable rime.
110. Maria. This should come after the stage direction, III; cf. 285-7.
113. lovnesse, for lownesse, humility, as in 168, 205 ; cf. 299.
115. obeschyaũs, sic, for obeyschaũs presumably, but we have the form obeschaüce in 450 .
118. côiñct, sic for cõiüct, conjunct.
125. Sapientia, the second person of the Trinity.
130. The paragraph at the top of the page is an error of the rubricator's.
137. consorcyte, fellowship, company. Not recorded in NED, which, however, quotes one instance of the form consorce in 1512.
138. ludent' cithar', ludenter cithare or ludentur citharae. Halliwell's ludentibus citharis may be better Latin, but I question whether it is what the scribe intended, cf. 675.
141. The rime has been altered here and in 143, but the change has not been carried through the second half of the stanza.
145. wolcom, the form is unusual but recurs, see 146, 242, 396 .
sen'. The rime shows that the word intended must be seu', i. e. seure and not señ. Cf. 560 seuere. From suren, for assuren, to promise.
163. After this a line has been drawn in error.
173. before. So far as the preposition has any sense here it seems to be temporal, while in 175 it is local : such at least is the only excuse for allowing the word to rime with itself.
179. Perhaps the author intended an is somewhere in the line.
180. For Habakkuk's journey see Vulg., Daniel, xiv. 32, \&c., a passage relegated in AV to the Apocrypha (Bel and the Dragon, 33, \&c.).
181. lake of lyonys, den of lions, 'lacus leonum', i. e. lion pit, Daniel, vi. 7,16 and xiv. 30.

182-3. The order of these lines should be reversed, as indicated by the marginal letters.
182. Se, I suppose an error for Be, by; if not, then myht should be myhty.
184. The rubricator has by error put a large, in place of a small, paragraph.
186. kynde. The rime requires kende, as in 194. There is some difference of sense in the two passages, nature and race.
189. hende, near at hand. The word rimes in its other sense of gentle in 192.
194. J3pent, i. e. serpent.
196. pretende, I suppose, to make a claim; but the absolute uses of this verb are rare, and NED does not give any meaning quite suitable.
197. now rythis. rythis, i. e. rights, is the genitival adv.: 'right now'. Cf. 380, also 641.
201. sithis. The plural is probably a concession to the rime ; cf. 382.
209. $\sqrt{3}$ uauntis, i. e. seruauntis.

210 . 3 tefyeth, i. e. sertefyeth, certifies.
212. replyeth. The word offers some difficulty both of form and meaning. The termination precludes the possibility of dependence on what precedes; consequently besekyng yow must be parenthetical and replyeth imper. pl. There are several odd uses of reply in which the etymological origin is still prominent. In this case we may possibly have either an intr. for refl. use with the sense of turn back or retire, or else a trans. use with the sense of lead back, the object being me in 213.

220-2. The rime has been altered without regard to the preceding quatrain.
221. $w^{t}$. Part of a short line intended to come after 223 and to rime with 228: possibly with honoure, in spite of the repetition of rime it would involve.
233. afer, for a fer, a far.
234. awhyte, for a whyte.
$236-8$. The rime has been altered without regard to the first half of the stanza.
238. skele, i. e. skill, cause. A most unusual form, which would appear to be Scotch : the rime, however, requires the common skyll or skylle.
239. This stage direction is not very satisfactory. $S^{i}$ is the usual contraction for sibi, which is perhaps not an impossible reading, though one would rather expect sic $\left(S^{\circ}\right)$. There is no line drawn between the direction and the following text.
255. a none, for anone.
262. yn. The sense of upon is well recognized, and 'thou' might possibly be understood. So at least the scribe may have intended the passage. Halliwell prints thou for $y n$, having evidently read the word as $p u$. The scribe does not as a rule use $p$ or $y$ for th. His copy, however, clearly had a $y$-shaped $\beta$, which occasionally led him to write $y$ in error for $t h$; $\mathrm{cf} .528,562$ (the instance in 26 I is in a different hand). The present is most likely another case in point. He also has two clear instances of p, 297, 432.

