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## $\mathfrak{A n t i e n t ~ C l a s s i c s . ~}$

## 216. Claudianus. De Raptu Proserpine. Without Date, Place, or Printer's Name. Folio.

As there is every reason to conclude that this impression of the Rape of Proserpine only, was printed before the subsequent one of the Entire Works of the poet, it is here placed as an anterior article. This work was formerly the cause of much surprise and discussion; as it was published under the following title: 'Claudiani Siculi viri imprimis doctissimi de Raptu Proserpinæ Tragediæ duæ Heroicæ.' But Maittaire, in an unusually long and particular analysis of the work, proved that the Claudianus Sicilianus was no other than Claudianus Alexandrinus, the present author. He supposed, erroneously, as Count Reviczky has properly observed, that the printer was John of Westphalia; whereas it is evident, from a comparison with their other works, that the impression was executed by Ketelaer and De Leempt, and was, in all probability, published near the same time with Cornelius Gallus; which is printed in the same type, and is concluded to have been executed in 1473: see p. 6, post. The account of Maittaire is so copious and particular, that references to other authorities are unnecessary, Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 383-5, edit. 1719.* This work

[^0]was introduced in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. ${ }^{\circ}$. 2676 , among the modern Dramatic Authors. We shall now give a bibliographical description of the volume.

The present copy, which is the identical one examined by Maittaire, (it having been given to Lord Spencer by the late Duke of Devonshire) is appended to a translation of the 'Dicteria Plutarchi-quæ et additiones ad Valerium Maximum recte dici possunt,' by Franciscus Philelphus (of which, in its proper place): and to an impression of Petrarch ' De Vera Sapientia:' both these tracts being printed in the same type. The work of which this article treats, commences on the recto of the leaf, thus :

Clandiani gicult biri iprimig uottigimi oc raptu progicupine cragedia prima beroita itipit felitif จ上rgumentum! iј guifugi inumerū tetri famulant aucrni

 Interfuga badig. et quos fumantia torquens Cquota gutgitilugi fegeton perlugtrat hanelis \&c. \&c. \&c.

This Argument includes the seven following verses. Then commences the Drama; thus:
 Cereg. Juniter. Fittuix.

- ©ix becefi quondā tunidag fxatsit in ixat

 Impaticnt nexicire thorum, mulfaga; mariti

[^1]
# Iffecelung. nee dute patrig cognagieve nomen Tam quecīqj latent ferali mougtra faratro <br> In turmax aciemgz rumit. contraqz tonautem Comiurant furic. crinatagj sontifus parig Chewixyone quaticns infaugto funime pimum Frimatas ad eagita bocat palfentia manta角ene reluctatis iterum putnantia relhus fupifticnt elementa fiocm. penitusio reuulsa Carcere faratis pubes tutania bincliq  

The preceding, with the exception of the seven verses following those before extracted from the Argument, occupies the first page. A page contains 31 lines. On the reverse of the 16 th and last leaf, the work ends thus; at bottom :

## 

The present is a genuine copy, with a considerable amplitude of margin. From the binding, in old red-morocco, it appears to have been formerly in the Harleian Collection.

## 217. Claudianus. Opera Omnia. Printed by Jacub Dusensis. Vicentia. 1482. Folio.

Editio Princeps. Count Reviczky informs us that Heiusius, in composing his edition of 1650 and 1665 , was not able to meet with more than one copy of this edition, which he found in the library of the Grand Duke of Tuscany, and that he conceives its rarty to be extreme. Burman (in the preface of his edition of 1760 ) speaks highly of its correctness, and supposes it to be taken, faithfully and literally, from an ancient ms. unspoiled by the interpolations of the editor : Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 292. It remains to give a more particular description of it than will be found in the works of preceding hibliographers.

On the recto of the first leaf begins the address of Barnabas Celsanus to Bartholomæus Paiellus; filling the entire page on sign. A z (for A 2), and concluding thus:

Perlege igitur Claudianum solū: cuius uitā: ut potuimus: in hūc modū edidim? Errata aūt si qua inueneris: partim humanæ imbecillitati : partim li, brariorum incurix uelim ascribas. Vale musare decus.

On the reverse is a brief genealogy of the poet, terminating with some rerses (quoted by St. Austin), in which the victory of Theodosius against Eugenius, ' the impious king of the Gauls,' is described.

On the recto of the following leaf, A 3, commences the preface to the Rape of Proserpine, with the poem itself. A. B. has eight leaves to a signature. Then a to k in eights: 1 in six; concluding on the reverse of lvj , at top, thus:

Finis operum CL. Claudiani: quæ nō minus eleganter "̈ diligenter impressit Iacobus Dusen sis Milesimo quadrigentesimo octagesimo secū do sex. cal. Iun. Vicentix.

The Register is beneath. Copies of this very rare edition will be found in the Bibl. Reviczk. p. 132 ; Bibl. Askev. no. 1390; Bibl. Pinell. n․ 9436 (edit. 1790); Bibl. Creven. vol. iii. nº. 4105 ; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. nº 2845 ; Panzer, Annal. Typog. vol. iii. p. 516. The supposed edition of 1470 , mentioned by Dempster, in his notes to Corippus, is not deserving of refutation or notice. The present is an excecdingly beautiful and almost uncut copy. It is bound in blue morocco.
218. Claudianus. Opera. Printed by Angelus Ugoletus. Parma. 1493. Quarto.

On the recto of the first leaf is the title of the work thus, 'Clavdiani Opera.' On the reverse of the same leaf is the address of the printer's brother, Thadæus Ugoletus; in which a careful collation and correction of preceding editions is said to have taken place before the printing of the present impression. On the recto of the ensuing and
second leaf, sign. a ii, commences the first book ' In Ruffinvm.' Thesignatures run from a to p in eights: pq q st having each six only. On the recto of $t \mathrm{vj}$ we read the imprint, as follows :

> Opera Claudiani diligenter emendata per Tha, dæum Vgoletum Parmensem. Impressa autem p Angelum eius fratrem Parmæ: Anno domini MCCCCLXXXXIII. Nono Kalendas Maias. REGISTRVM.

abcdefghiklmno. omnes sunt quaterni pqrst. sunt terni.

On the reverse is the printer's device, with his initials A. V. in white, upon a black ground. See Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 293. In calf binding.

## 219. Columella. Without Date, Place, or Name

 of Printer. Quarto.The Editio Princeps of this work is probably among the Scriptores de Re Rustica, 1472 ; for which, vide post. The present (as indeed are all the separate publications of Columella, printed in the Roman character, in the l5th century,) is a very uncommon impression; and appears to have escaped Audiffredi and Panzer. It is by no means the same to which the latter refers (as being printed with the types of J. de Westphalia,) in his Annal Typog. vol. i. p. 523, nº 107. It is clear, from Panzer's brief account, where the authority of ' $V$ iss.' p. 57, is only inserted, that this impression had never been inspected by him. Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 381, notices a very different edition; and Panzer, vol. iv. p. 115, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .366$, speaks of an edition without date or place, as executed in the Guthic type. It remains to describe this rare volume.

On the recto of fol. 1 , we read:
Lucii Iunii Moderati Columelle de Cultu hortorum Liber. xı. Q uem. Pub. Virgilius .M.i Georgicis Posteris edendum dimisit Ad eiusdē Carmen Prefatio.

The preface occupies nearly the first leaf. At the bottom of the reverse of this leaf, and at the beginning of the top of the second, we read as follows :

Hortok' quoque te cultus Siluine docebo.
Atq; ea que quōdā spatiis exclusus iniquis
Quō caner̃t letas segetes \& munera bacchi
Et te magna pales nec nō celestia mella.
Virgilius nobis post se memorāda r̂liqt.
\&c. \&c. \&c.
In the whole, 10 leaves. At the bottom of the 10th leaf, reverse, it is as follows:
.D. .FINIS.
.S

A full page contains 24 lines, or verses. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. From the very brief and jejune description of it by Maittaire, vol. i. p. 750, note 5, it is manifest that he had but a slight knowledge of the existence of this impression. The present is a clean copy of a beautiful little volume : bound in green morocco.

## 220. Columella. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

This impression contains the Commentary of Fortunatus. It is always pleasant, when searching amidst a variety of bibliographical writers for the most correct account of a rare volume, to meet with an accuratereference to Fossi's Bibl. Magliabechiana (vol.i.col.563.) Panzer, vol. iv. p. 114, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .365$, makes this reference, as well as to Maittaire, vol. i. 750 ; which latter authority is sufficiently barren: but Fossi is rather copious and instructive. Yet the following account may be useful.

On the reverse of the first leaf, we have the same address or title, which is mentioned as being printed on the recto of the first leaf in the preceding edition, and of which the first 4 lines are before quoted. On the recto of a ii, begins the text, surrounded by the commentary of Fortunatus. The prefix is as follows :

## IVLII pOMpONII FORTVNATI INTERpRETATIO IN CARMINIBVS COLVMELLE.

The work contains signatures $a$ and $b$, in sixes. The text and commentary occupy but 10 leaves; On the reverse of the 10 th leaf are the same letters as at the conclusion of the previous edition; which sce. On the recto of the ensuing and last leaf (being 12 leaves from the beginning,) are some elegant and interesting verses, inscribed 'Marcus Antonius Alterius ad Romulū Quirinum,' beginning thus:

Sepultum tibi siluium quirine
Et flaccum tineis satis peresum \&c. \&c. \&cc.

The present is a fair genuine copy of an impression held in no small estimation by the curious. It is bound in russia.

## 221. Cornelius Gallus: sub Nomine Maximini Without Date, Place, or Printer's Name. Folio.

A rare and not incurious volume; and probably the earliest impression of the work under consideration. We will give a more particular description of it than is to be found in either of the authorities quoted below. On the recto of the first leaf, at top, we read
cthica gituig et periocmoa Tucipit feliciter.
MGuไta quid cefax fine properare senet'
$\mathfrak{C u r}$ et infetso carpore tarda benig
$\mathfrak{A c o r s}$ egt fam requicg biucre pena michi
fon sitm qui fueram. pijt parg maxima nogiti
Hot quaque qua suptst langor et hocrar yabet \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page contains 31 lines. In the whole, the volume comprehends 12 leares. On the recto of the 12th, we read the following :

## explicit ethica maximiani phila gophi atg oratoris tlarifgimi.

We have, next, epitaphs upon Popes Nicholas V. and Eugenius IV.; upon Laurentius de Valla, Ovid, and a 'ridiculous epigram' in 5 verses, not worth quoting; although De Bure thought otherwise: Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 142. At the end of the last line of this epigram, is the concluding word ' Explicit.' Bibliographers have properly assigned this production to the press of Ketelaer and De Leempt. On a comparison with the edition of 'De Mirabilibus Scripture' of St. Austin (noticed in vol. i. p. 188-9,) this conclusion is incontrovertible. According to an authority (Viss. p. 55), quoted by Denis, Suppl. Maitt. p. $614, \mathrm{n}^{\circ} .537 \%$, there was a doubt whether it might not have been an ancient production of the Harlem press. Panzer, vol. iii. p. 548, borrows literally the whole of the account of Denis. A copy was in the Pinelli collection : Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 434, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 5404. It may be necessary to add that this impression is destitute of signatures, catchwords, and numerals.

Count Reviczky, in his ms. addenda, observes that Fabricius and Ernesti were ignorant of this impression; which he conjectured to have been printed about the year $14 \% 3$. He further remarks that, in the Menagiana, where there is an 'accurate and exquisite discussion' concerning these elegies, and the author of them, no knowledge is evinced of the present publication. This is a fair, genuine copy, bound in dark red morocco.
222. Dares Phrygius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer; but probably executed at Cologne by Ulric Zel. Quarto.

Editio Princers. I have ventured to call this impression the earliest edition of the author, since it is evident that it is printed with the same types and kind of paper as were used by Ulric Zel at Cologne. Panzer, vol. v. p. 173, briefly notices several editions, without date or place, and refers, vol. iv, p. 281, in support of one of them, to Braun's Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i. p. 65; where I should conceive the present one to be described, from the number of leaves specified, and from its being noticed among some opuscula of Gerson and St. Jerom, evidently the production of Ulric Zel's press-except that, a preliminary epistle,
from Comelius Nepos to Sallust (see the ensuing impressions), is there specified as preceding the text ; which is wanting in this copy. The terminating verses seem to be precisely the same. l’anzer assigns it, gratuitously, to the press of Veldener; but Braun is silent respecting the supposed printer of it. There is no ground to conclude that. Veldener* executed the present volume; which, on the contrary, is clearly the production of the early Cologne press. Boni and Gamba talk vaguely of a dateless edition, supposed to be the first, and executed at Mentz, about the year $14 \% 0$. Biblioteca Portatile, vol. ii. p. 305. It remains to describe the volume before us.

On the recto of the first leaf, as a title to the work, we read

## Futipit hystoria troiana daretig frigit

There are 24 lines beneath; but a full page contains 26 lines. In the whole, 22 leaves. On the recto of the $22 d$, at bottom, commence the verses which were thought deserving, by Caxton, of forming the conclusion of the English Recueil of the Histories of Troy; supposed to have been printed by him, in $14 \% 1$; vide post.
 ptix principes bidit fane yugtoriā jocripsit.

## exgama fere bolo, fata danaig mata yold Solo capta dolo. capta redacta golo

21 similar monkish verses are on the reverse of this last leaf. In the absence of signatures, catchwords, and numerals, and from its similarity to the other early productions of the Cologne press, I should apprehend this edition to have been printed by Ulric Zel not later than the year $14 ; 0$. The present copy is sumptuously bound in blue morocco.

## 223. Dares Phrygius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

We have here another, and most beautiful, copy of an early edition of this author, published without indication of date, place, or printer's name. As there are neither signatures nor catchwords, this inpres-

[^2]sion was, in all probability, printed before the year 1472 . On examining Panzer, and a variety of authorities, it appears to be an almost unknown edition. Whatever may be its intrinsic value, considered in a typographical point of view it is very precious; since it exhibits a beautiful specimen of a cast of characters, apparently quite new, and struck with the puncheons of an early Venetian artist : exhibiting a mixture of the type of Hailbrun, Valdarfer, and Adam de Ambergau.

On the recto of the first leaf we read as follows :

> HISTORIA DE ORIGINE TROIANORVM: FOELICI TER LEGE.

RIGO TROIANO
RVM. DARDA NVS FVIT: quies Io ue \& electra filia atlautis natus. ab italia ex responso
locum commutans. per traciamsamon de latus est : quam samotraciam nominauit. \& hinc ad fugiam deuenit. quam Dardaniā \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

A full page contains 25 lines. The volume comprehends 27 leaves. On the reverse of the 27 th, at bottom, the conclusion is thus printed,

## De bello troiano liber explicit. TELOS $\cdot$

This copy has been unluckily much cut in the binding; but it is. very clean, and elegantly bound in red morocco.
224. Dares Phrygius. Without Date, Place, or Printer's Name; but most probably executed by Stephen Planck. Quarto.

This third dateless edition is unquestionably printed in the characters of Stephen Planck, and probably about the year 1492. Those who may imagine it to have been executed by Guldinbeck de Sulz (a contemporaneous printer with Planck, at Rome) are deceived. The text commences on the reverse of the first leaf, thus :

## Wistaria be arigine Traianaz: felititer Yege.

Beneath, commences,

## Cuistola Cornelij nepotis ad (xalustiū $\mathfrak{C r i g i p u}$.

On the opposite page (sign. a. i.) commences the Trojan history. A full page comprehends 33 lines. The volume contains 14 leaves; sign. a has 8 leaves, and $b$. At the bottom of the 14th, reverse, the conclusion is thus simply designated.

## - finiz.

This impression exhibits a neatly arranged page, with a sufficient amplitude of margin. It is bound in calf.
225. Dares Phrygius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer: Quarto.

On the reverse of the first leaf, at top, it is as follows :
Historia de origine Troianorum. Foeliciter lege.
An epistle, similar to the one described in the account of the preceding edition, immediately follows. On the recto of the opposite leaf,

Incipit Historia Daretis Frigii de excidio Troie
A full page has 38 lines. In the whole, 11 leaves. At bottom of the llth, reverse-

De bello Troiano Liber Explicit.

This impression is executed with a full text in each page．The present copy has the margins much cut．Bound in calf．

226．Demosthenes．Gr．Printed by Aldus．Ve－ nice．1504．Folio．

Editio Originalis．The curious are well apprised of there being two editions of Demosthenes，printed in the same year，and nearly at the same time，at the Venetian press，by Aldus．The present is called the first，or original edition ；from the distinctive marks about to be specified；and to which those，desirous of a copy，will do well to attend．

On the recto of the first leaf（sign．i）are the Greek and Latin titles of the contents of the volume，each in 4 lines．From these the edition appears to contain the 62 Orations of Demosthenes；the annotations of Libanius，the sophist，upon the same；the life of Demosthenes by Libanius；and his life by Plutarch．Beneath，is the Aldine anchor，in outline．On the reverse of this title page，at top，commences the address of＇Aldrs Pivs Manvtivs Romanvs Danieli Clarioparmensi． S．P．D．＇＇This occupies 4 pages and 8 lines of the 5 th．It concludes with the date thus：＇Venetiis mense octob．M．D．IIII．＇On the recto of the following leaf，sign．4．commences the life of Demosthenes by Plutarch：which concludes on the recto of sign．10，having 13 pages． The reverse of sign．10．is blank．On the recto of the ensuing leaf （sign．$a a i$ ）we have the following title ：

## $\triangle H M O \Sigma \Theta E N O T \Sigma$ AOTOI，$\triangle$ YO KAI EEHKONTA． AIBANION THOQE AMTOヘミ КОГONミ．

## DEMOSTHENIS ORATIONES DVA ET SEXAGINTA．

## LIBANII ARGVMENTA IN DEMOSTHE NIS ORATIONES．

The reverse is blank．On the recto of the following leaf，aa $i i$ ，

 Then follows the life of Demosthenes，\＆c．by Libanius，occupying about 2 full pages．Then Libanius＇s Argument to the first Oration；
which latter begins on the recto of bb, having the page numbered at top. The pages are regularly numbered as far as 390 ; concluding on the reverse of sign. xx viij. Then on sign. A, the pages are renumbered, and extend as far as p. 2S6. Three leaves of a table, in two columns, not numbered, succeed. On the reverse of the last of these, we read as follows:

Quaterniones omnes: exceptis pri, mo: \& secundo quorum alter Quin ternio: duernio alter.

Venetiis in adib. Aldi. mense Nouem. M. D.IIII.

The ensuing article will shew some other typographical difference. The present is a beautiful copy, bound in red morocco; and belonged to the late Mr. C. M. Cracherode.

## 227. Denosthenes. Gr. Printed by Aldus. Venice. 1504. Folio.

Editio Secunda. The title is precisely the same as in the preceding edition; but the device of the anchor is here, beneath, relieved by shade; whereas, in the former, it is in outline. A difference in typographical arrangement is also observable in the termination of the life of Demosthenes, by Plutarch; as the following comparison will shew.

Edit. Originalis.<br><br><br><br>Fowain is $\delta m$<br>x $ั \dot{\sigma} \alpha-$<br>$\mu \varepsilon \nu$.

| Edit. Secunda. <br>  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| $\gamma^{\text {vow }}$ |
|  |

The distribution of matter is as before: with the same number of lines in each full page; which is 46 . The first Olynthic oration begins, as before, at p. 1 , sign. bb; but has only 41 lines and not 43 , as the first edition; and by the side of bb , or rather in the middle, at bottom, is
' Demosth.' which is not in the first edition. In this second edition the contractions are not so numerous; and the type, from the two copies before me, appears to be more worn. At the end of p. 320 the same text occurs; and at p. 1, sign. A. it is as before ; except that ' Denosth.' at bottom, is also added. What has been observed in the preceding article, is sufficient for the present one, as to the remainder of the text. The register however and colophon are different. In this second the small letters of the signatures are put in roman; in the first, in italic. The second ends thus :

> Quaterniones omnes, exceptis primo, \& secundo quorum alter Quinternio, duernio alter.

## Venetiis in ædib. Aldi. mense Nouemb. M.D.IIII.

The foregoing, with other variations mentioned in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 296-8, is, I apprehend, the most minute description of these volumes which has yet been submitted to the attention of the curious. Renouard is of opinion that this second impression is less rare than the first; but the noble Owner of these copies differs from this conclusion. In regard to relative intrinsic value, the student should not hesitate in his choice of this latter-' c'est celle que le littérateur doit préférer à tous égards,' L'Imprim. des Alde; vol. i. p. 7\%. The present, however, is rather an indifferent copy of it: in russia binding.

## 228. Dictys Cretensis. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. I venture to call this dateless edition the earliest impression of the author, because it bears every mark of having been executed by Ulric Zel at Cologne; and, as such, of being printed before either of the editions of $14 \%$, or 1498 . This book has been well and copiously described. De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 475-9, is greatly in error, when he assimilates the type of it 'to the cditions published at Mentz by Schoeffer, about the year 14\%0;' as Schoeffer never printed any impression of this author-and as the Mentz types, used by Fust and Schoeffer, are very dissimilar from
those of the present volume. De Bure's account is, in other respects, curious and instructive; and shews the present to be a very valuable inpression. That he means to describe the present one, seems conclusive, from his noticing the number of lines (27) which a full page contains.

Meusel, in his valuable reprint of Struvius's Bibl. IIistorica, vol. ii. pt. i. p. 78, notices De Bure's description, and apparently consents to the conclusion of its being probably a Mentz edition; from which it is obvious that he had never examined it. He tells us, however, that there is a fuller account of this impression ('uberior ejusdem recensio') in Schwarz's Abhandlungen aus der Kirchen \&c. von D. Io. Barth. Riederer (Altdorf. 1768 ), p. 451-4\%3. Braun is the next bibliographer who has given a particular account of it, and has censured De Bure for his conclusion respecting its similarity to the ancient Mentz impressions: --'si [De Burius] de præsenti loquitur, valde hallucinatur, cum nullo modo Moguntinos his typis, qui sculpti potius, quam fusi videntur, usos fuissc, constet: - are his words : consult his Notit. Hist. Litt. pt. i. p. 66-7. The idea of the present not being fusile types is, however, a very crroneous one. Denis has too hastily concluded that the present is either a Mentz impression, or a Cologne one printed by Therhoernen about the year 1470 ; Suppl. Maitt. p. 552, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 4792. According to Meusel, Therhoernen's impression has the express date of $147 \%$; and Schwarz was absolutely in possession of it: Bibl. Ifist. vol. ii. pt. i. p. 77 ; where the Bibl. Swarz. pt.ii. p. 175, is referred to, as containing a description of this latter cdition. It now remains to subjoin a brief, but accurate notice of the volume before us.

On the recto of the first leaf, the commencement of the prologue is thus printed:

## Fncipit prologug in troianam yugtoriam ductur cretensig.

There are 25 lines beneath, and a full page contains 27 lines. The first book begins on the reverse of the first leaf, nearly at bottom, thus :

## $\mathfrak{W e l f i}$ troiani liber primus

In the whole, there are 68 leaves. The recto of the last leaf has 9 lines preceding the bottom one; which latter is as follows:

## explicit figtoria troiani ductug erctensig

It seems hardly necessary to add, that there are neither signatures, catchwords, nor numbers to the leaves. La Serna Santander is rather brief. Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. ii. p. $370 . \mathrm{n}^{\circ} .541$; noticing the copy of it in the Gaignat collection : Cat. de Gaignat. vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{0}$. $984 \%$. The present copy is in very sound condition, and is superbly bound in blue morocco.

## 229. Dio Chrysostomus. De Regno. Without

 Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Octaro.Editio Princeps. Latinè. This small and neatly executed volume is of very considerable rarity. It was erroneously supposed by Laire, Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 132-3, to have been printed by Ulric Han; but, as Audiffredi has justly remarked, the type is in every respect dissimilar ; being more elegant, and partaking rather of the Venetian character. Edit. Rom. p. 31-2. Maittaire has assigned to it the date of 1469 , on account of the prefatory epistle of the translator, Piccolomini (of which presently), bearing this date; and Panzer has, accordingly, given it the first place in his ivth volume, under the year 1469. But I apprehend this to be erroneous, and that the volume was not printed before the year 1471. See Maittaire's Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 283. Audiffredi is silent respecting the supposed period of its execution; observing only, that he considers it to be the ' most ancient of all those printed in the xvth century.' Seemiller has a very particular and exact account of it ; and supposes it to have been printed at Rome, either in 1468 or 1469: an opinion which is repeated by him towards the conelusion of his description. After noticing its difference, and superiority, to the types of Sweynheym and Pannartz, he justly remarks as follows: ' Papyrus firmitatem pergameni vix non adæquat, estque mundissima. Atramentum est nigerrimum, ut ne hodie quidem nigredinis quidquam amisisse videatur.' Incunab. Typog. Fasc. i. p. 1\%-19. We will now give a particular description of this rare volume.

The first leaf presents us with the address of Cardinal Piccolomini to Maximilian, the son of the Emperor Frederick III. This address terminates at the bottom of the reverse of the leaf, and is thus dated:

> Vale. Ex urbe. kal. Iañ. M. c ccc. Sexagesimonono.

The following is the commencement of Piccolomini's epistolary address to Pope Nicolas V. on the recto of the second leaf, without prefix:

NTER. OMNES. RE, rum scriptores Nicolae qute Pontifex maxime q iussu tuo i Romanū uertūtur sermonē nullum ego principi nec aptiorem nec magis necessariū puto. quā dionē hunc prusaensem quem ego nuper îterp̄tatus sum. Scribit enī de reguo idest de rebus iis quae ad principem instituendū pertiuent. \&c.

This epistle terminates on the recto of the 3rd leaf; beneath which, after a sinall space, the work begins. Both these introductory pieces are reprinted in the Bibl. Smith, pt. ii. p. Lxxr. A full page contains 23 lines. On the reverse of the 70 th and last leaf, the work terminates thus :

## Nā nolis adeo facter sūt.

## FINIS.

In the present copy, 58 folio is blank; but nothing seems wanting. The perpendicular water-marks denote this impression to be printed in octavo, or small folio, and not in quarto;-as preceding bibliographers have described it. It has, however, very much the appearance of a Pot quarto; and Audiffredi was doubtful under which of the two forms it ought to be noticed. There is a tolerably good description of the volume in the Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iii. p. 60 ; from which collection the present large and legitimate copy was obtained. The paper is of a remarkably firm texture. It is bound in red morocco ; foreign binding.

## 230. Dio Chrysostomus. De Ilfo non capto. Printed by Bernardimus Venelus. Venice. 1499. Quarto.

This is the second impression of the Latin version by Philelphus, of the work above described: the first having been printed at Cremona row, 1.
in 1492, and being the first work which issued from the Cremona press. Consult Panzer, vol. i. p. 351 ; but see La Serna Santander's Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 272-3. The present impression is joined to the Editio Princeps of Petronius Areiter (for which, vide post.), and commences thus:

## Dion Chrysostomus Prusensis philosophus ad Ilienses : <br> Ilii captiuitatem non fuisse aperte demonstrat <br> Franciscus Filelfus e græco traduxit.

## Petronius Arbiter Satyricus.

On the reverse begins an address of the translator to L. Aretin. On the reverse of A iii, at top, begins the Latin version from the Greek original A B C D E are in fours: F has five leaves. On the reverse of $\mathbf{F v}$, at bottom, we read the following colophon :

> Laus Deo Finis.
> Impressum Venetiis per Bernardinum Venetū De Vitalibus Anno dn̄i
> . M. ccocxcix. Die decimor octauo Mensis Iulii.

The present is a neat copy, in calf binding.

## 231. Diogenes Laertius. Latinè. Printed by Jenson. Venice. 1475. Folio.

This is the first impression of the Latin version with a date subjoined; although it is probably a subsequent production to the one described in the ensuing number. Its beauty is greater than its rarity; but both these qualifications, however great, have not been able to defend it from severe animadversions upon its want of intrinsic worth. We will first give a bibliographical account of it, and afterwards subjoin some intelligence which may not be devoid of interest.

De Bure and La Serna Santander (the latter merely copying the former) have both described it in a manner sufficiently superficial. Mittarelli and Rossi have been, as usual, more copious and exact: the former truly observing - ' Luculentissimos characteres habet hæc editio;' and the latter as justly calling it, 'egregium typographicae
elegantiae specimen.' App. Sacc. v. col. 137-8. Bibl. Magliabech. vol. i. col. 612. On the reverse of the first leaf begins the address of Benedictus Brognolus * ' to his generous patrons, Laurentius Gcorgius and Jacobus Baduarius.' On the second page of this address, we have the following interesting notice of the printer, Jenson :-
———Omitto $\Phi$ cū multi sint ipressores in hac excellē tissima in oī rerū genere ciuitate ab eo uidebā Diogenē ípri mendum esse: $q$ sine cōtrouersia cateris oībus cius artificii magistris multū etiā antecedit Nicolao iensone : q ea est ū $m \bar{m}$ industria : uerū ctiā ${ }^{\text {, plitate }}$ religione ac aliis uirtutibus ut ad illustriū uirorū \& etiā sūmi pontificis familiaritatē pucnerit: nec dico $\overline{4}$ intelligebā nullū sumptū fuisse impedimento quo minus etiā qcquid aliud opus esset ad hāc rem đ̣̆optimū paretur: ut cū reliqua essēt egregic parata : \&c.

[^3]It was not till after this extract had been made, that I discovered a part of it, with the abbreviations filled up, in Mittarelli: but the preceding proves that I have consulted the original text. The passage cannot fail to be interesting to all lovers of ancient typography. The address of Brognolus terminates on the reverse of the second leaf: it is dated ' Venice, August. 1475.' On the recto of the 3d, and following leaf, commences the epistle or prologue of the translator, ' Brother Ambrosins'- 'Traversarius, monachus Camaldulensis' - as Freytag observes. On the recto of the 4th leaf is the table 'secundum ordinem librorum.' (On the recto of the 5th, begins the Latin version of the author. The reverse of fol. 182, exclusively of the preceding leaves, presents us with the conclusion of the volume, and the imprint-thus:

Impressum Venetiis per Nicolaum Ienson gallicum. An' no domini. M.CCCC.LXXV. die xiiii. augusti.

## Finis philosophorum uita.

It has been before observed that the intrinsic worth of the present impression is very questionable: indeed its condemnation has been pronounced by more than one acute scholar and critic. The translator, Ambrosius, had requested Philelphus to supply Latin metrical versions of the original Greek verses found in Laertius; with which request Philelphus had promised to comply, but was not good enough to keep his promise; and these versions were afterwards supplied by Brognolus. Ambrosius complained heavily in consequence ; which so exasperated Philelphus, that he wrote a bitter satire against him; which may be found in Freytag, vol. ii. 794-and, in a letter to D. Acciaioli, he bestows upon the translation of Ambrosius a plentiful portion of abuse and ridicule. Menage followed the example of Philelphus, and shewed himself not backward in attesting his ill opinion of the labours of the present translator. Paulus Jovius has qualified his dispraise of the version, by observing that Ambrosius had been too intent upon the stile of the studies of the Evangelists-and that he had sufficient talents, but wanted courage and inelination, for the undertaking. Huet complains of the rudeness of his style, and of his frequent aberrations from the sense of the original. Baillet, Jugemens des Savans, vol. ii. pt. iii. p. 310. edit. 1725. These opinions are more briefly collected by Fabricius, in his Bibl. Grac. lib. iv. c. xix ; vol. v. p. 569: cdit. Harles. Harles has adduced the still more severe criticism of Rossi ; who calls the rersion of Ainbrosius ' Incomta ac fere barbara.' The
reader, if he pleases, may consult the numerous authoritics referred to in Panzer, vol. iii. p. 106; but the principal ones have been already detailed. The interesting epistle of Brognohs may be seen in the Bibl. Smith, pt. ii. p. cxxxiv. The present is a neat, but slightly stained, copy of one of the most beautiful volumes printed in the xvth century. It is bound in old red morocco.

## 232. Diogenes Laertius. Latinè. Without Date, Place, or Printer's Name. Folio.

The compiler of the Crevenna catalogre, Laire, Rossi, and Harles, all agree in conjecturing the present impression to be more ancient than the preceding one, although it is deficient in a date. The very aspect of the types, and the mode of arranging them, shew, at least a less skilful typographical artist; and as blanks are left, where the original Greek verses occur, without any mention or introduction of translation, it is almost conclusive that it is an earlier effort of the press. If the preceding impression had been known, these blanks would not have appeared; as they might have been filled up by introdueing the version of Brognolus. Rossi speaks of the edition being ' beautiful, and the types round, with an elegant form ;' but it hardly merits such praise. There is a sufficient degree of neatness in the impression, and the types are delicate and legible: it is also printed with great attention to marginal amplitude ; but the lines are too closely set together. It is much scarcer than the preceding one, and has escaped De Bure and La Serna Santander. Fossi is copious in his description; but the following account will not be found either faithless or uninteresting.

On the recto of the first leaf is an address with the following prefix :

> Prestātissimo in christo patri: \& domino Oliucrio carrafe Cardinali Neapolitano Elius Franciscus Marchisius perpetuam. S. D.

This address commences by the editor's avowal, to the Cardinal, of the urgent entreaties which, a few months before, he had received from his friend Pomponius, 'vir apprime eruditus,' to publish a revised text of the translation of his author ; which had not only become rare, but was in a most corrupt state ' by the carelessness and ignorance of printers.' The editor at first declinct, from a consciousness of his
inability; but proceeded, by the effectual aid of Theodore Gaza, his father; ' qui studiosos omnis mirifice amplectitur. studiisque ac doctrina fouet. nec laboribus ullis neque senectuti parcit.' A fine character of a vigorous old age! In his translation, however, Marchisius takes care to follow, pretty faithfully, the previous version of 'Brother Ambrosius.' He thus speaks of his own enthusiasm and energy in the work: -' although a fever (quartana adgravante) had admonished him to seek the recovery of his health, by a remission of his studies, yet such was the pleasure he derived from the undertaking, that the disease could not gain the mastery over him, so as to make him desist from the attempt, till he had delivered it in a state fit for the press.'

This address, from which Fossi has given a copious extract, occupies 2 pages and a half. Upon the conclusion of it, we have an alphabet ' per ordinem litterarum;' ending on the reverse of the 2 d leaf. On the recto of the following leaf begins the text, with the first 9 lines indented. As far as fol. 104, inclusively, the paper is stout, and the water-marks, being horizontal, denote the volume to be a quarto; but afterwards, and to the end, the paper is comparatively thin, and the water-marks are perpendicular - so that it may be called a folio: a singular circumstance, which, however, Lord Spencer observes, is not of very unfrequent occurrence. In the whole there are 140 leaves. On the recto of the last, at bottom, without any other indication of conclusion, it is as follows :

## Finis Philosophorum uita . : .

Panzer, vol. iv. p. 122, is brief in his account. Consult Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iv. p. 215-217; Laire's Index Libror. vol. i. p. 361; Bibl. Magliabech. vol. i. col. 610; and Fabric. Bibl Grac. edit. Harles. vol. v. p. 569. The present copy is elegantly bound in russia.

## 233. Dioscorides et Nicander, Gr. Printed by Aldus. Venice. 1499. Folio.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the following title: (8 lines in the Original.)

## 



 $\alpha \lambda_{\varepsilon} \xi \stackrel{\varphi}{ }$ рр $\mu \alpha x \alpha$.

A Greek epigram upon Nicander, in four verses, is beneath. On the reverse is the address of Aldus to Jerom Donatus, his fellow countryman: this is dated M.ID. An index follows, on the recto of $* i i$; comprehending 5 leaves. At the conclusion we have 4 lines ${ }^{4}$ Ex $\tau \omega \nu$ Sovióa: the reverse being blank. On the recto of sign. a begins the text of Dioscorides; the ixth book of his work ending on the reverse of $\pi i x$, with a register; from which we learn that the signatures, from $a$ to $\pi$, run in eights- $\pi$, having 10 leaves; and the tenth being blank. Nicander begins on the recto of $A$, and ends thus, on the recto of $\mathrm{E} v j$-in eights :

## Venetiis apud Aldum. Mense Iulio. M.ID.

According to Renouard, Dioscorides contains 129, and Nicander 38, leaves. Then commence the Scholia upon the Alexipharmaca of Nicander, on the recto of $\alpha$, terminating on the reverse of $\alpha \mathbf{x}$ : ten leaves. They are printed in double columns; having the word TEAO』 at the bottom of the last column. The Scholia of this work are of very rare occurrence. Renouard had not seen them when he published his first two volumes of L'Imprimerie des Alde, vol. i. p. 28; but they are briefly and correctly noticed by him in vol. iii. 1. 5. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 68\%, merely notices the existence of them. De Bure is brief and superficial; vol. ii. p. $316, \mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1550 : being ignorant of their existence. Seemiller properly observes that they are printed in a different type from that of the body of the work, Incunab. Typog. Fasc. iv. p. 111-112. Laire appears to have been ignorant of them: Index Libror. vol. ii. p. 248. It is not very improbable that the Scholia were a posterior publication; as they are printed with a different type-in the same form as those of Demosthenes: p. 19, ante. The present is a very clean copy, slightly cropt. In red morocco.

## 234. Euclides. Elementa. Latinè. Printed by Ratdolt. Venice. 1482. Folio.

Editio Princers. Braun has not bestowed exaggerated praise upon this impression, when he speaks of it in the following terins: - Editio hæc elegantissima, ac omnium eruditorum æstimationem meretur, siue characterum gothicorum nitor, siue chartæ prestantia, siue figure in laterali margine adcuratie expressæ, spectentur,' \&c. In a note in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 397, the reader may have
perused a sufficiently interesting, although brief, account of this beautiful volume; which, in the present place, demands a particular and extended description. Bibliographers seem to have vied with each other in commendation of it.

We may first generally remark, that the paper is excellent both in regard to substance and tone; that the letter is rather small, but neat ; the ink, of a fine glossy black; and the capital initials are blooming ones, cut in wood, and relieved by white upon a black ground. A specimen of similar capital initials had appeard, five years before, from the same ingenious printer, in the Appian of 1477: vide vol. i. p. 254. The margin of this present impression is ample; and the introduction of geometrical figures in the same, very neatly executed in metal, give it an air of great elegance and interest. We now proceed to a more particular description. On the reverse of the first leaf there is an interesting address, in 34 lines, by Ratdolt, concerning the printing of the volume ; in which he tells his patron, Mocenicus, that there were plenty of excellent works published in the city of Venice, but that scarcely any thing connected with mathematical studies appeared : or that, what did appear, was generally frivolous and contemptible. He accounts for this, from the difficulty of illustrating problems by means of geometrical figures; and adds justly-‘ sine quibus nihil in his disciplinis fere intelligi optine potest.' 'Therefore he sets about, with great diligence, spirit, and labour, the manufacturing of his own figures.' These are placed in the margin, and are evidently of metal composition; as the neatness and distinctness of the letters, introduced within the circles and squares, \&c. clearly demonstrate. On the recto of the second leaf the work begins, in a very handsome page, decorated with a broad printed arabesque border, and mathenatical figures in the margin; having, at top, the following lines in red:

## Forecharifimug lifer elementorum eutlidis perspicatifsimi: in axtem grometrie incipit quā foclitifsime:

This is printed in the large lower-case Gothic type with which the entire page, and the previous address, are executed. Almost the whole of the remainder of the work is printed in a smaller letter. On the reverse of $r$ vij (in eights) is the following colophon, in 4 lines:

## Opus dementorī eutlixiz megarengis in geometriā 

tiones finiat. etryaraus ratoalt ©̌ugustengig int= pethor giolertifsimus. benetijg impretyit. Stmo
 clalc.

The three last words form one line in the original ; and are, therefore, printed at a considerable distance from each other. The volume is without numbers to the leaves.

In the third place, we proceed to observe upon the notices of this work by various bibliographers. Maittaire, Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 434, has extracted the entire address of Ratdolt; and Braun a part of it. Notit. Hist. Litt. pt. ii. p. 93-4. In other respects, Maittaire's aecount is brief, while Braun's is copious and interesting. Clement had seen the cdition, and describes it with his usual animation. He sets out, however, by doubting the accuracy of Ehinger; who, in his Cat. Bibl. Augustan., 1633, fol. col. 667, had observed that this preface was printed in golden letters-which Clement wholly disbelieves; but a copy of this description was in the library of Consul Smith (now in his Majesty's collection,) and is mentioned in the Bibl. Smithiana, p. clxı. A similar copy is noticed in Laire's Index. Libror. vol. ii. p. 59, $11^{\circ}$. 10. See the Bibl. Curieuse; \&c. vol. viii. p. 143-4. Both Clement and Braun make mention of Kaestner's description of this edition, in a brochure published at Leipsic in 1750, 4to. which was dedicated to Cardinal Quirini, and drew forth an interesting reply from his Eminence. It had, indeed, been before noticed by Zapf, in his Augsburgs Buchdruchergeschichte, vol. i. p. 160; who is copious in his authorities, and gives a full page to the description of it. Nor is the account of Seemiller to be slighted. This latter bibliographer is particular in his description, and does not fail to notice the extreme beauty and rarity of the impression, Incunab. Typog. fasc. Ir. p. 108. He refers to De Bure, vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1959 ; where there is a very meagre mention made of it; but where the notice of a copy in Consul Smith's library, upon vellum, is perfectly correct. De Bure relies upon the small catalogue of Bibl. Smithiana, p. 25, containing the volumes printed only in the XVth century; where the word 'Pergamena' is in capital letters. In the large catalogue, before referred to, this word is in italics; but it is, nesertheless, indicative of the copy being printed upon vellum.* Fossi, Bibl. Magliabech. vol. i. col. 643,

[^4]is particular and exact．See Panzer，vol．iii．p． 587 ；and particularly Zapf＇s warm eulogy upon the merits of Ratdolt ：Annal．Typog．August． p．xxxv．\＆c．The present is a fine large copy，bound in red morocco．

235．Euripides．Gr．Without Date，Place，or Printer＇s Name；but considered to be executed by Franciscus de Alopa at Florence．Quarto．

Editio Princeps of the four fullowing plays：Medea，Hippolytus， Alcestis，and Andromache．This well－known impression，like those of Apollonius Rhodius and Callimachus（vide vol．i．p．252，291－3）is printed in capital letters；and was considered by the late Professor Porson，to be of such rarity and worth，that in his own edition of the Medea，he made a most careful collation of the present text．The Professor＇s words are－＇Hanc editionem，cum et rarissima est，et im－ penso pretio veneat，summa cum religione，ne dicam superstitione， contuli．＇It is divested of Scholia，and begins，on the reverse of the first leaf，with the Greek alphabet，in capital letters，in one line．Be－ neath，we have as follows：

> AI. Ar. EI. Er. OI. Or. Aı. Hi. $\Omega 1$. $\Upsilon 1$.ケПO'ЄEミIさ MHDEI'Aミ ETPIПI'ムOT.

This hypothesis or argument occupies the first and the subsequent page．The third page，or the reverse of the 2 d leaf，thus presents us with the opening of the Medea：

EイPIMIDƠ MHAEIA
 $\triangle I A \Pi T A^{\prime} \Sigma \Theta A I ~ \Sigma K A^{\prime} \Phi O \Sigma$ $K^{*} \Lambda K \Omega N^{\prime \prime} \Sigma A^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{I}^{\prime} A N$ KrA NE＇Aミ ミヘMПAHГA＇$\triangle A \Sigma$ ．
 TMHOEIミA ПEN＇KH．MH＇$\triangle^{\prime} E^{\prime \prime}$ PETM $\Omega \Sigma A I ~ X E ' P A \Sigma ~$ $A^{\prime} N \triangle P \Omega N A^{\prime} \mathrm{PI}^{\prime} \Sigma T \Omega N$ ． $\mathrm{O}^{\prime \prime} 1^{\prime}$ TO＇ПA＇ $\mathrm{COPP}^{\prime} \Sigma O N \triangle E^{\prime} P A \Sigma$ ПЕАI＇Aı METHA＠ON．O＂ๆ ГAP A＂N $\triangle E^{\prime} \Sigma \Pi O I N E " M H '$
 E＇PЛTI ӨMMO＇N E＇KПАAГEI工＇I＇A＇ミONOミ．
\＆c．\＆c．\＆c．

A full page contains 28 lines. The signatures run in eights to N ; but $K$ is repeated, and $\Lambda$ is erroneously printed for $M$. On the reverse of $N$ ii we read the following conclusion.
TEAOS EYPI
$\Pi I \Delta O \Upsilon$
AN
$\triangle P O M A$
$X$
$H$
$\Sigma$

This valuable impression has been briefly noticed by Maittaire, vol. i. p. 101 ; by Fabricius, Bibl. Grac. curd Harles, vol. ii. p. 258; by Harles, Introd. Ling. Grac. vol. i. p. 306 ; and by Panzer, vol. i. p. 434. The first two authorities had seen it; and the latter observes of the text, that it is printed 'è MS. Codice non contemnendae notae.' Neither Harles nor Panzer had, evidently, any knowledge of it; and Clement seems, indirectly, to bewail his ignorance of it; Bibl. Curieuse, \&c. vol. viii. p. 164, note 90. Copies were in the Mead, Askew, Gaignat, and Pinelli collections : see Bibl. Mead. p. 214. nº. 1991; Cat. de Gaignat, vol. i. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1551 ; Bibl. Askev. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1534 ; and Bibl. Pinell. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 9058. edit. 1790. These references are taken from a note in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 328. In the Royal, Bodleian, and Museum collections, there are also copies; but a more beautiful, or a larger copy than the present one, will with difficulty be discovered. It is quite clean; having one fifth of the leaves with the fore edges uncut. Superbly bound in blue morocco.

## 236. Eutropius. Printed at Rome. 1471. Folio.

Editio Princers. On the reverse of the first leaf at top, begins a table of the head of each chapter, in each book. From the first to nearly the middle of the 7 th page, this table is chronological: it is afterwards, to the end, alphabetical. The entire table occupies $S$ leaves, or 15 pages. On the recto of the 9 th leaf, the text begins thus:

# Incipit Eutropi9 historiographus: \& post eum Paulus diacon9: de historiis italice prouincic ac Romanorum. <br> Rimus in italia (ut quibusdā placet) regnauit Ianus. deinde Saturng. Iouē filiū e Grecia fugiēs: in ciuitate que ex eius nomie Saturnia dicta ē: habitauit: \&c. \&cc. \&cc. 

A full page has 32 lines. The text comprehends 96 leaves. On the reverse of the last, at bottom.

> Eutropius historiographus Rome impressus Anno dn̄i. M.cccc.lxxi. die lune. xx. Mensis Mai Ponti. S. in xp̄o přis ac dn̄i nostri domī Pauli diuina puidentia Pape Secundi. Anno eius Septimo Explicit.

This inupression is unquestionably the production of Laver's press; and it is the most perfect specimen of it with which $I$ am acquainted. The author of the Harleian Catalogue, vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 105\%, has erroneously observed, that Maittaire was ignorant of this edition; but Audiffredi and Verheyk very confidently assert that he was well acquainted with it. The reader will find it specified in the Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 307, along with Tementius Varro De Ling. Lat. This first edition of Eutropius was superintended by Diaconus, who has taken care to represent, with scrupulous fidelity, all the errors and interpolations of his MS. Verheyk (edit, $\mathbf{1 7 3 9}$, præf. xi.) observes, that Fabricius, Eibl. Lat. edit. 1721, vol. i. p. 578 , has praised this editio princeps; on the contrary, if the reader will turn to the passage referred to, he will find that the 'Breviarium Eutropii in antiq. edit. Rouæ, $14 \% 1$,' is declared to be remarkably interpolated:- ' mire interpolatum legitur.' The words of Fabricius are repeated by his editor Ernesti, vol. iii. p. 133. See De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 4840 ; Audiffiedi, Edit. Rom. p. 86-7 ; Bibl. Askev. nº. 1742 ; Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. ${ }^{\circ} .4875$, which latter copy was purchased by Count Revickzy for 901 livres. The information contained in these latter lines, will be found in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. 343-4. The present is a fine copy, splendidly bound in blue morocco.

## 237. Florus. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

Editio Princers. It is justly observed by Fabricius, in the Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 442 (edit. 1773 ), that ' it is hardly possible to specify the first edition of Florus. There are four, without dates, from which the priority of either is doubtful.' It will be seen from the present, and the four subsequent, articles, that a fifth dateless cdition here adds to the uncertainty of the discussion. In conformity with the opinion of most bibliographers, the first place in order is assigned to the present impression. It is printed in a large Roman letter, which is not very unlike the type used in the Homilies of Chrysostom printed at Rome in 1470 (vide vol. i. p. 194-5.) 'The lower-case fount has, however, a closer resemblance to it than some of the eapital letters; especially the $Q, V$, and $O$ : but the impression was, in all probability, executed in the house allotted to the Sorbonne Seminary, and the printers were Gering, Crantz, and Friburger;* who published it between the years 14\%0-2.

It commencess on the reverse of the first leaf, thus:

## In. L. Annei Flori Epithoma de hystoria Titi Liuii, Argumentū fæliciter incipit ;

This argument occupies 13 lines. On the recto of the ensuing leaf we read

Lucii Annei Flori de tota hystoria Titi Liuii Epithoma foeliciter incipit;

Opulus Romanus a rege Romulo, in cæsarē Augustū. dcc. per annos tantū operū,pace belloq; gessit! ut si quis magnitudinē imperii cū an, nis conferat! xatē ultra putet. Ita enim late per orbem terrarū arma circuntulit! ut qui res \&c. \&c. \&cc.

[^5]This page contains 22 , but a full one, 23 lines. On the recto of the s9th and last leaf, the following is the whole that is printed upon it :
imperium) romulus nocaref. Sed sanctius, \& reuerentius uisum nomen augusti. ut scilicet iam tumı dū colit terrası ipso nomine \& titu, lo consecraretur ;

L. Annei Flori epitoma de 'Tito Liuio, finit liber quartus;

Some copies have the verses, quoted in the subsequent article, subjoined to the preceding extract ; but the present copy is without them. This impression is unskilfully printed, upon paper of an unusually stout quality. It is of very great rarity. I have consulted De Bure, and La Serna Santander, as well as the authorities referred to by Panzer, vol. ii. p. 270 ; but in neither of them will be found so faithful an account of this impression as is the foregoing. A variety of authorities upon this point may be seen in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 346-\%. The present is a beautiful copy in red morocco: French binding.

## 238. Florus. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

We will first give a somewhat more particular description of this ancient* impression than has hitherto appeared. It commences thus on the recto of the first leaf:

## LVCII ANNEI FLORI EPITOMATVM IN TITVM LIVIVM LIBER PRIMVS. PROOEMIVM. OPVLVS ROMANVS a rege Romuloī Cesarem Augustum sep

[^6]tingentos per annos tantum operim pace belloq; gessit. ut si quis magni tudinen imperii cum annis conferat: æta tem ultra putet. Ita enim late p orbē terrape \&c. \&ce. \&c.
having a still further indentation at the 7 th line below the last precerling one. This first page has 39 , but a full page contains 33 lines. On the reverse of the 58th and last leaf, it terminates thus:

## scilicet iam dudum dum colit terras ipse nomine \& Titulo consecraretur : . F I N I S: .

## F lorus habet paruo : numerosa uolumina Liui

 Codice : in Italica maximus historia.$\mathbf{N}$ il latet hunc: ualeat quod honore nitere latino Vel peregrina petas scripta : uel artis opus.
I nde fit ut ueteres scribendi miserit usus: Atq, nouo redeat proditus officio.
$\mathbf{N}$ ain quod centeno consumpta uolumine sæcla Viderat: in totidem nascitur usq; dies $\quad$.

In regard to the antiquity of this impression, Panzer conceives it may probably be the first. He relies chiefly upon the authorities of Bibl. Smith, p. clxxvir, and Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 69-71. Laire, in his Index Libror. vol. i. p. 133-4, draws the same conclusion upon the authority of the former. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 761, leaves this point unnoticed; but justly observes upon the thin and faded aspect of the type and printing. In regard to the printer, Laiie (very erroneously) says the types resemble those of Jenson: on the contrary they are more like Hailbrunn's. The ' e ' is remarkable; being apparently broken at top, and resembling the same letter in the Ausonius of $14 \%$ : yet in the present work the top of the ' e ' is flatter and less perecptible. The paper is of a coarse texture. There are neither heads of chapters (as in the preceding edition), numerals, catchwords, nor signatures. The Abbé Morelli (Bibl. Pinell. ibid.) notices with

[^7]justice the amplitude of the margin. The present is a very fine large copy, bound in red moroccu.
239. Florus. Without Date, Place, or Name of

## Printer. Folio.

Count Reviczky, in his ms. addenda, has very justly noticed the conformity of the gothic types of this edition with those of the 'Fasciculus Temporum' of 1474, and the 'De Remediis Utriusque Fortunæ' of $\mathbf{1 4 7 1}$, with the name of Arnoldus Therhurnen, subjoined, as the printer. This is probably the same edition of which Gruter and Duker had so high an opinion; and the antiquity of which they conceived to be more remote than that of either of the preceding ones. In the absence of all positive evidence, the reader will draw his own conclusion. My own opinion does not induce me to assign an earlier date than that of $14 \% 3$ to this impression.

It is printed in two columns. On the recto of the first leaf, is the following prefix, executed in red ink:
Tutij anti fori fuitoma iang abhre
uiatio be cutsu at gitutu romanoz a
fumbatane berity romulit wiq3 ad
$\mathfrak{a u g u s i t u}$. atinex [ 4$]$ lituras incipitfeli

On the reverse of the 24th leaf, at bottom of the second column, we read as follows:

## ©xplicit Yucij annei flori lifer quartut.

## 

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, begins the opusculum 'De Commendatione Romani Imperii,' on the top of the first column :

## Đe tōmendatīe romani imperij.

comprehending 5 leaves; and ending at the bottom of the first column, on the reverse of the last leaf, thus:

## Etyplicit hifelf ac mēdacōe impij rāmi.

This edition is printed in a small barbarous Gothic type, with very black ink, upon paper of a coarse brown texture. There are neither signatures, catchwords, nor numbers to the leaves. The divisions of the chapters are marked by heads. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 751 , note 3, describes it as 'charactere magis ad Gothicum accedente.' Laire calls it 'editio penitus ignota:' the copy which he describes was bound with the Fasciculus of 1474 by Therhurnen. Index Libror. vol. i. p. 132-3. The present sound copy is bound in dark red-stained morocco.

## 240. Florus. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

This is the edition which has been justly ascribed by the Abbe Morelli, in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 71, to the press of Corallus, at Parma; being printed in the same character with which the Catullus of 1473 -according to the same authority-is executed. The prefatory introduction of the editor, Beroaldus, to P. M. Rubeus of Parma, commencing on the reverse of the first leaf, and occupying 2 pages, informs us that the work was undertaken at the express entreaty of 'Stephen Corallus, a skilful printer.' The reverse of the 2d leaf is blank. On the recto of the 3 d leaf, the text begins thus :

## LVTII FLORI GESTORVM ROMA. NORVM EPITHOMA INCIPIT.

Opulus romanus a rege Romulo in Cæsarem Aus gustum septingentos per annos tantum operū pace belloq; gessit : ut si quis magnitudinē ípii cū ānis cōferat ætatē ultra putet:

There are 16 lines beneath. A full page has 27 lines. The last book concludes on the reverse of the 80 and last leaf, thus:
. L. Flori epithomatis liber ultimus .

## $\therefore$ FINIS : .

A small letter is inserted in the space of the capital initial to be enlarged and beautified according to the fancy of the illuminator. There are catchwords in the inner margin, on the reverse of every leaf; but neither signatures nor numbers to the leaves. The type is large, round, and extremely legible. Morelli does not scruple to call the book ' longe rarissimus.' The present is a handsome copy, in dark redstained morocco.

## 241. Florus. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

This impression, which has the singularity of having Gothic capitals occasionally prefixed to the commencement of sections, \&c. seems to lare escaped the notice of most bibliographers : it being obviously different from either of those dateless editions, in the Roman character, noticed by Panzer in vol. iv. p. 130-1. It begins thus, on the recto of the first leaf:

> II utii Amnei flori Romane historie liber primus.
(1) pulos romanus a rege Romulo in Cesare Augustum septingentos per annos tantum operum pace belloq; gessit: utsi quis magni, tudinem imperii cum annis cōferat | etatem ultra putet. Ita enim ubiq; per orbez terraz arma late circūtulit. ut qui res eius legant | nō unius populi sed generishumani facta discant. Nam tot laboribus | perio \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page contains 36 lines. The occasional introduction of Gothic initials is rarely seen after the 12th leaf, and is resumed at the last leaf. On the reverse of the 48 th and last, nearly at top, we read as follows :

## FFinis est.

ILucii Amnei flori. liber quartus. de Romane historie uniuerse Compendio finit.

立aus Deo.

This edition is indifferently executed; but the page is well set up, and the margin is ample. There ate neither signatures, catchwords, nor numerals; but the small letter is uniformly inserted in the centre of the space to be filled by the illuminated large capital initial. The chapters are designated in lower-case Roman type. Bound in russia.

## 242. Florus. Printed by Sigismund Rot d'litz. Without Date. Quarto.

On the reverse of $\mathrm{a} i$, is the prefatory address of $\mathrm{P} . \mathrm{B}[\mathrm{e}]$ roaldus to Count P. Maria Rubeus of Parma. The history commences on the opposite page, upon the recto of a ii. The signatures run in eights; and the work concludes on the recto of $g$ viij, at bottom, thus:

## 

This is a pretty copy of a neatly executed volume. The types have a more exact resemblance to those of Guldinbeck, than to those of Planck. The capital letters are precisely Guldinbeck's. A copy is in Cat. de la Valliere, vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. $48 \% 0$; and in Laire's Index Libror. vol. ii. p. 7. A full page contains 29 lines. In blue morocco.

## 243. Florus. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

This impression, printed in the Roman letter, and appended to an edition of Justin, commences on the recto of signature $h$ iii of the same; and terminates on the reverse of 1 v -in sixes. The opposite and last leaf contains the Register. The colophon will be given in the account of Justin : post.

244．Galenus．Therapeuticorum Lib．xiv．； et Eorundem ad Glaucum Libri iI．Gr． Printed by Calliergus．Venice．1500．Folio．

Editio Princeps．On the recto of the first leaf，in the present copy，the word ГAAHNO玉 is printed，but evidently in a modern type； forming a gratuitous addition to the volume．On the recto of the subsequent leaf，at top，sign．A $\beta$ ，is a small whole length figure of Galen ；of which the following is a fac－simile．Such ornaments are rare in classical books of an early date．


This portrait，executed in black，is in the centre of a large handsome horizontally oblong ornament，printed in red ink；and surrounded by the following inscription：ГAAHNON ©EPAПETTIKH乏 ME＠O－ $\triangle O \Upsilon \Lambda O F O \Sigma$ ПP $\Omega$ TOミ．Beneath，there are 36 lines of text，with a large blooming capital initial E．A full page contains 50 lines． The first book ends on the reverse of $A$ viij．On $B \alpha$ ，recto，begins the second book，preceded by the following capital initial；which
presents us with a beautiful specimen of the elegance of the press of Calliergus.


The second book ends on B viij, recto. The third commences on the reverse, and ends on the recto of $\Gamma$ vij. Fourth book begins on $\Gamma$ vij rev. ending on $\Delta \mathrm{vj}$. recto. Fifth book begins $\Delta \mathrm{vj}$. rev.-ends on Ev. rev. Eixth book begins on E vj. recto-ends on $Z \Delta$ (or $\Delta$ iiij) reeto. Seventh book, $Z \mathrm{\delta}$ reverse-to $\mathrm{H} \gamma$ recto. Eighth book, $\mathrm{H}_{\gamma}$ reverse, to $\Theta \alpha$ reverse. Ninth book, $\Theta \beta$ recto, to $\Theta$ vij recto. Tenth, $\Theta$ vij, rev. to I vj, reeto. Eleventh, I vj, reversc, to K v , recto. Twelfth, K v . rev. to $\Lambda \mathrm{iij}$, recto. Thirteenth book commences on $\Lambda \mathrm{iij}$, rev. and concludes on $\mathrm{M} a$ reverse. The fourteenth begins on $\mathrm{M} \beta$ recto, ending on M ix, reverse. On M x , recto, we are presented with another, similar, decoration of the author's portrait, in the middle of a large ornament, printed in red, with the following title; ГA:AHNOT ПРОС Г $\lambda \alpha u К \Omega N A, ~ \Theta Е Р А П Е Т T I K \Omega N ~ T \Omega N ~ E I C ~ \triangle Y O, ~ B I B A I O N ~$ $\pi \rho \omega$ TON. Beneath, are 35 lines of text. The first of these two books ends on the recto of $\mathbf{N} \mathbf{v j}$. The second book begins on the reverse of the same, and ends on the reverse of $\Xi \mathrm{v}$. The colophon, on the same page, is strictly as follows:






The opposite and last leaf contains a registcr, with the title of H T $\Omega$ N TETPAPAI $\Omega$ N ПANT $\Omega N$ I ©T'THC ATTHCOIФIAE. The device of Calliergus, as at p. 264. of vol. i. is beneath.

We have here another magnificent specimen of the early Venetian press, under the conduct of Calliergus. The paper is excellent, the body of the text very large, but relieved by a proportionate amplitude of margin ; and of such extreme rarity is the work, that, as Count Reviczky has justly remarked, Fabricius and many other bibliographers were entirely ignorant of it. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 720, has given the colophon without any observation or comment; and I suspect that Panzer has only construed this colophon into Latin, without having seen the book; see his Annal. Typog. vol. iii. p. 479. He refers to the catalogue of Count Reviczky's books, p. 86, and to Myl. Memorab. p. 184. De Bure, and his inveterate opponent, the Abbé Rive, Seemiller, and Braun, appear to have been entirely ignorant of it. Mr. Beloe has a brief but correct notice of it : Anecdotes of Literature, \&c. vol. v. p. 65-6. The present is rather a fine copy, with the exception of a few soiled leaves towards the end. It is bound in calf.

## 245. Herodianus. Latinè. Printed by Plato de Benedictis. Bologna. 1493. Folio.

There is not a more beautifully executed volume of the xyth century, in the present Collection, than the one now about to be described. Whether it be equal, or superior, to the impression published in the ensuing month, in the same year, and at the same place, in 4to. by Bazalerius de Bazaleriis, (see Maittaire, vol. i. p. 558; copied by Clement, vol. ix. p. 436,) I have not the means of determining. This is the second impression of the Latin version of Herodian; the first having been published at Rome, in June, in the same year. See Panzer, vol. ii. p. 510.* On the reverse of the first leaf we are pre-

[^8]sented with the address of Politian, the translator, to Andreas Magnanimus; dated 9th May, 1423. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, a a. ii. begins the prefatory address to lope Innocent viri; oceupying both sides of the leaf. On the recto of a a. iii. begins the Latin text of the history, with the prefix in capital letters, printed in red. A full page contains 35 lines. On the reverse of ii. iv, (in eights) we have the conclusion of the text, the imprint, the register, and the device. The imprint and device are as follow:

Quod quidem opus nouum et aureum Plato de Benedictis accuratissime Anno Domini. M.CCCC.LXXXXIII. pridic kalē. septembres Bononia "T pulcherrimis his Caracteribus impressit.


The epithet above applied to the types, is by no means extravagant or improper. They are smaller and rounder than the Aldine Roman types; and are inore agreeable to the eye. The fine strokes are few. Ilence there is less sharpness and snapping of the letter. The margin, which has, occasionally, observations printed upon it, is ample; and the condition of this copy such, as to render it a brilliant acqui-
sition to any collection. There is an account of this impression in Freytag's Adpar. Litterar. vol. i. p. 669 ; and in Seemiller's Incunab. Typog. Fasc. iv. p. 34. Laire, Index Libror. vol. ii. p. 186, briefly notices it ; and refers, not quite accurately, to the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. $n^{\circ} .4940$; where the Roman edition appears to have been the only one seen by De Bure. The present copy is elegantly bound in russia.

## 246. Herodotus Latinè. Printed by Iacobus

## Rubeus. Venice. 1474. Folio.

Editio Princers. On the recto of the first leaf is the commencement of the address of Benedictus Brognolus to Nicolaus Donatus; having this pretix:

## Integerrimo Atq; Optimo Patricio Nicolao Donato Bene, dictus Brognolus Salutem Plurimam dicit.

This is an interesting preface. The destruction of the Alexandrian library, is thus slightly noticed at the bottom of the first page: 'ea calamitas semel ptolemaide accidit uel in omne tempus deflenda ut ad septingenta millia uoluminum dum a barbaris urbs ea diripitur incensa fuerint.' The address or preface terminates at the middle of the recto of the 4 th leaf. On the recto of the 5th leaf commences the first book of the version of Herodotus, with a title in one line, lower-case. There are 35 lines to a full page; and the volume contains 259 (pencilnumbered) leaves. The colophon at bottom of fol. 259, reverse, is as follows :

Herodoti Halicarnassei patris historiæ traductio e græco in latinū habita $p$ uiß̉ cruditissimū Laurētiū Valēsē sīgularem nostris tēporibus ciuē romanū sub Nicolao. v. sūmo pōtifice. Venetiis īpressū ē hoc opus p Iacobū Rubeū natione Gallicū. Anno dnī. M.cccc.lxxiiii. Nicolao Marcello duce Ven.

There is considerable similarity, at first glance, between the types of this impression and those of Jenson. I suspect, indeed, that the fount of letter, both of capitals and lower-case, is precisely the same; and that the superior skill of Jenson, in working his press, together
with the types being newer in the time of the latter, has produced the only difference in the appearance of their several productions. Laire, in his Index Libror. vol. i. p. 338-9 has properly corrected De Bure, vol. v. $n^{\circ} .4742$, for saying the prefatory epistle of Brognolus comprehends only 3 leaves. In the Gaignat Collection there was a copy of this impression upon vellum, which was sold for 203 livres. See Cat. de Gaignat, vol. ii. p. 83, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2857; and the authorities referred to in Panzer, vol. iii. p. 104, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 16\%. The present is a fair sound copy; in russia binding.
247. Herodorus. Latinè. Printed in the House of Peter de Maximis. Rome. 1475. Folio.

This is the second impression of the Latin version of Herodotus by Laurentius Valla. It begins on fol. i. recto, with a table of Memorabilia, which occupies 23 leaves. On the recto of fol. 24, begins the Latin text of the history, thus:

> Herodoti historici Incipit. Laurentii Vall.' conuersio de Greco in Latinum.

A full page contains 38 lines. The reverse of the last leaf presents us with the following verses and colophon.

Miraris: fuerim cum scriptor ionicus: unde est $\Phi$ nunc Romanus perlegor Herodotus.
Magna quidem merito referenda est gratia Valle:
Ille meam pulchre transtulit historiam.
Res igitur priscas: memorandaq; facta uirorum Qui cupit ex uno noscere : nostra legat.
Nam ne defuerunt nostra exemplaria Rome:
Arnoldi artifices consuluere manus.
In quibus Andreas Aleriensis Episcopus olim:
Extremam imposuit: nee sine laude limam.
Impressus Rome : In domo nobilis uiri Petri de Maximis. Anno Salutis. M. CCCC. LXXV. Die. XX. mēsis Aprilis. Sedeñ. Syxto. IIII. Pon. Max. Anno eius Quarto. DEO LAVS.
VOL. II.

The recto of the next leaf presents us with the register. It is justly observed by Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 182, that the types of this impression have an exact resemblance to those with which Sweynheym and Pannartz printed: except that the $a$ is somewhat more elegant, and the $i$ is occasionally dotted. The $s$ final and the diphthong $\mathscr{C}$ also vary. It is supposed to have been executed by Pannartz alone, and is among the latest productions ' in domo Petri Maximis.' There is a good account of this edition in Maittaire, vol. i. p. 348, note 1; in De Bure, vol. v. p. 491, ${ }^{\circ}$. 4743 ; in Panzer, vol. ii. p. 455-6, and Bibl. Magliabech. vol. i. col. 769 ; in each of which authorities the preceding verses are extracted. Mr. Beloe has an interesting notice of ' the palace of the family of Maximis,' appended to his account of this impression: Anecdotes of Literature, \&c. vol. iv. p. 108. The present is a fair copy, in russia binding.

## 248. Heronotus. Græcè. Printed by Aldus. Venice. 1502. Folio.

Editio Princeps. On the recto of the first leaf we bave the title of the work, in Greek and Latin : the whole, 15 lines. The small anchor, in shadow, is beneath; having AL on one side, and DVS on the other. The reverse of the leaf, in small italics, presents us with the address of Aldus to Calphurnius, Brixianus; in which, among other pertinent remarks, the printer observes-as Renouard has correctly extracted it' Has nouem musas Herodoti in ædibus nostris nuper impressas.... ed gratiores tibi fore existimamus, quoniam multis exemplaribus castigatæ emittuntur ex Academia nostra in manus studiosorum. Nam Clio abundat à cæteris quibus cum contulimus exemplar nostrum, decem propè chartis, quæ \& in ea desunt, quæ à Laurentio Valla translata habetur . . . : It will be seen, from the sequel, that Aldus was accurate in this statement.

On the recto of the second leaf, sign. aAAA ii, begins the first book, or the text of Clio. On the recto of the last, the registers and the imprint are in Greek and Latin. The signatures run in eights, except the last, $\Sigma \Sigma S S$ ii, which has only four leaves: the inprint is as follows :

V enetiis in domo Aldi mense Septembri. m.dir. et cum priuilegio ut in cexteris.

The reverse of the last leaf has the same anchor and letters as lave been before described. 'In the opinion of Wesseling this is a very faithful and accurate edition ; compiled with great care, and executed with considerable typographical elegance. Bergler, in the Act. Frudit. An. 1716, p. 378 , ranks it with the very best productions of the Aldine press; and in point of fidelity it is greatly preferable to the Medicëan MS. so loudly boasted of by Gronovius.' See the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 356. De Bure is unpardonably brief and negligent in his account of it: Eibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 488, n ${ }^{\circ} .4738$. The leaves, 140 in number, have no numerals. The common copies of this first edition are neither very rare nor very dear; but the large and fine paper impressions of it, similar to the one here described, are, as Renouard well observes, ' des morceaux extrêmement précieux, quand ils se rencontrent bien conserves.' L'Imprim. des Alde; vol. i. p. 54-5. The present is indeed a magnificent specimen of the Aldine press. It is bound in red-morocco.

## 249. Hesiodus. Opera et Dies. Griecè. Sup-

 posed to have been printed at Milan, in the year 1493. Folio.Editio Princers. There has been so much doubt and discussion concerning this impression, that it requires to be noticed before we come to a bibliographical description of it. A principal cause of difference of opinion was, that the Hesiod harl been bound separately from the Isocrates and Theocritus of the above date; but the commencement of the text upon sign. E. 1, is alone a sufficient demonstration of its not having been published in a separate form. The type exactly resembles that of the Isocrates of 1493 (vide post.) and the Gr. and Lat. Psalter published at Milan in 1481 : vide vol. i. p. 125. Maittaire, who has passed over the edition in the body of his work, introduces it in the first part of his ivth volume, p. 64, 98 ; and justly observes that it has both signatures and a register. There is a judicious note upon it by Morelli in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 302; but Saxius has wandered strangely from the truth in supposing it to have been printed in 1483, because some one had written this date in the copy which he saw: Hist. Litt. Typog. Mediol. p. dlxxix.* Count Reviczky, in the Catalogue

[^9]of his Books (Bibl. Revicz. 1784,-p. 8-9.) justly infers that it was printed with the Isocrates in 1493 ; although it is not uncommon to possess it in a separate form. In the Crevenna Collection neither the Hesiod nor the Theocritus, of this form, accompanied the Isocrates; Bibl. Crevern. vol. iii. p. 58, n ${ }^{\circ} .3266$ : but in the catalogue of the public Library at Leyden (as Harles, Fabric. Bibl. Grac. vol. i. p. 596, has properly remarked) the Isocrates of 1493, and the Theocritus and Hesiod of 1493, are distinctly specified. See Bibl. Lugd. Bat. p. 251. De Bure appears to have been ignorant of its existence. We may now proceed to a sufficiently particular, although brief, description of the present impression.

It is bound with an edition of Theocritus of the same supposed date; and begins thus, on the recto of sign. E i : the capitals being printed in red.

## 'HCIO' $\triangle$ OT TOT' ACKPAI'OT 'ЕРГА <br> KAI' 'HME'PAI.







\&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page contains 30 lines or verses. On the reverse of fol. 13, the ' Works' end. On the recto of the ensuing leaf the 'Days' commence in the following manner :

## 'HCIO' $\triangle$ ON 'HME'PAI.

| ท |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  $\dot{\alpha} \rho i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ |




Beneath, are 20 verses. This Opusculum here contains but 2 pages and half. See the Theocritus under the same year, post. The present is a fine copy; in blue morocco binding.

## 250．Hesiodus．Opera Omnia．Græcè．Printed

 by Aldus．Venice．1495．Folio．Editio Princers of the Entire Works of Hesiod，and subjoined to an edition of Theocritus of the same date：for which，vide post． Renouard has not exhibited his usual minuteness of description，in his account of this very scarce impression．Of the contents of Hesiod he has not taken any particular notice ；telling us，only，that the vo－ lume contains 140 leaves not numbered．His account of Theocritus is more satisfactory．L＇Imprim．des Alde，vol．i．p．8－9．Other biblio－ graphers have been more particular．Maittaire，however，must be excepted；as his description relates only to the title：Annal．Typog．vol． i．p．590－1．De Bure has been rather unusually exact；Bibliogr． Instruct．vol．iii．p．194－6， $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$ ．2480．Laire is correct，but confines himself to the title；ceferring to De Bure ：Index Libror．vol．ii．p．205－6．Braun is rather copious；concluding with these words－＇Editio elegantissima haec，ac excellentissima typo nitido admodum \＆præstanti expressa bibliothecarum cimeliis merito adnumeratur．＇Notit．Hist．Litt．pt．ii． p．274．Seemiller is perhaps still more particular．Incunab．Typog． Fasc．iv．p．60－1．Panzer has adopted the whole of Seemiller＇s de－ scription：Annal．Typog．vol．i．p． $37 \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{n}^{\circ}$ ．1964．It must be remem－ bered，that the preceding authorities comprehend the account of Theocritus，\＆c．as well as of Hesiod．In regard to the latter author，the ensuing notice is yct more minute than either of those preceding it．For Theocritus，the reader will consult the following pages，in the alphabetical arrangement of the authors．

The text of Hesiod begins as follows－on the recto of $\alpha$ ．a．

## $* \mathrm{H}^{\star} \Sigma \mathrm{IO}^{\prime} \Delta \mathrm{O} \Upsilon$ © $\Theta O T O N I^{\prime} \mathrm{A}^{*}$

$\sigma \tilde{\pi} \pi \alpha$ 入оїб

The＇Theogony＇concludes on the recto of $\gamma$ ．c．ii．recto：

Beneath which, we read the following title to the 'Shield of Hercules,'

## $\Upsilon^{\prime} \Pi O^{\prime} \Theta E \Sigma \mathrm{I} \Sigma \mathrm{TH} \Sigma \mathrm{A}^{\prime \prime} \Sigma \Pi 1^{\prime} \Delta \mathrm{O} \Sigma$

On the reverse begins the ПO'NHMA: extending to 5 pages only. On $\gamma$. c. iiiii rect. the Shield begins; occupying 12 pages. On $\delta$. d. the Opera et Dies begin : the former containing 26 pages. On the recto of $\varepsilon \mathrm{e} v \mathrm{vj}$. the 'Dies,' with a separate title, begin. I have compared several passages of the text with that of the preceding impression, and find no variations: nor indeed do there appear to me to be any between this and the second edition of the same poetical collection printed by Aldus in the same year. On the recto of $s \mathrm{e}$ vij we read the following conclusion :

## TE'AO乏 T $\Omega$ N TOY H'ミIO' $\triangle O \Upsilon$ E'PГ $\Omega$ N KAI' H'MEP $\Omega$.

On the reverse of the same leaf is the register to Hesiod. On the recto of the opposite and last leaf, we read the imprint, thus :

ImpressumVenetiis characteribusac studio Aldi Manucii Ro, mani cum gratia, \&c. .M.CCCC.XCV. Mense februario.

On the reverse, are the titles of the three preceding pieces; as specified by De Bure. A full page contains 30 verses. The present is a fair copy; in red-morocco linding.
251. Hierocles. In Aureos Versus Pythagore. Lat. Printed by Bartholomeus De Valdezoch. Padua. 1474. Quarto.

First Impression, and 'very rare and sought after;' as De Bure has justly observed: although the account in the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1251, is not so valuable as is that in the Bibl. Magliabech. vol. i. col. 771-3. The Index. Libror. vol. i. p. 339, and Bibl. Creven. vol. ii. $n^{\circ} .1511$ refer only to De Bure. I shall submit a more particular description of it, than is given in either of the foregoing authorities.

On the recto of the first leaf we are presented with the commencement of an address to Pope Nicolas V. by the translator Aurispa ; thus:

## AD NICOLAVM PONTIFICEM .V. AVRISPAE IN HIEROCLEM PRAEFATIO.

This preface occupies the first two leaves, or 4 pages. The folluwing is an interesting extract from the 2d and 3d pages :

## Studia

enim omnis generis litterarum tātum per hæc tempora creucrũt: vt per octin gentos ante annos nullus tam magnus numerus : aut scriptorū : aut transferēti um fuerit. In quo non solum presentes tibi maxic obligant : sed etī̄ præteriti hōes: \& futuri : præteriti $q$ eorū famam mori nō permisisti : futuri $q$ unde meli ores fiūt: habebūt. Nam præclara ${ }_{q}^{\text {id }}$ da $亏$ opa icuria \& negligentia eore qui sexcē tis annis citra fuerūt iā depdita magno studio perquiri fecisti : Quippe qui diuersos nuntios $\underset{\downarrow}{ }$ diuersas mūdi ptes ad libros perquirèdos tā græcos "̣̈̆ latinos tua impensa misisti. Ego uero $q$ te sem $p$ magnifeci amaui \& colui quiq; beniuolētia nō mercede ductus tibi i mino, ribus existenti aliqua traduxi : tuoq; no mini adscripsi : quum Venctiis essē tuo iussu libros aliquot græcos emi inter quos repperi Hieroclem sup versibs py thagoræ aurcis appellatis. \&c.

On the recto of the 3 d leaf begins the Latin version of the translator. On the recto of the 91 st and last leaf, we have the conclusion; which in the original occupies 5 lines, thus: FINIS. LAVS DEO. AMEN. DVCE VIRTVTE ET COMITE FORTVNA. On the reversc, the imprint is as follows :

HIEROCLIS PHILOSOPHIE STO ICI ET SANCTISSIMI IN<br>avReos VERSVS PY<br>THAGORAE OPV<br>SCVLVM PRAE STANTISSI<br>MVM ET<br>RELI<br>GIO<br>N1<br>CHRISTIANAE CONSENTA. NEVI HIC FOELICITER COMPLETVM EST AC IMPRESSVM. ANNO CHRISTI .M.CCCC. LXXIIII. PATA VII. XV. KA LENDAS MA IA. S. BARTHOLOMEVS DE VAL DE ZOCCHO. F. F. TELOS.

There are, in the corners, towards the bottom, some very rudely printed signatures; containing, according to Fossi, a-m : but these appear to have been executed subsequently to the printing of the body of the work-although Fossi may not have been of this opinion. The edition is elegantly printed; having the character of a Venetian production. The present is a fair copy, in blue morocco.
252. Homerus. Iliados Libri aliqui. Lat. Printed by Philip de Lignamine. Rome. 1474. Folio.

This impression of a partial Latin translation of the Iliad of Homer into Latin verse, by Nicholas de Valla, has been well described by Audiffredi ; who takes occasion, at the end of his description, to pay a
well deserved compliment to the late Pope Pius VI., for the beautiful copies of rare old books which his private library contained; and in which was a choice copy of the work now under consideration. Edit. Rom. p. 161-2. The description of Audiffredi is not, however, quite so particular as is the ensuing one. Laire has a brief account; subjoining, correctly, in a note ( $d d$ ), that, in the prefatory matter of Theodore Gaza, the latter takes oceasion to condole with Lælius de Valla on the death of his son-the author of the version. Gaza also mentions the execution of a Latin translation of Hesiod, and of other Greek authors; which, in due time, were to be committed to the press. Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 211. We now come to the present performance.

On the recto of the first leaf commences the preface of Gaza, with this prefix,

> THEODORVS Grecus. Dn̄o Lelio de valle vtrius $\underline{q}$ Iuris doctori sacri cōcistorii \& pauperū aduocato Salutem Dicit.

The preface oecupies 4 pages. At the bottom of the 4 th page, it is as follows:

Incipiunt aliqui libri ex Iliade Homeri translati $p$ dn̄m Nicolaū de Valle Legū doctorē Basilice pricipis apostolorū de urbe Canonicu quos cōplere aut cmēda re nō potuit iprouisa morte preuentus.

The first book, in the order of the version, is the third; beginning thus:
INCIPIT LIBER TERTIVS HOMERI
TRANSLATVS PER DOMINVM NI
COLAVM DE VALLE.

T postïi eratas struxere in bella cohortes.
Dardanide \| \& cantu strepuerınt classica rauco.

Clamore extollunt. Qvales sub nubibus atris
Aerie dant signa grues | sonitu ethera tranant
Ociani hibernū fugiunt dum sidus ad undas
Et matutine breuibus fera bella minantur Pygmeis. Taciti furias mauortis anhelant Argolici proceres | alterna in morte parati Irarum magnos uoluunt sub pectore motus Tum peditū pulsu sublatus ad ethera puluis \&c. \&cc. \&c.

The books translated are the following: in (9 leaves) : iv (10 leaves) : v ( 16 leaves) : xir erroneously printed xini, ( 12 leaves): x viir ( 9 leaves and a half) : xix (half leaf, only 20 lines) : $\mathrm{xx}, \mathrm{xxir}$, xxir, each very incomplete, and containing each only $S$ leaves: and the xxivth book, containing 13 leaves. To each of the books, with the exception of the xrith and xvirith, there is, subjoined, the name of the translator, thus: NICOLAVS DE VALLE. At the end we read,

## FINIS.

## NICOLAVS DE VALLE.

On the recto of the last leaf, it is as follows :

## AD LECTOREM.

Qui legis | emenda | liuor discede | reuersus
In latiū duce me magnus Homerus erat Romaq; certasset tecū uel smyrna uel argos

Non potui postïq mors iugulauit opus. vale.
Then the register, in 3 columns, immediately beneath : at bottom-
Lelius de valle in memoriam filii.
Impressus est iste Liber Rome in domo Iohannis Philippi de lignamie messañ S.D. N. familiaris Anno m.cccc.lxxiniI, Prima die Mensis Februarii.

There is a great peculiarity in the capital letters of this impression; they being of almost the same size as the lower-case letters. It is without signatures, catchwords, and numerals. A clean genuine copy ; in red-morocco binding.

## 253. Homeris. Batrachomyomachia. Gr. Printed by Laonicus Cretensis. 1486. Quarto.

I have before observed that this is one of the most singular and scarce editions of all the works of ancient classical authors, and has becn usually called the Editio Princeps of this poem. It is printed in red and black lines alternately. Introd. to the Classics,* vol. i. p. 396. The reader may consult p. 127 of the preceding volume of the present work; in which there is a fac-simile of the same type, from the Psalter of the same year: cxecuted, apparently, by a brother of the printer of this edition. In regard to the priority of the present, and the immediately subsequent, edition of the Batrachomyomachia, it is with deference that I differ from the learned Abbé Morelli, by giving chronological precedence to this impression of 1486 ; and for reasons, submitted in the ensuing article, I incline to think the latter to be of a date very little earlier than 1490 . It remains to give a sufficiently minute, although brief, description of this very rare and curious little volume.

On the recto of the first leaf, sign. ii, we have the following title in red and black.

##  <br> AXI $\alpha$ EN $\Delta E$ TICITI'TpH тос тол кapoc

There are 24 lines beneath. The signatures run to i. ii. iii. in cights. On the recto of the 23 d and last leaf, we have the following colophon:

[^10]the first three lines of which occur in the onc subjoined to the Psalter of 1486 : vide p. $12 \%$. vol. i.

On the reverse of the same leaf is the register, thus:

Maittaire, vol. i. 4\%4. is very brief. De Bure is comparatively copious and exact. Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 214-15. Consult also Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 281. Cat. de Gaignat. vol. i. p. 397 ; and Bibl. Reviczk. p. 2, in which Count Reviczky has properly corrected the error of Fabricius-who supposed the edition to have been printed in capital letters, and to have had Museus subjoined. There is a copy in the Imperial library at Paris; and copies, in this country, are in the Royal and Bodleian collections; and in those of the Duke of Devonshire, Larl of Pembroke, Sir M. M. Sykes, and the British Museum. The
present copy is in most desirable condition, and is bound in old red morocco.

## 254. Honerus. Muobatrachomyomachia. Gr. and Lat. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

The Abbe Morelli is the first bibliographer to whom we are indebted for an account of this very barbarous and uncommon impression of the work above noticed. He conceived-although from its rude aspect it might be supposed to have been executed in the earliest period of printing in Italy-that, in fact, it was not printed much before the edition last described. I have before stated my difference of opinion from that of the very respectable authority just mentioned : nor has such an opinion been hastily adopted. In the first place, the rudeness -not to say the blurred and battered state of the types-of this impression, is no criterion of the work having been executed 'vetustissimis Typographiæ Italicæ temporibus' (the Abbés expression): for the earliest specimens of the art of printing in Italy, are the most beautiful and perfect. Numberless volumes, in this magnificent Collection, may be mentioned as corroborative of this remark. In the second place, the types-especially the Greek ones-appear to be of Venctian manufacture; and do not in the least differ, in character, from those used by Jenson in 1472 ; of which a fac-simile is given at p. 269, of the preceding volume of this work. But these Venetian types were used very late in the XVth century; and some of the separate impressions of Cicero's pieces, between the years 1490 and 1500, which have been described in the first volume, are evidently of the same cast and condition as those in this impression : except that they have not so worn and battered an appearance-a sufficient ground, alone, for the opinion advanced in the preceding article, that this work is probably of a date not earlier than 1490 . In regard to the Roman types, they are so coarse and barbarous, that they put all chronological conjecture at defiance. The subject has not been entered upon in his ms. memoranda by Count Reviczky: yet this latter bibliographer, Harles, and lanzer, seem tacitly to subscribe to the opinion of Morelli. The Count, however, properly notices that De Bure was ignorant of the impression. We shall now make the reader better acquainted with this extraordinary production.

It begins thus, on the recto of the first leaf:

Incipiens primum musarum chorum ex helicone
 Venire in meum cor opto causa canus
 Quē nup in libellis meis sụp genibus posui \&c. \&c. \&cc.

This and every page, on the recto of the leaf, has 24 lines. The reverse of every leaf contains a Latin metrical version, beginning thus on the rev. of the first leaf:

Ranarum murum q; simul crudelia bella
Queq; super genibus descripsi carmina nuper
Nunc canere atque omnes homiuū uulgare pares
Est animus : spirate deæ sacrumq; mouete
Ex helicone chorum : uociq; inducite cantum
The interlineary, and literal, version is uniformly on the recto. On the reverse of the 24th leaf the Latin version ends thus;

H os hoies pibent horrēdo noie cancros
B icipites octo pedibus manibusq; carentes
Q ui muk subito caudasq; pedesq; manusq;
M orsibus infringūt: hastæ flectunt in ipsis
D eseruere locū mures proniq; timore
C orripuere fugam sub terras ibat olympo
$\mathbf{P}$ hoebus cū tanti cessit discordia belli

$$
\mu \nu \rho \beta \alpha \tau \rho \circ \chi \circ \mu \alpha \chi 1 \alpha \quad \text { १еेлоб }
$$

On the reverse of the 25th leaf, are the names of the different ecies of frogs: at bottom, their genera are thus denoted :

Tria Sunt Ranarum Genera
Rubeta quæ sub rubis habitat venenosa \& buffo dicit Calamintes arboribus \& pratis uiuit. qua utut magi.

Et palustris quæ comeditur

On the recto of the 26 th and last leaf, the interlineary Greek and Latin lines end thus:

In aūt fugā̀ cōuersi sūt. occidit aut sol. iam

Et belli finis solius diei expletus est

$\mu$ ио $\beta$ ттсахонахıа<br>1غ̀ $\lambda 0 \sigma$

The preceding is a more full, and, I presume to think, satisfactory account of this very curious impression, than has hitherto appeared. Morelli has led subsequent bibliographers into error by the title of it; it being as is above noticed, and not as is that of the preceding impression of 1486 . There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords; a circumstance, which, in the opinion of some typographical antiquaries, may cause it to be numbered among books of a date earlier than that which I have assigned to it. In regard to the watermarks, so particularly noticed by Morelli-(and for which he refers to a plate of fac-similes in Schwarz, Prim. Doc. de Orig. Typog. pt. iii. p. 40 ,) they afford no safe grounds for any satisfactory conclusion. It is necessary here to correct a gross error-committed in the Introd. to the Clussics, vol. i. 395-which would lead the reader to imagine that the Greek types of this inpression were similar to those of the Erotemata Chalcondylis of 1480 , the Psalter of 1481 (vide p. 125, vol. i. of this work) and the Suidas of 1499 : than which, nothing can be more unlike upon comparison. Consult Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 281-3; Harles, Fabric. Bibl. Grac. vol. i. p. 338 ; and Panzer's Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 143. Maittaire is too brief and uncertain to refer to. The present copy is in sound condition, and bound in blue morocco. It was originally purchased by Count Reviczky at the Pinclli sale.

## 255. Homerus. Opera Omnia. Gr. Printed by Demetrius Cretensis. Florence. 1488. Folio.

Editio Princeps. The celebrity of this superb, and now uncommon, work is well known to the skilful in bibliography; and a fine copy of it is justly considered the boast of every elassical collection. While we devote the text to a minute description of the arrangement of it.
contents, the reader is referred to the subjoined note,* for the eulogies pronounced upon it by preceding bibliographers. Such a detail will not be found altogether uninteresting.
The work commences with two prefaces: one by Bernardus Nerlius, in the Latin tongue, which occupies the recto of the first leaf; the,

- We may commence this little Bibliographico-Homerical History with the testimony of Maittaire ; A.D. 1719. Speaking of the previous and partial productions of Greek typography, at Rome, Venice, and Milan, Maittaire thus animatedly continues: - Florentia tamen, licèt illis posterior, erubuit vinci, et id tandem produxit, quod omnes quantascunque moras compensaret. Quicquid hactenus ab illis in Grecâ Typographiâ præstitum fuerit, nihil erat nisi velitationes quædam \& præludia seu $\pi \rho \rho \gamma \nu \mu \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \nu \tau \alpha$, si cum illo, quod interim Florentia moliebatur, opere conferantur. Quid enim tenuis manipulus ad plenam messem? Quid Lascaris Grammatica ad totins eruditionis Græcæ et Latinæ fontem? Quid Tigretis opusculum ad Homeri Iliadem \&\& Odysseam? Quid Mures et Rance corumque certaminum commentum planè fabulosum ad Trojanos et Grecos Heroas? Operoso hôc et prestantissimo Homeri inter omnes Poëtas principis duobus tomis comprehenso orbem eruditum anno 1488 donavit Florentia; quæ, dum aliæ Urbes in limine \& initiis tantùm, conatibus adhuc immaturis, subsisterent, primo et uno sed ingenti gravique molimine ad ipsum culmen voluit pervenire, vetuitque quicquam relinqui, quo superari posset. Editione illâ, si chartæ solidæ colorem et pompam, si nitidam characterum figuram, æquata marginum intervalla, justam linearum distantiam, totum denique impressionis ordinem \& dispositionem spectes, nil certè aut antea aut postea elegantius comparuit.' Annal. Typog. p. 183, edit. 1719. Maittaire proceeds with a curtailed abridgment of the prefaces by Nerlius and Chalcondyles: both of which are extracted entire in the Appendix to the catalogue of Consul Smith's Books, p. ccxxvi-vir. edit. 1755. The whole of Maittaire's remarks afterwards appeared in the second edition of this first volume of the Annal. Typog. A. D. 1733. p. 49-51.

Palmer, the next writer in succession, has an account of it, which is chiefly a translation of the preceding. 'This excellent work (says he) I have seen in the curiouslibrary of Dr. Mead, and I dare affirm, that whoever examines the whiteness and strength of the paper, the fineness of the character, the elegant disposition of the matter, the exact distance between the lines, the large margin, and, in short, the whole performance, with its various ornaments, will easily own it a masterpiece in that kind.' General History of Printing; A.D. 1733.4to. From Palmer we proceed to De Bure; although it is probable that a few intervening authorities might be judiciously quoted. 'L'exécution (says De Bure) en est magnifique, \&il'on n'a ápargné ni soius ni dépenses, pour la rendre également recommendable, tant à l'égard dé la partie du type, qu'à l'égard dun papier que l'on y a employé. Bibl. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 205. Meerman has omitted to notice it. We have next the testimony of a very competent judge. - Nullam hactenus editionem Florentinam mihi videre contigit hác pulchriorem :-Margines ampli; charta firma valdeque alba. Character grecus pulcherrimus est, magnitudine Silvii communis, linearum intervalla justæ proportionis. Character Latinus Epistolæ Bernardi Nerlii, et ipse pulcherrimus.' Audiffredi, Edit. Ital. p. 309. The splendor of this publication tempted Gibhon to remark, that ' the Florence Homer of 1488 displayed all the luxury of the typographical art.' Decline and Full, \&c. vol. xii. p. 138. There is no account of it in either Braun or Seemiller.
other by Chalcondyles, the Editor, in the Greek language-commenceing on the reverse of the same leaf. The first preface begins thus:

## bernardvs nerlivs petro medicae lavreñil

FILIO. S.
The second has the following prefix :

This second preface occupies two pages and a quarter; ending on the reverse of the second leaf, sign. All. On the recto of the third leaf, AIII, commences the biography of Homer from Herodotus; having this prefix:

HPOAOTO؟ AAIKAPNACHOC EミHГHCIC ПEPI
THC TON OMHPOT ГENECIOC KAI BIOTHC.
This Life occupies 12 pages and a quarter; ending on the recto of BI. We have next, on the same page, the prefix to Plutarch's biography of the poet:

## ПAOMTAPXON EIC TON BION TOX OMHPOT.

occupying 31 leaves; and ending on the reverse of EVII. Then, on the same page :

## ПЕPI OMHPON $\Lambda$ OLOC NT $\Lambda I \Omega N O C$ TON XPCCOCTOMON.

occupying 3 pages in the whole; or ending on the recto of EVIIII. The reverse is blank; and the whole of the following leaf (EX) is blank. Then commences a fresh set of signatures, with the beginning of the first book of the Iliad, on the recto of AI. The arargument is at top. The signatures run in eights. After $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Z}$ is reversed. After $Z$ begins ET: then 0 : then R. On the reverse of reVIII, the Iliad ends thus:

> TE 10 C THC 0
> MHPOT
> A
> $\Delta \mathrm{OC}$

VOL. II.

On the recto of the opposite leaf, AAI, begins the Odyssey. The signatures, as before, run in eights, The Odyssey ends on the reverse of XXI thus:

## TEAOC THC OMHPOX OATCCEI <br> AC.

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, XXII, begins the Batrachomyomachia, thus:

OMHPO؟ BATPAXOMYOMAXIA.
PXó $\mu$ svos $\pi \rho \tilde{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \mu о \cup \sigma \tilde{\omega} \nu$






x入ovov s'pyov äp

ब1a $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma เ \beta \alpha \lambda$ ś $\sigma \vartheta \alpha$.
I have compared about an hundred verses of this text with that of the preceding edition of 1486 , and found such literal and punctuary variations as might have been expected from the corrupt state of the preceding text, avowed in his preface by Chalcondyles himself. This poem, occupying 4 leaves, ends on the reverse of sign. XXV, thus:

```
TEAOC THC OMHPOT
    BATPAXOMY
    OMA
    XI
    AC
```

The Hymns commence on the recto of the opposite leaf, and conclude the work on the reverse of sign. ETETV, thus :

TEAOC TRN TON
OMHPOY $\Upsilon$ MN
$\Omega \mathrm{N}$.

Then the colophon; as follows:

|  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  Èx́tr. |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

The sigmatures, with such exception as has been before noticed, run in eights In the latter set, the second $Z$ of $Z Z$, is reversed; and after ZZZVIII follows ETETI.

The foregoing description of this extraordinary work will not be considered too particular, when the rarity and worth of it are duly considered. Preceding bibliographers have been correct, but somewhat ton concise. Yet Maittaire must be excepted; whose account is animated and elaborate, though not of equal bibliographical minuteness. In regard to the splendor and magnificence of this Greek production of the Florentine press, it is less necessary to dwell upon it in the present place, as so much has been said relating thereto, in the copious note preceding.* Suffice it, however, here to observe, that Maittaire,

[^11]Palmer, De Bure, and Audiffredi, are all warranted in the warmth of their eulogies, by the perfection of paper and printing exhibited in this extraordinary production. Yet I must be permitted to express my regret that the type (' pro illo reit typographic primordio sat luau-lentus'-as Fabricius has justly remarked) had not been of equal boldness with that of the early Roman and Venetian presses. It partakes of the character of the Milan press; as the following fac-simile of it, traced from the commencement of the parting of Hector and Andromache, at sign. GIII. reverse-may demonstrate.

 оа́"



In regard to these Greek characters, it may not be irrelevant to remark, that the Milan press appears to have furnished the Florentine artists with the very types here used. Whoever examines the first Greek edition of Esp (vide vol. i. p. 221-5), the Greek Grammar of Lascars, 1476, and the first impression of Craton's Lexicon -all

Ximenes. It will follow then, that the Nerlii were the instigators, if not the patrons-D. Chalcondyles, the editor-and D. Cretensis, the printer-of the present work. How far Petro de Medici (Lorenzo de Medici's eldest son); to whom it seems to be dedicated, contributed by money, or otherwise, does not appear. The work was addressed to him, by Bernardus Nerlius, the year after his marriage with Alfonsina Orsini ; and the very year when he visited Milan, to be a spectator of the splendid nuptials of the young Duke Galeazzo Sforza, with Isabella, grand-daughter of Ferdinand, king of Naples. The elegant historian of the Medici Family appears to have very slightly noticed this extraordinary specimen of the Florentine press: Life of Lor. de Medici, vol. ii. p. 71,154; Edit. 1796, to.

It is surprising that Maittaire and Fabricius should have confounded the two Demerit -Cualcondyles and Cretensis-a one and the same person. Of the former, see Saxius's Onomast. Literar. vol. ii. p. 480 : Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. ccecxxiil, \&c. No mention is made of the latter, in the work here last referred to, under the year 1499-as a note in the Bibl. Smith. pt. ii. p. clxii, had erroneously led the Bishop and Mr. Beloe to conclude. Anecdotes of Literature, \&cc. vol. iii. p. 301-5.
allowed to have been executed at Milan-will, in fact, discover the same types (a little altered in the spacing of words and lines) with which this magnificent work was printed. Even the Milan Psalter of 1481 (see fac-simile, vol. i. p. 125,) presents us with the same character of type as is used in this Florentine Homer. There is, in this library, a small edition of the Erotemata of Chrysoloras, in which a ms. note, prefixed, informs us that it was printed at Florence by command of Lorenzo de Medici, the year before the present publication-as a trial of the printer's skill. The Florentine press adopted, however, a different set of characters in the Lucian of 1496 : the present having been, in all probability, worn out by frequent use.

Concerning the intrinsic value of this impression, the reader may consult the prefaces of Ernesti and Heyne. The sentiments of Harles, given in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 372 , are, in fact, those of Ernesti, taken from p. virt, of his preface: edit. 1759. This latter critic, whose edition of Homer now sells at such an advanced price, has much enriched his labours by a careful consultation of this original text. There is, however, a remarkably erroneous transposition of the text in sign. O : after verse 343 , lib. xur, at bottom of sign. OII,
 ou'c "xx́x वoilo.' is not to be found till we come to the top rerse on the recto of OVII : then, after the bottom verse on this page 'A $\mu \neq 1$
 where the first verse at top supplies the correction. After going: regularly through the eight following pages, from OIII recto to OVI reverse, we are obliged to go back to OII verso, for the continuation :

 at sign. OVI], reverse, where we find it properly continued thus:
 conclusion of the xinth book, on the reverse of OVIII, the text is regularly printed. I was induced to verify this extraordinary circumstance, by the pencil references of Lord Spencer ; and I believe it is a point which has escaped preceding bibliographers.

Some doubts have been expressed of the existence of copies of this editio princeps, upon vellum; but Harles, in a note, Fabric. Bibl. Grac. vol. i. p. 414, says that Magliabechi had a copy of this kind, and Rostgaard another ; the latter with MS. notes. The latter does not, however, appear as such in the Bibl. Rostgard, I. 9S. nº. 645. The
former (unfortunately imperfect) is thus particularly described by Fossi in the Bibl. Magliabech. vol. i. col. 797-8. ' Item aliud exemplar membranaceum cum initialibus literis egregie coloribus auroque pictis, quarum in principe, Homeri icon adparet, \& eadem pagina, quae huiusmodi iconem exhibet, margines internam, superiorem, et inferiorem pariter coloribus auroque insignes ostendit, ac præcipue Mediceæ gentis stemma. Plagula prima quæ opisthographa esse debet, in priori facie abrasa, non nisi vestigia oculis repræsentat epistolae Bernardi Nerlii. Membranae huius voluminis nitidissimae sunt, \& exemplum optime conservatum ; sed fasciculi B, C, et folia $3,4,5,6$, fasciculi B B manu supplentur.' Another vellum copy is also described, but containing only the Odyssey, Batrachomyomachia and the Hymns. Brunet tells us that he has seen the vellum copy of it in the Imperial library at Paris; Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 553. As there are copies of the Lucian, printed at the same place (vide post) upon the same material, it is probable that the first impression of Homer would receive such an honourable mark of typographical distinction.

In regard to paper copies, almost every public and private collection of eminence, in this country, possesses one. Mons. de Cotte had an uncut copy of this kind, which I suspect to have originally belonged to De Rossi,* and which produced the sum of 3601 livres at the sale of his books in 1804. Cat. de Mons. D. C.*** $n^{\circ}$. 871. The present copy, although not uncut, may boast of an amplitude of margin, and purity of condition, perhaps hardly equalled by any cut copy in existence. Mr. Beloe says ' it appears to be on large paper.' It is sumptuously and tastefully bound in red morocco, in the very best style of Roger Payne.

## 256. Horatius. Opera Omnia. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. Upon the fullest consideration, I incline, somewhat strongly, to place the present impression the first in the order of those which contain the works of Horace, either collectively, or separately published. The reasous for this conclusion are given towards the close of the description. A volume of such extraordinary rarity, and of such great intrinsic value, merits a very particular description.

[^12]On the recto of the first leaf we read the following title :

## QVINTI ORATII FLACCI CAR MINVM LIBER PRIMVS.

ECOENAS ATAVIS EDITE REGIBVS.

O \& præsidium \& dulce decus meum Sunt quos curriculo puluerē olympicū \&c. \&c. \&c.

There are 18 verses beneath. On the reverse of the leaf, in the present copy, there is an interesting memorandum, from which we find this very volume to have belonged to Gesner and Ernesti ; the latter having received it as a present from the former. A full page comprehends 26 lines. At the end of the Carmen Seculare, we read as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathbf{F} & \text { I } & \mathbf{N} & \text { I } & \text { S }
\end{array}
$$

H oc quicunq; dedit Venusini carmen Horatii :
E t studio formis correctum effiuxit in istis
V iuat. \& aterno sic nomine sæcula uincat
O muia : ceu nunquam numeris abolebitur auctor :
On the recto of the ensuing leaf, it commences thus :

## QVINTI ORATII FLACCI EPI STOLARVM LIBER PRIMVS.

RIMA DICTE MIHI SVM MA DICENDE CAMOENA SPECTATVM SATIS ET DONA TVM IAM RVDE QVAERIS

Mecocnas iterum antiquo me includere ludo Non cadem est ætas: non mens. Veiauius armis.

The Epistles comprehend 30 leares, terminating with the word 'FINIS,' on the recto of the 30 th. On the recto of the ensuing leaf begins the Art of Poetry; with this title:

The first two verses are printed in capital letters, like the preceding extract from the first epistle. This treatise contains 10 leaves. The Satires follow on the recto of the ensuing leaf. The spurious verses, at the commencement of the xth Satire, are these:

> Vcili quam sis mendosus teste Catone
> Defensore tuo peruícam qui malefactos

Emendare paras uersus hoc lenius? ille
Est quo uir melior : longe subtilior illo Qui multum puer \& loris et funibus udis Exhortatus ut esset opem quis ferre poetis Autiquis posset contra fastidia nostra Grāmaticorum equitū doctissimus redeam illuc. \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

The Satires conclude thus, on the recto of the 42 nd and last leaf:

## Vt nihil omnino gustaremus. uelut illis <br> Canidia afflasset. peior serpentibus aphris

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathbf{S}
\end{array}
$$

The foregoing is a more complete bibliographical description of this uncommon book, than any which, to my knowledge, has preceded it. It now remains to notice the probable printer, and date of its execution. In regard to the Printer, Maittaire has taken considerable pains, in his Annal. Typog. edit. 1719, p. 72, note f, to prove that it was executed by Anthony Zarotus, at Milan. The 'character luculentus,' with which he says it is printed, and which he thinks ' deserving of praise,' appears to warrant him in this conclusion. But the character or type is very far from being clear or beautiful, or deserving of praise ; and if the same bibliographer had had the good fortune to compare these Roman types with those which have the express name of Zarotus subjoined (for example, the edition of the Commentaries of Acro and Porphyrio of 1474 -of which in due order), he would have found a palpable difference between them, and that the latter had a juster title to the epithet of 'luculentus.' Maittaire has unquestionably erred in his inference concerning the printer of this edition. The opinion of Maittaire was subscribed to by Orlandi, in his Orig. e Progress. della Stampa, \&c. p.101; and was adopted with hesitation by Saxius in his Hist.

