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## PREFACE.

IN preparing for the Syndics of the Cambridge University Press this edition of the third book of Thucydides, free use has been made not only of the larger commentaries but also of the monographs and critical disquisitions which in the course of the last 50 years have increased so largely the mass of Thucydidean literature.

To the great work of Poppo, and to the editions by Göller, Classen, Stahl, Krüger, Böhme, and Duker, I am much indebted, as also to the critical works of Cobet, van Herwerden, Dobree, Naber, Steup, and Badham, and above all to Professor Hude ${ }^{1}$. To the writers in the American Journal of Philology, and to Professor Gildersleeve in particular, I must acknowledge my especial obligations ${ }^{2}$. Nor can I omit a passing word of thanks to Mr G. B. Grundy for his most interesting and instructive article on the city of Plataea ${ }^{3}$.
${ }^{1}$ Commentarii Critici ad Thucydidem pertinentes. 1888.
${ }^{2}$ It is a matter of much regret to me that the scholarly edition of Bk III. by Professor C. F. Smith did not come into my hands until my own commentary was completed.
${ }^{3}$ Published by John Murray for the Royal Geographical Society. S. T.

If amidst the number of authorities consulted, I have unconsciously failed in any instance to mention the source of my information, I can most honestly assure those to whom the credit of originality is due that my $\sin$ has been one of omission rather than commission.

Lastly, I would express my thanks to my friend and former pupil Mr R. R. Conway for his invaluable help in correcting proofs, and to the members of the Cambridge University Press for their unfailing punctuality and precision.

[^0]
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## INTRODUCTION.

## The Manuscripts of Thucydides.

THE principal MISs. on which our text of Thucydides is based may be enumerated as follows.
A. Cisalpinus, or Italus; a parchment folio of the eleventh or twelfth century, now in the National Library of Paris. After being lost for some time, it was rediscovered by Prinz. There is a tradition of its having been originally found in North Italy, but the exact place is not known.
B. Vaticanus; now in the Vatican Library at Rome; a small folio parchment of the twelfth century, collated by Bekker. Hude has re-collated Vi., vir., and viir.
C. Laurentianus; belonging to the library of the church of S. Lorenzo at Florence; a folio parchment of the tenth century ( $900-950$ ). Books III. and IV. were collated (imperfectly) for Arnold, and have recently received Hude's revision.
E. Palatinus; in the library of Heidelberg : a folio parchment of the eleventh century, collated by Bekker.
F. Augustanus, now in the library at Munich; a folio parchment of the eleventh century; collated by Gottleber and Bauer.
G. Monacensis, in the Munich Library; a silk Ms. of the thirteenth century, much worn and decayed. Collated by Göller and Bekker.
M. Britannicus or Londinensis, in British Museum, an eleventh century ms., but by Montfaucon assigned to the tenth century. It was purchased from the Abbey of S. Mary at Florence and acquired by the Museum in I $8 \not \downarrow 0$. It is remark-
able for the beauty of the handwritins; and has been collated, although imperfertly (see Marchant in Class. Rev. V. 22), by Ene.tling for Hatise. The vitith Book has been collated by Herwerden.

Of these Mos. C is the oldest, and has been made by Schöne the bawis of his own text of books I. and II. Hude and Sadée hold it to be more trustworthy than B; but this opinion is founded, more or less, upon a comparison of the readings of 13 and $C$ with Dionysius' quotations.

Hude in his Introduction to his edition of vi., vir. and vili., as also in his Commentarii Critici, pronounces on the superiority and anticquity of C , althowh believing $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{M}$ to be nearly as ancient. D and F he refers to a later period, but treats the authority of I ) as of small value. All are referable to one archetype, as is clear from community of error. M (Britannicus) he regards as holding a midway position between two other groups,
(I) A, B , E, F.
(2) $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{G}$.

Bekker places the Vatican above all others, but this is due to his having only partially collated the Laurentian; the readings of G are no compensation for Bekker's neglect of C , the more ancient MS. of the two. The collation made for Arnold is partial and untrustworthy: the true value of the Laurentian was first brought to Stahl's notice by the revisions of Schöne and Sadée. The most notable feature of $B$ is its disagreement with other mss., especially in Vif. and vili. ; it is now regarded as derived from some superior original, and as more accurately revised. Eggert's account (de Vat. Cod. auctoritate, Ber. I $8 \$_{2}$ ) is not satisfactory. In many cases the reading of the Vatican shews not only the hand of an ingenious emendator, but distinct signs of a superior archetype; but still the MS. teems with omissions, corrections, and transpositions. In point of agreement with other MSS., C accords most closely with G, and Ib with A.

The difficulty of constructing a text of Thucydictes is increased not only by the absence of any one archetypal manu-
script, the early practice of tachygraphy ${ }^{1}$ (dating back probal)ly to the fourth century D.C.), the carelessness and ignorance of scribes, difficulties of etymology, and the ancient custom of quoting from memory, but also by the peculiar style of Thucydides himself, the possible adscripts by different readers or revisers, and the dangers of conjectural emendation, a process which, not infrequently, only removes one difficulty to create another: c.g. in Thuc. I. Gr, 念 2 , the correction of $\grave{\epsilon \pi}$, otpéqavtes to éni $\Sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \psi a \nu$.

In the first place we have no leading as. to which we can refer as authoritative as in the case of Aeschylus or I) emosthenes.

Secondly, the grammarians themselves call attention to the existence of two distinct classes of $\delta \iota \phi \theta$ épat, the one, carefully written in large letters, so heary as to be carried by slaves, and only to be acquired at great cost. Of the second kind we find mention in the pages of Libanius, a sophist of the fourth century A.D., who speaks of a MS. of Thucydides possessed by himself, written in small letters, and quite a pleasure to carry : i.e. an edition written, with contractions, in minuscules. Both Galen and Libanius speak of $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i a$ used by those who write cis tá $\chi$ os. Here, says Cobet (Miscell. Gr. p. I59), we may trace a triple source of error in misreading the minuscules, confusing the contractions, or attempting to supplement the deficiencies of the well-thumbed rolumes by the insertion of notes and comments of readers. Few indeed of this high-priced class of MSS. have come down to us; but Cobet questions their superior literary merit ${ }^{2}$.

Third!y, we have to reckon with the carelessness or ignorance of scribes; for many of these Byzantine copyists knew little or no Greek. The shortcomings of the 'Graeculus,' 'sciolus,' or 'magistellus' are familiar to all who read the pages of Cobet or his followers. But that a panacea is to be found for these
${ }^{1}$ See J. IR. Harris' review of Gomperz's treatise (Wien, ISS ${ }_{\psi}$ ) in Am. Journal of Philology, v. 516.
${ }^{2}$ See further, Sir E. M. Thompson's Manual of Palrography, p. 83 foll.
 doctrine of $\dot{\epsilon} \mu,\langle\lambda \dot{r} \mu u \tau t$, or adscripts, is more than doubtful. Cobet's Novate Leetones and Variae Lectiones will give numberless instances of the practical application of this principle. Students will find in D) Rutherford a strenuous advocate of the doctrine of adscripts, but for a more temperate discussion of the fucstion may lee referred to the introductory remarks of Professors Tucker and Goodhart prefixed to their respective ceditions of 13k. VII. (See Tucker, p. xxiii. syq., Goodhart, xxvi. sqq.)

But, in expunsings a supposed $\ddot{\epsilon}_{\mu} \beta \lambda \eta \mu a$ we may be unconsciously extirpating a Thucydidean characteristic. Thucydides' own regrard for accuracy not infrequently underlies apparent
 of varicty, is at once a help and a hindrance when we come to compare the author with hinnself: there is no stereotyping the phraseology of Thucydides. Why, for instance, does he always
 plement? Who shall decide to what extent Thucydides' own monderovs Bpaxu入oyia is or is not responsible for such additions? Who shall determine when and where the writer is to express himself at length or in brief? Are all articular epexegreses to disappear, all explanatory parentheses to be rejected?

The absence of any principle of determination (except in the case of such obvious errors as appeal to ordinary judgment) can only result in giving a wider scope to the judgment of the individual. From the same materials different scholars will procluce different results, different palacographists will elicit different readinss. Is it not fresh within the memory of some how a certain savant evolved a legend from that which proved to be merely a representation of the feet of men and horses broken off from the upper portion of the stone?

In point of etymology, the difficulties of itacism, of Ionism, and doubtful spellings still remain to a certain extent. Inscriptions have their use, but the spelling of the stonemason is not infallible, whether in ancient or modern times. Again, may not the dificulties of the scribe have been largely increased by
the pronunciation (or mispronunciation) of a reader? The constant confusions between $\tau \eta \nu$ and $\tau \iota \nu, \epsilon$ and $\epsilon \iota$, and the like, would point to such an element of disturbance. Indecd, palaeography, in some ways, serves to the scholar the function of the microscope to the physician : it reveals in greater intensity the intinite possibilities of corruption only to shew the impossibility of successfully overcoming the difficulty.

That much practical advantage can result from further collation of the existing MSS. of Thucydides is highly problematical. For my own part, I incline to the opinion that more is to be looked for from the study of Ionisms and examination of the works of Thucydidean imitators. So far at least, Thucydides has suffered not a little at the hands of those learned editors (quos honoris causa nomino), who have endeavoured to correct his sentences by the application of their 'fluent Atticism.'

## The Life and Character of Thucidides.

Our knowledge of the life of Thucydides is derived from the scanty facts supplied by himself, supplemented by tradition or inferences drawn from his own statements. All that he tells us himself amounts to thus much : (I) his father's name was Olorus (IV. IOt) ; ( 2 ) he survived the close of the Peloponnesian war,
 тє єiซouat (V. 26); (3) he was appointed to a command, as one of the ten strategi, in the year 424 B.C. ; (4) in consequence of the loss of Amphipolis he was an exile for twenty years (v. 26). Beyond this, with the single exception of the fact that he not only witnessed the ravages of the plague, but was also himself stricken, he tells us nothing.

His birth probably took place somewhere between 471 and 456 B.C.: Unger would place it as late as 450 . His death, as he makes no mention of the famous eruption of Etna which Diodorus assigns to the year 396 , is assumed to have occurred before that date.

Out of much that is problematical Unger accepts the following particulars: (1) that Thucydides married a wealthy womar.
from $\Sigma \kappa a \pi \tau \grave{\eta}\langle\hat{\lambda} \eta$; (2) that he was accused of treason by Cleon, and spent many years in exile in Thrace ; (3) that he was granted permission to return to Athens on the proposal of Oenobius; (4) that he died a natural death in Thrace, probably at his place of exile ; (5) that the sepulchral monument at Athens, to which we find allusions made, was only a cenotaph. Whether his exile was voluntary-as in the case of Demosthenes, 111. 98 , and Pythodorus and Sophocles, IV. 68-or not, we have no means of determining. Of his being brought to trial on any specific charge we have no record: whether his recall was sanctioned by special vote, or due to some general amnesty, or the simple result of the expiration of his term of banishment, we are powerless to decide.

It needs small critical sagacity to reject some of the statements of the so-called 'lives' or to disparage others; e.g. the story of Thucydides being moved to tears by the recitation of Herodotus, his sceking refuge with Archelaus, his grinding the faces of the Aeginetans ${ }^{1}$ as a usurer, or composing his history beneath a plane-tree. Apart from these lives, our only sources of information are Dionysius of Halicarnassus, Plutarch, Pausanias, and the Scholia.

The education of Thucydides was probably just that of the wealthy young Athenian of his time. Tradition assigns to Anaxagoras his instruction in philosophy and to Antiphon his education in the art of rhetoric. Some confidently appeal to Thucydides' own words (viil. 68) in proof of his devotion to a master's memory: But what evidence does this passage contain of personal affection for the $\mu \mp \lambda i \gamma \eta \rho$ s " $^{\prime A} \delta \rho a \sigma \tau o s^{2}$ ? Philostratus confidently asserts that Thucydides borrowed from Gorgias $\tau \dot{o}$ $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda \omega \dot{\nu} \nu \mu о \nu$ каì т $\eta \nu \dot{\partial} \phi \rho v^{\nu} \nu$, and Spengel even sees proof of the influence of Gorgias' $\sigma v \nu \omega ่ \nu v \mu a$. There is, of course, the probability that Thucydides did avail himself of Gorgias' ópӨоє́тєєa, as well as of the theories of Protagoras, and that the presence of Anaxagoras in Athens induced the young Athenian to seek the philosophic guidance of the instructor of Pericles.

[^1]Independently of the allusion to Antiphon in viri. 68, and a certain reflection of his style observable in Thucydides, nothing is more probable than that the historian availed himself of the instruction of the first doyóppaфos of his day. We know, by tradition at least, that Antiphon established a school in which the art of rhetoric was formally taught, and that, in accordance with the prevailing practice of the day, he wrote a $\tau$ é $\chi \dot{\eta}$ or 'system of rhetoric.' He also is credited with having been the first to commit speeches to writing, either to be delivered from memory by any litigant incapable of constructing a speech for himself, or for the purpose of rendering the efforts of oratory more accessible to the general public. The very fact of the existence in Thucydides' speeches of a certain number of common topics points to the influence of a master who was an adept in the employment of such commonplaces ${ }^{1}$.

The extent to which Thucydides played any active part in public life it is impossible from his own writings to determine. That he was at least in touch with practical politics is sufficiently attested by his election as strategus. At the same time there is reason to believe that his private interests in Thrace may have largely interfered with his residence at Athens. The greater portion of the first seven years of the war he probably spent in the city, but whether his presence there during the visitation of the plague is to be referred to any public engagements is extremely doubtful. He was, in all likelihood, an eyewitness of the Mytilenean debate and present at the discussion about Pylus. It is further suggested that he may have taken part in Phormio's expedition, which he describes with much minuteness of detail (II. 80-92), or served with Demosthenes in Aetolia and Acarnania (III. 94). The period of leisure afforded by his exile was probably spent in visiting Sicily, Italy, and the islands.