263-4. The order of these lines should be reversed, as indicated by the marginal letters.
264. fron, sic for from.
266. then. This must be an error either for the or then the. The
form then, if demonstrative, would be acc. sing. masc., and even were it recorded as late as xv cent. there could be no reason for its use here.
277. god is, sic for godis.
280. felle, a perfectly good rime with the adj. of 276.
286. portũ mirates, sic.

293-9. These lines are thoroughly irregular in the matter of rime. There is no excuse for any of the repeated rimes, but on the quasi-double rimes of 293, 295 see Introd. p. 32.
294. cögregacyñ, sic. Probably an o has simply been omitted after the $y$, but one might of course read cögregacyü.
297. This curiously involved line is presumably corrupt. The passage is, of course, a paraphrase of I Cor. xv. $9-10$ to the words: ' Gratia autem Dei sum id quod sum'. It would be easy to emend: 'but neuertheles by the grace of god I am that I am 10 ', but that would not explain how the corruption arose.
pat. The only other instance of $\beta$ is in 432 .
30\%. cherubyn halle is perhaps the hall or abode of the cherubim: to take halle as all would make a bad rime with 302 , though this, to be sure, is no very serious objection:
308. syg̃e, i.e. sygne, sign : the scribe has been driven to an unusual expedient. The line is obscure. I suppose the meaning to be: we make our hands into the image of thy holy cross, that is, we cross our hands. But make has already occurred in 303. It is perhaps just possible that here make may have the sense of join, which would render the rime acceptable. But it is very improbable, for though the sense of mate, pair, match, is recorded in NED, it is rare, and that of join does not seem to occur.
309. be sekyng, for besekyng.
310. It is possible that thee has been omitted after lyke.

314-15. The construction of these lines is peculiar. Formerly the object of send, sc. a message, could be replaced by a clause of indirect statement expressing the message, a construction which we commonly use with convey. Here the clause, i.e. that ...fare, has become the subject of a passive use of the verb. mary is dative.
319. ment. This word has the appearance of having been added for the rime rather than the sense. It is not easy to attach much meaning to it. Presumably it has the sense of told, though it might possibly have that of lamented.
322. vs absent. The dative is certainly an unusual construction, but perhaps not impossible.
327. a non, for anon.
331. be, a rime repeated from both 323 and 327 for which there is no excuse.
346. The rubricator placed a large paragraph opposite this line in error for 348. He erased it when he discovered his mistake, but forgot to put the small one that should be there.

351 . dyueris. The spelling here and in 352 is clearly influenced by
that of the gen. and pl. terminations. By xv cent. these had usually become -s in pronunciation, though they continued to be written as though they were syllabic. This occasionally led to the insertion of $e, i$, or $y$ before the final $-s$ of other words.
352. was. The subject is clowdys, the verb being attracted into the sing. by the intervening object, or else perhaps Diueris clowdys was felt in a partitive sense.
curyng, from cure, a shortened form of cover.
353. $w, y$ sic for $w e$.

I wys, another repeated rime.
365. After this the scribe has drawn a line in error.
370. For the rime here see Introd. p. 32.
376. god is, sic for godis.
378. ornatus, sic.
382. bet, sic for let.
in this virgyne sythtis, in the sight of this virgin. Possibly sythtis is plural because there are several persons under her view, cf. 201.
383. spoused, cf. 17, bridal, in allusion to Matt. xxv. 10.
384. lithtis, this repeats the rime of 372.
390. celest', celester, apparently for celesti.
392. fulny, sic for ful $n y$.
393. dede sede, did seed, sprang, was born. According to NED the only recorded instance of the use.
394. org', presumably organa or organis, cf. 675.
396. The paragraph has been placed here in error.
397. assuffysed, for a suffysed, have sufficed.
399. Inppire, sic for In propire (proper).
redy, this repeats the rime of 386.
40I. $v^{\prime}$, i.e. versus, versicle; added by the rubricator.
414. The speaker's name, Maria, has been omitted, and consequently the versus-mark likewise.
deme, sic for de me.
416. mes, sic for meo.

