# THE BOOK WAS DRENCHED

# LIBRARY LIBRARY UNIVERSAL

# UNIVERSAL LIBRARY

# THE

•

# HARVARD ORIENTAL SERIES

# HARVARD ORIENTAL SERIES

EDITED

WITH THE COÖPERATION OF VARIOUS SCHOLARS

BY

CHARLES ROCKWELL LANMAN

WALES PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY

Vol. VIII

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS PUBLISHED BY HARVARD UNIVERSITY



# Post Graduati- Lilla. y College of Arts & Commerce, O. U.

TRANSLATED

WITH A CRITICAL AND EXEGETICAL COMMENTARY

BY

## WILLIAM DWIGHT WHITNEY

Late Professor of S inskrit in Yale University, Knight of the Royal Prussi.in Order Pour le Mérite, (vresponding Member of the Imperial Russian Academy of Sciences, of the Institute of France, and of the Royal Prussian Academy of Sciences, Foreign \* Member of the Royal Academy der Lincei of Rome, Honorary Member of the Aviatic Society of Bengal, of the Royal Asiatic Society of Great Britain and Ireland, and of the German Oriental Society, etc., Editor-in-Chief of The (entury Dictionary, an Encyclopedic Lexicon of the English Language

REVISED AND BROUGHT NEARER TO COMPLETION AND EDITED

BY

CHARLES ROCKWELL LANMAN

# SECOND HALF BOOKS VIII TO XIX. INDEXES

### Pages 471-1046

## CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS PUBLISHED BY HARVARD UNIVERSITY 1905

CAMBRIDGE, MASS., U.S.A. . . . Publication Agent of Harvard University. BOSTON, NEW YORK, CHICAGO, SAN FRANCISCO . . . . . . . . . . . Ginn & Company.



LONDON: GINN & COMPANY . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . Querstrasse 14.

\*\*\* Volumes VII. and VIII. are not sold separately. A copy of the two volumes, postage paid, may be obtained directly anywhere within the limits of the Universal Postal Union, by sending a Postal Order for the price as given below, to *The Publication Agent of Harvard* University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States of America.

The price of this work (being the two volumes VII. and VIII. of the Harvard Oriental Series, taken together) is five dollars (\$5.00). According to the conversion-tables used in the United States money-order system as the basis of international money-orders, five dollars (\$5.00) = 20 shillings and 7 pence=20 marks and 92 pfennigs=25 frances or lire and 75 centimes = 18 kroner and 59 ore=12 floring and 35 cents, Netherlandish.

COPYRIGHT, 1904 BY HARVARD UNIVERSITY

ૡ૽૱**ઌૡ૽ૼ૱ૡૡૻૼ૱ૡ૱ૡ૱૱ૡૡ**ૡૻૻૡૻૻૡૻૻૡૻૻૡૻૻૡૻૻૡૻૻૡૻૻૡૻૻૡ૽ૻ૱ आ द्वेपगरिष मुडे छ रेन्द्र दे गुल्लमार्ड : श्रविष्ट्र सभारमुर म्ह्यान्ययुमण्टलम् भाग्ध्राम् क्रिम म्र्यमेवनग्भेभाग्रिय राषवनम् छिः सम्ह द्वरात मनराउ दिमावठमियन भेयुद्धम् असंगतः (শেশন/অলপেতবনম্ব্যন) শ্পকার 149765 ચ**વામન્**ર્કુસ્ટ્રુઝાર્ડ્સ ર વપ્ 5 જ ર મનગોવમું 3 राज्यप्रति ज्यहाः मग्जि मिन्द्र नवा चार्वा "छिरुवित्रण यहावाउझाग्रिस्भवासु उव्याभाइनि यवाभाडुग्रेसाक्रि איזיזגיעייצועדיייציוני גוש וציציעענייצוערייצייערא चन्य्युथःयावे सुधिभुड्वा स्त्राभु मि भुवः यघः अर्दे हु डियव भिन् जिन्द्य के मानु दिरु कि मुर्ह्य का मु कि का हा यहा यम स् विर्वे यहा sa रामे।यवामगण्भार हीमुकुडिमिवहुडा इर મભભ भद्ध इरासभासमन्द्रभाने) यहार्यमंत्रग्रिकः यमः। भभभाउभम्भर विषयमः र २ र यसव માં મુંચ્ડ્રગ્ય ા ચમ સુરુભે મહા મહા સાથ થય સુરુ राम पहुर्गामा "कुरिट्रेकुडिमियमुउ। इराजामा भारतिहुउधमा १४ अ० \* अवस्थिय अस्ट्रा अस्थाल समकुमल \$ 3 3 3 5 1 A 2 --- A 3 4 4 3 5 2 5 1 2 5 1 4 3 4 4 3

## Book VIII.

[The second grand division of the Atharvan collection comprehends books viii.-xii. It consists wholly of hymns of more than twenty verses, and contains all the hymns of that length except such as for especial reasons were placed in the later books. Leaving out of account the later books, there are forty-five such hymns; and these have been divided into five books, of which the first four, books viii., ix., x., and xi., have ten hymns each, while the remaining five hymns make up the twelfth book. Disregarding ix. 6 and xi. 3 (paryāya-hymns), it may be noted that books viii.-xi. contain all the hymns of from twenty to fifty verses to be found in the first two grand divisions, and that book xii. contains all of more than that number in the same divisions. "Of any other principle of arrangement dependent on the length of the different hymns, no trace is to be observed," says Whitney. For the divisions of book viii, see below. The whole book has been translated by Victor Henry, Les livres VIII et IX de l'Atharva-véda traduits et commentés, Paris, 1894. The commentary ("of Sāyana") breaks off at the end of hymn 6 and we have no more of it till the beginning of book xi. But in lieu of the missing introductions, Shankar Pandurang Pandit gives introductions of his own, modelled on those of the bhāsya, and drawn from the same sources, the Kāuçika, the Keçavī, etc.

[The Major Anukramanī, at the beginning of § 2 of its treatment of book viii., says that, 'up to the end of book xi., the *artha-sūktas* constitute the norm and the *paryāyas*, beginning with *virād vā* (viii. 10. 1), the exception ': yāvad ekāda(akāudāntam artha-sūkta-prakrtis, tāvad vihāya paryāyān virādvā-prabhrtīn iti. And in fact, all through books viii.-xii., and even to the end of book xviii., every arthasūkta is by one ms. or another designated as an arthasūkta (xviii. 2 is an exception, solitary and doubtless casual). — In this place it may be well to enumerate the *paryāya*-hymns (including those also of the next division) and to print an account of the way in which the mss. present them. The account (barring slight changes) is by Mr. Whitney, and is written on a loose slip of paper found in his Collation-book at viii. 10. 1.]

[The paryāya-hymns are eight in number and are scattered over seven different books. Specifically, and with the number of *paryāyas* in each, they are as follows: viii. 10 (with 6 *paryāyas*); ix. 6 (with 6); ix. 7 (with 1); xi. 3 (with 3); xii. 5 (with 7); xiii. 4 (with 6); book xv. (18 *paryāyas*); book xvi. (9 *paryāyas*).] They are called  $pary\bar{a}ya$ -s $i\bar{k}tas$  in distinction from those among which they occur and which are named artha-s $i\bar{k}tas$ . The separate portions of which they are composed are called each a  $pary\bar{a}ya$  [or also  $pary\bar{a}ya$ -s $i\bar{k}ta$ ]. Each  $[pary\bar{a}ya$  taken separately] is in the mss. numbered as to its verses separately; but they [the  $pary\bar{a}ya$  of a given group taken together] so evidently constitute each a whole, as shown by the sense and likewise by the manner in which the Anukramanis treat them, that we [R. and W.] regarded it as beyond question that they should be numbered continuously, to save a citation by more than three numbers. [In this connection should be consulted SPP's "Critical Notice," prefixed to vol. i., p. 19 ff.]

Each *paryāya* has its own summing up at the end: if a numbered division corresponding to an *rc* is composed of more than one divided portion, it is called a *gana*, and its subdivisions *avasāna-rcas* or *ganā 'vasāna-rcas*. Thus the first division [of viii. 10] is summed up as follows: *paryāya*h 1, *ganāh* 6, *ganāvasānarcah* 13; and it is by the latter number that the Anukr. treats it. Similarly the third *paryāya* [of viii. 10] is summed up as *paryāya-sūktam* 1, *ganāh* 4, *ganāvasānarcah* 8. [Cf. the summation at the end of viii. 10.]

In xii. 5 the divisions are called *vacanāni* or *vacanā 'vasāna-rcas*. In *paryāyas* 5 and 6 of xiii. 4 a distinction is made between *avasānarcah* and *gaņāvasānarcah*, those which have the refrain receiving the latter designation.

The divisions of books xv. and xvi. are likewise *paryāyas*, and their subdivisions are styled *avasānarca* or *gaņāvasānarca* in the same manner.

[The anuvāka-division of each of the ten-hymned books viii.-xi. is into five anuvākas of two hymns each; and that of book xii. (consisting of five long hymns) is also into five anuvākas, but these are of one hymn each, so that here the anuvāka-division and the hymn-division coincide. The comm. divides the anuvākas into hymns in a manner nearly like that described for book vii. (see p. 388): that is, his "hymns" are mechanical decads of verses, with an overplus or shortage in the last "decad" when the total for the hymn (not — as in book vii. — for the anuvāka) is not an exact multiple of ten. A tabular conspectus for book viii. follows:

Anuvākas	I		2		3		4		5	
				·		<u> </u>				
Hymns	i I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Verses	21	28	26	25	22	26	28	24	26	33 T
Decad-div.	10 + 11	10+10+8	10+10+6	10+10+5	10 + 12	10+10+6	10 + 10 + 8	10 + 14	10 + 10 + 6	бр

Here ¶ means "paragraph of a *paryāya*" (such as is numbered as a "verse" in the Berlin edition) and P means "*paryāya*." The last line shows the "decad"-division. These divisions are shown also in the Berlin edition. Of these "decads," *anuvākas* 1, 2, 3, and 4 contain respectively 5, 6, 5, and 5 (in all, 21 "decads"); while *anuvāka* 5 has 3 "decads" and 6 *paryāyas*. The sum is 24 "decad"-s*īktas* and 6 *paryāya-sūktas* or 30 *sūktas*. Cf. again the summation at the end of hymn 10.]

#### 1. For some one's continued life.

[Brahman.— ekazuni, akam. ārsy (ārtuv?) āvusvam. trāistubham: 1. purobrhatī tristubh; 2, 3, 17–21. anustubh; 4, 9, 15, 16. frastārafankti; 7. 3-p. virād gāyatrī; 8. vurāt fathyābrhatī; 12 3-au. 5-p. jagatī; 13. 3-p. bhurun mahābrhatī; 14. 1-au. 2-p. sāmnī bhurig brhatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi., with verse 17 after 20. [Partly prose, "verse" 14.] [Hymns 1 and 2 together are used with others in Kāuç. in the *upanayana* (55.17), with touching the student's navel, and again with others in rites for long life (58.3, 11). The comm. cites them from Naks. K. 23 in a mahāçānti. They are reckoned by Kāuç. to the āyusya gana (note to 54.11). For vs. 10, see below.]

Translated: Muir, v. 444; Ludwig, p. 495; Henry, 1, 35; Griffith, i. 385; Bloomfield, 53, 569.

I. To the ender Death [be] homage. Let thy breaths, expirations, rest here. Let this man be here with his life  $(\dot{asu})$ , in the portion of the sun, in the world of the immortal.

Ppp. puts our second pāda last.

2. Up hath Bhaga taken him, up Soma rich in shoots [hath taken] him, up the heavenly Maruts [have taken] him, up have Indra-and-Agni, for his welfare.

Or *ançumant* means 'rich in rays,' Soma having its secondary sense of 'moon': both were probably in the author's mind.

3. Here [be] thy life, here breath, here life-time, here thy mind; we bear thee up from the fetters of perdition with divine speech.

4. Step up from here, O man, fall not down, loosening down the fetter  $(p\dot{a}db\bar{i}ca)$  of death; be not severed from this world, from the sight (samdrc) of fire, of the sun.

The mss., as usual, vary between pádviçam and pádb, and SPP. adopts the former; the comm. has the latter.

5. Let the wind, Mātariçvan, be cleansing for thee; for thee let the waters rain immortal things; may the sun burn weal for thy body; let death compassionate thee; do not thou perish.

Pavatām 'be cleansing' might properly enough be rendered simply 'blow.'

6. Up-going [be] thine, O man, not down-going; length of life  $(j\bar{v}v \delta tu)$ , ability, I make for thee; for do thou ascend this immortal easy-running chariot, then shalt thou in advanced age (?jirvi) speak to the council  $(vid\delta tha)$ .

Both here and at xiv. 1. 21, our mss. on the whole read decidedly *jtrvis* (only P.M. have *jivrts*, Bp. *jtvis*, here), and SPP. reports all his authorities without exception as giving it, so that it is without question the true AV. reading (as against RV. *jlvri*); the comm. reads *ajirvis*, and glosses it with *ajirvas*, and Ludwig renders 'lebenskraftig.'

7. Let not thy mind go thither; let it not be lost  $(tir\delta - bh\bar{u})$ ; do not neglect (pra-mad) the living, go not after the Fathers; let all the gods defend thee here.

8. Do not regard  $(\bar{a}$ - $dh\bar{a}$ ) the departed, who lead [one] to the distance; ascend out of darkness, come to light; we take hold on thy hands.

Ppp. begins c with  $ud \bar{a} roha$ , which makes the pāda a good *tristubh*; the omission of *e 'hi* would rectify it to an *anustubh*. The comm. omits *c 'hi*. Ppp. also reads *hastam* in **d**. [With **b**, cf. v. 30. 12 **b**]

473

9. Let not the dark and the brindled one, sent forth, [seize] thee, that are Yama's dogs, road-defenders; come thou hitherward; do not hesitate; stand not there with mind averted.

Ppp. reads  $m\bar{a}$  'va for  $m\bar{a}$  vi in c. The comm. omits *prisitāu* in a; he twice supplies  $b\bar{a}dhat\bar{a}m$  as the missing verb in a.

10. Do not follow that road; that is a frightful one — the one thou hast not gone before, that I speak of; to that darkness, O man, do not go forth; [there is] fear in the distance, safety for thee hitherward.

[Kāuç. reckons the vs. to the *abhaya gaṇa*, note to 16.8.] Ppp. mutilates *tamas* in **c** to *tam*. The comm. reads *purastāt* in **d**. [For *iyátha*, see *Gram*. § 801 d.]

II. Let the fires that are within the waters defend thee; let that defend thee which human beings kindle; let Vāiçvānara, Jātavedas defend [thee]; let not [the fire] of heaven consume thee along with the lightning.

Our text should read in  $d m \hat{a} pr \hat{a} dh \bar{a}k$ ; the omission of  $pr \hat{a}$  is an error of the press. Ppp. reads  $m \bar{a} pr a dah \bar{a}t$ .

12. Let not the flesh-eating [fire] plot against thee; move far from the destroying (*sámkasuka*) one; let heaven defend, let earth defend thee; let both sun and moon defend thee; let the atmosphere defend from the gods' missile.

Most of the mss. (not our Bp.P.M.I.) read  $r\dot{a}ksat\bar{a}m$  in **d**, which SPP. accordingly (following all his authorities) retains, though the accent is not defensible. [I can find no note to the effect that P.M.I leave  $raksat\bar{a}m$  unaccented.] Ppp. puts pādas **a**, **b** after **c**, **d**. The comm. reads  $samkusuk\bar{a}t$  in **b**.

13. Let both the knower and the attender defend thee; let both the sleepless one and the unslumbering one defend thee; let both the guardian and the wakeful one protect thee.

In bodhá and pratībodhá, in **a**, the radical sense is perhaps more that of 'wake.' The comm. understands six rishis bearing these several appellations to be intended. [Cf. the closely related v. 30. 10, above; also MGS. ii. 15. 1 a, b, c, d, and the Index to their pratīkas.] A similar formula is found also in K. xxxvii. 10; compare further PGS. iii. 4. 17. Ppp. reads anavadrāņiç ca in **b**. [In **b**, the first ca might be dropped, without hurting the meter.]

14. Let these defend thee; let these guard thee; to these [be] homage! to these hail!

After gopāyantu, Ppp. inserts te tvām hasassāyatu.

15. Let Vāyu, Indra, Dhātar, the preserving Savitar, assign thee unto converse with the living; let not breath, strength, leave thee; we call after thy life.

The *pada*-text has samound in **a**, and the translation follows this (cf. vyousi from root vas), as being on the whole probably the understanding of the text-makers; if they

-viii. I

had seen in the word anything of the root *mud*, they would have divided *saomúde*; and yet it is very likely that it is a corruption for *samomúde*; the comm. glosses it with *sammodāya*, as if the reading were *sammúde*. No variant from Ppp. is noted.

The comm. divides our 15-17 into two long verses, ending 15 with katha syah. His intention seems to be to make just twenty verses of the hymn.

16. Let not the jaw-snapping (?) grinder (*jambhá*), let not the darkness find thee, let not the tongue-wrencher (?); how shouldst thou be one that perisheth? up let the Ādityas, the Vasus bear thee, up let Indra-and-Agni, for thy welfare.

The translation implies a bold emendation of the unintelligible *jihvå å barhis* to *jihvåbarhås*, formed like *muskābarhås* [at iii. 9. 2]; Ludwig has a kindred conjecture, *å barhis* (aor.). The comm. thinks of a demon's tongue stretched to the size of a *barhis*. The rendering of *samhanu* agrees with that of the Petersburg Lexicon, and with the comm's first gloss, *samhatadanta*; he adds as an alternative *samhatahanur jambho 'sthūladantah*. [But cf. v. 28. 13 and note.] Ppp. reads, for **b**, *mā jihvacaryah prasuyus kathāsya*.

17. Up hath heaven, up hath earth, up hath Prajāpati caught thee; up out of death have the herbs, with Soma for their king, made thee pass.

Put after vs. 20 in Ppp., as noted above.

18. Be this man just here, O gods; let him not go yonder from hence; him by what is of thousand-fold might do we make pass up out of death.

19. I have made thee pass up out of death; let the vigor-givers blow together; let not the women of disheveled locks, let not the evil-wailers, wail for thee.

The 'evil-wailers,' perhaps professional lamenters of death or other misfortune, appear again at xi. 2. 11. The comm., in **a**, has *apiparan*, which SPP., without sufficient reason, is inclined to regard as the original reading. For the fuller use of 'blow together,' see 2. 4 below. [For *agha-rúd*, see Bloomfield, AJP. xi. 339; Caland, *Todten-gebrauche*, Note 106\*. See also his note 517.]

20. I have taken, I have found thee; thou hast come back renewed; whole-limbed one! I have found thy whole sight, and thy whole life-time.

The verse is RV. x. 161. 5, which has another  $tv\bar{a}$  after  $\dot{a}$  'hārṣam in **a**, and the voc. punarnava [with unlingualized n] at end of **b**, with both of which variants the comm. agrees, while Ppp. also gives the former. [For the lingualized n, see Prāt. iii. 82.]

21. It hath shone out for thee; it hath become light; darkness hath departed from thee; away from thee we set down death [and] perdition, away the *yaksma*.

The comm. also recognizes vy avāt as coming from root vas 'shine,' glossing it with vyāucchat: compare tasmāi vyāuchat PB. xvi. 1. 1. [For the form, cf. Gram. § 890 a and § 167.]

[The first artha-sūkta, so called (see above, p. 472, top), ends here. The quoted Anukr. says ekavinçakam ihā "dyam ucyate. It adds, further, sūktaçaç ca gaṇanā pravartate.] [See p. cxl.]

#### 2. To prolong some one's life.

[Brahman.— aştāvinçakam. ārsy (ārtvy?) āyusyam. trāistubham\*: 1, 2, 7. bhurij; 3. āstārapaākti; 4. prastārapaākti; 6. pathyāpaākti; 8. purastāj jyotismatī Jugutī; 9. 5-p. jagatī; 11. vustārapaāktu; 12. purastādbrhatī; 14. 3-av. 6-p. jagatī; 15. pathyāpaāktu; 19. uparistādbrhatī; 21. satakpaūkti; 26. āstārapaāktu; 22, 28. purastādbrhatī; 5, 10, 16-18, 20, 23-25, 27. anustubh (17. tripāt).]

Found also in Päipp. xvi., all but the last verse, and with 9 before 8. \*[Verse 13 appears to be the one upon the strength of which the Anukr. declares the hymn to be *trāistubham* (its remaining 27 vss. being exceptions !); and even this is no real *tristubh.* It counts indeed 44 (8 + 12:12 + 12) and might be called *purastāj jyotişmatī*.]

| Vāit. uses only vs. 16: sce under 16. - The uses by Kāuç. are many. For the uses of this hymn with h. I, see introd. to h. I. Further, in the name-giving ceremony, it is used (58.14) with pouring a continuous stream of water on the youth's right hand; and this is followed (58.15) by the binding on of an amulet of deodar (see note to vs. 28 below); and the use of vs. 16 is especially prescribed (58.17: the text of the sūtra in the comm. differs from that of Bl.) to accompany the putting a new garment upon him. Vss. 12-13 are prescribed (97.3) in case of family quarrels (see also note to vs. 9 below); vs. 14 (comm., 14-15) is used in the tonsure ceremony (54.17); and again vs. 14 (comm., 14-15), on the child's first going out of the house (58. 18). Vs. 17 was previously prescribed for the same tonsure ceremony (53.19: the comm. reads kşuram abhyukşya trih pramārsti) on sprinkling and wiping the razor; and the same vs. is substituted for vi. 68. 3 by the Daça Karmāni in the same ceremony (53. 17 note); furthermore, it is used at the beginning of the ceremony of the reception of the Vedic student (55.3). Vs. 18 (comm., 18-19) is used on the first feeding of the child (with rice and barley: 58.19); and vss. 20 and 22 on his "committal" (58. 20, 21) respectively "to day and night" and "to the seasons." - Bloomfield (note to 58.17) cites a passage describing the four "committals": I. to heaven and earth, with vss. 14-15; 2. to rice and barley, with vss. 18-19; 3. to day and night, with vs. 20; 4. to the seasons, with vs. 22. — Finally, the comm. regards vs. 15 as intended, with v. 1.7 etc., at Käuç. 46. 1-3, in the rite against false accusation.

Translated : Muir, v. 447 ; Ludwig, p. 496 ; Henry, 4, 39 ; Griffith, i. 388 ; Bloomfield, 55, 573.

I. Take thou hold on this bundle (?) of immortality; unsevered length of life be thine; I bring back thy life, [thy] life-time; go not to the welkin (*rájas*), to darkness; do not perish.

SPP. with all his authorities save one (which has sni) reads cnistim in a, and this must doubtless be regarded as the true AV. text: compare iii. 17.2. The comm. glosses it here with *prasnuti* 'a dripping forth,' and then explains *amrtasya cnusti* as the stream of water which, according to one direction in Kāuç. (58.14), is to be poured out while the hymn is recited. He glosses *rajas* with *rāga*, and explains it and *tamas* as the two familiar *gunas* so called: it is, indeed, a little startling to find the two names here side by side.

2. Come thou hitherward unto the light of the living; I take thee in order to life for a hundred autumns; loosening down the fetters of death, imprecation, I set for thee further a longer life-time.

Some of SPP's mss. accent falsely *abhythi* in **a**. Ppp. transposes the order of **c** and **d**, and reads *lokam* for  $arv\bar{a}\bar{n}$  in **a**.

3. From the wind have I found thy breath, from the sun I thy sight; what is thy mind, that I maintain in thee; be in concord with thy limbs; speak with thy tongue, not babbling.

The comm. reads in d viçvāngāis and ālapan.

4. I blow together upon thee with the breath of bipeds [and] quadrupeds as upon [new-] born fire; homage, O death, to thy sight, homage to thy breath have I made.

5. Let this man live; let him not die; him we send together; I make a remedy for him; death, do not slay the man.

The majority of the mss. (including all ours save Bp.O.) leave *mrtyo* in **d** accentless. [Both editions read *mrtyo*.]

6. The lively, by-no-means-harming, living herb, the preserving, overpowering, powerful, do I call hither, for this man's freedom from harm.

[Pādas a and b are repeated at viii. 7. 6.] The accent of the two participles jīvantīm and trāyamānām seems to mark them as appellatives rather than proper participles. Naghāriṣā, like naghamārā, seems a fusion of the phrase na ghā (or gha) riṣyati etc.; the pada-mss. chance mostly to agree in the frequent error of reading r for ri(naghaoṛṣām; Bp. oṛiṣām); the comm. reads and explains nagharuṣām, taking -ghaas representing root han: yasyāh kopo 'pi na ghātakah; he regards the plant intended as the pāṭhā (Clypea hernandifolia). Ppp. reads naghāriṣam, adds arundhatīm after sahasvatīm in d, and has hvaye for huve. The long t in oṣadhīm is expressly taught by Prāt. iii. 6; naghāriṣām is mentioned in the introduction to the fourth chapter (add. note 4, at II. 7).

7. Bless thou [him]; do not take hold; let him loose; even being thine, let him be one of completed years (?) here; O Bhava-and-Çarva, be ye gracious; yield protection; driving away difficulty, bestow ye life-time.

The obscure - $h\bar{a}yas$  in **b** is translated here as if akin with  $h\bar{a}yana$  [cf. vs. 8 d]; the comm. glosses sarvahāyas with sarvagati; the Petersburg Lexicons conjecture 'having complete liveliness or power.' For sain, the comm. reads sam [and joins it with srja, supplying  $pr\bar{a}n\bar{a}is$ ].

8. Bless thou this man, O death ; pity him ; let him go up from here ; unharmed, whole-limbed, well-hearing, hundred-yeared by old age, let him attain enjoyment with himself.

Ppp. reads him for 'yam in b, and combines in  $d-e -h\bar{a}yan\bar{a}$  "tm-. The comm. paraphrases  $\bar{a}tm\dot{a}n\bar{a}$  in d with anany $\bar{a}peksah$  san. [Read as 8 + 11:8 + 8 + 8.]

9. Let the missile of the gods avoid thee; I make thee pass from the welkin (rajas); I have made thee pass up out of death; removing afar the flesh-cating Agni, I set for thee an enclosure in order to living.

The comm. reads in c nirāuham; SPP. follows grammatical rule and reads -han jīvthis time [cf. note to 1. 19. 4] because all his sam hitā-mss. happen to agree in doing so; some of ours, however, do not. The comm. explains rájasas in b as mārchālaksanād āvaranāt. [At 97. 6, Kāuç. gives in full, for use in case of a family quarrel (cf. above, introd.), a verse whose first half agrees entirely with the second half of this.]

10. The down-going in the welkin, not to be ventured down upon, which is thine, O death — from that road defending this man, we make *bráhman* a covering (*várman*) for him.

The comm. reads in **b** anavadhrsyam; root dhrs + ava is found only in these two derivatives. For rajasám [cf. Gram. § 1209 b] Ppp. has rajasas; the comm. simply paraphrases the former by rajomayam. One or two of the pada-mss. (including our Bp.) leave mrtyo unaccented in **b**; Ppp. elides 'nav- after it; and, in **c**, combines pathāi 'mam (satisfying the meter).

II. I make for thee breath-and-expiration, old age as [mode of] death, long life-time, welfare; all the messengers of Yama, sent forth by Vivasvant's son, moving about, I drive away.

Ppp. reads in b jarāmrtyum, and, in d, caratā "rān (i.e. carata ārād ?) apa.

12. Afar niggardliness, perdition, away scizure (gráhi), the flesh-eating *piçācás*, every demon that is of evil nature — that we smite away, as it were into darkness.

Or 'like darkness.' The comm. reads in **b** purogrāhim, and, in **d**, eva for iva. Ppp. has tavāi 'va for tat tama iva. 'Afar' and 'away' in **a**, **b** anticipate as it were the 'we smite away' of **d**.

13. Thy breath I win from immortal Agni, from long-lived Jātavedas, that thou mayest take no harm, mayest be immortal in alliance [with him]: that I make for thee; let that prove successful for thee.

A number of the mss. (including our Bp.E.D.) read *fsyās* in c. Ppp. has vanave for vanue in b, and yatrā at beginning of c.

. 14. Let heaven-and-earth be propitious to thee, not distressing, conferring fortune (?abhicri); let the sun burn weal unto thee; let the wind blow weal to thy heart; let the heavenly waters, rich in fatness (payas), flow propitious upon thee.

Ppp. combines sūryā "tapatu in c, reads kṣaranti in e, and adds further at the end *civās te santu oṣadhīḥ*. The comm. gives adhicriyātu in b, glossing it with prāptacrike *criprade*.

15. Propitious to thee be the herbs; I have caught thee up from the lower unto the upper earth; there let both the Adityas, sun and moon, defend thee.

Ppp. reads  $\bar{a}$  'hāriṣam in **b**, and ati for abhi in **c**, and combines -masā ubhā at the end.

-viii. 2

16. What enveloping (*paridhana*) garment thou hast, what inner wrap  $(n\bar{v}i)$  thou makest for thyself, that we make propitious unto thy body; be it not harsh to thy touch.

SPP. reads, "with all his authorities,"  $ddr\bar{u}ksynam$  in d. Our mss. might doubtless all be understood in the same way, but some of them look more like  $-d\bar{u}$ - or  $-d\bar{u}$ -;  $-r\bar{u}$ -, which our text unfortunately gives, is not found in any; neither  $r\bar{u}ksyna$  nor  $dr\bar{u}ksyna$ appears to be met with elsewhere; the comm. glosses with  $ar\bar{u}ksyna$ ; he also reads açnute for astu te at the end. Ppp. has 'duksanam. [Vait. (10.6) employs the vs. in the paçubandha on draping the sacrificial post.]

17. In that with a dangerous (? marcdyant) very sharp (sutejds) razor thou, a hair-dresser, shearest hair and beard, adorning the face, do not thou steal away our life-time.

The translation given implies in c the reading *cumbhan*, which, it can hardly be questioned, is the true one, although it is read by only one ms. (our W.) and by Ppp.; the rest of our mss. all have, and our edition with them, cúmbham. SPP accepts the unintelligible *cibham*, with the comm., and with, as he reports, the majority of his authorities, the rest reading, like ours, cúmbham; the comm. explains cubham with diptain tejasvi and has to supply after it kuru to make any sense. Ppp. further reads -cmacrū in b, and māi 'nam for mā nas in c. According to the distinct direction of the Prāt. (ii. 76), we ought to read ayus prá in d, and its authority is sufficient to establish that as the true text, against both the editions; half SPP's authorities give it, though only one of ours (R.); on such a point the mss. are often at odds, and their evidence of little weight. The verse occurs also in several Grhya-Sūtras, AGS. (i. 17. 16); PGS. (ii. 1. 19), and HGS. (i. 9. 16); all read supeçasā in a; in b, HGS. has vaptar, PGS. vapati, AGS. and PGS. keçān; in c, the two latter have cunddhi ciras, HGS. varcayā mukham; in d, AGS. and PGS. give asya for nas; all have dyuh pra. [Found also MP. ii. 1.7: see also MGS. i. 21.7 and p. 153.] [Cf. Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 184. |

18. Propitious to thee be rice and barley, free from *balåsa*, causing no burning (?); these drive off the *yáksma*; these free from distress.

Compare Grohmann in *Ind. Stud.* ix. 399. The comm. does not connect abalāsāú with balāsa, but regards it as a-bala-asa, and glosses it with çārīrabalasyā 'kseptārāu. Adomadhāú (cf. adomadám, vi. 63. I, and note) is very obscure; Ppp. reads instead adhomadhāu; the comm. adomadhū, glossing it with upayogānantaram madhurāu. Ppp. reads yatas for etāu in both c and d, and follows it in d by muūcata mā 'nhasah.

19. What thou eatest  $(a_{f})$ , what thou drinkest, of grain, milk of the plowing — what should be eaten, what should not be eaten — all food I make for thee poisonless.

The comm. reads strangely krchrāt instead of krsyās in b.

20. Both to day and to night, to them both we commit thee. Defend ye this man for me from the *ardyas* that seek to devour [him].

Some of SPP's authorities, also the comm. and Ppp., read *dadhmasi* at end of **b**. Ppp. further has *rāyebhyas* at beginning of **c**, and *nas* (for *me*) in **d**. The comm. explains *arāyebhyas* as = *adhanebhyo dhanāpahartṛbhyo vā*. 21. A hundred, a myriad years, two periods (*yugá*), three, four, we make for thee; let Indra-and-Agni, let all the gods, approve thee, not showing enmity.

The second half-verse is i. 35.4 c, d. The 'periods' here are not at all likely to be those of the later chronology, though the comm. naturally thinks them so. [Alternatively, he makes yuge = 'generations.'] Ppp. has santu for krumas in b, and omits te in c. The pada-mss. read te: dnu instead of te: dnu: compare under i. 35.4. [We had a "satukpaākti" at vi. 20.3.]

22. Unto autumn, unto winter, unto spring, unto summer, we commit thee; [be] the rains pleasant to thee, in which the herbs grow.

Ppp. has again dadhmasi in b.

• 23. Death is master of bipeds; death is master of quadrupeds; from that death, lord of kine, I bear thee up; [so] do thou not be afraid.

Ppp. reads for d ud dharāmi sa mā mrta [intending mrthās?].

24. Thou, unharmed one, shalt not die; thou shalt not die, be not afraid; [men] die not there, nor go to lowest darkness.

Ppp. gives in c *pra miyante* — a better reading, as rectifying the meter. [Pāda b occurs as vs. 1 a of a *khila* to RV. i. 191, with the two clauses inverted.]

25. Every one, verily, lives there — ox, horse, man, beast — where this charm (*bráhman*) is performed, a defense (*paridhí*) unto living.

The verse has a correspondent in TA. (vi. 11. 12), but with a different first pāda: TA. makes it *ná vāi tátra prá mīyate* (nearly as our 24 c in Ppp.).

26. Let it protect thee from thy fellows, from witchcraft, from thy kinsmen; be thou undying, immortal, surviving; let not thy life-breaths (dsu) leave thy body.

Ppp. reads sugantubhyas at end of b.

27. The deaths that are a hundred and one, the perditions  $(n\bar{a}strat)$  that are to be over-passed — from that let the gods free thee, from Agni Vāiçvānara.

Ppp. reads in **b** nāstrātta (-tu?) jīvyāh. [See note to iii. 11. 5 for "101 deaths."]

28. Agni's body art thou, successful  $(p\bar{a}rayisni)$ ; demon-slayer art thou, rival-slayer, likewise expeller of disease, a remedy  $p\bar{u}tidru$  by name.

Pūtūdru is (OB.) Acacia catechu or Pinus deodora; the comm. reads pūtadru and does not attempt [on p. 587] to identify it.\* The mss. vary between  $-n\dot{u}$  and  $-n\dot{u}s$  at end of **a**; our edition reads  $-n\dot{u}s$  (with our P.M.E.s.m.); SPP. adopts  $-n\dot{u}$ , with the great majority of his authorities; the comm. has -nus; Ppp., as noticed above, lacks this verse. There is little to choose in point of acceptability between the two readings. \*[As noted in the introd., the use of the hymn is followed in Kāuç. 58. 15 by the binding on of  $p\bar{u}tu-d\bar{a}ru$  (so Bl's text, with the variant  $p\bar{u}ta$ -; in citing the text, at p. 568,

comm. has  $p\bar{u}ti$ .). This is explained by Daç. Kar. as an "amulet of deodar," devadārumani; and so Dār. and Keç. to 8. 15, and comm. p. 567 end.]

[The first anuvāka, 2 hymns and 49 verses, ends here. The quoted Anukr. (cf. end of h. 1) says ādyasahitam.]

#### 3. To Agni: against sorcerers and demons.

[Cātana.— sadvin, am. āgneyam. trāistubham : 7, 12, 14, 15, 17, 21. bhurij ; 25. 5-p. brhatīgarbhā jagatī ; 22, 23. anustubh ; 26. gāyatrī.]

• Found also in Päipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 1-4, 6, 5, 7-14, 18, 15, 17, 16, 19-22, 24, 26, 25, 23). The first 23 verses are (in slightly different order \*) vss. 1-23 of RV. x. 87, and most of them are found in no other text. [Cf. Oldenberg, *Die Hymnen des RV*. i. 246.] \*[Namely, with 4 after 6, with 17 and 18 inverted, and with 12 between 21 and 22.]

[Kāuç. reckons the hymn to the *cātana* hymns (8.25). The comm. says (p. 587, l. 18 ff.) that the whole *anuraāka*, that is hymn 4 as well as 3, is to be used in a variety of practices, which he details. In the *vaçāţamana* ceremony (44. 16), after the victim's "breath has been stopped" with ii. 34. 5, the performer takes his place at her right and mutters this hymn. Vs. 22 (not 21) is identical with vii. 71. 1, which was prescribed at 2. 10 for use in the *parvan* sacrifices, to accompany the carrying of fire thrice about the offering. Moreover, verses of this hymn are used in four expiatory rites as follows: vss. 15–18 accompany an oblation (112. 1) made when the cows give bloody milk; vs. 26 is used with vi. 63. 4 if spontaneous combustion occurs (46. 23); and the same vs. is used (130. 3) when there appears a bright glow without any fire; and yet again (131. 3), when the fire puffs (*crussati*). Finally, the same vs. is used by Vāit. (6. 11) in the *agnyādheya* (with vi. 19. 2 etc.) with an offering to Agni Çuci.]

Translated : Henry, 7, 43; Griffith, i. 392.

I. I pour ghee upon  $(\bar{a} \cdot ghr)$  the vigorous  $(v\bar{a}jin)$  demon-slayer; I go for broadest protection to the friend; Agni, sharpened, [is] kindled with acts of skill (? krátu); let him by day, let him by night, protect us from harm.

This verse is found further in TS. (i. 2. 14<sup>6</sup>); neither RV. nor TS. offers a variant reading.

2. Do thou, of iron tusks, O Jātavedas, kindled, touch the sorcerers with thy flame (arcis); take hold of the false-worshipers with thy tongue; cutting off (?) the flesh-eaters, shut them in thy mouth.

The comm. reads *dhṛṣṭvā* in **d**, paraphrasing it only with *dharṣitvā*. RV. has *vṛktvā*, which is most probably to be referred to root *vṛj*. Ppp. has *datsvā* (for *dhatsva*).

3. Apply both thy tusks, thou that hast them in both jaws (*ublkyāvin*), the lower one and the upper, being harmful, sharpened; also in the atmosphere go about, O Agni; put together thy grinders upon the sorcerers.

RV. reads danstra a at end of a, and rajan for agne at end of c. Ppp. has dehy [in a, apparently] and api for abhi in d.

4. O Agni, split the skin of the sorcerer; let the harmful thunderbolt smite him with flame (*haras*); crush his joints, O Jātavedas; let the flesh-eating, flesh-craving | one | divide him.

RV. (vs. 5; its vs. 4 is our 6) reads *vrknám* for *enam* at the end. The comm. understands 'a wolf or the like' in **d**, and takes *vi-ci* as 'scatter about, dragging him to and fro to eat him.' It more probably refers to the flesh-eating Agni.

5. Wherever now, O Jātavedas, thou seest a sorcerer standing, O Agni, or also moving, also flying in the atmosphere, him [as] archer, pierce with a shaft, being sharpened.

RV. (vs. 6) has a quite different c, yád vā 'ntárikse pathibhih pátantam. Ppp. (vs. 6) reads in d viddhi çarvā. Many mss. (including our Bp.W.E.O.T.) have sárvā in d.

6. By sacrifices, O Agni, straightening (sam-nam) thine arrows, by speech smearing their tips with thunderbolts — with them pierce in the heart the sorcerers; break back (pratīcás) their arms.

'By sacrifices,' 'by speech' — i.e. in virtue of our offerings and praise. RV. (vs. 4) offers no variant; Ppp. (vs. 5) reads *calyam* in **b**.

7. Both those that are seized do thou win (spr), O Jātavedas, and also the sorcerers that have seized with spears; do thou, O Agni, first, greatly gleaming, smite [him] down; let the variegated raw-flesh-eating ksvinkaseat him.

This verse differs somewhat, and inconsistently, from RV., which has dabdham in  $a_{.}$  and, without  $ut\dot{a}$ ,  $dlebhan\dot{a}t$  . . .  $y\bar{a}tudh\dot{a}n\bar{a}t$  in b, giving the clear sense 'win away him that is seized from the sorcerer that has seized him,' and agreeing with the sing.  $t\dot{a}m$  in d. The AV. version yields no acceptable meaning; and most of the samkitā-mss. read drabdham in a (including our P.M.W.I.: some of the others not noted), as if the word were after all a singular. The comm. reads  $k_{S''a\bar{a}k\bar{a}s}$  in d, and explains it simply as  $pak_{Si'i}c_{i}c_{i}s\bar{a}s$ . He gives a most absurd version of a, b: 'protect (us) who have begun (to praise thee) and (slay) with spears the sorcerers who have made a noise '! [Comm. seems to read *rebhānām* and to take it from root *ribh*: cf. note to vs. 21.] Ppp. has our version of a, b, except that it reads  $ut\bar{a}$  "lab- in a, and omits uta in b [i.e., if I understand R., it appears to begin **b** with  $\bar{a}rebhāna\bar{n}ain$ ].

8. Proclaim thou here which that [is], O Agni — the sorcerer that is doing this; him take hold of with the fuel, O youngest [god]; subject him to the eye of the men-watcher.

RV. inserts another yds at beginning of **b**, and Ppp. has the same. The comm. reads krnosi (explaining it [alternatively] as = krnoti) at end of **b**, and yavisthya at end of **c**. [Better, perhaps, in **a**, 'Proclaim which one he [is]' etc.]

9. With sharp eye, O Agni, defend thou the sacrifice; conduct it forward to the Vasus, O forethoughtful one; thee that art harmful, greatly gleaming against the demons, let not the sorcerers injure, O menwatcher. Ppp. reads *hinsrā* at beginning of c. The comm. appears to regard *abhiçoçucānam* as a compound.

10. A men-watcher, do thou look around for the demon among the people  $(vik_{s}i)$ ; crush back his three points (dgra); crush, O Agni, his ribs with flame (hdras); cut up threefold the root of the sorcerer.

The comm. attempts no explanation of the 'three points,' but simply glosses agra with uparibhāga.

11. Let the sorcerer thrice come within thy reach (? prásiti), who, O Agni, słays truth (rtá) with untruth; roaring [at] him with thy flame (arcís), O Jātavedas, do thou put him down (ni-yuj) before the eyes of the singer.

Our *ní yuñdhi* at the end is a weakened corruption of RV. *ní uṛñdhi*, which is read also by Ppp., the comm., and one of SIP's authorities. SPP. reads *yuñgdhi*, not heeding the rule of the Prāt. (ii. 20) to the contrary. [Cf. his *bhañgdhi* in vs. 6. And in his "Corrections" to vol. ii., he is at pains thrice to correct *vṛñdhi* of p. 71-2 to vṛñgdhi.] The majority of the mss. (including all ours save D.R.p.m.K.) accent *ágne* in **b**; both editions, of course, emend to *agne*. The comm. paraphrases *prásitum* with *jvālām*; he does not deign to add any explanation to *sphūrjáyan*. The occurrence of *enam* in **d** seems to require us to regard *tám* as object of *sphūrjáyan*.

12. What, O Agni, the pair utter in curses today, what harshness (*tṛṣṭá*) of speech the reciters (*rcbluá*) produce: the shaft that is born of fury of the mind — with that pierce thou the sorcerers in the heart.

'That' in **d** is fem., as if referring to the 'shaft' alone; and the comm. regards **a** and **b** as describing faults caused by the sorcerers, which Agni is to requite — which is doubtless the true connection. *Mithunā* is explained as = strīpunsān, and capātas as = parasparam ākrocatas. The verse is RV. vs. 13, its vs. 12 being found much further on, as our vs. 21. Ppp. again reads *viddhi* for *vidhya* in **d**.

13. Crush away the sorcerers with heat; crush away, O Agni, the demon with flame (*háras*); crush away with burning (*arcis*) the false-worshipers; crush away the greatly gleaming ones that feed on lives (? *asutip*).

RV. (vs. 14) is quite different in **d**: párā 'sutrpo abhl çóçucānah. The comm. paraphrases asutrpas with paraprānāir ātmānam tarpayantah.

14. Let the gods crush away today the wicked one (vrjind); let [his] curses sent forth go back upon him; let shafts strike (rch) in the vitals him who steals by [magic] speech; let the sorcerer come within every one's reach.

RV. (vs. 15) reads *tṛṣṭās* (for *sṛṣṭās*) at end of **b**, and the comm. and one of SPP's authorities have the same. The comm. this time paraphrases *prásitim* with *prakarṣeṇa* abhibhavitrīm hetim, adding as alternative agner jvālām.

15. The sorcerer that smears himself (sam-anj) with the flesh of men, who with that of horses, with cattle, who bears [off] the milk of the inviolable [cow], O Agni — their heads cut thou into with flame.

Ppp. reads bharata in c. [The áçveyena of Aufrecht's RV.2 seems to be a misprint.]

483

16. Let the sorcerers bear [off] poison of the kine; let them of evil courses fall under the wrath of Aditi; let god Savitar abandon them; let them lose their share of the herbs.

'Lose': lit. 'have it conquered from them.' RV. (vs. 18: RV. inverts the order of our vss. 16 and 17) reads *pibantu* for *bharantām* (with Ppp.) in **a**, has the proper passive form *vrçcyantām* in **b** (Ppp. has *mrddhyantām*), and leaves *enān* unlingualized in **c**; the lingualization in our text is by Prāt. iii. 80, where the commentary quotes this passage.

17. Yearly [is] the milk of the ruddy [cow]; of that let not the sorcerer partake  $(a_i)$ , O men-watcher; whatever one [of them], O Agni, would fain enjoy (trp) the beestings, him do thou pierce back in the vitals with thy burning (arcis).

Our *pada*-text divides wrongly  $m\hat{a} : \bar{a}c\bar{i}t$  in **b**; RV. has the true reading,  $m\hat{a} : ac\bar{i}t$ . RV. also has *márman* at the end, making the *tristubh* verse regular. Ppp. once more reads *vidhi* (not *viddhi* this time) for *vidhya* in **d**, and *marman* after it.

18. From of old, O Agni, thou killest the sorcerers; the demons have not conquered thee in fights; burn up the flesh-eaters together with their dupes (?  $m\bar{u}r\dot{a}$ ); let them not be freed from thy heavenly missile.

We had this verse above, as v. 29. 11. The only variant in the version of RV. (vs. 19) is that, in c, sahámūrān is put after ánu daha; [so also SV. i. 80, which has besides kayādas for krazyādas]. The comm. regards -mūrān as for -mūlān, and renders it mūlasahitān 'together with their roots,' and it is perhaps one of the cases contemplated by Prāt. i. 66 — at least, the commentary there quotes this passage as one of the instances of substitution of r for l; and it is very likely that the tradition is right.

19. Do thou, O Agni, from below, from above, do thou defend us from behind and from in front; let those [flames] of thine, unaging, extremely hot, greatly paining, burn against the evil-plotter.

RV. (vs. 20) reads *údaktāt* at end of **a**, and *té* for tyé in **c**; in the latter case, the comm. does the same; he supplies *sphuliāgās* as the missing noun in **c**, **d**. An accentmark has dropped out in our edition under the *-du-* of *paçcād utá* in **b**.

20. From behind, in front, below, and above, do thou, O Agni, a poet, protect us about with poesy; [as] friend a friend, [as] unaging in order to old age, [as] an immortal mortals, do thou [protect] us, O Agni.

RV. (vs. 21) reads again *údaktāt* for *utó 'ttarắt* in **a**, also *rājan* for *agne* at end of **b**, and *sákhe* at beginning of **c**; and it combines *-mụé 'gne* between **c** and **d**. The comm. has *martyān* in **d**.

21. Set thou in the reciter, O Agni, that eye with which thou seest the hoof-breaking sorcerers; Atharvan-like, with brightness of the gods, scorch (us) down the truth-damaging fool (acit).

The obscure epithet in **b** is divided in *pada*-text *çapha*<sup>o</sup>ārújaḥ (RV. -jam, and later yātudhānam). The comm. is in part obscure : *çaphārujaḥ çaphavat çaphāḥ : nakhā ity arthaḥ ;* but he adds as alternative atha vā paçurūpadhāriņām çaphā api

sambhavanti: tāir ārujantī 'ti çaphārujaļ: i.e. ' breaking things with their hoofs.' The irregularity of meter allows us to suspect the tradition of the word. The comm. also strangely explains rebhe as çabdam kurvate rakṣase.' [Root ribh: cf. note to vs. 7.] Ppp. reads in **d** aditi for acitam.

The verse is RV. vs. 12, where it is decidedly better in place.

22. Thee the devout, O Agni, powerful one, would we fain put about us [as] a stronghold, [thee] of daring color, day by day, slayer of the destructive one.

• We have had this verse above, as vii. 71. I; for its different correspondences and variants, see the note at that place; [but Ppp. here ends with *bhangurāvatām*]. The comm., though he notes it as 'explained above,' goes on to give a new explanation, curiously accordant with and yet not a little different from the other; the most important point of difference is that, in explaining *pari dhīmahi*, he there gave us our choice between *parito dhārayāmaḥ* and *paridhim kurmaḥ*, while here he gives us our choice between the latter and *dhyāyemahi*. The real reason of the repetition probably is that he this time reads at the end *bhaāgurāvatām*, with RV. [and Ppp.], while before he had no variant from our AV. text. [Here and in vs. 23, W. queries his version of *bhaāg* as he did at vii. 71, which see.]

23. With poison smite thou back the destructive ones, the demoniacs, O Agni, with keen brightness (*cocis*), with heat-pointed flames (*arci*).

RV. in **b** lingualizes the particle to *sma*, and reads *daha* for *jahi*; and it ends **d** with *rsttbhis* instead of the anomalous *arctbhis*. Ppp. has in **c** *cukrena* instead of *tigména*.

The RV. hymn ends with four *anustubh* verses, of which only the first two find place thus in our text.

24. With great light Agni shineth out; he maketh all things manifest by his greatness; he forceth away the ill-conditioned ungodly wiles; he sharpeneth his two horns to gore the demons.

All the authorities read at the end vin/kyve, and even the comm. is with them, calling the v a Vedic accretion ( $vak\bar{a}ropajanaç$   $ch\bar{a}ndasah$ ). RV., in the corresponding verse (v. 2. 9: repeated without variant in TS. i. 2. 147), has vin/kye, which our edition reads by emendation, SPP. retaining the totally inadmissible v, which seems to have blundered into the word out of vl nikyva in the following verse. RV. (and TS.) has before it rdkyase (sing.).

25. The two horns that thou hast, O Jātavedas, unaging, of keen thrust, sharpened by devotion (bráhman) — with them do thou gore, O Jātavedas, the attacking enemy (durhárd), the advancing kimīdin with thy flame (arcis).

At the end of this verse, *niksva* seems to have been taken for a 2d sing. middle; but it is doubtless a corruption \* for *niksa*, the root showing an *a*-stem elsewhere. Ppp. avoids the error by reading *nrcaksali*; and also *yātudhānam* for *arciṣā* before it, which gets rid of yet another difficulty of construction, though it makes the irregular meter yet worse. In our edition, in **d**, the accent-mark which should stand under the *do* of *jātavedo* has slipped out of place to the left, under *ve*. \*[We must assume that the corruption is an old one if the *v* of *vintkṣve* is to be ascribed to it. Since the forms from stem *nikṣa*- are so few (in 3 AV. verses), perhaps we might after all assume that this is a root-class imperative, *nikṣ-ṣva*.

26. Agni drives off the demons, he of bright brightness, immortal, bright, purifying, laudable.

This verse is RV. vii. 15. 10, and is found also in TB. (ii. 4. 16) and MS. (iv. 11. 5): the text is the same in all. [Ritual uses, above.]

[Here ends the third artha-sukta and the quoted Anukr. says trtiyam tu.]

#### 4. Against sorcerers and demons: to Indra and Soma.

[Cātana.— pañcavınçakam. mantroktadevatyam. jāgatam: 8-14, 16, 17, 19, 22, 24. tristubh ; 20, 23. bhurij ; 25. anustubh.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. (with exchange of order between 4 and 5, and between 19 and 20). It is, with no change of order of verses, and with only few and insignificant variants, RV. vii. 104; not a verse occurs further in any other text, so far as known.

[No ritual use of the hymn is prescribed either by Kāuç. or by Vāit. But the comm. regards this hymn as used with the preceding one in a variety of practices : see h. 3.]

Translated: by the RV. translators; and as AV. hymn by Henry, 10, 47; Griffith, i. 396.

1. O Indra-and-Soma, burn the demon, oppress (ubj) [him]; put (arpay) down, ye two bulls, them that thrive in darkness; crush away, scorch down the fools (acit); slay, push, pin  $(c\bar{a})$  down the devourers.

Tamovidh may be (so Ludwig) 'increaser of darkness.' The comm. has nothing better than hins to suggest for ubj.

2. O Indra-and-Soma, against [*abhi*] the evil-plotter, the evil, let heat boil all up [*sam-yas*] like a fiery pot; assign unavoidable hate unto the brahman-hating, flesh-eating *kimīdin* of terrible aspect.

The construction and meaning of the first half-verse are doubtful; the comm. glosses *yayastu* with simple *gacchatu*. RV. has *agnivān* instead of *-mān* in **b**. In our edition, the accent-sign which should stand under *gni* in this word has slipped to the right, under *mān*. [Here W. seems to take *sam* as intensive and to render its force by "all up." Neither in BR. nor in the *Index* is it joined with *yas*: but cf. *sam*-yāsa.]

3. O Indra-and-Soma, pierce ye the evil-doers within their hidingplace (*vavrá*), in untenable darkness, whence there shall not come up again any one soever of them; be that your furious might unto overpowering.

RV. reads at beginning of c yáthā nā 'taḥ pún-. In our edition read duskito in a.

4. O Indra-and-Soma, cause to roll (vrt) from the sky the deadly weapon, from the carth also, a shattering for the evil-plotter; shape out from the mountains (p drvata) the noisy one, wherewith ye burn down the increasing demon.

The comm. glosses svaryà with svaranārha. Ppp. has the easier reading pra haratam for vartayatam in a.

5. O Indra-and-Soma, cause [it] to roll forth from the sky; with fireheated, stone-smiting, unaging heat-weapons do ye pierce the devourers in the abyss; let them go to silence.

Ppp. reads *divas* for *yuvam* in **b**; the comm. has in **d** *nihsvaram*, which would be a good reading, but is against the *pada*-texts of both RV. and AV. (*niosvarám*).

6. O Indra-and-Soma, be there about you on all sides this prayer (*mati*), as a girth [about] two vigorous  $(v\bar{a}jin)$  horses, the invocation (*hotrā*) that I send forth to you with wisdom; these acts of worship (*bráhman*) quicken ye like two lords of men.

RV. reads in **d** nrphiti 'va, as the meter demands, and so do our P.M.W.R.T.K. and part of SPP's authorities, also the comm., and Ppp., and this is, almost beyond question, the true text; but SPP. adopts in his edition nrphiti iva, with the remainder (a majority) of his sainhutā authorities. The pada-reading is probably nrphiti ive 'ti nrphitioiva, as all the pada-mss. except our Bp. (both copies) appear to read, and as the RV. pada reads; but we should altogether expect nrphiti 've' 'ti nrphitioiva, as Bp. reads. The anomaly of the addition of *tti* after *iva* instead of after nrphiti (first time) is noted in Prāt. i. 82 c; the Prāt. takes no notice of the exceptional combination nrpati 'va — which is, to be sure, an argument against its right to stand in the sathhitā-text: see note to Prāt. iii. 33. The retention of dental n in hinómi after pari is prescribed by Prat. iii. 88. Ppp. reads instead pra hinomi. [Cf. Geldner, Ved. Stud. ii. 134.]

7. Remember yc with [your] rapid courses; smite the haters, the destructive demoniacs; O Indra-and-Soma, let there not be case (sugå) for the evil-doer, the hater that at any time vexes me.

RV. reads, in **d**, nas for  $m\bar{a}$ , and  $druh\bar{a}$  for  $druh\bar{u}s$ . Ppp. ends with (for *abhi*...) api kā cid ud ūhuh. The retention of dental s in práti smar- is by Prāt. ii. 102; the passage is there quoted in the commentary. Our comm. glosses tujayadbhis with bala-vadbhis, and attenuates the difficult prati smarcthām to prati gacchatam.

8. Whoever reviles with untrue speeches me walking with simple mind — like waters grasped with the fist, let the speaker of what is not be [himself] non-existent, O Indra.

The comm. glosses *abhicáste* with *abhiçāpain karoti*. The long initial vowel of *āsatas* (p. *ásatak*) is by Prāt. iii. 21, iv. 90; the passage is there quoted.

9. They who distract (*vi-h*?) with [their] courses him of simple intent, or who spoil at their will (*svadhābhis*) what is excellent — let Soma either deliver them up to the serpent, or let him set them in the lap of perdition.

The comm. declares *svadhā* in **b** an *annanāma*, and renders *svadhābhis* by *annāir nimittabhūtāi*h, 'for food'! An accent-mark is wanting in our text over the ya of *dūsdyanti* in **b**.

10. Whoever, O Agni, tries to harm our taste of drink, of horses, of kine, whoever of our bodies — let the enemy, the thief, the theft-committer, go to want (*dablrá*); let him be degraded with self and with posterity.

#### viii. 4– BOOK VIII. THE ATHARVA-VEDA-SAMHITĀ.

RV. reads in by  $\delta$  áçvānām y $\delta$  gávām, and the comm. does the same. [But SPP. reports that Sāyaṇa's text reads b thus: ye aç. ye g. yas t.] A number of our mss. (P.M.W.R.K.) read ví for nt at beginning of d, but SPP. reports no such variant among his authorities. The form sá after ni here is not quoted in the Prāt. commentary. [Join nas rather with the genitives of a and b?]

11. Be he far away, with sclf and with posterity, be he beneath all the three earths, let his glory dry up, ye gods, who by day and who by night tries to harm me.

RV. reads in d nas instead of mā.

12. It is easy of understanding for a knowing man (*jdna*) [that] true and untrue words (*vdcas*) are at variance; of them what is true, whichever is more right, that Soma verily favors; he smites the untrue.

Ppp. reads pasprçāte at end of b.

13. Soma by no means furthers the wicked [man], nor the *kshatriya* who maintains [anything] falsely; he smites the demon; he smites the speaker of untruth; both lie within reach of Indra.

14. If I am one of false gods, or if I put upon  $(?api-\bar{u}h)$  the gods what is vain, O Agni — why art thou angry with us, O Jātavedas ? let them of hateful speech obtain (*sac*) misery of thee.

RV. reads *āsa* instead of *asmi* at end of **a**. The comm. renders *te* in **d** as if it were *te*; for the difficult *apy-ūhé* he gives simply *vahāmi* (mogham vyartham devān stotavyān yastavyānc ta apyūhe vahāmi).

15. May I die today if I am a sorcerer, or if I have burnt (*tap*) a man's lifetime; then let him be divided from ten heroes who vainly says to me "thou sorcerer."

The comm. glosses virāis with *putrāis*, which is probably its virtual meaning: 'may he lose ten heroic sons.' Our *pada*-text differs from that of RV. by dividing *daçáobhi*h in **c**. Ppp. reads *pāuruṣasya* in **b**.

16. Whoever to me that am no sorcerer  $(\dot{ayatu})$  says "thou sorcerer," or whatever demoniac says "I am pure (cici)" — let Indra smite him with a great deadly weapon; may he fall lowest of every creature.

Ayātu doubtless literally 'that have no yātu or familiar demon' (though the proper accent in such case would be ayātu), opposite of yātumant 'possessing such a yātu',' or yātudhāna 'holding or containing such.'

17. She who goes forth in the night like an owl (?), hateful, hiding herself away—may she fall down into an endless hole (*vavrá*); let the [pressing-] stones smite the demoniacs with [their] noises.

RV. again (as in 7 d) reads  $druh\bar{a}$  for  $druh\bar{u}s$  in b; also vavrān anantān dva in c. Ppp. reads  $d\bar{u}has$  in b; and the comm. has upa instead of apa. He glosses khargdlā with  $ul\bar{u}k\bar{i}$ .

-viii. 4

18. Scatter yourselves, O Maruts, among the people (viksú); seek, seize, crush (sam-pis) the demoniacs, who, becoming birds, fly in the nights, or who have put defilements (ripas) on the heavenly sacrifice.

RV. has bhutvt in c. SPP. reads ischata in a, because the great majority of his authorities give it. This is contrary to the established usage of both RV. and AV. (but in accordance with that of SV.), although in nearly every such case a part of the mss. lengthen the vowel; part of ours do the same here, as elsewhere. The comm. glosses ripas with hinsas; and dadhire with dharayanti!

• 19. Cause the stone to roll forth from the sky, O Indra; [it,] sharpened by Soma, do thou wholly sharpen, O liberal one; from before, from away, from below, from above, do thou smite upon the demoniacs with a mountain.

RV. reads in a dem- after divd, and some of SPP's authorities do the same. RV. has also praktad apaktad and údaktad in c; the directions admit also of being understood as from east, west, south, and north.

20. Here fly these dog-sorcerers (*cváyātu*); Indra the unharmable they the harm-seeking seek to harm; the mighty one (cakrá) sharpens his deadly weapon for the treacherous ones (piçuna); now may he let fly (srj) the thunderbolt at the sorcerers (yātumánt).

The epithets like qudyātu in this verse and below in vs. 22 seem by their accent (and by comparison with yātumánt and yātudhāna) to signify strictly one having a dog (etc.) for his familiar demon.' The comm. glosses with quarupadhārinah quasahitā  $\lceil v\bar{a} \rceil$ . Ppp. combines at end of **b** -vo adabhyam.

21. Indra was the crusher-away of the familiar demons (yātú), of the oblation-disturbers, of them who strive to win upon [it]; let the mighty one (cakrá) attack them that are demoniacs as an ax the woods, splitting [them] like vessels.

RV. reads eti in d, and no small share of the AV. mss. (the majority of SPP's) do the same (including our P.s.m.I.D.R.p.m.Kp.); both editions give etu. The AV. pada-text, like the RV., divides and accents in b abh1 : āovivāsatām. The Petersburg Lexicons treat the abhi as if in direct combination with the participle; and they translate 'approach with hostile intent,' which is highly arbitrary. The comm. gives no aid, rendering simply abhimukham gacchatām. Ppp. reads in b -matīnām. | W. would probably have changed "crusher-away" to "demolisher" on the revision. |

22. The owl-sorcerer, the owlet-(?)sorcerer smite thou, the dog-sorcerer and the cuckoo-sorcerer, the eagle-(? suparnd-)sorcerer and the vulturesorcerer - do thou destroy (pra-mrn) the demon, O Indra, as if with a mill-stone.

As to the renderings of these various names for sorcerers, see under vs. 20. For ququlūka- Ppp. has qulūka-, the comm. qiqulūka-. The translation of it is a mere guess, to avoid transferring the word.

489

23. Let not the sorcerous demon reach us; let the *kimīdins* that are paired fatle away; let the earth protect us from earthly distress, let the atmosphere protect us from heavenly.

RV. reads, in **a**, **b**, yātumāvatām ápo 'chatu mithunā yā kimīdinā. Ppp. has kimīdinām. The comm. glosses apo 'chantu with simple apa gacchantu. The padadivision of yāt- in **a** is yātuomāvat both in AV. and in RV.; the word is the subject of Prāt. iv. 8.

24. O Indra, smite the man sorcerer, likewise the woman  $\lfloor who \text{ is } \rfloor$  prevailing with magic  $(m\bar{a}y\bar{a})$ ; let the neckless false-worshipers vanish (?rd); let them not see the sun moving upward.

The obscure *rdantu* in c is glossed by the comm. with *naçyantu*; Ppp. reads *rujanta* instead.  $\zeta_{acadanam}^{a}$  the comm. explains as = *hinsatim*.

25. Look thou on; look abroad; O Soma, Indra also, watch ye; hurl ye the deadly weapon at the demons, the thunderbolt at the sorcerers  $(y\bar{a}tum\dot{a}nt)$ .

[Here ends the second *anuvāka*, with 2 hymns and 51 verses. The quoted Anukr. says *turīyam āhur tha pañcaviniçakam*.]

#### 5. Against witchcraft etc.: with an amulet.

[Çukra. — dvāvinçam. krtyādūşaņadevatvam uta mantroktadevatvam. ānu jubham: 1. uparistādbrhatī; 2. 3-p. vurādgāvatrī; 3. 4-p. bhurgjagatī; 5. samstārapaūkti bhuru; 6. uparistādbrhatī; 7, 8. kakummatī; 9. 4-p. puraskrti jagatī; 10. tristubh; 11. pathyāpaūkti; 14. 3-av. 6-p. jagatī; 15. purastādbrhatī; 19. jagatīgarbhā tristubh; 20. vurādgarbhā\* prastārapaūkti; 21. parāvirāt tristubh; 22. 3-av. 7-p. vurādgarbhā bhuruk çakvarī.]

Found also (except vs. 18) in Pāipp. xvi \* The Berlin ms. reads -garbhā "stāra-, which is more nearly right.

[Kāuç. uses the hymn, with iii. 5 etc., to accompany the binding on of an amulet in a rite (19. 22) for general prosperity; and again, with ii. 11 etc., in a rite (39. 7) against witchcraft. It is reckoned (note to 19. 1) to the *pustika mantras*. To the *svastyayana gana* (note to 25. 36) are reckoned vs. 18 (not 15: and probably not xix. 20. 4, which has the same *pratīka* as 18) and its fellow vs. 19; and to the *abhaya gana* (note to 16. 8), vs. 22. The comm. cites from Naks. Kalpa (19) the use of the hymn in a *mahā-cānti* called *rāudrī*. Vāit. passes the hymn unnoticed.]

Translated : Henry, 14, 50; Griffith, i. 400; Bloomfield, 79, 575.

1. This reverting amulet, a hero, is bound on a hero; heroic, rivalslaying, true hero, a very propitious protection.

The comm. calls the amulet *tilakawrksanirmita*, thus [cf. comm. to vss. 4, 8] identifying *tilaka* and *srāktya*. Ppp. omits our **b** and the first words of our **c**, reading as its **b** *sapatnahas suvirah*: then *paripāṇaḥ* etc.

2. This amulet, rival-slaying, having excellent heroes, powerful, vigorous, overpowering, formidable, a hero, goes to meet the witchcrafts, spoiling [them].

Ppp. reads etu in c.

3. With this amulet Indra smote Vritra; with this he, being filled with wisdom, ruined the Asuras; with this he conquered both, heaven-andearth here; with this he conquered the four directions.

Ppp. reads, for c, anena dyāvāprthivī ubhe ajayat.

4. This amulet of *sraktyá*, back-turning, reverting, forcible, remover of scorners, controlling — let it protect us on all sides.

Ppp. reads manis again for waft in c; also wigwatas at the end.

•, 5. This Agni says, this also Soma says, this Brihaspati, Savitar, Indra; let these gods, my representatives (*puróhita*), drive the witchcrafts backward with the reverters.

In this verse and the next, Ppp. has the better reading *pratisareṇa* for *-rāis* in **d**. The first half-verse is found again below as xix. 24. 8 c, d. [Cf. also MS. i. 5. 3. The first pāda recurs at xvi. 9. 2.]

6. I interpose heaven-and-earth, also the day, also the sun; let these gods, my representatives, drive the witchcrafts backward with the reverters.

'Interpose' - i.e. between me and what I dread. Ppp. pratisarena in d, as noted above; also, for b, utāi 'va brahmaņaspatim : and, at beginning of c, te te devās pu-.

7. The people who make the amulet of *sraktyá* their defenses — like the sun ascending the sky, it, controlling, drives away the witchcrafts.

Ppp. puts iva after divam in c.

8. By the amulet of *sraktyá*, as by a seer  $(i \le i)$  full of wisdom, I have conquered all fighters; I smite away the scorners, the demoniacs.

9. The witchcrafts that are of the  $A\bar{n}girases$ , the witchcrafts that are of the Asuras, the witchcrafts that are self-made, and those that are brought by others — let these, of both kinds, go away to the distances, across ninety navigable [streams].

'Self-made' (*svayanikṛta*), doubtless 'made by ourselves'; the comm. so understands. 'Navigable'—i.e. not to be crossed without the help of a boat. SPP's mss. do not punctuate between **b** and **c**, but the comm. does so, like our edition. Ppp. combines *kṛtyā* "*āgirasīr*, has for **b** *yāḥ kṛtyā* "*surīr uta* (the addition rectifying the meter), and combines in **f** *nāvyā* '*ti*; and in **c** it puts *yās* after *kṛtyās*.

10. On this man let the gods bind the amulet [as] defense : [namely,] Indra, Vishņu, Savitar, Rudra, Agni, Prajāpati, Parameshţhin, Virāj, Vāiçvānara, and all the seers.

| For a somewhat similar combination of names, cf. iv. 11. 7.]

11. Thou art the chief (*uttamá*) of herbs, as the ox of moving creatures (*jágat*), as the tiger of wild beasts (*çvápad*); whom we sought, him have we found, a watcher near at hand (?).

49I

The mark of division in this verse is badly placed in our edition; it should be after  $cvdpad\bar{a}m$  iva, as in the mss. [and SPP's edition]. SPP. reads at the end, with all the mss., dntitam; the comm. this time (cf. the note to vi. 4. 2) gives us our choice between taking it as one word (= atyantasaminihitam) or two (= tam eva antike); Ppp. avoids the difficulty by reading instead adhruvam. To me the emendation to dnti tdm seems unacceptable. The comm. reads before it  $pratisp\bar{a}cinam$ . Prāt. iii. to notes the double form cvdpad and cvdpad. The first three pādas are found again below as xix. 39.4 a, b, c; the irregular uttamds instead of uttamd seems due to the influence of the two masculine nouns in the double comparison.

12. He verily becomes a tiger, likewise a lion, likewise a bull, likewise a lessener of rivals, who bears this amulet.

'Lessener' —lit'ly 'one who makes lean': but, though all the mss. and both editions have -kárçana, it can hardly be otherwise than a misreading for -kárçana, which the comm. gives. Ppp. has a wholly different c, sarvā diço vi rājati (as our 13 c), and so deprives us of its witness.

13. Not Apsarases smite him, not Gandharvas, not mortals; he reigns over  $(vi \cdot r\bar{a}j)$  all the quarters who bears this amulet.

14. Kaçyapa created thee; Kaçyapa collected thee; Indra bore thee in human wise (?); bearing [thee], he conquered in the conflict (?); the amulet, of thousand-fold might, the gods made their defense.

The obscure *manuse*, in **c**, the comm. explains as [a collective] = manusesu madhye; he reads in **d** same reads, which is much more acceptable; one is inclined also to conjecture same resting 'yayat. Ppp. brings no help, only reading abadhnata for aky nuata at the end.

L The comm. reckons our e, f as a separate verse, the 5th of his "decad," thus making this "decad" come out with 13 vss. (instead of 22 - 10 = 12, as in the Berlin ed.).

15. Whoever with witchcrafts, whoever with consecrations, whoever with sacrifices desires to slay thee — him do thou, O Indra, smite back with the hundred-jointed thunderbolt.

The omission of the second yds tvā would rectify the meter of a.

16. Let this back-turning, forcible, all-conquering amulet verily defend [our] progeny and riches, a very propitious protection.

Ppp. reads sahasvān instead of ojasvān in b. Our text should, for consistency, read ojasvānt s-.

17. Freedom from rivals for us below, freedom from rivals for us above, freedom from rivals for us behind, O Indra, light in front make thou, O hero.

Or the directions may be understood as south, north, west, and east. Ppp. has, for c. indra piçācam nas paçcāt.

18. A defense for me [be] heaven-and-carth, a defense the day, a defense the sun, a defense for me both Indra and Agni; a defense let Dhātar assign  $(dh\bar{a})$  to me.

-viii. 6

Compare AÇS. i. 2. I Land ApÇS. xiv. 26. I ] with a, b; both substitute agnis for ahar. The verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp. The comm. omits me in c. The first half-verse occurs again as xix. 20. 4 a, b.

19. Indra-and-Agni's abundant formidable defense, which not all the gods together pierce through — let that, being great, save my body on all sides, that I may be long-lived, attaining old age.

With **d** compare VS. xxxiv. 52 **d**, which differs from it only by reading at the end the irregular form *ásam*. Ppp. has *te* for *me* in **c**, and *asat* in **d** though the pronoun calls for *asas*.

20. The divine amulet hath ascended me, in order to great unharmedness; enter ye together unto this post (? methi), body-protecting, thricedefending, in order to vigor (*ójas*).

Ppp. reads tvā instead of mā in **a**, and enyam instead of methim in **c**. The comm. questions whether mahyāi in **b** means mahatyāi on mahyam! The difficult and doubtful second half-verse puzzles him (as us) greatly: first he regards 'men' as addressed (he narāh), and takes methi as 'a stirrer-up, a destroyer of enemies,' or alternatively as a post in a threshing floor (methī khale yatho'cchirā vartata evam ayam api'ti; or, secondly, the gods are addressed, and methi means an amulet representing such a post (methīsthānīyam maņim).

21. In this let Indra deposit manliness; this, O gods, enter ye together unto, in order to long life-time of a hundred autumns; that he may be long-lived, attaining old age.

[With d, cf. MP. ii. 1. 3 d.]

22. Giver of welfare, lord of the people, Vritra-slayer, masterful remover of scorners, let Indra bind [for thee] the amulet, [he] that has conquered, is unconquered, soma-drinking, fearless-making bull; let him defend thee on all sides, by day and by night on all sides.

The majority of mss. (nearly all SPP's) put no pause at the end of **d** (after *aparā-jitaķ*). The first two pādas are nearly the same with i. 21. 1 **a**, **b**, above (and with other texts: see the note to that verse). Ppp. omits **a**, **b**, and reads (better) sarvadā instead of sarvatas at end of **f**. [An accent-mark is lacking under the so of somapāķ.]

[Here ends the fifth artha-sukta. The quoted Anukr. says pancame.]

[Here also ends the eightcenth prapathaka.]

#### 6. To guard a pregnant woman from demons.

[Mātŗnāman.— saduniçam. mātŗnāmādevatyam uta mantroktadevatyam. ānustubham: 2. purastādbrhatī; 10. 3-av. 6-p. jagatī; 11, 12, 14, 16. pathyāpaūkti; 15. 3-av. 7-p. çakvarī brāhmaņaspatyā; 17. tathā jagatī.]

Found also in l'aipp.xvi., [but with vs. 8 before 7, vs. 15 between 11 and 12, and vs. 24 between 13 and 14 ].

LThe hymn is reckoned by Kāuç. (8.24), with ii. 2 (which see) and vi. III, to the *mātrnāmāni*. It is employed in the *sīmanta* rite (35.20) in the eighth month of a woman's pregnancy with binding on an amulet "as specified in the text" (cf. vs. 20):

Dār. and Keç. and comm. (p.  $636^{1}$ , p.  $648^{8}$ ) say an amulet of white and yellow mustard; the Ath. Paddh. seems to prescribe a "talisman in the form of a doll made of red and yellow mustard plants" (?) and reaching from the woman's neck to her navel. In Dārila's note on the same passage (35.20), vs. 18 is specially cited for the same rite. The hymn is not noticed by Vāit.]

Translated : Weber, Ind. Stud. v. 251; Ludwig, p. 523; Henry, 17, 54; Griffith, i. 403.

I. The two spouse-finders which thy mother rubbed up for thee when born (fem.) — for them  $\lfloor t \hat{a} tra \rfloor$  let not the ill-named one be greedy, the *alinça* nor the *vatsápa* (calf-drinker *or*-protector?).

Weber (and Zimmer after him: p. 321) conjectures that the two 'winners of a husband' are the breasts, and that the reference is to the ceremonious washing of the young child. The numerous names of evil beings in the hymn are in good part unknown elsewhere and untranslatable; of some of them tentative versions can be added in parenthesis. The comm. reads ali cas in **d**, and explains it as ali + i ca taking ali as diseases (or deities representing them) that fly about like bees  $\rfloor$ .

2. Palāla (straw) and anupalāla (after-straw), çárku, kóka (cuckoo), malimlucd (robber), palījaka, the entwiner (āçrésa), the wrap-garmented, the bear-necked, the winking one.

Ppp. reads *culkam* for *carkum* in  $\mathbf{a}$ ; in  $\mathbf{b}$ , malimitam palitakam; in  $\mathbf{c}$ , aclesam, and adds [cf. vss. 5, 23] at the end muskayor apa hanmasi 'we smite away in the pudenda,' which gives a construction to the accusatives of which our text is alone made up. The comm. gives in  $\mathbf{b}$  palitakam; he supplies  $n\bar{a}cay\bar{a}mi$  to govern the accusatives.

3. Approach (sam-vrt) thou not; creep thou not on; creep not down between the thighs; I make for her a remedy, the bajá, expeller of the ill-named.

Ppp. reads *javam* instead of *bajam* in **d**. The comm. identifies *baja* with the white mustard (*svetasarsapa*) [see introd.].

4. Both the ill-named and the well-named — both seek approach (samvit); the niggards (aráya) we smite away; let the well-named seek what is woman's (strāina).

Ppp. reads *icchatām* at end of **b**, and omits the second half-verse. The comm. explains *strāiņam* as *striyāḥ sambandhy añgam strīsamūham vā*; he takes *-nāman* from root *nam*. The *n* of *durņāman* is prescribed by Prāt. iii. 84.

5. The *asura* that is black, hairy, tuft-born, also snouted (*tindika*) — the niggards we smite away from her pudenda, from her buttocks (*bhansas*).

Ppp. reads, in c, d, asyā bhansaso muskayor apa [cf. vss. 2, 23].

6. The after-snuffling, fore-feeling, and the much-licking flesh-eater, the niggards, the dog-kiskins, hath the brown bajá made to disappear.

Ppp. reads, for c, rāyaç çukaşkiņam; the comm. has ca kişkiņas (for çuakiş-); and he explains kişkin to mean either 'uttering the sound kiş kiş,' or 'constantly injuring' (from the root kişk).

7. He who lies with (ni-pad) thee in sleep, having become [like] a

brother and like a father — them, eunuch-formed, tiara-decked (*tirīţin*), let the *bajá* force (*sak*) from here.

*Tirīțin* = 'womanish,' as wearing a distinctive woman's head-dress; the comm., however, paraphrases the word with *antardhānenā 'tataḥ*, as if from *tiraḥ-ațin* / Ppp. puts the verse after vs. 8, and reads *suptām* for *svapne* in **a**, and, in **c**, **d**, *vajas tam*... *klībarūpam kirīținam*. [Cf. RV. x. 162. 5-6 with our vss. 7-8.]

8. He who surprises (*tsar*) thee sleeping, who tries to harm thee waking — them the circling (*pari-kram*) sun hath made to vanish away like a shadow.

Both translators understand the second half-verse to mean 'them hath the *baja* made to vanish, as the sun the shadow,' and the comm. takes it in the same way; but, though that may be the virtual sense, it is not what the line actually says. Ppp. reads, in **a**, *suptām chinatti*, with *ca* for  $tv\bar{a}$  in **b**. The comm. has *carati* instead of *tsarati* in **a**. Nearly all our mss. (all save D.R.) read *jågratim* at end of **b**, but SPP. strangely reports no such variant from any of his authorities.

9. Whoever makes this woman one having a dead child (-*vatsá*), or a miscarriage, him, O herb, do thou make disappear, lustful [accusative] for her, slippery.

The last pāda is very obscure and doubtful, and quite otherwise understood by the translators; the version given follows the Petersburg Lexicon. The comm. interprets kamalam by garbhadvāram, and anjivam by abhivyaktimad mlaksanopetam vā, and supplies to them kuru. Ppp. reads instead kamalavam dyuvam; it also reverses the order of mrtávatsām and ávatokām in **a**, **b**.  $A\bar{n}jivám$  (p.  $a\bar{n}jisvám$ ) is quoted under Prāt. iv. 18 as an example of a word made with a taddhita-suffix beginning with v.

10. They who dance around the dwellings (cda) in the evening, making donkey-noises — they that [are] kusūlas (granaries) and kuksilás (paunchy), exalted (kakubhá), karúmas, srímas — these, O herb, with thy smell do thou make to disappear scattered.

One or two of our mss. (as of SPP's) read strimāļi (I.) or srimāļi (W.) at end of **d**, or omit the *visarga* before it (M.s.m.W.O.). Ppp. reads, for **c**, **d**, kuçūlā yaç ca kukşulākakubhā svarasā (-ramā?) sumā; the comm., for the last two words, has kharumāļi*çrumāļi*; he interprets kusūlās as kusūlākrtayas, kukṣilās as brhatkukṣayas, and kakubhās as arjunaurkṣavad bhayamkarākrtayas. [Over "exalted" W. has interlined"humped?" As for srima, cf. srima in OB.]

11. The kukúndhas, the kukūrabhas, that bear skins  $(k\hat{r}tt\hat{i})$ , pelts  $(d\bar{u}r\zeta\hat{a})$ , dancing on like impotent men, that make a noise in the forest — them we make disappear from here.

Ppp. reads kakundhāş karūrabhāş krtyāir duriçāni bibhrati : klīvāi 'va pr. ghosām ye kurvate vane. The comm. has krkandhāh kukūravāh krtyāir dūşyāņi.

12. They who do not endure yonder sun, burning down from the sky, the niggards, buck-clothed, ill-smelling, red-mouthed, the *mákakas*, we make to disappear.

495

Ppp. reads in c  $r\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$  vastavāsino, and, in e, mrsakān for makakān. In bastavāsin, Weber understands  $v\bar{a}sin$  as 'smelling,' the Petersburg Lexicons (also Ludwig alternatively) as 'bleating' (for  $v\bar{a}cin$ ); the comm. avicarmavasanān. [For the verse-sequence in Ppp., see above.]

13. They who, putting their excessive self on the shoulder, carry [it], thrusters forth of women's hips — O Indra, make the demons disappear.

The comm. has several different explanations of the first half-verse, the translators as many more; a literal rendering seems admissible enough. Ppp. reads for **b** ahim mādhāya bibhrati.

14. They who go before a woman, bearing horns (pl.) in the hand, stayers in the oven, laughing out, who make light in the tuft — them we make to disappear from here.

All our mss., and nearly all those of SPP., read *badhvàs* in **a**, and our edition follows them. SPP. gives in his text *vadhvàs*, with the commentator. In **c**, he adopts *āpākesthās*, with a small minority of his mss., and directly against Prāt. ii. 94, which prescribes *-sthās*. One would like to emend to  $ap\bar{a}k$ - 'standing aloof.'

15. Of whom the front-feet are behind, the heels in front, the faces in front, who are threshing-floor-born, dung-smoke-born, who are *úrundas* and *mațmațás*, pot-testicled, *ayāçús* (impotent?) — these from her, O Brahmanaspati, do thou make to disappear by attention (? pratībodhá).

Ppp. reads in **c**  $q\bar{a}kadh$ , in **d** ye ca mayya $j\bar{a}$ , and in **e** combines  $-sk\bar{a}$  'y $\bar{a}q$ . Some of our mss. (Bp.P.M.W.), as of SPP's, read múkhāh at end of **b**. The comm. has, for **d**, arundā ye ca muțmutāh; he explains ayāqavas by ayo vāyur vāyurvad āqugāminah.

16. With eyes cast about, not looking forward (?  $dpraca\pi kaça$ ), womenless be the eunuchs; make to fall down, O remedy, him who, not her husband, tries to approach this woman that has a husband.

The comm. reads in **a** pracaākaçās, and strangely explains it [alternatively] as = praksinorupradeçās; for paṇdagās in **b** he has pannagās (pādena na gacchantaḥ); for pādaya in **c**, pātaya. Ppp. gives in **e** svapati.

17. The bristling, hermit-haired, grinding up, much handling one, hastening up, copper-colored, snouted (? tundela) and caluda, pierce thou forth with the foot, with the heel, as a kicking cow a pot.

Doubtless the concluding word should be *spandanå*, as given by SPP., in accordance, as he claims, with all but two of his authorities. The mss. are always so careless and untrustworthy in their distinction of *sp* and *sy* that it must be the sense rather than their testimony that decides in any case which is the true reading. Ppp. appears to have *syandanā*. The combination *upérantam* (p. *upaoésantam*: Ppp. *upeçantam*) is according to Prāt. iii. 52; the passage is quoted in the commentary to that rule; the comm. [and his text] read here *upāis*. The comm. also has *çālaḍam* (Ppp. *çālūḍham*) in **d**, and takes *prá vidhya* (Ppp. *pravṛddhi*) in **e** as *pravidhya*, gerund. Further, he has *prāsyāt* instead of *pārṣṇyā*, and, at the end, *spandanāt*. His verse-division is different from ours, as he reckons **e**, **f** to vs. 18. He explains *marīmṛçam* in **b** as = *punaḥpunar mrçantam*. Ppp. has, for udumbalam tundelam, adaram sulatundenam.

18. Whoever shall handle thy embryo, or shall make it born dead — let the brown one, with formidable bow, make him pierced to the heart.

The comm. explains *prati mrçāt* by *pīdayet*. The  $\bar{a}$  of *hrdayāvldham*, and its nondivision in *pada*-text, are the subject of Prāt. iii. 3, iv. 68. [Delete the accent mark under *tu* in **d**.]

19. They who suddenly make die those that are born, [who] lie by the bearing [women] — the Gandharvas, woman-seekers (?), let the brown one drive, as the wind a cloud.

<sup>•</sup>Ppp. begins ye sto j-, and ends abhrāi vātāi 'va rājatu. The comm. explains amnojātān as = ardhotpannān. The Prāt., ii. 52, expressly prescribes that the final of amnáh is not [convertible into] r—which seems a plain acknowledgmen, that at a later period the word was treated as being amnár.

20. Let [her] maintain what is left (?); what is set, let not that fall down; let the two formidable remedies, to be borne in the under garment, defend thine embryo.

**Parisystam** in **a** is very hard to deal with, both on account of the meaning and because combinations of root srj with *pari* are hardly met with; the Pet. Lexicon suggests emendation to -*cristam*; as both Ppp. and the comm. have -*cistam*, I have taken the liberty of so translating. The comm. paraphrases it by *homāduviniyogāvaçistam* sarṣapadvayam [see the introduction] and makes it the object of *dhārayatu*. Ppp. also reads *yujyatam* for *yad dhitam* in **b**, and, at the end, *nivabhāryayāu*. [Whitney queries for **a**: 'Let what is wreathed about (*pari-srj*) maintain.' This might refer to bandages swathed around, to support the abdomen. In **b**, *hitám* would refer to the embryo (cf. *dhātā dadhātu* etc.), and *áva pādi* to untimely delivery (cf. i. 11. 4-6).]

21. From the rim-nosed, the *tangalvà*, the shady (?*cháyaka*) and naked, from the *kimīdin*, let the brown one protect thee about for progeny, for husband.

Or  $ch\bar{a}yaka$  may come from root  $ch\bar{a}$ , and so signify 'tearing' or the like; the comm. reads instead  $s\bar{a}yak\bar{a}t$ . Ppp. has at the beginning *pavāinasā taīi*.

22. From the two-mouthed, the four-eyed, the five-footed, the fingerless one, from the much twining twiner (*vinta*) that creeps forth upon [one], do thou protect [her] about.

All the *pada*-mss. most absurdly divide *anamogurch* at end of **b**; SPP. properly emends to *anangurch*, but why "with Sāyaṇa" is not evident; Ppp. reads *ananguleh*; and further has, in **c**, *wrddhād adhi pra*-. SPP. scems to regard the comm. as reading *abhiprasarpatah*.

23. They who eat raw meat, and who the flesh of men, the hairy ones [that] devour embryos — them we make to disappear from here.

Ppp. combines at the beginning  $y\bar{a}$  "mam, and has in place of our **d** ' $r\bar{a}y\bar{a}n$  [combined keçavārāyān] asyā bhansaso muskayor apa hanmasi (as in its version of our 5 c, **d**— cf. 2). The pada-reading keçavāli is quoted in the commentary to Prāt. iv. 18.

24. They that creep away from the sun, as a daughter-in-law away from her father-in-law — let both baja and pinga pierce in into their heart.

*Pari* in **a**, though compounded with the verb, has the value of a strengthener of the ablative sense of stiryat, as ddhi in **b** of that of cvacurat. [Cf. Geldner, *Ved. Stud.* i. 270.]

25. *Pingd*, defend thou [the child] in process of birth; let them not make the male female; let not the egg-eaters injure the embryos; drive thou the *kimīdins* from here.

In b, lit'ly 'not make the man a woman.' Ppp. puts the mā after pumānsam.

26. Childlessness, still-birth, also crying, guilt (aghá), barrenness  $(? \bar{a}vay\dot{a})$ —that do thou attach to [our] enemy  $(\dot{a}priya)$ , as if having made a garland from a tree.

Ppp. reads, in **a**, **b**.  $m\bar{a}rtavatsam \bar{a}m\bar{a}bhrogham agham \bar{a}nayam$ . The comm. has, for agham  $\bar{a}vayam$ , aghav $\bar{a}vayam$ , and paraphrases it with agh $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$   $p\bar{a}p\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$  tatphalabh $\bar{u}t\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$  duhkh $\bar{a}n\bar{a}m$   $v\bar{a}$  'sakrd vayanam. It is curious that both  $\bar{a}$ -vayam and m $\bar{a}rta$ -vatsam are quoted in the commentary to Pr $\bar{a}t$ . iv. 18, as if their second member were 'a taddhita beginning with v.'—| Cf. MB. i. 1. 14; MP. i. 4. 11.]

[Here ends the third anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 48 verses. It is also the end of the sixth artha-sākta, which begins with  $y\bar{a}i$  te. The quoted Anukr. says  $y\bar{a}u$  te.]

From this point on, the commentary is wanting until the beginning of book xi.

#### 7. To the plants: for some one's restoration to health.

[Atharvan — aştāvinçakam.\* bhāişajyāyusyam uta mantroktāuşadhidevatākam. ānustubham : 2. uparistād bhurig brhatī; 3. purausņuh ; 4. 5-p. farānustub atijagatī; 5, 6. pathyāpañkti (6 virādgarbhā bhurij); 9. 2-p. ārcī bhurig anustubh ; 10. pathyāpañkti; 12. 5-p. virād atişakvarī; 14. uparistān nurd brhatī; † 25. pathyāpañkti; 26. nurt; 28. bhurij.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. [with verses 16-19 in the order 16, 18, 19, 17]. \*[The mss. have sāstāvinçakam.] †[The Anukr. omits the definition of vss. 15 (tristubh) and 24 (6-p. jagatī).]

[Used by Kāuç. in a remedial rite (26.33, 40, note), "with a gilt and lacquered amulet made [cf. introd. to AV. ii. 9] of splinters of ten kinds of trees" (Keç., p.  $327^{22}$ ), against all diseases. In the *pumsavana*, vs. 27 accompanies (note to 35.6) the giving of food to the pregnant woman. Vāit. prescribes the hymn for use in the *sāutrāmaņī* rite (30.6) when the priest mixes herbs with the *surā*.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 504; Henry, 20, 58; Griffith, i. 408; Bloomfield, 41, 578.

1. Those that are brown, and that are bright (*cukrá*), the red and the spotted, the swarthy, the black herbs — all [of them] do we address (*acha-ā-vad*).

2. Let them save  $(tr\bar{a})$  this man from the *ydksma* sent by the gods — the plants of which heaven has been the father, earth the mother, ocean the root.

The second half-verse was found above, as iii. 23.6 a, b. This time it is also in Ppp. In c,  $dy \tilde{a} dx_j$  is read by W.I.R.T. We should expect *plirusam* in a. 3. Waters [were] the beginning, heavenly herbs; they have made disappear from every limb thy sinful (enasyd) yáksma.

The first pāda is a fragment, in meter and in construction; the omission of dgram would fairly rectify both. As in sundry other like cases, most of the mss. read at the end anina; am (or -cam); only P.M.W. have -can.

4. The spreading, the bushy, the one-spathed, the extending herbs do I address; those rich in shoots, jointed  $(k\bar{a}ndin)$ , that have spreading branches  $(vic\bar{a}kha)$ ; I call for thee the plants that belong to all the gods, formidable, giving life to men.

Viçākha might also signify 'branchless.' Ppp. reads in a-b ekaçrāgās pradhanvatīr.

5. What power [is] yours, ye powerful ones, [what] heroism and what strength [is] yours, therewith, O herbs, free ye this man from this ydksma; now (*átho*) do I make a remedy.

The last pāda is wanting in Ppp.

6. The lively, by-no-means-harming, living herb, the non-obstructing, up-guiding, flourishing (pusya) one, rich in sweets, do I call hither, for this man's freedom from harm.

Compare 2.6, with which this agrees in the first two pādas and in most of the last two. The mss. again are much at variance as to the reading of *maghārisām*; Bp.P. M.p.m.E.p.m.O. read [Bp. with *-ghā-*] *maghārṣām*. [Ppp. reads *maghārisām* (as does Berlin ed.) and omits *iha* and pāda e.] The omission of the obscure *pusyām* would rectify the meter; the Pet. Lexx. regard the word as proper name of a plant.

7. Let the forethoughtful ones come hither, allies (*mcdin*) of my spell (*vácas*), that we may make this man pass forth out of difficulty.

Read medinir in b (two accent-signs slipped out of place leftward).

8. Food of fire, embryo of the waters, they that grow up renewed, fixed, thousand-named — be they remedial [when] brought.

9. Wrapped in  $dvak\bar{a}$ , water-natured, let the herbs, sharp-horned, thrust away difficulty.

Literally, ' having the avakā as fœtal envelop.'

10. Releasing, free from Varuna, formidable, that are poison-spoiling, also *balása*-dispelling, and that are witchcraft-spoiling — let those herbs come hither.

'Free from Varuna': i.e., doubtless, 'freeing from the bonds of Varuna,' with which he visits guilt on the guilty. Ppp. reads in c-d balāsanāçinī raksonāçanīs kriyād. Read in our text kriyādāsanīç (for -yad-) in d.

11. Let the purchased, very powerful plants that are praised save in this village cow, horse, man, beast.

Ppp. prefixes an additional pāda to each half-verse : çivās te santu osadhir apak-; and apā sarasvatī jyestham trāy-. viii. 7– BOOK VIII. THE ATHARVA-VEDA-SAMHITA.

12. Rich in sweets the root, rich in sweets the tip of them, rich in sweets was the middle of the plants; rich in sweets the leaf, rich in sweets the flower of them; partaking of sweet, a drink of nectar (*amrta*), let them milk out ghee, food, with milk ( $g\delta$ -) as chief (-*purogavá*).

The mss. (except D. and R.s.m.?) agree in the unmotived accent babhűva at end of b. Ppp. has instead balena; also, for sambhaktās, sambhūtās 'originated,' which is easier.

13. However many [may be] these herbs upon the earth, let them, thousand-leafed, free me from death, from distress.

All the mss. leave *oṣadhīs* unaccented at end of **b**; and most (all save P.M.D.R.T.) accent *-parṇyds* at end of **c**. [Cf. Caland, KZ. xxxi. 265.]

14. Let the tigerish amulet of plants, saving, protecting from imprecation, smite far away from us diseases [and] all demons.

The *pada*-text reads  $s \dot{a} r v \bar{a}$  (not  $s \dot{a} r v \bar{a} h$ ) in **c**, and the translation follows it. Ppp. has  $v y \bar{a} g h r o$  in **a**, and  $a s m \bar{a} t$  at the end. Adhi in **d** is redundant in respect both to sense and to meter.

15. As at the roaring of a lion do they quake; as at fire do they tremble at [the herbs when] brought; let the *ydksma* of kine, of men, go driven by the plants beyond navigable streams.

The usual expression is 'beyond ninety-nine' such streams. Ppp. reads osadhinām for sam vijante in a. [Over "quake" W. interlines "shrink with fear." He would probably have changed it to "they are all in a tremble," as in v. 21. 4, 6.]

16. The herbs, becoming freed from Agni Vāiçvānara — go ye stretching over the earth, [ye] whose king is the forest-tree.

We should expect vocatives instead of nominatives in the first line.

17. They who, belonging to the Angirases, grow on mountains and on plains — let those herbs, rich in milk, propitious, be weal to our heart.

In Ppp. this verse follows our vs. 19. [Ppp. inserts after **b**  $v\bar{v}rudho$   $viçvabhesaj\bar{v}s$ , and continues  $t\bar{a}$  no mayasvatiç  $(iv\bar{a}h : o. s. c. h.)$ ]

18. Both what plants I know, and what I see with the eye, the unknown and what we are acquainted with, and those in which we know what is brought together —

That is, probably, their collected or concentrated virtue. Ppp. reads in c janimasi for jānīmaç ca. [We might render  $d j n d t \bar{a} s$  by 'what we are not acquainted with,' to correspond with W's version of  $j \bar{a} n \bar{i} m d s$ .]

19. Let all the entire herbs note (budh) my spell (vácas), that we may make this man pass forth out of difficulty.

Ppp. omits the second half-verse; it is identical with 7 c, d, above.

20. The açvatthá, the darbhá, sóma king of plants, immortal oblation — rice and barley [are] remedial, immortal sons of heaven.

Ppp. reads yavasya bhesajo in c.

21. Ye rise up  $(ud-h\bar{a})$ ; it thunders, it roars at [you], O herbs! when, O ye children of the spotted one, Parjanya favors you with seed.

With the second half-verse is to be compared RV. v. 83. 4 c, d. *Prqnimātr* is elsewhere' epithet only of the Maruts. The accent *abhikrándati* is unmotived [unless, indeed, with Henry, we bring it, with *stanáyati*, under the domain of *yadå*].

22. Of this *amita* we make this man to drink the strength; now do I make a remedy, that he may be one of a hundred years (*hāyaná*).

W. and O.s.m. read pārayāmasi at end of b; Ppp. has phalayāmasi.

23. The boar knows the plant; the mongoos knows the remedial [herb]; what ones the serpents, the Gandharvas know, those I call to aid for him.

One or two of our mss. (Bp.M. [ only one, Bp., if I understand W<sup>1</sup>, Collation-book]) read  $v\bar{i}r\dot{u}dh\bar{a}m$  at end of **a**. Ppp. puts sarpās after gandharvās in **c**, and has for **d** tā ihā "yantv osadh.h.

24. What [herbs] of the Angirases the eagles [know], what heavenly ones the *ragháts* know, what ones the birds, the swans know, and what all the winged ones, what herbs the wild beasts know — those I call to aid for him.

Raghát (which divyās 'heavenly' might also qualify) is elsewhere unknown; Ppp. reads instead vagh-; the major Pet. Lex. suggests emendation [apparently withdrawn in the minor: see raghát] to raghávas 'swift'; Ludwig conjectures 'bees.' Ppp. also combines suparnā "ng- in a. [Render hainās by the prosaic 'geese,' since the poetic tone of the AV. is not so elevated as to make that version intolerable. Cf. JAOS. xix., 2d half, p. 154.]

25. Of how many herbs the inviolable kine partake (*pra-aç*), of how many the goats and sheep, let so many herbs, being brought, extend protection to thee.

Ppp. exchanges the second halves of vss. 25 and 26, and makes *ābhṛtās* and *oṣadhīs* change places.

26. In how many [herbs] human physicians (*bhisáj*) know a remedy, so many, all-remedial, do I bring unto thee.

Ppp. | see under vs. 25 | reads at the end iti for abhi.

27. Rich in flowers, rich in shoots ( $pras\hbar$ -), rich in fruits, also those lacking fruits — like joint mothers, let them milk unto this man in order to his freedom from harm.

Ppp. combines *sammātarāi* 'va in c. The first pāda is nearly identical with RV. x. 97. 3 b.

28. I have taken thee up out of what has five *calas*, and also out of what has ten *calas*, also out of Yama's fetter, out of all offense against the gods.

The Pet. Lexx. explain -cala as 'a certain measure of distance,' but that sense does not in the least suit the connection, either here or in TB. i. 5. 10<sup>1</sup>. Ppp. reads *ahāriṣam* in **a**, *Lut tvā* for *atho* in **c**, *j* and, for **d**, *oṣadhībhir apīparam*. The second half-verse is identical with vi. 96. 2 **c**, **d**, above *l* and nearly identical with RV. **x**. 97. 16 **c**, **d**.

[Here ends the seventh artha-sūkta, with 28 verses. The quoted Anukr. says sa saptamam vrddhivinçatim rco 'şta cā 'parāh (unclear).]

#### 8. To conquer enemies.

[Bhrgvañgıras. — caturvunçam. dındram uta vānaspatyam. parasenāhananam. ānusţtubham: 2, 3. brhatī (2. uparısţāt; 3. vırāj); 4. brhatipurastātprastārapañktı; 6. āstārapañktı; 7. vıparītapādalakşmā 4-p. atıyagatī; 8–10. uparısţādbrhatī; 11. pathyābrhatī; 12. bhurıj; 19, 20. purastādbrhatī (19. vırāj; 20. nicrt); 21. tristubh; 22. 4-p. çakvarī; 23. uparısţādbrhatī; 24. 3-uv. tristubusşiuggarbhā parāçakvarī 5-p. jagatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi.; [but the order of vss. 3 and 4 is inverted; likewise that of vss. 6 and 7]. ["Verses" 22-24 are prose, in Brāhmana style.—Whitney's treatment of this hymn is doubtless only a rough first draft, which he would have revised thoroughly had his life been spared. The understanding of this hymn is so peculiarly dependent upon an understanding of the ritual that I have felt obliged to present the latter with exceptional fulness—without attempting, however, to revise W's version into entire accordance therewith.]

LVāit. does not notice the hymn. Its principal use by Kāuç. is in the army rites of the 16th kandika. In the previous one (15.11), vs. 22 is used with v. 2. 6 etc. when the king mounts a new chariot. And at 73.5, among the citations for the morning and evening oblations, is one that bears a marked resemblance to our vs. 14.

LThe text of the "army rites" (16.9-20), cites expressly vss. 1, 2, and parts of 24, and is indeed largely made up of the names of the objects mentioned in the hymn (see below). Not only Dārila, but also Keçava (Bl., p.  $314^{28}$  ff.), and the introd. which SPP. gives (p. 658-9) in lieu of the lost comm., all present instructive details.

[With vs. I, the sorcerer twirls a fire-drill (16.9) made of *açvattha* and *badhaka* sticks (16.11: cf. vss. 3, 4). Thereupon, while reciting the first half of vs. 2, he lays down some "old rope" (*jīrņa-rajju*, Dār.: apparently to serve as tinder?) "in the place where the sparks [from the fire-drill] fall" (so Dār. and Keç.). When the smoke appears, he exorcises it with the words

#### dhūmám parādīçyā 'mítrā hrtsú ā dadhatām bhayám.

This is the second half of our verse 2, with agnim left out. When the flame breaks out  $(agnim j\bar{a}tam)$ , he addresses it with

## agním parādīçyā 'mitrā hrtsú ā dadhatām bhayám.

This is the same half-verse, with *dhāmám* left out. See Keç., p. 314<sup>31</sup> ff., SPP., p. 658<sup>18-19</sup>. — This now is the fire for the "army rites."]

LUpon it, with use of h. 8, is placed successively (16. 14) fuel of *açvattha*, *badhaka*, *tājad-bhañga* ('castor-oil plant'), *āhva* ('*palāça'*), *khadira*, and *çara* ('reeds'). These things are all mentioned in vss. 3-4; Dārila's list (n. to 48. 1) agrees entirely with this: cf. also Bl., p. xliv. — Next follows the bestrewing of the tracks of the hostile army with various symbolical objects, to wit: first (16. 15), "the fetters aforesaid" (at 14. 28) of hemp and of muñja-grass smeared with *iñgiḍa;* then (16. 16-17), "traps [?*kūța:* see n. to vs. 16] of *açvattha,*" "hempen nets," and "stakes of *badhaka.*" else as suggesting their use: such are the "fetters" of vss. 10, 16; the "trap" of vs. 16; the "net" of vss. 4-8 and 18; the "net-stakes" of vss. 5, 12.]

[Finally, with "hail to these" (svåhāi 'bhyds of vs. 24), the sorcerer makes, with his right hand, for his friends (16.18) an oblation in the fire kindled with the drill of badhaka; and with "wail to those" (durāhā 'mībhyas of vs. 24), he makes, with his left, in the same fire an oblation of the uncanny  $i\bar{n}gida$  for the destruction of the army of his enemies (16.19). Then, setting up a branch of red açvattha to the north of his fire, he winds it with threads of blue and red with the last pāda of vs. 24, and moves it to the south (16.20).]

[In counter-sorcery, *ingida* is the regular surrogate for ghee (Kāuç. 47.3). In the rites accompanying iii. 6 (the hymn is notably affiliated with ours in respect of substance and expression: cf. "fetters," *açvattha*, *khadira*; cf. also viii. 8.3 **a** with iii. 6.2 **a**; 10 **a**, **b** with 5 **a**, **b**; 19 **c** with 7 **c**), it is used (48.4) for smearing the threads or symbolical fetters; similarly at 14.28, above; and again (with vi.75: Kāuç. 48.31) with a leaf of red *açvattha*.]

[A most interesting critical result is won from the study of the ritual use of our hymn, to wit: that here (vs. 2 c) we have an instance in which both alternatives (dhūmám and agním) of an ūha have been adopted into the received text. This has given it a semblance of metrical blemish (Henry, Bloomfield, and Whitney all suggest the excision of amítrāh), the true meaning of which has been missed by the Occidental exegetes. SPP. (as above) understands Keçava's introd. to Kāuç. 14 aright and explains it clearly, p.  $658^{18}$ .—It may be noted that Ppp. unintelligently, with its agnim dhāmam (intending dhūmam), has both alternatives, but in the wrong order.

Translated : Muir, v. 88 (vss. 5-8); Ludwig, p. 527; Henry, 23, 61; Griffith, i. 412; Bloomfield, 117, 582.

1. Let Indra the shaker shake (*math*) [them], he the mighty hero, stronghold-splitter, in order that we may slay by thousands the armies of our enemies.

Quoted in Kāuç. 16.9 [see introd.].

2. Let the putrid rope, breathing on [it], make yonder army putrid; seeing afar smoke, fire, let our enemies set fear in their hearts.

Ppp. reads agnim dhāmam in c. The different parts of the verse are quoted in Kāuç. 16. 10, 12, 13, where the 'putrid rope' appears to be understood as an actual rope which is burnt, and of which the 'smoke' and 'fire' are to frighten the foe. It is perhaps quite as likely that the ceremony is founded on a crass misinterpretation of the verse, and that the 'rope' is a poisonous serpent (as conjectured by Ludwig). The omission of *amftrās* in **d** would rectify the meter. With regard to the last and to the whole verse, see the introd.

3. Crush yonder men out, O açvatthá; devour (khād) them speedily, O khadirá; let them be suddenly  $\lfloor t\bar{a}jád \rfloor$  broken  $\lfloor bha\bar{n}j \rfloor$  like hemp  $\lfloor bha\bar{n}ga \rfloor$ ; let the slayer (vádhaka) slay (han) them with deadly weapons (vadhá).

The translation implies the emcndation (which is made in our text) of *ajiram* (p. *khadiraoajiram* !) to -rám,\* and the treatment of  $t\bar{a}j\delta t$  and  $bh\delta\bar{n}gas$  (p.  $t\bar{a}j\delta dbh\delta\bar{n}-gahoiva$  !) as two separate words. Ppp. reads in **b** *khadirā* '*ciram*, and in **c** combines

-bha $\bar{n}g\bar{a}i$  'va; for **d** it has brhajjālena samcitāh [cf. our 4 **d**]. Kāuç. (16.14) takes tājadbha $\bar{n}ga$  as a single word, and its comm. explains it as the castor-oil plant (eranda). [In  $qrn\bar{i}hi$  I see an allusion to the sorcerer's favorite "reeds" (qard) of vs. 4. Griffith notes the power of the aqvattha to rend asunder the masonry etc. in whose crevices its seed has germinated. The other word-plays, including that on vádhaka badhaka (cf. bādhaka and root bādh), are evident. See also introd.] \*[So also SPP. with several of his authorities.]

4. Let the rough-called one make yonder men rough (parusá); let the slayer slay them with deadly weapons; let them be broken quickly like a reed (gará), tied together with a great net.

Ppp. combines *care* 'va in c,\* and has at the end (as in 3 d) samicitas; it puts the verse before our vs. 3. The Pet. Lexx. conjecture *parusāhvá* to be 'a kind of reed.' [For the materials of the sorcery, and the "net," cf. introd.] \*[As the meter requires; why then does not the Anukr. call the vs. a *purastādbrhatī* and have done with it?]

5. The atmosphere was the net; the great quarters [were] the netstakes; therewith encircling [them], the mighty one (*cakrá*) scattered away the army of the barbarians (dásyu).

Ppp. has an easier but virtually equivalent version of  $c, d: ten\bar{a}$  'bhidhāya senām indro dasyūn apā 'vapat. Vss. 5-8 are translated by Muir (v. 88). ["Net-stakes": cf. introd. — For "encircling" W. first had "girding"; abhi-dhā carries the idea of bridling, curbing, or restraining: cf. vss. 7, 8, 9 and note to iii. 11.8.]

6. Since great [is] the net of the great mighty one, the vigorous  $(v\bar{a}jin\bar{v}ant)$  — therewith do thou crowd (ubj) down upon all [our] focs, that no one socver of them may be released.

Ppp. adds to our first half-verse (with *rocanāvatas* for  $v\bar{a}j$ - in **b**) the second halfverse of our 7 (omitting *nyarbudain* and reading at the end *senām*), then putting the whole after 7. All the mss. accent micyatai, which, though supported by the usage of sundry Vedic texts (including even RV.), was emended in our edition to agree with the Atharvan accentuation elsewhere. [Henry would read *móci*, of which he holds *mucyātāi* to be a gloss.]

7. Great, O Indra, hero (chra), is the net of thee that art great, that art worth a thousand, that hast hundred-fold heroism; therewith encircling the army of the barbarians, the mighty one slew a hundred, a thousand, ten thousand, a hundred million.

The translation follows Ppp. (see under the preceding verse) in reading senām at the end. Instead of our c, d, Ppp. has tena ny ubja maghavann amitrān (açvatībhyaļı.

8. This great world was the net of the great mighty one; by that net of Indra do I encircle all you men with darkness.

9. Debility, formidable ill-success, and mishap that is not to be exorcised away (*an-apavācaná*), toil, and weariness, and confusion — with these do I encircle all yon men. 10. To death do I deliver those yonder; with fetters of death [are] they bound  $(s\bar{a})$ ; the sad messengers that are death's — them I lead them to meet, having bound (bandh) [them].

Ppp. reads *khālās* for *aghalās* in c, and at the end *baddhān*. All our mss. agree in giving the abbreviated form *badhvā*. ["Fetters": cf. introd.]

11. Lead ye them, O messengers of death; O messengers of Yama, restrain (*apa-umbh*) [them]; be they slain to more than thousands; let Bhava's club (? *matyà*) shatter them.

Ppp. reads for a *mrtyudūtā amum nayata*; d is corrupt, but apparently is the same with our d.

12. The Perfectibles (sadhyd) go lifting with force one net-stake, the Rudras one, the Vasus one; by the Ādityas one is lifted.

Ppp. has for second half-verse: rudrā dvitīyam vasavas trtiyam ādityāir ekā udyatā.

13. Let all the gods from above go crowding with force; let the Angirases go slaying midway the great army.

Ppp. has at the end vadhāis instead of mahim.

14. The forest trees, them of the forest trees, the herbs and the plants, what is biped, what is quadruped I despatch (is), that they may slay yonder army.

'Them of the forest trees,'  $v\bar{a}naspaty\bar{a}n$ , acc. pl. masc.; the lexicographers explain the word to mean 'fruit tree with conspicuous flowers.' At the end both of this verse and of the next, Ppp. reads *hatām*. Bp. reads *dviopát* in c. [For the citation in Kāuç. 73. 5, see introd.]

15. The Gandharvas and Apsarases, the serpents, the gods, the purefolks, the Fathers, those seen, those unseen I despatch, that they may slay yonder army.

Ppp. makes *devān* and *sarpān* change places [and reads *hatām* again at the end]. [Muir, v. 296, citcs MBh. ii. 11. 45 = 461, where the Fathers are divided into seven troops, four of embodied and three of bodiless.]

16. Here are spread the fetters of death, which stepping into thou art not released; let this horn  $(k \hbar t a)$  slay of yonder army by thousands.

Ppp. gives for a mrtyupaçã yama [that is, ime?] yuktā. Kāuç. (16. 16) speaks of 'kūțas of açvattha[-wood] and nets of hemp.' [Geldner, Ved. Stud. i. 139, renders the vs. and takes khța as "trap"; SPP., p. 659<sup>13</sup>, says nisādānām prāņibandhanam; Bl., p. 119 (see esp. p. 585), "hammer."]

17. The hot drink (gharmá) [is] kindled with fire, this thousand-slaying oblation (hóma); both Bhava and the spotted-armed one — O Çarva, slay ye (two) yonder army.

505

# viii. 8– BOOK VIII. THE ATHARVA-VEDA-SAMHITÄ.

All the mss. read *bhávas* at beginning of c; our edition emends to *bhavás*. The common construction *bhaváç ca*...*çárva*...*hatam* (cf. the next verse) is much disturbed by the addition of *pŕçnibāhus*, which the second *ca* forbids to take as a mere epithet. Ppp. reads *sahasraçah* and *hatām* at the end of the two lines respectively.

18. Let them go unto death's burning (?), unto hunger, debility, the deadly weapon, fear; by snare (aksu) and net, O Çarva, [do thou] and Indra slay yonder army.

Only P. and R.s.m. have *osam*, all the rest *dsam*, which must accordingly be regarded as the traditional text, though unintelligible. Further emendation to *osám* 'quickly' is hardly advisable. Ppp. has *osam*. Ppp. also differs much in **c**, **d**: *indrasyā* 'kṣamālābhyām sarva senām amūm hatām. Part of our mss. also (W.O.D.T.) read sárva in **d**. Geldner discusses ákṣu, Ved. Stud. i. 136.

19. Flee (*tras*) forth, O enemies, being conquered; run, [being] thrust by the charm (*bráhman*); of them yonder, thrust forth by Brihaspati, let none soever be freed.

The second half-verse is nearly repeated as xi. 10. 19 c, d, below. The *pada*-mss. absurdly read *nuttâ* (not *nuttâ*!) in b. *Amitrās* is metrically redundant in a. [Rather 11 + 8:8 + 8.] The *pada*-reading of *bŕhaspátiopranuttānām* [cf. iii. 6. 7] is by Prāt. iii. 76, iv. 77, the commentary quoting it under each rule.

20. Let their weapons (*dyudha*) fall down; let them not be able to fit the arrow; then, of them fearing much let arrows strike in the vitals.

Ppp. reads çişam for çakan in d.

21. Together let heaven-and-earth yell at them; together let the atmosphere, along with the deities; let them not find a knower, nor a foundation; mutually destroying one another let them go unto death.

The second half-verse is identical with vi. 32. 3 c, d, above. Ppp. puts  $en\bar{a}n$  before  $krocat\bar{a}m$ , and adds *ubhe* at the end of **a**.

22. The four quarters [arc] the she-mules of the god-chariot; the sacrificial cakes [arc] the hoofs, the atmosphere the seat (? uddhi), heavenand-earth the two sides, the seasons the reins, the intermediate directions the attendants, speech the rim (? párirathya).

Ppp. reads *çaphā 'ntarikṣa buddhiḥ* and omits the clause *antardeçāḥ kimkarāḥ*. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 15. 11 [see introd.].

23. The year (samuatsará) is the chariot, the complete year (parivatsará) the chariot-lap, viráj the pole (isi), Agni the chariot-mouth, Indra the left-stander, the moon the charioteer.

Ppp. reads, for the first part of the paragraph, ahorātre cakre māma ārāt samvatsaro adhisthānam: virād etc. Sauyasthās (p. sauyaosthāh) is a subject of Prāt. ii. 95. [As for the "years," see n. to vi. 55. 3.]

24. On this side conquer thou; on this side conquer away, conquer completely, conquer; hail! let these here conquer, let those yonder be

conquered; hail  $\lfloor svdh\bar{a} \rfloor$  to these! wail  $(durdh\bar{a})$  to those! with the bluered one I stretch down upon them yonder.

That is, probably, with Çiva's aid I bring the net down upon them. [Ppp. omits all after the first svaha.] Parts of the verse are quoted in Kāuç. 16. 18-20: 'with "hail to these" he makes a libation for his friends; with "wail to those" [he pours] with the left hand *ingida* on the [staff] of *badhaka*; having stuck in a branch of red *açvattha* north of the fire, having surrounded it with two blue and red threads, he pulls it up toward the right with "with the blue-red one"': evidently artificial adaptations of ceremonies to the words of the text. [For the whole matter, see introd.]

• [Here ends the fourth anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 52 verses. Here also ends the eighth artha-sūkta, which begins with *indro manthatu*. The quoted Anukr. says *indro manthatu*.]

# 9. Mystic: extolling the viráj.

[Atharvan.— şadvınçam. kāçyapcyam uta sarvārsam chāndasam. trāistubham: 2, 3. faūktu (3. āstārapaūkti); 4, 5, 23, 25, [26]. anustubh; 8, 11, 12, 22. jagatī; 9 bhury; 14. 4:p. atijagatī.]

Found also (except vss. 19, 20) in Pāipp. xvi. Lwith vs. 23 after vs. 24]. The Kāuç. takes no notice of the hymn; Lbut the Vāit. (33.8) allows the use of 21 vss. (from vs. 6 to the end) in the *sattra* sacrifice at the celebrant's option].

Translated : Ludwig, p. 439; Henry, 26, 65; Griffith, i. 416. - See also Muir, v. 370.

I. Whence [werc] those two born? which side  $(\dot{ardha})$  was that? out of what world? out of which earth? the two young  $(vals\dot{a})$  of the  $vir\dot{aj}$  rose out of the sea  $(salil\dot{a})$ ; of those I ask thee: by whether [of them was] she milked?

The 'which' is both times katama, implying the existence of more than two; but Ppp. has instead katarasyāļ pṛthiuyāļ.

2. He who caused the sea to resound (*krand*) with greatness, making a threefold lair ( $y \circ ni$ ) as he lay, the desire-milking young of the *viráj*; he made his bodies secret ( $g \circ ha$ ) in the distance.

Ppp. combines yo 'krand- at the beginning, and reads in b tyabhijam çayānam.

3. What three great ones (*brhát*, n.) there are, the fourth of which [onc] disjoins [as] speech — the priest (*brahmán*) may know it by penance, the inspired one, in which one (*ckam*) is joined, in which one [is joined].

Ppp. reads *catuāri* instead of *trīņi* in **a**. *Caturthám* 'fourth' might also be subject of 'disjoins.' Compare ix. 10. 27 (RV. i. 164. 45).

4. Forth out of *brhát* [as] sixth five sāmans [were] fashioned; *brhát* was fashioned out of *brhati*; out of what was *brhati* made?

Ppp. reads sasthah instead of -that.

5. Brhati the measure  $(m \hat{\alpha} t r \hat{\alpha})$  was fashioned forth out of measure [as] a mother; illusion  $(m \bar{\alpha} y \hat{\alpha})$  was born from illusion, Mātalī out of illusion.

507

The desire to play upon the root  $m\bar{a}$  'measure, fashion,' is the leading motive in the making of this verse. The *pada*-text gives the absurd reading  $m\bar{a}y\dot{a}h$  at beginning of c; Ppp. reads after it hi instead of ha.

6. Vāiçvānara's counterpart [is] the sky above, as far as Agni forced  $(b\bar{a}dh)$  apart the two firmaments; from that sixth yonder come the *stómas*; up from here they go unto the sixth of the day.

For  $\hat{a}$  'múto, in **c**, Ppp. reads  $\bar{a}mico$ . The remainder of the hymn, from this verse on, is by Väit. 33. 8 allowed to be introduced at pleasure in the *navarātra* ceremony.

7. We these six seers ask thee, O Kaçyapa, for thou didst join what is joined and what is to be joined; they call (ah) viráj the father of the bráhman; distribute (? vi-dhā) it to us [thy] friends according to [our] numbers.

Ppp. reads prchāmi rs- in a.

8. After whom, when removed, the sacrifices remove (pra-cyu), [whom], when attending, they attend on  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$ , in whose course  $(vrat\hat{a})$  [and?] impulse the monster  $(?yaks\hat{a})$  stirs—that, O seers, is the *viráj* in the highest firmament.

9. Breathless, she goes by the breath of breathing ones (f.); viráj goes unto svaráj from behind; viráj that touches, that is adapted to, everything — some see her, some see her not.

No ms. Lof ours j inserts t between -rdt and svu- in b [but four of SPP's do so], as required by Prät ii. 8 (under which this is one of the passages quoted). In **d** we ought properly to have emended to tvu... tvu (accentless); all the mss. accent the two words, against the uniform usage elsewhere; and the *pada*-mss. commit the further blunder of giving both times tvu' (ti, as if the word were the Vedic locative of the 2d pers. pronoun (as in v. 2. 3).

10. Who understandeth (*pra-vid*) the pair-ness of *viráj*? who the seasons, who the ordering (*kálpa*) of her? who her steps (*kráma*), how many times milked out (*vi-duk*)? who her abode (*dháman*), how many times dawnings (*vyisți*)?

The version is much more literal than intelligent, especially at the end, where we expect rather *vydstam* than *fis.* 'Pair-ness,' *mithunatvám*, means especially the condition of being a pair of opposite sexes.

11. This same is she that first shone forth; among these other ones (f.) she goes about having entered; great mightinesses [are] within her; the woman, the new-going generatrix, hath conquered.

This verse occurred above, as iii. 10. 4. It is found also in other texts in connection with the four verses which follow it here. Ppp. has  $\lfloor \text{in } a, b \rfloor$  the same readings as in iii. 10.  $\lfloor 4 a, b \rfloor$ ;  $\lfloor \text{and}$ , here also, it inverts the order of c and d  $\rfloor$ .

12. The two meter-winged dawns, greatly adorning themselves, move on together toward the same lair  $(y \delta ni)$ ; spouses of the sun, they move on together, understanding, having ensigns, unaging, having abundant seed. The Pet. Lexx. give the first word in the form *chandaspaksa*, although Prāt. ii. 62 expressly requires *-ahpa-*, and all the mss. read it except Bp., which has *-aspa-*. The verse is found also in TS. iv. 3. 11<sup>1</sup>, MS. ii. 13. 10, K. xxxix. 10. Both TS. and MS. have at the beginning *chandasvati*; MS. reads *usdsāu*, and at the end *-retasāu*; at end of **b**, MS. gives *anusánicarete* and TS. *ánu samcáranti*; both have *vi* for *sám* in **c**, and TS. *ketúm krņvāné* for *ketumátī* in **d**. Ppp. reads *carati* in **c**.

13. Three (f.) have come along the road of righteousness; three heats (*gharmá*) have come after the seed; one (f.) enlivens the progeny, one the refreshment  $(\hbar r j)$ ; one defends the realm of the godly ones.

The verse follows the preceding in the other three texts also. TS.MS. rectify the meter of **b** by reading *gharmāsas*, and for *retas* MS. has *retasā* and TS. *jyótiṣā*; TS. gives *rákṣati* for *jinvati* in **c**; and for *rāṣtrám* in **d** TS. has *vratám* and MS. *kṣatrám*.

14. She that was fourth set Agni-and-Soma; the seers arranging the (two) wings of the sacrifice — gāyatrī, tristúbh, jágatī, anustúbh, brhadarkī, bringing heaven (svàr) for the sacrificer.

The meter-names in the second half-verse are all in the accusative, possibly as coordinate with 'wings' in **b**; but comparison with the other texts indicates that the verse is very corrupt. The translation implies emendation of adudhus to adadhāt in **a**; it would not be absolutely impossible to take 'the seers' as subject in **a**, and 'her that was fourth' as joint object with 'Agni-and-Soma.' Of the other texts (as above), TS. begins with catustomó abhavad, and MS. with catustomám adadhād; both rectify the meter of **a** by omitting dsit; in **b** both have rsayas as vocative, and after it bhávantī, and MS. has pakṣā (for -ṣāú) before it; in **c**, MS. has virājam for anustúbham; in **d**, TS. begins with brhád arkām, MS. with arkām alone; and both follow it with yuājā-nāḥ svàr (TS., of course, súvar) ā 'bharann idám. Ppp's only variant is brhadarkīr in **d**.

15. Five milkings after five dawnings; five seasons after the fivenamed cow; five quarters arranged by the fifteenth — those (f.) [are] oneheaded toward one world.

'The fifteenth' (masc. or neut. sing.) might mean also 'fifteen-fold, of fifteen parts,' etc. The verse is found in the three other texts (as above), but in TS.MS. (also in K.?) separated at some distance from those that here precede; also in PGS. iii. 3.5: all read samānāmārdmīs instead of *ékamū*- in **d**.

16. Six [arc] born the beings first-born of rightcousness; six sámans carry the six-day (?) [sacrifice]; after the six-yoked plough (sira) severally a sáman; six they call (ah) the heavens and carths, six the wide [spaces].

The translation implies in **b** the reading *sadahdm*; this is given in our text, against the authority of our *pada*-mss. [which have *saf: ahdm*]; the *samhutā*-mss (except O.p.m.) have *sad*. All the latter read in **a** -*jd* rtásya (p. prathamaojá : rtásya [cf. JAOS. x. 451].

17. Six they call the cold, and six the hot months; tell ye us the scason, which one [is] in excess (*átirikta*); seven eagles (*suparuá*), poets, sat down; seven meters after seven consecrations. None of the mss. read citant side in **a**, as demanded by Prāt. ii. 9. In **d** the construction of the two nouns is reversible.

18. Seven [are] the offerings (hóma), the fuels seven, the sweet things (mádhu, n.) seven, the seasons seven; seven sacrificial butters (diya) went about the existent thing ( $bh\bar{u}td$ ); those (f.) are seven-vultured, so have we heard.

The version is as literal as possible; to modify it would imply an understanding of it. The nearest fcm. word for 'those' in **d** to relate to is 'fuels' in **a**. All the samhitāmss. combine saptá rtávo in **b**. Ppp. reads in **b** nu for ha, and has instead of our **c**, **d**: sapta jyāyām paruhūta gāyam saptahotā rtudayajetitās sapta grdhrā iti çuçravā 'ham. Nearly all the mss. (all of ours save E.) read āyam (the samhitā-mss. -am) at end of **c**.

19. Seven [are] the m is increasing (-úttara) by four, the one set upon the other: how do the praises (stoma) stand firm in them? how are they set in the praises?

The gender of anyás at r ginning of **b** speaks strongly for a compound like the later anyo 'nya; but the double cent and the *pada*-reading (anyáh : anyásmin) are against it. The *pada*-text divides *arpitāni* ( $\bar{a}\circ drp$ -) at end of **b**, but not at end of **d**. The verse is wanting in Ppp.

20. How did gāyatri permeate (vi-āp) the triple [stóma]? how is tristibh adapted to that of fifteen? how jágatī to that of thirty-three? how [is] anustibh that of twenty-one?

This verse, like the preceding, is wanting in Ppp.; and they are in a manner interruptions of the progress of the hymn.

21. Eight [are] born the beings first-born of righteousness; eight, O Indra, are the priests (rtvij) who are of the gods; Aditi has eight wombs  $(y \delta ni)$ , eight sons; the oblation  $(havy \delta m)$  goes unto the eighth night.

With a compare 16 a above; here as there all the samhitā-mss. combine -já rtásya, as in b all combine indra rta-. Ppp. reads from the beginning: astāu dhāmāni prathamajam tasyā 'ste 'ndra rta-; and, in d, api for abhi.

22. Thus thinking what is better have I come hither; in your friendship I am auspicious (cc'ra); being of the same birth, your skill is propitious; it (m.), understanding, goes about to you all (f.).

The adjectives in  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$  are fem., seeming to indicate that the *virāj* is regarded as speaking. Ppp. has  $\bar{a}$  'gam at end of  $\mathbf{a}$ , and mas for vas both times in  $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$ . 'It' in  $\mathbf{d}$  apparently refers to 'skill.'

23. Eight of Indra, six of Yama, seven of the seers, seven-fold; waters, men (manusyà), herbs — them five followed (sac) after.

The nouns in **c** are accusatives, and are apparently summed up in 'them' (t dn). All the mss. this time read with our text yamásya fs- in **a-b**. Ppp. puts the verse after our 24. 24. Since the heifer milked solely  $(k \notin vali)$  for Indra [his] will  $(v \notin a)$ , the beestings, [when] first milked, then [she] gratified in four ways the four — gods, men, Asuras, and seers.

Ppp. reads at the end *atha rsin*; all our mss. save O. make the combination *utá* fsin as in the printed text.

25. What now [is] the ox  $(g\phi)$ , who the sole seer, what the abode (dhdman), what the blessings  $(\bar{a}_{c}is)$ ? the monster on the earth [is] simple (ckavit); the sole season — which now is that?

Ppp. reads sāma for dhāma in b. All our mss. combine ekarşis in **a**, but all ekartis (also Ppp.) in **d**. It is necessary here and in the next verse to render  $g\bar{a}$ is 'ox,' because the accompanying adjectives are masculine. 'Which' in **d** is the superlative katamá. [Over "simple" W. has interlined "single."]

26. One [is] the ox, one the sole seer, one the abode, singly the blessings; the monster on the earth [is] single; the sole season is not in excess.

Again Ppp. reads sāma for dhāma, and all the mss. (with Ppp.) have ekarşis but ekartús.

[Here ends the ninth *artha-sūkta*. It begins with *kútaḥ*. The quoted Anukr. here says *kutaḥ*.]

# 10. Extolling the viráj.

## [Atharvaca ya. - sat paryaya viraddevatyas.]

This curious piece of prose is (with the exception of paragraphs here and there) found also in Pāipp. xvi. [Pāipp. has the order 22, 24, 23, 26, 25.] [This is the first of the *paryāya-suktas*. For the *paryāya*-hymns in general, see introduction to book viii., pages 471-2, above.]

[Neither Kāuç, nor Vāit. makes use of the hymn; but one of the "committals" in the *upanayana* (Kāuç, 56.13) is to *mārtyumjaya mārtyava*; cf. vs. 23.]

Translated : Henry, 29, 71 ; Griffith, i. 421. - See also Muir, v. 370.

[Paryāya I. — trayodaçakam. 1 3-p. ārcī paūkti ; a of 2-7 yājusī jagatī; b of 2, 5. sāmny anustubh ; b of 3. ārcy anustubh ; b of 4, 7. virādgāyatrī ; b of 6. sāmnī brhatī.]

I. Viráj verily was this [universe] in the beginning; of her when born everything was afraid, [thinking] "this one indeed will become this [universe]."

Ppp. reads 'jāyata for āsīt, and, after tasyās, jātāyā 'bibhed eka sarvam : yam eve 'dam bhavişyati na vayam iti.

2. She ascended (*ut-kram*); she descended (*ni-kram*) in the house-holder's fire (*gårhapatya*); house-sacrificing (*grhamcdhin*) house-holder [*grhápati*] becometh he who knoweth thus.

This paragraph and the one following are wanting in Ppp.

3. She ascended; she descended in the fire of offering  $(\bar{a}havanlya)$ : to his god-invocation the gods go, dear to the gods becometh he who knoweth thus.

The introductory clause  $s\delta' d a krāmat$ , which belongs to the paragraphs from here on to 29 inclusive (8-17 counting in this respect as a single paragraph), is omitted by the mss., according to their custom, almost without exception, until the last paragraph, 29, where all give it; it is restored throughout in our edition. R. alone gives it in this paragraph.

4. She ascended; she descended in the southern  $\lfloor d\acute{a}ksina \rfloor$  fire: justified (?-rtá) by the offering, fit for sacrificial gifts  $\lfloor daksiniya \rfloor$ , fit for refuge (vásatcya) becometh he who knoweth thus.

Our *pada*-mss. divide *yajñártas* into *yajña*i*tah*, which is evidently wrong, for *yajñá*i*rtah* [as indeed two of SPP's read]; and the quotation of the word under Prāt. iii. 64 also indicates that the latter is the true accent. Ppp. reads instead *yajñāntas*, and omits after it *daksinīyas*.

5. She ascended; she descended in the assembly (sabha): [men] go to his assembly, fit for the assembly becometh he who knoweth thus.

6. She ascended; she descended in the gathering (*sámiti*): [men] go to his gathering, fit for gatherings becometh he who knoweth thus.

This superfluous equivalent of § 5 is wanting in Ppp.

7. She ascended; she descended in address (?āmántraņa); [men] go to his address, fit for address becometh he who knoweth thus.

By the connection, *āmántraņa* ought to involve the idea of a locality. Instead of Lline 2?], Ppp. repeats yajāānto vāsateyo bhavati etc. from § 4.

[Paryāya II. — daçakam. 8, 16. sāmny anustubh (8 3-p); 9. uşuiggarbhā ¥-p. uparıştādvırād brhatī; 10. 1-p. yājuşi gāyatrī; 11 [?], 1.4 sāmnī paükti (11. 1-p.); 12. virād gāyatrī; 13. ārcy anustubh; 15. āsurī gāyatrī; 17. sāmnī brhatī]

8. She ascended; she stood striding (? vikrāntā) fourfold in the atmosphere.

The phrase 'she ascended' is prefixed by only one or two of the mss. (P.s.m.R.), but is implied in the metrical description of the Anukr.

9. Of her gods and men said: "she verily knoweth that upon which we of both classes may subsist; let us call to her."

Ppp. reads at the end hvayāmahi (without iti).

10. They called to her :

11. "O refreshment, come! O svadhå, come! O pleasantness, come! O thou rich in chcer  $(ir\bar{a})$ , come!"

Ppp. combines swadhe 'hi and sunrte 'hi, and omits iti at the end (as in § 9).

12. Of her Indra was the young (vatsá), gāyatri the halter, cloud the udder;

Ppp. begins tasyā 'gnir vat-. Accent in our text, with all the mss., asit.

13. Both brhát and rathantará were two teats; both yajñāyajñíya and vāmadevyá [were] two.

Ppp. prefixes a *tasyās* at the beginning. Accent again in our text astām, with all the mss.

14. Herbs did the gods milk [from her] by rathantará, expansion (vyácas) by brhát;

15. Waters by vāmadevyá, the sacrifice by yajñāyajñíya.

16. Herbs doth rathantará milk, expansion doth brhát,

17. Waters doth *vāmadcīyá*, the sacrifice doth *yajūāyajūíya*, for him who knoweth thus.

For the last two paragraphs, Ppp. reads : te vāi virājāļ kāmadhuga stanā kāmamkāmam yajamānan maha yaļ.

[Paryāya III. — astāu. a of 18. 4-p. virād anustubh; b of 18. ārci tristubh; a of 19-21. 4-p. prājāpatyā paūktu; b of 19-21. ārci brhatī.]

18. She ascended; she came to the forest trees; the forest trees slew her; she in a year came into being; therefore what is cut of the forest trees grows over in a year; cut off  $(\forall ragc)$  is his unfriendly foe (bhratrwya) who knoweth thus.

Only P.s.m. and R. give here the first phrase, and only R. in the three following paragraphs. Ppp. puts vanaspatinām after samvatsare. [For vyçcáte, see note to vi. 136. 3.]

19. She ascended; she came to the Fathers; the Fathers slew her; she in a month came into being; therefore to the Fathers they give in a month the monthly [oblation]; he understandeth the road that goes to the Fathers who knoweth thus.

Again Ppp. puts pitrbhyas after māsi [R's collation, masi], and then reads dadhatas svadhāvān pitrsu bhavati pitryāņam etc. O.R. accent janāti.

20. She ascended; she came to the gods; the gods slew her; she in a half-month came into being; therefore for the gods they make vasat in a half-month: he understandeth the road that goes to the gods who knoweth thus.

Ppp. reads tasmād ardhamāse devebhyo juhoti: juhoty agnihotram pra devay-. O.R. again accent jānāti.

21. She ascended; she came to men (manusya); men slew her; she at once (sadyds) came into being; therefore on both days they present (upa-hr) to men; in his house do they present who knoweth thus.

'Present,' i.e. 'food'; 'on both days' is a queer expression for 'every day.' Ppp. is corrupt, but perhaps means *ahar-ahar manusyāņām upa h*-.

513

[Paryāyas IV. and V. — dve sodaçake. a of 22, 23, 26, 29. 4-p. sāmnī jagatī; b of 22-24, 28, 29. sāmnī brhatī; c of 22, 26. sāmny usņih; d of 22, 23, 26, 29. ărcy anusţubh; c of 23. āsuri gāyatrī; a of 24, 25, 28. 4-p. usņuh; c of 24. prājāpatyā 'nusţubh; d of 24, 25, 27. ārcī trusţubh; b of 25, 26. sāmny usņuh; c of 25, 27, 28. vurād gāyatrī; a of 27. 4-p. prājāpatyā jagati; b of 27. sāmnī trustubh; d of 28. 3-p. brāhmī bhurug gāyatrī; c of 29. sāmny anusţubh.]

22. She ascended; she came to the Asuras; the Asuras called to her: O illusion  $(m\bar{a}yd)$ , come! of her Virochana son of Prahrāda was young (vatsd), the metal-(dyas) vessel [was] vessel; her Dvimūrdhan son of Ritu milked; from her he milked illusion; that illusion the Asuras subsist upon; one to be subsisted on becometh he who knoweth thus.

R. alone gives the first phrase in §§ 22-28. In this and the following paragraphs to 29 inclusive, the text should accent asit, with all the mss. Ppp. reads vairocanas instead of *vir*. Single points in these paragraphs find correspondences in MS. iv. (p. 21, l. 14 ff.; p. 36, l. 8 ff.) and in TB. ii. 2.9<sup>6</sup> ff. [cf. i. 5.9<sup>t</sup>].

23. She ascended; she came to the Fathers; the Fathers called to her: O *svadhå*, come! of her king Yama was young, the silver-vessel [was] vessel; her Antaka son of Mrityu milked; from her he milked *svadhå*; that *svadhå* the Fathers subsist upon: one to be subsisted upon becometh he who knoweth thus.

The samhitā-mss. vary a good deal over mārtyavo' 'dhok: P.M. read mārtvyo, R. mārtvyo, E.O.K. mārtyavo, T. mārtyávo; [cf. introd.]. Ppp. has instead ādutyo; and it omits rājā after yamas; it puts the paragraph after our 24.

24. She ascended; she came to men (manusyd); men called to her: O rich in cheer, come! of her Manu son of Vivasvant was young, earth [was] vessel; her Prithī son of Vena milked; from her he milked both cultivation (krsi) and grain; upon those two, both cultivation and grain, men subsist; successful by what is cultivated (krsid), one to be subsisted upon, becometh he who knoweth thus.

Ti at beginning of **d** is rendered in accordance with the paragraphs that precede and follow; but the *pada*-text reads ti simply (not ti ti), as if it qualified manusyàs. Ppp. reads *prthus* for *prthi*. An accent-mark has dropped out in our edition under the *va* of *trāvaly* in **a**.

25. She ascended; she came to the seven seers; the seven seers called to her: O rich in *bráhman*, come! of her king Soma was young, meter [was] vessel; her Brihaspati son of Angiras milked; from her he milked both *bráhman* and penance; upon that, both *bráhman* and penance, the seven seers subsist; possessed of *bráhman*-splendor, one to be subsisted upon, becometh he who knoweth thus.

Ppp. puts this paragraph after our 26, and omits rājā after somas in b.

26. She ascended; she came to the gods; the gods called to her: O refreshment, come! of her Indra was young, the bowl [was] vessel; her god Savitar milked; from her he milked refreshment; upon that refreshment the gods subsist; one to be subsisted upon becometh he who knoweth thus.

Ppp. reads dārupātram instead of camasas in b, and omits devas in c.

27. She ascended; she came to the Gandharvas and Apsarases; the Gandharvas and Apsarases called to her: O thou of sweet (pinya) odor, come! of her Chitraratha son of Sūryavarchas was young, the blue-lotus leaf [was] vessel; her Vasuruchi son of Sūryavarchas milked; from her he milked sweet odor; upon that sweet odor the Gandharvas and Apsarases subsist; of sweet odor, one to be subsisted upon, becometh he who knoweth thus.

Ppp. has kāuvero vāiçravaņo [cf. our 28 b] and dārupātrain in b, and rājatanābhiņ kāuverako [cf. our 28 c] in c. Restore in our tex' the lost accent-mark under the *ti* of *jīvanti* in **d**.

28. She ascended; she came to the other-folks; the other-folks called to her: O concealment (*tirodhá*), come! of her Kubera son of Viçravaņa was young, the raw vessel [was] vessel; her Rajatanābhi son of Kubera milked; from her he milked concealment; upon that concealment the other-folks subsist: he concealeth all evil, becometh one to be subsisted upon, who knoweth thus.

Ppp. gives everywhere *puņyajana* instead of *itarajana* [twice: third occurrence not noted], and reads in **b** vasuruciļi sūryavarcaso and *puṣkaraparnam* [cf our 27 b] P.p.m. and K. read kūveras in **b**, and Bp.K. read kāverakás in **c**.

29. She ascended; she came to the serpents; the serpents called to her: O poisonous one, come! of her Takshaka descendant of Viçāla was young, the gourd-vessel [was] vessel; her Dhritarāshṭra son of Irāvant milked; from her he milked poison; upon that poison the serpents subsist; one to be subsisted upon becometh he who knoweth thus.

All the mss. give the first phrase in this verse, where it is for the last time repeated.  $\overline{Air\bar{a}\circ vatd\mu}$  is quoted under Prat. iv. 55 as an example of a word divided in the *pada*text notwithstanding its secondary formation with initial *wrddhi*. Ppp. reads *wişā*bhayas for *wāiçāleyas* in **b**, and combines  $-r\bar{a}sfr\bar{a}i$  " $r\bar{a}v$ - in **c** [R's collation has  $-r\bar{a}sfr\bar{a}ir\bar{a}v$ -].

[Paryāya VI. — catuskas 30. 2-p. virād gāyatrī; 31. 2-p. sāmnī tristubh; 32. 2-p. prājāpatyā 'nustubh; 33. 2-p. ārcy usuth.]

30. Then for whomsoever that knoweth thus one shall pour out with a gourd, he should reject [it].

A gourd, apparently, being a too simple vessel to be respectful. [The connection of the gourd with serpents (vss. 29, 32, 33), would seem to be the reason for rejection, as Dr. Ryder suggests.] The readings of Ppp. in this division of the hymn are "confused but apparently essentially accordant" with those of our text. Read *tid* for yid at the beginning in our text.

31. Should he not reject [it], he should reject [it] by [thinking]: with the mind I reject thee.

32. In that he rejects [it], he thus rejects poison.

33. Poison is poured out after the unfriendly foe of him who knoweth thus.

[The quotations from the Old Anukr. for the *paryāya-sūkta* are given piecemeal at the end of each *paryaya*. For brevity they may here be given together: I. *trayodaça*; II. *daça*; III. *astāu* (*a*; IV. *tataḥ sodaça*; V. *sodaça*; VI. *catuṣkas*.]

[SPP., "Critical Notice," vol. i., p. 19, prints them in full in their metrical form:

trayoduça duçā 'stāu ca tatuh sodaça sodaça : virādvāyām catuskas tu ; sat paryāyās tu nuçcitāh :

'In the [hymn beginning] "virād vā" (vāi), [the last paryāya is] one of four [avasāna-rcas]; while the paryāyas count six.']

[The summations of gapas and  $(gapa)avas\bar{a}na$ -rcas are as follows: I. g., 6; av., 13; II. av., 10; III. g, 4; av., 8; IV. g., 4; av., 16; V. g., 4; av., 16; VI. av., 4.— Total of av., 67.]

[Here ends the fifth anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 59 verses: that is 1 artha-sūkta of 20 verses and 1 paryāya-sūkta with 6 paryāyas and 33 verses.]

[Some mss. sum up the hymns and verses correctly. Thus D. reckons 30 sūktas (that is 24 of the decad-divisions of our hymns 1-9, plus 6 paryāya-sūktas of our h. 10) with 226 verses (i.e in our hymns 1-9) plus 67 avasāna-reas Similarly ms. I. makes 30 hymns; but 259 verses (i.e. 226, plus the 33 of our h. 10).]

[Here ends the nineteenth prapāthaka.]

# Book IX.

[This ninth book is the second of the second grand division of the Atharvan collection. For a general statement as to the make-up of the books of this division, see page 471. The Old Anukramanī describes the length of hymns 1, 3, and 5 by stating in each case the excess over 20 verses — perhaps assuming 20 as the normal length. The whole book has been translated by Victor Henry, Les livres I'III et IX de l'Atharva-véda traduits et commentés, Paris, 1894. The bhāsya is lacking for this book.]

[**Paryāya-hymns**: for details respecting them, see pages 471-2. The *paryāya*-hymns of this book are hymn 6 (with 6 *paryāyas*) and hymn 7 (with 1 *paryāya*).]

L'The anuväka-division of the book (as is explained on page 472 also) is into five anuväkas of two hymns each. The "decad"-division likewise is as described on page 472. A tabular conspectus for book ix. follows:

Anuvākas	T		2		.3		4		5	
					· ·······························		,		·	
Hymns	Ī	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Verses	2.1	25	31	24	38	62¶	26¶	22	22	28
Decad-div.	10 + 11	10 + 10 + 5	10 + 10 + 11	10 + 11	1) + 10 + 1) + -	61	112	$10 \neq 12$	1.4.12	10 + 10 + 8

Here ¶ means "paragraph of a paryaya" (such as is numbered as a "verse" in the Berlin edition) and  $\nu$  means "paryaya". The last line shows the "decad"-division. These divisions are shown also in both editions. Of these "decads," anuvakas 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 contain respectively 5, 5, 4, 2, and 5 (in all, 21 "decads"); while anuvakas 3 and 4 have respectively 6 paryayas and 1. The sum is 21 "decad"-suktas and 7 paryāya-sūktas or 28 sūktas.]

## 1. To the honey-whip etc.

 [Atharvan — caturvinçarcam. madhudevatyam; āçsunam. trātsjubham 2. triștubgarbhā paūkti; 3. parānusjubh; 6, 7. mahābrhati (6. atiçākvaragarbhā, 7. atijāgatagarbhā);
 8. brhatīgarbhā samstārapaūkti; 9. parābrhati prastārapaūkti; 10 parojuk paūkti;
 11–13, 15, 16, 18, 19. anusjubh; 14. purausjuh; 17. uparistādvirād brhatī; 20. bhurig vistārapaūkti; 21. 1-av. 2-p. ārcy anusjubh; 22. 3-p. brāhmi purausjuh; 23 2-p ārcī paūkti; 24 3-av. 6-p. asti.]

[Partly prose — 14 a and 21 to the end.] Found also [with vs. 3 before 2, vs. 7 before 6, and vs. 18 before 16] in Päipp. xvi.; [but according to a note in W's Collation-book, vss. 1-24 occur in Päipp. at folios 226 a, 108 a, 69 b, i.e. in several different

 $k\bar{a}ndas/j$ . The hymn is called the *madhusūkta* 'honey-hymn' in Vāit. 16. 12, and is prescribed to be recited to accompany the mixing of soma with milk in a part of the *agnistoma* ceremony. It is reckoned to the *varcasya gana* (see note to Kāuç. 12. 10); and (always in company with iii. 16; vi. 69) is directed in Kāuç. 10. 24\*; 12. 15; 13. 6 to be recited in various ceremonies. [See also notes to vss. 13, 18.] \*[vi. 125. 2 and ix. 1. 1 and xix. 3. 1 have the same *pratīka* (*divaspṛthivyās*). SPP. understands the comm. at iii. 16 and at vi. 69 as intending ix. 1 by *divaspṛthivyās;* but the comm. at xix. 3 understands xix. 3 as intended (cf. Whitney's introduction to xix. 3). — The "honeyed whip," *madhumatī káçā*, of the Açvins is mentioned in the RV. (i. 22. 3; 157. 4). Oldenberg, *Rel. des Veda*, p. 209, thinks it refers to the morning dew. Cf. Macdonell, *Ved. Mythol.*, p. 49, 54.]

Translated : Henry, 81, 115 ; Griffith, i. 427 ; Bloomfield, 229, 587.

1. Verily from sky, from earth, from atmosphere, from ocean, from fire, from wind was born the honey-whip; noting  $(c\bar{a}y)$  it, [as] putting on immortality, all creatures  $(praj\hat{a})$  rejoice to meet it with their hearts.

The irregularities of meter in **a** and **c** may be rectified [very unsatisfactorily] by combining  $prthivy\vec{a}$  'nt- (as Ppp. actually reads) and resolving  $c\bar{a}yitu-\vec{a}$ . Divids p- is prescribed by Prāt. ii. 68.

2. Great, all-formed [is] the milk of it; also they call thee the seed of ocean; whence the granting honey-whip cometh, thither breath, thither immortality  $(am'_tta)$  hath entered in.

Ppp. puts *payas* in **a** after *viçvarūpam* [and combines *payo* 'syās] and puts  $tv\bar{a}$  in **b** after *uta*; and it reads at the end *divistam*. It also gives the verse after our vs. 3. The metrical definition of the Anukr. is wrong, since a fair *tristubh* is restorable by a little resolution (*viçu-a*, *pr-ānas*).

3. Men, manifoldly meditating  $(m\bar{n}m\bar{a}ns)$  severally see its movement (carita) on the earth; verily from fire, from wind was born the honeywhip, the formidable daughter (napti) of the Maruts.

Pāda c is identical with 1 b, pādas c, d with 10 c, d. Ppp. reads at end of a *pṛthi-* $aya\bar{s}$ ; at end of d,  $ugr\bar{a}$  anapatih (also in vs. 10).

4. Mother of the Ādityas, daughter of the Vasus, breath of creatures (praja), navel of immortality (amita), gold-colored, dripping with ghee (ghrtaci), the honey-whip moves among mortals [as] a great brightness (bhairga).

Bp.p.m, Bp.<sup>2</sup>T. read *gárbhas* in **d**, and our edition follows them, doubtless erroneously. [All of SPP's authorities give *bhárgas*.] With **a**, **b** compare RV. viii. 101(90). 15 **a**, **b** [and MB. ii. 8. 15 **a**, **b**]. The Anukr. does not heed the redundant syllable in **c**. [Correct *gárbhaç* to *bhárgaç*.]

5. The gods generated the whip of honey; of it there came to be an all-formed embryo; this, when born [and] tender, its mother fills; it, [when] born, looks abroad on all existences.

Ppp. has at the end bhuvanā 'bhi vaste.

6. Who knows (*pra-vid*) that, who understands (*cit*) that which [is] the unexhausted soma-holding vessel of the heart of it? The priest (*brahmán*) of excellent wisdom — he may revel in it.

'Of it' (b) is fem., and so relates to the 'honey-whip'; 'in it,' at the end, relates to the 'vessel.' *Aksitas* (Ppp. *aksatas*) at the end of b is plainly an intrusive addition to the pāda; the Anukr., wrongly reckoning the initial *a* of *asyās* as unelided, counts 15 syllables in the pāda, and calls both this and the next verse by the unusual and indefinite name *mahābṛhatī*.

7. He knows those two, he understands them that [are] its two unexhausted, thousand-streaming breasts; they milk out refreshment  $(\hbar rj)$ , unresisting.

'Its,' i.e. of the 'honey-whip.' Ppp. reads again  $aksat\bar{a}u$  in **b**, and it puts this verse before our vs. 6.

8. She that, crying much, great, vigor-bestowing, loud-noised, goes unto her course (*?vratá*), bellowing at three *gharmás* — she lows a lowing, she abounds ( $p\bar{i}$ ) with milk (*páyas*).

'Crying loudly,' lit. 'making to excess the sound *hing*'; 'abounds with milk,' perhaps rather 'gives milk in streams.' The verse is very obscure; it is in part identical with 10.6 below (= RV. i. 164. 28). Its irregular meter (11 + 10 [11?]:9 + 11 = 41syllables) is very ill defined by the Anukr. [which seems to scan as 11 + 9:9 + 11].

9. Whom, when fattened, the waters wait upon, the mighty (cakvard) bulls that are self-ruling, they rain, they cause to rain, for him who knows this, his desire, refreshment, waters.

'Whom' is fem.; 'they' (c) is masc, = the bulls. Parts of this verse and the following one are lost in Ppp. The Anukr. [seems to scan as 11 + 11 : 9 + 9].

10. Thunder [is] thy voice, O Prajāpati; a bull, thou castest (ksip) vehemence (?cusma) over the earth; verily from fire, from wind was born the honey-whip, the formidable daughter of the Maruts.

The latter half-verse we had above, as 3 c, d; the former half-verse is repeated below, as 20 a, b [with *divi* for *ádhi* at the end]. [Bloomfield thinks *cusma* is 'lightning': ZDMG. xlviii. 566.] O. reads at the beginning *stanayitnús te*. The metrical description of the Anukr. means only that the syllables are 40 in all (10+12:11+7), and that one pāda contains seven.

11. As at the early pressing soma is loved (priyd) of the Açvins, so, O Açvins, let splendor be maintained in my self.

12. As at the second pressing soma is loved of Indra-and-Agni, so, O Indra-and-Agni, let splendor be maintained in my self.

13. As at the third pressing soma is loved of the Ribhus, so, O Ribhus, let splendor be maintained in my self.

This group of three verses is specially quoted at Vāit. 21.7 to accompany an offering of  $\bar{a}jya$ . It is one of the passages forming the *varcasya gana* (see note to Kāuç. 13.1);

and at Kāuç. 139. 15 it is prescribed to be used, with many others, in the ceremony of initiation of a Vedic student. The verses are not metrical, though the Anukr. calls them *anustubh* (as having 31 and 32 syllables). In 12 a the accent-mark under *ye* has dropped out.

14. May I generate honey; may I win honey; rich in milk, O Agni, have I come; unite me here with splendor.

The second part of the verse we have had above as vii. 89. I c, d. The edition reads, with all the mss., vancisitya, but it should be emended to vanisisitya (root van); cf. the similar misreading at xvi. 9. 4. Ppp. reads madhu janisi manu mambikiyah; and it combines agnā "gamam. By reckoning the first part of the passage as metrical (which it is not) the Anukr. counts out a good purausnih.

15. Unite me, O Agni, with splendor, with progeny, with life-time; may the gods know me as such; may Indra know, together with the seers.

We had the verse above, as vii. 89. 2.

16. As the honey-makers bring together honey upon honey, so, O Açvins, let splendor be maintained in my self.

Ppp. reads, for the second half-verse,  $ev\bar{a}$  me ' $vin\bar{a}$  balam ojaç ca dhriyatām : cf. our 17 c, d. The line is, like the corresponding parts of 11-13, not metrical as it stands.

17. As the flies  $(m\dot{a}k;s\ddot{a})$  smear down here honey upon honey, so, O Açvins, let my splendor, brilliancy, strength, and force be maintained.

In **a**, delete the superfluous accent-mark under *dhu*. Ppp. has quite another version of **a**, **b**: *yathā makṣā mayuntyujam dakṣiṇām adhi*: and it omits *balam ojas* in **d**. The omission of any one of the three nouns in our **d** would rectify the meter.

18. What honey on hills (giri), on mountains, what in kine, in horses, in strong drink (siri) as poured out, what honey [is] there, [be] that in me.

With this verse and the next are to be compared vi. 69. 1, 2 [where the use by Vāit. is given]. Ppp. has only *yadi giriṣyavipām citviṣt* in place of this verse, and puts it before our 16.

19. O ye Açvins, lords of beauty ! anoint me with the honey of bees (sāraghá), that I may speak splendid words among the people.

This verse differs only by one word from vi. 69. 2.

20. Thunder [is] thy voice, O Prajāpati; a bull, thou castest vehemence on the earth, on the sky; upon that live all cattle; with this it lavishes (pr) food (is) [and] refreshment.

• The first half-verse is the same with 10 a, b, saving divit for ddhi at the end. 'That' in c is fem. (tam), and might refer either to 'voice' or to 'earth'; 'this' is masc. (or neut., *téna*), and might refer either to 'vehemence' or to 'sky'; while 'it' is again fem. The obscurity of the verse baffles interpretation. The Pet. Lex. suggests 'seed' as a possible rendering of *cisma* ('vehemence'). The metrical description of the

-ix. 2

Anukr. is, as usual in such an irregular case  $(10 + 12:11 + 9[11^2] = 42)$ , quite worthless. Ppp. omits the first pāda, reads *divas* for *divi* at the end of the second, and goes on thus: madhos kaçayos prthivīm anaksi tām dātāram paçava upa jīvanti: sarve tena vo cesam ūrjam bibharti.

21. Earth [is] the staff, atmosphere the embryo, sky the whip, lightning the snapper (? prakaçá), of gold the globule (bindú).

The Pet. Lex. conjectures "Peitschenriemen" for prakaçá. Ppp. leaves the initial of antarikșam unclided, and for prakaças has prakāçā madhokaçā ci ghrtācī.

22. He who knows the seven honeys of the whip becomes rich in honey: the Brahman, and the king, and the milch-cow, and the draft-ox, and rice, and barley; honey the seventh.

One does not see why the Anukr. calls the passage  $br\bar{a}hm\bar{i}$  purausuik [that is  $\binom{n}{2}$  of 12:8+8=18:12+12=42] rather than simply  $br\bar{a}hm\bar{i}$  usuch  $\lfloor \binom{n}{4}$  of 8+8:12=12+12:18=42]; it is the only example of either name in the treatise. [The not very sufficient reason for the preference would seem to be the position of the  $a\bar{c}a\bar{v}a\bar{n}a$ , which divides the "vs." as 18:24 and not as 24:18.] [Ppp. has in **a** madhuka $c\bar{d}y\bar{d}s$  for kac- and sapta madhumatim for madhumān bhavati; then follows madhumato lokān jayati (cf. vs. 23).]

23. Rich in honey he becomes; rich in honey becomes his provision  $(\bar{a}h\bar{a}ry\dot{a})$ ; worlds rich in honey he conquers, who knows thus.

24. When it thunders in a clear sky, that is Prajāpati himself becoming manifest to his creatures; therefore I stand with the sacred cord over the right shoulder, saying: O Prajāpati, take notice (*anu-budh*) of me: creatures [take notice], Prajāpati takes notice of him who knows thus.

In order to make an asti (64 syll.) of this piece of prose, we have to restore dnuand separate *lti* in **d**, and to resolve dnu enam in **e**; and to make six pādas the last line has to be violently divided; the *pada*-text intimates a division after the second dnu. LPpp. in **a**-**b** has a *tat* also before *prajāpatis* and in **e** it reads *prajā budhyante* for *prajāp- budhyate*.

[The hymn begins with *divids* and the quoted Anukr. says "*divaç*" ca catur-uttarāh (referring to a plus of 4 over the normal 20).]

## 2. To Kāma: for various blessings.

[Atharvan. — pañcavinçakam. kāmadevatyam. trātstubham: 5. atijagatī; 7. jagatī; 8. 2-p. ārcī paūkti: 11, 20, 23. bhurij; 12. anustubh; 13. 2-p. ārcy anustubh; 14, 15, 17, 18, 21, 22. jagatī; 16. 4-p. çakvarigarbhā parājagatī.]

[Partly prose — "vs." 13.] Found also (except vs. 4) in Pāipp. xvi. [with vs. 16 before 12 and vs. 24 before 20]. The hymn (vs. 1) is prescribed in Vāit. 24. 10 to be recited, with homage to Kāma, in a part of the Agnistoma ceremony; and in Kāuç. 49. 1 it (vs. 1) accompanies the release of a bull in a witchcraft ceremony.

Translated: Muir, v. 404 (nearly all); Ludwig, p. 519; Scherman, *Philosophische* Hymnen, p. 76 (part); Henry, 84, 118; Güiffith, i. 430; Bloomfield, 220. 591.— Cf. Hillebrandt, Veda-Chrestomathie, p. 40. I. The rival-slaying bull Kāma do I desire to aid (?*çik*s) with ghee, with oblation, with sacrificial butter; do thou, praised with great heroism, make my rivals to fall downward.

 $K\bar{a}ma$ , lit. 'desire, love,' is so thoroughly personified throughout the hymn that the word is better transferred than translated.

2. What of my mind or my sight is not agreeable (*privá*), what of me gnaws, does not enjoy (*abhi-nand*), that evil-dreaming do I fasten on my rival; praising Kāma, may I shoot up.

The sense of **a**, **b** is very doubtful; without **b** added, **a** would naturally mean 'what is not agreeable to my mind or sight'; the Pet. Lex. proposes to help the difficulty rather by emending **b** to yasmād bibhatse yac ca nā 'bhinande. This verse and the following one are included in the duhsuapnanāçana gaņa: see note to Kāuç. 46.9. There is an irregularity in every pāda, but the Anukr. does not heed them. Ppp. has, for **b**, yan me hṛdaye nā 'bhinandanti; and, for **d**, kāmam juṣṭa hānudam bhideyam — thus giving us no help. [Pischel treats the vs., Ved. Stud. ii. 61. Aufrecht, KZ. xxxiv. 459, sees here a root bhas 'verdriessen, taedere.']

3. Evil-dreaming, O Kāma, and difficulty, O Kāma, want of progeny, homelessness, ruin do thou, formidable, masterful, fasten on him who shall seek to devise (*cikits*-) distresses for us.

Ppp. combines yo 'smabhyam in d.

4. Thrust, O Kāma; thrust forth, O Kāma; let them who are my rivals go to ruin; of them, thrust to lowest darknesses, do thou, O Agni, burn out the abodes (vdstu).

The Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency of two syllables in  $\mathbf{d}$ , which in 9  $\mathbf{d}$  is made up by the addition of *anu*. In Vāit. 4. 5 the verse is strangely used to accompany the separation of two sacrificial ladles; in Kāuç. 48. 5 it accompanies the driving away of something with a branch.

5. That daughter of thine, O Kāma, is called a milch-cow, what utterance (vdc) the poets name virdj; with that do thou avoid them that are my rivals; let breath, cattle, life avoid them.

Or a might be 'that milch-cow is called thy daughter.' O. reads  $p dry e n \bar{a}n$  in d; but the passage is quoted under Prāt. iii. So as one in which the lingualization of n does not take place.

6. With the strength of Kāma, of Indra, of king Varuņa, of Vishņu, with the impulse of Savitar ('the impeller'), with the priestship (*hotrá*) of Agni I thrust forth my rivals, as a skilful pole-man (?*çambin*) a boat on the waters (*udaká*).

*Çambin* occurs nowhere else, and the meaning of *çámba* is doubtful. Ppp. reads in **c**  $pi q \bar{a} c \bar{a} n$  instead of *sapatnān*.

7. Let Kāma, my valiant  $(v\bar{a}jin)$  formidable overseer, make for me freedom from rivals; let the all-gods be my refuge; let all the gods come to this call of mine.

'All' is view in c, and sárve in d. The verse is called *jagati* by the Anukr., though only d is a *jagati* pāda [and that only by count]. Ppp. combines at the end of d  $m\bar{a}i$  'mam [and thus suggests the true metrical rendering of d as a *tristubh*: similarly at ix. 3. 15].

8. Enjoying this sacrificial butter rich in ghee, do ye, with Kāma as chief (-jyeṣṭha), revel here, making for me freedom from rivals.

Ppp. reads ghrtam id in a, and krinvantu in c. The verse is a perfectly good virāņnāmagāyatrī, but the Anukr. calls it an ārcī paūkti, as if it had 30 syllables.

9. Becoming, O Kāma, in alliance (*sarátham*) with Indra-and-Agni, may ye make my rivals to fall downward; of them, fallen to lowest darknesses, do thou, O Agni, burn along out the abodes.

With c, d compare 4 c, d above. The first half-verse presents various anomalies: sarátham demands an instrumental case; we should expect rather a plural verb (but compare vi. 104. 3 a, b); and it should be accented after hl. Emending *indrāgnī* to *indrēņa*, and reading *pāddyāthas*, would make everything right.

10. Slay thou, O Kāma, those that are my rivals; make them fall down to blind darknesses; be they all senseless (*?nirindriya*), sapless; let them not live any day soever.

Ppp. combines sapatnā 'ndhā in a-b, combines and reads nirindriyā 'ravāh in c, and has for d yathā nu jīvāt katamac [-maç?] cane 'sām.

11. Kāma hath slain (*vadh*) them that are my rivals; he hath made for me wide space, prosperity; let the four directions bow to me; let the six wide ones [fem.] bring ghee to me.

The third pada was found above as v. 3. 1 c. It is unusual for the Anukr. to note as *bhurij* a *tristubh* containing a *jagati*-pada. [Cf. Bergaigne, *Rel. Véd.* ii. 122.]

12. Let them float away downward, like a boat severed from its mooring; of them, thrust forth by missiles, there is no return again.

The verse is nearly identical with iii. 6. 7 above. [Ppp. reads in c sāyakas pra-.]

13. Agni [is] a repeller (? yáva), Indra a repeller, Soma a repeller; let the repelling (? yavayávan) gods repel (yu) him.

[Prose.] This translation is altogether questionable. Perhaps the verse accompanies a ceremony in which barley  $(y \delta v a)$  is used, a play on words being intended between  $y \delta v a$  'barley' and the root y u 'repel';  $y a v a y \delta v a n$  would then be 'going in barley.' Ppp. has for second half  $y a v a y a n u m \delta m u s y \delta y a n m u s y \delta y b u train$ jivalokam mrtalokam katā 'mum. It is strange that the Anukr. does not note the $paragraph as <math>dv y a v a s \delta n \delta$ .

14. With his heroes not safe  $\lfloor \dot{a} \cdot sarvavira \rfloor$  let him go on, thrust forth, to be hated of friends, to be avoided of his own kin; on earth also stay  $(ava \cdot s\bar{a})$  thunderbolts; may the formidable god massacre your rivals.

The sense of **c** is obscure; *vidyútas* might also be object of the verb: 'they let loose thunderbolts.' Ppp. puts *dvesyas* after *mitrāņām* in **b**. The Anukr. calls the verse **a** 

jagati, although it is a tristubh with one jagati-pāda (like 11). [W. usually renders sárvavira by 'with all [his, our, etc.] heroes.']

15. This great [carth], both stirred and unstirred, bears the lightning and all the thunders; let the Aditya, arising with property, with brilliancy, thrust downward my rivals, he the powerful one.

The first half-verse is wholly obscure, and the version given commits the grammatical solecism of taking vudyút as neut. accus. But for the last *ca*, vidyut might be taken as subject of the sentence. The verse has a *tristubh*-pāda (a), of which the Anukr. makes no account.

16. What sufficient (*udbhú*) triply-guarding defense thou hast, O Kāma, worship (*bráhman*) as extended protection (*várman*), made unpierceable, with that do thou avoid them that are my rivals; let breath, cattle, life avoid them.

The last half-verse is [nearly] identical with 5 c, d above, and O. again reads  $e_{ll}\bar{a}n$ in d. Ppp. puts the verse next before our 12. The description of the meter by the Anukr. is unintelligible, since we have (12 + 14 : 12 + 14) 52 syllables, or an *atijagatī*; perhaps *parājagatī* is a misrcading for this.

17. Wherewith the gods thrust forth the Asuras, wherewith Indra conducted the barbarians (*dásyu*) to lowest darkness, therewith do thou, O Kāma, thrust forth far from this world those who are my rivals.

Ppp. reads at end of **b** tamo 'pubādhe, and at end of **d** sarvān for dūram. The verse (11 + 13:11 + 11 = 46) is a queer sort of "jagati."

18. As the gods thrust forth the Asuras, as Indra drove  $(b\bar{a}dh)$  the barbarians to lowest darkness, so do thou, O Kāma, thrust forth far from this world those who are my rivals.

Ppp. has again *tamo 'pabādhe*, but this time *dūram*. The "*jagatī*" meter is like that of vs. 17.

19. Kāma was first born; not the gods, the Fathers, nor mortals attained  $(\bar{a}p)$  him; to them art thou superior (jydyans), always great; to thee as such, O Kāma, do I pay homage.

Ppp. reads in **a**, **b** prathamo nā 'nyat puro nāi 'nam devāsas pitaro no 'ta martyāh; and it combines in **d** namāt 't. The verse  $(9\lfloor 10? \rfloor + 10:12 + 11 = 42)$  is a queer "tristubh."

20. How great in width are heaven-and-earth; how far the waters flowed, how far fire—to them art thou etc. etc.

With **a** is identical iv. 6. 2 **a**. Some *samhitā*-mss. read *sisyadúr* in **b** (O.s.m.R.). [I find no note of R.] The meter is described by the Anukr. in accordance with that of vs. 11.

21. How great are the divergent (visuanc) quarters [and] directions; how great the regions ( $d_{\xi}\bar{a}$ ), on-lookers of the sky — to them art thou etc. etc.

The verse lacks two syllables of being a real jagati.

524

22. How many the humble-bees (bhrnga), the bats, the kurthrus; how many have been the vághās, the tree-creepers-to them art thou etc. etc.

The verse is a *jagati* in number of syllables (12 + 13: 12 + 11 = 48). Bp. accents jatváh in a.

23. Superior art thou to him that winks, that stands; superior to the ocean art thou, O Kāma, fury --- to them art thou etc. etc.

24. Verily no wind soever attains  $(\bar{a}p)$  Kāma, not fire, sun, also not moon; to them art thou etc. etc.

Ppp. puts this verse before our 20, and reads for c, d na vāpaç cana kāmam āpur nā 'horātrāni nihatāni yantī na vāi puņyajāç [intending puņyajanāç °] cana kāmam apur na gandharvapsaraso na sarpah. The Anukr. accounts the verse simply a tristubh | perhaps counting b as 10 and balancing it with the 12 of c |.

25. What propitious excellent bodies thou hast, O Kāma, with which what thou choosest becometh real, with them do thou enter wholly into us; make evil devices (dht) enter away elsewhere.

The combination tabhis triam is an example under Prat. ii. 84, and is quoted in the commentary there. Ppp. reads *wrnite* at end of **b**, *upa*- for *abhi*- in **c**, and *upa* for *apa* in d. The Anukr. pays no heed to the extra syllable in d. The verse is quoted in Kauç. 24. 29 in the agrahayani ceremony, to accompany the act of lying down (apparently merely on account of the occurrence of -sam-viç in c).

| The quoted Anukr. here says kāmasūktah. |

| Here ends the first anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 49 verses.]

## 3. To accompany the releasing of a house.

[Bhrgvanguras. - ekatrinçatkam. çālādevatyam. ānustubham 6. pathyāpankti ; 7 farosuih ; 15. 3-av. 5-p. atų akvari ; 17. prastārapankti ; 21. āstārapankti ; 25, 31. 3-p. prājāpatyā brhatī; 26. sāmnī tristubh; 27-30. pratisthānāmagāyatrī; 25-31. 1-av. 3-p]

| Partly prose - 25 to end. | Found also in Paipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 1-3, 5, 4, 6-10, 14, 16, 11, 12, 13, 15, 17, 21, 18, 20, 19, 24, 23, 22, 25-31). The hymn is not noticed in Vāit.; but several verses (1, 15, 18, 22, 24) are quoted in Kāuç. 66. 22-30, in connection with an inauguration-ceremony (savayajha) in which a house (a toy house?) is an object given.

Translated : Ludwig, p. 464; Zimmer, p. 151 (vss. 1-24); Grill, 60, 188 (vss. 1-24); Henry, 87, 121; Griffith, i. 434; Bloomfield, 193, 595. - Cf. also Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 179.

1. Of the props (upamit), of the supports (pratimit), and also of the connectors (? parimit) of the dwelling (cdla) that possesses all choice things, we unfasten the ticd (naddhá) [parts].

Ppp. reads upamitaș pratimito tho parimitaç ca yaç çālāyā viçvavārāyā te naddhān vi crtāmasi.

2. What of thee is tied, O thou that possessest all choice things, what fetter and knot is made, that with a spell (vác) I make fall apart, as Brihaspati [did] Bala.

525

All the mss. read balám (not valám) in c, as also Ppp. (brhaspatim vaham balam). Our Bp. has in d srançayāmi : tvát. The Anukr. seems to imply the abbreviation of iva to 'va in c.

3. He stretched  $(\bar{a}$ -yam), he combined (sam-brh), he made thy knots firm (drdhd); with Indra we unfasten [them], as a knowing slaughterer the joints.

4. Of thy beams (vaiçá), ties (náhana), and binding (prāṇāhá) grass, of thy sides (pakṣá), O thou that possessest all choice things, we unfasten the tied [parts].

Vançá is properly a bamboo beam. Prānāhá (unchanged in *pada*-text) seems to occur only here, nor is root *nah* elsewhere combined with *pra*; I have ventured to render it as an adj., as *trina* appears to call for a descriptive epithet. Ppp. reads *naddhān vi* in **d**.

5. Of the clamps (samdançá), of the paladás, and of the embracer (párişvañjalya) — now of the mistress of the building do we unfasten the tied [parts].

Ppp. reads, in **a**, **b**, *palidānām parisvañcanadasya ca*; and, for **c**, *sarvā mānasya patni te*; it also puts the verse before our 4.

6. What hanging vessels (? cikya) they bound on to the within for enjoyment, those we unfasten for thee; be thou, [when] set up, O mistress of the building, propitious to our self (tant).

*Çikyà* may be an ornamental hanging appendage of some kind.\* All the mss. read manasya patni in **d**; our edition emends to man. The pada-text has úddhitā, undivided, in **e** (as at xviii. 2. 34, and uddhili at viii. 8. 22); the case ought to fall under Prāt. iv. 62, but root  $dh\bar{a}$  is not mentioned there, though we find han superfluously included. Ppp. reads yāni te antaç cikyāny āmedho 'ntyāya kam'; and, for **d**, sarvā mānasya patnyā.

\* As to decorations of this kind, see John Griffiths, *The Paintings in the Buddhist Cave-Temples of Ajantâ*, London, 1896, plates 6, 10, and 13; cf. also Karpūra-mañjarī, iii. 27, ed. Konow, and my note thereon at p. 289. W. has interlined "slings" as an alternative rendering of ikya.

7. Oblation-holder (*havirdhána*), fire-place (*agniçála*), wives' site [and] scat; seat of the gods art thou, O heavenly dwelling.

The *parosuih* is regular, save for the common variant of a *tristubh* instead of a *jagati*-pāda at the end.

8. The thousand-eyed net (*ákṣu*), stretched out as *opaçá* on the divisionline (*viṣāvánt*), tied down, put on, do we with worship (*bráhman*) unfasten.

Abhihita in c doubtless contains the suggestion of abhidhānī 'a halter.' Geldner (Ved. Stud. i. 136) wants to make of akşu a 'stake' or 'pillar.' Vişūvant probably means the 'parting of the hair, crown' (so Zimmer), here the ridge of the roof. Ppp. begins with yakşmopiçam, and has in c the easier reading apinaddham apihitam. 9. He who, O dwelling, accepts thee, and he by whom thou art built -- let both those, O mistress of the building, live to attain old age.

The *manasya* of the mss. in **c** is again emended in our edition to *man*. Ppp. rectifies the meter of **a** by reading *yaç citrā* (*ca tatā*?) *pr*. The Anukr. pays no heed to the irregularity of the verse (9+8:8+7).

10. Do thou, made firm, tied, adorned (*pari-kr*), go to him yonder — thou whose every limb, whose every joint we unfasten.

O. reads in **a** amútrāi 'nam. Páriskritā is unaltered in the pada-text, as prescribed by Prāt. iv. 58. Enam probably indicates the "acceptor" (9**a**, 15). Ppp. reads in **b** tridhā for drdhā, and begins **c** with tasyās. [As to amútra, cf. Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 179.]

11. He who fixed (*ni-mi*) thee, O dwelling, [who] brought together the forest trees — unto progeny, O dwelling, he, [as a] most exalted Prajāpati, made thee.

Ppp. reads purvas for çāle in a.

12. Homage to him, homage to the giver, and to the lord of the dwelling we pay; homage to the forth-moving (*pra-car*) fire, and to thy spirit (*púruṣa*) [be] homage.

Ppp. reads in b krymasi.

13. Homage to kine, to horses, whatever is born  $(vi-j\bar{a})$  in the dwelling; thou rich in births  $(vij\bar{a})$ , rich in progeny, we unfasten thy fetters.

Ppp. lacks, probably by an oversight, the second half-verse.

14. Thou coverest within the fire, the men together with the cattle  $(pa_{\ell}ii)$ ; thou rich in births, rich in progeny, we unfasten thy fetters.

15. Between both heaven and earth what expanse [there is], therewith do I accept this dwelling of thine; the atmosphere that pervades (*vimána*) space (*rájas*), that do I make a paunch (*udára*) for treasures; therewith I accept the house for this man.

This verse in Kāuç. 66. 28 accompanies the "acceptance" of the house in question. The Anukr. calls it an *atiçakvarī*, though it contains only 57 syllables (12+12: 11+11:11) instead of 60. Ppp. reads at end of **b** *tāi* '*mām*' (an abbreviation which is here acceptable, as making a good *triṣṭubh*-pāda [such was the case at ix. 2. 7 also]), and in **e** yac chālām for tena  $\varsigma$ .

16. Rich in refreshment, rich in milk, fixed (*ni-mi*), built upon the earth, bearing all food, O dwelling, do not thou injure those accepting [thec].

17. Wrapped  $(\bar{a}$ -vr) with grass, clothing itself in *paladás*, the dwelling, place of rest (nivé cani) of living creatures, like the night — built on the earth thou standest, like a she-elephant, having feet.

That is, apparently, heavy and big on the four corner posts, like an elephant (female because 'dwelling' is feminine) on its feet. With **b** compare xii. 1.6 **b**. The verse as a *prastārapaīikti* (11 + 12:8 + 8) has no irregularity which the Anukr. is wont to heed

18. Of thy rush-work (*lia*) I unfasten what was tied on, uncovering; [thee] pressed together by Varuna let Mitra in the morning open out.

The verse in Kāuç. 66. 24 accompanies the letting down (*ava-sr*) of the door. [Bergaigne has a note on the vs., *Rel. Véd.* iii. 122.]

19. The dwelling fixed with worship (*bráhman*), fixed, built by the poets — let Indra-and-Agni, immortal, defend the dwelling, the seat for soma (*somyá*).

P. reads nírmitām in **b**, and sāumyām in **d**. Ppp. has a quite different version : catussraktim paricakrām for **a**; viçvāna bibhratī çālām (cf. our 16 c) amŗto sāumyam sadaļ for c, d.

20. A nest (kuláya) upon a nest, a vessel (kóça) pressed together in a vessel — there a mortal is born ( $vi \cdot j\bar{a}$ ), from whom all is generated ( $pra \cdot j\bar{a}$ ).

Ppp. has martyas in c.

21. [The dwelling] which is fixed with two sides, with four sides, which with six sides — the eight-sided, the ten-sided dwelling, the mistress of the building, Agni lies in like an embryo.

The *pada*-text reads asta paksām in **c**, by Prāt. iii. 2; iv. 94. [As to *paksa*, cf. iii. 7. 3.] The verse is a good *paākti*, involving only the resolution *mānasi-a* in **d**, but the Anukr. absurdly treats it as of four pādas; and, in accordance with this, the *pada*-mss mark a pāda division after  $\zeta \overline{a} l \overline{a} m$ .

22. I go forward, O dwelling, turned toward thee, uninjuring, that art turned toward me; for within [are] fire and waters, the first door of right (rtd).

Ppp. reads at the end *prathamobhā*. The mss. all have *ca rtásya* in **c-d**. The verse is quoted in Kāuç 66. 25, accompanying the action of 'going forward with  $(\bar{a}d\bar{a}ya)$  water-pot [and] fire.'

23. I bring forward these waters, free from *yáksma*, dispellers of *yáksma*; I set forth unto the houses, together with immortal fire.

We had this verse above, as iii. 12. 9. Ppp. (which omitted it as part of that hymn) reads in **a**  $har\bar{a}mi$ , and in **c** abhi (for upa)

24. Fasten thou not on us the fetter; a heavy burden, become thou light; like a woman (vadht), O dwelling, we carry thee where we will.

Quoted in Kāuç. 66. 30. [Cf. again Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 179. -- Over "woman" W. interlines "bride?"]

25. From the eastern quarter, homage to the greatness of the dwelling! hail to the gods that are to be hailed!

[Ppp. puts swāhā devebhyaḥ swāhyebhyaḥ before prācyāḥ : and has a similar order in the following vss.]

- 26. From the southern quarter, homage etc. etc.
- 27. From the western quarter, homage etc. etc.
- 28. From the northern quarter, homage etc. etc.
- 29. From the firm quarter, homage etc. etc.
- 30. From the upward quarter, homage etc. etc.
- 31. From every quarter, homage etc. etc.

In the last verse dicdicah should have been printed without space before the repetition, as is our usage elsewhere.

[After this hymn, which exceeds the norm by 11 verses, the quotation from the Old Anukr. is *ekādaçāi 'vo "'pamitām" iti syuḥ*.]

#### 4. Accompanying the gift of a bull.

[Brahman.— caturvinçakam. ārşabham. trāisļubham: 8. bhurij; 6, 10, 24. jagatī; 11-17, 19, 20, 23. anustubh; 18. uparisļād brhatī; 21. āstārapaūkti.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 1-3, 5, 4, 6. 8, 7, 9, 10-13, 15, 14, 16-22, 24, 23). Not noticed in Vāit., and not in Kāuç. in a way to cast any light whatever upon it; the hymn is quoted in Kāuç. 24. 19 (with vi. 111) in the ceremony of turning a bull loose, and vs. 24 separately in the same ceremony in Kāuç. 24. 21; also vs. 1 in 66. 18 in-connection with a bull; the hymn is reckoned (see note to Kāuç. 19. 1) among the *pusțika mantras*.

Translated : Henry, 90, 128 ; Griffith, i. 438. — For some of the vss. the reader may consult Hillebrandt, *Ved. Myth.* i. 330, 516, 382, 358, 525.

I. The bright bull of a thousand, rich in milk, bearing all forms in his bellies, desiring to accomplish (? ciky) what is excellent for his giver, the sacrificer — he, the ruddy one of Brihaspati, hath stretched  $\lfloor \bar{a} - tan \rfloor$  the line (tántu).

That is, doubtless, 'has extended or performed the sacrifice.'

2. He who in the beginning became the counterpart (*pratimá*) of the waters, prevailing (*prablů*) for everything, like the divine earth, father of young (*vatsá*), lord of the inviolable [kine] — let him set (kr) us in thousandfold prosperity.

3. A male  $(p \acute{u}m \ddot{a} \dot{n} s)$ , [yet] pregnant, big  $(sth \acute{a} vira)$ , rich in milk, the bull bears a trunk  $(k \acute{a} bandha)$  of good  $(v \acute{a} su)$ ; him, sacrificed to Indra, let Agni Jātavedas carry by the roads traveled by the gods.

W. reads in b vásos ká-.

4. Father of calves, lord of the inviolable [kinc], also father of great gulfs (*gårgara*); calf, afterbirth, fresh milk, beestings, curd, ghee — that [is] his seed.

The verse occurs also in TS. (iii. 3. 9<sup>2</sup>), MS. (ii. 5. 10), and K. (xiii. 9). In b, MS. reads utå 'yam for atho; for **d**, TS. has *āmikṣā mástu ghṛtám asya rétaḥ*, and MS. the same, save yoniḥ for rétaḥ.

5. The gods' portion [was] that load, the sap of waters, of plants, of ghee; the mighty one (cakrá) chose a drink of soma; a great stone became what [was his] body.

This verse also is found in TS. and MS. (as above), in both texts preceding our vs. 4 (in MS. one other verse intervenes, our xviii. 4. 28). Both have, for a, devånām csú upanāhá āsīt; for b, TS. has apām gárbha óṣadhīsu nyàktaḥ, and MS. apām pátir vṛṣabhá óṣadhīnām; in c, both have drapsám for bhakṣám and pūṣā for çakráḥ; in d, after abhavat, MS. has yát tád āsīt, and TS. tád cṣām.

6. Thou bearest a vessel filled with soma, shaper (tvdstr) of forms, generator of cattle; propitious to thee be these pudenda (?prajant) that are here; to us, O ax, confirm those that are yonder.

Ppp. reads at the beginning *somasya*; its second half-verse is unintelligible. The verse is with no propriety called a *jagatī* (11 + 11 : 13 + 12 = 47).

7. Sacrificial butter he bears; ghee [is] his seed; thousandfold prosperity — that they call the sacrifice; the bull, clothing himself in Indra's form — let him, O gods, come propitious to us, being given.

Ppp. reads in b sahasraposas, and in d'smā and çivāi "tu.

8. Indra's force, Varuṇa's two arms, the Açvins' two shoulders, of the Maruts this hump; they who are wise, poets, who are skilful (manīṣin), call him Brihaspati brought together.

The verse has two jagati-pādas, though called by the Anukr. simply bhurij. Read at the end of **b** kakut.

9. Rich in milk, thou stretchest unto the people  $(vi_f)$  of the gods; thee they call Indra, thee Sarasvant; he gives a thousand [kine] with one face who makes offering  $(\bar{a}$ -hu) of a bull to a Brahman.

[Cf. Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 183.]

10. Brihaspati, Savitar bestowed on thee vigor (vdyas); from Tvashtar, from Vāyu was brought forth thy soul  $(\bar{a}tmdn)$ ; with mind in the atmosphere I make offering (hn) of thee; let heaven-and-earth both be thy barhts.

Ppp. reads manas for vayas in a. The Anukr. calls the verse jagatt, though two of its padas are tristubh.

11. He who goes speaking out greatly among the kine, like Indra among the gods — of that bull let the worshiper (*brahmán*) praise together the members excellently.

All our mss. (save O.) read, like the edition, *tasya rsa*- in c, although the passage is quoted as example under the Prāt. rule (iii. 46) that a or  $\bar{a} + r$  make ar. Ppp. reads in a *āindrī 'va*. The *paddhati* (note to Kāuç. 24. 19) has the verse whispered in the right ear of the released bull.

٠

12. His sides were Anumati's; his flanks (?anūvŕj) were Bhaga's; of his knees (asthīvánt) Mitra said: those are wholly mine.

All the nouns are duals. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in c. [Henry would emend thus: -vántābrav-, i.e. -vántā abrav-.] Ppp. reads at the beginning pārçvay āstām.

13. His rump was the Adityas'; his two thighs were Brihaspati's; his tail [was] the heavenly wind's; therewith he shakes the herbs.

Ppp. reads in b gronly astam.

14. His intestines (gida) were Sinīvālī's; they called his skin Sūryā's; they called his feet (pl.) the upstander's (utthati'), when they prepared (kalpay) the bull.

The *pada*-text reads, like the *samhitā*, *uthātúh*, by Prāt. iv. 62. Ppp. combines *gudā "sam;* it also makes our 14 c, d and 15 c, d exchange places. [Ppp. puts *yat* before *rsabham*, and for *akalpayan* it has *vika*...(gap).]

15. His breast (*krodá*) was Jāmiçansa's; his vessel [was] maintained as Soma's, when all the gods, coming together, distributed (*vi-kalpay-*) the bull.

We had  $j\bar{a}micanisa$  above (ii. 10. 1) as 'imprecation of sisters'; the word does not occur elsewhere. What part of the bull is his 'vessel' is obscure. The first pāda has a redundant syllable.

16. Those dew-claws  $(kisthik\bar{a})$  [were] Saramā's; they assigned the hoofs to the tortoises  $(k\bar{u}rmd)$ ; the content of his bowels they maintained for the worms, the *cavartás*.

The mss. are divided between *çavarta* (P.s.m.I.O.R.p.m.T.D.Kp.) and *çvavarta* (Bp.E.R.s.m.); while M. and P.p.m. have *çvaçavarta*, and W. *çaçavarta*. The occurrence of *çavartá* in TS. (v. 7. 23<sup>+</sup>: also in connection with *fibadhya*) determined the reading of our text. But our *pada*-text divides the word (Bp. *çvaovartá*; D.Kp. *çaov-*), which favors the reading *çva*, since it implies a combination of the two recognizably independent words *çvan* and *varta*, 'occurring in dogs,' or something of the sort. Ppp. apparently has *çivaratrebhyo*. [Roth suggests that *çavarta* may be for *çavavarta* 'Aaswurm, Made.']

17. With his horns he pushes the demon; with his eye he slays ruin; with his ears he hears what is excellent — he who is the inviolable lord of kine.

Ppp. reads in **a**, **b** raksa risad rātī. The Prāt. (ii. 70) notes that the reading in **d** is not yás pát. Some of the mss. (Bp.I.K.) accent aghnyàs.

18. With a hundred-fold sacrifice he sacrifices; the fires burn him not; all the gods quicken him, who makes offering of a bull to a Brahman.

The last pada is the same with 9 d. Ppp. has sarve instead of viewe in c.

19. Having given a bull to Brahmans, one makes his mind wider; he beholds (ava-pac) prosperity of the inviolable [kine] in his own stall.

Ppp. reads in a brāhmaņāya vṛṣabham, and in d vi paçyatu. In a, we have to combine (as not very rarely elsewhere) -bhya rṣ-.

20. Let there be kine, let there be progeny, also let there be own strength; let the gods approve all that for the giver of a bull.

Ppp. puts tat after sarvam in c.

21. Let this burly (*ptpāna*) one, a very Indra, bestow conspicuous wealth; let this one [bestow] a well-milking cow, constantly with calf; let him yield (*duh*) inspired will beyond the sky.

Ppp. has very different readings, which in part are less unacceptable than those of our text: for a, b, ayam pipānā indriyam gayām bibharti tejanī: in d, vipaçyatam puro divah. [For pipāna, cf. Bloomfield, AJP. xii. 443.]

22. Of reddish form, clouded (*?nabhasá*), vigor-giving, vchemence of Indra, all-formed, he hath come to us, assigning to us life-time and progeny; and with abundances of wealth let him attach himself to (*abhi-sac*) us.

The last half-verse agrees nearly with xviii. 4. 62 c, d, where dádhatas and sacadhvam make better meter. It is not impossible to resolve asmábhi-am in c, but sacantām, which some of the mss. read (P.p.m.W.D.), is forbidden by the sense. Ppp. has a wholly different line: prajām asmabhyam dadhato rayim ca dīrghāyutvāya çataçāradāya. [Bloomfield translates the verse at ZDMG. xlviii. 566, but overlooks the accent of nabhasó: cf. támas-ā and tamasā (sam hitā-form at xi. 9. 22)]

23. Here in this stall, O closeness (*upapárcana*), be thou close unto us; unto [us] what seed the bull has; unto [us], O Indra, thy heroism.

This is a variant of RV. vi. 28. 8 (with which TB, ii. 8.  $8^{12}$  and LÇS. iii. 3. 4 precisely agree); RV. has, for **a**, **b**, *úpe 'dám upapárcanam āsú gósú 'pa preyatām*, with rétasi for yád rétas in **c**, and viryà at the end: a very different sense; the Pet. Lexx. understand *upa-pre* as signifying here sexual union. We have to combine irregularly gostho 'pa in order to rectify the meter of **b**; the Anukr. does not heed the irregularity.

24. This young male we set toward you here; with him go ye (fcm.) playing according to your wills; abandon us not with birth, ye well-portioned ones; and with abundances of wealth attach yourselves to us.

The last pāda is the same with xviii. 4. 62 d. The verse is found, in much more acceptable form, in TS. (iii. 3.9<sup>t</sup>, followed, after one intervening verse, by our vss. 5, 4 above) and PGS. (iii. 9.6, disagreeing with TS. in only one word); they read, for a, etdii yiuūnam pári (but PGS. patini) vo dadāmi (omitting atra); in b, priyčņa for vdqān dnu; in c, cāpta for hāsista; and, for d, rāyás póseņa sám isā madema (nearly our iii. 15.8 c). Ppp. agrees with our text, only combining dadhmo 'tra in a. But for the accent of janistā (in TS. also), we might render, with Stenzler, 'ye who are by birth well-portioned.' Bp.R p.m. have at end of c subhagās. The Anukr. weakly calls the verse (12 + 12:11 + 11) a jagatī, in spite of the tristubh cadence of its first pāda. It iş quoted in Kāuç. 24. 21, to accompany the sending away of an older bull and the release of a new one. [See also note to Kāuç. 25. 24.]

[Here ends the second anuvāka with 2 hymns and 55 verses. The quoted Anukr. s.ys "sāhasre."]

## 5. With the offering of a goat and five rice-dishes.

[Bhrgu. — aşţātrinçat. mantroktājam pañcāudanadevatyam. trāisţubham: 3. 4.p. purotųakvarī jagatī; 4, 10. jagatī; 14, 17, 27-30. anusţubh (30. kakummatī); 16. 3.p. anusţubh; 18, 37. 3-p. virād gāyatrī; 23. purausnih; 24. 5-p. anusţubusņiggarbho 'paristāddārhatā virād jagatī; 20-22. ?; 26. 5-p anusţubusņiggarbho 'paristāddārhatā bhurij; 31. 7-p. asţi; 32-35. 10-p. prakrti; 36. 10-p. ākrti; 38. 1-av. 2-p. sāmnī tristubh.]

[Partly prose — "vss." 16, 20–22, 31–36; also considerable parts of 23–30.] Found for the most part also in Pāipp., but not all together, nor even all in the same book; the greater part of the vss. (1, 3–6, 8, 7, 11, 9, 12, 10, 13–15, 19–21, 23, 24, 2) occur in xvi.; vss. 16, 17, 37 (part) in iii.; vss. 27, 28 in viii.; vss. 24–26, 31–36 are represented by similar, but briefer and very corrupt material, in xvi.; vss. 18, 22, 37 (part), 38 are wanting [apparently also 29–30]. Three of the verses are quoted in Vāit., and more in Kāuç.: see under the verses.

Translated : Muir, v. 304-6 (parts) ; Ludwig, p. 435 ; Henry, 93, 133 ; Griffith, i. 442.

1. Conduct him hither; take hold; let him go, foreknowing, unto the world of the well-doing; crossing the great darknesses variously, let the goat step unto the third firmament (ndka).

Ppp. has, in c, vipaçyani for mahānti: cf. our 3 c. The first six verses of the hymn are quoted in their order in Kāuç. 64.6-16 (vs. 1 also in 64.27) in connection with the bringing in, slaughtering, and cooking of a goat; vss. 1 and 2 also in Vāit. 10. 14, 15, in connection with the sacrifice of an animal. This verse is called by the Anukr. simply a trișțubh, although its first half is very irregular (8 + 13).

2. I lead thee about as portion for Indra, as patron  $(s\bar{u}rl)$  for the sacrificer at this sacrifice; whoever hate us, them take hold after; innocent  $(dn\bar{a}gas)$  [are] the sacrificer's heroes.

The verse in 1<sup>3</sup>pp. (as noted above) follows what corresponds to our vs. 24, and has, for **a**, **b**, *ind. bh. çamitā kṛṇotvam yajāta yajātiç ca sūriķ*; and, for **d**, *ariṣṭā vīrā yajamānāç ca sarve*.

3. Away from his foot wash thou down the evil walk that he walked (*car*); with cleansed hoofs let him step on, foreknowing; crossing the darknesses, variously looking abroad let the goat step unto the third firmament.

Or *padás* in **a** may be accus. pl.; the redundancy of the pāda, in sense and meter, is an indication of intrusion; but the mode of its reduction to proper shape is not obvious, and Ppp. gives no help. Ppp. has, instead of our **c**, **d**, *te jyotişmantam sukrtāl lokam ipsan trītye nāke adhi vikramasva*.

4. Cut along this skin with the dark [metal], O slaughterer, joint by joint with the knife (así); do not plot against [him]; do not be hostile to [him]; prepare him joint-wise; set him up apart in the third firmament.

Ppp. has, for **d**, sukrtām madhye adhi vi çraye 'mam. The Anukr. weakly calls the verse a jagatī, although it is a tristubh with three redundant syllables (doubtless çyāména or viçastar) in **a**. The pada-text divides paruoçah, by Prāt. iv. 19.

5. With a verse I set the kettle upon the fire; pour thou on the water; set him down; set [him] about with fire, ye quellers; when cooked, let him go where is the world of the well-doing.

Ppp. has instead, for **a**, *bhūmyām bhūmim adhi dhārayāmi*; and, in **b**, *abhi* for *ava*. The successive parts of the verse are quoted in Kāuç. 64. 11-15, to accompany corresponding acts. The Anukr. does not heed the redundant syllable in **d**.

6. Step up from here, if thou hast been completely heated, from the heated pot on to the third firmament; thou, a fire, hast come into being out of fire; conquer [thy way] unto that world of light.

The translation of a implies the emendation to  $dtapth\bar{a}s$ , which seems very probable; nearly all the mss. read  $atapt\bar{a}s$  (only E. dta-, P.M. ataptas), which the edition has altered to dtaptas. Of the reading in Ppp. I have no note; Ppp. reads for **d** jyotismo acha sukrtām yatra lokah; our **d** is found (nearly) as its 8 **d**.

7. The goat [is] Agni, and they call the goat light; they say that the goat is to be given by one living to a priest (*brahmán*); the goat, given in this world by one having faith, smites far away the darknesses.

For the first two words Ppp. reads *ajam cuā 'gnim*; in **b**, it puts *jīvatā* after *brahmane*. The redundant syllable in **b** is not noticed by the Anukr. [See note under 8.]

8. Having five rice-dishes, let him step out five-fold, about to step unto the three lights; go thou forth to the midst of the well-doing that have made offerings; spread out (vi-cri) upon the third firmament.

The last pāda is the same with xviii. 4. 3 e; Ppp. has instead *jyotişmantam abhi* lokam jayā 'smāi, with which compare our 6 d. The Anukr. passes in silence the irregularities of the second pāda. [Cf. Oldenberg, ZDMG. 1. 449.]

9. Ascend, O goat, to where is the world of the well-doing; like an expelled *carabhá* mayest thou move (*es*) across difficult places; given, with five rice-dishes, to a priest (*brahmán*), he shall rejoice the giver with rejoicing.

Ppp. reads *kramasva* instead of *roha* in **a**, and reads *calabhas* 'locust,' which is more sensible, in **b**; our **d** is its 10 **d**, with *dhātāram* instead of  $d\bar{a}$ . The Anukr. treats the second pāda as regular, and it can, indeed, be read by violence into 11 syllables.

10. The goat sets him that has given it on the three-firmamented, three-heavened, three-backed back of the firmament; being given with five rice-dishes to a priest, thou art a single mileh-cow, all-formed, wish-yielding.

Ppp. reads in **b** sukrtām loke for nākasya prsthe; and our **d** is its 9 **d**, with the intrusive *dhenus* left out. The verse, in spite of its irregularity, is by the Anukr. reck-oned simply a jagatī (11+12:11+12[13?]).

11. This third light of yours, O Fathers, the goat with five rice-dishes one gives to a priest; the goat, given in this world by one having faith, smites far away the darknesses. The second half-verse is identical with 7 c, d above. Ppp. has, for d, *pañcodano* brahmane diyamānaļe (our 9 c, 10 c).

12. Desiring to obtain the world of the well-doing that have made offerings, one gives to a priest a goat with five rice-dishes; do thou conquer complete attainment (vyapti) unto that world; be he, accepted, propitious to us.

Ppp. begins pra jyotişmantam sukrtām lok-; and reads c, d thus: sa vyāpo nens abhi lokam jayā 'sme çivo 'smabhyam pratigrhyate 'dhi.

13. The goat verily was born from the heat of the fire, wise, of the wise (*vipra*), of power, he the inspired one; what is offered, is bestowed, is conferred (*abhipūrta*), accompanied with vasai — that let the gods prepare in due season (*rtuçás*).

The first pāda is identical with iv. 14. 1 a. Ludwig (also p. 370) proposes to emend in c to gūrtam abhigūrtam. Part of the mss. blunderingly accent *rtuçàs* in d. Ppp. has in b vayodhā instead of vipaçcit, and in c puts pūrtam before *istam*. The last two pādas are irregular, but the Anukr. takes no notice of it. [Pāda c is a good *jagatī*; and d, a good *tristubh*, if we read *devāsas*, or (with Henry) *tád td*.]

14. A home-woven garment he may give, also gold as sacrificial gift; so he fully obtains the worlds that are heavenly and that are earthly.

15. Unto thee, O goat, let these streams of soma (somyá) go, divine, ghee-backed, honey-dripping; establish thou earth and sky, upon the seven-rayed back of the firmament.

The mss. in general (not P.M.) accent dja in **a**. They are rather evenly divided between ddhi and 'dhi in **d** (W.I.O.R.T.K. have 'dhi). Compare with **a**, **b** the refrain to iv. 34. 5-7. Ppp. reads for **a**:  $et\bar{a}s$   $tv\bar{a}$  dadhārā 'cchamayanti viçvatās somyam;  $\lfloor somyami$  would seem to be the beginning of Ppp's **b**;  $\rfloor$  in **c**, **d**, for *uta* . . . *prṣthe*, it gives divam sadasva nāke tiṣthāsy. Pādas **b**, **c** are metrically irregular, but the Anukr. does not heed it.

16. A goat art thou; O goat, heaven-going (*svar-gá*) art thou; by thee the An̄girases foreknew [their] world; that pure (pinya) world would I fain foreknow.

[Prose.] The translation of **a** is according to the accent of the vocative dja; there may be a play on words between ajd 'goat' and ajd 'unborn': 'unborn art thou, O goat' (cmending to aja). Ppp. reads for c tain lokam anu pra jūesma. [This vs. and the next are in its iii.] The definition of the meter by the Anukr. seems senseless (7[8?] + 11:8 = 26). The third pāda is VS. xx. 25 c.

17. Wherewith thou carriest a thousand; wherewith, O Agni, [the offering of] one's whole possession — therewith carry thou this our offering to go to heaven (svar) among the gods.

The verse is found also in VS. (xv. 55), TS. (iv. 7. 13<sup>4</sup>; v. 7. 7<sup>3</sup>), MS. (ii. 12. 4), and K. (xl. 12 [but Schroeder under the MS. passage refers to K. xviii. 18]). VS. and MS. put sahásram after váhasi in  $\mathbf{a}$ , and VS. reads [yéna in  $\mathbf{a}$ , and ] naya for vaha in  $\mathbf{c}$ ;

—ix. 5

TS. has in iv. for **d** devayano yá uttamáh (in v. it agrees throughout with our text). Ppp. begins with yena vā sah. Vāit. quotes the verse in 29.9, 23. [MS. has yéna.]

18. The cooked goat, having five rice-dishes, driving off perdition, sets [one] in the heavenly (*svargá*) world; with it may we conquer worlds that possess suns.

As noted above, the verse is wanting in Ppp.

19. [The goat] which one deposited with the Brahman, and which among the people  $(vik_{si})$ ; what scattered drops (vipris) [there are] of the rice-dishes, of the goat — all that of ours, O Agni, do thou later know in the world of the well-done, at the meeting of the ways.

20. The goat verily strode out here (iddm) in the beginning; this [earth] became its breast, the sky its back, the atmosphere its middle, the quarters its (two) sides, the (two) occans its paunches;

[Prose - 20, 21, and 22.]

21. Both truth and right its eyes, all truth [and] faith its breath, the *viráj* its head; this verily is an unlimited offering, namely (yát) the goat with five rice-dishes.

The second satyam in vs. 21 is doubtless a corrupt reading, and the Ppp. version indicates that we should have instead  $r\bar{n}p\dot{a}m$  ('the universe its form,' instead of 'all truth'). Ppp. reads, for the two verses: a jas pañcāudano vy akramata tasyo 'ra iyam abhavad udaram antarikṣam : dyāuṣ te pṛṣṭham diças pārçve: diçaç cā 'tidiçaç ca <br/>(rīge satyam ca rtam ca cakṣuṣī viçvarūpam çraddhā etc. All the samhutā-mss. read<br/>ca rtám (instead of ca rtám) near the beginning of vs. 21. The text of the Anukr. is<br/>apparently defective, leaving out the metrical definition of vss. 20-22 and vs. 25.

22. An unlimited offering docs he obtain, an unlimited world does he take possession of (*ava-rudh*), who gives a goat with five rice-dishes, with the light of sacrificial gifts.

Wanting in Ppp., as noted above.

23. He should not split its bones; he should not suck out its marrow; taking it all together, he should cause it to enter here and here.

Or, 'should cause this and this to enter'; the sense is obscure. Ppp. reads in **c** sarvāņi for sarvāņi menām. By calling the verse a purausņih, the Anukr. intends that its first two pādas be read as one, of 12 syllables. The Kāuç. quotes (66.31, 32; next after quotations of verses from hymn 3) both halves of the verse, the latter to accompany the act of piercing an object and scattering it into a pit filled with water.

24. This and this verily becomes its form; therewith one makes it come together; food, greatness, refreshment it yields (duh) to him who gives a goat with five rice-dishes, with the light of sacrificial gifts.

Ppp. reads for the second half-verse swadhām ūrjam akṣatim maho 'smāi duhe: ya evam viduşo 'jam pañcāudanam dadāti; and, as above noted, our vs. 2 then follows. The metrical description of the Anukr. (closely accordant with that of vs. 26, though the real construction of the verses is very different) implies the artificial division of the refrain (as in vs. 28 and other verses below) into two pādas, of 7 and 9 syllables, and counts 46 syllables in all; the natural number is 45 (12+8:10+15=45).

25. Five gold ornaments ( $rukm\dot{a}$ ), five new garments, five milch-cows milking his desire come to be his who gives a goat with etc. etc.

This verse, of which at least the first pāda is metrical (11 + 13:15 = 39) is left undescribed in the Anukr. It (or vs. 26, both having the same *pratīka*) is quoted in Kāuç. 64. 25. [More nearly, 'Five milch-cows become wish-milking for him who,' that is, 'yield or grant to him his wishes who' etc.]

26. Five gold ornaments become light for him; his garments become a defense for his body, he attains the heavenly (svargå) world, who gives a goat with etc. etc.

Here are plainly four pādas, of which the fir.t three are metrical, with the refrain added (11 + 11:8 + 15); the definition of the Anukr. seems to imply 11 + 10:8 + 7 + 9 = 45 syllables, or a *bhurik tristubh*.

 $\sqrt{27}$ . Whoever (fem.) having gained a former husband, then gains another later one — if (*ca*) they (dual) shall give a goat with five rice-dishes, they shall not be separated.

The mss., as usual in such cases, read *vitua* in  $\mathbf{a}$ ; and all but Bp. strangely accent *anyam* in **b**. Ppp. reads *pacatas* for *dadātas* in **d**. [This vs. and the next are in Ppp's viii.]

 $\sim 28$ . Her later husband comes to have the same world with his remarried spouse who (masc.) gives a goat with five rice-dishes, with the light of sacrificial gifts.

The Anukr. treats the prose refrain of vss. 22, 24-26 as a half anustubh in the second line of this verse. Ppp. reads instead ajam ca pañcāudanam dadat.

29. A milch-cow having one calf after another, a draft-ox, a pillow, a garment, gold, having given, those go to the highest heaven (div).

The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in **b**. [Perhaps it balances the redundancy of **b** with the deficiency of **c**.]

30. Self, father, son, grandson, grandfather, wife, generatrix, mother, those who are dear — them I call upon.

Nor does the Anukr. heed the deficient syllables in **b** of this verse. [We might render *janitrim mātáram* by 'the mother that bore [me].']

31. Whoever knows the season "torrid" ( $n\bar{a}id\bar{a}gha$ ) by name — that verily is the season "torrid" by name, namely (ydt) the goat with five rice-dishes; he indeed burns out the fortune of his unfriendly foe (*bhråtrvya*), he thrives (*bhū*) by himself, who gives a goat with five rice-dishes, with the light of sacrificial gifts.

In this and the following verses the mss. read nama rtúm etc. throughout. The natural division is into four pādas instead of seven, and Lthe paragraph, read as prose,

has ] only 61 syllables instead of 64 (= asti); but the three missing syllables can easily be made out by resolutions. One would expect *nt dahati*, to correspond with  $n\bar{a}td\bar{a}gha$ . Read in **b** yád aják (an accent-sign slipped out of place).

32. Whoever knows the season "making" by name, each making fortune of his unfriendly foe he takes to himself; that verily is the season "making" by name, namely the goat with five rice-dishes; he indeed etc. etc.

33. Whoever knows the season "gathering" by name, each gathering fortune of his unfriendly foe he takes to himself; that verily is the season "gathering" by name, namely the goat etc. etc.

34. Whoever knows the season "fattening" by name, each fattening fortune of his unfriendly foe he takes to himself; that verily is the season "fattening" by name, namely the goat etc. etc.

35. Whoever knows the season "up-going" by name, each up-going fortune of his unfriendly foe he takes to himself; that verily is the season "up-going" by name, namely the goat etc. etc.

These four verses agree in number of syllables, and the name given them by the Anukr. (*prakrti*) demands 84; this number it is possible to make out by resolutions of *samidhi*, though the natural reading gives only 80 (10 + 20:15:20 + 15 = 80). Samiyatimosamyatim in vs. 33 b is quoted by the commentary under Prāt. iv. 44, as an example of a repeated separable word which gives up in *pada*-text its individual separation in favor of that between the repetitions. Read in 32 c yád ajáh (an accent-sign gone), and supply an omitted mark of punctuation after *datte* in 33.

36. Whoever knows the scason "overcoming" (*abhibhů*) by name, each overcoming fortune of his unfriendly foe he takes to himself; that verily is the scason "overcoming" by name, namely the goat etc. etc.

This verse has six more syllables than the preceding ones, and the Anukr. gives it a name  $(\bar{a}krti)$  applying properly to 88 syllables. In c read esd for esd.

37. Cook ye the goat and the five rice-dishes; let all the quarters, likeminded, united (*sadhryàñc*), with the intermediate directions, accept that of thee.

All the mss. (except D.) read at the end  $t\dot{a}$  (*pada*-text  $t\dot{e}$ ) before *etiam*; our edition emends to ta; the word could better be spared altogether. Ppp. has (in iii.) only the first pāda. The Anukr. describes the verse as if this pāda as well as the other two were metrical.

38. Let them defend this of thine for thee; to them I offer (hu) sacrificial butter, this oblation.

'Them' is fem., designating the 'quarters' of vs. 37. The translation omits a *te;* it may be regarded as an ethical dative, anticipating the distincter *tibhyam* 'for thee' that follows.

[This hymn begins with  $\frac{1}{a}$  naya; and, with its 38 vss., exceeds the norm by 18. The quoted Anukr. says astādaçā ""naya."]

[The twentieth *prapāțhaka* ends here. As in the cases of the tenth and eighteenth (ending at v. 7 and viii. 5), the *prapāțhaka*-division here fails to coincide with the *anuvāka*-division.]

#### 6. Exalting the entertainment of guests.

[Brahman. -- sat faryāyāh. ātithyā uta vidyādevatyāh.]

This whole prose hymn is found in Pāipp. xvi., except a few verses, as noted below. It is not quoted by either Kāuç. or Vāit. In the Prāt., on the other hand, it has more than its fair share of notice. [With regard to the *paryāya*-hymns in general, see pp. 471-2.]

Translated : Henry, 98, 137; Griffith, i. 448.

[Paryāya I. — saptadaçarcaķ. 1. 3-p. gāyatrī; 2. 3-p. ārşī gāyatrī; 3, 7. sāmnī tristubh; 4, 9. ārcy anustubh; 5. āsurī gāyatrī; 6. 3-p. sāmnī jagatī; 8. yājuşī tristubh; 10. sāmnī bhurig bphatī; 11, 14-16. sāmny anustubh; 12. virād gāyatrī; 13. sāmnī nicrt paūkti; 17. 3-p. virād bhurig gāyatrī.]

1. Whoever may know the obvious (*pratyákṣa*) bráhman, whose joints are the preparations (sambhārá), whose spine the verses (*ic*);

Ppp. reads, instead of our **a**, as follows: yo vā ekam brahmā 'nuṣṭhā vidyāt sadya mahadvate, making an anuṣṭubh of the verse. The Anukr. is corrupt at this point, one ms. appearing to call the verse nāgī nāma tripād gāyatri; one sees no reason why.

2. Whose hairs the chants (sáman), [whose] heart the sacrificial formula (yájus) is called, [whose] litter (paristárana) the oblation.

Ppp. reads *chandānsi* for *sāmāni* in **a**, and puts **c** before **b**. The unlingualized *st* of *paristáraņam* is noted under Prāt. ii. 105. The 'obvious *brāhman*,' or '*brāhman* in visible presence,' thus wondrously made up, is doubtless the guest, all attentions to whom the hymn proceeds to glorify by identifying them with sacred acts.

3. When in truth the lord of guests meets with his cycs the guests, he looks at a sacrificing to the gods.

Ppp. reads at the beginning yad atithipatik presyate. The verse has one syllable too many for a regular sāmnī trustubh; but the system of nomenclature affords no simple | name for one of 23 syllables.

4. When he greets them, he enters upon consecration; when he offers  $(y\bar{a}c)$  water, he brings forward the [sacrificial] waters.

Prá nayati is quoted as an example under Prāt. iii. 79. We have to read yacati apáh to make out the defined meter.

5. Just what waters are brought in at the sacrifice, those are the very ones.

Praniyánte also is quoted under Prāt. iii. 79.

6. When they fetch a gratification (*tárpana*) — that is just the same as an animal for Agni-and-Soma that is bound [for sacrifice].

Ppp. adds after this verse yat khātam āharanti purodāçā eva te.

7. In that they prepare lodgings, they so prepare the seat (sádas) and oblation-holders (havirdhána).

8. In that they strew [a couch], that is a barhis.

Ppp. omits the second clause of vs. 7, and reads *pari*- for *upa*- in 8; it omits 9 here : see under 10, below.

9. In that they fetch a coverlet (? upariçayaná), thereby one gains possession of the heavenly (svargá) world.

Upariçayand, lit. 'above-lying,' occurs only here; [but cf. upariçaya, OB. iv. 296 c]. The minor Pet. Lex. renders it 'an elevated couch.' The verse lacks a syllable.

10. In that they fetch mattress and pillow, those are the enclosures (*paridhi*).

That is, the sticks laid about the altar-fire to shut it in. In this verse, the extra syllable is noted by the Anukr. Ppp. reads *-barhaṇāni* and *paridhe 'va te;* and it adds its version of 9: *yat parṣeṇam (pariçayanam?) āharanti svar-* etc.

11. In that they fetch ointment and unguent, that is sacrificial butter.

The *pada*-reading  $\bar{a}njana abhyanjand$  is quoted under Prāt. iv. 42, as example of words that lose their own individual division in favor of separation from each other. Ppp. omits  $\bar{a}njana$ . The Anukr. reads 16 syllables, which may be obtained in more than one way (most probably *-ranti*  $\bar{a}j$ -).

12. In that they fetch a morsel  $(kh\bar{a}d\dot{a})$  before the serving-up  $(parives\dot{a})$ , that is the two sacrificial cakes.

 $Kh\bar{a}dd$  is perhaps a special bit or bite, anticipatory of the proper meal. The verse is wanting in Ppp.

13. In that they call the food-maker (*açanakit*), they so call the maker of oblations.

Some of the mss. read havihkitam.

14. The grains of rice, of barley, that are scattered out — those are soma-shoots (ani ci).

The Anukr. requires *-yante anç*- to be read, although the passage is quoted under Prāt. i. 69 as an example of the elision of initial a with the transfer of its nasalization to the eliding e. Ppp. reads at the end *ançava eva te* '*nāpyante*.

15. The mortar and pestles — those are the pressing-stones.

The Anukr. expects us to read  $y \overline{dni} ul$ . Ppp. omits  $y \overline{dni}$  and reads -musalam, which is easier; it also omits evu te at the end.

16. The sicve  $\lfloor cirpa \rfloor$  is the soma-strainer (*pavitra*); the chaff is the pomace  $(rj\bar{i}s\bar{a})$ ; the waters are those of pressing.

That is, the waters are j those used in facilitating the pressing of the Soma. Is not  $c\bar{u}rpa$  rather 'winnowing-basket'? The 'pomace' is the refuse stalks from which the soma has been pressed. [All of SPP's and of W's *pada*-mss. seem to agree in reading *rjiså* as fem.] Ppp. reads *rajisah*. It omits *abhisavanir āpah* here: but see vs. 17.

17. The spoon is the sacrificial spoon, the stirring-stick the spit (?neksaya), the kettles the wooden vessels, the drinking-vessels those of Vāyu, this [earth] itself the black antelope's skin.

Ppp. reads -kalaçaş kumbhīm eva krṣṇājinam vāyavyāni pātrāṇi; and it also has abhişavaņīr āpaḥ in this verse, after āyavanam, instead of in vs. 16. The Anukr. defines the verse as if it were metrical, and intends us to read it as 10+16+8=34syllables.

[Paryāya II. — trayodaça. 18. virāt furastādērhatī; 19, 29. sāmnī trustubh; 20. āsuny anustubh; 21. sāmny usņih; 22, 28. sāmnī brhatī (28. bhury); 23. ārcy anustubh; 24. 3-p. svarād anustubh; \* 25. āsurī gāyatrī; † 26. sāmny anustubh; 27. 3-p. ārcī trustubh; 30. 3-p. ārcī faīk: 1.] \*[Beilin ms.: 5-p. virāt purastādērhatī.] †[Beilin ms.: sāmny anustubh.]

18. The lord of guests verily makes for himself a sacrificer's *bråhmana* in that he looks at the [portions] to be partaken of, saying "is this larger, or this?"

Several of the mss. (O.R.D.) accent at the end  $bh\bar{n}y\bar{d}s$  (D.  $bh\bar{n}y\bar{d}h$  3), which is the far preferable reading;  $bh\bar{n}y\bar{a}s$  (read by I.) could be borne, since in RV. and AV. the usage does not seem yet established that the protracted final syllable is acute, in addition to whatever accent the word may have on other syllables  $\lfloor Skt. Craam. \S 78 \rfloor$ ; but  $bh\bar{n}y\bar{a}s$ , as our edition reads, in accordance with nearly all the mss. compared up to the time of its publication, is nothing but a blunder. The protracted words are quoted in Prāt. i. 105. The verse counts naturally 18 + 8 + 8 = 34 syllables (the second and third pādas being really metrical).  $\lfloor Scan rather 10 + 8 + 8 + 8$  with the Anukr.  $\rfloor$  Ppp. reads krnute and avekşata.

19. In that he says "take up the larger one," he thereby makes his breath longer ( $v dr_s \bar{s} v \bar{a} i s$ ).

Ppp. has a quite different text: yad āha bhūyo 'ddhara te prajām cāi 'va paçūnç ca vardhayate...(?) prāņam krņute: yat samprchati kāmam eva tenā 'va rundhe: kāmo ha prsto yājāti: yad udakam upasincaty apa eva tenā 'va rundhe. [Then follows 20.]

20. [In that] he presents [it], he brings libations near.

21. Of them, brought near, the guest makes libations in himself; —

22. With his hand as sacrificial spoon, at his breath as stake, with the sound of swallowing as utterance of vasat.

'The sound of swallowing,' lit. the sound sruk. Ppp. reads in 21 ātmani j- for ātmán j- and has in 22 sulkāreņa vasatkāreņa srucā hastena.

23. These same guests, both loved (*privá*) and unloved, [as] priests (*rtvíj*), make [one] go to the heavenly world.

This verse is wanting here in Ppp.; but it is inserted below, just before our vs. 49 [and without variant except *cārtanijas*, which may be a slip of Roth's pen for *cartanjas*].

24. He who, knowing thus, shall partake, not hating, he shall not partake the food of one hating, not of one that is doubted, nor of one doubting (?).

We must emend at the end either to mimanisamanasya or to mimansyamanasya; the translation assumes the former. Bp.' reads vidyat for vidyat, and it would be a welcome improvement; the same reads the first time a(niyat, which seems necessary if

54 I

vidvån and not vidyåt is read, and which is implied in the translation. Ppp. has instead tasmān na dvisann adyān na dvisato 'nnam adyān na mīm-. The two mss. of the Anukr. describe the verse differently but equivalently, both requiring 34 syllables (which implies restoration of 'nnam to ánnam).

25. Verily every such one has his sin devoured, whose food they partake of.

26. Verily every such one has his sin undevoured whose food they partake not of.

• Devoured,' doubtless 'destroyed, removed ' by the eating of the guests. Ppp. reads for vs. 26 sarvā upaço jagdhapāpmānam yasyā 'nnam açnāti. One of the Anukr. mss. (but doubtless by a misreading [?]) calls 25 as well as 26 a sāmny anustubh [16 syllables]. [Vss. 25 and 26 have each 15 (āsurī gāyatrī).] Read in 25 jagdhá- (an accent-sign slipped out).

27. Verily he who presents [the food] hath always his pressing-stones harnessed, his cleanser wet, his sacrifice extended, his ceremony of offering assumed.

Ppp. reads sutasomas instead of yuktagrāvā, and puts vitatādhvaras as last of the four epithets; it also sets the whole paragraph after our 28.

28. To Prajāpati, verily, is his offering extended who presents.

29. He who presents verily strides Prajāpati's strides after [him]. This verse is wanting in Ppp.

30. [The fire] that is the guests', that is the fire of libations  $(\bar{a}havanlya)$ ; the one in the dwelling (veqnan), that is the householder's fire (garha-patya); the one in which they cook, that is the southern fire (daksinagnl).

Ppp. reads sā "havanīyo yo "nnakaraņasya [intending -karaņas sa?] daksiņāgnir yo  $ve_i$ - sa gār. The metrical definition of the Anukr. requires us to restore at the beginning yo átith.

[Paryāya III. — navakaļ. 31-36, 39 3-p. pipīlikamadhyā gāyatrī; 37. sāmnī brhatī; 38. pipīlikamadhyo 'sņih.]

31. Verily both what is sacrificed and what is bestowed of the houses doth he partake of who partakes before a guest.

That is, doubtless, 'doth he cat up, devour, destroy.' Ppp. omits grhannam, and puts next vss. 34 and 33, omitting 35 and 39 [and 32 and 36 also]. The Anukr. describes the paragraphs as if they were metrical, and defines them as if the text read phrice dtuther.

32. Verily both the milk and the sap of the houses doth he etc. etc.

33. Verily both the refreshment and the fatness of the houses doth he etc. etc.

Ppp. reads payas instead of sphātim.

34. Verily both the progeny and the cattle of the houses doth he etc. etc.

35. Verily both the fame and the glory of the houses doth he etc. etc.

36. Verily both the fortune (cri) and the alliance (?samuld) of the houses doth he etc. etc.

The Pet. Lexx. interpret samueld as 'possessions.'

37. He verily is a guest, namely (*yát*) one versed in sacred learning (*crótriya*); before him one should not partake.

The meaning intended ought to be that a guest is the equivalent of such a sage; but the literal sense is as translated. The verse lacks one syllable (unless we read nd  $aqn fy \bar{a}t$ ) of being full measure.

38. When the guest hath partaken he should partake, in order to the soulfulness of the sacrifice, in order to the integrity of the sacrifice; that is the [proper] course.

The verse is an *usnih* only as containing (if we resolve *açitâvati át-)* 28 syllables (11 + 14 + 3). The *pada*-text reads *açitâvati*. Ppp. has *açitâvaty açniyāt tad vera-tain yajñasyā 'vichedāya yajñasya guptaye yajñasya sātmatvāya*. [Cf. Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 184: also *Skt. Gram.* § 960.]

39. That verily is specially sweet which comes from the cow (adhigavá), either milk (kṣīrá) or flesh; that he should not partake of.

That is, doubtless, he should leave it for his guest. The verse is wanting in Ppp. The so-called  $g\bar{a}yatri$  is to be thus divided : 7 + 11 + 6 = 24.

[Paryāya IV. — daçakaļi. a of 40-43 prājāpatyā 'nustubh ; a of 44. bhurij ; b of 40-43. 3-p. gāyatrī ; b of 44. 4 p. prastārapankti.]

40. He who, thus knowing, pouring out milk, presents [it] — as much as, having sacrificed with a very successful *agnistond*, one gets possession of, of so much thereby does he get possession.

The mss. are divided between reckoning ten verses (with the Anukr. [cf. the quotations etc. at the end of the hymn]) in this section, or only five, with two parts to each; the latter method was adopted in our edition as the better. The second part in the first five verses, though doubtless meant only as prose, divides into three subdivisions of eight syllables each, like a real  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{t}$ . Ppp. begins all the verses with *yat*, instead of *sa ya evam viduān*, and reads in 40 b yā. *ag. saprsthene'stvā 'varundhe*.

41. He who, thus knowing, pouring out butter (*sarpis*), presents [it] — as much as, having sacrificed with a very successful *atirātrá*, one gets etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in b āhnena samrddhena for atirātreņa.

42. He who, thus knowing, pouring out honey, offers [it] — as much as, having sacrificed with a very successful session-sitting, one gets etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in **b** rātreņa samŗddhena. The Anukr. expects, of course, the resolution mádhu up.

43. He who, thus knowing, pouring out meat, presents [it] — as much as, having sacrificed with a very successful twelve-day sacrifice, one gets etc. etc.

44. He who, thus knowing, pouring out water, presents [it]—he arrives at (gam) firm standing in order to the generating of progeny; he becomes loved of progeny—he who, thus knowing, pouring out water, presents [it].

The second part of the verse, though not metrical, [seems to be scanned as 11 + 11 + 8 + 8 by the Anukr. (which, with the mss., reckons *pratisthåm* to the second pāda !)] Ppp. reads in **b** gachati sarvam āyur eti: na punar ā jarasas pra mīyate ya etc.

## [Paryāya V. — daçakaḥ. 45 a. sāmny uṣṇih; 45 b. purauṣṇih; 45 c. 48 c. sāmnī bhurig bṛhatī; 46 a. 47 a. 48 b. sāmny anuṣiubh; 46 b. 3-p. nicrd vuṣamā nāma gāyatrī; 47 b. 3-p. vurād vuṣamā nāma gāyatrī; 48 a. 3-p. vurād anuṣiubh.]

45. For him the dawn utters *hing*; Savitar preludes (*pra-stu*); Brihaspati with refreshment ( $\bar{u}rjdy\bar{a}$ ) sings the  $udg\bar{\iota}thd$ ; Tvashtar with prosperity responds (*prati-hr*); all the gods [are] the conclusion (*nidhdna*): the conclusion of thrift, of progeny, of cattle becometh he who knoweth thus.

Here and in the following verses [cf. PB. iv. 9.9] are had in view the five successive parts or divisions of a sāman, of which the udgātha is the central and principal: cf. Chānd. Upan. ii. 2 ff. In this section also the majority of the mss. divide and number in accordance with our edition; the rest, with the Anukr., number the subdivisions successively. Our edition assumes the subdivision *nidhánam bhắtyā*h to be repeated at the end of each of the four paragraphs; the Anukr. [counting 10 (not 12) avasānarcas: cf. p. 546 below] is against this; as for the mss., their testimony is nothing, since they all, in any case, write such an addition only the first time and the last, omitting all intermediate occurrences; it is altogether probable that the edition is right. The artificial description by the Anukr. of b as an uṣṇih, implies resolving urjdyā ud, and either puisti-ā or vu(u-e. Ppp. adds vāi after tasmāi, and bhūtyā after savitā, and reads urja for urjayā.

46. For him the rising sun utters *hing*; cow-gathering time (samgavd) preludes; noon sings the udgīthd; afternoon responds; the setting [sun is] the conclusion: the conclusion of etc. etc.

Ppp. combines *madhyandino* 'd. The  $\lfloor nicrt \rfloor$  "dissimilar  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{i}$ ," b, divides as 8 + 9 + 6 = 23.

47. For him the cloud, forming  $(bh\bar{u})$ , utters *hing*; thundering, it preludes; lightening, it responds; raining, it sings the *udgīthd*; holding up, it is the conclusion: the conclusion of etc. etc.

Ppp. reads vidyotamānah pra stāuti stanayann ud gāyati aparāhņa prati harati astamyam nidhanam. The "dissimilar gāyatrf," b, is this time 10+6+6=22. Bp.Bp <sup>2</sup>p.m D. read várṣam, and several of the samhitā-mss. várṣamn instead of -ṣann. Cf. Chānd. Upan. ii. 3. 48. [When] he meets the guests with his eyes, he utters *hing*; [when] he greets [them], he preludes; [when] he offers water, he sings the *udgīthá*; [when] he presents [food], he responds; the remnant (*úchista*) [of the food] is the conclusion: the conclusion of etc. etc.

Ppp. seems again to mix in matter from vs. 46, reading *ydcaty udakaraty aparahna*\* *prati harati*. [See also note to vs. 23.] The first subdivision makes the 30 syllables required by the Anukr. if we give syllabic value (-*ti*) to the thrice-occurring verb-ending -*ty*; and similarly we get 16 for b. \*[The phonetic resemblance of *udakarati* to *ud gāyati* (cf. note to ii. 13. 3) and of *aparāhņa* to *upa harati* is obviously the occasion for the confusion.]