The character of the master seems strongly reflected in his great pupil. As we find Antiphon ${ }^{2}$ never, if he could avoid it, taking part in any public contest, though willing to render service

[^2]${ }^{2}$ Thuc. vili. 68 § I.
when his advice was sought, so we find Thucydides not challenging public attention, not pushing his way to the front, but ready at the call of duty to serve his country's need. True to the self-containment of his nature, his roble would appear to have been eminently that of a $\sigma \omega \phi_{p} \omega \nu$, a self-contained man, one who could watch the heat of a debate or forecast the issues of a proposal with as calm a judgment and as keen an eye as he could note defects of drill or discipline on the field of battle ${ }^{1}$ or anticipate the results of a tactical or strategical move. Holding as he did an independent middle course between oligarchy and democracy, he could naturally make his influence felt as a $\sigma \omega \phi$ povi $\sigma \tau \eta^{\prime} s$, whether of high-handed $\delta v \nu a \tau o i$ or progressive $\delta \eta$ potikoi. From this point of view we shall naturally assign to Thucydides a place in the ranks of oi $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma o \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o \lambda \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, the fraternity of those who loved the ômuitpovs avapxia as little as they affected the mapavopia ou $\delta \eta \mu o r \iota \kappa$ n, lovers of their country and constitution, yet not blind to its defects, regardful, not only of the laws of Athens as binding Athenians, but also of the principles of justice and equity in their more extended relations to their fellow-men.

Yet amidst much which suggests the soundest political morality, we are now and again confronted by suggestions of hardness and cynicism. Might is right ; justice is expediency and expediency is justice; providence is on the side of the big battalions; the one guarantee of good faith is to avri$\pi a \lambda o \nu \delta$ ©́os; the tendency whether of gods or men is to assert their rule; at times he even condescends to sophistry, e.g. III. 64 § 4 . But this is more than compensated by the high views the historian takes of duty, self-sacrifice, self-respect, generosity, the true relation of the individual to the state and of the citizen to the law, and of the human being to the unwritten principles of humanity.

The charge of atheism against Thucydides rests on as slender ground as that of want of patriotism. It must not be forgotten that the free speculations of Ionian and Eleatic philosophers

1 Thuc. V. 71 .
had not been without effect upon the religious feeling of Hellas. Heraclitus had fallen foul of Homeric myths, comedians had travestied gods and derided heroes, סivos was king ${ }^{1}$, and the place of Zeus knew him no more. By the time of Pericles ancient creeds had been severely shaken : what wonder then that Thucydides should have rebelled against a 'deus ex machina,' or, like Protagoras', 'set gods on one side whether they be or not'? Indeed, in Thucydides, we see the revolt of a penetrating intellect against an unreasoning superstition : unlike Herodotus, he is not content with $\theta$ eión ri or $\delta$ unóvióv $\tau \iota$, or even a $\theta$ tós. On the contrary, he seeks to trace natural effects to natural causes. His sober judgment discards all miracles and prodigies, although natural phenomena evidently impress him; oracles he can expound for himself and can appreciate at their true value these $\pi o \lambda v \epsilon \pi \epsilon i s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \chi v a l$. Yet. he is not regardless of rites and ceremonies, and is keenly alive to the respect due to the dead ${ }^{3}$.

Again and again in Thucydides we are called upon to recognise the principle that God helps him who helps himself, that man is for himself the architect of his own fortunes. But, though so fully alive to the capacities of human intellect and its power of will, he still recognises a крєíббóv $\tau \iota$, a $\pi a p a ́ \lambda o \gamma o s ~ \tau o u ̂ ~$ $\beta$ iov (vili. 24), a тúx $\eta$ which man cannot control, an undefined cause, but still a cause. This $\tau v ́ \chi \eta$ is not the mere 'destiny' of
 human nature he freely acknowledges, but while admitting this weak element he finds in rò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \phi \rho o \nu$ a safeguard against the delusive effects of ${ }^{\epsilon} \rho \omega s$ and $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i$ is. The dangers of unexpected prosperity, the uncertainties of fortune, are clearly set forth to impress on us the necessity of limiting our aspirations by our means, of avoiding arrogance, and accepting as inevitable the common lot of mankind. Beneath the historian lies the philosophic $\mu \epsilon \sigma o ́ t \eta s$ of the powerful thinker. There is a divine justice, but that justice does not of necessity intervene in all cases; there is a крєधिбoóv $\tau \iota$ which 'shapes our ends,' but
${ }^{1}$ Aristoph. Nub. 380.
${ }^{2}$ Plato, Theaet. 162 D.
${ }^{3}$ See further, Forbes, Introdn. p. xxiv.
none can reckon on its favour. The strife of opposites still continues in the mind of the historian, but is controlled by a calmness of judgment which nearly approaches the perfect є̇mox ${ }^{\prime}$ of a Stoic.

Now it is just this marvellous self-repression, this admirable self-containment, which has gained for Thucydides the character of inhuman and unsympathetic. With what injustice is evident to all who know his writings. Has he no word of pity for the undescrved misfortunes of Nicias, for the wretched remnants of the great Athenian army in the quarries of Syracuse, for the victims at Mycalessus or Corcyra? Does his language suggest no sympathy with the worn and wearied Spartans at Sphacteria?

True, he is no sentimentalist, with ready tears at command, but the very tone of his narrative will frequently tell us what his real feelings were, whether admiration, indignation or pity. The brutalities of ancient warfare would naturally have a hardening effect, and in a man of such absolute self-command sympathy is all the more valuable for its very rarity.

Just fifty years ago F . W. Ullrich promulgated his own theory of the composition of Thucydides' history. It is as follows : on the conclusion of the peace of Nicias which terminated the first ten years of the war, Thucydides began to commit his work to writing. The first, second, third, and first half of the fourth book, he wrote in exile without knowledge of the later events of the war. Towards the middle of the fourth book he broke off his task to await further developments, making preparation meanwhile for its completion by collecting facts and prosecuting enquiries. Finally, after a break of some ten or eleven years (from the outbreak of the Decelean war to his recall) he resumed his narrative.

Classen, on the other hand, holds that the eight books as we have them were committed to writing after the close of the twenty-seven years' war from notes and observations made during the whole course of its duration, but that all parts of the work did not receive equally careful revision. While U'llrich's theory is accepted by Steup, Cwiklinski, Müller-Strübing and
others, Stahl, Kriiger, and Herbst incline to Classen's theory: The last, in an article of great power (Philologus, Vol. xxxviri.), contends that Thucydides divided the war into three periods;
(I) The ten years' war to the peace of Nicias.
(2) The period of doubtful peace.
'3. The last seven years, from the fresh outbreak to the war's end.

He further maintains that Thucydides composed his history in the years following the close of the twenty-seven years' war, that in Books II., III. and IV. (to chap. 23) he deals with the ten years' war only; but with full knowledge of the events of later years.

## The Predecessors of Thucydides.

First in the list of Greck historians comes Cadmus of Niletus, the author of a kriots Midírov, based on oral traditions and local myths. His date would be about B.C. 540. Next comes Acusilaus of Argos, a Dorian by descent, although he used the Ionic dialect. He was really a compiler of legends, not a historian at all ; he was succeeded by Hecataeus of Miletus, the author of a $\pi \epsilon$ piooos $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$, as well as of a work called 'histories' or 'genealogies.' He was a great traveller, and is now and again cited and corrected by Herodotus ${ }^{2}$, who, in one place, indulges in a boyish fling at Hecataeus' family tree. After Hecataeus comes Pherecydes of Leros, about the time of the Persian war; he also dealt largely with myths. Fifth is Charon, of Lampsacus, who continued the researches of Hecataeus, and wrote an account of the Persian war-not mentioned by Herodotus. Sixth comes Hellanicus of Mytilene, almost a contemporary of Herodotus, sixty years of age at the outbreak of the Peloponnesian war. He was the author of the 'Priestesses of Hera of Argos,' a list of victors in the Spartan Carneia, and accounts of Persia, Phoenicia, and Egypt. Seventh on the list comes Xanthus, a contemporary of Hellanicus, a Lydian, who wrote in Ionic, and is quoted by Strabo and Dionysius of Halicarnassus.

Of all these $\lambda_{0} y^{\prime} y p a \phi o t$, the works of Hecataeus would presumably have been of paramount importance, if preserved, although it is with Hellanicus' carly history of Hellas that Thucydides has most in common. As for the rest, the fragments ${ }^{1}$ preserved to us present foolish stories of a bitch giving birth to a stump, of a glutton who ate his own wife-apparently in sleep, for he woke to find his wife's hand in his throat-of dancing horses utilised for purposes of warfare. Although in Hecataeus we see a rationalisins tendency, e.g. to explain Cerberus as a great serpent inhabiting Taenarum, yet to the majority the words of Dionysius may well apply, 'they are full of local traditions, fables and tragic catastrophes ( $\theta$ єatpıкai $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi$ е́тєıal). All affect the same style, concise, appropriate, devoid of rhetorical artifices, but not without its charm.'

But it is in Herodotus that we first detect a distinct advance upon his predecessors Hellanicus and Charon, the first signs of that $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ íторía-the practical adaptation of historical research-the development of which, although stimulated by Thucydides, was reserved for the days of the later literature of ancient times.
'Herodotus,' to quote Dionysius, 'has the advantage of Thucydides both in his choice of a subject (a кotข̀ ioropia), and in his a $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ (the Persian aggressions). Thucydides is at fault both in his selection of an cipxí (the misfortunes of Hellas) and his $\tau \in ́ \lambda o s$ (Cynossema). In Herodotus we get relief from time
 тирабкєv $\hat{\eta}$. Again, in the mere order of his narrative, Thucydides $\chi$ póvoıs ákодov $\theta \in i$ ( (cp. Thuc. v. 26), whereas Herodotus
 Thucydides as the more concise, though both are equally explicit ('̇vapyєis) ; Thucydides is the more 'pathetic,' but Herodotus a better delineator of character: Thucydides is the more impressive, Herodotus the more enjoyable; Herodotus is more natural in expression, Thucydides the more skilful: in short

[^3]the main distinction is that Herodotus' style is cheerful (inapós), Thucydides' sombre ( $\phi$ o $\beta$ epós). This ancient critic, while taking no exception to the general testimony to Thucydides' accuracy and impartiality, yet sees signs of a resentful temperament ( $\delta$ tá-
 all Athenian reverses he duly records with great minuteness of detail, whereas successes he dismisses with the briefest notice. His great defect lies in the handling of the material at his disposal (rò oiкovo $\iota \kappa \kappa^{\prime} \mathbf{y}^{\prime}$ ). He is constantly interrupting his narrative, e.g. the siege of Plataea, which breaks off short at Ir. 78 , is not continued until III. 20; his chronolosical method is peculiar to himself; his tendency is to exaggerate trivial things and to ignore the gravity of more important matters ; e.g. contrast II. 85-95 with I. IOO ; his descriptions fluctuate between pathos and triviality; he is inconsistent ; e.g. to the victims of a Bpaұєia imтоцахia he devotes a lengthy oration (the funeral speech of Pericles), whereas to the memory of those who fell at Pylus he pays no tribute, although that victory brought Sparta on her knees to Athens.

He further credits Thucydides with the choice of an archaic and figurative style, although some regard this archaic style as appropriate to the dignity of history; in choice of words he affects $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma a \iota$ (obsolete expressions) and $\pi \epsilon \pi o \iota \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a$; his composition is severe, sententious, condensed, and figurative to a degree. He is always polishing and hammering out; sometimes the sentence becomes one word, sometimes one word expands into a sentence; the verbal form replaces the nominal or the nominal the verbal ; actives are interchanged with passives: singulars are confounded with plurals, feminines with masculines, neuters with either, to the great disturbance of the natural train of thought ; substantival and participial inflexions he treats either $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \eta \mu a i v o \nu ~ o r ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \eta \mu a i \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu, ~ c o n-~$ necting particles and prepositions he handles with all a poet's freedom. His alteration of persons, his interchange of tenses, as well as of the ordinary meaning of words, are apparently solecisms. He gives us 'res pro persona' and 'persona pro re,' he disturbs the sequence of thought by parentheses and by
needless involutions and complications. Rhetorical figures (carried to excess by Gorgias, Polus and Licymnius) abound.

 тíXos $\tau \bar{\eta} s$ omparias. His characteristics ( $\chi \rho \omega^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha=$ features) are тò $\sigma \tau \mu \mu \phi \nu o ́ \nu$ (stiffness), тò $\pi v \kappa \nu \dot{\nu}$ (closeness), тó av́ $\sigma \tau \eta \rho o ́ \nu ~(a ~ d r y ~$
 ally то̀ таӨŋтıкóข.

But most characteristic of him is his condensation, his endeavour to compress in bricf much thought, thus leaving his reader unsatisfied, expecting more-hence obscurity results.

But in his speeches his natural power is most clearly shewn, although in spite of their originality we sce a lack of artistic development : these, like his narrative, are marred by $\gamma \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma$ cou and $\lambda \in ́ \xi \in \iota s \pi \epsilon \pi \sigma t \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v a t$ as well as by needless involutions of construction. He is at his best when he deviates least from common phraseology, at his worst when he allows rà छ'eva kai Bєßıaб的va каi àvaкídov $\theta a$ to intrude. What motive had he for this affected style, for which we find no parallel cven in Antiphon? Was it merely to outdo others ( $\tau \boldsymbol{v} \delta \delta \iota \lambda \lambda a \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ )? Yet, continues Dionysius, so long as he keeps within reasonable limits, he is simply incomparable (оvंס̀ бvүкрьтькós).