> 418. sinu, sic for sinum.
420. $n y$, sic for $m y$.
425. severe. Severe gives neither rime nor sense. The form seuere, sure, occurs twice for the verb, 145,560 , but the adj. seems to be written sure, 385 . The emendation secure would give sufficiently good sense.
426. $y 0^{4}$, i.e. your.
427. There should be a small paragraph to this line and a large one to 429 .

43I. defende, a repeated rime for which the fact that in 429 the word is imper. while here it is infin. is hardly an excuse.
432. pi, cf. 297.
433. $m^{a} r t$, i.e. martyrum.
436. angts, sic, read angelorum.
437. Tertm, i.e. Ierusalem. 438. sic q3, sic.
439. gtie, i.e. glorie.
450. obeschaũce, obeisance, cf. 115 .
452. The speaker's name should follow 453, cf. 440-2.
459. celestly. The only instance of this word quoted in NED is from the Betrothal play of the same N-town cycle (Ludus Cov. ed. Halliwell, p. 103), where in Mary's praise of the Psalter occurs the line: 'And makyst hym desyre thyngys celestly'. In that passage the word appears to be an adj. and I conjecture that it may be the same here, all celestly meaning everything heavenly. Perhaps celestly was formed from celest, celestial, under the influence of heavenly.
460. clene. The $l$ looks very much as though it had been altered to $h$, but I suppose that the tail which gives it this appearance must be due to an accidental slip of the pen.
462. pray, a repeated rime.
471. ppto, i.e. populo. Atta, i.e. Alleluia.
482. wyth owte, a separate line, intended to come after 484 and to rime with 489.
483. a ferd, for aferd.
488. ogyl, oggle, shudder. This is the only instance quoted in NED.
491. None of the repeated rimes in this stanza appear the least defensible, but see Introd. p. 32.
496. Apparently a now has been erased at the end of this line and of 498 , without regard to the preceding quatrain.
497. hed, a repeated rime.
498. dodemvsyd, probably, dotty and bemused. This is the only instance quoted in NED.
504. bychyd, apparently a nonce formation from bitch, not recognized by NED. Cf. Parlones. "Gicsherel tomues"
509. geyne. This is apparently an instance of the verb gain, from ON gegna, with the sense of encounter, oppose. NED only quotes one doubtful example from the Chester plays, viii, 1. 157 : 'There is none so great that me dare gaine.'
glabereris, probably a variant, not recognized by NED, of glaverers, deceitful talkers.
510. tene, grieve, intr. for refl.
512. hurle wyth, hurl (myself) against.
516. brnge, sic, read bringe.
bygyd, apparently a variant of bychyd, cf. 504.
518. atonys, for at onys.
520. stent, presumably an error for stene, stone.
521. alwod, for al wod, altogether mad.
522. The speaker's name should follow 524.
523. feroci, sic.
524. percucient', apparently for percutientes.
528. yowth, i.e. yought, pought. One of the instances of $y$ for th, cf. 262.
533. Wyndand wod. This must mean raving mad, though no example of the phrase seems to be recorded. Wyndand may possibly be from zvinden in the sense of writhe.
534. routh, i.e. rought, pret. of reck, care, here apparently used for the pres.
539. nouth, a repeated rime.
540. $a f$, sic for $a d$.
pman ${ }^{\text {, }}$, for per manus.
546. amayde, sic for a mayde.
acusid. The phrase accuse of (a person) is uncommon. Unless the line is corrupt the word must be used in the sense of bring an accusation, make complaint, a rare sense, but not unknown. But it is tempting to take of with a mayde.
554. bere, a repeated rime.
555. The speaker's name, Primus princeps, is omitted.
559. savacyon, a desperate attempt to improve the rime by using a slightly different form of the word.
562. $y i$, thy, cf. 262.
568. peter, a very poor rime, for the accent is not on the termination, but see Introd. p. 32.
576. atonys, for at onys.
578. The speaker's name should follow 579 if not 58 r.
579. insepulcrum, sic for in sepulcrum.
insensantes, incensing.
580. One would have expected a versus-mark here, and the paragraph at 582.
582. synge, I suppose this must be sign, i.e. the sign of the cross made in blessing the body. The spelling is said to be Scotch (NED).
584. John's speech continued.
585. pleyn, I suppose, full, filled up.
lake, grave. This is a rather uncommon use, from L. lacus, pit, cf. 181. The word is found in the same sense in the account of the Resurrection in the same N-town cycle (Ludus Cov. ed. Halliwell, p. 350): 'whan he dede ryse out of his lake'.
587. belave, this must be an anomalous form (used for the sake of the rime) of beleave or belive, remain.
592. gaue, a repeated rime.
593. The speaker's name should follow 594.
598. yow", this can hardly be anything but a slip, read $y 0^{\circ}$, your.
601. felthe, probably var. of filth, for the sake of the rime.
602. This is rubricated, but there are no lines separating it from the text.
604. belthe. No such word is known. Possibly it is a downright invention for the sake of the rime, probably with a suggestion of belch.
608. the, most likely an error for that we.
614. herne, corner, the rime though very doubtful is perhaps passable.
615. vs fettyn. Unless the form fettyn can be imper. pl. from fet, fetch
(see Introd. p. 17), we shall be forced to read either we fettyn (indic.) or lat vs fettyn (inf.).
622, 628. gowe, sic, for go we.
625. inhye, for in hye, in haste.
635. the vnyte, if this is really what the author wrote I fail to gather his meaning. Perhaps we should read in vnyte.
638. The speaker's name should follow 639.
639. aptos, i.e. apostolos.
649. rese, this is quite anomalous as the pret. of rise, probably an error for rase or rose.
651. ryth is, riming with the adv. rythtis in 641.
656. mythtis, riming with myth is in 644.
657. The speaker's name should follow 658.
658. aĩa, i.e. anima.
660. dowe, dove, the form is Scotch.
670. A bouen, for Abouen.
674. frend, a repeated rime.
676. We should expect a versus-mark here, and the paragraph at 678.