## [Paryāya VI. — caturdaçakaḥ. 49 āsurī gāyatrī; 50. sāmny anusiubh; 51, 53. 3-p. ārcī paūkti; 52. 1-p. prājāpatyā gāyatrī; 54-59. ārcī brhatī; 60 1-p. āsurī jagatī; 61. yājuşī trustubh; 62. 1-p. āsury usņuh.]

49. When  $(y \acute{a}t)$  he calls the distributor, then he is just summoning  $(\bar{a} \cdot cr\bar{a}vay)$ .

That is, he is virtually an *adhvaryu* summoning the *agnidh* to do his duty. The verse is made an  $\bar{a}sur\bar{r} g\bar{a}yatr\bar{r}$  by resolving *-ty* twice. Ppp. inserts before this verse our vs. 23 above; and it omits *eva tat*.

50. When [the distributor] assents, then he is just answering the summons.

That is, as an *agnīdh*. Again, to justify the definition of the Anukr., we must read *prati-āçrāvayati evá*. [A hyphen is missing after the *pra* of *pratyāçr*.] Ppp. again omits *eva tat* at the end.

51. When the servers, with drinking-vessels in their hands, both the former and the latter, go forward, they are just cup-bearing priests.

Bp. gives the false accentuation camasaoúdh- (instead of -súoadh-). Ppp. reads yat pariveșțārā 'vasatām prabhibhyante cam-. To make 30 syllables, we must resolve ca ápare (or else camasú-adh-).

52. Of them, none soever is not an invoker (*áhotr*).

Ppp. reads vāi instead of na; and then it adds as follows: yat prātar upaharati prātassavanam eva tat: yad yavo 'paharati mādhyandinam eva tat savanam: yat sāyam upaharati trītiyam eva tad yad atithipatir avabhritham eva tat prāhvayanti; and it omits our vs. 53; [R. does not expressly note such omission].

53. Verily when the lord of guests goes up unto the houses serving the guests, then he is even going down unto the purificatory bath (avabhítha).

[Ppp. omits eva tat.]

54. When he apportions [them], he is apportioning sacrificial fees; when he follows [them], then he is even shifting the place of sacrifice  $(ud-ava-s\bar{a})$ .

[Ppp. omits eva tat.] [Cf. Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 183.]

545

55. He [the guest?], being invited (*úpahūta*), feeds (*bhakṣay*-) on the earth; in him [locative], invited [nominative], [he [the host?] feeds on] what of every form [there is] on the earth.

[Whitney's first " on " is intended as a rendering of the locative relation of "earth "; his second " on " goes with " feeds." He has tried to indicate this by putting the first after the parenthesis and the second within the brackets. The inherent ambiguity of the English combination is such that I do not know how to remove it for the non-Sanskritist save by an express statement.]

The sense of this and the following verses is very obscure; it is here translated as if the guest were the subject of the first *bhakṣayati*, and the entertainer (in recompense for the entertainment given) of the second [*bhakṣayati* (supplied)]. Ppp. adds *pṛthivyām tat pṛthivyām ā bhāti svargo loko bhavati ya evam veda*. Only vs. 56 has the ' full number of syllables (27) belonging to an *ārcī bṛhatī;* 55, 58, 59 have only 26 syllables (a number for which the system affords no separate name), and 57 has only 24.

56. IIe, being invited, feeds in the atmosphere; in him, invited, [he feeds on] what of every form [there is] in the atmosphere.

Ppp. adds antarikse patanty antariksā "bhāti [svargo etc.].

57. He, being invited, feeds in the sky (div); in him, invited, [he feeds on] what of every form [there is] in the sky.

Ppp. adds divi tapati divy ā bhātı [svargo etc.].

58. He, being invited, feeds among the gods; in him, invited, [he feeds on] what of every form [there is] among the gods.

Ppp. adds deveșu patati [ intending tapati ? ] deveșu bhāti [ svargo etc. ].

59. He, being invited, feeds in the worlds; in him, invited, [he feeds on] what of every form [there is] in the worlds.

Ppp. adds lokeșu patati lokeșu bhāti [svargo loko bhavati ya evam veda].

60. He, whoever invited, ---

61. Obtains this world, obtains yon [world].

The translation implies emendation in 60 to  $ipah\bar{u}ta$ - $upah\bar{u}tah$  (as repeated word); it seems impossible to give the verse a meaning as it stands. Vss. 60-62 are wanting in Ppp. The metrical definition of 61 demands the resolution -*ti amim*.

62. Worlds rich in light conquereth he who knoweth thus.

[The quotations from the Old Anukr. for the six paryāyas may here be given together: I. yo vidyāyām daça sapta ca pūrvaļi syāt; II. dvitīyaļi syāt trayodaça; III. trtīyo navako drstali; IV. and V. tasmād dvāu daçakāu parāu; VI. sastham tu caturdaçakam āhuļi. — Cf. SPP's "Critical Notice," vol. i., p. 20, where they are printed in metrical form.]

[The summations of gapas and (gapa-) avasānarcas may be given as follows: I. av., 17; II. av., 13; III. av., 9; IV. g., 5;  $av., 10^*$ ; V.  $[g., 4;] av. 10^+$ ; VI. av. 14.— Total of av., 73.] \*[Cf. note to vs. 40.] †[Cf. note to vs. 45.]

[Here ends the third anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 100 verses: that is 1 artha-sūkta of 38 verses and 1 paryāya-sūkta with 6 paryāyas and 62 verses.]

## 7. Extolling the ox.

[Brahman. -- ekah [parydyah]. sadunçah. gavyah.]

[Prose.] Found for the most part (for details, see under the verses) in Pāipp. xvi. also. Not noticed in Vāit., but quoted (vs.  $\tau$ ) in Kāuç. 66. 19, next after hymn 4 of this book. [For the *paryāya*-hymns in general, see pages 471-2.]

Translated : Henry, 103, 139; Griffith, i. 453.

[Paryāya I. — şadvınçah. 1. ārcī brhatī; 2. ārcy uşnıh; 3, 5. ārcy anuştubh; 4, 11, 15, 16. sāmnī brhatī; 6, 8. āsurī gāyatrī; 7. 3-p. fupīlikamadhyā nurd gāyatrī; 9, 13. sāmnī gāyatrī; 10. purausņuh; 11, 12, 17, 25. sāmny uşnuh; 18, 22. 1-p. āsurī jagati, 19. 1-p. āsurī paūkti; 20. yājusi jagatī; 21. āsury anustubh; 23. 1-p. āsurī brhatī; 24. sāmnī bhurig brhatī; 26. sāmnī tristubh. (ihā 'nuktapādā [7, 18, 19, 22, 23] dvipadāh.)]

I. Prajāpati and the most exalted on are his two horns, Indra his head, Agni his forchead, Yama his neck-joint.

The copula and the possessive, wherever read, are supplied in the translation. Ppp. omits *yamah kṛkātam*. The verse has only 26 syllables (instead of 27).

2. King Soma his brain, the sky his upper jaw, the earth his lower jaw.

Ppp. inserts, after mastişkas, satyam cakşur rtam (rotre prāņāpānāu nāmivate, and reads adharā for -rahanuh, adding after it agnir āsyam. We are required to resolve prthivī adh-.

3. Lightning his tongue, the Maruts his teeth, the Revatīs his neck, the Krittikās his shoulders (*skandhás*), the hot drink (*gharmá*) his withers (*váha*).

Váha is properly the "carrying" part, that on which the yoke rests. The Revatīs and Krttikās, two asterisms, in Pisces and Taurus respectively; their connection with the parts to which they are assigned is, as in nearly all the other cases in this hymn, of the most purely imaginary and meaningless kind. Ppp. has only as far as *dantās*, following it with *pavamānas prānah*. Read in our text *grīvāh* (*visarga*-sign omitted). The *pada*-text has *kŕttikāh*, and some of the mss., as usual, retain the *h* before the following *sk*. The verse has but 23 syllables (unless we analyze *jihu-ā*).

4. Vāyu his all (viçvam), the heavenly world his krṣṇadrá, the whirlwind (?nivesyà) his separator (?vidháraņī).

The words here in part (and in the last clause the distinction of subject and predicate) are wholly obscure. The *pada*-text divides *krsnaodrám* ('black-runner'?). Many of the mss. (E.O.D.R.T.K.) accent *nivesyáh*. Ppp. reads *viçvam vāyus kanthas svargo lokas krsnadra vyadrinī vivaçvah*; and then it adds the omitted part of our vs. 3 except *vahah* at the end.

5. The falcon his breast (*krodá*), the atmosphere his belly (*?pājasyà*), Brihaspati his hump, the *brhatis* his vertebræ.

The sense of *brhatī* here is doubtful. Ppp. reads *pājasyai* and inserts our vs. 7 after it [continuing with *brhasp*-]. The required number of 24 syllables is made out by reading *krodo ant*- and *pājast-am*.

6. The spouses of the gods his side-bones (prsti), the attendants (upasad) his ribs (parqu).

The distinction between prstl and párçu is not clear.

7. Both Mitra and Varuna his (two) shoulders (*áisa*), both Tvashtar and Aryaman his (two) shanks (*doṣán*), the great god his fore-legs.

By Ppp. the verse is inserted in our vs 5, as there noted. The verse has 23 syllables, but is not 'ant-waisted,' as it divides 8 + 9 + 6.

8. Indrāņī his buttock, Vāyu his tail (*púcha*), the purifying [soma] his whisk (*válās*).

Some of the mss. (Bp.<sup>2</sup> s.m.E.I.O.D.R.T.Kp.) read  $b\bar{a}l\bar{a}h$ , which is preferable, since all the other passages have it. Ppp. omits, making our vs. 9 follow our 6.

9. Both the Brahman-caste (bráhman) and the Kshatriya-caste (kṣatrá) his (two) hips, force his (two) thighs.

10. Both Dhātar and Savitar his (two) knee-joints (asthīvant), the Gandharvas his calves ( $jd\bar{n}gh\bar{a}$ ), the Apsarases his dew-claws ( $kusthik\bar{a}$ ), Aditi his hoofs.

Ppp. combines gandhartiā 'ps-. The so-called puraușnih divides 10 + 12 + 5 = 27 syllables.

11. Thought (cétas) his heart, wisdom his liver, ceremony (vratá) his purītát.

The pada-text divides puriotát, but one can only wonder why. After medhā, Ppp. inserts harimā cittam.

12. Hunger his paunch, cheer (*irā*) his rectum (?vanisthú), the mountains his *plācis*.

Ppp. reads at the end prāça, for plāçayaļi, and adds next vss. 16, 17.

13. Anger his kidneys, fury his testicles, progeny his virile member. The verse follows our vs. 17 in Ppp.

14. The stream (nadt) his birth-giver  $(?s\bar{u}trt)$ , the lords of rain his teats, thunder his udder.

Ppp. prefixes samudro vastir, and puts varsasya patayas stanās after udhas, then adding 15 without interpunction.

15. The all-expansive his hide, the herbs his hairs, the asterisms his form.

Ppp. reads carma oşadhayo romāņi, and follows with our vs. 18.

16. The god-folks his intestines, human beings his entrails, eaters (?atrá) his belly (udára).

Ppp. reads manusyā "ntrāņy ātrā ud-, putting the verse after our 12. In order to make out 18 syllables, we have to resolve -st-ā āntrāņi at-.

-ix. 8

17. The demons his blood, the other-folks the contents of his bowels. Ppp. inverts the order of the two clauses, and reads *ūvadhyam*.

18. The cloud his fat, the conclusion (?nidhána) his marrow.

In Ppp. this verse follows our 15, and there is added bhūtyās prajāyās paçūnām bhavati ya evam veda.

19. [He is] Agni when sitting, the two Açvins when arisen;

This verse is wanting in Ppp. The metrical definition requires restoration of the *a* of *açvinā*.

20. Indra when standing castward, Yama when standing southward;

21. Dhātar when standing westward, Savitar when standing northward;

The metrical definition requires the resolution  $dh\bar{a}t\dot{a}$   $da\bar{u}$ . Read  $sawit\dot{a}$  (an accentsign slipped out of place).

22. King Soma when having obtained grass;

The pada-mss. have the false accent praodptah (for prdodptah). [Ppp. reads trnan praptas somo rājā.]

23. Mitra when looking, delight (*ānandá*) when turned this way;

Ppp. unites this verse with the preceding, and inverts the order of the two clauses of this verse, reading rājā "nrtā "nandaļ īkṣamāņo mitrāvaruno.

24. Belonging to all the gods when being yoked, Prajāpati when yoked, everything (sárvam) when released.

Ppp. reads vāiçvānaras instead of vāiçvadevas.

25. That verily is all-formed, of every form, of kine-form.

Ppp. reads vorūpam for viçvárūpam ; [it seems to omit sarvarūpam gorūpam].

26. Cattle all-formed, of every form come unto  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$  him who knoweth thus.

Ppp. reads upāi 'nam rūpamnvatas paçavah | intending rūpavantas ].

[The quoted Anukr. says sadvingo brāhmaņo gavaļ. The avasānarcas are summed up as 26.]

## 8. Against various diseases.

[Bhrgvangıras. — dvāvnuçakam. Lanena] sarvaçir sāmayādyāmayam Lapākavot]. ānu stubham 12. anu stubgar bhā kakummatī 4-p. usņuh ; 15. vurād anu stubh ; 21. vurāt pathyābr hatu ; 22. pathyāpaūktu.]

Found also (except vs. 4) in Päipp. xvi. Not noticed in Väit., but quoted (vs. 1) in Käuç. 32. 18, in a remedial ceremony. [The last two verses are specified (32. 19) as used "with worship of the sun."]

Translated : Zimmer, p. 378 ; Henry, 105, 141 ; Griffith, i. 455 ; Bloomfield, 45, 600.

I. Headache, head-ailment, carache, anæmia (? vilohitá), every headdisease of thine, do we expel out [of thec] by incantation (*nir-mantray-*).

Ppp. reads in a *çīrṣaktyani*, and in b *tṛtīyakam* for *vulohitam*. [For *çīrṣaktl*, see references under i. 12. 3.]

2. From thy (two) ears, from thy kánkūşas, the earache, the visálpaka, every head-disease etc. etc.

As to visalpakam, instead of the visalyakam of the edition, see under vi. 127. 1. For the obscure kaūkūsa Ppp. has kankukha, and for b it reads çuktīvalçam vilohitam.

3. For reason of which the yáksma removes from ears, from mouth — every head-disease etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in b nāsatā "syata [intending -tas -tas].

4. Whatever one makes a man dumb (? pramóta), makes [him] blind — every head-disease etc. etc.

'Dumb' for *pramóta* is Zimmer's conjecture; the word is wholly obscure, and form, accent, and meter make it suspicious; one is tempted to conjecture *prámohitam*; but *muh* with prefix *pra* is not a Vedic combination. The Anukr. takes no notice of the defective pāda.

5. Limb-splitting, limb-wasting, and *visálpaka* of all the limbs — every head-disease etc. etc.

Ppp. reads instead, for **a**, **b**,  $cirsarogam a \bar{n}garogam vi cv a \bar{n}ginam vi calyakam.$  [As to visál/p-, see under vi. 127. 1.] With **a** is to be compared v. 30 9 **a**.

6. Of whom the fearful aspect makes a man tremble — the *takmán* of every autumn we expel out [of thee] by incantation.

Ppp. reads pāurusam in b, and, for c, d, takmānam çitam rūram ca tam teve nir man-: cf. v. 22. 10, 13.

7. The yáksma that creeps along the thighs, that goes also to the groins, from thy limbs within we expel etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in c balāsam for yakşmain te [cf. our 8 c and under 9].

8. If it is born out of desire, of aversion, of the heart — the *balåsa* from thy heart, thy limbs we expel etc. etc.

9. Yellowness from thy limbs, *apvå* from thy belly within, the *yákşma*-maker from thy self within we expel etc. etc.

The *pada*-text reads in **c** yaksmahodhåm. The Prāt. takes no notice of the irregular form of the first member of the compound, as it does, superfluously (ii. 56), of the contrary treatment of *cepas* in *cepasharsanim*. In Ppp. our third pāda becomes the second, and for third we have nearly our 7 **c** yaksmam te sarvam angebhyo.

10. Let the *balása* become ash, let it become sickening urine; the poison of all *yaksmas* have I exorcised from thee.

11. Forth at the orifice let it run out, the  $k \dot{a} h \bar{a} b \bar{a} h a$ , from thy belly; the poison of all etc. etc.

Literally 'to the orifice': Henry "vers le trou," i.e. "par l'anus."] The Pet. Lexx. plausibly conjecture  $k\bar{a}h\bar{a}b\bar{a}ha$  to be an imitative term for rumbling in the bowels; it may also possibly be understood as used adverbially, like  $b\bar{a}l$  in i. 3. Ppp. has a quite different reading for **b**:  $kah\bar{a}valam$  trandarā.

12. Out of thy belly, lung, navel, heart, the poison of all etc. etc.

Ppp. rectifies the meter of **a** by inserting *pari* before *klomnas*, and makes **c**, **d** agree with our 9 **c**, **d**. The Anukr. brings out an *usnth* (28 syll.) by unnaturally refusing to make the resolutions *nābhi-ās* and *tu-at* | and scanning as 6 + 7:8 + 7 |.

13. They that break apart the crown, rushers against the head  $(m\bar{u}r-dhan)$  — not injuring, free from disease, let them run out, out at the orifice.

The 'they' in this and the following verses (13-18) is fem. The Pet. Lexx. take *arṣaui* in **b** as an independent noun (it is found only here), and conjecture it to mean 'pricking pains.'

14. They that rush unto the heart, that stretch along the vertebræ — not injuring etc. etc.

Prāt. iii. 47 prescribes the form *uparsánti* (instead of *upārṣánti* : p. *upaoṛṣánti*) in this and the two following verses. Ppp. reads every time *upadiçanti*.

15. They that rush unto the two sides, that stab (*niky*) along the ribs — not injuring etc. etc.

The Anukr intends parque to be read unresolved.

16. They that rush on crosswise, rushers in thy belly  $(vak \leq a n \bar{a}) - not$  injuring etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in b vaksanābhyah, and omits the following te.

17. They that creep along the intestines, and confound the entrails — not injuring etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in **b**  $y\bar{a}payanti$  for *mohayanti*. [Roth most ingeniously suggests that the Ppp. reading may mean  $\bar{a}utr\bar{a}$  *ni-y-āmayanti*. Or is  $y\bar{a}payanti$  to be taken in a sense quite like our English 'cause (the bowels) to move,' i.e. 'produce diarrhoea'?]

18. They that suck out the marrow, and break apart the joints — not injuring etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in **a** anusarpanti for nirdhayanti. We are to resolve maj-jn-o to fill out the measure.

19. They that intoxicate (maday-) the limbs, the yáksmas, thy gripers -- the poison of all yáksmas have I exorcised from thee.

Ppp. reads in b ropanā saha. Some of our mss. (P.M.O.p.m.) read ye 'āgāni.

20. Of the visalpá, of the vidradhá, or of the vātīkārá, of the alají — the poison etc. etc.

Ppp. again reads viçalyasya [cf. under vi. 127. 1]; also, in b, vātīkālasya.

21. Forth from thy feet, knees, hips, buttock, spine, nape the pangs, from thy head the disease have I made disappear.

Ppp. reads, after te, gulphābhyām janghābhyām jānubhyām ūrubhyām (ro. p. bh.; it reads ānūkyāt in c, and inserts before cīrṣṇas, grīvābhyas skandhebhyas. It is apparently the intrusion of arṣaṇīs that spoils the meter in our c. 22. Together the bones (kapda) of thy head, and the discussor (vidhi) of thy heart — arising, O Āditya, thou with thy rays hast made disappear the disease of the head, hast pacified the limb-splitter.

The first two pādas seem independent; an unfinished construction. The *pada*-text reads *visdhúh* in **b**, as if it recognized in the word the root  $dh\hat{u} + v\hat{i}$ ; this is not implausible, and the translation follows it (the simple "beat" of the Pet. Lexx. seems quite unacceptable; it might be 'palpitation'). But Ppp. reads instead *vidus*, and so do a part of our mss., namely, W O s.m D.Kp. [W. interlines a query over "discussor," which word he coins and uses in the sense 'shaker asunder.' "Discuss" in this sense is "obsolete except in surgical use."] Ppp. further has, for our **c** etc.: *udyat sūryā-dityo angāni roma nakhāni sarvāņi sadanāni nīnaçat*.

[The fourth anuvaka, with 2 hymns and 48 verses, ends here. The quoted Anukr. says navadaçã 'pare ca.]

#### 9. Mystic.

## [Brahman.— dvāvinçakam. vāmīyam ādutyadevatyam adhyātmakaram. trāistubham : 12, 14, 16, 18. jagatī.]

This hymn and the following (except a few verses of the latter) are one Rig-Veda hymn, namely, i. 164, and but a small part of them occur in any other Vedic text. Both are found in Pāipp. xvi., in somewhat changed verse-order, as will be noted under the different verses below. Vāit takes no notice of this hymn; in Kāuç. it (vs. 1) is quoted in 18. 25, with various others, in a ceremony for prosperity; and the gaṇamālā (see note to Kāuç. 18. 25) reckons it as belonging to the salila gaṇa.

Translated: as RV. hymn, by Ludwig, no. 951; and Grassmann, ii. p. 456-460; also by M. Haug, under the title, Vedische Rathselfragen und Rathselspruche, Sb. der philosphilol. Classe der k. bairischen Ak. der Wiss., Bd. 11., Heft 3, fur 1875, Munchen, 1876 (the essay, says Whitney, "casts extremely little light upon its labored obscurities"); further, with an elaborate comment touching the significance of its philosophic content, by Deussen, Geschuhte, i 1.105-119; parts also by Muir, v. (see Index, p. 484), and Hillebrandt, Ved. Mythol., i. (see Index, p. 542); and under the title, Zwei Spruche uber Leib und Scele, ZDMG. xlvi. 759 f., Roth explains two verses answering to our ix. 10.8 and 16. Under the title, Das Rathsel vom Jahre, ZDMG. xlviii. 353, E. Windisch discusses the RV. verse answering to our vs. 12.

Translated, moreover, as AV. hymn, by Henry, 107, 143; Griffith, i. 458. The critical remarks of Oldenberg, 1FA. vi. 180-183, may also be consulted. — Further, von Schroeder, Der Rigweda bei den Kathas, WZKM. xii. 282, reports variants for certain vss. of the RV. hymn which correspond to our vss ix. 9. 9, 12, 17, 22 and ix. 10. 16. — Finally, A. Wunsche's essay, Das Ratsel vom Jahr und seinen Zeitabschnitten in der *Weltlitteratur*, may be cited in this connection; it is found in Zeitschrift fur vergl. Litteraturgeschichte, N.F., ix. 425-456.

I. Of this pleasant  $(v\bar{a}m\dot{a})$  hoary invoker — of him the brother is the midmost stone (?  $\dot{a}cna$ ); his third brother [is] ghee-backed; there I saw the lord of the people who hath seven sons.

The three brothers are explained as the three forms of Agni, in heaven, in the atmosphere (lightning), and on earth (sacrificial fire); the 'seven sons' are most probably his many flames. [The collocation of madhyamó with bhrātā would seem more natural, considering the phrase trtiyo bhrātā.]

2. Seven harness (yuj) a one-wheeled chariot; one horse, having •seven names, draws (vak) [it]; of three naves [is] the wheel, unwasting, unassailed, whereon stand all these existences.

Doubtless the sun. The verse is repeated as xiii. 3. 18. It occurs also in TA. iii. 11. 8, with anarvām yene 'mā v. bhuvanāni t. in c, d (the accent of the verse is too corrupt to heed).

3. The seven that stand on this chariot — seven horses draw it, sevenwheeled; seven sisters shout at [it] together, where are set down the seven names of the kine.

RV. reads navante in c, and nama in d.

4. Who saw it first in process of birth, as the boncless one bears (bhr) him that has boncs? where forsooth the earth's life (asn), blood, soul? who shall go to ask that of him who knows?

'Bears,' not in the sense of 'gives birth to,' but of 'carries' or 'supports' or the like.

5. Let him who truly knows [here] tell  $(br\bar{u})$  the set-down track of this pleasant bird; the kine extract (duh) milk from his head; clothing themselves in a wrap, they have drunk water with the foot.

Explained as relating to the clouds and the sun. The verse is vs. 7 in RV, and also in Ppp.; the latter reads *cirsua* in c.

6. Simple, not discerning  $(\forall i - j \hbar a)$  with the mind, I ask about the thus set-down tracks of the gods; over the yearling (? başkáya) calf have the poets stretched out seven lines (tántu) for weaving.

7. I, not understanding (*cit*), ask here the understanding poets, I unknowing (*vid*), them that know; he who propped as under these six spaces (*rajas*), in the form of the goat ( $\frac{2}{3}aja$ ) — was that also alone?

The sense of the last pāda is utterly obscure, and the version given only tentative; ajd is perhaps here really the 'unborn one,' as the translators render it. RV. reads in **a** derivitivant cik-, and, in **b**, widmane, for which our widwanes is apparently a mere corruption.

8. The mother portioned the father in righteousness, for with meditation (*dhīti*), with mind, came together in the beginning she, repugnant, womb-sapped, pierced; paying homage, verily, they went unto encouragement.

The version is in part only mechanical. Ppp. combines  $rt\bar{a}$  "babh- in **a**, and reads  $jaj\bar{n}e$  at end of **b**.

9. The mother was yoked to the pole of the sacrificial gift; the embryo stood among the wiles (? vrjant); the calf bleated, looked after the cow of all forms, in the three distances (vojana).

[Katha variants, WZKM. xii. 282, vrjancsv antáh and yojáncsu. - Cf. IFA. vi. 180, as noted above.]

10. The one, bearing three mothers [and] three fathers, stood upright; verily they do not exhaust him; on the back of yon sky the all-knowing ones talk a speech not found by all.

RV. reads glāpayanti at end of **b** and the translation follows that reading ], and, for **d**, viçvavidam vācam áviçvaminvām. The pada-text reads glapayanta; Prāt. iv. 93 notes the case. Ppp. agrees with RV. in glāpayanti and viçvavidam.

11. On the five-spoked circumvolving wheel on which stood all existences—its axle, much-burdened, is not heated; even from of old it is not severed with the nave.

RV. and Ppp. count this verse as 13, our version inverting the order of 11-13. In **b**, RV. reads tasminn d tasthur bh. v., and Ppp. samārohanti bh. v.; and RV. has *cīryate* for *chidyate* in **d**.

12. The five-footed father, of twelve shapes  $(-\delta krti)$ , they call rich in ground (purisin) in the far (p dra) half of the sky; then these others call [him] set  $(\delta rpita)$  in the lower outlook that is seven-wheeled, six-spoked.

RV. and Ppp. have the easier and better reading *vicakṣaṇám* in c, and Ppp. reads before it *upari*. [The Kaṭha reading also is *úpari*, WZKM. xii. 282.] Read in b *páre* (an accent sign slipped out of place). [See Roth, KZ. xxvi. 66, and Windisch as cited in the introduction; cf. also IFA. vi. 181, as noted above.]

13. The twelve-spoked wheel — for that is not to be worn out — revolves greatly about the sky of rightcousness; there, O Agni, stood the sons, paired, seven hundred and twenty.

Here the 'twelve' and the 'seven hundred and twenty' are plainly the months, and the days and nights, of the year of 360 days. The verse, as noted above, is vs. 11 in RV. and Ppp. The more proper reading in **b** would be *várvartti*.

14. The unwasting wheel, with rim, rolls about; ten harnessed ones draw upon the outstretched one (fem.); the sun's eye goes surrounded with the welkin (rájas), in which stood all existences.

Ppp. has vrajanti for vahanti in **b**, and, for **d** yasminn ārpitā bhuvanāny ārpitā; RV. has tásminn ārpitā for our yásminn ātasthúh. The Anukr. calls the verse simply a jagatī, though only two of its pādas have 12 syllables.

15. Them, being women, they declared (ak) to me to be men; he who hath eyes may see, [but] the blind will not distinguish (vi-cit); the son that is a poet, he verily understood  $(\bar{a}-cit)$ ; whoever knows those things apart, he shall be [his] father's father.

RV. and Ppp. put this verse after our vs. 16. It is found also in TA. i. 11.4, with  $t\bar{a}$  u in **a**, *imas* in **c** for *im a*, and *savituh* p- in **d**. Some of our mss. (P.s.m.O.K.T.) read *pituh* p- in **d**; we had the phrase once before, at ii. 1.2, and the combination falls under Prat. ii. 73. We might expect, in **d**,  $t\bar{a}h$ , referring to *striyah*, but the *pada*-texts have  $t\bar{a}$ , as neuter pl.

16. Of those born together the seventh they call sole-born; six, they say, are twins, god-born seers; the sacrifices (? ista) of them, distributed according to their abodes, quake in their station, being altered (vi-kr) in respect to form.

*Istâni* in c might equally mean 'things desired.' Sthātré in d is most probably loc. of *-trá*, since, if from *sthāt*?, we should expect instead the ablative. [The vs. recurs at TA. i. 3. 1 with *udyamās* for *td yamās*.]

17. Below the distant (pára), thus beyond the lower, bearing (bhr) her calf with her foot, the cow hath stood up; whitherwards, to what quarter (árdha) hath she forsooth gone away? where giveth she birth? for [it is] not in this herd.

The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in  $\mathbf{a}$ ; we may suppose para ena-to be combined to parat 'na- $\mathbf{a}$ . The verse is repeated below as xiii. 1.41. RV. ends with antal for asmin. The Katha variant parakat for paragat (WZKM. xii. 282) shows an exchange of surd and sonant, the reverse of that noted at ii. 13.3.

18. Below the distant whoever knows his father, below the distant, thus beyond the lower — who, playing the poet, shall proclaim [him] here? from whence [is] heavenly mind produced?

RV. and Ppp. read, in **a**, **b**, yó asyā 'nuvéda pará ená-, rectifying the meter and lightening the construction. Only the first two pādas have any "jagatī" character, and they are very irregular. But by giving this name the Anukr. shows that it reads our version; in RV. and Ppp. the verse is a pure tristubh. Read in **d** kúto (for kŕto).

19. Them that are hitherward they call off-ward; them, again, that are off-ward they call hitherward; what things, O Soma, thou and Indra have done, those they draw, harnessed as it were with the pole of the welkin.

The verse is found also in JB. i. 279, with no various readings that are not evident corruptions. The 'them' of **a**, **b** is masc., probably the same with the 'they' of **d** (yuk-tah, p.). Ppp. reads niyuktā instead of na yuktā.

20. Two cagles (*suparņá*), joint companions, embrace the same tree; of them the one eats the sweet berry; the other looks on all the time, not partaking.

Ppp. reads in a suynjā. Here and in the next verse, as everywhere else, some of our mss. read *plspalam*. [The vs. plays a rôle in the Upanishads : cf. Çvet. iv. 6; Mund. iii. 1. 1. Hillebrandt, *Ved. Mythol.*, i. 466, 399, treats this and the following vss.]

21. On what tree the honey-cating eagles all settle and give birth — what they call the sweet berry in the top of it, that cannot he attain who knoweth not [his] father.

RV. has  $ld \ \bar{a}huh$  instead of  $ydd \ \bar{a}huh$  in c, and so also Ppp. (but  $\bar{a}hus$ ). In RV. this verse follows after our vs. 22. There is a redundant syllable in c of which the Anukr. takes no notice (and the pāda is also capable of being crowded together into eleven syllables).

22. Where the eagles, with counsel (vidátha), sound incessantly (ánimesam) unto the draught of amíta, — thus the shepherd of all existence, he the wise one entered there into me that am simple.

RV. reads bhagám for bhaksám in **a**. For enta in **c**, RV. has *inds*, and Ppp. yo no; [Ppp's yo no seems to be an attempt to make sense out of the rare and probably unintelligible *ind*]. [The Katha variant (WZKM. xii. 282) is *ánimisam*.]

[The quoted Anukr. says navadaçe ca.]

## 10. Mystic.

## [Brahman. — aştāvinçakam. govirādadhyātmadāivatyam (23. māitrāvaruņi). trāistubham : 1,7, 14, 17, 18. jagatī ; 31 5-p. atiçakvarī ; 24 4-p puraskrtir bhurig atijagatī ; 2,26,27. bhurij.]

This hymn is in RV. a continuation of the preceding; but our vss. 9 and 23 are not found in the RV. with the rest (9 is RV. x. 55. 5 and 23 is RV. i. 152. 3); [while of 19, only d is found in RV., making, with the odd fifth pāda of our vs. 21, the first half of RV. i. 164. 42 (42 a, b = 21 e + 19 d); and our 24 (prose) does not occur in the RV. at all ]. The first 23 verses are found also in Pāipp. xvi. The hymn is not quoted in Kāuç. (except as vs. 20 is also vii. 73. 11); but a few verses (9, 13, 14) are cited in Vait.

Translated. as AV. hymn, by Henry, 110, 150; Griffith, i. 464. — For other translations etc. see the introduction to hymn 9.

I. That the  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{t}$ -verse is set  $(\bar{a}\cdot dh\bar{a})$  in the  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{t}$ -verse, or that they fashioned the *tristubh*-verse out of the *tristubh*-verse, or that the *jágatī* step is set in the *jágatī* (*jágat*) — whoever know that, they have attained immortality.

RV. [vs. 23] and Ppp. read in b trālstubhād vā trālstubhain.

2. By the gāyatri-verse he measures off the song (arka'), by the song the chant (sáman), by the tristubh-verse the hymn (vaka'), by the hymn of two feet [or] of four feet the hymn; by the syllable they measure the seven tunes (van).

The words 'song,' 'hymn,' 'tune' are used rather loosely in rendering here.

3. By the jágatī he established (skabh) the river in the sky; in the rathaintará he beheld (pari-paç) the sun; they call the three kindlers (samidh) the gāyatrt-verse's; it was superior to them by bulk, by greatness.

The translation of the last pāda is not without uncertainties : tátas is here understood as quasi-object of prá ririce. RV. [vs. 25] reads in a astabhāyat.

4. I invite that well-milking milch-cow; a skilful-handed milker also shall milk her; may the impeller impel us the best impulse; the hot drink is kindled about: that may he kindly proclaim.

We had this verse above as vii. 73.7. The only variant in RV. [vs. 26] is the better *vocam* at the end. [Oldenberg discusses verses 4-7, IFA. vi. 182.]

5. Lowing, mistress of good things, seeking her calf with her mind, hath she come unto [it]; let this inviolable one yield milk for the Açvins; let her increase unto great good-fortune.

This verse, again, is vii. 73.8, above, excepting that the latter reads nydgan at end of **b**. Our *pada*-text has here *abhiodgāt*, while that of RV. [vs. 27] gives *abht*: d: *agāt*; both yield the same *samhitā*-reading.

6. The cow lowed toward the winking calf; she uttered *hing* at [its] head, in order to lowing; bellowing the mouth (? srkran) unto the hot drink, she lows a lowing, she abounds with milk.

Compare 1.8, above; the second line is nearly identical in the two verses. It is quite differently rendered by the translators at one and another point, being very obscure. For *abht* in **a**, RV. reads *dnu*, Ppp. *apa*.

7. This one here twangs, by whom the cow is surrounded; she lows a lowing, being set (*critá*) on the sparkler (*dheasáni*); since she put down mortals by her thoughts (*citti*), becoming the lightning, she threw ( $\bar{u}h$ ) back the wrap.

Both RV. [vs. 29] and Ppp. read mártyam in c.

8. Breathing lies the swift moving thing, living, stirring, fixed, in the midst of the abodes (pastyà); the living one moves at the will (? svadhåbhis) of the dead one; the immortal one [is] of like source with the mortal.

The verse is excessively obscure, and Hillebrandt's translation of the second half, and reference to the moon (*Ved. Mythol.*, pp. 336, 498), very forced and implausible. The verse lacks a syllable in **a** (and the *pada*-text sets its mark of pāda-division after  $\ell/at$ ); perhaps we are to resolve  $-ga\bar{a}tu$ . Ppp. puts the verse after our vs. 9. [RV., vs. 30, shows no variant. Roth's most interesting interpretation (ZDMG. xlvi. 759) makes of the verse a riddle whose answer is "the body and the soul." He emends to *dmanac* in **a**. Bohtlingk, *Berichte der sachsischen Gesell.*, 1893, xlv. 88, reviews Roth's interpretation.]

9. The shaker-apart (? vidhú) that runs on the back of the sea, being young, the hoary one swallowed; see thou the poesy of the heavenly one with greatness; today he died, yesterday he received breath (sam-an).

This verse is RV. x. 55. 5; also SV. i. 325 etc.; TA. iv. 20. 1; MS. iv 9. 12. All alike read samane bahānām for salildsya prythe' (MS., except in its pada-text, has other slight differences which are palpable blunders). Vidhú is (as at 8. 22, above) divided in pada-text viodhú. It doubtless designates here the moon, however it may have won the right to do so. Ludwig and Hillebrandt (Ved. Mythol. i. 465) translate **d** 'today (he died yesterday) he has come to life'; but this is in the highest degree forced, and may be pronounced even inadmissible. Ppp. reads vidyudūdrāņā at the beginning. [See Katha-kss., p. 82.] The verse is quoted in Väit. 40. 7; 41. 12.

<sup>i</sup> 10. He who made him knows not of him; [he is] verily out of sight now of him who saw him; he, enveloped within his mother's womb, with his much progeny, entered into perdition (*nirrti*). The translation follows the RV. reading, *nirrtim*, in **d**, since the nom. *tis* seems unconstruable. Both RV.  $\lfloor vs. 32 \rfloor$  and Ppp. put the verse after our vs. 11, and the latter reads so 'sya in **a**. Haug interprets the lightning to be intended.

II. I saw the shepherd, not lying down, moving both hither and thither upon his roads; he, clothing himself in the collecting, he in the dispersing ones, rolls greatly on among existences.

Doubtless the sun. The verse [RV. vs. 31] is found a second time in RV. as x. 177. 3; and also at VS. xxxvii. 17; TA. iv. 7. 1; MS. iv. 9. 6; AA. ii. 1.  $6^{6-10}$  — all accenting in a *dnipadyamānam*, which is alone acceptable. [Our **d** recurs, with *sd* prefixed, at x. 2. 7 **c**. If we read *varīvartti* there, we ought also to do so here.] [Cf. *Katha-hss.*, p. 101.]

12. The heaven our father, generator, navel here; this great earth our connection, mother; the womb  $(y \circ ni)$  within the (two) outstretched cups  $(cam\hbar)$ ; here the father hath set  $(\bar{a} \cdot dh\bar{a})$  the daughter's embryo.

'Navel,' i.e. 'central point, place of union.' RV. [vs. 33] reads me for nas in a and b.

13. I ask of thee the extreme (*pára*) end of the earth; I ask the seed of the male (*visan*) horse; I ask the navel of all existence; I ask the highest (*paramá*) firmament (*vydman*) of speech.

RV. [vs. 34] exchanges the place of **b** and **c**, and rectifies the meter by inserting another  $tv\bar{a}$  before  $v\bar{r}s\mu o$ , and reading prchami yatra bhivanasya nabhih. Ppp. follows RV. in the former case, but in the latter has prchami  $tv\bar{a}m$  bh. n.; it makes [as between **b** and **c**] the same inversion of order as RV.; and it begins **d** with  $v\bar{a}cas$ prchami. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregularity of our meter. The verse, with the following one, is found also in other texts: VS. xxiii. 61, 62; TS. vii. 4. 18<sup>2</sup>; LÇS. ix. 10. 13, 14. VS. reads in both verses precisely as RV., and LÇS. differs from it only by having prchamas instead of -mi four times in vs. 13. TS. has for 13 **b** prchami tva bhivanasya nabhim. The two verses are quoted in Vait. 37. 3.

14. This sacrificial hearth is the extreme end of the earth; this soma is the seed of the male horse; this sacrifice is the navel of all existence; this priest (*brahmán*) is the highest firmament of speech.

RV. [vs. 35] (also VS. LÇS.: see above) and Ppp. read for **b**, **c**: aydm yajād bhúv. nå.: aydm sómo etc. (our**b**). TS. has védim āhuh páram ántam pṛthivyå yajādm āhur bhúvanasya nåbhim: sómam āhur v<sup>c</sup>. áç. ré. brahmāt 'vá vācáh etc. The Anukr. absurdly calls the verse a jagatī on account of the two redundant syllables in the AV. version of**c**.

15. I do not distinguish what this is that I am; I go secret, fastened together with mind; when the first-born of righteousness hath come to me, then indeed I attain a share of this speech.

Ppp. agrees with RV.  $\lfloor vs. 37 \rfloor$  in putting this verse  $\lfloor$  and the next  $\rfloor$  after our vs. 17. The RV. *pada*-text has in  $c \ \dot{a}: dgan \lfloor$  so Müller's five editions and Aufrecht's first: Aufrecht's second,  $\dot{a}: agan \rfloor$ ; our  $\bar{a} \circ dgan$  is better. Ppp. reads *balinā* for *manasā* in **b**. 16. Offward, forward goes, seized by *svadhå*, the immortal one, of like source with the mortal; the two, constantly going separate apart — the one they noted, the other they noted not.

Haug and Hillebrandt (*Ved. Mythol.*, i. 336, note) both understand *svadhā* here as meaning the offering to the manes, but their conjectural interpretations of the verse are totally discordant. [The vs. is RV. vs. 38 without variant. See the interpretations of Roth and of Bohtlingk as cited under vs. 8 above. — The Katha variant (WZKM. xii. 282) is  $dpr\bar{a}\bar{n}$  for  $dp\bar{a}\bar{n}$ . — The vs. is found at AA. ii. 1.8.]

17. Seven half-embryos, the seed of existence, stand in front (? *pradiçā*), in Vishņu's distribution; they, by thoughts ( $dh\bar{\iota}tt$ ), by mind, they, inspired, surround on all sides the surrounders.

Or, 'being surrounders.' As noted above, the verse in RV. [vs. 36] and Ppp. stands before our vs. 15. Both 17 and 18 are defective as *jagatis*. [Cf. Oldenberg, IFA. vi. 184; also Henry, *Actes du dixième Congrès intern. des Orientalistes*, Section I, Inde, p. 45-50, "Cruelle énigme."]

18. In the syllable of the verse (ic) in the highest firmament, on which all the gods sat down: he who knoweth not that, what will he do with the verse? they who know that sit together yonder.

RV. [vs. 39] reads *imé* instead of *amí* in **d**. O. combines *vidús té* just before it. The verse is found also in TB. iii. 10.  $9^{14}$  and TA. ii. 11. 1 — in both, with the RV. reading. Its *pratika* occurs also in GB. i. 22. Read *véda* in **c**.

19. Shaping (kalpay) with measure the step of the verse, they shaped by the half-verse all that stirs; the *brálman* of three feet, many-formed, spread out  $(vi-sth\bar{a})$ ; by that do the four quarters live.

The comm. to the Prāt. (ii. 93) quotes vt tasthe at end of c as an example of the s of sthā lingualized after vt even though the reduplication intervenes; and that establishes vt tasthe as the true reading. The majority of the sam hitā-mss. have it; but W.E.O. give caste; Ppp. also has parirāpam vi caste. Only the fourth pāda is found in RV., being i. 164. 42 b (we have 42 a as our 21 e); the same occurs below as xi. 5. 12 d.

20. Mayest thou be well-portioned, feeding in excellent meadows; so also may we be well-portioned; eat thou grass, O inviolable one, at all times; drink clear water, moving hither.

We had this verse [RV. vs. 40] above, as vii. 73. 11.

21. The cow verily lowed, fashioning the seas; one-footed, two-footed [is] she, four-footed; having become eight-footed, nine-footed, thousand-syllabled, a series ( $pa\bar{n}ti$ ) of existence; out from her flow apart the oceans.

The five pādas are vs. 41, and 42 a, of the RV. hymn; which, however, reads  $g\bar{a}ur\bar{i}s$  for  $g\bar{a}ur\bar{i}t$  in a, and *paramé vydman* for *bhuvanasya paūttl* in d. The RV. vs. 41 occurs also in TB. ii. 4. 6<sup>11</sup> [and it is there followed by the RV. vs. 42 entire] and TA. i. 9. 4, with the RV. readings, excepting  $g\bar{a}ur\bar{i}$  at the beginning [and *tdsyām* in TB. for *tdsyās*]. Our pādas **b-e**, again, are repeated as xiii. I. 42 below. The verse (12 + 12 : 12 + 11 + 11 = 58) lacks two syllables of being a proper *atiçakvarī* (60 syllables).

22. Black the descent, the yellow eagles, clothing themselves in waters, fly up to the sky; they have come hither from the seat of righteousness; then, forsooth, with ghee they deluged the earth.

The verse occurs also above as vi. 22. 1, and pādas **a-c** below in xiii. 3. 9. For parallel passages etc. see the note to vi. 22. 1. It is RV. i. 164. 47, the last verse of the RV. hymn that is included in the AV. text here (RV. vss. 43-46 are our 25-28 below), although of the remaining five RV. vss. all but one (51) are found in other parts of our text.

23. She that is footless goes first of them (fem.) that have feet: who understood  $(\bar{a}\text{-}cit)$  that of you, O Mitra and Varuṇa? the embryo brings  $(\bar{a}\text{-}bhr)$  the burden of her; she (?) fills (? pr) truth, protects  $(ni-p\bar{a})$  untruth.

The last pāda is especially obscure : he? or she? or it? and which root pr, 'fill' or 'pass'? The verse is RV. i. 152. 3, where we read  $t\bar{a}rit$  instead of  $p\bar{a}ti$  at the end, and asya for asyās at end of c. Ppp. also has  $t\bar{a}rit$ , but, instead of  $\vec{a}$  cid asyā $\mu$  (or asya), it reads  $d\bar{a}$  rtasya.

24. Viráj [is] speech, viráj earth, viráj atmosphere, viráj Prajāpati; viráj became death, the over-king of the perfectibles  $(s\bar{a}dhy\dot{a})$ ; in his control are what was, what is to be; let him put in my control what was, what is to be.

[Prose.] This verse, with all that follows it, is wanting in Ppp. The Anukr. reckons the whole first part to the pause as one pāda (20 syllables, a krti-pāda); the *pada*-text understands it as two, dividing after *prthivi*.

25. The dung-made smoke I saw from far, with the dividing one, thus beyond the lower; the heroes cooked a spotted ox (uksan); those were the first ordinances.

The construction and sense of **b** are very obscure. The verse is 43 of RV. i. 164, and the remaining three follow in order. [Henry, *Mém. de la Soc. de linguistique*, ix. 247, cites the vs.]

26. Three hairy ones look out seasonably; in the (a?) year one of them shears itself; another looks upon all with might ( $cac\bar{c}bhis$ ); of one is seen the rush, not the form.

The RV. version  $\lfloor vs. 44 \rfloor$  has in **c** *vigram the abht caste*; our *abhicaste* is a regular case of antithetical accent. The RV. *pada*-text does not divide *sainvatsare*. Haug interprets the verse of the three forms of Agni; Hillebrandt (*Ved. Mythol.*, i. p. 472), of the moon (!?), sun, and wind.

27. Speech [is] four measured out quarters (padd); those are known by Brahmans who are skilful; three, deposited in secret, they do not set in motion (ingay); a fourth of speech human beings speak.

This verse is found, without variant, in TB. (ii. 8. 85) and CB. (iv. 1. 3<sup>17</sup>), as well as in RV. [vs. 45]. Our Bp.<sup>2</sup>D.Kp. read *nd* : *ingayanti* in c.

-ix. 10

28. They call [him] Indra, Mitra, Varuņa, Agni; likewise he [is] the heavenly winged eagle; what is one the sages (*vipra*) name (*vad*) variously; they call [him] Agni, Yama, Mātariçvan.

Our pada-text differs from that of RV. [vs. 46] by dividing bahuodha.

[The fifth anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 50 verses, ends here.]

[One of our mss., P., sums up the book as of 8 artha-sūktas [their vss. number 214] and 7 paryāya-sūktas [hymns 6 and 7: their ¶'s number 62 + 26 = 88] or "15 sūktas of both kinds" reckoned together. Cf. the introduction, p. 517. The same ms., P., sums up the avasānarcas [of hymns 6 and 7] as 99 [73 + 26] and the "verses of both kinds" as 313 [that is 214 + 99]; but codex I. gives 302 [that is 214 + 88].]

[The twenty-first prapāțhaka ends here.]

# Book X.

[This tenth book is the third of the second grand division of the Atharvan collection. For a general statement as to the make-up of the books of this division, reference should again be made to page 471. The Old Anukramanī describes the length of hymns 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 10 by giving the overplus of each hymn over 30 verses. The assumed normal length in the case of book ix. seems to be 20 verses. The whole book has been translated by Victor Henry, Lcs livres X, XI, ct XII de l'Atharva-véda traduits et commentés, Paris, 1896. The bhāsya again is lacking. There are no paryāya-hymns in this book.]

LThe *anuvāka*-division of the book (as noted above, page 472) is into five *anuvākas* of two hymns each. The "decad"-division likewise is as described on page 472. A tabular conspectus for this book also may be acceptable:

Anuvākas	I		2		3		4		5	
Hymns	· 1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Verses	32	33	25	26	50	35	44	44	27	34
Decad-div.	10+10+12	10+10+13	10+10+5	10+10+6	5 tens	3 tcn5 + 5	3 tens + 14	3 tcn5 + 14	10+10+7	10+10+14

Sum of verses, 350. The sum of "decad"- $s\bar{w}ktas$  is 35. In this book, therefore, the average length of the "decad"- $s\bar{w}ktas$  is precisely 10 verses.]

#### 1. Against witchcraft and its practisers.

[Pratyañgırasa. — dvātrinçat. kriyādūsaņadevatyam. ānustubham: 1. mahābrhatī; 2. virāņ nāma gāyatrī; 9. pathyāpañkti; 12. pankti; 13. urobrhatī; 15. 4-p. vurād jagatī; 17, 20, 24. prastārapañkti (20. virāj); 16, 18. tristubh; 19. 4-p. jagatī; 22. 1-av. 2-p. ārcy usņih; 23. 3-p. bhurig visamā gāyatrī; 28. 3-p. gāyatrī; 29. madhyejyotişmatī jagatī; 32. dvyanustubgarbhā 5-p. atijagatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. The hymn (vs. 1) is quoted in Kāuç. 39. 7, with several others, in a ceremony against witchcraft, and several of its verses or parts of verses elsewhere. The Vāit. uses only one half-verse (21 c, d).

Translated: Ludwig, p. 520; Henry, I, 39; Griffith, ii. I; Bloomfield, 72, 602.

1. She whom the adepts (cikitsi) prepare, all-formed, hand-made, like a bride at a wedding — let her go far off; we push her away.

'She,' because krtya 'witchcraft' is feminine. The name, mahābrhatī, given to the verse, is improperly applied, Lif we understand it as defined by RV. Prāt. xvi. 48: here is meant rather that defined as of three jāgata pādas (12 + 12:11) at Ind. Stud.. viii. 243 ]. 2. Having a head, having a nose, having ears, put together, all-formed, by the witchcraft-maker — let her go far off; we push her away.

The addition of a ca at the end of a would rectify the meter, and justify the Anukr. The *pada*-reading *cirsanovátt* is by Prāt. iv. 99, and the word is quoted there in the comment as an example. Ppp. puts the adjectives in the accus., and reads, instead of our c: pratyak pra hinmasi yaç cakāra tum rechatu: cf. vs. 5 c and v. 14. 11 c.

3. Çūdra-made, king-made, woman-made, made by Brahmans (brahmán), like a wife expelled (nuttá) by her husband, let her go to her maker, as connection.

Either 'as her' or 'as his connection'; Ppp. decides for the former, reading *ban-dhum* [for our *bándhu*]. [W's alternative seems to be: *bándhum* must be in apposition with *kartāram*; but *bándhu* (p. *bándhu*) must be a neuter (as at v. 13. 7) and so in apposition with the subject-nominative,  $krty\bar{a}$  understood.]

4. With this herb have I spoiled all witchcrafts — what one they have made in the field, what in the kine, or what in thy men.

This is a repetition of iv. 18. 5, above. The Anukr. here, as there, takes no notice of the defective last pāda.

5. Let evil be to the evil-doer, a curse to the curser; backward we send [her] forth back, that she may slay the witchcraft-maker.

Ppp. reads for a krtyās santu krtyākrte, and, for c, d pratyak pratipravartaya yaç cakāra tum rechatu. To read in our c hiņmasi (as Ppp. in vs. 2 c) would rectify the meter, of whose irregularity the Anukr. takes no notice. The pada-reading pratioprahiņmah is by Prāt. iv. 95; the word is quoted there in the comment as example.

6. Opposed [is] the  $\bar{Ang}$ irasa, our appointed (*purbhita*) overseer; do thou, having turned the witchcrafts in the opposite direction, slay yonder witchcraft-makers.

Ppp. is corrupt, mixing up our verses 6 and 7. It combines praticinā "ngi- in 6 a.

7. He who said to thee "go forth," against the current, up-stream, him, O witchcraft, do thou return against; seek not us who are innocent.

Of this verse is legible in Ppp.  $ud\bar{a}yyam$  in **b**; as for our mss., they cannot be relied upon in the least to distinguish pya and yya, but the majority rather favor  $ud\bar{a}yyam$ , while P. reads  $-\bar{a}ryyam$ , D.  $-\bar{a}jyam$  (?), W.  $-\bar{a}hyam$ . Neither word has been found anywhere else, but doubtless  $ud\bar{a}pyam$  is the true form.

8. He who put together thy joints, as an *rbhú* [those] of a chariot with skill  $(dh\hat{t})$ , unto him go thou; there is thy going; this person is unknown to thee.

All the samhitā-mss. read -va rbhur in b.

9. They who, having made, took hold of thee, cunning sorcerers — this is a healthful (*cambhú*) spoiler of witchcraft, counteracting, reverting; therewith do we bathe thee.

The address changes, as often elsewhere, from the witchcraft to the bewitched person. Ppp. reads, in c, vidma for *cambhu*, and, in d, *pratisaram*. Our text ought to read in c *cambhv ddm*, although all the mss. happen to agree here in lengthening the *i*.

10. In that we have come upon the ill-portioned one (fem.), bathed forth, whose young  $(-vats \dot{a})$  is dead — let all ill  $(p\bar{a}p\dot{a})$  go away from me; let property come unto  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$  me.

The *pada*-mss. have in **b**  $upa\circ eyim \delta$ , and combination to  $upeyim \delta$  falls under the rule Prāt. iii. 38, although the  $\bar{a}$  contained in  $eyim \delta$  ( $= \bar{a} \cdot \bar{i} yim \delta$ ) does not appear [as  $\bar{a}$ ] in the *pada*-text. [Ppp. ends a corruptly with *prsnipathām*.]

11. In that they have taken (grah) the name of thee giving to the Fathers, or at the sacrifice — let these plants free thee from all ill that is designed (? samdecyd).

It might be also (in a, b) 'those giving to the Fathers have taken the name of thee' (Ludwig, 'thy Fathers'). Samdeçyd is very obscure. The first half-verse is wholly corrupt in Ppp.

12. From sin against the gods, against the Fathers, from name-taking, that is designed, that is devised against [any one], let the plants free thee by their energy  $(v\bar{v}ryd)$ , with spell (brdhman), with verses, with milk of the seers.

'Milk' (pdyas) in the last pāda looks like a corrupt reading, but Ppp. appears to have the same; Bp.E. accent  $payas\overline{a}$ . [As for the combinations of  $-\overline{a}$  r-, see note to Prāt. iii. 46.] Several mss. (Bp.O.p.m.R.T.K.) read  $pitry\overline{a}t$  in **a**. The verse, which ought to be called an *anuştubgarbhā tristubh* (11+8:11+11=41), is very foolishly described by the Anukr. as a  $pa\overline{a}kti$ , because it contains nearly (and can easily be reduced to precisely) 40 syllables.

13. As the wind sets in motion the dust from the earth and the cloud (*ablrá*) from the atmosphere, so from me may everything of evil nature go away, pushed by the spell (*bráhman*).

14. Step away, making much noise, like an unfastened she-ass; attain thy makers, pushed hence by an energetic spell.

Some of the mss. (O.p.m.K.) read kartrén in c, as in other such cases.

15. Saying "this is the road, O witchcraft," we conduct thee; thee that wast sent forth against [us] we send forth back again; by that [road] go against [them], breaking, like a draft-cow with a cart, all-formed, wearing a wreath (?  $kur\bar{u}tin$ ).

The last word is found only here, and has to be rendered conjecturally (with the Pet. Lexx.). All the samhitā-mss. [or rather, most of them: see also note to Prāt. iii. 35] combine  $krtyc^{t}$  ti [and thus indeed the meter requires us to pronounce]; but our edition restores the more correct reading [krtya tti], since the Prāt. does not countenance the irregularity; we should expect to find it with vandane 'va (in ii. 56). Ppp. reads at the beginning ayam panthā 'pinayāmi tvā krtye prahitām prati etc.; in c [or rather c-d], tuājaty anasvinī 'va. In the Anukr. it seems as if catuspadā must be a misreading for paācapadā (11 + 11 : 8 + 8 + 8 = 46): but compare vs. 19.

16. Offward is light for thee, hitherward is no road for thee; make thy goings elsewhere than [toward] us; go thou by a distant [road] beyond ninety difficult navigable streams; do not wound thyself; go away.

One would like to emend  $k_{sanisth\bar{a}s}$  in **d**, perhaps to  $k_{sanisth\bar{a}s}$  'be patient' i.e. 'linger'; Ppp. has instead *ghānisthās*, which unfortunately gives no help. Ppp. also combines  $n\bar{a}vy\bar{a}$  'ti in **c**; and the description of the Anukr. appears to sanction it.

17. As the wind the trees, do thou crush (mr) down, cause to fall; do not leave of them cow, horse, man; turning back, O witchcraft, from here to thy makers, awaken them unto childlessness.

Here, in c, even a majority of the mss. (W.I.O.D.T.K.) read *kartrén*. Ppp. combines at the beginning  $v\bar{a}te'va$ , as the meter demands, and as the Anukr. assumes; *iuchisāi 'sām* is doubtless also the real reading in **b**.

18. What [witchcraft] they buried for thee in the *barhls*, what in the cemetery, [what] witchcraft or secret spell (*valagá*) in the field, or practised against thee in the householders' fire — they, being wiser, [against thee] who art simple, innocent.

Ppp. helps both meter and sense by inserting *cakrus* before *barhişi* in **a**; it also arranges *krtyām ksetre* in **b**, combines *dhīratarā 'nāg*- at the end, and adds, to complete the verse, *tam* [so Roth's Collation! for  $t\bar{a}m$ ?] *ito nāçayāmasi*. The Anukr. notices neither the deficiency in **a** nor the redundancy in **d**.

19. We have found out (anu-vid) the hostile sneaking magic (? kártra) that was applied, perceived (? anu-budh), buried: let that go whence it was brought; there let it roll about like a horse; let it slay the progeny of the witchcraft-maker.

Ppp. reads in c  $\bar{a}gatam$  for  $\bar{a}bhrtam$ , and combines in d aque'va, as called for by the meter. The Anukr. is as bad in its treatment of this verse as of 15.

20. There are knives of good metal in our house; we know thy joints, O witchcraft, how many they are; just stand up; go away from here; unknown one, what seekest thou here?

That is, 'thou who art no acquaintance of ours.' The Anukr. is much more scrupulous than usual in calling the verse (12 + 11 : 8 + 8 = 39) virāj scanning a perhaps as 11 ]. Ppp. begins with svayasā. The third pāda 15 quoted in Kāuç. 39. 19.

21. Thy neck-bones (grīvá), O witchcraft, and thy (two) feet I will cut up; run thou out; let Indra-and-Agni defend us, they who are of progeny rich in progeny.

**Prajāvatī** at the end looks like a corruption of *prajāpatī*, which Ppp. reads [R's collation has *prajapatī*]. Ppp. also has in *c enāin vrçcatā*. But Kāuç., which quotes the last half-verse in full in 5. 2, reads *prajāvatī*. The same half-verse appears also by *pratīka* in Vāit. 8. 6 (unless Vāit. takes it rather from Kāuç.).

22. King Soma [is] our over-ruler and favorer (*mṛḍǚtṛ*); let the lords of being favor us.

The verse properly contains 22 syllables (11 + 11), and should therefore be called a sāmnī tristubh. Ppp. reads in b rtusya nas.

23. Let Bhava-and-Çarva hurl (as) at the evil-doer, the witchcraftmaker, the ill-doer, the missile of the gods, the lightning.

Ppp. reads in a  $p\bar{a}pakrtvane$  [which is metrically much better]. The definition of the verse by the Anukr. is very stupid; it is plainly two *tristubh* pādas, with an intruded word of three syllables (either *duskrte* or *vidyútam*; either could be spared). The mss. insert a cesura-mark after *krtyākrte*.

24. If thou camest [as] biped, as quadruped, put together by the witchcraft-maker, all-formed, do thou, becoming octoped, go away again from here, O misfortune!

25. Anointed, smeared, well-adorned, bearing all difficulty, go thou away; recognize  $(j\bar{n}\bar{a})$ , O witchcraft, thy maker, as a daughter her own father.

The definition of this verse appears to be omitted in the Anukr., as we can hardly be meant to take it for an *anustubh*. The first pāda is capable of being crowded together into 8 syllables, or expanded into 12 (either procedure being about equally strained), making the verse either an *urobrhatī* or a *prastārapaākti*. It is quoted in Kāuç. 39. 18.

26. Go away, O witchcraft; stand not; lead, as it were, the track of one pierced; it is a deer, thou a deer-hunter; it is unable to put thee down.

'Lead,' in **b**, appears to be used in the sense of 'follow'; the 'track' is doubtless that of the maker: [cf. note to xi. 2. 13]. The *pada*-text divides *mrgaoyúh*: cf. Prāt. iii. 18.

27. Also the after one (dpara) slays with an arrow, fitting it (?), the one shooting  $(-\bar{a}sin)$  in front; also of the front one, smiting down, the after one smites down in return.

This obscure and probably corrupt verse gets no help from Ppp., which merely reads *uto* for *uta* in c. The Pet. Lex. suggests emendation of -daya to -daya in **b**, and the translation implies the change.

28. Hear thou, verily, these words of mine; then go whence thou camest, to meet him who made thee.

Ppp. reads at the end punah for prati.

29. The slaying of an innocent person is indeed fearful, O witchcraft; slay thou not our cow, horse, man; wheresoever thou art set down, from there we make thee stand up; become thou lighter than a leaf. The *pada*-text has in **d** iit: sthāp; the example of the omitted s is quoted under Prāt. ii. 18. The verse (10 + 10:8+8+8=44) is very badly defined by the Anukr. Ppp. reads in **a** -hatyam and bhīmam.

30. If ye are covered  $(\bar{a}$ -vr) with darkness, like those who are girt  $(abhi-dh\bar{a})$  with a net — having torn up (sam-lup) all witchcrafts from here, we send them forth again to the maker.

The pada-text strangely reads aourta in a, instead of -tah.

31. The progeny of the witchcraft-maker, of him of secret spells, of him that devises against [others], O witchcraft, do thou kill; do not leave [them alive]; slay yonder witchcraft-makers.

Ppp. uses the singular in **d**.

32. As the sun is freed out of darkness, [and] quits the night and the ensigns of the dawn, so do I quit all evil-natured magic made by the witchcraft-maker, as an elephant the difficult haze (?rájas).

Ppp. puts tamasas before mucyate in **a**, reads ketum at end of **b**, and omits **d** altogether. The verse (12 + 11:8 + 8 + 11 = 50) lacks two syllables of being a full atijagati (52). The pratika (yathā sūrya) is quoted in Kāuç. 39. 26; but the comm. regards vii. 13. 1, and not this, as the verse intended.

[The quoted Anukr. says dve (i.e. 2 above the norm of 30).]

# 2. The wonderful structure of man.

[Nārāyaņa. — trayastrinçat. pārsņīsūktam; pāurusam; brahmaprakāçisūktam (31, 32. sāksātparabrahmaprakāçinyāu). ānustubham: 1-4, 7, 8. tristubh; 6, 11. jagatī; 28. bhurig brhatī.]

Found also (except vss. 8, 18, 23, 28) in Pāipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 1–7, 26, 27, 9–12, 17, 15, 13, 14, 16, 22, 19, 24, 25, 20, 21, 30, 29, 31, 32, 33). Quoted (vs. 1) in Vāit. 37. 19, together with the other *puruṣasūkta* (xix. 6), in the *puruṣamedha* or human sacrifice; not noticed in Kāuç.

Translated: Muir, v. 375 (nearly all); Ludwig, p. 398; Scherman, Philosophische Hymnen, p. 41 (nearly all); Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 265; Henry, 5, 45; Griffith, ii. 6.

I. By whom were brought the two heels of a man (*púruşa*)? by whom was his flesh put together? by whom his two ankle-joints (*gulphá*)? by whom his cunning (*pćçana*) fingers? by whom his apertures? by whom his (two) uchlakhás in the midst? who [put together] his footing (*pratisthá*)?

The pada-text divides utoçlakhāú, as if there were such a word as çlakha. Ppp. reads, instead of keno 'chlakhāu, keno 'cchinam ko; also, in **a**, pārsuiy ābhrte pāurusasya; an.l, in **c**, peçinis. Péçana is more literally 'arranging, adorning.'

2. From what, now, did they make a man's two ankle-joints below, his two knee-joints above? separating (?nir-r) his two back-thighs  $(jangh\bar{a})$ , where, forsooth, did they set them in? the two joints of his knees — who indeed understands (cit) that?

Nirtya is a difficult and doubtful expression here. Ppp. reads nir $tija\overline{ng}he$  ni dadhuh; also, in **d**, samdhim  $\overline{u}$  ca jana. In **b** it has again pāuruşasya.

3. There is joined, fourfold (*cátustaya*), with closed (*sámhita*-) ends, above the two knees, the pliant (*çithirá*) trunk; what the hips are, the thighs — who indeed produced (*jan*) that, by which the body (*kúsindha*) became very firm?

Ppp. reads sam hatantam in a, and sudhrtam in d.

4. How many gods [and] which were they, who gathered (ci) the breast, the neck-bones of man? how many disposed the two teats? who the two collar-bones (? kaphodá)? how many gathered the shoulder-bones (pl.)? how many the ribs?

Ppp. has again  $p\bar{a}urusasya$  in **b**. In **c** it reads *ni dadhus kas kapolāu*. The mss. are extremely discordant as to the form of the word which our edition gives as kaph*āudāú*; that is the reading of Bp.W.D.; P.M.R.s.m. have kaphedāú, I. kaphāujhāú, E.O.R.p.m.T.K. kaphodāú (which accordingly has the most authority in its favor [all SPP's mss. read so]); several samhitā-mss. (P.M.T.O.p.m.R.s.m.) have káş before it. The meaning given is, of course, conjectural only; 'collar-bone' is Ludwig's guess, and seems to suit the connection (though that is a rather weak ground of preference) better than the '*perhaps* elbow' of the Pet. Lexx. The Anukr. takes no notice of the lacking syllable in **a**.

5. Who brought together his two arms, saying "he must perform heroism"? what god then set on his two shoulders upon the body (kúsindha)?

[Ppp. has krnavān for karavāt in b, and, for d, kvasindhād adhādadhi.]

6. Who bored out the seven apertures in his head — these ears, the nostrils, the eyes, the mouth? in the might of whose conquest (vijayd) in many places quadrupeds [and] bipeds go their way.

Bp. reads at the end  $y\bar{a}man$ . Ppp. puts  $n\bar{a}sike$  after cakṣaṇī, and reads in **c** vijāyaṣya mahamani, and at the end yomūn. The Anukr. does not heed that **d** is a trisṭubh pāda.

7. Since in his jaws he put his ample (*purūci*) tongue, then attached (*adhi-çri*) [to it] great voice; he rolls greatly on among existences, clothing himself in the waters: who indeed understands that?

With c is to be compared ix. 10. 11 d; the irregularity of the pāda is not noticed by the Anukr. Ppp. reads instead of c: sa ā varīvarti mahinā vyomam : avasānas kata cit pra veda. Our text ought to read varīvarti.

8. Which was that god who [produced] his brain, his forehead, his hindhead (?  $kak di k \bar{a}$ ), who first his skull, who, having gathered a gathering in man's jaws, ascended to heaven ?

D. reads *cityám* in c; all the mss. agree in *ruroha*, although *ruróha* is obviously required. [Otherwise Henry.] The verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp.

9. Numerous things dear and not dear, sleep, oppressions and wearinesses, delights and pleasures — from where does formidable man bring (vah) them?

Ppp. reads in b -tandriyah, and in d again pāur-.

10. Whence now in man [come] mishap, ruin, perdition, misery, accomplishment, success, non-failure? whence thought (*mati*), uprisings (*úditi*)?

The minor Pet. Lex. suggests for *úditi* 'end, disappearance.' Ppp. reads in **b** kuto 'dhi pur-. Vyrddhis instead of *úvy*- would improve both sense and meter.

11. Who disposed in him waters, moving apart, much moving, produced for river-running, strong (*tīvrá*), ruddy, red, dark and tułbid, upward, downward, crosswise in man?

Ppp. reads in a *āpo dadhāt*, and in c combines (as the meter requires us to read)  $t\bar{v}vr\bar{a}$  'ruņā. The verse (8+8+7:11+11=45) is very stupidly defined as *jagatī* by the Anukr.

12. Who set form in him? who both bulk (mahmán) and name? who [set] in him progress (gātú)? who display (kctú)? who [set] behaviors (carítra) in man?

Ppp. again pāuruse at the end.

13. Who wove in him breath? who expiration and respiration (*vyāná*)? what god attached (*adhi-çri*) conspiration (*samāná*) to man here?

Ppp. reads adadhāt for avayat in a, and again pāuruse.

14. What one god set sacrifice in man here? who [set] in him truth? who untruth? whence [comes] death? whence the immortal?

Ppp. reads, for **b** etc., eko 'gre adhi pāuruse : ko anrtam ko mrtyum ko amrtam dadhāu.

15. Who put about him clothing (vásas)? who prepared (kalpay-) his life-time? who extended to him strength? who prepared his swiftness?

Ppp. reads for a ko vāsasā pari dadhāt, and elides ko 'syā- in d.

16. With what did he stretch the waters along? with what did he make the day to shine? with what did he kindle (anu-idh) the dawn? with what did he give the coming-on of evening?

The *pada*-text reads *apa*! (as in 11 a) in a. Ppp. elides 'nv after it. [For *āindha*, cf. Gram. § 684 c.]

17. Who put in him seed, saying "let his line be extended"? who conveyed into him wisdom? who gave  $(dh\bar{a})$  [him] music? who dances?

Ppp. has, for a, ko 'smin reto 'dadhāt ; at end of b, itah ; for d, ko vāçām ko anrtam dadhāu.

18. With what did he cover this earth? with what did he surround the sky? by what is man a match for (*abht*) mountains in greatness? by what, for deeds?

This verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp.

19. With what does he go after Parjanya? with what [after] the outlooking Soma? with what [after] both sacrifice and faith? by whom was mind put in him?

Ppp. reads *āpnoti* for anv eti, and has for c, d our 20 c, d (but purusah in c).

20. Wherewith does he obtain one learned in revelation (*crótriya*)? wherewith this most exalted one? wherewith does man [obtain] this Agni? wherewith did he measure (make?) the year?

Ppp. has for b our 19 b again; also purusah in c.

21. The *bráhman* obtains one learned in revelation, the *bráhman* this most exalted one; the *bráhman* [as] man this Agni; the *bráhman* measured the year.

Here and in vss. 23 and 25 an instrumental is distinctly and strongly called for, instead of the nominative *bráhma*; yet to call *bráhma* an instr., and translate it as such, does not seem possible. [Cf. Caland, KZ. xxxi. 261.] Ppp. reads, for c, d, *brahma yajňasya (raddhā ca brahmā 'smi ca hatam mana*.

22. Wherewith does he dwell upon (?anu-kși) the gods? wherewith [upon] the people of the god-folk? wherewith this other asterism? whereby is authority (kșatrá) called real (sát)?

The sense here is very obscure, and the rendering mechanical. [Griffith suggests that the point may lie in using *naksatram* as if it were *na ksatram*, 'non-power,' in opposition to *ksatram* in **d**.] Ppp. has, for **b**, *kena devīr ajanayad diçaḥ*. The meter requires in **a** *ksyati*, as the forms are written in some texts. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregularity.

23. The *bráhman* dwells upon the gods, the *bráhman* [upon] the people of the god-folk; the *bráhman* this other asterism; the *bráhman* is called real authority.

This verse is wanting in Ppp.

24. By whom is this earth disposed? by whom the sky set above? by whom this atmosphere, the expanse, set aloft and across?

Ppp. reads, for a, kene 'dam bhumir nihatah.

25. By the *bráhman* is the earth disposed; the *bráhman* [is] the sky set above, the *bráhman* this atmosphere, the expanse, set aloft and across.

Ppp. reads, for **a**, **b**, brahmanā bhūmir niyatā brahma dyām uttarām dadhāu, thus relieving in **b** the difficulty as to the construction of brahma. [Cf. note to vs. 21.]

26. Atharvan, having sewed together his head, and also (yat) his heart — aloft from the brain the purifying one sent [them] forth, out of the head.

'The purifying one' (*pavamāna*) is soma; it is perhaps identified here with Atharvan; but the whole sense is extremely obscure. Ppp. reads at the end *çīrṣṇaḥ*.

27. Verily that head of Atharvan [is] a god-vessel, pressed together; breath defends that, the head, food, also mind.

For samubjita, as said of a koça, compare ix. 3. 20 above. Ppp. reads prano bhiin c, and crim for ciras in d. The three nouns in d might be nom. instead of accus.

28. Was he now created upward  $(\bar{u}rdhv\dot{a})$ ? [or] was he now created crosswise? did man grow unto  $(\bar{a}-bh\bar{u})$  all the quarters? — he who knoweth the *bráhman's* stronghold, from which man is [so] called.

The meaning of the protracted final syllables here is unquestionable, although it has been overlooked by both Muir and Ludwig. The cases of protraction call out much treatment from the Prāt.: see the rules i. 70, 97, 105; iv. 6, 120, 121, and the notes upon them. The mss. differ in regard to accenting or leaving unaccented the final syllable of **b**; nor is the usage of either RV. or AV. sufficiently settled to determine which reading ought to be preferred. *Puruşa* in this verse and the sequel seems to approach its later meaning of 'supernal Person or Spirit.' There is no apparent connection between the two halves of the verse: for the second, see vs. 30. The whole verse is wanting in Ppp. The Anukr. should have called it a *prastārapaākti; bhurig brhatī* is purely mechanical (10 + 11 : 8 + 8 = 37).

29. Whoever indeed knoweth that *bráhman's* stronghold, covered with *amṛta* — unto him both the *bráhman* and the Brahmans have given sight, breath, progeny.

The verse is found also in TA. (i. 27. 3), which reads *purim* at end of **b**, *brahmā* for *brāhmāç* in **b**, and *āyuḥ kīrtim* for *cakṣuḥ prāṇam* in **d** (the accentuation is corrupt and worthless through the whole verse). Ppp. has also in **d** *āyuṣ* for *cakṣuḥ*, and at the end *dadhuḥ*.

30. Him verily sight doth not desert, nor breath, before old age, who knoweth the *bráhman's* stronghold (*púr*), from which man (*púruṣa*) is [so] called.

The latter half-verse is identical with 28 c, d. Ppp. reads purah at end of b, and yasmāt in d.

31. Eight-wheeled, nine-doored, is the impregnable stronghold of the gods; in that is a golden vessel, heaven-going (*svargá*), covered with light.

The verse is found also in TA. (i. 27. 2-3), which reads *hiranmayas* in c, and inserts *lokás* after *svargás* in d. [Reminiscences of this verse are seen in x. 8. 43 a, b.]

32. In that golden vessel, three-spoked, having three supports — what soul-possessing monster (*yaksá*) there is in it, that verily the knowers of the *bráhman* know.

Ppp. reads in **b** tridive for tryare, and, in **c**, antar for yaksam. [Pādas **c**, **d** recur at x. 8. 43 **c**, **d**.]

33. The *bráhman* entered into the resplendent, yellow, golden, unconquered stronghold, that was all surrounded with glory.

The verse is found also in TA. (i. 27. 3-4), which again reads *hiranmayīm*, and *brahmā viviça* [so both ed's] (the accent has no authority, as it is full of faults in this vicinity; but the comm. explains *brahmā* as = *prajāpatiķ*: which also does not go for much). [TA. has further *vi*- for *pra*- at the beginning and ends with *-jitā* (which the comm. explains as *-jitām*).] Ppp. likewise has *hiranmayīm*; and further, in **d** *viviçā* ca parājitaķ.

L The quoted Anukr. says for this second hymn *tisrah* (i.e. 3 above the norm of 30). — Here ends the first *anuvāka*, with 2 hymns and 65 verses.]

# 3. With an amulet of varaná.

[Atharvan. — pañcavuhçakam. mantroktavaranadevatyam uta vānaspatyam; cāndramasam. ānustubham: 2, 3, 6. bhurik tristubh; 8, 13, 14. pathyāpañkti; 11, 16. bhurij; 15, 17–25. 6-p. jagatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 1-7, 9, 8, 10-13, 15, 14, 16, 17, 19, 22, 21, 20, 18, 24; 23 and 25 are wanting). Quoted (vs. 1) in Kāuç. 19. 22, with three other hymns, in connection with the binding on of amulets for welfare. Not noticed in Vāit.

Translated : Zimmer, p. 60 (17 vss.); Henry, 9, 53; Griffith, ii. 11; Bloomfield, 81, 605.

I. This *varaná* [is] my rival-destroying, virile (*visan*) amulet; with it do thou take hold of thy focs, slaughter thy injurers (*durasy*-).

The *varana* is a tree, the *Cratæva Roxburghii* found throughout India. The name comes doubtless from the root vr 'cover, protec, ward off'; and the hymn is full of allusions to a connection with that root;  $\lfloor c_n^{r} \rfloor$  the play in iv. 7. 1 and vi. 85. 1 ] Ppp. reads throughout *varuna*, which is also in flater Skt. recognized as a form of the tree-name.

2. Crush them, slaughter, take hold; be the amulet thy forerunner in front; the gods by the *varaņá* writden off the hostile practice (*abhyācārá*) of the Asuras from one morrow to another.

The comm. to Prāt. iii. 80 quotes the beginning of the verse as example of *ena* after *pra*. It is unnecessary to view, with the Anukr., the verse as redundant. Ppp. combines *te stu* in **b**.

3. This amulet, the *varand*, all-healing, thousand-eyed, yellow, golden — it shall make thy foes go downward; do thou, in front, damage them that hate thee.

Ppp. reads hiranmayan at end of b, and yas for sa at beginning of c. The verse is rather svarāj than bhurij.

4. This *varand* [shall ward off] the witchcraft extended for thee; this shall shield thee against fear arising from men, this against all evil.

Ppp. preserves unity of construction through the verse, by reading, for b, c: pāuruseyam ayam vadham: ayam te sarvam pāpmānam. 5. The varand, this divine forest-tree, shall ward off; the yáksma that has entered into this man — that have the gods warded off.

We had this verse above, as vi. 85. 1. The Anukr. takes no notice in either place of the deficient syllable in **a**. Ppp. reads here, for **b**, *idam devo brhaspatih*; and, for **c**, *yakṣmā pratiṣṭhā yo 'smin*; [and then *tam u* etc.].

6. If, having slept, thou shalt see an evil dream; if a wild beast (*mṛgá*) shall run a disagreeable course — from overmuch (? pari-) sneezing, from the evil utterance of a bird (*çakúni*), this amulet, the *varaṇá*, shall shield thee.

The translation implies in **b** emendation of *ydti* to *yddi*, which seems unavoidable. Ppp., however, appears to have *yati*; it reads further in **b** mrgacrutam and ajuștam, in **c** paricchavā,  $\lfloor$  and in **d** vārayātāi $\rfloor$ . The verse is included in the duhsvapnanāçana gaņa: see note to Kāuç. 46.9.

7. From the niggard, from perdition, from sorcery, also from fear, from the more violent deadly weapon of death, the *varaņá* shall shield thee.

Ppp. reads, for d, tvam varuno vāraya.

8. What sin my mother, what my father, and what my own brothers, what we ourselves have done, from that shall this divine forest-tree shield us.

Ppp. reads tasmat for tatas in **d**, and, for **e**, idam deva brhaspatik : compare its version of 5 **b**.

9. Driven (*vyath*) forth by the *varaņá*, my enemics (*bhrátrvya*) [who are my] kinsmen have gone unto unlighted (?*asúrta*) space (*rájas*); let them go to lowest darkness.

[Bloomfield discusses asturta, JAOS. xvi., p. clxii = PAOS. Dec. 1894.]

10. Unharmed [am] I, with unharmed kine, long-lived, having all my men; let this amulet, the *varand*, protect me, being such, from every quarter.

Ppp. reads in **b** -pāurusah (as usual, where pūr- and not pur- is meant).

11. This varaná on my breast, king, divine forest-tree — let it drive (bādh) away my foes, as Indra the barbarians, the Asuras.

The verse is quoted in the schol. to Kāuç. 10. 2. Ppp. combines *varuņo 'rasi*, as the meter requires, but as the Anukr. takes pains not to authorize. Ppp. also exchanges the second halves of vss. 11 and 12.

12. Lebear this varaná being long-lived, one of a hundred autumns; may it assign to me both kingdom and authority, to me cattle and force.

Ppp., as noted above, reads for the second half of this verse our 11 c, d, and *vice* versa.

13. As the wind breaks with force the trees, the forest-trees, so do thou break my rivals, those born before and after; let the *varaná* defend thee.

Ppp. reads *jīrņān* for *vṛkṣān* in **b**; and, in **c**, *-tnāns tvam bhandhi*. [With **d**, cf. the Ppp. vs. cited under iii. 6. 2.]

14. As both wind and fire devour  $(ps\bar{a})$  the trees, the forest-trees, so do thou devour my rivals, those born etc. etc.

Ppp. again relieves the redundancy of expression by reading sarvān instead of  $v_r k_s \bar{a}n$  in b; also it has in c -tnāns tvam for -tnān me.

15. As, destroyed by the wind, the trees lie prostrate (*nyàrpita*), so do thou destroy, prostrate my rivals, those born etc. etc.

Ppp. is quite corrupt in this verse, but does not appear to offer any variant. Prá ksinthi properly ought to be divided in our text.

16. Them, O varaņá, do thou cut off (pra-chid), before what is appointed (distá), before [the end of] their life-time — them who strive to damage him in respect to cattle, and who are intent to damage his kingdom.

Ppp. reads, for b, purā drstān parā "yuṣaḥ. [In c, pronounce yāt 'nam.]

17. As the sun shines exceedingly, as in it brilliancy is set, so let the *varand* amulet fix (*ni-yam*) in me fame [and] growth; let it sprinkle me with brilliancy; let it anoint me with glory.

Part of the mss. (P.M.D.) accent asmín in **b**. Ppp. reads, for **c** etc,  $ev\bar{a}$  sapatnāns tvain sarvān ati bhāhi syaçvo varuņas tvā 'bhi raksatu. [Either Mr. Whitney took me as locative (Gram. § 492 a); or else his 'in me' is an inadvertence for 'for me.']

18. As glory [is] in the moon, and in the men-beholding Aditya, so let the *varaná* amulet etc. etc.

From here on, Ppp. has the same refrain [as the Berlin text], only reading at the end  $m\bar{a}m$ .

19. As glory [is] in the earth, as in this Jātavedas, so let the varaņá amulet etc. etc.

20. As glory [is] in the maiden, as in this constructed (sámbhrta) chariot, so let the varaná amulet etc. etc.

21. As glory [is] in Soma-drink, as in honcy-mixture [is] glory, so let the *varaná* amulet etc. etc.

22. As glory [is] in the agnihotrá, as in the vásat-utterance [is] glory, so let the varaná amulet etc. etc.

All the mss. save P.M.O. have yaço 'gnihotre in **a**, and this is accordingly the bettersupported reading. 23. As glory [is] set in the sacrificer, as in this sacrifice, so let the *varand* amulet etc. etc.

Wanting in Ppp., as above noted.

24. As glory [is] in Prajāpati, as in this most exalted one, so let the *varaņá* amulet etc. etc.

Ppp. reads jātavedasi instead of paramesthini.

25. As in the gods [is] immortality (amíta), as in them is set truth, so let the varaná amulet etc. etc.

[The quoted Anukr. seems to say " varanāu " (intending varano?).]

## 4. Against snakes and their poison.

[Garutman. — şadıvinçatı. takşakadārvatam. ānuştubham 1. pathyāpaūkti; 2. 3-p. yavamadhyā gāyatrī; 3, 4. pathyābrhatī; 8. usņuggarbhā parātrustubh; 12. bhurug gāyatrī; 16. 3-p. pratusthā gāyatrī; 21. kakummatī; 23. trustubh; 26. 3-av. 6-p. brhatugarbhā kakummatī bhuruk trustubh.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. (with one or two changes of order: see below). Not noticed in Vāit. Quoted (vs. 1), as addressed to Takṣaka (king of the scrpentdivinities), in Kāuç. 32. 20, and also 139. 8, in the ceremonies of beginning Vedic study (see further under vss. 25, 26).

Translated : Ludwig, p. 502; Henry, 11, 56; Griffith, ii. 14; Bloomfield, 152, 605.

I. Indra's [was] the first chariot, the gods' the after chariot, Varuna's the third one; the snakes' chariot, the furthest one (?), hath run against the pillar: then may it come to harm (?).

There are very questionable points here; the translation of d implies emendation of apamada (p.  $apa \circ mada$ ) to apamadas; yet  $apa \cdot madas$  might perhaps be understood adverbially (like upamadas, p.  $upa \circ madas;$  twice in RV.). Ppp. reads upamadas here. The translation of the last clause implies the reading dthas risat, which is given by several mss. (P.M.I.K.) and by Ppp., and which the meter favors; but such variants as arisat for arsat are found elsewhere, and the ms. authority is decidedly in favor of arsat, as the pada-texts read (but Kp. ardvyat, by a curious blunder) — if only we knew what to make of it. No indicative form not an arise can be coordinated with arat.

2. Darbhá-grass, brightness, young shoot (?tarħņaka); horse's tail-tuft, rough-one's tail-tuft; chariot's seat (?bándhura).

The translation, of course, is only mechanical. [Henry, *Mém. de la Soc. de Ling.*, ix. 238, corrects an error of his version.] We should have expected the Anukr. at least to add *bhurij* to its definition of the verse as a *gāyatrī* (8 + 11:6 = 25). O. (and E. in margin) read *puruṣasya* in **b**. \*

3. Smite down, O white one, with the foot, both the fore and the hind; like water-floated wood, sapless [is] the snakes' poison, fierce water (var).

Ppp. puts the verse after our 4, and reads at the end  $v\bar{a}r$  id ugram. Part of our mss. (T.D.K.) read  $v\bar{a}r$ , accented, in both verses, and that seems most likely to be the true reading; the translation adopts it. [Pischel takes it as "halte auf," Ved. Stud.,

575

ii. 75.] The first half-verse is read in several grhya-sūtras (AGS. ii. 3. 3; PGS. ii. 14. 4; ÇGS. iv. 18; HGS. ii. 16. 8), as part of a verse in a charm against serpents; they all begin with apa instead of ava. [Cf. also MGS. ii. 7. 1 a.] The verse (8+8:8+8+3) would be more properly called uparistad brhatī. [Cf. xviii. 1. 32 n.]

4. The *aramghusd*, having immerged, having emerged, said again: like water-floated wood, sapless is the snake's poison, fierce water.

The *pada*-text divides  $aram_{g}hu_{s}h$  in **a**, and the Pet. Lexx. conjecture the meaning accordingly to be 'loud-sounding.' [Pischel discusses the vs., *Ved. Stud.*, ii. 74.] Ppp. is corrupt at the beginning, but seems to read *udanghojyonmajya punar* etc.; [again it ends with *vār id ugram*].

5. Pāidva slays the kasarņtla (snake), Pāidva the whitish and the black; Pāidva hath split altogether the head of the ratharvt, of the prdāk $\dot{n}$ .

Pāidva 'of Pedu' is the white snake-destroying horse given by the Açvins to Pedu (RV. i. 117–119). [Cf. Bergaigne, *Rel. Véd.* ii. 451.] For *kasarņīlam* Ppp. reads *kvasarṣņīlam*, and, for *ratharvyās*, *rathavrihā*. The exceptional accent of *prdākvāņ* is noted in the comm. to Prāt. iii. 60. The *pada*-text divides neither *kasarņīla* nor *ratharvī*.

6. Go forth first, O  $P\bar{a}idva$ ; we come after thee; cast thou out the snakes from the road by which we come.

7. Here was Pāidva born; this [is] his going-away; these [are] the tracks of the snake-slaying vigorous steed.

[For the difficult and debatable form *ahighnyo*, BR. and W. assume a stem *ahighnf*. This is probably to be considered, not as a feminine formation (cf. my *Noun-Inflection*, JAOS. x., p. 384), but rather as a masculine, like the masc. proper names *Tiraçcî* (l.c., p. 367 end), or, better, like the masculines *ahî*, *āpathî*, *prāvî*, *starî* etc. (about a dozen of them, l.c., p. 369, middle: genitive *ahyd* etc.). In the latter case we might regard the printed accent *ahighnyó*, when contrasted with the *ahyd* of the RV., as characteristic of the AV. (cf. l.c., p. 369 top): but both W's and SPP's authorities are here uncertain as to the accent: the majority have *ahighnyó*, p. *ahioghnyáh*; K. and three of SPP's have *ahighnyó*; while W's D. and SPP's P.<sup>2</sup> have *dhioghnyáh*.— Or have we, after all, to assume a stem *ahighní* (cf. *sahasraghní*, xi. 2. 12), of which this would be a genitive like *ary-ás*? — One wonders why the reading is not simply *ahighnó*; but not a ms., either of W's or of SPP's, gives that reading.— Cf. *atighnyás*, xi. 7. 16.]

8. What is shut together may it not open; what is opened may it not shut together; in this field [are] two snakes, both a female and a male; those [are] both sapless.

The first half-verse we had above as vi. 56. I c, d [see note for suggested emendation], also applied to a snake. The curiously irregular verse (7+7:8[7?]+11=33) is strangely defined by the Anukr.

9. Sapless here [are] the snakes, they that are near and they that are far; with a club (*ghaná*) I slay the stinger (*viçcika*), with a staff the snake that has come.

-x. 4

The second half-verse is found in a suppl. to RV. i. 191; see Aufrecht's RV.<sup>3</sup>, p. 672; instead of *ahim* is there read *aham*. Ppp. reads *ye nti te ca* in **b**; and all our mss. [save D., which has dti] leave *anti* unaccented (it is emended to *anti* in our text), as if by some carelessness  $ye^{t}nti$  had been changed to  $ye^{t}anti$ ; it is one of the strangest of the many strange blunders of the AV. text. [One might think that this vs. or one much like it was had in mind by Karna in his address to Çalya, MBh. viii. 40. 33 = 1848.]

10. This is the remedy of both, of the ill-horse  $(agh\bar{a}cva)$  and of the constrictor; the mischievous  $(agh\bar{a}y-)$  snake hath Indra, the snake hath Pāidva put in my power (randhay-).

The Anukr. takes no notice of any deficiency in **b**; it can only be supplied by the violent resolution *su-aj*. Ppp. rectifies the meter by the better reading *vrçcikasya ca* [cf. our 15 c, d, below].

11. We reverence Pāidva, the staunch one, of staunch abode (-dhāman); here behind sit pŕdākus, plotting forth.

Ppp. combines at the end -*dhyatā* "sate. The Anukr. treats **b** as regular, thus sanctioning the resolution -*dhā-ma-naḥ*.

12. Of lost lives, of lost poison [are they], slain by the thunderboltbearing Indra; Indra hath slain, we have slain.

13. Slain [are] the cross-lined ones, crushed down the *pridākus*; slay thou the whitish [snake] that makes a great hood, the black snake, in the *darbhá*-grasses.

'Hood,' dárwi, lit. 'spoon.' Ppp. reads in c kanikradam. [The first half recurs as the second of vs. 20.]

14. The little girl of the Kirātas, she the little one, digs a remedy, with golden shovels, upon the ridges (s dnu) of the mountains.

15. Hither hath come the young physician, slayer of the spotted ones, unconquered; he verily is a grinder-up of both, the constrictor and the stinger.

16. Indra hath put the snake in my power, [also] both Mitra and Varuna, and Vāta ('wind') and Parjanya, both of them.

The name given by the Anukr. to the verse is of uncertain value; it is possible to read the last pāda either as 8 or as 6 syllables. Ppp. reads in **a** me 'hīn ajambhayat. Many of our mss. (P.I.O.R.T.K.) [and the majority of SPP's] read in **c**-janyd 'bhā, but it is contrary to all rule and analogy; [and W's Bp. and SPP's pada-text give -janyà ubhā].

17. Indra hath put the snake in my power, the  $p\dot{r}d\bar{a}ku$  and the shepr $d\bar{a}k\dot{u}$ , the constrictor, the cross-lined one, the kasarnila, the dáçonasi.

The accent prdakvam (instead of -kvam) is read by all the mss., and hence by our text; but it is incontestably wrong. The Anukr. takes no notice of the lacking syllable in c. Ppp. reads [for a]  $p\bar{a}idvo$  me hin ajambhayat, and [for d] kuçirnīlam naçonaçīm.

18. Indra hath slain first thy progenitor, O snake; of them, being shattered, what forsooth can be their sap?

Ppp. reads vas instead of u in c.

19. Since I have grasped together their heads, as a fisherman the *kárvara*; having gone away to the middle of the river, I have washed out the snake's poison.

The mss. do not in general distinguish st and sth, and  $p\bar{a}u\bar{n}jista$  would be equally correct here. Ppp. reads  $p\bar{a}u\bar{n}jisth\bar{i}$  'va

20. The poison of all snakes let the rivers carry away; slain [are] the cross-lined ones, crushed down the  $p\dot{r}d\bar{a}kus$ .

21. I choose as it were the filaments of herbs successfully; I conduct as it were mares; O snake, let thy poison come out.

Apparently processes analogous to that of extracting the poison are referred to. The *pada*-division  $s\bar{a}dhu\circ y\bar{a}$  is prescribed by Prāt. iv. 30. There seems to be no reason why the Anukr. should call the verse *kakummatī*.

22. What poison is in fire, in the sun, what in the earth, in herbs, kāndā-poison, kanáknaka — let thy poison come out; let it come.

Ppp. has karikradam [cf. vs. 13] instead of kanaknakam, and at the end vahī [intending ahe?] instead of vișam; and it puts next our vs. 25.

23. Whichever of the snakes [are] fire-born, herb-born, whichever came hither  $(\bar{a} \cdot bh\bar{u})$  [as] water-born lightnings; those of which the kinds are variously great — to those serpents would we pay worship with reverence.

Ppp. reads, for **b** etc., ye abhrajā vidyutā "babhūvuh : tāsām jātāni bahudhā bahūni tebhyah sarvebhyo etc.

24. Thou art a girl,  $t\bar{a}id\bar{i}$  by name; verily thou art by name ghee-like  $(ghrt \hbar c\bar{i})$ ; I take beneath thy poison-spoiling track.

That is, possibly, 'I put it beneath me, walk in it.' The obscure  $t\bar{a}ud\bar{a}$  (ultimately from *tud* 'thrust'?) is read also by Ppp., which combines  $v\bar{a}$  'si in **b**, and has the easier reading *pados* for *padam* in **c**.

25. Remove thou [it] from every limb; make [it] avoid the heart; then, what keenness (tcjas) the poison has, let that go downward for thee.

Ppp. reads hrdayo in **b**, and combines tejo av- in **c**, **d**. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 32. 23.

26. He (it?) hath come to be afar; he hath obstructed the poison; he hath mixed poison in poison; Agni hath put out the snake's poison; Soma hath conducted [it] out; the poison hath gone after the biter; the snake hath died.

Ppp. reads (corruptly) äre bhūd vișam aro vișe vișam aprayāg api : agnir aher nir adhād vișam somo anrnāih dvișam ahīr amrtah. Kāuç. prescribes the use of the verse in 32. 24. [With the ideas of **b** and **e**, cf. vii. 88. I. With reference to the auto-toxic action of snake-venoms, see note to v. 13. 4.]

[Here ends the second *anuvāka*, with 2 hymns and 51 verses. The quoted Anukr. says "*indrasya prathamaķ*" (see vs. 1).]

### 5. Preparation and use of water-thunderbolts.

[The hymn is mingled prose and verse: 22-24, 42-43, 45-50, and parts of 7-14 and 36-41 are metrical. Cf. Whitney, *Index*, p. 5.] This hymn, which by the mss. is given and numbered as one,\* without any intimation of a subdivision. is by the Anukr. divided into four † parts, which are even ascribed to different authors. [Part A = verses 1-24; B = 25-35; C = 37-41; D = 42-50.] Verses 1-41, or the first three divisions, are found also in Pāipp. xvi.; part of the last division (vss. 45, 42 c, d, 43, 44, in this order), in Pāipp. i. — ["Water-thunderbolts" appears to me to be nothing more than a highfalutin name, well befitting the black magic of this hymn, for handfuls of water hurled with much hocus-pocus.]

\*| Bp. does indeed begin anew at vs. 41 (not vs. 42 !) to number the verses as 1 etc. |

 $\dagger$ [Just where vs. 36 belongs — if it does not form a division by itself — is not clear; it goes well as an ending to the group of vss. 25-35 and is cited with them (Keçava, p. 352<sup>31</sup>: *iti dvādaçabhuh*). On the other hand, the Anukr. expressly defines division B as *ekādaça*; and the corrupt *mārtvi* or *mārtvi* of the Anukr. seems to contain an ascription of authorship for vs. 36. Dr. Ryder suggests that *Mārīca* may be intended (cf. vii. 62, 63; x. 10). See my arrangement of the Anukr. extracts just before vs. 25.]

[A carefully digested report of the ritual uses of this hymn, even now that Caland has done so much to elucidate them, would require more detailed study than I can at present give to it. Vāit. takes no notice of the hymn. The principal uses are treated in Kāuç. 49. I give them, following Caland, *Altindusches Zauberritual*, p. 171 f. — With the first halves of vss. I-6 the performer washes the jar for the water; with the second halves of vss. I-6 he begins to make use of (*yunakti*) the water (49.3, 4). With vss. 7-I4 he heats a part of it (see Keçava, p. 352<sup>19</sup>); and with the seven vss. I5-2I and with vs. 42 and vs. 50 he hurls "water-bolts" (49. I3). This last is done seven times (Caland, p. 172, n. 6): namely, to the east, with vss. I5, 42, 50; to the south, with vss. I6, 42, 50; to the west, with vss. 17, 42, 50; and so on, to the north, nadir, center, and zenith. — With vss. 25-36 he makes his Viṣṇu-strides (49. I4) against the foc. — Other citations under the verses. ]

Translated : Henry, 14, 62; Griffith, ii. 18.

I. Indra's force are ye; Indra's power are ye; Indra's strength are ye; Indra's heroism are ye; Indra's manliness are ye; unto a conquering junction ( $y \delta g a$ ) with *brahman*-junctions I join you.

The *pada*-text marks a pāda-division after each *stha*; but the Anukr. lumps all [up to the *avasāna*-mark] together as an *abhikṛti*-pāda (25 syll.), and reckons the whole verse (25:6+8=39) mechanically as a *paākti*, because it contains nearly 40 syllables. Ppp. has in succession *balam*, *nṛmṇam*, *çuklam*, *vīryam*, and in c, *indrayogāis*.

<sup>[</sup>A. (vss. 1–24). Sindhudvīpa. — caturvinçati. āpyam uta cāndramasam. ānustubham: 1–5. 3-p. puro'bhikrti kakummatīgarbhā paūkti; 6. 4-p. jagatīgarbhā jagati; 7–14. 3-av. 5-p. viparītapādalaksmī brhatī (11, 14. pathyāpaūkti); 15–21. 4-av. 10-p. trāistubhagarbhā 'tidhrti (19, 20. krti); 24. 3-p. virād gāyatrī.]

[Render: 'for a use conducive to victory, with uses of incantation [or with masterly uses] [or with Brahman uses] I use you.' In brahma- I am inclined to see a triple *çleşa*, the second sense being like that in the title Brahma-jāla-sutta (of the Dīgha-nikāya), 'the boss-net, the master-net.' In the first and second senses, brahma- is pertinent: not so in the sense of Brahman, in which last, however, it serves well enough for a point of departure for ksatram, considering what black magic this is.]

2. Indra's force etc. etc.; unto a conquering junction, with kṣatrájunctions I join you.

The connection of vss. I and 2 indicates that *bråhman* and *ksatrå*, as often elsewhere, typify the Brahman and Kshatriya classes or castes.

3. Indra's force etc. etc.; unto a conquering junction, with Indrajunctions I join you.

Ppp. reads in c annayogāis.

4. Indra's force etc. etc.; unto a conquering junction, with Somajunctions I join you.

Ppp. has this time brahmayogāis.

5. Indra's force etc. etc.; unto a conquering junction, with waterjunctions I join you.

Ppp. reads apām yogāis.

6. Indra's force etc. etc.; unto a conquering junction; let all existences wait upon  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$  me; joined to me are ye, O waters.

The Anukr. quotes this verse by the first words that are peculiar to it, viz. viçuāni mā, but its description applies to the whole (25:6+11+6=48); probably jagatīgarbhā is an oversight for tristubgarbhā. The Kāuç, quotes the common pratika of the six verses at 49.3, in a witchcraft-ceremony; and their common second part (jignave yogāya) at 49.4, to accompany the 'joining of waters' (ity apo yunakti). According to the editor of Kāuç., vss. 6 and 7 are quoted also in 49.24, 25; but it does not appear why the 'sixth' and 'seventh' verses of this hymn should be intended. [Caland, p. 173, in fact understands xiii. 3. 6, 7 as intended.] According to the comm. to Kāuç. 47.31, these verses, with vss. 15-21, 42, 50, accompany the hurling of 'water-thunderbolts' (udavajra: cf. vs. 50 below), whatever those may be; it is perhaps their preparation that is the subject of these verses; in Kāuç. 49.13, only vss. 15-21, 42, 50 are quoted together, in connection with the same [cf. the introduction]. [See above, p. lxxvi.]

7. Agni's portion are ye, sperm (?*çukrám*) of the waters, O heavenly waters; put ye splendor in us; with the ordinance (*dháman*) of Prajāpati I set you for this world.

Ppp. reads devir āpo.

- 8. Indra's portion are ye, sperm of the etc. etc.
- 9. Soma's portion are ye, sperm of the etc. etc.
- 10. Varuna's portion are ye, sperm of the etc. etc.
- 11. Mitra-and-Varuna's portion are ye, sperm of the etc. etc.

12. Yama's portion are ye, sperm of the etc. etc.

13. The Fathers' portion are ye, sperm of the etc. etc.

14. God Savitar's portion are ye, sperm of the etc. etc.

In these verses, Pāipp. makes 9 and 10, also 11 and 12, change places. After our 13 it inserts two more verses, beginning *brhaspater* and *prajāpater*; and in our 14 it omits *devasya*, and reads *çuklam devīr āpo*. To read *dhattana* for *dhatta* and pronounce *dhāmanā* would make a regular *anustubh* of the refrain. The Anukr., as usual, gives no real description of the agglomeration, but calls 11 and 14 *paūkti* because they count up 40 syllables (9:8+7:8+8=40), and the others *brhatī* because they have nearly 36 syllables. As to the alleged quotation of vs. 7 in Kāuç. 49. 25, see above, note to vs. 6.

15. What of you, O waters, is the portion of waters within the waters, of the nature of sacrificial formula, sacrificing to the gods, that now I let go; that let me not wash down against myself; that do we let go against him who hates us, whom we hate; him may I slay (radh), him may I lay low, with this spell (*bráhman*), with this act, with this weapon (meni).

[Render **c**: 'therewith (i.e.  $ap\bar{a}m \ bh\bar{a}gena = udavajrena$ ) do we let fly against (*abhy-ati-srj*) him or do we shoot against him who' etc.; i.e. *ati-srj* is used intransitively and "him" is governed by the *abhi-.*] [Pādas **b**, **c** are repeated below as xvi. 1. 4, 5.] At the beginning of **c** read *tina* (accent-sign slipped out of place).

16. What of you, O waters, is the wave of the waters within the waters, etc. etc.

17. What of you, O waters, is the young  $(vats \dot{a})$  of the waters within the waters, etc. etc.

18. What of you, O waters, is the bull of the waters within the waters etc.

19. What of you, O waters, is the golden embryo of the waters within the waters, etc. etc.

20. What of you, O waters, is the heavenly spotted stone of the waters within the waters, etc. etc.

21. What of you, O waters, are the fires of the waters within the waters, of the nature of sacrificial formula, sacrificing to the gods, them now I let go; them let me not wash down against myself; them we let go against him who hates us, etc. etc.

[For c: 'with them do we let fly against him who' etc., as in vs. 15.] In vss. 17-21, after *apām*, Ppp. reads *bindur*, *vego*, *vatso*, *gāvo*, *garbho* respectively. For the quotations in Kāuç. of the common *pratīka* of vss. 15-21, together with those of vss. 42 and 50, [see the introduction]. In all the verses it is possible only by violence to make out the structure called for by the Anukramaņī. [Delete the accent-mark over *agndyo*.]

22. What untruth soever we have spoken since a three years' period, — let the waters protect me from all that difficulty, from distress. We had the second half-verse as vii. 64. I c, d; and Ppp. has again the same variants as there; it also reads in a  $\bar{a}ikah\bar{a}yan\bar{a}t$ . The word  $tr\bar{a}ih\bar{a}yan\bar{a}t$  (p.  $tr\bar{a}ih\bar{a}yan\bar{a}t$ ) is noted in Prāt. iv. 83. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 46. 50 in a *prāyaçcitta* ceremony [Keç.: for lying or cheating]; and it is reckoned (see note to Kāuç. 32. 27) as belonging to the *aiholiāga gaņa*. The Anukr. does not heed the redundant syllable in **a**.

23. I send you forth to the ocean; go ye unto your own lair; uninjured, of completed years (?); and let nothing whatever ail (am) us.

The third pāda apparently belongs to 'us,' though out of construction. [As to -hāyas, cf. viii. 2. 7, note, and Bergaigne, Rel. Véd., iii. 287.] The last pāda occurred above, as vi. 57. 3 b. The first two pādas, with the first word of the third, are found also in several sūtras :  $\zeta \zeta S$ . iv. 11. 6; L $\zeta S$ . ii. 1. 7; PGS. i. 3. 14; A $\zeta S$ . iii. 11. 6; Åp. xiii. 18. 1; iv. 14. 4; the first three read in b abhi gacchata, the others api gacchata (and Åp. iv. 14. 4 has acchidrah instead of aristāh); the end of the verse is entirely different from ours, and more or less discordant in the various works. [See also MGS. ii. 11. 18 and the Index, p. 157.] Kāuç. quotes the verse at 6. 17, in a parvan ceremony; and with vs. 24, at 136. 6. Ppp. reads in a vo 'pasrjāmi [and inverts the order of vss. 23 and 24].

24. Free from defilement (-*riprá*) [are] the waters; [let them carry] away from us defilement, forth from us sin, mishap (*duritá*), they of good aspect; let them carry forth cvil dreaming, forth filth.

The verse is in part repeated below, as xvi. 1. 10, 11.

[B<sup>1</sup>. (v95. 25-35). Kāuçıka. — ekādaça. vışņukramadevatyā uta pratımantroktadevatyāh. 25-35. 3-av. 6-p. yathākşaram çakvaryatıçakvarī.]

[B2. (vs. 36). Mārtvī (?). - 5-p. atıçākvarātıjāgatagarbhā 'sti. See introduction.]

25. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, earth-sharpened (-sámçita), Agni-brightened; after earth I stride out; from earth we disportion him who hates us, whom we hate; let him not live; him let breath quit.

The Päipp. version of sections B. and C. agrees with that of our text with only trifling differences; the details are not furnished. It is not difficult to read this and the following verses of B. into cakvari and aticakvari verses, as required by the Anukr. (this, for example, as 10 + 10:9 + 8:9 + 10 = 56). The whole section, apparently, is quoted by its common *pratīka* in Kāuç. 6. 14, to accompany the taking of the Vișnu strides in a *parvan* ceremony; and again in 49. 14 [after hurling the water-bolts: cf. introd.]. [Cf. vii. 31. 1 d.]

26. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, atmosphere-sharpened, Vāyu-brightened; after atmosphere I stride out; from atmosphere we disportion him who etc. etc.

27. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, sky-sharpened, sun-brightened; after the sky I stride out; from the sky we disportion him who etc. etc.

A single ms. (R.) reads dyāúhsamçitah.

28. Vishnu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, quarter-sharpened, mindbrightened; after the quarters I stride out; from the quarters we disportion him who etc. etc. 29. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, region-sharpened, windbrightened; after the regions I stride out; from the regions we disportion him who etc. etc.

30. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, verse-(ic)sharpened, chant-(sāman-)brightened; after the verses I stride out; from the verses we disportion him who etc. etc.

Some of the mss. (E.s.m.R.K.) read in a -hā rks-.

31. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, sacrifice-sharpened, bráhman-brightened; after the sacrifice I stride out; from the sacrifice we disportion him who etc. etc.

32. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, herb-sharpened, sómabrightened; after the herbs I stride out; from the herbs we disportion him who etc. etc.

Read in **b** krame (an accent-sign slipped out of place).

33. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, water-sharpened, Varuņabrightened; after the waters I stride out; from the waters we disportion him who etc. etc.

Read  $ap\delta$  at beginning of **b** (an accent-sign slipped out of place).

34. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, plowing-sharpened, foodbrightened; after plowing I stride out; from plowing we disportion him who etc. etc.

35. Vishņu's stride art thou, rival-slaying, breath-sharpened, man-(piirusa)brightened; after breath I stride out; from breath we disportion him who etc. etc.

[Correct the edition: read -samçitah for -saçitah.]

36. Ours [is] what is conquered, ours what has shot up; I have withstood  $(abhi-sth\bar{a})$  all fighters, niggards; now do I involve (ni-vest) the splendor, brightness, breath, life-time of him of such-and-such lineage, son of such-and-such mother; now do I make him fall (pad) downward.

[As to the place of this vs. in the general divisions of the hymn and its possible ascription to Mārīca, see the introd. and the Anukr. excerpts above.] With this vs. compare xvi. 8. 1; [also the *mantra* cited at Kāuç. 47. 22]. The vs. reads naturally as 62 syllables (11 + 11 : 15 + 13 + 12 = 62), but can be brought by forced resolutions up to a full *aṣți* (64 syll.). Abhy aṣṭhām is by Prāt. ii. 92.

[C. (vss. 37-41). Brahman. — pañca. pratimantroktadevatyāh. 37. virāļ purastādbrhatī; 38. purausņih; 39, 41. ārsī gāyatrī; 40. virād visamā gāyatrī]

, 37. I turn after the sun's turn (avit), after his turn to the right; let it yield (yaw) me property; [let] it [yield] me Brahman-splendor.

The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 6. 15, in a *parvan* ceremony, accompanying a turn to the right (vss. 25-35 were quoted in the next preceding rule). [Cf. also MB. i. 6. 19, where the comm. cites also GGS. ii. 10. 27.]

38. I turn toward the quarters full of light; let them yield me property, let them etc. etc.

The metrical description of the Anukr. does not fit the verse (11:8+8) quite accurately. The resolution *abhi-åv*- is implied in all these verses.

39. I turn toward the seven seers; let them yield etc. etc.

40. I turn toward the bråhman ; let it yield etc. etc.

41. I turn toward the Brahmans; let them yield etc. etc.

[D. (vss. 42-50). Vihavya. — navarcam. prājāpatyam. ānustubham : 44. 3-p. gāyatragarbhā 'nustubh (?); 50. tristubh.]

42. Whom we hunt, him will we lay low with deadly weapons; by our spell (*bráhman*) have we made him fall (pad) into the opened mouth of the most exalted one.

Only the latter half-verse is found in Ppp. [namely, in i.]. The *pada*-text in **d** reads *brahmanā*:  $\dot{a}$ : *apīp*. The quotation of the verse, with vss. 15-21, 50, in Kāuç. was noted above, [see introd.].

43. The missile hath closed upon him with the two tusks of Vāiçvānara; let this offering (ahuti) devour him, the very powerful divine fuel.

Ppp. reads samvatsarasya instead of vāiçvānarasya.

44. King Varuna's bond art thou; do thou bind so-and-so, of such-andsuch lineage, son of such-and-such mother, in food, in breath.

There is apparently something wrong, perhaps an omission, in the text of the Anukr. at this point; it reads *tripād gāyatragarbhā st anustubh*, and then passes to vs. 50, taking no notice of vss. 48, 49 (which are redundant *tristubhs*: but see the note to vs. 49), [nor of vs. 47]. Our present verse (prose) reads most naturally as 10:12+7=29 syllables.

45. What food of thine, O Lord of earth (bht), dwells upon the earth (prthint) — of that, O lord of earth, do thou furnish unto us, O Prajāpati.

The Anukr. implies the contraction of *ksiyati* in **b** to *ksyati* (cf. above, 2. 22, 23). Ppp. Lin i. ] puts this verse before our vs. 42.

46. The heavenly waters have I honored; with sap have we been mingled; rich in milk, O Agni, have I come; unite me here with splendor.

47. Unite me, O Agni, with splendor, with progeny, with life-time; may the gods know me as such; may Indra know, together with the seers.

These two verses we had above, as vii. 89. 1, 2. Neither they nor the two that follow are found in Ppp. here.

48. What, O Agni, the pair utter in curses today, what harshness of speech the reciters produce: the shaft that is born of fury of the mind — with that pierce thou the sorcerers in the heart.

49. Crush away the sorcerers with heat; crush away, O Agni, the demon with flame; crush away with burning the false worshipers; crush away the greatly gleaming ones that feed on lives.

These two verses are viii. 3. 12, 13. As usual in such a case, only the first words are given here in the mss. (both *pada* and *samhitā*): thus, *yád agna iti dvé*. Unfortunately it was overlooked by us that *yád agne* begins not only viii. 3. 12, but also vii. 61. 1; and, though both passages fit about equally ill into the connection here, yet the meter of vii. 61. 1, 2, being *anuṣtubh*, implies a less oversight on the part of the Anukr., and, on the whole, the chance is in favor of the latter passage (vii. 61. 1, 2) being the one here intended. If in any one of the mss. accessible to us since the publication of the text there occurs anything to settle the question, it has been overlooked by us. [SPP. fills out the *pratika* with viii. 3. 12, 13; but herein he may merely have followed the Berlin edition.]

50. I, knowing, hurl at this man, to split his head, the four-pointed (-bhrsti) thunderbolt of the waters; let it crush all his limbs: to this on my part let all the gods assent.

The Anukr., strictly understood, implies the resolution va-jr-am in **a**. For the quotations of the verse, with other verses of the hymn, by Kāuç., see above [introduction].

[The hymn exceeds the norm by 20 verses and the quoted Anukr. says vinçatih.]

[Here ends the twenty-second prapāļhaka.]

### 6. With an amulet.

[Brhaspati. — pañcatrinçat. mantroktaphālamaņudevatyam uta vānaspatyam. [3. āpyā.] ānustubham : 1, 4, 21. gāyatrī; 5. 6-p. jagatī; 6. 7-p. virāt çakvarī; 7-10. 3-av. 8-p. asti (10. 9-p. dhrtu) : 11, 20, 23-27. pathyāpaūktu; 12-17. 3-av. 7-p. çakvarī; 31. 3-av. 6-p. jagatī; 35. 5-p. tryanustubgarbhā jagatī.]

Found also in great part (not vss. 18, 19, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 33, 35) in Pāipp. xvi. A number of verses and parts of verses are prescribed in Kāuç. 19 [and its schol.] to be used in various acts of a ceremony for prosperity, and a few in other connections. Verses 1 and 3 are also used in Vāit. [For details, see under the several verses.]

Translated : Henry, 18, 65; Griffith, ii. 21; Bloomfield, 84, 608.

1. The head of the niggardly (arativi) cousin, of the evil-hearted hater, I cut off with force.

The hymn (vs. 1) is quoted in Kāuç. 19. 22, with 3 and a couple of yet earlier hymns. At 8. 12, also, the verse is used in connection with the preparation of the *darbha*-sickle. Further, it is reckoned (note to Kāuç. 19. 1) as a *pustika mantra*. In Vāit. 10. 2, it accompanies the cutting of a sacrificial post.

2. This amulet, born of the plow-share, shall make defense (várman) for me; it hath come to me filled with stir-about, with sap, together with splendor.

Ppp. reads *trptas* instead of *pūrņas* in c. [Pāda b is cited with vss. 1, 4 c, 6 b in the schol. to Kāuç. 19. 23.]

3. In that the skilful smith (taksan) hath smitten thee away with the hand by a knife, from that let the lively, bright (*cici*) waters purify thee, that art bright.

Ppp. has in **b**  $v\bar{a}cy\bar{a}$ , which is the more proper form of the word. But  $v\bar{a}sy\bar{a}$  is read also in the Ap. (vii. 9. 9) version of the verse, which further has te for  $tv\bar{a}$  in **a**, and, for **c**, **d**,  $\bar{a}pas$  tat sarvam jīvalāh çundhantu çucayah çucim. In Kāuç. 8. 13 and Vāit. 10. 3, the verse is used to accompany the washing off of an instrument or post.

4. Let this golden-garlanded amulet, bestowing  $(dh\bar{a})$  faith, sacrifice, greatness, dwell a guest in our house.

[For Dārila's citation of c, see under vs. 2.]

5. To it we distribute (*kşad*) ghee, strong drink, honey, food after food; for us, as a father for his sons, let it provide (*cikits*-) what is better and better, more and more, morrow after morrow — the amulet, coming from the gods.

Ppp. omits the fifth pāda. By a curious blunder, most of our mss. (all save I.O.D.) leave *surām* in a unaccented; [and so do four of SPP's].

6. What amulet, plow-share, ghce-dripping, the formidable *khadirá*, Brihaspati bound on, in order to force — that Agni fastened on; it yields (duk) to him sacrificial butter, more and more, morrow after morrow; with that do thou slay thy haters.

The series of epithets in **b**, **c** is an obscure one; perhaps 'made of *khadira*-wood and shaped like a plow-share,' is meant; the comm. to Kāuç. 19. 23 says *khādiryāç cibukāyāḥ kartavyaḥ*. Ppp. reads after **d**  $\bar{a}_{jy}\bar{a}_{ya}$  rasāya kam: so 'smā ājyaṁ duhe. There is no reason why the Anukr. should call the verse *virāj*.

7. What amulet etc. etc. — that Indra fastened on, in order to force, to heroism; it yields to him strength, more and more etc. etc.

8. What amulet etc. etc. — that Soma fastened on, in order to great hearing (*crótra*) [and] sight (*cákṣas*); it yields to him splendor, more and more etc. etc.

9. What amulet etc. etc. — that the sun fastened on; therewith he conquered these quarters; it yields to him growth  $(bh\dot{n}ti)$ , more and more etc. etc.

Ppp. has Soma in this verse, and the sun in the preceding one; and here it reads *varcas* for *bhūtim*; for 8 e it has *draviņāya rasāya kam*; and, for *varcas, mahit* (?).

10. What amulet etc. etc. — bearing that amulet, the moon conquered the strongholds of the Asuras, the golden [strongholds] of the Dānavas; it yields to him fortune, more and more etc. etc.

Ppp. reads tejas for çriyam.

11. What amulet Brihaspati bound on for the swift wind, that yields him vigor (vdjina), more and more etc. etc.

A number of our mss. (I.O.R.D.) read  $v\bar{a}jinam$  in c. [So do the great majority of SPP's, and he adopts it in his text. But four of his read  $v\bar{a}jinam$ .] In this batch of verses (11-17) Ppp. has sundry unimportant exchanges and variants; the details are not given.

12. What amulet Brihaspati bound on for the swift wind, with that amulet the Açvins defend this plowing (krsi); it yields for the two physicians greatness, more and more etc. etc.

13. What amulet Brihaspati bound on for the swift wind, Savitar, bearing that amulet, conquered with it this heaven (svar); it yields to him pleasantness  $(s\bar{u}n\bar{r}t\bar{a})$ , more and more etc. etc.

14. What amulet Brihaspati bound on for the swift wind, bearing that amulet the waters run always unexhausted; it yields to them immortality  $(am\tilde{r}ta)$ , more and more etc. etc.

15. What amulet Brihaspati bound on for the swift wind, that healthful amulet king Varuņa fastened on; it yields to him truth, more and more etc. etc.

16. What amulet Brihaspati bound on for the swift wind, bearing that amulet, the gods conquered by fight all worlds; it yields to them conquest, more and more etc. etc.

The pada-text resolves yudhajayan erroneously into yudha djayan (instead of aj-).

17. What amulet Brihaspati bound on for the swift wind, that healthful amulet here the deities fastened on; it yields to them everything, more and more etc. etc.

Part of our mss. (Bp.P.W.I.D.K.) [and a great majority of SPP's] read *amuñcata* in **d**. [The error has doubtless crept in by confusion with the oft repeated *abadhnata* below and perhaps with the *amuñcata* of vs. 15. Cf. my note to vi. 74. 2.]

18. The seasons bound it on; they of the seasons bound it on; the year, having bound it on; defends all existence.

As noted above, this verse and the one following are wanting in Ppp.

19. The intermediate quarters bound on; the directions bound it on; the amulet created by Prajāpati hath made my haters beneath me (*ádhara*).

20. The Atharvans bound on; the descendants of Atharvan bound on; allied (*medin*) with them, the Angirases split the strongholds of the barbarians; with it do thou slay thy haters.

21. Dhātar fastened it on; he disposed (vi-k!p) [all] existence; with it do thou slay thy haters.

Ppp. reads in b subhūtāny akalpayat.

22. What [amulet] Brihaspati bound on for the gods, a destruction of Asuras — that amulet hath come here to me, together with sap, with splendor.

Ppp. reads in b -ksatim, and substitutes for c, d our 23 c, d (23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 30, 33, 35 being wanting in Ppp.).

23. What [amulet] etc. etc., together with kine, with goats and sheep, together with food, with progeny.

24. What [amulet] etc. etc., together with rice and barley, together with greatness, growth.

25. What [amulet] etc. etc., with a stream of honey, of ghee, together with sweet drink — the amulet.

26. What [amulet] etc. etc., together with refreshment, with milk, together with property, with fortune.

27. What [amulet] etc. etc., together with brightness, with brilliance, together with glory, with fame.

The mss. vary greatly as to the accent of  $k\bar{i}rty\bar{a}$ , only D. having the correct  $k\bar{i}rty\bar{a}$ ; P.M.T. have  $k\bar{i}rty\bar{a}$ , the rest  $k\bar{i}rty\bar{a}$ . [Cf. JAOS. x. 381. Correct the Berlin edition, and also that of SPP., who has  $k\bar{i}rty\bar{a}$ , against the majority of his authorities.]

28. What [amulet] etc. etc., together with all growths. Ppp. reads ojasā tejasā saha.

This verse and the one following are quoted in Kāuç. 19.25, in connection with earlier quotations from this same hymn; [the second pāda of this verse further in the schol. to 19.22].

30. Together with *bráhman*, with brightness, I fasten on myself the propitious one; free from rivals, rival-slaying, it hath made my rivals beneath me.

Besides the quotation in Kāuç. 19. 25 (see just above), this verse is used in the comm. to Kāuç. 26. 40. *Muācāsi* in **b** is a misprint for *muācāmi*.

31. Let this god-born amulet make me superior to my hater; whose milked-out milk these three worlds worship, let that amulet mount here upon me, in order to supremacy, at the head.

That is, probably, 'mount upon my head.' According to Prāt. ii. 65, we ought to read *manis kr-*; [this is the reading of three of SPP's mss., but of none of W's so far as noted: both texts give *manih*]. The pāda sa mā 'yam adhi rohatu (31 e, 32 c) is quoted in the comm. to Kāuç. 19. 25. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in **a**. Ppp. reads, for **e**, sa tvā 'yam abhi rakṣatu.

32. What gods, Fathers, men, always subsist upon, let that amulet mount here upon me, in order to supremacy, at the head.

The Anukr. passes without notice the redundant syllable in a.

33. As seed in a cultivated field  $(urv dr\bar{a})$  grows up in what is dragged with the plow-share, so in me let progeny, cattle, food upon food, grow up.

The Anukr. seems to read c, d as 9 + 7 syllables.

34. On whom, O sacrifice-increasing amulet, I have fastened thee, propitious, him do thou quicken unto supremacy, O amulet of a hundred sacrificial gifts.

[Cf. Bloomfield, AJP. xvii. 409.]

35. This fuel, laid on together, do thou, O Agni, enjoying, welcome with oblations; in him may we find favor, welfare, progeny, sight, cattle — in Jātavedas kindled with worship (*bráhman*).

Some of our mss. (R.T.p.m.D.) read *agne* without accent, and this is decidedly preferable, since a pāda-division before *juṣāuás* gives an *anuṣtubh* pāda followed by a *triṣtubh*, while one after the same word gives a *triṣtubh* followed by an irregular combination of syllables. The *pada*-text puts its mark of pāda-division after *juṣāuas*, to correspond with its accentuation of *dgne*. [Of SPP's authorities, only four have *agne* against nine with *dgne*, and his text adopts the latter reading.] The concluding division is hopelessly unmetrical. The Anukr. intends us to divide 8 + 11 (or 11 + 8):8 + 8 + 11 = 46, a *virād jagatī*. The verse is thrice quoted in Kāuç. (2.41; 19.24; 137.30) to accompany the piling of fuel on the fire. It is wanting in Ppp.

[The quoted Anukr. says for this sixth hymn panca (i.e. 5 over 30). — Here ends the third *anuvāka*, with 2 hymns and 85 verses.]

# 7. Mystic: on the skambhá or frame of creation.

[Atharvan (kşudra). — catuçcatvārinçat. mantroktaskambhādhyātmadevatyam. trāistubham \*\* 1. virād jagatī; 2, 8 bhurij; 7, 13. parosņuh; 10, 14, 16, 18, 19. uparistād brhatī; 11, 12, 15, 20, 22, 39. uparistājjyotirjagatī; 17. 3-av. 6-p. jagatī; 21. brhatīgarbhā 'nustubh; 23-30, 37, 40. anustubh; 31. madhyejyotirjagatī; 32, 34, 36. uparistādvirād brhatī; 33. paravirād anustubh; 35. 4-p. jagatī; 38, 42, 43. tristubh \*; 41. āršī 3-p. gāyatrī; 44. ārcy anustubh (1) †.]

Found also (except vss. 13, 42-44) in Pāipp. xvii. (with slight differences of order, noted under the verses). Neither Kāuç. nor Vāit. takes any notice of the hymn. — \*[lf the hymn is *trāistubham*, why are these verses specified? see note to 38.] \*[As to the Anukr's description of this verse, see under the verse.]

Translated: Muir, v. 380-384 (vss. 1-41); Ludwig, p. 400; Scherman, p. 50 (vss. 1-41: with comment); Deussen, *Geschichte*, i. 1. 310 (sympathetic interpretation and useful introduction); Henry, 22, 68; Griffith, ii. 26. — As to the appearance of Brahm as a new conception, as a *Wunderding* (*yaksá*: vs. 38), cf. the Kena Upanishad, § 3, vss. 14-25, and Deussen's introduction, *Sechzig Upanishad's*, p. 204. — The hymn is nearly related to the following one (8), and, with many a riddle and paradox, they both lead up to the fundamental conception of the Upanishads (see last verse of hymn 8), the idea of the Atman. — In the new volume of the *Ved. Stud.*, iii. 126 ff., Geldner discusses *yaksá* at length.

I. In what member of him is penance situated? in what member of him is right (*rtá*) deposited? where is situated [his] vow (*vratá*), where his faith? in what member of him is truth established?

Ppp. combines in a tapo 'sya. In b, the pada-text reads asya : ddhi : dohitam. There is no reason for calling the verse virāj. 2. From what member of him flames Agni? from what member blows  $(p\bar{u})$  Mātariçvan? from what member doth the moon measure out, measuring the member of great Skambha?

Skambha, lit. 'prop, support, pillar,' strangely used in this hymn as frame of the universe or half-personified as its soul. Ppp. reads in **d** skambhasya mahan mim-. [Read vimlmāno in **d**?]

3. In what member of him is situated the earth? in what member is situated the atmosphere? in what member is the sky set? in what member is situated what is beyond the sky?

This is one of the five verses (3-6, 9) which are left by the Anukr. to fall under the general description of the hymn as *trāistubham*. All of them are more or less redundant; this, for example, is as much *bhurij* as vs. 2, which was so described. Ppp. puts the verse before our 2.

4. Whither desiring to attain does Agni flame aloft? whither desiring to attain blows Mātariçvan? whither desiring to attain, the turns  $(\bar{av}\tilde{r}t)$  go, that Skambha tell [me]: which for sooth is he?

Here we have two syllables in excess, unnoticed by the Anukr. Many of the mss. accent svlt in **d**.

5. Whither go the half-months, whither the months, in concord with the year? whither the seasons go, whither they of the seasons, that Skambha tell [me]: which forsooth is he?

Again two unnoticed redundant syllables.

6. Whither desiring to attain run in concord the two maidens (*yuvati*) of diverse form, day-and-night? whither desiring to attain, the waters go, that Skambha tell [me]: which forsooth is he?

Here it is only the last  $p\bar{a}da$  that is one syllable in excess. Ppp. puts the verse before our 5.

7. In what, having established [them], Prajāpati maintained all the worlds, that Skambha tell [me]: which forsooth is he?

Many of our mss. appear to read *stabdha* in a, but it is doubtless only carelessness in writing. Here again, as above and in the verses below where the refrain is written out, part of the mss. accent *svit*.

8. What that was highest, lowest, and what that was midmost Prajāpati created, of all forms — by how much did Skambha enter there? what did not enter, how much was that?

Or (in d) 'what he did not enter.' The Anukr. this time notices the redundant syllable (in a).

9. By how much did Skambha enter the existent? how much of him lies along that which will exist? what one member he made thousand-fold, by how much did Skambha enter there?

The Anukr. again passes without notice the redundant syllable in c.

10. Where men know both worlds and receptacles  $(k\delta \varsigma a)$ , waters, bråhman, within which [are] both the non-existent and the existent — that Skambha tell [me]: which forsooth is he?

A part of this verse has disappeared in Ppp. [The pada reads apah and antih.]

11. Where penance, striding forth, maintains the higher vow (*vratá*), where both right and faith, waters, *bráhman*, are set together, that Skambha etc. etc.

The verse (8 + 8 : 8 + 8 + 12 = 44) is, with those that agree with it, strangely named by the Anukr. [Ppp. exchanges the places of *vratam* and *rtam* in **b**, **c**, and of *āpas* and *brahma* in **d**.]

12. In whom earth, atmosphere, in whom sky is set, where fire, moon, sun, wind stand fixed (*drpita*), that Skambha etc etc.

[The *pada*-text has  $\frac{d}{dr} pitdh$ , which SPP., with many of his *sainhitā*-mss, adopts as *sainhitā*-reading also; Ppp. has  $-t\bar{a}$ .]

13. In whose member all the thirty-three gods are set together, that Skambha etc. etc.

Wanting in Ppp., as noted above. "

14. Where the first-born seers, the verses, the chant, the sacrificial formula, the great one (maht); in whom the sole seer is fixed—that Skambha etc. etc.

*Mahi* usually designates the earth; what in this connection it should be meant to apply to is doubtful. All the *samhutā*-mss. combine *ekarstr* (Ppp. *eka rṣir*), but most of them, with the Anukr., yátra rṣ- in a. Ppp. reads *bhūtakrtas* for *prathamajās*.

15. Where both immortality (amita) and death are set together in man (piirusa), of whom the occan, the veins  $(n\bar{a}dt)$  are set together in man, that Skambha etc. etc.

Ppp. reads, for b, *purușaç ca samāhitāḥ*, and puts the verse after our 16. Read in a *mrtyúç ca* (an accent-sign slipped out of place).

16. Of whom the four directions are  $(sth\bar{a})$  the teeming (?prapyasá) veins, where the sacrifice hath strode forth, that Skambha etc. etc.

Prathamås at end of **b** in our edition seems to be a misprint for prathasås, intended as a correction of prapyasås, which last, however, is distinctly read by all our mss.\* (p. praopyasåk); for the formation, compare -bhyasa from root bhë (through a secondary root bhyas). \*[Except P., which has prathasås; SPP. puts -mås into his text against his fifteen authorities, which give prapyasås.]

17. Whoever know the *bráhman* in man, they know the most exalted one; whoever knows the most exalted one, and whoever knows Prajāpati, whoever know the chief *bráhmaņa*, they know also accordingly (*anu-samvid*) the Skambha.

For both b and f, Ppp. reads te skambham arasam viduh (intending anusamviduh ?).

18. Whose head [was] Vāiçvānara, [whose] eye the Angirases were, whose members the familiar demons  $(y\bar{a}t\dot{u})$  — that Skambha tell [me]: which forsooth is he?

19. Of whom they call *bráhman* the mouth, the honey-whip the tongue also, of whom they call *viráj* the udder — that Skambha etc. etc.

Ppp. reads for c virājam yasyo "dhā "hus.

20. From whom they fashioned off the verses, from whom they scraped off the sacrificial formula, of whom the chants [are] the hairs (*lóman*), the Atharvans-and-Angirases the mouth — that Skambha etc. etc.

[Ppp. combines reo 'pāt- in a, and has chandānsy asya for sāmāni yasya in c.]

21. The branch of the non-existent, standing forth, people know as in a manner the highest thing; also the lower ones who worship  $(upa-\bar{a}s)$  thy branch think [it?] the existent thing.

The translation of this.highly obscure verse is only mechanical, and as literal as possible. Ppp. has only the first half. The definition of the Anukr. is a strange one; the verse is only a *bhurig anustubh* (in virtue of the *wa*, which properly is to be reduced to *wa*, making a regular *anustubh*).

22. Where both the Adityas and the Rudras and the Vasus are set together; where both what is and what is to be, [and] all the worlds are established — that Skambha tell [me]: which forsooth is he?

23. Of whom the thirty-three gods always defend the treasure (*nidhi*): that treasure, which, O gods, ye defend, who at present knoweth?

24. Where the bráhman-knowing gods worship the chief bráhman — whoso verily knoweth them eye to eye (pratyáksam), he may be a Brahman (brahmán), a knower.

Perhaps an acceptable emendation in **d** would be *bråhma*: i.e. 'he may be (may be regarded as) one knowing the *bråhman*': cf. CB. xiv. 6. 91'. Ppp. reads, for **c**, **d**, yo vāi tad brahmaņo veda tam vāi brahmavido viduļ. Read in **a** devā (an accent-sign dropped out).

25. Great (*brhant*) by name [are] those gods who were born out of the non-existent; that one member of Skambha people call non-existent beyond.

The second half-verse is capable of other interpretations; Ludwig emends *parits* to *purit*; Muir, tacitly, to *páram*; Scherman translates it 'afterwards' (*nachher*); Ppp. reads instead *puras*. One of our mss. reads in **b** 'satas p-; [and so does SPP. without report of variant].

26. Where the *skambhá*, generating forth, rolled out the ancient one, that one member of the *skambhá* they know also accordingly [as] the ancient one.

Or, 'know etc. that ancient one as one member of the skambha.' Ppp. again (as in 17 b, f) arasam vidul in d. Read in our edition prajandyan in a.

27. In whose member the thirty-three gods shared severally the limbs (g dtra) — those thirty-three gods verily only (dka) the brahman-knowers know.

Or (so Muir), 'some brahman-knowers.' Ppp. reads in b gātrāņi bhejire.

28. People know the golden-embryo [as] highest, not to be overcrowed (anatyudyá); the *skambhá* in the beginning poured forth that gold within the world.

Ppp. puts this verse after our 30.

29. In the *skambhá* the worlds, in the *skambhá* penance, in the *skambhá* right is set; thee, O *skambhá*, I know plainly [as] set all together in Indra.

The mss. are much at variance in regard to *skámbha* in c; all save W. (the poorest and least trustworthy of all) end the word with *m*, and O.s.m.D. accent *skambhám*. That *skámbha* is really intended can hardly admit of question; Ppp. appears to read it.

30. In Indra the worlds, in Indra penance, in Indra right is set; thee, O Indra, I know plainly [as] all established in the *skambhá*.

The translation implies emendation in c of *indram* to *indra*. Of course, it is possible to render *indram* here, and *skambhám* in 29 c, but where the whole sense is so mystically obscure alterations help little.

31. Name with name he calls aloud, before the sun, before the dawn; as first the goat (?ajd) came into being, he went unto that autocracy beyond which there is nothing else existent.

Ppp. reads *johavimi* in **a**, and *jagāma* (for *jyāya*) in **d**. The translators all understand *ajás* here as 'the unborn one,' and with more reason than in most places elsewhere. The description given by the Anukr. of the very irregular verse (8+8:10+10+11=47) is altogether ill-fitting.

32. Of whom earth is model (*pramå*) and atmosphere belly; who made the sky his head — to that chief *bråhman* be homage.

In this and the two following verses and vs. 36 we have the anomaly that *brdhman*, neuter, is apparently referred to by the masculine relative yds (in accordance with which the genitive ydsya is also doubtless to be understood as masculine); perhaps we ought to render the last pāda thus: 'to him, [who is] the chief *brdhman*,' etc. [Cf. Deussen, p. 312.] The verse is shorter by two syllables than verses 34 and 36, with which the Anukr. reckons it, and, on the other hand, agrees with 33, to which the Anukr. gives a different name.

33. Of whom the sun is eye, and the moon that grows new again; who made Agni his mouth — to that chief *bráhman* be homage.

Ppp. combines *cakrā* "syam in c. As to the meter, see the note to vs. 32. [The Anukr. seems to mean that this is an *anustubh* of which the last pada is one of 10 syllables (virāj).]

34. Of whom the wind [was] breath-and-expiration, [of whom] the Angirases were the eye; who made the quarters fore-knowing (? prajnana) — to that chief brahman be homage.

Ppp. gets rid of the obscure *prajnānīs* by reading for c divam yaç cakre mūrdhānam. The Anukr. describes correctly vss. 34 and 36.

35. The *skambhá* sustains both heaven-and-earth here; the *skambhá* sustains the wide atmosphere; the *skambhá* sustains the six wide directions; into the *skambhá* hath entered this whole existence (*bhúvana*).

The *pada*-text has (as translated) *skambhé* in **d**. Ppp. puts the verse after our  $36_f$  and reads in **a** *prthivīm dyām utā 'mūm*, and in **d** combines *skambhāi 'dam*. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregularity of the verse (14 + 11 : 11 + 13 = 49). [Bergaigne, *Rel. Véd.*, ii. 122, would separate *pradiças* from *urvīs.*]

36. Who, born from toil, from penance, completely attained all worlds; who made soma all his own — to that chief *bráhman* be homage.

The sense of 'own' in c is given by the middle verb-form.

37. How does the wind not cease (il)? how does the mind not rest (ram)? why (kim) do the waters, seeking to attain truth, at no time soever cease?

Ppp. reads for **d** *pra cakramati sarvadā*. [Scherman, p. 54: 'warum kommen furwahr die strebenden Wasser niemals zur Ruhe?']

38. A great monster (*yakşá*) in the midst of the creation (*bhúvana*), strode (*? krāntá*) in penance on the back of the sea — in it are set (*gri*) whatever gods there are, like the branches of a tree roundabout the trunk.

The first pāda is repeated below, as 8.15 c. Ppp. combines in **d** to *paritāi 'va*. Notwithstanding the lack of a syllable in **a**, the Anukr. [balancing **a** with redundant **d**?] calls the verse simply a *tristubh*; the hymn is so long that it has apparently been forgotten that the whole was called  $tr\bar{a}istubha$ , and that therefore no tristubh needs a further specification. Since there are more regular *anustubh*-verses than tristubh also, we should expect rather the designation *ānustubham* for the hymn. Read at end of **a** *mádhye* (an accent-sign dropped out). [With regard to Brahm as a "wonder" (yaksd), see introduction.]

39. Unto which with the two hands, with the two feet, with speech, with hearing, with sight; unto which the gods continually render (*prayam*) tribute, unmeasured in the measured out — that *skambhá* tell [me]: which for sooth is he?

Notwithstanding the discordance of case, v fmite is perhaps coördinate with y dsmai. Ppp. omits the first two padas. The dual and the repetition of y dsmai make it probable that we have to supply in them 'one renders tribute,' or the like. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in **d**.

40. Smitten away is his darkness; he is separated from evil; in him are all the three lights that are in Prajāpati.

41. He who knows the golden reed (*vetasá*) standing in the sea — he verily is in secret Prajāpati.

All the mss. have in c g uhya pr; perhaps  $g uh\bar{a}$  was the original reading; our text has emended to g uhyah.

The remaining verses are wanting in Ppp.; they appear to constitute no original part of the hymn. They are also not translated by Muir and Scherman.

42. A certain pair of maidens, of diverse form, weave, betaking themselves to it, the six-pegged web; the one draws forth the threads (*tántu*), the other sets [them]; they wrest not off (*apa-vrj*), they go not to an end.

A pa vr n j a te perhaps means only 'break off, finish.' [Ná gamāto, 'they shall not go'etc.] A nearly related verse is found in TB. (ii. 5.53): dvé svásārāu vayatas tántram etát sanātánam vítatam sánmayūkham: ávā 'nyāns tántūn kiráto dhattó anyān nā 'pa vrjyāte (?both text and comm. have in the Calc. ed. nā sapryyāte [and in the Poona ed. nā vaprjyāte]) ná gamāte ántam; this is a preferable version especially of c. We have to resolve tan-tr-am in order to make a full tristubh. [The TB. comment makes the verse refer to day and night: cf. RV. i. 113.3.]

43. Of them, as of two women dancing about, I do not distinguish  $(vi \cdot j \bar{n} \bar{a})$  which is beyond; a man  $(pi \cdot m \bar{a} ns)$ , weaves it, ties [it] up; a man hath borne it about upon the firmament (n d k a).

The last two pādas, with 44, correspond to RV. x. 130. 2, which reads:  $p i m \bar{a} n i n a m i anuta it krnatti p i m \bar{a} n vi tatne adhi n a ke asmin : imé may ükhā i p a sedur <math>\bar{u}$  sádah sāmāni cakrus tásarāny otave. Our i d grņatti is only a corruption, but simulates a form from root grath, and is rendered accordingly. [For the exchange of surd and sonant, cf. Roth, ZDMG. xlviii. 110 and note to ii. 13. 3.] The true scanning in **a** is doubtless -yanti-or 'va; [better -tior iva, with jagatī cadence?].

44. These pegs propped up the sky; the chants they made shuttles for weaving.

See the note to the preceding verse. Both here and in 42 b some of the mss. read mayūşa. Bp. reads at the end yātave. The Anukr. says of the verse ime mayūkhā ity ekāvasānā paūcapadā nicrt padapaūktir [i.e. 5+5+5+5+4=24] ārcy anustub dvipadā vā [i.e. 12+12=24] paūcapadā nicrt padapaūktir iti. [The last three or four words seem to be mere repetition.]

[The quoted Anukr. says caturdaça (i.e. 14 over 30).]

### 8. Mystic.

[Kutsa. — catuçcatvārinçat. adhyātmadevatyam. trāistubham: 1. uparistādvirād brhatī;
2. brhatīgarbhā 'nustubh; 5. bhurig anustubh; 6, 14, 19–21, 23, 25, 29, 31–34, 37, 38, 41,
43. anustubh; 7. parābrhatī; 10. anustubgarbhā; 11. jagatī; 12. purobrhatī tristubgarbhā "rşī pañkti; 15, 27. bhurig brhatī; 22. puraustuh; 26. dvyustuggarbhā 'nustubh;
30. bhurij; 39. brhatīgarbhā; 42. virād gāyatrī.]

Found in greater part (not vss. 1, 7, 15, 18, 30-44) in Pāipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 2, 8, 5, 9, 3, 4, 12, 6, 14, 29, 13, 11, 10, 16, 19-28, 17). Not noticed in Kāuç., and only one verse (42) used in Vāit.

Translated : Muir, v. 368 n., 386 (parts); Ludwig, p. 395; Scherman, p. 60 (parts); Deussen, *Geschichte*, i. 1. 318 (cf. 310); Henry, 27, 75; Griffith, ii. 34. — Deussen's interpretation should on no account be overlooked. — Cf. the introduction to hymn 7.

1. He who is set over both what is and what is to be and everything, and whose alone is the heaven — to that chief *bráhman* be homage.

The concluding pāda is that of some of the verses of the preceding hymn (see vs. 32, above, and note).

2. By the *skambhá* these two stand fixed apart, both sky and earth ; in the *skambhá* [is] all this that has soul, what [is] breathing and what winking.

The Anukr. is scrupulous enough not to sanction the irregular combination skambhé 'dám in c; why it calls the verse brhatīgarbhā, instead of simply bhurij (like vs. 5), it were hard to say.

3. Three offspring (prajd) went an over-going; others settled (ni-viq) about the sun (?arkd); great stood the traverser (vimdna) of space (rdjas); the yellow one (m.) entered into the yellow ones (f.).

The verse is RV. viii. 90 (101). 14, which reads, for **a**,  $praj\hbar$  ha tisró atyåyam īyur; at end of **b**, *viviçre*; for **c**, **d**, byhád dha tasthāu bhúvaneşv antáh pávamāno harita  $\hbar$ vurveça (the last pāda is our 40 **d** below). AA. (ii. 1. 14) has the same version as RV. JB. (ii. 224) has a version agreeing in the main with RV., but beginning like ours, tisro ha prajā, ending **b** with viviçyur, beginning **c** with byhan (but byhad in a following brief exposition); in **c**, again, with our text, rajaso vimānāi 'va (in the exposition simply vimāne); in **d** as RV. The Anukr. takes no notice of the defective fourth pāda. Pyp. reads na (for ha) and vimānam in **c**, and combines prajā 'ty-, and anyā 'rkam.

4. Twelve fellies, one wheel, three naves — who understands that? therein are inserted (*ahata*) three hundred and sixty pins ( $ca\bar{n}ki$ ), pegs (? *khtla*) that are immovable.

The verse is also RV. i. 164. 48, which, however, has a very different second half: tásmin sākām triçatā nā çankāw 'rpitāh sastir nā calācalāsah. The 'pins' or 'pegs' must be the equivalents of spokes. The three naves are probably seasons [Hot, Wet, and Cold: cf. Buhler, *Epigraphia Indica*, ii. 262], though the number is unusual. Ppp. reads kelās for khilās in **d**. The Anukr. does not heed that **c** is a jagatī pāda. [Kațha-reading nābhyāni, WZKM. xii. 282.]

5. This, O Savitar, do thou distinguish: six [arc] twins, one [is] soleborn; they seek participation (apitvá) in him who of them is the sole sole-born.

The usual twelve months, two to a season, and the thirteenth occasional intercalary one, are doubtless meant. Ppp. reads in **b** yomokas (for yamā ekas). [The pada-mss. of both W. and SPP. have apiotodm; but in the Index W. assumes that  $\bar{a}pittodm$  is the word. Both are well authenticated in BR.; but apittodm seems to fit best here (cf.  $\zeta B$ . iv. t.  $3^{11}$ ).]

6. Being manifest  $(\bar{avis})$ , [it is] deposited in secret; the great track (padai), "aged" (jarat) by name; there is set (arpita) this all, [there is] established what stirs, what breathes.

7. One-wheeled it rolls, one-rimmed, thousand-syllabled, forth in front, down behind; with a half it has generated all existence; what its [other] half is — what has become of that?

This agrees nearly with xi. 4. 22 below, and Ppp. has it there, though not here. The sun is evidently meant, with half his course lost to view.

8. One carrying five carries the summit (dgra) of them; side-horses, harnessed, carry also along; what is not gone of it was seen, not what is gone; the higher thing [is] closer, the lower more distant.

[Or (c) 'of him' (asya).] The sense is wholly obscure, and the version a mechanical one. Pañcavāhī may be either fem. (of  $-v\bar{a}hd$ : so çatavāhī v. 17. 12) or masc. (of  $-v\bar{a}hin$ ); Pet. Lexx. say 'mit Funfen bespannt.' Some of the mss. read př<u>s</u>thayas in **b**, as often in such cases. Ppp. has asya instead of eşām at end of **a**.

9. A bowl (camasá) with orifice sideways, bottom-side up — in it is deposited glory of all forms; there sit together the seven seers, who have become the keepers of it, the great one.

The verse has a correspondent in  $\zeta B$ . xiv. 5. 24 (BAU. ii. 2. 4). This reads at the beginning *arvågbilas*; in **c**, *tåsya*...*tire* for *tåt*...*sākám*; and, for **d**, *våg astami bråhmaņā samvidānā*. The Brāhmaņa itself explains the head as intended, the seven seers being the seven *prāņas* or senses (the seven orifices of the head); this is extremely implausible. Ppp. reads at beginning of **c** *atrā* "sata.

10. The one which is applied (yuj) in front and which behind, which is applied in all cases and which in every case, by which the sacrifice is extended forward — that I ask of thee: which one of the verses is it?

The verse is quoted by *pratika* in GB. i. 1. 22. All our *samhitā*-mss. save one (R.) [and the great majority of SPP's] read at the end *så rcām* (l'pp. the same), and the words are quoted under Prāt. iii. 49 as example of that combination [p. *så rcām*]. The Anukr. does not heed that **b** is a *jagatī* pāda. Ppp. reads twice *yo* 'ta for *yā ca*.

11. What stirs, flies, and what stands, and what is breathing, not breathing, winking — that, all-formed, sustains the earth; that, combining, becomes one only.

One may conjecture  $ap\bar{a}n\dot{a}t$  for  $\dot{a}pr\bar{a}nat$  in **b**. The Anukr. does not heed that two of the pādas are *triṣtubh*. Ppp. reads at end of **b** ca tiṣthat, and, in **c**, dyām utā 'mum [at 7.35 it was fem.] for viçvarūpam.

12. What is endless, stretched out on many sides; what is endless, and what has an end, ending together — these (two) the lord of the firmament keeps (car) separating (vi-ci), knowing what is and what shall be of it.

Ppp. reads samakte at end of **b**, *prajānan* at end of **c**, and *yadi* for *uta* in **d**. Prohably earth and heaven are intended. The metrical definition of the Anukr. fits the verse (9 + 10: 11 + 10 = 40) fairly.

13. Prajapati goes about (car) within the womb; not being seen, he is manifoldly born  $(vi-j\bar{a})$ ; with a half he has generated all existence; what his [other] half is — which sign is that?

The third pāda is the same with 7 c above; the second half-verse is found below as xi. 4. 22 c, d; to the first half-verse corresponds VS. xxxi. 19 a, b and TA. iii. 13. 13 a, b: both reading  $d_j \bar{a} y a m \bar{a} n as$  at beginning of b; [so Katha-hss., p. 84]. Ppp. reads in b prajāyatc, and, for c, d, ardhene 'dam pari babhūva viçvam etasyā 'rdham kim u taj jajāna. The Anukr. takes no notice of the jagatī pāda b.

14. Him bearing water aloft, as a water-bearer (f.) with a vessel (kumbha), all see with the eye, not all know with the mind.

Some mss. (P.M.I.) accent vidúh at the end.

15. In the distance it dwells with the full one, in the distance it is abandoned by the deficient one — the great monster (yaksd) in the midst of existence; to it the kingdom-bearers bear tribute.

The verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp. [Pāda c occurs as x. 7. 38 a: see note thereon and introd. to hymn 7.]

16. Whence the sun arises, and where he goes to rest — that same I think the chief (*jyesthá*); that nothing whatever surpasses.

The Katha Up. [iv. 9] has a nearly corresponding verse: yataç co'd eti sūryo 'stam yatra ca gacchati: tam devāh sarve 'rpitās tad u nā 'ty eti kaç cana; and the first half of this occurs also in ÇB. xiv. 4. 334 (BAU. i. 5. 23). The Anukr. omits to define the meter (anustubh) of the verse.

17. They who hitherward, in the middle, or also anciently, speak round about him who knows the Veda, they all speak around the sun  $(\bar{a}dity\dot{a})$ , Agni [as] second, and the threefold swan  $(hais\dot{a})$ .

The verse is found also in TA. (ii. 15<sup>8</sup>), which omits *middhye* and reads *purāņé* in **a**, and *tṛtīyam* (for *trivṛtam*) in **d**. [Cf. Katha-hss., p. 63.] Our *pada*-text [as also SPP's] reads near the beginning *arvān*, which is doubtless an error for *arvāk* (though TA. reads *arvān utá*). Perhaps *vidam* in **b** is to be rendered simply 'knowledge.' The Anukr. takes no notice of the two redundant syllables in **c**.

18. A thousand days' journey [are] expanded (*vi-yam*) the wings of him, of the yellow swan flying to heaven (*svargá*); he, putting all the gods in his breast, goes, viewing together all existences.

The verse is found again below as xiii. 2. 38; 3. 14. It is, as noted above, wanting here in Ppp.

19. By truth he burns aloft; by *bráhman* he looks abroad hitherward, by breath he breathes crosswise — he on whom rests (*critá*) the chief thing.

20. Whoever indeed knows those two churning-sticks, with which is churned out what is good (*vásu*), he may think himself to know the chief thing; he may know the great *bráhmana* [neut.].

21. Footless came he into being in the beginning; he in the beginning brought the heaven (svdr); having become four-footed, enjoyable, he took to himself all enjoyment (bhójana).

Ppp. reads, for b, so 'gre asurā 'bhavat.

22. Enjoyable shall he become, likewise shall he eat much food, who shall worship  $(upa-\bar{a}s)$  the everlasting god who gives superiority (uttar-avant).

[After bhógyo bhavat, for which there are no variants, Bp. has a mark of pādadivision; but the Anukr. evidently scans as 12:8+8, eliding the *a* of *áunam* after a *pragrhya*.] Bhágyo at the beginning is a misprint for bhógyo.

23. Everlasting they call him, also may he be at present ever-renewed; day and night are generated  $(pra-j\bar{a})$  in each other's forms.

The *pada*-text reads *anyáh* : *anyásya* in **d**, although the masc. *anyás* shows that the two words are virtually a compound, as later.

24. A hundred, a thousand, a myriad, a hundred million, an innumerable [number], is his own entered into him; that of him they slay, even as he looks on; therefore this god shines (*ruc*) thus.

Instead of *eşa etat* at the end, Ppp. reads what appears to be *agha bhavat*. The version is as literal (and as unintelligent) as possible. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregularity of the meter; it is possible, by violence, to count only 44 syllables in the verse.

25. One thing is more minute (dnu) than a child (bda), also one is hardly (ne' va) seen; than that a more embracing deity, is she dear to me.

Ppp. reads, for **a**,  $\bar{a}r\bar{a}gram\bar{a}tram$  dadree, and begins **c** with atas pa-, thus doing nothing to help our comprehension of the wholly obscure verse.

26. This beautiful one (f.) [is] unaging, an immortal in the house of a mortal; for whom she [was] made, he lies; he who made [her] grew old.

Ppp. reads tasmāi for yasmāi in c. [The natural reading of b would be martyasya amītā grhi; but] the definition of the Anukr. forbids us to make [it. Can a sā have dropped out before çâye sá ? Cf. note to iv. 5. 5.]

27. Thou art woman, thou [art] man, thou boy, or also girl; thou, when aged, totterest (*vañc*) with a staff; thou, when born, becomest facing all ways.

Ppp. politely puts kumārī first and kumāras second in **b**, and reads jātam in **d**. The verse is found, without variant, in Çvet. Up. iv. 3. It is so far correctly described by the Anukr. that it counts 37 syllables (7 + 10:9 + 11 = 37).

28. Both their father, or also their son; both the chief or also the meanest (kanistha) of them; the one god, who has entered into the mind, born the first, and he within the womb.

The verse is also found, quoted as a çloka, in JUB. 85 (iii. 10. 12): utāi 'sām jāistha uta vā kanistha utāi 'sām putra uta vā pitāi 'sām: eko ha devo manasi pravistah pūrvo ha jajāte sa u garbhe 'ntah. Ppp. reads, for a, b, ute 'va jyestho 'ta vā kanistho 'tāi 'sa bhrāto 'ta vā pitāi 'sah; and, in d, pūrvo jātah.

29. The full from the full he bends up (ud-ac); the full is poured with the full; also that may we know today, whence that is poured out.

This verse is akin with the oft-repeated one in  $\zeta B. xiv. 8.1$  (BAU. v. 1): pūrņām adāh pūrņām idām pūrņāt pūrņām úd acyate etc. What follows of the hymn is (as noted above) wanting in Ppp.

30. She, everlasting, born indeed of old, she, ancient, encompassed  $(pari-bh\bar{u})$  all; the great goddess of the dawn, shining forth, she looks forth by every one who winks.

The Anukr. calls the verse *bhurij* on account of the redundant syllable in b, not heeding the corresponding deficiency in c.

31. The deity, Avi by name, sits enveloped with right; by her form these trees [are] green, green-garlanded.

Or, 'by the form of her, the green-garlanded one' (so Ludwig). Avi means 'sheep,' but is possibly here a derivative from the root av 'favor, aid.' All the samhitā-mss. combine in **a**, **b**-ta rténa.

32. Him that is near by he (?) deserts not; him that is near by he (?) sees not; see the wisdom  $(k \cdot \hat{a} \cdot y a)$  of the god : he died not, he grows not old.

There is nothing to determine the subject of the verbs in a, b; Ludwig renders 'she.'

33. The voices sent forth by the unpreceded one — they speak as they should (*yathāyathám*); where they go speaking, that [people] call the great *bráhmaņa* [n.].

34. Where both gods and men (manusyà) are set (crita) as spokes in a nave — I ask there of the flower (puspa) of the waters, where that by magic was placed.

The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable [perhaps the first  $ca^{9}$ ] in **a**.

35. They by whom the wind sent forth blows on, who give the five quarters together (*sadhryàūc*), the gods who thought themselves above (*ati-man*) the offering (*áhuti*), conductors of the waters — which were they?

The first half-verse is found also in Ppp. [xvi.], but not in connection with the rest of the hymn. The Anukr. does not heed that **a** is defective by one syllable. Read in **b**, with all [W's and SPP's] mss., *dádante*; the form is from the same secondary root *dad* as the sing. *dadate* in 36 c. [JUB. (i. 34) has this verse, putting it in the mouth of Prthu Vâinya, and its answer (vs. 36), with appended Brāhmaņa-comment. It reads *samicile* for *sadhrīcile* of our 35 **b**, and *āhutīs* in **c**.]

36. One of them clothes himself in this earth; one encompassed the atmosphere; he of them who is disposer (*vidhartî*) gives the sky; some defend respectively all regions ( $d_{\zeta \bar{\alpha}}$ ).

[JUB. (see under vs. 35) has ekas for esām of our c and anye for eke of our d.]

37. Whoso may know the stretched-out string in which these offspring (praja) are woven in, whoso may know the string of the string, he may know the great *brahmana*.

38. I know the stretched-out string in which these offspring are woven in; the string of the string I know, likewise the great *bråhmana*.

39. As between heaven-and-carth Agni went, burning on, all-consuming, where stood beyond they (f.) of one husband — where perchance was Mātariçvan then?

The *brhatī* of the Anukr. [scanning 11 + 9:11 + 11] is the second pada, read with *ālt* included as a part (the *pada*-text so marks the division) — which is, of course, artificial and wrong. [Read as 12 + 8:11 + 11, pronouncing *-dāvlas* and *kúe 'vā "sīn.*] Ludwig's 'spouses of the only one' for *ékapatnīs* is against the accent.

40. Mātariçvan was entered into the waters; the gods were entered into the seas; great stood the traverser of space; the purifying one entered into the green ones.

The third pāda is identical with 3 c above, and the fourth with RV. viii. 90 (101). 14 d. 'The purifying one' is probably here the wind.

41. Higher, as it were, than the  $g\bar{a}yatri$ , upon the immortal (amita) he strode out; they who know completely chant with chant — where then was seen the goat?

Or, 'the unborn one'  $(a_j d:$  so Ludwig); the verse is too utterly obscure to let us choose between them.

42. The reposer, the assembler of good things, like god Savitar, of true ordinances (-*dhárman*), he stood like Indra in the conflict for riches.

The verse corresponds with pādas **a**, **c**, **d** of RV. x. 139. 3 and of VS. xii. 66, TS. iv. 2. 54, MS. ii. 7. 12. RV. reads at the beginning  $r\bar{a}y\delta$  budhndh (for nindcanah); the other texts have at the end pathinám. The verse is quoted in Vāit. 28. 28.

43. The lotus-flower of nine doors, covered with three strands (guná) — what soulful prodigy (yakṣá) is within it, that the bráhman-knowers know.

The 'nine doors' indicate that the human body with its nine orifices is intended; the three gunas are probably the three temperaments familiar under that name later. The second half-verse was found above as 2.32 c, d [cf. vs. 31].

44. Free from desire, wise (dhira), immortal, self-existent, satisfied with sap, not deficient in any respect — knowing that wise, unaging, young soul, one is not afraid of death.

[See Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 334: "die erste und alteste Stelle, die wir kennen, in der ruckhaltlos der Atman als Weltprincip proklamiert wird, AV. x. 8. 44." Cf. also p. 312, end. Muir, Metrical Translations from Sanskrit Writers, p. 1, gives a metrical paraphrase of the verse.]

[The quoted Anukr. says *caturdaça* (i.e. 14 above 30). The fourth *anuvāka*, with 2 hymns and 88 verses, ends here.]

#### 9. With the offering of a cow and a hundred rice-dishes.

[Atharvan. — saptavınçatı. mantroktaçatāudanadevatyam. ānustubham: 1. tristubh; 12. pathyāpañkti; 25. dvyusņiggarbhā 'nustubh; 26. 5-p. brhatyanustubusņiggarbhā jagatī; 27. 5-p. atijāgatānustubgarbhā qakvarī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. The hymn (vs. 1) is quoted in Kāuç. 65. I to accompany the closing of the mouth of a victim, and some of the verses (1-3, 26, 27) in other neighboring parts of the sūtra. In Vāit. is used a single verse (26).

Translated : Ludwig, p. 270 (in great part) ; Henry, 32, 83 ; Griffith, ii. 42.

I. Fasten thou up the mouths of the mischief-making Lones]; bring (arpay) this thunderbolt upon our rivals; given by Indra, first, with a hundred rice-dishes, cousin-slaying, the success  $(g\bar{a}ti)$  of the sacrificer.

It is a cow (f.) accompanied by a whole hundred of *odanas* or offerings of boiled rice, that is here the subject; we had in various places above a goat (m.) with five such additions. Ppp. reads in d yajamānāya. The Anukr. does not heed that the third pāda is jagatī.

2. Be thy skin the sacrificial hearth, [be] the hairs which [are] thine the *barhis*; this rein (*raçand*) hath seized thee; let this pressing-stone dance over thee.

The parts of this and the preceding verse are prescribed in Kāuc. 65. 1-3 to be used to accompany certain sacrificial acts to which they are adapted.

3. Be thy tail-tuft the sprinklers; let thy tongue do the cleansing, O inviolable one; do thou, having become clean, fit for sacrifice, go on to heaven, O thou of the hundred rice-dishes.

The form  $b\bar{a}l\bar{a}s$  (which Ppp. also reads) [as against  $v\bar{a}l\bar{a}s$ ] is vouched for [incidentally] by the comm. to Prāt. i. 66 [in its discussion of the exchange of r and l]. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 65.9. Sam mārstu = 'serve as sammārjana.'

4. Whoso cooks her of the hundred rice-dishes, he is adapted to the fulfilment of wishes; for all his priests (*rtvlj*), being gratified, go as they should.

All the samhitā-mss. read in c asya rtv-.

5. He ascends the heavenly road (*svargá*), where is yon triple heaven of the heaven (div), who, making [her] cake-naveled, gives her of the hundred rice-dishes.

The meaning and connection of **c** are not very clear. Ludwig renders "and makes her the middle point of the  $ap\bar{u}pa$ ," which is against the accent; probably 'adding cakes numerous enough to cover her.' [Is it not virtually equivalent to 'putting a cake on her navel, 'as preparatory to sacrificing her?] Ppp. has *hiranyajyotişam* instead of *apūpanābhim* (cf. the next verse). The resolution *kṛtu-â*, necessary to make the verse a regular *anuştubh*, is rather harsh. 6. He obtains those worlds, [those] which are heavenly and [those] which are earthly, who, having made [her] lighted with gold, gives her of the hundred rice-dishes.

Ppp. reads for b yeşa devās samāsate, and has apūpanābhim here in c, instead of in 5 c.

7. What people are thy quellers, O heavenly one [f.], and what thy cookers, they shall all guard thee: be not afraid of them, thou of the hundred rice-dishes.

Ppp. puts this verse before our 5.

8. The Vasus shall guard thee on the right, thee the Maruts on the left (*uttarát*), the Ādityas behind; do thou run beyond the Agnishtoma. That is, probably, exceed or surpass even this important ceremony.

9. The gods, the Fathers, men (manusyà), and they that are Gandharvas-and-Apsarases — they shall all guard thee; do thou run beyond the over-night sacrifice (atirātrá).

Ppp. reads gandharvāpsaraso devā rudrāngirasas tvā. [Cf. note to vs. 8.]

10. He obtains the atmosphere, the sky, the earth, the Ādityas, the Maruts, the quarters, all worlds, who gives her of the hundred rice-dishes.

11. Sprinkling forth ghee, well-portioned, the divine one will go to the gods; hurt not him who cooks thee, O inviolable one; go on to heaven, O thou of the hundred rice-dishes.

Ppp. reads in b devān devī.

12. The gods that are stationed (-sad) in the sky, and that are stationed in the atmosphere, and these that are upon the earth — to them do thou always yield (duh) milk, butter, also honey.

Several of the mss., with a carelessness common in such cases, read *dhuksa* in **d**. We have to resolve *deva*- $\bar{a}$  in **a**, in order to make a normal pāda. Ppp. rectifies the meter of **a** by reading instead *pitaras* for *devās*. [Ppp. combines -*sado* '*ntar*- in **a**-**b**.]

13. What head is thine, what mouth is thine, what ears and what jaws are thine — let them yield to thy giver curd, milk, butter, also honey.

Ppp. reads ye te cringe for second half of **a**, and so for second part of **b** yāu ca te akṣāu [cf. vs. 14].

14. What lips are thine, what nostrils, what horns, and what thine eyes — let them yield etc. etc.

Ppp. reads instead yat te mukham yā te jihvā ye dantā yā ca te hanū.

15. What lungs are thine, what heart, the *purītát* with the throat — let them yield etc. etc.

Vás at the beginning is emended from yát, which all the mss. [both W's and SPP's] read.

16. What liver is thine, what two *mátasnās*, what entrail, and what thine intestines — let them yield etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in b yā "ntrāņi.

17. What *plāçi* is thine, what rectum (?vanisthú), what (two) paunches, and what thy skin — let them yield etc. etc.

18. What marrow is thine, what bone, what flesh, and what blood — let them yield etc. etc.

Ppp reads in **a** yāny asthīni, thus rectifying the meter. The Anukr. does not notice the lacking syllable in the pāda. Vás at the beginning is again emendation for the yát of all the mss. [both W's and SPP's].

19. What fore-legs  $(b\bar{a}h\dot{u})$  are thine, what shanks (dosán), what shoulders  $\lfloor \dot{a}isa \rfloor$ , and what thy hump — let them yield etc. etc.

Ppp. reads, after bāhū, yāu te ansāu dūhanam yā ca etc.

20. What neck-bones (griv d) are thine, what shoulder-bones  $\lfloor skandhd \rfloor$ , what side-bones (prsti), and what ribs (parqu) — let them yield etc. etc.

The Anukr. does not notice the lacking syllable in a.

21. What thighs are thine, knec-joints, what hips, and what thy rump — let them yield etc. etc.

22. What tail is thine, what thy tail-tuft, what udder, and what thy teats — let them yield etc. etc.

23. What hind-thighs are thine, what dew-claws, [what] pasterns (*rchára*), and what thy hoofs — let them yield etc. etc.

One of our mss. (O.) reads in b rtsárās, and Ppp. supports it by giving krtsarās.

[The reading  $\gamma ts dr as$  seems to be supported by E. as well as by O. and Ppp. Its phonetic relation to *rechárās* resembles that of Pāli *ucchādana*, *jighacchā*, *bībhaccha* to Skt. *utsādana*, *jighatsā*, *bībhatsa* (Kuhn, *Pāli-gram.*, p. 52, gives *kucchā* = *kutsā*, *vaccha* = *vatsa*). Unless I err, our vulgate text here shows a Prākritism such as we have good right to assume also at iii. 12. 4, in case of the much-discussed *ucchántu*, which may be a mere vernacularized rendering of *ukṣántu* (cf. *tauchaka* = *takṣaka*, Kuhn, l.c.).]

24. What hide is thine, O thou of the hundred rice-dishes, what hairs, O inviolable one — let them yield etc. etc.

25. Let thy two breasts (*krodd*) be sacrificial cakes, smeared over with sacrificial butter; having made them wings, O divine one, do thou carry him who cooks thee to heaven (div).

The Anukr. very strangely ignores the two resolutions in  $\mathbf{b}$  and  $\mathbf{c}$ , and reckons the pādas as 7 syllables each.

26. What in the mortar, on the pestle, and on the hide, or what rice-grain, [what] kernel in the winnowing-basket, or what the wind, Mātariçvan, blowing  $(r\bar{u})$ , shook (math) — let Agni as *hótar* make that well-offered.

It is very much out of place to reckon five  $p\bar{a}das (12+9:8+7+11=47)$  in this verse; but the *pada*-ms. supports the Anukr., by making a mark of  $p\bar{a}da$ -division after *mātartçvā*; evidently either this word or *pávamānas* (rather the former) is an intrusion in c. [The last pāda we had as vi. 71. 1 d.] The verse is quoted in Vāit. 4.9; also (with vi. 122, 123) in Kāuç. 63. 29, to accompany the closing libations. Ppp. reads in **b** ye vā çūrpe tandulās kaņāh.

27. The heavenly waters, rich in honey, dripping with ghee, I seat in separate succession in the hands of the priests (*brahmán*); with what desire I now pour you on, let all that fall to my lot; may we be lords of wealth.

Ppp. begins a with *imā āpo madh*-, and c with *yatkāme 'dam*. Compare the verses vi. 122. 5 and xi. 1. 27, which are in part coincident with this; [also MGS. i. 5.4 and Index under *devīr āpo*]. The verse (rather than i. 4. 3, which has the same *pratīka*) is quoted in Kāuç. 65. 8, to accompany the setting of water pots. The metrical definition of the Anukr. suits well enough.

[The quoted Anukr. says "aghāyatām": cf. vs. 1.]

### 10. Extolling the cow (vaçá).

[Kaçyapa. — catustrinçat. mantroktavaçādevatyam. ānustubham: 1. kakummatī; 5. skandhogrīvābrhatī; 6, 8, 10. virāj; 23. brhatī; 24. uparistādbrhatī; 26. āstārapankti; 27. çaukumatī; 29. 3-p. virād gāyatrī; 31. usņiggarbhā; 32. virāt pathyābrhatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. [with the verse-sequence 15 a, b, 14 c, d, 13, 14 a, b, 15 c, d, 17, 16, 18; vs. 3 is lacking]. Not noticed in Vāit., and only once in Kāuç., at 66. 20, where vs. 1 (or the hymn [rather the hymn]) is used, with xii. 4, to accompany the sprinkling of an offered cow.

Translated : Ludwig, p. 534; Deussen, *Geschichte*, i. 1. 234 (cf. p. 230 f., 233 f.); Henry, 35, 85; Griffith, ii. 45.

1. Homage to thee while being born, homage also to thee when born; to thy tail-tuft, hoofs, form, O inviolable one, be homage.

The Anukr. chooses to reject the common resolution -bhi-as (twice) in c.

2. Whoso may know the seven advances (? *pravát*), [and] may know the seven distances, whoso may know the head of the sacrifice — he may accept the cow (vacd).

Ppp. reads in **b** veda instead of vidyāt. The verse is quoted by pratīka in GB. i. 2. 16.

3. I know the seven advances, I know the seven distances; I know the head of the sacrifice, and the outlooking soma in her.

This verse, with a part of the preceding one, is wanting in Ppp.  $\lfloor Asyām$ , sc. vacas yam.

4. By whom the sky, by whom the earth, by whom these waters are guarded — the cow, of a thousand streams  $(-dh dr \bar{a})$ , we address with worship (br dh m a n).

We need to resolve  $-dh\bar{a}ra-\bar{a}m$  in **c** in order to make out the full pada which the Anukr. assumes.

The verse (9 + 11:8 + 8 = 36) is a brhatt in number of syllables only.

6. Having the sacrifice for feet, cheer  $(tr\bar{a})$  for milk, svadhá for breath, being mahilukā, the cow, having Parjanya for spouse, goes unto the gods with worship (bráhman).

Ppp. reads for **a**, **b** yajñapatir ākṣīrāt svadhāprāņā mahilokāḥ, which does not solve the problem of the obscure word mahilukā (found nowhere else). There is no need of calling the verse virāj.

7. After thee entered Agni, after thee Soma, O cow; thine udder, O excellent one, is Parjanya; the lightnings are thy teats, O cow.

8. The waters thou yieldest (duh) first, the cultivated fields after, O cow; thou yieldest kingdom third, food, milk, O cow.

The permissible resolution  $r\bar{a}s$ -tr-am in c would obviate the necessity of reckoning the verse as *virāj*. Ppp. combines in **b** *urvarā* 'parā.

9. When, called by the Ādityas, thou didst approach, O righteous one, Indra made thee drink a thousand vessels ( $p \delta t r a$ ) of soma, O cow.

By a notable inconsistency, the Anukr. reckons this verse as a complete *anustubh*, although it requires, to make it such, precisely the same resolution  $(p\bar{a}-tr-\bar{a}n)$  as vs. 8.

10. When thou didst go following (anváñc) Indra, then the bull called thee; therefore the Vritra-slayer, angry, took thy milk (páyas), milk (kṣīrá), O cow.

All the sam hitā-mss. accent in **b** -bhó 'hvayat, and one pada-ms. (D.) has accordingly *dhvayat*. In like manner, all save R.p.m. have *kruddhó* 'har- in **d**. In both cases our edition emends to  $\partial$ . In this verse also (as in 6, 8) the designation *virāj* is uncalled-for. Ppp. reads *uvūcī* in **a**, and *ād vṛṣabho* in **b**.

11. When the lord of riches, angry, took thy milk, O cow, then this the firmament  $(n\dot{a}ka)$  now keeps (raks) in three vessels.

Ppp. reads, in a-b, -patih ksīram dehi bharad vaçe.

12. In three vessels the heavenly cow took that soma, where Atharvan, consecrated, sat on a golden *barhis*.

Ppp. reads hitam for tam in a, and adyery abharad in b.

13. Since she has united (sam-gam) with soma, and with all that has feet, the cow has stood upon the ocean, together with the Gandharvas, the kalis.

Before this verse, Ppp. sets one made up of our 15 a, b and 14 c, d. Pada-text in c ádhi: asthāt. [As to kali, cf. Bergaigne, Rel. Véd. ii. 482.] [For ágata, see Gram. § 834 b.]

14. Since she has united with the wind, and with all winged ones, the cow danced forth in the ocean, bearing the verses, the chants.

Ppp. combines (as above noted) our 15 a, b and 14 c, d, and then again our 14 a, b and 15 c, d, without other variant.

15. Since she has united with the sun, and with all sight, the cow has overlooked the ocean, bearing excellent lights.

Some of the mss. (P.M.E.) read in c aty aksad (K. aksyad).

16. As, O righteous one, thou didst stand decked (*abhi-vr*) with gold, the ocean, having become a horse, mounted (*adhi-skand*) thee, O cow.

Ppp. puts this verse after our 17.

17. There the excellent ones united, the cow, the directress, also the *svadhá*, where Atharvan, consecrated, sat on a golden *barhís*.

The second half-verse is identical with 12 c, d above. Ppp. reads in a gachantt.

18. The cow is mother of the noble  $(r\bar{a}jany\dot{a})$ , the cow thy mother, O svadhá; from the cow was born the weapon; from it was born intent (*cittá*).

The translation implies the obvious emendation of  $yaj\bar{n}e$  in **c** to  $jaj\bar{n}e$ , as at iv. 24. 6 [see note thereto].

19. The globule (bindi) went (car) up aloft, out of the summit (kákuda) of the *bráhman;* thence wast thou born, O cow; thence was the invoker born.

20. From thy mouth came  $(bh\bar{u})$  the songs  $(gdth\bar{a})$ , from thy napebones, O cow, [came] force; from thy belly (? $p\bar{a}jasyd$ ) was born the sacrifice, from thy teats the rays.

Ppp. reads in a bhavanti for abhavan.

21. From thy (two) fore-legs (*irmá*) motion (*áyana*) was born, and from thy thighs (*sákthi*), O cow; from thine entrails were born eaters (*attrá*), out from thy belly (*udára*) the plants.

Ppp. reads at the beginning ayurmābhyām, and in c yatrā jajūire. [For atrās, cf. note to i. 7.3.]

22. When (yát), O cow, thou didst enter along the belly of Varuṇa, thence the priest (brahmán) called thee up; for he knew thy guidance (netrá).

23. All trembled at the embryo, while being born, of her who gives not birth ( $2s\bar{u}s\bar{n}$ ); for "the cow hath given birth," they say of her; shaped (m.) by charms (*bráhman*); for it is her connection.

Much here is obscure and doubtful. As usin (not divided in p.) ought, by its accent, to be as u-su, giving birth to one who does not herself give birth ' [Gram. § 1147 c]. The connection of k! ptas with vaca is strange; the former belongs probably to

 $g\acute{arbhas}$  understood. The accent of  $ah\acute{us}$  indicates that  $h\acute{t}$  belongs with it, and not with sastiva. The name  $vac\acute{a}$  used for the cow throughout the hymn implies non-pregnancy. Ppp. reads at the end brahmana klpta [R's Collation spells it klipta] uta bandhur asyāt. [The verse may be counted as 36 syllables; but the nearest approach to a natural scansion would seem to be 8 + 8: 11(taam) + 11.] [I will not attempt to revise W's treatment of this verse. Griffith and the other translators may be consulted.]

24. One combines (sam-srj) the fighters who alone is in control (vaçín) of her; the sacrifices became energies (? táras); the cow became the eye of energies.

The Anukr. should have qualified the name of this *brhati* by adding *virāj*. Ppp. combines at the beginning *yudhe* 'kas s-.

25. The cow accepted the sacrifice; the cow sustained the sun; within the cow entered the rice-dish together with the priest (*brahmán*).

Ppp. reads yajñam instead of sūryam in b. All the mss. have brahmáņā.

26. The cow they call immortality  $(am\dot{r}ta)$ ; the cow they worship  $(upa-\bar{a}s)$  as death; the cow became this all — gods, men, Asuras, Fathers, seers.

Ppp. reads *āhur amṛtam* in **a**. The definition of the meter by the Anukr. is bad; it [seems to be 8 + 8:8 + 14].

27. Whose knoweth thus, he may accept the cow; for so doth the allfooted sacrifice yield milk (duk) to the giver, unresisting.

28. Three tongues glisten  $(d\bar{i}d\bar{i})$  within the mouth of Varuna; of these, the one that shines  $(r\bar{a}j)$  in the middle is the cow, hard of acceptance.

The mss., as is usual in such cases, are divided between *duhpr*- and *duspr*- in **d**.

29. The seed of the cow was quartered: the waters a quarter, the *amrta* a quarter, the sacrifice a quarter, the domestic animals a quarter.

30. The cow [is] the sky, the cow the earth, the cow Vishnu, Prajāpati; the yield  $(dugdh\dot{a})$  of the cow did the Perfectibles  $(s\bar{a}dhy\dot{a})$  and they who are Vasus drink.

31. Having drunk the yield of the cow, the Perfectibles and they who are Vasus — they verily worship the milk (pdyas) of her at the summit of the ruddy one.

Ppp. reads *ime* instead of *te vāi* at beginning of **c**. The definition of the meter by the Anukr. is bad, the verse being, by usual and easy resolutions, a regular *anustubh*.

32. Some milk her for soma; some worship ghee; they who gave the cow to the one knowing thus are gone to the triple heaven of the heaven.

The *pada*-mss., by an absurd blunder, read yd before *evám* into ydh instead of yd. It is apparently the intrusion of *vaçam* into **c** that makes the meter irregular. [Pādas **a**, **b** are a reminiscence of RV. **x**. 154. I (= AV. xviii. 2. 14).]

33. Having given the cow to the Brahmans, one attains all worlds; for rightcousness is set in her, also *bráhman*, likewise penance.

Ppp. reads in a vaçā dattvā brāh-, and in c āhitam instead of ārpitam.

-X. IO

34. On the cow the gods subsist; on the cow, men also; the cow became this all, so far as the sun looks abroad.

The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in a (read, by irregular combination, *devo* 'pa).

[Here ends the fifth  $anuv\bar{a}ka$ , with 2 hymns and 61 verses. The quoted Anukr. says, referring to this last hymn, *catasral* (i.e. 4 over 30).]

[One ms. (P.) sums up the verses aright as 350.]

[Here ends the twenty-third prapathaka.]

[This eleventh book is the fourth book of the second grand division of the Atharvan collection. As to the general make-up of the books of this division, see page 471. The Old Anukramanī describes the length of hymns 6 and 8 by stating the excess of each over 20 verses. All of the book except hymns 3 and 8 has been translated by Bloomfield in Sacred Books of the East, vol. xlii.; and all of it by Victor Henry, Les Livres X, XI et XII de l'Atharva-véda traduits et commentés, Paris, 1896. Here again we have the  $bh\bar{a}$ sya for the entire book.]

LThe ritual uses of this book are confined for the most part to the first hymn, nearly every verse of which is quoted in Kāuçika 60-63 and 65 in connection with the details of the *sava* sacrifice. Of the other nine hymns only sporadic citations are made by Kāuçika; and in the Vāitāna, only a single quotation (of 2.1) is made out of the whole book.

**LParyāya-hymns:** for details respecting them, see pages 471-2. The *paryāya*-hymn of this book is hymn 3, with 3 *paryāyas*.]

**Discrepancies of hymn-numeration, as** between the two editions, in so far as they are occasioned by the counting of each  $pary\bar{a}ya$ as a separate hymn by the Bombay edition. The matter is discussed at this place because it is in this book, page 625, that Whitney has condemned the procedure of the Bombay edition. The facts are as follows: **J** 

[In book viii., the Bombay edition, counting separately each of the 6 *paryāyas* of our last hymn (h. 10), makes for that book a total of 15 hymns; but, since the discrepancy is confined to our last hymn, the plus of 5 does not affect the numeration of the preceding 9.]

[In book ix., the Bombay edition, counting separately each of the 6 *paryāyas* of our hymn 6 (its 6-11), has a plus of 5 for our h. 7 (its 12) and the following. Our h. 7 is also a *paryāya*-hymn; but since it has but 1 *paryāya*, the plus remains a constant from our h. 7 to the end. The total is again 15.]

[In book x. there is no paryāya-hymn to affect the numeration.]

 $\lfloor$  In book xi., the Bombay edition, counting the 3 *paryāyas* of our hymn 3 as its 3 and 4 and 5, has a plus of 2 for our 4 (its 6) and the following. Its total is therefore 12. $\rfloor$ 

[In book xii., the Bombay edition, counting separately each of the 7 paryāvas of our last hymn (h. 5), makes for that book a total of 11 hymns; but, since the discrepancy is confined to our last hymn, the plus of 6 does not affect the numeration of the preceding 4.]

[In book xiii., the Bombay edition, counting separately each of the 6 *paryāyas* of our last hymn (h. 4), makes for that book a total of 9 hymns; but the discrepancy is confined to our last hymn (as in books viii. and xii.), and the plus of 5 does not affect the numeration of the preceding 3.]

Book xiv. contains no paryaya-hymn. Books xv. and xvi. consist wholly of paryayas, the former of 18 and the latter of 9, and there is accordingly no practical discrepancy between the two editions.

[In his Critical Notice (prefixed to vol. i.), pages 19-23, S. P. Pandit rests his procedure in this matter of numeration upon the authority of the Major Anukr. and of the Minor or Old Anukr. (*Pañcapațalikā*): see especially his page 23, end. His citations undoubtedly prove the right of each *paryāya* to be presented separately, and they are so presented in the Berlin edition. But the mss., in numbering the verses of each *paryāya*, begin anew each time with 1; perhaps this is required by the prescription of the Old Anukr. (Critical Notice, p. 23), *paryāyeşv avasānānām rgbhis tulyo vidhir bhavet*. Accordingly, R. and W. may be wrong in numbering the verses of a group of *paryāyas* continuously (see above, p. 472, top). But I am not sure that independent verse-numbering for each *paryāva* forbids the grouping of several related *paryāyas* into one *sākta*. This is the real point at issue between the two editions and 1 will not try to decide it.]

[I will say, however, that the uniformity of structure in books viii.-xi. as books of ten hymns each (see p. 471), which uniformity results from counting the *paryāyas* in groups, seems to support the procedure of R. and W. Moreover, as W. says (p. 472, top), the *paryāyas* of a given group taken together do "evidently constitute each [group] a whole"; and he is borne out by the comm. (at vol. iii., p. 56<sup>22</sup>), who speaks of the "rice-dish-triad," our xi. 3, as constituting one "subjectmatter-hymn" (*arthasūkta*: but not in its narrower technical sense). — It may be added that the Major Anukr., at the end of its 7th *paiala* and of its treatment of our book xi., says *evam saitrinçad arthasūktāni*: that is right; for books viii.-xi. have, according to the Berlin count,  $(4 \times 10 \text{ hymns} =)$  40 hymns, of which 4 hymns (our viii. Io, ix. 6, ix. 7, and xi. 3) are *paryāya-sūktas*, leaving 36 *artha-sūktas*. But this does not prove that our 4 *paryāya-sūktas* should not be counted as 16 (cf. p. 471, end).]

L The anuvāka-division of the book (as is explained on page 472) is into five anuvākas of two hymns each. The "decad"-division likewise is as described on page 472. A tabular conspectus for book xi. follows :

Anuvākas	I		2		3		4		5	
				<b>۔۔۔</b>			·		·	
Hymns	I	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Verses	37	31	56¶	26	26	23	27	31	26	27
Decad-div. 10+10+10+10+10+11 3P 10+10+6 10+10+6 10+13 10+10+7 10+10+14 10+10+6 10+10+7										

Here ¶ means "paragraph of a *paryāya*" (such as is numbered as a "verse" in the Berlin edition) and P means "*paryāya*." The last line shows the "decad"-division. Of t**gese** "decads," *anuvākas* 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 contain respectively 7, 3, 5, 6, and 6 (in all, 27 "decads"); while *anuvāka* 2 has 3 *paryāyas*. The sum is 27 "decad"-sūktas and 3 *paryāya-sūktas* or 30 sūktas.]

### 1. Accompanying a rice-dish offering.

[Brahman. — saptatrinçat. brāhmāudanikam. trā stubham : 1. anustubgarbhā bhurik paīkti ;

 brhatīgarbhā virāj ;
 drb. cākvaragarbhā jagatī ;
 brhatīgarbhā virāj ;
 cākvarāgarbhā jagatī ;
 brhatīgarbhā virāj ;
 cākvarāgarbhā jagatī ;
 briatīgarbhā virāj ;
 cākvarāgarbhā jagatī ;
 briatīgarbhā virāj ;
 us rādyāgati ;
 virād pagatī ;
 virād pagatī ;
 sati purotijagatā virād jagatī ;
 atijāgatāgarbhā parātijāgatā virād jagatī ;
 atijāgatāgarbhā parātijāgatā virād atijagatī ;
 atijāgatagarbhā paracākvarā 4-p. bhurij jagatī ;
 zatijāgatāgarbhā jagatī ;
 atijāgatagarbhā jagatī ;
 bhurij ;
 atijāgatagarbhā jagatī ;
 bhurij ;
 atijāgatāgarbhā jagatī ;
 bhurij ;
 atijāgatāgarbhā jagatī ;

[Verse 35 is prose.] Found also in Päipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 1-10, 12, 11, 13-18, 22, 19, 20, 21, 23-37). Nearly every verse of the hymn is quoted in Kāuç. 60-63 and 65 in connection with the description of the *sava* offerings; [see Bloomfield, page 610 and the following for details so far as they are helpful]. [Citations in other parts of Kāuç. are noted under the verses. The hymn is not noticed by Vāit. : see page 610.] \*[This curious addition to the Anukr., *nyāghrādiṣv avagantanyā*, recurs in the Anukr's treatment of xiv. 1.60 and of the c of xv. 5.1-7. See note to xv. 5.7.]

Translated : Henry, 97, 133; Griffith, ii. 51; Bloomfield, 179, 610.

1. O Agni, be thou born; Aditi here, suppliant, cooks a *brahmán*-ricedish, desiring sons; the seven seers, being-makers — let them churn thee here together with progeny.

Aditi's cooking of a rice-dish in order to obtain progeny is repeatedly referred to in the Brāhmaņas — probably by way of echo of this verse: compare TS. vi. 5. 6<sup>1</sup>; TB. i. 1. 9<sup>1</sup>; K. vii. 15; MS. i. 6. 12; Lii. 1. 12 and references]; Lalso AV. vi. 81. 3]; the comm. quotes the TS. passage in his explanation of the verse. He defines a brahmāudana as brahmaņe jagatsrastre svāhākūreņa deya odanah, and then adds: yad vā brahmāudanasavākhye 'smin karmaņi brāhmaņānām bhojanāya bhāgatvena kalpita odano brahmāudanah.

2. Make ye smoke, O ye bulls, companions, ye that are aided by the unhateful (?), unto speech; this Agni [is] fight-overpowering, having good heroes, by whom the gods overpowered the barbarians.

The second pāda is mechanically rendered, being quite corrupt, as appears [from the meter and] by comparison with the corresponding RV. verse (iii. 29.9), which reads instead *dsredhanta itana våjam dcha*. The comm. explains *ddrogh*- as *adrohakāriņāni sucaritrāņāni yajamānānām avitā raksitā*, as if the *pada*-reading were *-avitā* instead of *-avitāħ*. [W's Collation-book gives *-avitāħ* as *pada*-reading without note of variant; and this is the reading also of two or three of SPP's mss.: but he admits *-avitā* into his *pada*-text, following one or two mss.] RV. begins also *krņiðta*, and has *vīṣaṇam* for *vṛṣaṇas* in **a**; also *devāsas* (rectifying the meter) in **d**. All the mss. [save one or two] read *asahanta*, unaccented, in **d**, but both editions make the necessary emendation to *dsahanta* — which, of course, RV. has. I'pp. is corrupt in **d**, reading *devā* 'santa\*; after it *çatrūn*. \*[A most interesting instance of haplography on the part of the AV.: cf. note to iv. 5.5. Note the fourfold occurrence of the sound-combination *ăs* within the RV. pāda; and that three of these are reduced by Ppp. to one.]

3. O Agni, thou hast been born unto great heroism, unto the cooking of the *brahmán*-rice-dish, O Jātavedas; the seven seers, being-makers —

they have given thee birth; do thou confirm to this woman wealth with all heroes.

Ppp. reads paktaye in b, combines saptars- in c, and has in d asme and ni yachatām.

4. Kindled, O Agni, be thou kindled with kindling (samidh); mayest thou bring hither, knowing, the worshipful gods; for them cooking (cra) the oblation, O Jātavedas, make thou this man to ascend to the highest firmament (nåka).

Ppp. reads in **b** viçvā devān. In **a**, the comm. has samiddhah sa for sám idhyasva. [For **d**, cf. i. 9. 2, 4; vi. 63. 3.]

5. Threefold is set down the share that is yours of old — of gods, of Fathers, of mortals; know ye the portions  $(\dot{a}iqa)$ ; I share them out to you; that one that is the gods' shall set this woman across.

That is (at the end), as the comm. paraphrases it, *istaphalasva pāram gamayati* 'bring her to the further shore (the completion) of desired result.' Ppp. reads *jāta-vedas* in **a** for *yaḥ purā vaḥ*, inserts *uta* before *martyānām* in **b**, and has in **d** *sāi* 'vam for sa *imām*.

6. O Agni, powerful, overcoming, thou overcomest; put down (ni-ubj)[our] hating rivals; let this measure  $(m \Delta t r \bar{a})$ , being measured, and measured, make [thy] fellows tribute-bringers to thee.

The comm. does not try to give ny ubja a more distinctive meaning than adhomukhān pātaya; the obscure mātrā he simply glosses by nirmātrā [as instrumental sing. masc. (supplying iyam  $\{\bar{a}l\bar{a} \text{ as subject}\}$ ; but the three translators take it as nom.].

7. In company with thy fellows, be thou united with milk; urge her up unto great heroism; ascend aloft to the summit (visiap) of the firmament (nika), which they call by the name heavenly world.

Addressed, according to the comm., to the sacrificer; the Kāuç. (61.20) makes it accompany the pouring of rice into the mortar. The comm. explains *ud ubja* as *ud* gamaya unnataçiraskām kuru. Ppp. reads sujātāis in **a**, and visiapas in **c**.

8. Let this great one (mahi) accept the hide, the divine earth, with favoring mind; then may we go to the world of the well-done.

This accompanies, as is plain, the spreading-out of the ox-hide upon the ground (so Kāuç. 60. 30). Ppp. reads in b *prthivyāi*, and, at the end, *sukrtām u lokam*. The last pāda is the same with vi. 121. 1 d; vii. 83.4 d.

9. Join thou on the hide these two allied stones; split apart the shoots (*aiçú*) successfully for the sacrificer; smiting down, smite them that would fight her; bearing up thy progeny aloft, lift up.

The feminine participles in c, d indicate that the verse is addressed to the wife of the sacrificer, though the indicate that the first half as for the priest. Angu he regards as applied by a figure to the rice, as gravayau 'soma-pressing-stones,' means mortar and pestle. 'Smite down' and 'lift up' are the alternate movements of the pestle, each viewed as symbolical. Imam is redundant in c as regards both meter and sense;

б13

perhaps it has blundered in here out of 11 c. Ppp. is corrupt in c and d, but can be seen to read *uddharantī* in d. The verse and its parts are quoted in Kāuç. 61. 18, 22, 24.

10. Seize in thy hand, O hero, the two joint-acting (*sakit*) stones; the worshipful gods have come to thy sacrifice; three boons, whichsoever thou choosest — those successes do I here make successful for thee.

The comm. and one or two of SPP's authorities read sukf tāu in **a** (Ppp. sayujā); sakft is not elsewhere found used as an adjective. Ppp. further combines hasta ā into hastā in **a**-b, and reads yajūeyā and ayus in **b**. The comm. renders te in **b** as if it were te. [The definition of the Anukr. may perhaps mean 'a jagatt of elevens (virād-jagatī), which possesses a thirteen at the beginning, (and which is) deficient-by-two (virāt).']

II. This [is] thy thought  $(? dh\bar{\imath}tt)$  and this thy place of birth; let Aditi, of hero-sons, seize thee; cleanse away them that would fight her; confirm to her wealth with all heroes.

Said, according to Kāuç. (61.23), in connection with taking up the winnowing fan (farpa). The comm. explains  $dh\bar{i}t\bar{i}$  as  $= p\bar{a}na$ , taking it from the root  $dh\bar{a}$  'suck.' One would like to derive it from  $dh\bar{a}$  'put,' as 'place' or something similar. Ppp. reads at the end *ni yachāt*.

12. Sit ye in the wooden blower (? upaçvasá); be ye winnowed, worshipful ones, from the husks. By fortune (*cri*) may we surpass all [our] equals; I make [our] haters to fall under foot.

[The second half-verse recurs below, vs. 21.] The majority of SPP's authorities, and some of ours (P.M.W.O.s.m.R T.) read *dhruvdye* [Ppp. *druye*] in **a**; also the comm., who explains it as = *dhruvāya sthirāya satyaphalāya karmaņe*; *upaçvasé* [Ppp. *upasvade*] he absurdly takes for a verb: (*he tandulā yuṣmāņ*) *upa samīpa āçvāsayāmi prabhūtān karomi*! [The meaning '*das Blasen*, *Luftzug*,' is assigned to it in OB. iii. 257 b.] [Ppp. reads *pādayema* at the end of **d**.] The verse accompanies (so K.iuç. 61. 29) the operation of winnowing. The comm. treats *yajūiyāsas* in **b** as nominative.

13. Go away, woman; come back quickly; the stall (gostha) of the waters hath ascended thee for bearing; seize then of them  $\lfloor f \rfloor$  whichever shall be worshipful; having shared [them] out wisely, then leave the others.

The comm. explains *gostha* by *jalarāçi*; it is rather, doubtless, the vessel in which the water is brought, on the shoulder or head (*adhi-ruh*: comm. *çirasi ā-ruh*). [Cf. OB. iii. 261 b.] The comm. reads *āsan* at end of **c**. Ppp. combines *yajātā 'san* in **c**, and in **d** reads *vibhajya*, and *hvayīta* for *jahītāt*. SPP. reads in **b** *gosthó 'dhy*, with the majority of his authorities.

14. These maidens (yosit) have come, adorning themselves; stand up, woman, take hold of the mighty one; well-spoused with husband, progeny-possessing with progeny; to thee hath come the sacrifice; receive thou the vessel (kumbhá).

The comm. explains the 'maidens' as the 'water-bringing women,' but they are evidently the waters (fem.) themselves: compare vss. 17, 27 below. The comm. reads *tava sam*, two separate words, in **b**; [Roth, in his Notes, adds that Ppp. reads *tavas sam bharasva*]; the 'mighty one' is the 'vessel' of **d**. Verses 13-15 are quoted in Kāuç. (60. 25-29), but not in natural sequence with the verses that precede and follow.

15. The portion of refreshment  $(\hbar rj)$  [is] set down which [is] yours of old; do thou, instructed by the scer, bring these waters; let this sacrifice be for you progress-gaining  $(g\bar{a}tu-vid)$ , refuge-gaining, progeny-gaining, formidable, cattle-gaining, hero-gaining.

'Thou' in **b** is fem., the water-bearer, doubtless, of vs. 13. The 'yours' of **a** and the 'you' of **d** refer probably to those interested in the ceremony, though the comm. understands the former of the waters. Ppp. reads *nihatas* in **a**, combines and reads -*stā 'pā 'harāi 'tāh* in **b**, puts *nāthavid* before gātuvid in **c**, and elides *vo 'stu* in **d**.

16. O Agni, the worshipful pot hath ascended thee: bright (cici), very hot, do thou heat it with heat; let those of the seers, those of the gods, gathering unto their share, very hot, heat this with the seasons.

The comm. understands the epithets in **b** as belonging to *carús*, which is doubtless wrong; those in **c** he understands of 'Brahmans' and 'attendants on Indra and the other gods'; without much question, the flames of Agni are intended. Ppp. reads in **c** *devā 'bhisanihatya*. The verse plainly accompanies the setting of the vessel for boiling on the fire: so Kāuç. 61.31; also 2.7.

17. Let these cleansed, purified, worshipful maidens, the waters, beauteous ones, creep down to the pot; they have given us abundant progeny, cattle; let the cooker of the rice-dish go to the world of the well-doers.

[Pāda **a** is identical with vs. 27 **a** and vi. 122. 5 **a**.] The mss. are about equally divided in **c** between bahulâm and bahulân (our I.T.K.Kp. have the latter; O. has  $-l\bar{a}mn$ ); SPP. accepts the latter, we the former; the comm. has  $-l\bar{a}n$ ; and he reads pakvā for paktā in **d** [or **c**]. Ppp. has dadat for adus in **c**, and eti for etu in **d**. The verse concerns the pouring in of the water: so Kāuç. 61. 34-5, and 2 8. —[If we read bahulân c a in **c**, and in **d** pakvāúdanasya as a compound (against the pada-division, which reckons paktā to **c**, and against the double accent) and u for etu, we get most acceptable sense and meter: lokám would be construed as coördinate with paçún and pakvāúdanasya as coördinate with nas (cf. xi. 8. 10 **c** and Speyer, Vedische Syntax, § 71, end). The heroic surgery implies no worse corruptions than we have often secn. But this is all mere suggestion.]

18. Cleansed with prayer (*bráhman*) and purified with ghee, shoots of Soma [are] these worshipful rice-grains; enter ye the waters; let the pot receive you; having cooked this, go ye to the world of the well-doers.

A few mss. (including our O.) read *etu* for *eta* in **d**. Ppp. has instead *eti*; further, in **a**, *utpūtās*, and, ..., *apa praviçyatu*. The verse accompanies the pouring of the rice-grains into the water: so Kāuç. 61. 36, and 2. 9. [Read somānçávas?] [The Anukr. seems to scan as 12 + 13 : 12 + 13 = 50; but the mark of pāda-division is after *carur*, not before it.]

615

19. Spread thyself broad, with great greatness, thousand-backed, in the world of the well-done: grandfathers, fathers, progeny, descendants (upaja): I am thy fifteen-fold cooker.

Fifteen-fold [cf. Skt. Gram. § 488], probably, as representing so many generations, or degrees of kindred. The verse accompanies the boiling [Kāuç. 61. 37: employed also in connection with other verses at 68. 27], and alludes apparently to the swelling of the mess in the process. Ppp. combines te 'smi at the end. The mss. vary between paktā and paktvā in d (our T.K.Kp. have vta); SPP. gives paktā, with the large majority of his authorities, and it is doubtless the true reading. The comm. has again paktvā. [Correct the Berlin ed. to paktā.]

20. Thousand-backed, hundred-streamed, unexhausted, [is] the *brah-mán*-rice-dish, god-traveled, heaven-going; them yonder I assign to thee; lessen (?) thou them with progeny; be gracious then to me [as] bringer of tribute.

Kāuç. makes no use of this parenthetical verse of praise, prayer, and imprecation. The comm. and two of SPP's authorities read *reçaya* in **c**, and the comment to Prāt. iii. 94 (though reading *reṣayāi 'nān*) quotes it as an example of a palatal or lingual or dental mute interposed between r and n, which would seem to imply *recaya*.\* The comm. glosses his *reçaya* with *leçaya alpīkuru*, and, as the expression looks as if meant for the opposite to that in vs. 21 **a**, the translation has been made accordingly. [Ppp. reads *akṣato* at end of **a**.] [Where the Anukr. finds a pāda of 13 syllables I know not. — The one of 14 must be **c**: does *para* mean simply the second half-verse?] \*[That is, it implies the mute (c) rather than the sibilant (f), the intervention of which was treated in the preceding rule, iii. 93.]

21. Go thou up to the sacrificial hearth; increase her with progeny; push [away] the demon; set her further forward; by fortune may we surpass all [our] equals; I make [our] haters to fall under foot.

The last half-verse is the same with vs. 12 c, d above. The whole evidently accompanies the bringing of the cooked dish to the place of offering: according to Kāuç. 61.41, its removal from the fire. Ppp. reads *enam* at end of **a**, *pratirain dhehy enam* at end of **b**, *paçyā* for *çriyā* in c, and *pādayema* [cf. vs. 12] at end of **d**.

22. Turn thou toward her together with cattle; be opposite to her together with the divinities; let not curse attain thee, nor witchcraft (*abhicārá*); bear rule (*vi-rāj*) in thine own field (*kṣćtra*), free from disease.

The comm. reads enān in both **a** and **b**. [All] the *pada*-mss. read *anamīvāh* in **d** [save SPP's J. *prima manu*: W's translation and the comm. imply -va, and this SPP. has adopted as his *pada*-reading]. Ppp. has in **a** *prajayā sahāi 'nam*, and, for **c**, **d**, a very different (and corrupt) text: *svargo lokam abhi samvihīnam ādityo deva parame vyoma*; [its **b** is corrupt]. According to Kāuç. 61. 42, with this verse the vessel is made to take a turn to the right. In **b** the duplication of  $\bar{n}$  before *enām* is overlooked in nearly all the mss., and SPP. admits in his text the ungrammatical combination.

23. Fashioned by righteousness (*rtá*), set by mind, this was ordained in the beginning the sacrificial hearth of the *brahmán*-rice-dish; apply,

O woman, the cleansed shoulder-bearer (?); on that set the rice-dish of them of the gods.

SPP. reads in c anisadrim, with rather the larger number of authorities (of our mss. Bp.P.M.W.I.K.Kp.), though only -dhrim seems to offer any etymology, and that an unsatisfactory one. The comm. has ançadhrim 'portion-holder,' which is perhaps the true reading. The pada-text leaves the word undivided. The mss. of Kāuç. (61.44), it may be noted, also vary between anisadhrim and -drim in quoting the pratika of the second half-verse. Dāivyānām would rectify the meter of d, but no ms. reads it, though two of SPP's, and the comm., give devānām. Ppp. reads in a manaso hite 'yam, in b nihantā for vihitā, in c açadhriyam, emended by another hand to -ddhiyam.

24. Aditi's hand, this second ladle (*srúc*), which the seven seers, being-makers, made — let that spoon, knowing the members of the rice-dish, collect it upon the sacrificial hearth.

The comm. reads in **a** hastam and dvitiyam, indicating that he regards the adjective as qualifying hastām rather than srucam — which may well be the case. Ppp. [has hastām and] combines saptarsayas.

25. Let them of the gods sit by thee, a cooked (crtd) oblation; having crept out of the fire, sit thou forward again to them; purified by soma, sit thou in the belly of the worshipers (brahmdn); let not them of the seers, partakers  $(pracit\hat{r})$  of thee, suffer harm.

Ppp. begins *çrutam tvā havir*, has for **b** anusrpyā 'gne punar enam pra srpyas (without any avasāna), reads in **c**-**d** brāhmaņā ārseyās, and reads and combines ma rṣam in **d**. The comm. [with two of SPP's authorities] reads devās at end of **a**, and treats te in **d** as te. Accompanies, according to Kāuç. 63. 3, the seating of 'four ārseyas, who know the bhrgvaāgiras' by the offering.

26. O king Soma, strew harmony for them, for whatsoever good Brahmans shall sit by thee; with good call, I call loudly to the *brahmán*-rice-dish the seers, them of the seers, born from penance (*tápas*).

In a-b, for -bhyah súbrāhmaņās, Ppp. reads -bhyo brāhm- [intending perhaps dbrāhmaṇās? cf. vs. 32]; in c it has rsīnām rsayas tap-, and jātā (so also the comm.) for -tān; [and begins **d** with brāhmāudane]. The comm. understands suhavā in **d** as fem., and makes the sacrificer's wife the speaker. The verse is not quoted in Kāuç.; [but Keçava cites it just before vs. 25 in 63.3].

27. These cleansed purified worshipful maidens I seat in separate succession in the hands of the priests (*brahmán*); with what desire I now pour you on, may Indra here with the Maruts grant me that.

[Pāda  $\mathbf{a} = vs. 17 \ \mathbf{a}$ .] Nearly identical with vi. 122. 5, and slightly different from x. 9. 27. The verse is quoted by Kāuç. 63. 4; [so the comm. : under vi. 122. 5 he made the sūtra apply to that verse]. Ppp. has a wholly different  $\mathbf{a}$ : *iyam āpo madhumatī ghṛta(cyuto*; [it reads *brāhmaņā* at beginning of **b**]; and combines *yatkāme 'dam* in **c**.

28. This my light (*jyótis*), immortal gold, cooked (pakvá) from the field, this my desire-milker; this riches I deposit in the Brahmans; I make a road to the Fathers that is heaven-going.

The construction of the nominatives in a, b is left undetermined in the translation, as it is in the text. [Cf. Griffith's version and note, p. 55.] Ppp. has *hiranmayani* in a, and *yat swargāi* at the end of d. The verse is quoted by Kāuç. at 62. 22 and 68. 27; and at 63. 5 Kāuç. cites b [comm. b and c] as accompanying a removal of something (*ity apakarṣati*: it is not clear what; [the comm. thinks the rice-dish and reads *upa*for *apa*-]).

29. Strew thou the husks in the fire, in Jātavedas; wipe off far away the chaff (?kambūkān); this we have heard to be the share of the house-king; also we know the portion (*bhāgadhéya*) of Perdition (*nírṛti*).

Ppp. reads upa mṛḍhvayetām for apa mṛḍḍhi dūram in b. The comm. expl as kambūkān as = phalikaranān, and follows Kāuç. (63. 7) in regarding the 'wiping  $\iota$ .eay' as done with the foot.

30. Know thou the toiling, cooking, soma-pressing one; make him to ascend the heaven-going road, by which he may ascend, arriving at the vigor that is beyond, to the highest firmament, to the furthest vault (*vyoman*).

'Know,' i.e. take note or be mindful of. The comm. takes the three participles in **a** as accus. pl. instead of gen. sing.; [and reads accordingly *enān* at end of **b**]. Ppp. has, for **b**, *swargam lokam adhi rohaye* 'nam, and omits **d**. The quotation in Kāuç. 63. 20 casts no light on the verse.

31. Wipe off, O serving priest (*adhvaryú*), this face of the bearing one (*?babhrí*); make thou, understanding it, room for the sacrificial butter; wipe off with ghee along all [its] members; I make a road to the Fathers that is heaven-going.

The real sense of the epithet *babhri* applied to the *odana* or rice-dish is obscure; the comm. explains it here with *bharaṇaçīlasya poṣakasya pakvasya odanasya*, 'supporting ' or 'nourishing.' The comm. appears to read *vidvān* instead of *pravidvān* in **b**; Ppp. has *prajānan*. Ppp. has *yat* for *yas* in **d**. According to Kāuç. 62. 15, the verse accompanies the making of an *āpāna* (? the mss. vary as to the word) above (*upari*); which the comm. explains by *odanasyo 'pari gartam kuryāt*, glossing *lokam* in **b** with *sthānam gartarūpam*; what is meant is obscure.

32. O bearing one, [as] a demon, strew discord for them, for whatsoever non-Brahmans shall sit by thee; rich in ground (?purīsin), spreading themselves forward, let not them of the seers, partakers of thee, suffer harm.

With the first half-verse compare vs. 26 a, b, above; the last pāda is the same with 25 d. The construction of *rdksas* in a is doubtful; it might be vocative; the comm. combines it into a compound with *samadam*; and he treats *te*, as before, as if it were *té*. Ppp. reads at beginning of b, as our text in vs. 26, *subrākmaņās*. The verse is not quoted in Kāuç.

33. I set thee down, O rice-dish, among them of the seers; for them that are not of the seers there is no portion here; let Agni my guardian, and all the Maruts, let all the gods defend the cooked [offering].

-xi. I

'Is no portion for,' lit'ly 'is not also (api) of '-- a common form of expression in the Brāhmaņas. Ppp. reads *rakṣanti* in **d**. The verse is quoted with vs. 25 c in Kāuç. 65. 12.

34. The offering, yielding milk (duh), constantly full (prdpina), a male (pumains) milch-cow, seat of wealth, immortality through offspring, and a long life-time — and may we sit by thee with abundance (pl.) of wealth.

The construction of the third pāda is very indeterminate; the words may be either nominative or accusative; they express in some way what the offering is to procure. To illustrate *prajāmṛtatvam*, the comm. quotes, quite appositely, TB. i. 5. 5<sup>6</sup> and RV. v. 4. 10, 'by progeny, O Agni, may I obtain immortality.' *Prapinam* he explains as = *prawrddhodhaskam*, which is doubtless its true meaning. Ppp. reads in **d** *poṣam* for *poṣāis*. Neither this nor the following verse, nor vs. 37, is quoted in Kāuç. [Cf. Henry's version, p. 102; and, for the awkward *ca*, his note, p. 139.]

35. Thou art a heaven-going bull; go to the seers, to them of the seers; sit in the world of the well-doing; there is there preparation (samskyta) for us both.

[Prose.] Ppp. reads *rṣabhas* at the beginning, and *lokam* for *loke* in c. With the second half-verse is to be compared TS. i. 4.  $43^2$ , and MS. i. 3. 37 (end) and iv. 8. 2 (end), which read: *sukṛtām loké sīdata tán naḥ samskṛtām*; and VS. iv. 34 has the last pāda, reading *tán* for *tátra*. The *pada*-texts do not divide *samskṛtam*; the case falls under rule iv. 58 of the AV. Prāt.

36. Gather thou together unto, go thou together forth after; O Agni, make ready (*kalpay-*) the roads traveled by the gods; by them, well-made, may we go after the offering, that stands upon the seven-rayed firmament.

All the mss. [save one or two of SPP's] leave *agne* unaccented, as if it belonged to **a**, and Bp. puts the double division-mark after it accordingly; SPP. reads with the [majority of his] mss.; we have made the necessary emendation to *dgne*. Ppp. reads at the beginning *samātanuṣva*; for **c** it has *yebhis sukrtāir anu prajāeṣthans sa yajāe*. The comm. regards **a** as addressed to the rice-dish, which is to 'gather up' all its members. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 63.9, but not in a way to cast any light upon it. TS. iv. 7. 134 and MS. ii. 12. 4 are to be compared with the first half-verse, but they vary much from it and from one another.

37. With what light the gods went up to the sky, having cooked the *brahmán*-rice-dish, to the world of the well-done, with that may we go to the world of the well-done, ascending the heaven, unto the highest firmament.

The second half-verse is identical with iv. 14.6 c, d, above [see my note]. The comm. reads *jeşma* in c, explaining it by *jayema*  $[= pr\bar{a}pnuy\bar{a}ma$ ]. Ppp. has, instead of this repetition, a new half-verse : tam triā pacāmi jyotisām jyotir uttamam sa nas tad dhehi sukrtām u loke.

[The quoted Anukr. here says saptā 'nupūrveņa çesāh syus trinçateh parāh.]

#### 2. To Rudra, especially as Bhava and Carva.

[Atharvan. — ekatrinçat. mantroktarudradāsvatam. trās tubham.\* 1. parātijāgatā virād jagatī; 2. anus tubgarbhā 5-p. pathyājagatī; 3. 4-p. svarād us uh ; 4, 5, 7, 13, 15, 16, 21. anus tubh; 6. ārsī gāyatrī; 8. mahābrhatī; 9. ārsī; 10. puro'krti 3-p. virāj; 11 5-p. virād jagatīgarbhā çakvarī; 12. bhurij; 14, 17, 18, 19, 23, 26, 27. virād gāyatrī; 20. bhurig gāyatrī; 22. visamapādalaksmyā 3-p. mahābrhatī; 24, 29. jagatī; 25. 5-p. atiçakvarī; 30. 4-p. us uh; 31. 3-av. viparītapādalaksmyā 6-p. [...]agatī?].]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. — \* [Verses 9 and 28 are *triṣṭubh*, the remaining 29 being exceptions ! ]

[The pratika coincides with that of xi. 6. 9, bhavāçarvāv idám brāmaļa, and that of iv. 28, bhávāçarvāu manvé vām: see introduction to the latter. The only quotation in Vāit. is at 29. 10, where the hymn accompanies an offering to Rudra: and it is accordingly reckoned to the rāudra gaņa (note to Kāuç. 50. 13). Verse 31 is reckoned to the abhaya gaņa (note to Kāuç. 16. 8). Further citations in Kāuç. are as follows: the hymn is used (129. 3) with an oblation in deprecating an evil omen; Dārila understands it as meant at 28. 8 (see introd. to iv. 28); Keçava and the comm. hold that it is to be used with a dozen other hymns in a rite (50. 13-14) for safety on a business journey; Keçava (not the comm.) takes it to be intended with v. 6 at 51. 7 in a rite for the safety of the cattle. — According to Caland's interpretation of yuktayos at 50. 17, it is to be used (with vi. 128) in the rite there prescribed for keeping snakes etc. from house and field; but perhaps iii. 26 and 27 are rather intended (see my introduction to iii. 26).]

Translated : Muir, iv. 334; Ludwig, p. 549; Henry, 103, 139; Griffith, ii. 57; Bloomfield, 155, 618. — Cf. also Bergaigne-Henry, *Manuel*, p. 157; and von Schroeder, *Tubinger Katha-hss.*, p. 14–15, where the text corresponding to our verses 1–9 and 13 and 16 is given.

I. O Bhava-and-Çarva, be gracious; do not go against [us]; ye lords of beings, lords of cattle, homage to you! [the arrow] that is fitted, that is drawn, do not let fly; do not harm our bipeds nor quadrupeds.

The comm. first explains  $m\dot{a}$  in **a** as if it were  $m\ddot{a}$ , object of *abhi yātam*, and then, alternatively, in its proper sense. [For  $\dot{a}yata_i$  see note to vi. 65. 1.]

2. Make ye not bodies for the dog, the jackal, for the buzzards (*?aliklava*), the vultures, and them that are black [and] greedy (*avisyú*); let thy flies, lord of cattle, let thy birds not find themselves at food.

'Bodies' (*carīra*) must be taken here in the sense of 'dead bodies.' The accent of *kártam* is, though rather strange, not indefensible, as in the former of two parallel clauses; the comm. reads instead *kartum*. Altklava is found only here and in 9.9, and is rendered purely conjecturally; the comm. reads instead aviklabebhyas, and Ppp. ariklavebhyas. All the *pada*-mss. separate *māvidanta* at the end into *mā*: avidanta; SPP., in his *pada*-text, makes, with the comm., the necessary emendation to vid-. The construction and sense of **d** are obscure and doubtful; Ppp. has a wholly different reading: viçase mā viçyantu.

3. Unto thy noise (?kránda), [thy] breath, and what pangs (?rópi) are thine, O Bhava — homage we pay to thee that art thousand-eyed, O Rudra, immortal one.

The comm. glosses krandāya with krandanāya çabdāya, and ropayas with ropayitryo mohayitryas tanvah; he reads at the end amartyas, explaining it as used for a dative.

4. We pay thee homage in front, above, also below; forth from the sphere of the sky, homage [be] to thine atmosphere.

The comm. explains abhīvargá as  $= avak\bar{a}c\bar{a}tmaka \ \bar{a}k\bar{a}cah$ . The verse is mostly wanting in Ppp.

5. To thy face, O lord of cattle; the eyes that thou hast, O Bhava; to [thy] skin, form, aspect, to thee standing opposite [be] homage.

Or 'to thy mouth,' instead of 'face.' The comm. paraphrases praticināya with pratyagātmarūpiņe.

6. To thy members, belly, tongue, thy mouth, to thy teeth, smell, [be] homage.

Ppp. (omitting the first *te*) combines  $a\bar{n}gebhyo$  'darāya and jihvāyā "syāya [and reads *ca* for *te* at end of **b**].

7. With the blue-locked archer, the thousand-eyed, vigorous, with Rudra, the half-smiter (?) — with him may we not come into collision  $(sam \cdot r)$ .

Ardhaka-ghātin, in c, is met with only here, and is of obscure meaning; the comm. says senāyā ardham hantum çīlam asya, i.e. 'able to destroy half an army at once.' No variant is reported from Ppp. [in the Collation : but in his Notes, Roth does report adhvaga-]; the minor Pet. Lex. says "Ppp. adhvaga-," and itself conjectures andhaka-. [Cf. the notes of Henry, Griffith, Bloomfield. The Katha reading, however, should now be taken into account; and that has in fact adhvaga-: see Katha-hss., p. 155.] Ppp. has at the end samarāmasi.

8. Let this Bhava avoid us on every side; as fire the waters, let Bhava avoid us; let him not plot against us; homage be to him.

Ppp. reads  $\bar{a}p\bar{a}i$  ' $v\bar{a}$  'gnis pari in **b**, and combines *no abhi* in **c**. The comm. has in **c** the regular form *maista*; but long  $\bar{a}$  in this tense occurs a couple of times in other texts also.

9. Four times  $\lfloor catilis \rfloor$  homage, eight times, to Bhava; ten times, O lord of cattle, be homage to thee; thine are shared out these five creatures (paqil) - cows, horses, men, sheep and goats.

All the mss. agree in the inconsistent readings astakitvas and dáça kitvas (cf. Prāt. iv. 27); SPP. regards the comm. as having daçakitvas as a compound, but I do not see on what ground. Ppp. reads in **d** gāvo <sup>6</sup>çvās purusānd aj-.

10. Thine are the four directions, thine the heaven, thine the earth, thine, O formidable one, this wide atmosphere, thine is all this that has life  $(\bar{a}tm\dot{a}n)$ , that is breathing upon  $(\dot{a}nu)$  the earth.

Ppp. omits tava prthivī, thus rectifying the meter; and it has for **d** yad cjad adhi bhūmyām.

11. This wide vessel, holder of good things, is thine, within which are all these beings; do thou be gracious to us, O lord of cattle; homage to thee; away let the jackals, the portents (*abhibhá*), the dogs go, away the weepers of evil with disheveled hair.

The comm. identifies the vessel (koça) with the andakatāha, the shell of the worldegg. He takes abhibhās as = abhibhavitāras, and epithet of krostāras, and agharudas as = amanīgalam yathā bhavati tathā rodanam kurvatyah; [but see viii. I. 19 and references]. [The meter of **b** would be rectified by reading vlçvāni in place of imā vlçvā.]

12. Thou bearest a yellow golden bow, a thousand-slaying, hundredweaponed, O tufted one; Rudra's arrow goes, a god-missile; to that be homage, in whichever direction from here.

SPP. reads in **b** sahasraghnl, with the majority of his authorities; none of ours have it, but P.M.W. have *-ghnyám*, with two of SPP's mss., and with the comm. [cf. note to x. 4. 7 and Henry's note]; Ppp. gives *-ghni*. The comm. has *çikhandi* at end of **b**.

13. He who, attacked  $(abhi-y\bar{a})$ , hides himself, [who] tries to put thee down, O Rudra, him from behind thou pursuest, like the tracker (? padant) of one that is pierced.

With the last pāda compare x. 1. 26 b; the expression is apparently a familiar or proverbial one: [cf. Manu viii. 44, 'as the hunter follows the track (*padam nayati*) of a deer by the drops of blood'; also Dhammapada, vss. 179, 180]. Ppp. reads *ugra* instead of *rudra* in b.

14. Bhava-and-Rudra, allied, in concord, both go about, formidable, unto heroism; to them be homage, in whichever direction from here.

Ppp., instead of repeating vs. 12 d, reads tayor bhūmim antarikṣam svar dyāus tābhyām namo bhavamatyāya [cf. vs. 19 a?] kṛṇva. The comm. explains vīryāya [alternatively] by svavīryaprakaṭanārtham, which is doubtless correct.

15. Homage be to thee coming, homage be [to thee] going away; homage to thee, O Rudra, standing; to thee sitting also [be] homage.

SPP's text has in a *te* 'stv, with about half of his authorities. The verse is repeated as 4. 7, below, with prana for *rudra* in c; Ppp. reads prana in both places. The first half-verse is found in AÇS. i. 12. 34 and Ap. ix. 2. 9, in both with *rudra* for *astu* in **b**.

16. Homage in the evening, homage in the morning, homage by night, homage by day; to Bhava and to Çarva, to both have I paid homage.

17. With the thousand-eyed one, seeing across in front, with Rudra, hurling in many places, inspired one, may we not come in collision, as he goes about (iya) with the tongue.

The comm. paraphrases atipaçyám with atiçayenā 'tikramya vā paçyati (the word is omitted in both Pet. Lexx.), and connects purastāt either with it or with asyantam; jihváyé "yamānam he explains as jihvāgreņa krtsnam jagad vyāpnuvantam bhakṣaņārtham lihantam, which is rather absurd; perhaps jihvayā (so Ludwig) belongs rather to 'we': 'we, by what we say.' 18. We go forward  $(p\dot{n}rva)$  to meet him of dark horses, black, swarthy, killing, fearful, making to fall the chariot of the hairy one (kccin); homage be to him.

The comm. understands the connection as here given, making keçin the name of an Asura; Ludwig takes *ratham* as object of *pratī* 'mas and the other words as its epithets. [Ppp. reads  $(y\bar{a}v\bar{a}syam)$  at the beginning, and has, in **b**, *bhimo* and *pārayantam*.]

19. Do not let fly at us the club (? matyà), the god-missile; be not angry at us, O lord of cattle; homage to thee; elsewhere than [over] us shake out the heavenly bough.

The bough, namely (so it would seem), from which the portents that fall from the sky appear to be shaken by a hostile divinity. The comm. reads *martyam* instead of *matyam* in **a**. He recognizes that  $sr\bar{a}s$  (i.e.  $sr\bar{a}[ks]s$ ) is from root srj (" = vi srja"). Ppp. has  $sr\bar{a}$  m. devahitam in **a**.

20. Do not harm us; bless us; avoid us; be not angry; let us not come into collision with thee.

Ppp. omits nas before brūhi in a, and has at the end arāmasi (as in vs. 7).

21. [Be] not [greedy] for our kine, our men; be not greedy for our goats and sheep; elsewhere, O formidable one, roll forth [thy missile]; smite the progeny of the mockers ( $piy\bar{a}ru$ ).

The insertion in c seems unavoidable; the comm. [in a passage restored by SPP.?] supplies tava hetim; Ludwig, deinen Pfeil. [Ppp. inserts 'quesu before gosu.]

22. Of whom the *takmán*, the *kásikā*, goes as one weapon, like the noise of a stallion horse, to him, leading out in succession, be homage.

The verse is very obscure, and the translation mechanical; Ppp. reads, in a-b,  $ek\bar{a}$ '*(vasya*, and this reading is followed; the comm. supplies, to *ekam*, *apakāriņam purusam*, and makes it object of *eti* = *prāpnoti*. Ludwig understands *nirņayate* as 'extracting arrows from the quiver.' [As for *vrsaņas*, cf. JAOS. x. 534, 524.]

23. He who stands propped up in the atmosphere, killing the nonsacrificing, the god-mockers — to him be homage with the ten clever ones  $(c\dot{a}kvar\bar{t})$ .

The 'ten clever oncs' are probably the fingers: cf. v. 28. 11; the comm. glosses the word with  $a\bar{n}gulibhis$ , as = karmasu çaktābhih. Ppp. begins yas tisthati viçvabhrto antarikse 'yajvanas pra-.

24. To thee are assigned the forest animals  $\lfloor paqui \rfloor$ , the wild beasts in the woods, the geese (*haisá*), eagles, hawks, birds; thine, O lord of cattle, is the monster (*?yaksá*) within the waters; for thine increase flow the waters of the heaven.

Ppp. reads, for **b**, tubhyam vayānsi çakunās patatriņah, elides the a of apsu in **c**, and combines divyā "po in **d**. The comm. has mrdhe at the end, explaining it as = undanāya. He takes yaksam as = pūjyam svarūpam, but does not give any reason why, etymological or other. [Our a is nearly xii. 1. 49 a (with the same redundancy of a dissyllable), and b is precisely xii. 1. 51 b: for *paçavas*, cf. also iii. 31. 3, xi. 5. 21, and iii. 10. 6 note.]

25. The dolphins (*çinçumára*), boas (*ajagará*), *purīkáyas, jashás*, fishes, *rajasás*, at which thou hurlest: there is no distance for thee nor hindrance for thee, O Bhava; at once thou lookest over the whole earth; from the eastern thou smitest in the northern ocean.

Ppp. begins siçumārā 'jagarāḥ purīṣayā jagā mat-. The comm. has pulīkayās (like MS.); he takes rajavā (p. sdh) as if it were the instr. sing. rdjasā; he passes jaṣās and mátsyās without mention, but defines çinçumāra as 'a kind of crocodile (nakra)' and ajagara as 'a kind of serpent.' For jaṣās, some of the mss. (including our Bp.P.M.W.) have jakhās, one or two (including our I.) have jaghās, and one of SPP's *jhaṣās;* doubtless it is the sea-monster called later *jhaṣa.* Nearly all the mss. have sárvān in **d** (only our B. [and D.Kp.?] and two of SPP's sárvāni), and SPP's text accordingly admits it, though it seems an evident error, and the comm. reads  $-v\bar{a}m$ . Most of the pada-mss. resolve pariṣthāsti into -sthā : dsti (instead of asti). We are surprised to find a 'northern' ocean spoken of, and set over against the 'eastern' one [cf. xi. 5.6], but úttara cannot well mean anything else. Consistency requires the reading -smint sam- in **e**, but the t is accidentally omitted in our text, and SPP's also leaves it out.

26. Do not, O Rudra, unite (sam-srj) us with the takmán, not with poison, not with the fire of heaven; elsewhere than [on] us make that lightning fall.

The comm. again correctly paraphrases sam srāh with sam srja.

27. Bhava is master  $(\bar{i}_{f})$  of the heaven, Bhava of the earth; Bhava has filled the wide atmosphere; to him be homage, in whichever direction from here.

All our mss., and nearly all SPP's, strangely read  $tdsy\bar{a}i$  at beginning of c, as if governed by the example of vs. 12 d. SPP. emends to  $tdsm\bar{a}i$  in his text, with the comm. and less than a quarter of his authorities; [and the translation implies the change]. Ppp. has a different c:  $tasya \ v\bar{a}$  (with  $n\bar{a}$  written over it)  $pr\bar{a}pad$  duchun $\bar{a}$  $k\bar{a}$  cane 'ha; it also combines bhav $\bar{a}$  "papra [urv] in b.