In this critique there is much that is judicious and to the point ; but when Dionysius proceeds to attack the historical method of Thucydides, to analyse his vocabulary, to reconstruct his syntax, and rewrite his sentences, he then betrays his inaccuracy and incompetence. His quotations are incorrect, and his grammatical analysis is inexact; ordinary grammatical liberties, such as collective expressions, or middle uses of passive perfects, or the substitution of intransitive verbs for passive, provoke his displeasure : case uses he does not discriminate, the significance of words he fails to distinguish, and by his proposed corrections emasculates his author. The charge of inconsistency which he brings against the writer recoils upon the critic himself, when we find him reproducing in his own works the very forms of expression he condemns. All these treatises betray to

study of Thucydides should have led him to avoid. "The treatise of Dionysius,' says Professor Jowett, 'throws a striking light on the narrow and feeble intelligence of the Graeco-Roman rhetorician and historian of the first century B.C. and of the age for which he wrote.' Even the sober Poppo is roused into the exclamation 'pro hominem balbutientem et caecutientem!'

The style of Thucyclides is essentially his own, whether in respect of diction or construction. As contrasted with Herodotus, we see at once the transition from the $\lambda \epsilon \xi$ ts єipo $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \eta$ to the $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\xi} \iota s$ катєбтра $\mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$ in process. The simple connected sentence of Herodotus with its poctical wealth of particles, its smooth Ionic rowel-system, gives place to a semi-periodic sentence, a subordination of parataxis to hypotaxis, which, nevertheless, instead of discarding absolutely the old coordination retains it with greater variety of features.

In the choice of words, Thucydides' extreme precision led him, like Antiphon, to select a certain number of words and phrases from the old Ionic vocabulary, and to combine with such óp $\theta_{o \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota a ~ P r o d i c u s ' ~ u s e ~ o f ~ s y n o n y m s . ~}^{\text {Pr }}$

Even in the order of words we see the master mind subordinating to its dictates the ordinary usage of language : e.g.
(I) the accusative precedes, emphasizing by its position ${ }^{1}$ the principal object of the sentence, striking, as it were, the
 к.т. $\lambda$.
(2) the genitive is placed before the noun on which it depends ; or the objective genitive may stand between the preposition and the noun on which it depends: e.g. III. 23 סià rov̂ $\chi є \not \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ оs тò $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon$ Oos.
(3) two clauses closely related, e.g. two objects of the same verb connected by a copula, are separated by some other word of importance : e.g. I. 69, § 4 ov̉ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ठิvvá $\mu \epsilon \iota \tau \iota v a ̀ ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$ à $\mu \nu \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu o t$.
(4) the 'initial' use of the dative : e.g. V. $3, \$ 4$ кai aúrois

${ }^{1}$ Classen, Introduction lxxxi. sqq.
(5) an adverbial expression is retained until the end of the sentence, either for emphasis or connexion: e.g. I. 77, § 5 $\dot{\eta} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$

 $\mu \eta$ clause being parenthetical.
(6) a logical connexion supersedes the grammatical: e.g.
 versa, the grammatical supersedes the logical, e.g. I. $35, \S 4 \hat{\eta} \nu$ ov̉ סiкatov к.т. $\lambda$.

To meet the requirements of his $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi o s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \sigma \eta \mu a \sigma i u s, ~ o b s e r v e$ how Thucydides uses enallage of moods or tenses, coordination of different cases, clauses, and constructions, as with the construction $\pi \rho \grave{s}$ т̀̀ $\sigma \eta \mu a \omega \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \nu \nu$. As compared with Antiphon, whose style he is held most closely to reflect, both are cited as
 and definite mode of expressing a clear and definite conception ${ }^{1}$ -a style all the more vigorous from its very freshness and power, although wanting in the fluency which results from practice.
 witness the distinction of крıtai from $\delta o \xi a \sigma \tau a i$, of $\gamma \nu \omega \rho \iota \sigma \tau a i ~ f r o m ~$



 Both prefer a consecutive form of sentence, copulative, disjunctive, or adversative, to a combination of principal and subordinate clauses. In Antiphon especially symmetry ( $\sigma \dot{v} \boldsymbol{\nu} \theta$ érs ėvap$\mu$ úvos) is the leading feature of many sentences; although the use of this device frequently results in the sacrifice of coherence of thought to mere paronomasia or parechesis : cf. Ant. Her.

 freer use of figures of speech than of figures of thought, the latter being, in his judgment, of more rare occurrence, and unpremeditated even when they do occur. Such figures of thought display themselves in climax, aposiopesis, ämopia, $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}-$

[^4]vour, àvikidaots. But such display of feeling ill accords with the self-contained style of Antiphon or Thucydides: in the latter we have only one instance of a rhetorical burst of feeling, $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s ov̉ $\delta \in เ \nu a ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̈ p \gamma a \sigma \theta \epsilon, ~ I I I . ~ 66, ~ § 2 . ~$

Thucydides makes free use of the descending and ascending period alike: in the one, the result is placed first in the sentence, the cause or motive being expressed by causal sentences, circumstantial participles, prepositional constructions, or coordinations of the loosest kind : in the other the process is reversed:
 к.т.入. Such a style is difficult to follow, and may be simplified cither by adopting Dionysius' suggestion of transferring the subordinate clauses from the middle of the sentence to the end, thus forming an appendix, or by resolving the continuous sentence into separate short clauses: but the one expedient destroys the vigour of the sentence, the other disturbs the unity of the thought.

Antiphon differs from Thucydides:
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon, ~ a u ́ \tau i ́ k a ~(e x e m p l i ~ g r a t i a), ~ ท ̉ ~ к a i ~ \pi a ́ \nu v ~ \tau o \iota, ~ \tau о и ̂ т o ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu . . . \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~$ $\delta \epsilon ́$.
(2) in words : $\beta \lambda$ áßos, $\mu \in \rho i ́ s, \phi$ ф́р $\mu а к о \nu ~(m e t a p h o r i c a l), ~ \beta a \rho v \delta a t-~$
 є̇тíסoگos.
(3) in inflexions : e.g. o乞 $\delta a \mu \epsilon \nu, \notin \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon$.
( $\downarrow$ ) in constructions: $\tilde{0} \pi \omega s \not{a} \nu$ with optative, I. § 17. $\omega$ 's for

 unless we treat $\dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma a$ as purely adjectival. See Thuc. III. 44, § 2.

Antiphon agrees with Thucydides:
(I) in construction: e.g. of $\epsilon \nu \theta v \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota$ with genitive, $\delta \iota a \chi \rho \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma a \sigma \theta a t$ with accus., é $\pi \epsilon \xi \in \epsilon \in v a l$ with accus. (Ant. I. § II).
 Her. § 32. ov̉ тథ̣ $\phi \in v ์ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ äv, Her. § 8.

 єỉ є’ $\lambda$ є́ $\gamma \chi$ оутаи.



(5) in the use of $\epsilon \nu \oplus$ (realistic) without $\not \approx \nu$, cf. Her. § 43 .

(6) in forcing the use of the epexegetic infinitive: e.g. nirtos

(7) in special phrases : є́mıфє́pєıv airiav, Her. § 38 . aï $\theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ тоєєì (тарє́хєь Th.). év én $\lambda$ тíó єỉvaı, Tetral. A. $\gamma$ § 6. тò $\theta \nu \mu \nu u ́-$


Professor Jebb holds the cardinal points of distinction between Thucydides and Antiphon to be that,
(1) Thucydides' tendency is to a more pregnant brevity:
(2) he affects, with a view to emphasis, peculiar arrangement of words.
(3) he comprises within the compass of a single sentence a greater number of clauses, in order to present in one view the complex thought.

See Jebb, Attic Orators, I. 18-44.
Yet, amidst all these 'terrible shadows' of Thucydides, we catch now and again a glimpse of sunlight flitting across the page. The lion has his gentler moods ${ }^{1}$. The legend of Tereus (11. 29) and the story of Harmodius and Aristogeiton (VI. 54-57) are striking instances of his lighter style of narrative; while in the speech of the Plataeans (III. $53-59$ ) he has left us an admirable example of simple and pathetic oratory.

Some indeed, and those not a few, would claim for the speeches the place of honour. But, well as they may serve the purpose of emphasizing any scrious political conjuncture,
 said that in these rhetorical efforts Thucydides is seen at his

[^5]best. Those however who look for clearness of argument, nervous strength of diction, or incisive power of retort, will find all these and something more-they will find 'writ large' the lesson of democratic brutality, of oligarchic mala fides, of diplomatic shiftiness and over-reaching astuteness. From more than one passage will they realise for themselves the true relation of the subject allies to the rúpavpos $\pi$ ódes to whom nothing was just that was not expedient, nothing unreasonable that served its end ${ }^{1}$.

The credibility of Thucydides has of late years been much questioned, e.g. in his account of the Peisistratids ${ }^{2}$, the revolution of the Four Hundred ${ }^{3}$, the Lesbian revolt ${ }^{4}$, the Corcyraean civil war ${ }^{5}$, and the assessment of Thera and Melos ${ }^{6}$. His account of the Plataean siege ${ }^{7}$ has been condemned as inconsistent and unintelligible, on questions of topography and chronology he is alleged to be at fault, and furthermore is condemned as controverting the evidence of inscriptions. Kirchhoff indeed contends that one particular inscription ${ }^{8}$ points to no less than thirty-one variations between the record of the stone and Bekker's text of Thucydides; on what slender ground, may be realised by reference to Jowett's Thucydides, vol. II. p. 3 II.

In deciding the question of the historian's credibility, due allowance must be made for his sources of information ${ }^{9}$. Of his veracity and strict impartiality small doubt remains. Whatever his demerits as a historian, whether in omission or exaggeration, or in lacking sense of due proportion, his supremacy as a writer remains unchallenged.


## ABBREVIATIONS.

The letters A, I, C, E, Fi, G, M refer to the Mss. as enumerated in Introduction p. ix.
J. represents the Junta edition of 1526 .
A. J. P. = American Journal of Philology.

Bad. = Badham.
Bek. = Bekker.
Böh. = Böhme.
Cl. = Classen.

Cob. = Cobet.
Dobr. = Dobree.
Duk. = Duker.
Göll. = Göller.
Her. = van Herwerden.
Hud. = Hude.
Krüg. = Krüger.
Madv. = Madvig.
Mein. = Meinekc.
Nab. = Naber.
Popp. = Poppo.
Rauch. = Rauchenstein.
R. S. =Shilleto.

St. =Stahl.
Valckn. = Valckenär.

## $Ө О Y K Y \triangle \mathrm{I} \triangle \mathrm{OY} \Xi \Upsilon Г Г Р А Ф Н \Sigma \Gamma$.















 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \gamma \grave{\omega} \rho \lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \chi^{\hat{\omega}} \sigma \iota \nu$ каі̀ $\tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ оікобо́ $\mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu 2$


Chap. I. § i. oi Meגot. Herbst- - $i$ ip gov oiá C, perhaps, Hide suggests, from corruption of $\delta \iota \iota^{\prime}$ aúrò $\mu \dot{\eta}$ into $\delta \iota a ̀$ rò $\mu \eta$ resulting in omission of $\delta \iota a$; cf. IV. $55 \S 4$. With the exception of vi. I § a there is no other example in Thus. of tip $\rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ followed by $\tau \grave{\prime} \mu \dot{\eta}$ with infinitive (Hade, Comm. Crit. p. i). סıà тó G, either a gloss, or an attempt to explain accusative, or a confusion with $\tau \circ \hat{\mu} \mu \dot{\eta}$.
§ 2. öбои Nab. öтоv Kr.




















 $\epsilon$ є̈ך 'А

 $\pi \epsilon i ̂ p a,-\epsilon i$ ס̀̀ $\mu \dot{\eta}, \mathrm{M} v \tau i \lambda \eta v a i o l s ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ v a v ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ т a \rho a-~$
II. § 2. $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon ́ v o c$ Cob. ; cf. Ii. T $^{\text {§ }} 3$ and Cob. V. L. 253.

§ 3. aủroîs om. F.- $\epsilon$ Tvai om. E.-Badham (Mnem. vili. 123) fill. in a large lacuna; Cobet 'Ieniore remedio' supplies one line of
 construction for eimeì by supplying $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \tau \operatorname{ci} \chi \theta \eta$ oỉv aütoîs. St. Cl. Her. retain text.- $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon i ̂ \rho a$ del. Kr.






 Хрŋбव́цнє











 $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．каì oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i ̀ \tau \omega \nu$＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu \quad a ̉ \pi \epsilon-3$


§ 4．$\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \sigma \phi \hat{a} s$ del．Kr．
§ 6．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ vulg．corr．Meineke，but suggests $\pi \epsilon \rho i \xi$（Hermes III．
 latter，in Mnemos．I．So，rewrites＇$\tau a ́ \tau \epsilon \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda a$ ，$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \iota \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ кai $\lambda \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$


IV．§ I．$\pi$ o入入̣̂̂ BG．－$\dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{\omega} \rho \mu о u \nu ~ N a b .-o i ~ \sigma \tau \rho a r \eta \gamma o i ~ d e l . ~ C o b . ~$ ＇the relations of $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu-\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ point to an adscript．＇
§ 2．vavuaxiav G．－ȧтот $\hat{i} \psi a \sigma \theta a i$ Her．needlessly．
§ 4．à $2 \alpha \omega \chi \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ MSS．，but see Her．Stud． 124 ．




















 $\Theta_{\eta \beta a i ̂ o s, ~ o ̂ ̀ ~ \pi \rho o a \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \lambda} \eta \sigma a \nu \quad \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ a ̉ \pi o \sigma \tau c \dot{c} \sigma \epsilon \omega$,




 1832 : apparently treating toîs as masculine.