## ADDENDUM.

604. belthe. Dr. Bradley writes to point out that two examples of the word belth occur in G. Douglas' Eneid (Works, ed. J. Small, 1874). Thus vol. ii, bk. III, p. 145, 1. 5 : 'that hellis belth' is applied to Charybdis; and vol. iii, bk. viI, p. 104, 1.5 : 'That bismyng belch' (read belth) to Alecto. He adds: 'The sense would agree with the derivation of the word from bale (OE bealo), though the formation is rather difficult. I owe these references to Dr. Craigie.'

## GLOSSARIAL INDEX

Where no meaning is given, the word will be found discussed in the Notes.
absent, 322.
acusid, 546.
affye, 121 , trust.
alye, 122 , kinship.
apocriphum, 6.
assedually, 213, assiduously.
assumpte, 13.
attayne, 34 .
atteyn, 82 , hit, attaint.
avyse, 93 , advice.
be, 97 .
begchis, 3 I .
belave, $58 \%$
belthe, 604 (and Addendum).
berde, 145,237 , youngman or woman.
betake, 301 , entrusted.
betok, 248 , entrusted.
blaberyng, 30 , chattering.
ble, 420 , colour, visage.
brether, 432, brothers.
bychyd, 504.
bygyd, 516.
care, 318, grieve.
celestly, 459 .
clenche, 77.
consorcyte, 137.
convenyens, 249, fitness.
convycte, 193, vanquished.
craggyd, 4I.
curyng, 352.
dentis, 89 , blows.
detent, 265 , withheld.
diuercyte, 133 , mischief, evil.
dodemvsyd, 498.
doth, 5 I , causes.
dowe, 660.
dyssend, 393, descended.
dyswary, 6 , doubt.
dyueris, 35 I.
empere, 649, empire.
facyon, 564 , face.
feble, 377, grow feeble.
felthe, 601 .
fer as, 46.
fest, 654 , make fast.
fettyn, 615 .
fiftene, 8 , fifteenth.
fise, 97.
flum, 20, river.
fon, 49, foes.