28. O king Bhava, be gracious to the sacrificer, for thou hast become cattle-lord of cattle; whoever has faith, saying "the gods are," be thou gracious to his bipeds [and] quadrupeds.

29. Not our great one, and not our small, not our carrying one, and not those that will carry, not our father and mother do thou harm; our own self  $(tan\hbar)$ , O Rudra, do not injure.

The verse occurs also as RV. i. 114.7; VS. xvi. 15; TS. iv. 5. 10<sup>2</sup>; TA. x. 52, with *ikṣantam* and *ukṣitám* for *váhantam* and *vakṣyatás* in b; *vadhīs* for *hinsīs* and *mó* 'tá mātáram for mātáram ca in c; and, for d, mā naḥ priyās (TS.TA. priyā mā nas) tanvò rudra rīriṣaḥ. The comm. has *vakṣatas* in b. [Ppp. has, for b, mā na kṣīyanta uta mā no akṣata.]

30. To Rudra's howl-making, unhymned-swallowing (?), great-mouthed dogs I have paid this homage.

The obscure asamsüktagilå (Ppp. -girebhyas) is paraphrased by the comm. with asamīcīnam açobhanavacanam grņanti bhāşante. How asamsükta should come to mean 'unmasticated,' as given in the Pet. Lexx., does not appear. The translation given conjectures 'not having a hymn with it.' The comm. reads elavak- in **a**.

31. Homage to thy noisy ones, homage to thy hairy ones, homage to those to whom homage is paid, homage to the jointly-enjoying — homage, [namely], O god, to thine armies; welfare [be] to us, and fearlessness to us.

The adjectives are fem., as belonging to *senābhyas*. Ppp. disagrees with our text in the last two thirds of the verse, but is corrupt. The comm. reads *cana* at the end. [The vs., as noted above, is quoted in the first *abhaya gana* (note to 16.8)]

[Here ends the first anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 68 verses. The quoted Anukr. says tathāi 'va rāudre 'pi parās tu vinçateḥ, designating the hymn as a "Rudra-hymn."]

# 3. Extolling the rice-dish (odaná).

## [Atharvan. - trayah paryāyāh.]

[Prose, except vss. 19-22.] A corresponding passage is found in Pāipp. xvi., but so different in detail that it would require to be given in full for comparison; and this has not been done.

SPP., without any good reason,\* counts the three paryayas or divisions of this hymn as so many independent hymns, thus not only defacing the structure of the book, but defeating all the references that had been made to it in lexicons and elsewhere.

\*[Whether Whitney's condemnation of SPP's procedure is justified or not may be decided when all the facts are before us. Some of them have been put together by me, above, pages 610, 611, which see.]

[The hymn is not cited by Vāit.; nor in the text of Kāuç., unless vs. 31 is meant at 62.8: but Keçava (p.  $353^{1}$ ) cites it for use in witchcraft practices (so the comm.), and also (p.  $365^{2}$ ) for use in the *brhaspati sava* (so comm.).]

Translated: Henry, 106, 145; Griffith, ii. 61. - Cf. especially Henry's introduction, p. 145. The rice-dish, hot and yellow and nourishing, is a symbol of the sun (cf. vs. 50); its ingredients and the utensils used in making it are identified with all sorts of things in the most grotesque manner of the Brāhmaņas.

[Paryaya I. — ekatrinçat. bārhaspatyāudanadevatyam. 1, 14. āsurī gāyatrī; 2. 3-p. samavuşamā gāyatrī; 3, 6, 10 āsurī pañkti; 4, 8. sāmuy anustubh; 5, 13, 15, 25. sāmuy usuh; 7, 19–22. prājāpatyā 'nustubh; 9, 17, 18. āsury anustubh; 11. bhurug ārcy anustubh; 12. yājusī jagatī; 16, 23. āsurī brhatī; 24. 3-p. prājāpatyā brhatī; 26. ārcy usnih; 27....; [28, 29.] sāmnī brhatī (29. bhuruj); 30. yājusī trustubh; 31. alpaçah (?) panktur uta yājusī.]

I. Of this rice-dish Brihaspati is the head, Brahman the mouth (múkha).

The comm. combines in part two or three verses of the first *paryāya* together in giving his explanations.

2. Heaven-and-earth are the ears, sun-and-moon the eyes, the seven seers the breaths-and-expirations.

3. Sight (cákșus) the pestle, desire (kâma) the mortar.

4. Diti the winnowing basket, Aditi the basket-holder; the wind winnowed (apa-vic).

5. Horses the corns (kána), kine the grains (tandulá), flies the husks.

6. Kábru the hulls, the cloud the stalk (çára).

The comm. reads *kabhru*, and gives a forced etymology, from *ka* 'head' and *bhrū* 'brow'; he also has *ciras* for *caras*, and this reading is found in some of the mss. (including our B.p.m., E.s.m., O.p.m. [and some of SPP's]).

7. Dark metal its flesh, red its blood (lóhita).

That is, doubtless, iron and copper respectively.

8. Tin [its] ash, greens (? háritam) [its] color, blue lotus (púșkara) its smell.

The comm. glosses hárita with heman 'gold.' [Over "greens" W. has interlined "gold? (so BR.)." He rendered hárita by "the yellow one" at v. 28. 5, 9.]

9. The threshing-floor [its] receptacle, the two splints (sphya) [its] shoulders, the two poles  $(\bar{i}sa)$  [its] spines  $(an\bar{u}ky\bar{a})$ .

The comm. reads sphāu, and defines as pravrddhāu dhānyādhārasya çakaļasyā 'vayavāu; and he defines anūkyd as ansayor madhyadehasya ca samdhī. Bp. reads  $i \zeta e'$  for  $i \varsigma e'$ .

10. Entrails [its] neck-ropes (? jatrú), intestines [its] straps.

Jatrú is rendered with the commentary, who explains it as anadudgrīvānām çakațayojanārthā rajjavah.

11. This very earth is the vessel (kumbht) of the finishing  $(r\bar{a}dh)$  ricedish, heaven the cover.

[W. interlines a query over "finishing." The comm. renders rādh- by pacyamānasya.]

12. The furrows [its] ribs, gravel the content of [its] bowels.

The comm. reads *uvadhyam*.

13. Righteousness (rta) [its] hand-washing, the brook (kulya) [its] pouring-on.

In order to force a parallelism into it, the comm. explains *rta* as a 'name for water,' and as signifying 'all the water that is found in the world.' The Pet. Lex., on the other hand, conjectures 'family custom' for  $kuly\tilde{a}$ ; it is translated above as if  $= kuly\tilde{a}$ , as the comm. takes it.

14. With sacred verse (ic) is the vessel put on, with priesthood sent forth;

15. With sacredness (*bráhman*) seized about, with sacred chant (*sáman*) carried about.

For the sake of the parallelism again, the comm. makes 'priesthood' indicate the Yajur-Veda, and 'sacredness' the Brahma-Veda of the Atharvans.

16. Brhát the stirring-stick, rathamtará the spoon.

The comm. paraphrases āyavana with udake praksiptānām taņdulānām miçraņasādhanam kāstham.

17. The seasons the cooks; they of the seasons kindle fire.

18. Heat (gharmá) burns upon the pot of five openings, the boiler (ukhá).

19. By the rice-dish of him who hath an offering (?) all worlds are to be obtained together.

The translation implies emendation of the unintelligible yajkavacás to yajkavatas, the Ppp. reading, as reported in the minor Pet. Lex. [and Roth's notes]. The comm. explains the word as = yajkāir agnistomādibhih prāptavyatveno 'cyamānah.

20. In which  $\lfloor rice-dish \rfloor$  are set (crita), one below the other, the three, sea, sky, earth.

21. In the remnant (*úchista*) of which took shape six times eighty gods. The comm. paraphrases *akalpanta* by *samarthā vīryavanto 'bhavan*.

22. Thee here I ask of the rice-dish, what is its great greatness.

23. He who may know the greatness of the rice-dish —

24. May not say "[it is] little," not "[it is] without onpouring," nor "[it is] this thing soever."

Upasecana is explained by the comm. as 'milk, butter, curd, or the like, that is poured on '--- we might render by 'sauce.'

25. As much as the giver may set his mind upon, that one should not overbid (*ati-vad*).

26. The theologues (*brahmavādin*) say: hast thou caten (*pra-aç*) the rice-dish as it was retiring (*párāñc*), or as it was coming on (*pratyáñc*)?

The *pluta*- or protracted syllables in this and the next verse are quoted in Prāt. i. 105, but nothing is said as to their accentuation, from which it seems most plausible to infer that the protraction made no difference in the accent; and though in the Brāhmaņas a protracted syllable is always accented [see *Gram.* § 78 a], that is not the invariable rule in the Vedic texts (thus, only once among the three instances occurring in RV.). Here the mss. are greatly at variance. [SPP's V. (a then living *crotriya*) read *prāciski;* and by his ms. De the reading *prāciski* is given *secunda manu*. Among our mss., O.R.Kp. (and E.?) give *prāciski*. SPP. reports that 16 of his authorities agree in giving *pratydācāzm;* and (apart from the presence or position of the 3) this seems to be the reading of many of W's mss.] SPP's text gives an accent to the protracted syllable in both cases in both vs. 26 and vs. 27. — [SPP's *pada*-reading in vs. 26 is *prá: ācisk*, and in 27 it is *prá: ācisk*. An accented  $\bar{a}$  in  $\bar{acisk}$  would require *praoācisk* (cf. vs. 28); but one does not see why the  $\bar{a}$  should be accented.]

27. Hast thou eaten the rice-dish, or the rice-dish thee?

The mss. again disagree as to the accent of  $pr\bar{a}_{\zeta}i\bar{\jmath}s$ , the majority (including our Bp.P.M.) having  $pr\bar{a}_{\zeta}i\bar{\jmath}s$ ; as odand happens to have its natural accent on the final, there is no discordance as to odan $\bar{a}_{\beta}h$ .

29. If thou hast caten it coming on, thine expirations  $(ap\bar{a}n\dot{a})$  will quit thee: so one says to him.

30. Not I, indeed, [have eaten] the rice-dish, nor the rice-dish me.

31. The rice-dish itself hath eaten the rice-dish.

[Paryāya II. — dvāsaptatı. mantroktadevatyam. a of 32, 38, 41, g of 32-49. sāmnī tristubh;
b of 32, 35, 42, C of 32-49, e of 33, 34, 44-48. 1-p. āsurī gāyatrī; d of 32, 41, 43, 47. dātvī jagatī; b of 38, 44, 46, e of 32, 35-43, 49 1-p. āsury anustubh; f of 32-49. sāmny anustubh; a of 33-49.\* ārcy anustubh; a of 37. sāmnī paūkti; b of 33, 36, 40, 47, 48. āsurī jagatī; b of 34, 37, 41, 43, 45. āsurī paūkti; d of 34. āsurī tristubh; d of 35, 46, 48. yājusī gāyatrī; d of 36, 37, 40. dātvī paūkti; d of 38, 39. prājāpatyā gāyatrī; b of 39. āsury usuth; d of 42, 45, 49. dātvī tristubh; b of 49. 1-p. bhurik sāmnī brhatī.†]

\* [The text of the Anukr. reads enam anyābhyām (rotrābhyām (=  $\mathbf{a}$  of 33) ity āditah saptadaçā "reyanustubhah. The definition applies (perhaps with occasional forcing) to 14 of the 17 first avasānas of vss. 33-49. As for the other 3, the  $\mathbf{a}$  of 38 and the  $\mathbf{a}$ of 41 are accurately defined above, in the first line of the Anukr. excerpts for this paryāya; and the  $\mathbf{a}$  of 37, in the definition next following the asterisk.]

t[The definition of 33 d, 44 d (9 syllables) is omitted by the Anukr.]

The second *paryāya* of this hymn is reckoned in the Anukr. as of 72 divisions in 18 gamas or paragraphs; but the actual division in the mss. is into 126 such divisions (7 to each gama), as given in both editions; and the metrical description of the Anukr. (as reported above) is also on that basis.

[The division of this paryāya into 72 avasānas. — In his Critical Notice, p. 20-21, at the beginning of his first volume, SPP. treats of this matter; and just after the end (p. 356) of the text of his third volume, he prints again this *paryāya*, but divided into 72 avasānas " according to the instructions contained in the Sarvānukramaņikā " which he had printed in the Critical Notice, l.c.]

[The Major Anukr. calls the 18 main divisions of this *paryāya* (answering to the "verses" of the Berlin ed.) by the name of *daṇdakas*. Since the *daṇdakas* are all subdivided, they are also (see p. 472) called *gaṇas*. Each *daṇdaka* falls into 7 subdivisions or *avasānas*, which may be designated as **a**, **b**, **c**, **d**, **e**, **f**, **g**. Each of these 7 is written out and counted for the first and last *daṇdaka* (vss. 32 and 49, Berlin).]

[Similarly, in a sequence of refrains or *anuşaāgas*, the refrain is given and counted as an *avasāna* only for its first and last occurrence in that sequence. The third subdivision (or c: beginning *tam vā aham*) of each *dandaka*, being unvaried throughout the *paryāya*, constitutes a sequence of 18 and is given and counted independently only for vss. 32 and 49; while for the 16 vss., 33-48, it is given (see SPP. in vol. iii.) and counted as one with **b**, thus making the *avasāna* to consist of **b-c**. In like manner, the sixth subdivision (or **f**: beginning *eşa vā odanaḥ*) and the seventh subdivision (or **g**: beginning *sarvāāga eva*), being unvaried throughout, constitute a sequence of 18 and are given and counted independently only for vss. 32 and 49; while for the other 16 vss. they are counted as one with **e**, thus making the *avasāna* to consist of **e-g**.]

[Furthermore, and on the other hand, subdivision e varies as to its beginning between *tenāi 'nam, tayāi 'nam, and tāir enam, and tābhyām enam :* but we find no unvaried sequences of more than two except *tenāi 'nam* etc. in the 5 vss., 39-43, and *tābhyām* 

enam etc. in the 5 vss., 44-48. For vss. 40, 41, 42, accordingly, and for vss. 45, 46, 47, as well, not only is f-g reckoned to e, but also e-f-g is reckoned as an *anuşañga* to d, thus making the *avasāna* to consist of d-g.

[For these six verses, therefore, arranged and counted as 3 arasānas (a, b-c, d-g), we have the reckoning  $6 \times 3 = 18$ . — For verses 32 and 49 (counted as a, b, c, d, e, f, g, as above noted), we have the reckoning  $2 \times 7 = 14$ . — And for the remaining ten verses, we have the arrangement and count, a, b-c, d. e-g, or  $10 \times 4 = 40$ . This gives us (18 + 14 + 40 =) 72, which is the count, not only of the Major Anukr., but of the Old Anukr. or *Paācapatalikā* as well.]

32. If  $\lfloor ca \rfloor$  thou hast eaten it with another head than that (*tátas*) with which the ancient seers ate this, thy progeny, from the oldest down, will die: so one says to him; it verily I [have] not [eaten] coming hither (*arváñc*), nor retiring, nor coming on; with Brihaspati [as] head, therewith have I eaten it, therewith have I made it go; this rice-dish, verily, is whole-limbed, whole-jointed, whole-bodied ; whole-limbed, whole-jointed, whole-bodied becometh he who knoweth thus.

The pada-reading of práçis in a is praoáçih.

33. If thou hast eaten it with other ears than those  $\lfloor tdtas \rfloor$  with which the ancient seers ate this, thou will become deaf: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with heaven-and-earth as ears, with them have I eaten it, with them have I made it go etc. etc.

34. If thou hast eaten it with other eyes than those with which the ancient seers ate this, thou wilt become blind: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with sun-and-moon as eyes, with them have I eaten it, with them etc. etc.

All the mss. read *sūryācandramasābhyām*, which SPP. has very properly retained in his text; ours was altered to agree with vi. 128.3, but the alteration should have been the other way.

35. If thou hast eaten it with another mouth (mikha) than that with which the ancient seers ate this, thy progeny will die from in front (mukhatds): thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with *bráhman* as mouth, therewith have I etc. etc.

36. If thou hast eaten it with another tongue than that with which the ancient seers ate this, thy tongue will die: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with Agni's tongue, therewith have I etc. etc.

37. If thou hast eaten it with other teeth than those with which the ancient seers ate this, thy teeth will fall out (fad): thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the seasons as teeth, therewith have I etc. etc.

The comm. reads satsyanti for çatsyanti.

38. If thou hast eaten it with other breaths-and-expirations than those with which the ancient seers ate this, breaths-and-expirations will quit thee: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the seven seers as breaths-and-expirations, therewith have I etc. etc.

The mss., as usual, are divided between *saptarsibhis* and *saptarsi*- in this verse; SPP. adopts the former.

39. If thou hast eaten it with another bulk (*vyácas*) than that with which the ancient seers ate this, the king-*yákshma* will slay thee: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the atmosphere as bulk, therewith have I etc. etc.

The comm. explains vyacasā by vyāptimatā rūpeņa.

40. If thou hast eaten it with another back than that with which the ancient seers ate this, the lightning will slay thee: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the sky as back, therewith have I etc. etc.

41. If thou hast eaten it with another breast than that with which the ancient seers ate this, thou wilt not prosper with plowing: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the earth as breast, therewith have I etc. etc.

42. If thou hast caten it with another belly than that with which the ancient seers ate this, the colic (?  $udarad\bar{a}r\dot{a}$ ) will slay thee: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with truth as belly, therewith have I etc. etc.

The comm. explains udaradāra as udarasya daraņātmako 'tīsārākhyo rogaḥ, or diarrhœa.

43. If thou hast eaten it with another bladder than that with which the ancient seers ate this, thou wilt die in the waters: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the ocean as bladder, therewith have I etc. etc.

44. If thou hast eaten it with other thighs than those with which the ancient seers ate this, thy thighs will die: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the thighs of Mitra-and-Varuna, therewith have I etc. etc.

45. If thou hast eaten it with other knees (asthivdant) than those with which the ancient seers ate this, thou wilt become lame: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with Tvashtar's knees, therewith have I etc. etc.

46. If thou hast eaten it with other feet than those with which the ancient seers ate this, thou wilt be much-wandering: thus one says to

him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the feet of the two Açvins, therewith have I etc. etc.

47. If thou hast eaten it with other front-feet than those with which the ancient seers ate this, a serpent will slay thee : thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with Savitar's front-feet, therewith have I etc. etc.

Read in our text savitúh in d (an accent-mark slipped out of place).

48. If thou hast eaten it with other hands than those with which the ancient seers ate this, thou wilt slay a Brahman: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; with the hands of righteousness  $(rt\dot{a})$ , therewith have I etc. etc.

49. If thou hast eaten it with another firm standing (*pratisthd*) than that with which the ancient seers ate this, without firm standing, without support ( $\bar{a}y \dot{a}tana$ ) wilt thou die: thus one says to him; it verily [have] I not [eaten] coming hither, nor retiring, nor coming on; standing firm in truth, therewith have I etc. etc.

All our samhitā-mss., and the majority of SPP's, have the false accent apratisthānó 'nāy- in b; both editions emend to -sthānd. Some of our mss. (B.P.M.) read pratisthāyā in d, as if aiming at pratisthayā.

[Paryāya III. — saptakaņ. mantroktadevatyam. 50. āsury anustubh; 51. ārcy usņuh; 52. 3-p. bhursk sāmnī tristubh; 53. āsurī brhatī; 54. 2-p. bhursk sāmnī brhatī; 55. sāmny usņuh; 56. prājāpatyā brhatī.]

50. This — namely, the rice-dish — is indeed the summit (*vistápa*) of the ruddy one (*bradhná*).

The comm. explains bradhna as sūryamaņdalamadhyavartī "çvarah, and vistapa as viyati vistabdham maņdalam.

51. IIe cometh to have the ruddy one for his world, he resorteth (*cri*) to the summit of the ruddy one, who knoweth thus.

52. Out of this rice-dish Prajāpati verily fashioned thirty-three worlds.

53. In order to the knowledge (*prajñána*) of them he created the sacrifice.

54. He who becomes the on-looker  $(upadrast \hat{r})$  of one knowing thus stops [his own] breath.

Upadrast? ought to have here some special and offensive sense; but what? All the mss. leave *bhavati* unaccented, and SPP's text follows them; ours makes the necessary emendation to *bhávati*. We might expect *runddhe*, middle, but the following verses show whose breath is meant.

55. If he does not stop [his own] breath, he is scathed a complete scathing.

The comm. explains sarvajyānim by prajāpaçvādirūpasya sarvasyā 'bhimatasya vastunah . . . hānir yathā bhavati tathā. [Cf. GB. i. 3. 13, p. 52<sup>18</sup>; LÇS. x. 17. 7.]

56. If he is not scathed a complete scathing, before old age breath quits him.

LThe quotations from the Old Anukr. for the paryaya-sukta are given piecemeal at the end of each paryaya. They may here be given together in their metrical form:

ekatrinçad bhavet pūrvas tasmād dvāsaptatih parah : trtīyah saptako drsto " brhaspatih çirasy " api :

'In the [hymn beginning] "brhaspatih çirah"' etc. — The summations of ganas and (gana-)avasāna-rcas are as follows: I. g., o; av., 31; II. g., 18; av., 72; III. g., o; av., 7. Total of av., 110. — The second paryāya-sūkta is called also a gana-sūkta.]

### 4. Extolling the breath (prāná).

[Bhārgava Vāudarbhi. — şadvunçakam. mantroktaprāņadevatyam. ānusļubham: 1. çunkumatī; 8. pathyāpanktu; 14. nucrt; 15. bhuruj; 20. anusļubgarbhātrusļubh; 21. madhyejyotur jagatī; 22. trustubh; 26. brhatīgarbhā.]

Found also in Päipp. xvi. The whole hymn (together with a considerable number of others) is quoted by its opening words in Kāuç. 55. 17; 58. 3, 11, but not in a way to cast the least light upon its meaning and value. [The hymn is reckoned to the *āyuṣya gaṇa* (note to Kāuç. 54. 11); the comm. quotes further uses from Nakṣatrakalpa 19, Çāntikalpa 15, and a Pariçiṣṭa.]

Translated : Muir, v. 394 (the greater part); Scherman, p. 69 (nearly all); Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 301 (with a general introduction); Henry, 111, 147; Griffith, ii. 64; Bloomfield, 218, 622. — The hymn to Prāṇa, introduced into the second praçna of the Praçna Upanishad, contains reminiscences of this hymn: cf. vs. 19, and Deussen, Upanishads, p. 562.

I. Homage to breath (prand) in whose control is this All, who hath been lord of all, in whom all stands firm.

2. Homage, O breath, to thy roaring, homage to thy thunder; homage, O breath, to thy lightning, homage to thee raining, O breath.

Ppp. reads in c'stu for prāna.

3. When breath with thunder roars at the herbs, they are impregnated  $(pra-v\bar{v})$ , they receive embryos, then they are born many.

Ppp. makes up the material of our vss. 3 and 4 differently, giving first 4 a, b and 3 c, d, and then 3 a, b and 4 c, d. It reads *garbhain* in c, and *vi jāyate* in d. The comm. paraphrases *pra viyante* with *garbhain grhuanti* [cf. xii. 4. 37]. [For "many" one might better say 'in great numbers.']

4. When, the season having come, breath roars at the herbs, then all is delighted, whatever is upon the earth.

In **d** in our text, *kiii* is a misprint for *klii*. With c, d is to be compared the similar half-verse RV. v. 83. 9 c, d.

5. When breath hath rained with rain upon the great earth, then the cattle are delighted : "verily there will be greatness for us."

[Cf. vs. 17 below.] Ppp. has, for  $\mathbf{a}$ ,  $\mathbf{b}$ : yadā prāņo abhyakrandīd varseņa stanayitnunā. [Pāda  $\mathbf{d}$  doubtless means precisely the same thing as the English slang, 'that'll be great for us !'] 6. The herbs, being rained on, have talked with breath : "verily thou hast extended our life-time; thou hast made us all fragrant."

Ppp. reads in b avāciram, and in c acīcarat.

7. Homage be to thee coming, homage be to [thee] going away; homage to thee, O breath, standing; to thee sitting also [be] homage.

Compare 2. 15 above, which differs only in the vocative used. Ppp. puts the verse after our 8, and reads te 'stu in **a**, and namo 'stu in **b**; a few of SPP's authorities make the same combinations.

8. Homage to thee breathing, O breath; homage be to [thce] making expiration; homage to thee turned away, homage to thee turned toward [us]; to the whole of thee [be] this homage.

Ppp. reads in **b** namo 'stu, and makes  $par\bar{a}c\bar{i}n\bar{a}ya$  and  $pratic\bar{i}n\bar{a}ya$  change places in **c**, **d**.

9. The dear body that is thine, O breath, and the dearer one that is thine, O breath, likewise what remedy is thine, assign thou of it to us in order to life  $(j\bar{v}ds)$ .

For  $y\delta$  in **b** (to be read  $y\overline{a}$  u) the comm. has  $y\overline{a}u$ , regarding it as dual.

10. Breath clothes (anu-vas) human beings (praja), as a father a dear son; breath is lord of all, both what breathes and what does not.

Ppp. combines  $praj\bar{a}$  'nu in **a**, and in **d** reads twice yas for yat. Prāņáti in **d** remains undivided in pada-text by Prāt. iv. 57.

11. Breath [is] death, breath *takmán*; breath the gods worship (*upa-ās*); breath may set the truth-speaker in the highest world.

Ppp. has for a prāņo mrtyus prāņo amrtam [cf. RV. x. 121. 2], which is less devoid of sense; at the end it reads lokam dadhat.

12. Breath is *viráj*, breath the directress; breath all worship; breath is the sun, the moon; breath they call Prajāpati.

Ppp. reads prāņo sarvam [sandhi!] for prāņam sarve in b, and its c is prāņo gniç candramās sūryas. The comm. explains destrī as = svasvavyāpāresu sarvesām prerayitrī paradevatā.

13. Breath-and-expiration are rice-and-barley; breath is called the draft-ox; breath is set in barley; expiration is called rice.

Ppp. combines prāņā "hito in c. Our P.M.W. read yáviena for yávie ha in c.

14. A man breathes out (*ápānati*), breathes (*práṇati*) within the womb; when, O breath, thou quickenest, then he is born again.

Ppp. reads, in b and beyond : garbhe antah : yā vā tvam prāņa jinvah sa damba vāyase tvat. The comm. has atho in d.

15. Breath they call Mātariçvan; breath is called the wind; in breath what has been and what will be, in breath is all established (*prátisthita*).

Ppp. has at the end samāhitāh.

633

16. They of the Atharvans, they of the Angirases, they of the gods, also those born of men—the herbs are generated  $(pra-j\bar{a})$ , when thou, O breath, quickenest.

Ppp. has ca yās instead of uta at end of **b**, and, for **c**, sarvā pra modanty osadhīh. The adjectives are feminine, denoting the herbs.

17. When breath hath rained with rain on the great earth, the herbs are generated, likewise whatever plants [there are].

Compare vs. 5 above, of which this is an imitation; Ppp. makes it yet closer, by reading *modante* for *jāyante* in c. Some of the *samhitā*-mss. read *jāyante* 'tho (losing the accent of *átho*) in c-d.

18. He who knoweth this of thee, O breath, and in whom thou art established — to him shall all bring tribute in yon highest world.

Ppp. separates prāņa idam in.a.

19. As, O breath, all these human beings (prajd) are tribute-bearers to thee, so shall they bring tribute to him who shall hear thee, O thou of good report (sucrávas).

Ppp. has for **d** yas twā çuçrāwa çuçruwah; and the comm. also reads cucruwah. [With this vs., cf. Praçna Upanishad, ii. 7.]

20. He moves, an embryo, within the divinities; having come into being (*?abhūta*), having been (*bhūtá*), he is born again; he, having been, entered with might (*çácībhis*) what is to be, what will be, [as] a father a son.

The understanding of this very obscure verse is not helped by the comm., and Ppp. offers no variants. The comm. reads *bhūtam* instead of *bhavyam* in c. A part of the mss. read *viveça* (not  $-c\bar{a}$ ) in d.

21. The swan (*haisá*), ascending, does not extract (*ut-khid*) one foot from the sea; verily, if he should extract that, there would not be today nor tomorrow; there would not be night nor day; at no time soever would it dawn (vi-vas).

Ppp. reads *utpapadam* at end of b. and goes on thus: *imain sa tum utkhide ahnāi-vācya naḥ çyo na rātrī nna ha syā hnaṣ prajāā tu ki cana*. The comm. explains the verse first as relating to the sun, for which it appears to be really intended, and then as applied to breath, to which it may be conceived to belong as being for the microcosm what the sun is to the macrocosm. [Cf. my note to viii. 7. 24. Here one would indeed be reluctant to translate *haisa* by 'goose.']

22. The eight-wheeled [thing, neut.] rolls, having one rim, thousandsyllabled, forth in front, down behind; with a half it has generated all existence; what its [other] half [is] — which sign is that?

This verse also evidently belongs to the sun; with its mystic ascriptions are to be compared those of the partly corresponding verses x. 8. 7, 13. Ppp. ends instead with kim u tasya ketuh; it also combines variate 'kanemi in a. The comm. reads paccāt

at end of b, and two or three of the mss. (including our O.) do the same. 'In front' and 'behind' arc, of course, = 'in the east' and 'in the west.' The  $\bar{a}$  of astácakra, and its retention in the *pada*-text (astácakram) are by Prāt. iii. 2 and iv. 94.

23. He who is lord of this that has every (viqva) [kind of] birth, of every stirring thing — to thee being such, O breath, having a quick bow among the unexhausted (?ánya), be homage.

The very rare dnya is rendered, at a venture, with the Pet. Lexx. [see BR. under  $dny\bar{a}$ , and OB. i. 66 a, end]; [and the parallelism of the next vs., with its dtandra, favors this rendering]. The wholly obscure pāda in which it occurs is explained by the comm. to mean pranicarIresu ksipram gacchate vyapnuvate: he takes dnya from the root an 'breathe,' and -dhanvan from dhav 'go.' Ppp. has no variants to help us.

24. He who is lord of this that has all  $(s\acute{a}rva)$  [kinds of] birth, of all that stirs, unwearied, wise by  $br\acute{a}hman$  — let breath go after  $(anu-sth\bar{a})$  me.

Ppp. has at the end the easier reading  $m\bar{a}m \ abhi \ raksatu$ . [W. interlines "attend" as a rendering of  $anu-sth\bar{a}$ .]

25. Upright among the sleeping he wakes; by no means (nani) does he fall down horizontal  $(tiryd\bar{n})$ ; no one soever has heard of his sleeping among the sleeping.

The comm. reads in a *jāgara* and understands it as impv. 2d sing. Ppp. has in c [? or in a?] *svapnesu*. The combination of *suptim* and *asya* seems to make it necessary to take the former in the sense of *svapna*, or of *svāpa*, as the comm. glosses it. The activity of the breath while the other powers and senses of the body are asleep is a theme of wonder elsewhere also. For *suptésú* in a, read *suptésu* (an accent-mark slipped over the wrong syllable).

26. O breath, turn not about from me; not another than I shalt thou be; like the embryo of the waters, in order to life  $(j\bar{\imath}v\dot{a}s)$ , I bind thee to me, O breath.

The obscure second pāda is by the comm. explained to mean *mayā saha tādātmyāpanna eva vartase*. Some mss. (including our O.) accent *mát* both times, and SPP. follows them in his text: compare xii. 3. 46.

[ The quoted Anukr. says "prāņāya."]

• [Here ends the second anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 82 verses, according to the count of the Berlin edition: that is 1 paryāya-sūkta with 3 paryāyas and 56 verses and 1 artha-sūkta with 26 verses. But some mss. sum up the anuvāka as containing 136 "verses of both sorts," that is the 110 avasāna-rcas of our h. 3 (see p. 632, top, and p. 629, top) and the 26 rcas of our h. 4.]

LThe following quotation from the Old Anukr. seems to be put after the end of h. 4 as pertaining to the *anuvāka*: *trayas* "*tasyāu*'*dano*" *bhavet*. Does this mean that we have no right to count the "*tasyāudana*" as less than 3 hymns? Cf. p. 611, ¶ 4.]

### 5. Extolling the Vedic student (brahmacārin).

[Brahman. — şadvinçakam. mantroktabrahmacārīdevatyam. trāistubham: 1. puro'tijāgatavivādgarbhā; 2.5 p. brhatīgarbhā virāt çakvarī\*; 6. çākvaragarbhā 4-p. jagatī; 7. vivādgarbhā; 8. puro'tijāgatā virāt jagatī; 9 brhatīgarbhā; 10. bhurij; 11. jagatī; 12. çākvaragarbhā 4 p. virād atijagatī; 13. jagatī; 15. purastājijotis; 14, 16-22. anustubh; 23. purobārhatātijāgatagarbhā; 25 1-av. ārcy usņih; 26. madhyejvotir usņiggarbhā.] \*[The words virāt çakvarī are lacking in the London ms. and are supplied from the Beilin ms. The latter adds parā urobrhatī · but vs. 3 is haidly metrical, and at any rate no urobrhatī.]

Found also in Paipp. xvi. (with slight differences of order, which will be pointed out under the verses). Not quoted either in the Kāuçika or in the Vāitāna Sūtra; [but the schol. to Kāuç. 55. 18 prescribe vs. 3 for use in the *upanayana*]. [It is cited also at the beginning of GB. (i 2. 1-8), the chapter on the *brahmacārin*.]

Translated: Muir, v. 400 (18 vss.); Ludwig, p. 452; Scherman, p. 84 (19 vss.); Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 277; Henry, 114, 150; Griffith, ii. 68; Bloomfield, 214, 626. — Cf. also Bergaigne-Henry, Manuel, p. 161; Hillebrandt, Ved. Mythol., i. 471. Henry, p. ix of his preface to Books X-XII, cites this hymn in his discussion of bráhman, which he connects with root bhrāj; and Oldenberg reviews the matter in IFA. viii. 40-41. Deussen entitles the hymn "The Brahman-pupil as incarnation of Brahm," and gives a general interpretation of its content by way of introduction. This should be consulted. The rendering "Vedic-studentship" is too rigid to fit everywhere: cf. vs. 18, note.

1. The Vedic student goes on setting in motion (*is*) both firmaments; in him the gods become like-minded; he maintains earth and heaven; he fills his teacher with fervor (tapas).

Ppp. has yasmin for tasmin in **b**; it rectifies the meter of **c** by reading at the end  $dy\bar{a}m$  utā 'mūm; it combines sā "cāryam, and ends the verse with bibharti. The comm. explains *piparti* with *pālayati* both here and in vs. 2.

2. The Fathers, the god-folk, all the gods individually assemble after the Vedic student; the Gandharvas went after him, thirty-three, three hundred, six thousand; he fills all the gods with fervor.

Ppp. puts the verse after 3, and reads, after *pitaras* in **a**, manusyā devajanā gandharvā 'nusamyantu sarve: trayastrinçatam triçatam satsahasrān sarvān sa devāns tapasā bibharti. None of the mss., nor either edition, reads sattsahasrāh, as required by Prāt. ii. 8.

3. The teacher, taking [him] in charge  $(upa-n\bar{i})$ , makes the Vedic student an embryo within; he bears him in his belly three nights; the gods gather unto him to see him when born.

Upa-nī probably already a technical term for 'receive as pupil, initiate.' [Prescribed in the schol. to Kāuç. 55. 18, as noted above.] [The first line seems to be prose: see at end of Anükr.-extracts.]

4. This piece of fuel [is] earth, sky the second; also the atmosphere he fills with fuel; the Vedic student fills the worlds with fuel, girdle, toil, fervor.

Ppp. reads *mekhalāvī* for *-layā*, and at the end again [for the third time] *bibharti*. [We have the converse variant at xiii. 1. 1.]

5. Prior born of the *bráhman*, the Vedic student, clothing himself with heat (*gharmá*), stood up with fervor; from him [was] born the *bráhmana*, the chief *bráhman*, and all the gods, together with immortality (*amŕta*).

Ppp. reads *tapaso 'dhi tisthat* at end of **b**. Of **a** the meaning may probably be 'was born before the *bráhman*' (so the translators).

6. The Vedic student goes kindled with fuel, clothing himself in the black-antelope-skin, consecrated, long-bearded; he goes at once from the eastern to the northern ocean, having grasped the worlds, again and again violently shaping (? $\bar{a}carikr$ ) [them].

Ppp. reads in **b** kārṣṇim, and in **c** sadyet pūrvād. The comm. has in **d** samgrhya; he explains muhur ācarikrat by atyartham ābhimukhyena karoti. ['Northern ocean': cf. note to xi. 2. 25.]

7. The Vedic student, generating the *bráhman*, the waters, the world, Prajāpati, the most exalted one, the *viráj*, having become an embryo in the womb of immortality; having become Indra, he has shattered (trh) the Asuras.

Ppp. reads in **d** am<sub>rtan</sub> instead of asurān. More than half of SPP's authorities read bhūtvā am<sub>rt</sub>- uncombined in **c**.</sub></sub>

8. The teacher fabricated both these envelops (*nábhas*), the wide, profound, [namely] earth and sky; them the Vedic student defends by fervor; in him the gods become like-minded.

The last pāda is identical with  $\mathbf{i}$  **b** above. Ppp. is more original, reading for  $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$ : tāu brahmacārī tapasā 'bhi rakṣati tayor dæās sadamādam madanti; it also omits ime in  $\mathbf{a}$ ; and it puts the verse after our vs. 9. The comm. Land two of SPP's authorities read tam for te at beginning of  $\mathbf{c}$ .

9. This broad (prthivi) earth, and the sky, the Vedic student first brought [as] alms (bhiksi); having made them [both] fuel, he worships; in them are set (arpita) all beings.

Ppp. omits the meter-disturbing  $\dot{a}$  in **b**, and reads for **c**, *te brahma kṛtuā samidhā upāsata*. 'Worships': i.e., as the comm. explains, 'tends the fire with them.'

10. The one this side, the other beyond, the back of the sky, in secret [are] deposited  $\lfloor ni \cdot dh\bar{a} \rfloor$  the two treasures (*nidhí*) of the *bráhmaņa*; them the Vedic student defends by fervor; the whole of that he, knowing, makes *bráhman* for himself.

The construction and sense of the last  $p\bar{a}da$  are very doubtful. For c, I'pp. has its version of our 8 c over again:  $t\bar{a}u$  brahmacārī tapasā 'bhi rakṣati; it also combines parā 'nyo in a. [To bring out the play of the original, one might render nidht by 'deposits.']

11. The one this side, the other hence, from earth, the two fires come together between these two envelops; upon them are set (*cri*) the firm rays; these the Vedic student stands upon by fervor.

For our obscure first pāda Ppp. substitutes a more translatable version: arvāg anyo divas prsthād ito 'nyas prthivyāh; and it reads ati for 'dhi in c; and the comm. has also ati, combining it with the following to atidrdhās. [The comm. also has tām (misprinted  $t\bar{a}$ : see "Corrections") for  $t\bar{a}n$  in **d**.]

12. Roaring on, thundering, the ruddy white-goer has introduced (*?anu-bhr*) in the earth a great virile member; the Vedic student pours seed upon the surface (*sánu*), on the earth; by that live the four directions.

Extremely obscure, and there are no valuable variants. Ppp. has at the beginning *abhikrandann iruņac chatinīgo*; the comm. reads *varuņaļi (yatinīgo*, explaining the latter word by *çyetavarņani jalapūrņam megham prāptaļi*. The last pāda is found elsewhere, as ix. 10. 19 d, RV. i. 164. 42 b.

[For consistency, the Berlin ed. should have *abhikrándant*.] [The Anukr. defines the vs. as of 50 syllables and appears to scan it as 13 + 11 : 11 + 14 = 49. The ms. puts the mark of pāda-division before *prthivyām*. This last is a most palpable gloss of *sānāu*. If we reject it, pādas **b**, **c**, **d** are good *trisṭubhs*.]

13. In the fire, in the sun, in the moon, in Mātariçvan, in the waters, the Vedic student puts fuel; their gleams (*arcis*) go about separately in the cloud; their sacrificial butter (diya) is man, rain, waters.

Ludwig conjectures "*purisam* fog" in **d** for *purusas*. Ppp. is too corrupt in **c**, **d** to be of service. 'Their' in **c**, **d** is *tasām* fem., apparently relating to *samidhas* 'sticks of fucl,' though we had only the singular in **b**; but the comm. regards it as 'of fire etc.,' the fem. being used because the last of the series (<u>the meter-disturbing</u> *apsu* 'waters') was feminine — which is possible.

14. The teacher [was] death, Varuna, Soma, the herbs, milk; the thunder-clouds were warriors; by them [was] this heaven (svar) brought.

This verse stands in Ppp. before our vs. 13; it reads at the beginning *parjanyas* instead of  $\bar{a}c\bar{a}ryas$ , reads in c *jimūtā* "san, and in d svar *ābharam*. The comm., in order to put some sense into the identification of the teacher with death and Varuṇa, regards it as alluding to the instruction of Naciketas by Death (Katha Upanishad, etc.) and of Bhṛgu by Varuṇa [TA. ix. I, etc.]. [For c, d, Roth compares ÇB. xi. 8. 1<sup>2</sup>.]

15. Varuṇa, having become teacher, makes his own (?amá) the entire ghee; whatever he sought of Prajāpati, that the Vedic student furnished, a friend (*mitrá*) from his own self.

The translation implies svat as the proper *pada*-reading in **d**, and the comm. also understands this; but all the *pada*-mss. read svan, as if accus. pl. The end of this verse and the beginning of the next are unfortunately wanting in Ppp.; it reads at the beginning *amād idam kṛṇ*. The mss. put the *avasāna* after *prajāpatāu* instead of after *varuņaḥ*, and SPP. divides accordingly [see his note]; our division is changed in obedience to the requirements of the sense. Ludwig understands *mitras* as signifying the god Mitra. 17. By Vedic-studentship, by fervor, a king defends his kingdom; a teacher by Vedic-studentship (*brahmacárya*) seeks a Vedic student.

Ppp. reads raksate in b, and ichati in d.

18. By Vedic-studentship a girl wins (vid) a young husband; by Vedicstudentship a draft-ox, a horse strives to gain (ji) food.

Instead of *jigīṣati* at the end, SPP. reads *jigīrṣati* 'strives to swallow,' finding it in the comm., and in less than a quarter (four out of seventeen) of his authorities; none of ours give it, so far as noted. Ppp. suggests yet another and a better reading, namely *jihīrṣati* — if, as seems probable, that underlies its corruption *jāhiruṣati*. As between *jigīṣati* and *jigīrṣati*, the former seems preferable. [These verses will seem much less inept if we give a less rigid interpretation to *brahmacarya*: see Deussen, p. 281, p. 278.]

19. By Vedic-studentship, by fervor, the gods smote away death; Indra by Vedic-studentship brought heaven (sv dr) for the gods.

Ppp. reads apā 'jayan at end of b [ and amrtam for devebhyah in d].

20. The herbs, past and future, day and night, the forest tree, the year together with the seasons — they are born of the Vedic student.

All the samhitā-mss. chance to agree in **c** in reading sahā rtúbhis, which SPP. accordingly gives in his text. Ppp. also has it; and further bhūtābhavyam in **a**, and brahmacāriņā at the end.

21. The earthly, the heavenly cattle, they of the forest, and they that are of the village, the wingless and they that are winged — they are born of the Vedic student.

Ppp. again reads at the end -cāriņā. [For paçavas, cf. xi. 2. 24 note.]

22. Individually do all that are of Prajāpati bear breaths in their bodies  $(\bar{a}tm\dot{a}n)$ ; all these the *bráhman* defends, brought in the Vedic student.

Ppp. reads at end of **b** bibhrate; one would like to emend to bibhratas. [But cf. Deussen's interpretation, p. 282.] Ppp. also has in **c** sarvāns tān.

23. That, sent forth (*parisūtá*) of the gods, not mounted onto, goes about shining; from that [was] born the *bráhmana*, the chief *bráhman*, and all the gods, together with immortality.

The translation of the first half-verse is merely mechanical. The second is identical with 5 c, d, above. Ppp. puts the verse after our vs. 24, reads *puruhūtam* instead of the obscure *parisūtam* in **a**, and gives the verse a last half of its own: *tasmin sarve paçavas tatra yajāās tasminn annam saha devatābhih;* and this version of the second half-verse is given in GB. i. 2. 7. The comm. explains *parisūtam* as *parigrhītam; ātmatayā sāksātkṛtam*.

-xi. 5

24. The Vedic student bears a shining *bráhman*; in that [are] woven together all the gods; [he] generating breath-and-expiration, then outbreathing (*vyāná*), speech, mind, heart, *bráhman*, wisdom.

Ppp. reads in **b** asmin for tasmin; its verse has for second half our 26 c, d, and our 24 c, d is added at the end of our 23, which, as above noticed, comes second of the two verses; it reads for d caksuç crotram janayan brahma medhām. [The sequence is, therefore, 24 a, b, 26 c, d, 23, 24 c, d.] The GB. cites pranapanau as a pratīka at i. 2. 8.

25. Sight, hearing, glory put thou in us; food, seed, blood (*lohita*), belly.

Ppp. begins differently: vācam çresthām yaço 'smāsu. [Deussen renders udáram by 'Leibessegen.']

26. Shaping (?) these things, the Vedic student stood performing penance (*tapas tapya*-) on the back of the sea (*salilá*), in the ocean; he, bathed, brown, ruddy (pingalá), shines much on the earth.

The comm. explains 25 and 26 together, as if one verse. The translation implies the emendation, apparently unavoidable, of *kálpat* to *kálpan*; the comm. makes no scruple of glossing it with *kalpayan*.

[The quoted Anukr. says "brahmacārī" ca.] [Here ends the twenty-fourth prapāṭhaka.]

### 6. To many different gods: for relief.

[Çamtātı. — trayovinçakam. cāndramasam uta mantroktadevatyam. ānustubham \*: 23. brhatīgarbhā.] \*[The Anukr. omits the definition of 18 as pathyāpañkti.]

Found also (except vss. 3, 20, 23) in Pāipp. xv. (in considerably altered verse-order : 1, 2, 4, 6, 5, 7, 15, 8, 9, 14, 17, 10, 11, 19, 13, 12, 18, 16, 22, 21).

[The hymn is included by Kāuç. 9. 2, 4 in the *çānti gaṇas*, major and minor; and all of the hymn except vss. 7, 9, 22, 23 (those in which the word *anhas* is missing) is reckoned to the *anholin̄ga gaṇa* (note to 32. 27). The last verse is cited separately at 58. 25 in a rite for long life. The same verse is variously cited by the subordinate works and the schol. : see note to 9. 2; 42. 13 (student's return); 53. 8 (*godāna*); 55. 1 (*upanayana*); Keç. to 44. 5 (*vaçāçamana*). Verse 9 is reckoned to the *rāudra gaṇa*, note to 50. 13.]

Translated : Henry, 117, 155 ; Griffith, ii. 72 ; Bloomfield, 160, 628.

1. We address  $(br\bar{u})$  Agni, the forest trees, the herbs and the plants, Indra, Brihaspati, the sun : let them free us from distress.

The comm. questions whether to render brāmas by stumas or by istaphalam yācāmahe.

2. We address king Varuņa, Mitra, Vishņu, likewise Bhaga; Ança, Vivasvant we address: let them free us from distress.

3. We address god Savitar, Dhātar and Pūshan; we address Tvashtar at the head (agriyd): let them free us from distress.

MS. has nearly the same verse in ii. 7. 13, but with a like our 2 a.

оок XI. – xi. б

4. The Gandharvas-and-Apsarases we address, the (two) Açvins, Brahmaņaspati, the god that is Aryaman by name: let them free us from distress.

5. Day-and-night now we address, sun-and-moon both; all the Adityas we address: let them free us from distress.

Ppp. combines, in b, -masā ubhā, and reads in c ādityān sarvān.

6. The wind we address, Parjanya, the atmosphere, also the quarters, and all regions we address : let them free us from distress.

7. Let day-and-night, likewise dawn, free me from what comes from a curse; let god Soma free me, whom they call the moon.

Ppp. reads at end of **b** vrsa for usah, and in **c** adityas for devas. [Cf. Hillebrandt, Ved. Mythol., i. 270.]

8. The earthly, the heavenly cattle, also the beasts (mrga) that are of the forest; we address the hawks (cakiinta), the birds (paksin): let them free us from distress.

Or, 'the winged hawks.' Ppp. has a better and more independent a (ours = 5. 21 a, above): ye grāmyās sapta paçavaļi [cf. iii. 10. 6 note].

9. Bhava-and-Çarva now we address, Rudra and him that is lord of cattle; the arrows of them which we well know  $(sa\dot{m}-vid)$  — let those be ever propitious to us.

Ppp. reads in **b** ugras for rudram, and, instead of **d**, the refrain te no multicantu anhasah. The comm. has vidmas for -ma in c. [Pāda **d** is nearly repeated at vs. 22 **d**.]

10. We address the sky, the asterisms, the earth, the *yaksds*, the mountains; the oceans, the rivers, the pools — let them free us from distress.

Ppp. reads in **b** bhāumam. The comm. explains yakṣāṇi as pūjyāni tatratyāni puņyakṣetrāṇi. MS. has the verse in ii. 7. 13, but reads samudrān and veçantān in c.

11. The seven seers now we address, the heavenly waters, Prajāpati; the Fathers with Yama as their chief (*créstha*) we address: let them free us from distress.

Most of the mss. (including all of ours that are noted) read saptarsin in a, and SPP. gives it in his text; the comm. has saptarsin.

12. The gods that are seated in the sky, and that are seated in the atmosphere, the mighty ones (cakrd) that are set (cri) on the earth — let them free us from distress.

[We had a, b above at x. 9. 12. In a read devaso?]

13. The Adityas, the Rudras, the Vasus, the gods in heaven, the Atharvans, the Angirases full of wisdom — let them free us from distress.

Perhaps **b** is rather 'the divine Atharvans in heaven'; Ppp. reads devā dāivā atharvaņaļ.

14. We address the sacrifice, the sacrificer, the verses (ic), the chants (sáman), the remedies; the sacred formulas (yájus), the invocations we address: let them free us from distress.

Bheṣajā, which probably refers to material like that included in the Atharva-Veda, is explained by the comm. as cantikarāni vāmadevyādīni; no hymns in our collection receive any such title in the Kāuçika.

15. The five kingdoms of plants, having Soma as their chief (*créstha*), we address; the *darbhá*, hemp, barley, *sáha* — let them free us from distress.

Ppp. rectifies the meter of **b** by reading *brūmasi*; in **c** it puts *bhañgas* before *darbhas*. The mss., as usual, differ as to the accent of  $r\bar{a}jya$ ; several (including our O.) read  $r\hat{a}jy\bar{a}ni$ , and our R.s.m. has  $r\bar{a}jy\bar{a}ni$ . The comm. calls *saha* simply 'a kind of herb.'

16. The niggards we address, the demons, the serpents, the pure-folk, the Fathers; the hundred-and-one deaths we address: let them free us from distress.

[With b, cf. viii. 8. 15, and 9. 24 below. Cf. note to iii. 11. 5 for the "hundredand-one deaths." Cf. also Chāndogya Up., viii. 73, 93, 103, where Indra passes three thirty-two-year terms of studentship with Prajāpati and is then bidden (viii. 113) to pass five years more, to make out the full tale of 101 years.]

17. The seasons we address, the lords of the seasons, the year-divisions and the winters, the summers, the years, the months : let them free us from distress.

The verse nearly agrees with iii. 10.9. The comm. quotes from Tāitt. Brāh. ii. 6. 19 in explanation of what gods are lords of the several seasons. Artavān he defines as tattadrtuviçesasambandhinah padārthān; hāyana and samā are to him simply other names for 'year.'

18. Come, ye gods, from the south; from the west come up eastward; from the east, from the north, mighty, all the gods, coming together: let them free us from distress.

Ppp. rectifies the meter of **b** by adding *nas* at the end.

19. All the gods now we address, of true agreements, increasers of rightcousness, together with all their spouses : let them free us from distress.

20. The collective gods now we address, of true agreements, increasers of righteousness, together with their collective spouses : let them free us from distress.

This verse (omitted in Ppp.) differs from the preceding only by twice reading sarva instead of viçva. The epithet  $rt\bar{a}v\dot{r}dh$  may also signify 'increasing by righteousness.'

21. Existence we address, the lord of existences, and who is controller of existences; all existences, assembling — let them free us from distress.

Bhūtám at the beginning may be adjective, 'him who is.' Ppp. reads *patis* for  $vaq\bar{i}$  at end of **b**, and, for **c**, bhūtāni sarvā brūmas.

22. They that are the five divine directions, that are the twelve divine seasons, that are the fangs of the year — let them be ever propitious to us.

All the samhitā-mss. happen to read together in **b** dvādaça rtávah, which SPP. adopts; Ppp. makes the same combination. [Pāda **d** is nearly 9 **d** above.]

23. The immortal remedy, chariot-bought, which Mātalī knows — that Indra made enter into the waters; that remedy, O waters, give ye.

The *pada*-text reads *måtalī* also. [Concerning Mātalī, see Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 837.] All the mss. accent *åpo* in **d**, and it accordingly is read by both editions; but the sense requires the emendation to *āpo*, as translated; [so the comm.: *he āpah*]. The verse is so discordant with the rest of the hymn as to seem an addition made to it; [it is not found in Ppp.].

The comm. [p. 123] regards the verse as referred to in Vāit. 3. 13, quoting the whole  $s\bar{u}tra$ , but with  $m\bar{a}taly\bar{a}$  instead of  $p\bar{a}tr\bar{a}ny$  at the beginning; the mss. of Vāit. read  $m\bar{a}taly\bar{a}$  or  $m\bar{a}rttaly\bar{a}$ .

[Here ends the third anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 49 verses. The quoted Anukr. says agnim-brūmake tisraķ: i.e. 'in the hymn agnim brūmaķ, there are three [over twenty].']

## 7. Extolling the remnant (úcchista) of the offering.

[Atharvan.— saptavinçati. mantroktochiştādhyātmadāivatam. ānustubham \*: 6. purosnigbārhataparā; 21. svarāj; 22. vurāt pathyābrhatī.] \*[The Anukr. omits the definition of vs. 11 as pathyāpañkti.]

Found also (except vs. 25) in Pāipp. xvi. [The hymn is not cited in the text of Kāuç. nor of Vāit.]

Translated: Muir, v. 397 (part); Scherman, p. 87 (part); Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 305-310; Henry, 120, 156; Griffith, ii. 75; Bloomfield, 226, 629. — See Deussen's valuable introduction. He does not believe that *ucchişta* means 'remnant of the offering' in this hymn, but rather 'residuum in general,' the remainder that we get after subtracting from the universe all the forms of the world of phenomena.

I. In the remnant [are set] name and form, in the remnant [is] set the world; within the remnant both Indra and Agni, everything is set together.

The comm. connects the hymn with hymn 3, above, making the *ucchista* the remnant of Aditi's rice-dish; he quotes Tāitt. Brāh. i. 1. 9<sup>t</sup>, where it says "they gave her what remained" (*uccheṣaṇa*) etc. Ppp. reads rāpāṇi for rūpaṇi ca in b.

2. In the remnant heaven-and-carth, all existence is set together; in the remnant the waters, the ocean, the moon, the wind is set.

Ppp. combines at the end vātā "hitah.

3. In the remnant [arc] the being one and the non-being one, both, death, vigor, Prajāpati; they of the world  $(l\bar{a}ukyd)$  are supported  $(\bar{a}-yat)$  on the remnant, both *vrd* and *drd*; also fortune (crf) in me.

Ppp. reads 'sanç [for asanç] in a; in d, where we should welcome its aid in making sense, it is corrupt, reading prçcadrçcāurçcīr mayi; it also combines ucchistā "yattās

in c. The comm. has *āhitās* again instead of *āyattās* in c; he supplies *prajās* to *lāu-kyās*; and he explains vras as vārako varuņaķ and dras as drāvako 'mrtamayaķ somaķ, and the last clause by tatprasādāc chrīķ sampad mayi vidusy āhitā "sthitā bhavatu.

4. Being fixed, fix thou, being stanch, nyd, the *bráhman*, the ten allcreators; as the wheel on all sides of the nave, the divinities [are] set (*critá*) in the remnant.

Ppp. gives no variant in a; at the end it has *devatā hitāķ* (i.e. "*hitāķ*?). SPP., against the authority of all the *padu*-mss., combines *drikasthiras* into one word, merely because the comm. so explains it (*drikaņena sthirīkrto lokaķ*) — which is no reason at all for such an absurdity. *Nyas* the comm. glosses with *netāras tatratyāķ prāņinaķ*, which gives us n**e**hclp.

5. The verse (ic), the chant (sdman), the formula (ydjus) [are] in the remnant, [also] the song  $(udgith\dot{a})$ , the introductory praise  $(pr\dot{a}stuta)$ , the praise  $(stut\dot{a})$ ; the sound *hing* [is] in the remnant, the tone  $(sv\dot{a}ra)$ , and the ring (?mcdi) of the chant; that in me.

The comm. gives alternative explanations of *svára* and *medl*, showing that their technical meaning was doubtful to him, as to us. Ppp. has for **b** udgitas prastutam sthitam; in **d** it has midhus for medis. [To the last clause the comm. supplies bhavatu: cf. vss. 12, 14.]

6. That relating to Indra-and-Agni, that to the purifying [Soma] ( $p\bar{a}va-m\bar{a}n\dot{a}$ ), the great-named ones (f.,  $mah\dot{a}n\bar{a}mn\bar{s}$ ), the great ceremony ( $mah\bar{a}vrat\dot{a}$ ) — within the remnant are [all] the members of the sacrifice, like an embryo within a mother.

The *āindrāgna* and *pāvamāna* are explained by the comm. as two *sāmans*; for the *mahānāmnīs* he refers to Ait. Ar. iv. 1.

7. The *rājasūya* (royal consecration), the *vājaptya* (vigor-drinking), the *agnistomá* (fire-praise), then the sacrifice (*adhvará*), the *arká* and *açva-medhá* (horse-sacrifice) [are] in the remnant, the one having a living *barhís*, most intoxicating.

Ppp. has in **b** the preferable reading tato 'dhvarah.

8. The establishing of a fire, also the consecration, the desire-fulfiller, together with the meter (*chándas*); the removed (? *útsanna*) sacrifices, the sacrificial sessions (*sattrá*), are set together in the remnant.

All the *pada*-mss. read in **b**  $k\bar{a}maopráh$ : chándasā:, but no samihitā-ms. gives correspondingly  $k\bar{a}maprác$ ; chán-; they vary between -prá chán- (thus the majority) and -práh chán- (including our I.K.); both editions emend to -prác chán-; the comm. understands the two words as one compound. He also reads utsannayajñās as a compound in **c**, and takes it to mean sacrifices that have gone out of use and knowledge.

9. Both the fire-offering (agnihotrá) and faith, the váshat-exclamation, the vow (vratá), penance, the sacrificial gift (dáksiņā), what is offered (istá) and what is bestowed (pūrtá) — are set together in the remnant.

-xi. 7

Ppp. reads 'ti instead of 'dhi in **d**. The comm. explains isia as çrutivihitam yāgahomādi karma, and pūrtā as smrtipurānābhihitam vāpīkūpataļākadevāyatanārāmādinirmānam.

10. The one-night [sacrifice], the two-night, the same-day-purchase (sadyahkri), the purchasable (?prakri), the praiseworthy (ukthyd) — [it] is woven, deposited, in the remnant; the minute things of the sacrifice, by wisdom.

Ppp. betters the grammar of the last half-verse by reading for **d** yajhasyā 'no nu vidyayā. The comm. reads in **b** sadyaskrīh; sadyahkrī is especially prescribed by Prāt. ii. 62.

11. The four-night [sacrifice], the five-night, and the six-night, of both kinds, together, the one of sixteen (*sodaçin*), and the seven-night — from the remnant were born all the sacrifices that are put in immortality.

Ppp. combines  $yaj\bar{n}\bar{a}$  'mrte near the end. The comm. understands by ubhayas in **b** the doubles of the numbers of nights given. Sodaçin is the subject of Prāt. iv. 51, and catūrātra (p. catuhorātrah) of Prāt. iv. 80.

12. The response (*pratīhārá*), the conclusion (*nidhána*), both the allconquering and the on-conquering (*abhijít*) one, the same-day and overnight ones [are] in the remnant, the twelve-day one: also that in me.

Ppp. has at the beginning *pratihāro*. [The comm. joins the "also" to what precedes and says that "that in me" (supply *bhavatu*) is to be understood as a prayer: cf. vss. 5, 14.]

13. Pleasantness, compliance (sámnati), comfort (kséma), custom (? svadhá), refreshment, immortality, power — in the remnant all occurring (pratyáñc) desires are satisfied with desire.

Ppp. reads at the end trimpanti. Most of the pada-mss. and many of the samhitāmss. read simply kséma in a (including our Bp.O.D.R.K.Kp.).

14. The nine earths, oceans, skies, are set (crita) in the remnant; the sun shines in the remnant; day-and-night : also that in me.

The *pada*-mss. in general read simply *crita* (or *crita*) in **b**. Two or three mss. (including our O.) read '*pi* in **d**. Ppp. reads in **a**, **b** *bhumyāni* samudrasyo 'chiste, and has ca for api in **d**. [The comm. treats the last words of the vs. as under vs. 12.]

15. The added oblation (*upahávya*), the dividing [day], and the sacrifices that are put in secret, the remnant bears, bearer of all, father of the generator.

Ppp. reads divi çrutah [intending çritāh?] for guhā hitāh in b. The mss. are divided between upahávyam and upahavyám; the latter is read by our B.W.O.s.m. D.R.T.; and K. has -havyàm.

16. The remnant, father of the generator, of breath (*dsu*) the grandson, grandfather — he dwells, ruler of all, an overpowering (? *atighnyd*) bull upon the earth.

Ppp. reads in b'sāu putraç ca, which, without the ca, is an acceptable improvement.

17. Righteousness, truth, penance, kingship, toil, and virtue (*dhárma*) and deed (*kárman*), being (*bhūtá*), what will be, [is] in the remnant; heroism, fortune (*lakşmi*), strength in strength.

Ppp. has  $d\bar{i}ks\bar{a}$  for  $r\bar{a}stram$  in **a**: a better reading. The comm. explains *rta* here by manasā yathārthasamkalpanam 'right conception'; bale at the end he makes = balavati tasminn ucchiste.

18. Success, force, design, dominion, kingship, the six wide [quarters], the year [is] in the remnant,  $id\bar{a}$ , the orders (*prāisá*), the dips (*gráha*), the oblation.

Ppp. combines ojā "kūtiķ in a. [W. interlines 'potions' as an alternative for 'dips.']

19. The four-priest (*cátur-hotr*) [sacrifices], the  $\bar{a}pris$ , the scasonal [oblations], the *nivids* — in the remnant [are] the sacrifices, the invocations, the victim-offerings (*paçubandhá*), then the offerings (*isți*).

Tádistayah at the end in our edition is a misprint for tád istayah.

20. Both the half-months and the months, the year-divisions  $(\bar{a}rtav\dot{a})$  with the seasons; in the remnant [arc] the noisy waters, the thunder, the great sound (? cruti).

The comm. reads *cuci* in **d**, so we lack his conjecture as to the meaning of *cruti*.

21. Pebbles, gravel, stones, herbs, plants, grasses, clouds, lightnings, rain — in the remnant [are they] set together, set.

Ppp. combines sikatā 'çm- in a. [Read oşadhīr?]

22. Success (*rttddhi*), attainment, obtainment, permeation, greatness, prosperity [*cdhatú*] — in the remnant over-attainment and growth (*bhhti*) [is] put in, put down, put.

Several of our mss. (P.M.W.I.O.) accent vy dpti in b. All the mss. save one or two (including our B.) leave *edhatuh* unaccented, as if it were taken for a 3d dual perfect; both editions read *edhatuh*. The comm. strangely reads at the end *hitāh*; [but the *pada*-text makes all three words of d singular].

23. Both what breathes with breath and what sees with sight: from the remnant were born all the gods in heaven, heaven-resorters.

24. The verses (ic), the chants, the meters, the ancient (purana), together with the formula (yajus): from the remnant were born etc. etc.

Ppp. reads, for *rcah sāmāni*, *rgyajussāmāni*, and also prefixes to the verse our 27 a, b (combining *devās pit-*).

25. Breath-and-expiration, sight, hearing, indestructibleness and destruction : from the remnant etc. etc. .

The first half-verse is found below as 8.4 a, b, 26 a, b. The verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp.

26. Delights, joys, enjoyments, and they that enjoy enjoyments — from the remnant etc. etc.

[The first half-verse recurs as 8. 24 a, b.] [In the Berlin ed., there should be a space between *modah* and *pra*.]

27. The gods, the Fathers, human beings, and they that are Gandharvas-and-Apsarases : from the remnant etc. etc.

[The quoted Anukr. says "uchiste."]

## 8. Mystic: especially on the constitution of man.

[Kāurupathi. — catustrinçat. adhyātmamanyudāivatam. ānusļubham : 33. pathyāpankti.]

Found also (except vss. 33, 34) in Pāipp. xvi. (in the verse-order 1-6, 8-10, 7, 12, 11, 13, 15, 14, 16-32). [The hymn is noticed neither by Kāuç. nor by Vāit.]

Translated: Ludwig, p. 402; Scherman, p. 67 (8 vss.); Deussen, Geschichte, i. I. 270-277 (with introduction and interpretation); Henry, 123, 160; Griffith, ii. 80.

I. When fury (manyú) brought his wife away from the house of contrivance (samkalpá), who were the groomsmen (jánya)? who the wooers (vará)? who also was chief wooer?

Ppp. combines in  $c k\bar{a}$  "san. | Its c, d = our 6 c, d.]

2. Penance and also action were within the great sea (arnavá); those were the groomsmen, those the wooers; the bráhman was chief wooer.

The *pada*-mss. (save one of SPP's) divide evastam in **a** into evastam, and the accent of the verb is perfectly defensible, though SPP. alters to astam. Some of the mss. (including our Bp.P.M.E.) leave *mahati* unaccented : cf. vs. 6 b, and iii. 6.3.

3. Ten gods were born together from gods of old; whoever may know them plainly, he verily may talk big to-day.

'May teach the unlimited brahman' is the comm's understanding of the last clause.

4. Breath-and-expiration, sight, hearing, indestructibleness and destruction, out-breathing and up-breathing, speech, mind — they verily brought design ( $dk\bar{u}ti$ ).

The first half-verse occurs also as 7.25 **a**, **b** above, and the first three pādas **as** vs. 26 **a**, **b**, **c** below. Ppp. combines  $v\bar{a}$  "kūtim in **d**.

5. Unborn were the seasons, likewise Dhātar, Brihaspati, Indra-and-Agni, the two Açvins, at that time: whom did they worship  $(upa-\bar{a}s)$  [as] chief?

The comm. reads at the end āsate.

6. Both penance, namely, and action were within the great sea; penance was born from action; that did they worship as chief.

The comm. again has *āsate* at the end. SPP. reads *āstām* in *pada*-text, this time with two of his mss. Some mss. again (cf. vs. 2) read *mahati* (so our Bp.E.; P.M. *mahaty árņavé*).

xi. 8– BOOK XI. THE ATHARVA-VEDA-SAMHITÄ.

7. The earth that was previous to this one (*itás*), which the sages (*addhātí*) indeed knew — whoever may know that by name, he may think himself knowing in ancient things.

The translation implies emendation of  $t\bar{a}n$  in c to  $t\bar{a}m$ , which SPP. gives in his text, with about half of his mss. Ppp's version is quite different; it reads for a ye'to bhūmis pūrvā "sīt; and, for c, d, ke tasyan devā "sate kasmin sā 'dhi çrutah [intending tasyām and çritā?].

8. Whence was Indra, whence Soma, whence Agni born? whence did Tvashtar come into being? whence was Dhātar born?

Ppp. has for d dhātā sam abhavat kutah.

9. From Indra Indra, from Soma Soma, from Agni Agni was born; Tvashtar was born from Tvashtar; from Dhātar Dhātar was born.

Ppp. arranges in **d** dhātā dhātur.

10. The ten gods that were of old, born from gods — having given the world to [their] sons, in what world sit they?

Ppp. combines  $t\bar{a}$  "san in **a**, and reads *pura* for *pur* $\bar{a}$  in **b**. [For consistency, the Berlin ed. should have *dattva*.]

11. When he brought hair, bone, sinew, flesh, marrow, having made a body with feet, what world did he afterward enter?

The comm. reads sam abharat in b.

12. Whence brought he the hair, whence the sinew, whence the bones? the limbs, the joints, the marrow, the flesh who brought from whence?

Ppp. combines at the end *kutā "bharat*. The comm. appears again to read *sam* abharat at end of **b**. A few mss. (including our Bp.R.) read *suāvaķ* in **a**.

13. Pourers-together namely are those gods who brought together the bringings-together; having poured together the whole mortal, the gods entered man.

Ppp. reads çansatas for samsicas in a, and samsrjya for samsicya in c.

14. Thighs, feet, knee-joints, head, hands, also face, ribs, nipples (? barjakyà), sides : what seer put that together?

The comm. has nothing to say for *barjahyd* except 'the parts so called.' Ppp. reads instead *majjahye*; and it has *cront* for *ciras* in **b**. It also makes our 14 **c**, **d** and 15 **c**, **d** exchange places.

15. Head, hands, also face, tongue and neck, vertebræ — all that, having enveloped with skin, the great putting-together put together.

Ppp. reads [bāhu for mukham in a and has] in c tat sarvam. The comm. paraphrases samdhā in d with samdhānakartrī devatā. 16. The great body which lay there, put together by the puttingtogether — who brought into it the color with which it shines (*ruc*) here today?

Ppp. reads adadhat for açayat in **a**, mayi for mahat in **b**, and ko 'smin in **d**. SPP. reports all his *pada*-mss. as having at the end  $\bar{a} \circ ibharat$ , which he emends to  $\hat{a} : abharat$ ; our *pada*-mss. give the latter.

17. All the gods assisted (!upa-ciks); that she who was a woman knew; she who was wife of control (!vacca), mistress  $(\bar{i}cd)$ , brought color into it.

Ppp. reads in a upasiksan, and visasya for vacasya in c; the comm. (with two or three of SPP's mss.) has instead of the latter viccuasya. There are, failing help from sense, various questionable points in the construction.

18. When Tvashtar bored through [him?] who [was] the superior father of Tvashtar, having made the mortal a house, the gods entered into man.

Probably c is adjunct of *devás*; whether **b** is object of the verb in **a** is more doubtful. Ppp. gives no help. The comm. makes **b** define Tvastar himself, and understands the 'boring' of the openings for the senses, the eyes and ears etc. [Ludwig renders c: "machten die götter den sterblichen zu [ihrem] hause."]

19. Sleep, weariness, misery (*nírṛti*), the deities named evils, old age, baldness, hoariness, entered the body afterward (*ánu*).

The comm. reads *tandrī* in **a**, and *khālityam* in **c**. Anu perhaps rather 'one after another.'

20. Theft, ill-doing, wrong, truth, sacrifice, great glory, both strength, dominion, and force, entered the body afterward.

Ppp. has the better reading sahas for brhat in b.

21. Both growth (*blititi*) and diminution, generosities and niggardlinesses, both hungerings and all thirstings, entered the body afterward.

Ppp. combines vā 'bhūtiç in a.

22. Both revilings and non-revilings, both what [says] "come on" (*hánta*) and "no," faith, the sacrificial fee, and non-faith, entered the body afterward.

Ppp. combines  $v\bar{a}$  'nindāç in **a**. The majority of mss. (including our Bp.B.P.M.E. T.R.K.) read dakṣinā in **c**; [if I understand W's Collation Book, only Bp.T.K. among his mss. are noted as so reading;] both editions give dākṣinā. The comm. explains the word as meaning dhanasamrddhi. [Cf. Oldenberg, ZDMG. 1. 449.]

23. Both knowledges and ignorances, and what else is to be taught (*upa-diç*); the *bráhman* entered the body; the verses, the chant, also the formula.

Ppp. combines  $v\bar{a}$  'vidyāç in a, and reads for c *çarīram sarve prā* 'viçan  $\lfloor = \text{our } 25 \text{ c} \rfloor$ . Bråhman perhaps is here the 'charm,' representing the Atharvan hymns.

649

24. Delights, joys, enjoyments, and they that enjoy enjoyments, laughter, sport, dances, entered the body afterward.

Ppp. reads for a *ānandā nandās pramado*. The comm. reads *nur istās* in c sce SPP's note, p. 163]. The first half-verse is identical with 7. 26 a, b above.

25. Both appeals  $(\bar{a}l\bar{a}p\dot{a})$  and pratings  $(pral\bar{a}p\dot{a})$ , and they who utter (-lap) addresses  $(abh\bar{i}l\bar{a}pa)$  — all entered the body, joiners-on  $(\bar{a}y\dot{u}j)$ , joiners-forth  $(pray\dot{u}j)$ , joiners.

Ppp. reads  $pr\bar{a}yujas$  in **d**. The comm. explains the last words as  $= \bar{a}yojan\bar{a}ni$ , prayojanāni, and yojanāni. The first half-verse is as it were a change rung on 24 **a**, **b**.

26. Breath-and-expiration, sight, hearing, indestructibleness and destruction, out-breathing and up-breathing, speech, mind — they go about  $(\bar{i}ya)$  with the body.

The first three pādas are the same with 4 a, b, c, above.

27. Both blessings ( $\bar{a}_{\zeta}is$ ) and precepts (*pracis*), demands (*samcis*) and explanations (*vicis*), thoughts, all devisings, entered the body afterward.

The comm. explains the difficult compounds of -*çis* as mechanically as those of -*yuj* in vs. 25: *āçāsanāni*, *praçāsanāni*, saiiçāsanāni, vividhāni çāsanāni.

28. Both those of the blood and those of the bladder, the hasting and those that are pitiable, the secret, the clear, the thick waters — those they caused to settle in the repugnant one.

That is, apparently, in the body that was loth to receive them. SPP. reads unaccountably at the beginning dsteyis, against the great majority of his mss., the comm., and the sense. The reading has not been noted at all among our mss., but sn and st are very imperfectly distinguished in general by the scribes, and the latter may possibly have been intended by some among them. The comm. derives the word from d + snd, instead of from asan; the form in which he gives it is dsneyyas. The second word he reads vdsneyyas, and derives it from vd (or ' + snd.) Then he adds another derivation for both words, from dsana 'sitting,' and vasna 'price' respectively. He reads then dpas in c. Ppp. reads cukriyd in c.

29. Having made bone [their] fuel, then they caused eight waters to settle; having made seed [their] sacrificial butter, the gods entered man.

The first part of the verse is spoiled in Ppp. The comm. has the more regular accus. pl. *apas* in **b** (the *pada*-text *astá* : *āpaḥ*, as required by the accent; the comm. in general pays no heed to accent). He acutely refers to Tāitt. Brāh. i. 1.94, where bone is identified with fuel, and seed with sacrificial butter.

30. What waters [there are], and what deities, what *viráj*, with *bráhman*; *bráhman* entered the body; on (*ádhi*) the body [is] Prajāpati.

31. The sun, the wind, shared [respectively] the eye, the breath of man; then his other self the gods bestowed (*pra-yam*) on Agni.

'Shared' (*vt bhejire*, pl.) is ungrammatical as taken with the subject (which is only two-fold) given in the text. The comm. understands that the other 'senses' with their deities are viewed as included with these two. Ppp. reads *tathā* instead of *atha* in c.

32. Therefore, indeed, one who knows man [púrusa] thinks "this is bráhman"; for all deities are seated in him, as cows in a cow-stall.

Our text should read at the end *ivå* "sate with SPP. and nearly all the mss. (our Bp.B. *ivå* "sate). Ppp. has a less naive **d**: *çarīre* 'dhi samāhitāķ.

33. By the first dying, it goes apart dividing threefold: yonder goes it with one [part]; yonder goes it with one; here with one it dwells (?ni-sev).

This verse and the one following are (as above noted) wanting in Ppp. The comm. reads *ni* for *vi* in **b**. He regards the two 'yonders' as pointing respectively to heaven and hell, and paraphrases *ni sevate* by *nitarām sukhaduļkhātmakān blogān sevate*. LHE makes *jīvātmā* the subject: and a masculine subject seems required by *visvaā*, unless we read *nt* just after it.

34. Within waters that are sluggish (?*stīmá*), old, is the body placed; within that is might (?*cáva*, *cávas*?); thence is it called might.

There is perhaps in c, d a play upon the word *cavas*, which may mean either 'might' (as neut.) or (as masc.) 'corpse.' The comm. paraphrases it both times with *balātmakah sūtrātmā* [i.e. the *parameçvara*]. He explains *stīmāsu* as *anārdram sarvam jagad ārdram kurvatīşu*.

[Here ends the fourth anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 61 verses. The quoted Anukr. says with reference to this eighth hymn "yan manyur" ity atra caturdaça ca: that is 14 over 20.]

### 9. To conquer enemies: to Arbudi.

[Kāńkāyana. — şadvinçakam. mantroktārbudidevatyam. ānustubham: 1. 7-p. virāļ çakvarī 3-av.; 3. parosņih; 4. 3-av. usņigbrhatīgarbhā parātrustup 6-p. atijagalī; 9, 11, 14, 23, 26. pathyāpañktu; 15, 22, 24, 25. 3-av. 7-p. çakvarī; 16. 3-av. 5-p. virād uparustājjyotus trustubh; 17. 3-p. gāyatrī.]

This and the following hymn are wanting in Pāipp., although bits of vss. 15-17 of this one are to be found in Pāipp. xvii. The opening words of the two are quoted together in Kāuç. 16. 21, in connection with rites for insuring success in war. [The use of the two hymns forms a sequel to the rites described in the introduction to viii. 8, which see; and cf. under viii. 8. 24.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 530; Henry, 126, 164; Griffith, ii. 84; Bloomfield, 123, 631.

I. What arms  $(b\bar{a}hii)$  [there are], what arrows, and the powers  $(v\bar{i}ryd)$  of bows, swords (asi), axes (paraqui), weapon, and what thought-anddesign in the heart — all that, O Arbudi, do thou make our enemies to see; and do thou show forth specters  $(ud\bar{a}rd)$ .

The comm. refers to AB. vi. 1, where Arbuda is named and called a serpent-sage, and declares Arbudi and Nyarbudi to be his two sons. Udārān he explains as udgatān antariksacarān raksahpiçācādīn mantrasāmarthyodbhāvitān, or also as sūryaraçmiprabhavā ulkādaya āntariksyā utpātāh, specters or portents. [Pāda d, below, vs. 13 b.]

2. Stand up, equip ye yourselves (sam-nah), O friends, god-folk; beheld, concealed of you be [those] who are our friends, O Arbudi.

The occurrence of *mitrās* m. and *mitrāņi* n. in the same verse is puzzling, also the conjunction of *samdrṣṭa* and *gupta*, and of *vas* with the singular *arbude*. The comm. reads *samdrṣṭās* and *guptās* in c. Our Bp. reads y dh in d. [Pāda a = 26 b and 10.1 a.] [W. interlines "protected?" over "concealed."]

3. Stand ye (two) up, take ye hold; with tying up, with tying together, gird ye the armics of our enemies, O Arbudi.

The dual verbs doubtless imply, as the comm. also points out, the inclusion of Nyarbudi in the address to Arbudi [cf. vs. 11]. The comm. reads *senām* in c.

4. The God that is Arbudi by name, and the lord  $(i_{\varsigma\bar{a}na})$  Nyarbudi, by whom the atmosphere is involved  $(\bar{a}\cdot v_{\tau})$ , and this great earth — by those (two) who are allied with Indra, I go after what is conquered with an army.

Probably 'I follow up with my army what is already conquered by them.' The two last pādas are by the comm. reckoned as the first line of the next verse.

5. Stand thou up, O god-folk, Arbudi, with the army; breaking (*bhañj*) the army of our enemies, envelop it with [thy] coils (*bhogá*).

The comm. explains bhogébhis as ātmīyāih sarpaçarīrāih.

6. Presenting to view, O Nyarbudi, the seven kinds of specters, with them all do thou stand up, when the butter is offered, with the army.

The *pada*-text reads in a *jātān*: *nioarbude*; but the reading is plainly false, and should be either *jātā*: *nioarbude*, or *jātāni*: *arbude*; either of these, considering that to the scribes *nya* and *nnya* are entirely equivalent and exchangeable (see my Skt. Gr. §§ 229, 232), would correctly represent the *samhutā*-reading. [Cf. the reading of the comm. at 10. 21.]

7. Smiting herself, tear-faced, and crop-eared(?), let her yell, with disheveled hair, when the man is slain, bitten (?rad), O Arbudi, of thee.

'Her'— namely, the wife or sister or the like; more distinctly pointed to in the next verse. *Radita* ought to mean rather 'scraped' or 'scratched'; there seems to be no other example of it in the sense 'bitten': perhaps as a mere scratch from the fang of a serpent is enough to kill. The comm. takes *radita* as a noun (like *ruta*, *smita*, *citta*, etc.), = *dantāir vilekhane khādane sati*. Of *krdhukarnī* the comm. says: *krdhv iti hrasvanāma* : *karņābharaṇaparityāgena hrasvakarnī*. The verse is translated (also vs. 14, and 10. 7) by Bloomfield, in AJP. xi. 340.

8. Drawing in her kar $\hbar kara$ , seeking with her mind her son, husband, brother, also her people (sva) — in case of thy bite, O Arbudi.

The ending is the same with that of vs. 7, understood as the comm. takes it; we might also supply '[he being] bitten' etc. The Pet. Lex. renders karūkara 'vertebræ of the neck and spine': rather (in ÇB. xii. 2. 4<sup>10, 14</sup>), perhaps, 'a point or spinous process of a vertebra.' The comm. explains karu as an imitative word, and karūkara as meaning anything that makes the sound karu, and so designating hastapādādy-avayavagatam samdhimad asthijātam; and he goes on loke hi bhayavaçād ubhayor hastayoh parasparāngulinipīdanena tādrçam çabdam utpādayanti. This is far from relieving satisfactorily the obscurity. Most of our mss. accent svān in c.

9. Let the buzzards, *jāskamadás*, vultures, falcons, winged ones, let the crows, the birds (*çakúni*), satisfy themselves — exhibiting among the enemics — in case of thy bite, O Arbudi.

We have here two refrain-phrases, neither of which stands in any grammatical connection with its surroundings (the pple. 'exhibiting' being nom. sing. masc.). The comm. reads in **a** aliklabāh and yāh klamadāh; and some of the mss. have jāhkam-(so our B.O.s.m.).

10. Then let all wild beasts, let the fly, let the worm satisfy itself upon the carrier of men, bitten, O Arbudi, of thee.

Here the refrain stands again in grammatical connection.

11. Take ye (two) hold, tear out (sam-brh) [their] breath-and-expiration, O Nyarbudi; let groaning  $(?niv \bar{q}ci)$  noises assemble — exhibiting among the enemies — in case of thy bite, O Arbudi.

Again (as in vs. 3) the other serpent-deity is included in a in the invocation Lthis time of Nyarbudi J. The comm. reads  $v_r$  hatam in a. He explains nivāçās as nīcīnam vāçyamānā ābhāsyamāņāh.

12. Make thou [them] tremble; let them quake together; unite our enemies with fear; with broad-gripping arm-hooks pierce thou our enemies, O Nyarbudi.

The comm. reads in c *ārugrāhāis* (which is not bad) and *bāhuvaākāis*, explaining the latter by *bāhunā vakrabandhanāi*<sup>h</sup>. Our P.M.W. read at the end *amitrāny arbude* : compare 6 **a**, above.

13. Let their arms be confounded, and what thought-and-design is in their heart; let not anything of them be left — in case of thy bite, O Arbudi.

The second pada is the same with vs. 1 d, above.

14. Smiting themselves let them (f.) run together, smiting on the breast, the thighs (?pataurá), not anointing, with disheveled hair, wailing when the man is slain, bitten, O Arbudi, of thee.

Translated by Bloomfield, ib. (see vs. 7). I follow both translators in rendering pataura by 'thigh,' although it is not too acceptable, considering the familiarity of  $\bar{u}ru$  as name for 'thigh.' SPP. reads instead  $pat\bar{u}r\bar{a}u$ , with a very small minority of his mss. (of ours, only B.s.m. has it), and with the comm. The latter defines it simply as tat- (i.e. urah) pradecāu. He makes aghārin from agha and root r: aghena bharty-viyogajanitena duķkhenā "rtāķ !

15. Dog-accompanied Apsarases, she-jackals  $(?\dot{rhpaka})$  also, O Arbudi, the *riçá*, licking much in the inner vessel, seeking what is ill-deposited all these (f.), O Arbudi, do thou make our enemies to see, and do thou show forth specters; —

The conclusion is nearly the same with vs. 1 e, f, g, above, and is also repeated below [vss. 22, 24]. The accent of *çvdnvatīs* seems certainly wrong, but it is read by all the mss., and avouched by the commentary to Prāt. iii. 73. The translation of ripaka

653

# xi. 9-- BOOK XI. THE ATHARVA-VEDA-SAMHITÄ.

is that of the minor Pet. Lex., apparently founded solely on an Avestan analogue; the comm. defines it as  $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}vaq\bar{a}t$  kevalam  $r\bar{u}pam\bar{a}treno$  'palabhyamānāh senār $\bar{u}pak\bar{a}h$ . He reads antah and pātre as two independent words, according to his custom of caring nothing for accent. For  $riq\bar{a}m$  ("tearing one, as designating some small animal," minor Pet. Lex.) he reads  $vaq\bar{a}m$  'cow,' so that we lose any light he might have cast on the obscure description. Bp. reads  $ris\bar{a}m$ . Prāt. iii. 75 and iv. 77 prescribe the pada-reading durnihita.

16. Her that strides upon the *khadtira*, mutilated, wearing what is mutilated (?); the specters that are concealed, and what Gandharvas-and-Apsarases [there are], serpents, other-folk, demons; —

The comm. reads at the beginning *khadūre*, and explains it as  $\bar{a}k\bar{a}ce\ d\bar{u}radece$ ; our Bp.Kp. have sadūre. Again neglecting the accent, he takes adhi and caūkramām as two independent words. He also reads  $-v\bar{a}cin\bar{n}m$  in b;  $-v\bar{a}sin$  might be 'dwelling' (so understood by the Pet. Lexx. and Ludwig). Finally, he reckons the last (irregular) pāda to the following verse. [Pāda e = 10.1 c.]

17. The four-tusked ones, the black-toothed, the pot-testicled, the blood-faced; they that are self-frighting and frighting.

The first four epithets are accus. pl. masc.; probably, like the accus. fem. at beginning of vs. 16, objects of *prá darçaya* 'show forth' in vs. 15. The comm. explains svabhyasās and udbhy- by svāyattabhītayo rākşasāh and udgatabhītayah.

18. Do thou, O Arbudi, make to tremble yonder lines (*slc*) of our enemies; let both the conquering one and the conqueror, allied with Indra, conquer our enemies.

SPP. reads in his samihitā-text jáyānç ca in c, with the large majority of his mss., and with part of ours (E.O.s.m.K.). The prolongation being so anomalous, and unsupported by the Prāt., I think jáyanç ca decidedly the more acceptable reading. The comm. gives it. He also has *çucas* for *sicas* in **b**. Read *amitrān* at end of c, with *anusvāra*sign, not *anunāsika*. [Pāda **b** = 10. 20 **b**.]

19. Let our enemy lie squelched, crushed, slain, O Nyarbudi; let tongues of fire, tufts of smoke, go conquering with the army.

The comm. reads in a pravlinas, in accordance with the more usual form.

20. Of our enemies, pushed forth by it, O Arbudi, let Indra, lord of might (*çácīpáti*), slay each best man (*vára*); let no one soever of them be freed.

'By it'—i.e. by the army; the comm. reads instead triaya 'by thee.' With **a**, **b** compare vi. 67. 2 c, d. [Our d occurs several times: see note to iii. 19.8.]

21. Let their hearts burst open (ut-kas), their breath pass up aloft; let dryness of mouth follow after our enemies,  $\lfloor and \rfloor$  not those who are friendly.

The comm. renders ut kasantu by çarīrād udgacchantu, and ud īsatu equivalently.

22. Both they who are wise (*dhtra*) and they who are unwise, those going away and they who are deaf, they of darkness and they who are

hornless  $(t\bar{u}par\dot{a})$ , likewise those that smell of (?) the goat — all those (m.), O Arbudi, do thou make our enemies to see, and do thou show forth specters.

The meaning of *-abhivāsin* is wholly uncertain [cf. Pāli  $v\bar{a}sita$ ]; the Pet. Lex. conjectures instead *-abhivāçin*, and the comm. reads *bastāvivāçin*, as from *basta* + avi +  $v\bar{a}çin$ . He also, in defiance of *pada*-text and accent, renders *tamasās* as *támasā*. [Cf. *nabhasá-s* (not *nábhas-as*), ix. 4. 22.]

23. Let both Arbudi and Trishandhi pierce through our enemies, in order that, O Indra, Vritra-slayer, lord of might, we may slay of them, of our enemies, by thousands.

Trișandhi, lit. 'of three joints,' is conspicuous especially in the next hymn. The comm. explains it here as kaçcit senāmohako devah samdhitrayopetavajrāyudhābhimānī vā.

24. The forest-trees, them of the forest-trees, herbs and plants, Gandharvas-and-Apsarases, scrpents, gods, pure-folk (*punyajaná*), Fathers — all those, O Arbudi, do thou make our enemies to see, and do thou show forth specters.

The comm. identifies the 'pure-folk' with the *yakṣas*. • [With c, d, cf. viii. 8. 15, above.] [Cf. Kāuç. 73. 5.]

25. Mastery over you have the Maruts [gained], the heavenly Āditya, Brahmaņaspati; mastery over you have both Indra and Agni, Dhātar, Mitra, Prajāpati; mastery over you have the seers gained (kr) — exhibiting among the enemies — in case of thy bite, O Arbudi.

One would like to emend devás to devás in a.

26. Masters  $(i_{\bar{q}\bar{a}na})$  of them all, stand ye up, equip yourselves, ye friends, god-folks; having wholly conquered in this conflict, scatter ye to your several worlds.

The mss. set the  $a\tau as \bar{a} n a$  in this verse after  $y \bar{n} y dm$ , and SPP. very properly does the same. [Our **b**, **c** = 2 **a**, **b**: **b** = 10.1 **a**.]

[The quoted Anukr. says "ye bāhavah" : see vs. 1.]

### 10. To conquer enemies: to Trishandhi.

[Bhrgvañgıras. — saptavinçatı. mantroktatrışandhıdevatyam. ānuşţubham: 1. vırāţ pathyābrhatī; 2. 3-av. 6-p. trıstubgarbhā 'tıyagati; 3. vırād āstārapaūktı; 4. vırāj; 8. vırāţ tristubh; 9. purovırāţ purastājyyotıs trıstubh; 12. 5-p. pathyāpaūktı; 13. 6-p. jagatī; 16. 3-av. 6-p. kakummaty anustuptrıstubgarbhā çakvarī; 17. pathyāpaūktı; 21. 3-p. gāyatrī; 22. vırāţ purastādbrhatī; 25. kakubh; 26. prastārapaūktı.]

Not found in Päipp. [For its use by Kāuç. in connection with hymn 9, see introduction to hymn 9.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 531 ; Henry, 129, 169 ; Griffith, ii. 88 ; Bloomfield, 126, 637.

1. Stand ye up, equip yourselves, ye specters, together with ensigns; ye serpents, other-folks, demons, run after our enemies.

[Pada a = 9.2 a, 26 b; c = 9.16 e.]

2. Your mastery I know, [your] kingdom, O Trishandhi, together with red ensigns; what in the atmosphere, what in the sky, and what men  $(m\bar{a}nav\dot{a})$  [are] on the earth, let those ill-named ones sit  $(!upa-\bar{a}s)$  in the mind (*cétas*) of Trishandhi.

The translation implies veda  $r \dot{a} j y am$  in **a**, while all the *pada*-mss. treat the word as a compound (vedaor  $\dot{a} j j am$ ). The comm. takes veda as an independent word, but renders it as a 3d sing., with trisandhis supplied as subject; and he understands the enemies as addressed by vas in **a**. He supplies ketavas to the three ye's in **c**, **d**, regarding mānavās as adj., 'human.' And he cuts off the last two pādas, adding them instead to vs. 3, and reading at the beginning trisandhe tve (" = tava"); explaining upāsatām by sambhajantām, and making the following nouns its subject. For durnāmānas he has -nam (as also our B.O.).

3. Iron-(*áyas*-)mouthed, needle-mouthed, likewise thorn-tree-(*vikan-kațī*-)mouthed, let the flesh-eaters, of wind-swiftness, fasten on our enemies with the three-jointed (*trişandhi*) thunderbolt.

The comm. regards the epithets as signifying flesh-eating birds, and supplies [alternatively] 'sent forth' (*preritās*) to the concluding instrumentals.

4. O Jātavedas, Āditya, put thou between much human flesh; let this army of Trishandhi be well-placed in my control.

Most of SPP's *pada*-mss. give *sénāļ*: in c; no such reading has been noted among our mss. One would like to improve meter and sense together by emending *triṣandhes* to -*dhinā*, understanding the 'army' to be the enemy's. *Antár dhehi* at the beginning may also mean 'hide'; and the comm. juggles the line into signifying, 'O Jātavedas, make the corpses of our enemies hide the sun'!

5. Stand thou up, O god-folk, O Arbudi, with the army; this tribute is offered  $(\bar{a}-hu)$  to you | pl. |; the offering [is] dear to Trishandhi.

The comm. reads  $\bar{a}hutis$  (for *-tas*) in **c**, and  $\bar{a}hutipriy\bar{a}$  as compound in **d**. [In some copies the *i* of  $priy\bar{a}$  is broken.]

6. Let the white-footed one tie together, this shaft (*caravyà*), four-footed; O witchcraft, be thou for our enemies, together with the army of Trishandhi.

The comm. reads for dyatu, in **a**, patatu: cf. vs. 7 **a**. By çitipadī he understands a white-footed cow, called a çarauyà as being a çarūņām bāņānām samūhaļi.

7. Let the smoke-eyed (f.) one fall together, and the crop-eared one (f.) yell; it being conquered by the army of Trishandhi, let the ensigns be red.

The comm. supplies *parakiye bale* to *jité*; the *pada*-reading (simply *jité*) forbids us to regard the word as fem. dual. He takes the epithets in the first half-verse first as applying to the enemy's army, and then to the krtya which is invoked against it. The verse is translated by Bloomfield, as noted above (see 9.7), at AJP. xi. 340.

8. Let the winged ones descend, the birds, they that go about in the atmosphere, in the sky; let the wild beasts, the flies, take hold together; let the raw-flesh-eating vultures scratch at the human carrion.

The comm. explains radantām by svatuņdāih pādāiç ca vilikhantu. [Read divi ca yé?]

9. The agreement (samdhå) which thou hast agreed on with Indra and with the bráhman, O Brihaspati, by that Indra-agreement do I call hither all the gods : conquer ye on this side, not on that !

The comm. (with two or three of SPP's authorities) reads *-adhattās* in  $\mathbf{a}$ ; and he treats *indra-samdhayā* as two independent words in  $\mathbf{c}$ . Samdhām in  $\mathbf{a}$  is clearly proved an intrusion by the meter.

10. Brihaspati of the Anigiras race, the seers sharpened by the *brdhman*, set up  $(\bar{a}$ -*cri*) in the sky the Asura-destroying weapon, Trishandhi.

One would like to emend  $\bar{a}\bar{n}giras \dot{a}s$  to  $\dot{a}\bar{n}giras \dot{a}s$  (as Ludwig translates). The comm. renders  $\dot{a}$  '*çrayan* by *asevanta*, as if it were  $\dot{a}$  '*çrayanta*.

11. By whom yonder sun, and Indra, both stand protected — Trishandhi the gods shared, in order to both force and strength.

12. All worlds did the gods completely conquer by means of that offering (dhuti) — the thunderbolt which Brihaspati of the Angiras race poured, an Asura-destroying weapon.

'Poured,' i.e. 'cast': a term used also elsewhere of the thunderbolt (BR. vii. 980).

13. The thunderbolt which Brihaspati of the Angiras race poured, an Asura-destroying weapon — therewith do I blot out (ni-lip) yon army, O Brihaspati; I slay the enemies with force.

The comm. renders *ní limpāmi* by *nitarām chinadmi*. He also has the strange reading *amūs* for *amūm* before *sénām*.

14. All the gods come over hither, who partake of [the offering] made with vásat; enjoy ye this offering; conquer ye on this side, not on that !

15. Let all the gods come over hither; the offering [is] dear to Trishandhi; defend ye the great agreement by which in the beginning the Asuras were conquered.

The comm. appears to read in a *-yanti*, as in vs. 14 a, but he interprets it as an imperative this time.

16. Let Vāyu bend up the arrow-points of the enemies; let Indra break back their arms; let them not be able to set the arrow; let Aditya make their missile weapon (astrá) disappear; let the moon put (yu) them on the track of what is not gone.

The last clause is very doubtful and difficult; the comm. gives no aid, explaining with aprāptasyā "jigamisataļ çatroļ... panthānam asmatprāptyupāyabhūtam mārgam yutām tataļ prthakkurutām (taking yutām from yu 'separate,' and adding) tādrçam mārgam çatrur na paçyatu ity arthaļ. He divides the verse into two, making the second begin with ādityá eṣām.

657

17. If they have gone forward to the gods' strongholds, have made the *bráhman* their defenses; if (?yát) they have encouraged (?upa-vac) themselves, making a body-protection, a complete protection — all that do thou make sapless.

The verse occurred above as v. 8. 6, and the comm. declines to repeat his explanation there given — which, however, is not in our hands.

18. Causing to follow the *purbhita* with the flesh-eating [fire] and with death, O Trishandhi, go forth with the army; conquer the enemies; go forward.

The last pāda is identical with iii. 19.8 c.

19. O.Trishandhi, do thou enwelop our enemies with darkness ; of them yonder, thrust forth by the speckled butter, let none soever be freed.

The last half-verse is nearly identical with viii. 8. 19 c, d. [For the stock-phrase d, see iii. 19. 8, note.]

20. Let the white-footed one (f.) fall upon (*?sam-pat*) yonder lines of our enemies; let yonder armies of our enemies be confounded today, O Nyarbudi.

The second pāda is identical with 9. 18 b; to be put in any connection with it, the words of the first pāda require to be rendered otherwise than in 6 a, 7 a, above. The comm. again reads *cucas* for *sicas*, as in the other passage [9. 18: comm. p.  $181^{17}$ ].

21. Confounded [be] our enemies, O Nyarbudi; slay thou of them each best man (vára); slay [them] with this army.

The comm. strangely reads *amitrān* beside  $m\bar{u}dh\bar{a}s$ . [The rationale of his variant is perhaps as in 9.6 above (*nnya* = *nya*). The *pada*-text has *amitrāh*. But the comm. also takes  $m\bar{u}dh\bar{a}h$  as =  $m\bar{u}dh\bar{a}n$ .]

22. Whoever is mailed, and who without mail, and what enemy is in march (? *djman*); by bowstring-fetters, by mail-fetters, smitten by the march let him lie.

The mss. are in good part awkward about the combination jm (in ajmani, ajmana), writing what looks like a fm or pm, but there is no real variant. The comm. explains djman as ajati gacchaty anene 'ty ajma rathādi yānam. He gives abhihitas, a preferable reading, in **d**.

23. Who have defenses, who have no defenses, and the enemies who have defenses — all those, O Arbudi, being slain, let dogs eat on the ground.

The accent  $y \notin varmanah$ , though read by all the mss. [save R.], is wholly inadmissible, and should be emended to  $y \notin v$ .

24. Who have chariots, who have no chariots, those without seats and they who have seats  $(s\bar{a}d\dot{a})$  — all those, being slain, let vultures, falcons, birds (*patatrin*) eat.

–xi. 10

We may fairly question whether 'seat' means here 'seat on horseback.' The comm. explains asādās by açvādiyānarahitāḥ padātayaḥ, and sādinas by açvārūdhāḥ 'mounted on horses.'

25. Let the army of our enemies lie with thousand corpses (-kúnapa) in the conflict of weapons, pierced through, cut to pieces (?).

The obscure  $kakaj\bar{a}krt\bar{a}$  at the end is guessed by the comm. to mean *kutsitajananā* vilolajananā vā krtā; he attempts no etymology, but evidently sees in it the root jā. In **a** he has the strange reading *senām* for *çetām*.

26. Let the eagles (*suparnd*) eat him, pierced to the vitals, crying loudly, lying crushed, the evil-minded one — what enemy of ours wishes to fight against this opposing offering.

The translation implies the emendation (which Ludwig's version also makes) of *suparņāts* to *suparņās* in **a**. The comm. takes it as qualifying *çanāis* understood and adjunct of *marmāvidham*: 'pierced etc. by well-feathered arrows.' In the irregular meter of the first line, the division is perhaps best made before *adantu*: a small minority of SPP's mss. so regard it, and accent *adántu* accordingly, and he follows them in his text; our Bp. puts its pāda-division after *adantu*, and, with one other ms., leaves the word without accent. [See Henry's elaborate conjectures, p. 172: *marmāvidho róruvataḥ suparṇā gaṇātr adantu mṛdītáṁ çdyānam*. The other versions imply *mármaviddham*, and Bloomfield expressly conjectures *marmaviddhám*, overlooking the accent; but the comm. to Prāt. iv. 68 quotes *marmāvidham* as an instance of non-separation in *pada*-text.]

27. [The offering] which the gods follow  $(anu-sth\bar{a})$ , of which there is no failure — with that let Indra, Vritra-slayer, slay, with the three-jointed thunderbolt.

[Here ends the fifth anuvāka, with 2 hymns and 53 verses. The quoted Anukr. says, referring to this last hymn, antyo vinçatiķ sapta cā 'parāķ.]

LThe sum of the verses for hymns 1-2 and 4-10 is (68 + 189 =) 257. Reckoning hymn 3 (with the Berlin ed.) as of 56 vss., we get for the book (257 + 56 =) 313: and this is the summation given by codex I. On the other hand, reckoning hymn 3 as of (31 + 72 + 7 =) 110 vss. (see pp. 632, 628), we get for the book (257 + 110 =) 367. But the summation given by four of W's mss. (including P.W.B.) is 365. How to account for the discrepancy I do not see. One ms. sums up the last *anuvāka* as 51 (i.e. 26 + 25?— instead of 26 + 27 = 53) verses, and 10. 17 is indeed a *galita*-verse; but the Old Anukr. reckons hymn 10 as 27, not 25.]

[Three or four\_mss. sum up the suktas " of both kinds " as 12.]

[Here ends the twenty-fifth *prapāţhaka*.]

# Book XII.

L'his twelfth book is the fifth and last of the second grand division of the Atharvan collection. For a general statement as to the make-up of the books of this division, page 471 may again be consulted. The Old Anukramanī describes the length of the *artha-sūktas*, hymns 1, 2, 3, and 4, by giving the overplus of each hymn over 60 verses. The assumed normal lengths in the case of books ix., x., xi., and xii. seem to be respectively 20, 30, 20, and 60 verses. The whole book has been translated by Victor Henry, *Les livres X*, *XI et XII de l'Atharva-véda traduits et commentés*, Paris, 1896. The *bhāṣya* is again lacking. The fifth or last hymn is made up of 7 *paryāyas* (see pages 471-2), which, if they be counted separately, make the hymns number 11 instead of 5: see page 611, top.]

L The anuväka-division of the book (as noted above, page 472) is into five anuväkas of one hymn each. The "decad"-division likewise is as described on page 472. A tabular conspectus for this book also may be added:

Anuvākas	I	2	3	4	5
Hymns	I	2	3	4	5
Verses	63	55	60	53	73T
			<u> </u>		
Decad-div.	5 tcm + 23	5 tens + 5	6 tens	4 tens + 13	7 P

Here, as before, ¶ means "paragraph of a  $pary\bar{a}ya$ " (such as is numbered as a "verse" in the Berlin edition) and P means " $pary\bar{a}ya$ ." The last line shows the "decad"division. Of these "decads," anuvākas 1, 2, 3, and 4 contain respectively 6, 6, 6, and 5 (in all, 23 "decads"); while anuvāka 5 has 7 paryāyas. The sum is 23 "decad"-sūktas and 7 paryāya-sūktas or 30 sūktas. Cf. the summation at the end of hymn 5.]

### 1. To the earth.

[Atharvan. -- trişaşţih. bhāumam. trāisţubham: 2. bhurij; 4-6, 10, 38. 3-av. 6.p. jagatī; 7 prastārapaūkti; 8, 11. 3-av 6-p. vurād aşţi; 9. parānusţubh; 12, 13, 15. 5-p. çakvaiī (12, 13. 3-av); 14. mahābrhatī; 16, 21. 1-av. sāmnī trisţubh; 18. 3-av. 6-p. trisţubanusţubgarbhā 'tiçakvarī; 19, 20. urobrhatī (20. virāj); 22. 3-av. 6-p. vurād atijagatī. 23 5-p. vurād atijagatī; 24. 5-p. anusţubgarbhā jagatī; 25. 3-av. 7-p. uşniganusţubgarbhā çakvarī; 26-28, 33, 35, 39, 40, 50, 53, 54, 56, 59, 63. anusţubh (53. purobārhatā); 30. virād gāyatī; 32. purastājijvotis; 34. 3-av. 6-p. trisţuborhatīgarbhā 'tijagatī; 36. vuparītapādalakşmī paūkti; 37. 3-av 5-p. çakvarī; 41 3-av. 6-p. kakummatī çakvarī; 43. svarād anustubh; 43. virād āstārapaūkti: 44, 45, 49 jagatī; 46 6-p. anuţubgarbhā parāţakvarī; 47. 6-p. uşniganusţubgarbhā parātiçakvarī; 48. puro'nusţubh; 51. 3-av. 6-p. anusţubgarbhā kakummatī çakvarī; 52. 5-p. anusţubgarbhā parātijagatī; 57. puro'tijāgatā jagatī; 58. purastādbrhatī; 61. purobārhatā; 62. parāvirāj.] Found also in Pāipp. xvii. (excepting vss. 62, 63). Many of the verses are used by the Kāuç., as also the whole hymn (which is also by itself an *anuvāka*), under the name *bhāuma*, '[hymn] to earth': so at 38. 12, 16, in a ceremony for giving firmness to buildings; at 98. 3 (with vi. 87, 88), for safety from earthquake; and in 8. 23 it is (with iii. 12, vi. 73, 93) reckoned a *vāstospatya* hymn. The first 7 verses (Kāuç. 24. 27) and the first 9 (? 24. 31, 35) are used in the *āgrahāyanī* ceremony. [Further, cf. Keç. to 70. 8, 9.] In Vāit, vss. 1, 13, 27, 30, and others are quoted. [The whole hymn is prescribed in Nakş. Kalpa, 18, in a *mahāţānti* called *pārthivī:* see SPP. iii. 2025.]

Translated: by Charles Bruce, Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society, Old Series, xix. 321 ff. (with comparisons from Greek writers); Ludwig, p. 544; Henry, 179, 215; Griffith, ii. 93; Bloomfield, 199, 639.

I. Great (brhant) truth, formidable right, consecration, penance, brahman, sacrifice sustain the earth; let her for us, mistress of what is and what is to be — let the earth make for us wide room (loka); —

Found also in MS. (iv. 14. 11), which reads  $yajn\bar{a}s$  in **b**, and *bhúvanasya* (for *bháv-yasya*) in **c**. The Anukr. does not heed that pāda **a** is *jagatī*. The verse (unless more of the hymn is meant to be included with it) is, according to Vāit. 12. 6, to be repeated by one who relieves on the ground the needs of nature. It is quoted by Kāuç. 24. 24 in the *āgrahāyanī* ceremony; also in the comm. to 24. 35 (cf. above); and it, with vs. 38, is reckoned (see note to Kāuç. 19. 1) among the *pusțika mantras*.

2. Unoppressedness in the midst of men  $(m\bar{a}nav\dot{a})$ . Whose are the ascents  $(udv\dot{a}t)$ , the advances  $(prav\dot{a}t)$ , the much plain  $(sam\dot{a})$ ; who bears the herbs of various virtue  $(ndn\bar{a}v\bar{v}rya)$  — let the earth be spread out for us, be prosperous for us.

The mss. vary in **a** between *badhyatás* and *madhyatás* (Bp.P.M.I. have *ba*-), but only the latter can be right, and the translation adopts it; the former (which Ppp. also has, and *mānaveṣu*) seems to have come in under the influence of *-bādham*. [Correct the edition.] As the text stands, pāda **a** can only be an adjunct to vs. 1, and so Ppp. reckons it, and begins our **b** with *asyās*. But MS. (iv. 14. 11) reads *asambādhā yā madhyató mānavébhyo*; it also has *mahát* for *bahú* at end of **b**, and *nānārūpās* and *bibhárti* in **c**. This time the Anukr. notices that **b** has 12 syllables. Kāuç. 137. 16 quotes the verse [in the preparation of the *vedi*].

3. On whom [are] the ocean and the river (sindhu), the waters; on whom food, plowings, came into being; on whom quickens this that breathes, that stirs — let that earth (bhinni) set us in first drinking.

That is, doubtless, give us precedence over others (but MS. reads  $p\bar{u}rvap eyam$ : see note to vs. 5). Ppp. reads for b yasyām devā 'mrtam anvavindan; and for second half-verse it has our 4 c, d, giving our 3 c, d as second half of vs. 5, with the easier reading jīvati, [followed by] viçvam ejāt in c. We should expect krsáyas in b.

4. Whose, the earth's, [are] the four quarters; on whom food, plowings, came into being; who bears manifoldly what breathes, what stirs — let that earth (*bltumi*) set us among kine, also in inexhaustibleness (?*dnya*).

661

Ppp. reads in a yasyām and prthivyām, and in b grstayas [cf. note to ii. 13.3]. As second half-verse it has our 5 c, d, giving our 4 c, d as 3 c, d, reading (after bahudhā) prānine jāngano bhūmir gosv açvesu pinve krņotu, thus relieving us of the difficult *dnye*. Kāuç. (137.17) uses the verse next after vs. 2, in connection with making the sacrificial hearth four-cornered. The description given by the Anukr. of this and the two following verses is so wholly wrong that we cannot help suspecting a corrupt text. This verse is, if we make no resolutions in d, a regular tristubh.

5. On whom the people of eld  $(p\bar{u}rvajan\dot{a})$  formerly spread themselves  $(?vi\cdot kr)$ ; on whom the gods overcame the Asuras; the station  $(?visth\dot{a})$  of kine, of horses, of birds  $(v\dot{a}yas)$ —let the earth assign us fortune  $(bh\dot{a}ga)$ , splendor.

Ppp. reads in a *nicakrire*, and in b *atyavartayan*; also in c (found as  $\lfloor its \rfloor 4$  c) *vayasayya*  $\lfloor ? \rfloor$ . MS. has a verse made up of our 5 a, b (without variant), 4 c (accenting *bibharti*), and 3 d (with *pūrvaptyam*). The verse is mixed *tristubh* and *jagatI*.  $\lfloor$  In Ppp. this verse precedes our 4. — The sequence of the half-verses of the Vulgate as they stand in Ppp. seems therefore to be as follows: 3 a, b, 4 c, d, 5 a, b, 3 c, d, 4 a, b, 5 c, d.  $\rfloor$ 

6. All-bearing, good-holding, firm-standing, gold-backed (-vákṣas), reposer of moving things (jágat), bearing the universal (vāiçvānará) fire, let the earth (bhāmi), whose bull is Indra, set us in property.

The verse is found also in MS. (iv. 14. 11), which reads, in **a-b**, *puruksúd dhíranyavarnā jágatah pratisthā*; and in **d** *dráviņam* (the editor also admits in his text the bad reading *indra rsabhā*). It is quoted in Kāuç. 137. 28. [I do not see why W. has preferred 'gold-backed' to 'gold-breasted' here and in vs. 26.] [By 'reposer' he means 'bringer-to-rest.']

7. She the earth (*bhimi prthici*), whom the gods, sleepless, defend all the time without failure — let her yield (*duh*) to us honey, what is dear; then let her sprinkle us with splendor.

The verse is found also in MS. (iv. 14. 11), which reads in c ghrtám instead of priyám.

8. She who in the beginning was sea (salila) upon the ocean (arnava); whom the skilful (manīsin) moved after with their devices  $(m\bar{a}ya)$ ; the earth whose immortal heart covered with truth is in the highest firmament ( $vy\partial man$ ) — let that earth (*bhūmi*) assign to us brilliancy, strength, in highest royalty.

The verse is properly II + I2:II + II:8 + 8 = 6I syllables, and not very well described by the Anukr. The last two clauses perhaps have independent construction: '[assign] to us brilliancy [and] strength, [and] set [us] in highest royalty.'

9. On whom the circulating waters flow the same, night and day, without failure — let that earth  $(bh\hbar mi)$ , of many streams  $(-dh\hbar r\bar{a})$  yield (duh) us milk; then let her sprinkle [us] with splendor.

The Anukr. does not heed that c is a jagatt pada. [In Ppp., this verse precedes our 7.]

10. Whom the Açvins measured; on whom Vishnu strode out; whom Indra, lord of might (*cdci*-), made free from enemies for himself — let that earth (*bhumi*) to us, a mother to a son, release (*vi-srj*) milk [to me].

Some of the mss. read in  $\mathbf{d}$  -trān cháci-, and Bp. has accordingly -trān. Ppp. also has cakrā "tmane 'namitrān cchaci-; and, at the end, nas payah. [Ppp's repetition of nas is more tolerable than the harsh change from pl. to sing. which W. seems to have overlooked.]

11. Let thy hills (giri) [and] snowy mountains (párcata), let thy forest-land (áranya), O earth, be pleasant (syona); upon the brown, black, red, all-formed, fixed (dhruva) earth  $(bh\bar{n}mi)$ , the earth guarded by Indra — I, unharassed, unsmitten, unwounded, have stood upon the earth.

Ppp. reads in **b**  $\bar{a}ranyani$  corrected to ar, and nah after astu; also in **c** lohintin, and in **f** adhi sthain, which is better. [Roth's Collation has in fact addhi.] The verse (11 + 11 : 11 + 11 : 8 + 8 = 60) should be called aticakvart rather than virād asti. Verses 11 and 12 are reckoned to the swastyayana gana (see note to Kāuç. 25. 36).

12. What is thy middle, O earth, and what thy navel, what refreshments  $(\frac{i}{n}r_j)$  arose  $(sam-bh\bar{n})$  out of thy body — in them do thou set us; be purifying  $(p\bar{n})$  toward us; earth  $(bh\bar{n}mi)$  is mother, I am earth's son; Parjanya is father — let him save (fill? pr) us.

Ppp. reads at end of a yaç ca nādyā.

13. On what earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$  they enclose the sacrificial hearth; on what [earth] men of all works extend the sacrifice; on what earth are set up (mi) the sacrificial posts, erect, bright. before the oblation — let that earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$ , increasing, make us increase.

Ppp. reads in **b** viçvakarmaņaļa, and in **d** reads and combines  $cukr\bar{a}$  "hutyā pur-. All the mss. accent at the end vardhamānā. In Vāit. 15.8, the verse is used to accompany the enclosing of the sacrificial hearth. In virtue of one jagatī pāda (b), the verse is a full cakvarī (56 syll.).

14. Whoso shall hate us, O earth; whoso shall fight [us]; whoso shall vex [us] with mind, who with deadly weapon — him, O prior-acting earth  $(bh\hat{n}mi)$ , do thou put in our power.

'Prior-acting,' i.e., apparently, 'getting the start of him'; we should expect a nom. rather than a vocative case. Ppp. reads instead  $p\bar{u}rvakrtvane$ ; also, in **b**, 'bhimanyā tāindanamā dhanena. Read in our text prtanyād yd (an accent-sign omitted); one of our mss. [and five of SPP's authorities, and his text!], however, read yd. According to the usual nomenclature of the Anukr., the verse is a virād gāyatrī (11 + 11 : 12 = 34, hence bhurij). · [Dr. Ryder suggests that the mahābrhatī here intended is one of 3 jāgata pādas (see Ind. Stud. viii. 243-4). Both this vs. and 17 may be scanned as 12 + 12 : 12 - cf. under vs. 17.]

15. Born from thee, mortals go about upon thee; thou bearest bipeds, thou quadrupeds; thine, O earth, are these five [races] of men, for whom, mortals, the rising sun extends with his rays immortal light.

Ppp. reads in b ca instead of the second tram.

16. Let those creatures, without exception (samagrá), together yield fruit (duh) to us; the honey of speech, O earth, do thou assign unto me.

 $T\ell$  for  $t\bar{a}s$  at the beginning, allowing us to regard  $praj\bar{a}s$  as accus., would be a welcome emendation.

17. The all-producing (-sn) mother of herbs, the fixed earth (bhn), the earth maintained by ordinance, the auspicious, the pleasant, may we go about over always.

This verse (10 [properly 11] + 12:12 = 34 syll.) is overlooked by the Anukr.; it nearly accords in structure with vs. 14, above. [Dr. Ryder observes that the dual (mahābṛhatyāu) of the Anukr.text suggests the possible falling out of the pratika of this verse. See under vs. 14.] [There is a play of words in dhármanā dhṛtām which cannot easily be reproduced in translation.]

18. Thou hast become great, a great station (*sadhástha*); great is thy trembling, stirring, quaking; great Indra defends thee unremittingly. Do thou, O earth (*bhūmi*), make us to shine forth as in the aspect (*samdfc*) of gold; let no one soever hate us.

Ppp. reads *viryena* for *apramādam* in c, and from  $e \lfloor samdrçi \rfloor$  passes directly on to our 19 c (*agnir antaş pur*- etc.): probably an accidental omission. The verse (12 + 11: 11: 8 + 8 + 8 = 58) lacks two syllables of a full *atiçakvarī*.

19. Agni is in the carth (*bhimi*), in the herbs; the waters bear Agni; Agni [is] in the stones (*áçman*); Agni is within men; in kine, in horses are Agnis.

This and the two following verses are quite out of connection here, and seem to be an intrusion. They are quoted together in Kāuç. 2. 41 as accompanying the feeding of the fire with fuel; in 120. 5, in a ceremony against the cleaving open of the ground; and in 137. 30 (each singly) to accompany the strewing of the sacrificial hearth in the  $\bar{a}jyatantra$ . The first part of the verse (as noted above) is wanting in Ppp.

20. Agni sends heat from the sky; the wide atmosphere is god Agni's; mortals kindle Agni [as] oblation-bearer, ghee-lover.

Ppp. combines in a divā "tapaty.

21. Let the earth, fire-clad, black-kneed, make me sharpened, brilliant (*tvisīmant*).

This verse is quoted by *pratīka* in GB. i. 2. 9. As to the ritual uses of it and of vs. 20, see the note to vs. 19. Ppp. reads *triṣīvantain* in **b**.

22. On the earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$  they give to the gods the sacrifice, the oblation, duly prepared; on the earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$  mortal men (manusyd) live by *swadhå*, by food; let that earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$  assign us breath, life-time; let earth make me one who attains old age.

• The verse (8+8:8+8:11+11=54) should be called by the Anukr. *svarāj* instead of *virāj*. Ppp. reads in **a** *juhvati* instead of *dadati*.

23. What odor of thine, O earth, came into being, which the herbs, which the waters bear, which the Gandharvas and Apsarases shared — with that do thou make me odorous; let no one soever hate us.

Ppp. adds, after *bhejire*, yas te gām açvam arhati ; and it reads for our **d** tenā 'smān surabhīs kṛṇu, and, in our **e**, dvakṣata. The verse (11 + 11 : 12 : 8 + 8 = 50) is as well described by the Anukr. as the latter's system admits. Verses 23-25 [so the schol.] are called in Kāuç. 13. 12 and 54. 5 gandhapravādās (likewise in the comm. to 24. 24); they' are also reckoned as belonging to the second varcasya gaṇa (see note to Kāuç. 12. 10).

24. What odor of thine entered into the blue lotus; which they brought together at  $S\bar{u}ry\bar{a}$ 's wedding — the immortals, O earth, [what] odor in the beginning — with that do thou make me odorous; let no one soever hate us.

Ppp. has again *tenā 'smān surabhīş kṛṇu*, and *dvakṣata*. [To the definition of the] verse (11 + 11 : 11 + 8 + 8 = 49) [should be added "*bhurij*"].

25. What odor of thine is in human beings (pirusa); in women, in men, [what] portion, pleasure; what in horses, in heroes, what in wild animals and in elephants; what splendor, O earth  $(bh\bar{n}mi)$ , in a maiden — with that do thou unite us also; let no one soever hate us.

Or, in **d**, mrgeșu hastișu may mean simply 'elephants' (lit. 'wild beasts having a hand'). Ppp. reads yas te bhāume puruseșu ... rucir yo vadhūsu : yo goșv açveșu yo mrgeșu :... yad bhāume abhi sain srja; and in **g** dvaksata. If the verse contains an usuih pāda (namely **c**, the resolution aç-u-eșu being rejected), it is nicrt as a çakvart.

26. Rock [is?] earth (*bhūmi*), stone, dust; this earth (*bhūmi*) [is] held together, held; to that earth, gold-backed (-*vákṣas*) have I paid homage.

Ppp. reads, in a-b, pansv arya bhumi strta dhrta, and omits c, d. [Cf. note to vs. 6.]

27. On whom stand always fixed the trees, the forest trees ( $v\bar{a}na$ -spatyá), the all-supporting earth that is held [together] do we address.

Ppp. reads for **d** bhūmyāi hiraņyavakşasi dhṛtam acchāv-. Vāit. 2.8 quotes the verse to accompany the laying down of the enclosing sticks.

28. Arising  $(ud-\bar{u}r)$ , also sitting, standing, striding forth, with right and left feet, let us not stagger upon the earth.

The Anukr. seems to assume the resolution  $-kr \cdot a \cdot in b$ . This verse and 33 below are quoted in Kāuç. 24. 33 to accompany the taking of three steps, while looking around, in the  $\bar{a}grah\bar{a}yan\bar{n}$  ceremony.

29. The cleansing (vimígvan) earth do I address, the patient (kṣamá) earth (bhūmi), increasing by worship (bráhman); may we sit down, O earth (bhūmi), upon thee, that bearest refreshment, prosperity (puṣtá), food-portion, ghee.

Ppp. reads at the beginning *vimargvāya*, in **b** *vāvrdhānaḥ*, in **c** *puṣṭim*, in **d** *bhāume*. The verse is quoted four times in Kāuç.: in 3.8; 24.28; 137.40, to accompany a sitting down in different ceremonies; and in 90.15, when causing a guest to stand upon a cushion. 30. Let cleansed (*çuddhá*) waters flow for our body; what mucus (? syédu) is ours, that we deposit on him we love not (*ápriya*); with a purifier (*pavítra*), O earth, do I purify myself.

Part of the *pada*-mss. (Bp. [and one of SPP's]) accent  $m\bar{a}$  in c. Ppp. has  $m\bar{a}$  for *nas* in **a**; and, in **b**, *yo me sehnur*. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 58.7 (and at second hand under 24. 24) in connection with rinsing the mouth after spitting; also in 'Vāit. 12.6 in connection with easing nature.

31. What forward directions are thine, what upward, what are thine, O earth (bhimi), downward, and what behind, let those be pleasant to me going about; let me not fall down [when] supported (*cri*) on creation (*bhivana*).

The verse is found also in MS. (iv. 14. 11), which reads, for **b**, y d c, c a bhumy adhar d g y d c, c a pacc d; also *civids* for *syonds* in **c**. Ppp. has in **b** bhaume 'dharad, and in **d** *cucriyane*. This and the following verse are reckoned to the svastyayana gana: see note to Kauç. 25. 36.

32. Do not push (*nud*) us behind, nor in front, nor above and below; become thou welfare for us, O carth  $(bh\dot{\pi}mi)$ ; let not the waylayers find [us]; keep very far off the deadly weapon.

The directions 'forward' etc., in this and the preceding verse, are also equivalent, as elsewhere, to 'eastern' etc. Pāda **d** occurs below as xiv. 2. II **a**; **e** was found above as i. 20. 3 **d** etc. Ppp. reads  $m\bar{a}$  for *nas* in **a**, omits **b**, reads in **c** *bhāume me kṛṇu*, and makes **d** and **e** change places, reading also  $v\bar{a}yas$  for *varīyas* [ and *vidhan* for *vidan* ]. The verse (II + 8:8 + 8 + 8 = 43) is curiously defined by the Anukr.

33. How much of thee I look forth upon, O earth  $(bh\bar{n}mi)$ , with the sun for ally (*medin*), so far let my sight not fail  $(m\bar{i})$ , from one year (*sámā*) to another.

Ppp. has again *bhāume* in **b**. For the use of the verse in Kāuç., see note to vs. 28. It is quoted also in Vāit. 27. 7 as used by one gazing at the earth after mounting the sacrificial post.  $\lfloor P\bar{a}da d we$  had at iii. 10. 1; 17. 4.  $\rfloor$ 

34. In that, lying, I turn myself about upon the right [or] the left side, O earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$ ; in that we with our ribs lie stretched out upon thee that meetest us — do not in that case injure us, O earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$ , thou underlier of everything.

'Underlier,' lit. 'counter-lier, one whose lying answers to that of another.' In **a**, **b**, perhaps rather 'in that I turn over toward [thee] the one or the other side '[cf. vii. 100. 1]. Our Bp. puts its sign of pāda-division between **c** and **d** before instead of after yát, and the Anukr. supports it by counting a *brhatī* element in the verse (which is properly 8 + 11:8 + 8:8 + 8 = 51). The verse is prescribed in Kāuç. 24. 30, to accompany the act of turning over while lying down, in the *āgrahāyanī* ceremony. All the mss., with the edition, [likewise SPP's mss. and ed., ] accent *paryāvarte*; it should be *paryāvárte*. Ppp. puts the verse after 35, and reads *api* for *abhi* in **b**; and, for **d**, *prstvā yad rdva gemahe*; and *bhāume* both times for *bhūme*. 35. What of thee, O earth (*bhimi*), I dig out, let that quickly grow over; let me not hit (*arpay*-) thy vitals nor thy heart, O cleansing one.

'Grow over,' i.e. heal up, like a wound. Ppp. has again *bhāume* in  $\mathbf{a}$ ; also *oṣain* for *kṣipram* in  $\mathbf{b}$ , and *arpitam* in  $\mathbf{d}$ ; this time (cf. vs. 29) it agrees with our text in the peculiar epithet *vimrgvari*, lit. 'wiping off.' Kāuç. (46.51) quotes the verse to accompany an act of digging in a *prāyaçcitta* ceremony; and again similarly at 137.12.

36. Let thy hot season, O earth (bh timi), rainy season, autumn, winter, cool season, spring — let thine arranged seasons, years, let day-and-night, O earth, yield milk (duh) to us.

One would expect in c  $h\bar{a}yan\bar{a}s$  belonging to or constituting the year'; and Ppp., combining  $h\bar{a}yan\bar{a}$  'hor-, favors that reading. Ppp. has also again bhaume in **a**. The irregularity of the verse (8 + 11 : 10 + 11 = 40) indicates corruption; it is a *paikti*, of course, only by the sum of syllables. It is quoted in Kāuç. 137.9, as one approaches to measure out the sacrificial hearth. [Cf. 137.4, note.]

37. She who, cleansing one, trembling away the scrpent; on whom were the fires that are within the waters, abandoning the god-insulting barbarians, choosing, she the earth, Indra [and] not Vritra, kept herself (dhr) for the mighty one (cakrá), the virile bull.

The first pāda is extremely obscure; it is here translated mechanically, as closely as possible to the text. Bruce understands at the beginning  $y\dot{a} : \dot{a}pa$  (instead of the  $y\dot{a} : \dot{a}pa$  of the *pada*-text); and that would be a natural and easy emendation, if only the resulting sense were more acceptable. Ludwig renders as if we read *sdrpāt* ('trembling at the serpent'). The totally different reading of Ppp., *ya āpas sarpan yatamānā vimrgvari*, indicates that the text is corrupt. Ppp. further reads in **b** *agnayo* '*psv*', and stops the verse at *dadatī*, then adding our vs. 40. Our verse (12 + 11 : 11 + 11 : 11 = 56) adds up as a true *çakvarī*.

38. On whom are the seat and oblation-holder; on whom the sacrificial post (yipa) is planted; on whom worshipers (brahmán) praise (arc) with verses, with the chant, knowing the sacrificial formulas; on whom are joined the priests (rtvij), for Indra to drink the soma;—

Ppp. reads in **e** yujyante 'syām rtyavas s. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 24. 37 to accompany an oblation [and by Dārila to 24. 24, in the  $\bar{a}grah\bar{a}yanI$  ceremony]. It is also reckoned with vs. I among the *pustika mantras* (see note to Kāuç. 19. I). In Vāit. 15. 4, this verse and the two following are prescribed to accompany the subrahmanyā recitation; in 10. 8, it is used at the setting up of the sacrificial post.

39. On whom the former being-making seers sang out (*ud-arc*) the kine — the seven pious ones (*vedhás*), by their session, together with sacrifice [and] penance; —

Ppp. reads  $ud\bar{a}n\bar{a}t$  for  $ud\bar{a}nrcus$  in b; all our mss. accent *úd ānrcús*, but the edited text has emended to  $ud\bar{a}n$ . Vāit. 22. I gives the verse as prescribed by a certain authority to be used instead of iii. 14. 2, in driving out the kine from the place of sacrifice.

40. Let that earth (*bhúmi*) appoint unto us what riches we desire; let Bhaga join on after; let Indra go [as our] forerunner.

For consistency, our text should read in  $\mathbf{c} \cdot yu\overline{n}t\overline{a}m$ , as called for by Prāt. ii. 20 (see the note). As noticed above, this verse is in Ppp. joined on to 37 as a part of it; in its place, as conclusion of 39, is given here  $s\overline{a}$  nas paçūn viçvarūpān dadhātu jaradastim mā prihivī krņotu. [In  $\mathbf{d}$  of our vs. 40, Ppp. reads indro yātu.]

41. On whom, the earth (*bhůmi*), mortals sing [and] dance with loud noises (?*vyāìlaba*); on whom they fight; on whom speaks the shout (*ākrandá*), the drum — let that earth (*bhůmi*) push forth our rivals; let earth make me free from rivals.

Yudhyánte should be emended to yúdhyante. The verse (8+8:8+8:11+11=54) has no kakubh element in it, but as çakvarī it is virāj. Ppp. puts the verse after our vs. 42, and reads for **b**: janā martyā dvāilavā;  $\lfloor \text{ in } \mathbf{c} \ yudhyante `syām; \rfloor$  and, for **e**, **f**, sā no bhūmis pra dadhatām sapatnān : yo no dvesty adharam tam krņotu.

42. On whom is food, rice-and-barley; whose are these five races (krsti) — to the earth, whose spouse is Parjanya, fattened (-midas) by the rain, be homage.

With the irregular, but not infrequent, combination ydsye 'måh in b, the verse is a regular anustubh; for the epithet svarāj of the Anukr. there is no [sufficient] reason. Ppp. reads for b yatre 'mās pañca grstayah, and ends with -medhase. Kāuç. uses the verse at 24. 38 (next after vs. 38), and at 137. 24, with homage to the [earth (bhūmi)].

43. Whose are the god-made strongholds; in whose field [men] fall out (? vi-kr) — the earth, womb of everything, let Prajāpati make pleasant (ránya) to us, spot by spot.

[BR. render viçvágarbha by 'Alles im Schoosse tragend.'] Ppp. reads yasyān both times for yasyāh; also, at the end, *nis tanotu*. The Anukr. is more than usually scrupulous in calling the verse virāj. Read in **b** vikurváte.

44. Bearing treasure [and] good in many places hiddenly, let the earth give me jewel (*mani*), gold; giver of good, bestowing good things on us, let the divine one assign [them to us] with favoring mind.

Ppp. [puts the verse after our 30, and ] reads at end of **b** dadhātu naķ. Kāuç. quotes the verse at 24. 39, as used by one who desires jewels or gold. [So Keç., p. 322: also SPP. (maṇihiraṇyādikāmaḥ) at p.  $201^{18}$ ; but at  $201^{26}$  he cites the sūtra with Bl's reading (maṇin hir-); cf. Caland, p. 66.]

45. Let the earth, bearing in many places people of different speech, of diverse customs (*-dhárman*), according to their homes, yield (*duh*) me a thousand streams of property, like a steady (*dhruvá*) unresisting milch-cow.

Ppp. reads in a janam yam bibhratī bahuvācasam, and in c nas for me. The Anukr. does not heed that the last pāda in this verse, and the last two in vs. 44, are tristubh. [Keç., p. 3223<sup>1</sup>, couples this vs. with the preceding: cf. note to vs. 44.]

46. What stinging (*viçcika*) harsh-biting serpent of thine lies in secret, winter-harmed, torpid (*? bhṛmalá*); whatever worm, O earth, becoming lively, stirs in the early rainy season — let that, crawling, not crawl upon us; be thou gracious to us with that which is propitious.

Ppp. reads in a vręcakas, and for b ff. hemantalabdho bhramalo (.') krmir lisan prthivyāi prāvrsī yad ejati. The treatment of krimis in c as neuter is very strange. [Is it a collective neuter like Grwurm? cf. Noun-Inflection, JAOS. x. 570.] In the description of the verse (11 + 12:7 + 8 + 8 + 8 = 54) by the Anukr. there is perhaps something omitted (or we are to read virāt çakvarī for parāç-). The verse is used according to Kāuç. 50. 17 (with [iii. 26 (see introduction thereto) and 27 and ] vi. 50. 1) in the removal of vermin; also, according to 139. 8, with a number of other verses about serpents and the like; and it is reckoned to the rāudra gaņa (note to Kāuç. 50. 13). In Vāit. 29. 10 it accompanies a libation to Rudra.

47. What many roads thou hast, for people to go upon, a track (vártman) for the chariot, and for the going of the cart, by which (pl.) men of both kinds, excellent and evil, go about — that road, free from enemies, free from robbers, may we conquer; be thou gracious to us with that which is propitious.

Ppp. reads bahudhā (for bahavas) in **a**, yehhiç car- at beginning of **c**, and panthām in **d**; and it omits the last pāda [repeated from vs. 46]. The pratīka (ye te panthānaķ), quoted in Kāuç. 50. I, might refer either to this verse or to vii. 55. I; the comm. to vii. 55 declares the latter to be intended.

48. Bearing the fool, bearer of what is heavy, enduring (titiksi) the death (? nidhána) of the excellent and of the evil, the earth, in concord with the boar, opens itself to the wild (mrga) hog.

Ludwig understands gurubhŕt in a as 'bearer of the wise' (guru as antithesis of malva); the Pet. Lexx. translate nidhána as 'residence' (and so Bruce, 'abode'). Ppp. has at the beginning a very different text: sarpam bibhratī surabhir; and it reads  $s\bar{u}kare\mu a$  in c and  $var\bar{a}h\bar{a}ya$  in d.

49. What forest animals of thine, wild beasts set in the woods, lions, tigers, go about man-eating — the jackal (? uli), the wolf, O earth, mis-fortune, the rksika, the demon, do thou force  $(b\bar{a}dh)$  away from us here.

The translation here given agrees with its predecessors in assuming emendation of *tc* in **a** to *tc*. Some of our mss. read in **c-d** *itd raksikām*; and Bp. has after it *ŕksak*. Ppp. gives *eta raksīkām rakso 'pa bādhā mat*; and, at the beginning, *yatāranyās paç*-; [and *ulam* in **c** like our text]. With **a** compare the nearly identical xi. 2. 24 **a**; in spite of their agreement, one can hardly help regarding *mrgās* as an intruded word. The Anukr. apparently accepts the two redundant syllables as making up for the deficiency in **b** and **d**, since 14 + 11 : 12 + 11 = 48 syllables. [As to the "man-eaters," cf. note to xv. 5.7.]

50. What Gandharvas, Apsarases [there are], and what aráyas, kimīdins: the piçācás, all demons — them do thou keep away from us, O carth (bhāmi).

Ppp. combines in a gandharvā 'ps-, and has at the end bhaume yāvayah.

669

51. She to whom two-footed winged-ones fly together, swans, eagles, hawks, birds; on whom the wind, Mātariçvan, goes about, making clouds of dust (? rájas) and setting in motion the trees — flame (arcis) blows after the forth-blowing, the toward-blowing, of the wind.

The second pāda is identical with xi. 2. 24 b. Upavām is metrically an intrusion into e: with the pāda is to be compared RV. i. 148. 4 c (which, however, casts little light upon it). Ppp. reads in c-d vātayate mātariçvā raj-; and, in e, it omits upavām, and has at the end arcise. The Anukr. appears to divide the last redundant pāda into two, an anustubh (8) and a kakubh (6); the whole makes two syllables more than a proper çakvarī (11+11:11+11:8+6=58). [Hopkins, JAOS. xx.<sup>2</sup> 217, thinks that fire caused by the friction of branches is here alluded to, and cites parallels. We may add Indische Spruche, 3759, which is very clear.]

52. On whom the black and the ruddy, combined, [namely] day-andnight, [are] disposed upon the earth  $(bh\bar{n}mi)$ ; the broad (prthivi) earth  $(bh\bar{n}mi)$ , wrapped [and] covered with rain — let her kindly  $(bhadráy\bar{a})$  set us in each loved abode.

Ppp. reads grstam for krsnam in **a**, reads and combines sambhrte 'horātre in **a-b**, and reads vrtāvrdhā in **c**, and dhāmnidhāmni in **e**. In **c** is to be understood, with the pada-text, vrtā: āvrtā. An accent-mark under the final tā is needed in order to indicate the acute of sā in the next line. The verse (11 + 12: 12 + 8 + 8 = 51) is not well described by the Anukr. [A ca with syllabic value, inserted after krsnām, would be an effective, albeit cheap, means of improving the meter of **a**.] The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 24. 41 (next after various of the preceding verses), as accompanying a mouthrinsing and head-splashing with rainwater; and pāda **c**, again, in 137.23, with a sprinkling with water.

53. Both heaven and earth and atmosphere [have given] me this expanse; fire, sun, waters, and all the gods have together given me wisdom (*mcdhå*).

The translation of **a**, **b** is doubtful; *vydcas* may be in apposition with *antdiriksam*, and the gift as in the second line. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregular combination *me* 'dam in **a**, which is needed to make the verse a simple *anustubh*. Ppp. combines *māi* 'dam, and it has at the end sam dadhāu. Not this verse, but vi. 53. 1 (according to the comm. on the latter), is quoted in Kāuç. 10. 20, in a ceremony for wisdom; Lbut Dārila understands our verse as the one intended ].

54. I am overpowering, superior by name on the earth (*bhtmi*); I am subduing, all-overpowering, vanquishing in every region.

The treatment of the compounds of sah (p. also abhīṣāt, viçvāṣāt) is the subject of several rules in the Prāt. (ii. 82; iii. 1; iv. 70). [Cf. above, iii. 18. 5.] The verse is by Kāuç. 38. 30 prescribed to be repeated as one goes to an assembly (*pariṣad*).

55. When yonder, O divine one, spreading thyself forward, told by the gods, thou didst expand (vi-srp) to greatness, then entered into thee well-being; thou didst make fit the four directions.

Ppp. at the beginning puts yat before adas; it has in b srsta instead of ukta, and mahitvā (which is better); and in c  $\bar{a}$  vāmabhūtam av-. The Anukr. does not heed the redundant syllable in a.

56. What villages, what forest, what assemblies, [are] upon the earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$ , what hosts, gatherings — in them may we speak what is pleasant  $(c\dot{a}ru)$  to thee.

With the first half-verse may be compared VS. iii. 45 a, b. Ppp. reads for a ye grāmyā yāny araņyāni, and for c, d teşu aham devi prthui vibhyāsam mayu satua ca.

57. As a horse the dust, she has shaken apart those people who dwelt upon the earth since (ydt) she was born — pleasing, going at the head, keeper of creation (*bhivana*), container of forest trees, of herbs.

Ppp. reads at the beginning  $a \varsigma v \overline{i} ra$ , and is corrupt throughout; [but it reads grbhir like our text]. The Anukr. calls the first pāda an *atijagatī* rather than admit the abbreviated form 'va for *iva*.

58. What I speak, rich in honey I speak it; what I view, that they win (?van) me; brilliant am I, possessed of swiftness; I smite down others that are violent (?dodhat).

The sense of **b** is obscure. Ppp. reads *vadantu* (for *vananti*); Bp. has *vadanti*, and O.s.m. (p.m.?) *vahanti*. Ppp. has at the end *dodhata*. Kāuç. quotes the verse at 24.14 and 38.29, each time adding *mantroktam* 'as expressed in the verse.' [Ppp. puts this verse before our 57.]

59. Tranquil, fragrant, pleasant, with sweet drink in her udder, rich in milk, let earth (*bhůmi*) bless me, earth together with milk.

Ppp. reads at the beginning *santivā* [cf. iii. 30. 2, note], and in **c** no 'dhi (in place of *adhi*). The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 24. 31, among many other verses from this hymn; [further, by Dārila to 3. 4, and by Keç. to 70. 8, 9].

60. Whom Viçvakarman sought after with oblation within the ocean, when she was entered into the mist (? rájas); an enjoyable vessel that was deposited in secret became manifest in enjoyment (bhóga) for them that have mothers.

Ppp. reads for **b** yasyām āsann ugrayo [intending agnayo?] 'psv antaḥ; and, in **c, d**, guhā çāir āvir bhor abhavan mātrmadbhiḥ: which casts no light on the strange and obscure meaning.

61. Thou art the scatterer (?avápana) of people, [art] a wish-fulfilling ( $k\bar{a}madúgha$ ) Aditi, spreading out; what of thee is deficient, may Prajāpati, first-born of righteousness, fill that up for thee.

The word *āvápana* seems to mean sometimes, and perhaps here, a (wide, shallow?) receptacle onto which things are strewn or scattered. Ppp. has at the beginning vim for twam, and in b viçvarūpā for paprathānā; for c, d it reads yat tāu "nam tat tāpū-rayāti prajāpatiļ prajābhis samvidānām; and it ends the hymn here. The Anukr. refuses to admit two familiar resolutions in **a**, and gratuitously calls the pāda a bārhata

(9 syll.). The second half-verse is twice quoted in Kãuç. (46. 52; 137. 13) in connection with filling up a hole that has been dug (*iti samvapati*); and the verse, in 137. 14, with removing elsewhither the dirt taken out.

62. Let standers upon thee, free from disease, free from ydksma, be produced (*prdsūta*) for us, O earth; awakening to meet our long life-time, may we be tribute-bearers to thee.

The sense of *upasthås* (p. *upaosthåh*) in a is doubtful; Ludwig renders 'laps,' as if *upásthās*; [and so Bloomfield]; Bruce 'that shall dwell in thee.' The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 50. 10, in a ceremony for success. The description of the Anukr. is unintelligible, as the verse is a perfectly regular *tristubh*.

63. O mother earth (*bhimi*), do thou kindly set me down well established; in concord with the heaven, O sage (*kávi*), do thou set me in fortune, in prosperity (*bhiti*).

The verse is used by Kāuç. (24.27) in connection with vss. 1-7; also by the comm. to 58.19 in the *annaprāçana* ceremony. Vāit. 27.8 prescribes it on descending from the sacrificial post (cf. note to vs. 33). [For *criyām*, the only form of its kind in the AV., see JAOS. x. 389.]

[Here ends the first anuvāka, of 1 hymn and 63 verses. The quoted Anukr. says bhāumas tryadhikā sastiķ.]

### 2. The flesh-eating and the householder's fires.

[Bhrgu — paūcapaūcāţat. āgneyam uta mantroktadevatyam; 21-33. mārtvyaļi. trāistubham; 2, 5, 12-20, 34-36, 38-41, 43, 51, 54. anustubh (16. kakummatī parābrhatī; 18. niert; 40 purastātkakummatī); 3. āstārapaūkti; 6. bhurig ārsī paūkti; 7, 45. jagatī; 8, 48, 49. bhurij; 9. anustubgarbhā viparītapādalaksmī paūkti; 37. purastādbrhatī; 42. 3-p. 1-av. bhurig ārcī gāyatrī; 44. 1-av. 2-p. ārcī brhatī; 46. 1-av. 2-p. sāmnī tristubh; 47. 5-p. bārhatavāirājagarbhā jagatī; 50. uparistādvirād brhatī; 52. purastādvirād brhati; 55 brhatīgarbhā.]

[Partly prose — vss. 42, 44 ] Found also (except vss. 36, 52) in Pāipp. xvii., with slight differences of order, pointed out under the verses. The whole hymn (which is also an *anuvāka*) is quoted in Kāuç. 69. 7 (with vii. 62 and the *mahāçānti* hymns), in the ceremony of preparing the house-fire; and a large proportion of the verses in this and other ceremonies; a few also are used in the Vāit.; [and the hymn is cited by Dārila on Kāuç. 43. 5].

Translated: Ludwig, p. 479 (omitting here vss. 21-26, 30-31); Henry, 188, 227; Griffith, ii. 102. — The RV. correspondents of a number of the verses (7, 8, 21-25, 26, 30, 31) are discussed in my *Skt. Reader*, pages 380 ff., 388.

I. Ascend the reeds (nadá); no place for thee is here; this lead is thy portion; come ! what yáksma is in kine, [what] yáksma in men, in company with that do thou go forth downward.

This and vss. 11, 54, and 55 are quoted together in Kāuç. 71. 5, when putting fuel on the flesh-eating (krazyād) fire; also, in 71. 8, vss. 1-4, 42, 43, 15, 16 (with iii. 21. 8), with quenching it. Ppp. combines te 'tra in **a**.

2. By evil-plotter and ill-plotter, by actor and helper, both all yakşma and death do we thereby drive out from here.

Ppp. reads in c, d mṛtyūnç ca sarvāns tene 'to yakşmānç ca nir etc. The first halfverse is like a half-verse in MS. iv. 14. 17; TA. ii. 47: duhçansānuçansābhyām ghanénā 'nughanéna ca [cf. Katha-hss., p. 72].

3. Out from here do we drive death, perdition, out the niggard; whoso hates us, him, O non-flesh-eating Agni, do thou eat; whomso we hate, him do we impel to thee.

The *pada*-text has in **c**, *ddhi*; and most of the samihitā-mss. *ddhy agne*, in accordance with it, though one or two (Bs.E.) have *dddhy agne*, which is no various reading, but only an allowed equivalent. The case is like those in i. 22. 1 and v. 20. 12 above; the abbreviated reading *adhy* has been mistaken for *adhi* instead ot *addhi*, and then accented accordingly. Bp. accents also *akra-yaodt*. Our text emends to *addhy dgne akrazyāt*, but should read instead *agne*, since there is no reason whatever for the accentuation *addhi*. A better reading would seem also to be *krazyāt*. Ppp. has *adhy agne krazyād*; but that, of course, might mean *'krazyāt*. Ppp. also has simply *am* for *yam u* in **d**, omits the second *u*, and ends with *suvāmaḥ* [Ppp. reads *yakymas tam te pra suvāmaḥ*.]

4. If the flesh-eating Agni, or if the tiger-like, hath entered this stall (gostha), being not at home (?), him, having made him to have beans for sacrificial butter, I send far forth; let him go unto the Agnis that have seat in the waters.

Part of the mss. (E.I.O.R.T.K.) have  $\frac{\pi y dg}{ds} r ds$  in **a**, and that is perhaps the true reading, since -ghrya seems to be found nowhere else. Ppp. reads in **b** anyokā viveça, and in **c** tan mā. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant (tám intruded?) syllable in **c**. In Kāuç. 71.6 the verse is used (with vss. 7 and 53) in making a libation of crushed beans with mother-of-pearl (? cukti) to the flesh-eating fire which is to be banished. [The verse contains reminiscences of 7 and 8 below.]

5. If angry men put thee forth (*pra-kr*), with fury, a man having died, that, O Agni, is easy to be arranged by thee; we make thee flame up again.

Ppp. reads krtva for kruddhas in **a**, mite for mrte in **b**, and ca for tat in **c**. The Anukr. appears to sanction the resolution cakr-ur in **a**. The verse is quoted in Kauç. 70.6; also in Vait. 5. 13, to accompany the removal of fire from the house-holder's to the other two fires.

6. The Adityas, the Rudras, the Vasus [have set] thee again; again, O Agni, the priest (*brahmán*), conductor of good; Brahmaņaspati hath set thee again, in order to length of life-time to a hundred autumns.

With the first half-verse is to be compared that of VS. xii. 44 (also in TS. iv. 2. 35; MS. i. 7. 1), which inserts sám indhatām after vásavas, and reads, for b, púnar brahmáno (Ppp. also brahmāno) vasunītha (MS. vasudhīte) yajūāth [MS. agur]. [But see also WZKM. xi. 120.] The verse (10 + 10 : 10 + 11 = 41; but c has really 11 syll.) is artificially described by the Anukr. It is made in Vāit. 28. 22 to accompany the laying of fuel in the ukhya.

7. If the flesh-eating Agni hath entered our house, seeing this other Jātavedas, him I take afar for the Fathers' sacrifice; let him kindle the hot drink (*gharmá*) in the highest station.

The verse is also RV. x. 16. 10, where is read vas for nas in **a**, devám for  $d\bar{u}r\dot{a}m$  in **c**, and *invāt* for *indhām* in **d**. It is used in Kāuç. 71. 6 with vs. 4 (see note to latter).

8. I send far forth the flesh-eating Agni; let him go, carrying evil (*riprá*-), to Yama's subjects; here let this other Jātavedas carry the oblation, a god to the gods, foreknowing.

The verse is also RV. x. 16.9 (and VS. xxxv. 19 [with yamara jyam]): our text defaces the meter of c, d by omitting evid after *ihd* and inserting devids. [Cf. MGS. ii. 1.8 and p. 149.] This and the two following verses are used in Kāuç. 71. 12 to accompany the removed fire. [The same three vss. are quoted by the comm. to 81.33.]

9. I, being sent, take the flesh-cating Agni, a death, making people fixed with the thunderbolt; I, knowing, separate (? ni-cas) him from the householder's fire; also in the world of the Fathers be he [their] portion.

Ppp. reads *işitam* in **a**, and, in **d**, *lokam paramo yotu*. The sense of **b** is so strange that we cannot but suspect a corrupt text. [Roth would read *trihantam*, ZDMG. xlviii. 107 ] In **d**, nearly all the *samhitā*-mss. (all save Bs.E.) read *lokt* '*pi*, which is therefore probably the true text. The description by the Anukr. of this fairly regular *triṣtubh* is very strange.

10. The flesh-eating Agni, active, praiseworthy, I send forth by the roads that the Fathers go; come thou not back by those that the gods go; be thou just there (*atra*); watch thou over the Fathers.

Ppp. reads, in c-d, mā devayānāis pathibhir ā gā 'trāi 'va, which does not help the defective meter; of this the Anukr. takes no notice.

11. They kindle the devouring one (sámkasuka) in order to well-being, becoming cleansed, bright, purifying; he abandons evil (riprá), passes over sin; Agni, kindled, purifies with a good purifier.

Ppp. combines *ene 'ti* in c. The Anukr. does not heed that the first pāda is properly *jagatī*. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 71. 5 (see note to vs. 1). [Caland, WZKM. viii. 368, thinks that this verse (not xviii. 4.41) is intended at Kāuç. 86. 18.] [Over "devouring," as rendering of *sámkasuka* (which occurs in vss. 11-14, 19, 40), W. has interlined 'crushing' in three instances.]

12. God Agni the devouring hath ascended the backs of the sky; being released out of sin, he hath released us from imprecation.

Some of our mss. (P.M.W.F.) read nir énaso in c. Ppp. reads; here and below, samkusika-.

13. On this devouring Agni do we wipe off evils; we have become fit for sacrifice, cleansed; may he prolong our life-times.

The verse is found also in  $\overline{Ap}$ . ix. 3. 22 (following a verse resembling our vs. 14), which reads samkusuke gnāu in a-b. Our mss., as often in such cases, vary between

tarisat and tarsat at the end (Bs.E.D.R.K. have tarsat). The verse is quoted (with

vss. 19, 40) in Kāuç. 71. 16 and 86. 19, to accompany the act of washing off (ity abhy-avanejayati).

14. The crushing [sámkasuka], the bursting (vikasuka), the destroying (nirrthá) and the noiseless (?nisvará) — they, of like possessions (?sávedas), have made from far thy yákşma to disappear afar.

The translation implies emendation at the end to aninaçan, which seems altogether necessary. Yet MS. (iv. 14. 17) and TA. (ii. 45) strangely have instead of it acteatam (not -tan). [But see Katha-hss., p. 72, where the Berlin ms. is reported as reading cleatan. The TA. comm. renders acleatam by cātayantu.] In b, TA. reads nisrandh, and MS. nirrto and nisranah; in c, MS. has 'smad (not 'smád.') for te, and TA. te ye 'smád (but the ye perhaps a blunder of the edition \*); both ánāgasas instead of sáre-dasas (which looks like a mere blunder, intended to have the sense of samiridānās). Then TA. has sámkus-, vikus-, in a, and with it agrees Ap. (ix. 3. 22, a and b only, with vikiro yaç ca vişkirah for b). Moreover, both MS. and TA. accent yakşmám.\* Some of our mss. (Bp.I.K.) read nirrathás in b, but this is only an example of the frequent confusion of r and ra. Ppp. has [vikasukas in a, like our text], savedhasas in c, and ucidyavo (for aninaçam) at the end. \*[The Poona ed., p. 126, gives te' 'smád, but notes one ms. as having te ye 'smad; and it accents yákşmam.]

15. The flesh-eating one that is in our horses, heroes, that is in our kine, goats-and-sheep, do we thrust out — the fire that obstructs the people.

Ppp. combines in **a** no 'v-, and reads for **b** yo gosu yo 'jāvisu; [and puts the verse after 16]. This verse and the one following are quoted with others (see note to vs. 1) in Kāuç. 71. 8.

16. Thee from inexhaustible (? ánya) men, kine, horses, thee the flesheating one do we thrust out — the fire that obstructs life.

Ludwig gets rid of the difficulty of *ánya* by taking it as *anyá* and the nouns in **a**, **b** as datives. Ppp. reads *ajňānā* for *anychyas tvā*; in **c** it puts *nis* after *kranyādam*. Some of our mss. (Bs.I.) combine *nis kr- (nlh and kr- should be separated in our edition)*. The Anukr. very unnecessarily scans the verse as 8+6:8+9, while it is easily read into a regular *anustubh*.

17. On what the gods wiped off, on what human beings (manusyà) also — on that having wiped off the drops of ghee (?), O Agni, do thou mount the sky.

All our mss. have *amrjata* unaccented save one (E.), which has *dsrjata*. [All of SPP's have *amrjata* save his J., which has, s.m., *dmrjata*.] Ghrtaståvas in c is translated after the Pet. Lexx., but the rendering is in the highest degree doubtful, on account both of form and of sense. Probably the reading is corrupt. Ppp. gives no help, as most of vss. 17, 18 is lost out of the ms.; [but their order appears to be inverted]. Our mss. seem to read *-stå*- very plainly [and SPP. reports no variant], but that need not prevent our understanding instead *-snå*-, if more acceptable.

18. Being kindled, O Agni, thou to whom oblations are made, go (*kram*) thou not away against us; shine just here by day, and that [we] long see the sun.

Or *dyavi*, in c, 'in the sky' (so Ludwig). The last pāda is also i. 6. 3 d. [Cf. also note to vi. 19. 2.] There is no good reason for calling the verse *nicrt*.

19. Wipe ye off on the lead; wipe ye off on the reeds; and what on the consuming fire; likewise on the dark  $(r\bar{a}m\dot{a})$  ewe; headache on the pillow.

The rendering is very literal, and does not disguise the obscurity of the connection. Ppp. reads for **b** agnis samkusikaç ca yah, which is more manageable: 'and on [that] which [is] the consuming fire': i.e. 'on the fire.' [Caland, KZ. xxxiv. 457, comparing Avestan locutions, says that agnāu samkasuke ca yat is locative to agnih samkasukaç ca yah: cf. vs. 40 and i. 30. 1.] The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 71. 16; 86. 19, with vss. 13 and 40: see above, under vs. 13. The mss. in general, according to their wont, read in **a** mrdhwam (but Bs. mrdhdham). [For cirsakti, see ref's under i. 12. 3.]

20. Having settled what is foul upon the lead [and] headache upon the pillow, having wiped off on the black ewe, be ye cleansed, fit for sacrifice.

Compare xiv. 2. 67. [Cf. MGS. ii. 1. 10.]

21. Go away, O death, along a distant road which is thine here, other than that the gods go upon; I speak to thee having sight, hearing; let these many heroes be here.

The verse (except d) is RV. x. 18. 1, and found also in VS. (xxxv. 7), TB. (iii 7. 145), and TA. (iii. 15. 2: vi 7. 3). RV. has *svids* for our *esids* in b, and, for d, *må nah prajåm ririso må 'tå virån*, and the other texts agree with it, save that VS. has *anyás* for *svids* in b. Ppp. omits *tha* in d. [Cf. MB. i. 1. 15; also MGS. ii. 18. 2 m.] The verse is used several times in Kāuç.: at 71. 11, 21; 72. 13; 86. 24.

22. These living ones have turned away from the dead; our invocation of the gods hath been auspicious (*bhadrá*) today; we have gone forward unto dancing, unto laughter; may we, rich in heroes, address counsel.

The verse (again with exception of d) is RV. x. 18. 3, and found also in TA. (vi. 10. 2). The last pāda in the other texts is draghiya a dyuh prataram (TA. -rami) dadhānāh; our d is identical with RV. i. 117. 25 d. TA.\* has a vavartin in a, and agama in c. [With b cf. RV. x. 53. 3 d.] The verse is used (with vs. 29) in Kāuç. 71. 18 and 86. 21. [At vs. 30, W. wrote "speak to the counsel," and then interlined suggestion of "council."] \*[TA. has also prantian for prantian.

23. I set this enclosure for the living; let not another of them now go to that goal; living a hundred numerous autumns, let them set an obstacle to death with a mountain.

The verse is RV. x. 18. 4, and found also in VS. (xxxv. 15), TB. (iii. 7. 113), TA. (vi. 10. 2), and Ap. (ix. 12 4; xiv. 22. 3). RV. differs from our text only by reading *jivantu* in **c**, and *antár* (for *tirás*) in **d**. VS. agrees throughout with RV.; TB. differs only by having (like AV.) *tirás* in **d** (esán nu in **b** is doubtless a misprint, as *mátyám* in **d** is a misprint for *mrtyúm*: see the comm. [the Poona ed., p. 1137, corrects them both ]), and *árdham* in **b**. TA. reads *má nó "nu gād* and *árdham* in **b**, and *tirás* and *dadmahe* in **d**. Ap. agrees exactly with TB. the first time; but the second time it has no qu (or "nu) in **b**, and *dadhmahe* in **d**. [Cf. MP. ii. 22. 24.] Ppp. gives, in **c**, jyok for *çatam*, and combines *çaradas pu*. In Kāuç. 72. 17 the verse accompanies the setting down (of a stone) in the door ; in 72. 2 the last pāda is recited while stepping over the stone.

24. Mount, choosing old age for life-time, pressing on, one after another, as many as ye be; you here let Tvashtar, him of good births, in accord [with you], lead on to living your whole life-time.

The verse is (once more with exception of the last pāda) RV. x. 18.6, and found also in TA. (vi. 10. 1). RV. reads <u>sthå</u> after yáti in **b**, and *ihå* for tấn vas in **c**, and its **d** is dirghám ấyuh karati jīváse vah. TA. differs from RV. by having grnānās in **a**, surâtnas (for sajósās) in **c**, and karatu in **d**. Ppp. puts the verse after our 25, and combines in **a**-b vrnānā 'nu. The verse is used in Kāuç. 72. 13 with vss. 21, 32, 44, 55, and others from elsewhere.

25. As days take place  $(bh\bar{u})$  one after another, as seasons go along with seasons, as an after one does not desert  $(h\bar{a})$  a preceding — so, O creator  $(dh\bar{a}t_{f})$ , arrange their life-times.

This verse is RV. x. 18. 5, found also in TA. (vi. 10. 1). For sākám, at end of b, RV. reads sādhú, and TA. kļatāķ.

26. The stony one flows  $(r\bar{i})$ ; take ye hold together; play the hero, pass over, O friends; quit here them that are of evil courses; may we pass up unto powers (? vdja) that are free from disease.

The verse is RV. x. 53. 8, and found also in VS. (xxxv. 10) and TA. (vi. 3. 2). RV. has *it tisthata* for *vīráyadhvam* in **b**, *jahāma* and (for *dµrévās*) *áçevās* in **c**, and *çivān vayám* (for *anamīvān*) in **d**. [VS. agrees with RV. save that it accents *sákhāyaḥ* in **b** and reads, for **c**, *átra jahīmó 'çivā yé ásan*.] TA. agrees in general with RV., but has *revatīs* [unaccented] for *rīyate* in **a**, and in **d** puts *út tarema* after *abht vājān*. Vss. 26 and 27 are quoted in Kāuç. 71. 24 and 86. 27 to accompany the symbolical act of crossing over northward; and in Vāit. 12. 11 to accompany (at any time) the crossing of streams.

27. Stand up, pass over, O friends; the stony river here runs (syand); quit ye here them that are unpropitious; may we pass up unto propitious pleasant powers.

This variation of vs. 26 gives part of the RV. variants to that vs. [For a discussion of the RV. verse, see notes to my *Skt. Reader*, p. 388.] Ppp. makes **b** identical with 26 a. The use by Kāuç. was stated in the preceding note.

28. Take ye hold on that of all the gods in order to splendor, becoming cleansed, clear, purifying; stepping over difficult tracks, may we revel a hundred winters with all our heroes.

The first half-verse is identical with vi. 62.3 a, b, save that the latter begins with  $v\bar{a}i cv\bar{a}nar\bar{n}m$ . We have doubtless to supply  $n\bar{a}vam$  'boat.' But Ppp. has  $s\bar{u}nrt\bar{a}m$  for varcase in a; and the comm. to Nirukta vi. 12 quotes the pratika in this form, explaining sunrtam by vacam (Roth). According to Kāuç. 72. 6, it is a young heifer (vatsatarī) that is caused to be laid hold on.

29. By upward roads, full of wind, by distant (p dra) ones, stepping over those that are lower (dvara), thrice seven times did the departed (p dreta) seers bear back death with the track-obstructor.

Ppp. reads for **b** apakrāmanto duritam parehi. In Kāuç. 71. 18 and 86. 21, this verse is quoted with vs. 22 'for the purpose expressed in the texts' (mantroktam); and in 71. 19 and in 86. 22 the second half-verse is quoted to accompany 'the effacement (lup) of the tracks to the streams.' [W's "(lup)" was intended to express his doubt as to the warrantableness of Bloomfield's change of lup to yup. Caland expresses the same doubt, WZKM. viii. 369: cf. his Todtengebrauche, p. 120.]

30. Come ye, obstructing the track of death, assuming further on a longer life-time; sitting in your station, thrust ye [away] death; then may we, living, speak to the council.

The first half-verse is RV. x. 18. 2 a, b, and is found also in TA. (vi. 10. 2); for our  $\ell$  'ta, RV. reads yád ātta, TA. yád āima (āima unaccented, unless there is a misprint [Poona ed. rightly āima, p. 444]); and TA. has pratarām in b. [Cf. MGS. ii. 1. 13 and p. 153.] The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 71. 20 and 86. 23 in connection with doing something to (symbolical) boats; and the second half-verse in Kāuç. 72. 10. Ppp. reads pratiram in b, and jīvās in d, thus in the latter pāda rectifying the meter. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregularities in a and d, perhaps because they balance each other. [As to vidátham, cf. note to vs. 22, and Bloomfield in JAOS. xix.<sup>2</sup> 14.]

31. Let these women, not widows, well-spoused, touch themselves with ointment, with butter; tearless, without disease, with good treasures (*-ráina*), let the wives ascend first to the place of union (yóni).

This verse is repeated below, as xviii. 3. 57. It is RV. x. 18. 7, and found also in TA. (vi. 10. 2). RV. has viçantu, and TA. mrçantām. for our sprçantām in **b**, and TA. suçévās for surátnās in **c**; RV. also combines anaçrávo "nam- in **c**. Ppp. reads sam viçanta in **b**; and it adds another corresponding verse for the men: ime virar avidhavās sujānayā narā "njanena sarpişā etc. (d) syonād yoner adhi talpam vrheyuļu [intending ruheyuļu]. With our verse, in Kāuç. 72. 11, grass shoots dipped in butter are handed to the women; and 72. 12 appears to quote the Ppp. verse (the pratīka is given as ime jivā avidhavāļ, sujāmayaļ) to accompany a similar act to the men.

32. I separate  $(vy \cdot a \cdot k_i)$  these two by oblation; I shape them apart with a spell (brahman); I make for the Fathers unwasting swadha; I unite these with a long life-time.

Ppp. reads for c sudhām pitrbhyo amrtam duhānā. From Vāit. 6. 2 the separation would appear to be that of the other two fires when taken from the householder's fire; but Kāuç. 70. 10 has it repeated while one looks upon the householder's and the flesheating fires; the latter is most likely to be its true application. It is also quoted in Kāuç. 72. 13 with several other verses, from this hymn and elsewhere, as noted under vs. 24.

33. What Agni, O Fathers, hath entered into our hearts, an immortal into mortals, that god do I enclose in me; let him not hate us, nor let us [hate] him.

–xii. 2

Ppp. reads in **b** amartyas for amrtas, and, in **c**, mahyam tam prati grh. The verse is found also in TS. (v. 7.9<sup>t</sup>) and MS. (i. 6. 1); both read, for **b**, ámartyo mártyān āvivéça; for **c**, **d**, TS. has tám ātmán pári grhņīmahe vayám mā só asmān avahāya párā gāt, and MS. tám ātmáni pári grhņīmasī 'há néd esó asmān avahāya parāyat. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 70. 15 for recitation while the hearts are touched.

34. Having turned away from the householder's fire, go ye forth to the right with the flesh-eating one; do ye what is dear to the Fathers, to self, what is dear to the priests (*brahmán*).

Ppp. reads, for **a**, **b**, apāvartyā 'gnim gārhapatyam kravyādā 'py etu daksiņā; and, in **d**, krņuta (not -tā). Kāuç. 71.4 quotes the verse, to accompany a corresponding action. [Caland, *Todtengebrauche*, Note 417, would read krávyādah, as voc.]

35. The flesh-eating Agni that is unremoved  $(á-nir-\bar{a}-hita)$ , taking to himself the double-portioned riches of the oldest son, destroys [him] with ruin.

Ppp. begins with vibhā-; it omits our vs. 36.

36. What one plows, what one wins (van), and what one gains (vid) by pay (vasuá) — all that is not a mortal's, if the flesh-eating one be unremoved.

As usual in such cases, in most of the mss. it is wholly doubtful whether vastena or -sne- or -sne- is intended in b; the true reading is vasnéna. The verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp. Bp. reads ásti at end of c.

37. He becomes unfit for sacrifice, of smitten splendor; not by him is the oblation to be eaten; [him] the flesh-eating one cuts off from plowing, kine, riches, whom it pursues.

Ppp. reads, in a, ye agnayo for ayajñiyó; and, in c, kṛṣṭim gām dhanam. Bp. has in b ná : ćnena. The bhavati which spoils the meter of a is doubtless an intrusion [although Ppp. also has it].

38. A mortal, going down to mishap, speaks forth repeatedly with greedy ones (? gŕdhya); whom (pl.) the flesh-eating Agni, from near by, after-knowing, follows (? vi-tāv).

The translation is purely mechanical, the sense being wholly obscure. Nothing corresponding to *vitāvati* is found anywhere else; the Pet. Lex. suggests emendation to *vidhāvati*; Ludwig, alternatively, to *vitāmyati* or *vitāmati*. Yet c, d are repeated below as 52 c, d (that verse is wanting in Ppp., which, however, has these two pādas in vs. 50). The much corrupted version of Ppp. gives no help as to the verse in general: bahu krudhīs pra vadanty anti tarmato 'nveti ca : kravyādam agnir Lintending kravyād yam ag-?] anuvidvān vibhāvati (vitāvati?).

39. The houses are united with seizure (grahi) when a woman's husband dies; a knowing priest (brahman) is to be sought, who shall remove the flesh-eating one.

Ppp. reads in b yat striyām mriyate. 'United' (in a), i.e. 'caused to be affected.'

40. What evil (*riprá*), pollution we have committed, and what ill-doing, from that let the waters cleanse me, and from the crushing Agni what.

The last clause seems a false construction, the true one being something like the version of Ppp.: agnis samkusikaç ca yak; but Ludwig fills it out to "and [from that] which [arises] from Agni Samkasuka." [As to the construction, see Caland as cited under vs. 19.] [As to samkasuka, cf. note to vs. 11.] Ppp. further reads duritam (for *çamalam*) in a; and it has *çundhantu* in c [cf. note to vi. 115.3 and the VS. variant there]. The Anukr. understands the verse as 6+8:8+8=30 syllables; but the *pada*-mss., less acceptably, mark the pāda division as occurring after *cakṛmá*. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 71.16 and 86.19 in company with others, as noted under vs. 13.

41. These fore-knowing ones (f.) have turned hither upward from below by roads that the gods go upon; upon the back of the virile (vrsabhá) mountain the ancient streams (sarit) go about new.

'Waters' (dpas) is doubtless to be supplied with the adjectives in **a**, **b**. Ppp. combines  $t\bar{a}$  'dharād at the beginning, and reads <u>rṣabhasya</u> in **c**. It is doubtless by a blunder (*catasras* for *tisras*) that the Anukr. appears to reckon this regular *triṣṭubh* to the *anuṣṭubhs* of the hymn. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 72. 3.

42. O non-flesh-eating Agni, push out the flesh-eating one; bring the god-sacrificing one.

The Anukr. scans this verse as 5+6+8=19 syllables, acknowledging the unelided *a* of *akranyāt*, and separating *nuda ā dev-*. The prose *yajus* (as it really is) is quoted in Kāuç. 69. 8 as accompanying the bringing of a light from the frying-pan (*bhrastra*), and in 71. 8 with other verses, as noted under vs. I above. [The first half-verse is defaced in Ppp.]

43. The flesh-eating one entered into this man; he has gone after the flesh-eating one; having made two tigers severally, I take him, who is other than propitious.

Ppp. reads *pra viveça* in **a**, and *nānā 'ham* in **c**. The verse is quoted, with others (see note to vs. 1), in Kāuç. 71.8.

44. Concealment of the gods, defense (*paridhi*) of men (*manusyà*), the householder's fire is set (*critá*) between both classes.

In accordance with the Anukr., the mss. interpose no stroke of interpunction in this verse, which plainly is not metrical, though the last 8 syllables read like an *anustubh* pāda. It is quoted, with others (see note to vs. 24), in Kāuç. 72. 13. Ppp. reads *ubhayād* in c. [The medial *avasāna* is lacking in SPP. and should be deleted from the Berlin ed.]

45. Lengthen thou out, O Agni, the life-time of the living; let them who are dead go unto the world of the Fathers; do thou, a good householder's fire, burning away the niggard, assign to this man an ever better dawn.

Ppp. reads, for a, jīvānām agnes pratar dīrgham āyus, and, in c, d, arātīr usām-usām (rayam çrayasi dadhat. Kāuç. and Vāit. quote as pratīka only the beginning of c; this looks rather as if they made one verse of our 45 c, d and 46. In Kāuç. (71.2) it accompanies setting down fuel on the householder's fire; in Vāit. (4.8) it is used with one of the offerings of the sacrificer's wife. It (11 + 13 : 11 + 11 = 46) is very ill defined as simply a *jagatt*.

46. Overcoming, O Agni, all [our] rivals, do thou assign to us their refreshment [and] wealth.

The Anukr. agrees with the mss. in reckoning these two *tristubh* pādas as a whole verse.

47. Take ye hold after this saving (pápri) carrier (váhni) Indra; he shall carry you out of difficulty [and] reproach; by him smite away the on-flying shaft; by him ward off  $(pari-p\bar{a})$  Rudra's hurled [missile].

Ppp. reads, for **b**, sa yo vidvān vijahāti mrtyum; [and its **d** is like ours]. The verse (13 + 11 : 11 + 11 = 46) is unintelligibly ill described by the Anukr. One of the four dissyllabic words in **a** is apparently an intrusion — perhaps most probably *indram*, since one does not see why Indra should make his appearance in this Agni hymn (but see vs. 54), and the epithet *vahni* belongs especially to Agni. In Kāuç. 72. 7 the verse is used (next after vs. 28) to accompany laying hold on a bull [cf. introd. to iv. 22].

48. Lay ye hold after the draft-ox [as] float (*plavá*); he shall carry you out of difficulty [and] reproach; mount this boat of Savitar; may we cross over misery by the six wide [directions].

This and the remaining verses of the hymn are given by Ppp. in the order 49–51, 54, 53, 55, 48 (52 being wanting). [Cf. MGS. ii. 1. 14 and p. 146.] In Kāuç. 72. 8, this verse and the next are used (next after vss. 28, 47) in laying hold on a couch (talpa); the third pāda in 71. 23 and 86. 26, in causing some one to embark on a (symbolical) boat containing gold and barley. There is no good reason for calling the verse *bhurij*.

49. Day-and-night thou goest after, bearing, standing comfortable (kscmya), prolonging [life], having good heroes; bearing, O couch, health-ful  $(dn\bar{a}tura)$ , well-minded ones (m.), do thou long be for us smelling of men (purusagandhi).

The description of the Anukr. seems to require us to resolve  $-ra-\bar{a}$ -tre in **a**. The use by Kāuç. was noticed under the preceding verse.

50. They fall under the wrath of the gods, they live always evilly, after whom the flesh-cating fire, from near by, like a horse, scatters reeds.

Ppp. reads in a deveșu, and this is the usual and regular construction with  $\bar{a}$ -vraçc. Further, for **d** it has our 38 **d** and 52 **d**. The Anukr. gives *iva* two syllables in **d**. Our Bp. reads antikân (instead of  $-k\hat{a}t$ ) here and in 38 **c** and 52 **c**. [One could easily scan **d** as an anuștubh pāda, dçvevānvápate nadám (Gram. § 233 a), if it were worth scanning.]

51. Whoever, without faith, from desire of riches then sit together with the flesh-eating one, they verily feed the fire  $(\bar{a} - dh\bar{a})$  about the pot (kumbht) of others [always].

That is, doubtless, never have a fire of their own. The *pada*-text in **a-b** is *dhana*<sub>2</sub>- $k\bar{a}my\bar{a}:\dot{a}t:kravya\circ dd\bar{a}$ ; Ppp. has a different reading,  $-k\bar{a}my\bar{a}s$ , krav-, thus getting rid of the difficult  $\dot{a}t$ . [The mark of pāda division is after  $\dot{a}t$ ; it should be before it.] The Anukr. seems to authorize the resolution  $sa\cdot am$  in **c**.

52. He desires, as it were, to fly forth with his mind; repeatedly he returns again — they whom the flesh-cating Agni, from near by, after-knowing follows.

We had the obscure second half-verse above as 38 c, d. The verse is wanting in Ppp., as already noted. The substitution of *patati* for *pipatisati* would rectify the meter of **a**. [Pāda **b** seems clearly to refer to rebirth : cf. Praçna Upanishad, i. 9.]

53. A black ewe [is] of cattle [thy] portion; lead, too, they call thy gold (? candrá), O flesh-eating one; ground beans [are] thy portion [as] oblation; seek (sac) thou the thicket of the forest-spirit (aranyāni).

Ppp. reads (better) uta for api in **b**. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 71.6 (with vss. 4 and 7: see note to vs. 4), and again in 71.14, in connection with setting down the light (dipa).

54. Having made offering of withered (?járat) cane (istkā), of tilpíñja, of dándana, of reeds; having made fuel of this, Indra removed Yama's fire.

Various kinds of recd or cane are doubtless named in a, b. *Jarant* is lit. 'aged.' Ppp. reads in c tān indre 'dhmam. The Anukr. does not note c as defective; we may resolve either *indr-a* or *krtu-å*. The verse is quoted (with vss. 1, 11, 55: see note to vs. 1) in Kāuç. 71. 5, to accompany the feeding of the flesh-eating fire.

55. Having sent in opposition an opposing (pratydinc) song (arkd), I, foreknowing, have entered abroad on the road; I have directed away the lifebreaths of them yonder; these here I unite with long life-time.

The first half-verse is difficult and doubtful. The use made of the verse by Kāuç. gives no help; it is quoted in 71.5 as noted above, under vs. 54; and in 72. 13 with several other verses, as noted under vs. 24. Ppp. reads *ācakāra* at end of **b**. [W. has overlooked the *ht* and the accent of the two perfects : perhaps, 'since I have entered [and] have directed ..., [accordingly] I unite' etc. Henry, p. 238, inserts another *ht* after *amīṣām*.]

[Here ends the second anuvāka, with I hymn and 55 verses. The quoted Anukr. says "nadas" tu pañconā.]

#### 3. Cremation as a sacrifice.

[Yama.— şaşiih. mantroktasvargāudanāgnidevatyam. trāisţubham: 1, 42, 43, 47. bhurij; 8, 12, 21, 22, 24. jagatī; 13. î; 17. svarād ārşī pañkti; 34. virādgarbhā; 39. anusţubgarbhā; 44. parābrhatī; 55–60. 3-av. 7-p. çankumaty atujāgataçākvarātuçākvaradhārtyagarbhā 'tudhrti (55, 57–60. krti; 56. vırāţ krtı).]

[Partly prose — namely parts of vss. 55-60.] Found also [except vs. 28] in Päipp. xvii. (with slight differences of verse-order, noted under the verses). Nearly all the verses of the hymn are used, according to Kāuç. 60-63, and on the whole in their

natural order, and combined especially with xi. I (often a verse from each hymn being quoted in the same rule), in the *sava* ceremony; very few verses anywhere else. Vāit. quotes only 4 verses.

Translated : Henry, 195, 238; Griffith, ii. 110; Bloomfield, 185, 645.

I. Stand, a man (*púmāis*), upon men; go to the hide; call thither her who is dear to thee; of what age (? ydvant) ye two first came together in the beginning, let that be your same age in Yama's realm.

Ppp. combines punso adhi and omits *ihi* in **a**. Kāuç, 60. 31 has the verse used when the sacrificer is made to stand upon the ox-hide which is to be his station during the ceremony. The various antecedents have been prepared to the accompaniment of the first verses of xi. 1.

2. So much [be] your sight, so many your powers  $(v\bar{i}ryd)$ , so great your brilliancy (tejas), so many-fold your energies (vdjina); Agni fastens on (sac) the body when [it is his] fuel (?); then, O paired ones (mithund), shall ye come into being from what is cooked (pakvd).

The *pada*-text has *yadā* : *idhaḥ* in c, as translated. Ppp. reads before it *agnini çarīrain sujate*, and after it *atha*; and in **a**, **b** it makes *cakṣus* and *tejas* change places. [In OB. v. 258, *pakvi* is defined as 'the charred remains and ashes of a corpse.' Pāda **d** recurs in vs. 9.] [W. makes a query on the margin: "the husband and wife burnt together ?? and born anew and alike out of the cremation?"]

3. Together in this world, together on the [road] the gods travel, together also unite ye (du.) in the realms of Yama; purified by purifiers, call ye to yourselves whatever seed (*rctas*) came into being from you.

All the mss. agree in the unaccented *asmin* in **a**. The verse appears to be quoted (as 'third verse') in Kāuç. 60. 33, to accompany a calling upon their offspring (*apatya*).

4. Enter together, ye sons, into the waters, coming together, ye rich in life, unto this living one (m.); of them (f.) share ye the one which (m.) they call immortal, the rice-dish which your (du.) generatrix cooks.

The meaning and connection are very obscure. 'Of them' seems to refer to the waters (f.). Ppp. removes one difficulty by reading *vas* instead of  $v\bar{a}m$  in d; it has in  $b \lfloor -dhany\bar{a}t \rfloor$  sametā [cf. vs. 25]. In Kāuç. 60. 35 the verse is used when the pair lie down together, accompanied by their offspring, after a vessel of water has been set on the hide.

5. What one your (du.) father cooks, and what one [your] mother, in order to release from evil (*riprá*) and from pollution of speech — that hundred-streamed, heaven-going rice-dish hath permeated (vi- $\bar{a}p$ ) with greatness both firmaments (*ndbhas*).

Ppp. reads at the beginning yam vas pitā.

6. Both firmaments, and worlds of both kinds, what heaven-going ones are conquered of the sacrificers — which one of them is chiefly (? dgre) full of light, full of honey, in that combine ye (du.) with your sons in old age.

Ppp. combines in c yo 'gre, and part of our mss. (P.M.W.T.) read the same.

7. Take ye (du.) hold upon each forward direction; to this world they that have faith attach themselves (sac); what of you that is cooked is served up in the fire, combine ye, O husband-and-wife, in order to its guarding.

The verse is nearly accordant with vi. 122. 3. 'Forward' (prdnc) is also 'eastern.' [Note here again the sequence of the cardinal points (pradaksina), and cf. end of introd. to iii. 26.] The Anukr. passes the irregularity of the second half-verse (11 + 11 : 10 + 12 = 44) without notice. Kāuç. 61. 1 quotes this verse alone; and 61. 2 quotes 7-10 as used while they follow around the vessel of water. Ppp. reads, for c, d, as follows: mimāthām pātr tad vām pūrņam astu çivām pakvas pitryāyane 'ty ('bhy?) āmayat.

8. Attaining unto the southern quarter, turn ye (du.) about unto this vessel; in it shall Yama, in concord with the Fathers, assure abundant protection unto your cooked [offering].

'In it': i.e., as the gender shows, in the vessel. Some of our mss. make very bad work with  $v\bar{a}m$  in c, reading  $v\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$  (P.M.W.),  $vay\bar{a}m$  (Bs.s.m.),  $var\bar{a}m$  (R.),  $v\bar{a}m$  yam (T.). It is absurd of the Anukr. to reckon the verse (11 + 11: 12 + 11 = 45) a jagatī.

9. This western of the quarters verily is a thing to be preferred, in which Soma is over-ruler and favorer; to it resort (cri) ye (du.); attach yourselves to the well-doers; then. O paired ones, shall ye come into being from what is cooked.

The last pāda is identical with 2 d above. But Ppp. reads instead *adhā pakvena* saha sam bhavema, which is nearly identical with vi. 119. 2 d and the concluding pāda of 55-60 below. The Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency of the first pāda.

10. A superior realm, having superiority by progeny, may the northern of the quarters make our (pl.) apex (? dgra); a five-fold ( $pd\bar{n}ta$ ) meter hath the man become; may we come into being together with all, having all their limbs.

Ppp. reads  $pa\bar{n}ktiç$  chandas at the beginning of **c**. We have to resolve  $pa-\bar{a}\bar{n}$ - in order to make a full pāda.

11. This fixed [quarter] is *viráj*; homage be to it; let it be propitious to [my] sons and to me; do thou, O goddess Aditi, having all choice things, like an active herdsman defend our cooked [offering].

The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 61. 3, next after the four preceding ones.

12. Do thou embrace us, as a father his sons; let propitious winds blow here for us on the earth; what rice-dish the two deities cook here, let that know our penance and also truth.

Ppp. reads cagda for bhumau in b, and *vittam* for vettu at the end. 'That' (tit) in **d** is neuter, and so not correlative to 'what' (yam m.) in c. P.M.W. read svaja nah at end of **a**. The verse lacks two syllables of being a good jagati. [The verse is quoted at Kāuç. 61.4.] 13. Whenever the black bird, coming hither, hath sat upon the orifice, surprising (tsar) what is resolved  $(vi \cdot saij)$ , or when the barbarian woman  $(d\bar{a}s\bar{s})$  with wet hands smears over — cleanse, ye waters, the mortar [and] pestle.

Ppp. combines in a *çakune 'ha*, and reads in c  $d\bar{a}s\bar{i}$   $v\bar{a}$  yad, and in d [cf. vss. 21 and 26 and note to vi. 115. 3] *çundhatā "paḥ*. Kāuç. quotes the verse in 8. 14, and the comm. also under 2. 6, but they cast no light on the obscure first half-verse. The verse is a good *tristubh*, yet the Anukr. attempts to give it some special description, of which the text is corrupt and unintelligible (*yad-yat kṛṣṇa ity āthā*).

14. Let this pressing-stone, broad-based, vigor-bestowing, purified by purifiers, smite away the demon; mount thou the hide; yield great protection; let not the husband-and-wife fall into evil proceeding from sons ( $p\bar{a}itra$ ).

Ppp. has at the end  $g\bar{a}th\bar{a}m$ , with which, of course, dampatt would have to be understood as vocative, unaccented. Expressions like that in **d** are found in several of the Sūtras: in AGS. i. 13. 7,  $m\bar{a}$  'ham  $p\bar{a}utram$  agham ni  $y\bar{a}m$  (should be  $g\bar{a}m$ , probably); in PGS. i. 5. 11, yathe 'yam strī pāutram agham na rodāt; and the same in HGS. i. 19. 7, with  $p\bar{a}utram$  ānandam as antithesis to it. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 61. 18 (in connection with xi. 1. 9), to accompany the setting of mortar, pestle, and winnowing basket, after sprinkling, upon the hide.

15. The forest tree hath come to us together with the gods, forcing off the demon, the *piçācás*; he shall rise up (ut-cri), shall speak forth his voice; with him may we conquer all worlds.

Ppp. reads and combines  $s\bar{a}u$  'cchr $\bar{a}y\bar{a}t\bar{a}i$  in c, and reads api for abhi in d. According to Kāuç. 61. 21, one sets up the pestle with this verse; in 125. 3 the verse is used with reference to the sacrificial post [in case it puts forth fresh shoots]; and similarly in Vāit. 10.8 [in the paçubandha].

16. Seven sacrifices (*médha*) the cattle enclosed — which  $\lfloor$  *the relative pronoun*  $\rfloor$  of them was full of light, and which was pining; to them thirty deities attach themselves; do thou (m.) conduct us (pl.) unto the heavenly (*svargá*) world.

Our Bp. reads  $t\bar{d}m$  in **c**, and a few of the sam hita-mss. (P.M.W.E.) agree with it;  $t\bar{d}m$  is certainly wrong, but  $t\bar{d}m$  would be an acceptable improvement. Ppp. has medhasvān instead of jyotişmān (and the latter must be taken as having the sense of the former); also cakarşa in **b**, and neşi in **d**. [For neşa, see Gram. § 896.] The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 61.13, to accompany the handling or stroking of something by the two spouses [with their offspring]. Pāda **b** has a redundant syllable, unnoticed by the Anukr., unless we contract to yāi 'şām.

17. Unto the heavenly world shalt thou conduct us (pl.); may we be united with wife, with sons; I grasp [her (?)] hand; let her (?) come here after me; let not destruction pass us, nor the niggard.

The last pāda is nearly the same with vi. 124. 3 d; cf. also ii. 7. 4 c, d. Ppp. ends d with *no 'rātih*. The verse is a good *tristubh*, and its description by the Anukr. is absurd. Kāuç. 61. 14 uses the latter half-verse, not in a way to cast light on its meaning.

18. The seizure (gråhi), evil  $(p\bar{a}pm\dot{a}n)$ —may we go beyond them (pl.); dissipate thou the darkness; mayest thou speak forth what is agreeable; made of forest tree, uplifted, do not injure; do not crush to pieces  $\lfloor vi-qr \rfloor$ the god-loving rice-grain.

*Jihinisīr* in c is a misprint for *jihinisīr*, which all the Li.e. W's ] mss. read. [So read 9 of SPP's authorities : and 4 have *jahinisīs*; but SPP. prints *jihinisīs*, accentless, with 3 of his mss. Perhaps the accent is to be regarded as antithetical.] A part of our mss. (O.T.K.D.R.p.m.) read *çarīs* in d; Ppp. has *çarāis* [see the references under vi. 32. 2]. The verse (with xi. 1.9 b) accompanies in Kāuç. 61. 22 the pounding with the pestle.

19. About to become all-expanded, ghee-backed, go thou, of like origin (*sáyoni*), unto that world; hand thou (*upa-yam*) the rain-increased sieve; let that winnow away the husk, the chaff.

The first half-verse is identical with 53 c, d below. Some mss. (I.O.D.K.: also half of the Kāuç. mss.) read *palāvām* in d. Ppp. has *vidvān* instead of *etam* in b. With c, according to Kāuç. 61. 23, the *çūrpa* is grasped; with a (or the whole verse?), according to 24, it is raised; with d, according to 25, the sifting is done. The third pāda lacks a syllable, unless we may resolve *çu-tirpam*. [For "sieve," here and in vs. 20, read rather "winnowing-basket"?]

20. The three worlds are commensurate with the *bráhmaņa*: yon heaven, namely, earth, atmosphere; having seized the [soma-]stalks, take ye (du.) hold after; let them swell up  $(\bar{a}-py\bar{a})$ ; let them come again to the sieve.

All our mss. (except D.) read  $as\bar{a}u$ , unaccented, in **b**; emendation to  $as\bar{a}u$  was plainly necessary. All the sam hitā-mss. (except E.) separate in **c** grbhītvā anv-, which, accordingly, might perhaps as well have been left, though the Prāt. does not recognize the case of irregular hiatus. Ppp. seems to combine the two words in the usual fashion; but it has *-rabhetām*; also, in **b**, *prthivyām ant*. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 61. 27 in connection with touching the winnowed grains (?); and, in 28, the last words of **d** (*punar* etc.), with scattering them, apparently, again on the sieve.

21. Manifoldly separate [are] the forms of cattle; thou becomest oneformed together with success; that red skin — that thrust thou [away]; the pressing-stone shall cleanse like a fuller (? malagá) the garments.

Or **b** may be 'thou comest into being one-formed with success.' Malagá occurs nowhere else; its use with *iva* makes it impossible to tell whether the *pada*-text would divide *malaogah*. Ppp. reads *bhavati* in **b** and *malagāi* 'va in **d**. [Again, as in vss. 13 and 26, it reads *qundhāti* for *qumbhāti*: cf. note to vi. 115.3.] The quotation in Kāuç. 61. 26 casts no light on the meaning. Our text ought to read *sámṛddhyā* at end of **b**. The verse is very ill named *jagatī* by the Anukr.; the treatment of *iva* in **d** as only one syllable makes a regular *tristubh* of it.

22. Thee that art earth I make enter into earth; this like body of thee [is] separated; whatever of thee is burnt (? dyuttá), [or] scratched by driving (árpana); with that do not leak; I cover that over by a spell (bráhman).

Ppp. reads, for **a**,  $bh\bar{u}my\bar{a}m$   $bh\bar{u}mim$  adhi  $dh\bar{a}ray\bar{a}mi$ ; in **c**, arpanam ca; in **d**, cucror apa tad, thus restoring the meter. The verse (12 + 11 : 11 + 13 = 47) is very ill defined simply as a *jagatī*. In Kāuç. 61. 30, the verse accompanies the smearing of a vessel ( $kumbh\bar{t}$ ); in Vāit. 28. 12, the fashioning of a kettle.

23. Mayest thou welcome as a mother a son; I unite  $(sam-dh\bar{a})$  thee that art earth with the earth; a kettle, a vessel, do not stagger upon the sacrificial hearth, overhung by the implements of offering [and] by sacrificial butter.

The first pāda is apparently addressed to the earth, differently from the others. Ppp. puts the verse before our vs. 22, and reads in c kumbhīr vedyām sam carantām. One or two of our mss. (Bs.O.) read  $u_s \dot{a}$  in c.

24. Let Agni, cooking, defend thee on the east; let Indra, with the Maruts, defend on the south; may Varuna fix thee in the maintenance (*dharúna*) of the western [quarter]; on the north may Soma give thee together.

Ppp. corrects the meter of **b** by reading *rakṣāt*; and that **f d** by having *varuṇas* instead of *somas*. The verse is irregular, but by no means a *jagatī*. [If we make *varuṇas* and *somas* exchange places, as suggested by Ppp., and read *rakṣāt* with Ppp., the vs. becomes a good *tristubh*.] In Kāuç. 61. 32 it is used when arranging the fire about the kettle.

25. Purified with purifiers, they purify themselves from the cloud; they go both to heaven and to earth [as their] worlds; them, lively, rich in life, firm-standing, poured into the vessel (pdira), let the fire kindle about.

Ppp. puts the verse after our vs. 26, and reads at end of **b** dharmanā (cf. RV. x. 16. 3 **b**), and in **c**, **d**  $j\bar{v}adh\bar{a}ny\bar{a}t$  sametā [cf. vs. 4]  $p\bar{a}tr\bar{a}$  "siktāt. The verse is defective by a syllable in **a**, but the Anukr. passes this without notice. Kāuç. 61. 34 quotes the verse to accompany putting into the strainer.

26. They come from the sky, they fasten on (sac) the earth; from the earth they fasten upon the atmosphere; being cleansed, they just cleanse themselves; let them conduct us to the heavenly world.

The accent of  $\zeta imbhante$  in c is unmotived. Ppp. reads [cf. vss. 13 and 21 and note to vi. 115. 3]  $\zeta undhanti$ , which (or  $\zeta umbhanti$ ) is decidedly preferable. That the reading in a is divide p- is noted in the comm. to Prāt. ii. 68.

27. Both as it were prevailing (prabhi) and also commensurate, also bright and clean, immortal — as such do ye, O waters, directed, helping, cook the rich-dish for the two spouses, ye of good refuge.

The translation implies in **d** emendation of *āpaḥoçikṣantiḥ* to *āpaḥ çikṣ-*, the former seeming wholly unacceptable. Ppp. combines and reads *praçiṣṭā "pas sīkṣ-*. Our text reads with the mss.

28. The numbered drops  $(stok\dot{a})$  fasten on the earth, being commensurate with breaths-and-expirations, with herbs; being scattered on, unnumbered, of good color, the clean ones have obtained all cleanness.

This verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp. It is quoted in Kāuç. 61. 36 to accompany the scattering in of the rice-grains after washing.

29. They struggle up (ud-yudh), they dance on, being heated; they hurl foam and abundant drops (bindu); like a woman that is in her season, seeing her husband, unite yourselves, O waters, with these ricegrains.

The translation assumes the emendation, made in our edited text, of  $ftviy\bar{a} y\bar{a}$ , for the  $ftviy\bar{a}ya$  of all the mss. [See SPP's note on this matter, p. 231. He says  $rtviya = m\bar{a}ithuna$ .] Ppp. reads  $rtviy\bar{a}v\bar{a}is$  tais tand. In Kāuç. 61. 37 the verse accompanies the making of the water to boil.

30. Make thou them stand up, as they sit on the bottom; let them touch themselves all over with the waters; I have measured with vessels (p dtra) the water that is here; measured are the rice-grains that are these directions.

[Here, at the end of a decad-division, ends the twenty-sixth prapā!haka.]

31. Reach thou forth the sickle (p dr c u), hasten, take [it] quickly; let them, not harming, cut  $(d\bar{a})$  the herbs at the joint; they of whom Soma compassed the kingship — let the plants be without wrath toward us.

One or two of our mss. read in a páraçum (M.W.; O. párárçum); and, as usual, some (O.D.R.) accent rájyam in c. Ppp. has harantu for harāu 'sam in a; and, in c, somo yāsām. Amanyutāķ is undivided in the pada-text. In Kāuç. 61. 38 the first pāda is used with handing over the sickle for gathering the darbha-grass; the second pāda,\* in 61. 39, with cutting it above the joints; and in 1. 24, 25 both for a similar purpose; so also the first pāda (or the verse) in 8. 11; and yet again both in the comm. to 137. 4. \*[Quoted as oṣadhīr dāntu parvan at i. 25 and 61. 39. According to Daç. Kar. (note to 137. 4), the quotation pra yacha parçum covers a pāda and a half, that is, it includes the ahinsantas which is omitted in the quotation of b.]

32. Strew ye a new *barhis* for the rice-dish; be it dear to the heart, agreeable to the eye; on it let the gods [and] the divine ones (f.) settle  $(vi_{f})$  together; sitting down (*ni-sad*), let them partake of this with the seasons.

The mss. read in **b** privâm, but our text makes the unavoidable emendation to *-ydm*. Some of the mss. also are bothered over the unusual combination *lgva* in **b**: Lthus Bs. has *vaglàv astu*; ] R. *valgáv astu*; T. *valgvustu*. And again, in **d**, Bs. reads *-qnan ft*-, and O.s.m.R. *-qnanty rt*-. The verse accompanies in Kāuç. 61. 40 the strewing of the *barhis*.

33. O forest tree, sit on the strewn *barhis*, being commensurate with the Agni-praises (*agnistomá*), with the deities; like a form well made by an artisan (*tvástr*) with a knife, so (*cná*) let the eager ones be seen round about in the vessel (*pátra*).

-xii. 3

Bp. and Bs.s.m. read svådhiya at end of c. The anomalous hiatus ena (p. ena) ehahis noted in Prāt. iii. 34. Ppp. reads svadhityāināhyās pari pātre dadrįyām, which is welcome as ridding us of the wholly unsupported form dadrįrām; [cf. Gram. § 813]. In Kāuç. 61. 43, the verse accompanies the setting of a vessel (pātri) upon the barhis; in Vāit. 10. 7, the laying of the sacrificial post upon the same (the editor of Kāuç. regards it as quoted also in 15. 11, but the verse there intended must be rather vi. 125. 1).

34. In sixty autumns may he (?) seek unto the treasure-keepers; may he attain unto the sky with the cooked [offering]; may both fathers [and] sons live upon him; make thou this one to go unto the heaven-going end of the fire.

The last pāda admits of various other constructions. Both here and in vs. 41 (where pāda **a** is repeated) Bp. reads at the beginning *casthyām*. In **c**, O.p.m.R. accent *jivān*. Ppp. puts the verse after our vs. 35, and reads, for **a**, *sastyām caradbhyas paridadhma enam*; for **c**, *upāi 'nam putrān pitaraç ca sīdām*; in **d**, *imam* for *etam*. There is no reason why the Anukr. should regard the verse as anything but a regular *tristubh*. In Kāuç. 62.9 it accompanies the setting down of the rice-dish westward from the fire.

35. A maintainer, maintain thyself in the maintenance of the earth; thee that art unmoved let the deities make to move (cyu); thee shall the two spouses, living, having living sons, cause to remove (uul-vas) out of the fire-holder.

Ppp. combines - $uy\bar{a}$  'cyutam in **a**-**b**, omits the meter-disturbing (and probably intrusive)  $tu\bar{a}$  of **c**, reads in **c** - $putr\bar{a}$ , and in **d** ud  $v\bar{a}say\bar{a}thas$  p-. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in our **c**. In Kāuç. 61. 41, the verse accompanies the removal of the vessel; in Vāit. 10. 9, the insertion of the end of the sacrificial post in the ground.

36. Thou hast come together unto all the worlds, having conquered; however many [be] the desires, thou hast made them wholly satisfied; plunge ye (du.) in — both the stirring-stick [and] the spoon; take thou him up upon one vessel.

This obscure verse wins no light from Kāuç. (62. 1), which says simply *iti mantroktam*, connecting it with xi. 1. 24. Some of our mss. (P.M.W.T.) read *abht* for *ddhi* in **d**. We should expect in c gāhetām, as the nouns are not vocative. Ppp. reads in **a** samāgān abhicikya, and in **b** kāmān samitāu purastāt. [See p. lxxxviii.]

37. Strew thou on, spread forward, smear over with ghee this vessel; as a lowing cow (*usrá*) [toward] a young [calf] desiring the teat, do ye, O gods, utter the sound *king* toward this one.

'Strew on': i.e., specifically, make an *upastarana* or covering of butter. In Ppp. the second half-verse is wholly corrupt. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 61.45, as accompanying the operation described, and the next verse is added in 61.46 when the operation is completed.

38. Thou hast strewn on, hast made that world; let the broad unequalled heavenly world (*svargá*) spread itself out; to it shall resort (*cri*) the mighty eagle; the gods shall reach him forth to the deities.

Ppp. begins with apāskārāir, and makes çrayātāi and suparņas change places in c.

39, What in any case thy wife cooks beyond thee, or thy husband, O wife, in secret from thee, that do ye unite; that be yours together; agreeing (? sampāday-) together upon one world.

Kāuç. 62. 11 quotes the verse (*iti mantroktam*), but casts no light upon it. [Has a second *pácati* fallen out after *jāye*?]

40. How many of her fasten on (sac) the earth, what sons came forth into being from us (pl.) — all those do ye (du.) call to you in the vessel; knowing the navel, the young ones (clcu) shall come together.

The mss. (excepting R.D.) leave *sacante* in a unaccented. Ppp. reads after it 'smat. The verse, especially the first pāda, is obscure. 'Navel' = 'central point, place of union.' The Anukr. does not heed the deficiency of a syllable in c; it means us, perhaps, to resolve ta-an.

41. What streams (*dhárā*) of good (*vásu*) [there are], fattened with honey, mixed with ghee, navels of immortality — all those doth the heaven-goer (? *svargá*) take possession of; in sixty autumns may he seek unto the treasure-keepers.

The last and obscurest pāda is identical with 34 a. The Anukr. perhaps accepts the redundant syllable of **b** and the deficient of **c** as balancing each other. The verse is used, with 44 below, in Kāuç. 62. 18, to accompany the further pouring in of juices.  $P_{\mu}p$ , reads samaktās for prapīnās in **a**, and dhāmayas at end of **b**, and combines  $-p\bar{a}$  'bh- in **d**.

42. He shall seek unto it, [as] treasure-keepers unto a treasure; let those who are others be not lords  $(án\bar{i}cvara)$  about; given by us, deposited, heaven-going, with three divisions it has ascended to three heavens (svargá).

Ppp. again combines in a  $-p\bar{a}$  'bhy. Kāuç. 62. 10 makes the verse accompany the division of the rice-dish into three parts. There is no reason for calling it *bhurij*, as the Anukr. does.

43. Let Agni burn the demon that is godless; let the flesh-eating *piçācá* not have a draught here; we thrust him, we bar him away from us; let the Ādityas, the Angirases, fasten on him.

Doubtless we should emend to *rundhmas* in c. Ppp. reads in  $d \bar{a}dity\bar{a}$  no  $a\bar{n}g$ , thus rectifying the meter. The Anukr. notices this time the redundance of the pāda. Doubtless, as often elsewhere, we are to contract to  $\bar{a}dity\bar{a}t$  'nam. In Kāuç. 62. 14 the verse is made to accompany the carrying of fire around the offering. [BR. render the force of *pra* by defining *pra-pā* as 'sich an's Trinken machen.']

44. To the Adityas, the Angirases, I announce this honey mingled with ghee; with cleansed hands, not smiting down [anything of] the Brahman's, go ye (du.), O well-doers, unto this heavenly world (*svargá*).

The description by the Anukr. is quite wrong. The use by Kāuç. 62. 18 was noted above, under vs. 41. [For the use of the genitive, W. has noted a reference to Delbrück's *Altindische Syntax*, p. 161.]

45. I have obtained this highest division of it, from which world the most exalted one obtained [it] completely; pour thou on the butter (sarpts); anoint with ghee; this is the portion of our Angiras here.

Ppp. has in a a different order of words: *idam kāndam uttamam prāpam asya*. The verse (with xi. 1. 31: the first half of each) is quoted in Kāuç. 62. 15, and again (the second half of each) in 62. 17, in connection with anointing the vessel with butter.

46. Unto truth, unto penance, and unto the deities, we deliver this deposit (*nidhi*), [this] treasure (*cevadhi*); let it not be lost (*ava-gā*) in our play, nor in the meeting; do not ye release it to another in preference to (*purá*) me.

One or two of our mss. (R.D.) accent at the end *mát*; and the word is not found without accent unless here and at xi. 4. 26. [SPP. reads *mát* with 8 of his authorities, against 7 that have *mat*.] Ppp. reads in **b** dadhmas. This and the two following verses are quoted, with a number of others, in Kāuç. 68. 27, at a later point in the ricedish ceremony. [With c, cf. 52 a.]

47. I cook; I give; verily upon my action [and] deed (? karúņa) the wife; a virgin (? kāúmāra) world hath been born, a son; take ye (du.) hold after vigor (váyas) that hath what is superior.

The translation here is purely mechanical. Ppp. puts the verse after our vs. 48, and reads in **a**, for *dadāmi*, *ud vadāmi* [thus suggesting the probably correct restoration of the pāda (*aham u dadāmi*)], and in c *putrās*. The verse (10 + 11 : 11 + 11 = 43) is very ill described by the Anukr.

48. No offense is here, nor support (? *ādhārá*), nor that one goes agreeing (*sam-am*) with friends; this vessel of ours is set down not empty; the cooked [dish] shall enter again him that cooked it.

This verse is little more intelligible than the preceding. Ppp. puts c after d, and reads at the end of c *astu* instead of *etat*. LIt is hardly worth while to discuss the accent of dsti.

49. May we do what is dear to them that are dear; whosoever hate [us], let them go to darkness; milch-cow, draft-ox, each coming vigor (váyas) — let them thrust away the death that comes from men.

Or, 'that concerns, comes upon, men'  $(p\bar{a}tiruseya)$ . The Anukr. seems to accept the two redundant syllables of c (evá an intrusion) as compensating for the deficiency in **a**. According to Kauç. 62. 19, the verse is used of 'the milch-cow etc.' north of the fire.

50. The fires are in concord, one with another — he that fastens on the herbs, and he that [fastens on] the rivers; as many gods as send heat  $(\bar{a}$ -tap) in the sky — gold hath become the light of him that cooks.

Ppp. reads sindhum in **b**, and dadhatu\* (for pacatas) in **d**. In Kāuç. 62. 22, the verse (with xi. 1. 28) is made to accompany the laying on of a piece of gold; it is also quoted in 68. 27, with vss. 46-48, etc.: see note to vs. 46. The Anukr. does not notice the lack of a syllable in **a**. \*[Intending dadhato?]

51. This one of skins (tvác) hath come into being on man; not naked are all the animals (paçi) that are other; ye (du.) cause to wrap (paridhā) yourselves  $(\bar{a}tmán)$  with authority (ksatrá), a home-woven garment, the mouth of the rice-dish.

The translation is as literal as possible; but other constructions may be made in the second half-verse. Ppp. leaves the hiatus between **a** and **b**, *babhāva an*-; it combines *-gnās sarve* in **b**; and it reads in **c** *dhāpayeta*, with a division-line after it. Kāuç. 62. 23 makes the verse accompany the depositing of such a garment, with gold. LHas the vs. anything to do with the legend, cited under ii. 13. 3, about the cow and her skin, which the gods took from man and gave to the cow?

52. What [untruth] thou shalt speak at the dice, what at the meeting, or what untruth thou shalt speak from desire of gain — clothing your-selves (du.) in the same web (*tántu*), ye shall settle in it all pollution.

Ppp. rectifies the meter of **a** by reading *vadasi*; in **b** it has *dhane* instead of *vadās*; in **c** it gives *saha* for *abhi*. The Anukr. does not notice the deficiency in **a**. The verse is quoted in Kāuç. 63. 1 (next after vs. 51), with the explanation 'the two become dressed in the same garment.' [With **a**, cf. 46 **c**.]

53. Win thou rain; go unto the gods; thou shalt make smoke fly up out of the skin; about to become all-expanded, ghee-backed, go thou, of like origin, unto that world.

The second half-verse is identical with 19 **a**, **b** above. Ppp. begins **b** with *tatas* instead of *tvacas*; and it has a different second half: *viçvavyacā viçvakarmā svargas* sayonim lokam upa yāhy ekam, which seems less unintelligible. In Kāuç. 63.5 the verse is quoted (together with xi. 1. 28 b) with the direction 'he draws off [the garment?].'

54. The heaven-goer hath variously changed his body, as he finds (? vide') in himself one of another color; he hath conquered off the black one, purifying a shining one (riiçat); the one that is red, that I offer (hu) to the in the fire.

The adjectives here are all fcm., relating to 'body' (tant). The defective meter of **b** helps to make the isolated [or rather, unusual?] vide [see Gram. § 613] suspicious; the Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency. The first half-verse is corrupt in Ppp., so that the comparison gives us no help. In Kāuç. 63.8 the verse accompanies the scattering on of other husks (*phalikaraņān*). [For the form *ajāit*, see the references under vi. 32. 2.]

55. To the castern quarter, to Agni as overlord, to the black [serpent] as defender, to Aditya having arrows, we commit thee here; guard ye him for us until our coming; may he lead on our appointed [life-time] here unto old age; let old age commit us unto death; then may we be united with the cooked [offering].

[Vss. 55-60 are partly unmetrical.] We are surprised to find the pause before instead of after the phrase *etám pári dadmah*. With the items in the first division of these verses are to be compared the corresponding ones in iii. 27. 1-6. The concluding pāda of the metrical refrain is identical with vi. 119. 2 d. The *pada*-reading at the end

of the prose is d: asmåkam: doetoh. In every verse, Ppp. omits tva before dict (an improvement) and reads dadhmas for dadmas. In the refrain of every verse, apparently, it has dadhatv adha for dadatv atha. In this verse it combines dice agmaye. The metrical description of the Anukr. is very puzzling; the part common to all the verses is 6 + 10:11 + 11 + 11 = 49 syllables; then the varying parts range with some resolutions from 25 to 31 syllables: all together, from 74 to 80 syllables; and atidhrti is regularly 76, and krti 80; but the Anukr., after calling all atidhrti, appears to call all but one krti. The verses are quoted in Kāuç. 63. 22, in connection with the rest of the hymn.

56. To the southern quarter, to Indra as overlord, to the cross-lined [serpent] as defender, to Yama having arrows, we commit the here; guard ye etc. etc.

57. To the western quarter, to Varuna as overlord, to the  $p\dot{r}d\bar{a}ku$  as defender, to food having arrows, we commit thee here; guard ye etc. etc.

58. To the northern quarter, to Soma as overlord, to the constrictor as defender, to the thunderbolt having arrows, we commit thee here; guard ye etc. etc.

Our edition follows all the mss. in accenting raksitre 'çanyāi; it should be, of course, -trè.

59. To the fixed quarter, to Vishnu as overlord, to the spotted-necked [serpent] as defender, to the herbs having arrows, we commit thee here; guard ye etc. etc.

Ppp. reads virudbhyas for osadhibhyas.

60. To the upward quarter, to Brihaspati as overlord, to the white [serpent] as defender, to rain having arrows, we commit thee here; guard ye etc. etc.

LHere ends the third anuvāka, with I hymn and 60 verses. The quoted Anukr. says svargah sastih, i.e., 'the svarga[-hymn] is sixty.' The stem svarga, in one form or another, occurs a dozen times in the hymn.]

# 4. The cow (vaça) as belonging exclusively to the Brahmans.

[Kaçyapa.— trıpañcdçat, mantroktavaçādevatyam. ānusțubham: 7. bhurij; 20. vırāj; 32. usņugbrhatīgarbhā; 42. brhatīgarbhā.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvii. (with slight differences of verse-order  $\lfloor 4, 6, 5, 8, 7, 9$  and 17, 19, 18, 20]). Not noticed at all in Vāit., and in Kāuç. only once, in 66. 20, where, with x. 10, it (or the first verse) is to be spoken by the giver of a cow, after sprinkling etc.

Translated : Ludwig, p. 448 ; Henry, 203, 248 ; Griffith, ii. 120 ; Bloomfield, 174, 656.

I. I give [her] — thus should he say, if they have noticed (? anu-budh) her — [I give] the cow (vaçá) to the priests (brahmán) that ask for her; that brings progeny, descendants.

Perhaps *dnu dbhutsata* is rather 'have recognized': i.e., have made her out to be the kind of cow that is called vaca; or there may be in it something of the meaning of *anu-jñā*: 'have approved, or taken a liking to.' [Cf. MGS. i. 8.6 and p. 150.]

б94

2. He bargains away his progeny and becomes exhausted of cattle who is not willing to give the cow  $(g\phi)$  of the gods to the sons of seers that ask for her.

[Pādas c, d recur as 12 a, b.]

3. By a hornless one they are crushed for him; by a lame one he falls (? ard) into a pit; by a crippled one his houses are burned; by a one-eyed one his possessions are taken away (?).

The adjectives are feminine, and the sense doubtless is that as the result of giving such defective cows the thing threatened will happen. In **a**, probably the subject to be understood is grhas, as in **c**; **b** and **c** have perhaps become transposed — and, in that case, *stvám* might be the subject also of *árdati*. [Ppp. has  $k\bar{a}fam$ , like the Vulgate.] The translation of **d** implies emendation (which seems advisable [cf. W. in AJP. xiii. 302]) of  $k\bar{a}ndy\bar{a}$  to  $k\bar{a}ndy\bar{a}$ ; *i.e.*  $k\bar{a}ndy\bar{a}$ ; *a*: *diyate*. Ppp. has *jiyate* 'is harmed,' which would remove the difficulty. [On  $k\bar{u}td$ , see von Bradke, KZ. xxxiv. 157.]

4. Anæmia (*vilohitá*) from the station of the dung visits (*vid*) the master of kine; so is the agreement (?) of the cow; for door-damaging (?) art thou called.

Nearly everything in the second half-verse is doubtful. The majority of our mss. read sămvidyam (p. sămovidyam), but săm- instead is given by M.s.m.O.s.m. and D.; and in R. sām- is emended to sām-. Sāmvidya seems a much more probable form of stem. The Pet. Lexx. render 'possession,' which is very unsatisfactory. Duradablmā (also in vs. 19) seems pretty clearly the reading of nearly all our mss. in c, though it might, as usual in such cases, be -bhrā in most; Bp. has (both times) apparently -bhdnā, and O. [in vs. 4] -bdmā or -b-h-nā (the b and k separate letters, as again below in xiii. 1. 25 c). The word is not divided in the pada-text. The translation given is [suggested by] that of the Pet. Lexx.; Ludwig renders here 'unbetrieglich' (undeceivable), but leaves the word untranslated in vs. 19. The second person ucyáse is quite unexpected; [most of our] samhitā-mss. read hy  $\hat{n}_3 cyáse$ ; [and SPP's are much at variance]. [As alternative rendering in a, b, W. notes 'from standing on her dung.'] Ppp. reads, in c, d, svām vidyum duritagrāhy uccase.

5. From the station of the two fect of her, soaking (? viklindu) namely visits [him]; unexpectedly (?) are they crushed who snuff at her with the mouth.

Here, too, much is obscure and doubtful. The first part might be: 'From the station of her [or 'from standing on her,' as W. queries], soaking of the feet visits [him],' as it is hard to see what two feet have to do with a cow.'. And in dy ds can be either subject or object, and *jlghrati* either sing. or pl. I take *anāmanāt* from root *man*; Ludwig renders it 'without becoming ill'; the Pet. Lexx. explain the word as meaning a kind of disease. Ppp. reads, in **a**, **b**, *asyā 'dhiṣṭhānād vikulain dvin nāma*.

6. Whoever punches  $(\bar{a} \cdot sku)$  the two ears of her, he falls under the wrath of the gods; if he thinks "I am making a mark," he makes his possessions less.

Ppp. begins yo 'syās karņāv āskanoty, and reads in c laksmīs kurvīta. [Pāda b recurs as 12 c. For the construction, cf. 26 d, 47 d: and, per contra, 12 d, 34 d, and 51 c.] We are to make the combination *kurve 'ti*. [As to the marking of cattle's ears, cf. vi. 141.2 and note, and Zimmer, p. 234. In a marginal note, W. compares MS. iv. 2.9 (p. 315). The MS. passage and this vs. and the root *aks* are discussed by Delbrück, *Gurupūjākaumudī*, p. 48-49. — Ppp. puts the vs. between 4 and 5.]

7. If, for any one's advantage, any one cuts off the tail-tuft of her, then his colts die, and the wolf slays his calves.

Or (in a), 'for any advantage or use.' Ppp. makes 7 c, d and 8 c, d change places. It reads also vālān in b.

8. If of her, while being with her master, a crow hath vexed  $(h\bar{a}d)$  the hair, then his boys die, [and] the yákşma visits him unexpectedly (?).

As to anāmanāt, see note to vs. 5. The first pāda apparently means 'in presence of her master,' and so, 'without his interference for her protection.' [Ppp. combines tatas k- in c.]

9. If the lye, the dung of her a barbarian woman flings together, then is born what is deformed, what will not escape from that sin.

All our mss. appear to read distinctly palpul- in **a**, yet they are never to be trusted to make the distinction between lp and ly. Apparently the word is used here for 'urine,' and the meaning is 'if such precious stuff is carelessly treated by a slave-woman  $(d\bar{a}s\bar{t})$ .' Ppp. reads '*pirupam* in **c**. We have to resolve *as-i-āh* to fill out the meter of **a**.

10. When being born, the cow (vaca) is born for (abhi) the gods together with the Brahmans; therefore she is to be given to the priests (brahman); that people call the guarding (gopana) of one's possessions.

The *pada*-text makes the extraordinary division  $g \circ panam$  [for the sake of the play upon go 'cow'?], as if the word were not a simple derivative from root gup ! 'For' (abhl): more literally 'unto, into the possession of.'

11. They who come to the winning (vant) of her, theirs is the godmade cow  $\lfloor vact d \rfloor$ ; they called it *bráhman*-scathing, if anyone keeps her to himself.

Pāda **b** seems to mean virtually 'she is by the gods made theirs.' Ppp. reads at the end (as also in vss. 21, 25) *nu priyāyate*, and *nipr*- is certainly very questionable, since no *nipriya* nor even root  $pr\bar{i} + ni$  occurs. The minor Pet. Lex. gives the word two totally different explanations, under *nipriyāy* and *priyāy* respectively.

12. Whoever is not willing to give the cow  $(g\delta)$  of the gods to the sons of seers that ask for her, he falls under the wrath of the gods and the fury of the Brahmans.

Ppp. reads, for a, b, ya enām yācadbhya ārṣeyebhyo nirucchati. [We had a, b above as z c, d, and c as b ]

13. Whatever may be his use for the cow (*vaçå*-), he should then seek another [cow]; she, ungiven, harms a man, if he is not willing to give her when asked for.

Ppp. has a quite different version of  $\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{c}$ : yasyā 'nya syād vaçābhogo 'nyām icchetu barhiṣaḥ: hinsrā ni dhatsva gopatim. We should expect pūruṣam at end of c, as elsewherg in such a position.

14. As a deposited treasure (*cevadhi*), so of the Brahmans is the cow (*vaçå*); accordingly  $\lfloor et dt \rfloor$  they come unto her, in whosesoever possession she is born.

15. They come thus unto their own property, namely the Brahmans unto the cow; as one might, scathe them in any other respect (?), so is the keeping back of her.

The third pāda is unclear, and the bad meter makes the reading suspicious; yet Ppp. has the same, and varies only in combining  $br\bar{a}hmana$  'bhi in b, and combining and reading 'syā 'dhirohanam in d. Most of our mss. (all except D. and R.s.m.) have the false accent  $br\bar{a}hmana\bar{a}$  in b; our text emends. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in c.

16. She may go about until  $\lfloor \dot{a} \rfloor$  the space of three years, being of unrecognized (*vi-jñā*) speech (*-gada*); should he know the cow, O Nārada, then the Brahmans are to be sought.

This is obscure, but appears to mean that the cow may not betray herself as a  $va_i\bar{a}$  for as much as three years; but, as soon as she is recognized as such, she must be delivered over to the Brahmans. The *pada*-text has in **a**, of course,  $evd: \dot{a}: tr$ .

17. Whoever declares her to be not the cow, the deposited deposit of the gods, at him Bhava-and-Çarva, both, striding about, hurl the arrow.

18. Whoever knows not the udder of her, and likewise the teats of her, to him she yields milk with both, if he has been able to give the cow.

That is, probably, if her owner has sought no profit from her (cf. Ludwig). The first pāda is quoted under Prāt. ii. 52, as an example of  $\bar{u}dho$  (not  $\bar{u}dhar$ ) before a sonant. A number of our mss. read *veda*, without accent.

19. Door-damaging (?) lies she on him, if he is not willing to give her when asked for; he does not succeed in the desires which, without having given her, he would fain accomplish (*cikīrṣa*-).

The translation implies the obviously necessary emendation of y dm to y dn in **d** [so Ludwig]. As to *duradabhnd* at the beginning, see the note to vs. 4. That the conjectural rendering is extremely unsatisfactory is plain. Ppp. has instead, for **a**, *duritavina-paçaye*; and, in **c**, **d**, apparently kamas sam rdhyate yam ad-, thus supporting our emendation. [In Ppp. this verse precedes our 18.]

20. The gods asked for the cow, having made the Brahman their mouth; the wrath (*héda*) of them all incurs (*ni-i*) the man (*mánuṣa*) who gives not.

The translation implies emendation in **b** to *brāhmaņám*. Ppp. reads in **a** yācanti, which does not rectify the meter. [Read deviaso ?]

21. He incurs the wrath of cattle (paqi) who gives not the cow to the Brahmans—if a mortal keeps to himself the deposited portion of the gods.

The samhitā-mss. accent in **b** brāhmaņtbhyo dadat, and the pada correspondingly adadat (instead of ádadat). Our text makes the necessary emendation. Ppp. gives for **d** rtāse nu priyāyate. [See note to 11, above.]

22. If a hundred other Brahmans should ask the cow of its master, yet (*átha*) the gods said of her: the cow is his who knoweth thus.

All our mss. save two (I. and [?] E.s.m.) read  $ct\bar{a}m$  (without accent) in c; our text follows the two.

23. Whoever, not having given her to one who knoweth thus, then shall give the cow to others, hard to go upon for him in his station is the earth with its deity.

In **b** the *pada*-text has *anyébhyah* : *adadat*, and the *samhitā*-mss. correspondingly -*bhyo dadad* v-; this is emended in our text to -*bhyo* 'd- (as if *dadat*, as in vs. 21); but a decidedly better emendation would be to -*bhyo dádat*, as translated. Ppp. reads *anyasmāi d*-, which favors this understanding of the pāda; it also combines *tasmā* 'dh-in **c**.

24. The gods asked the cow [of him] in whose possession she was first (*agre*) born; that same one may Nārada know; together with the gods he drove her away.

The connection of **c**, **d** is obscure, and tempts to conjectural emendations; Ludwig suggests *vidvān* for *vidyāt*: 'knowing her to be such, Nārada together with the gods drove her away (as theirs)'; this is quite acceptable. Ppp. reads at the end  $ud\bar{a}jit\bar{a}$ . One or two of our mss. (D.R.p.m.) accent  $n\bar{a}raddh$ . The Anukr. takes no notice of the lack of a syllable in **a**. [Read *devāso* as in 20?]

25. The cow makes a man  $(p\dot{n}rusa)$  destitute of descendants, poor in cattle, if, when she is asked for by the Brahmans, then he keeps her to himself.

Ppp. reads in **b**  $p\bar{a}urusam$ , and in **d** nu  $priy\bar{a}yata$ . The Anukr. takes no notice of any deficiency in **c**; we may best resolve  $br \bar{a}h$ . [Read  $br\bar{a}hmantbhic$ ?]

27. So long as the master of her should not himself overhear the verses (ic), so long may she go about among his kine (go); she may not abide in his house after he has heard.

The translation implies the evidently necessary emendation of *vacet* at the end to *vaset*; R., indeed, has the latter;  $\lfloor$  and so have 8 of SPP's authorities, against 7 with *vacet*;  $\rfloor$  Ppp. is corrupt: *nā* 'sya crutā grhe sya. The Anukr. takes no notice of any redundancy in **b**; but it can hardly expect us to make a pāda-division between *no* and 'pacrnnyāt. The 'verses' are doubtless those with which the Brahmans come to claim their rightful property.

28. If any one, having overheard the verses of her, has then made her go about among his kine  $(g\delta)$ , both the life-time and the growth of him do the gods, made wrathful, cut off (vracc).

Nearly all our mss. (E. has *áci*-) [and all of SPP's] leave *acicarat* in **b** unaccented; and then, as if by way of compensation, they mostly (except Bs.s.m.D.R.) accent *vifcanti*.

29. The cow, going about variously, the deposited deposit of the gods, manifests her forms, when she desires to go (?) to her station (*stháman*).

That is, her rightful and appointed place. The translation implies in **b** the reading *krnute* instead of *krnusva*, although the former is found only in O.p.m.D.T. (*-uti*). [Three of SPP's *pada*-mss. have *krnute*.] The comm. to Prāt. ii. 63 quotes *āviş krnute rūpāņi*, which is not found in the text unless here. The translation also implies at the end *jigānsati*. The Prāt. (i. 86) seems to imply the occurrence in the text of such forms, and the sense obviously calls for them here and in the next verse; see the note to Prāt. i. 86. Ppp. reads in **d** yathā for yadā.

30. She manifests herself when she desires to go to her station; then the cow  $\lfloor vacd \rfloor$  makes up her mind for the asking of the priests (brahmán).

That is, prepares herself to be asked for by them; brahm dbhyas, dat. by attraction. [Read again *jlgānsati*: see note to vs. 29.] Read in  $dy\bar{a}c\bar{n}y\bar{d}ya$ , though the mss. mostly have  $-\bar{n}cy$ -, as they often blunder over such an unusual consonant-group. Ppp. reads *uto* for *atho* in **c**.

31. She plans (sam-k!p) [it] with her mind; then she goes unto the gods; thence the priests (brahmán) go on to ask for the cow.

32. By offering of *svadhå* to the Fathers, by sacrifice to the deities, by giving of the cow, the noble  $(r\bar{a}janyd)$  does not incur (gam) the mother's wrath.

Ppp. reads *devebhyah* at end of **b**. The description of the Anukr. very unnecessarily forbids us to resolve *-bhi-ah* in **b**.

33. The cow is mother of the noble; so came it (n.) into being in the beginning; they call it a non-abandonment (? ánarpana) of her that she is presented to the priests (brahmán).

The Pet. Lexx. render the difficult *anarpana* by 'a not giving away'; Ludwig, by 'no restitution.' Ppp. combines *tasyā* "hur in c.

34. As one might snatch  $(?\bar{a}-lup)$  from the spoon sacrificial butter held forth for the fire, so he who gives not the cow  $\lfloor vaccd \rfloor \rfloor$  to the priests  $\rfloor$  falls under the wrath of Agni.

Perhaps, 'as [the fire] might snatch,' etc. — seizing on the butter before it is duly offered. Ppp. reads for a yad ājyam pratijagrāha, and in d omits  $\bar{a}$ , thus rectifying the meter. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in our text; we are doubtless to get rid of it by contracting to agndy'  $\bar{a}$ . [Were emendation necessary, one might be tempted to suggest  $agn\bar{a}v \, \bar{a}$ : but cf. note to vs. 6 b.]

35. With the sacrificial cake as calf, milking well, she draws near to him in the world; she yields (duh) to him all his desires — [namely,] the cow  $\lfloor vacd \rfloor$  to him who has presented her.

Ppp. reads, in **b**, *loke 'syo 'pa;* and, for **c**. *sahasmāi sarvān kāmān mahe*. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregular meter in pādas **a** and **c**. All the *samhitā*-mss. accent *loké 'smā* in **b**; our text emends to *loké*.

36. All his desires, in Yama's realm, does the cow  $\lfloor vacd \rfloor$  yield to him who has presented her; likewise they call hell the world of him who keeps her back when asked for.

The *pada*-text reads *nárakam*, and the difference of the two texts is noted in Prāt. iii. 21; iv. 90. Ppp. reads *tathā* for *atha* in c.

37. Being impregnated, the cow  $\lfloor \iota a \xi d \rfloor$  goes about angry at her master: thinking me barren, let him be bound in the fetters of death.

38. And he who, thinking her barren, cooks the cow  $\lfloor va_i d \rfloor$  at home (amd)—his sons and sons' sons also does Brihaspati cause to be asked for.

Ppp. reads in **b**, for *amā ca*, the equivalent *grheşu*; further, in **c**, **d**, *asya svaputrān pāutrāç cātayate brh*. [Over "at home" W. interlines "in private": see vs. 53.]

39. She sends down great heat, going about a cow  $(g\delta)$  among kine; further, to the master who has not given her the cow  $(vac\delta)$  milks poison.

In **b**, apparently, 'being treated as an ordinary cow.' The 'milks' in **d** does not necessarily mean that she gives actual milk. Ppp. reads *tato* in **c**, for *atho ha*, thus rectifying the meter; the Anukr. takes no notice of the redundancy of the pāda, caused by the apparently intrusive ha.

40. It is a thing dear to the cattle that she is presented to the priests (brahmán); further, that is a thing dear to the cow  $\lfloor vaça \rfloor$ , that she be an oblation to the gods.

Lit. 'among the gods' (p. devaotra).

41. What cows the gods shaped out (*ut-klp*), rising up from the sacrifice, of them Nārada selected for himself the fearful *vilipti*.