§ 3. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \gamma\{\gamma \nu 0 \iota \tau$ C.
§ +. $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu$ del. Her.- $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ N.









 $\mu \hat{\lambda \lambda \lambda o v ~ \eta i v ~ a u ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \pi \lambda o i ́ \omega v ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \gamma o p a ̂ s ~ i ̀ ~ M a \lambda e ́ a . ~ к а i ~}$


VII．Katiè Sè tò̀ aủtò̀ र póvov tô Oépous tov́тov









 т



VI．§ I．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ del．Cob．（Mnem．ViII．124）．
§ 2．$\pi \rho \circ \beta \epsilon \beta \circ \eta \theta \eta \kappa \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon s$ Hud．－á $\gamma о \rho \alpha ́$ Kr．Her．
VII．§1．$\pi \epsilon \rho i \Pi_{\epsilon} \lambda_{0 \pi}$ ．Her．from $G$ assuming luss of $\pi \epsilon \rho t$ from $\pi \epsilon$. ．




 тарі̀ т $\hat{\omega} \nu$ Иєчка $\delta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ є่кодібаขто.





 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~ \epsilon i \pi \pi o \nu ~ т o ん a ́ \delta \epsilon . ~$
IX. "ТО $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa а \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \tau о i ̂ s " E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota ~ \nu o ́ \mu \iota \mu о \nu, ~ \omega ̉ ~$










 " $\dot{\imath} \pi$ ’ aùt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ èv toîs $\delta \in \iota \nu o i ̂ s ~ a ̀ \phi \iota \sigma t a ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a . ~ X . ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ \gamma u i p ~$ "то̂́ ठıкаíov каì ảpєтท̂s $\pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} о \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega s$ тє каi $\xi_{\nu \mu-}$
§5. aútb $\delta \iota$ G.
 тáde G.

1X. § I. кaөcotós INer. Stud. 123, but the Attic form is -os, cf. Soph. O. T. $6_{33}$, Thuc. IV. so.-äv $\delta p \in s$ del. Cob. um. CG.толє $\mu$ ios AF.

 ＂$\phi \iota \lambda i ́ a \nu ~ i \delta \iota \omega ́ \tau a \iota s ~ \beta \epsilon ́ \beta a \iota o \nu ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu ~ o v ้ \tau \epsilon ~ к о เ \nu \omega \nu i ́ a \nu ~$






















 cet．Has $\epsilon \in \epsilon \nu$ crept in by dittography？cf． 44 § 2.
§ 2．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ Cob．but cf．Dio Cass．xxxvir． 40.
§3．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \ldots{ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota$ G om．man．pr．
 on double meaning＇separatim aut coniunctim．＇一т仑̂ ovóщatь del．Her．
§ 6．$\delta v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i \epsilon \nu$ Kr．from Dobree＇s $\delta v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon i \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．Class．St．Böh． retain vulgate．



















 5＂тари́бұך．тà ठє̀ каì ảmò Өєратєє́as то仑 тє коиファv̂





 $\pi \rho о \notin \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ д̀ $\nu \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Nab}$ ．
 fends（Philol．for $1860,3 \neq 2$ sqq．）．

XII．§ 1．Cf．Dio．Hal．for． 39 tis oīd $\dot{\eta}$ tomít $\phi$ dika kai
















XIII．＂Toıav́tas é $\chi$ оעтєৎ $\pi \rho о \phi$ и́бєıs каi aitias，








 necessary ？－$\theta$ pácoos vulg．

§3．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a i \tau \iota$ St．$\tau o \iota$ Bad．$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \mu \mu \lambda \lambda \hat{i} \sigma a \iota$ most msS．probably by
 sees an oxymoron ；i．e．，a contrast of＇ius aequum＇with＇in potestate


XIII．§ s．Cobet finds three adscripts（ I ）kai aitías，so also Her．
 point of view a repetition of $2 \S 1 .-\kappa \omega \lambda \nu \theta \in \nu \tau \epsilon$ CEFG．$-\xi v \gamma \kappa a \kappa \omega \sigma$－























 with gín Itude compares tiII. I.3 \& I. But the compround is as offensive as the tmesis. Did Thuc, write $\mu \boldsymbol{i}$ oiv $\gamma \epsilon$ ? cf. virig gr s 3 . CTN and OTN are casily confused, so aloo $\Gamma$ with If and $\in$ with $O$. C from
 Bad. тротой
§ +. $\sigma \chi$ eiv (for é $\chi \epsilon \nu$ ) Cob.
 Meisterhans, p. 44--iotiv Cob.
















XV．Toıav̂ta $\mu$ è $\nu$ oi Muti入qvaîol єimov．oi סè








 Cob．Her．from BG．


 $\dot{\text { is }} \pi$ romoóuevor as an attempt at correction，and would excise the words， but insert $\dot{\epsilon \pi i}$（reading к $\dot{\pi} \pi i$ in licu of Herw＇s каi є̇ $\pi i$ ）before rív．But the text is sound．























 XVI. § i. каl before $\tau \grave{\grave{~}} \boldsymbol{a} \pi \dot{\prime}$ del. Cob.-aủrol $\tau \epsilon$ om. G.
§ 2. тpíákovтa (before $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ ) vulg. बंel. Her. St. Cl.
XVII. Classen and Steup (Rhein. Mus. Xxiv. 50) comiemn the whole chapter as spurious.
 suggesting кai $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} p$ ts. Bad. (Minem. Vill. $\mathbf{4 0}^{4}$ ) proposes каi ä̀入入au. Colbet treats all from $\pi$ apa $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma c a t$ to $\tau o \hat{v} \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu o v$ as an adscript from reminiscence of 11.13 § 9. Stahl omits of after mapamińocal but




 ク̉бav，$\chi \omega \rho i s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a i ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ~ П о т i ́ \delta a \iota a \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota s ~$






 ai $\pi a ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a u ̛ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \tau \sigma \theta o ̀ \nu ~ Є ̈ \phi є \rho o v . ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ o ̂ ̂ \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ́-~$
 $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̄ \sigma \tau a \iota$ є่ $\pi \lambda \eta p \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \eta \sigma \nu$ ．












§ 2．каi $\pi \epsilon \rho i \ldots \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ omit Gm．pr．$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Пotєiôalay del．Her．St． § 3．$\mu \in \tau$ 衣 Пotelôalav Nab．＇urlss enim iandudum capta erat，＇vid．


XVIII．§ 2．＇A $\downarrow \tau \tau \sigma \sigma \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ Cob．from Hyperides，$\ddagger^{6 .}$








 $\kappa а i$ ò $\chi є \iota \mu \omega ̀ \nu$ クै $\rho \chi є \tau о$ үі́ $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.





 тồ Malcivסpou $\pi \epsilon \delta i ́ o u ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ E a v o i ́ o s ~ \lambda o ́ \phi o v, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \theta \epsilon-~$
 $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\varsigma}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \pi o \lambda \lambda o i ́$.



 oî, St. from better mss. oî, IIude from ömou C. In vinl. 26 oime $\beta$ has been corrected to oütep or $\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho$; see IIaase, Luc. $\boldsymbol{i}^{\prime}$, who notes
 Cl . defends. Bekker's emendation is given in text. 'خүкатокоӧoнєital,
 almust undistinguishable from rat, cf. Last. p. Sos. Perhaps the кai following has caused confusion.
XIX. § 2. Vavoiou vulg corr. Mein. Herm. iri. 3 63; cf. Colb. N. L. 338.-ǜ $\lambda \lambda \eta \mathrm{s}$ om. F.

















 тov̂ тєíXovs. тìv $\mu \grave{̀} \nu$ ồv $\xi_{\nu \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu} \kappa \lambda \iota \mu\left(\dot{c} \kappa \omega \nu{ }_{4}\right.$






 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \backslash \theta$ ov $\delta \dot{\delta}$, suspecting that $\dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon$ فovtai conceals some word implying 'sortitio'; cf. Demosth. (?) 59. 103. See Hu. Comm. Crit. p. 94.
§3. $\dot{\eta} \rho t \theta \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \tau 0$, vulg. probably a confusion of the compendium for $\tau 0$ with $\delta \dot{\delta} .-\tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ oús Cob. - $\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu 0 \hat{u}$ Her. suspects, Cob. excises 'non enim
 Hu. approves.-öбov St. és ô ópầ C. F. Smith (Am. J. Phil. X. zoy).

























§ 3. кail oi avizoi del. Her. кal del Cl. St.- $\pi a \dot{\alpha}$ mípyon del. St.
XXII. §2. äpa...jə
 (r. 94).-Her. strikes out $\dot{\alpha} \nu^{\prime} \beta$ ßuvon in each case, putting full stop at $\dot{\alpha} \nu \ell^{\prime} \beta \eta$ (with comma at $\pi \rho o \sigma^{\prime} \ell \in \sigma a \nu$ ) and full stop at $\pi v^{\prime}, \gamma \omega \nu$. Stahl places full stop at $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \theta \in \sigma \alpha \nu, C 1$ a comma only : both St. and Cl. transpose $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\beta} \beta a u v o \nu$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \omega_{\rho} \rho o r v$ from their position in Bekier's text (see Weil, Rev. de Phil. 11. Sig) ; Kir. Bïh. follow Bekker.



























§ 4．Sô̂tov Bekk．from A．廿ó申ov BEG．
 60 § 3 ．

§ 8． tlin（for $^{\eta}$ ）Nab．
S．T．














 $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma \omega \nu, \chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \hat{\omega}$ oi $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \tau a i ̂ o l$, катаßaívovтєs




 5 ठıà тàs $\lambda a \mu \pi a ́ \delta a \varsigma ~ к а \theta \epsilon \omega р \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о$, $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \theta a ́ \nu о v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



XXIII. § r. öro九 ABE. Her. has repented him of his proposed heroic treatment of this sentence, of. Her. Stud. p. 39 with Hermes Iv. +22 . His text now agrees essentially with Stahl's.

§ 3. Dobree, Adv. 1. 33, suggests oi aimò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ míph $\quad$ кaraßalvovtes '̇đُ́porv, 'reliqua ex sequentibus orta: ipsam vocem катaßaivovтes suspectam habeo,' oi before cimó del. St. oi befure reneuraioo del. Her. -каі èvтev̂é G.




 ci月póoı т



















§ 5．シ̈ $\beta$ optov del．St．＇merum schclium，＇Doh．Adv．I．33；is ínó



XXIV．§ 1．${\ddot{\eta} \kappa \kappa \sigma \tau^{\prime}}^{\alpha} \nu \mathrm{L}$ Cob．Her．The compendium is often omitted with $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a, \kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha$, к．т．入．
§ 2．ó ò̀ del．Her．
 p． 40 ．－imeppáaves，an adscript from c． 20 （CuLet）．









 vaious $\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu \epsilon i \chi \chi o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ ढ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \xi v \mu \beta a i v \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．ö $\tau \epsilon$












XXV．§ I．ن́тоßaтòv Her．－ä $\mu \alpha$ om．N．
 is constant in Thuc．

XXVI．§ ı．óvo кal del．Her．susp．Kr．－äpХovta Cl．St．－тpoo－ тáşavtes del．Cob．；cf．Her．Stud．4o．Her．would also excise
 male assutus＇ex $16 \S 3$ ．For position of＇Xovta，cf．v． 71 § 3．Cob）．
 contain all that is essential to the narrative，also that oi $\xi \dot{\xi} \mu \mu a \chi o c$ must be included in II $\epsilon$ лото⿱亠䒑 $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \circ$ ．
§ 2．Lhéos，om．G m．pr．del．Her．vid．Meisterhans，p． 47 n .413 c ．







 $\delta \iota \epsilon \lambda v ́ \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ катà то́ $\epsilon \iota \iota$.















 Inscriptions of the $4^{\text {th }}$ century b.c. omit the iota: 'nemo in talibus addit viós' (Cob.).- $\delta \grave{\eta}$ Her. St.
§ 3. каi del. Bek. Dind. St. Her. ̇̇ $\pi \epsilon \beta \epsilon \beta \lambda a \sigma \tau i ́ \kappa \epsilon t$, 'repullulaverat' Dob.
XXVII. § 2. $\phi i \lambda_{0 \nu}($ for $\psi i \lambda \partial \nu)$ ABE.

 future.












XXIX. О $i$ ঠ’ є̀v таîs тєббара́коута vavбi Пєло-













 lacuna (cf. von Velsen Schedae Criticae, p. 5 sqq.).

II ápu Haase, Luc. 23. K入ápu Popp. For reversal of position ef. Tac. A. I. io (St.).