Lit. Typ. Mediol. p. Dux-who says-'Cùm editio ista careat omni nota loci, anni, et Typographi, non ausus fuissem illam Mediolano adscribere, nisi animum mihi adjecisset auctoritas Michaülis Maittaire,' \&c. De Bure, who, as well as Saxius, never saw the edition, seems to lean to the opinion of Maittaire-but his account is jejune in the extreme. Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 310-11. Gesner described it, somewhat particularly, in the prefatory matter of his Horace of 1752; but erred, as strangely as Maittaire, in supposing the types to have a resemblance to those of Jenson. They are as different from those of Jenson as from those of Zarotus. The observations of Gesner will be found in the Bibl. Reviczli. p. 49. The Abbé Morelli, dissenting, apparently, from both opinions, observed that the types were like those of the Apophthegms of Plutarch, the Lucan, and Florus, described at $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{os}}$. 1347, 2746, and 4676 of the Bibl. Pinell.: see vol! ii. p. 324-5. Panzer has incorporated this remark; Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 143, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 639, and Mitscherlich has left the point just where Morelli had found it. Edit. Horat. vol. i. p. Lir. edit. 1800. Boni and Gamba observe that the edition seems to be like an anterior one, of Philip de Lavagna, of the date of 1469-the four verses (see above) at the end, being in the style of Bonino Mombrizio, a poet and corrector of Lavagua's press. Bibliotec. Portat. vol. ii. p. 94. There is no impression extant, from Lavagna's press, of the date of 1469 ; and the types are absolutely different from those in the edition of 1476 , with the name of Lavagna subjoined, as the printer: vide post. The volume appears to me to have been executed at Venice, whoever may have been the printer. The $e$, and the semicolon, are very singular: the horizontal line of the former, upon which the upper or inflected part of the $e$ rests, is elongated a good deal, comparatively, beyond their union. The upper part of the semicolon is like a note of interrogation placed sideways, thus ${ }^{\sim}$. Upon a close comparison, I have no doubt that the printer of the dateless edition of Florus, (see p. 30-1 ante,) and of the present impression, was one of the same: the $\mathrm{f}^{\text {- esent }}$ being somewhat more heavily executed. The first efforts of the Venetian press, in the productions of John de Spira and Jenson, 1469, 14i0, are of perfect beauty and skill, in comparison with the work here described.* There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords.

[^13]The intrinsic value of this edition amply compensates for its rude exterior ; it being of such worth, that Gesner preferred it to every MS. which he had consulted.* His Majesty possesses a copy of it, which was purchased at the sale of Dr. Askew's books for 17 l .6 s .6 d .; see Bibl. Askev. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 1900. The Pinelli copy was sold for $31 l$. 10s. The present was in Count Reviczky's collection : and though soiled, is in sound condition. It is bound in red morocco.

## 257. Horatius. Opara Omnia. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

It is rather from courtesy to received opinion, than the result of my own conviction, that the present impression stands as the second of those in the list of the works of Horace. That it is exceedingly rare and estimable, and of great price, is acknowledged; but according to chronological order, I doubt whether it should not have been inserted after the Ferrara edition of the Epistles and Odes, in $14 \% 4$. The reader has, towards the close of the preceding article, seen that we are not to conclude that works, of rude execuion, are of anterior date to those exhibiting a more perfect specimen of typo-graphy:-on the contrary, it is often that the more beautiful books of the xuth century, are the more ancient. $\dagger$ According to these premises, the impression here about to be described, which is rather elegantly executed, might be dated much earlier than the year $14 \% 4$ -
tion: ' Ex edd. Sæc. xr. paucae admodum, neque satis accurate a Viris doctis exploratae sunt ; ut adeo, quaenam ex iis principes habendae sint, quaeque ex aliis descriptae sint, certo definire vix possit.' Edit. Horat. vol. i. p. xlimi.

* See the Introduction to the Classics, vol. i. p. 398, note *. The substance of the above description will also be found there. The editors of the London edition of 1792 Lave given various readiugs from this important text.
† Count Reviczky, in his MS. memoranda upon this edition, has made the following very just remark upon the point above discussed. 'Hæc eodem jure prima dici potest ac præcedens editio, habet enim eadem antiquitatis indicia, nisi quod typis longe elegantioribus sit exarata. At typi plus minusve rudes et informes exigui sunt momenti ad definiendam librorum atatem, suntque potius artificii opificum, quan temporis documenta.' He then goes on to illustrate this position, by noticing the rude appearance of the Roman Pliny of 1473 compared with the beauty of the Venetian one of 1469-and adds, that, if the Roman impression had been without a date, we might have supposed it anterior to the Venetian edition of 1469. An hundred other examples, of a like nature, nay be adduced in support of this remark.
but there is, altogether, throughout the arrangement of the presswork, an appearance of the printer's having availed himself of the labours of his predecessors. The introduction of Titles, and the conclusion of the Satires, to say nothing of the absolute variations of text-evidently imply the revision of preceding impressions. It is seldom, if ever, that first impressions afford such a termination. The reader will draw his own conclusion ; and may, after all, imagine that I have consulted my own prudence-and done wiscly-by placing this edition in its present order.

The next question is, who is the probable Printer of this edition? De 13ure, in his Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 312-313, has a particular notice of it, from a copy which was in the Valliere collection. His extracts, confined to the head pieces and conclusions of the several tracts, are not quite literally correct ; but he conceived the impression to be similar to that of Catullus, Tibullus, and Propertius of $14 \% 2$, which the reader, on consulting vol. i. p. 294-6, will perceive to be generally given to the press of Vindelin de Spira. Count Reviczky, in his ms. remarks, differs entirely, and with justice, from this conclusion. He thinks the volume has rather the character of the Milan press. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 766 , note 4 , conceived the types to resemble those of John de Colonia, in the edition of Catullus, Tibullus, and Propertius of 1475 (see vol. i. p. 297); but this conclusion is not happier than that of De Bure. Maittaire adds, that he saw two editions of Horace printed in this character ; in one of which the Epistles-in the other, the Satires-concluded the volume :-'so exactly resembling each other (says he), that without an attentive examination, they would be thought one and the same.' But Count Reviczky justly remarks, that, 'this altogether wants confirmation: the difference of the arrangement of the pieces being no proof of a different impression: similar variations occurring in the same editions of the carly priated philosophical pieces of Cicero.' Maittaire thought that one of these impressions was much more correct than the other. It may be worth noticing, that the bottom of the capital $L$ is comparatively short; and that the horizontal stroke or line to receive the top of the $e$, is (as it were) angularly upright.

Whenever, and by whomsoever, printed, are perhaps secondary considerations. That the present is a very rare, ancient, and estimable edition, requiring a particular description, must be admitted by every one interested in the carly impressions of this popular poet. On
the recto of the first leaf, we are presented with the commencement of the Odes, as follows :

Quinti Horatii Flacci Venusini Carminum liber primus ad Mecœenatem.

Ecænas Atauis edite regibus:
O \& præsidium \& dulce decus meum :
Sūt quos curriculo puluerē olympicū
Collegisse iunat metaque feruidis
E uitata rotis palmaq; nobilis
T errarum dominos euehit ad deos
H unc si nobilium turba Quiritium
C ertet ter geminis tollere honoribus:
I llum si proprio condidit horreo :
Q uicquid de libycis uerritur areis
G audentem patrios findere sarculo
\&c. \&cc. \&cc.

There are 21 lines beneath. The second Ode, on the reverse of the same leaf, commences thus:

Proseutice tetracolos ad Augustum.
i Am satis terris niuis atq; diræ Grandinis misit pater : \& rubente
D extera sacras iaculatus arces
Terruit urbem.
The last verse of the Sapphic stanza, is not always printed thusbut is generally in a straight line with the beginning of the preceding verse. There are no titles to the several Odes, after the commencement of the rvth Book. A full page has 35 lines. The Art of Poetry begins on the recto of the 59th leaf, with the title in lower-case letter. It contains 8 leaves. The Satires follow, with the titles in lower-case. They end thus:

Quinti Horatii Flacci Venusini.
Satirarum non indiligenter correctarum. . \&
Impressarum. Finis

The Epistles succeed; having the title to each in lower-case letter. The reverse of the last leaf presents us with the last verses of the poet, and the termination of the volume, thus:
$\mathbf{N}$ atales grate numeras. ignoscis amicis
L enior \& melior fis accedente senecta.
Quid te exempta iuuat spinis de pluribus una.
$\mathbf{V}$ iucre si recte nescis: decede peritis.
L uxisti satis. edisti satis atq; bibisti
T empus abire tibi est : ne potum latius æquo
Rideat: \& pulscet lasciua descentius retas.

## F I N I S

The present copy is in good condition, and in blue morocco binding.

## 258. Horatius. Ode et Ars Poetica. Cum Commentariis Acronis et Porphyrionis. Without Dute, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

There is good reason to believe this impression to be the first of those which present us with the Commentaries of Acro and Porphyrio. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 766 , note 5 was of this opinion; although in describing the type, he terms it 'neat,' as well as ancient-the first of which is far from the truth. Count Reviczky has some very sensible observations in favour of the priority of this impression; which arise necessarily from a perusal of the introductory pieces, or letters, prefixed to the text. An extract from one of these is given by Maittaire, ibid. who adds, in a note, that from the expression ' libri omnes Horatii,' it would seem that the Satires and Epistles were also printed: but (he adds) these words must here be understood with reference only to those books of Horace which the above Commentators illustrated with 'Scholia.' These prefatory epistles require to be better made known to the reader.

On the reverse of the first leaf commences the address of John Aloisius 'tuscanus advocatus' to Franciscus Helius Parthenopeius; coneluding on the recto of the third leaf. The reply of Helius, who lias the additional name of Marchesinus prefixed, commences on
the reverse of the third leaf, and concludes on the recto of the fourth. In the former epistle, Aloisius speaks of the trouble of his correspondent and himself, in correcting and analyzing the volumes which they had consulted together-of the pleasant domestic relaxation to be afforded to Helius, by a work of this sort, after the fatigues and exertions from other compositions of a literary and declamatory nature. - A good opportunity now occurs (continues he). We may procure printers who will more quickly execute 400 copies, than a scribe would one copy.' Not a word is said of former impressions. Helius replies, that he will do all in his power to gratify the wishes of his friend-although he fears his expectations are too highly raised: he will do his best: all the copies of his author that he had seen, being very defective-' Acronis exemplaria defuere'-one, however, was of a less exceptionable character-' vnum habuimus, nec id quidem satis emendatum, utcunque tamen sit, et libenter fecimus, et faciemus de integro.' As Count Reviczky justly observes, this is not the language of an editor who had inspected a previously-printed edition. It is clear therefore that Helius alludes to manuscript copies of Acro and Porphyrio; and that the Milan impression of 1474 was not then in existence. Further; on comparison between the present and subsequent text of these Commentators, a material variation will be found both in omissions and additions:-the latter impression being much fuller; and the contractions numerous, compared with those of the present one. A Greek word-' $\rho \eta r o p$ เx $\omega \tau$ spov' - in the letter of Helius, is printed in very rude characters.

It remains only to add, that the recto of the 5 th leaf presents us with the Life of Horace by Acro : on the reverse, there is the same by Porphyrio. On the recto of the following and 6 th leaf, begins the first Ode, with the title in capital letters. This is immediately followed by the Commentaries of Acro and Porphyrio, separately printed. The first verse of the 2 d Ode presents us with this corrupt text :

## Am satis terris niuis atq; dire <br> Grandinis mouit pater. \& rubente Dexteras sacras iaculatus arces Terruit vrbem.

The Commentary or 'Explanation' of Por'phyrio, upon the Ars Poetica, concludes the volume on the reverse of the 224 th and last lcaf:

# Explanatio Porphirionis In arte poetica* feliciter Explicit. 

Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 413-4, has also given extracts from these epistles; and supposes the impression to have been executed at Rome, in the same character with which Guldinbeck printed the 'Sunıma S. Thomæ de Articulis Fidei,' in the year 147G. Mr. Edwards, in a ms. note inserted in this copy, thinks, with justice, that the present impression may be anterior to this clate. I have no doubt that the work was printed before the year 1474 . De Bure was ignorant of its existence. The present is a fair copy; in red morocco.

## 259. Horatius. Opera Omila. Cum Commentariis Acronis et Porphyrionis. Printed by Zarotus. Milan. 1474. Quarto. 2 vols.

We now begin to stand upon firm ground in our chronological conclusions respecting the early impressions of Horace. The present is perhaps the first printed edition with a date subjoined; yet it is possible that the Fersara edition, of the same date (see next article) might have issued earlier from the press. The 'Opuscula Horatir' of $\mathbf{1 4 \%} 1$, so meayrely noticed by Laire (Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 187 note ee), and from him by Audiffredi (Edit. Rom. p. S5), is, in all probability, an ideal publication; although the printing of it be assigned to Philip de Lignamine.t In regard to the very rare volunies now under description, we may premise that the account of them by Maittaire (Amnal. Typog. vol. i. p. 336-9) is brief and superficial. Saxius

[^14]appears to have seen them in the library of Consul Smith; and gives their respective colophons. Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. dexi : note u and $d$. Clement makes mention of the second volume only, which contains the Commentaries; but in a manner the most jejune and unsatisfactory ; Bibl. Curieuse, \&c. vol. i. p. 42. De Bure is equally superficial with Maittaire and Clement; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 314-316. The Abbe Morelli speaks briefly of both volumes: Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .4568$ : iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 6300 ; and Laire and La Serna Santander confine their brief descriptions to the first volume; Index Libror. vol. i. p. 340 ; Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 35. Even Brunet mentions the impresssion as if it contained only the first volume ; Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 563. The late Count Reviczky justly complained of such imperfect accounts of so rare an edition; which were remedied by him in his ms. memoranda-but in a manner, however comparatively full with the preceding, neither so copious nor so minute as is the ensuing description.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

## QuINTI Horatii Flacci Venusini Carmi unm* Liber Primus Ad Meccenatem.

> E coenas Atauis edite regibus:
> O \& præsidium \& dulce decus meum : S ūt quos curriculo puluerē olympicū C ollegisse iuuat metaque feruidis

E uitata rotis palmaque nobilis
T errarum dominos euehit ad deos.
H unc si nobilium turba Quiritium
C ertet ter geminis tollere honoribus :
I llum si proprio condidit horreo
\&c. \&c. \&c.
There are 22 lines beneath : a full page containing 34 lines. The beginning of the Third Ode is thus inaccurately printed:

> Ic diua potens cypri

An ancient ms. correction has properly inserted 'te' between the first two words. The Odes and Epodes end on the reverse of fol. 58,
without titles to them. The Art of Poetry commences on the reeto of fol. 60: thus-

Quinti Oratii Flacci de Arte Poctica ad Pisones Liber.

Vmano capiti ceruicem pictor equinam
Iungere si uelit : \& uarias iducere plūas:
Vudiq; collatis $\bar{m} b r i s: ~ u t ~ t u r p i t e r ~ a t r u z ~$
D esinat in piscem mulier formosa superne : \&c. \&c. \&c.

There are 26 lines beneath. This treatise occupies 15 pages. On the recto of fol. 68 the Satires begin thus:

## Quinti Horatii Flacci Sermonum Liber <br> Primus Ad Mecœnatem. SATYRA PRINA.*

Beneath, there are 28 lines. The Satires conclude on the reverse of the 99th leaf, thus:

Quinti Horatii Flacci Venusini.
Satyrarum non indiligenter correctak. \& Impressarum. Finis.

On the recto of the following and 100th leaf, the Epistles begin thus:

Quinti Horatii Flacci Epistolarum
Liber Primus.
Quintus. Horatius Flaccus Mecœnati. s.
These occupy the remaining 23 leaves. On the reverse of the 123d and last leaf, we have the following colophon:

| $\mathbf{F}$ | I | N | I | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

A nno a Natali Christiano 147.4 die 16 Martii Diuo Galeaciomaria Sfortia vicecomite Insu briū ligurqq; quto Duce Feliciter Reguante:

[^15]VOL. II.

# Antonius Zarothus Parmensis Cuncta Opa Quinti Horatii flacci venusini nō idiligenter emendata. s. Carminū Libros. iiii. Epodon. Carmen seculare. De Arte poctica Librum. i. Sermonum libros. ii. Epistolarum libros. ii. eleganter atq; fideliter Impressit :. 

Quisquis hæc coemerit : nuṇ̆ pænitebit.
The leaves are not numbered; but in the present copy the numerals are carefully supplied by the pencil. Brunet says there are 124 leaves; and that the 59th is blank: so that this copy is perfect in regard to the text.

We will next commence the description of the second volume, containing the Commentaries of Acro and Porphyrio. On the recto of the first leaf, it begins thus :

## Acronis Commentatoris Egregii In Quinti Horatii Flacci Venusini Opera. Expositio Incipit.

followed by a brief Life of Horace, and an account of his Works, in 16 lines. Then a short account of the metre of the first Ode-
[P]Rima ergo ode monocolos est idest cātus unimêbris :
A full page contains 33 lines. On the reverse of fol. 146 , pencil-numbered, the Commentary ends, with the word FINIS at bottom. On the recto of the following and last leaf, we have this colophon :

Acronis Viri "̈̈doctissimi Commentaria diligenter emendata In. q. Horatii Flacci Opera per Antonium Zarothum par mensem Mediolani impressa M CCCC LXXIIII. Idi bus sextilibus.

A ms. note, beneath, informs us that one Peter Montagnana, a regular canonical monk of St. John Lateran, placed this very book in the monastery of St. John Baptist de Viridaria, at Padua: this is dated m.cccc.lxxviij. Since this period, it has probably known a variety of fates. In the year $16 \frac{60}{70}$ the first volume was purchased by the well known John Bridges, at Tom’s Coffee House, for 14 crowns (' aureis'):
it having been procured abroad by Dr. Sherrard. This information is gathered from a ms. note, in Latin, written on a leaf of vellum, at the commencement of the volume, by Bridges himself - who rightly observes that the edition has been 'seldom or never seen.' In a vellum fly leaf to the second volume, there is another note by Bridges, in which he tells us that 'Lord Sunderland begged his acceptance of the book, as a companion to the first volume-' Id vero (as Bridges might well add) nunquan: sperare potui, nisi a Ditissimo illo Librorū Domino cujus eximiæ Bibliothecæ abunde suppetit quicquid vel nitidū, rel pretiosum vel rarum, e totâ Europâ conquirendum est :'-this is dated Feb. $17 \frac{1}{2} 9$. The two volumes were sold at Bridges's sale for 14l. 3s. Gd. Bridges's Classed Catalogue; p. 223. The reader may consult the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 399, 400, for a few other references. There are copies of both volumes in the Royal and Bodleian libraries, and in the British Museum. The present copy is in most desirable condition;* and is well bound in red morocco.

## 260. Horatius. Epistolae et Odae. Printed <br> by Carnerius Augustinus. Ferrara. 1474. Quarto.

This edition is of yet rarer occurrence than the preceding. It contains only the Epistles and Odes, and appears to have been inspected by Maittaire ; who remarks that the copy he saw wanted the Satires and the Art of Poetry; but, in all probability, it never comprehended either-as there is every appearance of the volume being in its original legitimate condition. We will minutely describe it.

On the recto of the first leaf, having the prefix printed in very indifferent capital letters, it commences thus :

## QVINTI ORATII FLACCI EPI STOLARVM LIBER PRIMUS.

## $\mathbf{R}$ ima dicte mihi sūma dicēde camœna S pectatū satis et donatū iā rude queris M ecœnas iterū ātiquo me ìcludere ludo

[^16]$\mathbf{N} \overline{0}$ eadē est etas: nō mens. Veiani 9 armis
H erculis ad postē fixis latet abditus agro:
N e populū extrema totiens exoret harcua.
E st mihi purgatā crebro qui psonet aurē S olue sencscētē mature sanus cquum ne
P eccet ad extremū ridēdus \& ilia ducat \&c. \&c. \&c.

There are 12 lines beneath : a full page has 26 lines. The Epistles end on the recto of fol. 30 -reverse blank. On the recto of the succeeding leaf, the first Ode thus begins :

## QVINTI ORATII FLACCI CAR, MINVM LIBER PRIMVS.

## ECOENAS ATAVIS EDI, TE REGIBVS

O \& presidium \& dulce decus meū :
S unt quos curriculo puluerem olympicū Collegisse iunat: metaq; feruidis Euitata rotis: palmaq; nobilis Terrarum dominis cuehit ad deos. Hunc si nobilium turba Quiritium C ertet ter geminis tollere honoribus
3 Illum si proprio condidit horreo
Q uicquid de libycis uerritur areis. \&.c. \&c. \&cc.

Beneath, are 11 lines. The Odes have no titles; but the Carmen Seculare has this prefix, and the first stanza is thus printed:

## QVINTI ORACII FLACCI CARMEN SECVLARE

H œlie: syluarumq; potens diana :
L ucidum celi decus o colendi

> S emper \& culti: date que precamur* T empore sacro \&c. \&c. \&c.

This Ode concludes the volume on the reverse of the lo6th and last leaf. Beneath the six last verses of text, we read as follows.

## F I N I S

F errarie impressit regnāte sub hercule diuo R egia quo gaudet nunc lianora uiro:
C arnerius puer Augustinus: cui dedit almā B ernardus lucem bibliopola bonus.

## M . CCCC.LXXIIII:

After Maittaire, whose description of this exceedingly rare impression is confined only to the colophon, (Annal. Typog. vol. i. 336, note 1, ) it is doubtful whether we can discover any correct traces of it in future bibliographers. In France it is probally unknown. De Bure, La Serna Santander, and Brunet, all relying upon Maittaire. Even Baruffaldi, in his Tipografia Ferrarese, 1iī, 8vo. p. 60-63, appears to add nothing to the information of Maittaire; although he supposes, gratuitously, that the edition was executed before the preceding one by Zarotus. Audiffredi, Edit. Ital. p. 232, merely quotes Maittaire and Baruffaldi. $\dagger$ Panzer is equally sterile: Aunal. Typog. vol. i. p. 394 ; and Mitscherlich is obliged to content himself with the authorities of his predecessors. Edit. Hurat. vol. i. p. Lrir. This copy, which is in tender, but perfect condition, was given to Lor Spencer by the late Duke of Devonshire; and is bound in blue morocco. The horizontal water-marks elearly denote it to be a quarto, and not octavo-as is erroneously stated in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 400-upon the faith of Maittaire and La Serna Santander. It is probable, however, that this was the identical copy seen by Maittaire.

[^17]261. Horatius. Opera Omnia. Printed ly Philip de Lavagnia. Milan. 14376. Folio.

An elegantly executed edition, and by no means of common occurrence. It has been very superficially described by Maittaire, De Bure, Saxius, and Panzer; and merits a somewhat particular detail. On the recto of the first leaf, the text commences thus:

## QVINTI HORATII FLACCI VENVSINI CARMINVM LIBER PRIMVS AD MECOENATEM.

m Ecœnas Atauis edite regibus:
O \& præsidium \& dulce decus meum.
Sūt quos curriculo puluerē olympicum
Collegisse iuuat: mætaq; feruidis
E uitata rotis palmaque nobilis
T errarum dominos euehit ad deos.
At the end of the Carmen Scculare, on the reverse of fol. 61, it is as follows :

H æс Iouem sentire deosque cunctos
Spen bonam certamque domum reporto
D octus \& phoebi chorus Dianae
D icere laudes.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathbf{F} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~S}
\end{array}
$$

On the recto of the following leaf begins the Ars Poetica: a full page having 34 lines. There are uniformly titles to the Odes, Satires, and Epistles. On the recto of the 124th, and last leaf, we read the following colophon:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
F & I & N & I & S
\end{array}
$$

Hoc opus Horatii emendatissimum impressum est opa \& impensis Philippi de Lauagnia Ciuis medio lanensis. Anno a Natali Christiano. Mcccclexvi. dic. xvi. Februarii. Amen.

This copy, formerly in Count Reviczky's collection, is in exeellent condition; and bound in red morocco.

## 262. Horatius. Opera Omnia. Printed by Philip Condu Petri. Venice. 1478. Folio.

We may be brief in our account of this impression, as a somewhat fuller account of the succeeding one, by the same printer, is found in the following article. On the recto of Az (for A 2) it begins thus:

## QVINTI HORA'TII FLACCI

## VENVSINI CARMINVM LIBER PRIMVS AD MECOENATEM.

ECOENAS Atauis edite regibus: O \& præsidiū \& dulce decus meum.
Sunt quos curriculo pulue, rem olympicum \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 36 lines. On the recto of $\mathbf{P}$. vj. in eights, the colophon is thus:

Horatii opere finis cum magna diligentia. Impressum per Philippuz condā petri in uencciis ducāte Ioanne mozenico inclito duce Mcceclexviii die xy septēbris

The register is beneath. Bentley praised this edition, and thought it was the finst extant; never having seen one more ancient. From the conclusion of the account of the ensuing impression, it will appear that the merits of the present one are extremely doubtful; or rather that it is among the most erroneous ones of the XVth century-however beautiful and rare it may be. Bound in foreign red morocco.
263. Horatius. Opera Omnia. Printed by Philip Conda Petri. Venice. 1479. Folio.

On the recto of the first leaf, sign. a. 2, we read the following title and commencement of the first Ode.

## Q VINTI HORATII FLACCI VENVSTINI* CARMINVM LIBER PRIMVS AD MECO

 ENATEMm Ecœnas Atauis edite regibus: O \& præsidium \& dulce decus meū. Sūt quos curriculo puluerē olympicū Collegisse iuuat: mætaque feruidis
E uitata rotis palinaque nobilis
T errarum dominos euehit ad deos.
\&c. \&c. \&cc.
The signatures run in eights ; and the fourth leaf of every signature is uniformly designated by q : the signatures have also another peculiarity of being introduced at the end of the last line. The last signature, p, has only six leaves: the impression terminating on the recto of the sixth leaf of it, thus:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{N} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~S} .:
\end{array}
$$

Horatii opere finis cū magna diligentia. Imp̄ssū Per philippuz condā petri ì ueneciis ducāte Ioāe mozenico iclito duce M cccc Ixxviiii die xviii septembris.

The register is beneath. De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 316, says this edition differs only in the subscription, from the preceding one, of the date of 1478 , by the same printer. The present, by being a fine copy, affords a good specimen of the press of this ingenious artist. It is however quite evident-from the extract given in this and the preceding article - that this latter edition is a thorough
reimpression of the text of the poet : a circumstance, somewhat singular; and demonstrative, at least, of the popularity of Horace with the Venetian students of this period. Mitscherlich is quite clear and satisfactory upon thls point; and adds, moreover, that the second inpression has faithfully propagated all the errors of the first. The reader may see his opinion more fully stated in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 401-2. There is in this copy, on the recto of the second leaf, the painted coat of arms of some Bishop or Cardinal; with the date of 1521 subjoined. In red morocco binding; and apparently from the Harleian Collection.
264. Horatius. Epistole. Printed by Jacob Durandus and Egidius. Cuen. 1480. Quarto.

As far as I can discover, Maittaire is the only bibliographer who has made mention of this handsome and uncommon impression of the Epistles of Horace. He confines his description of it to the colophon; but it is singular that he copies the first two words of it, thus-' Impressum Cadomuni-and adds, 'melius fuisset Cadomi:' the reader will observe, from the subjoined extract, that it is 'Cadomi' in the original. Panzer merely copies Maittaire; not withnut Marchand laving done the sane thing before him. Amal. Typog. vol. i. p. 268; Hist. de l'Imprim. p. 73. Mitscherlich has only the barren account of it given by his predecessors : Edit. Horat. vol. i. p. Lxir. The French bibliographers, from De Bure to Brunet, have omitted to notice it; nor had it been seen by Count Reviczky.

On the recto of sign. a i. we read as follows :

## Iuripiunt epistole horatii. Epigtola ad metchatem.

## fiima ditte milyi gitmma bitenoe samena

# Spectatī satig et donatum iā rude querig Mecenas iterum me antiquo ieluoere huoo  ()erculis ad pagtem fritu latet abitus anto 

# pe papulum extrema totiens eroret arena exgt mini purgatā crehra qui personet aurē 

\&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page has 20 lines. The titles are introduced in lower-case letter: signature $a$ has eight leaves: $b$ and $c$ have each eight; irregularly marked : $d$ and $e$ have also eight-but $d \mathrm{i}$ and $e \mathrm{i}$ are omitted. On the recto of the last leaf, e viij, we read the last verse only of the Epistles; and the colophon, thus:

## finig



This rare little rolume is indeeed a very handsome production of the Caen press; and is the only book which Panzer has been enabled to give an account of, as proceeding from the same, in the fifteentl century. The lines are well spaced; the letter is elegant, large, and extremely legible: and the ink is of a fine black tone. This copy, which was Dr. Farmer's, (Bibl. Farmer, n. 958,) has been unluckily cut by the book-binder to the size of an octavo. It is in calf binding.
265. Opera Omnia. Cum Commentariis Christophori Landini. Printed by Miscominus. Florence. 1482. Folio.

All critics and bibliographers have united in their praises of this exceedingly elegant and valuable impression-containing the Commentaries of the celebrated Landino; whose name has been recently made more familiar to the public in Mr. Roscoe's biography of the Medici Family. The printer has shewn himself to be worthy of the poet and commentator; for a more tasteful specimen of early typography can rarely be seen. The volume has been so frequently described, and is so well known, that we may be as brief as possible, consistently with accuracy.

On the reverse of the first leaf is printed the celebrated Ode t $\omega$

Horace by Politian; so classical and interesting, that, after the example of Ernesti, who has extracted it-it shall receive a literal reprint in the present place:

## AD HORA'TIVM FLACCVM ODE DICOLOS TETRASTROPHOS ANGELI POLITIANI.

Vates threicio blandior orpheo :
Seu malis fidibus sistere lubricos
Amnis, seu tremulo ducere pollice Ipsis cum latebris feras.
Vates xolii pectinis arbiter :
Qui princeps latiam sollicitas chelyn Nec segnis titulos addere noxiis, Nigro carmine frontibus.
Quis te a barbarica compede uindicat?
Quis frontis nebulam dispulit : \& situ
Detersor leuibus restituit choris
Curata iuncuem cute?
O ${ }^{i \pi}$ nuper cras nubilus: \& malo
Obductus senio: "íq nitidos ades,
Nunc uultus referens docta fragrantibus
Cinctus tempora floribus.
Talem purpureis reddere solibus
Lætum pube noua post gelidas niues
Serpentem positis exuuiis solet Verni temperies poli.
Talem te choreis reddidit: \& lyræ Landinus ueterum laudibus emuluth Qualis tu solitus tibur ad uuidum Blandam tendere barbiton.
Nunc te delitiis, nunc decet \& lcui Lasciuire iocor nunc puerilibus

## Insertum thyasis, aut fide garrula Inter ludere uirgines;*

The proeme of Landino's Commentary begins on the recto of the ensuing and second leaf, ending on the recto of the third. A table of 7 pages of Horatian phrases or words, commented upon by the Editor in the course of the work, follows. We have next, a kind of second introduction to the Commentary; 2 leaves: and numbered I and H in the centre, at top. Towards the end of this introduction, Landino

* This Ode has been also reprinted by Mr. Roscoe; and accompanied by so faithful and elegant a translation, by the same distinguished writer, that I flatter myself its insertion will be equally acceptable with the original.

Poet, than whom the Bard of Thrace
Ne'er knew to touch a sweeter string;
O whether from their deep recess,
The tenants of the wilds thou bring,
With all their shades; whether thy strain
Bid listening rivers cease to flow;
Whether with magic verse thou stain
A lasting blot on vice's brow ;
Poet! who first the Latian lyre
To sweet Eoliau numbers strung!
When late repressed thy native fire,
When late impervious glooms o'erlung
Thy front, O say what hand divine
Thy rude barbaric chains unbound,
And bade thee in new lustre shine,
Thy locks with vernal roses crown'd?
As when in spring's reviving gleam
The serpent quits his scaly slough,
Once more beneath the sunuy beam, In renovated youth to glow ;

To thy lov'd lyre, and choral throng,
Landino thus their poet brings;
Such as thy Tiber heard thy song,
Midst her cool shades and gushing springs.
A gain with tales of whispered love, With sprightly wit of happiest vcin, Through bands of vine-crown'd youths to rove, Or sport anidst the virgin train.

Lor. de Medici; vol. Edit. 1796, 4to.
says-'Sed iam ad poetæ uersus transeamus.' The ensuing leaves, to the end of the Commentary, are numbered at bottom; and on the recto of the IIId, the text begins thus-surrounded by illustration-.

## ECENAS ATAVIS AEDI TE REGIBVS.

—but this verse is before printed, 'MECENAS ATAVIS EDITE REG1BVS:' a variation somewhat sudden, though not unusual. A busy scribe or student of the xwith century has struck his pen through the $A$ of the AEDITE, in the text. On the recto of fol. cclxv, we read the following conclusion and imprint :

> Christophori landini florentini in. Q. Hora tii flacci opera omnia interpretatio num finis diuino auxilio felix.

> Impressum per Antonium miscominum flo rentiæ Anno salutis. M.CCCCLXXXII. Nonis augusti.

On the reverse of this leaf, and on the recto of the following and last leaf, is a table of errors. Count Reviczky has well observed, in a ms. note, that this impression does not yield in beauty to books of modern date. The Commentary is uniformly in a smaller Roman type than the text. This copy is bound in red morocco.
266. Horatius. De Arte Poetica. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer: Quarto.

This impression is bound with an edition of the epigrams of Balbus; and seems to be different from any of those dateless ones, mentioned by Panzer, vol. i. p. 505. It begins thus on the recto of the first leaf:

## ©umati lyonatio flacti oc arte poctica ad pisones lifer Tutipit.

Clmano sapiti $\mathfrak{c e r}$ titem pittor $\mathfrak{\varepsilon q u i n a m}$
Tungere si befit : et variag inducere phumax
Endigy follatis membris: bt turpiter atrum
Depinat in piscem muticr formogia guperne:

A full page has 31 lines. The type is close, and probably of a date not earlier than 1490 . On the reverse of the 8th and last leaf, we read as follows:

## Cxplicit Oratius ae arte joctica,

This copy was formerly in the possession of Crynes, a squire-beadle of the University of Oxford; and has his name printed at the end, in small italic letters. The Bodleian library contains many rare and curious volumes which once belonged to the same well known collector. In calf binding.
267. Horatius. Opera Omnia. Cum Commentariis Landini. Printed by Bernardus de Tridino. Venice. 1486. Folio.

This is one of the numerous reprints of the popular Commentary of Landino; but 'the curious (according to De Bure) are only particularly attached to that of John de Forlivio, printed at Venice in 1483.' Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 318-19. On the reverse of the first leaf we read Politian's Ode to Horace ; and on the recto of the ensuing one, a ii, commences the introduction to Landino's Commentary. On the recto of a iii begins the Life of Horace. On the reverse of a iiii is the first Ode; which, with the ensuing text of the poet, is, as before, surrounded by Commentary. There are clxxvili. numbered folios. At the bottom of the last, on the recto, is the following imprint, in one line:

Imp̄ssū uenetiis $\ddagger$ magistrū Bernardinū de tridino ex mōteferrato Anno salutis. M.cccc.lxxxvi.

The register is beneath. An indifferent copy, in calf binding.
268. Horatius. Opera Omina. Cum Commentariis Mancinelli. Printed at Venice. 1495. Folio.

In addition to the Commentaries of Acro, Porphyrio, and Landino, the present impression contains that of Antonius Mancinellvs, Veliternus; who appears to have first published his Commentary at

Venice, in 149? : see Panzer, vol. iii. p. 393. $n^{\circ}$. 1553. Like most new editors, Mancinellus commences his own labours by an indirect ccusure of those of his predecessors. His address, on the recto of the first leaf, is dated Venice, October, 1492 : he concludes it by promising a more satisfactory illustration of his author than had hitherto appearcd. On the reverse of the first leaf, after the life of Horace, we have 10 hexameter and pentameter verses of ' Domicus Palladius Soranus to the reader.' On the recto of a iii numbered [fol.] I, at top, both the text and commentary begin; and conclude on the recto of $G$ ii (second alphabet) fol. celviii. At bottom we read the imprint, thus:

> Qu. Horatii opera: Venetiis Impressa. Anno salutis M.CCCCXCV. die xvi februarii.

A table of 6 pages, and a register, follow. The reader may consult Panzer, vol. iii. p. 381. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 1950 : who says, that the last leaf (wanting in this copy) contains the letters B. F: which are probably meant for the initials of the printer, Benedict Fontana. An indifferent copy, in calf binding.

## 269. Horatius. Opera Omnia. Cum Annotationibus Iacobi Locheri. Printed by Reinhardus, alias Giirninger. Strasbourg. 1498. Folio.

We have at length reached the last, and not the least interesting, article relating to the early editions of Horace in this Library. While the lover of ancient design and engraving may be induced to covet this volume, from these considerations alone, the scholar and critic will not be indifferent to the possession of it, when he reads the ensuingr notices of its comparative intrinsic worth. This is the impression which, in the Bibl. Harleian. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .754$, is called 'one of the greatest curiosities in the whole Harleian collection : being adorned with a vast number of cuts, reckoned extremely beautiful when they were done.' 'The reader will therefore expect some gratifying account of so extraordinary a volume.

On the recto of the first leaf, above a laxge wood-cut portraitof part of which the ensuing is a fac-simile, and which is probably
intended for that of Horace-we read the following title, in large lower-case Gothic type :

# 习习oete lítici opera cū quí 

 rimig. aptigidz ad (odacit tontētug r sentētiag


The reverse is blank. On the recto of the second leaf we have, at top, the Epigram of Locher to his readers. Beneath, there is an oblong wood-cut, entitled 'ratherra Musarum;' in the centre of which Calliope is seated, crowning Horace, who kneels before her. The eight other Muses stand around. Under this cut is the address of Calliope to her favourite poet-beginning thus:

En ego calliope turbis comitata sororum.
Exorno vatis tempora clara mei.
Dignus, vt aonius in summo vertice lauros
Efferat: \& placida munera fronte gerat?
Florentes hederas collo superaddite vatis
Io socie? tantum \& concelebrate virum.

## Hic primus latio parios monstrauit iambos? Intulit et lyricosı pindaricosque modos:

## Carminis effictor cui nomen mascula saphus <br> Imposuit : grais non minor ille fuit. <br> \&c. \&c. \&cc.

On the reverse of the same leaf commences a Latin epistle of Locher, the editor, 'Ad illustrem principem Carolum Marchionem Badensem;' having the arms of the latter spiritedly cut in wood, and indented within the same. This epistle terminates on the recto of the ensuing leaf, thus: 'Horatium itaque nuper a me reuisum: \& formis imaginibusque pulcherrimis adornatum: cum in manu tenerem: cogitaui eundem non alteri: quam tibi principi clarissimo dedicare : vt horatio nostro: cuius laudes \& preconia infra videbis: honorem \& auctoritatem faceres. ne ab ignobili vulgo penitus contaminaretur. Appone scutü tuū nostris laboribus. vt deinceps ad maiora queq; volumina alacriores simus. Vale spes vnica. vnicumque presidium. ex friburgensi Gymnasio, 1.4.9.7: Locher kept his word with his patron; for the ensuing year he brought out Terence, adorned with many of the cuts which are in the present publication. This epistle is followed by a life of Horace, and a dissertation upon the metres of the poet. In the whole, 5 leaves.

The recto of the ensuing leaf, numbered $I$ at top, presents us with the commencement of the first ode : adorned by a large wood cut of three whole length figures to the left-an old man with a seeptre in his left hand-intended for Mecenas, being the third. To the right, is the figure of the poet, crowned, with a laiel, on which is inscribed the first verse of the first ode. To the second Ode, on fol. in, recto, is prefixed a very large wood-cut, of three figures, of the dimensions of the fac-simile subjoined. The middle figure is IVLIVS CESAR, with a sceptre in his right hand; his left hand upon his breast; and a broad Turkish seimitar swinging across him. This figure, detached, oceurs again several times in the volume. To the right, is Cassivs in the attitude of presenting a dagger over the left shoulder of Cæsar. The wrath of Heaven, at the deed, is attested by clouds emitting fire and stones above the head of Cassius. To the left of Cæsar stands Brvtrs; in an attitude which may remind the connoisseur in ancient paintings of the ease and dignity of some of the whole-length portraits of the Italian school : as the following fac-sinile may prove.


The first, and every, book of the Odes, and indeed of the entire works of Horace, are filled throughout with a profusion of small whole-length figures constantly repeated; of some of which the ensuing are fac-similes. These figures are occasionally the same, in character, with those which appear in our own early printed books of the xvith century: especially in the Shepherd's Calendar.* The collector may also meet with them in the small pieces of Copland and Wyer, as well as of almost every printer of the same period. The second two figures are thus united in the original.

[^18]



The preceding embellishments are sufficient, I trust, to justify the compliment paid to them by the compiler of the Harleian Catalogue : a compliment, expressed, it must be confessed, with more zeal in the cause of bibliography, than elegance or even correctness of language. It is probable that, in the estimation of some collectors, I may have failed to present the reader with the most interesting specimens from this curious volume; but on this score I throw myself upon his candor as well as taste: being conscious of having done much, where before nothing of the kind had been executed. I should mention that the present copy contains, in general, but very indifferent impressions of these cuts: nor must it also be concealed that the greater number of them will be found in succeeding early editions of Virgil and Horace. They are, however, yet interesting, as presenting us with the costume of the times, and as being among the earliest efforts to illustrate the text of so popular a poet.

Between the lines of the text of Horace, there are explanatory words, printed in a small Gothic type : and to the right and left are the annotations; executed in a small neat Roman letter. The text is uniformly printed in a comparatively large Roman type. On the re-
verse of fol. ccvir, (the centenary number, from fol. cxxxix to fol. clxirl being omitted) the text of the poet concludes; having the following device and colophon (the latter printed in 5 lines) beneath:


## Claboratum impressumqz $\mathfrak{e s t}$. 

didum : comptumque Horatii Flacci Venusini. lyrici Poete opus. cum vilissimis argumêtis: ac imaginibus pulcherrimis: in celebri: libera: imperialiq; vrbe Argentina. opera \& ipensis sedulis 9 q"; laborib${ }^{\circ}$ Prouidi viri Iohānis Reinhardi cognomēto Gürninger ciuis eiusdē vrbis argētinenis: "̈rro idus Marcij. absolutū vero Anno domini M.cccc.xcriij.

Six leaves of indexes, under the two following heads, close the volume: viz. r. ' Directorium index Vocunı et rerum :' ' 1 . Directorium sententiarum et ad Virtutes Index.' The present copy wants 7 leaves at the beginning, and the whole of the indexes: but the preceding description has been completed by the loan of a perfect copy from my fricud Mr. Douce; who is also in possession of an equally desirable copy of the Terence, by the same editor.

It now remains to observe upon the intrinsic value of this edition. All the previous impressions of Horace had been taken from MSS. found in Italy: the present one gives us the text of a MS. found in Germany. On this account Bentley valued the edition: and Ernesti thus observes upon it. 'Horatius per Iacobum Locherum* Poëtam laureatum et Professorem in Gymnasio Friburgensi, cum argumentis, scholiis, glossa interlineari et iconibus ligno mira simplicitate incisis, prodiit Argentorati 1498, \&c. - cuius textum valde laudat Rich. Bentleius, ut e MS. libro eoque bono ductum, cum Italicae editiones fere altera alteranı expresserit, ut ea quoque editio inter principes et ad crisin utiles numeranda sit.' Bibl. Lat. vol. i. p. 407. These words are in part repeated by Panzer; vol. i. p. $61 . \mathrm{n}^{\circ} .339$ : and the more critical authority of Mitscherlich observes-' Iacobus Locher-_quam [editionem] iure inter principes referas, quandoguidem non ex Italicis exemplaribus, sed e Codicibus in Germania custoditis, iisque satis probis, eam ductam esse apparet.' Edit. Horat. vol. i. p. lxxı. Seemiller is brief, but exact in his description: Incunab. Typog. fasc. Iv. p. 101. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 45. There was a copy of it in the Valliere collection: Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 92. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2468. The present copy is an indifferent one: in calf binding.

* I regret that neither Gesner, Bayle, Baillet, Fresnoy, nor Fabricius have enabled me to lay any particulars of the fanily and life of Locher before the reader. That the above editor of Horace was a man of singular taste and spirit, may be fairly inferred from the nature of his publications. The work by which he is principally known, is, I believe, the Latin translation of the Navis Stultifera from the Germali of Sebastian Brandt: of which Panzer specifies eight Latin editions in the $\mathbf{x}$ vth century-between the years 1496 and 1499. The English impressions of this work, by Pynson and Cawood, are sufficiently known to our curious collectors. The original works of Locher are compendiously stated by Baucr, in his Suppl. Bibl. Libror. Rarior. vol. ii. p. 182 ; but more carefully mentioned by Panzer, in his Annal. Typog. vol. v. p. 285-6. The t'ites of them are these : Panegyricus ad Marimil. Romanor. Regem. Argent. 1497, 4to.: Theologica emphasis, \&c. Basil, 1496, 4to. Grammatica Nova. 1495, 4to. Epithoma Rhetorices graphicum in Ciceron. \& Quintil. Basil. 1496, sine loco et ann. 4to. Ludicrum Drama de Sene Amatore, sine loc. \& ann. 4to. Duo Spectacula et Oratio funebris in laudem Bavar. ducis. Hedurigis. 4to. sine loc. et ann. Carmen heroicum de partu monstroso. Ingolstad. 1499, 4to. Carmen de Dilurio Romano, 4to. sine loc. et ann. Carmen de S. Catharina, 1496, 4to. Rosarium coelestis curiae et patriae triumphantis. Ingolst. 1499. fol.


## 270．Isocrates．Gr．Printed by Henricus

 Germanus and Sebastiamus ex Pontremulo． Milan．1493．Folio．Editio Princeps．This is one of the most beautiful and rare vo－ lumes of ancient Greek typography．Nor is its intrinsic excellence less deserving of commendation：it being，in the opinion of Fabricius， more correct than the Aldine impression of 1513．We may proceed to a bibliographical description of it．

On the recto of the first leaf，sign．$\alpha \mathrm{ii}$ ，we read the commencement of the author＇s life，taken from Plutarch，in the following manner：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { тлоutápxou } \beta \text { íos iбoxpátouv. }
\end{aligned}
$$

\＆c．\＆c．\＆c．

There are 25 lines beneath ：a full page containing 35 lines．The first set of signatures contains $\alpha$ and $\xi^{\text {seven，}} \gamma$ eight，and $\delta$ ten leaves ： the tenth of $\delta$ being blank．On the recto of the ensuing leaf， A i，we have，at top，the word＇＇Evarópaб．＇From A to $\Lambda$ inclusively，there are eight leaves to each signature ：then $M$ to $V$ in sixes ：and $V Y$ in sixes ：next，AA to CC，inclusively，in sixes．On the recto of CC six the work ends；with the register beneath ：on the reverse，we read the following imprint or colophon ：
боо $\alpha$ 人́vo $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu$－

Beneath, is the date and printer's device; of which the following are fac-similes:

#  Baplonorấos póh $\omega \mu$   



Naittaire, vol. i. p. 559, note 2 (not vol. iv. pt. ii, as Harles in his Fabric. Bibl. Grac. vol. ii. p. 794, has referred to him), observes that Ulric Scinzenzeler used this device ; and Saxius, in his Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. Dxcir, note h, thinks that Henricus Germanus was one and the same person with Scinzenzeler: for (says he) 'in a prefatory epistle of Franciscus Tantius Cornigerus, prefixed to an edition of the Triumphs of Petrarch, of 1494 , Ulric (Scinzenzeler) is called Henrr.' There may be truth in this conjecture.

Harles (ibid) has not failed to notice the error of the Abbe Rive and Auger, in supposing that there was an edition of Isocrates, of the above date, in an octuvo form ; and De Bure, in the 'Arertissement' to the

[^19]LaValliere Catalogue of $1783, \mathrm{p} . \mathbf{x x j}-\mathrm{xxvj}$ has devoted several pages to a triumph orer the former, in having committed so gross an error. Rive, in his Chiasse aux Bibliographes, 1789, observes a prudent silence upon this flagrant blunder. Auger depended entirely upon Rive's communication - which was given in consequence of his having discovered a copy cut down to the size of an octavo. This copy was in the Valliere collection; and is now in the Library here described. Both this and a large copy (of the usual dimension:) were purchased by Count Reviczky, and are the identical ones in Lord Spencer's Collection. A ms. note, inserted in the former copy, tells us that it is kept in testimony of the blunder of Rive and Auger. Lange, the last editor of Isocrates, subscribes to the opinion of some German bibliographer, that this is the second work printed in the Greek type : an opinion equally erroneous with the preceding one. De Bure, vol. iii. n${ }^{\circ}$. 2343, observes that there is a copy of this impression upon vellum, in the Ambrosian library at Milan. Saxius, who had seen this copy, notices it in his Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. Dxcir, note h. De Bure had probably never seen it-yet he suppresses the authority from whence he obtained his information. Brunet, in his Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 583-4, gratuitously adds another copy or two printed in the same manner. The reader may consult the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 1-2, for numerous references to copies of this work in the libraries of our late principal collectors. The cropt copy of this edition, in the present Library, is bound in blue morocco: a fine large one, in the usual folio size, is in red morocco.

## 271. Josephus. Opera Omnia. Latinè. Printed by John Schiisler. Augshourg. 1470. Folio.

Editio Princeps. This is a magnificent volume, and merits a particular description; especially as Zapf informs us that ' the ravages of time have spared very few of Schüzzler's productions. This printer (continues he), who exercised his art only from the year 1470 to 1472 , inclusively, is distinguished rather by the elegance and selection, than by the number, of his performances. Whether he died, or bade farewell to business, after the year 1472, is uncertain.' Annal Typog. August. p. xx : pt. ii. p. 5. The curious must therefore be anxious to become acquainted with this volume. A few bibliographical observations shall be afterwards subjoined. On the recto of the first leaf, at top of the first column, we read as follows:

Tascyyi histariaņphi biri clarifsimi prologus in liforog antiquitatum vigīti incipit feliciter.
Istoriā Jůcribē
Disponentifis
ṇ̄ buam nec
canom bidea
ciusion pituij
causia $\mathfrak{c}_{3} \mathrm{ml}$ tag
cxistere. © ab
alterutra plu=
rimiù differēteg
คam ๕ quixam

\&.c. \&.c. \&c.

This prologue concludes at the top of the second column on the reverse of the first leaf. Then follow the heads of chapters, ending at bottom of the first column on the second leaf. At top of the second column of the same leaf, the Latin version of the History begins thus:

## Tascphi antiquitatis ituaice

 Yifor primus incipit feliciter.

 aid agpetum non beniret. et prafumitate teme= Grig celarctur. expiritus むci Desuperportaretue \&c. \&c. \&cc.

After the conclusion of the xxth book (' Laus marimo optimo' being at the bottom of the last column) we read, on the recto of the following leaf, at top of col. 1:
©matg libri antiquitatum. qui gunt gimul biginti. uexsu continent seraginta mifia.

Then follow some verses upon the same; succeeded by Eusebius's commendation of Josephus. A brief account of the seven wonders of the world succeeds; concluding with that of the Temple of Diana at Ephesus, at top of the second column. Beneath, we read the first colophon, thus :

Tomephi higtoriograxhi wiri claxitsimi. Xibri antiquitatum mumero bigiti, per §obamem gehuter. ©item, ?lugustensem สimiunt felititer nou gitriptorum quidem arte. gicd qua nogita tandem etas dotataegt. imprefgoriage erarati. Tlmo a matiuitate currente tominica, Miffe=
 bero iutiag guarto.
We have, in the next place, on the recto of the ensuing leaf, the commencement of the first of the seven following books; which conclude at bottom of the first column on the reverse of the last leaf; presenting us with a second colophon-thus:

## Tiex

 Tudatam guerram. genteg. wrfē, gacra, terram Fine gimul trigti pra ganguine perdita crigti. §oscybus jite meus por coinit autor befreus.Taxty histariographi biri clacitsimi. Yifuti of helfa iuxaito zeptem. finiunt feficiter. per. To bānem githu'ffer ciuem *huggtengem imprefti: Falendag geptemfig decimo. Somo bero a ptu birginiz gulutifera. æiffegima paringenterima gitutuagesimo.

## Iaư aptimo maxima.

It will appear, from this description, that the volume is divided into two parts: the one containing XX Books of the Jewish Antiquities; the other VII Books of the War between the Jews and the Romans. Braun says that the first part contains 201 leaves, and the second 86 ; making 28\% leaves in the whole. 'Whether the version be the ancient one of Rufinus, Schwarz has not told us'-says Meusel: who
quotes Bibl. Schwarz, pt. ii. p. 73. In regard to the type and paper, too much cannot be said in commendation of them; although Braun has published a wretched fac-simile of the former: Tab. II. $n^{\circ}$. IV. The reader may have a better notion of these types when he learns that they resemble somewhat those of the Soubiaco press ;* (see fac-simile, vol. i. p. 205) except that they have a broader face, and therefore look much blacker and bolder. Like the same Soubiaco letters, the $a$ and $e$ are rather Roman than Gothic. The printer, in the above colophons, may justly be proud of his art, so recently introduced into Augsbourg. A finer specimen of it will be rarely seen.

This edition is briefly described by Maittaire, vol. i. p. 299 ; but more particularly by Meusel and Zapf; and with yet greater minuteness by Braun; who, however, too hastily adopts Meusel's conclusion respecting Maittaire's ignorance of its existence. It was unknown to Gesner and Fabricius. See the Bill. Histor. Struv. Edit. Meusel, vol. i. pt. ii. p. 211-12. Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i. 130-1. Laire (Index Libror. vol. i. p. 223-4) refers justly to De Bure, Bibliogr. Instructive, vol. v. p. 464; whose description is borrowed by La Serna Santander, Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 51. Both these latter bibliographers unite in observing that the impression is 'very rare and sought after.' A fine copy of it was in the Pinelli collection, Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2542. Panzer has erred in referring to Seemiller, who gives no account of this edition; and he has also made a false reference to Braun : Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 100.
The present is a very magnificent copy; with many of the fore edges uncut. It is bound in blue morocco.

## 272. Josephus. De Bello Judaico. Latinè. Printed by Pannartz. Rome. 1475. Folio.

This impression, as the above title implies, comprehends only the history of the wars between the Jews and the Romans. Laire, in his Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 215, note c, has given a very brief and erroneous account of it ; for which he is sharply censured by Audiffredi in his Edit. Rom. p. 18s-9. The former bibliographer has also erred in his Index. Libror. vol. i. p. 224, by observirg that Maittaire, Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 113, edit. 1719, had called this the first edition:

[^20]whereas he merely gives an account of the colophon, in note $e$-which account, in the subsequent edition of the Typographical Annals, p. 347 , is incorporated with the text. It appears, however, that Maittaire, in the edition of 1719, was ignorant of the previous impression, of the Works of Josephus, by Schïsler ; although, in the edition of 1733, (see preceding article) it is specifically noticed by him. Audiffredi is unusually brief in his description of this volume; which has been called by De Bure and Brunet 'very rare.' Fossi has availed himself of the opinion of De Bure. Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 465 : Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 599 : Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 18.
We now proceed to a summary description of it. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

HISTORIARVM IOSEPHI LIBRI NVME RO VII. LIBER PRIMVS.

## INCIPIT.

VONIAM BELLVM quod cum por pulo Romano gessere iudei omnium maxi mū que nostra etas uidit: queq; auditus per cepimus : ciuitates cum ciuitatibus gentesue \&c. \&cc. \&c.

On the reverse of the last leaf but one, we read the imprint thus :
F I N I S.
IMPRESSIT CLARVS AC DILIGENSTISS.
ARTIFEX ARNOLDVS PANNARTZ.
NATIONE GERMANVS
IN DOMO VIRI NOBILIS
PETRI DE MAX. CIVIS ROMANI. ANNO
INCARNATI VERBI. M.CCCCLXXV.
DIE VERO. XXV. NOVEM.
SEDEN. SIXTO. IIII.
PONT. MAX. ANNO.
EIVS.
V.
PLATYNA EMENDAVIT

The register occupies the recto of the ensuing and last leaf. A full page comprehends 38 lines.

It may be worthy of notice, that the present is one of the few books which were executed by Pannartz alone; after the death of, or after his separation from, his partner Sweynheym ; an event, which took place in the preceding year. Nor did Pamnart\% long survive the separation; he himself dying in the subsequent year, 1476 : on the completion of only the first volume of an edition of St. Jerom's Epistles, in the same year. La Serna Santander tells us, that the types of Pannartz, when he printed alone, 'were new and smaller' than when he printed jointly with Sweynheym.* But I am not able to draw the same conclusion, on a careful comparison of this book with some of those so frequently noticed in the preceding volume of this work. There is not so broad a page of text; but the letters are the same. It remains only to add, that the present beautiful copy is handsomely bound in red morocco.

## 273. Josephus. Opera Omnia. Lat. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

Of equal, if not of superior, magnificence to the impression of Schüsler, is the one now about to be described. There is a tolerably copious and accurate account of this edition, by La Serna Santander, in his Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 53. Denis, Suppl. Mait. p. 594, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .5198$, had briefly noticed it, on the authority of Caes. Suhl. p. 3 ; from which Panzer was content to introduce it in his Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 148. no. 674. The boldness of the type, and the singularity of the decorations, had made it an object of attraction to preceding bibliographers and collectors. Laire, in his Index Libror. vol. i p. 224, thinks this impression may be the same as that which is noticed in the

[^21]Biblioth. Exquisitiss. Moëtjens, p. 14. n ${ }^{\circ} .274$, ann. 1732 , as being ' absque anni nota, sub anno tamen 1469'-and in the Bibl. Hoym. n. 3420, (continues Laire, crroneously,) there is an edition under the title of 'omnium vetustissima absque anni nota'-which Laire thinks may be the same as the copy in the Bibl. Rothelin, no. 3222 -there said to be from the Colbert collection.' Laire subjoins a remark, which all bibliographers must readily, but with pain, assent to : - 'Sola hæc observatio dc Josephi editione primâ sufficienter demonstrat quot et quantis tenebris involvebatur et etiam adbuc involvitur librorum scientia.'

The Rothelin copy is described as 'vetustissima editio, absque loci et anni indicatione, in fol. G. P. mar. r. Exempl. de la Bibliot. de M. Colbert: and on consulting the Bibl. Colbert, vol. i. p. 110, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 682, the same edition is there specified. Braun, Notit. Hist. Lit. p. i. p. 131, refers to the Dict. Typog. of Osmont, vol. i. p. 373 , for an ancient, dateless edition-which authority seems, on examination, to be a repetition only of what is said in the Bibl. Rothelin; except that he mentions 50 livres to be the value of the impression; whereas the copy of the Abbé Rothelin was sold for 100 livres. But whatever be the correct conclusion from the foregoing authorities, modern bibliographers may rest satisfied with the account of Santander; who has well described the impression, and who assigns it, with great probability of truth, to the press of Lucas Brandis, circ. 1475.' A pencil observation by the noble Owner of this copy, in the fly leaf, is corroborative of the correctness of this conclusion. Brunet seems, indirectly, to be sarcastic upon Santander's inference, in saying this impression is ' rare and little known ;' and adds, that he (Brunet) does not believe it 'to be of great price.' Munuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 599. It seems pretty certain that Brunet never saw it. But it is time to lead the reader to the volume itself.

The text of this edition commences on the recto of the first leaf, surrounded by a splendidly-printed border; having arms and supporters at bottom; and birds and flowers at top and on the right hand margin. This species of ornament is rarely found in books of a date earlier than 1480 ; and may probably form something like a criterion by which we may judge of the date of the present impression. The prologue of the editor, at top of the first column-with a decoration of which the ensuing is, in part, a fac-simile-is as follows :

## Tucipit prolorus santi Teronimi in Toscyhum



Osicyhus mathic nilia $\mathfrak{c x}$ ihtragolimig gitcroog a bespagiano capt:!g cir tito filio cius reliet": hic romam ucnicus geptem lifrog illoaice captilutatig im peratoriby patri filiaqz obtulit qui ct fibeliothece publite tradite pillt et ob ingenij gloriā gitatuā quo $\mathfrak{y z}$ rome mernit. Seripgit autt et aliog biginti antiguitata libras: ab exoraia mumai
 ctsarig. Fic in octauo derimo antiota tum Yiforo manifegtifime santet ppter magnitubincm wingto $x$ x.m a pha $=$ riscigi interfectū \& iobamem haptigita bere pughtam fuific et propter inter= fectionem iatofi apl'i iferagalimam ditutam. Soribit añt ar bino in hute modum. Co tempore fuit iffylt paxi= eng bir: מit tī nitum cum oportet dicere Erat cnim mirafilium patrator opm et bactor $\mathrm{co}_{4}$ gui fifent bexa guscipiōt
 tib) gut bahuit siectatores ft arcoebat
 cipū rutci cum pilato additcrit nithir omimss qui pmun diferern̄t. perstue raurrult. Tpartit enim ciæ tercia die uiluens: multa bee et alia mitadilia car mimilu prophetaz of co baticimantibu
 giortita botafullum non orfect
> $\mathfrak{C x p l i t i t} \mathfrak{p r c f a c i o}$

VOL. II.

At top of the second column, the prologue of Josephus commences beneath a large wood-cut roman capital $\mathbf{H}$; having the letters ' ISTORIAM,' in small Gothic capitals, similar to those in the opposite page, on the right side of it. In the lower half of this large H , sits a scribe, of very singular physiognomy and habit, as will appear from one of the ensuing fac-similes. This prologue terminates at the bottom of the first column on the recto of the second leaf, On the top of the second column of the same leaf, begin the heads of the chapters. On the reverse of this leaf, begins the first book of the text; having an oblong wood-cut, similar to the preceding, of a figure of Christ, by the side of it. There are very large running titles, printed in rude capital letters; but between the xth and the xvith books, these titles are alnost wholly omitted.* The beginning of the xvith book presents us with the same female figure of which the reader has already had a fac-simile. There are, throughout, capital initials of a varicty of characters; although they are as frequently omitted as introduced. The following fac-similes of some of them are faithful demonstrations of the singular taste of the printer.


From the insertion of the Black Eagle, in one of the larger kind of these capital initials, we may conjecture this volume to have been

[^22]printed at Lubec; as it is known that Lucas Brandis changed his residence from Mersbourg in Saxony to Lubec. The xxth book of the Antiquities ends on the reverse of the 273 rd leaf, col. 2, thus:

## ©fxplicit ypgitaria flauij jasexyi de antiquitate.

The Jewish War immediately begins, at top of the first column, on the recto of the ensuing leaf, with the following prefix:

## flauij iagepyi in textu librarā de fuda ica hella pralogus incipit

As the capital initial $\mathbf{Q}$ is rather an extraordinary one, the reader will not be displeased with the following fac-simile of it.*


[^23]This first page of the Jewish war is surrounded by a border similar to that which has been already described, at p. 104 ante. The lxvijth and last chapter of the virth and last book of the Jewish war, terminates with the following subscription beneath :

## atyplicit hugtoria flauij iagephi be inda ifa helfa feliciter

But before we close this description, we may make good our promise of introducing to the reader's particular attention the scribe mentioned at p. 106 ante. He is the first here arranged; accompanied by another, of which this impression furnishes, comparatively, but few specimens.


Although it is evident, from much of the margins being cut, that the present copy is far from being in its original size, yet the lover of fine ancient printing will seldom see a volume of nobler dimensions, or in finer condition, than the one here described. I should conjecture, from a full consideration of every particular feature observable in the typography of this edition, that it is of a date not earlier than 1480 ; although it be without signatures, catchwords, and numerals. This copy is handsomely bound in blue morocco.
274. Justinus. Printed by Jenson. Venice. 1470. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. The collector of the early pieces of the celebrated printer of this Edition, need not lament the want of any other specimen of his press, if he be fortunate enough to possess the present rare and very beautiful production of it. De Bure and La Serna Santander have been sufficiently brief and superficial in their respective descriptions; or rather, the latter has only copied the former. It begins thus, on the recto of the first leaf, without any prefix :

> VM MVLTI EX ROMANIS etiam consularis dignitatis uiri res romanas græco pegrinoq; sermōe in historiā cōtulissēt: seu æmulatōe gloriæ : seu uarietate \& nouitate o, peris delectatus uir priscæ eloque, \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 30 lines. The volume contains 140 leaves, according to the pencil numbers of the present copy. On the recto of the 140th, after the two concluding lines of text, we read the following colophon :

## .FINIS.

Historias ueteres peregrinaq; gesta reuoluo Iustinus. lege me: sum trogus ipse breuis. Me gallus ueneta Ienson Nicolaus in urbe

Formauit: Mauro principe Christophoro.

# IVSTINI HISTORICI CLARISSIMI IN TROGI POMPEII HISTORIAS LIBER XLIIII. FELICITER EXPLICIT. 

## .M.CCCC.LXX.

The titles to the several books are uniformly printed in roman capitals. It is perhaps needless to add, that there are neither catchwords,
signatures, nor numerals. To this bibliographical description of the present very estimable impression, I shall add the following notice from my Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 14. 'At Dr. Mead's sale, (Bibl. Mead, p. 219, $n^{\circ}$. 1611) a copy of it was purchased for 3l. 3s.: at Dr. Askew's sale, Bibl. Askev. n${ }^{\circ}$. 2109, for 13l. 13s.; at the Pinelli sale, Bibl. Pinell. n. ${ }^{\circ}$ 7692, edit. 1789, for 18l. 17s. 6d.; and at Mr. Paris's sale, Bibl. Paris. no. 529, for 31l. 10s.: so surprisingly has its value increased. In the Bibl. Snith, p. 250-1 there are two copies of this Editio princeps; the latter, perhaps unique, printed upon vellum :* it is now in his Majesty's Library.' (Then follows the notice of the present copy) ' A very beautiful one is in the Cracherode collection. See too Bibl. Reviczk. Suppl. p. 10: Bibl. Harleian, vol. i. no. 4736; Bibl. Crevem. vol. iv. n. 5828 ; Bibl. Choisi de M. L. P. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .1011$; $\dagger$ Bibl. Mason, pt. ii. $n^{\circ} .321,{ }^{\prime}$ \&c. \&c. This impression is usually described as a Folio; but the Bibl. Crevenn. justly informs us that the horizontal water-marks clearly denote it to be a Quarto.

The present copy is clean and very large, but the paper is uniformly tawny. It is bound in red-morocco.

## 275. Justinus. Printed by Ulric Han. Without Date. Folio.

This rare and raluable edition is unquestionably the production of Ulric Han's press; and is printed by him in his middle-size roman type. We will first give a brief, but sufficiently particular, description of it. On the recto of the first leaf:

## Iustini historici politissimi Epitoma in Trogi Pōpei historias pemiū incipit.

> Vom multi ex romanis etiā consu, laris dignitatis uiri res romanas greco: pegrino sermone ī historias contulissent: seu emulatione glorie:

[^24]> seu uarictate: \& nouitate operis delectat9 uir prisce eloquētie Trogus \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 32 lines; and the volume contains 138 leaves, according to the pencil numerals of the present copy. After the 12th line of text, on the recto of the last leaf, we read this colophon :

> Anser Tarpeii custos Iouis: unde: $\varphi$ alis Constreperes: Gall9 decidit: Vltor adest.
> Vdalricus Gallus: ne quem poscātur in usū Edocuit pennis nil opus esse tuis.
> Imprimit ille die : quantū non scribitur anno Ingenio: haud noceas: omnia uincit homo.

Bibliographers differ about the date of this edition: Maittaire, vol. i. p. 292, De Bure, Bibl. Instruct. vol. v. n. ${ }^{\circ} 4330$, and Ernesti, Fabric. Bibl. Lat. vol. iii. p. 62, supposed it to have been printed in 14;0; Panzer, vol. ii. p. 422, in the year $14 \% 0$ or 1471 ; Laire, Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 134, note e, in 1469; and Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 69, in 1471. Campanus is said to have been the editor of it: if so, it was probably printed before the year $14 \% 2$. It appears that Campanus quitted Rome on the 22d of March 1471; but whether he was, or was not, at Rome, when Han printed the work, the colophon is no conclusive demonstration. The coluphon alone, says Audiffredi, ought not peremptorily to decide the question; and fix the date of the work according to the conjecture of Laire. The Bipont Editors, who style this impression ' Editio Princeps,' speak of it as a rare and elegant production; and, till inspected by themselves, they do not suppose it to have been examined by any cditor of Justin. It has many readings (say they) conformable to those of the editions of Jenson and Sweynheym and Pannnartz ; and contains many valuable and sagacious ones peculiar to itself. A number of specimens are then given by them, of these different readings; which the reader will see in the Bipont cdition of $1802, \mathrm{p}$. xiv-xv. The preceding observations are taken from the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 14-15.

It is probable therefore that this is the second Impression of Justin. The typographical execution is not very beautiful; the lines
being, in general, rather irregular : but the present is a fair and most desirable copy (although a little cropt) of an impression, which cannot fail to be coveted by discerning collectors. It is bound in blue morocco.

## 276. Justinus. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1472. Folio.

This is an uncommonly rare edition, of which Audiffredi never saw a copy. According to the same authority, it is much scarcer than the preceding or subsequent one (although De Bure says the contrary), and is preferred by learned men to either. The copy of this impression in the Bibl. Smith. p. ccur was the only one seen by Audiffredi in any catalogue. This observation is selected from the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 15-16. It is questionable whether De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. no. 4331, ever saw this impression; as he unites that of Florus with it: an error which has been corrected at p. 30, ante. The colophonic verses, given by this latter authority, are, I suspect, a mere copy of the same from the Bibl. Smith. ibid. De Bure is evidently wrong in his deduction respecting its not being so rare as the impressions of Jenson and Ulric Han. Santander also appears to have merely copied De Bure ; although he has properly corrected the error of Florus being united with it. He states that Laire, Index Libror. vol. i. p. 279, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 30 , had seen three copies of this edition; each of which wanted the Florus : so that the error committed by this latter bibliographer, in his Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 179, note $f$, and of which Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 98, has taken such severe notice, is amply compensated. Brunet ought to have spoken more decidedly upon this point.* His notice of this Roman impression is jejune in the extreme. Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 604-5.

The text of this very rare edition commences on the recto of the first leaf, thus:

> Iustini historici politissimi Epitoma in
> Trogi Pōpei historias phemī̄ incipit.

[^25]Vom multi ex romanis etiā cōsularis dignitatis uiri res romanas greco : pegrinoq; sermone in historias cōtulissent: seu emulatione glorie: seu uarictate: \& nouitate opis delectatus uir prisce eloquentie Trogus Pompeius grecas: \& totius \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

A full page contains 38 lines. The xluith and last book ends on the reverse of the 92nd and last leaf: beneath which we have the following well known verses:

Aspicis illustris lector quicunq; libellos
Si cupis artificum nomina nosse: lege.
Aspera ridebis cngnomina teutona: forsan
Mitiget ars musis inscia uerba uirum.
Cōradus suueynheym: Arnoldus pānarty $q$ : magistri
Rome impresserunt talia multa simul.

## M. CCCC . LXXII.

die sxvi. Septembris
The present copy is rather soiled, but is of tolerably fair amplitude. In green morocco binding.

## !

277. Jistinus. Wilhout Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

It is difficult to assign a correct date to the present impression ; although, from its having signatures, and from its general appearance, I should suppose it to have been executed not much carlier than 1480 . It is evidently a different edition from either of those noticed in Panzer, at p .149 and 455 of his 1 th volume.

It begins thus, on the recto of the first leaf, sign. a:

## IVSTINI HISTORICI CLARISSIMI IN 'TROGI POMPEII HISTORIAS EXOR DIVM.

c VM MVLTI EX ROMANIS ETIAM consularis dignitatis uiri res romanas græco pe regrinoq; sernione in historiam cōtulissent: seu æmulatiōe gloriæ: seu uarietate \& nouitate ope \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

At bottom of the same page begins the title to the first book, in which the word HISTNIRIAS is erroneously put for HISTORIAS. On the reverse of the first leaf begins the text. A full page has 36 lines. The signatures run from $a$ to $m$ in eights: $m$ having six, and $n$ nine leaves. At bottom of $n \mathrm{ix}$, reverse, we read the conclusion of the text thus, without any addition of imprint ;

## ctā $\overline{1}$ formā prouinciæ redegit. FINIS.

There is, at the first glance, a similarity between the types of this impression and those of Plato de Benedictis; vide p. 38, ante. But however neat may be the execution of the printing, it is certainly inferior in elegance to that of the last mentioned printer. The lines are, frequently, very irregular. Whether it be a production of the Brescia press, I cannot satisfactorily determine; but incline to think not. The volume contains 102 leaves. A beautiful copy, in russia binding.

## 278. Justinus et Florus. Without Date, Place, or Name of Pfinter.

To this impression is appended the text of Florus; which latter has been briefly described at p. 35 ante. The text of Justin begins on sign. a ii recto, and ends on $h$ ii reverse. The page is a very full one, by the lines being both numerous and long. The letter is a neat Roman. There are neither numerals nor catchwords. The titles are in capitals. On the reverse of $h$ ii we read the conclusion, thus :
Iustini historici uiri clarissimi epithomatum in "Trogi Pompeii historias liber .XLIIII. \& ultimus feliciter finit.

A neat copy, in calf binding. This impression is probably not of an earlier date than 1490.
279. Juvenalis. Without Place, or Name of Printer. 1470. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. It may be almost positively affirmed that neither Maittaire nor Ruperti ever saw a copy of this impression. The latter, from the title which he prefixes to his supposed earliest im-pression-and from his concluding it to have been printed at Rome is clearly speaking of one of the following editions. Panzer seems to be indebted entirely to De Bure; vol. iii. p. 372-3. Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 3. Both De Bure and Count Reviczky are justly of opinion that this very rare volume came from the press of Vindelin de Spira.

It commences thus, without any prefix, on the recto of the first leaf:
EMPER EGO AVDITOR TAN
tum nunquam ne reponam?
Vexatus totiens rauci theseide codri?
Impuē ergo mihi recitauerit ille togatas ?
H ic elegos impune diem consumpserit ingens
Telephus? aut summi plena iam margine libri:
S criptus: \& in tergo nec dum finitus orestes?
$\mathbf{N}$ ota magis nulli domus est sua : ${ }_{q}{ }^{\circ}$ mihi lucus
M artis : \& eoliis uicinum rupibus antrum \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

In the present copy, this first page has an ancient illumination. A full page contains 30 lines or verses. There are no titles to the several satires. For the sake of gratifying the curious in collations of ancient impressions, I subjoin the first 32 verses of the celebrated Xth Satire; with a few unimportant Various Readings from the four subsequent editions:

Mnibus in terris que sunt a gadibus ūsq;
Auroram \& gangem: pauci dignoscere ${ }^{x}$ possunt
V cra bona : atq; illis multum diuersa remota
E rroris nebula. quid ratione timemus

[^26]A ut cupimus? quid tam dextro pede concipis :' ut te
C onatus non peniteat: utiq; peracti?
E uertere domos totas optantibus ipsis.
D) i $^{2}$ faciles nocitura toga: nocitura petuntur

M ilitia : \& torrens dicendi copia multis
Et sua mortifera est facundia : uiribus ille
C ōfisu= periit: ${ }^{3}$ admirandisq; lacertis
$S$ ed plures nimia congesta pecunia cura
S trangulat: \& cuncta ${ }^{4}$ exupans patrimonia census.
Q ̄̄to delphinis balnea britanica maior
T emporibus diris igitur iussuq; neronis
$\mathbf{L}$ onginumi ${ }^{5}$ \& magnos senece prediuitis ortos
C lausit. \& egregias lateranorum obsidet edes
T ota cohors: sarus ${ }^{6}$ uenit incoenacula miles.
$\mathbf{P}$ auca licet portes argenti uascula puri :
N octe iter ingres us gladium cunctumq; ${ }^{7}$ timebis
Et mote ad lunam tripidabis ${ }^{8}$ arundinis umbram.
$C$ antabit uacuus coram latrone uiator.
Prima fere uota: \& cunctis ${ }^{9}$ notissima templis
D iuitie crescant ut opes: ut maxima toto
$\mathbf{N}$ ostra sit archa foro : sed nulla aconita bibnntur*
Fictilibus: tunc illa, cum ${ }^{10}$ poculā sūnes
G èmata : \& lato setinum ${ }^{11}$ ardebit in auro

[^27]I am ne igitur laudas : ${ }^{1}$ quod de sapientibus alter
R idebat: qnotiens* alimine mouerat unum
Protuleratq; pedem fi:bat contrarius alter ? S ed facilis cuius rigidi censura cachini M irandum est unde ille oculis suffecerit humor.

It only remains to observe, that on the recto of the 71st and last leaf, we have the following colophon-after the 25th line or verse :

## Iuuenalis Aquinaris sathirarum <br> liber ultimus foeliciter explicit M. CCCC. LXX.

This copy of one of the rarest volumes of the ancient Classics, although the margins of it are occasionally written upon, is in sound condition ; and is bound in red morocco.

## 280. Juvenalis. Printed by Ulric Han. Without Date, or Place. Quarto.

This edition is of equal rarity with the preceding one, and may probably be of the same, if not of earlier, antiquity. Laire gratuitously assigns the date of 1469 to it; and Ruperti, who had never sen it, but wished a careful collation to be made of it, seems inelined to give it chronological precedence to every other impression. Bibliographers have mentioned it as being printed with Persius; but Audiffredi has properly doubted the truth of this position. It is, indecd, an erroneous one; for, in the first place, if Persius had been printed with it, the colophonic verses would have terminated the text of this latter poet, and not of Juvenal; whereas they are printed at the close of Juvenal : and, in the second place, the Persius which Ulric Han did print, contains titles throughout, in capital letters: vide post. Art. ' Persius'. The present impression has no titles whatever to the several Satires.

We may now give a brief, but correct, account of this uncommon volume. On the recto of the first leaf, without any prefix, we read as follows:

[^28]> Emper ergo* auditor tātum : nụ̄̆ ne reponam ?
> Vexatus totiens rauci theseide codri.
> Impune ergo mihi recitauerit ille togatas?

Hic elegos impune diem consumpserit ingens Thelephus? aut sūma plena iam margine libri Scriptus : \& intergo nec dum finitus Orestes: Nota magis nulli domus est sua: ${ }_{q}^{\text {ï }}$ mihi lucus Martis : \& eoliis uicinum rupibus antrum Vulcani : quid agāt uenti : quas torqueat umbras \&c. \&c. \&cc.

There are 11 lines beneath. A full page contains 25 lines. A small letter is inserted in the space where the large capital initial should be introduced. On the recto of the \%sth leaf, we read the conclusion of the poet, and the colophon of the printer, as follows :

Signorum comitem | castrorūq; era merentem.
Quāuis iam tremulus capiat pr̃: hūc labor equus
Prouehit $\mid$ \& pulchro reddit sua dona labori.
Ipsius certe ducis hoc referre uidetur.
Vt qui fortis erit sit \& felicissimus idem.
Vt leti faleris omnes $\mid \&$ torquibus omnes; FINIS.

Anser Tarpei custos Iouis: unde $q$ alis Constreperes: Gall9 decidit; Vltor adest
Vdalricus Gallus : ne quem poscant in usum Edocuit pennis nil opus esse tuis.
Inıprimit ille die: quantū non scribitur anno Ingenio haud noceas : omnia uincit homo.

On the recto of the 79 th and last leaf, is the register in 17 lines.

The reader may consult the brief notices in Laire's Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 135; Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 39-40; Ruperti, edit. Jurenal. vol. i. p. clxv: and Bibl. Astev. n${ }^{\circ}$. 2112. Panzer is coneise but correct: Annal. Typog. vol. ii. p. 415. The present copy has been cleaned with great care by the late well known Roger Payne, and is bound by the same distinguished binder, in a very tasteful manner, in olive-colour morocco binding.

## 281. Juvenalis et Persius. Printed at Brescia. Without Date. Folio.

When the reader is informed, on the authority of Audiffredi, that ' neither Quirini, Fabricius, Ernesti, De Bure, Morelli, Denis, nor the Dictionnaire Bibliographique (1791) make mention of this edition, he is prepared to believe it to be of extreme rarity. As the early Brescia books are, generally, of very uncommon occurrence, there can be no doubt respecting the scarcity of the present volume. It is unquestionably rarer than any impression of Juvenal and Persius; and may, probably, be of equal antiquity with either of the preceding ones. Nor should I perhaps be accused of much temerity, if 1 called it the earliest production of the Brescia press. It is certainly printed in the same character with which Ferrandus executed the Lucretius of the supposed date of $14 \% 3$ (vide post.) ; but there is a freshness and elegance of typography about the present work, which are wanting in the latter: the letters of the present are, comparatively, in a much more perfect form.* The ensuing description of it may be acceptable to the curious It begins thus, on the recto of the first leaf:

# EMPER ego auditor tantum? nunquam ne reponam V exatus totiens rauci Thesei, de Codri ? <br> I mpune ergo mihi recitauerit ille togatas? 

Hic elegos? impunc diem consumperit ingens

[^29]'T helephus aut summi plena iam margine libri
S criptus \& in tergo necdum finitus Orestes?
$\mathbf{N}$ ota magis nulli domus est sua: ít mihi lucus
$\mathbf{N}$ artis: \& Aeolis uicinum rupibus antrum
V ulcani : qd agant uenti : quas torqueat umbras \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 39 lines. On the reverse of the 58th leaf, we read the conclusion of Juvenal, thus :

Quāuis iā tremulus captat pater hūc labor equus
$\mathbf{P}$ rouehit: \& pulchro reddit sua dona labori.
I psius certe ducis hoc referre uidetur
V t qui fortis erit sit \& felicissimus idem.
V t leti phaleris omnes: \& torquibus omnes.

## FINIS.

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, Persius begins as follows:
Auli Flacci Persii satyra prima.
Ec fonte labra prolui caballino.
Nec imbicipiti somniasse parnasso
Memini me ut repente sic poeta prodirē
E liconiadas: pallidamque pirenem.
I llis relinquo: quorum imagines lambunt
H edere sequaces. At ipse semipaganus
A d sacra uatum carmen affero nostrum :
Quis expediuit psitaco suum cherae :
\&c. \&cc. \&c.
A full page comprehends 34 lines. On the reverse of the 10th leaf (forming the 6 sth from the beginning of the volume,) we read thus:

Rem duplica: feci iā triplex: iam mihi quarto
I am decies redit in rugam depinge ubi sistam.
I nuentus chrisippe tui finitor acerui.

$$
\text { ( ) } \therefore \text { FINIS }:() \quad \text { BRIXIE. }
$$

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor eatchwords. The paper is firm and well manufactured, and the edition appears to be printed after the model of the first of $14 \% 0$. It is however, as the few various readings at p. 116 may denote, taken from a different Ms. La Serna Santander, Ruperti, and Fournier, each make mention of the Brescia edition of 1473 - ' jubente Petro de Villa;'* but were ignorant of the present one. It is briefly described by Brunet, but evidently on the exclusive authority of Audiffredi; to whom, alone, Panzer refers his reader. See Edit. Juvenal, 1801, vol. i. p. clxv.; Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 79 ; Dict. Portatif. de Bibliographie, 1809, p. 286 ; Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 610; Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 264. Audiffredi, Edit. Ital. p. 199. The present beautiful copy of this very rare edition is sumptuously bound in blue morocco.

## 282. Juvenalis et Persius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

It is perhaps impossible to deternine with accuracy the priority of this or the following impression. One thing is easily ascertained; they are each a copy of the other : and probably, from the rude, singular, and strange appearance of the type of the present impression, it may be an anterior production. The paper is very thin, and wretchedly manufactured. Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 414, evidently notices a different $\dagger$ impression of Persius; which he conjectures to have been executed at Rome : but nothing can be advanced with certainty upon the place and period of the printing of this volume. Panzer, from the references in his ivth volume, $p .149$, also appears to have been a stranger to it.

[^30]It begins thus, on the recto of the first leaf :
Iunii Iuuenalis aquinatis liber primus.
EMPER EGO AVDITORミ tantum: nuṇ̣̆ ne reponam:
s Vexatus tociens rauci theseide codri : Impune ergo mihi recitauerit ille togatas.
Hic elegos: impune diem consumpserit ingens.
Thelephus: aut summi plena iam margine libri-
Scriptus : \& in tergo: nec dum finitus horestes. \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page contains 38 lines. The Satires have no titles, and end on the reverse of the 51st and last leaf, as follows:

Vt qui fortis erit sic \& felicissimus idem.
Vt leti phaleris omnes : \& torquibus omnes.
On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the text of Persius begins as follows:
Auli Flacci Persii Satyra prina.
$\mathbb{C} c$ fonte labra prolui caballino.
n Nec in bicipiti somniasse parnasso
Miemini : ut repente sic pocta redirem
Eliconiadas: pallidamq; pirenem.
Illis relinquo: quorum imagines lambunt \&c. \&c. \&c.

The second Satire begins thus:
5atyra secunda.
o Curas hominū. quantū in rebo inane est.
Quis leget hec ? min tu istd' ais? nēo hercl'e. nēo?
Vel duo : uel nemo. turpe \& miserabile : quare ?
Ne mihi pollydamas \& troadas labeonem \&c. \&c. \&c.

As before, a full page contains 38 lines. The text ends on the reverse of the 9 th leaf from its commencement, thus:

Iam decies reddit in rugas: depinge ubi sistam
Inuentus crisyppe tui finitor acerui.
This may probably be the sccond impression of Persius. The present is a large, but dingy copy: bound in old red morocco.
283. Juvenalis et Persius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

This is the impression, the types of which, in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2593, are erroneously assimilated to those in the Decor Publlarum printed by Jenson. A cursory glance upon the tiwo works will shew the fallacy of this conclusion. The present edition is rare, elegantly executed, and was probably printed at Venice. The types are clear, and appear to be new: but they differ somewhat from those of the Spiras, Jenson, Valdarfer, and S. De Colonia.

The first page presents us with the commencement of Juvenal, as follows:

Iunii Iuuenalis aquinatis liber primus.

> EMPER EGO AVDITOR tantum: nunquam ne reponam? V exatus totiens rauci theseide codri? I mpune ergo mihi recitauerit ille tor gatas?
> H ic elegos: impunediem consumpse, rit ingens

Thelephus: aut summi plena iam margine libri S criptus : et in tergo : nec dum finitus horestes. \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page has 32 lines. The text of Juvenal terminates on the recto of fol. 61 : the reverse is blank. The Persius begins precisely like the preceding edition, with the exception of a few literal alterations
or varieties: thus, the first verse in the second satire is printed as follows -
o Curas hominum. w.. $^{*}$ quantum in rebus inane est.
The second verse runs thus :
$Q$ uis leget hec ? min tu istd' ais? nēo hercul'e. nemo ?
The Persius concludes on the recto of the 11th and last leaf from its commencement, thus:

I am decies redit in rugas: depinge ubi sistam
I nuentus crisyppe tui finitor acerui.
The reverse is blank. This, or the preceding one, I apprehend to be the impression of which Ruperti thus observes: ' Ex interna autem indole huius editionis probabile fit, eam non a viro docto curatam, sed a typographo e libro MSto. eoque bonae, quamvis non optimae, notae cum omnibus librariorum peccatis ductam esse, adeoque Codicis scripti auctoritatem obtinere.' Edit. Juvenalis, vol. i. clxiv. As this, and the immediately preceding impression, contain, as far as I have had an opportunity of inspecting both, the same text, I conclude the criticism of Ruperti to be applicable to either.

The present is rather an indifferent copy; in red morocco binding.

## 284. Juvenalis et Persius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

This is evidently a reprint of the preceding: page for page, and line for line, by the same printer. The contractions are less numerous, but the types and text are the same. The description of the preceding will therefore, suffice for an account of the present one. The first page of the text of Juvenal is here classically illuminated. A beautiful copy; in russia binding.

[^31]
## 285. Sabinus. Paradoxa in Iuvenalem. Printed by Sachsel and Golsch. Rome. 1474. Folio.

This very rare impression of the labours of Sabinus upon Juvenal, is described in a very interesting manner by Audiffredi. Sabinus and Calderinus were the rival Commentators upon the puet; and the former tells us, in his second brief epistle to Perottus, that he had stolen nothing from Calderinus-but, on the contrary, that the latter had probably been indebted to himself. Such as they are, he wishes his 'paradoxes' to appear.

## ——QVin impressoribus opus me

 um cōmittendū censui ut poma quæ ille ex agris meis surripuisset omnibus paterent \&cc.This is the language of his second Epistle. But-we may describe the volume particularly.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the first epistle, with the following prefix :

Ad Reuerendum D. Nicolaū Perottum Pōt. Sypontinum $p$ A. Sabinū Poe. Lau. paradoxa in Juuenali incipiūt feliciter.

On the reverse is another (but much briefer) epistle to the same character. On the recto of the 2 d and following leaf, the commencement of the commentary upon the first line of the first Satire, is thus:

Emper ego | Non possum nō mirari pater op time istius Cornuti quem ais negligentiam qui Iuuenalem uult alios dum de se loquitur reprehendentē nā huius hereseos $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ ceptores ne intelligendo faciunt ut nihil intellegant \& ex insanis auditores prorsus stultos faciūt \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page contains 39 lines. On the recto of the 125th and last leaf, Sabinus thus takes leave of his patron. 'Multa Reuerende domine
omisisse uideor quæ aliis utilia forte uiderentur. In omni hac Iuncnalis expositiōe aliis superflua \& cognita: Itaque cum omnibus placere uix aliquis undique possit ac satisfacere ipsorum uotis: ueniam mihi dandam spero qui iis morem gerendum censui quorum uota perspecta fuerūt $\&$ id quod ignorabant multo ante cognitum in quibus ut erudirem hæc mihi uisa sunt scribenda. Paternitati autem tuæ ideo directa sunt quia tempestate nostra studiosiorē diligentiorēque existimaui neminem quique poetarum maiestatem magis coleret \& intelligeret mihi nusquam uisus est. Vale.' Beneath, we read as follows:

## . FINIS .

## © Per. A.C.N.QVirinum Sabinum : Paradoxa In Iuvenali. Ad Nicolaum Peroctum Pontificem Sypontinum finunt.

On the reverse, at top, the imprint, in seven lines (given by Audiffredi in like number) is thus :
© Paradoxa hec super diui Iuuenalis libro In. alma Vrbe Roma Impressa sunt totius orbis terrarum Regina olim \& Inperatrice arte maxima atq; ingenio dignissimorum Impressorum : Georgii Sachsel de Reichenhal \& Bartholomei Golsch de Hohenbart Clericoz. Anno domini Milesimo quadringentesimo septuagesimoquarto. die uero. IX. Mensis Augusti. Pontificatu uero SIXTI diuina puidentia pape. IIII. anno eius. III.

## Sequit tabula folio4 et que libet riga 9tinet unū qn ternionē.

The ' rigæ,* or gatherings, are 14 in number. Speaking of the Commentaries of Calderinus and Sabinus, Ruperti remarks-' Non carent illi docta subtilitate, temporibus, quibus vulgati sunt, condonanda; sed judicii sensusque elegantiam fere desideres.' Edit. Juvenal; vol. i. p. clxvir. Both Panzer and Brunet appear to have overlooked this volume: indecd the typographical productions of Sachsel and Golsch are rare. The present fine copy of this work is bound in red morocco. Consult the Edit. Rom. p. 159-1 60.

[^32]
## 286. Juvenalis. Printed by G. Wolff and

 Thielmann Kerver. Paris. 1498. Quarto.This is one of the prettiest volumes of the early Parisian press with which 1 ain acquainted. Wolff began to print in the gothic type, and appears to have put forth his earliest specimen in $1489:$ Kerver was a distinguished printer of Missals and Rituals, and his name rarely appears to any production printed in the roman character. His first performance is of a date only one year earlier than the present one; and it is to be regretted that we have not more impressions of valuable works exhibiting an early Parisian type like the present; which is so much superior to that of Gering, Crantz, and Friburger, and of so very uncommon occurrence before the year 1500 .

On the recto of the first leaf we read as follows:

## Deciı Iunii Iuuenalis Aquinatıs Satyra prïma.

DEmper ego auditor tantū : nuṇ̣̂ne reponā : Vexatus totiens rauci theseide codri?
Impune ergo mihi recitauerit ille togatas?
Hic elegos? impune diem consumpserit ingens \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 23 lines: widely, but not inelegantly, spaced or arranged. The signature a ii is not marked, but seven leaves are appropriated to a . On the recto of the eighth leaf begins $\mathrm{b} \mathrm{i}: \mathrm{b}$ to i inclusively, in eights : $k$, six ; 1 seven. The second and fourth leaf of each signature is never designated by the letter. There are running titles. On the reverse of 1 vij we have the colophon, thus:

Satyra Decii Iunii Iuuenalis nuper diligenter recogni tæ Parrhisiis ipressæ Opera magistri Georgii Vuolff Thielmāniq; keruer finem cepere Anno salutis. Mil= lesimos quadringentesimo. Nonagesimooctauo. Ter tio Idus Februarii.

Panzer, vol. ii. p. 323, refers only to Maittaire, vol. i. p. 663. The very superficial index of Chevillier prevents mc from ascertaining
whether this volume be noticed in his history of the Parisian press. The present copy is rather soiled: bound in old red morocco.

## 287. Livius. Decades Tres. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. Supposed to have been executed in 1469 . Folio. 2 vols.

Editio Princeps. The question now seems to be at rest respecting the chronological priority of the present to the two succeeding impressions. Even without the aid of Audiffredi's elaborate discussion, it is presumed that, from the ensuing observations, the precedency of the Roman edition, from the press of Sweynheym and Pannartz, is satisfactorily demonstrated. Gronovius was among the earliest critics who contended for the priority of the present impression; but Fabricius, Bibl. Lat. lib. i. cap. 11, in assenting to the remark, erroneously assigned the date of 1470 to the printing of the book-but for this he has been censured by Quirini, and corrected by Ernesti. Maittaire, Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 283 , note i, judiciously supposed the edition to have been executed in 1469 ; as Vindelin de Spira, in his impression of 1470 , has incorporated the whole of the prefatory epistle of the Bishop of Aleria, which could not have been first printed at the Venetian press:-nor does Maittaire readily believe that these two impressions could have been published the same year. It would follow, therefore, that the present edition was printed in the year 1469. Drakenborch was of the same opinion, Edit. Liv. 1738.

Quirini is very full and satisfactory upon this point; noticing the inference of Maittaire-but contending rather for the possible priority of Ulric Han's impression. He subjoins the entire epistle of the episcopal editor; which epistle may also be found, under the date of Spira's impression, in the Bibl. Smithiana, Appdx. p. Lxxxir. Consult Quirini De Optimor. Scriptor. Edition. p. 148-160. Laire in his Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 160, note $n$, supposed this Editio Princeps was printed in $14 \% 0$; but in his Index Libror. vol. i. p. 45-6, he retracted this opinion-convinced, 'rationibus haud debilitatis' of Audifiredi, that the preceding year was more correctly the period of its execution. Audiffredi is copious and particular : observing properly, that the worls is placed, in the memorable list noticed in vol. i. p.160-1, among the latter ones of those said to have been printed in the year
1469. He adds, too, on the authority of the same list, that ouly 275 copies were struck off. Edit. Rom. p. 25-8. De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 524, is brief but exact; and La Serna Santander, Dict. Eibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 108, does not fail, as usual, tacitly to transcribe the labours of his predecessors. Fossi has well observed of it; calling it 'egregium typographicæ industriæ monumentum.' Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. S8. See also Panzer, Annal. Typog. vol. ii. p. 412-13. We now proceed to describe the impression itself: which, from its uniformity of arrangement, requires indeed but a summary description.

On the recto of the first leaf, without any title by way of prefix, commences the prefatory address of the editor, John Bishop of Aleria.
> [F]austo ac felici solo tuā Vrbem Pater Beatissime Paule. II. Venete : Pont. Maxime : \&c.

In this preface, Virgil and Pliny are thus mentioned before Livy :' quorū reliquis de eorū laudibus loco alio seruatur oratio.' \&c. The preface occupies two entire leaves; ending quite at bottom of the reverse of the second leaf. Then follow 20 leaves of Epitomes, or Abridgnients, of the several books; including the Fraginents of books. On the recto of the 23 d , at top, without prefix, commences what may be called the proheme of the Historian; and, at bottom, the first four lines of the text. A full page contains 46 lines. The last line of text is on the recto of the last leaf, thus:

## in biduū : ualitudinis causa : \& per omnia Fora : Conciliabulaq; edixerunt.

Beneath, we read the six well known verses, as at p. 113 ante: beginning

## Aspicis illustris lector quicunq; libellos

and the 7 th and 8th thus:

## Petrus cum fratre Francisco maximus ambo Huic operi optatam contribuere domum

This impression is undoubtedly one of the noblest publications of ancient classical literature. It displays a solidity of press work, a strength of paper, and an amplitude of margin, which give it a magnificent
vol. II.
appearance; and which cause these volumes to be numbered among the grandest books in the present Library. This copy may be said to be in the purest state of preservation; and is of such dimensions, that it measures $16 \frac{1}{8}$ inches in height, by $11 \frac{1}{4}$ in width. It is splendidly bound in red morocco.

The bibliographical world are well apprised of an unique and magnificent copy of this first Edition, cron vellum, in the choice collection of Mr. James Edwards. It is in its original binding, with richly illuminated borrlers at the beginuing of each of the three Decads; and from the Arms at the bottom of the first page of the history, these illuminations appear to have been done for R. Borgia, nephew of Calixtus IIId, who had been appointed by that Pope, Governor of the Monastery of Soubiaco, and Vice Chancellor of the Roman See. (Borgia afterwards assumed the purple under the well-known and execrated name of Alexander VI.) Perhaps a more enviable treasure, in the form of an ancient classical volume, does not exist.

## 288. Livius. Decades Tres. Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Venice. 1470. Folio. 2 vols.

De Bure is lavish in his commendation of the extreme beauty of this impression; which io here placed as the Editio Secunda-for reasons which will be assi ned in the subsequent article. It is indeed a most magnificent production of the early Venetian press; but whether it be rarer than the preceding impression, which De Bure intimates, is very questionable. There can be no doubt of its being a reprint of it. The prefatory address of the Bishop of Aleria begins on the recto of the first leaf, without prefix, and concludes nearly at top of the recto of the third leaf. It is followed by the Abridgments or ' Epitomes' of the several books of the Historian, as before, in 21 leaves: ending with the number of chapters, in like manner. Then the prohene of livy on the recto of the 24th and following leaf. On the reverse of the same leaf, commences the text. A full page has 49 lines. There are, as in the first edition, no heads to the several books. The first volume contains 168 (jeencil-numbered) leaves; ending on the reverse of the last. The second volume begins with the IIId Decad on the rectn of the first leaf, and concludes with the IVth on the recto of the 248 th (pencil-numbered) leaf. On the reverse of fol. 247 the
text of the historian terminates; followed by the date of the printing, and 44 hexameter and pentameter verses;-the whole of which have been copied by De Bure; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 528-9: and by Fossi in the Bibl Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 83. The date, and a few only of the succeeding verses, may be acceptable to the reader.

## .M.CCCC.LXX.

Proderat haud multum Liui abs te scripta fuisse Maxima si rome facta pari eloquio :
Q' seu desidiis: alio seu crimine quouis: Siue \& temporibus cuncta fere occiderant:
Q ueq; superfuerant opera: hẹc tam rara uideri:
Vt pene ipsorum copia nulla fors:
Et Vindelino debebis tu quoq; formis Egregie impressit has modo qui decadas:

Beneath, there are 4 verses-then, on the recto of the following leaf, 32 : from which I extract these, as the more worthy of the bibliographer's attention :

Siue cius formam : siue alia aspicias.
I pse hanc effingit : polit hanc : atq; induit ipse:
Ipse anima tan": sensibus instituit.
A n natos igitur seruanti cuncta putares
Te debere : hihil hec opera ex.mia :
A dde $\Phi$ \& ppulchra effinxit: \& optima: cunctis
Hoc etiam ut placeant: haec monumenta tua:
A tq; tot impressit: totum his iam possit in orbem
Diffundi atq; euum nomen in omne tuum.
\&cc. \&cc. \&cc.
How many copies of this splendid edition may be supposed, from these verses, to have been struck off, is a rquestion not easily to be answered; but the inference is rather in favour of a large than a small impression. His Majesty possesses the beautiful copy which is described in the Bibl. Smith, p. cclxxili; and Mr. Knight was the
possessor of the splendid one from the Pinelli collection: Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. $n^{\circ}$. 2823. The late Duke de la Valliere possessed a copy of it upon vellum; which was lent him during life, to be returned, on his death, to the public library at Lyons. The present copy, although large, is not of egual beauty and condition with the preceding and succeeding copies. It is bound in blue morocco.

## 289. Livius. Decades Tris. Printed by Ulric Han. Rome. Without Date Folio. 2 vols.

The reader has been led to require some reasons for placing this impression in its present order. These are gathered cliefly from the introductory address of Campanus, the editor; and are presumed to be satisfactory in favour of the present arrangement. It will be observed that Campanus talks of erroneous impressions of his authoror rather of the ignorance and carelessness of printers, as if the work had been at least once printed. The address begins thus: 'CAMP'ANVS Cardinali Papiensi meo salutem. Tres T. Liuii decades: tot enim supersunt librariorum inscitia magna ex parte deprauatas iussu tuo recepi emendandas:' Almost the whole address (in 35 lines) is devoted to an abuse of printers-thus, 'librariorum cohorti errores'- 'Nec idem erunt impressorum futuri errores-Legi poterit iam a nostris Liuii historia: si qualem ipsi reddidimus talē \& impressores cæterique effinxerint : \&c. It is at least conclusive, from this last sentence, that the text of the historian had been before erroneously printed-for Campanus says-' Legi poterit iam a nostris Liuii historia:'

Audiffredi is unusually copious upon this edition; which he places the first in the list of those printed in the year $14 \% 0$. The reader will draw his own conclusion respecting its appearance, or not, subsequently to the impression of Vindelin de Spira. De Bure has started an opinion that this present edition may have been printed at two separate times; on account of the colophonic verses with which the Ist Decad concludes. He supposes that the first Decad may have been printed in 1469, and the IIId and 1Vth Decads in 1.470: but, prudently, does not make the least decision upon the subject. 'Sans vouloir rien décider à cet égard, nous rapportons simplementici le sentiment des uns et des autres, d'autant plus que celui des derniers ne nous a pas paru tout-̀̀-fait destitué de probabilité, ayant trouvé nous mêmés différentes fois dans le commerce, des exemplaires de la première Décade séparés,
tant de l'une que de l'autre Edition, dans leurs anciennes reliures en bois, et qui sembloient avoir été reliés dans le temps comme des Ou vrages complets, et qui ne devoient avoir aucune suite.' Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 524-6. See too Edit. Rom. p. 32-7. Fabricius, Ernesti, and Maittaire supposed this edition to have been printed in $14 \% 1$; and Rossi in 1472 : but it was more probably executed in 1470 -and after the preceding impression of Vindelin de Spira. Fossi, who has an animated description of it, seems to incline to the opinion of Audiffredi. Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 82-3.

In point of splendor, it is perhaps superior to either of the preceding; and may be called the chef-d'œuvre of Ulric Han's press: being printed in his middle-size Roman type, with comparatively great regularity, upon excellent paper; and a full page comprehending 45 lines. On the reverse of the first leaf commence the 'Epitomes' as before ; oceupying 23 leaves; and ending with the colophonic verses as extracted at p. 111 ante. Beneath these verses, we read the word 'CAMPANVS.' The 24th leaf is wholly blank. On the recto of the 25 th begins the proheme, or introduction, of the Historian: on the reverse, commences the text. To the last hook of thee IIId Decad, forming the conclusion of the 2nd volume, are subjoined the verses as before; with the word 'Campanus' beneath. The present copy is probably unparalleled for condition and size: each of the books of the three Decads being illuminated by what is called ' an ancient hand.' These exquisite volumes are handsomely bound in blue morocco.

## 290. Livius. Decades Tres. Printed by Sueynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1472. Folio. 2 vols.

Although, as Count Reviczky has remarked in his ms. memoranda, there is nothing very particular to observe concerning the present im-pression-which appears to be a reprint of the preceding Roman oneyet it is difficult to pursue our rescarches relative to these magnificent specimens of early typography, and to the editors of them, without being struck (in regard to these editions in particular) with the force and justice of Quirini's remark upon the text of Maittaire. 'Haud magna tamen contentione decertandum recte monet Maittaire, si quis hos, et illum [sc. Episcop. Aleriensem] cum conterraneos, tum contemporarios affirmaverit, sed adniratione maxima dignos videri sub
ipsis literarum renascentium primordiis impigros, mutuosque illorum 'Typographorum conatus, qui studiorum contentione, \& quasi æmulatione erecti in procurandis principum Authorum Editionibus, qua fieri poterat, diligentia desudando, secum invicem veluti luctabantur, præscrtim Romæ, \& Venetiis, ut abunde patet ex singulis fere hujus Appendicis Articulis.' De Optimor. Scriptor. Edit. p. 149.

The text of this edition commences on the recto of the first leaf, without any prefatory epistle of the editor. Unless Audiffredi (Edit. Rom. p. 96.) had examined two copies, in neither of which this epistle was contained-and unless all bibliographers had noticed its omission, in the respective copies under their examination,-it might have been supposed that the present copy was, therefore, imperfect. The text begins with the following titular prefix :

## Epitoma decadū quattuordecim. T. Liuii Patauini Historici in centū \& ${ }_{q}^{\prime \prime}$ draginta libros distinctum. EX LIBRO PIRMO.*

- This first page is elegantly illuminated in the ancient manner. The Epitomes comprehend 20 leaves; having at the end, as in the first edition-


## PRESENTIS CODICIS HEC SVNT CAPITA OPERVM.

On the recto of the following and 21st leaf, commences the proheme of Livy, with four lines of the history, as in the first edition; and having the following title:

## T. Liuii Patauini Historia ab Vrbe condita decadis prime. Liber Primus.

A full page has 46 lines; but the contractions are more abundant than in the first impression by the same printers. The titles to the books are uniformly in lower-case letter. The first volume closes with the first Decad; the second commences with the third; presenting us, on the first page, with the same illumination and episcopal coat of arms as in the preceding volume. It ends on the recto of the last leaf, with six verses only; as given at p. 113 ante. Beneath we read the date:

## In domo Petri de Maximis . M . CCCC . LXXII . dic. . XVI. Iulii.

The reverse is blank. A very finc copy ; but not of equal dimensions with that of the Editio Princeps. In blue morocco binding.

## 291. Livius. Dfcades Tres. Printed by Philip Lavagnia. Milan. 1478. Folio.

- Proxime Zaroto, anno scilicet meccclxxıir. successit Philippus Lavania Civis Mediolanensis, qui veluti pudori ducens totam hujus artis gloriam, et literarum proventum extero homini tunc deberi ab hac inclyta, atque omnium nobiliorum facultatum altrice Urbe, ingenium, viresque suas novis condendis typis impendit, tantoque characterum cultu, ac papyri nitore editiones adornauit, ut si cum primis Zaroti conferantur, non tam illarum elegrantiam æınulentur, quàm vincant, judice quovss oculo etiam peregrino, cui nullum ex amore patriæ vitium, ut mihi, fortasse obtrudi possit.' Such is the just eulogy of Saxius, upon the printer of this truly beautiful and magnificent edition : Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. xcvir. At p. Dlxix, the impression is inserted in its chronological order; and Saxius adds, in a note (q), that it is executed ' Caractere Romano, optimo.' It is indeed one of the finest productions of the Milan press; and appears, in its typographical arrangement, to have been printed after the model of Spira's edition.