The root k!p (kalpay-) with ud occurs nowhere else. In c, P.M.W.I.E.p.m.R. read viliptim, which would be the more normal accus. of -ti, but the meter is against it. But the accent -tyam is entirely inadmissible; it must be emended to -tyam; [cf. JAOS. x. 379, 369]. What sort of a cow (vaçā) is intended by vilipti (which ought to signify 'smeared over') is altogether obscure. Ppp. reads instead vilapatim.

42. The gods questioned  $(m\bar{n}m\bar{a}ns)$  about her: is this a cow  $\lfloor vacd \rfloor$ , or not a cow? Of her Nārada said: she is of cows the most truly cow (vacdama).

The more proper reading in **b** would seem to be  $dvacta_3/ti$ ; but all the samhitā-mss. read dvacta' ti, as in our text, although the *pada* gives the sign of protraction (3) also after avacta, as it should be. But the Prāt. (i. 97) requires -cta' ti simply: see the rules i. 97 and 105, and the notes to them. The verse (8+8:7+10) is very ill described by the Anukr. Ppp. reads in a devā 'mīm-; for b, vaçe 'yām ntavaçe 'ti; and it omits *iti* at the end. [For the use of the superlative in d, cf. the punning lampoon on the name of Gotama, Indische Spruche<sup>2</sup>, 4875.]

43. How many, pray (ni), Nārada, are the cows which thou knowest, born among men (manusyà)? those I ask of thee who knowest; of which may a non-Brahman not partake  $(a_{\ell})$ ?

Ppp. reads, for c, katimā "sām bhīmatamā (like our vs. 45 c).

44. The *vilipti*, O Brihaspati, and the cow  $\lfloor vact d \rfloor$  that has given birth to [such] a cow — of that one a non-Brahman who should hope for prosperity (*blinti*) may not partake.

The translation implies at the beginning emendation to vilipti yā (as in vs. 46); the proper reading might also be viliptyàs, nom. pl.; -tyās seems inadmissible; Ppp. reads vilaptyā (for -ās?). Ppp. has further tāsām for tasyās in c. Sūtávaçā is rendered according to the requirement of the accent; the Pet. Lexx. define as 'a cow remaining barren after the birth of one calf'; and the legends told in explanation of the name in TS. vi. I. 3<sup>6</sup> and MS. ii. 5.4 support that understanding. [Cf. Henry's translation, p. 208, and note, p. 256.] Pāda c is redundant in this verse, as are also 46 c and 43 d; the Anukr. heeds none of these cases.

45. Homage be to thee, O Nārada; [be] the cow to him who at once knows it. Which one of them is the most fearful, not having given which, one would perish?

Ppp. reads in **a** te 'stu, and in **b** vaçām, which is easier (Ludwig translates as if the text were  $vacat_{h}$ ). In **d**, our text might better read *ádattvā*.

46. She that is *viliptt*, O Brihaspati, further the cow that has given birth to [such] a cow — of that one a non-Brahman who should hope for prosperity may not partake.

Ppp. reads at the beginning viluptim brhaspataye yā ca sū-, and in c again (as in vs. 44) tāsām.

47. Three verily are the kinds of cow: the *viliptt*, she that has given birth to [such] a cow, the [simple] cow  $\lfloor vacd \rfloor$ ; these one should present to the priests (*brahmán*), [then] he falls not under the wrath of Prajāpati.

Ppp. once more reads *viluptis*  $s\bar{u}$ - in **b**; it is easier to conjecture a meaning for *vilupti* than for *vilipti*. Most of our *samhitā*-mss. accent  $s\delta$  ' $n\bar{a}v$ - in **d**; our text makes the necessary correction to  $s\delta$ . The irregularities of **b** and **c** are unnoticed in the Anukr.; [or rather, it lets them balance each the other].

48. This, O Brahmans, is your oblation — so, when asked [therefor], should he think, if they should ask of him the cow, which in the house of him who has not given her is fearful.

49. The gods talked about the cow in wrath, saying: he hath not given it to us; with these verses (ic) [they talked about] Bheda; therefore indeed he perished. Ppp. reads upa for pari in a, and, for b, sa no rājata heditā; and in c it rectifies the meter by giving bhedasya. The Anukr. does not heed the deficiency in our verse.

50. And Bheda gave her not, when asked by Indra for the cow  $\lfloor vacd \rfloor$ ; for that offense the gods cut him off in the contest for superiority.

Some of our mss. (Bp.E.D.K.) read  $et\bar{a}m$  (unaccented) in **a**; nearly all (not Bs.s.m.D.) accent  $\bar{a}gaso$  'vrçcan in **d**. Ppp. has at the beginning utāi 'tām bh-; its second half-verse is corrupt.

51. They who, wheedling, advise (rad) to the non-giving of the cow [raca], the villains fall under the fury of Indra through ignorance.

Ppp. combines in a vaçāyā 'dā-, and in c-d jālmā "vrç-.

52. They who, leading away her master, then say: do not give — they, through ignorance, go to meet the hurled missile of Rudra.

Pari yanti is rendered as if prati y, for which it is perhaps a misreading. Ppp. reads cetasas for acittyā. Part of our mss. (Bp.R.K.) leave  $\bar{a}hus$  unaccented, and all have te instead of  $t_i$  in c.

53. If as offered (hu) and if as unoffered one cooks the cow  $\lfloor vacd \rfloor$  in private (amd), coming into collision with the gods accompanied by the Brahmans, he goes supine (jihmd) out of the world.

All the samhitā-mss. curiously read in c sábrāhmaņānn (O. -ņāmn) rtvā; the padatext has sáobrāhmaņān : rtvā. [For amā, cf. vs. 38.]

[Here ends the fourth anuvāka, with I hymn and 53 verses. The quoted Anukr. says saptabhir ūnā tu "vaçāh," i.e. 'the cows[-hymn] is a [sixty] deficient by seven.']

## 5. The Brahman's cow.

[Atharvācārya \* — sapta paryāyāh. brahmagavīdevatāh.]

[Partly metrical : vss. 15-17, 47-53, 55-70 are so reckoned by W. in the *Index*, p. 6.] Found also in the main in Päipp. xvi., but in the central parts with omissions and disorder of which the details are not given; [vss. 58, 60, 64-73 are wanting]. Not quoted at all by Väit., nor probably by Kāuç., since 'the two Brahman-cow hymns' mentioned in Kāuç. 48. 13 are doubtless v. 18, 19; although the comm [Dārila: cf. Keçava, p.  $351^{20}$ ] declares these [v. 18, 19] to constitute one of the 'two,' and xii. 5 the other. \*[The Berlin ms. reads *prāguktarşibrahmagavīdevatāh*: so also SPP's citation, Critical Notice, p. 21. This seems to mean that Kaçyapa is the *rṣi*; h. 4 clearly has the same "deity" as this.]

Translated : Muir, i<sup>2</sup>. 288 (vss. 4–15) ; Ludwig, p. 529 (vss. 47–73) ; Henry, 209, 257 ; Griffith, ii. 127.

[Paryāya I. — sat. 1. prājāpatyā 'nustubh ; 2. bhurik sāmny anustubh ; 3. 4-p. svarād usnih ; 4. āsury anustubh ; 5. sāmnī pankti. [Foi 6, see under that verse.]]

1. By toil, by penance [is she] created, acquired by bráhman, supported (critá) on righteousness.

All our *samhitā*-mss. combine *vittā rtē*. The appearance of meter in the verse (8+8) is perhaps not accidental; but there is no metrical structure elsewhere in the section.

2. Covered with truth, enclosed with fortune, enveloped with glory.

Why the verse is called  $s\bar{a}mn\bar{i}$  rather than  $pr\bar{a}j\bar{a}paty\bar{a}$ , like its predecessor, cannot be told. The *pada*-text does not divide  $pr\bar{a}vrt\bar{a}$ , although, in the apparently parallel case, it divides  $p\bar{a}riovrt\bar{a}$ .

3. Set about with *svadhå*, surrounded with faith, guarded by consecration, standing firm in the offering, the world her post (*nidhána*).

The *pada*-mss. absurdly write *prátiosthitā*h (instead of  $-t\bar{a}$ ). The metrical description of the Anukr. is not less absurd; to make the required 30 syllables, we have to resolve *pári-ūdhā*.

4. Bráhman her guide, the Brahman her over-lord.

Ppp. combines *brāhmaņo adh*. The d- needs to be restored in order to make the 13 syllables required by the definition of the Anukr.

5. Of the Kshatriya who takes to himself that Brahman-cow, who scathes the Brahman, - •

6. There departs the happiness  $(s\bar{u}n\bar{r}t\bar{a})$ , the heroism, the good luck.

[The London Anukr. text reads *prathamā bhāu prājāpatyānustu pakrāmatīti* (vs. 6) satyena (etc., vs. 2): may be the *pratīka* of vs. 6 is misplaced and should be put before [u]bhāu (vs. 6 can be stretched to 16 syllables), or else the definition of 6 is fallen out.] Ppp. reads *punyalakṣmī*.

[Paryāya II. — pañca. 7. sāmnī tristubh; 8,9. ārcy anustubh (8. bhury); 10. usņih; [7-10. 1-p.: see under vs. 11;] 11. ārcī nicrt pankti.]

7. Both force, and brilliancy, and power, and strength, and speech, and sense (*indrivá*), and fortune, and virtue (*dhárma*), —

8. And holiness (*bráhman*), and dominion [*kṣatrám*], and kingdom, and subjects (*viças*), and brightness (*tviṣi*), and glory, and honor, and property, —

9. And life-time, and form, and name, and fame, and breath, and expiration, and sight, and hearing, —

10. And milk, and sap, and food, and food-eating, and righteousness, and truth, and sacrifice (*istá*), and bestowal ( $p\bar{u}rt\dot{a}$ ), and progeny, and cattle : —

11. All these depart from the Kshatriya who takes to himself the Brahman-cow, who scathes the Brahman.

Lit. 'all these of the K.,' 'that belong to him.' Ppp. omits vs. 10, and abbreviates vs. 9 to  $\bar{a}yuç$  ca çrotram ca, and vs. 11 to tâni sarvāny apa krāmanti kṣatriyasya. All our samhitā-mss. read in vs. 10 ca rtám. The Anukr. says of vss. 7-10, ctāç catasrah punah punah pādāntarena padābhyāsād ekapadāh: [that is, they are I-p. because repeatedly or in each case the groups ending with ca have to be recited with a pāda-interval, i.e. (as Dr. Ryder suggests) because there is in each verse no main cesura ].

[Paryāya III. — sodaça. 12. virād vışamā gāyatrī; 13. āsury anustubh; 14, 26. sāmny uşnıh; 15. gāyatrī; 16, 17, 19, 20. prājāpatyā 'nustubh; 18. yājusī jagatī; 21, 25. sāmny anustubh; 22. sāmnī brhatī; 23. yājusī trustubh; 24. āsurī gāyatrī; 27. ārcy usnuh.]

12. This same Brahman-cow [is] fearful, having deadly poison, witchcraft incarnate (sākṣát), kūlbaja when covered.

 $K \hat{u} lba ja$  occurs only here and in vs. 53 below; in the latter verse, Ppp. reads instead  $p \hat{u} ly \hat{a} j \tilde{a} m$ .

13. In her are all terrible things and all deaths.

14. In her are all cruel things, all men-killers (purusavadhá).

15. This Brahman-cow, when taken to oneself, binds the Brahmanscather, the god-reviler, in the shackle of death.

Several of the samhitā-mss. (Bs.P.M.W.E.) read -gavy  $\frac{1}{2}3diyd$ , curiously enough. All our mss. have pdqv, and one or two -vinq- or -vinq. The verse admits of being read as a gāyatrī, probably not by accident, and might better have been printed as such.

16. Verily (hi) a hundred-killing weapon (meni) is she; verily the destruction of the Brahman-scather is she.

17. Therefore indeed is the cow of the Brahmans hard to be dared against by one who understands  $(vi \cdot j\bar{n}\bar{a})$ .

18. [She is] a thunderbolt when running, Vāiçvānara when driven up (*údvīta*).

19. A missile when extracting (*ut-khid*) her hoofs, the great god when looking away.

20. Keen-edged (kşurápavi) when looking; when bellowing, she thunders at one.

Bp. reads  $vast systemath{asystema}$ . Vss. 19 and 20 were perhaps intended as metrical (8 + 8). [As to *ment*, vs. 16, cf. Geldner, *Festgruss an Bohtlingk*, p. 32.]

21. Death when uttering *hing*; the formidable god when slinging about her tail.

All the samhitā-mss. read -tyù3gró [K.  $\hat{u}g$ -]. This verse also has 16 syllables, divisible into 8 + 8, but evidently only by accident.

22. Total scathing when twisting about her ears; king-yáksma when urinating.

The Anukr. does not heed that the verse has one syllable too many for a regular sāmnī brhatī.

23. A weapon (meni) when being milked; headache when milked.

24. Debility when approaching (*upa-sthā*); mutual strife when felt of. *Párāmṛṣțā* might also come from root *mṛj* and mean 'rubbed off.'

25. A shaft when her mouth is being fastened up; mishap  $(\tilde{r}ti)$  when being slain.

The *pada*-text has *apionahyámāne*, and two or three of our *samhitā*-mss. (P.M. O.p.m.K.R.) retain the e before *†tir*.

26. Deadly poisonous when falling down; darkness when fallen down.

27. Going after him, the Brahman-cow exhausts the breaths of the Brahman-scather.

[Paryāya IV. — ekādaça. 28. āsurī gāyatrī; 29,37. āsury anusţubh; 30. sāmny anusţubh; 31. yājuşī trişţubh; 32. sāmnī gāyatrī; 33,34. sāmnī brhatī; 35. bhurik sāmny anusţubh; 36. sāmny uşņih; 38. pratisţhā gāyatrī.]

28. [She is] hostility when being cut up, the eating of one's children when being shared out.

Two of the *pada*-texts (D.Kp.) read  $p\bar{a}\dot{u}tra\circ\bar{a}dyam$ . It is so difficult in most mss. to distinguish dy and gh, that the reading  $p\bar{a}\dot{u}tr\bar{a}gham$  (cf.  $p\bar{a}\dot{u}tram aghám$ , xii. 3. 14), which Pct. Lex. conjectures as an emendation, might possibly be intended here.

29. A gods' missile when being taken, failure when taken.

The participles, especially the present passive ones, in these verses, are very much bungled over by the mss. For hriy dman d here are read hriy-, hriy-, hry-, hiy-; and Bp. has rtd for hrtd. It is necessary to make the awkward renderings with 'being,' to distinguish present participle from past. The definition of the Anukr. implies the resolution vt-rd-.

30. Evil when being set on, harshness when being set down.

31. Poison when heating (? pra-yas), takmán when heated.

All the mss. read *práyastā*, but Bp. has *pravánchantī*, Bs. -yachantī, emended to -yasy-, P.M.W. -yásyanchantī (M. emended to -yasy- [?]).

32. Evil (aghá) when being cooked, bad dreaming when cooked.

The description of the Anukr. implies the resolution -pni-am.

33. Uprooting when being turned about (? pari-ā-kr), destruction when turned about.

The participles are rendered according to the Pet. Lexx. The Anukr. expects us to resolve *pari-ā-* once, but not both times. Bp. reads  $-\bar{a}kr\bar{i}yd$ .

34. Discord by smell; pain (cic) when being taken up, a poison-snake when taken up.

The *pada*-text leaves both participles undivided, as prescribed by Prāt. iv. 62. • Taken up,' doubtless in preparation for being served up as food. Bp. reads *udhrīyá*-.

35. Non-prosperity when being served up, disaster when served up.

The mss. again fluctuate between -*hriyá*-, -*hrīyá*-, -*hiyá*-, and, at the end, between -*hṛtā*, -*hatā* (P.M.p.m.W.), and -*hūtā* (D.). The Anukr. notices this time that the verse is *bhurij*.

36. Çarva angered when being dressed (piç), Çimidā when dressed.

37. Ruin when being partaken of, perdition when partaken of.

38. When partaken of, the Brahman-cow cuts off the Brahmanscather from the world, from both this one and the one yonder.

Bp. appears to read lokan, and M.R.T. correspondingly -an ch; O. [D.Kp.] have -at ch; the rest -a ch, which means -ac ch, since ch and cch are equivalent and exchangeable. The metrical definition of the Anukr. is ambiguous.

[Paryāya V.—asta. 39. sāmnī paīkti; 40. yājusy anustubh; 41, 46. bhurik sāmny anustubh; 42. āsurī brhatī; 43. sāmnī brhatī; 44. pipīlikamadhyā 'nustubh; 45. ārcī brhatī.]

39. The slaying of her is witchcraft, her cutting up  $(\bar{a}_{\zeta} dsana)$  is a weapon (meni), the contents of her bowels a secret charm.

All of these, of course, understood as directed against the offender. Ppp. combines tasyā "han-.

40. [She is] homelessness when hidden (?pari-hnu).

The Pet. Lexx. conjecture pari-hnu (not found elsewhere) to mean 'disavow, disown.'

41. The Brahman-cow, having become the flesh-eating Agni, entering into the Brahman-scather, eats him.

42. All his limbs, joints, roots, she cuts off (vracc).

43. She severs (*chid*) his paternal connection, makes perish his maternal connection.

44. All the marriages, acquaintances of the Brahman-scather does the Brahman-cow scorch  $(?api-ks\bar{a})$ , when not given back by a Kshatriya.

Some of our mss. (O.D.T.R.) accent -diydmana, although part of them (O.T.R.) have accented -triyena 'pun-. The description of the passage (7+6:8+10=31) by the Anukr. is very strange, and valueless.

45. Without abode, without home, without progeny, she makes him; he becomes without succession (?); he is destroyed :---

The translation of *aparāoparaņá* (so the *pada*-text) is according to the conjecture of the Pet. Lexx. The metrical definition of the Anukr. implies reading *karoti ap*.

46. Whatever Kshatriya takes to himself the cow of a Brahman who knoweth thus.

[Paryāya VI. — pañcadaça. 47, 49, 51–53, 57–59, 61 (?). prājāpatyā 'nustubh; 48. ārsy' anustubh; 50. sāmnī brhatī; 54, 55. prājāpatyo 'sņuh; 56. āsurī gāyatrī; 60. gāyatrī.]

• 47. Quickly, indeed, at his killing the vultures make a din (āilabá).

Ppp. reads at the end *kurvatāi 'lavain*. The text of the Anukr. seems defective here. All that is said about the nine verses of 16 syllables is as follows:  $\bar{a}dy\bar{a}$  skandhogrāvās tvayā pramūrņam [vs. 61] prājāpatyānustubhaļ. All the verses not of this measure are regularly described. Ludwig translates this whole section [and the next], p. 529.

48. Quickly, indeed, about his place of burning dance the long-haired women, beating on the breast with the hand, making an evil din.

The mss. write no *avasāna*-mark between the two halves of the verse. Ppp. again reads *āilavam*. Prāt. iii. 92 notes the non-lingualization of *nrt* after *pari*. [Bloom-field discusses the vs., AJP. xi. 339 or JAOS. xv., p. xlv.]

49. Quickly, indeed, in his abodes do the wolves make a din.

Ppp. reads, after vāstusu, gangānam kurvate 'pa vrsāt.

50. Quickly, indeed, they ask about him : what that was, is this now that?

We should expect rather kin that  $\bar{a}s\bar{i}st$  [instead of yhit that etc.], since without a question there is no good reason for the protracted *i*. Ludwig translates as if that were the reading. O.D.R. accent  $\bar{a}s\bar{i}sd$ , as is the rule in the Brāhmaņas. Ppp. reads, after prchanti, etad  $\bar{a}s\bar{i}d$  atam nu dā.

51. Cut thou, cut on, cut forth, scorch, burn  $(ks\bar{a})$ .

52. O daughter of Angiras, exhaust thou the Brahman-scather, that takes to himself [the cow].

Ppp. reads ādadhānam.

53. For thou art called belonging to all the gods, witchcraft, kūlbaja when covered.

Cf. vs. 12 above. Ppp. reads (as there noted) pūlyājām.

54. Burning (us), consuming, thunderbolt of the bráhman.

55. Having become a keen-edged death, run thou out.

Ppp. reads vibhāvasuķ instead of vi dhāva tvam; the latter reading probably carries on the figure implied in ksurapavi, which applies especially to the armed wheels of a battle-chariot.

56. Thou takest to thyself the honor of the scathers, their sacrifice and bestowal, their expectations.

Istám pūrtám ca: i.e., as later, the fruits of these good works. The Anukr. would have done much better to accept the resolution ca  $\bar{a}_{\bar{q}}$ , and reckon the verse as 16 syllables.

57. Taking to thyself what is scathed for him who is scathed, thou presentest [it to him] in yonder world.

58. O inviolable one, become thou the guide of the Brahman out of imprecation.

The translation implies emendation of  $abhl_{\zeta}asty\bar{a}$  to  $-ty\bar{a}h$ . The verse is wanting in Ppp.

59. Become thou a weapon (meni), a shaft; become thou deadly poisonous from evil (aghá).

60. O inviolable one, smite forth the head of the Brahman-scather that has committed offense, of the god-reviler, the ungenerous.

This verse also is wanting in Ppp. [Pādas b, c recur below, vs. 65.]

61. Let Agni burn the malevolent one, slaughtered, crushed (mrd) by thee.

Ppp. reads tayā pravrkņo rucitam agnir dahatu duskrtam.

[Paryāya VII. — dvādaçakah. 62-64,66,68-70. prājāpatyā 'nustubh ; 65. gāvatrī ; 67. prājāpatyā gāyatrī ; 71. āsurī paīkti ; 72. prājāpatyā tristubh ; 73. āsury usnih.]

62. Cut (vracc) thou, cut off, cut up; burn thou, burn off, burn up.

63. The Brahman-scather, O divine inviolable one, do thou burn up all the way from the root.

Or 'to the root.' Bs.P.M. read  $m\hat{u}l\bar{a}n$ . In Ppp., [vss. 62-63 are somewhat altered and] the remaining vss. are wanting.

64. That he may go from Yama's seat to evil worlds, to the distances.

65. So do thou, O divine inviolable one, of the Brahman-scather that has committed offense, of the god-reviler, the ungenerous, —

66. With a thunderbolt hundred-jointed, sharp, razor-pronged, ---

67. Smite forth the shoulder-bones, forth the head.

68. His hairs (lóman) do thou cut up (sam-chid); his skin strip off; -

69. His flesh cut in pieces; his sincws wrench off; ----

70. His bones distress  $(p\bar{i}d)$ ; his marrow smite out; —

71. All his limbs, [his] joints unloosen.

72. Let the flesh-eating Agni thrust him from the earth, burn (us) up; let Vāyu [do so] from the atmosphere, the great expanse (varimán); —

73. Let the sun thrust him forth from the sky, burn him down.

The Anukr. accepts the resolution ni osatu.

[The quotations from the Old Anukr. for the seven paryāyas may here be given together: I. vacanāni ca ṣaṭ; II. pañıa; III. sodaça; IV. ekādaça; V. aṣṭa ca; VI. brahmagauyām pañcadaça; VII. tasmād dvādaçakah parah. The sum is 73.— As is readily seen, these quotations together make an anuṣṭubh çloka; and they are printed in metrical form by SPP., vol. i., p. 21 (Critical Notice). For vacanāni, see above, p. 472.]

[Here ends the fifth anuvāka, with I hymn (or 7 paryāyas) and 73 vacanas or vacana-avasānarcas.]

[By some mss. the book is summed up as of 4 artha-sūktas [their vss. number 231] and 7 paryāya-sūktas [73 "verses"], or as of "11 sūktas of both kinds," with a total of 304 verses.]

[The twenty-seventh prapāthaka ends here.]

707

# Book XIII.

# [Hymns to the Ruddy Sun or Rohita.]

LWe come now to the third grand division of the text, books xiii.-xviii. In the first division (books i.-vii.) we had the short hymns of miscellaneous subjects, and in the second (books viii.xii.) we had the long hymns of miscellaneous subjects. In the third, the principle governing the arrangement and division of the material is in the main clearly that of unity of subject (compare the General Introduction and the Table of Contents): thus book xiii. consists of hymns to the Ruddy Sun or Rohita; xiv. consists of wedding verses; xv. is the book about the Vrātya; and xviii. consists of hymns for the dead. Accordingly, it is perhaps worthy of note that the Old Anukramanī does not describe the length of any hymn in book xiii. by reference to a certain length assumed as a norm. The whole book has been translated by Victor Henry, Les hymnes Rohitas. Livre XIII de l'Atharvavéda traduit et commenté, Paris, 1891. Henry's work was made the subject of a detailed review by Bloomfield in the American Journal of Philology (xii. 429-443) for 1891. Then, at Paris in 1892, appeared Le mythe de Rohita, traduction raisonnée du 13e livre de l'Atharva-véda, by Paul Regnaud. As appears below, Ludwig's translation covers the first three of the four hymns of the book; Deussen's, the first and third; and Bloomfield's, the first. For books xii.-xvi. inclusive, the *bhāsya* is wanting.

**LParyäya-hymns:** for details respecting them, see pages 471-2. The fourth or last hymn of this book is a *paryāya-sūkta* with 6 *paryāyas*. For the discrepancy of numeration as between the two editions, see page 611.

LThe anuvāka-division of the book is into four anuvākas of one hymn each, and is thus (like the anuvāka-division of book xii.) coincident with the hymn-division. A conspectus for book xiii. follows:

Anuvākas	I	2	3	4
IIymns	I	2	3	4
Verses	60	46	26	56¶
Decad-division	6 tens	4 tens + 6	2 tens + 6	6 P
0				

xiii. I

Here ¶ means "paragraph of a  $pary\bar{a}ya$ " (such as is numbered as a "verse" in the Berlin edition) and p means " $pary\bar{a}ya$ ." Of the "decads,"  $anuv\bar{a}kas$  1, 2, and 3 contain respectively 6, 5, and 3 (in all, 14 "decads"); while  $anuv\bar{a}ka 4$  has 6  $pary\bar{a}yas$ . The sum is 14 "decad"-sūktas and 6  $pary\bar{a}yas.\bar{u}ktas$  or 20 sūktas (cf. p. 737).]

### 1. To Rohita (the sun, as ruddy one).

[Brahman. — ādhyātmam ; rohitādityadevatyam (3. mārutī; 28-31. āgneyyaḥ; 31. bahudevatyā). trāistubham : 3-5,9, 12, 15. jagatī (15. atyāgatagarbhā); 8. bhury; [16. ?;] 17. 5-p. kakummatī jagatī; 13. atuçākvaragarbhā 'tıjagatī\*; 14. 3-p. purahparuçākvarā vnparītupādalaksmyā paīktu; 18, 19, 5-p. kakummaty atujagatī (18. paraçākvarā bhuru; 19. parātujāgatā); 21. ārsī nurd gāyatrī; 22, 23, 27. prākrtā; 26. vurāţ parosņuh; 28-30 (28. bhuru), 32, 39, 40, 45-50 and 51-56 [and 57-58]. anustubh (52, 55. pathyāpaīktu; 55. kakummatī brhatīgarbhā; 57. kakummutī) †; 31. 5-p. kakummatī çākvaragarbhā jagatī; 35. uparusţādbrhatī; 36. nurņ mahābrhatī; 37. paraçākvarā vurāḍ atujagatī; 42. virāḍ jagatī; 43. vurāŋ mahābrhatī; 44. parosņuh; 59, 60. gāyatrī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xviii. [with vs. 30 after 31; vss. 56-57, 59-60 are lacking; vs. 58 is lacking in Pāipp. xviii., but is found in Pāipp. xx.]. A number of the verses are used in various parts of Kāuç., and several (four) in Vāit. \*[So the Berlin ms. (against *jagatī* of the London ms.): and *atijagatī* more nearly fits the vs.]  $\dagger$ [Here the Anukr. text looks as if in disorder: it seems as if *yain vāta* (vs. 51) *iti ṣaḍ anuṣtubhaḥ* ought to refer to the 6 vss. 51, 53-54, 56-58.]

Translated: Muir, v. 395 (parts); Ludwig, p. 536; Scherman, p. 73 (parts); Henry, I, 2I; Deussen, *Geschichte*, i. 1. 218 (cf. his introduction, p. 212 ff.); Griffith, ii. 133; Bloomfield, 207, 661. — Furthermore, Bloomfield, in his review (AJP. xii. 429–443) of Henry, discusses a considerable number of passages from this hymn. These discussions will be briefly cited by reference to "AJP. xii." He considers that the hymn is secondarily "an allegorical exaltation of a king and his queen."

I. Rise up, O powerful one (?vajin) that [art] within the waters, enter into this kingdom [that is] full of pleasantness; the ruddy one (rohita) that generated this all—let him bear thee, well-borne, unto kingdom.

*Rôhita* is evidently a name or form of the sun; and the  $v\bar{a}jin$  (Henry, 'conqueror of booty') addressed is also the sun. The verse with faulty accents is found also in TB. (ii. 5 2'), which reads [asi after yô in a],  $\bar{a}$  viça in b, and, for d, sá no rāstresu súdhitām dadhātu, which seems better, as removing the difficulty of the sun establishing the sun. Ppp. reads viçvabhrtam for viçvam idam in c; and it has pipartu for bibhartu at the end; [we had the converse at xi. 5. 4]. The resolution ud- $\bar{a}$ -thi is required to fill out the meter of a. All the four hymns of the book (under the name rohtās) are prescribed in Kāuç. 99. 4 to be used in case of a darkening (eclipse) of the sun. The first half-verse is, according to Kāuç. 49. 18, to be used in the witchcraft ceremony of the 'water-thunderbolts' (see x. 5) 'when the boat sinks.' [Cf. AJP. xii. 431.]

2. Up hath arisen the power (?vdja) that is within the waters; mount  $(\bar{a}\text{-rule})$  thou the clans  $(vl_{\xi})$  that are sprung from thee (tvddyoni); assuming  $(dh\bar{a})$  the soma, the waters, the herbs, the kine, make thou the four-footed, the two-footed ones to enter here.

In **b** begins the play of words upon the root ruh 'ascend, mount, grow,' and its compounds and derivatives; this play is suggested by the at least apparent relationship between ruh and rohita, [and is found with considerable elaboration throughout] these hymns. Here it doubtless signifies 'have supremacy over.' Ppp. combines in b viça"roha, in c dadhānā 'po 'sadh-, and in d dvipadā "veç-; and this last we have to accept in order to make a tristubh pāda. In a the resolution of a agan, and in b that of tuád-, make the meter right. The Anukr. takes no notice of any irregularity in the verse.

3. Do ye [who are] formidable, O Maruts, sons of the spotted mother, with Indra as ally, slaughter our foes; the ruddy one shall listen to you, ye liberal ones (*sudánu*), ye thrice seven Maruts that enjoy sweets together.

The first half-verse occurred above as v. 21. 11 a, b. We can hardly help emending trisaptāso to trisaptāso. Ppp. reads instead trisaptā. The verse is found also in TB. (ii. 5. 23), which reads in a ugrā (which is better), in b sayújā prá nítha (corrupt), in c açrnod abhidyavah, and in d (with the desired accent) trisaptāso. The verse lacks a syllable (in b) of being a proper jagatī.

4. The ruddy one ascended (*ruh*), mounted the ascents (*rúh*); [he,] the embryo of the wives, [mounted] the lap of births; him, taken hold of by them (f.), the six wide [spaces] discovered; seeing in advance the track, he hath brought  $(\bar{a} - hr)$  hither the kingdom.

The verse is found also in TB. (ii. 5.  $2^{i}$ ), which reads in **a** (much better) róhainrohain (for rúho ruroha), at the beginning of **b** prajābhir vŕddhim, and in **c** sámrabdho avidat. Such variations are of interest especially as showing how little connected sense was recognized in these verses by those who established the texts. This verse has no right to the name of jagatī, since all its pādas have a trochaic close; the two redundant syllables in **a** and **c** are removed by the TB. readings. [For āhāḥ, see Prāt. ii. 46.] [With regard to the transition-sound between -dan and sád, see Prāt. ii. 9, note.]

5. The ruddy one hath brought hither thy kingdom; the scorners have scattered; fearlessness hath become thine; unto thee, being such, let heaven-and-earth, by the *revátīs*, yield (*duh*) here thy desire by the *çákvarīs*.

Our mss. are divided in **d** between  $duh\bar{a}th\bar{a}m$  and  $-t\bar{a}m$ : the majority give  $-th\bar{a}m$ (so Bs.s.m.Bp.O.D.R.T.K.); while P.M.W.E.p.m. have  $-t\bar{a}m$ ; [and so has Ppp.]. Kp. reads  $\bar{a}sthat$  in **b**, the other pada-mss. -an; if -at is accepted, it will mean 'he has scattered the scorners'; the form may best be viewed, probably, as coming from  $sth\bar{a}$ , like  $\bar{a}dat$  from  $d\bar{a}$  and  $\bar{a}dhat$  from  $dh\bar{a}$  [see Skt. Gram. § 847]; a root asth is extremely improbable; [it is discussed at AJP. xii. 439 and IF. v. 388, where references to previous discussions are given; to these add KZ. xxxii. 435; cf. also note to vii. 76.3 above]. The verse occurs also in TB. (ii. 5.  $2^{1}$ ), which has very different readings:  $\hat{a}h\bar{a}rsid$  $r\bar{a}stram$  ihat robito mrdho vy asthad dbhayam no astu: asmabhyam dyāvāprthivā (akvartbht  $r\bar{a}stram$  duhāthām iha revatībhih. The verse is no jagatī; by the frequent and permissible contraction to  $-prthv\bar{v}$  in c it becomes a fairly good tristubh (badly constructed in a). It is reckoned as belonging to the abhaya gaṇa: see note to Kāuç. 16.8. [Ppp., like TB., puts mrdho before vy ā- in b.] [For vss. 4-5, see AJP. xii. 432.] 6. The ruddy one generated heaven-and-earth; there the most exalted one stretched the line (tántu); there was supported (cri) the one-footed goat (?aja); by strength he made firm (drh) heaven-and-earth.

Ppp. reads in c *ekapād yo*. The verse occurs in TB. (ii. 5. 23), with only slight variants: *tásmin* for *tátra* in **b** and **c**, and *ekapāt* in **c**. [Cf. AJP. xii. 443.]

7. The ruddy one made firm heaven-and-earth; by him was established the sky (svar), by him the firmament (naka); by him the atmosphere, the spaces (rajas) were measured out; by him the gods discovered immortality (amita).

The verse is found in TB. (ib.), the second half-verse reading quite differently: so antárikse rájaso vimánas téna deváh súvar ánu avindan. Ppp. combines and reads in **d** devá 'mrtatvam.

8. The ruddy one examined (vi-mrq) the all-formed, collecting to himself the fore-ascents and the ascents; having ascended the sky with great greatness, let him anoint (sam-anj) thy kingdom with milk, with ghee.

The TB. version (ii. 5.  $2^2$ ) has, for **a**, vi mamarça róhito viçvárūpah; in **b**, samācakrāņáļ; in **c**, gatvāya (for rūdhvā [improving the meter]); for **d**, vi no rāstrám unattu páyasā svéna. Ppp. combines in **a**-to 'mrçat, and reads in **b** samākņuvānas.

9. What ascents, fore-ascents thou hast, what on-ascents  $(\bar{a}r\dot{n}h)$  thou hast, with which thou fillest the sky, the atmosphere, with the *bráhman*, with the milk of them increasing, do thou watch over the people  $(vi_{\xi})$  in the kingdom of the ruddy one.

Though the first three pādas count 12 syllables each, only **a** is *jagati* in structure. With **a**, **b** compare xviii. 2. 9 **a**, **b**. [For vss. 8–9, see AJP. xii. 433.]

10. What clans  $(vi_{\xi})$  of thine came into being out of ardor (tápas), those have come hither after the young  $(vats\dot{a})$ , the  $g\bar{a}yatr\dot{t}$ ; let them enter  $(\bar{a}\cdot vi_{\xi})$  into thee with propitious mind; let the ruddy young with its mother go against [them].

Or (in a) 'what clans came into being out of thy heat.' In b, the *pada*-text has *ihá* :  $\hat{a}$  : *aguḥ*. In d, *sámmātā* means more probably 'having a common mother,' but the sense is too obscure to allow of much confidence in any translation. The TB. version (ii. 5. 2<sup>2</sup>) reads in a tápasā (better); for b, *gāyatrám vatsám ánu tās ta ā 'guḥ;* in c, *máhasā svéna;* in d, *putró* (for *vatsó*). The Anukr. does not heed that the last pāda is *jagatī*. Ppp. combines in d *vatso 'bhy*.

11. The ruddy one hath stood aloft upon the firmament (*naka*), generating all forms, [he,] young, poet; Agni shineth forth with keen light; in the third space (*rajas*) he hath done dear things.

Ppp. reads *bhāsi* in c. The Anukr. again passes without notice the *jagatī* pāda b. [W. suggests by interlineation as alternative, 'hath made for himself dear forms.']

12. The thousand-horned bull Jātavedas, offered to with ghee, somabacked, having good heroes — let him not abandon me; let me not, a

711

suppliant, abandon thee \*; assign thou to me both prosperity in kine and prosperity in heroes.

The verse is found also in TB. (iii. 7. 27), K. (xxxv. 18), and Ap. Lix. 3. I J. TB. (with which Ap. Lsubstantially] agrees throughout) has, for **b**, stomaprstho ghrtávānt suprátikah; and, for **c**, **d**, mā no hāsīn metthito nét twā jáhāma goposám no vīraposám ca yaccha. Ppp. reads in **b** ghrtāhutis so. The irregular verse (12 + 11 : 12 + 12, but with tristubh cadences throughout) is very ill described by the Anukr. as simply a jagatt. \* [All the translators, with W., seem to overlook the accent of jáhāni: nét can hardly mean aught else than 'lest.']

13. The ruddy one is generator and mouth of the sacrifice; to the ruddy one I make oblation with speech, with hearing, with mind; to the ruddy one go the gods with favoring mind; let him cause me to ascend with ascensions ( $r\delta ha$ ) of meeting ( $s\bar{a}mity\dot{a}$ ).

The *pada*-texts read blunderingly in **d** sāmoityāt (instead of -yāth). Henry emends to samityāt 'in order to union with him'; but samiti has the well-established sense of 'meeting, gathering, assembly'; hence Ludwig (for *rohāik s-*) 'with abundant success in the samiti.' [See AJP. xii. 434.] Ppp. reads at the end *rohayāti*. The verse is kindred with ii. 35. 5 in general expression. Its metrical structure (12 + 15:13 + 11= 51) is wholly irregular; the definition of the Anukr. [52 syllables] [nearly] fits it mechanically.

14. The ruddy one disposed the sacrifice for Viçvakarman; therefrom have these brilliancies come unto me; may I speak thy navel  $(n \acute{a} b h i)$  upon the range  $(m a j m \acute{a} n)$  of existence.

The last pāda is repeated below as vs. 37 d. Ppp. reads in a vi dadhāt. The metrical definition implies the resolution vi ad-, and two resolutions in b (-si úpa and mā im-, doubtless), to make a paākti (14 + 12:14 = 40).

15. Unto thee ascended *brhatt* and *paīktt*, unto [thee], O Jātavedas, *kakúbh* with honor; unto thee ascended the *uṣuthā* syllable, the *váṣat*utterance; unto thee ascended the ruddy one along with seed.

Or  $usnihā \circ aksardh$  (so p.) is, in spite of its accent, an adjective to vasatkā rak (so Henry). Read in a brhaty ihd; though all our mss. except [O.] K. happen to agree here in lengthening the ih. Saha at the end is, of course, a misprint for sahá. Ppp. reads at for uta in a, and viçvavedah in b. [The Anukr. appears to count the syllables as 11 + 10 : 13 + 13 = 47.] The metrical irregularities in this book exceed the ordinary measure. [For vss. 15, 17-20, cf. AJP. xii. 434.]

16. This one clothes himself in the embryo (womb?) of the earth; this one clothes himself in the sky, the atmosphere; this one, on the summit of the reddish one, has penetrated the heaven (svar), the worlds.

Ppp. reads vistapas sv- in c-d, and sam ānaçe in d. The verse (9+9:8+8=34) scems to be overlooked in the Anukr., or its definition has dropped out of the mss. Verses 16-20 are prescribed in Kāuç. 54. 10 to accompany, in the godāna-ceremony, the dressing of the child in a new garment. They are much better suited to that use than to their surroundings here.

17. O lord of speech, [be] earth pleasant to us; [be] the lair  $(y \circ ni)$  pleasant, [bc] our couch very propitious; just here be breath in our companionship; thee here, O most exalted one, let Agni surround with life-time, with honor.

Henry understands sakhyé as dat. of sákhi, against the accent. The Anukr. apparently views the verse as  $\lfloor 10 + 10 : 10 + 12 + 6 = 48 \rfloor$ . The verse (with the two following?) is included among the *vācaspatilinīgās*, used in Kāuç. 41. 15 in a ceremony for good luck. Ppp. reads at the end *sthi pary ahain varcasā dadhāmi*.

18. O lord of speech, the five seasons that are ours, that came forth into being as Viçvakarman's — just here be breath in our companionship; thee here, O most exalted one, let the ruddy one surround with life-time, with honor.  $\bullet$ 

The mss. read in **a** ye  $n\bar{a}u$  (but I.R. yo  $n\bar{a}u$   $\lfloor yon\bar{a}u \rfloor$ );  $\lfloor SPP's$  text and most of his authorities have ye  $n\bar{a}u$ : but two have  $yon\bar{a}u$ ;  $\rfloor$  the edition makes the apparently necessary correction to ye no.  $\lfloor$  The Anukr. seems to scan as  $11 + 12:10 + 14 + 6 = 53. \rfloor$  Ppp. omits ye  $n\bar{a}u$  (or no) in **a**, and sam- in **b**, and  $\bar{a}yus\bar{a}$  near the end.

19. O lord of speech, [generate] well-willing and mind; generate kine in our stall (*gosthá*), progeny in our wombs ( $y \circ ni$ ); just here be breath in our companionship; thee here, O most exalted one, I surround with life-time, with honor.

Ppp. reads in **b**  $praj\bar{a}m$ , and in **d** avaham (for aham), omitting, as before,  $\bar{a}yus\bar{a}$ , [and having again  $dadh\bar{a}tu$  at the end, repeated unintelligently from the preceding].

20. May Savitar, god Agni, surround thee [with honor]; with honor may Mitra-and-Varuna deck  $(abhi[+dh\bar{a}])$  thee; striding down all niggards come thou; thou hast made this kingdom full of pleasantness.

The shift from p dri in **a** to *abhi* in **b** makes a mixed and difficult construction. Ppp. combines *devo* 'gnir in **a** and sarvā 'rātīr in **c**, and reads (better) krņuhi in **d**.

21. Thou whom the spotted one (f.), the side-horse, draws (val) in the chariot, O ruddy one, thou goest with brightness (cubh), making flow the waters.

This verse corresponds to RV. viii. 7. 28, which, however, has considerable variants : for **a**, yád eşām prɨsatī (p. -tīḥ) ráthe; in **b**, róhitah; in **c**, yắnti (ubhrắ (p. -rắḥ). As is usual in such cases, some of our mss. read prɨstis in **b**, and ruán in **c**. And most read rohitaḥ [unaccented] at end of **b** (only Bs.R.K. -ta), as if under influence of the RV. version. [SPP. adopts in his text rohita, but reports six of his mss. as giving rohitaḥ, without accent.] Ppp. adds at the end tene 'mam brahmaņaspate ruham rohayo 'ttamam. The verse is quoted in a ceremony for prosperity by Kāuç. (24. 42), which volunteers the added explanation dyāuḥ prṣaty ādityo rohitaḥ; and it is also included among the puṣtika mantras: see note to Kāuç. 19. 1. Kāuç. 24. 43 states further that a spotted cow is given (as sacrificial fee); and the comm. appears to direct that vss. 21-26 accompany the gift. 22. She that is ruddy  $(r \delta h i n \bar{i})$  is submissive to the ruddy one, being liberal  $(s \bar{u} r t)$ , of beautiful color, vast  $(b r h a t \bar{t})$ , very splendid; by her may we conquer booty  $(?v \delta j \bar{a} n)$  of all forms; by her may we overcome all fighters.

*Rôhinī*, doubtless the dawn. Our *pada*-mss. read in c -*rāpām*, by a blundering misapprehension of the assimilated nasal in the combination  $-p\bar{a}n$  *ja*- [Prāt. ii. 11]. M.p.m. [and SPP's C.] read at end *syāma*; the passage is quoted as an instance of *sy*-in the comm. to Prāt. ii. 107. Ppp. reads *sūryas suv*- in b, and combines *prtanā 'bhi* in d. In the Anukr. (by an exceptional usage hardly met with elsewhere) this verse and the next, and a little later vs. 27, are specified as *prākṛta* [mss. *prakṛta*]: i.e., as following the established norm of the hymn, which is *triṣtubh*.

23. Here the seat (sádas), she that is ruddy, of the ruddy one; yonder the road by which the spotted one (f.) goes; her the Gandharvas, the Kaçyapas, lead up; her the poets defend unremittingly.

All the mss. except O.D. (and these differ perhaps only by accident) read in c gandharvāh, as if vocative.

24. The sun's yellow (*hári*) bright (*ketumánt*) horses, immortal, constantly draw the casy-running chariot; the ghee-drinking ruddy one, shining (*bhrāj*), the god, entered the spotted sky.

Bs.E. combine in b amrtas su-. The Anukr. does not heed the jagati pada b.

25. The ruddy one, the sharp-horned bull, who encompassed Agni, the sun, who props as under (*vi-stabh*) the earth and the heaven — out of him do the gods create creations.

Ppp. begins with *ayain roh*. The curious reading of O. in c, *stab-h-nāti* (the *b* and *h* two different letters), was noted above, under xii. 4. 4. ["Encompassed": Bloomfield, "became superior to," AJP. xii. 443.] Kāuç. 18. 25 gives the verse, in company with several others, as to be used in the so-called *citrākarman* (ceremony concerning the asterism *citrā*) to accompany the partaking of a milk rice-dish; and the Paddhati includes both it and the following verse in the *salila gana*.

26. The ruddy one mounted the sky, out of the great sea (arnavd); the ruddy one ascended all ascents.

That the verse is reckoned as belonging to the *salila gana* was noted under the preceding verse.

27. Measure thou out  $(vi \cdot m\bar{a})$  the milk-giving, ghee-dripping  $(ghrta\bar{n}c)$  [cow]; this is the unresisting milch-cow of the gods. Let Indra drink the soma; let there be comfort  $(ks \cdot ma)$ ; let Agni commence praising; do thou thrust away the scorners.

Ppp. reads esām at end of b. With the verse is to be compared Ap. xi. 4. 14: vi mime tvā payasvatīm devānām dhenum sudughām anapasphurantīm: indrah somam pibatu ksemo astu nah, which accompanies the measuring out of a vedi in shape of a cow. In Vāit. 15. 7; 28. 23, it is used in a like manner; and so also in Kāuç. 137. 10, in preparing for the ājyatantra; [cf. also note to 137. 4]. 28. Agni, kindled, being kindled, increased with ghee, offered to with ghee — let the overpowering, all-overpowering Agni slay them who are my rivals.

This verse (though there are others having the same *pratīka*) is doubtless the one quoted (next after vs. 1) in Kāuç. 49. 19, to accompany the laying of bonds upon the "boat" there treated of; [rather, the laying of sticks with strings on them upon the fire: Caland, p. 173]. The description of the Anukr. strangely forbids us to make the elision *-dho* 'gnth in **a**.

29. Let him slay them, burn [them] away, — the enemy (*ári*) who fights us; by the flesh-eating fire do we burn away our rivals.

Ppp. reads in a *enām*; we require *enam*, as antecedent to **b**, which, as the verse now stands, seems to describe the subject of the verbs in **a**. Ppp. has also *agnis* for *aris* in **b**.

30. Do thou, O Indra, having arms, smite them down downward with the thunderbolt; then my rivals have I taken to myself with Agni's brightnesses (*téjas*).

Ppp. puts the verse after our 31, and reads at the end  $\bar{a}$  dadhe. [Or  $\bar{a}$  disi may be referred to  $d\bar{a}$  'cut' +  $\bar{a}$ : so W. in a ms. note to his *Index*, and so BR. But BR's forms from vi. 104 are referred by W. to  $d\bar{a}$  'tic.']

31. O Agni, make our rivals fall below us; stagger the truculent (*utpipāna*) fellow, O Brihaspati; O Indra-and-Agni, O Mitra-and-Varuņa, let them fall below [us], impotent in their fury.

Lit. 'not making their fury effective against' us. The Anukr. apparently understands the structure of the verse as 12 + 14:8 + 6 + 8 = 48; but there is no good reason for dividing the last redundant pāda into two. Ppp. reads *utapidānam* (for *utpipānam* [discussed AJP. xii. 441]) in b.

32. Do thou, O heavenly sun, arising, smite down my rivals; smite them down with the stone; let them go to lowest darkness.

Ppp. appears to read avāi 'nām raçmibhir jahi rātrīņām tamasā vadhīs tam hantv adhamam tamaņ. [We had our d at x. 3. 9 d.]

33. The young  $(vats \dot{a})$  of the  $vir\dot{a}j$ , the bull of prayers  $(mat\dot{a})$ , mounted, bright-backed, the atmosphere; with ghee they sing (arc) the song  $(ark\dot{a})$  unto the young; him, being bráhman, they increase with bráhman.

Ppp. combines in **b**-pr<u>stho</u> ant. TB. (ii. 8. 8°) has a corresponding verse, but with numerous variants : pitā virājām rsabhó rayīnām antáriksam viçvárūpa ā vireça : tám arkātr abhy àrcanti vatsám bráhma sántam bráhmaņā vardháyantah. [Bloomfield, AJP. xii. 441, would emend arkám to aktám; but the TB. variant is very much against it.] Our verse is quoted in Kāuç. 12. 4, at the end of a charm for securing one's wishes.

34. Both ascend thou to heaven and ascend to earth; both ascend to kingdom and ascend to property; both ascend to progeny and ascend to immortality; make thyself contiguous with the ruddy one.

The verse is quoted in Vāit. 13. 5 to accompany the leading up of the cow that is to be exchanged for the soma-plant.

35. The kingdom-bearing gods who go to surround (*abhitas*) the sun — in concord with them let the ruddy one, with favoring mind, assign kingdom to thec.

The combination  $t\bar{a}ls$  te is quoted as example under Prāt. ii. 84. The verse (7+8: 9+11: or 8 in a), if we resolve *deva-ā* or  $r\bar{a}str-a$  is far too irregular to be defined simply as an *uparistādbrhatt*.

36. Sacrifices purified by *bráhman* carry thee up; yellow (*hári*) roadsters draw (*vah*) thee; thou shinest over (*ati-ruc*) across the ocean, the sea.

The verse might better be called *virāj* than *nicrt* (11 + 11:12). Ppp. reads in **b** *abhyaktum* (for *adhvagato*); and in **c** *-se arņavam*, as do some of our mss. (O.R.T.K.). [Pādas **a** and **b** recur below, vs. 43.]

37. On the ruddy one are set (critá) heaven-and-earth, on the goodsconquering, kine-conquering, booty-conquering one, of whom the births are a thousand and seven; may I speak thy navel on the range of existence.

Ppp. reads for **b** vasujid gojit saindhanājiti, and in **c** draviņāni saptatir. The obscure last pāda is identical with vs. 14 **c**.

38. Glorious thou goest to the directions and quarters, glorious of cattle and of people (*carṣanıl*); glorious in the lap of earth, of Aditi, may I become pleasant (*căru*) like Savitar.

Ppp. reads *nu* instead of *ca* in **a**, and *asmi* instead of *bhūyāsam* in **d**; and it combines *pṛthiuyā* 'di- in **c**. There is a deficiency of a syllable, unnoticed in the Anukr., in **a**, unless we resolve *ya-āsi*.

39. Being yonder, thou knowest here; being on this side, thou seest those things; from this side they see the shining space (*rócana*), the inspired sun in the sky.

Ppp. begins c with yatas paç-.

40. Thou, a god, molestest (*mrc*) the gods; thou goest about within the sea (arnavá); they kindle the same fire; it the high (pára) poets know.

'It,' i.e. 'the fire' (tâm). Ppp. reads marcayati and carati. [Bloomfield, AJP. xii. 437, emends to devâm arcayasi; but Ppp., and the antithesis of **a** and **b**, admirably suggested by Deussen's dennoch, are in favor of marc-.]

41. Below the distant, thus beyond the lower, bearing her calf with her foot, the cow hath stood up; whitherwards, to what quarter, hath she forsooth gone away? where giveth she birth? for [it is] not in this herd.

This is a repetition of ix. 9. 17, and, as there are two successive verses beginning with avdh parena, this one is quoted here in the mss. with the unusual expression avdh

párené 'ti půrvā. [The Anukr. doubtless balances the extra syllable in a by counting kúa as one syllable in d.]

42. One-footed, two-footed [is] she, four-footed; having become eightfooted, nine-footed, thousand-syllabled, a series of existence; out from her flow apart the oceans.

This verse is the pādas **b-e** of ix. 10. 21 (RV. i. 164. 41 **b-d**, 42 **a**) [see under ix. 10. 21 for variants]. It and the preceding are very little in place in our hymn. [With **d** cf. 3. 2 **b**, below.]

43. Mounting the sky, immortal, do thou favor my words; sacrifices purified by *bráhman* carry thee up; yellow roadsters draw thee.

Pādas b and c are identical with 36 a, b. Instead of adhvagatas, l'pp. reads ghrtam pibantam. Bp. accents prá : áva.

44. I know that of thine, O immortal one, namely (y dt) thy climb  $(\bar{a}kr amana)$  in the sky, thy station (sadh as tha) in the highest firmament.

*l'yòmani* would make a more regular *paroṣṇih*, but the Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency.

45. The sun overlooks (ati-paq) the sky, the sun the earth, the sun the waters; the sun, the one eye of what exists, hath mounted the great sky.

The verse is made in Vāit. 16. 11 to accompany the antaryāmahoma after sunrise.

46. The wide ones (urvi) were the enclosures; the carth took shape as sacrificial hearth; there the ruddy one set  $(\bar{a}-dh\bar{a})$  these two fires, cold and heat.

47. Having set cold and heat, having made the mountains sacrificial posts  $(y\hbar pa)$ , having rain as sacrificial butter, the two fires of the sky-finding ruddy one performed sacrifice (yaj).

The Anukr. appears to ratify the resolution krtu-ā in b. Ppp., in this verse as later, combines agnī "jāte. [For 46, Hillebrandt, Ved. Mythol. i. 179, cites ÇB. i. 2. 57.]

48. The fire of the sky-finding ruddy one is kindled with *bráhman*; therefrom heat, therefrom cold, therefrom the sacrifice was born.

The majority of mss. read correctly  $yaj\bar{n}\partial^{*}j\bar{a}y$ - at the end; the rest vary between  $-\bar{n}\partial^{*}aj$ - and  $-\bar{n}\partial^{*}aj$ -. Ppp. reads  $-\bar{n}o^{*}aj$ -; and, in **b**, samāhitah for sam idhyate.

49. The two fires [are] increasing by *bráhmán*, increased with *bráhman*, offered to with *bráhman*: kindled with *bráhman*, the two fires of the sky-finding ruddy one performed sacrifice.

Ppp. reads for **a** brahmanā 'gnis samvidāno, and in **b** -ddho, -hutah, and again combines in **c** agnī "jāte. With **b** compare vs. 28 **b**.

50. The one is all set in truth, the other is kindled in the waters: kindled with etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in **b** samāhitas [again: cf. 48] for sam idhyate, and adds another pāda: satye adbhis samāhitah.

51. What one the wind adorns about, or what one Indra, Brahmanaspati: kindled with etc. etc.

Ppp. omits vā in b.

52. Having shaped (k!p) the earth as sacrificial hearth, having made the sky sacrificial fee, then having made heat his fire, the ruddy one made all that has soul, with rain as sacrificial butter.

53. Rain as sacrificial butter, heat as fire, earth as sacrificial hearth took shape; there, with songs (gir), the fire shaped these mountains aloft.

Ppp. reads 'gnir in **a**, and some of our mss. (P.M.p.m.W.) give the same. P.M.W. also have in common the blunder *bhumipr ak*- in **b**. It is doubtless by a loss of part of its text that the Anukr. does not define vss.  $\lfloor 57-58 \rfloor$  as anustubh, although it describes a minor feature of vs. 57, taken as an anustubh. [With 52, cf. vs. 46.]

54. Having shaped [them] aloft by songs, the ruddy one said to the earth: in thee let this all be born, what is  $(bh\bar{u}t\dot{a})$  or what is to be.

Ppp. reads at the end bhavyam.

55. That first sacrifice was born [as] the one that is, that is to be; from that was born this all, whatsoever shines out (*vi-ruc*) here, brought  $(\bar{a}-bhr)$  by the ruddy one [as] seer.

Ppp. ends the hymn with this verse, although vs. 58 is found in another place. It combines *jajite dain*, as we are doubtless to read, though not with the sanction of the Anukr., which calls the pāda *bṛhatī*. [Cf. iv. 23. 7.]

56. Whoever both kicks a cow with the foot and urinates in face of the sun — of such a one I hew off (vracc) thy root; thou shalt not further cast (kr) shadow.

[Cf. the note on the vs. concerning posture in urination at vii. 102: and add that Buddhaghosa, in his comment on the description of the Acelakas, at Dīgha Nikāya, viii. 14 (as reported by Davids, Translation, p. 227), speaks of the standing posture as wrong. — As to making water with face towards the sun, cf. MBh. xiii. 104. 75 (5029), and note to Manu iv. 48 in my *Reader*, p. 349, and the references there given, especially the reference to Jolly's Vișnu, SBE. vii. 194 f. — As for the loss of the shadow, cf. the Peter Schlemihl story; also Jātaka, i. 102°; vi. 337<sup>11</sup>.]

The character of this and the following verses shows that Ppp. has reason for not making them a part of the hymn. This verse makes its appearance in Kāuç. 49. 26, at the conclusion of a series of witchcraft ceremonies. [For the theoretical k of  $pratyd\bar{n}k$ , see note to vi. 51. 1.]

57. Thou that goest past me shading me, and between me and the fire, I hew off thy root; thou shalt not further cast shadow.

The connection appears to demand this pregnant rendering of *abhichāyám* 'so as to cast thy shadow on' (so also Ludwig). It is easy to read **b** as a regular *anustubh* pāda, though the Anukr. allows it only six syllables.

111. –xiii. 2

58. Whoso this day, O heavenly sun, shall go between both thee and me — on him we wipe off evil-dreaming, pollution, and difficulties.

This verse is found in Ppp. xx., which reads for c tasmin duşvapnyam sarvam.

59. Let us not go forth from the road, nor, O Indra, from the sacrifice with soma; let not the niggards stand between us.

That is, between us and something else, so as to cut us off from our desire or object. The verse is, without variant. RV. x. 57. 1, and found also in JB. iii. 168. It is used once in Vāit. (18.8), and several times in Kāuç. (54. 18; 82.6; 89. 11; also by the schol. under 42. 15; 58. 17).

60. What line, accomplisher of the sacrifice, is stretched clear to the gods, that, sacrificed unto, may we attain.

The verse is RV. x. 57. 2, which reads at the end *nacimahi*. It is used by the schol. to Kauç. 58. 17, with vs. 59, in the ceremony of name giving.

[Here ends the first *anuvāka*, 1 hymn and 60 verses. The quoted Anukr. says *sastiķ*.]

## 2. To the sun.

[(Brahman. — ādhyātmam; rohitādityadevatyam. trāistubham:) 1, 12–15, 39–41. anustubh; 2, 3, 8, 43. jagatā; 10. āstārapañkti; 11. brhatīgarbhā; 16–24. ārsī gāyatrī; 25. kakummaty āstārapañkti; 26. purodvyatijāgatā bhurig jagatī; 27. virād jagatī; 29. bārhatagarbhā 'nustubh; 30. 5-p. usņigbrhatīgarbhā 'tijagatī; 34. ārsī pañkti; 37. 5-p. virādgarbhā jagatī; 44, 45. jagatī (44. 4-p. puraķçākvarā bhurij; 45. atijāgatagarbhā).]

Found also in Pāipp. xviii. Only twice (vs. 1) quoted in Kāuç., but several times (eight different verses) by Vāit.

Translated: Ludwig, p. 540; Henry, 8, 36; Griffith, ii. 143. — In this hymn, the sun is mentioned by the name *róhita* only in vss. 25 and 39-41. Verses 39-41 are translated also by Muir, v. 396; Scherman, p. 75 (with vss. 25-26); Deussen, *Geschichte*, i. I. 213 (also vss. 25-26 at p. 226). — The verses 16-24, which are RV. i. 50. I-9, are translated by the RV. translators, and are commented and in part translated by me in *Skt. Reader*, p. 362-3.

1. The bright (*cukrá*) shining lights (*kctú*) of him go up in the sky — of the men-watching Aditya, him of great courses (-*vratá*), liberal (*mīdhváis*).

Ppp. reads in **d** mahīvr.. Kāuç. 58. 22 prescribes the use apparently of the whole hymn (with xvi. 3 and xvii.) in an act of worship to the rising sun, in a ceremony for long life; also (with the same and other hymns, and xiii. 1. 25) in 18. 25, in the *citrā-karman*: see the note to 1. 25 above. Vāit. 9. 16 uses it in the *cāturmāsya* ceremony when turning toward the sun in the east.

2. [Him,] shining (*svar*) with the brightness (*arcis*) of the foreknowing quarters, well-winged, flying swift in the ocean (*arnavá*) — we would praise the sun, the shepherd of existence, who with his rays shines unto all the quarters.

The Pet. Lex. (followed by Henry) emends prajīnānām to prajīnānam, with much plausibility; yet it is opposed by x. 7. 34, dlço yáç cakré prajīnānīh. Ppp. reads

719

prajnānam svadayanto arc-; and it combines in **d** diçā "bhāti. The verse lacks two syllables of being a proper jagatī.

3. In that thou goest swiftly eastward, westward, at will (svadháyā), makest by magic  $(m\bar{a}y\dot{a})$  the two days of diverse form — that, O Aditya, [is] great, that thy great fame (crávas), that thou alone art born about the whole world  $(bh\dot{n}man)$ .

'The two days,' i.e. 'day and night.' The first pada is tristubh.

4. The inspired, hasting (*taráui*), shining one, whom seven numerous (*bahú*) yellow steeds (*harít*) draw, whom out of the liquid (?*srutá*) Atri conducted up the sky — thee here they see going around upon thy race.

Half of our mss. appear plainly to read *stutắt* in c, but the apparent distinction is of no value; *sr* and *st* are virtually one in ms. use. Ppp. helps to establish *sru*-, by reading, for c, d, *crutād divam atri divam anyanāya tain tvā paçyema paryantim ājim*. GB. i. 2. 17 has c (the published text reads *stutād*), with vs. 12 a, b, as if a verse. [As for the Atri story, cf. my essay on RV. v. 40 in *Festgruss an Roth*, p. 187. For the construction of *ājim*, see *Ved. Stud.* ii. 261.]

5. Let them not damage thee going around upon thy race; happily do thou cross the difficulties quickly; when, O sun, thou goest to both sky and divine earth, measuring out day-and-night.

Or, 'sky' and 'earth' may be joint objects of 'measuring' (so Henry, and apparently Ludwig). Ppp. reads *paryantam* in **a**, and *sugena durgam* in **b**. We have to make the, in its situation, awkward resolution  $tu-\bar{a}$  in **a** in order to fill out the meter; [or  $-y\bar{a}antam$ ?].

6. Well-being, O sun, [be] to thy chariot for its moving, wherewith thou goest at once about both borders (duta) — which thy yellow steeds, of excellent draught, draw: a hundred horses, or else seven, numerous.

'At once' (sadyás), doubtless 'oh one and the same day'; 'borders,' i.e. 'horizons.' Half the mss. read báhi; thās, both in this and in the next verse. Ppp. has in a carato rathāsi, and in b paryāsi;\* and for d, instead of repeating 7 d, it gives tam ā roha sukham āsy açvam. ["Numerous" is fem.; and we may think of "horses" as fem.] \*[For the relation of pariyāsi to paryāsi, cf. that of -āni yasya to -ānsy asya, above, x. 7. 20, and see Gram. § 233 a.]

7. Mount  $(adhi-sth\bar{a})$ , O sun, thine easy-running chariot, rich in rays, pleasant, well-horsed, powerful ( $?v\bar{a}j/n$ ), which thy yellow steeds, of excellent draught, draw: a hundred horses, or else seven, numerous.

All our mss. accent strya in a; our edition emends to sūrya. Ppp. has in b syono-syawahnim. The Anukr. perhaps regards the redundant syllable in b as balanced by the deficiency in a. [Cf. 6 c, d.]

8. The sun hath yoked in his chariot, in order to go, his seven great yellow steeds, golden-skinned; the bright one hath been freed from the dimness (?rdjas) in the distance; shaking away the darkness, the god hath mounted the sky.

Ppp. reads *cūras* for sūryas in a, and *cakras* for *cukras* in c, and apparently ayunkta in b. The verse is very ill defined as simple *jagati*; the true reading in b would seem to be hiranyatvacas.

9. The god hath come up with great show (ketú); he hath wasted away the darkness, hath set up (abhi-cri) the light; that hero, heavenly eagle, son of Aditi, hath looked abroad unto all beings.

Abhi-çri, more literally, 'affix, fasten on' (to the sky). [For the form açrāit, see Gram. § 889 a, and note to vi. 32. 2. For avrk, Gram. § 832 a. | Ppp. reads in c sthaviras for sa viras, and has a curious d: ādityās putram nāthagām abhayām atītā.

10. Rising, thou extendest thy rays; thou adornest thyself with all forms; thou illuminest (vi-bhā) with might (?krátu) both oceans, encompassing all worlds, shining.

Ppp. has an altogether different b: prajās sarvā vi paçyasi. | It may be that "adornest" is a slip on W's part. Cf. Henry's note, p. 37-38.

11. They two move on one after the other by magic; two playing young ones go about the ocean; the one looks abroad upon all beings; yellow steeds draw the other with golden [trappings?].

The first three pādas are identical with vii. 81. I a-c (repeated at xiv. I. 23), and are found in other texts: see the note to that verse; the last pada is peculiar, and, as applying only to the sun, spoils the description of the pair of luminaries, sun and moon, which the verse sets out to make. Henry regards the daily and the nightly sun as intended, and the hāiranyas as the stars, by means of which the latter finds his way back to the eastern horizon. It would have been better to read yāto 'rņavám here, as in vii. 81. 1, since the majority of our sam hitā-mss. (all save Bs.E.) give it in this place also.

12. Atri maintained thee in the sky, O sun, to make the month; thou goest well-maintained, heating, looking down upon all things that exist.

All our mss. read in **b** sūryā, as if we had here the compound sūryāmāsá; the padamss. have  $s\bar{u}ry\bar{a}$  :  $m\bar{a}s\bar{a}ya$ ; the correction to  $s\bar{u}rya$  seems unavoidable. GB., which has the first two padas (see note to vs. 4), also gives sūryā-; Ppp. also has it; and, for d, svar bhūtā viçākaçat [ so Roth : perhaps a slip for vicāk-].

13. Thou rushest alike (?sam-rs) to both borders, as a calf to two joint mothers; surely (nanú), that bráhman yon gods have long known.

A naïve extension of the usual naïve figure of the calf: as if he had two mothers, to each of which he showed equal attachment. Bráhman, apparently 'sacred mystery' (so Henry). In d, lit. 'know of old from now.'

14. What is set (crita) along the ocean, that the sun desires to gain (san); great is stretched out his road, which is both eastern and western.

The pada-text has in **b** sisāsati [misprinted and corrected by SPP.], and the passage is quoted as an example under Prāt. ii. 91; iv. 29, 82. Ppp. reads adhi for anu in a.

15. That one he attains completely  $(sam-\bar{a}p)$  with his swiftnesses; that he desires not to neglect (?*apa-cikits*); by that [men] do not appropriate the gods' draught of immortality  $(am\hat{t}ta)$ .

Apa-cikits, lit. 'desire to think away from,' with abl.; the expression is found only here. The logic of **d** is not obvious; Henry supplies 'the demons' as subject of *áva* rundhate; Ludwig renders it as a singular. Ppp. reads *jigitsati* in **b**; and, in **c** etc., *bhakṣaṇam devānān nam va ru*-; *bhakṣaṇam* is an improvement; the meter of **c** halts badly without it.

16. This heavenly Jātavedas the lights (kctii) draw (vah) up, for every one to see the sun.

Or survam may be in apposition with *jātávedasam*. 'Draw,' i:e. as horses do. This verse, with the eight that follow, constitute RV. i. 50. I-9, in the same order of verses, and with few variants; they are also all found in one or more other Vedic texts: this one in SV. (i. 31), VS. (7.41; 8.41; 33.31), TS. (i. 2.8<sup>2</sup>; 4.43<sup>1</sup>), and MS. (i. 3.37) — in all, without a variant. The whole hymn [i.e. vss. 16-24] is repeated further in xx. 47. I3-21. This verse is used three times in Vāit.: at 21. 23; at 33.5 (with the following five verses); and at 39.16 (with the following two verses). [The *pratīka* is cited at MGS. i. 2.4. The frequency of the citation or occurrence of the verse may be judged by consulting MGS. Index, p. 148.]

17. Away go, like thieves, these asterisms with their rays  $(akt\hat{u})$ , for the all-beholding sun.

Or c may possibly mean 'for all to behold the sun.' The remaining verses (17-24) of the RV. hymn [i.e. i. 50. 1-9] are found in SV. only in the Näigeya appendix to the first book; this one (i. 634) has no variant. Henry renders *aktúbhis* 'with the night.'

18. The lights (*ketú*), the rays of him have been seen abroad among the peoples, like shining fires.

Of the other texts, only VS. (viii. 40) follows RV. in reading at the beginning *adr;cram;* the others (SV. i. 635; MS. i. 3. 33) agree with our text.

19. Speedy, conspicuous to all, light-making art thou, O sun; thou shinest unto everything, O bright space (*rocaná*).

All our mss. read at the end *rocana*, save Bs., which has *rocanam*, and M., *rocanám*, and the translation follows them, although the word is a senseless variant of *rocanám*, which is given by RV., and by all the other texts: SV. (i. 636), VS. (xxxiii. 36), TS. (i. 4. 31<sup>1</sup>), TA. (iii. 16. 1), and MS. (iv. 10. 6). Ppp. has *rocanā*.

20. In front of the clans of the gods, in front of those of men thou risest; in front of every one, for seeing the sky (svàr).

RV. reads at end of b manusan, and SV. (i. 637) has the same.

21. With whom [as] eye thou, O purifying Varuna, seest him that busies himself among the people  $(j\dot{a}n\bar{a}n)$ .

SV. (i. 638) reads at the beginning yena; VS. (xxxiii. 32) agrees with RV. and our text.

22. Thou goest through the sky, the broad welkin (*rájas*), fashioning  $(m\bar{a})$  the day with [thy] rays, sceing the generations (*jánman*), O sun.

RV. reads *dhā* in **b**. SV. (i. 639) has the same, and also *úd* for *vi* at the beginning, and *rájah p*. Henry again renders *aktúbhis* ' with the night.'

23. Seven yellow steeds, O heavenly sun, draw in the chariot thee the flame-haired, the out-looking.

RV. reads at the end *vicaksana*, and SV. (i. 641) and TS. (ii. 4. 144) agree with it. MS. (iv. 10. 6) has instead *purupriya*, and, in the preceding word, *cocluk*.

24. The sun hath yoked the seven neat (cundhyi) daughters of the chariot; with them, [who are] self-yoked, he goeth.

SV. (i. 640) reads in **b** naptryàh, and TB. (ii. 4. 54), according to its commentary, has  $\lfloor naptriyah \rfloor$ , although ná priyah is printed instead in the text  $\lfloor$  of Calcutta, and naptriyah in the Poona text, p. 518  $\rfloor$ . So also in c,  $\lfloor$  in the Calc. ed.  $\rfloor$  the printed text has yāsi, but the comm. yāti;  $\lfloor$  while in the Poona ed. both text and comm. give yāti  $\rfloor$ .

25. The ruddy one hath mounted the sky with penance, [he] rich in penance; he comes to the womb  $(y \circ ni)$ , he is born again; he hath become over-lord of the gods.

Ppp. reads in a  $\bar{a}$  'kramit. The Anukr. regards the verse as one of four pādas (8+6:12+11); but the first two are plainly one tristubh pāda, with the titpasā intruded into it. Rohita appears here for the first time in this second hymn, instead of simply the sun; nor do we meet him elsewhere, save in vss. 39-41.

26. He who belongs to all men (*-carsant*) and has faces on all sides, who has hands on all sides and palms on all sides — he brings together with his (two) arms, together with his wings (pl.), generating heaven-and-earth, sole god.

The verse is, with considerable variations, RV. x. 81. 3, found also in VS. (xvii. 19: same text as RV.), TS. (iv. 6. 24), TA. (x. 1. 3), and MS. (ii. 10. 2). None of the other texts has yás in **b**, and only MS. in **a**; they begin viçvátaçcakṣur (but MS. yó viçvácakṣur); in **b**, RV.VS. begin with viçvátobāhur, TS.TA.MS. -hasta, and all end with viçvátaspāt; in **c**, for bhárati, RV. (and VS.) has dhámati, TS.TA. námati, MS. ádhamat; in **d**, RV.VS.MS. give dyāvābhāmī. Ppp. agrees with RV. in **b**-**d**. The meter, fairly regular in RV., is distorted greatly in our text (13 + 13:11 + 12 = 49); the Anukr. gives an acceptable definition of it. The sense also is much defaced in the first line as we have it. Vāit. 29. 14 uses the verse to accompany a certain graha in the building of the fire-altar.

27. The one-footed strode out more than the two-footed; the two-footed falls upon (abhi-i) the three-footed from behind; the two-footed strode out more than the six-footed; they sit together [about] the body of the one-footed.

Sam- $\bar{a}s$  has no good right to an accusative object; and one of our mss. (D.) reads tanvàm, loc., which would be grammatically an acceptable emendation; as regards the sense, that is too obscure for us to derive any help from it. Pādas **b** and **c** are wanting

in Ppp., probably by accident. The first half-verse nearly agrees with RV. x. 117.8 a, b, which (whole) verse corresponds to the first half of our 3.25 below: see the note there. It is only here and in 3.25 that we find the accentuation  $dv/p\bar{a}t$  and  $tr/p\bar{a}t$ . The *pratika* is quoted by GB. ii. 9, p. 28, l. 19. The description of the Anukr. implies an unfounded rejection of one of the resolutions *abht eti* or *tanú-am*.

28. When, about to go unwearied, he hath approached  $(\bar{a}-sth\bar{a})$  his yellow steeds, he, shining (*ruc*), makes for himself two forms; rising rich in lights (*ketú*-), overpowering the dim spaces (*rájas*), thou illuminest (*vi-bhā*), O Āditya, all the advances.

Ppp. has for **b** divi rūpam [kṛṇuṣe; it further combines viçvā "ditya in **d**]. [Pāda **b** is identical with 42 **b**.] [Pischel translates the vs., Ved. Stud. ii. 76.]

29. Verily (bá!), great art thou, O sun; verily, O Aditya, great art thou; great is the greatness of thee the great one; thou, O Aditya, art great.

This verse is RV. viii. 90 (or 101). 11, and is found also in VS. (xxxiii. 39) and SV. (i. 276; ii. 1138), while its *pratīka* is given by TB. (i. 4. 5<sup>3</sup>: very strange, since the whole verse occurs in no Tāittirīya text). RV. and VS. read, for c, d, mahás te sató mahimá panasyate 'ddhá deva mahán asi; the vs. is repeated in RV. form at xx. 58. 3; and SV. the same, except panistama mahná for panasyate 'ddhá.

30. Thou shinest (*ruc*) in the sky, thou shinest in the atmosphere, O flying one; on the earth thou shinest, thou shinest within the waters; both oceans thou hast penetrated (*vi*- $\bar{a}p$ ) with thy sheen (*ruci*); a god, O god, art thou, a heaven-conquering bull (*mahisá*).

Ppp. reads at the end *svarvit*. The Anukr. understands the structure of the verse as 12 + 9 + 7: 12 + 11 = 51; but it is plainly a mixed *tristubh-jagati*, rather, with *patañga* intruded at the end of **a**, and possibly one *rócase* in **b**. All the mss. accent *pátañga*, because they reckon it, with the Anukr., as first word in a pāda; it should properly be *patañga*.

31. Hitherward from afar, extended (*pra-yam*) in mid-route, swift; inspired, flying, he the flying one, perceived (?vícitta) [as] Vishņu, surpassing (adhi-sthā) with strength — he overpowers with his show (kctú) all that stirs.

Ppp. reads at the beginning arvāk. [ 'Perceived as Vișnu': cf. note to xiii. 4. 46. ]

32. Wondrous, understanding (*cikitváiis*), a bull (*mahisá*), an eagle, making to shine the two firmaments ( $ródas\bar{i}$ ), the atmosphere — day-and-night, clothing themselves about with the sun, lengthen out all his heroisms.

The adjectives in **a**, **b** are nom. masc. sing., and the shift of construction in the second half-verse is a notable one. Ppp. combines *suparnā* "roc-, and reads rodasīm in **b**. The verse **4**, used in Vāit. 33. 8 in a sacrificial session, with the remaining verses to the end of the hymn. Ppp. puts our vs. 33 before this. [The first pāda is nearly the same as 42 c.] [Henry discusses the vs. in Mém. de la Soc. de linguistique, x. 86.]

–xiii. 2

33. Keen (tigmá), shining out (vi-bhrāj), sharpening himself, granting the helpful (?aramgamá) advances, a winged one full of light, a vigorbestowing bull (mahisá), he hath approached (ā-sthā) all the directions, arranging (k!p).

Ppp. reads in **a-b** tanvaç çiçāno 'ramgamāsun dhravato rarāņāļ, and in **d** combines viçvā "sthāt. [Pischel translates the vs., Ved. Stud. ii. 75-6.] [See my discussion of accusatives pl. fem. in -āsas and of this passage in Noun-Inflection, p. 363.]

34. Wondrous front [and] show (keti) of the gods, the sun, full of light, going up the directions (pradic), the day-maker, bright (cukra), hath overpassed with brightnesses (dyumna) the glooms (tamas) [and] all difficulties.

This verse and the next are repeated as xx. 107.13, 14. The definition of this one by the Anukr. Las 40 syllables is right from its point of view; but the verse ] is evidently meant for a *tristubh*, and can easily be read into a respectable one, according to the low standard of AV. *tristubhs*, by a few judicious resolutions. The harshness and obscurity of the constructions in **a**, **b** are indications of a corrupted text; Henry renders *pradiças* by 'toward the celestial regions,' Ludwig by 'from the horizon'; the translation above simply adheres to the usual sense of the word. Verses 34-36 are directed in Väit. 39.16 to be used alternatively in the praise of the sun; in 21.23, the *pratika*, namely *citram devānām* (quoted with vs. 16), might apply either to this verse or to the next.

35. The wondrous front of the gods hath arisen, the eye of Mitra, of Varuna, of Agni; he hath filled heaven-and-earth, the atmosphere; the sun is the soul of the moving creation (jdgat) and of the stationary (tasthivāns).

The verse is RV. i. 115. I (only variant  $\hat{a} : apr\bar{a}/i$  in c), and it is found almost everywhere else : thus, in SV. (i. 630 : Nāigeya appendix), VS. (vii. 42 ; xiii. 46), TS. (i. 4. 43<sup>I</sup> ; ii. 4. 144), TB. (ii. 8. 73), TA. (i. 7. 6; ii. 13. 1), MS. (i. 3. 37), AA. (iii. 2. 3); all have the same text as RV.; and so, apparently, has I'pp., [combining, however,  $\bar{a}pr\bar{a}dy\bar{a}$ -]. [Deussen, *Geschichte*, i. 1. 213, interprets the vs.] The quotation in Vāit. 33. 6 evidently applies to the verse as AV. xx. 107. 14. [In d, *jágas tas*- is a misprint for *jágatas tas*- : an interesting instance of most modern haplography.]

36. Flying on high (*uccá*), the red eagle, in the midst of the sky hasting, shining — may we see thee, whom men call the impeller (*savitŕ*), the unfailing light which Atri found.

Ppp. has the better reading  $pa_{ij} ema$  in c. [Restore the lost accent-mark under the *nim* of *tardnim*.]

37. To the eagle running on the back of the sky, to the son of Aditi, I, frightened, approach  $(upa-y\bar{a})$ , desiring refuge; do thou, O sun, lengthen out for us a long life-time; may we take no harm; may we be in thy favor.

The verse is obviously a regular *tristubh*, with *nāthákāmas* intruded in **b**: **h**s description by the Anukr. [gives the verse 48 syllables; but how *pañcapadā wirādgarbhā* is to be understood is not clear]. [The verse is quoted in Vāit. 18. 7, in the *agnistoma*.]

725

38. A thousand days' journey are expanded the wings of him, of the yellow swan flying to heaven; he, putting all the gods in his breast, goes viewing together all existences.

We had the verse above as x. 8. 18, and it is repeated again below as 3. 14. Ppp. reads in c sa viçvān devān.

39. The ruddy one became time, the ruddy one in the beginning Prajāpati; the ruddy one [is] face (mouth?) of the sacrifices; the ruddy one brought the bright sky (svar).

Ppp. reads in a loko 'bhavat (our 40 a), and, for d, rohito jyotir ucyate.

40. The ruddy one became the world; the ruddy one overheated the sky; the ruddy one with his rays goes about over the earth, the ocean.

Or (b) 'went heating across the sky' (so Henry). Ppp. reads in a bhūto 'bhavat, omits b, and has bhūmyam in c [cf. its nāryam for nārīm, xiv. 1. 59, note].

41. All the quarters did the ruddy one, over-lord of the sky, go about upon; the sky, the ocean, also the earth — all that exists doth he defend.

Ppp. has in a (better) sam carati; in b it combines rohito adh-; in c it has again bhūmyam; in d, sarvalokān vi.

42. He, mounting, glowing [and] unwearied, the great [spaces], makes for himself, shining (*ruc*), two forms; wondrous, understanding, [bull,] wind-going (??), when he shines out  $(vi-bh\bar{a})$  upon as many worlds [as there are].

That is, 'upon all existing worlds.' The second pāda is 28 b above; the third, nearly 32 a. The rendering of vātamāyās (p. vātamoāyāḥ) in c is purely to fill up the text. Henry emends to vātam āpas; Ludwig renders 'possessing wind-magic.' The Anukr. passes without notice the redundant syllable in c. Ppp. has a quite different version of much of the verse: ārohan chakro vrhatīr yumktor amartyās krņuse vīryāņi: divyas suparņo muhisam vātaranhāya: sarvāni lokān abhi etc. This would suggest vātaranhās 'wind-swift' as emendation of vātamāyās.

43. The one he falls upon (*abhi-i*), the other he casts about — the bull, arranging with day-and-night; we, imploring, call upon the track-finding sun, dwelling in the welkin (*rájas*).

In the very obscure first half-verse, the two *anyat's* may be subjects instead (so Ludwig and Henry), *asyate* being taken as passive — which would seem more natural, save that then the nominative in **b** is left without construction. Ppp. reads in **a-b** *eti* sadyo 'yam vasāvam aho-, and in **d** nāthamānāk. The verse (12 + 12:11 + 12 = 47) is a very irregular "jagatī."

44. The earth-filling bull (mahisá), track of the implorer, of undamaged sight, hath encompassed the all; beholding (sam-paq) the all, beneficent, reverend, let him hear this which I say.

'Track' (in b), i.e. facilitation or facilitator of progress. Mahisas is an evident intrusion, and the verse is strictly a tristubh with redundant syllables. Ppp. reads in a prthivipro m. bādhamānasya; for b, adbhutacaksus pari sam babhūva; and, for d, *çivāyā nas tanvā çarma yacchāt*, which is found nowhere in our text. All our mss. [except D.] agree in accenting *babhūva*, for no discoverable reason. [Five of SPP's authorities (as well as W's D.) read *babhūva* without accent.]

45. The greatness of him exceeds (pári) the earth, the ocean; shining abroad with light, it exceeds (pári) the sky, the atmosphere; beholding the all, beneficent, reverend, let him hear this which I say.

Ppp. again objects to our second half-verse, and gives instead: *ahorātrābhyām saha* samvasānā usā nīyus pratarād avistam. The "jagatī" is again a very rough one [as the Anukr. in part admits]. [W. interlines "encompasses" as an alternative to "exceeds."]

46. Agni hath been awakened by the kindling of men to meet the dawn coming like a milch-cow; like young (yahva) [birds?] rising forth to a branch, the lights (bhana) go forth unto the firmament (naka).

Ppp. reads in **d** sasrje. The verse is RV. v. 1. 1, and is found also in other texts: SV. (i. 73; ii. 1096), VS. (xv. 24), TS. (iv. 4. 4<sup>1</sup>), and MS. (ii. 13. 7), everywhere without a variant. [SV. (both occurrences) reads sasrate in **d**; TS. accents achá at the end.] It is quoted in Vāit. 29. 8, apparently in connection with the verses that follow it in RV. (*iti trāistubhī*h).

[Here ends' the second *anuvāka*, with 1 hymn and 46 verses. The quoted Anukr. says *sat catvārinçat*.]

### 3. To the sun (with imprecation on the evil-doer).

[(Brahman. — ādhyātmam; rohitādityadevatyam. trāistubham.) 1. 4-av. 8-p. ākrti; 2-4. 3-av. 6-p. (2, 3. asii, 2. bhurij; 4. atiçākvaragarbhā dhrti); 5-7. 4-av. 7-p. (5, 6. çākvarātiçākvaragarbhā prakrti; 7. anustubgarbhā 'tidhrti); 8. 3-av. 6-p. atyasii; 9-19. 4-av. (9-12, 15, 17. 7-p. bhurig atidhrti, 15. nicrt, 17. krti; 13, 14, 16, 18, 19. 8-p., 13, 14. vikrti, 16, 18, 19. ākrti, 19. bhurij); 20, 22. 3-av. 6-p. atyasii; 21, 23-25 4-av. 8-p. (24. 7-p. krti; 21. ākrti; 23, 25. vikrti).]\*

Of this hymn only one verse is found in Pāipp., namely vs. 10 in iv. Vāit. makes use of no part of it; and Kāuç. [49. 19] only of the first verse. [Caland, p. 173, understands the whole hymn to be intended at 49. 19; and takes 49. 24 and 25 as referring to vss. 6 and 7 of this hymn.] \*[The definition of vs. 26 (anustubh) appears to be omitted. — The individual pādas of this hymn are largely of genuine tristubh measure and cadence, occasionally jagatī; and this is possibly the intention of the "trāistubham" of the Anukr. (for not a single verse foots up as 44 syllables): then, again, if to the verse proper in each case we add the refrain and reckon up the totals, we get the ākrtis etc. of the Anukr.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 543; Henry, 14, 45; Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 226; Griffith, ii. 150.

I. He who generated these two, heaven-and-earth; who clothes himself in existences, making them a garment (dr dpi); in whom abide the six wide directions, toward which he, the flying one, looks all abroad against that god, angered, [is] this offense (dgas); whoso scathes a Brahman that knows thus, do thou, O ruddy one, make him quake, destroy him; fasten on the fetters of the Brahman-scather. All the mss. agree in the accent of dr dpi (RV. always dr dpt). As is their habit, they give of the refrain only the single word tdsya except in vss. I and 25; and there they set the *avasāna* not before tdsya, but after devdsya: in our edition this perversion of the natural division is corrected. The refrain, if we contract yd evdm to ydt 'vdm, is a regular tristubh; its addition to a preceding verse makes this hymn one of especially long meters; the first verse, 8 pādas of 11 syllables each, is an exact dkrti. The verse [or the hymn: see introduction] is (though v. 12. 9 has the same pratīka) doubtless the one quoted in Kāuç. 49. 19, with xiii. I. 28 and xvi. 6. I, to accompany the laying on of fetters; and Bloomfield suggests that the whole hymn (or anuvaka) is intended also in 63. 21, one does not see why.

2. From whom the winds in their season go purifying  $(p\bar{u})$ , out of whom the oceans flow forth — against that god etc. etc.

With **b** compare 1.42 **d**. The verse (10 + 11:44 = 65) has one more syllable than a regular *aṣți*, as the Anukr. notices. [The longer grammatical equivalent of  $vata\bar{a}s$  would improve the rhythm.]

3. Who causes to die [and] causes to breathe; from whom all existences breathe — against that god etc. etc.

An exact asti (9 + 11 : 44 = 64).

4. Who gratifies heaven-and-earth with breath; who fills the belly of the ocean with respiration — against that god etc. etc.

The meter is correctly enough described by the Anukr. The omission of either *apānena* or *samudrasya* would rectify the meter of **b**.

5. In whom is set (*critá*) Virāj, the most exalted one, Prajāpati, Agni Vāiçvānara with the series (panktl); who took to himself the breath of the lofty one, the brilliancy of the loftiest one — against that god etc. etc.

We had nearly the same combination of divine personages above in viii. 5. 10 c, d; and the  $pa\bar{n}kti$  here perhaps corresponds to the 'all the seers' there. The verse, of very irregular meter (12 + 12:15:44 = 83), is very nearly a *prakrti* (84 syll.).

6. Upon whom are set (*critá*) the six wide [spaces], the five quarters, the four waters, the three syllables (?aksára) of the sacrifice; who, angered, looked with his eye between the two firmaments (ródasi) — against that god etc. etc.

All our mss. read at end of **b**  $ak_s dr \bar{a}$  (not  $-r\bar{a}h$ ), doubtless under the influence of the ordinary use of  $ak_s dr a$  as neuter. The omission in **c** of  $cdk_s u_s \bar{a}$  would better both sense and meter. The verse as best read (12 + 12 : 14 : 44 = 82) lacks two syllables of a full *prakrti*, but could easily be filled up by resolution. [For the transition *-t* after *ydsmin*, cf. Prāt. ii. 9 note.] [Caland, p. 173, understands this vs. and the following to be intended at Kāuç. 49. 24, 25, for use in the ceremony of the "water-thunderbolts": cf. introd. to x. 5.]

7. Who became food-cater, lord of food, and also Brahmaņaspati (lord of worship); who is and shall be lord of existence — against that god etc. etc.

The translation implies *bhavisyán* instead of *-yát* in **c**; either this emendation or that of *bhūtás* to *bhūtám* (which Ludwig and Henry assume in their versions) seems unavoidable. Our *samhutā*-mss. are divided between *-dó ánnapatir* and *-dó "una-* in **a**. The verse (11 + 8: 12: 44 = 75) is very near a regular *atidhṛti* (76 syll.). [Cf. note to vs. 6 for use by Kāuç.]

8. He who measures out the thirteenth month, fabricated (*vi-mā*) of days-and-nights, having thirty members — against that god etc. etc.

The verse  $(6 \times 11 = 66)$  lacks two syllables of a full *atyasti* (68 syll.).

9. Black the descent, the yellow eagles, clothing themselves in waters, fly up to the sky; they have come hither from the seat of righteousness — against that god etc. etc.

The first three pādas are RV. i. 164. 47 a-c, found also twice above, as vi. 22. 1; ix. 10. 22. The verse  $(7 \times 11 = 77)$  is accurately described by the Anukr. It, with a good part of those that follow (11-16, 18-21, 23, 25), appears to have nothing to do with the refrain.

10. What of thee, O Kaçyapa, is bright (candrá), full of shining (rocanávant), what that is combined (samhitá), splendid (puskalá), of wondrous light, in which seven suns are set (árpita) together — against that god etc. etc.

One of our mss. (T.: [and perhaps also M.]) [and one of SPP's] accent *púskalam* (like *púskara*) in b. All [of W's and of SPP's] agree in the anomalous and probably incorrect accent samhitám. [Cf., however, Gram. § 1085 b. Perhaps the case of samskriam at xi. 1. 35 is not parallel.] Ppp has (as noticed above) this verse, reading in **a** kacyapo ro-, combining in **c** sūryā "rpitās sapta, and [without arasāna after sākam] having, as was to be expected, a wholly different apodosis : tasmin rājānam adhi vi *craye* "mam (cf. our ix. 5.4 d), for which the refrain of this hymn is a senseless substitute. The verse is found also in K. xxxvii. 9. Our Bp. omits, by accident, the division-sign of rocandovat in **a**.

11. The *brhát* dresses itself in him in front; the *rathanitará* accepts [him] behind: [both] clothing themselves always in light unremittingly — against that god etc. etc.

12. The *brhát* was his wing on the one side, *rathaintará* on the other, [both] of like strength, of like motion (*?sadhryàũc*), when the gods generated the ruddy one — against that god etc. etc.

This verse counts 77 syllables, as required, but is irregular (9 + 13 : 11 : 44).

13. This Agni becomes Varuna in the evening; in the morning, rising, he becomes Mitra; he, having become Savitar, goes through the atmosphere; he, having become Indra, burns (*tap*) through the midst of the sky. — Against that, god etc. etc.

Or (a) 'he becomes Varuna at evening [and] Agni ' (so Henry: cf. Ludwig). The verse is very irregular, but can be made to count a *vikrti* (92 syll.).

14. A thousand days' journey are expanded the wings of him, of the yellow swan flying to heaven; he, putting all the gods in his breast, goes viewing together all existences. — Against that god etc. etc.

The verse proper here is a repetition of x. 8. 18 and xiii. 2. 38; it is written in full in all the mss., because they have no other way of indicating the attachment here of the refrain. It is properly an dkrti (8 × 11 = 88 syll.).

15. This is that god within the waters, the thousand-rooted, manypowered (?) Atri; he who generated all this existence — against that god etc. etc.

Henry makes in **b** the naturally-suggested emendation to puru(dkhas), 'manybranched'; [cf. Bloomfield, AJP. xii. 436, and, *per contra*, Deussen, p. 228, note]. The verse is most naturally read as (9 + 11 : 11 : 44 = 75) a *nicrd atidhrti*, in accordance with the description of the Anukr.

16. Swift-running yellow [horses] draw the bright one (*cukrá*), the god shining with splendor in the sky, whose lofty bodies heat the sky; hitherward with well-colored gleams (*?pațará*) he shines forth. — Against that god etc. etc.

Our edition ought to give in **c** tanvàs, since that is the *pada*-reading, and it is only by accident that nearly all the samihitā-mss. (all save R.K.) unite in protracting the à to à. The verse reads most naturally as a *bhurig ākrti* (12 + 11 + 11 + 11 + 44 = 89).

17. By whom the yellow steeds draw the Adityas together; by what sacrifice go many foreknowing;  $^{ify}$  which, sole light, shines forth variously — against that god etc. etc.  $r\bar{a}$ 

*Yajāćna* 'sacrifice' in **b** looks as if it needed emendation, and the irregularity of the pāda suggests corruption. Our mss. read at the end *vt bhāti* and the *pada*-text has *viobhāti*\*; our text makes a change of accent to *vibhāti*. The verse (11 + 14:11:44 = 80) counts up a precise *krti*.

\* A similar *pada*-reading, impossible with the accentless verb-form, we met at vi. 74. 2 (see the note thereto) and at vi. 114. 2 (see note). And here, as at vi. 74. 2, a suspicion arises that an error has come in from confusion with a similar form near by, here with the ending of vs. 16 proper, where  $\tau t \ bhat i$  is called for. All SPP's authorities have  $\tau t \ bhat i$ , except his  $1^2$ , which has viobhat i. This reading he also adopts. — The rationale of the blunder at xiv. 2. 59 (see note) appears to be similar. Cf. also the accent of sarve' at xiii. 4. 21, and rote.

18. Seven harness a one-wheeled chariot; one horse, having seven names, draws [it]; of three naves [is] the wheel, unwasting, unassailed, whereon stand all these existences. — Against that god etc. etc.

We had the verse (which is RV. i. 164. 2) above as ix. 9. 2. The mss. all give it in full here (as in the case of vs. 14 above).

19. Harnessed eight-fold draws the formidable draft-horse (v dhni), father of gods, generator of prayers (mati); measuring with the mind the line of righteousness, Mātariçvan goes cleansing  $(p\bar{n})$  to all the quarters. — Against that god etc. etc.

Or perhaps 'he goes as Mātariçvan,' identifying the action of sun and of wind. Mimānas in c should be emended to mimānas, which is read only by D. The Anukr. notices this time the redundant syllable in a.

20. A united  $(samy d\bar{n}c)$  line along all the directions, within the  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{t}$ , the womb (embryo?) of the immortal. — Against that god etc. etc.

'Line' here is accus., as taking up and carrying on the idea of 19 c. The verse lacks two syllables of being a full *atyasti* (68 syll.).

21. Three settings, dawnings also three; three welkins, skies verily three: we know, O Agni, the birth-place of thee threefold; threefold the births of the gods we know. — Against that god etc. etc.

The verse is regular if *tredh* $\dot{a}$  in c (not in d) is made, as often elsewhere, trisyllabic.

22. He who in birth (*jåyamāna*) opened out the earth, [who] set the ocean in the atmosphere — against that god etc. etc.

The meter is the same as that of vs. 20.

23. Thou, O Agni, impelled by powers (krátu), by lights (ketú), didst shine up, a kindled song (?arká) in the sky; unto what did the Maruts, having the spotted one for mother, sing, when the gods generated the ruddy one? — Against that god etc. etc.

Pischel (*Ved. Stud.* i. 26) takes *arká* as 'sun'; the connection with *abhy ārcan* in **c** is strongly opposed to this. The last pāda is the same with 12 **c** above. The verse (12+12:12+11:44) counts properly 91 syllables, one short of a full *vikrti*.

24. He who is self-giving, strength-giving, of whom all, of whom [even] the gods wait upon the direction, who is master of these bipeds, who of quadrupeds — against that god etc. etc.

The verse proper is identical with the first three pādas of iv. 2. I (found also in other texts: see the notes to that hymn). Bp. here reads (doubtless by accident) *asya* in c. Two more syllables are needed to make a full krti (80 syll.).

25. The one-footed strode out more than the two-footed; the two-footed falls upon the three-footed from behind; the four-footed acted within the call of the two-footed ones, beholding the series  $(pa\bar{n}t\bar{t})$ , drawing near  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$ . — Against that god etc. etc.

The first two pādas are identical with 2. 27 a, b, and the whole verse corresponds to RV. x. 117. 8. RV. reads in a bhūyo dvipādo, in b dvipāt tripādam, in c eti (for cakre) dvipādām, in d paāktīr up-. The accentuation dvipāt and tripāt (only in these verses) was noticed under 2. 27. Here we lack two syllables of a full vikrti.

26. The white son of the black [mother], the young of night, was born; he ascends upon the sky; the ruddy one ascended the ascents.

[Here ends the third anuvāka, with I hymn and 26 verses. The quoted Anukr. says sadvinçat (sadvinça?).]

#### 4. Extolling the sun.

## [(Brahman. — ādhyātmam ; rohitādıtyadevatyam. trāsṣṭubham.\*) ṣaṭ paryāyāḥ. mantrokta devatyāḥ.]

[Partly prose, and vss. 14-15, 22-26, and 46-56 are so designated in W's Index, p. 6.] This hymn is not found in Päipp., nor noticed either in Kāuç. or in Vāit. \*[Here, indeed (but cf. introd. to hymn 3), the general definition for the whole  $k\bar{a}nda$  as "trāi-stubham" does not seem to apply.]

Translated : Henry, 17, 51 ; Griffith, ii. 154.

[Paryāya I. — trayodaça. 1-11. prājāpatyā'nustubh; 12. virād gāyatrī; 13. āsury usnih.]

1. He goes [as] impeller (Savitar) to the heaven (*svàr*), looking down upon the back of the sky.

2. To the cloud-mass (*nábhas*) brought by rays he goes [as] great Indra, covered.

3. He [is] the Creator  $(dhat\hat{r})$ , he the disposer, he Vāyu, the upraised (ut-cri) cloud-mass.

A syllable is lacking, unless we make harsh resolution, in a.

4. He [is] Aryaman, he Varuna, he Rudra, he the great god.

5. He [is] Agni, he also the Sun, he indeed great Yama.

Parts of this verse are quoted as examples under Prāt. ii. 21, 24; iii. 35, 36; iv. 116.

6. On him wait (*upa-sthā*) young ones (*vatsá*), ten, united, having one head.

Henry acutely suggests emendation in **b** to  $-\mu o'y it \bar{a} di \xi a'$  ten myriads' — i.e. of rays, all heading in the sun itself. It seems probable that the original text had *ékaçīr*-*sās*: cf. *di çaçīrṣas*, iv. 6. I; the verse as it stands is redundant.

7. From behind they stretch on forward; when he rises, he shines forth. Vibhåsati would seem a better reading at the end.

8. His is this troop of Maruts; he goes sling-made.

That is (?), 'as if hung in slings' [OB. 'an Schnüre gehängt']. Henry makes a venturesome and unacceptable emendation, and regards the adjective as referring to the 'troop' — which is not impossible.

9. To the cloud-mass brought by rays he goes [as] great Indra, covered.

This is a repetition of vs. 2; all the mss. give it in full.

10. His are these nine vessels (kóça), the props set nine-fold.

The pada-text reads vistambhah, undivided.

11. He looks abroad for living creatures (*prajd*), both what breathes and what does not.

Cf. vs. 19, below : 'for,' apparently 'for the advantage of.'

12. Into him is entered (*ni-gam*) this power; he himself is one, single (*ekavit*), one only.

The verse lacks four syllables of the  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{i}$  number, instead of two, as the Anukr. counts.

13. These gods in him become single.

The Anukr. counts fourteen syllables in the verse; one does not see where it finds more than thirteen.

[Paryāya II.— astāu. 14. bhurik sāmnī tristubh ; 15. āsurī paūkti ; 16, 19. prājāpatyā 'nustubh ; 17, 18. āsurī gāyatrī.]

14. Both fame and glory and water (?*ámbhas*) and cloud-mass and Brahman-splendor and food and food-eating.

The Pet. Lex. regards *nábhaç ca* as intruded here, and *ámbhas* as having the sense of 'might.'

15. He who knows this single god —

16. Not second, not third, also not fourth is he called.

17. Not fifth, not sixth, also not seventh is he called.

18. Not eighth, not ninth, also not tenth is he called.

19. He looks abroad for everything, both what breathes and what does not.

20. Into him is entered this power; he himself is one, single, one only.

21. All the gods in him become single.

The last three verses are nearly identical with 11-13 above. Of the last two the Anukr. does not define the meter, perhaps by an omission in the ms. (or else because they were defined just above). All our mss. save one (D.) [and all SPP's authorities, except P<sup>2</sup>] accent sarvé in 21, as if because of *eté* in 13. [Both editions emend to sárve.]

[Paryāya III. — sapta. 22. bhurık prājāpatyā tristubh; 23. ārcī gāyatrī; 25. 1-p. āsurī gāyatrī; 26. ārcy anustubh; 27, 28. prājāpatyā 'nustubh.]

22. Both worship (bráhman) and penance and fame and glory and water and cloud-mass and Brahman-splendor and food and food-eating —

This is vs. 14 over again, with two more items prefixed.

23. And what is and what shall be and faith and sheen and heaven (svargá) and svadhá.

The mss. vary between *bhavyàm* (Bp.), *bhávyam* (Bs.p.m.D.), and *bhavyám* (Bs.s.m., and all the rest). [SPP's authorities show a similar disagreement. He reads *bhávyam*; and the same reading] in our text is evidently called for.

24. He who knows this single god —

This verse is identical with vs. 15 above, and is accordingly not separately described by the Anukr.

733

25. He verily [is] death, he immortality (*amŕta*), he the monster (*abhvà*), he the demon.

The verse is probably quoted under Prāt. iii. 65 (see the note there). In order to make out the fiftcen syllables of the Anukr., we have to read so am<sup>2</sup>tam so abhvam.

26. He [is] Rudra, winner of good, in the giving of good; in the expression of homage, [he is] the utterance vásat, put together after.

The connection here is very doubtful. Henry understands it as above; Muir (iv. 338) quite differently. The verse is very peculiarly treated by the Anukr.; first it is quoted in its proper place thus: sa rudra ity  $\bar{a}r_si$  (so the Berlin ms., but the London ms. has  $\bar{a}suri$ )  $g\bar{a}yatri$ ; and then, after the definition of vss. 27, 28, the London ms. says again sa rudro vasuvanir  $\bar{a}rcy$  anusiup. The descriptions  $\bar{a}rsi$   $g\bar{a}yatri$  and  $\bar{a}rcy$ anusitubh (each implying 24 syllables) both apply equally well, if we restore  $-k\bar{a}r\delta$  anu.

27. All these familiar demons (yātú) wait upon his direction (praçis).

28. In his control are all yon asterisms, together with the moon.

### [Paryāya IV. — saptadaça. 29, 33, 39, 40, 45. āsurī gāyatrī; 30, 32, 35, 36, 42. prājāpatyā nustubh; 31. virād gāyatrī; 34, 37, 38. sāmny usnih; 41. sāmnī brhatī; 43. ārşī gāyatrī; 44. sāmny anustubh.]

29. He verily was born of the day; of him the day was born.

The Anukr. unaccountably ratifies the elision *dhno 'j*-, instead of restoring *aj*- and recognizing the pāda for what it is, eight syllables.

30. He verily was born of the night; of him the night was born.

31. He verily was born of the atmosphere; of him the atmosphere was born.

The verse lacks four syllables, instead of two, of the twenty-four that make a gayatri.

32. He verily was born of Vāyu (wind); of him Vāyu was born.

33. He verily was born of the sky; out of him the sky was born.

Here again the Anukr. requires us to read divd 'j-.

34. He verily was born of the quarters; of him the quarters were born. Here we are to make both elisions, in **a** and **b**.

35. He verily was born of the earth; of him the earth was born. Nearly all our mss. (all save Bp.D.R.) accent *bhūmés* and *bhūmís*.

36. He verily was born of fire; of him fire was born.

37. He verily was born of the waters; of him the waters were born.

The metrical description is the same as that of vs. 34, and with the same lack of good reason (the mss. read sa vā adbhya rgbhyah sāmnyuṣṇiganuṣṭubhāu, which is senseless, and should doubtless be emended to -uṣṇihāu).

38. He verily was born of the verses (ir); of him the verses were born. As to the meter, see the note to the preceding verse. 39. He verily was born of the sacrifice; of him the sacrifice was born. The Anukr., as above, forces the elision yajnd'j.

40. He is the sacrifice; his is the sacrifice; he [is] made the head of the sacrifice.

41. He thunders; he lightens; he indeed hurls the stone.

That is, the thunderbolt. The second pāda is one of the examples under Prāt. iii. 36.

42. Either for the evil [man] or for the excellent; for man or for Asura.

'For,' i.e. 'at,' Ltaking the verse as a continuation of 41 ].

43. Either when thou makest the herbs, or when thou rainest excellently, or when thou hast increased him of the people (? janyá).

This appears to be the only example known of the accent *janyá* instead of *jánya*, and how little authoritative it is may be inferred from the fact that all our mss. leave *avivrdhas* unaccented after it. Our text makes the necessary emendation to dv. [All SPP's authorities, however, agree in reading not only *janyám* but also *avivrdhas*. The latter he also emends to dv-.]

44. Such, O bountiful one, is thy greatness; and thine, too (ipa), are a hundred bodies.

There is no difficulty in counting the verse into 16 syllables, as required by the Anukr. [It reads naturally as 9+8.]

45. Thine, too, are two billions, [many] billions (?); or else thou art a hundred million.

The translation implies the readings  $b\dot{a}dve b\dot{a}dv\bar{a}ni$ , which, on account of the accent, seem probably meant by the mss., which vary between  $b\dot{a}dhv$ -,  $b\dot{a}ddh$ -,  $b\dot{a}ddhv$ -; K. reads  $baddhve v\dot{a}dv\bar{a}ni$ , D.  $b\dot{a}ddhe baddhani$ . [SPP's authorities also exhibit very wide disagreements, which reflect a corresponding uncertainty of the tradition.] The word is just such a one as the mss. might be expected to boggle and blunder over, both they and we being left without help from the sense. Henry, who accepts the same emendation, understands  $b\dot{a}dve$  as locative, which is perhaps better, and at any rate favored by the fact that the *pada*-text does not read  $b\dot{a}dve$  iti.

[Paryāya V. — șaț. 46. āsurī gāyatrī ; 47. yavamadhyā gāyatrī ; 48. sāmny uṣṇih ; 49. nicrt sāmnī brhatī ; 50. prājāpatyā 'nusitubh ; 51. virād gāyatrī.]

46. More is Indra than non-dying (??); more art thou, O Indra, than the deaths.

'Non-dying' is the conjecture of the Pet. Lexx. for *namurá*, which occurs nowhere else; it is adopted here, simply for lack of anything better, although in itself of a high degree of implausibility. [Henry also adopts it; but see his note, p. 54.] It is surprising to find Indra brought in here at the end for address, instead of the sun; there is nothing to show that the two remaining *paryāyas* are not for him. [Note, however, the praise of the sun under the names of Indra and Vișnu, so prominent in book xvii., below: see page 805. Perhaps we have here a similar identification.]

735

47. More than the niggard, lord of strength (caci) art thou, O Indra; as called mighty, prevailing, do we worship (upa-as) thee.

Prāt. ii. 71 expressly forbids the combination cdcyas p-, which we should have expected here. The verse (9+8:8=25) is strangely defined by the Anukr.

48. Homage be to thee, O conspicuous one (paçyata); see  $\lfloor páçya \rfloor$  me, O conspicuous one.

 $Pa_{i}yata$  is an anomalous and forced substitute for  $dar_{i}ata$ , made in this passage only, for assonance with  $pa_{i}ya$ . The Anukr. ratifies the combination te astu.

49. With food-eating, with glory, with brilliancy (*téjas*), with Brahman-splendor; '

50. As called water (*?ámbhas*), force (*áma*), greatness, power, do we worship thee.

The Anukr. ratifies the combination  $\dot{a}mbho \, dmo$ . By a usage that is rare, all the mss. omit in this verse [what follows] after *tti*, although the repetition is not of the end of the next preceding verse, but of vs. 47. Then, of course, the following verses are written in the same curtailed way until vs. 54, which is filled out to the end.

51. As called water (*ambhas*), red, silvery (*rajatá*), welkin (*rájas*), power, do we worship thee.

Again [as at vs. 31] we have a verse called  $vir\bar{a}d$   $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{i}$  which lacks four syllables of being 24.

[Paryāya VI. — pañca. 52,53. prājāpatyā 'nustubh ; 54. 2-p. ārsī gāyatrī.]

52. As called wide, broad, happy (*subhṫ*), earths (*?bhúvas*), do we worship thee.

Bhúvas is here rendered literally, in the only sense which the word has clsewhere in AV. If it is a first appearance of the  $vy\bar{a}hrti$  common later, its meaning is wholly obscure in this connection. [Aufrecht, KZ. xxxiv. 458, makes some observations about the relations of the noun-forms and adjective-forms in vss. 52-53.]

53. As called breadth, width, expanse, world, do we worship thee.

54. As called one of arising good, of increasing (??) good, of gathering good, of coming good, do we worship thee.

The translation implies the heroic substitution of vrdhådvasu for the wholly senseless *idådvasu*. The Pet. Lexx., to be sure, conjecture for the latter the meaning 'rich in this and that' (which Henry follows); but, besides the fact that *idåt* = *idåm* is not less heroic than *idåt* = *vrdhåt*, the signification given does not belong rightly to the compound, nor has it any application here. Our rendering has at least concinnity — unless, indeed, in a text of this character, that be an argument against its acceptance. All the compounds are evidently possessive.

55. Homage be to thee, O conspicuous one; see me, O conspicuous one. 56. With food-eating, with glory, with brilliancy, with Brahmansplendor. These two verses are identical with vss. 48, 49, above Land are therefore not defined by the Anukr. ].

[The quotations from the Old Anukr., given piecemeal for this paryāya-sūkta at the end of each paryāya, may here be given together: I. trayodaça; II. astāu ca; III. tatah parah sapta; IV. saptadaça; V. sat ca bodhyāh; VI. sasthah pañcaka ucyate... They are given by SPP. in his "Critical Notice," vol. i., p. 21, with the introductory words, caturthasyā 'vasānāni vaksyamāņāni tāni çrņu.]

[In paryāya V., vss. 47, 50, and 51 have the refrain; and in VI., vss. 52, 53, and 54 have it: these verses are styled ganāvasānarcah, and the rest avasānarcah (as was already noted above, p. 472). But since none of the former is divided in two by an avasāna-mark, the distinction does not affect the sums of the "rcah of both kinds," which are (as just stated) 3 + 3 for V. and 3 + 2 for VI.]

[Here ends the fourth anuvāka, consisting of 1 paryāya-sūkta with 6 paryāyas and 56 verses.]

[Some mss. reckon up the hymns as 20 (that is 14 of the decad-divisions of our hymns 1-3, plus 6 *paryāyas* of our hymn 4) and the verses as 188.]

[Here ends the twenty-eighth prapāțhaka.]

# Book XIV.

# [Nuptial Hymns.]

[Nuptial ceremonies. — This fourteenth book is the second of the six books (xiii.-xviii.) that form the third grand division of the Atharvan collection, and shows very clearly that unity of subject which is the distinguishing characteristic of the books of that division. The book has been translated by Weber, *Indische Studien*, vol. v. (1862), pages 178-217; and the parts peculiar to our text by Ludwig in his *Der Rigveda*, vol. iii. (*Die Mantralitteratur*), pages 470-476. The *bhāṣya* is again lacking.]

[The subject of the book has been often treated : thus, by that great scholar, Colebrooke, in 1801, in vol. vii. of the Asiatic Researches (the paper is reprinted in Cowell's edition of H. T. Colebrooke's Essays, vol. i., pages 217-238); by E. Haas, in the volume of Weber's Studien, just cited, pages 267-412, Die Heirathsgebrauche der alten Inder, nach den Grihyasütra; and latterly by Dr. M. Winternitz, in the Denkschriften of the Vienna Academy for 1892, vol. xl., Das altindische Hochzeitsrituell nach dem Apastambiya-grihyasütra etc., with a detailed comparison of the nuptial ceremonies prevailing among the other Indo-European peoples. Then, some five years later (in 1897), in the Anecdota Oxoniensia, Dr. Winternitz published The Mantra-pātha or the Prayer Book of the Apastambins, which contains very many of the mantras cited in the editor's Hochzeitsrituell; and for this reason the citations of those mantras are given below in duplicate, in order that they may be easily found in either work. — Here may be mentioned also the elaborate comments given in my Sanskrit Reader, pages 398-401, upon chapters 5, 7, and 8 of Açvalāyana's Grhyasūtra, book i., which treat of the wedding customs and the wedding-service.]

[Division into anuvākas. — This book is divided into two anuvākas, the first with 64 verses, and the second with 75. This division is confirmed by the Old Anukr. or  $Pa\bar{n}capatalik\bar{a}$  (as quoted at the end of each anuvāka), which says ādyah sāuryaç catuhşaştih and pañcasaptatir uttarah. Here ādyah and uttarah doubtless refer to anuvākah understood. It is also confirmed by AV. xix. 23. 24.]

**[The decad-division** is shown in the mss. as usual: thus hymn 1 is divided into 6 "decad"-*sūktas* (5 tens and 1 "decad" of 14 vss.), and hymn 2 is divided into 8 "decad"-*sūktas* (7 tens and 1 "decad" of 5 vss.). The sum is 14 "decad"-*sūktas*.]

[Division into hymns. — This seems to be a matter more or less questionable. By the Berlin edition, and also by that of SPP., the book is in fact divided into two hymns, each of which coincides with an *anuvāka*, as is the case with books xii. and xiii. The Old Anukr. seems to offer no evidence either for or against the division into hymns.]

[The mss. seem to support the division of the book into two hymns: thus, at the end of anuvāka I, several mss. say anuvāke arthasākta I; rcā (!) 64; [supply presumably da(atayah] 6. And, at the end of the second, they say anuvāke arthasākta I; rcā 75. Moreover, as noted on page 768, some mss. sum up the book as of two hymns.]

[The Major Anukr., on the other hand, seems rather to indicate that the book should not be divided into two hymns: 1. by its mingling the verses of the whole book together (see the next paragraph, which is by Mr. Whitney) in its metrical and other definitions; and 2. by its expression *catatamyā* [*rcā*] ' hundredth verse,' which implies a continuous counting from the beginning of the book beyond the limits of the first *anuvāka* (or hymn?), which contains only 64 verses. *Per contra*, this method of designating a verse by any ordinal higher than the first few ordinals is very unusual, and (so far as I have noted) unexampled, save by the expression *trayovincatikayā* in the next clause and by the ordinals of Kāuç. 49. 24, 25 (see note to x. 5. 6).]

The descriptions of meter etc. are  $\lfloor$ by the Major Anukr. $\rfloor$  given together for the whole book; they are here separated for the two recognized divisions (*anuvākas*, treated as hymns) in accordance with the method elsewhere followed. The order of verses is so much disregarded in the metrical etc. descriptions as to make one wonder whether the arrangement contemplated by the Anukr. was the same with that which we have  $\lfloor cf. p. 740, top \rfloor$ ; yet minor deviations from the order are not very rare elsewhere. Other special points are mentioned in the notes to the verses.

[The Major Anukr. begins its treatment of the book as follows: satyene'ti (xiv. 1. 1) sāikonacatvārinçachatam dvayānuvākakāndam. Sāvitrī Sūryā. ātmadāivatam. ānustubham. prathamābhih pañcabhih (xiv. 1. 1-5) somam astāut; parābhih (xiv. 1. 6-?) svavivāham; çatatamyā [?] (xiv. 2. 36) devān; trayovinçatikayā (xiv. 1. 23) somārkāu; parayā (xiv. 1. 24) candramasam.]

[That is to say: 'The double-anuvāka-book (the expression dvaya is a little strange: the phrase would fit also books xv. and xvi.) that begins with satyena has [verses] a-hundred-and-forty-save-one (64 + 75 = 139). [The scer is] Sūryā, ' daughter of Savitar (cf. AV. vi. 82. 2; xiv. 2. 30; Bergaigne, Rel. Véd. ii. 486 f.). The deity is the same. The meter, anustubh. With the first five verses she praised (or mentioned, laudavit: see note to i. 7. 1) Soma; with the next verses (does this mean the verses from 6 to the end of the book? or to the end of the Sūryā-hymn proper, vss. 6-16?), her own wedding; with the hundredth verse (100 = 64 [vss. of h. 1] + 36 [vss. of h. 2]: hence xiv. 2. 36), the gods<sup>2</sup>; with the twenty-third verse (xiv. I. 23), moon and sun; with the next (xiv. I. 24), the moon.']

1 The RV. Anukr. also ascribes the corresponding RV. hymn (x. 85) to Sūryā Sāvitrī. |

<sup>2</sup>[This statement does not fit xiv. 2. 36. On the other hand, Dr. Ryder points out that it does fit xiv. 2. 46 and that the RV. Anukr. makes *devā*/<sub>4</sub> the deity of RV. x. 85. 17 (which = AV. xiv. 2. 46): and he accordingly offers the suggestion that *çatatamyā* may be a text-error for *daça-çatatamyā*.]

[The Major Anukr. continues: parā [?] (xiv. 1. 25-?) nrnām vivāhamantrāçisah. parā dehy (xiv. 1. 25) açlīlā tanūr (xiv. 1. 27) iti dve vadhūvāsahsamsparçamocanyāu. ye vadhva (xiv. 2. 10) iti yaksmanāçanī. parā (xiv. 2. 11) dampatyoh paripanthināçanī.] [The statements of the RV. Anukr. as to "deity" correspond quite closely with those just given, but with some differences: thus it says *-nindā* for *-mocanyāu*; etc. In particular, the description *nruām vivāhamantrā āçişaç ca* is applied by the RV. Anukr. to RV. x. 85. 20–28. All these 9 RV. verses have more or less close correspondents in AV. xiv.: they are, respectively, AV. xiv. 1. 61; 2. 33 (cf. RV. vss. 21 and 22); 1. 34; I. 19, 18, 20, 21, 26. All this, it seems, fails to square with the *parāț* of the text of our Anukr., and reinforces Mr. Whitney's suspicion (above, p. 739) that the arrangement of the verses contemplated by that text may have been different from that which appears in the Berlin edition. — In connection with this suspicion should be considered also the fact that the Anukr. adds at the end the *pratīkas* iii. 30. 1, ii. 36. 1, and xx. 126. 1: see below, p. 768.]

[In the Major Anukr., moreover, a curious addition is inserted after the definition of xiv. 1.60, as follows: (the text of its beginning is uncertain: *ity*? or *parāviny*?) *edhisīmahīti vyāghrādisv avagantavyah*. Cf. the introduction to xi. 1 and especially the note to xv. 5.7.]

#### 1. Marriage ceremonies.

[Sāvıtrī Sūryā. — ātmadāıvatam (1-5. somam astāut ; 6-[?]. svavivāham ; 23. somārkāu ; 24. candramasam ; -25[?]-[?]. nrnām vuvāhamantrāçisah ; 25, 27. vadhūvāsahsamsparçamocanyāu). [As to the foregoing, see above, p. 730.] ānustubham : 14. vurāt prastārapaūkti ; 15. āstārapaūkti ; 19, 20, 23, 24, 31-33, 37, 39, 40, 45, 47, 49, 50, 53, 56, 57, [58, 59, 61]. tristubh (23, 31, 45. brhatīgarbhā) ; 21, 46, 54, 64. jugatī (54, 64. bhurik tristubh); 29, 55. purastādhrhatī; 34. prastārapaūkti ; 38. purobrhatī 3-p. parosņuh ; [48. pathyāpaūkti ;] 60. parānustubh.]

The hymn (except vss. 4, 62, which are wanting altogether, and 41, 42, which occur in other books) is found also in Pāipp. xviii., with petty differences of order, noted under the verses. A large part of the *anuvāka* or hymn corresponds to the wedding hymn (x.85) in the Rig-Veda. The Vāit. does not treat the marriage ceremony, and only four or five of the verses of the book are quoted by it; but a large part of them are used in the sections (75-79) of the Kāuç. which deal with the subject.

Translated: in so far as it corresponds to RV. verses, by the RV. translators; further, the parts that are peculiar to our text, by Ludwig, p. 470; and, as AV. hymn, all of it, by Weber, *Ind. Stud.* v. 195-204 (see 178 ff.); Griffith, ii. 159. — A large part of the wedding-hymn is given in my *Sanskrit Reader*, pages 89-90: the notes thereon (at pages 389-390) may be consulted, and also the notes at pages 398-401.

I. By truth is the earth established (ut-stabh); by the sun is the sky established; by righteousness the Adityas stand; Soma is set (crita) upon the sky.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 1, without variant. The *pada*-text also reads *ittabhitā*, by Prāt. iv. 62, the s being omitted by ii. 18. Kāuç. directs vss. 1 and 23 to be used in preparing the sacrificial fire, at the beginning of the chapter on the marriage-rites (75.6: according to the comm., vss. 1–16 are meant, and 23–24); and again, near the end of the chapter (79.16), the whole book is directed to be so used. [Ppp. has *satvena* for *satyena* at the beginning.] [Cf. MP. i. 6. 1, and Wint., p. 66.]

2. By Soma are the Adityas strong; by Soma is the earth great; likewise in the lap of these asterisms is Soma placed  $(\bar{a}-dh\bar{a})$ .

Is RV. x. 85. 2, without variant. [Cf. MP. i. 9. 2; Wint., p. 74; MGS. i. 14. 8 and p. 157.]

3. One thinks himself to have drunk Soma when they crush up an herb; what Soma the priests (*brahmán*) know, of that no earthly man partakes.

RV. (x. 85. 3) reads at the end káç caná for pårthivas. In b, Bs.P.M.W.T. read -pişanti, D. -pişanti; Ppp. has -piçanti. The pratika is quoted in GB. i. 2.9 [printed 8].

4. When, O Soma, they drink thee [up], then thou fillest thyself up again; Vāyu is Soma's defender; the month is norm (*dkrti*) of the years (sámā).

RV. (x. 85. 5) reads *deva* for *soma* in **a**. The verse (as noted above) is wanting in Ppp.

5. Guarded by covering-arrangements, defended by watchmen (??bårhata), O Soma, thou standest hearing the pressing-stones; no earthly one partakes of thee.

Is RV. x. 85. 4, without variant. All this talk about the moon as identical with Soma at the beginning of the  $S\bar{u}ry\bar{a}$ -hymn seems very meaningless unless  $S\bar{u}ry\bar{a}$  is really the moon, who every month "goes to" her spouse the sun.

6. Intention (*citti*) was the pillow, sight was the ointment, heaven [and] earth were the coffer  $(k \delta ca)$ , when Sūryā went to her husband.

Is RV. x. 85. 7, without variant.

7. The  $r\bar{a}ibh\bar{i}$  was the parting [song] (??anudéy $\bar{i}$ ), the  $n\bar{a}r\bar{a}cans\bar{i}$  was the welcoming one (? $ny\delta can\bar{i}$ ); Sūryā's garment verily was excellent; she goes adorned with song ( $g\dot{a}th\bar{a}$ ).

Is RV. x. 85. 6, which reads at the end páriskrtam (p. páriskrtam) for páriskrtā (which our p. and s. both have). The translation given ventures new conjectures for anudéyī (lit. 'to be given after') and  $ny\delta canī$  (lit. 'making wonted or at home'); the Pet. Lexx. say 'dowry' [so BR. iii. 569, OB. i. 52: but cf. BR. i. 205 and v. 987] and 'ornament'; Ludwig 'vom Hause mitgegeben' and '[ins neue Haus] einfuhrend'; Weber, 'train' and 'hand-maid.'

8. The laudations (*stóma*) were the cross-pieces (?? *pratidhí*); meter was the *kurtra*, the *opaçá*; of Sūryā the Açvins were the wooers, Agni was the forerunner.

Is RV. x. 85. 8, without variant. For kurira and opa(d, women's head-dresses or parts of such, compare vi. 138. In this connection the commentators' explanation of*pratidht*"cross-pieces on the chariot-pole" is extremely unlikely; it must rather be some article of a woman's dress. Ppp. reads and combines*paridhayas k*-.

9. Soma was the bride-seeker; both Açvins were wooers, when Savitar gave to her husband Sūryā, praising (cais) with her mind.

Is RV. x. 85. 9, without variant, save that our *pada*-mss. falsely leave *adadāt* unaccented. 'Praising,' apparently 'assenting gladly.' Ppp. reads at end '*dadhāt*.

74 I

10. Mind was her cart; heaven also was [its] canopy; the two draftoxen were white (*cukrá*), when Sūryā went to her husband.

RV. (x. 85. 10) has at end grham instead of patim.

11. Haltered with verse  $(\bar{r}c)$  and chant (sdman), thy two oxen went peaceful (?s $\bar{a}mand$ ); ears were thy (two) wheels; in the sky the wandering track.

Abhihita seems to be the correlative to abhidhānī. Our 'ears' (p. çrótre iti) is a bad variant to RV. (x. 85. 11) çrótram, 'hearing.' RV. also has in **b** itas for āitām. We have to gain in **c** a syllable by harsh resolution in order to make a full pāda. Ppp. reads in **a** upahitāu.

12. Clean were the (two) wheels of thee as thou wentest; out-breathing  $(vy\bar{a}n\dot{a})$  was the inserted axle; a cart made of mind did Sūryā ascend when going forth to her husband.

Is RV. x. 85. 12, without variant. The *pada*-reading *manasmayam* in c is by Prāt. iv. 24. [Here Roth's Collation says "*çacī* wie Vulgata"!]

13. The bridal (*vahatú*) of Sūryā, which Savitar sent off (*ava-srj*), has gone forth; in the Maghās are slain the kine; in the Phalgunīs is the wedding.

RV. (x. 85. 13) reads in c aghâsu \* [Ppp. has the same], and hanyante without the antithetical accent which all our mss. give, and which our text ought to read, and, for **d**, drjunyoh páry uhyate. The Maghā stars are what we call the Sickle, in the neck of Leo; the Phalgunī stars are the rectangle  $\beta$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\delta$ , 93 Leonis; arjunī = phalgunī; the moon is in the latter either one or two days after it is in the former.<sup>†</sup> From such utterly indefinite data the attempt to extract a date is wasted labor. 'Is the wedding': *vy ùhyate* is the verb corresponding to *vivūha* 'wedding,' lit. 'driving away'; Ppp. reads instead *vu havyate*. The second half-verse is quoted in Kāuç. 75. 5, in the general definition of the time for wedding. [With reference to this much-discussed verse, see : Weber, in Abh. der Berliner Ak. for 1861 (Naksatra-essay), p. 364, and in Sb. for 1894, p. 804; Jacobi, Festgruss an Roth, p. 69; Wint., p. 32.]

\*[Weber discusses the readings aghåsu and maghåsu, and deems the RV. reading to be in this case the secondary one: Sh. 1894, p. 807.] †[Concerning these asterisms (no's 10, and 11, 12) see Whitney, JAOS. vi. 332-4, or Oriental and Linguistic Studies, ii. 352-3. It is not impertinent to note that the regents of the Phalgunīs are Bhaga and Aryaman, and that those of the Maghās are the Manes. For the latter, cf. TB. iii. 1. 4<sup>8</sup>: so 'tra juhoti : pitfibhyah svāhā, maghābhyah svāhā, 'naghābhyah svāhā, gadībhyah svāhā, 'rundhatībhyah svāhe, 'ti; but better TS. iv. 4. 10.]

14. When, O Açvins, ye went asking, with your three-wheeled [chariot], to Sūryā's bridal, where was one wheel of yours? where stood ye for pointing out?

The verse corresponds, without variant, to RV. x. 85. 14 a, b and 15 c, d. The sense of the questions is wholly obscure.

15. When ye went, O lords of beauty, unto the wooing of Sūryā, all the gods assented to that [deed] of yours; Pūshan as son chose a father.

This verse, again, corresponds to parts of two in the RV., namely x. 85. 15 a, b and r4 c, d; the only variant is that RV. reads  $pitar\bar{a}u$  for *-ram* in d, and Ppp.  $pitar\bar{a}vr$ -, which doubtless means the same. Metrically the verse is as much  $vir\bar{a}j$  as vs. 14.

16. The two wheels of thee, O Sūryā, the priests (brahmán) know seasonably; further, the one wheel that is in secret — that, verily, the enlightened (addhāti) know.

Is RV. x. 85. 16. All our mss. accent in a strye and in d [all save D.] vidih; our edition corrects both words to accordance with RV. Close correspondence with RV. x. 85 ceases with vs. 16, at the end of the Sūryā-hymn proper. [SPP. reads strye with all his authorities, and vidih with nearly all. He adds: "the correction to viduh is not inevitable." But I do not see how the accented form is to be rendered.]

17. We make offering to Aryaman of good connections, husbandfinder; like a gourd from its bond, from here I release, not from yonder.

This verse is found as RV. vii. 59 12, a late and ungenuine appendage to that hymn, and having no *pada*-text; its reading is very different, namely: *tryàmbakam yajāmahe* sugándhim puṣṭiwárdhanam : urwārukám iwa bándhanān mṛtyór mukṣīya mấ 'mṛtāt; and with this agree TS. (i. 8. 6<sup>2</sup>) and MS. (i. 10. 4), except that they accent sugandhim in **b**; VS. (iii. 60) has tryàmbakam in **a**; for **b**, sugandhim patividanam; for **d**, *itó mukṣīya mấ 'mútāḥ*. Ppp. has at end muñca mā 'mutaḥ. Vāit. 9. 19 quotes the RV. verse in the cāturmāsya ceremony, giving the text in full; Kāuç. 75. 22 makes our verse accompany an oblation offered when the wooer comes in. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in c (read -kdm 'va). [For 17, 18, cf. MP. i. 5. 7, and Wint., p. 56.]

18. I release [her] from here, not from yonder; I make her wellbound yonder, that she, O gracious Indra, may be rich in sons, wellportioned.

Is RV. x. 85. 25, without variant [save that our text does not give muñcāmi the antithetical accent]. Prāt. ii. 65 teaches the combination *-tas karam*. Ppp. begins *pre 'to* muñcata mā 'mutah. The mantrapātha [MP. i. 4. 5] of the Apastamba Grhya-Sūtra (see Winternitz, Altind. Hochzeitsrituell etc., p. 54) has a varying version, reading in a muñcāti mā [Oxford text ná], and in b karat.

19. I release thee from Varuṇa's fetter, with which the very propitious Savitar bound thee; in the lair  $(y \delta ni)$  of righteousness, in the world of the well-done, be it pleasant for thee accompanied by the wooer  $(-sambhal \delta)$ .

The first three pādas are the same with RV. x. 85. 24 a-c, the only RV. variant being suçévah at end of b; for d, RV. has áristāmi tvā sahá pátyā dadhāmi. TS. (i. 1. 10<sup>2</sup>; iii. 5. 6<sup>1</sup>) has a nearly corresponding verse: imám ví syāmi várunasya pāçami yám ábadhnīta savitā sukétah: dhātúç ca yónāu sukrtásya loké syonám me sahá pátyā karomi. Our first half-verse is repeated below as 58 a, b; and the pratika quoted in Vāit. 4. 11 doubtless belongs to the latter, and not to this verse as assigned by the editor. On the other hand, the pratika quoted in Kāuç. 75. 23, used in connection with loosing the scarf (vesta) tied about the bride, doubtless belongs here. The Āpastambatext (Winternitz, p. 63) gives two slightly differing versions of the verse [MP. i. 5. 16].

Ppp. puts the verse next after our vs. 16; [but further it makes our 19 c, d change place with our 58 c, d, reading, however, 'stu sahapatnī vadhū for our astu sahāsam-bhalāyāi].

20. Let Bhaga lead thee hence, grasping thy hand; let the Açvins carry thee forth by a chariot; go to the houses, that thou mayest be housewife; thou, having control, shalt speak unto the council.

RV. (x. 85. 26) begins with  $p\bar{u}s\bar{a}$  instead of *bhágas*. In Kāuç. (76. 10) the verse accompanies the leading of the bride out of her house.

21. Let what is dear succeed (*sam-rdh*) here for thy progeny; watch thou over this house in order to housewife ship; mingle thy self (*tant*) with this husband; then shalt thou in advanced age speak to the council.

RV. (x. 85. 27) reads in **a** prajdyā (as does also Ppp.), and in **c-d** srjasvā 'dhā jturī vidātham ā vadāthah. Our **d** is the same with viii. 1.6 **d** above, and our mss. here also read *jtrvis* (except Bs.I., *jtuis*), which ought to have been adopted in our text. The Apastamba text (Winternitz, p. 74  $\lfloor MP. i. 9. 4 \rfloor$ ) has *jīvrī*. The verse, with several others, is quoted in Kāuç. 77. 20 in connection with the bride's entering her new abode. The verse lacks two syllables of being a full *jagatī*.  $\lfloor Vs.$  discussed by Bloomfield, JAOS. xix.<sup>2</sup> 14; cf. Baūnack, KZ. xxxv. 495, 499.  $\rfloor$ 

22. Be ye (two) just here; be not separated; attain your whole lifetime, sporting with sons [and] grandsons, rejoicing, well-homed.

RV. (x. 85. 42) reads *své grhé* instead of *svastakāú*, and Ppp. has the same. Ppp. also has *dīrgham* for *viçvam* in **b**. [Cf. MP. 1.8.8 and note.]

23. These two move on one after the other by magic; two sporting young ones go about the ocean; the one looks abroad upon all beings; thou, the other, disposing the seasons art born new.

24. Ever new art thou, being born; sign of the days, thou goest to the apex of the dawns; thou disposest to the gods their share as thou comest; thou extendest, O moon, a long life-time.

These two verses are repeated here from vii. 81. 1, 2; [see the notes to those verses: also the Anukr. extracts at p. 739, ¶ 4, which refer vs. 23 to sun and moon and vs. 24 to the moon]. Most of verse 23 we had also as xiii. 2. 11. In order to make sure that the two right ones are reproduced, all our mss. read here parvāparánin návonavalin(instead of, as usual, <math>parvāparánin tit dvd). They are RV. x. 85. 18, 19, and are found also in other texts, as to which and the various readings see the notes to vii. 81. 1, 2. Ppp. has in 23 d (with RV.) jāyate punali, and in 24 (also with RV.) bhavati, eti, and dadhāti (but apparently tirase). In Kāuç. 75. 6, vs. 23 (according to the comm., both 23 and 24) is used with vs. 1; in 79. 28, vs. 64 is allowed instead of vs. 24, in case the latter is not known.

25. Give thou away the *çāmulyà*; share out goods to the priests (*brahmán*); it, becoming a walking (*padvánt*) witchcraft, enters the husband [as] a wife.

RV. (x. 85. 29) differs only by reading  $bh\bar{u}tv\bar{t}$  in **c**; our *pada*-text has  $bh\bar{u}tv\bar{a}:\bar{a}:j$ . According to Kāuç. 79. 20, the verse accompanies the giving away of the bride's

–xiv. 1

undergarment, which is regarded as extremely ill-omened if not so disposed of and explated by gifts to the Brahmans. [Cf. the Anukr. extracts, p. 739, end.]  $\zeta \bar{a}mulya$  is defined in the Pet. Lexx. as "a woolen shirt," as identical with  $\zeta \bar{a}m\bar{u}la$ , which is so defined by the comm. to LÇS. ix. 4. 7. The Apastamba text (Winternitz, p. 100 [MP. i. 17.7]) reads instead  $\zeta \bar{a}balya$ .

26. It becomes blue-red; [as] witchcraft, infection, it is driven away (?); her relations  $(j\bar{n}ati)$  thrive; her husband is bound in bonds.

Is RV. x. 85. 28, without variant. Vy djyate is translated as coming from root aj instead of  $a\bar{n}j$ , 'is smeared.' Pāda a perhaps refers to the bloody discoloration of the garment; d to its ill effects if not duly expiated. The Ap.-text (Wint., p. 67 [MP. i. 6. 8]) has for a *nīlalohitė bhavatal*, as if the garments were two. RV. and AV. *pada*-texts have *āsaktl*, undivided.

27. Unlovely becomes [his] body, glistening in that evil way, when the husband wraps his own member with the bride's garment.

**RV.** (x. 85. 30) reads at the beginning a crir d, and at the end *-dhltsate*; Ppp. also has a crir d; [and *tanus* for *tanus*]. Most of our mss. (all save P.M.W.) give v d s a s a s a cordingly more probably to be regarded as the AV. reading. [So SPP. with all his authorities.] [The Berlin ed. has <math>v d s a s d, to accord with the RV.]  $A \bar{n} g a$  might mean 'body' (so the translators). [For vss. 27, 28, 29, cf. respectively MP. i. 17. 8, 10, 9, and see Wint., p. 100.]

28. Carving on, carving open, also cutting over apart; see the forms of Sūryā; them also the priest (*brahmán*) cleans (*cumbh*).

RV. (x. 85. 35) reads at the end *tii (undhati* [cf. BR. vii. 261, top]. Weber [p. 190] sees in the verse a comparison of the blood on the bride's garment with that from the sacrificial victim when dismembered, the priest having power to cleanse both stains away.

29. Harsh is that, sharp, barbed, poisoned; that is not to be eaten; what priest (*brahmán*) knows Sūryā, he indeed deserves the bride's [garment].

RV. (x. 85. 34) inserts another *etát* after *kátukam*, and reads *vidyất* for *véda* in c. The omission of *kátukam* (with, in RV., *etát*) would rectify the meter of a; as it stands, it is an extremely poor "*brhatī*" pāda. *Áttave* 'to be eaten' is very strange here. Sūryā in c is generally understood to mean 'the Sūryā-hymn.'

The following four verses are found in no other text.

30. That priest verily takes this garment, pleasant, well-omened, who goes over the expiation, by whom the wife takes no harm.

The *pada*-text reads *pråyaçcittim*, undivided; if we had *-ttam*, *yéna* would apply to it, instead of to *brahmå*. Ppp. reads, for **a**, **b**: sa väi tam syono harati brahma väsas sumañgalan.

31. Do ye (two) bring together a successful (sámrddha) portion, speaking right in right-speakings; O Brahmaņaspati, make the husband shine (*ruc*) for her; let the wooer (sambhalá) speak this speech agreeably (cáru).

According to Kāuç. 75.8, 9, this verse is addressed to the wooer and his companion, when they are sent out to win the bride; the second half-verse to the priest (who is one of them?). 'Make shine,' doubtless 'set in a favorable light.' The verse [scanned by the Anukr. as 11 + 9: 12 + 12 = 44] may best be read as 11 + 11: 12 + 12; [but **d** has a bad cadence]. Ppp. reads *mrtyodyena* at end of **b**, and *sumbhalo* in **d**.

32. May ye be just here; may ye not go away; may ye, O kine, increase this man with progeny; going in beauty, ruddy, with soma-splendor — may all the gods turn (kr) your minds hither.

In Kāuç. 79. 17 this verse (according to the commentators, this and the next) seems to be directed to accompany the paying (in kine) the price demanded for the bride; but surely that cannot have been its original sense. The first pāda is identical with iii. 8. 4 a; c has a redundant syllable. The *pada*-text writes *çúbham : yatlh*, but the expression is, so far as accent is concerned, treated as if a compound : compare 2. 52 below. No reason is discoverable for the accent of *krán* in **d**.

33. May ye, O kine, enter this man together with progeny; this man minisheth  $(m\bar{i})$  not the share of the gods; for this man shall Pūshan, and all the Maruts, for this man shall Dhātar, Savitar quicken  $(s\bar{u})$  you.

Ppp. reads *viçadhvum* at end of **a**. This verse indicates distinctly that the preceding one is meant as a wish for prosperity in respect to kine.

34. Free from thorns, straight, let the roads be by which [our] comrades go a-wooing for us; together with Bhaga, together with Aryaman — let Dhātar unite [us] with splendor.

The first half-verse is RV. x. 85. 23 a, b, which, however, reads [with MP.] p anthas for [our metrically bad]-thānas; the second half goes on: sâm aryamā sâm bhágo no niniyāt etc. [cf. MP. i. 1. 2]; our text is a foolish and inconsistent substitute. Kāuç. 77. 3 gives the verse, with 2. 11, as to be used when the bridal train starts off home; in 75. 12 it [according to Daça Kar., only the first half-verse] is made to accompany the sending out of a guard for the bride. [Cf. Wint., p. 40.]

35. Both what splendor is placed in dice, and what in strong drink — what splendor, O Açvins, is in kine, with that splendor favor (av) ye this woman.

All our mss. accent  $a_{i}vin\bar{a}$  in c; our edition makes the necessary correction to  $a_{i}vin\bar{a}$ . [SPP. adopts and defends the reading  $a_{i}vin\bar{a}$ .] Ppp. puts the verse after our vs. 36. The Anukr. does not heed that the first pāda lacks a syllable. According to Kāuç. 75. 27, this verse, with 43 below, is used in connection with pouring of water on the bride; and again, in 139. 15, this and the next, with several others from different books, accompany a libation [in the fire] in the ceremony of initiation into Vedic study; both also (35, 36) are reckoned as belonging to the varcasya gana (see note to Kāuç. 13. 1). [Cf. ix. 1. 18; vi. 69. 1.]

36. With what [splendor] the backsides of the courtezan (mahānagnī), O Açvins, or with what the strong drink, with what the dice were flooded (*abhi-sic*), with that splendor favor ye this woman.

-xiv. I

That is, apparently, give her all the attractions which these various seductive things are known to possess. 'Courtezan,'lit. 'great naked woman,' emending to *-nagnyås*. [but all authorities, both SPP's and W's, have *-naghnyås*]. The verse has a distant likeness to one in PGS. ii. 6. 12. The *s* of *asicyanta* is by Prāt. ii. 92, where this example is quoted in the commentary. The redundant syllable in the first pāda passes unheeded by the Anukr. For the use of the verse in Kāuç. see the note to the preceding verse. Ppp. puts the verse before our 35 as noted above, and the ms. reads for **a**: *yan mā nagnā jaghnam*.

37. He who shines  $(d\bar{i})$  without fuel within the waters, whom the devout (*vipra*) praise at the sacrifices (adhvará) — O child of the waters, mayest thou give waters rich in honey, with which Indra increased, full of heroism.

The verse is RV. x. 30. 4, which accents *didayat*, and reads at the end *viryàya*. Ppp. combines in a yo 'nudhmo. Kāuç. 75. 14 makes the verse accompany the piercing (*pra-vyadh*) of a stick of wood (*loga*) in the water.

38. Now do I remove  $(apa-\bar{u}h)$  the glistening seizer  $(gr\bar{a}bh\dot{a})$ , body-spoiling; what sheen is excellent, that I draw up (ud-ac).

Ppp. reads in the first half-verse tanūdūsim athi nudāmi. For its second half it has yaç *ivo bhadro rocanas tena tvām api nudāmi*, making a fair half-anustubh. According to Kāuç. 75. 15, 16, the thing (the pierced piece of wood) is removed with the first two pādas; and with the third water is drawn up (anvipam 'in the direction of the current') and is then presented with vs. 39. The verse (9+8:11=28) is described by the Anukr. with mechanical correctness.

39. Let the Brahmans take for her [water] for bathing; let them draw up (?) waters that slay not a hero; let her go about the fire of Aryaman, O Pūshan; father-in-law and brother-in-law are looking on (*prati-īks*).

The translation implies the obvious emendation of *ajantu* to *acantu* in **b**. [Cf. the MP. reading *acantu*, and also xi. 1. 2, where *våcam* answers to the RV. reading *våjam*.] There is also something wrong about **d**, where a plural verb is made to agree with two singular subjects. The Apast. *mantra*-text (Wint., p. 43 [MP. i. 1. 7-8]) has in both pādas (as well as in other respects) better readings:  $\hat{a}$  'syāt brāhmaņāh snápanam harantu: dvūraghnīr úd acantv åpah\*: aryamuó agním pári yantu kṣiprám práti "kṣantām çvaqrúvo devárāç ca. Ppp. reads in **a-b**ā 'smāi harantu snapanam brahmaņā' vīr-; and in **c**, 'gnim pary eti kṣipram. [The kṣipram of Ppp. and MP. suggests that] our pūsan in **c** may be a corruption for *oṣám*; [cf. also vii. 73. 6 **a**]. The use of the verse by Kāuç. 75. 17 was noticed in the preceding note; in 76. 20, the second half-verse accompanies the leading of the bride thrice about the fire (in Apast. the laying of a ring of *darbha*-grass upon her head). The Anukr. does not heed the lack of a syllable in **b**. **\***[Oxford text āpaḥ: misprint?]

40. Weal be to thee gold, and weal be waters; weal be the post (*methi*), weal the perforation (*tardman*) of the yoke; weal be for thee the waters having a hundred cleansers (*-pavitra*); for weal, too, mingle thyself with thy husband.

747

Ppp. is much corrupted in this verse, but can be seen to read *metis* for *methis* in **b**; in **c** it combines  $t\bar{a}$  "*pah*, and in **d** it omits *u*. In Kāuç. 76. 12, the verse is muttered (according to the *paddhati*, it and the following verse) while the bride is bound to the right yoke-pole and the left yoke-hole, and a piece of gold is fastened to her forehead. Purification by the yoke-hole (apparently growing out of the occurrence of the next verse in RV.) plays a part in various versions of the marriage-rites; [cf. note to vs. 41]. Apast. (Wint., p. 44 [MP. i. 1. 10]) has this same verse with unimportant variations (*medhī* in **b**, etc). [Cf. Wint., p. 46.] The verse (11 + 12 : 11 + 11 = 45) is slightly irregular [but has *tristubh*-cadences throughout].

41. In the hole of the chariot, in the hole of the cart, in the hole of the yoke, O thou of a hundred activities, having thrice purified Apālā, O Indra, thou didst make her sun-skinned.

The verse is RV. viii. 80 (91). 7, which has for sole variant  $p\bar{u}tv\bar{t}$  in c. Prāt. ii. 64 prescribes the combination *tris p*-, but part of our mss. (O.R.K.) read *trih*. The Apast. version (Wint., p. 43 [MP. i. 1. 9]) is quite corrupt. [Cf. MGS. i. 8. 11 and p. 149.] In Ppp. the verse is not found among the marriage verses, but in book iv., [and without variant]. [For a careful treatment of the Apālā story, see H. Oertel, in JAOS. xviii.<sup>1</sup> 26.] [The MP. version of this verse furnishes Böhtlingk occasion for some interesting general critical remarks, *Berichte der sachsischen Gesellschaft*, Feb. 5, 1898, p. 4.]

42. Hoping for well-willing, offspring, good-fortune, wealth, becoming obedient (*ánuvrata*) to thy husband, gird thyself in order to immortality.

This verse also is found in Ppp. away from the rest, in book xx., and with much difference of text: thus, **b-d**, *praco bahur atho balam*: *indrāņy anuvratā san nahye amṛtāya kam*. In Kāuç. 76. 7, the verse is used, with 2. 70, when the bride is girded with a bond, a yoke-rope (*yoktra*). The Apast. version (Wint., p. 45  $\lfloor MP. i. 2.7 \rfloor$ ) has *tanām* for *rayim* in **b**, *agnér* for *pátyur* in **c**, and, for **d**, *sám nahye sukṛtāya kám*. Vāit. 2. 6, again, makes it accompany the girding of the sacrificer's wife at the sacrifice.  $\lfloor In$  the Berlin ed., correct *kam* to *kám*.  $\rfloor$ 

43. As the Lmighty  $(?visā) \rfloor$  river (sindhu) won (?si) the supremacy of the streams (nadi), so be thou supreme (samrajni), having gone away to thy husband's home.

Perhaps *sindhu* should be rendered 'Indus' (so Zimmer, p. 317; Weber, p. 199). Susure, lit. 'impelled for one's self,' is employed here in an unusual sense; the word is quoted as example under Prāt. ii. 91; iv. 82. Ppp. reads *sūsure vṛkāt*. By Kāuç. 75. 27, the verse accompanies the emergence of the bride from the bath (with vs. 35, above).

44. Be thou supreme among fathers-in-law, supreme also among brothers-in-law; be thou supreme over sister-in-law, supreme also over mother-in-law.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 46, which, however, reads for **a**, s.  $(vdcure \ bhava;$  for **b**, s.  $(vacrvam \ bhava;$  for **c**. ndnandari s. bhava; for **d**, s.  $ddhi \ devrsu;$  and MB. (i. 2. 20) agrees throughout with RV.  $(cvacrvam \ in \ b \ must \ be a \ blunder)$ . [MP. i. 6. 6 follows RV., but with  $cvacruvam \ in \ b:$  cf. Wint., p. 66.]

45. They (f.) who spun, wove, and who stretched [the web], what divine ones (f.) gave the ends about, let them wrap thec in order to old age; [as] one long-lived put about thee this garment.

Ppp. combines in  $a y\bar{a}$  'krntan. The verse is found also in PGS. (i. 4. 13), HGS. (i. 4. 2), MB. (i. 1. 5). All end a with  $y\bar{a}$  atanvata; in b, all insert ca after  $y\bar{a}s$ ; and PGS. reads tantūn abhito \* tatantha, and MB. devyo antān abhito tatantha; for c, they have tās tvā devīr (MB. devyo) jarasā (PGS. -se) samvyayantv (PGS. -yasva); in d, only HGS. has  $\bar{a}yusman$ . [Cf. MP. ii. 2. 5, and Wint., p. 47, and MGS. i. 10. 8 and p. 154.] The verse has an extra syllable in a which the Anukr. does not notice. In Kāuç. 76.4, this and vs. 53 accompany the putting of a hitherto unused garment upon the bride. [The same two vss. are referred to by the name paridhāpaniye at 79. 13: so the schol.] \*[This sandhi is of course not to be laid at the door of the accurate Stenzler: it is doubtless the true reading of PGS., and occurs (not only in MB, but also) in Bhavadeva's Paddhati, as Stenzler observes in his Transl., p. 12.]

[The corruption of *abhito* 'dadanta (so AV.) to *abhito tatantha* (PGS. MB. Bhavadeva) is of peculiar text-critical interest, not merely because it is a senseless and unintelligent perversion, but because it is revealed as a corruption by the ignorant failure of the persons responsible for it to change their sandhi in such a way (*abhitas tatantha*) as to fit their blunder. — This interest is heightened by the fact that we can see the probable occasion of the perversion, to wit, the occurrence in the preceding pāda of the words for 'spun,' 'wove,' 'stretched web' (root *tan*). These technical terms of clothmaking lend a semblance of appropriateness to the introduction of *tantūn tan* 'stretch the warp' in pāda b.— Roth had already booked *tatantha* among the cases of exchange between sonants and surds at ZDMG. xlviii. 108.]

46. They bewail the living one (m.); they lead away the sacrifice (adhvará); the men sent their thoughts after [root  $dh\bar{i} \dots dnu$ ] a long reach (prásiti); what is lovely  $(v\bar{a}md)$  for the Fathers who came together here; joy to the husbands for embracing the wife.

This is a literal version of this extremely obscure verse. RV. (x. 40. 10) reads in **a** vt mayante adhvaré; in **b**, the equivalent dīdhiyus [so also Ppp.]; in **c**, the equivalent sameriré; in **d**, jánayas (for our jandye, which might better have been emended in the edition to jánaye); [Ppp. reads and combines janayas]. The Apast. text (Wint., p. 42 [MP. i. 1. 6]) reads at the beginning jīvām. The verse is used, with 2. 59, in Kāuç. 79. 30, simply to accompany a libation, at the very close of the marriage rites. In two Sūtras (AGS. [i. 8. 4] and ÇGS. [i. 15. 2]) it is directed to be used when the bride, on the journey to her new home, wails or cries; this is plainly only on account of the word 'bewail' (rudanti) at the beginning. [Cf. Lanman's Skt. Reader, p. 387; Winternitz, p. 42; and Bloomfield, who devotes 9 pages to the stanza in AJP. xxi. 411-9.]

47. I maintain for thee, in order to progeny, a pleasant, firm (*dhruvá*) stone in the lap of the divine earth; stand thou on that, one to be exulted after, of excellent glory; let Savitar make for thee a long life-time.

Ppp. puts syonam after dhruvam in a, reads prthinyām in b, and tam ā rohā 'numadyā suvīrā for c, and tvā for te in d [i.e., it has tvāyus for ta āyus]. In Kāuç. 76. 15, the first half-verse accompanies the setting of a stone in a lump of dung, and in 76. 16 the second accompanies the stepping of the bride upon it: this at the bride's home; and the same is repeated (Kāuç. 77. 17, 19) in the new home of the pair after their arrival there.  $P\bar{a}da a has 12$  syllables, unnoticed by the Anukr.

48. Wherewith Agni grasped the right hand of this earth, therewith grasp I thy hand; do not stagger in company with me, with both progeny and riches.

The last pāda  $\lfloor prajayā$  etc.  $\rfloor$  is wanting in Ppp.,  $\lfloor$  which puts the vs. after 50  $\rfloor$ . The verse accompanies in Kāuç. 76. 19 the seizing of the bride's hand to lead her about the fire. The Anukr. seems to overlook the vs.; though, if the last pāda were omitted, it would fall under the general definition of the hymn, as an *anuṣṭubh*.  $\lfloor$  As to vss. 48-51, cf. Wint., p. 48 f. For the *pāṇigrahaṇa*, he cites Rāmāyaṇa, i. 75 (Gorresio: or i. 73 Schlegel).  $\rfloor$ 

49. Let god Savitar grasp thy hand; let king Soma make thee to have good offspring; let Agni, Jātavedas, make the spouse well-portioned, long-lived, for her husband.

Ppp. has this verse next after our vs. 47, by removing  $\lfloor as noted \rfloor$  48 to after 50. The Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency of two syllables in c.

50. I grasp thy hand in order to good-fortune, that with me as husband thou mayest be long-lived; Bhaga, Aryaman, Savitar, Purandhi  $\lfloor p \hat{u}ramdhi \rfloor$ — the gods have given thee to me in order to housewifeship.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 36, which varies only by reading at the beginning grbhnåmı. MB. (i. 2. 16) has precisely the RV. form of the verse; HGS. (i. 20. 1) and Apast. (Wint., p. 49 [MP. i. 3. 3]) read in a suprajāstvāya, and HGS. has also grhnāmi and (at end of b) asat. [Cf. MGS. i. 10. 15 a, and p. 150.] [As to puramdhi, cf. WZKM. iii. 268; and Pischel, Ved. Stud. i. 202-216.]

51. Bhaga hath grasped thy hand; Savitar hath grasped thy hand; thou art [my] spouse by ordinance (*dhárman*), I thy house-lord.

Ppp. reads  $dh\bar{a}t\bar{a}$  for bhagas in **a**, inserts te before hastam in **b**, and adds after **b** two pādas: bhagas te h. a. and aryamā te h. a., [then finishing with our **c**, **d**]. One of the subsidiary treatises (see note to Kāuç. 76. 10) substitutes the verse for vs. 20 above (see note there).

52. Be this woman mine, bringing prosperity  $(p \delta s y a)$ ; Brihaspati hath given thee to me; in company with me [as husband] do thou live, rich in offspring, a hundred autumns.

Bp. and Bs.p.m. give in c (as does Ppp.) prajāvatī, and I.K. prajāvatī; prajāvatī is evidently the preferable reading; [and is implied in the translation]. [Of SPP's authorities, 4 have prajāvatī against 6 with prajāvati (which latter he adopts): but not less than 7 have (like W's I.K.) the impossible prajāvati, which supports both readings or neither!] The verse is found also in PGS. i. 8. 19, and in a *khila* to RV. x. 85 (Aufrecht<sup>2</sup>, p. 682); both have prajāvatī; in **a**, both have dhruvāi 'dhi posye (RV. -syā) mayi. [See also MP. i. 8. 9: that also has prajāvatī.] 53. Tvashtar disposed (*vi-dhā*) the garment for beauty, by direction of Brihaspati, of the poets; therewith let Savitar and Bhaga envelop this woman, like Sūryā, with progeny.

In Kāuç. 76. 4, this verse is used with vs. 45, above [which see], with dressing the bride in a new garment [cf. Wint., p. 47]; and the same is repeated in Kāuç. 79. 13 at another point in the ceremonies. The full number of syllables is to be obtained in **b** only by a harsh resolution. Ppp. has in c nāryam [cf. note to vs. 59], and at the end the decidedly better reading prajāyāi.

54. Let Indra-and-Agni, heaven-and-earth, Mātariçvan, Mitra-and-Varuņa, Bhaga, both Açvins, Brihaspati, the Maruts, the *bráhman*, Soma, increase this woman with progeny.

Ppp. has again  $n\bar{a}ryam$  in **d**. Only **a** is a real *jagatī* pāda, even by number of syllables (and doubtless we are to read -prthvi); the second definition of it in the Anukr. notices this.

55. Brihaspati first prepared (k!p) the hairs on the head of Sūryā; with this, O Açvins, do we thoroughly adorn (cubh) this woman for her husband.

It looks as if *prathamás* were an intrusion in **a**. [In **c**, Ppp. has for a third time  $n\bar{a}rya\bar{m}$ .] In Kāuç. 79. 14 the verse is made to accompany the parting of the bride's hair with a blade of *darbha*-grass; according to the *paddhati*, this verse and the next are used together for the purpose.

56. This [is] that form in which the young woman  $(y \delta s a)$  dressed herself; I desire to know with [my] mind the wife (j a y d) moving about; I will go after her with nine-fold (?návagva) comrades: who, knowing, unloosened (vi-crt) these fetters?

This obscure verse gets no light from Ppp., the other texts, or the sutras. The padatext reads in c dnu : artisye; doubtless it is only a contraction for dnu vartisye.

57. I loosen (*vi-sā*) in me the form of her; he verily shall know, seeing the nest of mind; I eat not stolenly; I was freed (*ud-muc*) by mind, myself untying (*crath*) the fetters of Varuna.

Ppp. reads at the end  $p\bar{a}cam$ . This verse and doubtless the next (its *pratīka*, which is *pra tvā muũcāmi*, would also designate vs. 19 above) are used, with vii. 78. I, by Vāit. 4. II, to accompany the ungirding of the sacrificer's wife. Both are used also by Kāuç. 76. 28 with the ungirding of the bride.

58. I release thee from Varuna's fetter, with which the very propitious Savitar bound thee; wide space (loki), an easy road here, do I make for thee, O bride (vadhi), with thy husband.

The first half-verse is identical with vs. 19 a, b, and corresponds with RV. x. 85. 24 a, b (which reads at end succeral). Ppp. reads for  $\mathbf{a}$ -b imāmi vi syāmi varuņasya pāçami tena traā etc.; [cf. the TS. version of our 19 a]. [As noted under vs. 19, Ppp. makes our 58 c, d change place with our 19 c, d, reading, however, sūgam itra for our sugām ātra and sahapatnī vadhūļi for our sahāpatnyāi vadhu.] Vss. 58, 59, 61 appear to be overlooked by the Anukr., probably by a loss of something out of the text: this (11 + 11 : 10 + 12 = 44) is an irregular *tristubh*; the longer form *panthanam* would relieve the difficulty: cf. vs. 34, where, as between the longer and shorter equivalent forms, our text is most clearly at fault ].

59. Raise ye [your weapons]; may ye smite away the demon; set this woman in what is well done; inspired Dhātar found for her a husband; let king Bhaga go in front, foreknowing.

[Ppp. combines **a**-**b** thus: *hanāthe imām*; and that is followed by] *nāryam* [for *nārīm*, as in 53, 54, 55\*] in **b**. Kāuç. 76. 32 uses vss. 59, 60, 62 at the setting out of the bride for her new home. This verse also is an irregular *triṣṭubh* (11 + 10:12 + 11 = 44). \*[Cf. the Ppp. variant *bhāmyam* for *bhāmim*, xiii. 2. 40, 41.]

60. Bhaga fashioned the four feet; Bhaga fashioned the four framepieces (iisyala); Tvashtar adorned (pic) the straps (ivárdhra) along in the middle; let her be to us of excellent omen.

Kāuç. uses the verse not only as stated in the preceding note, but also (76.25), more properly, with 2.31, when the bride mounts the couch (*talpa*). Ppp. reads in **a** *padas*; in **b**, *catvāry aspadāni*; in **c**, *madhyato varadhrām*. [For *úsyala*, cf. note to vi. 139.3.] [For the addition to the Anukr. at this point, see above, p. 740, ¶ 2, and especially the note to xv. 5.7.]

61. The well-flowered (*sukinçuká*), all-formed bridal<sub>s</sub>car (*vahatú*), goldencolored, well-rolling, well-wheeled, do thou mount, O Sūryā, to the world of the immortal; make thou a bridal-car pleasant to husbands.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 20, which reads *calmalim* in **a** for *vahatúm*, and in **d** *pátye* for *pátibhyas*, and *krņusva* for *krņu tvám*. MB. (i. 3. 11) also has *calmalim*, *patye*, and *krņusva*, but further in **b** *suvarņavarņam sukrtam*, and in **c** *nābhim* for *lokam*. [Cf. MP. i. 6. 4; MGS. i. 13. 6 and p. 157.] Kāuç. 77. 1 combines it with 2. 30, as used when the bride is made to mount the vehicle that takes her to her new home. Ppp. has in **c** *sukrtasya loke*. The verse is a good *tristubh*.

62. Her, not brother-slaying, O Varuņa; not cattle-slaying, O Brihaspati; not husband-slaying, possessing sons, O Indra — bring [her] for us, O Savitar.

The Apast text (Wint, p. 41 [MP. i. 1. 3]) has a corresponding but quite different verse: reading *dpatighnīm* in **b**, and, for **c**. **d**, *Indrā 'putraghnīm lakṣmyàm tām asyāi savitaḥ suva*. The Anukr. does not heed the deficiency of a syllable in **a**. For the use of the verse in Kāuç. (76. 32), see the note to vs. 59. It is wanting (as above noticed) in Ppp.

63. Injure ye not the maiden  $(kum\bar{a}r\bar{t})$ , ye (two) pillars, on the godmade road; the door of the divine house we make pleasant, a road for the bride.

Or, 'we make a pleasant road' etc. In Kāuç. 77. 20, the verses 2. 26; 1. 21, 63, 64, in this order, are used to accompany the bride's stepping forward into the house.  $\lfloor Cf. Wint., p. 72, top. \rfloor$ 

64. Let the *bráhman* be yoked after, the *bráhman* before, the *bráhman* at the end, in the middle, the *bráhman* everywhere; going forward to an impenetrable stronghold of the gods, do thou (f.), propitious, pleasant, bear rule in thy husband's world.

Besides the use of the verse in Kāuç. 77. 20, as noticed just above, it is quoted, with 2. 8, in 77. 2, when the bride sets out, with a Brahman in front. In 79. 28, it is allowed to be substituted for vs. 23; and in that case (? 79. 32) the ceremony is called *brāhmya* instead of *sāurya*.

[Here ends the first anuväka, with 1 hymn (but see page 739, top) and 64 verses. The quoted Anukr. says  $\bar{a}dyah$  sāuryaç catuhsastih (see p. 738).]

# 2. Marriage ceremonies (continued).

[Sāvitrī Sūryā. — ātmadāivatam (10. yakşmanāçanī; 11. dampatyoņ paripanthināçanī; 36 [?]. devān astāut). [As to the foregoing statements, see above, page 739, ¶'s 8, 4, 5] ānuştubham: 5, 6, 12, 31, 37, 39, 40. jagatī (37, 39. bhurik tristubh); 9. 3-av. 6-p. virād atyaştı; 13, 14, 17-19, [34. 36, 38,] 41, 42, 49, 61, 70, 74, 75. tristubh; 15, 51. bhurij; 20. purastādbrhatī; 13 [1], 24, 25, 32, 33 [1]. furobrhatī; [26. 3-p. virāņ nāma gāyatrī;] 33. virād āstārapaūkti; 35. purobrhatī tristubh; 43. tristubgarbhā paūkti; 44. prastārapaūkti; [47. pathyābrhatī;] 48. satahpaūkti [see under the verse]; [50. uparistādbrhatī nicrt;] 52. virāt parosņih; 59, 60, 62. pathyāpaūkti; [68. pura-usnih;] 69. 3-av. 6-p. atiçakvarī; 71. brhatī.]

The Anukramani, as we have it, omits the description of several of the verses (26, 34, 36, 38, 47, 50, 68); [and, on the other hand, it defines verses 13 and 33 each twice, each once right and once wrong;] and it mixes the order of others [compare Whitney's remarks, above, page 739,  $\P$  3, and mine, page 740, top].

The verses (except 50, 58) of this *anuvāka* or hymn are found also in Pāipp. xviii. (for slight differences of order, see under the verses). [About a dozen verses of this *anuvāka* or hymn also occur in the RV. wedding-hymn, x. 85.] Only one verse (47) is used by Vāit., but nearly all by Kāuç.

Translated: parts, of course, by the RV. translators; and the parts peculiar to our text by Ludwig, p. 472; and, as AV. hymn, by Weber (as above), *Ind. Stud.* v. 204-217. For vss. 59-62, see Bloomfield, AJP. xi. 336-341, or JAOS. xv., p. xliv, = PAOS. for Oct. 1890.

1. For thee in the beginning they carried about Sūryā, together with the bridal-car; mayest thou, O Agni, give to us husbands the wife, together with progeny.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 38, RV. reading *punal* for så nah in c. All our mss. accent in **d** *ägne*, but it has been emended to *agne* in our edition. Ppp. agrees with RV. in having in c *punas pat*. [Cf. PGS. i. 7. 3; MP. i. 5. 3; MGS. i. 11. 12 b, and p. 150.] Kāuç. 78. 10 quotes this verse with 45 below, both preceded by vi. 78. 1, and followed by a long prose-passage, when the pair approach the priest to receive a sort of baptism.

2. Agni hath given back the spouse, together with life-time, with splendor; of long life-time, may he who is the husband of her live a hundred autumns.

Is RV. x. 85. 39, without variant. [Cf. MP. i. 5. 4; MGS. i. 11. 12 c, and p. 152.] The combination yáh pátih in c is assured by Prāt. ii. 70.

753

3. Soma's wife first; the Gandharva thy next husband; Agni thy third husband; thy fourth, one of human birth.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 40, which, however, has for **a**, **b** somah prathamo vivide gandharvo vivida úttarah. It is found also in PGS. (i. 4. 16) and HGS. (i. 20. 2); the former agrees entirely with RV.; the latter deviates from it only in **d**, where it gives turiyo 'ham man-: Ppp. combines in **b** aparas p. [Cf. MP. i. 3 1.]

4. Soma gave to the Gandharva; the Gandharva gave to Agni; both wealth and sons hath Agni given to me, likewise this woman.

Is RV. x. 85. 41, without variant. Found also in MB. (i. 1. 7) and HGS. (i. 20. 2): in the latter, with very different readings: somo 'dadād gandharvāya gandharvo 'gnaye 'dadāt: paçūnç ca mahyam putrānç cā 'gnir dadāty atho tvām. [Cf. MP. i. 3. 2; MGS. i. 10. 10 a, and p. 157; also Wint., p. 48.]

5. Your favor hath come, O ye (two) of abundant good things (?vajinivasu); [our] desires have rested in [your] hearts, O Açvins; ye have been twin keepers, O lords of beauty; may we, being dear, attain favorers (aryamán) of our homes (?dúrya).

The verse is RV. x. 40. 12, RV., however, reading *ayansata* at end of **b**. [MP. i. 7. 11] follows the RV. text, but with *kāmān* for *kāmās*: cf. Wint., p. 70.] More points than one in the translation are doubtful.

6. Do thou (f.), rejoicing with propitious mind, assign wealth having all heroes, to be extolled; an easy crossing  $(t\bar{i}rthd)$ , well provided with drink, O lords (du.) of beauty; do ye smite away the pillar standing in the road, [namely] disfavor.

This verse is altered from RV. x. 40. 13 in a strange and senseless manner. RV. reads for **a**, **b**  $t\bar{a}$  mandasānā mānuso duroņā ā dhattām raylm sahāvuram vacasyāve, thus making the verse concern the Açvins throughout; who is our 'thou' (sā) does not appear. In **c** the sense is destroyed by altering the RV. verb krtām (as if it were misunderstood for a participle) to sugām; and in **d** pathesthām (p. patheosthām) is turned to pāthisthām (p. pāthiosthām) and accented as if it were a superlative; [cf. the confusion at vi. 28. 1]. The verse is used also in the Apast. sūtra (Wint., p. 68 [MP. i. 6. 12]), with daçavīram in **b** as its only variant from RV. Ppp. appears to read with our text. The verse lacks two syllables of being a real jagatī. In Kāuç. 77. 8 the verse is directed to be used on arriving at a ford or river-crossing on the bridal journey.

7. What herbs [there are], what streams, what fields, what forests — let these, O bride, defend from the demon thee, possessing progeny, for thy husband.

The Apast text (Wint., p. 70 [MP. i. 7.9]) has the same verse, but with different readings: for **b**,  $y \dot{a} n i dh \dot{a} n v \bar{a} n i y \dot{e} v \dot{a} n \bar{a} h$  [Oxford text  $v \dot{a} n \bar{a}$ ]; in **c**,  $t \dot{e}$  for  $t \dot{a} s$ ; for **d**, *prá tvé muñcantv ánhasah*. Kāuç. 77. 11 uses it on the bridal journey 'under the circumstances mentioned in the verse.'

8. We have mounted this road, easy, bringing welfare, on which a hero takes no harm, [but] finds others' goods.

The Apast. text (Wint., p. 67 [MP. i. 6. 11]) has the same verse, but with sugám pánthānam á 'rukṣam áriṣṭam svas- in a, b. Kāuç. uses it in 77. 2, with 1. 64 : see the note to 1. 64. [For yásmin in c Ppp. has the sense-equivalent yatra: cf. its oṣam for our kṣiprám at xii. 1. 35; etc.]

9. Pray hear ye now of me, O men, by what blessing  $(\bar{a}_{f}i_{s})$  the two spouses attain what is agreeable  $(v\bar{a}m\dot{a})$ : what Gandharvas [there are] and heavenly Apsarases, who stand upon these forest trees  $(v\bar{a}naspaty\dot{a})$ , let them be pleasant unto this bride; let them not injure the bridal-car as it is driven.

The last four pādas form a verse also in the Āpast. text (Wint., p. 70 [MP. i. 7.8]), where for our **d** is read eșú vrkșeșu vānaspatyeșv âsate, further çivâs (for syonâs) and vadhvāì in **e**, and ūhyámānām in **f**. In TS. iii. 2.84 is found the phrase yám āçirā dámpatī vāmám açnutáh, and āçīrdāyā dámpatī vāmám açnutām. The verse is to be used, according to Kāuç. 77.9, when the bridal train passes great trees. The Anukr. [appears to scan as 9 + 12 : 11 + 12 : 11 + 11 = 66; but pāda **a** is essentially defective]. All our mss. [and SPP's authorities] read in **e** te, which our edition emends to té; [but SPP. reads te, construing **a**-**d** together, and **e**-**f** separately : ' unto thee, the bride here '; which seems hard]. Ppp. combines in **c** gandharvā 'ps-.

10. What *yaksmas* go to the bride's brilliant (*candrá*) car among the people, let the worshipful gods conduct those back whence they came.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 31; RV. reads in b jánād ánu. The Apast. text (Wint., p. 67 [MP. i. 6. 9]) has the same verse. [The Anukr. calls the vs. yaksmanāçanī.]

11. Let not the waylayers who pursue  $(\bar{a}$ -sad) [them] find the two spouses; let them go over what is difficult by an easy [road]; let the niggards run away.

Is RV. x. 85.32, whose only variant is *sugthis* in c. We had a as xii. 1. 32 d, and d as vi. 129. 1-3 d. MB. (i. 3. 12) and Apast. (Wint., p. 67 [MP. i. 6. 10]) have the RV. reading. The verse is used (Kāuç. 77. 3), with 1. 34, when the bridal train starts. [The Anukr. calls the vs. *dampatyoh paripanthināçani*.]

12. I cause the bridal-car to be viewed by the houses with worship (bráhman), with a friendly, not terrible eye; what of all forms is fastened on about, let Savitar make that pleasant for the husbands.

Ppp. reads at the end *krnotu tat*. According to Kāuç. 77. 14, the verse is uttered when the train comes in sight of the house. Apast. vi. 6 (Wint., p. 70 [MP. i. 7. 10]) has the same verse, with the variants *māltreņa* in **b**, *asyām* for *dsti* in **c**, and (like Ppp.) *krņotu tât* at the end. The comm. to Apast. understands **c** of the ornaments worn by the bride, as indicated by the reading *asyām*. The verse (13 + 11 : 11 + 12 = 47) is but a poor *jagatī*.

13. Propitious hath this woman come to the home; Dhātar appointed this world (sphere) to her; her let Aryaman, Bhaga, both Açvins, Prajāpati, increase with progeny.

The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregularities of the meter (9 + 11 : 10 + 11 = 41). [It defines the verse twice, first as *tristubh*, then as *purobrhati* (cf. vi. 126. 3). Pādas **b** and **d** are good *tristubh* pādas; and **a** and **c** will pass if we resolve *naāri iyam* and *taām*.]

-xiv. 2

14. [As] a soulful cultivated field hath this woman come; in her here, O men, scatter ye seed; she shall give birth to progeny for you from her belly (*vaksánās*), bearing the exuded (*dugdhá*) sperm of the male (*rsabhá*).

A couple of our mss. ([E.]D.) read asyām in c. The first pāda is capable of being compressed into 11 syllables, but with violence. Ppp. has for b yasyān naro vapanta bījam asyāņ, and in c janayāt.

[The likening of the woman to the field is very familiar later: cf. Manu ix. 33 f. Cf. also the  $\mu \alpha \tau \rho \delta s$   $\tilde{\alpha} \rho ov \rho \alpha \nu$  of Aeschylus (Septem, 753); Sophocles'  $\delta \rho ov \rho \alpha \nu \gamma \delta \rho \chi^{\delta} \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu \epsilon i \sigma i \nu \gamma \delta \omega$  (Ant., 569); Eurip. Phoen. 18; etc. My colleague, Professor George F. Moore, calls my attention to Koran ii. 22, "Your women are your plow-land," in Arabic, *harth.* — Griffith's (not very close) version suggests a different interpretation: he takes *dugdhám* as 'milk' of the maternal breast. Perhaps after all we should (with W.) join it with *rétas*, and in the sense of 'milked'; but with this difference, that it refers to the *rétas* which is "milked" as a result of the action implied in *pdso ni galgalīti dhārakā* at VS. xxiii. 22. Mahīdhara says *viryam kṣarati* (cf. *kṣīram*). — This interpretation is fortified by the use of *dhayati* at RV. i. 179. 4, Lópāmudrā vṛṣaṇam (nadám) dhayati çvasántam.]

15. Stand firm; *viráj* art thou; as it were, Vishņu here, O Sarasvatī; O Sinīvālī, let her have progeny; may she be in the favor of Bhaga.

Kāuç. 76. 33 uses the verse to accompany the act of making the bride stand firm after rising from the couch. The Anukr. forbids us to abbreviate to va in **b**. In Ppp. a considerable part of the verse is lost. The second half-verse appears again below as 21 c, d.

16. Let your wave smite up the pegs; O waters, release the yokeropes (yóktra); let not the two inviolable [kine], not evil-doing, free from guilt, come upon what is unpropitious (?áçuna).

The verse is RV. iii. 33. 13, which, however, reads  $c\/\/ nam$  for  $dc\/ unam$  in d, and  $vy\/\/ nas\/\/ as\/\/ as\/\/$ 

17. With an eye not terrible, not husband-slaying, pleasant, helpful (*cagmá*), very propitious, of casy control (*suyáma*) for the houses, herobearing, loving brothers-in-law (?), with favoring mind — may we thrive together with thee.

The concluding word is here rendered as the text gives it, but there is little question that it ought to be emended (with Ppp.) to  $-m\bar{a}n\bar{a}h$ , as qualifying 'we.' This verse and the next are a sort of duplication and variation of RV. x. 85.44; our **a** here is nearly the same with the first pāda of that verse, which, however, reads *edhi* for our *syonā*. Ppp. makes our 17 c, d and 18 c, d change places, reading for the former *virasār devakāmā syonām tevedhişīmahi sumanasyamānāh*. Our mss. are divided in c between *devīrkāmā* and *devák*-, the majority (not Bp.Bs.p.m.E.O.D.) having, with RV. and Ppp., the latter, which is therefore more probably the true reading. Ppp. has in **a** (like RV.) *edhi* but with *syonā* after it [a "blend-reading" such as the Vulgate shows at vs. 18?]; and, in **b**, *sāyamā grheşu*. [Cf. MP. i. 1.4; MGS. i. 10.6, and p. 146.] The verse accompanies in Kāuç. 77. 22 the leading of the bride thrice about the fire. PGS. i. 4. 16 and HGS. i. 20. 2 have it in its RV. form, with slight variants in HGS. Our verse (11 + 11:7 + 13 = 42) is metrically much too irregular to be set down as simply a *tristubh*.

18. Not brother-in-law-slaying, not husband-slaying be thou here, propitious to the cattle, of easy control, very splendid, having progeny, hero-bearing, loving brothers-in-law(?), pleasant, do thou worship (*sapary*) this householder's fire.

Our mss. differ, as in the preceding verse, between  $devik\bar{k}am\bar{a}$  and  $devik\bar{k}$ - in c. The first three pādas agree nearly with RV. x. 85.44 a-c, but the latter begins a with dghoracakṣus (like our 17 a) [and omits *ihá*], reads sumánās instead of suyámā in b, and in c omits prajāvatī and gives devákāmā; its fourth pāda is the commonplace phrase qám no bhava dvipáde çám cátuspade. Ppp. reads for a, b: adevaraghni patiraghny edhi syonaş paçubhyas sumanas suvīraķ; and, for c, d (given, as noted above, as second half of the preceding verse): prajāvatī vīrasūr devīkāme 'mam agn- etc.; it thus gets rid of the syonā whose apparent intrusion spoils the tristubh-character of our c, d. [The ms. reckons syonā to d (by placing the mark of pāda-division before it); but the integrity of *imám* etc. as a pāda (without syonā) is palpable. Likely our text represents a blend of two readings: vīrasūur devākāmā sionā (RV.), and prajāvatī vīrasūr devīkāmā (Ppp.): cf. under vs. 17. — Perhaps the corruption at xviii. 1.39 below is in part due to a confused blending of two readings.]

19. Stand up from here; desiring what hast thou (f.) come hither? I [am] thine overcomer, O Idā, out of [my] own house; thou that hast come hither, O perdition, seeking the empty — stand up, O niggard; fly forth; rest not here.

This exorcism accompanies, according to Kāuç. 77. 16, a complete sprinkling of her new home by the bride. All our mss. [and all SPP's authorities] have at end of c  $\bar{a}_{jag}andha$ ; our edition [not SPP's] makes the, as it seems, necessary emendation to *-ntha*, which Ppp. also appears to have. [See Roth, ZDMG. xlviii. 108.] Ppp. further reads in a *-thā* 'daş kim, combines  $\bar{a}$  'gā 'ham, and begins c with açānyeşt. In b the translation assumes the *pada*-reading *ide* — not *ide*, as previous translators prefer to understand; it is hard to tell which word is more out of place. The verse is once more a very poor sort of *tristubh*. [It may be counted as 44 syllables. Pādas a, b, c scan easily as 11 + 12:11; but the good *tristubh* cadence of d casts suspicion on the integrity of its prior part.]

20. When this bride hath worshiped the householder's, the former (phrva) fire, then, O woman, do thou pay homage to Sarasvatī and to the Fathers.

Ppp. (which not rarely substitutes  $\bar{a}i$  for  $\bar{I}$ ) seems to agree with all our mss. in reading *asaparyāit* [see the note to vi. 32. 2]. Prāt. ii. 65 prescribes the combination *námas k*in **d**. The first pāda (10 syll.) is both irregular and defective. By Kāuç. 77. 23, the verse, with vs. 46 below, is to accompany the homage paid by the bride to the deities mentioned.

21. Take this protection, defense, to spread under this woman; O Sinīvālī, let her have progeny; may she be in the favor of Bhaga. The second half-verse is the same with 15 c, d above. The rendering implies Lafter  $n \acute{a}ry \ddot{a}i$  in b] an emendation to *upastire* (infinitive), which is the reading of Ppp. The Apast. text (Wint, p. 71 [MP. i. 8. 1]) also has it; further, in a it has *idám á bhara*, and, in d, *iyám* inserted before *bhágasya*. In Kāuç. 78. 1, the verse is directed to be uttered while he (the bridegroom?) brings the hide of a red ox.

22. What rushes (bálbaja) ye cast down, and [what] hide ye spread under, that let the girl (kanyà) of good progeny mount, who finds a husband.

Balbaja is the Eleusine indica, a coarse rush-like grass. In Ppp., the parts of vss. 22 and 23, and of 24 and 25, are more or less exchanged. In Kāuç. 78, the second pāda is first quoted (in 2), after our vs. 21; then follows (in 3) a, then (in 4) the first part of vs. 23, then (in 5) our c, then (in 6) the second half of vs. 23, all accompanying the corresponding acts of preparing a seat for the bride, that she may take a Brahman-boy into her lap, to encourage the obtainment of male progeny. It may be that Ppp. follows with its changed order the succession of the acts as given in Kāuç.

23. Spread under the rushes upon the red hide; sitting down upon it, of good progeny, let her worship this fire.

Bp.E. Land SPP's C. ] read at the end *saparyata*. For the use in Kāuç., see the preceding note. The second half-verse is used again in 79.5, when the bride sits down on the nuptial bed.

24. Mount the hide; sit by the fire; this god slays all the demons; here give birth to progeny for this husband; may this son of thine be of good primogeniture.

The last pāda is used by Kāuç. 78.8 as the boy is seated in the bride's lap, though unsuited to the purpose unless forced out of its natural meaning. [Cf. Wint., p. 75.] There must be some error in the Anukr. text relating to this verse and vs. 25 (which are *tristubh*) and vs. 32 (see below).

25. Let there come forth  $(vi \cdot sth\bar{a})$  from the lap of this mother animals  $(pa_{\bar{i}}i)$  of various forms, being born; as one of excellent omen, sit thou by this fire; with thy husband  $(sámpatn\bar{i})$ , be thou serviceable to the gods here.

In Käuç. 78.9 this verse accompanies the removal of the boy again from the bride's lap. The verse is a pure *tristubh*. [W. pencils the note "cf. K. xxxix. 10."]

26. Of excellent omen, extender (*pratárana*) of the houses, very propitious to thy husband, wealful to thy father-in-law, pleasant to thy mother-in-law, do thou enter these houses.

The comm. to Prāt. iii. 60 notes the accent of cvacrvāt. The verse seems to be overlooked altogether in the Anukr. as we have it; it should be called a 3-p. virān  $nāma \ gāyatrī (11 + 11 : 11 = 33)$ . It is used in Kāuç. 77. 20 as the bride enters her new abode.

27. Be thou pleasant to fathers-in-law, pleasant to husband, to houses, pleasant to all this clan; pleasant unto their prosperity (pusta) be thou.

Ppp. puts this verse at the end of the book.

28. Of excellent omen is this bride; come together, see her; having given unto her good-fortune, go asunder and away with ill-fortunes.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 33, which, however, has a different ending: asyāi dattvåyā "thå 'stam vi påre 'tana; and this is read also by PGS. (i. 8. 9) and MB. (i. 2. 14). [Cf. MP. i. 9. 5; Wint., p. 74; MGS. i. 12. 1, and p. 157.] According to Kāuç. 77. 10, it is to be addressed to women who come to look at the bride on her journey. Ppp. reads in **d** dāurbhāgyena par. Our edition should read dattvå.

29. What evil-hearted young women, and likewise what old ones, [are] here — do ye all  $\lfloor sám \rfloor$  now give splendor to her; then go as under and away home.

The last pāda is nearly identical with RV. x. 85.33 d: see the preceding note. All our mss. [and SPP's] read in b *jaratīs*, as if vocative; our edition [not SPP's] emends to *jår*-, as seems unavoidable.

30. The gold-cushioned (?-prastarana) vehicle, bearing all forms, did Sūryā, Savitar's daughter, mount, in order to great good-fortune.

[Nearly] all our mss. [and four of SPP's] accent rukmáprást- (p. rukmáoprást-) in **a**; our edition emends to rukmápra-. [SPP., with 13 of his authorities, reads rukmaprá-.] The verse is used with 1.61 (Kāuç. 77.1), when the bride mounts the car. [Note bibhratam joined with vahyám, neuter! is the case like those of cakrám āçúm, rátnam brhántam, gotrám hariçriyam of RV.? cf. my Noun-inflection, p. 600, s.v. Genders.]

31. Mount the couch with favoring mind; here give birth to progeny for this husband; like Indrāņī, waking with good awakening, mayest thou watch to meet dawns tipped with light.

Ppp. reads in c suptā for subúdhā, of which the stem and sense are questionable (it occurs elsewhere only in vs. 75, below); at the end it has cākaraḥ (for jāgaraḥ). [Cf. Wint., p. 92.] The excess of syllables in d is a very poor reason for calling the verse (11 + 11 + 13 = 46) a jagatī. In Kāuç. 76. 25 the verse is used, with 1. 60, when the bride mounts the couch; and again, 79. 4, when she ascends the nuptial bed (vs. 23 immediately follows: see above).

32. The gods in the beginning lay with (ni-pad) their spouses; they embraced (sam-sprq) bodies with bodies; like Sūryā, O woman, all-formed, with greatness, having progeny, unite  $(sam-bh\bar{u})$  here with thy husband.

Ppp. combines at the beginning devā 'gre. The verse (11 + 11 : 12 + 11 = 45) is almost a good *tristubh*, in spite of the Anukr. [It would be a perfect *tristubh* in cadence and otherwise if we had the right to excise *nāri*, the intrusive character of which is very likely.] It is used in Kāuç. 79.6 when the bride enters the nuptial bed; and also, in 75.11, vss. 32-36 are strangely made to accompany the strewing of grasses by the wooers who have gone out to arrange for the bridal.

33. Stand up from here, O Viçvāvasu; with homage do we praise thee; seek thou a sister  $(j\bar{a}mt)$  sitting among the Fathers, inserted  $(?nydkt\bar{a}m)$ ; that is thy portion by right of birth; know thou that.

This verse corresponds to RV. x. 85. 22 a, b and 21 c, d; but RV. reads at the beginning úd īrṣvā 'to viç-; and in c anyām for jāmīm, and vyāktām for nyāktām, which seems a mere ignorant substitution for it. Our mss. are divided between nyāktam and  $t\bar{a}m$ ; I.E.p.m.O.s.m.R.T.D.K. give  $t\bar{a}m$ ; which ending is doubtless to be accepted as the true reading. Ppp. reads with RV. at the beginning, but goes on independently: ud Irṣvā 'tas patī hy eṣām viçuāvasum namasā girbhir īde. The Āpast. text (Wint., p. 89 [MP. i. 10. 1-2]) reads vittām for vyaktām. Compare Hillebrandt also in ZDMG. xl. 711; he renders vyāktām simply by 'bride,' one does not see why. [Cf. also I'cd. Mythol. i. 435.] [For the metrical definitions of the Anukr., see above.]

34. The Apsarases revel a joint reveling, between the oblation-holder and the sun; they are thy birthplace; go away to them; homage I pay thee with the Gandharva-season.

The first half-verse is identical with vii. 109. 3 a, b. The verse, a fairly good *tristubh*, appears, with vss. 36 and 38, to be passed over by the London J Anukr. [The Berlin ms. gives the three *prattkas*, followed, without *iti*, by *agastatakse* (!).] Ppp. begins the verse with  $y\bar{a}$  'psarasas s- (for  $y\bar{a}$  aps-), and in b puts antara (for  $-r\bar{a}$ ) before havirdhānam.

35. Homage to the Gandharva's mind (?), and homage to his terrible (*bháma*) eye we pay; O Viçvāvasu, homage [be] to thee with worship (*bráhman*); go away unto thy wives, the Apsarases.

The translation implies the naturally suggested emendation in **a** of *námase* to *mánase*, which Ppp. supports, reading *manaso*. Ppp. has further *bhāsāya* for *bhāmāya* in **b**; and, for **c**, *viçvāvaso namo brahmaņā te krņomi*, and, in **d**, combines *jāyā 'ps*-. The addition of '*stu* at the end of our **c** would rectify the meter of the pāda and make the definition of the Anukr. exact.

36. With wealth may we be well-willing; we have made the Gandharva go (*vrt*) up from here; that god hath gone to the highest station (*sadhástha*); we have gone where they lengthen out [their] life-time.

The prefix  $\hat{a}$  in **b** seems out of place. The last pāda appears twice in RV. (i. 113. 16 **d**; viii. 48. 11 **d**). The definition of the verse (as noted under vs. 34) appears to be omitted in the Anukr. For the application made in Kāuç. of this and the preceding verses, see under vs. 32; it does not seem at all suitable. Ppp. has in **d** for *yatra* the variant *vayam* [implying, perhaps, that the Kashmir Vāidikas understood *pratiránta* (p. *praotiránte*) as *pratirántas*]. [With regard to an Anukr. statement that seems to concern this verse, see above, p. 739, ¶'s 4, 5, 7.] [Cf. xviii. 2. 29 n.]

37. Unite (sam-srj), O ye (two) parents (*pit*?), the (two) things that are seasonable; ye shall be mother and father of seed; as a male (márya) a female ( $y \delta s \bar{a}$ ), do thou mount her; make ye (two) progeny; here enjoy (*pus*) wealth.

[For "mount her," W. suggests in pencil "make her mount"; but I suspect that the full expression would be *ádhi rohaya çépa cnām*.] In **a**, *řtviye* is regarded by the *pada*-text as dual, and is translated accordingly; it probably means the respective contributions of the two to the embryo. Ppp. reads instead ( $-r\bar{a}$ ) *wrddhaye*, a welcome emendation. Further, in **b**, it puts *pitā* before *mātā* and has *ja* for *ca* and ends **b**  with *bhavātha*; [and it makes our 37 **d** and 39 **c** change place, but with *pusyatu no* for our *pusyatam*]. [Pronounce *máryeva* in **c**: the verse then scans easily as 11 + 11: 11 + 12, if we accept the resolution *ftuiye* in **a**.] According to Kāuç. 79. 8, it is used in the act of coition. [Concerning the matter as an essential element of the ritual, see Winternitz, p. 92.] Ppp. arranges this and the following six verses in the order 37, 40, 38, 39, 42, 41, 43.

38. Send, O Pūshan, her, most propitious, in whom men scatter seed (bija); who, eager, shall part our thighs; in whom we, eager, may insert the member.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 37, which, however, reads at end of **c** (with Ppp.) -*çrayāte* ['who, eager, shall part her thighs for us'], and of **d** -*hárāma çépam*. All our mss. accent *pūṣan* in **a**; Bp. begins **c** with *yāḥ*: *naḥ*. The same verse is found in HGS. i. 20. 2, with nas after tām in **a**, *visrayātāi* in **c**, and *-harcma çepam* in **d**. PGS. (i. 4. 16) has a corresponding, but quite different, text : sā naḥ pūṣā çivatamām e "raya sā na ūrū uçatī vi hara : yasyām uçantaḥ praharāma çepam yasyām u kāmā bahavo nīviṣṭyāi. The Āpast. text (Wint., p. 90 [MP. i. 11. 6]) has the RV. version, except -*çrâyātāi* at end of **c**. [Barring the bad cesura in **a**, the verse is a good *triṣṭubh;* but the definition (as noted under vs. 34) is omitted by the Anukr.]

39. Mount thou the thigh; apply the hand; embrace thy wife with well-willing mind; make ye (two) progeny here, enjoying; let Savitar make for you a long life-time.

The first half-verse is found also in the Apast. text (Wint., p. 90 [MP. i. 11. 7]), with the variant (after  $\bar{u}r\dot{u}m$ )  $\dot{u}pa$  barhasva bāh $\dot{u}m$ . [Ppp., as just noted, makes our 37 d change place with our 39 c, reading, however, rodamānāu for mod-; and in its d it has tu for  $v\bar{a}m$ , combining tv  $\bar{a}yus$  sav-.] The verse is ill defined as a jagatī or bhurik tristub; it is properly a svarāt tristubh.

40. Let Prajāpati generate progeny for you (two); let Aryaman unite (sam anij) [you] with days-and-nights; not ill-omened, enter thou this world of thy husband; be weal to our bipeds, weal to [our] quadrupeds.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 43, which, however, begins **a** with  $\frac{d}{d}$  nah pr, **b** with  $\frac{d}{d}$  paras $\frac{d}{d}$  yaras ya

41. This bridal garment and bride's dress, given by the gods together with Manu, whoso gives to a knowing (*cikitváns*) priest (*brahmán*), he verily slays the demons of the couch (?).

The translation implies at the end the emendation (suggested also by Weber, p. 211) of  $tdlp\bar{a}ni$  to  $tdlpy\bar{a}ni$ , as required by both sense and meter, and supported by the Ppp. reading  $trpy\bar{a}ni$ . For **b**, Ppp. gives  $v\bar{a}dh\bar{a}ya\bar{m}$  baddho ( $vadhvo^{2}$ )  $v\bar{a}so^{4}sy\bar{a}k$ , which, though metrically awkward, is not redundant in expression. In Kāuc, 79. 21, the verse accompanies the priest's acceptance of the bridal garment, given him with 1. 25. The verse is a good tristubh, if emended as proposed in **d**.

42. What priest's portion they (dual) give to me the bride-seeker  $(vadh\bar{u}yi)$ , the bridal garment and bride's dress, do ye, O Brihaspati and Indra, assenting, together give it to the priest (brahmán).

The anomalous accent *dattám* at the end is read by all our [and SPP's] authorities. Ppp. varies considerably: *yan no <sup>•</sup>diti brahmabhāgam vadhūyor vāso vadhvaç ca vastram*; and *dhattām* at the end.

43. Awaking out of a pleasant lair (yóui), mightily enjoying yourselves, merry, having good kine, good sons, good houses, may ye, living, pass the outshining dawns.

Ppp. reads in c, d subhāu suputrāu sukrtāu carātāu jīvā uṣ-; our P.M.W. have carātho. To accent tárāthas, counting it to d instead of c, would be an improvement. The verse (which scans 11+11:8+11 or 11+8=41) is very ill described by the Anukr. According to Kāuç. 79. 12, it accompanies the rising from the nuptial bed.

44. Clothing myself anew, fragrant, well-dressed, I have risen alive unto the outshining dawns; as a bird from the egg, I have been released out of all sin.

Ppp. combines a-b suvaso da. According to Kauç. 79. 27, the verse is used when the priest comes back after washing the bridal garment.

45. Beautiful [are] heaven-and-earth, pleasant near by, of great courses; seven divine waters have flowed; let them free us from distress.

This verse is a repetition of vii. 112. I. Ppp. reads in **b** yantusumme, and, for **c**,  $\bar{a}pas$  sapta sravantis ( $t\bar{a}$  etc.). The redundant syllable in **c** is not noticed by the Anukr. here, although it was so at the other occurrence. The verse is used by Käuç. 78. 10 with vs. 1 (see the note to that verse), and again in 78. 13 it accompanies the pouring of water into the folded hands of the pair; and yet again, in 79. 25, the pouring of water on the bridal garment; this use is evidently the one which gives the verse its place here.

46. Unto Sūryā, unto the gods, unto Mitra and Varuņa, unto them who are forethoughtful of that which exists, have I paid this homage.

The verse is RV. x. 85. 17, with a differently ordered **d**, *idám tébhyo 'karam námah*, by which is avoided the redundancy of a syllable — which the Anukr. passes unheeded. [Ppp. avoids it in yet another way by reading *tebhyo 'ham akaram namah*.] Kāuç. uses it twice in 77; once in 5, on the wedding-journey; and again in 23, on arrival at the new home. [As to the "deity" of the verse, see above, p. 739, ¶'s 4, 5, 7.]

47. He who, without a clamp (*?abhiçriş*), before the piercing of the neck-ropes (*?jatrú*), combines (*sam-dhā*) a combination — he the bountiful, the one of much good — removes again what is spoiled (*vihruta*).

[Or 'joins a joining' and 'mends again what is damaged,' as W. suggests in pencil.] This obscure verse is RV. viii. 1. 12, and is found also in several other texts, as SV. (i 244), MS. (iv. 9. 12), TA. (iv. 20. 1), PB. (ix. 10. 1), KÇS. xxv. 5. 30. The RV. text differs from ours only by having in **d** *lskartā* for *nlsk*-; KÇS. alone agrees with RV. in this; SV. is throughout as AV.; PB. begins *yakṣate cid*, and has *vihṛtam* in **d**; TA.

-xiv. 2

begins yad rté, has jartfibhyas in **b**, purovásus in **c**,  $\lfloor$  and vthrtam in **d** in the Calcutta ed.: the Poona ed., p. 327, prints it vthrutam, with a virāma after the  $h \rfloor$ . MS. has a very corrupt text for **a**, **b** (jári cétid etc.), and samdhis and puruv- in **c**; and the Apast. text (Wint., p. 69  $\lfloor$  MP. i. 7. 1 $\rfloor$ ) agrees throughout with TA. Ppp. writes *ardah* for *ātrdah*. The needed description of the verse as a *pathyābrhatī* is omitted by our Anukr. Vāit. 12. 7 has the verse used as explain when anything is broken during the sacrifice; Kāuç. 77. 7, when anything on the bridal car needs mending; and also, 57. 7, when a student's staff is broken.

48. Away from us let the darkness shine (vas), that is deep blue, brown (picanga), also red; she who is consuming, spotted, her I fasten ( $\bar{a}$ -saj) on this pillar.

The latter half-verse is corrupt in Ppp. beyond intelligibility. [The definition satahpaükti (cf. my note to vi. 20. 3 and Ind. Stud. viii. 45) presumably means 9 + 11:9 + 11. If we could dispense with the  $y\dot{a}$  in c, the verse would be excellent so far as rhythm and cadence go (8 + 11:8 + 11).] It is used in Kāuç. 79. 22 in connection with taking away the bridal garment to cleanse it. The 'she' is perhaps the female demon supposed to belong to the defiled article.

49. How many witchcrafts in the outer garment (*?upavásana*), how many fetters of king Varuṇa, what failures, what non-successes — them I cause to sit upon this pillar.

Ppp. has *paçcācāne* in **a** for *upavāsane*; and, for **d**, *asmin tā stāno muñcāmi sarvām*. Our P.M.W. read in **d** tâm sth-; the rest, tâh sth-. In Kāuç. 79. 23 the verse is used immediately after the preceding. The lack of a syllable in **a** is disregarded by the Anukr.

50. What is my dearest self  $(tan\hbar)$ , that of me is afraid of the garment; of it do thou, O forest-lord, make first (dgre) for thyself an inner wrap  $(n\bar{v}\ell)$ ; let us not suffer harm.

This verse is used in Kāuç. 79. 24 next after the two preceding, the person who has the garment in charge wrapping a tree with it. The Anukr. contains no definition of the meter (8+8:8+11=35). The verse, as noted above, is wanting in Ppp.

51. What ends [there are], how many edges (sic), what webs, and what lines; what garment woven by the spouses — may that touch us pleasantly.

More lit., '[as] a pleasant one.' With this verse, according to Kāuç. 79. 26, the new possessor of the garment puts it on, then coming back with vs. 44. The Anukr. notices this time the redundant syllable in **b** (we are doubtless to contract to  $y\bar{a}\dot{u}$  'tavo). Ppp. reads, for **c**, **d**,  $v\bar{a}so$  yat patnībhŗtam tanvā syonam upa spṛçaḥ.

52. Eager, these young girls, going to a husband from the father's world, have let go the consecration : hail !

All our mss. [and SPP's authorities] leave *yatik* in **b** unaccented, as in 1.32 c, as if *pátini yatik* were a sort of compound word, [although the *pada*-text treats them as separate words!]. [Cf. MP. i. 4. 4, and Wint., p. 54, vs. 4, p. 55 n. 1.] The Anukr. counts in svaha at the end as a metrical part of the verse. According to Kauç. 75. 24,

this verse is uttered as the bride lays fuel on the fire; then [75.25], with seven verses, (apparently, this and the six that follow [so schol.]), the prepared water is heated, and with vs. 65 below, the bride is bathed.

53. Her, let go by Brihaspati, all the gods maintained; what splendor is entered into the kine, with that do we unite this woman.

[Cf. Bohtlingk, ZDMG. liv. 614.] Besides the use of vss. 53-58 made by Kāuç. 75. 25, as noticed in the preceding note, they are again applied in 76. 31, when at the end of the wedding ceremony the bride is sprinkled with fragrant powders. The connection of *dvasrstām* with *dvā 'srkṣata* in vs. 52 c, suggests *dīkṣām* as the word to be supplied in the first lines of these verses; and so Ludwig translates.

54. Her, let go etc. etc.; what brilliancy (téjas) is entered etc. etc.

55. Her, let go etc. etc.; what fortune (bhága) is entered etc. etc.

56. Her, let go etc. etc.; what glory is entered etc. etc.

57. Her, let go etc. etc.; what milk (páyas) is entered etc. etc.

58. Her, let go etc. etc. ; what sap is entered etc. etc.

Of these six verses, differing from one another only in one word, Ppp. omits one (58), and puts 55 after 56.

59. If these hairy people have danced together in thy house, doing evil with wailing — from that sin let Agni and Savitar release thee.

This and the three following verses are discussed by Bloomfield in AJP. xi. 336 ff. [or JAOS. xv., p. xliv. = PAOS. for Oct. 1890]. They evidently have no connection originally with marriage ceremonies, but rather with wailings for the dead, which are regarded as ill-omened and requiring expiation.\* [Cf. the following verses.] Kāuç. quotes only this one (79. 30), and for no definite purpose, combining it with 1.46 (see note to the latter). Ppp. reads in a yad amī for yadī 'me, and in c kruvatīs. The false accent kruvantās (which our edition has not corrected) is read by all our mss. save one (D.).

[The case is nearly the same with the authorities of SPP., who says, "This reading [krnvant6] appears ancient, traditional, and general." A note in my copy of AV. suggests that the blunder may have crept in from vs. 61; and I find my surmise confirmed not only by SPP. (who, however, attributes the wrong accent and kampa to vs. 60; see his note), but also by the fact of similar occurrences elsewhere: cf., for example, the curious avagraha of sámojñapayāmi at vi. 74. 2 (and my note); the impossible viobhāti at xiii. 3. 17, and note; etc.]

\*[Cf. Francis James Child, *The English and Scottish Popular Ballads*, part x., p. 498, under the heading "Tears destroy the peace of the dead," and the citation from MBh. xi. 1. 42-43 given on p. 294 of the same part: "For they [the tears], like sparks, 'tis said, do burn those men [for whom they're shed]."] [See Luders, ZDMG. lviii. 507.]

60. If this daughtes of thine has wailed with loosened hair (vikecd) in thy house, doing evil with wailing — from that sin etc. etc.

Ppp. has a very different text: yad āsāu [! yadā 'sāu ?] duhitā tava vikresv arujat: bahu rodhena krņvaty agham. 61. If (y dt) sisters  $(j \bar{a} m t)$ , if young women, have danced together in thy house, doing evil with wailing — from that sin etc. etc.

Because of the redundant syllable [the second ydd, intrusion?] in **a**, the Anukr. absurdly separates this verse from the others here, and calls it a *tristubh*.

62. If in thy progeny, in thy cattle, or in thy houses is settled (*ni-sthā*) any evil done by the evil-doers — from that sin etc. etc.

63. This woman, scattering shrivelled grains  $(p\bar{n}lya)$  appeals: be my husband long-lived; may he live a hundred autumns.

Ppp. reads in **b**  $p\bar{a}l/p\bar{a}ni$  [instead of our  $p\bar{a}l/p\bar{a}ni$ . For the distinction between lpaand lya (note to vi. 127. 1),  $n\bar{a}gar\bar{i}$  mss. are of course not to be trusted. All but one of SPP's read  $p\bar{a}l/p\bar{a}ni$  and his two then living *crotriyas* recited  $p\bar{a}l/p\bar{a}ni$ . But in view of the Prākrit *pulla* etc. he reads  $p\bar{a}l/p\bar{a}ni$ .] Instead of our **d**, Ppp. reads *edhantāni pitaro mama*. The same verse is found in several Sūtras: PGS. (i. 6. 2), HGS. (i. 20. 4), MB. (i. 2. 2), and the Apast. text (Wint., p. 56 [MP. i. 5. 2]); but with sundry various readings in **b** and **d**: for  $p\bar{a}l/p\bar{a}ni$ , the Apast. text has  $gulp\bar{a}ni$  [Oxford ed.  $kulp\bar{a}ni$ ], and PGS.  $l\bar{a}j\bar{a}n$ , while HGS. and MB. give for the whole pāda 'gnāu  $l\bar{a}jan \bar{a}vapanti$ ; in **d** the Apast. text reads *jīvātu*, and the other three (nearly agreeing with Ppp.) for the whole pāda *edhantāni jūātayo mama*; MB., moreover, inserts between **c** and **d** *catani varṣāṇi jīvatu*. [PGS. has in **c** *āyuṣmān* for *dirghāyus*.] [Cf. MGS. i. 11. 12 d, and p. 148.] According to Kāuç. 76. 17, the verse is repeated while the bride stands firm upon the stone and scatters the grains. [For *āvapantıkâ*, cf. ii. 3. 1; iv. 37. 10; v. 13.9 and notes.]

64. Here, O Indra, do thou push together these two spouses like two *cakravākás*; let them, with [their] progeny, well-homed, live out all their life-time.

Ppp. has in **c** the better reading *prajāvantāu sv*-, and, in **d**, *dīrgham* for *viçvam*. Some of our mss. (Bp.E.T.K.) [and three of SPP's] read at the end *-nutam*. The Kāuç. (79.9), on account of the verb *sam-nud*, has the verse used to accompany the act of coition.

65. What is done on the chair  $(\bar{a}sandt)$ , on the cushion (upadhána), or what on the covering (upavásana); what witchcraft they have made at the wedding (vivāhá) — that do we deposit in the bath.

Ppp. reads in **a** *āsandhyā up*-. By Kāuç: 75. 26, the verse is used at the bride's bath, next after vss. 52-58, and before 1. 35, 43. [Griffith would seem to take yád as virtually equivalent to yām krtyām.]

[The *āsandi* appears to be now a throne (cf. AB. viii. 5, 6, 12), and now something between a lounging chair and a bed, 'a long reclining chair ' such as Anglo-Indians use today with more comfort than elegance. That it was usable also as a bier carried by four bearers appears from Dīgha Nikāya, ii. 23, and Buddhaghosa's scholion. Compare also the description below, AV. xv. 3. 3 ff. — In Hāla's Saptaçataka, *āsandiā* is glossed by *khatvā* (no. 112, ed. 1870) or *paryaākikā* (no. 700, ed. 1881).]

66. What ill deed, what pollution at the wedding, and what on the bridal car — that difficulty do we wipe off on the dress (kambald) of the wooer.

Ppp. reads in c sambharasya. Kāuç. 76. 1 makes the verse accompany the rubbing of the bride dry after the bath with a garment, which is then carried to the woods and fastened to a tree.

67. Having settled the defilement on the wooer, the difficulty on the dress, we have become worshipful, cleansed (qudh); may be extend our life-times.

Ppp. also has this time *sambhale* in a; in d, it combines  $n\bar{a}$  "yūnsi and reads tārisam. [Here, as at iv. 10.6 and ii. 4.6: see notes,] part of our mss. (Bs.E.O.D.) read tārsat. With the verse compare xii. 2. 20 above. The Anukr. passes without notice the extra syllable in a.

68. The artificial hundred-toothed comb (?) that is here shall scratch away the defilement of the hair of her, away that of her head.

The majority of our mss. (all but Bs.s.m.P.R.) read kdutakas 'thorn' in **a**. Ppp. has  $ka\bar{n}kadas$ . The Kāuç. text, 76. 5, with the subsidiary texts (see note to that rule), gives  $ka\bar{n}kata$ , with our edition. There is little to choose between the two readings. Ppp. reads in **b**  $ap\bar{a}$  'syāt k-. The verse, which is a *purausuih*, is not defined by the Anukr. Kāuç. 76. 5 makes it accompany the combing of the bride's hair after she has been bathed and (with 1.45, 53) clothed in a new garment.

69. Away from every limb of her do we deposit the yáksma; let that not attain (*pra-āp*) the earth nor the gods; let it not attain the heaven, the wide atmosphere; let that defilement not attain the waters, O Agni; let it not attain Yama and all the Fathers.

Ppp. reads in **a**, **b** yo 'yam asyām upa yakṣmain ni dhatta naḥ. Kāuç. 76. 14 uses the verse to accompany the purifying of the bride. The metrical structure (8+8:11+11:11+11=60) is described as well as the Anukr. knows how.

70. I gird there with the milk of the earth; I gird there with the milk of the herbs; I gird there with progeny, with riches; do thou, being girded, win  $(\bar{a}$ -san) this strength (?vája).

TS. (iii. 5. 6<sup>1</sup>) has a corresponding verse, of which this seems an artificial variation: sám tvā nahyāmi páyasā ghrténa s. t. n. apá ósadhībhuh : s. t. n. prajáyā 'hám adyá sā dīkṣitā sanavo vājam asmć. [Cf. MGS. i 11. 6 (with adbhis for apás), and p. 156.] Kāuç. 76. 7 uses the verse (with 1 42) at the girding of the bride.

71. He am I, she thou; chant am I, verse thou; heaven I, earth thou; let us (two) come together here; let us generate progeny.

The verse (8 + 8 + 8 : 7 + 8 = 39) is strangely called a *brhatī* by the Anukr. It is found, with more or less variation, in a host of other texts: AB. (viii, 27.4). TB. (iii, 7.19), K. (xxx. 18), CB. (xiv. 9.4<sup>19</sup>), AGS. (i. 7.6), PGS. (i. 6.3), HGS. (i. 20. 2), Ap. (ix. 2.3). In the first pāda, TB. (with which HGS. and Ap. agree throughout) has the unintelligent reading *dmāhám*: AB. has sa for sā, which seems also a mere blunder. After this, AB.CB.AGS.PGS. add the same, inverted : sā (AB. sa again) trám asy ámo 'hám (CB. PGS. *ahám*). As regards our third pāda, there is no variant in reading, but AB.TB.AGS.HGS.Äp. put it before our second. In our second pāda, the same texts omit the *asmi*; the whole pāda is wanting in Ppp. For our d, AB. has tāv eha sam rahāvahāi, and ends there; TB. etc. give as ending to the verse tāv é 'hi sám bhavāva sahá réto dadhāvahāi pumsé putrāya véttavāi; ÇB. nearly the same, but with samrabhāvahāi, dadhāvahāi, and víttaye; AGS. instead tāv e'hi vi vahāvahāi prajām pra janayāvahāi; PGS. spins out the longest ending: tāv e'hi vi vahāvahāi saha reto dadhāvahāi prajām pra janayāvahāi putrān vindāvahāi bahūn te santu jaradastayaħ. [Cf. MP. i. 3. 14, and Wint., p. 52; also MGS. i. 10. 15 d, and p. 146, and i. 10. 15 e, and p. 150, s.v. tā; also GB. ii. 3. 20; JUB. i. 54.] Kāuç. 79. 10 uses the verse, with i. 34. 1, after the consummation of the union.

72. The unmarried of us seek a wife, the liberal seek a son; may we (two), with uninjured life-breath, be companions (*sac*), in order to what is great, to winning of strength (?vaja-).

'Of us' in **a** is dual  $(n\bar{a}n)$  in the text, but requires, doubtless, emendation to *nas* or to *nú*. The corresponding half-verse in RV. (vii. 96.4 **a**, **b**) has *nú*; it reads *janīyánto nv ágravaļi putrīyántaļi s*. That our denominatives have a right to their short *i* is further vouched for by their quotation as examples for it under Prāt. iii. 18. Whether one should emend in **d** to *brhatyāi*, or translate as is done above, may be made a question; it seems most likely to be a mixed construction, meaning virtually 'in order to the gaining of great  $v\bar{a}ja$ .'  $V\bar{a}jas\bar{a}taye$  is never joined with an adjective in RV. Ppp. reads with our text throughout.

73. What bride-beholding Fathers have come to this bridal-car, let them bestow on this bride, with her husband, protection accompanied with progeny.

The *pudu*-text has the bad reading  $\hat{a}$  : *agaman*, instead of  $\bar{a}\circ dgaman$ . Part of our mss. (Bp.P.M.W.O.) read in **c** sdmpatyāi, but doubtless only by the scribes' oversight. According to Kāuç. 77. 12, the verse is to be used when the bridal train passes by a burial-place.

74. She who hath come hither before, girdling herself (?), having given to this woman here progeny and property — her let them carry along the road of what is not gone; this one, a *viráj*, having good progeny, hath conquered.

This obscure verse is not made clear by Kāuç. 77. 4; though the latter perhaps means it to be used if another bridal procession goes athwart the track at a cross-roads. The *padu*-text in **a** divides without any reason ra canā oy dmānā. Perhaps, too, we ought to resolve p urvāgan into  $p urvā : \bar{a} odgan$ , instead of p urvā : dgan, as the p. does. The third pāda is perhaps a mere ill-wish with contempt: 'she may go to grass.' Ppp. reads in **b** dhattām, in **c** abhi for anu, and combines in **d** suprajā 'ty-. [For consistency, the Berlin text should have dattvā.]

75. Continue thou awake, waking with good awakening, unto length of life of a hundred autumns; go to the houses that thou mayest be house-mistress; let Savitar make for thee a long life-time.

Ppp. reads for c grhān pre 'hi sumanasyamānā, and combines in d tā "yus sau-. We had [part of a, above, in 31 c]; c above as 1. 20 c; and d as 1. 47 d [nearly = ] 2. 39 d. According to Kāuç. 77. 13, the verse is to be used if the bride falls asleep on the road. The Anukr. is not content with this length of hymn, but adds three more pieces from other parts of the Veda to fill up the "wedding of Sūryā": sahrdayam (iii. 30. 1) ity atharvā sāumyam [sāmmanasyam?] ānustubham ā no agna (ii. 36. 1) iti pativedanah šāumyam trāistubham vi hī (xx. 126. 1) 'ti tryadhikāi "ndro [tryadhikam āindro?] vṛṣākapir indrānī 'ndraç ca (mss. -drasya) samūdire pānktam ity eṣa sāuryavivāha iti.

[Here ends the second *anuvāka*, with 1 hymn (but see pages 738-9) and 75 verses. The quoted Anukr. says *pañcasaptatir uttarah* (see p. 738).]

[Some mss. sum up the book as of 2 hymns and 139 verses (see p. 739).]

[Here ends the twenty-ninth prapā!haka.]

# Book XV.

# [The Vrātya.]

LThis fifteenth book is the third book of the third grand division (books xiii.-xviii.) of the Atharvan collection; and (like books xiii. and xiv.) it clearly shows that unity of subject which is the distinguishing characteristic of the books of the division. Books xv. and xvi. are unlike all the others in that they consist exclusively of *paryāya-sūktas*, the former of 18, and the latter of 9. The book has, I believe, the distinction of being the first book of the Atharva-veda ever translated into an Occidental language: not only a translation of it, but also the original text, was published by Theodor Aufrecht, in the very first part of the first volume of the *Indische Studien*, pages 121–140, in August, 1849 (title-page, 1850: but see ZDMG. iii., pages 484, 482), some five or six years before the first part of the Berlin edition, the provisional preface of which is dated February, 1855. The *bhāṣya* is again lacking.]

[The word vratya is defined by BR. as 'belonging to a roving band (vrata), vagrant; member of a fellowship that stood without the Brahmanical pale.' It is further applied to the son of an uninitiated man (Bāudhāyana, i. [8.] 16<sup>16</sup>: cf. Manu, x. 20), or also to one who has let the proper time for the sacrament of initiation slip by (Manu, ii. 39). And the MBh., at v. 35. 46 = 1227, classes the vratya with the offscourings of society, such as incendiaries, poisoners, pimps, adulterers, abortionists, drunkards, and so on. — In the St. Petersburg Lexicon, vi. 1503, BR. express the opinion that the praise of the vratya in this book is an idealization of the pious vagrant or wandering religious mendicant. In this connection, Weber's *History of Indian Lit.*, p. 112, may be consulted; also Bloomfield's more recent paragraph in his contribution to Buhler-Kielhorn's *Grundriss*, entitled *The Atharvaveda*, p. 94.]

[The Anukr., in its statements as to the "deity" of the book, says *adhyātmakam* (see p. 773); and the Cūlikā Upanishad (see Deussen's *Upanishads*, pages 637, 640) reckons the *vrātya* as one among the many forms in which Bráhman is celebrated in the AV., mentioning in the same verse with *vrātya* (celebrated in AV. xv.) also the *brahmacārin* and the *skambha* and the *palita* (celebrated respectively at AV. xi. 5 and x. 7, 8 and ix. 9), etc. — And this view accords well with the penultimate verse of the fifth *prapāṭhaka*  of the Chāndogya Upanishad (v. 24. 4), where it is said of the sacrificial remnant that, if it be offered even to an outcaste, it is as good as if offered to the omnipresent All-soul, provided only it be done with the right knowledge. And a similar idea is perhaps meant to be expressed by our text here, AV. xv. 13. 8, 9.]

[In spite of its puerility and surface-obscurity, the book is not unworthy of a searching investigation. That investigation should be one of much wider scope than I can now make; but I presume that the principal passages of the literature which would here come into consideration are those that treat of the  $vr\bar{a}tya$ -stomas (ceremonies by which  $vr\bar{a}tyas$  gain admission to the Brahmanical order), namely the seventeenth adhyāya of the PB. (parts I-4) and the eighth prapāthaka of LÇS. (part 6).—Excerpts from these passages were given by Weber (1849). Ind. Stud. i. 33, 52; and, more recently, the main points were reported by Hillebrandt, Ritual-litteratur, p. 139. And the whole matter has been made the subject of an article by Rājārām Rāmkrishṇa Bhāgavat, in the Journal of the Bombay Branch of the RAS., vol. xix., pages 357-364. He regards the  $vr\bar{a}tyas$  as non-Aryans. It is noteworthy that a number of the articles of the outfit of a  $vr\bar{a}tya$  as rehearsed by these two texts are found also in our AV. text: so, for example, the turban, the goad, the vipatha.]

**L**The divisions of the book. — To begin with, the division into two anuvākas or 'lessons,' the first of 7 and the second of 11 paryāyas, is clearly avouched by the Old Anukr. (see next ¶ but one); and it is also proved by AV. xix. 23. 25, where the  $vr\bar{a}tya$ -book is mentioned as a dual, the text reading  $vr\bar{a}ty\bar{a}bhy\bar{a}m$  [accent!: sc. anuvākābhyām?] svāhā, 'to the anuvākas about the  $vr\bar{a}tya$  hail!'— The decad-division is wanting. |

[In the foregoing books, the Berlin edition has grouped together for the purposes of numeration the combinable  $pary\bar{a}yas$  (see pages 471-2) so as to form the groups which it numbers as viii. 10 (with 6  $pary\bar{a}yas$ ); ix.6 (with 6); [ix.7 has but 1;] xi.3 (with 3); xii. 5 (with 7); and xiii.4 (with 6). For theoretical consistency, the same procedure should have been followed in this book and the next: but the practical difference would have amounted to little (we should have had to cite, for example, xv. I. 18<sup>1</sup> instead of xv. 18.1, or xvi. 1.9<sup>1</sup> instead of xvi.9.1); moreover, the procedure of the Berlin edition is questionable and has not been followed by the Bombay edition. For an account of the discrepancies thus arising, see pages 610-611; and for SPP's detailed defense of his procedure, see the Critical Notice in his first volume, pages 21-22, where he prints the pertinent text of the Old Anukr. in full and that of the Major Anukr. in large part. — A comparison of the two texts shows that the later work has quoted the precise words of its predecessor throughout.]

L The quotations from the Old Anukr. are given piecemeal at the end of the anuvāka or paryāya or group of paryāyas to which they severally refer. They may here be given in metrical form. Of the first line, the prior half refers to the first anuvāka as a whole, and the latter half to the second. Lines 2-4 refer to the paryāyas of the first anuvāka; and lines 5-10 refer to those of the second. The numbers in parentheses refer to the paryāyas as counted from the beginning of the anuvāka; and those in brackets refer to the paryāyas as counted from the beginning of the book. vrātyādyāh sapta paryāyā ekādaça paro bhavet:

așțāu (i. 1) dvyūnā tatas trinçad (i. 2) ekādaça paro bhavet (i. 3). dvyūnā tu vinçatis turyah (i. 4) pañcamah șodaça smrtah (i. 5): vinçatih șaț ca șașthaç ca (i.6) saptamah pañcaka ucyate (i.7).

ekādaçakās trayo <sup>e</sup> tra bodhyā (ii. 3, 4, 5)	[10, 11, 12]
dvāv ādyāv atha niçcitāu trikāu tāu (ii. 1, 2) :	[8, 9]
sastham [tu] caturdaçā 'tra vidyād (ii.6)	[13]
daça daçamam (ii. 10) navamas tu saptakah syāt (ii.9).	[17, 16]
catvāri vinçatiç cāi 'va saptamo vacanāni tu (ii.7) :	[14]
aştamam navakam vidyāt (ii.8) pañcako daçamāt parah (ii.11).	[15, 18]]

LA conspectus of the divisions in tabular form follows. In each of the two tables, the first line gives the number of the anuvāka, and that of the paryāya as counted from the beginning of the anuvāka; the second line gives the number of the paryāya as counted from the beginning of the book; the third gives the number of such divisions (gaṇas: p. 472) of a paryāya as show minor subdivisions; and these subdivisions are shown in the fourth line of the first table and in the fourth and fifth lines of the second table (the subdivisions of the fourteenth paryāya being called vacanāni: p. 472). In each table, the last line gives the number of divisions of a paryāya which are not further subdivided. — Observe that the statements of the two tables are all contained in the text of the Old Anukr., excepting those concerning the number of gaṇas (the third line in each table), which statements are taken from the summations noted by some mss. at the end of the gaṇa-paryāyas, and excepting the "sums" in the last column, and excepting the distribution of the avasānarcas of paryāyas 13 and 11 into 2 categories (as explained in the fourth paragraph of the next page).

Parynº in anuv.	i. 1	i. 2	i. 3	i. 4	i. 5	i. 6	i. 7					Sums
" " book	I	2	3	4	5	6	7					
Ganas		4	-	6	7	9	-					
Gaņāvasānarcas		28		18	16	26						88
Paryāyāvasānarcas	8		11				5					$\frac{24}{112}$
Parynº in anuv.	іі. 1	ii. 2	ii. 3	ii. 4	ii. 5	ii. 6	ii. 7	ii. 8	ii. 9	ii. 10	ii. 1 1	112
" " book	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	
Ganas		-		3		5	12	-		-		
Gaņāvasānarcas				6		10						16
Vacanāni							24					24
Paryāyāvasānarcas	3	3	11	5	11	4		9	7	10	5	<u>68</u> 108

Note that the "fourteen" and "eleven" assigned respectively to *paryāyas* ii. 6 (or 13) and ii. 4 (or 11) represent non-coordinate divisions, as explained below, p. 772. — Some mss. sum up the *avasānarcas* of the first *anuvāka* as 112. This agrees with the Old Anukr. (and the table). At the end of the second, we find the summation : *gaṇas*, 20; *gaṇa-avasānarcas*, 16; *vacana-avasānarcas*, 24; *paryāya-avasānarcas*, 71; in all, 16 + 24 + 71 = 111. This agrees with the table except in the last item, 71, which exceeds the 68 of the table by 3; and the sum for the whole book, (112 + 111 =) 223, shows the same excess.

**Differences between the two editions in the divisions of the paryāyas.** There are no differences between them in the *paryāyas* proper (as distinguished from the *gana-paryāyas*), i.e. in those eleven *paryāyas* which have no subdivided divisions, to wit, *paryāyas* 1, 3, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18. But for 15 and 16 and 17, this statement needs to be modified by rehearsal of the fact that SPP. prefixes to the  $y\partial$  'sya of each of the *avasānarcas* of the Berlin ed. from 15. 3 to 17. 7, and also to the 3 remaining

avasānarcas of 17, the words tásya vrātyasya with an avasāna-mark, but nevertheless makes his numbering as does the Berlin ed.]

[The differences accordingly are confined to the remaining seven paryayas (those which have subdivided divisions), that is, to the gana-paryayas 2, 4, 5, 6, 11, 13, 14. — In paryaya 14, each of the 12 numbered divisions of the Berlin ed. is really a gana and is subdivided (alike in both editions, by a mark just after krtva) into 2 vacanas: but the vacanas are numbered as 24 only by SPP. — A similar statement applies to paryaya 4, save that here the subdivision is each time into 3: thus the 6 divisions of the Berlin ed. become 18 with SPP. — We should expect the case of paryaya 6 to be just like that of 4: namely that the 9 ganas of the Berlin ed. would become  $(9 \times 3 =)$  27 gana-avasānarcas in the Bombay ed.; but in fact the mss. divide one gana (the eighth : see note thereon) into only 2 subdivisions; so that the sum is only 26. Note here especially that the anomalous division is supported by the Old Anukr. and that the two editions do not differ in the marking of the subdivisions, but only in the numbering.]

[With *paryāyas* 5 and 2, the case is as explained on pages 628-629: in a sequence of refrains or *anuṣañgas*, the refrain is given in full and counted as a separate *avasāna* only for its first and last occurrence in that sequence. — In *paryāya* 5 (see note), there are 7 *gaṇas*, each with 3 subdivisions (the first ending with *akurvan* and the second with *îçānaḥ*): therefore we have 2 *gaṇas* (the first and last), each with 3 subdivisions, making 6; and the remaining 5, each with 2 (**a** and **b**-**c**), making 10; and so, in all, 16. — In *paryāya* 2 (for minor differences, see notes), we have the first and last, each with 8 subdivisions, making 16; and the remaining 2, each with 6 (**a**, **b**, **c**, **d**, **e**, and **f-h**), making 12; and so, in all, 28.]

[Finally, in the case of *paryāyas* 13 and 11, we have divisions which are not coördinate. In 13, each of the first 5 divisions as numbered in the Berlin ed. is really a *gana* with 2 subdivisions (the prior one ending with *vásati*); and each of the remaining 4 is undivided: SPP. therefore numbers them as  $(5 \times 2 =)$  10 *gana-avasānarcas* and 4 *paryāya-avasānarcas*, thus making "14 *avasānarcas* of both kinds," as required by the Old Anukr. — In the case of *paryāya* 11, the Old Anukr. requires the division into 11 *avasānarcas*, and this is the division of both editions. The requirement of the non-coördinate subdivisions, namely into  $5 + (3 \times 2 =) 6 = 11$ , is made only by the summations of the mss., and only by some of them, not all. This division, if made at all, is doubtless to be made by taking the first 5 as *paryāya-avasānarcas* and the last 6 as *gaṇa-avasānarcas* (3 *gaṇas* of 2 each).]