XXX. § I. 市 $\mu$ âs del. Cob.














 $\kappa a i$ oi $\Lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \beta \iota o \iota<o i>\xi \nu \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi a \rho \eta ŋ ่ \nu o v v, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$
 $\kappa а т а \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau \iota v a ~ \grave{\eta} \mathrm{~K} v ́ \mu \eta \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{~A} i o \lambda i ́ \delta a$ ，öт $\omega \mathrm{s}$ є่к тó $\lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma$




 Hud．from confusion of $\mu$ ólıs with $\mu$ d́入った $\alpha$（frequent in B），＇nostrac vires re vera aegre adermnt＇（adsunt）．Cobet defends text；see Herbst Philol．xvi．305，Hud．Comm．Crit．p．95．Kiippers（Cur．Crit．p．10） suggests $\lambda a \theta 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma a$ ，＇impetus noster optime celari potest．＇
§ 4．кєvò C with at superadscript．Scholia point to either $\kappa \in \nu \alpha$ or кaıvá：for кevá as $\pi$ avıкá cf．Cic．ad Att．V． 20 §̉ 3；vid．Cl．App．195． $\kappa \not{ }^{2} \nu$ Nab．














 $\phi i \lambda i ́ a \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell, \pi o \lambda \grave{v} \delta_{\epsilon} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o u s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \phi i \lambda \omega \nu \pi o \lambda \epsilon-$




 тои́vт $\omega \nu$ vâ̂s $\Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i \omega \nu$ є́s 'I $\omega \nu$ vià $\pi a \rho a \beta a \lambda \epsilon i ้ \nu$.




better Mss. Kr. St. Cl- $-\sigma \phi i \sigma \omega$ del. Büh. Kr. against ABCE.- $\gamma / \gamma \nu \in \tau a \iota$ B. ri $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ Parm. a ${ }_{1} 5^{\text {th }}$ century MS., which also shews $\delta \alpha \pi a ́ \nu \eta \nu$, a reading
 סa $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \eta \nu$ ri $\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$, a suggestion which met with small consideration at l'oppo's hands. Other variants are aúrou's EF m. sec. $\sigma \phi i \sigma$ óaráp G , vulg. On the whole passage sce Ifaase, Luc. p. + sqq. and App.

 gives a sufficient clue.












 $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$ каі̀ є́фо́р $\mu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \chi є i ̂ \nu$. XXXIV. тара-










XXXIII. § i. "Ikapov Popp. Bekk. vid. Haase, Luc. p. 34.$\dot{\epsilon}$ кovotws F.- $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \hat{\eta}$ Cob. Her.
§ 2. ís del. Her. iows Badh. - -ä $\mu a$, ‘quid sibi vult ?’ (Cob.), ‘malim à $\lambda \lambda \alpha ́$ (saltem)' Her.-'Iкáp Bek. Göll.
 Cf. Dio Cass. 55. 1.-'Corrige, sodes, ìs $\phi$ vגaкìv $\sigma \phi i \sigma t$, et ne pueri quidem haerebunt' (Nab.).
XXXIV. § r. iólav Bek. vulg.
§ 2. $\xi_{v \nu \in \lambda} \theta_{0}^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{F}$; cf. 1 IO § 2.








 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon i \sigma a \tau o, \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ év



 $\phi \omega \nu i \omega \nu$.





 ס̀̀ каì тท̂s $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \iota a ̂ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda e ́ o \nu . ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \lambda o \iota \pi o i ̂ s ~ v i \pi o-~$









XXXVI. § i. $\pi \rho \circ \iota \sigma \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \nu$ Dobr. Adv. I. 34 •























 тotá $\delta$ ．

## 

§ 2．каil öт七 Cl．to which Grossmann（N．Jahrb．188 4 ，Pt 5）objects． －$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \xi \imath \imath \nu \in$ dá $\beta o \nu+\delta \quad \gamma \in \mathrm{B}$ ，which Kr．accepts．F shews $-\epsilon \tau 0$ ，m．pr． ascript．C－ovzo（vid．Hud．Comm．Crit．96）．
§ 4．aủtoîs om．B．
§ 5．кạ́kelvoıs Cob．—tıvas vulg．corr．Cob．
§ 6．$\omega$ ढ̈бтє $\dot{a} \pi о \kappa \tau \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ d e l . ~ H e r .-\tau \check{\lambda} \lambda \lambda a$ Her．











 " $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ t a \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \beta \epsilon ́ \beta a \iota o \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \eta \delta \grave{v} \nu ~ к а \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta ่ \xi \epsilon \iota ~ \omega ̉ \nu ~ a ̀ \nu ~$











NXXVII. § i. åồvaros Cob. vulg. but against Mss.- $\boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \in \tau \notin \rho q q$ AEF.一 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon l_{\alpha} \mathrm{AB}$.

 MSS. shew d̈кovtas dip $\alpha$ onévous. of wanting in better mss. prob. from confusion of ol, ov (cf. Bast. p. $2_{i}$ ), but shewn in inferior mss. and J, and admitted in text by Bek. Kir. ои́к $\epsilon_{\zeta} \mathrm{Cl}$., with colon after àpxo-
 del. Nab.















 ＂$\tau \grave{\varrho} \delta^{\prime}$ ’ $\quad \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \xi u \mu \phi о р a ̀ s ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \xi v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota s ~ \beta \lambda a ́ \beta a s ~$
 ＂тò $\pi a ́ \nu v ~ \delta o \kappa o v ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \tau а \pi о ф i ̀ \nu a \iota ~ \omega ं s ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ e ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau a \iota ~ c i \gamma \omega-~$






 Cob．＇aegre desidero articulum．＇一ồ del．Her．Haase，Kr．Cl．St． Shilleto retains，see Shill．on I．20，p．25－－$\lambda \mu \mu \beta \dot{\nu} \in \epsilon$ St．，but schol．äv



 ＇quae nobis prosunt．＇But cf． $56 \$ 3$ ．Perhaps the allusion to ai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \epsilon \lambda a s \xi_{\nu \mu \phi o p a l}$ has affected the text here．
§ 3．тoîs p̀j̀ropat（for étépots）C．












 "каi $\pi \rho о a \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu о \iota$ [єìval] тà $\lambda \epsilon \gamma o ́ \mu \in \nu a$






 of $\delta \rho a \sigma \theta \hat{e} \nu\rangle$ ) vulg.: all good MSS. $\delta \rho a \sigma \theta \dot{\epsilon} \nu$.一 $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \omega \nu$ vulg.
§5. $\dot{p}$ q̆ $\sigma \tau o t$ Nab. from Dio Cass. 45. 8.
 melius $\lambda$ '́rovzas ad qoîs rouaîra $\lambda$ '́rovor relatum scribitur' (Hud.).-

 assumes a corruption of $\pi \rho \rho \sigma t \sigma \theta a l$ by dittography to $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$, corrected to $\pi \rho o a \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a l-a$ change probable from the corrupt pronunciation of non-classical times, which confused $\epsilon$ with at and at with $\epsilon$.- $\epsilon$ tivat del. Her. Pop. St. But $\pi \rho \dot{\rho} \theta \nu \mu o \iota ~ \in \tau v a l$ might $=\pi \rho 0 \theta \nu \mu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$.
 XXXIX. § I. ámoфav̂̂ Her, needlessly.









 " $\sigma a \nu$ ( $\grave{a \pi o ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma ı s ~ \mu ' ́ v ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu ~ B i ́ a i o ́ v ~ \tau \iota ~ \pi a \sigma \chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~}$














§ 4. cúmparia Her. from Photius (Nab. ed. p. 233).
Weil (Rev. de Phil. 11. 90) and Her. place $\mu \alpha . . . \downarrow \sigma \tau \alpha$ каi after $\epsilon \lambda \theta 0$ —but this gives no relief (Hud. Comm. Cr. 98). Gelzer (Gott. 1869) places ồ é $\lambda a \chi$ íatou before ès üßpıv. Cobet from Clem. Alex. 6i8 D, who quotes the passage as it stands in the received text, as well as from a comment of Philistus', ' $\varepsilon i \omega \theta a \sigma \iota ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ o i ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta o ́ s ̌ a \nu ~ \epsilon \dot{u}$
 Cf. the schol. $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \xi i \alpha \nu \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$ with Dem. Olynth. I. 今̌ 23 .






 б＂









eìruxoùvrae Hud．cỉrvooíct Bad．The schol．appears to have had

 $-\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta o ́ j a ̆ a \nu ~ B a d ., ~ w h o ~ a l s o ~ i n s e r t s ~ \pi a ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ b e f o r e ~ i ́ \pi \omega \theta o i ̂ v \tau a u . ~$
 äv $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ os Cob．Her．
§ 6．ко入a夫өйт $\omega \sigma$ a Cl ．Kr．Böh．retain as a form not unknown to old Attic．It is of reputed Macedonian origin，and not found in inscriptions until zo8 в．c．Classen，however，distinguishes between the uses of official documents and literary Greek（vid．Stahl（Qu．Gr． p．18；Meisterhans，p．132；Her．Stud．p．116；Krrig．on Thuc．I．
 St．$-\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau a(f o r ~ \pi a ́ \lambda(\nu)$ Her．Stud． $1+j$ ．$\tau$ à $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} r a$ Cob，cf．Hdt．vi． 100.一т̀̀v om．C．
§ 7．$i \pi \delta \tau \in$ ABEF vulg．Docs $\tau \epsilon$ conceal $\gamma \epsilon$ ？（Hud．）．$\pi \rho \rho \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ Cob ．on analogy of $\pi \rho о \kappa \epsilon i \sigma \theta a u$ ．
$\dot{\eta} \dot{\eta}$ ．The natural pause at $\hat{n}$ relieves the harshness of the juxta－ position．
" $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon ́ v \tau \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \in ̀ v ~ \pi a \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \eta ́ \kappa \epsilon \sigma \tau o \nu ; ~ i ̂ \mu i ̂ \nu ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ 8 ~$
 "каi ai $\psi v \chi a i ́ . ~ к а i ~ \tau v \chi o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ є ̀ \phi \theta a \rho \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu ~$ " $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̈ т \epsilon \iota \tau a ~ \pi \rho o \sigma o ́ \delta o v, ~ \delta i ’ ~ i ̀ \nu ~ i \sigma \chi v ́ o \mu \epsilon \nu$,

 " $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa o ́ \sigma \iota ~ \delta \in i ̂ ~ \epsilon ̇ \chi \theta \rho o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta i ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$, тоîs oiкєíoıs $\xi \geq \mu-$
















 Stud. p. 41 .- $-\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Her.
XL. § I. $\pi \rho o \theta \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ E F G M, ~ a l . ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota . ~ \pi \rho o t \epsilon i ̂ v a \iota ~ H e r .-~$
 Kuipp.-є́кóvтєs Cob. omitting oủk. See Herbst in Philol. xlif. 715. v. Holzapfel (Rhein. Mus. xxxvii. p. 454).
§ 2. $\pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau 0 \nu$ del. Cob. Her.
 which omits aủrol.-ómolws Thiersch, Cl. St.

























 from Elmsley．一тoívuv（for $\tau 0$ ）E，vulg．－${ }^{-} \nu \mu \phi o ́ \rho o s ~ ' r i d i c u l u m ~ e m-~$ blema＇Her．－кıข $\dot{\partial v}$ vov B．
§ 6．סıo入入úval Cob．Cl．St．Her．Shilleto suggests jò入入úvтes，

§ 7．द̆ì $\delta i \kappa \eta$ inferior mss．－тapauriкa IIer．－тóte Cob．
 from 67 § 6 ．







XLII．＂OヘTE тoùs $\pi \rho о$ 白 $\nu \tau a s$ ті̀̀ $\delta \iota a \gamma \nu \omega \prime \mu \eta \nu$


 ＂$\tau a ́ \chi o s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa а i ̀ ~ o ̉ p \gamma \eta ́ \nu, ~ \omega ̂ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau i ̀ ~ a ̀ \nu o i ́ a s ~ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$


















$\pi \rho о \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma \circ \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ Her．from C．－$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \downarrow \nu$ GM，from which Bad． would correct $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i \lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ，but Thuc．would write $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \epsilon i \nu .-\xi v \nu \epsilon \tau \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho o s$ E．一Ttioas $\delta \hat{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C}$ ．














 " $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \epsilon \rho \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \dot{̀} \nu \omega \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda i ́ a \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ a ̉ \phi a \iota \rho o v ́-$




§ 4. $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \nu$ om. CN.
$\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta$ Madv. (Adv. I. 3 15), Her. $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta \not \approx \nu$ Dob. Krüg. objects to the insertion of a second $a \nu$ so close to the first. $\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon t a \nu a ̆ \nu$ (sc. oi тоьồтo兀) Her. fr. Cl. $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon i \in \nu$ St. corr. from false Ms. form $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta \sigma a \nu$ (St. Qu. Gr. p. 18).

$\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$ s del. Her. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \mathrm{~s}$ Rauch, al.
§ 6. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ tó BEFM. This use of $\epsilon \pi l$ though common with substantive in accusative is only found in Thuc. with dative form of
 Bad. Kr. however expunges $\chi$ apijó $\mu \in \nu$ d́s $\tau \iota$ кai aủrós as a mere gloss on $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ aủt $\hat{\varphi}$, so also Cobet.

 "тìs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \nu o i ́ a s ~ \epsilon \hat{v} ~ \pi o \iota \eta ิ \sigma a \iota ~ \epsilon ̇ \kappa ~ \tau o ̂ ~ \pi \rho o \phi a \nu o u ̂ s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \xi a-~$


















 "таро́vтоя. каì тоиิто ò $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \mathrm{~K} \lambda \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ i \sigma \chi \nu \rho i \zeta є \tau а \iota, ~$
§ 4. $\dot{a} \xi$ toôvtt Mss. corr. Kr. vid. Haase Luc. 36 sqq.-adyvrev́Guvov Her.
 perhaps a correction. aû (for $\epsilon i$ ) Cob. Madv. Kr. comp. Valla's version.