On the recto of sign. A i, we read the commencement of the epistle of the Bishop of Aleria, with a title in one line and a half. On A iii, recto, begin the 'Epitomes;' which extend to B xij rev. A having 10, and B 12 , leaves. On the recto of C i is the proheme of the historian: on the reverse, commences the text. The first Dicad concludes on the reverse of $R v j$; having ten leaves to each signature, with the exception of $R$, which has only six. The following and third Decad begins on the recto of AAi ; and both this and the fourth Decad runs regularly, in tens, to $Z Z$ and \&\& \&. Afterwards we have oo in eight leaves. On the reverse of the $S$ th leaf of this latter signature, we read the following colophon, beneath the 12th and last line of the text:

Opus impressum Mediolani arte \& impensis Philippi
Lauagniæ anno domini M.CCCC.LXXVIII.

A full page has 49 lines. The titles are in capital letters. It will follow, from the preceding account of the signatures, that this volume, to be perfect, should contain 416 leaves.* The present beautiful copy is nearly of as ample dimersions as is that of the Editio Princeps. It is bound in red morocco.

## 292. Livius. Decades Tres. Printed by Ioannes Vercellensis. Treviso. 1485. Folio.

This impression is a mere reprint, according to Seemiller, (Incunab. Typog. fasc. 11, p. 105,) of a preceding one of 1489 , by the same printer. From this latter authority, it appears that the 3 d book of the rvth Decad is wanting in both. The present edition commences on the recto of a, with the usual epistle of the Bishop of Aleria. On the recto of a ii we have, as before, ' L. Flori Epitoma Decadum Quattuordecim. T. Liuii Patauini Historici in Centum et Quadraginta Libros Distinctum :' concluding with the 'Capita Operum' on the reverse of ci. Then ensues, on $\mathbf{c i i}$, recto, the text of Livy. In regard to the signatures, a has nine, $b$ six, and c nine leaves: d to z are in eights : then aa to 11 in eights: $1 l$ having only six. Next, A to H in eights : H , nine. On the reverse of H ix, we read the following imprint :

## FINIS.

## Has Titi Liuii Decades a Luca porro quādiligentissime

 re cognitas: Taruisii Ioannes Vercellensis impressit Anno salutis Millesimo quadringentesimo octogesimo quinto. $\dagger$A register of the signatures is beneath. The page is of extraordinary fullness; displaying a mode of publication which one could wish to see more frequently adopted in the present day. The present copy is in tolerable condition, in calf-binding.

[^33]
## 293. Lucanus. Printed by Sweynheym and

 Pannarlz. Rome. 1469. Folio.Editio Princeps. 'Agmen claudit editionum anni 1469, quæ a typographeo Conradi \& Arnoldi prodierunt, Lucani Pharsalia: quæ quia ultimo loco inter editiones ejus anni referfur, vix dubitare licet, quin tempore eisdem posterior fuerit.' Audiffredi, Edit. Kom. p. 30. The account of Audiffredi is, in other respects, sufficiently superficial. This bibliographer notices, however, that part of the prefatory letter of the episcopal editor, (the Bishop of Aleria) in which the ignorance, or envy, or avarice of collectors of manuscripts-who will not permit such MSS. to be printed for the public good-is properly stigmatised. The commencement of this epistle, on the recto of the first leaf, is as follows:

Oc tempore pater beatissime Paule. II. uenete Pontifex maxime bonā primū ualitudine ab omnipotenti deo per castissimas tuas preces opto: ut incredibili queam sufficere recognoscendi oneri : prius alieno rogatu suscepto : nūc māibus pedibusq; ut aiūt : mea sponte ita complexo: ut nulla uidear posse diffir cultate reuocari.

$$
\& c . \& c . \& c
$$

The epistle concludes thus-alluding to the above-mentioned parsimonious encouragers of literature :
forsitan essemus
aliq $\bar{n}$ nōnullis de laboribus liberati. sed sciant tenaces isti : nō se libros amare: sed Chartas: qus $p$ igratitudie hoc solū recipimus: Nos tanto plus laudis merituros : qui qdem scimus : etiam in auarissimos charta 4 : bono4 librorum copie munifice liberales. Sed iā. M. Annæi Lucani uitā : deinceps poema Canorum audiamus.

A brief biography of the poet, written by Pomponius Infortunatus, and comprehending 3 pages, immediately follows the preceding epistle. In this Life it is observed by the biographer (as Maittaire has correctly noticed: Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 282, note 3), that the Bishop
of Aleria undertook the revision or editorship of these ten books of the Poet, at the particular entreaty of Sweynheym and Pannartz; who, lest the Roman tongue should perish, printed books with praiseworthy skill-(or 'a praise worthy invention'). On the recto of the third leaf, we have the ensuing tetrastichon, which is followed by the text, thus-

Orduba me genuit. rapuit Nero. prelia dixi.
Que gessere pares: hinc socer. inde gener.
Continuo nunप̈̈̆ direxi carmina ductu :
Que tractim serpant. plus mihi coma placet.

> Ella per æmathios plusq̣̆ ciuilia campos Iusq; datum sceleri canimus. populūq; potentē In sua uictrici cōuersum uiscera dextra.

Cognatasq; acies : \& rupto fædere regni
Certatum : totis concussi uiribus orbis :
In commune nefas. infestisq; obuia signis
Signa. pares aquilas : \& pila minantia pilis.
There are 21 lines below : a full page comprehending 38 lines, or verses. On the recto of the 109th and last leaf, we read the conclusion of the text, and the imprint, as follows :

Optaret ne mori. Respexit in agmine denso Scæuam : perpetuæ meritum iam nomina famæ Ad Campos Epidaure tuos. ubi solus apertis Obsedit muris calcantem moenia Magnum.

Hoc Conradus opus suueynheym ordine miro Arnoldusq; simul pannarts una æde colendi
Gente theotonica: romæ expediere sodales. . M . CCCC . LXIX.

On the reverse of the same leaf is a table in 16 lines, including the title. De Bure, vol. iii. p. 346-7, is rather brief. The Cardinal Lomenie's copy was sold for SSO livres : it is described as ' Exemplar elegantissimum et perfectum:' see Laire's Index Libror. vol. i. p. 74. Consult Bibl. Mead. p. 231, nº. 1701: Bibl. Askev. nํ. 2282: Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. 2550 : Bibl. Creven. vol. iii. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 3982. According to the authority specified in vol. i. p. 161-2, there were only 275 copies of this impression struck off. Brunet tells us that, of all the editions published by Sweynheym and Pannartz in 1469 , the present is one of the most difficult to meet with, Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 55-6. This copy is slightly soiled, but large and perfect. In red morocco binding.

## 294. Lucanus. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

The Abbé Morelli was the first bibliographer who excited the curiosity of the public in regard to this rare and elegant impression, by the particular (and, let me add, deceptive) account which he gave of it in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 339. As Pinelli had not the good fortune to possess the preceding edition, his librarian seems to have been anxious to make amends for this deficiency, by the degree of rarity and importance which he attached to the present one. Morelli's erroneous conclusion, respecting its supposed priority to that of 1469 , has almost shaken the faith of Laire (Ibid); and has been admitted, without a doubt or qualification, by Panzer: see the latter's Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 154-5. There can be no reasonable ground for such conclusion.

The types, as Morelli justly observes, resemble those of the Florus and Horace, noticed at p. 30-1: p. 65-6, ante: but the titles to the Books being in capital letters, and the absence of all direct evidence in favour of any printer using these types before the year 1470 , or 1472 , are alone sufficient to warrant us in assigning the latter of these dates, at earliest, to the present impression. The Bishop of Aleria would, most probably, have made mention of such previous edition- and what press, using these peculiar characters, is known to have existed in 1468? The improvement in the press-work, and the adoption of printed titles, in capitals, are alone conclusive, in my own mind, of the subsequency (if such a word may here be used) of this dateless edition. That it is rare and ancient, and taken from a different MS. is sufficiently clear. We will now particularly describe it:

The first four leaves present us with five preliminary pieces, thus designated: 1. The Life of the Poet 'Ex Commentario Antiquiss.' 2. 'Ex Dimidiato Codice Particula ad Poetæ huius Vitam pertinens sumpta.' 3. Two Epigrams upon Lucan, from Martial. 4. 'Genethliacon Lucani ad Pollam Argentariam Carissimam Poetæ Uxorem ex Secundo Sylvarum Papinii Stacii.' 5. A short extract from Tacitus, concerning the poet. All these titles are printed in capital letters; and the last piece concludes on the recto of the 4th leaf. The reverse is blank. On the recto of the 5th leaf, beneath a title in capitals, we have the Tetrastichon, and the first seven verses, verbally as in the preccding edition. The text follows, thus :

## Vis furor o ciues? quæ tanta licentia ferri

Gentibus inuisis latium præbere cruore ?
Cūq; supba foret Babylō spoliāda trophæis
Ausoniis: umbraq; erraret Crassus inulta.
B ella geri placuit nullos habitura triūphos.
Heu quantum terræ potuit pelagiq; parari
\&c. \&c. \&c.
A full page has 40 verses. On the recto of the 106 th and last leaf, we read the conclusion, thus-not without error. The verses following it, are too singular to be omitted; and have, indeed, been copied by Morelli; but not with the same strict attention to literal accuracy.

O ptare nemori respexit in agmine denso
S æuam perpetuæ meritum iam nomina famæ
A d campos epidaure tuos: ubi solus apertis
O bsedit muris calcantem mœnia magnum : .

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{N} & \mathbf{I} & \mathbf{S}
\end{array}
$$

C um genero inuentis Cæsar dignissime tantis
Viuis ad huc: Martis qui potes esse nepos
N amq; ubi concideras : hac laude uoluminis astra Tangis : \& ad summum notus es usq; polum.
F orma dedit renouare manus: reperire colorem Quo maneat libris pressa litura suis.

# Q uodq; diu calcabat opus noctesq; diesq; 

Dextera : sola premat tincta tabella dic.
I ngenii certe uires \& munera tanti
Mirentur ueterum qui sacra bella legent
$S$ ic neq; deficiat Romani gloria regis. Codice nec careat turba docenda nouo :.

The reverse is blank. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. Another point may be worth subinitting to the attention of the curious, in regard to the present impression being printed subsequently to the preceding one. It is, that, (as we have seen) there are extracts from Martial, Statius, and Tacitus: but would the Editor have taken the pains to consult Manuscripts of these authors for such apposite passages? -and if so, would not a discovery of such MSS. have probably led to the printing of these last mentioned authors? Yet no impression of them is extant, with types similar to those of the present impression of Lucan. It is reasonable therefore to conclude, that the editor availed himself of the convenience of printed tests of the authors here quoted; and if so, this impression could not have been anterior to 1470 at earliest.

A copy of this ' very rare and early edition, not known to Fabricius, Miaittaire, De Bure, and other Bibliographers,' will be found in the catalogue of Mr. Edwards for $1794, \mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1037 , bound in Russia leather, and marked at $8 l .8 s$.

The present beautiful copy was in the Pinelli collection; and its large margin and fine condition are duly noticed by Morelli. It is bound in red morocco.

## 295. Omnibonus in Lucanum. Printed at Venice. 1475. Folio.

This first impression of the labours of Omnibonus Leonicenus upon Lucan, which will be found in Panzer, and Brunet, under the name of the Commentator, is a very handsomely printed volume; having a good deal of the character of the Spira press. The Commentary is accompanied only by small portions of the text. On the reverse of the first leaf commences the first of the five prefixes
noticed in the description of the previous impression. On sign. a 3 recto, we read the opening of the Commentary, with this prefix :

## Omnibonus Vincentinus in Lucannum.*

There are no divisions or sections in this elaborate commentary, which runs, in one solid body of text, from the beginning to the end of the volume. The signatures are most capriciously arranged. a. b. c. have each 10 leaves : then C, with $8: \mathrm{D}, 6$ : and d. to 1 . in 8's: 1. to fs.-ss-st-each 10 leaves: then sl with 12 leaves : t. u. x. yeach 8 : then 3. and \&. each 8: next, aa to cc, inclusively, each 8 : dd to hh , each 10 : lastly, hh. ii. and KK each 12 leaves. On the recto of KK xij we read as follows :

$$
\tau \in \lambda 0 \sigma .
$$

Egreditur phœbi subiturus templa sacerdos Inuide ne noceas: quid nocuisse iuuat? Græcæ dulce decus linguæ: famamq; latinæ : Omnibonum posset quis celebrare satis?
Nam nodos omnes soluit Ciceronis: opusque Quod sibi uult docuit Quintiliane tuum.
Hoc falso scripsisse ferunt iuuenilibus annis Perlege: digna senis cognitione leges.
Non in Lucanum quicquam quod dignius extet Ex hoc ni rapiant: sæcula nostra dabunt. Addidit autorum Coradinus nomina: nilque Sustulit: Omniboni quo minuatur honos.

Venetiis .M.CCCC.Lxxv. xii. Kalendas Augusti. Existente Venetiarum Duce Petro Mocenico.

As this is a volume of very uncommon occurrence, the reader (if in possession of such a treasure) will not fail to collate it by the preceding description ; which is given with every possible attention to accuracy. The neatness of press-work and the amplitude of margin render the present beautiful copy a most desirable acquisition to the Library. Many of the fore-edges are uncut. It is bound in red morocco.

[^34]
## 296. Lucanus. Printed at Halle. 1472. (Spurious Date.) Quarto.

It is rather extraordinary that Count Reviczky, at p. 78-9 of his Bibl. Gr. et Lat. should apparently have attached some little importance to an edition like the present; which has, palpably, a spurious date subjoined. ' Optime monuit (says Panzer) Cl. Martyni-Laguna in Epistola ad virum incl. C. G. Heyne p. xxxi. cum adsit præfatio Petri Aeolici, qui anno 1496 Lipsiæ publice enarravit Lucani carmen, in anni numero fraudem vel errorem subesse, legendum forte esse .M.CCCCC.XXII. Primus, quem ego quidem novi, liber, Halae Saxon. impressus, est ann. 1520.' Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 9.

We will briefly describe the impression, and subjoin a remark or two upon its probable date. On the recto of the first leaf, towards the top, we read the ' Epitauium Lucani' as at p. 138 ante. The reverse is blank. On the recto of the second leaf is the preface of Eolicus, occupying both sides of it: on the recto of the third the text of the poet begins thus:

## ad. Tonnci sutani coratien 

## Cfla p emathiag plusi ciuifia cappas  Ctentem

There is a wide space between each line, and a full page contains only 19 verses. The signatures run thus: A to $\xi$ in sixes: Aa to Nn in sixes : Nn , eight. On the recto of Nu viij are the concluding verses of Sulpitius's complaint on the imperfect conclusion of the Pharsalia : beneath which we read the date thus:

## 

Immediately below which, is the device of the printer; being two shields suspended to a bough-on one of them a half moon, star, and circle-on the other the town of Halle-both the back grounds, black. I have no hesitation in calling the foregoing a forged date. In the first place, it is seldom if ever that books of this period have a titular
commencement like the present one. In the second place, the letter is of that character that belongs to the close of the xvth century; being sharp, and in the form of Secretary Gothic. In the third place, whoever examines the Date aftentively, may see that it is, in all probability, introduced separately, and subsequently to the printing of the body of the work. The $M$ and $C$ are materially different; and there is a smeared bungling appearance about this linc, forming the date, very different from that of the general workmanship of the rolume. The printer, too, would hardly have inserted his device so closely beneath the line of the date.

The present copy is in very indifferent condition : in calf binding.

## 297. Lucianus. Opera Omnia. Gr. Printed at Florence. 1496. Folio.

Editio Princeps. There are few volumes of ancient classical literature more precious to the scholar, or more interesting to the bibliographer, than the present one. Reitzius, in the preface to the celebrated critical edition of 1743 , (which gave the world the united editorial labours of Hemsterhusius, Gesner and Reitzius himself) has stamped the intrinsic worth of this impression by the following emphatic sentence: 'Haec, etsi ab typographicis vitiis non est immunis, in vestigiis tamen priscae lectionis servandis, tantum alias praecellit, ut Codicis Manuscripti vicem praestare queat. Hanc licet Solanus totam contulerit, ego tamen aeque ac reliquas, saepissime inspexi, ac de novo consului.' Those who have not the Amsterdam edition of $1 \% 43$ ( 4 vols. 4to.) may consult the note in Audiffredi's Edil. Ital. p. 350-1, for the whole of Reitzius's opinion; or the Edit. Bipont. of Lucian, vol. i. p. Lxxix, where the preface of Reitzius is inserted.

To the bibliographer this volume is of considerable interest: for it betrays a table of Contents in the title-page, which is not verifiednamely, it proposes to contain some works of Philostratus and Callistratus, which were never found in it; and it exhibits a specimen of Greek typography, exceedingly beautiful in itself, of which the printer is unknown. We shall presently see whether there be just grounds for attributing it to the press of the Junte : a conclusion, which Brunet, without assigning any reason, seems too hastily disposed to adopt. We will first however, give a bibliographical description of the volume.

On the recto of the first leaf，without any signature，we read the list of Works＇which are contained in this Book．＇This list is thus designated：

## TA $\Delta E$ ENE $2 T I N$ EN T $\Omega$ r $\Delta E$ QVAE HOC VOLVMINE $T \Omega$ BIBAI $\Omega$ ．

sonkianor．
Фı入огри́тоu sixóvss．





LVCIANI OPERA． Icones Philostrati．
Philostrati iunioris Icones．
Eiusdem Heroica．
Descriptiones Callistrati．
Eiusdem uitæ sophistarum．

Beneath，there is the following Epigram：
AOTKIANON EIミ THN EAY
TON BIBAON．





The reverse of the leaf is blank．On the recto of the ensuing leaf， sign．A I，are the following titles：

> A O $\Upsilon$ K I A N O $\Upsilon$
> ミAMOミATE $\Omega \Sigma$ DIAAOCOI.

## MEPI TOX ENヘMNIOT．

HTOI BIO』 AOY
KiANOX．
The siguatures run in eights ：beginning with A and B ；and recom－ mencing with $\alpha$ I ．The first alphabet runs from $\alpha$ to $\omega$ ：then $\alpha \alpha$ to $x_{x}$ ：each in eights ：$x x$ having only seven．On the recto of $x x$ vj，we read the colophon in one line，thus：

The foregoing epigram，and a table，are beneath：the latter has this pretix：

## חINA三 TOX חAPONTOミ BIBAIOT．

occupying the remaining three pages；and terminating the volume on the reverse of $\kappa \varkappa$ vij．The last title in the Index or Table is

## EHIミTOAAI KPONIKAI．

The last line and word in the volume is TEAOミ．
Thus much for a description of the typographical arrangement．We now renew the subjects hinted at in the opening of this article．In the first place，it has been said（on the authority of Maittaire）that the title page was printed＇many years after the execution of the body of the work；＇but there seem two solid objections against adopting this conclusion．The one is，if the volume did not contain the works of Philostratus and Callistratus，why insert them in the title？The other is，although this first leaf has no signature，and the second leaf begins on AI，yet，on counting the gatherings，it is evident that there was a mistake in this omission of signature ；as each signature has eight leaves，and $\Lambda$ ，to be complete，must comprehend this first leaf．For my own part，I conceive that the printer had，at first，intended to print these works of Philostratus and Callistratus，and therefore inserted their titles in the general title to the book；but that finding，on the completion of Lucian，they would render the volume too bulky，he had abandoned his original intention，and neglected to cancel the title－ page．We will now，in the second place，say a few words respecting the press from which this magrificent volume is supposed to have issued．

Mr．Beloe has justly observed，in his Anecdotes of Literature，\＆c．vol．iv． p．348，that，in my Introd．to the Classics，vol．ii．p．54－5，I have ＇omitted to give any information coneerning the printer．＇He him－ self thus continues：＇Maittaire and others have assigned it to the Junta Press；but we have two books by these printers at a period very near，which，with respect to the type，bear no kind of resem－ blance to the Lucian．These books are the Zenobius of 1497 and the Orpheus of 1500 ．There is also another objection（continues Mr． Beloe），which to me seems insupcrable．The Greek type used by these printers in the following century，has no resemblance to the Lucian． The first Greek book，after the Orpheus，from this press，bears the date of 1515 ；and it certainly appears remarkable，that，possessing
such a type, the printers should have employed it only in one bouk. Nevertheless, it must be confessed that Bandirus, in his history of the Junta press, is very strenuous in :sserting, that the Lucian really came from thence, and he uses many arguments of weight in confirmation of his opinion.' Ibid. To these remarks it may be suhjoined, first, that the Zenobius and Orpheus are indeed executed with a very different type-they being in fact printed in the same Greek characters with which the Florence Homer of 1488 (see fac-simile p. 60 , ante) was printed; and exhibiting perhaps the latest specimen of this Greek type, which was originally introduced at Milan in the year $14 \% 6$. The types of this first Lucian resemble rather those of the press of Calliergus at Venice, although they are somewhat smaller; and the Juntee certainly imitated this character in their Greek books printed after the year 1515. Secondly, Mr. Beloe has been led into an error by Laire (in general, a treacherous bibliographer), in observing that Bandini ' is strenuous in asserting that the Lucian came from the Junta press.' On the contrary, this impression, as Audiffredi* has justly remarked, is placed by Bandini the first in the list of the ' Eitiones Ivntine Dvbiae'. See the Annal. Typog. Junt. pt. ii. 1. 257. It may be therefore safe to conclude, that the present edition of Lucian was not printed at the Junta Prens.

Count Reviczky, in his ms. memoranda, sajs that there are copies of this Editio P'rinceps without the first leaf: but this is doubtful. It may also be necessary to correct a mistake in Brunct; who, speaking of the Junta impression of Philostratus, \&c. 151\%, says it contains Lucian $\dagger$-but on consulting Bandini, pt. ii.p. 193, I find no mention of Lucian. Bandini notices, as Brunet (Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 68) rightly observes, a copy of this rare book, adorned with beautiful pictures, and printed upon fair vellum, in the Riceardi Library: see pt. ii. p. $25 \%$. A vellum copy of it is also in the Blenheim Library. The

- Audiffredi, Edit. Ital. p. 351, also notices the error of Laire (Index Librol. vol. ii. p. 251) in asserting that Bandini proves the above impression to lave been printed by the Juntre, ' iuvictis argumentis.' 'At vero si non invicto (continues Audifredi himself), magni certe ponderis argumento probari potest, principem hauc Luciani cditionem ex officina Juntarum minime prodiisse. Pro nobis hoc prestitit R. P. Ant. inoretti, instituto diligenti examine (nobis petentibus) super exemplari Corsiniano, quod ad officinam librariam Juntarum pertinuisse pro certo habeo. En cjus verba.' Then follows the particular account of Amoretti, written in the Italian language-but too long ior quotation here.
+ Branet relies upon the Valliere Catalogue; but on consulhing vol. ii. 120. 4336 to 4310 of the Cat. da la Vallicre, I find nothing to justify the conclusion.
present, although upon paper, is a large and beautiful copy, and sumptuously bound in green morocen. The Duke of Devonshire possesses the very fine copy which belonged to the late Bishop of Ely.


## 298. Luclanus. De Precedentia Alexandri, \&c. Latinè. Printed in the y/ear 1482. Quarto.

This little tract, of four leaves only, contains a dialogue held between Alexander, Annibal, and Scipio, in the presence of Minos, concerning the precedency of each other in military fame. On the reverse of the first leaf, we read the title and the commencement of the work; the former being as follows:
> opusculum de presidencia allexan dri hanibalis \& scipionis traductum e greco in latinum per aurispam

On the recto of the 4th and last leaf, we read the conclusion and imprint. The reader may have no objection to be made acquainted with the decision of the judge.

MINOS. Per iouē
o scipio \& recte \& ut romanū decet locutus es itaq; cū disciplina militari rebusq; bellicis. aut hisce equalem aut prestanciorem. sciencia. pie tate uero ceterisq; aimi uirtutibus maxime hos superasse te preferendum censeo. Et allexāder secundus sit \& tercius uidetur hanibal: neq; hic spernendus est.

> Finitunı est hoc opusculum die secunda Ianuarii. Anno Mille quadringentesimo octo agesimosecundo.

A copy of this work was in the Pinelli Collection: Bibl. Pinell. vol. iii. p. 290. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 7542, $a$; and it is noticed by Denis, p. 152. See too Panzer, vol. iv. p. 29. The present copy, in calf binding, is aftached to some Opuscula of Plutarch tranlated into Latin.
299. Lucretius. Printed by Ferandus. Brescia. Without Date; Inat probably not later than 1473. Folio.

Editio Princeps. The extraordinary rarity and worth of this first impression of the text of Lucretius, are now generally known to the curious in classical literature. There is perhaps ro scarcer book in this collection than the present one: nor is there another library in the kingdom which contains a copy of it. It is not known to exist in Franec ; and Brunet, without having seen it, and without referring to any cabinet for a copy of it, conceives its pecuniary value to be from 1200 to 1500 francs ! a sum, very much indeed below its worth.

Boni and Gamba were, I believe, the first bibliographers who made mention of this very uncommon book: see their Biblioteca Portatile; pt. ii. p. 28. The account of it there is sufficiently bricf; but the former, in his Lettere sui primi libri a stampa di alcune cittù e terre dell' Italia Superiore, Venez. 1794, p. Lxxx. has given a fuller description of it; yet not with that attention to literal accuracy which is so requisite in bibliographical works. He has even committed an crror in copying the first word of the text. We may make one other preliminary remark; which is, that Audiffredi, Panzer, Harles, La Serna Santander, and Brunet, all owe their several notices to Boni and Gamba : see the Edit. Itul. p. 420 ; Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 255-6, 263 ; Suppl. Notit. Lit. Rom. vol. i. p. 149; Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 124; and Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 71.

On the recto of the first leaf, the text begins thus :

## T. lucretii cari de rerū natura liber primus

a Enradū genitrix hominū dinūq; uoluptas
Alma uenus : cæli subter labentia signa Quæ mare nauigern̄ quæ terras frugiferētis
Cōcelebras: $p$ te quoniā genus ōne animātū
Concipitur, uisitq; exortum lumina solis
Te dea te fugiunt uenti, te nubila cæli*
\&c. \&c. \&cc.

[^35]In the first three leaves, there are certain lacunc to be supplied by the introduction of titles, relating to the particular subject treated of. From the recto of the 4th leaf these chasms are supplied, thus:

> Spōte sua multo fieri meliora uideres. Nihil. Ad Nihilum. Interire Hue accedit uti quaq; in sua corpora rursum Dissoluat natura neq; ad nihilum interimat res. Nam si quid mortale e cunctis partibus eff\& Ex oculis res quaq; repente erepta perir\& \&c. \&c. \&c.

Again-on the reverse of the same leaf.
with these editions, at the desire and expense of the noble Owner of the above copy; who has liberally supplied the editor of the recent Glasgow edition (1813) with these variations. It is from this latter edition, that the reader is presented with the following specimen :


Quod nequeunt oculis rew primordia cerni
Corpora. Que. Non. Videantur Accipe preterea qua corpora tute necesse est Confiteare esse in rebus: nec posse uideri. Principio uenti uis uerberat incita portus \&c. \&c. \&c.

The titles are frequently in Capitals. The second book commences thus:

## SECVNDVS LIBER

Vaue mari magno turbātibus æquora uētis
E terra magnum alterius spectare laborē
Nō quia vexari quēpiā est icūda uoluptas
Sed quibus ipse malis careas quia cemere suaue est
Per campos instructa tua sine parte pericli
\&c. \&c. \&c.
The edition, referred to in the last note, renders it the less necessary to supply Various Readings from the above, or from the ensuing extract :

Quæ Ad Inferos Dicat̃ Ea Vite Vitia Esse
Atq; animak etiam quecūq; acherunte pfūdo Prodita sūt esse in uita sunt omnia nobis
Nec miser impēdens magnum tim\& ære saxum Tantalus ut fama est cassa formidine torpens Sed magis in uita diuum metus urg\& inanis Mortales casumq; tim\& quem cuiq; ferat fors Nec tityon uolucres ineunt acherunte iacentem Nec quid sub magno scrutentur pectore quicï \&c. \&c. \&c.
(Lil. int. fol. $4 \%$ recto.)
We may close these extracts, with the following interesting subscription, appended to the conclusion of the text of the poet; on the recto of the last leaf: the reverse being blimk.

TITI LVCRECII , CARI FINIS LVCRECII Vnicū meas ì manus cū pueniss\& exēpları de eo íprimendo hesitaui : $\subseteq$ erat difficile ūico đ exēplo quæ librarii essēt p̄terita negligētia illa corrigere: Verp ubi alter perqsitū exēplar adinue nire nō potui, Hac ipsa motus difficultate unico ēt đ exēplari uolui libr "̈̈̀ maxīe rak cōem multis facer̃ Studiosis siqdem facilius erit pauca loca ul' alicū de altero exēplari extricato l' suo studio castigare \& diligētia: © ${ }^{\text {en }}$ integro carere uolumine Presertī cūa fabul' quæ uacuas (ut inqt pœeta) delectāt mētes remotus Lucretius nr̃ de rex. nat̃a "̈stiōes tract\& acutissimas tāto igenii acumine, tantoq; lepore uerbos ut ōnes qui illū secuti pete sūt : eū ita suis ì descriptiōibus imitē̃ \& Virgilius p̄sertī pœotak prīceps ut ipsis cum uerbis tria īterdū \& amplius metra suscipiāt

## 'THOMA FERANDO AVC'TORE

This volume contains 106 leaves, and not 104, as is inaccurately stated in Boni. A full page has 36 lines. The text is about 8 inches in height, by nearly 4 inches in width.* The type is a middle size Roman letter; which, like the rest of the productions of Ferandus, is sufficiently inelegant, and unskilfully printed. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. As there is no book extant, printed by Ferandus in a similar type, beyond the date of 1473 , it is presumed by Boni-and aequiesced in by other bibliographers-that this edition may have been executed in the last mentioned year, at latest. Panzer conjectures, on the authority of Boni, that Ferandus never printed any thing after the year 1473 ; when he put forth the Brescia Statutes with this date subjoined. It will be seen, when we come to describe this latter production, (in vol. iii.) that the printer - who has immortalised himself by this impression of lucretiusdeclared his inability to work longer, from want of support; and from

[^36]the poverty, and even beggary, which awaited hin. Althnugh Ferandus seems to have been broken-hearted, from his ill-success in business, when he printed the Brescia Statutes, yet La Serna Santander tells us that he exercised the trade of a printer again, twenty years afterwards, in 1493. He does not, however, notice the work printed by him in this latter year: nor does Audiffredi make mention of any work, sub Anno 1493, executed by Ferandus: see the Edit. Ital. p. 166; and Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 285-6.

Boni mentions a copy of this Editio Princeps, in the library of Sign. Francesco Piazzoni-' letterato ed amatore, e ricco possessore di simili rarità'by whose politeness the description of it in his own book was given. This may save Boni from the disgrace of much inaccuracy. Another copy is supposed to be in the collection of Count Angelo d'Elci of Florence. It remains only to observe, that the present is a fair sound copy; in blue morocco binding.

## 300. Lucretius. Printed by Paul Fridenperger. Verona. 1486. Folio.

Seemiller has been rather copious in his account of this impression ; which, since the discovery of the preceding edition, has sunk materially in price and estimation. We may unite in the eulogy of Scemiller, respecting the paper, type, and press-work; although, in a critical point of view, there is little to commend in the volume. The copious manner in which the previous article has been treated, may justify us in being comparatively brief in our description of the present one.

On the recto of the first leaf, sign. a ii, we read as follows :
T. Lucreti Cari. poetæ philosophici antiquissimi de rerum natura liber primus incipit feeliciter.

Encadū genitrix hominū diuūq; uoluptas
a Alma uenus: celi subter labentia signa
Quae mare nauigerum quae terra frugiferentis
Concelebras: per te quoniam genus omne animantum Concipitur. uisitq; exortum lumina solis.

Te dea te fugiunt uenti : te nubila cali Aduentumq; tuum : tibi suauis dædala tellus Scc. \&cc. \&cc.

The heads are printed in small, or lower-case letter. On the recto of m vij, in eights, we read the subscription and colophon, thus:

# T. Lucreti Cari. poetæ philosophiq; antiquissimi De rerum primordia natura ad memmium Liber sextus \& ultimus explicit fœliciter. 

Paulus hunc impressit fridenperger in uerona. Qui genitus est in Patauia alæ magnæ.
Ab incarnatione christi : Mcccclxxxvi
Die uigesimo octauo septembris calen. octobris.
There are 26 lines beneath; partly prose and partly verse: some of them being recapitulations or heads of the leading features in the philosophy of Lucretius : the last five lines have been extracted by Seemiller. In regard to the expression, in the second line of the co-lophon-' in Patauia alæ magnæ'-and the whole of the last line of the same (vide infra), Seemiller thus justly observes-' Ceterum in eo facile assenserim Maittairio, Patauiam Episcopalem ciuitatem Passaa, Ale Magna vero nomen Alemanniam designare in subscriptione supra laudata: Notam vero diei et mensis, quæ in eadem subscriptione occurrit, nimirum

## Die uigesimo octauo septembris calen. octobris

pariter non intelligo. Forte ex typographi ncgligentia, omissa est vox tertio Calen. (das), quamuis hæc diei notatio sit infrequens. Ceterum (concludes Seemiller) editionem hanc omnium primam, et rarissimam esse Bibliographi passim testantur.' Incunab. Typog. fasc. ini. p. $57-8$. Since the discovery of the previous edition, this conclusion now loses the whole of its force. This impression was formerly held in great estimation, and was sold for 23l. 2s. at the Pinelli sale. It may be seen in the catalogue of almost every collection from that of Tillotson to Pinelli : so that its rarity has always been questionable. The present is a fine copy; bound in red morocco.
301. Lucretius. Printed by Theodore De Ragazonibus. Venice. 1495. Quarto.

It is justly observed by Ernesti, Bibl. Lat. vol. i. p. 79, that this impression 'follows the preceding one.' It is indeed a mere reprint of it. On the recto of the first, a ii, we read as fillows :
T. Lucreti Cari. poctæ philosophici antiquissimi de rerum natura liber primus incipit foliciter. Encadū genitrix hominū diuñq; uoluptas
a Alma uenus. cæli subter labentia signa
Quæ mare nauigerum quæ terras frugiferentis
Concelebras: per te quoniam genus omne animantum Concipitur. uisitq; exortum lumina solis. \&c. \&c. \&c.

On the recto of q ix, the text of the poet ends: on the reverse is the same conclusive title as at top of the preceding colophon, followed by the same 26 lines. On the recto of $q \mathrm{x}$, we read some verses of C . Lycinius to Nicolaus Priolus; beneath which is the following colophon:

C Ex folicissinıa tua murani Academia uirtuti \& posteritati Datum.

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathbf{F} & \mathrm{I} & \mathrm{~N} & \mathrm{I}
\end{array}
$$

Impressum Venetiis per theodorum de ragazonibus de asula dictum bresanū. A Anno domini. M. CCCC. LXXXXV. Die. iiii. septembris.

Registrum.
a b c defghiklmnopq.
© Tuti sono quaderni excepto. q. chie quinterno.
There is nothing in the authorities referred to by Panzer, vol. iii. p. 375 , worth submitting to the reader's notice. The present is a cropt copy ; in red morocco binding.

## 302. Lucretius. Printed by Aldus. Venice. 1500. Quarto.

It could not have been from respect to the intrinsic merit of this edition, that Freytag, in his Adparat. Literar. vol. i. p. 99, was induced to give so copious a description of it : yet, on account of its beanty and rarity, it cannot fail of being received into the cabinets of the curious. The present is, indeed, a most desirable copy :-presenting us, on the recto of the first leaf, with the following title, nearly in the centre of the page.

## T. LVCRETII CARI, LIBRI SEX NV PER EMENDATI.

On the reverse we read the address of Aldus 'Alberto Pio Carporum principi.' This address begins, like many others, with an abuse of the incorrectness of previous impressions (the first edition, of course, excepted), in the following terms: 'Nam qui ante īpressus habetur in manibus, adto est mendosus, ac mutilatus, ut paucis $\overline{1}$ locis queat intelligi.' Aldus continues thus: 'Noster uero sic emëdatus, et integer prodit in uulgū, ut perpauca castigatiōe indigeät.' How little the result accorded with the assertion of Aldus, is well known to the learned; for this impression exhibits errors which only serve to prove how very inferior the editor of it, Avancius, was to the editor of the succeeding Aldine impression of 1515. Nor may it be immaterial here to remark, that Maittaire, Fabricius, and De Bure, have all erred in assigning to Avancius the editing of the Verona impression of 1486.

On the recto of the second leaf, sign. 2, is the address of Avancius to Valerius Superchius, terminating on the recto of sign. 3, and dated March 1499. On the reverse of this leaf, is another address of Avancius, 'bonarum litterarum studiosis, \&c.:-concluding on the reverse of the 4th leaf, and followed by the contents, or arguments of the several books: which latter terminate on the recto of the 6th. On the reverse is some brief account of the family and life of Lucretius. The text of the poet begins on the recto of the following leaf, sign. a.-with the prefix in capitals:

Enealum genitrix homi-
num, diuunque uoluptas

Aalma Venus, cali subter la bentia signa quæ mare nauigerum, quæ terras frugiferenteis concelebras, per te quoni am genus omne animantum concipitur, uisit que exortum lumina Solis, te Dea te fugiunt uenti, te nubila crli, \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page has 37 lines. The signatures, a to n , are in eights ( k being a capital letter); $n$ has only six. On the reverse of $n v$. the poem concludes with a pompous subscription of Avancius, thus:

## Finis.

Hieronymi Auancii Veronensis ingenio et labore.
In the centre of the recto of n vj we read as follows :
Venetiis, accuratiss. Apud Aldū, mense Decem. M.D Non licet sic alteri cuiquā sine multa, in Oris Venetis.
a. b. c. d. e. f. g. h. i. k. l. m. n. Quaterniones omnes. in. ternione excepto

On a reference to Renouard, there appears to be nothing to add to the foregoing deseription: L'Imprim. des Alde, vol. i. p. 32. The reader may also consult the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 62. The present beautiful copy is bound in blue morocco, by Roger Payne.
303. Macrobius. Opera. Printed by Jenson. Venice. 1472. Folio.

Editio Princeps. There are few books more interesting to the scholar and bibliographer, than the earliest inpressions, even of fragments, of popular works; and it is not a little provoking to find a volume, like the present, which contains the first printed texts of parts
of Homer and Lucretius, described in a cold and superficial manner by the most esteemed bibliographers. Mittarelli and Fossi must however be excepted: especially the latter. The former is brief but emphatic: ' Editio (says he) tum ob chartam, cum ob characteres optima et princeps. Lacunæ indicant figuras, et aliquot verba Græca, quæ locum implere debebant; characteres vero Greci, qui insunt, egregies ignantur; nam non omnes desunt.' App. Cod. Sec. XV. Impress. col. 256. This observation is just; the Greek characters of Jenson, which are here more frequent than in the Aulus Gellius of 1472 (see vol. i. p. 269), make us regret that we have not an entire Greek volume from the matchless press of that printer. Fossi is particular although not copious; justly praising the beauty of the paper and type. Like La Serna Santander and Brunet, he concludes the impression to be very rare, on the authority of De Bure: Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 113-4. The two former are the mere copyists of De Bure; who is sufficiently unsatisfactory, but who says that the edition is ' une des plus difficiles à trouver de la classe des premières impressions :' Bibl. Instruct. vol. iv. p. 194-6 : Dict Bibliogr Choisi, vol. iii. p. 132 : Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 82. Brunet is incorrect in his specification of the number of leaves; which are 166 (as Fossi observes), and not 116. Fabricius and Ernesti were ignorant of the existence of the impression; and even Sardini seems to depend upon preceding authorities: Storia Critica di Nicolao Jenson Opera. Libr. iii. p. 24-5. Harwood, Boni, and Gamba are too superficial for reference: but Count Reviczky, in his ms. memorandia has bestowed a merited castigation upon the gross blunder committed by the Editors of the Bipont edition, in confidently affirming that the first impression of Macrobius was printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz in 1468, under the editorial care of the Bishop of Aleria !-' They contend (says the Count) that this point is settled beyond controversy*-yet they are willing to admit that no such impression is to be found in the memorable supplicatory epistle of these printers!' see vol. i. p. 160-1. It remains to give a comparatively full account of this interesting volume.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the commencement of the 'Somnium Scipionis,' thus:

[^37]
## SOMNIVM SCIPIONIS EX CICERONIS LIBRO DE REPVBLICA EXCERPTVM. VM IN AFRICAM VENISSEM A MAN,

lio consule ad quartam legionem tribunus (ut scitis) militum : nihil fuit potius : "t ut Mas, sinissam conuenirem regem familix nostre ius, tis de causis amicissimum. Ad quem ut ueni : complexus me senex collachrymauit aliquāto. \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page contains 40 lines. The above Opusculum concludes at the bottom of the recto of the 3 d leaf. On the reverse commences the Exposition or Commentary upon the same, by Macrobius; which concludes on the recto of the 47 th leaf; having the conclusion specified in four lines of Roman capital letters. The reverse is blank. The recto of the ensuing leaf presents us with the beginning of the lst book of the Saturnalia. The vth book contains numerous extracts from Homer and Virgil. The following well-known passage is a specimen of the first printed text of Homer:






Vt sceptrum lioc (dextra sceptrum nam forte gerebat)
Nuniqi fronde leui fundet uirgulta neq; umbram :
Cum semel in siluis uno de stirpe recisum
Matre caret: posuitq; comas \& bracchia ferro :
Olim arbos nunc artificis manus ære decoro
Inclusit: patribusq; dedit gestare latinis.
Three leaves beyond, we have a celebrated line from Virgil thus corruptly printed:

Ter conatus sibi collo dare brachia circum :

From the ist to the vith book of the Saturnalia, the number of the books, in the respective head titles, are not specified. To the vith book, the number (sixtus) is regularly prefixed. In the beginning of this book, Macrobius tells us how Affranius avowedly stole from Menander; and he defends Virgil for similar palpable thefts from Homer. He adduces, also, numerous instances of the closest imitation, not to say verbal copying, of Virgil from Ennius. There are many lacunce in the text of this vith book; but the following parallel passages (as the latter presents us with the first printed text, with a date, of the poet there quoted,) cannot fail to be acceptable to the curious:

At secura quies \& nescia fallere uita
Diues opum uariarum : at latis ocia fundis:
Speluncæ uiuiq; lacus : at frigida tempe :
Mugitusq; boum mollesq; sub arbore somni.
Non absunt illic saltus ac lustra ferarum :
Et patiens operum paruoq; assueta iuuentus. Lucretius in . II.
Si non aurea sunt iuuenum simulacra per ædes
Lampadas igniferas manibus retinentia dextris :
Lumina nocturnis epulis ut suppeditentur :
Nec domus argento fulgens auroq; renidens:
Nec citharam reboant laqueata aurataq; tempe :
Cum tamen inter se prostrati in gramine molli
Propter aquæ riuum sub ramis arboris altæ :
Nom magnis opibus iucunde corpora curāt :
Presertim cum tempestas arridet: \& anni
Tempora conspargunt uiridantis floribus herbas.
Non umbre altorum nemorum non mollia possunt.
Prata mouere animum : non qui per saxa uolutus
Purior electro campum petit amnis.

Many more passages from Lucretius are printed. The vith book terminates at top of the recto of the 143 rd leaf. The vith and last book concludes on the reverse of the 163 rd and last leaf, this :

# MACROBII AVRELII THEODOSII VIRI CONSV' <br> LARIS ET ILLVS'TRIS SATVRNALIORVM LIBIRI IM, PRESSI VENETIIS OPERA ET IMPENSA NICOLAI IENSON GALLICI. M.CCCC.LXXII. 

There were copies of this first edition in the Askew, Pinelli, and Crevenna collections. The present is a fair sound copy, in old red moroceo binding; but, from the foregoing account, it would appear to want 3 leaves. My friend Mr. Heber possesses a very fine copy, which he purchased at the Roxburgh sale (Bibl. Roxburghiana, ${ }^{\circ}$. 6431 ,) for a comparatively moderate sum.

## 304. Macrobius. Printed by Boninus de Boninis. Brescia. 1485. Folio.

The impression, although not an exact reprint of the preceding Brescia edition of 1483 , 'contains nothing more or less than its precursor:' The types are the same, and the number of lines in each page is the same ; but, as we are informed by Audiffredi, the width of the present one being greater, the number of leaves is less; it containing 176 , and the preceding one 190 , leaves. The Greek types are precisely similar; and those, in the previous impression of 1483 , are the first which Audiffredi ever saw as issuing from the Brescia press. Yet is this impression by no means conformable, in every particular, to the preceding one-' etsi in plerisque cum antiquiore, signata anno 1483 , conveniat, aliain tamen omnino ab illa esse; nee suspicari lieere, ne forte sola subscriptione inter se differant.' Edit. Ital. p. 143, $14 \%$. It remains to add the commencement and colophon. On the recto of the first leaf we read as follows:

## SOMNIVM SCIPIONIS EX CICERONIS LIBRO DE REPVBLICA EXCERPTVM.

## VM IN APHRICAM VENISSEM A

 Mālio cōsule ad quartā legiōem tribunus( ut scitis) militū: nihil mihi fuit potius: "is ut \&c. \&c. \&c.On the recto of A viij, at bottom, we read this colophon.
MACROBII Aurelii Theodosii uiri cōsularis \& illustris saturnaliope libri impressi Brixiæ per Boninum de Boninis de Ragusia. M.CCCC. LXXXV. die. xv. Maii.

A register is on the reverse. The signatures run capriciously-a has seven: b to $q$, inclusively, are in sixes: $r$ has eight- $s$ to $z$, each six : then \& , $\mathrm{o}, \mathrm{R}$, each six : lastly, A in eight. The present is rather an indifferent copy; in calf binding.
305. Manilius et Aratus. Printed by Rugerius and Bertochus. Bologna. 1474. Folio.
Editio Princeps. Notwithstanding many respectable writers incline to the opinion that the subsequent edition is the earliest impression of Manilius, yet the grounds upon which that opinion is formed, do not satisfy me as being solid and conclusive ; and in conformity with the opinions of Maittaire and Fossi, I assign to the present impression the first printed text both of Manilius, and of the version of the Phenomena of Aratus. That each of these authors were thus printed together, at the same time, there is every reason to believe. Neither De Bure, Osmont, nor Harwood had seen this exceedingly rare volume, although the former and latter both agree in giving it precedency to the following. La Serna Santander seems tacitly swayed by the authority of Boni and Gamba. Brunet is safe in calling it the first edition with a date. We proceed to a description of it :

On the recto of the first leaf, the work opens thus:

> MARCI MANLII* POETAE CLARISSMI AS TRONOMICON AD CAESAREM AVGVST VM LIBER PRIMVS.§.
> ARmine diuinas artis : \& conscia fati Sydera diuersos hoīnum uariātia casus: Cœelestis ratioīs opus: deducere mūdo Aggrediō. prim9q; nouis helicōa mouē Cantibus : \& uiridi nutātis uertice siluas \&c. \&c. \&c.

Beneath, there are 26 lines: a full page contains 35 lines. On the reverse of fol. 63 (fol. 29 being blank), the text of Manilius thus concludes:

Maximus est populus summo qui culmine fertur
Cuius pro numero uires natura dedisset
Ipse suas æther flammas sufferre nequirct
Totus \& accenso mundus flagraret olympo;

## ? FINIS ?

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, is a brief account of Manilius, followed by the heads of the contents of each book: the conclusion is thus:

Marci manlii* mathematici libro quinto et ultimo trac tatur de imaginibus coli ct earum locis.

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, at top, begin the heads of the works of Aratus, thus :

ARattus* germanici ad augustum.
The reverse is blank. On the recto of the following leaf begins the Latin version of the Phenomena of Aratus, by Cæsar Germanicus.

## ARATHVS* GERMANICI AD AVGVSTVM

B ioue prīcipium magno deduxit aratus Carmis. at nob genitor tu maxīus auctō Te uenerō tibi sacra fero doctiq; laboris Primitias. pbat ipse deū rectorq; satorq; \& c. \&c. \&c.

On this first page there are 16 lines or verses. Some of the pages contain only 2 verses; and on the reverse of the 1Sth leaf, there is not a single line printed. On the reverse of the 57 th and last leaf, from the beginning of the volume, we read the imprint thus:
bONONIAE IMPRESSVM PER ME VGONEM
RVGERIVM. ET DONINVM BERTOCHVM
ANNO DOMINI. M. CCCCLXXIIII. DIE VIGESI
MA MARTII ¿ LAVS DEO AMEN ¿? ¿.

- Sic.

The type of this impression is not very dissinilar to the smallest roman type of Ulric Han; especially the De Oratore of Cicero; see vol. i. p. 331-2. I am not able to refer to any authorities more satisfactory than to the Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 143 : Edit. Ital. of Audiffredi, p. 28 ; where there is a reference to the long note (3) in Maittaire's Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 336 ; and where Orlandi is properly corrected. Panzer is unusually brief. The description of the book in the Bibl. Paris, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 211, is, as Count Reviczky has remarked, well worth consulting. The copy in the Paris collection was suld for $30 l .9 s$. The present is a large copy of this uncommon book; which has been wanting in many celebrated collections. It is in calf binding; and has a plentiful portion of ms. observations.

## 306. Manilius. Printed by Regiomontanus. Nuremberg. Without Date. Quarto.

In giving an account of this exceedingly rare and valuable impression, both justice and candour require that we should, in the first place, state the opinions of those respectable writers, who assign to it a date anterior to that of the preceding one. Doppelmayer, in his work De Norimb. Mathematicis et Artificibus, 1730, p. 9, (as referred to by Ernesti and La Lande) observes that this edition was published in $14 \% 2$, or at latest in 1473 ; the time when, by the assistance of Bernard Walter's press, Regiomontanus printed Purbachius, his own Ephemerides, and Calendar. Weidler, in his Hist. de l'Astronom. 1741, and Bibliographia Astronom. 1755 , Svo. considers it to have been printed in 1473 : but it is questionable whether he ever saw it, as he describes it, in the latter work, to be in a folio form. Stoeber, in the preface to his excellent edition of this author, Argent. $1 \% 67$, Svo. p. $9-12$, is quite positive respecting the chronological priority of this impression, which he calls ' liber aureus.' He even criticises Fabricius for assigning to it the date of 1473 ; one year later than the period in which he himself conceives it to have been printed. Of its great intrinsic value, he is strong in commendation. Count Reviczky, in his ms. addenda, does not hesitate to subscribe to the opinion of Stoeber. Scheibel, notivithstanding these authorities, commences his list of astronomical publications with the preceding edition of $14 \% 4$. La Lande, who does not appear to have seen either of these editions, leaves the matter 'sub judice;' but affixes the year 1474 as the earliest period of the printing. of Regiomontanus. Bibliographie Astronomique; 1803, 4to. p. 9-11, $90 \%$.

Thus far in regard to those writers who have more particularly turned their attention to this subject of which this work treats :-and it must be observed, thereupon, that the opinions pronounced by them, respecting the date of this impression, seem chiefly conjectural. Boni and Gamba afford more satisfactory intelligence. They assert, that - Regriomontanus lived at Rome, as a celebrated astronomer and literary character, after the middle of the xuth century : that he returned to Nuremberg in 1470 , and exercised the art of printing there: that the few books, there published by him, are without date, of great rarity, and are acknowledged to be anterior to 1474 -in which year he put forth a small 'Avis,' or brochure, containing the list of the books already printed, and intended to be printed by him-but was then recalled to Rome by Sixtus IV. to reform the Calendar; where he was afterwards assassinated by the son of Theodore Gaza, in consequence of a literary altercation.' Bibliotec. Porlatile; vol. ii. p. 129. To this it may be replied, that, unless it be positively proved that in the brochure, here mentioned, this very edition is specified as already executed, the information is incomplete:-and where does this Avis, or brochure exist? La Serna Santander repeats nearly the same matter with the foregoing authors, and calls this edition 'originale, infiniment rare et très recherchée des curieux.' Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 222; vol. iii. p. 140. Harwood, a little abruptly, calls this the Editio Princeps, and adds to it the gratuitous date of $14 \% 4$. The reader will draw his own conclusion :-receiving the following as a brief, but correct, description of the volume itself.

The recto of the first leaf presents us with the opening of the poem, thus; having, prefixed, a large white capital letter (C) upon a black ground--very unusual in books of this supposed early date.

## M. MANILII ASTRONOMICON. PRIMVS

Armine diuinas artis \& cō scia fati
C Sydera diuersos hominū uariantia casus
Celestis rationis opus der duccre mundo

Aggredior. primus q; no
uis helicona mouere \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

A full page contains 30 lines. On the reverse of the $\%$ nd (pencilnumbered) and last leaf, we have the following verses, and colophon.

> Ridetur merito sciolorum insana caterua Vulgo qui uatum nomina surripiunt.
> Heus quicūq; uelis latia perdiscere musa Sydereos nutus fallere difficiles.
> Manilium sectare grauem : qui tempore diui Floruit Augusti. Lector amice uale ;

> Ex officina Ioannis de Regiomōte habitantis in Nuremberga oppido Germanie celebratissimo

As in the preceding impression, there are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. The type is a small neat roman letter. The present is a fair copy; in red morocco binding.

## 307. Manilius. Printed by Jodocus Hoensteyn. Naples. Without Date. Quarto.

Morelli, Harwood, and Boni and Gamba, unite in acknowledging the extreme rarity of this impression. 'It is little known (says the second authority) to the editors of Manilius.' Count Reviczky assents to this conclusion, and adds-' Etsi de anno editionis non constet, habet tamen ea antiquitatis vestigia, ut si quis ei primatum vindicare contenderit, non possit redargui saltem invictis argumentis. Hinc primam editionem Manilii indubie possidcre volenti, hæc etiam superiori jungenda est;' MS. Addenda. Morelli and Denis lead us to suppose it may have been printed in 1475 : but Panzer, Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 384, mentions an edition of Horace, by the same printer, said to have been executed at Naples in 1471. He quotes Giustiniani, p. 65. If so (which is very doubtful), Hoensteyn may have printed this work before the first named date of 1475 -the period usually assigned to his earliest performance at Naples. La Serna Santander has neglected to mention this rare volume.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the prefix and commencement of the poens as follows :

## M. MANILII ASSTRONOMI CON. PRIMVS.

Armine diuinas artis \& con
scia fati

Sidera diuersos hominum ua riantia casus
Celestis rationis opus dedu cere mundo
Aggredior. primus $q$; nouis helicona mouere
Cantibus: \& uiridi nutantis uertice siluas
\&c. \&c. \&cc.
A full page has 28 lines. On the recto of fol. 78 (pencil-numbered) the poem concludes, as in the first edition of 1474 ; except that, in the last line, we have 'sufferte' for 'sufferre.' Beneath, it is as follows:

## M. MANILII ASTRONOMI CON. FINIS.

(Here follow the verses as in the last article.)
A table ensues; concluding on the recto of the following leaf. Then the imprint, thus :

## Inpressum neapuli per. Iodocum hoensteyn

Bencath, is a register. The reverse of the leaf is blank. The titles to the several Books are uniformly in capital letters; and the type of the text is very clumsy. The reader may also consult Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 346 ; Denis, p. 610 ; and Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 149. The present copy, from the Pinelli collection, is rather soiled; and is bound in blue morocco.
308. Manilius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

In point of typographical elegance, this edition is much preferable to either of the preceding ; nor should I conceive its date to be much later than 1474 , or 1475 . On the recto of the first leaf (sign. a ithough not marked) we read as follows :

## Marci Manilii astronomicon liber primus incipit Armine diuinas artis \& conscia fati Sydera diuersos hominum uariantia casus: Colestis rationis opus deducere mundo Aggredior primusq; nouis helicōa mouere \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 28 lines. The type is full and handsome, like that from the Milan, Venice, or Bologna early presses. The signatures are printed in a straight line with the last line or verse ; and contain a to $k$ in eights, $i$ being marked like 1 . On the recto of $k v j$, it concludes thus:

## Marci Manilii astronomicon liber quinta \& ultim9 finit

With this impression we close the list of the early and scarce editions of Manilius. Few libraries in Europe possess the four impressions here specified; and few points in bibliography are attended with greater doubt and difficulty in the discussion, than those connected (as the reader may have perceived) with the editions here described. Let us conclude with an extract from La Lande: ' lly a une autre édition de Manilius, in 4to, que Bentley croit plus ancienne que celle de Bologne, 1474 , et de Rome 1484. Maittaire, Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 753 , la cite comme n'ayant point de date ni de lieu. Weidler croit que c'est une contrefaction de celles de Nuremberg et de Florence; Scheibel ajoute, à moins qu'on ne dise que c'est tout le contraire. C'est un problème littéraire'. Bibliograph. Astronom. p. 9. Well might the same celebrated writer observe-in his account of the Abbé Mercier de St. Leger- 'J'y vois combien les travaux d'un bibliographe sont étendus et compliqués: c'est une classe à part, et qui est très-
peu nombreuse, parmi les gens de lettres.' Idem. p. iv. note (1). It renains only to observe, that the present is a very neat copy, in blue morncco binding.

## 309. Martialis. Printed at Ferrara. 1471. Quarto.

Editio Princeps; but the 'Liber Spectaculorum' is wanting. This is one of the rarest publications of ancient classical literature. De Bure, Audiffredi, and Baruffaldi, do not appear to have ever seen it; and, as well as Brunet, they only refer the reader to Maittaire : Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 30\%-8. Maittaire's accoùnt (supplied by Dr. Mead's copy of the edition) is, it must be confessed, satisfactory as far as it extends. A copy of it was in the Pinelli collection (Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. $n^{\circ} 4 \pi 46$ ) ; from which collection the present one was obtained.

I have little or no hesitation in giving chronological precedence to the Ferrara impression, even without the authority of Audiffredi; who, in his Edit. Rom. p. xvi. note, p. 414-15, assigns the date of $14 \% 2$ to the subsequent (and hitherto supposed earliest) edition. In the first place, this impression, as is above observel, is only a partial one of the works of Martial. If an anterior impression of the entire works had existed, it is probable that the present would not have eopied it in part. Yet they might have been each taken from different MSS. without the Ferrara printer having a knowledge of the Venetian edition : but, in the second place, the editor of the ensuing impression speaks of the corruption of the poet's text, as if he had been acquainted with it through a different medium than that of manuscript: and as every impression, succeeding (as I conceive) the ensuing one, has copied the prefatory epistle of Alexandrinus, in like manner the present one, if a posterior performance, would have comprehended both this epistle and the 'Liber Spectaculorum.'

That the Ferrara and Venetian impressions are taken from very different lisS. is sufficiently palpable, on a slight perusal only of their respective texts; and it is extraordinary how Fabricius (as Baruffaldi has well remarked) could have asserted the former to be a copy of the latter. The text of the present is, indeed, most grossly erroneous and corrupt; and the printer, if Andreas Gallus have that honour, must have been ignorant or careless in the extreme: for we have such blunders as 'factus' for 'facturus', and 'secutum' for secuturim.'

Whether an apparent MS. of Martial, in the public library at Leyden,* and with the above place and date subjoincd, represent similar blunders, I cannot tell-' il mala plura in Marziale (says Baruffaldi) fu tanto, che molti ingegni anche sublimi, ed elevati, lasciando il bona e il mediocria ne restaron sedotti.' Tipografa Ferrarese, p. 31. We now come to a brief description of this rare and extraordinary volume. On the recto of the first leaf, is the epistle of Pliny to Priscus; having this prefix:

## PLINII SECVNDI EPISTOLA AD CORNELIVM PRISCVM.

This epistle occupies the whole page; having the ten lines, which are separately printed in the ensuing impression, embodied with the general text ; and containing many words singularly abridged. The reverse of this first leaf is blank. The prefix of Martial, concerning Cato, follows, on the recto of the ensuing leaf: witr this title.

## M. VALERII MARTIALIS EPIGRAM MATON LIBER PRIMVS INCIPIT.

This prefix is most erroneously printed. The transposition of some of the epigrams, and the alterations of some of the titles, clearly prove the text to be printed from a different MS. to the one from whicn the Venetian edition was executed. On the recto of the 201 st and last leaf, we read the following imprint:

## M. VALERII MARTIALIS APOPHO RETA EXPLICIT. FELICITER. LIBER XIIII. FINIS.

Hic terminatur totū opus Martialis Valerii Quod continentur in quatuordecim libris par tialibus Impressum Ferrarie die secūda Iulii

## ANNO DOMINI. M. LXXI. $\dagger$

[^38]A full page has 29 lines. The type is large but inelegant, being much inferior to the production of the press of Bernardus Augustinus. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The present copy is in tender, but perfect condition, with a full margin. It is bound in blue morocco.

## 310. Martialis. Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Without Date. Quarto.*

Editio Secunda; and probably executed in the year 14\%2-according to the remarks submitted in the preceding article. De Bure has briefly, but accurately, described the volume ; and justly classes it among the very rare impressions of classical authors in the XVth century. We may be more particular in the description of it. On the reverse of the first leaf there is this prefix :

## Plinii Secundi Epistola ad Corneliū priscū.

This epistle contains 31 lines, of which 10 are brief ones. On the recto of the ensuing leaf we read the first Epigram, thus:

## In Amphitheatrum Caesaris.

## ARBARA PYramidū

 sileat miracula memphisb
Assiduus iactet: nec baby, lona labor.
Nec triuiae templo molles laudentur honores
Dissimuletquedeum corni, bus ara frequens.
Aere nec in uacuo pendentia mausolea
Laudibus immodicis cares in astra ferant.
Omnis cesareo cedat labor amphitheatro. Vnum pro cunctis fama loquatur opus.

On the reverse of the $1 \% 9$ th leaf (pencil-numbered, in the present copy), at bottom, we read the last lines of the poet:

- Apparently a Folio ; but the water-marks are horizontal.


## Surgite iam uendit pueris ientacula pistor Cristate q; sonant undique lucis aues.

Then follows the letter from Georgius Alexandrinus to Angelus Adrian ; the material parts of which are faithfully extracted by Maittaire, vol. i. 308, note. It is from a careful perusal of this epistle, that I incline to the opinion that a previous impression of Martial had been published; and, if so, it must have been the preceding one. A life of the poet follows this epistle : in the whole, 3 pages. On the reverse of fol. 181, and last, at top, we read as follows :

Raplael Zouenzonius Ister. Vindelino spyrēsi ob eius incredibilem imprimendi solertiam. D. D.

Consumatissimus ille martialis
Impressus digitis uidelianis.
Hic est : hic lepidus facetiarum
Princeps: \& salis attici: latinique.
Hunc hunc lector emas: tibi futurum
Preclarum comitem domi forisque
Istri consilio tui poetae.
A full page of text contains 32 lines. The reader may be pleased to consult the various authorities referred to in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. S0. Fossi, as usual, is correct and particular. The present is a fine copy, in red morocco binding: exhibiting one of the most perfect specimens of the elegance of the Spira Press.

## 311. Calderinus in Martialem. Printed by

 Gensberg. Rome. 1474. Folio.First impression of the Commentaries of Calderinus; and considered to be much more rare and estimable than either of the editions printed at Venice, in the same year : the ensuing one by I. de Colonia, and the other by J. de Rubeus. It was by pure accident that the present description, upon comparison, was found to be nearly precisely similar to that given by Audiffredi in his Edit. Rom. p. 156: whose account, it must be confessed, is greatly superior to the one in the

Bibl. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 369-3\%0; but to which latter, exclusively, Laire (Index. Libror. vol. i. 343-4) has thought fit to refer the reader. The Crevenna Catalogue, (Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iii. p. 16\%, n². 4084) with better judgment, reminds us of Audiffredi's more perfect deseription of the volume.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the opening, thus:

## OMITIVS calderinus Iohanni francisco Lo

d douici principis mantuani flio Salutem. non fuissent tot exemplis editi commentarii nostri \&c. \&c. \&c.

This epistle concludes in the following manner.
——Laurētii causa suscepti sunt hi commentarii Lau rentio dicati Laurentii est Domitius uale.

Domitius in uolumen dicatum laurentio.
Odisti uigiles liber lucernas.
Et signum calami seuerioris.
Clarus conspicue nitore uestis
Egressus foribus tumens uagaris.
Nec turbe metuis subire nasum.
Docto que potuit placere Sylle.
Sed currunt pueri salariorum.
Istas qui lacerent tibi laccrnas.
Et thynnis faciant nouos cucullos.
Quid demum trepidus redire tentas.
Clausa est ianua. Iam liber ualehis.
This epistle concludes on the recto of the second leaf. On the reeto of the third, begins the epistolary address to Lorenzo de Medic having the title in six lines of capital letters. This second epistle comprehends 6 pages, and a part of the 7 th. We have, next, a life of Martial, in 2 pages, by Calderinus; then another address to Lor. de Medici, in one page. The reverse of this leaf is blank; and the ensuing leaf is wholly blank. These introductory pieces comprehend 8 leaves : including the blank leaf. On the recto of fol. 9 commences
the commentary, with the first Epigrain 'Barbara Pyramidum' Like the Commentaries of this period, only a part of each verse, commented upon, is printed. On the reverse of the last leaf but one, we read the colophon (which succeeds two controversial epistles of the commentator) as follows :
Domitii calderini ueronensis commentarii in .M. Valerius martialem. cum defensione finiunt. Impressi rome per ma gistrum Iohannem gensberg auspicio et fanore excellent domini iohannis Aloisii tuscani de mediolano aduocati cō cistorialis, āno salutis. M.cccc.lxxiiii. die uero martis. xxii. mensis martii sedente sixto. iiii. pontifice maximo.

On the recto of the following and last leaf, we have an epigram of M. Lucidus Phosphorus (' $q u o d$ est nomen academicum M. Lucii Facini' -says Audiffredi); in which however there is nothing sufficiently luminous to extract. The preceding epistles of Calderinus are worth perusing; as they betray the bitter jealousy between their author and Nicolaus Perottus, who, at the same time with Calderinus, gave lectures upon Martial. Mr. Roscoe has noticed the labours of the latter Commentator in his Lorenzo de Medici, vol. ii. p. 92.

The present is a very fine copy of this desirable impression; having many rough fore-edges. It is magnificently bound in blue morocco.

## 312. Calderinus in Martialem. Printed by J. de Colonia. Venice. 1474. Folio.

The arrangement of the matter is in this edition precisely the same as in the preceding one; although a difference of typography, much to the advantage of the present one, is immediately observable. The signatures run from a to x , inclusively, in tens: then sign. 3 in ten: afterwards aa in eight; bb, six; cc, ten; dd, eight; ee, ten; ff , eight; and, lastly, gg twelve. On the recto of gg xij, we read the colophon, and the verses of Phosphorus. The former is thus :

Domitii calderıni ueronēsis cōmētarii i. M. Valeriū Martialē cū defēsiōe finiūt: Imp̄ssi Venetiis opa \& ípēdio Iohannis de Colonia Agripinēsi: *at Johānis māthen de Gerretzē : qunafi-
deliter degētes: eosdē īp̄sores ad hoc duxerūt Anno salut! M. cccc.lxxiiii. M. Lucidi phosphori Epigramma.

> (Then follows the Epigram.)

The present is a beautiful copy in red morocco binding.

## 313. Martialis. Printed by I. de Colonia. Venice.

 1475. Folio.There is no necessity to give a copious description of the present impression; since it is, in all probability, a mere reprint of the first Venetian impression, by Vindelin de Spira. On the reverse of the first leaf (sign. a i,) we read the epistle of Pliny to Cornelius Priscus, as before. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, a 2 , we have this prefix to the first epigram in the 'Liber Spectaculorum :'

## M. Valerii Martialis Epigramatum opus <br> In Amphitheatrum Caesaris.

The text seems to be a mere transcript of the preceding impression, and the press work is nearly in the same form. The signatures, from a to $r$, run in tens. On the recto of $r$ viij, the text concludes as before, and the same epistle of Alexandrinus begins: on the reverse of r.ix, we read the imprint, thus :

## Impressum Venetiis Impensis Ioannis de Colo, nia: sociiq; eius Ioannis manthen de Gherretzez. . M.cccc.lxxy .

The reader may see a few catalogue-references in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 81. The present is an extremely beautiful, and large margined, copy. It is in old red morocco (Harleian) binding.

## 314. Martialis. Without Date, Place, or Name

 of Printer. Quarto.This impression is evidently the same as that which Maittaire (Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 76\%,) briefly describes as printed ' in a small and neat roman character, the register occupying the first page :' but
he is erroneous in calling it a folio. On the recto of the first leaf, we have a full page of register : on the reverse, is the epistle of Pliny to Priscus, as before. The entire volume is a mere reprint of the preceding impression-page for page, and line for line : but there are some gross blunders of pagination which require to be pointed out to the reader"s attention*-especially as the edition is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords. Thus, after fol. 29, comes fol. 70 , running regularly to fol. 107 ; then, instead of ful. 30 , according to the text, comes fol. 63 , which extends to fol. 68 inclusively : we have, next, folios 108, 109, and 110 : afterwards, fol. 31, extending to 35 regular leaves, or to fol. 69 ; then succeed folios 69 and 70 , then fol. 110 : the remainder of the leaves are regularly worked; from which we find that the impression contains 187 leaves. The text of Martial terminates with the word FINIS beneath. I suspect that the epistle of Alexandrinus should follow; but it is wanting in this copy.

The present is rather a large copy, but the leaves have a soiled aspect. It is well bound in blue morocco. The date of 1472 seems to be gratuitously inscribed upon the back of the binding; but I should think the work was printed before the year 14\%4.

## 315. Martialis. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

On the reverse of the first leaf we read, as in the preceding impression, the letter of Pliny to Priscus. On the recto of the ensuing Jeaf, at top, is the prefix thus:

##  

This leaf should be sign. a 2, as the following one is a $\xi$. The signatures, from a to s , are in eights: s has ten leares. It is singular that the address and the epigram concerning Cato, should be printed, by transposition, on the reverse of 55 . The text concludes on the reverse of $s$. We have, afterwards, two leaves without signatures: these

[^39]contain a life of Martial by Calderinus. This impression is executed in a small Gothic type; and if it be the same as that which is noticed by Maittaire, vol. i. p. 767, it would appear to have been executed by Johnde Westphalia. I am however doubtful upon this point; although it may be confidently asserted that Vindelin de Spira never printed the edition-as Morelli would seem to indicate, in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 348, $n^{\circ} .4748$.

The present is a beautiful copy, in red morocco binding. It is questionable whether Panzer ever saw either of the two editions here last described.

## 316. Museus. Gr. et Lat. Printed by Aldus. Venice. Without Date. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. It is generally allowed that the present impression preceded the one here subsequently described; and the date of it may probably be fixed within the year 1494. 'There are yet some difficulties to reconcile in regard to its priority, or otherwise, to the Grammar of Lascaris-published in March 1495, and by some considered as the earliest production of the Aldine Press. We may state the chief points on each side of the question.

In the beginning of the preface to the present work, (the whole of which preface may be seen extracted in Maittaire, vol. i. p. \%0) Aldus thus observes:

Upon which Maittaire remarks, ' there can be no doubt that this impression preceded the Organon of Aristotle, which was printed in 1495.' Orlandi is of the same opinion, and places the present work in the year 1494, and as the first in the list of Aldine publications; Origin. e Progress. della Stampa, p. 56. On the other hand we are informed by Aldus himself, in his first preface to the Grammatical Institutes of Lascaris, 1495, that this latter work is to be considered by the public ' quasi praludium summis nostris laboribus;' and Cardinal Quirini, in a long and erudite letter to Saxius, which is appended to the Notit. Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. (col. 7), contends that the observation of Aldus, contained in the preface of Musæus, must be con-
sidered with reference to the appearance of that work before the entire impression of Aristotle was executed; so that this would assign the date of 1498 to the Musæus. Renouard gets rid of the question by supposing that the Lascaris was in the press before the Musæus; but that the Musæus was first published. He gives no bibliographical description (as far as I can discover) of the present work, in his first volume; and says in the second, p. 9, ' 11 [Alde] débuta par le petit poëme de Musée, qu'il publia en grec et latin, in 4to. sans date, mais indubitablenient en 1494.' In his third volume no further notice is taken of the work. Harles, who briefly mentions these apparent discrepancies, says of Quirini's remarks-' Enimuero argutiae mihi hae esse videntur :'-and indeed Quirini himself seems glad to escape from such niceties: ' De tot tantisque ambagibus parum et ipse sollicitus, imo eas declinandas autumans, ut libero pede iter meum conficiam, \&c.' Fabric. Bibl. Grac. vol. i. p. 127 : Quirin. Epist. ibid. Let us therefore, from courtesy, affirm the present to be the first publication from the Aldine Press; and as such, its value will not fail to be very considerable with the curious collector.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read the title as follows:

Musæi opusculum de Herone \& Leandro, quod \& in latinam linguam ad uer, bum trala,

## tum

est
On the reverse, there is an admonition to the reader to supply two omitted verses; from which we gather that this leaf was printed subsequently to the body of the text. Beneath this admonition, there is an epitaph upon Musæus, in the Greek and Latin languages. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, sign. $\alpha$, is the preface of Aldus, addressed
 of Marcus Musurus. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, a 1 , commences the text of the poet, according to the fac-simile, beneath this title:

## MOXミAI'Ơ TA' KAT H’P $\Omega^{\prime}$ KAI $\Lambda E^{\prime} A N \triangle P O N$,



This fac-simile-while it is interesting as exhibiting the earliest typographical effort of Aldus in Greek poetry, and while it may remind the collector, of the Greek types of Oxford and Cambridge about the middle of the eighteenth century-(which seem to have been modelled after it)-does not, it must be owned, present us with such beautiful and legible forms of Greek type, as are those used by Jenson in the Aulus Gellius and Macrobius. The compliment, therefore, paid by Maittaire to Aldus, concerning the beauty of his Greek typography, must be received with many grains of allowance. See Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 71. But the present is not the place to pursue this subject; and leaving the reader to open the Bibl. Vaticana of Roccha, at pages 412,413 , (where there is a most interesting notice of Aldus) we continue the description of the present volume.

The Greek text of Musæus, on the reverse of the 11th leaf from the commencement of the volume, concludes thus:

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, sign. b , we have the Latin version of the Greek verses of Marcus Musurus, noticed as being on the reverse of the second leaf. On the reverse, commences the version of the Greek poem; which, at the 9th and 10th pages, is interrupted by some Greek and Latin verses of Antipater, above two very curious wood-cuts, each page having one cut. The local scenery in these two cuts is the same. Part of the one, representing Hero upon the tower -and a part of the other, representing the same personage, leaping from the window of the tower-is strictly as follows:


In both cuts Leander is swimming across the Hellespont; but in the latter, beneath the tower, he also lies dead upon the shore. The version of the poem continues on the reverse of fol. 17 , and occupies the ten following pages. At the end, and on the recto of the 22 nd and last leaf, we read the word ' Finis.' The reverse is blank. It is justly observed by Renouard that the roman type, in this rersion, is much inferior in beauty to what was used by Aldus in Cardina Bembo's treatise, entitled 'De Ætna.'

The present may almost be called a matchless copy of this very rare and interesting little volume. It is bound in red morocco.

## 317. Museus. Gr. Literis Capitalibus Impressus. Quarto.

This is the second edition of Musæus, and is considered to be the last work which issued from the press of Franciscus de Alopa, the printer of it, towards the close of the xvth century. It commences on the reverse of the last leaf of the TNSMAI MONOETIXOI (also printed in capital letters-vide post) as follows:

MOTEAIOT TA KA@HP』
KAI AEANAPON.
IПE' ӨЕА' КРయФ1' $\Omega$ N E'ПIMA'PTY.
PA $\Lambda \Upsilon^{\prime} \mathrm{XNON} \mathrm{E}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{P} \Omega^{\prime} \mathrm{T} \Omega \mathrm{N}$
KAI' NY'XION ПAתTHPA ©AAAZ.之OMO'P $\Omega$ N $\Upsilon^{\prime}$ MENAI' $\Omega$ N.

 \&c. \&c. \&t.

Beneath, there are fourteen verses; a full page containing 29 lines or verses. The poem occupies the 6 following leaves ; concluding on the reverse of sign. $c$ II from the beginning of the Gnomologia: a and $b$ being in eights. The two concluding verses, and the subscription, are thus :

## KA $\Delta \Delta^{\prime} \mathrm{H}^{\prime} \mathrm{P} \Omega^{\prime}$ 'TE'GNHKE $\Sigma \Upsilon N O M \Lambda \Upsilon M E ' N \Omega I ~ \Pi A P A K O ' T T H I$. 'А $\Lambda \Lambda H^{\prime} \Lambda \Omega N \Delta^{\prime} A^{\prime} \Pi O^{\prime} N A N T O$ KAI' E'N ПయMA'T $\Omega$ ПЕР O' $\Lambda E^{\prime} \Theta P \Omega$.

```
T
E
\Lambda
O
\Sigma
```

Röever, in the preface of his edition of Musæus, informs us that this publication is taken from a much better MS. than the preceding one by Aldus; and Harles supposes it to have been the basis of almost every subsequent critical impression. Fabr. Bibl. Grac. vol. i. p. 127. The reader may consult Maittaire, vol. i. p. 101-5, concerning the labours of Alopa; which deserve, it must be confessed, to become
better known to the public by a more interesting description of them. Copies of this impression were in the Askew and Crevenna collections: sec Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 88. The present is a large and beautiful one, in red morocco binding.
318. Nepos (Cornelius). Printed by Jenson. Venice. 1471. Quarto.

Editio Princeps; and published under the name of Æmilius Probus. We will first particularly describe this rare and estimable production of Jenson's press. On the recto of the first leaf, here illuminated by an ancient hand, we read as follows:

## AEMILII PROBI VIRI CLARISSIMI DE VITA EXCELLENTIVM LIBER INCIPIT FELICITER.

ON DVBITO FORE PLerosque Attice q hoc genus scripturæ leue: \& nō satis dignum summorum uirorū personis iudicent: cum relatū legent quis musicam docuerit Epaminūdā: aut in eius uirtutibus commemorati \&c. \&c. \&c.

There are 23 lines beneath; and a full page contains 32 lines. The impression concludes on the recto of the 51st and last leaf, thus:

Sed nos tempus
est huius libri facere finem : \& romanorum explicare imperatores: quo facilius collatis utrorumq; factis qui uiri preferendi sint possit iudicari.

PROBI AEMILII DE VIRORVM EXCELLEN, TIVM VITA PER. M. NICOLAVM IENSON VENETIIS OPVS FOELICITER IMPRESSVM EST ANNO A CHRISTI INCARNATIONE. M.CCCC.LXXI. VIII. IDVS MARTIAS.

Like most books of this period, there are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. In subjoining a few bibliographical observations, I must be permitted to avail myself of my former labours. - This is a very scarce and curious edition, and has always been treasured in the ibraries of the learned. De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. vi. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .6092$, observes, that another Venetian edition, of the date of 1473 , has been mentioned by bibliographers; but in the existence of which he has no belief. It must be remarked that Maittaire, vol. i. p. 326, and Panzer, vol. iii. p. 99, both notice this second Venetian edition; and refer to Ernesti, Bibl. Lut. vol. i. p. 103-where it is observed that one Savaro made use of this very impression. Notwithstanding this observation, which seems only cursorily made, without reference to a single library-in support of the existence of this second Venetian im-pression-I doubt whether such an edition exists; particularly as I have not been able to trace a vestige of it in the bibliographical works and catalogues that I have consulted. This Editio Princeps is praised by Fabricius and Maittaire, but it does not appear to have been accurately examined till the Vulpii and Fischer very carefully collated it. Edit. Bipont. Notit. Liter. p. xxv. According to Harles, it was collated by Longolius, for his edition of 1543 . For a further account of it, consult the last mentioned authority.' Introd. to the Clecssics, vol. ii. p. 91-2. Sardini says the volume contains 56 leaves; but he is in error. Yet he properly describes it as a Quarto. Storia Critica di Nicolao Jenson; lib. iii. p. 14. The present copy is large, but not free from stain. It affords a fine specimen of the paper of the XVth century. In red morocco binding.

## 319. Oppianus. De Piscatu. Printed by Bonus Gullus. Colle. 1478. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. Latine. Before we describe this volume, which is of uncommon occurrence, we may correct an error of Orlandi (that has crept into subsequent publications) respecting a supposed earlier edition of the date of 1471 . This error will be found at p. 125, and p. $3 \pi^{4} 4$ (not. p. 429, as Panzer refers to it) in the Orig. e Progress. della Stampa: \&c. but it had been noticed by a strong doubt expressed of the existence of such edition by Maittaire, in vol. i. p. 319, note I; which seems to have escaped Panzer. Marchand, in his Hist. de l'Imprim. p. 59, has inserted the same supposititious edition of 1471 : for which he has been corrected by Panzer: vol. i. 273. Laire, Index Libror.
vol. 447, refers only to the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. ii. p. 399-3 : where the description of this impression is so brief, that it is questionable whether De Bure ever saw it. We now proceed to describe the volume.

On the recto of the first leaf commences the dedication of the work, by the translator, Lippius, to Lorenzo de Medici; which concludes on the reverse of the same leaf. Beneath, begins the poetical proheme of the translator; terminating on the reverse of the $2 d$ leaf. The Arguments of the work are beneath; and the version commences on the recto of the ensuing leaf, on sign. a $\xi$, thus :

## Ifycrax ponti gentes aciegig natantum

(1) Squamigcras almae uariū genug āphitriteæ Sintoninar canam recum rui fumma potegtas: ©uacds procellowig babitant gula fuctibus alti: \&c. \&cc. \&c.

The Version of the poem terminates on the recto of 2 in eights. On the reverse, commence the 'Distica' of the Translator, addressed to Lorenzo de Medici. These terminate on the rev. of $\mathfrak{b}$. vij. On viij recto, we read the imprint :

## Behilippus pogicuz at Yectarem

Daurentius Iippug Colfengis elir utraque fin gua apprime ernditus foc diuinū Oppiani opuæ tradurit ©aflus cognomine $250 n u$ impretsit : ut ctset gituidgis litterarum utriugque inoustria quantulacumque atcefsio: ©uapropter quoid $10 p$ pianum ofertor fatimum legis utrigque gratias

 die. xit. 5 extembrix.

The register is beneath, The reverse is blank. The Gothic type of this impression has, at first glance, the appearance of that of Ketelaer; but it is smaller, and more uniform. A full page of the poem contains 32 lines. The present is a beautiful copy, in blue morocco foreign binding.
320. Orosius. Printed by Schiiszler. Augrsbourg.

## 1471. Folio.

Editio Princeps. We have here another fine specimen of the press of Schüszler; who, in the preceding year, published a magnificent impression of the Latin version of Josephus, which has been noticed at p. 98-100, ante; and which, alone, may serve to refute the opinion of Maugerard * (expressed in the Journal Encyclopédique, Decembre $178 i$ ), that this printer never published any thing before the year 1471 , with his name, and the date and place affixed. In regard to the volume now under consideration, we may, in the first place, affirm that it was an anterior publication to the one here afterwards described, notwithstanding Havercamp was of a different opinion. The best bibliographers seem to be decisive upon this point. In the second place, the reader will find good descriptions of this impression in the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 263, (taken from the copy in the Gaignat, afterwards in the Valliere, Collection) in Seemiller Incunab. Typog. fasc. i. p. 34, and in Braun's Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i. p. 137 : $\dagger$ which two latter descriptions are in many respects the same. Maittaire had noticed the volume in his Annal. Typog. vol. i. 305, and in a note (7) mentions the singular computation of time observed in the colophon. We proceed to a description of the volume itself; which is of considerable rarity.

On the recto of the first leaf we read 'Regstrum + pro capitulis tocius libri inquirendis:' on the reverse commence the heads of the chapters in the second book, and in like manner follow those of the remaining six books: the whole terminating on the recto of the 7 th leaf: the reverse, blank. On the recto of the Sth leaf, the prologue of the historian commences, having this prefix:

#  augusini epi. biri bispan gemeris elonucntifimi. aductiul ristiani nois grulag prologus i ligros pixte 

On the recto of the ensuing and 9th leaf, the first book of the

[^40]History begins. On the reverse of fol. 130 (pencil-numbered) we read the colophon, thus:

 florentifime busis ?lugust conciue imprefit, Famo
 ct geptuatcimo p'ma. Circit' inmij nouas gicptiag

On the critical authority of Havercamp, we learn, that 'this edition excels all the ancient ones in intrinsic value, and is printed with great accuracy from an excellent Manuscript.' Præfat. Eclit. Orosii, 1738, 4to. The present is a fine large copy, with some of the fore-edges uncut ; in red morocco binding.
321. Orosius. Printed by Herman Lichtensteyn. Vicenza. Without Date. Folio.

This is the edition which Havercamp supposed to be anterior to the preceding one. Its date is probably not earlier than $14 \% 4$; and De Bure and Panzer assign to it that of 'about 1475.' The verses, forming the colophon, have rendered it interesting to bibliographers; and these may be seen, as well below, as in De Bure, vol. v. p. 264-5; Bibl. Reviczh. p. 138-9; Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iv. p. 31 ; and Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 258-9. The impression is executed in a neat, small roman letter, with a full page of text, having little space between the lines. On the reverse of the first leaf is a kind of Advertisement, printed in capital letters, in 16 lines; which may be seen, in italics, in De Bure. On the recto of the following leaf, begins the text of the history:

## PAVLI, OROSII, VIRI, DOCTISSIMI. HISTORIA= RVM, INITIVM, AD, AVRELIVM. AVGVSTINVM.

PRAECEPTIS tuis parui beatissime pater Au gustine. atque utinā tā efficaciter: quam libēter. \&c. \&c. \&cc.

On the recto of the 100 th and last leaf, we read the colophon above alluded to:

Bartholomeus paielluss eques Vicentinus in. P. Orosium.
Vt ipse titulus margine in primo docet :
Orosio nomen milii est.
Librariorum quicquid erroris fuit :
Exemit Aencas mihi.
Me'í imprimendum tradidit nou alteri
Hermanne : ī̃ soli tibi.
Hermane nomen huius artisı \& decus:
Tux "íl laus Coloniæ.
Quod si situm orbis: sique nostra ad tempora
Ab orbis ipsa origine
Quis"̈̆ tumultus, bella"̈, \& cædes uelit
Clades'í nosse : me legat.*
The reverse is blank. The author of these verses was Tineas Vulpes; who, according to Fossi, resided at Vicenza, and was a corrector of the press of many editions published there. In the Scrittori Vicentini of Angiol-gabriello, vol. ii. p. cxxı-cxxvin, there is a particular and interesting account of this literary character. The above verses are also cited in it; with the following remark: 'Finalmente canto a dovere di Enea Volpe (olim Battista) il nostro Galasso,'

## Nec Pater Eneas Vulpis certissima Proles Eloquio insignis prætereundus crit.

The present is a tine copy, in red morocco binding.

[^41]
## 322. Orpheus et Proclus. Gr. Printed by Philip Junta. Florence. 1500. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. This is one of the most elegantly printed ancient volumes of Greek poetry with which I ain acquainted; and is extremely creditable, in every respect, to the early press of the Giunte or Junte.* While the typographical antiquary may treasure it as one of the latest specimens of that type with which the celebrated Florence Homer of 1488 was executed (see p. 60 ante), the scholar will rejoice that he is in possession of such a correct ' Editio Princeps;' the text of it having called forth the praises of Gesner and Herman. It must however be remembered, that the treatiseof Orpheus, relating to Stones, is omitted in this edition.

This book is equally rare and beautiful. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 713, note 4 , is brief but correct. De Bure's description is entitled to the same distinction. Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 221-2. Each authority might have been more satisfactory, by having been somewhat less laconic. Bandini, Juntarum Typog. pt. ii. p. 2-3, is concise, but very intelligent. $\dagger$ He calls it, justly, 'editio rarissima.' Harles, Fubricii Bibl. Grac. vol. i. p. 149, is both laconic and meagre. $\ddagger$ Herman, the last editor of Orpheus, tells us that almost all the subsequent editions have adopted the text of the present one. Gesner, in a long note upon one of the verses of the Argonautics, has treated minutely of it, and was inclined to think that Lascaris might have been the editor. This, however,

[^42]requires confirmation．See Introd．to the Classics，vol．ii．p．101．It remains to describe particularly a volume of such rarity and worth．

It has no preface；but on the recto of the first leaf，sign．a $a$ ，the text begins according to the following fac－simile ：

－OPDEWSG－APTONAY－
T子KA．

 Во́ $\begin{gathered}\varepsilon \mu \alpha^{\prime} \mu \tau i: ~\end{gathered}$
⿲a丨ida wit plu．



A full page has 28 lines or verses．The Argonautics comprehend 25 leaves；terminating on the reverse of $\Delta i$ in eights－thus：

## ОРФЕ $\Omega \mathrm{C}$, AРГОNA〒 TIKA．

On the recto of the ensuing leaf，$\Delta i \pi$ ，begins the prefix to the Hymns， or an address to Musæus，thus ：

## TON AYTOX．ПPOC MOXCAION． <br> 

The ornament above，and the first letter（M）of the first verse，are in red－as before．On the recto of $\Delta \ddot{i b}$ ，we have the beginning of the Hymns themselves ：

The Hymus extend to the reverse of $\}$ viii, ending thus:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { ОРФЕ } \Omega \mathrm{C} . \\
\text { YМ-. } \\
\text { NOI. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Next follow the Iymms of Proclus.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Tuvor. } \\
& \text { Eıs iò ที̈入iov }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \&c. \&c. \&c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The hymns comprehend only 3 leaves; ending on the recto of the third, with the following subscription and imprint:

$$
\text { TE } \_ \text {OC. }
$$

$$
\mathbf{T} \tilde{\omega} \theta=\tilde{\omega} \delta \delta o_{\xi}^{\prime} \alpha \text {. }
$$

© Anno ab incarnatione. M.cccec. Die. xix. Septem, bris. Impressum Florentie impensa Philippi Iū te bibriopole. Si quos errores in hoc opere
lector īuenies. qui properātes oculos
nostros subterfugerīt eos pro iudicio tuo emēdabis. uix fieri pōt ut $n \bar{o}$ tales īterueniāt.

The reverse is blank. The present is a truly beautiful copy of this desirable volume; and is of such ample dimensions, that many of the leaves have rough fore-edges. It is bound in blue morocco.

## 323. Ovidius. Opera. Printed by Bulthesar Azoguidi. Bologna. 1471. Fol. Bound in 3 vols.

Editio Princeps. That I am justified in having called this an impression 'of extraordinary rarity,' (Introd. to the Classics; vol. ii. p. 105) will be evident. from the present particular description of it. De Bure knew of no collection in Paris which contained it; although, according to Brunet, there is now a copy of it in the Imperial Library.* Audiffredi had never seen it till towards the close of his Edit. Itul: for at page 14 of this latter work, he relies entirely upon Maittaire, vol. i. p. 307 , note 3 ; but at page 405 , he is enabled to give a comparatively particular account of it, from a copy in the possession of the Canon Devoti, which was bound in ancient binding, in one volume:wanting however a good deal to render it perfect. From this account La Serna Santander inserted his own, in the Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 219-221. It will be seen that both Maittaire and Audiffredi have omitted much that the reader ought to be acquainted with. Fabricius and Ernesti were entirely ignorant of it ; and Harles copies De Bure, who confesses his obligations to Maittaire. Palmer has repeated the error of Orlandi, who conceived that the impression contained only the Metamorphoses. History of Printing, p. 187; Orig. e Progress. p. 375. The collections of Mittarelli, Magliabechi, and the Cardinal Lomenie, were without it ; and, in our own country, those of Lord Oxford, Dr. Mead, and Dr. Askew. It was in the collection of Lord l'embroke that Maittaire saw the copy described by him; which copy yet remains in the same curious library. We now proceed to a description of the copy under consideration; premising that, as the head title to the register indicates, the whole was originally published in one volume. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the following prefix to the address of Puteolanus, the editor, to Franciscus Gonzanga:

[^43]
# Rāciscus Puteolanus parmensis Frācisco gō zage Cardinali Mauthuano suo Sal. Pl. d. 

 Poemata Publii Ouidii nasonis nup a me recogni ta īpissaq; sub tuo noie edere constitui cādidissime ac iucūdissime prīceps: Cuius sane rei mihi nulla abs te gratia nullū mercedis genus prsus exposcitur: Ve $\psi_{4}$ \&c. \&c. \&c.This prefatory epistle, or address, concludes on the recto of the 2 d leaf; followed by a life of the poet, by the same editor. The biography of Ovid terminates on the reverse of the 3d leaf. After noticing what he conceires to be the legitimate, and illegitimate, works of the poet, Puteolanus continues thus, towards the conclusion :
_-Attribuunt ei et
alia opuscula : sed meo iudicio nụ̄̈ ouidii fuere : ui delicet de pulice de nuuce de philomena. Insaniunt ue ro qui eum dicūt scripsisse de uetula : de limaca: nā ca oportuit fuisse cuiusdam ifantis et ignorātissimi : \&c. \&cc. \&c.

At the close of this life, we have an important colophon-which unequivocally informs us that the printer was the first who exercised his art at Bologna-and hence this publication is considered to be the earliest production of the bologna press. It is as follows:

Huius opera omnia medea excepta \& triumpho Ce saris: et libello illo pontica lingua cóposito: que in curia tempo 4 perierunt: Balthesar Azoguidus Ci uis Bononiensis honestissimo loco natus primus in sua ciuitate artis impressorie iuentor \& sūma necessi tudine mihi cōiunctissimus ad utilitatē humani ge neris impressit ;

## MCCCCLXXI

On the recto of the ensuing, and 4th leaf, we read the following table; which probably was, originally, the first leaf of the volume:

Epistola francisci puteolani ad reuerendissimū cardi nalem franciscū gonzagà manthuanū. Poemata
Eiusdem uita. P. O. Nasonis. P. Ouidius
P. O. Nasonis epistolą̣ liber. Hāc tua.

Sapphos eiusdem. Num quid.
P. O. Nasonis amo libri tres. Qui modo.
P. O. Nasonis ad iuuentutem rhomanam đ arte a mādi libri tres. Si quis.
Eiusdem de remedio amoris liber. Legerat.
P. O. Nasonis metamorphoseos libri XV In noua Eiusdem inuectiua in ibin. Tēpus.
P. O. Nasonis ad germanicum cesarem fastorum
libri sex Tempora.
Eiusdem de tristibus libri quinq;. Parue.
Eiusdem de ponto libri quatuor. Naso
De pulice. Parue pulex.
De philomena. Dulcis.
P. O. Nasonis de medicamine faciei. Discite.

Carmen de nuce. Nux.
On the recto of the 5th leaf-the Epistles begin according to the ensuing fac-simile :

## ANC TVA PENELOPE

 lento tibu mittte ulixes. Nil mibi relcribas: attamen iple uení .Tropa iacet certe danais inuifa puellis . Vix priamus tantt : tota op troya fuit. utinam tunc cum lacedemona claffe petebat Obrutus infanis effet adulter aquis .

A full page contains 39 lines. The first volume of the present copy terminates with the works mentioned in the annexed subscription :

> Publii Ouidii nasonis sulmonensis poete clarissin de arte amādi \&\& de remedio amoris libri feliciter expliciunt Deo laus.

The Metamorphoses, with which the second volume commences, are preceded by six hexameter and pentameter verses. The first seven verses of the poem are thus printed:

## N NOVA FERT ANIMVS

mutatas dicere formas
Corpora : dii ceptis : nam uos mutastis \& illas: Aspirate meis: primaq; ab origine mundi Ad mea perpetuū deducite tempora carmen.
Ante mare \& terras:
\& quod tegit omnia celum
Vnus erat toto nature uultus in orbe:
Quem dixere chaos: rudis indigestaque moles: \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

The Metamorphoses extend to the end of the volume; having the word FINIS beneath the last verse. The third volume opens with the Fasti, thus:

> EMPORA CVM causis latium digesta $p$ ānum : Lapsaq; sub terras: ortaq; signa canam. $$
\text { \&c. \&c. \&c. }
$$

and ends with the $N u x$; the conclusion of which is as follows:

Si merui: uideorq; nocēs: iponite flāme :
Et liceat misere dedecus esse semel.
Si nec cur urar: nec cur excidar habetis :
Parcite: sic ceptum perficiatis iter ;

## P. ouidii nasonis de nuce libellus explicit.

Such is the account of this exceedingly rare publication of one of the most interesting works of classical antiquity. The present copy, although handsomely bound in yellow morocco, and of ample dimensions, has a few of the leares in the first volume perfected by MIS:executed, however, with singular neatness and success, in imitation of the original type. There are also some few slightly-wormed leaves : but the copy, even in this condition, is an inestimable biographical treasure. The copies in the collections of the King and the Earl of Pembroke have greater imperfections. What further information, relating to this edition and to the printer of it, may be obtained from the Antiquities of the City of Bologna, published by Valerio Felice Azzoguidi, in 4 to, 1716 , I am not able to say. This latter work is noticed in Sancassani's Biblioteca Volante di Cinelli Calvoli, 1734, 4to, vol. i. p. \%o. The author of it was probably a descendant of the printer of this Editio Princeps.

## 324. Ovidius. Opera. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1471. Folio. Bound in 3 vols.

Editio Secunda. We will first describe the order of the arrangement of the several pieces as they occur in this very rare impression; and afterwards subjoin a few brief, but pertinent, remarks respecting the time, scarcity, and value of the edition. Audiffredi describes it as in two volumes; the one containing 241, and the other 64, leaves. The present copy is bound, with much judgment, in three volumes of nearly equal bulk. On the reverse of the first leaf we read the prefatory epistle of the editor, the Bishop of Aleria; the most material part of which is extracted by Maittaire and Audiffredi, but the whole
of which will be found in the note below.* This epistle bears date, thus:

## Rome quintodecimo Kal. Augu. M.CCCC. LXXI. Pōtificatus tui cādidissimi. Anno septimo.

On the recto of the ensuing leaf commence the Metamorphoses, with a prefix of 12 verses:

Propositio. \& inuocatio. I.

> N noua fert animus mutatas dicere formas Corpora. diiceptis nam uos mutastis \& illas Aspirate meis. primaq; ab origine mundi.

Ad mea perpetuū deducite tempora carmen. \&c. \&cc. \&c.

* It is exactly thus: some of the contractions being supplied.

Io. An. Episcopi Aleriensis in Cyrno Epistola.
P. Ouidium. Nasonem pater beatissime Paule. II. Venete Pontifex Maxime, acerrimi poetam ingenii nemo est qui maxime non admiretur. nemo qui nou diligat : \& ut suauissimum exosculetur. Magnum tamen eius Metamorphoseos opus. quanquam inemendatum ab eo propter exsilii calamitatem relictū est : omnibus illius prestat operibus. id nos per estatem presentem quasi ludentes inter maiora Ciceronis orationū opera recognouimus: \& parua quedam argumēta fabularum principis apposumus. Si quis fortasse diligentius in ea re uigilauit : aut plenius quicquam ab antiquis traditū habet: exponat illud in publicum rogamus. Nos ipsi satis artati temporum angustiis necessitati potius paruimus: quam nostro desiderio. Cetera omnia Poete opera quanta cura possumus: congregamus: ut lenissimus Vates in duobus legi totus uoluminibus possit.
(Here follows the Date, as above: then the ensuing verses.)
Orba parente suo quicūq; uolumina certus
His saltem uestra detur in urbe locus.

A full page of the poctry contains 38 lines or verses. The Metamorphoses, according to the pencil-numbered leaves of the present copy, comprehend 177 leaves, and terminate on the recto of the last, thus:

Ore legar populi: perq; omnia secula fama Siquid habent ueri uatum presagia uiuam.

## FINIS

Then follow the eight verses, ('Aspicis illustris,' \&c.) precisely as at page 113, ante. The Elegies commence on the recto of the first leaf of the second volume. These comprehend 35 leaves; terminating on the reverse of the 35 th . On the recto of the 36 th commences the Ars Amandi, with this prefix :

## P. Ouidii. Nasonis de artibus amandi liber primus.

This poem comprehends 32 leaves. We have, next, the Pulex (two half pages) followed by

## P. Ouidii Nasonis de remedio amoris. Liber. I.

Eleven leaves are filled by this poem. It is followed by the Consolatio ad Liuiam Augustam, which occupies 6 leaves and a half, or 13 pages. Then commence the Epistles, on the reverse of the leaf with which the preceding poem concludes; having this prefix:

> P. Ouidii Nasonis Heroides Epistole. Penelopes ad Vlixē uirum suum.

These Epistles terminate the volume on the reverse of fol. 132, from the beginning of it. The third volume opens with the Nux:

> Quoq; magis foueas : nō hec sūt edita ab ipso
> Sed quasi de domini funere rapta sui.
> Quicquid in his igitur uitii rude carmen habebit :
> Emendaturus si licuisset : eram.

See also Maittaire, vol. i. p. 303, note 6 : Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 77.
followed by the Medicamen Faciei, and Ibis. Then the Tristia, with this prefix :
P. Ouidii Nasonis de tristibus Liber.

Ad librum suum Elegia.
This poem comprehends 79 leaves; terminating thus, on the reverse of the 79 th :

Tu modo quee poteras uel apte tutus amare Si res est anceps ipse latenter ama.

Then immediately commence the Epistles, ex Ponto, without any prefix or indication (as Audiffredi justly remarks)-having this first title : 'Ad amicos.'

These terminate on the recto of the 106th leaf from the commencement of the volume ; and are followed, on the reverse of the same leaf, by the epistle of Sappho to Phaon; which latter comprehends 6 pages; terminating thus, on the recto of fol. 109, and having the reverse blank :

O saltem misere crudeli epistola dicat
Vt mihi Leucadie fata petant̃ aque. FINIT
On the recto of the 110 th leaf, from the commencement of the volume, the Fasti open thus:

> P. Ouidii Nasōis Fasto ad Germanicum Cæsarem Liber primus. Prefatio.

Empora cum causis Latium digesta per annū :
Lapsaq; sub terras:
ortaq; signa canam. Excipe pacato Cesar Germanice uultu
Hoc opus. \& timide dirige nauis iter. \&c. \&c. \&cc.

Each of the books has a title prefixed, with the exception of the vith and last; which is without one. On the reverse of the $1 \% 5$ th leaf, from the commencement of the volume, we read the subscription to the work, thus :

## Finis. vi. Jibrorum Fastorum Ouidii Ad. Ti. Cesarem Germanicum Aug.

Such is the description (more particular, it is presumed, than any with which the reader has been hitherto acquainted) of this uncommonly rare and valuable impression. In regard to the period of its execution, Audiffredi supposes the whole to have been finished before March 20 th 1472 ; the date of the celebrated epistle of the Bishop of Aleria to Pope Sixtus IV, in behalf of the printers of it, and appended to the Commentary of De Lyra upon the Bible. This epistle has been frequently referred to in the present work,* and is of importance in the conclusion here drawn ; as this impression of Ovid is noticed in it, and only 275 copies of it appear, from the same document, to have been printed. The chronological precedence of the foregoing impression must, in consequence, be very trifling : and as each edition was, in all probability, taken from a different MS., it will follow that each may assume the rank of an Editio Princeps. Laire, in his

[^44]Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 166, had made some gross blunders in his account of this impression, concerning a supposed copy of it in the Vatican Library: Audiffredi searched the Vatican in vain for a complete copy of it; nor was he furnished with sufficient materials for his own description of it, till the imperfect copies in the Vatican, Casanatensian, and Cassali libraries, had supplied him with the same. Laire, Index Libror. vol. i. p. 161-2, does not notice Audiffredi's correction in the Edit. Rom. p. 77-9. Neither the Harleian, Gaignat, Askew, Crevenna, nor Lomenie copies appear to have been perfect: yet De Bure is correct, although not sufficiently particular, in his Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2744 . See too the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 105-7 : and the note, in the preceding page, connected with this last reference. The present may be called a large and fine copy of this desirable impression. A few leaves in the Metamorphoses, and fewer in the Fasti, are inlaid. It is handsomely bound in red morocco.

## 325. Ovidius. De Arte Amandi. De Remedio Amoris. Printed by Gunther Zainer. Augsbourg. 1471. Folio.

This is the first impression of the above pieces, separately published: for although the date be that of February 1471, yet, as is justly observed by the Noble Owner of this copy, 'the year was reckoned to begin at the vernal equinox, or in the middle of March, and therefore the preceding impression, executed in August 1471, was printed six months before this edition of Zainer ; and the Bologna Ovid, which has no date of the month, but only of the year, has at least a probable chance of being also anterior.' Rare as is this fine impression, it has been correctly, although briefly, described by several bibliographers; and Seemiller is therefore in error when he says 'it was unknown to the greater number of the ancient bibliographers.' Incunub. Typog. fasc. i. p. 26. It had been before well described by Freytag, in his Adparat. Literar. vol. i. p. 476-7; who, previous to giving three specimens of its Various Readings from the established text, observes- ' Quod ad lectionem codicis adtinet, ille, si pauca quaedam leviora exceperis, ab editionibus recentioribus raro discedit.' Schelhorn had also noticed it in his Miscell. Lips. vol. xii. p. 66, as supplemental information to Maittaire : see Zapf's Augsburgs Buchdruckergeschichte, pt. i. p. 12. Nor has De Bure neglected to notice
it; from a copy in the Royal, and another in the Valliere Collection. When he tells us-'elle est exécutée en caracteres gothiques, singuliers, d'une fabrique assez extraordinaire'- he does not impress his reader with any notion of the fine, bold, and legible appearance (as the ensuing fac-simile evinces) which the volume possesses; and which makes us regret that the press of the Zaners* did not put forth an impression of the entire works of the poet. Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 336. La Serna Santander, as usual, merely abridges the labours of his predecessors. Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 228.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the opening of the Ars Amandi, according to this fac-simile :

## Dublỉ ouibí nafonis fulmonenfis be atte amâdi•liber primus inćpit felicití.

## İ quisi bocartê $\mathfrak{p l o}$ lo nô nouit amâbi O) elegat et lecto carmine doctus amet O rte cíte relog nates remogz mouentur Q rte teuís cuttus atte regếbus amoz

A full page contains 29 lines; and the poom comprehends 42 leaves, concluding on the reverse of the 42 d . On the recto of the 43 d , we read as follows :

## 垪ublij ouidit nagoniz fummonen= gigi d'remedio amorig lifer incipit.

The Remedium Amoris has 15 leaves. On the reverse of the 15th, are the three last lines of the poem, and the colophon: the latter being thus:

> Tifiter publii nasonig, ©lixij of remeria stmarity folicif' $\mathfrak{e x}=$ plitit. Tupretgus in. Thutusta

[^45]D $d$

The present is a very fine copy of this uncommon volume; and is so large, that nearly one third of the leaves are uncut. It is bound in red morocco.