LOf this book we find in Pāipp. (in xviii.) only the first paryāya and a phrase from the second.\* Moreover, neither Kāuç. nor Vāit. make any citations from the book; but it may be noted that xv. 5. I is reckoned to the rāudra gaņa by the schol. to Kāuç. 50. I 3. In respect of contents and style, the book is quite like the Brāhmaņas, and it is all in prose. Occasional sequences of words are rhythmical (so the first phrase of 17.8 and the relative clauses of 15 and 16 and 17); but these are doubtless mere casual lapses into meter (cf. p. 869).]  $*L^{See p. 1016.}$ 

[Whitney's ms. appears to indicate that he intended to give to each *paryāya-sūkta* a heading (in **Clarendon type**, as before); and I have thought it well, for the sake of convenience and typographical clearness, to carry out his apparent intent. — Moreover,

to facilitate reference to the Bombay edition, I have added, in ell-brackets ([ ]), the numbers of SPP's minor divisions, wherever the latter differ from those of the Berlin edition.

[The excerpts from the Major Anukr. which concern the kānda as a whole may first be given.]

[astādaça paryāyāh. adhyātmakam; mantroktadevatyā uta vrātyadāivatam.]

### 1. Paryāya the first.

[aṣṭāu. 1. sāmnī paūkti ; 2. 2-p. sāmnī brhatī : 3. 1-p. yajurbrāhmy anuṣṭubh : 4. 1-p. vırāḍ gāyatrī ; 5. sāmny anuṣṭubh ; 6. 3-p. prājāpatyā brhatī ; 7. āsurī paūkti ; 8. 3-p. anuṣṭubh.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 130; Griffith, ii. 185.

1. A Vrātya there was, just going about; he stirred up Prajāpati.

Ppp. reads: vrātyo vā ida agra āsīt. The verse lacks one syllable of a full sāmnī paākti (20 syll.).

2. He, Prajāpati, saw in himself gold (suvárņa); he generated that. For suvarņam ātmann, Ppp. reads: ātmanas suparņam.

3. That became one; that became star-marked (*laláma*); that became great; that became chief; that became *bráhman*; that became fervor; that became truth; therewith he had progeny.

Ppp. has the same text with slight differences of order. The verse counts the required 48 syllables if we restore the elided a in tapo abhavat.

4. He increased ; he became great ; he became the great god (*mahādevá*). In this verse both clided initial *a*'s have to be restored, making 10 syllables.

5. He compassed the lordship of the gods; he became the Lord  $(i_{c\bar{a}na})$ .

We need to read *pari*  $\bar{a}it$  and *-no abhavat* to make 16 syllables. [Of this verse, Ppp. has only the last three words.]

6. He became the sole Vrātya; he took to himself a bow; that was Indra's bow.

Ppp. inserts devānām before ekavr., and reads tad indradhanur abhavat. To read abhavat, again, fills out the 20 syllables.

7. Blue its belly, red [its] back.

That is, apparently, of the bow (the rainbow); though 'its' (asya) might equally well be 'his.'

8. With the blue he envelops (*pra-vr*) a hostile cousin, with the red he pierces one hating him [— he who knows thus]: so say the theologians (*brahmavādín*).

If we read *-ti fti*, the syllables are 32; but to call the passage an *anustubh* is absurd. It can hardly be questioned that the addition in brackets is called for by the sense.

#### 2. Paryāya the second.

[dvyūnā trinçat. a of 1-4, 1 f, 4 f. sāmny anustubh; b of 1,3,4. sāmnī tristubh; 1 c. 2-p. ārsī paūkti; d of 1,3,4. 2-p. brāhmī gāyatrī; e of 1-4. 2-p. ārcī jagatī; 2 f. sāmnī paūkti; 3 f. āsurī gāyatrī; g of 1-4 padapaūkti; b of 1-4. 3-p. prājāpatyā tristubh; 2 b. 1-p. usuh; 2 c. 2-p. ārsī bhurik tristubh; 2 d. ārsī parānustubh; 3 c. 2-p. virād ārsī paūkti; 4 c. nurd arsī paūkti.]

The Anukr. professes to count 28 divisions in this parya a; but its metrical definitions are of 32 divisions, 8 in each; in the translation they are marked by introduced letters. [The reckoning is explained above, at page 772, ¶ 3.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 130; Griffith, ii. 186.

1. a. [1.] He arose; he moved out (*vi-cal*) toward the eastern quarter; b. [2.] after him moved out both the *bihát* and the *rathaintará* and the Ādityas and all the gods; c. [3.] against both the *bihát* and the *rathaintará* and the Ādityas and all the gods doth he offend (*ā-vraçc*) who revileth a thus-knowing Vrātya; d. [4.] of both the *bihát* and the *rathaintará* and of the Ādityas and of all the gods doth he become the dear abode (*dháman*) [who knoweth thus]. Of him in the eastern quarter e. [5.] faith is the harlot, Mitrá the *māgadhá* (bard?), discernment the garment, day the turban, night the hair, yellow the two *pravartás, kalmalí* the jewel (*maņí*), f. [6.] both what is and what is to be the two footmen (*pariṣkandá*), mind the rough vehicle (*vipathá*), g. [7.] Mātariçvan and Pavamāna (the 'cleansing' wind) the two drawers (*-vāhá*) of the rough vehicle, the wind the charioteer, the whirlwind the goad, h. [8.] both fame and glory the two forerunners: to him cometh fame, cometh glory, who knoweth thus.

The natural division of the matter of this and the following verses is in the latter half strangely violated by the tradition. Division **d** should most certainly have at its end ydeváni véda, as is shown by the requirements of the sense and by the occurrence of these words in the same connection in 6.1-9, 8.3, and 9.3; but the phrase is wanting in all the mss.; we have introduced it in our text, and the translation gives it (in brackets). Then the mss. most senselessly reckon to d the words which really introduce e-h, or the second half of the verse; i.e., they set no avasāna before tásya, but have one after *dicl*; and the Anukr. follows the same method; it is corrected in our text | although the division by letters in the translation follows the mss. ]; the analogy of the verses of hymns 4 and 5 is a sufficient justification for so doing. In the second half, the only natural division is after puralisarāú; very strangely, however, the mss. and Anukr. set no avasāna here, but one, altogether out of place, after pratodās, and two that are uncalled for after mants and vipathám respectively; of these two we have retained only that after manis (as it denoted a certain change of subject), while we have shifted forward to its proper place the one after pratodis. The metrical definitions of the Anukr. are evidently applicable, with the usual degree of exactness, to the divisions as made by the mss.

The translation follows the mss. in reading in e mitró (but all save Bs.s.m.D.R. accent mitro)  $m\bar{a}gadhó$ . | Correct the Berlin ed. from mántro to mitró. | The Pet.

Lex. conjectures *pravarta* to signify a rounded ornament. [As for turban, goad, etc., see p. 770,  $\P 2$ .] [Ppp. has sa prācīm diçam anuvyacalat; the remainder of the book is wanting.]

2. a. [9.] He arose; he moved out toward the southern quarter; b. [10.] after him moved out both the  $yaj\bar{n}\bar{a}yaj\bar{n}iya$  and the  $v\bar{a}madcvy\dot{a}$  and the sacrifice and the sacrificer and the cattle; c. [11.] against both the  $yaj\bar{n}\bar{a}yaj\bar{n}iya$  and the  $v\bar{a}madcvy\dot{a}$  and the sacrifice and the sacrificer and the cattle doth he offend who revileth a thus-knowing Vrātya; d. [12.]of both the  $yaj\bar{n}\bar{a}yaj\bar{n}iya$  and the  $v\bar{a}madcvy\dot{a}$  and the sacrifice and the sacrificer and the cattle doth he become the dear abode [who knoweth thus]. Of him in the southern quarter e. [13.] dawn is the harlot, the mántra the māgadhá, discernment the garment, day the turban, night the hair, yellow the two pravartás, kalmalí the jewel, f. [14.] both new moon and full moon the two footmen, mind the etc. etc.

All the mss. have in  $e \ mantro$ ; [so also SPP.: correct the Berlin ed.]; in our text it and the *mitro* of I e have been made to change places, for the sake of better adaptation to the surroundings. Why 2 d (44 syllables) should be called by the Anukr. an *ārṣī parānuṣțubh* is obscure; perhaps *triṣțubh* is to be added (or implied from the next preceding definition). [For *pacavas*, perhaps 'victims' would suit the connection better than 'cattle,' here and below.]

3. a.  $\lfloor 15. \rfloor$  He arose; he moved out toward the western quarter; b.  $\lfloor 16. \rfloor$  after him moved out both the  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{n}p\dot{a}$  and the  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{a}j\dot{a}$  and the waters and king Varuṇa; c.  $\lfloor 17. \rfloor$  against both the  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{n}p\dot{a}$  and the  $v\bar{a}i$  $r\bar{a}j\dot{a}$  and the waters and king Varuṇa doth he offend who revileth a thusknowing Vrātya; d.  $\lfloor 18. \rfloor$  of both the  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{n}p\dot{a}$  and the  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{a}j\dot{a}$  and the waters and king Varuṇa doth he become the dear abode [who knoweth thus]. Of him in the western quarter e.  $\lfloor 19. \rfloor$  cheer is the harlot, laughter the  $m\bar{a}gadh\dot{a}$ , discernment the garment, day the turban, night the hair, yellow the two pravartás, kalmalí the jewel, f.  $\lfloor 20. \rfloor$  both day and night the two footmen, mind the etc. etc.

All our earlier mss. accent  $ir\dot{a}$  in e, and our edition followed them, but some of the later ones (O.D.R.s.m.K.) have correctly  $ir\ddot{a}$ , and the text should be emended accordingly. [SPP., p. 322, maintains that the mss. showing  $ir\ddot{a}$  are influenced by the RV. accentuation of the word, and holds that  $ir\dot{a}$  is the true AV. reading.] Some mss. (Bs.R.s.m.D.) accent has ds.

4. a.  $\lfloor 21. \rfloor$  He arose; he moved out toward the northern quarter; b.  $\lfloor 22. \rfloor$  after him moved out both the *cyāitá* and the *nāudhasá* and the seven seers and king Soma; c.  $\lfloor 23. \rfloor$  against both the *cyāitá* and the *nāudhasá* and the seven seers and king Soma doth he offend who revileth a thus-knowing Vrātya; d.  $\lfloor 24. \rfloor$  of both the *cyāitá* and the *nāudhasá* and the seven seers and king Soma doth he become the dear abode [who knoweth thus]. Of him in the northern quarter e.  $\lfloor 25. \rfloor$  lightning is the harlot, thunder the  $m\bar{a}gadh\dot{a}$ , discernment the garment, day the turban, night the hair, yellow the two *pravartás*, *kalmali* the jewel, f. [26.] both what is heard and what is heard abroad the two footmen, mind the [rough vehicle, g. 27. Mātariçvan and Pavamāna the two drawers of the rough vehicle, the wind the charioteer, the whirlwind the goad, h. 28. both fame and glory the two forerunners: to him cometh fame, cometh glory, who knoweth thus.]

The majority of our mss. read caitd in **b**, **c**, **d**; cyaitd is given by I.O.D.R.K. In **b**, I.O.K. have saptars-; in **c**, **d** they agree with the rest in saptars-. [The cyaita and naudhasa are mentioned together at KBU. i. 5.]

### 3. Paryāya the third.

[ekādaça. 1. pipilikamadhyā gāyatrī; 2. sāmny uṣṇih; 3. yājuṣi jagatī; 4. 2-p. ārcy uṣṇih; 5. ārcī bṛhatī; 6. āsury anuṣṭubh; 7. sāmnī gāyatrī; 8. āsurī pañkti; 9. āsurī jagatī; 10. prājāpatyā triṣṭubh; 11. vurād gāyatrī.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 131; Griffith, ii. 188. — In part also by Zimmer, p. 155.

I. He stood a year erect; the gods said to him: Vrātya, why now standest thou?

One ms. (O.) accents  $\bar{u}rdhv\delta't$ . The Anukr. apparently reads  $-v\delta at$  and scans as 10 + 6 + 8 = 24.

2. He said : Let them bring together a settle [āsandi] for me.

The Anukr. implies so ab- and -tu iti.

3. For that Vrātya they brought together a settle.

The Anukr. implies  $-y\bar{a}ya \bar{a}s$ . With the description that follows compare that of a similar structure in KBU. i. 5, and JB. ii. 24, [AB. viii. 12, and my note to xiv. 2. 65].

4. Of it, both summer and spring were two feet, both autumn and the rains [were] two.

5. Both brhát and rathamtará were the two length-wise [pieces], both yajñāyajñíya and vāmadevyá the two cross[-pieces].

Nearly all our mss. (not Bp., which has *-cye iti*) give  $an\bar{u}cyd$ ; [and SPP. so reads without note of variant]. At the end, the majority have *tiraqce* or else *-qcd* (E.O.K. have *-qcd*: but Bp. has *-qce iti*); and this accent [the *svarita*] points distinctly toward *tiraqcyd*, which is doubtless the true reading; it is given by R.T., and I. has *-qcyd*, with wrong accent; our text is to be emended accordingly to *tiraqcyd* (cf. adharācyd, anūcyd, apīcyd, udīcyd, pratīcyd, prācyd). [SPP. reads *tiraqcyd* with no less than six of his authorities; and these are supported, *pro tanto*, by nine others that read *-qcd*.]

6. The verses  $(\dot{r}c)$  the forward cords  $(t\dot{a}ntu)$ , the sacrificial formulas  $(y\dot{a}jus)$  the cross ones.

The descriptions in KBU. and JB. have ātāna instead of tantu.

7. The Veda the cushion (āstáraņa), the bráhman the pillow (upabárhaņa).

8. The chant (sāman) the scat, the udgīthá the support (?).

The translation implies that udgithd 'paçrayáh at the end (p. -tháh :  $apa\circ crayáh$ ) is a corruption for -thá upaçrayáh, this being favored by udgitha upacrih is the Poona ed., p. "114-13," top in KBU.; the Pet. Lexx. conjecture 'cushion' (Polster) for apacrayá, but one does not see how the word should get any such sense; Aufrecht conjectures 'coverlet,' as does M. Muller [SBE. i. 278] for upacri: but the latter should be something that leans against or is leaned against.

9. That settle the Vrātya ascended.

10. Of him the god-folk were the footmen, resolves (samkalpá) the messengers (prahāyyà), all beings the waiters (upasád).

The mss. vary considerably in their readings of *prahāyyàs*; Bp.O. [and five of SPP's authorities] have  $-\bar{a}ryy$ , R.p.m.  $-\bar{a}ry$ , R.s.m.  $-\bar{a}y$ , E.  $-\bar{a}yydv$ , P.M.W.  $-\bar{a}yydn$ . [SPP. reads *prahāyyà*! with twelve of his authorities.]

11. All beings become his waiters who knoweth thus.

R. is the only ms. that has the last two words.

# 4. Paryāya the fourth.

[dvyūnā unçati. a of 1, 5, 6. dāivī jagatī; a of 2, 3, 4. prājāpatyā gāyatrī; 1 b, 3 b. ārcy anustubh; 1 c, 4 c. 2-p. prājāpatyā jagatī; 2 b. prājāpatyā paūktı; 2 c. ārcī jagatī; 3 c. bhāumārcī [1] tristubh; 4 b. sāmnī tristubh; 5 b. prājāpatyā brhutī; 5 c, 6 c. 2-p. ārcī paūktı; 6 b. ārcy usņih.]

Translated: Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 131; Griffith, ii. 188.—For a table of the seasons and months, see the Introduction to my translation of the Karpūra-manjarī (ed. Konow), p. 214.

I. [I.] For him, from the castern quarter, [2.] they made the two spring months guardians, and *brhát* and *rathaintará* attendants. [3.] The two spring months guard from the castern quarter, and *brhát* and *rathaintará* attend (*anu-sthā*), him who knoweth thus.

The subdivisions of verses [see page 772, ¶ 2 above] acknowledged by the Anukr. in this hymn are those marked by the mss. and edition; 1 a has one syllable less than belongs to it by the definition (and so also 1 b, but there is no name \* for a division containing 23 syllables). In b is to be read in all the verses dkurvan, with the mss. The Pet. Lexx. render anusthātf by 'accomplisher,' which does not suit well with anu-sthā in c. \*[That is, no express name :  $g\bar{a}yatri nicrt$  is a description by reference to another metrical unity.]

2. [4.] For him, from the southern quarter, [5.] they made the two summer months guardians, and  $yaj\bar{n}\bar{a}yaj\bar{n}iya$  and  $v\bar{a}madcvyd$  attendants. [6.] The two summer months guard from the southern quarter, and yaj $n\bar{a}yaj\bar{n}iya$  and  $v\bar{a}madevyd$  attend, him who knoweth thus.

3. [7.] For him, from the western quarter, [8.] they made the two rainy months guardians, and  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{u}p\dot{a}$  and  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{a}j\dot{a}$  attendants. [9.] The

777

two rainy months guard from the western quarter, and  $v\bar{a}ir\bar{u}p\dot{a}$  and  $v\bar{a}i$ - $r\bar{a}j\dot{a}$  attend, him who knoweth thus.

The Anukr. implies in a *pratici-ās*. For c, the definition *bhāumārcī* [so the Berlin ms. and SPP's excerpts in his Critical Notice, p. 224] is elsewhere unknown, and appears to be equivalent to simple *ārcī*.

4.  $\lfloor 10. \rfloor$  For him, from the northern quarter,  $\lfloor 11. \rfloor$  they made the two autumn months guardians, and *çyāitá* and *nāudhasá* attendants.  $\lfloor 12. \rfloor$  The two autumn months guard from the northern quarter, and *çyāitá* and *nāudhasá* attend, him who knoweth thus.

Here again (as in 2. 4), the mss. vary between  $\zeta y \bar{a} i t \dot{a}$  and  $\zeta \bar{a} i t \dot{a}$  in **b** and **c**, but Bp. this time has  $\zeta y \bar{a} i$ .

5. [13.] For him, from the fixed quarter, [14.] they made the two winter months guardians, and earth and fire attendants. [15.] The two winter months guard from the fixed quarter, and earth and fire attend, him who knoweth thus.

6. [16.] For him, from the upward quarter, [17.] they made the two cool months guardians, and heaven and Aditya attendants. [18.] The two cool months guard from the upward quarter, and heaven and Aditya attend, him who knoweth thus.

# 5. Paryāya the fifth.

# [sodaça. mantroktarudradevatyāh. 1 a 3-p. samavışamā gāyatrī; 1 b. 3-p. bhurıg ārcī trıştubh; c of 1-7. 2-p. prājāpatyā 'nuştubh; 2 a 3-p. svarāt prājāpatyā paākti; b of 2-4, 6. 3-p. brāhmī gāyatrī; a of 3, 4, 6. 3-p. kakubh; a of 5, 7. bhurıg vışamā gāyatrī; 5 b. nıcrd brāhmī gāyatrī; 7 b. vırāj.]

In this hymn, again, the division made by the mss. and the Anukr. is very strange and obviously opposed to the sense. Sixteen subdivisions the reckoning is explained above at p. 772,  $\P$  3 are made by reckoning the last 16 syllables [following  $f_{\zeta\bar{a}nah}$ and] (beginning with  $n\bar{a}$  'sya) as belonging only to verses I and 7; and the mss. set no avasāna-mark after tisthati, where alone it has reason, but, in vss. I, 7, introduce it after  $f_{\zeta\bar{a}nah}$ , in the middle of a sentence. Rather than put it in so out of place, we have omitted it in our text. One ms. (R.), it may be noticed, fills out to tisthati<sup>o</sup>:  $n\bar{a}$ 'sya paçtan nd sa-°, showing that it understands vss. 2-6 to be carried out in full, like I and 7; the other mss. stop at diçáh, | but at anusthātā in vs. 6 |.

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 132; Muir, iv.<sup>2</sup> 338; Griffith, ii. 189.

I.  $\lfloor I. \rfloor$  For him, from the intermediate direction of the eastern quarter, they made the archer (*iṣrvāsá*) Bhava attendant.  $\lfloor 2. \rfloor$  The archer Bhava attends him [as] attendant from the intermediate direction of the eastern quarter; not Çarva, not Bhava, not Içāna ('the lord')  $\lfloor 3. \rfloor$  injures him nor his cattle nor his fellows who knoweth thus.

A resolution is needed in a to make 24 syllables (10+6+8), also in b to make the meter *bhurij*. [This paragraph is reckoned to the *rāudra gaņa*; see note to Kāuç. 50. 13.] [The word "him" after "injures" is part of the second *avasāna*.]

2. [4.] For him, from the intermediate direction of the southern quarter, they made the archer Çarva attendant. [5.] The archer Çarva attends him as attendant from the intermediate direction of the southern quarter; not Çarva etc. etc.

3.  $\lfloor 6. \rfloor$  For him, from the intermediate direction of the western quarter, they made the archer Paçupati ('lord of cattle') attendant.  $\lfloor 7. \rfloor$  The archer Paçupati attends him as attendant from the intermediate direction of the western quarter; not Çarva etc. etc.

4. [8.] For him, from the intermediate direction of the northern quarter, they made the archer, the formidable god, attendant. [9.] The archer, the formidable god, attends him as attendant from the intermediate direction of the northern quarter; not Çarva etc. etc.

At the beginning, read in our text tásmā for tásmāi.

5. [10.] For him, from the intermediate direction of the fixed quarter, they made the archer Rudra attendant. [11.] The archer Rudra attends him as attendant from the intermediate direction of the fixed quarter; not Çarva etc. etc.

6. [12.] For him, from the intermediate direction of the upward quarter, they made the archer Mahādeva ('great god') attendant. [13.] The archer Mahādeva attends him as attendant from the intermediate direction of the upward quarter; not Çarva etc. etc.

7. [14.] For him, from all the intermediate directions, they made the archer Içāna attendant. [15.] The archer Içāna as attendant attends him from all the intermediate directions; not Çarva, [not Bhava, not Içāna, 16. injures him nor his cattle nor his fellows who knoweth thus].

The mss. vary in **a** and **b** between sáruebhyo ant- and -bhyo 'nt-; in **a**, only P.M W.E. have -bhyo 'nt-; in **b**, [at least two, E. and] Bs. Probably our text ought to give in both places -bhyo ant-; [so SPP. with all but two of his authorities].

After the definition of the c of 1-7 the Anukr. adds: hinasti  $vy\bar{a}ghr\bar{a}disv$  avagantavyah; which apparently means that in 2-6 is to be understood the verb hinasti, which is expressed only in 1 c and 7 c;  $vy\bar{a}ghr\bar{a}disu$  is probably a corruption.

LAfter its metrical definition of xi. 1. 36, the Anukr. inserts the words  $vy\bar{a}ghr\bar{a}disv$ avagantaryā; and after that of xiv. 1. 60 occur the words (see p. 740) ity, or parāvany, edhisīmahīti  $vy\bar{a}ghr\bar{a}disv$  avagantavyah. — One ritual use of xiv. 1. 60 is as a prayer for the safety of the bride as she sets out for her new home. In that connection, a specification of the safety as "in respect of tigers and so forth" would be entirely appropriate. And it is also appropriate here, at xv. 5. — The verse xi. 1. 36 is used in the ritual (Kāuç. 63. 9) with iv. 14. 5 in the sava offering : the former, as a prayer for safety on the road to heaven (*ágne patháh kalpaya devayánān*); the latter, somewhat' similarly (svar yantu yájamānāh svast). Although tigers more frequently accelerate than retard a Hindu's transit to heaven, the verses may nevertheless be conceived as used secondarily for safety on terrestrial paths. — Accordingly the remark of the Anukr

-xv. 5

779

is perhaps intended as exceptical, but it is at any rate most unexpected. — The vs. AV. xii. 1. 49 furnishes testimony (quite superfluous) to the familiarity of the ancient Hindus with "man-eaters."

# 6. Paryāya the sixth.

[sadvinçati. 1 a, 2 a. āsurī paīkti; a of 3-6, 9. āsurī byhatī; 8 a. paroṣṇih; 1 b, 6 b. ārcī paīkti; 7 a. ārcy uṣṇih; 2 b, 4 b. sāmnī tristubh; 3 b. sāmnī paīkti; 5 b, 8 b. ārcī tristubh; 7 b. sāmny anustubh; 9 b. ārcy anustubh; 1 c. ārsī paīkti; 2 c, 4 c. nicrd byhatī; 3 c. prājāpatyā tristubh; 5 c, 6 c. virād jagatī; 7 c. ārcī byhatī; 9 c. virād byhatī.]

In this paryaya, the division of the Anukr. and of the mss. suits (except in vs. 8, which see) the sense, and has therefore been retained unchanged in our text.

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 132; Griffith, ii. 190.

I.  $\lfloor I. \rfloor$  He moved out toward the fixed quarter;  $\lfloor 2. \rfloor$  after him moved out both earth and fire and herbs and forest trees and they of forest trees and plants.  $\lfloor 3. \rfloor$  Verily both of earth and of fire and of herbs and of forest trees and of them of forest trees and of plants doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

To make the metrical descriptions fit closely the subdivisions, we need to read vt-acal- in **a** and **b**, and so ag- in **c**: and so more or less throughout the hymn.

2.  $\lfloor 4. \rfloor$  He moved out toward the upward quarter;  $\lfloor 5. \rfloor$  after him moved out both right and truth and sun and moon and asterisms.  $\lfloor 6. \rfloor$  Verily both of right and of truth and of sun and of moon and of asterisms doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

In c, ca is to be inserted after rtásya.

3.  $\lfloor 7. \rfloor$  He moved out toward the highest quarter;  $\lfloor 8. \rfloor$  after him moved out both the verses and the chants and the sacrificial formulas and the *bráhman*.  $\lfloor 9. \rfloor$  Verily both of the verses and of the chants and of the sacrificial formulas and of the *bráhman* doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

4. [10.] He moved out toward the great quarter; [11.] after him moved out both the *itihāsá* ('narrative') and the *purāņá* ('story of eld') and the *gáthās* ('songs') and the *nārāçansīs* ('culogies'). [12.] Verily both of the *itihāsá* and of the *purāņá* and of the *gáthās* and of the *nārāçansīs* doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

5.  $\lfloor 13. \rfloor$  He moved out toward the most distant quarter;  $\lfloor 14. \rfloor$  after him moved out both the fire of offering and the householder's fire and the southern fire and the sacrifice and the sacrificer and the cattle.  $\lfloor 15. \rfloor$  Verily both of the fire of offering and of the householder's fire and of the southern fire and of the sacrifice and of the sacrificer and of the cattle doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus. 6. [16.] He moved out toward an unindicated quarter; [17.] after him moved out both the seasons and they of the seasons and the worlds and they of the worlds and the months and the half-months and day-andnight. [18.] Verily both of the seasons and of them of the seasons and of the worlds and of them of the worlds and of the months and of the half-months and of day-and-night doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

Most of the mss. accent  $l\delta ka$  in both **b** and **c** (R.s.m.K.D. have  $l\delta kas;$  only R.s.m. has  $l\delta kanam$ ); our text makes the needed correction. [With the almost unanimous support of his authorities, SPP. prints  $l\delta kas$ ,  $l\delta kanam$ , which accentuation (albeit so isolated) he takes in this case to be "the genuine Atharvan accent": see his notes, p. 330 f.]

7. [19.] He moved out toward an unreturned quarter; from it he thought not that he should return; [20.] after him moved out both Diti and Aditi and Idā and Indrānī. [21.] Verily both of Diti and of Aditi and of Idā and of Indrānī doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

Anavrtta in a is obscure: the Pet. Lexx. render 'untrodden,' and Aufrecht, 'unvisited'; but both against the analogy of  $n\ddot{a}$  "vartsyán (also of ánāvrt and anāvartin; perhaps the true reading is anāvrtyām 'not to be returned from.' Bp. reads avartsyán, the other pada-mss.  $\bar{a} \circ vartsyán$ . I. accents indrāuyàç.

8. [22.] He moved out toward the quarters; [no *avasāna* !] after him moved out the *viráj* and all the gods and all the deities. [23.] Verily both of *viráj* and of all the gods and of all the deities doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

There seems to be no good reason why this verse should not be divided, like all the rest, into three parts; but the Anukr. does not so prescribe, nor do the mss. set an *avasāna*-mark after the first *vy àcalat*: [compare above, p. 772,  $\P 2$ ]. The mss. all agree in accenting the second *anu*.

9. [24.] He moved out toward all the intermediate directions; [25.] after him moved out both Prajāpati and the most exalted one and the father and the grandfather. [26.] Verily both of Prajāpati and of the most exalted one and of the father and of the grandfather doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

# 7. Paryāya the seventh.

[pañcaka. 1. 3-p. nicrd gāyatrī; 2. 1-p. vırād brhatī; 3. virād uṣṇih; 4. 1-p. gāyatrī; 5. pañkti.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 133; Griffith, ii. 191.

1. That greatness, becoming sessile (?sádru), went to the end of the earth; it became ocean.

[Or, 'He, becoming a sessile greatness, went' etc.: so W. suggests in a pencilled note.] Aufrecht and the Pet. Lexx. suspect a play of words between  $s\dot{a}dru$  and  $samu-dr\dot{a}$ , but the likeness is too slight to make the matter certain. Aufrecht renders  $s\dot{a}drur$   $bh\bar{u}tv\dot{a}$  by "setting itself in motion," as if sa + dru, and the Pet. Lexx. seem to favor the same etymology as had in view by the writer, but it is hardly to be credited. Aufrecht reads in the third pāda sa samudro; I have noted sá only as inserted sec. manu in one ms. (O.); if read, it would make the verse answer better the metrical description. [SPP. does in fact read sá samudró, with the support of all his authorities.]\*

2. After it, turned out both Prajāpati and the most exalted one and the father and the grandfather and the waters and faith, becoming rain.

3. To him come waters, to him cometh faith, to him cometh rain, who knoweth thus.

All our mss. read gachati after apas; [and so all of SPP's authorities].

4. Unto it turned about both faith and sacrifice and world and food and food-eating, coming into being  $(bh\bar{u}tv\dot{a})$ .

5. To him cometh faith, to him cometh sacrifice, to him cometh a world, to him cometh food, to him cometh food-eating, who knoweth thus.

[Here ends the first anuvāka with 7 paryāyas: see above, p. 770, end. For the summation of avasānarcas (112), see p. 771, near end.]

# 8. Paryāya the eighth.

[trika. 1. sāmny usnih ; 2. prājāpatyā 'nustubh ; 3. ārcī pankti.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 134; Griffith, ii. 192.

1. He became impassioned (raj); thence was born the noble (rājanyà).

Both elided initial a's need to be restored in order to fill out the metrical description of the Anukr.

2. He arose toward  $(abhi_{0})$  the tribes  $(vi_{f})$ , the kinsmen, food, foodeating.

Half the mss. (Bp.Bs.p.m.E.O.D.K.) omit *ánnam*; the metrical definition of the Anukr. implies its presence.

3. Verily both of the tribes and of the kinsmen and of food and of food-eating doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

\* Upon the margin of his ms., opposite this passage, Whitney has pencilled the memorandum "? Ask Weber and Rost and Roth." He evidently intended to ask them to examine upon this point the Berlin and London and Tubingen mss. respectively and to tell him whether any of them did in fact read sa samudro. In the brief interval since that query was noted, all those three distinguished men of learning have passed away, and likewise he who would have asked them. Meantime, the question has been cleared up  $(vy\bar{a}krta)$  by the edition of that admirable Hindu scholar, S. P. Pandit, and he too, alas, is no more here!

prastavyāļi prastukāmaç ca te sarve svargam āsthitāļi āihikānityatām paçya na vyūkartā 'pi jīvati || |

### 9. Paryāya the ninth.

#### [trika. 1. āsurī jagatī ; 2. ārcī gāyatrī ; 3. ārcī pankti.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 134; Griffith, ii. 192. - Cf. Zimmer, p. 194.

1. He moved out toward the tribes.

2. After him moved out both the assembly and the gathering and the army and strong drink.

3. Verily both of the assembly and of the gathering and of the army and of strong drink doth he become the dear abode who knoweth thus.

### 10. Paryāya the tenth.

[ekādaçaka. 1. 2-p. sāmnī brhatī; 2. 3-p. ārcī paūktı; 3. 2-p. prājāpatyā paūktı; 4. 3-p. vardhamānā gāyatrī; 5. 3-p. sāmnī brhatī; 6, 8, 10. 2-p. āsurī gāyatrī; 7, 9. sāmny usnih; 11. āsurī brhatī.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 134; Griffith, ii. 192.

1. So then, to the houses of whatever king a thus-knowing Vrātya may come as guest, —

2. He should esteem him better than himself; so does he not offend  $(\bar{a}$ -vracc) against dominion; so does he not offend against royalty.

[That is, 'he [the king] should esteem him [the Vrātya] better,' ctc.] The Berlin mss. read, as the sense requires,  $m\bar{a}nayet t dth\bar{a}$ , nor was any deviation from this noted in the mss. collated before publication; those compared later, however, all give  $m\bar{a}nayet t dth\bar{a}$ ; [and so do all of SPP's authorities, including his then living reciters, but excepting his ms. C<sup>p</sup>, which has  $m\bar{a}nayet t dth\bar{a}$ , secunda manu, and  $m\bar{a}naye t$ , prima manu. — Compare the case of yame dirgham, yamed dirgham, at xviii. 2. 3.]

3. Thence verily arose both sanctity (bráhman) and dominion; they said: Whom shall we enter?

'Thence' (*átas*) Aufrecht understands to mean "out of him (the Vrātya)" — which 1s possible, but doubtful: compare *átas* in vs. 5.

4. Let sanctity enter Brihaspati [and] dominion Indra; thus verily: it was said (*iti*).

Or the *iti* means 'he (the Vrātya) said'; Aufrecht so understands it. The mss. make very bad work over the verb in this verse: Bp. reads *praviçatu*, Bs.P.M.O.T.K. *prāviçatu*, all without accent; E. has *prā viçatu*, R. *prāviçátu*, D. *praviçátu*. The true reading is doubtless *praviçátu*, and our text should be emended to this; the situation is one in which an accent on the verb-form is called for. There is no reason for understanding *pra-ā*, and the prolongation of simple *pru* to *prā* is wholly unsuited to this book. [SPP's authorities show a fairly bewildering variety of differences, in respect to *bráhma praviçátu* : see his note, p. 334.] The metrical definition of the Anukr. [6+7+8: Ind. Stud. viii. 129] does not fit at all.

5. Thence (*dtas*) verily sanctity entered Brihaspati [and] dominion Indra. For *pråviçat* the *pada*-text has *prá* : *aviçat* ; doubtless it should be *praodviçat*.

-xv. IO

6. This earth verily is Prajāpati, the sky is Indra.

7. This fire verily is sanctity, yonder Aditya is dominion.

8. To him comes sanctity, he becomes possessed of the splendor of sanctity (brahmavarcasin), ---

9. Who knows earth as Brihaspati, fire as sanctity.

10. To him comes Indra's quality, he becomes possessed of Indra's quality, ---

11. Who knows Aditya as dominion, the sky as Indra.

### 11. Paryāya the eleventh.

[ekādaçaka. 1. dāivī paūktı; 2 2-p. pūrvātristub atiçakvarī; 3–6, 8, 10. 3-p. ārcī brhatī (10 bhurij); 7, 9. 2-p. prājāpatyā brhatī; 11. 2-p. ārcy anustubh.]

As for the minor divisions of this *paryāya*, see page 772, ¶ 4, above.

Translated : Aufrecht, *Ind. Stud.* i. 134; Griffith, ii. 193. — Griffith here cites most appositely the parallel passages of the Apastambīya Dharma-sūtra; and I have thought it good to give them in the sequel.

[For convenience of comparison, the passage from Ap. Dharma-sūtra, ii. 3. 7, parallel to our vss. 1-2, may here be given: āhitāgnim ced atithir abhyāgacchet, svayam enam abhyudetya brūyāt: vrātya kvā 'vātsir iti: vrātya udakam iti: vrātya tarpayanstv (1) iti. 13. purā 'gnihotrasya homād upānçu japet: vrātya yathā te manas tathā 'stv iti: vrātya yathā te vaças tathā 'stv iti: vrātya yathā te priyam tathā 'stv iti: vrātya yathā te nukāmas tathā 'stv iti. 14.]

1. So then, to whosesoever houses a thus-knowing Vrātya may come as guest, —

All that the mss. give for this verse is the two words  $vraty\delta$  'tithuh. But this is obviously in virtue of their usual abbreviation in case of repeated matter; the verse is the same with 10. I except for the omission of rajnas between vratyas and dtithis. The abbreviation is continued in 12. I and in 13. I-4, and then 13. 5 reads in full the ydsyāi 'vain vidvān vrātyah, because it is the last case of occurrence of the phrase. All this admits of no real question, and the verses are all thus filled up by Aufrecht in his translation, although he leaves the Sanskrit text in its abbreviated form; it is worth so many words here only because the Anukr. commits the blunder of regarding  $vraty\delta$ 'tithih as the whole verse, and defines it as one of five syllables (restoring the clided a). He has never committed the same blunder in the numerous, but less striking, cases of the same kind that we have had hitherto.

2. Himself coming up toward him, he should say: Vrātya, where hast thou abode (vas)? Vrātya, [here is] water; Vrātya, let them gratify [thee]; Vrātya, be it so as is dear to thee; Vrātya, be it so as is thy will  $(vá_{\epsilon}a)$ ; Vrātya, be it so as is thy desire  $(nik\bar{a}m\dot{a})$ .

3. In that he says to him : Vrātya, where hast thou abode? he thereby gains possession of the roads that the gods travel.

4. In that he says to him: Vrātya, [here is] water, he thereby gains possession of the waters.

R. is the only ms. that writes out at the beginning of this verse and the next yddenam that. It seems a blunder of the Anukr. to include this verse with 3, 5, 6, 8, 10 in one definition, as it is shorter than they by some six syllables; one of the mss. does in fact omit it here, but gives no definition of it elsewhere. LAt AB. viii. 24 is a passage bearing some similarity to this.

5. In that he says to him : Vrātya, let them gratify [thee], he thereby makes his breath (prand) longer.

[We had the last clause above at ix. 6. 19.]

6. In that he says to him : Vrātya, be it so as is dear to thee, he thereby gains possession of what is dear.

7. To him cometh what is dear, he becometh dear to his dear one (m.), who knoweth thus.

8. In that he says to him : Vrātya, be it so as is thy will, he thereby gains possession of [his] will.

Here again all the mss. save one (R.) omit the first four or five words, because they occur again in vs. 10. The majority of mss. (except E.D.R.s.m.K.) accent vaccam, though all have vaccas. [Eight or nine of SPP's have vaccam.]

9. Unto him cometh [his] will, a will-possessor of will-possessors becometh he who knoweth thus.

Most of the mss. (except D.R.s.m.) again accent vacds; Land so twelve of SPP's, but not his crotriyas; O. has vacinām. Read at the beginning in our text  $\bar{a}t$  'nam (an accent-sign slipped out of place).

10. In that he says to him : Vrātya, be it so as is thy desire, he thereby gains possession of [his] desire.

11. To him cometh [his] desire, he cometh to be  $(bh\bar{u})$  in the desire of desire, who knoweth thus.

One would like to emend nikāmé to -mi.

### 12. Paryāya the twelfth.

[ekādaçaka. 1. 3-p. gāyatrī ; 2. prājāpatyā brhatī ; 3, 4. bhurik prājāpatyā 'nustubh (4. sāmnī) ; 5, 6, 9, 10. āsurī gāyatrī ; 8. vırād gāyatrī ; 7, 11. 3-p. prājāpatyā tristubh.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 135; Griffith, ii. 194.

[The passage from Ap. Dharma-sūtra, ii. 3. 7 (see introd. to paryāya 11), parallel to our vss. 1-3, may here be given : yasyo 'ddhrteşv ahuteşv agnişv atithir abhyāgacchet svayam enam abhyudetya brūyāt : vrātya atisrja hosyāmi : ity atisrstena hotavyam : anatisrstaç cej juhuyād doşam brāhmaņam āha. 15.]

I. Now then, to whosesoever houses a thus-knowing Vrātya may come as guest when the fires are taken up and the fire-offering (*agnihotrá*) set on, —

Not one of the mss. writes the first four words of the verse, they being viewed as repeated from 10.1; and here also (compare note to 11.1) the Anukr. reckons them as

785

not belonging to the verse. Bp.O.Kp. write *údhrteşu* (the compound being inseparable by Prāt. iv. 62). Bp. further has *údhiocrte*.

2. Himself coming up toward him, he should say: Vrātya, give permission; I am about to make oblation.

3. If he should permit, he may make oblation; if he should not permit, he may not make oblation.

4. He who, being permitted by a thus-knowing Vrātya, makes oblation, —

**Prājāpatyā** and sāmny anustubh are each of sixteen syllables; what the Anukr. means by its use of both terms in regard to this verse and not in regard to vs. 3 is difficult to see. LHis words are ... iti dve prājāpatyānustubhāu; dvitīyā sāmnī; tatho'bhe bhurijāu. He appears to set up a class of two vss. (3 and 4) of 17 syllables (16+1)each: from which he then proceeds to except one vs. (4) by saying that it is sāmnī or has only 16. He might have expressed himself much less awkwardly by writing (instead of the last two clauses) pūrvā bhurik.

5. He foreknows the road that the Fathers go, the road that the gods go.

A couple of the mss. (D.R.) accent *jānāti*, which is better; [and so do seven or eight of SPP's authorities].

6. He does not offend against the gods; his oblation is [duly] made.

7. There is left over in this world a support (*āyátana*) for him who, being permitted by a thus-knowing Vrātya, makes oblation.

8. Now then, he who, being unpermitted by a thus-knowing Vrātya, makes oblation, —

9. He knows not the road that the Fathers go, nor the road that the gods go.

The same mss. accent jānāti here as in vs. 5.

10. He offends against the gods; his oblation is not [duly] made.

The majority of mss. (except Bs.E.) read *vrçcate ah-*, which is therefore probably the true text; [and so SIPP. reads with all but two of his authorities]. The accent *ahutám* (for *dhutam*) is probably an error.

11. There is left in this world no support for him who, being unpermitted by a thus-knowing Vrātya, makes oblation.

### 13. Paryāya the thirteenth.

[caturdaça. 1 a. sāmny uṣṇih; 1 b, 3 b. prājāpatyā 'nuṣṭubh; a of 2-4. āsurī gāyatrī; 2 b, 4 b. sāmnī brhatī; 5 a. 3-p. nicrd gāyatrī; 5 b. 2-p. virād gāyatrī; 6. prājāpatyā paūktu; 7. āsurī jagatī; 8. sataķpaūkti; 9. akṣarapaūkti.]

[As to the minor divisions of this *paryāya*, see page 772, ¶ 4, above.] Translated: Aufrecht, *Ind. Stud.* i. 135; Griffith, ii. 195.

[The passage from Ap. Dharma-sūtra, ii. 3. 7 (see introd. to paryāya 11), parallel to our vss. 1-5, may here be given: ekarātram ced atithīn vāsayet pārthivāni lokān abhijayati, dvitīyayā 'ntariksyān's, trīīyayā divyānç, caturthyā parāvato lokān, aparimitābhir aparimitāni lokān abhijayatī 'ti vijnāyate. 16.] 787

I. [I.] Now in whosesoever house a thus-knowing Vrātya abides one night as guest, [2.] he thereby gains possession of those pure (punya) worlds that are on the earth.

Here again, and in the following verses through 4, the Anukr. fails to make any account of the first four words, *thid ydsyāi 'vdm vidvān*, omitted by the mss. on account of repetition (see note to 11.1); they are restored in our text.

2. [3.] Now in whosesoever house a thus-knowing Vrātya abides a second night as guest, [4.] he thereby gains possession of those pure worlds that are in the atmosphere.

Part of the mss. (I.O.R.T.), [with nine of SPP's authorities], read ye ant- at beginning of **b**.

3.  $\lfloor 5. \rfloor$  Now in whosesoever house a thus-knowing Vrātya abides a third night as guest,  $\lfloor 6. \rfloor$  he thereby gains possession of those pure worlds that are in the sky.

4.  $\lfloor 7. \rfloor$  Now in whosesoever house a thus-knowing Vrātya abides a fourth night as guest,  $\lfloor 8. \rfloor$  he thereby gains possession of those worlds that are pure of the pure.

That is, doubtless, that are especially pure. In a, read vidvan (an accent-mark slipped out of place).

5. [9.] Now in whosesoever house a thus-knowing Vrātya abides unlimited nights as guest, [10.] he thereby gains possession of those pure worlds that are unlimited.

In a, read again *vidvān* (same error). [Instead of the *tripadā* of our mss. of the Anukr. in the description of 5 a, SPP. prints *dvipadā*, Critical Notice, p. 22<sup>17</sup>.]

6. [11.] Now to whosesoever houses may come as guest a non-Vrātya, calling himself a Vrātya, bearing the name [only], —

 $N\bar{a}ma\circ bibhrati$  (so in p.) is so anomalous a formation that we can hardly regard it as otherwise than corrupt, perhaps for  $n\bar{a}ma$  bibhrat or  $n\bar{a}mabibhrat$ .

7. [12.] He may draw him, and he may not draw him.

That is, apparently, whether he invite him urgently or not. But the Pet. Lex. takes the verb as meaning 'treat with violence, punish' — which is unacceptable, as the entertainer is not supposed to be certain whether his guest is a real Vrātya or not. Aufrecht leaves the verse untranslated. There is [with this interpretation] no perceptible reason why the second *kárset* should be accented. Another interpretation, however, may be suggested as possible: that *kársed enam* is apodosis to the preceding verse: 'he may tousle (maltreat) him'; and the rest, protasis to vs. 8: 'if he do not tousle him' (because he is not sufficiently certain of his real character), then he may pay him honors under protest, as stated in vs. 8. But then we should expect vs. 7 to be divided into two pādas, which is done neither by the *pada*-mss. nor by the Anukr.

8. [13.] For this deity I ask water; this deity I cause to abide; this, this deity I wait upon — with this thought he should wait upon him.

-xv. 13

That is, my attentions are meant for the deity whom a Vrātya represents, and not for this particular individual. [See above, p. 770, top.] The repetition  $im\dot{a}m$   $im\dot{a}m$  is very strange, and seems unmotived. The *pada*-text sets its *avasāna*-mark, as if denoting a *pāda*-division, both times between  $im\dot{a}m$  and *devátām*, in palpable violation of the sense.

9. [14.] In that deity doth that become [duly] offered of him who knoweth thus.

## 14. Paryāya the fourteenth.

[catvāri vinçatiç ca [sc. vacanāni]. 1 a. 3-p. anustubh ; b of 1-12. 2-p. āsurī gāyatrī (b of 6-9. bhurik prājāpatyā 'nustubh'; 2 a, 5 a. purausnih ; 3 a. anustubh ; 4 a. prastārapankti ; 6 a. svarād gāyatrī; 7 a, 8 a. ārcī panktu ; 10 a. bhurin nāgī gāyatrī; 11 a. prājāpatyā tristubh.]

[Respecting the subdivisions of the *paryāya*, see page 772, top.] Translated : Aufrecht, *Ind. Stud.* i. 136; Griffith, ii. 195.

I. [I.] As he moved out toward the eastern quarter, the troop (cardhas) of Maruts, coming into being  $(bh\bar{u}tva)$ , moved out after, making mind [their] food-eater; [2.] with mind as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

Aufrecht understands the meaning to be as just given, and takes it correspondingly in the verses below. But it would be admissible also to render thus: 'when he moved out toward the eastern quarter, he moved out toward [it] after becoming the troop of Maruts' — and correspondingly in all the other verses. It is possible, by due resolution, to read the first subdivision as 32 syllables and the second as 15 — and so in general in the other verses; no remark will be made upon them unless the cases are especially difficult.

2. [3.] As he moved out toward the southern quarter, Indra, coming into being, moved out after, making strength [his] food-eater; [4.] with strength as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

3. [5.] As he moved out toward the western quarter, king Varuṇa, coming into being, moved out after, making the waters [his] food-eaters; [6.] with the waters as food-eaters doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

Most of the mss. accent apó 'nnādiņ (but Bs. has -d). One or two (I.K.) combine -dis krivā.

4.  $\lfloor 7. \rfloor$  As he moved out toward the northern quarter, king Soma, coming into being, moved out after, making the offering (*dluti*) in what is offered by the seven seers [his] food-eater;  $\lfloor 8. \rfloor$  with the offering as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

5.  $\lfloor 9. \rfloor$  As he moved out toward the fixed quarter, Vishnu, coming into being, moved out after, making *viráj* [his] food-eater;  $\lfloor 10. \rfloor$  with *viráj* as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

6. [11.] As he moved out toward the cattle, Rudra, coming into being, moved out after, making the herbs [his] food-caters; [12.] with the herbs as food-eaters doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

7. [13.] As he moved out toward the Fathers, king Yama, coming into being, moved out after, making the call *svadhá* [his] food-eater; [14.] with the call *svadhá* as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

8.  $\lfloor 15. \rfloor$  As he moved out toward men (*manusyd*), Agni, coming into being, moved out after, making the cry *sváhā* ('hail') [his] food-cater;  $\lfloor 16. \rfloor$  with the cry *sváhā* as food-cater doth he cat food who knoweth thus.

9.  $\lfloor 17. \rfloor$  As he moved out toward the upward quarter, Brihaspati, coming into being, moved out after, making the cry *vásat* [his] food-eater;  $\lfloor 18. \rfloor$  with the cry *vásat* as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

The first pada is not metrically defined by the Anukr.

10.  $\lfloor 19. \rfloor$  As he moved out toward the gods, Içāna ('the lord'), coming into being, moved out after, making fury [his] food-cater;  $\lfloor 20. \rfloor$  with fury as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

If nagi gayatri means 9+9+6 (Colebrooke, *Miscellaneous Essays*, ii. 136, as cited by BR.), the first subdivision here comes so near it as to be capable of being read as 9+9+7 (being *bhurij*).

II. [21.] As he moved out toward progeny, Prajāpati ('lord of progeny'), coming into being, moved out after, making breath [his] foodeater; [22.] with breath as food-cater doth he cat food who knoweth thus.

12.  $\lfloor 23. \rfloor$  As he moved out toward all the intermediate directions, the most exalted one, coming into being, moved out after, making the *bráhman* [his] food-eater;  $\lfloor 24. \rfloor$  with the *bráhman* as food-eater doth he eat food who knoweth thus.

The metrical definition of the first subdivision is wanting in the Anukr.

### 15. Paryāya the fifteenth.

[navaka. 1. dāivī panktı ; 2. āsurī brhatī ; 3, 4, 7, 8 prājāpatyā 'nustubh (4, 7, 8. bhurij)\* ; 5, 6. 2-p. sāmnī brhatī ; 9. vırād gāyatrī.]

\* The Anukr. counts 'sya as asya in vss. 3, 4, 7, and 8, and thus makes them count as 16, 17, 17, and 17 syllables respectively. The text says simply *tisro bhurijas*; but vss. 4, 7, and 8 must be meant.

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 137; Griffith, ii. 197.

1. Of that Vrātya —

Bp. combines this verse and the following into one, reckoning only eight verses in the hymn. And one ms. (R.) regards every verse \* in hymns 15, 16, 17 as beginning with *tasya vratyasya* [followed by an *avasāna*-mark, as, in fact, SPP. prints them : see my statement at page 77 I, end]; this, which is opposed to the Anukr., seems also quite uncalled for and wrong. [But, for our vss. 3 and 4 at least, SPP. notes that his procedure is in accord with all his authorities.] \*[Except 15. 2, which, however, ought properly to form one verse with 15. 1, as it does in fact in Bp.]

2. [There are] seven breaths, seven expirations (apāná), seven outbreathings (vyāná).

3. His breath that is first, upward by name, that is this fire.

4. His breath that is second, preferred (?prāúdha) by name, that is yon sun (ādityá).

The *pada*-mss. accent, doubtless falsely, *praoúdhah* (instead of *práoúdhah*); Bs. and O.p.m. read *próuh*-: see Prāt. iii. 45, note.

5. His breath that is third, inferred (?abhyùdha) by name, that is yon moon.

Some mss. [of W's and of SPP's also] accent 'bhytidho, and Bp. has accordingly abhiotidhah (but D. abhioti-); our text makes the necessary correction to abhyti-; [and so SPP.].

6. His breath that is fourth, mighty (vibh $\dot{u}$ ) by name, that is this cleansing one ( $p\dot{a}vam\bar{a}na$ ).

That is, doubtless, the wind, and not soma.

7. His breath that is fifth, womb (?yóni) by name, that is these waters.

8. His breath that is sixth, dear by name, that is these cattle.

9. His breath that is seventh, unlimited by name, that is these creatures (praja).

### 16. Paryāya the sixteenth.

[saptaka. 1, 3. sāmny usnih ; 2, 4, 5. prājāpatyo 'snih ; 6. yājusī tristubh ; 7. āsurī gāyatrī.]

[The metrical definitions of the Anukr. imply in every verse the inclusion of the words yo 'sya (pronounced as yo asya), and the reading of apānaļi as 3 syllables. — As noted at p. 771, end, SPP. puts each time before yo 'sya the words tásya vrātyasya with an avasāna-mark.]

In this hymn, the mss. in general omit at the beginning both  $y\delta$  and asya, while in 15 and 17 they omit only  $y\delta$ . Some, however, have asya here also (so K.; R.  $y\delta$  asya throughout).

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 137; Griffith, ii. 198.

I. His expiration that is first, that is the day of full moon.

2. His expiration that is second, that is the day of the moon's quarter (astaka).

3. His expiration that is third, that is the day of new moon.

4. His expiration that is fourth, that is faith.

5. His expiration that is fifth, that is consecration.

6. His expiration that is sixth, that is sacrifice.

7. His expiration that is seventh, that is these sacrificial gifts.

[Bloomfield, AJP. xvii. 411, makes some observations on the word *craddha*, vs. 4.]

### 17. Paryāya the seventeenth.

[daça. 1,5. prājāpatyo' sņih ; 2,7. āsury anustubh ; 3. yājusī fankti ; 4. sāmny usņih ; 6. yājusī tristubh ; 8. 3-p. pratisthā "rcī pankti ; 9. 2-p. sāmnī tristubh ; 10. sāmny anustubh.]

Translated : Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 137; Griffith, ii. 198.

- 1. His out-breathing that is first, that is this earth.
- 2. His out-breathing that is second, that is the atmosphere.
- 3. His out-breathing that is third, that is the sky.
- 4. His out-breathing that is fourth, that is the asterisms.
- 5. His out-breathing that is fifth, that is the seasons.
- 6. His out-breathing that is sixth, that is they of the seasons.
- 7. His out-breathing that is seventh, that is the year.

8. The gods go about the same purpose (*ártha*); thus (*etát*) verily the seasons go about after the year and the Vrātya.

One ms. (R.) prefixes *tásya vrátyasya* also to this and the two following verses. [In the Bombay ed., each verse begins with *tásya vrátyasya* and an *avasāna*-mark : see p. 771, end.] The sense of the three is obscure; Aufrecht leaves them untranslated.

9. As they enter together into the sun  $(\bar{a}dityd)$ , just so [do they] also into new-moon day and full-moon day.

The great majority of the mss. (all save Bs.D.K.) accent amāvāsyām.

10. One [is] that immortality of theirs: to this effect (*iti*) [is] the offering.

Except two (D.R.), all the mss. accent éşām.

### 18. Paryāya the eighteenth.

[pañcaka. 1. dāvī paīktı; 2,3. ārcī brhatī; 4. ārcy anustubh; 5. sāmny usnih.] Translated: Aufrecht, Ind. Stud. i. 138; Griffith, ii. 199.

1. Of that Vrātya —

2. As for (y dt) his right eye, that is yonder sun  $(\bar{a} dity d)$ ; as for his left eye, that is yonder moon.

3. As for his right ear, that is this fire; as for his left ear, that is this cleansing one ('wind').

4. Day-and-night [are his] two nostrils; Diti and Aditi [his] two skull-halves; the year [his] head.

5. With the day [is] the Vrātya westward, with the night eastward: homage to the Vrātya.

[Ilere ends the second anuvāka, with 11 paryāyas: see above, p. 770. For the summations of avasānarcas (questionable), see p. 771.]

[Here ends the thirtieth prapāțhaka.]

# Book XVI.

### [Unity of subject not apparent.]

[This is the fourth book of the third grand division (books xiii.-xviii.) of the Atharvan collection. By what warrant it has found a place among the books whose distinctive feature is their unity of subject it is hard to say; and the same is in a measure true of the next book, book xvii.: but see Whitney's General Introduction; also Bloomfield's contribution to the Bühler-Kielhorn *Grundriss*, p. 94. The study of the ritual applications of the book distinctly fails, in my opinion, to reveal any pervading concinnity of purpose or of use.]

[In the *Indische Studien*, xiii. 185, Weber has suggested that parts of the book are evening prayers, to be recited before going to rest, and especially for the warding off of evil dieams (see I. II; 5; 6; 7.8–11); and 9.3–4, at the end of the book, may well be taken as the words of them "that watch [have watched] for the morning" and as expressing the "joy" that "cometh in the morning," and are accordingly placed, as is usual and appropriate, at the end of the *mantras* concerned, in order to indicate the successful accomplishment of the purpose of those *mantras*. One is half tempted to give to the book the title "Against the 'terror by night'?"]

[Laying apart book vi., which has received great attention from the translators (see p. 281), it may be noted that this is the first book of the Atharvan *samhita* of which no translation has as yet been published by the translators of single books. Here again the *blasya* is lacking.] [Nor For "Paritta" as title of book, see p. 1045.]

The [Major] Anukr. calls the whole book  $pr\bar{a}j\bar{a}patya : pr\bar{a}j\bar{a}patyasya$  nava paryāyāħ; and both of its two anuvākas are vidently called by the same name [ $pr\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ patyābhyām] in xix. 23. 26; whether this means to ascribe the authorship of the book to Prajāpati is not certain. —[On the other hand, the Old Anukr. seems rather to imply by its

### prājāpatyo ha catuskah; pancaparyāya uttarah

that the name  $pr\bar{a}_j\bar{a}patya$  pertains only to the first  $anuv\bar{a}ka$ , 'the one of four  $pary\bar{a}yas$ .' It may, however, be added that the  $pr\bar{a}_j\bar{a}patyasya$  in the first line of the printed extract below may mean the whole book or else only the first  $anuv\bar{a}ka$ .]

LQuotations from the Old Anukr. are given piecemcal through the mss. of the book. They may here be given in connected form as printed by SPP. in his Critical Notice, p. 23.—Line 1 refers to the 'prior' and the 'last' (that is the 'latter') of the two anuvakas of the 'prajapatyan' book: unless indeed the relation of the first two words is appositive ('of the prior, the prājāpatyan' [anuvāka]: see the preceding paragraph). — At the end of the first anuvāka, 8 of W's mss. say prājāpatyo ha catuskah; and at the end of the second is read pañcaparyāya uttarah: the two quotations make a halfcloka which we may expect to find in the text of the Old Anukr., standing between lines I and 2 of our extract. — Line 2 refers to the paryāyas of the first anuvāka; and lines 3-6 refer to those of the second. — The numbers in parentheses refer to the paryāyas as counted from the beginning of the anuvāka; and those in brackets refer to the paryāyas as counted from the beginning of the book.

prājāpatyasya pūrvasya paramasya punaķ çrņu :

trayodaçā "dyam (i. 1) vijānīyād, dvāu (i. 2, 3) satkāu, saptakah parah (i. 4).

ādyam (ii. 1) daçakam, hy (?) ckādaçakam (ii. 2)	[5, 6]
tasmāc ca param (ii.3) dvyadhikam vihitam :	[7]
ekādaça vāi triguņāny aparaç (ii.4)	[8]
catvāri vāi vacanāni paraķ (ii. 5).	[9]

The quoted bit of the Old Anukr. at the end of paryaya 6 (or ii. 2) is hyckadaqakam (or hyau-): the fact that the verse is so divided by piecemeal quotation as to bring hi at the beginning of its fragment seems to oppugn the correctness of the reading hi; and the word, as noted below, is not incorporated into the Major Anukr., the Berlin ms. of which, moreover, boggles at this point. — A comparison of the text of the Old Anukr. (above) with that of the Major Anukr. shows that the later text has quoted every word of lines 2-6 of the older, excepting tasmāc ca param and aparah and the questionable hi.]

LA conspectus of the divisions of the book in tabular form follows. The explanations given on page 771 (which see: in book xv.), apply for the most part also to this table.

Pary -nº in anuv.	i. 1	i. 2	i 3	i. 4	I	1i. I	1i. 2	ii. 3	ii. 4	ii. 5	Sums
" " book	I	2	3	4		5	6	7	8	9	
Gan 15						2			2		
Gaņāvasānarcas						6			8		14
Vacanāni										4	4
Paryāyāvasānarcas	13	6	6	7	1	4	11	13	25		<b>5</b> 3

Note that the "ten" (6+4) and the "thrice eleven" (8+25) assigned by the Old Anukr. to *paryāyas* 5 (or ii. 1) and 8 (or ii. 4) represent non-coordinate divisions, as noticed and explained above, p. 771, and p. 772, ¶ 4.—Some mss. sum up the *avasānarcas* of the first *anuvāka* as 32 (correctly). Those of the second are summed up as follows : *paryāya-avasānarcas*, 53 (correctly); *gaṇa-avasānarcas*, 14 (correctly); *avasānarcas* "of both kinds," 68 (! but by 1). correctly as 67). The 67 with the 4 *vacanas* make 71 (so Bs. correctly). And 71 + 32 make 103 for the whole book, and so one ms. at least sums them up.]

[Since the book consists wholly of *paryāya-sāktas*, there is no difference between the two editions in respect to the hymn-numbers: compare pages 611 and 770. — The division into decads is wanting.] [See pp. clxi, cxxx end, cxxxvii top, clx.]

Differences between the two editions in the division of the paryāyas. The differences occur (as above, p. 771) only in the gana-paryāyas 5 (or ii. 1) and 8 (or ii. 4). In these, SPP. has, as the Old Anukr. requires, 10 and 33 divisions respectively (as against 6 and 27 of the Berlin edition). The explanation is as on pages 628-629 and on page 772: namely, that, in a sequence of refrains, the refrain is given in full and counted as a separate avasāna only for its first and last occurrence in that sequence. In paryāya 5 there are properly 6 ganas, each with 3 subdivisions : therefore we have 2 ganas (the

first and last), each with 3 subdivisions, making 6; while in each of the remaining 4, the refrains (b, c) are counted as one with a (thus a-b-c), making 4; and so, in all, we have 10. — In like manner, in *paryāya* 8, there are properly 27 gaņas, each with 4 subdivisions: therefore we have 2 gaṇas (the first and last), each with 4 subdivisions, making 8; and (27-2=) 25, each counted as one (a-b-c-d), making 25; and so, in all, we have 33.]

[The book is mainly prose : Whitney, *Index*, p. 5, excepts verses 1. 10, 12, 13; 4. 2, 6; 6. 1-4, 11; 9. 1, 2.]

In Pāipp. (xviii.) are found only fragments of the book, namely 1. 1-3; 4. 7 (beginning with *mo'pa*), the first words of 5. 1, then 8. 1, and finally 9. 4, the concluding verse. This looks as if the whole book were acknowledged as part of the text, but its complete presentation deliberately declined for some reason. LThe fragments in question follow immediately the fragment of book xv. cited in the note to xv. 2. 1.]

[In the Vāit., the book is noticed only twice: see under 2.6 and 9.3. And in the Kāuç., it is noticed only about a dozen times: see under 1.1; 2.1,6; 3.1; 4.1; 5.1; 6.1; 9.3, 4.]

. 1. Paryāya the first.

[Prajāpati (?). — trayodaça. 1, 3. 3-p. sāmnī brhatī ; 2, 10. yājusī tristubh ; 4. āsurī gāyatrī ; 5, 8. sāmni paūkti (5. 3-p) ; 6. sāmny anustubh ; 7. nicrd virādgāyatrī ; 9. āsurī paūkti , 11. sāmny ustuh ; 12, 13. ārcy anustubh.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 201.

1. Let go [is] the bull of the waters; let go [are] the heavenly fires.

The verse, or the hymn (paryaya), is quoted in Kāuç. 9. 9, in the process of preparing holy water (cantyudaka); with it one "lets go the waters," and then follow question and answer respecting the preparation. In Ppp. the initial *a* of *atisrstas* is not elided.

2. Breaking, breaking about, killing, slaughtering; ---

3. Dimming [mroká], mind-slaying, digging, out-burning, self-spoiling, body-spoiling.

All these epithets are nom. sing. masc.; as *mroká* and *nirdāhá* are found together in v. 31.9 as epithets of the flesh-eating fire, they are probably names of the fires mentioned in vs. t : cf. also vs. 7, below; Ppp. combines  $-d\bar{a}h\bar{a}$  "tma-. [Weber (Ind. Stud. xiii. 185), discussing *mroká* as it occurs above at ii. 24.3 in the long string of epithets, takes our *paryāya* here as an evening prayer (see p. 792), and notes the names of the ten Agnis here rehearsed in vss. 2, 3.]

4. That one now I let go; that one let me not wash down against myself; —

5. That one do we let go against him who hates us, whom we hate.

These two verses form a part of vss. 15-21 in the water-thunderbolt (*udavajra*) hymn, above, x. 5 [see my note]; and fragments of the same hymn are found further on in this *parydya* and in 7. 6, 13, indicating some connection of application with that hymn, though Käuç. suggests such connection only for xvi. 2. 1.

6. Thou art tip (*dgra*) of the waters; I let you go down unto the ocean.

With the second part compare the opening words of x. 5. 23.

7. The fire that is in the waters, it do I let go, the dimming, digging, body-spoiling one.

With this verse compare PGS. ii. 6. 10, used in the ceremonies commemorating the end of Vedic study. [The definition of the Anukr. seems to be wide of the mark.]

8. The fire that entered into you, O waters, this is that; what of you is terrible, this is that.

9. May [it] pour upon you with Indra's Indra-power (indrivá).

10. Free from defilement (-*riprá*) [are] the waters; let them [carry] away from us defilement;—

11. Let them carry forth from us sin; let them carry forth evildreaming.

With the last two verses compare parts of x. 5. 24.

12. With propitious eye look at me, O waters; with propitious body touch my skin.

We had this verse above as i. 33. 4 a, b.

13. We call the propitious fires that sit in the waters. Put in me dominion [and] splendor, O divine [waters].

### 2. Paryāya the second.

[satka. vāgdevatya. 1 āsury anustubh; 2. āsury usņih; 3. sāmny usņih; 4. 3-f. sāmnī brhatī; 5. ārcy anustubh; 6. nicrd virādgdyatrī.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 202.

I. Out of evil-eating (?) with refreshment [comes] speech rich in honey.

The translation implies the change of *durarmanyds* to *duradmanyds*, as proposed by the Pet. Lexx. (add TB. iii. 3. 99 as a reference for *duradmani*). The reading of the mss. is, however, assured by its quotation in the Prät. (4. II. 16 [i.e. Add'l Note, p. 592]), and three times in the Käuç. : namely, in 49. 27, at the very end of the chapter of witchcraft ceremonies, after use of x. 5. 6, 7 and xiii. 1. 56, with the direction *iti samdhānyā 'bhimrçati ;* and again, twice (58. 6, 12) in the ceremony for long life after initiation to Vedic study, once with the direction *iti samdhānya*, and once with a smearing with fragrant powders. The word  $\overline{urja}$  in our text might also be nominative, and 'comes' is of course doubtful. The metrical definition implies the resolution *-µt-a*. 2. Rich in honey are ye; may I speak speech rich in honey.

'Ye': i.e., the waters, the adjective being feminine. [We had a phrase like to our second clause at iii. 20. 10: cf. Gram. § 738 a.]

3. Invoked of me [is] the guardian (gopá); invoked [is] guardianship.

The different metrical designation of these two 14-syllabled verses is apparently wholly arbitrary.

4. Well-hearing ears, ears hearing what is excellent; may I hear excellent encomium (clocka).

'Ears' is both times dual; we might fill out to 'well-hearing are my ears' etc.

5. Let both well-hearing and listening (*úpaçruti*) not desert me — eagle-like sight, unfailing light.

[For the  $m\bar{a}$  . . .  $m\bar{a}$ , cf. below, 3. 2, etc.]

6. Spread (*prastará*) of the seers art thou; homage be to the spread of the divine ones ( $d\bar{a}iva$ ).

The verse is used twice in Kāuç. (2.18; 137.33), and once in Vāit. (2.9). In the former, it accompanies the taking up of part of the *darbha*-grass provided, and making a seat for the *brahman*-priest south of the fire, once at the *parvan* sacrifice and once in the *ājyatantra* ceremony. In the latter, it accompanies the making of such a spread in the *parvan* ceremonies. In all the three cases, it is evidently taken because of its 'specific meaning, and not because of any connection of those ceremonies with the one implied here.

# 3. Paryāya the third.

[Brahman. — sațka. ādutyadevatya. 1. āsurī gāyatrī; 2, 3. ārcy anustubh ; 4. prājāpatyā trīstubh ; 5. sāmny usņih ; 6. 2-p. sāmnī trīstubh.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 202.

1. May I be the head (*mūrdhán*) of riches, the head of my equals.

Or, perhaps, 'I am the head of the one, may I be so of the other.' The verse (or the  $pary\bar{a}ya$ ) is quoted twice in Kāuç., once (18. 25) in the *citrā* ceremony, together with a whole series of other hymns or verses, in partaking of a milk-rice-dish; and once (58. 22), in the ceremony of giving food to a young child (*annaprāçana*), with a part of the same hymns.

2. Let both breaking (?ruja) and longing (vena) not desert me; let both the head  $(m\bar{u}rdhan)$  and the distributer (?vidharman) not desert me.

The nouns in this and the following verses are in part of obscure meaning and reference.

3. Let both the kettle (?) and the cup (camasá) not desert me; let both the maintainer (dhartr) and the supporter (dharúna) not desert me.

The translation follows the suggestion of the Pet. Lexx., to emend  $urv\dot{a}$  at the beginning to  $ukh\dot{a}$ .

4. Let both the releaser (vimoká) and the wet-rimmed one not desert me; let both him of wet drops (-dánu) and Mātariçvan not desert me.

5. Brihaspati my soul, manly-minded by name, hearty (hrdya).

6. Free from torment my heart, a wide pasture, an ocean am I by extent (vidharman).

# 4. Paryāya the fourth.

[Brahman. — saptaka. ādityadevatya. 1,3. sāmny anustubh; 2. sāmny usņuh; 4.3-p. anustubh; 5. āsurī gāvatrī; 6. ārcy usņuh; 7.3-p. vırādgarbhā 'nustubh.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 203.

1. May I be the navel of riches, the navel of my equals.

The scholiast (*paricista*) adds this verse (or *paryāya*) to 3. 1 ander Kāuç. 18. 25. [Cf. note to 3. 1.]

2. Of good seat (?svāsát) art thou, of good dawns, an immortal among mortals.

The adjectives are sing. masculine. The pada-text reads suoāsát and suousāh.

3. Let not breath quit me; nor let expiration, deserting me, go away.

[For 'deserting me' one might perhaps say 'leaving me low.' For the combination with  $p \, dr \bar{a} g \bar{a}$ , cf. TS. v. 7.9'.] Most of our mss. (all except D.R.) leave  $m \bar{a}m$  unaccented; [the curious blunder is made also by nine of SPP's mss., as against five mss. and two reciters that gave  $m \bar{a}m$ ]. All our mss. save one (R.) combine  $ap \bar{a}n \delta$  'valinstead of  $-n \partial$ ]. The verse is [almost] identical with vii. 53.4 a, b [which has me 'mám for  $m \bar{a} m \bar{a}m$ ].

4. Let the sun protect me from the sky, Agni from the earth, Vāyu from the atmosphere, Yama from men (manusya), Sarasvatī from them of the earth.

The verse can be read into 32 syllables, but the metrical definition of the Anukr. is altogether absurd. [Griffith gives *ahnás* its usual meaning: possibly W's "sky" is not intentional, but a mere slip. Cf., however, 7. 6, below.]

5. O breath-and-expiration, do not desert me; let me not perish  $(pra-m\bar{i})$  among the people (jána).

6. With well-being *today*, O waters, may I, whole [and] with my whole train (*gand*), attain dawns and evenings.

The verse is really composed of two tristubh padas.

7. Puissant (cdkvari) are ye; may cattle approach me; let Mitra-and-Varuna [assign] me breath-and-expiration; let Agni assign me dexterity.

Nearly all our mss. (not T.s.m.R.) give *sthesu* instead of *sthesus*; [and so do three of SPP's]. |For the form, see *Gram*. § 894 c.]

[Here ends the first anuvāka, with 4 paryāyas and 32 avasānarcas: see the summations at page 793, above. The piece here quoted from the Old Anukr. is prājāpatyo ha catuskah: see p. 792.] xvi. 5–

### 5. Paryāya the fifth.

[Yama. — daçaka. duhsvapnanāçanadevatya. a of 1–6. vurād gāyatrī (5 a. bhurij; 6 a. svarāj); 1 b, 6 b. prājāpatyā gāyatrī; 1 c, 6 c. 2 p. sāmnī brhatī.]

[Both the Anukramanīs reckon the *paryāya* as of 10 *avasānas*: that is, they count the *anuṣaāŋas* (b-c) only in their first and last occurrences, as explained at p. 793, end (cf. pages 628-9, 772).] One or two of the mss. (W.R.) indicate by fragments of **b** and c given also with verses 2-5 that they regard all the six verses [or *gaṇas*, rather] as of equal length. [It is true that the summations (see p. 793 and table) number the *gaṇas* as 2 and call the *avasānarcas* of the remaining 4 by the name of *paryāyaavasānarcas*; but it is not apparent why the *gaṇas* should not be counted as 6, just as those of the second *paryāya* of xi. 3 are counted as 18 (p. 632, top, p. 628, ¶ 10). — The numbers of the *avasānas* as given by SPP. in accord with the Anukr. are added by me in ell-brack**ets**.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 468; Griffith, ii. 203.

I. [I.] We know thy place of birth (*janitra*), O sleep; thou art son of seizure (*grahi*), agent of Yama; [2.] ender art thou, death art thou; [3.] so, O sleep, do we comprehend thee here; do thou, O sleep, protect us from evil-dreaming.

The verses of this hymn are nearly  $\lfloor vs. 6 \\ exactly \rfloor$  identical with vi. 46. 2; and whether they or it are quoted in Kāuç. 46. 9, 13, it is impossible, and wholly unimportant, to determine  $\lfloor cf. \\ introd. \\ to vi. 46 \rfloor$ .

2. [4.] We know thy place of birth, O sleep; thou art son of perdition, agent etc. etc.

3. [5.] We know thy place of birth, O sleep; thou art son of ill-success (*dbhūti*), agent etc. etc.

4. [6.] We know thy place of birth, O sleep; thou art son of extermination (*nirbhūti*), agent etc. etc.

5. [7.] We know thy place of birth, O sleep; thou art son of calamity (*párābhūti*), agent etc. etc.

6.  $\lfloor 8. \rfloor$  We know thy place of birth, O sleep; thou art son of the wives  $(-j\bar{a}mi)$  of the gods, agent of Yama;  $\lfloor 9. \rfloor$  ender art thou, death art thou;  $\lfloor 10. \rfloor$  so, O sleep, do we comprehend thee here; do thou, O sleep, protect us from evil-dreaming.

This verse agrees [precisely] with vi. 46. 2, and the [words *dévānām patnīnām* garbha yámasya kara (the readings are not quite certain)] appear in xix. 57. 3; the other verses are therefore most probably varied repetitions of this one.

### 6. Paryāya the sixth.

[Yama.—ekādaça. duhsvapnanāçanadevatya; usodevatya. 1-4. prājāpatyā 'nustubh; 5. sāmnī paāktu; 6. nucrd ārcī brhatī; 7. 2-p. sāmnī brhatī; 8. āsurī jagatī; 9. āsurī brhatī; 10. ārcy usņuh; 11. 3-p. yavamadhyā gāyatrī vā "rcy anustubh (see under vs. 11).]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 204.

1. We have conquered today, we have won today; we have become guiltless.

The verse corresponds to the first two pādas of RV. viii. 47. 18, which differ only by reading *ca* in place of the second *adyá*. It and its two successors (or also vs. 4) are really metrical, half *anustubhs*. The verse, or the *paryāya*, is used in Kāuç. 49. 19, nearly at the end of the *abhicāra* or witchcraft chapter, with xiii. 1. 28 and 3. 1, to accompany the putting on of *adhipāças* (conjectured 'gag' in the minor Pet. Lex.).

2. O dawn, of what evil-dreaming we have been afraid, let that fade away (apa-vas).

The verse [cf. note to vs. 1] is, without variant, RV. viii. 47. 18 c, d.

3. Carry that away to him that hates; carry that away to him that curses.

4. Whom we hate, and who hates us, to him we make it go.

Our yás (in yáç ca no) is an emendation for yát, which all the mss. read. [SPP. reads yát with all his authorities.]

5. Heavenly dawn, in concord with speech; heavenly speech, in concord with dawn; —

Part of the mss. read in b devy ùsásā.

6. The lord of dawn, in concord with the lord of speech; the lord of speech, in concord with the lord of dawn :---

The Anukr. mss. read  $\bar{a}rs\bar{i}$  instead of  $\bar{a}rc\bar{i}$  in their definition of the meter of this verse.

7. Let them carry away for yon man the niggards (aráya), the illnamed ones, the sadánvās, —

8. The kumbhikās, the spoilers (dūsikā), the revilers (piyaka), ---

9. Waking evil-dreaming, sleeping evil-dreaming.

Literally 'of one waking' and 'in sleep.' The *pada*-text reads *odusvapnyám* both times. The Anukr. twice resolves *-ni-am*.

10. Boons that will not come, plans of non-acquisition, fetters of hatred that does not release : ---

That is, probably, plans or desires that issue in failure. [Griffith takes drih here and at ii. 10 as a female fiend.]

II. That, O Agni, let the gods carry away for yon man, that he may be impotent (vádhri), faltering, not good (sādhú).

'For him,' here and in vs. 7, is plainly equivalent to 'to him,' or that they may be his. All the mss. accent *withuras*; [so SPP. reads with all his authorities]. As  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{s}$ and  $\bar{a}rcy$  anusitubh both imply 24 syllables, the Anukr. seems willing to give us our choice between them.

Lerhaps we should understand the definition 3-p. yavamadhyā gāyatrīvārcy anustup as an 'anustubh of 24 syllables, like (iva: not  $v\bar{a}$ ) a 3-p. yavamadhyā gāyatrī' (7 + 10 + 7: Ind. Stud. viii. 129): only this one divides rather as 8 + 10 + 6. — One is tempted to deem *agne* an intrusion and to regard the verse as a couple of simple *tristubh* pādas: and the temptation is strengthened by the fact that the sole mark of pādadivision in W's Collation Book comes after *vahantu*.]

#### 7. Paryāya the seventh.

[Yama. — dvyadhikam vihitam. duhsvapnanāçanadevatya. 1. panktı; 2. sāmny anustubh; 3. āsury usnih; 4. prājāpatyā gāyatrī; 5. ārcy usnih; 6,9,11. sāmnī brhatī; 7. yājusī gāyatrī; 8. prājāpatyā brhatī; 10. sāmnī gāyatrī; 12. bhurik prājāpatyā 'nustubh; 13. āsurī trīstubh.]

The definition of number of verses in the  $pary\bar{a}ya$  is taken by the Anukr. verbatim from the Old Anukr. (cf. p. 793, line 12), and appears to mean that the number of verses exceeds by two that of the preceding  $pary\bar{a}ya$ .

Translated : Griffith, ii. 205.

I. With that I pierce him; with ill-success I pierce him; with extermination I pierce him; with calamity I pierce him; with seizure I pierce him; with darkness I pierce him.

The 'that' of the first clause doubtless refers to 6. 11.

2. I demand against him with the terrible, cruel demands (*prāisá*) of the gods.

The word *prāisā* seems here to be used, not in its ritual sense which is common later, but rather in a sense suggested by its etymology.

3. I set him in the two tusks of Vāiçvānara.

[This seems to be an unmetrical version of iv. 36. 2 c, d.]

4. So, not so, may she swallow down.

The *pada*-text reads *evå*: *áneva*: *áva*, and the translation follows it. The text is probably corrupt; the 'she'  $(s\hat{a})$  seems unmotived. Neither Pet. Lex. contains *áneva* [in its main part; but the word is given in a supplement to the minor Lex., iii. 250 c].

5. Whose hates us, him let [his] self  $(\bar{a}tm\dot{a}n)$  hate; whomse we hate, let him hate [his] self.

6. Let us disportion our hater from heaven, from earth, from atmosphere.

Compare x. 5. 25 etc.; we should expect bhajāmas here as there.

7. O thou of good ways (suyāman), of sight (cāksusá).

Both the words may be proper names. Our P.M. read caksusah, accentless.

8. Now (*idám*) do I wipe off evil-dreaming on him of such-and-such lineage, son of such-and-such a mother.

9. What I went at on such-and-such an occasion, what at evening, what in early night; ----

The translation follows our emendation, *abhyágacham*; all the mss. read *-chan* (one or two in *pada* perhaps *-chat*); the true sense is very doubtful. [SPP. reads *-chan* with all his authorities : see his note, vol. iii., p. 352.]

10. What when awake, what when asleep, what by day, what by night; ---

11. What day by day I go at, from that do I cut him off (ava-day).

12. Him do thou smite, with him amuse thyself (?mand), his ribs do thou crush in.

13. Let him not live; him let breath quit.

This verse also forms a part of x. 5. 25 etc.

# 8. Paryāya the eighth.

[ekādaça vāi trīguņāni. a of 1-27. 1-p. yajur brāhmy anustubh; b of 1-27. 3-p. nicrd gāyatrī; 1 c. prājāpatyā gāyatrī; d of 1-27. 3-p. prājāpatyā tristubh; c of 2-4, 9, 17, 19, 24. āsurī jagatī; c of 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 18. āsurī trīstubh; c of 6, 12, 14-16, 20-23, 27. āsurī paūkti; c of 25, 26. āsurī brhatī.]

[The discrepancy in the numeration of the subdivisions of the *paryāya*, as between the Bombay edition (which follows the Old Anukr.) and the Berlin edition, is of the same kind as in *paryāya* 5, above, and the explanation given above (p. 794, top) may be consulted. The numbers of the *avasānas* as given by SPP. are added by me in ell-brackets.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 206.

I.  $\lfloor I. \rfloor$  Ours [is] what is conquered, ours what has shot up, ours right (*rtá*), ours brilliancy, ours *bráhman*, ours heaven (*svàr*), ours the sacrifice, ours cattle, ours progeny, ours heroes.  $\lfloor 2. \rfloor$  From that we disportion him yonder: so-and-so, of such-and-such lineage, son of such-and-such mother, who is yonder;  $\lfloor 3. \rfloor$  let him not be released from the fetter of seizure.  $\lfloor 4. \rfloor$  Of him now (*idám*) I involve the splendor, brilliancy, breath, lifetime; now I make him fall downward.

Here is again a partial correspondence with x. 5. 36. Compare also Apast. iv. 15. 3. The addition *yajus* to the name *brāhmy anustubh* (48 syllables) in the Anukr. seems, [in the light of the corresponding expression at xvii. 1. 22, 23, intended to inform us that subdivision **a** is a *yajus*; but it is not apparent why the author should give this bit of information, which is (of its kind) so isolated: cf. third note to xv. 5. 7].

2. [5.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of perdition. Of him now etc. etc.

3. [6.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of ill-success. Of him now etc. etc.

4.  $\lfloor 7. \rfloor$  Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of extermination. Of him now etc. etc.

5. [8.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of calamity. Of him now etc. etc.

6. [9.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the wives of the gods. Of him now etc. etc.

7. [10.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of Brihaspati. Of him now etc. etc.

8. [11.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of Prajāpati. Of him now etc. etc.

9. [12.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the seers. Of him now etc. etc.

All our mss. except one (D.) accent rsinam; our text emends to rsinam. [In like manner, SPP. reads rsinam with four or three of his authorities, against twelve or thirteen that have rsinam.]

10. [13.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of them of the seers. Of him now etc. etc.

11. [14.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the Angirases. Of him now etc. etc.

12. [15.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of them of the Angirases. Of him now etc. etc.

13. [16.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the Atharvans. Of him now etc. etc.

14. [17.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of them of the Atharvans. Of him now etc. etc.

15. [18.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the forest trees. Of him now etc. etc.

16. [19.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of them of the forest trees. Of him now etc. etc.

17. [20.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the seasons. Of him now etc. etc.

18. [21.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of them of the seasons. Of him now etc. etc.

19. [22.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the months. Of him now etc. etc.

20. [23.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the half-months. Of him now etc. etc.

21. [24.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of day-and-night. Of him now etc. etc.

22. [25.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of the two congruent (sam-yant) days. Of him now etc. etc.

There is nothing elsewhere in the text to show what these two days are. Perhaps they are day and night over again, viewed as each a form or aspect of a day. [The use of the obscure *samydnt* (with *rtú* and cri) at ix. 5.33 should here be noted.]

23. [26.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of heaven-and-earth. Of him now etc. etc.

24. [27.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of Indra-and-Agni. Of him now etc. etc.

25. [28.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of Mitra-and-Varuna. Of him now etc. etc.

26. [29.] Ours is etc. etc.; let him not be released from the fetter of king Varuna. Of him now etc. etc.

27. [30.] Ours [is] what is conquered, ours what has shot up, ours right, ours brilliancy, ours *bráhman*, ours heaven, ours the sacrifice, ours cattle, ours progeny, ours heroes. [31.] From that we disportion him yonder: so-and-so, of such-and-such lineage, son of such-and-such mother, who is yonder; [32.] let him not be released from the fetter, the shackle of death. [33.] Of him now I involve the splendor, brilliancy, breath, life-time; now I make him fall downward.

Some of the mss. read pádvinçāt or pádvinçāt. [There should be an *avasāna*-mark in vs. 26 of the Berlin ed. after the second circle indicating omission.]

### 9. Paryāya the ninth.

[catvāri vā: vacanāni. 1. prājāpatyā; 2. mantroktabahudevatyā; 3, 4. sāurye. 1. ārcy anustubh; 2. ārcy usņih; 3. sāmnī paūkti; 4. parosņih.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 208.

I. Ours [is] what is conquered, ours what has shot up; I have withstood all fighters, niggards.

The verse is identical with the first part of x. 5. 36; and its second part, with vs. 2, is found in MS. i. 5. 3 (reading *abhy àsthām*).

2. That Agni says; that, too, Soma says: may Pūshan set me in the world of the well-done.

The two pādas are second and fourth pādas of a verse in MS. i. 5. 3 (which rectifies the meter by reading *na*  $\dot{a}$  *dhāt* in place of *mā dhāt*: our own text probably ought to read *mā*, i.e. *mā*  $\dot{a}$ ). With either of these readings, we have two faultless *tristubh* pādas; but the Anukr. sanctions only 21 syllables. The first pāda is also found as viii. 5. 5 a and xix. 24. 8 c.

3. We have gone to heaven (*svàr*); to heaven have we gone; we have united (*sam-gam*) with the sun's light; —

The first half is the beginning also of TS. i. 6. 6. In Kāuç. 6. 16, the verse is used in the *parvan* ceremonies, while one looks at the sun; but according to Dārila, this verse with the next is to be so used: [and his view is accepted by SPP. (iii.  $350^{16}$ ) and is supported by the suspension of the sense (see note to vs. 4)]. In Vāit. 24. 5, it is used, together with a RV. verse, to accompany the coming up from the bath in the *agnisioma* ceremony. [For the general import of this vs., see p. 792, ¶ 2.]

4. In order to becoming better (vásyas-). Rich in good (vásu-) [is] the sacrifice; good may I win (van); rich in good may I be; good put thou in me.

803

The first word seems to belong in sense rather to the preceding verse; [see note thereon]. All the mss. read vancisiya, and the edition follows them; but we ought unquestionably to have emended to vansisiya. There is even probably a play on words intended between vasu and vans. [Yet SPP. reads vancisiya without note of variant: as to the form, see *Gram*. § 914 b.] [For use by Kāuç., see under vs. 3.]

[Here ends the second anuvāka, with 5 paryāyas and 71 avasānarcas: but see the summations at p. 703 and cf. p. 798 and p. 801. The piece here quoted from the Old Anukr. is pañcaparyāya uttaraķ: see p. 792.]

[Here ends the thirty-first prapāthaka.]

# Book XVII.

### [Prayer to the Sun, identified with Indra and with Vishnu.]

[This is the fifth book of the third grand division (books xiii.xviii.) of the Atharvan collection, and its unity of subject (as indicated by the title, above, which is slightly modified from Whitney's, p. 806) is sufficiently apparent. It is the only book of the entire collection that consists of a single *anuvāka*. At xix. 23. 27, it is called the Viṣāsahi (*viṣāsahyāi svāhā*: note the singular number); and the Old Anukr., as noted below at page 812, gives it the same designation. As was true of the preceding book (see page 792), no translation of this book has been published by the translators of single books; but from here on to the end of xx. 37 we have the *bhāṣya*.]

[The Atharvanīya-paddhati, in a chapter on *weda-wratas* (note to Kāuç. 57. 32), nominates a *wiṣāsahi-wrata*; and the same *wrata* is mentioned by Keçava, in his note to Kāuç. 42. 12, p.  $3,44^{24}$ , together with the *çiro-wrata*, which latter is known as a necessary preliminary to the study of the "Shaveling Upanishad" (see Mundaka, iii. 2. 10). "Doubtless this hymn figured prominently in it" [the *wiṣāsahi-wrata*], says Bloomfield, in his part of the *Grundriss*, p. 95.]

[The hymn consists of just 30 verses: and so again we find the decad-division, — here into three precise decads. This, however, is a mechanical division. Structurally, the hymn is composed of five parts, as follows.]

**[Part I., verses 1-5.** — This is a sequence of 5 verses of 6 pādas each and of the scheme 8 + 8 : 8 + 12 : 8 + 8 = 52. All 5 verses are identical in the first 5 pādas, which are made up mostly of words containing the roots *sah* 'overpower' and *ji* 'win by conquest'; and they differ only in the sixth pāda, which is characterized by the phrase 'may I be' (*bhāyāsam*), with an *āha* which makes vs. 1 fall short of the full tale of syllables and makes an overplus for vs. 5.

**[Part II., verses 6-19.** — This is a sequence of 14 verses characterized by the refrain 'Thine, O Vishnu' (*thined visno*). It is a curious fact that the mss. do not separate this refrain from the stock of the verse by an *avasāna*-mark; and herein they are supported by the Anukr. (see below), which describes verses [1-8: that is, 1-5 of Part I. and] 6-8, 10-13, 16, 18-19, and 24 as *try-avasāna*. In all the *taved visno* verses (6-19, and 24), the Bombay ed. follows the mss.: the Berlin ed., on the other hand, inserts an *avasāna*-mark before the *taved*; and, so far as the sense and structure go, it is imperatively demanded. — All the vss. of this part are of 7 pādas except 9, 14-15, and 17, which are of 5 each, and except 10, which is of 8.]

[Part III., verses 20-23. — This consists of 4 bits of prose. The verses contain: praise and prayer to the Sun (20-21: 'brilliant art thou; may I be brilliant'); and homage to the Sun, rising, setting, etc. (22-23: namas).]

**[Part IV., verses 24-26.**—These are 3 perfectly regular *anustubh* verses, to the first of which is added the *anusanga* that is characteristic of Part II. The 3 verses are closely related and are addressed to the Sun as Aditya or Sūrya, the first and last being appropriate for use at sunrise, and the second for use at sundown.—It may be noted that of the Pāli *paritta* verses (Jātaka, ii. p. 33-35) cited in the introduction to iii. 26, one set is used at sunrise and the other at sundown.]

**Part V., verses 27-30.** — These (if we disregard the palpably intrusive *brahmaņā* of 27 a) are 4 perfectly regular stanzas, of which all the pādas are *tristubh* except 30 a and 30 c, which are *jagatī* in count and cadence. We might call them *paritta*-verses, charms for defense and protection; they show various derivatives of the roots vr and gup, and references to Kaçyapa (see note to iv. 20. 7).

### 1. Prayer and praise to Indra and the Sun.

[Brahman. --- rcas trinçat.<sup>1</sup> ādityadevatyās. 1. jagatī; 1-8. try-avasāna;<sup>4</sup> 1-4 [intending 2-5?] atijagatī;<sup>2</sup> 6, 7, 19. atijasti; <sup>3</sup> 8, 11, 16. atidhrti; 9. 5-p. çakvarī; 10-13, 16, 18-19, 24. try-avasāna;<sup>4</sup> 10. 8-p. dhrti; 12. krti; 13. prakrti; 14-15. 5-p. çakvarī; 17. 5-p. virād atiçakvarī; 18. bhurig asti; 24. virād atijasti; 1-8 [intending 1-5?]. 6-p.; [6-8,] 11-13, 16, 18-19, 24. 7-p.<sup>3</sup> 20. kakubh; 21. 4-p. uparistādbrhatī; 22. anustubh; 23. nicrd brhatī (22-23. yajušī dve; <sup>6</sup> 3-p.); 25, 26. anustubh; 27, 30. jagatī; 28, 29. tristubh.]

The Anukr. has some bad readings and confusions in its account of the book, but they do not concern things of much consequence. [So Whitney, in a note to vs. 5, which note I have transposed hither. He had altered (as often, for brevity) the order of his excerpts from the Anukr.: but I have restored them in this case to the order of the original. Moreover, there are several trifling items which he has omitted or misapprehended: and these I have added or tried to set right without marking them with the usual ell-brackets.]

**[Notes to the Anukramani-excerpts.]** [The text begins, *viṣāsahir ṛcas triiqat*, which is taken from the Old Anukr.: see p. 812.] <sup>2</sup>[As to the structure and count of vss. 1-5, see page 805. ¶ 4.] <sup>3</sup>[Text reads simply *udihīti dve asati sad atyaṣṭayaḥ*: read *ity aṣṭayaḥ*? or, perhaps, *ity atyaṣṭayaḥ*? but see note to verse 7.] 4[The statements concerning the *try-avasāna* verses are given in two instalments and are entirely correct, although the Berlin edition makes them seem partially incorrect: vss. 1-5 are 3-av. in both ed's; 6-8 and 10-13, 16, 18-19, 24 are 3-av. in the mss. and the Bombay ed. and are 4-av. in the Berlin ed.: see page 805. ¶ 5, above.] <sup>5</sup>[The text says *aṣṭān* [should be *paāta*] *ṣaṭpadāḥ*, *çeṣāḥ saptapadāḥ*: 'the rest' (*ceṣāḥ*) are those that remain after taking out from the verses thus far discussed those verses (1-8[5], 9-10, 14-15, 17) the number of whose pādas has been already stated: and the Anukr. therefore means (after emending *aṣṭāu* to *paāca*), 'verses 1-5 are of 6 pādas, while the rest, — namely verses [6-8,] 11-13, 16, 18-19, 24, — are of 7 pādas'; and this is quite correct.] <sup>6</sup>[See note to vs. 22 and cf. note to xvi. 8, 1.]

[Partly prose - verses 20-23: see ¶ 1, on this page.]

The hymn, or *anuvāka*, or book, occurs (except vss. 13, 14, 24) also in Pāipp. xviii., following immediately upon what represents our xvi.

A few of the later verses (18, 21-23) are used in Vāit. The hymn (or the first verses of it) is reckoned to the *salula gana*, according to Kāuç. (18.25); and the Pariçișta [given under Kāuç. 54.11] reckons it also to an *āyuşya gana*. It is used (with i. 30; iii. 8; etc.) in the ceremony of reception of a Vedic student (Kāuç. 55.17); and (with iii. 31; iv. 13; etc.) in the following ceremony for long life (58.3, 11); and in the *annaprāçana* rite (58.22); further, in a solar eclipse (the whole hymn or *sūkta*: 99.3; and Keçava, in his note to 100.3, p. 3725, adds it also for use in a lunar eclipse). [Vss. 1-5 are quoted by the schol. to Kāuç. 7.21. As to the use of the hymn in the *vuṣāsahivrata*, see above, p. 805, ¶ 2.]

Translated: Griffith, ii. 209. — Perhaps the Sun is elsewhere variously identified: thus at xiii. 4. 46 (see note) with Indra; and at xiii. 2. 31 with Vișnu.

I. Indra of mighty power, overpowering, having overpowered, very powerful, overpowering, power-winning (saho-jit), heaven-winning, kinewinning, booty-winning, to be praised (id), by name, do I call: may I be long-lived.

2. Indra of mighty power etc. etc. do I call: may I be dear to the gods.

3. Indra of mighty power etc. etc. do I call: may I be dear to living beings  $(praj\hat{a})$ .

4. Indra of mighty power etc. etc. do I call: may I be dear to cattle.

5. Indra of mighty power etc. etc. do I call: may I be dear to my equals (samāná).

Ppp. reads [in the above 5 verses] every time  $vis\bar{a}sahyam$ ; and, for c-f, s. s. vicva-jitam svarjitam abhijitam vasujitam gojitam samjitam samdhanājitam : īdyam nāma bhūyā indram āyuşmān priyā bhūyāsam; in the repetitions, hūya (in place of its previous bhūyā), and indra devānām priyo bhūyāsam. Here, and everywhere else in the hymn, the comm. insists that by indra is intended the sun, and not Indra, quoting in proof of it TS. i. 7. 63: asāú vā ādityá indraħ. [For the structure and count of the vss., see above, p. 805, ¶ 4.]

6. Arise, arise, O sun; arise upon me with splendor; both let my hater be subject to me, and let not me be subject to my hater. — Thine, O Vishnu, are heroisms manifold; do thou fill us with cattle of all forms; set me in comfort in the highest firmament (wyoman).

Ppp. reads in the concluding pāda of the refrain svadhāyām no dh-; the comm. also has svadhāyām. The mss. commit the absurdity throughout of setting no avasāna between the verse proper and the refrain; we have, as required by the sense, introduced it in our text; [the matter is further discussed above, p. 805,  $\P$  5]. The refrain is, as usual, represented in vss. 7-18 only by the word tâva in the mss. (except in R., which fills it out a little further). [With reference to the main stock of the verse, the comm. cites most appositely TB. iii. 7. 6<sup>23</sup>, tid agād ayām ādityó viçvena sāhasā sahā : dviṣāntam māma randhāyan mõ ahām dviṣatõ radham, although it does not appear why he did not cite rather our verse 24 a-d, below, which see.]

LThe refrain seems to count as 11:12 + 11 = 34 syllables with the Anukr.; but the true tristubh cadences (viçuárūpāih, vioman) of its second and third pādas suggest the

suspicion of metrical disorder in the prior part of each of those pādas. One is tempted to think of prnihi as an ill-considered modernization of pindhi; and to wish that  $m\bar{a}$  (before *dhehi*) might be excised, as superfluous in meter and in sense and as making a harsh change from plural (*nas*) to singular. — The change from singular to plural as between the main stock and the refrain, considering the looseness of their connection, is not to be called harsh.]

7. Arise, arise, O sun; arise upon me with splendor; both those whom I see and those whom I do not — among them make thou favor for me. Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

We should expect *me* for  $m\bar{a}$  in **d**; and the comm., on account of  $m\bar{a}$ , takes *sumatim* as an adjective (= *cobhanabuddhiyuktam*) — which is not grammatically impossible, but against all Vedic usage. Verses 6 and 7, Lif the main stock of each verse be read rhythmically, ] are undoubtedly to be counted as 66 syllables, two less than a true *atyaṣți* (68), [but also, on the other hand, two more than a true *aṣți* (64); but the **a** and the **b** of each can be read as 7 so as to make totals of just 64: see above, page 806, ¶ 6, note 3]. [Concerning the refrain, see notes to vs. 6.]

8. Let them not damage thee in the sea, within the waters — they who approach there having fetters; quitting imprecation, thou hast ascended that sky; be thou then gracious to us; may we be in thy favor. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in **b**  $p\bar{a}cinam$ , and in **c**  $\bar{a}$  *ruha etān*. The verse counts most naturally 78 syllables (11 × 4: 34); a proper *atidhṛti* has 76. Bp. reads in **d**  $\bar{a}$  : *rukṣaḥ;* D.Kp. and all SPP's authorities have  $\bar{a}$  : *ar*, which is doubtless the true *pada*-text.

9. Do thou, O Indra, in order to great good-fortune, protect us about with unharmed rays. — Thine, O Vishņu etc. etc.

The comm. takes aktúbhis in its sense of 'night.' Ppp. reads adabdhāis pari in b.

10. Do thou, O Indra, with propitious aids, be most wealful to us — ascending to the triple heaven of the heaven (div), besung unto somadrinking, having a dear abode (-dhaman) unto well-being. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in **a** indro adbhiç ((c-)). The comm. gives us our choice between -sthāna and -tejas as meanings of -dhāman in **e**. The verse has two syllables too many for a regular dhṛti (72); Lit reads properly as  $5 \times 8$  and 34; but pāda **a** may be read as 6].

11. Thou, O Indra, art all-conquering, all-gaining (sarva-vid); much invoked [art] thou, O Indra; do thou, O Indra, send onward this wellinvoking praise; be thou gracious to us; may we be in thy favor. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

Ppp. reads in a viçvavit; and instead of our d (= 8 d) it has *çivābhis tanubhir abhi* nas sajasva. The verse is a true atidhrti by number of syllables, but very irregular in structure (8 + 10: 13 + 11: 34 = 76).

12. Unharmed in the heaven (div), also on earth, art thou; they have not attained thy greatness in the atmosphere; increasing with unharmed

worship (bráhman), do thou there, O Indra, being in the heaven (div), bestow protection (cárman) on us. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

Ppp. reads divas p- in a. [In d, all of SPP's authorities give sdn or sdn: and W's Collation Book notes nothing to the contrary; but] the comm. omits the word, as the meter plainly requires. The verse (II + I2: II + I2: 34 = 80) is by number of syllables an exact krti.

13. What body of thine, O Indra, is in the waters, what on the earth, what within the fire; what of thine, O Indra, is in the heaven-gaining (*svarvid*) purifying one (*pávamāna*); with what body, O Indra, thou didst permeate (*vi-āp*) the atmosphere — with that body, O Indra, bestow thou protection upon us. — Thine, O Vishņu etc. etc.

In nearly all our mss. (all save D. and R.p.m.)  $vy\bar{a}pitha$  (p.  $vic\bar{a}pitha$ ) is most strangely left unaccented, and the reading was in our text emended to  $vy\bar{a}pitha$ , in accordance with the invariable accentuation of such forms in RV. and AV. elsewhere. But a minority of SPP's authorities are reported by him as accenting  $vy\bar{a}pitha$ , and he accordingly prints  $vy\bar{a}pitha$  in his edition (our D.R.p.m. have the same). The 'purifying one' is doubtless here the wind ( $va\bar{a}yu$ : so comm.). The verse (12 + 16 : 12 + 12 :34 = 86) counts two more syllables than a proper *prakrti*.

14. Increasing thee, O Indra, with worship (bráhman), the imploring seers have sat down [for] the session (sattrá). — Thine, O Vishņu etc. etc.

The verse (11 + 12: 34 = 57) has one more syllable than a regular *çakvarī*. [Verses 13 and 14, as was noted above, are wanting in Ppp.]

15. Thou goest about Trita (?), thou about the fountain of a thousand streams, the heaven-gaining council. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

All the mss., and hence both editions, read *trtám* in **a**; but the ms. of the comm. has *tritam*, and we cannot well believe that the latter is not the true reading; though the sense of the whole verse is extremely obscure. The comm. explains *tritam* mysteriously, as either *vistīrṇam antarikṣam* or *meghāir āvṛtam udakam*. Vidatha, he says, = yajħa. The verse is capable of being read as 56 syllables. Ppp. puts it after our verse 17.

16. Thou defendest the four directions; thou shinest abroad with brightness (*cocis*) unto the two firmaments (*nábhas*); thou pursuest (*anu-sthā*) all these beings; thou, knowing, followest (*anu-i*) the way of righteousness. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

The samhitā-mss. read vidvāns távé 'd between verse and refrain. The whole (11 + 11 : 12 + 11 : 34 = 79) reads naturally as three more syllables than belong to an atidhrti.

17. With five thou heatest upward  $(p \dot{a} r \bar{a} \bar{n})$ , with one hitherward; thou goest driving off the imprecation in good weather (*sudina*). — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

The comm. supplies, as is natural, *dtdhiti* or *marici* 'ray' for the missing noun, and explains the five as required in order to illuminate so many worlds beyond the sun.

But Ppp. reads instead *saptabhis* p- 'with seven.' [With reference to the rays, Griffith (note to xi. 1. 36) cites Mahīdhara as quoted by Eggeling on ÇB. i. 9. 3<sup>16</sup>.] The comm. has the bad reading *nādhamānas* for *bādh*-. We have to resolve a *samdhi* in **a** in order to make 58 syllables in the verse.

18. Thou art Indra, thou great Indra, thou the world, thou Prajāpati; for thee the sacrifice is extended; to thee the offerers make oblation. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

Ppp. reads vișuus for lokas in **b**, and, in **c**, yajāyate for vi tāyate. Our Bp.P.M.W. T.R.p.m. also have jāyate for tāyate. To make the verse only bhurig asti (65 syll.), we have to read the first pāda as seven syllables, though it casily makes eight. In Vāit. 3. 3 the verse, with vi. 5. 2, is made to accompany a sāmināyya offering to Indra, in the darçapūrņamāsa ceremony. In our edition, the m of tvám before lokás is lost in printing.

19. In the non-existent is the existent made firm; in the existent is being  $(bh\bar{u}td)$  made firm; being is set in what is to be; what is to be is made firm in being. Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

Ppp. combines *bhavyā* "*hitam* [double sandhi after *-c* as at ix. I. I4] in c, and has *samāhitam* for *pratisthitam* in d. The verse, like 6 and 7, lacks two syllables of a full *atyasti*; [but see note to vs. 7 and p. 806,  $\P$  6, note 3, above].

20. Brilliant (*cukrá*) art thou; shiny art thou; as thou art shiny by the shining one (*bhrájant*), so may I by the shining one shine.

The Ppp. text of this verse is in confusion. Our P.M.I.T. combine (second time)  $bhr\bar{a}j\partial$  'si, as if we had here, as the first time, asi (instead of dsi). The first pāda is the same with the beginning of ii. 11. 5. MS. iv. 9. 5 has passages resembling this verse and the next. This prose bit is a queer kakubh: Lthe kakubh calls for 8 + 12 + 8 = 28; and this may be read as 8 + 11 + 9].

21. Brightness art thou, bright art thou; as thou by brightness art bright, so may I by both cattle and Brahman-splendor be bright (*ruc*).

Our P.M.T. have again (second time) rocd 'si; Land one of SPP's pada-mss. has correspondingly asi without accent]. The MS. version is in some respects better: ruch asi rucd (or rocyd) 'si sá yáthā tvám rucyấ rócasa evám ahám rucyấ rocisiya. Ppp. also gives rocisiya. [For rucisiya or roc-, see Gram. § 907.] The metrical definition of the Anukr. is absurd, the "verse" being prose, and having only three possible divisions; it can be made 36 syllables by reading roco asi either in **a** or in **b**. It is used in Väit. 14. 2, in the agnistoma ceremony.

22. To the rising one be obeisance; to the one coming up be obeisance; to the arisen one be obeisance; to the wide ruler (virdj) be obeisance; to the self-ruler (svardj) be obeisance; to the universal ruler (samrdj) be obeisance.

We should expect, by the analogy of the next verse, *udesyate* for *udāyate*. The comm. explains the latter by *ūrdhvam īşad gacchate*. In Vāit. 11. 16, the verse accompanies worship of the rising sun in the *agnistoma* ceremony. It is so far an *anustubh* that it contains 32 syllables. [The Anukr. informs us that this verse is a *yajus*; and so of the next. A similarly isolated bit of information we had concerning xvi. 8. 1 a.]

23. To the setting one be obeisance; to the one about to set be obeisance; to the one that has set be obeisance; to the wide ruler be obeisance; to the self-ruler be obeisance; to the universal ruler be obeisance.

In Vāit. 11. 13, the verse accompanies worship of the setting sun in the *agnistoma* ceremony. The Anukr. restores both the elided initial *a*'s in the first half-verse, thus counting 35 syllables.

24. This Aditya hath arisen, together with all ardor (*tápas*), making subject to me my rivals; and let me not be subject to my hater. — Thine, O Vishnu etc. etc.

We have repeated here once more the refrain of vss. 6-19. The verse is wanting in Ppp. Pādas c, d are nearly identical with our 6 c, d above. [The main stock of the vs., without the refrain, corresponds to ] RV. i. 50. 13, which reads sdhasd in b, and dvisdntam (for sapdtnan) in c; also md for md ca in d; [and it is also TB. iii. 7. 6<sup>23</sup>, quoted above in full under vs. 6, which reads like RV., save that it has mdma tor mdhyam in c, and dvisatd for dvisatd in d.—In the Calcutta ed. of TB., sdhasd is misprinted mdhasd in the text, but is given aright in the comm. (p. 504), and aright in the Poona ed., p. 1105.]

25. O Aditya, thou hast ascended a boat of a hundred oars in order to well-being; thou hast made me to pass over the day, make thou me likewise (*satrá*) to pass over the night.

The comm. explains satr $\dot{a}$  by sah $\ddot{a}i$  'va, ahn $\ddot{a}$  saha; he gives also as admissible alternative explanation "I have ascended thee as a boat" etc., understanding the second person to be used as a first! Ppp. reads, in fact,  $\ddot{a}$  'ruham; and, in c, ahar no 'ty.

26. O sun, thou hast ascended a boat of a hundred oars in order to well-being; thou hast made me to pass over the night, make thou me likewise to pass over the day.

Passages analogous and in part accordant with the two preceding verses are found in MB. ii. 5. 13, 14. Ppp. reads in a  $\bar{a}$  'riksam; and, in c,  $r\bar{a}tr\bar{i}$  no 'ty.

27. With Prajāpati's worship (*bráhman*) [as] defense am I covered, with Kaçyapa's light and splendor; long-lived, of finished heroism, vigorous (?*vihāyas*), having a thousand life-times, well-made, may I go about.

[Or, to bring out the connection between *viarman* and  $\bar{a}vrta$ , one may render, 'with P's defense am I defended,' 'with P's covering am I covered.'] It is plain that *brdhmanā*, which is metrically redundant, has slipped in here out of 28 **a**; but it appears to be found also in Ppp., as in the text of the comm. The latter explains  $v/h\bar{a}y\bar{a}s$  by *vividhagamanah*, *sarvatrā 'pratibaddhagatih*; [cf. Bergaigne, *Rel. Véd.* iii. 287]. The verse (14 + 11 : 11 + 10[or 11?] = 46) has nothing of a *jagatī* character; [but, if we excise *bráhmanā*, it is a perfectly good *triṣtubh*].

28. Encompassed with worship [as] defense am I, with Kaçyapa's light and splendor; let not the arrows that are the gods' attain me, nor those of men, let loose in order to slay (*vadháya*).

SPP. reports his *pada*-mss. as reading  $y\overline{a}$  instead of  $y\overline{a}h$  at end of c; I have not observed the blunder in ours. All, both his and ours, give  $dva\circ srst\overline{a}$  instead of  $-t\overline{a}h$  in d. The comm. adds the *visarga* in both words. A part of the verse is wanting in Ppp.

29. Guarded by righteousness and by all the seasons, guarded by what is and by what is to be am I; let not evil attain me, nor death; I interpose with a sea of speech.

That is, I set my uttered charms like a sea between me and them.

30. Let Agni [as] guardian protect me all about; let the sun, rising, thrust [away] the fetters of death; out-shining dawns, firm mountains — let a thousand breaths abide  $(\bar{a}$ -yat) in me.

Ppp. reads in a gopus pari, transposes pādas **b** and **c** (corrupting to vicchantīr, and combining usasas p-), and ends **d** with mayu te ramantām; and it then adds our xviii. 4. 49. The connection of our **c** is obscure; the comm. understands mrtyupā(an nudantām or else mām anugrhņantu: the former is possible [The verse is to be read as 12 + 11 : 12 + 11.]

[Here ends the first and sole  $anuv\bar{a}ka$ , with I hymn and 30 verses. The words *prathamo 'nuvākah* are not printed here in either edition, but are found in several of W's mss. The quotation from the Old Anukr. is *ity etat samanukrāntam rcas trinçad* "*visāsahih*."]

[Here ends also the thirty-second prapāțhaka.]

# Book XVIII.

## [Funeral verses.]

[Funeral ceremonies. — This eighteenth book is the sixth and last book of the third grand division (books xiii.-xviii.) of the Atharvan collection, and shows very clearly that general unity of subject which is the distinguishing characteristic of the books of that division. In particular, however, the verses of the book do not show an orderliness of arrangement corresponding with that unity of subject. In large part, the verses of this book appear also in the Rigveda and in book vi. of the Tāittirīya Āraṇyaka, and the readings of these two texts are wont to agree together rather than with those of the Atharvaveda. As appears from the excerpts below, p. 814, the *Pañcapaṭalikā* seems to have a special name for this book, 'The Yamas' or 'Yama-hymns.' The book has been translated by Weber in the *Sitzungsberichte der königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* (cited below as "*Sb*.") for 1895 and 1896. The *bhāṣya* is not wanting.]

The funeral ceremonies of the ancient Hindus (like their nuptial ceremonies --- see p. 738) have been often treated: thus, as early as 1801, by Colebrooke, in vol. vii. of the Asiatic Researches (the paper is reprinted by Cowell in his edition of H. T. Colebrooke's Essays, vol. i.: see pages 172-206); by H. H. Wilson, Works, ii. 270 f.; by R. Roth, ZDMG. viii. 467-475; by Max Muller, ZDMG. ix., appendix, pages i-lxxxii; by Monier-Williams in his Religious Thought and Life in India, chapter xi.; and by H. Oldenberg, Religion des Veda, pages 570-591. Closely akin in subject is Whitney's essay on The Vedic doctrine of a future life, reprinted in his Oriental and Linguistic Studies, i. 46-63. - See also my notes upon the customs and ritual of cremation and burial (AGS. iv. 1-6) in my Sanskrit Reader, pages 401-405, and my notes on RV. x. 18 etc., Reader, pages 382-386: in both places I have given many pertinent bibliographical references. --- The sixth prapāthaka of the Tāittirīya Āranyaka is devoted to the funeral rites, and contains much of the material of this book of the AV. Rājendralāla Mitra's analysis of the prapāțhaka (pages 41-48 of the "Contents" prefixed to his text-edition) may well be consulted, and also pages 33-58 of his Introduction. ---The most comprehensive treatment of the subject has been given by Dr. W. Caland, under the title Die Altindischen Todten- und Bestattungsgebräuche mit Benutzung handschriftlicher Quellen dargestellt, Verhandelingen der Koninklijke Akademie van Wetenschappen te Amsterdam, Afdeeling Letterkunde, Deel I. Nº 6, Amsterdam, 1896, pages xiv + 193.

Lilymns (or *anuvākas*) 1 and 2 are treated by Weber (as above) in the *Sitzungs*berichte for 1895, pages 815-866; and hymns (or *anuvākas*) 3 and 4, in the *Sitzungs*berichte for 1896, pages 253-294. — Weber's essays give first a general introduction for the whole book (Sb., 1895, pages 815-819); and then, for each *anuvāka*, a special introduction followed by a translation with running comment. Each special introduction treats of the ritual uses of the *anuvāka* concerned and of the provenience of the various verses or groups of verses which enter into its composition and also of some general matters relating to that *anuvāka*.]

[Divisions of the book. — The material of this book is divided by our text into 4 *anuvākas* and this division coincides with the division into 4 hymns. (Compare the *anuvāka*-division of books xii. and xiii. and xiv.) A conspectus for book xviii. follows:

Anuvākas	I	2	3	4
Hymns	I	2	3	4
Verses	61	60	73	89
Decad-division	5 tens + 11	6 tens	6 tens + 13	8 tens + 9

Of the "decads," anuvākas 1, 2, 3, and 4 contain respectively 6, 6, 7, and 9. The sum is 28 "decad"-sūktas. These 4 anuvākas and 28 sūktas are recognized by the Major Anukr., as noted below, next  $\P$ . The sum of verses is 283, as is also stated by the same treatise, if we disregard an apparent misreading, *ibidem*.

**LThe Major Anukr.** begins its treatment of the book thus : *o cit sakhāyam* (xviii. 1. 1) *iti caturanuvākam astāvinçatisūktakam tryaçītidviçatanavatyarcam* (? read -dviçatarcam) yamadevatyam trāistubham kāņdam atharvā mantroktabahudevatyam ca.]

[That is to say: 'The book that begins with  $o \ cit \ sakhāyam$  has four anuvākas and twenty-eight  $s\bar{u}ktas$  and two-hundred-and-eighty-three verses and is in tristubh meter; the seer is Atharvan; and the deities are Yama and many others mentioned in its mantras.']

**L**The Pañcapațalikā. — The excerpts from the Old Anukr. are given piecemeal at the end of each *anuvaka* and may here be reconstructed into a metrical couplet:

ekașașțiç ca șașțiç ca saptatis tryadhikā parah : ekonanavatiç cāi 'va yameșu vihitā ŗcah.

That is to say: 'Sixty-one; and sixty; the next  $[anuv\bar{a}ka]$  three-over-seventy; and ninety-less-one: are the verses disposed among the Yama-hymns.' These excerpts are quoted in part and verbatim by the Major Anukr.]

Lt would thus appear from the Old Anukr. that the division into anuvākas is indeed of considerable antiquity. On the other hand, we cannot claim much intrinsic significance for the coincident division into hymns: at all events, the fact that a ritual sequence runs over the division-line between hymns 1 and 2 (see my note to 1.49) makes against such significance; and my suggestion (p. 848) as to a possible misdivision between hymns 3 and 4 points the same way.]

The whole book is wanting in Pāipp., although a very few of the verses (namely, 1.46; 2.13, 17; 3.56; 4.49) are found here and there in its text. In the Vāit., which has no chapter devoted to funeral rites, only fifteen scattered passages [covering about a score of verses] are used; but in the Kāuq., most of the verses from 1.40 on to the end of the book are quoted, solely in the chapter (*adhyāya* xi.: sections or *kandikās* [80–89) which deals with funeral rites and rites to the Fathers or Manes. [See p. 1016.]

## I. [Funeral verses.]

### [Atharvan.— ekaşaşti. yamadevatyam mantroktabahudevatyam ca (41-43. sarasvatīdevatyās; 40. rāudrī; 44-46. mantroktapitŗdevatyās; 51, 52. pitrye). trāistubham: 8, 15. ārşī paākti; 14, 49, 50. bhurij; 18-20, 21-23. jagatī; 37, 38. parosņih; 56, 57, 61. anustubh; 59. purābrhatī.]

[Only one verse (46) is found in Päipp., and that in book ii. Only four *sūtras* of the Väit. cite verses from this hymn, and those verses are 44-46, 51, and 55. In the Käuç., as already noted by Whitney, p. 814, nearly all the verses from 1.40 to the end of the book have their uses in the ritual. That Parts I. and II. and III. of the hymn as divided below are utterly impertinent to the proper subject of the book and therefore without ritual application, is a fact on which Weber, *Sb.* 1895, p. 819, has already animadverted.]

LA clear synoptic statement of the provenience of the different groups of verses, or of the single verses, that enter into the composition of this hymn appears so desirable for the critical study thereof, that I subjoin the following:

- Part I., verses 1-16. This is the hymn of Yama and Yamī, RV. x. 10, of 14 vss., but covering 16 in our text by reason of the strange insertion of RV. i. 84. 16 between the RV. vss. 5 and 6 (our 5 and 7) and the expansion of the RV. vs. 12 to two (our 13 and 14). See Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 819.
- Part II., verses 17-26. This is the Agni-hymn, RV. x. 11, of 9 vss. The order of the last two is inverted, and to the whole part is prefixed a vs. (our 17) not found in other texts. — See Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 828.
- Part III., verses 27-36. This is the Agni-hymn, RV. x. 12, of 9 vss., with the order of vss. 3 and 4 inverted and with its last vs., 9, which we had above as our vs. 25, not repeated. To the whole is prefixed (as our 27, 28) a repetition of the Agni-vss., AV. vii. 82. 4, 5: perhaps vs. 5 (our 28: of which all four pādas begin with *práti*) is put here as a parallel to our 29 (on account of its *pratydin*); in that case, vs. 4 (our 27) might be regarded as a mere variation of vs. 5, with *dnu* four times for *práti*, etc. See Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 830.

Part IV., verses 37, 38. - To Indra, RV. viii. 24. 1, 2. See Weber, l.c., p. 819 n.

Part V., verse 39. — Corresponds to RV. x. 31.9.

Part VI., verse 40. --- Here begin the vss. used in the ritual. --- To Rudra, RV. ii. 33. 11.

Part VII., verses 41-43. — To Sarasvatī with the Fathers, RV. x. 17. 7-9.

Part VIII., verses 44-46. — To the Fathers, RV. x. 15. 1, 3, 2.

Part IX., verse 47. — To the Fathers, RV. x. 14. 3.

- Part X., verse 48. To Soma, RV. vi. 47. 1.
- Part XI., verses 49, 50. To Yama, RV. x. 14. 1, 2.
- Part XII., verses 51, 52. To the Fathers, RV. x. 15. 4, 6.

Part XIII., verse 53. — Traștā duhitre etc., RV. x. 17. 1.

- Part XIV. a, verse 54. To the dead man, RV. x. 14.7.
- Part XIV. b, verse 55. Averruncatio, RV. x. 14. 9.
- Part XV., verses 56, 57. Fire-kindling, RV. x. 16. 12 and variation.
- Part XVI., verses 58-60. To Yama and the Fathers, RV. x. 14. 6, 5, 4.

Part XVII., verse 61. — To the Fathers (?), SV. i. 92.]

LIt thus appears that every verse of our hymn has its correspondent in the RV. save four (or five, if one wishes to count vs. 57): to wit, vs. 17, which is not found to my knowledge in any other text; vss. 27, 28, repeated from AV. vii. (see above); and vs. 61, found in SV. Translated: as AV. hymn, by Weber, as already noted, Sb. 1895, pages 825-842; Griffith, ii. 215. — Translated, furthermore, in so far as it corresponds to RV. material (see above), by the RV. translators, Wilson, Ludwig, Grassmann; 8 of the 14 verses 47 to 60 are from RV. x. 14, which has been translated by Geldner, Siebenzig Lieder des Rigveda, p. 146. In particular, Part I. (RV. x. 10), "Yama and Yami," has been rendered by Muir, v. 288-291; Geldner, l.c., p. 142; Ludwig, in his Rigveda, vol. ii., no. 989, with comment in vol. v., p. 510. With reference to this same Part I., J. Ehni, Die ursprüngliche Gottheit des vedischen Yama, Leipzig, 1896, pages 139-141, may be consulted. Most important is Geldner's article in the Gurupüjākaumudī, pages 19-22, in which he subjects his older views concerning RV. x. 10 to a critical revision in the light of Sāyaņa's interpretation.

I. Unto a friend would I turn with friendship; having gone through much occan, may the pious one take a grandson of [his] father, considering further onward upon the earth  $(k_s dm)$ .

That is, 'making thoughtful provision for the future.' The verse is, without variant, RV. x. 10. 1. Our Bs.E. have *purú* in *samhitā*. The verse is also SV. i. 340, which has a considerably different text: for **a**,  $\hat{a}$  tvā sákhāyah sakhyā vavrtyus; in **b**, arņavān jagamyāh; for **d**, asmín ksáye pratarām didyānah. The comm. takes vavrtyām as of causative value, = vartayāmi: dīdhyānas he explains first (as if it were dīdyānas) by dīpyamānas, 'becoming illustrious over the whole earth'; but also, alternatively, by "thinking [upon a means of impregnating me]."—The word sakhyā he takes as instr. of sakhyā 'friendship' [so Lanman, Noun-Inflection, JAOS. x. 336], and renders by sakhitvena; but also alternatively as instr. of sakhī, 'by means of a female friend,' a go-between !

[An oxytone feminine stem sakhi corresponding (cf. JAOS. x. 368) to a barytone masculine sákhi should accent its instr. sakhyà (JAOS. x. 368, top, 381), not sakhyå.] [Aufrecht, Festgruss an Bohtlingk, 1888, page I, took sakhyå as a dative of sakhyå; and Pischel, Ved. Stud. i. 65 (title-page dated 1889), made a cogent and interesting argument against my view and came (independently, without doubt) to the same conclusion as Aufrecht. — For Geldner's interpretation of the whole verse, see Gurupújākaumudi, p. 19-20.]

2. Thy friend wants (vaq) not that friendship of thine, that she of like sign should become of diverse form; the sons of the great Asura, heroes, sustainers of the sky (dtv), look widely about.

That is, Varuṇa's spies are on the watch against such unpermitted acts. Our Bp.Bs. read in **b** sálakṣmyā. The comm. understands salakṣmā as ekodaratvalakṣaṇam yasyāḥ 'marked as from the same womb,' and viṣurāpā as "changing from sister to wife." The same expression occurs below in 1.34, and variations of it in TS. i. 3. 10<sup>1</sup> (quoted further at vi. 3. 11<sup>2</sup>) and MS. i. 2. 17 (a passage corresponding to, but different from, that in TS.); also VS. vi. 20 b (do.). It seems to have a kind of proverbial currency, as applied to things that change from one character to another. The comm. renders *pari khyan* by *pari vadanti* or *nirākariṣyanti*. The verse is RV. x. 10. 2.

3. Truly those immortals want that — posterity (?*tyajás*) of the one mortal; may thy mind be set in our mind; mayest thou enter [as] husband a wife's body.

The verse shows no variant from RV. x. 10. 3. Bs.E. read in **d** tanvàm. The comm. explains tyajásam by tyāgam, garbhān nirgamanam, utpattim. [Cf. Weber, Sb., p. 824.]

4. What we (pl.) did not do formerly, why [do that] now? speaking righteousness, should we prate unrighteousness? The Gandharva in the waters and the watery woman  $(y \delta s \bar{a})$  — that is our (du.) union  $(n \delta b h i)$ , that our [du.] highest relation  $(j \bar{a} m i)$ .

RV. x. 10. 4 reads  $rt\dot{a}$  in **b**, and  $s\dot{a}$  no n- in **d**, but  $n\bar{a}u$  at the end. [The inconcinnity of number as between no and  $n\bar{a}u$  tempts one to think that here at least the text of the AV. has scored a point against that of the RV.] Anrta seems to be used here, as hardly elsewhere, in the directly opposed sense to  $rt\dot{a}$ . The comm. explains rapema by spastam brūmaķ. [Cf. Weber, Sb., p. 825.]

5. Verily, the generator made us (du.) in the womb man and spouse — god Tvashtar, Savitar of all forms; none overthrow  $(pra-m\bar{i})$  his ordinances (vrata); earth knows us [two] as such, also heaven.

RV. x. 10. 5 has no variants. The treatment of *prthivi* in **d** as *pragrhya* is noticed in Prāt. iii. 34 c. [Presumably, W's literal version of **d** would be 'earth is cognizant of that [fact] of us two, also heaven.']

6. Who yokes to the pole today the kine of rightcousness, the diligent, the bright, the slow to wrath (?durhnajvu), that have arrows in the mouth, that shoot at the heart, amiable ones? whoso shall prosper their burden, he shall live.

This strangely intruded verse [cf. Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 819 n.] is RV. i. 84. 16 (also found in TS. iv. 2. 113; MS. iii. 16. 4), without variant [save that TS. accents dirhrnāyūn]. SV. has it at i. 341 (next after our verse 1), with the bad variants āsánn esām apsuvāhah in c. [Cf. Aufrecht's Rigveda<sup>2</sup>, vol. i., preface, p. xliv.] The comm. understands bhrtyām ruádhat in d as here translated; also durhruāyūn [alternatively] in b.

7. Who knows of that first day? who saw it? who shall proclaim it here? Great is the ordinance (*dháman*) of Mitra, of Varuņa; why, O lustful one, wilt thou speak to men with deceit (?v\$ci)?

RV. x. 10. 6 has no variants. The comm. blunderingly attributes the verse to Yamī; he also takes  $v\bar{i}cy\bar{a} \lfloor p. v\bar{i}cy\bar{a} \rfloor$  as for  $v\bar{i}cy\bar{a}s$ , an adj. meaning vividham añcanto gacchantah samcarantah, and qualifying  $n \nmid n$ , which is used as nominative, = narás ! [See Geldner, Gurupājākaumudī, p. 21-22.]

8. Desire of Yama hath come unto me Yamī, in order to lying together in the same lair  $(y \circ ni)$ ; I would fain yield (ric) my body, as wife to husband; may we whirl off, like two chariot wheels.

That is, probably, like the wheels of two chariots interlocked with each other in battle. RV. x. 10. 7 has no variants from our text. The comm. makes vi vrheva mean samelesam karavāva, adding *itaretarayoh samçleso vivarhā*; and his first explanation of rathyā is as = rathyayā 'on the carriage road'! Our P.M.I. accent vrhéva. The metrical definition of the Anukr. as  $pa\bar{n}kti$  is very strange, though the verse can be reduced to 40 syllables by refusing to make ordinary resolutions.

9. They stand not, they wink not, those spies of the gods who go about here; with another than me, O lustful one, go quickly; with him whirl off like two chariot wheels.

The verse is RV. x. 10. 8, without variant. The comm. reads *eke* at end of **a**; he explains *tuyam* in **c** by *turnam*, and supplies *ramasva*: 'hasten to enjoy thyself.'

10. By nights, by days one may pay reverence (daçasy) to him; the sun's eye may open  $(?in mim\bar{x}y\bar{a}t)$  for a moment; with heaven, with earth paired, of near connection; — Yamī must bear the unbrotherly  $(dj\bar{a}mi)$  [conduct] of Yama.

RV. x. 10.9 differs from our text only by reading in **d** bibhryāt; and this reading the translation implies, *wwrhāt* seeming unexplainable save as a corruption, suggested by the forms of *wi-wrh* in the two preceding verses. The connection of the verse is very loose, and the sense of **b** especially doubtful. One is tempted to emend to *mimilyāt*; but *ā mimiyāt* is found in TB. iii. 6. 13 [2d *prāisa*], explained by its commentary as meaning *àgatya praviçeyuh*. Our comm. explains *ún m-* as *wrdhvam gacchet* (the RV. comm. as *ud etu*). Our comm. further reads, at the end *ajāmis*, and understands it of Yamī. The adjectives in **c** are dual; the comm. supplies "earth with heaven and heaven with earth." [Cf. Weber, Sb, p. 823.]

11. Verily there shall come those later ages (yugd) in which next of kin  $(j\bar{a}mt)$  shall do what is unkinly  $\lfloor dj\bar{a}mt \rfloor$ . Put thine arm underneath a hero (vysabhd); seek, O fortunate one, another husband than me.

The verse is, without variant, RV. x. 10. 10. Upa barbrhi in c means 'make an upabárhana (cushion, pillow) of.' Our comm. regards the anomalous barbrhi [Gram. § 1011 a] as barbr + hi, -br- being for -brh- by Vedic license.

12. What should brother be when there is no protector? or what sister, when destruction impends (*ni-gam*)? Impelled by desire, I prate thus much; mingle thou thy body with my body.

The first half-verse apparently means that the matter of near kindred is overborne in importance by the consideration of her loneliness and of the necessity for continuing their race. The verse agrees throughout with RV. x. 10. 11. The comm. renders  $-m\bar{u}t\bar{a}$ in c by *murchita*.

13. I am not thy protector here, O Yamī; I may not mingle my body with thy body; with another than me do thou prepare enjoyments; thy brother wants not that, O fortunate one.

All our mss. save Op.K. accent yámi in a; SPP. reports only one of his as doing so. RV. x. 10. 12 is in our text expanded into two verses, its second half being our c, d, without variant. The comm. reads nūnam for tanūm in b; he explains nāthám in **a** by abhimatārthasampādakas.

14. Verily, I may not mingle my body with thy body; they call him wicked  $(p\bar{a}p\dot{a})$  who should approach his sister. That is not consonant

(*?asamyát*) with my mind [and] heart, that I, a brother, should lie in a sister's bed (*cáyana*).

The first half-verse [cf. vs. 13] is RV. x. 10. 12 **a**, **b**, which latter, however, reads in **a** te tanvà tanvàm sám. All the mss. leave cayiya at the end unaccented, and both editions read accordingly; we ought in ours to have made the necessary emendation to cdyiya. The mss. vary in **c** between dsamyat, asamyát, dsamyát, and asamyat; SPP. gives in his text dsamyat, which is better than our asamyát; the pada-text divides asamoyat. The comm. reads instead asum yat, and supplies a verb, apaharet, to govern asum.

15. A weakling (*?batá*), alas, art thou, O Yama; we have not found mind and heart thine; verily, another woman shall embrace thee, as a girth a harnessed [horse], as a twining plant (*libujā*) a tree.

RV. x. 10. 13 varies from this only by reading (as also our Bp.) in d svajāte. The translation given of kaksyd 'va yuktám agrees with the comm. (also the comm. to RV.), which renders yuktam by svasambaddham açvam. Pāda b evidently alludes to 14 c, where Yama talks of his mind and heart. If batás is a genuine word (the metrical disarray intimates corruption), it looks like being the noun of which the common exclamation bata is by origin the vocative. The RV. Anukr. takes no notice of the defective meter; ours requires the verse to be read as only 40 syllables, which is possible (10+9:10+11=40); [c and d are good tristubh pādas and b has a tristubh cadence].

16. Another man, truly, O Yamī, another man shall embrace thee, as a twining plant a tree; either do thou seek his mind or he thine; then make for thyself very excellent concord (sainvid).

RV. x. 10. 14 has for a the much better version anyám  $\bar{u}$  sú truám yamy anyá u truấm, and in b again svajāte. Our D., and a single ms. of SPP's (with the comm.), also have anyam [at the beginning], and SPP. accordingly admits anyám into his text, in spite of the absence of truám. But the comment on the Prāt. three times (under ii. 97; iii. 4; iv. 98) reads anya  $\bar{u}$  su, and it cannot well be questioned that this is the true text of our AV. Our P.M.E. accent again yámi. The Anukr. takes no notice of the lacking syllable in a; [perhaps it balances c against a].

17. Three meters the poets extended (?vi-yat) — the many-formed one, the admirable, the all-beholding; waters, winds, herbs — these are set (*árpita*) in one being (*bhúvana*).

The verse is extremely obscure, in meaning and in connection. The mss. vary much as regards the accent of *pururūpam*; two of ours (O.D.) and several of SPP's accent -rdp, which, as it is found in other texts, the latter has very properly admitted in his edition. The comm. renders *vl yetire* by *yatnam* krtavantah. The Anukr. takes no notice of the irregularity of the meter. [Concerning this *praksipta*-verse, "glossenartige Parallelstelle," see Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 819 note, and p. 828.]

18. The bull yieldeth (duh) milks for the bull with the milking of the sky (div), he the unharmable son (?yahvd) of Aditi; everything knoweth he, like Varuṇa, by thought (dhi); he, sharing the sacrifice (yajniya), sacrificeth to the seasons that share the sacrifice.

The verse is RV. x. 11. I, whose only variant is *yajatu* for *-ti* in **d**. The comm. explains  $vfs\bar{a}$  as Agni, and vfsne as the sacrificer, *dohasā* as = *dohanasādhanena yajād*. *dinā*, *yahvás* as *mahān* and qualifying vfsā together with *ádābhyas*, while *ádites* means "indivisible" and qualifies *divás*, which is ablative: the general sense being that the god procures rain for his worshiper. His understanding of c agrees with the translation given above. Compare Pischel's version of the verse and general explanation of the RV. hymn in *Ved. Stud.* i. 183 ff.; his exposition is excessively ingenious and extremely unsatisfactory.

19. Pratch the Gandharvī and watery woman; in the noise of the noisy one (nadá) let [her] protect our mind; let Aditi set us in the midst of what is desired (?istá); our oldest brother shall first speak out.

RV. X.11. 2 has for sole variant *me* for *nas* in **b**. The comm. to the first half-verse appears to be defective; but it certainly understands the goddesses Bhāratī and Sarasvatī to be intended in **a**; *istasya* is either *phalasya* or *yāgasya*; the "brother" is Agni. [Pischel discusses the RV. verse at *Ved. Stud.* i. 183.]

20. She now, the excellent, rich in food, full of glory — the dawn hath shone for man  $(m \dot{a} n u)$ , full of light  $(sv \dot{a} r)$ ; since they have generated for the council [as] *hotr* Agni, the eager one, after the will  $(kr \dot{a} tu)$  of the eager ones.

This is RV. x. 11. 3, without variant. The comm. renders ksumátī in **a** by mantrarūpaçabdavatī, and vidáthāya (of course) by yajādaya, and understands ánu krátum as "for each several ceremony."

21. Then that mighty (vibhi) conspicuous drop did the bird, the lively falcon, bring at the sacrifice; if the Aryan tribes  $(vi_{\zeta})$  choose the wondrous one, Agni, [as] hoir, then prayer (dhi) was born.

RV. x. 11. 4 differs only by reading *isitis* in **b**. The comm. makes a couple of references, to TB. iii. 2. 1<sup>1</sup> and TS. vi. 1. 6<sup>1</sup>, where the legends of the bringing of soma from heaven by the falcon are given. Prat. iii. 25 notes the short final of *ddha* in **a** and **d**.

22. Ever art thou pleasant (ranvá), as pastures to him that enjoys (pus) them, being, O Agni, well sacrificed to with the offerings of man (mánus); or when, active, praiseworthy, having won the strength (vája) of the inspired one (vipra), thou approachest with very many.

RV. x. 11. 5 differs only by reading *ukthyàm* at end of c. The construction and meaning of the second half-verse are difficult and obscure. The comm. explains *çaça-mānas* by *çaňsan yajamānam praçaňsan* (similarly the comm. to RV.); and *bhūribhis* as "accompanied by many desires or else by many gods" (RV. comm. only the latter).

23. Send thou up the (two) fathers, [as] a lover, unto enjoyment (*bhága*). The welcome one (*haryatá*) desires to sacrifice; he sends from the heart; the bearer (*váhni*) speaks out; the merry one (*?makhá*) does a good work; the Asura shows might (*tavisy*); he trembles with purpose (*?mati*).

The verse is RV. x. 11. 6, without variant. It is extremely obscure, and the general sense, as well as the meaning of several words, is in a high degree doubtful; the translation given is no more than mechanical. [Cf. Weber, p. 829.] The 'two fathers' (parents) are declared by the comm., probably rightly, to be heaven and earth; jāras is explained as *ādutyas*, and  $\bar{a} as = iva$ . Or, alternatively, jāras is "praiser," coming from jarā "praise," and to  $\bar{a}$  is to be supplied *hvayati*. The *isyati* is made = *icchati*; vahni is Agni; makhas is makhasādhano mainhanīyo  $v\bar{a}$ ; tavisyate is vardhisyate. All this is of interest only as showing that no help is to be obtained from the native exegetes.

**e4.** Whatever mortal hath scen thy favor, O Agni, son of power, he is renowned exceedingly; acquiring  $(dh\bar{a})$  food (is), borne by horses, he, lightful, vigorous, passes  $({\bar{a}}-bh\bar{u}s)$  the days (div).

RV. x. 11. 7 reads in a *dkṣat*, of which our *dkhyat* is doubtless only a corruption. Our Bp. and one of SPP's authorities have *akṣat*. The comm. renders  $\bar{a}$  *bhūṣati* by  $\bar{a}bhavati$ ; [he adds alternatively: bhūṣati = bubhūṣati,  $dyumān \dots bhavitum icchati$ ]. In **b** he reads *abhi* instead of *ati*.

25. Hear us, O Agni, in thy seat, thy station; harness the speedy chariot of the immortal (amita); bring to us the two firmaments  $(r \delta das \bar{i})$ , parents of the gods; be thou of the gods never  $(m \delta k i s)$  away; mayest thou be here.

The verse is RV. x. 11.9 (and 12.9), without variant. The comm. comfortably supplies *sample* in **d** to govern the genitive devanam. Then, as alternative explanation, he understands *bhus* and *syas* as third persons, and *makis* as "no one."

26. That, O Agni, this meeting may take place  $(bh\bar{a})$ , divine, among the gods, worshipful, thou reverend one, and that thou mayest share out treasures, O self-ruling one, do thou enjoy here our portion filled with good things.

The verse is, without variant, RV. x. 11.8 (also found in MS. iv. 14. 15).

27. Agni hath looked after the apex of the dawns, after the days, [he] first, Jātavedas; a sun, after the dawns, after the rays; after heaven-andearth he entered.

28. Agni hath looked forth to meet the apex of the dawns, to meet the days, [he] first, Jātavedas, and to meet the rays of the sun in many places; to meet heaven-and-earth he stretched out.

These two verses we had above as vii. 82. 4, 5. They are here again written out in full by two of our mss. (O.R.). [Cf. my introduction, above, p. 815.]

29. Heaven and earth, first by right, truth-speaking, are within hearing, when the god, making mortals to sacrifice, sits as *hotr*, going to meet his own being (dsu).

The verse is RV. x. 12. 1, without variant. Some of our mss. (Bp. Bs. C, read abhisrāvé in b. The comm. explains the word by stotuh gravanayogye. cr

821

30. A god, encompassing the gods with right, carry thou first our offering, understanding [it]; smoke-bannered by the fuel, light-beaming, a pleasant, constant  $h \acute{o}tr$ , skilled sacrificer with speech.

The verse is RV. x. 12. 2, without variant. The majority of SPP's mss., with one of ours (Op.), read *bhårciko* in c. Neither our Anukr. nor that of the RV. notes the deficiency of a syllable in a.

31. I praise (arc) your (du.) work unto increase, ye ghee-surfaced ones; O heaven-and-earth, hear me, ye two firmaments  $(r \circ das \bar{i})$ ; when days, O gods, went to the other life  $(dsun \bar{i}ti)$ , let the two parents  $(pit dr \bar{a})$ sharpen us here with honey.

The rendering is only mechanical, the obscurity of the verse being unresolved. It is RV. x. 12. 4, which, however, reads for c  $dh\bar{a}$  ydd dydvd 'sunitim dyan. Our mss. and the authorities of SPP. vary in c between devds, devds, and devds; SPP. reads devds, with [at least] two of his; our devds is not defensible; the translation implies devds. The comm. makes the word the subject of dyan, taking  $dh\bar{a}$  (p.  $dh\bar{a}$ ) as for ahahsu; he explains devds by stotaras or rtvijas. Our Bp. is the only pada-ms. that reads (with the RV. pada) dpah in a; the others have dpah; but, as the comm. gives the former, SPP. adopts it in his text. A majority of SPP's mss. accent ghrtdsndt, but only one of ours (O.) does so.

32. If the god's immortality  $(am\hat{r}ta)$  is easy to appropriate for the cow, thence those who are born maintain themselves on the broad [earth]; all the gods go after that sacrificial formula of thine, when the hind yields (duh) the ghee, heavenly liquor  $(r_i dr)$ .

The verse is RV. x. 12.3, without variant. It is all extremely obscure, especially the first pāda, which admits of being rendered in half-a-dozen different ways; the translation given is purely tentative. The comm. gives little help. The *pada*-text does not divide or otherwise change svavrk, which indicates that its makers did not see in the word the formation  $su \cdot a \cdot vrj$ , which is plausibly seen in it by western scholars and by our comm. The latter takes urvi (p. urvi *iti*) as dual, but in the Prāt. it is quoted by the comment (to i. 74) as example of a locative in *i*, which it doubtless is. Our comm. derives ydjus first from root yuj and makes it = karman; devās is again, as above (vs. 31), stotāras, rtvijas. [With the expression divydin valh, applied to ghee, compare the expression at x. 4. 3, var ugram, applied to snake-venom, which may well be called a 'terrible fluid': but see note to x. 4. 3.]

33. Why forsooth hath the king seized (grak) us? what have we done in transgression of (dti) his ordinance (vratd)? who discerns [it]? for even Mitra, swerving the gods, like a song of praise (cloka), is the might also of them that go.

The verse is RV. x. 12. 5, without variant. The second half-verse, especially the last pāda, is bafflingly obscure. The accent of *dsti*, as well as the absence of other consideration for *mitrds*, strongly indicates that the whole of the second half-verse forms one settence; in which case  $v\bar{a}jas$  is perhaps most probably a corruption. The comm. underst ds  $r\bar{a}j\bar{a}$  in a as Yama, and *jagrhe* as signifying his "acceptance" of offerings — which is ry ill guessed; doubtless it is Varuna (so Ludwig; the RV. comm. makes it

Agni). He then renders juhurāņās most absurdly by āhvayan, [saying that "the root hvr 'crook' is here used in the sense of root  $h\vec{u}$  'call'"]. He reads in **d** (as do some of the mss., including our O.Op.R.) yātān, as accus. of the pple yāta, qualifying devān understood, rendering devān abhigacchato no 'smān raksitum! and so on. The version of the line given above is of course mechanical only.

34. Hard to reverence (?durmántu) here is the name of the immortal, that she of like sign should become of diverse form; whoso shall reverence Yama with proper reverence (?sumántu), him, O Agni, exalted one, do thou protect, unremitting.

This verse is found also as RV. x. 12. 6, without variant, but the RV. comm. passes it without notice, as if recognizing it as not genuine. It is very strange to find repeated here as  $\mathbf{b}$  vs. 2  $\mathbf{b}$ , above, as the connection this time does not explain the feminine words in it. The comm. first explains (like Grassmann) the pāda as quoted from the other verse; but goes on to add other interpretations. He defines *durmántu* by *durmananam durvacam*.

35. In whom the gods revel at the council, maintain themselves in Vivasvant's seat — they placed light in the sun, rays in the moon: the two, unfailing, wait upon (*pari-car*) the brightness (*dyotant*).

The verse is x. 12. 7, without variant. The comm. separates ydsmin from viddthe, supplying agnāu for the former to qualify (the RV. comm. does the same); perhaps rather manmani is to be inferred from the following verse. [W. suggests by a note to his ms. as an alternative for **a**, 'In what council the gods revel.'] Our comm. also explains, in **d**, dyotantim by dyotamānam agnim, and it reads ajasram, understanding it adverbially; aktūn in **c** is either raçmīn or rātrīs. [This vs. and the next are discussed by Foy, KZ. xxxiv. 228.]

36. In what secret  $(ap\bar{i}cy\dot{a})$  devotion  $(m\dot{a}nman)$  the gods go about (sam-car) — we know it not; may Mitra, may Aditi, may god Savitar declare us here guiltless to Varuna.

The verse is RV. x. 12.8, without variant. Our comm. explains mánmani by mantavye sthāne varuņākhye.

37. O companions, we would supplicate  $(\bar{a}-c\bar{a}s)$  worship (bráhman) for Indra, possessor of the thunderbolt, to praise, indeed, the most manly, the daring.

The verse is RV. viii. 24. I (also SV. i. 390), which reads in a *çişāmahi* (SV. -*he*), and inserts in c vas after  $\bar{n}$  sú, as required by the meter. The comm's text (but not his exposition) also has the vas. Our Anukr. takes no notice of the lack of a syllable in the pāda. The comm. explains  $\hat{a}$  *çişāmahe* by  $\bar{a}c\bar{a}smahe$ , and supplies *kartum*; he renders *stusé* by either *stāumi* or *stotum*. The particles  $\bar{u}$  sú are included in the prescriptions of Prāt. ii. 97; iii. 4; iv. 98. [Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 819 n., can assign no reason why vss. 37-38 should appear here.]

38. For thou art famed for might (cdvas), for Vritra-slaying, a Vritraslayer; thou out-bestowest the bounteous with thy bounties, O hero.

The verse is RV. viii. 24. 2, and without variant, if, with SPP., we read *crutas* at end of a. Our text has *critas*, with a part of the mss.; they vary between *crutas* (our

O.Op.D.R., and half of SPP's; also the comm.), *critás* (our P.M.T., and two of SPP's), and *crtás* (our Bp.Bs.E.I.K.Kp., and three or four of SPP's authorities) — which last is doubtless only a carcless variant of *critás*. The translation given above implies *crutás*. The comm. perhaps reads in b *vrytrahatye* 'va.

39. Thou goest over the earth as a *stegá* over the ground; let winds blow here on the great earth  $(bh\dot{n}mi)$  for us; Mitra for us there  $(\acute{a}tra)$ , Varuna, being joined, hath let loose heat  $(\acute{c}\acute{b}ka)$ , as fire does in the forest.

RV. x. 31. 9 corresponds, but has very considerable differences of reading: in a, eti prthuim; for b, miham ná vắto ví ha vāti bhuma; in c, yátra (for no átra) and ajyámānas (for yuj-); in d, 'gnlr v-. Part of the AV. mss. also have 'gntr v- (our O.R., and nearly half of SPP's), which accordingly might well be adopted in the text; but SPP., like our edition, reads agnlr v-. One or two of our mss. (Op.R.s.m.) read in d asysta (vyds-), and so do a minority of SPP's; and the latter gives in his samhitā-text vyásrsta, but (apparently by an oversight) in his pada-text vi: asrsta; one sees no reason at all for the accentuation of the verb | in the AV. text, with its *dtra* |.\* Our text is plainly an unintelligent corruption of an unintelligible verse. The RV. comm. guesses raçmisamghāty ādityah to be the meaning of the | very rare | stegá, but only on the ground of a worthless etymology. Our comm. is defective here, but the lacuna is filled up by the editor, who makes it signify "a frog"! La meaning possibly suggested by the passage at TS. v. 7. 11 (which is parallel to VS. XXV. 1) |. Ludwig conjectures "a plowshare" | and Weber follows him |. Our pada-text reads in b mahi tti, and the case is quoted under Prāt. i. 74 as that of a locative in  $\overline{i}$ ; our comm. renders it alternatively by mahatim; he also renders wyasrsta by nāçayatu ! The m of prthiwhich is almost or quite illegible in our text. The Anukr. takes no notice of the metrical irregularities of the verse (10 + 11 : 12 + 11 = 44).

\* The RV. reads  $vy \, dsrsta$ , and has the difficult pada-reading vl: dsrsta: here the RV's accentuation of dsrsta is accounted for by the RV's ydtra; and the accent of vl is to be put with the remarkable cases (some thirty) mentioned by W., Gram. § 1084 a, whether we regard it as a blunder helped by the wavering tradition as to dtra, ydtra, or not. (Cf. what is said about "blend-readings" under xiv. 2. 18 and, just below, under xviii. 1. 42.) Whitney's Bp. follows the RV. in giving vl: dsrsta and his Bs. has vydsrsta: cf. the vl: dadhus of xix. 6. 5 a.]

40. Praise thou the famed sitter on the hollow of men (jána), the terrible king, formidable assailant (?upahatnú); being praised, O Rudra, be gracious to the singer; let thine army (?sénya) lay low (ui-vap) another than us.

The verse corresponds to RV. ii. 33. 11 (also found in TS. iv. 5. 103, without variant from RV), which reads in **a-b**-sádain yúvänam mrgán ná bh-, and, for **d**, 'nyán te asmán ní vapantu sénāh. The substitution in our text of sényam for sénās at the end throws into confusion sense and construction. The comm. first takes it as = senās, and then as accus. qualifying anyám and signifying tava senārham, in the latter case supplying senās as subject of the verb. Gartasádam he takes first in the Nirukta sense of çmaçānasanicaya, and then in its "ordinary" (prasiddha) meaning, adding tasyā 'raņye samcārād gartasadanam ynjyate. The Kāuç. (85. 19) uses the verse in connection with the digging of a hollow (garta) in the middle of the measured space at the pindapitryajña, and the scattering into it of a number of heterogeneous substances. Our comm., by some rare and strange oversight, makes no mention of this *viniyoga*, and so does not take it into account in the explanation of the verse. Apparently it is only the occurrence of *gartasad* in the verse that suggests the use; of real applicability to the situation there is none.

41. On Sarasvatī do the pious call; on Sarasvatī, while the sacrifice is being extended; on Sarasvatī do the well-doers call: may Sarasvatī give what is desirable to the worshiper  $(d\bar{a}cridis)$ .

RV. x. 17. 7 is the same verse, but makes better meter by having *ahvayanta* for *havante* in c; and the comm. agrees with it. Verses 41-43, with others to Sarasvatī (vii. 68. 1-2; also xviii. 3. 25), are used by Kāuç. (81. 39) in the *pitrmedha* ceremony, accompanying offerings to Sarasvatī. [And they recur below, as noted under vs. 43.] The Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency of a syllable in 41 c, and 42 a, nor of the excess of two syllables in 43 a.

42. On Sarasvatī do the Fathers call, arriving at the sacrifice on the south; sitting on this *barhis* do ye revel; assign thou to us food (*isas*) free from disease.

Here again the RV. version (x. 17.9 a, b, 8 c, d) makes the meter good by inserting [or rather (cf. vs. 59), by not omitting] y dm in a before *pitdras* (and hence accenting *hdvante*\*); it also accents *daksind* in b, as other texts do; two of our mss. (O.s.m.Op.) do the same, with the majority of SPP's, whence the latter adopts *daksind* in his edition; it is undoubtedly the correct reading [as is explicitly stated also by the comm. to xix. 13.9, page  $325^{21}$ ]. RV. also avoids the change of subject in the second line by reading *mādayasva* in c. \*[It is interesting to note that SPP's CP accents *hdvante*, as if the missing y dm were not missing: cf. my note about "blend-readings" under xiv. 2. 18, and the end of my note under xviii. 1. 39; also note to 4. 57.]

43. O Sarasvatī, that wentest in company (*sarátham*) with the songs (*ukthá*), with the *svadhás*, O goddess, reveling with the Fathers, assign thou to the sacrificer here a portion of refreshment (*id*) of thousandfold value, abundance of wealth.

Here, once more, the AV. disturbs the meter by the intrusion into **a** of *ukthāls*, which is wanting in the RV. version (x. 17.8 a, b, 9 c, d). [RV. reads *yájamāneşu* in **d**.] The three Sarasvatī verses are repeated below as xviii. 4. 45-47. The comm. gives *annasya* as equivalent of *idás*.

44. Let the lower, let the higher, let the midmost Fathers, the somadrinking (*?somyá*), go up; they who went to life (*ásu*), unharmed (avrká), right-knowing — let those Fathers aid us at our calls.

The verse is found, without variant, as RV. x. 15. I, VS. xix. 49, and in TS. ii. 6. 123, MS. iv. 10. 6. It is used twice by Kāuç. in the funeral book : once (80. 43) at the piling of the funeral pile, and once (87. 14), in the *pindapitryajña*, at the digging of a pit for receiving certain offerings. Verses 44-46 appear together (87. 29) in the latter ceremony with the bringing in of certain water-pots [i.e. the pouring in (of their contents)?]. In Vāit. (30. 14), vss. 44 and 45, with 51, and 3. 44, 45, are prescribed to be repeated after the pouring of *surā* into a perforated vessel, in the *sāutrāmaņī* ceremony; and again, vss. 44-46 accompany (37. 23) the binding of a victim to the sacrificial post in the *purusamedha*.

45. I have won hither  $(\bar{a}$ -vid) the beneficent Fathers, both the grandson and the wide-striding of Vishnu; they who, sitting on the barhis, partake of the pressed drink with svadhá — they come especially hither.

The verse is, without variant, RV. x. 15. 3, VS. xix. 56, and found in TS. ii. 6. 123 and MS. iv. 10. 6 (MS. puts yé after swadhdyā in c). Our comm. is uncertain from which root wid to make awitsi, and casts no light on the obscure second pāda; he renders  $\bar{a}gamisih\bar{a}s$  either by  $\bar{a}gamaya$  or  $\bar{a}gacchantu$ . The abbreviated form barhisidas(p. barhisidah) is one of those quoted by the Prāt. comment as aimed at by rules ii. 59; iv. 100. For the use of the verse by Kāuç. and Vāit., see under vs. 44.

46. Be this homage today to the Fathers, who went first, who went after, who are seated in the space (rájas) of earth, or who are now in regions (dic) having good abodes (suvrjána).

The verse is RV. x. 15. 2, which, however, reads in **b**  $ipar\bar{a}sas$ , and in **d** viksis, and with it in both respects read the corresponding verses in TS. ii. 6. 124 and MS. iv. 10. 6; also VS. xix. 68 (but this, with our E., has namo 'stu in **a**). Ppp. also gives the verse in book ii., reading in **b** ye parāsas pareyuļa, and in **d** suverjināsu viksu. Some of our mss. (P.M.I.R.T.), and one of SPP's, agree with RV. in reading *iparāsas*; the comm. divides *u parāsas*; and our E. has viksú, while P.M. give diviksú, and I. priksú. For the use of the verse in Kāuç. and Vāit. with vss. 44-45, see under 44; it also (or else, more probably, 4. 51: see under that verse) is prescribed alone (80. 51) to accompany the scattering of darbha-grass in preparing the funeral pile.

47. Mātalī with the *kavyds*, Yama with the Anīgirases, Brihaspati increasing with the *ikvans* ('praisers'); both they whom the gods increased and who [increased] the gods — let those Fathers aid us at our calls.

RV. x. 14. 3 has the first three pādas, but, instead of repeating our 44 d, reads for the fourth *svāhā 'nyé svadháyā 'nyé madanti*; and TS. (ii. 6. 125) and MS. (iv. 14. 16) agree with it in so doing.

48. Sweet verily is this [sóma], and full of honey is this; strong  $(t\bar{v}vr\dot{a})$  verily is this, and full of sap is this; and no one soever overpowers in conflicts  $(\bar{a}hav\dot{a})$  Indra, having now drunk of it.

The verse is RV. vi. 47. 1, without real variant; its applicability in the funeral book is not apparent, and neither Käuç. nor Vāit. uses it. Part of our mss. (O.R.K.), with nearly all SPP's, combine at the beginning *svādús k*-, which RV. also has; and SPP., with good reason, adopts this in his text.

49. Him that went away to the advances called great, spying out the road for many, Vivasvant's son, gatherer of people, king Yama, honor (*sapary*) ye with oblation.

The verse is RV. x. 14. 1, which, however, reads *ánu* for *tti* at end of **a**, and *duvasya* for *saparyata* in **d**. A verse in MS. iv. 14. 16 has the RV. version throughout. TA. (in vi. 1. 1) gives at the end the genuine variant *duvasyata*, but also in **a** and **b** the incredible blunders *pare yuvánsam* and *anapaspaçānám*; [so even the Poona ed., p. 405]. With the first half-verse is to be compared our vi. 28. 3 **a**, **b**. The *tti* of our version, at end of a, seems a worthless corruption (SPP. thinks it certainly "a mistake for *dti*"; but that is not very plausible, though our I., doubtless by an accidental slip, has *dti*, and P.M. have *ata*); the comm. reads *ann*, with the other texts. [With this vs. and the next, cf. 3. 13 below: the second half of 3. 13 is identical with the second half of this vs.] In Kāuç. (81.34), recital of the verse accompanies offerings to Yama at the lighting of the funeral pile. Metrically, it is *svarāj* (12 + 11 : 11 + 12 = 46) rather than *bhurij*.

[Caland, Todtengebrauche, p. 65, observes that "Kāuç. 81. 34-36 [meaning 34-37] form one single whole." They indicate the eleven verses (translated by C., p. 64) that are to be used to accompany the eleven oblations to Yama ( $y\bar{a}m\bar{a}n \ hom\bar{a}n$ ), offered in the *pitr medha*, after the lighting of the fire. The vss. are: xviii. 1. 49, 50, for the first two oblations; xviii. 1. 58, 59, 60, 61 (the last vs. of the hymn) and xviii. 2. 1, 2, 3, for the next seven; and xviii. 3. 13 and 2. 49, for the last two: in all, eleven, *ity ekādaça*. Whereupon follow the oblations to Sarasvatī. — It should be noted that the group 1. 58 to 2. 3 (Kāuç.: *iti saik hitā ķ sapta*) disregards the existing division of the book into anuvāka-hymns.]

50. Yama first found for us a track  $(g\bar{a}t\dot{u})$ ; that is not a pasture to be borne away; where our former Fathers went forth, there  $(cn\dot{a})$  [go] those born [of them], along their own roads.

The corresponding RV. verse (x. 14. 2) reads *pareyús* at end of c, and MS. (in iv. 14. 16) agrees with it. The comm. has *yena* instead of *enā* in d. The verse (with vs. 51?) is used by Kāuç. (81. 35) next after the preceding one, in the same ceremony.

51. Ye *barhis*-seated Fathers, hitherward with aid! these offerings have we made for you; enjoy [them]! do ye come with most wealful aid; then assign to us weal [and] profit, free from evil.

The corresponding RV. verse (x. 15. 4) has  $dth\bar{a}$  at beginning of d. VS. (xix. 55) agrees throughout with RV.; TS. (in ii. 6. 12<sup>2</sup>) spoils the meter of d by changing *nas* to *asmdbhyam*; MS. (in iv. 10. 6) has at the end *dadhātana*; [so has W's Op.]. The comm. also reads *atha*. The verse is used by Kāuç. (87. 27), along with 3. 44-46 and 4. 68, to accompany the untying and strewing of the *barkis* in the *pindapitryajña*. In Vāit. 30. 14, it appears with 1. 44, 45 etc. (see under 1. 44); and again (9. 8), in the *cāturmāsya* sacrifice, accompanying (with 3. 44, 45 and 4. 71) a libation to Soma and the Fathers.

52. Bending the knee, sitting down on the right, let all assent to (*abhi-gr*) this libation of ours; injure us not, O Fathers, by reason of any offense (*ågas*) which we may do to you through humanity.

That is, through human frailty. The corresponding RV. verse (x. 15. 6) reads for **b** imám yajñám abhí gruita víçve; and VS. (xix. 62) agrees with RV.; the comm., too, so far as to have gruita. In Kāuç. (83. 28), the verse accompanies the arranging of the bone relics of the deceased at their place of burial (repeated, with two other verses, in the pindapitryajña, 87. 28). The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in **b**.

53. Tvashtar makes a wedding-car for his daughter; by reason of this, all this creation comes together; the mother of Yama, wife of great Vivasvant, being drawn about, disappeared.

The corresponding RV. verse (x. 17. 1) has *tti* for *tlna* at beginning of **b**; and the comm. also reads *iti*. With the first half-verse compare iii. 31.5 **a**, **b**, which is a sort of travesty of it. The second verse of the curious and obscure and much discussed (see Bloomfield in JAOS. xv. 172 ff.) bit of legend is found below, as 2. 33, as much out of all connection with its surroundings as this one here. Neither of the two is used by Kāuç. or Vāit. The comm. quotes a passage of eight verses from the Brhaddevatā in explanation of the legend.

54. Go thou forth, go forth by roads that go to the stronghold  $(p\bar{u}r-yd\mu a)$ , as  $(ydn\bar{a})$  thy Fathers of old went forth; both kings, reveling with svadhd, shalt thou see, Yama and god Varuna.

Or svadháyā may be 'at their pleasure' or 'according to their wont.' The corresponding RV. verse (x. 14. 7) has in **a-b** pathtbhih pūrvybhir yátrā nah pūrve pitárah pareyúh, and, in **c**, rājānā and mádantā; and MS. (in iv. 14. 16) agrees with it except in reading, with our text, páretās [in **b**, and in having pūrvébhis in **a**]. Our comm. reads rājānā in **c**. Prāt. iii. 83 prescribes the n in pūryānāis (p. pāhoyānāih); the comm. absurdly explains the word as = pumāiso yena . . . yānti ' for the pūr, compare x. 2. 28 ff.; xix. 17 and 19. The Anukr. takes no notice of the metrical irregularity in the verse. [It is due to the displacement of pūrviébhis by pūryānāis: the secondary character of the latter (occurring elsewhere only at 4. 63 below) is palpable in more ways than one.] Kāuç. does not quote the verse; but our comm. declares it to accompany the laying of the dead body on the cart (for transportation to the funeral pile).

55. Go ye away, go asunder, and creep apart from here; for this man the Fathers have made this world; adorned with days, with waters, with rays (aktii), a rest (avasána) Yama gives to him.

The verse is RV. x. 14.9, without variant; and TA. (in i. 27.5; vi. 6.1) has the first, third, and fourth pādas; while VS. (xii. 45) and TS. (in iv. 2. 4<sup>1</sup>), TB. (i. 2. 1<sup>16</sup>), and MS. (ii. 7. 11), have only the first (agreeing with TA. in the second). TA., however, reads dadāta at a the first (agreeing with TA. in our P.M.I. The comm. has for **b** the pāda of the other texts: ye'tra stha purānā ye ca nātanāh. [My discussion of the verse in Skt. Reader, p. 378, may be consulted.] The verse, with 2. 37, accompanies in Kāuç. (80. 42) the sprinkling of the place of cremation with holy water; in Väit. (28. 24), the sweeping of the site for the householder's fire, in the agnicayana ceremony. [Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 839, takes the verse as a call, addressed to all creatures (whether animals or demons) that may infest the resting-place of the dead man, to quit the same (averruncatio).]

[Böhtlingk, in his paper Ucher esha lokah, discusses this verse at Ber. der sachsischen Gesell. for 1893, xlv. 131. — He would read vita, not vita, referring to Whitney's Grammar<sup>2</sup> § 128, and suggesting that divitva is perhaps the only example for the circumflex; but I have noted vindra, RV. x. 32. 2, vitua, vii. 55. 2, nita, AV. iii. 11. 2, and bhindhiddam, vii. 18. 1, and suspect that Whitney has collected all the prāclista svaritas from AV. (nearly a score) in his note to the Prāt. iii. 56.]

56. Eager (*uçáni*) would we light thee, eager would we kindle; do thou, eager, bring the eager Fathers to eat the oblation.

The corresponding verse in RV. (x. 16. 12; also VS. xix. 70) has *ni dhīmahi* for *idh*in **a**; TS. (in ii. 6. 12<sup>1</sup>) and MS. (in i. 10. 18) read instead *havāmahe*, and with these our comm. agrees. Used in Kāuç. (87. 19: the comm. says, with vs. 57 also) to accompany, in the *pindapitryajūa*, the lighting of two pieces of wood. [The next vs. is a variation of this.]

57. Lightful (*dyumánt*) would we light thee, lightful would we kindle; do thou, lightful, bring the lightful Fathers to eat the oblation.

More than half the mss. (including all ours except O.Op.T.K. [which have dyumatå, p. dyuomatåh]) read dyumantås in c, which we accordingly adopted in our text, though the form is of course ungrammatical; SPP. reads correctly dyumatås. [Cf. my Noun-Inflection, p. 521.] [This vs. is a mere variation of the preceding, with dyumánt-forms in place of uçânt-forms. Perhaps in this connection the fact is noteworthy that W's codex I. does not accent the vs. Here again the comm. reads havāmahe for idhīmahi.]

58. The Angirases, our *návagva* Fathers, the Atharvans, the Bhrigus, soma-drinkers (somya) — may we be in the favor of those worshipful ones, likewise in their excellent well-willing.

The verse is RV. x. 14. 6, also VS. xix. 50, and in TS. ii. 6. 12<sup>6</sup>, all without variant; the second half is met with further at AV. vi. 55. 3 [reading as here]; and in other verses of RV. and AV.: [namely, RV. iii. 1. 21; vi. 47. 13; x. 131. 7; AV. vii. 92. 1: but with *tásya yajñłyasya* instead of our plural]. It is used by Kāuç. (81. 36) in the cremation service [with vss. 59-61 and 2. 1-3: see note to vs. 49].

59. Come thou hither with the worshipful Angirases; revel here, O Yama, with the Vāirūpas — I call Vivasvant, who is thy father — sitting down upon this *barhis*.

The verse is found as RV. x. 14. 5, and in TS. ii. 6. 12<sup>6</sup> and MS. iv. 14. 16. All these rectify the meter by inserting Lor rather (cf. vs. 42), by not omitting  $\int yaj\bar{n}t$  after asmln in **d**, and they have in **a** the equivalent reading  $d\bar{n}girobhir \dot{d} gahi yaj\bar{n}tyebhih$ . The AV. version is *brhati* [possibly because one can count its **d** as 9 syllables: *purā-brhatī* does not seem to occur elsewhere and perhaps it is wrong].

60. Ascend thou, O Yama, this cushion (*prastará*), in concord with the Angiras Fathers; let the sacred utterances (*mántra*) made in praise by the poets bring thee; then (*cná*), O king, revel thou in the oblation.

This verse also is found as RV. x. 14. 4, and in TS. ii. 6.  $12^{6}$  and MS. iv. 14. 16, all of which have sida for roha in **a**, and havisā for -sas in **d**. The comm., too, reads sida. The only one of our mss. that accents roha is Op., and our reading the word with an accent was an emendation; SPP. gives the same, on the authority of most of his mss. [The comm. calls hi an expletive.] Kāuç. 84. 2 uses the verse with an offering to Yama in the ceremony of interment of the bones; and the comment appears to quote the same rule under 45. 14, in the vacaccamana rite.

61. These ascended up from here; they ascended the backs of the sky (div); the Angirases have gone forth to heaven (div), like *bhūrjis*, by the road.

The verse is found in SV. (i. 92), which combines in **b** diváh pr., accents bhūrjáyas in **c**, and inserts úd before dy dm and leaves yayus unaccented in **d**. It also accents

830

udåruhan in a, as does our edition; but the mss. decidedly favor úd å 'ruhan (p. út: å : aruhan), and SPP. rightly adopts this reading. The comm. reads etad instead of ete in a; it makes  $bh\bar{u}rjdyas$  (p.  $bh\bar{u}hojdyah$ ; SV. p.  $bh\bar{u}h$  : jdyah, this pada-text dividing compound words without any hyphen or its equivalent between the parts) an epithet of the Angirases, rendering it by bharanavanto bhuvani jitavanto va, and justifies the accent of yayús by treating yáthā as = yādrçena "by what road the  $bh\bar{u}rjis$ went" etc. SPP. accents  $bh\bar{u}rjdyas$  on the authority of a single one of his mss.; all ours leave it without accent (in our text the accent-mark under its final syllable has become lost in printing); both Pet. Lexx. ignore the word entirely; its real meaning is wholly obscure, as it seems to have been to the makers of the pada-text; for their suggested etymology is plainly valueless. The verse is used by Kauç. (80. 35), with 2. 48, 53; 3. 8, 9; 4. 44, in preparing for taking the body of the deceased person to the funeral pile; the six verses are called harinis, and are repeatedly employed in other parts of the funeral and ancestral rites (82. 31; 83. 20, 23; 84. 13); also by Vāit. (37. 24), in a like connection.

[Here ends the first *anuvāka*, with 1 hymn and 61 verses. The quoted Anukr. says *ekasastiç ca*.]

## 2. [Funeral verses.]

[Atharvan.—şaşţi. yamadevatyam mantroktabahudevatyam ca (4, 34. āgneyyāu; 5. jātavedasī;
 29. pitryā). trāistubham · 1-3, 6, 14-18, 20, 22, 23, 25, 30, 34, 36, 46, 48, 50-52, 56. anustubh;
 [4,] 7, 9, 13 jagatī; 5, 26, 49, 57. bhurij; 19. 3-p. ārsī gāyatrī; 24. 3-p. samavisamā
 "rsī gāyati ī; 37. virād jagatī; 38-44. ārsī gāyatrī (40, 42-44. bhurij); 45. kakummaty
 anustubh.]

[Of the eight "measuring-verses," 38-45, the first (vs. 38) is a true *gāyatrī*; the next six (39-44) are mere repetitions of vs. 38, with an *āha* in the first pāda which sometimes spoils the meter; and the last (vs. 45) agrees in its last two pādas with the rest, but has a prior half which is true prose.]

[Of this hymn, only vs. 13 a, b and vs. 17 are found in Päipp., in books xix. and xx. respectively. The ritual uses by Vāit. are naturally very meagre: namely, we find vss. 19–20 used once, and that in the *puruṣamedha*. On the contrary, all but about 18 of the 60 vss. are cited by Kāuç. (see under the verses). Bloomfield's Index may be corrected on page 410 by the insertion of vss. 1-3 (see under vs. 1). Verses 1-3 and 49 constitute, with verses from hymns 1 and 3, parts of an important ritual sequence of 11 verses, as noted under 1.49. And verses 4–18, the *anuṣthānīs*, constitute (with the exception of vs. 10) another such sequence.]

LThe provenience of the material of this hymn. — Whereas nearly all of the preceding hymn (all but 4 or 5 out of 61 verses) is found also in the RV., of this hymn, on the other hand, but little more than a third part (hardly 25 vss. out of 60) is RV. material. As elsewhere noted, the hymn begins with 3 vss. which form part of a ritual sequence (of 11 vss.) continuous with the last verses of the preceding hymn.

Part I., verses 1-13. — These are two groups of verses from RV. x. 14 (to wit: our vss. 1-3, which sub-group we may call I. a, and which equals RV. x. 14. 13, 15, 14; and our vss. 11-13, which sub-group we may call I. b, and which equals RV. x. 14. 10, 11, 12), between which are interposed the first 5 vss. of RV. x. 16, our vss. 4-5 and 7-8 and 10, which sub-group we may call I. c. — Again, between the second and third verses of I. c (our vss. 5 and 7) is interposed the single verse, RV. x. 14. 16 (our 6); and between the fourth and fifth verses of I. c (our vss. 8 and 10) is interposed a single verse (our 9) which appears to be a parallel to our 8, but is not found in other texts to my knowledge, though its prior half resembles that of xiii. 1. 9.

Part II., verses 14-18. — The Yama-verses of RV. x. 154, in the order 1, 4, 2, 3, 5.

The "measuring-verses," 38-45, form a sequence by themselves, and do not recur elsewhere, so far as I know. Compare Caland's *Todtengebrauche*, p. 145.

For the rest, RV. verses occur only sporadically:

our 19	= RV. i. 22. 15	our 54	= RV. x. 17.3
our 33	= RV. x. 17. 2	our 55	= RV. x. 17.4
∫ our 35 <b>ab</b>	= RV. x. 15. 14 ab	our 58	= RV. x. 16.7
our 35 cd	= RV. x. 15. 13 cd	our 59 cd	= RV. x. 18.9 cd)
	= RV. x. 18. 11 cd	our 60 ab	= RV. x. 18.9 ab

It may be added that a considerable part of the material of the hymn is naturally found in the *pitrmedhaprapāțhaka* (vi.) of the TA.: that is to say, all the RV. verses of Part I. or all of it save our vs. 9; and 3 verses of Part II., our 14, 17, 16 = RV. verses I, 3, 2; and, besides, our vs. 25 and about 8 of the last 11 verses. Of the verses last mentioned, vs. 25 and vss. 56 and 57 seem to be peculiar to AV. and TA. — Finally, several fragments (9 **ab**, 26 **ab**, 49 **ab**) recur elsewhere in the AV.; and 33 is properly inseparable from 1. 53. — The TA. readings correspond more nearly with those of RV. than with those of AV.—Verse 51 is a variation of 50: compare the relation of 1. 57 and 56.]

Translated: by Weber, Sb. 1895, pages 842-866; Griffith, ii. 227; — verses 9 and 20-59, by Ludwig, pages 482-484 (for vss. 32-33, see p. 332); a considerable number also by Muir, v. 293-296, 304; and the RV. verses, of course, by the RV. translators. — For an analysis of the hymn with reference to its contents, see Weber, p. 843.

1. For Yama the soma purifies itself; for Yama is made the oblation; to Yama goes the sacrifice, messengered by Agni, made satisfactory.

The verse corresponds to RV. x. 14. 13, which, however, in **a** reads sómain sunuta, and in **b** juhutā (for kriyate). TA. (vi. 5. 1) agrees with RV. in both points, but has gachatu in **c**. The comm. reads at the end alamkrtas.

[Verses 1, 2, and 3 of this hymn are used (Kāuç. 81. 36) in one continuous sequence with the last four of the preceding hymn (*iti sainhitāļt sapta !*), to accompany the oblations to Yama in the cremation ceremony : for details, see my note to xviii. 1. 49.]

2. Offer ye to Yama what is most honeyed, and stand forth; this homage to the former-born, the former, the path-making seers.

This verse and the next correspond to RV. x. 14. 15 and 14, save that RV. makes our 2 b and 3 b change places \* and they become respectively its 14 b and 15 b, the double inversion thus leaving our 2 b and 3 b in the same relative position in both texts. TA. (in vi. 5. 1) agrees throughout with RV., both in this verse and the next. Our 2 b agrees also with RV. i. 15.9 b. But RV. in both places has, like TA., *juhóta* for our *juhótā*.

\*L The case is interesting as showing how easily the component elements of many of these verses may be shuffled about without detriment to what we may, out of politeness to the Rishis, call the "sequence of thought." The result of the transpositions is best shown by parallel columns, thus:

RV. x. 14. 14 <b>ab</b> .	AV. xviii. 2. 3 ab.	
yamāya ghrtávad dhavir	yamāya ghrtávat páyo	
juhóta prá ca tisthata.	råjne havlr juhotana.	
RV. x. 14. 15 ab.	AV. xviii. 2. 2 ab.	
yamāya mádhumattamam	yamaya mádhumattamam	
rājne havyáin juhotana.	juhótā prá ca tisthata.	

Roth has beautifully illustrated the matter by shuffling together verses from Schiller's Riddles ("Von Perlen baut sich eine Brücke" and "Es steht ein gross geräumig Haus"): see ZDMG. xxxvii. 109. — Cf. notes to xviii. 2. 35; 3. 47.

3. Unto Yama the king offer ye an oblation, milk rich in ghee; he furnishes  $(\bar{a}$ -yam) to us among the living a long life-time, for living on.

The verse is RV. x. 14. 14 (found also in TA. vi. 5. 1), except that **b** is 15 **b**: [see note to the preceding verse]. [TA. agrees with RV.] For physics in **a**, RV. reads havis; for havis in **b**, havyám; and in **c**, **d**, it has devésv å yamad dir. SPP. reads in **c-d** yamed dir- [so also Caland, Todtengebrauche, note 243], which is certainly better than yame dir-: half his authorities give the former; but of our mss. only Op. has yamet, while D. has yamat, like RV. [TA. and the comm.]. [The case is strikingly like that of mānaye tathā, mānayet tathā, at xv. 10. 2.]— The Prāt. (ii. 76) distinctly requires dyus prá to be read in **d**, but of our mss. only O.s.m. (in margin) gives it; nearly half of SPP's samhitā-mss., however, have it, and it ought to be received as the true AV. text, though both editions read dyuh. These three verses make no appearance in Kāuç. [This last statement now appears to be wrong: see note to vs. 1.]

[Considering the exaggerated nicety of the theory of the Hindus respecting consonant groups (cf. Whitney, AV. Prāt., p. 584-90), and in particular their doctrine of the varnakrama ("At the end of a word, a consonant is pronounced double," padānte vyanjanam dvih, Prāt. iii. 26), it is strange that the mss. sometimes fail to come up even to the simple requirements of orthography as set by grammar and sense. On the other hand, it can hardly be said that the mss. in the cases of these shortcomings are a less truthful representation of the actual connected utterance of the text than would be for instance the graphical representation of the English some more by the words some ore. - I have thought it worth while to assemble a few notable cases where the one of two needed double letters is omitted. Thus besides yame[d] dirgham and manaye[t] tatha, just mentioned, we have : at xviii. 3. 3, jivām [m]rtébhyas (a most striking example : there is abundant ms. authority for the false rtébhyas, which is yet shown beyond all peradventure to be a blunder by the mrtaya jivam of TA.); at xviii. 4. 40, jusantam | asinam ürjam úpa yé sácante, shown to be a gross corruption by HGS., which reads jusantām māsī 'mām ūrjam etc.; at xix. 31. 2, sá[m] mā srjatu pustyā, where Ppp. has in fact sam mā, and where sám is supported by the parallelism of our vi. 5.2; and, at xix. 7.3, drista[m] mulam, where all authorities agree in omitting the -m. - On the other hand, a superfluous double is sometimes written. Thus we find: at xix. 42. 3, sumatim [m]avrnandh, where the pada-text reveals its modernity and lack of insight by reading unaccented mā and vrņānáh instead of āvrņānáh; and at xix. 58. 4, púrah krnudhvam [m] ayasih. At xix. 46. 6, the ghrtad dúrluptas or úrluptas of some authorities, instead of -ad úllup-, is a blunder of similar origin. Cf. sadana[t] te, xviii. 3. 52, note. The well-known sám [m]ahema of RV. i. 94. 1, as taken by BR. at vii. 1609, i. 567, would belong in this category; but Grassmann manages to refer it to root mah. |

4. Do not, O Agni, burn him up; do not be hot upon (abhi-cuc) him; do not warp (ksip) his skin, nor his body; when thou shalt make him done, O Jātavedas, then send him forward unto the Fathers.

This verse and the next are RV. x. 16. 1 and 2, but RV. makes our 4 c and 5 a change places (cf. note to our vs. 2). RV., however, reads *cocas* for *cucucas* in a, and at the

end pitrbhyas [as in our 5 b] for pitrn upa. In d, SPP. reads, with RV., dthe "m enam, alleging for his reading three out of eight authorities, as against two or three that have imám. The comm. appears to read im. Of our mss., all save O.Op.R. give athe 'mam enam (and O. is corrected once to this, but the correction struck out again), as our edition reads; this is so bad a corruption that the authority for the other should be regarded as sufficient. TA. (in vi. 1. 4) agrees with RV. except in having kardvas in its c | our 5 a |. The text of the comm. agrees with RV. and TA. in having pitrbhyas for pitrin upa, while the comm. quotes pitrbhyas and then adds and explains upa [ constructively a blend-reading |. Our Bp. appears to give in a *cucucah*, as it | apparently | ought to do according to Prāt. iv. 86, though the example is not quoted in the comment on that rule; but the other pada-mss. | and SPP. | have çūçucah. The Anukr. is questionable in its reading as to the verse, whether tristubh or jagati: the RV. version is pure tristubh; the AV. one is mixed (12+11:11+12). Kāuç. (81. 33) prescribes this verse to be used, with 2.36; 3.71, and ii. 34.5, when the youngest son lights the funeral pile, some of the schol. declaring vss. 4-7 to be intended instead | cf. the comm., page 86<sup>20</sup> |; then, in 81.44, vss. 4-9 and 11-18 are called anusthanis and are to be repeated by the anusthatr during the cremation. [Root ksip properly means ' warp ' in its obsolete sense 'cast or throw.' If, as I think, W. is right in rendering the causative here by 'warp' = 'twist out of shape, contort,' the cases present an interesting semantic parallel. BR. render by 'platzen machen.' Different is the sense of ava-ksip at 4. 12 : see my note.

5. When thou shalt make him done, O Jātavedas, then commit him to the Fathers; when he shall go to that other life  $(dsun\bar{t}i)$ , then shall he become a controller (?vacant) of the gods.

The verse is RV. x. 16. 2, but RV. makes our 5 a and 4 c change places: see note to vs. 4. RV. has yadā [for our yadó.= yadā u] at beginning of c, and *dthā* at beginning of d. TA. (in vi. 1. 4) agrees throughout with RV. save in accenting karási in a. Both, of course, read *áthe* "m enam in b; but this time the AV. mss. are as good as unanimous in the corruption of *im* to *imám*, and both the published texts are compelled to read it; the Anukr., too, seems to ratify it, by calling the verse *bhurij*; the comm. has instead *idam*, and one or two of SPP's authorities follow it. The comm. explains asunīti by asūn prāņān nayati lokāntaram, and hence prāņāpahartrī devatā; and devānām by svakīyānām indriyāņām; while vaçanīs is (cakṣurādīndriyāņām) sūryādidevatāprāpakaķ! [Weber deems the idea of getting the gods under your control to be an indication of lateness or possibly of Buddhistic influence: Sb. 1895, p. 845, and 1897, p. 597.]

6. With the *trikadrukas* it purifies itself; six wide ones, verily one great one; *tristiubh, gayatri*, the meters: all those [are] set in Yama.

RV. x. 14. 16, the corresponding verse, has in a *patali*, and at the end *āhitā*; TA. (in vi. 5. 3) agrees with it, but transposes *triṣțiibh* and *gāyatri* in c. The sense of the verse is wholly obscure. According to our comm., the *trikadrukas* are the *jyotistoma*, *gostoma*, and *āyustoma*; the "six wide ones" (f.) are heaven and carth and day and night and waters and herbs; "the great one" (n.) is taken [alternatively] as applying to Yama (m.): *mahāntam yamam uddiçyāi 'va pravartante !* The commentator's ignorance is as great as our own; only he has no mind to acknowledge it. [Hillebrandt cites passages akin with this, *Ved. Mythol.* i. 500.]

7. Go thou to the sun with thine eye, to the wind with thy soul  $(\bar{a}tm\dot{a}n)$ ; go both to heaven and to earth with [their] due shares (? dhárman); or go to the waters, if there it is acceptable (hitá) to thee; in the herbs stand firm with thy bodies.

In the corresponding RV. verse (x. 16. 3) is read in a cdksur gachatu and  $\bar{a}tm\dot{a}$ ; in b, dyām and dhármaņā; TA. (vi. 1. 4: cf. 9. 2) has the same, but also inserts te in a after sūryam, and accents gácha, Brāhmaṇa-wise, in b—as does also SPP. in his text, with a minority of his authorities (and our Op.): there is the same reason (but no authority) for gácha in a also, but none in c, where, nevertheless, our Op. and R.s.m. have gácha. The verse lacks a syllable of being a full jagatt. [Cf. the note to this vs. in my Reader, p. 379.]

8. The goat is the share of the heat (tdpas); heat thou that; that let thine ardor (cocis) heat, that thy flame (arcis); what propitious bodies (tant) are thine, O Jātavedas, with them carry him to the world of the well-doing.

The RV. version of this verse has (x. 16. 4)  $tdpas\bar{a}$  in **a**; in this case, as seldom, the AV. reading is better. TA. (in vi. 1. 4) also gives  $tdpas\bar{a}$ , and it ends differently: value "mám sukŕtām ydtra lokāh; [and it reads ajd "bhāgās, which is explained by its comm. as bhāgarahitah]. The comm. also has  $tapas\bar{a}$ . Kāuç. (81. 29) directs the verse, with 2. 22 (some mss. say, with 2. 57), to be repeated while "binding a goat on the south," the anustaraņī (as which, nevertheless, the goat is here meant) having been already laid, as a cow, on the body to be burned. [But see Weber's treatment of the vs., Sb. 1895, p. 847.]

9. What ardors (*coci*), swiftnesses (*rdinhi*) are thine, O Jātavedas, with which thou fillest the sky, the atmosphere, let them collect (*sam-r*) after the goat as he goes; then with other most propitious ones make him propitious.

With the first half-verse is to be compared xiii. 1.9 a, b. SPP. reads in d *crtám* for *civám*, with the decided majority of his authorities; of our mss., only O.Op.R.K. have *crtám*. The comm. has *crtam*; and further, in b, *prināsi*, rendering it by either *pūrayasi* or *tarpayasi*. He takes *ranháyas* as an adj., = *vegavatyas*, which is not unacceptable. The verse is no proper *jagati*, either in movement or in number of syllables (12 + 12 : 11 + 14 = 49). [The comm. has *civatarābhi*<sup>h</sup> in d.]

10. Release again, O Agni, to the Fathers him who goes (*car*) offered to thee, with *svadhá*; clothing himself in life (*áyus*), let him go unto [his] posterity (?ccsas); let him be united with a body, very splendid.

The corresponding verse in RV. (x. 16. 5) reads at end of **b** swadhābhis, in **c** vietu for yātu, and at end jātawedaļ (for suvárcāļ); TA. (in vi. 4. 2) also has swadhābhis and jātawedas, but in **c** gives úpa yātu çéşam. [The last pāda, **d**, recurs below at 3. 58 ] The third pāda is of doubtful meaning, but perhaps relates to the return of the deceased, after due installation among the Manes, to receive the ancestral offerings. The comm. explains césas [which he takes as cesa-s, masc.] simply as apatyanāman. [Kāuç. 81. 44 excepts this verse from the sequence of 14 anusthānī verses (4-18): see under vs. 4.] In Kāuç. (82. 28) the verse is used in the ceremonies of the third day after cremation, in connection with sprinkling and collecting the bones. The Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency of a syllable in **d**. [As to *gesas*, see my note on this vs., *Reader*, p. 379-380: W's interpretation seems to me much better than either of those there noted.]

11. Run thou past the two four-eyed, brindled dogs of Saramā, by a happy  $(s\bar{a}dh\hat{u})$  road; then go unto the beneficent Fathers, who revel in common revely with Yama.

The corresponding verse in RV. is x. 14. 10. RV. puts  $s\bar{a}ramey\bar{a}u$  before  $cv\bar{a}n\bar{a}u$ : [and with this order (but not with that of AV.), the resolution to  $cu\bar{a}n\bar{a}u$  is effective in giving a normal rhythm]. RV. reads in  $c \, \delta th\bar{a}$  for  $\delta dh\bar{a}$ , and upa for  $\delta pi$ ; TA. (in vi. 3. 1) has  $\delta pi$  'hi, but agrees otherwise with RV. The comm. gives instead ape 'hi; and it explains this difficult reading by either taking apa as used in the sense of upa, or else understanding it to mean "go away [from the dogs]"! The Anukr. pays no attention to the redundant syllable in b, [unless it assumes a deficiency in **a** to balance it]. The verse (according to the comm., vss. 11–13) is used (Kāuç. 81. 22) when the two kidneys of the accompanying sacrificed animal are (by way of a "sop to Cerberus") put into the hands of the dead man on the funeral pile. Then verses 11–18 are (Kāuç. 80. 35) mentioned and used with the harints (see under 1. 61); and by the schol. [see note to Kāuç. 82. 31] and the comm. they are reckoned themselves as harinīs. The comm. further prescribes them as accompanying the transfer of the dead body to the place of cremation.

12. What two defending dogs thou hast, O Yama, four-eyed, sitting by the road, men-watching, with them, O king, do thou surround him; assign to him well-being and freedom from disease.

The verse is RV. x. 14. 11, which in **b** reads *pathiráksī nṛcákṣasāu*, and for **c** tābhyām enam pári dehi rājan (our dhehi is a corruption), and in **d** inserts ca after svastl. TA. (in vi. 3. 1) agrees with RV. except in having -cákṣasā, and in placing rājan and enam in **c** as does AV. The comm. makes a compound of yamarakṣitārāu in **a**; and it declares pari dhehi in **c** to = paridehi.

13. Broad-nosed, feeding on lives (?asutip), copper-colored, Yama's two messengers go about after men (jána); let them give us back here today excellent life (dsu), to see the sun.

The corresponding verse in RV. (x. 14. 12) differs only by combining in **a** -*lipā* ud-(p. -*lipāu*: ud-). TA. has the verse in vi. 3. 2: it reads in **a** -*pāv* ulumbalāú [which seems to answer phonetically to a form beginning udum- and is glossed by *prabhūta*balayuktāu, as if ulum-\* were = urum-?]; in **b**, instead of *jánān*, it reads 'váçān [i.e. aváçān: glossed by asvādhīnān prāṇinaħ]; and in **d**, for dātām, it has dattāv [accentless, and glossed by *prayacchatām* /]. Ppp. has the first half-verse, in book xix., reading udumbarāu and caratāu. \*[For the confusion between the sounds of *d* and *l* and *d* and *l*, see Kuhn's Pāli-gram., p. 37, and cf. below, at 3. 1, -pāláyantī, -pād-.]

14. Soma purifies itself for some; some wait upon  $(upa-\bar{a}s)$  ghee; for whom honey runs forward (?), unto them do thou go.

The 'go' in these verses is *gachatāt*, imperative of remoter or after action. The translation implies restoration in c of the RV. (x. 154. 1) reading *pradhāvati*, of which

our *pradhåy* (p. *praodhāú*) *adhi* scems only a blundering and unintelligible corruption. Some of our mss. (and one of SPP's) accent *pradhåvadhi*. The comm. agrees with RV., and SPP. is not to be blamed for adopting, though against all the authorities save the comm., *pradhåvati* in his text. TA. (which has our vss. 14, 17, and 16 following immediately after our 11-13, little as the two sets appear to have to do with each other) reads (in vi. 3. 2) with RV.

15. They who of old were won by right, born of right, increasers of right — to the seers rich in fervor (*tápas*-), born of fervor, O Yama, do thou go.

The corresponding RV. verse (x. 154. 4) reads in **a**, **b** pürva rtasāpa rtāvānah, in **c** pitīn, in **d** tānç cid evá (as in our vss. 14, 16, 17). The comm. explains yama here to mean yamavan niyata, or yamena nīyamāna preta, which is probably not far from correct; it is the deceased person who is addressed.

16. They who by fervor are unassailable, who by fervor have gone to heaven (svar), who made fervor their greatness, unto them do thou go.

The corresponding RV. verse (x. 154. 2) has no variant; in TA. (vi. 3. 2), however, we find gatas for yayits in **b**, and mahat for mahas in **c**: this latter reading the comm. appears to have in mind when he explains mahas as signifying mahat.

17. They who fight in the contests (*pradhána*), who are self-sacrificing (*tanūtyáj*) heroes, or who give thousand-fold sacrificial gifts, unto them do thou go.

The corresponding RV. verse (x. 154.3) has no variant; TA. (in vi. 3. 2) has *tanuty*in **b**. Ppp. has the verse, in book xx., and reads for **c**, *tās tvam sahasradakṣiṇāḥ*, and in **d** gachatām.

18. Poets (kavi) of a thousand lays (-nithia), who guard the sum — to the seers rich in fervor, born of fervor, O Yama, do thou go.

The verse is RV. x. 154. 5, without variant. The comm. adds this time to its explanation of yama (cf. under vs. 15) niyata çakațe baddha vā.

19. Be pleasant to him, O earth, a thornless resting-place; furnish him broad refuge.

RV. has a corresponding verse (i. 22. 15), but reads for a syona prthivi bhava; and in c it reads nas for asmāi, and saprathas for -thās [see my Noun-Inflection, p. 560]; VS. (xxxv. 21) has nearly the same, but inserts nas after prthivi, and ends with -thās, like our text; MB. (ii. 2. 7) agrees with VS. except in having -thas, like RV.; it also adds a fourth pāda. [MP. ii. 15. 2 agrees with RV. save that it combines naç çárma and ends with -thās. Cf. the pratika in MGS. i. 10. 5, and the Index, p. 158.] The comm. explains anrksarā by anādhikā. In Kāuç. (80. 3) this verse (according to the comm., vss. 19-21) is to be used when the man threatened with death is laid on the floor on darbha-grass; and again (80. 38), when the dead body is taken down from the cart at the funeral pile; and once more (82. 33), when the jar containing the bones is deposited in (or on) the earth. In Vāit. 37. 25, vss. 19 and 20 accompany the knocking-down of the animal-victim in the purusamedha sacrifice. 20. In the unoppressive wide space (lok a) of earth be thousdeposited; what *svadhås* thou didst make when living, be they dripping with honey for thee.

Most of the *pada*-texts (except our Op. and one of SPP's) read *svadhå* instead of *svadhå* in c. [SPP. gives as *pada*-reading *svadhå*, and so the comm. interprets.] At end of c, the authorities are bothered by a confusion of *fivan* and *fivám*. The *pada*-mss. read *fiván* (two of SPP's [P. and P.<sup>2</sup>, which are unaccented in this book] have *fivan*): the *samhitā*-mss. have either *fiváns* t- (most of our mss.), or else *fivám* t- (our O. and most of SPP's authorities), or else *fiván t*- (one or two of SPP's). SPP. reads in his text *fivan t*-, and says "the emendation is mine," not noticing that we had made it (the necessity of it being perfectly obvious) before him. The comm., too, has *fivan*. The comm., with four or two of SPP's mss., makes the common blunder of reading at the end *-çcyutah*. In Kāuç. (82. 21) the second half-verse is quoted in full to accompany the pouring a pot-offering into the fire on the second day after cremation (here, too, only one ms. reads *fivans t*-, and most of the rest *fivans t*-).

21. I call thy mind hither with mind; come unto these houses, enjoying [them]; unite thyself with the Fathers, with Yama; let pleasant, helpful (?cagma) winds blow thee unto [them].

Excepting K., all our mss. read  $im\bar{a}m$  (or  $im\bar{a}m$ ) in **b**; SPP. records the reading as given only by two of his *pada*-mss. [Pāda **c** is RV. x. 14.8 **a**.] The comm. glosses *cagmās* with *sukhakarās*. The Anukr. does not heed the redundant syllable in **b**.

22. Let the water-carrying, water-floating Maruts carry thee up, making [thee] cool by the goat, let them sprinkle [thee] with rain, splash !

Some of the authorities (our O.Op.R.D., and near half of SPP's, with the comm.) have in **b** udaplútas. For the use of the verse by Kāuç., see under vs. 8 above. [Cf. the use of bal in i. 3. 1.]

23. I have called up life-time unto life-time, unto ability (krátu), unto dexterity, unto life; let thy mind go to its own [pl.]; then run unto the Fathers.

The majority of our mss. (except Bs.s.m.R.Op.K. [which have svan]; T. has svan), and two of SPP's, read svan at beginning of c, as does also the comm., which supplies *tanum* for it to qualify. SPP. gives in c-d mano adha, and claims that all his authorities without exception read thus; our Bs. has 'dha, and if any of the other samhitā-mss. [except O., which has mano adhā] do not agree with this, I have failed to note it.

24. Let nothing whatever of thy mind, nor of thy life (dsu), nor of thy members, nor of thy sap, nor of thy body, be left here.

The translation implies emendation of *mánas* to *mánasas*, as called for by the connection and by the meter. The Anukr. scans the verse as 6+8:10=24. Bs.E. have *tanvàl* in c. This verse, with 26 below, is used by Kāuç. (82.29) in connection with gathering up the bones after cremation; with the same, and further with 3.25-37, in connection (85.26) with their interment. [For its general purport, see Weber, *Sb.* 1894, p. 775, note 2.]

837

25. Let not the tree oppress thee, nor the great divine earth; having found a place  $(lok\dot{a})$  among the Fathers, thrive (cdh) thou among those whose king is Yama.

Only about half the mss. have at the end the true reading yamárājasu (which both editions give, as was proper); some of our samhitā-mss. (P.M.T.) accent yamarājasu, and most of the pada-mss. have correspondingly, as two independent words, yama : rājaosu (namely Bp.D.Kp., and two of SPP's); one (I.) has yamárāj. One or two (including our O.) give bādhistām mā mātā prthivi tvám : pitīn hy átra gácchāsy édhāsam yamarājye, and mā tvā vrķsāú sám bādhethām mā mātā prthivi mahī : vāivasvatám ht gácchāsi yamarājye vi rājasi: both are partly corrupt. According to Kāuç. (82. 32), the verse accompanies the deposit of the collected bone-relics " at the root of a tree." [Baunack, ZDMG. 1. 281, 284, understands vánaspáti at RV. v. 78. 5 and AV. xviii. 3. 70, as meaning, like vrķsā here, a tree used after the manner of a coffin. Curiously enough, pețikā (Sāyaṇa's gloss for vanaspati) usually means κύφινος.] [For consistency, the Berlin ed. should read vittvāt.]

26. What limb of thine is put over at a distance, and what expiration [or] breath has gone forth upon the wind (?), let the associated  $(sdn\bar{a})$  Fathers, assembling, make that enter thee again, bit  $(gh\bar{a}sd)$  from bit.

With the first half-verse is to be compared vii. 53. 3 a, b. All the samilitä-mss. in b accent vate, save one of SPP's, sec. manu; and all our pada-mss. divide vat : te, as if, after all, they thought vat : te intended. SPP's pada-mss. also divide vat : te (only one of them is accented); he adopts vat te, on the authority of the one altered ms. Land the comm.]. To me vate seems rather the preferable reading, though there is not much to choose between the two. Our O. and two or three of SPP's authorities give patretain [plural: but no pada-ms. has ye to correspond]. Several of our mss., and the decided majority of SPP's authorities (except the pada-authorities: which, as he fails to report them, presumably have -dat) read santidad gh-; but of our pada-mss., all but one [Op.s.m.] give saturdath, and this SPP. adopts in his text, as do we. The comm. has santilās; in b, he reads ye ... paretāh. The use of the verse with vs. 24 in Kāuç. was stated under vs. 24.

27. The living have excluded this man from their houses; carry ye him out, forth from this village; death was the kindly messenger of Yama; he made his life-breaths (dsu) go to the Fathers.

The verse is used in Kāuç. (80. 18) with an oblation to the fires, when preparing the body of the deceased for cremation. [Note that the "messengers" or "men" of death or of Yama play a rôle in the Buddhist literature: see Anguttara Nikāya, iii. 4 (35: p. 138), Devadūta Vagga; Jātaka, i., p. 138<sup>24</sup>, and scholion; Journal of the Pāli Text Society, 1885, p. 62.]

28. What barbarians (*dásyu*), having entered among the Fathers, having faces of acquaintances, go about, eating what is not sacrificed, who bear *parāpúr* [and] *nipúr* — Ágni shall blast (*dham*) them forth from this sacrifice.

Similar verses are found in VS. (ii. 30), ÇÇS. (iv. 4. 2), AÇS. (ii. 6. 2), MB. (ii. 3. 4), and Ap. (three varying versions at i. 8. 7). Ap. has in its first version for a, b apa yantu asurāh pitrrūpā ye rūpāņi pratimucyā "caranti, a close analogue to our first half-verse; the rest | including of Ap. only the second version | have, without variant, ye rūpāņi pratimuncámānā ásurāh sántah svadháyā cáranti, which is not quite so near. [The third version in Ap. is ye jñātīnām pratirūpāh pitīn māyayā 'surāh pravistāh.] In c, all the texts Lincluding the three versions in Ap. | agree with ours. For d, all the texts [including the first two versions in Ap. ] have agais tan lokat prá nudaty (ACS. -dātv, MB. -datv) asmāt; | while the third version in Ap. reads agne tān asmāt pranudasva lokāt]. The comm. to VS. explains parāpúras as sthūladehān and nipúras as suksmadehan, which is, of course, the purest nonsense; that to MB. divides para puro ni puro ye bharanti, and connects the prepositions with the verb, rendering the first puras by "our enemies' houses" and the second by "our kindred's houses"quite as bad. Our comm., finally, explains parapúras (through parā prnanti) as pindadātārah putrāh, and nipúras (through niprnanti | cf. his remark about ni-pr reported at the end of note to vs. 30 ) as  $p\bar{a}utr\bar{a}h$  — if possible, worse than either of the others. All we can see clearly is that the native exegetes are quite as much in the dark as we with regard to the value of these obscure words. Except O.R., all our mss. have the false accent dasyávas in a; of SPP's, only two do so. Of the mss. in our hands at the time of printing of the text, only I. accented jnātimukhās at all (two of SPP's also leave it accentless), and we accordingly emended to jnatimukhas, according to the usual rule for such a compound; but I.O.Op.R.K., with the majority of SPP's authorities, read jñātimukhās, which SPP. has therefore properly adopted in his edition. By Kāuç. (87. 30) the verse is prescribed to be repeated while a lighted brand is carried three times about and then flung away.

29. Let there enter together  $(sam-vi_{i})$  here our own Fathers, doing what is pleasant, lengthening [our] life-time; may we be able to reach them with oblation, living long for numerous autumns.

The translation implies in **a** the *pada*-reading svanthetah, which SPP. gives by emendation, all the *pada*-mss. save Bp. (which has svanthetah: *nah*, having svanah; again, it implies in **b** *praotirantah*, while all read *praotirante* [or *-ate*]; here also SPP. emends to *-ntah*. The comm. reads *-nte*, and glosses it by *pravardhayantu* / [A similar uncertainty (as between the Vulgate and Ppp.) concerning the understanding of the combination *pratiranta āyuh* was noted by me under xiv. 2. 36.] The verse is used, according to Kāuç. (83. 29), next after the use of 1. 52, in arranging the bones for burial; and it is repeated, like the latter, in the *pindapitryajīna* (87. 28); *sam-viç*, as here applied, perhaps has its secondary sense of 'turn in, lie down.' The comm. reads *dakṣamānās* in **c**, explaining it by *vardhamānās*. The Anukr. does not notice the redundant syllable in **c** [or perhaps assumes a deficiency in **d** to balance it. The word *nakṣ*- demands an accusative : so that both meter and syntax combine to cast suspicion on *tebhyas*].

30. What milch-cow I set down (ni-pr) for thee, and what rice-dish for thee in milk  $(k_s \bar{s} rd)$  — with that mayest thou be the supporter of the person (jdna) who is there (dtra) without a living.

That is, 'without the means of sustaining life.' Our Bp.E. read *ajīvanas*, unaccented; the normal accent would be *ajīvanás*. The comm. remarks that *ni-pr* is used distinctively of a gift for the Fathers (*pitrye dāne vartate*).

31. Pass forward [over a stream] rich in horses, which is very propitious, or, further on, an *rksåka*, more new; he who slew thee, be he one to be killed; let him not find any other portion.

The first half-verse is extremely obscure, and its translation only mechanical; we may conjecture that its text is corrupt. Such a combination as  $-v\bar{a}$ : rks- (so all the pada-texts read) into -vā rks- is contrary to grammatical rule, unauthorized by the Prāt., and unsupported, so far as I know, by any second case. Part of our samhitā-mss. (P.M.E.I.) have -vā rks-, but that is equally abnormal; SPP. makes no mention of any such reading among his authorities. "Stream" is supplied to açvāvatīm because Kāuç. (82. 10) prescribes the verse to be used, in the ceremonies of the first day after cremation, on crossing a stream, and prá tara naturally suggests it. Áçvāvatīm is unquestionably the AV. text; it is quoted as an example of long  $\bar{a}$  in such a position under Prāt. iii. 17. One may conjecture as a plausible emendation áçmanvatīm prá tarayā suçévām (cf. for áçmanvatī xii. 2. 26, 27; and our comm. reads here taraya for tara yå). Then  $rk_s a ka$  might possibly be a region or road [ beyond the river ] 'infested by bears' (*rksa*: so the comm.); the word rksaka is ignored by both Pet. Lexx. | save in so far as this vs. is cited by the Major Lex. under rksika |. | Weber takes it as 'the milky way': Festgruss an Roth, p. 138. But it is of little use to speculate in such a case. SPP. reads in c vadhyas, with (as he reports) all his authorities save two; we also have both va- and ba- among our mss., but I cannot specify all that favor the one reading or the other. The lacking syllable in b, not noticed by the Anukr., helps in its degree to indicate corruption of text.

32. Yama beyond, below Vivasvant — beyond that do I see nothing whatever; into Yama has entered my sacrifice; Vivasvant stretched after the worlds  $(bh\dot{n})$ .

SPP. accents *páras* in **a**, and *vivasvān* in **a** and **d**, though the majority of his authorities have *parás*, and, in **a**, *vivásvān*; of our mss., only Op. has *páras* and in **a** *vivasvān*; but O.Op.R.T.K. have *vivasvān* in **d**. The Anukr. takes no notice of the metrical irregularities (10 + 12: 11 + 11 = 44).

33. They hid away the immortal one (f.) from mortals; having made one of like color, they gave her to Vivasvant; what that was carried also the two Açvins; and Saraṇyū deserted two twins.

Whether 'two pairs of twins' is meant is not altogether certain; but that would be strictly *dvd mithuné*; [but see BR. v. 777, line 3]. The verb *abharat* does not mean 'bore,' in the sense of 'gave birth to,' though it might mean 'carried [in her womb],' and so might have a nearly equivalent value; our comm. renders it by *samabharat* or *udapādayat*. The third pāda means 'that substitute, whatever it really was,' though the usual version "when that was" (for *yát tád ásīt*) is not altogether impossible. The verse is RV. x. 17. 2, which differs only by reading in **b** *krtvi* and *adadus* (for which our *-dhus* is a common corruption). It is properly inseparable from 1. 53 above; [for bibliographical references, see under that vs.]. Kāuç. and Vāit. pass it unused, as they did 1. 53. [The comm., with one or two of SPP's mss., reads *amṛtān* in **a**.]

34. They that are buried, and they that are scattered (vap) away, they that are burned and they that are set up (iiddhita) — all those Fathers, O Agni, bring thou to eat the oblation.

Ap. (in i. 8. 7) has a verse analogous with this; the divisions there are  $\lfloor ye \ garbhe mamrus. \rfloor parāstās, uddhatās, and nikhātās. The comm. explains paroptās by dūradeçe kāsthavat parityaktāh; but uddhitās by samskārottarakālam ūrdhvadeçe pitrloke sthutāh / it evidently refers to exposure on something elevated, such as is practised by many peoples. The pada-text reads úddhitāh, undivided, by Prāt. iv. 63. The verse (according to Kāuç. 87. 22) is used, with 3. 47, 48 and 4. 41, in the pindapitryajūa ceremony, to accompany the setting up of one of two lighted sticks (cf. under 1. 56) and pilling fuel around it.$ 

35. They who, burned with fire, [and] who, not burned with fire, revel on *svadhá* in the midst of heaven — them thou knowest, if thine, O Jātavedas; let them enjoy with *svadhá* the sacrifice, the *svádhiti*.

The verse corresponds to RV. x. 15. 14 **a**, **b** and 13 **c**, **d** (and to VS. xix. 60 **a**, **b** and 67 **c**, **d**). In the first half, AV. and RV. agree (two of our mss., O.R., combine  $ye^{t}(nag^{-})$ ; VS. has  $-sv\bar{a}tt\bar{a}s$  both times for  $-dagdh\bar{a}s$ . For **c**, RV. and VS. read  $tv\bar{a}m$  vettha ydit  $te^{t}j\bar{a}t$ - 'thou knowest how many they arc,' and of this our text is doubtless a corruption. Nearly half the mss. have te (both editions, with the remaining mss., including our O.R.T.K.,  $te^{t}$ ); the translation implies te. HGS. (ii. 11. 1) has agne tān vettha yadi te jātavedaļe. For **d**, RV. and VS. have svadhābhir yajāam sukertam juşasva; and here again our text seems only a corruption; <math>svadhātim (p. svadhātim) must be meant as nomen actionis to svadhā, I think, = 'the giving of svadhā' - a false formation. The comm. reads svadhāyās and svadhitam, explaining the latter as svadhā samjātā yasya. According to the comm., this verse and the preceding are used in the pindapitryajāa "on laying two pieces of fuel."

36. Burn (tap) thou propitiously (cdm); do not burn overmuch; O Agni, do not burn the body; be thy vehemence (cdsma) in the woods; on the earth be what is thy violence (hdras).

Two of our mss. (R.s.m.Op.), and one of SPP's reciters, leave *tapas* at end of **b** unaccented; and this is, of course, more correct. For the application of the verse according to Kāuç., see under 2. 4, with which it is closely akin in sense. [The comm. reads *tanvas* in **b**.]

37. I give this release to him who hath thus come and hath become mine here — thus replies the knowing Yama — let this one approach  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$  my wealth here.

The translation implies that  $r\bar{a}y\dot{a}$  before  $\dot{a}pa$  in **d** means  $r\bar{a}y\dot{a}s$  (accus. pl.), and not  $r\bar{a}y\dot{e}$ , as understood by the *pada*-text; the comm. understands  $r\bar{a}yas$ . Also, that *c*éd in **b** admits of being taken as *ca tid* [the *pada*-text always reads *ca* : *ti*, even when the meaning is 'if'] with individual meaning, and not as the compound particle, = 'if.' [There is a gap in the commentary just after the explanation of *etat*: but the commentator's text of the AV.] reads *y*d*t* for *y*ds at beginning of **b**. For the Kāuç. use of the verse with 1.55, see under the latter. This verse also accompanies (with 3.73: Kāuç. 85. 24) the deposition of the bones in the hole in the ceremony of interment.

38. This measure do we measure, so that one may not measure further; in a hundred autumns, not before.

That is, that there be no more such measuring for any of us till his hundred years of life are full. The comm. understands yáthā ná māsātāi [Gram. § 893 a] as yathā mā

 $[= m\bar{a}m] n\bar{a}$  "sātāi, nā "sīta, na prāpnuyāt! Kāuç. uses the verse twice (85. 3, 12 — unless in the *ce* 'mām of sūtra three is disgussed the beginning of vs. 39 or 41) in connection with the elaborate measuring out of the place of interment of the bone-relics. See Caland, *Todtengebrauche*, p. 145 and note 534.]

- 39. This measure do we measure forth, so that etc. etc.
- 40. This measure do we measure off, so that etc. etc.
- 41. This measure do we measure apart, so that etc. etc.
- 42. This measure do we measure out, so that etc. etc.
- 43. This measure do we measure up, so that etc. etc.
- 44. This measure do we measure together, so that etc. etc.

The comm. regards all these  $pary\bar{a}ya$ -variations of vs. 38 as to be used with it where it is prescribed; the Kāuç. says nothing of this. The comm. also gives artificial and absurd interpretations of the altered prepositions at the beginnings of the verses. Some of the alterations spoil the meter (p. 830, ¶ 4).

45. I have measured the measure, I have gone to heaven (svar); may I be long-lived; so that etc. etc.

[The prior half of the verse appears to be prose.] The Anukr. scans 8 + 6:8 + 8 = 30 syllables. This verse (according to the comm., vss. 45-47) follows the process of measurement (Kāuç. 85. 17).

46. Breath, expiration, through-breathing  $(vy\bar{a}n\dot{a})$ , life-time, an eye to see the sun: by a road not beset with enemies  $(-p\dot{a}ra)$  go thou to the Fathers whose king is Yama.

The comm. explains: mukhanāsikābhyām bahir nihsaran vāyuh prānah: antargacchann apānah: madhyasthah sann açıtapītādikam vividham āniti krisnadeham vyāpayatī 'ti vyānah. It foolishly understands yamárājāas in d as gen. sing.: (the road) "of king Yama." The Pet. Lexx. render áparipara by 'not roundabout,' as if the final para were somehow also a pari; the comm. understands the word nearly as above translated; [and the translation is supported by VS. iv. 34]. The Prāt. (iv. 39 c) notes the division vioānáh in pada-text, while prāna and apāna are always undivided.

47. They that departed unmarried [but] assiduous, abandoning hatreds, having no progeny — they, going up to heaven (div), have found a place (lok d), [they,] shining (?didhyāna) upon the back of the firmament.

The comm. commits the absurdity of glossing agru by  $agrag\bar{a}min$ , and  $cacam\bar{a}n\bar{a}$  ('assiduous': i.e. having faithfully performed their religious duties) by cansamana or else (from root cac 'leap') plutagamanacila ! It seems hardly possible to avoid taking  $d\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}na$  in **d** as meant for  $didy\bar{a}na$ ; the comm. renders by  $d\bar{a}pyam\bar{a}na$ . The mss. vary between agravas and dgravas, none of ours that were collated before publication having the latter, which is the true accent [correct the Berlin ed.], and is adopted by SPP. on the authority of a majority of his authorities (with which our O.Op.R.T. agree).

48. Watery is the lowest heaven (div), full of stars  $(?p\bar{\imath}lu$ -) is called the midmost; the third is called the fore-heaven (pradiv), in which the Fathers sit.

Rather than leave  $p\bar{i}lu$ - in **b** untranslated, we set in our version the comm's worthless etymological guess ( $p\bar{a}layant\bar{i}$  'ti  $p\bar{i}lavah$ : grahanaksatrādayah). SPP. has at the beginning the better accent udanvátī, as read by half his authorities (and by our O.Op.R. among those collated after publication). Our Bp.D. accent also  $p\bar{i}lumat\bar{i}$ ; O. reads  $p\bar{i}tumat\bar{i}$ , doubtless an accidental blunder, yet suggesting the emendation  $pitumat\bar{i}$  'rich in nourishment.' For the use of the verse prescribed by Kāuç., see under 1. 61; the comm. says simply that with this verse and another one (*iti dvābhyām*) the body is raised in order to being laid on the cart or litter (for transportation to the funeral pile); a schol. (note to Kāuç. 82. 31) uses it also in connection with the interment of the bone-relics.

49. They that are our father's fathers, that are [his] grandfathers, that entered the wide atmosphere, they that dwell upon earth and heaven (div) — to those Fathers would we pay worship with homage.

The first half-verse is found again below as 3.59 a, b. The verse is used (Kāuç. 81.37) [as the last one of eleven verses (see my note to xviii. 1.49) which accompany the oblations offered to Yama and poured upon the corpse (comm., vol. iv., p. 115<sup>17</sup>: he says *iti dvābhyām*) in the cremation ceremony after the fire is lighted ].

50. This time, verily, not further (dparam), seest thou the sun in the heaven (div); as a mother her son with her hem (sic), do thou cover him, O earth.

The last half-verse is RV. x. 18. 11 c, d, without variant (TA., in vi. 7. 1, has at the end *bhāmi vṛṇu*), and is also found below as 3. 50 c, d. Kāuç. (86. 10) applies the verse, with 3. 49 and 4. 66, in raising a pile over the interred bones; according to the comm. [vol. iv., p. 115], the pile is of an uneven number of sticks (*çalākā*) or bricks, and vss. 50-52 are to be used. [Over "hem" W. interlines "skirt." "Border of the garment" says our comm., *celāūcalena.*] [As to this beautiful verse, see Weber, Sb. 1895, p. 861, and cf. the epilogue to the Içā Upanishad, especially vss. 15, 16.]

51. This time, verily; not further; in old age another further than this; as a wife her husband with her garment, do thou cover him, O earth  $(bh\dot{t}mi)$ .

The first half-verse is very obscure, and the second pāda perhaps corrupt. The comm. takes *jarási* with the first pāda, and explains that "what food etc. has been enjoyed in old age, this verily, left over, not anything else [further], is to be enjoyed"; and then "than this place of interment, any other place is not found for him": all of which is simply silly. The Anukr. pays no attention to the redundant syllable (unless we pronounce *patim* 'va) in **c**.

52. I cover thee excellently with the garment of mother earth; what is excellent among the living, that with me; *svadhå* among the Fathers, that with thee.

The comm. does not scruple to take *bhadráyā* as used for *bhadrāyās*, and qualifying *prthivyās*. [He treats *tán máyi* etc. as at xi. 7. 5, 12, 14: cf. note to xi. 7. 12. Weber thinks this vs. is spoken by the heir of the dead man.]

843

53. O Agni-and-Soma, makers of roads, ye have distributed  $(vi-dh\bar{a})$  to the gods a pleasant treasure [and] world; send ye unto [us] Pūshan, who shall carry by goat-traveled roads him that goes thither.

The translation implies emendation at the end of gachatam to gáchantam, which seems necessary in order to make sense; the corruption to the former may have been made because it better suits the meter. - | The translation also implies the reading présyatam, which is given in the Berlin text and is supported by | our Op. (prá : isyatam), by SPP's [CP. (prá: isyatam) and by his ] Dc. secunda manu: all the rest read présyantam (p. prá : is- or prá : is-), which SPP. accordingly adopts in his samhitātext; | but in his pada-text he prints prå : isyantam, and he mentions the accent in his note |. The comm. glosses presyantam with pragacchantam in his usual loose and easy way. - Nearly all our mss. also have ajoyanāis (p. ajahoy-) in d; we followed Bs. in giving ajay- (which the translation implies). [Weber also accepts the reading ajayand interprets it as  $\cdot$  traveled by man's unborn (a-ja) or immortal part, i.e. his spirit': Festgruss an Roth, p. 138 and note 5. | On the authority of a single ms., SPP. accepts as his reading an joy anais; | as to this matter, see my note marked with a \* in the next paragraph |. The reading añjoyanāis had already been conjectured by the Pet. Lexx.; Lso BR. v. 959, with the meaning 'leading straight to the goal': cf. OB. i. 18 b |. The reading  $a\tilde{n}_{jay}$  is given by two or three of SPP's mss.; among them is that of the comm., who explains by añjasā, ārjavena yānti . . . ebhih. The "goat-traveled" roads, of course, are those to which Púşan's team of goats are wonted. - Two of SPP's authorities give dadhatus in b; the comm. reads dadhatus in one of two alternative explanations, and -thus in the other. - Possibly vi before lokam is to be taken as belonging to this word alone : 'ye have assigned to the gods a pleasant treasure.' | I do not see what W. means by the last sentence. |--- For the use of the verse by Kauç. etc., see under 1.61 and 2.48; the comm. includes with it vss. 54 and 55 It exceeds a proper tristubh by two syllables.

\* In reading anjoyanais, SPP. is supported in fact by three or four of his mss. (B.C.R.Dc.p m.) and presumably also by at least three of his pada-mss., since he reports nothing to the contrary. Moreover, he thinks that further support is given him by the reading anjay- of two of his authorities (Dc. sec. manu, and the reciter V.), which reading, as he says, may represent an ill-corrected reading an joy-; and perhaps the ajāuy- of the reciter K. points in the same direction, to añjoy-. As between the readings  $a\bar{n}_{joy}$ - and  $a_{jay}$ -, even Whitney's mss. point decidedly to  $a\bar{n}_{joy}$ -. — Leaving the mss. of this passage out of account, however, the word anjoyana is well supported by its exact synonym añjasáyana, p. añjasāodyana, used four times of the paths (srutt) by which one goes to the heavenly world (TS. vii. 2. 12; 3. 53; 4. 13: also AB. iv. 17, here as the exact opposite of a 'roundabout road' mahāpathah paryāņah), and also by the doubtless precisely equivalent anjasinam (srutim) of the Rigveda (x. 32. 7). - This last phrase Sāyaņa explains alternatively by rjum akuțilam mārgam; cf. his similar explanation of pathó devatra 'njase 'va yanan at x. 73.7; cf. also anjasāyano, used in the Dīgha Nikāya, xiii. 4 ff., as a synonym of uju-maggo : all of which is in most perfect accord with the above-mentioned *ārjavena* etc. of our comm., whose testimony therefore is decidedly in favor of the reading anjoyanais. --- If anjoy- is the true reading and ajay- the corrupt one, the corruption is a very natural one, considering that Pūşan's team (see RV. vi. 55. 6, 4; 57. 3; x. 26. 8; and the occurrences of aja(va) consists of goats.

54. Let Pūshan, knowing, urge thee forth from here — he, the shepherd of creation (*bhúvana*) who loses no cattle; may he commit thee to those Fathers, [and] Agni to the beneficent gods.

The RV. has a corresponding verse (x. 17.3), without variant. TA. (in vi. 1. 1) has in c dadāt (as has our comm.), and at the end suvidátrebhyas. The mss. are somewhat equally divided in c-d between -bhyo 'gnir and -bhyo agnir; our text adopts the latter; SPP., better, the former, with RV. and TA.

55. May life-time, having all life-time, protect thee about; let  $P\bar{u}shan$  protect thee in front on the forward road; where sit the well-doers, whither they have gone, there let god Savitar set thee.

RV., in the corresponding verse (x. 17.4), reads  $p\bar{a}sati$  in **a**, and *ti* yayús at end of **c**, [thus rectifying the meter in both places]; and TA. (in vi. 1. 2) agrees with it both times; the comm. also has  $p\bar{a}sati$ . The verse is metrically irregular (10 + 11: 12 + 11 = 44); [but perfectly good in its RV. form (11 + 11: 12 + 11)].

56. I yoke for thee t<sup>h</sup> se two conveyers  $\lfloor v dhni \rfloor$ , to convey (vah) thee to the other life; with them to Yama's seat and to the assemblies go thou down (dva).

Ava, in d, is so strange that we can only regard it as a corruption for dpi, which TA. reads in the corresponding verse (in vi. 1. 1). TA. also has the better reading -nithaya in b so both editions, as has also the comm., though its explanation seems rather to imply -nitaya. TA. further has the bad accent vahni in a, and gives in c yābhyām, and in d (for sámitīs) sukriām. The comm. has sam iti, taking sam as joint prefix with ava, and iti as anena prakāreņa; our Bp.E. read sámitī ca; possibly the comm's error is akin with this. According to Kāuç. (80. 34), the verse is used when two draft-oxen, or two men, are harnessed to draw the body to the funeral pile.

57. This garment hath now come first to thee; remove  $(apa-\bar{u}h)$  that one which thou didst wear here before; knowing, do thou follow along with what is offered and bestowed, where it is given thee variously among them of various connection (?vibandhu).

TA. (in vi. 1. 1) has a corresponding verse, but with sundry variants: at the beginning, *iddm* (which is better) *tvā vástram*; in c, d, *ánu sám paçya dáksinām yáthā te.* Te dattám is perhaps better 'given by thee' — thy former deeds of religion and charity, now to be enjoyed in their fruit; and *víbandhu* 'to those not thine own connections.' The Pet. Lexx. explain *víbandhuşu* as 'destitute of connections or relatives.' The comm., with its customary regardlessness of accent, understands the word as two words, *vi bandhuşu*, and connects *vi* (=*viçeşena*) with *dattam*. According to Kāuç. 80. 17, the verse is used, with 4. 31, in connection with dressing the body for cremation; in 81. 29, some of the mss. substitute it for vs. 22 above. Some of the schol. (note to Kāuç. 80. 52) use it and 4. 31 when the body is laid on the funeral pile. The verse, as a *triṣtubh*, is rather *svarāj* than *bhurij* (11 + 12 : 11 + 12 = 46).

58. Wrap about thee of kine a protection from the fire; cover thyself up with grease and fatness, lest the bold one, exulting with violence (*hárás*), shake thee strongly (*dadŕh*) about, intending to consume thee.

The corresponding RV. verse is x. 16.7; in b it transposes medasā and pivasā, and in d it has vidhaksyan paryankhayate, which is decidedly better. TA. (in vi. 1. 4) reads dådhad vidhaksyån paryankhdyātāi. Our vidhaksán, though read by both editions, is only another example of the not infrequent careless omission of  $\gamma$  after a s or  $c_i$ : only one of our mss. (Op.) reads -ksyan, but five of SPP's authorities give -ksyan (as against six with -ksan), and it is much to be wondered at that he has not adopted it in his text; the comm. seems to read -ksan, but explains as if -ksyan (vicesena dagdhum icchan). At the end we ought to read parīākháyātāi, and SPP. gives that, with the majority of his mss., the rest having, with our text, parinkhay-; of our mss., only two of the later collated ones (O.Op.) have the proper accent; Bp. has páriotnkhayātāi, which is absurd \*; the comm. treats pari as an independent word (as if the reading were párī " $\bar{n}kháyātāi$ ). The comm. glosses dadhfk by pragalbhas; | cf. my Noun-Inflection, JAOS. x. 498 |. By Kauc. (81.25) the verse is taught to be used when the dead man's face is covered with the omentum of the anustarani cow (hence 'of kine') on the pile; the omentum is to be pierced with seven holes. \* | Cf. the impossible pada-reading vlobhāti at xiii. 3. 17, and the other similar ones cited in the note to that verse. |

59. Taking the staff from the hand of the deceased man (gatásu), together with hearing, splendor, strength — thou just there, here may we, rich in heroes, conquer all scorners [and] evil plotters.

60. Taking the bow from the hand of the dead man, together with authority (*kṣatrá*), splendor, strength — take thou hold upon much prosperous good; come thou hitherward unto the world of the living.

The two verses together correspond to RV. x. 18.9, our 60 a, b most nearly to 9 a, b, and our 59 c, d to 9 c, d. But RV. has for its b asmé kşatråya várcase bálāya, and in its d spŕdhas for mŕdhas. TA. (in vi. 1.3) has three verses, with a-b respectively as follows: suvárnam hástād ādádānā mṛtásya çriyāt bráhmaņe téjase bálāya; dhánur hástād ādádānā mṛtásya çriyāt kṣatråyāú 'jase bálāya; and máṇim hástād ādádānā mṛtásya çriyāt viçé púṣtyāi bálāya; their common second half agrees with RV. except in having suçéwās for suvírās; they are addressed to Brahman, Kshatriya and Vāiçya respectively, as our two are addressed to Brahman and Kshatriya, and that of RV. to Kshatriya only. 'Hearing' in our 59 b has a special meaning, the hearing or inspired reception of the sacred word [cf. i. 1.2, and note]. Kāuç. 80. 48, 49 explains the two verses as uttered while staff or bow is taken from the dead hand, as the body lies on the pile ready for cremation; and 80. 50 implies a third verse addressed to the person (the son) who removes the article. The comm. reads in 59 c (with TA.) suçevās.

[Here ends the second anuvaka, with 1 hymn and 60 verses. The quoted Anukr. says *sastic* ca : cf. page 814, ¶ 5.]

[Here ends also the thirty-third prapā!haka.]

## 3. [Funeral verses.]

[Atharvan. — saptatis tryadhikā. yamadevatyam mantroktabahudevatyam ca (5, 6. āgneyyāu; 44, 46. mantroktudevatye; 50. bhāumī; 54. āindavī; 56. āpyā). trāistubham: 4, 8, 11, 23. satahpaūkti; 5. 3.p. nicrd gāyatrī; 6, 56, 68, 70, 72. anustubh; 18, 25–29, 44, 46. jagatī (18. bhurij; 29. virāj)\*; 30. 5-p. atijagatī; 31. virāt çakvarī; 32–35, 47, 49, 52. bhurij; 36. 1-av. āsury anustubh; 37. 1-av. āsurī gāyatrī; 39. parātristup paūkti; 50. prastārapaūkti; 54. puro'nustubh; 58. virāj; 60. 3-av. 6-p. jagatī; 64. bhurik pathyāpaūkty ārşī; 67. pathyā brhatī; 69, 71. uparistādbrhatī.]