XLIV. § i. $\dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$ Weil: but Attic freely interchanges $\pi \epsilon \rho \ell$ and $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho$.
§ 2. $\grave{a ̂} \nu$ Lindau. ineєiv Firnhaber. ádeival Bad. diveival Kir. t'xovess eiev Bek. Classen's colloquial $\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ is quite inadmissible. There appears to be a direct quotation of Cleon's own words: cf. 39 § 6.
 ＂$\sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota$ Ө́́va⿱亠⿻⿰丨丨八又一


 ＂$\delta \iota \kappa a t o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s ~ \gamma c i \rho ~ \omega ̂ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̂ ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \nu v ̂ \nu ~$



















XLV．§ I．Oavát $\omega \nu$ G．Өávatos Cob．Her．－$\ddagger \eta \mu l a \iota$ C，＇exquisitius
 del．Cob．Her．：＇oúא towv in utramque partem accipitur，ut sit aut


 ponendo＇Bad．IIer．tàs ラ̇ŋulas，＇corrigendum，nisi velis participium
 $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \nu$ ？－тoîto del．Her．taîra，i．e．＇talia facinura＇IIud．кà̀ toútw Kir．
























§ 4. toye better Mss.-кal фpóvquate, 'lectoris additamentum'



 éváyovalv Badh.

 aưrồ, ! ultra suas ipse vires.'



 " $\epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau о и ̆ \sigma \chi a \tau o \nu, ~ \epsilon i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta v ́ v a \tau а \iota ~ \sigma \chi о \lambda \hat{\eta} ~ к а і ~ \tau а \chi \grave{v}$ 3 " $\xi \nu \mu \beta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$; $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \tau \epsilon \pi \omega ิ s$ ov̉ $\beta \lambda a ́ \beta \eta ~ \delta a \pi a \nu a ̨ \nu ~ \kappa a \theta \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v o \iota s ~$
 " $\pi a \rho a \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi \rho о \sigma o ́ \delta o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda o u \pi o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \pi ’ ~ a v ̉ \tau \eta ̂ \varsigma ~$ " $\sigma \tau \epsilon \in \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$; $i \sigma \chi$ v́o $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ठє̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i ́ o u s ~ \tau \hat{̣} \delta \epsilon$.






 " $\mu \in \nu о \nu$ єiко́тнs $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a v ̉ т о \nu о \mu i ́ a \nu ~ a ̉ \pi о \sigma \tau a ́ \nu \tau а ~ \chi є \iota \rho \omega \sigma \omega ́-~$ 6 " $\mu \epsilon \theta a$, $\chi \begin{aligned} & a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \hat{\omega} \\ & \text { oió } \mu \epsilon \theta a \\ & \chi \rho \eta ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota . ~ \chi \rho \eta े ~ \delta e ̀ ~\end{aligned}$
 " ${ }^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ à $\pi \rho i \nu ~ a ̉ \pi о \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho о-~$



XLVI. § 2. $\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon v a ́ \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ A B C E F G . ~ C o b . ~ k e e p i n g ~ a o r i s t, ~$ adds äl $\nu$ : see Cob. V. L. 97 ; Shill. on 1. 26 ; Jebb, Soph. Elect. 443 ; Tucker, Introd. to Thuc. Viil. p. xviii.; Goodw. M. T. § $12 \%$. Cobet and Madvig would correct all instances: carelessness of scribes renders MS, authority practically of small value. For aorist in oratio recta as vivid future, see Goorl. M. T. §6I. In the present instance mapateveiodal renders the correction to future almost certain.

औु (for $\epsilon i$ ) AEFM.
§ 3. $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta \epsilon$ (sc. тर̂ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma o ́ \delta \varphi)$ Her.
§ 5. toivavtion C, Ilude comp. 58 § 4. tàvavtia AlifiN.

 ＂$\sigma$ тaтaı тoîs ỏ入íyoıs $\hat{\eta}$ є̉àv $\beta \iota a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ vimáp $\notin \iota$ тоîs ảmo－





 ＂$\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ тoîs $\delta v \nu a \tau o \imath ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega i \pi \omega \nu ~ \hat{o}$ ßoú $\lambda_{0 \nu \tau a \iota}$













 Her．－${ }^{\prime} \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Bad．$\epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Mein．：but cf． $\mathbb{N}: 25 \S 9$ ．
§ 3．rò̀ Mutìqvaíwv many good mss．，Bek．vulg．－ктєivontєs Her． suspects．－$\dot{\delta} \mu o l \omega s$ del．Her．
§ 4． $\begin{gathered}\delta \epsilon \iota \\ \mathrm{Kr} \text { ．} \\ \text { ．}\end{gathered}$
§ 5．à $\delta \iota \kappa \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a i ́ c \iota$ Cob．holling that H has absorbed TI．－$\delta u v a \tau \delta \nu$


XLVIII．§ i．$\pi$ ．téov suggests that in 3 § I $\mu \notin \rho o s$ is an adscript．－ $\pi a \rho a ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta a l$ ？For confusion of $\pi \rho o ́ s$ and $\pi a \rho a ́$ see Bast． 837.






XLIX. Toıav̂ta $\delta$ è ó $\Delta \iota o ́ \delta o t o s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \pi \epsilon . ~ \dot{\rho} \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ סє̀


















§ 2. $\dot{\eta} \dot{o} \mathrm{Kr}$. Mein. The insertion is needless.
XLIX. § у. $\delta \dot{\eta}$ Rauch; from D only, Her.

§ 2. ÉTE $\rho a s$ inferior MSS.
 баıє, Cf. Her. Stud. i12; St. ()u. Gr. 18.--тєфиpuє́va E.
§ 4. како̂̂ (for кıขঠúvov) D.


























L．§ 2．iepoús del．Cob．
LI．§ I．$\pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma \omega \mu a$ Mein．



 Nab．；cf．Xen．Hell．I．6． 26.
§ 3．ámò $\tau$ ท̂s Nıғalas del．Cob．



















 $\pi a \rho \in ́ \delta o \sigma a \nu ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~ к а \grave{~ \tau о и ̀ s ~ \Pi \lambda a \tau a i ́ є а \varsigma ~ \epsilon ̈ т \rho є ф о \nu ~ o i ~}$

 aùт $\hat{\nu} \nu$ катךүорía $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ où $\delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a ~ \pi \rho о є \tau \epsilon ́ \theta \eta, ~ \eta \jmath \rho \omega ' \tau \omega \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 Graccum' (Nab.). Cf. Dio Cans. xlif. I2. 2; Procop. de Oed. p. 31 3. 10.- $\tau$ '́ (before $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho o u)$ del. Mein. Herm. ill. 346.
LII. § i. $\quad \dot{\pi} \lambda i{ }^{j}$ ' $\sigma \theta \theta a l$ 'armorum pondus ferre' (Nab.).

§ 2. $\dot{\eta} 0 \hat{u} v a \nu t o ~ a l l ~ b e t t e r ~ M s s . ~ e x c e p t ~ A E .-\gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~ \grave{\eta} \nu ~ d e l . ~ C o b . ~ H e r . ~$







 тoル́d $\delta$ ．






 ＂єîval єiко́т $\omega$ s íтоттєv́o $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ，каi ن̂ $\mu a ̂ s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~ к о \iota \nu о \grave{~}$







§ 4．aủt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ del．Cob．－$\mu b \nu o \nu$ del．Cob．－$\tau t$（after $\mathfrak{a} \gamma a \theta \not \partial \nu$ ）del．Bad．
一каl before $\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta b \nu \tau \epsilon s$ del．Her．

LIII．§ I．Ë $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ del．Her．But the change of subject is quite Thucydidean．－oủk äv Kr．Her．For repetition of preposition St． compares V1．$\delta_{2} \S 4$ ；which，however，Hude holds not to be a case in point（Hud．Comm．Cr．roz）．－$\omega^{〔} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho$ Bad．$̈ \sigma \pi \pi \epsilon \rho$ каl $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \notin \nu$ del． Her．
 èvavtion corr．Cl．Her．mss，évavtia．The structure probably changes with the $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ clause ：but perhaps the first article $\tau a$ is at fault．









 ""E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu a \varsigma, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \delta \epsilon \delta \rho a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ن́ $\pi o ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi о \iota \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$












§ 4. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o t s \mathrm{~B}$. $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \mathrm{Bad} .-\lambda \epsilon \xi \epsilon \tau a \iota \mathrm{C}$.
 e.g. крív$\eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{s} \kappa . \tau . \lambda$.- $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu \eta \nu$ Her, who compares Isocr. Archid. Io 'locus per itacismum corruptus.' But Thuc. affects $\delta a \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$ for $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$. $\pi \rho \circ \delta \iota \epsilon \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon ้ \nu \eta \nu$ Nab. from Joseph. Ant. Xvil. 5. 3.
LIV. § i. $\pi \rho \partial \dot{s}^{\text {rá }}$ Bad. omitting $\tau \epsilon$. He further suggests expunging $\pi о \iota \eta \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$ and каi before $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \epsilon \nu .-\delta \epsilon \delta \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \omega \nu$ vulg.; but cf. Her. Stud. 124.

§ 4. $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$ î del. Her.--кaтd̀ $\delta u ́ v a \mu ı \nu$ Nab.





 ＂LV．каì тà $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi a \lambda a \iota a ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \tau о \iota o v ̂ \tau o \iota ~ ク ’ \xi \iota \omega '-~$




















LV．§ I．む̀s before érरús om．C．
§ 3．dं $\delta \iota \kappa 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu$ Cob．；cf． 65 § 2.
§＋．$̇ \dot{\delta} \rho a ̂ \tau o$ IIer．from Bek．Anecdota $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ ，for mss． édpâte．
LVI．§ I．$\delta i{ }^{\circ}$ ӧтєр Her．
§ 2．ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \tau \epsilon$ anticipating кail $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ oủk ằ vulg．Cob．，but ABEFG omit $\tau \varepsilon$ ．














 " $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu$ oi $\mu \eta ̀ \tau a ̀ ~ \xi u ́ \mu \phi o \rho a ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ e ́ \phi o \delta o \nu ~ a u ́ \tau o i ̂ s ~$


 " $\delta \iota a \phi \theta a \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o u s ~ є ं \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ \delta \iota к а i ́ \omega s ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu ~$

## $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i o \nu$ del. Her.

§3. $\chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \omega$ del. Bad. Bake. Cob, agrees, regarding $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \iota \mu 0 \nu$ and $\xi \nu \mu \phi \dot{\varepsilon} \rho o \nu$ as identical; the retention of $\chi \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \psi$ would thus render the

$\pi о \lambda_{\epsilon} \mu / \omega \mathrm{S}$ Kr. from IV. 17 § 3, retaining $\chi \rho \eta \sigma\{\mu \varphi$.
'Pulcerrimo oxymoro quae tria in iudicando plurimum valent, utilitas, amicitia, iustitia, in eodem verbo diversis formis coniunguntur' (Haase, Luc. 84). IIude suggests the development of $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega s$ from $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu$, a mere gloss on $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon i \nu \omega \nu$ (Comm. Crit. 104).
 verb may be impersonal. $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \eta \tau a i l \iota \mathrm{G}$ vulg.-aúroîs vulg. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ aưroîs ḋ $\sigma \phi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \iota a \nu \mathrm{Bad}$. Col). (Mnem. I. 82) : 'vulgata lectio prorsus sensu caret.'













 ＂Toùs $\mu$ èv тatépas àvaypíqal és tòv трímoóa tò̀ èv




 ＂ìうต̂vas toìs $\mu \epsilon \gamma i \sigma t o u s ~ v i \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，тóтє $\mu \in ́ \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu$
 particip．）Cl．St．${ }^{*} \chi \omega \sigma \iota$ Dobr．from schol．making it $=\pi a \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \omega \sigma t$ ，and continuing кạ̀ $\mu \eta \eta_{\text {．}}$ Kr．suspects a lacuna．


 text．

LVII．§ I．$\pi \rho \neq \sigma \sigma \kappa \epsilon \in \psi a \sigma \theta \epsilon$ Her．St．Mein．－àфaveîs C．F．Müller．
 $i \pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega ̂ v a l$ Hud．suspecting a dittography ст।，$\in \Pi 1$（Comm．Crit．104）．
§ 2．$\pi$ avookefia EGN．But in II．16，nearly all MSS．shew $\pi$ avol－ $\kappa \eta \sigma i(a$（Duk．）．








 " $\delta \omega \rho \epsilon c i \nu ~ c i \nu \tau а т а \iota \tau \eta ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ a v ่ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ к \tau \epsilon i ́ v \epsilon \iota \nu ~ o u ̀ s ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ v i \mu i ̂ \nu ~$ " $\pi \rho \epsilon ́ \pi \epsilon \iota, \sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho о \nu a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a ̉ v т i ̀ ~ a i ̄ \sigma \chi \rho a ̂ s ~ к о \mu i \sigma а \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi র i p ı \nu, ~$













§ 4. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \dot{\omega} \sigma \mu \epsilon \theta$ Col).; cf. $67 \$ 6$, Nov. Lect. 160 on the distinction of $\pi \bar{a} \rho, \pi \bar{\epsilon} \rho($ i.e. $\pi a \rho \alpha ́, \pi \epsilon \rho \bar{i})$. Ms. evidence is valueless. J almost invariably confuses the two forms. $-\beta \in \beta$ auos Her.

 $a \nu, a \nu \tau-$ point to a dittography: but Thuc. affects compounds with àvel.

 $\chi \mu$ ois om. F.- брд́ $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon$ C.


