## 326. Ovidius. De Arte Amandi. De Remedio

 Amoris. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.This rare and unknown impression is evidently from the press of Ulric Zel. Panzer, La Serna Santander, and Brunet * have omitted to notice it ; nor do the pages of Freytag, Braun, and Seemiller contain any account of it. That it is, in all probability; of a date anterior to 1473 (if not to $14 \% 2$ ), may be safely admitted. On the recto of the first leaf, we read,

> (Guidij fafonig sulmanengig te arte amandi libe primug incinit ;

On comparison with the text of Sweynheym and Pannartz, I find, at the 5th verse, 'Thiphis,' for 'Tiphys;' and at the 10th, 'Phillirides' as in Zainer's impression-and not 'Philyndes'-which is a sufficient testimony of the text being taken from a different MS. It contains 49 leaves; ending with this subscription :

## ©uidij Rafontw sulmanengig procte De arte amandi explicit;

[^46]The Remedium Amoris begins on the recto of the following leaf, and occupies 18 leaves: the reverse of the 18 th being blank. The subscription is thus:

## (1)uidcij Rafonig solmonexis pacte 

A full page has 24 lines. This impression is bound in a volume (which contains also Opuscula of other writers) with the following one:
327. Ovidius. Trium Puellarum Liber. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

In describing the treasures of this extraordinary Collection, I must not omit to notice the present very strange, yet spurious production. Critics and bibliographers have been, I believe, alike ignorant of its existence. The impression under consideration is unquestionably from the same press, as are the two genuine pieces of the poet just before noticed. On the recto of the first leaf we read as follows:

> (1) itidij Rafonig Sulmoncugig pocte Criī puelfarum fifer incipit;
Wam forte bia guadā nutlo comitante
Soluy amor merī qui solet efse fuit
§ūqj meag berg9 facio: meditorgz puclfam
dui potaum bergus mittere quas facio.
$\mathfrak{C}$ quifux ut memini fongiar bua fuit
face mediū gortita locū curcchat. et omurg
Curreliant piter. fotamen iffa magig
\&c. \&cc. Sc.

On the recto of the 7 th and last leaf, the termination is thus:
©uix faciā referā que firime gic pubar obsiat Tplagz ne referam nogita puelfa betat

#  <br> Omua nouit amor. nout et ipgia henux; 

##  

This little tract, with the two preceding ones, is elegantly bound in red morocco. I do not know of the existence of another copy of it.

## 328. Ovidius. Metamorphoseon Libri. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

We are indebted to Braun for a particular and animated description* of this extremely rare and beautiful impression of the Metamorphoses of Ovid. The same bibliographer justly observes, towards the conclusion of his description,- ' Hac de editione omnes bibliographicæ rei scriptores altum tenent silentium.' Lord Spencer has prefixed to this copy a pencil memorandum, from which it appears that the type resembles that of the Propertius and Tibullus of 1472 , noticed by Audiffredi, at p. 440-1 of his Edit. Rom.: see, also, post. It is most probable that the work was not executed later than 1473 . There is a freshness, and an appearance of early printing about it, that justify me in assigning to it the present order. On the recto of the first leaf, without any prefix, quite at the top of the page, we read the opening, thus :

N noua fert aīus mutatas dicē formas
Cōpa : dii ceptis nā uos mutastis \& illas
Aspirate meis : primaq; ab origie mūdi
Ad mea ppetuū deducite tp̄ra carmē.
\&c. \&cc. \&c.
'This, and every page except the last, has 31 lines. There are no titles to the several books; and the impression is entirely without signatures,

[^47]numerals, and catchwords. On the recto of fol. 195, and last, there are 27 lines, concluding thus, with the following imprint :

Astra ferar nomēq; erit indelcbile nostrū.
Quaq; pat\& domitis romana potētia terris
Ore legar populi : poia secula fama
Si qd habēt ueri uatū p̄sagia uiuam.

## PVBLII NASONIS OVIDII PELIGNI POETAE CLARISSIMI LIBER VLTI, MVS METAMORFOSEOS FOELICI, TER EXPLICI'I

Lord Spencer has recently put into my hands a part of the correspondence between an eminent foreign Collector, and the late Count Reviczky. The former is well known for his choice collection of Editiones Principes ; and now resides at Vienna, in the eager pursuit of those bibliographical studies which have distinguished him for the last 20 years. Having noticed Laire's account of the above impression of the Metamorphoses of Ovid, the Chevalier adds - ' Ensuite le bon P. Laire (qui est un Visionnairc sans doute) ajoute que les caracteres de cette édition sont pareils à ceux du Plaute 1472; ou bien à ceux du Tortellius de Udal. Gallus et Simon de Luca, 1471. Comme les caracteres de ces deux livres sont totalement différents, et les caracteres de Spire n'ont rien de commun avec ceux de Rome, je ne scais pas deviner l'édition de cet Ovide, ni les énigmes, ou pour mieux dire, la bêtise du P. Laire. Je vous prie de me dire vôtre avis la-dessus.-Après cela il me paroit que ce seroit une grande folie que de se rapporter aux Baptémes que ce charlatan Bibliographique donne à toutes les éditions anonymes et. gothiques avec tant d'assurance, et tombant en des contradictior ridicules à tous les momens.' April. 1792.

The present is a beautiful copy; in red morocco binding.

## 329. Ovidius. Opera. Printed by Jacobus Rubeus. Venice. 1474. 2 vols.

More beautiful, although less scarce, than the two preceding impressions, is this first Venetian edition of the works of Ovid. As far as I can discover, it appears to be a reprint of one or the other, or of both,
of its precursors. It remains to give a brief but accurate description of it. In the copy before us, there is no prefatory prefix; but the text of the Metamorphoses commences on the recto of the first leaf, having the title of the book, and a part of the first line of the text, in capital letters. The poem concludes on the reverse of the 141st leaf, thus:

O re legar populi : perq; omnia sæcula fama $S$ iquid habent ueri uatum præsagia uiuam.

## PVBLII OVIDII NASONIS META MORPHOSEOS LIBRI QVINTI DECIMI ATQVE VLTIMI FINIS.

Beneath, are the same verses which are appended to the prefatory epistle of the Bishop of Aleria: see the note at p. 196-7 ante. A full page contains 43 verses. 'The Epistles follow on the recto of the ensuing leaf; comprehending 45 leaves, and including that of Sappho to Phaon. On the reverse of the 45 th leaf, or of the 186 th from the beginning of the volume, commences the Ars Amandi; which work terminates the volume on the reverse of the 213th leaf:

## .P. OVIDII NASONIS DE ARTE AMANDI LIBRI EXPLICIVNT.

The second volume opens with the Love Elegies, having the title in two lines of capital letters: followed by

## Quemadmodum a Cupidine pro bellis amores scribere coactus sit.

This poem, comprehending 29 leaves, is followed by the Remedium Amoris; which latter occupies 9 leaves and a half. Then the De Medicamine Faciei; 3 pages : the $N u x, 4$ pages and a half. We have next, on the recto of the 43 rd leaf, from the beginning of the Elegies, the opening of the Fasti:

## PVBLII OVIDII NASONIS FA STORVM LIBER PRIMVS.

EMPORA CVM causis
latium digesta per annum :
Lapsaq; sub terras: ortaq; signa canam.
Excipe pacato cæsar germanice uultu Hoc opus : \& timidæ dirige nanis iter. \&c. \&c. \&c.

The 'Fasti' contain 58 leaves, and are followed by the 'Epistola Consolatoria' (containing 6 leaves) and the Tristia; which latter comprehend 40 leaves. Then De Ponto, 35 leaves: Pulex, 2 leaves: the reverse of the second, blank. The Ibis, having 8 leaves, terminates the text of the poet. A life of the poet, in 3 pages, follows : subjoined to which we read the imprint, thus :

Huius opera omnia Medea excepta : \& triumpho Cæ saris: \& libello illo pontica lingua composito : qua incuria tempore perierunt: Iacobus Rubeus natione gallicus honestissimo loco natus ad utilitatem uiuē, tium nec non \& posterore impressit.

Nicolao Marcello Duce inclyto Venetiarum. M.CCCC.LXXIIII.

On the recto of the ensuing and last leaf, we have the Table of Contents as in Azzoguidi's edition. Some commendatory verses of Calphurnius follow this table, which may be seen extracted in De Bure and Panzer : and of which the following are the two last lines :

Sed dignum : hæc ueneta qui gallicus urbe iacobus Impressit : miræ condidit artis opus.

This eulogy is just; as the impression presents us with a specimen of the early Venetian press, which, when in fine preservation, may vie with the best productions of the Spiras and of John de Colonia. The large price given for the Pinelli copy of this impression, may be supposed to justify De Bure in calling it an edition ' encore fort rare et recherchée des Curicux :' Dibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 332. But although tolerably sound, the present is by no means a large or a clean
copy of this desirable impression.* The reader may consult the authorities referred to in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 107. Brunet, Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 226, is very brief in his description of the edition. This copy is bound in blue morocen.

## 330. Ovidius. Metamorphoseon Libri. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

It is justly remarked by the Noble Owner of this copy, in the flyleaf of the same, that the types of the present impression resemble those with which John de Westphalia printed the 'Repertorium Johannis Milis' in 1475; and of which Meerman has given a fac-simile in the upper part of his virith Plate, Orig. Typog. The surrounding Commentary of the Boetius of 1487 (see vol. i. p. 286) also exhibits a similar character. In regard to the date of the impression, I am loch to assign it to a period before the year 1475; although, from its being an exact reprint of the text of the Roman edition of 1471, it may probably be entitled to the distinction of a more ancient publication.

[^48]On the recto of the first leaf, sign. a.2, we read the prefatory epistle of the Bishop of Aleria (see note, p. 196, ante) with the date of 1471 incorporated, and the verses subjoined, as in the note just referred to. The signatures run from a to $\xi$ in eights : $\xi$ has six; then aa, with six leaves. On the recto of aa $v j$, the conclusion of the poem and of the volume is thus :

## (10re legar populi perg; amnia gecula fama Sid quid fakent beri watum pregagia uitam. finig.

The text is set up in a narrow page, and a full page contains 36 lines. This impression seems to have escaped lanzer: sce his Amnal. Typog, vol. iv. p. 170. The present is a sound copy, sumptuously bound in red morocco.

## 331. Ovidius. Opera. Printed by Corallus. Parma. 1477. Folio. 3 vols.

Whatever may be the 'uberior notitia' concerning this edition, in the Cl . Lengn. Neue Nachr. Ir. p. 73-as referred to by Panzer-it is certain that Affo, in his Tipografia Parmense, p. Lxili-v, has given both a copious and correct account of it. He considers it to be a reprint of the Roman and Venctian impressions of 1471 and 1474; and describes the copy of it which he saw in the library of St. Mark, at Florence, and which was charged with the Greek and Latin ms. notes of Politian. This copy, he informs us, is mentioned by Bandini in his Ragionamento istorico su le Fiorentine Pandette, p. Lix. Affo describes the Table, as the first feature in the edition; but our business is to notice the impression in the order in which it appears before us.

The first four leaves present us with a summary of each fable in the respective books of the Metamorphoses. This summary commences on the reverse of the first leaf; and is succeeded, on the reverse of the 4th, with a brief account of Ovid and of his writinge, by Domitius Calderinus. On the reverse of the 5 th leaf is the first fable in the lst Book, separately detached in prose; which is succeeded, on the recto of the 6 th and ensuing leaf, by the commencement of the poem. Each fable, in each book, is thus epitomised in prose, before the poetical part begins. The first 8 leaves are without signatures. On the
recto of fol. 9 begins signature A .I: continuing to $Y$, each in eights. On the recto of Y vij the Metamorphoses conclude, with the word FINIS beneath. On the reverse of the same leaf is a Table, or list of the contents of the three volumes :

## Quæ impressa sunt in iis uoluminibus Ouidii

from which we learn that in the Heroical Epistles ' many verses are added from an ancient MS. especially in the epistle from Paris to Helen.' The remainder of the works of Ovid are specified in the usual manner. The entire Table may be seen in Affo: at the bottom of it we read the following imprint:

## Impressum Parmæ ductu \& ipensis mei stephani coralli lugdunensis. M.cccc.lxxvii. die primo Iullii.

The second volume commences with the Epistles before alluded to, on the recto of a.a.ii. The signatures, as usual, run in eights-to r.r. On the reverse of rr. iii, the Ibis terminates; then follow, on the recto of the ensuing leaf, the poetical answers to a few of the epistles by ' A . Sabinus, eques Ro. celeberrimus uatesque.'
> _has ōē rispōsiōes \& alias edidit quæ nō rupiūt. \&c.

This opusculum occupies the five remaining leaves; ending on the recto of rr viij.

The third volume opens, on the recto of sign. A. i, with the Fasti: the signatures, to V., run in eights: V. having ten leaves. On the reverse of V. rx, the Epistola Consolatoria ad Liuiam, \&c. ends thus:

> Est coniunx tutela hominum : quo sospite uestrā
> Liuia funestam dedecet esse domum. FINIS.

Affo further observes: Rara molto è questa Edizione, e il de-Bure non riferilla che su l' altrui fede. Difficilmente si trova intiera,' \&c. p. Lxv. That De Bure is extremely brief and superficial, cannot be denied: vol. iii. p. 334: and one is surprised that so meagre an account of the edition should have been admitted into the Dict. Bibliogr. Choiss,
vol. iii. p. 223. The present copy is in russia binding ; and with the exception of a few leaves at the beginning of the first volume, is in sound condition. It is rather tall; but the fore-cdges have been too much cut.
332. Ovidius. Metamorphoseon Libri. Printed at Parma. 1479. Folio.

On the recto of a i , we read the commencement of the poem as follows:

## PV. OVIDII NASONIS METAMORPHO SEOS LIBER PRIMVS.

## N NOVA FERT ANIMVS MVTA

 tas dicere formasCorpora. dii cœptis (nam uos mu, tastis \& illas) \&c. \&c. \&cc.

The signatures, from a to $x$, run in eights: $x$ has six: $y$, eight : $z$. six : \& , six : $\mathrm{R}_{2}$, five. On the reverse of $\mathbb{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{v}$, we read the imprint, thus:

## PVBLII OVIDII NASONIS METAMORPHOSEOS LIBER FINIT.

## Impressum Parmæ. M.CCCC.LXXVIIII.

The printing of this volume has been given, by Denis, to the press of Andreas Portilia: - Videtur Andr. Portiliae'-are his words; to which it will be necessary to add the remarks of Affo-' Il Denis, primo a parlarne, avendolo veduto presso un Amico suo privato, soggiunge: (as before). Ma non è a dubitarsene dopo il confronto avutosene col Virgilio, cui ne' caratteri e nella forma esattamente somiglia.' See Annal. Typog. Suppl. pt. i. p. 108, nº 748 : Tipografia Parmense, p. lxvini-ix. There certainly appears no similarity between the types of this impression and those of the Pliny of 1480 by Portilia : vide post. Neither Panzer, vol. ii. p. 352, nor Laire, Index. Libror. vol. i. p. 463, deliver their own opinions upon the supposed printer of it. The present is rather an indifferent copy ; in calf binding, gilt leaves, red-morocco back.

## 333. Ovidius. Opera. Printed by Lichtenstein. Vicenza. 1480. Folio. 2 vols.

The author of the Scrittori Vicentini, vol. iii. p. xl, seems to have depended entirely upon Orlandi and Fabricius in his account of this very elegant but not very uncommon impression. All that Celsanus, the editor, seems to have done to it, is, a correction of the proofs as they came from the press of Lichtenstein. Whether the text present the 'vera lezione' of the poet, (as Angiolgabriello intimates) I cannot pretend to determine ; but the Arguments of the Metamorphoses, and the Life of Ovid, which are given by this latter author to Celsanus, and which he says are ' maestrevolmente tessuta'-are, in fact, nothing more than the same pieces by Calderinus, in the Parma impression of $14 \% \%$. It remains to describe the impression briefly, but particularly. On the recto of $A$ commences the prefatory matter, as in the Parma edition just referred to. The signatures, in the first volume, run from A to $V$ : the former having 10 leaves, and each of the intervening ones S. On the reverse of V. vij, the Metamorphoses conclude thus:

## .F.I.N.I.S.

> BARNABAS CELSANVS VICENTINVS HABES HVMANISSIME LECTOR. OPERA. P. OVIDII A NOBIS QVAM ACCVRATISSIME POTVIMVS EMENDATA. NEC MINVS DILIGENTER AB HERMANO LEVILAPIDE VICENTIAE IMPRESSA. M.CCCC.LXXX. PRIDIE IDVS. AVG. FELICITER.

$$
\tau_{\varepsilon}^{\prime} \lambda \nu 0 \sigma .
$$

The second volume commences, with the Fasti, on the recto of sign. a; which has nine leaves: from a to $y$ in eights : then 3 in eight : next, aa to hh , inclusively, in eights. On the reverse of hh vij, we read the following colophon :

> Finis omnium librorū. P. Ouidii qui extant. eos qia accuratissime emendatos a Barnaba Celsano vicētino. īpressit Hermanus Coloniēsis Lichtenstein Vicentix. M.cccc.Lxxx. sex. Id. Maii.

> Feliciter.

This impression has been noticed by the principal bibliographers, and will be found in the most distinguished private and public Coltions. The present is a beautiful copy of it ; bound in red morocco.
334. Ovidius. Opuscula Quedam. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

On the recto of the first leaf, within a rudely-engraved wood-cut border, we read the title-page of this inelegant, but strange and curious, little volume :

##  <br> nis Sulmo <br> nēsts poete lituer <br> De trifug pueffis <br> (1)uidiug te gagati muntio <br> (1)uidity of pulite <br> Beamphilus de amare <br> (Buiduts de numma <br> (1)ubiug of cutula <br> (1) uidiug of hentre <br> $\mathfrak{E p r}$ a amatoria metrice jgitripta

A rude ornament, and white shield of coat-armour, are beneath. There is a very fervent amatory ' epistola perornata cuiusdam amantis ad quandam puellam,' on the reverse of this title-page; ending thus:

## Tamgs bale felix mea fux mea bita quiefgs. framiftum $\mathfrak{f t}$ memori pettori gemper yafe.

The first three above mentioned Opuscula of Ovid, with the work of Pamphilus, occupy the 16 following leaves; but this copy appears to want the remaining pieces. The type is a small close Gothic one, resembling that of the Martial at p. $1 ; 6$, ante. This copy was formerly in the collection of Dr. George, and belonged to the library of the late Earl Spencer. It is a very indifferent one, in calf binding.

## 335. Ovidius. Heroides Epistole. Cum Commentariis. Printed by Bartholomeus de Zunis de Portesio. Venice. 1487. Folio.

The reverse of the first leaf presents us with the address of the Commentator Volscus to Ludovicus Diædus. On the opposite page commences the Epistle of Penelope to Ulysses, with the surrounding expositions of the same Commentator. The signatures, except the last, run in sixes. On the recto of $g$ (i) we read the reply of Helen to Paris by Sabinus-as before alluded to at page 210. These Epistles conclude on the recto of $\mathrm{h} v j$, with an address to Franciscus of Aragon, son of King Ferdinand. On the reverse we read an address entitled - DOMITIVS [CALDERINVS] IN SAPHO OVIDII. The Epistle of Sappho concludes on the recto of $\mathbf{i} \mathbf{~ v j}$. Then follows the Ibis, with the Commentary of the same. This concludes on the recto of 1 vij :

> Publii Ouidii nasonis sulmonensis poe tæ clarissimi liber in ibim felici ter explicit.

A Life of Ovid, by Volscus, is below. On the reverse we read the imprint and register. The former, in two lines, is as follows:

Impressum Venetiis per Bartolameū de Zanis de portesio. Sub Anno domini. M.ccec.lxxxvii. die uero. xxiiii. Nouem bris Regnante domino Augustino Barbadico inclito Vene torū principe. Laus Deo.

Panzer refers only to Maittaire, vol. i. p. 484, for the existence of this edition. The present copy is bound in calf with the ensuing impression.
336. Ovidius. De Arte Amandi. De Remedio Anoris. Printed by John de Tridino. Venice. 1494. Folio.

On the recto of the first leaf, nearly in the centre, we read the title of the work, in capitals. On the reverse is the address of Bartholomeus Merula, the Commentator, 'Generoso Adolescenti Georgii Cornelii Equitis. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, sign. a ii, commences the text surrounded by the commentary, as it. is in every other page. The commentary, in the head line, is thus entitled :

## Bartholomei Merulæ In primum Ouidii de arte Amandi enarrationes :

The three books of the Art of Love tcrminate on the reverse of $\mathrm{g} v \mathrm{j}$, in sixes:

## P. OVIDII NASONIS DE ARTE AMANDI FINIS.

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, sign. A, commences the Remedium Amoris ; presenting us with two elegant capital initials-of the larger of which the following is a fac-simile :


The entire impression may be said to be distinguished for the elegance of its decorations in this department of printing. The ensuing are fac-similes of a few of the smaller capital initials :


The signatures, $A, B$, are in six and four: then $C$ with four leaves. The Commentary of Merula is equally abundant in the present poem. On the reverse of $\mathbf{C}$ iii, this latter work concludes, with the following admonition, or address, of the Commentator to the fore-mentioned person to whom the edition was dedicated. 'Habes Francisce Generose Enarrationes nostras in Ouidium de Arte Amādi: \& de Remedio Amoris: quas celerius aliquāto $q[u a m]$ fuerat cōsiliū tuis precibus emisimus : Volebā enim uti Horatii cōsilio: q[uod] in arte poetica docet nō præcipitandă esse editionē. sed in nonum annū prenandā: Quare si q[ui]d desiderabitur: id tibi: \& quotidiano tuo cōuicio ascribito: Si quid uero immutatione litterar[um] syllabarūue aliq[uo]d deprauatū inueneris: id non mihi: uer[um] difficili impressor[um] correctioni imputato. Vale.' Beneath, we read the colophon in four long lines, of which it will only be necessary to extract the latter part :
-Impressit Venetiis Vir solers \& Industrius Ioannes de Tridino alias Tacuinus. Anno salutis. M.cccc.Ixxxxiiii. Tertio Nonas Maias: Augustino Barbadico Duce Inclyto ac feelicissimo.

This is followed by a petition of the printer, to the Doge and Privy Council of Venice, for ten years exclusive sale of the work; on forfeiture of 10 ducats for every volume printed or sold by any other printer. It is signed by four 'Consiliarii.' Then follow, on the recto of the ensuing and last leaf, some hexameter and pentameter verses of Antimachus and Soranus. A register is beneath; and the whole is terminated by the ensuing very tasteful device of the printer; which
the reader will observe to be essentially different from another device used by the same artist : see vol.i. p. 276 .


The present is a fair sound copy ; in calf binding.
> 337. Donatus in Ovidii Fabulas. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer.

On the recto of the first leaf the text commences with this prefix:

## 

The impression contains 33 leaves. On the reverse of the 33rd leaf, we read,

## Operig tpilogus.

$\mathfrak{C}^{〔}$ quitur epilogus prapagiti : et ut palficit ${ }^{9} \overline{\mathfrak{\varepsilon}}$ a
 thlari :* cui ${ }^{9}$ 向dē acternitati uitā fibi per yot pertuan* giecure promittit. Laus aco.

Count Reviczky has observed, in the fly-leaf of this copy, that Muncker, and other mythological writers, as well as Fabricius and

$$
\begin{gathered}
* \text { Sic. } \\
\text { r } \mathrm{f}
\end{gathered}
$$

VOL. II.

Ernesti，were ignorant of this impression．Being without signatures， numerals，and catchwords，he conceives that it is very ancient．Lord Spencer remarks that the type resembles that of John de Westphalia． I should apprehend its date not to be earlier than 1478．In calf binding．

## 338．Pausanias．Græcè．Printed in the Office of Aldus．Venice．1516．Folio．

Editio Princeps．Pausanias is an author of too much importance to be omitted in the plan here observed of introducing the Editiones Primarice；especially as it is probable that Aldus himself superin－ tended the printing of this impression，although it is certain that he died before the publication of it．＊The title of the work，ПAソ $\Sigma A N I^{\prime} A \Sigma$ （with the Latin word beneath）is upon the recto of the first leaf， with the large Aldine Anchor，in outline，beneath．On the reverse， we have a list of the different places，printed in Greek and Latin， which are described by Pausanias ；then follows an interesting address， or preface，from which we learn that Marcus Musurus was the editor of the impression．The following extract from it，referred to in the subsequent pages，（under the Aldine Plato of 1513）is worth the reader＇s attention ：
＇Hæc autem a nobis prestari tibi potuerunt suasore adiutoreque M． Musuro：quem nuper heroicarum literarum decus Venetiis propa－ gantem Græciæ priscis autoribus partim illustri iuuentuti enarrandis non sine laude，partim emendatione castigationeque in pristinum nitorem，quoad eius fieri poterat，restituendis Leo X．Pont．Opt． Max．sponte sua nihil tale cogitantem admirabili consensu sacrosanc－ torum Cardinalium in archiepiscopalem dignitatem euexit．＇

This preface is followed by a Greek address from Marcus Musurus：

## MA＇PKOミ $0^{\prime}$ MOTミOTPOミ I A＇N $\Omega$ LA－ $\Sigma K A^{\prime} P E I T \Omega$ ПA＇N $\Upsilon$ KAI＇PEIN．

occupying each side of this second leaf．On the ensuing leaf，a $\alpha$ ，com－ mences the text of the author；the word＇Pausanias＇being printed

[^49]at bottom of the page, on the recto of each leaf of the first four leaves, in every signature. The pages are regularly numbered from a $\alpha$, to the reverse of $f \varsigma v$, in eights; being 252 pages - when the text ends with the word TE'AOS beneath. On the recto of the ensuing and last leaf, we read the register and imprint; from which we gather the order of the signatures, and the date, thus :

## $\mathrm{a} \alpha \mathrm{b} \beta \mathrm{c} \gamma \mathrm{d} \delta \mathrm{e} \in \mathrm{f} \zeta \mathrm{g} \eta \mathrm{h} \theta \mathrm{i} / \mathrm{k} x 1 \lambda \mathrm{~m} \mu \mathrm{n} \nu \mathrm{o} \xi \mathrm{p} \circ \mathrm{q} \pi \mathrm{r} \beta \mathrm{f} s$

 Omnes sunt quaterniones præter $f$ s ternionem.
## venetils in atdibus aldi, ET ANDREAE SOCERI MENSE IVLIO. M.D.XVI.

On the reverse of this last leaf is the unshaded large anchor, as before. The reader may consult the Introd. to the Classics; vol. ii. p. 113. The present is a very fair and desirable copy, in old red morocco binding; having formerly belonged to De Thou.

## 339. Persius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

The Editio Princeps of this author is probably either the one or the other of those impressions, containing also the text of Juvenal, which are noticed at pages 119,121 , ante. The particular edition under description, is the one alluded to at page $11 \%$ ante; and as being unquestionably the production of Ulric Han's press. For reasons there assigned, this impression is considered a different and subsequent one to that of the Juvenal by the same printer. It appears however to be the earliest text of the poct separately printed; and therefore anterior to that by Gering, Crantz, and Friburger, subsequently described. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the opening, thus :

## FLACCI PERSII VOLTERANI SA, TYRARVM PRIMA FOELICITER INCIPIT

EC FONTE LABRA PROLVI CABALLINO :

Nec in bicipiti somniasse parnaso
Memini : ut repente sic poeta prodirem.
Heliconiadasq;: pallidamq; pirenem
Illis relinquo : quorū imagines lambunt
Hedere sequaces: ipse semipaganus
Ad sacra uatum carmen offero* nĩm
Quis expediuit psitaco suum chere :
Picasq; docuit nr̃a uerba conari
Magister artis: ingenii q; largitor
Venter negatas \& artifex sequi noces
Quod si dolosi spes refulserit nūmi
Coruos poetas : \& poetridas picas
Cantate ${ }^{*}$ credas pegaseum melos.
The second Satire begins as follows:

## SATYRA SECVNDA

Curas hominū. o quātū est i rebus inane Quis leget hec? mì tu istud ais nēo hercule nemo Vel duo uel nemo : turpe \& miserabile: quare ? \&c. \&c. \&cc.
A full page has 25 lines. Each Satire has a title in capitals, prefixed; and a small initial letter to the first line, to be enlarged by the illuminator. The vinth and last Satire thus concludes:

Iam decies redit in rugā. depinge ubi sistam
Inuentos Chrysippe tui finitor acerui.

## FINIS

In the whole, 14 leaves : without signatures, numerals, or catchwords. There can be no doubt of this impression being from the press of Ulric Han; but it has been generally described by bibliographers as a joint publication with the Juvenal by the same printer. This is evidently a different Roman edition from the one described by Audiffredi at p. 414, of his Edit. Rom. The present copy presents us with one of the most beautiful specimens of the skill of Roger Payne's binding. It is in olive-colour morocco.

[^50]340. Persius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

There can be little doubt concerning the press from which this impression issued ; although it be divested of place, and name of pririter. Those who are conversant in the early larisian printing, will immediately perceive that it is exceuted in the same character with which the Florys (see p. 29,) was executed; and that Gering, Crantz, and Friburger, were necessarily the printers of it. The character, as Chevillier justly says, is ' un caractere rond, de gros Romain.' This edition was unquestionably printed ' dans la Maison de Sorbonne;' although it has escaped the researches of Chevillier: see the lists of the several pieces of Gering, Crantz, and Friburger, at pp. 36, 68, and 98 of L'Orig. de l'Imprimerie de Paris. The work should have been introduced in the first list, when these printers carrited on their business in the above-mentioned place, in the years 1470-1-2, inclusively. Such is the scarcity of this impression, that it appears to have escaped Panzer, La Serna Santander, and Brunet.

The present copy does not contain Juvenal, -but that it was originally printed with it, is incontestible, from a perfect copy of the text of both Juvenal and Persius, in the library of Magdalen College, Oxford. By the kindness of Dr. Routh, the learned President of that college, and with the approbation of the officers of the same, I am favoured by the loan of this uncommon volume; and it is presumed that the public will not object to an accurate description of the entire impression, as it came from the hands of the printer. On the recto of the first leaf, we read according to the following fac-simile :

## Decimí Iuníi Iuvenalis Satyragy liber prímur.

## Materiã \& caulam fatyrapy hac infpice prima.

> EMPER ego audıtor tm.'nung̃ ne reponä! Vexatus totiens rauci theleide codri." Impune ergo mihi recitauerit ille togatas.?

A full page contains 32 lines. To each Satire a title is prefixed, in the letter as above. The impression of Juvenal comprehends 61 leaves. On the reverse of the 61 st and last leaf, we read the imprint, thus :

## Decimi Iunii Iuuenalis Aquinatis Satyrarum liber finit Fœeliciter;

Erhardus, D. I. Iuuenal' cultori. F. optat;

Ecce parens satyR, princeps eliconis \& auctor! In prauos mittens tela seuera notæ;

There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. The Persius immediately follows, on the recto of the ensuing leaf, thus :

Auli persii flacci in satyrap librum prolo, gus constans metro iambico trimetro.

Ec fonte labra prolui caballino! Nec in bicipiti somniasse parnaso
Memini me! ut repente sic poeta pdirē
Aeliconiadasq; , pallidamq; pyerenem Illis remitto! quorum imagines lambunt

To the first Satire is prefixed this title :
Satyra prima in uanos poetas, recitatores, \& auditores eorundem! $Q$ uana scribunt, recitant, \& audiunt;

A full page, as in the Juvenal, contains 32 lines. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. On the reverse of the 11th and last leaf, we read the following subscription:
A. P . F Satyrapl liber finit feliciter.

Erhardi Tetrastichon ad germanos librarios ingenuos.
Ecce tibi princeps satyrop codice paruo
Persius! arte noua impressus! \& ingenue.
Folices igit alemannos ; arte magistra
Qui studia ornantes/ fertis in astra gradum;

Upon the whole, it is very dubious whether this impression do not contain the earliest printed text of each of the poets. The copy just described, is tall and sound, with the exception of sonse stains, which the ingenuity of a modern book-binder would easily and safely remove. It is in its original binding of wood, covered witl sheep-skin. The copy of the Persius, in the present Collection, is large and clean; and handsomely bound in blue morocco.

## 341. Persius. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Folio.

This rude and rare impression is given to the press of Martin Flach; who, according to La Serna Santander, ' was admitted a burgess or citizen of Strasburg, in 1472, and who printed for the first time in 14i5.' Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 244 : see also vol. iii. p. 242-3, where the Abbé Rive is properly corrected for assimilating these types to those of John Zainer, of Ulm - on the authority of the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. n ․ 4889-where a fac-simile of a supposed similar type, from an ancient edition of Sallust, is given. Laire, in his Index Libror. vol. i. p. 165-6, is therefore wrong in subscribing to the opinion of the authors of the La Valliere Catalogue. The types of both the Zainers, those of the edition of Sallust here referred to, and of the present impression, are of different characters. We proceed to a description of the volume before us. On the recto of the first leaf, it commences thus :

##   Cer fonte Yabra plui caluartino fere inficipiti gommiatse parnago Mecmini me: bt repente wit poeta poitem Wexiconiatag r palfidam pirenem <br> \&c. \&c. \&c.

This first page, which is rather a full one, contains 27 lines, exclusively of those of the title : there being no space between the prologue and the commencement of the first Satire. The following is the whole of what appears upon the reverse of the 12 th and last leaf:

# fem duplita: feci. iam triplex. jam mihi quarto <br> Fan decieg redit in rugam. acpinge whi gigtam <br> §nuentut rripippe tui finitar accrui:. <br> 卫iter fersiij Iucilfij 3lulij <br> fflatel jefliciter finit. 

## ©xplicit ignotus per totum pergius artem

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. Although, from the last line above extracted, we cannot draw the inference that the present is the first edition of the poet, it may perhaps be safely affirmed that it is of a more ancient date than that of 1475 ; the period which La Serna Santander assigns as the earliest of the printing of Martin Flach. The last line only proves that, to the printer's knowledge, there had been no previous impression of the text of the poet. There is a great appearance of antiquity in the rudeness of the characters with which this volume is executed. The paper is stout and excellent. The present is a fine copy; in blue morocco binding.

## 342. Persius. Printed by Renaldus de Novimagio. Venice. 1482. Folio.

Panzer has referred to more authorities than usual, in noticing this impression, which is but of secondary importance. Braun, pt. ii. p. 94-5, is very particular. All that may be here required is, a brief but correct notice of its commencement and conclusion. On the recto of the first leaf is the proheme of Bartholomeus Fontius, the Editor, to Lorenzo de Medici. On the reverse commences the text of the poet, surrounded by the commentary of the same editor. On the reverse of $d v$, we read the colophon, thus :
> A. Persii Flacci Satyrarum Finis. Anno. M. CCCC.LXXXII. Mēsis Decēbris Die: XXIIII. Per me Magistrū Renaldū De Nouimagio Theutonicū Venetiis impressun.

A short life of the printer, with the Register, are on the recto of the following and last leaf. In the whole, 20 leaves. The present is an indifferent copy, in calf binding.

## 343. Persius. Cum Annotationibus Ioannis

 Britannici, \&c. Printed at Milan. Folio.This edition is rather useful than splendid. From the last line in the volume, it would appear to have been executed either by Minutianus himself, or by some person who had worked in the office of that distinguished printer. Whether his name be speeified upon the scroll within the subjoined fac-simile, I cannot determine. There are some pretty typographical decorations in the volume, as may be ascertained from the following fac-similes; and the impression appears to have stood in need only of good paper, to render it elegant as well as useful. The recto of the first leaf presents us with some small figures of scribes, at desks-neatly executed-above the title of the work; which latter is in large lower-case black letter, having, beneath, poetical 'Argumenta Satyrarum' by Iodocus Ascensius-printed in small italic letter. Subjoined, is this splendid device


On the reverse is an interesting address of Ascensius, dated Lyons, February, 1499; from which Panzer has (but erroneously) described the edition. From this address we learn (as the subsequent pages confirm) that the impression contains the labours of Britannicus, Beroaldus, and Politian - ' Dii boni qualium virorum prelectiones!' exclaims Ascensius! There are LIIII numbered folios: on the recto of the last of which the index concludes, with the following subscription :

## Ex libraria Minutiana

evidently meaning from the press of Minutianus :' see vol. i. p. 380, concerning this printer. No other colophon is in the volume. The elegañt letters, above alluded to, are some of them thus:


The present is a sound copy; in calf binding.
344. Petronius Arbiter. Without Place or Name of Printer. 1476. Quarto.

Editio Princers; at the end of the Younger Pliny's Panegyric of Trajan. The reader has been already informed (Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 115) that 'bibliographers had generally imagined the edition of 1499 to be the first of Petronius Arbiter ; but it appears from the Bibl. Reviczk. p. 80, that there is an edition of this writer at the end of the Panegyric of Pliny, printed at the above period. See also the Edit. Bipont. of this author, p. xx, which treats copiously and critically of this first impression.* Both Burman and

[^51]Antonius were entirely ignorant of it.' La Serna Santander has omitted to mention it, even in the corrections to his third part or volume ; and although Brunet refers us to Count Reviczky's catalogue for the existence of this earlier impression, he is pleased to call the ensuing one the 'Editio Princeps.' Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 274. In describing this impression, I must necessarily insert what may be supposed to belong to the Panegyric of Trajan by Pliny; but as these two works are blended together, in the present volume, the reader will not exact a severe method of description. In the edition under consideration, it will be necessary to begin with the following extract ; from the 3d page of the prefatory address of Puteolanus to Iacobus Antiquarius:-which gives at once the contents of the volume.

Additi sunt unde cim alii Panægirici nō Pliniano quidē pares sed tamen non inelegantes aut recusandi : mira in singulis erudi tio. Adieci: ut magnitudo uoluminis iusta ēèt: Iulii agri colæ uitam per Corneliū Tacitum conscriptā: quæ me ita afficit delectat tenet : ut nihil unquam pari uolupta te legerim. Fragmentū Petronii arbitri postremū locum tenet \& quasi agmen claudit incuria quide temporum mācum \& adeo mutilatū ut uix cognosci possit : eiusmo di tamen ut non reiici debeat : læe tuis auspiciis in luce redeunt: Debebunt tibi qui legerint : quāquam pro tuis summis uirtutib ${ }^{9}$ omnes tibi debent. Vale decus meū.

[^52]On the recto of u ii, (the works mentioned in the address of Puteolanus completing the number of the other signatures) at bottom, we read the commencement of Petronius Arbiter, thus:

## PETRONII ARBITRI SATYRICI FRAGMENTA QVAE EXTANT. <br> VM ALIO GENERE furiap declamatores inquetant: q clamāt : hæc uulnera pro libertate \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 30 lines. On the reverse of the 19th leaf, from its commencement, the text concludes thus, with the subjoined date:

> Iurisconsulte paret non paret habento Atq; esto quidquid Seruius \& Labeo. Multa loquor : quid uis nummis præsentib9. opta: Et ueniet. clausum possidet arca Iouem.

## Tenos.

## M CCCC LXXVI.

To the foregoing description it will be necessary to add two remarks; probably of some little moment. First, the date of the above imprint seems to me to be executed by different hands from those which worked the body of the text. The capital letters are all different from those preceding them; and there is an appearance of a separate, and therefore subseguent, workmanship in the entire line, as it is printed in the present copy. If so, the date is a spurious one. Secondly, the text of this edition differs only in having more contractions than that of the ensuing one : the readings, and the punctuation, both in the prose and poetry, being precisely the same-according to the numerous passages which I have consulted. It will follow, therefore, that the text is only of the same critical importance with that of the ensuing impression. Indeed the editor himself seems to have been fully aware of the imperfect state of the MS. from which it was printed. See post, art. Pliny Junior.
345. Petronius Arbiter. Printed by B. V. de Vitalibus. Venice. 1499. Quarto.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the following prefix:

## PETRONII ARBI'TRIS SATYRICI FRAGMEN, TA QVAE EXTANT.

There are 97 lines below : a full page has 30 lines. The signatures, from a to e, run in fours. On the reverse of eiij, the imprint is thus :

## $\mathrm{T} \leqslant \lambda \rho \sigma$

Impressum Venetiis per Bernardinum Venetum De Vitalibus Anno domini. M.cccc.xcix. Die. xxiii. Mensis Iulii.

- This edition is very rare. According to the preface of Burman it is incorrect and imperfect; some passages however are good and complete, and have been incorporated in the editions of subsequent editors: "Ea habet multas lacunas (say the Bipont editors, p. xxr.) multas vero etiam probas lectiones." It appears to have been faithfully reprinted by Tanner, and edited by Buschius, at Leipsic.' Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 115-6. I have before observed that this edition seems to be a faithful reprint of the preceding one. In calf-binding.


## 346. Phalaris, \&c. Latinè. Without the Name of Printer. 1470. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. Latinè. There are few points in bibliography more puzzling than that of settling the exact chronology of the several early impressions of the Latin version of the Epistles of Phalatis: nor am I confident that I am quite correct in assigning precedency to the present impression. This curious and interesting edition contains several pieces, as the subjoined note testifies,* printed by the

[^53]> Raimitii ! in catalogum Mitridatis de epi-
> stolis. M. bruti, ad Nicolaū quintū ponti= ficem maximum! præfatio folliciter incipit ;
same printer, and probably published at the same time in one volume. The date of $14 \% 0$ is subjoined to the prefatory epistle of one of these Opuscula; and although this may be the date of the composition of the epistle, yet the printing of it may also have taken place before the termination of the same year; that is, before the conclusion of the month of March. The impression attributed to the press of Ulric Han may

This preface occupies 3 pages. On the 4th page commences the proheme of Mitridas upon the Efistles of Brutus : then commence on the 6th page, on the reverse of the 3 d leaf from the beginning, the epistles themselves; which occupy the 14 following leaves. On the recto of the 17 th leaf, from the begimning, the subscription is thus :

Catalogus epl'ar[um] bruti finit feliciter;
On the reverse we read this poetical prefix to the Epistles of Crates, the Cynic :
Epigranma in catalogū epl'ar[um] Cratis cynici Diogenis discipulij
H æ tibi uirtutū stimulos, \& semina laudū, A tq; exēpla dabūt cynicæ, o lector studiose. P ieriis stenim studiis, multoq; redundant A e loquio! ne desidiis, dapibus ue paratis I ndulgere uelis! ne ignaua \& marcida luxu O cia, ne torpens somnos admittere inertes. D iscere sed quantū paupertas sobria possit ;

On the recto of the following leaf commences the proheme of Atanasius Constantinopolitanus archiensis abbas,' to the eldest son of Charles of Arragon, concerning these epistles; which, including this proheme, occupy 9 leaves : ending on the reverse of the 9 th : ' Finis Cynicar[um] Cratis; Beneath, we read the following curious verses:

Erhardi Vuindsberg Epigrāma ad gerruanos librarios egregios, michaelem, mar tinum atạ; udalricum ;
P lura licet summæ dederis alemannia laudi!
A treor hoc maius te genuisse nibil.
Q' prope diuinā summa ex industria fingis
Scribendi hanc artem, multiplicans studia.
F elices igit[ur] Michael, Martineq; semper V iuite, \& Vlrice! hoc q[uei]s opus imprimit[ur].
E rhardum uestro \& nō dedignemini amore! C ui fido semper pectore clausi eritis;

Next ensue the Epistles of Cardinal Bessarion; having the Cardinal's prefatory address to Fichetus-' professori in collegio Sorbonæ Parisi amico nostro carissimo.' On the reverse we read the date thus: as above alluded to:
_ Valete ex urbe die xiii decembris .M.cccelxx ${ }_{i}$
This is succeeded by the Cardinal's address-' inclytis atq; illustrissimis italix principibus.?
however have preceded the present one. That the first Parisian Printers, Gering, Crantz, and Friburger, were the printers of all these Opuscula, is sufficiently evident; and from the character of the type, this volume was in all probability not published later than the close of the year 1470. On the recto of the first leaf we read the proheme of the translator, F. Aretin; having this prefix :

# Francisci Aretini! phalaridis agrigentini in epistolas, ad illustrem principem malatestā nouellum de malatestis! prohemiū incipit; 

These epistles, in the whole, occupy 29 leaves. On the reverse of the 29 th leaf, at top, we read a prefix, which describes a very different production of the same author:

> EIVSDEM ad eosdem persuasio!
> ex auctoritate Demosthenis

Then ensues, on the reverse of the succeeding leaf,

> DE M OSTHENIS ORATIO
> pro ferenda ope olynthiiis aduersus Philippū regem Macedonnm;*
with observations at the right side, adapted to the politics of the times. In the whole, 8 leaves. Next follows a summary address to the 'Italiæ principes'-in 3 pages. Such is the account of the contents of this curious volume; which it was thought adviseable to introduce in the present place, rather than under detached heads, among the 'Scriptores Miscellanei. in the subsequent volume. It now remains to subjoin a few bibliographical memoranda.

In the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iv. p. 307, no. 4110, there is only a summary and unsatisfactory account of these pieces ; and from no. 4125 , it would appear that the author was ignorant of this impression of the Epistles of Bessarion. De Bure assigns the date of 1470 to the preceding works. The edition of Bcssarion in the Cat. de Gaignat, vol. i. p. 614, no. 2558 seems also to have been a different one. In the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 744, no. 4426, all the pieces of this volume, with the exception of those of Bessarion, are briefly but pertinently described; and the date of 'about 1471 ' is assigned to the impression ; but the book iserroneously said to be in 8 vo . In the same volume of the same catalogue, p. 56-7, no. 2339, some Opuscula of Bessarion are noticed; yet a few of those of Fichetus would appear to precede them, one of which is dated 1471 . According to the Bibl. Crevenn vol. iii. p. 72-3, these Opuscula of Fiehetus should be three in number; of which ' the last is the longest and most interesting ; comprehending 10 pages.' Maittaire, vol. i. p. 294-5, has availed himself of the authority of Chevillier. But we must not forget the notice, by Laire, of a copy of an early impression of Bessarion's Epistles, in which was a letter of the Rector of the University of 1'aris, to the Cardinal, dated ' apuld sauctum Mathurinum, anuo 1472'-and in which thanks were given bim for the several broks ordered by him for the use of the University Index Libror. vol. i. p. 96, no. 31.

Below, are 19 lines; a full page comprehends 23 lines. The impression contains 56 leaves; ending thus:

## Epistolap Phalaridis foelix finis;

All these Opuscula have been mentioned by Chevillier, L'Orig. de $l$ 'Imprim. p. 36-7; and still more distinctly by Panzer, vol. ii. p. 271. This iupression is executed upon paper of unusual strength and thickness. A genuine copy, in russia binding.

## 347. Phalaris. Latinè. Printed by Ferandus. Brescia. Without Date. Quarto.

This rare impression has been well noticed by Morelli and Audiffredi. Panzer, vol. i. p. 261, has quoted the whole of Morelli's remark in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 213-4; which may indeed be worth bringing forward in the present place: 'Liber longe rarissimus, ac vel præcipuis rei Bibliographicæ scriptoribus prorsus ignotus. Ab eo typographiam Brixiensem exordium sumpsisse si quis existimet, is haud temere mea sententia faciat: character ejus namque Romanus et rudis est, neque numeri, signaturæ, aut custodes adsunt. Ne quis vero Thomam Ferrandum Rrixiensem typographum, aut bibliopolam existimet, adjiciam, ejus. Orationem quandam in nuptiis Francisci Gonzagii Marchionis Mantuæ, et Isabellæ Atestiæ habitam, Sæc. XV. absque ulla nota impressam, me olim vidisse.' But Denis, in availing himself of the authority of Morelli, is pleased, in his brief account of the volume, to add-after the words 'Thoma Ferrando Auctore'' non Typographo;' see Suppl. Maitt. p. 638, nº. 5628: for which he has been properly corrected by Audiffredi, who subjoins-' Ferrandus enim non modo hujus editionis typographus exstitit, sed et alterius * longe majoris molis; qua antiquiorem, alteriusque typographi nomine notatam, inter Brixianas editiones certo detegere Bibliographorum nullus hactenus valuit.' Edit. Ital. p. 199. We proceed to describe the volume. On the recto of the first leaf commences the address of the translator, F. Aretin, with nearly the usual prefix :

[^54]FRANCISCI Arretini ad Illustrem uirū Ma, latestam nouellum Principem in Phalaridis epi stolas e greco in latinum traductas. prefatio feeliciter incipit

There are 19 lines below : a full page comprehends 24 lines. On the recto of the 4th leaf commences the first Epistle-' Phalaris Aliciboo.' Every Epistle has a title or prefix, in capital letters, with the number of each subjoined in Roman numerals. On the reverse of the 55 th and last leaf, we read the following subscription:

## FINIS <br> BRIXIAE THOMA FERRANDO AV CTORE. KALENDIS SEP'IEMBRIS

The present is a very desirable copy of this uncominon volume; and is in red morocco binding.
348. Phalaris. Latinè. Without Place, Date, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

I take this to be the edition, for an account of which Panzer, vol. ii. p. 95, refers exclusively to Laire's Index Libror. vol. i. p. 168. The number of lines in the first and last page (as well as in every other) exactly corresponds with the account in this latter authority; namely, 25 lines in each. But Lairc is evidently erroneous in assigning the impression to the press of Zarotus; nor can I agree with the ms. observation of Mr. Edwards, in this copy, 'that the type is probably Lavagna's.' It wants the breadth and squareness of that of Zarotus, and the elegance and symmetry of that of Lavagna. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the prefix, thus :

## FRANCISCI ARHETINI IN PHA LARIDIS TYRANNI AGRIGENTI NI EPISTOLAS PROEMIVM.

On the reverse of the 49th and last leaf, we read this subscription:
Qui modo notus erat nulli : penitus q; latebat.
Nunc Phalaris doctum protulit ecce caput.
vol. in.
н h

The foregoing is one of those deceptive conclusions by which the inexperienced collector may be led to imagine this impression to be the first extant; but it is only one of the numerous instances of the air of importance which our ancient printers appear to have assumed in giving publicity to their works. I should apprehend the date of this edition not to be earlier than 14i4; although it be without signatures and catchwords. A neat copy, with marginal observations, and bound in yellow morocco.

## 349. Phalaris. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

It is justly observed by the noble Owner of this copy, that the type of the present impression resembles that of Sixtus Reusinger, or Riessinger, who printed at Naples between the years $14 ; 0$ and 1480 . Panzer, vol. iv. p. 384, n${ }^{\circ}$. 88, notices an impression of the Epistles of Phalaris, in the Italian language, by the same printer; but seems to have been ignorant of the present one in the Latin language. He refers to Giustiniani's Saggio sulla Tipografia del Regno di Napoli, 1793, 4to. p. 39.* Upon a full consideration of the impression, as it lies open before me, I am doubtful whether, notwithstanding it is here inserted as the fourth article, it be not, in reality, the rirst impression of the Latin version of F. Aretin. The proheme of the translator has no prefix; but the first 9 lines commence according to the ensuing fac-simile :

> EIIEM Malatefta Nouel* le Princeps Iltuftris: tảtam mibı dicendi facultatem da' ri : utuel preftantie tue: uel phalaridss noltri epifolis: quas nuper e greco in lati' num traductas: et nomini tuo ut policitus fum dicatas: mitto: mea rer fponderet oratio - Vtrum erum affequeretur: nō

[^55]It will be seen from this fac-simile, that the type has a resemblance to the smallest type of Ulric Han, and to that with which the Manilius of 1474 is executed : vide p. 162 ante. A full page has 27 lines. There are no titles to the several Epistles, and the volume is entirely without signatures, catchwords, and numerals. On the reverse of the 47 th and last leaf, it terminates thus:

## Phalaridis Tyrāni Agrigentini Epistole ad Illustrem principē Malatestā per Franciscū Aretinum Translate feliciter Explitiunt

A sound copy, but not free from marginal disfiguring; in elegant russia binding.
350. Phalaris. Latinè. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

This edition is unquestionably from the press of Stephen Planch; and has been briefly but satisfactorily described by Audiffredi, in his Edit. Rom. p. 402. The prefix to the proheme of the translator, is thus :

## frantigit 3aryctini in flyalaridig tiramit Fgrigentin cpistolaw joracmium.

A full page has 31 lines. In the whole, 38 leaves. On the reverse of the last leaf, we read the same Latin couplet as terminates the third edition here described: see p. 232 ante. Beneath this, there is a register in 10 lines. I This edition is probably about the date of 1490 . The present is a large, but rather soiled copy, in red morocco binding. Audiffredi notices a very beautiful and illuminated copy in the Casanatensian library. Few small-sized volumes of typographical antiquity have a neater aspect than those (when in fine condition) which have descended to us from the press of Stephen Planck.

## 351. Phalaris. Apollonius. Brutus. Episтоlж. Græcè. Printed at Venice. 1498. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. We now reach the first Greek impression of these celebrated Epistles. The well known controversy concerning them, in our own country, between Bentley and Boyle, stands recorded in almost every work of literary biography-' controversia (says Freytag) non sine insigni animorum acerbitate agitata:' Adpar. Literar. vol. ii. p. 1268-9. But our business is with the edition under description. The reader has already learnt (see vol. i. p. 227-8) that this impression contains also the Greek text of Æsop; which latter has been described in the place here last referred to. Of that portion of it, connected with the above authors, it remains to give a particular account. On the recto of the first leaf, sign. $\alpha \alpha$, commences the prefatory address of the editor, with the usual complaint against printers and publishers :

## Bartholomaeus Iustinopolitanus Petro Contareno patritio ueneto. S.

Cum omnium atq; adeo quotidianis querelis rei litterariae calamitas deploretur, quae librariorum impressorumque in curia in dies diffunditur latius, incredible dictu, nec minus foedum, nullos tam diu bonare artium cultores extitisse, qui sacratissimum litcrarum numen ueluta profanis assererent, \&c. \&c. \&cc.

This address concludes at the 7th line, on the reverse of the same leaf. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, $\alpha \alpha$ ii, the text of the Epistles of Phalaris commences thus :

##  <br> NOX A'KPATANTI'N $\Omega$ N.

$$
\Phi A^{\prime} \Lambda A P I \Sigma A^{\prime} \Lambda K I B O^{\prime} \Omega
$$



 \&c. \&c. \&c.

Each Epistle has a Greek prefix, or title, and is numbered in Roman numerals. On the reverse of $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ iiij $\left(\alpha \alpha \beta \beta \int \Gamma\right.$ and $\delta \delta$ having each 8 leaves to a signature), we read the following subscription to the cxxxvinth Epistle :

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, $\zeta \zeta$ commence the Epistles of Apollonius, which terminate on the reverse of $n n$ iiij一 $\zeta \zeta$ having eight leaves. The Epistles of Brutus have a proheme, with this prefix on the recto of $\theta \theta$.

## MI@PI $\Delta A^{\prime} T H \Sigma, ~ B A \Sigma I \Lambda E I I^{\prime}$ MI@PI $\Delta A^{\prime}$ TH T $\Omega$ A ${ }^{*} N E \Psi I \Omega$ XA'IPEIN.

They terminate on the reverse of $\theta \theta \mathrm{x}$ : when we have the following subscription :

Priuilegio multa indicta uetatur, ne quis ad decem annos im primere possit nec aliubi impr̃ssa uendere usquam locorum ditionis inclyti \& felicissimi. S. Veneti.

Ex aedibus Bartholomaei Iustinopolitani, Gabrielis Brasi, chellensis, Ioannis Bissoli, et Benedicti Mangii carpensium. .M.IID. xiiii. cal. Iulias.

The impression of Æsop's Fables ensues: for which, vide ante. Having examined Fabricii Bibl. Gr. vol. i. 671-2, cura Harles; Saxius, Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. Dcıv; Maittaire, vol. i. p. 256; edit. 1719 ; Laire's Index Libror. vol. ii. p. 241; and Seemiller, Incunab. Typog. fasc. iv. p. 105,-I presume to remark, that this impression is evidently the production of a Venetian, and not of a Milan, press. The characters resemble those of Calliergus; and the names of the publishers appear to be incorporated in the above colophon. It is a volume of extreme rarity. The present is a fair copy; in red morocco binding.

## 352. Pindarus. Opera. Callimachus. Dio-

## nysius. Lycophron. Græcè. Prented by Aldus. Venice. 1513. Octaro.

Editio Princeps, as well of the Works of Pindar, as of Dionysius,

- De Situ Orbis' and of the 'Alexandra' of Lycophron: the 'Hymns of Callimachus having already appeared in the XVth century : see vol. i. p. 293. In the Pythia and Nemea, Aldus appears to lave consulted a much better MS. than in the Olympia: in the Isthmia he has cousulted an ancient but corrupt MS. The preface of this edition is extremely interesting. After giving a sketch of the war that had ravaged Italy, and suspended his typographical labours, Aldus takes a view of what he had already done in the cause of literature, and meditates on his probable future efforts. From a part of this, preface, we learn that Aldus had already exercised the art of printing twenty years-(' ad labores redii, eos, quos quā durissimos, jam uiginti annos expertus' $\& c$. ) -which proves that he began about the year 1493. Those who have not this edition, may see the preface extracted in Maittaire, vol. ii. p. 248-9.' Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 124.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read the titles of the works of the respective authors contained in the edition: these titles are in Greek and Latin. Beneath, is the device ; being $n^{\circ}$. I. in Renouard's facsimiles. On the reverse commences the preface, to Andreas Navagerus, above noticed; which comprehends 3 pages. On the following leaf, sign. * iii, begins a table of Contents of the Odes of Pindarfollowed, on * iiii, by a brief biography of the poet. The 4 ensuing leaves contain similar tables and biographies of Dionysius and Lycophron. These preliminary pieces, including the title page, comprehend 8 leaves. On the recto of the following leaf, the Olympics begin thus :

ПIN $\triangle A P O \Upsilon$ OAヘMMIONIKAI.
IEP $2 N I \quad \Sigma \Upsilon P A K O \sim \Sigma I \Omega I$

$\sigma \pi \rho \circ \not \dot{\eta}^{\prime}$.



 \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 26 lines. The pages are regularly numberedwithin the inner margin, upon the reverse of the leaf, and as usual upon the recto.* Callimachus commences at p. 227 ; Dionysius at p. 271 ; and Lycophron at p. $31 \%$. At p. 373 the text of the latter terminates; and on p. 374 , not numbered, we read this colophon:

## Venetijs in redib. Aldi, et Andrea Afulani Soceri, Menfe Ianuario m.d.xıir.

It is justly said by Renouard that 'the type of this beautiful edition is larger than that which was usually employed by Aldus in his smaller volumes.' The same bibliographer also observes that, from the preface, it is elear that the Aldine impressions of Pindar, of the dates of 1510, 1511 , and 1512 , are entirely supposititious. L'Imprim. des Alde, vol. i. p. 97-8. The present copy has not escaped the notice of Renouard. It is printed upon vellum, in a style of peculiar delicacy and beauty; and was obtained from the Soubise Collection by the late Count Reviczky, $\dagger$ forming one in the extraordinary suite of vellum Alduses possessed by the noble Owner of it. It is bound by Herring, with great taste and splendour, in olive-colour morocco, silk watertabby lining, and preserved in a blue morocco ease.

## 353. Plato. Opera. Græcè. Printed by Aldus. Venice. 1513. Folio.

Editio Princeps. The interesting works published in the Aldine press during the above year, are a sufficient demonstration of the zeal and activity with which Aldus resumed his important labours, after the disturbances which had prevailed at Venice in the two preceding years. Bibliographers are fond of recording the words

[^56]of Aldus, prefixed to this laborious and beautiful impression : ' \&si opere in magno fas est obrepere somnū (non enim unius diei labor hic noster, sed multorum annorum, atque interim nee mora, nec requies.), sic tamen doleo, ut si possem, mutarem singula errata numo aureo.' Well might the distinguished author of the Adagia* expatiate in praise of the spirit and meritorious labours of such a printer! But we return to the volume itself. The editor of it was Marcus Musurus; who has inserted an elegiac poem concerning Plato, which is said to have so much delighted Pope Leo the Xth, that, on that account alone, the editor was preferred to an archbishopric. $\dagger$ Althnugh the critical acumen displayed in this impression has been greatly excelled by that of subsequent scholars, yet is the edition entitled to our attention, as a number of good MSS. and ancient publications were consulted in the compilation of it. See the authorities referred to in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 132.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

## $A^{\prime} \Pi A N T A ~ T A ' ~ T O \Upsilon ~ П \Lambda A T \Omega N O \Sigma . ~$ <br> OMNIA PLATONIS OPERA.

The large anchor, in outline, is beneath. The reverse of the leaf is blank. On the recto of the following leaf, Ir, commences ' the Supplication of Aldus to Pope Leo X. in the cause of Christianity and Literature,' which concludes at bottom of I 2 recto: on the reverse, we read an 'Index Librorum Platonis.' On I 3, recto, commence some Greek verses of Musurus, which conclude on the reverse of I 4. On I 5, is the table of Plato's Works, in Greek, more particular than the preceding Latin table. Then follows the Greek biography of Plato, from Diogenes Laertius; occupying 9 leaves. Afterwards, a blank leaf. The text of the Dialogues commences on sign. a; having the page numbered. At page 495 we read

## MEME'EENOさ. H" E"ПITA'ФIOさ. TA ${ }^{\prime}$ TOT $\triangle I A \Lambda O^{\prime}$ 'Ơ ПРO' $\Sigma \Omega \Pi A$.

[^57]the Dialogue terminating at p. 502, and being succeeded by a blank leaf. The Politics commence with a fresh set of signatures, on A , thens :

## ПАА'Т $\Omega N O \Sigma$ ПOAITEI $\Omega$, ПР $\Omega^{\prime}$ TH.

The pagination also recommences; and at p. 141 the Politics conclude. At p. 142 the Timeus begins; and the remaining works of the philosopher extend as far as p. 439: the Epistles occupying the two last pages. I subjoin the register and imprint.

12abcdefghiklmnopqrftuxyzaabbce dd ce ff ger hhii. A B CDEFGHIKLMNOPQ RS'TVXYZAABBCCDDEE.

## Omnes quaterniones præter 2 \& ii \& EE duerniones.

VENETIIS IN AEDIb. ALDI, ET ANDREAE SOCERI MENSE SEPTEMBRI. M.D.XIII.

On the reverse of the last leaf is the anchor, as before, in outline. Renouard has not failed to notice the vellum copy of this beautiful volume which is in the Medicëan Library, as well as the two similar copies in our own country : one in the library of Westminster Cathedral, wanting the life of Plato; and the other perfect copy, now in the Hunter Collection at Glasgow.* In regard to copies of it upon paper, it will hardly be possible to find a larger or a more beautiful one, in every respect, than that under description. It was obtained from the Cabinet de M. Firmin Didot, which was sold at Paris in 1810 ; and is described in the sale catalogue, $n^{\circ} .106$, as ' exemplaire-d'une beauté et d'une conservation extraordinaires. Il est encore dans sa premiere reliure.' The copy in the Cracherode collection, and those in the collections of Mr. J. Raine, and Mr. Heber, are also exceedingly fine ones.

[^58]354. Plato. Opera. Latinè. Printed by B. de C. de Cremonu, and Simon de Luero. Venice. 1491. Folio.

Editio Secunda. Latinè. De Bure, vol. iii. p. 156-7, has merely alluded to a supposed earlier impression of this version of Plato, executed at Florence; without venturing to decide upon the chronological priority of either the Florentine or the Venetian edition. If he had examined the Amonitates Literarice of Schelhorn, vol. i. p. 89-90, he would have found it most probable that the Florentine impression preceded the one which we are about to describe.* It is well known that Marsilius Ficinus was the first translator of Plato:- 'eminet inter ea [Marsilii Ficini scripta] Platonis editio-are the words of Schelhorn; whose account of the studies and works of Ficinus is well deserving of the scholar's attention. Nor should the critical care and correction of Marcus Musurus, in this same translation, be unnoticed. In regard to the rarity, or bibliographical value, of the present impression, I am not aware that either the one or the other entitles it to a more copious account than that which here ensues:

On the recto of the first leaf, are the verses of 'Naldvs Nandivs Florentinvs,' in praise of the work: beneath, we read the words ' Diuus Plato.' The proheme of Ficinus immediately commences on

[^59]the reverse. The three ensuing leaves comprchend the Life of Plato: the word 'VITA' being as the running title. On the recto of the following leaf, sign. a, commences the Hippanchus of Plato. On the reverse of HH viij, the colophon is thus :

## ©TMpretsum Uenctijs per Dimonem ficlax tum of Tutca. 13. Tlugusti. 1491.

The Register, beneath, informs us that each of the three alphabets of the signatures runs in eights; with the exception of $a$; and of $p$ and $q$
 third-a having only four, and each of the others ten, leaves. Two leaves of chapters follow L . In the whole, 444 numbered leaves, from the commencement of the 'Hipparchus:' which, as has been shewn, is preceded by 4 leaves, not numbered. The type is a small gothic one, very closely printed in two columns. The present is an indifferent copy, in calf binding.

## 355. Plautus. Printed by John de Colonia, and

 Vindelin de Spira. Venice. 1472. Folio.Editio Princefs. We will first give a more particular account of this rare and magnificent impression than has hitherto appeared; and in the second place subjoin a few bibliographical notices relating to it. On the recto of the first leaf we read the prefatory epistle of the editor, having this prefix :

> Reuerendissimo in Christo patri \& domino Iacobo Zeno Pontifici Patauino Georgius Alexandrinus Salutem plurimā dicit.

This epistle is followed by a Life of Plautus, and a list of his Comedies : $\dagger$ in the whole, 3 leaves. A blank leaf ensues. On the recto of the 5 th leaf begins the 'Amphitryo,' thus :

[^60]Plauti Comici clariss. Amphitryo.

## Argumentum.

N faciem uersus amphitryonis iuppiter Dum bellum gereret cum telebois hostibus: Alcumenam uxorem cepit usurariam :
Mercurius formam sosie serui gerit
Absentis: his alcumena decipitur dolis :
\&c. \&c. \&cc.
The opening of the Dialogue, on the 7 th leaf, is as follows:

> Sosia. Mercurius.

So. q. Vi me: alter est audacior homo? Aut qui cōfidentior? Iuuentutis mores qui sciā: qui hoc noctis solus ābulē? Quid faciam nūc si tres uiri me in carcerē cōpegerit? \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

A full page contains 41 lines. The impression terminates thus, on the 243rd and last leaf:

Phr. Aeque ut rē bene gestā uestrā rursū bene gerā Amabo si quid animatust facere : faciā : ut sciā: Veneris causa adplaudite. eius hec in tutela è fabula: Spectatores bene ualete. plaudite atq; exurgite.

Then follows the same sentence as that which precedes the colophon in the ensuing impression ; except that the word 'iterum' is omitted, and the names of the printers-' Ioannis de Colonia Agripinensi : atq; Vindelini de Spira'-are substituted for those of the other printers. The colophon is thus:
VENETIIS. M.CCCC.LXXII. Nicolao Throno Principe iucundissimo \& Duce foelicissimo.

The impression is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords. The present is a large and sound copy of it, (with the exception of a few of the latter leaves) in red-morocco binding.

According to the Bibl. Harleian. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1113, the editor of this impression ' deserves the highest encomiums for having divided the text of Plautus into verses : the Comedies of Terence were long published without any regard to the metre.' The same authority, (whieh, however, erroneously assigns the date of 1473 to the impression) refers us very properly to the interesting prefatory epistle of Merula; wherein the completion of the edition is compared to the labours of Hercules.* 'I have lately (says Harles) obtainerl a copy of this Editio Princeps; and I have observed, what has not been mentioned by former bibliographers, that it frequently departs from other editions, especially in the length of the verses; that, in the middle of some of the Comedies, there are chasms to the extent of nearly four pages; but whether this has happened from accident, or from want of materials in the original MS., or from the intention of the editor, I am unable to determine.' Many other instances of variations from the edition are then mentioned by Harles. See the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. 138-9. A note by the late Bishop of Ely, relating to a transposition of a page in the Persæ and Stichus, may be worth imparting - 'Quam ob causam (says the Bishop) ita evenit prorsus nescio, sed pagina tota tertia Persarum ad Stichum pertinet, et illic rectè post 27 folia interposita, repetitur. Aversa hujus paginæ facies in Sticho alba est.' De Bure is tolerably particular in his Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 246-7, but not free from a trifling error; as a correction of it, in the Cat. de Guignat, vol. i. p. 406, n. 1573 , demonstrates. He observes that the greater number of copies of this

[^61]first edition, in Paris, were imperfect. Fossi does not fail to call the impres̀sion 'elegantissima editio;' Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 369 : his description is brief, but exact. Freytag has spoken of the editor -'vir-inter humanarum litterarum Professores magni nominis'--with sufficient respect; but seems to have been indebted to Maittaire and Quirini* for his knowlelge of this inpression. Adpar. Litterar. vol. ii. p. 1334-5. Laire notices a copy of it upon vellum -' apud Canonicos Patavinos:' Index. Libror. vol. i. 284-5. The present copy is a very magnificent one; in dark-red stained morocco binding

## 356. Plautina Dicta. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

This rare and curious little volume is on many accounts interesting to the classical bibliographer. It appears never to have been seen by Panzer, La Serna Santander, and Brunet, and is very briefly and obscurely described by Maittaire. Such is its rarity, that although the editor of it, Bonus Accursius Pisnnus, was one of the most distinguished literary characters at Milan, in the XVth century, the book seems to havc entirely escaped the researches of Saxius, in his truly valuable Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. $\dagger 1745$, folio. Accursius is said by Saxius-- ad locupletandam rarioribus libris literariam Rempublicam totum se contulisse;' and whoever reads the brief but interesting prefaces of the former, to a few only of his publications, (see the one prefixed to the first Greek edition of Æsop, in vol. i. p. 222 of this work) will observe with what care and anxiety this illustrious scholar seems to have smoothed the road of learning to young people in particular:how he adapts his publications to the minds of junior students :-and how he appears to have devoted nearly the whole of his time to the successful cultivation of literature in the rising generation. In the present volume, he tells the respectable character to whom it is dedi-cated-that ' his labour may be productive of some little utility and

[^62]$t$ See p. xciv, aud cols. clxi-clxviif.
elegance in forming the minds of young people; -and not only to the grand-children of his patron, but to youth in general, who were desirous of grounding themselves in a kuowledge of pure Latin ; "opecially in the composition of Epistles, and in familiar discourse'-but this prefatory address shall speak for itself, and will be found in the note below.* The volume may be called, in modern language, an Abridgment of Plautus.

The recto of the first leaf contains a register : the 3 following pages are occupied by the subjoined preface of the Editor. On Ai, commence the excerpts from the Amphitryo, thus:

VSTAM Rem \& faci
i lēesse oratā a uobis uolo. Na iuste ab iustis iustus sum orator datus. Nam iniusta a iustis īpetrari nō decet. Iusta aut ab iniustis petere insipiētia $\bar{e}$ \&c. \&cc. \&c.

Similar extracts, from the remaining nineteen plays, ensue. A full page has 20 lines. The signatures, A to E , then A to H , run in

[^63]eights; and the volume terminates with extracts from the Truculentus, on the reverse of H ii, thus :

## Hic edepol remoratus est me.

Age age absolue : atq; argentū nume ra: ne comites morer.

At the conclusion of each play there is generally the word Finis ; surrounded by stars, or small letters, by way of ornament. The type is large and bold; and very different from the roman type of Gerard de Leuu, both in character and size.* Maittaire, vol. i. p. 353-4, happened to examine a copy of this impression which was bound with a work entitled 'Mirabilia Roma, 1475', and to which latter were subscribed, in the colophon, the words $\mathrm{G}::$ TARVISII :: F :: (that is, Gerardus de Flandria); and Panzer, in consequence, attributed the present production to the same printer; although Maittaire had in fact described this latter work to be ' minore charactere.' The printer of this edition is therefore not yet satisfactorily ascertained; but the date of it may be somewhere about the year 1474-6; as. Accursius, at the close of his preface, speaks of the work being a prelude 'to other greater labours.' Nothing can well exceed the beautiful condition of this desirable volume. It is bound in red morocco.

## 357. Plautus. Printed by Paul de Feraria, and Dionysius de Bononia. Treviso. 1482. $\dagger$ Folio.

It seems to be agreed among bibliographers that the present impression is only a reprint of the preceding one. We shall therefore be brief, but sufficiently particular, in the description of it. The first

[^64]three leaves are occupied by the prefatory epistle, and the Life of Plautus, by George Alexander Merula. On the reverse of the third leaf, is a list of the several plays, with the first two or three words of the commencement to each.* On sig. b (1) the text of Amphitryo begins: this signature has 10 leaves; but the rest, to $\xi$, have each only 8 leaves. After $z$, follow \&, 9 , and $\mathrm{R} \nsim$-each in 8 . Then $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ in eights, D six, E seven. On the reverse of $\mathrm{E} v j$, we read the colophon, thus:

P lautinæ uiginti Comediæ: liguæ latinæ deliciæ: magna ex parte iter emendatæ per Georgium Alexandrinum. De cuius eruditione \& diligentia iudicent legentes. Impressæ fuere opera : \& impendio Pauli de Feraria. atq; Dionysii de Bononia.

TARVISII. M.CCCCLXXXII. DIE. XXI. IVNII. IOANNE MOCE NIGO PRINCIPE IVCVNDISSIMO ET DVCE FOELICISSImo

On the recto of the opposite leaf is the Register. The present is a soiled copy; in handsome red morocco foreign binding.

## 358. Plautus. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

This edition, as appears from the title, contains the united labours of Hermolaus, Merula, Politian, and Beroaldus, ' with many additions.' It seems to have escaped Panzer ; but is noticed in the Bibl. Harleian, vol. iii. $n^{\circ} .827$, and will be found, from the sequel, to be rather an interesting acquisition to the impressions of Plautus. The title, as befure noticed, is on the recto of the first leaf, sign. a : the reverse is blank. A life of Plautus by George Merula, a list of the plays, with titles of discorered fraginents, ensue ; occupying 3 leaves, the reverse of the third being blank. On b, recto, the Amphitryo commences, surrounded by commentary : the remaining plays have only marginal annotations. The signatures run in sixes, from a to $g$ inclusively; $h$ and $i$ are in eights; $\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{n}$, in sixes; n to r inelusively, in eights ; $\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{six}$; $\mathrm{t}(\mathrm{oz}$, in eights; $\mathrm{z}, \&$, sixes ; and $0, \mathrm{R}$, eights. Thien a fresh alphabet, as far as H ; of which A, F, and G, are in sixes : the remainder in eights.

[^65]On the reverse of H iij, the text concludes : after which we have a subscription, in ten lines, informing us that - 'studio \& diligentia Sebastiani Ducii et Georgii Galbiati pristinam quasi imaginem ipse plautus resumpsit.' It appears also, from the same subscription, that the manuscript used by Merula and Politian had been duly collated. No mention is made of the place, or date,* of the execution of the volume. Next ensues, what is said in the Harleian catalogue (Ibid) to be 'a description of a Greek play called Cottabus, with a cut exhibiting a representation of it.' Both this description and the cut are too interesting to be withheld from the classical antiquary; and are accordingly here submitted to his consideration.

## Ex græco.

Cottabus genus ludi cōuiuialis tale: Erectū stabat lignū in loco cōuiuii librā habēs supimpositā: quæ facile descēderet: circa aūt utrāq; lancē libræ stabat peluiscula imagunculam habēs mediam affixā: parū autē a lancibus capita imagūculap̌ distabant : ut inclinantes lances capita earū offenderēt: \& sonitū redderent. Implentes igit̃ uino os conuiuæ exspuebāt in lancē quæ pcussa uini pondere: quod liqdum \& latagen uocabant : ut \& lancis excussio i uerticē feriret imagūculā : cōuiuis uictor talis eē iudicabã̃. $\dagger$


[^66]A leaf only ensues ; containing, on the recto, a register of the work -the reverse of it being blank. The present is the identical copy which was in the Harleian collection; and like most of the books in that extraordinary library, is in fine sound condition. It is in redmorocco binding.

## 359. Plautus. Printed by Simon Bivilaqua. Venice. 1499. Folio.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the title of the volume, which informs us that it contains the annotations of P. Valla and B. Saracenus. On the reverse is the alldress of Valla to Scaramuza Trivultius. As Seemiller has properly observed, the impression is divided into two parts : the first part containing the annotations of Valla upon select passages, without the whole of the text: the second part containing the annotations of Saracenus with the whole of the text. The first part extends from A to m ; A having 10 , the rest, to k , $\mathrm{S}: \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{l}$, and $\mathrm{m}, 6$, leaves. On aa i commences the address of Saracenus to Franciscus Marcellus; this signature, 6 leaves. Next, on a i, the proheme of Saracenus ; and on a ii, the text of Plautus, with lis commentary. The signatures run from a to $\mathrm{z}, \&, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{R}$, in eights-then A to E in eights: E six, and F four. On the recto of Fiij , we read the imprint, thus:

Impressum Venetiis per Simonem Papiensem dictum Biuilaqua: \& summa diligētia emendatū ut ex postremis castigatioībus patebit. Anno humāitatis Xp̄i.M.CD.XCIX. XV. Klendas* Octobres. Sereniss. Augustino Barbadico Venetorum Duce Imperante.

Beneath we read some verses of Palladius Soranus to Saracenus, \&c. which are extracted by Mittarelli, Appx. Libror. Sac. xv, col. 366-\%.

[^67]- Sic.

To the right, is the device of the printer, according to the following fac-simile : - from which it will appear that Bevilaqua might have inserted his name with a little more attention to lineal exactness.


On the reverse is a register, followed by errata and addenda, which fill the entire page on the recto of F iv. Seemiller fasc. iv. p. 113, and Mittarelli (ut supra) are much more satisfactory than Maittaire, vol. i. p. 688 ; and Laire, Index Libror. vol.ii. p. ${ }_{\sim}^{252 .}$. Panzer is sufficiently particular. The copy of this edition in the public library at Nuremberg, is briefly mentioned by De Murr in his Memorab. Bibl. Publ. Norimb. vol. ii. p. 199. The present is a large and beautiful copy of this elegantly printed volume ; and is magnificently bound in red morocco-foreign binding. It belonged to the library of Camus de Limare.

## 360. Plautus. Printed by Scinzenzeler. Milan. 1500. Fulio.

This impression contains the elaborate commentary of I. Baptista Pius upon Plautus; and, as Saxius has well remarked, ' is printed in a neat Roman type, without paginary numerals.' See the Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. DCvi; note (o). 'The title is printed in large lowercase Gothic, having some verses of Sebastian Ducius beneath. On the reverse is the address of $P$. Beroaldus to the reader; succeeded by a similar address from Pius himself: the title of the latter is in red ink. Other addresses, with a table, occupy the remaining leaves of sign. AA. We have next the advertisement of the Commentator, occupying a 6 ; then commences, on sign. b, the Amphitryo of Plautus; surrounded, like every other page, by the commentary. The signatures, from $b$ to $z, \&$,, , and $k$, run in eights : next, A to $Z$ in eights: then aa to cc in eights: cc having ten. On the reverse of cc ix, we read the imprint thus :

## Impressum Mediolani per Magistrum Vldericum scinzenze

 ler anno domini. Mccecc. die. xviii. mensis. Ianuarii.The device of the printer, precisely like the fac-simile appended to the colophon of the lsocrates of 1493, (see p. 97 ante) is immediately beneath; from which we may infer that Scinzenzeler probably executed the work here last mentioned. A leaf, with the register on the recto, concludes the impression. From Ernesti we learn that the commentator made some important omissions and corrections of the notes in this impression, which appear in the Bologna Lucretius of 1511, and the Parisian Lucretius of 1514. See Fabric. Bibl. Lat. vol. i. p. 16. The present is a fine genuine copy, in old red-morocco binding.

## 361. Plinius Senior. Opera. Printed by Iolm de Spira. Venice. 1469. Folio.

Editio Princeps. There are few productions of ancient printing more interesting than the present one; whether we consider the magnificence of its execution, the importance of the publication, or the interest excited by the printer of it. Of these, in due order. The reader, in vol. i. p. 323, has been informed that the present work
is the second, of the three only, which are known to have issued from the press of John de Spira ; and when he duly estimates its magnificence and beauty, he can only regret that there are not numerous other specimens from the same press. It was not therefore 'without justice that this publication was described, in the privilege granted by the Venetian Senate to J. de Spira, as ' nobile opus - pulcherrimâ literarum formâ.'* Our wonder is increased, on examining this voluminous book of about 700 pages, when we learn, from the testimony of the printer's brother, that it was executed within a space of time not exceeding three months. $\dagger$ From the same authority it also appears that only 100 copies of it were struck off. The collector therefore will not fail to treasure it accordingly.

That this impression, at the time of its publication, must have been considered a most important work, is evident, as well from the nature of its contents and value of the text, $\ddagger$ as from the presumed

[^68]> Qui docuit Venetos exscribi posse Ioannes
> Mense fere trino centeua uolumina Plinî
> Et totidem magni Ciceronis Spira libellos:
> Coeperat Aurelî, subita sub morte peremtus
> Non potuit coeptum Venetis finire uolumen.
> Vindelinus adest eiusdem frater: \& arte
> Non minor ; Adriacaque morabitur urbe.

## M.CCCC.LXX

Chevillier, L' Orig. de L'Imprim. p. 73, and Mcerman, Orig. Typog. vol. i. p. 15 note ag, have mentioned the same circumstance.
$\ddagger$ The Bipont editors thus observe upon the text, from Rezzonicus: ' Vitiose expressa multa, sed tamen meliora quam in aliis editionibus; unde ad textum Plinii constituendum necessaria est.' Consult also Ernesti's Fabr. Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 186. Perhaps Firmin Didot has presumed a little too much upon this authority, when he observes that it is an edition 'très précieuse à cause des excellentes. leçons que lon y troure.' Cat. des Livres du Cabinet de Firmin Didot ; 1810. no. 169.
avidity of purchasers from the numerous impressions of it during the fifteenth century. For want of Greek characters, the printer has been obliged to supply a Greek passage by these barbarous words, in the Roman letter, ' Xaxilipcui canece comai coe kpturae trata una ciezica.' This occurs towards the close of the vinth book : * but in the impression of the subsequent year, the passage is as follows:
 description of the volume. On the recto of the first leaf, there are, at top, eleven lines of a biography of the elder Pliny by Suetonius: next, Pliny's letter to Domitian, concluding on the recto of the second leaf. Then ensues a table of contents of the several books in Pliny; which table comprises 17 leaves. On the recto of the succeeding leaf, being the 19th from the commencement of the volume, the first book of the Natural History begins thus:

## VNDVM ET HOC QVOD NOMINE

 alio Celum appellari libuit: cuius circūflexu teguñ̃ cuncta : numen esse credi par est : eternum : immensum neq; genitū: neq; interituz umü. Huius extera īdaga, \&c. \&c. \&cc.A full page contains 50 lines; and the volume comprehends 352 leaves-according to the marked numerals of the present copy. The books and chapters are without titles; and there are, of course, neither signatures, catchwords, nor printed numerals. On the reverse of the 352nd leaf, we read the colophon, thus:

Quem modo tan rarum cupiens uix lector haber\&:
Quiq; etiam fractus pene legendus eram:
Restituit Venetis me nuper Spira Ioannes:
Exscripsitq; libros ere notante meos.
Fessa manus quondam monco: Calamusq; quiescat.
Namq; labor studio cessit: \& ingenio.
.M.CCCC.LXVIIII.

[^69]I have seen the copy of this edition which belonged to the late Dr. Askew*-and which Dr. Harwood has described with more zeal than judgment-and I have also been gratified by the sight of those copies in the possession of the King, and the Duke of Devonshire-as well as of those in the Hunter and Cracherode Collections (the two latter of especial size and beauty,)-but, a copy of it so clean, large, and splendid, as is that now under description, is perhaps not to be found in any other known collection. It was formerly in a monastic library at Ratisbon, and procured there by Mr. Horn for Mr. Edwards; from the latter of whom his Lordship obtained it, at a price proportionate to its extraordinary condition. The reader may form some notion of its worth, from the following circumstance. At the sale of the library of Canıus de Limare, in 1786, at the Hôtel de Bullion, (see De Bure's Cat. de Livres rares, Paris, 1786, nº. 133) Count Reviczky purchased an exceedingly fine copy for 3000 livres. This latter copy has been recorded and extolled by Peignot, La Serna Santander, and the younger De Bure: see the Curiosités Bibliographiques, p. 98. Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 272 ; and Cat. des Livres du Cabinet de Firmin Didot, 1810, no. 169. From the collection of Count Reviczky it necessarily came into the present one ; but his Lordship threw it out in a former sale of some duplicates, it being so much inferior to the one now in his possession, which is magnificently bound in dark blue morocco. A fine copy of it appears to have been in the Daly Collection, $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{o}}$. 1128 , where a testimony, in praise of the beauty of the typography, is adduced from Astle's Origin of Writing, p. 220, edit. 1784. A fine copy of it is also in the choice collection of Sir Mark Masterman Sykes, Bart. The Bodleian, Marlborough, and Pembroke Libraries, each contain a copy.

[^70]
## 362. Plinius Senior. Opera. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1470. Folio.

Editio Secunda. It has been before observed that 'this is an extremely scarce and valuable edition, and not to be found in the catalogues of Folkes, Smith, Askew, Crevenna, or Pinelli.' Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 147. The greater part of the elaborate account in Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 48-52, is devoted to a refutation of certain opinions advanced by Laire and Apostolo Zeno, rather than to minute bibliographical intelligence respecting the volume itself. What however is said relating to the edition, is, as usual, correctly said. On the recto of the first leaf, commences the prefatory epistle of the Bishop of Aleria to Pope Paul II. From the commencement of this epistle (6th line) it seems certain that the editor had no knowledge whatever of the previous impression by John De Spira : for he says ' Versandi erant etiā atq; etiā scriptores omnes Latini Greciq; consulendiq: nō tantū sapiētie Princepes: uerū officinarū quoq; omniū opifices: ac penita abstrusaq; ī artificiis omnibus, \& perscrutanda diligentissime : et eruēda planissime.* He then expresses his obligations to Theodore Gaza, for the assistance derived from him in the prosecution of his arduous labours. This epistle is followed by brief biographies and testimonies of the historian, from Pliny the younger, Suetonius, Tertullian, and Eusebius, \&c.: occupying 3 pages. The author's address to Domitian, which appears to form the first book, has this prefix :

## C Plynius Secundus Nouocomensis. Domitiano suo salutem.

In the present copy, a fine old illumination surrounds this first page. At buttom of the reverse of fol. 4, the table begins, in long lines, and occupies the next 17 leaves. On the recto of fol. 22, the text of the second book of Pliny commences; -here surrounded by a still more beautiful illumination :

## C. Plynii Secundi naturalis historie Liber. II. Vnduz \& hoc qd alio noīe celum appellari libuit : \&c.

A full page has 46 lines. The titles to the several chapters in cach book, are printed in lower case letter. On the recto of the 367 th and

[^71]last leaf, we read the following: rather a notice than colophon; which the reader will find in the subjoined note,* as well as in De Bure and Audiffredi. For the sake of conformity, the date is also here subjoined:

## -impressum Rome in domo Petri \&C Francisci de Maximis

 iuxta campū flore presidētibus Magistro Cōrado Suneynheym \& Arnoldo Panaratz. $\dagger$ Anno dominici natalis. M.CCCC.LXX. Pontificatus eius felicissimi ac placidissimi Anno. VI.It remains only to give the reader the novel and agreeable information, that the present copy of this rare edition is printer UPON vellum; being the very copy which Brunet has thus described: ' Un précieux exemplaire imprimé sur velin, provenant du couvent des Jacobins de Véronne, est maintenant conservé à Londres, dans le riche cabinet du Lord Spencer.' Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 303. It is one of the finest known specimens of an ancient vellum book, printed at Rome; and as copies of this description, especially those from the press of Sweynheym and Pannartz, are of exceedingly great rarity, the noble Owner of the present copy does not fail to appreciate it accordingly. It is sumptuously buund in purple morocco.

## 363. Plinius Senior. Opera. Printed by Jenson. Venice. 1472. Folio.

More beautiful and magnificent even than either of the preceding impressions, is the one now about to be described : but as it is well known, and by no means of very great rarity, our description may be

[^72]brief as well as accurate. On the recto of the first leaf we read this title :

## CAIVS PLYNIVS MARCO SVO SALVTEM.

Which epistle occupies the first page, and 6 lines of the ensuing one. It is followed by lives and testimonies relating to the author, as in the preceding impressions. The reverse of fol. 2 is blank. The Epistle from the elder Pliny, as before, follows; then ensue the heads of the chapters of the several books, in 16 leaves. On fol. 20, from the beginning of the volume, the text begins thus-preceded by a title in capital letters:

AN Finitus sit mundus : \& an unus. Ca. i. VNDVM ET HOC : QVOD NOMINE alio cælū appellari libuit: cuius circūflexu tegūũ cuncta: numen esse credi par est æternū: ìmēsū: neq; genitum : neq; îteriturū unịi. Huius extera \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 50 lines. The Greek passage in the vii. book, before
 That the present impression has, in the main, followed its Roman rather than its Venetian precursor, may be easily proved: thus, in the edition of 1469, the vinth book opens thus:

## D RELIQVA ANIMALIA ET PRIMVM \&c.

In the present, as in the preceding, edition-the passage is as follows :

## D RELIQVA TRANSEAMVS ANIMALIA:

On the recto of the last leaf of the text we read the printer's colophon, thus:

CAII PLYNII SECVNDI NATVRALIS HISTORIAE LIBRI TRI, Cesimi septimi et vltimi finis impressi venetils PER NiCOLAVM IENSON GALLICVM. M.CCCC.LXXII. NICOLAO TRONO INCLYTO VENETIARVM DVCE.

We have, in the last place, the Epistle of the Bishop of Aleria, ter-
minating on the recto of the ensuing leaf; to which is subjoined the
subscription as given in the note in the last page; the date and place
being here omitted. Bibliographers have not failed to describe, in rather glowing language, the beauty and value of this impression; which is probably, considering its bulk, the chef-d'œuvre of the celebrated artist who executed it. According to Braun, pt. i. p. 145-6whose authority is quoted by Rossi, Bib. Magliabech. vol.ii. col. 375the volume, to be perfect, should contain 356 leaves. Sardini, in his Storia Critica di Nicolao Jenson, \&c. lib. iii. p. 22, has noticed the copies of this impression, upon paper, in the Colbert, Hoym, and Valliere collections; to which may be added the similar copies, as mentioned by Panzer, in the Pinelli, Soubise, Mittarelli, Crevenna, and Lomenie collections: but the German bibliographer has omitted to notice the copies of it which are printed upon vellum. Of this latter description, are those in the Angelica and Casanatensian libraries, mentioned by Audiffredi-(Edit. Rom. p. 49)-the one in the public library at Lyons, formerly in the Valliere collection, and the same, perhaps, as that in the imperial library at Paris-Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 304. A fourth similar copy is in the M'Carthy collection; but the first leaf of it is printed upon paper. In our own country, the Harleian collection contained it upon vellum; and the libraries of his Majesty and the Duke of Devonshire each possess a similar copy. The latter is of extraordinary beauty.

The present ${ }^{\text {copy, }}$, upon paper, is very large, clean, and in every respect a most desirable one. It is sumptuously bound in green morocco.

## 364. Plinius Senior. Opera. Printed by

Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1473. Folio.

This edition according to Ernesti (Fabr. Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 187-8) is founded on the first Roman one of 1470 ; but is neither so beautiful nor so accurate. It is supposed to contain upwards of two hundred and seventy palpable errors, either owing to Perottus, who corrected the MS., or to Brotheus, who superintended the execution of the work. It was unknown to Harwood, and a copy of it is not to be found in the

[^73]collections of Mead, Smith, Askew, or Pinelli. Consult Mudiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 129 ; Maittaire, vol. i. 325 ; Panzer, vol. ii. p. 437 ; and Bibl. Harleian. vol. iii. $n^{\circ}$. 874 ; which latter coply 'had manuseript references throughout, aud was one of the most beautiful to be met with.' The preceding information is taken from the Iutrod, to the Classics, vol. ii.p. 149. It remains brietly to describe the volume itself.

The first 25 leaves are occupied by the same preliminary matter which has been described to be in the three preceding editions; but the table is printed in colunms, and not in long lines as in the preceding Roman impression. There are in the whole, according to Fossi, 397 leaves: a full page containing 46 lines. On the reverse of the last leaf, are the usual 6 verses* (see p. 113 ante) with the date subjoined, thus :

## M. CCCC . LXXIII.

 die Veneris. vir. Maii.The present is a very fine copy; sumptuously bound in blue morocco.

## 365. Plinio. Tradotto per Cristoforo Landino. Venice. 1476. Folio.

The learned Audiffredi secms to have been glad to embrace an opportunity of doing justice both to the present impression, and to its Latin precursor; the latter of which has been just described in its chronological order. In noticing a spurious edition of the Italian version, of the supposed date of 1473 , $\dagger$ he has judiciously contrived to bestow a few words upon the present genuine and magnificent edition; a beautifully illuminated copy of which, he describes as having many times examined in the Casanatensian library. The ensuing description will be found to be somewhat more particular than that here referred to. On the recto of the first leaf, we read this prefix :

[^74]historia natyrale di. C. Plinio secondo tradocta di lingva latina in fiorentina
PER CHRISTOPHORO LANDINO FIORENTINO
AL SERENISSINO FERDINANDO RE DI NAPOLI.

## PROHEMIO.

This proheme occupies 3 leaves and a half. It is followed by the usual preliminary matter, described in the account of the preceding impressions; which introductory pieces occupy 16 leaves. On the recto of the following leaf, being the 21 st from the beginning of the volume, we read the commencement of Landino's version of the Naturalist, thus:

## L MONDO ET QVESTO ELQVALE PER

 altro nome Anoi piacie chiamare Cielo: elquale intorno gyrando tutte lechose chuopre: E giusta chosa credere che sia deita etherna \& infinita: Ne mai generata: Ne mai da douere perire. Ricerchar̃ \&c. \&cc. \&cc.A full page has 50 lines. The titles to the chapters, as in the previous edition by the same printer, are uniformly printed in capital letters. On the reverse of fol. 412 and last, of the text, we read this imprint:

## OPVS NICOLAI IANSONIS GALLICI IMPRESSVM <br> ANNO SALVTIS. M. CCCCLXXVI. <br> VENETIIS.

Sardini, Storia Criticu di Nicoluo Jenson, \&c. lib. iii. p. 40, notices several copies of this edition upon paper, and one upon vellum; which latter was in the Valliere collection-obtained from the library of Gaignat. See Cat. de Gaignat, vol. i. n ${ }^{0}$. 1001. Cat. de la Valliere, vol. i. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1460,1461 . In the Valliere collection there were two vellum copies, but one of them was imperfect: the imperfect one selling for 360 , and the perfect one for 780 livres; the latter sum being 10 livres less than the Gaignat copy was sold for. In the M‘Carthy collection, there is also a copy upon vellum; and a similar one, of extraordinary size and beauty, is in the curious library of Mr. Coke at Holkham. The present copy is upon paper, but in fine condition. It is in russia binding.

## 366. Plinius Senior. Opera. Printed by Andrea Portilia. Parma. 1480. Folio.

It was not without just reason that 1. M. Paitoni, in his Catalogo Ragionato, or Nuove Menorie per servire all' Istoria Letteraria, vol. i. 1. 349, pronounced this impression to be 'in bellissimo foglio quasi papale, in bellissimi caratteri romani, ottima carta, e spaziosissime margini,' \&c. See Affo's Tipografia Parmense, p. Lxix-lxxı; where the account of it is rather copious and interesting. Affo says it is inferior to the edition printed by Corallus, in $14 \% 6$, after which it appears to have bcen executed; yet, on the authority of Rezzonicus, (Disquisit. Plinian. lib. xl. p. 296,) it is admitted that Portilia has corrected some of the errors of Corallus. This impression was unknown to Maittaire, Orlandi, Fabricius, and Harduin; but all the Parma impressions of $14 ; 6,1480$, and 1481, have been mentioned by Ernesti. See the Introd. to the Classics; vol. ii. p. 150. The third Parma impression of 1481 by Portilia, certainly differs, but probably in a trifling degree, from its immediate precursor of 1480 . Consult Denis, Suppl. Maitt. p. 122, $\mathbf{n}^{\circ}$. 873. It remains to describe the edition before us.

On the recto of the first leaf we read the epistle of the younger Pliny to Marcus, which is followed by Suetonius's brief bingraphy of the elder Pliny, and the younger Pliny's letter to Tacitus : next, testimonies of the elder Pliny from Tertullian and Eusebius. These prefixes occupy 2 leaves; having the reverse of the 2nd blank. Then ensues, on a 4, recto, the elder Pliny's address to Vespasian; and on a 5, the table to the remaining books commences, with this prefix:

## SVMMATIM HAEC INSVNT LIBRIS SINGVLIS

This table concludes on b 6; a having ten leaves. On c i, recto, the text of the ind book begins. There are no Greek types in the passage before alluded to, at the termination of the virth bouk; from which it is probable the I'arma press was not then furnished with a fount of Greek characters. A full page has 58 lines. The signatures from c to $z$, inclusively, are in eights; except $x$ and $y$ in six each : then come $\AA$, and 0 , each in four. Next $A$, on which the xxvth book beginsA to M, in eights; M, six ; N , four. On N ii recto, is the colophon; from which the material part is hereextracted-and which is followed by
some verses, that appear, on the authority of Affo, to have been copied from an impression of Tortellius, in 1476.

## Opera Et Impensa Andreæ Portiliæ Anno

 Natiuitatis Domini .M. CCCC. LXXX. idibus februarii. Regnante Illustrissimo Prīcipe Ioanne Galeazeo Maria Duce Mediolāi.Then these verses :
Andreas prodesse uolens portillia multis
Gratum opus impresit plinion* ære suo.
Temporibus priscis hunc bibliotheca tenebat
Principis: \& magni diuitis: atq; ducum.
Nunc emit omnis eum ciuis: quem gloria tangit:
Hunc emit argento pauper: \& ore legit.
Factis ære notis debet cum diuite pauper :
His debet quis quis discere multa cupit.
Arte tua gaudere potes portillia multum :
Quæ facit ut uiuant omnia scripta: Vale.
Andreas Aicardus. $\dagger$
These verses are followed by 3 pages of rather important 'corrections.' The present copy of this magnificent specimen of ancient typography is inferior to no book in this Collection; whether we consider its dimensions, soundness, or beauty. It was in the Harleian library, and is bound in red morocco.

## 367. Plinius Junior. Epistole. Without Name of Printer or Place. 1471. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. This is a very elegant and uncommon impression. The editor of it was Ludovicus Carbo; and as he was accustomed to correct the works which issued from the press of Valdarfer, there

[^75]is good reason to suppose that the present publication was put forth by the same printer, at Veniee, before he removed to Milan. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 302, note 5, had before made the same conjecture; which is repeated by De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iv. p. 311, 312. This latter bibliographer refers his reader to the impression of Cicero's Orations, in $14 \pi 1$, by the same editor and printer, as corroborative of his conclusion. See also vol. i. p. 355-6, ante. We will describe the volume somewhat particularly. On the recto of the first leaf, is the prefatory epistle of Carbo; from which a copious extract has been made by Maittaire. The first two lines of it are as follow :

> Lodouicus Carbo Sal. Plu. Dicit. Illustrissimo \& Excellētissimo Principi Borsio Duci Mutinæ \&c. \&c. \&c.

This epistle concludes on the reverse of the same leaf. On the recto of the ensuing leaf the text of Pliny begins thus:

Caii Plinii Secundi Nouicomensis Oratoris facundissimi epistolare Liber Primus incipit. C. Plinius Secūdus Secūdo suo. S. pl. dicit. Rrequēter hortatus es: ut epistolas si quas paulo accuratius scripsissē : colli, gerē : publicarēq;: collegi non seruato temporis ordine: neq; eni historiam cōponebā : sed ut quæq; in manus uenerat. Supest ut nec te consilii : nec me peniteat obsequii. Ita eni fiet ut eas quæ adhuc neglectæ iacēt : reqram : \& si quas addidero non supprimam. Vale.

A full page has 30 lines. On the reverse of fol. 122 and last, we read the following colophon:

Caii Plinii Sccundi Nouiconensis Oratoris Facundissimi Epistolare Liber Octauus Expli. .M.CCCC.Lxxi.

There is a title to each Epistle; but the volume, as may be anticipated, is without numerals, signatures, and catchwords. The reasons, just before advanced, are sufficient to warrant us in assigning this publication to the press of Valdarfer; but it would be no impeachment of bibliographical acuteness, if Vindelin de Spira were, by some, considered to be the printer of it. In elegance, regularity, and symmetry, (if I may borrow the latter word,) there is probably no production from the press of this last mentioned printer which exceeds, and few which equal, the present one. This is the copy which was purchased by Count Revizcky at the Valliere sale, for 802 livres; having the blank spaces, for the Greek passages, filled by beautiful manuscript. It is noticed in the Bibl. Reviczk. p. 107; where, however, the authority of De Bure is solely, and almost verbally, quoted. Consult also the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 155-6. The present large and beautiful copy is elegantly bound in blue morocco.
368. Plinius Junior. Epistole. Printed by Moravus. Naples. 1476. Quarto.

Of nearly equal elegance with the preceding impression is the one under description. The printer of it was quite a master in his art; having rivalled the best Venetian presses, both in the Gothic and Roman letter. The edition of the Bible in the above year, and printed in the Gothic letter (of which an exquisite copy upon vellum, in this Collection, has been described in the first volume of this work, p.35,) by Moraves, is probably of superior elegance to a similar impression of the same work, in the same year, by Jenson; while the present work, in the Roman letter, proves that the printer of it need not yield the palm of superiority to either John or Vindelin De Spira. It remains to give a brief but accurate description of it. On the recto of the first leaf we read as follows:
DE PVBLICATIONE EPISTOLARVM
PLINII. LIBER PRIMVS INCIPIT.

Aius plinius secundus septicio. s. frequēter hortatus es: ut epistolas: quas paulo accura, tius scripsissem: colligerem publicaremque. Collegi nō seruato temporis ordine. Neq;
enim hystoriam componebam. sed ut queq; in manus ue nerat. Supest ut nec te consilii : nec me peniteat obsequii \&e. \&cc. \&ec.

A full page has 30 lines. On the recto of the 106th and last leaf, the colophon is thus:

> Absolutum opus epistolarum. C. Plinii Iu, nioris Neapoli. Millesimo quadringentesi moseptuagesimosexto mense Iulii.
> Impressit Mathias Morauus uir singulari i genio : \& arte. Recognouit Iunianus maius parthenopeus rhetor publicus summa cura summaq; diligentia.

The reverse is blank. The signatures run thus: a (not marked) $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{i}$, and m , have each ten leaves: the rest, eight leaves. This is a beautiful copy, in red morocco binding.

## 369. Plinius Junior. Panegyricus. Without Place and Name of Printer. 1476. Quarto.

Supposed Editio Princers of Pliny's Panegyric upon Trajan; to which work, as well as to other Panegyrics upon various great characters of antiquity, contained in the volume annexed, is an impression of Petronius Arbiter-having, at the end of this latter, the date as below. The reader, at p. 226-7, will be pleased to examine the grounds upon which a conclusion has been there drawn, that this date is spurious; and consequently, that the present must be considered only a 'supposed' first edition. The late Count Reviczky was not less ardent in his support of the chronological precedence of this impression, than he was in his commendation of its beauty; comparing it with the productions of the press of Philip de Lavagna. I have already endeavoured to shew that he has crred in the first point; and I have little hesitation in opposing this his second conclusion. Whoever compares the types of this edition with those of Lavagna's impression of Horace, in $14 \% 6$, may conceive me justitied in such difference of opinion.

On the recto of the first leaf we read this pretix :

## FRANCISCVS PVTEOLANVS REVERENDO <br> .D. IACOBO ANTIQVARIO DVCALI SECRETA RIO SALVTEM.

This address fills nearly 3 pages. The contents of the volume are immediately afterwards specified -on the reverse of a z. From these we learn that, besides the Panegyric by Pliny upon Trajan, there are others, by various authors, upon Maximian, Constantine the Great, Theodosius, the younger Constantine, Julian, \&c. We have next an oration 'pro restaurandis Scholis;' a life of Agricola; \&c. \&c. with Petronius Arbiter. The Panegyric upon Trajan follows, upon a $\xi$, and concludes on the recto of f 4 ; a having 6 , and the other signatures 8, leaves. Then, the remaining Panegyrics. For the Life of Agricola, consult the article Tacırus; in the ensuing pages. On the reverse of $y 4$, we read the colophon thus:

## $\tau \varepsilon \lambda 0 \sigma$

## M CCCC LXXVI.

A full page contains 30 lines. The present is a handsome copy in red morocco binding.

## 370. Plinius Junior. Fpistole et Panegyricus. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

This is not the ancient impression* which is so particularly specified by Audiffredi, ị his Edit. Rom. p. 164-5, but is the same volume which had belonged to Ernesti, and afterwards to Count Reviczky; the latter having very properly corrected the error of Ernesti, who had assigned it to the earliest period of the art of printing. See the Bibl. Reviczk. p. 10\%. The signatures alone are decisive of its being a posterior impression to the first here described; and the general appearance of the volume would not justify us in affixing to it a date much earlier than 1480. On the recto of the first leaf, sign. a ii, we read as follows :

[^76]
## C. PLINII SECVNDI NOVOCOMENSIS ORATO

## RIS Epistolarum Liber Primus.

On the reverse of $\mathbf{l} \mathbf{i}$, in eights, the Epistles conclude :

## C. Plinii Secundi Nouocomensis Oratoris Facundissi= mi Epistolarum Libri Octaui \& Vltimi. Finis.

On the recto of 1 ii the Panegyric commences, and ends on the recto of $o \mathrm{vj}$ in eights. On the reverse of $o \mathrm{vj}$, there is a list of the contents of the volume; from which it would appear that the tract 'De Viris Illustribus' were contained in it, but it is not. The present neat copy is in red morocco binding.

## 371. Plinius Junior. Epistole. Printed by Siller. Rome. 1490. Quarto.

This impression may be summarily described. On the reverse of the first leaf is an address of Pomponius Lætus to Vasinus Gamberia. On the recto of a ii, the Epistles begin. The signatures run from a to $u$ in eights, with the exception of $g, k, n$, and $q$, which are in sixes. On u vj, reverse, the Epistles conclude; the following imprint being beneath the word F.I.N.I.S.

> Impressum Romæ per Eucharium Silber alias Franck natione Alemanū : Anno dor mini. M.cccc.lxexx. post dien. xiiii. Calen darum Aprilis.

A leaf of errata, and another of the register, terminate the volume. Audiffredi informs us that this edition supplies the deficiencies and corrects the errors of the ancient one (of about 14\%4) and the Neapolitan one of 1476 . The Greck passages are printed - 'Græco caractere, qui satis congruit cum Romano eleganti ejusdem charactere.' Edit. Rom. p. 293-4. Although Eucharius Silber be an elegant printer, and the Greek characters (see sign. $f$ viii) justify the eulogium of Audiffredi, and although the present copy came from the Colbert collection, the volume wears a very indifferent aspect, being much cropped and soiled. It is in yellow morocco binding.
372. Plinius Junior. Epistole. Panegyricus. De Viris Illustribus. Supposéd to have been printed by Antony Moretus. Venice. Without Date. Quarto.