-xviii. 3

\* [The Anukr. (the text of which is perhaps in disorder at this point) reads añjate vyañjata (vs. 18) indro mā marutvān iti pañca (vss. 25-29) jagatyas: tatrāi 'kādhikā (?) bhurig antyā (vs. 29) virāț. See under vss. 18 and 29.]

[The prose parts are the first pādas (the  $\bar{u}ha$ -pādas) of vss. 25–28 and 30–35, and the *yajurmantras*, vss. 36–37: see Part III., below.]

[In Pāipp. (in xx.) is found of this hymn only verse 56.]

[Ritual uses. — Only eight verses (2, 5, 18, 25, 38, 39, 44, 45: the last two together twice, and both times in the order 45, 44) are used in Vāit., and, of course, in rites other than funeral rites: see under the verses. In Kāuç., about three quarters of the hymn (all but 21 vss.) are used, and used in the chapter (xi.: as noted by Whitney, page 814) on funeral rites: see under the verses. It is of critical interest that two blocks of verses (Parts II. and IV., as divided below, where see) which find no use in the funeral ritual, form each a nearly corresponding block in RV.]

LThe provenience of the material of this hymn. — In this hymn, as compared with hymn 2 (see p. 830), the proportional part of material recurring in the RV. rises again, and is about 33 verses out of 73, or nearly one half. — The "Parts" into which the hymn is here divided are primarily for the convenience of the discussion, although some of them (as II., III., IV., V., VI.) have also a critical significance.

- **Part I., verses 1-20.** This part contains only 3 verses (2, 6, 13) from the funeral hymns of the RV., and only 2 others (7, 18) from other parts thereof, parts widely separated. Of the last 7 vss. of this part, only vss. 17 and 18 find use in the Kāuçikan ritual.
- Part II., verses 21-24. This block of verses corresponds, without changes of order, to the last *varga* of the second Vāmadeva hymn, more precisely to RV. iv. 2. 16, 17, 18, and 19 a, b, to which is then appended the last half-verse of RV. ii. 23, with the Grtsamada refrain. Neither ritual makes any use whatever of any verse or pāda of this part.
- Part III., verses 25-37. This part consists of two six-membered sequences, a and b (a = the five verses, 25-29: b = the six verses, 30-35), each sequence with one member for each of the "six directions" (E., S., W., N., fixed, and upward); the whole followed by two yajurmantras (vss. 36-37: comm., p. 1584). - All the 11 verses of sequences a and b have the refrain lokakrtas etc. (a jagati-tristubh halfverse) in common. Moreover, all those 11 verses (except one, namely vs. 29) have as their second pāda the obscure jagatī-pāda, bāhucyútā prthivi dyām ivo 'pári; and for their first pada an *ūha*-pada applying in turn to one or another of the six directions. --- In the excepted verse (vs. 29: see my note below), it would seem as if two directions had been crowded into one verse, the 'fixed' into pada a and the 'upward' into pada b: if so, it is this condensation that has reduced sequence a from 6 verses to 5, and effected the displacement of the pada bahucyútā etc. - Thus the refrains of this part are all metrical (smooth jagatī or tristubh pādas), as is also the first half of vs. 29; while the *ūha*-pādas are prose, as are also the *yajur*mantras. - Parts III. a and III. b look to me like antiphonal sequences (cf. the introduction to ii. 5), the verses of a containing the prayers that are worded as if uttered by the suppliant dead man, and the verses of b containing the responses \* of his helper, very likely the dead man's eldest son (see my note to vs. 25: but just how they were used, of course, I cannot say). If I am right, vs. 34, reinforced by the first two clauses of 36, would answer to 29 a; and vs. 35 would answer to 29 b. But against my view is the fact that we have trat in 29 a where we should expect mā.--\* [After writing the above, I find that Weber, Sb. p. 265, had expressed a similar view as to the responsive structure, and had proposed to emend  $tv\bar{a}$  to  $m\bar{a}$ .]

- Part IV., verses 38-41. This again is a real unity in the RV., being the entire hymn RV. x. 13 except its last verse, the fifth, and except its vs. 1 d. The verses of this part, again like those of part II., find no use in the funeral ritual (although, indeed, Vāit. uses two of them in the *agnistoma*). For the curious dislocation and misdivision of the material by AV., see p. 858, ¶ 10, and cf. ¶ 8 of this page.
- Part V., verses 42-48. Verses from the principal RV. hymn to the Fathers, x. 15, namely its vss. 12, 7, 11, 5, 8, 9, and 10, with much derangement of the RV. order.
- Part VI., verses 49-52. Burial-verses from RV. x. 18, to wit, vss. 10, 11, 12, 13, in strict RV. sequence.
- Part VII., verses 53-60. Eight verses, of which seven are from five of the RV. funeral hymns, x. 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 (represented in the order 16, 16, 17, 18:14, 15, 16), and of which the remaining one (our vs. 54) is without ritual use and plainly intrusive and doubtless put after our 53 on account of its striking surfaceresemblances to our 53. Our vs. 60 is widely separated from its fellow, our vs. 6, as is noted under the verses.
- Part VIII., verses 61-64. Verses not elsewhere found, save, in part, in AV.ÇÇS.MB.
- Part IX., verses 65–67. Found in RV. outside the limits, x. 10 and x. 18, between which the funeral verses are massed, to wit, as RV. x. 8. 1; x. 123. 6; and vii. 32. 26.
- **Part X.**, verses 68-72. This is an *anustubh* sequence, peculiar to our AV. text, and with only a couple of longer pādas (namely 69 d, *jagatī*; 71 d, *tristubh*).
- Part XI., verse 73. This is a tristubh which looks as if it had been put here on account of superficial likenesses to its next following companion, vs. I of hymn 4. If this surmise is correct, we are to assume here a misdivision of their material by the makers of the aniwāka-divisions somewhat similar to that seen at RV. vii. 55. I. Cf. the cases at AV. i. 20 (vs. 4) and 21, vi. 63 (vs. 4) and 64: also at iv. 15. II and 12.]

Translated: Weber, Sb. 1896, pages 253-277 (with analysis, etc.); Griffith, ii. 236; verses not taken from the RV. are rendered by Ludwig, pages 484-487. — The RV. verses are translated, of course, by the RV. translators: the verses from RV. x. 18, in particular, by Whitney, *Oriental and Linguistic Studies*, i. 54, 53 (vs. 44 at p. 60: and so on); RV. x. 18 also by Roth, in *Siebenzig Lieder des RV*., p. 150.

1. This woman, choosing her husband's world, lies down (*ni-pad*) by thee that art departed, O mortal, continuing to keep [her] ancient duty (*dhárma*); to her assign thou here progeny and property.

Verses 1-4 are translated and interpreted (I think, incorrectly) by Hillebrandt in ZDMG. xl. 708 ff. Kāuç. (80.44) and the comm. declare that with this verse the wife is made to lie down beside her dead husband on the funeral pile. The comm. glosses *dharmam* with *sukytam*, and understands the sense of the pāda as it is translated above. The sense of **d** alone seems to indicate that the woman's action is nothing more than a show, expected to be followed by that of the next verse, since "progeny and property" are rewards for this life, not for the other. The comm. says it is meant for her next birth. TA. also has the verse (in vi. 1.3), but reads for c *vlçvam purāņām ánu pāldyantī*—a very inferior text. Some of our mss. (O.Op.D.R.K.), and even the majority of SPP's, have in c *-pāddyantī*, but SPP. rightly accepts *-pāld*-; [cf. the phonetic relation of *udumbara* and *ulumbala*, above, 2. 13].

2. Go up, O woman, to the world of the living; thou liest by  $(upa-c\bar{i})$  this one who is deceased : come ! to him who grasps thy hand, thy second spouse (didhisii), thou hast now entered into the relation of wife to husband.

The verse is RV. x. 18.8, whose text differs only by reading in c didhisós, and this is given also by two of our mss. (R.D.) and the majority of SPP's, so that it certainly ought to be accepted as the true reading, dadh- being only a corruption. TA. (in vi. 1. 3) has didhisós, but after it tvám etát, and in b itásum, in neither case making any important change in the sense. | TA., both text and comm. in both ed's, reads abhí sámbabhūva: the comm. renders by ābhimukhyena samyak prāpnuhi / which procedure gives a shock even to one who is wonted to the Hindu laxity of ideas about vāiyadhikaraņya. | The meaning given to abht sám-bhū in the translation is decidedly the only admissible one; nor need one hesitate to render *didhish* according to its later accepted meaning. The woman cannot be left free and independent; she can only be relieved of her former wifehood by taking up a new one (even if this be, as is probable enough, nominal only); he who grasps her hand to lead her down from the pile becomes, at least for the nonce, her husband. The direction of Kauç. (80. 45) in connection with the verse is simply "one makes her rise"; the comm. | vol. iv., p. 129, end | specifies that this is done "if she desires to live in this world again"; neither tells who is to take her hand - as, for example, Açvalāyana does (AGS. iv. 2. 18): "her husband's brother, a representative of her husband, a pupil [of her husband], or an aged servant." Whether the levir and the "representative" are the same person or two different ones does not appear from the translation nor from the original of AGS. | Vāit. (38.3) uses the verse in the purusamedha.

3. I saw the maiden being led, being led about, alive, for the dead; as she was enclosed with blind darkness, then I led her off-ward  $(dp\bar{a}c\bar{i})$  from in front  $(pr\bar{a}ktds)$ .

The translation of b implies, | not the jivam rtebhyas of the Berlin text, but rather | the reading jiniam mrtebhyas : this is accepted by SPP. and is supported by the majority of his authorities | including two reciters | and by the comm. and by some of our mss. collated later (O.Op.R.T.), | and especially by the variant of TA., below |. | Compare the cases of yame dirgham, etc., discussed in the note to xviii. 2. 3. | The version in TA. (vi. 12. 1) is better than ours in a, b: ápaçyāma yuvatim ācárantīm mṛtẩya jīvẩm pariniyámānām; but not so good in c, d: andhéna yấ támasā pravrta 'si pracīm avacim ava yann aristyai. According to Kauc. (81. 20), vss. 3 and 4 are used as the cow (to serve as anustarani) is led, at the funcral pile, around (the fires) leftwise ; the comm. gives a corresponding explanation; and the comment to TA. also understands it of such a cow (rājagavī); [cf. Caland, Todtengebrauche, p. 40]. It is very difficult to believe that this was the original meaning of the verse, and that it did not rather refer to some rescue from immolation of a young wife. The comm. paraphrases pada d by enām gām pūrvadecāt cavasamīpād apānmukhīm cavāt parānmukhīm asmadabhimukhīm prāpayāmi: this is of no authority. Pāda a can be made full only by the unacceptable resolution *apaçıam*; the TA. reading of the word would remove the difficulty.

4. Foreknowing, O inviolable one, the world of the living, moving together [with him] upon the road of the gods — this is thy herdsman (gópati); enjoy him; make him ascend to the heavenly (svargá) world.

There is no difficulty in understanding this of the anustarani cow, with the sūtras and commentaries, although we should expect rather pitrlokám in a, and josaya in c. By "sūtras" I suppose W. means sūtra 20 of Kāuç. 81 (cited under vs. 3) and sūtra 37 of Kāuç. 80 (cited under this vs.); and by "commentaries," the AV. comm. to vss. 3-4 (vol. iv., p. 1313) and the comm. to the TA. correspondent in vi. 12. 1 (Poona ed., p. 449)

849

of our vs. 3.] Besides the use of the verse with the one preceding, as explained under the latter, it again (Kāuç. 80. 37) accompanies the leading of a cow around fuel and fire; and the schol. (note to 81. 33) employ it further at the kindling of the pile. The verse lacks only one syllable of being a regular *tristubh* (II + II : I0 + II = 43).

5. Unto sky (div), unto reed, more helpful of streams; O Agni, gall of the waters art thou.

The translation of **a** and **b** is purely mechanical. Other texts have a quite different version of them. VS. (xvii. 6 a-c) reads úpa jmánn úpa vetasé vatara nadísv å; MS. (in ii. 10. 1; but p. dvataram) the same (and the editor reports K. and Kap.S. as agreeing); TS. (in iv. 6. 1<sup>2</sup>) the same except *ávattaram*; VS. and MS. admit a much more intelligible rendering ('close to earth, close to reeds, descend thou in the streams'). In all the other texts, the verse is preceded by our vi. 106.3 a, b and other similar addresses to Agni, in the agnicayana ceremony; and so also in Vāit. (29. 13), where the verses accompany the drawing of a frog, of the water-plant avakā, and of reeds, across the fire-site in all directions. In Kāuç. (82. 26), this verse and 3. 60 are used in the ccremony of gathering the bone-relics on the third day after cremation, with the direction iti mantroktāny avadāya. | The authorities differ as to the day: Caland, Todtengebrauche, p. 99. | The comm. explains | vol. iv., p. 13215, p. 16920 | that vss. 5 and 6 | (cf. Ath. Paddhati cited in note to Kauç. 82. 26) || and 60 | are addressed to the plants mentioned in those verses | and gives a list of plants : cf. SPP's note with extract from Keçava, and Bloomfield's note to 82.26]. The comm. adds that the plants are used by the performer in besprinkling a Brahman's bones with milk. Under this verse the comm. makes dyām mean avakām, because this rises above the water without touching earth! The verse does not need to be scanned as nicrt.

## 6. Whom thou, O Agni, didst consume, him do thou extinguish again; let there grow here the kyámbū, the çāndadūrvá, the vyàlkaçā.

RV. (x. 16. 13) has the same verse, but calls two of the plants kiyambu and  $p\bar{a}ka$ durvá. Vydlka(ā (p. vloalkaçā) might well be an adjective, 'free from alkaça' or the like, if we only knew what alkaça meant. | W's Op.R. accent vyalkaça: and so five of SPP's authorities, against four with *vydl*-.] TA. disagrees with both AV. and RV. in reading at vi. 4. 1 kyāmbūs | both ed's |, but agrees with RV. in having pākadūrvā, | and with both ed's of AV. in accenting vydlkaçā ]; it reads jāyatām for rohatu in c, and tvám for tám in b. — The comm. explains çāndadūrvā as dūrvā ('millet') that springs up near water, having egg-shaped roots, or that has long joints, and adds that it is called "big millet" (brhaddūrvā); but this is probably without authority. With as little reason he glosses alka by çākhā 'branch,' and declares vyalkaça to mean "furnished with various (vividha) branches"; | so also the comm. on RV. and on TA. |. The verse is not directly quoted by Kāuç., but (as was pointed out above) it is regarded by the comm. | and the Paddhati ] as included with vss. 5 and 60 in 82.26, and probably with justice. - This verse and its successor in RV. and TA. (strangely removed to be 3.60 in AV.) are both plainly intended as remedial and expiatory for the cruel office of Agni in burning a corpse; the fire is not only to be extinguished, but to be followed by its antithesis, the growth of water-plants and the appearance of their attendant frogs: compare Bloomfield in AJP. xi. 342-350 [or JAOS. xv., p. xxxix]. | This expiatory and remedial rite is avouched for antiquity by MBh. viii. 20. 50 = 819: Pāndyah ... svadhām ( = pretaçarīrarūpam havih) ivā "pya jvalanah pitrpriyas ( = çmaçānāgnih) tatah praçāntah salilapravāhatah; and a note to the P. C. Roy version of this passage, p. 65, says that it persists even to this day in India.

7. Here is one for thee, beyond is one for thee; enter thou into union with the third light; at entrance be thou fair (c dru) with [thy] body, loved of the gods in the highest station.

The verse is RV. x. 56. 1, which reads in c tanvds, and in d janttre (for sadhásthe). It is also found in SV. (i. 65), TB. (in iii. 7. 13), TA. (vi. 3. I; 4. 2), and Ap. (ix. 1. 17); in a, TB.Ap. have u (for  $\bar{u}$  before te); in c, all have saivvéçanas, while SV. gives tanvè and the others tanúvāi; in d, TB.Ap. read priyé, and SV.TB.Ap. agree with RV. in janitre. According to Kāuç. (80. 36), the verse accompanies the carrying of the fire at the head of the procession to the funeral pile; as the comm. states it, carrying the three fires, in the case of one who has established sacrificial fires. The three "lights" are thus understood to be the three sacrificial fires; but they are probably, in the original meaning of the verse, rather three regions of light, to the highest of which the deceased is to be translated.

8. Rise thou, go forth, run forth; make thee a home  $(\delta kas)$  in the sca [as] station; there do thou, in concord with the Fathers, revel with soma, with the *svadhás*.

The first half-verse is found also in TA. (in vi. 4. 2) which has the easier ending *paramé vydman*; the second half of the TA. verse is our vi. 63. 3 c, d. The majority of our *samhitā*-mss. combine *dravó 'kaḥ* in **a-b**, but SPP. reports nothing of the kind from his authorities. The verse can be forced down to forty syllables (as a  $pa\bar{n}kti$ ) by violence in c; Lits natural scansion is as 8 + 11 : 11 + 11. It is one of the *utthāpanī* or 'uplifting' verses, which, with the *hariņīs* or 'taking' verses, are used more than once in Kāuç., and are cited in Vāit. (37. 23-24) and elsewhere, in connection with lifting and moving the corpse etc. This one accompanies (Kāuç. 80. 31) the raising of the corpse to carry it to the funeral pile, and later (80. 35), with 1. 61 and 3. 9 and others, the lifting on to the cart and removing; and yet later (82. 31) the gathering up and carrying away the bone-relics.

9. Start (cyn) forward, collect (sam-bhr) thy body; let not thy limbs (gåtra) nor thy frame (cårira) be left out; enter together after thy mind that has entered; wherever in the world thou enjoyest, thither go.

The first half-verse and the last pāda are found also, as parts of different verses, in TA. vi. 4. 2; which, however, reads út tiṣthā 'tas tanúvain sám bharasva mé 'há gắtram áva hā mắ cárīram, and yátra bhūmyāi vṛṇáse tátra gaccha. Some of our mss. (P.M.O.R.T.K.) accent ánu in c; and some (all except O.Op.R.K., also two of SPP's) have bhūme in d; the comm. reads bhūmāu. According to Kāuç. (80. 32). the dead body, after being raised (*utthāpay*-) with the preceding verse, is made three times to set forth (? samhāpay-; sam-hā means usually simply 'get up': it is added, "as many times as it is raised") with this one; and this verse is used again, with the preceding verse (under which see) and others, in 80. 35 and 82. 31.

10. Let the soma-drinking (somyd) Fathers anoint me with splendor, the gods with honey, with ghee; making me pass further on unto sight, let them increase me, attaining old age, unto old age.

Some of the mss. (including our D.R.p.m.T.) read djantu in **b**; possibly it is their way of emending the false accent of  $d\bar{n}jantu$ ; doubtless we ought to change this to  $a\bar{n}jantu$  rather than to admit the modulated stem  $d\bar{n}ja$ . The *pratīka* (varcasā mām) applies either to this verse or to the next, or probably is used to include both; whatever it applies to is used, according to Kāuç. (81.47; 87.4), in connection with rinsing the mouth at the end of the cremation ceremony and at the beginning of the *pindapityyajāa*; and also (86.17), with 3.61-67, in the ceremony of interring the bones, in connection with supporting the *dhruvanas*\* on the north-west of the fire. The comm. takes notice of only the first of these three applications. \*[Caland, WZKM. viii. 369, would read *dhuvanāny upayachante* at 86.16 : I suppose he would render, 'they offer fannings [to the relics].' But are we sure that 86.17 goes with 86.16 and forms a part of the *dhuvana* ceremony? — Cf. my note to vs. 17 below. — The non-lingualization of the first *n* gives the strongest possible support for *dhuvanāni* as against *dhru*-.]

11. Let Agni anoint me completely with splendor; let Vishņu anoint wisdom into my mouth; let all the gods fix wealth upon me; let pleasant waters purify me with purifiers.

The verse is, with resolution of  $m\bar{a}$ -am, a regular tristubh, and no  $pa\bar{n}kti$ . As to its ritual application, see under the preceding verse; the comm. regards it as sharing with that verse.

12. Mitra-and-Varuņa have enclosed (*pari-dhā*) me; let the sacrificial posts of Aditi increase me; let Indra anoint splendor into my hands; let Savitar make me one attaining old age.

Most of our mss. (all except Op.R.), and half of SPP's, read at the beginning *mitrā-varuņā* (Bp. -*ņāu*), vocative, which might stand if we altered *adhātām* to *-thām*; both editions give *mitrāváruņā*, ours by emendation. A variant for *sváravas* in **b** would be very welcome; the comm. gets rid of the difficulty in its characteristic way, by making the word an adjective to *ādityās*, and signifying either "making a pleasant sound" or "making a distress directed at our enemies"! The third pāda, if properly read, has a redundant syllable; but the Anukr. would apparently have us read *nyanaktu* in three syllables, as written. The Kāuç. uses the verse with washing the hands, at the end of the cremation ceremony (81.46), and at the beginning of the *pindapitryajāa* (87.3); the comm. notices only the latter of the two uses.

13. Him who died first of mortals, who went forth first to that world, Vivasvant's son, assembler of people, king Yama honor ye with oblation.

The second half-verse is identical with 1.49 c, d, and the first half is analogous with the same, a, b (= RV. x. 14. 1 etc.: see under 1.49). The verse is redundant by a syllable in [the perfectly good *jagat1* pāda] d. For its use by Kāuç., with 2.49, see under the latter; [and especially my note to i.49]. [The verse is discussed by Hillebrandt, *Ved. Mythol.* i. 491.]

14. Go away, ye Fathers, and come; this sacrifice is all anointed with honey for you; both give to us here excellent property, and assign to us wealth having all heroes.

The second half-verse is found also in AÇS. (ii. 7.9) and MB. (ii. 3.5); both read at the end *ni yacchata*, and at the beginning MB. has *dattā 'sm*-, and AÇS. strangely

dattāyā 'sm-. [Our pada-texts read datt $\delta$  (= datt $\delta$  u) *tti*: see Prāt. i. 80.] The translation implies that dráviņe 'há is for dráviņam ihá (p. dráviņā : ihá); the comm. glosses dráviņā by draviņam; [cf. my Noun-Inflection, p. 331, ¶ 4]. The comm. also understands the first pāda to signify that the Fathers are to go to their own world, and then to return when invoked to their own sacrifice; and this is probably the sense.

15. Let Kaņva, Kakshīvant, Purumīdha, Agastya, Çyāvāçva, Sobharī, Archanānas, Viçvāmitra, Jamadagni here, Atri, Kaçyapa, Vāmadeva, aid us.

The comm. amuses himself with giving etymologies for all these names, only passing over Agastya and Sobharī as "evident" (*prasiddha*).

16. O Viçvāmitra, Jamadagni, Vasishṭha, Bharadvāja, Gotama, Vāmadeva — Atri hath taken (*grabh*) our *çardis* with obeisances; ye Fathers of good report, be gracious to us.

The translation implies in **d** emendation of sit-sam casas to sucansasas [so W's ms.1 it must certainly be a double slip for sit cansas.], for which it seems most probably a corruption, and which is read by the comm. [he reads in fact sucansas.and understands it as W. does]; the only variants in the mss. are sit can casas. [with palatal c twice] in some of ours (P.M.I.) and one (C.) of SPP's, and the accentuation on the second syllable, -sdm c, in a few (including our O.R.T.).\* *Pitaras* in **b** ought properly to be without accent. [As to what precedes, see the next ¶.] Some of the mss. read cdr dir or cdr dir. The comm. first identifies the word with *chardis*, and pronounces it a name for 'house'; then, as alternative, he gets it from root card and makes cardayati signify *balayati*; [and, as a final alternative, he regards the word as the name of a Rishi]. Neither Kāuç. nor Vāit. makes any use of these two verses. [Weber, *Episches im vedischen Ritual*, Sb. 1891, p. 787, suggests a special connection of this book xviii. with the Kāuçikan Viçvāmitras.]

\*[The decision here lies between the well-authenticated su-çánsa ('of good wishes, kindly': root çans) and the doubtful su-samçās ('kindly admonishing,' presumably oxytone: root çās with sam). The former occurs five times in RV. and also at AV. xix. 10.6. The latter occurs nowhere, unless here, nor does it seem to be apposite in meaning: yet the authority of the mss. and of the crotriya V. is decidedly in favor of it. No ms. soever actually gives súçaisāsas; but the mss. that have the impossible súçançāsas may well be regarded as intending súçansāsas. — Moreover, if the two vocatives stood in the order pltarah su-, I should leave the second one unaccented (Gram. § 314 d), as W. suggests; but with the order sú- plt-, the second seems distinctly more independent of the first (Gram. § 314 e) and may properly be accented. I would therefore read súçansāsah pitarah, and render 'O ye kindly ones, ye Fathers!' As for the meaning of suçánsa: note that çánsa means 'a wish, good or evil,' i.e. not only 'curse,' but also 'blessing,' and is used in these two opposite senses in two contiguous RV. verses, vii. 25. 2, 3; and that, in its good sense, it is pertinent to the Fathers, as at RV. x. 78. 3, pitruām ná çánsāh surātáyah. Note further that 'kindly' accords well with the character of the Fathers as described in RV. x. 15: they bless and help (vss. 5 d, 4 c), and are harmless (1 c, 6 c) and gracious (3 a, 9 c). — That, in such a "pestilent congregation of" sibilants as súcansāsas, a blunder of the tradition is rather to be expected than not, is my opinion : whose doubts it, let him attempt "with moderate haste" to repeat aloud three times the simple English sentence "she sells sea-shells."]

853

17. They overpass defilement (*riprá*), wiping [it] off in the metal bowl (? kasyá), assuming further on newer life-time, filling themselves up with progeny and riches; then may we be of good odor in the houses.

 $\left[ P\bar{a}da c = RV, x. 18. 2 c. \right]$  The translation boldly assumes that kasyá is a corruption of, or equivalent to, kansa, the Pet. Lexx. pass the word without notice; the comm. says that kasa means kikasa 'vertebra,' the ki being dropped by Vedic license (!), and that kasya, as an adjective derived from it, means "the place of cremation"! All authorities read kasye without variation, [save that SPP's crotriya K., whose memory of this book was not perfect, recited kdsye ]. | See note \*, below. | The authorities are divided, however, between mrjanas and mrjanas (among those having the latter are our O.R.); both editions give the former, though it is an isolated accentuation; mrjand is regular (and occurs in RV.), while mijāna is supported (Gram. § 619 d) by the analogy of several other such participles; | cf. note to vs. 73 |. Two of our three pada-mss. (Bp.Kp.) have ayuhodidhanas in b as compound, and most of our samhita-mss. (all save (O,R.) accent accordingly  $\bar{a}yur d$ ; but SPP. acknowledges the reading in only a single ms. (pada), and of course gives in his text (as we in ours by emendation) ayur d. The comm. regards surabháyas in d as figurative, for *clāghyaguņayuktās*. In Kāuç. (84. 10) the verse is directed to be used as the women go three times round (the relics of the funeral pile) leftwise, with disheveled hair and beating the right thigh.

\*[According to Caland, WZKM. viii. 369, the passage in Kāuç. 84. 8-11 describes the curious rite named *dhuvana* or 'fanning' of the bone-relics: see his *Todtengebrauche*, pages 138-9, and cf. my note to vs. 10, above. The *dhuvana* is part of the procedure called *nidhāna* or 'laying to rest' (ibidem, p. 129). According to the *sūtra* next preceding 84. 10, an empty pot, *rikta-kumbha*, is set down, and beaten with an old shoe. According to our AV. comm. (p. 143<sup>17</sup>: but see SPP's note 5), our verse is repeated by the one who breaks the empty jar, *rikta-kalaça*, on the night of the day of cremation, that is, at a time a good deal earlier than the *nidhāna*.'— However that may be, it does seem as if our *kasyé* might well mean the same thing as the *kumbha* or *kalaça* of the ritual authorities.]

18. They anoint, they anoint out (vi), they anoint together (sám); they lick the rite (? krátu), they smear (abhi a nj) with honey; the bull (uksán) flying in the upheaving of the river, the victim (paqi) do the gold-purifiers seize (grh) in them  $\lfloor f \rfloor$ .

The verse is RV. ix. 86 43, the only variant in which is *grbhnate* at the end (and our I. also has this; also the comm.). SV. (i. 564; ii. 964) has it also and agrees with RV. in this word, but also has before it *apsú* instead of *āsu*, and in **b** *mádhviā*. The comm. understands *sthādīşu* to be intended by the pronoun *āsu*. The verse is one of the wild utterances of the soma-purifiers in RV., and seems to be introduced here without any proper connection with the funeral ceremonies, simply because there is so much "anoint" in it. In Kāuç. (88. 16), it accompanies an anointing in the *pindapitṛyajña*; and in Väit. (10. 4), a smearing of the sacrificial post with butter in the *paqubandha*. [Pādas **b**, **c**, **d** are good *jagatī*: but **a** has no *jagatī* character whatever, and by count it is *virāj* rather than *bhurij*; but perhaps the Anukr. (see note to the excerpts from Anukr.) does not mean to call it *bhurij*.]

19. What of you is joyous, O Fathers, and delectable (somyá), therewith be at hand (sac), for ye are of own splendor; do ye, rapid (? árvan) poets, listen, beneficent, invoked at the council. Nearly all our mss. (save Op.R.s.m.) accent *pitaras* in **a**; SPP. reports only a single *pada*-ms. as doing so, and of course reads *pitaras*, as does our text by emendation. Nearly all the authorities, again, give *bhūtám* at end of **b**; [but Whitney's Op. has *bhūtā*; and his] K. has *bhūtā*, as have three of SPP's, who reads *bhūtā*. [The word itself is lost from the comm., but glossed by *bhavatha*.] We ought to have emended to *bhūtā*. Once more, all the authorities without exception accent *suvidatrās*, which SPP. accordingly retains, while we have made the necessary emendation to *-dátrās*. One is tempted to change *arvāņas* in **c** to *arvāñcas*. The extra syllable in **b** suggests corruption; [and so, perhaps, does the fact that in O.R. the *avasāna* is before *bhūtám*, not after it].

20. Ye who are Atris, Angirases, Navagvas, having sacrificed, attached to giving (? rātiṣác), bestowers (dádhāna), and who are rich in sacrificial fees, well-doing — do ye revel, sitting on this barhis.

The meaning of some of these epithets is not altogether clear. No use is made of the verse in the satras.

21. So then as our distant Fathers, the ancient ones, O Agni, sharpening the rite: they went to the bright, they shone,\* [*should be* shining], praising with song; splitting the ground, they uncovered the ruddy ones.

The verse corresponds to RV. iv. 2. 16, found also in VS. (xix. 69) and TS. (in ii. 6. 124) which read precisely with RV. The variants of our text are no better than corruptions; the others have at end of **b**  $\bar{a}_{cus\bar{a}n\bar{a}s} \lfloor p. \bar{a}_{cus\bar{a}n\bar{a}k} \rfloor$ , and in **c**  $d\bar{t}dhitim$  The translation follows our text.\* The comm. takes  $\bar{a}_{cac\bar{a}n\bar{a}s}$  (p.  $\bar{a}_{o}cac_{-}$ ) from root  $a_{c}$ , and glosses it with  $vy\bar{a}pnuvantas$ ? The "ruddy ones" are in its opinion the dawns  $\lfloor \text{or else}$  the stolen cows which the Angirases got back from the Panis  $\rfloor$ . — \* [Whitney's ms. reads "they shone": this is probably an oversight and should be "shining"; his Bp., to be sure, but Bp. alone, has  $d\bar{d}dhyata$ , not -tah.]

22. Of good actions, well-shining, pious, heavenly ones (*devá*), forging the generations as [smiths forge] metal, brightening Agni, increasing Indra, they have made for us a wide conclave (*parisád*), rich in kine.

The corresponding verse in RV. (iv. 2. 17) combines in **a-b** devayanto 'yo, has in **c** vavrdh-, and for **d**  $\bar{u}rvam$  gavyam parisádanto agman; its pada-text in **b** reads  $\lfloor janima$  like ours J. [Weber, So. 1896, p. 263-64, takes devā (janimā) as = devānām and the whole verse as a parallel to vs. 23, where the phrase devānām janimā occurs in full.]

23. As herds at food  $(k \le im)$ , the formidable one hath looked over  $\lfloor dti \rfloor$  the cattle, the births of the gods, near by; mortals have lamented the urv dcis, unto the increase of the pious, of the next man.

The translation is purely mechanical, and sundry of the words in it are extremely questionable. The verse corresponds to RV. iv. 2. 18, which, however, reads in a kswmáti as one word (p. kswomáti; our p. ksúm : áti), makes good meter in b by inserting yát after devānām, and reads in c mártānām. SPP. reads, with RV. and with the comm., ksumáti; this is against nearly all his and our authorities: [they have ksúm áti]; but our O.R. have ksumáti and Op. has [the impossible] ksum : áti [with accentless ksum]. The translation implies at the end of b ugrás, which SPP. reads, with about half his authorities and the comm.; of ours, most of the later ones have it also

855

(Op.D. ugráh; O.R.K. ugrah [accentless!]). The comm. renders **a**, **b** thus: "the mighty one, Agni, looks near by upon the birth of the gods, Indra etc., as in a noisy (ksumati = çabdavati) herd (yūthā being = yūthē) of kine a master sees his own cattle (paçvas)": or, he says, it is the consuming fire that is addressed: "O Agni, this sacrificer who is being consumed by thee, mighty by thy favor, in a noisy cattle-crowd, looks upon the birth of the gods as upon herds of cattle (paçvas); the sense being that the gods come to light in the neighborhood of him who has gone to the world of the gods." This is the kind of help that the commentator gives in a difficult passage. Urvaçīs is to him the Apsarases, Urvaçī etc.; and akṛpran = akalpayan, which means upabhoktum samarthā bhavanti. Aryás = svāmī. The verse can be forced into the compass of forty syllables (11 + 8:10 + 11 = 40), as the Anukr. estimates it.

\*[The RV. verse has been discussed by Bloomfield, JAOS. xx.<sup>1</sup>, p. 183. He renders **c**, **d** thus: "Even for mortal men Urvaçīs were fashioned for the production of the noble lower Ayu." He takes *akṛpran* as 'there were formed,' aor. pass. of krp = k!p: cf. the *akalpayan* of our comm. and the klptas of Sāyaṇa on RV. He explains: Just as Urvaçī, the goddess Cloud, produces the celestial fire, so the fire-drills (called *urváçīs*) produce for mortals the terrestrial sacrificial fire (*úpara āyú*).]

24. We have made [sacrifices] for thee; we have been very active; the illuminating  $(vi-bh\bar{a})$  dawns have shone upon [our] rite  $(rt\bar{a})$ ; all that is excellent which the gods favor; may we talk big at the council, having good heroes.

The first half-verse is, without variant, RV. iv. 2. 19 a, b; the second half is, also without variant, RV. ii. 23. 19 c, d (and VS. xxxiv. 58 c, d). Many of the mss., however, (including our Bs.O.K.) combine in a-b to *abhūma rtám*. The comm. has in b the strange reading *avasvan* (voc. : = *avanavan* or *pālaka*).

25. Let Indra with the Maruts protect me from the eastern quarter; arm-moved [is] the earth, as it were to the sky above; to the worldmakers, the road-makers, do we sacrifice, whoever of you are here, sharing in the oblation of the gods.

[As for this whole passage, vss. 25-37, see my introductory notes, p. 847, ¶ 8, and Caland's orientation of it in his *Todtengebrauche*, p. 154.] This is a very curious and obscure refrain (its last two padas occur again as refrain of 4. 16-24). In b,  $b\bar{a}hucyut\bar{a}\bar{a}$ (which ought to mean 'by a mover, or a moving, of arms') is rendered as if it were  $b\bar{a}hucyut\bar{a}$ ; [Weber proposes to emend to  $-t\bar{a}m$ ;] the comm. also takes  $-cyut\bar{a}$  as past pass. pple., glossing it by vinirgatā, or, in an alternative explanation, by  $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}$ : either "proceeded out from the arms of the givers" or "arrived in the arms of the receivers"; the allusion being to the giving of land to Brahmans: "as land given protects in the future ( $up\dot{a}ri$ ) the heavenly world which is to be enjoyed by both parties"! The use by the sūtras casts no light upon the meaning. Vāit. (22. 3) prescribes the verse for use with an offering to the Maruts in the agnistoma ceremony [doubtless on account of the word marutvān]. In Kāuç. (81. 39), this verse alone, so far as appears [but the comm., p. 1525, says vss. 25-29], is combined with 1. 41-43 etc. to accompany the offerings to Sarasvatī at the funeral pile; again (85. 26), vss. 25-37 (the comm. says, 25-35) are used with 2. 24, 26, etc. in connection with the interment of the bone-relics.

LThis last use does indeed perhaps cast light on the passage. The previous satra, 85. 25, with Caland's emendation (l.c., p. 154), reads: edam barkir [xviii. 4. 52] ity asthitas tanum yathāparu samcinoti. I think his emendation receives support from the AV. comm., who says, at vol. iv., p. 2246, edam barhir ity rcā kule jyestho 'sthini yathāparu samcinuyāt. If we take samci in the sense of 'assemble' as used in the phrase 'assemble the interchangeable parts of a bicycle or a Waltham watch,' our sūtra would then mean, 'while repeating xviii. 4. 52, he (the dead man's eldest son) assembles a human figure (tanum), limb by limb, from the bones (asthi-tas), i.e. he makes such a figure out of the bones by assembling them.' — If this be right, then we probably have to infer from the AV. text and from the next sūtra, 85. 26, that the eldest son addresses the deities with vss. 25-29, and does so as spokesman of his dead father, represented by the prostrate figure of bones; and that, while uttering vss. 30-35, he addresses his dead father, but does so as speaking for himself. — As to forming a human figure (puruṣākṛti) with the bones, cf. further Bāudhāyana's Pitṛmedhasūtra, i. 10, especially lines 5, 7, 10, 13 of p. 15, ed. Caland.]

26. Let Dhātar protect me from perdition from the southern quarter; arm-moved etc. etc.

27. Let Aditi with the Adityas protect me from the western quarter; arm-moved etc. etc.

28. Let Soma with all the gods protect me from the northern quarter; arm-moved etc. etc.

29. Dhartar the maintainer shall maintain thee aloft, as Savitar the light (*bhānú*) to the sky above; to the world-makers etc. etc.

The translation follows the comm. in connecting  $\hat{u}rdhvdm$  with what precedes, instead of (as the meter suggests, and as is perhaps rather to be preferred) with what follows it.\* The definition by the Anukr. of the meter of these five verses is not very acceptable; the refrain of 25-28 has 35 syllables (12:12+11); the prefixed variable part varies from 12 to 14; 28 has 46 syllables (11+12:12+11). [Cf. note to excerpts from Anukr., above, p. 847, top.]

\*[There is a clear play of words in *dhartā dharuņo dhārayātāi*, not without conscious reminiscence, perhaps, of the familiar plays in *varaņo vārayātāi* at x. 3. 5 and vi. 85. 1, and in *vār idam vārayātāi varaņāvatyām adhi* at iv. 7. 1. † Moreover, I think that these derivatives of root *dhr* make clear reference to *dhruvā diç*, the 'fixed direction' or 'steadfast region,' and that *ārdhvam* makes similar reference to the 'upward region.' Render perhaps: 'Let the Steadier, steadying, steady thee [in the steadfast region], as aloft [that is, in the upward region] Savitar [steadieth or maintaineth] the light, the sky above.' Cf. my note, p. 847, ¶ 8. — † Cf. xix. 36. 6 d.]

30. In the eastern quarter, away from approach (?), do I set thee in *svadhá*; arm-moved etc. etc.

The phrase  $pur\dot{a}$  sain  $v\dot{r}tah$  is very doubtful; perhaps it means rather, with the more literal sense of  $pur\dot{a}$  and taking -vrt as from root vr, 'before covering up' [so Caland takes it: Todtengebräuche, p. 154-5]; the comm., with his ordinary heedlessness of accent; makes it a pple. (as if sain vrtas), rendering it "formerly covered up" (purvanana sainchāditah); or else, he says,  $pur\dot{a}$  is instr. of pur = carira 'body,' and it means "along with thy body" (sacarīra eva san). Kāuc. (80.53) uses the verse (doubtless with the five that follow it) in fixing the body in place on the funeral pile; but he adds in the next rule that Uparibabhrava prohibits it. The comm. takes no notice of any such application.

- 31. In the southern quarter, away etc. etc.
- 32. In the western quarter, away etc. etc.
- 33. In the northern quarter, away etc. etc.
- 34. In the fixed quarter, away etc. etc.

----

35. In the upward quarter, away etc. etc.

These six verses, 30-35, have the same refrain of 35 syllables as vss. 25-28; and the prefixed part, variable only in its first word, ranges from 17 to 19 syllables; the definition of the Anukr. is approximately accurate.

36. Dhartar ('maintainer') art thou; maintaining art thou; bull (vánsaga) art thou.

37. Water-purifying art thou; honey-purifying art thou; wind-purifying art thou.

The comm. regards both these prose verses (yajurmantra) as addressed to Agni, quoting RV. iv. 58. 3 and vi. 16. 39 to prove the applicability to him of the epithets in 36. The satras make no use of them save by their inclusion in the series 25-37 in Kauç. 85. 26: see above, under vs. 25. The Anukr., in counting the syllables of 36, restores both the elided initial a's.

| Verses 38 and 39 are addressed to the oblation-carts. The rearrangement of the RV. pādas in the AV. text is of such critical interest that it is worth a little space to exhibit the method to the eye. — The yuje  $\tau dm$  etc. of the RV, seems to be clearly prefatory, and probably few will deny that the RV. order is the more nearly original, and that the AV. order and readings are secondary.

38. Both from here and from yonder let them (du.) aid me.

As ye (du.) [neut.] went pressing on (root yat) like two twins, godloving men (mánusa) bring you forward; sit ye, [each] on thine own place, knowing [it]; ----

See my added note just preceding the translation of verse 38.

In this and the three following verses we have the Lentire ] RV. hymn x. 13, | except its last verse, the fifth, and | except its vs. I d. | See introduction, page 848, top. | This verse is its 2 a, b, c [ its d follows at the beginning of our next verse ], with a pada prefixed as our a that forms no part of the RV. hymn. The first two verses are addressed

to the two havirdhānas, or vehicles or vessels in which the soma-stalks are brought to the place of pressing; | cf. our comm., p. 158, and Sāyana on RV. |. The reason of the introduction of the hymn here is altogether obscure (unless it be the occurrence of the word yama in 38 b), and Kāuç. has no use for it. In a, our mss., so far as noted, accent  $max{t}$ , but SPP. mentions [only one] among his [as reading  $max{t}$ ]; and both editions give mā, as is undoubtedly correct. RV., in b, accents āltam, which, of course, is alone grammatically possible; but both AV. editions have āitām, with all the mss. TA. (in vi. 5. 1) also has the verse, and differs from RV. only in having *etam* : | so, indeed, the Calc. ed., text and comm.! étam (not etám, pron.) can only be an imperative : but the Poona ed., text and comm., has āltam, like RV. |. Our text has sīdatam in d, with RV. and a part of our mss. (not O.Op.R.D.K. | which read badly -tām |); but SPP. admits -tām, in spite of its inappropriateness, because | -tam is supported by | only one of his authorities and the comm. | Is the consentancousness of the mss. in the blundering -tām possibly due to a reminiscence of the correct asidatām of the immediately preceding context in TA.? cf. the case at x. 6. 17, and note. | Vidāne might be from vid 'find'; the comm. glosses it with janati. One might conjecture that altam in b is for  $\bar{a} \circ \bar{a} / tam$ , 'came,' but neither *pada*-text views it in that way. The verse cannot be made a full tristubh without violent resolutions in the first pada -- which is, of course, properly prose. | Considering the textual inaccuracies in the tradition of this passage, perhaps it is not too hold to suggest the query whether a mā has been lost: itáç ca mā amútaç cavatām mā would be a perfect tristubh pāda. | Vāit. (15.11) makes vss. 38 and 39 accompany in the agnistoma ceremony the driving up of the two havirdhanas.

39. Be ye comfortable (? svásastha) for our soma.

I yoke for you ancient worship (bráhman) with obeisances; the song (clóka) goes forth like a patron  $(s\bar{u}ri)$  on his road; let all the immortals hear that.

[See my added note just preceding the translation of verse 38.]

The verse is pāda **d** of the RV. vs. x. 13. 2 [of which pādas **a**, **b**, **c** immediately precede in our AV. text], followed by pādas **a**, **b**, **c** of the RV. vs. 1. RV. accents in **a** svāsasthé: [the AV. accent seems wrong:] both pada-texts divide suoās-. RV. further reads in [its **b**, our] **c**, etu and sūrés, and at the end amitasya putrāh. The RV. verse is found also in VS. (xi 5) and MS. (in ii. 7. 1) with the same readings throughout as in RV.; and in TS. (iv. 1. 1<sup>2</sup>), which reads for our **c** vi clokā yanti pathyd 'va sūrāh, and in **d** varies from RV. etc. only by having <u>cruvánti</u>. The comm. glosses svāsasthe with sukhāsanasthe; he takes yujé as 1st sing., as it is translated above; the form might, of course, be 3d sing., like duhé, çáye, etc. [In **d**, <u>cruváttu</u> is a misprint for <u>cruvántu.</u>]

40. Three steps the form (?) ascended, it went (?) after the fourfooted one (f.) with its course (vrata); it matches the song (arka) with the syllable; in the navel of right it purifies.

The translation is purely mechanical, the verse being highly obscure, and its AV. version evidently corrupt. RV. (x. 13.3) reads in a  $p d \bar{n} c a$  (for  $tr \dot{n} u \dot{n}$ ) and *aroham*, in **b** *emi* for the absurd *āitat* (apparently a blundering extension \* of *āit*), at end of **c** *mima etām*, and in **d** *ddhi* (our *abht* has to be omitted in translation) *sdm punāmi*. It also has in a *rupás*, which SPP. admits in his text on the authority of the majority of his mss. and of the comm. (the latter takes it from root *rup*, and makes it mean *mrtah*. purusah); some of our later mss. (O.Op.R.D.) also give it, and it is to be regarded as the preferable reading, if there is such a thing in this case. In b, SPP. strangely reads in his samhitā-text āitad vr- and in his pada-text etat, his pada-mss. having etat or āit -- both, doubtless, by accidental misreadings\*; the comm., however, gives etat, and makes it qualify vratena, being itself = etena ! For nābhāu in d the comm. has yonāu.

\*[If  $\bar{a}itat$  is a "blundering extension of  $\bar{a}it$ ," one does not see why W. calls the pada-reading  $\bar{a}it$  "an accidental misreading." — Meantime, in Oertel's edition of JUB, published in JAOS. xvi., we find (i. 48, p. 125-6) sa hāi 'vain sodaçadhā "tmānam vikrtya, sārdham samāit. tad yat sārdham samāitat, tat sāmnas sāmatvam; and (iii. 38, p. 197) tā reaç çarīreņa mṛtyur anvāitat. tad yat etc. On p. 234, Oertel suggests that we might regard samāitat and anvāitat as due to dittography of the following tat, "were it not for AV. xviii. 3. 40, anvāitat, which is protected by the meter." Cf. also Henry, Revue Critique, 1894, no's 39-40, p. 146. — See also SPP's full critical notes upon the verse, p. 160. It may be added that W's O. gives -padīmámnvāttád, and his Op. ánu : āitát.]

41. For the gods he chose death; for his progeny did he not choose immortality (amrta)? Brihaspati [as] seer extended the sacrifice; Yama left  $(\bar{a}$ -ric) his dear self (?).

Or, 'the dear body (tant).' Here too the variations from the RV. version (x. 13. 4) seem to be corruptions only. RV. has kâm in **b**, correlative to that in **a**; for **c** it gives býhaspátim yajūám akruvata fsim, and at the end prá 'rirecit. The comm. explains ā rireca by samantād riktam nihsāram mṛtam kṛtavān. [See Ludwig's discussion of the verse, Ueber die krutik des RV.-textes, Abh. der k. bohmischen Gesellschaft der Wiss., 1889, no. 5, p. 46.]

42. Thou, O Agni, Jātavedas, being praised, hast carried the offerings, having made them fragrant; thou hast given to the Fathers; they have eaten after their wont (? *svadháyā*); eat thou, O god, the presented oblations.

The verse corresponds to RV. x. 15. 12, found also in VS. (xix. 66) and TS. (in ii. 6. 125). [Disregarding *ilitô*,] RV. differs only by reading *krtvî* at end of **b**; and VS. agrees with it in this, but has *kavyavāhana* for *jātavedas* in **a**; [TS. agrees with AV. throughout]. Ap. (in i. 10. 14) and MB. (ii. 3. 17) have a verse that agrees with this in **b** and **c**, save that MB. has *prā 'dāt* for *prā 'dās* in **c**: but their **a** is *abhūn no dāto haviso jātavedāh*; and for **d**, Ap. has *prajānann agne punar apy ehi devān*, while MB. reads *p. a. p. ehi yonim*. The second half-verse occurs again below as 4. 65 **c**, **d**. Kāuç. (89. 13) makes the verse, with 4. 88, and with two verses not found elsewhere, accompany the feeding of the fire at the end of the *pindapitryajña*. [The forms *dvāt* and *aksan* are treated, *Gram.* § 890 a and § 833 a. As for the sandhi *dhah* of the mss., see note to Prāt. i. 94.]

43. Sitting in the lap of the ruddy ones (f.), assign ye wealth to your mortal worshiper  $(d\bar{a}cvans)$ ; of that good, O Fathers, present ye to your sons; do ye bestow  $(dh\bar{a})$  refreshment here.

The verse is found, without variant, as RV. x. 15. 7 and VS. xix. 63. The comm. glosses aruninām in a as arunavarnānām māt $\bar{r}n\bar{a}m$ , without further explanation. Kāuç. does not quote the verse.

44. Ye fire-sweetened Fathers, come hither; sit on each seat, wellconducting ones; eat on the *barhis* the presented oblations, and assign to us wealth having all heroes.

The verse is RV. x. 15. 11 through three pādas, RV. having for  $\mathbf{d}$ :  $\delta th\bar{d}$  rayin sárvavīram dadhātana; it also reads attā [p. attā] in c; and three other texts (VS. xix. 59; TS. in ii. 6. 12<sup>2</sup>; MS. in iv. 10. 6) agree throughout with it. The comm., too, gives atta and dadhātana. The Anukr. does not heed that we need at the end dadhātana to make a full jagatī. For the use of the verse by Kāuç., with 45 and 46 and other verses, see under 1. 51; for its use by Vāit., with 45 and other verses, see under 1. 44 and 51.

45. Called unto [are] our delectable (somya) Fathers, to dear deposits on the *barhis*; let them come; let them listen here; let them bless, let them aid us.

The verse is RV. x. 15. 5, which differs only by omitting the meter-disturbing *nas* in **a**. Other texts (VS. xix. 57; TS. ii. 6. 12<sup>3</sup>; MS. iv. 10. 6) agree with RV.; but TS. combines *té avantu* in **d**. [Our **d** recurs at TB. ii. 6. 16<sup>2</sup>.] The use of the verse in Kāuç. and Vāit. is the same as that of vs. 44. The comm. glosses *nidhtsu* by *nidhīyamānesu havihsu*.

46. They who, our father's fathers, who [his] grandfathers, followed after  $(?anu-h\bar{a})$  the soma-drinking, best ones — with them let Yama, sharing his gift of oblations, he eager with them eager, eat at pleasure.

The verse is RV. x. 15.8 (and VS. xix. 51, which has the same text with RV.); this, however, reads for a: yé nah phirve pitarah somyasah. In b our text gives, with RV. VS. annhiré (RV. p. annoùhiré), but it is by emendation, for all our mss. have anujahiré or anūjahiré, p. anuojahiré; [ the actual details seem to be as follows : anujahiré is given by Bp.P.D., while O.Op.R. have anujahire; and aniijahire is given by Bs.M.T., while K. has anūjahīré. | | SPP's authorities show the same four varying forms of the word : | he reads anujahiré, p. anuojahiré, although the majority | five | of his sain hitāauthorities and the comm. have the preferable anujah- Las against three with anujah- |. Our translation implies the manuscript reading, though it is plainly a corruption of what RV. gives. | Whether we read anujahiré (from anu-hā) or anūhiré (from anu-vah: Sāyana, ānupūrvyena . . . dattavantah; Mahīdhara, anuvahanti; Weber, 'welche nachgezogen sind '), in either case the sense is about the same. | The comm. treats the word as if it came from root hr: anukramena haranty ātmavāt kurvanti. It looks a little as if the text-makers had in mind the root *jeh*, found in the next verse. The use of the verse with its two predecessors in Kāuç. was noted under vs. 44. It is very unsuitably reckoned by the Anukr. a jagati, having only one real jagati pada; | it scans perfectly as 12 + 11 : 11 + 11 ; the corruption anujahire gives b 12 syllables, but no true jagatī character |. | W's version of c accords with Geldner's at Ved. Stud. i. 170 note. ]

47. They who thirsted panting among the gods, knowers of offering, praise-fashioned, with songs (arka) — come, O Agni, with the thousand god-revering true poets, seers sitting at the *gharmá*.

L This verse and the next correspond to RV. x. 15.9 and 10; but AV. makes the third pāda of 9 change place with the third pāda of 10: cf. the shuffling at xviii. 2. 2 and note. The RV. verse occurs also in TB. ii. 6.  $16^2$  and MS. iv. 10. 6. All these read in **d** 

861

kavyālļ pitfibhis after satyāls; and TB. has in **a** tātrpús, and in **b** hotrāvfdhas. Nearly all our mss., but, according to his account, only one of SPP's, accent rstbhis in **d.\*** The comm. glosses jēhamānās with prayatamānās; his explanation of the strange compound stomatasta is in part lost; he understands by gharma the pravargya somaoffering; and he paraphrases sahasram by aparimitam dhanam yathā bhavati. This verse and the next are used by Kāuç. (87.22) as explained under 2.34. \*[SPP. plausibly suggests that the madhyodātta of rstbhis in this vs. and the next is to be accounted for by the madhyodātta of the corresponding word in RV., to wit, pitfibhis. If he is right, the case is very probably similar to that of rstbhyas at xix. 22. 14 (cf. the çistbhyas of many mss. in the next vs. !) and to those noted under xiv. 2. 59: other cases at xix. 22. 9, 10; 38. 1 d.]

48. The true, oblation-eating, oblation-drinking [ones], that [go] in alliance (*sarátham*) with the gods, with strong (*turá*) Indra—come hitherward, O Agni, with the beneficent, exalted (*pára*), ancient seers, sitting at the *gharmá*.

The RV., in the corresponding verse (x. 15. 10 a, b, d, 9 c) [see under our vs. 47], reads  $d\dot{a}dh\bar{a}n\bar{a}s$  in b for *turcina*, and *pitribhis* in d for *rsibhis* — which again all our mss. save one (Op.), but of SPP's only one, accent *rsibhis* (as in 47 d) [see my note marked with a \* under 47]. In c (see under vs. 47), MS. reads *arvāk* (but its *pada*-ms. *arvān*), [while TB. (ii. 6. 16<sup>2</sup>) reads as AV. RV.]. The verse is used in Kāuç. only with its predecessor, which see.

49. Approach (*upa-srp*) thou this mother earth (*bhůmi*), the wide-expanded earth (*prthivi*), the very propitious; the earth (*prthivi*) [is] soft as wool to him who has sacrificial gifts; let her protect thee on the forward road in front.

The RV., in the corresponding verse (x. 18. 10), reads *yuvatis* for the repetitious *prthivi* in c, and, at the end of d, *nirrter upásthāt*; and TA. (in vi. 7. 1) agrees in general with RV., but substitutes the [modernized] equivalent form *nirrtyās*; it also has the real variants  $d\acute{a}ksināvati$  in c [and *npásthe* in d]. SPP. makes no remark on *ñrnamradās*, but three of our *pada*-mss. [Bp.D.Kp.] have the blundering division *ñrnamradāh*, and nearly all our *samhtā*-mss. (not R.) correspondingly *ñrnammradās*: the blunder grows, of course, out of the equivalence in grammatical theory of *mr* and *mmr*. The verse (according to the comm., vss. 49-51) is used [Kāuç. 86. 10] with 2. 50 (see under that verse) in covering the bones.

50. Swell thou up, O earth; do not press down; be to him easy of access, easy of approach; as a mother her son with her skirt (*sic*), do thou, O earth (*bhūmi*), cover him.

The corresponding verse in RV. (x. 18. 11) has at end of **b** suparancand. TA. (in vi. 7. 1) has in **a** úchmancasva and vi bādhithās, in **b** -vancand, and at end of **d** bhūmi viņu. We had the latter half-verse above, as 2. 50 **c**, **d**. The comm. paraphrases uchvancasva with ucchūnāvayavā pulakitā bhava. [W. appears to follow the comm. in rendering úc chrancasva by 'swell thou up.' I do not see why he quit his old version, 'open thyself.' In my Reader, p. 385, I said "Note the meaning of çvanc ['open itself; receive in open arms (as a maid her lover)'] and its concinnity with the metaphor of yuvati" [of the vs. which precedes alike in RV. and AV.]. At RV. x. 142.6,

Ludwig renders *úc chv-* by 'gane empor': cf. Eggeling's version of *ucchvañka* and the context at  $\zeta B$ . v. 4. 19. In neither RV. passage does Sāyaņa seem convincing.]

51. Let the earth kindly remain swelling up, for let a thousand props support (upa-cri) it; let these houses, dripping with ghee, pleasant, be forever a refuge for him there (dira).

[As to uchvánc-, see note to vs. 50.] The verse is RV. x. 18. 12, which in c reads bhavantu for syonas. TA. (in vi. 7. 1) has in a úchmánc- [so Calc. ed.: Poona has ucchmánc-] and ht [tlsthast] for sú [tisthatu]; in b it leaves crayantām unaccented (if it be not a misprint); [so Calc.: Poona has it rightly crity-:] in c it [has madhuccúto for ghrtaccúto, and] omits syonas (or bhavantu); [and begins d with vlcváhā: so accented in both ed's, as if it were two words, as in RV. i. 52. 11; 130. 2 (áhā vlcvā); iii. 54. 22]. The comm. reads in b mithas, but explains it as if mitas (miyamānā oşadhayah). The Anukr. takes no notice of the extra syllable in a.

52. I brace up (*ut-stabh*) the earth from about thee; setting down this clod (? *logá*), let me take no harm; this pillar do the Fathers maintain for thee; let Yama there make seats for thee.

The corresponding RV. verse (x. 18. 13) reads in c-d dhārayantu te<sup>t</sup> trā [p. te átra], and ends with minotu. The TA. (in vi. 7. 1) reads tabhnomi in a [despite the interposition of te: an interesting variant; cf. Gram. § 185 c, aty aṣṭhāt etc.]; in b, it substitutes, as do two or three mss. (including our O.) and the comm., lokdm [surd] for logám [sonant: cf. note to ii. 13. 3]; at the juncture of c and d it agrees with RV. [-yantu te<sup>t</sup> trā]; and ends with sādanāt te minotu. [As to sādanāt te, cf. the contrary blunders at xv. 10. 2; xviii. 2. 3, note.] Nearly all the mss. (all save our R. and one of SPP's) have rişau at end of b; but both editions emend to riṣam [as RV. reads]. Our mss. vary in accent between sthāṇām and sthāṇām; in explanation of etām sthāṇām, the comm. says etām prasiddhām sthāṇām tava gṛhanirmāṇāya. As a triṣṭubh, the verse has really three syllables in excess instead of one. Kāuç. quotes it (86. 8) in the ceremony of interment of the bones, with the direction logān yathāparu, doubtless '[laying] clods for each several joint'; the comm. does not notice this.

53. This bowl, O Agni, do not warp (vi-hvr); [it is] dear to the gods and the delectable [Fathers]; this bowl here for the gods to drink from — in it let the immortal gods revel.

The RV. has in the corresponding verse (x. 16. 8) csd for aydm in c, and at the end mādayante; TA. (in vi. 1. 4) reads in a *jihvaras*, and, like RV., csd in c. The Kāuç. (81.9) makes it accompany the laying of the *idā*-bowl on the head of the corpse on the funeral pile, when the deceased's sacrificial implements are disposed about him to be burned with him. The irregularity of the verse (12 + 11 : 10 + 11 = 44) is unnoticed by the Anukr.

54. The bowl that Atharvan bore full to Indra the vigorous, in that he makes a draught of what is well done; in that, soma (*indu*) ever purifies itself.

The comm. supplies *yajāasya* to *sukrtasya*, and, as subject of *krnoti*, *rtvijāin gaņaļ*. [The verse scans as 8 + 12:11 + 11.] — [See my note on Part VII., above, p. 848.] 55. What of thee the black bird (*çakund*) thrust at, the ant, the serpent, or also the beast of prey (*çvápada*), let the all-eating  $\lfloor viçva-ád \rfloor$  Agni make that free from discase, and the soma that hath entered the Brahmans.

The verse is RV. x. 16. 6 without variant. TA. has it also, in vi. 4. 2. TA. reads in c [for viçvâd ('all-consuming') agadám, the curiously perverted] víçvād ('from every') anruám [which is glossed by sarvasmād upadravād ruarahitam upadravarahitam]. In d it has brāhmaņám (also, in the printed [Calc.] text, āvivlçesa; but its comm. [Calc.] explains brāhmaņe and āviveça); [in the Poona ed. the comm. seems to show an alternative reading, either brāhmaņe or brāhmaņam, glossed by etadīye brāhmaņaçarīre; and it reads of course āviveça]. [Our pratīka is cited by Keçava, p. 368<sup>10</sup>, as yat te krṣṇaḥ çakunīty rcā: is çakunī a blunder? cf. idám yát krṣṇaḥ çakúnis, vii. 64. 1, 2.]

In Kāuç, the verse is used (80.5) in the very introduction of the adhyāya, before the handling of the corpse begins; and Keçava says it is in case the man dies of the bite of a crow or ant or the like; the comm. makes the same condition, and adds that the wounded place is to be burned with fire; this is then probably the meaning of Kāuçika's direction *ity avadīpayati*. The verse appears again (83.20) in connection with the strewing and covering of the bone-relics.

56. Rich in milk are the herbs; rich in milk is my milk; what is the milk of the milk of the waters, therewith let one beautify (cubh) me.

[The translation implies (instead of the *cumbhantu* of the Berlin text) the reading *cumbhatu*, which is read by most of SPP's authorities and some of W's and adopted by SPP. Two or three of SPP's, and W's Op., have *cumbhata* (a blend of AV. *cumbhatu* and RV. *cundhata*?). For the misuse of *cumbh* for *cundh*, see note to vi. 115. 3.] The corresponding verse in RV. is x. 17. 14, which has *vácas* instead of *páyas* at end of **b**; for **c**, the less repetitious *apám páyasvad it páyah*, and at the end *cundhata*. TS. (in i. 5. 10<sup>2</sup>) and TB. (in iii. 7. 47) have again a quite different version : namely, for **b**, *páyasvad virúdhām páyah*; for **c**, our **c**; for **d**, *téna mám indra sám srja*. Ppp. also has the verse [in xx.] with *vacas* in **b**. Its former half appeared above, as iii. 24. 1 **a**, **b**, likewise with *vácas* 1 n Kāuç. (82. 9), it is used in the ceremonies of the first day after cremation, with strewing tufts of *kuça*-grass; the comm., however, says instead that it accompanies a bath taken immediately after the cremation of the dead body. The comm. supplies Varuna, as god of the waters, for subject of the concluding verb.

57. Let these women, not widows, well-spoused, touch themselves with ointment, with butter; tearless, without disease, with good treasures, let the wives ascend first to the place of union.

This verse (= RV. x. 18. 7; TA. vi. 10. 2) was found above, as xii. 2. 31, where see : it is not used by Kāuç. in the book of funeral and ancestral ceremonies.

58. Unite thyself (sam.gam) with the Fathers, with Yama, with thy sacred and charitable works in the highest firmament; abandoning what is reproachful, come again home; — let him unite himself with a body, very splendid.

The corresponding verse in RV. (x. 14.8) reads in c hitridya, and in d gachasva, continuing the general construction of the verse. The first half is found also in TA. (in vi. 4. 2), which has svadhåbhis for yaména, and adds after it another sám. We had the last pāda above, as 2. 10 d. The mss. are divided between ávadyam and avadyám in c; both editions give avadyám [with RV.].

59. They that are our father's fathers, that are [his] grandfathers, that entered the wide atmosphere — for them may the autocratic (*svarify*) second life today shape our bodies as he will.

The first half-verse we had above as 2.49 a, b and its prior pāda also at 3.46 ; the second half-verse corresponds to the second half of RV. x. 15. 14 (and VS. xix. 60), but is much corrupted, even to unintelligibility, so that the translation is only mechanical. RV. reads tibhile svaråd dsunātim etām yathāvaçām tanvām kalpayasva; VS. has tébhyas and kalpayāti, but the rest like RV. The last pāda is identical with vii. 104. I d above.

60. Let the mist be weal for thee; let the frost fall down [as] weal for thee; O cool one, possessing cool ones; O refreshing one, possessing refreshing ones; mayest thou be with weal a she-frog in the waters; kindly pacify thou this fire.

Or, 'this Agni.' — Of the ritual use of this verse, the comm. simply says that with it one is to sprinkle the bones of a Brahman with the plants referred to, dipped in water and milk; Kāuç. (82. 26) combines it with 3. 5 [doubtless rather 3. 5 and 6: see under 3. 5], in the manner explained under that verse. [Partly because W. overlooked some TA. variants, it seemed necessary for me to rewrite the next paragraph; but I could not easily indicate my changes and additions by the usual ell-brackets.]

The main stock of this verse (pādas c-f) is RV. x. 16. 14 and is the third verse of TA. vi. 4. I : in both these texts it stands next after the verse which corresponds to our 3. 6 above, namely after RV. x. 16.  $I_3 = TA$ . vi. 4.  $I^2$ : see note to 3. 6. Considering how closely it is connected with our 3.6 in sense and in position in those texts, it is strange that it should be so removed from 3.6 in AV. - In d part of the mss. (including our Bp.P.M.I.: also the comm.) read hlådake hlådake; TA. has hlåduke hlåduke. For e, RV. has mandukyà sú sám gamah (of which our version, p. manduki : aposú : çám : bhuvah, is no better than a corruption), and TA., again differently, mandukyasu (as an adjective, supplying apsu) samgamáya; and the comm., finally, mandukyā 'sya çam bhava: moreover, for the cám of both ed's, some of our mss. (O.Op.R.) and one of SPP's have sám. In e, at the end, RV. has harsaya and TA. camáya : our camaya is better than either. --- To the main stock of the AV. verse are prefixed two pādas which agree nearly with the second half of the next verse but one in TA. (vs. 5: interposed as vs. 4 is matter that corresponds to our i. 6. 4 and xix. 2. 1, 2): but for our bhavatu the TA. has varșatu; and for our çám te prușvă, it has çám u prșthā (so Calc.: the Poona ed. accepts prsvā but gives prsthā as variant): the comm. glosses prsvā with jalabinduh. --- For Bloomfield's discussion, see under vs. 6. Bergaigne comments on the verse, Rel. Véd. i. 84, note, ii. 472.

61. Let Vivasvant make for us freedom from fear, he who is wellpreserving, quick-giving (?-ddnu), well-giving; let these heroes be many here; let there be in me prosperity (pustd) rich in kine, rich in horses. About half of SPP's mss., and one of ours (Op.), accent at the beginning vivasvān. The comm. explains jīrádānus alternatively by jīvanasya kartā and vayohāner (as if from jr 'waste away') dātā. [Pāda **a** is found (with metrical rectification) as noted under vs. 62.] The third pāda is identical with xii. 2. 21 **d**. By Kāuç. 81. 48 the verse is used at the end of the cremation ceremony with an oblation on the north; and again (82. 36), vss. 61 and 62 accompany each [separately : see the comm., p. 176<sup>13</sup>] a sthālīpāka offering to Vivasvant at the gathering of the bone-relics, while a third offering is made with them both together [82. 37]. And further (86. 17), vss. 61-67 are used with 3. 10 in the interment of the bones (see under the latter verse); the comm. describes it thus : "in the ceremony of gathering at the cemetery, the manager and all the relatives, standing in the western part of the cemetery, should approach the departed." The comm. adds one or two more minor applications. [Verses 61 and 62 are translated by Hillebrandt, Ved. Mythol. i. 489.]

62. Let Vivasvant set us in immortality; let death go away; let what is immortal come to us; let [him] defend these men until old age; let not their life-breaths (dsu) go to Yama.

In  $\zeta \zeta S$ . iv. 16. 5, and MB. i. 1. 15 are found as the first two pādas of a verse our 62 **b** and 61 **a**. [For the *na*  $\bar{a}ttu$  of our 62 **b**, both texts have *ma*  $\bar{a}$   $g\bar{a}t$  (the *me* is incongruent with the following *nas*); and for the *vivasvān* of our 61 **a**, both have  $v\bar{a}ivasvato$ ,] thus rectifying the meter. The mss. accent *vivasvān* as in 61 **a**. We need to resolve  $m\delta$  to  $m\bar{a} u$  in **d** to make a good tristubh pāda; but the Anukr. would apparently read *mo* and balance the lack of a syllable by the redundancy of one in **c**. Possibly  $\bar{a}$  is intrusive in **c**, and the meaning was 'defend from growing old.' The ritual use of the verse was explained above, under vs. 61.

63. He who maintains himself by his might, like [birds?] in the atmosphere, poet of the Fathers, favorer (? prámati) of prayers (mati) — him praise yc, all-befriended, with oblations; may that Yama give (dhā) us to live further on.

The reading in the first pada is doubtful; our text has antdriksena, but the other edition -kse ná. Bp. and Op. read antárikse : ná, and so, apparently, SPP's pada-mss.; but our D.K. have -ksena, and with it agree our P.M.I., while O.R.T., though they give na, do not accent it; SPP's mss. are somewhat similarly at variance. The commentator's interpretation is an interpretation of antariksena; but his text (according to SPP.) reads -ksc na. Only the sense can decide, and that is quite doubtful; the translation ventured above implies -kse nd. The second half-verse occurs again below as 4. 54 c, d. One is tempted to understand viçvámitrās | so accented in both ed's with all the authorities | in c as 'O Viçvāmitras'; but this is so decidedly opposed by the accent and by the short vowel of the second syllable (which is authenticated by the padareading viqudomitrāh, while viquāmitra is never divided : see Prāt. iii. 9 and note) that I have not dared to assume it; [but the comm., ignoring these considerations, takes it as voc. For the verse in general, | the comm., as usual in a trying case, gives no help whatever; he glosses pramati with prakrstabuddhi, and mati with mantr or stotr, in apposition with pitrnām; and he makes antariksena dadhre mean (pitrn) antarā kşântena lokena dhārayati.

64. Ascend ye to the highest heaven; O seers, be not afraid; ye soma-drinkers, soma-drenchers, this oblation is made to you; we have gone to the highest light.

Encouraged by the comm. (anyān api yajamānān somam pāyayanti), the translation mends the repetition in c by violently taking  $-p\bar{a}yin$  as causative to  $-p\bar{a}$ .

65. Agai shines forth with great show (kctii); the bull roars loudly unto the two firmaments (rodasi); [even] from the end of heaven he hath attained unto me (?); in the lap of the waters the buffalo increased.

The verse corresponds to RV. x. 8. 1, and is also found as SV. i. 71 and in TA. vi. 3. 1. RV. and SV. read in a yāti; in b [which occurs again as RV. vi. 73. 1 d also], TA. has avir vi(vāni) (for arodasi); in c, RV. has the far more acceptable reading *antān upamān*, while SV. gives *antād upamām* and TA. *antād úpa mām*; [moreover, TA. accents udānad]. The AV. mss. are at variance in c; all read *antāt* save our K., which gives *antām*; the samhitā-mss. generally have *upamām* (K. -mān), and Bp. *upaomām*; but some (Op.D., also T.) and two of SPP's pada-mss. have *úpa*: mām, with TA., and with the comm.; and this last is implied by the translation, though both editions adopt *upamām*, with SV. [Pischel, Gottingische Gelehrte Anzeigen, 1897, p. 811, renders the verse.]

66. As, longing with the heart, they looked upon thee, flying up\* [as] an eagle in the firmament (ndka), golden-winged messenger of Varuṇa, busy (bhuranyi) bird (cakund) in the lair of Yama.

The RV. has the verse (x. 123. 6), and it is found also in SV. (i. 320 and ii. 1196), TB. (in ii. 5.85), and TA. (vi. 3. 1); all read alike throughout, save that the RV. (not the SV.) *pada*-text has the bad division and accent *abhi*: *dcakṣata*. Our P.M.O. have at the end *bhuraṇyâm*. It is noteworthy that vss. 65 and 66, which have no apparent connection with funeral rites, and are not used by Kāuç. save in the group 61-67, are found almost together (separated only by our 3. 7) also in the funeral collection of TA. \*[W's "up" for *úpa* may be an oversight: render perhaps 'they looked upon thee, flying onward (*úpa*) [as] an eagle '? Our comm., taking 'thee' as the dead man, construcs, 'flying unto the eagle'; but is not the verse addressed rather to Agni? cf. Griffith and comm. on TA. — Sāyaṇa, commenting on the RV. vs., says *he vena*; but in his comm. on TB. he says *he pravargyasvāmun*: an interesting diversity of opinion ! Perhaps RV. ix. 85. 11 may throw light on our verse.]

67. O Indra, bring us ability (krátu), as a father to his sons; help (ciks) us in this course (yáman), O much-invoked one; may we, living, attain to light.

The verse is RV. vii. 32. 26, found also as SV. i. 259; ii. 806, and TS. vii. 5. 74; the only variant anywhere is that TS. has *no as*-, unlingualized, in c. The comm. glosses yāmani with samsāragamane, and çikṣa by anuçādhi.

68. What vessels covered  $(api-dh\bar{a})$  with cakes the gods maintained for thee, be they for thee rich in *svadhå*, rich in honey, dripping with ghee.

The verse is repeated below as 4.25. Only one of our mss. and one of SPP's accent  $ddh\bar{a}rayan$ ; [but one of SPP's at 4.25 also accents  $ddh\bar{a}$ -].

69. What grains I scatter along for thee, mixed with sesame, rich in *svadhá*, be they for thee abundant (*vibhú*), prevailing; them let king Yama approve for thee.

According to the comm., the grains are roasted barley; and anu manyatām means 'assent to thine enjoying'; [at 4. 26 he says  $t\bar{a}$  dhānās tava bhogāya... anujānātu. It depends on Yama's favor, says Weber, Sb., 1896, p. 276, whether the dead man may have the benefit of his viaticum, or not.] The verse is nearly identical with 4. 26, and is precisely identical with 4. 43. Its meter is (9+8:8+10=35) rather irregular, and lacks a syllable of being full measure. [With an easy double sandhi in **a** (dhānānu-) and the resolutions taās and rājā anu in **d**, it scans very well as 8+8:8+12.] Kāuç. (85. 27) directs that grains be scattered ' with verses that have the sign (salinīga)'; and Keçava states these verses to be the two that begin yās te dhānās (doubtless 3. 69 [=4.43] and 4. 26, since 3. 70 is evidently not salinīga), also 4. 32 and 33, and another not found in the text; the comm. says that such grains are to be put upon the bones with the two vss. that begin with yās te dhānās, [by which he seems to mean 3. 69 (=4. 43) and 4. 26 rather than 3. 69 and 70: at any rate, he immediately cites 3. 70 for another use].

Lt is hardly doubtful that the black variety of sesame (*krṣṇatila*) is meant here, and that it is used, like the black rice and black victim, on account of its color: Pischel, GGA., 1897, p. 813. Pischel's view is confirmed by the fact that, if an offering to the Manes is performed apropos of some joyful occurrence in the family, barley is substituted for sesame: so Craddhakalpa, iv. 5, as cited by Caland, *Totenverehrung*, p. 37.]

70. Give back, O forest tree, him who is deposited here with thee, that in Yama's seat he may sit speaking counsels.

Two of our *pada*-mss. (Bp.Kp.) read *vidátha* in **d**. The verse is repeated, according to Käuç. (83. 19), when the bone-relics are removed from the root of a tree, at which they had been for some time deposited: the comm. adds "provided they have been previously so deposited." It reads more as if it were originally addressed to the (hollowed) tree in which a corpse is buried (in which case, *teulyi* ought to be rendered 'in thee'). [With regard to *vanaspate*, see my note to 2. 25, above: and as to *vidáthā*, see Geldner, ZDMG. lii. 735.]

71. Take hold, O Jātavedas; let thy seizure (*háras*) be with sharpness (*téjas*-); his body do thou consume; then set him in the world of the well-doing.

Or (in b) 'let thy flame be brilliant.' The verse is used  $\lfloor K\bar{a}u\varsigma$ . 81.33 with 2.4 and others (see under 2.4) at the lighting of the funeral pile.

72. What Fathers of thine went away earlier and what later, for them let there go a brook of ghee, hundred-streamed, overflowing.

The second half of the verse is nearly identical with 4.57 c, d below. The mss. are not agreed about  $kuly\bar{a}l$  'tu [so both ed's]: some (including our R. and [one or] two of SPP's) read  $kuly\bar{a}$  'tu. Our Bp. has  $kuly\bar{a} : etu$ ; but Op. accents  $-y\bar{a}$ , and Kp. has kulyaoetu. The noun is elsewhere accented  $kuly\bar{a}$ , and hence our text ought doubtless to be  $kuly\bar{a}l$  'tu [so SPP's B.]. The verse is twice used with 4.57 in Kāuç.: once (86. 2) in the ceremony of interment of the bones, on filling a dish (caru) with butter and honey and depositing it by the head [see note to 4.16]; and again (88.17), in the  $pindapitryaj\bar{n}a$ , on smearing the pindas with sacrificial butter.

73. Ascend thou this, gaining (ud-mrj) vigor (vdyas); thine own [people] shine here greatly; go forth, unto [them], — be not left behind midway — unto the world of the Fathers that is first there.

-xviii. 4

Nearly all the mss. (all save our I.O.R., and one or two of SPP's) accent in **a** unmrjānas, which our edition accordingly reads; SPP. makes the proper emendation to jānás (cf. mrjānās, vs. 17, note). The comm. glosses váyas with antarikṣam, because viyanti gacchanty asmin / and then of course makes it the object of ā roha, explaining unmrjānas as çarīrād utkramaņena svātmānam çodhayan. For the use of the verse in Kāuç. 85. 24, with 2. 37, see under the latter. | Cf. p. 848,  $\P$  8.|

[Here ends the third anuvāka, with 1 hymn and 73 verses. The quoted Anukr. says saptatis tryadhikā parah: cf. page 814.]

## 4. [Funeral verses.]

[Atharvan. — ekonanavati.<sup>1</sup> yamadevatyam mantroktabahudevatyam ca (81. pitrdevatyam;<sup>2</sup> 88. āgneyī; 89. cāndramasī). trā stubham: 1, 4, 7, 14, 36, 60. bhurij; 2, 5, 11, 29, 50, 51, 58. jagatī; 3. 5-p. bhurig atijagatī; 6, 9, 13 5-p. çakvarī (9. bhurij; 13. 3-av.); 8. 5-p. atiçakvarī; 12. mahābrhatī; 16-24. 3-p. bhurin mahābrhatī; 26, 33, [43]. uparistādbrhatī<sup>3</sup> (26. virāj); 27. yājusī gāyatrī; [25], 31, 32, 38, 41, 42, 55-57, 59, 61. anustubh<sup>3</sup> (56. kakummatī); 39, 62, 63. āstārapankti (39. purovirāj; 62. bhurij; 63. svarāj); 49. anustubgarbhā tristubh; 53. purovirāt satahpankti; 66. 3-p. svarād gāyatrī; 67. 2-p. ārcy anustubh; 68, 71. āsury anustubh; 72-74, 79. āsurī pankti; 75. āsurī gāyatrī; 76. āsury usņih; 77. dātvī jagatī; 78. āsurī tristubh; 80. āsurī jagatī; 81. prājāpatyā 'nustubh; 82. sāmnī brhatī; 83, 84. sāmnī tristubh; 85. āsurī brhatī (67-68, 71-86. 1-av.)<sup>4</sup>; 86, 87. 4-p. usņuh (86. kakummatī; 87. çankumatī); 88. 3-av. pathyāpankti; 89. 5-p. pathyāpankti.]

**[Notes to the Anukramanī-excerpts.]** <sup>1</sup>[In giving this item, the Anukr. repeats the half-cloka from the Old Anukr., given at p. 814.] <sup>2</sup>[The mss. read *namo vali pitara iti pitrdevatyam : ādyā* (vs. 81) *prājāpatyānustup* etc. By using the neuter *-devatyam*, perhaps the Anukr. means the whole decad-*sūkta* (the 28th), except vss. 88 and 89.] <sup>3</sup>[Verses 43 and 25 were defined by the Anukr. under 3.69 and 3.68, and the definitions are not repeated here.] 4[In stating that vss. 71-86 are *I-arv.*, the Anukr. uses the ... *itiprabhrti*... *ityātas* that appears at Kāuç. 81.44: cf. 85. 26 and 86.17, where also we have the strange *ityātas*.]

[Parts of the hymn are **prose**: vss. 27, 67-68, 71-74, and 76-87: so Whitney, Index, p. 6. As to vs. 75, it is hard to say whether the fact that it scans easily is significant or not. Perhaps we have here a mere casual lapse into meter (cf. p. 772,  $\P$  5); or it may be that the *mantra*, as the first of the sequence to which it belongs, is intentionally metrical, while the *āhas* of vss. 76 and 77 destroy the rhythm of those vss. The comm., p. 240<sup>20</sup>, lumps the whole decad-sākta, vss. 71-80, together, and says *etat sāktam sarvam yajurmantrātmakam*.]

[Only one verse (49) is found in Pāipp. As to the significance of the occurrence, see under vs. 49.]

**[Ritual uses.** — The Vāitāna naturally makes few citations from this hymn: vs. 59 is used in the *agnyādheya*; and vss. 28 and 75-77 in the *agniṣtoma*. But in the *sākamedha*, particularly in the offering to the manes (Vāit. 9. 8) in one of the seasonal sacrifices, vs. 71 finds application; as also, I suspect, vss. 72 and 73, though the latter are not so recognized by Garbe. Something like two thirds of the verses find use in Kāuç, and those uses are all in the eleventh *adhyāya*, the *pitṛmedha* and *piṇḍapitṛyajña*, as noted above, p. 814, end. Verse 48 constitutes no real exception. For all ritual uses, see under the verses.]

LThe provenience of the material of this hymn. — Whereas in the preceding three hymns a very large or a large part of the verses are found also in RV., in this hymn

only a small part, to wit 12 verses out of 89, are so found. However much or little weight is to be laid on the fact that these 89 verses are called a "hymn," the hymn is, at any rate, the longest in the AV. In general, the collection looks as if it were made of after-gleanings from the stock material of tradition (cf. Weber, Sb. 1896, p. 278); although indeed some parts of it appear to be the reflex of what we may well regard as very ancient elements in the ritual: such are the giving of the viaticum to the dead (vss. 16 ff.) and the invocation of the ancestors (vss. 75-77) and so on. The relation of the order of occurrence in the AV. text to the order of use in the ritual is obscure and in part indeterminable. But a reason for the arrangement is sometimes to be found: thus the practices that go with vss. 51 and 52 are separated in the ritual; but the vss. are set together in the text because of several notable surface-resemblances between them. — The RV. verses are as follows:

our $28 = RV. x. 17. 11$	our 59 = RV. vi. 2.6
our $29 = RV. x. 107.4$	our $60 = RV.$ ix. 86. 16
our $45 = RV. x. 17.7$	our $6t = RV. i. 82. 2$
our $46 = RV. x. 17.9 ab 8 cd$	our 69 = RV. i. 24. 15
our $47 = RV. x. 17.8 ab 9 cd$	our 88 = RV. v. 6. 4
our 58 = RV. ix. 86. 19	our 89 = RV. i. 105. 1

The verses that recur in the sixth *prapāļhaka* of the TA. (if we count those in TA. vi. 8 as five: see note to vs. 16) also number about a dozen: to wit, 16 ff., 28, 30, 34, 35, 51, 55, 57.

LFor the purpose of the following discussion, the hymn may be divided into Parts; of which only some, not all, are of critical significance.

- **Part I., verses 1–15.** Refers in general to the *ignis rogalis* and its belongings and is treated as a ritual unit by Kāuç. 81.45.
- Part II., verses 16-27, and 28. Offering of the viaticum for the dead : cakes, with milk, etc. etc.; then sesame. — The unity of vss. 16-24 is sufficiently marked by their external form alone. Verse 28 seems to me to belong to this part, and to be appended for use as an expiation in case of any spilling of the liquids of the foregoing libations: cf. TA. comm., vikşarantam abhimantrayate etc.
- **Part III., verses 29-44.** This seems to me to be in general essentially a continuation of l'art II., but with certain disturbing elements. The water poured on the bones (29-30, but also 36), the garment (31), the black sesame (32-34, but also 43), and the  $v\bar{a}ny\bar{a}$  cow's milk (35) may well be taken as parts of the dead man's viaticum. If vs. 42 be part of the *dhuvana* (Caland), it is not far in time from the viaticum ceremony, I suppose. But the ritual use of 44 (corpse on cart) certainly precedes the cremation; while that of vss. 38-41, if rightly reckoned to the *pinda* ceremony (so comm.), may well follow it by a long interval. As for vs. 37, see under the verse.
- **Part IV., verses 45-47.** To Sarasvatī with the Fathers, RV. x. 17. 7-9, recurring as Part VII. of hymn 1. The *trea* is a ritual unit, used (so comm.) immediately after the cremation.
- Part V., verses 48-70. Verse 48 and the group 58-60 and vs. 67 and vs. 70 find no use in Kāuç. The comm. assigns a use to 48, but only by a blunder; and the group he perhaps considers as a part of the *pitrmedha* ritual; and in reporting the use of 66, he groups with it 67. — Vss. 49 and 50 stand side by side in Kāuç. : with 49 the liturge takes the two bullocks that drew the hearse, and with 50 he accepts his fee. Vs. 51 goes with the strewing of *darbha* on the pyre: and 52 would seem (see under 52) to belong with it, but is put to a use quite different and later in

natural order, the forming of a human figure with the bones (Kāuç. 85. 25). If the latter use be the correct one, then the acts that go with vss. 53 and 54 (covering bones with *palāça* and stones) form a reasonable sequel to it; although, to be sure, they also form a sequel to vs. 36 (besprinkling of the bones), both naturally and in the text of Kauç. If I am right in understanding vs. 55 to accompany the patting of the grave-mound (see under 55), then the piling thereof (with vss. 66 and 67) must intervene between 54 and 55. Vs. 56, a symbolical taking of his hereditament by the oldest son, must belong to an earlier stage of the proceedings. One use of 57 is in the viaticum ceremony (described under vs. 16); the other is in the much later pinda or cake ceremony. Here too, if anywhere (but see under 58), belongs the group 58-60; and the group 61-65, with 68, seems to belong also to the same cake ceremony (61, cake-sprinkling; 68, strewing the barhis to receive the cakes; 62, strewing of sesame on that barhis; 63, dismissal of the Fathers; 64, offering of grains with the pot-offering noticed below under Part VI.; 65, the "withdrawal of the fires," apparently the ultimate act in this connection). Vss. 66 and 67 (mound-piling) were mentioned above; and so was 68, which clearly suits the action immediately preceding that of 62. Vs. 69 accompanies a much earlier act, the explatory bath taken just after the cremation. Vs. 70, which has no use in Kāuç., seems to me to be put here because, like 69, it contains a prayer for release from the bonds of Varuna.

**Part VI.**, verses 71-87. — All this passage of unbroken prose (but see p. 869, ¶ 5) belongs to the *pindapitryajña*. The comm., p. 2419, aptly notes that offerings to the gods are announced with *svāhā* and *vdṣaṭ* and those to the Fathers with *svadhā* and *námas*. This Part falls naturally into 5 subdivisions, each with its own manifest unity:

subdivision I = verses 71, 72, 73, 74;subdivision 2 = verses 75, 76, 77;subdivision 3 = verses 78, 79, 80;subdivision 4 = verses 81, 82, 83, 84, 85;subdivision 5 = verses 86, 87.

Subdivisions 1 and 3 accompany the ceremony of strewing three handfuls [of grain? trin adhomustin, Kec.], and they dovetail each into the other in such wise that they are used (Kāuç. 87.8) thus: 71, with 78; 72, with 73 or 79; 74, with 80. Thus the second handful is strewn while the hturge repeats idam " somāya pitrmate svadhā" (72) either with pitrbhyah somavadbhyah (73) or else with pitrbhyo antariksasadbhyah (79). The appropriateness of the linkage in each of the three cases is palpable. The second use of the mantras of subdivisions 1 and 3 is with the pot-offering (Kāuç. 88. 1-4). - Then comes (88. 11) the offering of the cakes with subdivision 2 (pindapradānamantrā evam āmnāyante : comm.). These first three subdivisions are clearly triplets; and their symmetry is marred only by vs. 73, which is simply an alternative of vs. 79, awkwardly interjected after vs. 72 for lack of a better place. --- Subdivision 4 consists of doublets (5 in all): to wit, 8 ascriptions of homage to the Fathers' various attributes, 4 expressed by nouns and 4 by substantival relative clauses, and a final doublet (85) with namas and swadha. --Subdivision 5 consists of 2 entirely symmetrical 4-membered mantras, the prior one relating to yonder world, the latter to this.

Part VII., verses 88 and 89. — Verse 88 accompanies the laying on of fuel just before the final withdrawal of the fires (explained under 65). Why the Tritaverse, 89, should be here, is, as Whitney observes, very obscure.]

Translated: as AV. hymn, by Ludwig, pages 488-493; Weber, Sb. 1896, pages 277-294; Griffith, ii. 247-258; also the occasional RV. verses by the RV. translators. — Weber's analysis etc., p. 277-8, may be consulted.

I. Ascend to [your] generatrix, ye Jātavedases; by [roads] that the Fathers travel I make you ascend together; the offering-carrier, sent out, hath carried the offerings; united (yukta), set ye him who hath sacrificed in the world of the well-doing.

The translation implies emendation in a to jātavedasas, though all the mss., and hence both editions, read jātān-; all, also, accent in c havyavāhas, and SPP. has that in his text; our edition makes the obviously necessary emendation to  $-v\bar{a}hds$  | cf. the opposite error in vs. 10 |. All our samhutā-mss. | see Prāt. i. 94, note | make in c the false combination *ávādh dh-*; SPP. says nothing of his, and both editions have correctly ávād dh. Our O.Op.R., and one of SPP's mss., read in c isita ; the comm. also has it, explaining it with isitāny istāni. The comm. also understands jātavedasas as vocative (probably, after his manner, simply disregarding the accent); he explains janitrim as svotpādikām aranım; and the Kāuç. (80. 23) takes the same view: iti prthag aranisv agnin samāropavanti; but its correctness as original sense of the verse may be strongly questioned. The comm. explains  $\bar{a}$  robata by *(aktyātmanā praviçata ;* and describes the samāropaņa as taking place "because of the absence of any further ceremonies to be performed by him, being now dead, by help of the fires." In the comm's vinivoga he says simply aranidvayam agnāu pratāpayet. All this is in the case of a person who has died away from home. But vss. 1-15 are also used nearly at the end of the cremation ceremony (Kāuç. 81. 45), with the direction ity āhitāgnim (Keç. adds upatisthate), and the comm. fills out the direction thus: citistham āhitāgnim pretam upatistheta |. The verse, as a tristubh, is redundant by a syllable | in a, which is thus a good jagati-pāda: and also by one in d, which, however, by reason of its tristubh cadence, is neither one thing nor the other |.

2. The gods, the seasons, arrange the sacrifice, the oblation, the sacrificial cake, the ladles (*srúc*), the implements (*-áyudha*) of sacrifice; with them go thou by roads that the gods travel, by which they that have sacrificed go to the heavenly (*svargá*) world.

The word *srucds* in **b** is shown by the meter to be probably an intrusion, and it is superfluous as regards the sense. [The excision of *havis* would give a better cesura.] The verse is, of course, in no way a real *jagati*. Kāuç. (81.10) directs it to be used as a sacrificial cake is laid on the breast of the corpse on the funeral pile; the comm. takes no notice of this.

3. Look thou happily  $(s\bar{a}dh\hat{u})$  along the road of righteousness, by which go the Angirases, well-doers; by those roads go thou to heaven  $(svarg\hat{a})$ , where the Adityas feed on honey; spread thou out upon the third firmament.

The last pāda we had above as ix. 5.8 d. Our O.Op.R., and one or two of SPP's mss., read *tébhyas* at beginning of c. The comm. explains *viçrayasva* in e by *viçrital*, *pratisthito bhava*. The metrical description of the Anukr. fits the verse, if we Ldccline to make two of the three easy resolutions (in c and d) by which the verse reads as five good *tristubh* pādas ].

4. Three eagles (*suparņá*) ... upon the back of the firmament (*náka*), at the summit (?*vistáp*) are set (*critá*); let the heavenly (*svargá*) worlds, filled (?) with *amŕta*, yield (*duh*) food, refreshment to the sacrificer.

The *pada*-text reads in a *māyā lli*, which is, I presume, intended to mark the word as a locative: see Prāt. i. 74 and note upon it. The comm., however, understands it as dual, and explains it as meaning *māyumantāu çabdakāriņāu vāyuparjanyāu*, because Vāyu and Parjanya are noisy in connection with clouds; for *upara*, by Nirukta 2. 21, means 'a cloud'; and the three cagles are Agni, Sūrya, and Soma! the general sense being that Vāyu and Parjanya are set over the world of the atmosphere, and the other three over the heavenly world! In c, the translation follows the comm. [and Whitney's P.M.I. and one of SPP's mss.] in reading *viṣiās* instead of *viṣiħās* (p. *viosthāħ*); it is glossed with *vyāptāħ pūrņāħ*. Neither this verse nor the preceding is quoted by Kāuç., nor has either a *vuniyoga* indicated in the comm.

5. The sacrificial spoon  $(juh\dot{n})$  sustains the sky, the offering spoon  $(upabh\hat{r}t)$  the atmosphere; the ladle  $(dhruv\dot{a})$  sustains  $\lfloor dhr \rfloor$  the earth, the support  $(pratisth\dot{a})$ ; unto me (?) let the worlds, ghee-backed, heavenly  $(svarg\dot{a})$ , yield every desire for the sacrificer.

The reading and sense at the beginning of c are very doubtful; the *pada*-mss. all give *prationām*, as if it were accusative of *pratimā*; most of the *samhutā*-mss. have *pratīmām* (our Bs. has *pratīmām* [1], and P.M. *pratīmām*; T. has *pratīmām*), and it is quoted in the comment to the Prāt. (ii. 35: so the ms.) as the same [that is, I presume, in the form *pratīmām*]. SPP. emends to *prátī `mām*, since, with his usual disregard of the accent, the comm. so reads, explaining *imām* as referring to *prthivīm*; our edition has *pratīmām*, with the majority of our earlier mss. The translation implies *prátī mām*. Simply *prátī* would rectify the meter, and give a yet better sense. The verse (13 + 11 : 12(11?) + 11 = 47) is, of course, no proper *jagatī*. This and the verse next following are used, according to Kāuç. 81. 7, as the sacrificial utensils are laid about and upon the body, to be burned with it.

6. O ladle, ascend the all-nourishing earth; stride, O offering spoon, unto the atmosphere; O sacrificial spoon, go to the sky (div) in company with the sacrificer; with the little spoon (sruvd) [as] calf, milk thou all the teeming, unirritated quarters.

The mss. in general strangely accent the two vocatives in **a** and **b** on the final syllable; all ours (save Op.s.m.), and all but one or two of SPP's, have *dhruvé* (-vá in samhitā); all ours (save Op.), and nearly all SPP's, have *upabhŕt*; all ours [save Bs.] and most of SPP's, however, accent *júhu* correctly in **c** (but P.I. have *júhū*, and M. has *júhū*); both editions make the necessary corrections. At the end, SPP, gives in his text *dhrnīyamānāh*, with the great majority of his authorities, and with the comm.\* Of our mss., only O.s.m.Op.D.R.p.m. give *-naḥ*, while O.p.m.R.s.m. have *-nāḥ*; the reading *-nāḥ* is, in my opinion, decidedly the preferable one; it is the quarters that kindly make no resistance to being milked. According to the comm., the *adhvaryu*, at time of sacrifice, holds the *upabhṛt* in his left hand, and makes oblation with the *juhū* in his right. The verse (13 + 11 : 12 + 11 + 9 = 56) counts as the Anukr. describes it. Its ritual use was given under the preceding verse. \*[The comm. assumes a very harsh change to the direct address and applies *ahṛn*- to the sacrificer: *atha pratyakṣavad uktiḥ: evani srugbhir lokatrayam prāpito yajamānas tvam ahṛniyamānaḥ*.]

7. By fords they cross the advances (*pravát*) called the great ones, by what [road] the sacrifice-makers, the well-doers, go; there did they set a

873

world for the sacrificer, when they arranged the quarters, the creatures  $(bh\bar{u}t\dot{a})$ .

[Or, 'By crossings (tirthå) they cross (tr).'] The comm. explains pravato mahir ti by prakrstā mahatīr āpadah... evam; it also makes diças and bhūtāni in **d** the joint subject of *dkalpayanta*, having before taken adadhus as an imperative (vidadhatu)! Though the verse is obscure, the probabilities are doubtless in favor of the translation as given. [Pāda **a** seems to be a reminiscence of 1.49, above, or RV. x. 14. 1. Pischel renders the vs., Ved. Stud. ii. 74.]

8. The track (*dyana*) of the Angirases is the castern fire; the Adityas' track is the householder's fire; the track of the sacrificial gifts is the southern fire; do thou, with thy limbs, whole (*sdrva*), powerful (?*çagmd*), go unto the greatness of Agni [as] disposed by *bráhman*.

Both in this verse and in the next, most of our mss. accent falsely dáksināgnis; SPP. reports it of only one of his, and only in vs. 9. The comm. glosses *cagma* with *sukhita*. The verse (11+11+11:13+11=57) counts short of what the Anukr. describes it (*atiçakvari* = 60 syll.).

9. Let the eastern fire burn (tap) thee happily (can) in front; let the householder's fire burn happily behind; let the southern fire burn refuge, defense for thee; from the north, from the midst, from the atmosphere, from each quarter, O Agni, protect him round about from what is terrible.

[Cf. vs. 11.] As to the accent of *daksināgnis* in c, see under vs. 8. The functal pile is separately, but simultaneously, kindled from each of the sacrificial fires. To make the verse *bhurij*, we have to commit the violence of scanning *agne* instead of *gne* in the last pāda (so 12 + 10 : 12 + 11 + 12 = 57). [The *a* of *agnis* in **a** and that of *agne* in **e** are of course to be clided. The insertion of another *triā* in **b** after *çâm paçcāt* rectifies the meter. In **c** we have perhaps to tolerate 5 pre-cesural syllables; but with this reservation and the rectification in **b**, all 5 pādas are faultless *tristubhs*.]

10. Ye, O Agni, having become back-carrying (? prstiváh) horses, shall with most healthful forms (tanth) carry him that has sacrificed unto the heavenly (svargá) world, where they revel in common revelry with the gods.

The doubtful word in this verse is *prstivāhas* (as both editions read). All our mss. save one (Op.), and the majority of SPP's, accent *prstivāhás*, as if nom. sing. of  $-v\bar{a}h\dot{a}$ , apparently by an error the opposite of that committed in vs. 1. Two of our later collated mss. (Op.R.), and one of SPP's by correction [as if to correspond with  $-v\bar{a}h\dot{a}s$ ], have *dçvas* before it; and the comm. also reads *açvas*, with *prastivāhas*, explaining that *prasti* is a chariot of the gods, harnessed with three horses, of which one is in front and two behind; and a *prastivāha* horse is, of course, one that draws such a chariot. [Cf. vi. 102. 2 and note.] [Four] of our mss. [Bs.M.I.T.], but only one of SPP's, leave *madanti* unaccented at the end; [Whitney's Bp.O.Op.R.K. accent it, as it should be]; the comm. reads instead *madema*. The Anukr. passes without notice the deficiency of a syllable in **b**.

11. Burn (*tap*), O Agni, happily behind, happily in front; burn him happily above, happily below; being one, O Jātavedas, triply disposed, set him collectedly (samydk) in the world of the well-doers.

[Cf. vs. 9.] The adverbs translated 'behind' etc. might with at least equal propriety be rendered 'on the west,' 'on the east,' 'on the north,' and 'on the south.' Nearly all our mss. (not Bs.I.), and half of SPP's [have the impossible] accent *jātávedas* in c; and a few (including our O.R.) have at the end *lokám*. [Although samyág enam must pass for the true AV. reading, one cannot forbear to query whether it has not displaced an original samyák tám.]

12. Let the fires, kindled, take hold happily; let the Jātavedases, making done (grta) here him that is Prajāpati's [and] sacrificial, not throw him down.

The verse (11 + 12 : 12) lacks a syllable of counting as a full *brhatī*. Two of our mss. (O.R.) read in **b** *jātavedaļ* (without accent). The comm. reads *sam* instead of *çam* at the beginning. [Cf. vs. 13.]

| The verse reminds us strongly of 2.4 (which sec), where ciksipas without ava has quite a different meaning. Here, ciksipan with ava means 'let them [the fires, not] throw [any part of the dead man] down'; that is, Agni (in his kindly forms, civias tanvds) is to treat the dead man kindly and not let a foot, the head, or a hand fall off from the funeral pile, but is to consume him completely : cf. the comm., who aptly says, yathā niravaçesam dahyate tathā. The importance, in Hindu belief, of having every member of the body carried by Agni to the other world for use in the next life is abundantly shown by the hymns: sce especially AV. xviii. 3. 9 ab; 2. 24 c; 4. 64; iv. 34. 2: and Whitney, Oriental and Linguistic Studies, i. 56-57.- When, as often happens, the pile of wood is too short for the corpse, the feet will naturally overhang and drop off from the pyre. In my journal of a visit at Benares, under date of Feb. 25, 1889, I find the following: "Saw a cremation, at the Burning Ghat. One foot of the corpse fell off the pyre (which was none too long), and a man tried to put it back on the fire with a bamboo. But failing, he took it by the toe with thumb and fingers and chucked it An allusion to an occurrence of this kind is clearly made by the Chandogya back." Upanishad at vii. 15. 3: atha yady apy enān utkrāntaprāņān cchūlena [cf. the bamboo, above] samāsam vyatisamdahet : nāi 'vāi 'nam brūyuh pitrhā 'sī 'ti etc.

13. The sacrifice goes, extended, adapting itself (?k!p), [taking] him who hath sacrificed, unto the heavenly (svargd) world; let the fires enjoy it, made a whole oblation; let the Jātavedases, making done here him that is Prajāpati's [and] sacrificial, not throw him down.

[Cf. vs. 12.] 'Sacrifice' and 'whole oblation' [and 'it,' that is tâm in c, ] all refer, of course, to the deceased himself. [Cf. Whitney, Oriental and Linguistic Studies, i. 56: "To burn the body of a deceased person was accordingly an act of solemn sacrifice, which made Agni its bearer to the other world, the future dwelling of its former possessor."— Cf. also Caland's most apposite citation from Bāudhāyana, jātasamskāreņe 'mam lokam abhijayati; mṛtasamskāreņā 'mum lokam, in his Todtengebrauche, pages 174, 178.] The defective meter and incomplete construction of **b** make it altogether probable that the text is corrupt: ijānānām would help both. The comm. makes no difficulty of taking abhi...eti causatively, = abhigamayati. He paraphrases kalpamānas by istam pradeçam prāpayitum samarthal. The mss. vary between kalpāmānas, kālpamānas, and kalpāmānas; all of ours that were collated before printing had kalpā-, which SPP. reads. Two of our mss. (O.R.), and one of SPP's, also have jātavedasaļt at end of **d**. The verse counts just a full atiçakvarī (11 + 10:11 + 12:12 = 56). xviii. 4- BOOK XVIII. THE ATHARVA-VEDA-SAMHITĀ.

14. He that hath sacrificed hath ascended the piled fire, about to fly up to heaven (div) from the back of the firmament (ndka); for him, the well-doer, shines forth from the welkin (ndbhas), full of light, the heavenly road, traveled by the gods.

The mss. vary in a between *citám* and *cittám*; our text reads the latter; SPP's the former, which is doubtless correct, and which is implied by the translation. The comm. notes that *agni* is used to mean *istakacitah pradeçah*, quoting Apast. 25. 4 as authority. According to Kāuç. (80. 52), the verse (the comm. says, vss. 14–15) accompanies the laying of the body supine on the pile; it comes next after 1. 46.

15. Agni thinc invoker, Brihaspati thinc officiating priest, be Indra on the right thy supervising priest (*brahmán*); this offered sacrifice, being completed (*sámsthita*), goeth where is the ancient track of those offered.

Both editions read in c, with nearly all the mss. (all ours save R.s.m., and half of SPP's), hutó 'yám, but both are wrong, the emendation to hutô 'yám being a matter of simple necessity (see note to Prāt. iii. 55). The verse is the last of the long passage that is used (by Kāuç. \$1.45) at the end of the cremation ceremony (see under vs. 1). It is far from being a regular tristubh; Lafter resolving hotā adh- in a and restoring in c the elided vowel, pādas a and b and c scan with smooth cadences as 12 + 11 : 11; there remains pāda d, with one syllable missing before the cesura: it would be a faultless tristubh if we dared to insert dsti (ydtrāsti): the comm. understands the equivalent vidyate].

16. Rich in cakes, rich in milk (ksirá) let the dish (cari) take scat here; to the world-makers, the road-makers, do we sacrifice, whoever of you are here, sharing in the oblation of the gods.

[The definition of verses 16-24 as 14:12+11=37 is right by mechanical count; but the metrical character of what precedes the first *arasāna* is wholly misprized by the Anukr.: *carúr á thá sīdatu* is doubtless an *anustubh* pāda; and I am strongly tempted to suspect the loss (by haplography again: cf. iv. 5. 5, note) of a *ca* before *caru*, poor as the resulting cadence may be, so that instead of 14 we should have 8 + 8.]

We had the same refrain above, in 3. 25-35. [Cf. my introduction to hymn 3, p. 847,  $\P$  8.] According to Kāuç. (86. 3), the verses beginning with  $ap\bar{n}pav\bar{a}n$  (16-24) are used as, in each case, what is specified in the verse (mantroktam) is deposited in the quarters and intermediate quarters (diksv astamadecesn); this is in the ceremony of interment of the bone-relics, next after the use of 4. 57 and 3. 72 (see under the latter). [At this point Whitney compares TA. vi. 8 and cites part of it. The TA. leaves out the depositions in the intermediate directions, SE etc., and so has only 5 depositionmantras instead of 9. The matter is treated more fully just below.] According to the comm., caru means specifically the contents of the dish here : kumblyām pakva odanah; and ihá signifies: asmin samcayanakarmany asthnām samīpe paçcimadigbhāge; the others are set in the remaining half-directions, and one in the middle. [Observe that the AV. begins the depositions with the west (so the comm., at p. 197<sup>8</sup>, and at 201<sup>16</sup> just cited), while the TA. begins, as noted below, with the east.]

LAs part of the requisites for performing the *pitrnidhāna*, Kāuç. (83. 2) prescribes eleven dishes (*carūn*). According to Kāuç. 86. 2, two dishes (*carū*\*) are to be deposited, with our 4. 57 and 3. 72, near the head of the human figure formed with the bones (note

to 3. 25); next, eight more (Kauç. 86. 3) in the eight directions, with 8 vss. of our present sequence, that is, 4. 16-23; and then the eleventh and last dish in the center with our 4. 24, according to Kāuç. 86. 4, where we are to read (see under vs. 24) *madhye 'pavantam* (for *pacantam*), 'in the center [a dish] with water.'] \*[So we must read, with three of Bloomfield's mss., as Caland suggests, *Todtengebräuche*, p. 152, and as the AV. comm. makes plain at 224<sup>19</sup> (*carudvayam*), though not at 176<sup>23</sup>.]

[TA. vi. 8 reads thus : apupávān ghrtávānç carúr & há sīdatū 'ttabhnuván prthivím dyam utó 'pári : yonikrtah pathikrtah saparyata yé devanam ghrtábhaga ihá sthá : est te yamasadane svadha ní dhiyate grhd' sau : dáçakşarā [:] tâm rakşasva etc. etc. -This set of mantras is metrical as far as grhe inclusive, 7(8?) + 8 + 12:12 + 11:8 + 8;then prose. It accompanies the deposition of the cakes with a dish of ghee to the east of the bone-relics, and is followed by four other sets, which are not given in full in TA., but may, with the help of Sayana, be reconstructed as  $\overline{n}has$  of this set. The order of deposition is (strangely) pradaksina, that is, from E. to S. to W. to N. and center. In the first set (used at the east) the words to be replaced are ghrtávān, ghrtábhagās, and dáçāksarā; and they are replaced in the second set (south) by grtávān, ertábhāgās, and çatāksarā respectively; in the third set (west), by ksīrávān, ksirábhāgās, and sahásrāksarā; in the fourth set (north), by dádhivān, dádhibhāgās, and ayútākṣarā; and in the fifth set (center), by mádhumān, mádhubhāgās, and ácyutāksarā. Thus the mantra of the last set would begin apūpávān mádhumān etc., for the deposition of cakes is common to that of all the dishes (so AV. comm., p. 2025: apupasāhityam sarvesām caruņām sādhāraņam).

- 17. Rich in cakes, rich in curds (didhi-), let the dish etc. etc.
- 18. Rich in cakes, rich in drops (drapsá-), let the dish etc. etc.

Our O. reads drápsa-, Land so does one of SPP's mss. J. According to the comm., drapsa signifies "particles of curds" (dadhikanās).

- 19. Rich in cakes, rich in ghee, let the dish etc. etc.
- 20. Rich in cakes, rich in flesh (mānsá-), let the dish etc. etc.
- 21. Rich in cakes, rich in food, let the dish etc. etc.
- 22. Rich in cakes, rich in honey, let the dish etc. etc.
- 23. Rich in cakes, rich in sap, let the dish etc. etc.
- 24. Rich in cakes, rich in water (*ápa*-), let the dish etc. etc.

Instead of *apavant* in this verse, the comm. has a second time *apilpavant*, explaining that it means cakes of a different material. In the five dishes of TA. (vi. 8) are contained respectively (besides the cakes), ghee, boiled flesh (*frtá*), milk, curds, and honey. [Caland's suggestion of '*pavantam* for *pacantam* at Kāuç. 86.4 (see WZKM. viii. 369) brings the text of Kāuç. into harmony with *dpavāns* of this vs.: cf. ¶ 3 of the notes to vs. 16.]

25. What vessels covered with cakes the gods maintained for thee, be they for thee rich in *svadhå*, rich in honey, dripping with ghee.

This is a [precise] repetition of 3.68 above. While most of the mss. quote it, as usual, by the first words with  $tty \ \ell k \bar{a}$  added, two (O.R.) write it in full. According to the comm., the verse follows the deposition of the nine dishes; and it adds: "one should put on mixed grains"; the Kāuç. makes no mention of it.

26. What grains I scatter along for thee, mixed with sesame, rich in *svadhå*, be they for thee uprising (*udbhú*), prevailing; them let king Yama approve for thee.

This verse, differing from 3. 69 and 4. 43 by a single word (*udbhvis* instead of *vibhvis*), is written out in full by all the mss. The comm. has, instead of *udbhvis*, *abhvis*, glossing it with *mahatyas*. [For a possible ritual use of the verse, see under 3. 69.]

## 27. A more abundant inexhaustibleness.

The comm. adds this to the preceding verse as a part of it; but the Anukr. and the mss. reckon it as an independent verse.

28. The drop leaped (*skand*) toward the earth, the sky (div), toward both this lair ( $y \circ ni$ ) and the one that was of old; of the drop that goes about toward the same lair do I make oblation, after seven invocations ( $h \circ tr \bar{a}$ ).

The verse is RV. x. 17. 11, and found also in several other texts: VS. (xiii. 5), TS. (iv. 2.  $8^2$ , 95), TA. (vi. 6. 1), MS. (ii. 5. 10), ÇB. (vii. 4.  $1^{20}$ ). RV. differs from our text by reading in **a** prathamān dnu dyūn; all the rest agree throughout with AV., save that TS.TA. have *tṛtiyam* for samānām in c. [In MS. this verse stands between our ix. 4. 5 and 4. 4, as already noted under ix. 4. 5.] Kāuç. does not apply the verse, but it is found (as above) in the funeral ceremony of TA., next after our 4. 35 below, being addressed to any overflow of the offered dish of curds and honey. The comm. explains drapsa by somarasasthitodakakaṇa, and teaches that such a drop, or the soma itself, is here praised, in view of the enjoyment of the fruit of their soma-sacrifices by the Fathers in heaven; it also points out that in ÇB. (vii. 4.  $1^{20}$ ) this drop is praised as the sun (āditya). In Vāit. (16. 17) the verse (with RV. x. 17. 12, 13 and one or two others) is used in the aggistoma ceremony, with offerings to the soma-drops (vāipruṣa), on occasion of the overflow of soma.

29. A hundred-streamed Vāyu, a heaven-finding sun (?arká), wealth, do those men-beholders look upon; whoso bestow (pr) and present (pra-yam) always, they milk a sacrificial gift having seven mothers.

The verse corresponds to RV. x. 107. 4, which differs by reading *havis* at end of **b**, [and samgame at end of **c**]; it also reads *duhate* in **d** and puts the word after *daksinām*; the RV. hymn is one in praise of generous givers. *Nrcaksasas* in **b** might of course be gen. sing. (so Grassmann); both translators [Grassmann and Ludwig] take *saptamātaram* as 'mother of seven,' which is against the accent; the comm. takes it properly as possessive, but gives three different guesses at its value. The comm. takes *te'* in **b** as 'for thy sake,' against the accent. Kāuç. does not quote the verse; the comm. says that it and the next are used together on watering the bone-relics with water falling from a vessel with a hundred holes; and these hundred holes it regards as referred to by the first word of the verse.

30. They milk a receptacle  $(k \delta q a)$ , a jar with four orifices,  $i d \bar{a}$  [as] milch-cow rich in honey, in order to well-being; reveling refreshment, Aditi among the people, injure thou not, O Agni, in the highest firmament  $(v y \delta m a n)$ .

The first half-verse is found also in TB. iii. 7.  $4^{16}$  and Ap. i. 13. I, both of which read *útsam* for *kóçam*, *devîm* for *dhenúm*, and *suvarvlidam* for *svastáye*. The second half occurs in VS. (xiii. 49 c, d), TS. (in iv. 2. 10<sup>2</sup>), [MS., in ii. 7. 17, page 102<sup>15</sup>], and TA. (in vi. 6. I); they all agree in reading for c *ghrtám dúhānām áditim jánāya*, for which our text is a corruption, capable of only mechanical translation. The comm., in **a**, still thinks of four of the holes in the hundred-holed vessel; *idā* is either the earth or the name of a certain cow. In the funeral ceremony of TA. (as above), our c, d, with [the correspondent of] our 36 **a**, **b** below as first half, is used next after our vs. 28, and for the same purpose. The Anukr. does not heed that the first two pādas are *jagatī*.

31. This garment god Savitar gives thee to wear (bhr); putting on that, which is *tārpyd*, do thou go about in Yama's realm.

Some of the mss. (including our O.Op.R.) read *dadātu* in **b**. Our Op. accents  $t\bar{a}rpyam$ , and the word is variously accented by half of SPI's authorities. The comm. first explains the word as *tarpaņārham prītikaram*, and then adds : 'or, made of a kind of grass called  $trp\bar{a}$ , [and] anointed with ghee.' For the ritual use of the verse, in company with 2. 57, see under the latter verse. The comm. says only that it is addressed to the garment when the dead man is wrapped up.

32. The grains became a milch-cow; the sesame became her calf; upon her, unexhausted, one lives in Yama's realm.

The mss. are a little at variance as to the accent of *tilo 'bhavat*; but the majority give *tild*, which is accepted in both editions. The comm. reads at the end *jivati*. The ritual application of this verse and its successor was given with that of 3.69 above; the comm. says here that with vss. 32-34 grains mingled with sesame are put upon the bones. The first päda is one syllable short.

33. Be these, O so-and-so, thy milch-kine that yield what is desired; variegated, white, of like form, of different form, with sesame as calf, let them wait upon  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$  thee there.

One of our mss. (Op.), and three or four of SPP's authorities, accent *tilavatsās* in **d**. The comm. has *bhavanti* in **b**. The verse (8 + 7:11 + 11 = 37) is not at all an *uparistādbrhatī*, but rather an irregular *āstārapaākti*.

34. Grains variegated, yellow, white, grains black, red, [be] thy milchkine here; with sesame as calf, yielding (duh) him refreshment, be they ever unresisting.

The verse is found also in TA. (in vi. 7. 1), with its first half abbreviated to *entr dhānā* hárinīr árjunīh santu dhenávah; and it accents *tlla*- in c (with our Op. and one or two of SPP's mss.). Our O. [and apparently also P.I.], with [one or] two of SPP's mss., also accent *ents*. Only a minority of the mss. (including our Bs p.m. and Op.) have at the end *-ntīh*, the rest *-ntī*. Here and in vs. 36, most of the mss. accent *anapasphúr-*, and accordingly both edited texts accept it; but the readings ought unquestionably to be emended to *dnapasphur-* (which is given here by [TA., Poona ed.,] our O.R., [and one or two of SPP's mss.]; in 36, by none); the accentuation in this part of the text is more than usually faulty. The comm. stupidly declares *apasphuraṇa = nāça*, and the participle therefore = [*avinaçvaryaḥ* or] *aksiṇaāḥ*. [As alternative rendering, W. suggests 'not refractory.'] [W's 'here' seems to be meant as (the hardly licit) version

879

of the accentless asya: Weber notices the wild incongruity (absent in the TA. version) between asya in **a**, te in **b**, and asmāt in **c**.

35. In Väiçvänara I offer this oblation, a thousand-fold, hundredstreamed fountain (iitsa); it supports (*bhr*) [our] father, grandfathers; [our] great-grandfathers it supports, swelling.

That is, with fatness or abundance (*pinvi*). The verse is found also at the beginning of TA. vi. 6; but this rectifies the meter of **b** by reading *sāhasrám útsam çatádhāram etám*; and its **c**, **d** are not less different: *tásminn eyá pitáram pitāmahám prápitā-maham bibharat pinvamāne*. One of our mss. (Op.) also accents *prápitāmahān*. In TA. (as noticed above) the verse is next followed by our 4.28, in the relic-interment ceremony; according to Kāuç. (82.22), it is used on the second day after cremation, with an oblation on the back of a *vānyavatsā* \* cow, after causing her to be milked on the site of the funeral pile. The comm. [p. 209<sup>18</sup>] calls the cow *anyavatsā* (only by an error of the editor?). [If error, it is an easy one, for the comm's words as combined are *dahanasthānasaminidhāv anyavatsāyāh*: but *anyavatsā* occurs in the comm. to AB. vii. 2, mentioned below.] The verse (11+9:11+12=43) is hardly fit to be reckoned a *tristubh*.

\*| Primarily, vānyà, abhivānyà, apivānyà, nivānyà, as gerundives of van, mean ' to be won over to or wonted to': abhivānyavatsá is 'a calf that has to be wonted to' its new or adoptive mother. Such a word as the last, with bahuurihi accent, means (a cow) possessing such a calf,' and by inference, 'a cow that has lost her own calf': so abhivānydvatsā, AB. vii. 2, and Hiraņyakeçi-sūtra, in Caland's Pitrmedha-sūtras, p. 588; apivānydvatsā, Kāuç., twice?, see below ; nivānydvatsā, ÇB. xii. 5. 14. --- These possessives are then abbreviated, and we find abhivanya at TB. i. 6.84 and four times in the Pitrmedhasūtras (see Caland's Index), and nivānyā at ÇB. ii. 6. 16, both words with the same meaning as *abhivānyāvatsā*, but coming to it secondarily; and also *vānyà* at TB. ii. 6. 16<sup>2</sup>, p. 676 Poona, glossed by mrtavatsā dhenuh, and ApCS. viii. 15. 17, as equivalent of the not quotable *vānyàvatsā*. — After putting to paper the explanations just given I find them confirmed by Nārāyaņa, to whom I was brought by Aufrecht's valuable note upon his excerpt from Sāyaņa's comment on AB. vii. 2 (p. 377): Nārāyana, in his comm. on ACS. iii. 10. 17 says abhuvānyavatsā nāmā 'nyavatsena dohaniyā : abhıvānyo vatso yasyāh sā 'bhivānyavatsā : abhivānyo 'bhivananīya ity arthah. -- In Kauç. 82. 22 there can be little doubt (cf. BR. i. 347) that we have to read apivanyavatsām after ādahane ca; and in like manner, at 80. 25, apivānyavatsāyās: with the latter passage is to be compared ÇB. ii. 6. 16, which describes the same ceremony; see also Caland, Todtengebrauche, p. 151. The use of the milk of a cow whose calf is dead is in keeping with the use of cows that are old, ugly, barren, etc.: cf. CB. xii. 5. 14 (dead man's agnihotra) and Caland, l.c., p. 173, p. 20.]

36. A thousand-streamed, hundred-streamed fountain, unexhausted, expanded upon the back of the sea, yielding refreshment, unresisting, do the Fathers wait on at their will (*?svadhábhis*).

The first half-verse stands in VS. [xiii. 49] TS.  $[iv. 2. 10^2]$  TA. [vi. 6. 1] MS.  $[ii. 7. 17, p. 102^{14}]$  as the first two pādas of a verse of which our 30 c, d above is second half; in all, the first word is *imám* followed in VS.MS. by *sāhasrám*, in TS.TA. by *samudrám*, before *çatádh*-; all of course omit the evidently intruded *áksitam\**, and end **b** with *mádhye*, VS. having before it *sarirásya*, and TS.TA. *bhúvanasya*. Some of

the mss. (including our O.[?]Op.R.K.) have in **d** the false accent  $up\dot{d}sate$ . The verse exceeds the proper measure of a *tristubil* by the amount of *dksitam* in **a**. By Kāuç. (86. 5), it accompanies a sprinkling with water; [Keç. says that it is the bones that are so sprinkled; so also the comm., who adds that it is done with a very leaky old dish (*sahasracchidra-pātra*): cf. Caland, l.c., p. 173. The sprinkling is part of the *dhuvana* ceremony, l.c., p. 137.] \*[The rationale of the intrusion becomes clear, I think, if we compare with our **a**, **b** the first pāda of iv. 27. 2, *útsam ákşitam viácanti yé sádā*.]

37. This funeral pile (?kdsāmbu) [is] piled with piling; come, ye [his] fellows, look down at it; this mortal goeth to immortality; make ye houses for him according to his kindred.

A number of the mss. (including our P.M.I.) read in **a** cittám, as in 14 **a** above. The pada-text at end of **b** is paçyata:  $\hat{a}$ : ita. Some of the mss. make a blundering insertion of signs of kampa in mártyo 'yám in **c**. O. has at the end -sámbudham. The comm. shows its usual skill in explaining kasāmbu; it means kasāç cā 'mbūni ca, kasa being by abbreviation from kīkasa, and = asthi / Kāuç. (86. 1) has the verse accompany the viewing of the bone-relics [which are now in the trench, says Keç.] by the 'fellows' of the deceased; the comm. says that either the relatives or all are to look at them as deposited in the hollow, while the manager recites the verse. The meter of the last pāda is redundant, and suggests emendation of the closing word. [The vs., with its cayanena citam and grhān (cf. ÇB. as cited below under vs. 55), seems clearly to refer to a grave-mound; but the ritual use, with its trench, is in flat contradiction with such reference.]

38. Be thou just here, winning riches, with thought here, with ability here; be thou here, very heroic, vigor-bestowing, not smitten away.

The comm. understands *iha citta iha kratuh* as four independent words. According to Kāuç. (87.21), the verse is made to accompany the taking of one of two lighted sticks of wood (see under 1.56), and setting it up in the dirt (see under 2.34). That this was its original office may be questioned; perhaps it is rather an invocation of the ancestor for help to his descendants.

39. These waters, rich in honey, satisfying (*abhi-trp*) son [and] grandson, yielding to the Fathers *svadhá* [and] *amŕta*—let the heavenly waters gratify both sides.

That is, the Fathers on the one side, and their living descendants on the other. Some of the mss. (including our O.R.) accent *abht* in **a**. The *abhi* could extremely well be spared, and its omission would make the verse a good  $\bar{a}st\bar{a}rapa\bar{n}kti$  [with *tristubh* close in **c** and **d**]. According to Kāuç., the next verse is used in the *pindapitryajā* while pouring water on the fire (88. 23), after the presentation of the *pindas*, and this one while then rinsing the mouth (88. 24).

[As to vs. 40, see p. xcvii, note.] 40. O waters, send forth (*pra-hi*) Agni unto the Fathers; this sacrifice of mine let the Fathers enjoy; they who attach themselves unto a sitting refreshment, may they confirm to us wealth having all heroes.

A corresponding verse is found in IIGS. (in ii. 10. 6), reading thus : apo devil pra hinutā 'gnim etam yajāam pitaro no jusantām : māsī 'mām ūrjam uta ye bhajante te no rayim sarvavīram ni yacchantu; and the comparison appears to convict our unintelligible third pāda of being a very gross corruption. [Observe that the word preceding  $\bar{a}sin\bar{a}m$  ends in m, and cf. the cases assembled under xviii. 2. 3.] The comm. has no difficulty in explaining 'a sitting refreshment' as "a pinda set upon the barhis." Both editions read at the end yachān, but all the mss. save one of SPP's read yachāt; the comm. has  $-\bar{a}n$ . The Anukr. does not heed that the first pāda is jagatī. For the ritual application, see under the preceding verse. [With a, cf. 2. 4 d, above.]

41. They kindle the immortal one, oblation-carrying, ghee-loving; he knoweth the deposited deposits, the Fathers that are gone away to the distances.

The comm., with his usual disregard of the accent, takes *ghrtaprlyam* as from *ghrtâ* + *priyâ* [*prilikaram ājyam yasya*]. For the ritual use as prescribed by Kāuç. 87. 22, see under 2. 34, one of the verses that accompanies it. But the verse is used also, by Kâuç. 86. 18, at the end of the ceremony of interment on "making the devouring (*samkasuka*) fire blaze up"; this the comm. does not report in his statement of *viniyoga*. [Caland appears to be right in thinking that the *pratīka samindhate* means *samindhate* samkasukam, xii. 2. 11, and not our verse here. See under xii. 2. 11 and note the free use of vss. from xii. 2. in the *sūtras* immediately following 86. 18.]

42. What stirabout for thee, what rice-dish, what flesh I offer (*ni-pr*) to thee, be they for thee rich in *svadhá*, rich in honey, dripping with ghee.

The second half-verse is identical with 3.68 c, d, above. Nearly all the mss. (of ours, all save Op) accent mántham in  $\mathbf{a}$ ; both editions read manthám. The comm. again [see under 2.30] notes the technical sense of ni-pr; niparanam nāma pitryopavītinā parācīnapāņinā pitrartham coditadravyasya praksepah. By Kāuç. 84.6, the verse accompanies an offering of the articles mentioned, in the hut (vimita) in the preparation for interment of the relics; the comm. overlooks this. [For the ceremony, cf. Caland, Todtengebrauche, p. 137.]

43. What grains I scatter along for thee, mixed with sesame, rich in *svadhå*, be they for thee abundant, prevailing; them let king Yama approve for thee.

This is a repetition of 3.69, being distinguished as such (and not of 4.26) by the words *tti pürvā*, instead of *tty ėkā*, after the *pratīka* in most of the mss. Nevertheless, the two *samhitā*-mss. of ours (O.R.) which give it in full, read *udbhvīs* in **c**, the word in 4.26, instead of *vibhvīs*, that in 3.69; and the comm. also states the repetition to be of 4.26; and SPP's text reads accordingly. [For the ritual, see under 3.69.]

44. This [is] the former, the after down-track, by which thy former Fathers went away; they who are the forerunners, the followers (? abhisác) of it, they carry thee to the world of the well-doing.

Our reading *abhistacas* is an emendation, almost every ms. giving *abhistacas* (our Op. has *abhistacah*, and also one [or two] of SPP's authorities); -sac is of course intended, and the comm. gives it. SPP's text follows the mss. The comm. explains *niyāna* as the wagon (*cakata*) on which the corpse is carried to the funeral pile; and the 'fore-runners' and 'followers' as the oxen that draw it, in front and on all sides: which is

doubtless not at all the true sense; he also reads vahantu in d. The verse is one of the harinis [Kāuç. 80.35; 82.31 note]: see under 1.61. It lacks (in a) a syllable of being a full tristubh. Its second pāda is identical with 1.54 b.

45. On Sarasvatī do the pious call; on Sarasvatī, while the sacrifice is being extended; on Sarasvatī do the well-doers call; may Sarasvatī give what is desirable to the worshiper.

46. On Sarasvatī do the Fathers call, arriving at the sacrifice on the south; sitting on this *barhis*, do ye revel; assign thou to us food free from disease.

47. O Sarasvatī, that wentest in company with the songs, with the *svadhās*, O goddess, reveling with the Fathers, assign thou to the sacrificer here a portion of refreshment of thousand-fold value, abundance of wealth.

These three verses are a repetition of 1.41-43 [see notes thereon ], quoted by *tii tis-rdh* in most mss., but written out by our O.R. (both accenting *daksind* in 46 b).

48. Thee, being earth, I make enter into earth; may god Dhātar lengthen out our life-time; let him that goeth very far away be a finder of good for you; then may the dead (pl.) come to be  $(sam-bh\bar{u})$  among the Fathers.

The first pāda is identical with that of xii. 3. 22, and hence the comm. here makes the blunder of reporting this verse as quoted by Kāuç. 61. 30,\* whereas it is evidently the other; and he explains the meaning to be that earth is smeared upon the vessel [porridge-pot], which is entirely out of place in this connection, the analogy being with our own phrase "earth to earth." The problematic  $p \Delta r \bar{a} p a r \bar{a} i t \bar{a}$  ] in c is rendered strictly according to its form, as if composed of  $p \Delta r \bar{a} - p a r \bar{a} + etr$ ; the Pet. Lexx. render it as "one who departs after another or in due order"; but I cannot see how this meaning is arrived at. The comm. reads  $p ar \bar{a} p ar \bar{a} far eta$ , and explains it as  $d \bar{u} r a d e c a m p ar \bar{a} \bar{n} m t h am ito gat a \bar{h}$ . The comm. also, against p a d a-text and accent, understands  $a d h \bar{a}$  "mrtas in d. \*[Cf. p. 869, ¶ 7.]

49. Start ye (du.) forward hither, wipe off that which the portents (*?abhibhå*) have said there of you; from that come ye, inviolable ones, to this which is better, being bestowers here on me, a giver to the Fathers.

This is highly obscure, and the second half-verse, especially, is rendered only mechanically, and even then with substitution of vidsiyas where nearly all the mss. have vdqiyasor vaqiyas (our M.I.D., and one of SPP's, vaqayas, which our text, quite unsuccessfully, emends to vaqaya); SPP. admits vdqiyas in his text; the comm. has vdsiyas. According to Kāuç. (82. 40), the verse is addressed to the two kine (the comm. says, the two that have drawn the hearse): the direction is *iti gavav upayachati*; it is perhaps intended as a purification of them after the ill-omened service which they have performed. In **c** the vocative, aghnyau, is an emendation, SPP. reading with the mss. aghnyau; but the accentuation of the mss. is here very unauthoritative; the comm. also takes the word as vocative. Nearly all our mss. (all save O.Op.R.) leave ucus in **b** without accent. The comm. is not ashamed to derive *abhibhās* formally from *abhibhāvakās* or *dūsakās*; his general explication of the pāda, as intimating a reproach brought against the pair for having been engaged in such business, is doubtless good. The defective meter suggests a corruption of the text. The comm. takes *iha* and *bhojanāu* in **d** as two independent words, and renders *bhojanāu* by *bhojayitārāu* or *pālayitārāu*. Two of SPP's mss. also accent the words separately. [On his margin Whitney pencilled the memorandum, "Recast this note."] [In Ppp., immediately after our xvii. 1. 30, comes this verse. Its appearance in that place is possibly to be interpreted as a hint at the existence in Ppp. of our book xviii., of which, however, there are no other traces in Ppp. save the straggling verses 1. 46 (in ii.), 2. 13 (in xix.), 2. 17 and 3. 56 (in xx.).]

50. This sacrificial gift hath come excellently to us, given by him, well-milking, vigor-bestowing; old age, coming close to (?upa-prc) them living in youth, shall lead these away together unto the Fathers.

According to Kāuç. (82. 41, the next rule to that which quotes the preceding verse), the verse accompanies the giving [or receiving : comm.] of a daksinā or sacrificial gift of at least ten kine | the comm. says one |, at the close of the after-cremation ceremonies. But this gives no clew to the meaning of the second half-verse, whose connection with the first remains very obscure. The two editions agree in their text throughout, but upaprincati is obviously an inadmissible accentuation, requiring emendation to upaprincati; and it is against all rule to accent any but the last of two or more prefixes to the same verb : hence upasampárānayāt --- which accent is given by | two or | three of SPP's authorities, and which he therefore had good reason for adopting (it is also given by our O.Op.R., all collated after our publication). [If I understand the Collation Book, O.R. accent upasám párā-. | Three of our pada-mss. (Bp.Kp.D., but D. not accented) make the anomalous division upaosám : parānayāt; the other (Op.) has upaosamparānayāt, which is the regular and proper form : see Prāt. iv. 2 and note, and iv. 7. In c, our Bp.D. (but D. without accent) have the strange reading  $y\bar{a}\dot{u}$ : váne, and Op. yāuvánesu ; while Bs. | O.K. | also accent yāú váne, as do two of SPP's mss. (three others yāuváne, and only two, with our M.T.R.s.m., yāúvane).

51. This *barkts* I bring forward for the Fathers; a living, higher one I strew for the gods; that do thou ascend, O man, becoming sacrificial; let the Fathers acknowledge the who art departed.

A corresponding verse is found in TA. (in vi. 7. 2), which reads in a bharema, for b develokyo jivanta úttaram bharema, for c, d túttvam ārohāso médhyo bháva [Poona ed. bhávam\*] yaména tvám yamyà samvidānáh : its text is plainly in part corrupt. Bhávan in c is an emendation, all our mss. savé one (Op.), and the majority of SPP's, reading bhavan, as if mixing the word up with bhava, imperative. The comm. reads in b jīvan. Neither this verse nor its predecessor is to be called with any reason a jagatī; [but 50 c, d and 51 c have jagatī cadences]. This has the same pratīka, so far as concerns the first two words, as 1. 46, and it is impossible to tell which of the two verses is quoted by Kāuç.; but the comm. declares the first half of this one to be used as darbha-grass is strewn upon the wood of the funeral pile, and the second half as the corpse is laid supine upon the grass thus strewn : that is to say, this verse is intended in Kāuç. 80. 51; [so also Caland, WZKM. viii. 368]. \*[The mss. vary between bhavam and bhava and the TA. comm. understands bhava.]

52. Thou hast sat upon this *barhis*, thou hast become sacrificial; let the Fathers acknowledge thee who art departed; collect thy body according to its joints; I arrange thy members with *bráhman*.

The reading yathāparú in c is an emendation, made alike by both editions; \* the comm. has it, but all the mss. give yathāpurú — which is perhaps not altogether untranslatable: 'according to its muchness.' We should expect in **b** rather jānan than another jānantu (51 d). The verse evidently belongs with its predecessor [used at 80.51], but is entirely separated from it in ritual use, accompanying, according to Kāuç. 85. 25, [the assembling of the bones so as to form a human figure, as explained under 3. 25]; next after it are quoted 2. 24, 26 and 3. 25–37. Pāda **b** has an extra syllable. [With regard to the place of the vs., see p. 870, ¶ I, and p. 870, end.]

\*[In support of the emendation, SPP. adduces ix. 5. 4, with its *yathāparú* and *paruçás*. I think that *yathāparú* (as against *yathāpurú*) is strongly supported by the sense (much less so by the mss.) of the two Kāuçikan passages which give the ritual for ix. 5. 4 and for this vs. respectively, to wit, 64. 10 and 85. 25. In the latter passage the mss. have *yathāparuh saincinoti* (one, *-puru*), and Keçava says *yathāparu*, and Bloomfield emends to *yathāparu*; but I am not quite sure that it is necessary, for *yathāparus* may not be bad Sanskrit.]

53. King leaf is the cover of the dishes; the strength of refreshment, the power, vigor, hath come to us, dispensing  $(vi \cdot dh\bar{a})$  life-time to the living (pl.), in order to length of life for a hundred autumns.

The comm. reads in a, against the pada-text and the metrical requirement, the later abbreviated pidhānam. It understands by parna ('leaf') the tree so called, or the palāça-tree; and this may be correct; this tree, it says, owing to its sacrificial quality, is the overlord of trees. | Cf. the synonyms brahmapādapa (in Hemacandra) and yājūika, viprapriyā (in Rājanighantu): cf. also brahma vāi palāçah, ÇB. xiii. 8. 41. | It takes *aryds* as a nominative, which makes a decidedly easier reading, but is unsupported by Vedic usage elsewhere. Only two or three mss. (including our Op.) read in c widddhat, the rest vidadhat (and the pada-mss. viodadhat, which is absurd | cf. note to xiii. 3. 17], but rather indicates that the word was correctly viewed as a participle); and SPP. accepts vidadhat, p. viodadhat, because the comm. supports the majority of the mss. by understanding vi dadhat. The true reading is, beyond all reasonable question, vidúdhat, as our text gives it. The meter seems to be viewed by the Anukr. as 10 + 11:8 + 11 = 40; it is rather too irregular to merit a name. Its use \* in Kāuç. (86.6) follows that of vs. 36 above; it accompanies the laying of middle-foliage (? madhyamapalāçās) down upon the offering dishes : the comm. says, more explicitly, upon the nine dishes spoken of in vss. 16-24, and also upon the perforated plates (madhyapalāçapatrāir ācchādayet); Keçava's explanation corresponds closely with this.

\*[The *palāça* has ternate leaves, from 8 to 16 inches long: Roxburgh, *Flora Indica*, p. 540, Calc. ed. 1874. By *madhyama parņa* or *palāça* is meant the middle one of any of these ternate groups; the middle one is especially fit for holy use by reason of its likeness to a sacrificial ladle: TB. i. 6. 103, *madhyaména parņéna juhoti: srúg ghy*  $2y\dot{a}$ : cf. also Sāyaņa on TS. i. 8. 6, p. 1167, Poona. I am indebted to Caland's excellent paragraphs on this subject, ZDMG. liji. 212.—The "nine dishes" are the last nine of the eleven whose deposition is explained above, under vs. 16. The "plates" are the leaky old dishes noticed above under vs. 36.]

54. The share of refreshment that generated this man; — the stone attained (gam) the overlordship of the foods; — him praise ye, all-befriended, with oblations; may that Yama make  $(dh\bar{a})$  us to live further.

The second half-verse is identical with 3.63 c, d above. The translation of the first half is purely mechanical, the sense being wholly obscure. The comm. renders bhāgds by sambhaktā, and urjás by annasyā 'sthisamīpasthāpitacarulaksaņasya, yamas being the implied subject; then the 'stone' is the one used to cover the same annāni or caravas. A couple of our mss. (O.R.) and one of SPP's read in b dçvå 'nnām; several (including our O.Op.R.) have *adhipatyam*. Some of ours (O.R.K.) and three of SPP's leave jagāma without an accent, which seems better, and is implied in the translation. One of SPP's gives bhagdm in a. In Kauç. (86. 7) the verse is used next after 53, and accompanies the covering of the same dishes with stones - or, the comm. adds, with bricks. | Cf. Caland, Todtengebrauche, p. 157. | It lacks a syllable in a. Our edition leaves viçuamitrās in this verse without accent, on the authority of two of our mss. (Bp.M.); all SPP's give viçvámitrās, p. viçváomitrāh, as in 3.63, and he accepts this in his text. The two verses ought of course to be made to agree, but there is little reason for preferring either nominative or vocative [ the comm. takes the doubtful word as voc. |. | If the Viçvāmitras be not meant here and at 3.63, then Weber's observations reported under 3. 16 lose some of their basis.

55. As the five clans  $(m\bar{a}nav\dot{a})$  scattered (vap) a dwelling  $(harmy\dot{a})$  for Yama, so do I scatter a dwelling, that there may be many of me (?).

A corresponding verse is found in TA. (in vi. 6. 2); it reads hārmyám in a and c, and evidm in c; and for d yatha 'sama jivaloke bhirayas, which, however unmetrical, is at least capable of being translated, while this can hardly be claimed for our d. All the mss. read at the end *dsatas*, save one of SPP's, which follows the comm. in giving *disata*, as is read in both editions — in ours, purely as an emendation. The comm. makes no difficulty of taking *ásata* as =  $sy\bar{a}ta$ , and explaining "that ye my relatives may be numerous"; but that would be *ásatha*; and *ásata* has no grammatical standing of any kind, and hence is to be rejected. The comparison with TA. at least shows that the pada is corrupt. The comm. foolishly divides evavapami in c into eva avapami. Three of our *pada*-mss. (not Op.) most absurdly divide *dva-pan* in **b**; it is strange that SPP. reports nothing of the kind from his authorities. By Kāuç. (86.11) the verse is used next after 2. 50 etc., with the direction iti sameritya ('on finishing the pile'?); the comm. says that with it they are to divide (kuttay) leftwise, with sticks or bricks, the part of the cemetery on which the pile stood : in TA. it accompanies the "placing in the jar [of relics] the drugs called sarvāusadhi" (hence, perhaps, the use of vap in the verse, as they are strewn in); | but cf. Caland, Todtengebrauche, p. 149 |.

[On samçritya (?) and kuțtayeyus. — Kāuç. 86. 10 reads : idam id vā u na (xviii. 2. 50) upa sarpa (3. 49) asāu hā (4. 66) iti cinvanti. Sūtra 11 continues : yathā yamāya (4. 55) iti samçritya. Upon 10, Keç. says : çilābhir (? çalākābhir ?) visamābhir istakābhir vā prasavyam cinvanti çmaçānam. And the AV. comm., in giving the ritual for 2. 50 (p. 115) and 3. 49 (p. 161) and 4. 66 (p. 233), says : (maçānadeçam visamasamkhyākābhih çalākābhir istakābhir vā prasavyam cinuyāt, using the very same wordsin all three passages, save that he leaves out the second word in the second passage.Then, for 4. 55 (p. 224) he says : çalākābhir istakābhir vā prasavyam citam çmaçānapradeçam kuṭṭayeyuh. — In all these passages, çmaçāna or çmaçāna-deça or -pradeçamust mean the mound which they heap (ci) over the buried bones : cf. ÇB. xiii. 8. 1<sup>1</sup>athā 'smāi çmaçānam kurvanti, grhān vā prajūānam vā ('or as a monument'), andHarisvāmin's comment, p. 1017<sup>15</sup>, çmaçānam prasiddham asthi-samrakṣa-vāstu; themound is, as with us, not quite kr.ze-high, ÇB. xiii. 8. 3<sup>12</sup>, adhojānu. — The meaning ofour comm. on 4. 55, accordingly, seems to be: 'after the mound has been heaped up (citam), they should pat (kuttay) it with splints of wood or with bricks, [going around it, as they pat it,] to the left.' — If this be right and if kuttay is the comm's version of the word after *iti* in sütra 11, then I suspect that Bloomfield has not hit the right reading in the printed text. Whitney's 'on finishing the pile' would call for samsthāpya; but samçnathya is much nearer to the meaning of kuttay and also to the probable intention of Bl's mss., and I would accordingly read samcnathya in place of the printed samcritya. Root quath means 'thrust, push,' in their ordinary and in their obscene senses, and here, with sam, 'to make [the mound] compact or firm by striking or beating or patting,' as a modern gravemaker pats the mound with his spade to give it shape and firmness.]

56. Wear (*bhr*) thou this gold, which thy father wore before; of thy father, going to heaven (*svargá*), do thou wipe off the right hand.

The majority of mss. read *pitur* in c, some *pitur*, and hardly any (of ours, only Op.) *pitur*. Many of ours have *mrdhdhi* in d: see Prāt. i. 94 and note. The comm. strangely gives *piprhi* [cf. xiii. 1. 1, note] in a (though *abibhar* in b!). According to Kāuç. 80. 46, 47, the first half-verse is used as the manager takes with the right hand some gold worn by the deceased, smears it with ghee, and passes it to the eldest son; the second half-verse, as he makes him wipe off his (of course, the father's) right hand; the comm. states it thus: "with the first half he makes the oldest son heat (*ādīpayet*: as if the comm. read in Kāuç. *ādīpayati* instead of *ādāp*-) in the fire gold found in the hand of the deceased; with the other half the son should wipe the deceased's hand."

57. Both those who are living and those who are dead; those who are born and those who are worshipful — for them let there go a brook of ghee, honey-streamed, overflowing.

We had the second half-verse above as 3. 72 c, d, only with catádhārā instead of mádhudhārā. The mss. are again at variance as to the accent of kulyā; and the majority also accent madhúdhārā, as if they had catádh- in mind [cf. end of note to 1. 42 above]. Vajātya is a queer antithesis to jātá, and the comm. reads instead jajātyās, explaining it as jajāim utpattim yānti gacchanti: that is, jajāi + root yā! The comm. also understands in d madhudhārās, as object of vyundatī. A corresponding verse is found in TA. (in vi. 12): it omits the first ca in a; has at end of b the almost acceptable reading jántyās (it ought to be jántvās); offers in c the curious corruption dhārayitum for kulyāi \*tu; [and accents mádhudhārā in d]. The schol. add the verse to 56, as used by Kāuç. 80. 46; the Kāuç. uses it twice with 3. 72: see under that verse; in TA. it has an utterly different application, in the ceremony of turning loose the cow that was led with the corpse to the funeral pile.

58. There purifies itself the conspicuous bull of the prayers, the sun of days, lengthener out of dawns, of the sky (dtv); the breath of the rivers hath made the jars to resound loudly; entering Indra's heart with skill.

This is a verse out of one of the most formidable hymns of the RV. soma-book (RV. ix. 86. 19), and occurring also twice in SV. (i. 559; ii. 171). In **b**, RV. reads somo *ahnah pratarīto*'s *dso divah*; in **c**, *krānā* and *avīvaçat*; in **d**, *hārdi* and *manīsibhis*; with this SV. in general agrees, but has, with AV., *ahnām* and *uṣdsām* in **b**, and *acikradat* in **c**; it is peculiar in reading *prānā* (p. *praoānā*) at beginning of **c**; a corruption, doubtless, which is carried out to greater intelligibility in our *prānds*. The

AV. corruption, of both sense and meter, in **b**, *pratáritā uṣ*-, supported by the *pada*reading *practárītā*, is very strange; of our mss., only three (O.R., supported by Op. - $t\bar{a}: u_{\bar{s}}$ -) have the correct -to's-; of SPP's, according to his account, about half support - $t\bar{a}: u_{\bar{s}}$ -) have the correct -to's-; of SPP's, according to his account, about half support -to's-, and he accordingly admits that reading into his text; we ought to have done the same by emendation. [For *hārdim āviçán* (p. *āoviçán*) man-, the comm. reads *hārdima* ... aviçat ... man-.] What right the verse has here (or vs. 60, coming from the same RV. hymn) does not appear; neither Kāuç. nor the comm. uses it in the ritual; but the latter says, as if by way of excuse, that, as the Fathers enjoy the fruit of the somasacrifices which they have offered, soma is praised in the ceremonies for the Fathers. He explains the 'rivers' in c as the vasatīvarī waters. [He says, p. 224<sup>23</sup>, vṛṣā matīnām ityādīnām tisṛṇām (vss. 58, 59, 60) pitṛmedha eva kāṇdokto viniyogo 'nusamdheyaḥ, which is not clear to me: should it be pitṛmedhakāṇḍa evokto ?]

59. Let thy sparkling (? tvcsd) smoke cover, being in the sky, extended bright; for thou, O purifier, shinest like the sun with luster, with beauty (krpd).

The verse is RV. vi. 2. 6 and SV. i. 83; both read *ryvati* in **a**; [ in **b**, SV. combines *drvt sán ch-*: cf. *divt sán ch-* at xvii. 1. 12]. The comm. explains *krpā* as = *krpayā* or *stutyā*. Vāit. (6. 11) uses the verse, with others, in the ceremony of establishing the fires.

60. Soma (indu) verily goes forward to Indra's rendezvous; the comrade does not violate  $(pra-m\bar{i})$  the comrade's agreements; thou rushest to join, as a male after females — soma, in the jar, by a road of a hundred tracks.

The verse corresponds to RV. ix. 86. 16, which has, however, important variants: in a, pro avasid indur and niskriam; in b, samgiram; in c, yuvatibhis and arsati; in d, catáyāmnā; SV. (i. 557; ii. 502) agrees with RV. except in the last item, having, like our text, -yāmanā. [The meter shows that it is to be pronounced -yāmanā, whichever way it is written : cf. JAOS. x. 532. ] Our niskrtim is hardly better than a corruption of the RV. reading ; but the comm. understands it as Indra's "belly" ( jatharalaksanam sthānam), and supports his opinion by quoting RV. iii. 35. 6. Saingirás, in b, is understood in the translation as saingiras (which is read by two or three mss., including our O.), the former being unintelligible; the comm. gives two explanations, both implying the accent -gir-, one from sam-gr ' agree,' the other from sam-gr or -gil 'swallow down,' thus finding in the verse another "belly" (samgiras = samgiram = udaram). Our text of c spoils both the meter and the connection, making the line render very lamely; the comm. reads yosa, and takes it as an instrumental (like yuvatibhis); in d he has the RV.SV. reading. The metrical definition of the Anukr. is worthless. [The RV. verse is a good jagatī, and so is this, barring c, where the corruptions have spoiled meter as well as sense.

61. They have eaten; they have revelled  $\lfloor surely \rfloor$ ; they have shaken off (*áva*) those that are dear; having own brightness (*svábhānu*), they have praised; inspired, youngest, we implore.

The verse corresponds to RV. i. 82. 2 a-d, and is found also in other texts: SV. i. 415; VS. iii. 51; TS. in i. 8. 5<sup>2</sup>; MS. in i. 10. 3. All these agree in reading in c *priyås* for *priyån*, and, for d, *z!prā náviṣthayā mati*; and they add a fifth pāda, a refrain, having nothing to do with the meaning of the verse. A majority of the AV. mss. (including our M.O.Op.R.K.D.) read  $dv\bar{a}$  'priyān (p. dva: apriyān), and this is perhaps the true Atharvan text (though the accent, in that case, should be  $dv\bar{a}$  'priyān), and defensible on the ground of sense; our last pāda is nothing but a senseless corruption. In Kāuç. (88. 27) the verse is used, next after vss. 81 ff.,\* in the piudapitryajna, with the direction ity uttarasicam avadhūya; the comm. paraphrases this to mean that one is to perform an additional sprinkling (? uttarapariseka) next after the worship (upasthāna) of the piudas. For the application of the verse to the Fathers, compare TB. ii. 6. 3<sup>2</sup>, dkṣan pitáraḥ: dmīmadanta pitáraḥ, etc.; [also Sāyaṇa's comm. on TS. i. 8. 5<sup>2</sup>, Poona ed., p. 1159]. \*[It is to be noted that in the piudapitryajña of the VS. (ii. 31), amīmadanta pitaraḥ etc. comes just before the verses corresponding to our vss. 81-85.] [ÇGS., i. 15, prescribes the RV. verse for use when the bride greases the axle of the wedding-car: is this because of the resemblance of akṣam ('axle') to akṣan (root ghas)?!]

62. Come ye, O Fathers, delectable, by profound roads that the Fathers travel, assigning to us lifetime and progeny; and do ye attach yourselves to us with abundances of wealth.

The last pāda was found above as ix. 4. 24 d. Corresponding verses are found in HGS. ii. 10. 5 and MB. ii. 3. 5. For **a**, **b**, MB. reads *eta pitarah somyāso gambhīrebhiḥ pāthibhiḥ pārviņebhiḥ;* and as second half-verse it has our 3.44 **c**, **d**. HGS. has  $\bar{a}$  yāta pitaraḥ somyā gambhīraḥ (misprint for -rāiḥ?) pathibhiḥ pārvyāḥ, with an altogether different **c**, **d**. We should prefer somyāsas unaccented, both in this verse and in the next, but no ms. so reads. The comm. has in **c** dadhata, which he pronounces the same as dhatta. In Kāuç., in the pitrnidhāna ceremony, the verse accompanies (83. 27) the bringing of the bone-relics, before sunset [into the hut: Keç.]; and it is followed (83. 28, 29) by 1. 52 and 2. 29; then, in the pindapitryaħa (87. 28), the three are repeated; the comm. notices only the latter use, stating that with this verse one is to scatter sesame on the barhis spread for the purpose of giving the pindas. In number of syllables, the verse answers to the description of the Anukr. (9 + 10:11 + 11 = 41). [The MB. reading makes a rectification of the meter at the beginning of **b**.]

63. Go away, O Fathers, delectable, by profound roads that go to the stronghold  $(p\bar{u}rydna)$ ; then, in a month, come ye again to our houses to eat the oblation, with good progeny, with good heroes.

All the *pada*-mss. commit the strange blunder of dividing  $\frac{1}{a}y\overline{a}ta$  in **c** into  $\frac{1}{a}:ay\overline{a}ta$ ; both editions make the necessary correction; the comm. also understands  $\overline{a}y\overline{a}ta$ . In **d**, some of the mss. make great difficulty over  $\frac{dttum}{t}$ , reading also  $\lfloor annum, \rfloor atnum, \\ antum, atnum, atnun, and the comm. gives as a compound haviratnun; but our O.R.D., and the majority of SPP's authorities, have the correct reading; as does also HGS. in its corresponding verse <math>\lfloor ii. 13. 2 \rfloor$ . HGS.  $\lfloor$  spoils the meter of **a** by modernizing somy  $\frac{1}{a}sas$  to  $\rfloor$  somy  $\overline{a}s$ ; reads in **b**  $p\overline{a}ray\overline{a}is$ ;  $\lfloor$  and begins **c** with  $atha \rfloor$ . The first half-verse occurs also in MS. i. 10.3 and ACS. ii. 7.9. MS. makes nearly good meter of it, reading  $p\overline{a}re'tana pitarah somy \overline{a}so gambh \overline{a}rebhih path bhih p\overline{a}ravbhih (which should evidently be emended to <math>p\overline{a}raybhis$ ); ACS. differs from this only by having at the end  $p\overline{a}ravpbhis$  (with K. and Kap.S., as pointed out by Schröder). Prāt. iii. 83 quotes the word  $p\overline{a}rav\overline{a}a$ . Kāuç, uses the verse in the  $pindapitrya\overline{a}a$  (88. 28), next after vs. 61, in dismissing the Fathers after their feast of pindas. The metrical description of the Anukr. is very poor:  $\lfloor$  it is probable that the verse originally was 11 + 11: 12 + 11; but its **b** is spoiled metrically  $\rfloor$ .

64. What one limb of you Agni Jātavedas left when making you go to the Fathers' world, that same for you I fill up again; revel ye, O Fathers, in heaven (*svargd*) with [all] your limbs.

HGS. has (in ii. 11. 1) an analogous but quite different verse: yad vah kravyād aāgam adahal lokān ayam praņayañ jātavedāh: tad vo 'ham punar ā veçayāmy aristāh sarvāir aāgāih sam bhavata pitarah. Most of our mss. (all except O.Op.R.), but, by his account, only one of SPP's, leave ajahāt in a unaccented; on the other hand, all without exception accent in d pitáras, which SPP. accordingly admits into his text; but our emendation to pitaras is plainly necessary. What the comm. says is here unknown, because the manuscript shows a considerable lacuna, involving the latter half of the explanation of this verse, with the text of the next and the larger part of its exposition. Kāuç. uses the verse (88. 5) in the pindapitryajña, next after vss. 74, 78, to accompany an offering of rice-grains with the stirring-stick (sāyavana L that is, sa āyavana: SPP's sāmyavana, p. 2334, does not seem right]). [As to completeness of limbs in the other world, see my note to 4. 12, above. This verse was translated metrically by Whitney, O. and L. S., i. 57.]

65. Jātavedas has been the messenger sent forth, at evening, at close of day to be honored by men; — thou hast given to the Fathers; they have eaten after their wont; eat thou, O god, the presented oblations.

We had the second half-verse above as 3.42 c, d. Part of our mss. (O.Op.R.D.), with, so far as appears, the majority of SPP's, read in **b** upavándyas, and the latter accordingly adopts it in his text; the root vand does not appear to be anywhere else combined with upa. The line reads like a kind of echo of RV. iv. 54.1 [TB. iii. 7.134]. The verse is the last one quoted in the *pindapitryajña* by Kāuç. (89.14), to accompany the withdrawal of the "extended" fires. [By "extended" I suppose W. means the technical *pranīta* (cf. comm., p. 233<sup>6</sup>). The words of Kāuç. are agnim pratyānayati: the ceremony seems to be the same as that prescribed by ÇB. at ii.  $4.2^{24}$ , *punar ulmukam api srjati*, and by ÇÇS. at iv. 5.9, *ulmukam agnāu kṛtvā*.]

66. Thou yonder, ho! hither thy mind! as sisters  $(j\bar{a}mi)$  a kákutsala, do thou cover him, O earth.

The translation implies the evidently necessary emendation to  $ds\bar{a}u$  in **a**; both editions give  $as\bar{a}ii$ , because this is read by all the mss.; the comm. understands the word as a vocative; it also reads the interjection as  $h\bar{a}$ , while the *pada*-text gives  $h\bar{a}i$ . It further glosses  $j\bar{a}mayas$  [alternatively] with *bhaginyas*, and reads *kakutsthalam*, explaining it as *pradhānāvayavapradeçam*, and paraphrasing with *putrādīnām çiraḥprabhṛtīny angāni çītātapavātanivāraņāya*. The Pet. Lexx. conjecture *kakutsala* to be a pet word for a little child. We had the third pāda above as 2. 50 d, 51 d, and 3. 50 d. Kāuç. uses the verse (86. 10) with 2. 50 and 3. 49 in the ceremony over the bone-relics. The comm. includes with it vs. 67.

67. Let the worlds where the Fathers sit adorn themselves (*çumbh*); I make thee to sit in the world where the Fathers sit.

The first phrase is VS. v. 26 f, which, however, reads *çúndhantām*; Ap. vii. 9. 10 has *çundhatām lokaḥ pitṛṣadanaḥ*. [<sup>r</sup>or variants as between *çundh* and *çumbh*, cf. notes to vi. 115. 3; xii. 2. 40; 3. 13, 21, 26; xviii. 3. 56.]

68. Thou art the *barkis* of them that are our Fathers.

The metrical description of the Anukr. implies the reading  $y\ell$  asm-. In Kāuç. (87. 27) the verse is used with 1. 51 etc. (see under 1. 51) in connection with the strewing of barhis.

69. Loosen up the uppermost fetter from us, O Varuṇa, [loosen] down the lowest, off the midmost; then may we in thy sphere, O Aditya, be guiltless unto Aditi.

The verse [which is RV. i. 24. 15, etc.] occurred above as vii. 83. 3 [which see]; among our mss., only O.R. write it out in full. The comm. notices the repetition, yet goes on to give a full explication. In Kāuç. (82. 8), it is used in the ceremony of the first day after cremation (next before 3. 56), with the direction *iti jyeṣthah*, apparently implying that "the oldest" son of the deceased pours water on the attendants; the comm. says that with this verse, immediately after the cremation, all the Brahmans should take a bath (*snānam kuryuh*).

70. Release from us all fetters, O Varuna, with which one is bound crosswise, with which lengthwise; so may we live hundreds of autumns, by thee, O king, guarded, defended.

The rendering of samāmé and vyāmé in **b** is far from certain: cf. iv. 16.8. The comm. explains: samāmo nāma vyāmasamijāitapradeçāt samkucitapramāņako deçah: saminihite pradeçe dūre pradeçe ce 'tı yāvat. Two of our mss. (O.R.) and one of SPP's read samāné; and two or three of the latter have badhyate, without accent. Nearly all, again, read çarádam in c (our O.R.p.m. [and one of SPP's]-das), and SPP. admits çarádam into his text; our <math>-dām is an emendation, and a necessary one, unless we take instead -das, as in apposition with çatāni. The comm. makes no difficulty of reading -dam, understanding it, according to one of his convenient rules of interpretation, as a sing. used in place of a plural. Most of our mss., again (except P.O.Op.R.), but only one of SPP's, accent rājan. The comm. points out that rākṣamāṇās is for rākṣya-, which is altogether probable, considering how easily a y is lost after s or c. The first pāda is identical with vii. 83.4 a. All the pada-mss. except one of SPP's read gupitā instead of -tāh. The comm. directs the verse to be recited for good fortune evening and morning at the end of the daçarātra in the pitymedha.

71. To Agni, carrier of the kavyás, [bc] svadhá [and] homage.

72. To Soma with the Fathers [be] svadhå [and] homage.

[Verses 71-87 are prose, except vs. 75, with regard to which see p. 869, ¶ 5.] These verses [71, 72] are found, in reversed order, in AÇS. ii. 6. 12. In VS. ii. 29 a, b, are found both, but with svaha in place of svadha namah, and the same in ÇÇS. iv. 4. I and GGS. iv. 2, 39, except that in the latter svaha precedes the datives. VS. accents *pitymate*. [See also under vs. 74.] In Kāuç. 87. 8, vss. 71-74 are combined in alternation with vss. 78-80 to accompany in the *pindapityyajāa* the scattering downward of three handfuls of offering; and [are used] again, later (88. 2, 3, 4) in the same ceremony, with oblations. [Verse 71 is employed by Vāit. (9. 8) in the *sākamedha*, and I suspect (see p. 869, ¶ 7) that the *sātra* intends vss. 72 and 73 also to be thus used : cf. also ApÇS. viii. 13. 15, 16.]

73. To the Fathers with Soma, svadha [and] homage.

74. To Yama with the Fathers, svadhá [and] homage.

891

For the ritual use of these verses see the preceding note. [Parallels of our vss. 72 and 74 and 71, and in that order, recur at MGS. ii. 9. 13: cf. the *pratikas* in Knauer's Index, and also under *pitrbhyas*, p. 152.]

75. Here is *svadhá* for thee, O great-grandfather, and for them that are after (ánu) thee.

76. Here is *svadhå* for thee, O grandfather, and for them that are after thee.

77. Here is svadha for thee, O father.

Passages analogous with these three verses are found in a number of other texts: TS. i. 8. 5<sup>1</sup>; ACS. ii. 6. 15; Ap. i. 9. 1 (cf. also viii. 16. 6; xiii. 12. 9); CCS. iv. 4. 2; GCS. iv. 2. 35; CB. ii. 4. 2<sup>19</sup> forbids the use of *yt ca tvåm ánu*, and KCS. iv. 1. 12 is of the same opinion. [Opposite vs. 75, W. notes K. ix. 6.] In 77 all our mss. save one (Op.) read *tátas* instead of *tata*; half of SPP's do the same. In Kāuç. 88. 11 the three verses (doubtless: only the *pratika* of 75 is quoted; the comm. says the three) are used on setting down three combined (*samhata*) *pindas* on the *barhis*; and Vāit. (22. 22) employs them similarly in the *agnistoma*. Though 75 is easily read as two *anustubh* pädas, the Anukr. allows it only 15 syllables, refusing to resolve *tu-âm* here, as it also refuses in 76. [As to vs. 75, see p. 869, ¶ 5.] [Apropos of *tata* and *tāta*, the comm. cites AA. i. 3. 3; and Sāyaṇa, in his comment on that passage, gives two little tales about Prajāpati's early linguistic ventures which remind us somewhat of the beautiful *βekós* story as told by Herodotus in the beginning of Euterpe.]

78. Svadhá to the Fathers that sit upon the earth.

79. Svadhå to the Fathers that sit in the atmosphere.

80. Svadha to the Fathers that sit in the sky (div).

These verses are found also in Ap. i. 9. 6, and in GGS. iv. 3. 10. GGS. has *prthi-visadbhyas* [and *antariksasadbhyas*]; both combine *pitrbhyo* '*ntar*-; and our O.R.K., with half of SPP's authorities, do the same; the Anukr. implies *-bhyo antar-*, but that proves nothing. For the ritual use, see under vss. 71, 72 above. Both Ap. and GGS. prescribe the verses for the case that the names of the Fathers intended are not known.

81. Homage, O Fathers, to your refreshment  $(\hbar rj)$ ; homage, O Fathers, to your sap.

82. Homage, O Fathers, to your terror \* (*bháma*); homage, O Fathers, to your fury.

83. Homage, O Fathers, to that of yours which is terrible; homage, O Fathers, to that of yours which is crucl.

84. Homage, O Fathers, to that of yours which is propitious; homage, O Fathers, to that of yours which is pleasant.

85. Homage to you, O Fathers; svadhå to you, O Fathers.

For a wonder, these formulas are written by all the mss. without variation and without error. Corresponding passages are to be found in many other texts: VS. ii. 32; TB. i. 3. 10<sup>8</sup>; MS. i. 10. 3; K. ix. 6; ÇÇS. iv. 5. 1; AÇS. ii. 7. 7; Ap. i. 10. 2 and xiii. 12. 10; GGS. iv. 3. 18-21; MB. ii. 3. 8-11; none of them agree closely with our text; but the details of accordance and of difference are not worth giving. In Kāuç. (88. 26) they accompany in the *pindapitryajña* the reverence (*upasthāna*) paid to the Fathers, being then followed by vss. 61 and 63. \*[That is, 'to your terror-inspiring fury.']

86. They who are there, O Fathers — Fathers there are ye — [be] they after you; may ye be the best of them.

87. They who are here, O Fathers — alive here are we — [be] they after us; may we be the best of them.

The translation here implies certain emendations of the text: pitaras | accentless | in 87 and the first time in 86, and the omission of ye after the second pitaras in 86: the latter is made also in our text, while SPP. reads, with the mss., ye 'tra pitárah pitáro yé 'tra yūyám sthá. As to the accent of the pitaral pitaro in 86, the mss. are wildly discordant, presenting every possible variation, and, considering the many accentual blunders which they commit in this part of the text, the details are not worth reporting, nor need we feel any hesitation in amending to what seems to make the best sense. The omission of ye is much more serious, but seems demanded by the sense, and by the analogy of 87. Similar passages are found in [TS. iii. 2. 56,] TB. i. 3. 108-9 [like TS.], and ÇÇS. iv. 5. I (the latter nearest like our text : ye 'tra pitarah pitarah stha yūyam tesām cresthā bhūyāstha: ya iha pitaro manusyā vayam tesām cresthā bhūyāsma); compare also MS. i. 10. 3 and ACS. ii. 7. 7.\* All our mss. save one (Op.), and most of SPP's, leave stha in 86 unaccented; this non-accentuation, so far as it goes, favors the omission of yé. Bhūyāstha is a grammatically impossible form, and should be emended to -sta, which is read by | TS. and | TB. in the corresponding passage; ÇÇS., as has been seen, gives -stha. One of SPP's mss. has bhūyāsta. In 87, most of the mss. insert an avasāna after smah, and SPP. follows them; it is of course senseless, unless we use one also after stha in 86 †; nor does the Anukr. appear to acknowledge it, since it notes no difference of division as between the two verses; but our | printed | text at any rate blunders in not reading either smo asman or smo 'sman since it has omitted the avasāna-mark. The metrical definitions of the Anukr. are worthless, as there is no trace of meter in the two passages; they can by violence be read into the number of syllables called for.

\*[In the second and third paragraphs below are given these passages from TB., MS., and AÇS. The TS. passage agrees with the TB. passage, save that TS. has yd'smin loké for the very bad yd'smin loké of TB.]

 $\dagger \lfloor$  We ought, I think, in fact to read with SPP. an *avasāna*-mark after *sma*<sup>*h*</sup> in vs. 87, not only as being abundantly supported by the mss. of both editions, but also as called for by the sense and the general (quadripartite) structure of the verse. And the same applies to the reading of an *avasāna*-mark after *stha* in vs. 86; it is printed in neither edition, but appears to be well warranted by the authorities of both.]

[The TB. passage, at i. 3.  $10^{8.9}$ , with the *avasānas* as printed in the Poona ed., is: yá etásmin loké sthá (8) yuṣmāns té 'nu | yè 'smin loké | mān té 'nu | yá etásmin loké sthá | yūyáni téṣām vásiṣthā bhūyāsta | yè 'smin loké | aháni téṣām vásiṣtho bhūyāsam.— This passage and its analogues have been discussed in two papers by Bohtlingk, Berichte der sachsischen Gesell., sessions of July 8, 1893, and May 2, 1896. In the first, having the TB. passage before him, he proposed to read, in place of the first sthá, the word syús, and to begin the first apodosis with it, and to delete the second sthá. In the second, having our AV. verses before him, he ascribes the false ending of bhūyāstha of 86 to the correct preceding stha; and, on the other hand, the false sma of 87 to the correct ending of bhūyāsma. The false sma, however, is — as we have seen — to be printed smo or smah (cf. Index, p. 41 b). Moreover, he suspects that the second pitaras of 86 may be a corruption of páretās ('mortui istic vos estis'): this would be an easy corruption in nāgarī, but I do not feel that páretās offers a better antithesis to the jivās of 87 (ÇÇS. manusyās) than does the word pitaras itself; and the latter are distinctly enough other-world beings: cf. 2. 48 (but also 49), and I. 50, 54 above, also x. 6. 32. — Apropos of the blunder bhūyāstha: reading Dīgha Nikāya on the day of writing the above note, I observed at ix. 7, line 5, the phrase saānā uppajjanti etc., 'ideas arise,' and then in the very next sentence, ekā saānā uppajjanti, 'a single idea arise,' with plural verb-ending, albeit the ekā makes the breach of common concord most manifest and some mss. indeed read uppajjati. For the like error, see xv. 7.3: cf. also notes xiv. 2. 59; xviii. 3. 47.]

[The MS. passage, p. 143<sup>6</sup>, is: eså yuşmåkam pitarah: imå asmåkam: jīvå vo jīvanta[h] ihd sántah syāma. — The AÇS., p. 125 end, reads: etā yuşmākam pitarah: imā asmākam: jīvā vo jīvanta iha santah syāma. To this, Gārgya, in his vrtti, adds: itikārādhyāhāreņa sūtracchedah: santah syāmeti mantrah pathitavyo vahkāram varjayitvā. — The etās and imās scem to refer to svadhās (cf. ÇÇS. as above: yā atra pitarah svadhā, yuşmākam sā: ya iha pitara edhatur, asmākam sah); and the esā of MS. appears to require correction to etā p. etāh.]

88. Thee, O Agni, would we kindle, full of light (*dyumánt*), O god, unwasting; as that very wondrous fuel of thine shall shine in the sky (div), bring thou food for thy praisers.

The verse is RV. v. 6. 4, and occurs also as SV. i. 419 and ii. 372, and in TS. iv. 4. 4<sup>6</sup> and MS. ii. 13. 7. All these agree together throughout, reading in a te agna idhfor tvā 'gna idh-, and in c syā for sā. SPP. reads in c, with the comm., yád gha, and makes no note upon it, implying that his mss. have the same; ours, however, give yád dha (p. yát: ha), in accordance with the other texts. All the mss. put an avasāna between **d** and **e** [i.e. after dyávi], and the Anukr. supports it, whence SPP. has it in his edition; we left it out as being uncalled for, and wanting in the parallel texts. For the use of the verse in Kāuç. with 3. 42, see the note to the latter: cf. p. 871, ¶ 3.

89. The moon among the waters runs, an eagle in the sky (div); they find not your track, O golden-rimmed lightnings: know me as such, O firmaments  $(r \delta das \bar{i})$ .

The verse is RV. i. 105. I and also SV. i. 417 [Träita Sāman]; and its first two pādas are VS. xxxiii. 90 a, b; it is quoted by *pratika* in GB. i. 2. 9; [pāda e is refrain all through the RV. hymn, save in the last, the 19th, verse]. Both RV. and SV. read in d vidyutas, as vocative, and the AV. mss. are divided between that and vidyutas; SPP. has the former, which is to be preferred. The comm. repeats the story of Trita and his two brothers, as "told by the Çāţyāyanins," in almost precisely the same words as those in which it is given in the commentator's introduction to RV. i. 105. [Oertel gives a summary thereof, and also the corresponding passage, JB. i. 184, text and version, JAOS. xviii.<sup>1</sup> p. 18-20.] [The comm. quotes the verse as applied in a mahāçānti called vāruņī in the Nakṣatra Kalpa, 18.] Why the verse should be found as conclusion of this book of funeral hymns is very obscure.

[Here ends the fourth *anuvāka*, with 1 hymn and 89 verses. The quoted Anukr. says *ekonanavatiç cāi 'va yame*; *u vihitā rcah*: cf. pages 814 and 869, ¶ 4, note 1.]

[Here also ends the thirty-fourth prapathaka.]

# Book XIX.

## [Supplementary hymns.]

[This nineteenth book forms a supplement to the three grand divisions of the Atharvan collection, and is shown to be a later addition by a considerable variety of cumulative evidence. The evidence concerns in part the contents of the book; in part, the character of its tradition as respects both text and division and extent; and in part, the relation of its text to the ancillary Vedic treatises, the Pada-pāțha and the Pañcapațalikā and the Prātiçākhya, and to the Kāuçika and Vāitāna sūtras.]

[The contents of book xix. resemble in large measure those of the earlier books, and wear (as W. says: see the General Introduction) the aspect of after-gleanings: cf. hymn I with i. 15; h. 18 with iv. 40; h. 34 with ii. 4, and especially 34. 4 with ii. 4. 6; h. 39 with v. 4; h. 44 with iv. 9; h. 57 with vi. 46. Had these hymns of book xix. been parts of the original collection, we should have expected (as W. intimates) to find them in their respective places with those of the earlier books. But more conclusive evidence could hardly be wished than is offered by hymn 23 of book xix., which hymn, under the form of "Homage to parts of the AV.," is incidentally also in some sort a table of contents to the preceding eighteen books, and presupposes their existence as a collection, and in an arrangement substantially accordant with that which they show in our text: cf. the introduction to h. 23.]

| The general character of the tradition in this book is strikingly inferior to that of the preceding eighteen. Such a statement can be duly verified only by a detailed study of the verses of the book, with reference to their intelligibility as they stand, and to the multiplicity or wildness of the variants presented; but a casual glance at the footnotes on pages 478, 484-5, and 539 of the Bombay edition will give some idea of their multiplicity. Many of them (like trin nakans at 27.4: see W's note) "are of the superficial variety of discordant readings which swarm in this book and have no real importance." Others are blunders of the grossest sort, as to which there is substantial agreement among the authorities or even complete harmony: such for example is the impossible yásmāi . . . yacchati at 32. 2, where not a single one has the absolutely necessary yácchati: cf. W's note to 45.5. Especially noteworthy is vs. 4 of h. 40 as illustrating "what this nineteenth book can do in the way of corruption even of a text that is intelligibly handed down elsewhere" (so Whitney: the AV. version is so utterly corrupt that he is forced to translate from the RV. version, RV. i. 46.6). If degrees of corruption and badness are to be distinguished, perhaps we may set down 49. 2 as the worst in book xix., or possibly in books i.-xix.; in the latter case, vi. 22. 3 is surely a close second. The uncertainties of the tradition of this book as to the precise amount of material to be included in it, and as to its division and the numeration of the parts, are rehearsed in the sequel: cf. the references at p. 898, end of ¶ 2.

**L**Relation of the text of book xix. to the ancillary Vedic treatises. — First, the Padapāțha appears to be very modern, as it is certainly very blundering and untrustworthy: see SPP's notes on pages 410 and 460 and especially 543, and W's note on hymn 68, and observe, for example, the wild resolution of vdrma sivyadhvám as vdrma:asi:vioadhvám at 58.4. The corruptness of the text made Whitney doubt (in 1862: see his Prāt., p. 581) the existence of a *pada-pāțha*. — Second, book xix. is entirely ignored by the Pañcapațalikā or Old Anukr., as is stated also by SPP. in his Critical Notice, vol. i., p. 24. — Third, "to the apprehension of the Prātiçākhya the Atharva-Veda comprehended only the first eighteen books of the present collection": so Whitney, Prāt., p. 581; cf. his Index of passages referred to by the AV. Prāt., p. 600 c, and especially his notes to Prāt. ii. 67 c and ii. 22.

Relation of book xix. to Kāuçika. — The sūtra-citations do not imply recognition of the text of book xix. as an integral part of the sainhita. -- Bloomfield has made a critical separation of the more original vidhāna-matter from the grhya-matter in the text of Kāuçika, and styles the former "Atharva-sūtra" or "Vidhāna-sūtra": see his Introd. to Kāuç., p. xxviii., and his essay in Gottingische gelehrte Anzeigen, 1902, p. 489. His Vidhāna-sūtra comprehends the text of Kauç. from the beginning of kandikā 7 to the end of kandikā 52, excepting perhaps most of the matter (42. 19 to 43. 20) just preceding the vaçāçamana, and excepting the vaçāçamana itself (43.21 to 45.19): that is, his Vidhāna-sūtra runs from 7. 1 to 42. 18 and from 46. 1 to 52. 21.\* - Now it is in the first place to be noted that no verse whatever is cited in the text of the "Vidhānasūtra" (whether by pratīka or by technical designation or in sakalapāțha) which is also to be found in book xix., with the single exception of prana pranam. † In the second place, disregarding the verses cited by technical designation (the "jivās-verses"; see below) and those which are cited in full and by pratika besides (33.3; 52.5; 72.1: see below), it appears that there are in the entire text of Kāuçika only six pratīkas which might seem at first blush to imply the recognition of book xix. as part of the Atharvan text by Kāuçika. The six *pratikas* cover some eleven verses. Including with them a seventh pratika, devásya tvā, I give them in tabular form :

( az ( tvám agne vratapắ asi (xix. 59. 1-3)	[Dārila, in full; RV.MS.TS.VS.]		
6. 37 { tvåm agne vratapå asi (xix. 59. 1-3) kāmas tád ágre 45. 17 kāmas tád ágre 68. 29 kāmas tád			
45. 17 kāmas túd ágre > (xix. 52. 1 ‡)	[RV.TB.TA.]		
68. 29 kāmas túd )			
	[Daç. Kar., in full; AGS.PGS.		
57. 26 ágne samldham āhārṣam (xix. 64. 1–4)	[Daç. Kar., in full; AGS.PGS. ÇGS.IIGS.GGS.MB.]		
66. 1 vān maāsán (xix. 60. 1)	[TS.TA.PGS.]		
( <i>áyuto hám</i> (xix. 51. 1)	[3]		
91. 3 { <i>dyuto 'hám</i> (xix. 51. 1) <i>dcvásya tvā savitús</i> (xix. 51. 2)	[Passim.]		
139. 10 ázyacasaç ca (xix. 68. 1)	[Daç. Kar.; Ath. Paddh.; Keçavī.]		

The place of citation in Kāuçika is given at the left; the place of occurrence in AV. is given in parentheses; and the texts, other than AV., in which the mantras occur, are noted at the right in square brackets.] \*[This delimitation of the Vidhāna-sūtra differs slightly from Bloomfield's as given in the places just cited: it has been revised with the help of a friendly note from him.]  $\dagger$ [The verse *prāņa prāņám* (xix. 44. 4) is cited at 47. 16, which is a part of Bloomfield's "Vidhāna-sūtra," and seems to have been overlooked by him at p. xxxi.]  $\ddagger$ [Keçava (on 6. 37) and Dārila (on 45. 17) understand the whole AV. hymn of five verses as intended by *kāmas tád*.]

[Citations by pratīka. — The three phrases, (1) devásya tvā savitúk prasavé and (2) açvinor bāhúbhyām and (3)  $p \bar{u} s n \delta$  hástābhyām, are unvaried as between the AV. text and the citations by Kāuç. (in full at 2. 1 and 2. 21 and 137. 18: the citation at 91.3 is

by pratīka with dyuto 'hâm) and by Vāit. (in full at 3.9): what follows hástābhyām is, at Kāuç. 2. 1, agnâye jústam nír vapāmi (as at TS. i. 1.4<sup>2</sup>); at 2. 21 and in Vāit., it is prasūtah praçisā paristrņāmi (Vāit. pratigrhņāmi); and at 137. 18 it is å dade (as at TS. i. 3. 1<sup>1</sup> and very often); while AV. xix. differs from all these in adding prásūta å rabhe. The phrases are of such extremely frequent occurrence (see introd. to h. 51) that they may be called a commonplace of the sūtra-literature; and, as W. intimates, the pratīka-citation by Kāuç. is not to be regarded as having any special reference to our book xix., — much less the citations in full by Kāuç. and Vāit. The case is a typical and striking one. Of the same type are the hymns tvám agne vratapā asi and ágne samīdham āhārṣam, both of which, besides, are given by the scholia in sakalapāṭha. For the rest, so far as any necessary connection with book xix. is concerned, vāū ma āsán and kāmas tád and ávyacasaç ca may fairly be regarded as kalpajā mantrās. Only for *Ayuto 'hâm* am I unable to point out occurrences elsewhere than in book xix.; bu it may be noted that the comm., at p. 4994, takes *dyuto 'hám* and the immediately following *devásya tvā* as one sūkta of sacrificial formulas, yajurmantrātmakam sūktam.]

**[Citations by technical designation.** — Thrice in the text of Kāuç. (at 3.4; 58.7; 90.22), as also once in Vāit. (at 1.19), we meet the prescription *jivābhir ācamya*. The "*jīvās*-verses," says Dārila (on 3.4), mean "four verses beginning with *jīvā stha.*" They are associated, both at Kāuç. 3.4 and at Vāit. 1.18, 19 as well, with other *sūtra*-material, and in particular also with the five *prapads* (which are called in Vāit. *prapadanas* and which Dārila characterizes as *kalpaja*): considering this fact, the citation may well be viewed as containing no distinct reference to our book xix., albeit indeed the verses are found there as 69. 1-4; and the entire absence of *sakalapātha* both in text and in scholia, if taken in connection with the mode of citation (by a technical name and so without *iti*), does not appear to be inconsistent with this view.]

| Citations in sakalapātha. --- The most conclusive evidence to show that book xix. was not recognized by Kāuç. is afforded by the five verses which, although occurring in our xix., are yet cited by Kāuç. in full (sakalapāțha) : these are 59.3; 33.3 and 44.4; and 52. 5 and 72. 1. As to the first of the five, a devanam api pantham aganma, cited at 5. 12, Bloomfield has already remarked in his note that the sakalapāțha shows that it is regarded as coming from some other source than our book xix., and it is in fact not infrequent elsewhere (RV.MS.TS.CB.); moreover, it is a part of the same group as tvám agne vratapá asi, of which group, as already noted, Dārila (on 6. 37) gives the The verses tvám bhumim (cited in full at 2.1: a later citation, at sakalapāțha. 137. 32, is naturally by pratīka) and prāņa prāņām (cited in full at 47. 16) have not been found, so far as I know, except at xix. 33. 3 and 44. 4; but of the former Dārila Finally, there remain the cases of yát kāma and expressly says that it is kalpaja. yásmāt kóçāt. These are peculiar in that they are cited at 92. 30 and 139. 25 by pratika, and immediately thereafter (at 92. 31 and 139. 26) in sakalapāțha: cf. Bloomfield's Introduction, p. xxix. The verse yát kāma is found at xix. 52. 5, and yásmāt kóçāt at the end of the book, and neither elsewhere.

**Relation of book xix. to Väitäna.** — Still less than Kāuçika, does Väitāna imply by its citations a recognition of the text of book xix. as an integral part of the samhitā. — In all Vāitāna there are only seven sūtras (Garbe gives five) that cite passages occurring in book xix.: they are Vāit. 1. 18 and 19, citing the *apratiratha* hymn and the "*jivās*verses"; 3. 5 and 19. 12, citing *å devānām*; 3. 9, citing *devásya tvā*; 28. 14, citing yád agne yáni kāni cit; and 37. 19, citing sahásrabāhus. Of these, the *devásya tvā*, the "*jīvās*-verses," and the *å devānām* are cited also by Kāuç. and have already been sufficiently discussed. Of the remaining three: the *puruṣa* hymn (sahásrabāhus: xix. 6) appears also in RV.VS.TA.SV.; and the verse yád agne yāni kāni cit (xix. 64.3) is common to RV., and to the Yajus texts, MS.K.Kap.TS.VS.; while the apratiratha hymn ( $\bar{a}_{c}$ iih cicānah: xix. 13.2 ff.) is found in RV. and the Yajus texts just named and in SV. also. ]

**Divisions of the book.** — The *prapāţhaka*-division is not found in this book, having ended with book xviii.; nor does any decad-division appear. The following statements refer to the hymns as printed, divided, and numbered in the Berlin edition. The book numbers 72 hymns, with 456 verses, and is divided into seven *anuvākas*. If the verses numbered 455, a precisely even division would give 65 to each *anuvāka*, and it appears that the division aims in general to make each *anuvāka* as nearly of that length as may be without breaking hymns: but hymn 20 is put into *anuvāka* 2 rather than 3, because it forms a subject-group with hymns 17-19; for a like reason the limit of *anuvāka* 4 is set after hymn 33 and not before it; and that of *anuvāka* 5, after hymn 45 and not before it. A tabular conspectus follows:

Anuvākas	I	2	3	4	5	6	7
Hymns	9	II	6	7	12	9	18
Verses	59	72	65	68	74	63	55

Sum, 456 verses. Several mss. sum up the verses as 457. Uncertainty of verse numeration affects hymns 16, 27, 38, 47, 54, 55, 57, and 67 (see notes to the hymns). The comm. omits hymns 60-63 and reckons 69 and 70 as one hymn (see introd. to h. 60); and some mss. insert RV. i. 99 between our 65 and 66.]

#### 1. With an oblation for confluence.

### [Brahman. — Ltrcam.] yājāikam ; cāndramasam. ānustubham : 1, a. pathyābrhatyāu ; 3. paūkti.]

The hymn is found also in Pāipp. xix. (the order of vss. 2 and 3 being inverted). It resembles i. 15, and, as it has the same *pratika* of the first verse, the comm. maintains that it may be used along with or instead of that hymn where the latter is quoted (Kāuç. 19. 4, and Nakṣ. K. 20). [Cf. also note to Kāuç. 19. 1.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 259.

1. Together, together let the rivers flow, together the winds, together the birds; increase ye this sacrifice, O songs (gir); I make offering with an oblation of confluence.

The first half-verse is nearly identical with i. 15. 1 a, b; the third pāda, nearly with i. 15. 2 c; the last pāda, with i. 15. 1 d; ii. 26. 3 d. The translation implies *giras*, voc., in this verse and the next; it is read by the mss. almost without exception, and so by SPP's text; also in i. 15. 2. Ppp. reads in a *sravanti sindhavah*.

2. This sacrifice do ye aid, O offerings (homa); this one, ye also that flow together : increase ye this sacrifice, O songs; I make offering with an oblation of confluence.

SPP. reads in a homās, with all the mss., but our emendation to homās is evidently demanded by the sense; the comm. also understands the word as vocative. Ppp. reads homā yajūa pacate idam, and uses the last half of vs. 3 as refrain, instead of that of vs. 1.

–xix. 2

3. Form by form, vigor (váyas) by vigor — taking hold together I embrace him : let the four quarters increase this sacrifice; I make offering with an oblation of confluence.

The comm. understands the sacrificer by *enam* in **b**. [In **c**, *cátasra*<sup>h</sup> is metrically and otherwise superfluous.]

The metrical definitions given by the Anukr. for this hymn are of no value; the first two are inexact even as regards a mechanical count of syllables.

## 2. Praise and prayer to the waters.

[Sındhudvīpa. — pañcarcam. āpyam. ānustubham.]

Found also in Päipp. viii. The comm. finds it used in Naks. K. 20, in addressing waters brought from streams etc. for a ccremony of appeasement.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 259.

I. Weal to thee [be] the waters from the snowy mountains ( $h\bar{a}imavat\dot{a}$ ), and weal be to thee those from the fountains; weal to thee the running waters, and weal to thee be those of the rain.

Our sanisyadás in c is an emendation, and called for see Skt. Gram. § 1148. 4. k., near the end ]; all the mss., and SPP., accent sanisyádās. Many of the mss. accent tt in d. The comm. omits u in b. The pada-mss. make the absurd division saniosyádāh. Ppp. makes the combinations çain tā "po and -syadā "paç  $\varsigma$ -.

2. Weal to thee [be] the waters of the wastes, weal be to thee those of the marshes; weal to thee the waters of the canals (*khanitrima*), weal those brought with vessels.

Ppp. has again *çaii tā "po dh-*; [also-*trimā "paç ç-*]. TA. (in vi. 4. 1) has a verse and a half similar to these two, and in part accordant with them (reading corruptly *anūkyās*): [Poona ed., p. 420, rightly *anūkyàs*]. Our i. 6. 4 above is still more closely analogous.

3. Digging for themselves without shovels, keen (*vtpra*), working in the deep (*gambhīrá*), more healing than the healers (*bhisáj*), the waters we address.

The mss. and SPP. with them, read in **b** gambhīré apásah, which is good enough to be exempt from emendation; the comm. seems to make a compound, gambhīreapasah. The mss. and SPP. also have in **d** áchā vad- (p. ácha : vad-); it was altered in our text to achāvad- (= achaoāvad-) because the Atharvan everywhere else [except iii. 20. 2] reads the latter and not the former. Ppp. reads and combines gambhīrepsā bhisagbhyo bhisaktarā "po a-.

4. Of the waters indeed from the sky, of the waters from the streams (srotasyd) — in the forth-washing indeed of the waters, ye become vigorous (vajin) horses.

The last pāda is very literally rendered; anything else would require some alteration of the text; it is identical with i. 4. 4 c. SPP. reads in a *divyānām*, with very nearly all the mss.; the emendation of accent  $\lfloor divyā$ , as in the Berlin text  $\rfloor$  is unquestionably to be made.  $\lfloor$  Error due to *srotasyānām*? $\rfloor$ 

5. Weal [be] to thee the waters, propitious the waters, effecting freedom from  $y \dot{a} k sma$  the waters; just as joy to one who thirsts, [be] they for thee healers of dislocation.

The translation follows our text, which has numerous emendations. At the beginning, the mss. and SPP. read  $t\bar{a}s$  for  $c\dot{a}m$  te ( $c\bar{a}nt\bar{a}s$  might be better); the latter was intended to fill up both sense and meter (the Anukr. says nothing of a defective  $p\bar{a}da$ ; but this is of very little account). Then they have thrice apds in a, b, instead of  $d\bar{a}pas$ ; but the comm. has  $\bar{a}pas$  both times in a. In c they all give trpyate; Ppp. has  $ath\bar{a}i$ 'vea drcyate mayas. For d, SPP. reads  $t\bar{a}s$  ta d datta bhesajih, with the comm. [who understands the second word as te or also as te]; the mss. mostly have  $\bar{a}d$  uta (p.  $\bar{a}t : uta$ ), but they vary to  $\bar{a}dutta$ ,  $\bar{a}data$ ,  $[\bar{a}datta, \bar{a}druta, ] \bar{a}huta$ , with various accentuation. The verse is so corrupt throughout that it offers a free field for conjectural emendation. SPP. combines in b apb' yaksmainkdar, which is inadmissible, though found in the mss.; we must change to apd'y, if not to dpo'y. Ppp. makes in **a** its usual combinations,  $t\bar{a}$  "pace civa" po'y-, and reads for **d** tva bhesajih.

## 3. Praise to Agni.

#### [Atharvanguras. - caturrcam. agneyam. traistubham: 2. bhurij.]

The hymn occurs also in Päipp. xx., but only in fragments, not intelligible beyond the first half-verse. The comm. notices that the hymn has the same *pratika* as ix. 1, and labors to point out that it [xix. 3] and its successor have features adapting them to the same use as the two parts of ix. 1; and that hence they may also be regarded as quoted (Kāuç. 10. 24; 12. 15; etc.) by that *pratika*: this is, of course, a worthless bit of special pleading. Vāit. (16. 12), wishing to quote ix. 1 only, adds the specification *madhusüktena*.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 260.

1. Forth from the sky, from the carth, from the atmosphere, out of the forest trees, the herbs — whithersoever borne, O Jātavedas, come thou, enjoying, thence to us.

The translation implies emendation to  $j\bar{a}tavedas$ , voc., in c. SPP. reads in d  $t\delta ta$ stutb j-, with nearly all the mss.; one or two read  $t\delta tas$ -tato j-; [this report coincides virtually with the *Index*, p. 124 b: but, if I understand the Collation Book, P. and M., which Whitney here intends, read  $t\delta tas$  tatb j-, which is neither one thing nor the other, but a confusion between the *āmredita* and  $t\delta tas + stutb;$ ] and the comm. has tatastatak. The mss. also, almost without exception, give blbhrtas or blbhratas in c; here SPP's text agrees with ours, and with the comm. Ppp. has for **b** vātā paçubhyo ay osadhtbhyak, evidently intending the text which TB. has in a corresponding verse (in i. 2. 1<sup>22</sup>), vātāt paçúbhyo ddhy osadhībhyak. For c, d TB. has yátra-yatra jātavedak sambabhāra [so Calc. ed, text, p. 32, comm., p. 91; but Poona ed. has aright sambabhātha, text and comm., p. 83] táto no agne jusámāna é'hi; Ap. (in v. 13. 4) agrees with TB. [precisely: reading sambabhātha].

2. What thy greatness is in the waters, what in the woods, what in the herbs, in the cattle, within the waters — all thy bodies  $(tan\dot{n})$ , O Agni, grasp together; with them come to us, a giver of property, unfailing.

Two or three of our mss.  $\lfloor$  and (six) half  $\rfloor$  of SPP's, read *tanvà h* in *c*, and some of ours have *bharasva* instead of *rabhasva*, probably as an only accidental variation, though *bharasva* would be a very good reading. A little emendation would rid us of the otiose repetition of *apsú* in the first line.  $\lfloor$  In **d** we have to pronounce *nāt 'hi*, with double sandhi (as often in Ppp.).  $\rfloor$ 

3. What thy heavenly (*svargá*) greatness is, among the gods, what body of thine entered into the Fathers, what prosperity of thine was spread among men (*manusyà*) — therewith, O Agni, assign wealth to us.

The translation implies at end of **a** strargás [so SPP.]; our text has -ge' on the authority of only a single ms., and against the comm. [strargah] and the parallel texts [surrargáh] as found in TB. (in i. 2.  $1^{21-2}$ ) and  $\overline{Ap}$ . (in v. 13. 4). Both these read further, for **b**, yas ta ātmā paçúsu právistah, and, for **d**, táyā no agne jusámāna é'hi; while TB. has in **c** prathé for paprathé [so Calc. ed., text and comm.: but Poona aright, paprathé]. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in **c**.

4. To him of hearing ears, the poet, worthy to be known, I apply for gifts  $(r\bar{a}tt)$  with words, with speeches; whence [there is] fear, be there no fear for us; pacify (ava-yaj), O Agni, the wrath (helas) of the gods.

The verse is found also in  $\overline{Ap}$ . xiv. 17. 1, but with very different **b**: namobhir nākam upa yāmi çansan; with tat kṛdhī naḥ at end of **c**; and, for **d**, 'gne devānām ava heḍa iyakṣva: cf. also  $\overline{Ap}$ . v. 5.8, which is far more different.  $\lfloor \ln c, asty$  is a misprint for astv.  $\rfloor$ 

#### 4. To various divinities.

[Atharvāngıras.—caturr.cam. āgneyam [2. mantroktadevatyā] trāvstubham : 1 5-p. virād atiyagatī ; 2 jagatī.]

The second, third, and fourth verses are found in Pāipp. xix. Translated: Griffith, ii. 261.

I. What oblation (*ahuti*) Atharvan sacrificed first, with what one Jātavedas made an offering, that same do I first call loudly for thee; gratified with that, let Agni carry the offering : hail to Agni.

This version represents neither of the edited texts, nor the mss., nor the comm., but is a pure make-shift. SPP. reads in **a-b** dtharva  $y\dot{a}$   $jat\dot{a}$   $y\dot{a}$  h, and at beginning of **d**  $t\dot{a}bhi$  stuptov (p.  $t\dot{a}bhih$ : stuptdh; so all the pada-mss. [but Op. and L. have sruptdh]; what stuptdh [or sruptdh, for that matter] should be supposed to be is a complete mystery). The comm. reads in **a-b** atharva  $y\dot{a}$   $j\dot{a}tdya$  havyām, and in **d**  $t\ddot{a}bhi$ stutah; he explains that Atharvan means the paramātman, who at the beginning of creation made an oblation to please the gods whom he had created; pāda **b** signifies this: "what  $(y\bar{a}$  being used instead of  $y\bar{a}m$ ) oblation, given by Atharvan, Jātavedas made worthy to be offered for his progeny [the progeny of Atharvan in the rôle of paramātman?]: that is, for the crowd of gods made manifest by him." Our  $\bar{a}yeje$  in **a** is indefensible, but the translation implies  $eje((\bar{a}\cdot ije))$  or something equivalent; in **d** it implies  $tdy\bar{a}$  trpto v-; all the mss. have -pto, except one of ours s.m., which favors the comm. Johavīmi possibly comes from root hu (so BR.) instead of  $h\bar{u}$ . We ought to have in **a**  $dk\bar{u}tum$ , as in the following verses, but it is not easy to reconstruct the verse so as to match that emendation. 2. Heavenly fortunate design  $(dk\bar{u}ti)$  do I put forward  $(puro-dh\bar{a})$ ; let the mother of intent  $(citt\dot{a})$  be easy of invocation for us; to what expectation I go, be it entirely mine; may I find it entered into [my] mind.

Half the mss. accent in **b** *ctttasya*; in **c** and **d**, all have *emi* and [nearly all] *vtdeyam*, which SPP. accordingly admits into his text; our *émi* and *vidéyam* are necessary emendations: in such a condition of text as is offered in this book, it is useless to be governed by the tradition when it is certainly and palpably wrong. The verse is found also in TB. (in ii.  $5.3^2$ ), which reads in a mánasas for subhágām, in **b** [yajādsya for *tittásya* and] me for nas, and for **c**, **d** yád icchāmi mánasā sákāmo vidéyam enad dhŕaye ntvistam. Ppp. reads devyām in **a**, and me 'stu in **b** [? or **c**?]. The first pāda is the only jagatī element in the verse.

3. With design to us, O Brihaspati, with design come thou unto us; then assign to us of fortune (bhága); then be easy of invocation for us.

The comm. has in c dehi. The definition of the verse as an anustubh has apparently dropped out of the Anukr. Ppp. reads in d subhagas.

4. Let Brihaspati acknowledge my design, the son of Angiras this [my] speech; of whom the gods, the deities, came into being, let that desire (káma), well-conducting, go after us.

Kāmas in **d** is shown both by meter and by sense to be intrusive; also the omission of vacam in **b** would improve the verse in both respects, making it easier to understand  $a\bar{n}giras ds$  as simply epithet of Brhaspati. The mss. differ in their accent of sambabhāvus; [of SPP's authorities, about seven accent sam-, and four accent -vuh]. All read in **d** supranitas, which SPP. accepts in his text. Ppp. gives tasya devā devātā sambabhāva çiçupranitha, which is too corrupt to give any help. Ppp. also combines in **a**  $m\bar{a}$  "kūtim. The comm. has abhy etu in **d**. The omission of metrical definition by the Anukr. seems due to a lacuna. [If the suspicions resting on vacam and kamas are justified, the vs. would scan smoothly as 8 + 11 : 11 + 11.]

#### 5. Praise and prayer to Indra.

#### [Atharvāngıras (?). — ekarcam. āındram. trāistubham.]

The verse is RV. vii. 27. 3, without variation, and is found also, with the same text, in Pāipp. xx. The comm. gives as its *viniyoga* that one who desires riches may worship Indra with it.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 261 ; also by the RV. translators.

I. Indra [is] king of the moving creation (jdgat), of human beings (car;ant), whatever of various form is upon the earth (k;dm); thence he gives good things to his worshiper  $(d\bar{a};vd\bar{n}s)$ ; may he, whenever praised, urge (cud) hitherward bestowal (rddhas).

## 6. Purusha and his sacrifice.

#### [Nārāyaņa. — sodaçarcam. purusadevatyam. ānustubham.]

This is the familiar *purusa*-hymn of the Rig-Veda [x. 90] with considerable variation in the order of the verses, but comparatively little in the readings. The RV. verses

-xix. 6

are found here in the following order: 1, 4, 3, 2, 11-14, 5-7, 10, 9, 8, 15. The same hymn occurs in VS. xxxi. (in the order of RV. verses 1-5, 8-10, 7, 11-14, 6, 15) and in TA. iii. 12 (in the order of RV. verses 1-6, 15,  $7^{-1}$ 14); also the first five RV. verses in the 7th or Näigeya chapter of SV. [Näigeya 33-37 = SV. i. 618-622] (in the order of RV. verses 1, 4, 2ab3cd, 3ab2cd, 5). The verses (except our 7 and 8) occur also in Päipp. ix. In Väit. (37. 19), the hymn is cited, with x. 2, in the *purusamedha*, accompanying the release of the human victim; and the comm. finds it used in the Çāntikalpa xv., and in Pariçişta x. 1. — [The Bombay ed. makes two hymns of this hymn: see note at end of the *anuvāka*, p. 915.]

[In the WZKM., xii. 277-280, von Schroeder reports the existence of the Purusha hymn in two recensions in the *rcaka* of the Kathas, and observes that the passage may come from a Katha Brāhmaṇa or Āraṇyaka. The first recension accords with that of RV.: the second also agrees in general with that of RV., except for the variants which I have reported below under verses I, 2, 3, 5, 7 (the most important), 9, 12, 14; and, further, it agrees with RV. in the order of the verses from I to 15. For brevity, I refer to the source of these variants as the KathaB.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 262-265 ; and, as RV. hymn, very often : so by Colebrooke (1798), in Misc. Essays 2, i. 183; by Burnouf (1840), in the Preface to his great folio ed. of the Bhagavata Purana (see pages cxiv to cxxiv); by Muir, v. 367; Ludwig, Der Rigveda, ii., p. 574, notes in v., p. 437; Grassmann, Rig-Veda, ii., p. 486; Zimmer, p. 217; Scherman, Philosophische Hymnen, pages 11-23 (with ample notes); Henry W. Wallis, Cosmology of the RV., p. 87; P. Peterson, Hymns from the RV., p. 289; Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 150-158 (repeated in his Sechzig Upanishads, p. 830).-Finally, as VS. hymn, it was translated by Weber (apropos of Anquetil du Perron's Upanishads), in Indische Studien, ix. 5, with instructive notes and introduction and a tabular view (p. 4) of the sequence of the verses in RV., TA., VS., and AV.; and also by Griffith, in The Texts of the White Yajurveda, p. 260. - It may be added that the text of the hymn with Sāyana's comment was published as a separate work as no. 3 of the Ananda Açrama Series. - Burnouf cited and translated the hymn for the purpose of comparison with the corresponding passage in the Purana, ii. 5.35-6.29, pages 235-241. Note the multum-in-parvo half of vs. 35, sahasro-"rv-anghri-bahv-aksah sahasra-"nana-çīrşavān. - Especial attention is called to Deussen's elaborate introduction to his translation in his Geschichte, as cited above, p. 150-156.

1. Thousand-armed is Purusha, thousand-eyed, thousand-footed; he, covering the earth entirely, exceeded it by ten fingers' breadth.

[The verse is RV. x. 90. 1; VS. xxxi. 1; SV. i. 618; TA. iii. 12. 1.] All the other texts begin with sahásraçīrṣā (SV. -rṣāḥ); SV.VS. [KaṭhaB.] have in c sarvátas, and VS. after it sprtvā; [von Schroeder reports the KaṭhaB. reading as smrtvā: but perhaps the intention of his mss. is rather sprtvā]. The comm. gives very long expositions of most of the verses, but casts no light upon them. [Deussen, p. 150, calls the substitution of -bāhuḥ for -çīrṣā a "rationalizing variant: because, if Purusha has 1000 eyes, he ought to have only 500 heads"! But even the AV. comm. glosses sahasrākṣaḥ by bahubhir akṣibhir upetaḥ.]

2. With three feet he ascended the sky; a foot of him, again, was here; so he strode out asunder, after eating and non-eating.

[RV. x. 90. 4; VS. xxxi. 4; SV. i. 619; TA. iii. 12. 2.] RV. has a quite different text: tripắd ūrdhvá úd āit púrusah pắdo 'sye 'há 'bhavat púnah: táto visvañ vy akrāmat sāçanānaçané abht; VS. agrees with this throughout; [and so does KaṭhaB, except that it has bhāmim for  $vl_{S}va\overline{n}$ ]; TA. differs only by reading in **b** 'hā "bhavāt [i.e. ' $hd: \dot{a}: bhavāt$ ?] (doubtless, however, a misprint); [but  $\dot{a}bhavat$  is read by both Calc. and Poona ed's in the text; while both ed's have  $\ddot{a}bhavat$  in the comm.]; SV. differs (and agrees with AV.) by tdthā in **c** and açan- in **d**. The comm. has in  $a\bar{a}$ 'rohat, and in **b** (with RV. etc.)  $p\dot{a}do$  'sya; two or three of SPI's authorities agree with the comm. in both points. The pada-mss. give in **b**  $p\dot{a}dasya$ ; [but SPP. accepts  $p\dot{a}t: asya$  in his pada-text]. No samhitā-ms. has  $vl_{S}va\bar{n}\bar{n} a$ -, and accordingly SPP., against all rule and usage, admits  $vl_{S}va\bar{n} a$ - in his text; but he accents açanānaçané with us, though almost all the mss. have açanānaçané. The comm. gives an absurd array of discordant explanations of this compound:  $aqan\bar{a}$  is "men, animals, etc.," and anaqanā "gods, trees, etc."; then (adhyātmapakṣe), the two are "the immovable and movable creation," or else "the intelligent (cetana) and unintelligent creation."

3. So many are his greatnesses; and Purusha is superior (jydyan) to that; a foot of him is all beings (bhutd); three feet of him are what is immortal in the sky.

[RV. x. 90. 3; VS. xxxi. 3; SV. i. 621; TA. iii. 12. 1; ChU. iii. 12. 6.] RV. reads in **a**, **b**: etâvān asya mahimā 'to jy-; VS.TA. agree with RV. (but TA. [in the Calc. ed. only] shortens the  $\bar{u}$  of ptirusah in **b**). [SV. makes up its vss. 620 and 621 thus: 620 = RV. 2 **a**, **b** (our 4 **a**, **b**) + RV. 3 **c**, **d** (our 3 **c**, **d**); 621 = RV. 3 **a**, **b** (our 3 **a**, **b**) + RV. 2 **c**, **d** (our 4 **c**, **d**); that is, between the two halves of our vs. 4, it interjects the two halves of our vs. 3 in inverted order.] SV. has for our **a**, **b**, tâvān asya mahimā táto jy- etc.; and, for the vl( $v\bar{v}a$  of our **c**, it has sár $v\bar{a}$ ; [and so has KathaB.]. Ppp. omits asya in **a** and has  $p\bar{a}d$  asya in **c**. 'Foot,' of course, in this and in the next verse, = 'quarter.' [ChU. agrees with SV., except that it does not dislocate the two halves of our vs. As to the vs. in ChU., cf. Bohtlingk, Berichte der sachs. Gesell., July 10, 1897, p. 82; in his edition, he emends the vs. to conformity with the RV. readings.]

4. Purusha is just this all, what is and what is to be; also [is he] lord  $(\bar{i}cvar\dot{a})$  of immortality, which was together with another.

[RV. x. 90. 2; VS. xxxi. 2; SV. i. 620; TA. iii. 12. I.] The wholly obscure last pāda is doubtless a mere corruption, all the other texts reading instead yád ánnenā 'tiróhati (which is itself obscure enough). In c, all of them give  $i \zeta anas;$  and in b, RV.TA. [and Ppp. and KaṭhaB.] have bhávyam, SV. bhāvyam, VS. bhāvyàm; this last should be the reading of our text also, as all the mss. have it; [rather: all of W's and seven of SPP's ten authorities;] SPP. accepts it; the comm. has bhavyam, and, in d, annena. Some of the mss. accent ányena; [that is, they have the spelling of anyéna and the accent of ánnena]. [Pada b is nearly = xiii. 1. 54 d.]

5. When they separated (*vi-dhā*) Purusha, in how many parts did they distribute (*vi-klp*) him? what was his face? what his (two) arms? what are called his (two) thighs [and] feet?

[RV. x. 90. 11; VS. xxxi. 10; TA. iii. 12. 5.] The mss. vary between vyádadhus and vy àdadhus; the pada-mss., between vi:ad- and vi:dd: the latter is (without any good reason: cf. my Skt. Gr.<sup>2</sup> § 1084 a) [and note to xviii. 1. 39] the reading of the RV. pada-text. [In b, KathaB. has enam for our vi.] In c, d, VS. agrees with our text, save that it wantonly defaces the meter by intruding an unnecessary  $\bar{asit}$  after asya; RV.TA. have for kim (except the first time)  $k\bar{a}\dot{u}$ ; and RV. combines  $k\bar{a}$  u- and  $p\bar{a}d\bar{a}$ uc- [AV. and RV. pada-texts,  $p\bar{a}d\bar{a}u$ ], while TA. has  $k\bar{a}v$  and  $p\bar{a}d\bar{a}v$ ; Ppp. has  $p\bar{a}d\bar{a}v$ ucyate: cf. Prāt. ii. 22 and note; [also my Noun-Inflection, p. 341]. [KațhaB. agrees with VS. in showing the intrusive  $\bar{a}s\bar{i}t$ , and with Ppp. in reading the ungrammatical ucyate.]

6. The Brahman was his face; the Kshatriya (*rājanyd*) became his (two) arms; the Vāiçya [was] his middle; from his (two) feet was born the Çūdra.

[RV. x. 90. 12; VS. xxxi. 11; TA. iii. 12. 5.] The other three texts read in b rajanyah krtah, and in c  $\bar{u}r\bar{u}$  (for mádhyam).

7. The moon [is] born from his mind; from his eye the sun was born; from his mouth both Indra and Agni; from his breath Vāyu was born.

[RV. x. 90. 13; VS. xxxi. 12; TA. iii. 12. 6.] RV.TA. have no variant from our text; VS. reads for the second line *crótrād vāyúc ca prānác ca múkhād agnir ajāyata*. [KaṭhaB. has for **b** the much better *cákṣuṣor ádhi sūryaḥ* (avoiding the undesirable *cákṣos:* see *Noun-Inflection*, p. 569 top, p. 410 top); and in **c**, **d** it agrees with VS., except that it substitutes *násor* for *crótrād*.]

8. From his navel was the atmosphere; from his head the sky came into being (sam-vit); from his (two) feet the earth, the quarters from his ear (crótra): so shaped they the worlds.

[RV. x. 90. 14; VS. xxxi. 13; TA. iii. 12. 6.] The three other texts agree with ours throughout.

9. Virāj in the beginning came into being  $(sam bh\bar{u})$ ; out of Virāj, Purusha; it, when born, exceeded the earth behind, also in front.

[RV. x. 90. 5; VS. xxxi. 5; SV. i. 622; TA. iii. 12. 2.] RV.TA. read, for **a**, tásmād virād ajāyata; SV.VS. [and KathaB. read] the same, save tátas for tásmāt. Ppp. reads in **b** pāurusāt, and in **d** purā. [For purah in the Berlin ed., read purdh.]

10. When, with Purusha as oblation, the gods extended the sacrifice, spring was its sacrificial butter, summer its fuel, autumn its oblation.

[RV. x. 90. 6; VS. xxxi. 14; TA. iii. 12. 3.] [The first half-verse is our vii. 5. 4 a, b, where, however, *devās* follows *yajāám*.] Of the other three texts, the only variant is *vasantd* 'sy- in VS.

11. They sprinkled with the early rain  $(pr\bar{a}v\bar{r}s)$  that sacrifice, Purusha, born in the beginning; therewith the gods sacrificed, the Perfectibles  $(s\bar{a}dly\dot{a})$  and they that are Vasus.

[RV. x. 90. 7; VS. xxxi. 9; TA. iii. 12. 3.] The other three texts agree in reading in a barhisi (for prāvŕsā), in b agratás, in d ŕsayas (for vásavas). Ppp. has in d sādhyā ca. One or two of our mss. give agratás (like RV. etc.); about half SPP's authorities accent áyajanta [as does also TA.].

12. From that were born horses, and whatever [animals] have teeth in both jaws; kine were born from that; from that [are] born goats and sheep.

[RV. x. 90. 10; VS. xxxi. 8; TA. iii. 12. 5.] The other three texts [but not KathaB.] omit *ca* after ye in **b**.

13. From that all-sacrificing sacrifice were born the verses (ir), the chants (sdman); meter [sic!] were born from that; sacrificial formula was born from that.

[RV. x. 90. 9; VS. xxxi. 7; TA. iii. 12. 4.] The other texts have at beginning of c *chandānisi*, and our edition gives the same; but the mss., except one of ours p. m. and two of SPP's, read instead *chando ha*, and SPP. follows them; this, though an ungrammatical corruption (as shown in the translation), has the best right to figure as Atharvan text. [See p. xcvi.]

14. From that all-sacrificing sacrifice was collected the speckled butter (prsadājyā); it made those cattle belonging to Vāyu — those that are of the forest and of the village.

15. Seven were made its enclosing sticks (*paridht*), thrice seven its pieces of fuel, when the gods, extending the sacrifice, bound Purusha as victim.

[RV. x. 90. 15; VS. xxxi. 15; TA. iii. 12. 3.] The other three texts offer no variant. In connection with the 'seven,' the comm. of course thinks of the meters; of the 'twenty-one' he gives more than one explanation, sufficient to show that he is merely guessing.

16. Seven times seventy rays (anqual i) were born from the head of the great god, of king Soma, when born out of Purusha.

This verse is found nowhere else. The RV. has also a 16th verse, an appendage to the hymn in a different meter, which was earlier found as RV. i. 164. 50, and is our vii. 5. 1, besides occurring in a number of other texts — in VS. [xxxi. 16] and TA. [iii. 12. 7], in connection with the rest of the Purusha-hymn. The comm. refers to the double character of soma, as plant and as moon, and notes that, while the sun's rays are a thousand, those of the moon are four hundred and ninety.

#### 7. To the lunar asterisms: for blessings.

[Gārgya. — pañca. mantroktanaksatradevatyam. trāistubham: 4. bhury.]

The hymn is wanting in Paipp. The comm. finds it used three times (in 1, 6, and 12) in the Nakşatra Kalpa.

LRegarding the asterisms in general, the reader may consult Whitney's Oriental and Linguistic Studies, ii., pages 351-356, 377, and 421 and the chart following it, or else Whitney in JAOS., vi. 414, 468, and chart; further, Weber's essays, Die vedischen Nachrichten von den naxatra, Abh. der Berliner Ak., 1860 and 1861.\* A list of the asterisms is given in my translation of the Karpūra-mañjarī, appended to Konow's ed., p. 214. Especially important are the naksatra-passages, TS. iv. 4. 10 and TB. i. 5. 2 and iii. 1. 1-2: cf. references to asterisms in AV. vi. 110 and notes, ii. 8, xiv. 1. 13, etc. --Note, on the one hand, that our series begins, as does that in TS., with the old beginning in Taurus, to wit, with the Krttikās or Pleiades, and not (as later : see Whitney, O. and L. S., ii. 421), two asterisms further to the west, in Aries, with acvayujāu or acvini ( $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  Arietis). Note also, on the other hand, that our series, unlike the series in TS., by including abhijit or Vega, far to the north of the ecliptic, comprises 28 asterisms, as is expressly stated below, at 8. 2 a: but whether 28 or 27 is the original Hindu number is a moot point carefully discussed by Whitney, l.c., pages 409-411.---The names of the asterisms in our hymn differ from those in TS. in a number of minor and major points: most notable among the latter is the TS. name tisyd for the 6th (or 8th) asterism, our pusyá; and TS. has grona for the 21st (or 23d), our grávana. --Bloomfield, in his part of the Grundriss, p. 35, observes that this hymn and the next are repeated in full in Naksatra Kalpa 10 and 26; and he infers that the date of the incorporation of these hymns into the text of the Vulgata is posterior to the time of the Naksatra Kalpa, because, in the contrary case, they would have been quoted by their pratikas. | \*| See especially the second essay, pages 300, 303, 315: at p. 300, Weber gives the deities of the several asterisms.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 265.

I. Seeking favor of the twenty-eight-fold (?) wondrous ones, shining in the sky together, ever-moving, hasting in the creation (*bluivana*), I worship (*sapary*) with songs the days, the firmament (*náka*).

The translation implies our conjectural emendation of  $turmf(am \lfloor two of W's mss., -mich- \rfloor$  in **c** to astāvinçām (or  $-c\overline{a}$ ). [This is supported by the textually unimpeachable astāvinçāmi of 8. 2 **a**, and the fact that the series in this hymn is actually of 28 members, as noted in the introduction.] The comm. has  $turmic\overline{a}m$ , and gives for it a double etymology and explanation : either it is  $turmi + c\overline{a}$ , or it is  $tur + mic\overline{a}$ ; in the former case, turmi is from root turv, and means "injuring" (hinsaka), and  $c\overline{a}$  is the root  $c\overline{a}$  'sharpen,' hence "make thin"; in the latter case, tur is  $\lfloor a \text{ root-stem from } \rfloor \text{ root } turv$ , and  $mic\overline{a}$  is by Vedic license for missā, from root mis "contend" ( $spardh\overline{a}y\overline{a}m$ ); in either case, the compound means "putting down oppressors," and is adjective qualifying sumatim ! All the mss. accent saparyāmi, and SPP. admits it in his text; the accent is defensible, and would be required by Brāhmaņa usage. Our emendation in c helps the meter as well as the sense; but no stress can be laid upon the circumstance that the Anukr. appears to regard the verse as a full tristubh.

2. Easy of invocation for me [be] the Krittikās and Rohiņī; be Mrigaçiras excellent, [and] Ārdrā healthful ( $\zeta dm$ ); be the two Punarvasus pleasantness, Pushya what is agreeable, the Āçleshās light (*bhānú*), the Maghās progress (*dyana*) [for me].

The translation again implies in **a** the emendation *me* for *agne*, made in our text, for the improvement both of sense and of meter; SPP. reads *agne*; and the comm. points out that Agni is invoked here because he is the deity of Krttikās, and that the deities also of the other asterisms are to be regarded as included in their invocations — which is quite ingenious. The mss. in **b** are divided between cdm and sdm; in **c**, between *púsyas* and *pusyds*; SPP. gives *púsyas*, with, as he reports, nearly all his authorities; and this is doubtless the better supported reading. There seems to be no good reason for imagining that *dyana* in **d** contains any hidden reference to the solstice (in later astronomical language, *ayana*, by abbreviation for *ayanānta* 'end of a [northern or southern] progress of the sun') as occurring in Maghās.

3. Be the former Phalgunīs and Hasta here auspicious (*púņyam*); be Chitrā propitious, and Svāti casy (*sukhá*) for me; be the two Viçākhās bestowal (*rádhas*), Anurādhā easy of invocation, Jyeshṭhā a good asterism, Mūla uninjured.

There are sundry difficulties in this verse, in part attempted to be removed by emendation in our edition. It is very strange to find in a the former Phalgunis distinctly mentioned, and the latter (uttara) as distinctly left out; it would be easy to put the dvaya of 5 b in place of purva here;\* or one wonders whether uttara is not somehow hidden in the awkwardly redundant *átra*. All the mss. (both sainh. and pada) agree in the ungrammatical | ending -tt of | svātt, and SPP. accordingly admits svātt into his text: ours emends to svātts: svātī would have been equally acceptable, and is supported by two of SPP's crotriyas [V. and K.] and by the comm. The masc. sukhás (p. suokháh) can hardly be tolerated; we ought to have sukhám, or else, with the comm., sukhá. All the mss. read in c radhe, as if there were an adjective radha; SPP. and the comm. read  $r\bar{a}dhe$ , the latter explaining it as another name for vi $(\bar{a}khe)$  (not a word defining the expected blessing!): this involves an anachronism, † and would be in the highest degree improbable even if it did not:  $r\dot{a}dho$  is a very easy and plausible improvement. Finally, all the mss. have in **d** drista mulam [cf. note to xviii. 2. 3], which SPP. adopts, in spite of its utter ungrammaticalness; the comm., with his usual disregard of pada-text and accent, appears to understand aristamūlam, a compound.

\*[Or rather to put dvayé? The comm. renders  $p li rv \bar{a}$  by  $p \bar{u} rv e$ , for which  $p li rv \bar{a}$  is a bad reading or a worse solecism. But the position of *ca*, too, is very suspicious.] †[I suppose Whitney's implication is that  $r\bar{a}dh\bar{a}$ , as a name for the 14th (or 16th) asterism  $ru(\bar{a}kh\bar{a},$  is a later one, based on a misunderstanding of the name of the 15th (or 17th) asterism, *anurādhā*, which word simply means 'success' (cf. *ánv eṣām arātsmé* 'ti: tád anūrādhā!, TB. i. 5. 2<sup>8</sup>), but was thought of as meaning the one 'after (anu) or following  $r\bar{a}dh\bar{a}$ .]

4. Let the former Ashādhās give me food; let the latter ones bring refreshment; let Abhijit give me what is auspicious; let Çravana [and] the Çravishthās make good prosperity.

Here are more bad readings: in **a**, the mss. give p lirva rasatam, and SPP. accepts the reading, as if rasatam could be 3d du. act., which, in view of all the circumstances, is absurd; our emendation to *-ntam* is unavoidable.\* In **b**, the mss. vary between *dehy útt*-[all of Whitney's and most of SPP's] and *deny útt*-, and SPP. adopts the latter, because the comm. has it; but then the comm. makes no difficulty of understanding it as = *denyas*; it is merely, in his opinion, a Vedic substitution of sing. for pl.; and it is to be hoped that no modern scholar would follow him in that. The emendation of our text to  $yl hy úttare, \dagger$  considering that all our mss. (and all but two of SPP's authorities) have úttare (p. útotare), was a faturally suggested and easy one; but we need instead ya hy úttara a, feminine words, like the plirvas [the pada-mss. and the Anukr. read plirva] in **a**; SPP. reads úttarās, with the comm. and two of his reciters. The meter of **d** would be better if we had *crónas* for *crávanas*; but the Anukr. acknowledges the redundancy of the verse.

\* [SPP. seems rather to view rāsatām in a as of the plural number, 3d person imperative middle, = dadatu (the ms. of the comm. has in fact dadātu, singular): and plural 3d it might be (from the s-aorist tense-stem rās, used as a secondary root : Gram. § 896), thus conforming in number with vahantu. On the other hand, we cannot take rāsatām in c otherwise than as of the singular number, 3d person imperative middle of the a-conjugation, present-stem rāsa: and the identical form in a ought, one would think, to be of the same value. If we take it as singular, and read asadha as fem. and sing. so as to conform with ptirvā (p. ptirvā), then pāda b is intolerably out of congruity with a in the matters of gender and number. --- I offer the following suggestions for what they may be worth. First, in spite of the (unauthoritative) pada-reading útotare, I would take the úttarā  $\dot{a}$  of the living reciters K.V, as representing a correct metrical utterance of  $ittar\bar{a}$  (=  $it_{o}tar\bar{a}$  :  $\bar{a}$ ). Secondly, for vahantu I would read vahātu (cf. svadātu, nudātu, muncātu): this seems to me better than a possible vahāti, and does as little violence to the tradition as the rāsantām and yé hy úttare suggested above. If our *vahantu* is a corruption, it may well be a faulty assimilation (cf. end of  $\P$  4 of note on xviii. 4. 87) of vahātu to the ending of vs. 5 d. — My text then would be as follows : annam purvā (p. -vā) rāsatām (as 3d sing.) me asādhorjam (p. -dhā : ur-) devy uttarā vahātu (p. devi : úttarā : ā : vahātu): 'may the Former Ashādhā give me food; may the Latter, the divine one, bring refreshment.' | + It appears from the Collation Book that RW. meant in fact to print ye hy útta-: but the Berlin ed. has actually ye hy útta-, an accent-mark having perhaps slipped to the left, over hyu from over tta.

5. Let Çatabhishaj [bring] to me what is great widely; let the double Proshthapadās [bring] to me good protection (*suçárman*); let Revatī and the two Açvayuj [bring] fortune to me; let the Bharanīs bring to me wealth.

There are no difficulties or variants in this verse.

#### 8. For well-being: to the asterisms etc.

[Gārgya.— sapta. mantroktanakṣatradevatyam (6. brāhmaṇaspatyā). trāiṣṭubham : 1. virāḍ jagatī ; 6. 3-av. 6-pɨɡatijagatī ]

Verses 4-6 are found in Pāipp. xx. The same *viniyoga* is pointed out by the comm. for this as for the preceding hymn.

 $\lfloor$ As to the asterisms, and as to the inclusion of the full text of this hymn in the Naksatra Kalpa, see introduction to hymn 7.  $\rfloor$ 

Translated: Griffith, ii. 267.

I. What asterisms are in the sky, in the atmosphere, in the waters, on the earth, what ones in the mountains, in the quarters, what ones the moon goes on preparing (pra-klp), let all those be propitious to me.

The mss., and so SPP., have the incorrect accent prakalpayan in c; it is emended in our text. It is possible, but not natural, to count in the verse 46 syllables, with the Anukr.

2. Let them of the series of twenty-eight, propitious, helpful, together allot to me acquisition  $(y \delta g a)$ ; I go forward to acquisition and possession  $(ks \epsilon ma)$ ; I go forward to possession and acquisition; homage be to day-and-night.

909

In b, the comm. reads sahd yógam as a compound, sahayogam. He explains, after the usual fashion, yoga as alabdhavastuprāpti (his ms., alabhya-), and kṣema as labdhavastuprāpti (bis ms., alabhya-), and kṣema as labdhavastuparipālana, and the translation follows him. The verse (11 + 8 : 8 + 8 + 8 = 43) is quite improperly let pass as simply a triṣṭubh. LAs for the twenty-eight, see introduction to hymn 7.]

3. Be it for me well at sunset (?), well in early morning, well at evening, well by day; be it for me well with beasts, well with birds; with easy invocation, O Agni, having gone with well-being to a mortal, come thou again enjoying.

In a the translation follows our conjectural emendation of svástitam (or svasti tám, or svastitam, as some of the mss. variously read; the pada-texts have svasti : tám or svástitam; Lone ms. and two crotriyas of SPP. and the comm. give svasti tát) to svastamitám, which is bold, but not implausible. For sudivám the comm. has the better supported sudinam. The mss. Lexcept D. and L., which read sucakunám and SPP. accent sucakúnam, which may be correct. The translation of the second half-verse is only a makeshift; the line appears to be thoroughly corrupt; implied is the reading svasty a matryam gatva; SPP. reads, with nearly all the mss., svasty àmártyam gatva, against the proper accent *amartyam*. SPP's pada-mss. read at the end aya: abhionándan (one has aya); both our mss. are imperfect, one reading simply a, the other perhaps aya. The repetition of martya in our text is doubtless too daring, considering how unsatisfactory a result it yields after all.

4. Detraction, evil gossip, reproach, sneezing about (?)—them, O Savitar, drive (*suva*) away for me empty-handed (?), with all.

The translation implies the text of the mss., which is also read by SPP., in the second half-verse: sárvāir me riktakumbhān párā  $\lfloor$  most mss. parā or yarā  $\rfloor$  tānt savitaķ suva; we might alter sárvāis to sarvātas, so as to fill out the meter and give a much better sense; the comm. understands it to mean "allied with all the deities of the asterisms"; riktakumbha he simply glosses with  $(\bar{u}n)$  yakalaça, adding no further explanation; the Pet. Lexx. conjecture "perhaps idle talk (lit. emptypottedness)"; the translation implies their going away 'with empty vessels' — that is, carrying off no result or advantage. The comm. explains anuhavá as a calling out  $\lfloor$  inauspiciously  $\rfloor$  to a person from behind, and parihavá as the same from both sides; parivādāt is "harsh talk" (paruṣabhāṣāṇa); parikṣāvá is  $\lfloor$  alternatively  $\rfloor$  sarvataḥ kṣutam. Some of the mss. read parichavám in b [cf. note \* and vs. 5]. Ppp. has for b parīvādam parikṣāyam; and for c, d, savyāimaviriktakumbhyām parā tām savitus savaḥ. The comm. appears to read suvaḥ at the end, but glosses it with parākuru, as if suva.