 ＂${ }^{\circ} \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ нóvov $\delta \in \iota \nu o ́ t \eta \tau a ~ к а т а \nu о о v ̂ \nu \tau a s, ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda " ~$


 ＂aiтov́ $\mu \in \theta a$ vipâs，$\theta$ єov̀s тov̀s ó $\mu о \beta \omega \mu$ iovs каì ко九ขoùs
 m．rec．written over Mлatalíoa．－av̉тоévtaıs Cyril Lex．MS．－$\dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ Her．$\dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ St．－$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ Bek，vulg．$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ Arn．from Göller． каl ктเбव́дт $\omega \nu$ del．Her．

LIX．§ I．$\mu \grave{\eta}$ aútoùs àdıкך $\theta$＇ivtas del．Cob．＇bis idem dicitur．＇

§ 2．aitoú $\epsilon \theta$ a $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ d e l . ~ H e r .-\pi \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ \tau d ́ \delta \epsilon ~ d e l . ~ H e r ., ~ i n s e r t i n g ~ \tau \epsilon ~$ after $\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$ ，so also St．retaining $\pi \epsilon i \sigma a \iota \tau a ́ \delta \varepsilon$ ．Cl．places colon



















at ráde, assuming an asyndeton. Haase, Luc. p. If, treats iкéraı $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \delta$ $\mu \mathrm{\epsilon} \theta a$ as a mere substitute for airoú $\mu \in \theta a$, to avoid repetition (just as in
 $\pi \rho о \phi \in \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} 0$ having the same connection with its verb as in IV. 48 ,
 terminations $-\mu \epsilon \theta a$, $-\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu o s,-\mu \hat{\prime} \nu 0$ are sul,ject to frequent confusion. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ á $\mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ d e l . ~ C o l . ~ H e r ., ~ b u t ~ i n s e r t ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~ b e f o r e ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi a \tau \rho \psi ́ \omega \nu ~$ tád $\omega \nu$ (Cob. N. L. 346). Classen's treatment of the passage Cob. regards as 'neque Graeci neque humani sermonis.' $-\mu \epsilon \theta^{\prime}$ aúr $\hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{ABEF}$. $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ モ̇avt $\omega \hat{\nu}$ MN.
 $\kappa \tau i \sigma \tau \notin \mathrm{Nab} . ;$ cf. Hom. Od. XıI. 342. какlбтщ Mcin. from Dio. Hal. Cf. Hermes ini. 364.


 ＂入éбaı．＂

LX．Toıav̂ta $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oi П入ataıŋ̂s єitrov．oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ $\Theta \eta \beta a i ̂ o \iota ~ \delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ o i ́ ~ \Lambda a к \epsilon-~$













 ＂ひ̈бтєроข т $\varsigma ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \varsigma ~ B o \iota \omega \tau i a s ~ к а i ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a ~ \chi \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \mu \epsilon \tau ’ ~$







LXI．§ r．aitrol mss．and edd．Corr．Hud．Gertz oîrou（sensu
 rıva？
§ 2．$\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \mathrm{AGN}$ ．ö $\tau \epsilon \mathrm{Cob}$ ．＇si causas inimicitiarum indicare voluisset，scripsisset $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu \mu \not ิ \nu$ öть．＇


 " $\delta i \sigma a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a u ̉ \tau o u ̀ s ~ o u ̉ ~ \phi а \mu \grave{̀ \nu}$ סıóт८ oủס' 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o u s, ~ \tau \hat{\eta}$



















 6" $\sigma \kappa \epsilon v \grave{\eta} \nu$ ö $\sigma \eta \nu$ ov̉к ä $\lambda \lambda$ о८ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi_{\nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi} \omega \nu$. каi $\tau \dot{a} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$



§ 4. кai oux $\dot{\eta}$ síurava Mer. with Cobet's approval: his change of oủk to oúठé before aủтoкрát $\omega \rho$ Cob. condemns.
 verum videtur $\ell^{\prime} \pi \pi o \nu$; cf. I. 62, 11. $12^{\prime}$ (Cob.).-ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ C.




 ＂avit $\hat{\nu} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda о \iota \varsigma$ ，vimúp


 ＂$\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ àтотре́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，каí，тò $\mu \in ́ \gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ ，$\dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \hat{\omega} s ~ \pi a \rho \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$




 ＂$\lambda$ ou $\mu$ évovs тク̀̀＇E $\lambda \lambda a ́ \delta a$ ，тoùs $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ è $\lambda \epsilon v \theta \epsilon \rho o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a s$ ．каi 4






 ＂ov่ $\mu \eta \delta i ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ' ~ o ̌ \tau \iota ~ o v ่ \delta ' ~ ' A \theta \eta v a i ̂ o \iota, ~ v i \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~$

LXIII．§ I．$\eta^{\delta} \delta \kappa \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathrm{G}$ ．


$\ddot{\eta}$ iкaví $\gamma \in$ Reiske，Bad．Her．$\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ Itud．from one inferior Ms．We might suggest iкavív $\partial \epsilon$ ，but the asyndeton is quite defensible．



LXIV．\＆े 1 ．nueis oe EEF．iucis ACl；the latter appears


 " paíovs єí $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, тои́тoıs $\xi v \nu a \gamma \omega \nu i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, каì $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho о-$




 " $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \delta \epsilon v ̂ \rho o, ~ к a i ̀ ~ o v ̉ \delta \epsilon \nu o ̀ s ~ v \mu a ̂ s ~ \beta \iota a \sigma a \mu \epsilon ́ v o v ~ \omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho ~$ " ${ }^{\prime} \mu a ̂ \varsigma . \quad \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau а i ́ a \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho i \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́-$












preferable. Could we repunctuate, putting colon after 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { quaior, re- }\end{aligned}$ taining ìmeîs, destroying full stop at tàvauzla, and giving кai vîv the force of 'nunc iterum,' with special allusion to II. 7I \& 5? Her. excises both $\dot{\mu} \epsilon i \hat{s} \delta \hat{\delta}$ and $\pi o t \epsilon i \bar{v}$, the first being omitted in Valla's version.

 St. from B, vulg. $\mu \eta \delta \in \tau \in$ fpots.
§ 5 . áкои́धtov A .
L.NV. § I. iєpou $\quad$ vias (gen. sing.) or iepou
＂ípapтєîv．$\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \eta i \mu \epsilon i ̂ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o l ̀ ~ \pi ~ \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota " ~ z ~$









 ＂тє ن́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Х $\epsilon$ ípous $\mu \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \tau \iota ~ \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu ヒ ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o u ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~$












§ 2．$\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，see Heindorf，Plato Protag． 3 Io D．

 in place of $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \theta a l$ ．Would $\mu \epsilon \gamma a \lambda$ úvє $\sigma \theta a \iota$ serve his purpose？ef．v． 98 ．


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{C}$ ．






 " $\xi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \tau \eta ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \lambda \nu \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma a \nu ~ o ́ \mu о \lambda о \gamma i ́ a \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$

















 (iertz and Hude propore placing istepon after $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ктeveiv. Cobet condemns $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \in i v \nu$ as a gloss.
$\dot{i \pi} \dot{\delta} \theta \in \sigma / \nu \mathrm{ABF}$.

LXVII. § I. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ кal $\dot{\text { ùmè }} \boldsymbol{\eta} \mu \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ Her.
§ 2. $\delta i \pi \lambda a \sigma i a s$ <aitias> inulas Mein. $^{2}$
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma a \nu$ vulg. Bek.
 ＂$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ i ́ \mu u ̂ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ B o ı \omega t i ́ a \nu ~ a ̈ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ i ̀ \pi \epsilon ́ \theta a \nu o \nu ~ e ̀ v ~ K o p \omega-~$














 ＂$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon$ 入óyoıs $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \omega \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ év vipìv，$\pi \circ \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$ ठ̀̀ тoîs








LXVIII．To九av̂ta $\delta$ è oi E Eßßaîo九 єîtou oi $\delta$ è
§ 3．éXoves F．


§ 6．трока́ $\nu \mu \mu \alpha$ Nab．

LXVIII．§1．$\delta \dot{\eta}$ Her．

 $\pi \epsilon \pi o ́ v \theta a \sigma \iota$, $\delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota \tau o ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda o \nu ~ \chi \rho o ́ v o \nu ~ \eta ̉ \xi i ́ o v \nu ~ \delta \tilde{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$ av̉тov̀s катà тàs ma入aıàs Пavбavíou $\mu \in \tau \grave{a} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ M \hat{\eta} \delta o \nu$







 $\rho a \nu \delta \grave{~} \Pi \lambda a \tau a \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \mu$ èv aùt $\hat{\nu} \nu$ oủk è $\lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma o v s ~ \delta \iota a \kappa o \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$,









 Hude suggests öтє ひ̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ ä $\pi \rho о є โ \chi о \nu \tau о \ldots$...
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \ell \nu a s$ Bad. Dobr. placing colon at $\grave{\epsilon} \delta \xi \zeta \alpha \nu \tau o: ~ ' p o s s i s ~ e t i a m ~ d e l e r e ~ a ̈, ~$ servata vulgata distinctione' (Dob.). Most edrl. destroy both ä and ẃs.


 of $\xi v \nu \epsilon \pi$. as an adscript from 11. 78 .
 del. Cl. St. - $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \delta \mu \pi \epsilon \delta \nu \nu$ C.











LXIX. Aí $\delta є ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma а \rho а ́ к о \nu \tau а ~ \nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \Pi є \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta-~$














§ 4. $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ del. Her., unless $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \mu o \nu \epsilon s$ or $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \mu \nu \nu$ o be read in place of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu o l$,- $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \delta \neq \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ Grote G. Hist. Pt. Iv. c. 31.
LXIX. § I. 'A $\theta \eta \nu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ inferior mss.-ккai before á $\pi$ ' del. Cl. Her.

§ 2. $\ddot{\circ} \pi \omega s \pi \rho \circ \phi \theta \dot{d} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ del. Her.





















 aủtoùs 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o u s ~ \phi i ́ \lambda o u s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \chi \theta \rho o u ̀ s ~ \nu о \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu, ~$




LXX. § I. ámoгт $\dot{\sigma} \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ Her. Cob.
 ACEF.
§ 5. ó $\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{ABEF}$.
























 $\kappa о ́ \sigma \iota o \iota . ~ L X X I V . ~ \delta \iota a \lambda \iota \pi o v ́ \sigma \eta s ~ \delta ’ ~ i ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ р а я ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta ~ a \hat{v} \theta \iota \varsigma$





 $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu о \varsigma ~ \tau о \hat{v} ~ т \epsilon \nu \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ o v ~ к р а т \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma \phi a ̂ s ~ \delta \iota a-~$















 каi тоòs 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o u s, ~ ढ ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~ т о и ̀ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \epsilon ́ \chi \theta \rho o u ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~$

 aủtòv $\pi \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \nu a \hat{v} \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aútồ $\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota ~ к а \tau а \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu, ~$




§ 2. $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ тò $\delta \epsilon \iota \lambda \iota \nu b \nu$ M. $-\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \iota \pi \rho \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ vulg. $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \mu \mu \pi \rho \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ corr. Her. - $\ddot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{G}$.


§ 2. $\xi \nu \mu \pi \epsilon \mu \pi e \tau \nu \mathrm{G}$.










 $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \epsilon \tau т .}$







 Өорv́ $\beta$ ，каі $\pi \epsilon \phi о ß \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu о \iota ~ \tau a ́ ~ \tau ’ ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ \tau ท ̣ ̂ ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$





 chus p．235）．
§ 4．óprivetis Cob．

 Mein．denies the existence of a substantive＂申ориоs．





















LXXIX. Kai oi Kєркираîo兀 סєíбаעтєя $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$




 St. inserts $\tau \delta$, but keeps middle $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \alpha \lambda a \iota \pi \omega \rho 0 \hat{v} v \tau$.

§ 3. $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu \mu t \nu \omega \nu$ Hud.; cf. viII. 92 § 4, where $\tau \epsilon \tau \rho a \mu \mu t \nu \omega \nu$ has become $\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$.
























LXXIX. §2. €่ $\lambda$ óvtєs Nab.
LXXX. § i. ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$, 'non sollicito, sed si codex diceret $\dot{o} \mu 0 \hat{v}$, locus esset deliberationis' (Dobr.).
 margin of BF ; om. A, del. Bek. Popp. St. restituit Bad.
§ 2. $\mu \in \sigma o v ́ \sigma \eta s \mathrm{Bad}$.

LXXXI. §2. $\lambda a \beta b y \tau \epsilon s$ del. Cl. $\lambda a \theta o ̈ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ Hucl.
 $\nu a \hat{\vartheta} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota ~ \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ às є่ $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \sigma a \nu$ Є่ऽ тòv












 $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota \nu$ ỏ $\phi \epsilon \iota \lambda o \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ ن́mò $\tau \omega \hat{\nu} \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$.






 which Bek. retains with vulg. Why not ôєє $\rho \dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu \tau o$ ? vid., however,

§ 3. $\delta t \epsilon \in \phi \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ one inferior MS. only.- $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ i $\epsilon \rho \hat{\varphi}$ perhaps an adscript.
 Comm. Crit. p. IIo. Have toîs and $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ changed places? e.g. $\tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu i v$

LXXXII. § $1 . \dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \iota s$ Kr. Cl. St. Her. Büh. without Ms. authority.--тô̂ perhaps lost before $\tau 0$ śs.