## geyne, 509.

glabereris, 509.
gnaggyd, 5 I.
gomys, 51 , gums.
gramly, 509, grievously.
greyn, 663 , dye, stain.
gynnyng, 66, beginning.
halle, $30 \%$
halt, 68.
hende, 189.
herne, 614.
hevyin, 321, grow heavy with grief.
houre, 49, our.
hurle, 512.
hye, 625.
inhabith, 16.
inioyeth, 137, rejoices.
in same, 32 , together.
in serteyn, 208, for sure.
knad, 41.
lake, 18I, 585.
langour, 595, languish.
lare, 310, teach.
lave, 44.
lere, 5 , learn.
like, 46 I , befitting.
liketh, 3 .
liste, 277 , pleasure.
lovnesse, 113.
mad, 528, confounded.
make, 71, 308.
mavmentryes, 601, maumetries, idolatries.
mene, 346 , mediator.
mene, 525 , meney, company.
ment, 319.
mure, 142, demure ; 571, humble. myrable, 231, wonderful.
mys, 346, evil, wrong.
myschefe, 7 I.
not, 481, know not.
ogyl, 488.
payed, 104, 368, pleased.
pere, 426, appear.
pes, 30.
pheso, 233, Ephesus.
pillid, 36.
pleyn, 81, full ; 585.
prest, 653, ready ; 44.
pretende, 132, hold out, present; 196.
pretendist, 263, proposest.
prysis, 44.
purpure, 55.
queme, 247, gratify.
quer, 400, quire (choir).
rappe, 532, rush.
rapt, 234 , transported.
reddure, 53.
relefe, 70.
replyeth, 212.
reyn, 83, 661, reign.
routh, 534 .
rythis, 197.
saluse, 237 , salute.
savacyon, 559.
schamly, 278, shamefully.
schent, 87, destroyed.
schouris, 513 , showers of blows.
sede, 393.
seme, 249, beseem.
semyth, 457 , beseems; 646, seems good.
sengler, 217 , singular.
sent, 314.
ser, 543, sere.
ses, 28.
seure, 145.
seustere, 97, seamstress.
severe, 425.
sithis, 201.
sittyng, 303, befitting.
skele, 238.
snarle, 513 , snare, strangle.
spelle, 272, talk.
spoused, 17, 383.
statis, 33.
stent, 520.
sweme, 243, grieve.
synge, 582.
sythtis, 382.
teme, 245, theme.
tend, 55 I , attend.
tene, 510.
teynt, 510 , attaint, convicted.
thore, 170, 268, there.
tryst, 28I, trust.
went, 19.
wod, 52 I .
wolcom, 145 .
won, 52.
word, 188 , world.
wyndand, 533.
wys, 368 , iwis, certainly.
wysse, 207, direct.
yate, 353, gate.
yn, 262.
yowth, 528.
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[^0]:    138 discendet] $s$ altered from $c$ ? second erased. and rewritten.

    141,143 is... is] first interlined, 148 dith is] is apparently erased 157 presense] final e added. 163 a] inserted.

[^1]:    183 myhty] first $y$ over beginning of an $\mathrm{h} \quad 192$ yow lady] expunged and crossed out. 202 ageyn] e altered from a 203 ascendet $]$ second $e$ altered from $i \quad 206$ pshalmodyeth] is altered from $\mathrm{c} \quad 207$ beginning of $y$ expunged and crossed out.

[^2]:    hic pulsabit sup portam intrante domũ marie $S^{i}$ dicente
    Cheyl moder mary maydyn perpetuaft

    S
    A wolcome mayde Ioћn $\cdot$ wyth att myn hte in specyalt
    For Ioye of youre p'sence myn herte gynnyth sweme thynke ye not Ionn how my child eternatt when he hynge on cros sayd vs this teme lo here thy sone womã. so bad he me you call and you me moder $\cdot$ eche othir to queme he betok you the gou'nayl there of my body terestyalt
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