This is evidently the impression of which Seemiller, Incunab. Typog. fasc. iii. p. 175-6, has given so particular and satisfactory an account; and which is ascribed by this bibliographer, upon the faith of the colophon, (supported by the opinion of Orlandi,) to the press of Antony Moretus at Venice. According to Orlandi, Moretus printed the Epistles of L. Aretin, and Pliny the younger in the year 1495. See Orig. e Progress. della Stampa, p. 58. La Serna Santander says that Moretus printed in conjunction with Jerom Alexandrinus in the same year : Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 206. On the recto of the first leaf we read this prefix :

## C. PLINII SECVNDI NOVOCOMENISIS ORATORIS EPI STOLARVM LIBER PRIMVS.

The Epistles conclude on the reverse of iv. On the recto of ivj, the Panegyric begins, ending on the recto of n vj . The signatures l and m , run in fours; n has six, the rest eight. On the reverse of nvj , we read a list of the contents of the volumc. On the recto of the following leaf A, commence the Lives of Illustrious Men, with this title :

## C. PLINII SECVNDI IVNIORIS LIBER ILLVSTRIVM VI RORVM INCIPIT.

A and B have each 4 leaves; C has six. On the recto of $\mathrm{C} v j$ is the following subscription-above alluded to :

Marcelli Philoxeni ad Antonium Moretum Epigramma.
Omnibus hæc $\phi$ nunc tam recte impressa leguntur Quod mendosa libri littera nulla uiget :
Antoni Morete fuit tua cura: laborq; Namq; faues claris sedulis ingeniis.
Quisquis amat ueteres: grates tibi semper habebit: Et debet studio Plynius ipse tuo.

Braun, Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. ii. p. 39, has been equally copious with Seemiller ; and praises the typographical skill of the printer, perhaps beyond its merit. Of the edition he says-' Bibliographi altum tenent silentium, ex quo insignis eiusdem raritas elucescit.'-Seemiller thus observes of it: ' Hæc Plinii editio, Bibliographis, quos quidem consului, incognita, satis tamen adcurate facta fuisse videtur.' The present is an elegant copy, in russia binding.
373. Plinius Junior. Epistole. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer; (but with a spurious MS. dute of 1469.) Quarto.

The following is a copy of the manuscript note in this once celebrated volume; which may unfortunately perpetuate Dr. Askew's want of sufficient acumen in matters of ancient typography. 'This book was purchased by Dr. Askew for 15 guineas of the famous antiquarian Petrus Van Damme, at Amsterdam. It is thought to be spurious; but if not, is undoubtedly the first book printed in England. History informs us that Frederick Corsellis was brought from Haarlem to Oxford to print about the year 1460.' This copy, from the evident spuriousness of the date, in MS., was purchased at the sale of Dr. Askew's library for 1l. 6s. : see Bibl. Askev. p. 100, n${ }^{\circ}$. 2622. Meerman, Orig. Typog. vol. ii. p. 17, has a long and amusing note concerning Van Damme (whom he calls 'homo, non vulgari veterum librorum, numismatum, iconum, ac picturarum cognitione præditus), and George Smith; (' in pingendis quibuscumque characteribus, tum antiquis tum recentibus, insignis peritia') from which it would appear that the latter had imposed upon the bookseller, Van Damme, in the anncxed subscription to the volume; and that Van Damme acknowledged the imposition to one Richard Pafraet of Deventer. If this be true, the Dutch bibliopolist acted a very dishonest part in selling the volume to Dr. Askew for 15 guineas.* The fraud is sufficiently manifest; the character of the letter, and the colour of the ink (occasionally encircled by red ink, to divert the attention) are both decidedly different

[^77]from those in the body of the work．On the recto of the first leaf， pasted on，by way of title，we read

## C．J习líníí Secunoi

The reverse is blank．On the recto of A ij ．

## 㘧位ii $\mathfrak{s e c u m a i} \mathfrak{C x i g t a l a r u m}$ liber primut <br>  Salutem

The signatures run－A 6，B 3，C 4，D 6 ，and E 4 ．On the reverse of E iiij，at top，we read thus；

##  ©alatem＊

At the bottom is this spurious date，in MS．

##  <br> 

There are clumsy capital initials，in character like those of which fac－ similes are given at p．xl，of vol．i．of the Typographical Antiquities of Great Britain．The date of this impression is probably later even than that of 1490 ．It is in old red－morocco binding；and the margins are filled with very minute ms．notes．

374．Plotinus．Opera．Latinè．Printed by Miscominus．Florence．1492．Folio．

Editio Princers．Latinè．＇Vere splendida est hæc editio，niti－ dissimâ chartâ et perquam elegantibus typis lectoris oculos jucunde adficiens，magnificentiæ Mediceæ monumentum，quibusvis imaginibus， statuis，marmoribus illustrius，ut parum absit，quin de hac editione dici posse existimem，quod de Josephi et Philonis operibus in Gallia et Germania excusis pronunciavit Michael Neander，ea scilicet scripta adeo pulchris typis esse expressa，ut si angelis tales literæ pingendæ essent，non sint picturi et effecturi pulchriores．＇Such is the enthusiastic
eulogy of Schelhorn upon this beautiful production of the early Florentine press. Amcenit. Literar. vol. i. p. 97. It is the only impression of Ficinus's translation of Plotinus, in the XVth century ; and, as De Bure justly observes, 'copies of it are rare and sought after by the curious.' Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. ii. p. 161. Miscominus the printer, having, in the year 1489, published the Miscellanies of Politian, (see Art. Politian, in the third volume of this work) he appears to have put forth the present volume as no unfit companion to its precursor. Although Mr. Roscoe does not, as far as I can discover, notice this magnificent work, yet, in speaking of the Miscellanies of Politian, he observes-'This book, like all those I have seen of the same printer, is most elegantly and correctly executed, and is a proof of the speedy proficiency made in typography at Florence.' Lor. de Medici, vol. ii, p. 73 , note $a$. Edit. 1796, 4 to.

Strauss, in his Opera Rariora in Bibl. Coll. I. Bapt. in Rebdorf, 1790 , 4to. p. 233-4, is brief but animated: 'Characteres (says he) Operis sunt latini, venustissimi, quos albedo et crassities chartæ extollunt; Nor is Braun much less energetic: 'Integrum hoc magnæ molis opus romano, ac pereleganti charactere nitidissime præstanti admodum chartæ impressum' \&c. - Both Strauss and Braun refer to Schelhorn, and Braun quotes a small part of the above. Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. ii. p. 234. A publication of such interest deserves to be particularly described. On the recto of a ii we read the prefatory address of Ficinus to his patron, Lorenzo de Medici. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, is the Life of Plotinus by his scholar Porphyrius. We have next this emphatic inscription :

## PLOTINVS DELITIAS E'I INANEM GLORIAM VITAMQVE CONTEMNEBAT: OBIIT ANGINA : APPARVIT DRACO.

Preliminary matter, concerning the life, writings, and testimonies in favour, of the philosopher, follows, as far as the reverse of $b$ i inclusively. The first chapter of the version of the original text begins at the bottom of $b$ ii recto. On the recto of $u u x$, we read the ensuing interesting address and colophon:

## Marsilius Ficinus Magnanimo Petro Medici. S.

CVM Idibus nouembribus in agro Caregio una cum Magno Laurentio Medice deambularē, nultaque Platonis mysteria ultro citroq; interpretraremur : decidi forte inter loquendū e sapientia in fortunā: cepiq; hanc acrius incusare ; $q$ Platoni lucē affectanti, seculis iam multis obstiterit. Tum ille, noli inquit Marsili Platonē nostrum ifortunatū dicere: nisi forsan me fore putes infortunatū. Sermonē quidē tūc nostrū his dictis absoluimus. Sed nunqd mortis causa deinde secute Laurētiū liceat infortunatū existimare? simulq; Platonis fortunā funditus corruisse? Absit: ut aíum illum minus fẹlicē putē : quem e corporis cōpedibus euolantē, nouo quodā applausu lêtus ether excepit: grandiore stella i laurētiana tecta cadēte : mirisq; flāmis ex alto $p$ Caregianos agros triduo coruscantibus. Sed biduo ante obitū Iupiter rubēte dextera sacras iaculatus arces, terruit urbē, mox orbā tāto patre futurā. Terruit hostes: graue neqd forsan aduersus inuictā domum Medicā molireñ̃. Itaq; nec Laurētius leeros, nec heroicus Petrus Laurentii filius ob ea quæ nup cōtigerūt, minus posthac felix è iudicādus: nec ppea Plato noster infortunatus. Cuius caput hactenus salutari prorsus umbra Lauri fonebaĩ: Nūc pedes iam firmissima Petra nituñ̃. Plotinus deniq; manibus nunc tuis apprehensus, seniorē interea Platonē piis humeris substinebit: teq; Duce producet i lucem.

## MAGNIFICO SVMPTV LAVRENTII MEDICIS PATRIAE SERVA'TORIS <br> IMPRESSIT EX ARCHETYPO ANTONIVS MISCOMINVS <br> FLORENTIAE <br> ANNO . M CCCC.LXXXXII. <br> NONIS MAII.

The register, which ensues, informs us that each letter of the two sets of signatures contains 10 leaves; with the exception of $b$ and $o$, in the first alphabet-the former of which has only 8 , but the latter 12 , leaves. Then the device of the printer; for which, see Art. Politian, in volume the third. The present is a very fine copy of this grand volume; in legitimate condition, and excellently bound in red morocco. Copies lave been in the Gaignat, Valliere, and Lomenie collections. I cannot help thinking that there may exist a copy of this beautiful book (perhaps the presentation one) printed upon vellum.

## 375. Plutarchus. Vite Parallelf. Latinè. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Four Parts, or Volumes, bound in 2 Vols.

Whether this, or the ensuing impression, be the Editio Princeps, Latine, may be matter of some discussion. The ensuing edition generally takes precedency; but for reasons which strike me, on an examination of the present one, I assign to it the order in which it here stands. That it is a very different edition from Ulric Han's, and that Schelhorn was wrong in attributing it to this latter printer, Audiffredi has satisfactorily shewn; as Panzer rightly observes, in his Annal. Typog. vol. i. 77. See Quirini De Optimor. Scriptor. Edit. p. 56 ; and the Edit. Rom. p. 37-8 of Audiffredi. Seemiller and Braun are copious in their descriptions of this impression; and each declares his inability to assign to it its proper place, year, and name of printer. They are also equally uncertain whether it may, or not, be coeval with Ulric Han's impression. Incunab. Typog. fasc. i. p. 142-3; Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i.p.110. Panzer inserts it among the Strasbourg publications. Is Mentelin, therefore, the printer of it?-as Brunet surmises. Whoever may have executed it, it is a truly noble publication; whether we consider the beauty of the press work, the blackness of the ink, or the strength of the paper.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read the preface to the version by Campanus, beginning thus:

This, and every full page, contains 49 lines. A list of the Lives begins at the bottom of this first page, and occupies the 2nd page. Next, after a general title, in 2 lines, lower-case letter, we read

## Epistola Philelphi poete In vitam atq; gesta Thesei viri clarissimi Incipit feliciter

On the reverse of this second leaf, begins the life itself of Thescus. The first volume, or 2 parts, comprehends 273 leaves; having 36 lines on the reverse of the 273 rd and last leaf. The second volume, or 3 d and 4 th parts, comprises 238 leaves, ending with 22 lines on the recto of the 238 th leaf. There are neither signatures, catchwords, nor numerals. The titles to the several Lives are uniformly in small roman letter. This letter is short, but bold, and with a broad face: precisely similar to that with which the Bible, described in vol. i. p. 39-40, is executed; and of which there is an engraved fac-simile. The letter $R$ particularly designates the type of this unknown printer. De Bure appears to have been entirely ignorant of the impression. The present beautiful (and in many parts uncut) copy of it, is handsomely bound in blue morocco.

## 376. Plutarchus. Vite Parallele. Printed by Ulric Hun. Without Date. Folio. 2 Vols.

This edition has been satisfactorily described by Audiffredi; and as Campanus, the editor both of this and of the preceding one, was accustomed to employ the press of Ulric Han-and as Ulric Han is the acknowledged printer of the present edition-it may, upon reconsideration, take the precedency; and be entitled to the distinction of Edirio Princeps, Latinè. Schelhorn had overlooked the characteristic verses, inserted in the colophon of Ulric Han, which are to be found at the end of the list or table of the Lives, on the recto of fol. 2, of the first volume. These verses, beginning ' Anser Tarpeii custos' \&c. may be seen at p. 111 ante. The first volume begins with the letter of Campanus, as before. A table follows, terminating on the recto of fol. 2: the reverse blank. Consult Fossi in the Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. cul. $383-6$, for this table. A full page has 45 lines. There are no titles to the respective lives; and, as in the previous impression, blank spaces are left for the introduction of the Greek passages by the pen. The absence of signatures, eatchwords, and numerals, united with the fore-
going features of description, may justify bibliographers in assigning the date of $14 \% 0$ to this edition. The first volume has 293 leaves, with 33 lines on the reverse of the last: the second volume has 299 leaves, having 26 lines on the recto of the last.

A very magnificent copy of it, superbly bound in red morocco, appears to have been in the Crevenna Collection: see Bibl. Crevenn. vol. v. p. 245, edit. 1775, where there is a good description of the impression. The present copy is in most desirable condition; being a fit companion of the Livy, mentioned at p. 132 ante ; although of not quite such stately dimensions. It is handsomely bound in red morocco.

## 377. Plutarchus. Vite Parallele. Printed by Jenson. Venicc. 1478. 2 vols.

Of equal magnitude of dimensions, and of superior typographical skill, are the volumes now under description. The impression is not very rare, nor of very great price, in the ordinary condition of it. It is well known to bibliographers, and has been more particularly described by Fossi and Sardini, than by De Bure and Morelli. Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 386; Storia Critica di Nicolao Jenson, lib. iii. p. 49-50. The ensuing description may suffice. On a 2, recto, we have the Life of Theseus, with the prefix in capitals. A full page has 50 lines. The titles to the Lives are printed in capital letters. The signatures to the first volume run thus: $\mathrm{a}, 9, \mathrm{~b}, 12$; c to $\mathrm{y}, 10$ leaves-except $n$, which has only $4:$ y $8 ; \xi$ and $\&$, each 8 leaves. On the recto of $\& 8$, we have the register on the last leaf. The second volume begins on A i, with the Life of Cymon. The signatures run from A to Y , in 10 leaves; except $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{O}$ and P , which have each only 8 leaves; $Z$ has 8 , and $\& 10$, leaves. On $\& i x$, reverse, we read the colophon, thus :

## Virorum illustrium uitæ ex Plutarcho græco in

latinum uersæ solertiq; cura emendate feeliciter expliciūt: per Nicolaum

Ienson Gallicum Venetiis
ípressæ. M.cccc.lxxviii.
die. ii. Ianuarii.

The register occupies the recto of \& x. A very fine copy of this impression also, appears to have been in the Crevenna Collection: vol. v. p. 246. The present is a tall sound copy, in russia binding ; but the paper seems to be of rather unusual coarseness.
378. Dicterie Plutarchi. Latinè. Without Date, or Place; but apparently printed by Ketelaer. Folio.

This impression of the Apophthegms of Plutarch is not included in the list of those specified by Panzer at p. 365 of his Vth volume. It is evidently executed by Ketelaer; and is incorporated with an edition of Petrarch 'de Vera Sapientia,' and the Rape of Proserpine in a dramatic form. The latter has been described at the opening of the present volume; and the former tract will be seen in the ensuing volume. On the reverse of the first leaf is a table; beneath which we read as follows:
©\&it autem gitiendax qutathug igte natione gretus tum philogophy tā oratar boctifimut flaruit tēparifut traiani cesarig cuius et inge ingtruttor fuit. et qui presenteg dicteriay giue adotiones ad balerium maxi= mum $\mathfrak{e x}$ plurifus at otuergig tommentarigi i bot greve
 baleriug moxd procerit. Tpge cnī baleriug brbig rome primipaliter. Dehine $\mathfrak{e x t e r a} 4$ gentium dieta factagz: tōtra bera nagiter plutarthus exteray dentium principafiter. pagtea romand ${ }_{4}$ ditta pariter at fatta memoratu digua recitat.

Next follows the address of Franciscus Philelphus, the translator. On the reverse of the second leaf, begins the tract De Religione. The impression contains 40 leaves; and has neither signatures, catchwords, nor numerals. On the recto of the last leaf, and just before the commencement of the treatise of Petrarch 'De Vera Sapientia,' we read the termination, thus:

## Ditteric phutarehi cherouchi ad traiamum cegatem gen adoiciones ad baferitio maximit. ferliciter expliciunt.

The present is a fine genuine copy, in old red moroceo binding.
379. Plutarchus. De Virtutibus Mulierum. Printed by Boninus de Boninis. Brescia. 1485. Quarto.

I hare examined Maittaire, vol. i. p. 462, Denis, p. 204, n. 1579, and Bibl. Pinell, vol. i. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1353 , but 1 am not aware of any thing relating to this impression which requires a more particular description of it than the ensuing one-more copious than those which have preceded it. On the recto of the first leaf, we read thus:

> PLVTARCI* PHILOSOPHI DE VIRTVTI BVS MVLIERVM TRADVCTIO PER ALA MANVM RANVTINVM CIVEM FLORE, NTINVM.

The signatures run thus: a 7, b and e 8 , d 10 , leaves. On the reverse of $d x$, the imprint is as follows:

Impressum Brixiæ per Boninum de Boninis de Ragusia. M. CCCC. LXXXV. dic. xxiii. Martii.

Appended to this Opusculum, is a tract entitled: ' De Brevibus Clarorum Hominum lnter se Contentionibus.' On the recto of which we read thus:

Guarinus Veronensis de Breuibus Clarorum hominū Inter se Contentionibus a Plutarcho Col lectis nuper in latinum Conuersis Iacobo lauagno lo. S. D.

This tract has 14 leaves: a in 8 , and b in 6 . On the reverse of $\mathrm{b} v \mathrm{j}$ is the imprint, exactly as before, except that the book appears to have been printed on the xxix day of March. In calf binding.
380. Plutarchus. Opuscula Moralia. Græcè. Printed by Aldus. Venice. 1509. Folio.
2 Vols.

Editio Princeps: Græcè. As this copy is divided into two volumes, it will be described accordingly. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows: 'Plutarchi Opuscvla. lexxxit. Index Moralium omnium \& eorum quæ in ipsis tractantur, habetur hoc quaternione. Numerus autem arithmeticus remittit lectorem ad semipaginā, ubi tractantur singula.' The large anchor, in outline, is beneath. On the reverse of this first leaf, is the prefatory address of Aldus to J. Antiquarius Perusinus, dated as below.-Fabricius, Harles, and Renouard, have each inserted the greater part of the following interesting extract from this preface. 'Præterea tantam inter nos amicitiam intercedere, ut tribus, aut quatuor paribus amicorum, quæ antiquitas celebrat, Antiquarii, \& Aldi mutua beneuolentia, \& summa amicitia, quartum, quintumue par adiungatur. Libuit hic subiungere Hendecasyllabos, quos, cum ueni ad te Mediolanum lusisti extempore præ summo gaudio Aduentus nostri, ut faciant et hi fidem mutui amoris nostri.

> A ldus uenit en, Aldus ecce uenit, N ostrum sinciput, occipútq; nostrum, M el, sal, lac quoque, corculúmque solus, G raios altera, \& altera Latinos Qui apprendendo manu, reduxit omneis I n uerum modo limitem, superbos V ictores superans olympiorum. N unc o nunc Iuuenes ubique in Vrbe F lores spargite. Vere nanque primo A ldus uenit en, Aldus ecce uenit.

Sed iam Indicem eorum, quæ hisce Plutarchi opusculis habentur, lege. ac Vale. Venetis mense Martio. M.D.IX.

We have next a Greek index; six Greek verses of Aleander, and a Greek preface by Demetrius Ducas, the editor. These preliminary pieces occupy 8 leaves of sign. $\dagger$. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, paged 1, on sign. a, we read the text of Plutarch, with this prefix :

The first volume concludes on page 484. The second commences on p. 485, on the recto of gg iii. The impression terminates on $\mathrm{p} .10 \% 0$ : ПEPI TH』 HPOAOTOT KAKOH@EIAミ. The register and im. print are as follow :
abcdefghiklmnopqrftuxyz\& aabb cc dd ee ff gg hhiikk 11 mm nn oo pp qq ir ff tt un xx yy zz aaa bbb ccc ddd eee fff ggg hhh iii kkl2 111 mmm nnn 000 ppp qqq rer fff ttt.

Omnes quaterniones, præter ultimum ternionem.
Venetiis In ædibus Aldi \& Andrea Asulani Soceri. mense Martio. M.D. IX.

The large unshaded anchor is on the reverse of the following leaf. The reader may consult Fabric. Bibl. Grcec. vol. iii. p. 3 ; 1 ; Idem Opus, cura Harles, vol. v. p. 204-5; and Renouard's L'lmprim. des Alde, vol. i. p. 90, vol. iii. p. 9, 10.* From the first reference, we learn that there is a very beautiful copy of this impression upon vellum, in the Imperial Library at l'aris, in two volumes, with the arms of Henry II. stampt upon the cover. De Bure, vol. vi. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .607 \mathrm{~S}$, is umpardonably superficial. The present copy, although rather cropt, is very elean and sound. Bound in red morocco.

[^78]
## 381. Polybius. Opera. Latinè. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1473. Folio.

Editio Princers. Latinè. Let us first briefly, but satisfactorily, describe this exceedingly rare volume. On the recto of the first leaf begins the address of Perottus, the translator, to Pope Nicolas V.; which address comprehends two leaves. On the recto of the 3rd leaf, there is this prefix to the version :

## Nicolai Perotti historiarū Polybii liber primus incipit feliciter.

A full page has 38 lines. On the reverse of the 153 rd and last leaf, we read the colophon in six verses, (as at p. 113 ante,) to which is subjoined the date, thus:

## M. CCCC.LXXIII. die iouis ultima decembris.

Laire, in his Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 193 note ( $e$, tells us that ' this impression is to be numbered with the rarest books, and that it was hardly to be found in Rome.' He observes that De Bure says, ' not a copy of it was to be found in Paris;' but the author of the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 4851, only remarks, that ' he had never yet seen a copy of it.' Audiffredi has copied the passage from Laire, but not with his usual caution in examining the authority to which Laire refers. Edit. Rom. p. 130-1. Yet he has detected another error in Laire's brief description. The latter had said, that a copy of this edition was in the Angelica Library-Audiffredi asserts, that a copy harl never been in that collection, as all the ancient and recent catalogues of the same library testify. There appears, however, from Audiffiredi, to be a copy in the Albani Library, and another in that of the Abbe Rossi. Brunet tells us, that the Valliere copy bought 700 livres, and the one in the Lomenie Collection, 1380 livres. Manuel du Libratre, vol. ii. p. 325. 'The Colbert, Gaignat, Soubise, and Gouttard Collections, as far as I can discover, never contained it. The present copy is large and beautiful; and is sumptuously bound by the younger Bozeriaı, in blue morocco, silk lining, \&c. \&c. with more profusion than taste of ornament.

## 382. Pomponius Mela. Without Name of

 Printer. Milan. 1471. Quarto.Editio Princeps. When we view this small quarto volume, of only 59 leaves, we are surprised how it should have furnished materials for a reimpression in seven large octavo volumes; said to 'teem with erudition, elassical interest, and sound taste.' Sueh is the character of Tzselukius's edition of it, in 1807. See the Classical Journal, $n^{\circ}$. ix. p. 14. We may deseribe it rather partieularly. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows :

> ORBIS SITVM DICERE ag gredior impeditū opus \& facū diæ minime capax. Constat. $n$. fere gentium locorumq; nomini bus \& eorum perplexo satis ordine: quē per sequi lōga est magis ${ }_{q}^{i \prime}$ benigna materia: \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 22 lines. At the termination of the deseription of Italy, we read

> nihil hic deest

DE GALLIA
the description of which latter country begins on the recto of the ensuing leaf. On the reverse of fol. 59 and last, at bottom, the colophon is as follows:

## POMPONII MELLAE COSMOGRA PHIAE LIBER EXPLICIT : <br> Mediolani septimo kalendas octobres Mil, <br> lessimo quadringentessimo septuagessimo PRIMO

Although I do not observe this impression noticed in the list of editions of Pomponius Mela, in Panzer's vth vol. p. 311, yet, at vol. ii. p. 12, it is properly deseribed, and copies of it are mentioned as having been in the Gaignat, Valliere, Pinelli, and Lomenie Collections. In
regard to a supposed earlier edition than the present one, noticed by Ernesti as being in the Senate Library at Leipsic - ' octonis facta, sine titulo, auctoris et libri nomine, sine præfatione, sine loci et anni nota, et in fine "Pomponii Mellae Cosmographiae liber explicit"-the reader will presently observe, that this supposed earlier impression is no other than the one here next described; and that, as containing signatures, it must almost necessarily have been printed subsequently to the year 14\%2. Ernesti thought it executed 'in principiis artis typographicae:' see his Fabric. Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 76 . The impression under description has neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. Saxius, in his Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. dlix. note g, has briefly but well described it; although it may be doubtful whether the printer of it was Zarotus, as he intimates-'cum per illud tempus Mediolanensem Typographiam solus ipse exerceret.' Yet the types of it have some resemblance to those of the Horace of $14 \% 4$, vide p. $\% 1$ ante,) and of the Quintilian of 1476 : vide post. It remains only to add, that the present is rather a cropt, but desirable, copy of this neatly executed and uncommon impression. It is bound in red morocco.

## 383. Pomponius Mela. Without Date, Place, or Name of Printer. Quarto.

This is the impression which Ernesti considered to be 'a very early specimen of the Italian press.' I conclude it to be the same edition as the one described by him, from the exact conformity of the colophon with that which he has specified. We may be brief, but particular, in the present description of it. On the recto of the first leaf, it is thus :

> RBIS SITVM DICERE ag, gredior impeditū opus \& fa, cundiæ minime capax. Cōstat \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page contains 22 lines. The signatures run in the following order : a has 7, and the rest, as far as $h$, have 8 ieaves : on the reverse of $h$ iiij we read the colophon as given by Ernesti :

> Pomponii Mellæ Cosmographiæ liber explicit.

There is much elegance in this edition. The page is well set up, the type neat, and the margin ample. The date of it is probably somewhere about the year 1474 . A very desirable copy; in russia binding.

## 384. Pomponius Mela. Printed by Hailbrun. Venice. 1478. Quarto.

One of the most elegantly executed volumes from the press of Hailbrun. Panzer, according to the authorities quoted by him, says that it is a mere reprint of Ratdolt's impression of the year $14 \% \%$, and executed also at Venice. On the recto of the tirst leaf, signature a, it is as follows :

> Pomponij Melle Cosmographi de situ orbis liber primus. Ptoomium.*
> Rbis sitū dicere aggredior impeditum opum \& facun, die minime capax. Cōstat \&cc. \&c. \&c.

The first two lines of this extract are executed very neatly in red ink: a full page has 26 lines. There are marginal printed notes; and the signatures run from a to $f$ in eights. On the reverse of $f$ viij, we read the imprint, thus:

Impressum est hoc opusculū Venetijs per Franciscum renner de Hailbrun. . M . CCCC . LXXVIII.

## Laus Deo.

Appended to the copy of this edition, there is an impression of Dionysius, De Situ Orbis; by the same printer, and with the same date. This latter has signatures, from a to $d$, in eights, $d$, six, and ends on the recto of ev. Two pages of a table follow. There are very neatly printed capital initials, like those in vol. i. p. xl. of the late edition of our Typographical Antiquities. The present copy, containing both the works here described, is bound in calf, with a red-morocco back.

[^79]385. Propertius. Without Name of Printer, or

## Place. 1472. Quarto.

Editio Princeps; but probably subsequent* to the (supposed Spira) edition of 1472 , folio, in which the united works of Catullus, Tibullus, and the present poet, appear : see vol.i. p. 294-\%. In making the reader acquainted with this very uncommon, as well as elegant, impression, I am not aware that I can do it more effectually than by the following description;-and the subjoined observations of the noble Owner, written in the fly leaf of this copy : from which latter, it would appear that the impression was almost unknown to critics and bibliographers. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the opening, thus :

# SEXTI. AVRELII. PROPERTII. NAV TAE. VMBRI. INCIPIT. LIBER. AELE giaz uel monobiblos Ad tullum 

## Inthia prima suis miserū me cepit ocellis

## Contactum nullis ante cupidinibus <br> Tū in cōstātis deiecit lumīa fastus <br> Et caput impositis pressit amor pedibus.

 Donec me docuit castas odisse puellas Improbus: \& nullo uiuere consilio : \&c. \&cc. \&cc.[^80]There is a title to each poem, and titles to the several books, printed in capital letters. A full page comprehends 28 lines. On the recto of the $\%$ th (pencil-numbered) and last leaf, we read the imprint thus:

## SEXTI. PROPERTII. NAVTE: AVRE, LII: POETE. INLVSTRISSIMI: LIBER EXPLICIT. SVB. ANNO. DOMINI. M. .CCCC.LXII. MENSIS. FEBRVARII:

The reverse is blank. 'This edition (says Lord Spencer) is supposed to make part of one containing both Catullus and Tibullus; but no copy of Catullus, printed in this form and character, has, as far as I know, yet appeared: and although the edition of Tibullus in 4to, without date, appears to be in the same, or nearly the same, character, there are however some material differences ; particularly in the number of lines forming an entire page: which, in this impression, is generally 28-but in the Tibullus, only 24. Mudiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 440 , has a false print in his transcript of the title ; viz. 'monobilos.' for ' monobiblos.' There is an edition of Ovid's Metamorphoses, without date or signatures, printed in a character similar to that of the present work; which is exactly described by Braun, Not. Hist. Lit. pt. i. p. 109 ; but Braun is mistaken in lis conjecture that it might have been printed by Jenson or Zarotus; the character being very different from that used by either of these printers.' Thus far from the noble Owner of this copy; which scems at once conclusive and satisfactory. The reader will find some account of the edition of Ovid's Metamorphoses, here referred to, at $p .204$ ante : the character of which has incleed a resemblance to that of the present one, but it is sharper and more delicate. Audiffredi tells us that Vulpius, Maittaire, De Bure, and Ernesti, knew nothing of this impression: his own description being taken from a perfect copy of it in the Casanatensian library. Panzer, vol. iv. p. $7-8$, seems to have borrowed from Audiffredi. Consult also Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 295-6; Boni and Gamba, Bibl. Portat. vol. ii. p. 35 ; and Brunct's Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. 346. Why Panzer, Boni, and La Serna Santarder, should conceive the impression was originally accompanied by Catullus, does not very evidently appear. 'The present is a sound copy, but not fice from marginal observations: it is bound in blue moroceo.

## 386. Propertius. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

This is a very ancient and curious impression ; and may probably be the earliest edition of Propertius. It has not escaped the notice of bibliographers; but the previous accounts of it do not satisfy me as being sufficiently particular. In fact, the Abbe Boni is the principal writer who has described it; and the notices of it by Panzer and Brunet are only repetitions of the Abbés description. In the Bibliot. Portatile, vol. ii. p. 34, this impression is mentioned as ' Edizione originale, ritrovata in Brescia. Dal rozzo carattere e dalla carta si riconosce affatto simile al Lucrezio, a Phalaridis Epistolce, 4to. ed all' Acerba di Cecco d'Ascoli, fol. che hanno la sottoscrizione BIXIE THOMA FERnDO Autore.' In the Primi Libri a Stampa di Alcune Cittàe Terre dell' Italia Superiore, pp. Lxxviri-ix, there is another, more particular, description of this impression; and the same conclusion is drawn from it, respecting its typographical similarity to the Cecco d'Ascoli, printed by Ferandus. 'Fu da me ritrovato in Brescia, e al confronto si manifesta affato simile all' Acerba nella qualità, del carattere, e della carta, che ha la stessa marca, e nell' ineguale e rozza disposizione tipografica. Ha i dittonghi ae, oe, come il Virgilio, e gli altri seguenti.' But the good Abbé, who, in this latter bibliographical work, has unfortunately given too many proofs of his fallibility, might have qualified his observations by noticing, that, although in the capital letters, and in the generality of the small ones, there is an unduubted similarity, yet, in the execution of the press work, there is an equal dissimilarity:-and the ' $e$ ' in this particular production, does certainly vary from the same letter in the Lucretius, Phalaris, and Cecco d' Ascoli. On a close and severe examination, we cannot probably assign this impression of Propertius to any other printer than to Ferandus; but, it seems evident, from the delicacy and unworn aspect of the letter, and from the extreme irregularity of the presswork, that it was the earliest production of this celebrated Brescia printer. If so, it may take priority of the one which here precedes it. It remains to describe the volume before us somewhat particularly; as it is truly, according to Brunet, 'très précieuse édition :' Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 346. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as folluws:

# MONOBIBLOS PROPERTII AV RELII NAVTE AD 'TVLLVM 

## YNTHIA PRIMA SVIS ML, SERVM ME CEPI'I OCELLIS

Contactum nullis ante cupidinibus
Tu mihi cōstātis deiecit lumia fastus
Et caput ipositis pressit amor pedibus
Donec me docuit castas odisse puellas Impbus $x_{x}^{\times} \&$ nullo uiuere consilio.
\&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page, with the exception of the last, has 25 lines: the last containing 27 lines. The printing is most irtegular and repulsive; and the type, although tolerably round and large, is too delicate for the uncommon substance of the paper. The titles are in capital letters. In the whole, 82 leaves. The two last lines are thus:

Moribus \& cælum patuit $\times$ x sum digna merēdo Quoius honoratis ossa uehãt aqs FINIS

The present copy abounds with ms. annotations; but it is sufficiently large, having many rough edges at the bottom. In red morocco binding.

## 387. Prudentius. Opera. Wilhout Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

Editio Princers. This I apprehend to be the impression which is considered to have been executed by Richard Paffroed, at Deventer, about the year 1490. Panzer refers to Denis, p. 644, n ${ }^{\circ} .5697$; where a copy is noticed as containing also some opuscula of Prosper and Hugbaldus, and in which there was an ancient ms. note, assigning the year 1495 to this edition. Denis, among other authorities, refers to the Cat. Bibl. Bunav. vol. i. pt. iii. p. 2019; but the edition here referred to is so vaguely and briefly described, that it is impossible to know precisely what ancient impression was contained in the Bunau

Collection. La Serna Santander is particular and satisfactory in his account of this first edition; which he conceives was executed by Paffroed, 'about the year 1490 :' see his Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. $29 \%$. Brunet has apparently contented himself with this latter authority: Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 348.* We shall be somewhat yet more particular. On the recto of the first leaf, at top, we read the title to the work-' $\$$ Dpera aurelii clementio prumentii'-in large lower-case Gothic characters. The reverse is blank. On the recto of the following leaf are some verses of Rhodolphus Langius, with a testimony from Gennadius Massiliensis, in praise of Prudentius. On the recto a iij we read as follows :
 tum ©etrasiticha que a nomultio fhracleum gitue hi= gitariarum tituli ingrrifūtur.

Beneath are 28 lines: a full page having 31 lines. The signatures run in sixes. On tiiij, recto, the Hymns commence; and they conclude on the recto of $\xi$ ii. Then, a reply to the Oration of Symmachus, which continues to the end of the volume. After $z$, we have $z$ : next, from A to $\mathbf{D}$. On the reverse of $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{v}$, we read this particular colophon; which shews the order in which the several pieces succeed each other :

C Murelii Clementig 栬uantij Opera.

 ca. Deinare cum quem ar diunitate lifriit gitripgit Tpotycozimgs titulauit. 揭pithoma fyia gequitur. famartigenia biga recto ar= Dīe aboitur fertri gitcutano gubditur git cni suece lifer ad marturium imitatarius int= satribitur. ©iurnorum bero bumaram $\mathfrak{l i}=$ foro (qui et cathemerinon bicitur) et eo quē contra §ymmachum inololatriā acfendē tē geripgit pulthro $\mathfrak{c t}$ recto ordine totū $\mathfrak{o p}^{9}$ congummatur.

[^81]It must be observed，that there are two different sets or forms of types，in the characters with which this impression is executed：that， in the above colophon and in the general title，is sharper and squarer， and has a bolder appearance：the other is more of a secretary Gothic． The copy here described is a very beautiful one；in blue morocoo binding．

## 388．Prudentius．De Inventione Novi Ignis

 Pascalis．Without Date or Place．Quarto．This edition appears to lave escaped Panzer；at least if we may judge from the omission of its title in vol．v．p． 375 ．The type is a secretary Gothic，similar to that of the edition of Lucan supposed （erroneously）to have been printed at Halle in 1472 ：see p． 143 ante． On the recto of the first leaf，we read the title in four lines，large lower－
 oe inuentione noui ignis pascalis．On the recto of the ensuing leaf the prefix is thus：

# 道とmmícum asclepiadíu； Coriambicum 羽rudency Thurelij ©armen tetragticus de paut ignis pagicalig imtentione． 

Six leaves：on signature A．On the recto of the sixth leaf the poent terminates．A full page has 19 lines．This is a beautiful copy，bound up with the following tract．

389．Prudentius．Liber Hymnorum．Printed by Winterburg．Vienna．Without Date． Quarto．

Denis（Suppl．p．644，$n^{\circ} .5698$ ．）is the only bibliographer，as far as I have had an opportunity of examining，who has described this im－ pression；which is executed with rather unusual delicacy and skill． On the recto of the first leaf，sign．a，we read the title，with 19 verses
beneath from＇Cuspinianus ad Iectorem．＇On the reverse，is a prosaic address from the same writer to John Gracchus Pierius，Prothonatory of the Emperor Maximilian．On the recto of the ensuing leaf the text of the poet begins．A full page has 24 lines．The signatures $a, b$ ， and $c$ ，have each 8 leaves：$d$ has 4 ．On the reverse of $d$ iiij the colophon is as follows ：
dentij $\mathfrak{C a t h e m e r i m o n}$ minit．
Tuxretaum đienue per
耳abamem Minterburg．

Few copies of ancient books exceed the present one in cleanness of condition．Bound with the preceding；in blue morocco．

## 390．Ptolemeus．Latinè．Printed by Herman Levilapidensis．Vicenza．1475．Folio．

Editio Princeps；Latinè；but of comparatively little value，in the estimation of bibliographers，on account of not having the maps， which the reader will observe to constitute so material a part of the value of the ensuing impression．Yet there are some curious geogra－ phical wood－cut embellishments，which render this edition of absolute necessity to the collector．On the recto of aa $z$ ，we read an address of Angelo de Scarparia to Pope Alexander V．，which occupies 2 pages． On aa 3，recto，a table of the chapters in the first book ：on reverse of the sanue leaf，the lst chapter begins．The signatures are rather capriciously arranged；thus，aa $10: \mathrm{bb} 8$ ：then a（ 1 not numbered， 10：b，c，d，e，f，g，each 8 leaves：h 10．Next，A to G，in eights：G ten ：on reverse of $G x$ ，the imprint is thus－preceded by a brief address of Vadius and B．Picardus to the reader－（as seen in Panzer，vol．iii． p．507．）

Entibi lector Cosmographia Ptolemæi ab Hermano leuilapide
Coloniensi Vicenciæ accuratissime impressa．Benedicto Triuisano ：\＆Angelo Michaele præsidibus．

## ．M．CCCC．LXXV．IDI．SEPT．

Then follows，on the reverse of the ensuing，＇Wmago М⿴囗十 large lower－case Gothic type，with a wood－cut，on the opposite page，
of the arctic and antarctic poles: having small black letter beneath. Other similar cuts occupy the 7 following pages. This appendix, of 5 leaves, seems to have escaped De Bure, Panzer, and Brunet. The present is a fair sound copy, in russia binding.

## 391. Ptolemeus. Latinè. Printed by Dominicus de Lapis. Bologna. 1462. (Spurious Date.) Folio.

De Bure, Bibl. Instruct. vol. v. p. 32-40, has taken unusual pains in his description of this curious and much celebrated rolume. The copies in the Gaignat and Lauragais Collections, supplied him with the materials of his extended and accurate detail. But the labours of De Bure relating to this edition have been eclipsed, both in respect of minuteness and extension, by Bartolommeo Gamba; who, in a small quarto volume of 50 pages, has given fac-similes of the type and water marks with sufficient fidelity. This brochure was published in 1796 ;* and in the course of our description, we shall not fail to avail ourselves of its contents. Meanwhile Heinecken had taken particular notice of the volume; and La Serna Santander seems to have stolen the materials of Heinecken, with his usual dexterity and ingratitude: for the name of the latter is studiously suppressed in the Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 250-1; vol. iii. 304-5.

The artifice of the date, M.CCCC.LXII., seems to be accounted for from the mistake of having substituted the first $I$, instead of the letter $\mathbf{L}$, between the $\mathbf{X}$ and the second I : thus, it should have been, according to the ancient manner of dating, as Breitkopf affirms, 1491: (MGCCCLXLI) DE Bure concludes, that an $\mathbf{X}$ only is omitted; and that the date should have been MCCCCLXXII. Now it seems improbable that the publication could have appeared before the year 1482-the date generally assigned to it-for the two following reasuns. First, Beroaldus is said, in the preface, to have bestowed considerable editorial care upon it :-but, in the year 1462, this distinguished editor

[^82]was only nine years of age, he having been born in the year 1453. Secondly, 'There is no work extant, with the name of Dominicus de Lapis subjoined as the printer of it, before the year 1476: and if we admit the agre of Beroaldus, even in 1482,* to have been inadequate to a performance like the present, we must then acquiesce in the reasoning of Breitkopf, (' molto valutabile anche l'opinion'-as Gamba expresses it,) and assign the date of 1491 to the impression. Yet Heinecken, (who is rather inclined to the conclusion that the work was published in 1482,) admits that ' the maps have indeed an ancient appearance: that they are executed in a very rude manner : and from the zigzag strokes which appear in them, and which the ancient goldsmiths were in the habit of putting upon their silver plates, it is evident that these maps were executed by some such artists as the latter.' Idée Générale d'une Collection complette d' Estampes, p. 145-6.

The observations of Audrfrredi are, as usual, deserving of attention. At pp. 12, 13, of the Edit. Ital. he subscribes to the opinion of those who conclude the legitimate date of the impression to be 1482 ; and a long extract, from Heinecken $\dagger$ in particular, is adduced upon the subject. In the pages of the work here referred to, De Bure is cor-

[^83]rected for a few slight errors in his deseription of the impression ; and in the note (2) of p.13, we are informed by Audiffredi, that the types of the Ptolenly evidently resemble those in the Opusculum of llened. de Nursia, de Conservat. Sanitatis, printed by De Lapis, in $147 \%$ : except that the latter are less perfect, and appear to have been executed before the publication of the present work. At p. 35, Audiffredi remarks, that there is a still eloser resemblance between the printing in the Refututio Galeotti Objectorum in Libr. de Homine, of 1476, by De Lapis, and the present production-'Haec autem multo evidentius quam ipsius Benedicti opus, ostendunt, Ptolemæum a Dom. Lapio cun nota anni 1462 , impressum, multo infra annum 1476 , dejiciendunı esse, cum, ut suo loco observatum est, Ptolemaeus non signaturis nodo, sed et registro ac duplici indice instructus fuerit.' \&c.

To the opinion of Audiffredi we may subjoin that of Lanzr, ${ }^{*}$ in his Storia Pittorica, vol. i. p. 97-8; Bassano, 1795-6:-but not without making a preliminary observation or two. First, Lanzi does not appear to have ever seen the edition itself, as he refers to, and depends upon, the brief extract from it given by Meerman. In the second place, he is erroneous in asserting that Audiffredi concludes the edition to have been executed in 1491 -as the contrary has been just shewn. Thirdly,
ledge of the above carly editions of l'tolemy. The Bologna edition is wholly passed over in silence by Strutt, in his preliminary essay in vol. i. of the Dictionary of Engravers.

Neither the Pembroke nor Marlborough libraries contained it; nor can I find it in the Harleian Catalogue. Probably the present is the first and only copy of it in England.
*For the above extract from Lanzi, I am indebted to Mr. W. Y. Ortlex; a gentleman well known for his rescarches and taste in the pursuit of ancient engraving. Mr. Ottley continues the discussion in a letter, thus:

- In addition to the extract which I send yon (translated as correctly as I am able) fron Lanzi, I must suggest the possibility (supposing the name of Filippo Beroaldo in the catalogue of the correctors of the Bologna l'tolemy, to be the only or chicf argument against the truth of its date) that it appears to have been no uncommon thing amongst the Italians for the father and son to have the same name, and in short that the Filippo here mentioned might be the father, or other relation of the more celchrated Bervaldo.- Is a case in point, I must refer you to the 4th vol. of Baldinucci, ' Notisia de' Professori del disegno,' Firente, 1769, where (in a note) the learnerl Domenico Maria Manni was led to affirm that Maso Finiguerra was dead in 1424, in consequence of a public document, which most probably respected his father, whose name was also Maso or Tommasi-Thus Gori, in his Thesaurus Veterum Diptychorum, tomin. n11. p. 315, calls Maso Thomac Finigucrrae filius.'

To this it may be briefly replicd, that the Eldfr Beroildes, the editor of the Ptolemy, is the celebrated Beroaldus. His nephew edited the first cdition of the entire known *orks of Tacitus in 1515: see post.

His reasoning respecting the Roman impression of 1478 may be satisfactorily answered by the note at p. 298 post:-and in the fourth and last place, if Beroaldus was a learned man and opened a school in 1473, (upon what authority is this stated ?) it does not follow that he should have been a miracle at the age of nine years, and collated geographical works for an edition of Ptoleny. But Lanzi shall speak for himself.
> ' It seems to me, however, beyond all doubt, that about this time $(1472$,$) the art of engraving on copper was practised, not only in$ Mantua, where Mantegna resided, but likewise in Bologna. There is to be found in the Corsini Library at Rome, and in that of the Foscarini family at Venice, La Geografia di Tolomeo, printed at Bologna, by Domenico de Lapis, with the date (probably requiring amendnent) of 1462. It contains 26 maps very rudely engraved, but nevertheless, so much admired by the printer, that, in his preface, he is lavish in his praise of this new discovery (engraving) and compares it to the invention of typography not long before discovered in Germany. These are his words, as cited and not contradicted by Meerman, page 251. (See the passage quoted at length towards the end of this Article.) The same writer, however, and other learned men, insist that the date requires amendment, principally in consequence of the catalogue of the reviewers of the work, amongst whom is named Filippo Beroaldo, who in 1462, was only nine years of age. Hence, Meerman is of opinion that we should read 1482 ; Audiffredi, and others, that it should be 1491; opinions, in which I cannot join them. For the Ptolemy having been printed at Rome in 1478, with 27 excellent engravings, what impudence and folly must we suppose the Bolognese printer guilty of, had he exalted his edition with so many eulogiums after another, incomparably its superior, had been published? I am therefore obliged to place it eariier. I will also observe, that the engraving of 26 maps with so many marks, (segni) lines, and distances, must, in that early period of the art, have been a very laborious and difficult task, requiring not a few years to accomplish; for we know that three or four years were employed, by engravers much more expert, in completing the plates for the Roman edition. We must therefore carry back the epoch of engraving amongst the Bolognese, to some years previous to the publication of the book, which perhaps took place in 1472.'*

We are next, in order, to introduce a few of the observations of Gamba, with which the reader has been promised to be gratified at the

[^84]opening of this description. It may suffice previously to remark, that this bibliographer seems to agree with De Bure in assigning the date of MCCCCLXXII., as that of the genuine one of the impressiun : nor am I very strongly persuaded that this conclusion is erroneous; although I incline to the opinion that the genuine date is 1482 . But Gamba shall speak for himself.

- Among the most celebrated learned men who refuse to acknowledge as genuine the date of the Ptolemy, announced as of $146 \%$, may be reckoned Raidel, Card. Quirini, Meerman, Mazzuchelli, Count Fantuzzi, Heinecken, and Tiraboschi; and among bibliographers of the first class, Maittaire, De Bure, Crevenna, Audiffredi, Panzer, and other illustrious names speak of it as a false subscription-to the opinion and authority of whom I willingly subscribe.
' 1 do not think that this date can by any means be plausibly maintained. The age of the corrector of the work, Filippo Beroaldo, who in 1462 was but 9 years old, and was beyond doubt the same Beroaldo senior mentioned in the Storia Letteraria, (since, the biographers who illustrated the Bolognese writers, with scrupulous exactness, make no mention whatever of any older Beroaldo;) the age also of Girolamo Manfredi, the other corrector, who is announced in the book as a most skilful astrologer, and who only in 1463 was laureat in philosophy; the geographical tables of Nicolo Doni being made about the year 1468, or not much sooner, as I shall prove in its place-and finally, the unequivocal subscription to the works of Ovid-printed in $14 \% 1^{*}$-in which we read, that Baldassare Azzoguido, a citizen of Bologna, first introduced the art of printing into his country:-all these objections are to me so many rocks which 1 have not courage to run against, nor do I feel myself strong enough to surmount them.
' But if I agree with the beforementioned authors in acknowledging that the date of the edition of Ptolemy has been altered, I cannot yet subscribe to the opinion of those who (with the exception only of De Bure) refer it to a much more recent epoch, and consider it to be a work of the end of the 15 th century:-taking from it absolutely the precedence over the other Italian editions of the Grecian geographer, printed at Venice in 1475 , and at Rome in 1478 : so that, instead of maturely examining whether it may at least retain the honour of being a first edition, and perhaps one of the most precious monuments in which the art of engraving is to be seen exercised in printed works, they all agree in depriving it even of this prerogative.

[^85]' Let the reader suspend awhile his opinions, and follow my investigations; in which I propose to myself, by observations on ancient typography with the history of the time in which the editors of the book flourished, to answer all the objections hitherto made;-content to be set right if 1 go astray, or to quit the fied if he can succeed in new discoveries that shall enlighten me: it being always fair and praise-worthy to yield ingenuously to the truth, and to terminate a contention in virtuous friendship.
' I present, in the first place, the very accurate description of the book examined by me at leisure in Venice:-thanks to the inexpressible courtesy of the celebrated keeper of the Library of St. Mark, Don Jacopo Morelli !-who procured for me, for a few days, the unique copy, which is there preserved in the Casa Foscarini at the Carmelites; and was moreover pleased to assist ne with his abilities in a comparison of it. with the other editions of Ptolemy, the Vincentine and Roman, necessary to the investigation proposed.' P. iv.-vi.

Then follows a very particular and elaborate description of the volume -unnecessary to repeat here, from the ensuing equally faithful account of it. This brings us therefore back again to the spot, from whence we may be said to have travelled not wholly without amusement and profit.

Whenever executed, this volume is unquestionably a curiosity; as affording an illustration of the early state of the graphic arts :* but the

[^86]signatures alone prevent our assigning to it an earlier date than that of 1472 . We will now be somewhat particular in our description. On the recto of the first leaf, at top of the first column, commences an address to Pope Alexander $V$; with this prefix:

## BEATISSIMO PATRE ALEXAN DRO QVINTO PONT. MAX. AN GELVS

Towards the bottom of the first column, speaking of some geographical illustrations, by means of plates, the editor says :

> quos
in pictura figere decreuerimus nedū quippe $1 \bar{o}$ gitudinem locorum a fixo quodā nostre habita bilis totius termino ductam. Quæ tamen rara inuentio est.

On the reverse begins the first chapter. The ensuing leaf is inaccurately numbered $A \mathrm{i}$, in the signature, instead of A 2 . The signatures $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$, run in eights : B i being incorrectly marked B z . D has only four leaves. Then, a ten, b eight, c six leaves: next, E six. On the recto of Evj , the colophon is thus :

## CLAVDII PTOLAMAEI * ALEXAN DRINI COSMOGRAPHIAE OCTA VI ET VLTIMI LIBRI FINIS. <br> Hic finit Cosmographia Ptolemei impressa opa dominici de lapis ciuis Bononiēsis

## ANNO . M . CCCC . LXII. MENSE IVNII. XXIII. BONONIE

which this Roman impression appeared, it must be remembered that the Tricenza edition of 1475 appeared without these charts! -and it would have been at once disadvantageous and disgraceful for the printer of the Vicenza impression to have omitted them, if a previous publication of them had existed.' To this it may be replied that, in the original, the antecedent, to which the words 'ad hane doctrinam capessendam' refer, is extrensely duabtial if not obscure; and that Sweynheyn's 'teaching other men how they might print with copper-plates,' does not necessarily make Sweynheym himselitime inventor of the art of copper plate map printing. But the reader sloould probably consult Raidelius's Commentatio Critico-Litteraris de Ptolemai Geographiu cjusque codicibus tan manuscriptis quam typis enmessis, Norimb. 1734, 4to. cap. vir.

The register is beneath : the reverse, blank. A table of the Maps follows, preceded by this prefix-which has been also extracted by De Bure. 'Tabulas Cosinographiæ secundum dimensinnes Ptolomei impressas tibi quisquis es Nobilium operum studiose : non solum ob eam rem commendo : quod ab excellentissima Ptolomei scientia manarunt. Sed multo magis. Quia \& characteres \& figure tanta sunt diligentia correcte ut non multo plus Ptolomeo ob mirabilem primam compositionem. Quam nouo correctori ob emendationem congruam debere uideanur. Nam frustra suo cœelesti ingenio Ptolomeus scientiam mundi mundo reliquisset. Nisi preteritorum seculorum ignorantia simul ac temeritate corruptos. Eius codices \& peruersas confusasq; tabularum figuras noua corrigentis industria sincera fide ad ipsius auctoris dignitatem reuocasset. Et Ptolomeum non modo ceteris hominibus. sed ipsi quoque Ptolomeo restituisset. Accedit mirifica imprimendi tales tabulas ratio. Cuius inuentoris laus nihil illorum laude inferior. Qui primi literarum imprimendarum artem pepererunt in admirationem sui studiosissimum quemque facillime conuertere potest. Opus utrunque summa adhibita diligentia duo Astrologiæ peritissimi castigauerunt Hieronimus Mamfredus \& Petrus bonus. Nec minus curiose correxerunt summa eruditione prediti Galleottus Martius \& Colla montanus. Extremam emendationis manum imposuit philippus b[e]roaldus qui plinii Strabonis reliquorumque id genus scriptorum Geographiam cum Ptolomeo conferens. ut esset quam emendatissimus elaborauit.'

The maps are (as Gamba justly observes) 26 in number. From the second, the reader is presented with the opposite fac-simile of Scotland - in which a compliment scems to be paid it, for its 'sylvan honours,' that has not been repeated by many subsequent geographers. Beneath, will also be seen fac-similes of the zigzig strokes, forming the ocean, and of the winds (taken from other maps) noticed by Heinecken and Gamba. All the charts in the present copy are coloured by an ancient hand; which is probably the case with most of the copies. The volume is in sound condition, and handsomely bound in red morocco. It was recently obtained from Paris, at the sale of the books of Firnin Didot, in 1810, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. S43, for an exorbitant sum.

De Murr (who is decidedly of opinion that the date of this impression should be 1482) describes a fine vellum MS. of Ptolemy, of the xvth century, which is also noticed by Raidelius at p. 26, 33 ;-and in which the dedication is to Pope Innocent V-but erroneously. Memurab. Bibl. Publ. Norimb. pt. ii. p. $8 \$$.

392. Ptolemeus. Printed by Leonard Hol. Ulm. 1482. Folio.

The reader is about to be made acquainted with one of the most magnificent and interesting volumes in this Collection. It has been briefly described by De Bure, but unaccountably omitted by La Serna Santander. Baur, Bibl. Libror. Rarior. vol. ii. p. 264, calls it ' a very rare edition,' upon the authority of Raidel (see note at p. 290 ante,) Engel and Schwarz; but the second authority (Bibl. Selectiss. p. 134,) gives merely a brief title of the volume. Braun, Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. ii. p. 96, is somewhat copious; and Laire, Index. Libror. vol. ii. p. $63-4$, is brief but interesting. Both these latter authorities notice the extreme splendour of the edition, and do not fail particularly to describe the wood-cut, of which the ensuing is a fac-simile :
BEATISSIMO PATRI PAVLO SE
CVNDO PONTIFICI MAXIMO.
DONIS NICOLAVS GERMANVS


The preceding is the first object* which strikes the reader, on commencing his perusal of this magnificent volume. The address, to which it is a prefix, concludes on the recto of the second leaf, sign. a 2 , with a table of the contents of the first book. On the reverse commences the text of Ptolemy, according to the ensuing fac-simile; which probably presents us with the earliest representation of the author:


> OSMOGRA phía defignas trix ímítatio ē toti9 cognitio or biscübis ${ }^{\text {qupe- }}$ $\mathfrak{r c}$ vniuerfalitcr fibíiunguntur. A corograpbía

The work is printed in double columns, in the same elegant type as is

[^87]given in the preceding fac-simile; and to each chapter is prefixed a rich blooming capital, like one of the following:


The signatures are somewhat irregular: a having 10 , and $b, c, d$, $\mathrm{e}, \mathrm{f}$, and g , each 8 leaves: h has 11 leaves. The maps, 32 in number, immediately follow ; and to each map is prefixed a table, printed within a rude but rather handsome border. From the first of these maps, the reader is presented with the following fac-simile; as a companion to that which is given in the description of the previous impression. It represents only half, or the upper part, of the country described :


From the bottom corners of the sixth map, he is presented with another companion to nearly similar objects, given in the copper plate
opposite p. 300 ante. The first of these Winds, to the left, is called AFRICVS. VEL. LIBS :'-the second, 'WLTVRNVS.* EVRVS. $\dagger$


On the reverse of the last map we have the following imprint, at the end of a table, followed by a memorandum concerning the zodiac:

## CLAVDII PTOLOMEI VIRI A LEXANDRINI COSMOGRAPHIE OCTAVVS ET VLTIMVS LIBER EXPLICIT <br> OPVS DONNI NICOLAI GERMA NI SECVNDVM PTOLOMEVM FINIT. <br> ANNO MCCCCLXXXII. AVGV

[^88]
## STI VERO KALENDAS. XVII. IMPRSSVM * VLME PER INGENI OSVM VIRVM LEONARDVM HOL PREFATI OPPIDI CIVIS.:.

To add to the pleasure derived from the possession of this fine copy, it remains only to observe, that it is printed upon vellum, in a stylc of uncommon beauty, and with equally unusual success. A similar copy was in the Gaignat Collection, and there is another similar one in the British Museum. The present copy is handsomely bound in red morocco.

## 393. Quintilianus. Institutiones. Printed at

## Rome. 1470. Folio.

Editio Princeps. This rare and magnificent volume is of great importance to the library of the classical collector. In a bibliographical point of view, it is essentially necessary; as it has given rise to considerable controversy among the ablest and most accurate writers. The question to be determined concerning it, is, whether Ulric Han, or Philif de Lignamine, be the printer of it? In favour of the supposition that the former executed it, we have the united authorities of Orlandi, Maittaire, Quirini, Meerman, De Bure, Ernesti, and Laire. In favour of the supposition that P. de Lignamine was the printer, there stands the solitary but powerful testimony of Audiffredi; a testimony, which Brunet might have examined before he attributed the impression to the press of Ulric Han.

The points at issue may be compressed within a reasonable compass. Cardinal Campanus was the editor of the work; and Ulric Han is supposed to have printed whatever had received the editorial inspection of the Cardinal, and was published at Rome, during his residence within the city. The same printer is reported to have even teased the Cardinalt by his repeated suggestions of improvement of the text;

[^89]and that, in consequence, Campanus wrote the poetical colophon which Ulric Han usually subjoined to his publications. This seems to be the principal evidence in favour of Ulric Han. In support of the conclusion of Audiffredi, there is much that may induce the reader to acquiesce in his reasoning, and therefore to assign this edition to the press of Lignamine. First, although Campanus did certainly superintend the publications of Ulric Han, he did not therefore devote himself exclusively to that vain but ingenious printer. The words of the Cardinal's first biographer (which Audiffredi might have quoted with advantage) are these - ' nemo in tota impressorum Hesperia ea tempestate opus imprimendum suscipere uelle uidebatur cui illius contmendationis epistola non præluxisset,' Vit: Campani. (fol. 13). 1495. Hence we may conclude, that other printers, besides Ulric Han, were in the habit of applying to Campanus; and that the Cardinal himself was equally in the labit of gratifying their wishes. 'Why therefore (as Audiffredi suggests) might not Campanus have assisted a respectable and well bred printer, like P. de Lignamine, as well as an impertinent and obtrusive one, as U. Han appears to have been? And if U. Han printed this edition, why did he not subjoin, as usual, his poetical colophon?

Secondly. There is no book printed with types similar to the present in which the name of U. Han appears-but there are works (viz. Suetonius, Leonis Sermones, Ambrosius de Officiis, Laurentius Valla, Sixtus IV. De Sanguine Christi. Campharus, de Immortalitate Animæ, and the Pongie Lingua of Cavalcha, executed in this character ; and in the tur latter of which, the name of $\mathbf{P}$. de Lignamine is inserted as the printer. 'Which character (continues Audiffiedi) I have seen in all this printer's books up to the year 1481,' \&c. In the third and last place, the same learned bibliographer tells us, that, in the prefatory epistle of the Pongie Lingua-which cpistle S. M. de Blasis first published entire in the 1 xth vol. of the Opuscoli di Autori Siciliani-all the foregoing works are noticed by the printer to have been executed by him; and he says, therein, that he has been two years resident at Rome. If so, P. de Lignamine executed this edition of Quintilian. Let the reader consult the Edit. Rom. p. 46-7; but especially from p. 111, to to p. 11\%. We now return to the volume before us.

On the recto of the first leaf, here not inelcgantly illuminated, we read as follows, without any prcfix:

Fflagitasti quottidiano conuitio ut libros quos ad Marcellum meū de institutione oratoria scripseram : iam emittere inciperem. Nam ipse cos nondum opinabar satis maturuisse : quibus componendis ut scis paulo plusĭ \&c. \&c. \&cc.

There are 28 lines below : a full page has 35 lines. The books and chapters have no prefixes, and the impression is without numerals, catchwords, and signatures. On the recto of the 27\%th leaf, we read the imprint thus-the three latter lines being fac-similes of the original:

Marci Fabii Quintiliani institutionum oratoriarum ad Victorium Marcellum liber. xii. et ultimus explicit. Ábfolutus Rome in uia pape prope fanctumMarcum. Ânno falutıs.M.CCCC. Lxx, dieuero tertia menfis Âugưtı. Paulo Veneto papa.ii.florente.anno eius. Vi .

The reverse is blank. Then ensues the epistolary address of Campanus to Cardinal Piccolomini;* terminating on the top of the recto of the second leaf. Beneath begins the index, with this prefix :

Sequuntur Rubrice totius operis per ordinem.
occupying, in the whole, 5 pages-and closing the volume on the recto of the 281 st and last leaf. This supplemental part contains, as De Bure justly observes, 4 leaves; but Fossi describes it more properly as the introductory part. Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 432.

The reader is, in the last place, presented with a fac-simile of the Greek type in this impression; of which particular mention has been made in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 183-4. It is taken from the recto of fol, 78.

[^90]Consult the Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iii. nº. 3231 ; Bibl. Paris. ${ }^{\circ}$. 168 ; Cat. de Gaignat, vol. i. p. 391 ; Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. nº. 2333 ; Bibl. Harleian, vol. i. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .5292$; and Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2446. The present magnificent copy, bound in red morocco, was formerly in the Valliere collection; and was purchased by Count Reviczky for 750 livres.

## 394. Quintilianus. Institutiones. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1470.

Editio Secunda. This is the impression which principally induced Maittaire to write his ' Dissertatio de Antiquis Quintilieni Editionibus'subjoined to the first edition of the Annal. Typog. A. D. 1719, and containing 32 pages. Burman, on the authority of La Caille's wretched work, entitled Histoire de L'Imprimerie, 1689, 4to. had given precedence to a supposed earlier edition of 1468, executed by Ulric Han; and had observed upon the silence or ignorance of Maittaire respecting it. This called forth the dissertation abovementioned, from Maittaire; in the commencement of which the author pays an unnecessary compliment to La Caille, whose opinion he was obliged to combat, and with success. The preface of the Bishop of Aleria, in the present edition, is incontestably decisive of an anterior impression:-'propterea quòd ab homine ordinis nostri excellenti ante traditus erat [Quintilianus] alteri talium opificum officinæ imprimendus, cuius etiam Epistolam in volumine nostro transtulimus.' See also the pertinent ohservations of Freytag, in his Adpar. Litterar. vol. iii. p. 70, 71. But we may now introduce the volume itself to the reader's attention.

On the recto of the first leaf is the editorial epistle of the Bishop of Aleria, to Pope Paul II.: dated thus-Anno dominici natalis M.CCCCLXX. Pont. uero tui Anno Septimo.'* This is immediately succeeded by the epistle of Campanus, as in the preceding impression, which is followed by a register, or index (as before,) of the heads of the several chapters in each book. This index ends on the recto of the 4th leaf. The reverse of the leaf is blank. On the recto of the 5th leaf, (elegantly illuminated) we read the prefix to Quintilian's proheme, thus:

[^91]
## M. Fabius Quintilianus Victorio Marcello Salutem.

Beginning 'Efflagitasti,' \&c. and having 33 lines beneath. The proheme begins thus :
> M. Fabii. Quintiliani Institutionū oratoriarū ad Victoriuın Marcellum. Liber primus Prefatio.

Ost impetratam studiis meis quietē : quam per uiginti annos erudiēdis iuuenibus impenderā : cum a me quidam familiariter postularent: ut aliquid de ratione dicēdi componerē : diu sum \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 38 lines; and the volume contains, according to the pencil-numerals of this copy, 238 leaves. On the reverse of the last leaf, we read the six colophonic verses as at $p .113$ ante, with the two following subjoined :

## Petrus cum fratre Francisco maximus ambo

Huic operi aptatam contribuere domum.
This edition is of rare occurrence : consult the various authorities noticed in the Introd. to the Classics, rol. ii. p. 184. The present may be numbered among the finest copies of it in existence. It is bound in blue morocco.

## 395. Quintilianus. Institutiones. Printed. <br> by Ienson. Venice. 1471. Folio.

Of less rarity, and probably of less intrinsic value, than either of the preceding impressions-but much superior in typographical elegance, is the edition now under description. Leonicenus, the editor of it, does not inform us what authorities he followed in its compilation; and although incorrect, it has some peculiar and good readings which have been neglected by subsequent editors. Such is the opinion of Ernesti, in the Fabric. Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 267. Spalding, the recent editor of the Institutions, seems to assent to Ernesti; Edit. 1798, pref. p. lv. The collector will therefore see the necessity of securing this beautiful production of the early Venetian press.

On the reverse of the first leaf commences the address of Omnibonus Leonicenus: in which is the following testimony relating to the skill of the printer:-although Jenson is loosely, and incorrectly called 'the inventor of the art.'-(This testimony has escaped even Sardini.)

- Accedebāt iuste preces magistri Nicolai Ienson Gallici alterius (ut uere dic $\bar{a}$ ) Dædali : qui librariæ artis mirabilis inuentor: non ut scribantur calamo libri: sed ueluti gèma imprimantur: ac prope sigillo primus omnium ingeniose mōstrauit. Vt huic uiro $q$ de re litteraria tam bene meruerit: nemo sit qui non fauere sūmopere debeat. Idcirco nō difficulter impetrauit: ut nō hoc solum opus: uerum etiā utranque Ciceronis artē corrigerem.' \&cc.

On the recto of the following leaf the matter continues as in the two previous impressions; but the detached heads are blended together, and the word 'proemium' only is prefixed to the passage which has been last extracted from the edition of Sweynheym and Pannartz. There are no printed Greek passages, as in the Tortellius of the same date; and as Maittaire and Fossi observe, there is an omission of an entire line in the first page of the 6 th chapter of the 6 th book. Like the previous editions, it is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords. A full page has 39 lines; and the mode of setting up of the page is in a broader and fuller form than is usual in Jenson's publications. On the reverse of the 209th leaf, after 21 lines of text, and 2 of subscription, we read this pompous colophon:

> QVINTILIANVM ELOQVENTIAE FONTEM AB ERVDI: TISSIMO OMNIBONO LEONICENO EMENDATVM. M. NICOLAVS IENSON GALLICVS VIVENTIBVS POSTE, RISQVE MIRO IMPRESSIT ARTIFICIO.

ANNIS. M.CCCCLXXI. MENSE MAII DIE. XXI.

## DEO GRATIAS.

A blank leaf ensues. Then a table of the chapters, 2 pages and a half, or 2 leaves; reverse of the last leaf blank. The titles to the chapters, in the body of the work, are uniformly printed in small or lower-case letter. De Bure notices the vellum copies of this beautitul volume which werc in the Royal and Gaignat Collections; the latter was after-
wards in the Valliere, and is now in the M'Carthy Library. The Harleian, Askew, Crevenna, and Pinelli Collections, each contained a copy upon paper; but probably not of greater beauty and dimensions than is the one here described. Many of the fore edges are rough. It is bound in red morocco.
396. Quintilianus. Institutiones. Printed by Zarotus. Milan. 1476. Folio.

Saxius and Fossi have correctly described this edition. The former notices the lacunce for the insertion of the Greek passages, and the latter praises ' the round and elegant type' with which it is executed. Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. nlxir. note n; Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 433. A brief descrijtion may suffice. On the recto of a i , it is thus :
M. FABIVS QVINTILIANVS VICTORIO MARCELLO. S. FFLAGITASTI quottidiano conuicio ut libros quos ad Marcellunı meum de institutione orato ria scripseram: iam emittere inciperem. Nam ipse \&c. \&c. \&c.

The proheme, as usual, is below. A full page has 41 lines. The signatures run from a to $z$, inclusively, in eights: then \& and 0 , each in eight: afterwards p 2 with six leaves. On the recto of 1 kj , is the imprint, thus :

Quintiliani Institutiones Oratorias Diligenter Emendatas: Antonius Zarothus Parmensis Mediolani Sollerter Impressit Anno a natali christiano. 1476.5. idus Iunias Auspice Christo.

According to the pen-marked numerals of the present copy, there are 206 leaves. A very fair copy in red morocco.

## 397. Quintllianus. Institutiones. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

Crevenna, in his own catalogue of his library, edit. 1775, vol. iii. p. 56, had erroneously conceived, that this noble impression was executed with the worn types of Jenson, either by that artist himself, or by his heirs. The compiler of the subsequent catalogue, 1789 , vol. iii. p. 53-4, has judiciously omitted this absurd conjecture ; supposing, more rationally, that the edition was printed according to the text of the Venetian one of 1471 . The insertion of the Letter of Leonicenus, omitting the passage in which Jenson is described as the first printer, is, as this latter authority observes, corroborative of such conclusion. Seemiller is copious and satisfactory; drawing the same inference' it seems to me (says he) probable enough, that, not long after the publication of Jenson's edition, some Venetian printer reprinted it, supplying the Greek passages.' The introduction of Leonicenus's letter (with the omission of the passage just noticed,) strengthens this inference. But (concludes Seemiller) I do not make any positive assertion, as I have not collated the respective impressions.' Incunab. Typog. fasc. i. p. 175-6. Fossi might have availed himself of this authority, in the Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 431. In the Bibl. Crevenn. edit. 1789 , the date of 'about 1476 ' is assigned to the impression. We will now briefly, but correctly, describe it.

On the reverse of the first leaf the table begins, and ends on the reverse of the second. Then a leaf with the recto blank: on the reverse of this 3rd leaf, is the Epistle of Leonicenus, as above described. On the following leaf, a ii, the text of the author commences. A full page has 39 lines. The signatures run from a to $z, \&$, inclusively, in eights : then, A six, B eight, and C six. D has only 3 leaves; on the reverse of the 3rd of which, we read the subscription, thus:

## M FABII QVINTILIANI ORATORIARVM INSTI TVTIONVM LIBRI DVODECIMI ET VLTIMI FINIS.

Seemiller not inaptly describes the character as 'rotundum, idque plane nitidissimum, ut æque nitidissimum in nullo libro hactenus descripto me reperisse putem.' This is just praise ; for a more readable and pleasant character-between that of Jenson and the larger type of P. de Lignamine-is rarcly seen in the productions of an ancient
printer. If the first two leaves of the present copy (which are clumsily mended) be excepted, it may be fairly said that a larger or a finer copy of it will with difficulty be found. The edges are uncut. In red morocco: Harleian binding.

## 398. Quintilianus. Declamationes Tres. Printed by Schurener. Rome. 1475. Folio.

Editio Princeps. This is an impression of the utmost rarity. It is bricfly described by Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 175-6, but it appears to have escaped Maittaire, Burman, De Bure, Ernesti, Fossi, Laire, and La Serna Santander. I question whether Panzer or Brunet ever saw a copy of it; although it is summarily noticed by each of them.* The Three Declamations contained in it (as the bottom of the first page indicates) are, the Gladiator, Sepulchrum Incantatum, and Gemini Languentes. On the reverse of the first leaf we read as fullows:

Domitii Calderini Veronensis Secretarii Apl'ici. Ad Anellum Archamonum Equitem Neapolitanum Iureq; Consultum prestantissimum a Rege Neap. Legatunı ad Pont. Max.

Domitius Anello Sal't. Ex toto uoluíe declamationū Fabii Quintiliani : quas tua uoluntate et auctoritate permotus proxima estate recognoui: lie tres tumul, tuariis operis edite fuerunt: ne quom eas hoc anno profitebor: ab auditoribus desyderētur nr̃is. Interea imprimentur alie omes accuratissime multum ut $q$ dem spero: \&c.

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, it begins thus:

## Incipit Gladiator <br> Casus uel Accidens. <br> Bdicare et recusare liceat pauperis et diuitis

[^92]inimic 04 filii Iunenes amici erāt: filius diuitis
Cum in piratas incidisset scripsit patri de re,
demptione. Illo morante profectus pauperis filius. cū apud amicum piratas non inuenisset quia laniste ueni erat :

> \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 35 lines; and the volume contains only 24 leaves. On the reverse of the last leaf is this colophon:

He Tres Declamationes Quintiliani Impresse sunt Rome per Magistrum Iohannem Schurener de Bopardia. Domitius Calderinus Veroneñ emen dauit. Easq; publice professus est Anno Iubilei et a Natiuitate domini M CCCCLXXV. Die uero Lune penultima Mensis Octobris. Sedente Sixto Papa Quarto. Anno euis Quinto.

The present is rather a fine copy of this barbarously printed, but most estimable, volume. It is bound in blue morocco.

## 399. Quintilianus. Declamationes. Printed by Lucas Venetus. Venice. 1481. Folio.

Editio Princeps of XIX Declamations. Neither the present nor the ensuing impression of the Declamations of Quintilian is considered to be rare, or particularly valuable, by De Bure, vol. iii. p. 183 ; but both will be desirable to the collector, (especially if he have such copies of them as are the present) from the extreme beauty of their typographical execution. On the recto of the first leaf we read the address of Iacobus Grasolarius to Christoforus de Priolis : towards the conclusion of which, on the reverse of the leaf, it is as follows:

## Id cum nostra

cura \& diligentia imprimendum esset: quoniam multa eruditione \& acri iuditio opus erat : quod ex tenuitate doctri, ne sentio "'̆ in me sit exiguum : pro suscepti muneris offitio
antiqs exemplaribus inspectis sollicitoque studio perlectis: ne quid ulla ex parte mendosū esset Georgio alexandrino uiro doctissimo præceptori optimo \& fidelissimo corrigē, dum remisimus: qui (ut omnia accurate inspicit) solita di, ligentia recognouit. Prodeat igitur in lucem Quintilia. nus: \&c.

On the recto of the opposite leaf, after a brief argument, commences the first declamation, with this prefix :

Pro cæco contra nouercam.
Signature a has nine leaves: $b$, eight: $c$ to $t$ are in sixes; and $t$ has nine leaves. On the recto of $t$ ix, the imprint is thus :

Quintiliani Summi Rhetoris \& eloquétissimi declamati ones exactissime recognitas Lucas Venctus Dominici. F. ingeniosus artifex diligenter impressit Venetiis anno salu tis. M. CCCC . LXXXI . IIII. nonas augusti

A full page has 35 lines. The register is on the reverse of the last leaf. The typographical execution of this volume is extremely elegant, and the paper of a mellow, pleasing tint. The present is a large and beautiful copy, in russia binding.

## 400. Quintilianus. Declamationes. Printed by Lucas Venetus. Venice. 1482. Folio.

This is a reprint of the preceding impression; * the page being set up in a wider form, and a full page containing 38 lines. The imprint is on the recto of the 87th and last leaf, thus :

[^93]Quintiliani Sūmi Rhetoris \& eloquentissimi declanıatōes exı actissime recognitas Lucas Venetus Dominici. F. ingeniosus artifex itere diligenter ipressit Venetiis anno salutis. M. CCCC.LXXXII. Nonis iuniis.

From the register, beneath, we learn that the signatures, from $b$ to $o$, inclusively, are in sixes : a having nine leaves. This is a magnificent copy, both in size and condition, of an edition executed in all the luxury of ancient printing. In red-morocco binding.
401. Quintilianus. Declamationes cxxxvif. Printed by Angelus Ugoletus. Parma. 1494. Folio.

This edition is of some importance to the collector of early classics; since it is the first which contains CXXXVII, out of the $388^{\prime}$ Declamationes Brcviores,' * supposed to have been written by Quintilian. Orlandi and Maittaire are certainly 'in a gross mistake' in supposing that the previous impressions contained a like number of these Declamations. Consult Affo's valuable notice of this edition, in his Tipografia Parmense, p. cir, ciri. On the recto of the first leaf, in the middle, is the title, printed in capital letters. On the reverse is the address of Thadæus Vgoletus ' Georgio Anselmo Nepoti;' which is rather an interesting one-concluding with a notice of the errors of former in-pressions-' Correximus etiam nonnulla librariorum uitia : temporum nequaquam : multa intacta omissimus : ne forte magis deprauaremus. Addidimus declamationum indicem: ut curiosus lector exoptatas facilius inueniat.' An index of one leaf follows: then, on sign. a, begins the first declamation, entitled 'Ex Declamatione cc.lir. The signatures, a to $h$, are in eights: $h$ to $o$, in sixes: $o$, four. On the recto of o iiij, is the following colophon :
> M. Fabi Quintiliani Declamatoris: Declamatio nes. CXXXVI. Parme finiūt per Angelum Vgoletum Parmensem : Olympiade quingen tesima sexagesina octaua. qui est annus a salu te christiana. M.cccc.xciiii. quinto non. Iul. Re

[^94]
# guante Illustrissimo Ioāne Galeaz Mediolani Duce Sexto: \& Inclyto Ludouico Patruo Gu bernante. 

On the right hand side of this colophon, is the device of the printer, Andreas Ugoletus; according to the fac-simile of it in the third volume of this work, Art. 'Pindarus Thebanus.' The register, beneath, shews the order of the signatures. The present is a fine copy, with many rough fore edges, in red morocco binding; but an ancient possessor of it has given too many proofs of his granmatical knowledge by a profusion of ms. corrigenda.

## 402. Quintus Curtius. Printed by Laver. Without Date. Folio.

Editio Princeps. As the present and subsequent impressions are each without dates, the exact chronological precedence of either cannot perhaps be satisfactorily ascertained; but, for reasons assigned by De Bure in vol. v. p. 512, -and as Brunet commences his list of the early editions of this author with the present one, -I assign to it the place which it here occupies; being of opinion that it is chronologically anterior to the ensuing one. On the recto of the first leaf, without any prefix, the text of the historian commences thus:

> NTER hec Alexander ad conducen, dum ex Pelopōnesso militem Cleandro cū pecunia misso Lytie pamphiliisq; rebus cō positis ad urbem Celenas exercitum admor uit. Media illa tēpestate menia īterfluebat Marsus amnis fabulosis greco $\psi$ carmībus \&c. \&c. \&cc.

This first page has 30 lines, but a full page contains 32 lines. The impression comprehends 149 leaves. On the reverse of the 149 th and last leaf, we read the conclusion of the text, and the imprint, as follow :

Ceterum corpus eius a Ptolomeo cui E, gypta cesserat Memphim. Et inde paucis p9 annis

Alexandriam translatum est. Omnisq; memorie \& nomini honos habetur.

Finis gestorum Alexandri magni que. Q. Curtius Rufus uir Romanus litteris mādauit. Et Pōponi9 nr̃o tēpore correxit. Ac Georgius Lauer impressit.

Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 387, is unusually brief, but correct. Rossi, p. 66, as referred to by Panzer, vol. ii. p. 525, assigns the date of 1470 to the impression. A magnificent copy of this rare and estimable impression was sold at the Crevenna sale for 380 florins; but a finer copy of it than the present one will with difficulty be found. It forms a worthy companion to the Eutropius of 1471, by the same printer. See p. 27 ante. In blue morocco binding.

## 403. Quintus Curtius. Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Without Date. Folio.

Editio Secunda. De Bure has probably bestowed more pains than were necessary in arguing against the existence of a supposed impression of Vindelin de Spira, with the date subjoined ; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 509-11. One of the reasons assigned by him for the present edition being subsequent to the one printed by Laver, is, that, prefixed to the poetical address of the reader to the printer, by way of colophon, are the words ' reddit in lucem :' meaning, says De Bure, ' mettre de nouveau sous presse, ou remettre au jour ;'-and as Laver is supposed to have printed in the Eusebian monastery, at Rome, in 1470, (see vol. i, p. 194-6) De Bure thought that this was a reprint of the one just described. But may we not conjecture, that the expression ' reddit in lucem' might have alluded to the first printing of the author? as, when in a state of ms., the historian was, comparatively, in darkness, or little known? The reader shall determine for himself. On the recto of the first leaf, and, like the preceding impression, without prefix, the text begins as follows:

NTER hec Alexander ad cōducēdū ex peloponeso militem Cleādro cum pe cunia misso: lytie pamphilieq; rebus cō,
positis: ad urbē celenas exercitū admor
uit. Media illa tempestate moenia inter \&c. \&c. \&c.

There is great elegance in the setting up of the page; and a full one contains 32 lines. On the reverse of the 153 rd and last leaf, the colophon is thus:

Loquitur lector ad Vindelinum Spirensem
Artificem qui. Q. C. reddit in lucem
Vindeline meo prius hic redditurus in auras Spiritus \& corpus linquet inane meum. Q' tua nobilitas uirtus : atq; inclita fama: Pectore labatur candide amice meo

The reader may consult numerous authorities referred to in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 191. The present is a fine and sound copy, and was purchased by Count Reviczky at the La Valliere sale for 620 livres. It is in old red morocco binding.

## 404. Quintus Curtius. Printed by Zarotus

 Milan. 1481. Folio.Considering the editions of the alleged dates of $14 \% 4$ and 1480 , to be supposititious, (to which latter the name of Zarotus is given as the printer) I venture to call the present one the third edition; and to add, that the subsequent impressions of this author, in the XVth century, are held in little estimation. Q. Curtius seems, indeed, to have been no favourite with our early scholars and printers. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

## Q. CVRTII DE REBVS GESTIS ALEXANDRI MA gNi Regis macedonvm liber Tertivs.

INTER Hxc Alexander ad conducendum ex peloponneso militem Cleandro cum pecunia misso: lyciæ pamphiliæq; rebus compositis: \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page has 34 lines. The number of leaves may be gathered from the order of the signatures, which is thus : a to $p$ are in eights, a i being a blank leaf: $q$ has 4 leaves; on the reverse of the 4 th of which, we lave the following colophon:

## Hos nouem. Q. Curtii libros de rebus gestis Alexādri Magni Regis Macedonū quanaccuratissime recognitos impres sit Mediolani Antonius Zarotus opa \& impendio Iohannis legnani. Anno domini. M. CCCC LXXXI. die. xxvi. Martii.

Whoever reads the particular manner in which Saxius describes this edition, and the confession of his having seen two copies of it, will admit that, from his comparatively superficial description of the supposed impression of 1480 , De Bure was justified in doubting of the existence of the latter. Brunet has certainly not observed his usual accuracy and order, in making his account of the edition of 1481, very subordinate to that of the fictitious impression of 1480. Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. dlxxili. note k; p. dlxxv. note z: Billiogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 514; Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 313. The present is an indifferent copy; in blue morocco binding.

## 405. Rufus Sextus. Printed by Ruesinger.

 Without Date. Quarto.This is probably the first edition of the author. Laire calls it 'tentamen typographicum rude et informe;' and supposes it to have been published in 1470 . Index Libror. vol. i. p. 1S9. It is briefly described in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 59, $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{D}} .2650$ : and some reference has been made to it in the preceding volume ( $\mathbf{p} .269$, ) of this work. We may be brief in our description. On the recto of the first leaf, the text begins thus:
b REVEM: FIERI: Claementia tua libellum praecepit: parebo li bens praeceptis. Quippe quom de \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page, without intervention of spaces, has 33 lines. The impres-
sion contains only 12 leave3. On the recto of the 12th and last, we read as follows:
Sexti Ruffi : Viri Cousularis* Valentiano
Augusto de historia: Ro: Libellus finit :
SIXTVS.
RVESINGER.

The present is a desirable copy, in green morocco binding.

## 406. Rufus Sextus. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

Although this edition be printed in the same characters with which the Aurelius Victor (described at p. 269 of the preceding volume,) is executed, and although, in each work, the type resembles that with which Sachsel and Golsch printed the Ammianus, and Sabinus in Juvenalem, of the date of 1474 , yet I apprehend the present edition to be different from either of those described by Audiffredi at p. 387 of the Edit. Rom. On the recto of the first leaf commences the address of 'Angel/s. Tiphernas amplissimo dño Baptiste d' Vrsinis;' concluding thus:
cōsultu bn̄ existimabo. 'Tu uale \& me ama.
On the reverse, at top, are the words ANGELVS TIPHERNAS. A table ensues, on the recto of the sccond leaf: at bottom of which we read as follows :

## SEXTI RVFI uiri Consularis Valenti ano Augusto de histora Ro. libellus Incipit

Then succeeds, on the reverse, the text as in the extract from the preceding impression. On the recto of the 15 th and last leaf, we read this imprint or subscription:

## Sexti Ruf. Viri Consularis Valentiano Augusto de Historia Ro. Libello Finit:

The reverse is blank. A ms. date of 1470 is gratuitously added in the present copy ; which, although cropt, is a very fair one, and bound with great neatness and skill, in green morocco.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fic. } \\
& \text { T t }
\end{aligned}
$$

YOL. II.
407. Rufus Sextus. (Supposed to be Printed by Florentius de Argentina.) Without Date. Quarto.

The learned Morelli, in his particular account of this elegantly executed impression, is of opinion that 'it may perhaps be the first edition ;' but such opinion appears to me to be rather questionable: especially as the date of $14 \% 2$ is assigned to it, both by Morelli and Panzer, and the impression of Ruesinger is probably as early as $\mathbf{1 4 \% 0 .}$ Panzer refers to Mittarelli, col. 407; where, among several editions, the present one appears to be designated as executed 'charactere elegantissimo et unciali.' That 'Florentius de Argentina is the printer seems quite certain; since his name, with similar types, appears to the Oration of Romanus ' pro Brixiensibus ad Nicolaum Tronum Ducem Venetiarum,' printed in $14 \% 2$, and to other Opuscula. There is, at first glance, a strong resemblance between these types and those of Adam de Ambergau; and most of the capital letters appear to be precisely similar. Morelli notices the silence of bibliographers concerning this rare edition. On the recto of the first leaf, we read arfollows:

## RVFFI . SEXTI VIRI . CONSVLA RIS . RERVM . GESTARVM . P . R . V . AVGVSTO . LIBER . INCIPIT.!.

REVEM fieri clemētia tu a præcepit: parebo libēs præ ceptis tuis quippe qum de \&c. \&.c. \&c.

A full page has 22 lines. On the reverse of the 20th and last leaf, we read the ensuing subscription :

> Ruffi sexti uiri Consiliarius rerum gestarum. P.R.V. Augusto liber fi.
> Item De ædificatione Venetiarum .s. FINIS .s.

From the last line of this imprint, the reader may wish to be informed that the opusculum, there noticed, commences on the recto of the 13 th
leaf, with a prefix in two lines of capital letters, immediately after the subscription denoting the conclusion of Rufus Sextus. It remains only to observe, that De Bure has wholly omitted the notice of this author; and that Brunet is rather lax and brief in his account of the rarer impressions of him. The present copy is bound in calf, with an edition of Donatus in Ovidium, (mentioned at p. 217 ante, ) and another of Sedulius; for which vide post.

## 408. Sallustius. Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Venice. 1470. Quarto.

Editio Prisceps. Courtesy requires that we should assign to the present impression the place which it here occupies; but it is extremely doubtful whether the ensuing one, with the same date subjoined, be not at least of equal antiquity. This elegantly printed volume has been well described by De Bure; although in a manner not quite so particular as is the ensuing description of it. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the opening of the History of the Conspiracy of Catiline, (without any prefix) as follows:

> M N I S H O M I N E S Qui sese studēt prestare ceteris animalibus summa ope niti dec\& ne uitā selentio* transeāt. ueluti pecora: que natura pna atq; uentri obedientia finxit. Sed nostra omnis uis: ì animo
\& corpore sita est. Animi imperio corporis seruitio magis utimur. Alterum nobis cum diis: alterū cū \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 30 lines. On the reverse of the 23d leaf, this History terminates with the following subscription:

## SALVSTII LIBER FINIT PRI, MVS. INCIPIT SECVN, DVS DE BELLO IV, GVRTINO.

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the History of the Jugurthine War commences thus;-without any prefix:

> A L S O. Q V ERITV R
> De natura sua genus humanum quod imbecilla atque eui breuis \&c. \&c. \&c.

As before, a full page has 30 lines. On the rectu of the $4 \%$ th leaf, from the commencement of this latter History, we read the subjoined colophon:

.E XPLICIT. .M.C. C. C. C. L. X.X.

Q ui cupis ignotum Iugurthe noscere letum.
$T$ arpeie rupis pulsus ad ima ruit.
Q uadringenta dedit formata nolumina crispi
N unc lector uenetis spirea uindelinus
E t calamo libros audes spectare notatos
A ere magis quando littera ducta nit\&
The reverse is blank. The volume, in the whole, contains 70 leaves. In the subsequent year (1471) Vindelin de Spira put forth another edition of Sallust-at present wanting in this Collection; and from the poetical colophon of which, we learn that it is more correct than its precursor. In this second impression, there are the ' Invectives of Sallust and Cicero'-as the ms. memoranda of the late Bishop of Ely inform ne. The same respectable authority furnishes me with the following remark-' Utramque recensenti visum est posterioremı non modo meliorem et correctam magis prodiisse, sed et novis lectionibus ex alio quodam Codice adauctam. Dolendum est Editiones Venetas hujus temporis tam raro epistolis præfixis ornari, unde posteri discant, quibus hominibus debeantur, et quales curæ in eas impensæ fuerint.' The reader will find copies of this rare and desirable impression (of which we learn, from the colophon, that only 400 copies were printed) in the Bibl. Harleian, vol. iii. n${ }^{\circ}$. 1087 ; Bibl. Mead. p. 219, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 1622 ; Bibl. Askev. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2998, (Dr. Mead's copy,) and Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iv. nº. 6207. See also Panzer, vol. iii. p. 64. The present is a sound and large copy, but the leaves have a brown or soiled appearance. It is in red morocco binding.
409. Sallustius. Without Name of Printer or Place. 1470. Quarto.

The late Count Reviezky and the late Bishop of Ely each supply me, from their ms. memoranda, with some materials for a description of this rare and estimable edition. 'The Bishop is more copious and critical than the Count ; but they both quote the observation of Havercamp, that 'the impression is taken from an excellent MS.:'-and they remark that the same distinguished editor of Sallust had considered it to be ' the most ancient edition extant.' How Panzer, vol. v. p. 392, could have failed to notice it, when copies had been in the Mead and Gaignat Collections, is rather extraordinary. See Bibl. Mead p. 219, nº 1621; and Cat. de Gaignat, vol. ii. $n^{\circ}$. 2900. The Gaignat copy appears to have been afterwards in the Röver Collection : Bibl. Röver. vol. i. p. 55, n. 243: 1806. 8vo. But Maittaire had before briefly deseribed it; and De Bure, without having seen a copy of it, had referred to the description of Maittaire: Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 289, note 2; Bibliogr. lnstruct. vol. v. $n^{\circ}$. 4861. La Serna Santander was also ignorant of its existence, (referring only to Maittaire and to the Gaignat Catalogue;) and Brunet had evidently never seen it, when he is pleased to say that 'the impression is attributed to the press of Vindelin de Spira.' Consult Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 327, n. 1192 ; and Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 435. It remains to describe so scarce and valuable an impression, with care and particularity. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

## . C. SALVSTII CRISPI DE CONIVRA, TIONE CATILINE. PROEMIVM.

Mnes homines $q$ sese student prāre ceter ris animalibus sūma ope niti decet. ne uitā silentio transeant ueluti pecora que natura prona atq; uentri obedientia finxit. Sed omnis nostra uis in animo et corpore sita est \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

This, and every full page, contains 34 lines. On the recto of the 19 th leaf, the Conspiracy of Catiline ends nearly midway, with only the word

FINIS beneath. The reverse is blank. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the Jurgurthine War commences thus-without any prefix :

> ALSO QVERITVR DE N ATVra sua genus humanum: $\neq$ imbecilla aetas atq; eui breuis forte potius $\stackrel{\text {}\mathrm{q}}{\text { uirtute regatur. Nam con }}$ \&c. \&c. \&c.

This history contains 36 leaves; ending on the reverse of the last, with the following subscription and date:

## .LAVS . DEO. .M. CCCC. LXX.

In regard to the printer of this edition, the Bishop says-' Eundem sane characterem in nullo alio libro me vidisse memini.' The same authority calls the type: 'character romanus non inelegans;' and notices the singular diphthong æ一the circumflex, beneath the e, being inverted in the opposite direction from that as used by V. de Spira. The Bishop further remarks, that the Bipont editors conjecture this impression to have been superintended by Pomponius Lætus; but Count Reviczky is quite decisive against this conclusion-' Prima et indubitata Pomponii Læti editio est Romana 4to apud Eucharium Silber alias Franck 1490' - are the words of the latter; and apparently with sufficient reason. That the volume was put forth at Rome, may be judged from the character of the type : yet Audiffredi has failed to notice it.

The intrinsic excellence of this impression must compensate for the present rather indifferent copy of it ; in red morocco binding.

## 410. Sallustius. Printed by Gering, Crantz, and Friburger. Without Date. Quarto.

This impression is assigned, with great appearance of truth, to the press of the above-mentioned printers; and is, with equal probability, supposed to have been printed at Paris in the year 1470 -as the poetical colophon or subscription, subjoined to it (and given below) expressly alludes to the war of Lewis XI. against Charles Duke of Burgundy, which took place at the same period. Dotteville, who is
remarkably minute and interesting concerning this edition, tells us that ' it must be remembered that this is the second book printed in France.* But Chevillier is worth consulting, as is also De Bure; Orig. de l'Imprim. de Paris. p. 36,'44-5; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 4862. They each mention a copy of it upon vellum. We will be particular in our description of it. On the recto of the first leaf, the commencement is thus :

> Caii Crispi Salustii، de Lucii Catilina coniuratione liber feliciter incipit ${ }_{j}$

MNES homines qui sese studèt præstare cæteris animalibusı sūma ope niti decet! ne uitam silentio transigant, ueluti pecora! que natura prona atq; uentri obedientia finxit. Sed nostra omnis uis \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page contains 23 lines. On the recto of fol. 35 , the Conspiracy of Cutiline concludes, with the following subscription:

## C. Crispi Salustii de coniuratione Catilinæ liber, folliciter finit ${ }_{i}$

The reverse is blank; and an entire blank leaf ensues. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the Jugurthine War commences, with this prefix:

> Caii Crispi Salustii, de bello Iur gurthæ contra populum Romanum liber, fœliciter incipit ${ }_{i}$

[^95]This history contains 69 leaves. On the recto of the last leaf-being the 105 th from the beginning of the volume-after the distich upon the death of Jugurtha, we read the verses alluded to at the commencement of our description; and which, for the reason before given, affix the year 1470 as the date of the publication.

N unc parat arma uirosq; sil' rex maximus orbis!
H ostibus antiquis exitium minitans.
N unc igitur bello studeas gens pariseorum!
C ui martis quondam gloria magna fuit.
E xemplo tibi sint nunc fortia facta uirorum!
Q uæ digne memorat Crispus in hoc opere.
A rmigerisq; tuis alemannos adnumeres! qui H os pressere libros arma futura tibi ${ }_{i}$

Brunet is sufficiently particular. Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 435-6. The present is a fine sound copy, in blue morocco binding.

## 411. Sallustius. Printed by Peter Caesaris and John Stoll. Without Place or Date. Quarto.

We have hitherto stood upon pretty firm ground in our chronological conclusions respecting the early editions of Sallust; but the present, and the two succeeding articles, seem to baffle that care and accuracy which are requisite in forming an exact opinion concerning the dates of their impressions. All that can be done, will be to come within certain degrees of probability. Nor can it be precisely determined which of these three numbers should have the precedence. The reader will not, therefore, exercise any great degree of critical severity, if he should disapprove of the present arrangement. We will first describe the edition under consideration. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

Caii Crispi Salustii, de Lucii Catiline coniuratione liber feliciter incipit ${ }_{j}$

MNIS homines qui sese student prestare ceteris animalibus, summa

> ope niti decet! ne uitam sileutio tran, sigant/ ueluti pecora! que natura pro\&c. \&c. \&cc.

There are 16 lines below:-a full page has 24 lines. On the reverse of the 31 st leaf, the history of the Conspiracy of Catiline concludes: beneath which, after ' Finit feliciter,' we read the following verses:

Se quisịi" cupiat magnas res noscere gestas
Vnde uiris uirtus maior inesse solet.
Nunc opus hoc crispi terse pressum sibi querat!
Quo noua uis lucet artis et ingenii.
Discet enim priscis que gloria, quāta potestas Romulidis fuerit! dum probitate nitent.
Et contra (uitiis ipsa uirtute subacta)
Itur ut in facinus. et labat omne decus;

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the History of the Jugurthine War c) mmences :

Caii Crispi Salustii! de bello Jugurthe contra populum romanum liber, feliciter incipit;

Also querit̃ de natura sua genus hu manū! $\not \subset$ imbecilla، atq; eui breuis, forte potius, "゙" uirtute regatur. Nas contra reputando! neq; maius aliud \&c. \&cc. \&c.

This part of the volume contains 61 leaves; concluding on the reverse of fol. 61. Beneath, we read a distich upon the death of Jurgurtha, followed by eight verses; of which eight, the two latter only appear deserving of quotation :

Abste percupit id preclara lutecia! que sic
Hos prope diuina perpolit arte libros $i$
VOL. 11.
U u

On the recto of the ensuing leaf we read this prefix, followed by the matter to which it relates:

## Caii Crispi Salustii in. M. Tullium inuectiua incipit ${ }$

The reply to this invective begins on the 5th page from this prefix; and three leaves beyond, commences the 'sharp invective' of Cicero against Lucius Catiline-beginning ' $[\mathrm{N}]$ on est amplius tempus* \&c. The reply of Catiline follows on the 4 th page from the commencement of the preceding : concluding the volume on the reverse of fol. 101, from the beginning, thus:

## Inuectiuarum Finis;

It is pretty certain that, with the exception of the present work, and Laire's Index. Libror. vol. i. p. 179-180, this rare and curious impression will be found described in no publication. Panzer, La Serna Santander, and Brunet, rely upon Laire exclusively; who conceives the volume to have been executed in 1473 . This involves in it a point concerning the printers, which it may be necessary briefly to state. Chevillier tells us that Cesaris and Stol printed together at Paris, and that they learnt the craft of printing under Gering. The colophon of the Manipulus Curatorum of $\mathbf{1 4 7 3}$, proves Peter Cæsaris to have been a ' Master of Arts,' and ' a skilful workman;' and the colophon to the Speculum Vita Humance of Zamora, without date, (of which latter Chevillier saw a copy) also proves that the above artists printed in conjunction. See L'Orig. de L'Intprim. de Paris, p. 55-6. I have consulted Naudés Additions à l'Histoire de Louis XI. (in the Monumenta Typographica of Wolfius, vol. i. p. $486-536$,) as referred to by Chevillier, but find nothing in them deserving of quotation respecting the printers of this volume. La Serna Santander, in his first and third volumes, is sufficiently superficial. In regard to the type, it is essentially different - as the fac-simile from the Seneca's Epistles of 1475: vide post, will clearly prove-from that with which Gering, Crantz, and Friburger were in the habit of using: see p. 221 ante. Nor do some of the capital letters quite correspond with those in the subsequent facsimile here referred to ; but most of them are similar, and the lowercase letter is precisely of the same character; which is much superior to that adopted by the Master of these printers. Why Cæsaris and Stol did not subjoin a date to the edition, is a little unaccountable, as
they usually introduced dates. In the absence of positive testimony, it would be hezardous to allow the present impression a more ancient date than that of 1473 .
This is a desirable copy, in green morocco binding.
412. Sallustius. Without Place or Date; but supposed to have been executed by Adam Rot. Folio.

Maittaire is the first, and apparently the only bibliographer, who has made mention of this edition; which is gratuitously assigned by him to the press of one Adam Rot; or, what is equally absurd, to the press of Arnold (Parnartz) of Rome-the latter inference being warranted, in his opinion, by the two letters, A. R., with which the volume concludes. See the Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 761, note 1. De Bure, Audiffredi, and Brunet, rely upon Maittaire. In respect to the volume being executed in the press of Adam Rot, it is extremely doubtful whether any printer, of this name, ever existed but in the imagination of Maittaire -as no book has yet been discovered with such name subjoined to it. Those who confound Adam, or Adan de Ambergau, (for they are one and the same person: see vol. i. p. 35\%-8,) with the printer here designated, under the initials A. R., are unquestionably in error; as the respective types of these artists are wholly dissimilar. Nor is there any similarity between these types and those of Arnold Pannartz. On the contrary, if we look for something like a resemblance to the present printing, it must be in the Florus, Horace, and Lucan, noticed at pp. 30, 62, 139, ante : but there is no very strong similarity between them-as the present are less round, more feeble, and more irregularly executed. On the recto of the first leaf, without prefix, we read as follows :

## Mnis homines qui student sese prestare ceteris animalibusı sūma ope niti decet. \&c. \&c. \&c.

This, and every full page, contains 34 lines. There are no titles to the chapters; and on the recto of fol. 20, the Conspirucy of Catiline concludes at bottom, thus :
: In catelinam* salustius finit :

The reverse is blank. The Jurgurthine War commences on the recto of the following leaf, without prefix; and concludes thus, on the recto of the 38 th leat from the beginning of it:
uirtuti sue prona esse cum gallis pro salute non gloria certare. Sed postï bellum in numidia confectum et iu= gurtham Romam uinctum adduci nunciatum est. $\quad \mathrm{Ma}=$ rius consul absens factus est et ei decreta pronitia gal lia. Isq; in kalendis Ianuarii magna gloria consul trium phauit. Et ea tēpestate spes atq; opes ciuitatis in illo si te sunt.

## In Iugurtham Salustius Finit Feliciter :

$$
\mathbf{A}_{.:} \text {. R .: }
$$

In the whole, 58 leaves. The important question is, for what, or for whom, do these enigmatical initial letters stand? The present is a large and beautiful copy of this exceedingly rare and precious edition; elegantly bound in red morocco.

## 413. Sallustius. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date; but attributed to the Press of Martin Flach of Strasbourg. Folio.

This is the impression of which there will be found a tolerable facsimile of the type, in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. iii. p. 143 ; and which type the Abbé Rive had erroneously attributed to the press of John Zainer of Ulm. De Bure properly confessed his ignorance of any impression of the Zainers which bore a similitude to the present one; and if the reader will examine vol.i. p. 241, and p. 201 of the present volume, (where there are fac-similes of the types of John and Gunther Zainer) he will be disposed to acquiesce in the conclusion tacitly drawn by De Bure, in opposition to the authority of Rive. The subjoined facsimile of the type of this very impression may also corroborate such conclusion. Both Denis and Laire rest satisfied with the authority of the Valliere Catalogue: see Suppl. p. 656, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 5S1S; Index Libror. vol. i. p. 181. The recto of the first leaf supplies us with the com-
mencement of the text, according to the ensuing fac-simile-which may be compared with the one just referred to.

##  ne conuratione liber felicit mcipit

##  teris animalibz/ füma ope entit becet: ne vitā Filentio träfigăt / velati pecoza :que natura

A full page has 34 lines. On the reverse of the 20th leaf, the conclusion of the Conspiracy of Catiline is thus:

## (1). Urisupi Salustio de coniutatone 

The Jugurthine War follows on the recto of the ensuing leaf, and concludes on the reverse of the 60th and last leaf, from the beginning of the volume, thus :*

##  gurthino liber feliciter sinit. Đe morte Fugurthe digticon. ©ui cupis ignotum, Tuqurthe nascere fetum : ©arpeie rupigy trugus ad ima ruit.

Like each of the editions of Sallust hitherto described, the present one is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords. The date both of this and of the preceding edition, is extremely doubtful: probably the present one may not be later than 1472 or 1473 ; and its precursor at least two years more ancient. But these are mere conjectureswhich the reader is at liberty to combat, or adopt, as he thinks proper. Suffice it only to say, that the six editions of the historian here described will probably not be found in two other libraries in Europe. The present is a very desirable copy, and is in old red morocco binding.

[^96]
## 414. Sallustius. Printed by John de Colonia, \&c. Venice. 1474. Folio.

The recto of the first leaf presents us with the commencement of the text, without any prefix, according to the ensuing extract :
MNIS HOMINES Qui sese studēt prestare ceteris animaliby: sūma ope niti decet ne vitam silētio

0 trāseāt. heluti pecora: que natura pna \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 32 lines. There are catchwords, but no signatures. In regard to the type, it is evidently that which was used by Vindelin de Spira; if we except the $v$ at the commencement of a word, and the $i j$ at the conclusion of one-which, singularly enough, are decidedly Gothic characters. On the reverse of the 21st leaf, the Conspiracy of Catiline, ends, with the word $\tau \in \lambda_{0} \sigma$. The Jugurthine War conmmences on the recto of the following leaf, without prefix : and concludes on the reverse of fol. 63. Beneath the word FINIS, we read the following colophon; affurding a pleasing testimnny of the harmony and good understanding with which these ancient printers carried on their business.

Haec Crispi Salustij opera ${ }_{q}{ }^{\circ}$ optime emendata: Vene tijs fuere impressa : ductu \& impensa. Iohānis Colonie agripinēsis : necnon Iohānis manthen de gherretshem : qui $\mathfrak{b n a}$ fideliter uiuunt Anno a natali christi. M.ccce. lxxiiij. die. xxiij. Martij

Next ensue, a Life of Sallust and the Invectives of Sallust, Cicero, and Catiline ; but the replies of Cicero and Catiline are, in this impression, much more copious than in Stol's edition; see p. 330 ante. A bricf list of these oratorical opuscula will be found at the end of Cicero's reply to Sallust. The present is a large but soiled copy, with elegant illuminations in bistre. It is bound in red morocco.
415. Sallustius. Printed by Bernardimus Benalius. Venice. Without Date. Folio.