[The AV. comm. begins his remarks on this vs. virtually as follows: If a man sets out on business under a lucky asterism, and some one from behind him calls his name or does something of that sort [probably scolding, sneezing, and coughing are meant], those things are of ill-omen as tending to thwart the business in hand; and this verse contains a prayer for warding off the ill effects of those omens. (In this connection, we may note the cries and slaps by which the woodpecker deters the hunter just as he sets out, Jātaka, ii.  $153^{22}$ ,  $154^3$ .) — It almost seems as if our comm. were acquainted with  $\overline{ApGS}$ . 9. 2, which prescribes an explation in case some one sneezes or coughs near one who is setting out on business: *arthoprādhvasya parikṣave parikāsane cāpa upasprçyo* 'ttare yathāliāgam japet (cf. ed. of Winternitz, p. 12 and p. 61). Winternitz, Hochzeitsrituell, p. 95 (cf. p. 26), gives the verses that are to be repeated: I give them as he has printed them at MP. i. 13. 5-6: anuhavám parihavám parivādám parikṣapám: dúsvapnam (should be -niam) dúruditam tád dviṣádbhyo diçāmy ahám: ánuhūtam párihūtam çakúnāir yád açākunám: mrgásya srtám akṣṇáyā tád etc. This passage and AV. x. 3. 6 stand in close rapport with our vss. 3-4 here. ]

\* [As for the readings *parichavam* and *chavam* as against *parikṣavam* and *kṣavam* (4 b, 5 a, b), the former are avouched by a large minority of SPP's authorities and they prevail also in the mss. first collated by Whitney: and so Ppp. has *paricchava* for *parikṣava* of our x. 3. 6. The forms with *ch* appear to be allowable Prākritisms, like *uchantu* = *ukṣantu* at iii. 12. 4: cf. *rchara* = *rtsara* at x. 9. 23 and my note; and *uccase* = *ucyase* at xii. 4.4. — For sneezing as an omen, see Henry C. Warren, On superstitious customs connected with sneezing, JAOS. xiii. = PAOS. May, 1885, p. xvii-xx. He quotes Jātaka, ii., p. 15 ff. etc., and Whitney adds JB. ii. 155.]

5. [Drive] away evil sneezing about; may we enjoy (*bhaj*) propitious (*púnya*) sneezing; let the evil-nosed jackal and the *púnyaga* urinate upon [it] for thee.

Part of the mss. read in **a**, **b** parichavam and chavam: [see note \* to vs. 4]. All the mss., and so SPP., have at the beginning apapāpām; the comm., with us, dpa pāpām. Again, all the mss. and SPP. accent bhaksīmáhi. Ppp. reads āpa māpa parikṣapam puṇyam bhakṣimahi kṣapam, which gives no help. For **c**, **d**, SPP. reads çivā te pāpa nāsikām púṇyagaç cā 'bhi mehatām (the pada being púṇyaogaḥ : ca : abhi : me : hatām); the comm., (tvā te pāpanāçikā paṇḍakaç cā 'bhi medhatām. The comm. explains civā as a name for jackal (so adopted in the translation above); pāpanāçikā is, of course, destroying evil; abhi medhatām = protsāhayatu: the general sense being that, whereas the sight or hearing of a jackal, or the sight of a eunuch, is a bad omen, they are in virtue of the spell of this verse to have a totally opposite influence. How SPP. would render his text [of **a**, in particular?] it is impossible to see. The version given here lays no claim to being of any value. Ppp. reads çivā te pāpanāçakā (in this word favoring the comm.) şaṇṇagasyā 'bhimehataḥ, which does not scem to help us. The reading of the line in ouf edition is not to be praised.

6. These (fem.), O Brahmaņaspati, that go dispersing upon the wind — do thou, O Indra, making them come together, make them most propitious for me.

The *pada*-mss. give in **b** vatah instead of vate, which latter is evidently the true reading. The comm. also understands vatas, which compels him to take *irate* as = *irte*, and to translate it as if causative. The comm. understands the quarters (*diças*) as intended, and points it out as well-known that in a violent wind these are confounded, one of them being taken for another. This is hardly better than silly; but what is really the subject of the verse is very hard to see. The Anukr. omits any definition of these three *anustubh* verses\*; and, what is much more strange, although it describes the hymn as of seven verses, and the mss. and the comm. so number, it combines 6 and 7 together into one verse as 8+8:8+8:11+9=52. [Ppp. has, for **b**, *visileer vata iyate*, and at end of **d**-tamas krdhi.]

7. Let well-being be ours; let fearlessness be ours; homage be to dayand-night.

The verse is wanting in Ppp.

### 9. For appeasement and weal: to various divinities.

[Brahman (çantıkamah). — caturdaça. saumyam. traistubham: 1. virad urobyhati; 5.5.p. pathyāpañkti; 9.5-p. kakummati; 12.3-av. 7-p. asti; 14.4-p. samkrti.] [The Anukr.adds : cesāh (that is vss. 2-4, 6-8, 10-11, 13) kāndapratīkatvenā \* 'nustubhah. There thus remains not a single vs. that is not excepted from the definition traistubham ! - The Berlin ms., in its treatment of hymns 9-12, after ekarcam (h. 12), adds : vāsistham vāiçvadevam cantātīvam traistubham (these four words apply well to hymns 10-11) adyam (hymn 9) mantroktabahudevatyam. W. follows the London ms.] \* [At the beginning of its treatment of the kānda, the Anukr. says brahmakāndam ānustubham.

The hymn is not found in Paipp. The comm. finds it used in Paricista 4.5 ("muttering this, one should conduct a king to his dwelling-house") and 6. 5 (in the *pistarātri*kalpa), and in Naks. K. 18, as a hymn belonging to the çanti gana (cf. note to Käuç. 9. 7). Translated: Griffith, ii. 268.

I. Appeased (canta) be heaven (dya), appeased be earth, appeased be this wide atmosphere, appeased the waters rich in moisture (udanvánt), appeased be the herbs for us.

| With a, b, cf. AGS. ii. 4. 14; PGS. iii. 3. 6; MGS. ii. 8. 6 b. |

2. Appeased be the foretokens, appeased for us be the-done-andundone, appeased both what is and what is to be: be just everything weal for us.

The comm. explains purvarupāņi first as kāryāpeksayā kāraņāvasthāpannāni vastūni, and again as "former births, the fruit of evil deeds." Instead of nas in b it reads me; and it points out that 'the done' means what is done that should not be done, and 'the undone' what was left undone that should have been done — which is far from necessary or certain.

3. This speech that is most exalted, divine, sharpened by bráhman, by which is produced (srj) what is terrible by that be there appearement for us.

4. Or (?) this mind that is most exalted, sharpened by bráhman, by which is produced what is terrible — by that be there appeasement for us.

All the mss. read in **b**  $v\bar{a}m$  instead of  $v\bar{a}$ , and SPP's text follows them. The comm. makes no mention of either in its exposition of the verse; but its text (so SPP. reports) reads  $v\bar{a}$ , as does ours by emendation.

5. These five senses, with mind as sixth, that are in my heart, sharpened by bráhman, by which is produced what is terrible - by them be there appeasement for us.

The mss. read mánah sasthani (p. mánah : sasthani), but SPP., as well as our text, emends to -thani, and this the comm. also understands. In all the verses 3-5, some of the mss. leave sasy unaccented. This verse (10+8+7:8+8=41) is ill defined by the Anukr.

6. Weal for us be Mitra, weal Varuna, weal Vishnu, weal Prajāpati, weal for us Indra, Brihaspati, weal for us be Aryaman.

This verse corresponds nearly to RV. i. 90. 9 and VS. xxxvi. 9; both these, however, put the pādas in the order a, d, c, b, and they read for our b *çâm no visnur* urukramáh.

7. Weal for us be Mitra, weal Varuna, weal Vivasvant, weal the destroyer (*antaka*), [weal] the portents from earth and from atmosphere, weal for us the planets (?) moving in the sky.

The mss. vary between utp dt ds and utp dt ds, the great majority favoring the former. SPP. reads p dr thiv d "ntdriks ds, giving in p a da-text -v d: dnt-, while the p a da-mss. read -v d: ant-; but his reading is palpably wrong and impossible, while a very slight emendation would have given p dr thiv dn tariks ds (implying the p a da-text p dr thiv a dn tariks d h), which is implied in the translation above. The comm. explains as if he had p d r thiv dsand d n tariks d s as two separate words; but, according to SPP., his text reads p d r thiv v d n tariks d h. Half the sam hit d-mss. or more combine -iks d d d n, as if the word had ended in -ks d t; and, as these included all known to us down to the time of printing, our text reflects them. The comm. of course makes no question of explaining grand at the end as "Mars and the rest"; and perhaps there is no sufficient reason for questioning that interpretation. The Anukr. does not remark the redundancy of a syllable in 7 c.

8. Weal for us be the quaking (vip) earth, and weal what is meteorsmitten; weal be the red-milked kine, weal the earth when cleaving down.

All the mss. accent vepyamāná in a, and nearly all (including the pada-mss.) end it as a nom. pl. -mānāh; SPP. emends by dropping the blundering visarga, but does not venture to alter the equally blundering accent; of course, it must be made vepyámānā, as pres. pass. pple of the causative, unless we emend further to vepamana, as our text reads, and as is decidedly better. The comm. reads vepyamānā, and explains it once by kampamānā and once by kampyamānā. | Most | mss., and SPP., read in b ulkā nirh-; | but Whitney's I. and three of SPP's authorities give ni- for nir- |; the comm. | reads -ni- and | understands the two words to form a compound, as it is made to be in our text by simply removing the accent of -nir-; one does not see the applicability of the prefix nis-. In c, some of the mss. read lohitah, and some accent ksiråh; 'redmilked' would be with equal propriety rendered 'bloody-milked'; and the two things are of course equivalent. In d, the comm. has avadīryatī, glossing it with avadīryamānā, and this reading has been gratefully adopted in the translation. All the mss. give *avatiryatis*, and all the *pada*-mss. divide it *avatih* : yatih ; SPP. emends to *ava* tiryatih, by which nothing at all is gained; we emended to avatiryati, which is at least grammatical, though hardly intelligible; avadiryati is both; | one of SPP's reciters gives áva dīryati |.

9. Be the meteor-smitten asterism weal for us; weal for us the enchantments and weal be the witchcrafts; weal for us the buried spells (*valagd*), weal the meteors; and weal be for us the land-plagues.

Literally, 'the afflictions (*upasarga*) of a region.' All the mss. read in **a** *ulkåbhth*. (p. *ulkå* : *abhtbh*-), which SPP. accordingly adopts; the comm. again (as in 8 b) regards it as a compound, which it is unquestionably meant to be, and which our text gives by emendation; the prefix *abhi* suits the situation, as *nir* (8 b) did not. In c, SPP. has the better accent *nlkhātās*, with a large minority of his mss.; none of ours give it, and we accepted *nikhātās*, since it is not without support elsewhere. Our *valagās* was an emendation for *valgās*; but our two *pada*-mss. [D.s.m. L.] compared later, have *valagāh*, as does one of SPP's; the latter, however, adopts *valgås*, against meter and sense, and against the comm. The *pada*-mss. all have *ulkå* in c, an evident blunder for  $-k\dot{a}h$ , which SPP. this time ventures to read by emendation: it is extremely difficult to understand his selection of the cases where he is willing to emend. The metrical definition of the verse (really 12 + 11 : 11 + 11 = 45) by the Anukr. is as bad as possible.

10. Weal for us be the planets belonging to the moon, and weal the sun  $(\bar{a}dityd)$  with Rāhu; weal for us smoke-bannered death, weal the Rudras of keen brightness.

The translation follows in **b** the text of the comm.  $\bar{a}dityac ca r\bar{a}hun\bar{a}$ , as is read also by SPP., who follows the comm. and three or four authorities. Most of the mss. have -tyah carahuna (p. also carahuna), but two or three cam rahuna. Those that accent -rahuna or rahuna at all accent it on the final, -huna, and this accent SPP. has not dared to change, although it is against all rule and practice. In connection with dhāmaketu the comm. quotes Kāuç. 127. I, where the word is used; it seems to me extremely unlikely that it signifies a comet; [does it not refer rather to the smoke that rises from the pyre?].

11. Weal [for us be] the Rudras, weal the Vasus, weal the Adityas, weal the fires; weal for us the divine great seers, weal the gods, weal Brihaspati.

In c, SPP. reads *maharsáyas*, against most of his authorities (although he gives *saptarsáyas* in the two following verses). Some of the mss. leave *devās* in c unaccented; and two of SPP's treat the word in the same manner in d. Our emendation in d to *devās* is probably too venturesome, although it seems strange to have 'the gods' mentioned as a body in connection with the mention of so many of them separately.

12. The *bráhman*, Prajāpati, Dhātar, the worlds, the Vedas, the seven seers, the fires — by them happy progress (*svastyáyana*) is made for me : let Indra grant (*yam*) me refuge; let Brahmán grant me refuge; let all the gods grant me refuge; let the gods all grant me refuge.

The Anukr., the comm., and a better connection are here followed, by adding to this verse the two pādas which in our edition are printed as 13 a, b, in accordance with the numbering of our mss. (8 + 10: 8 + 8 + 8: 10 + 10 = 62, two syllables short of a full asti); SPP. makes the same division. Some of SPP's mss. read in b devās instead of vedās: the accent vedās seems to be modeled on devās, for 'the Vedas' should be vedās, and the word ought doubtless to be so emended; [O.D. actually have vedās, and the comm. says the four Vedas are intended]. We should expect at the beginning brahmā. [With c, cf. 16. 1 b.]

13. Whatsoever things that are appeased in the world the seven seers know, be they all weal for me; let weal be mine, let fearlessness be mine.

Many of the mss. accent in b loke and saptársayo. [In d asty is a misprint for astv.]

14. [Be] earth appeasement, atmosphere appeasement, sky appeasement, waters appeasement, herbs appeasement, forest trees appeasement; [be] all the gods appeasement for me, the gods all appeasement for me, appeasement with appeasements; by those appeasements all-appeasing do I appease what here is terrible, what here is cruel, what here is evil; [be] that appeased, [bc] that propitious; be just everything weal for us.

With a large minority of his authorities, and with the comm., SPP. adds one more cantih before cantibhis at the end of the first division; in the second division, he follows the mss. slavishly in reading sárva cantibhih; the comm. apparently (it is defective here) agrees with our emendation to sarvaçāntlbhis. After this word, the mss. all have camayāmoham, accenting either camayāmoham or camayāmoham; the pada-mss. divide it absurdly camaya : moham; the comm. understands it as camayāmo ham, with substitution of aham for vayam by Vedic license (a mere exchange of plural and singular); SPP. unaccountably gives camayāmoham with the pada-text cam : ayāmah : aham; our emendation to camayāmy aham is evidently necessary. Similar passages occur in VS. xxxvi. 17; TA. iv. 42 (28); MS. iv. 9. 27 [p. 138<sup>12</sup>]; but it is not worth while to quote them in detail; TA. (29) contains the compound sarvacantl and MS. has sárvacaanti. The "verse" is the only one in the whole work that is called a samkṛti (96 syllables); it counts naturally 94 syllables.

Let ends the first anuvāka, with 9 hymns and 59 verses. The comm. (not SPP.) divides the Purusha-sūkta (our hymn 6) into two hymns, so that our vss. 1-5 make his hymn 6 and our vss. 6-16 make his hymn 7: thus his first anuvāka consists of 10 hymns. — There are of course no further quotations from the Old Anukr. or Paācapaṭalikā: cf. p. 896, line 4.]

#### 10. For well-being.

#### [Brahman (çāntıkāmah). — daça. sāumyam. trāistubham.]

This hymn and the one following it are together RV. vii. 35, this one being vss. 1-10 of the latter, in unchanged order, and without a variant except in 8 b. Both are found together in Pāipp. xiii. [For the quotation of the hymn in the *çānti gaṇa*, see note to Kāuç. 9.7.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 270; and also, of course, by the RV. translators.

I. Weal for us be Indra-and-Agni, with their aids; weal for us Indraand-Varuṇa, on whom offerings are bestowed; weal Indra-and-Soma, for welfare, weal [and] profit  $(y\delta s)$ ; weal for us Indra-and-Pūshan in bootywinning.

This verse is found also in VS. xxxvi. 11, which inverts the order of pādas c and d. The comm. takes *indrāgnī* in a as vocative [ and says so expressly; but ] apparently out of mere carelessness, as he does not make any change in the 3d du. verb *bhavatām*.

2. Weal for us be Bhaga, and weal for us Çańsa; weal for us Purandhi, and weal be wealths; weal for us the tribute  $(c \dot{a} i s a)$  of well-ordered  $(suy \dot{a} m a)$  truth; weal for us be the much-born Aryaman.

About half the mss. read in c suyámas tu (p. suoyámastu). Pādas b and c have dropped out of Ppp. The comm. takes çansas in a to be by abbreviation for narāçansas.

3. Weal for us be Dhātar, and weal for us Dhartar; weal for us be the wide-spreading one (urici) with her powers (?svadha); weal the two

great firmaments ( $r\delta das\bar{i}$ ), weal for us the rock ( $\delta dri$ ); weal for us be the successful invocations of the gods.

The mss. write in **b**  $ur\bar{u}c\bar{t}$ ,  $uruc\bar{t}$ , and  $\bar{u}r\bar{u}c\bar{t}$ ; the comm. explains it as the earth, *dhartr* as Varuna, separator (*vidhārayitr*) of the good and bad, and *svadhā* as *anna*; *adri* he simply glosses by *parvata*.

4. Weal for us be Agni with front of light, weal for us Mitra-and-Varuna, weal the two Açvins; weal for us be the things well done of the well-doers; weal let the lively (*isirá*) wind blow upon us.

Ppp. has in b -nā açvinā.

5. Weal for us be heaven-and-earth in our early invocation; the atmosphere be weal for us to see; weal for us be the herbs, the trees (vanin), weal for us be the conquering lord of the welkin (rájas).

The comm. regards Indra as intended in the last pāda.

6. Weal for us be god Indra with the Vasus; weal Varuna of excellent praise  $\lfloor su-cansa \rfloor$  with the Adityas; weal for us healing (jalasa) Rudra with the Rudras; unto weal for us let Tvashtar listen here with his spouses (gna).

The comm. declares *jalāṣa* a sukhanāman. All the *pada*-mss. have in **d** tuáṣṭā: agnābhiḥ ! SPP. emends to gnābhiḥ ; the comm. of course has gnābhis and glosses it with devapatnibhis. [As to suçánsa, cf. note to xviii. 3. 16.]

7. Weal for us be soma, weal for us the *bráhman*; weal for us the pressing-stones, and weal be the sacrifices; weal for us be the settings of the sacrificial posts; weal for us the sprouts (*prasit*), and weal be the sacrificial hearth (vcdt).

The sprouts, namely, of sacrificial grass. The comm. declares *swaru* used in the sense of  $y \bar{u} p a$  as the thing possessed for the possessor. [The last pāda has dropped out of Ppp.]

8. With weal for us let the wide-looking sun arise; weal for us be the four directions; weal for us be the firm mountains; weal for us the rivers, and weal be the waters.

The RV. order of words in **b** [cf. introd.] is this: cám naç cátasrah pradiço bhavantu. [The first pāda has dropped out of Ppp.]

9. Weal for us be Aditi with her courses (*vratá*); weal for us be the tuneful (*svarká*) Maruts; weal for us Vishņu, and weal be for us Pūshan; weal for us the place of being (*?bhavitra*), and weal be Vāyu.

The comm. glosses vratebhis with karmabhih sārdham, and bhavitram by bhuvanam udakam antariksam vā. [Ppp. also reads bhavutram.]

10. Weal for us be the rescuing god Savitar; weal for us be the outshining dawns; weal for us be Parjanya for our progeny; weal for us be the wealful lord of the field (*ksctra*).

The comm. quotes a verse to the effect that some regard Rudra, and some Agni, as 'lord of the field.'

#### 11. For well-being.

[Brahman (çāntikāmah). — şat sāumyam. trāistubham.]

The hymn is made up of the remaining verses of RV. vii. 35, Lvss. 11-15, ] with another RV. verse (v. 47. 7) added. Among the former the differences of order and reading are very slight. [The hymn is found, as noted under hymn 10, in Pāipp. xiii.] Translated: Griffith, ii. 272; and also, of course, by the RV. translators.

I. Weal for us be the lords of truth; weal for us the coursers and weal be the kine; weal for us the Ribhus, well-doers, having good hands; weal for us be the Fathers at our invocations.

This verse and the following one are found in RV. in inverted order (as vss. 12 and 11). The comm. quotes sundry RV verses illustrating the character of the Ribhus, and is uncertain whether *hava* at the end comes from root  $h\vec{n}$  or from *hu*.

2. Weal for us be the gods, the all-gods; weal be Sarasvatī with the prayers (dht); weal the followers (?abhisác) and weal the gift-following (?ratisác); weal for us they of the sky, they of the earth, weal for us they of the waters.

This verse is found, without variant, also in TB. ii. 8. 6<sup>3</sup> and MS. iv. 14. 11. The comm. declares *devā viçvādevās* to mean *bahustotrakā indrādayaḥ*; *abhiṣācas*, *yajāam abhitaḥ samavayanto devāḥ*; and *rātiṣācas*, *dānārtham samgacchamānā devāḥ*—these two epithets belonging to the *viçve devāḥ*. Ppp. reads at the end *āpyāḥ*.

3. Weal for us be the divine (devi) one-footed goat (ajd ckapad), weal the bottom snake (dhi budhnyd), weal the ocean; weal for us be Peru, grandson of the waters (apdin ndpat); weal for us be the spotted one (piqni), guarded by the gods.

The RV. version reads in **b**  $\dot{c}\dot{a}\dot{m}$   $n\dot{o}$  'hir b-, and at the end - $gop\bar{a}\dot{h}$  [Muller's 2d quarto ed. and Aufrecht's 2d ed. have - $gop\bar{a}$ : as for the form, see my *Noun-Inflection*, p. 445]; Ppp. agrees with RV. in **b**, and has - $gop\bar{a}\dot{h}$  at the end. The comm. explains *perús* as *pārayitā duḥkhebhyaḥ*, and *prçni* as mother of the Maruts. The omission of *nas* in our **b** makes the meter defective, but the Anukr. takes no notice of it.

4. Let the Ādityas, the Rudras, the Vasus enjoy this very new worship (*bráhman*) as it is performed; let there hear us them of the sky, them of the earth, also the kine-born, who are worshipful.

The RV. version  $\lfloor vs. 14 \rfloor$  reads at end of **a** *jusanta*. The comm. explains *gojātās* as the Maruts, born of Prçni.

5. They who are the worshipful priests (rtvij) of the gods, to be worshiped of man (manu), immortal, right-knowing — let them bestow on us today wide passage (urugaya): do ye protect us ever with blessings.

 $\mathbb{X}V$ . reads in **a** devānām yajālyā yajālyānām. The comm. apparently takes  $\cdot gaya$  as from ga  $\cdot sing$ ,  $\cdot$  as he glosses urugāyām with prabhūtām kīrtim, and does not even, as is his wont in such cases, give an alternative explanation implying ga  $\cdot go$ .

6. Be it so, O Mitra-and-Varuna, so, O Agni: weal [and] profit for us be this praise (casta); may we reach sounding (casta) and firm standing; homage to the great sky, [our] seat.

The verse is found, without variant, as RV. v. 47. 7. The comm. takes *çastam* in **b** as adjective to *çam yos*, which is perhaps better; also it connects *brhate* with *sādanāya*, and understands by this the earth. Ppp. reads in **c** *gātum* for *gādham*, and in **d** *sādhanāya*.

#### 12. For success and long life.

#### [Brahman (çāntikāmah). — ekarcam. sāumyam. trāistubham.]

The hymn, or verse, is wanting in Päipp. Its first half is identical with RV. x. 172. 4; its second half, with RV. vi. 17. 15 (also SV. i. 454). It is reckoned as a  $c\bar{a}nti$ -hymn, and used as such in company with the hymns that precede it [see note to Kāuç. 9. 7].

Translated: Griffith, ii. 273; and by the RV. translators.

I. The dawn, with nobleness, makes the darkness roll together [and] away on her sister's track; therewith may we win the prize (vdja) set by the gods; may we revel, living a hundred winters, rich in heroes.

The sense of the first half-verse is difficult and doubtful. A pa in **a** is really an emendation [following RV.], all our mss. [save B., which has dsah], and very nearly all SPP's (only one has dpa [and one, apd]) reading instead dpah; the comm. has apa. Half [of SPP's authorities, and one or two of W's] give in **b** suyātátā. The comm. amuses himself with etymologizing swasr as swayam eva sāriņī.

#### 13. For success in war: [Apratiratha hymn].

### [Apraturatha. - ekādaça. āundram. trāistubham: 3-6, 11. bhury.]

The hymn is, with slight variations, identical for the most part with the familiar Apratiratha hymn of the Rig-Veda (x. 103), found also in other texts: VS. xvii. 33 ff.; SV. ii. 1199 ff.; TS. iv. 6.4; MS. ii. 10.4. [The readings of VS. and SV. agree with those of RV., as noted under vs. 2.] Our first verse is peculiar, being found elsewhere only in SV. (ii. 1219); and vss. 10, 12, 13 of the RV. hymn are here wanting. [The RV. vss. here occur in the order 1-3, 5-7, 4, 8-9, 11.] The hymn occurs also in Pāipp. vii. In Vāit. 1. 18, the selected brahman-priest is directed to recite the Apratiratha hymn; this probably means our hymn; GB. (ii. 1. 18) quotes the *pratika* of our vs. 1 as the *apratiratha*. [Varāhamihira's Yogayātrā (8.6) prescribes the hymn for use by a king just about to march forth to war: *Ind. Stud.* xv. 170.]

Translated: Griffith, ii. 273; and by the RV. translators. — Cf. also Oldenberg, *Die Hymnen des RV*, i. 247.

I. Indra's two arms [are] stout, virile (virsan), these two wondrous successful bulls; them will I first yoke when the conjuncture  $(y \delta g a)$  arrives — they by which was conquered the heaven (sv dr) that is the Asuras'.

The SV. text (ii. 1219) is considerably different : in **a**, **b**, yúvānāv anādhrsyāú supratīkāv asahyāú; in **c**, tāú yunjīta prathamāú; at the end, sáho mahát. Vŕsānāu (instead of the regular vŕsanāu) is read also by Ppp., and the meter demands it [cf. Noun-Inflection, p. 537, 523]. The combination citrā imā vṛṣ-, if representing, as the sense clearly requires, citrāú : imāú : vṛṣ-, is anomalous in AV., though regular for some of the other Vedic texts (cf. Prāt. ii. 22 note); and the *pada*-text shows a sense of this, by reading *citrā*h: *imā*: *vrṣ*. SPP. gives as his *pada*-text *citrā* : *imā*, which leaves the *samhitā* reading unaccounted for; the comm. assumes *citrāu* and *imā*. In c, all the mss. (whence also SPP.) read *yokṣe*, for which our *yokṣye* is an emendation, plainly demanded by both sense and meter; it is one of the common cases of a *y* lost after *s*; Ppp., too, has *yokṣye* (before it, *ta* for *tāu*); the comm. has the senseless *yakṣe*. All the mss., again, read *prathamás* (-*mó yó*-), and the comm. likewise, with, of course, SPP.; our emendation to -*māú* (with SV.) is an improvement, but not a necessity. The comm. foolishly declares *āgate* = *kṣeme*, in order to bring about the ordinary combination of *yoga* and *kṣema*, here quite out of place. He also takes *svàr yát*, against accent and *pada*-text, as one word [cf. 15. 4, note], and explains -yat as a participle, = *gacchat* ! Ppp. reads and combines in c *prathamayogā* "gate.

2. Swift, sharp, terrible like a bull, greatly smiting, disturber of men (carṣaṇi), roaring, unwinking, sole hero, Indra conquered a hundred armies together.

This verse [RV. vs. 1] agrees throughout with the RV. text; SV. and VS. show no variants from RV. through the whole hymn; TS.MS. read here in a yudhmás for bhīmás, and MS. has also ksóbhanas. The mss. also vary in this last word between -nas and -nas; SPP. adopts -nas, as does our text.

3. With the roaring, unwinking, conquering, invincible, immovable, bold one — with Indra thus conquer, thus overpower the fighters, O men, with the arrow-armed bull (visan).

RV. [vs. 2] begins **b** with *yutkārćņa*, and all the other texts agree with it. The comm. carelessly reads *yodhyena* instead of *ay*-, explaining it by *yuddhasamsaktena*; he takes *yúdhas* in **d** as vocative = *yoddhāras*; with *tát* (twice) in **c** he supplies *jetavyam* [and *abhibhavanīyam*].

4. He with the arrow-armed, he with the quiver-hung, [is] controller; he, Indra with his train, brings together the fighters — [he,] conquering those brought together, soma-drinker, defiant with his arms, of formidable bow, shooting with fitted [arrows].

[Vs. 3 in RV.] TS.MS. read in d urdhvudhanva; and MS. has a very different **b**, stainsrstasu yutsv indro gantsu. Many of the mss. (as often in such words) read in **b** stainsrstasi yutsv indro gantsu. Many of the mss. (as often in such words) read in **b** stainsrsta; some (as also elsewhere) lengthen the *u* in kampa at beginning of **d**; all have at the end dstat which, however, even SPP. emends to dsta, with the comm. The pada-mss. give in **c** somaopt (RV. pth). [The comm. notes as an alternative that yudhas in **b** (both ed's, yúdhas) may be taken as yudhás, oxytone and abl. sing. (he cites Pāṇini, vi. 1. 168) — which is a regard for the accent (cf. note to vs. 9) that is unusual with him.] [For prátihitā used pregnantly of an arrow, cf. the citations under vi. 65. 1.]

5. To be known by his strength, stout, foremost hero, powerful, vigorous (vājin), overpowering, formidable, excelling heroes, excelling warriors, conquering with power — mount, O Indra, the victorious kinewinning chariot.

919

The fourth verse of the RV. hymn is transposed [in the AV. text so as] to follow our vs. 7, and vs. 5 AV. is vs. 5 RV. The other texts [RV. etc.] all read at the end govit; and all except MS. have in c sahojās. SPP. retains in a the visarga before stháv, with the majority of the mss.; he also accepts in c abhisatvā, with half the mss., but against all the parallel texts, apparently because the comm. has s. Ppp. reads for d jāitrāyāi ā ratham ā tistha kovidam. [The govidam of the Berlin text seems to be an emendation. Nearly all the authorities of W. and of SPP, and SPP's text as well, and the comm., have govidan; but one or two have govit, with RV. etc.]

6. Be ye excited after this formidable hero; take hold, O companions, after Indra, the troop-conqueror, kine-conqueror, thunderbolt-armed, conquering in the race, slaughtering with force.

We had this verse [which is RV. vs. 6] above, as vi. 97.3; the reversal in the other texts of the order of the two lines, and the other variants, were there noticed. TS. and MS. alter a little the order of verses: RV. 4 is followed in TS. by RV. 6, 5, 7, and in MS. by RV. 7, 5, 6. The Anukr. reckons vss. 3-6 alike as *bhurij*, although 3 is redundant by two syllables. [Ppp. reads *satvānas* for *sakhāyas* in **b**.]

·7. Plunging with power into the cow-stalls, Indra, pitiless, formidable, of hundred-fold fury, immovable, overpowering fighters, invincible — let him favor our armies in the fights.

The stalls, namely, in which the kine are shut up by the Asuras. All the samhitāmss. read ' $d\bar{a}yd$  ugráh at beginning of b, but all the pada-mss. (except one of SPP's) give adaydh, and one of ours puts after it the sign that is wont to be used when a word shows an anomalous change in samhitā. RV.[vs. 7]SV.VS. (also K.Kap.: see Schroder's note to MS.) read adayds, and our text follows their authority; but TS. has adāyds (of which the Pct. Lexx. take no notice), and MS. has  $\bar{a}d\bar{a}yds$ ; the comm. reads adāyas, but explains it by nirdayas, as if it were adayds. Adāyds is doubtless the established AV. reading. All the other texts have after it vīrds instead of ugrás. In c, all the others except MS. have ayudhyds. Most of the pada-mss. accent ayodhydh, [and so the pada-reading of MS.]. The first pāda is bhuriy [read gotrā?].

8. O Brihaspati, fly about with thy chariot, demon-slaying, forcing away our enemics; breaking up our focs, slaughtering our enemics, be thou the helper of ourselves.

Or, 'of our bodies (tanti).' This verse corresponds to vs. 4 of all the other texts; and they read in concert for c prabhañjánt sénāļe pramṛnó yudhā jáyann, and at the end ráthānām. The pada-mss commit the blunder of reading mitrān or mitrān in b; SPP. emends to amitrān, which the comm. also gives. A number of SPP's samhitā-mss. have (after the fashion of MS.)-mitrán or -mitran; cf. note to 27. 4, below |.

9. Indra [be] their leader; let Brihaspati, the sacrificial gift, the sacrifice, soma, go in front; in the midst of the smashing conquering armies of the gods let the Maruts go.

[RV.vs. 8.] The text of MS. agrees throughout with ours; the others read  $\bar{asam}$  in **a**, and dgram (for mddhyc) in **d** [but TS. dgre]. The comm. does here a thing which is hardly paralleled elsewhere in his work : he points out that some explain daksina in **b** as meaning "on the south," but that, as the word would in that case have to be accented

-xix. 15

daksinā, as shown by xviii. 1.42, it must signify here rather 'sacrificial gift' (yajāe dīyamānā gorūpā daksinā). A like attention to the element of accent elsewhere would notably improve the character of his lucubrations. [Cf. note to vs. 4.]

10. Of Indra the bull (*vrsan*), of king Varuna, of the Adityas, of the Maruts, the spirit (*çárdhas*) [is] formidable; the noise of the great-minded, creation-stirring, conquering gods hath arisen.

[RV. vs. 9.] All the other texts agree with ours throughout.

II. Indra [is] ours when the banners meet [in conflict]; let the arrows that are ours conquer; let our heroes be superior; us, O gods, aid ye at the invocations.

All the other texts read in **d** asmān u devās; and MS. has the peculiar ending bháres v a. The verse is vs. 11 of the RV. hymn, RV. vs. 10 being omitted in the Atharvan (save as it is found in part as iii. 19. 6); RV. vs. 10 is omitted also by MS., which ends its hymn with 11; in TS., RV. vs. 10 is put after 11, and 13 follows, only 12 being omitted; in the Atharvan, RV. vs. 12 occurs as iii. 2. 5, and 13 in part at iii. 19. 7.

## 14. For safety.

[Atharvan. - ekarcam. dyāvāprthuvīyam. trāistubham.]

This hymn is not found in Paipp. It and the one following are included in the *abhaya gana* (note to Kāuç. 16.8).

Translated : Griffith, ii. 274. Griffith calls it a "hymn after victory" and refers  $tvi\bar{a}$  to the conquered enemy.

1. Here have I come up to a better stop (*avasána*); heaven-and-earth have been propitious to me; let the directions be for me free from rivals; we verily hate thee not; be there fearlessness for us.

The mss. read in a uchréyas (p. utoçréyah); very possibly the true reading would be  $u \ créyas$ . The pada-mss. fail to divide avasånam (it should be avaosånam, and this SPP. reads by emendation). Some mss. read te for me in b. Some of ours combine at the end no 'stu. Ap. vi. 29. I has a corresponding passage : idam creyo 'vasānam yad āgām syone me dyāvāpṛthivī abhūtām : anamīvāh pradiçah santu mahyam : gomad . . . svāhe 'ty avasite juhoti : cf. also Ap. xiii. 25. 3.

#### 15. For safety and success.

[Atharvan. — sadrcam. 1-4. äindram ; 5, 6. mantroktabahudevatyam. 1. pathyābrhatī ; 2, 5. 4-p. jagatī ; 3. vurāt pathyāpañktı ; 4, 6. tristubh ]

The hymn is found also in Pāipp. iii. As noted under the preceding, it belongs to the *abhaya gaṇa*; and the comm. points out sundry uses of the *gaṇa* (Çānti K. 16; Nakṣ. K. 18; Pariçiṣṭa 5. 3).

Translated : Ludwig, p. 513; Griffith, ii. 275.

1. What we fear, O Indra, make thou fcarlessness for us of it; O bounteous one, help (cak) that for us by thy aids; smite away haters, away scorners. The verse is RV. viii. 50 (61). 13, without variant; also SV. i. 274; ii. 671, which reads  $\bar{u}tdye$  in c. Most of the mss. give tvdm instead of tdt in c, but two of ours (P.M.) have tdn na  $\bar{u}$ , and on the authority of these and of RV.SV. our text gives the same; SPP. reads tvdm, and so does the comm., and it is probably to be regarded as the true Atharvan version.

2. Indra the success-giver do we invoke; may we be successful with biped, with quadruped; let not the niggardly armies come upon us; make the haters (*driik*) disperse and disappear.

The translation is defective in making no account of the prefix anu (twice), which ought to have an appreciable value, although it is very difficult to see what; the comm. paraphrases anurādham by anukrameņa pājanīyam, and he quotes RV. iv. 25.8 in illustration of how various classes in succession invoke Indra. Ppp. preserves the *a* of anu in b. SPP. reads in d, with all the mss., druhás; there was no good reason for its alteration in our text to drúhas.

3. Indra [is] rescuer and Vritra-slayer, our desirable far-and-wide protector (?); be he our defender at the extremities, he in the middle, he behind, he in front.

In **b** the translation follows the comm., who explains  $parasp\bar{a}(-p\bar{a}h)$  no v-; all the mss. (save one or two s.m.) \* have  $parasph\bar{a}no$  v- (p.  $parasph\bar{a}nah$ , without division), and this is doubtless the true Atharvan text, though an unintelligible corruption, of which our gayasphāna is an only partially successful emendation. \*[In fact, W's O. and three of SPP's mss. have  $-sph\bar{a}$ -, p.m., and  $-sp\bar{a}$ -, s.m.; and SPP's reciter K. gave  $-sph\bar{a}$ -, while his reciter V. gave  $-sp\bar{a}$ -.] Ppp. reads  $paramp\bar{a}no$  ( $parasp\bar{a}$  no?). The pada-mss. unintelligently divide ca: ramatah in c; some of our mss. have -mata sa. The verse (8 + 8 : 12 + 10 = 38) is poorly described by the Anukr.

4. Do thou, knowing, lead us toward broad space (loka), light that is heavenly (svar), fearlessness, well-being; may we dwell under the formidable arms of thee the stout one, O Indra, [those two] great refuges.

The verse is RV. vi. 47. 8, found also in TB. (in ii. 7. 133); both these texts read in **b** svàrvaj jy-, at beginning of **c** rsvå, and in **d** stheyāma. The comm. gives svaryat, but explains the -yat as -gacchat (as above, 13. 1); Ppp. agrees with RV.TB. [in reading svàrvaj]; [Ppp. abbreviates the consonant group -j jy- to -jy-; and so does TB., cd. Calc., reading súvarva jy-]. In **d**, the comm. has the better reading ksiyema.

5. May the atmosphere make for us fearlessness; fearlessness both heaven-and-earth here; fearlessness from behind, fearlessness from in front; from above, from below be there fearlessness for us.

The comm. prefers to take the words of direction in c, d in their other admissible sense of points of compass, pointing out that *adhara* gets the value 'south' by antithesis to *uttara* 'north.' The verse (11 + 12:11 + 11 [?] = 45) is no sort of a *jagati*.

6. Fearlessness from friend, fearlessness from enemy, fearlessness from one known, fearlessness [from one] that is away; fearlessness for us by night, fearlessness by day; be all places my friend.

At the beginning of **b**, all the mss. read *ábhaye*, but even SPP. emends to *-yam*, having the comm. with him. At the end of the same pāda, all [so also Ppp.] give *puró yáh* (p. *puráh : yáh*), which SPP. retains; the comm. reads *paro yah*, but understands it as if *páro yáh*, explaining as *jñātād anyah* or *aparijñātah*. Our emendation to *parókṣāt* is defensible; but the translation implies *paró yáh*, as a less alteration. [In **d**, Ppp. combines *sarvā* "çā and omits *máma.*] ["Save me from my friends:" cf. ii. 28. I **d** and note; also RV. iv. 55. 5, where the antithesis between *jányam ánhas* and *mitríyam ánhas* is most instructive.]

## 16. For safety and protection.

[Atharvan. — trcam.\* mantroktabahudevatyam. 1. anustubh; 2. 3-av. 7-p. brhatīgarbhā 'tıçakvarī.] \*[So the London ms.; the Berlin ms. says in fact duyrcam: see under vs. 2.]

This and the following hymns, to 23 inclusive, are wanting in Pāipp. The comm. has 16-19 used in the night, in a ceremony to be performed by the *purohita*, on the entrance of a king into his sleeping-house (according to Pariçista iv. 5). The hymn is repeated below as 27. 14, 15.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 276.

1. Freedom from rivals in front, behind us [is] fearlessness made; Savitar [protect] me on the south, the lord of Çachī me on the north.

The comm. takes krtám in **b** as 2d du. impv., = kurutam, in spite of the accent, trying to find a dual subject in the two gods mentioned in **c**, **d**; and SPP., in obedience to this, even reads krtam, although twelve of his thirteen authorities (with all of ours) have krtám, the thirteenth evidently disagreeing with the rest purely by the accidental omission of an accent-mark.\* It would not be impossible to take  $m\bar{a}$  in **c** and **d** as object of daksinatás and uttarất. \*[For the use of krtám (the participle), cf. tāír me krtám svastyáyanam, above, 9. 12 c. — In his Collation Book, W. refers to RV. khila, 3. 4, which reads asapatnám purástān naḥ civám daksinataḥ krdhi: abháyam sátatam paccād bhadrám uttarató grhé.]

2. From the sky let the Ādityas defend me; from the earth let the fires defend; let Indra-and-Agni defend me in front; let the Açvins yield (*yam*) refuge round about; crosswise let the inviolable [cow], let Jāta-vedas, defend [mc]; let the being-makers be my defense (*várman*) on all sides.

In e the mss. all read *tiraçcinaghnyå*, which the *pada*-text resolves into *tiraçcin*: aghnyå, and this SPP. retains, though *tiraçcin* is not a possible word. Our emendation to -cinā 'ghnyå is a very simple one (implying -cinā : aghnyå); the translation is founded on it; but a more radical alteration of the pāda would be acceptable: something like, for instance, *tiryák cā 'gni rakṣatu jātávedā*; the *jātávedās* leads naturally to the suspicion that agnts is somehow hidden in the 'ghnyå; the comm. indeed reads *tiraçcin* agnī r-; but he is able to regard *tiraçcīn* as a masc. accus., implying asmān; or else as by Vedic license for -cis, and this for -cibhyas, implying digbhyas(!); and such assumptions are forbidden us. The pada-mss. all read rakṣantu in e.

The Anukr. in its metrical definition treats this all as one verse, and the same treatment is implied by the summation at the end of the *anuvāka* (see p. 928); but the comm. and one of our mss. make what follows the second *avasāna* into a separate or

923

third verse; La like contradiction obtains as between the Anukr. and the comm. in the repeated passage, below, 27. 14, 15 (see the note); here, moreover, as noted above, the mss. of the Anukr. are at variance as to whether the hymn is to be reckoned as of 2 vss. or of 3]. The addition of *brhatīgarbhā* to the metrical definition is quite uncalled-for; Ldoubtless because pāda **b** scans better as 8 syllables than as 9: no less uncalled-for is the addition of *saptapadā*, unless, dividing what follows the second *avasāna* into 3 pādas, we begin the seventh with an enclitic].

L suspect that our text consists of 6 pādas (8 + 8:11 + 11:11 + 11 = 60, "atiçakvarī"), call them 1 vs. or 2, as you will. Pādas c and e and f have good tristubh cadences: c is good tristubh if we resolve indraagnī; so is e, with W's tiryák cā 'gnī r-; the presence of me in f is all that spoils f; and the absence of me after yachatām is all that spoils the cadence of d, if, substituting the grammatical equivalent, we pronounce açvinā 'bhitah at the beginning.]

#### 17. For protection: to various gods.

## [Atharvan. — daçakam. pratyrcam mantroktadevatyam. jāgutam : 5, 7, 10. atijagutī; 6. bhurij; 9. 5-p. atiçakvarī.]

[Prose.] [Not found in Pāipp.] This hymn and the next are used, the comm. points out, in the same ceremony as 16, with other hymns, as detailed in Pariçişta 4.4; both are also prescribed in Par. 19.1 (see note to Kāuç. 140.9), in a ceremony against danger from the various quarters. [See introd. to next hymn.] [Note that the vss. of this hymn group themselves in 5 dyads (comm., *paryāya-dwayas*), one for each cardinal point and a fifth for the 'fixed and upward points'; and that those of h. 18 do likewise and are so grouped by the comm. also.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 276.

I. Let Agni with the Vasus protect me on the east: in him I step, in him I take refuge (*cri*), to that stronghold I go forward; let him defend me, let him guard me; to him I commit myself: hail!

The comm. first understands and explains *krame* and *craye* as nouns in the locative, qualified by *tasmin*! then he again makes them verbs, quoting from vs. 6 *tāsu krame tāsu (raye*, to support this understanding of them; no one less superficial and blundering could possibly suggest the former explanation, against the accent and the sense.

2. Let Vāyu with the atmosphere protect me from that quarter: in him I etc. etc.

3. Let Soma with the Rudras protect me from the southern quarter : in him I etc. etc.

4. Let Varuna with the Ādityas protect me from that quarter : in him I etc. etc.

The comm. quotes AÇS. ii. 11.12 to show that elsewhere also Soma is associated with the Rudras and Varuna with the Adityas.

5. Let the sun with heaven-and-earth protect me from the western guarter: in him I etc. etc.

6. Let the waters with (-mart) the herbs protect me from that quarter : in them I . . .; let them defend . . .; to them I etc. etc.

7. Let Viçvakarman with the seven seers protect me from the northern quarter : in him I etc. etc.

• 8. Let Indra with (-vant) the Maruts protect me from that quarter : in him I etc. etc.

The comm. quotes Bhagavad-Gītā x. 6 (rather futilely) to support the association of the seven seers with Viçvakarman as highest self (*paramātman*), and (most superfluously) RV. viii. 85 (96). 7 and AB. iii. 20. 1 (part) to show that Indra and the Maruts go together.

9. Let Prajāpati, possessing generative powers (*prajánanavant*), together with firm support (*pratisthá*), protect me from the fixed quarter: in him I etc. etc.

Many of the mss. give various other accents to *prajánanavān*; all read *pratisthåyā* (p. osthåyāħ), which SPP. accordingly retains,\* although it is a palpable corruption; the comm. makes no difficulty of it, viewing it simply as a case of the substitution of genitive for instrumental; he adds, however, another interpretation, supplying *prajananena* for sahá to govern, and making *pratisthåyās* an adjective qualifying diçás. \*[W's B. and all of SPP's authorities appear to accent *pratisthāyā*, p. *pratisthāyā*, and this is in fact the accentuation and reading in SPP's text, although I do not see what is to be made of it.]

10. Let Brihaspati with all the gods protect me from the upward quarter: in him I etc. etc.

The comm. calls these prose "verses" and those of the next hymn paryayas; and the metrical definitions of the Anukr. are of course worthless, although it is possible to read out something like the numbers of syllables required by that treatise.

## 18. For protection: to various gods.

## [Atharvan.— daçakam. pratyrcam mantroktadevatyam. dvāipadam: 1, 8. sāmnī tristubh; 2-6. ārcy anustubh (5. samrāj Lintending svarāj ! ]); 7,9,10. prājāpatyā tristubh.]

[Prose.] [Not found in Pāipp.] See note to the preceding hymn [for ritual uses]. The gods etc. are throughout the same as in that hymn. [The two hymns are closely accordant in general and special peculiarities of structure.] [A similar passage is found at MS. i. 5. 4, p.  $71^{9-15}$ , as W. notes in the Collation Book : he also says "cf. K. vii. 2." AV. v. 10 presents some analogies with our hymn, and iv. 40 still more.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 277.

1. Let those malignants  $(agh\bar{a}yi)$  who shall attack  $(abhi-d\bar{a}s)$  me from the eastern quarter come upon (rch) Agni with (-vant) the Vasus.

The comm. has the more regular vasumantam. All the mss., and the comm., have at the end of all the verses 'bhidāsāt, which SPP. accordingly retains; our edition makes the absolutely necessary emendation to  $-s\bar{a}n$ . [Is  $-d\bar{a}s\bar{a}t$  a faulty reminiscence of AV. v. 10?] Most of the samhitā-mss. also accent diçô 'bhi-. Some of the mss. leave té unaccented. 'With' is represented throughout the hymn by -vant or -mant, not by the instrumental case. As usual, *rch* signifies a coming into hostile or detrimental contact or collision. [W. interlines "run against" as alternative for "come upon."] 2. Let those malignants who shall attack me from that quarter come upon Vāyu with the atmosphere.

3. Let those malignants who shall attack me from the southern quarter come upon Soma with the Rudras.

4. Let those malignants who shall attack me from that quarter come upon Varuna with the Adityas.

The Anukr. ought properly to call this verse bhurij.

5. Let those malignants who shall attack me from the western quarter come upon the sun with heaven-and-earth.

[The accent of dyava- is noted by W., Skt. Gram. § 94 b.]

6. Let those malignants who shall attack me from that quarter come upon the waters with the herbs.

7. Let those malignants who shall attack me from the northern quarter come upon Viçvakarman with the seven seers.

In our text there has dropped out an accent-sign under va before údicyā.

8. Let those malignants who shall attack me from that quarter come upon Indra with the Maruts.

9. Let those malignants who shall attack me from the fixed quarter come upon Prajāpati with generative qualities.

10. Let those malignants who shall attack me from the upward quarter come upon Brihaspati with all the gods.

Verse 8 is properly *bhurij* (23 syllables). Verses 9 and 10 are each properly of 27 syllables; but by restoring elided initial a here and there (with regard to which the Anukr. appears to acknowledge no rule) the meters as defined can be made out.

## 19. For protection by various gods.

[Atharvan. — ekādaçakam. cāndramasam uta mantroktadevatyam. pāūktam: 1, 3, 9. bhurig brhatī; 10. svaiāj; 2, 4–8, 11. anustubgarbhā.]

[Prose.] [Not found in Pāipp.] The comm. says that, besides the uses stated in connection with preceding hymns, the *purohita* is to accompany with this the entrance of the king in the night into his sleeping-house; and that it also appears in the ceremony of a king's entrance into his city.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 278.

I. Mitra ascended with the earth: to that stronghold I lead you forward; that enter ye into; that enter ye; let that yield (*yam*) you both refuge and defense.

The comm. declares that Mitra here means Agni.

2. Vāyu ascended with the atmosphere : to that stronghold etc. etc.

- 3. The sun ascended with the sky: to that stronghold etc. etc.
- 4. The moon ascended with the asterisms : to that stronghold etc. etc.

5. Soma ascended with the herbs: to that stronghold etc. etc.

6. The sacrifice ascended with the sacrificial gifts : to that stronghold etc. etc.

7. The ocean ascended with the streams : to that stronghold etc. etc.

8. The bráhman ascended with the Vedic students: to that stronghold etc. etc.

The comm. says that brahman here means the Veda with the angas.

9. Indra ascended with heroism : to that stronghold etc. etc.

10. The gods ascended with the immortal  $(am \dot{r}ta)$ : to that stronghold etc. etc.

11. Prajāpati ascended with progeny : to that stronghold etc. etc.

The comm. explains *ud akrāmat* by *yām puram rakṣ.tum utkrāntavān*, as antecedent of *tām puram* etc. The metrical definitions of the Anukr. are not worth comparing in detail.

#### 20. For protection by various gods.

[Atharvan. - bahudevatyam. trāistubham : 2. jagatī ; 3. purastādbrhatī ; 4. anustubh.]

[Not found in Pāipp.] The comm. says, purely on his own authority, that with this hymn the *purohita* arms with a breastplate a king going to battle. [For the reference to vs. 4 in Kāuç. 25. 36 note, see above, introd. to viii. 5.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 279. [In Anukr. we miss caturrcam.]

1. Have set down apart the human deadly weapon Indra-and-Agni, Dhātar, Savitar, Brihaspati, king Soma, Varuṇa, the Açvins, Yama; let Pūshan protect us round about from death.

It is quite as likely that 'Soma' ctc. in the second half-verse should be viewed as coordinate with Pūshan. The translation omits ydm in a; it seems probable that ais deeply corrupt. [Caland, KZ. xxxiv. 456, citing Avestan usage, takes  $p\bar{a}uruseyam$ vadhám yám as accusative of the crystallized combination  $p\bar{a}uruseyo$  vadhó yáh which we had at i. 30. 1: see note to xii. 2. 19. But W's suspicion is weighty.] All the mss. accent nyádhus (the pada-mss. having, against all rule and practice, nyádhuh, instead of nioádhuh or nt: adhuh), and SPP. follows them (in p. also); our nyàdhus is an emendation, apparently a necessary one—unless we can construe, with the comm., b-d as together constituting the apodosis: 'what means of death for men [our enemies] have fixed in secret—from [that] death let Indra-and-Agni etc. etc. protect us.' [Griffith : 'May Soma etc. guard us from Mrityu—death caused by men, which Indra etc. appointed.'] The verse is far too irregular (11 + 12 : 12 + 9 = 44) to be called simply a tristubh.

2. What [defenses] he who is lord of creation, Prajāpati, Mātariçvan, made for his creatures (*prajá*), what ones the directions and the quarters put on (vas)—let those defenses (*várman*) be abundant (*bahulá*) for me.

The mss. accent vasaté [except several that have vasate]; our emendation to vasáte is unquestionably called for, even though there are a few cases in RV. of such accent as vasaté [Gram. § 613]. The comm. etymologizes mātariçvan as antarikse çvasitē '*ti*. [The verse is properly 12 + 11 : 11 + 11: for **d** has *tristubh* cadence, and there are three possibilities of excising a syllable from its prior half.]

3. What [defense] those gods fastened on themselves, when fighting for overlordship, what defense Indra made for himself, let that protect us on all sides.

Pāda **b** is altogether corrupt; the translation implies the reading dhirājyāya yodhinah, which differs a little from the emendation in our text, but which the Pet. Lex. assumes under adhirājya. The mss. give (devā) 'dhirājayódhehinah, which the padatext analyzes into (deva :) ddhiorāja : ydh : dhehi : nah (SPP. reports his pada-mss. as giving at the beginning devā, apparently by an oversight, as devā is no form \*). The comm. has (devāh) dyurājayo (implying p. dyuor-) dehinah, and this SPP. accepts, despite its unsatisfactory character; the comm. explains dyurājayas as divi dyuloke rājamānās, which is absurd, and adds that, since the wearing of armor implies a body (deha), the gods were embodied (dehinas), which is silly. The pada-mss. (and one of SPP's samhitā-mss.) strangely read sarvátas at the end instead of viçvátas; the comm. and both editions accept the latter; [and since W. notes nothing to the contrary, his D. presumably has viçvátah]. The text, with **b** as translated, and with ca-kr-e in **c** [making 11 + 8:8 + 8], answers excellently to the definition of the Anukr. \*[W. means, I take it, no form which is usable in this connection.]

4. Defense for me may heaven-and-earth, defense may day, defense may the sun, defense for me may all the gods make; let not the affront-ress (?pratīcikā) reach me.

Some of the mss. leave *praticikā* accentless, and nearly all accent *krán*; both editions have *kran* and *-kā*. The comm. seems to read *agnis* instead of *dhas* in **b**, and *mo* for *mā* in **d**. The comm. paraphrases *praticikā* as *(atrusenā 'jāātapratikūlāācanā (ka* being added to *pratīcī "ajāātārthe"*); the [minor] Pet. Lex. conjectures 'discomfort' (*Ungemach*); the translation above is of course only tentative. To be compared with the verse is viii. 5. 18 above; found also in AÇS. i. 2. 1, which has our **a**, **b** (but reading *agnis* with our comm.), and, for third pāda, *varma me santu tiraçcikā*; and in Ap. xiv. 26. 1, with *agnis* in **b**, and, for **c**, **d**, *varma me brahmaņaspatir mā mā prāpad ato bhayam*.

[Here ends the second *anuvāka*, with 11 hymns and 72 verses. If we counted hymn 16 as of 3 verses, there would be 73. Some mss. sum up the verses as 72, and thus support the numeration of hymn 16 as given by both editions (see p. 923).]

## 21. The meters.

#### [Brahman. — ekarcam. chāndasam. 1-av. 2-p. sāmnī brhatī.]

[Prose.] [Not found in Pāipp.] The comm. finds the verse quoted by the appellation *chandogana* in Nakṣatra Kalpa 18. — [The Anukr. says: *idam Brahmā chandonukrāntivijnānāyā 'paçyat*. — The meters are arranged, according to the number of their syllables, in an arithmetical progression ascending by a difference of 4. In VS. xxiii. 33, all these and *kakúbh* are mentioned.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 279.

1. Gāyatrī, uṣṇih, anuṣṭubh, bṛhatī, paīkti, triṣṭubh-and-jagatī. The mss. are at variance as to the use of any *kampa*-sign between the first two SPP's authorities appear all (except one *pada*-ms.,  $-t\bar{t}$ ) to read at the end *jdgatyāi*; ours vary between  $-t\bar{t}$ , -tye,  $-tya\bar{t}$ , and  $-tya\bar{u}$ . The text of the comm. reads *paākti* (instead of *-tis*), and, either with reason or on account of his usual disregard of accent, he takes the whole verse as a single compound word in the dative case, explaining it to mean *gāyatryāi svāhā*, *usņihe svāhā*, etc., and declaring it thus to contain seven *mantras*; and SPP. thinks this to be "doubtless" the original character of the line; it would be safer to say "perhaps," or "possibly," since the separate accentuation, the nominative form *paāktts*, and the division by the Anukr. into two pādas (in the *pada*-mss., after *anusţúp*) all speak against it. The mss. accent *tristúb jdgatyāi*.

## 22. Homage to parts of the Atharva-Veda.

[Angiras.— ekavinçati. mantroktadevatyam. 1. sāmny usņih ; 3, 19. prājāpatyā gāyatrī ; 4,7, 11, 17. dāivī jagatī ; 5, 12, 13. dāivī trisļubh ; 2, 6, 14–16, 20. dāivī pankti ; 8–10. āsuri jagatī ; 18. āsury anustubh (1–20. 1-av.) ; 21. 4-p. trisļubh.]

[Verses I-20, prose.] [Not found in Pāipp.] The comm. quotes from Naksatra Kalpa, 17, 18, to the effect that this hymn and the following (together called samasa) are to be used in the great appeasement-ceremony called  $\bar{angirasi}$ , by one who seeks success as practising or suffering witchcraft. [Cf. introd. to next hymn.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 279; vs. 21 also by Ludwig, p. 219.

1. With the first five anuvākás of the Angirasas, hail!

It is very strange that the instrumental case is used here, instead of the dative, which is used everywhere else through this hymn and the next. [Conversely, note the use of the abl.-dat. form *mādbhyds*, below, 27. 2 c, where we expect the instrumental, as in the other pādas.]

- 2. To the sixth, hail!
- 3. To the seventh-and-eighth, hail!
- 4. To the black claws, hail!
- 5. To the green ones, hail!

Two.of our mss. (O.D.) accent with our text háritebhyas; SPP. reads haritébhyas, with (apparently) all his authorities and nearly all of ours.

- 6. To the petty ones, hail!
- 7. To them of the paryāyas, hail!
- 8. To the first conchs, hail!
- 9. To the second conchs, hail!
- 10. To the third conchs, hail!

In 9 and 10, SPP. accents, with all the mss., dvitiyébhyas and trityébhyas; we have not hesitated to make the necessary emendations to *-tiye*. [The false accent is perhaps a blundering assimilation to that of *prathamébhyas*: cf. notes to vss. 13 and 14, and especially to xviii. 3. 47. — Two of W's later collated mss., D.L., have rightly *-tiye*.]

- 11. To the next to the last ones, hail!
- 12. To the last ones, hail!
- 13. To the further ones, hail!

SPP. again follows the mss. in accenting *uttarébhyas;* Lagain a blundering assimilation to the accent of *uttamébhyas*, vs. 12].

929

14. To the seers, hail!

Here also we emended the accent [to *fsibhyas*, which W's D.L. indeed give]; but SPP. has, with the mss., *fsibhyas*. [For the rationale of the blunder (due to *çisibhyas*, vs. 15), cf. notes to vss. 10 and 13 and note to xviii. 3. 47.]

15. To the peaked ones (? *cikhin*), hail!

Here the mss. vary between *çikhlbhyas* and *çişlbhyas*.

16. To the ganás, hail!

17. To the great gands, hail!

18. To all the ganá-knowing (??) Angirases, hail!

It is altogether likely that *vidaganá* either never meant anything or is a corrupt reading; the translation is given mercly in order not to leave the word untranslated.

19. To the two thousands severally, hail!

20. To the bráhman (?), hail!

SPP. reads *brahmáne*, and mentions no disagreement among his authorities; all but one or two of ours have the same, and our text might probably have been better left to read so; but the accentuation of the mss. is wholly unauthoritative, and the distinction here also of no manner of importance. The comm. understands *brahmáne*. [I think *bráhmane* is to be preferred for the reason given at p. 932, line 7.] The numbers of syllables in the verses agree throughout with those demanded by the definitions of the Anukr.

It is a great disappointment to find that the designations given in this hymn to the various parts or elements of the Atharvan text are just as much a puzzle to the commentator as they are to us, so that he does not even venture to conjecture a meaning for them. He understands the authors rather than the mantras to be meant as the recipients of the homage. His whole comment follows: atra vinçatikāndātmikāyām asyām çākhāyām vidyamānānuvākasūktaganaviçeşādisamjnārūpāth çabdāir anuvākādidra stāra ctannāmāna rṣayah pratīpādyante: nīlanakhādisūktaviçeşānām prasiddhatvāt tāni vuçeyato na pradarçītāni: brahmane svāhe 'ti brahmaçabdena vinçatikāndātmaka-vedavāc akena tasya draṣtā brahmākhya rṣih pratipādyate: anyat sarvam nigadavyā-khyātam. It sounds like a bad joke that he calls nīlanakha etc. 'familiarly known.' That anuvāka is used in vs. 1 in the same sense as in the present division of the text seems very unlikely.

21. Heroisms [were] gathered with the *bráhman* as chief; the *bráhman* as chief in the beginning stretched the sky; the Brahmán was born as first of creatures; therefore (*téna*) who is fit to contend with the Brahmán?

Or (in d) 'with that (t/na) Brahmán.' SPP's text of the verse agrees with ours save that he accents in d bráhmanā with the mss., and has in c prathamb'tá (p. -mdh: utá, though the pada-mss. read  $-m\hat{a}$ : utá); the text of the comm. has -mo'ta here, but -mo'tha in the verse repeated as 23.30; the emendation in our text to  $-m\delta$  ha is plainly the easiest way out of the difficulty. The pada-mss. divide at the beginning, with remarkable absence of intelligence, bráhma :  $jyesth\bar{a}$ , or  $jyesth\bar{a}$ ; half the samhitā-mss. also accent  $jyesth\bar{a}$ ; finally, the pada-mss., with incredible folly, divide at the end spárddhi: tumkáh! SPP. holds that the verse must have originally had brahmán throughout (four times), and gives in his note a text of it in that form (but with pratham\delta'tha in c); but it is far from improbable that bráhman was used in the first halfverse and brahmán in the second, as in our text. Indeed, in a corresponding verse in TB. (ii. 4. 7<sup>10</sup>), bráhman [more appropriately, it would seem, if I am right in supposing that vss. 29 and 30 of hymn 23 refer to the Brahmaveda: cf. p. 932, l. 3] is used every time: bráhmajyeşthā (1ts commentary takes this as vocative) viryà sámbhrtānu bráhmā 'gre jyéştham divam ā tatāna: rtásya bráhma prathamó 'tá (1 its comment paraphrases by simply prathamám) jajñe ténā 'rhati bráhmanā spárdhitum káh. Our comm. gives a second explanation of brahmajyeşthā as = brahmanā jyeşthena, the case-ending of the former word being omitted, as well as the *in* part of that of the second!

## 23. Homage to parts of the Atharva-Veda.

[Atharvan.—trinçat. mantroktadevatyam uta cāndramasam. 1. āsurī brhatī; 2–7, 20, 23, 27. dāivī tristubh; 8, 10–12, 14–16. prājāpatyā gāyatrī; 17, 19, 21, 24, 25, 29. dāivī pankti; 9, 13, 18, 22, 26, 28. dāivī jagatī; (1–29. 1-av.).]

[Verses 1-29, prose.] [Not found in  $P\bar{a}ipp$ ] The application of the hymn, as defined by the comm., was given with the one preceding.

As in the case of the preceding hymn, the comm. to all the verses is given together at the end. Its main parts are given below under the separate verses. It further declares that by the words *ekarca* to *daçarca* are designated the *rsis* named Atharvan, and by those from *ekādaçarca* to *vinçati* are designated the *ārseyas* named Atharvana; and it quotes as authority the beginning of i. 1. 5 of the Gopatha Brāhmaṇa.

[With regard to this hymn in general, and leaving books xix. and xx. out of account in the statements that follow: in the first place it is clear that the books of the **third** grand division of the AV., books xiii.-xviii. (see p. 708), are intended by verses 23-28 respectively (see under the verses below and see the introductions to the several books).]

[In the second place it is clear that the hymns of the books (but not the books themselves severally) of the first grand division of the AV., books i.-vii. (see p. 388), are intended to be covered by verses 1-15 and 19 and 20 (between 19 and 20 we miss the *dvyrcebhyah* which the commentator's text has). In this connection it is significant that vs. 1 begins with homage "to them of four verses," which is the norm of our first book, and not with homage "to them of one verse"—see the first table on p. 388. Moreover, as appears from the table on p. cxliv, the first grand division contains a hymn or hymns of every number of verses from 4 verses to 18 verses (mostly in books i.-v.) and from I verse to 3 verses (exclusively in books vi. and vii.). Again, while there is in the first grand division (and only there) one hymn or more of every number of verses from I verse to 18 verses, it is interesting to note that there is, in the whole AV. (books i.xviii. or even i.-xix.), not one hymn of 19 verses, nor yet one of 20 verses (cf. p. 471 top): and of this fact account seems to be taken in so far as the form of our verses 16 and 17 differs from that of the 15 preceding.]

LThirdly, the books of the second grand division of the AV. (books viii.-xii.) consist of hymns of over 20 verses (p. 471, top). There is, therefore, in all our present hymn, no special reference to this division, unless it be in verse 18, which may accordingly mean 'To the division ( $k\bar{a}nda$ ) of great (mahant) [hymns], hail,' and refer to books viii.-xii. It is not impossible that a Hindu might use  $k\bar{a}nda$  to signify a 'division' comprising several books and tantamount to one of our so-called "grand divisions" (see my note to vs. 18). Against my view, I might well object that dirgha would be a more appropriate adjective than mahant for the 'long' individual hymns of which the division consists; but, per contra, if the difference between mahadguna and mahāguna be a valid parallel, the text ought, if it means 'great book,' to read mahākāndāya. A graver objection to my view, perhaps, is the position of vs. 18, which, if I were or am right, ought to come between verse 20 and verse 23.

**Fourthly,** verses 29 and 30 doubtless refer to this Veda as a whole, to the Brahmaveda, or to the incantations (*bráhman*) which form its subject-matter. After writing this, I note that Bloomfield in the *Grundriss*, p. 40, note 7, expresses an opinion similar, but much less specific. If I am right, *bráhman* is to be preferred to *brahmán* in these two verses, as also in vss. 20-21 of the preceding hymn: cf. the TB. vs. cited under 21.21. On the other hand, I ought not to pass in silence the fact that the Anukr., at the beginning of its treatment of book xix., seems to call book xix. the *brahmakānda*.

[Finally, therefore, aside from verse 18, just discussed, and assuming that verses 16 and 17 were added (in genuine Hindu fashion) merely for schematic completeness, we have only to note that all the verses of the hymn are reasonably accounted for, save only verses 21 and 22.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 280.

- 1. To them of four verses of the Atharvanas, hail!
- 2. To them of five verses, hail!
- 3. To them of six verses, hail!

All the sain hitā-mss. read sadarc-, and two of SPP's pada-mss. saddorc-; both editions sadrc-, with the comm. and three pada-mss. The Gop.Br. has sadarc- in i. 1. 5.

- 4. To them of seven verses, hail!
- 5. To them of eight verses, hail!
- 6. To them of nine verses, hail!
- 7. To them of ten verses, hail!
- 8. To them of eleven verses, hail!
- 9. To them of twelve verses, hail!
- 10. To them of thirteen verses, hail!
- 11. To them of fourteen verses, hail!
- 12. To them of fifteen verses, hail!
- 13. To them of sixteen verses, hail!
- 14. To them of seventeen verses, hail!
- 15. To them of eighteen verses, hail!
- 16. Nineteen : hail!
- 17. Twenty : hail!

In these two verses, some of the mss. read -*catl sv*-; the text of the comm. has -*catyāl*, which would be an improvement; and two of SPP's reciters give the same. LBut cf. p. 931, ¶ 6, end. ]

## 18. To the great book (mahat-kāndá), hail!

[All of W's and of SPP's mss., and the reciters as well, give mahat, not mahā-; but the comm. appears to read mahā-, and to say that it means the 'entire Veda of twenty books': mahākāndāye 'li çahdena vinçatikāndātmakakrtsnavedavācinā; and this seems to support my suggestion that a Hindu might use kānda of a group of kāndas: cf. ¶ 5 of introduction, above. Weber suggested at Ind. Stud. iv. 433 that mahatkānda might mean book xx.; but in a later volume (xviii. 154), that book v. might be intended.] [See pages clvii-viii.] 19. To them of three verses, hail!

Between this verse and the next, the commentator's text inserts duyrcebhyah svāhā.

20. To them of one verse, hail!

21. To the petty ones, hail!

[See page clviii top.]

This is a repetition of 22.6 above, and after it the commentator's text adds 22.7.

## 22. To them of a half-verse, hail!

All the mss., and the comm., have here ekānrcebhyas (p. ekabanrc-), and SPP. follows them. Our ekadvyrcebhyas (misprinted ekadvrc-) was meant as an emendation, but is hardly successful. What ekānrc- should mean does not appear; the translation simply follows the comm., for lack of anything better.

#### 23. To the ruddy ones (rohita), hail!

. The mss. [except W's O.D., which have  $r\delta h$ -], and hence also SPP., accent here *rokitébhyas*. The comm. remarks that in this and the following verses the books intended are clear. This, of course, means book xiii. [which is designated by *rokitāis* at Kāuç. 99. 4].

24. To the two Sūryās, hail!

That is, to the two parts (anuvākas) of the book beginning with the Sūryā-hymn (xiv.).

25. To the two Vrātyas, hail!

Again the two anuvākas of the Vrātya-book (xv.). [Both ed's read vrātyābhyām, with all the authorities, save W's D.L., which have vrātyā. The minor Pet. Lex., vi. 189, notes vrātyā as an adj. to vrātya: hence, rather, 'To the two [anuvākas] about the vrātya, hail!' See my note, p. 770, ¶ 3.]

26. To the two of Prajāpati, hail!

The two anuvākas of book xvi. are evidently intended, though why they are called  $pr\bar{a}j\bar{a}patya$  is difficult to say. [The Major Anukr. calls the whole book  $pr\bar{a}j\bar{a}patya$ , as noted p. 792, ¶ 4.] The Old Anukr. quoted in the endings says at the end of xvi. 4  $pr\bar{a}j\bar{a}patyo$  ha catuskah, [ $\cdots$ ] saptakah parah: i.e. 'the [first] Prajāpati-anuvāka has four hymns [or paryāyas]; the [paryāya] next after [2 and 3: i.e paryāya 4] is one of seven verses.' [For the probable relative position and the significance of these extracts, see p. 792 (¶ 5)-793.]

#### 27. To the visāsahi, hail!

The seventeenth book begins with the word  $vis\bar{a}sahlm$ ; and this time the comm. takes the trouble to specify that "the seventeenth  $k\bar{a}uda$ " is intended. | Cf. p. 805, ¶ 1. |

28. To them of good omen (mangaliká), hail!

This, from its position, ought to signify book xviii.; the comm. says nothing about it; his text reads  $m\bar{a}\bar{n}g$ . [That the functal book is held to be most inauspicious appears from SPP's preface to his ed., vol. i., p. 4, p. 5, and especially p. 2. To call the book auspicious is a euphemism such as is familiar in the case of the dreadful god Çiva.]

One of our mss. (I.) inserts after this verse five others which do not appear to occur elsewhere, as SPP. does not mention them : nákṣatrakalpāya svāhā. 29. vātlānakalpāya svāhā. 30. çāntikalpāya svāhā. 31. angirasakalpāya svāhā. 32. sāmhitāvidhaye

933

svåhām. 33. Our 29 then follows, in the form *tulibrahmáne svåhā*, and our 30 as given in all the mss.: *bráhmajyesihé 'ty ékā*. [The foregoing are the readings of the Collation Book: apart from the accents, they require correction, I suppose, to  $\bar{ang}irasa$ -and samhita-.]

### 29. To the bráhman, hail!

See above, 22. 20, with which this is identical. This time, two of our mss. Land three of Sl'P's have *brahmane*; the others, and SPP's text, read *brahmane*. [As to the meaning, see introduction, p. 932,  $\P$  2.]

30. Heroisms were gathered with the *bráhman* as chief; the *bráhman* as chief in the beginning stretched the sky; the Brahmán was born as first of creatures; therefore who is fit to contend with the Brahmán?

This is a repetition of 22.21 above; the commentator's text apparently gives it in full, as SPP. notes that (doubtless only by an accident) it reads this time in *c prathamo* 'tha.

# 24. For prosperity: with a certain garment.

[Atharvan.— aṣṭāu. mantroktabahudevatyam uta brāhmaṇaspatyam. ānuṣṭubham: 4–6, 8. triṣṭubh; 7. 3-p. ārṣī gāyatrī.]

The hymn, except vs. 2, is found also in Pāipp. xv. The comm. points out that it is prescribed by Nakṣatra Kalpa 17-18 to be used in a *mahāçānti* ceremony called *tvāṣṭrī*, on occasion of the loss (kṣaya) of a garment.

Translated : Ludwig, p. 458; Griffith, ii. 281.

1. With what [garment] the gods caused to wrap god Savitar, with that, O Brahmanaspati, do ye wrap this man in order to royalty.

The translation implies emendation in **b** of  $ddh\bar{a}rayan$  to  $ddh\bar{a}payan$ ; this, obviously suggested by the whole sense of the hymn (and proposed in the Pet. Lex.), is supported by the Ppp. text, which reads  $dev\bar{a}$  ' $diy\bar{a}payan$ . The comm. reads  $-dh\bar{a}r$ , but explains it as if it were  $-dh\bar{a}p$ -: parital sarvata  $\bar{a}cch\bar{a}dayan$ . Many of the mss. have  $adh\bar{a}rayan$ , unaccented. The comm. quotes TS. vi. 1. 14, to the effect that "this same garment belongs to all the gods," to explain why the verb in the second half-verse is plural.

2. Wrap ye this man [as] Indra in order to life-time, to great dominion, that [it] may conduct him unto old age; may he long watch over dominion.

All the mss., both here and in the next verse, read at end of c nayām, which SPP. therefore accepts, although both form and accent are indefensible; the comm. has both times naya; the translation implies our emendation to náyāt, the propriety of which can hardly be questioned, especially as it is supported by a corresponding verse three times repeated, with variations, in HGS. i. 4. 8: parī 'mam indra brahmaņe mahe (rotrāya (or rāṣtrāya, or poṣāya) dadhmasi: athāi 'nam jarimā ṇayej jyok (rotre (or rāṣtre, or poṣe) adhi jāgarat: of these three forms, the first is intended for a Brahman, the second for a Kṣatriya, the third for a Vāiçya: compare our next verse. The comm., [in 2 a and 3 a, appears to have Lad before him indramāyuṣe and somamāyuṣe, whatever his accentuation and pada-text may have been: this he understands as indra mā "yuse and soma mā "yuse, his vocative indra] agreeing with HGS. and giving an easier and better text; [his mā goes easily as an appositive with imám, but the following enam is quite out of joint with it].

3. Wrap ye this man [as] Soma in order to life-time, to great instruction (*crótra*), that [it] may conduct him unto old age; may he long watch over instruction.

It is perhaps only by an accident that vs. 2 is omitted in Ppp.; at any rate, this verse shows what would have been read for verse 2 by it: it has *somam*, not *soma*, in a;\* and *naya*, with the comm., in c; further, in a it gives *pare 'mam*. \*[Strictly speaking, it has *somamāyuse*, with a possibility for the same objectionable division as appears under vs. 2, which see.]

4. Wrap, set ye him for us with splendor; make him one to die of old age; [make] long life-time; Brihaspati furnished (*pra-yam*) this garment to king Soma for wrapping himself.

This is a repetition of ii. 13. 2, above. The comm. mentions that the verse has been already explained where it first occurred, but adds: "the sense, however, is compendiously this," and proceeds to give the same exposition over again, word for word (unless, indeed, the editor is responsible for the repetition). For the parallel passages etc., see the note to ii. 13. 2.

5. Go thou safely (sii) unto old age; wrap thyself in the garment; become thou protector of the people (?) against imprecation; and live thou a hundred numerous autumns; and wrap further about (upa-sam-vya) thee abundance of wealth.

[The verse is found in PGS. (i. 4. 12), HGS. (i. 4. 2), and MP. (ii. 2. 7). In **a**, PGS. omits si, while HGS.MP. have jarām gacchāsi; in **b**, all three texts read krṣṭinām and abhiçastipāvā; all three end **c** with suvarcās; and PGS. has for **d** rayim ca putrān anu samvyayasva, adding āyuṣmatī 'dam paridhatsva vāsaḥ.] In **b**, the translation follows Ppp. [and the three texts just cited] in reading kṛṣṭinām 'people' instead of the absurd gṛṣṭinām 'heifers,' which is given by all the mss. and the comm., both here and in the nearly accordant verse ii. 13. 3: see note to ii. 13. 3 [and cf. Roth, ZDMG. xlviii. 110]. The comm. is driven by the reading gṛṣ- into taking abhiçasti- from ças 'cut': abhito viçasanam hinsā. [Our abhiçastipā u, at the end of **b** in vss. 5 and 6, would seem, in view of the -pāvā of the other texts, to be a faulty assimilation to the end of **d** in vs. 4, páridhātavā u, such as may be found elsewhere.]

6. Thou hast wrapped thyself in this garment in order to well-being; thou hast become protector of thine allies (?) against imprecation; and live thou a hundred numerous autumns; living, pleasant (cdru), thou shalt share out good things.

The translation implies in **b**  $dbh\bar{u}r$   $\bar{a}p\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$  [see below], or else an analysis of the ms. reading  $v\bar{a}p\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$  [misprinted vap- in foot-note of Berlin ed.] into u and  $\bar{a}p\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$  (the *pada*-mss. have  $dbh\bar{u}h$ :  $v\bar{a}p\bar{i}n\bar{a}m$ ). The vacanam of our text \* is a conjecture provoked by the grstinam of vs. 5; as that is got rid of, this naturally falls away also. The comm. has again grstinam, and this time interprets abhicasti- as a fear on the part of the 'heifers' of losing their skins (tvagādānabhīti [cf. note to ii. 13.3])! The Ppp.

text appears to give us no variants. The HGS. has a corresponding verse (in i. 4. 3), reading in **a**, **b** adhi dhāh [one ms. correctly 'dhithāh] svastaye 'bhūr āpīnām† abhiçastipāvā: and, for **d**, vasūni cāyyo vi bhajā sa jīvan; the variant to cārus, taken in connection with the small appropriateness of cārus, makes its genuineness suspicious. [MP., at ii. 2. 8, also has āpīnām; and it agrees otherwise with HGS., save that it has dhā for dhāh, cāryó for cāyyo, and vi bhajāsi (agreeing with AV.). Kirste, in his note to HGS., p. 8, mentions as further variants cāyo and cārye. MB, at i. 1.6, has **c**, ending with suvarcās, and **d**, reading cārye.] \*[Misprinted vaçānām.] †[One ms. correctly āpīnām.]

7. We, companions, call to aid Indra the very mighty at every conjuncture, in every contest (? v d j a).

This verse is, without variant, RV. i. 30.7; also found in SV. (i. 163; ii. 93), VS. (xi. 14), TS. (in iv. 1. 2<sup>1</sup>), MS. (in ii. 7. 2), [MP. i. 6. 3]. Sákhāyas, in c, which might be either nominative or vocative, the comm. prefers to take as nominative.

8. Of golden color, unaging, of excellent heroes, having old age as death, do thou enter into union (sam-vic) with progeny: this Agni says, and this Soma says, this Brihaspati, Savitar, Indra.

The second half-verse we had above as viii. 5. 5 a, b [which see; and pāda c occurred at xvi. 9. 2]; the comm. does not notice the repetition. He explains same viça as used in the sense of *nirviça* 'enjoy'; or else, he says, of *praviça* = svagrham adhitistha. Ppp. reads in a ajayas suv-.

## 25. To a horse.

#### [Gopatha. - ekarcam. vājidevatyam. ānustubham.]

The verse is not found in Pāipp. The comm. finds it quoted in Naks. K. 17-18, in a mahāçānti ceremony called gāndharvī, on occasion of the loss (kṣaya) of a horse.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 282.

1. I harness (yuj) thee with the mind of one that is unwearied and that is first; be thou an up-carrier uphill; having carried up, then run thou back.

The real sense of the hymn is very obscure; neither the viniyoga nor the comm. casts any light upon it. [SPP. regards the comm. as taking utkūlamudvaho as one word;] the comm. reads *bhavas* for *bhava*: and he explains the phrase simply by atidrpto bhava. Instead of uduhya, the comm. has duhīya (= çatrujayalakṣaṇāni phalāni labheya). SPP. accents útkūlam, with all the mss.; our alteration to utkūlam is not sufficiently motived; the minor Pet. Lex. has utkūlám, which is more in accordance with general analogies. Fully half the mss. accent úduhya. In our text, bháva (in c) is a misprint for bhava (an accent-mark fallen out).

## 26. For long life etc.: with something golden.

[Atharvan. - caturycam. āgneyam; hāiraņyam. trāsstubham: 3. anustubh; 4. pathyāpankti.]

Of this hymn only vs. 4 is found in Pāipp. (in xx.). The comm. finds it used in Naks. K. 17, 19, in a *mahāçānti* ceremony called *āgneyī*, on occasion of danger from fire, with the insertion of a golden earring; further, in Pariç. 11. 1, in a *tulāpurusa* ceremony.

Translated : Grill, 49, 192; Griffith, ii. 283; Bloomfield, 63, 668.

I. The gold that, born out of the fire, immortal, maintains itself over mortals — whoso knows it, he verily merits (arh) it; one that dies of old age becomes he who wears (bhr) it.

SPP. accents at the end *bibhárti*, with the great majority of the mss. (the same also in 2 d); our preference for *bibharti* was because only this accent is found clsewhere in AV. Most of the mss. accent *énad* in c. The masculine *enam* in c is surprising, as no hint of anything masculine is met with elsewhere in the hymn; the comm. explains it as *anvādistam hiraŋyarūpam padārtham*. In a corresponding verse (6) found in a *khila* of the RV. (to x. 128) is read instead *enad* (one ms. *vedam*), which is more likely to be the true text. The same has in b *jajūe* for *dadhre*. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundant syllable in c.

2. The gold, of beauteous color by the sun, that men (mánu) of old with their progeny sought — that, shining (cundrá), shall unite thee with splendor; of long life becomes he who wears it.

Very nearly all the mss. read *işirê* at end of **b**; but both editions, and the comm., give *işirê*. The majority of mss. also accent *pūrvê*. SPP. reads at end of **c** srjati, with all the authorities (save his P., which has srjāti)\*; both sense and meter so plainly call for srjāti that we adopted it as an emendation in our text; the comm. reads srjatu. As to bibharti at the end, see note to vs. I. \*[W's P.M. have srjasvā "yu.]

3. For life-time thee, for splendor thee, and for force and for strength — that with brilliancy of gold thou mayest shine out among the people.

The comm. reads in c hiranyani tej. The comm. supplies in a, b sani srjatu to each noun, as if they were in the instrumental case, which is plainly wrong. Probably the 'thee' of the first line is different from the 'thou' of the second, being addressed to the article of gold itself.

LThe comm. (as noted) and the text of the comm. have *hiranyam tejasā*; but all the other authorities are agreed as to the accentuation *hiranyatijasā*; which, however, is inherently improbable (*Gram.* § 1267 b), if, with the *pada*-text, we take the combination as one compound word. Both mss. and comm. and accent all point the other way, and we have doubtless to assume as *pada*-reading *hiranya*: *tijasā*, as two words, of which the first is vocative; and, but for our blundering *pada-kāra*, this is just what our *samhitā*-reading would naturally be taken to mean. The comm. understands 'thee' as referring to the man who wears the gold amulet; but the whole verse gains in concinnity. if we refer 'thee' (with W.) to the amulet itself, and supply with the first half the verb *badhnāmi* (as at i. 35. I c; iv. 10. 7 c; xix. 46. I c, d), and take the second half also as addressed to the amulet.]

4. What king Varuna knows, [what] divine Brihaspati knows, what Indra the Vritra-slayer knows, — may that be for thee life-giving, may that be for thee splendor-giving.

Next after the verse already quoted (under vs. 1) from the RV. *khila*, occurs another corresponding to this, but having for **b** yad u devi sarasvati, and for **d** tan me varcasa *äyuse*, and lacking a fifth pāda. Ppp. has in **b** yad u divo brh-, puts yad before *indras* in **c**, and has for **d**, and for end of the verse, tac cittam cittam arhanam.

| Here ends the third anuvāka, with 6 hymns and 65 verses.]

937

#### 27. For protection etc.: with a triple amulet.

[Bhrgvangiras. — pancadaçakam. truvrddevatyam uta cāndramasam. ānustubham: 3, 9. tristubh; 10. jagatī [? see under the verse]; 11. ārcy usnih; 12. ārcy anustubh; 13. sāmnī tristubh (11-13. 1-av.).]

Found (except verses 12 and 13) also in Päipp. x. The comm. quotes from the Naks. K. (17, 19) its use, in a *mahāçānti* called *prājāpatyā*, by one desiring progeny and cattle, and in case of the loss of progeny, with the binding on of an amulet made of three metals, gold and silver and copper.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 283.

1. Let the bull (*rṣabhá*) protect thee with the kine; let the virile one (*vṛṣan*) protect thee with the vigorous ones (*vājin*); let Vāyu protect thee with *bráhman*; let Indra protect thee with Indra's powers (? *indriyá*).

The comm. reads in a vṛṣabhas.\* In b, he naturally understands horses as intended, and connects  $v\bar{a}jin$  with the root vij ( $v\bar{a}jibhir vejanavadbhih cighragatibhir acvāih$ ). () brdhman he gives three different and equally worthless explanations. To indriya he says indriyāny atre 'ndrasṛṣṭānī 'ndrajuṣṭāni vā, which gives us no help. \*[But the text of the comm. has  $p\bar{a}tv$  ṛṣabhas.]

2. Let Soma protect thee with the herbs; let the sun protect with the asterisms; [let] the moon, Vritra-slayer, [protect] [thee] from the months; let the wind defend with breath.

All the mss. without exception read in c mādbhyás, instead of the mādbhis which we should have expected, and which the comm. has. It seems like a blundering confusion of the two cases (the reverse of that in 22. 1, above). The comm. makes nakṣatra here refer to the planets, most unnecessarily; he reads in d rakṣati, but glosses it with rakṣatu.

3. They call the heavens (div) three, the earths three, the atmospheres three, the occans four, the song of praise (stoma) triple, the waters triple : let these triple ones defend thee with the triple ones.

In Ppp., **b** and **c** have apparently dropped out, and **d** is made to end with *trivṛtās* trivṛttāshih. The mss. vary between *trivṛtā* (which both editions read), *trivṛtās*, and *trivṛtāt*; the translation implies *trivṛtās*, which the comm. has, and which is pretty evidently the intent of the verse; [cf. vs. 9 **d**, below]. The mss. to a great extent read *truv*-instead of *triv*-, as in other like cases. In **a** in our text, emend to *tisráh*. We need to combine *trivṛtā* "pa ā- in **c** to make a good *triṣṭubh*. [I doubt if it is a *triṣṭubh*. To reckon *triṣț* to pāda **a** is very harsh. I suspect we have to pronounce *pṛthvîs* in **a**, and to read and pronounce *triṣṣ antárikṣā* in **b**. Thus the verse scans as 8 + 11: 11 + 11.]

4. The three firmaments (ndka), the three oceans, the three bright ones (*bradhná*), the three at the summit (?vaistapá), the three Mātariçvans, the three suns, do I arrange (ktp) as thy guardians.

Nearly all the mss. read in **a** nåkans, and a part also bradhnáns.\* The comm. has badhnān, and [some of] our mss. also badh-, although SPP. strangely appears to find no badh- among his authorities. The pada-mss. give nåkam and [some of them | bradhnán.

Some mss. accent *mātáriç*- in c, and read *goptrin* in d. All these are of the superficial variety of discordant readings which swarm in this book, and have no real importance. The comm. explains his *badhnān* thus : *trīn badhnān badhnaḥ sarvasya bandha ādhārabhūta ādityaḥ*, in which he shows himself equal to the occasion after precisely his own fashion. The Anukr. takes no notice of the metrical irregularity (7 + 7:9 + 7 = 30). [Roth notes expressly that Ppp. reads *vāiṣtapān*.] \*[Cf. note to 13.8 above, and to 28. 2; also Müller's 1st quarto ed. of RV., vol. i., preface, p. xii; and Pischel, *Gram. der Prakrit-sprachen*, § 83.]

5. With ghee do I sprinkle thee all over, O Agni, increasing thee with sacrificial butter; of fire, of moon, of sun, let not the wily ones damage the breath.

The comm. takes the liberty of filling out c, d so as to mean "by the favor of the fire etc... thy breath, O man that wearest the threefold amulet." Some of SPP's mss. read in a ukhyāmi and ukṣyāmi.

6. Let not the wily ones damage your breath, nor your expiration nor flame (? háras); shining, all-possessing, run ye, O gods, with what is of the gods.

The translation implies emendation of  $dev\bar{a}s$  in **d** to  $dev\bar{a}s$ ; the comm. understands  $dev\bar{a}s$ , but doubtless only by his customary disregard of the accent. He understands vas in **a** [alternatively] as *plur. majest.* of the king on whom the amulet is bound, and haras in **b** as *çatrubalāpahārakani tejas*. To *dāivyena* in **d** he supplies rathādinā sādhanena vegena vā. We are tempted to emend at the end to  $d\bar{a}tvyen\bar{a}$  "dhāvata; Ppp. reads māvata for dhāvata.

7. One unites Agni with breath; the wind is combined with breath; with breath the gods generated the sun that faces all ways. [See p. xxxvi, n.]

All the mss. (save one of SPP's, which has  $-j\bar{a}ti$ ) read *srjati* in **a**, and, as the meter favors it, it might better stand (our text emended to *-anti*). Ppp. gives for **a** *prāņenā* 'gnim sam dadhata, and [reads and] combines at the end [suryam] devā 'janayan.

8. Live thou with the life-time of the life-time-makers; live as one long-lived; do not die; live with the breath of the soulful (*ātmanvánt*); do not come under the control of death.

Nearly all the mss. read in a  $\bar{a}yuhktiam$ , and SPP. follows them, although the comm. gives -usk-. In c, both the editions emend to  $\bar{a}tmanvidtam$ , all the mss. having  $\bar{a}tmatvidtam$  (p.  $\bar{a}tmaotvidtam$  /); the comm. appears to imply -nvatam in his explanation, though (according to SPP.) his text also has -tvatam. Nearly all [SPP's authorities] accent after it  $j\bar{v}vd$ ; both editions read  $j\bar{v}va$ , [SPP.] on very slender authority. Our  $ihpa g\bar{a}s$  in **d** is an emendation, for the id agas of the mss., SPP., and the comm.; the change was demanded by the requirements both of grammar and of the sense; and Ppp. supports it, reading  $upa g\bar{a} v$ .

9. The treasured  $(ni-dh\bar{a})$  treasure of the gods that Indra discovered by roads that the gods travel — the gold did the waters guard with triple ones; let those triple ones defend thee with the triple ones. The last pāda is a repetition of 3 d, and has the same readings as there in mss.,\* editions, and comm. Instead of *indro* '*nv*- in **a**, **b** the mss. give *indrā* '*nv*- (p. *indra* : *anu*-); but this time SPP. also, as well as we, emends to the former reading, which is that of the comm. [*Nidhim devānām nihitam yam indrah* would be good rhythm.] \*[Or nearly so: but *trivŕtā* of 3 is here *trvátā*.]

10. Thirty-three deities and three heroisms guarded [it] within the waters, holding [it] dear; what gold there is upon this shining one (*?candrá*), therewith shall this man do heroisms.

All the mss. read in **b** priydyamdnā (p. priydya : mdnā !); but here again SPP. has the courage to follow us in emending to priydyamdnānās (p. priyacyamdānāk), since the comm. so understands it; it is only a question whether in pada-text -mdnā should not rather be read, as agreeing with the nearer of the two nouns; the comm. takes it as fem. (priyam ivā "carantyak). The Anukr. is curiously confused here; after correctly defining the verse devānām nihitam nidhim as a tristubh, it proceeds to define āpo hiranyam jugupuh as a jagatī, and takes no note of trayastriniçad devatāk as a pratika. Probably there is a quid pro quo here, by a slip of memory; but one does not see how this highly irregular \* verse (13 + 11 : 10 + 11 = 45) should be called simply a jagatī. [With a, cf. 37. 1 c, below.] \*[Possibly we have to substitute the older grammatical equivalent in a, trī ca vīryà (cf. 3 b); a 'sti before ádhi would mend c.]

11. Ye, O gods, that are eleven in the sky, do ye, O gods, enjoy this oblation.

12. Ye, O gods, that are eleven in the atmosphere, do ye, O gods, enjoy this oblation.

13. Ye, O gods, that are cleven on the earth, do ye, O gods, enjoy this oblation.

With these three verses corresponds RV. i. 139. 11: yé devāso divy ékādaça sthá prthivyām ádhy ékādaça sthá: apsuksilo mahināl 'kādaça sthá té devāso yajāám imám jusadhvam; VS. vii. 19 precisely agrees with this; MS. (in i. 3. 13) reads devās in a; TS. (in i. 4 10) reads devās in both a and d [and apsusádo in c]. The comm's text inserts in vs. 11 divyās after devās.

14. Freedom from rivals in front, behind us [is] fearlessness made; Savitar [protect] me on the south, the lord of Çachī me on the north.

15. From the sky let the Ådityas defend me, from the earth let the fires defend; let Indra-and-Agni defend me in front; let the Açvins yield refuge round about; crosswise let the inviolable [cow], let Jātavedas, defend [me]; let the being-makers be my defense on all sides.

These two verses are a repetition of 16. 1, 2 above, and in our mss., as usual, are read simply thus: asapatnám purástād íti dvé. The Anukr. does not repeat its definition of their meter; inasmuch as it reckons the hymn as of fifteen verses, it plainly takes the addition here as of two verses only; the comm., however, again counts three, making of  $\lfloor \text{our } 15 \text{ a}, \mathbf{b} \rfloor$  a separate verse\*;  $\lfloor \text{cf. notes to } 16.2 \rfloor$ . In general, the comm. does not comment for the second time a repeated passage; here, however, he gives a full explanation, as if it were the first appearance of the verses; and in 14 **b** (perhaps merely by an oversight?) he reads me instead of mas. \* [The comm. in fact takes our vss. 11-13]

as one vs., his 11; our 14 as his 12; our 15 ab as his 13; and our 15 c-f as his 14. Or, he says, we may take our 14 with 15 ab as one *mantra*. He reads *agnis* again in

## our e as in 16. 2 e.

# 28. For various blessings: with an amulet of darbhá.

[Brahman (sapatnaksayakāmah). — daçakam. mantroktadarbhamanidevatyam. ānustubham.]

The hymn is found also in Pāipp. xiii., with very few variants. The comm. finds it [or rather the whole triad of hymns, 28, 29, 30] used by the Naks. K.  $\lfloor 17, 19 \rfloor$  in a mahāçānti ceremony called āindrī, with binding on of a darbha amulet, by one desiring victory and the like.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 285.

1. I bind for thee this amulet, in order to long life, to brilliancy — the *darbhá*, damager of rivals, burner (*tápana*) of the heart of the hater.

Nearly all the mss. accent dárbham. Ppp. reads in b varcase, and in c -jambhana.

2. Burner of the heart of the hater, causing to burn the mind of foes, do thou, O *darblá*, burn together like heat (*gharmá*) against all the evil-hearted.

The mss., and hence SPP., read for a dvisatás tāpáyan hrdáh, as if hrdás could be an accus. sing.; and the comm. has the same, and glosses hrdas with hrdayam. | By some oversight, SPP. says on p. 384, note 3, that the text of the comm. reads sarvais tvam; and on p. 385, note 1, "Sāyaņa's text too has sarvam."] The comm. explains as if the [questionable] word were simply sarvam, 'the evil-hearted one's everything.' In d, the mss. and SPP. read ivā 'bhint samtāpáyan (one of ours abhit, another abhāút : mere accidental variations), the pada-text presenting abhin : samo; the comm. has the same, and explains thus : abhin abhayān samtāpayan bhinddhi | iti sambandhah : connecting the phrase with the bhinddhi of vss. 3, 4, 5]. Our abhisámtāpaya is heroic surgery, but very plausible; abhi't s- (i.e. abhi : it : s-) would save a little more of the original, and *it* is elsewhere added to reinforce *abht*: cf. viii. 4. 21; xi. 1.6; l'pp. has very nearly this reading, namely, gharme 'vā 'bht 't samtāpayam. The comm. glosses gharmas first with ādityas, then (on authority of TA. v. 1. 5) with pravargyas. The Anukr. takes no notice of the redundancies caused in 2 d and 3 a and d and 4 c if iva is not abbreviated to 'va; in at least two of the cases, 2 d and 3 d, Ppp. combines to suit the meter, gharme 'va, indrāi 'va. [The first pāda is wanting in Ppp.]

3. Burning against [them] like heat, O *darbhá*, burning down the haters, O amulet, split thou our rivals to the heart, like Indra breaking apart Vala.

The translation implies emendation in **d** to *valám*, as made in our edition; SPP. follows the mss. and comm. in accepting *balám*, in spite of its false accent. Nearly all the mss. read *virujám* (p. *viorujám*), but the comm. *-jan*, which, of course, is alone admissible; SPP. very strangely compromises by reading *virujám* in *samhitā*, but *vioruján* in *pada*! In **c**, SPP. gives, with [five of his authorities], *-dáh sapátnānām bhinddhi*; even the *pada*-mss. vary between *saopátnān*:  $\hat{a}$ : *bh*- and *saopátnānām*: *bh*: the comm. has *-nānām*, and so has Ppp.; the translation above implies *-nān*  $\hat{a}$ ,\* in spite of the separation of  $\hat{a}$  from *hrdás*. [Ppp. gives *indrāi*'va in **d**, as noted under vs. 2.] \*[This reading is given by eight of SPP's mss. and one of W's. In sapátnānām we may have a case of faulty assimilation from 4 a: SPP's Dc., which is usually carefully corrected, here carries the blunder half way prima manu, giving sapátnānām (accents ! so perhaps also W's O.D.L.), and completes it secunda manu, giving sapátnānām.]

4. Split, O *darbhá*, the heart of our rivals, of our haters, O amulet; make their head fly apart, as the rising [sun] does the skin of the earth.

The comm. reads and explains *ni pātaya* in **d** (though the ms. gives *vi p*-). The obscure and perhaps corrupt third pāda is thus explained : udyann ūrdhvam gacchan bhujādipradeçam adhitisthan tvam bhūmyās tvacam [iva] trṇagulmāuṣadhyādy-adhisthānabhūtām yathā takṣaṇena nipātayati grhādinirmāṇārtham loke.

5. Split, O *darbhá*, my rivals; split those that fight against me; split all my enemies (*durhárd*); split my haters, O amulet.

In the following fourteen verses, of this hymn and the one that follows it, only the verb in each pāda is changed. In c, Ppp. blunderingly reads *chindhi*, anticipating the next verse.

6. Sever, O darbhá, my rivals; sever those etc. etc.

7. Hew down (vracc), O darbhá, my rivals; hew down those etc. etc.

8. Cut, O darbhá, my rivals; cut those etc. etc.

In verses 6, 8, 9, 10 of this hymn, also in 29.2 below, a part of the mss. read durhardan instead of -das in c; and SPP. strangely follows them in 28.6, 8.

9. Carve (?), O darbhá, my rivals; carve those etc. etc.

The Pet. Lex. (under root pis) proposes to emend in this verse pinci t opins d. As, however, we have root pis below in 29.6, there seems to be no sufficient reason for substituting it here. One of SPP's mss. reads here pinci t p.m. [pinci t s.m.].

10. Pierce, O darbhá, my rivals; pierce those etc. etc.

The mss. vary here between vidhya and vidhya. [Ppp. reads viddhi.]

## 29. Continuation of the foregoing.

[As 28. navakam.]

This is a mere continuation of the preceding hymn, and it is hard to see why they are divided. They are found together in Pāipp. xiii. [Ritual use under 28.] Translated : Griffith, ii. 286.

\_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_

I. Gore, O *darbhá*, my rivals; gore those that fight against me; gore all my enemics; gore my haters, O amulet.

Half the mss. accent in this verse *niksá*. The comm. follows the *dhātupāṭha* in interpreting it to mean *cumba* 'kiss'! [He intends rather the root *cumb* 'harm,' *hinsāyām*, not *cumb*, *vaktrasamyoge*.]

2. Bore, O darbhá, my rivals; bore those etc. etc.

The comm. glosses the verb with nāçaya.

3. Obstruct, O darbhá, my rivals; obstruct those etc. etc.

The comm. glosses the verb (after the  $dh\bar{a}tup\bar{a}tha$ ) with  $\bar{a}vrnu$  nirodham kuru. The Pet. Lex. [s.v. 3 ru 'zerschlagen'] suggests reading instead rudht "according to mss."; but rudht is found in only one ms., in a, while the same ms. has rundht in b, c, d; rudht is accordingly only a careless misreading. Ppp. has bhankti.

4. Kill, O darbhá, my rivals; kill those etc. etc.

5. Grind, O darbhá, my rivals; grind those etc. etc.

About half the mss. accent manthá. The comm. gives, as if from the dhātupāțha, mantha lodane (Westergaard and Bohtlingk vilodane).

6. Crush (*pis*), O *darbhá*, my rivals; crush those etc. etc. Ppp. reads *pindi*.

7. Scorch (us), O darblid, my rivals; scorch those etc. etc. The majority of mss. combine in a-b me osa, and SPP. follows them.

8. Burn, O darbhá, my rivals; burn those etc. etc.

The decided majority of mss. accent dahá.

9. Slay, O darbhá, my rivals; slay those etc. etc.

# 30. For protection etc.: with an amulet of darbhá.

[As 28. pañcakam.]

Found also in Pāipp. xiii., with the two preceding, and, according to the comm., associated with them in use.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 287.

See p. 1045.

I. What thou hast that brings death in old age, O *darbla*, that has hundred-fold defense, good defense, therewith having made this man defended (*varmin*), smite thou my rivals by thy heroisms.

The translation implies jaråmrtyu çatávarma suvárma te, which is the text of neither edition, nor of the mss., nor of the comm., but simply what makes best sense with least departure from the mss. The mss. all give -tyuh çatám vármasu [W's B. varmasu] (p. vármaosu) várma te; the comm. has jarāmrtyu çatam marmasu (explaining jarasām mrtyūnām ca çatam granthisu!). The te in **b** had to be omitted in translating.

2. A hundred are thy defenses, O *darbhá*, a thousand thy heroisms; as such, all the gods have given thee to this man to wear, in order to [attain] old age.

Ppp. has at the end *dadus*. The comm. (with two of SPP's mss.) again reads in a *marmāni*. The decided majority of mss. have *tvám* at beginning of c; none of ours collated before publication had *tám*, which is doubtless the true text, and is read [by W's O. and] by SPP. and by the comm.

3. Thee they call the gods' defense, thee, O *darbhá*, Brahmaṇaspati; thee they call Indra's defense; thou defendest kingdoms.

The majority of mss. leave devavarma unaccented. We are tempted to emend to -pátch in b. Ppp. reads [presumably in c] trām indrād devavarmā "hus.

4. A destroyer of our rivals, O *darbhá*, burner of the heart of our hater — an amulet, increaser of dominion, protector of thy body, I make for thee.

Emendation to darbhám in a would relieve the anacoluthon of the verse. The comm., to get rid of it, first explains te as  $= tv\bar{a}$ ; but then secondly connects the whole verse into one sentence leaving darbha out.  $\lfloor I$  am not quite clear as to whether he means to leave it out. He says: atha vā rakṣākāmaḥ puruṣaḥ sambodhyate: he rājan darbhamanim sapatnakṣayaṇādisāmarthyopetam te tubhyam kṣatrasya vardhanam tanupānam ca kṛṇomī 'ti sambandhanīyam.]

5. What the ocean roared (krand) against, [and] Parjanya with the lightning, therefrom was born the golden drop (bindú), therefrom the darbhá.

Our edition emends in a to samudré, which is doubtless an improvement, but not necessary. [The translation follows the mss., SPP., and comm., which have samudró: Ppp. samudro 'bhya-.] The comm. derives the word (as many times elsewhere) from sam-ud-dravanti, and makes it an epithet of parjanyas, which he explains as meaning meghas. Most of the mss. accent bindus. The comm. makes the second tatas refer to bindu, but gives no opinion as to the meaning of the latter. [Ppp. reads vindus in c.] [Cf. Pischel, ZDMG. xxxvi. 135, who thinks the "drop" refers to pearl: cf. introduction to iv. 10.]

## 31. For various blessings: with an amulet of udumbára.

[Savitr (pusțikāmaķ). — caturdaça. mantroktāudumbaramaņidevatyam. ānusțubham : 5, 12.tristubh ; 6. virāț prastārapaūktı ; 11, 13. 5-p. çakvarī ; 14. vırād āstārapaūktı.]

[Partly prose, vs. 12 (?).] Found also in Pāipp. x. The comm. finds it used in Naks. K. 17, 19, by one desiring wealth, or in the case of loss of wealth, in a mahā*çānti* ceremony called kāuberī, with binding on of an amulet of udumbara.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 287.

1. With an amulet of *udumbára*, for the pious one desiring prosperity : may Savitar make in my cow-stall fatness (*sphāti*) of all cattle.

The translation implies in **b** emendation to *vedháse*, which seems hardly avoidable. The comm. gives two explanations of *vedhasā*: *vidhātrā purā prayogaļi kṛtaļi*; and *puṣṭyādividhātrā kartrā maņinā*. Nearly all the mss. accent *paçānām* (one of ours has -*çānām*), and SPP. passes the anomaly without remark.

2. Whatever householder's fire of ours may be overlord of cattle: let the virile (*visan*) amulet of *udumbára* unite me with prosperity.

The connection of the parts of the verse is obscure. The comm. makes **b** apodosis to **a**, supplying *asti* in **a**, and taking *dsat* optatively — which is extremely implausible. In **d** the mss. read *sd*  $m\bar{a}$  (p. *sdh*:  $m\bar{a}$ ); the comm. divides *sam*  $\bar{a}$ , with his usual disregard of accent ( $\bar{a} = sarvatah$ ); our *sdm*  $m\bar{a}$  is an obvious and unquestionable emendation, and is also read by Ppp. [See my note to xviii. 2. 3, where this case and similar ones are put together, and cf. vi. 5. 2.] The mss. further vary between  $pusty\bar{a}$  and  $pusty\bar{a}$ , the decided majority having the latter.

3. Rich in manure, rich in fruit, *svadhå* and cheer  $(ir\bar{a})$  in our house — prosperity let Dhātar assign to me through the keenness (tejas) of the [amulet] of *udumbára*.

A few of the mss. again accent *pústim*. Ppp. reads in a karīsiņam phalāvatīm. The comm. explains *irā* first as =  $bh\bar{u}mi$ , and then as =  $il\bar{a} g\bar{a}uh = g\bar{a}vah$ .

4. Both what [is] two-footed and what four-footed, what foods [there are], what savors (rdsa) - I seize (grah) the abundance of them, wearing the amulet of *udumbára*.

Some of the mss. read rásā at end of b. In c, our tésām is an obviously called-for emendation; most mss. read tvésām or tvesām (p. ti : ésām or ti : esām); SPP. strangely gives tvésām in samhitā and ti : esām in pada, the two not agreeing together; the comm. either reads esām simply or overlooks the tu in his exposition. Ppp. gives grhnīyām tesām bhāumānam.

5. I have seized all (pári) the prosperity of cattle, of quadrupeds, of bipeds, and what grain [there is]; the milk of cattle, the sap (rása) of herbs, may Brihaspati, may Savitar confirm to me.

Nearly all the mss. accent this time pustim.

6. Let me be the over-ruler of cattle; let the lord of prosperity (*pustá*-) assign to me prosperity; let the amulet of *udumbára* confirm to me possessions (*drávina*).

SPP. leaves *asāni* in a unaccented, though every ms. but one (doubtless an accidental exception) accents it, and defensibly, on the ground of antithesis.

7. Unto me the amulet of *udumbára*, with both progeny and riches: the amulet quickened by Indra hath come to me together with splendor.

Either Ppp. lacks 6 d and 7 a-c, or so much of its text is lost in the manuscript. Our *jinvitás* in c was an emendation, all the mss. (SPP's as well as ours) giving *jinvátas*, and Ppp. likewise; but the comm. has *jinvitas*, and SPP. accordingly adopts it also in his text. Some of the mss. leave *upa* at the beginning unaccented.

8. The heavenly amulet, rival-slaying, riches-winning, in order to the winning of riches: let it confirm [to me] abundance of cattle, of food, [and] fatness of kine.

Nearly all the mss. read in **d** sphātir nl; [disregarding the accent, five of SPP's authorities show sphātim]; SPP's text agrees with ours [sphātim], the comm. having the same. Ppp. again has bhāumānam in **c**.

9. As in the beginning thou, O forest tree, wast born together with prosperity, so let Sarasvatī assign to me fatness of riches.

Some of the mss. accent pustya, and all [but four] leave jajnise unaccented. The majority accent sphatim in c. Ppp. reads in d a dadāti [sarasvatīm (or -tī?)].

10. Riches, fatness of milk, and grain shall Sarasvatī, shall Sinīvālī, and this amulet of *udumbára* bring to me.

Both our *pada*-mss. divide in **b** *páyahophātim*, but SPP. reports no such reading among his three, and gives correctly *páyahosph*- (the accent is probably false). Our text emends, perhaps unnecessarily, to *gdy*-. In **c**, the *pada*-mss. make the blundering analysis of *úpāvahāt* into *úpa* : *avahāt*; SPP. gives, by emendation, *úpa* : *vahāt*; our text emends further to *upāvahāt* (i.e. *upaoāvahāt*); it is uncertain which the comm. favors, but probably the latter: the sole ms. has [twice *upāvahāt* and once] *upa vahāt* (probably misreading for *upāvahāt*) *upāvahatu*. Ppp. has *upāvahat*; in **b**, it agrees with the mss. in reading *payasph*-.

11. Thou art the virile (*visan*) over-ruler of amulets; in thee the lord of prosperity generated prosperity; in thee [are] these powers (*vája*), [are] all possessions; do thou here, O [amulet] of *udumbára*, force (*sah*) far away from us the niggard, misery, and hunger.

In a the comm. reads adhipas. In b Ppp. gives *pustipatis*. At beginning of c, the comm. has *tvayā me*, which is not bad. In c, the *pada*-mss. have  $v\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ . At beginning of d, all the mss. present *āúdumbaras*, and SPP. does not emend to *-ra* with us, although the comm. has it and the sense demands it. But in e, where all the mss. read *amṛtam*, he ventures to follow the comm. in substituting *dmatim*, which is better than our conjecture *dvartim* (misprinted *avdrtim*). [Ppp. has, for e, *ārād arātim abhitikṣayam ca*.] The verse scans naturally as  $5 \times 11 = 55$ : it is easy, but needless, to make up the full count of a *çakvarī*, 56, by resolution.

12. Troop-leader art thou, arising a troop-leader; being anointed (*abhisic*), do thou anoint me with splendor; brilliancy art thou, brilliancy maintain thou upon me; wealth art thou, wealth assign thou to me.

The reading of **a** is probably corrupt; for *utth*dya, which both editions give (with two or three mss.), the mss. in general have *ukthyāya* or *utthyāya* or *ucchyāya*, with à or d. According to SPP., the comm's text has *grāmanī chāyā*, and Ppp. strangely gives the same; what he attempts to explain is very doubtful: *ato 'smākam api grāmanīr bhava* ... *atha vā mām api çreṣṭham kuru*. SPP. divides *grāmaonīµ* in his *pada*-text, but without authority from the mss., and against his practice in iii. 5. 7. In **b**, some of the mss. read *şiāca*. On the ground of meter, SPP. suggests that *rayts* in **d** may be for *ddhirayis*, one of the two successive *adhi*'s being lost; this would be more acceptable if the word *adhirayi*, or anything closely analogous with it, anywhere occurred. The comm. makes an *adhirayis* (explaining it as *adhigatarayis* or *prāptadhanas*) by stealing for it the *ádhi* of **c**, with his usual disregard of *pada*-division and accent (neither of which, to be sure, is of much account in this book). [The *Inder* calls this vs. prose; but with *ddhi* at the end of **c** and *ddhirayis* in **d** it might scan as 11 + 12 : 11 + 11.]

13. Prosperity art thou, with prosperity anoint (anj) thou me completely; being house-sacrificer, make thou me householder; O [amulet] of *udumbára*, do thou here put in us and confirm to us wealth having all heroes; I fasten thee on in order to abundance of wealth.

The comm., against the Anukr. and the natural division, adds e to verse 14. Part of the mss. again accent *púsți* in a. Some, including all the *pada*-mss., have *indhi* for *aūdhi*; Ppp. *agdhi*. SPP. again fails to follow us, the comm., Ppp., and one of our mss., in reading *āúdumbara* (instead of *-ras*) in c; some of his mss. leave *tvam* without

accent. [The non-elision of a in ahim justifies the count as *çakvari*, but hurts the meter, which is none too good if we scan the vs. as  $5 \times 11$ .]

14. This amulet of *udumbára* is bound, a hero, to a hero; let it make for us a winning rich in honey, and may it confirm to us wealth having all heroes.

Some of the mss. accent sánim in c. Ppp. reads ucyate for badhyate in b.

# 32. For long life etc.: with an amulet of darbhá.

[Bhrgu (sarvakāmaḥ. āyuṣe).— daçakam. mantroktadevatyam. ānuṣṭubham : 8. purastādbrhatī ; 9. triṣṭubh ; 10. jagatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. xii. The comm. finds the hymn quoted in Naks. K. 19, as used in a *mahāçānti* ceremony called *yāmī*, with the binding on of an amulet of *darbha*, in case of fear of Yama (*yamabhaye*).

Translated : Griffith, ii. 289; vs. 8 also by Zimmer, p. 205, with comment.

I. Hundred-jointed, hard to be stirred, thousand-leaved, uplifting (?) — the *darbhá* that is a formidable herb, that I bind on thee in order to [prolonged] life-time.

Some of the mss., as usual, read  $du_{c}cav_{-}$  in **a**. Very nearly all read *uttirás* in **b** (p. *utstirák*), and SPP. follows them; and this the translation implies, since it is acceptable enough [cf., for the formation, *uttudás*, iii. 25. 1, and, for the meaning, *utturán*, vi. 36. 2], and appears in Ppp. (with *-rnam* before it); but the comm. has *úttaras*, as our text by conjectural emendation. Some mss. have *tát* for *tám* in **d**; the comm., *tena* for *tam te*. [Ppp. combines *yogravsadhus* in **c**, which is susceptible of more than one interpretation.] [The gender of *ugrás* would seem to call for some remark.]

2. His hair they scatter not forth, they smite not blows on their breast [for him], to whom one yields refuge by the *darbhá* of uncut leaves.

The expression in **a** is a good example for the real identity of roots vap 'strew' and vap 'shear.' Many of the mss. accent *urdsi* in **b**. All the mss. leave *yachati* in **d** unaccented, and both editions commit the error of refraining from emendation to *ydu hati*, which is of course necessary. Ppp. has at end of **b** *ghnati*, and combines in **c** *yasmā* '*cch*. Bloomfield translates and comments on the verse in AJP. xi. 339 [or JAOS. xv., p. xlv]. The comm. supplies in the first line as subject *mrtyudūtā rakṣaḥpiçāuādyā vā*, renders *pra vapanti* by *ākarṣanti*, and combines *urasitādam* into a compound — all very bad.

3. In the sky is thy tuft, O herb; in the earth art thou set  $(ni-sth\bar{a})$ ; with thee, that hast a thousand joints, do we increase further our life-time.

The translation follows the mss., the comm., SPP., and Ppp., all of which read  $t\bar{u}lam$  in **a**. [Cf. ii. 7. 3, which perhaps suggested the wrong emendation of the Berlin text.] In **b**, the comm. has *visthitas* [and Ppp. *nisthitā*].

4. Thou didst bore through the three skies, also these three earths; by thee do I bore into my enemy's (*durhárd*) tongue [and] utterances (*vácas*).

947

In a, SPP. reads more correctly divids, with nearly all the mss. The comm. reads atrnas; he explains aty atrnas by atikramya gatavān asi or vestitavān asi, and ni trnadmi by vestayāmi, both very unsuitably. The meter clearly calls for ca at the end, and Ppp. has it; whether the comm. means to acknowledge it as part of the text is doubtful; his text, according to SPP., does not present it. [None of the other authorities has ca, but the Berlin ed. gives ca by emendation.] Ppp. reads in **b** tisro dyām prth.

5. Thou art overpowering; I am full of power; may we, both of us, becoming full of power, overpower our rivals.

The comm. reads in **a** sahamānā; Ppp. in **a-b**, -no aham. To be compared is iii. 18. 5 (RV. x. 145. 5), which ends grammatically with a dual, sahāvahāi. Our sahisīvahi [Skt. Gram. § 907] was an emendation, but is given also by Ppp.; the mss., SPP., and the comm., have -mahi.

6. Do thou overpower our hostile plotter, overpower those that fight us; overpower all enemies (*durhard*); make for me many friends (*suhard*).

Most of the mss. read in **d** bahúm; Ppp. and the comm. and two of SPP's authorities and one of ours have bahūn. Ppp. combines and reads in **a**, **b** no 'bhimātiham' sahasvā pŗ-.

7. With the *darbhá*, god-born, constantly sky-propping — with it I have constantly won and shall win men (jána).

In a most of the mss. read devajāténa; SPP. with us. In b, SPP. follows the mss. in giving divi stambhéna (p. divi: stambhéna); our emendation to divistambhéna is obviously required, and is assumed by the comm. In c, our jánān was an emendation for the jánās of the mss.; but two of SPP's mss. read jánān, and it is accepted also in SPP's text. The comm. supports it by giving janān; [and his text has janān asanam]. [Ppp. also has janān, as noted below.] In d, nearly all the authorities read ásanām (three of them have ásanānt s-), but SPP. finds among his, two that agree with the [text of the] comm. in presenting ásanam, which he adopts, and which is undoubtedly the true text; the aorist is the tense that best suits the connection. [This remark seems to involve the implication that asanām might be an imperfect of the  $n\bar{a}$ -class; but that can hardly have been Whitney's intention.] Ppp. reads janān asanām, [and, in b, divaṣṭambhena].

8. Make me, O *darbhá*, dear to Brahman-and-Kshatriya, both to Çūdra and to Aryan, and to whomsoever we desire, and to every one that looks abroad.

That is, 'every one that has eyes to see.'  $[Cf. 62. I, below, and VS. xviii. 48.] A few authorities have the more proper accent -nyåbhyām, but VS. xxvi. 2 (which has this and the following four words together) likewise accents -nyà-, as does SPP. Our <math>(\bar{u}dr\dot{d}ya)$  was an emendation, all our mss. [collated at time of publication] having  $s\bar{u}ryd\bar{y}a$ , as do nearly all SPP's; but one of our later ones, with two or three of SPP's, the comm., and Ppp., give  $(\bar{u}drd\bar{y}a)$ . All the mss. mis-accent  $vip\dot{a}cyate$ , most having vipacyate, others vipacyate or vipacyate; SPP. this time ventures to follow us in emending to [vipacyate] the true reading. The Anukr. regards brahmarājanyābhyām as belonging to the first pāda, and does not heed that the pāda has 13 syllables, one too many for a purastādbrhatī.

9. He that, being born, made firm the earth, that propped (*stabh*) the atmosphere and the sky, whose wearer evil hath not found out — that *darbhá* here [is] our supporter [and] blessing.

Or, 'be our supporter.' Here at the end, the translation follows the very acceptable reading of Ppp., dharuno 'dhivākah. All the mss. give váruno [one, várunó !] divā kah (the comm. divā 'kah), which was plainly corrupt, but which SPP. (justifiably, from his point of view) retains without question. Roth's emendation, as read in our text, to várano 'dhivākáh hit very near the mark. All the mss. (except, doubtless by accident, one of ours) have in c viveda, without accent, and this SPP. admits in his text, though emendation (to vivéda, as made in our edition) is as obviously necessary as in vs. 8. All the mss. [but O. tanú], and Ppp., read in c nanú; [and so does SPP.;] our emendation to nā 'nu is acceptable, but not necessary. The comm. explains quite prosaically the plant's 'making firm the earth'; its roots keep the ground from being dissolved by water! The last words he understands thus: varuna (as coming from vr) means a keeper off (nivāraka) of darkness; and divā 'kah signifies prakāçam karotu. [Ppp. begins b with so 'stabh-.]

10. Rival-slaying, hundred-jointed, powerful, came into being the first of plants; let that *darbhá* here protect us all about; by it may I overpower fighters, them that fight [against me].

In **a**-**b** the mss. read schasvanāti 'sadh- (p. -svanā:  $\delta s$ -), but SPP. emends, as we had done, to -vān  $\delta sadh$ -, as is read by one of his *pada*-mss., p.m., by the comm., and also by Ppp. It is a naturally suggested conjecture that at some time -āno- as written in the Bengālī fashion may have been misread into -anāu-, and SI'P. puts this forward; the lateness and unscholarly character of the *pada*-text to this book make the assumption of such an error far from implausible; we are surprised only at finding the comm's text antecedent to it. In **d** a few of the mss. accent *prtanyátas*. The verse is *jagatī* only in its second half. [Pāda **c** is identical with 33. I **c**.]

#### 33. For various blessings: with an amulet of darbhá.

[As 32. — pañcakam. 1. jagatī ; 2,5. trīstubh ; 3. ārsī pankti ; 4. āstārapankti.]

Found in Pāipp. xii., following our hymn 32. Used with the latter in the same ceremony, according to the comm. [For citations by Kāuç., see under vs. 3.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 290.

I. Of thousand-fold worth, hundred-jointed, rich in milk, fire of the waters, consecration  $(r\bar{a}jas\dot{h}ya)$  of plants — let this *darbhá* here protect us all about; may the divine amulet unite us with [prolonged] life-time.

SPP. accents in **a** sahasrārghás, with the minority of mss.; Ppp. has -ghyas. The comm. reads sahasvān (for pay-), and renders sahasrārghas by bahumūlyas. Ppp. reads in **d** dāivas and srjātu.\* The verse is a jagatī only in the second half. [Pāda **c** is identical with 32. 10 **c**.] \*[Other forms like srjātu under 7. 4.]

2. Snatched out of ghee, rich in honey, rich in milk, earth-establishing, unstirred, stirring [other things], thrusting away and putting down rivals — ascend thou, O *darbhá*, with the energy (*indriyá*) of the great ones.

There are no variants in this verse except of a few mss. on one and another point of no consequence. Ppp. has at the end *mahatā mahendriyeṇa*. The verse is a sort of variation of v. 28. 14, above;  $\lfloor \text{and } a \text{ recurs below}, 46.6 \text{ a} \rfloor$ .

3. Thou goest across the earth with force; thou sittest beauteous (cdru) on the sacrificial hearth at the sacrifice; the seers bore thee [as] purifier; do thou purify us from difficulties.

Literally, 'purify (remove, strain out) difficulties from us.' [As to **a**, Griffith notes appositely that "the [darbha] grass spreads with great rapidity, re-rooting itself continually."] In **c**, the translation follows the text of SPP., who emends *bharantas* of all the mss. [save one] and of our edition to *abharanta* on the authority of the comm. alone. Ppp. reads *bhāmig ady eṣy oj*. The comm. quotes TB. i. 3. 7<sup>1</sup> to prove that *darbha* is properly called a 'purifier' or 'strainer.' There is not a bit of *paākti*-character in the verse; [with the ordinary resolutions, and that of *bhumim* besides, it scans easily as 12 + 12 : 11 + 11;] of course it can be scanned down to 40 syllables by neglecting easy and natural resolutions. [The verse is quoted by Kāuç. in full at 2. 1 and by *pratika* at 137. 32: cf. p. 897, ¶ 3, and sce Bloomfield's notes to the passages of Kāuç.]

4. A keen  $(t\bar{\iota}ks\mu\dot{a})$  king, of mighty power, demon-slaying, belonging to all men  $(-carsa\mu\dot{a})$ , force of the gods, formidable strength [is] that; I bind it on thee in order to old age, to well-being.

Ppp. reads in c tejas for ojas, and in d tat for tam.

5. With the *darbhá* thou shalt do heroic deeds; wearing the *darbhá*, do thou not stagger by thyself; excelling  $(ati-sth\bar{a})$  over others with splendor, shine thou like the sun unto the four quarters.

Our kṛṇávas is an emendation; all the mss., and SPP., give kṛṇávat or kṛṇāvat, which the comm. also reads [and renders by kuryās] (without spending a word of explanation on the grammatical anomaly; it simply falls under his general rule that in the Veda one form may be used in place of another); Ppp. has kṛṇu. In c SPP. reads, with the comm. [but the ms. atha], ádha instead of our ádhi (várcasā 'dhā 'nyānt s-); the mss. have várcasāidhyányām (also sāindhyá-, sāindhá-, sāindhá-, sāindhá-, sāindhá-, sāindhá-, sīdha-; and the comm's text sāudha-), in pada-text várcasā : āidhi (or eddhi) : ányām (or anyām), or (in our pada-mss., and one of SPP's s.m) várcasā : edhányām. Our emendation affords better sense, and accounts for the y\* that appears in the majority of mss. after dh. Ppp. also supports it, reading atiṣṭhāpo varcase 'dhy anyā sūryāi 'vā bhāhi. [In b, Ppp. reads bibhratā "tmanā.] [The comm. has adhiṣṭhāya in c.] \*[But SPP. points out that dhya and dhā look very much alike in most old mss.]

[Here ends the fourth *anuvāka*, with 7 hymns and 68 verses. If you reckoned 27. 14-15 as 3 verses, the sum would be 69.]

## 34. With a jangidá-amulet: for protection etc.

[Angiras. — daçakam. mantroktadevatyam uta vānaspatyam. ānustubham.]

Found also in Pāipp. xi. The comm. quotes it as used by Naks. K. 19, in a *mahāçānti* ceremony called  $v\bar{a}yavy\bar{a}$ , with the binding on of an amulet from the *jaūgida* tree. Hymn 35 is used in company with it.

Translated : Bloomfield, 38, 569; Griffith, ii. 291; verses I and 7 ab also by Grohmann, *Ind. Stud.* ix. 417–18. 1. Jangidá art thou, jangidá; defender art thou, jangidá; what of ours is two-footed, four-footed — let jangidá defend it all.

Our emendation at the beginning to  $d\bar{n}gir\bar{a} asi$  [suggested by vs. 6?] is to be disapproved and withdrawn; it is not even necessary to change to vocative the ja $\bar{n}gidds$ at the end of **a** and **b** (though in the translation they may be understood as either nom. or voc.); but the comm. reads ja $\bar{n}gida$  at end of **b**; [the text of the comm. has ja $\bar{n}gido$ 'si ja $\bar{n}gido$  raksitā 'si ja $\bar{n}gida$ ]. Compare iv. 12. 1; ix. 5. 16; RV. i. 191. 1 for similar repetitions, in part of nominatives where we should think it more natural to change in part to vocative. SPP. reads [in **a** and **b**], with all the mss., ja $\bar{n}gidds$  three times. Ppp. has at the beginning the corrupt ja $\bar{n}gidisi$ , but in both other instances [in **a** and **b**] -das. Compare the hymn ii. 4, where alone this plant appears further. The comm. amuses himself (and us) with a number of his ludicrous derivations for ja $\bar{n}gida$  — from roots jā or jan or ji with gir 'swallow'; or from ja $\bar{n}gam$ , intensive.

2. The witchcrafts that are thrice fifty, and the witchcraft-makers that are a hundred — may the *jangidá* make them all of vanished brilliancy (-*téjas*) [and] sapless.

The first pada is corrupt in the mss., and very doubtful; the translation implies  $y \frac{d}{h}$ krtyah, which is most naturally suggested by the connection, and takes tripancaçis as an indefinite large number (like tisráh pañcāçátah, RV. i. 133. 4), and as formed like trisaptá, trinavá, etc., in spite of the important objection that none of these make a fem. in i, and that the word most naturally means 'fifty-three, composed of fifty-three,' or the like. | W's conjecture, yah krtyah, nearly coincides with that of Geldner (KZ. xxvii. 218), yaç ca krtyah. Geldner's is metrically better; and he takes trip- as an indefinitely large number (cardinal), as does W. | It was this word tripañcāçá, applied to the set of dice in RV. [x. 34.8] (but perhaps meaning 'thrice fifty'), that suggested the not very happy emendation in our edition to aksa-krtyås. The mss. read mostly jāgrtsyas tr- (with various accent, most often on -syás: p. jāgrtsyáķ : tripañcao açih /), also jyā-, yyā-, and (two of SPP's) yāgrtsyás; this last the comm. also has, and understands it as  $y\bar{a}(h)$  grtsyas, explaining the latter as = gardhanaçīlās [SPP's padatext accordingly, yah : grtsyah |, and tripañcaçis as tryadhikapañcaçatsamkhyākās, both as epithets of krtyās (understood). [With this reading, we can take grtsyas as nom. pl. fem. to grtsa and render 'what fifty-three clever or sly [witchcrafts there are]'; but grtsa, in such an application and with such sinister meaning, has rather slender support, to wit, VS. xvi. 25, as cited by BR. ii. 778. Ppp. gives yā krechrā tripañcāçīç ch-, which, while it is itself (cmended to yāk krcchrās) not wholly unacceptable, also favors our yah krtyas: there is insufficient reason for the feminine words if krtyas be not expressed. LI cannot here attach much value to the evidence of Ppp.: on the one hand, it confuses surd and sonant very often (kovidam for govidam, xix. 13. 5: cf. xi. 5. 4, note); and, on the other, the relation of its cch to ts may be somewhat like that discussed under x. 9. 23 (rchára, rtsára, etc.). The mss. are decidedly in favor of grtsyas as against krtyās; but Whitney's objection as to the omission of krtyās seems to me a weighty argument in favor of his conjecture. | Our vinastatejasas in c was an emendation, which, now that the comm. also reads it, may be regarded as sufficiently established; the mss. mostly vinaktatėjasas (also vinaktu t-, vinistat-, bhanakti t-, minaktu t- | etc. |: pada-readings, vinaktu [as independent word or as compounded with tejasak] or also vinakta°t-). SPP. strangely contents himself with vinaktu tejasas, which certainly he would be unable to translate into anything even simulating sense.

Ppp. is corrupt, and brings no help; it has sarva vyunaktu tej. [Did not SPP. understand his reading thus: 'Let the amulet separate them all from their tejas (ablative)'? The instrum. tejasā, which good authorities give, would also be a proper construction with root vic: 'Let the amulet part them all with their efficiency (tejas),' i.e. rob the wizards of their power to make their witchcrafts efficacious against us. Whitney's reading and rendering are wholly satisfactory in themselves: but vinaktu tejasas or  $-s\bar{a}$ seems to me no less so; and it has much stronger support (directly or indirectly) from the mss., and even from Ppp., than has RW's vinastatejasas. — After writing the above, I notice that Bloomfield, p. 672, interprets SPP's reading quite differently: the way in which he construes vic does not seem to me admissible.]

3. Sapless the artificial noise, sapless the seven that fall apart; away from here, O  $ja\overline{n}gida$ , make fall (*cat*) misery, as an archer (*dstr*) an arrow.

The first half-verse is perhaps corrupt, as it is certainly unintelligible. The *pada*-text makes in a the astonishing division *krtrim*: annaoadám; many of the samhitā-mss. read *krtŕm*. All the mss. accent visrasas, and SPP. with them; our text emends to visrásas. The minor Pet. Lex. suggests that the saptá visrúhas of RV. vi. 7.6 may be meant: ingenious, but not comforting, as no one has any idea what the latter significs. The comm's guess is this: mūrdhanisthesu ... saptasu cchidresv abhicarato 'tpādutāḥ sapta nisyandāḥ. In a, b, the reading of Ppp. is rasam krtrimam nādam arasas s. In c the mss. have much unimportant variation of accent. At the end, Ppp. gives sādhayā. The translation gives to cātaya the meaning ascribed to it by the Hindu grammarians, since it suits the connection; the comm. renders the word by tanūkuru, of which it is hard to see the reason or sense.

4. A spoiler of witchcraft verily is this, likewise a spoiler of niggardry; likewise may the powerful  $ja\overline{n}gid\dot{a}$  lengthen out our life-times.

The majority of mss. leave aydm in a unaccented; and they divide pretty evenly between  $t\bar{a}risat$  and  $t\bar{a}rsat$  at the end; [cf. under iv. 10.6]. Ppp. reads  $krty\bar{a}d\bar{u}sana$  $v\bar{a}yam$  atho 'rāt-. With the verse compare ii. 4. 6, which is nearly the same.

5. Let that greatness of the *jangidá* protect us all about, wherewith [it] overpowered the *vískandha* with force, [being] a counteracting force (?).

Sámskandha occurs nowhere else, and is in the translation assumed to be a word made as the opposite and contrary of *vlskandha*; it may, of course, be only a variation of the latter, another evil of the same sort, as understood by the Pet. Lexx. and the comm. (*yena rogena skandhah samnatah samlagno bhavati sa rogah samskandhah*). The majority of the mss. read in c sāsdha (p. sasaha [with various accent]); but sāsahé (as in our text) is in accordance with the nearly invariable use of the root in AV. as middle, [and is read by one of SPP's mss.]. Ppp. reads sāsahā, and combines in d ojo 'yasā. The comm. reads and explains in c vişkandham ojasā saha (favoring sāsaha).

6. Thrice the gods generated thee that art settled (*ni-sthā*) upon the earth; and Brahmans of old know thee thus as  $A\bar{n}giras$  by name.

All the mss. read at the beginning trstva (p. the same); but even SPP. emends to trts tva, as we had done; the comm. has the latter. Ppp. gives nis tva. The comm. reads tisthantam in **b** instead of *nisthitam*. Some of the mss. are discordant as to the accent of  $a\bar{n}gir\bar{a}s$  in **c**.

-xix. 35

7. Not the former herbs surpass thee, not thee the recent; a formidable dispeller [is] the  $ja\pi gid\dot{a}$ , a protector round about, of good omen.

Some of SPP's mss. read  $udv\bar{a}$  at end of **b**. The comm. has  $ja\bar{n}gida$  in **c**; [in both text and comment of the comm., the *da*-sound is, naturally enough, spelled with a *la*]. Our *pada*-mss. read in **d** *paripānal*, without division.

8. So then when thou didst come into being, O jangida, [O thou] of unmeasured heroism, Indra of old, O formidable one, [in the beginning (agratás)], gave unto thee heroism.

The translation follows our text, which, however, is more thoroughly altered from that of the mss. than in any instance thus far; and, of course, in a manner open to question. At the beginning, all the samhitā-mss. give áthopadānábhagavo [one, bhá-], which the pada-mss. divide thus: átho tii : padā : ná : bhagavah [one, -váh]; but the comm. understands it as átho 'padāna bh-, and SPP. follows him (p. átha : upadāna : bh-); the comm. explains upadāna by upādīyate svikriyate kryanirharaņādivyāpāresu which is utterly implausible. Ppp. gives no help, giving açvayopadāni bh-. For c, the mss. read purā ta ugrā grasata (úp-), p. purā : te : ugrā : grasate : úpa : etc.; and SPP. emends only by changing ugrā to ugrāh [in p.], as the comm. understands. The latter explains it to mean: "Indra, perceiving that formidable creatures will devour (purā grasate = bhakṣayiṣyanti !) thee, O jaāgiḍa, gave " etc. Ppp. has a text for c-d that would make good sense : purā ta ugrāya sato 'pendro (i.e., by the usual double combination, sate : upa) ' to thee, being before formidable, Indra added further heroic quality.'

9. To thee, O forest tree, the formidable Indra imparted  $(\bar{a}$ -dh $\bar{a})$  formidableness; expelling all diseases  $(\dot{a}m\bar{v}\bar{a})$ , do thou smite the demons, O herb.

With the first half-verse compare iv. 19.8 d. For this verse there are no variants of any consequence, and the two editions agree throughout with one another and with the comm. Ppp. reads in a-b vanaspataya indro 'j-, and, for c, amivās sarvā rakṣānsi.

10. The crusher, the burster, the balása, the side-ache, the takmán of every autumn, may the jangidá make sapless.

The two names in **a** are found nowhere else; the comm. regards them as names of specific diseases, the one meaning 'wholly injurious,' the other 'especially injurious.' The root *(r* has not been found with  $\bar{a}$  as prefix [except, as noted by OB. vi. 209, at GB. i. 2. 18]. The words might of course also be epithets. The only variants concern the accent of *prstyāmayam*; the majority of mss. agree with the editions; some have *prstyāmayam*. Ppp. reads at the beginning *āçarīrain*, and in **d** *arasain*.

# 35. The same.

# [As 34. — pañcakam. 3. pathyāpanktı ; 4. nicrt tristubh.]

This hymn is found with the preceding in Pāipp. (in xi.), and it has the same *viniyoga*. Translated : Grohmann, *Ind. Stud.* ix. 419; Zimmer, p. 65; Bloomfield, 39, 674; Griffith, ii. 293.

1. Taking (grah) the name of Indra, the seers gave the jangidá, which the gods in the beginning made a remedy, spoiler of the viskandha.

The comm. (apparently by an oversight) explains at the end viskandhabhesajam, while his text, according to SPP., agrees with ours.

2. Let that *jangidá* defend us, as a protector of riches his riches; which  $\lfloor jangidá \rfloor$  the gods, the Brahmans, made a protector round about, slayer of niggards.

The *pada*-mss. read at end of **b** *dhánaoiva*; [SPP. emends to *dhánāoiva*, which the translation implies;] Ppp. gives *dhanāi* 'va.

3. The enemy of terrible aspect (-cákṣus), the evil-doer that has come — them do thou, O thousand-eyed one, make to vanish by thy watchfulness (?pratībodhá); thou art jaīgidá that protects round about.

The translation implies in **a** emendation to *durhårdam ghorácaksusam*, which is venturesome, but something has to be done to make sense. SPP. reads, with  $\lfloor most of \rfloor$  the mss. and the comm. *durhårdah sámghoram* (= *atyantakrūram*, comm.) *cdksus*; the comm.  $\lfloor joins caksus$  with  $\lfloor nācaya$ . Ppp. gives no help; its text (*dūhārda samghora caksum*) apparently is meant for the same with ours. In **b** is implied, instead of the *å 'gamam* of the mss. and both editions, *ågatam*, which may be confidently accepted on the authority of both Ppp. and the comm.  $\lfloor But$ *ådabhan* is read by W's O., by two of SPP's mss., and by a third,\* s.m.  $\rfloor$  Our text emends at the end to *janīgida*; as all the authorities, including Ppp., give *-dah*, this is retained in the translation. In **d** the comm. appears to have *pratib*-, but it is very probably an oversight of the ms. The Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency of a syllable in **c**. \* [The carefully corrected Dc.]

4. Me from the sky, me from the earth, from the atmosphere, me from the plants, me from what is, and me from what is to be — from every direction let the  $ja\bar{n}gidd$  protect us about.

The majority of mss. accent in  $c m\delta^{*}t\delta \ bhary \hbar t$ . One of the mss. of the Anukr. calls the verse a *jagati*; for this there is no ground, but also as little for calling it *nicrt* as a *tristubh*.

5. What [witchcraft-]workers are made by the gods, and also what from mortals — all those may the all-healing *jangidá* make sapless.

The translation follows our text, which deviates widely from that of the mss. in **a**, **b**. All these have, without exception, ydh krsudwo: all further devakrtā (p. devaokrtāh), but with differences of accent; [of SIPP's authorities, 6 give correctly -vd-, and 8 give -tdh;] then they vary in **b** between yd and ya (all the pada-mss. ydh); all have uto (p. uto fti); [but W's B. seems to read ydto;] finally, they vary between vabhrtenydh (the majority), -tenydh, -thenydh, -tyenydh (the pada-mss., vabhrtenydh, or -tenydh). SPP's text has yd (p. yd) rsudvo devakrtā (p. <math>-tdh) yd (p. ydh) uto vavrté'nydh (p. vavrté: anydh: but this would give for samhitda-text vavrtd 'nydh), which, apart from the added accents, is the text of the comm., as SPP. reports; the comm., however, assumes in his explanation  $ye \ldots anye$  in **b** instead of  $ydh \ldots anydh$ , and declares vavrte = vavrtire. Ppp., finally, gives ye rsuavo devakrtā yo co bibhrthebhyā. The case is evidently a rather desperate one. The word rsuavas, found in both Ppp. and comm., occurs nowhere else; the comm. gives for it one of his usual artificial and wholly worthless explanations, gantāro hinsakāh puruṣāh; **b** he makes to mean "also what other oppressors ( $b\bar{a}dhak\bar{a}s$ ) go about."

#### 36. With a çatávāra-amulet: for protection etc.

[Brahman. - sadrcam. çatavāradāivatam. ānustubham.]

Found also [except 4 c, d, 5 a, b] in Pāipp. ii. The comm. quotes its use from Naks.K. 19, in the *mahāçānti* ceremony called *samtati*, performed for a failure of family, with the *çatavāra* amulet.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 294.

1. The *çatávāra* hath by its keenness (*téjas*) made to vanish the *yáksmas*, the demons, mounting together with splendor, an amulet that expels the ill-named.

Our mants in **d** was an emendation, all the mss. having manim; SPP. also has -is, on the authority of the comm.; Ppp. reads -nim and -çātanam. What çatávāra really means is very questionable; the Pet. Lexx. conjecture "consisting of a hundred hairs," which does not seem probable; the comm. says "having a hundred roots, or awns"; and he further adds, on the authority of vs. 6, where the accordance with  $v\bar{a}raya$ - is played upon, "warding off a hundred diseases"; moreover, there is no reason apparent why it should not signify 'bringing a hundred choice things' (cf.  $vicvdv\bar{a}ra$ ). The comm. declares 'ill-named' to denote a skin-disease. L"Mounting": i.e. being raised up to the neck of the person on whom it is "bound" — so Griffith.]

2. With its two horns it thrusts away the demon, with its root the sorceresses; with its middle it drives off (*bādh*) the *yákṣma;* no evil overpasses it.

All the mss., the comm., and Ppp., read at the end *tatrati*, which we emended to *tarati*, as the other seems an inconceivable 3d sing.; the comm. glosses it with *atikrā-mati*, and explains the form by *cluh çaç ce'ti vikaraņadvayam*. The comm. explains the 'two horns' as "the two parts of its apex, set on like horns." The mention of a "root" is, of course, an indication (though not a certain one) that a plant is intended.

3. The yáksmas that are petty, and they that are great, noisy — all of them the *catávāra* amulet, slayer of the ill-named, hath made vanish.

Ppp. reads in **b** *capathinas*. The Anukr. takes no notice of the deficiency of a syllable in **a**.

4. A hundred heroes it generated; a hundred *yaksmas* it scattered away; having slain all the ill-named ones, it shakes down the demons.

The mss. (both s. and p.) vary in a between virås and virån, the decided majority of SPP's giving the latter; of ours, none save one or two of those collated since publication; SPP. reads virān aj-. Ppp. has çatam virāni janayac ch-, which, with emendation to viryāni janayan, is perhaps the true reading. About half, indeed, of the mss. read -nayan, which also makes a possible text (çatám virā ajanayan).

5. A golden-horned bull [is] this amulet of *çatávāra*; having shattered (*trk*) all the ill-named ones, it hath trodden down the demons.

A few of the authorities some confusing the primary with the vrddhi-derivative read in **b** *çatávāras* or *çatavārás* or *çātávāras*. In **c**, all the mss. save perhaps W's B. read trdhvā, which SPP. mistakenly emends to trdhvā (as if one were to emend

the *dhvā* of *rūdhvā* and *līdhvā* to *ddhvā*). Ppp. is corrupt, giving *durņās tris sarvās* tridhvā apa raksānsy apa kramīm. The second half of vs. 4 and the first half of vs. 5 are wanting in Ppp.

6. With the *çatávāra* I ward off (vāraya-) a hundred of the ill-named ones (f.), a hundred of the Gandharvas-and-Apsarases, and a hundred of the doglike ones (f.).

Some of the mss. accent in **b** gandharvåpsarásām. All [save W's B.] have in **c** *çatám çaçvanvátīnām* (varying to *çaçcatv-*: p. *çaçvanovátīnām*); our *çatám ca çvánva*is an emendation, and, as it seems, an easy and necessary one, supported by Ppp., which reads *çatam ca çunvatīnām* [Griffith renders by 'dog-mated nymphs,' referring it to the Apsarases, and citing most appositely xi. 9. 15 and iv. 37. 11]. The comm. reads with the mss., and furnishes one of his characteristic absurd explanations: the word comes from *çaçvat* 'constantly,' with *n* substituted for *d* in the combination, and means *muhurmuhuh pidārtham āgantryo gi ahāpasmārādyā vyādhayah!* He declares the fem. *durnāmnī* to be used in **a** [with reference to] *vyādhi*, forgetting that *vyādhi* is masculine. [For the play in **d**, cf. my note to xviii, 3. 29.]

## 37. With an amulet: for various blessings.

[Atharvan.—caturrcam. āgneyam. trāsstubham: 2. āstārapanktı; 3.3-p. mahābrhatī; 4. purausnih.]

Not found in Pāipp. The comm. neither quotes nor devises a *viniyoga*, but SPP. finds it used in Naks.K. 19, in the *mahāçānti* ccremony called *tvāstrī*, with a threefold amulet, on occasion of the loss of a garment.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 295.

1. This splendor hath come, given by Agni, brightness (*bhárga*), glory, power, force, vigor (*váyas*), strength; and the heroisms that are thirty-three — those let Agni give forth to me.

Most of the mss. accent balám at end of **b**. The first half-verse corresponds to a first half-verse in TB. (ii. 5. 7<sup>1</sup>), MS. (ii. 3. 4), and AÇS. (vi. 12. 2); all these read at end of **a**  $\frac{d}{d}$  'gāt, and AÇS. has rādhas instead of várcas; then, in **b**, TB. and AÇS. give yáço bhárgah sáha ójo bálam ca, MS. máhi rādhah sáha ójo bálam yát, all making a good tristubh pāda; the verse is too irregular to be called simply a tristubh. [With **c**, cf. 27. 10 **a**, above.]

2. Splendor set thou in my body (tant), power, force, vigor, strength; unto Indra-like action, unto heroism, unto [life] of a hundred autumns, do I accept thee.

The majority of mss. again accent balám. In a, SPP. has the better reading tanvàm, with the comm. and a single ms. (accidental?). [The transition-form ought probably to be oxytone, tanvâm: see my Noun-Inflection, p. 412, near top.] [With our second half-verse cf. the second half of the verse just cited from TB.MS.AÇS.: apart from two or three misprints, it reads dirghāyutvāya (atúçāradāya práti grhņāmi (MS. grbhņāmi: AÇS. grbhnāmi, cf. Grammar § 195 a) mahaté viryàya (MS. -tá indriyāya) — a confused blending of tags: cf. xi. 1.3, 7, and so on.] [The comm. takes a, b as addressed to Agni. ] Under 2 c, d and vss. 3 and 4, he speaks of the thing addressed simply as a *padārtha*, 'a substance,' not presuming to define what it is: an unusual restraint on his part.

3. Unto refreshment thee, unto strength thee, unto force, unto power thee, unto superiority thee do I carry about, unto the wearing of royalty for a hundred autumns.

Very likely the last word is best rendered as a noun; the comm. so takes it. The mss. all accent *rāstrábhrt*, which SPP. retains; our text makes the necessary emendation to *-trabhŕt* [cf. Gram. § 1213 c]. [Even though 3-p. mahābrhatī be taken (Ind. Stud. viii. 243) as 12:12:12; j the definition of the Anukr. is bad: the verse is just as much an *āstārapaūkti* as vs. 2; [both are doubtless to be scanned as 8 + 8 : 11 + 11].

4. Thee with the seasons, with them of the seasons; thee unto lifetime, unto splendor; with the brilliancy of the year — with that we make [thee] cheek by jowl.

| All the mss. give here rtubhis tve 'ty eka (= v. 28. 13), except W's O. and SPP's careful Dc., which have, disregarding the accents, rtubhyas tve 'ty ekā (= iii. 10. 10). The metrical definition of the Anukr. as purausuih, coinciding with its definition of v. 28. 13 (not with that of iii. 10. 10), supports the mss. in the implication that a repetition of v. 28. 13 is here intended; and so does the pratika given by the Anukr., which is rtubhas tvā "rtavāih (not the "rtavebhyah of iii. 10. 10). The Berlin ed., accordingly, here repeats v. 28. 13: SPP., on the other hand, repeats iii. 10. 10; | in this he follows the comm., who gives at this point, curiously, iii. 10. 10 in full, and makes an entirely new commentary upon it, taking no notice of its having occurred before. The mss. appear to have confounded the two pratikas in a measure: [ and this probably accounts for the false lingualization of -bhyas tvā — see note to iii. 10. 10, and observe that both mss. of the Anukr. here have rtubhas tv-, which is neither one thing nor the other ! | For samhanu, W's 'cheek by jowl' is perhaps a better version than the one which he gave at v. 28. 13, 'of closed jaw, free from involuntary opening of the jaws.' A third version is given by Griffith: 'we fasten thee [the amulet] about the neck.' Digha Nikāya, ii. 61, suggests still a fourth interpretation, 'affected with lock-jaw,' not applicable here: cf. viii. 1. 16.

## 38. With gúggulu: against disease.

## [Atharvan. — trcam. mantroktagulguludevatākam. ānustubham. 2 a-d. 4-p. usņih ; 2 e-f. 1-av. prājāpatyā 'nustubh.]

Found also in Paipp. xix. Used, according to the comm. (together with hymn 39), by Paric. 4. 4, in the ceremony of a king's entrance into his sleeping-house, to the accompaniment of incense of kustha and guggulu.

LWith regard to the name of the latter substance, there is a question as to its spelling, whether with -lg- or with -gg-; and a second as to its accent, whether on the first syllable or on the last. As to the first question, the mss. are here, as elsewhere, quite at variance: see below. As to the second, the proper accent seems to be proparoxytone: so TS. at vi. 2.8<sup>6</sup>, gilgulu, nominative; and above, at ii. 36. 7, where the word is nom., both W's and SPP's authorities agree in accenting the first syllable. In this hymn, however, all of W's and of SPP's authorities agree in vs. 1 in accenting the last syllable, -gulds, and so both editions print: in vs. 2, again, all (save W's P.M.W., - $l\delta$ ) agree in having  $-l\dot{u}$ ; and the Bombay ed. has  $-l\dot{u}$  (as nom.), while the Berlin ed. and the Index have guggulu (as voc.); whether the comm. intends guggulo (voc.) or guggulus (nom., with the later gender), I am not surc. If we read guggulu (as voc.), it may be noted that no other voc. sing. neuter from stems in u or i is registered in my Noun-Inflection (see p. 413, 390).]

Translated : Grill, 39, 193; Bloomfield, 40, 675; Griffith, ii. 295.

1. Yáksmas obstruct him not, a curse attains him not, whom the agreeable odor of the healing gúggulu attains.

All the mss., and SPP., read in a drundhate, which our text emends to ar-; but the form is obviously false; d rundhate would be the simplest and easiest change. Ppp. has yakşmā ru- [i.e. yakşmāru-: which may of course mean yakşmās ā ru- as well]; and, at end of **b**, -tho 'qnute. As everywhere, the mss. vary between gugg- and gulg- in c; SPP. adopts the latter; the comm. agrees with our text in giving the former; Ppp. has always gulg-. At the end of **d**, all the mss. have aquute, but this time SPP. follows us in making the necessary emendation to aquute. [Again, as often (cf. note to xviii. 3. 47), the accent-blunder is due to a faulty assimilation, — in this case, with the accent of aquute at the end of the preceding half-verse.]

2. From him the *yakşmas* scatter away, like antelopes from a wild beast. If, O *gúggulu*, thou art from the river, or if also from the ocean, the name of both have I taken (*grah*), that this man may be uninjured.

There is discordance among the authorities as to the division and numbering of the verses of this hymn. The Anukr. makes three verses, reckoning the last two pādas as third verse, and SPP. follows it, although this division is wholly opposed to the sense, as breaking a sentence in two. The comm. reckons only the first of the three lines as vs. 2, noting that it may also be explained as belonging to vs. 1, being connected in sense with that; the other two lines he makes vs. 3. Our division followed the majority of our mss., with which agree the minority of SPP's. The choice between the three modes of division is difficult, and fortunately the matter is of no importance. | Grill, p. 193, suggests that I a, b is the foreign element, a prefixed fragment about yaksma. That leaves 1 c, d and 2 a, b for our first vs, and 2 c-f for our second. | The mss. all read in b mrgå áçvā iva,\* which is obviously wrong and unintelligible, though the comm., after his fashion, gives two equally worthless interpretations, once taking  $dqu\bar{a}s$  as an adjective (=  $\bar{a}(ugaminas)$  to mrgas, and once supplying a second iva: "like deer [or] like horses." The translation follows our emendation, which is certainly plausible to an acceptable degree. Ppp. is corrupt : yaksmād mrgāyaşāya vedhase. The pada-mss. blunderingly read irate at end of a; even SPP. allows himself to emend to irate. In c he again gives gulgulú [not gugg-], with the majority of his mss.: our guggulu is in respect to accent [as voc.] an emendation (our mss. read -lú or -ló), but one called for by the following dsi; | this reason does not seem to me cogent: reading the nom. -lu (with SPP.: see introd.), we may render, 'whether thou art guggulú from the river or [guggulú] from the ocean' |. In d, the mss. give either yádvāpyāsi or yádvāpyāsi (p. yát: vā : ápi : āoási); SPP. accepts in his sanihitā-text vā 'py āsi, but in his padatext changes āodsi to dsi, thus making the two texts discordant; if he had courage for the latter alteration, he should also have had it for emending *dsi* in *samhitā* to *dsi*, as we had done, and as is plainly required. | The text of the comm. has 'py asi. | \*| But W's P.M.W., mrgam.

#### 39. With kústha: against diseases.

#### [Bhrgvangiras. — daçakam. mantroktakuşthadevatyam. ānuştubham: 2, 3. pathyāpankti; 4. 6-p. jagatī (2-4. 3-av.); 5. 7-p. çakvarī; 6-8. aşţı (5-8. 4-av.).]

Found also in Pāipp. vii. The *viniyoga* is the same with that of the preceding hymn. [Whitney, note to Prāt. ii. 67, speaks of the critical bearing of the fact that vs. I is cited by the comm. to the Prāt. : see above, p. 896,  $\P$  I.]

Translated : Grohmann, Ind. Stud. ix. 392, 420-422 (parts); Ludwig, p. 198; Bloomfield, 5, 676; Griffith, ii. 295. — Hillebrandt, Ved. Mythol., i. 65-66, discussing the connection of kustha and soma, cites part of the hymn. Cf. v. 4 and vi. 95.

1. Let the heavenly rescuing k*ústha* come hither from off the snowy [mountain]; do thou make vanish all *takmán* and all the sorceresses.

Of course, himávant may also be rendered 'Himālaya.' [For *tas pari*, cf. note to Prāt. ii. 67.] Emendation in c to  $n\bar{a}_{\zeta}dyan$  is suggested as acceptable; [and  $n\bar{a}_{\zeta}ayam$  is the reading of Ppp., both here and in 5 f]. Some of the mss. read at the beginning  $\ell tu$ ; the *pada*-mss. have blunderingly  $\bar{a}_{\ell}tu$  instead of  $\bar{a}: \epsilon tu$ ; SPP. emends to the latter.

2. Three names are thine, O kistha: by-no-means-killing, by-no-meansharming: — by no means may this man take harm, for whom I bespeak  $(pari-br\bar{u})$  thee, at evening and in the morning, likewise by day.

In a, part of the mss. accent kústha. In b, c, SPP. reads nadyamāró nadyārisah :  $n \dot{a} dy \bar{a}$  'yám etc. There is hardly any ms. that distinguishes dya and gha in such a manner that confidence can be placed in its testimony as between the two; so that, although SPP. reports nadya- from all his mss., it is really of no account. But the comm. shows that he reads nadya- by his explanation : nadya, he says, means "being in a stream (nadi)," and by "stream" is meant the waters (udakāni) in a stream; and the virtual sense is "diseases that originate in faults of water": or else, he sagely adds (betraying that his expositions are, as usual, the merest guesses of a skilless etymologist), nadya means nadaniya or çabdaniya: i.e., atyantadusparıharatvena çabdyamāna; and the two epithets mean "killing" or "harming" such nadyas; while the third name is nadya simply, since a killer (māraka) of nadyas is himself called nadya. We had the second of the two epithets above, at viii. 2.6 and 7.6, and in the former passage the comm. explained (falsely) and read nagha-. It seems hardly doubtful that our readings | with gh, not dy | and the translation founded on them are the true ones here, though that implies that the comm. worked from mss. only, and not from oral representatives of the text. [Weber, Sb. 1896, p. 681, discusses na gha.] Ppp. agrees precisely with our text in **b** and **c** (in **d** it has asmāi and in **e** divah). In **b**, all the mss. read (assuming, here and later, that the character is dya, and not gha) nadyá mắro (p. nadyá : mắrah); nearly all follow it with nadyayuso or -sah (p. nadya : ayusah); but two of SPP's, and two others p.m., give nadyāriso | the comm. nadyariso |. In c the general reading is nadyayámpúrusorisat, but one or two fail to accent 'yam, and a few have so reat (all the pada-mss. rsat). The comm. treats nadya in c as a vocative, and SPP. accordingly changes the accent to nadyā 'yam; in b he alters the pada-text to nadyaomārah: nadydorisah. The Anukr. pronounces this verse, as well as the two following, tryavasāna, but nearly all the mss. omit here the sign of interpunction before na ghā 'yam puruso risat, although they introduce it both times later; in this verse, our edition

follows the mss., but SPP's the Anukr. In **d**, all the mss. give *pári bra*-; SPP. follows us in emending the accent to *paribrávimi*. The comm. repeats *nadyā 'yam puruṣo riṣat* a second time.

All the mss., the comm., and Ppp., read in b *jivantás*, and so of course SPP.; there was doubtless no sufficient reason for altering to *jivalás* in our text. Ppp. adds further, after *pitā*, mārsa nāma te svasā. With **a**, **b** compare i. 24. 3 **a**, **b**.

4. Thou art the highest (*uttamd*) of herbs, as the draft-ox of moving creatures (*jdgat*), as the tiger of beasts of prey: — by no means may etc. etc.

[Pādas a-c are repeated from viii. 5. 11: see note.] Ppp. combines uttamo 'sy os. It repeats in the refrain its readings asmāi and divah (see note to vs. 2).

5. Thrice from the  $\bar{\zeta}$  ambus, from the Anigirases, thrice from the Adityas, thrice from the All-Gods art thou born; this all-healing *kústha* stands along with soma; do thou make vanish all the *takmán* and all the sorceresses.

All the authorities [save Ppp.] agree in  $\langle \hat{a}mbubhyas$ , and our alteration to bhrgubhyas is not to be approved. All our samhitā-mss., and the majority of SPP's samhitā-authorities, with the text of the comm., read after it  $d\bar{n}gireyebhyas$  (one or two -raye-), and the comm. takes the word as adjective (=  $a\bar{n}giras\bar{a}m$  apatyabhūtebhyah) qualifying  $\langle \hat{a}mbu-bhyas$ . SPP. adopts  $d\bar{n}girebhyas$ , with the rest of the mss.; our emendation to -robhyas is a very simple and plausible one, when dealing with a text in the condition of this. Ppp. is very corrupt: *tisyāmividyogirayebhyas*; in **d**, further, it has -bhesaja, in **e** tisthasi, in **f** nāçayam (as in 1 c). SPP., probably by an oversight, inserts a stroke of interpunction between **d** and **e**; it is against the Anukr., and our mss. do not have it.

6. The açvatthá, seat of the gods, in the third heaven from here : there [is] the sight (cákṣaṇa) of immortality; thence was born the kúṣṭha.

This verse and the next correspond nearly with v. 4. 3, 4 (repeated as vi. 95. 1, 2). Most of the mss: accent in **d** kusthás. SPP. adds to this verse and the next the last four pādas of vs. 5, as a refrain continued from that verse; and this is evidently the understanding of the Anukr., and the comm. ratifies it. Whether SPP. makes the addition on the authority of these two alone, or whether some of his mss. also intimate it, he does not state; not one of our mss. gives any sign of it. [Ppp. has *jayatāt saḥ*: presumably answering to the end of pāda **d** of the Berlin ed.; but Roth's Collation is not quite clear.]

7. A golden ship, of golden tackle, moved about in the sky; there [is] the sight etc. etc.

As to the correspondence and the extent of this verse, see the note to vs. 6. Ppp. reads *hiranyena nāur* [and omits c, d].

8. Where there is no falling downward (?), where the head of the snowy [mountain], there is the sight of immortality; thence was born the kistia: - this all-healing kistia etc. etc. (as vs. 5).

The mss. all [save SPP's D., which has  $n\bar{a}vah$ : cf. the navas of Ppp.] read in a  $n\bar{a}$  'va prabhráňçanam (p. ná: dva: praobhr-), and the comm. so understands it (yatra dyuloke tatrasthānām sukrtinām avāāmukhaprabhranço nā 'sti); and considering this (if there were such a place-name, it is just the sort of thing that we might fairly expect the comm. to know and report), and that nāva nowhere appears as combination-form of nāu, and that pra-bhranç is not used of the sliding down of a boat or ship on a mountain, and appears wholly unadapted to that use, it must be pronounced an excessively daring and not less questionable proceeding to emend to nāvaprabhránçanam, translate it by the "descent of the ship," and connect it with the more modern Brāhmaṇa-legend of Manu's flood — as is done in our text, by Weber in his notes to Die Fluthsage (Ind. Streifen i. II), and by others elsewhere [cf. Griffith's note]. Ppp. reads [sa] yatra navas paribhraçanam.

9. Thou whom Ikshvāku of old knew, or thou whom Kushthakāmya [knew], whom Vāyasa, whom Mātsya — thereby art thou all-healing.

There is almost nothing here that is not very questionable. Only the comm. has iksyvākus in **a**; the majority of mss. give isvākas, but some (which SPP. follows) iksvākas. In **b** the pada-mss. divide kustha: kāmyāh, and the comm. so understands it (kāmya = kāmaputra); SPP. follows them; though here our emendation to kustha: kāmyās seems plainly called for. In **c**, the mss. have yám vā váso (or vāso: SPP. váso) yám ātsyas t-; the text of the comm., yam vā vaso yamāsyas (explained as "having a mouth like Yama's "1); here emendation is a rather desperate undertaking; the translation follows the conjectures of our text [but with lksvākur in **a**]. Ppp. reads, in **a-c**, pūrvaksvāko yam vā tvā kustikāç ca ahiçyāvaso anusāricchas tenā- etc. — too corrupt to give any help.

10. The head-paining, the tertian,  $\lfloor \text{and } \rfloor$  that which is constant, is hibernal — the *takmán*, O thou of power in every direction, do thou impel  $(s\bar{u})$ away downward.

The last half-verse is identical with v. 22.3 c, d, above. The mss. read in a *cirsa-lokám* (p. -*saolo*-); and the comm. understands it as two words, *cirsa lokam*, translating "they call thy head the third world (i.e. the sky, which is third world in respect to earth)"! Ppp. has *cirsālākam*. The comm. reads in c -viryam, with his customary disregard of accent; [some mss. accent viçvádhā, thus suggesting viçvádhāviryam (epithet of *takmānam*) as a possible, if inferior, variant J. Only two or three of the mss. give the accent *trītīyakam*, found elsewhere in the text (i. 25.4: v. 22. 13), and SPP. follows the majority and adopts *trītī*-. SPP. is also inconsistent in writing in *pada*-text sadamodiļi but in samhitā-text sadamdir; Ppp. has instead sadantī.

# 40. To various divinities: for various blessings.

[Brahman.— caturçcam. bārhaspatyam uta vāiçvadevam. ānustubham: 1. parānustup tristubh; 2. purahkakummaty uparıstādbrhatī; 3. brhatīgarbhā; 4. 3-p. ārsī gāyatrī.]

Of this hymn only the first verse occurs in Pāipp. (in xix.). The comm. reports no *viniyoga*, but SPP. supplies one, finding it quoted in Pariç. 37.4, in a ceremony of expiation for the loss  $(n\bar{a}_{\zeta}a)$  of a strainer; [and again, in 37.14, for use in case a certain earthen vessel (upayama) falls from the hand ].

Translated : Griffith, ii. 297.

I. What that is defective (*chidrá*) of my mind, and what of my voice hath found (*igagáma*) Sarasvatī enraged, let Brihaspati, in concord with all the gods, mend (*sam-dhā*) that.

The meaning of **b** is extremely doubtful. SPP. reads sárasvatī against the large majority of his authorities and all of ours, which have *tim*. Our hárasvantam was a conjecture, and perhaps not a particularly successful one. The translation given (tentatively) above implies *tim manyumatim*, while all the mss., the comm., and SPP., have *-mántam*. Ppp. reads sarasvatī: manvavittam jagāma. Hardly a ms. gives an accent to jagāma (one of SPP's, probably by accident, and another, p.m.). Ppp. reads in **d** sandadātu. There are corresponding verses in VS. (xxxvi. 2) and Ap. (xiv. 16. 1), but they cast no light on **b**: VS. reads yán me chidrám cákṣuṣo hŕdayasya mánaso vấ 'titruṇṇam bŕhaspátir me tád dadhātu; and Ap., yan me manasaç chidram yad vāco yac ca me hṛdaḥ: ayam devo bṛhaspatiḥ sam tat sincatu rādhasā.

2. Do not ye, O waters, devastate (*pra-math*) our wisdom nor our *bráhman*; come ye flowing with easy flow, being invoked; [be] I of good wisdom, having splendor.

All the mss. accent dpas in a, and SPP. refuses to follow us in the obvious emendation to dpas, although the comm. also takes the word as vocative. At the end of b, the comm., followed by three of SPP's authorities, has *mathista nah*. In c, the mss. read *qusyada* (p. the same), and the comm. understands it as *qusyat*:  $\bar{a}$ ; SPP. emends by conjecture to *susyadas* (p. *suosyadah*), which is decidedly more successful than our *qusmadas*. The translation, however, ventures to emend yet further, to *susyada* (p. *suosyada*: d). Most of the mss. give *syannadhvam*; [W's O. and SPP's carefully corrected Dc., s.m., ] join with the comm. in *syanda*-, which stands in both printed texts. The translation, finally, implies our reading *úpahūtās*, against the mss., SPP., and the comm., which give *-tas* (*-to 'ham*); against, also, the Anukr.,\* but making much better meter than if c is ended with *syandadhvam*. The mss. and SPI'. have *sumédhās* (the *p*-mss. wrongly *suomédhā*); [in the edition] we [should have] rectified the accent [so as to read] *sumedhās*. \*[The Anukr. would scan as 6+8:8+12; the Berlin text as 6+8:12+8.]

3. Do not ye injure our wisdom, nor our consecration, nor what ardor (*tápas*) is ours; be they propitious to us in order to [prolonged] life-time; let them become [our] propitious mothers.

The mss. have in **b** hinsistam, and SPP. does not follow our obviously acceptable emendation to -sta. The comm., too, has -stam, and explains it by calling [into service] that everlasting pair dyāvāprthivī; but, as alternative, he apparently goes on to anticipate the pair of Açvins from vs. 4; there is, however, a lacuna in the sole manuscript, cutting off this explanation almost at the beginning. In **c**, the mss. all have stansvanta dy- (p. stansvantah '), but the comm. cansantu, explaining it as = stuvantu; [SPP. reports that the text of the comm. is cansantu and that the sole ms. of the commentary has actually santu;] SPP. takes this, and alters it to cain santu; our emendation to simple santu, suiting both sense and meter, is obviously to be accepted. The pada-mss. in general give civā in **c**; [but one of W's and one of SPP's, each s.m., have civāh;] they all [except perhaps W's L.] have civāh in **d**. There is no good reason why the Anukr. should call the verse brhatīgarbhā, since **c** can be read as eight syllables in either form. 4. That food (?*i*<sub>s</sub>), O Açvins, which, full of light, shall make us pass through the darkness, may ye give  $(r\bar{a})$  to us.

The verse corresponds to RV. i. 46.6, and is translated from the RV. text, the AV. version being utterly corrupt, and offering a very noteworthy measure of what this nineteenth book can do in the way of corruption even of a text that is intelligibly handed down elsewhere. The ms. reading is ma nah piparid açvinā jyótismatī támas tiráh : tam asmat rasatam isum. Our text differs from that of RV. only by reading piparid (which is an oversight for the *piparad* [Grammar § 869 c] of the RV.) and rāsathām (which is bad); | RV. has rāsāthām, to be taken as augmentless s-aorist, 2d person dual middle |. The comm. differs from RV. by giving må at the beginning; | his text, furthermore, has rāsātām; but his comment has rāsāthām, like RV. ]; he understands acvinā correctly as vocative. SPP. clings to rāsatām, and thinks to make it answer by accenting açvínā (on the authority, | probably | accidental, of a single ms.). | I suppose Whitney means to imply that, if SPP. intends to make rasatam a 3d person dual imperative middle of the s-aorist, but from an a-stem, as explained at Grammar § 896, the form ought to be rāsetām, corresponding to the 3d singular rāsatām there noticed. There is really no way but to adopt the RV. text throughout; any attempt at compromise simply spoils the verse. The comm. takes mā pīparat as pāram mā gamayatu, and b as its antithesis, supplying karotu to tiras; and he refers at the end to ya as read çākhāntare (i.e., in RV.).

# 41. For some one's welfare.

[Brahman. - ekarcam. mantroktatapodevatyam. trāistubham.]

Not found in Pāipp. No viniyoga. Translated : Griffith, ii. 298.

I. Desiring what is excellent, the heaven-finding seers in the beginning sat down in attendance upon (*upa-ni-sad*) ardor [and] consecration; thence [is] born royalty, strength, and force; let the gods make that submissive to this man.

Asmé ' to us ' would be an acceptable emendation in d; [but TS. has asmāt]. The comm. glosses upanisedus simply by  $pr\bar{a}pt\bar{a}s$ ; in his explanation of c, d there is a considerable lacuna. A corresponding verse is found in TS. (in v. 7.43; repeated without variation in TA. iii. 11.9): bhadrám páçyanta úpa sedur ágre tápo dīkṣām ŕṣayaḥ suvarvidaḥ: tátaḥ kṣatrám bálam ójaç ca jātám tád asmāt devā abht sám namantu.

# 42. Extolling the brahman etc.

[Brahman.—catasras. mantroktabrahmadevatyam. 1. anustubh ; 2. 3-av. kakummatī pathyāpaīkti ; 3. tristubh ; 4. jagatī.]

Only fragments of this hymn are found in Pāipp.; [Roth's Collation says that the hymn probably stood somewhere near the beginning]. No *viniyoga* is given.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 298.

I. The bráhman is invoker (hót?); the bráhman is the sacrifice; by the bráhman the sacrificial posts are set up; the officiating priest (adhvaryú) is born from the bráhman; within the bráhman is put the oblation.

963

In a all the authorities [and so SPP.] read  $yaj\bar{n}d\bar{s}$ , which might better have been left unchanged. In b the authorities have mostly sváravāmitā (also sváravāmitā, and sár- or sàravāmitā), pada-text svàh : avāmitā; the comm., svaragāmitā, for which he gives a labored and worthless explanation; sváravo mitāh was our emendation, which is made certain by its occurrence in TB. ii. 4. 7<sup>10</sup> (in a verse otherwise corresponding with our vs. 2); and even SPP. follows it. In d the comm. has brahmani, which suits better with antar; but the same sense is perhaps possible with -nas,\* which is the universal reading. Then the mss. give antárhite (p. antáhohite); our edition emends to antár hitám; SPP. agrees so far as to give antárhitam; the comm. has either the one or the other (the absence of accent makes it impossible to say which); if bráhmanas is not altered to -ni, antárhitam is as good as necessary; otherwise, each is about equally acceptable. \*[W. seems to have in mind such a construction as tád antár asya sárvasya, at Içā Upanishad, vs. 5. If so, I do not see why he says that, if we read bráhmanas, we must also read antárhitam.]

2. The bráhman is the sacrificial spoons filled with ghee; by the bráhman is the sacrificial hearth set up  $(ud-dh\bar{a})$ ; and the bráhman is the essence (tattvá) of the sacrifice — the priests that are oblation-makers: to the slaughtered [victim] hail!]

To the verse corresponds one in TB. ii. 4. 710, which, however, has for b our 1 b (as noted above), and in c yajñásya tántavah, and lacks the addition after d. In our text is left in a inadvertently srucas, which most of the mss. give; SPP. has the correct srucas (so TB.). In b, our pada-mss. have útohitā, | a word-division | which is contrary to Prat. iv. 63 and to the usage of the AV. hitherto; SPP. reads in his pada-text úddhitā, and makes no note upon the matter; | he had in fact a note stating that his P.P.<sup>2</sup>J. also read útohitā : but, as appears from his "Corrections" to vol. iv., p. 446, his note was disordered in printing; | the comm. has instead uddhrtā. In c all the authorities give yajñásya táttvam ca | but W's P. tánvam |, and SPP. retains táttvam, without even making the necessary emendation of accent to tattvåm; the comm. also supports it, and it is implied in the translation given above; our alteration to yajūde ca sattrdin ca is probably more venturesome than is called for. | The place of the accent in the ms.reading táttuani ca, the Paris reading tán-, the un-Vedic look of tattuan, the rcah pråncas tántavas of AV. xv. 3.6, and the TB. parallel, all join in suggesting that the true reading is yajñásya tántavas. | In the appendix to the verse, the comm. reads sammitāya.

3. To him who frees from distress I bring forward my devotion (manisd), unto him who rescues well, choosing to myself his favor; accept, O Indra, this oblation; let the desires of the sacrificer be realized.

Or (at the end) 'come true.' A corresponding verse is found in TS. (in i. 6. 12) and in MS. (in iv. 12.3). In **a** both read *bharemā* [p. -ma] manīṣām, thus rectifying the meter; and Ppp. does the same; for **b**, TS. gives *oṣiṣṭhadāvne sumatim gṛṇānāḥ* [good grammar (plural -nās) and good meter]; MS. *bhūyiṣṭhadāvne sumatim āvṛṇānāḥ* [bad in both respects]. Very nearly all the AV. authorities give in **b** -tim mā vṛṇānāḥ; [per contra, cf. note to xviii. 2.3]. One would like to get rid of the superfluous ā at the beginning of **b** by emending to āçutrāvņe [āçu- would accord closely in sense withthe*oṣiṣṭha*- of TS.]. [Our*bh.tre*is evidently a corruption due to haplography, which $has brought in its train the further corruption of <math>\bar{avrṇānāh}$  to -náh.] In **c**, **d**, TS.MS. agree throughout \* with AV. [save that MS. has jusasva for grbhāya]. In c, Ppp. has havyā; only parts of the verse are left in its text; [Roth says the first word is lost]. The comm. reads sutrāmņe in a, -tim grņānaķ (like TS.) in b, and havyā (like Ppp.) in c. \*[In c, the idám of the Berlin text is an emendation, since all the mss. collated by W. before publication have imám; and it is confirmed by TS.MS., which give idám, and by W's subsequently collated O., and apparently also by his L. But SPP. prints imám without note of variant; and the comm. has imam, which he makes = idam in the sense of idānīm /]

4. Him who frees from distress, the bull of the worshipful, him that shines forth  $(vi \cdot r\bar{a}j)$ , the first of the sacrifices (adhvard), the child of the waters, O Açvins, I call with prayer (dht); do ye with Indra give me Indra-like force.

A corresponding verse in TS. (in i. 6. 123) reads thus: prd samråjam prathamám adhvarånām anhomúcam vrsabhám yajūtyānām: apām nápātam açvinā háyantam asmin nara indriyám dhattam ójah. It helps us least in the critical part of our verse, where the mss. all read açvinā huvé [huve, havé] dhíya (p. dhíyah) indriyéna ta (p. te) indr-. The translation follows our emendation (açvinā, with TS.; dhiyé 'ndrena ma indr-). SPP. follows the mss. Ppp. nearly agrees with them: açvināu huve dhiya indriyena na indriyam dhattam ojah. The comm. has dhiyam and dhattām (but his text, according to SPP., reads dhattam).

### 43. To various gods: for attaining heaven.

[Brahman. — astāu. bahudevatyam uta brahmadevatyam. 1-8. 3-av. çankumatī pathyāpanktu.]

Not found in Pāipp. No viniyoga. Translated : Griffith, ii. 299.

I. Whither the *brahman*-knowers go, along with consecration, with ardor — thither let Agni conduct me; let Agni impart  $(dh\bar{a})$  to me wisdom: to Agni hail!

SPP. strangely prefers to read *medhā* da- in **d**, with a mere majority of his authorities, but with the comm. [who gives *medhās*]; our mss. also are divided between -dhā and -dhām. In the *pada*-text, SPP. emends to -dhāh; the *pada*-mss. have -dhā or -dhām.

2. Whither the etc. etc. — thither let Vāyu conduct me; let Vāyu impart to me breaths: to Vāyu hail!

3. Whither the etc. etc. — thither let the sun conduct me; let the sun impart to me sight: to the sun hail!

4. Whither the etc. etc. — thither let the moon (candrá) conduct me; let the moon impart to me mind : to the moon hail !

5. Whither the etc. etc. — thither let Soma conduct me; let Soma impart to me milk: to Soma hail!

The comm. has a *lacuna* including all the explanations of verse 4, and part of the text of verse 4 and of this.

6. Whither the etc. etc. — thither let Indra conduct me; let Indra impart to me strength: to Indra hail!

7. Whither the etc. etc. — thither let the waters conduct me; let immortality (amŕta) approach (upa-sthā) me: to the waters hail!

Our *nayantu* in c is the obviously necessary emendation of *nayatu* of all the mss., which SPP., after his manner, retains. The comm. does not have occasion to quote the word; but his text also, according to SPP., reads *nayatu*. [The faulty assimilation of the original *nayantu* to the *nayatu* which obtains throughout all the other verses of the sequence, is precisely paralleled by the *gachati* (so all authorities) after dpas at xv. 7. 3.]

8. Whither the etc. etc. — thither let Brahmán conduct me; let Brahmán impart to me *bráhman*: to Brahmán hail!

The comm. explains brahmán by jagatsrasiā kiranyagarbhah, and bráhman by svasvarūpabhūtam çrutādhyayanajanyam tejo vā.

#### 44. With an ointment: against diseases etc.

# [Bhrgu.—daça. mantroktālijanadevatvam. (8,9 vāruņe) ānustubham: 4. 4-p. çalīkumatv usņuh; 5. 3-p. nucrd visamā gāyatrī.]

[Partly prose: verses 4 and 5.] Found also in Pāipp. xv. Used, according to the comm., with an ointment amulet, by Naks.K. 19, in a *mahāçānti* ceremony called *nāirṛtī*, when one is seized by *nirṛti* (destruction). [Verse 4 is quoted in *sakalapāțha* by Kāuç. at 47. 16, to accompany the taking of a staff in a witchcraft ceremony.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 300. — He very pertinently notes that this hymn closely resembles in parts iv. 9. See W's notes thereon.

I. Thou art an extender of life-time; all-healing art thou called; so, O ointment, do thou [make] wealfulness; make, O ye waters, weal [and] fearlessness.

The translation follows our text, which is variously emended. In **b**, the mss. and comm. and SPP. read *ulpram bhesajám*; the comm. explains *vipram* as *prinayitr* (as if it were somehow *priyam*) *vipravac chuddham vā*. Ppp. gives *vipre*. In **c**, all have *camtāte*, glossed by the comm. with *camuīpa* [the ms. of the comm. actually has *-pam*]. In **d**, all accent dpas, and also (with one accidental exception) *krtám*; but one of our mss. (probably also by accident) gives *krtá*, which we adopted, with emendation to *krta*; [if I understand W's Collation Book, his B. has *krta*, without accent;] SPP. is satisfied with emending to *krtam*, as if dpasa and dpasa could somehow be construct together as a dual subject. Part of the mss. have *ucyate* at end of **b**. The *pada*-mss. read *camtāte* without division. Ppp. has for second half-verse *yad ānjani dram çamtāte acināyo bhavam krtam*, which is too corrupt to be of any assistance.

2. What the jaundice is, the *jāyánya*, the limb-splitter, the *visálpaka* — all *yákşma* from thy limbs let the ointment expel (*nir-han*) out.

The mss. make very bad work with the last pāda, nearly all (the variations are of no account) giving *barhlr nlrahantv* (p. *barhlh : nlh : ahantu*); SPP. makes the same emendation that we had made, and [it is confirmed by] Ppp. and the comm., [which] have the same. [Three or four of SPP's authoritics, including two reciters, gave *bahls*.]

In **a**, the comm. strangely reads and explains *jyāyān yah*; Ppp. presents *jāyāniyo*. For visalpakas (which SPP. reads here also, as in vi. 127 and ix. 8), the comm. gives visarpakas, explaining it as vividham saraņaçīlo vraņaviçesah; Ppp. has viçalyakas.

3. The ointment, born on the earth, excellent, giving life to men — let it make [me] unperishing, of chariot-swiftness, free from offense.

Ppp. reads in **d** rathajūtam. The comm. thinks the word may mean either rathavadvegagāminam or rathavantam.

4. O breath, rescue thou breath; O life-breath (dsu), be gracious to life-breath; O destruction, free us from the fetters of destruction.

[Prose.] The comm. follows a different division in verses 4-7, making of them but three verses,\* and of the whole hymn but nine. The method of the mss. and the Anukr. is plainly decidedly preferable; it is followed also by SPP. One does not see any justification for the division by the Anukr. of the last five words of this verse into two pādas, with five syllables ( $ca\bar{n}ku$ -) in the closing one. [It counts 7+7: 7+5.] Ppp. reads  $tr\bar{a}yasva$  aso 'save. The comm. has  $m\bar{a}m$  instead of *nas*. About half the authorities give at the beginning  $pr\bar{a}nas$ . [For the citation of the vs. by Kāuç., see introd. to this hymn, and cf. especially p. 897, ¶ 3.] \*[Our 4,5ab = his 4; our 5cd,6ab = his 5; our 6cd,7 = his 6.]

5. Embryo of the river art thou, flower of the lightnings; the wind [thy] breath, the sun [thine] eye, from the sky [thy] milk.

[Prose.] SPP. and the comm. read *púspam*, and all the mss. probably are to be regarded as having it; *spa* and *sya* are practically indistinguishable in the mss. Ppp. also gives *puspam*. At the beginning all the mss. have *studho* (p. *studho tti*); even SPP. emends to *studhos*, the comm. giving it. The comm. curiously explains *vidyutām puspam* by *vrstyudakam*: one can hardly help suspecting a misreading. The Anukr. scans the "verse" (restoring the *a* of *asi*) as 6 + 5: 12 = 23; its definition is far from acceptable. [Bloomfield discusses the vs., AJP. xvii. 405.]

6. O divine ointment, thou from the three-peaked [mountain], do thou protect me all about; the herbs do not surpass (tr) thee — those from abroad and those from the mountains.

All the mss. [save one of SPP's] read dévanjanam (p. dévaonjaj) trātkakudam; SPP. emends the former to -na, but not the latter to -da, although this is as indisputably vocative as the other; the comm. [with one of SPP's mss.] makes the same difference, though he regards trāikakudam as vocative (he trāik-). Ppp. reads devānjani trāikakuda. [As to the rarity of neuter vocatives, see my Noun-Inflection, p. 339.] The great majority of mss. accent  $b\bar{a}hy\bar{a}s$ ; SPP. reads  $b\bar{a}hy\bar{a}s$ . The comm. understands the word as meaning "from other localities than the mountains." Our emendation to  $b\bar{a}ty\bar{a}s$ , supported by an article [2.  $v\bar{a}ty\bar{a}$  ' cultivated'] in the major Pet. Lex. [vi. 903] is withdrawn by the omission of that article in the minor Lex. Ppp. reads corruptly  $b\bar{a}hyam$  parvatyā.

7. The demon-slayer, disease-expeller, hath crept down over the middle here, expelling all diseases, making portents vanish from here.

The change to masculine here in **b** is obscure and questionable; emendation to -câtanam is desirable; it would allow *idam* to be understood as 'this [ointment],' which

is easier and more natural. Ppp., too, has *cātanam*, which gives the emendation sufficient support.\* Ppp. has for a viram madhyam avāsrjat. The pada-mss. commit the egregious inconsistency of reading *cātáyan* :  $n\bar{a}_{c}\dot{a}yat$  in c, d; SPP. emends in pada-text to *cātáyat*.\* Ppp. has in d  $n\bar{a}_{c}ayatam$  ivāhitā. [In c of the Berlin ed., correct sárvaç to sárvāç.]

\* Both editions print raksohåmīvacātanah, and the pada-reading is raksahohā: amtvacātanah. Whitney, doubtless by oversight, neglects to say how he would emend raksohā to make a corresponding neuter of it, and the question is a very troublesome one (for details, see Noun-Inflection, p. 478 end, p. 479). The neuter form would properly be -hā'; but none such is quotable, so far as I know, unless here. May it be that we have here that very form, -hā' (neuter), concealed in the combination -hāmīva-, and that the misunderstanding of it as -hā (masculine) amīva- led to a corruption of an original -cātanam into -cātanah and also of an original pada-reading cātáyat into cātáyan ? If so, all would be in harmony.]

8. Much untruth, O king Varuṇa, doth man (*ptruṣa*) say here; from that sin (*diuhas*) do thou free us, O thou of thousand-fold heroism.

The mss. vary between  $r\bar{a}jan$  and  $r\bar{a}jan$ , and between dnrtam, anftam, and  $dn\bar{f}lam$ . The great majority accent at the end *páryanhasah*, and all the *pada*-mss. have *pária anhasah*. SPP. reads at the beginning *bahv idám*, after the manner of the Sāma-Veda; we emended to *idám*, because that is the Atharvan practice (cf. note to Prāt. iii. 65, p. 499), against which the concurrence even of all the mss. [save W's I.], as here, ought not to count. Ppp. reads in **b** *purusah*.

9. In that we have said O waters, O inviolable [kine], O Varuṇa, from that sin do thou free us, O thou of thousand-fold heroism.

That is, if we have called these divinities to witness an untruth: cf. the nearly equivalent vii. 83. 2 c-e. The translation implies emendation to  $dghny\bar{a}s$ ; vdruna, which can be only vocative, proves each of its predecessors such; the comm. understands all the three as vocative, and paraphrases  $[he] \bar{a}po y\bar{u}yam j\bar{a}n\bar{i}dhve$ , he aghny $\bar{a}$  y $\bar{u}yam$  mama cittam jānīdhve, etc. Ppp. reads in b varunena yad. Our mss. read again páryanh- (p. párioanhasah), and so apparently do SPP's, although he does not distinctly say so; [his note to vs. 8 (note 2, p. 455) perhaps makes such an implication ].

10. Both Mitra and Varuna went forth after thee, O ointment; they, having gone far after thee, brought thee back for enjoyment (*bhogd*).

All the authorities [save W's E. and one of SPP's reciters], and Ppp. also, give at the end punar ohatu; but the pada-mss. give punah: rohatu, which is a blunder, since the corresponding samhitā would be punā rohatu [which W's E. in fact has]. SPP. emends to punar o "hatuh (p. punah:  $\dot{a}: \bar{u}hatuh$ ), and the translation follows this, rather than our own nearly equivalent and equally acceptable emendation to punar o "hatām. [Whitney here overlooks the lack of accent on the oh: the Berlin text, the text of W's Collation Book, and his Index, under I  $\bar{u}h$ , all give ohatām without accent. Root I  $\bar{u}h$  does not appear to be quotable with  $\dot{a}$ , and it would seem that SPP's emendation (root vah with  $\dot{a}$ ) must of need be preferred.] The comm., [with his text, and with SPP's reciter V., who accented punar āhatuh,] gives punar āhatuh (= punar āgantavyam ity ūcatuh !). [We might better render bhogāya by 'for our use.'] Half the mss. accent with us ánu préy- in b; SPP. gives anu, with the pada-text (anuopréyatuh).

# 45. With an ointment etc.: for various objects.

[Bhrgu. — daça. 1-5. ānjanadevatyam; 6-10. mantroktadevatyam. 1, 2. anustubh; 3-5. tristubh; 6-10. 1-av. mahābrhatī (6. vurāj; 7-10. niert).]

[Prose in part, vss. 6-10.] Found (except vs. 9) also in Pāipp. xv., next after our 44. The practical use is, according to the comm., the same with that of 44.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 301.

I. Bringing together witchcraft to the house of the witchcraft-maker, as it were debt from debt, do thou, O ointment, crush in the ribs of the hostile eye-conjurer.

With the second half-verse compare ii. 7. 5 c, d. The first half seems to mean "paying back or returning ... as debt upon debt, or repeated debt"; this is, except for the sense given to the ablative  $r\mu\bar{a}t$  (which he explains by  $r\mu\bar{a}t$ ... bhitah, or, alternatively,  $r\mu ad\bar{a}tur$  uttamar $\mu\bar{a}t$ : both wrongly), the understanding of the comm. [Griffith says : "As debt from debt: as a man returns to his creditor a part of what he owes him."] Many of the authorities give  $ri\mu\bar{a}d$   $ri\mu\bar{a}m$  (Ppp. has  $r\mu$ -); and some accent the first syllable, ri- or r-. At the end of a, nearly all have samnay $d\bar{m}$  (p. samonaydm), but the comm. samnayan, which SPP. adopts (samndyan) and which is followed in the translation above, as being a smaller alteration of the original than our emendation  $sd\bar{m}$ naya, and at least equally acceptable in point of sense. In c the comm. has the bad reading caksur mitrasya. Ppp. ends d with  $\bar{a}\bar{n}janam$ .

2. What evil-dreaming [is] in us, what in [our] kine, and what in our house, also the . . . of one hostile, let him that is unfriendly take upon himself (*prati-muc*).

Both sense and meter require the emendation in **d** of *priyás* to *dpriyas*, and the comm. has the latter, but all the authorities,\* and Ppp. (*durhārdas priya pra-*), and SPP. give the former. In **c**, the general reading of the mss. is *ánāmagas tvám* (p. *ánāmagāh : tvám*); for *tvám ca* is found *tvām ca*, *tám ca*, *támta*, *tvác ca*, *tá ca*, *tac ca*]. SPP. accepts *ánāmagas tám*, but what sense he can possibly attach to the words does not appear. Ppp. gives *māmagatasya dur*. The comm. reads *anāmakas tac ca*, which is equally impossible; and he makes a senseless explanation of *anāmakas : tdrīnāmā tādrīnāme 'ty evam nāmarahitah*; and he falsely regards *durhārdas* as a nom. sing. qualified by *anāmakas*. Our conjecture, *anāmayatvám ca d-*, is very unsatisfactory, in regard both to meter and to sense; *anāgastvám* 'guiltlessness' would make a good *anustubh* pāda, and be very near to the reading of the mss.; but it would be, equally with *anāmayatvam*, discordant with *duṣvápnyam*, and would require *priyás* in **d**. [Ppp. reads *muñcatā* at the end.]

\*[The reciter V., curiously, has as an alternative, *durhārdo 'priyás*, which (the accent being wrong) is neither one thing nor the other, but may well be taken as supporting the comm's reading *dpriyas*, as against *priyás*; the true *samhitā*-reading would then be *durhārdo 'priyaķ*.]

L The solution of this desperate passage seems to me to be suggested by 57.5 below, of which the first part is identical with our **a**, **b** here, and of which the second part begins with anāsmākás tád and ends (nearly like v. 14.3 d) with niskám iva (pronounce niskéva) práti muñcatām. In our **c**, **d** I would read anāsmākás tád durhārdo 'priyaḥ práti muñcatām (pada-reading duḥohārdaḥ : ápriyaḥ), and render 'that let him who is not of us, the evil-hearted, the unfriendly, put upon himself.' The *tåd* is supported by the comm., and in a measure by SPP's D.V. and C<sup>s</sup>.; the *åpriyas*, by the comm. and V. (as above) and the meter; and *anāsmākás* comes near to the ms.-readings, and, indeed, considering the *ánāmakas* of the living reciter V. and of the comm., is not ill supported.]

Lit remains to note that no valid objection can be taken against making a nom. sing. of durhårda-s: its use as such is a natural way of avoiding the form from the consonantal stem (which was as much of a stumbling-block to the ancient Hindu as it is to the modern tyro in Sanskrit), and is entirely analogous to the use of hýdayam rather than hýd (cf. my Noun-Inflection, p. 471). The comm. is accordingly right in saying here durhārdo dustacittah, as he was also in glossing the suhårt of ii. 7.5 by çobhanahārdah sumanaskah. The nom. durhårda-s is a form of transition to the a-declension, with durhārd-am (so viii. 3. 25) as its point of departure (cf. durhārdān of the mss. at xix. 28.8); just so the later pāda-s (from pād-am) replaces the older pāt (Noun-Inflection, p. 471).

Of the older nom. sing. masc. or fem., however, the true form is suhar, p. suchah, of which traces, albeit scanty, are found in the Veda: one is at MS. iv. 2. 5, p. 2619, priya nah suhar nah; and another is at AV. ii. 7. 5, where both ed's read yah suhart tena nah saha. Here the sanhitā-authorities taken together are divided between suhart téna (so 11) and suhat téna (so 6); but the pada-authorities (7 out of 8) give suchāt, the notable exception being the crotriya K., who recited the true form suchāh. The samhitā-form for this ought to be suhās (téna), and possibly this form is concealed in the reading suhåttena of Sm. etc. If not, then (since rtt = rt: Gram. § 232) we may regard the combination suhart t- as representing suhar t-, nom. suhar, with breach of the rule of sandhi requiring the change of suhår to suhås before t. The motive for this breach was perhaps to avoid disguising still further the form suhår, itself extremely rare and none too easily recognized; and the motive is perhaps as clear as it is in the case of aves avet, ajāis ajāit, etc., cited by W., Gram. § 555 a. — The nom. suhärt scems to be grammatical and not intolerable in the texts, and to be unparalleled (cf. Noun-Inflection, p. 472). See p. 1046.

3. Increasing from the force of the refreshment of the waters, born out of Agni Jātavedas — may the ointment that is four-heroed, that is of the mountains, make the quarters, the directions, propitious to thee.

In a,  $\bar{u}rjds$  might, of course, be ablative, coördinate with  $\delta jasas$ ; the whole expression is too obscure to help the construction by the sense. The comm. reads  $\bar{u}rjam$ ; Ppp. combines  $\bar{u}rjo$  'jaso, and has in c parvatam. The Anukr. does not heed the redundant syllable in c.

4. The four-heroed ointment is bound to thee; be all the quarters free from fear for thee; firm shalt thou stand, like Savitar desirable; let these people (*viças*) render thee tribute.

In a, b Ppp. combines badhyatā " $\bar{n}_j$ , diço 'bhayās. In c, the mss. [with one or two exceptions] read  $c\bar{a}ryai$  i- (p.  $ca: \bar{a}ryah$ ); SPP. alters the accent to  $c\bar{a}rya$  (p.  $ca: \bar{a}ryah$ ); our emendation to  $v\bar{a}ryas$  [W's B. has  $v\bar{a}ryai$  i-] is not absolutely necessary, yet certainly a plausible improvement; and it is in a certain measure supported by Ppp., which gives  $v\bar{a}ri$  imā; the translation above implies it. The comm. understands arya, vocative, rendering it by  $sv\bar{a}min$ . In d all the mss. have vlcas [but W's E. seems to have dlcas], and our substitution of dlcas was hardly called for; but Ppp. favors it, reading *diço bhriyante.* The comm. gives two explanations for the strange epithet *caturvira*, showing that he is merely guessing what its sense might possibly be. We have in **d** again an extra syllable of which the Anukr. takes no notice.

5. Use thou one as ointment; make one an amulet; bathe with one; drink one of them; let the four-heroed one protect us about from the four destructive bonds of seizure (grahi).

All the mss.\* have at the beginning *aksyua*, which the *pada*-text leaves undivided; SPP. goes so far as to emend the latter to  $\dot{a}$  : aksva, but is unwilling to follow us in reading *a 'inksva*, although aksva is no possible form, and the comm. agrees with our emendation. Ppp. reads aksakam man .. In b the mss. give ekenapivalkam, and the padamss. resolve it into ékena : ápi : vā : ékam. Our emendation to ékena pibāl 'kam is evidently just what is required; but SPP. chooses to retain *ekena*, and so reads | in pada-text | *ekena* : a : piba, remarking that "if RW. had discerned the a after ekena, their very correct emendation would have been free from the defect of unnecessarily changing the ancient accent of the samhitā-text." This reads like a joke, considering how the text of book xix. (not to speak of previous books) abounds in gross errors of accentuation, and how often we and he have been compelled to emend it - for example, in the preceding verse, where the "ancient accent" cāryà was changed by him to cārya. | Apart from this passage, | the compound  $\bar{a} + p\bar{a}$  does not occur in the Atharvan, and, although it is not unknown, it would be distinctly out of place here. The version of the pāda in Ppp. is totally corrupt : cvācīkenapavīkam esām. The comm. rcads ekenā 'vivekam esām, and labors, with his usual ill-success, to devise an explanation of avivekam. To ekam etc. he supplies anjanam; as his reading gets rid of the fourth, he connects the first three with the "three-peaked mountain" from which the ointment is derived : trisu parvatakakutsū 'tpannāni.' Apparently the fourfold-ness is related in some way or other to the "four-heroed"-ness. In d, the comm. commits the extraordinary blunder of taking grāhyās as gerundive : grahītawyā ānjanamayā osadhayah ! and this compels him to change pātu to pāntu. The pada-mss. (except one of SPP's, p.m.) have gråhya, they also failing to recognize the not uncommon noun gråhi; SPP. makes the necessary emendation to -hyāh. \*| But SPP's Sm., āksvāikam. |

6. Let Agni favor (av) me with fire (? agni), in order to breath, to expiration, to life-time, to splendor, to force, to brilliancy, to well-being, to welfare: hail!

The comm. is uncertain whether by the second agni (agninā) is meant agnitvadharmeņa, or pāvakādiguņakena svamūrtyantareņā 'gninā sahitaḥ. All the mss. accent subhūtáye here, [but súbhūtyā at iii. 14. 1 (cf. Grammar § 1288 e): SPP. accents here -táye, with the mss.; but the Berlin text sú- here, in conformity with both editions and the mss. at iii. 14. 1 ]. Ppp. reads mā agninā.

7. Let Indra favor me with what is Indra's (*indrivá*), in order to breath etc. etc.

The comm. gives a double interpretation of indriya. Ppp. again has mā indriy.

8. Let Soma favor me with what is Soma's (*sāúmya*), in order to breath etc. etc.

The comm. explains sāúmyena as somatvasampādakena dharmeņa jagadāpyāyanakāritvādidharmeņa. 9. Let Bhaga favor me with fortune (*bhága*), in order to breath etc. etc.

The comm. has here a lacuna, embracing the commentary to this verse and the text of the next. [The verse is wanting in Ppp., as noted above.]

10. Let the Maruts favor me with troops, in order to breath etc. etc.

Ppp. reads this time *suprabhûtaye*. It is possible to make out of these prose "verses" the number of syllables demanded by the Anukr. Perhaps the modification *nicrt* belongs only to vss. 7-9 (the manuscripts are discordant and unclear).

[Here ends the fifth anuvāka, with 12 hymns and 74 verses.]

#### 46. With and to an amulet called astrta 'unsubdued.'

[Prajāpati. — saptakam. astŗtamaņidāivatam. trātstubham: 1.5-p. madhyejyotişmatī tristubh; 2.6-p. bhurik (akvarī; 3, 7.5-p. pathyāpañkti; 4.4-p.\*; 5.5-p. atijagatī; 6.5-p. uninggarbhā virād jagatī.] \*[The Anukr. says: indrāya tvā (vs. 4) catuspadā: asmin maņāv (vs. 5) iti pañcapadā jagatī (Berlin ms., atijagatī): most unsatisfactory; and why should vs. 4 be defined as 4-p.?]

Found also in Pāipp. iv. (in the verse-order 1, 2, 6, 5, 3, 4, 7). Used, according to the comm., by one desiring strength, in a *mahāçānti* ceremony called *mārudgaņī*, with a threefold amulet named *astrta*, being so prescribed by Naks.K. 19.

Translated : Ludwig, p. 462; Griffith, ii. 302. — Sce also Bergaigne-Henry, Manuel, p. 165.

I. Prajāpati bound thee first [as] unsubdued (*dstṛta*), in order to heroism; it do I bind for thee in order to life-time, to splendor, and to force and to strength: let the unsubdued one defend thee.

Astrta is literally 'not laid low.' In the two occurrences above (i. 20.4: v.9.7) it is accented on the final; but, as *dstrta* would be the normal accent, it is left here unchanged in our text, as well as in SPP's. The *pada*-texts read in a *badhnāt*, but that is no reason why we should not understand it as *abadhnāt*, and our text (not SPP's) so prints it. Our text further emends at the beginning of c the *tát* of the mss. to *tám*, as required by the gender of *astrtas*. The omission of *badhnāt* in a, and of *várcase* in the second half-verse, would make an *anustubh* (apart from the refrain); but the meter throughout the hymn is unusually careless of regularity, and Ppp. has both words, reading in a, b *badhnātu prathama sambhrtam*, and in d, e combining *varcaso 'jase* and *ca astrtas*. [With c, d, cf. iv. 10.7 c, d.]

2. Standing upright, defend thou this man unremittingly, O unsubdued one; let not the Panis, the sorcerers, damage thee; as Indra the barbarians, [so] do thou shake down them that fight [us]; overpower and scatter (vl) all our rivals: let the unsubdued one defend thee.

All the mss. [with unimportant variants] read in a tisthanta; SPP. emends to tisthatu, because the comm. reads the latter; our tisthan suits the connection decidedly better. [The vocative astrta and the  $tv\bar{a}$  are] perhaps sufficient reasons for our altering the raksann of the mss. (also of the comm. and Ppp.) into raksa, and the translation follows [the printed text of Berlin]. To humor his tisthatu, SPP. changes the

following dstrta (mss. dstrte 'mám; p. dstrta: imám) to dstrtas [but only in the padatext, so that his samhitā does not agree therewith]; our text simply emends to astrta[accentless]; the comm. also regards the word as vocative. Ppp. has instead sambhrta, [and, if I understand Roth's Collation, combines it with a following corrupt imanu, so as to read sambhrte 'manu]; and, in c, Ppp. has indri 'va. The majority of mss. accent prtanydtas. The Anukr. apparently means us to scan thus: 11 + 4 + 11 : 15 + 8 + 8 = 57.

3. Whom even a hundred, hurling (*pra-hr*), smiting down, have not subdued (*str*), to him Indra committed sight, breath, also strength : let the unsubdued one defend thee.

In a, the pada-mss. wrongly divide ca: ná, and SPP. follows them. In b, about a third of SPP's authorities read vighnántas; Ppp. has bhijanto. The yám that follows it is our emendation, plainly suggested by the meter, the requirement of the sense, and the accent of the verb; but the comm. and Ppp. agree with the mss. in lacking it. At the end of **b** our *tastriré* is an emendation (now supported by Ppp., which has the same reading) for the tastiré of the mss. (one or two have tasthiré), the comm. | but the ms. has *nirastire*], and SPP.; the comm. explains it as = tastarire, with Vedic omission of part of the ending : this is of his usual degree of insight ; what SPP. would do with his tastire is hard to see; the emendation to tastrire is obvious and unquestionable. For tásmin in c Ppp. gives yasmin. The mss. in general read páryadanta (p. pári : adanta), but two or three of ours, with the comm's text (SPP.), -ntal cd-; our emendation to pary adatta, now ratified by Ppp., is accepted by SPP. The comm's explanation implies pari yad antaç ca-; and he takes the yat as a form of root i (pari yat paryagamayat paripuritavān)! With the insertion of yam in b, the verse is a good pankti, as defined by the Anukr.

4. With Indra's defense (*várman*) we surround thee, who became over-king of the gods; let all the gods lead thee forward again; let the unsubdued one defend thee.

A part of the verse [pāda b, it would seem?] has fallen out in Ppp.; [this has *dhāmāi* for *dhāpayāmo*]. The meter is insufficiently defined by the Anukr. (13 + 11 : 11 + 8 = 43): [see introduction, above].

5. In this amulet [are] a hundred and one heroisms; a thousand breaths in this unsubdued one; a tiger, do thou attack  $(abhi-sth\bar{a})$  all [our] rivals; whoso shall fight against thee, be he inferior  $(\dot{a}dhara)$ : let the unsubdued one defend thee.

SPP. reads in **b** asmin; our asmin is given by a small minority of the mss.; the majority have *dsmin*, some *asmin*, one *asmin*. [The vs. (11 + 10:11 + 11 + 8 = 51) is a *tristubh* (defective in **b**), with the refrain added: see introd.]

6. Snatched out of ghee, rich in honey, rich in milk, thousand-breathed, hundred-wombed  $(?-y \acute{oui})$ , vigor-imparting, both wealful and delightful, both rich in refreshment and rich in milk — let the unsubdued one defend thee.

[We had a at 33. 2 a, above: cf. v. 28. 14.] Ppp. reads at the beginning ullabdhas; one or two of SPP's mss. have dúrluptas [cf. note to xviii. 2. 3], úrluptas. In b, all the authorities (save one or two) give sahásram prānás or sahásra prānāk (p. sahásram : prāndh);\* but the comm. implies (perhaps only by his usual neglect of accent) sahásraprānas, and SPP. reports one of his mss. as giving the same; and he accordingly follows us in adopting it; Ppp. reads with the mss. [sahasram prānah]. The comm. gives an extraordinary explanation of -yoni in b: yoniçabdena çatrusam-gamananimittam çatruviyojanasādhanam vā balam vivaksyate: that is, without any regard to the established meanings of yoni, he takes it here as a mere representative of the radical sense of the root yu ' unite' or of the root yu ' separate' — he does not venture to decide which! The metrical description of the Anukr. is fairly correct (11 + 12: 7+8+8=46). \*[These corruptions of the true sahásraprānas are noteworthy as examples of faulty half-way assimilation of a reading to something similar in the immediate context: here the cause of the confusion is plainly the sahásram prānāh of vs. 5 b.]

7. That thou mayest be superior, free from rivals, rival-slaying — mayest be controler of thy fellows — so may Savitar make thee: let the unsubdued one defend thee.

The mss., and SPP., read in a uttarás (p. utotarák); our text makes the necessary emendation to úttaras. In c, the same read asat; we emended to asas, and ought to have gone a step further and accented ásas, since the following táthā shows that the three preceding pādas are all alike under the government of yáthā; Ppp. has in c, with us, asas. Ppp. further combines 'so 'sapatnak in a-b, and reads tvā abhi in e.

### 47. To night: for protection.

[Gopatha. — navakam. mantroktarātridevatyam. ānusţubham: 1. pathyābrhatī; 2. 5-p. anustubgarbhā parātyagatī; 6. purastādbrhatī; 7. 3-av. 6-p. jagatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. vi. According to the comm., hymns 47 and 48 form a single "sense-hymn" (*arthasūkta*), and 49 and 50 another; and their use is prescribed in Pariç. 4. 3-5, as of two hymns, in a ceremony of worship of night.

Translated: Ludwig, p. 467; Zimmer, p. 179; Griffith, ii. 303.

I. O night, the earthly space  $(r \dot{a} j a s)$  hath been filled with the father's orderings  $(dh \dot{a} man)$ ; great, thou spreadest thyself  $(vi \cdot sth \bar{a})$  to the seats of the sky; bright darkness comes on  $(\bar{a} \cdot vrt)$ .

The verse is VS. xxxiv. 32, and is also found as first verse of a *khila* (Aufr., p. 682) to RV. x. 127, in both places without variant. [It is quoted in Nirukta, Dāivatakāņda iii. 29.] Ppp. reads in **b** *pitaraṣ prāyu dk*-, and in **c** *sudhānsi*. The comm. holds 'the father' in **b** to designate the "skyey world" (*dyuloka*), quoting the commonplace *dyāuḥ pitā prthivī mātā* in support of it; *dhāmabhis* he glosses with *sthānāiḥ saha*, and *tveṣam* with *dīpyamānam*. [For *dhāmabhis* we might perhaps better say 'by or in accordance with the orderings'; but Griffith understands it as 'wondrous works.']

2. She of whom the further limit is not seen, nor what separates; in her everything that stirs goes to rest  $(ni-vi_{\varsigma})$ ; uninjured may we, O wide darksome night, attain thy further limit — may we, O excellent one, attain thy further limit.

In a, SPP. accents *dådrçe*, without adding any note as to ms.-readings; it is perhaps merely an oversight, as all our mss. save one have plainly *dadrçe*, and this is the Atharvan accent (cf. x. 8.8), against RV. *dådrçe*, which is wholly anomalous. Ppp. reads

-xix. 47

yoyavad, and, in b, ni mişate rejati; its c is wholly corrupt. The comm. connects na yoyuvat with what follows, and explains it thus: na vibhajamānam vibhaktam nā "sīt kim tu viçvam ekākāram evā 'bhūt. The RV. khila, in vs. 4, has pāda e, twice repeated.

3. The men-watching lookers that are thine, O night, ninety [and] nine — eighty are they [and] eight, also seven [and] seventy of thine;—

In b, SPP's *pada*-mss. have *navatife*. In c, the decided majority accent *acitis*, and all *santi*, which SPP. emends to *santi*, thus changing "the ancient accent," and without sufficient reason, since *santi* is defensible, 3 c to 5 b inclusive being of the nature of a parenthesis, extending the *navatir náva* of 3 b. The *khila* [vs. 2] to RV. x. 127 has a corresponding verse [as also has  $\zeta \zeta S$ . at ix. 28. 10], with *yuktāsas* for *drastāras*, with *santu* in c, and [so the *khila*, at least] the accent *saptii* in d. The Atharvan mss. vary between *sāpta* and *saptā*; our text gives the former, SPP. the latter, which is better, as being the usual Atharvan accent, and having [about] half the mss. in its favor. The comm. explains the *drastāras* as "troop-gods" (*ganadevās*), and does not so much as hint at any connection with the stars, which nevertheless we cannot well question to be meant, in their various and manifold groupings. A *ca* after *astātú* in c would be a welcome addition to both meter and sense.

4. And sixty and six, O wealthy one; fifty [and] five, O pleasant one; four and forty, three and thirty, O mighty  $(v\bar{a}jin)$  one; —

Ppp. has in b naçamnihi, in d vādini.

5. And two of thine and twenty of thine, O night; eleven the least (avama) — with those protectors today do thou protect us, O daughter of the sky.

At beginning of **d** all the authorities [with one unimportant variant] read nd, which seems impossible. We emended it to nt (cf.  $nt \ pati$  in ix. 10. 23); SPP., following that blind guide the comm., reads nd; this is entirely unacceptable, both on account of the sense, and because nd cannot stand at the beginning of a pāda : cf. note to v. 6. 5. The authorities are much at odds as to the accent of *duhitar divas*, the majority having *duhitar divas*. Ppp. reads in b ratri ek.

6. Let no demon, [no] mischief-plotter master us; let no evil-plotter master us; let no thief today master our kine, nor a wolf our sheep; —

The mss. have at the beginning  $rdks\bar{a}$  (p. rdksa), as 2d sing. imperative, and pāda **d** of RV. vi. 71. 3 (the verse is found also in VS. [xxxiii. 69] TS. [i. 4. 24<sup>1</sup>] TB. [ii. 4. 4<sup>7</sup>] MS. [i. 3. 27]) gives the same, [as does RV. vi. 75. 10 **d**]. The translation follows our conjectured emendation, which, in view of the implausibility of the impv. rdksa standing so alone, has a right to consideration. Ppp. reads  $m\bar{a}kir$  no a.

The comm. reckons our 6 a, b as a whole verse [his 6]; our 6 c, d and 7 a, b as his vs. 7; our 7 c, d and 8 a, b as his vs. 8; our 8 c, d and 9 a, b as his vs. 9; and our 9 c, d and 10 as his vs. 10; thus making the sixth verse instead of the tenth to consist of two pādas only, while yet counting ten verses in the hymn. SPP., on the other hand [see his Critical Notice in vol. i., p. 24], counts vs. 6 as our edition does, but adds our 8 a, b to our vs. 7 to form his vs. 7, thus making it of six pādas; and then counts our 8 c, d and 9 a, b as his vs. 8, and our 9 c, d and 10 as his vs. 9. This is in accordance with

the Anukr. Lin that it gives six pādas to vs. 7 and makes a total of 9 vss. ], and is a decidedly preferable division to that in our text, which was founded on the numbering of our first mss., and it will be followed in translating here. The sense, however, would be still better suited by making vs. 6 of three lines, instead of vs. 7. LIn what follows, I give first the numeration of the Berlin edition, and then, in parenthesis, SPP's numeration.]

7, 8 a, b. (7.) Nor a robber our horses, O excellent one; nor the sorceresses our men.

By the most distant roads let the thief, the robber, run; by a distant one let the toothed rope, by a distant one let the malignant hasten (rs).

As to the division, see under the preceding verse. In the fourth pāda, part of the mss. accent *taskarás*. In the first, there is discordance among them as to the accent of *bhadre*. The 'rope with teeth' is of course the snake, as the comm. also has sense to see (*rajjuvad āyataḥ sarpādiḥ*). Our 8 **a**, **b** is identical with iv. 3. 2 **c**, **d**; and our 7 **c**, **d** resembles **a**, **b** of the same verse. [For *yātudhānyàs* the comm. reads the masculine, *nās*.]

8 c, d, 9 a, b. (8.) Do thou, O night, make the snake blind, harshsmoked(?), headless; grind up the two jaws of the wolf; cast  $(\bar{a}$ -han) the thief into the snare.

[Apart from the variation in c, the verse is identical with 50. I, below: see note thereon.] All the mss. (except, by accident, one of SPP's) at the beginning have *andha*; SPP. reads *adha*, with one ms. and the comm.; but *adha* is plainly out of place, and *andham*, as emended in our text (it should have been accented *andham*; [correct the misprint]), a very plausible correction. Our rendering of *trstadhuma* is mechanically accurate; probably the word is corrupt; Ppp. reads the pada *andho rātri tistadhuma*. The comm. explains as *ārtikārt dhumo vişajvālādhumo ni*. (ms. *vi*.) (*vāsadhumo vā yasya*; the translators understand *-dhuma* as "breath" or "odor"; [Griffith renders 'with pungent breath']. In c, d the mss. have *jambháyāsténa tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-). SPP. follows them and prints *jambháyās téna tám drupadé jahi* (but many of them have *-bhā*-).

\*[The assumption of an  $\hat{a}$  ( $\hat{a}$  ... jahi) after jambhaya is supported by ntrjahyāstėna ... jahi at 50.1 c, d, below, where the collocation is almost unequivocal (see the note); for although jahyās (as given by the pada-mss.) is a good optative of hā, the combination of hā with nis is hardly Vedic, and we must there assume the division nir jahyā ste, the locative drupadé fitting well with ā jahi (cf. i. 11. 4; x. 8. 4 c). The rationale of the corruption here is not hard to see: the hiatus between c and d being once covered by the fusion of the final of jambhaya with the  $\hat{a}$  of  $\hat{a}$  stendm, nothing was easier than to see a form jambhayās in the first part of the combination, and then to substitute tena for the vastly less common stendm or for the meaningless tendm (which might be read out of the combination: see Prāt. ii. 40 note); the exigency of the meter occasioned by the blunder with jambhayās then made the insertion of tâm easy. With the Berlin solution of the corruption, the meter is in perfect order. The interesting parallel from the Avesta, hām zanva zembayadhwem, Yasht i. 27, adduced by Geldner, KZ. xxx. 514, may here be noted.] 9 c, d, 10. (9.) With thee, O night, we stay; we shall sleep, do thou watch; yield refuge to our kine, horses, men (*púrusa*).

'Stay' (vas) means specifically 'spend the night.' In **b** the *pada*-mss. commit the incredible blunder of dividing *svapisyâm*: ási (or asi); some of the *samhitā*-mss. accent *svápisyâ*-; and all either *jāgrhi* or *jāgrhi*; SPP. follows us in violating the "ancient accent" and emending to *jāgrhi*. In **c**, Ppp. has *yachād aç*-.

#### 48. To night: for protection.

[As 47.— șat. ānusțubham : 1. 3-p. ārșī gāyatrī ; 2. 3-p. virād anusțubh ; 3. brhatīgarbhā ; 5. pathyāpañktı.]

Found also in Pāipp. vi., in connection with hymn 47, with which it also shares its liturgical application.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 305.

I. Now then what things we note, or what things are within the box, those things we commit to thee.

The first pada here differs widely from the traditional text, which instead of cdyamahe has ca yásmā (p. yásmāi) āha, or (a minority) yásmāha; the comm's text (according to SPP.) gives ca yasmā ha, but his explanation implies instead yasmāi (explained as = yasya); and SPP. accordingly reads in samhitā-text ca yásmā ha, and in pada-text ca : yásmāi : ha, which is altogether to be condemned, since the two texts must correspond, and ydsmā is also no word. SPP. in a note proposes further emendation of our cáyāmahe to ca yāmahe (= imahe or yācāmahe), which seems entirely unacceptable, as regards both form and sense. The whole verse is so obscure in meaning that we get little help from this element in reconstruction of the text; yet it is plain that we do not commit to another that which we do not possess, but are only wishing for. Ppp. also fails us; its reading of a, b is atho yāni tamassahe yāni cā 'ntas pareņihi. The yānivantah of b is by the pada-mss. strangely resolved into yanoiva : antah (but one of SPP's has p.m. y = antah. It is also strange that SPP. emends to  $c\bar{a}$  'ntah, on the sole authority of the comm., though as regards the sense there is nothing to choose between *ca* and *vā*. All the mss. accent párinahi (except our pada-mss., which have parináhi; and one of SPP's has s.m. pári: nahi); but SPP. follows our emendation parináhi. Nearly half the mss. have at the end dadhmasi, and Ppp. agrees with them. The comm. in his explanation connects the verse closely with 47.9: there one's | domestic | animals were spoken of, here one's very numerous house-articles (bahisthāni grhavartīni ... vastūni); and in two classes : those out in open sight (anāvrtadeçe), and those inside an enclosed house or the like (parito naddhe paricrite grhādāu).

2. O night ! mother ! commit thou us to the dawn ; let the dawn commit us to the day, the day to thee, O shining one (vibhāvarī).

Compare 50.7, below \*; also MB. i. 5. 15, where more such commitments are given. The comm. reckons the first division of the verse to vs. 1. The metrical definition of the Anukr. is mere arithmetic (12 + 10 + 8 = 30). The *pada*-text, both here and below, leaves *vibhāvari* undivided (RV. *vibhāovari*). \*[Also vi. 107. 1-4.]

3. Whatsoever flies here, whatsoever that is crawling (*sarīsrpd*) is here, whatsoever creature is on the mountain — from that do thou, O night, protect us.

The third pāda is wholly corrupt. All the mss. read parvatāyāsatvam (p. párvatāya:sáh:tvám), with some differences of accent (-yāsátvam, or -yāsátvám, or -yāsátvám); and the comm. and SPP. (in samhitā) follow them (SPP. -yāsátvam; but in p., by emendation, -tāya:asátvam, since the comm. so understands). Our text emends to párvany āsaktam 'what has fastened on the joint,' which seems extremely unsatisfactory. The translation above is perhaps hardly better, but it implies a text much closer to the mss. -párvata (i.e. -te, hence -tay) ā sattvám; and so it may pass for what it is worth; it is by no means proposed as a definite solution of the difficulty. Ppp. has padvad āsunvan, which gives no help. Ppp. also reads yadi kim three times.

4. Do thou protect behind, thou in front, thou from above and from below; do thou guard us, O shining one; here we are, thy praisers.

5. They who follow  $(anu-sth\bar{a})$  the night, and who watch over beings, who defend all cattle — they watch over our selves  $(\bar{a}tm\dot{a}n)$ , they watch over our cattle.

The mss. accent  $j\bar{a}grati$ \* in **d** and **e**, and in **d** the accent might well enough be retained, on the principle of antithesis; SPP. gives  $j\bar{a}grati$ , like us. Emendation to  $j\bar{a}gratu$  would be decidedly welcome in both pādas. Ppp. has considerable variations: for **b**, *yeşu bhūteşu jāgrabhi*,<sup>†</sup> and, for **d**, **e**, *tenā tvam asi jāgratu te naṣ paçubhir jāgratu*: corrupt, but supporting our proposed *jāgratu*. \*[It is very reasonable to suppose that in *jāgrati* at end of **d** and **e** we have two cases of assimilation of an original *jāgratu* to the *jāgrati* which stands correctly at the end of **b** (cf. note to xix. 43. 7), each case being doubly faulty, in respect, namely, of accent and of ending.] †[In Roth's Collation there is a note which perhaps means that Ppp. reads *jāgrati* for *rákṣanti* at end of **c**; but I am not at all sure.]

6. Verily I know thy name, O night; thou art "ghee-dripping"  $(ghrt dc\bar{i})$  by name; as such Bharadvāja knows thee; do thou watch over our property.

In b, Ppp. combines  $v\bar{a}$  'si; in c and d it reads, with our edition,  $tv\bar{a}$  and  $j\bar{a}grhi$ , while SPP. gives  $tv\bar{a}m$  and  $j\bar{a}grati$ , the latter for  $j\bar{a}grati$ , as all the mss. [save one] read; our emendation was a perfectly obvious one, and should have been followed by SPP. The comm., to be sure, reads *-rati*, but, by the simple application of his general rule, that any verb-form can be used for any other, he is able to declare it =  $j\bar{a}gartu$  —. which SPP. has too much knowledge and conscience to do. The *pada*-mss. have  $tv\bar{a}m$ ,  $tv\bar{a}m$ , and  $tv\bar{a}m$ ; our  $tv\bar{a}$  was an emendation, called for after  $t\bar{a}m$ . More than half of the mss. accent *bharadvājás*. In our text, the accent-sign printed over  $j\bar{a}$  in d should be shifted to over  $dh\bar{i}$ : it is a misprint.

### 49. Praise and prayer to night.

[As 47.\* — daçakam. ānustubham: 1-5, 8. tristubh; 6. āstārapaūkti; 7. pathyāpaūkti; 10. 3-av.
 6-p. jagatī.] \*[The Anukr. adds bharadvājaç ca (or bhārad-), apparently meaning that Gopatha and Bharadvāja were jointly the seers in the case of this hymn.]

This hymn and the following occur together also in Pāipp. xiv. Their *viniyoga* is the same with that of the two preceding hymns (see under hymn 47). They are translated together (but in reversed order) by Ludwig.

Translated : Ludwig, p. 466; Griffith, ii. 306.

I. The lively woman, household maiden, night, of god Savitar, of Bhaga, all-expanded, of easy invocation, of assembled fortune (?-cri), hath filled heaven-and-earth with greatness.

In a, the pada-mss. read dámūnā; SPP. emends to -nāh. In c, all the mss., with the comm. and SPP., read açvaksabhā (p. açvaoksabhā), which, as being unintelligible, our edition emends at a venture to viçvávyacās, and the translation follows the latter, for lack of anything better. The comm. gives two explanations: açu (= āçu) + aksa +bhā (= abhibhavati or tiraskaroti), meaning çīghrapravŗtticaksurādinirodhikā; or, alternatively, by analogy with vs. 4 c, açvaksā (= açvān ksāyati or kṣapayati) bhā (= dīptih) yasyāh sā: both as absurd as possible. Ppp. reads açvakṣarā. Many of the mss. read sámbhrtahçrīr, and the pada-mss. divide falsely sámobhrtaçrīh instead of sámbhrtaoçrīh; SPP. follows them. Ppp. reads sambhrtahçirā.

2. The profound one hath surmounted all things; the most mighty one hath ascended to the loftiest sky; the eager night spreads toward me like a friend with excellent *svadhås*.

The translation follows our text of the verse, which, however, is full of emendations, and by no means satisfactory. Nearly every ms. reads at the beginning dvi (one dvim, and one authority | SPP's reciter V., with impossible accent | *dbhi*), while Ppp. has abhi, which is also, except for the accent, an easier emendation for dvi. The comm. has ati. Nearly all authorities, again, have for verb in a *aruhat* (the accent is perfectly defensible as an antithetical one, and might well have been left in our text); but the reciter V. gives (*abhi*) *arhat*, thus agreeing in part with the (ati) arhat of the text of the comm.; | and one of W's mss. has *asahat* |. The explanation of the comm. reads atyarhati, which he glosses by atikramya wapya wartate, which is wholly without authority, since even the Dhātupātha gives only  $p\bar{n}/\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$  as the sense of *ath*. Ppp. has aruhat. SPP. goes half way with the comm., adopting áti . . . aruhat (unnecessarily abandoning the "ancient accent" of the verb). All authorities have viquani (but Ppp. only viçuā ar-); and all [save Ppp. again] have gambhīro, p. -rah, | but one of W's pada-mss. gives -rā, p.m. |, although the comm., against his own text (according to SPP.), explains gambhīrā. Here perhaps Ppp. brings help, reading gabhīro 'd vars-; this is better than our  $-r\hat{a} = r\hat{a} : \hat{a}$ . [The gabhīr $\hat{a}$  of the Berlin ed. seems to be a misprint for gambhirå, if we judge by the Collation Book and the Index Verborum : but it may be intended as an emendation, as it certainly is a metrical improvement. | SPP. reads gambhiró vá-, although gambhirás is simply unusable, and the change to -rá as easy as possible. Nearly all, including Ppp., read vársistham, [save three of SPP's authorities and one of W's, which have -sistam |. Then follows in nearly all aruhántas, p. aruhántah; but -húta is found in one, -hánta in two, and arháti is given by a reciter, with the comm.; the comm. has arhati, and explains it precisely as he did his ati ... arhati above; SPP. emends to aruhanta; our  $| \hat{a} \dots | dy \hat{a}m$  aruhat is very bold, but the case was a desperate one. Ppp. reads aruhad acravistha, and this, with emendation to dçramişthā (cf. RV. iv. 4. 12), makes acceptable sense. One of SPP's mss. has gramistha, but doubtless only by accident; all the other authorities, including the comm., have | apart from some unimportant details | gravistha, which SPP. emends to *cravisthah*. There was probably no sufficient reason for our changing *crav*- to *cav*in our text. The fairly acceptable and least altered version of the line would be this : abhí víçvāny áruhad gambhīró 'd vársistham aruhad áçramisthā. The third pāda is in equally bad condition. All the authorities [ with unimportant variants ], including

Ppp., have at the beginning  $u_{cat}^{i} r diry$  (a-), but the pada-mss. give r diri instead of r diri, as they should give, and as SPP's pada-text reads by emendation. What follows it the pada-mss. offer as dnuos dma: dr dhim (or dr dhim); in the samhit dirmss. the first word appears as dnus dma (once dnus dma) or (accentless, and so making one word with -dr dhim or -dr dhi) anus dma- or amnus dma-; and the second appears as -dr dhim, dr dr dhim, dr dhim

[I have made some modifications in the above paragraph which I could not well indicate by the ell-brackets. — For those who do not have the Bombay ed., it may be well to give SPP's reconstructions of the verse : first, the text of the comm. : ati viçvāny arhad gambhīro varsistham arhati çravisthā : uçatī rātry anusāmadrā vi tisthate mitra iva svadhābhih; second, the text which the comm. actually explains : ati viçvāny arhati gambhīrā varsistham arhati çravisthā : uçatī rātry anukṣaṇam vi tisthate mitra iva svadhābhih; third, SPP's reading : dti viçvāny aruhad gambhīro varsistham aruhanta çrávisthāh : uçatī rātry (p. rātrī) ánu sā bhadrā 'bht tisthate mitrá iva svadhābhih.]