The recto of the first leaf of this impression informs us that the volume contains the prefatory epistle of Pomponius Lætus to Auçustinus Maphæus, the history of the Conspiracy of Catiline, with the Commentary of Laurentius Valla, the Declanation of Portius Latro against Catiline, the Jugurthine War, the Various Orations of Sallust, taken from historical fragments, and the Life of Sallust. The editor of the impression was Pomponius Læotus. The register, on the recto of the last leaf, informs us that the signatures $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}$, and f , are in sixes; and that $d$ and $g$ are in eights. The colophon, just above the register, is thus:

## Laus Omnipotenti Deo.

Impressum Venetiis per Bernardinum Benalium.
The present is an indifferent copy, in calf binding. The date of the edition seems to be quite at the close of the XVth century; as the printer of it exercised his art chiefly at this period.
416. Sallustius. Wilhout Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

On the recto of the first leaf commences the life of Sallust; which occupies about a page and a half. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, a z , commences the text of the Catiline Conspiracy. On the reverse of c z, begins the Jugurthine War; which latter terminates on the reverse of g 4 ; with a distich from Martial in praise of the historian. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, begins the Invective of Sallust against Cicero. The usual Reply of Cicero terminates the volume on the recto of $g$ viij, thus :

## FINIS.

## EXPLICIT INVECTIVA. M. T. C. IN SALVSTIVM.

The signatures run in eights. There is something of the appearance of the Milan press in the type of this edition. An indifferent copy, in calf binding.
417. Sedulius. Without Name of Printer, (but evidently by Ketelaer) Place, or Date. Folio.

Editio Princeps. Although we may not subscribe to the (ms.) opinion of Count Reviczky, that this publication appeared as early as the year $14 \% 3$, yet it is manifest that it is the earliest impression extant; and so scarce, that it has escaped the researches of Maittaire, Fabricius, De Bure, Harles, Panzer, La Serna Santander, and Brunet. Before we give a bibliographical description of this rare and curious volume, it may be worth while to refer the reader to the Bibl. Med. et Inf. Etat. vol. vi. p. 438-442; where-Fabricius has given a careful account of the writings of Sedulius, and which account has been abridged by Harles in his Brevior Notit. Lit. Rom. p. 743-\%46. Lips. 1\%89, 8vo. As far as I can discover, Ernesti has excluded Sedulius from his edition of the Bibl. Lat. uf Fabricius. We now come to the impression before us. On the recto of the first leaf, at top, it begins as follows :

##  cuangelia 4

##  Đignat ${ }^{9}$ nexit accubitare chorig Yoone superciliā sit te tognasicig amicū non tucraw op ${ }^{9}$ hic covicim artincig  \&c. \&cc. \&c.

Detached poetical pieces-entitled 护efacio ©edurij, and auttor tibri in faunem sui conDitoris, ensuc. At the bottom of the reverse of the second leaf, we read

## expplicit prefacia

## Fncipiāt berwit siculij in lifrit euāgelia

$\$ \mathrm{De}$ enoch traxilato


## Ratura poente modum nue uere creandi Cerra tulit genitā sedmorg mirat̆ ademptum

A full page, without any break or space, (which rarely occurs) contains 30 lines or verses. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. On the reverse of the 36 th and last leaf, we read this subscription:

## 

The present is a large and fine copy of this very desirable impression. It is in calf binding, with green morocco back.

## 418. Sedulius. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

This is the impression, for evidence of the existence of a copy of which both Denis and Panzer refer to the Bibl. Pinell, vol. ii. p. $370, \mathrm{n}^{\circ} .4941$. On the recto of the first leaf we read a prefix, in the Gothie and Roman types, informing us that the volume contains the four books of the Poem upon Easter, and two of the Hymns, \&c. On the reverse of the first leaf we read the prologue, or preliminary address of Sedulius'sancto ac beatissimo l'atri Macedonio :' this address occupies 3 pages and a half. On the recto of the third leaf, $\mathfrak{a}$ iii, commence the rerses as just extracted (see p.336.) The signatures, from $\mathfrak{A}$ to $\mathfrak{j r}$, run in sixes, with the exception of $\mathbb{F}$, which has only four leaves. The impression concludes with a 'Carmen Iambicum Dimetrum Monocolos Tetrastrophos de Vita Christi,' \&c. which is not in the previous edition. The entire volume is in the Gothic letter.

With this copy is bound a fragment of Sedulius, printed in the Roman letter, with 25 lines in a full page, and having marginal references in print. It commences with the Institution of the Sacrament.
e Tiam festa die aderat: cū pascha solebat Occidi domibs pariter cum quisq; iubet.

The present is an indifferent copy; in calf binding.

## 419. Seneca. Opera Moralia. Epistole. Printed by Moravus. Naples. 1475. Folio.

Editio Princeps. The Moral Works and the Epistles of Seneca were published by different printers, in separate publications, during the same year; namely, in 1475 . Count Reviczky thought that, as the edition of the Epistles by Pannartz was published in February 1475, it should claim chronological precedence; but for the reasons assigned at p. 200 ante, the very opposite inference must be drawn. The Parisian impression of the Epistles, of 1475 , does not specify the month in the colophon; and therefore it may not be any violation of chronological order to give precedency to the present production. The rarity and worth of this first impression of the entire Moral Works and Epistles of Seneca, are acknowledged to be considerable. De Bure, vol. ii. p. $\mathbf{1 \% 0}$, has taken rather unusual pains in his description of the order of the several pieces contained in it, but he is not literally exact in his extracts. The ensuing will be found equally copious and somewhat more particular. In regard to the omission of the centenary numbers, in the date of this and of some other copies, it has been before shewn,* that this is not a singular instance of such omission. We proceed to a minute and accurate description of this magnificent and scarce impression.

On the recto of the first leaf, the treatise 'de moribus' commences, with the prefix in one line and a half, lower-case letter; this treatise occupies 2 pages and a half. Then the treatise ' de formula honeste uitc,' 2 leaves. Next, 'de remediis fortuitorum,' 2 leaves, or rather 3 pages. The 'liber declamationum' follows; a full page of which has 46 lines. The Declamations terminate at the bottom of the recto of the 24th leaf from that upon which they begin - and this bring us to the 29 th folio from the commencement of the volume. On the reverse of this 29th leaf, begins the treatise de clementia: which occupies the 7 following leaves. The treatise 'de benefitiis,' has this prefix :

## Lucii Annei Senecæ ad Ebrutium liberalem. Incipit liber primus de benefitiis.

This treatise has 40 leaves: on the reverse of the 40 th, we read the subscription :

[^97]
## Lucii Annei Senecæ De beneficiis Explicit Liber Vltimus.

Next follows the tract De Ira, 18 leares and a half; followed by 'de mundi gubernatione diuina prouidentia,' 3 leaves. Next, 'De uita beata,' 7 leaves and a half: succeeded by 'de consolatione,' 12 leaves and a half. The recto of the ensuing leaf gives us this prefix :

Lutii Annei Senecæ ad Sercnum incipit liber primus de tranquillitate uita.

This treatise, and the one 'quomodo insapientem non cadit iniuria,' occupy 11 leaves. The latter is followed by 'de breuitate uitic,' 10 leaves: having, on the reverse of the loth,

## Explicit liber de breuitate uitæ ad Paulinum.

The 'prouerbia' immediately follow: 4 leaves. On the recto of the 4th of which, after 'Expliciunt prouerbia Senecæ,' we read the colophon and subscription as follow:
Sub domino Blasio Romero monacho Populeti phi, losopho ac theologo celebri est impressum hoc opus in ciuitate Neapolis Anno domini : M. lxxiiiii. Diuo Ferdinando reguante.

Gabrielis Carchani mediolanensis in artificem carmen:
Iam penc abstulerat Senecæ monumēta uetusta
Vixq; erat hæc ullus cui bene nota forent
Tam bona : sed docti Mathiæ scripta moraui
Artificis : non est passa perire manus
Huic igitur meritas grates studiosa iuuentus
Pro tam sublimi munere semper agas
The reverse of this leaf presents us with a table of the gatherings, in tens, and a list of the works, which latter have been specifically detailed. The Epistles of Seneca succeed; having the correspondence with the Apostle Paul, prefixed, together with a list of the remaining Epistles. The first of the regular Epistles of Seneca commences on the recto of the 5th leaf from the beginning of this prefatory matter, and the Epistles end on the reverse of the 111th leaf, from the same beginning. At bottom:

Explicit liber epistolarum Senecæ.

A leaf ensues; half of the recto of which is occupied by a register of the folios. The reverse is blank. This edition exhibits the grandest specimen of the Roman type of Moravus with which I am acquainted: and the present copy of it is equally ample and beautiful. It is in red morocco binding.
420. Seneca. Opera Moralia. Epistole. Printed by Bernardus de Colonia. Treviso. 1478. Folio.

This edition seems to be only a reprint of the previous impression. The order of the several pieces is precisely similar. There are two sets of signatures, a to $\xi$ and $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$; in eights. a marked as ten, but containing only 9 . Then aa and $\mathfrak{b b}$, each 8 leaves. On the recto of bb vij, the Epistles terminate; and a subjoined table gives an account. of the contents of the volume. Beneath, we read this imprint:

## 马impreftum Carnisit per ゆernardum de dolonia Fima domini. Af.tectlytuity.

A ms. note beneath, informs us that this copy is collated and complete. ' Collationné et complet. Le Captre Michiels.' The type is a narrow and tall Gothic letter, closely printed. Braun is rather copious respecting this edition. His quotation from Bauer, that it is 'summae raritatis, et splendidissima' is hardly worth attention. See Notit. Hist. Lit. vol. i. p. 200. The present copy is in old red-morocco binding. From the Crevenna Collection.
421. Seneca. Opera Moralia. Epistole. Printed by Bernardinus de Cremona. Venice. 1490. Folio.

This impression seems to be only a reprint of its precursors. We may be brief thertfore in our description of it. The title, 'SENECA MORALIS' is on the recto of the first leaf. A table, of 3 pages, follows. On a (i) the Life of Seneca, on a ii the treatise ' De Moribus, commences. The crder of the pieces is as before. The signatures run, from a to $r$, inclusively, in eights : $s$ and $t$, are in sixes: then $\mathbf{A}$ to H in eights. On the reverse of H vij is the colophon:

Impressum Venetiis per Bernardinum de Cremona \& Simonem de Luero. Die. v. octobris. MCCCCXC.

A table of the epistles, in 2 leaves, closes the volume. The register is at the bottom of the reverse of the last leaf. This edition is printed with a very full pare of text, in a neat Roman letter. The present is a fair copy, in calf binding.
422. Seneca. Opuscula Quedam. Supposed to have been printed by Ulric Zel. Without Place, or Date. Quarto.

The small pieces which appear to have issued from the press of Ulric Zel, are almost innumerable; and as they are generally without dates, it is impossible to assign to them a correct chronological order. The present curious volume appears to have eseaped Panzer : at least, it would occupy more time in verifying his numerous references to the detached pieces of seneca, than the importance of the search demanded. I shall therefore give a brief but particular description of the contents of the present impression, which will be found to contain other works besides those of Seneca.

The recto of the first leaf presents us with the commencement of the work ' De Remediis Fortuitorum,' to which is prefixed the usual exordium, in 7 lines. This treatise occupies 8 leaves. $O$ the reverse of the 8 th, we read

## Inuci Yutij $\mathfrak{F e n t e c}$ かe remedijg fortuitornm lifer explicit

On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the treatise De Quctuor Virtutibus has this prefix :

## Flnuci Yutij senece be quatuor uirtutifus libre Incipit;

It contains 5 leaves and a half. On the reverse of the 6 th from its commencement, we read

## Tutij anuci $\mathfrak{5 c n c e}$ be morifug 2ifer intipit

A full page contains 27 lines. The treatise comprises 8 pages; the bottom of the sth page being occupied by the epitaph of Seneca, as beyond. The reverse of the leaf, where the treatise De Moribus terminates, presents us with new matter which must be reserved for the note below.* It remains here only to add, that the present is a tall and most desirable eopy of this rare and estimable little volume. It is elegantly bound in blue morocco.

* This ' new matter' consists -first-of Four Orations: one of Eschines, one of
Demas, and two of Demosthenes: the later to Alexander-beginning thus: Demas, and two of Demosthenes: the latter to Alexander-begiuning thus:

Ichil habet rex alexander vel fortuna tua
maius $q$ (uam) vt possis vel natura tua melius $q(u a m)$ vt velis seruare quăplurimos. Nulla est enim \&ic. \&cc. \&c.
concluding as follows:
et gloria Pace tua loquar rex alexander nullam de laudibus tuis ampliorem fore (quam) eam quam ho
dierno die cù lice feceris consecuturus es
Explicit
On the reverse of the leaf upon which this oration terminates, we read
Epistola bernardi siluestris
super gubernacione reifamiliaris
full of antithesis and alliteration ; but abounding with good and wholesome advice. Upon the conclusion of it ( 6 pages) we read

Quatuor sunt per rectorem familie ob seruari conueniunt
followed by 5 leaves, abounding with maxims. On the reverse of the last leaf, being the 29th from the beginning of the first of Seneca's works above-mentioned, there is a copy of verses in Praise of the City of Paris: too curious not to find a place here.

Architrenius libro secundo in fine
in laudem ciuitatis parisiensis hec:
Exoritur tandem locus. altera regia phebi :
Parisius, cirrea viris. crisea metallis.
Greca libris. inda studiis romana poetis
Artica philosophis. müdi rosa. balsam9 orbis
Sidonis ornatu sua mensis et sua potu;
Diues agris fecunda mero. mansueta colonis
Messe ferax. inoperta rubis nemorosa racemis
Plena feris piscosa lacu volucrosa fluentis
Munda domo fortis domino. pia regibus, aura
Dulcis. amena situ. bona quolibet. omne venustū
Omne bonum si sola bonis fortuna faneret;
This volume was probably published before either of the above editions of Seneca. The whole is in black letter.

## 423. Seneca. Opuscula Quedam. Printed by

 Guldinbeck. Without Date. Quarto.This copy exhibits an indifferent specimen of Guldinbeck's press. The volume contains only 14 leaves, in which are printed the three treatises De Moribus, Proverbia, and De Remediis Fortuitorum. The recto of the 14 th and last leaf, presents us with the colophon thus :

## Funci Tutii sence as remediig fortuitorum Xiber cxplicit felititer.  Gulainbelf ic sulty. Waug ico.

An indifferent copy : in calf binding.
424. Seneca. Epistole. (Printed by Ceesaris and Stol.) Paris. 1475. Quarto.

This is the edition of which mention has been already briefly made, (see p. 330 ante) and which is justly supposed by Chevillier, and other bibliographers, to have been printed by Cesaris and Stol. There are two very fair copies of it in this collection. One of these copies is not a little curious, as it is the identical one which Maittaire saw,* and which he has described as printed in 1470 : see the Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 296. The error is almost venial ; since some dexterous falsifier of dates has scratched out the V, which stands (as the subjoined fac-simile shews) at a distance from the Lxx-and contrived to introduce some red ink over the place, as if it were the embellishment of an ancient illuminator. The deception is so nearly perfect, that, after the tracing: of it had been made, upon the conviction of the date being genuine, it was only by comparison with the other copy of 1475 , where the whole date stands entire, that - upon finding the two impressions so literally and exactly conformable-I immediately suspected the fraud. A closer examination of the part upon which the red ink had been introduced, excited a degree of doubt; which, upon exposing such part to a strong light, was converted into a full belief and persuasion that the V had been removed, and that the genuine date was M.CCCC.Lxx.V.

[^98]Bibliographers have therefore justly exploded a Parisian edition of the date of 14i0. It remains to describe the volume before us.

A table of four leaves precedes the text; which table has been wholly omitted by De Bure and Panzer. The nature of the references in this table is explained at the termination of it. On the recto of the fifth lcaf, the Epistles begin thus:*

> LVTII ANNEI SENECE CORDV= BENSIS, ad Lucillium epistole Feliciter In= cipiunt;

In hac prima epistola hortatur lucillium, vt tēpus cōseruet vtiliterq; exponat. addēs $\varphi$ pauper non est cui qtulūcūq; superest/ sat est;

> TA FAC MI Lucili, vēdica te tibi! et tēpus quod adhuc! aut auferebatur, aut surripiebatur, aut excidebat. collige et serua. Persuade tibi sic esse vt scribo. Quedam tēpora eripiuntur no= \&ec. \&c. \&c.

Beneath, there are 11 lines : a full page contains 25 lines. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. On the reverse of fol. 202 from the beginning of the Epistles, we read the following subscription :
ce ad Lucilium.
Expliciunt Epistole Sene
in the same line with the colophon, - of which latter, the ensuing is a fac-simile :
Imprelfe Darifias
Mno dominí $M_{x}, C C C C_{ \pm} L_{-x}, V$.

[^99]LVTI王 KAnYEx SENECE CORDV:

There are 5 more leaves; devoted to a brief life of Seneca, and to the supposed correspondence between Seneca and the A postle Paul. On the recto of the fifth leaf, we read

## Epitaphium Senece;

Cura labor, meritū sūpti pro munere honores. Ite. alias posthacı sollicitate animas.
Me procul a vobis, deus cuocat! illicet astris.
Rebus terrenis, hospita terra vale.
Corpus auara tamen, solemnibus accipe saxis
Nanq; animam celo reddimusı ossa tibi ;
De Bure is less circumstantial; vol. iv. p. 310. See Bibl. Asket. n. 2936; Bitl. Paris, $n^{0}$. $4 \pi \%$. Of these two copies of this impression, one is in ancient morocco binding ; but neither so clean nor so tall as the other-which latter is very neatly bound in blue morocco, silk lining, by Bozerian, presenting us with one of the most elegant known specimens of the ancient Parisian printing.

## 425. Seneca. Epistolae. Printed ly Pannartz.

 1475. Folio.It is rightly observed by Audiffredi, that the type of this edition is - the same small and neat character with which the Grammatica of Perottus, and the Commentaries of Calderinus upon the Sylva of Statius, were executed by the same printer.' If it be among the first productions of Pannartz's press, after the death of his partner, Swernheym, it certainly differs from the Herodotus of 1475 , which has, in part, a similar colophon: the type of this latter work being the usual large type, and wholly different. See p. 41 ante. There is something rather uncommon in the wording of the colophon, for so late a period of printing: but Pannartz probably imitated the ancient Mentz colophons, on commencing business upon his own account. He conceired, perhaps, that a certain éclat and success might attend the adoption of such a measure; and former misfortunes would teach him to make use of every ingenious effort, now the weight of respon-ibility had fallen exclusively upon his own shoulders. This new type is rather 'serre' than neat; and by some may be supposed to have a meagre aspect.

On the recto of the first leaf, commences the correspondence between Seneca and the Apostle Paul; preceded by a brief prologue of 8 lines, by St. Jerom, upon the same. On the recto of the 3rd leaf, this correspondence terminates with the epitaph of Seneca, as in the last page : beneath which epitaph we read as follows:

In isto sequenti Codice continentur epistolæ moralium rer um quas composuit uir eloquentissimus Lucius Anneus Seneca; ad Lucilū discipulum suum peramantissimum transmissæ.

A table of the epistles immediately commences on the reverse of this 3rd leaf; comprehending 10 pages, or terminating at bottom of the Sth leaf from the beginning of the volume. The reverse of this 8th leaf is blank. On the recto of the 9 th and following leaf, we read the first Epistle, and a small portion of the second. The first has this prefix :

Lutii Aenei Senecæ ad Lucilum: Epistolaz liber primus: Epistola prima|de colligenda \& existenda fuga tēporis. Et non esse pauperem cui etiā modicū satis est.

A large blank space is left for the insertion of the capital I to the first epistle. A full page has 37 lines; and each Epistle has a title prefixed to it in lower case letter. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. On the reverse of the 166 th and last leaf, we read the termination of the last epistle, and the colophon, thus :

Cum uisis quæ homines eripiunt| optant $\mid$ custodiunt. nihil inueneris non dico quod mallis| sed quod uelis. Breuem tibi formulam dabo qua te metiaris | qua pfectum esse iam sentias. Tunc habebis tuū : cum intelliges infelicissimos esse felices. Vale.

| F | I | N | I | S |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Præsens hæc epistolarum Senecæ ad Lucilum impressio In alma urbe Roma in domo nobilis uiri Petri de maximis non atramento : plumali
calamo: neq; stilo æreo: sed artificiosa quadam adinuentione imprimendi seu characterizandi opus sic effigiatum estrad dei laudem industrixq; p magistı Arnold̄̄ pannartz Alamanū est cōsu matū Anno salutis. M. CCCC. LXXV.
Die uero Prima Mensis Februarii: Sedeñ. Sixto Pon. Max. Anno eius quarto.

Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 181, Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. nº. 4433, Laire, Index Libror, vol. i. p. 374, are each brief. Panzer refers to these authorities as corroborative of his calling the impression тнв first of the Epistles of Seneca. The present is a beautiful and desirable copy of this very rare volume; and is elegantly bound in blue morocco.

## 426. Seneca. Epistole. Without Name of

 Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.This edition is well described by Braun, and in the Valliere Catalogue. In both places it is justly said to be printed with the same type in which the Plutarch, noticed at p. 275-6 ante, is executed; and both authorities agree in giving it chronological precedency to either of its precursors in the present order. The letter R designates the printer of it, till his name shall be found disclosed in some (hitherto unknown) colophon. We may be brief but particular in our account of it. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

Lucii Annei Sencce Cordubensis ad Lucillium epistole Feliciter Incipiunt.

Prima. De colligenda \& sistenda fuga temporis. \& © pauper non est cui quautulumcunq; superest sat est. Ta fac mi Lucilli: vendica te tibi : \& tempus quod adhuc: aut aufere, batur : aut surripiebatur : aut excide bat. collige \& serua. Persuade sic es

> se vt tibi scribo. Quedam enim tem pora eripiunt nobis : quedam subdu cunt : quedam effluunt. Turpissima \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 35 lines. There are no spaces between the Epistles, but to each one is a prefix, in lower case letter. On the reverse of the 203rd leaf, the regular Epistles terminate : succeeded by the correspondence between the Apostle Paul, as usual. These occupy 3 leaves; and conclude with the epitaph of Seneca, on the recto of the 3rd. See Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 4434 ; and Notit. Hist. Lit. vol. i. p. 112. Brunet, Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 470, describes it as the Editio Princeps. The present is a most desirable copy of this uncommon book; and is so large, that there are numerous rough edges to the leaves. It is elegantly bound in blue morocco.

## 427. Seneca. Tragoedif. Printed by Andrea Gallus. (Ferrara. 1484.) Folio.

Editio Princeps. Baruffaldi, in his Tipografia Ferrarese, p. 45-8, seems to have indisputably fixed the date of the printing of this edition about the year 1484. His reasons are assigned below. Audiffredi, (Edit. Ital. p. 241,) who appears to have never seen a copy of it, is indebted to a description sent to his friend the Canon Devoti; but acquiesces in the reasoning of Baruffaldi. This reasoning is drawn, (as is the conclusion from the edition of Sallust, noticed at p. 326-8 ante,) from the political circumstances alluded to in the colophon; which relate to a peace established between the Venetians and Hercules Duke of Ferrara, with other federated Italian princes, in the year 1484. We will describe the impression particularly. On the recto of the first leaf, the text is thus:

# LVCII ANAEI SENECAE CORDVBENSIS: HERCVLES FVRENS TRAGEDIA PRIMA INCIPIT. 

OROR TONANTIS (HOC
enim solum milii
Nomen relictum est) semper alienum iouem :

Ac templa summi uidua de, serui ætheris :
Locumq; cælo pulsa pellici, bus dedi :
T ellus colenda est : pellices cælum tenent:
H inc arctos alta parte glacialis poli
$S$ ublime classes sidus argolicas agit: \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 34 lines. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. What are called signatures, by Baruffaldi, Audiffiedi, and Reviczky, appear to me, both in this and in other similar instances of them, to have been inserted, subsequently, by the hand, in printing ink; - to gratify the caprice of some former owner of the copy. There is nothing in the appearance of them which indicates a simultaneous operation of the press: in character, as well as in mechanical operation, they differ wholly from the type of the text. On the reverse of the 174 th and last leaf, we read the following colophon beneath the last chorus:

## - $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \circ \sigma$.

## Longa iterum Senecæ tribuisti sæcula : regum

 Cum premis Andrea gallice mortis opus. Hercule sunt formis impræssa uolumina rege Victor ab adriacis cum redit ille feris :Dismissing the erroncous and meagre notices of this very rare book by Maittaire, Panzer, and the Bipont editors, we may satisfy ourselves with the following decisive information from Baruffaldi;-as above referred to. 'Notisi in secondo loco essere falso che la Guerra dei Viniziani con Ercole Duca di Ferrara accadesse del 1481, essa comincio del 1482, nel mese di Maggio, e finì nell' Agosto del 1484, colla pace conclusa in Bagnolo. L' antica lapide collocata nella 'Torre della Chiesa di S. Giorgio fuori di Ferrara parla a lettere cubitali. PACE FERRARla PARTA ANNO MCCCCLXXXV. Veggasi anche il Muratori negli Annali d'Itaiia, Tom. IX. Ivi si pud̀ rilevare con quanta sincerità l' autor di que' versi scrivesse, che Ercole ritornò vincitore dei Viniziani. La edizion dunque di Seneca dee fissarsi conformemente ai citati uersi
non già all' anno 1481, ma all' anno 1484. Quando non voglia dirsi, senza averne prove di alcuna sorte, che la stampa cominciò del 1481, e per le turbolenze insorte restò sospesa fino al termine della guerra, l'anno 1484,' p. 46. De Bure was unacquainted with it, and no copy of it is to be found in the Gaignat, Valliere, or Crevenna Collection. The type is large, legible, and handsome. The noble Owner of the present copy may congratulate himself on the possession of one of the most desirable copies of rare volumes in this Collection. It is bound in red morocco.

## 428. Seneca. Tragoediae. Printed by Higman and Hopyl. Paris. Without Date Quarto.

The present copy, beginning on sign. a iiii, is necessarily imperfect; but on consulting the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 120, no. 2589, we find that it wants a dedicatory epistle, which occupies the first 2 leaves. A prefix or argument of 12 lines, by Jerom Balbus, precedes the commencement of the 'Hercules Furens' on a iiii recto. 'The text of this Tragedy appears exactly conformable to that of the Ferrara edition. A full page has 26 lines. There are two alphabets of signatures : the first, from a to $\mathcal{Z}$, in eights. The second A to E in eights. On the recto of $\mathrm{E} v$, the loth tragedy coneludes : then an address of Carolus Fernandus, in 10 lines, of which the following are the most material and interesting :

Te balbi meminisse decet : qui codice multo
Collato. hec tribuit munera tanta tibi.
Multaq; vuolfgāgo debet̃ gratia : cuius
Hec nitet artifici littera pressa manu.
Ite alacres igitur paucis ne parcite nūmis:
Quando potest minimo maximus auctor emi.
On the reverse, at top, is the imprint thus :
Impressū parisius in vico clauso brunelli per Io, hannē higman vuilhelmū $\bar{p} p o s i t i \& ~ v u o l f g a n g u ̄ ~ h o ~$ pyl socios.

The register occupies the remainder of the page, and one half of the recto of the following leaf. The reverse is blank. The authority,
above referred to, informs us that 'it may be presunied that this edition is anterior to the Lyons impression of 1491. The printers worked in unison from the year 1484.' La Serna Santander has the same information as to the period when Higman and Hopyl carried on their business. Dict. Billiogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 230-1. The present copy is an indifferent one, in calf binding.

## 429. Serenus Sammonicus. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. There is no question but that this is the impression which Audiffredi describes in his Edit. Rom. p.379. The same learned bibliographer is doubtful, howerer, whether the edition be printed at Rome: ' Editio fortasse Romana est; sed nullo certo indicio id mihi constat.' I incline to think it a production of the Milan press; but am not prepared to affirm that Zarotus was the printer of it. The editor was Sulpitius Verulanus; but Reviezky properly thinks that it preceded the same editor's publication of Vitruvius, of the date ot 1484: ' sane liber hic majoris vetustatis indicia præ se fert,'-are his ms. ubservations. One feature in the impression may somewhat guide us in our chronological conclusions. It is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords; but it has a register at the end. According to La Serna Santander, M. Marolles, in his Recherches sur l'origine et le premier usage des registres, \&e. affixes the year 1469 as exhibiting the earliest evidence of the use of registers ; and says, that Sweynheym and Pannartz used this typographical distinction at the same period. But it may be asked, in what work, of this date, executed by the same printers, will the introduction of the register be found ?-certainly not in the Aulus Gellius, Apuleius, Cæsar, Epist ad Fam, or Offices of Cicero; all of the year 1469. See Supplément an Catalogue des Livres de M. C. De La Serna Santander, p. 29.

We return to the volume before us. On the reverse of the first leaf the prefix of the editor is as follows:

## Sulpitius Verulanus ad unumquenı; lectorem :

En tibi phœbei reuirescit musa Sereni :
Carmine qui \& medicæ claruit artis ope.

Hūc lege : nam disces multos depeller morbos:
Et medico \& docto sæpe fuere sene.
A brief biography of the poet is beneath. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the text commences thus :

## QVINTI SERENI SAMMONICI

## LIBER

> Hœebe salutiferum : quod pāgimus assere carmen. Inuētūq; tuū pmpto comitare fauore
Tuq; potens artis: reduces qui tradere uitas
Noscis: \& in celum manes reuocare sepultos \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 25 lines. On the reverse of the 25 th leaf, the text terminates.

## Q. SERENI SAMMONICI FINIS.

Ordo foliorum.

| Primū uacat | Fellis uomitui | Preterdicta |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Desinet in | Vt mihi | Siue fimus |
|  |  | Sic lacerat |

The recto of the ensuing and last leaf is occupied by 'Emendanda.' The present is a fair copy, in red-morocco binding.
430. Silius Italicus. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1471. Folio.

Editio Princeps. On the recto of the first leaf, at top, we read the opening of the poem, as follows:

Silii Italici Punicorum
Liber primus Incipit.

> Rdior arma: quibus celo se gloria tollit Aeneadum: patiturq; ferox Oenotria iura Carthago. Da musa decus memorare laborum Antiquæ Hesperiæ. "̈nntosq; ad bella crearit : Et quot Roma uiros: sacri cum perfida pacti Gens Cadmea sup regno certamina mouit. \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

A full page contains 38 lines. On the reverse of the 161 st and last leaf, the conclusion of the poem and the colophon are thus :

Salue inuicte parens non concessure Quirino Laudibus. ac meritis non concessure Camillo. Nec uero cum te memorat de stirpe deorum: Prolem Tarpeii mentitur Roma tonantis.

Anno dñici Natalis. M.CCCC.LXXI. die. V. mensis Aprilis. Summo Pont. Paulo. II. Veneto Anno pont. vir. Io. An. Epūs Alerieñ In Insula Cyrno. recognitionē absoluit diebus circiter. xv. Lector beniuole uale perpetuo.

The usual eight verses, beginning 'Aspicis illustris,' and concluding ' contribuêre domum,' are beneath.

This is not only the first edition of Silius Italicus, but also of Calphurnius, and of a Latin version of the Opera et Dies of Hesiod by Nicolas de Valla. The two latter pieces' will be found elsewhere described. The rarity and beauty of this first impression of Silius Italicus are well known to the curious. Quirini has a good account of the impression in his De Edit. Optimor. Scriptor. p. 174-6; and Crevenna seems to have exulted in the possession of his own beautiful copy of it.' -' Celui que nous avons la satisfaction de posséder, est très-complet, et d'une conservation à tout égard si parfaite et si belle quion puisse la souhaiter.' Cat. de Crevenn. vol. iii. p. 229 ; edit. 1775. The eulogy of Crevenna is noticed by Audiffredi : who adds ' no work ever published by Sweynheym and Pannartz exceeds the present one in elegance and beauty.' He informs us that there are two copies of it in the Vatican, and a third in the Corsinian, library. There can be no question about its rarity; since (from the memorable list, printed in the first volume of this work, at p. 160-1) it appears that only 275 copies of it were printed. It has been sold for a sum as high as $48 l$. See the references in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 212.

The present copy (from the Préfond Collection*) is large, clean, and beautiful. It is in old red-morocco binding.

## 421. Silius Italicus. (Printed by Laver.) Without Place. 1471. Folio.

Whether this impression be rarer than the one just described, is extremely questionable. Laire and De Bure are alike brief and superficial. Crevenna, on the contrary, is so copious and particular, that whoever examines his account of this impression, in the earliest Cat. de Crevenn. vol. iii. p. 230-233, (1775,) will at once acknowledge the obligations due to it, and confess that the present is a most important acquisition to the collector of the early editions of Silius Italicus. Maittaire, Drakenborch, and Ernesti, were each ignorant of it. 'M. Drakenborch n'a pas connu non plus cette rare édition sans nom d'imprimeur, et nous sommes d'avis qu'elle pourroit fournir grand nombre de variantes, peut-être assez bonnes, pour une nouvelle édition de ce poëte.' Ibid. Crevenna furnishes various specimens of its different readings from those of the preceding impressions; but occupies

[^100]more time than is necessary to confute the absurd and palpably erroneous conjecture of De Bure-that, 'from the (supposed) similarity of types, the printers of this edition were also Sweynheym and Pannartz.' There is hardly the least similarity either in the types themselves, or in the inode of setting up the page: those in the present edition being every way barbarous and rude in comparison with the characters of the preceding one. And how (as Crevenna asks) could the same press have published two editions of Silius Italicus in two successive months?

Although Audiffredi has not favoured us with his own conjecture respecting the printer of this edition, there is every reason to conclude -on comparing it with the inpression of Quintus Curtius, noticed at p. $31 \%$ ante-that it was exceuted by George Laver. Panzer had also thrown out this conjecture; although it is very questionable whether he ever saw either of these editions of the date 1471. Audiffredi notices six copies of this impression; so that it is probable Brunet may have been too precipitate in agreeing with De Bure and Laire, that it is 'rarer than the preceding one.' See Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2793 ; Spec. Hist. Typ. Rom. p. 165-6; Edit. Rom. p. 88; Panzer, vol. ii. p. 428; Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. 1. 483. There seems to be only one copy of it in Paris, and the Magliabechi Collection was without it. In our own country I know but of two other copies of it, one of which is in the Bodleian Library. Those who have the preceding, ought, if possible, to procure the present edition. We proceed therefore to describe this rude, but rare and valuable impression. On the recto of the first leaf, without any prefix, the text begins thus:

## RDIOR ARMA: Qui,

## bus celo se gloria tollit

Ancadū: patiturq; ferox oenotria iura
Carthago: da musa decus memorare laborū Antique hesperie, quātosq; ad bella crearit \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page has 34 lines. There are no prefixes, as in the first edition, to the several books. On the reverse of fol. 180, (pencil numbered) we read the conclusion of the poem; and beneath the word FINIS, a brief biography of the poet-terminating thus:

Neapolitano abstinētia cibi uita functus est : est An. agens. Lxx. Opus iā Neglectū Pomponius recognouit. Anno domini . Mcccclxxi. Vi. Calēd. Mai. Rome.
The present is a very indifferent copy ; in calf binding.
432. Silius Italicus. Printed at Parma. 1481. Folio.
This beautiful specimen of the early Parma press, is not assigned by Affu to any particular printer. The latter bibliographer, however, avails himself of the authorities of Paitoni and Morelli, to stigmatise 'the vague manner' in which De Bure has thought proper to notice it. Tipografia Parmense, p. Lxxxiv. On the recto of the first leaf, sign. a ii, the first book commences thus :

## SILII ITALICI PVNICORVM LIBER PRIMVS.

> RDIOR arma: quibus cælo se gloria tollit

0
Aeneadum : patiturq; ferox
œenotria iura
Carthago. da musa decus me morare laborum \&cc. \&cc. \&cc.
A full page has 36 lines. There are running titles on the rectos of the leaves, sometimes printed in capital letters, and sometinıes in small; sometimes there are only the Roman numerals. The signatures extend from a to x : each of these having ten leaves, and the intermediate ones only eight. On the reverse of $\mathbf{x}$ ix, we read the imprint, thus :

Silii Italici Punicor Liber Septimusdecimus Et Vltimus Finit.

## Anno Dnici Natalis. M. CCCC . LXXXI.

Die uero. XVI. Mensis Nouembris.
PARMAE.

A life of the author ensues, and concludes on the recto of the following and last leaf. A copy was in the Bibl. Harleian. vol. i. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 3936 ; Bibl. Mead. p. 231, nº. 1704, (purchased by Dr. Askew) Bibl. Askev. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 3011 ; Bibl. Smith, p. cccextir-111; Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iii. n. 4010 ; Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 372. The present is a large and desirable copy; in dark stained red morocco binding.

## 233. Silius Italicus. Cum Commentarits

 Petri Marsi. Printed by Octaviamus Scot.Venice. 1492. Folio.

As it is always advisable to procure some of the early editions of the first commentators upon classical authors, the present impression (although not the earliest of those of the Commentaries of Peter Marsus,) finds a place in this Collection. As usual with these impressions, there is an abundance of text and commentary in each page. The blooming capital initials are, some of them, sufficiently elegant, as the ensting fac-similes may testify :


The register, at the end of the impression, informs us, that the signdtures run from a to $u$; and that each signature has 8 leaves, with the exception of $t$ and $u$ : these two having only six each. Prefixed to the register, is the imprint, in two lines and three words.

Venetiis opera ingenioq; Boneti Locatelli. Instinctu uero ac sumptibus Nobilis uiri Octauiani Scoti Modoetiensis Anno salutifcro incarnationis nonagesimosecundo supra Millesimumac quadringentesimum quinto decimo kalendas iunias.

The recto of the last leaf, following that of the imprint, presents us with the usual shewy device of Octavianus Scot; which this 'Nobilis Vir' seemed always fond of introducing, and of which I have an impression, upon a much larger scale, printed in red ink, subjoined to an edition (of 1481) of De Lyra's Commentary upon the New Testament. The device in the present volume is as follows :

434. Solinus. Printed by Jenson. Venice. 1473. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. Whatever may be the claims of the supposed Roman, Milan, and Parisian impressions, bibliographers seem to be justified in giving chronological precedence to the present one. It is no less estimable from its rarity than from its great intrinsic value; as it has received the warmest eulogies of Salmasius, one of the ablest editors of the author. Edit. Paris, 1629 ; and Traj. ad Ren. 1689. Consult. Ernesti, Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 241, 243-4, note $t$. We may be brief, but sufficiently particular, in the description of it. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the prefix thus :

## IVLII SOLINI DE SITV ET ME, MORABILIBVS ORBIS CAPITVLA.

## PRAEFATIO. CAP. I.

There are 28 lines below. The first two leaves comprehend the preface, or rather a table. The third leaf is blank. On the recto of the 4 th leaf, the text commences as follows:

## IVLII SOLINI DE SI'TV ORBIS TERRA, RVM ET MEMORABILIBVS QVAE MVN, DI AMBITV CONTINENTVR LIBER.

> PRAEFATIO. CA. I.
> VM ET AVRIVM CLAEMEN, tia \& optimak artiū studiis præstare te cæteris sentiā : idq; oppido exptus de beniuolentia tua nihil temere per, ceperī: putaui examē opusculi huius tibi potissimūdare: cuiusuel idustria promptius suffragiū: nel benignitas \& c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 33 lines. There are no signatures, catchwords, or numerals. The titles to the several chapters, Lxx in number, are
printed in the small Roman type. On the reverse of fol. 66, (pencilnumerals) and beneath 18 lines of text, we read the ensuing colophon:

# IVLII SOLINI DE SITV ORBIS ET MEr MORABILIBVS QVAE MVNDI AMBITV CONTINENTVR LIBER IMPRESSVS VENETIIS PER NICOLAVM IENSON GALLICVM. M. CCCC. LXXIII. 

Laire, Audiffredi, and Sardini, have noticed the erroneous description of this volume by De Bure and Ernesti, who call it a folio : whereas it is a quarto. Audiffredi and Sardini mention fine copies of the impression, upon paper; but it is rather surprising that the latter should have been ignorant of the existence of this copy (formerly in the Soubise Collection, $n^{\circ} .5850$ ) which is printed upon vellum-' Raritatem (says the late Count Reviczky, in his ms. memoranda) libri ultra modum auget exemplar hoc in membranis, cui parem uspiam alibi reperiatur, incertum : sane in catalogis bibliothecarum, et auctionum, nullum aliud memoratur,' It remairs only to refer the reader to the Edit. Rom. p. 385, note (1). Bibl. Pinell.vol. i. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 2199 ; Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iv. n${ }^{\circ} .5731$; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 51, n${ }^{\circ}$. 4204; Index Libror. vol. i. p. 316; and Storia Critica di Nicola Jenson; lib. iii. p. 29. The present beautifui and truly precious copy is bound in red-morocco.

## 435. Solinus. Without Name of Printer, Place,

## or Date. Octavo.

[^101]ment, has well remarked :-' editio Bonini Mombritii, quæ etiam sine anni nota est, vix ad tantam antiquitatem assurgit.' Leaving therefore the point of the antiquity of the present impression sub judice, we may notice that Audiffredi (Edit. Rom. p. 385, note 1,) was of opinion, that the editor of this dateless edition had no knowledge of the preceding one by Jenson; and that, compared with its precursor, it is 'satis mendosa-valde inferior.' 'The same learned bibliographer justly assigns the printing of it to the press of Schurener de Bopardia, at Rome; and neither Morelli nor Boni question such conclusion. Indeed, it is self-evident, on comparison with the Three Declamations of Quintilian, printed by Schurener, which are noticed at p. 313 ante. It remains to describe the volunie itself; which is entirely destitute of signatures, numerals, and catchwords.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

## CAI. Iulii. Solini rez memorabiliū col lectanee. Solinus Auētino. Salutē.

> Vm et Aurium clementia et op timarum artium studiis presta re te ceteris sentiam. Idq; oppi do expertus de beniuolētia tua

This proheme or preface, with a table which immediately follows, occupies 4 leaves. On the recto of the 5 th leaf, we read this prefix to the text of the author :

## De origine et tēporibus urbis Rome et mensibus et diebus intercalaribus. Capitulum primum

A full page has 26 lines; the text being set up in a narrow and not inelegant form. The type is broad and legible, but not clegant. According to the pencil numerals of this copy, the edition contains 119 leaves; ending thus, on the recto of the 119th and last leaf:

## . Finis . Laus Deo.

The present is a neat copy; in green morocco binding.

## 436. Solinus. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Octavo.

The Abbés Boni and Gamba (the Italian editors of Harwood) are somewhat strenuous and elaborate in giving chronological precedency to the present impression ; which they conceive to have been executed at Milan, by Philip Lavagna, even as early as the year 1465. This conclusion is evidently erroneous. If the bibliographers here referred to (Bibl. Portat. vol. ii. p. 164-5) had only consulted Saxius, or had been better versed in the history of early printing, they would not have entertained so improbable an upinion. It is admitted that this publication exhibits the earliest fruits of the literary labours of Mombritius : indeed the verses, below quoted, are an incontrovertible demonstration of it. But Saxius, who had taken great pains to collect every thing-' ex impressis per ea tempora codicibus epistolisque, utpote minus obuiis, ad illustrandam ejusdem [scil. Mombritir] uitam'seems decisive that the present work, and the Liber Summularum Pauli Veneti (printed by Valdarfer in 1474) were the first publications from the pen of Mombritius. Consult the Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. col. cxlvir, p. dCix. Denis refers to the latter page only in Saxius, and to the brief and superficial account of De Bure, vol. v. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .4205$.

But whether Saxius be correct or not in the foregoing position, it seems almost conclusive that the present volume was never executed by Lavagna; whose type is dissimilar-being firmer, and stouter, and more proportionate-and from whose press we have nothing, to my knowledge, which exhibits so (apparently) early a specimen of printing. Neither does Valdarfer appear to have been the printer of it; but the type rather resembles that with which the Florus, Horace, and Lucan (pp. 30-1, 62-6, 139, ante) are executed ; yet it is more regular. This point therefore must still be left open to discussion. The volume itself has certainly the marks of considerable antiquity upon it, and may probably he of equally ancient date with either of the preceding ones. We will now describe it particularly. On the recto of the first leaf we read as fullows :

B oninus Mōbritius reuerēdo í christo pr̃i \& dño Antonio Triulcio iurispontifi cii doctori Clarissimo ac diui Antonii cōmēdatori optime merito.s.d.
a Ccipe primitias nostri uir sum me laboris
Et disces puo maxima sæpe dari Quid ñ̃æ possint his expiere nouales Frugibus. expectes iam meliora uelim.

These are succeeded by the poetical address of Mombritius ' $\overline{1} . \mathrm{C}$. Iulium Solinū, \&c.' the 8th and 9th verses of which are as follow :but the whole may be seen in Saxius.

## Si cupis Antoni cūctaz ludicia rez: <br> Parui de specula disce patente libri

The proheme of Solinus, and á table, follow these verses. In the whole, 6 leaves. On the recto of the 7 th leaf, is the prefix as extracted in the account of the preceding edition. The page, which is narrowly set up, contains 26 lines. To each chapter a title is prefixed; but there are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The text concludes on the recto of the 122nd and last leaf, thus:

## Ideoq; non

penitus ad nuncupationem suam cōgruere Insularum qualitatem.

## FINIS: .

The present is rather a neat copy ; in yellow morocco binding.

## 437. Solinus. (Printed by Casaris and Stol. Paris.) Without Date. Quarto.

- Voici une édition, qui doit être bien ancienne, mais que personne n'a connue, et sur la quelle nous ne saurions rien dire. Elle est en belles lettres rondes sans chiffres, signatures, ni reclames,' \&c. Such is the commencement of Crevenna's particular account of this elegant and uncommon impression. Cat. de Crevenn. vol. v. p. 23, edit. 1775,4 to. Another, nearly similar, description of it will be seen in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. iii. p. 21, n ${ }^{\circ} .4491$. In this latter work, reference is made to Juvigny's excellent edition of the Bibliotheque Frunçoise of La Croix du Maine and Du Verdier ; vol. i. p. 351-2;
where I find the authorities of the Bibl. Tellier, p. 234, and Prosper Marchand's Dict. Hist. Typog. vol. ii. p. 267, quoted. The latter is only a repetition of the immediately preceding authority; and, in both, the date of 'about 1498' is assigned to the present impression-a date, which Juvigny properly corrects, by observing, that 'tout annonce qu'elle est un des premiers fruits de l'établissement de l'Imprimerie en France vers l'an 1470.' The same writer adds, that this edition is ' very beautiful and very rare;' and that M. de Bréquigny, of the ' Academie des Belles-Lettres,' who was in possession of a copy of it, furnished him with a remark, that 'if Salmasius had known of its existence, he might have enriched his own edition of this author with some good readings.' For reasons, assigned at p. 330 ante, it should seem that the date of this impression could not be anterior to that of 1473 . Probably it is rather that of 1475 . We are now to describe it particularly. On the reverse of the first leaf, we read this interesting prefix :


## Lodoicus xantonensis episcopus Guillermo tardiuo aniciensi;

Lauda et mirare hec impressa volumina lector! Scripta quibus cedit pagina queq; manu. Venduntur paruo. nec punctū aut littera defit.* Vera recognoscit tardiuus . ecce. lege;

> Simon recomadoris angeriacus lodoicil xantonensis episcopi secretarius Guil= lermo tardiuo aniciensi;

Arte noua pressos si cernis mente libellos!
Ingenium totiens exuperabit opus.
Nullus adhuc potuit huius contingere sūmū.
Ars modo plura nequit . ars dedit omne suū.
Ni vim quis faciat nullo delebitur euo!
Que nitet incausto littera pulchra nimis.
Viuant autores operis feliciter isti.
Isti russangis, tardiue viue magis;

Then 3 leaves of 'a table of the rubrics.' On the recto of the 5 th leaf, with apparently new letters, and similar to those of the Senecaof which there is a fac-simile at the bottom of p. 344, ante-we read:

> CAII IVLII SOLINI AD AD= VENTVM POLIHISTOR SI= VE DE SITV ORBIS AC MVN DI MIRABILIBVS LIBER;

There are 20 lines beneath. A full page contains 25 lines. The titles to the chapters are in lower-case letter, and on the recto of the 108th (pencil-numbered,) leaf, the text terminates thus:
as. deinde $c \bar{u}$ mōstra illa putredine tabefacta sūt! omnia infici illic tetro odore. ideoq; nō penitus ad nūcupationem sui cōgruere insularū qualitatem ;

Caii iulii solini ad aduentū polihistor siue de situ orbis ac mūdi mirabilibus liber finit ;

The reverse is blank. There is good reason to believe this beautiful volume to be the earliest production of the joint press of Cæsaris and Stol. The present is a large and fine copy of it, in blue morocco binding.
438. Solinus. Printed at Venice. 1498. Quarto.

On the recto of the first leaf, printed in large lower-case Gothic
 on the reverse of this leaf, and ends on the recto of $f v j$; the preceding letters, or signatures, having each eight leaves. The colophon is thus :

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathbf{F} & \text { I } & \mathbf{N} & \text { I } \\
\text { Venetiis anno } & \text { Domini } & \text { M. CCCC. } \\
\text { LXXXXVIII. die. }
\end{array}
$$

Panzer, vol. iii. p. 444, $n^{\circ} .2406$, refers to several authorities; which, considering the unimportance of this impression, are hardly necessary to be examined. The present is an indufferent copy, in calf binding.

## 439. Statius. Thebais et Achilleis. Without <br> Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

Editio Princeps. There are few points in bibliography more difficult to settle with satisfaction, than that of the exact chronological order of the publications of the several pieces of Statius. De Bure is exceedingly brief and superficial; and Ernesti and Panzer are not only a little confused, but incorrect. Brunet is somewhat methodical and satisfactory. The present impression of the Thebais and Achilleis is called by Count Reviczky, in his usual style of designation, Editio Primaria Princeps. The Count considered it to be more ancient than an apparently similar impression in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2544; and which impression Brunet introduces as the first genuine one, in the order observed by him in the Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. 505. Whether the Valliere copy be the same as the present edition, is rather doubtful : but if we may judge from extrinsic evidence, there seems to be little or no doubt that the impression under description is more ancient than the Sylva or Achilleis, each with the express date of $147 \%$. Let us therefore be somewhat particular in the description of it.

On the recto of the first leaf, without any particular prefix, we read a brief account of the poet, followed by an analysis of, or observations upon, the Achilleis; from which it would appear that there were, originally, five books of the pocm. These remarks are accompanied by marginal printed memoranda; and they occupy, in the whole, 8 leaves.* A blank leaf ensues. A similar analysis, or preliminary matter, relating to the Thebais, and occupying 11 leaves, immediately succeeds. On the recto of the 20th leaf, from the beginning of the volume, after a poetical prefix of 12 verses, we read the opening of the Thebais, thus:

> Raternas aciesı alternaq; regna profanis
> Decertata odiisı sontes $q$; euoluere thebas Pierius menti calor incidit. unde iubetis Ire» dee? gētis ne canam primordia dire: \&cc. \&c. \&c.

[^102]A full page has 37 lines. On the reverse of fol. 137 from its commencement, the termination of the same poem is as follows:

Viue precor. nec tu diuinam eneyda tenta.
Sed longe sequere : \& uestigia semper adora.
Mox tibi siquis adhuc pretendit nubila liuor,
Occidet \& meriti post me referentur honores. I
In the present copy, 2 blank leaves ensue. Next follows the Achilleis, without prefix, commencing thus:

> Agnanimū cacidē, formidatāq; tonanti Progeniem, \& patrio uetitam succdere* celo, Diua refer. " $49 \%$ acta uiri multū inclita cātu Meonio. sed plura uacāt. nos ire per omnem Sic amor est, heroa uelis. schyroq; latētem \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 36 lines. On the reverse of the 16 th leaf from its commencement, the poem concludes in the following manner:which the reader will compare with the conclusion of the same poem, of the date of 1472 : vide post.

Gentibus atq; seuos solitus placare biformes. Hac tenus annorum, comites, elementa meorum, Et memini meminisse iuuat scit cetera mater.

It remains to observe that, in each of these poems, there are no titles, or prefixes, to the several books. A blank space is left for them, as well as for the introduction of the capital initial: nor are there numerals, signatures, or catchwords. The type is rude and uncommon : being a mixture of that of Sweynheym and Pannartz and of Laver. The dot to the $i$ is generally omitted. The capital $\mathbf{Q}$ is of singular formation; and the entire impression wears the aspect of a work executed in the rudest period of the art by an unskilful printer. The text cannot boast of peculiar accuracy. The present is a large, but rather soiled copy. It is elegantly bound in blue morocco.

## 440. Statius. Thebais et Achilleis. Without

Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

It is probable that, although a few years subsequently executed, the present impression is a reprint of the text of its precursor in the present order. I should apprehend the date of its printing to be even two or three years before that of the Sylve and Achilleis, with the express date of $14 \% 2$ subjoined. The printer is supposed by Count Reviczky to have been Andrea Portilia; but this is certainly very doubtful. On the reverse of the first leaf, we read the 12 verses as in the preceding edition. On the recto of the second leaf, the Thebais begins thus:

## P. PAPINII. STATII. SVRCVLI. THEBAI. DOS LIBER PRIMVS INCIPIT;

> RATERNAS Acies: al
> ternaq; regna profanis Decertata odiis: sontesq; euoluere Thebas \&c. \&c. \&c.

There are very small blank spaces left between the several books, and there is a blank space for the initial letter. A full page has 36 lines. On the recto of the 139th leaf, the Thebais concludes, having beneath :

## Deo gratias.

The reverse is blank. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, after 12 introductory verses, we read the opening of the Achilleis, thus:

> P. PA. STATII ACHILLEIDOS LIBER . 1.
> AGNANIMVM Acacidem :
> formidatamque tonanti
> Progeniē : \& patrio uetitam succedere cælo
> \&c. \&c. \&c.

On the recto of the 26 th leaf, from its commencement, this latter poem concludes thus :

> Hactenus annoße comites elementa meorum
> Et memini : \& memisse iuuat. scit cætera mater. Aura silet. puppis currens ad litora uenit.

The reverse is blank. Lord Spencer draws a different conclusion from my own, respecting the probable date of this impression. He conceives it to be more ancient than the preceding one; and that the occasional various readings in the text, render it probable that it was printed from a MS. different from that of its precursor. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor eatchwords. The present is $\bar{a}$ tolerably fair copy; in red morocco binding.

## 441. Statius. Achilleis. Without Name of Printer or Place. 1472. Quarto.

Having noticed what is conceived to be an earlier impression of the present poem than the one here under description, we proceed to an account of what may be safely denominated the editio secunda of the Achilleis. But before the description is entered upon, it may be necessary to allude to a supposed contemporaneous impression, oy Andreas Gallus, at Ferrara, with the same date subjoined. This edition is briefly mentioned by Audiffredi, in his Edit. Ital. p. 230, upon the authority of Affo's Memorie degli Scrittori \&c. Parmigiani, vol. iii. p. 22. Audiffredi himself had never seen it; nor does Affo make any mention of it in his account of a supposed Parma edition of the date of 1473 :* see his Tipografia Parmense, p. Lv. What is yet more powerful testimony, Baruffaldi passes it over in silence. Tipografia Ferrarese, 1777, 8vo. I conclude, therefore, that there is no such Ferrara edition in existence. In regard to the supposed Parma edition of 1473 , by Corallus, the unte just referred to may probably satisfy the reader of its non-entity. We now return to the volume before us; of the rarity and value of which Count Reviczky speaks in warm but not disproportionate terms. On the recto of the first leaf, the poem commences thus:

[^103]
## PAPINII STATII SVRSVLI ACHILLEIS

## AGNANIMVM AEACIDEM FOR,

midatam que tonanti
Progeniem. \& patrio uetitā succedere caelo
D iua refer. quāquā acta uiri multum inclyta cantu
M oconio: sed plura uacant : nos ire per omnem
S ic amor est : heroa uelis: Scyro que latentem
D ulichia proferre tuba: nec in Hectore tracto
$S$ istere. sed tota iuuenem deducere Troia.
\&c. \&c. \&c.
A full page has 24 lines. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords; and there is no break or distinction between the two books. On the recto of the 24th and last leaf, the termination is as follows:

H actenus armope comites elemēta meope
H aec memini : \& meminisse iuuat scit caetera mater.
A ura scil\&, puppis currens ad littora uenit;
On the reverse, in the centre, we read this colophon :

## PAPINII STATII SVRSVLI ACHILLEIDOS FINIS M. CCCC. LXXII. NICOLAO TRO NO PRIN CIPE VENETIIS;

The type has a resemblance to that of John de Colonia. The mode of printing the diphthong ae proves that it is neither Spira nor Jenson; but there is a greater appearance of the press of the latter, than of the former, about it. Brunet has only a brief reference to Panzer, who satisfies himself with Denis, p. 699, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 6233; in which latter authority the description is brief but exact. The present is a desirable copy, in red morocco binding.

## 442. Statius. Thebais. Wilhout Neme of

 Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.There is a good account of this impression in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .2545$, which has been reprinted in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 232-3. This edition is there supposed to have been executed at Milan in 1478 . See too Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. $n^{\circ} .4988$. Mombritius is the acknowleged editor of it. On the reverse of the first leaf is a poetical address of Boninus Mombritius to Bartholomeus Chalcus. On the recto of the following leaf (marked a i for a ii,*) the Thebais begins; having 34 lines in a full page. The signatures, from a to $s$, are in eights: $s$ has six, and $t$ has five leaves. After the conclusion of the Thebais, (similar to that of the edit. prin. extracted at p. $36 \%$ ante,) we read as follows:

Bon . Mombr . M . D . Barth . Calco . S . D .

> Accipis impressam Beloueside Thebain urbe O decus o uitæ spes nimis ampla meæ.
> V iue memor nostri. nihilumi iam quærimus ultra: Q uam $q$ sis nostri Bertholomæe memor .

The reverse is blank. The present is a tall and elegant copy, in green morocco binding.
443. Statius. -Silve. (Supposed to have been Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Venice.) 1472. Folio.

Editio Princeps. We now commence our account of the editions of the Silcue, of which the present is unquestionably the first. This impression is incorporated in an edition of the joint works of Catullus, Tibullus, and Propertius; which has been minutely described at page 294-6 of the first volume of this work. The proheme of Statius commences on the recto of fol. 126 , of the volume just referred to, in the following order.

[^104]
## P. PAPINI S'TATII SVRCVLI SILVA

RVM LIBER PRIMVS.
PROHOEMIVM AD STELLAM.
IV MVLTVM QVE dubitaui
Stella iuuenis optime \& in studiis nostris eminētissime: qua parte uo,
d luisti : an hos libellos q mihi subito \&c. \&c. \&c.

This proheme concludes at the $\%$ th line, on the reverse of the leaf upon which it commences. The text of the Silvee commences thus, on the recto of the ensuing leaf:

## In Equū Maximū Imp. Domici.

q
VE SVPER IMPOSITO moles geminata colosso
Stat latiū cōplexa forū : celone peractū
Fluxit opus: siculis an conformata caminis Effigies: lassum Steropem : Brontemq; reliquit? \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 35 lines. There is, as usual, a prose prefix to each book. On the reverse of the 58th leaf from the commencement, the text of the poet concludes; to which is subjoined the following colophon: as already printed in vol. i. p. 296.

## P. Papini Statii Syluaz. Liber Vltimus.

Tabula librorum qui sunt in presenti uolumine. Albius Tibullus elegiae Scriptor optimus.
Aurelius Propertius Beuanus.
Clarissimi poetę Catulli Veronēsis Epigrāma.
Pub. Papinus. Statius Syluarum.
M.CCCC.LXXII.

For references to authorities, consult the pages before referred to.

## 444. Statius. Silve. Printed by I.de Colonia. Venice. 1475. Folio.

It is probably doubtful whether this, or the ensuing edition, were the first published; but as the present one is supposed to be a reprint of its Venetian precursor, it is inserted in the present order. The reader must however be told, that, like the preceding one, it is incorporated with an edition of Catullus, Tibullus, and Propertius, of the date of 1475 , and is described in the first volume of this work, at p. 297-8: where also the colophon (at the conclusion of Tibullus) will be found. It remains then only to give the following brief, but exact account of it. On the recto of the 128th leaf, from the opening of the volume, the proheme begins as before; succeeded by the text on the recto of the following leaf, thus :

## In Equum Maximum Imp. Domici.

## $q$ VE SVPER IMPOSITO

 moles geminata colossoStat latium complexa fore: cælo ne paras
F luxit opus: siculis an conformata caminis
E ffigies: lassum Steræpem : Brontemq; reliquit?
\&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page has 35 lines. The poem comprises 58 leaves, and is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords. It terminates thus:

C ui nomen uox prima meum: ludusq; tenebo
$\mathbf{R}$ isus \& e nostro ueniebāt gaudia uultu.
P. Papini Statii Siluar. Liber Vltimus.

For authorities, see as above referred to.
445. Statius. Silvee: cum Commentarifs Calderini. Printed by Pannartz. Rome. 1475. Folio.

We may begin our account of this very rare and estimable production, by putting entirely out of the question a supposed impression of the Works of Statius, of the same date; which Orlandi, De Bure, Laire, and Panzer, have erroneously imagined to be in existence. Audiffredi, and the researches of subsequent bibliographers, forbid such a conclusion. This volume is amply and correctly described in the Edit. Rom, p. 183-6: but the ensuing account of it may not be unacceptable. On the reverse of the first leaf we observe a list of the contents of the volume, which list is specifically given by Audiffredi. This informs us that the impression comprehends, 1. The Sylve: 2. The Commentaries upon them by Calderinus: 3 . Commentaries of the same upon the Sappho to Phaon: 4. Obscure passages of Propertius explained by the same : 5. Excerpts from the third book of the Commentaries upon the Sylvæ, relating chiefly to grammatical questions. The list is thus terminated:

## Totum opus quarti laboris fuerit ex rebus quas in eo reperies : facile cognoscas:

On the recto of the ensuing leaf is Calderinus's prefatory address to Augustinus Mapheus: 3 pages. On the reverse of the third leaf are some verses, with this prefix : 'Domitius Hortatur Statium Papinium ut redeat Neapolim in patrià: vbi et blandietur Franciscus Aragonus Regis. Ferd. F.' Beneath, are 25 verses - subscribed ' $\varepsilon u \tau \ddot{x} x \omega \sigma$.' The proheme of Statius commences on the recto of the following leaf, followed by the Annotations of Calderinus, addressed to A. Mapheus. The Greek type in this, and in other parts of the commentary, is proportionably small with that of the commentary itself; but it partakes of the character of the large Greek type used by the same printer. On the recto of the 6 th leaf, from the beginning of the volume, the text of the poem commences. Each book is followed by the commentary. The text is in the usual large type; the annotations are in the small type: see p. 345 ante. A full page of the poetry has 35 lines. On the recto of fol. 137, we read this colophon :

## DOMITII CALDERINI VERONENSIS SECRETARII APOSTOLICI. SYLVA RVM RECOGNITIO E'T IN'IERPRE 'ГATIO: QVANTI LABORIS FVERIT OPVS VIGILIARVM QVE BONORVM IVDICIVM ESTO: VIRTVTI DATVM. ET POS'IERI'IATI ROMAE K. SEXTI LIBVS. MCCCCLXXV.

A brief life of Statius is on the reverse. The remaining leaves are devoted to the Opuscula before noticed, as described in the list on the reverse of the first leaf of the volume. On the reverse of the last leaf but one, we read these verses of Calderinus, and the subjoined imprint :

Domitius ad lectorem.
Sic mihi perpetue contingant murmura laudis.
Et bona post funus hora superstes eat. Vt nostros cupio multis prodesse libellos.
Famaq; non ullo tincta cruore placet. Me legat inuitus nemo : non scripsimus illi. Huic scripta est : si quem pagina nostra iunat.
. IMPRESSIT .

## ROMAE AD AEDES MAXIMORVM. ARNOLDVS PANNARTZ.

 E GERMANIA IDIB. SEXTILIB. anNo a Natali christiano. MCCCCLXXV. XYS'OO. PONT. MAX.A register occupies the recto of the ensuing and last leaf. In the whole, 169 leaves. 'Nunc (says the late Count Reviczky) de meritis editionis aliquid dicturum. Editoris ipsius verba transcribemus, ex quibus colligere liceat quantum ad auctoris textum emendandum, ad sanas suspectasque lectiones discernendas, conferre possit:" " Illud in primis (inquit Calderinus) reperies è nobis obseruatum ut quotiens carmen emendatione nostra secus scriptuin est : quam in aliis codicibus: id autem passim occurret : in cōmentariis representauerimus priorem
scriptionem quam ws apisapxot $\tau \varepsilon$ xas xpitixot o $\beta=\lambda i s \rho \mu s \nu$, et tanquam falsam expungimus subiectis novae rationibus: quas tibi in primis probare cupio." A copy was in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. $n^{\circ}$. 4986. The present is a fair sound copy, in old red-morocco binding, with the arms and cypher of prince Eugene; being a duplicate from the Imperial library at Vienna.

## 446. Statius. Opera. Printed by Octavianus

 Scotus. Venice. 1483. Folio.This is the first edition of the works of Statius collected into one volume : those impressions of the supposed dates of 1475 and 1476 being entirely fictitious. One source of the error of the supposed edition of 1475 , may have arisen from the subscription to the Silvæ, in the preceding edition, of the date of 1475 , being literally reprinted and subjoined to the text of the same poem in the present impression: see the note in the Edit. Rom. p. 184. We may be brief, but particular, in our account of this impression. The Thebais contains the commentary of Lactantius. It commences on $\mathbf{A}$ ii, and ends $\mathbf{Q}$ vij : in eights. On Q viij the Achilleis begins; accompanied by the commentary of Franciscus Mataracius. On T vj, reverse, this poem ends. It is followed by the commentary of Calderinus upon the Silve; beginning on sign. a. The Silve end on 1 iiij , in sixes; having the date of that of the Roman impression (before alluded to) subjoined: but a has 8 leaves. The life of Statius is on the reverse. Then on m , rev. we have the text of Ovid's Sappho to Phaon, surrounded by the commentary of Calderinus. On n v, reverse,-in sixes-we read the colophon, thus:

## Venetiis per Octauianū Scotū Mo doetiēsem. M. CCCCLXXXIII. Quarto nonas Decembris. FINIS

A register is on the recto of the following and last leaf. The present is a fair copy, in calf binding; with a red morocco back.
447. Statius. Opera. Printed by Jucolues de Paganinis. Venice. 1490. Folio.

This is an elegant reprint of the preceding edition. Seemiller, Incunab. Typog. fusc. iv. p. 12, tells us, that there is a very accurate description of it by Denis, in Garell. p. 138, \&c.; but the ensuing may suffice. The Thebais contains the commentary of Lactantius, the Achilleis that of Mataracius, and the Syluce that of Calderinus. The text of the poet is printed in a large round Roman type, the commentary in a smaller one. The text of the Epistle of Sappho to Phaon is also incorporated with the commentary upon it by Calderinus. The signatures from a (a i blank) to $p$, are in eights: $p$ has six, and $q$ four leaves. Then A (commencing with the Achilleis) to H, in cights; with the exception of B and C, which are in sixes. From H to M, inclusively, in sixes. N has five leaves. The colophon is at the bottom of the register, in a corner, thus:

## Impressum Venetiis Per Magistrū Iacobum de paganinis brisiensis. M.CCCCLXXXX. XXIIII.

 Decembris.
## FINIS

The present is a clean but cropt copy. It is in calf binding, with red morocco back.
448. Statius. Opera. Printed by B. Zanis de Portesio. Venice. 1494. Folio.

The recto of the first leaf presents us with the contents of the volume, thus:

Statii Syluæ cum Domitii Commentariis.
Statii Thebais cum Lactantii Commentariis.
Statii Achilleis cum Maturantii Commentariis.
Domitii.
This title is on what should be sign. a i. The signatures from a to $z$, are in eights: z has six : \& and $\rho$ eight ; and Re nine leaves; or ten,
including a blank one. On the reverse of pr nine, we read the colophon, thus:

Hoc per Bartholameum de Zanis de Portesio Venetiis impressum opus foliciter explicit.

. M. cccc. Ixxxxiiii. Die. xv. Martii.

A brief life of Statius, and a register, are beneath. The capital initials are, many of them, similar to those in the Silius Italicus, of which there are fac-similes at p. $35 \%$ ante. The commentary is printed by the side of the text. Panzer, vol. iii. p. 355, refers, among other authorities, to the Bibl. Hoblyn, vol. i. p. 264 ; where I find this edition to be the earliest one of Statius which the Hoblyn Collection contained. The present is an indifferent copy, in calf binding.

## 449. Strabo. Latinè. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz, Rome. Without Date. Folio.

Editio Princeps. The same reasons which justified Audiffredi in assigning the date of 1469 to the Livy-described at p. 128 ante, warranted him in affixing a similar date to the present impression. The list of the printed works of Sweynheym and Pannartz, given by these printers themselves, and noticed in vol. i. p. 160-1, strengthens such conclusion of Audiffredi. Those therefure who will read the Edit. Rom. p. 28-9, may observe with what severity, but justice, the accurate author of that invaluable work comments upon the reasoning of Maittaire-but more especially upon the superficial observations of De Bure, in the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 22-3. From the list, just noticed, it appears that there were only 275 copies of this magnificent volume struck off : its rarity, therefore, is decisive. Whoever attentively compares the typography of it with that of the subsequent Roman edition of 1473, will readily acknowledge that the whole has a neatness and freshness of appearance evidently indicative of the first attempts of the press of the printers.* On the recto of the first leaf, without any prefix, commences the prefatory epistle of the Bishop of Aleria, thus:

[^105]
## [G] Eographiā multos scripsisse nouimus Pater Beatissime Paule. II. \&c.

From this preface we learn that the Latin version* is due to the labour and care of Guarinus Veronensis and Gregorius Tiphernas. The preface is reprinted entire, with two additional ejistles of Guarinus Veronensis-onc to Pope Nicolas V., the other to I. A. Marcellusin the Venetian editions of $14 \% 2$ and 1494, and in the Treviso edition of 1480 . Andiffredi justly remarks that Quirini has omitted a material part of the preface in his Edit. Optim. Scriptor. p. 222-225. In this edition, it concludes nearly at the bottom of the reverse of the and leaf:

Vale semp felicissime: scīssimeq; ac placidissie pōtifex.
On the recto of the 3d leaf the proheme of the author commences. The first section of the text begins thus:
[S] I ad philosophum alia pertineat ulla tractatio: \&cc.
A full page has 46 lines. Many Greek passages are inserted; and on the recto of the last leaf, beneath a table of the contents of the volume, we read the well known poetical colophon of 8 verses. The reverse is blank. See also Incunab. Typog. fasc. i. 19. The present is a tall and fine copy ; but not free from stain. It is in russia binding.

## 450. Strabo. Latinè. Printed by Sweynleym and Pannartz. Rome. 1473. Folio.

It is allowed that the present impression is a mere reprint of its precursor ; not, however, that it is an exact paginary reprint of it throughout the volume-as Panzer would lead us to conclude. As no bibliographer mentions a copy of it, in which the prefatory epistle of the Bishop of Aleria (which is in the precerling edition) is to be found, I conclude that the absence of such epistle, in the present copy does not render the impression imperfect. The proheme of Strabo commences thus, on the recto of the first leaf:

[^106]
## Vmmatim extra philosophiam non est: \&c.

The following sub-title (wanting in the preceding edition,) is prefixed to the first section of the work:

## Strabonis Geographi Europe primus Commentarius.

The impression has, throughout, heads or prefixes to the several chapters, for which spaces are left in the previous one. A full page has 46 lines. On the recto of the 235th and last leaf, beneath 13 lines of text, we read the six colophonic verses as at p .113 ante: beneath which is the date, thus:

## M. CCCC. LXX III. Die uero Veneris. XII. mensis Februarii.

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The reader may consult the Edit. Rom. p. 126-7; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 25-7; and Panzer's Annal. Typog. vol. ii. p. 437, n . 109. The present is a fine large copy, with many rough fore edges. It is in old red morocco binding.

## 451. Suetonius. De Vitis XII. Caesarum.

 (Printed by Philip de Lignamine). Rome. 1470. Folio.Editio Princeps. We have here another (if not the first) magnificent specimen of the press of Philip de Lignamine, although his name be not subjoined to the impression. The powerful reasons adduced by Audiffredi in his description of the Editio Princeps of Quintilian's Institutions- (which have been already laid before the reader at p. 305-6, ante) together with a careful comparison of that publication with the present one, render it almost indisputable that Joth these works issued from the press of P. de Lignamine:-'sunt que eximia, et prima opera officinae Jo. Phil de Lignamine' - are the emphatic words of this last mentioned bibliographer. Edit. Rom. p. 46. It follows, therefore, that the old school of bibliography, including even Laire, were clearly in error in assigning the Quintilian and the Suetonius, edited by Campanus, to the press of Ulric Han. ' Numquid ipsum [scil Udalricum Han] puduit eas editiones suas
profiteri, quae quamdiu vetus typograpiena apud mortales in honore erit, tamdiu inter prestantiores semper habebuntur?-concludes the animated and indignant Audiffredi.' Well might Fossi (acceding fully to the same opinion) thus commence his description of the volume before us:- ' Editione hâc vix elegantiorem reperies-elegantissimis characteribus rotundis admodum conspicuis' \&c. Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 624.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read the brief and prefatory letter of Campanus to Cardinal Piccolomini ; in which Campanus observes that, 'he had read Suctonius when a boy-but of that, of which he had formerly only tasted, he now enjoys an abundant draught.' No notice is taken in it of any existing; or projectec, edition. Indeed this should seem very inprobable; since the present one, allhough printed in the same Dominical year, was put forth in the sixth year of the Papacy of Paul II: and the ensuing one in the seventh year of the same papacy. The epistle of Campanus, comprehending only 19 lines, is printed entire (as.Audiffredi observes) in the works of Campanus edited by Fernus in 1495, fol. xlv. This epistle is immediately succeeded by a table, referring to the folios in the voiume, with the following prefix:

## Sequātur Rubrice librorum p ordinem.

The table concludes on the reverse of the first ieaf. The text of the author commences on the recto of the ensuing leaf, thus:

> Nōum agens Cesar sextūdecimum Patrē amisit. Sequentibusq; consulibus flamendi alis destinatus dimissa consutia que familia equestri sed admodum diues pretextato disponsata fuerat Corneliam Cinue quater consulis filiam duxit uxorem. Ex qua illi \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 35 lines. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. The Greek passages (in character precisely similar to the fac-simile at $p .307$ ante) are regularly printed. The clapters are uniformly destitute of titles, or heads; and on the reverse of the 125th and last leaf, we read the colophon thus:

Cai Suetonii Tranquilli de. xii. Cesarum nitis liber ultimus feliciter finit. absolutus Rome in pinea regione uia pape Anno a Christi natali. M. CCCC. Lxx. Sextili mēse Pauli autem Veneti. ii. Pont. Max. anno sexto.

This magnificent impression, which was neither in the Harlcian nor Pinelli Collections, is of very great rarity; and was sold at the sale of the Crevenna library for 500 florins; having brought 1340 livres at the sale of the Valliere library. See the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. $23 \%$-s. The present is a fine large copy, although not quite free from stain. It is in old red morocco binding.

## 452. Suetonius. De Vitis XII. Caesarum.

 Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1470. Folio.Editio Secunda. Before we describe the volume itself, it will be necessary to notice two particulars relating to it; one of which is specified by Audiffredi, the other by both De Bure and Audiffredi. First, this impression, although put forth subsequently to the editions of Leo and Quintilian (according to the preface of its episcopal editor,) is, nevertheless, noticed as a prior publication in the memorable list by the printers themselves-so often referred to in this work :-' quod utrum casu, vel consilio factum fuerit, quis definire ualeat,' - says Audiffredi. In the second place, both De Bure and Audiffredi notice the large ornamental capital initials, attached to side borders of a similar character, with which this impression is adorned. 'Hac fortasse de causa, post nominatum Plinium, quo totius naturae mirabilia continentur, nostri typographi Suetonium ab ipsis excusum, ceu egregium humanae industriae specimen, ob oculos Pontificis sistere congruum esse duxerunt. Sed haec est mera hariolatio.' Such is Audiffredi's ' conjecture' upon the subject : Edit. Rom. p. 65. De Bure was of opinion (but erroneously) that these ornamental capitals and borders were executed by means of metal. Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. v. p. 595, $n^{\circ}$. 4919. I shall edeavour to prove that these ornaments were never executed by Sweynheym and Pannartz.

The earliest introduction of such ornaments is, I believe, assigned to Ratdolt, an ingenious printer at Venice; whose Latin edition of

Appian, of the date of $147 \%$, exhibiting similar decorations, has been described at p. 254 of the preceding volume of this work. Whether the same printer made use of such ornaments before this period, I am at present unable to determine:-but it is quite certain that in no other publication of Sweynheym and Pannartz will such decorations be found. And it is also equally certain, that there are copies of this edition of Suetonius without such ornaments. His Lordship possesses one of this description : a point, which is very material in the discussion
 informed. Yet more essential than either of the foregoing considerations, is the following one. Upon a careful examination of the ornaments themselves, it is obvious that they were subsequently introduced, and not worked with the body of the text. They are also most irregularly executed; and do not fit the spaces which they were designed to occupy. A comparison with other works, in which these decorations are introduced, will shew, that the tops of the capital letters run in a uniform line with the first line of the text:-here, they are above the text-sometimes leaning to the left, and sometimes to the right-and usually attached to the border in a very clumsy and unskilful manner. I conceive, therefore, that those copies of this impression of Suetonius, in which such capital initials appear, have been thus decorated by some subsequent typographical artist-at least seven or eight years after they had issued from the press of Sweynheyn and Pannartz. It is material to add, that the copy in this collection, divested of such ornaments, is lineally, verbally, and literally the same as that in which the ornaments appear. We may now return to the impression itself.

The revese of the first leaf presents us with the brief address of the Bishop of Aleria, in which Suetonius is called an author 'exquisitæ cognitionis.' The Bishop further remarks, that the printers had applied to him to publish this author on their completion of Quintilian. The existence of the opusculum ' De Viris illustribus,' by the same writer, is acknowledged by the editor; although a copy of it had never been seen by him. 'The tract entitled ' De Rhetoribus et Grammaticis,' is pronounced to be 'libellus dignus facile Suetonio.' This epistle has only 23 lines. It is followed by the verses of Ausonius upon the author, and upon his Lives of the Cesars:-terminated by the following date :
'Tibi Pater Beatissime omnes uitam optāt \& felicitatē diutissimā Dominici Natalis. M. CCCC. LXX. Ponticatus uero tui. Anno VII.

These occupy the first leaf, and conclude on the recto of the second. On the reverse of the second is the table, with this prefix:

Sequuntur Rubrice librorum per ordinem.
On the recto of the third leaf, at top, it is thus :
C. Suetonii Tranquilli de duodecim

Cesaribus liber. C. Iulii Cesaris uita.
Nnum agens Cesar sextumdecimū Patrem amisit. Sequentibusq; consulibus Flamen dialis destinatus dimissa cōsutia que familia equestri sed admodum diues pretextato di\&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 38 lines. The Greek passages are inserted; and on the recto of fol. 107 and last, we read the following date beneath 8 verses of a poetical colophon :

## . M. CCCC. LXX.

The titles to the chapters are in small, or lower-case letter. Consult the authorities in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 238. The present copy, with the ornamental capitals, is a very fine one, in red morocco binding. That, without such ornaments, is an indifferent one.
453. Suetonius. De Vitis XII. Caesarum. Printed by Ienson. Venice. 1471. Quarto.

Audiffredi and Sardini correctly designate this volume as a quarto, and not a folio-as De Bure has done. The account of the latter is, it nust be confessed, equally brief and barren. On the recto of the first leaf, after five commendatory verses of Ausonius, we read as follows:

CAII SVETONII TRANQVILLI DE VITA. XII. CAESARVM LIBER PRIMVS DIVVS IVLIVS CAESAR INCIPIT FOELICITER.

VLIVS CAESAR ANNVM AGENS sextūdecimum patrem amisit: sequentibusq;
consulibus flamendialis destinatus: dimissa Cossutia que familia equestri sed admodum \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 32 lines. The text is divided into sections as well as into chapters; and it terminates on the recto of the 162 nd and last leaf: followed by the verses of Ausonius (as in the preceding edition) upon the Lives of the Cæsars. On the reverse of the same leaf, beneath the word FINIS, we read this quaint colophon :

> H oc ego nicoleos gallus cognomine ienson Impressi: miræ quis neg\& artis opus? Attibi dum legitur docili suetonius ore :

> Artificis nomen fac rogo lector ames. . M . CCCC . LXXI .

There are blank spaces for the insertion of the Greek passages; which may prove that the volume was published before the Tortellius of the same date. This impression is executed in the usually elegant style of Jenson's printing. I remember to have seen a fine copy of it' with illuminations, and the heads of the Twelve Cæsars beautifully carved in ivory, and inlaid on red velvet in the interior of the binding' which was sold at a public auction, in 1804, for 441 . There is probably a copy of this interesting volume upon vellum, in some hitherto unsearched collection. The reader may consult the Edit. Rom. p. 65 ; Storia Critica di Nicolao Jenson, lib. iii. p. 13; and Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 238-9. The present is a large and rather fine copy : in red-morocco binding.

## 454. Suetonius. De Vitis XII. Caesarum.

 Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1472. Folio.Like Audiffredi, we may be brief in the description of this impression. It is a complete reprint of its precursor, from the same press. Like the second Roman Strabo, it seems to have been published without the prefatory matter of the preceding edition; as no copy of it has yet been described which contains the preface of the VOL. II. 3 D

Bishop of Aleria. On the recto of the first leaf, at top, commence the verses of Ausonius, as before. The reverse of this leaf presents us with the table. On the recto of the second leaf, at top, commences the text of the historian, which continues, precisely similar to that of the foregoing impression, to the recto of the 106 th and last leaf; where we have six verses of colophon. Beneath is the imprint, thus :

## M. CCCC. LXXII. die XVII Septembris

The present is a sound copy, in green morocco binding.

## 455. Suetonius. Vite XII. Caesarum. Without

## Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

This is the impression which Laire properly designates as being printed 'page for page, and line for line, after that of Jenson.' It was probably published (as he supposes) not long after the appearance of the Venetian impression of 1471. Laire calls the type ' neat and round.' It has the aspect of an early Ferrara volume. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The same impression seems to have been noticed by Maittaire, vol. i. p. 762 ; and by Rossi, p. 71, as Panzer intimates. Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 197. Index Libror. vol. i. p. 159, 190. The verses of Ausonius, in commendation of the author, have this prefix:

## AVSONII VERSVS.

Instead of the colophonic verses of Jenson, as given in the last page, we have some tetrasticha in praise of the twelve Cesars, with the following prefix :

## 'Tetrastica de cæsaribus post Tranquillū.

having, at the termination, on the recto of the ensuing leaf from which they commence, the word FINIS. Two brief biographies follow, on the reverse of this leaf. Then another leaf, of which the recto is blank, and the reverse contains the register. This latter (and not unimportant) circumstance has escaped Laire. The present is a fair copy; in calf-binding.

# 456. Suetonius. De Viris Illustribus Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto. 

This is a singular and rather interesting little volume. It is edited by Petrus Melleus, and dedicated to Petrus Posterula; each of them residents, if not natives, of Milan; and the latter, a Senator of the same city. What is extraordinary, it exhibits the identical preface which Gaspar Lampugnanus prefixed to his own edition of the same work, and dedicated to Boninus Mombritius. 'I he editions of Lampugnanus and Melleus are each without a date; and it may be doubtful, therefore, which of these editors is to be taxed with plagiarism of no ordinary occurrence. Saxius, as might be expected, is copious and interesting: see the Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. Dcxili, note o; where a reference is made to a material antecedent passage, at p. DXLVII-viii, note a. Saxius arranges the edition of Lampugnanus as the first ; but adds that, to his knowledge, there is no other work extant with the name of P. Melleus as the editor, or author, of it. 'Melleus was certainly domesticated at Milan, when he dedicated this edition to Posterula about the year 1480 : the latter dying about the year 1484, 'honoribus et sapicutia clarissimus.' Panzer refers exclusively to Saxius.

The first leaf comprises the address of Melleus, as before described. The second and third leaves are filled by a table. On the recto of the fourth, we read the opening of the text thus:-which will remind the reader of a similar commencement in the account of the editions of Aurelius Victor-who is probably the genuine author of the work: see Fabric. Bibl. Lat. lib. i. ch. vi., lib. ii. ch. xxiii., lib. iii. ch. ix.

## SVETONIVS TRANQuILLVS DE PRAECLARE GESTIS ROMANORVM.

ROCA REX Albanorū Amulium \& Numitorem filios habuit: quibus regnū annuis uicibus habendum reliquit. ut alternis impe, \&c \&c. \&c.

A full page has 24 lines. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. On the recto of the 32 nd and last leaf, we read as follows:

## DE REBVS PRAECLARE GE STIS VIRORVM ILLVSTRIVM

Romanas acies regū que illustria facta. Si cupis hoc sūas fac breue lector opus:
Quod brcue sit quāuis ígētia pōdera rerū.
Continet \& ueterū fortia facta uirum.
The reverse is blank. This impression is justly attributed to the Milan press, and is executed in the same type with which the Solinus, noticed at p. 362 ante, is printed. A sound copy; in red morocco binding.
457. Suetonius. De Grammaticis \& Rhetomibus clarissimis. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

There can be hardly any question but that the present clegant little volume came from the press of Jenson. This was the opinion of the compiler of the Crevenna Collection; (Bibl. Crevenn. vol.iv. p. 218, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 7335 , ) in which Sardini coincides, and assigns the date 'verso il 1471 ' to the impression. Storia Critica di Nicolao Jenson, lib. iii. p. 20. It is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords; and commences thus on the recto of the first leaf:

## SVETONII TRANQVILLI DE GRAMMATICIS ET RETHORI BVS CLARISSIMIS LIBELLVS FOELICITER INCIPIT.

Rammatica Romæ ne in usu quidem olim ne dū in
g honore ullo erat. rudi. s. ac bellicosa ctiam tū ciuitate. nec dum magnopere libe,
\&c. \&cc. 8cc.

A full page has 24 lines. On the reverse of the 15 th and last leaf, at bottom, the text fominates thus:

> be causis ppler quas mori destinassel din ae more contionantis redditis ahstimut cibo. FINIS

The present is rather a soiled copy; in blue moroce hinding.
458. Suletonius. De: Clatles Grammaticis \& Rhetormus. Printed ly, S. J. De Ripoli. Florence. 1478. Quarto.

On the recto of the lirst leaf, the text begins thus:

## C. SVETONII . TRANQVHLI . DE GRAMMATICLS . E'J RHE: TORIBVS . CLARIS . LIBER . IN CIPIT

> gr RAMMATICA. ROMAE: ne in usu quidem olimne dia in honore ullocrat: mdiscilicetac Se. \&e. Se.

This commences on what should be sign. a i. The same signature regularly follows: marked as far as a vii, and concluding the volume on the reverse of a xiv.-thus:

NIL. AMPLIVS . REPERITVR.

## IMPRESSVM FLORENTIAE $\Lambda$ PVI) SANC'TVM IACOBUM IEE RIPOLI . MCCCCLXXVIII

The present is a beautiful copy, in russia binding. Panzer refern to the Valliere and L'inelli Catalogues. Annal. Tiymog. val. i. p. 106.

## 459. Syminachus. Epistole Familiares. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

Editio Princeps. This impression is of considerable rarity. It was unknown to bibliographers, till Morelli gave a particular and interesting account of it, in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. ${ }^{\circ}$. $383 \%$. Panzer refers to Denis, p. $672, n^{\circ} .5982$, where the authority of Weif is quoted. Whether that and the present be the same impression, I cannot determine; but it seems certain that no edition of the Epistles of Symmachus was published in the XVth century-as the editor, Bartholomeus Cynischus, from his prefatory address, appears to have put forth the publication during the pontificate of Julius II.; which did not take place till 1508. The very inscription or title to the present edition may be thought to prove that no anterior one was in existence. Yet on the authority of Juretus, (Miscell. ad Symmach. Epist. addit. Edit. Paris, 1604, p. 7, ) we find that this impression loses in intrinsic worth what it accuires in rarity: ' immane quantum corrupta, confusa, truncata'-are the words of Juretus. See the authority first above quoted.

On the recto of the first leaf, sign. a, the title is thus:

> SYMMACHI SENATORIS ROMANI EPISTOLAE FA. miliares \| \& elegantissimæ nunquam alias Impressæ: \& nouiter Per Bartholomæum Cynischum Amerinum ab inferis pene reuocatæ.

Below, after a notice of what Procopius wrote concerning Symmachus, we read as follows:

## In Calce Epistolapr nōnulli Tractatus Vtilissimi Impressi sunt.

The tracts alluded to, are these; but in the present copy (which was Pinelli's) no such tracts appear. 'De Mensura Astrolabii: De Statu Mundi : Mensura de Horologio : Ad inveniendum cuiuslibet rei
altitudinem: Argumentum Quomodo magnitudo terræ deprehēdenda sit: De Gnomonica Institutione, \& Uinbrarum discursu: Quotiens in leuca rotetur rota.' On the reverse of this first leaf is the address of Bartholomæus Cynischus Amerinus, in 16 lines. On the recto of a 2 , is a poetical address from the same person, and a similar one from Marcus Antonius Casanova in praise of Symmachus and of his editor Cynischus. On the reverse are two more poetical addresses. Then an entire blank leaf. The recto of the ensuing and 4 th leaf is blank, and on the reverse of it is the account of Procopius concerning the author. On the recto of the 5th leaf, sign. b, commence the Epistles of Symmachus. A full page has 25 lines. There are two alphabets of signatures; each running in fours. The first alphabet is entire ; the second extends to dd iiij; on the recto of which we read this subscription :

## Epistolarum Symmachi Senatoris Romani

## Finis.

The reverse is blank. This is rather an indifferent copy, in calf binding.

## 460. Tacitus. Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Without Date. Folio.

Editio Princeps; containing only the Six Last Books of the Annals, and the first Five Books of the Histories. It has been the common opinion of bibliographers that this very rare and valuable impression was the first effort of the press of John de Spira; but I incline to the opinion of La Serna Santander, that it is, with greater probability, the earliest production of the press of Vindelin de Spira-as, in one of the editions of Cicero's Familiar Epistles, the former gives us to understand that such edition was the 'primus labor' of his press: see vol. i. p. 321-2: also the Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 177 ; vol. iii. p. 383. There is another ground upon which such an opinion may be supported. The manner of working the letter-press is different from that in the volumes printed by the elder brother, John de Spira; and the characters in this volume have not that appearance of neatness and freshness which are observable in the acknowledged productions of J. de Spira. On the other hand, it is remarked, that the catchwords, in this impression, are no where repeated in the publications of V. de Spira ; but, for the
same reason, J. de Spira himself was not the printer of the work, as catchwords are not to be found in those productions to which his name is subjoined. This argument, therefore, applies equally to both parties. We proceed to the book itself.

On the recto of the first leaf (in this copy superbly illuminated) we read the following, without prefix :

> AM Valerium Asiaticū bis consulem: fuisse quondā adulterū eius credidit: pariterq; ortis inhians quos ille a lucullo captos insigni ma-

On the recto of fol. 151, the last of the Six Books of the Annals ends at bottom, thus :

## nauium magnitudine potiorem.

The reverse is blank. On the recto of the following leaf, the History of the Manners and Customs of the Germans commences as follows:

Cornelii Taciti illustrissimi historici de situ morib ${ }^{9}$ \& populis Germanie libellus aureus.
g Ermania omnis a Gallis rhetiisq; \& pannoniis: Rheno: \& Danubio flumibus: a sarmatis: dacisq;

A full page has 36 lines. On the reverse of the 8 th leaf, this history concludes with the word FINIS. Then a blank leaf. On the recto of the ensuing one we read

Cornelii taciti equitis Romani dialogus de oratorib ${ }^{9}$ claris.
This treatise contains 15 leaves. On the recto of the last leaf, we read this memorable colophon :

Finis Deo laus
Cesareos mores scribit Cornelius. esto
Iste tibi codex : historie pater est.
Insigni quem laude feret gens postera : pressit
Spira premens: artis gloria prima sue.

The reverse is blank. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 283, note 2, has assigned the date of 1468 to this impression, on the authorities of Chevillier and Naudæus. These authorities, however ingenious and respectable, are not of sufficient weight to justify such a conclusion; especially if, (as it is presumed to be, before shewn to the contrary,) Vindelin de Spira were the genuine printer of this edition. De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. rol. v. p. 582, is copious and satisfactory; except that he is a little confused concerning the priority of the present work, and that of the Familiar Epistles of Cicero-both of them, in his opinion, executed by J. de Spira. Seemiller is rather full and particular, noticing a few discrepancies in De Bure; Incunab. Typog. fasc. i. p. 9-10. Denis (whose brochure concerning John de Spira should be in the library of every bibliographer) thus observes of the colophon -' quem, an Johannes etiamdum vivens, an ab ejus subita morte Wendelinus frater vulgaverit, hic quidem in medio relinquam.' What he adds, is inserted in the note below.* Upon the whole, I incline to believe that this edition is the typographical workmanship of V. de Spira; and, as such, and being the earliest production of his press, we may conclude it to have been executed quite at the commencement of the year 1470 . Lichtenberger is not particularly instructive. Init. Typog. p. 162. In regard to the intrinsic excellence of this impression, the reader, on consulting the authories noticed in the Introd. to the Clussics, vo'. ii. p. 216,

* After stating the colophon, Denis continues - Viden,' ait, [Heinecken] ultimis hujus Epigrammatis verbis dubium fieri, an Ciceronis Epistola, an vero Tacitus labor primus Spirensis fuerit? Addit, hunc uodum hactenus a nemine solvi potuisse. Solvam fortassis ego, et quidem e poeticæ locutionis indole, latinæque constructionis regulis. Impressit a. MCCCCLXXIII. Parmæ Petrarchæ Triumphos Andreas Portilia. Epigramma subjunctum ita finit :

> Hæc nam dedalicus posuit portilia parmæ
> Audreas: patriæ gloria magna suæ.

Quid censes, Lector? An editio Petrarchæ est patria gloria magna suce, an vero Impressor Portilia? Portiliam innuis, illudque, patrix gloria magna sua, Appositum poeticum vocas, ut Virgilianum illud, quod tenes a scholiis: gemini, duo fulmina belli, Scipiadae, (Ancid. lib. $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ ) omisso nempe relativo pro, qui est gloria magna sua; neque enim, si de Editione sermo esset, latinum foret: Hac posuit Portilia gloria magna, sed gloriam magnam dici oporteret. Age nunc, et Parma transgressus, Venetias Spirensem pariter expedi. Nam sive totum posterius Distichon ad illura traxeris, quod potes, sive extrema : Pressit Spira premens: artis gloria prima suc, non Taciti editionem, sed Taciti Editoren artis sue primam Venetiis nempe, gloriam pronuntiabis, ant primam, quod haud infrequens Latinis, primariam, excellentem, singularem interpretaberis.' Denis; Suffragium pro Johanne de Spira Primo Venetiarunt Typographo, 1794, 8vo. p. 23-5.
will find it to be equal to its extreme rarity. The present is a fine coly, in old red-morocco binding; being a duplicate from the collection of Prince Eugene.

## 461. Tacitus. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

It would be no very violent inpeachment of the judgment of a bibliographer, if he conceived this impression to have been executed at a Venetian press;-and even if Jenson himself were deemed to be the printer of it. There is a manifest similarity between the types of this edition and those of the last mentioned printers; but the residence of the editor of it, Franciscus Puteolanus, at Milan, and the assertion by him, that he had procured the aid of Bernardus Lanterius, 'the most learned of the Milanese'-and had, in consequence, delivered it ' to their printers'-render it exceedingly probable that the publication was executed at Milan. Count Reviczky thought that it was printed in 1480. Ernesti conceived that the Life of Agricola was here for the first tima published; and if the edition of the Panegyric of Trajan by Pliny, of the supposed date of $14 \% 6$-in which appears another Life of Agricola - have a false date subjoined, (see p. 226-8 ante,) such conception is sufficiently accurate ; although the Count was of a different opinion. Pinelli had a strange notion that the volume was executed in 1495 : MS. Reviczky. Brotier had a high opinion both of its rarity and of its intrinsic value ; observing-' summum debet esse apud literarum amatores hujus editionis pretiuns, quam miror librorum rariorum indagatoribus fuisse incognitam.' Praf. Taciti. edit. 4to, $1 \% \% 1$. See also Ernesti, Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 392. Panzer refers only to Maittaire and Saxius; of whom it seems pretty obvious that the latter had a fuller knowledge of it than the former. See Maittaire's Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 752, note 1: Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. Dcxır, note (e.) The late Bishop of Ely thought it was executed between the years 1477 and 1480, at Milan, by the same printer who put forth the ' Panegyrici Veteres et Dictys Cretensis,' in $14 \% \%$. He adds-' Raritate vel Spirensem superat.' The contents of the volume will be seen from the following description of it.

The whole of the first leaf is occupied by the address of F. Puteolanus to Jacobus Antiquarius. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, a $i$, we read as follows:

CORNELII TACITI HISTORIAE AVGVSTAE. LI.XI. AC'IIONVM DIVRNALIVM.

Am Valerium Asiaticum bis consulem fuisse quōdam adultere eius credidit: pariterq; hostis \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 37 lines. There are head titles to the sereral chapters. The XXIst book of the Annals ends on the reverse of $\mathrm{x} v \mathrm{j}$. On the recto of z ( i , ) begins the Dialogue concerning the Ancient Orators, \&c. with this prefix:

## CORNELIITACITIAEQVITIS ROMANI DIALOGVS AN SVI SAECVLI ORATORES ANTIQVIORIBVS ET QVARE CONCEDANT.

This concludes on the recto of $\&$ vij, with the word FINIS : the reverse being blank. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, irregularly marked $y$, the treatise concerning the Manners of the Germans has this prefix:

## CORNELII TACITI ILLVSTRISSIMI HISTORICI DE SITV MORIBVS ET POPVLIS GERMANIAE LIBELLVS AVREVS.

ending on the reverse of y ix. On the following leaf, sign. $\Lambda$, the Life of Agricola commences thus:

## IVLII AGRICOLAE VITA PER CORNELIVM TACITū EIVS GENERVMCASTISSIME COMPOSITA.

Larorum uirorum facta moresq; posteris tra dere antiquitus usitatum : ne nostris quidem temporibus: quanq̣íicuriosa suorum ætas omi sit: quotiens magnaaliqua ac nobilisuirtusui \&c. \&c. \&c.

This biography, which is the editio princeps of the work, occupies 11 leaves; ending on the rectu of $\mathrm{B} v$, with the word FINIS beneath. The signatures of this edition are not a little capricious and irregular. They run thus: a has 7 leaves, exclusively of the first leaf, containing the epistle of Puteolanus: b, c, and $d$, have cach 8 leaves: e to $i$,
inclusively, are in sixes. $K$ to $t$ (having Arabic numerals on the first. four leaves of each signature, ) are in eights: $t$ to $z$ (onitting $y$,) have each 6 leaves : then $z$ and $\&$, in eights : next, $y$ with 9 leaves: lastly, A, 6-and B, 5 leaves. The date of this uncommon edition is probably as early as the year 1475 . The present is, upon the whole, a very fair copy; in red morocco binding.
462. Tacitus. Vita Agricole. Without Name of Printer, or Place. 1476. Quarto.

As this impression forms a part of the volume of Pliny's Panegyric of Trajan, of the supposed date of $14 \% 6$-which latter has been already pretty fully discussed, see p. 226-8 ante-it is only necessary here to remark, that it begins on the reverse of signature $f 4$ of the volume just referred to. The commencement is thus:

## IVLII AGRICOLE VITA PER CORNELIVM TA CITVM EIVS GENERVM CASTISSIME COM POSITA.

LARORVM VIRORVM facta moresq;
c posteris tradere antiquitus usitatum: ne no stris quidem temporiba: quanq̣ī icuriosa suo rum ætas omisit: quotiens magna aliqua ac \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 30 lines. The biography comprehends 13 leaves; exclusively of the half leaf upon which it begins, and the recto of $u$ ii upon which it terminates. In red morocco.
463. Tacitus. Germania. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

This is the edition which Morelli, upon the authority of Audiffredi, attributes to the press of Gensberg of Rome. Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 102. It is certainly the production of this printer, or of Schurenner; and whoever examines the note of Audiffredi, at p. 393, Edit. Rom., will see upon what slender and doubtful evidence the distinction between
these printers is marked. The letter, used by each, is allowed to be precisely similar; which an examination of the volumes respectively executed by these printers, in this Library, will confirm. Sehurenner is said to have used the oblong line, obliquely introdueed, to divide his final vowels; but Gensberg never used such mark in his most distinguished works of the year 1474. Audiffredi admits that ' ægerrime alter ab altero distingui possit:' p. 385, 393, note (1.) It remains briefly to describe the volume. On the recto of the first leaf we read the opening, thus:

> CAI . CORNELII . TACITI . EQVITIS RO . GERMANIA . INCIPIT.

> ERMANIA OMNIS A GALLIS. Rhetiisq; et Pannoniis . Rheno et Dannubio fluminibus. A. Sarmatis dacisq; mutuo metu aut montibus se \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 28 lines, and the inipression comprises 16 leaves. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. On the recto of the 16th and last leaf, after 8 lines of text, we read:
. Deo Gratias.
The present is a neat copy, in calf binding.
464. Tacitus. Germania. Supposed to be Printed by Creussner. Without Place or Date. Folio.

This thin but tall volume affords a beautiful specimen of early printing; the execution of it being given, with one consent, to Frederick Creussner-who exercised the typographic art at Nuremberg during the XVth century. The type is elegant and proportionate; partaking, in claaracter, of that of Lucas Brandis, of Koeburger, and of the large Gothic type of Gering, Crantz, and Friburger. The present copy of this rare volume is an extremely beautiful one ; presenting us, on the recto of the first leaf, with the commencement of the text, thus:


A full page has 33 lines; and on the recto of the 11th and last leafin the same line with the last line of the text (being the 16 th), we read as follows :

## \$aug dea clementitsimo.

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. Seemiller, Incunab. Typog. fasc. ii. p. 151, is brief but satisfactory; although I cannot agree with hin that the paper is ' minus firms.' The present desirable copy is in russia binding.
465. Tacitus. Opera. Printed by S. G. de Lothoringia Tullensis. Rome. 1515. Folio.

Editio Princeps of the first Five Books of the Annals. These Books were procured through the munificence of Pope Leo X. from the Abbey of Corvey, in Westphalia, at a considerable expense. The editor of the impression was the Younger Beroaldus. On the recto of the first leaf, nearly in the centre, we read the title and privilege, thus :

## . P. CORNELII TACITI LIBRI QVINQVE NOVITER IN VENTI ATQVE CVM RELIQVIS EIVS OPERIBVS EDITI.

Ne quid indra decennium presens opus possit alicubi impune imprimere ant impressum ven= dere grauissimis edicts cautum est.

The reverse is blank. The second leaf, and half of the third, are occupied by the prefatory address of the editor, to Pope Leo $\mathbf{X}$. On the reverse of the third leaf, is an 'Admonition to the Reader,' which is worth inserting in the note below.* The first of the newly-discovered Books of the Annals commences thus, on the recto of fol. 4.

[^107]The folios are numbered as far as the conclusion of these First five Books, which have the following subscription:

## P. COR. TACITI LIBER QVINTVS FINIT AD LAVDEM OMNIPOTENTIS DEI ET LEONIS . X. PONT . MAX.

The corrections and annotations of Beroaldus occupy the next 3 pages. On the recto of sign. O, we have the prefatory address of Puteolanus, prefixed to the XIth and following Book of the Annals; the intervening books never yet having been discovered. On the reverse of NNiiii, the XXIst book terminates thus:

## Cornelii Taciti Historiarum Libri. xxi. imperfecti \& re, liquorum qui ad hanc diem reperiuntur Finis.

The tracts De Moribus Germanorum, and Dialogus de Oratoribus Antiquis, \&c. succeed ; the latter concluding on the reverse of $Q Q$ vj. The Bull of Pope Leo X., dated November 1514, occupies the whole of the following leaf; and the 'Errors of the Press,' with a register beneath, are seen on the recto of the ensuing leaf $:-Q Q$ viii. The register informs us that in the two sets of alphabets, the whole of the first is in sixes, except A , which has eight ; and $\mathbf{M}$ and N , which hare only four and two leaves. In the second set, they are all sixes but $Q Q$, which has eight. The imprint, beneath, is as follows:

[^108]P. Cornelii Taciti Equitis Ro. Historiarum libri quinq; nuper in Germania inuenti ac cum reliquis omnibus eius operibus qué prius inueniebā̃̃ Rome impressi $p$ Magistrum Stephanum Guillereti de Lothoringia 'Tulleñ. dioc. Anno. M. D. XV. Kl'. Martii Leonis. X. Pont. Max. anno secūdo.

On the reverse of this leaf we have the Papal arms of Leo, and that Pontiff's offer of remuneration to those who should discover ancient works not hitherto edited-thus :


Nomine Leonis . X. Pont. Max. pro= posita sunt premia non mediocria his qui ad eum libros veteres neq; hactenus editos attulerint.

On the recto of the following leaf, sign. A, begins the Life of Agricola; which concludes the volume on the reverse of the 10th leaf from the commencement of the same biography; with the word FINIS. The Life contains but one signature, which las 10 leaves. It seems probable, from the appearance of the press-work, anll from the colophon having preceded the biography of Agricola, that the latter was printed subsequently to the execution of the foregoing portions of the volume, as a separate work. The reader may be pleased to consult the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 247, for some intelligence relating to this impression, not altogether uninteresting. The present fine copy was in the La Valliere Collection; and is in red morocco binding.

## 466. Terentius. (Supposed to have been printed by Mentelin, at Strasbourg.) Without Date. Folio.

Editio Princers. Twelve years ago* I remember to have experienced very great difficulty in ascertaining what bibliographers had considered to be the first impression of this popular author ; nor is such difficulty entirely removed at the present period. Perhaps the point can never be satisfactorily adjusted. It will, however, be necessary to preface the present order of arrangement ly a few observations.

Various dateless editions may be said to contend for the priority. Of these, we may formally notice the present one, by Mentelin: a second, by P. de Lignamine; a third, by George Laver-which two latter are described in the Edit. Rom. p. 412, but not with eopiousness; or with the satisfaction usually derivable from the descriptions of Audiffredi:-a fourth is from the press of Gering, Crantz, and Friburger: a fifth (the third in the present order) is executedin a rude Roman type, and unlike any other with which Lord Spencer or myself are acquainted : a sixth, evidently executed in the Sortensian Monastery; and a seventh, recently discovered, said to be executed in the large Gothic type of Fust and Schoeffer. There are unquestionably other

[^109]ancient, and hitherto superficially known, impressions of Terence.* The Chevalier d'Elci makes mention of fifteen dateless editions, in the whole, without signatures and catchwords; of which number he describes himself (in one of his letters to his Lordship) to be in the possession of twelve.

Laire has satisfactorily shewn that this first impression was executed by Mentelin, at Strasbourg. His description of it, although not so particular as is the present one, is copious and satisfactory -concluding thus-' banc principem editionem eamque circa annum 1468, prodisse, affirmare vix dubito.' Index Libror. vol. i. p. 51-4. The description of Laire was copied into the Dict. Bibliogr. Hist. et Crit. vol. iv. p. 404, by the younger Brunet, who edited this ivth volume, and who abridged the same account in his Manuel du Libraire,

[^110]vol. ii. p. 544. The copy described by Laire, as being in the collection of the Cardinal de Lomenie, was sold at the sale of the Cardinal's library for 1160 livres. This impression was wholly unknown to Maittaire, De Bure, Audiffredi, and Braun. Panzer refers only to Laire. We shall presently describe it with minuteness.

The edition supposed to have been executed in the Sortensian Monastery, has every appearance about. it of extreme antiquity, as the reader will particularly remark in the ensuing account of it. It is executed in the same type with which the Comedies of Aretinus, of the date 1478 , are printed, (see fac-simile of the latter, in the subsequent volume of this work:) and to which the subscription of the Sortensian Monastery is subjoined.* But does it hence follow that the Comedies of Terence were printed in that Monastery before those of Aretinus? I answer, that the art of printing, when introduced into monasteries, was introduced at an early date; witness, the works in the Soubiaco and Eusebian Monasteries, as noticed in vol. i. p. 194, 204. Yet in conformity with received opinions, and from a deference to that of the Noble Owner of this copy in particular, the Sortensian edition is here placed after that of the date of 1474.

The fourth and fifth impressions before noticed, will be found fully described as the second and third in the present order. In regard to the seventh, supposed to have been printed in the office of Fust and Schoeffer, we may be allowed to submit the following further preliminary remarks.

Brunet, in his Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 543, and in his Catalogue du Cabinet de $M^{* * *}$, p. 151, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 834, 1811, Svo., describes this edition as the production of Fust and Schoeffer. The volume is composed of 97 leaves, and has 34 and 35 lines in the fuller pages. It cominences, on the reverse of the first leaf, with a life of Terence, and the metrical order in each comedy is strictly observed. The type, as before noticed, is precisely similar to that with which the Mentz Bibles of 1462 and 1472 are executed. Brunet observes that the impression 'peut être regardée, avec beaucoup de fondement, comme la premiere de ce poete.' He adds that 'a lucky chance brought it to the knowledge of M. Dourches, an amateur, residing at Nancy; who communicated it to him'-and says, that 'it had escaped the researches of all bibliographers.' We now reach the chief point at issue. I contend, from

[^111]this description, that the edition by Fust and Schoeffer is posterior to four, at least, of those here noticed as preceding it. My reasons are these. All the editions, just briefly described, are without a life of Terence, and pay no attention to metrical order.* This may be thought to prove that there was less care, research, and regularity, in the compilation of these editions; and therefore there may be a probability of their superior antiquity. The biography of the poet, and an attention to his metre, seem to indicate an improvement adopted by Fust and Schoeffer, in consequence of the palpable inconvenince of preceding impressions, by not having attended to such regulation. In respect to the supposed year of its execution, arising from a consideration of the type, we may remark, that the date of 1472 is as applicable to the Mentz edition as that of $1462 ?!\dagger$ As to its intrinsic value, Brunet admits that 'he has compared it with those of a modern date, and found a few trifling variations only, connected with the transpositions of words or verses.' He says, however, ' that the metre is, in some places, very negligently observed.' Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 543-4. We now return to the impression by Mentelin, here still considered as the Editio Princeps.

On the recto of the first leaf we are presented with the following:

##  incipit feliciter.

## $\mathfrak{C x y i t a p h i u m ~ t e r e n t i j}$

> Ratug in extelpig tectig cartaginis alte fitomanig ducibus feclica preda fui ©egeripsi motes yominum iumemumg; ginuma; ©ualiter \& gerni orcipiant tominag Quia meretrix quit leno bolis confingat auarus Flee quicump; Iegit pic puto cantug exit.

[^112]The argument and prologne of the Andria ensuc; the latter concluding nearly half way on the reverse of this lirst leaf. The first scene of the play commences thus:

## Sima Sasia

Oss igte intro auferte. abit. gosia adesbum paucis te bolo. Sa. Dictum puta . Rempe
 ©uio egt quod tibi mea ars efficere foc porgit aumfi9 - ©id. Winil igitac apug egt arte ad yanc rem quā para \&c. \&ec. \&c.

A full page has 32 lines. On the recto of fol. 17 , the Andria concludes; followed by the dramatis personæ of the Eunuch, in 6 lines. On the reverse, the prologue to this latter play commences; and on the recto of the ensuing leaf, we read two arguments to the same play, succeeded by the opening of the first scene, thus :

> Byycoria Boarmeno
> Titu igit faciant non camz ne nume quide cax bltro accersor $z$ an potius ita me cōparcm non perpeti meretricum cō̃tumeliagz exclusit . reuo \& c. \&c. \&c.

On the reverse of fol. 35, the Eunuch concludes. It is followed by the dramatis personæ of the 'Hentontumeromenon.'* The recto of the ensuing leaf presents us with the argument and prologre of this play; the play itself beginning thus on the reverse of it:

> Cranes タ̧cnedemus
> Tlanquam fee inter nas muper noticia abmadia egt. inad abco guod agrum in proximo bic merca
\&c. \&c. \&c.

The Heautontimorumenos ends on the recto of the 17 th leaf from its commencement, inclusively. On the reverse of this same leaf, in the middle, without prefix, are the dramatis persone of the Adelphi. The recto of the following leaf presents us with the argument and prologue of this latter play; and on the reverse, at top, without prefix, we read the commencement of it, thus :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ©rax non redit yat . . . . . . . Mitio. \$enex }
\end{aligned}
$$

qui aduargum ierant . pfecto hoc bere dicunt
\&c. \&c. \&cc.

This play contains 16 leaves; ending on the reverse of the 16 th, with the prefix of the Hecyra beneath. On the recto of the following leaf are the argument and prologue to the Echyra. On the reverse, the play begins thus:

## Fohilatig Exira

©t poi quam pautog rexeriag meretricibus $\mathfrak{T}=$ oflex eutuire amatorex a gita. Tel bic pāphil9 furabat quotiens fachioi quā gante bti quiuis \&c. \&c. \&c.
and terminates on the recto of the 14th leaf ensuing it, exclusively : when we read the prefix to the argument, with a title, announcing the commencement of the Phormio, thus:

## 


The argument and prologue follow. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, the play itself opens thus:

> Greta ゆaumb
> IT quig me querct rufug. §a. foregto egt dewime ©be. ©hy at ego dhuiam conadar tibi dauc. ©a. Olecipe hem lectum egit. comueniet numerug quan \&c. \&cc. \&c.

The concluding lines of it, on the reverse of the 100th and last leaf, from the opening of the volume inclusively, are these:

$$
\mathfrak{f f a t} \mathfrak{f}_{\mathfrak{y}} \mathfrak{b b i} \overline{\mathrm{x}} \mathfrak{p h e}
$$

oria fudex nagter. Byy. Fam hit faxa axerit . . . Tlafete \& plaudite • $\mathfrak{C a l i o p i u g ~ r e c t y y i . ~}$
 Comici Comediarinm liber finit.

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The type resembles that with which the Valerius Maximus, (vide post,) and the Virgil, in the Valliere catalogue, (vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .2433$, see also post,) are executed. The paper is equally commendable for its colour and substance. This edition is inserted in the Catalogue of Mr. Edwards, 1794, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1103, without price.

I cannot conclude this elaborate article without subjoining the memorandum of the late Bishop of Ely, attached to his own copy of this ' precious' edition. 'Editionis infrequentia notanda est. Præter exemplar a Lairio memoratum, quod in Museum illud modufgudarion Viri nobilissimi Comitis Spencer transiit, nullum aliud tunc temporis cognitum fuisse exinde apparet. Et re verâ, mihi plusquam triginta annos editionum veterum notitiam undique diligenter conquirenti, hicce liber pretiosus me ante Lairium usque latuit, neque tertium exemplar in hat regione extare credo. Quod aliis classicorum, ut vocantur, Auctorum editionibus in Germania sub initio artis impressis accidit, in hâc abundè conspicitur. Correctorum curas non expertæ codices fideliter exprimunt, et e ipsa ruditate et corruptionibus materiam hominibus criticis veræ lectionis eruendæ in quâlibet paginâ abundè præbent. Operæ pretium foret poetæ suavissimi novam editionem ex hoc ipso archetypo contexere.'

The present is a clean and large copy of it, in green morocco, foreign binding. What is very singular, it retains the coeval ms. note of one Sigismond Meysterlin, the original owner of it:-which note will be found decyphered in Panzer's Annal. Typog. vol. iv. p. 224, $n^{\circ} .409$; as it was transmitted to Panzer by Seemiller, who discovered this identical copy, bound with the Valerius Maximus printed in the same character. The note is dated $14 \% 0$; and is written in Latin, in the secretary Gothic character, with the usual contractions of the age, in red ink.-It is to this effect: 'In the year of our Lord, $1470, I$ bought this edition of Terence, at the Noerdlingen Fair, for one florin (orrix dollar). The impression of Valerius Maximus was a gratuitous addition to the bargain. It is printed by the famous Adolfus de Inguilen,* whose face I never saw.'

[^113]
## 467. 'Terentius. (Printed by Gering, Crantz, and Friburger. Paris.) Without Date. Folio.

This uncommon impression seems to have escaped the knowledge of bibliographers. Panzer notices one ancient Parisian edition, of which some mention has been made in the first note at p. 404 ante. That edition appears to have been printed by Cæsaris and Stol, if the description of it in the Hohendorf Catalogue be correct. Denis, p. 674, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 5998, seems to depend upon this authority; and the Abbe Rive says that the edition is 'd'une exécution magnifique.' La Chasse aux Bibliographes, p. 10. From the colophon of such edition, as stated in the Bibl. Hohendorf, it is clear that it is a different impression from the present. There seems to be no doubt about attributing this publication to the press of Gering, Crantz, and Friburger. It is executed in the same type with which the Florus, Phalaris, and Sallust (see pp. 29, 231, 326 ,) are executed; and therefore, in all probability, it was printed between the years $14 \% 0$, and 1472 . I am not however prepared to assert that it was absolutely published before the year in which the impression of I. de Colonia was executed. On the recto of the first leaf, we read this prefix-followed by the epitaph upon Terence, the Argument, and 13 lines of the prologue to the Andria:

## Publii Terentii afri poete comici Andria incipit feeliciter.

On the reverse of this leaf, line 6th, the Andria commences thus:

## . Simo senex. . Sosia libertus.

Os istec intro auferte. abite. sosia adesdū paucis te uo lo. So. Dictum puta. nempe ut curentur recte hec. Si. \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 32 lines. The Andria concludes on the recto of the 15th leaf, including that upon which it begins, The Eunuch follows, and terminates on the reverse of the 30th leaf, from the first leaf of the volune. Next comes the Heautontimorumenos.

## Publii Terentii Afri Poete Comici Heautontymo rumenon incipit foliciter.

Including the Argument and Prologue, this play comprehends 15 leaves. On the recto of the following leaf, being the 46 th from the commencement of the volume, we read the Argument and Prologue to the Adelphi; which play, including this leaf, occupies 14 leaves. Then succeeds the Hecyra, without prefix, but having the argument and prologue. On the reverse of this leaf, the play begins thus :

## Phylotis meretrix Syra lena

Er pol "̈̈paucos reperias meretricibus fideles cuenire amatores syra. Vel hic pamphilus iurabat quoties ba \&c. \&c. \&.c.

On the reverse of the 12 th leaf, from its commencement, inclusively, it terminates. Then ensue the Argument and the Prologue to the Phormio, being the 72 nd leaf of the volume. On the reverse of this leaf the Phormio commences, and it concludes the volume on the reverse of the 86th leaf from its beginning, inclusively: occupying of itself 15 leaves. The annexed subscription are the last lines of the impression.

## Publii Terentii Afri Poatz Comici Comœdiar liber Finit Feliciteri

There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords; and the volume is printed upon paper of the usual stout quality of that which was used by the above early Parisian printers. The present is a large, sound copy, in calf binding. From the Collection of Dr. C. Chauncey.

## 468. Terentius. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

The present copy of this exceedingly searce volume is unluckily imperfect; but in regard to its antiquity, I am doubtful whether it may not rank even before the l'arisian impression just describec. Such is its rarity, it seems to have escaped the knowledge of all bibliographers, including even Morelli, Audiffredi, and Panzer. In regard to the place where it was printed, I strongly suspect that it was Brescia; but Lord Spencer has an inclination to attribute it to the press of Ruesinger. As to the period of its execution, it will be mere conjecture to aflix it; but I submit that it is not later than 1471 . This copy begins thus the preceding (probably two) leaves of the Andria, having been lost:
opus est hac fama inpulsus Cremes ultro ad me ue nit unicam gnatam suam cum dote summa filio u xorem ut daret placuit. despondi hic nuptiis dictus \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

The ensuing dialogue between Simo and Davus begins thus:

## Simo daus

On dubium est quin uxorem nolit filius ita dauum modo timere sensi ubi nupti as futuras esse audiuit. Sed ipse exit fo

$$
\& c . \& c . \& c .
$$

The Andria closes thus:
Da Eo ne expectetis dum exeant huc intus despō debitur intus transigetur siquid est quod restat. Valete \& plaudite ego caliopus recensui.

Errentii affri explicit andria Incipit Eunucus acta ludis megalēsibus uel postumio albino corneli o merula edilibus curulibus albinus turbio Lactilius penestrinus greca menandri acta secunda modula uit flaccus claudi tibiis duabus dextris M Valeri o C Nummio lauino Consulibus.

Froin such a specimen, the realer will observe the extreme rudeness and irregularity with which the impression is executed. The Eunuch, Heautontimorumenos, Adelphi, Phormio, and Hecyra succeed the Andria, in the order here described. The Phormio conclutes thus:

Et uos ualete \& plaudite. Ego calliopius recēsui
On the recto of the opposite leaf, fol. 107, from the beginning of the volume, we rearl this prefix to the Hecyra:

## Terrētii Affri Comici Poete Phormio Explicit

 Eiusdem Hechira Incipit feliciter.reverse of the 2nd leaf, including that upon which the prefix, above extracted, begins : thus-

## Terrentii Affri Cominci * poete Echira. Incipit

 Philotis meretrix. Sira lena anus. Scena prima. Erpol ${ }_{q}{ }^{\text {in }}$ paucos repias meretricibus Fi deles euenire amatores sira VeL hic pamphilus quotiens iu.abat bachidi im \&c. \&cc. \&c.On the recto of the 18th leaf ensuing, we read the termination, and a subscription, thus :
pme
no. Par Sequor equidem plus hodie boni feci ipru dens quam sciens ante hunc diē un"̈́. Et uos uale te \& plaudite Caliopius recensui.

## 'TERRENTII. AFFRI. COMICI. POETE. ECHIRA.FELICITER.EX PLICIT.

## AMEN.

The reverse is blank. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords; and a full page has 26 lines. This is the last of the three editions noticed in the preliminary observations affixed to the Editio Princeps of the author. The present copy is in very indifferent condition; in red morocco binding.
469. Terentius. Printed by John de Colonia. Venice. 1471. Folio.

This is the earliest impression of Terence with a printed date. De Bure had never seen a copy of it, but contented himself with the description in the Annal. Typog. vol. i. 307, of Maittaire. Crevenna has given a brief but exact account of it, in the first Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iii. p. 168-9, edit. 1\%75; and copies of it will be found in the Harleian, Smith, and Pinelli Collcetions. See Bibl. Harleian. vol. i. n. 3571 ; Bibl. Smith, p. cccclxvil; and Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. $37 \%$. The present
copy is the one which was in the Collection here last referred to. The first 3 pages of the first two leaves of it, are filled by a Life of Terence. The reverse of the 2nd leaf is blank. The recto of the 3rd leaf presents us with the epitaph upon Terence, the argument and 'proheme' or prologue, of the Andria. On the reverse of this 3rd leaf, the Andria begins thus:

## SIMO. SOSIA.

Os istec intro auferte : abite. Sosia Adesdum paucis te uolo. So. dictum puta Nempe ut curentur recte hec. Si. Immo aliud Quid est quod tibi mea ars efficere hoc possit āplig?
Si. $\mathbf{N}$ ihil opus est istac arte ad hanc rem quam paro S ed his quas semper in te intellexi sitas F ide \& taciturnitate. So. Expecto quid uelis. \&c. \&cc. \&c.

The other Plays succeed in the usual order; and a full page contains 34 lines. On the reverse of the 100 th leaf, (pencil-numbered,) we read the colophon thus:

Callipi calamo fuit exemplare reptum :
Vnde est īpressū quod legis hospes opus.
Vale uir optime Raphael Iouēzonius ister. P. emēdaui. Ioānes Agrippinae coloniae decus impressit. Anno domini nr̃i ihesu christi. M. cccc. Ixxi. diuo Nicolao throno uenetiarū duce.

Finis felix.
The present copy of this very rare volume is rather a soiled one. In red morocco binding.

## 470. Terentius. Printed by Sweynheym and

 Pannartz. Rome. 1472.Of equal, if not of greater scarcity than the preceding impression, is the one now under description. Audiffred is the only bibliographer who gives a particular account of it; although it were hardly worth the trouble for him to notice the merely titular description of it by La Caille, in his jejune work entitled Histoire de l'Imprimerie et de la Librairie, 1689, 4to. p. 17. See Edit. Rom. p. 99. This edition however-while it is evidently taken from a different and more perfect MS. than its precursors-and while it exhibits proofs that the printers have not scrupulously followed preceding impressions-may be thought to afford evidence that the inattention to metre, observable in its text, is no conclusive testimony of extreme antiquity of publication. See the arguments submitted at p. 404 ante. We proceed to a minute and faithful description of it. On the recto of the first leaf is a brief account of Terence, with this prefix :

## Terentius Apher genere: Ciuis uero Carthaginensis fuit.

Towards the conclusion of which-speaking of the gencral termination of the plays, 'ego Caliopius recensui'-the editor thus observes: - Recitator uero istarum fabularum non ipse extitit. Sed Caliopius quidam clarissimus ac sapientissimus uir cuius ope \& sustentatione : ac familiaritate ipse utebatur? Quo tali uiro recitante maiorem eius fabule captarent fauorem.' \&c.

A full and particular argument follows; succeeded, on the reverse of the leaf, by the epitaph upon Terence, a short argunent of the Andria, and the prologue to this play. Nearly in the centre of the recto of the 2nd and succeeding leaf, the first secne of the Andria commences thus:

## Simo. Sosia libertus.

Os istec intro auferte: abite. Sosia adesdī paucis te uolo. So. Dictum puta. nempe ut curent recte hec. Si. Immo aliud. So. Quid est qd mea ars efficere hoc possit a plius? \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 38 lines; and the impression is entircly destitute of
signatures, numerals, and catchwords. The Eunuch, Heautontimorumenos, Adeiphi, Hecyra, and Phormio, succeed in the order here described; each of which conclude with ' Explicit,' \&c. and begin with 'Incipit,' \&c.-whaterer the play may be. On the reverse of ful. 71, and last, we read the conclusion of the Phormio, thus :
ph. Me ad cenam uoca. na. pol uero uoco. ph. Eamus intro hinc. Na. Fiat. sed ubi è phedria iudex noster? Ph. Iam faxo aderit. Vos ualete: \& plaudite. Caliopius recensui. Finis 'Terentii Aphricani.

The six usual colophonic verses ensue: at the bottom of which is the date, thus:

M. CCCC. LXXII. die. VI. Octobris.

The present large and clean copy of this desirable edition, is in foreign calf binding, and was procured at Rome, by his Lordship's eldest son, Viscount Althorp. It is one of the most genuine copies of an ancient classic which I ever remember to have seen.
471. Donatus in Terentium. Printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. Rome. 1472. Folio.

I consider this to be the first of the three ancient editions of the Commentary of Donatus upon the Andria, Eunuch, Adelphi, Phormio, and Hecyra of Terence : the Heautontimorumenos never having received the annotations of Donatus, but those of Calphurnius. See Ernesti's Bibl. Lat. vol. i. p. 49, \&c. For reasons adduced in the subsequent article, the present impression is arranged in the order in which it here stands. The recto of the first leaf, without any titular prefix, gives us the biography of the poet, commencing thus:

Vblius Terentius Afcr Carthagine natus seruiuit Rome Terentio Lucano Senatori quod ob ingeniū \& formā non institutus modo liberaliter : sed \& mature manumissus ē. Quidā \&c. \&c. \&c.

The latter words, in the first three lines, being inserted in this copy in MS., I cannot vouch for their literal accuracy; but they are most probably correct. The recto of the second leaf presents us with a few Greek passages inserted; although, as Audiffredi has olserved, there are many lacunce to be supplied by the Greek characters-a circumstance a little singular, as Sweynheym and Pannartz had used them as early as the year 1469. It is to be observed that the plays of the comedian do not accompany these commentarics. A full page has 38 lines. On the reverse of fol. 188 and last, beneath the following last line,
uides igitur hic consultam uxoris iracundiam.
we read the usual (six) colophonic verses; having the date below, thus:

## M. CCCC. LXXII. <br> die. x. Decembris.

See the Edit. Rom. p. 101. The present is a large copy, but not free from soil. It is bound in red moroceo.
472. Donatus in Terentium. Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Venice. Without Date. Folio.

Bibliographers make mention of an edition of the Commentaries of Donatus, by V. de Spira, of the date of 1470 ; but such edition is entirely fictitious. Consult the Cat. de Gaignat. vol. i. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 15:8, and Bibl. Pinell. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 6311. De Bure, Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2608 , and Boni and Gamba, with better reason, assign the date of $14 \% 2$ to this impression of the Commentaries. Bibliot. Portat. vol. ii. p. 17. The insertion of Greek charucters is a sufficient demonstration that the edition could not have been executed before the year assigned to it by these latter bibliographers. Like the preceding and ensuing impressions, the text of the poet is not incorporated in the annotations. In regard to typographical clegance, it is, as Audiffredi remarks, and as might necessarily be expected, much superior to the previous edition by Sweynheym and Pannartz. We may be brief but particular in the account of it. On the recto of the first leaf, without prefix, we read as follows :

VBLIVS TERENTIVS Afer Carthagine natus: scruiuit Rome Terentio Lucano senatori:
a quo ob ingenium \& formam nō institutus mo,
do liberaliter: sed \& mature manumissus. Quidā
A full page has 41 lines. On the reverse of fol. 160, (pencil-numerals,) the subscription is thus :

Raphael zouenzonius tergestinus poeta Vindelino fpirensi suo sal'.

Qui cupit obstrusam frugem gustasse Terenti
Donatum querat noscere grāmaticū.
Quem Vindelinus signis impressit ahenis Vir bonus: \& claro preditus ingenio.

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The present is a large but indifferent copy, in red morocco binding.
473. Donatus in Terentium. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

The present impression is briefly described in Laire's Index Libror. vol. i. p. 128, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .78$; and is there called ' Editio verè princeps et ignota, circa 1472.' The letter R particularly distinguishes it ; as has been before observed in the description of certain editions noticed at pages $275-6$, and 347 . Whether this letter, formed according to the fac-simile in vol. i. p. 40, be the criterion of the Roman type of Mentelin, it is probably difficult to determine. We proceed to the volume itself. On the recto of the first leaf, without prefix, begins a life of Terence thus:

> VBLIVS TEREN'IIVS AFER Carthagine natus: seruiuit Rome Te rencio Lucano senatori. a quo ob inge nium \& formam non institutus modo liberaliter: ff \& mature manumissus. \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 35 lines. The text of the author is not printed. On the recto of the 250 th and last leaf (according to an ancient ms.
numeral upon the reverse of the last) the commentary of the Phormio concludes, with this distich subjoined:

## Qui cupit obstrusam frugem gustasse Terenti <br> Donatum querat noscere grammaticum.

The reverse is blank. The volume is without numerals, signatures, and catchwords; and was, I should apprehend, executed not later than 1472. The present is a large and most desirable copy of it, in red morocco binding.

## 474. Terentius. Supposed to have been printed at Venice. Without Date. Quarto.

Morelli seems to have had an high opinior of the antiquity of this edition : observing, that 'it had escaped the knowledge of the principal bibliographers, and was almost wholly unknown to the editors of Terence.' He places it as the first in the list of the impressions of this author which were in the Pinelli Collection. But the edition had before received the same mark of distinction by Paschali, in the Bibl. Smith, p. ccuclxvir; where it is called 'Editio præclara ac princeps :' see also the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii, no. 4993. Morelli adds,-- 'haud exigua commendatio editioni huic accedit, quam scilicet Antonius Moretus Brixiensis vir doctus curavit.' Upon which Count Reviczky remarks, 'An editionem ipsam curaverit Moretus, an codicem tantum, ex quo ducta est, correxerit, dubitari potest, ob mendorum, non tantum typographicorum, sed vel maxinue orthographicorum, frequentiam. Ceterum, hic ille est Antonius Moretus, immodicus proprii jactator honoris, qui impudenti audacia Epistolas Campani et Pomponii Læti, ad alios scriptas, suo nomini inscriptas, publicare non est veritus,' \&c. MS. Memoranda.

Of Moretus, I find no account in Fabricius, Baillet, Niceron, or Saxius; but on consulting the Litteratura Brixiana, 1739, 4to. p. 54, it is there observed that he was a young man in the year 1476 ; when Calphurnius requested him to make a kind of abridgment of his Epistles, prefixed to the Venetian impression of Terence, of the same date. The author of the work here referred to, adds-' Moretum hunc doctis illius atatis viris, non Calphurnio tantum, notum fuisse reperio, ac valde commendatum, quippe qui et laboriosum munus Correctoris, quo
nullum Typographicæ Arti magis necessarium, diligentissime exercebat, et una simul singularis eruditionis laude florebat.' The inference to be drawn from this authority, and from the general aspect of the volume about to be described, warrants us in assigning a date to the edition at least not earlier than that of 1474 ; or rather perhaps 1476. It is therefore more from courtesy, than from conviction, that this impression stands in its present order.

On the recto of the first leaf, at top, we read this prefix to the epitaph upon the author:

## LIBER TERENTII AFRICI COMICI INCIPIT FOELICITER.

The argument and prologue follew. On the reverse of the same leaf the Andria begins thus:

## S IMO SENEX. SOSIAS COQVS

OS. Istec intro auferte abite. Sosia
A desdum paucis te uolo. So. dictunn puta N empe ut curentur recte hæc. Si. immo aliud
So. Q uid est. quod tibi mea ars efficere \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

The impression ends with the Hecyra, thus:
H odie bōi feci imprudens : $\underset{q}{\text { © }}$ sciens āte hunc diem. O Plaudite. Calliopius recensui.

Quite at bottom, we read

## antonivs moretvs acha DEMICVS EMENDAVIT.

A Life of Terence, ' EXCERPTA EX DICTIS. D. F. PETRARCAE,' comprehending the two ensuing, and last leaves, close the volume; with this subscription :

This Life is precisely similar to that which is prefixed to J . de Colonia's edition of 1471 . There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The volume contains 125 leaves. The present copy, in blue morocco binding, was in the linelli Collection.

## 475. Terentius. Printed by J. de Colomia.

 Without Date. Folio.This reprint of his first edition, by J. de Colonia, seems to have escaped bithiographers. It was probably executed before the Milan impression of $\mathbf{1 4 7 4}$, and is therefore introdueed in the present order. On the reverse of the first leaf begins the Life of Terence, with this prefix :

Terentij gita excerpta de dictis. d. F. Petrarce.
As before, it occupies 3 pages. On the recto of the third leaf, we read the general title, or the order of the plays, thus :
Terētij aphri pocte comici liber i sex diuisus comoedias: quą $\begin{aligned} & \text { p} \\ & \text { ma }\end{aligned}$ Andria. secūda Eunuchus, tertia Heautōtumerumenon. quarta Adelphe. quita Phormio. sexta et bltima Hechíra nūcupatur.

A full page has 34 lines; and the impression is entirely destitute of numerals, signatures, and catchwords. The metre is uniformly attended to. On the reverse of the 99 th and last leaf, we read the ensuing subscription :

Ex Eusebio de temporibus.
P. Terentius carthaginensis comoediaz scriptor ob ingeniū \& formā libertate donatus in Archadia moritur: qui primam Andriam anteïg edilibus bendereĩ Cecilio multū se miranti legit.

The present is a large copy; bound in blue morocco.

## 476. Terentius. (Supposed to have been printed by Valdarfer. Milan). 1474. Folio.

Although Saxius does not pretend to assign this edition to the press of Christopher Valdarfer, and moreover appears never to have himself seen it, yet, on the authority of the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 117, $\mathrm{n}^{0} .2573$, it may with safety be attributed to this printer. See the Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. xcvir, xcviil, dlxir, note k. The account in the catalogue of Count Reviczky, as referred to by Panzer, is little better than a repetition of what appears in the La Valliere catalogue; where we find that, from the similarity of the types of this impression to those of the Orations of Cicero, noticed at p. 355 of the first volume of this work, the foregoing conclusion is sufficiently reasonable. Valdarfer had, in the year 1475 , left Venice, and resided entirely at Milan.

Our labours, in the arrangement of the present and of the several future editions of Terence, are now of comparative ease. On the recto of the first leaf begins a Life of Terence, with a prefix in two lines of capital letters. This concludes with many verses in praise of the poet. The last four of these are as follow ; on the recto of the 2nd leaf.

> Leuibus atq; utinam scriptis adiuncta foret uis
> Comica: ut æquatus uirtus polleret honore Cum græcis : neq; lac despectus ī parte iacercs Vnum hoc doleo \& maceror tibi esse Terenti. FINIS.

The reverse is blank. On the recto of the 3 d leaf the prefix to the argument of the Andria is in 4 lines, capital letters. The play itself begins on the reverse. To each scene there are titles in capitals; and a full page contains 33 lines. The impression concludes with the Hecyra, on the reverse of the 104th and last leaf, thus:

## FINIS.

## M.CCCC.LXXIIII. PRIDIE NONAS AVGVSTI.

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. Count Reviczky has remarked that this impression is taken from a different MS. to that of the date of $\mathbf{1 4 7 1}$. The present is rather a soiled copy of it; in red-morocco binding.

## 477. Terentius. (Printed in the Sortensian Monastery.) Without Date. Folio.

There seems to be little doubt but that this is the edition which Maittaire describes at p. 391, note *, of his Annal. Typog., as being printed in 'character Gothicus, et valde informis' - and which has been already mentioned at the end of the note, p. 402 ante. Maittaire thought the date of MCCCCLXIX. ' manu adscriptum fuisse;' but it has been shewn that, although printed, such date is posterior to that of the execution of the volume. Lord Spencer, who has seen this copy in the Blenheim Library, informs me that the date is printed in Gothic capitals, at a considerable distance, below, from the subscription. $\dagger$ These Gothic numerals are probably similar to those before-mentioned as being subjoined to an edition of Lucan at Halle; vide p. 143 ante. Braun, who is somewhat copious concerning this edition, does not venture upon a conjecture respecting the period of its execution. He is a believer in the Zarotus impression of $14 \% 0$; but when he says that bibliographers 'have observed a profound silence' respecting the present edition, he forgets that this is no other than the one of which Westerhovius, Maittaire, and Hare had given some description to the public. Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i. p. 83-4. As to the exact period of the execution of it, I am disposed to think that it may be much earlier than that of the Comedies of Aretinus, printed at the same place in the year 1478 : see p. 403.

On the recto of the first leaf, at top, we read this prefix:

## Bublij ©erentij 3ffei paete comici commendariuz lifer Incipit facliciter.

Beneath, is the epitaph of Terence, as before, and the argument to the Andria. On the reverse is the prologue to the same play. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, after the 4 th line, the first scene commences according to the ensuing fac-simile. $\ddagger$
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## Sumo <br> Sofia

## Os iftec introauferterabite folia -acefoñpaus

## cis'te volo. So- Dictumputa • IRempe vt curē

## turrecte tect-Si - Immo alino. So. Guio efto uco

## tibi mea ars efficere boc poffit amplimes. Si - Ribil


#### Abstract

On the recto of the 28th leaf, the Andria terminates, and the prefix to the Eunuch is printed. On the reverse of the same, is the prologue to the play. Then the arguments on the ensuing leaf: the play itself beginning almost at the bottom of the reverse of this leaf. The Eunuch comprehends 32 leaves, including that upon which the Andria ends, and the prefix to the Heautontimorumenos is inserted. Two pages and a half, of argument and prologue, precede the Heautontimorumenos; which play occupies the 26 ensuing leaves; ending on the recto of the 26th, thus:


##  mici fleutontumeromenon finit.

The Adelphi follows; preceded by an argument and brief prologue. The play itself occupies 27 leaves. It is followed by the Hecyra; having half a page of argument, and two pages and a half of prologue. The play comprehends 23 leaves; and is succeeded by the Phormio, which has 2 pages of argument and prologue. Next follow the 29 leaves upon which the play is printed; ending quite at top of the recto of the 29 th, in the fullowing manner :


 Dite. $\mathbb{C a l i o x i u y ~ r e c e n s u i . ~}$

##  Comediarum lifer finit.

The reverse is blank. A full page contains only 19 lines. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catclawords. The present fine copy of this rare and desirable impression, is elegantly bound in green morocco.

## 478. Terentius. Printed by Hermanus Levilapis Coloniensis. Treviso. 1477. Folio.

With the Commentaries of Donatus and Calphurnius. Panzer has borrowed the whole of Maittaire's (rather copious) deseription of this desirable and elegantly printed edition. He refers also to the copies of it which were in the Valliere, Crevenna, and Lomenic Collections. See Maittaire, vol. i. p. 376 , note 1; Panzer, vol. iii. p. 34. We may be brief but particular in our account of it. On the recto of the first leaf, (A 2,) begins a Life of Terence, by Donatus, which ends on the reverse of the 4 th leaf, sign. A 5. It is succeeded by an illustration of the plot of the Andria; and the author's argument, in the usual metre, follows on the recto of the ensuing leaf. This metrical argument, as well as the entire text of Terence, is printed in a large and elegant Roman type; but the Life and the Commentaries, are uniformly in a small, but neat and extremely legible, Roman type. Indeed, if the lines of this latter type, were printed with a little more attention to evenness, I hardly known where the reader could be referred to a more pleasant and legible eharacter. At the first glance this small type may be supposed to be similar to that used by Pannartz, (see p. 345 ante,) but it is essentially different. We proceed in our description.

The reverse of the 5th leaf is blank. The recto of the 6th leaf presents us with the prologue of the Andria, surrounded, as is the entire text of the author, by the elaborate annotations of the commentator. On the recto of the 7 th leaf, the play itself immediately follows the prologue, thus:

## Simo Senex : Sosia Seruus.

Os istæc intro auferte. abite. sosia
Adesdum. paucis te uolo. So. dictum puta
Nēpe ut curētur recte hxc. Si. immo aliud. So. quid est Quod tibi mea ars cfficere hoc possit amplius?

The Greek words introduced in the commentary are somewhat barbarous; although they partake of the character of those used at the Venetian presses. The first set of signatures extends to $L$ : $A$ and $L$ each having 10 leaves, (including a blank leaf prefixed to A, and a blank leaf at the end of $L$,) and the rest 8 leaves. The commentary to the Adelphi commences with a fresh set of signatures, which extends from a to $l$, in eights : the last leaf of 1 being blank. The Heautontimorumenos, exclusively, has the commentary of Calphurnius. On the reverse of 1 vij , we read the epitaph, here called ' præfatio (fuædam,' upon the author; beneath which is the colophon, thus:

> Lepidas elegantesque Terentii Comœdias cum Donati interpretis commentario iuxta fidele Calphurnianæ castigationis exemplar: doctrinam studiumq; Calphur= nii Hieronymo Bononio enixe commēdante Hermanus Leuilapis Colonienșis probatissimus librariæ artis exa_ ctor summa confecit diligentia.

## Taruisii Anno Christi . MCCCCLXXVII.

. XIV, KL. Octobres.
Below, we read some commendatory verses of Jerom Bonnonius, which shall find a place in the subjoined note.* The present is a clean and desirable copy, in calf binding, gilt leaves, with a red morocco back.
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## 479. Terentius. Printed by Nicolas Girurdengus. Venice. 1479. Folio.

This edition also contains the commentaries of Donatus and Calphurnius. The text is printed in a large and handsome letter, and the surrounding commentary in a type of smaller dimensions, but not so diminutive as is that of Hermanus Levilapis. The register, on the recto of the last leaf, does not quite accurately inform us of the order of the signatures: which, however, run thus-a, including a blank leaf prefixed, has 10 leaves: $b, c$, and $d$, have each 8 leaves; $e, f$, and $g$, each $6 ; h, 8 ; i, k, l$, each $6 ; \mathrm{m}, 4$ leaves; mm, $n, o, p$, and $q$, each $8 ; r, 6$; $\mathrm{s}, 8 ; \mathrm{t}, 6$; u and x , each 8 ; y and $Z$, each 6 leaves; and $\&, 8$ leaves. On the reverse of $\& v i j$, at bottom, we read the following colophon:

Impressum Venetiis. per Nicolaum girardengum : recogni tūq; $\mathfrak{q}$ Magistrū Franciscū dianā sub Anno Dnī. M. CCCC. LXXVIIII. die. XV. Decembris

The following, and last leaf, has a register on the recto of it. Panzer refers to copies in the Valliere and Pinelli Collections; and also to the present one, which was in the Reviczky Library. It is a sound desirable copy, in old red morocco binding.

## 480. Terentius. Printed by Genexius del Cerro. Parma. 1481. Folio.

Neither Affo nor Panzer seems to have been acquainted with this impression; nor am I just now able to refer to any printed catalogue which contains a copy of it. Its rarity therefore is considerable. The recto of the first leaf, a $i$, presents us with the beginning of the Life of Terence, from Petrarch, as usual ; which biography terminates at the bottom of the reverse of the same leaf. On a 2, recto, we read the epitaph or preface, the argument, and 20 lines of the prologue. On the reverse, the Andria begins as usual. The impression appears to be only a reprint of some one of its precursors. There are signatures, from a to $l$, which are rather capriciously numbered: a to $h$, are in eights and sixes alternately: $h, i$, and $k$, are each in six : then $l$, vol. Il.
with only four leaves; concluding the volume with the Hecyra, on the reverse of 14 , thus:

## PVBLII TERENTII AFRI POETAE COMICI ANDRIAE. FINIS.

Impressum Parmæ per me Genexium del Cerro Anno Natiuitatis Domini. M. CCCCIXXXi. pridie Kl’. Augusti. Regnāte Illustrissimo prīcipe IoāneGaleazio MariaDuce Mediolani.

It may be necessary to add, that this edition contains only the text of the poet. With the exception of a few soiled leaves, the present is a clean and desirable copy, in green morocco binding.

## 481. Terentius. Cum Directorio, Glossa, et

 Commentaris. Printed by Grïninger. Strasbourg. 1496. Folio.The reader has already (at page 94) * had some intimation of the existence of this very curious edition; which was printed before the Horace, so copiously described and illustrated in the foregoing pages, and executed by the same typographical artist. In the present impression the printer is called, properly, Grüninger; in that of the Horace, Gürninger: vide ante. Prosper Marchand, in his Dict. Hist. Typog. vol. i. p. 289-294, has a valuable and elaborate account of the productions of Grüninger; and places this impression as the ixth in the copious list of his works.

Well might Freytag begin his excellent description of it in these words - ' Editio valde infrequens, literis expressa romanis, innumerabilibus fere figuris ornata est, ligno incisis, quæ ita comparatae sunt, vt ad risum, commouere intuentem queant.' Adparat. Literar. vol. iii. p. 590. We shall notice Mittarelli's account of it in the course of our description; but may here just observe, that, in respect to these ' risible figures,' the same author remarks - 'Ad omnes actus scenasque expressæ sunt Comicorum figuræ, ligneæ quidem, sed affabre compositæ, quæ legentium oculos ad se trahunt.' App. Libror. Sacc. xv. Impress. col. 442-3.

[^116]The title page is equally splendid and curivus. The title itself informs us that the edition contains a Directury of Words and Sentences -an Interlineary Gloss-and the Commentaries of Donatus, Guido, and Ascensius. Beneath, is a large wood-cut : 9 inches $\frac{5}{8}$, by 6 inches and $\frac{5}{8}$. This cut may be said to be divided into two compartments. Above, beneath a splendid canopy, in the florid Gothic style, the audience is represented as viewing the performance of one of the plays. There may be said to be two tiers of boxes. Of the upper tier, and with a part only of the canopy above it, the following is a facsimile :


A similar, but larger, range or tier of boxes is immediately below it. Beneath, we observe what may be called either the Pit, or the Side Scenes to which the actors retire. The two figures in the foreground are Actors, and concerned in the conduct of the Play.


To the right of them, stand an actress and actor in the fullowing not inexpressive attitudes :


To the left，is another acting pair ：beneath，we read the word THEA－ TRVM．The reverse of this first leaf is blank．On the recto of the ensuing leaf begins the Index of Words，which occupies 5 pages； ending at the bottom of the recto of fol． 4 ；and having the word EINIS irregularly，printed for FINIS．On the reverse of this 4th leaf begins the

## 边保etoríu adasionu

followed by the

## 马隹rectoriū artis Comice

An admonition，or Advertisement，in 43 lines，follows this latter －Directory ；having，below it，the Epitaph of Terence as befure．A Life of the Comedian follows on the recto of the ensuing leaf；haring a large and inelegant Gothic initial D preceding it．The Life is printed，as are the text and commentaries，in the Roman type．The interlineary version is in small and barbarous Gothic characters．On the reverse of this 6 th leaf，we have precisely the same wood－cut（with the omission of the title）as forms the frontispiece to the volume．On fol． I ，and sign． b ，recto，is the argument．On the reverse is a large wood－cut ；presenting us with a bird＇s－eye view，quite to the summit of it，of the characters in the play，a ship，and various houses，\＆．c．The figures，denoting these characters，are at full length，of the dimensions of the ensuing fac－similes；and they are，occasionally，connected with each other，according to the parts which they have to play，by stiff straight lines drawn directly across－sometimes from nearly one ex－ tremity to the other－of the cut．On the recto of the following leaf， we read at top

## Argumenti lucidior scd＇m hanc figuram declaratio

Beneath，we observe a figure of the often－quoted Calliopius；＊of which I shall give the ensuing fac－simile－as well as of another figure of the same person，introduced at the end of the＇Phormio：＂

[^117]

The Prologue immediately follows ; and on the recto of ' Jofium III,' we have the first specimens of the ' risible figures' noticed by Freytag. The reader therefore will now be pleased to indulge me in the novel plan of classing these figures; so as to bring, immediately under the eye, the various casts of characters observable in the originals. As there is little of critical importance in the impression, we may relieve ourselves, in this long and elaborate account of 'the early editions of Terence, by selecting, from the present one, what is equally curious and entertaining. Nor will such an exhibition be wholly useless; since it will serve to shew what were the costumes in rogue at Strasbourg during the latter part of the xyth century-and what were among the very first attempts of representing dramatic characters by means of the graphic art. To the curious in the History of early Engraving, such representations will be considered as something beyond mere decorations to the work. In the first place then, I submit fac-similes of those figures intended to represent the lower, or menial characters:


Fol. in, recto; and fol. cixir. recto. Of the well known Davus, we have several representations. Three of them are here subjoined :


Of the Female Characters, the following are curious specimens:


The foregoing are taken from fol. viil, recto; fol. xiv, reverse; fol. xxi, recto; fol. xxxix, reverse; fol. xlvi, recto; and fol. Lxix, reverse. Most of them occur, as well as the one of which a fac-simile has been given at p. 91 ante, frequently in the course of the volume. We have also, at fol. Lxxiv, reverse, and clif, recto, (figures frequently repeated) very exact representations of modern dress: the woman, in the latter place, carries a Ridicule. Were it not for the number of female figures already introduced I should be inclined to gratify the admirer of ancient costume with these latter. Of the Men, 1 shall now bring forward a variety of specimens; exhibiting characters of opposite casts, but many of them delineated with a spirit and truth by no means common or despicable. I must however premise that, there will be found numerous instances of thesc identical figures in the Horace, of which fac-similes have been already given at p. 91-2 ante.


These are taken from folios $8 \times x i v$, reverse ; xivil reverse ; and cxil, recto; but they are repeatedly occurring in various contradictory places.

The following are of a more lively cast of character ; and are taken from folios cxiri, recto; cxlinir, recto ; and cl, reverse-but they are also of frequent occurrence in the course of the impression.


A still more animated group is that of which the ensuing affords a facsimile : the figure to the right being one of the most frequent in the Play (the Adelphi, fol. xcir, recto,) in which it occurs. This combating group is not, however, as far as I can discover, repeated in the Play. Those who are in possession of an ancient French translation of Terence, of the date of 1539, and entitled Le Grant Therence, \&c.* may observe how very much the ensuing scene is there softened in the graphic representation of it.

[^118]

We may contrast these by the following sober delineations of Old Age:



See folios inf, recto; lx, reverse; lv, recto; cix, recto; and cxinir, reverse. There are yet other similar characters, which have equal, and perhaps in the estimation of ether beholders, superior merit: but enough has been shewn to prove that the artist has, devoted no small portion of attention and skill to the delineation of the more ancient personages of the Drama.

Let us now conclude the whole with the representation of the marriage of the Happy Couple,' Pamphilus and Philomena, exhibited in the last large wood-cut,* prefixed to the play of the Hecyra. The straight line, uniting each, is an illustration of what was said at page 429 ante. + The figure of an old man, (in the original) to the right of Philomena, with a broad Turkish scymitar (frequently repeated) has great merit: - but some bounds must be placed to the expenses of decoration.

[^119]

Such is the copious, and it is presumed not uninteresting, illustration of this extraordinary volume. I must add, that these fac-similes have been executed with the most rigid attention to accuracy, and to what they are professed to be. In the course of the original work, the reader will observe that the impressions frequently vary in the execution ; being sometimes exceedingly blurred, and at other times distinct. The artists therefore have faithfully attended to the lines, whether outer or inner ones; and the difference of effect, on comparison between the originals and their copies, is solely attributable to the superiority of modern workmanship, and to the blackness of the ink with which this work is printed.

It remains to conclude the bibliographical description of this impression. The leaves are numbered on the rectos, with the exception of the first five ieaves, which have neither numerals nor signatures; but the printer will never be 'avantageusement distingué entre les personnes de sa profession,' (as Marchand is pleased to designate him,) for
the accuracy of his folial numerals. Luckily, we are here assisted by the signatures, which appear to run thus - b 8 ; c 8 ; d 6 ; e 6 ; although the first four are marked as far as e iiij; the same may be said of $\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{h}$, and i -each having but 6 leaves: from i to z , inelusively, we have also the same number of leares to each signature - then A, B, C, D, E, each with 6 leaves; and $F$ with 7 leaves. On the reverse of $F$ vij, we read,

## Guidonis Iuuenalis Natione Genomani epigramma: supera causa operis suscepti

in 12 verses; followed by

> Ioannis Egidij Nuceriensis
> Épigramma ad iuuenes
in 40 verses. Both these epigrams are reprinted in Mittarelli, col. 442-3. Beneath, we have the following imprint :

Immpressum in Imperiali ac vrbe libera Argentina Per ma= gistrum Ioannē Grüninger accuratissime nitidissimeq; elabo ratū \& denuo reuisum atq; collectum ex diuersis commētarijs Anno incarnatiōis dominice Millesimo quaterq; centesimono nagesimofexto. Kalendarū vero Nouembrium. Finitfoliciter.

The present is a sound copy; in calf binding.

## 482. Theocritus. Idyllia XVIII. Græcè.

 Supposed to have been printed at Milan, in the Year 1493. Folio.Editio Princeps. It may be as well for the reader to turn for one minute to p. 43 ante, and there read what has beeu said concerning the Opera et Dies of Hesiod, which is subjoined to the present impression. He may also there correct what has been erroneously supposed to be a just inference of Count Reviczky, that the Hesiod was joined to the Isocrates of the date of 1493 : whereas it is indisputable that it forms the latter part of the present publication. At p. 97, ante, will be found a fac-simile of the type of the text with which this inpression also is executed. The titles, in red capital letters, are similar, in form, to
those in the Milan Psalter of 1481 : sce vol. i. p. 125. We will now describe this impression particularly. On the recto of $a b$, the first Idyllium commences thus:

## 

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Oúpous r̈ } \omega \dot{\partial} \dot{\gamma} \dot{\eta} . \\
& \text { סúтi тò 廿ıи́gı } \sigma \mu \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \&c. \&c. \&c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

A full page has 30 lines. The prefixes to the Idyllia are uniformly printed in red capital letters. The signatures run thus : A has $\%$ leaves; $B, \Gamma$, and $\Delta$, have each 8 leares. On the reverse of $\Delta$ viij, all that remains is the following :

## Ténoo toũ $\theta$ eoxgírou

On the recto of the following leaf, E i, begins the Opera et Dies of Hesiod; for which, see p. 43 ante. Warton and Valcknaer, in the prefaces to their respective editions of Theocritus, treat copiously of the present impression. Morelli, in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 302, has a brief but sensible memorandum upon the antiquity and rarity of this edition; which, as he properly observes, wants numerals and catchwords. It was unknown to Fabricius, Maittaire, and Reiske. Consult also Harles's Introd. Ling. Grac. vol. i. p. 512; and his Fabric. Bibl. Grac. vol. iii. p. 779. The supposed carlier impression, printed in the types of the Greek Gramnar of Lascaris, which Panzer notices in his 4th vol. p. 361 , seems to be an error first propagated by liandini; for this latter bibliographer, in his Cat. Cod. Grac. in Bibl. Medic. vol. iii. p. 424, thought the present edition was printed by the Junta, in $149^{\circ}$, -as he found a copy of it at the end of the Lascaris of 1480 . But, in his

Juntar. Typog. Annal. Bandini relinquished this opinion. The foregoing observations are taken from the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 272. The present beautiful copy was formerly in the Pinelli Collection, and was purchased at the sale of the library by Count Reviczky for 31l. 10s. It is in blue morocco binding.

## 483. Theocritus. Idyllia XXX. Græcè.

Printed by Aldus. Venice. 1495. Folio.

## 484. Idem Opus. Græcè. Printed by the Same. Venice. 1495. Folio.

- Editio secunda : containing Thirty Eclogues of Theocritus, and various Greek Opuscula.* Reiske, who was in possession of a copy of this work, and has described it minutely in the preface to his edition, imagined that there were two distinct editions of the Aldine Theocritus (in the same year), owing to some variations which he discovered. Warton observes "There are two impressions, but it is the same edition." $\dagger$ The following are the important remarks of Renouard: "I have examined, from one end to the other, two distinct copies of this Aldine edition of Theocritus, and I am well assured that there is but one edition of the work; ten leaves have been reprinted, with important corrections and additions; that is to say, p. 77 to 80 , and p. 85 to p. 100. To distinguish the copies from each other, the earliest impression has, on the first page of the sheet $z \mathrm{~F}$, two verses, of which the last word is divided, so as to make the latter syllable or syllables form a separate line, thus :

| $\lambda . \sigma \sigma \alpha$ | $\mu^{\prime} \dot{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\alpha^{2} \delta \omega$ |  |

15
the latter impression has each verse in one line. The back of the last sheet G, in the latter impression, contains the verses on the

[^120]death of Adonis: in the earlier impression it is left blank. No doubt, continues Renouard, "but the latter impression is the more valuable, though the first may be rarer" - " mérite trop peu réel pour faire choisir de preférence un livre moins ample et moins correct." 'The preceding is also quoted in the Introd. to the Clussics, vol. ii. p. $9 \% 3 \cdot 4$. But some other marks of distinction are subsequently stated. We may be yet more particular.

On the recto of the first leaf, in both impressions, we read the Greek and Latin titles; the latter of which have been given at length in the last note: but the first few lines of the former, appertaining to the present impression, are as follow :
$\mathrm{TA}^{\prime} \triangle \mathrm{E}$ ENE $\mathrm{ET} \mathrm{E}^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ TH، $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ TH، BI'B $\lambda \Omega$ 。



\&c. \&c. \&c.
Hæc sunt in hoc libro
Theocriti Eclogæ triginta.
Genus Theocriti \& de inuentione bucolicorum.
On the reverse of this leaf, in both impressions, is an address of Aldus to his former tutor Baptista Guarinus ; a part of which may be interesting to the reader.

Hūc uero librum tibi dicamus Preceptor
Excel. tum mea in Veronenses beniuolentia (debeo enim plurimum Veronēsibus. Nam a Gaspare Veronensi peregregio grāmatico didici Romæ latinas litteras, a te uero Ferrariæ \& latinas \& græcas). $\dagger$

On the recto of the following leaf, in either impression, A. A 11, the text of Theocritus begins thus:
$E^{\prime} I \Delta \Upsilon^{\prime} \Lambda A I O N$ ПP $\Omega$ TON.
$\Theta \Upsilon^{\prime} P \Sigma I \Sigma \mathrm{H}^{\prime \prime} \Omega^{\prime} \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\prime}$.

* Sic.

4 Renouard has briefly alluded to this address in his Life of the Elder Aldus, p. B, note. His description of the above editions is comparatively brief.

 тvб $\alpha i \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \tau i ́ v \alpha$ $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \pi о \tau i$ таїs $\pi \alpha \gamma \alpha i \sigma ॰ ~ \mu \varepsilon \lambda i \sigma-$


$$
\& c . \& c . \& c .
$$

A full page has 30 lines．The termination of the xvirth Bucolic，on the reverse of E．E． I ，is precisely the same，in both impressions，as are the four verses given in the last extract，from the Milan impression． Then follows

> EПITA'ФIO乏 BI' $2 N O \Sigma$ BOYKO' $\Lambda O \Upsilon$ $E^{\prime} P \Omega T I K O \Upsilon ~ E I ' \triangle I \Lambda \Lambda I O N . ~ T . ~$
which has 5 pages，ending on EEirr，reverse．Then

which ends at the bottom of the reverse of EE vj．Next，on Z．F． recto，at top

## KHPIOKAE＇ПTH乏．

having 8 verses beneath：

## Е＂ПITА＇ФІОミ A＂$\Delta \Omega$ NI $\triangle O \Sigma$

which ends on the reverse of Z F．ir．As far as Z F v ，вотн editions seem precisely similar，in substance ；but on the recto of this signa－ ture，in the first impression，we read

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { MEГA'PACNNH` H'PAKAEOXミ. }
\end{aligned}
$$

in the second，it is

## META＇PATMNH H＇PAK $\wedge E O X \Sigma$ ．

$$
\delta \omega \rho_{j} i \partial,
$$


After the 13th verse of the same，＊the impressions wholly vary from each other．This poem ends，in the first impression，on $\mathrm{Z} . \mathrm{F}$ vj， reverse．Beneath，we have

## $\Delta I O^{\prime} \leq K O \Upsilon P O I . K O I N H I I^{\prime \prime} A^{\prime} \Delta I$ ．

On $\Theta$ Gini．recto；

## MIE $\Omega$ N $\Phi$ IAE＇ONTA．

[^121]On $\Theta$ Gini. reverse;

## $H^{\prime \prime} X H M A ~ M O \Upsilon \Sigma \Omega N H^{\prime \prime}$ ఆEOKPI'TON $\Sigma^{\prime} \Upsilon^{\prime} P I \Gamma \Xi$. $T \Omega$ I ПANI'.

On the recto of the following leaf;
E'İ NEKPO'N A* $\Delta \Omega$ NIN.
On the reverse of the same:

## TENOS ӨEOKPITI'TON.

Then follow six verses of Artemidorus the Grammarian, and four verses concerning the Bucolics. On the recto of the ensuing leaf

## MEPI' E'کPE'SE $\Omega \Sigma T \Omega N$ BOTKOAIK $\Omega N$.

filling the entire page, and having the reverse of the leaf blank. In the seconid impression, on the recto of $\Theta$. G. I, we read
ending on the reverse of $\Theta$ G. 4. Next,

ending on the reverse of $\Theta \mathrm{G} . \mathrm{vj}$, at bottom, with
Tśnos

Next follows, on the recto of the ensuing leaf, a Greek inscription T $\Omega$, ПANI', within a wood-cut cylindrical form : on the reverse of which we read the Epitaph of Adonis:

## E'İ NEKPO'N A'A

The signatures in each, as far as EE, run in eights. EE to $\odot G$, inclusively, in sixes. The remaining works, as designated in the title, immediately follow. The date of these impressions is gathered from that of the Hesiod: vide p. 45 ante. Of the present copies, that of the first edition is an exceedingly fine one, in green morocco binding. The copy of the second edition is in the same binding, and has a duplicate leaf of $\Theta$ G. 3.
485. Thucydides. Latinè. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

The compiler of the Crevenna Catalogue, vci. iv. p. 68, conjectures this edition to have been executed ' about 1496 ;' and De Bure says 'before the year 1500.' The account of the latter, in which it is called

- Edition peu considérée des Savans, mais assez recherchée des Curieux, parcequ'elle est la première'-is rather circuinstantial. Seemiller is also worth consultation. Incunab. Typog. fasc. iv. p. 153. We may be brief in our description of it. On the recto of the first leaf, a ii, begins an interesting 'proheme' of Laurentius Valla to Pope Nicolas V. This proheme fills each side of the leaf. On the recto of the following leaf, a iii, we read this prefix to the Latin version :


## THVCYDIDIS HISTORIARVM PELOPONNENSIVM LIBER PRI MVS.

There are 42 lines below : a full page contains 45 lines. The signatures, from a to r, run regularly in eights ; (a blank leaf forming a i) and on the recto of $r v$, is the following subscription:

## THVCYDIDIS ATHENIENSIS HISTORICI GRAVISSIMI LIBER OCTAVVS ET VLTIMVS:

FINIT.

| $L$ | $A$ | V | D | D |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

On the reverse of this leaf is the address of Bartholonæus Parthenius to Francis, 'the son of Louis Theonus.' On the recto of the ensuing leaf, is the Life of Thucydides by the same Parthenius ' ex Marcellino Græco;' ending on the reverse of the same leaf. The recto of the following leaf is blank; but a register is on the reverse of it, from which we learn the order of the signatures as before described. The present is a large and beautiful copy of this well printed book. It is in russia binding.
486. Tibuluus. Supposed to have been printed by Florentius de Argentina. Without Place, or Date. Quarto.

Morelli has given a particular and animated description of this splendidly-executed little volume. He considers it to be the first separate publication of the poet, and justly observes that its rarity is equal to its beauty. Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. p. 383. The printer of it has been already introduced to the notice of the reader, in an account of an edition of Rufus Sextus, at page 322 antc. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:

# ALBII . TIBVLI . POETAE . ILLVS TRIS. LIBER . PRIMVS . ET. PRIMO PRAEMIVM : QVOD . DIVITIIS : ATQVE . MILICIA . SPRETIS . DELI AM. AMET . ET . AMORI . VACAR E. PRORSVS . VELIT . INCIPIT . FOE . <br> luitias alius fuluo sibi cōgerat auro <br> Et teneat culti iugera magna soli . <br> Quē labor assidug uicīo terreat hoste <br> Martia cui sūnos classica pulsa fuget 

M e mea paupertas vite traducat inerti
D um meus assiduo luceat igne focus.
I pse serā tencras maturo tepore uites Rusticus: \& facili grandia poma nanu. \&c \&c. \&c.

A full page has 24 ines. There are titles to the Elegies, but no spaces between them; and the first word of the title is usually in capital letters. The last effusion of the poet is entitled 'AD AMICAM.' On the reverse of fol. 42 , (pencil numerals) we read,

## TETRASTICON de infamia suæ puellæ.

Beneath, is the epitaph of Tibullus in 4 verses; and a brief account, or eulogy, of him in 7 lines of prose. On the recto of the following and 43rd leaf, begins the Epistle of Sappho to Phaon, from Ovid, without prefix. This Epistle closes the volume on the reverse of the 47 th leaf, thus:

I lla furēs phaoni quā scripsit eplā sappho
Explict: ex graco transtulit. Ouidius.
There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. The present is a desirable, although soiled, copy of this rare and beautiful impression; which Morelli thinks was printed about the year 14i2. It is in blue morocco binding.

## 487. Valerius Flaccus. Printed by Rugerius

 and Bertochus. Bologna. 1474. Folio.Editio Princeps. 'Quaenam princeps sit editio, nondum est exploratum;' says Harles, in his Brev. Not. Lit. Rom. p. 461. This point will be discussed in the account of the ensuing edition. Meanwhile, in opposition to the arrangement of Panzer, the present impression is here inserted as the earliest of the author. It is a book of extreme rarity; and such copies of it, as is the one under description, will never fail to bring very considerable prices. This edition is printed in a small and delicate Roman type, like that of the Manilius, executed by the same printers : see p. 162 ante. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the opening of the poem, thus:

## C. VALERII FLACCI SETINI BALBI ARGO NAVTICON LIBER PRIMVS INCIPIT FELI CITER.

Rima deū magnis cāimus freta puia nautis
Fatidicamq; ratē scythici quæ phasidis oras
Ausa sequi . mediosq; îteriuga cōeita cursus
Rūpere : flamifero tandè consedit olympo
Phæbe mone . si cumcæ mihi concita uatis
Stat casta gortina domo : si laurea digna \&c. \&c. \&cc.

There are 26 lines below : a full page has 36 lines. On the recto of the 81st and last leaf, pencil-numbered in this copy, we read the conclusion of the poem, and the colophon, thus :

Heret . \& hic presens pudor. hic decreta suorum Cura prement . ut cunq; tamen mulcere gementem Temptat . \& ipse gemens \& tempora currere dictis Mene aliquid meruisse putas : me talia uelle?

## ? FINIS ?

BONONIAE IMPRESSVM PER ME VGONEM

## RVGERIVM . ET DONINVM* BERTOCHVM REGIENSES ANNO DOMINI. M. CCCC. LXX IIII . DIE. SEP'IIMA: MADII?* LAVS DEO: : ? AMEN? :

There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. De Bure is brief and superficial ; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2807 : but Fossi is particular and interesting in his description of this volume, which he calls ' Editio princeps et eximire raritatis.' The latter speaks of a copy abundantly charged with the ms. notes of Inghiramius; containing various readings and commentaries : Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 739-740. Copies were in the Harleian, Valliere, Pinelli, and Lomenie Collections. That in the La Valliere Library was sold fbr 710 livres. See Bibl. Harl., vol. i. n ${ }^{\circ} .3953$; Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. n${ }^{\circ}$. 2519 ; , Bibl. Pinell. vol. ii. nº. 5059; Index Libror. vol. i. p. 335. The present may be called a magnificent copy; and is bound in red morocco.

## 488. Valerius Flaccus. Printed by De Ripoli. Florence. Without Date. Quarto.

It seems rather extraordinary that Panzer should commence his list with the present edition. The doubt expressed by Harles, whose bibliographical authority is far from requiring implicit submission, seems to have had an unnecessary influence with him. La Serna Santander does not notice the typographical labours of De Ripoli in his first volume; but at vol. iii. p. 414, he mentions this edition, and seems to dissent from those bibliographers who consider it to be the first. The discovery of signatures, which (as Maittaire has properly observed) are generally placed in an even line with the last of the text, proves unly that, in all probability, the inpression was subsequent to the year $14 \%$. I am however of opinion, from the general appearance of it, that the edition must be considered of a date posterior to that of the foregoing one. See Maittaire's Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 748, note 3. The account of this rare and estimable impression (which has escaped the knowledge of many bibliographers,) in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 299, is exceedingly iuperfect and unsatisfactory. I proceed therefore to make amends for former negligence.

On the recto of the first leaf, the poem commences in the following manner:

## C. VALERII FLACCI SETINI BALBI AR GONAVTICON . LIBER PRIMVS INCI PIT FELICITER.

Rima deū magnis canimus freta puia nautis Fatidicāq; ratē scythici quæ phasidis oras
Ausa sequi . mediosq; iter iuga cōcita cursus Rumpere . flamifero tandem consedit olympo Phoebe mone. si cumeae mihi concita uatis \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

A full page has 30 lines. There are blank spaces between the books, but no titles. The signatures, from a to n , run in eights. This latter signature has only six leaves; on the recto of the vjth of which, the volume closes thus :

Temptat . \& ipse gemens \& tempora currere dictis Mene aliquid meruisse putas: me talia uelle?

FINIS ? LAVS DEO.
*Ipressum florentix apud sanctum Iacobum de ripoli. AMEN .

With the exception of an unlucky worm-hole, which has entirely pervaded the volume, the present is a beautiful and desirable copy. It is large, and bound in green morocco.

## 489. Valerius Maximus. Supposed to have been printed by Mentelin at Straslourg. Without Date. Folio.

Editio Princeps. The earliest bibliographer who has given a detailed description of this rare and valuable impression, is Freytag ; who, in his Analect. Litterar. vol. ii. p. 1017, notices a ms. memorandum in the copy which he saw, affixing the date of 1470 as that of the period of its execution. It will be seen, at p. 407, that something like a similar date is assigned to the edition of Terence, executed by the same printer. From the united opinions of bibliographers, respecting the time when the present publication, and those of the Terence and Virgil-(all executed in the same characters, and usually attributed to the press of Mentelin) -appeared, it may safely be concluded that these editions were printed rather before than after the year 14\%0. Secmiller and Braun have been each particular; but the account of Freytag is at once copious and instructive. Neither De Bure nor the Bipont editors appear to have had any knowledge respecting this impression.* Laire, in a brief notice of it, shews us how essentially it varies from the subsequent impressions. See Incunab. Typog. fasc. i. p. 122 ; Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i. p. 12 ; and Index. Libror. vol. i. p. 54-5. The following description of it may be sufficiently particular.

## On the recto of the first leaf the text commences thus :

* 'Cum Germanæ Editiones antiquæ, quæ sine loco et typograplo exicrunt plcrumque e Codicibus fideliter transcriptæ fuisse videntur, illud de hâc Valerii Maximi quarnaaximè predicandum censeo. Ab editoribus nondum, quod scio, hactenus tractata est, quisd eo magis dolendum est, ex infinitá lectionum messe, quæ literatos homines etiamnum latent. Harum quædam exempla profert Freytagius.

[^122]Talerij かaximi factorā at dictorim memorabilitum. Tas ©iforiū tegarem. Tiber primus incipit feliciter ©apitula fermi Yiari
 Cercium ac aminilug. ©uartum of prodigijg. auī tum ie sompnjig. Sertum oc miraculig fitig rame cxteraruma; gentiū fatta gimul ac dicta memoratu digua $\mathfrak{y}$ apud aliog hacius diffusa sunt quam nothreui ter cagnogit potaint ab illugtribo electa auttoribug deligere constitui. at dacu= \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page contains 34 lines. The titles to the chapters are in lowercase letter ; and there are, of course, neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. On the reverse of fol. 159, and last, there are 15 lines of text : the last three lines of which are as follow :
exat guamguam pene tocius orientig cuntatum $\mathfrak{e t}$ gen cium crodula guffragacione fultum taput imperio ace menter imminens iusto impenai gupplitio coegit.

Like all the books executed by Mentelin, whether in his small or larger Gothic * type, the present volume affords a fine specimen of ancient paper and ink. It is also a most desirable copy for amplitude, and is beautifully bound by Walther in red-morocco.
490. Valerius Maximus. Printed by Schoeffer. Mentz. 1471. Folio.

This impression usually takes precedence of the Venetian one by Vindelin de Spira, and is accordingly described in the present order; although I am not disposed to subscribe wholly to the opinions of De Bure and Crevenna, which seem to have it concluded that the Mentz

[^123]edition preceded the Venetian one 'by some months.' If it be so, how comes it that this impression contains more than the ellsuing one? although, upon reconsideration, this may only prove that Vindelin de Spira had no knowledge of the present edition. The point of elronologieal priority, is yet, however, fairly sub judice.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows:
 factoly et dietornm memorabiliō ad Tiberit cesarem fatio fome exte joreforio incipit ratuma; gentiō facta gimul ac dieta memoratit digua, guc aptid aliosi la=
 gnogici porsint! ath ilfustriGus clecta auttorifug, ocligcic 〕wituti . ut Docu= \&c. \&c. \&c.

The first two entire lines of the above, and the two last words of the third line, are executed in red ink; in a manner so skilful and pleasing, that it may be questioned whether they do not defy competition in the annals of modern printing. The two ensuing pretixes, one on the recto, and the other on the reverse, of the first leaf, are also printed in red ink; but no more similar ones occur throughout the volume: a sort of capricious distinction, which Fust and Sehoeffer observed in their Bible of 1462 . Whenever one of the books of the IIistorian concludes on the reverse of a leaf, the ensuing book commences on the recto of the following one; leaving, in general, a considerable blank space below the termination of the preceding book. On the reverse of fol. 186 , being within 11 leaves of the conclusion of the impression. the ixth book ends, according to the extract just given from Mentelin's edition. An idea of a xth book is thus thrown out; and excerpts from it ensue.

Cetimut hutug operig fiver qui et ultumus esit
 perijt . aburcuiator wex titulow ciuti yawelat
 thoma rejpentabat .

Decimi liuri $\mathbb{C}_{\text {aij titi purobi. }}$
\&c. \&ec. \&ic.

On the reverse of the 4th following leaf, begins an alphabetical table, or index, in long lines, occupying 7 leaves, and terminating the impression on the recto of fol. 197, from that on which the text begins. Beneath this table we read the ensuing colophon printed in red:


 Bernitem artis imptsorie marm! feliciter $\bar{\varepsilon}$ دgūmatū
(The shields in red are beneath.)
A full page has 30 lines. Both Schwarz and Würdtwein are brief; and Crevenna is rather concise. De Bure might have been more instructive : he notices however the rarity and worth of the vellum copies, of which the present is one-it having been formerly in the Boze, Gaignat, and La Valliere Libraries. Count Reviczky bought it at the sale of the latter collection for 1500 livres.* It is very fine, and bound in old blue morocco. The reader may consult the Prim. Quced. Doc. Typog. pt. ii. p. 28 ; Bibl. Mogunt. p. 101 ; Cat. de Crevenn. vol. v. p. 174 ; and Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. vi. p. 449.

## 491. Valerius Maximus. Printed by Vindelin

 de Spira. Venice. 1471. Folio.This is a magnificent and desirable impression. On the reverse of the first leaf begins a table of the chapters of the ix Books. Each book is designated by capital letters. This table terminates on the reverse of the 2 d leaf. On the recto of the 3 d leaf, the text begins thus :

## Valerii Maximi liber primus.

R B IS Rome exterarumq; gentium facta simul ac dicta memoratu digna que apud alios latius diffusa sunt : ${ }_{q}{ }^{\circ}$ ut breuiter cognosci possint ab illur tribus electa auctoribus deligere constitui: $\mathbf{V t}$ \&c. \&c. \&cc.
A full page has 41 lines. The chapters are divided into sections, with

[^124]prefixes in lower-case letter. On the recto of fol. 122 and last, the text concludes thus, with the following colophon :

## impendere supplicio coegit. FINIS EST .M.CCCC.LXXI.

## Impressum formis iustoq; nitore coruscans Hoc Vindelinus condidit artis opus.

A fine illuminated copy of this edition is described in the Bibl. Harleian. vol. iii. $n^{\circ}$. 1128. The present copy was purchased by Count Reviezky at the Valliere sale for 902 livres, and was formerly in the collection of Count Hoyn. See the authorities in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 304. Although this is a large copy, and ruled with red lines, it has too tawny an aspect; and exhibits rather a clumsily executed specimen of the Spira press. Brunet says it is 'more sought after than the preceding edition; but this is very questionable. In blue morocco binding. Count Hoym's copy.

## 492. Valerius Maximus. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

This is an impression of such rarity, that it seems to have escaped the notice of alinost every bibliographer. It will not be found in the works of Panzer or Brunet; and although, as I shall presently endeavour to prove, it is probably a production of the Brescia Press, it has not been noticed by the author of the Litteratura Brixiana, nor by Boni in his Primi Libri a Stampa di Alcune Città e Terre dell' Italia Superiore, 1794, folio. Count Reviczky has a brief description of it in his MS. Memoranda, and thinks that it may be of equal antiquity with either of the preceding impressions. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the commencement, thus:

## Valerii Maximi Liber primus

VRBIS Rome exterarū $\mathfrak{q}$; gentium facta si mul ac dicta memoratu digna quæ apud as lios latius diffisa sūt ị̆ ut breniter cogno sci possint ab illustribus electa autoribus \&c. \&c. \&c.

Throughout the entire impression there are sections to each chapter,
as in the Spira edition. A full page has 35 lines. On the recto of fol. 148 and last, the conclusion of the text, and the commencement of the table, are thus:
iusto īpēdere supplicio cœgit. Et Sic Est FiniS.
Rubrice libri primi Val. M. De patientia.
De religione.
Qui hūili loco nati clari eu-
\&c. \&c. \&c.
This table is printed in two columns; and ends at the bottom of the reverse of the same and last leaf:* the last line of the second column being as follows:

## familiis īserere conati sūt.

I have ventured to conjecture that this edition was executed at Brescia. On a comparison with the impressions of Lucretius and Propertius (especially with those of the latter,) noticed at pages 149, 288, ante, we discover the same formation of letter, whether capital or smallalso, the same irregularity of press work, and manufacture of paper. It may therefore be rationally conjectured that the present impression was executed by Ferandus at Brescia ; and if so, that it was published from a different MS., and is likely to be of use to some future editor of the author. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. The present is a remarkably fine copy; in red morocco binding.

## 493. Dionysius De Burgo In Valerium Maximum. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

This impression is of nearly equal rarity with the preceding one. Neither Fabricius nor Ernesti were aware of the Commentary of D. de Burgo being in print; and Ossinger (according to the excellent account of this edition by Braun,) was equally ignorant of its existence. Maittaire is the only bibliographer, before Braun, who notices it. Laire refers the reader to Braun. Bibl. Lat. vol. ii. p. 53 ; Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i. p. 112-3; Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 751; and Index Libror. vol. i. 126-7. The volume is executed in the Roman type, and is distinguished, like the Plutarclı and Terence noticed at p. 416 ante, by the peculiar formation of the letter R. The text of the historian does

[^125]not accompany the cominentary. On the recto of the first leaf, we read as follows :

Incipit epistola super declaracione Valerii Maxi, mi. Edita . a fratre dyonisio de Burgo sancti sepuleri, ordinis fratrum heremitarnm* sancti Augustini.

There are 31 lines below; but a full page contains 36 lines. At the bottom of this first page, there is a ms. nute, in red ink, of the date of 1480, which informs us that the copy under description once belonged to the convent 'fratrum ordinis predicatorum in leubna.' On the recto of fol. 2, the text commences thus :

Capl'm p̄mum. De religione.
Rbis rome zē. Valerius huic opi suo primo prohemium ponit: i quo suū ppositum ostendēs : auditores beniuolos facit. Secūdo tractatum

On the recto of fol. 369 , and last, we read the concluding sentence at bottom:
cl'm societatis humāe: $\overline{1}{ }_{q}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ veritatis religio tuet. \& ip se dea colit̃. ì cuiq qudē cultu sincero et̃na vita pmit, tiĩ. In secula seculorum. Amen.

Here is also another ms. note, of the date 1475 : so that the present impression may have been executed towards the year $14 i 1$. This is a large copy in sound and genuine condition : in old calf binding.
494. Vegetius. Supposed to have been printed by Ketelaer. Without Place, or Date. Folio.

This is considered by bibliographers to be the Editro Princeps of the author; although neither Ia Serna Santander nor Brunet seem to be aware of the impression here next described. Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi. vol. iii. p. 430 ; Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p.617. 'This edition has
not escaped Meerman. In the Orig. Typog. vol. i. cvi.-virr., notice is taken of several works printed in the same character with that of the present one; and the second fac-simile in the virth plate, vol. i., presents us with the first four lines, or title, as below extracted. This fac-simile may be sufficient to direct us in a knowledge of the type of Ketelaer ; but it is far from being completely accurate. Meerman had rather a whimsical notion, that these types were first used by the heirs or successors of Laurence Coster, at Haarlem, who adopted them on rejecting the ruder ones of Coster himself ; and who afterwards sold them to Ketelaer and De Leempt. Jansen has thought proper to adopt this whimsical and, in all probability, fallacious supposition. De l'Invention de l'Imprimerie, \&c. 1809, Svo. p. 346-\%. We may further premise, that the present is the edition, for an account of which Denis (as quoted by Panzer) refers to Meerman. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the opening thus:
> fflauij wedati renati biri iffugtrig. ©pitoma ie re militari incipit. Fin epitoma istitutoz rei mifita rig on cōmētarity cathōis augugit traiani abriani nechā et ianie frontoni in quatuar ptex digituttū

A prose prefix, and a table of 3 leaves (including the first leaf) follow. The prologue of the author is on the recto of the 4th leaf; the first book begins on the reverse of the same leaf. There are prefixes to each of the chapters in the several books; but neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. A full page has 31 lines. On the reverse of fol. 55 and last, the subscription is thus :

## Fflauij briati renati biri iflugtrig ©xitoma are $\mathfrak{r e}$ militari $\mathfrak{e x p h i c i t}$ feficif.

The present is a large and beautiful copy of this uncommon book, and is bound in red-morocco.
495. Vegetius. Supposed to have been printed by Casaris and Stol. Without Place, or Date. Quarto.

This impression seems to have escaped the notice of bibliographers, as it is not mentioned by Panzer, La Serna Santander, or Brunet. It may probably be anterior to the preceding one. On the recto of the first leaf, it commences thus :

Flaii vegecii renati viri illustris comitis epi= thoma institutorū rei militaris de comentariis Auğusti traiani Adriani necnō etiam frontini ;

An account of the contents of the four books of the author, ensues. Below, is the whole of what appears, in this page, of the prologue :

## PROLOGVS PRIMI LIBRI INCIPIT FELICITER ;

Ntiquis temporibus mos fuit bonarum artiū studia mandare litteris! atq; i libros redacta offerre principibus. Quia neq; recte $a=$ \&c. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 25 lines. On the recto of fol. 66 , beneath the word FINIS, we read this subscription.

> Flaii vegecii Renati viri illustris comitis cpithoma Institutorū rei militaris đesinit feliciter ;

Then follow tables of the chapters in the several books, upon 3 leaves and a half; concluding the impression at the bottom of the reverse of folio 69 and last. The present copy of this very rare book is rather an indifferent one; in calf binding.
496. Vegetius. Supposed to have been printed by Gotz. Without Place or Date. Folio.

- Nicolas Gotz of Schletztat (according to La Serna Santander,) printed a Life of Christ in 1474, folio. The same artist printed again in 1478. His name never appears, after this date, in any publication.' Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi. vol. i. p. 161. But neither La Serna Santander, nor Lambinet, nor Jansen, appear to have had any knowledge of the present edition. It has also escaped Panzer and Brunet. That it is the production of a Cologne printer (and in all probability of Gotz,) there can be little hesitation. It is executed in double columns, in the usual type of the early Cologne artists; and presents us with the following prefix, at top of the first column, on the recto of the first leaf:


## Fflati begecij renati birt iffug= trig $\mathbb{C o m i t i g e x i t h a m i a ~ r e i ~ m i ~}$ fitarig lifuri mmera ̆̈tuor felici ter incipiunt . et prima de qua 

The contents of the four books are then briefly stated; and what is considered as the 'prologue' in Ketelaer's impression, is here made the first chapter of the first book. A full page has 38 lines. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. On the reverse of the 38th and last leaf, the last lines of the xxxxvirth chapter of the last book are these :


The first two letters probably designate the name of the printer, Nicolas Gotz ; the third, the place of his residence. The present is a beautiful copy, splendidly bound in green morocco.

## 497. Virgilius Opera. Printed by Sweynheym and Pamnartz. Rome. Without Date. Folio.

Editio Princers. The order of our researches into the early impressions of Ancient Classical Authors, has at length brought us to the present very rare, and imperfectly known, edition of the larger and minor poems of Virgil. Such a publication should be described with accuracy and minuteness; yet Audiffredi has, as usual, left little that is material to add to his own excellent account of it.

Although the ensuing impression of the Eclogues, Georgics, and Encid, is undoubtedly of considerable antiquity, and may probably be an anterior production, yet we are certain, from the preface to the present edition, that it was executed in the year 1469, and before the Lucan of the same date. The Bishop of Aleria, the editor of it, speaks of it as being the first poetical publication of the press of Sweynheym and Pannartz. As we do not therefore positively know the exact period when the subsequent impression was printed, and as some ancient ms. notes found in the impressions of Terence and Valerius Maximus, executed in the same type, do not affix a more ancient date than that of 1470 to the latter, (see pages 407,449 , ante,) we should not be justified, against the express evidence above noticed, in causing the ensuing to take the precedency of the present edition. Correct reasoning therefore, as well as courtesy, may warrant us in assigning the present order to these two earliest impressions of the Mantuan Bard. It may further be remarked, that Quirini has a good account of the first two Roman impressions of the poet-the latter of which is at present wanting in this Collection- and that De Bure has been rather copious and particular in his description of the present one. See De Optimor. Scriptor. Edit. p. 161, \&c.; Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 78. Ernesti had erroneously supposed the impression was executed in 1466 ; and Laire had, with equal incorrectness, conceived that there were three editions of the present date.

On the recto of the first leaf, we read the editorial epistle of the Bishop of Aleria to Pope Paul II., commencing thus:

Loquētie fplēdore: \& rerū dignitate: locupletiorē Virgilio Poetā: unū fortasse Homerū Graci: nullum certe Latini inuenien. \&c.

This preface is printed entire by Quirini. A table, on the reverse of the second leaf, shews, at one view, the contents of the impression. On the recto of the 3rd leaf, commences the first of the opuscula, which is entitled Culex, thus:

Vsimus Octaui gracili modulante Thalia.
Atq; ut araneoli : tenuem formauimus orsum.
Lusimus: hæc: propter: Culicis sint carmina dea.
The whole of these opuscula, or minor poems, occupy 12 leaves. On the recto of the 15th, from the opening of the volume, the first Eclogue begins thus:

> Ityre tu patulæ recubans sub tegmine fagi : ME. Siluestrem tenui musam meditaris auena. Nos patriæ fines : et dulcia linquimus arua. Nos patriā fugimus. tu Tityre lētus in umbra Formosam resonare doces Amaryllida siluas O Melibee deus nobis hæc ocia fecit. TI. \&cc. \&c. \&cc.

A full page has 38 lines. In the second Eclogue, the verse
Nec sum adeo informis, nuper me in littore vidi
is omitted; as it is also in the Brescia impression of 1473. The verse immediately following it, is thus printed in the present edition.

Cū placidū uentis staret mare. nō ego Daphnin
The Georgics commence on the recto of the 26th leaf, thus :

> Vid faciat lætas segetes:
> quo sydere terram
> Vertere mecenas: ulmisq;
> adiungere uites.

Conueniat: quæ cura boum :
quis cultus: habendo
Sit pecori : atq; apibus
quanta experientia parcis:
Hinc canere incipiam, uos o clarissima mundi
On the reverse of the 55th leaf, the Aneid commences, with the four preliminary verses beginning ' Ille ego.' On the recto of fol. 188-it concludes:

- FINIS . AENEIDOS .

On the reverse of the same leaf, we read, from Sulpitius Severus,
Iusserat hæc rapidis aboleri carmina flāmis
Virgilius: phrygium qux cecinere ducem. Tucca uetat . uarrusq; simul. Tu maxime cesar Non sinis. \& latix consulis historix . Infelix gemino cecidit prope Pergamon igni . Et pæne est alio Troia cremata rogo.
followed by 29 additional verses. On the recto of the ensuing leaf, at top, the latter continue thus:

Expirent ignes . uiuat Maro: dictus ubiq;-
These detached sentences, or Epitaphs, from Palladius, Asclepiades, Eusebius, Pompelianus, Maximianus, Vitalis, Basilius, Asmodianus, Vovianus, Eugenius, Julianus, Hilasius, \&c. (all specified in the table of contents, and the latter containing the well known epitaph 'Mantua me genuit,' \&c.) comprehend $3 \frac{1}{2}$ leaves, in the whole. On the recto of the 192 nd and last leaf, we read the eight-versed colophon, beginning ' Aspicis illustris,' and concluding with 'contribuere domum.'

Five leaves of the Priapeia, or Lusus Poetarum in Priapum, follow in the present copy; and indced should be in every perfect copy : since Audiffredi informs us that these are mentioned by the episcopal editor, in the preface, as being containel in the same volume, or MS., from which the legitimate poems are printed. Nor were they introduced from the subsequent impression of 1471 , as Audiffredi clearly proves; as, in this latter impression, they are 'multo auctiora.' The existence of a copy in the library of Marchio de Maximis, without the l'riapeia,
does not necessarily prove, as Audiffredi seems to infer, that these opuscula were printed subsequently to the completion of the other parts of the edition; since motives of delicacy might have induced the owner of such copy to cut out these poems. It must however be conceded, that the Priapeia are not noticed in the printed list of the contents of the impression. See the Edit. Rom. p. 22, 24. The present is a clean and very desirable copy of this exceedingly rare and precious edition :-an edition, of which a copy produced 4101 livres at the sale of the Valliere library. The present is very elegantly bound in dark green morocco; having a wreath of bay leaves at the end, from the supposed tomb of Virgil.

## 498. Virgilius. Opera. Supposed to have been printed by Mentelin, at Strasbourg. Without Date. Folio.

This is the impression, of the type of which there is a tolerably correct fac-simile in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2433. The description of it also, in the same work, is ample and faithful. The curious will immediately recognise in it the same characters with which the Terence and Valerius Maximus, noticed at pages 401, 449, ante, were executed; and which characters are generally attributed to the presss of Mentelin at Strasbourg. In respect to the date of its execution, I incline to think that it is at least as ancient as the year 1470; and that Panzer has, rather hastily, adopted the information of Denis, in assigning to it the date of 1472 :-as the latter only observes, that ' In some Catalogue a ms. date of 1472 was affixed to it.' See the Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 73 ; and Suppl. Maitt. p. 691, ${ }^{\circ}$. 6154. Brunet thinks it rather of the date of 1469 ; and observes that some connoisseurs conceive it anterior to the preceding edition. Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 639-40. The copy under description is the one which was in the Valliere Collection, and was purchased at the sale of that library, by Count Revicky, for 759 livres. We proceed to a particular decription of it. On the recto of the first leaf, the Eclogues commence thus:

##  Incipit feliciter. Mercilicu:

§tire tut patule recubang yuld termi ne fagi siluegtrem temui mu gam meditaxis aucna

路品
patrie fincg. et dulcia Yinquimus
atua Rog patriam fugimug
tut titire Xentug in bunba
formogam regonare doces amariflida giluag

- Ei. © meltace dents nobig hee acia fecit \&c. \&c. \&cc.

There are 22 lines beneath : a full page contains 32 lines. The second Eclogue has a prefix of 'Egloga secunda' only: the third, of 'Menaleas Mopsus:' the fourth, neither prefix nor space: the fifth, 'Melibeus, Dameta, Palemon:' the sixth, a blank space only: the seventh, ' Melibeus, Corydon, Tirsis:' the eighth, ' Poeta:' (' Pastorum Musam:') the ninth, 'Licias Meris:' the tenth, neither title nor space. At the end of the Eclogues, being the recto of the 14th leaf from the beginning, we read

> Srgumentum ©uidij in lifras geargico 4 birgilij
> ©uid faciat letag segeteq quo piocre seruct Wgricola. ot facile terram proscinaet aratrig Semina que iacienda : modog. rultusig locorum EDocuit metsed magno ofim federe redoi

##  gicorum Tutipit feliciter

The Georgics begin at top, without prefix, on the reverse of the same leaf; and they conclude on the reverse of the 48th leaf from the beginning of the volume. The recto of the 49 th leaf is blank. The reverse presents us with a poetical summary of the XII. Books of the Eneid; followed by a similar one of that of the Ist Book in particular. To each Book there is a like prefix. The text of the First Book begins at top of the ensuing, or 50th leaf; forming the original of the upper part of the engraved fac-simile in the Valliere Catalogue. The Second Book of the Aneid begins thus:


In the Second Buok, there is a gratuitous and unauthenticated verse, preceding the 130th; which was pointed out to me by Lord Spencer, and which I find in no other ancient impression. It is thus:

## Wogitia gitm miger - ab gatrag rexutatug ad axag

The Fourth Book has the usual commencement, thus:
© regina graui tam duaī gautia cura đulmut alit bents. et ceca carpif igni : SGluta uiri nirtus aia , miftugg recurgat (Bentig honagi, herēt ifict pectore bult ${ }^{(1)}$ đerfagz . nee placioam membrig dat cura quieté: pogitera phebe hustrabat lampade terrag \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

The Twelfth Book opens as follows:

> Tarnug bt fractog ad'ugo marte lating
> ©efectife bivet: gua nūt pmitga repogiti Se gituari oculig ultro iplacabilig aroet Fttollitgj animag: penarū inlig I aruig (xaucius fle graui benantum bulnere pectus ©un demum mouet arma feo: gaunetg tomāteg \&c. \&cc. \&c.

and concludes, on the recto of the $20 \%$ th and last leaf, according to the lower part of the fac-simile in the Valliere Catalogue. The reverse of this leaf is blank. The Priapeia, \&c. are not contained in the impression. The foregoing description of this exceedingly rare and interesting edition, of which no account will be found in Seemiller, Braun, or Fossi, is, it is presumed, more particular than any with which the reader is acquainted; and bas been completed, on the supposition that, if fragments of it should come into the possession of the curious, a greater facility might be afforded in recognising the genuine impression to which such fragments belong. The present is a fair and most desirable copy; in red morocco binding.

## 499. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by Vindelin de Spira. Venice. 1470. Folio.

This rare and truly beautiful impression was erroneously considered, by the author of the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. $n^{\circ} .2659$, to be the first edition. Crevenna, from the same authority, draws the same couclusion; but his deseription of it, although much more copions and particular than is that of De Bure, has received the censure of Heyne. 'Nuper iterum multis (are the words of the latter) de hate editione egrit Crevenna, nullo cum fructu ad te, qui indolem libri et usum criticum volebas resciscere.' Edit. Virgil. Lond. 1793, 8vo. vol. i. p. Lxxxr. Consult also the Bibl. Crevenn. vol. iii. 1. 189-192: edit. 1775. On the recto of the first leaf, without prefix, we read as follows:

## ITYRE. TV PATVLAE <br> recubans sub tegmine fagi <br> Silucstrem tenui musam meditaris auena.

N os patrie fines: \& dulcia līquimus arua.
N os patriam fugimus tu tityre lentus i umbra F ormosam resonare doces amaryllida siluas.
\&c. \&c. \&e.
The Eclogues are without titles, but spaces are left for them. The beginning of the Xth and last Eclogue is thus:

Xtremum hūc aretusa milii cōcede laborem
Pauca meo gallo sed que legat ipse lycoris
C armina sunt discēda : neg\& quis carmina gallo ?
A full page has 41 lines. The Georgics, as in the preceding edition, have the four verses of Ovid prefixed. Each book of the AEneid has a poetical prefix, as in the previous Roman impression; but no title. On the reverse of fol. 161, and last, beneath the two last lines of the text, we read the following rather quaint colophon :

Progenitus spira formis monumenta marouis
Hec uindelinus scripsit apud nenctos.

# Laudent ergo alii polycletos parihasiosue Et quo suis alios id genus artifices. 

## Ingenuas quisquis musarum diligit artes

 In primis ipsum laudibus afficiet.Nec uero tantum quia multa uolumina : quantū Q' perpulchra simul optimaq; exhibeat.

## . M . CCCC . LXX.

There are neither numerals, catchwords, nor signatures. The preceding is a sufficiently particular account of a volume, which, although of extreme rarity, has been well described by the foregoing authorities. As far as I have examined the text and punctuation, they seem correct and satisfactory. The typographical beauty of this edition has been a general theme of admiration ; but no more praise is due to it, on this head, than to other impressions by the same printer : the comparative conditions of copies making nearly the whole rlifference. But such copies of this impression as are upon vellum, are in the greatest request, and have brought considerable sums. In the Bibl. Colbert, (A. D. $172 S$ ) vol. i. p. 26\%, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 3560, a similar copy brought 581 livres. At the sale of Dr. Mead's Library, 1755, another similar copy was purchased by Mr. Willock for only 18l. 18s. Bibl. Mead. p. 239, nº. 42. The library of Consul Smith was also enriched by a similar copy, which is now in his Majesty's Collection. The copy in the Gouttard Collection $1 \% 80$ (which is well described in the Cat. de Gouttard, n ${ }^{\circ}$. 648) was purchased at the sale of the books of Mons. Paris de Meyzieux, the preceding year, for 2308 livres; although it brought only $22 \%$ livres at the Guuttard sale. The Crevenna copy-' très-complet et d'une conservation à enchanter, joignant à la plus grande propreté et netteté une marge de quatre bons pouces de largeur' - (such is the enthusiastic language of its original describer) was purchased at the sale of the same collection for 4150 florins, by the late Harry Quin; who bequeathed it, along with the hammer which was brandished over it, to the library of Trinity College, Dublin : where both now remainas curiosities of no ordinary occurrence or value. The late Count M'Carthy had also a copy upon vellum ; but the last leaves of which were soiled The present paper copy is rather large than clean; in red morocco binding. A beautiful similar copy is in the collection of the late Dr. William Hunter at Glasgow ; where there is also a copy of the second Roman edition, by Sweynheym and Pannartz, of the date of

14\%1. For this latter impression the reader may consult Mr. Beloe's Anecdotes of Literature and Scarce Books, vol. iii. p. 224.

## 500. Virgilius. Opera. Withoul Nume of Printer or Place. 1472. Folio.

This exceedingly scarce and beantiful impression has been deseribed with tolerable care by bibliographers; but nether the printer of it, nor the place of its execution, are yet satisfactorily ascertained. One preliminary observation - which has eseaped those who have noticed this impression - inay be submitted; which is, that the tyjes of this volume, and those of the first edition of Ausonius, of the same date (see vol. i. p. $272, \& \mathrm{c}$. ), together with the mode of typographical execution, are precisely similar. Maittaire has erroneously attributed the performance to the press of Zarotus ; and Laire has, with equal improbability, designated Philip de Lavagna as the printer of it. But we shall say a few words upon this subject, in the latter part of our description. On the reverse of the first leaf, we read an inscription in capital letters; which, as it has been extracted entire by Orlandi, Maittaire, Paschali (in the Bibl. Smith, p. D), De Bure, Laire, Lanzer, and La Serna Santander, need only be, in part, here submitted to the reader. From this inscription, it appears that the editor has carcfully compiled his edition from the Roman and Venetian impressions. At bottom, we read

# SI VIS CERTIOR FIERI: ID LEGITO VALE. 

B

## ANNO INCARNATIONIS NICE . M . CCCC . LXXII.

H

DOMI

## ACTENVS ARVORVM CVLTVS ET SYDERA CAELI.

h Nunc te Bacche canam nec non siluestria tecum Virgulta. \& plē tardæ crescentis oliuæ.
H uc pater ${ }^{\circ}$ Lenæe. tuis hic omnia plena
M uneribus. tibi pampineo grauidus autumno
F loret ager : spumat plenis undemia labris.
\&c. \&cc. \& c

A full page has 40 lines. On the reverse of the 52nd leaf, at buttom, we read the four (supposed) Virgilian verses, beginning ' Ille ego,' \&c. The first book of the Eneid begins almost at the bottom of the recto of the following leaf. The xuth book commences thus, at the bottom of fol, 169 , recto :

> AENEYDOS LIBER DVODECIMVS.
> Vrnus ut infractos aduerso Marte Latinos
> t Defecisse uidet sua nunc. promissareposci: Se signari oculis ultro implacabilis ardet.

A toollitq; animos. pœnorum qualis in aruis
and concludes on fol. 181, recto. On the reverse, begins the Moretum. On the recto of fol. 183 commence the Priapeia, which conclude on the reverse of fol. 192. Next follow the Copa; Est et Non; Vir Bonus; Rosae ; Culex; Dire ; id est Carmen Execratorium. ad Battarum; Aetna; and Ciris. Of the latter there are only 2 pages, or one leaf, in this copy; and the 8 following leaves are also wanting. The impression terminates thus, with the Catalecton, on what should be the 219th and last leaf of the impression :
$S$ uperbe noctu repuditum caput
D atur tibi puella quam petis datur
The reverse is blank. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. 'Hanc editionem, sed rarissimam, in primis cum vulgatis
comparari vellem,' says Heyne ; but he was not so fortunate as to meet with it. De Bure had also never seen it ; and La Serna Santander is the mere copyist of Laire. In regard to the printer of it, Heyne supposes that the letters, B. H. stand for Benedictus Hercules, a printer at Ferrara; but Baruffaldi does not make mention of any such typographical artist. Nor will such a name be found in Panzer, or La Serna Santander. Panzer does indeed notice a ' Benedictus Hectoris Librarius ;' but he affixes the date of 1487 as that of his earliest performance. It cannot therefore be either of these printers. But are we quite certain that the B. H. is a genuine original impression? and if so, why are these letters mueh smaller and more disproportionate than the other capital letters? And how comes it that such letters are not found in the Ausonius of $14 i^{\circ}$ - since there can be no question about each of these editions being printed by the same artist? I incline therefore to think that this very rare, beautiful, and intrinsically valuable impression-especially fron the formation of the $\dot{\tilde{w}}$-was printed at Venice: but by whom, is still matter of conjecture. The reader may consult Orig. e Progress. p. 428 ; Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 320, note 2; and Index Libror, vol. i. p. 291-2. The present copy, as above noticed, is unluckily imperfect ; and has also several leaves supplied by ms.-but in a style of great beanty and accuracy. It is otherwise tall and clean. In blue moroceo binding. Rossi (according to Panzer, vol. ii, p. 13,) notices a copy of it upon vellum.

## 501. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by Bartholomeus Cremonensis. Venice. 1472. Folio.

The present copy of this beautiful, and rather uncommon impression, is so extremely defective, that, without the aid of De Bure, I am unable to give a particular bibliographical account of it. One thing is certain; of which De Bure was necessarily ignorant, as he had never seen the preceding impression:-one edition seems a copy of the other; but which is entitled to priority, I cannot pretend to determine. In the whole of what remains of the copy under description, there is an exaet conformity, line for line, with the previous impression. Any extract from it, therefore, would be applicable to either of them; exeept that we may observe, in lien of the $\tilde{\omega}$ in the preceding one, we read the o, in that of B. Cremonensis. The type, however, decidedly differs ; that of the present one being less sharp and dazzling - and having the $e$ and $g$ more in conformity with the type of Jenson.

De Bure tells us that 19 leaves (containing the Opuscula described as being at the end of the previous edition,) precede the commencement of the text of the Eclogues : having, on the 19th, the following subscrip-tion-which I shall copy, as it stands here, the last leaf in the volume :

Quem legis: impressus dum stabit í ære caracter :
Dum non longa dies: uel fera fata prement.
Candida perpeture non deerit fama Cremonæ.
Phidiacū hinc superat Bartholomæus ebur.
Cædite chalcographi: millesima uestra figura est
Archetypas fingit solus at iste notas.

## FINIS.

## M . CCCC . LXXII . NICOLAO TRVNO. PRINCIPE VENETIARVM REGNANTE. QVAE IN HOC VO, LVMINE CON'TINEN, TVR FOELICITER IMPRESSA SVNT.

After the termination of the Eneid, we read the same Opuscula of which the titles are described as following the end of the Æneid in the previous impression. De Bure says that these Opuscula comprehend 45 leaves; and that the XIIIth Book of the Æncid comes between the Atna and the Ciris. The XIIIth Book is wanting in the copy of the previous impression : and the whole of the 45 leaves are also wanting in the present copy-with many others, not worth particularly noticing. The reader has been already informed (Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 317-318, ) of an exquisite copy of this edition printed upon vellum, which was in the Harleian Collection, and which is minutely described in the Harleian Catalogue: vol. iii. n ${ }^{\circ}$. 3222. Count M‘Carthy had a similar copy; but 2 leaves of it were manuscript. Maittaire has a good account of this edition in his Annal. Typog. vol. i. 314, note 7. Sée the Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. iii. p. 284. The present copy is unbound.

## 502. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by Leonard Achates. Venice. 1472. Folio.

This is the impression, from which Maittaire and Cunningham have exhibited various readings in their respective editions of the author. De Bure had never seen a copy of $i$, but took his description from Orlandi, p. 25, and Maittaire; Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 314. Hcyne, who had also never seen it, supposes this to be the same impression as the one noticed in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 83, nº. 2434 , of the date of 1473 , by the same printer: but although the subscriptions of these two editions be, in substance, similar-with the exception of the difference of one year-yet, according to the authority here last referred to, the edition of $14 \% 3$ seems to have the Catalecton subjoined; which is wanting in the present impression. Whether Achates made, in other respects, a literal reprint of that edition, in the subsequent year, I am not able to determine. It is certain that this impression of 1472 is of great rarity, and of considerable intrinsic value. We proceed to a description of it. On the recto of the first leaf, after a prefix of 5 lines in capital letters, the first Eclogue commences thus:

Me.
Itire tu patule recubans sub tegmine fagi
Siluestrem tenui musam meditaris hauena .*
Nos patrie fines: et dulcia linquimus arua.
Nos patriam fugimus: tu
Titire lentus in umbra

## F ormosam resonare doces Amarillyda siluas .

Ti. O Melibee: Deus nobis hec otia fecit .

\&c. \&cc. \&c.

The several Eclogues, Georgics, and the Books of the Eneid, terminate and commence with a subscription and prefix, in capital letters. Each Book of the Aineid has a poetical prefix, which is common in the more ancient editions. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. On the recto of the last leaf but one, the XIIth Book of the Aneid terminates:

EXPLICIT . LIBER . XII .

## . P. MARONIS: VIRGILII . <br> VITA . EX : SERVIO . DONATO: <br> QVINTILIANO : AGELIO . <br> ET: RELIQVIS .

The life of the poet follows; concluding on the recto of the last and ensuing leaf. It is succeeded by 10 verses of Cornelius Gallus upon the death of Virgil; with a poetical reply to the same by Octavius Augustus Cesar, to whom the preceding verses are addressed. On the reverse of the last leaf, following the reply, we read the ensuing colophon $\sqrt{1} \mathrm{~A}$

V rbs Basilea mihi nomen est Leonardus Achates:
Q ui tua compressi carmina diue Maro.
Anno christi humanati: M. cccc. lxxii . Venet. Duce Nicol . Trono.

The present is a very desirable copy of this rare and estimable edition; in green morocco binding.
503. Virgilius. Opera. Printed at Brescia.

## 1473. Folio.

It is not the least interesting feature of this extraordinary Library, that it contains so many rare and estimable productions of the early Brescia Press. If the copy under description be the identical one of which Denis has given an account, from the communication of a friend, it is probably unique. Both Panzer and Audiffredi refer exclusively to Denis, p. 32 ; where the account, is brief but correct. On a comparison with the Brescia Statutes, and the Juvenal and Persius, printed at the same place, and in the same year, the present impression of Virgil seems to be the first book ever printed at Brescia. But so extraordinary and contradictory are frequently the researches and conclusions respecting the early history of printing, and the adaptation of books to printers, that we discover, on opening this volume, the same types with which Gerard de Leuu printed the Mercurius Trismegistus, at Treviso, in the ycar $14 \% 1:$-the $G$ and $Q$ in the capital letters, and the $e, a$, and $m$, in the smaller ones, leave no doubt as to
the correctness of this inference: nor is it by any means improbable that Gerard de Leeu might have printed at Brescia as well as at Treviso and Antwerp. The date of the impression is rather favourable to such a conclusion. If so, this edition was not executed by Ferandus-unless the latter used two different founts of letters. The text of this impression is wholly unknown; but from those parts which I hare examined, it appears to be more remarkable for singularity than correctness.

On the recto of the first leaf, without any prefix, we read the commencement of the first Eclogue, according to the following fac-simile:

> Ityre tu patulæ recubans fub regimine fagi: ME. Sylueftrem tenui mufam meditaris auena. Nos patrix fines \& dulcia linquimus arua* Nos patriam fugimus, tutytire lentus in umbra

In the first Ecloguc, the verse-'Sæpe sinistra cava prædixit ab ilice cornix'-(wanting in many ancient MSS. and first editions,) is omitted ; as well as that of ' Nec sum adeo informis, nuper me in littore vidi'-in the second Eclogue :-the latter is also wanting in the Editio Princeps. In the 50th verse of this first Eclogue, we read 'tempta-bunt'-as in the impressions of S. and Pannartz, and Mentelin : but this is only a variation of orthography. Also, in the 77 th verse of the same Eclogue, it is, as in the first edition of 1472 ,

## D umosa de rupe procul pendere uidebo.

Other variations might be adduced; but the foregoing are sufficient to prove that the text of this edition is printed from a MS. probably peculiar to itself. Quirini, the author of the Litteratura Brixiana, was wholly unacquainted both with the MiS. and printed edition. We proceed with the bibliographical analysis of this curious volume. The Georgics begin thus, on the recto of the 12th leaf;-having the usual prefix from Ovid.

Vid faciat lætas segetes :
quo sydere terram
Vertere mecenas: ulmisq;
adiungere uites .
Conueniat : quæ cura boum : quis cultus: habendo
Sit pecori : atq; apibus quanta experientia parcis:
H inc canere incipiam , uos o clarissima mundi
L umina labentem caelo quae ducitis annum . \&e. \&ce. \&cc.

A full page has 38 verses. There are no signatures, catchwords, nor numerals; and the impression, throughout, is destitute of titles to the several books. I am uncertain whether there be a small initial letter at the beginning of each book; as the square spaces designed for capital initials, are, in the present copy, uniformly (and elegantly) filled up with large gold letters upon an ultramarine blue ground. The Georgics terminate on the recto of fol. 41; having, on the reverse, the poetical prefixes as were noticed to be, in the same place, in the Editio Princeps. On the recto of fol. 42, the $\not$ Eneid commences thus:

Lle ego qui quondā gracili modulatus auena
Carmen . \& egressus fyluis: uicina coegi
Vt ${ }^{\text {qiu }}$ uis auido parerent arua colono :
Gratum opus agricolis .
At nunc horrentia martis
Rma uirumq; cano: troiæ qui primus ab oris.
Italiam fato profugus lauinaq; uenit
Littora: multum ille \& terris iactatus \& alto :
Vi superum : sæuæ memorem iunonis ob iram:
M ulta quoq; \& bello passus: dum conderet urbem .
I nferretq; deos latio: genus unde latinum .
A lbaniq; patres atq; altæ mœnia romæ . \&c. \&c. \&c.