3. O desirable, welcome, well-portioned, well-born one! thou didst come, O night; mayest thou be well-willing here; save thou for us the things that are produced  $(j\bar{a}td)$  for men, likewise what [are] for cattle, by prosperity  $\lfloor pustyd \rfloor$ .

[Or, '[and] prosperous,' if we read pusta.] The mss. all read in a varye, which we need not have altered to varye, as varya is found elsewhere as early as TB.; Ppp. has niryāi. Three of SPP's authorities and one of ours have vándye, [one has véde], the rest with Ppp., vánde; the comm. vade (= sarvāir abhistūyamāne). Ppp. has svajātā. In b, most of the mss. begin with  $\frac{d}{dj}\frac{d}{dgan}$  (one  $\frac{dj}{dgan}$ ; p.  $\frac{d}{di}$ :  $\frac{d}{di}$ :  $\frac{d}{dgan}$ ), and the true reading is in all probability a 'jagan, impf. intensive of gam; or, if left as "pluperfect," as in our edition, it should at any rate be  $\tilde{a}$  'jagan, as SPP., with the comm., reads. Rātri is our (evidently called-for) emendation for rātri of the mss., which SPP. follows. Syās, at the end of b, is also for syām of the mss., the comm., and SPP.; it is an obvious improvement, though not quite necessary | and receiving no support from Ppp.: see below |. Ppp. has a peculiar (and corrupt) version :  $\bar{a}$  (if *svajātā*, as quoted above, is for svajāta ā) cāgni rātri sumanā hy asyām. In c, the translation implies emendation of asmāns to asme, against all the authorities, including Ppp. and the comm. The pada-mss. read jātāh, their natural inference from the rare and anomalous combination jātā átho; SPP. emends to jātā. In d, Ppp. reads criyā instead of atho, and at the end pustyā, with all the mss. (they vary only as to its accent), and with SPP.; our conjectural emendation  $pust \dot{a}$  is supported only by the comm. | text and explanation |.

4. The eager night has taken to herself the splendor of the lion, of the stag, of the tiger, of the leopard, the horse's bottom, man's (*púruṣa*) roar (? $m\bar{a}y\acute{n}$ ); many forms thou makest for thyself, shining out.

The samhitā-mss. accent  $r\bar{a}$ :ry uçatî (p.  $r\bar{a}tri: uçat1$ ); SPP. emends, with us, to  $r\bar{a}try$  uçat1; the comm. also understands  $r\bar{a}trI$ . The mss. all | with triffing variations |

read pisdsya or pinsdsya (or sisdsya); SPP. accepts pinsdsya; the comm. gives pisdsya, which is doubtless only a bad spelling of our picdsya; [lion and stag are mentioned by these names together at RV. i. 64. 8; ] Ppp. has nipasya; and, at end of b, varcadhe. In c, all the authorities, including Ppp. [but not the comm.], offer bradhnám; the translation implies correction to budhnám,\* which is the reading of the commentator (he explains it as = milam) [and adds, acvaviryasya vego hi milam]; in vi. 38.4 we had the horse's vdja and man's mdy combined; and TB. (ii. 7.7<sup>1</sup>) in the corresponding passage reads krándye for vdje. The comm. explains mdy as cabdam dhvandam at the end.] \*[Cf. dcvabudhna, RV. x. 8.3; and Aufrecht on dcvabudhya, ZDMG. xxiv. 206.]

5. Propitious to me [be] night and [the time] after sunrise; be the mother of cold (*himd*) easy of invocation for us; notice, O well-portioned one, this song of praise, with which I greet thee in all the quarters.

The translation implies in **a** a new conjectural reading: civid me rativy anutsuryamic ca; an accusative is opposed by the connection, and the meter needs another syllable. Anutsurya is venturesome, but we had otsuryam, p.  $\bar{a} \circ utsuryam$ , above, at iv. 5. 7. At any rate, neither our text nor that of SPP. (civid m rativim anus  $\bar{u}ryam$  ca) seems to give any sense. Ppp. supports the mss.: civid m rativim ahni suryam ca; the majority of the samihita-mss. have civid m rativim ahi suryam ica arises the comm. has rativimahi, and understands it as rativi (voc.) mahi (= mahantam, and qualifying suryam /). [SPP. suggests civid rative mahi suryac ca.] In b, Ppp. has yamasya. In c, nearly all the mss. read acvid (or dcva) for asyd; and the pada-mss. treat it as an independent word; SPP. has asyd, with us. In d, a few mss. have vándye or vádye. Ppp. reads at the end viksu.

6. Our song of praise, O shining (*viblatvan*) night, like a king thou enjoyest; may we be having all heroes, may we become having all possessions, through (dnu) the out-shining dawns.

The mss. read at the end anūsásah (p. anuousásah); SPP. emends as we had done. Ppp., in **b**, **c**, **d**, has josasī yathā nas sarvavīrā bh.. The verse is very ill described by the Anukr.; it is a good paūkti with one syllable wanting in **c**.

7. Pleasant names thou assumest: — whoso desire to damage my riches, them, O night, do thou burn continually, so that no thief be found, so that he be not found again.

The translation follows our text, which is considerably altered from that of the mss. All of them, with the comm. and SPP., have at the beginning cdmya, for which our  $r\bar{a}mya$  is, so far as the written form is concerned, a very easy emendation. The comm. explains: "thou wearest the name camya = catrucamanasamartha": one of his usual absurdities. Ppp. reads for **a**, **b** cramya ha nāma taruse vimrchantī yo janān. There seems to be no good reason why dadhist should be accented. Nearly all the mss. give  $dh dn \bar{a}h$  at end of **b**; the comm. understands  $dhan\bar{a}$ , and SPP. reads it. For **c** the padatext is  $r\bar{a}tr\bar{i}$ : hitā [or  $hi: t\bar{a}$ ]: nah:  $sutaop\bar{a}$ ? The comm. understands instead  $r\bar{a}tr\bar{i}$ ? if  $t\bar{a}n$  asutap $\bar{a}$ , and SPP. follows him, making a new pada-text to correspond  $(r\bar{a}tr\bar{i}: hit i t\bar{a}n : asuotap\bar{a}$ ]. The comm. explains  $asutap\bar{a}$  as either "burning their life-breaths" (asu-tapa) or "badly burning" (a-su-tapa). Ppp. gives no help, reading [for our **c**, **d**, **e**: it is not clear just how much of the reading is to be assigned to **c**]  $r\bar{a}tri h\bar{i}rc\bar{a}na$ sadamātasteno anvavidyate. Our emendation is fairly acceptable; but the ht [which, with the imperative, hardly needs a separate word in translation] requires that we accent anutápa. For our yáthā, in **d** and **e**, the mss. and SPP. give the first time yás and the second time yát; the comm. both times yas; the meter and sense alike call for our emendation. Ppp. has for both pādas only ta steno anv avidyate, [which might (see above) be understood as atas steno etc.].

8. Excellent art thou, O night, like a decorated bowl; thou bearest [as] maiden the whole form of kine; full of eyes, eager, [thou showest] me wondrous forms; thou hast put on (*prati-muc*) the stars of heaven (divyd).

Of this verse also the translation is a make-shift, following in part the mss. and in part our conjectural emendations. In a the only point of question is the last word, which the mss. read as sistas (so the majority) or fistas or vistas | etc. |; the comm. has ustas (= bhojanārtham parivistas). Ppp. gives the whole pāda as bhadrā 'si rātris tapaso nu visto. In b, nearly all the mss. give vlçvam gorupam yuvattr | several have -tim | bibharsi (one has blbh-), and this the translation follows, alterations not seeming to supply a better sense. SPP., however, follows the comm. in offering vlsvan for vlqvam (in samhitā he prints it incorrectly vlsvam go-, as if there were an assimilated final in the case); | but in his Corrections at the end of vol. iv. he duly notes the error; | he would hardly accept the comm's interpretation, = visici (one gender for another); but how he would render it, it is hard to see. Ppp. reads viçvam gorūpam yuvatid vibharsi, but another hand has written above -tir bibha-. In c, nearly all the mss. (including the comm's text, as stated by SPP.) leave me unchanged before u(ati, and SPP. accepts it in his text, though against all rule and practice; two of our mss. have ma. All the authorities give cáksusmati, and this is followed by the comm. and SPP., and also by W. in the translation, therein departing from the emendation ('to me having eyes') of the Berlin ed. | Ppp. has for the pada caksusmati ve yuvati 'va rūpah. The translation supplies a verb, as seems necessary unless the text be still further altered. For **d** the general ms.-reading is práti tyån divya tákmā amukthāh (also tvám and tvá for tyám, and takmá: p. takmah or -máh); but the comm. offers práti truchin draya na ksam amukthah, and this SPP. accepts | accenting thus | and prints. Ppp. has pratyām dityām dityām arukşam amugdhah. The comm's version of the text is senseless, and his attempt to put meaning into it very absurd; it might suggest práti tváni divyá náksatrány amukthah. Our text ought to accent tárakā am-, if the reading is admitted.

9. What thief shall come today, [what] malicious mortal villain, may night, going to meet him, smite away the neck, [away] the head of him; ----

The two following  $p\bar{a}das [10 a, b]$  evidently belong to this verse rather than to verse 10; but our division is that of the mss. and the Anukr., and so is adopted also by SPP. The comm. inserts another line after our 9 a, b: yo mama rātri surāpa āyati sa sampiṣto apāyati; and then he divides the four lines that follow into two verses of four pādas each, giving eleven verses to the whole hymn. The majority of mss. accent martyás in b. The comm. reads harat for hanat at the end. Ppp. has yu dya stenā yutv aghāyu mriyo ripuh; and, in d, pra gīyasva pra. Pāda a is the a of iv. 3. 5, [of which the b recurs here as the second pāda of the comm's inserted line and also as the fourth pāda of our vs. 10]. 10. [Away] his feet, that he may not go; [away] his hands, that he may not harm.

What marauder shall approach, may he go away all crushed; may he go away, may he go well away; may he go away in a dry place (?).

# 50. To night: for protection.

#### [As 47. — saptakam.]

Follows also in Pāipp. xiv. our hymn 49. Has the same liturgical use as hymn 49. Translated : Ludwig, p. 465; Griffith, ii. 307.

1. Do thou, O night, make the snake blind, harsh-smoked, headless; smite out the eyes of the wolf; cast the thief into the snare.

This verse is nearly identical with that translated as 47.8 above (8 c, d and 9 a, b of the printed text). As there, the mss. have at the beginning ándha, which SPP., with the comm., changes to ádha; and all, in c, d, have nír jahyās téna \* tám [or truám] drupadé jahi in a manner analogous with the reading there. [The translation implies the division nír jahy  $\hat{a} \dots jahi$ : cf. my note to 47.8.] [Apart from some less important variants,] the mss. are divided, as often in such cases, between  $aksa\hat{u}$  and  $aksya\hat{u}$ , and SPP. chooses the worse,  $aksa\hat{u}$ ; our  $aksya\hat{u}$  is alone defensible. Ppp. omits (see note to 49.10) the first two words, and reads, as at 47.8, tistadhumam; [it begins the second line with hano vrkasya and ends it (as above) with nrpate jahi; what the intervening words are is not clear from Roth's Collation]. [Meantime Bloomfield kindly informs me that Ppp. reads the line thus : hano vrkasya nir jahy a tvāinam nrpate jahi: this gives no support for a jahyās (see note to 47.8); but the tvāinam obviously stands in some relation to the dvāinam of the Ppp. reading at 47.8, which is jambhayādvāinam.] \*[The pada-reading is nth: jahyāh: tcha.]

2. What draft-oxen thou hast, O night, sharp-horned, very swift, with them do thou today pass us always (vicvaha) over difficulties.

SPP. follows the mss. in the false accent *tikṣṇa*- in **b**. In **c**, **d**, he reads *pārayā 'ti* with us and with the comm. (also with Ppp.), [but against the mss., most of which] have *pārayaty áti*. In **b**, Ppp. gives -*çṛn̄gyāçvāsavaḥ*.

3. May we pass (*t*?) night after night receiving no harm with ourselves (*tanvà*); may the niggards fail to pass [it], as men without boats a deep [water].

Or *tanvā* belongs to *tarema*. The comm., against the accent and the sense, takes *drisyantas* as a future participle (= gamisyantas)! Ppp. reads in c, d apravāyusam na tarehur ar.

4. As the millet-seed, flying forth, blowing away, is not found, so, O night, make him fly forth who is malicious against us.

The mss. read in a mostly  $c\bar{a}my\bar{a}kas$  (so the comm. and SPP.), also  $cy\bar{a}my\bar{a}kas$  and  $cy\bar{a}m\bar{a}kas$ ; and have praphtan or prhpatan, with other chance variations; and in b, apavan (p. apaovan) or -van; in respect to this word, the translation [taking it as present participle of apavval follows them rather than our emendation aparan. None of the mss. accent *na*, but SPP. ventures [with the Berlin ed.] to emend the reading. At the beginning of c, the mss. all have  $et\bar{a}v$   $\bar{a}$  [several  $\bar{a}$ ] (p.  $et\bar{a}u : \bar{a}$ ); the comm. and SPP. (also Ppp.) agree with our eva. The majority of mss. have at the end -yanti. Ppp. has in a, b sānyākas prapatante divām nā 'nu-; in d it combines yo 'smān'.

5. Thou didst make the thief stay away, and the kine-driving robber, also him who, haltering the courser's head, tries to lead it [away].

The *pada*-mss. strangely read at the beginning ap dh; the rest | save one of SPP's, p.m., which has dpa | accent apd; SPP., as well as our text, emends to dpa, which the comm. also understands. All the mss. have  $v \dot{a} s a s$ , and the comm., and SPP.; our avāsayas is a bold emendation, but makes both good meter and good sense. Ppp. gives no help: apa stedam vāsamatham. In b, SPP. follows the comm. in reading goajám; most of the mss. give gor djam, but a part gordjas (p. gordjah ! but one ms. go 'jah [or goojah: that is go and jah with avagraha-sign between ], corrected to godjah). [Ppp. reads gotham.] The comm. absurdly takes goajám as a copulative compound,  $= g\bar{a} a j\bar{a} h c ca$ , and makes it and  $v \bar{a} s a s$  objects of *ntnisati*. The translation implies rather SPP's text than ours | that is goajám rather than gór ájam, I suppose |. | At the end, the *ninesati* of the Berlin text is doubtless to be corrected to *ninisati* (cf. the stems in W's Roots, Verb-forms, etc., p. 233-4): ninisati is read by Ppp., by W's O.D.I., and (since he reports nothing to the contrary) by all of SPP's authorities. From the Index Verborum and from the Roots (p. 91) it would appear that W's later judgment rejected the *ninesati* of the text and some mss., though he has overlooked the matter here. The desiderative | so distinctly calls for a prefix that one is tempted further to emend *atho* in c to *apa*.

6. When, O well-portioned night, thou comest today, sharing out what is good, [then] make us to enjoy that, that it go not away.

The translation follows our text, which is very different from that of the mss. and SPP. Our  $ady\dot{a}$  in a implies  $ady\dot{a}$   $\dot{a}$   $(\dot{a} \dots \dot{a}yas)$ , which all the *pada*-mss. have, while SPP., with the comm., treats it as only  $ady\dot{a}$  with the final lengthened by the usual Vedic license. In b all the mss. accent vi bhajanti [p. vi: bhajanti]; SPP. emends to vi·bhajanti, while our text means vibhajanti. The comm. understands -nti [supplying *catravas* as subject], and takes *áyas* after it as the noun, 'metal' (*ayomayam vastu*); to us *áyas* is verb. [Ppp. has *vasuh* at end of b.] In c the mss., the comm., and SPP., begin with  $y\dot{a}d$ ; we have emended it to  $t\dot{a}d$ . For d, most mss. have  $y\dot{a}the' d\dot{a}m \cdot n\dot{a}$  'nupāyási (p. anuopāyási); but one  $-y\dot{a}ti$ , one  $-d\dot{a}ny\dot{a}nu$ -; SPP. follows the comm. and prints  $y\dot{a}th\dot{e}'d$  anyān upāyasi (p.  $upa\circ\dot{a}yasi$ ), but in a note conjectures  $y\dot{a}th\dot{e}'d$  anyān  $n\dot{a}$  'pāyati ' that it go not unto others,' which is very acceptable, as giving a good sense

with less alteration of the original text than our version requires. Ppp. is wholly corrupt: *yathed yasmā nitājaya yathed anyān upāyatī*; but the last pāda favors SPP's conjecture.

7. Unto the dawn, O night, do thou commit us all, free from guilt; may the dawn bestow  $(\bar{a}$ -bhaj) us on the day, the day on thee, O shining one.

. The comm., two of SPP's reciters, and Ppp., read in c *bhajat*. Compare the verse 48. 2, above [and MB. i. 5. 15, there cited].

# 51. Accompanying acceptance (?).

# [Brahman.— dve. 1. ātmadevatyā ; 2. sāvutrī. 1. 1-p. brāhmy anustubh ; 2. 3-p. yavamadhyo 'sņih (1, 2. 1-av.).]

[Prose.] Both the "verses" of this hymn are quoted in Kāuç. 91. 3, in the *madhu-parka* ceremony, accompanying acceptance (*prati-grah*) of the offering. The second verse contains a formula very often used in the liturgical literature,\* and this formula, as far as *hastābhyām*, occurs several times in Kāuç., given in full, and not to be regarded as having anything to do with the verse here; the same is the case with the use of the formula in Vāit. 3.9. There is nothing to correspond to the hymn in Pāipp. \*[An idea of its frequency may be gained from the array of citations (fourscore or more) given by Knauer in his Index to MGS., p. 151 a. See the table on p. 896, and cf. p. 896, end.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 308.

1. Unrepelled (?*dyuta*) am I, unrepelled my soul, unrepelled my sight, unrepelled my hearing, unrepelled my breath, unrepelled my expiration, unrepelled my out-breathing, unrepelled the whole of me.

We unfortunately lack the comm's interpretation of dyuta, there being a lacuna in the ms. at this point; SPP. supplies sampūrņa, it does not appear on what authority, but certainly without the least particle of plausibility. [The count of the Anukr. (48 syllables) implies restoration of all the elided a's.]

2. In the impulse of the heavenly impeller  $(savit\hat{r})$ , with the arms of the Açvins, with the hands of Pūshan, I, impelled, take hold of thee.

To render (in the first clause) 'of god Savitar 'would hide the word-play between *prasava* and *savitr*. The syllables (if the *a* of *açvinos* is restored) count 10 + 11 + 6 = 27: a pretty poor *usnih*.

# 52. Of and to desire (kama).

### [Brahman. — pañcakam. mantroktakāmadāivatam. trāsstubham. 3.4-p.usņih; 5. uparistādbrhatī.]

Found also in Pāipp. i. Used \* in Kāuç. 6. 37, with xix. 59, at the very chd of the sections on the *parvan*-ceremony (hence perhaps a later addition?), to appease the fuel, in case there has been an omission of the due ceremony; again, in 45. 17 (with iii. 29. 7; vi. 71; vii. 67), after the end of the *vaçāçamana* ceremony (also here a later addition?), to accompany the acceptance of something; once more, in 68. 29, in the *savayajāas*, with the acceptance of the sacrificial gifts (*?sadaksinam*); and the Paddhati (note to

Kāuç. 79. 28) adds it at the end of the chapter of marriage ceremonies. The comm. notes the Kāuç. uses, but not the Paddh. one; and he adds one application, from Pariç. 10. 1, of this hymn with the two following and xix. 6 (the *purusasākta*), in the rite of presenting a golden image of the earth. \*[See table on p. 896.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 309. - Cf. ix. 2, above.

1. Desire here came into being (sam-vrt) in the beginning, which was the first seed of mind; O desire, being of one origin with great desire, do thou impart abundance of wealth to the sacrificer.

The first half-verse is nearly identical with RV. x. 129. 4 a, b [TB. ii. 4. 1<sup>10</sup>; 8. 9<sup>4</sup>; TA. i. 23. 1], which differ only by adding *ddhi* at end of a; the word is missed in our verse both for sense and for meter. Our text omits \* at beginning of c a sá (sá kāma) that is in both these respects superfluous; it is found, however, also in Ppp. The comm. explains kāmena brhatā to mean mahatā deçakālavastuparicchedarahitena kāmayitrā parameçvareņa. The last pāda is xviii. 1. 43 d. \*[And so accents kāma.]

2. Thou, O desire, art set firm with power, mighty, shining (*vibhåvan*), companion for him who seeks a companion; do thou, formidable, overpowering in fights, impart power [and] force to the sacrificer.

The second pāda nearly corresponds with RV. x. 91. 1 d, which, however, reads  $susidkh\bar{a} sakhīyate!$ . The authorities give sakha å sakhīyate! (or -te); and the padamss. make the very blundering division  $viobhāu: \bar{a} \circ sakhe: \dot{a}: sakh$ . [The comm. understands sakhīyate! (not as dative pple, but) as finite verb-form (3d sing., which would have to be accentless: he says bhavacchabdādhyāhāreṇa prathamapuruṣaħ.] SPP. follows the comm. in reading vibhāvā sakha å [SPP's pada-text is  $vibhāva: sakhe: \dot{a}]$ ; but he disagrees with the comm. by [making a participle of ] sakhīyate!, which he accents. The translation implies sakhā, i.e. sakhāāt. [We have å with the denominative cravasyāt at RV. v. 37. 3; but with the pple, å seems very strange; nor do I see how W. meant to take it. One is tempted to fall back on the RV. reading susakhā. In d, Ppp. has soho'jo yaj-

3. To him that desired from afar, that trembled on at the inexhaustible — the places  $(d_{\xi}\bar{a})$  listen to him; by desire they generated heaven.

It is of no consequence how this verse, especially the first half, is rendered; it is nothing but corrupt nonsense. The degree of its corruption may be seen by comparing it with the corresponding verse in TA. iii. 15. 1: sadyáç cakamānāya pravepanāya [Poona ed., -vepān-] mṛtyáve: prā 'smā āçā açṛṇvan kāmenā 'janayan púnah; neither version is translatable. The majority of authorities read in b pravipānāyākṣayé [or -ākṣaye] (the pada-readings are, for the first part, praviopānāya, and, for the second, āokṣaye or āokṣaye or āokṣaye); but some have pratipānāya [the lingual n of which seems to betray the ti as a corruption for vi] and praripānāyā. The comm. understands pratipāņāya and akṣaye, and SPP. follows him, accenting pratipāṇāya and dkṣaye, and reading in pada-text pratiopānāya (as if that would or could become in samhitā-text-pān-!); with his usual carelessness, the comm. takes -pāṇāya, in spite of its n, from root pā (= sarvatorakṣaṇāyā 'bhimataphalaprāpaṇāya : the latter equivalent looks as if he also saw something of pra-āp in it!). Ppp. has in b pratipāṇāyākṣe, in c āsmā 'çṛṇvann, and in d -janayat saha. The translation implies in b pravipāṇāyā' 'kṣaye. The Anukr. apparently scans the verse as 7 + 7: 7 + 7 = 28. 4. By desire hath desire come to me, out of heart to heart; the mind that is theirs yonder, let that come unto me here.

In TA. (iii. 15. 2) a corresponding verse follows the one quoted under vs. 3: kamename kama a 'gad dhfdayad dhfdayam mṛtyóh: yád amişām adáh priyám tád āt 'tu 'pa mām abht. SPP. has the same text as we, both deviating in **d** from the mss., which leave upa unaccented (p. upaomām); [but SPP's R. has úpa mām and the comm. also takes upa mām as two words]. Ppp. combines kāmā "gan in **a**.

5. Desiring what, O desire, we make to thee this oblation, let that all succeed with us; then eat  $(v\bar{i})$  thou of this oblation : hail !

The verse is found, [cited by *pratika* at Kāuç. 92. 30, and] written in full [sakala*pāţha*] at 92. 31, prescribed for use at the end of the madhuparka ceremony.\* SPP. gives the same text as we; the mss. leave *kṛṇmási* unaccented in **b**, and most of them accent *té* after it. At the beginning, all the *pada*-mss. [except possibly L., of which no note is made] very strangely read yátokāmaḥ, though no samhitā-mss. have kāmaḥ; [with the support of the latter, as also of the comm. and of Ppp., both ed's read yát kāma]. \*[See p. 897, ¶ 3.]

# 53. Praise of time (kālá).

#### [Bhrgu. — daçakam. mantroktasarvātmakakāladevatyam. ānustubham: 1-4. tristubh; 5. nicrt purastādbrhatī.]

This hymn and the following, which (as even the Anukr. [cf. introd. to h. 56] points out) are only two divided parts of one hymn, occur also in Pāipp. xiv. and xii. (53. 1-6 in xiv.; 53. 7 to 54. 6 in xii.). They are translated together by Muir, Ludwig, Scherman, and Bloomfield. As *kālasūkta*, they are used by Pariç. 10. 1 in connection with the preceding hymn ( $k\bar{a}mas\bar{u}kta$ ): see under that hymn.

Translated: Muir, v. 407; Ludwig, p. 191; Scherman, Philosophische Hymnen, p. 78; Grill, 73, 193; Deussen, Geschichte, i. 1. 210; Griffith, ii. 309; Bloomfield, 224, 681. — Cf. also Monier-Williams, Indian Wisdom 3, p. 25; Hillebrandt, Veda-Chrestomathie, p. 41. — The epic kāla-verses are in rather a different vein: cf. Bohtlingk, Ind. Sprüche, 1688-1709, 3193-6; Hopkins, in AJP. xx. 25, etc.

I. Time  $(k\bar{a}l\dot{a})$  drives (vah) [as] a horse with seven reins, thousandeyed, unaging, possessing much seed; him the inspired poets mount; his wheels are all beings  $(bh\dot{u}vana)$ .

The 'wheels' in **d** show that the 'mounting' in **c** is not on the back of the horse, but on the chariot drawn by him. Ppp. combines in **a** kālo 'çvo v-, and reads in **b** akṣaras, and in **c** vipaçyatas. There is nothing at all noteworthy in the exposition of the comm. [In d, read cakrāni?]

2. Seven wheels doth this time drive; seven [are] his naves, immortality (*amita*) forsooth [his] axle; he, time, including (?) all these beings, goes on as first god.

The principal difficulty is here in the third pāda, where our  $arv d\bar{n}$  (though accepted by all the translators without any heed to the ms.-readings given at the foot of the page) is a very bold and questionable emendation, most of the mss. (with the comm. Lthe ms. has  $a\bar{n}jan* \rfloor$  and SPP.) giving instead  $a\bar{n}jat$ , a few  $a\bar{n}jat$ , and some of ours

987

anyat or ayat (evidently accidental and unimportant variations); Ppp. has anjan [i.e. bhuvanānyanjan]; arvān is not to be accepted as at all satisfactory, much less authoritative; it is no proper antithesis to pratyan  $\dagger$  in 3 c, nor construable with the accusative. The translation, for a venture, implies bhúvanā nyanján, evolving a sense for nyanján out of nyàkta 'inherent'; it may pass for what it is worth. All the mss., and SPP., read in a cakrān [and so does Ppp.]; the comm. has cakrā 'nu vahati. The redundancy of syllables in d could be easily remedied either by omitting the superfluous sá (left out in the translation) or by reading *irte* for *iyate* [or by reading sé "yate with double samidhi as Ppp. suggests]. Ppp. reads kāle sāiyyate. The comm. has in b amrtam tanv aksah. [For the nú of d, the ms. of the comm. has in fact u.] \*[The comm. has in one place anjat, explained as anjan; and, in another, the ms. of the comm. has (as noted) anjan, which SPP. prints as anjat = prerayan !]

 $\dagger$  It is a curious fact that Whitney here anticipates and parries the very argument in favor of the Roth-Whitney emendation  $arv\dot{a}\bar{n}$  which Bloomfield later adduces, SBE. xlii. 684. W. may have written this in 1893 or thereabouts. Bl's translation appeared in 1897.

3. A full vessel is set upon time; we indeed see it, being now manifoldly; it [is] in front of all these beings; it call they time in the highest firmament (*vydman*).

[For '[is] in front of 'W. interlines the alternative 'faces toward.'] All the mss. [save W's P.: santúh] read at end of **b** santás, and SPP. retains it, without even changing its false accent; the comm. glosses it with satpurusās, or, in an alternative explanation, with sadrāpabrahmopāsakās. Our emendation to sántam is supported by Ppp., which gives ni santam. We also emend the páçyāmas of the mss. (and SPP.) to paçy-. Ppp. combines in **a** to adhi kālā "hitas, and reads in **c** pratyam. The highly obscure 'full vessel' is thus illuminated (?) by the comm. : pūruah sarvatra vyāptah kumbhah kumbhavat kumbho 'horātramāsartusamvatsarādirāpo 'vacchinno janyah kālah.

4. He indeed together brought beings; he indeed together went about (*pari-i*) beings; being father, he became son of them; than him verily there is no other brilliancy that is higher (*pára*).

The position of sdm in **a** and in **b** is so strange that we are tempted to emend both times to sdn 'being';\* one *pada*-ms. reads sdn in **a**, but this can count for nothing. The comm's exposition omits sdm in **a** [there seems to be a gap in the ms.], but duly treats it (*samyak parigacchati*) in **b**. Ppp. reads in **b** *sa yava sam parigāți*. [With **c** (*pitā* ... *putrás*), cf. the note to 54.3 **a**.] The Anukr. takes no notice of the metrical irregularities. \*[In that case, perhaps we might render *sd evá* by 'the same.']

5. Time generated yonder sky, time also these earths; what is and what is to be stands out sent forth by time.

SPP. reads in a amain with a small minority of the authorities, and with the comm.; Ppp. also has it, [combining 'mām]. For **b**, Ppp. gives  $k\bar{a}l\bar{a}i$  'mām prthivīm uta. In **c**, our  $k\bar{a}l\acute{e}na$  was an emendation, for the  $k\bar{a}l\acute{e}$  ha \* of the mss. (which SPP. follows); we find the former now supported by Ppp. In **d**, the mss. have havis (p. havih) for ha vit; the text of SPP. follows us in emending to the latter, which the comm. also gives; Ppp. reads (ca) esatam ha vi ii. The metrical definition of the Anukr. is not to be approved. \*[Probably a faulty assimilation to the reading of vs. 6 c.] 6. Time created the earth; in time burns (tap) the sun; in time [are] all existences; in time the eye looks abroad.

Our *bhūmim* in **a** is an emendation for the *bhūtim* of the mss.: SPP. accepts *bhūtim*; the comm. explains it as = *bhavanavaj jagat*. Ppp. reads *bhūtam asrjat*; it also omits *ha* in **c**.

7. In time is mind, in time is breath, in time is name collected (sam- $\bar{a}$ dh $\bar{a}$ ); by time, when arrived, all these creatures (praj $\bar{a}$ ) are glad (nand).

This and the remaining three verses of the hymn are found in Ppp. xii., with the following hymn, without any [real] variants in the four verses.

8. In time is fervor, in time is what is chief, in time is the *brdhman* collected; time is the lord (*içvará*) of all, who was father of Prajāpati.

All the *pada*-mss. except one, resolve *pitāsīt* in **d** into *pitā* :  $\bar{a}s\bar{s}t$ ; SPP. reads  $\bar{a}s\bar{s}t$ . In spite of the repetition of  $k\bar{a}l\dot{e}$  between, *jyėstham* and *brahma* may be conjectured to belong together: cf. x. 7. 24, 32-34; xi. 5. 5, 23. [Note that the usual RV. accent is *jyėstha*, and that the usual AV. accent is *jyėstha*; and that AV. has the RV. accent only in books xix. and xx. and in a RV. passage, at v. 2. 1.]

9. Sent by it, born by it, in it is this (*tât*) set firm; time, becoming the *bráhman*, bears the most exalted one.

We should expect *janitam* 'generated' in **a**. The comm. understands *işitam* as *iştam* or *kāmitam*.

10. Time generated progeny, time in the beginning Prajāpati; the self-existent Kaçyapa from time, fervor from time was born.

The comm. identifies Kaçyapa with the eighth sun as taught in TA. i. 7. 1. [Cf. Bloomfield's remarks, at AJP. xvii. 403, on the kaçyapah paçyako bhavati of TA. i. 8. 8.] Ppp. combines in b kālo 'gre.

# 54. Praise of time.

[Bhrgu etc. (as 53). — fañcakam. 2. 3-p. ārsī gāyatrī ; 5 [ 5 and 6 of the Berlin ed.]. 3-av. 6-p. virād ast: ]

Properly a part of the same hymn with the preceding, and found with vss. 7-10 of the latter in Pāipp. xii. See under hymn 53.

Translated: Muir, v. 409; Ludwig, p. 191; Scherman, *Philosophische Hymnen*, p. 80; Deussen, *Geschichte*, i. 1. 212; Griffith, ii. 311; Bloomfield, 225, 687. — As to the verse-division, see under vss. 2 and 5, and SPP's Critical Notice, vol. i., p. 24.

1. From time came into being the waters; from time [came] the *bráhman*, fervor, the quarters; by time the sun rises; in time he goes to rest (*ni*-viç) again.

All the mss. save two of SPP's read *abhavat* at end of a; SPP. also as well as the Berlin ed. gives *-an*, with the comm., and with Ppp. In b, the comm. reads *vrata-tapas* for *brahma tapas*.

2. [Comm's 2 a, b, c.] By time the wind cleanses (*pavate*); by time the earth [is] great; the great sky in time [is] set.

989

A part of the verse is lost in Ppp. The comm. adds the first two pādas of our vs. 3 to this one, and makes then the three remaining verses of four pādas each. This makes a decidedly better division, so far as the sense is concerned; but the mss., the Anukr., and SPP. agree in the division given in our text (except as regards vs. 6), and it is accordingly retained here.

3. [Comm's 2 d, e.] Time, [their] son, generated of old what is and what is to be. [Comm's 3 a, b.] From time the verses  $(\hat{r}c)$  came into being; the sacrificial formula (ydjus) was born from time;—

In the first half-verse, the translation follows the mss. [they read  $k\bar{a}l\delta$  and  $putr\delta$ ], rather than our emendations  $\lfloor k\bar{a}l\ell^*$  and  $m \delta n tr \delta$ ], which seem more venturesome than there is reason for; and departs from them only in assuming at the end  $pur\hat{a}$ , instead of  $p \dot{u} r a s$ , as the mss. in general read ( $p u r \hat{a}$  is accepted by both editions, and is supported apparently by Ppp., and to a certain degree by one of SPP's mss. which has  $p \dot{u} r a$ ). Perhaps  $p \dot{u} n a \hbar$  [which Whitney's I. actually has ] is a yet more plausible substitute for  $p \dot{u} r a \hbar$ . Ppp. has  $k \bar{a} l e n a b \hbar a t a m j a nayat$ : [so Roth's Collation: I take it to mean " $k \bar{a} l e n a b \hbar a t a m j a nayat$  in b"]; and the comm. also has at the beginning  $k \bar{a} l e n a b h \bar{u} t a \bar{d}$  for explanation. In c, part of the mss. have *abhavat*. \*[As  $k \bar{a} l \delta$  is given by all the mss. collated by W. before publication,  $k \bar{a} l \ell$  was indeed an emendation; but some of SPP's authorities do have  $k \bar{a} l \ell$ .]

4. [Comm's 3 c, d.] Time set in motion  $(sam - \bar{i}r)$  the sacrifice, an unexhausted portion for the gods. [Comm's 4 a, b.] In time are set firm the Gandharvas-and-Apsarases; in time the worlds;—

In a, the translation implies  $k\bar{a}l\dot{a}s$ , with all the authorities, but  $\bar{a}irayat$ , against nearly all of them; the comm. gives  $\bar{a}irayat$  and SPP. accepts it; [and it is supported by his ms. P. (which has  $\bar{a}irayan$ , p.m., corrected to  $\bar{a}irayat$ ) and by Ppp's  $\bar{i}rayat$ ]. Ppp. reads  $k\bar{a}lo yaj\bar{n}o sam \bar{i}rayat$ , and has at the end  $sam\bar{a}hit\bar{a}h$ .

5 and 6 of Berlin ed. = 5 of Bombay ed. Comm's 4 c, d. Upon time stand this heavenly Angiras and Atharvan. [Comm's 5 a, b.] Both this world and the highest world, and the pure (*pinya*) worlds and the pure separations — [6 of Berlin cd. : Comm's 5 c, d.] all worlds by the *bráhman* having conquered, this time goes on as highest god.

Verses 5 and 6 of our edition are here combined into one, on the authority of the Anukr. and part of the mss., and in accordance with SPP. Of the mss. compared by us before printing, all made a sixth verse of the last two pādas. In **a**, the mss. read  $k\bar{a}leyam \lfloor W$ 's O. has  $k\bar{a}leyam \rfloor$  (p.  $k\bar{a}leyam$  or  $k\bar{a}leyam$ )  $\lfloor SPP$ 's pada-ms. Cp., here unaccented, has  $k\bar{a}leyam \rfloor$ ; SPP. emends the pada-text to  $k\bar{a}le':ayam$ , but strangely presents a samhitā-text inconsistent with this, namely  $k\bar{a}le':yam$ , instead of  $k\bar{a}le':yam$ , as our edition reads. All the mss. read divd `th- in **a-b** (p. divdh), but SPP., on authority of the comm., emends to devd `th-, and the translation follows this; Ppp. also reads devo `th-. LAt the end of **b**, the comm. reads tisthati. In **d** a number of the mss. give vldhrtinc ca; the obscure word is passed over lightly by the comm., who simply glosses it with lokadhārakān. The last pāda is identical with 53. 2 **d**, Lave that it has paramó where 53. 2 **d** has prathamó ], and Ppp. combines, as there, sāiyyate.

natural count of syllables (8 + 8: 11 + 11: 11 + 12 = 61) comes three short of a full *asti*. Lthe *jagati* cadence of e suggests that something is missing (read sárvānc ca lo?) in that pāda; and f is to be read (like 53. 2 d, with Ppp. double samdhi) as 11 syllables.

[Here ends the sixth anuvāka, with 9 hymns, and with 63 verses as they are numbered by the Berlin text and summed up by certain mss.; but the Anukr. counts 9 and 5 verses (instead of 10 and 6) in hymns 47 and 54 respectively, which makes the sum 61 instead of 63.]

# 55. To Agni.

[Bhrgu. — şadrcam. āgneyam. trāistubham : 2. āstārapaāktu; 5 li.e. 5 and 6 a, b of the Berlin ed. ]. 3-av. 5-p. purastājjyotişmatī.] [Of 6 ( = 6 c, d, 7 a, b of Berlin ed.), the definition is lacking : see my note to vs. 6.].

Only the first verse is found in  $P\bar{a}ipp.$  (in xx.). The comm. points out that the hymn is plainly meant to be used in the early morning worship of Agni, but quotes no authority. [As to a seventh verse, see SPP's Critical Notice, vol. i., p. 24, and see under vss. 5 and 7.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 363; Griffith, ii. 312.

I. Night after night bringing to him without mixture, as fodder to a horse that stands, let not us, O Agni, thy neighbors, receive harm, reveling with abundance of wealth, with food  $(l_{S})$ .

The verse corresponds nearly with VS. xi. 75, also with a verse in TS. iv. 1. 10<sup>1</sup> and MS. ii. 7. 7. VS. begins a with *dhar-ahar* (but CB. vi. 6. 4<sup>1</sup> | like KCS. xvi. 6. 2 | gives a pratīka with rātrīm-rātrīm instead), TS. reads with us, and MS. has rātrīm-rātrīm [and repeats the pratika at iii. 1. 9, p. 1212]. At end of **b**, all have asmāi unaccented. In d, all put ágne at the beginning, 'gne må te práti-. In a, all the authorities have *aprayātam* | an isolated *-tum* counts for nothing |, and so has the text of the comm., according to SPP., who prints *aprayātam*. But the comm. in his explanation has aprayāvam, which he glosses by apracchidya or sāmtatyena | which harmonizes well with the sadam it of iii. 15.8 a |; | the six Yajus texts just cited (both verses and pratikas) all read *aprayāvam*, on which the Berlin emendation \* rests |. | Weber, Ind. Stud. xvii. 251, cites K. xvi. 7 as reading rātrīm-rātrīm, with the rest as in VS.; and Knauer, Index to MGS., p. 155, adds K. xix. 10 and Kap. S. xxx. 8. ] The second half of our iii. 15.8 above agrees precisely with our c, d here; the first half differs a good deal, [ having for a viçuấhā te sádam tả bharema, and ending b with jātavcdah instead of ghāsám asmāi |. Ppp. has in a aprayāmam, at end of b agne for asmāi (as in our vs. 7), and in d'gnāi mā te pr- (intending the same as the Yajus texts).

\*[Griffith's version of dprayavan is 'with care unceasing' (AV.VS.); and Eggeling's is 'unremittingly' (CB.); so also W. at iii. 5. I (see the note); and in his *Roots* he connects yavan only with root yu 'separate'; one does not see why he departs from that here: nevertheless, the sense 'mix' is well avouched for the root yu 'unite' with pra by JUB. i. 8, yatha madhunā lājān prayuyād evam (see JAOS. xvi. 88 and 228). — I may add in the proof that even the Anukr. reads aprayātam, but that the Yajus readings, and the sádam *it* (W. 'constantly') of iii. 15. 8, seem to place the Berlin emendation beyond doubt: and that the Ppp. reading aprayucchan at iii. 5. I helps to establish for the Vulgate not only the form dprayāvam as gerund, but also the meaning 'without being careless' or 'unremittingly' as against 'without mixing.'] 2. Of thee that art good what arrow [is] in the wind, this is that of thine; therewith be gracious to us. Let not us, O Agni, thy neighbors, receive harm, reveling with abundance of wealth, with food.

The translation follows the text of the mss. rather than our emendations, as the latter afford no more satisfactory sense than does the former. Several authorities, however, read yāta instead of vāta (one has vāca; one isuh, two isuh; [and so on]): the padamss. divide vātah : isuh : sā. The comm's text has isa; but how his explanation is related to the text is hard to see : he agne vāsakasya tava yā 'nugrahabuddhir annapradasya yā cā 'nugrahabuddhis tayā 'smān sukhaya; that is all.

3. Evening after evening [is] Agni our house-lord; morning after morning [is he] giver of well-willing; be thou giver of good to us of every kind; may we, kindling thee, adorn (pus) ourselves.

The third pāda is literally 'of good thing after good thing be thou giver of good.' The *pada*-mss. divide *vasuodānah* : *edhi* instead of *vasuodāh* : *nah* : *edhi*, as is implied by our text; the meter makes us suspect that the true original reading was *vasudāno na edhi*. The fourth pāda is v. 3. 1 b etc. (see under that verse). [Cf. vs. 4.]

4. Morning after morning [is] Agni our house-lord; evening after evening [is he] giver of well-willing; be thou giver of good to us of every kind; kindling thee, may we thrive (*rdh*) a hundred winters.

In **d** the mss. read *catámhimās* (p. *catámohimāh*); the comm. takes it as two words, *catam himās*, Lignoring the accent]. [With pāda **d**, cf. RV. i. 64. 14 **d**.] [Cf. vs. 3.]

5. May I be one not falling short of food; to the food-eating lord of food, to Agni [as] Rudra be homage.

Here also there is discordance as to the verse-division; the Anukr. | and comm. | further add to vs. 5 what in our edition is 6 a, b, and then make one verse of what remains of the hymn; and SPP. follows them. The translation adheres to our text (which represents all the mss. till that time known to us), especially because its division seems better suited to the sense. At the beginning, all the authorities, and SPP., have *apaçcādag*dhannasya, divided by the pada-text into apaçca : dagdháoannasya (or -gdhaoán-); but Whitney's W. has daghant-; his M. has dagdhvann-; and his P. has dagghvant- or possibly *dagdhvānt*, it is not clear which: at any rate, in P. and M. there is a v before the  $\bar{a}$ ; the comm. understands *apaçcādag dhā 'nnasya*, and solemnly explains it as meaning: annasyā 'paçcā[dagdhā] paçcādbhāge 'dagdhā sthālīprsthabhāge dagdhānnarahitah / The correctness of our conjectural emendation to *apaçcādaghvā 'nnasya* is put beyond question by the occurrence of a corresponding phrase, *apaçcāddaghvå 'nnam* bhūyāsam, in MS. iii. 9. 4, p. 12017, and also in Ap. vii. 28. 2.\* Part of the mss. accent bhūyāsám. In b, all SPP's authorities [ save one ], and most of ours, give annādayo 'nn-(variously accented : p. annaoadáyah), apparently a case of misunderstanding of ayā as yo after the Bengālī method of writing o; † but two of our mss., P.M., have annādāyā '*nn*-, which is the reading of our text; the comm. likewise understands  $-d\bar{a}ya$ , and SPP. also accepts it in his text.

\* The phrase *d-paçcād-daghvane náre* occurs at RV. vi. 42. 1; TB. iii. 7. 10<sup>6</sup>; Ap. xiv. 29. 2; compare *apaçcā-daghvane naral*, at SV. i. 352, ii. 790. It may be worth noting that the comm. to TB. brings the epithet into connection with food, explaining the phrase as 'a man devoid of brightness (i.e. dull) after his meal, unable to digest what he has

-xix. 56

eaten,' paçcād bhakşottarakālam dīptirahitāya, bhakşitam jarayitum asamarthāya. He seems to connect a-...daghvan (= dīptirahita) with dah; but BR. and W., with Sāyaṇa on RV., derive it from dagh: cf. RV. i. 123. 5 c; vii. 56. 21 b.]  $\dagger$ [Cf. SPP's notes to xviii. 4. 48; xix. 32. 10; 48. 1; 56. 3.]

6. O thou of the assembly, protect my assembly (*sabhå*), and [them] who are of the assembly, sitters in the assembly; having much invoked thee, O Indra, may they attain their whole life-time.

The translation is to be taken simply for what it is worth, as it does not follow the mss., nor either printed text. At the beginning, the mss. [except several of W's, which have the impossible sabhyá], SPP., and the comm., read sabhyás, which might well enough have been left by us unchanged, save for accent (viii. 10. 5 sábhyas). But the mss. read sabhyás again later [save two of W's, which have sabhyás]; this time SPP. emends to sabhyás (should be sábhyās, with us?), since the comm. has this. In c, the mss. in general give tvám indrā (or indrā) puruhūta; and SPP. adopts tvám indrā (or indrā) puruhūta; and SPP. adopts tvám indrā (p. indra) puruhūta; our conjecture, tváyé'd gåh puruhūta, seems too violent, and the translation implies tvām indra puruhūya, with açnavan at the end, while the mss., and SPP., have açnavat (the comm. has the same, unblushingly explaining it as = prāpaya, a mere substitution of one person for another!), and our text emended to -vam, an ungrammatical but not wholly unprecedented form. [The London ms. of the Anukr. adds as the pratīka of its ws. 6 tvam indrā puruhūtye 'ti (our 6 c: note the reading), but gives no metrical definition: the Berlin ms. does not even give the pratīka.]

7. Day after day taking tribute to thee, O Agni, as fodder to a horse that stands [, let not us, O Agni, thy neighbors, receive harm, reveling with abundance of wealth, with food  $(i_s)$ ].

None of the mss. have the second half-verse; it was added because it seemed called for by the first half, as in vss. I and 2. That the comm. and part of the mss., and so also SPP., in agreement with the Anukr., make only six verses in the hymn, was explained above under vs. 5. A majority of the mss. accent bålim in **a** (including all those used by us before publication), and so the error has got into our text; SPP. has correctly balim; some leave hárantas without accent; the comm. and a ms. or two have *ttye* for *tt te* (= $pr\bar{a}ptanye$  grhe vartamānāyā 'gnaye, comm.). All the mss. have in **b** jātám instead of ghāsám; but the comm. has the latter, and it is therefore read in SPP's text as well as in ours.

# 56. To sleep (or dream).

### [Yama. - satkam. dāusvapnyam. trāstubham.]

Found also in Päipp. iii. The comm. quotes no authority as to the *viniyoga*, but points out that the hymn is shown by its content to belong to the ceremony for getting rid of *duhsvapna* (evil-dreaming.' He holds, namely, throughout the hymn, that *svápna* means *duhsvapna* (in the Atharvan always *dusvápnya*); and the language is too obscure to show clearly whether he is right or not; the probability is certainly against him, because elsewhere (e.g. in the next hymn), when evil-dreaming is intended, its own name is freely used, and in xvi. 5 *svapna* is contrasted with *dusvapnya*. [As in the case of hymns 53 and 54, the Anukr. suggests that the hymns 56 and 57 are only two divided parts of one group of 11 verses; and the suggestion is reinforced by the juxtaposition in the RV. text (viii. 47. 15 and 17) of matter corresponding to our 56. 4 and 57. 1 (see under those verses); and hymns 56 and 57 are translated together by Ludwig.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 467; Griffith, ii. 313.

I. Out of Yama's world hast thou come hither  $(\bar{a}-bh\bar{u})$ ; with mirth (?) dost thou, wise, make use of (pra-yuj) mortals; knowing, thou goest in alliance (sarátham) with the solitary one, fashioning  $(m\bar{a})$  sleep in the lair (yóni) of the Asura.

If prámadā (p. práomadā) is to be rendered as above, it must have its accent changed to pramádā; the comm., against the pada-text, understands it as pramadās = striyas, joint object with mártyān of prá yunakşi. One or two mss. read mártān in b. The comm. renders dhīras by dhṛṣṭas. [Apart from W's P.M.W., which have svāpnam,] all the mss., the comm., and SPP., read svapnam in d, and the translation follows this rather than our svapna, willing, in so obscure a matter, to stick as closely to the authorities as possible. Ppp., to be sure, gives svapna mi-, but this counts for very little. The comm. understands the verse to be addressed to the demon of ill-dreaming (he duḥsvapnābhimānin krūra piçāca); but his explanations through the whole hymn are worthless, being only the etymologizing guesses of one to whom the real sense is precisely as obscure as it is to us: asura is prāṇavant ātman; the 'lonely one' is the man who is dying of the effect of evil-dreaming, having abandoned son, wife, relatives, etc.; yāsi means yamalokam prāpayasi; and so on, and so on. [Griffith cites "Death and his brother Sleep" of Shelley's Queen Mab (it is found also at Iliad xiv. 231) and "the twins, Sleep and Death," Il. xvi. 682.]

2. The all-vigorous bond saw thee in the beginning, in the one day before the birth of night; from thence, O sleep, didst thou come  $(\bar{a}-bh\bar{u})$  hither, hiding thy form from the physicians.

In this verse also, for the reason given above, the translation follows the mss. more closely than does our text. Nearly all authorities have at the beginning bandhás; a couple | the reciters, K. and V. ], with the comm., bandhús (wrong accent | as in vs. 5 ]); Ppp. reads bambhas. All have viçvácayās (p. viçváocayāh), though in some of them the c could be read as v; the comm. is able to make a sense for it: sarvasya cetā, sanicetā, srastā; the translation implies vi $(vidvay \bar{a}s, as$  the smallest possible intelligible change ; Ppp. presents viçvavathāv | and apaçyan |. The pada-mss. divide in b rấtryā : janitah : reke ; the comm. understands, with us, ratryah : janitoh : eke ; and SPP. substitutes this in his pada-text. Eke ahni | which Ppp. combines to eke 'hni | might, of course, also be understood as locative absolute. Our tâtas at beginning of c was an emendation for tava of the mss.; the comm. has it (also Ppp.), and SPP. accordingly also adopts it in his text. The whole pada reads in Ppp.: tatas svapnena madhyā ca bhāyatha. In d all the mss. have bhiságbhya r-, and the pada-mss. bhiságbhyaorūpam (!); only one or two give an accent to rupám; the comm. understands bhiságbhyo rupám, and SPP. reads this; the translation follows it. There is much discordance as to the accent of apagühamānas. Ppp. reads bhisajāa rūpam apigūh. The comm. is a grammarian of such sort that he does not accept *ike* as used here for the more regular *ekasmin*; but he does accept *ahni* as used, by the ordinary license to put one case in place of another, for ahnas, coordinate in construction with ratryas; and eke means mānasaprajāpatyādayas, and is subject of apaçyan understood! The evil-dreaming hid itself away from the medic.ne-men, says the comm., lest they should meet it with an efficacious remedy; and something like that is possibly the real meaning.

3. He of great kine (?) turned unto the gods away from the Asuras, seeking greatness; to that sleep the three-and-thirty ones, having attained the sky, imparted over-lordship.

At the beginning, the sam hitā-mss. in general read brhád gắvā (p. brhát : gắvā or grấvā); Ppp. has vṛhamˈgrāvā [combining -vāsurebhyo]; the comm. gives brhadgāvā, as nom. of -gāvan, deriving it from  $g\bar{a}$  'go'; and SPP. accepts this (brhadgāvā, p. brhatogāvā), while at the same time suggesting that -gavó may be meant, by such a confusion of the two modes of writing o as we have already more than once [cf. 55.5 b and the note] had occasion to conjecture. Ppp. reads 'bhi instead of 'dhi. There is discordance among the mss. as to the accent of  $up\bar{a}$  'vartata. Ppp. reads at end of b recham. The majority of mss. have in **d** tráyastrinçāsá sv-; and part of the pada-mss. divide tráyastrinçās : sv-; SPP. gives trayastrinçāsal sv-, as do we.

4. Not the Fathers, and not the gods, know it, whose (pl.) murmur goes about within here; in Trita Aptya did the men (ni), the Adityas, taught by Varuna, set sleep.

The mss. read at the beginning  $n\bar{a}t$  'tâm [and so SPP.], the etâm 'it' apparently being viewed as relating to *jdlpis*; the comm. makes the relation plainer by giving in **b** *yāi* 'sām; but this latter SPP. rejects. At end of **b**, the mss. vary between antarétám and antaré 'dám (p. antarâ: idám); the comm. gives the latter, and SPP. accepts it; Ppp. also has it; [and it is implied in the translation]. The mss., as always, vary between trité and trité; the great majority here give the former. Várunena in **d** was our emendation for dr-; it is read also by the comm., and by one of SPP's mss., and is found in Ppp.; SPP. also adopts it in his text. The mss. all accent âdityāsas, and SPP. does not emend, as we do, to ādityāsas. Ppp. reads in **b** *jalpyaç* c-, and has for **c** trite svapnam arididrhā prate narā (ādit-). With **c**, compare RV. viii. 47. 13-17 and especially 15 **c**, **d**. The comm. tells a tale of how duķsvapna, having received overlordship from the gods, waxed topping, and seized on the Ādityas; the latter applied for relief to Varuṇa, and, duly instructed by him, put off the duķsvapna upon the great seer (maharṣi) Trita, son of the waters: this is pretty plainly no tradition, but an account devised by the comm. to fit the immediate case.

5. Of whom the evil-doers shared  $\lfloor bhaj \rfloor$  the cruelty, the well-doers, by non-sleep, [shared] the pure (pinya) life-time — thou revelest in the sky (svar) with the highest relative; thou wast born out of the mind of one practising fervor.

The translation is a merely literal rendering, and does not pretend to be an intelligent one. It implies in **a**, with SPP., a majority of his mss., and the comm., *dbhajanta* (the other readings are *apacanta*, *abhacanta*, *asacanta*; and there are varieties of accent); in **b**, all the *samhittā*-mss. combine *duṣkṛto sv*-, implying *asvápnena*; but [SPP's] *pada*-mss. [and W's *pada*-ms. D., p.m.] read *svápnena*; [W's D. seems to be corrected to *asváp*and his L. also seems to have *asváp*-;] SPP. accepts *asváp*-, with the comm. Ppp. gives no help; its text is *vy asya krūram abhijanta duṣkṛne sv*-; and *āpuḥ* for *āyuḥ* at the end of **b**. In **c**, *bandhúnā* (read by both editions, with the mss.) ought to have been emended [cf. vs. 2 **a**] to *bándhunā*, as both texts emend to *tapyá*- from *tápya*-, which appears in most of the mss. At the end, SPP. has the correct *jajñiṣe*, with about [half of his authorities, including the carefully corrected Dc.] (and with one of our later ones); our *jajñiṣé* represents the rest, but has no reason. Ppp. has in **c** *svarasajasi*. The comm. renders abhajanta by prāpnuvanti, and asvapnena by duhsvapnadarçanābhāvena; he regards duhsvapna as addressed in the second half-verse, and explains svàr as equivalent to a locative (as rendered above) [cf. Noun-Inflection, p. 488], and bandhunā (cf. 2 a) as srṣṭeh prākkāle tvām drṣṭavatā vidhātrā saha.

6. We know all thine attendants (?) in front; we know, O sleep, who is thine over-ruler here; protect us here with the glory of the glorious one; go thou away far off with poisons.

In b, the *pada*-mss. give blunderingly *svapnaoydh*. Ppp. reads *yo dhipā hyo te*. Of course, *yaçasvinas* in c may be accus. pl., 'us who are glorious'; the comm. takes it so, and explains that the glory comes from our wonderful knowledge as set forth in the first half-verse. [Ppp. reads *yaçaso hi* for *ydçase'hd*.] In d, the *samhitā*-mss. (also Ppp.)give  $\bar{a}r\bar{a}dvis$ -, which is equivalent to  $\bar{a}r\bar{a}ddvis$ -; and the *pada*-mss. assume the latter, dividing  $\bar{a}r\bar{a}t$ : dvisdbhih; since a derivative dvisd is as good as unknown, and of a very unusual formation, we preferred to read *visdbhis*; [the comm., text and exposition, has dvisobhis; ]SPP. has dvisdbhis. In a the translation of *parijās* is that of the comm. (=*parijanān*), which seems more probable than the conjecture of the Pet. Lexx., "perhaps places of origin."

# 57. Against evil-dreaming.

[Yama. — pañcakam. dāuşvapnyam. trāisļubham: 1. anusļubh; 3 Lof Anukr. := 3 and 4 a (to mukham) of Berlin ed'n]. 3-av. 4-p. trīsļubh; 4 Lof Anukr. := 4 b to 5 b of Berlin ed'n, that is tam tvā svapna to grhe]. 6-p. usņugbrhatīgarbhā virāļçakvarī; 5 Lof Anukr. := 5 c to 6 of Berlin ed'n, that is anāsmākas tad to end of hymn]. 3-av. 5-p. paraçākvarā 'tijagatī]

[Partly prose — verses 2, 3, 4, and 6.] Pāipp. has only the first verse (in ii.). The comm. quotes from a Paricista (SPP. is unable to identify the passage) a direction for using it (with vi. 45, 46) to a king who sees bad dreams. [As to the connection of the material of this hymn with that of h. 56, see introd. to h. 56.] [As to the differences of division, see under vss. 3, 4, and 5, and cf. SPP's Critical Notice, vol. i., p. 24.]

Translated : Ludwig, p. 468; Griffith, ii. 314.

I. As a sixteenth, as an eighth, as a [whole] debt they bring together, so do we bring together all evil-dreaming on one who is offensive (*ápriya*).

The verse is nearly identical with vi. 46. 3, differing only by substituting *dpriye* in **d** for *dvisaté*. Apriye comes near to the  $\bar{a}pty\epsilon$  of RV. viii. 47. 17, with which both verses correspond: see note to vi. 46. 3. The comm., in fact, reads  $\bar{a}pty\epsilon$ .

2. Kings have gathered (sam gai), debts have gathered, *kusthás* have gathered, sixteenths have gathered; all evil-dreaming that is in us — let us impel away evil-dreaming to him that hates us.

The pada-mss. read sdh: mrnåni for sdm: rnåni, and sdh: kalåh (the samhitā-mss. also s4 kalå ag-) for sdm: kalåh; SPP. follows us in emending in both places to sdm, which the comm. also has. At the beginning of the second division, we have emended sdm of the mss. and comm. (which SPP. follows) to sdrvam. For ydt (after asmåsu) the samhitā-mss. read ydta, and the pada-mss. ydtah; our ydt is supported by the comm., and SPP. adopts it. The comm. says that kustha is a skin-disease, symptomatic of various maladies; and, when one of these remains unhealed, boils and sores etc. (? *pitakavranādīni*) show themselves. Also, that *kalās* are *anupādeyāvayavopalak-sana*, and worthless parts of cattle etc. are collected in old pits. And in like manner collected ill-dreaming is made over to an enemy. That is his idea, and a wholly unacceptable one, of the general meaning of the verse. [The verse is prose, no *tristubh*; but may be stretched so as to count as 43 syllables.]

3. Embryo of the wives of the gods, instrument of Yama, excellent dream; the evil [dream] that is mine, that do we send forth to him that hates us.

The mss. all read devanam pátninām gárbha (one pada-ms.-bham) yamásya kárayo bhadrásvapnah; the translation implies no further emendation than to gárbho and kárano; [the minor Pet. Lex., iv. 249, accepts bhadrásvapna as a descriptive compound, although the accent (Gram. § 1280 c) is very exceptional; ] SPP., following the comm., changes to dévanam patninām garbha yámasya kara yó bhadráh svapna. Our devápatninām and káraņas were suggested especially by the devajāminām and káraņas of vi. 46. 2 and xvi. 5. 6, of which neither the comm. nor SPP. take any notice. In the second division of the verse the two editions agree, save that ours emends tát of the mss. (which SPP. follows) to tám; and the latter is supported by our P.M., and by the comm. But the mss. have at the beginning samámayah, and the pada-mss. resolve it into samám : ayah. The Anukr. and comm. and SPP. add to this verse what in our text is the first division of vs. 4; our division is that of our first mss., and is preferable on the ground of the sense. [The prose verse, according to the division of the Anukr., may be made to count (8 + 10: 13: 13?) as 44 syllables.]

4. Thee that art "harsh" by name, mouth of the black bird (-*çakúni*) — thee, O sleep, we thus know completely; do thou, O sleep, as a horse a halter, as a horse a girth, scatter him who is not of us, the god-reviler, the mocker.

| Prose. | The translation here is of no authority, including various venturesome emendations of the text; it follows our text except at the end, where, instead of badhāna, it implies the (unsatisfactory) vapa of the comm. and SPP.; all the mss. read vapus or vápu |. At the beginning, the pada-mss. give måtrstā: nāma: asi: krsnaoçakune: múkham; and the samhitā-mss. agree with them, with worthless variations of accent | and some slight differences besides |, and with -kuner in one or two. SPP. reads, however, må trstånam asi krsuaçakunér múkham, won, as he claims, by adding accents to the comm's text; but this differs from the mss. only by [ the word-division and ] by -nāmasi and -ner; how the comm. divides and understands mātrstānāmasi is unknown, as his explanation of the words is wanting (though SPP. notes no lacuna). So much (to múkham) is, as was noted above, added to vs. 3 by Anukr., comm., and SPP. In the next division of the verse, for kaksyàm, the mss., the comm., and SPP., give kāyám, which might mean 'body'; the comm. is apparently imperfect here, reading  $a_{(vo)} yath\bar{a}$ svakīyam rajodhūsaram [kāyam] dhunoti yathā cā 'çvo nīnāham palyāņakavacādikam avakirati: with kāyam is perhaps omitted also (arīram, its gloss. Our mss. end vs. 4 with nināhám, and it was our emendation to add the next clause; but this the comm. does also, ending with vapa, while SPP. goes on to grhe without making a versedivision; the sense (so far as we can be said to understand it) favors our division and the comm's. The latter reads avā 'smākam, finding thus an ava ... vapa, which he

explains by *tiraskuru*. All the mss. give  $plp\bar{a}rum [P.M.: ply-]vdpur [or vdpu]ydd$  etc., with not even a pāda-division after vdpus; such a division was due in our text, however, after *nināhám*. [The Anukr. seems to intend to count the verse (*tam tvā* to grhe) as 9+9+7+13 (reading  $vap\bar{a}$ ): 8+8=54.]

5. What evil-dreaming is in us, what in our kine, and what in our house, that let him who is not of us, the god-reviler, the mocker, put on like a necklace (*niskd*).

[Pādas **a** and **b** are identical with 45. 2 **a**, **b**, above; and the rest of the verse seems to throw much light on 45. 2 **c**, **d**: see my note to that verse. In his Collation-Book, Whitney here made a note of this important parallel, but seems to have overlooked his note when working out his commentary.] The mss. again all read  $plp\bar{a}rus$  [P.M.: ply-]; and the comm. again  $av\bar{a}$  'sm $\bar{a}kam$ , supplying a gamaya to the ava in his explanation. The omission of devaplyu's would make a fair anuslubh of this verse [and a faultless one, if we pronounce niskéva]; it is evidently metrical, and a verse by itself [cf. 45. 2] as it stands in our text; the Anukr. and SPP., with part of the mss., end vs. 4 with grhé, and throw all the rest of the hymn together as vs. 5; the comm. agrees with us as to vss. 5 and 6. [The Anukr. seems to intend to count its verse 5 (anāsmākás tád to end of hymn) as 12+9:9+7 [:] 14=51, and to put its second avasāna, with some of the mss., after pári, as does SPP.]

6. Having measured off nine cubits, forth from that do we divide off to him who hates us all our evil-dreaming.

[Prose.] Instead of our *apamāya*, the mss., the comm., and SPP. read *apamayā* (p.  $apa \circ may\bar{a}h$ ); how the comm. (or SPP.) would explain it does not appear, as he gives only the general sense of the expression: *asmākam sambandhi duşvapnyani navāratniparyantam apasāraya*. He reads at the end *apriye sam nayāmasi* (= 1 d).

#### 58. For various blessings.

[Brahman.— şadırcam. mantroktabahudevatyam uta yājnikam. trāistubham: 2. puro-'nustubh; 3. 4-p. atiçakvarī; 5. bhurij.]

The first four verses occur also in Pāipp. i ; [Roth's Collation, strictly interpreted, means that the whole hymn is found there]. The comm. quotes vs. 5 as used in Kāuç. 3. 16; but the verse there intended is evidently ii. 35. 5, of which vs. 5 here is a repetition. At the beginning of his exposition of vs. 1 he says: asmin sūkte manasā nirvartyo yajāah stūyate.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 315.

1. The swiftness of ghee evenly always increasing the year with oblation — be our hearing, sight, breath unsevered; unsevered be we from life-time, from splendor.

The translation implies in a samana sadāt 'vd. [This last may be a slip for sádāivd, p. sádā : evá; the Berlin text and the Index imply sádeva, p. sádāoiva.] Five authoritics give samanā (so in p.); five or six, samanāh; the rest samanāh or sámanāh, or else samānāh or samānā or finally sámanā; SPP. accepts the last, from only two mss.; the comm. reads samanāh, and explains it as samānamanaskā. After it the mss. read sádevāh (p. sáodevāh), but the comm. and his text sadevā, and SPP. follows these, accenting sádevā. Ppp. has yūtis sumanās sudevās (s.). The comm. says that, since all words signifying 'motion' also signify 'knowledge,' jūti here means sarvatra prasrtam jāānam! Ppp. combines in c prāņa ach-. In d, the pada-mss. read áchinnā instead of  $-nā\hbar$ . The connection of the two half-verses is perhaps this: it is prayed that the prospering flow of the libations of sacred butter be uninterrupted, carrying as a consequence the continuance of physical blessings.

2. Let breath call unto us; we call unto breath; the carth, the atmosphere hath seized (grah) splendor; splendor [also] Soma, Brihaspati, the maintainer.

Some mss. (including most of the *pada*-mss.) begin **b** with *upa hvayám*. In **c**, one of SPP's mss. and Ppp. combine *pṛthiay ānt*-. At the end, the *pada*-mss. have correctly *dhartā*; the samhitā-mss. vary between this and *dhattā*, *dhattām*, and *dhattāt*; and the reciter K. gave *vidhartā*. The comm's text (SPP.) has *vidhattām*, but his explanation reads *vidhattā* (misreading for *-arttā*?) *viceṣeṇa dhartā 'gniḥ sūryo vā*; and SPP. most strangely adopts the senseless *vidhattā* (it is read also by one ms.). Ppp. gives instead *bibhartu*, which is not bad. Furthermore, Ppp. begins **a** with *apa*, but **b** with *upa*. The seizing of splendor by these various divinities is, according to the comm., for the purpose of giving it to us. Pādas **b** and **d** lack each a syllable.  $\lfloor A$  similar antithesis with *upahū* occurred at i. 1. 4, and others were pointed out there.  $\rfloor$ 

3. Heaven-and-earth have become joint-seizers of splendor; seizing splendor may we go about upon the earth; with glory the kine, coming, wait upon  $(upa-sth\bar{a})$  the lord of kine; seizing glory may we go about upon the earth.

| The verse is by no means one of 4 pādas, but rather one of 6 (a-f: so designated below): in fact, it is a regular anustubh, to each half of which is added in prose an uha-refrain (varco etc., yaço etc.) of 14 syllables. ] The mss. read in b babhuváthus, accenting also dyavaprthivit; the translation implies the simpler and more probable emendation to -vatus; | and of course the retention of the ms.-accentuation of dyavaprthivi: correct the Berlin ed. accordingly; | SPP. leaves both words unchanged, without heeding their irreconcilable character; that the comm. takes dyavaprthivit as vocative is simply in accord with his usual disregard of the accent. In c and f, the mss. vary between *anu-sam* | so most |, anu-sam, and *anu sam* (the pada-mss. have anuosamcarema: but one has anuosamcarema !); SPP. adopts anu sam [cf. note to Prāt. iv. 3 |, against our anu-sám; there is little choice between the two. In d, the mss. in general begin with yaçásām [some with yáçasām], two or three having yáçasam or yaçásam; SPP. adopts the last, we yáçasā; here, again, there is little to choose; the comm. explains yaçasā, though his text (SPP.) gives yaçasām. The comm. foolishly takes āyatis in e as, jointly with yáças, object of grhītvā in f, supplying dhenūs for it to qualify. The verse counts (16+14:16+14=60) as a full *aticakvari*; [but see beginning of this paragraph |.

4. Make ye a pen (vraja), for that is men-protecting for you; sew ye coats-of-mail (varman), abundant, broad; make ye strongholds of metal, unattackable (adhrsta); let not your bowl leak (sru); make it strong.

The verse is RV. x. 101.8, with slight variation: RV. has várma in samhitā as well as in *pada* in **b**; the mss., too, leave d*fnhatā* in **d** without accent, and SPP. does not correct their error. [Roth notes that Ppp. reads varmā: cf. Noun-Inflection, p. 540 top, 539 end.] But the *pada*-text exhibits its skill in blundering : in **b** it reads *várma : asi : vioadhvåm* (and nearly all the *samhitā*-mss. accent *sīvyadhvám*), and in c *kṛṇudhvam : mā : âyasīh* (or *ayasīh*) : *àdhṛṣṭā* (and the *samhitā*-mss. accordingly read -*dhvammāy*-). The comm. gives three distinct interpretations of the verse : as concerned respectively

with the senses, with officiating priests, and with soldiers (*indriyaparatvena rtvik-paratvena yoddhrparatvena*). [As to *-dhvam mā-*, cf. note to xviii. 2. 3.]

5. Of the sacrifice the eye, beginning, and face; with voice, with hearing, with mind do I make oblation; to this sacrifice, extended by the all-working one, let the gods come  $\lfloor \bar{a} \cdot i \rfloor$ , with favoring minds.

We had this verse above, as ii. 35. 5 [see note thereon]. Our mss. cite it by the whole first pāda :  $yaj\bar{u}dsya cdksuh prabhrtir mukham cé 'ty <math>kk\bar{a}$ . [The Anukr. does not ignore the  $\dot{a}$  at the beginning of d.]

6. They that are priests (rtvij) of the gods, and that are worshipful, for whom the oblation (havyd) is made the portion — coming to this sacrifice together with their spouses, let the gods, as many as they are (ydvant), revel on the oblation.

In **b**, the mss. have also kriyate, kryate, kryate,  $\lfloor kryate, \rfloor$  and krnute. In **c**, the pada-mss. read sahdopatnibhih, and nearly all the samhitā-mss. agree with them; SPP. also emends to pate. In **d**, all the mss. have tavisā or tavisā (p. -sā); SPP. reads, with the comm., tavisās (= mahāntah, comm.); the translation implies havisā, instead of our emendation sam isā. The verse  $\lfloor 12 + 11 : 11 + 12 \rfloor$  has two more syllables than a regular tristubh; [the cadences of **a**, **b**, **c** accord with the number of syllables: but **d**, with 12, has a tristubh cadence; this casts still further suspicion on tavisā, in place of which we should expect only two syllables].

#### 59. For successful sacrifice.

#### [Brahman.-trcam. dgneyam. trdistubham : 1. gdyatrī.]

Hymns 59-64 are not found in Päipp. For the practical use of 59 with 52, see under the latter. [Other uses under vs. 3.] Verses 1 and 2, it will be noticed, are put together also in TS., and vs. 3 is not far off [preceding 1 and 2]. In MS., on the other hand, vss, 2 and 3 have the same sequence as here; [but in RV. their sequence is inverted]. [As for the ritual use, cf. p. 896 and the table.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 317.

I. Thou, O Agni, art protector of vows among gods (?), among mortals; thou art to be praised at the sacrifices.

The verse is RV. viii. 11. 1, and found also in VS. iv. 16; TS. i. 1. 144; 2. 3<sup>t</sup>, and MS. i. 2. 3, everywhere without variant, except as the AV. mss. in general read in **b**  $devi\hat{a} \ \hat{a} \ m$  [three have  $dev\hat{a} \ am$ -]; [Whitney's P. and M. and SPP's Sm. and his D<sup>c</sup>., p.m., have  $devdi \ \hat{a} \ m$ -;] the pada-mss. give  $dev\hat{a}h$  (two of SPP's, after it,  $\bar{a} \ omdartyes \mu$ ). The RV. pada-text has devdh; [so also TS. pada-text: see Weber's note in his ed., p. 13;] the translation implies devel, in the sense of  $deves \mu$ . The comm. understands devds, and SPP. also reads it by emendation.

[Roth, Ueber gewisse Kurzungen des Wortendes im Veda, p. 3, treats the RV. verse, with report of the comm. on RV.VS.TS.: he assumes  $devé: \dot{a}$  as pada-reading and understands devé as = devésu. Cf. daça (= daçabhis)  $dv\bar{a}daçabhir v\bar{a}$  'pi,

MBh. xii. 307 (or 306). 10 = xii. 11377, as cited by Hopkins, JAOS. xxiii. 111; cf. also English *inside and out (out = outside)*; Goethe's Jeden Nachklang fuhlt mein Herz froh- (= froher) und truber Zeit; etc. ]

2. If we, O gods, detract from  $(pra-m\bar{i})$  your [ordained] courses — we that are very unknowing, of you that are knowing—let Agni the all-devouring fill that up, knowing, and the soma that has entered the Brahmans.

The first three pādas are RV. x. 2. 4 a-c, found also in TS. i. I. 144 and MS. iv. 10. 2. All these read in c viçvam å prināti; our viçvād (p. viçvaoát) can only be regarded as a corruption; the translation, however, follows it, as being the real Atharvan reading; SPP. adopts it in his text, against the comm., who reads and explains viçvam. The comm. agrees with RV. etc. further in giving prināti. As for the last pāda, we had it above as d of xviii. 3. 55; it is also a RV. phrase, and found elsewhere: see under that verse.

3. We have come unto the road of the gods, to convey (vah) along forward what we may be able; Agni [is] knowing; he shall make offering; he verily is *hotar*; he shall arrange the sacrifices (*adhvard*), he the seasons.

The verse is RV. x. 2. 3, and found also in TS. i. 1. 143, MS. iv. 10. 2, and ÇB. xii. 4. 4<sup>1</sup>. These texts read in c, d sé <sup>d</sup> u hótā só adhv-, and all save ÇB. accent duu in b. The comm's text also has the RV. reading se <sup>d</sup> u hotā. The verse, with the Atharvan readings in c, d, is found in full in Kāuç. 5. 12, in the *parvan*-ceremonies. In the same ceremonies it accompanies in Vāit. 3. 5 an offering to Agni svistakrt; and again, in Vāit. 19. 12, an after-offering to various gods. [As for the critical significance of the citation of the vs. in sakalapātha, see p. 897, ¶ 3.]

#### 60. For physical abilities.

#### [Brahman. - dvyrcam. mantroktavāgādidāivatam. 1. pathyābrhatī ; 2. kakummatī purausuh.]

[Prose.] As was noticed above, the hymn is wanting in Pāipp. Hymns 60-63, both text and explication, are lacking in the comm. The comm., at p. 5175, assigns only fourteen hymns to this the final anuvāka; [but at p. 5529 he numbers the last hymn as the thirteenth, having combined hymns 69 and 70 of the Berlin ed. into one of 5 vss. ( $pa\bar{n}camantr\bar{a}tmakam s\bar{u}ktam$ , p. 5481): both numbers are at variance with the] eighteen of the mss. [in general: but see under h. 65] and of the Anukr. The hymn is quoted in Kāuç. 66. I in the savayajāt chapter [see table on p. 896]; the mss. of Kāuç. read āsyan [like the AV. mss.].

Translated : Griffith, ii. 317.

I. Speech in my mouth, breath in my nostrils, sight in my eyes, hearing in my ears, my hair not gray, my teeth not broken, much strength in my arms.

A similar enumeration is found in TS. (in v. 5. 9<sup>2</sup>), TA. (x. 72, in supplement: [p. 887 of Poona ed.]), and PGS. (in i. 3. 25): vān ma āsán (PGS. āsye) nasóh prānd 'kṣyóç cákṣuh kárṇayoh çrótram bāhuvór bálam ūruvór ójó 'riṣṭā viçvāny ángāni (PGS. 'riṣṭāni me 'ngāni) tanūs tanúvā me sahá; it covers both verses of our hymn and the beginning of the next. [MGS. i. 4.4 may be compared.] Nearly all the samhitā-mss. read āsyán [like those of Kāuç.] násoh; [and the pada-reading is āsyám : násoh]. Further on, the mss. read akṣóh or akṣyóh (one of ours and one of SPP's give akṣṇóh; our text gives akṣṇóh, but it should be akṣyóh, as everywhere else in the Atharvan, and as in the parallel texts); yet further, ápalitā kéţā [or keçā] çóṇaditā báha (or váha) bāhvor bálam. SPP. follows our emendations (even akṣṇós) throughout; except that he very properly corrects our bāhvos to bāhvos. Instead of áçoṇā dántāh the minor Pet. Lex. suggests áçīrṇā d-, which is decidedly preferable, and is implied in the translation.

2. Force in my thighs, speed in my calves, firm standing in my feet, all things of mine uninjured, myself not down-fallen.

| Passing in silence some minor details of variation, | the mss. read bya instead of bjas | but  $\delta_{jah}$  is found in two or three *pada*-mss. ]; | about ten authorities | leave *janghayos* unaccented; they accent jávas or javás, and pådayos or pādáyos; some insert a blundering avasāna between pādayoh and pratisthā; | all accent aristāni instead of ar-; | and end with sárvan ma 'tiprsthah or -stha (p. átioprstha or -ah). SPP. follows our emendations quite closely : but he corrects to janghayos ; accents javás (which is rather to be preferred \*); [ accents correctly padayos : the accent of the Berlin ed. should be amended accordingly; | leaves the avasāna after pāduyoh; and forgets in samhitā-text to combine pratistha and aristani into pratistha 'ris-; the mss., however, commit the same oversight, although the pada-text reads pratiostha (not -ah). The blunder arises possibly from the transference of the avasāna-sign from its proper place after pratistha (to which our text restores it) to the place before that word. The metrical definitions of the Anukr. for these two bits of prose are naturally worthless, and the extensive emendations in our text make them still more inapplicable. \* In RV. the masc. javá is oxytone, and the neuter jávas is paroxytone; but at iii. 50. 2 and iv. 27. I we have the adjective stem javás : cf. Gram. § 1151. 2. e. |

#### 61. For length of life etc.

[Brahman. - ekarcam. brāhmaņaspatyam. virāt pathyābrhatī.]

Wanting, as already pointed out, in the comm. and in Pāipp. Translated: Griffith, ii. 317.

I. A body together with my body. [...] May I attain all my life-time. Sit thou on what is pleasant; fill thyself full, cleansing thyself in heaven (svargá).

The verse is utterly obscure and disconnected, and we might long for the comm., if we had found that he ever gave any help in such a case. The first clause is translated as corresponding with that in TS. etc. (see under 60.1); what is inserted between it and the following clause is omitted as unintelligible. The mss. read sake (with varying accent), and diantah (so all the pada-mss. and some others) or datah, or raddatah [or raddintah]; SPP. adopts sake datah, to which he might be puzzled to give any meaning. In the second division, SPP. reads with the mss. syonám me s-, and purúh pr-.

#### 62. For popularity.

[Brahman (etc., as 61). — anustubh.]

Wanting in Pāipp. and in the comm. Translated : Zimmer, p. 205; Griffith, ii. 318.

1. Make thou me dear to the gods, make me dear to kings, dear to everything that sees, both to Çūdra and to Āryan.

A nearly corresponding verse is found in the supplement to RV. x. 128 (Aufr.<sup>2</sup>, p. 685), and in HGS. i. 10. 6, [and in Katha-hss., p. 36]. In the first half-verse, RV. differs only by reading both times kuru; its c is priyam viçvesu gotresu, and its d entirely different from ours. HGS. also has kuru, with mā brahmani for rājasu mā in b, and the second half-verse reads priyam viçyesu çūdresu [cf. rúcam víçyesu çūdresu, VS. xviii. 48] priyam mā kuru rājasu. The mss. read in c-d [with varying accent] paçyato 'ta (p. paçyata : uta); [but one of SPP's pada-mss. has paçyatúh, p.m.]; and a part of the mss. (including [so far as noted] all the pada-mss.) have çūdreim in d. SPP's text agrees throughout with ours. [With this verse Zimmer compares 32. 8, above, and VS. xviii. 48 etc. With the d of the Berlin text, cf. the d of iv. 20. 4 and 8. Zimmer rightly notes that the "gods" of a are the Brahmans, and aptly cites ÇB. ii. 2. 2<sup>6</sup>, with 32. 8 etc. as just mentioned.]

#### 63. To Brihaspati: for sundry blessings.

[Brahman (etc., as 61). — virād uparıstādbrhatī.]

Wanting in Pāipp. and in the comm. Translated: Griffith, ii. 318.

I. Arise, O Brahmanaspati; awaken the gods with the sacrifice; increase [his] life-time, breath, progeny, cattle, fame, and the sacrificer [himself].

The mss. vary between paqum and paqun in the second half-verse. Kirtim is pretty evidently intruded, spoiling the Lotherwise good anustubh meter; the Anukr. reckons it to the fourth pada. The *paddhati* uses the verse (see note to Kauç. 6.21) in the course of the darqapurnamāsa ceremony.

#### 64. To Agni: with fuel.

[Brahman. - caturrcam. āgneyam. ānustubham.]

Not found in Pāipp. Used in Kāuç. (57. 26) in the ceremony of initiation of a Vedic student, to accompany the laying of four sticks of fucl on the fire — the schol. say, one at each verse. [With regard to the ritual use, see the table on p. 896, and p. 897, l. 9.] Translated : Griffith, ii. 318; vs. 1 also by Ludwig, p. 265.

1. O Agni, I have taken fuel for the great Jātavedas; let that Jātavedas extend to me both faith and wisdom.

The whole verse is found in  $\zeta$ GS. ii. 10, the only variant being *agnaye* at the beginning; [Oldenberg's text (cf. his note, p. 139) should read *āhārṣam*, with his codex F.]; the first half-verse occurs in several other Sūtras (AGS. i. 21. 1; PGS. ii. 4. 3; HGS.

i. 7. 2; MB. i. 6. 32), with a very different latter half, but all reading *agnaye*; [and we find *agnaye* at MP. ii. 6. 2; and again at GGS. ii. 10. 46, where the *prattka* is cited]. The comm. also has *agnaye*, with one of SPP's reciters. The Atharvan reading is plainly *dgne*, apparently a metrical emendation, and the translation follows it, rather than our unnecessary conjecture, *dgre*. [In this case, as the meter clearly shows, we must allow that the AV. has the better reading *dgne* as against the *agnaye* of a whole series of Sūtra-texts. Their inferior reading is of course not to be changed; but still less should the AV. be changed to *agnaye*, as Oldenberg, note to ÇGS., p. 139, overlooking the meter, suggests.]

2. With firing, O Jātavedas, with fuel do we increase thee; so do thou increase us, both with progeny and with riches.

The [text and explanation of the] comm. add [as does the Daça Karmāni: see Kāuç. 57. 26 note] the further pāda *dīrgham āyuḥ krņotu me*, and two or three of SPP's authorities give it or have traces of it.

3. In that, O Agni, we put on for thee any pieces of wood whatever, be all that propitious to me; enjoy thou that, O youngest one.

The first two pādas and the fourth are RV. viii. 91 (or 102). 20, which reads  $k\bar{a}ni$   $k\bar{a}ni$  cid in a [ and t $\bar{a}$  for the tid of our d]. The Yajus-texts, VS. xi. 73, MS. (in ii. 7. 7), TS. (in iv. 1. 10<sup>1</sup>), all have the inserted pāda c: VS.MS. end it with te ghrtām instead of our me civām, while TS. makes it read tād astu tübhyam tā ghrtām. VS. reads a as does RV., but TS.MS. have yāni kāni ca. Nearly all the authorities have dāruņi [only W's P.M. have dārūņi]; and all have dadhmasi, without accent: SPP. emends both words to accordance with RV., as we had done. Part of the mss. [and the comm.] have in d yavis!ha. The verse is used by Vāit. (28. 14) in the agnicayana ceremony. [Cf. p. 898, line 1.]

4. These pieces of fuel are for thee, O Agni; with them, O burning one, become thou united; put in us [long] life-time; put immortality in the Aryan.

The second and fourth pādas are wholly corrupt; the translation follows in part our emended text. [For b, the translation implies  $t\bar{a}bhir$  dhakso (cf. RV. x. 115.4; ii. 4.4, where the samhitā has  $d\bar{a}ksoh$ ; RV. Prāt. iv. 41 end) sám id bhava; ] and for d, it implies amṛtatvám câ "rye. For b, the general reading of the authorities is tvam id dhansó (p. hansáh) samid (p. samoit) bhava; one or two have dhaso or vānso, one tvavid dhansó, three bhavah. SPP. conjectures that the original reading may have been  $t\bar{a}bhir$  vaso samid bhava; he adopts as his text, from the comm., tvam iddháh samid bhava. In c, the  $\bar{a}$  before dhehi is not found in the mss.; a less correction, with better meter, would have been dhehy  $\bar{a}$ . For d, the mss., the comm., and SPP., give amṛtatvám ācāryàya (p. amṛta-tvám : ā-cāryàya).

[The Daç. Kar., as reported by Bloomfield on Kāuç. 57. 26, also gives ācāryāya; moreover, the passage in PGS. (ii. 4. 3), which treats of the ceremony of initiation to which (see introd., above) these verses belong, contains in fact a prayer of the student on behalf of his teacher or initiator : *jivaputro mamā "cāryo medhāvy aham asāni* etc.; and the AV. comm. explains ācāryāya accordingly by *upanayanakartre gāyatrīpradātre* etc. This all makes against W's câ "rye and in favor of the ms.-reading ācāryàya: this dative, no less than the locative asmāsu, goes easily with *dhehy* á ].

#### 65. Praise of the sun.

[Brahman. — ekarcam. jātavedasam ; sāuryam. jāgatam.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. The comm. says that hymns 65-67 are shown by their contents to belong to the worship of Sūrya.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 319.

I. A yellow eagle, thou hast ascended unto heaven (dtv) with radiance (arcis); whoso (pl.) would injure thee flying up to heaven, them smite thou down with flame (háras), O Jātavedas, unfearing; ascend unto heaven, O sun, formidable, with radiance.

By metrical evidence, *jātavedas* in **c** is an intrusion [although obviously older than the Anukr.], besides being at least superfluous in sense. The mss. read *bibhyad ugro* '*rc*- (p. *bibhyat* : *ugraķ*); but SPP. emends to *ábibhyat* : *ugráķ*, as we had done [but neglects the necessary *abhinihita svarita*]; the comm. so understands the words. Ppp. reads *ugro arcişā* in **d**.

After this hymn, three of our mss. (P.M.W.) insert as next hymn, numbering it 66, the one-versed RV. i. 99, without variant.

### 66. To Agni: for aid.

[Brahman.—ekarcam. jātavedasam; sāuryam; vajradevatyam. atujāgatam.]

Found also in Pāipp. xvi. The comm. connects it in use with the preceding hymn. Translated : Griffith, in. 319.

I. The trickish Asuras that go about, having metal nets, hooking with fetters of metal, them I make subject to thee with flame, O Jātavedas; go thou, a thousand-barbed thunderbolt, slaughtering our rivals.

Ppp. combines in a  $-j\bar{a}l\bar{a}$  'surā, and reads in b ayasmāi p-; in c it seems to give harase, which would be an acceptable emendation. Our sahtsrabhrstis in d is for the *-hrstis* (the majority) or *-drstis* [two] or *-hrastis* [two or three] or [*-hastis* or *-hrastis* or ] *-hrustis* or *-ristis* or *-bhrstis* (all these, one each) of the authorities; SPP. reads, with the comm., *-rstis*. SPP. also follows the comm. in adopting  $p\bar{a}hi$ , against the majority of his authorities (though in such a case their reading, whether  $p\bar{a}$ - or  $y\bar{a}$ -, is extremely doubtful); [here the testimony of his oral reciters is of especial weight, and they (his K. and V.) gave  $y\bar{a}hi$ ].

#### 67. For long life and prosperity.

#### [Brahman. — astāu. sāuryam. prājāpatyā gāyatrī.]

Not found in Pāipp. According to the comm., it is used in the same manner as the two preceding hymns. |Cf. khila to RV. i. 50.

Translated : Griffith, ii. 319.

- 1. May we see a hundred autumns.
- 2. May we live a hundred autumns.
- 3. May we wake a hundred autumns.

- 4. May we ascend (ruh) a hundred autumns.
- 5. May we prosper a hundred autumns.
- 6. May we be a hundred autumns.
- 7. May we adorn a hundred autumns.
- 8. More autumns than a hundred.

The comm.\* reckons the hymn as only one verse; the Anukr. and all the mss. [see SPP's ed., p. 543, note 1 ] count eight verses, and SPP. also adopts this. The first two verses are the last two pādas of RV. vii. 66. 16; they are found also in GGS. iii. 8. 5; a third verse, with granuyāma, is added in PGS. i. 6. 3; MS., at iv. 9. 20, has four pādas, with grauyāma pushed to the fourth place by the insertion of prabravāma (ed. prábruv-) in the third ; ] and the series is carried further in VS. xxxvi. 24, which, beginning as does PGS., has five pādas, | with prábravāma in the fourth, and ádīnāh syāma in the fifth, and | with the added ending bhuyaç ca çarádah çatat, which needs emendation. [MGS., at i. 22. 11, agrees with VS.] In TA. iv. 42. 5 and in HGS. i. 7. 10 | the series is carried to eight padas ]: these agree from 1 to 7 [ with pagema, jivema, nandāma, módāma, bhávāma, çrņávāma, prábravāma], and differ only in the eighth, where TA. has ájitāh syāma against ajitāh syāma of HGS.; and both have the added ending 1yok ca stryam drce. In none of the other versions is there anything to help us with the doubtful forms in the Atharvan. In vs. 3, most of the mss. read buddhema (some búdhema); our emendation búdhyema is given also by the comm., and SPP. adopts it. In vs. 5, on the other hand, the mss., the comm., and SPP., give the wholly anomalous püsema (= pustim labhemahi, comm.); SPP. ought to have emended to púsyema, as we had done. Bhuyema (= bhuyāsma, comm.) in vs. 7 is another impossibility retained by SPP. In vs. 8 all the mss. have bhūyasī [or -āsī]; but the comm. has -sīs, and so SPP. has the courage to adopt and read it, as we had done before. \*[At p. 5439.]

#### 68. With ceremonial performance.

#### [Brahman.—ekarcam. mantroktakarmamātradevatyam. ānustubham.]

Found in Päipp. xix. Quoted once in the text of Kāuç. (139. 10), in the ceremony of introduction to Vedic study, as to be murmured prior to pronouncing, by pādas, the Gāyatrī (RV. iii. 62. 10), and the Atharvan verses iv. 1. I and i. 1. 1 (or 1-4). But the various schol. (the Paddhati, Daç. Kar., Keçavī) make frequent mention and use of it: thus (see note to Kāuç. 25. 36), it is reckoned to a *svastivācana*; it is used in the *sīmantonnayana* (to 35. 20) and *godāna* (to 53. 2) ceremonies; it is added (note to 57. 22) to vii. 33. I in the ceremony of restoring lost fire, in the initiation of a Vedic student; it is used in the *vedavratāni* (to 57. 32), in the *annaprāçana* (to 58. 19), and the preparation for the marriage ceremonies (to 75. 1), and for the *ājyatantra* (to 137. 4), and in the introduction to the *rājakarmāņi* of § 14 (p. 315, l. 2). In all this is very probably to be seen only the influence of the occurrence of *védam* and of *kármāņi kṛņmahe* in the second half-verse; it need not imply any real comprehension of the obscure verse, with recognition of its appropriateness to all these various situations. LAs to the critical bearing of the uses of the vs. in the ritual, cf. the table on p. 896, and see p. 897, line 12. J

Translated : Griffith, ii. 319.

1. Of non-expansion and of expansion do I untie the aperture with magic; by those two having taken up the Veda, we then perform acts.

That is, doubtless, 'conduct sacred ceremonies.' All the mss.\* have at the beginning dvyasas (p. dvioasah), and so have Ppp., the Anukr., Kāuç. and all its scholiasts, and the comm.; whence of course also SPP.; it is unquestionably the Atharvan reading. Yet even the comm. can only say for it that it is the same as avyacasas, a syllable being omitted by Vedic license; and the analysis of the pada-text appears to be one of those wild and senseless guesses of which in this book it presents not a few examples. | The important thing to observe in the pada avya[ca]sac ca vyacasac ca is the recurrence of so many confusingly similar syllables: the corruption is a case of haplography (cf. note to iv. 5. 5, and Bloomfield in AJP. xvii. 418); but one would expect avyacaso vyacasaç ca. | The pada-mss. | save SPP's J. | have vlsyāmi in b as an integral word; but the comm. understands vi : syāmi, and SPP., as well as our text, so reads; many of the mss. have bl instead of vl. One accents in c vedám, | and one has vedam, without accent; Griffith's 'bunch of grass' implies the reading *vedám* here and at 72. 1 ; we might conjecture *vedim* as a better reading; *veda* is not to be expected in the Atharvan. The Index gives for books i.-xviii. three occurrences of véda, namely at iv. 35.6; x. 8. 17; xv. 3. 7: at x. 8. 17 W. suggests that it is perhaps to be rendered simply by 'knowledge'; but in iv. and xv. it can hardly be aught else than 'Veda.' | Some of the mss. accent krymåhe. There was no sufficient reason for altering the accent of vydcasas in our text to vyacásas; SPP. reads vyác- with the majority of his authoritics. The comm. has no notion of what the verse really means: he gives two different expositions — one explaining *dryacas* and *ryacas* to mean the two varieties of breathing, prāna and vyāna, the bila to be the mūlādhāra, and veda ' the Veda' (aksarātmakamantrasamigha); the other taking the first two to be the paramatman and jivatman, the bila the heart, and veda 'knowledge' (cikirșitakarmavișayam jnānam). \*[If I understand the Collation Book, W's P. actually begins with avyacásaç cá vyácasáç ca, which, apart from the wild accents, is worth noting. |

In Ppp., this hymn is immediately followed by our hymn 72.

#### 69. To the waters: for long life.

[Brahman. — catasrah. mantroktābdevatyāh. 1. āsury anustubh ; 2. sāmny anustubh ; 3. āsurī gāyatrī ; 4. sāmny usņuh (1-4. 1-av.).]

[Prose.] Found also in Pāipp. xix. The four verses of this hymn are called in Kāuç. and Vāit. *jīvās*, and are prescribed to accompany the rinsing of the mouth with water in the *parvan* ceremony (Kāuç. 3. 4; Vāit. 1. 19, misunderstood by the editor), in a rite for long life at the reception of a Vedic student (Kāuç. 58. 7), and in the *madhu-parka* ceremony (Kāuç. 90. 22); of these the comm. takes notice only of Kāuç. 58. 7. With this use is doubtless connected the attribution of the hymn in the Anukr. to the waters as divinity. [As to the citation by technical designation, and especially as to the ritual uses, see p. 897,  $\P$  2.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 320.

1. Living are ye; may I live; may I live my whole life-time.

2. Living on are ye; may I live on; may I live my whole life-time.

3. Living together are ye; may I live together; may I live my whole life-time.

4. Lively are ye; may I live; may I live my whole life-time.

The comm. adds our hymn 70 as fifth verse to this hymn, and then commits the blunder of understanding Indra etc., there spoken of, as addressed with "living are ye"

etc.; it is, of course, the waters that are meant, as the liturgical use plainly shows. In vs. 2 all authorities give *úpa jīvā stha* (p. *úpa : jīvāh : stha*); [both editions give *upajīvās*]. In vs. 3 they have *samjīvās* (though with considerable variety of accent); most, too, accent *samjīvyāsam*. The comm. reads *upajīvyās* and *samjīvyās*, which make the decidedly easier sense, 'fit to be lived on and with'; then the following clauses would mean 'may I live on you and with you.' Ppp. reads throughout *stu* instead of *stha*.

#### 70. For long life.

[Brahman. - ekarcam. sāuryam. 3-p. gāyatrī.]

[Prose.] Not found in Pāipp. [See note to 69.4.] Translated : Griffith, ii. 320.

1. Live, O Indra; live, O Sūrya; live ye, O gods; may I live; may I live my whole life-time.

The mss. read jtvas after dtvas, and SPP. retains it, false accent and all. The comm. explains it by adding a *bhavata*.

#### 71. For various blessings.

#### [Brahman. — ekarcam. gāyatrīdāivatam. 3-av. 5-p. atijagatī.]

Wanting in Pāipp. The comm. is unable to quote any authority as to its use; but he declares it to belong to the worship of the Veda that one has studied, or of the  $g\bar{a}yatr\bar{t}$ .

Translated: Zimmer, p. 204; Griffith, ii. 320.

1. Praised by me [is] the boon-giving Veda-mother. Let them urge on the soma-hymn of the twice-born. Having given to me life-time, breath, progeny, cattle, fame, property, Vedic splendor, go ye to the *brahma*-world.

A corresponding verse is found in the supplement (p. 915 of the Calc. ed.) [p. 855 of the Poona ed. | to TA. x. 36, reading thus: stuto mayā varadā vedamātā pracodayantī pavane dvijātā: āyuh prthivyām draviņam brahmavarcasam mahyam datvā prajātum brahmalokam (the accentuation is only partial, and worthless); its variants hardly help the interpretation of our verse. The translation given above makes no pretense to being an intelligent one; it merely endeavors to make what sense it can, with least divergence from the manuscript readings. For a it implies stuta maya varada vedamātā, which agrees throughout with the mss., save that they accent vedamātā in several different ways; the pada-mss. give varadā without division. For b is implied prá codayantām pāvamānim dvijānām (with our edition); the mss. accent pracodáyantām; and [excepting W's P.M.W., which give pāvamānīm | they read pāvamānī, which SPP. adopts. In the second division, SPP. reads paçúm, with | about | half the authorities, and with the comm.; the remaining authorities favor our paçun, giving that or paçiim. The comm. explains varadā by istakāmapradātrī, and vedamātā by vedasya rgādirūpasya mātā, signifying the sāvitrī, and standing as subject to pra codayantām, which is pluralis majestaticus, as is also vrajata. | Weber discusses varadā and the TA. passage at Ind. Stud. ii. 194 (as Whitney notes in the margin), and resolves stuto into stutā u.

#### 72. For the favor of the gods.

#### [Bhrgvangiras Brahman. — ekarcam. garamātmadāivatam. trāistubham.]

Follows in Pāipp. directly after hymn 68. It is given in full\* in the Kāuç. text (139. 26), at the end of the ceremony of commencement of Vedic study; [and the *pratīka* is cited at 139. 25;] and the scholiasts add its use at the end of the *parvan* ceremonies (Keç. to section 6; p. 310, l. 5), and of the *pindapitryajīta* (Keç. to 89. 17; p. 371, l. 12), and to the *snānavrata* (note to 42. 18). \*[As to the citation in *sakala-pātha*, cf. p. 897, ¶ 3.]

Translated : Griffith, ii. 320.

I. Out of what receptacle we bore up the Veda, within that do we set it down; what is performed [and] sacrificed by the heroic might of the *bráhman*, with that fervor, O gods, favor ye me here.

Some of the mss. accent variously *abharāma* and *vedam*; [Griffith renders by 'bunch of grass,' again (as at 68. 1) implying the accent *vedám*: but this accent is given only by SPP's  $S^{m}$ ;] two or three of SPP's have *rtám* instead of *krtám* in c; the version in Kāuç. 139.26 gives in place of either *adhītam*. Ppp. reads in a, b *udbharāmi veda tasminn antar va dudhmay enam*.

Liftere ends the seventh and last *anuvāka*, with 18 hymns (or 14 or 13: see introd. to hymn 60, above); and with 55 verses, if we count them as they are numbered in the Berlin text. They are summed up as 55 verses by certain mss. — No mention of the ending of a *prapāțhaka* is made in the colophon.

#### [Pāippalāda excerpts concerning book xx.]

[Roth, at the end of his Collation, adds the Ppp. variants for verses 12 and 16 and 17 of AV. xx. 34; the hymn appears in Ppp. xiii. and corresponds to the sá janāsa indraķ hymn, RV. ii. 12.]

[Verse 12. In a, Ppp. has paryacarakşac instead of paribhávam; its b is yo vārgakasya vāpibat sutam; its c reads yajamānam bahum janam; and in d, it has āmorucakşat for ámūrchat.]

[Verse 16. In a, Ppp. has vyakşat putror up- for vyaktah pitror up-; its b is simply bhuvana veda janitah; its c is parasyā bhavisyamāno hrojokşad.]

| Verse 17. In a, Ppp. has haryasyaçur for háryaçva āsutér. |

[Then follows a note to the effect that nothing of the Kuntāpa hymns appears in Ppp.]

| Roth's Collation closes with the words :

"explicit feliciter 25. Juni 1884."]

# INDEXES AND OTHER AUXILIARY MATTER

#### 1. List of Non-metrical Passages of the Atharvan Samhitā

[Whitney gives a compact list of the prose passages in his *Index Verborum*, p. 5. It may be repeated here in different form and with slight revision. It is to be understood that the whole hymn is prose, except when otherwise specified, as by the giving of the verses.]

i.	none	viii.	I. 14; 8. 22-24; IO	xiii.	4. 14-15, 22-26, 46-56
ii.	11; 16-24	ix.	I. 14 a, 21-24; 2. 13; 3. 25-31;	xiv.	none
iii.	26; 27; 29. 7		5. 16, 20-22, 23-30 (parts), 31-	xv.	all
iv.	39. 1-8		36; 6 (except verses 1-2); 7;	xvi.	all (except I. 10, 12, 13; 4.
v.	6. 4e, 9-14; 9; 10; 14. 8a;		10. 24		2, 6; 6. 1-4, 11; 9. 1-2)
	16; 21. 11 cd, 12; 24; 26	x.	5 (except verses 22-24, 42-43,	xvii.	I. 20-23
	(parts); 27 (do); 28. 1 cd		45-50, and parts of 7-14, 36-41)	xviii.	2. 45 ab; 3. 25-28 (parts), 30-
vi.	10; 16.4; 44.3; 46.1-2;	xi.	I. 35; 3 (except verses 19-22)		35 (do.), 36-37; 4. 27, 67-68,
	48; 79.3; 83.4; 99.3;	xii.	2. 42, 44; 3. 55-60 (parts); 5		71-74, 76-87
	123. 3-4		(except verses 15-17, 47-53, 55-70)	xix.	9. 14; 17-19; 21; 22 (all
vii.	81.4-5; 88; 89.4; 97.5-8				but last verse); 23 (do.); 31.
					12?; 44. 4-5; 45. 6-10; 51;
					57. 2-4, 6; 60; 61; 69; 70

#### 2. List of Hymns ignored by the Kāuçika-Sūtra

[In his copy of the Kāuçika, Whitney has noted the hymns in question. I have modified his list; but it can hardly be drawn with entire precision and certainty. Thus if we accept the statements of the scholiasts as to what hymns or verses are included in certain ganas or meant by certain terms (like brahmagavyāu at 48. 13 or vrsalingāh at 29. 15) or pratīkas, all the hymns under book v. and some others (like vi. 95) may be struck from the list. At 36.13, rathajitām should mean vi. 130; but Dārila and Keçava both understand 130-132 to be intended. For some hymns as to which the reader, seeing an asterisk or a blank in Bloomfield's Index, might be in doubt, a few words may be said : iv. 4 is textually cited at 40. 14, and so is ix. 9 at 18. 25; for ii. 20-23, see introd. to ii. 19; and for iv. 7, see introd. to iv. 7. Hymns iii. 26-27 are really cited under the name digyukte at 14. 25; iv. 23-29, as the mrgāra-hymns, at 27. 34; and vi. 35-36 as the vāiçvānarīye at 31.5. Hymn vii. 81 is cited as the darci-verses at 24. 18. Certain pairs have the same pratika and so give rise to questions: thus vii. 59. 1 and vi. 37. 3; vii. 73. 11 and ix. 10. 20; vii. 75. 1 and iv. 21. 7; xvi. 5. 1 and vi. 46. 2. Hymns vi. 94, vii. 92,

and vii. 93 are perhaps doubtful. As to book xix., see p. 896. The table follows according to the three grand divisions.

ii. iii. iv. v.	none none none 4, 5, 16, 18, 19 47, 95, 120, 131, 132 5, 23, 27, 28, 40, 47– 49, 58, 59, 94	viii. 4, 9, 10 ix. 6, 10 x. 2, 7, 8 xi. 3, 5, 7, 8 xii. 5	xiii. 4 xiv. none xv. all xvi. r's 4, 5, 7, 8 xvii. none xviii. none xix. all but 51, 52, 59, 60, 64, 68 xx. all
--------------------------	---	---	---

### 3. Concordance of two Methods of citing the Kāuçika-Sūtra

LThe references to this treatise in the commentary as printed in the Bombay edition are made by adhyāya, and by kandikā as numbered from the beginning of each adhyāya, but without giving the individual sūtra. Bloomfield and Whitney cite by kandika as numbered from the beginning of the treatise, and by sūtra. The addition of the sūtra makes the reference more precise and convenient; but both methods are at fault. The citations should be by adhyāya, by kandikā as numbered from the beginning of the adhyāya, and by sūtra. For the convenience of those who wish to study the Kāuçika as cited by the comm., the following concordance is given. The Roman numerals with the smaller Arabic figures (at the left and middle of each column) show the citations according to the method of the Bombay edition; the larger Arabic figures show the kandikās as numbered by Bloomfield. A better way to harmonize the two methods than by the use of this table is to write on the upper outside corner of each odd page of Bloomfield's text-edition the adhyāya with a Roman numeral, and the kandikā as numbered from the beginning of the adhyāya with an Arabic numeral.

i.	I	I	j iii.	4	21	v.	5	41	viii.	2	61	x	i.	2	81	xiii.	9	101	xiii.	29	121
	2	2		5	22		6	42		3	62			3	82		10	102		30	122
	3	3		6	23		7	43	1	4	63	1		4	83		11	103		31	123
	4	4	1	7	24		8	44	}	5	64	1		5	84		12	104		32	124
	5	5	iv.	1	25		9	45	1	6	65			6	85		13	105		33	125
	6	6		2	26		10	46		7	66			7	86		14	106		34	126
	7			3	27	vi.		47		8	67			8	87		15	107		35	127
	8	8		4	28		2	48		9	68			9	88		16	108		36	128
	9	9		5	29		3	49	ix.	1	69		1	0	89		17	109		37	129
ü.	I	10		6	30	vii.	1	50		2	70	xi	i.	I	90		18	110		38	1 30
	2	11		7	31		2	51		3	7 I			2	91		19	111		39	131
	3	12		8	32		3	52		4	72			3	92		20	112		40	1 3 2
	4	13		9	33		4	53		5	73	xiii	<b>.</b>	1	93		21	113		<b>4</b> 1	133
	5	14		10	34		5	54		6	74			2	94		22	114		42	134
	6	15		11	35		6	55	<b>x</b> .	1	75	1		3	95		23	115		43	135
	7	16		12	36		7	56		2	76			4	96		24	116		44	136
		17	v.		37		8	57		3	77			5	97		25	117	xiv.	T	137
iii.	I	18		2	38		9	<u>5</u> ს		4	78			6	98		26	118		2	1 38
	2	19		3	<b>3</b> 9 ·		10	59		5	79			7	99		27	119		3	139
۴	3	20		4	40	viii.	1	60	xi.	I	80	1		8	100		28	120		4-5	140-1

### 4. Concordance of Berlin and Bombay Hymn-numbers

LThe discrepancies between the two editions have been duly explained in the proper places, and are discussed in vol. VII., p. cxxxiv, where all needed references to those explanations may be found.

Hymns of the Bombay ed.	The underwrit to the hyp	Hymns of the Bombay ed.				
	·Book viii.	Book ix.	Book . xi.	Book xii.	Book xiti.	
I	I	I	I	I	I	I
2	2	2	2	2,	2	2
3	3	3	3.1-31	3	3	3
4	4	4	3.32-49	4	4.1-13	4
5	5	5	3.50-56 •	5.1-6	4.14-21	5
6	6	б. 1-17	4	5.7-11	4 22-28	6
7	7	6.18-30	5	5.12-27	4.29-45	7
8	8	6.31-39	6	5.28-38	4.46-51	8
9	9	6.40-44	7	5.39-46	4.52-56	9
10	10.1-7	6.45-48	8	5.47-61		10
11	10.8-17	6.49-62	9	5.62-73		11
12	IO.18-21	7.1-26	10			12
13	IO.22-25	8				13
14	10.26-29	9				·14
15	10.30-33	10				15]

#### 5. Pāippalāda Passages corresponding to Passages of the Vulgate

[Primary use of the table, its genesis and character. — Its primary use is for finding in the facsimile the Pāipp. parallel of a given Vulgate passage. For the genesis of the table, the reader will please consult pages lxxxvlxxvi. It is a provisional table; but it will be, as I hope, a very useful one, pending the appearance of the transliteration of the Kashmirian text with marginal references and index as explained at p. lxxvii. The pencilled numbers described at p. lxxxv I have used with care in making the table; but since I have not verified the table from the facsimile, I do not warrant its accuracy, nor can I vouch for its completeness.]

[Incidental uses of the table.— It is of no small critical interest as giving a bird's-eye view of the mutual relations of the Vulgate and Pāippalāda material as respects general arrangement. Thus the cases in which hymns of a given Vulgate book correspond to hymns of the same book in Pāipp. are noticeably frequent in books i., ii., iii., and iv.; while Vulgate book vi. appears largely in book xix. of Pāipp. The fact that the hymns of book vii. appear mostly in the very last book of Pāipp. (in xx.) agrees remarkably with our conclusions respecting the character of that book as a supplement to the nucleus of the first grand division. So, again, the fact that the material of the second grand division is massed in

Pāipp, in its books xvi. and xvii. is a striking confirmation of the view that the Vulgate books viii.-xii. constitute a distinct unity subordinate to that of the whole samhitā. Even yet more striking is the fact that the material of the third grand division of the Vulgate, books xiii.-xviii., has been grouped by the Pāippalāda text-makers into a single book, their xviii. The fact has already been noted above (p. clix) in its proper connection; and the details of the correspondence are given below, in the next para-It will be noticed that while nearly all of the paryāya material of graph. division II. appears in Pāipp., nearly all of that of division III. is no less noticeably lacking, although it is probably recognized in the case of books xv. and xvi. as a part of the text. Once more, the table shows interesting examples of the breaking up in Pāipp. of material which, although treated as a hymn-unit in the Vulgate (cf. vi. 28), is devoid of internal connection. As was noted above (pages cli and cliv), the put-together character of some of the hymns in vii. appears plainly here; and the added verse by which the Vulgate hymn in vi. transcends the norm is conspicuously absent in Paipp. - I may add that the table gives a conspectus of the number of the verses of the individual hymns which will sometimes prove useful. In vii., although retaining the Berlin numbering, I have made shift to take account of the true division of the material into hymns (cf. the table at vii. 6, 45, 54, 68, 72, 76).]

| Vulgate grand division III. and Pāippalāda book xviii. --- This book fills just a trifle less than a dozen of the birch-bark leaves, namely leaves 228-239: its first verse (= first vs. of Vulgate xiv.) begins on the very last line of folio 227 b, and its last (= last vs. of Vulgate xviii.) ends on line 8 of folio 239 b with the vipsa of Vulgate xviii. 4. 89 d, om vittam me asya rodasī. The Pāipp. book falls between 313 a and 330 b of Roth's Kashmirjan nāgarī transcript (p. lxxxi); but, in the citations which follow in this paragraph, reference is made, not to that transcript, but rather to the leaves of the birch-bark original as given in the facsimile, and the side of the leaf, recto or verso, is indicated by a or b, and the line by a number. The relations of Vulgate division III. to Pāipp. xviii. are obscured in the table on p. 1023 by the straggling verses of which account is there made; I therefore subjoin (p. 1015) a tabular statement designed expressly to make those relations clear. It will be observed, in the first place, that, on the one hand, the Vulgate books xiv. (wedding verses) and xvii. (Vishnu sun-hymn), and the first half of xiii. (Rohita sun-hymns) are given substantially in full in Paipp.; and that, on the other, the paryayabooks xv. (Vrātya) and xvi. (Paritta) and book xviii. (funeral verses) are not textually given, but are merely acknowledged as a part of the text by the citation of a few representative passages; and that, moreover, so far as Pāipp. xviii. is concerned, the paryāya-hymn xiii. 4 and the hymn xiii. 3

1015

Vul	gate		Birch-bark af side line
xiv. I.	Ia	satyenottabhitā bhūmis (then substantially the whole hymn: 5 pages)	
		çivā syonās patiloke vi rāja (end of hymn)	2302 12
	•	Colophon of anuvāka 1	13
		•	-
2.		. tubhyam agre pary avahan (then substantially the whole hymn: 6 pp.	) 13
	75d	dīrghan tāyus savitā krņotu (end of hymn and book)	233a I I
		Colophon of anuvāka 2	15
xiii. 1.	Ia	udīhi vājin yoʻpsv antar (then substantially the whole hymn: 4 pp.)	15
		Colophon of anuvāka 3 (to be expected 6 lines later)	235a 14
	55e	rohitena rişanābhrtam (end of hymn: vss. 56-60 wanting)	20
,	12	ud asya ketavo divi (then substantially the whole hymn: 4 pp.)	20
~.		pra bhānavas sasrje nā [kam a]cha (end of hymn: not of book)	237b I
	404	Colophon of anuvāka 4	16
		Vulgate xiii. 3 and paryāya-hymn xiii. 4 are wanting	
xv. I.	I	vrādyāu vā ida agra āsīt (with most of paryāya 1 : 7 lines)	16
	8		238a 1
2.	I		2
xvi. I.	т	atisrsto apām vrsabho (then 3 or 4 verses of paryāya 1: 3 lines)	2
4.		agnir me daksam dadhātu (end of Vulgate anuvāka 1)	6
4.	'		Ŭ
5.	I	vidma te svapna janitram (beginning of Vulgate anuvāka 2)	6
9.	I	jitam asmākam udbhin[n]am asmākam (beginning of last paryāya namely 9 [not 8], of Vulgate anuvāka 2): then 4 lines	, 6
	4		TI
	4	Colophon of anuvāka 5	12
xvii. I.	1a	vişāsahyam sahamānam (then substantially the whole hymn namely 2 or 3 pages, ending)	, 12
	30d	sahasram prāņā mayu te ramantām (end of hymn and book)	239b 6
		Then follows, without a syllable intervening,	
xviii. 4.	89	candramā apsv antar ā (the entire last verse of hymn and book)	6
•	-	Colophon of Pāippalāda book xviii.	9
_			-
vi. 1.	1	doșo gāya brhad gāya (as beginning of Pāipp. xix.)	11

are ignored entirely. — It appears, secondly, that the order of Vulgate xiii. and xiv. is inverted in Pāipp.; but that the order of the remaining four books is the same for both recensions. — It appears, thirdly, that Pāipp. xviii. consists of 6 anuvākas, and that these anuvākas correspond in the main to certain Vulgate anuvākas : anuvākas I and 2 of Pāipp. to the two long anuvāka-hymns which make up Vulgate xiv.; 3 and 4 of Pāipp. to the first two anuvāka-hymns of Vulgate xiii.; and anuvāka 6 of 1016

Pāipp.<sup>1</sup> to the Vulgate anuvāka-hymn, or book, xvii., and the representative verse of Vulgate xviii. — It appears, finally, that anuvāka 5, containing the representative citations from Vulgate books xv. and xvi., consists of hardly 16 lines. The fact that so brief a passage should figure in the text as an anuvāka (it is expressly so called in its colophon : 238 a 12) must, I think, be interpreted as indicating that these books were acknowledged as a part of the text by the text-makers (so Roth and Whitney: cf. p. 794). — The colophon of anuvāka 3, we may add, appears to be somewhat misplaced: another case of misdivision (cf. p. 814).]

[By way of correction to p. 794, line 10, we may add that Roth errs in saying that xvi. 8. I is given in Pāipp.; its pratīka is like that of 9. I, but the facsimile actually shows 9. I and not 8. I. This is in accord with the general method of scribal abbreviation (cf. p. cxx), for 9. I is the last paryāya, and the abbreviated book thus appears to be represented, as it should be, by the beginning and end of each of its two anuvākas. — A similar error has arisen at p. 884, in my second addition to the note on xviii. 4. 49, where this verse is said to come immediately after the end of Vulgate xvii. in Pāipp. The error is due to a slip of Roth's, who, in his Collation, had written xviii. 4. 49 where he should have written xviii. 4. 89. The latter is the last verse of xviii., and is therefore the one that we should expect as representative verse. The addition should be transposed from p. 884 to p. 894.]

| Explanation of the table. --- The table follows the sequence of the hymns of the Vulgate, book by book. At the left of each column is the number of the hymn. Then follows the word "has," with the number of verses of which the hymn consists and a colon. If the hymn is lacking in Pāipp., the colon and all else is omitted. Otherwise, after the colon comes the word "at" and then the number of the leaf of Roth's Kashmirian nāgarī transcript (p. lxxxi) on which the beginning of the correspondent of the Vulgate passage concerned occurs, with the recto or verso of the leaf indicated by a or b. At the right is added in Roman numerals, immediately after the word "in," the book of the Kashmirian recension to which the passage concerned belongs. It is to be understood that the Vulgate passage includes the whole hymn unless the contrary is indicated by the specification of the verses of the hymn between the colon and the word "at." The number specifying the verses is to be understood as an ordinal throughout division I. and the Supplement (the short hymns). Elsewhere (that is, throughout divisions II. and III., the hymns of many verses) it is to be understood as a cardinal, and the abbreviation vss. or vs. is added. To find which verses of a given hymn are meant and the

 $<sup>\</sup>lfloor^1$  There is no special colophon for this anuvāka, its place being taken by the colophon for the whole book.  $\rfloor$ 

details of their order, the reader will have to consult the introduction to that hymn (cf. the introduction to ix. 3 or 4 or x. 2 or xi. 6).]

[Manner of using the table. — Example: to find in the facsimile of the birch-bark ms. the Pāippalāda passage corresponding to Vulgate xix. 50. 5. First find in the table the number of the leaf of Roth's Kashmirian nāgarī transcript, which is 196 b. Then find in the facsimile the number 196 b, noted in the margin in Roth's hand. Between that and 197 a will be found the beginning of the passage required, which in this case will be at line 1 of birch-bark folio 158 b, on plate 286, and in the Second Part. The passage belongs to book xiv. of the Kashmirian recension.]

LUsers of the table will find it convenient to note clearly in pencil on the margin of each plate of the facsimile the number of the leaf of the transcript opposite the place where Roth has written it on the birch-bark original, since, by reason of repairs to the original or otherwise, Roth's numbers are sometimes hard to make out when taken singly. When taken together in their regular sequence, they can usually be identified with ease. Thus the 197 a on plate 286 (just cited) is very faint indeed; but the 197 b (some five inches lower down) is so plain that it enables us to identify the 197 a. Of the references to Roth's Kashmirian nāgarī transcript on the first 42 plates, only a few can be made out easily (6 a, 6 b, 7 a, 8 a, 11 b, 12 a, 12 b, 13 b, 14 a, 15 b, 16 a); but beginning with 19 a, on plate 43, there is usually little difficulty.]

[Tabular Concordance. — The table now follows on the next six pages. It is made up into pages in such a way as to give incidentally a good idea of the structure of the Vulgate text; and the same purpose I have endeavored to subserve also in the arrangement of the Table of Hymn-titles (pages 1024-1037): see especially pages 1034 and 1035.]

# LFIRST GRAND DIVISION: BOOKS I.-VII.

#### Vulgate, Book I.

#### Vulgate, Book II.

#### Vulgate, Book III.

(Norm: 4 verses)	
Hymn	
1 has 4 : at 3 a in i. 2 has 4 : at 1 ?	
3 has 9: 7, 8 at 346 b in xix.	
4 has 4	ĺ
5 has 4: 1-3 at 368 a in xix.	
6 has 4: at 1?	
7 has 7: at 67 a in iv.	
8 has 4: 1–3 at 67 a in iv.	
9 has 4: at 6 b in i.	
10 has 4: at 3b in i.	
11 has 6: 2-4 at 2 b in i.	
$5 \text{ at } ? \text{ in } \mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$ .	
6 at? in xx. 12 has 4: at 6 a in i.	
13 has 4: 2–4 at 332 b in xix	
13  nas  4.2-4  at  332.0  m xix 1 at ? in xix.	
1 at 205 b in xv.	
14 has 4: at 5 b in i.	
15 has 4: at 8 a in i.	
? at 367 a in xix.	
16 has 4: at 4 a in i.	
17 has 4: at 333 b in xix.	
18 has 4: 1, 3 at 387 a in xx.	
2 at ? in xx.	
19 has 4: at 7 a in i.	
20 has $4: 1-3$ at $342$ b in xix.	
4 at 48 a in ii. 21 has 4: at 48 a in ii.	
22 has 4 : at 9 a in i.	
23 has 4: at 6 a in i.	l
24 has 4: at 8 b in i.	l
25 has 4: at 10 a in i.	
26 has 4: 1, 2 at 332 b in xix.	
3, 4 at ? in xix.	
27 has 4: at 356 a in xix.	
28 has 4	
29 has 6: 1-3, 5, 6 at 4 a in i.	
30 has 4: at 5 a in i.	I
31 has 4: at 7 b in i.	
32 has $4$ : at 8 a in i. 33 has $4$ : at 8 b in i.	
33 nas 4: at 8 D in 1. 34 has 5: 1, 2, 5 at 27 a in ii.	
34 has 5: 1, 2, 5 at 27 a m n. 3 at ? in vi.	
4 at 139 a in viii.	
35 has 4	
·	٢

(Norm : 5 verses) Hymn 1 has 5: at 26 a in ii. 2 has 5: at 3 a in i. 3 has 6: 1, 2, 4, 5 at 3 b in i. 4 has 6: 1-5 at 27 b in ii. 5 has 7: 1, 3, 4 at 26 b in ii. 5-7 at ? in xiii. 6 has 5: at 61 b in iii. 7 has 5 8 has 5: 1 at 21 a in i. 9 has 5: at 27 b in ii. 10 has 8: at 24 b in ii. 11 has 5 12 has 8: at 26 a in ii. 13 has 5: 1, 4, 5 at 199 b in xv. 14 has 6: 1, 5, 6, 2, 3 at 25 b in ii. 4 at ? in v. 15 has 6: at 107 b in vi. 16 has 5: 1-4 at 38 b in ii. 17 has 7: at ? in ii. 18 has 5: at ? in ii. 19 has 5: at ? in ii. 20 has 5 21 has 5 22 has 5 23 has 5 24 has 8: 1-6 at 38 a in ii. 25 has 5: at 70 b in iv. 26 has 5: at 28 a in ii. 27 has 7 : at 29 a in ii. 28 has 5: 1-4 at 4 b in i. 5 at ? in xv. 29 has 7: 1-3 at 344 a in xix. 4-7 at 5 a in i. 30 has 5: at 29 b in ii. 31 has 5: at 28 b in ii. 32 has 6: at 28 b in ii. 33 has 7: at 68 a in iv. 34 has 5: at 61 a in iii. 35 has 5: 1-4 at 18 a in i. 36 has 8: 1-5, 7 at 31 b in ii.

Hymn	(Norm: 6 verses)	٠
1 has	6: at 51 a in iii.	
2 has	6: at 50 b in iii.	
3 has		
4 has	7: at 49 a in iii.	
5 has	8: 1-7 at 54 a in i	li.
6 has	8: 1-5, 7, 8 at 50a i	n iii.
7 has	7: at 49 b in iii.	
8 has	6: 1-4 at 6 b in i.	
	5 = vi. 94.1 in	xix.
9 has		
10 has	-	2 a
	in i.	
II has	8: 1-4 at 14 a in i	
12 h <b>as</b>	9: 1-5, 7 at 56 a in	n iii.
	6 at 389 b in x	
1	8 at 308 a in x	
13 has	7: 1-6 at 50 b in i	n.
14 has 15 has	6: I-4, 6 at 28 b in 8: I, 2, 4, 6 at 370	
15 nas	o: 1, 2, 4, 0 at 370 in xix.	00
	4 at 12 b in i.	
16 has	7: at 78 a in iv.	
17 has	9: 2, 1, 5, 4 at 32 a	in ii
17	3 at ? in xix.	
	6  at  ?  in  xix.	
	6 at ? in xii.	
18 has		n vii.
19 has		
20 has	10: 1-9 at 62 a in i	ii.
21 has	10: 1-9 at 53 a in i	ii.
	10 at 123 b in v	
22 has	6: 1–5 at 55 a in i	ii.
23 has	6: at 54 a in iii.	
24 has	7: 1-6 at 97 a in v	7.
25 has	6	
26 has	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
27 has		n iii.
28 has		
29 has		
30 has 31 has		
JI nas	11	

Vulgate, Book IV.

# Vulgate, Book V.

Vulgate, Book VI.

(Norm: 7 verses)	(Norm: 8 verses) Hymn	(Norm: 3 veršes) Hymn
Hymn	$\mathbf{I}$ has $9$ : at $105$ b in vi.	1 has 3: at 330 b in xix.
1 has 7: at 83 b in v. 2 has 8: at 83 b? in iv.	2 has 9: at 105 a in v.	2 has 3: at 331 a in xix.
3 has 7: 1-4,6,7,at 27 a in ii		3 has 3: at 331 a in xix.
4 has 8: 1-6, 8, at 67 b in iv		4 has 3: at 331 h in xix.
2 nas 0. 1=0, 0, at 07 0 m 10 ? at 340 a in xix.	5-7 at 338 b in xix.	5 has 3: at 332 b in xix.
5 has 7: at 68 a in iv.	8–10 at 30 a in ii.	6 has 3: at 332 b in xix.
6 has 8: 2-8 at 87 a in v.	5 has 9: at 107 a in vi.	7 has 3: at ? in xix.
7 has 7: 1 at 87 b in v.	6 has 14: 1-5, 8-14 at 110 b	S has 3
2-6 at 24 b in ii.	in vi.	9 has 3: at ? in ii.
7 at 110 b in vi.	7 has 10	10 has 3
8 has 7: at 65 a in iv.	8 has 9: 1-6, 8, 9 at 126 b	11 has 3: at 339 a in xix.
9 has 10: 2-10 at 129 a in viii		12 has 3: at 333 a in xix.
10 has 7: 1-4, 6, 7 at 75 l		13 has 3: at 333 b in xix.
in iv.	to has 8	14 has 3: at 340 a in xix.
11 has 12: at 58 a in iii.	11 has 11: at 128 a in viii.	15 has 3: at 334 a in xix.
12 has 7: at 71 b in iv.	12 has 11	16 has 4: 1-3 at 334 a in xix.
13 has 7: at 92 b in v.	13 has 11: 2–11 at 128 b in viii.	17 has 4: 2-4 at 333 a in xix.
14 has 9: 1-6 at 64 a in iii.	? at 11 b in i.	18 has 3: at 335 b in xix.
7–9 at ? in xvi.	14 has 13: 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 10-12	19 has 3: at 335 b in xix.
15 has 16: 1, 3-14, 16 at 86 a	🔹 at 117 a in vii.	20 has 3: 3 at ? in xiii.
in v.	9, 13 at 37 a in ii.	21 has 3: at 10a in i.
16 has 9: 2, 3, 5, 7, 8 at 100 a		22 has 3: at 348 b in xix.
in v.	16 has 11: at 130 a in viii.	23 has 3: at 333b in xix.
4, 6 at 98 a in v.	17 has 18: 1-7, 9-11 at 148 a	24 has 3: at 335 b in xix.
17 has 8: 1-6 at 95 a in v.	in ix.	? at 55 b in iii.
8 at 33 b in ii.	18 at ? in ix.	25 has 3: at 334 a in xix.
18 has 8: at 95 a in v.	18 has 15: 1-6, 8-15 at 149 b	26 has 3: at 345 a in xix.
19 has 8: at 96 a in v.	in ix.	27 has 3: at 340 a in xix.
20 has 9: at 130 a in viii.	19 has 15: 1-4, 7, 8, 10, 12 at	28 has 3: 1 at 340 b in xix.
21 has 7	150 b in ix.	2 at 158 b in x.
22 has 7: at 56 b in iii. 23 has 7: at 79 a in iv.	15 at ? in ix. 20 has 12: at 156a in ix.	3 at 353 a in xix.
23 has $7$ : at $7$ at $1$ in iv. 24 has $7$ : at $82$ a in iv.	20 has 12: at 150 a m fx.	29 has 3 30 has 3: at 350 a in xix.
25 has 7: at 79b in iv.	22 has 14: 1, 3–10, 12, 14, at	31 has 3: at 368 a in xix.
26 has 7: at 80 b in iv.	176a in xiii.	32 has 3: 1, 2 at 338 b in xix.
27 has 7: at 80 a in iv.	? at 94 a in v.	33 has 3: at $353a$ in xix.
28 has 7: at 81 a in iv.	13 at 10 a in i.	34 has 5: 1, 3, 4 at 368 a in
29 has 7: at 81 b in iv.	23 has 13: 1-9, 13 at 117 b in	xix.
30 has 8	vii.	35 has 3: at 337 a in xix.
31 has 7: at 70 a in iv.	24 has 17: 1, 2, 4, 7-12, 14, 15,	36 has 3: at 333 a in xix.
32 has 7: at 78 b in iv.	17 at 200 b in xv.	37 has 3: at 385 b in xx.
33 has 8: at 77 b in iv.	25 has 13: 1, 3-13 at 176 b in	38 has 4: at 29 b in ii.
34 has 8: at 115 b in vi.	xiii.	39 has 3: ? at 336 a in xix.
35 has 7	26 has 12: at 140 b in ix.	? at 338 a in xix.
36 has 10	27 has 12: at 140 a in ix.	40 has 3: 1, 2, at plate 14 in i.
37 has 12: 1-8, 10-12 at 178 a	28 has 14: 1, 3–11 at 42 a in ii.	41 has 3
in xiii.	29 has 15: 1-9, 12-15 at 185 a	42 has 3: at 336 b in xix.
38 has 7	in xili.	43 has 3: at 358 a in xix.
39 has 10: 9 at 185 a in xiii.	30 has 17: at 147 a in ix.	44 has 3: 1 ab at 65 a in iii.
40 has 8	31 has 12: 12 at 11 a in i.	1 cd, 2 at 395 a in
	l I	xix.

•

# FIRST GRAND DIVISION: BOOKS I.-VII. (Continued)

# Vulgate, Book VI. (Continued) | Vulgate, Book VI. (Continued) | Vulgate, Book VI. (Concluded)

·		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(Norm: 3 verses) Hymn	(Norm : 3 verses) Hymn	(Norm: 3 verses) Hymn
45 has 3: at ? in xix.	85 has 3: at 334 b in xix.	129 has 3: at 356b in xix.
46 has 3: 1, 3 at ? in xix.	86 has 3: at 335 a in xix.	130 has 4
3 at 36 b in ii,	87 has 3: at 334 b in xix.	131 has 3
47 has 3: at 366 b in xix.	88 has 3	132 has 5
48 has 3	89 has 3	133 has 5: at 100 b in v.
49 has 3: at 356b in xix.	90 has 3: at 344 b in xix.	134 has 3: at 100 b in v.
50 has 3: 2 at 346a in xix.	91 has 3: at 344 b in xix.	135 has 3: at 100 b in v.
51 has 3: at 366 b in xix.	92 has 3: at 359 a in xix.	136 has 3
? at 106 a in vi.	93 has 3: at 341 b in xix.	137 has 3: 2 at 16a in i.
52 has 3: at 335 b in xix.	94 has 3: 1 at 341 b in xix.	138 has 5: 1-4 at ? in i.
? at 24 a in i.	95 has 3	139 has 5
53 has 3: at 336a in xix.	96 has 3: at 339 a in xix.	140 has 3: at? in xix
? at 66 b in iv.	97 has 3: at 339 b in xix.	141 has 3: at 348 a in xix.
54 has 3: at 336a in xix.	98 has 3: at 339 b in xix.	142 has 3
55 has 3	99 has 3: at 340 a in xix.	
56 has 3: at 337 b in xix.	100 has 3: at 340 a in xix.	-
57 has 3: at 337 b in xix.	IOI has 3	
58 has 3: 1, 2 at 337 b in xix.	102 has 3: at 341 a in xix.	
59 has 3: at 341 a in xix.	103 has 3: at 345a in xix.	
60 has 3: at 341 a in xix.	104 has 3: at 371 b in xix.	
61 has 3: at 341 a in xix.	105 has 3: 2 ab at 349 b in xix.	
62 has 3: at 355 a in xix.	106 has 3: at 358 a in xix.	
63 has 4: 1, 2 at 338 b in xix.	107 has 4 : at 367 a in xix.	
4 at 335 a in xix.	108 has 5 · 1, 2, 5 at 344 a in	
64 has 3: 1, 2 at 379 a in xix.	xix.	
65 has 3: 1, 2 ab, 3 cd at 339 a	109 has 3: at 352 b in xix.	
in xix.	110 has 3	
66 has 3: at 339a in xix.	III has 4	
67 has 3	112 has 3: 1, 2 at 347 b in xix.	
68 has 3: at 341 a in xix.	3 at ? in i.	
69 has 3: 1 at 36a in ii.	113 has 3: 1 ab at ? in i.	
2 at 357 b in xix.	114 has 3: at 233b in xvi.	
70 has 3	115 has 3: at 234 a in xvi	
71 has 3: at 34 a in ii.	116 has 3: at 234 a in xvi.	
1 at 384 a in xx.	117 has 3 · at 234 a in xvi.	
72 has 3: at 384 a?in xx.	118 has 3: at 234 b in xvi.	
73 has 3: at 338 a in xix.	119 has 3: at 234 b in xvi.	
74 has 3: at 342 a in xix.	120 has 3: at 235 a in xvi.	
75 has 3: at 342 a in xix.	121 has 4: 1 ab, 2 ab, 3, 4 at	
76 has 4: at 342 a in xix.	235 a in xvi.	
77 has 3: at 342 b in xix.	122 has 5: 2, 3 at 235 b in xvi.	
78 has 3: at 343 a in xix.	123 has 5	
79 has 3: at 343b in xix.	124 has 3	
80 has 3: at 343a in xix.	125 has 3: at 252 b in xv.	
81 has 3: at 343b in xix.	126 has 3: at 122 b in vii.	
82 has 3: at 343 b in xix.	127 has 3	
83 has 4: 1–3 at 7 b in i.	128 has 4: 1, 2, 4 at 350 b in	
84 has 4	xix.	l

(Norm: 1 verse)

Vulgate, Book VII.

#### Vulgate, Book VH. (Continued) | Vulgate, Book VII. (Concluded)

(Norm: 1 verse) (According to Berlin numbering) Hymn 1 has 2: at 376a in xx. 2 has 1: at 376 b in xx. 3 has 1: at 377 a in xx. 4 has 1: at 377 a in xx. 5 has 5: 1, 2 at 377 a in xx. 6 has 2: at 376 b in xx. and 2: at 376 b in xx. 7 has 1: at 376b in xx. 8 has I: at 377 b in xx. 9 has 4: 4 at ? in xx. 10 has 1 II has I 12 has 4: 1, 2 at 388 b in xx. 13 has 2: at 347 a in xix. 14 has 4: 3, 4 at 377 b in xx. 15 has 1: at 377 b in xx. 16 has 1 17 has 4: I at 11 a in i. 2-4 at 377 a in xx. 18 has 2: at ? in xx. 19 has 1: at 348b in xix. 20 has 6: at 378 a in xx. 21 has 1: at 378 b in xx. 22 has 2: at 378 b in xx. 23 has 1 (cf. iv. 17. 5) 24 has 1 25 has 2: at 384 b in xx. 26 has 8: 1-3 at 379 b in xx. 27 has I 28 has 1: at ? in xx. 29 has 2: at 379 b in xx. 30 has 1 31 has I 32 has 1: at ? in xx. 33 has 1: at 114 a in vi. 34 has 1: at ? in xx. 35 has 3: 1 at ? in xx. 2 at 393 b in xx. 36 has 1 : at ? in xx. 37 has 1 38 has 5: 1, 2 at ? in xx. 3-5 at 60 a in iii. 39 has 1: at 381 b in xx. 40 has 2: at 381 b in xx. 41 has 2: at 381 b in xx. 42 has 2: at 23 b in i. 43 has 1 44 has I: at 384 b in xx. 45 has 1: at 383 b in xx. and 1: at 383 b in xx.

. Hymn 46 has 3: at 383b, 382 b in xx. 47 has 2 : at 379 a in xx. 48 has 2: at 382 a in xx. 49 has 2 50 has 9: 1, 2, 5 at 337 a in xx. 3. at ? in xx. 7 at 308 a in xvii. 8, 9 at 12 a in i. 51 has 1: at ? in xv. 52 has 2 53 has 7: 1 at 379 a in xx. 2-4 at 382 a in xx. 7 at 86a in v. 54.1 is 1: at 390 b in xx. at 405 b in xx. 54.2 55 I (54 2 and 55 1 make 1 hymn) 56 has 8: 1-4 at 384 a in xx. 8 at ? in ? 57 has 2: 1 at ? in xx. 2 at ? in xx. 58 has 2: at 379 b in xx. 59 has 1 (cf. vi. 37. 3) 60 has 7: 1-6 at 59 a in iii. 61 has 2: 1 cd at 353 b in xx. 62 has 1: at ? in xx. 63 has 1: at 394 b in xx. 64 has 2: at ? in xx. 65 has 3 66 has 1: at 394 b in xx. 67 has 1 68.1-2 are 2 .3 is 1 69 has 1: at ? in xx. 70 has 5: 1, 2 at 352 a in xix. 71 has 1: at 352 b in xix. 72.1-2 are 2 .3 is I 73 has 11: 1-6 at 382 b in xx. 10, 11 at 381 a in xx. 74 has 4 75 has 2 76.1-4 are 4: 1 at 7 b in i. 3, 4 ab at 363 b in xix. [xix. .5-6 are 2: 5 cd at 363 b in 6 at ? in xx.77 has 3: 2, 3 at 394 a in xx. 78 has 2: at 321 a in xx. 79 has 4: 1 at ? in xx. 2, 3 at 22 a in i.

(Norm. 1 veise) Hymn 80 has 4: 1, 4 at 21 b in i. 81 has 6 82 has 6: 2, 6 at 394 a in xx. 3 at 61 b in iii. 83 has 4: 1, 2 at 394 b in xx. 84 has 3: 1 at 62 a in iii. 85 has 1 86 has 1 87 has I: at ? in  $\lambda x$ . 88 has 1: at ? in xx. 89 has 4: 1-3 at 10 b in i. 90 has 3: at 393 a in xx. 91 has I 92 has 1 93 has 1 94 has 1 : at 334 b in xix. 95 has 3 96 has 1: at ? in xx. 97 has 8: at 395 a in xx. ? at 383 a in xx. 98 has 1 : at 395 b in xx. 99 has 1 100 has 1: at 306 a in xx. 101 has 1 : at 396a in xx. 102 has 1 103 has 1 : at ? in xx. 104 has 1: at 378 a in xx. 105 has 1 : at 380 a in xx. 106 has 1: at 386 a in xx. 107 has 1 108 has 2 109 has 7: at 69 a in iv. 110 has 3: 1, 2 at ? in xx. 3 at ? in xx. 111 has 1: at 381 b in xx. 112 has 2 113 has 2: at 385 a in xx. 114 has 2: 1 at 385 a in xx. 115 has 4: 1, 2 at 385 b in xx. 116 has 2 117 has 1 118 has 1

#### SECOND GRAND DIVISION: BOOKS VIII.-XII.

#### Vulgate, Book VIII.

Vulgate, Book X.

(Paryāya-hymn: 10) Hymn 1 has 21: at 207 b in xvi. 2 has 28: 27 vss. at 209 a in xvi. 3 has 26: at 211 a in xvi. 4 has 25: at 213a in xvi. 5 has 22: 21 vss. at 223a in xvi. 6 has 26: at 250 b in xvi. 7 has 28: at 215 a in xvi. 8 has 24 : at 224 b in xvi. 9 has 26: 24 vss. at 218 b in xvi. P 10 has 33: 30 vss. at 274 b in xvi.

(Partly prose: hymn 5) Hymn 1 has 32: at 227 a in xvi. 2 has 33: 29 vss. at 238 b in xvi. 3 has 25: 23 vss. at 240 b in xvi. 4 has 26: at 217 a in xvi. 5 has 50: 41 vss. at 272 b in xvi. 4 vss. at 15 a in i. 6 has 35: 25 vss. at 231 a in xvi. 7 has 44 : 40 vss. at 290 b in xvii. 8 has 44 : 25 vss. at 262 b, at 261 b, and at 263 in xvi. 9 has 27 : at 275 b in xvi. 10 has 34 : 33 vss. at 265 a in xvi.

#### Vulgate, Book XII.

(Paryāya-hymn: 5) Hymn I has 63: 61 vss. at 285 b ir xvii. ? at 373 b in xix. ? has 55: 53 vss. at 304 b ir xvii. ? at 395 a in xx.? 3 has 60: 59 vss. at 308 a ir xvii. 4 has 53: at 295 b in xvii. P 5 has 73: 61 vss. at 278 b ir xvi.

#### Vulgate, Book IX.

(Paryaya-hymns: 6 and 7) I has 24: at 226a in xvi. ? at 108 a ? at 69 b (see p. 517, last ¶) 2 has 25: 24 vss. at 248 bin xvi. 3 has 31: at 229 a in xvi. 4 has 24: at 221 b in xvi. 5 has 38: 20 vss. at 259 a in xvi. 9 vss. at ? in xvi. 3 vss. at 64 b in iii. 2 vss. at 139 a in viii. (see p. 533, ¶ 2) P6 has 62: 59 vss. at ? in xvi. P7 has 26: 24 vss. at ? in xvi. 8 has 22: 21 vss. at 247 b in xvi. 9 has 22: at 242 a in xvi. 10 has 28: 23 vss. at 242 a in xvi.

Vulgate, Book XI. (Paryāya-hymn: 3) 1 has 37 : at 255 b in xvi. 2 has 31 : at 263 b in xvi. P 3 has 56: ? vss. at 236a in xvi. (see p. 625, ¶ 7) 4 has 26: at 220 a in xvi. 5 has 26: at 283b in xvi. 6 has 23: 20 vss. at ? in xv. 7 has 27: 26 vss. at 252 a in xvi. 8 has 34: 32 vss. at 253 b in xvi. 9 has 26: bits of 15-17 at ? in xvii. 10 has 27

#### THIRD GRAND DIVISION: BOOKS XIII.-XVIII.: SEE P. 1014

#### Vulgate, Book XIII.

(Rohita sun-hymns) (Paryāya-hymn: 4) Hymn [xviii. I has 60: 55 vss. at 321 b in I vs. at ? in xx. 2 has 46: at 324 b in xviii. 3 has 26: I vs. at 66 a in iv. P4 has 56

#### Vulgate, Book XIV.

(Wedding verses) I has 64: 60 vss. at 313 a in xviii. I vs. at 76 a in iv. I vs. at 395 b in xx. 2 has 75: 73 vss. at 316 b in xviii.

#### Vulgate, Book XV.

(Vrātya-book) (Consists of 7 + 11 paryāyas) Hymn P I has 8: at 328 a in xviii. P 2 has 4: I phrase at 328 a in xviii. The rest (P 3-P 18) is lacking

#### Vulgate, Book XVI.

(Paritta)

(Consists of 4 + 5 paryāyas) The beginning and the end of each of its two anuvākas are given at 328 ab in xviii. See p. 1016.

#### Vulgate, Book XVII.

(Vishņu sun-hymn)

Hymn I has 30: 27 vss. at 328 b in xviii.

#### Vulgate, Book XVIII.

(Funeral verses) I has 61: I vs. at 34 a in ii. 2 has 60: I vs. at 373 b in xix. I vs. at 398 a in xx. 3 has 73: I vs. at 383 b in xx. 4 has 89: I vs. at 330 b in xviii. See pp. 814, 1016.

# SUPPLEMENT: BOOK XIX.

#### Vulgate, Book XIX.

(After-gleanings) 1 has 3: at 367 a in xix. 2 has 5: at 131 b in viii. 3 has 4: at 388 a in xx. 4 has 4: 2-4 at 350 a in xix. 5 has 1: at 386 a in xx. 6 has 16: 1-6, 9-16 at 142 a in ix. 7 has 5 8 has 7: 4-6 at 400 a in xx. 9 has 14 10 has 10: at 183 a in xiii. 11 has 6: at 183b in xiii. 12 has 1 13 has 11: at 118 b in vii. 14 has 1 15 has 6: at 62 b in iii. 16 has 2 17 has 10 18 has 10 19 has 11 20 has 4 21 has I 22 has 21 23 has 30 24 has 8: 1, 3-8 at 200 b in xv.

25 has I

(Continued) 26 has 4:4 at 403 a in xx. 27 has 15: 1-11, 14, 15 at 162 a m x. 28 has 10: at 187 a in xiii. 29 has 9: at 187 b in xiii. 30 has 5: at 187 b in xiii. 31 has 14: at 160 b in x. 32 has 10: at 172 b in xii. ? at 65 a 33 has 5: at 173 a in xii. 34 has 10: at 168 b in xi. 35 has 5: at 168 b in xi. 36 has 6: at 33 b in ii. 37 has 4 38 has 2: at 349 b in xix. 39 has 10: at 122 b in vii. 40 has 4: 1 at 362 a in xix. 4I has I 42 has 4: bits at ? in ? 43 has 8 44 has 10: at 198 b in xv. [xv. 45 has 10: 1-8, 10 at 199a in ? at 60 b in iii. 46 has 7: at 74 b in iv. 47 has 10: at 114 b in vi. 48 has 6: at 115 a in vi.

Vulgate, Book XIX. (Concluded) 49 has 10: at 196 a in xiv. 50 has 7: at 196 b in xiv. 51 has 2 52 has 5: at 9 b in i. 53 has 10: 1-6 at 189 a in xiv. 7-10 at 171 a in xii. 54 has 6: at 171 a in xii. 55 has 7: 1 at 401 a in xx. 56 has 6: at 52a in iii. 57 has 6(5): 1 at 36b in ii. 58 has 6: 1-4 at 24 a in i. 59 has 3 60 has 2 61 has 1 62 has I 63 has I 64 has 4 65 has 1: at 281 b in xvi. 66 has 1: at 281 b in xvi. 67 has 8 68 has 1: at 359 b in xix. 69 has 4: at ? in xix. ? 70 has 1 71 has 1 72 has I: at 359 b in xix.]

### 6. Whitney's English Captions to his Hymn-translations

[These captions form an important element in his interpretation of this Veda. — Upon this subject I have already spoken in the proper place, above, p. xev, which see. I would merely repeat the statement that these captions or hymn-titles have been formulated with much care and deliberation by the author, and give briefly his view of the general purport of each hymn. The absence of a positive view in them is often to be taken as indicating that he could not accept the view of his predecessors. Such titles of individual hymns as are not from Whitney's hand are enclosed in ell-brackets; but the headings to the Grand Divisions and to the books of division III. and to the *paryāyas* of books xv. and xvi., although not bracketed, are from the editor's hand.]

LIN tabular form, they give a useful conspectus of the subject-matter of this Veda. — While this fact is obvious, it is perhaps not so obvious that the giving of this table as a part of the Table of Contents in volume VII., beginning on p. xv, would have detracted much from the perspicuity of that table as a guide to this work as a whole. Moreover, such a table as this is more naturally sought near the end of the work, and the balance of the two volumes is better maintained by putting these pages in volume VIII.]

Hymn-
NUMBER

#### First Grand Division. — Books I.-VII.

PAGE

Seven books of short hymns (433) of miscellaneous subjects

#### I. Book the first

I	For the retention of sacred learning .			•					•	•	I
2	Against injury and disease : with a reed .			•			•	•	•	•	2
3	Against obstruction of urine: with a reed						•	•	•	•	3
4	To the waters: for blessings						•		•	•	4
5	To the waters : for blessings				•			•	•		5
6	To the waters : for blessings										6
7	To Agni: for the discovery of sorcerers .					•		•		•	7
8	To Agni and other gods : for the discover	y of	sorce	rers				•			8
9	For some one's advancement and success	-							•		9
10	For some one's release from Varuna's wra	th .									10
11	For successful childbirth									•	11
12	Against various ailments (as results of light	htnin	g?)								12
13	Deterrent homage to lightning										14
14	Imprecation of spinsterhood on a woman.			•				•			15
15	With an oblation : for confluence of wealt	h						•	•		16
16	Against demons: with an amulet of lead .										17
17	To stop the vessels of the body										18
18	Against unlucky marks										19
10	Against enemies							•			20
20	Against enemies and their weapons			•							21

# 6. Whitney's Captions to his Hymn-translations

2 I	Against enemies	•	•	•	•	•	•			22
22	Against yellowness (jaundice) .			•			•	•		22
23	Against leprosy: with a healing herb	•							•	23
24	Against leprosy				•					24
25	Against fever (takmán)									25
26	For protection from the wrath of the go	ds		•						26
27	Against various evils		•	•						27
28	Against sorcerers and witches									28
29	For a chief's success : with an amulet									29
30	For protection : to all the gods .									30
31	To the divine guardians of the quarters	•								31
32	Cosmogonic									32
33	To the waters : for blessings							•	•	33
34	A love-spell: with a sweet herb .									34
35	For long life etc.: with a gold amulet	•			•	•	•	•	•	35

# II. Book the second

I	Mystic								37
2	To Gandharvas and Apsarases								39
3	For relief from flux: with a certain remedy .								40
4	Against various evils : with a jangida amulet .		•			•			42
5	Praise and prayer to Indra		•	•	•				43
6	Praise and prayer to Agni		•	•	•		•		45
7	Against curses and cursers: with a plant .	•	•	۰.	•				47
8	Against the disease ksetriyá : with a plant .			•		•	•	•	48
9	Against possession by demons: with an amulet	•		•	•	•			50
10	For release from evils, and for welfare		•	•	•	•			51
11	To counteract witchcraft: with an amulet .		•		•	•			53
12	LAgainst such as would thwart my incantations	٠ ا	•	•		•			53
13	For welfare and long life of an infant						•		56
14	Against sadānvās		•	•	•	•	•		57
x 5	Against fear		.•	•		•			59
16	For protection		•	•		•		•	60
17	For various gifts		•	•	•	•			61
18	For release from demons and foes		•	•					61
19	Against enemies: to Agni (fire)		•	•	• '	•		•	62
20	The same: to Vāyu (wind)	•	•	•	•		•	•	63
21	The same: to Sūrya (sun)		•	•	•	•			63
22	The same : to the moon	•	•	•	•		•		63
23	The same: to water		•	•		•			63
24	Against kimīdins, male and female		•	•	•	•			63
25	Against kánvas: with a plant	•	•	•	•	•	•		64
26	For safety and increase of kine		•						66
27	For victory in disputation: with a plant		•			•		•	67
28	For long life for a certain person (child?) .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	68
29	For some one's long life and other blessings .	•	•	•	•	•		•	70
30	To secure a woman's love		•	•	•		•	•	72
31	Against worms		•	•	•	•	•	•	73
32	Against worms	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	74
33	For expulsion of yaksma from all parts of the b	ody	•	•	•	•	•	•	76
34	Accompanying the sacrifice of an animal .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	77
35	To explate errors in the sacrifice : to Viçvakarm	an.	•	•	•		•	•	79
36	To get a husband for a woman	•	•	•	•	•	•	••	81

# III. Book the third

1	Against enemies			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	- 84
2	Against enemies			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	. 86
3	For the restoration of a king		,		•	•		•		•	•	87
4	To establish a king			•	•	•		•			•	89
5	For prosperity: with a parná-amulet			•		•						91
6	Against enemies : with acvatthá .				•	•			•	•		92
7	Against the disease ksetrivá				•					•		94
8	For authority									. •		96
9	Against viskandha and other evils .											98
10	To the ekāstakā (day of moon's last q											99
11	For relief from disease, and for long l											103
12	Accompanying the building of a hous							•	•		•	104
13	To the waters						•	•	•	•	•	107
14		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	107
-	For success in trade	•		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	111
15 16	Morning invocation to various gods, e					•	•	•	•	•	•	
		-	Jany	Dual	sa	•	•	•	•	•	•	113
17	0			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	114
18	Against a rival wife: with a plant .					•	•	•	•	•	•	117
19	To help friends against enemies .	'	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	119
20	To Agni and other gods: for various	bles	sings	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	121
21	With oblation to the various forms of					•	•	•	•	•	•	123
22	To the gods: for splendor (várcas) .			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	126
23	For fecundity	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	127
24	For abundance of grain				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	129
25	To command a woman's love						•	•	•	•	•	1 30
26	Homage to the gods of the quarters e			e cha	ırms?	J	•	•	•	•	•	131
27	The same: with imprecation on enem				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	133
28	To avert the ill omen of a twinning an	nima	1.			•	•	•	•	•	•	134
29	With the offering of a white-footed sh				•		•	•		•	•	135
30	For concord					•	•	•				1 37
31	For welfare and long life					•	•	•	•	•	•	139
	IV. Bo	ok t	he fo	ourth	L .							
I	Mystic					•						142
2	To the unknown god											145
3	Against wild beasts and thieves .											148
4	For recovery of virility: with a plant					-			_			149
5												151
6	Against the poison of a poisoned arro											152
7	Against poison				•	•		•	•	•	•	154
8	Accompanying the consecration of a 1				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	156
	For protection etc. : with a certain on				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	158
9	Against evils: with a pearl-shell amul				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	150
10	In praise of the draft-ox				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	161
11	To heal serious wounds: with an her	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
12		ο.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	166
13	For healing	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	168
14	With the sacrifice of a goat	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	169
15	For abundant rain	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	172
16	The power of the gods	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	176
17	Against various evils: with a plant .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	179
18	Against witchcraft: with a plant .	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	181
19	Against enemies: with a plant	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	182
20	To discover sorcerers : with an herb						•	•	•	•	•	184

2 I	Praise of the kine	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	186
22	For the success and prosperity of a king .		•	•		•	•	•		188
23	Praise and prayer to Agni	•		•	•	•	•			190
24	Praise and prayer to Indra	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	191
25	Praise and prayer to Vāyu and Savitar .	•		•		•	•	•	•	193
26	Praise and prayer to heaven and earth .		•		•	•	•	•	•	194
27	Praise and prayer to the Maruts		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	196
28	Praise and prayer to Bhava and Çarva .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	197
29	Praise and prayer to Mitra and Varuna .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	198
30	Self-laudation of Speech (?)	•	•			•	•	•	•	200
31	Praise and prayer to fury (manyú)	•			•	•	•	•	•	201
32	Praise and prayer to fury (manyú)				•	•	•	•	•	203
33	To Agni: for release from evil	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	205
34	Extolling a certain rice-mess offering .		•			•	•	•		206
35	Extolling a rice-mess offering		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	208
36	Against demons and other enemies			•	•	•	•	•	•	209
37	Against various superhuman foes: with an h	erb				•	•	•	•	211
38	For luck in gambling: by aid of an Apsaras	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	214
39	For various blessings	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	216
40	Against enemies from the different quarters		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	218

#### V. Book the fifth

I	Mystic	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	220
2	Mystic		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	223
3	To various gods: for protection and	d ble	essings		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	224
4	To the plant kustha: against takma	ín Lf	ever		•	•	•	•	•	•		227
5	To a healing plant, <i>lākṣā</i>			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	228
6	? [Disconnected verses]	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	230
7	Against niggardliness and its effect	s	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	232
8	Against enemies: to Indra and oth	er go	ods		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	233
9	For protection : to various gods		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	235
10	For defense from all quarters .			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	236
11	[Dialogue between] Varuna and A	thar	van	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	236
12	Āprī-hymn: to various divinities	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	239
13	Against snakes' poison		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	242
14	Against witchcraft : with a plant			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	244
15	For exorcism : to a plant		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	246
16	Exorcism	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	247
17	The Brahman's wife	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	247
18	The Brahman's cow	٠.		• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	250
19	The Brahman's cow	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	252
20	To the war-drum				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	254
21	To the war-drum	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•		257
22	Against fever ( <i>takmán</i> )	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	259
23	Against worms	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	261
24	To various gods as overlords .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	263
25	For successful conception .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	265
26	Accompanying a sacrifice		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	267
27	Äprī-hymn : to various divinities		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	269
28	With an amulet of three metals: fo	or sa	fety et	c.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	272
29	To Agni: against demons .	•	•	•	•	•	•		•		•	274
30	To lengthen out some one's life			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	276
31	Against witchcraft	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	278

# VI. Book the sixth

I	Praise to Savitar	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•.	281
2	Praise and prayer to Indra .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	283
3	To various divinities: for protection	n	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		283
4	To various divinities: for protection	n	•	•		•	•				•	284
5	For some one's exaltation .				•		•					285
Ğ	Against enemies					•			•			286
7	For blessings											286
8	To win a woman's love											287
9	To win a woman's love											287
10	Greeting to divinities etc. of the thr											288
11	For birth of sons	•• •p			•	•	•					288
12			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	289
	To the instruments and ministers of		h	:	•	•	•	•	•	3	•	290
13		I UCAL			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	290 290
14	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-
15	For superiority	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	291
16	To various plants (?)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	292
17	Against premature birth	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	293
18	Against jealousy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	293
19	For ceremonial purification .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	294
20	Against fever (takmán)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	295
21	To healing plants	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	295
22	To the Maruts	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	296
23	To the waters: for blessings .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	<b>2</b> 97
24	To the waters: for blessings .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	298
25	For relief from pains (?) in neck an	d sho	ulder	5	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	298
26	Against evil	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	299
27	Against birds of ill omen				•	•	•	•	•		•	299
28	Against birds of ill omen etc		•		•		•			•		300
29	Against birds of ill omen				•				•			301
30	To the cami plant : for benefit to th	e hai	r									302
31	At rising of the sun (or moon)				•							303
32	Against demons					-						304
33	Praise to Indra.											305
33 34	Praise and prayer to Agni .											306
-	Prayer to Agni Vāiçvānara .		•	•	•	•	•		•			306
35		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	307
36		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	308
37	0	•	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
38	For brilliance	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	309
39	For glory	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	309
40	For freedom from fear	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	310
41	To various divinities	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	311
42	To remove wrath	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	311
43	To assuage wrath	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	312
44	For cessation of a disease .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	312
45	In atonement of offenses	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	313
46	Against evil dreams	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	314
· 47	For blessings: at the three daily lib			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	315
48	To the deities of the three daily libs	ations		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	316
49	To Agni etc	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	316
50	Against petty destroyers of grain		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	317
51	For various blessings							•	•			318
<b>*</b> 52	For deliverance from unseer pests					•			•			319
53	For protection : to various gods							•	•			320
55												-

.

54	To secure and increase some one's su	uperi	ority								321
55	For various blessings										322
56	For protection from serpents										323
57	With a certain remedy against diseas	se .									323
58	For glory										324
59	For protection to cattle										325
60	For winning a spouse										325
61	Prayer and boasts										326
62	Vāiçvānara etc.: for purification .										327
63	For some one's release from perdition	n ( <i>ni</i>	rrti								328
64	For concord		,								329
65	For success against enemies		•	•			•	•	•	•	
66	For success against enemies			•		•	•	•	•	•	330
67			• •	•		• •	•	•	•	•	330
68	For success against enemies To accompany the act of shaving .	•	• •	•		•	•	•	•	•	331
		•	• •	•		• •	•	•	•	•	332
69		•	• •	•		• •	•	•	•	•	332
70	To attach a cow to her calf	•	• •	•		• •	•	•	•	•	333
71	Against harm from improper food .	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	334
72	For virile power	• •	•	• •	•	• •	•	•	•	•	335
73	To assure supremacy			•			•	•	•	•	335
74	For harmony		•	• •		• •	•	•	•	•	336
75	To eject a rival	•	•		•	• •	•	•	•	•	337
76	For a ksatriya's security from death.		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	338
77	For recovery and retention of what is	s lost		•			•	. •	•	•	338
78	For matrimonial happiness		• •				•	•		•	339
79	For abundance at home			•			•	•			340
80	The heavenly dog and the kālakānjá					•		•	•		340
81	For successful pregnancy: with an a	mule	t .				•				341
82	To obtain a wife			-							342
83	To remove apacits										342
84	For release from perdition										343
85	For relief from yáksma										344
86	For supremacy.										345
87	To establish some one in sovereignty										345
88	To establish a sovereign										346
89	To win affection										347
90	For safety from Rudra's arrow .										347
91 91	For remedy from disease										348
92 92	For success of a horse										348
93	For protection : to many gods .										349
93 94	For harmony										350
	For relief from disease : with kustha			•		•			•	•	350
95	For relief from sin and distress .	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	
96 07	For victory	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	351 351
97 08	To Indra: for victory	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
98		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	352
99	For safety: to Indra	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	353
100	Against poison	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	354
101	For virile power	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	354
102	To win a woman	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	355
103	To tie up enemies	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	356
104	Against enemies	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	356
105	To get rid of cough	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	357
106	Against fire in the house	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	357
107	For protection : to various divinities			•		•	•	•	•	•	358

.

# Indexes and other Auxiliary Matter

108	For wisdom	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	358
109	For healing : with prppali .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	359
110	For a child born at an unlucky time	e	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	360
111	For relief from insanity	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	361
112	For explation of overslaughing	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	362
113	For release from seizure (gráhi)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	362
114	Against disability in sacrifice .	•	•		•	•	•	•	•		•	363
115	For relief from sin	•		•	•	•	•		•		•	364
116	For relief from guilt				•	•						365
117	For relief from guilt or debt .		:	•							•	366
118	For relief from guilt				•	•		•				367
119	For relief from guilt or obligation			•							•	368
120	To reach heaven											369
121	For release from evil		•							•		370
122	With an offering for offspring.	•										371
123												372
124	Against evil influence of a sky-drop											373
125	To the war-chariot : for its success										•	374
126	To the drum : for success against t				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	-
	Against various diseases: with a we				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	375
127 128	For auspicious time: with dung-sme			lict	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	376
				•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	377
129	For good-fortune: with a $cincapa$ a To win a man's love	mulei		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	378
130		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	379
131	To win a man's love	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	379
1 32	To compel a man's love	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	380
133	To a girdle: for long life etc		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	380
134	To crush an enemy with a thunderh	bolt	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	381
135	To crush an enemy	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	382
136	To fasten and increase the hair	•	·	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	383
137	To fasten and increase the hair	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	383
1 38	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	384
1 39	To compel a woman's love .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	384
140	With the first two upper teeth of a			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	385
141	With marking of cattle's ears .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	386
142	For increase of barley	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	387
	VII. I	300K	the s	even	th							
I	Mystic	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	389
2	Of Atharvan	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	390
3	Mystic	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	390
4	To the wind-god with his steeds	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	391
5	Mystic: on the offering or sacrifice	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	391
6	Praise of Aditi	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	392
7	Praise of the Ādityas	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	394
8	For some one's success	•			•		•	•	•	•		394
9	Praise and prayer to Pūshan .	•		•	•		•		•	•		395
10	To Sarasvatī		•					•	•	•		395
11	Against injury to the grain by light	ning							•	•	•	396
12	For success in the assembly .					•	•	•	•	•	•	396
13	Against one's foes		•			•			•	•		397
14	Prayer and praise to Savitar .	•		•	•	•	•				•	398
15	Prayer to Savitar		•	•		•						<b>39</b> 9
16	Prayer to Savitar (or Brihas ati)				•		•	•				399 399
17	Prayer to Dhātar for blessings						•	-		•		399
•	,		•					-	-		-	373

# 6. Whitney's Captions to his Hymn-translations 1031

18	For rain, etc			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	400
19	For progeny, etc			•		•	•		•			401
20	Praise and prayer to Anumati				•	•	•					402
21	In praise of the sun			•	•	•	•	•	•			403
22	To the sun (?)			•	•	•	•	•	•			403
23	Against ill conditions and beings .			•	•				•			404
24	To various gods						•					404
25	Praise to Vishnu and Varuna											404
26	Praise and prayer to Vishnu .										Ż	405
27	Prayer and praise to Ida	•					•	-	•			407
28	Of the instruments of offering											407
29	To Agni and Vishnu					•	•	•	•			408
-	For successful anointing	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	400
30		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• •
31	Homage to Soma (?)	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	499
32		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	409
33	For blessings : to various gods .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	410
34	To Agni: against enemies	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	410
35	Against a rival (woman)	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	411
36	Husband and wife to one another .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	41 <b>I</b>
37	The wife to the husband			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	412
38	To win and fix a man's love: with a p	plant		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	412
39	In praise of Sarasvant (?)	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	414
40	Prayer and praise to Sarasvant .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	414
41	To the heavenly falcon (the sun) .			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	415
42	To Soma and Rudra			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	415
43	Of speech (?)			•	•		•	•	•	•		416
44	Extolling Indra and Vishnu				•	•	•	•	•	•		416
45	To cure jealousy			•	•	•	•	•	•	•		416
46	To Sinīvālī (goddess of the new moon	ı) .			•	•	•	•	•			417
47	To Kuhū (goddess of the new moon)	<i>'</i> .		•								418
48	To Rākā (goddess of the full moon)											418
49	To the spouses of the gods											419
50	For success with dice											419
-	For protection by Brihaspati and Indr								•			421
51 52	For harmony			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	422
	For some one's health and long life.	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	423
53		•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
54	-	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	424
55	To Indra (?)	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	425
56	Against poison of snakes and insects	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	425
57	Prayer to Sarasvatī etc	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	427
58	Invitation to Indra and Varuna .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	427
59	Against cursers	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	428
60	To the home: on returning or leaving	ι.		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	428
61	For success of penance	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	430
62	To Agni: against enemies	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	430
63	To Agni: for aid	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	431
64	Against evil influence of a black bird			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	431
65	To the plant apāmārgá: for cleansing	ς.					•	•	•	•	•	432
66	For recovery of sacred knowledge (brid		ına)		•		•	•		•	•	432
67	For recovery of sense, etc							•		•		433
68	Praise and prayer to Sarasvatī			•			•	•	•	•	•	433
69	Prayer for good fortune						•	•	•			434
70	Against an enemy's sacrifice				•			•	•			434
71	To Agni: for protection			•	•			•				435

# Indexes and other Auxiliary Matter

# VII. Book the seventh : continued

72	With an oblation to Indra .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	436
73	With a heated offering to the Açvi	ns			•		•	•	•	•	•	437
74	Against apacits : against jealousy:		gni				•	•	•	•	•	439
75	Praise and prayer to the kine .	•	•						•	•	•	440
76	Against apacits and jāyānya : etc.				•		•	•	•	•	•	44 I
77	To the Maruts			•		•	•	•	•		•	443
78	To Agni: in favor of some one				•		•		•	•	•	444
79	To Amāvāsyā (night or goddess of	new	moor	)		•		•	•	•	•	<b>4</b> 44
80	To the night or goddess of full mo	on ( <i>j</i>	āurņ	amās	ź)	•	•	•	•	•	•	445
81	To the sun and moon	•			•	•			•		•	446
82	Praise and prayer to Agni .								•	•	•	448
83	For release from Varuna's fetters			•					•	•		449
84	To Agni: and to Indra				•			•		•	•	451
85	Invocation of Tārkshya						•	•	•	•	•	452
86	Invocation of Indra						•	•	•	•	•	452
87	Homage to Rudra			•			•	•	•	•	•	452
88	Against poison		•				•	•	•	•	•	453
89	To Agni and the waters	•		•	•			•	•	•	•	453
<b>9</b> 0	To destroy some one's virile power		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		454
91	To Indra: for aid	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	455
92	To Indra: for aid	•	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	456
93	For Indra's aid	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	456
94	For Indra's help to unanimity .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	456
95	A spell against some one	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	457
96	For quiet kidneys (?)	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	457
97	Accompanying an offering .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	458
98	With an oblation to Indra .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	460
99	When bestrewing the védi .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	460
100	Against bad dreams	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	461
101	As to food enjoyed in a dream	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	461
102	Accompanying self-relief	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	461
103	For betterment	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	462
104	Concerning Atharvan's cow .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	462
105	An exhortation to holy life .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	462
106	Deprecation for offenses	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	463
107	To relieve a stinging pain .	·	·	•	·	•	•	·	·	•	•	463
108	Against enemies : to Agni .	•	·	·	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	463
109	[For success with the dice].	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	·	464
110	To Indra and Agni: for help .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	466
111	To a soma-vessel	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	467
112	For release from guilt and distress		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	467
113	Against a (woman) rival : with a pl	ant	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	467
114	Against enemies	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	468
115	Against ill luck	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	468
116	Against intermittent fever .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		469
117	Invitation to Indra	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		470
118	When arming a warrior	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	470

LNote on the division of this work into two separately bound volumes. — With reference to this subject, the reader is asked to consult the paragraphs entitled "External form of this work," volume VII., pages xxivxxv. In order to arrange the following matter so that Division II. should face Division III. (pages 1034-5), it was necessary to leave this page blank. The blank may be utilized in part to show clearly that the break between volume VII. and volume VIII. corresponds with the break in the text between the first grand division on the one hand, and the second and third grand divisions on the other. In using this work, it will be convenient, as it is also easy, to remember that

#### Volume VII. ends with book vii., page 470,

and that

Volume VIII. begins with book viii., page 471.]

# Second Grand Division. - Books VIII.-XII.

Five books of long hymns (45) of miscellaneous subjects

#### VIII. Book the eighth

I	For some one's continued life	•			472
2	To prolong some one's life				476
3	To Agni: against sorcerers and demons				481
4	Against sorcerers and demons: to Indra and Soma				486
5	Against withcraft etc.: with an amulet				490
6	To guard a pregnant woman from demons		•		493
7	To the plants : for some one's restoration to health				498
8	To conquer enemies		•		502
9	To conquer enemies				507
10	Extolling the viråj (first paryāya-hymn, with 6 paryāyas)		•		511
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-
_	IX. Book the ninth				
I	To the honey-whip etc.	•	•	•	517
2	To Kāma: for various blessings	•	•	·	521
3	To accompany the releasing of a house	•	•	•	525
4	Accompanying the gift of a bull	•	•	•	529
5	With the offering of a goat and five rice-dishes	•		•	533
6	Exalting the entertainment of guests (second parydya-hymn, with 6 pa	ryāya	s)	•	539
7	Extolling the ox (third paryāya hymn, with 1 paryāya)	•	•	•	547
8	Against various diseases	•	•	•	549
9	Mystic	•	•	•	552
10	Mystic	•	•	•	556
	X. Book the tenth				
I	Against witchcraft and its practisers				562
2	The wonderful structure of man		•		567
3	With an amulet of varaná				572
4	Against snakes and their poison				575
5	Preparation and use of water-thunderbolts (largely prose)	•			579
6	With an amulet				585
7	Mystic: on the skambhá or frame of creation				589
8	Mystic	•			595
9	With the offering of a cow and a hundred rice-dishes				602
10	Extolling the cow $(vacd)$	•			605
	XI. Book the eleventh				•
T	Accompanying a rice-dish offering	•	•	•	612
2	To Rudra, especially as Bhava and Çarva	•	•	•	620
3	Extolling the rice-dish (odand) (fourth parydya-hymn, with 3 parydyas)	•	•	•	625
4	Extolling the breath (prand)	•	•	•	632
5	Extolling the Vedic student (brahmacdrin)	•	•	•	636
6	To many different gods : for relief	•	•	•	640
7	Extolling the remnant ( <i>ilcchista</i> ) of the offering	•	•	•	643
8	Mystic : especially on the constitution of man	•	•	•	647
9	To conquer enemies: to Arbudi	•	•	•	651
10	To conquer enemies : to Trishandhi	•	•	•	655
	XII. Book the twelfth				
I	To the earth	•			660
2	The flesh-eating and the householder's fires	•	•		672
3	Cremation as a sacrifice	•	•	•	682
4	The cow (vaca) as belonging exclusively to the Brahmans		•	•	693
5	The Brahman's cow (fifth paryaya-hymn, with 7 paryayas)	•	•		701

## Third Grand Division. - Books XIII.-XVIII.

Six books of long hymns (15), the books showing unity of subject

#### Book XIII. --- Hymns to the Ruddy Sun or Rohita. Seer : Brahman

I	To Rohita (the sun, as ruddy one)				•		709
2	To the sun		•		•		719
3	To the sun (with imprecation on the evil-doer) .	•	•	•		•	727
4	Extolling the sun (paryāya-hymn, with 6 paryāyas)	•			•		732

#### Book XIV. -- Wedding Verses. Seer: Sāvitrī Sūryā

I	Marriage ceremonies .			•	•	• .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	740
2	Marriage ceremonies (conti	nuec	i)			•			•	•				753

#### Book XV. - The Vrātya. Seer : -

I	Paryāya the first	or xv. I. I .									•	773
	Paryāya the second	or xv. I. 2 .										774
	Paryāya the third	or xv. 1. 3 .										776
	Paryāya the fourth	or xv. 1.4.										777
	Paryāya the fifth	or xv. 1. 5.										778
	Paryāya the sixth	or xv. 1. 6.										780
	Paryāya the seventh	or xv. 1. 7 .										
2	Paryāya the eighth	or xv. 2. I .										782
	Paryāya the ninth	or xv. 2. 2 .					•	•				. o .
	Paryāya the tenth	or xv. 2. 3 .										783
	Paryāya the eleventh	or xv. 2. 4 .										784
	Paryāya the twelfth	or xv. 2. 5 .										785
	Paryāya the thirteenth	or xv. 2. 6.							•			786
	Paryāya the fourteenth	or xv. 2. 7 .						•				788
	Paryäya the fifteenth	or xv. 2.8.										789
	Paryāya the sixteenth	or xv. 2. 9 .										790
	Paryāya the seventeenth	-						•	•			791
	Paryāya the eighteenth	or xv. 2. 11	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	79I
	Book X	VI. — Paritta	. 5	Seer :	Pra	jāpa	ti [?]	]				

1	Paryāya the first	or xvi. I. I .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	794
	Paryāya the second	or xvi. 1. 2.	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	795
	Paryāya the third	or xvi. 1. 3 .	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	796
	Paryāya the fourth	or xvi. 1.4.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	797
2	Paryāya the fifth	or xvi. 2. 1 .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	798
	Paryāya the sixth	or xvi. 2. 2 .			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	798
	Paryāya the seventh	or xvi. 2. 3 .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	800
	Paryāya the eighth	or xvi. 2. 4 .	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	801
	Paryāya the ninth	or xvi. 2. 5 .	•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	803

#### Book XVII. - Prayer to the Sun as Indra and Vishnu. Seer: Brahman

I Prayer and praise to Indra and the Sun
--

#### Book XVIII. - Funeral Verses. Seer: Atharvan

I	[Funeral verses]	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	815
2	[Funeral verses]	•			•	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	830
3	[Funeral verses]			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	846
4	[Funeral verses]	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	۰.	•	•	•	•	•	869

# Supplement. - Book XIX.

After-gleanings (72 hymns), chiefly from the traditional sources of division I.

## Book XIX. - Supplementary Hymns

1	With an oblation for confluence	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	898
2	Praise and prayer to the waters	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	899
3	Praise to Agni	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	900
4	To various divinities	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	901
5	Praise and prayer to Indra	•	•	•		•	•	•	<u>9</u> 02
6	Purusha and his sacrifice	•	•	•			•	•	902
7	To the lunar asterisms: for blessings		•	•					906
8	For well-being: to the asterisms etc				•		•		909
9	For appeasement and weal : to various divinities		•	•	•	•			912
10	For well-being						•		915
11	For well-being								917
12	For success and long life						•		918
13	For success in war: [Apratiratha hymn] .								918
14	For safety.								921
15	For safety and success								921
16	For safety and protection								923
17	For protection: to various gods								924
18	For protection: to various gods								925
19	For protection by various gods								926
20	For protection by various gods	•	•	•		•	•	•	920 927
21	The meters	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	927 928
22	Homage to parts of the Atharva-Veda	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	920 929
23	Homage to parts of the Atharva-Veda	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	
- J 24	For prospetity: with a certain garment .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	931
•	To a horse	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	934
25 26	For long life etc. : with something golden	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	936
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	936
27 28	For protection etc.: with a triple amulet For various blessings: with an amulet of <i>darbhá</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	938
		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	941
29 20	Continuation of the foregoing	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	942
30	For various blessings: with an amulet of <i>udumbá</i>	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	943
31	For long life etc.: with an amulet of <i>darbhá</i> .	ra	•	•	•	•	•	•	944
32		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	947
33	For various blessings : with an amulet of darbhá	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	949
34	With a <i>jangudá</i> -amulet : for protection etc.	·	•	•	•	•	·	•	950
35	The same	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	953
36	With a <i>catávāra</i> -amulet : for protection etc.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	955
37	With an amulet: for various blessings	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	956
38	With <i>guggulu</i> : against disease	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	957
39	With kiistha : against diseases	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	959
40	To various divinities : for various blessings .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	961
4 I	For some one's welfare	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	963
42	Extolling the brahman etc	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	963
43	To various gods : for attaining heaven	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	965
44	With an ointment : against diseases etc	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	.966
45	With an ointment etc.: for various objects .	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	<b>9</b> 69
46	With and to an amulet called <i>astrta</i> 'unsubdued	'.	•	•	•	•	•	•	972
47	To night: for protection	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	974
48	To night: for protection	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	977
49	Praise and prayer to night	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	978

50	To night: for protection .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	983
51	Accompanying acceptance	(?)	•	•		•	•		•		•	•	•	985
52	Of and to desire (káma) .		•	•		•	•	•			•	•	•	985
58	Praise of time (kālá) .			•	•	•	•							987
54	Praise of time				•				•	•	•	•		989
55	To Agni			•		•	•		•	•	•	•		991
56	To sleep (or dream) .		•	•	•	•	•	•	•			•		993
57	Against evil-dreaming .			•	•		•			•	•	•		996
58	For various blessings .					•	•	•			•	•		998
59	For successful sacrifice .				•		•	•		•				1000
60	For physical abilities .				•	•					•	•		1001
61	For length of life etc			•										1002
62	For popularity		•		•	•	•		•		•			1003
63	To Brihaspati: for sundry	bles	sings	6	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1003
64	To Agni: with fuel		•								•			1003
65	Praise of the sun				•				•		•		•	1005
66	To Agni: for aid		•	•		•	•	•		•	•			1005
67	For long life and prosperit	y			•	•	•	•	•	•	•			1005
68	With ceremonial performa	nce				•		•	•		•	•		1006
69	To the waters : for long life	e		•	•		•	•						1007
70	For long life				•			•	•		•	•		1008
7 I	For various blessings .		•	•	•		•	•	•	•		•	•	1008
72	For the favor of the gods		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		1009

## 7. The Names of the Seers of the Hymns, as given by the Anukramani

[Whitney's exploitation of the Major Anukramani. — In his Excerpts from the Anukramani, Whitney has given most of the material of value to be found in that treatise, but of course without attempting to settle all doubtful points in such a way as might properly be expected of a critical editor of its text. One of the uses of the following Index will be to make the deficiencies of this part of his work more readily apparent. The Excerpts and Index together will make the task of producing a critical edition relatively easy.]

[Doubtful points. — In some cases, this Index does not tally perfectly with the Excerpts. Thus vi. 46 and 47, as well as 45, seem to be ascribed to "Angiras Pracetas, with Yama": cf. the Excerpts. Again, *mantroktarsi* of the Excerpt for vi. 48 may perhaps mean "Agni and Rbhu and Indra"; but I have not entered those names for this hymn in the Index. Whitney seems to have had a doubt as to vii. 27–29, whether they should not be ascribed rather to Bhrgvangiras than to Medhatithi; and as to vii. 31, whether it should not be ascribed rather to Brahman than to Bhrgvangiras; and as to vii. 33. What the Anukr. means to say about vi. 63 is not wholly clear.]

[Entire books of division III. ascribed each to a single seer. — It will be noticed that four entire books (all belonging to the third grand division : see p. 1035) are ascribed by the Major Anukramanī each to a single seer : books xiii. and xvii., each addressed to the Sun, are ascribed to Brahman as seer ; the wedding verses, book xiv., most appropriately to Sāvitrī Sūryā ; and the funeral verses, book xviii., to Atharvan (the Old Anukr. most appropriately calls them the Yama-hymns : p. 814). Further, so far as quasi-authorship goes, the Vrātya book, xv., is treated as a unit in that no seer is named for the whole nor for any part of it. As for book xvi., which puzzles us in more ways than one, there is good reason to think that tradition ascribes it to Prajāpati (see p. 792,  $\P$  4); on the other hand, this is not wholly certain (p. 792,  $\P$  5), and the Excerpts actually assign 3-4 to Brahman and 5-7 to Yama, and leave 2 and 8 and 9 without express assignment, unless indeed we are to assume that the statements for I and for 7 hold good respectively for 2 and for 8 and 9.]

**LValue of these ascriptions of quasi-authorship.** — The facts just cited would appear to be of some moment as differentiating the third grand division from the other two; but otherwise and in general, how much value, if any, is to be attached to these ascriptions is matter for special study. It would be interesting to compare the ascriptions of the AV. Anukr. with those of the RV. Anukr. and to see how far the two treatises agree as to hymns or verses common to both samhitās. The Puruṣa-hymn (xix. 6 = RV. x. 90) is ascribed by both treatises alike to Nārāyaṇa; and the Apratiratha-hymn (xix. 13 = RV. x. 103) similarly to Apratiratha. In this connection, cf. Dr. Ryder's pertinent observation at p. 739, ¶ 7.]

[Prominence of Atharvan and Brahman as seers. — The most prominent names among the "seers" are Atharvan and Brahman. To the former are ascribed 175 hymns or parts of hymns; and to the latter, 100. Then comes Atharvān̄giras with 17, and An̄giras with 16. The preponderance of ascriptions to Atharvan and Brahman may have something to do with the designation of the text as "Atharva-Veda" and "Brahma-Veda" (brahman suggests both Brahmán = 'God Brahm ' and brahman = 'incantation': cf. p. 931, top). It is perhaps matter for surprise that more hymns are not ascribed to the "dreadful An̄girases" (RV. x. 108. 10: cf. x. 14. 3 a).]

LQuestion of contrast between hymns of Atharvan and hymns of Angiras. — Bloomfield, discussing at SBE. xlii., p. xviii, the name Atharvāngiras as name of this Veda, opines that atharvan refers to the auspicious practices and angiras to the practices of hostile sorcery (*yātú, abhicārá*) of this Veda. Similarly Victor Henry, in his *La magie dans l'Inde*, p. 22. This opinion is not in the least degree supported by the general character of the hymns ascribed respectively to Atharvan and to Angiras. Of those ascribed to Atharvan, nearly all are indeed intended for use in working good, and the infrequent exceptions (like iii. 18; vii. 35 and 70; vi. 138, a charm to make a man impotent) do not count for much. Of those ascribed to Angiras, on the other hand, hardly more than one (vii. 90: this also is for destroying a man's virility) may be said to be for use in working evil. It is licit, however, to adjudge the facts last rehearsed as making rather against the critical value of the Anukramanī's ascriptions than against the acceptability of the opinion of Bloomfield and Henry. J

[Consistency in the ascriptions. — Consistency does nevertheless characterize these ascriptions to a certain degree. Thus the frequency with which each of the hymns of a related pair or of a larger group (e.g. the Mrgāra hymns) is ascribed to the same seer is significant. Significant also are such facts as follow. Of the seven hymns ascribed to Garutman, every one is an incantation to be used against poison: v. 13 and vi. 12 and x. 4, in particular, against snake-poison. Each of the three hymns ascribed to Kāṇva is an incantation against worms. Each of Jamadagni's three has for its purpose to win a woman's love. Of those ascribed to Yama or to Yama with Aāgiras (disregarding xvi. 8 and 9, they number 13), all but one refer either to "Death" or to "his brother Sleep" (cf. p. 994,  $\P$  4). Thus ten, as appears from the *duhsvapna* etc. of the Excerpts, refer to evil dreams; and one (vii. 64) to the omen of a "black bird" as Yama's "messenger of death"; and one (xii. 3) to cremation of the dead. Verse 13 of this last also makes reference to the black bird, and so does xix. 57. Of the four ascribed to Bādarāyaṇi,\* two (iv. 38; vii. 109) are for success in gambling.]

\* [Weber suspected that the author of the Anukr. may have been a Vedantist, and that it was thus not unnatural that the name of Bādarāyani should appear among his ascriptions (see above, p. 218, top). Weber derived his suspicion from a remark by the author of the Anukr. on the last verse of book iv., Brahmānam sarvatra Jātavedābhimukhyenāstāut. If the author bases his remark upon the letter of our text (which has brahma, neuter), let us hope that his philosophical acumen was more penetrant than his philological.]

[Palpably fabricated ascriptions. — Not a few of the ascriptions are palpably fabricated from a word in the text. Of this kind are Up-heater and Forth-heater (Ucchocana and Praçocana) as seers of vi. 103 and 104, and Deliverance and Release (Unmocana and Pramocana) as seers of vi. 105 and 106. Strangely enough, none of these four words (or "names") occurs in any of these four hymns, but rather (the first two) in vii. 95 and (the second two) in v. 30, which latter furthermore is also ascribed to Unmocana.]

[Alphabetical index of seer-names and of passages ascribed to them. — This Index was made by Whitney, but was carefully revised by Dr. Ryder and again by me.]

Atharvāngiras iv. 8. Agastya vi. 133 vi. 72; 94; 101; Angiras ii. 3; 35. iv. 39. 1-8. v. 12. 128-132. vii. 74; 115-118. xix. 3vi. 83-84. vii. 50-51; 77; 90. Cf. Angiras xix. 4; 5 (?). See also Atharvangiras Atharvācārya viii. 10. Cf. xii. 5 (Ka-22; 34-35. and Pratyañgirasa and Bhrgvañgiras çyapa) Angiras Pracetas, with Yama, vi. 45-47 Apratiratha xix. 13 Atharvana : cf. Bhrgu Atharvana Atharvan i. 1-3; 9-11; 15; 20-21; 23; 27; 30; 34-35. ii. 4; 7; 13; 19-23; Ucchocana vi. 103 29; 34. iii. 1-5; 8; 10; 15-16; 18; Uddālaka iii. 29. vi. 15 26-27; 30. iv. 3-4; 10; 15; 22 (? or Unmocana v. 30. vi. 105 Uparibabhrava vi. 30–31. Vasistha?); 30; 34. v. 5-6; 7-8 (?); vii. 8-9; 75 11; 24; 28. vi. 1-7; 13; 17-18; Rbhu iv. 12 32. 3; 36-40; 50; 58-62; 64-69; 73-Kapiñjala ii. 27. vii. 95-96 74; 78-80; 85-90; 92; 97-99; 109-Kabandha vi. 75-77 113; 124-126; 138-140. vii. 1-7; Касуара х. го. xii. 4-5 Kaçyapa Mārīca vii. 62-63 13-14; 18; 34-38; 45.2; 46-49; 52; 56; 61; 70-73; 76; 78-81; 85-87; Kāñkāyana vi. 70. xi. 9 91-92; 94; 97-99; 105-106. viii. 7; Kāņva ii. 31-32. v. 23 9. ix. 1-2. x. 3; 7; 9. xi. 2-3; Kutsa x. 8 7. xii. I. xviii. I-4 (the whole book). Kāurupathi vii. 58. xi. 8 xix. 14-20; 23-24; 26; 37-38. See Kāuçika vi. 35; 117-121. X. 5. 25-35 also Brhaddiva Atharvan; also Sindhu-Garutman (so ! not -mant) iv. 6-7. v. 13. dvīpa Atharvākrti vi. 12; 100. vii. 88. X. 4 Atharvan Vītahavya vi. 136-137 Gārgya vi. 49. xix. 7-8

1040

Gopatha xix. 25; 47-48; 50 Gopatha, with Bharadvāja, xix. 49 (?) Cātana i. 7-8; 16; 28. ii. 14; 18; 25. v. 29. vi. 32. 1-2; 34. iv. 36. viii. 3-4 Jagadbījampurusa iii. 6 Jamadagni vi. 8-9; 102 Jāțikāyana vi. 33; 116 Tvaștr vi. 81 Dravinodas i. 18 Druhvana (?) vi. 63 Nārāyaņa x. 2. xix. 6 Pativedana ii. 36 Prajāpati ii. 30. iv. 35. vi. 11. vii. xvi. 1 (but see p. 792,  $\P$  4); 2 102. xix. 46 (?). Pratyangirasa x. 1. Cf. Angiras Pramocana vi. 106 Praçocana vi. 104 Praskanva vii. 39-44; 45. 1 Babhrupingala vi. 14 Bādarāyaņi iv. 37-38. vii. 59; 109 Brhacchukra vi. 53. Cf. Çukra Brhaddiva Atharvan v. 1-3. Cf. Atharvan Brhaspati x. 6 Brahman i. 17; 19; 22; 24; 26; 31-32. ii. 15-17; 24; 33. iii. 12; 14; 23; 28; 31. iv. 5; 16; 21; 33; 39. 9-10. v. 9-10; 20-21; 25-27. vi. 26; 41; 54-55; 71; 114-115. vii. 19-22; 24; 32; 33 (?); 53; 54. 1; 60; 66-67; 103-104; III. viii. I–2. ix. 4; 6-7; 9-10. x. 5. 37-41. xi. 1; 5. xiii. 1-4 (the whole book). xvi. 3-4. xvii. 1 (the whole book). xix. 1; 9-12; 21;28-30; 36; 40-43; 51-52; 58-71 Brahman, with Bhrgvangiras, iii. 11 Brahmāskanda iv. 31–32 Bhaga vi. 82 Bharadvāja ii. 12 Bharadvāja, with Gopatha, xix. 49 (?) Bhāgali vi. 52 Bhārgava vii. 113-114 Bhārgava Vāidarbhi xi. 4 Bhrgu iii. 13; 24-25. iv. 9; 14. vi. 27-29; 122-123. vii. 15-17; 54.2;

55; 84; 107-108; 110. ix. 5. xii. xix. 32-33; 44-45; 53-55 2. Bhrgu Atharvana ii. 5 Bhrgvangiras i. 12-14; 25. ii. 8-10. iii. 7. iv. 11. v. 4; 22. vi. 20; 42-43; 91; 95-96; 127. vii. 30-31; 93. viii. 8. ix. 3; 8. xi. 10. xix. 27; 39. Cf. Angira;; and see introduction to this Index Bhrgvangiras Brahman xix. 72 Bhrgvangiras, with Brahman, iii. 11 Mayobhū v. 17-19 Mātrnāman ii. 2. iv. 20. viii. 6 Mārīca : cf. Kaçyapa Mārīca. See p. 579, ¶ 5 Mrgāra iv. 23-29 Medhātithi vii. 25-29 (but see introduction to this Index) Yama vii. 23; 64; 100-101. xii. 3. xvi. 5-7; 8-9 (?). xix. 56-57 Yama, with Angiras Pracetas, vi. 45-47 Varuna vii. 112 Vasistha i. 29. iii. 19-22. iv. 22 (? or Atharvan?) Vāmadeva iii. 9. vii. 57 Viçvāmitra iii. 17. v. 15-16. vi. 44; 141-142 Vihavya x. 5. 42-50 Vītahavya: cf. Atharvan Vena ii. 1. iv. 1–2 Çamtāti i. 33. iv. 13. vi. 10; 19; 21-24; 51; 56-57; 93; 107. vii. 68-69. xi. 6 Cambhū ii. 28 Çukra ii. 11. iv. 17–19; 40. v. 14; 31. vi. 134–135. vii. 65. viii. 5. Cf. Brhacchukra Çunahçepa vi. 25. vii. 83 Çāunaka ii. 6. vi. 16; 108. vii. 10-12; 82 Savitr ii. 26. xix. 31 Sāvitrī Sūryā xiv. 1–2 (the whole book). See p. 739, ¶ 5 Sindhudvīpa i. 4-5. vii. 89. x. 5. 1-24. xix. 2 Sindhudvīpa Atharvākrti i. 6. Cf. Atharvan

## 8. Brief Index of Names and Things and Words and Places

LAn elaborate index uncalled for here. — The existence of Whitney's complete and accurate Index Verborum to the AV. makes needless a full index of Sanskrit words for this work. Again, since the whole text is treated, each place or passage in its natural order, an index of places is also unnecessary. Moreover, an excellent English index of names and things is furnished by Griffith in his Translation. The following index, therefore, may legitimately be kept within very narrow limits. Its purpose is merely to aid in finding a few matters which are not to be found by the help of Whitney or Griffith. On the other hand, it is obvious that it would be possible and most useful to make for this work an exceedingly detailed index, giving, for example, under the heading Surd and sonant interchanged, every case of that kind to be found by careful comparison of the Atharvan text with the variants reported in this work. Such an index would be practically a collection of brief essays upon the subjects named in its headings, and would involve (see p. xxxvii, ¶ 2) a variety of special investigations which are too large to be undertaken here and must be left for another occasion. I hope that the student of this work will find the arrangement of the matter of the General Introduction so clear, and the analytical table of contents so thorough and perspicuous, that the absence of a detailed index to the matter of the Introduction will not be felt.]

LArabic numerals by themselves refer to the pages of the main body of this work, the "Translation and Notes"; Roman numerals by themselves refer to the pages of volume VII. which precede the "Translation and Notes." Numerals in groups refer to book and hymn and verse, or rather to the notes thereon.]

- | Abbreviations explained, xcix Accent heeded by the commentator, xix. 13.9 Antigone, cited, i. 14. I Antiphonal responses, ii. 5, introduction Asterisms, regents of, xiv. 1. 13; in general, xix. 7 and 8 Athenæum Press, xl Auditory errors, xcii Barth, on Griffith's translations, xcv, note Bertós story of Herodotus, xviii. 4. 77 Benares, cremation at, xviii. 4. 12 Black sesame for mournful occasion, xviii. 3.69 Blend-readings, xciii, xiv. 2. 18; xviii. 1. 39, 42; 4. 57
- Bloomfield's argument in favor of RW's emendation anticipated and parried by W., xix. 53. 2; his Vedic Concordance, xxxvii, xc, xci; works on the ritual, lxxv, ci
- Brahma-jāla-sutta, the name, x. 5. I
- "Brought nearer to completion," meaning of the phrase, xxxiv, xl
- Caland, works of, on ritual, lxxv, ci
- Cappeller, Carl, xl
- Chândogya Upanishad, vii. 15<sup>8</sup> discussed at xviii. 4. 12
- Cremation at Benares, xviii. 4. 12
- Death and Sleep, xix. 56. 1
- Decad-sūktas, cxxxii
- Dedication of this work, motif of the, xxxix

- Delbrück, B., lxxvii, note · Diarrhœa caused by fear, iii. 2. 5 Eleven dishes, deposition of, xviii. 4. 16 Ell-brackets, use of, explained, xxviii, c Endings, of words, abbreviated, xix. 59. 1 Errors, remarkable series of, xxxvi, note. See Auditory errors and Visual errors Faulty assimilation of endings, xviii. 4. 87 [cf. Album-Kern, p. 303] Garbe, Richard, xl, xliv, note, lxxxii Geldner, Karl F., xliv, note, 816, etc. Genders, confused?, xiv. 2. 30 Glosses, hypermetric, xciii Grierson, George A., xl, v. 13. 5 Griffith's translations, Barth on, xcv, note Hadley, James, xlix, xliii Halévy, J., on hrūdu, i. 25. 2 Haplography, cases of, lxxxiii, xciii, iv. 5. 5; xv. 7. I Henri d'Orléans, Prince, on a symbolic practice, vii. 38. 5 Henry, Victor, on hrūdu, i. 25. 2 Hopkins, E. W., xl, xlviii, xii. 1. 51 Index Verborum in fuller form, Whitney's unpublished MS. thereof, xxv, note Infelicities of expression in the translation, xxxvii, xcviii Integer vitae, lxxviii Jacobi, Hermann, xl Kaegi, Adolf, xliv, note Karait, venomous little snake, v. 13. 5 Lindner, Bruno, xliv, note Mahā-bhārata, possible reminiscence of AV. in the, x. 4. 9; verse in AV. resembling adages of, v. 19. 9 Māitrāyaņī, peculiar orthography of, disregarded, xc Manuscript D. confused with Op., lxv Manuscripts, designations of, explained, cix Messengers of Yama, xviii. 2. 27 Milky Way, vi. 128. 4. Misdivision between hymns, clx, near end,
- i. 20. 4 and introd. to i. 21, 1016 Mixed construction, xiv. 2. 72
- Moore, George F., xl, xiv. 2. 14
- Morgan, Morris H., xl
- One hundred and one, i. 30. 3; iii. 11. 5; viii. 2. 27; xi. 6. 16

- Pada-pāțha, blunders of, lxix, xiii. 3. 17; xix. 26. 3; etc.
- Paritta, as name for sixteenth book, clviii, note, xv, cxlv, 792, 1023, 1035; as name for the hymn iii. 26, introduction to iii. 26
- Pearls formed from rain-drops, introd. to iv. 10
- Pischel, Richard, xci, xviii. 1. 1; etc.
- Play of words, xviii. 3.,29
- Prākritism in orthography, iii. 12. 4; x. 9. 23; xix. 8. 4 [cf. Album-Kern, p. 302]
- Protests : against issue of works in confusing subdivisions, xxv, note ; against separate pagination of reprints, xcix, note
- Rain-drops become pearls, introd. to iv. 10 Reprints, see *Protests*
- Ryder, A. W., xxxix, lxxxvi, 420, 515, 579, 663, 664, 702, 739, 1039, 1040
- Salisbury, Edward E., xliii, xliv, note, xlix, 1
- Sense-equivalent variants, lxxx, xviii. 2. 16
- Seventh book, exceptional character of, cli; one verse its norm, cxlix
- Shadow, loss of, xiii. 1. 56
- Shuffling of pādas, xviii. 2. 2; 3. 38; 3.47
- Sleep and Death, xix. 56. 1
- Smith, Theobald, xl, v. 13. 4
- Snake-poison, autotoxic action of, v. 13. 4; vii. 88. 1; x. 4. 26
- Suggestions for future work relating to the AV.: in general, xxxvii, ¶ 2, 1042; edition of Pañcapațalikā, lxxii; edition of Major Anukramaņī, lxxii, 1038; edition of Kashmirian text, lxxxvi; sifting of various interpretations, xxxi; criticism of the Pada-pāțha, lxix; comparison of grouping of mantras in the ritual and in the samhitā, lxxv; critical study of hymns that exceed the normal length, cliii and note; question of identity of Sāyaņa of RV. with "Sāyaņa" of AV., lxviii; publication of Roth's exegetical notes, xcvi
- Suggestions for future work relating to the RV., xxxvii, xxxviii
- Suggestions of Roth for future work, xxxviii, note
- Surd and sonant confused, lxxxiii, xcii, ii. 13. 3; xiv. 1. 45; xviii. 2. 14; 1045
- Tears destroy peace of the dead, xiv. 2. 59

Translation, infelicities of expression in, xxxvii, xcviii Twin-consonant wrongly inserted or omitted, lxxxiv, xcii, xviii. 2. 3 Uha-pādas in the samhitā, 503, 847 [cf. Album-Kern, p. 301] Urination, posture in, vii. 102. I; xiii. I. 56 Visual errors, xciii Wales Professorship of Sanskrit at Harvard, xliv, note Warren, Henry Clarke, vii, xxiv, xxxviii, xxxix Whitney, Mrs. W. D., xxxix, xlvi Whitney, Miss Maria, xl Women likened to field, xiv. 2. 14 Word-endings abbreviated, xix. 59. 1 Word-play, xviii. 3. 29 Yama's messengers, xviii. 2. 27 akrpran, xviii. 3. 23 ajayānāis, xviii. 2. 53 anjoyānāis, xviii. 2. 53 apaçcād-daghvan, xix. 55. 5 abhito tatantha, interesting corruption, xiv. 1.45 arir mitram arer etc., iv. 9. 4 artha-sūktas, cxxxiii ahighnyo, x. 4. 7 āsandi, xiv. 2.65 índra-çatru and indra-çatrú, lxviii āitat, xviii. 3. 40 -ka, as added to stems of participles, ii. 3. 1; iv. 37. 10; v. 13. 9; v. 23. 7; xiv. 2. 63; JAOS. xx. 25 kuțțayeyus, xviii. 4. 55 guggulu, orthography and accent, 957 tu, sole occurrence of, in AV., iv. 18.6 dhuvana, xviii. 3. 10, 17 nāvaprabhrançana, xix. 39.8 nicrt, lxxiv, note pada-nī, xi. 2. 13 paryāya-sūktas, cxxxiii

palāça, xviii. 4. 53 pitrnidhāna (eleven dishes), xviii. 4. 16 pețikā = róquvos, xviii. 2. 25 prastavyā ityādi, 782, foot-note prāçlista svarita, xviii. 1. 55 bhurij, lxxiv, note raksoha (?), neuter, xix. 44. 7 vānya, abhivānya, etc., xviii. 4. 35 virāj, lxxiv, note visānā, etymology, iii. 7. I; vi. 44. 3; vi. 121.1 vāiyadhikaraņya, xviii. 3. 2 vyäghrädisu etc. of Anukr., xv. 5. 7 samçritya, xviii. 4. 55 su-çansa, xviii. 3. 16 suhār, suhārt, xix. 45. 2, 1046 svarāj, lxxiv, note harinis or 'taking' verses, xviii. 3.8

ii. 13. 1, lxxiii iii. 10. 12, İxiv iii. 12. 1, lxxxiii iv. 10. 6, lxx iv. 19. 6, lxvii, lxx iv. 28. 3, lxvii, lxx iv. 32. 3, lxxiv vi. 1. 3, lxix, note vi. 32. 3, xcii vi. 70. 3, xcii vii. 57. 2, xcvii x. 2. 24, lxxxix x. 3. 18, 21, 22, lxxxiii xii. 3. 36, lxxxviii xiv. 1. 9, lxix xiv. 2. 18, xciii xviii. 1. 50, xciv xviii. 2. 46, lxviii xviii. 3. 3, xcii xviii. 4. 40, xcvii xviii. 4. 61, lxxix xix. 23, cl, clvii, clix xix. 50. 5, 7, lxxxiii

## 9. Additions and Corrections

LOmissions and errors not easy to rectify in the electrotype plates. — Of the omissions and errors, the minor ones have been made good in the plates; the more considerable ones are rectified here, and to these

1044

rectifications special attention is called at the proper places by a hand (Norm) inserted in the plate and pointing to the number of this page or the following.

#### PAGE

- Ixxxii, paragraph 2, and Ixxxv, top: I seem to be in error in supposing that Roth made his autograph nāgarī transcript directly from the birch-bark original. Garbe writes me, April 27, 1905, that Roth's autograph nāgarī transcript consists of two quarto volumes, that it was made from Roth's Kashmirian nāgarī transcript (see p. Ixxxi), and that it has been collated with the birch-bark original at the beginning, not very far, the variants being noted in red ink. I can hardly see what Roth's purpose was in making a new transcript from anything else than the birch-bark original, unless it be that the new transcript was to serve merely as a convenient hand-copy on which to note the variants of the birch-bark leaves, which could thus be collated in their proper order without injury.
  - 57 (ii. 13. 3): Confusion of surd and sonant, aspirate and non-aspirate. This is shown by the variants of the following passages which I have noted: AV. vi. 3. 3; 28. 1; 29. 3; ix. 9. 17; x. 4. 13, 23 (sarvebhyo); 7. 43; xi. 1. 2; 5. 1; xii. 2. 23; 3. 2, 55; 4. 29; xiii. 1. 1; 2. 15, 43; xiv. 2. 31, 37 (vrddhaye), 68; xviii. 1. 30; 2. 14, 35, . 47; 3. 52; 4. 63; xix. 9. 8; 11. 6; 13. 5; 24. 6 (adhi dhās); 33. 3; 34. 2, 3; 36. 3; 38. 1 (gantho); 39. 10; 56. 4, 5. Roth discusses these matters, ZDMG. xlviii. 106-111. Cf. above, p. 1043, Index, s.v. Surd; also J. Hertel, Tantra, ākhyāyika, 1904, p. xvi; and Bloomfield's Vedic Concordance. passim (e.g., under atha and adha).
  - 58 (ii. 14, introd.) : See Ludwig, Sb. der\_Bohmischen Ges. der Wiss., 1898, no. 10, p. 11.
- 94 (iii. 7. 1): As to visāņā, see references in Index, s.v., p. 1044.
- 197 (iv. 27. 6): Neither von Schröder nor I can find the MS. citation.
- 266 (v. 25.8): ÇGS. reads abhi kranda vilayasva.
- 327 (vi. 61. 3): Add: [Here ends the sixth anuvāka, 10 hymns with 30 verses; the Anukr. quotation is [pañcama-]sasthāu trinçatkāu (read trinçakāu?).]
- 455 (vii. 90. 3): The Old Anukr. says [dvitīya-]astamāu nava. The dvitīya- was not given at p. 404, but refers to anuvāka 2, with its 9 hymns.
- 461 (vii. 101. 1): The hymn is treated at length by Pischel, Album-Kern, 115-7.
- 547 (ix. 7, introd., line 10): The an-uktapāda verses are rather all except 7, 18, 19, 22, 23.
- 601 (x. 8. 43): Garbe, in his Sāmkhya-tattva-kāumudī, Abh. der Bayerischen Ak. der Wiss., xix., p. 529, reports previous views as to this verse, renders b by "mit drei Schnuren (d. i. dreifach) umhullt," and takes "triply covered" as referring to skin and nails and hair.
- 792 (book xvi., introd.): It occurred to me as an afterthought (p. clviii, note) that the Pāli term Paritta, 'protection, defense' (cf. Sanskrit *paritrāna*), might be an acceptable equivalent in a single word for 'a prayer against the terror by night.' See Index, p. 1043, s.v. Paritta, and compare the use of the term in the Jātaka, ii. 34<sup>16</sup>, 35<sup>22</sup>, and especially at 1489. The Mora-jātaka contains a Paritta to be used at sunrise (ii. 33<sup>19</sup>; iv. 3344) and one to be used at sunset (ii. 35<sup>11</sup>; iv. 3347).
- 943 (xix. 30): It is of interest to note that a part of this hymn was translated by Sir William Jones in a botanical essay published by him in the Asiatick Researches, Calcutta, 1795, vol. iv., p. 257. In the London reprint of 1798, it may be found at

1046

iv. 253; and in that of 1801, at iv. 243. I do not remember meeting any earlier translation into English of a part of this Veda.

970 (xix. 45. 2): Whitney cites doubtfully, at Grammar, § 194, the MS. combination suhärn nah. At § 150 b he records instances of retention of radical non-nasal mute after r: thus, ürk, vark, avart, amārt, suhärt; compare Kielhorn's Grammar, § 14 of either edition, German or English.]

# Harvard Oriental Series

## EDITED, WITH THE COÖPERATION OF VARIOUS SCHOLARS, BY

### CHARLES ROCKWELL LANMAN

#### PROFESSOR OF SANSKRIT IN HARVARD UNIVERSITY

## Published by Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts United States of America

\*.\* A copy of any one of these volumes, postage paid, may be obtained directly anywhere within the limits of the Universal Postal Union by sending a Postal Order for the price as given below, to The Publication Agent of Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, United States of America.

\* The price per volume of the royal octaros is one dollar and fifty cents (\$1.50) = 6 shillings and 2 pence = 6 marks and 27 pfennigs = 7 frances or lire and 70 centimes = 5 kroner and 58 ore = 3 florins and 70 cents Netherlandish. From this, the approximate equivalents of the other prices may be estimated. The precise equivalents may be learned at any post-office that issues money-orders.

VOLUME I.—. The Jātaka-mālā: or Bodhisattva-avadāna-mālā, by Ārya-çūra; edited by HENDRIK KERN, Professor in the University of Leiden, Netherlands. 1891. Royal 8vo, bound in cloth, xiv+254 pages, price \$1.50.

This is the editio princeps of a collection of Buddhist stories in Sanskrit. The text is printed in Nāgarī characters. An English translation of this work, by Professor Speyer, has been published in Professor Max Müller's Sacred Books of the Buddhists, London, Henry Frowde, 1895.

VOLUME II. — The Sāmkhya-pravacana-bhāsya: or Commentary on the exposition of the Sānkhya philosophy, by Vijñāna-bhiksu: edited by RICHARD GARBE, Professor in the University of Tübingen. 1895. Royal 8vo, bound in buckram, xiv + 196 pages, price \$1.50.

This volume contains the original Sanskrit text of the Sānkhya Aphorisms and of Vijnāna's Commentary, all printed in Roman letters. It is of especial interest in that Vijnāna, not accepting the atheistic doctrine of the original Sānkhya, here comes out as a defender of downright theism. A German translation of the whole work was published by Professor Garbe in the *Abhandlungen für die Kunde des Morgenlandes*, vol. ix., Leipzig, Brockhaus, 1889. "In spite of all the false assumptions and the errors of which Vijnāna-bhiksu is undoubtedly guilty, his Commentary . . . is after all the one and only work which instructs us concerning many particulars of the doctrines of what is, in my estimation, the most significant system of philosophy that India has produced."—*Editor's Preface*.

VOLUME III. — Buddhism in Translations. By HENRY CLARKE WARREN. 1896. 8vo, buckram, xx+520 pages, price \$1.20.

This is a series of extracts from Pāli writings, done into English, and so arranged as to give a general idea of Ceylonese Buddhism. The work consists of over a hundred selections, comprised in five chapters of about one hundred pages each. Of these, chapters ii., iii., and iv. are on Buddhist doctrine, and concern themselves respectively with the philosophical conceptions that underlie the Buddhist religious system, with the doctrine of Karma and rebirth, and with the scheme of salvation from misery. Chapter i. gives the account of the previous existences of Gotama Buddha and of his life in the last existence up to the attainment of Buddhaship; while the sections of chapter v. are about Buddhist monastic life.

VOLUME IV. — Rāja-çekhara's Karpūra-mañjarī, a drama by the Indian poet Rāja-çekhara (about 900 A.D.): critically edited in the original Prākrit, with a glossarial index and an essay on the life and writings of the poet, by Dr. STEN KONOW, of the University of Christiania, Norway; and translated into English with notes by Professor LANMAN. 1901. Royal 8vo, buckram, xxviii + 289 pages, price \$1.50.

Here for the first time in the history of Indian philology we have the text of a Prākrit play presented to us in strictly correct Prākrit. Dr. Konow is a pupil of Professor Pischel of Berlin, whose Prākrit grammar has made his authority upon this subject of the very highest. The proofs have had the benefit of Professor Pischel's revision. The importance of the play is primarily linguistic rather than literary.

VOLUMES V. AND VI. — The Brhad-Devatā, attributed to Çāunaka, a summary of the deities and myths of the Rig-Veda: critically edited in the original Sanskrit with an introduction and seven appendices, and translated into English with critical and illustrative notes, by ARTHUR A. MACDONELL, Boden Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Oxford, and Fellow of Balliol College. 1904. Royal 8vo, buckram, xxxvi+198 and xvi+334 pages, price per volume \$1.50.

Volume V. (or Part I.) contains the introduction and text and appendices. Volume VI. (or Part II.) contains the translation and notes. The arrangement of the material in two volumes is such that the student can have the text of any given passage, together with the translation of that passage and the critical apparatus and the illustrative notes thereto appurtenant, all opened out before his eyes at one time, without having constantly to turn from one part of the volume to another, as is necessary with the usual arrangement of such matter.

VOLUMES VII. AND VIII. — Atharva-Veda Samhitā, translated, with a critical and exegetical commentary, by WILLIAM DWIGHT WHITNEY, late Professor of Sanskrit in Yale University. Revised and brought nearer to completion and edited by CHARLES ROCKWELL LANMAN, Professor of Sanskrit in Harvard University. 1905. Royal 8vo, buckram, clxii + iv + 1046 (= 1212) pp., price of the two volumes \$5.00.

This work includes, in the first place, critical notes upon the text, giving the various readings of the manuscripts, and not alone of those collated by Whitney in Europe, but also of those of the apparatus used by S. P. Pandit in the great Bombay edition. Second, the readings of the Paippalāda or Cashmere version, furnished by the late Professor Roth. Further, notice of the corresponding passages in all the other Vedic texts, with report of the various readings. Further, the data of the Hindu scholiast respecting authorship, divinity, and meter of each verse. Also, references to the ancillary literature, especially to the well-edited Kauçika and Vaitāna Sūtras, with account of the ritualistic use therein made of the hymns or parts of hymns, so far as this appears to cast any light upon their meaning. Also, extracts from the printed commentary. And, finally, a simple literal translation, with introduction and indices. Prefixed to the work proper is an elaborate critical and historical introduction. VOLUME IX. — The Little Clay Cart (Mrcchakatika), a Hindu drama attributed to King Shūdraka, translated from the original Sanskrit and Prakrits into English prose and verse by ARTHUR WILLIAM RYDER, Ph. D., Instructor in Sanskrit in Harvard University. 1905. Royal 8vo, buckram, xxx +177 pages, price \$1.50.

VOLUME X.—A Vedic Concordance: being an alphabetic index to every line of every stanza of every hymn of the published Vedic literature, and to every sacrificial and ritual formula thereof. By MAURICE BLOOMFIELD, Professor of Sanskrit and Comparative Philology in Johns Hopkins University.

The work, with which Bloomfield has been busy for over a dozen years, will form a royal quarto of about 1100 pages. Of these, fully 800 are already printed (June, 1905); the completely revised manuscript of the remainder is at the press; and it is hoped that the printing will be finished soon after Jan. 1, 1906. For an account of the work, see the last page of vol. iv. of this Series. The Concordance will serve as a register of the *rarietus lectionis* for the texts of the Vedic literature, and thus prove to be an auxiliary of the very first importance in the work of making new editions of the Vedic texts; and many subsidiary uses of Bloomfield's collections will suggest themselves to scholars.

## IN PREPARATION

No promise of a definite time for the completion and appearance of any of the following works will under any circumstances be given; they are nevertheless in such a state of advancement that some public announcement concerning them may properly be made.

Buddha-ghosa's Way of Purity (*Visuddhi-magga*), a systematic treatise of Buddhist doctrine by Buddha-ghosa (about 400 A. D.): critically edited in the original Pāli by the late HENRY CLARKE WARREN, of Cambridge, Massachusetts.

The "Way of Purity," which has been for fifteen centuries one of the "books of power" in the East, is, as Childers says, "a truly great work, written in terse and lucid language, and showing a marvelous grasp of the subject." Mr. Warren published an elaborate analysis of the entire treatise in the *Journal of the Pāli Text Society for 1891-93*, pages 76-164. His plan was to issue a scholarly edition of the Pāli text of the work, with full but well-sifted critical apparatus, a complete English translation, an index of names, and other useful appendices, and to trace back to their sources all the quotations which Buddha-ghosa constantly makes from the writings of his predecessors. The text, it is hoped, may be published without too much further labor on the part of the editor of the Series.

Mr. Warren died in January, 1899, in the forty-fifth year of his age. Accounts of his life and work may be found in the (New York) Nation for Jan. 12, 1899; in the Harvard Graduates' Magazine for March, "1899; in the Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society for April, 1899 (with a list of his writings); in the (Chicago) Open Court for June, 1899; or in the Journal of the American Oriental Society, vol. xx., second half.

Buddha-ghosa's Way of Purity, a systematic treatise of Buddhist doctrine, translated into English from the original Pāli of H. C. Warren's edition, by the late HENRY CLARKE WARREN and CHARLES ROCKWELL LANMAN. Mr. Warren had made a large part (about one third) of the translation. With this part as a help and guide, the editor of the Series hopes to complete the version and to publish it as soon as is feasible. The text and translation will perhaps take three or four volumes.

The Pancha-tantra, according to the recension of the Jaina monk Pūrņa-bhadra (about 1200 A.D.), critically edited in the original Sanskrit by Dr. JOHANNES HERTEL, of the Royal Gymnasium of Doebeln in Saxony, and Dr. RICHARD SCHMIDT, of the University of Halle.

The basis of Doctor Schmidt's excellent version of the Pancha-tantra was a text prepared by him from several European manuscripts. In the meantime, Doctor Hertel has procured a very large amount of manuscript material from India, chiefly from Poona, has subjected the same to searching critical study, and is embodying his results, so far as they concern the actual readings, in a thorough revision of the printer's copy of the text. The other results of his labors have been published in several periodicals, especially the Berichte der Kön. Sächsischen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften for April, 1902, and in recent volumes of the Zeitschrift der Deutschen Morgenleindischen Gesellschaft (lvi., lvii., lviii., lix.). The Çarada-MS., numbered viii. 145 in the Catalogue of the Deccan College MSS. and containing the Tantra-ākhyāyika or Kashmirian recension of the Pancha-tantra, has proved to be of such great importance for the history of this branch of Sanskrit literature that Doctor Hertel has published it (Abhandlungen of the Saxon Society, vol. xxii., 1904), not as a definitive text-edition, but as part of a literary-historical investigation and as one of the essential preliminaries for the edition of Pūrna-bhadra's recension to be issued in the Harvard Series. It appears that the last-named recension is a fusion of the Tantra-ākhyāyika and the so-called Textus Simplicior of the Panchatantra.

The Pancha-tantra, translated into English from the original Sanskrit of the recension of Pūrņa-bhadra, by PAUL ELMER MORE, sometime Assistant in Sanskrit in Harvard University, now of the Editorial Staff of the New York Evening Post.

This version, prepared several years ago from Doctor Schmidt's manuscript copy by Mr. More, has yet to be so revised as to bring it into conformity with the meantime thoroughly revised text of Pūrņa-bhadra's recension. Apart from the intrinsic interest and merit of the stories of which the Pancha-tantra consists, this translation makes an especial appeal to students of Indian antiquities, of folk-lore, and of the history of popular tales.

History of the Beast-fable of India, with especial reference to the Pancha-tantra and to the related literature of Southwestern Asia and of Medieval Europe, by Dr. JOHANNES HERTEL of the Royal Gymnasium of Doebeln in Saxony.

Although this volume is primarily designed to be an introduction to Pūrna-bhadra's Panchatantra, its scope is nevertheless such that it may with propriety be entitled a History of the Beast-fable of India. The definitive arrangement of the material is not yet settled, but the general plan may be given under six headings.

I. Brief outline of the incidents of each story, together with a reference for each story to its precise place in the original Sanskrit text, the method of citation to be such that the same reference will apply with equal facility to either the text or the translation or the apparatus criticus or the commentary.

II. Tabular conspectus of strophes and stories contained in forms of the Pancha-tantra anterior to Pūrņa-bhadra.

III. Apparatus criticus. 1. Account of the MSS. collated. 2. A piece of the text printed in several parallel forms side by side (Tantra-ākhyāyika, Simplicior, Ornatior) as a specimen, to illustrate the relative value of the several MSS. and Pūrṇa-bhadra's way of constructing his recension. 3. Readings of the MSS. Bh, bh, A, P, p, etc.

IV. Introduction to the text of Pūrņa-bhadra. A. First part, extending to the death, in 1881, of Benfey. 1. Éditions: Kosegarten's; Kielhorn-Bühler's; other Indian editions. 2. Translations: of Benfey, Lancereau, Pavolini, Fritze, Galanos. 3. Semitic recensions and their effluxes. 4. Benfey's results as contained in his *Pantschatantra* of 1859 and his Introduction to Bickell's Old Syriac Kalilag und Damnag of 1876. B. Second part, from the death of Benfey. 5. Bibliography of the various treatises. 6. History of the Sanskrit Pancha-tantra. Form, age, and name of the original Pancha-tantra. 7. The Brahmanical recensions of the work: Gunādhya, Nepalese fragment, etc.; Tantra-ākhyāyika; Southern Pancha-tantra. 8. Jaina recensions: so-called Simplicior, its age, etc.; so-called Ornatior, author, age, etc.; Megha-vijaya; later recensions; mixed recensions. 9. Buddhist recension, Tantra-ākhyāna.

V. Notes to the several stories of Pürna-bhadra's text. Parallels in the Jātaka, etc. References to Benfey.

VI. Indices. 1. Of names. 2. Of things. 3. Of verses. 4. Of meters.

The Çakuntalā, a Hindu drama by Kālidāsa: the Bengālī recension critically edited in the original Sanskrit and Prākrits by RICHARD PISCHEL, Professor of Sanskrit in the University of Berlin.

Thirty years ago Pischel made his first edition of this master-piece of the Hindu drama. Meantime he has published, as a very important part of the Buhler-Kielhorn *Grundriss der Indo-Arischen Philologie*, his elaborate *Grammatik der Prükrit Sprachen*. In the way of experience and study, therefore, his equipment as an editor of this play is peculiarly complete. As for the externals of paper and print and binding, it is intended that this edition shall be got up in a manner to correspond with its scholarly character and with the intrinsic merit of the play; and it is to be sold at a very moderate price.

The Çakuntalā, translated into English from the edition of Professor Pischel, with an exegetical and illustrative commentary, by ARTHUR WIL-

## LIAM RYDER.

Whereas Dr. Ryder's version of The Little Clay Cart (vol. ix. of this Series) was primarily a literary one and aimed to avoid technicalities, his work upon the Çakuntalā is primarily philological, and of it the technical commentary is an essential part. In this comment he hopes to include the most or all that is of substantive importance in the observations of his predecessors whether Occidental or Hindu; to treat the relation of the subject-matter of the play to the older forms thereof as seen in the Epos and the Jātaka; to bring out the double meanings and the various other Hindu "embellishments" of the play; to note the parallelisms in poetic thought or diction or technique between the Çakuntalā and the other works of Kālidāsa and of the Indian literature; to illustrate the allusions to the mythology and antiquities of India by citations translated from the best native authorities; to show, throughout, the relation of this play as a work of art to the Hindu canons of dramaturgy; and at least to assemble the data for the solution of the important critical question whether the Çakuntalā may not have served as the model play upon which the earlier of those canons were based.

The Commentary (Yoga-bhāshya) on Patañjali's aphorisms of the Yoga philosophy, translated from the original Sanskrit into English, with indices of quotations and of philosophical terms, by Dr. JAMES HAUGHTON WOODS, Instructor in Philosophy in Harvard University.

Of the six great philosophical systems of India, we can hardly say that more than two, the Sänkhya and the Vedānta, have been made accessible to Occidental students by translations of authoritative Sanskrit works. For Shankara's Comment on the aphorisms of the Vedānta system, we have Deussen's translation into German and Thibaut's into English. For the Sānkhya, we are indebted to the labors of Wilson and Garbe and Gangānāth Jhā for versions of the Kārikā and of the Tattva-kāumudī. The Yoga system is confessedly next in importance; and the Yoga-bhāshya, ascribed to Vyāsa, is the best and most thorough exposition of its fundamental doctrines. It is also the oldest; Garbe refers it to the seventh century of our era, and the evidence adduced by Takakusu of Tokyo may prove it to be considerably earlier.

In the preparation of his translation, Dr. Woods has had the benefit of Deussen's criticism; and he has revised his work under the oversight of Gangādhara Shāstrin and of his pupils in Benares; and he has constantly consulted Vāchaspatimiçra's sub-comment on the Yoga-bhāshya, and, as occasion required, the Yoga-vārttika of Vijnāna-bhikshu and other works of more modern scholiasts. It is hoped that this work will throw light upon the early history of the Mahā-yāna school of Buddhism.

The Talavakāra or Jāiminīya Brāhmana of the Sāma Veda: critically edited in the original Sanskrit, with a translation into English, by HANNS OERTEL, Professor of Linguistics and Comparative Philology in Yale University.

In 1877, A. C. Burnell brought this Brāhmana to the notice of European scholars. Soon after, he procured manuscripts, and turned them over to Professor Whitney. With the aid of pupils, Whitney made a transliterated copy of one, and himself collated the copy with the others. Since 1891, off and on, Oertel has been at work upon the restoration of the corrupt text of this Brāhmana, and has published considerable parts of it in the *Journal of the American Oriental Society* (vol's xv., xvi., xviii., xix., xxiii., and xxvi.) and elsewhere. It is his intention to add to his translation systematic references to the parallel passages from the other Brāhmanas.

.

# Books for the Study of Indo-Iranian Languages (Sanskrit, Prākrit, Pāli, Avestan) Literatures, Religions, and Antiquities

Published by Messrs. Ginn & Company Boston, New York, Chicago, and London

Whitney's Sanskrit Grammar. A Sanskrit Grammar, including both the classical language, and the older dialects, of Veda and Brāhmaņa. By WILLIAM DWIGHT WHITNEY, [late] Professor of Sanskrit and Comparative Philology in Yale University. Third (reprinted from the second, revised and extended) edition. 1896. 8vo. xxvi+552 pages. Cloth: Mailing price, \$3.20. Paper: \$2.90.

Cappeller's Sanskrit-English Dictionary. A Sanskrit-English Dictionary. Based upon the St. Petersburg Lexicons. By CARL CAPPELLER, Professor at the University of Jena. Royal 8vo. Cloth. viii+672 pages. By mail, \$6.25.

Lanman's Sanskrit Reader. A Sanskrit Reader: with Vocabulary and Notes. By CHARLES ROCKWELL LANMAN, Professor of Sanskrit in Harvard University. For use in colleges and for private study. Royal 8vo. *Complete*: Text, Notes, and Vocabulary, xxiv+405 pages. Cloth: Mailing price, \$2.00. *Text* alone, for use in examinations, 106 pages. Cloth: Mailing price, 85 cents. *Notes* alone, viii+109 pages. Cloth: Mailing price, 85 cents.

This Reader is constructed with special reference to the needs of those who have to use it without a teacher. The text is in Oriental characters. The selections are from the Mahā-bhārata, Hitopadeça, Kathā-sarit-sāgara, Laws of Manu, the Rigveda, the Brāhmaņas, and the Sūtras. The Sanskrit words of the Notes and Vocabulary are in English letters. The Notes render ample assistance in the interpretation of difficult passages.

Sanskrit Text in English Letters. Parts of Nala and Hitopadeça in English Letters. Prepared by CHARLES R. LANMAN. Royal 8vo. Paper. vi + 44 pages. Mailing price, 30 cents.

The Sanskrit text of the first forty-four pages of Lanman's Reader, reprinted in English characters.

Perry's Sanskrit Primer. A Sanskrit Primer: based on the Lcitfaden für den Elementarcursus des Sanskrit of Prof. Georg Bühler of Vienna. By EDWARD DELAVAN PERRY, Professor of Greek in Columbia University, New York. 1885. 8vo. xii+230 pages. Mailing price, \$1.60. Kaegi's Rigveda. The Rigveda: the Oldest Literature of the Indians. By ADOLF KAEGI, Professor in the University of Zürich. Authorized translation [from the German], with additions to the notes, by ROBERT ARBOWSMITH, Ph.D. 1886. 8vo. Cloth. viii + 198 pages. Mailing price, \$1.65.

Hopkins's Religions of India. The Religions of India. By EDWARD WASHBURN HOPKINS, Professor of Sanskrit in Yale University. 1895. 12mo. Cloth. xvi+612 pages. Mailing price, \$2.20.

This is the first of Professor Morris Jastrow's Series of Handbooks on the History of Religions. The book gives an account of the religions of India in the chronological order of their development. Extracts are given from Vedic, Brahmanic, Jain, Buddhistic, and later sectarian litcratures.

Jackson's Avesta Reader. Avesta Reader: First Series. Easier texts, notes, and vocabulary. By A. V. WILLIAMS JACKSON. 1893. 8vo. Cloth. viii+112 pages. Mailing price, \$1.85.

The selections include passages from Yasna, Visparad, Yashts, and Vendidad, and the text is based on Geldner's edition. The book is intended for beginners.