As before, a full page comprehends 38 lines. The XIIth Book of the Eneid closes the volume on the reverse of the $1 \% 3 \mathrm{rd}$ and last leaf, as follows:
_. tu ne hinc spoliis indute meorū

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { E ripiere mihi? Pallas te hoc unlnere . Pallas } \\
& \text { I molat. \& pœnam scelerató ex sanguine summit .* } \\
& \text { H oc dicens ferrū : aduerso sub pectore condit } \\
& \text { F eruidus: ast illi soluuntur frigore membra. } \\
& \text { V itaq; cū gemitu fugit indignata sub umbras. } \\
& \text {. FINIS. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Brixiæ maronis opera expressa fuere presbytero petro uilla iubente die uigessimo primo aprilis. M.cceclxxiii.
This precious volume was formerly in the Reviczky Collection; and a ms. note prefixed to it, by the late Count, sufficiently attests the ligh estimation in which it was held by its former owner. Such a book is indeed beyond all price. This copy is sound and rather tall; but not quite free from stain. In red morocco binding.

## 504. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by Ulric Han and Simon de Luca. Rome. 1473. Folio.

This third roman impression is of cousiderable importance in the list of early editions of the poet. We shall be brief but particular in the description of it. The recto and reverse of the first leaf are filled by a table of the contents of the volume. A life of Virgil occupies the 6 following leaves; which are again succeeded by 14 leaves of puetical opuscula, by divers authors, as appear in the first impression of 1472 : see p. 467 ante. On the recto of the $22 n d$ leaf, from the beginning, the Eclogues open thus:
P. Virgilii Maronis Bucolica.

Aegloga p̄ma īter loquutores. Melibocus. \& Tytirus amici. ME.

Ityre tu patule recubans sub tegrine phagi:

## Siluestrem tenui musam meditaris auena. <br> \&cc. \&c. \&cc.

To each Eclogue a title is prefixed; and a full page comprehends 35 lines. The latter part of the ird, and the beginning of the ivth Eclogues, are, in this copy, supplied by two modern printed leaves-with the contractions cut in metal-the whole bearing a tolerable resemblance to the original type. The Eclogues and Georgics comprize 45 leaves. The Eneid commences on the reverse of the 46 th leaf: having, prefixed, (on ful. 45)

## Octauii Augusti pro Virgilii eneide uersus.

comprising 36 verses. Then follow the two usual poetical prefixes, as in the Edit. Prin. The opening of the Æneid is similar, in the collocation of the verses, to that in the Brescia impression. Within 52 leaves of the termination of the volume, the XIIth book concludes; and is immediately followed by the Argument to the XIIIth Book, thus:

## FINIS AENEIDOS.

## Tertiufdecimus Aeneidos per Maffeum Vehium additus.

Vrnus ut extremo uitā sub Marte pfudit Subdūt se Rutuli Aenee Troiana sequētes

\&.c. \&c. \&cc.

The book itself begins on the recto of the ensuing leaf, and comprehends 9 leaves, finishing with the last 3 verses on the recto of the 10th leaf. Then ensue the various poetical opuscula (including the Ciris and Priapeia) of which the titles are given in the account of the first edition of the date of 1472 . At the end of the 'Catalecton,' being on the reverse of the 51 st leaf, from the conclusion of the Xllth book of the Æneid, we read this ostentatious colophon-in imitation of those in the early Mentz publications:

| F | I | $\mathbf{N}$ | I | S |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Presens hec | Virgilii impressio | poete |  |  |
| clarissimi in | alma urbe Roma | facta |  |  |

est totilus mūdi Regina \& dignissima Imperatrice que sicut preceteris urz bibus dignitate preest ita ingeniosis uiris est referta nō atramēto plumali calamo neq; stylo ereo sed artificiosa quadam adinuentione imprimendi seu characterizādi opus sic effigiatū est ad dei laudē industrieq; est con= sumatum. per Vdalricumı Gallum \& Simonem de Luca. Anno domini M. CCCC. LXXiii. Dic uero. IIII. mēsis Nouembris. Pontificatu uero Sixti diuina prouidētia Pape quarti Anno eius Tertio.

The following and last leaf contains, on the recto, a register; which, in this copy, is printed with the same types as are those leaves subsequently introduced in the Eclogues. There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords.

De Bure had rather a whimsical notion, that, if the date of this impression had not been subjoined, we might have mistaken it 'for one of the most ancient productions of the press.' He should have observed, with better judgment, that the type has a strong resemblance to the larger type of Philip de Lignamine; of which latter a fac-simile is given at page 307 ante. Audiffredi has indeed something of a similar remark upon the notice of De Bure ; defending, with his usual partiality to the typographical reputation of $P$. de Lignamine, the superiority of the latter to the present character. Yet he adinits that the type of this edition 'satis equalis est, unusque ex elegantioribus Romanis, ab Udal. Han adhibitis ; etsi in eo artificis ingenium eluceat.' Edit. Rom. p. 137-8, note (1). In a more important point of view, this impression is allowed by him to supply the whole, or nearly the whole, of the lacune in the two previous Roman impressions.' It is probably therefore one of the most valuable ancient editions of the poet extant. The present copy of it is large, but in a very tender condition: being bound in ald foreign calf, with gilt edges to the leaves.

## 505. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by John Vurster de Campidona. Modena. 1475. Folio.

We shall be particular in our account of this uncommon volume, since there are probably not four scarcer editions of the poet in existence. The present is the first book printed at Modena, and the typographical execution of it does great credit to Vurster ; whose publications are of very considerable rarity, and are not to be met with (according to La Serna Santander) bearing date after the year $14 \% 6$. Panzer, in his second vol. p. 146-7, notices the extreme rarity of this edition, upon the authorities of Morelli and Tiraboschi. ' Editio (says he) hactenus incognita, quam detexit Cl. Morellius,' \&c. see also the Bibliot. Modens. vol. iv. p. 368. In his fourth volume, p. 366, Panzer gives a description of the arrangement of the contents of this impression; apparently upon the authority of Fossi's Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. so0-3. The description of it, in this latter authority, is, indeed, most full and satisfactory ; and we shall not fail to avail ourselves of it where needful. Fossi has proved that Boni and Gamba, from their Bibliot. Portat. vol. ii. p. 83, had never seen the impression-which is therefore rightly designated by them as ' rara e sconosciuta edizione.'

On the recto of the first leaf, the first Eclogue commences thus:

## P. Virgilii Maronis Bucolicon Liber. <br> Aegloga prima dicta Tityrus. <br> Collocutores <br> Melibœus <br> Tityrus

M.

Ityre tu patulæ recubans sub tegmie fagi.
Siluestrem tenui musam meditaris auena.
Nos patriæ fines: \& dulcia linquimus arua.
Nos patriam fugimus. tu tityre lentus in umbra Formosam resonare doces amaryllida siluas
To each Eclogue, a prefix, arranged in a similar manner, and having the word ' Collocutores,' is annexed. The Eclogues terminate on the
recto of fol. 13 ; succeeded immediately by the Georgics; which latter conclude on the recto of fol. 45 . The reverse of fol. 45 is occupied by the usual poetical prefixes. On the recto of fol. 46, the Aneid commences, having the four verses, beginning 'Ille ego,' prefixed. For a reason, which does not strike me as being very obvious, there is, after the verse 'Tantæ molis erat romanam cōdere gentem,' the following division :

Capitulum primū in quo ostèditur tempestas quam Aencas passus fuerit in mari siculo.

Ix e cōspectu siculæ telluris in altum
Vela dabant læti \& spumas salis ære ruebant.
Quom Iuno æternum seruans sub pectore uulnus. Hæc secum. Me ne incœpto desistere uictam?

There is no other designation of chapter (as far as I can discover) in the whole of the Twelve Books of the Eneid. On the recto of fol. 190, at top, we read the last line of the XIIth book. The XIIIth Book, by Mafeus Vægius Laudensis, ensues, having a poetical prefix of 12 lines. The XIIIth book begins thus:

> Vruus ut extremo deuictus marte pro fudit
> Effugientem animam. medioque sub agmine uictor
> Magnanimus stetit æneas mauortius heros Obstupuere omnes gemitumq; dedere latini.

This XIIIth book occupies 10 leaves; beginning on the recto of fol. 190, and ending on the recto of fol. 199. Next follow the supposed Opuscula of the author; namely, the Copa, Moretum, Dira, De est et non, de Rosis, Culex, and the Priapeia; the latter without any prefix. These minor poems comprehend 21 leaves; concluding the entire volume at fol. 221, recto. Subjoined to the Epigram to the Priapeia (wanting in the Magliabechi copy, but transmitted to Fossi by Aloysius Tramontanus, ' vir monumentorum typographicæ artis studiosissimus') we read the colophon thus :

# Mutine Impressum per Magistrum Iohannem Vurster de campidona. Anno. D. M.cccc. lxxiiiii. die Vicesimatertia mensis Ianuarii.* 

There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords; and a full page contains 35 lines. This edition was wholly unknown to biblio graphers, till Morelli made the discovery of it: nor has it been noticed in the London edition of Heyne's Virgil of the date of 1793. La Serna Santander is unpardonably brief and unsatisfactory. An inspection of the present copy proves that the readings of this edition are oftentimes remarkable for singularity rather than for correctness. There are frequent ins. corrections throughout. The horizontal watermarks denote the form to have been originally in 4to : as indeed Fossi has so distinguished it-but Panzer supposes that it is rather 'small folio.' The present copy, which, upon the whole, is in sound and desirable condition, has decidedly a folio form, and is above described as such. It is in russia binding.

## 506. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by Jenson. Venice. 1475. Folio.

Our account of the present, and of the remaining editions of the entire works of Virgil, with dates, begins to be comparatively brief and easy. In regard to the present impression-for his description of which De Bure, almost as usual, has been indebted to Orlandi and Maittaire-it may be essential to remark, upon the authority of Heyne, that Fontanini is in error in observing that it contains, for the first time, the small poem of the Ciris; as the same had before appeared in the Roman impression of 1473. Panzer has not failed to incorporate this observation; noticing, also, copies of this impression in the Pinelli and Loménie Collections. Annal. Typog. vol. iii. p. 106-7. Sardini is unusually superficial. Stor. Crit. di Nicolao Jenson, lib. iii. p. 37.

A life of Virgil, with a variety of poetical opuscula, compreheniaing

[^126]abridgments of the XII. Books of the Fneid, and cpitaphs of Mrecenas and of Virgil, by various illustrious characters, \&c. \&e. precede the first Eciogue: which latter commences with this prefix, on the recto of fol. 22 (fol. 12 wanting in this copy).

## P. VIRGILII MARONIS BVCOLICA. AEGLOGA PRIMA: INTERLOCVTORES MELIBOEVS E'T TI'TYRVS AMICI. ME.

The Eclogues and Georgics comprise 41 leaves. The AEneid contains 126 leaves. The XIIIth lBook, with the minor poems before noticed, also the Etna, De Cantu Sirenarum, Ciris, and Catalecton, followcomprehending 40 leaves-and concluding the impression with the ensuing colophon :

## P. V. MARONIS OPERA FOELICITER FINL, VNT VENETIIS INSIGNITA PER NICOLA VM IENSON GALLICVM. M. CCCC. LXXV.

The reverse is blank. The impression is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords; having 34 lines on a full page. It is the first impression of the poet from the press of Jenson. The present is rather an indifferent copy; in blue morocco binding.

## 507. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by Zarotus. Milan. 1475. Folio.

It is justly observed by Panzer, that Saxius has omitted to mention this edition in his list of the works printed at the Milan press during the year 1475. See the Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. Dlxil-1ir. Denis however has noticed it: frou whose account Panzer borrows his own. Annal. Typog. vol. ii. p. 20-1. Although the present copy be imperfect, the ensuing description may probably be considered sufficiently particular. On the recto of the first leaf, is the following prefix to the first Eclogue :

[^127]To each Eclogue is prefixed the word 'Collocutores,' as well as the names of the characters engaged in it. The Eclogues, Georgics, and Eneid, succeed in regular order; and a distinction of Capitulum primum,' \&c. (vide p. 479,) is made just before the description of the tempest in the first book of the Æneid. This is not common in the earlier editions. At the termination of the Æneid, we read

F inis Summæ Virgilianæ narrationis in tribus operibus Bucolicis . Georgicis . \& Aeneidæ.

The minor poems succeed, beginning with the Copa. These latter conclude with the Priapeia, on the recto of the last leaf. On the reverse of the last leaf, we read only the following colophon:

## . MEDIOLANI

A nno a Natali christiano Milesimo quadringente simo septuagesimoqnto: Octauo Kalen. sextilibus P. Virgilii Maronis partheniæ Opera omnia di ligenter emendata: diligenter impresa sunt $a b$ Antonio Zarohto Parmensi : qui quidem Artifex egregius ppediem multo maiora de se pollicet̃.
V os oh felices cupitis qui plurima scire :
Nummorum quibus est: copia parua domi .
H actenus ille magis sapiens : cui copia maior
Librorum : nunc cui promptius ingenium.
There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords; and a full page contains 41 lines. When in fine condition, this impression must exhibit a very elegant specimen of the printing of Zarotus; who, it must be confessed, from the above colophon, does not seem to have been disposed to conceal the good opinion which he entertained of his own talents from the public. Brunet, upon the authority of Maittaire, describes this edition as containing 123 folios. The present copy is in foreign boards.
508. Virgilius. Opera. Printed by Andreas Portilia. Parma. 1479. Folio.

The recto of the first leaf, sign. a $z$, presents us with this prefix :

## P. Virgilii Maronis Partheniæ Mantuani Bucolicon Liber ad C. Asinium Pollionem Consulari Dignitate Fulgentem .

## Aegloga Prima dicta Tityrus . COLLOCVTORES <br> Melibeus Tityrus

On the reverse of $u v$, we read this subscription to the Eineid:

## FINIS

Summæ Virgilianæ Narrationis In tribus
Operibus Buccolicis
Georgicis \&
Aeneidæ.
The minor poems, including every one before noticed, ensue : terminating the impression on the recto of $\mathrm{y} x$, thus:

Impressum parmæ opera
\& impensis Andreæ Portiliæ Anno domini M. CCCCLXXIX .

Quarto Idus Maii
A table of the contents of the volume immediately precedes the colophon. The signatures, with the exception of $x$, are in tens: $x$ having eight leares. Although the present be an indifferent copy of this edition, the typographical execution of it seems hardly worthy of the credit of Portilia's press. Orlandi and Maittaire notice this impression; and Heyne contents himself with a reference to such authorities. Affo has been particular and exact. Tipografia Parmense, p. Lxvir. In red morocco binding.

## 509. Virgilius. Opera Printed by Albertus

 de Mazalibus. Reggio. 1482. Folio.We have here an impression by no means of ordinary occurrence; and from the omission of it by La Serna Santander and Brunet, as well as by Tiraboschi, Heyne, the Bipont editors, and all the early 13ibliographers, its rarity may be supposed to be considerable. It seems, however, to be a reprint of some preceding edition; and especially of the one just noticed. On the recto of the first leaf, sign. a $z$, we read a pretix to the Eclogues, precisely similar in substance to the foregoing one. The Eclogues terminate on the reverse of b 4. The Georgics, on the reverse of e 3. The legitimate text of the Eneid begins on the reverse of e 4 , thus :

## RMA VIRVMQVE <br> a CANO TROIAE QVI PRIMVS AB ORIS

and concludes on the reverse of $\mathbf{u} 7$-with this subscription:

# Aeneidos Duodecimi \& Vltimi Libri <br> F I N I S 

The Copa, Rosa, Priapeia, Moretum, Dirce, Est et Non, Vir bonus, Culex, De Herculis Laboribus, De Littera Y, De Fortuna, De Orpheo, De Vino et Venere, \&c. ensue - comprehending 19 leaves, and concluding the volume on the reverse of $z 6$, with a table, and colophon-the latter, thus:

> Impressa Sunt Hæc Maronis Opera Regii
> Lepidi cura \& Impensis Alberti De Maza,
> libus Regiensis Anno Salutis. M.CCCC. LXXXII. X. Kale. Aprilis

The signatures, with the exception of $z$, run in eights; and b 4 is erroneously marked b 5. Panzer refers only to Denis, p. 160, for an account of this rare impression. The present may be called a large and beautiful copy: in zed-morocco binding.

## 510. Virgilius. Bucolica. (Printed by Ulric Zel.) Without Place, or Date. Quarto.

Having concluded our account of the various impressions of the entire works of Virgil, in this Collection, we proceed to a deseription of those editions which contain A FEW only of the pieces, more or less, of the same author; and in the order observed in this latter division of the subject, such are first noticed as appear to be the more ancient impressions. Accordingly, we begin with the present one, which is evidently the production of the press of Ulric Zel. Morelli has sinuply described this as 'Editio pervetusta ;' and both Denis and Panzer have arailed themselves of such description only. There can be no doubt of the impression having been executed by Zel, and probably not much later than the year $14 \%$. It is a small quarto of 17 leaves; (as brunet properly observes, vol. ii. p. 648) having 25 lines in each page, except the last. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords, and the 1st, 2nd, 3rd and \%th Eclogues only, have titles prefixed. The first Eelogue begins thus:

## Boublij đirgilij Mgaronis bucolicu tarmen Tucipit. <br> Fitire tu patule recubang for te, gmint fagi. <br> Silucstrem temui mugaj medi tarig aucha .

In the virith Eclogue, which contains the well known repeated verses of 'Incipe Menalios mecum, mea tibia, versus,' and 'Ducite ab urbe domum, mea carmina, ducite Daphnin,' the printer after putting each verse in full, when it first occurs, contents himself, afterwarls, with inserting only the first, or the first two words; as 'Incipe' or 'Incipe menalios, \&c. The last two verses of the xth Buculic, on the reverse of the 17 th leaf, are as follows:

##  Ite domã gature ucnit frguer itc dapelfe.

This copy, which was in the Pinelli Collection, and las been since superbly bound in olive-colour morocco, is rightly called by Morelli ' Exemplar nitidissimum.'

## 511. Virgllius. Moretum, \&c. (By the same

 Printer.) Without Place, or Date. Quarto.Denis would seem to have been acquainted with this impression; and Seemiller has been so particular in his account of it, that little remains to be said by a subsequent bibliographer. Panzer has availed himself more especially of the labours of the latter. Suppl. p. 691, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .6158$; Incunab. Typog. fasc. i1. p. 146 . On the recto of the first leaf we read the commencement, thus :

Foublij birgilij maronis paete optimi moretut Fincipit .
am nox yfinax bix quings pegza't boā Cetrubitargs biem tantu poixera't aled stimulus exigui cultor cā rusitic agri \&c. \&c. \&c.

This poem concludes on the reverse of the 3 d leaf. It is followed by the well known epitaph upon Virgil, in two lines, and a poem of Alanus. The latter occupies the remainder of the volume, or 3 leaves and a half. The title of it is this:
 mulirerg ad mrimoniū $\overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{E}$ autēdag;

The six last verses, and the subscription, are as follow:
fam trape nohilitag. anhue palget gitae'
Si nouifget frigiug . meli9 amare
Cega na biteriugi quegito ptedat (1) $\mathfrak{y c}$ parti birginii racio Jtedat

Cerga nupta birgini . it amore cedat
Ct inupta mulier . nupta autecedat
©xplicit tarmē ricınicum \$ulani

Sllanum freuty hora, tumulo gepeliuit ©ui duo nui peptē , qui tata wibile gituit;

The reader cannot, however, have a correct idea of this whimsical ' rhyming poem' from the preceding extract. In regard to the author of it, Seemiller observes - 'abs dubio est Alanus ab insulis, S. Bernardo contemporaneus, et familiaritate conjunctus.' With respect to the date of the printing of this very rare and curious opusculum, the same Bibliographer is of opinion that it may have been between the years 1466 and 1471 . It is entirely destitute of signatures, catchwords, and numerals. In the whole, 6 leaves, with 24 lines to a full page. The present is a fair copy, in red morocco binding.

## 512. Virgilius. Bucolica et Georgica. (Printed by Gering, Crantz, and Friburger.) Without Place, or Date. Folio.

Although this impression be without indication of printer, there can be no doubt of its having been exccuted by the above-mentioned typographical artists. It seems to have escaped the notice of Chevillier, Panzer, La Serna Santander, and Brunet. How far the text of it may correspond with the impression of the Entire Works of Virgil, printed in 1478 , by the same printers, I am unable to pronounce. The rarity of the volume before us is unquestionably very considerable. It contains 49 leaves; having 32 lines upon a full page. There are neither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. The type is the large roman; used by the above printers, in their earliest attempts, in the 'Maison de Sorbonne.' There are frequent parentheses introduced in the text; as the following verses from the first Eclogue may shew :

Ille meas errare boues (ut cernis) et ipsum
Ludere (quæ uellem) calamo permisit agresti ${ }_{i}$
Spem gregis (ah silice in nudo) connixa reliquit. Sæpe malum hoc nobis (si mens non leua fuisset) \&c. \&c. \&c,

Each Eclogue, and each Book of the Georgics, has a title prefixed. The latter concludes thus, on the recto of the last leaf-the reverse being blank:

Carmina qui lusi pastorum. audaxq; iuuenta
Tityre te patule cecini sub tegmine fagi $i_{i}$
Finis felix Georgicor Virgilii.
The present is a large and desirable copy ; elegantly bound in green morocco.

## 513. Virgilius. Bucolica. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

This impression is not less singular than rare. It seems to have escaped the attention of bibliographers; and a ms. note prefixed to the present copy, by the noble Owner of it, informs us-that this copy ' belonged to the monastery of St. George, near Inspruck, in Tyrol, and was bound up with another book entitled Fior di Virtu, (the latter being the same edition as is mentioned by Panzer, vol. iv. p. 129, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ} .511$, and described in the Bibl. Crevenn. vol. i. p. 177, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 805:) - In the inside was a note, in Latin, by one of the abbots; in which he says, that in 1475 he had ordered these two works to be bound together. This book must therefore have been printed at least as early as the year here last mentioned.' We proceed to a particular description of it. The entire impression comprises 22 leaves. It is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords; and a full page contains 20 lines. Each Eclogue has, what may be called, an explanatory title prefixed; but so confused and corrupt appears to have been the MS . from which the edition was printed, that the 65th verse of the Vth Eclogue immediately follows the 9th verse of the Ind Eclogue. The VIth Eclogue is inserted as the IIId; and the 46th verse of the IInd Eclogue immediately succeeds the 4th of this VIth. The 73 rd verse of the IIIrd Ecloguc directly follows the 27 th verse of the IVth : and the remainder of the IVth is rescrved for the conclusion of the IIIrd; when it follows without a space or notice. The IIIrd Eelogue is again introduced into the IVth, and the 30th verse of the Vth directly follows the 37 th of the IIIrd. We have again the intermixture of the loth verse of the Ind Eclogine, after the 64th of the IIIrd, \&c. \&c. There seems to be no accounting for such extreme confusion and irregularity. The Xth Eclogue has this prefix:
> plocta de ©arli ingano amore Cgloga decima \& ultima.

The subscription on the reverse of the 22 nd leaf, is as follows:

# Finiuntur Bucolita Virgilii Maromis. Sequitur Registrum C Primum batat . P. Virgilit Canalsent Ingere C Quid paegit Alter crit Et cū Quale gopor C Populus Iumgentur Experiar Nesicio guid 

From this it appears that a blank leaf preceded the first printed one; which, indeed, was almost always the case in the nore ancient publications. The present is a beautiful copy of this extraordinary edition : elegantly bound in green morocco.

## 514. Virgilius. Bucolica. Printed by Ferandus. Brescia. Without Dute. Quarto.

Boni is the only author to whom Panzer reters tor an account or this excessively rare and estimable impression ; and, unfortunately; Boni has almost as many errors as lines in his description of it. It is evident, however, that a copy of it was before him, when le described it ; although such gross carelessness is unaccountable. I differ wholly from this bibliographer in his position that the present small volume was ' the earliest specimen of Ferandus's press:'-on the contrary, I incline to believe it to be among the very latest productions of the same press. In the first place, the character of type, here adopted, is peculiar to the latter part of the XVth century; and secondly, all that Gothic rudeness and inequality, from which Boni infers that the book was executed at an early period of the printer's career, is demonstrative, in my humble judgment, of the frequent use of the same letter. If so, this edition was printed about the year 1493, upon the return of Ferandus to Brescia, and upon his resumption of his business. It remains to describe the volume with minuteness. On the recto of the first leaf, sign. $\mathfrak{A}$, the first Eclogue begins thus:
 Fitpre tu patule reculãa guld termic fagi Silutatrē tnui mutian meditatis autha \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page has 26 lines: and the letter $T$, or $M$, is only placedsometimes at the end, and sometimes at the beginning of the verseas indicative of the commencement of the singing of the respective shepherds. The Eclogues succeed each other without any space or prefix, till we reach the 6th Eclogue; where there is a title, in Gothic capitals, similar to the preceding one. The 8th Eclogue has a spacc, but no title, preceding it. The 9 th has a title, thus:
 tuo te macri peata an qua uia ducit: ifurbez (13) Iptida unti puenimux aduena nagtri

\&c. \&cc. \&c.
The xth and last Eclogue begins on the reverse of $b$ vij, at top, without prefix; and concludes on the reverse of the subsequent and last leaf, (b viij) having this colophon beneath :

## शaut bed. Wrixiae per chomam feranaum .

This 'gemma tipografica' (as Boni not inaptly calls it) contains 16 leaves; having the signatures $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ in eights. See the Lettere su $i$ Primi Libri a Stampa di Alcune Città e Terre dell Italia Superiore; p. exxiv-lxxv. The present copy, in russia binding, is in rather tender condition.

## 515. Virgilius. Bucolica. De Littera Y Printed by Damianus and Jacobus Philippus. Brescia. Quarto.

We have here another production of the early Brescia press; which, although probably of a date posterior to that of the preceding article, may be considered a somewhat more important acquisition to the cabinets of the curious. The frontispiece is undoubtedly a very singular one. As I profess myself unable to explain it, and as it is of a very singular and uncommon nature, the reader shall have the power of judging for himself, by being presented with a most perfect representation of it. The title above it, is as follows :

## 72. (Axergilií fflaronts Butcolicum* car= men nuperríme excusum : et bílige tissime emendatum.



On the reverse, we read a prefix of the editor to the reader; which, with the curious little embellishment that follows it, (similar to many of the wood cuts in the earlier publications of our own country) are thus brought to our acquaintance :

## - Funiug Christopharus Cerpelius an Iectorem.

 Quae gracili batum princepg mozulatus auena egt: emendata lubens rarmina fector eme. Whoc tifi ©errafli perfecit cura 马acolit: Cuiug Tristarchum actera lima refert .



The Ist Eclogue begins immediately beneath ; and in the margin we observe two printed various readings; namely, 'Agrestem' for 'Syluestrem' —and ' Protenus' for ' Protinus.' Each Eclogue has a title prefixed; and the names of the 'Collocutores' are designated, in the margin, by their initials. The impression comprises 12 leaves, upon signature $\mathfrak{A}$; and a full page has 38 lines. On the reverse of the 12 th and last leaf, we read the conclusion of the Xth Eclogue, and the whole of 'the Letter $\mathrm{Y}:$ ' the latter beginning thus:

LFttera phthagorac bigerimine geta hitarni : ficumanar bitac gyecicm pracferre bibetur . \&c. \&cc. \&ce.

At bottom, the colophon is as follows :
 $\mathfrak{f r} \overline{\mathrm{E}}^{2}$.
The present very curious and estimable little volume is also in tender condition. It is in russia binding,

## 516. Virgiliocentones Veteris et Novi Trestamentr. (Printed at Hulle.) Without Date. Quarto.

The beautiful condition of this curious, rather than greatly valuable impression, is not exceeded by that of any other volume in the Collection. It may be classed in its present order, (similar to the arrangement observed in the Plautina Dicta; see p. 246 ante, since it contains excerpts from the works of Virgil, applicable to descriptions of certain events related in the Old and New Testaments. A more particular explanation will presently follow. The recto of the first leaf ( $\mathfrak{A} \mathfrak{j}$,) contains the title, as above, printed in large lower-case Gothic type. An address from the editor to the reader occupies each side of the ensuing leaf. Some preliminary verses ' Oterøus prefibantes boc opuøculum' follow, on the recto of $\mathfrak{a}$ iij-having the word ' $\ddagger$ irgitiocen. sones' prefixed. 'These verses conclude on the reverse of $\mathfrak{A}$ iiij. 'The first extract from the poet is made to represent the Creation of the World, thus:
 creatione efli et terre. IUtentemq; glohum func gofigqz lafores Tpic pater pitatuit. hog a clarigima mundi \&c. \&cc. \&c.

A full page has 17 lines. The various descriptions of the Morning are extracted to represent the first six days of the Creation : and the text of ' $I t$ is not good for man to be alone,' is placed in the margin opposite these verses:

## Oterif fint ali9 net quision et agmine tāto Fuact adire birū gociugig in regna bocari \&c. \&cc. \&c.

The "Temptation of Eies' is called to the recollection of the editor, by the following :
fortumati ambo. git meng non leua fuigict Coniugig infande dacuit pagt exitug imgeng

# ¥ang biex infanai erat. per flotea ruta dece inimicus atrax. immenyit orbifut anguix Scptem ingeng giras geptena bolumina heyat 

\&cc. \&cc. \&c.

The dove seen over the head of our Savinur, after his baptism, is thought not to be inaptly described by these lines:

## 美ultatq; bnioa $\mathfrak{e t}$ gubito cōmota rolitha §euolat. atg taput gup aftitit inve repente $^{\text {g }}$ fesit iter liquiaum gitlerex neq3 tōmout alag

The Crucifixion and Ascension are also introduced; but the preceding are sufficient to give the reader something like a correct notion of the nature of this extraurdinary performance. On the reverse of $\mathbb{C} \mathfrak{b i j}$ the work concludes; having the shields, as noticed at page 143 ante, beneath; and from which I have ventured to ascribe the impression to the Halle press. This beautiful copy is elegantly bound in green morocco.

## 517. Servii Commentarii in Virgilium. Printed by Valdarfer. Venice. 1471. Folio. /

Having concluded the description of those impressions which contain only the text of the poet, we proceed to those which have the Commentary of Servius, accompanied only by very small portions of the text. The present is, in all probability, the very earliest impression extant; and for reasons, which the reader will presently peruse, an order of arrangement is here adopted different from that observed by Panzer. This impression has received due notice by Maittaire, De Bure, and Heyne. Consult the authorities referred to by Panzer, vol. iii. p. $\boldsymbol{7 9}, \mathrm{n}^{\circ} .59$. It is the first of the two editions, of the same date, executed by Valdarfer. On the recto of the first leaf, we read the commencement of the Life of Virgil, thus:

IRGILIVS. MARO. PARENTI, BVS. MODICIS. FVIT: ET. PRæ, \&c. \&c. \&c.

A full page contains 40 lines. The reverse of the 7 th leaf is blank. On the recto of the 8th the Commentary upon the Bucolics begins, and ends on the recto of fol. 35 , thus:

## . FINIS. BVCOLICORVM.

The reverse is blank. The recto of the following leaf presents us with the beginning of the Commentary upon the Georgics; which ends at fol. 101, reverse.

## . FINIS . GEORGICORVM.

The Feneid follows; concluding on the reverse of fol. 345, and last; immediately beneath the explanation of the last verse 'Vitaque, \&c. The colophon is thus:

In commune bonum mandasti plurima formis Ratisponensis gloria Cristophore :
Nunc etian docti das commentaria Serui In quibus exponit carmina Virgilii
Diuulgasq; librum qui rarior esse solebat Vt paruo precio quisq; parare queat :
Hunc emite o Iuuenes : opera Carbonis ad unguem Correctus uestris seruiet ingeniis:

## M . CCCC . LXXI .

The impressiou is without signatures, numerals, and catchwords; and spaces uniformly occur, both for titles to the several books, and for the introduction of the Greek passages from Homer. The present is a fine copy ; in red morocco binding.
518. Servii Commentarii in Virgilium. Printed by Bernardus and Dominicus Cenninus. Florence. 1471-2. Folio.

Audiffredi has been unusually elaborate in his description of this important impression, from two copies of it which he had himself examined, and from the account which Bandini had given in his Spec. Lit. Florent. Sac. XV. vol. ii. p. 190. De Bure has been entirely
indebted to Maittaire's description in the Annal. Typog. vol. i. p. 320, note * : which is far from being a superficial one. We may observe a medium between these extremes. On the recto of the first leaf, without prefix, the Commentary upon the Bucolics commences thus:

## VCOLICA VT FERVNT DICTA SVNT ACV, STODIA BOVMIDEST PRECIPVA ENIM SVNT ANIMALIA APVD RVSTICOS BO

 ues. \&c.A full page has 43 lines. On the reverse of fol. 20, following the conclusion of the Commentary upon the Bucolics, we read this subscription :

## AD LECTOREM <br> FLORENTIAE. VII. IDVS NOVEMBRES . MCCCCLXXI.

BERNARDVS Cennnius* aurifex omniumi udicio prastantissimus: \& Dominicus eius. F. egregiæ indolis adolescens: expressis ante calibe caracteribus, ac dein, de fusis literis uolumen hoc primium impresserunt. Petrus cenninus Bernardi eiusdem. F. quanta potuit cura \& diligētia emendauit ut: cernis. Florentinis ingeniis nil ardui est.
The Commentary upon the Georgics follows; which concludes on the reverse of fol. 55 -having the ensuing subscription :

## SERVII HONORATI GRAMMATICI IN GEOR gica maronis explanatio Explicit

## AD LECTOREM <br> FLORENTIAE. V. IDVS IANVARIAS . MCCCCLXXI.

Bencath, there is precisely the same matter as has been just extracted, relating to the two printers. The Commentary upon the Eneid follows ; and occupies, according to Audiffredi, 130 leaves. It is succeeded by a small grammatical tract of Servius - entitled ' dE Natura

Syllabarum; which contains only 4 pages. This tract will not be found in the other early impressions of Servius's Commentary here described. At the termination of this Opusculum, a part of the preceding subscription is again introduced; after whieh, we learn that ' no pains were spared, in the examination of numerous copies, that the public should be presented with the legitimate works only of the Commentator.' The two last linés are as follows:

## ABSOLV'TVM OPVS NONIS OCTOBRIBVS. - MCCCCLXXII. FLORENTIAE.

There are neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords ; and spaces are uniformly left for the insertion of the Greek passages.

It remains to observe, first, that this volume does not exhibit, as Mr. Roscoe is inclinel to suppose, the earliest fruits of the Florentine press. Audiffredi arranges it as the third book; and thinks that 'the typographic art was flourishing at Florence in the year 1472.' Secondly, this learned bibliographer concludes that there is probably an error in the second subscription of the date mcccelxxi; which, he imagines, ought rather to be mcccclxxir-but he forgot that the ecclesiastical commencement of the year took place in March; and the preceding subscriptions are alone a confirmation of every thing which has been before advanced upon this subject. According to the reckoning of Audiffredi, this impression contains, in the whole, 155 leaves. Edit. Ital. p. 258-260. The present is rather a desirable copy of it: in Russia binding.

## 519. Servii Commentarif in Virgilium. IVithout Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Folio.

The present is the seventh specimen of the type of this impression, which has been already submitted to the attention of the reader. Maittaire observes that the edition bears 'every mark of antiquity;' and De Bure, in the Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. S\%, n. 2454, thinks, with sufficient reason, that it might have been printed about the year 1472. It is uniformly executed in double columns, and a full page contains 56 lines. There are ncither signatures, numerals, nor catchwords. The following extract, from the opening of the work, on the
recto of the first leaf, affords a curious specimen of the attempt to supply the want of Greek characters. I have marked the word alluded to in italics:

> Mauri Seruii Honorati grāmatici : omē tarius in bucolica Virgilii incipit. Prologus VCOLICA VT ferūt dicta sunt a potobokolon. id $\bar{e}$ a custodia boum. Precipua enī sunt apud rusticos aīalia \&c. \&cc. \&c.

Each book begins and concludes with a prefix in small or lower-case letter. On the recto of fol. 158, and the last of the Commentary, about a third part down the first and only column, we read the conclusion thus:
adhuc habitare nature legibus poterat. Sic homerus. Amen.

A copious alphabetical index, of 22 leaves, closes the impression. The present may be called a fine copy, in russia binding, having rough edges at bottom. The paper is of admirable manufacture.

## 520. Servii Commentarii in Virgilium. Printed by Ulric Han. Rome. Without Date. Folio.

I ans induced to insert this impression in its present order, from a persuasion that it could not have been printed before the year 1473, (if so early,) owing to the great quantity of Greek type which appears throughout; and especially towards the latter part of it. Audiffredi, notwithstanding his acknowledged aversion to the reputation of Ulric Han, is compelled to admit the beauty and utility of this edition. - Graecus character, (says he,) pro locis Graecis adhibitus fuit, et quidem satis elegans: neque in magno foliorum numero, quae cum in principio, tum in medio, ac fine voluminis, inspicere lubuit, locum ullum offendimus, in quo is deesset.' This is reluctant but great
praise from such an authority. When, in the subjoined note, Audiffredi observes that there is no work of Ulric Han which can be compared with this, even on the score of the Roman type - he appears to make a distinction without any real difference; siace it is quite manifest that the type is precisely similar to that used in the Livy, Ilutarch, Justin, and Juvenal, before noticed. The accidental beauty of the copy inspected by Audiffredi can only account for such an observation.

On the recto of the first leaf, after a title in 3 lines of capital letters, we read the opening of the Commentary upon the Bucolics, thus:

## VCOLICA V'T FERVNT INDE

dicta a custodia boum : idest $\alpha \pi 0 \tau \omega \nu$ Bouxo
$\lambda \omega \nu$. Præcipua enim sunt animalia apud ru,
A full page has 41 lines. There are titles throughout; but neither numerals, signatures, nor catchwords. On the recto of fol 320 and last, we read the conclusion of the Commentary upon the Eineid, and the colophon, thus:

Sic Homerus Laus tibi Criste Iesu initiū atq; finis omniū re\%. amē

> Anser Tarpeii custos Iouis: unde $q$ alis Constreperes. Galluṣ decidit Vltor adest. Vdalricus Gallus : ne quem poscant in usum Edocuit pennis nil opus esse tuis.
> Imprimit ille die : quantum non scribit anno Ingenio. haud noceas omnia uincit homo.

The present is probably the same impression of which Masvicius has spoken in such warm commendatory terms: see the extract in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 316. Unluckily, this copy is rather in tender condition. It is bound in red morocco.

## 521. Servii Commentarii in Virgilium. Printed at Milan. 1475. Folio.

This volume is rather a typographical curiosity. The singularity of its having catchwords, on the reverse of a few of the leaves, which are sometimes inserted at right angles, at the end of the last line, and sometimes in the middle, beneath the last line-has been duly noticed by Maittaire and Panzer; although Saxius, Morelli, and De Bure have omitted to mention it. Nor was La Serna Santander apparently aware of this singular deviation from the usual mode of printing catchwords. See Annal Typog. Maitt. vol. i. p. 349, note 5; Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. Dlxilı, note (y ;) Bibl. Pinell. vol. iii.p. 118 ; Cat. de la Valliere, vol. ii. p. 84, ${ }^{\circ}$. 2435, (which copy was sold for 230 livres;) and the vth vol. or Supplément au Catalogue, \&c. de M. C. de La Serna Santander, 1803, p. 29, 30. Saxius makes no doubt of the book having been printed at Milan, and Panzer ascribes the execution of it to Zarotus. As far as I have examined it, it appears to be a faithful reprint of the edition of Valdarfer, noticed at p. 494 ante. The Greek passages from Homer are uniformly omitted. The conclusion is similar to that of Valdarfer's impression; and the imprint is as follows :

Anno a Natali christiano millessimo quadrigentessimo septuagessimo quinto Kalendis decēbribg Diuo Galeacio maria ffortia uicecomite Mediolani Duce quinto florente hoc opus non indiligenter est impressum.

In the whole, 317 leaves. There are neither signatures nor numerals. In French calf binding, gilt leaves.

## 522. Vitruvius. Without Name of Printer,

 Place, or Date. Folio.Editio Princeps. Such is the rarity of this impression, that Cardinal Quirini, in his Litteratura Brixiana, p. 122, was induced to doubt its existence. Ernesti has well described it, in his Bibl. Lat. Fabr. vol. i. p. 483; observing that Latin words are substituted for those in the Greek language, and that, where many Greek
words, or verses, appear, a space is left for their insertion in MS. Audiffredi has, as usual, been exact and particular; availing himself of the authority of Polenus, in his Exercitat. Vitruvian. p. 5-10. We gather from the editor, Sulpitius, that the edition was printed at Rome; and from Audiffredi it should seen that the printor wats Georgius Herolt; and that the type resembles that with which Herolt executed the 'Origen contra Celsum,' 1481 , folio: see vol i. j). 217 220, for a particular description of this latter work. The date of 1486 is assigned by Polenus for that of the present impression. De Bure appears to have been ignorant of its existence. Edit. Rom. p. 383; Bibliogr. Instruct. vol. ii. p. 565.

On the reverse of the first leaf, we read an address of Io. Sulpitius to the reader; concluding thus :
primus hoc in stadio curro: \& ad certamen uia iam liberalit̃ strata reliquos Inter se excito. Vale iam: \& liuore lectio careat: Age q; ut ego cum aliis te quoq; sine odio commendare possimus: .

An index follows. On the reverse of fol. 3, is an address by Sulpitius to Riarius and Camerarius, concluding on the reverse of fol. 4. On the recto of fol. 5 , is the preface of the author, addressed to Augustus. The first chapter of the work begins on the reverse. On the reverse of the last leaf but one, we read the following subscription :

## . L. VICTRVVII POLLIONIS DE ARCHI'TECTVRA FINIS.

Io. Sulpitius lectori salutem.
Lector habes tandem ueneranda uolumina docti Victruuii : quorum copia rara fuit.
Hæc lege: nam disces: noua: magna: recondita: pulchra: Et quæ sint in re sæpe futura tuo.
Emendata uides: sed peccat littera siqua Corrige : nemo satis lynceus esse potest.

The recto of the following and last leaf is occupied by the 'Corrigenda' and register. In the whole, 96 leaves. There is but one mathema-
tical figure, by way of illustration, in the volume. For an account of the impression of Frontinus, which is joined to it, see vol. iii. of this work. The present is rather an indifferent copy; in red morocco binding.
523. Xenophon. De Vita Tyrannica. Latinè. Without Name of Printer, Place, or Date. Quarto.

Both Denis and lanzer refer to the Bibl. Pinell. vol. i. p. 199, $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 1123, for an account of this impression - which is there thus described 'Libellus ex editione perantiqua, charactere Romano, sine numeris, signaturis et custodibus; cujus pagina quælibet lineas quinque ac viginti habet.' The type is sufficiently rude; having, in some places, the same blurred appearance as the typography of the Greek and Latin impression of the Muobatrachomyomachia, described at page 53 ante. In the opinion of some bibliographer, it may be a character between that of Laver and Schurener. The tract commences thus, on the recto of the first leaf:

## LEONARDI ARETINI AD NICOLA VM NICOLI DE VITA TIRAMNICA PROHEMIVM INCIPIT.

Enofontis phylosophi quēdā libellum: quem ego ingenii ex \&c. \&cc. \&cc.

On the reverse of the 2nd leaf is the following prefix to the version itself :

# XENOPHONTIS PHYLOSOPHI LI BER DE VITA TIRAMNICA PER LE ONARDVM TRADVCTVS INCIPIT IN QVO HIERONEM TIRAMNVM ET SIMONIDEM POETAM COLLO QVENTES INDVCIT. 

The tract, in the whole, contains 18 leaves; and may be considered as a curious and rare specimen of ancient printing. This copy is in red morocco binding.

With this Article we conclude the second, and principal, diwision of the Contents of the Library under description. A few Editions, which have unavoidably escaped notice, will be found in the Supplemest to the last volume of the work: together with others, which have been acquired since this Division was committed to the press. Meanwhile, those Readers who are acquainted with the more rare and raluable editions of the ANCIENT CLASSICS, will be ready to express their gratification at the number of them which the foregoing pages supply:-a nuinber, probably, unparallelled in any private Collection in Europe.


Printed by William Bulmer and Co. Sbafypuare ferte,
Cleveland Row, St. James's, London.



[^0]:    - This account is not repeated in the reprint of the volume in 1733 ; page 753 : but the note only is inserted. The note may be worth submitting to the reader's attention.

[^1]:    -' Claudiani tres de Proserpinæ raptu libros in duas Heroicas Trageedias, constantes tribus Actibus singulas, vir quidam nescio quis artificiosè distribuit, Poetæque ipsa verba integra ordinemque inviolatum servavit. Libèt hic lectori curioso totum opus rarum certc̀ nec ubivis obvium describere. Hunc vidi librum in lectissimâ illustrissimi Ducis Devonie Bibliothecâ, cujus adeundæ copiam mihi sepe dat vir ille pranobilis et perhumanus. Ibid.

[^2]:    - A fac-simile of the type of this printer will be found in the third volume of this work. VOL. 11 .

[^3]:    * Benfdictus Brognolus sine Brugnoneusleniacensis(Legnano) vir fuit litteris probe excultus, et grammaticusatatissua excellentissimus, quod inter alia ingenii monumenta Prisciani - de octopartibus orationis' libri, quos emendauit, testantur.' Freytag, Adpar. Litteror, vol. ii. p. 795. Menage, the celcbrated editor of Diogenes Laertius, observes that Julius Cæsar Scaliger, had been a pupil of Brognolus; but, as Freytag justly remarks, the observation is void of truth. The letter of Joseph Scaliger, the son, which Freytag subjoins, and which puts the subject beyond contradiction in favour of Freytay's inference, is so interesting upon this point, that I cannot forbear inserting it in the present place : 'Cum heroum suorum opus (quod prodiit Lugd. Bat. 1559, 4to.) contexens ad multum noctis lucubrasset, absoluto libro, post caenulam quiete compositus, imaginatus est [pater meus] in ade Mariæ antiquæ Veronensis, vbi sunt monimenta gentis nostræ, hominem procerum, ac gravem sibi obviam factum secum expostulare, quod se inter heroas suos non collocasset. orare igitur, ut hoc faceret: se Benedictum Brugnozum essc, domo Leniaco, qui patrem Benedictum ac patruos literas primas docuisset: ipsum quoque puerulum aliquando inter ulnas gestasset. Venetiis se ultinum diem obiisse, ibique sepultun esse. Experrectus, somnium clegia clegantissima expressit, que calci heroum addita cst. Ipse vero nmquam scivit, quis esset Brugnolus ille, neque quid portenderet somnium. Et profecto ego quoque nihil unquam aliud, quam somnium credidi, donec anno 1566, cum essem in Italia, et M. Antonio Murcto exposuissem, me habere in anmo Vcnetias proficisci, ille inter alia, que in ea urbe digna cognitu sunt, refert monimentum esse Benedicti Brugnoli, leniacensis, excellentissimi ævo suo grammatici, qui, ut ejus cpitaphium fert, et principes et proceres ævi sui in Norico litteras docuerit. Id scpulcrum digyum esse quod studiosos antiquitatis oculos moraretur. Neque tamen magis memincrat Muretus somnii patris mei, quam pater meus sciebat, quis esset Benedictus Brugnolus.' Freytag adds the elegy written by Julius Cosar Scaliger, the father; which is very elegant and interesting, but is too long for insertion. It is singularly entitled 'Somnium non fictum de re tamen penitus ignota mihi.' Ibid.

[^4]:    * In the Introd. to the Classics, vol. i. p. 527, note, the same copy is mentioned. Mr. G. Nicol, lis Majesty's bookseller, informs me that it is of singular beauty, and in fine preservation.

[^5]:    * The reversed semicolon, so frequent in the printing of the above ancient Parisian printers, is almost a decisive testimony in farour of assigning this inpression to the press of the same artists,

[^6]:    * Laire, in his Spec. Hist. Typog. Rom. p. 179, note f, mentions an edition of Florus, appended to the Justin of 1472, printed by Sweynheym and Pannartz. There is no such impression of Florus in the copy of Justin, of this date, in the Library here described; and it is almost certain that no such impression is in existence. Laire does not mention where a copy of it is to be seen; which has properly excited the suspicion and severity of Audiffredi, Edit. Rom. p. 98. Ernesti and De Bure had the same notion, with Laire,

[^7]:    respecting the existence of a Florus of 1472 ; but if the reader will take the trouble of consulting the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 16, and note*, he will find that such an edition of Florus is, in all probability, supposititious.

[^8]:    * Audiffredi, in his account of this Roman inpression, borrows largely from Mittarelli, App. Cod. MSS. S. Michael. \&.c. col. 193; and does not seem to dispute the coeval existence of a Greek printed text ; or rather, that the copy described by Mittarelli, contained a Greck text, conformable to the version of the Roman impression. Speaking of the typography of this Roman edition, he obscrves: ' Editio est optimo charactere Romano, cujus vestigium nullum in aliis Romanis editionibus me vidisse memini.' Edit. Rom. p. 325. De Bure (vide supra) says it is inferior in typographical beauty to the Bologna edition of Plato de Benedictis; but it is questionable whether he cver saw this latter impression.

[^9]:    - The observation of Saxius is as follows: 'Extat in Bibliotheca Archintca: deest ultima pagina, sed annum, nomenque Typographi, ex alio fortasse exemplari desumpta, restituit diligens calamus.' Perlaps Saxius never saw it ; as he does not notice in what language it is printed. He makes Zarotus the printer.

[^10]:    * A further short extract from the above work may not be unacceptable. 'In the Bibl. Askev. no. 1876, there was the following note [by Dr. Taylor] written in the copy which was sold at the sale for 14l. 14s. 'This book is so extremely rare, that I never saw any other cupy of it, except that of Mons. de Boze, who told me he gave 6 ăo livres for it. Mr. Smith, our Consul at Venice, wrote me word that he had purchased a copy, but that it was imperfect. Lord Oxford offered Maittaire 50 guineas for the identical copy.' The supposed Milan impression, of the date of 1485 , is merely supposititious Saxius notices such an impression on the information of a friend ; who had described it as being printed in red and black lines-evidently the edition here above described. See the Hist. Lit. Typag. Mediol. p. dexxxi, note q.

[^11]:    * A few words may however here be said in regard to the printer and patrons of such a magnificent publication. Mr. Beloe has rather a copious notice of this edition ; the materials of which were, in a great measure, supplied him by the manuscript renarks of the late Bishop of Ely. The 'Nerlil brothers', as the Bishop thought, and as Mr. Belue properly cuncludes, had no share in the printing; although it would be with difficulty that I should adopt the reason of the former for this opinion-namely, 'eos vero in officina curas descendisse minus probabile est ?-as some of the most eminent and learned men were engaged in 'the cares of a printing office. The expression 'Demetrii Cretensis dexteritatem'-as Mr. Belne rightly observes- 'plainly implies somewhat of nuechanical operation :' and Panzer, vol. v. p. 507, places Demetrius Cretensis in his list of priuters, and as the artist who executed the present work. That Demetrius Cretensis was a printer, is evident from his preface prefixed to the Greek Grammar of Constantine Lascaris: "Visum itaque milhi est, primò Constantini Grammaticam imprimere'-Deude-majora quoque ac prastantiora, Deo volente, attingere. The present work is, in all prolability, among the 'Opera majora' lere meditated by D. Cretensis. The reader will find this preface in Saxius's Hist. Lit. Typeg. Mediol. p. cecelxi; and Bibl. Smith. pt. ii. p. clxirr. It was the same printer, as the Bishop justly supposed, who afterwards went to Alcala, and assisled in the execution of the Complutensian Polyglutt, under the patrouage of Cardinal

[^12]:    * Audiffredi notices a copy in the posscssion of the Abbé Nicolas de Rossi, as 'exemplum intonsum :' Edit. Ital. p. 309.

[^13]:    - The 'Sermones Horati'' described by Maittaire, vol. i. 296, as being in Gothic character, and of the date of 1470 , is probably a purely supposititious edition. De Bure knew nothing of it. It appears to be of this impression, that Mitscherlich judiciously remarks' De hoc libro nihil sane liquet.' Another observation of Mitscherlich may be worth atten-

[^14]:    - Sic.
    + This point yet merits particular investigation. La Serna Santander tells us that, in a work entitled ' Pongre Lingua' printed in 1472, by Philip de Lignamine (sec his Dict. Bibliggr. (huisi, vol. ii. no. 403), it is said that, since the year 1470 , this printer had eveculed at Rome, Quintilian, Suctonius, the Discourses of St. Leo, and the Opescrea Horatir. He supposes that the 'Sermones Horatin' - of which Maitaire makes mention as the first edition of the Satires, and of which there was a copy in Dr. Askew's collection (Bill. Asker. no. 1946)-might have been this very production of P. de Lignamine's press. Brunet seems to subscribe to the same opinion. But both notice this latter impression as being printed in the Gothic charactcr; a eircunstance which alone defeats such a conclusion-as the works attributed to Lignaminc's press (see the Sermones Leovis in vol. i. p. 216.) are not executed in the same character. How far an edition of the Satires, announced in a doubtful mamer in the Bibl. Petav. and Mansart, p. iii, no. 1290, may answer to the description, I cannot determine. Brunet; vol. i. p. 563.

[^15]:    * Sic.

[^16]:    - I have called the volumes quartos, from courtesy to established usage; and although Count Reviczky, in his ms. memoranda, is decisive upon this point-from the supposed horizontal water mark-yet these latter are so faintly marked that it is doubtful whether the work be not, in its original form, a folio.

[^17]:    - Sic.
    $\dagger$ - ' e per sentenza di questi Scrittori la edizion Ferrarese tiene is terzo posto, dopo le due Milanesi per Antonio Zaroto, \&c. - Ma per non mover lite di precedenza saremo contenti di dire, che la edizion Ferrarese fu tra le prime.'

    Larufaldi; p. 6 g.

[^18]:    * See Typographical Antiquities of Great Britain ; vol. ii. p. 534. edit. 1810.

[^19]:    VOL. II.

[^20]:    - It is not altogether improbable that the St. Austin De Civit. Dei of 1467, printed at the Soubiaco Monastery, in double columns, might have been the model after which the present impression was executed : see vol. i. p. 167-170.

[^21]:    * See the Dict. Bibliogr. Choisi, vol. i. p. 140, note 101. In this note Santander refers to the catalogue of his own books, vol. i. wo. 1715, for a description of the Perotti Rudiment. Grammat. 1474, as the first book to which the name of Pannartz, alone, is subjoined. It is hard to be obliged to correct an author's reference to the catalogue of his own books-but this description will be found in the Cat. de Santander, vol. ii. 2715. Fossi has made an crroneous reference to the first instead of to the fifth volume of De Bure. Panzer, vol. v. 265 , inserts the above impression under the Opera Omnia of Josephus. Meusel is remarkably laconic and imperfect in his mention of this inpressiou. Bibl. Hist. Struv. vol. i. pt. ii. p. 212.

[^22]:    * Since writing the above, I have seen a copy of this edition in the possession of Mr. Cochrane, bookseller, who has described it, chiefly from Santander, in his catalogue of 1813. In this copy the running titles are uncoloured; and, from their appearance, I incline to think that they were printed subsequently to the body of the text.

[^23]:    - It is curious that this group of fighting soldiers is introduced, several times, within other letters, but in a less perfect and compact form : a practice by no means uncommon with the early printers-and which seems to be a conclusive demonstration that the compoiaent parts were formed of wood. Indeed, there can be little doubt of all these capital initials being wooden and not metal types.

[^24]:    * It is probably unique; as neither De Bure nor Brunet notice another. Bibliogy: Instmect. vol. v. p. 254-5; Manuel du Libraire, vol. i. p. 604.
    $\dagger$ lublished in 8vo. at Lyons, 1791 ; a very judicious and valuable Catalogue of Books ! 1bid.

[^25]:    * 'Gronovius, in his edition of 1760 , informs us he saw two copies of this edition, and that neither of them contained Florus. See Panzer, vol. ii. p. 431: whose account is copied verbatim hy the Bipont Editors. The authorities referred to by Panzer, do not justify him in adding Florus to the above impression.' Introd, to the Classics, vol. ï. p. 16, note.

[^26]:    ' ' discerncre' in each of the following impressions.

[^27]:    ' 'concupis:' in the following ones-with the exception of the Brescia edition.

    * ' Di' in the three following-atter the Brescia edition.

    3 'perit' in Ulric Han's edition.
    4 ' concta'- Brescia edition.
    b ' Longiuun :' in the edition following the Brescia.
    6 'ratus' in the edition following the Brescia.
    7 'cohtumque' in all the following ones.
    8 'trepidahis'-in all the following ones.
    9 ' conctis'-Brescia edit.

    * sic for ' bibuntur.'

    10 ' time' inserted before 'cum'-in each of the following editions: in Ulric Han's, the verse concludes with ' sumas.'
    " 'sentinum' in the three editions following the Brescia.

[^28]:    ' ' laudes' in the following ones.

    * S.c-for ' quotiens.'

[^29]:    - The $e$, intended for a diphthong one, having an incerted comma beneath, is perfect in this impression; but in the Lucretius of 1473 ; this inverted comma has been broken off; and such diphthong is, I believe, no where visible in the copy of this latter work in the present Collection.

[^30]:    * What Ruperti says of this Brescia edition of 1473 , may, in all probability, apply to the one above described. "Hrec quoque editio nondum a quoquam, quad sciam, conlata est. Describitur quidem in Specim. Literar. Brixiensis, pt. i. p. 195, suq. sed non ita, ut criticum ejus usum inde cognoscere liceat.' The best description of the Brescia edition of 1473 , containing ouly 60 leaves, may be found in the Catalogue Raisonné de Crevenn. of 1775 ; vol. iii. p. 242; and not in the sale catalogue, as referred to by La Serna Santander. I have examined the work referrel to by Ruperti, and find the inference drawn by him to be just ; but an impression of Persius alone, seems there described, at p. 127.
    t Notwithstanding, the edition mentioned by Audiffredi had also the peculiarity of having occasionally Gothic capitals; but the edition described by him has 36 lines. It must therefore be a difierent one.

[^31]:    * In the preceding impression there is a space left for the insertion of this Greek character : evidently from the printer not being in possession of ally. Frum the $\tilde{\omega}$, in the above impression, I am decidedly of opinion that the edition was printed at Venice.

[^32]:    * Strictly, a ridge : but see the Glossarium Manuale compiled from Du Fresne, Du Cange, and Charpentier, vol. v. p. 732.

[^33]:    * In the secoud alphabet, UU and IWW are omitted.
    † This occupies one lise and two thirds of another, in the original.

[^34]:    * Sic.

[^35]:    *The reader is presented with the following Various Readings in the first 21 verses of the first book, from the editions here subjoined. The entire text has been collated

[^36]:    * These particulars are worth stating, in case any one should become possessed of fragments of an old Lucretius, which may be supposed to belong to this impression.

[^37]:    * Count Reviczky thus mentions the probable cause of the error. 'Error videtur fluxisse ex prafatione Io. Andreæ Aleriens. Episc. Sc. ad Gellium anno 1468, ubi occasione hujus editionis innuit se non latina tantum Gellii recognovisse sed et greca- in Aulo, Macrobio, Apuleio,-\&c. perquisivisse atque indagasse-ex quibus non sequitur eum omncs hos Scriptores revera edidisse, \&c.'

[^38]:    * -' troviamo nel Catalogo-(Bibl. Pub. Univ. Lug. Bat. 1716, fol. p. 267) notato un Codice manoscritto desti Epigrammi di Marziale, in questi termini : 'Martialis M. Valerii Epigrammata Ferrariae 1471. a viro docto conscripta.' Non è noto chi fosse il personaggio dotto, che scrissc tal codice. Ma l' occcupazion di trascriverlo di proprio pugno, pare che nou potesse intra, 1 rendersi che da un Genio inchinato a favor di Marziale; Se questo poi fosse indizio di buono o di cattivo gusto, non è da noi, nè dell' instituto nostro il definirlo.' Baruffaldi, Tipugraf. Ferrar. p. 30. Baruffaldi does not supply the reference to the Leyden Cataloguc, as here given.
    $\dagger$ Sic.

[^39]:    * It is, however, very probable that the paginary transpositions in the present copy, are the effect of the binder's ignorance, or want of attention.

[^40]:    * I am indebted to the ms. memoranda of Count Reviczky ior this remark.
    + Braun has referred erroneously to the IId part of the first volume of Meusct's edition of Struius, Bibl. Hist. instead of to the Ist part of the same volume-p. 59.
    $\ddagger$ Sic.
    VOL. II.

[^41]:    - These verses also appear in the edition of Lconardus Basiliensis; but the words - Leonardus' and 'Basilea' are substituted for those of 'Hermannus' and 'de Colonia.' From a note in the Supplement to the Cat. de la Valliere, p. 68, no. 4592 , it would seem that Lichtenstein and Leonardus Basiliensis were the joint publishers of the edition; and that each substituted his name according to the divided portion of the impression.

[^42]:    * The reader may be pleased by perusing an animated address to Thomas Junta-a descendant of Philip, the printer of the above work-from the pen of the venerable Conrad Gesner. It is in the latter's Pandects, pt. i. fol. 329, and dated August, 1548. Maittaire has copied this address in his Annal. Typog. vol. iii. p. 250. Bandini, pt. i. p. 19-20, refers only to Maittaire ; being apparently igmorant of the work of Gesner : a work, which has been already recommended to public attention: see the Bibliomania, p. 40, note. Gesner is warm in his eulogy of the typographical fame of the Junt.玉. FAmily; and whoever reads the prefix to the Juntæ Priscian of 1554, as it is extracted by Bandini, pt. i. p. 31, will admit that Gescer has been just as well as generous in his commendation.
    $\dagger$ ' Exstabat (says he) olim Florentiae in Bibliotheca Ducis Salviati, ubi hanc rarissimam editionem videre mihi contigit. Illam antem possidet eruditissimus Marchio Cæsar Lucchesinins Lucae in sua privata lectissima Bibliotheca. A quo exactissimam Libri recensionem acceptam referimus.'
    $\ddagger$ De principe editione vide Hambergerum et Gesnerum in prafatione ad posterioris editionem pag. vin. sq. Illa quamvis scateat mendis, tamen basis erat editionum sequenผimm usque ad Stephanum.' Harles refers only to the superficial notice of it by De Bure.

[^43]:    * Brunet thus observes upon Its rarity: 'Aucuit exemplaire complet noa encare, à ma connaissance, été mis en vente dans ces derniers temps.' He further remarks upon the arrangement of the several pieces in the copy in the Imperial Library; noticing that the Metamorphoses contain 155 leaves, of which the reverse of the last leaf is blank: the Jbis contaius 9 leaves, of which the last concludes on the recto: the Fasti, 6.4 leaves; couclucling with Laus Deo: the Tristia, De Punto, Pulex, Philomela, Medicamen Fuciei, and Nuxin the whole, 94 leaves. The Ars Amutoriu and Remedium Amoris contain, together, 41 leaves. From this statement, it is evident that the copy is not complete; as it wants the Epistles. Brunet also observes that these parts are not always bound in the same oder. Manuel du Libruire, vol. ii. p. w2j-6.

[^44]:    * See the list of books contained in it, in vol. i. p. 160-1. A gross error has been committed in my Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 106, relating to this epistle. It is there said that the present edition of Ovid contains it ; which is now shewn to be contrary to the fact. The question may be reduced to a single point. When the Bishop of Aleria wrote the prefatury epistle to this impression of Ovid, Paul II. was Pope; when the above snpplicatory epistle, appended to De Lyra's commentary, was written-and in which this edition of Orid is noticed-Sixtus IV. assumed the Papacy. Paul died in 1471. This is appositely remarked by Laire, Index Libror. vol. i. p. 161-2.
    What is observed by Count Reviczky, upon the Bologna and Roman editions, may be worth subjoining here :- hax Editio [Bonon.] Operum Oridii magni momenti censenda est ad complementum primarium editionum; et quamvis paulo ante dictum sit frivolam et inanem esse conjecturam illorum, qui Elegias Ovidii, editionis Romanæ, (vel saltem libros Fastorum ut Maittairio visum:) non ante annum 1473 lucem vidisse collimarunt, ex eo tamen non sequitur quod tomus Elegiarum non potuerit primis mensibus anni 1472. e prelo emitti: imoita evenisse verisimile est ex eo, quod in Epistola Metamorphosibus præmissâ, et XV. Kal. Aug. nempe anno jam declinante datâ, tunc primum se congregandis cetcris Poetae operibus, intentum professus sit Episcopus Aleriensis, cum contra universorum Ovidii Operum editio jam anno 1471 indubitate absoluta fuerit Bononiæ, manifestante id epistolà Puteolani, cui statim post interjectam Ovidii vitam illius anni nota subjecta est, et in qua perhibet: " Poemata P. Ovidii Nasonis nuper a se recognita impressaque sub Gonzagac Cardinalis momine edere se constituisse." \&c. MS. Memoranda.

[^45]:    - See a fac-simile of the type of John Zainer's edition of Esop, at p. 241 of vol. i.
    vol. II.

[^46]:    * I had at first imagined this edition to be the same with that which is noticed in the Catalogue des Livres du Cabinet de M..***. Paris, 1811, 8vo. p. 121. no. 647, compiled by Brunet himself; but the commencement of the Remedium Amoris (if Brunet be correct, as he most probably is) proves the contrary : although the number of lines in each impression be similar. There is no doubt of the present edition being equally rare with the one described by Brunet-and I might have prefaced the account of it with the words of this distinguished bibliographer: 'Comıne ce livre est de la plus grande rareté, et qu' sucun Bibliographe, que je sache, n'en a fait mention, j'en vais donner la déscription.'

[^47]:    * See the Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. i. p. 109 : but the fac-simile of the type, at No. IV. of Plate VIII. is very indifferent; it being much thimer and sharper than the original. There is something of the character of Ambergau's letter about it-but it is more delicate. The press-work is at once elegant and exact.

[^48]:    * In the Pinelli Collection, there was a duplicate of the Metamorphoses of this edition by Rubeus; which separate volume, not having the printer's name, had been erroneously supposed by De Bure, to be the production of Jenson's press. The same distinguished Collector of whom mention has been made at p. 205, ante,) thus wrote (Jan. 1792) to Count Reviczky, upon the subject of this supposed impression of the Metamorphoses by Jenson :
    - Je crois avoir par hazard decouvert une faute presque impardonnable, où plusieurs Bibliographes sont tombés, sur la foi du redacteur du Catalogue de la Valliere, qui étant M. de Bure, pouvoit aisement se tromper, parceque il est, sans doute, un des plus arrogants et de moins instruits dans la Bibliographie.' (Then follows the description of it according to the Catalogue de la Valliere, vol. ii. no. 2481.) The Chevalier continues: 'Je viens d'avoir cet Ovide, et particulièrement le volume des Metamorphoses qui est précisement tel quỉl se troure dans le Catalogue de la Valliere. Mais je me suis bientôt apperçû de la méprise. Ce n'est que l'Ovide de Venise par Jacobum de Rubeis, 1474, où souvent se trouve en deficit l'Elegie in Ibin, à la fin de laquelle il y a une lettre, et après la lettre la souscription avec la date 1474. Jusqu'rici il n'y avoit rien de singulier; mais ce qui m'étonne c'est que dans le catalogue de Pinelli, fait par l'Abbé Morelli, qui est un fort bon Bibliographe, et qui avoit sous les yeux dans la même Collection de Pinelli l'Ovide du 1474 complet, après celui-la il a cité ce volurue des Metamorphoses pour l'édition de Jenson, citée dans le catalogue de la Valliere, et qui n'existe pas à mon avis. Cependant, ce qui m'etonne davantage, c'est quàà la vente de Pinclli, à Londres, vous avez acbeté, dans le même temps, l'Ovide par I. de Rubeis 1474, complet-et ce volume des Metamorphoses pour l'édition de Jenson!'

    The Chevalier is perfectly correct. Lord Spencer possesses this odd volume of the Metamorphoses, which is in finer condition than the same part in the above complete copy, and is evidently only a duplicate of the same text by the same printer.

[^49]:    ＊Aldus died in February，1515，old style ：see Renouard＇s correction of his second volume，in vol．iii．p．13，of L＇Imprim des Alde．The notice froni Morelli，concerning the death of Aldus，as given by Renouard，is very interesting．

[^50]:    * Sic.

[^51]:    * Perhaps the reader may wish to bave the criticism of the Bipont editors, here subjoined. It is as follows :- 'Puteolanus etiam fragmentum Petronii adjecit, de quo is in pref. Collectioni, nescio an iteratæ præmissa, ait' - [The words of Puteolanus are above given, and $]$

[^52]:    therefore unnecessary to repeat here]-' Quam Plinii Panegyrici \& ceterorum editionems cum Arnzenius \& Schwarzius editores illius retulerint ad an. 1476, cosque etiam secuti sint b. Ernestius in Bibl. Fabriciana, \& Clar. Harlesius in brevi notitia literature romanæ nuper emissa, sed Saxius in Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. ex ipsa puteolani praf. demonstraverit librum editum esse sub ipsum pubertatis annum Jo. Galeacii Ducis mediol. an. 1469 nati, conjicit Cl. Harlesius. ed. priorem 1476 iteratam fuisse 1482. cf. illum in Pliuii edd. p. 413, \& quæ disputavimus in not. Plinii epp. \& paneg. præmissa p. xxxix. Neque sic Maittairo accedimus qui hanc collectionem cum petronii satirico jam an. m.ceccexxvil prodiisse refert, cf. ibid. Goldastus vero, in petronii a se curati an. 1610 prolegomenis p. 14, commemorat exemplar mediolanense, quod precesscrit germanicum Lipsiense Herm. Buschii an. 1500 \& parisinum Reginaldi Chalderii. Burmannus \& novissimus editor C. G. Antonius ignorare se profitentur, quo anno prodierit. Reviczius Comes in catal. p. 108 conjicit, illam typis Lavagnæ mediol. excusam fuisse.' Edit. Bipont. Petronii, p. xx.xxı.

[^53]:    - These pieces are called by Chevillier, Epistola Cynice. Oil the recto of the leaf irmediately following the end of the Epistles of Phalafis-as above described, we read:

[^54]:    * He alludes to the Brescia Statutes, printed in 1473; (of which hereafter) and justly adds - 'Aliarumque fortasse mihi ignotarum.' Audiffredi would have rejoiced to have seen his renark verified in the Lucretius-vide p. 149, ante

[^55]:    * A work, which has unluckily long continued a desideratum with me; and for the preceding title of which, I am indebted to that most valuable of Peignot's publications entitled Repertoire Bibliographique U'niversel, 1819, 8vo. p. 355.

[^56]:    - It would seem that this circumstance had escaped Renouard ; as he says-' Le texte est en 374 pages chiffrées seulement du coté impair, 1, 3, 5, 7, Sce.
    + It formed one in a lot of three, thus described in the Bibl. Sonbise. p. 324, no. 4702, - Pindari Olympia Pythia, ふ̀c. Gracè. Venet. Aldus, 1513, in 8vo. sur velin. Par. 1518, in 4to. Cum Scholüs Gracis. Francof. 1542, in 4to. I remember to have heard Lord Spencer say, that turs was the book, which, during the sale of the Pinelli Library, Count Reviczky drew out of his pocket, and shewed him, as a tempting specimen of his own Collection; afterwards purchased by his Lordship. The Count, at that time, had but one other vellum Aldus; and that an indifferent one.

[^57]:    * Sce the interesting extract from Froben's edition of this work of Erasmus, in Maittaire's Annal. Typog. vol. ii. p. 44-5.
    $\dagger$ The reader may consult Roscoe's Lor. de Medici, vol. ii. p. 238-9, 4to. edit. upon the above subject. An extract from it is given in the Introd. to the Classics, val. ii. p. 132, note : but he will find the circumstance mentioned by Aldus himself, in the extract from the preface to Pausanias, ante, p. 218.

[^58]:    * This copy was formerly in the Harleian Collection : see Bibl. Harl. vol. i. no. 5404. lt was purchased, with the entire Collection, by Osborne the boukseller; and marked in his catalogue of 1748, no. 1957, at 21l. Dr. Askew afterwards became the possessor of it ; and at the sale of his books in 1775 , no. 2656 , it was purchased by the late Dr. William Hunter. I saw this copy in the Doctor's collection, before the latter was removed to Glasgow ; and shall not easily forget the lustre of the ink and the purity of the vellum!

[^59]:    * ' Quo autem anno, Ficino obstetricante, Platonis Opera, Latine reddita, publicam lucem primo viderint, præcisè determinare haud possum. Quamvis eliim jam versare manibus, Bibliotheca Memmingensium publica eam mihi suppeditante, primana illam editionem mihi liceat, amni tamen indicio ea destituta est, his tantum in fine verbis adjectis-' Impressum Florentie per Laurentī̄ Venetum.' Schelhorn adds a strong corroborative passage, from the version of Plotinus, by the same translator, to this cffect :-When Picus Mirandula first came to Florence, between the years 1480 and 1490, he immediately enquired of Ficinus 'how he went on with Plato ?' to which Ficinus replied-' Plato noster-hodie liminibus nostris est egressus.' The entire passage, from the preface to the version of Plotinus of 1492, may be seen in Schelhorn. But from this passage, the above version of Plato may have appeared in 1491. The principal question therefore is, to know at what precise time Mirandula made the enquiry of Ficinus? If immediately on his arrival at Florence, which Ficinus hiniself seems to intimate, -[' me statim post primam salutationem de Platone rogat']-and that arrival took place before the year 1490, then there can be no doubt about the priority of the Florevtine impression. This inference scems strengthened from the very particular description of the Florentine impression by Fossi: Bibl. Magliabech. vol. ii. col. 366-7 ; and Braun, Notit. Hist. Lit. pt. ii. p. 21. Seemiller, Incunab. Typog. fasc. iv. p. 124, refers to Schelhorn and Braun.

[^60]:    + In this list, Amphitryo is made to begin- In faciem uorsus'-in the tert, it is as the reader may see above. The Trevisu edition has copied this inconsistency.

[^61]:    * It is justly said by Maittaire, vol. i. p. 314, that the editor of this impression was Merula ; although his christian names only are inserted in the prefix of his epistle to Jacobo Zeno, Bishop of Padua. Referring the reader to the entire epistle, as it is extracted in the Bibl. Smith. App. p. cxvir, and Spec. Lit. Brix. pt. i. p. 4, (the latter, from the reprint of it in the Treviso edition of 1482), I shall here detain him only for a minute by the following brief but interesting portion of it -'Nam Plautinæ Viginti Comoedia, que ad hoc ævi dumtaxat extant, latinæ scilicet linguæ deliciæ, rerum atque verborum venustate et festiva sermonis elegantia legentium animos mira voluptate afficereut, nisi pluribus in locis dimidiatæ haberentur ; et tum temporum injuria, tum litteratorum negligenti arrogantia, et librariorum iuscitia depravatæ forent ; et sive gentium, sive naturæ historiæ non indigerent, atque tam Grecorum tam Romanorum prisca consuetudine et jampridem desita obscuræ essent. Quo fit ut frequentem ac curiosum lectorem non minus sollicitum faciant et vexent, quam si cupidinis sagitta illa aurea confixus rusticann et in totum viro perosam virginem sequeretur. Quare qui prorinciam hujusmorli duram ac sane perdifficilem ceeperit, quippiam ille non solum amantis inconcessa, sed plane herculei laboris habere videtur.' In the Spec. Lit. Brix (pref. p. 111-v) pt. i. p. 1-4, there is, occasionally, a spirited notice of the author of this preface.

[^62]:    * After referriug to Maittaire, Freytag adds - 'Vid. de Georgii Merulæ in Plavtum meritis, eminentissimi Qurini Spec. Variæ Litteratura Brixiensis P. i. p. 1. seq. vbi et aliæ editiones Piauti vetustæ, insigni cura atque sollertia describuntur.' But I question whether Quirini ever saw the Editio Princers of this nuthor. His knowledge of the labours of Merula seems to have drawn from the Trevisu reprint of the Spira impression.

[^63]:    * ' Bonus Accursius pisanus uiro magnifico ac ducali Questori dignissimo Iohanni meltio salutē plurimá dicit.
    Vellcm equidē ut cæetcri ciues mediolanēses ita bonarum littcrarum studiis delectarētur : ut te sēper aniaduerti uir egregie Johames melti. Nam cū proximis diebus quædā dicta plautia attētis auribus audisses: eaque hilari facie excepisses: facile mihi sum itclligere uisus igenii tui elegantiă. quo factū ē: ut ipsa fere oỉa memoratu digna Plauti dicta ex comoediis uigiti a me collecta in unū copendium redigerem: caq; ad te daréque tuo noie efferétur in populū. Nam quo pacto quis elegāter quicquam aut loquatur aut scribat Plauto ignorato? qui inter latinos oēs uel Ciceronis testimonio maxie excellit. Tuo igitur beneficio qui natura liberalissimus es $\mathbb{\&}$ optius sane uir: hic mens labor non parum utilitatis \& ${ }^{\text {\& }}$ mnementi afferet iuuentuti. non solum nepotibus tuis quos lico filiorum clucis: sed cuteris ctiam omnibus: qui mera latinitatis se studiosos esse concupierint. prasertim in epistolis componendis atque sermone familurri. Vt illud prius intelligas me primum onuiü inuenisse ea plautum nsum argutia : ut per capita uersuū argumenti nomẽ cuiusque fabulæ litteris suis expresserit. quod ctiam ea è ratione a me factum : quonian quidà unn inductus uulgo existimatus non solum non animaduerterit: sed per sæpe væhementer errauerit: ut menechmi dictionẻ trisy llabā menechini dixerit mutata, m. littera. i. i. \&. n. Hoc igitur munusculum a me uunc accipies. propediem fortasse si per otiun licuerit : maius aliyuord habiturus. Vale uir optinorum omnium optime.'

[^64]:    * As may be seen in the Mercurius Trismegistus, printed by Gerard de Léuu, at Treviso, in 1471 :-with his name ' Gerardus de Lisa' subjoined.
    $\dagger$ ' Mention is ruade of an edition of this date, printed at Deventer in folio. Panzer, vol. i. p. 354, refers to Maittaire, and Maittaire to Fabr. Bibl. Lat. vol. i. p. 8. I have examined all the authorities referred to, and as every thing seems to depend upon the mere assertion of Fabricius, whose knowledge of books printed in the x vth century was far from being extensive, there is good reason, I think, to doubt the existence of the impression. Ernesti barely states the words of Fabricius. De Bure suspects the edition, and the Bipont Editors merely state the place, without any description of the book. Introd to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 139, note.

[^65]:    * The error noticed in the first line of these titles, in the preceding edition, p. 243, is faithfully propagated in the present one : but that of the transpositiou of a page, mentioned by the late Bishop of Ely (p. 245, ante) is, as Lord Spencer remarks, here corrected.

[^66]:    * See the note in the Introd. to the Classics, vol. ii. p. 139-taken from the description in the Harleian catalogue. I should apprehend the date of the edition to be between the years 1490 and 1500.
    + It may be observed that there were other modes of playing at the Cortabus,

[^67]:    besides that here described: one of these consisted in the guests dashing a part of the wine out of their cups upon the floor, or into a vessel of water, and he who could in this way occasion the sharpest and loudest sound, gained the assigned prize. The above description does not convey any favourable notions of the delicacy of the convivial pastimes of the Greeks: but the reader may consult Athenæus, and the useful and popular manual of Wilonn's Archacological Dictionary, Art. Cottarus.

[^68]:    * This privilege appears in a brief and animated disquisition of Denis entitled, ' Suffragium pro Ioanne De Spira Primo Venetiarum Typographo.' Vien. 1794, 8vo. The privilege was copied and forwarded to Denis by Morelli; with some apposite remarks by the latter. The whole of Denis's brochure is very interesting to a bibliographer; and shall be made particularly known to the public in a future work. Meantime the reader may not object to see an excellent use made of it (in regard to this edition) by Lichtenberger, in his Initia Typographica, p. 163-4.
    + Frum the colophon to Vindelin de Spira's edition of S. Austin, De Civitate Dei, 1470. As this latter edition is not, at present, in Lord Spencer's collection, I subjoin the poetical colophon of it, by the brother of John de Spira, as it appears in Panzer and Lichtenberger.

[^69]:    * Fol. 61. rev. of text This curious passage was first noticed by Mr. Nichols, in the Appendix to the Origin of Printing; p. 103, note g. It seems to have escaped the foreign bibliographers; unless it be in the Memoires de Litterature, by Sallengre, vol. i. pt. ii. p. 275

[^70]:    * Dr. Askew's copy was a very indifferent one, and was sold in 1805, among the duplicates of the British Museum, (no. 813) for only 22l. The copy in the Crevenna library (according to a pencil-observation of Mr. Edwards, who was present during the sale of the same) was ' very fine.' Brunet says it was sold for 2530 franks, añd La Serna Santander, for 2115 livres; but I have understood that it was bought in at the sale. There is a cory of it upon vellum in the Imperial library at Vienua, which came from the library of the ci-devant Bollandists at Antwerp, and which appears to be the same as the one noticed by De Bure, vol. ii. p. 270. See the Dict. Bibliggr. Choisi, vol. iii. p. 272. It was, I believe, (according to the information of Mr. Edwards) this very copy, and the Psalter of 1457, that the Abbé Strattman (Librarian to the Emperor) said he would carry away with himone mider each arm - upon the first intimation of the arrival of the French in the neighbourhood of Vienna! Brunet, Manuel du Libraire, vol. ii. p. 303, informs us that there is another similar copy in the Inperial library at Paris; but 'plusieurs autres exemplaires,' of the sume kind, is certainly a very questionable, if not a decidedly incorrect, assertion.

[^71]:    * The entire epistle will be found in Maittaire, vol. i. 288, 9, note 9.

[^72]:    * Hereneus Lugdunensis Ep[isco]pus: Item Iustinus ex philosopho Martyr : Item cū diuo Hieronymo Eusebius Cesarièsis: serio posteritatem adiurarunt: ut eorum descripturi opera conferrent diligèter exemplaria. \& sollerti studio emendarent. Idem ego tum in ceteris lihris omnibus tum maxime in Plynio ut fiat: wehementer obsecro. obtestor. aty; adiuro: ne ad priora mēda \& tenebras inextricabiles tanti sudoris opus relaba $\overline{\mathrm{t}}$. Instauratum aliquantulū sub Romano Pötifice Maximo Paulo II. Veneto. Atq; impressum Rome in domo Petri \&e Francisci de Maximis iuxta campū flore presidētibus Magistris Côrado Suueynheym \& Arnoldo Panaratz. $\dagger$ Anno dominici natalis. M.CCCC.LXX. Pontificatus eius felicissimi ac placidissimi Anno. VI.
    + Sic.

[^73]:    * Dr. Mcad's very fine (paper) copy was recently sold at Paris-in its original binding in wood-a copy, of which Maittaire has given so particular a description: vol. i. p. 34, edit. 1719. See Brunet's Cat. des Livres rares, \&ic. du Cabinet de M** 1811, 8vo. no. 243.

[^74]:    * In the fifth verse, 'Aruolodos' is falsely printed for Arnoldus. This has not escaped the usual attention of Audiffredi.
    + Haym, Argelati, Paitoni, Lami, Bandini, Tiraboschi, and Mittarelli, had all fallen into this error, which is corrected by Audiffredi's correspondent, Comes a Turre Rezzonici; in his Disquisit. Pliniun. vol. ii. p. 362. Sce the Edit. Rom. p. 129 and note *.

[^75]:    * Sic.
    † 'L'Aicardi, come osserva il Paitoni, non è mentovato dal Maittaire tra gli Autori degli Epigrammi posti al fine delle antiche Edizioni, e neppur dal Mazzuchelli; ma il diffetto procede dall' aver eglino ignorato questa prima stampa di Plinio fatta dal Portilia.' Afro; ibid.

[^76]:    * The reader may consult rather a copious note, respecting ancient editions without date or place, \&ic. in the Introd. to the Classics; vol. ii. p. 156.

[^77]:    * This subject is more fully discussed under the article Listri Oratio, in the third volume of this work; which see. Meautime the reader may consult Herbert's Typog. Antiq. vul. iii. p. 1393.

[^78]:    * It may be worth while to suljoin the intelligence from this latter volume. ' On pourroit nommer ce volume (Plutarchi Opuscula) grand in-4, parce qu'effectivement les vergeures du papier sout perpendiculaires ; mais il est très probable qu'il aura été imprimé en in-fol. c'est-ì-dire, par formes de deux pages seulement, avee du papier coupé par demifeuilles. Wyttenbach, dans son édition des ©eurres morales, assure avoir recoma, par les collations quil en a fait faire avec soin, que les manuscrits dont s'est servi Alde sont les mêmes que l'on conserve encore aujourd'lui dans la bibliothèque de Saint Marc; ct dans Labbe, Nova Bibliotheca Manuscripta, tom. i. p. 5?2, on voit qu'Anyot a en commoissance de ces mêmes manuscrits, et les a soigneusement conferć, vers 1546 , iorsqu'il s'occupoit de sa traduction de Plutarque; ce qui suffiroit pour répondre au reproche hazardé contre lui, d'avoir traduit, non sur le grec, mais sur une version latine, comme le bon abbé Gedoyn a véritablement fait pour sa traduction de Pausauias.' L'Imprim. des Alde, 1812, vol. iii. p. 9, 10.

[^79]:    - Sic.

[^80]:    * Count Reviczky, in his MS. Memoranda relating to this edition, supposes, from the month of February being incorporated in the colophon, that it must have been printed before the folio impression of 1472 above referred to ; but for the reasons advanced at p. 200 ante, a different conclusion must be drawn from the insertion of this nonth in the colophon; namely, that the edition was executed towards the close of the year 1472. - Propertius (continues the Count) has been justly called mearned; but why, as in this impression (lib. if. eleg. xix. v. 24), and in many ancient MSS. he is called Nauta-does not easily appear. The crror, however, was sufficiently palpable to Beroaldus and Scaliger. Instead of the verses, as thus printed,

    Nobilis et quamvis Navita dives eras,

[^81]:    * De Bure merely mentions the spurious Deventer edition of 1472, noticed hy Maittaire. He appears never to have seen the above volume: Bibl. Instr, vol. iii. no. 2857.

[^82]:    * The title is as follows: ' Osservazioni sii la Ediaione della Geografia di Tolomeo fatta in Bologna colla data del M.CCCC.LXII. Esposte da Bartolommeo Gamba. Bassano. 1796, 4to. There are fac-similes of some of the personifications of the 11 ' mds - but not very successful ones. It is rather extraordinary that this brochure of Gamba should have escaped Peignot in his Répertvire Bibliographique Universel, 1319, 8vu. p. 129-5.

[^83]:    * ' Il n'étoit encore qu'un enfant fort tendre lorsquill fit une critique des Commentaires de Servius sur Virgile, et quill censura très judicieusement les fautes de cet Auteur.' Baillet : Jugemens des Savans, vol. v. pt. i. p. 93-4; edit. 1725, 12mo. De La Monnoye subjoins a judicious observation: he informs us that, in the edition of the Commentaries of Servius bere alluded to, which was printed in 1482, (and which is well described by Audiffredi in his Edit. Ital. p. 51-2) Beroaldus himself explicitly states his age to be 26. But if this confession were made in the year iu which this edition was printed, it would be assigning the date of 1456 to that of the birth of the editor: a still more forcible conclusion against the genuineness of the date of the above edition of Ptolemy. On the other hand, Bianchino, the pupil of Beroaldus, who wrote the Life of his Master, fixes the birth of the latter in 1453. Jugemens des Savans, vol. ii. pt.i. p. 406. This date is probably the genuine one; and if so, the confession of Beroaldus respecting his own age, must have been made two or three years before the printing of the Bologna edition of Servius's Commentary of 1482. Pope Blount, and Fabricius, are decidedly in error in affixing the birth of Beroaldus to the year 1450. Cens. Celebr. Author. p. 363 ; Bibl. Med. et Inf. Etat vol. v. p. 851-2.
    $\dagger$ Our late learned antiquary, Riciaard Gough, las devoted 102 pages of the first volume of his British Topography, to a disquisition upon the antiquity of maps relating to our oun country. In a long preliminary pute, at p. 57, the antiquity of maps in general is rather fully gone into; but it might have been no unseasonable addition to this department of his researches, if a small purtion of the reader's attention had been directed to the history of the first printed charts: as these were more likely to be accessible to the curious, than MS. drawings of them, in particular cabinets. But I suspect that Gough had no know-

[^84]:    * ' In 1472 Beroaldo was already a learned man, and in 1473 he opened his school.' Qu?

[^85]:    - See a particular description of this rare edition at p. 191, Sic. ante.

[^86]:    * Perhaps the most valuable Latin edition of Ptolemy is that published at Rome in 1478, by Buckinck, a German artist-' vir apprime eruditus.' This impression was begun to be executed by Sweynieym, who appears to have taken it in hand as early as the year 1472 -but after three years labour bestowed upon it, he died: A. D. 1475. The dedicatory epistle of this edition has been thought to prove that Sweynheym was the inventor of the plates-'animum primum ad hanc doctrinam capessendam applicuit, subinde mathematicis adhibitis viris, quemadmodum tabulis eneis imprimerentur, edocuit,' \&c. Consult the note at p. 143 of Heinecken's Idée, \&c. Maittaire and De Bure have omitted to notice this rare and precious edition; at present wanting in the Spencere Collection :- but there is a good account ot it in the Edit. Rom. F. 229, and a still better one in the Bibl. Crevenn. vol. v. p. 14-18: edit. 1775. The latter part of Crevenna's description is here submitted to the reader; as it bears upon the question of the legitimacy of the date of the above impression. - One may draw an argument from the preface of this Roman impression of 1478 (says Crevenna), that the Bologna edition of 1462, is in all probability posterior to it. First, it should seem that such a preface is better adapted to a first, than to a second, impression-exhibiting similar plates. Secondly, if Sweynheym, in this preface, be correctly designated as the first engraver of charts, the Bologna edition, with copper plates, could not have been more ancient by 16 years. And to prove that the art of cngraving maps upon copper was not known before the year in

[^87]:    * A cut of the monk Donis, offering his version to Pope Paul II, precedes the address of the former. This very copy was the one which was brought to the reigning Poutiffas appears from an ancient coeval hand writing, thus: "ce livre a été fait pour donner a notre bon Pere." The coat of arms of Sixtus IV, stamped in gold, is upon the ancient and original binding-Paul II. having died before the execution of the press-work. Nothing more beautiful of its kind can be wished for.' Laire; ibid. De Murr gives us rather an interesting piece of information concerning the origin of this portrait:
    - In illa se conspiciendum præbet Pontifex Maximus, throno insidens, in capite gestans diadema papale. Ante ejus genua prouolutus iacet Monachus, porrigens librum; quæ certe Nicolaum Donis, monachum ordinis Benedictinorum, dedicantem et offerentem Pontifici Maximo librum, a se emendatum, indicare mihi uidentur. Ex mea igitur sententia Nicolaus Donis nonnulla in uersione Iacobi Angeli correxit, quod instituta exemplarium collatio docebit: deinde tabulas geograplicas, quas iam Agathodæmon ex mente Ptolemai delineauit, expressis nominibus urbium et locorum latinis, conuertit, emendauit, et Estensi Ferrariæ Principi obtulit ; in quibus tamen, ut ipse fatetur, " nulla in re a Ptolemai intentione, licet a pictura, discessit." Ex hoc Auctoris autographo, Florentix adseruato, et nitidissime scripto, cum ars graphica in solis fere Monasteriis fuerit exculta, plura fuere descripta exempla; quorum unum peruenit ad manus Leovardi Hol, ciuis et typographi Vlmensis, qui istud, ma cum tabulis ligno incisis, typis imprimi curauit.' Memorabil. Bibl. Publ. Norimb. pt. ii. p. 86-8. De Murr's note at p. 86 is also well worth consulting.

[^88]:    * Sic.
    + These seem to indicate wood-cutting as the means of operation to produce the originals -and as it is, in fact, put in force to produce the present (above) effect-but how such a large and intricate map, measuring nearly 21 inches in length, by 16 inches in width or breadth, and covered with a profusion of characters, could have been executed upon one block of wood, (for there seems no interruption whatever) may be somewhat difficult to account for. Yet the indentation is hardly deep and sharp enough for the result of an operation by means of metal.

[^89]:    * Sic.
    $\dagger$ - Vnde cum Vuldricus quidam Gallicus tunc qui formas in Vrbem librarias nuper intulisset interquiescere illum assiduis emendationibus non permitteret. Remque litterariam ex magnis difficultatibus inopiaque ad ingentem hubertatem gloriosissimo illo \& diuino opificio euocaret in illum locatus carmen hoc edidit '' Fernus, I'it. Campani: Roma, 1495: fol. 13. rect. prefixed to the works of Canipanus of the same date. See the poetical colophon, here alluded to, at p. 111 ante.

[^90]:    * This may be seen in the Bibl. Smith. App. p. Lxxxt.

[^91]:    * This epistle is inserted entire, with much other valuable matter relating to the abore impression of Quintilian, in Quirini : De Optimor. Scriptor. Edit. p. 185, \&c. and, as Audiffredi ubserves, in Capperonier's edition of the Institutions, 1725. p. xxxi.

[^92]:    *The Chevalier d'Elci, in one of bis letters to the late Count Reviczky, admits that it is ' un des livres des plus rares, et plus difficiles à trouver.'

[^93]:    * It is rather singular that a shrewd bibliographer, like the Chevalier d'Elci, should at first have supposed that these editions, by Lucas Venetus, were printed, with different types. He afterwards retracted this opinion; but when he says (in one of his letters to Count Reviczky) that the edition of 1482 is 'altogether different' from its precursor of 1481 , he must be supposed to allude only to typographical arrangement. Ao far as I can discover, the teat in each is precisely the same.

[^94]:    * The number is generally supposed to be 136 ; but there are 137 , as counted over. It will be necessary to add, that some of these Declamations are most irregularly numbered.

[^95]:    * Edit. Sallust. Lat. et Gall. Rouen, 1781, 8vo. fourth edition. The reader will be pleased to remember that there was a subsequent Parisian edition of Sallust, printed about the year 1478 (but withont date) by Gering alone, and of which Beroallus is considered to have beeu the editor. This is particularly noticed by Dotteville; and seems to be the same as that which is described in Laire's Index Libror. vol. i. p. 180, no. 177 : and the conclusion of De Bure's article, no. 4862 . This latter edition is fuller than the above one: eontaining, probably, the 'Invectives.'

[^96]:    * There is also a fac-simile of this conclusion of the text of the Jugurthine War, in the Valliere Catalogue, but not more successful than the one before-mentioned.

[^97]:    * See p. 170 ante.

[^98]:    * It was in the Chauncey collection, and seens to have been formerly in the Harleian. The binding is foreign.

[^99]:    - Of the first line, the following is a fac-simile.

[^100]:    - So the gilt letters, in the interior of the binding, inform us; but in the Cat, de Prefond, no. 660, I sce only the Parma edition of 1481 described.

[^101]:    'Quod ad antiquitatem ejus attinet, cum Jensonianâ editione certare omnino potest'-is the observation of Morelli, in the Bibl. Pinell. vol. i. $\mathrm{n}^{\circ}$. 2200. Nor was the late Count Reviczky of a decidedly opposite opinion:-informing us, in his ms. memoranda, that in the Italian edition of Harwood, of the date of 1780 , this impression was mentioned before the preceding one. On consulting this reprint of Harwood, I find the present impression noticed as 'edizione rarissima e sconosciuta;' p. 224; but in the subsequent and best reprint of Harwood, by Boni and Gamba, vol. ii. p. 164, it is observable that the ensuing impression (edited by Mombritius,) takes precedency ; and the Jensonian edition of 1473 , ranks, after this, as the third in order. Count Reviczky, however, without being aware of this subsequent arrange-

[^102]:    * Lord Spencer queries whether these marginal printed memoranda may not throw some doubt upon the antiquity of the edition, as he does not remember to have seen such before the year 1477.

[^103]:    * This edition is noticed by Maittaire, vol. i. p. 329 ; and a curious colophon from it is given both by Maittaire and De Bure ; vol. iii. p. 358. Affo says, ' Volle replicare anche il de-Bure ; ma non senza qualche sproposito.' Tipog. Parmens. p. lv.

[^104]:    * The next two leaves are marked a ii and a iii, which ought to be a iii and a iiii.

[^105]:    * On consulting the Edit. Rom. at page 127, I find the neatness of the characters had. not escaped its attentive author: 'typus ita integer ac nitidus appareat, ut non sine jucunditate a Bibliophilis spectari possit.'

[^106]:    * Mininè in contemptu habendæ sunt Græcorun auctorum versiones quas laboribus antiquorum typographorum debemus. Codicum lecliones variantes a quibus orta sunt frequenter servant, et interdum loca quædam quae in scriptis hodie extantibus non inveniuntur, id quod in Strabone accidisse dicitur.' Late Bishop of Ely; Ms. Memorandu.

[^107]:    * PH. BEROALDVS AD LECTOREM. Send Quoniam vetus Codex, vide bunc ipsum describendum atq; inuulgandum curaui, pluribus mendis scatet, æquum videtur Lectorem in primo quasi operis liming consilii med, quo in hoc

[^108]:    toto negotio sum rsus, adnűnere. Nāq; errores qui puterät nulla temeritatis nota emendari, consultis primo nonnullis cruditis \& acris judicii viris correxi. At quæ loca in se maiurem in corri= gendo difficultatem habere videbantur, sicut erant reliqui, ap= positis interiori margini stellulis quæ vitiosum codicem testaren= tur. Seposumus vero libellum in calce Quinti libri in quo per numerum chartarum stellulam ipsam cum suo numero tanquam ad causam dicendam in iudicium vocamus. Ibi dum Lector aut du, bitationem aut opinionem nostram audiet, vel sentētiam approz bahit meam, vel meliorem ipse afferet.

[^109]:    * Introd. to the Knouledge of Rave and Valuable Editions of the Greek and Latin Classics, Glucester, 1802, 12mo. p. 46.

[^110]:    * The supposed inıpression of 1470, is nothing more than that of Zarotus, of 1481 ; some one having scratched out the last figures XI : so that the date stands M.CCCC.LXX, instead of M.CCCC.LXXXI. Lord Spencer has clearly ascertained this; and made a note of it in the copy of the edition which is in the library of the Earl of Pembroke. This very copy has been mentioned by the old bibliographers. Palmer saw it, and notices the volume as containing signatures ; a decisive proof against the genuine date of 1470. Hist. of Printing, 1733, 4to. p. 54, note 1. Meerman abruptly says ' whether this be true or not, let those examine who are in possession of the edition.' Orig. Typog. vol. ii. p. 28, nute s. Maittaire, vol. i. p. 290, has given the colophon of it, as if it were with the genuine date of 1470. Saxius seems to rest contented with Maittaire, and with the testimony of a noble friend, who, during his residence in London, saw this volume in Lord Pembroke's library. Hist. Lit. Typog. Mediol. p. dlix, note (d). Schelhorn has adopted this erroneous date; and Mr. Willet seems indirectly to accede to its genuineness. Amanitat. Literar. vol. ii. p. 331 ; Archaologia, vol. x1. ' Essay on the Origin of Printing.'
    - The Bipont editors mention an edition of Terence of the date of 1469 , on the anthority of Westerhovius; but no library is referred to in which such edition is stated to exist: and Bishop Hare, in the preface to his edition, is of opinion that such date was written by some one.' Introd. to the Classics, 1808, vol. ii. p. 259 , note. We may safely conclude that editions, with such dates, are entirely supposititions.

    One word more concerning another supposed early edition. In the Bibl. Petav, et Mensart. 1722, no. 1297, there is an impression professed to have been printed in 1469; which was bought for the Earl of Sunderland for 499 florins; but which, says Meerman, ' non sine indignatione inspexi.' Orig. Typog. vol. ii. p. 243, note s. This edition is, therefore, entered erroneously into the ms. catalogue of the Blenheim Library with the date of 1469 subjoined. It seens however that these two latter editions are one and the same. Count Reviczky had seen the copy in the Marlborough Collection ; and from his MS. Memoranda we learn that the date is not in ms. but in print-made to imitate the characters of the edition. In short, this impression is no other than the one above described to have been printed in the Sortensian Monastery-to which, as the reader will observe, no printed date whatever is affixed.

[^111]:    * It must however be admitted that the comedies of Terence and of Aretin form one volume in the Bibl. Harl. vol. i. p. 171.

[^112]:    * The Abbé Rive, in his reply to some observations of Maugerard, mentions five dateless editions without distinctions of verses; but his mode of referring to them is confused and unsatisfactory. He conceives, however, that the edition mentioned in the Bibl. Hohendorf: pt. i. p. 212, no. 1376 - ' Parisiis in vico Sancti Iacobi sub signo Vividis Follis'-was executed by Cæsaris (\& Stol), and was unknown to La Caille, Maittaire, and Orlaridi. La Chasse aux Bibliographes, p. 9-11.
    + Are the Parisian bibliographers quite accurate in their conclusions abont the type? And how comes the device of the above printers to lave been omitted?

[^113]:    - Panzer refers us to Schoepflin's Vindicie Typographica, p. 99 ; where it seems that Adolphus Ruschius Ingvilanus was a citizen aud printer of Strasbourg, and married one of Mentelin's daughters. Panzer suggests that Henry de Inguiler, who printerd at Strasbourg. in 1483, was a son of this Adolphus.

[^114]:    + The Gennans seem rather prone to this species of antedating. Mr. Douce informs me that, in his travels in Germany, he found it no unusual thing for a cutler to put the date of 1420 upon a sword manufactured, in the old fashion, only about 60 or 70 years ago.
    $\ddagger$ See the fac-simile in the third volume of this work, of a book atowed to have been printed in the Sortensian Monastery-in types similar to those of the ensuing fac-simile.

[^115]:    *These verses are as follow :
    Bononii Carmen.
    Vestri summite fabulas Terenti
    Iunctum marginibus dehinc supremis Donatum Latii simul poetæ Ambos codice quos breui coactos Hermanus leuilaps Coloniensis Mira Taruisii peragit arte. Donatus puto cui Tereutiusque Tantas reddere gratias tenentur Quantas reddere utrique nos tenemur.

[^116]:    * At page 89, Locher is erroneously said to be the editor of this edition of Terence; and it is there incorrectly noticed as a performance subsequent to the Horace.

[^117]:    ＊Concerning this supposed character，see the extract from the Roman impression of 1472，at p． 413 ante；and particularly the note（n）in Emesti＇s Bibl．Lat．vol．i．p． 52. The Adversaria of Barthius，and the Analecta of Swartius，are particularly referred to by Ernesti，who is of opinion that，＇whether the name be real or fictitious，it is indicative of a critic who corrected the Comedies of Terence after the ancient copies．＇

[^118]:    * ' Le grant therèce en francoys tāt en Rime que en Prose Nouuellement Imprime a Paris —par Guillaume de Bossozel au Chasteau rouge. M. D. xxxix.' Folio. A very curious and interesting volume; with which I hope to make the reader better acquainted on a future occasion. Meanwhile, he may be informed that this impression contains a profusion of cuts:-many of them, as in the above, frequently repeated-but having always, in the background, a curtain, behind which the actors retire, or from which they occasionally peep, or come forward, in a very striking and not uninteresting manner. Vogt and Bauer have omitted this curious volume.

[^119]:    * The figures in some of these great cuts are larger and more spirited than those in the body of the text ; and indeed have a more than ordinary interest about them. The old man, in the centre of the group, in the last page, is one of these figures.
    $\dagger$ There is also another stiff black line, curviug upwards from the breast of Pamphilus; but as the figure with which it communicates is not above introduced, it has been omitted. It would be cnrious to ascertain, if possible, upon what species of wood these cuts (measeauring ten inches by six and a laalf) are executed.

[^120]:    *     - Catonis Romani sententiæ paræneticæ distichi. Sententiæ septem sapientum. De Inuidia. Theognidis megarensis siculi sententiæ elegiacæ. Sententiæ monostichi per Capita ex uariis poetis. Aurea Carmina Pythagoræ. Phocylidæ Poema admonitorium. Carmina Sibyllæerythreæ de Christo Iesu domino nostro. Differētia uocis. Hesiodi Theogonia. Eiusdem scutum Herculis. Eiusdem georgicon libri duo.'
    + Harles very justly remarks that these reimpressions were probably owing to some emendations of the author, which Aldus discovered in obtaining the Milan edition of 1493. Fabr. Bibl. Grac. vol. iii. 780.

[^121]:    ＊See Mr．Beloe＇s Anecdotes of Lit，and Scarce Books，vol．i．p．76：where an error in my former description of these editions is properly noticed：but which error does not appear in the last edition（1808）of the Introd to the Classics．

[^122]:    - Libros nutâ carentes omnes absque discrimine in contemptu habcre solent viri docti, quorum quidem sententiis me consentientem prabere nolo. Permulhis enim in hac receusioue eorum oculis me subjecturum confido, qui omni laudis praconio celebrari merentur. Valerium Maximum, Mentelianum, hoc temporis nomino, alios auctores posthæc suppeditaturus.
    ' Hæc editio post librum nonum desinit, vel quia in codice nihil ulterius repertum fuit, vel consilio editoris, qui sequentia etsi in aliis editionibus conspiciuntur, ad auctorem parum pertincre censuit.' Late Bis hof of Ely: MS. Memuranda.

[^123]:    * The fac-simile of the Virgil in the Valliere copy vol. ii. p. 80, shews the type of the above work and of the Terence noticed at p. 401 ante.

[^124]:    - A similar copy was in the Harleian Collection : Bibl. Harl. vol, i. no. 4676. vol. iii. no. 3211. * Sic.

[^125]:    * It is probably imperfect.

[^126]:    * We may correct a venial error into which the learned Fossi has fallen, respecting the period of the printing of this volume. He conceives, from the above date of the month, that nearly the whole of the impression was executed in the year 1474. But he seems to have forgoten the ecclesiastical division of the year, as noticed at p. 200 ante. From such a mode of computation, it follows that, if the year 1476 began in (the ensuing) March, the whole of the impression must have been executed in 1475 .

[^127]:    P. Virgilii Maronis Parthenia Mantuani Bucolicon liber ad C. Asinium pollionem Consulari dignita te fulgentem.
    Aegloga prima dicta Tityrus.
    Collocutores Melibaus. 'Tityrus.
    rol. 12.