 $\nu \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ，ठıaфор $\hat{\nu}$ ov̉ $\hat{\omega} \nu$ є́кабтахо̂ $\tau 0 \imath ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu$


















$\tau о \lambda \mu \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ Her．$\dot{\epsilon} \tau \bar{\partial} \lambda \mu \omega \nu$ Volgraff（Mnem．Vol．x．Pt．iv．）．一кai
 ＇praepositio $\in \boldsymbol{\Pi}$ । inter consimiles literas стн facile periit＇（Her．Stur． 47）．Snow suggests $\pi \rho о к \epsilon \epsilon \mu \hat{e} \nu \eta$ s．
§ 2．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ before $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\mu} \pi \omega \nu \mathrm{B}$ only．一 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ò̀ кai $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu \mathrm{Hud}$ ．， inserting $\chi^{a \lambda \in \pi} \dot{\alpha}$ from Dio．Hal．Antiq．10．2．àтnpá or äभpıa


 before $\beta$ latos，cf．Her．Stud．4\％．


ßo入ク̀̀ $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ каı




















§ 4. $\phi i \lambda a i \tau \epsilon p o s \mathrm{AG}$.
ஸ̀ $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \sigma \theta \eta$ Her., vid. Bad. Mnem. II. 18 .
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ Hud. from best mss. roû from inferior mss. But St. Her. Cl. Bölh. Mein. all keep $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i ́ q$. II shews $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \in \nu \epsilon t a$.-For $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} s$ Her. suggests ámooт $\rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} s$. Has this last syllable - $\eta s$ caused loss of $\mathfrak{\eta} \nu$ ?
§ 5. $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau ’ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Rauch.— $\tau v \chi \omega ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ St.-á $\pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s \tau \epsilon$ Haase, Her. St.- $̇ \pi \iota \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{v} \sigma a s$ Her. ; cf. V1. 17 § 5.


$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ȯ $\sigma i \varphi$ каl $\nu о \mu l \mu \varphi$ Dob. ; cf. Cob. V. L. 357.

















 $\tau \rho о ́ \pi \omega$ à $\gamma \omega \nu \iota \zeta_{0 ́ \mu}^{\mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma i ́ \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ є̇тó $\lambda \mu \eta \sigma a ́ \nu$





§ 7．$\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a s$ Өapoŋ̂бal MSS．$\phi \theta a ́ \sigma a s ~ \theta a p \sigma \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ R S ; ~ c f . ~ v . ~ 72 ~ § ~ 1 ~$ $\phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma a l \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \mu \ell \xi \epsilon \iota$ ．－Does not the contrast of real with assumed case call for ă $\nu$ ？Its loss may easily have occurred from failure to note compendium $\bar{A}$ ．The confusion of $H$ with $K$ is common（vid．Bast．

§ 8．aitcıov del．Madv．－$\dot{\eta}$ del．Hud．＇per dittographiam．＇$\dot{\eta}$ 入iav $\pi \lambda \in 0 \nu \epsilon \mathfrak{\xi}$ la Weil ：but cf．I． 77 § 3 ．



















LXXXIV. 'Е $\nu$ ס' oûv $\tau \hat{\ell} \mathrm{K} \epsilon \rho \kappa v ́ \rho a ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a} ~ a u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho о є \tau о \lambda \mu \dot{\prime} \theta \eta$, каі̀ óто́ $\sigma a<a ̂ \nu>~ v ̋ \beta \rho є \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ v ~ a ̀ \rho \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota ~$


 $\pi u ́ \theta o u s ~ Є ่ \pi \iota \theta v \mu о \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda a s$ é $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu, \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \delta i ́ \kappa \eta \nu$


LXXXIII. § I. ăтเซтov Rauch.
§ 2. $\lambda_{0}$ or $\mu \mu$, after this word a comma (Büh.). The schol. suggests
 § 4. ăфарктоь Her.
LXXXIV. This chapter, as an ancient 'suspect,' has escaped critical 'sollicitation.'





 тô̂ $\pi \rho o u ́ \chi o \nu \tau 0 \varsigma^{\circ}$ oủ $\gamma a ̀ \rho a ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ o ́ \sigma i ́ o u ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota ~$





 $\eta \eta^{\sigma} \in \tau a \iota a v ̉ \tau \omega ิ \nu$.



 $\sigma \omega^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ خàp aủt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ Є’s $\left.\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а \kappa о \sigma i ́ o v \varsigma\right) ~ \tau \epsilon ' \chi \chi \eta \tau \epsilon \lambda a \beta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$,










ènjusov EFIIN for ènǵjovto．But the active form has no authority． § 4．＇aut $\tau \delta$ öpos aut $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$＇I $\sigma \tau \omega ́ \nu \eta \nu \quad$ redundat＇Her．


LXXXVI. 'Toû $\delta$ ' aủtô̂ $\theta \epsilon \in \rho o u s ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \omega ̂ \nu t o s ~ ' A ~ \theta \eta-~$




 $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \varsigma, ~ а і ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ к а і ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \Lambda а к є \delta а \iota \mu о \nu i ́ \omega \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~$







 4 єìp






LXXXVII. Tô̂ $\delta^{\prime}$ є่ $\pi \iota \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \circ \mu$ ย́vov $\chi \in \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ оя $\dot{\eta}$





[^6]




 Bоьшті̣́．














 үраұє $\nu$ ．

LXXXVII．§ 2．Toútov before $\mu$ ầlov om．in best mss．toúrous C，vid．Hud．Comm．Crit．p． 115 ．
 BC．－＇E $\rho \chi \neq \hat{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu} \varphi$ Inscr．

§ 3．voui＇sovot．．．катрóv＇una litura delendum＇（Valckn．），＇si Thu－


є́кề Cob．Her．
 see Hermes xil． $7^{7}$ ．










 $3 \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \omega \rho a ~ a ̉ \nu a \delta \rho a \mu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma . ~ к а і ~ \pi \tau \epsilon \grave{~ ' А \tau а \lambda c i ́ \nu \tau \eta \nu ~}$












LXXXIX．§ 2．＇̇ $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta 0 \hat{0} \sigma \alpha$ vulg．є̇ $\pi a \nu \epsilon \lambda \theta 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ Her．St．Cl．Büh．


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \eta^{\prime}$ Her．（Stud．146）understanding $\sigma \epsilon i \sigma \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ as sulpject of infin．on account of active form $\pi 0 \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu$ ．qu．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \pi о \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ ？cf． 43 § 5 ．
 Madvig（Adv．I．31ך）suggents ă入入o九，expunging кaì before ąiтoi oi ごぃ．









 $\phi u \lambda a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ \tau a i ̂ s ~ M u \lambda a i ̂ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ M \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \nu i ́ \omega \nu ~ \phi \rho o u p o v ̂ \sigma a \iota ~ к а i ́ ~$


















 gen．absol．＇nullum patitur additamentum＇（Her．）．

XCI．§ 3．$\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ mss．corr．Her．；cf．II． 23 § 3.

















 av̉тoús，$\delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta े$ ov̉ $\sigma \phi i ́ \sigma \iota \pi \iota \sigma \tau o \grave{\omega} \omega \iota, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \sigma \nu \sigma \iota \nu$


§5．iv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Tavarpaiq Mein．Herm．III． $3 \mathrm{~T}_{5}$ ；but qu．del．$\dot{e} \mathrm{\nu}$ ，realing Tìn Tavarpalav？
ès $\tau$ às vaûs $B$ ．
XCII．§ I．Tpaxî̀ Bad．is àmokíay ka日íтtavto Ball；but vill． $29 \S \mathrm{I}$ is a very doubtful parallel．
§ 2．$\pi \rho \rho \sigma t \theta^{\prime}$ eval Her．who corrects the vulg．$\pi$ poo日eivat on the ground of Thuc．＇s invariable use of either fut．infin．or pres．infin．with
 Aristoph．Aves $366 \tau \ell \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu^{\prime}$ àmo $\lambda_{\epsilon} \sigma a t$ defies correction．Suph．has mine exx．of either pres．or fut．，one only of a possible aorist（O．R． $96 \%$ ）． Acschylus prefers the future（Rutherford，New Phrynichus， $420-42 \xi$ ）．











 $\tau \hat{\nu} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o i \kappa \omega \nu$, каì т $\hat{\nu} \nu \not ̈ \lambda \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ тò̀ ßou入ó-







 XCIII. oi $\delta$ є̀ 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau a u ́ t \eta s ~ \xi v \nu o \iota к \iota-~$
 Eủßoía $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ к а \theta і \sigma \tau а \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ o ̈ т \iota ~ \beta \rho a \chi u ́ s ~ \epsilon ̀ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ~ o ́ ~$
§ 4. Kal̂̀s ä̀ aúroîs Her. Stud. 48. Stahl protests against the insertion of ăv.
 consuli potuisset.'
 Cob. suspects. $-\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \gamma \epsilon$ Bek. from A, so also Göll.
§ 6. סé before $\theta a \lambda d \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \mathrm{\eta}$ best mss. om.
 múdas del. Her. The reading in the text is that of Cl . and st.














XCIV. Toû $\delta^{\prime}$ aủtov̂ $\theta$ є́povs, каì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau o ̀ v ~ a u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \chi \rho o ́-~}$





 $2 \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ каі̀ Kєркираíшv тєขтєкаíठєка vavбí. каì оí $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$






XCIII. § 2. oi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ Өe $\sigma \sigma a \lambda o i$ Her. 'nihil mutandum ' Cob.

XCIV'. § 1. K $\lambda \iota \mu \in 匕 \nu \nLeftarrow$ Forchhammer. 'Apкaöias AlBFG.
§2. каi $\pi \dot{\partial} \lambda \epsilon \omega \overline{\mathrm{C}}$.












 $\tau a ̈ \lambda \lambda a \pi \rho о \sigma \chi \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. XCV. ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \omega ิ \nu \mathrm{M} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \nu i ́ \omega \nu$ Хápıть тєєбӨєís, каì $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ \nu о \mu i ́ \sigma a s ~ a ̈ \nu є v ~ \tau \eta ̆ \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




 ф८入íav $\xi v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ท̀ кàv ßíá $\pi \rho о \sigma a \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~(\kappa a i ̀ ~$





§ 5. $\pi \rho \omega \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu \mathrm{EN}$.
XCV. $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$ del. Her.- $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Ait $\omega \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ del. St. Her.- $\mu \rho \rho$ $\nu a \sigma b \nu$ Her., a form which Buttmann repudiates.































§ 2. vî̀s del. Cob. § 3. 亡ंpرâto ò̀ om. G.
XCVI. § 3. an legendum oủơ ö öc?








 $\xi v \mu \mu a ́ \chi o \iota \varsigma \kappa a \tau a \theta \epsilon ́ o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \iota ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o \theta \epsilon \nu$
















XCVII．§ 2．úné $\phi \in u \gamma o v$ vulg．corr．Her．
$\chi \omega p / \omega \nu \mathrm{Kr}$ ．suspects．
§ 3．भ̈o $\eta$ om．N．一 $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \epsilon \in \beta a \lambda_{0 \nu}$ CG．
катd́（for $\dot{\pi} \pi b$ ）Her．
 oav N．－каi（before $\begin{aligned} & \pi \pi i) \\ & \text { del } \\ & \text { ．Bek．}\end{aligned}$


 3 конıба́ $\mu \epsilon \nu ⿺ \iota ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \pi i \mu \pi \rho a \sigma a \nu \cdot \pi a ̂ \sigma a ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ i \delta є ́ a ~ к а т \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta ~$


 $\kappa а т \epsilon ́ \phi v \gamma o \nu . ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \theta a \nu o \nu ~ \delta \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \xi \nu \mu \mu \dot{\chi} \chi \omega \nu \pi о \lambda \lambda o \grave{~}$







 $\kappa а i$ тà $\chi \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \tau а \hat{\tau} \tau a ~ \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \phi \theta \eta$ ，тоîs $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu$ ย́voıs фоßoú $\mu \in \nu 0 s$ тoùs＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ́ o u s . ~$

XCIX．Katà $\delta$ є̀ toùs aủtoùs $\chi$ póvovs кaì oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$




C．＇Tov̂ $\delta^{\prime}$ aủtov̂ $\theta$ є́pous Aitc入oi тротє́ $\mu \psi a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$
 Tó̀力офóv тє тòv＇Oфıovéa каì Bopıáठךข тòv Ev̉putâva

§ 3．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau o \pi \epsilon \delta \omega \nu$ MSS．corr．Reiske．
§ 4．The punctuation here given is that of Haase，Bad．Her．Böh． St．Cl．Kr．Haase，Luc．p．7，calls attention to three points，＇numerus， aetas，virtus occisorum．＇
 C．§ I．Bocáס̊ŋข Her．


 $\phi \theta \iota \nu o ́ \pi \omega \rho о \nu$ т $\rho \iota \sigma \chi \iota \lambda i o v s$ о́т $\lambda i \tau a \varsigma \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \xi \nu \mu \mu a ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ．тои́－


 Максípıos каi Meveठáıos oi इттартıâtal．CI．छu入入є－






 тóv，$\pi \rho \omega ิ \tau o \nu ~ \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ oûv toùs ópópous aủtoîs Mvovéas
 каì Мєббатíous каì Tpıтаı́́as каì Xa入aíous каi To入o－




























 $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{a} \sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ " $А \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi}{ }^{\prime}$ 'А $\mu \phi \iota \lambda о \chi \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} к а і$ ' ${ }^{\prime} А \mu \phi \iota-$









 §4. $\epsilon \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{B} .-\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o u \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \mathrm{~N}$.
§5. ̇ंs before $\tau$ à $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta$ del. Her. Stud. p. 48.
 $\beta a \lambda o \nu$ corr. G.












 $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \chi \omega^{\prime} \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．




 $\tau \in \theta \nu \epsilon \omega \dot{\tau} \omega \nu$ є̇v $\Delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega$ ，$\pi a ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon i ̂ \lambda o \nu, ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \lambda о \iota \pi o ̀ \nu ~$





 $\kappa а i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \rho i ́ \delta a ~ \tau o ́ \tau \epsilon ~ \pi \rho \omega ि \tau o \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \kappa а ́ \theta а р \sigma \iota \nu ~$


CIV．§ 1．ảфє $\omega \rho \hat{a} \tau o \mathrm{G}$ ．
§ 2．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \Delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \iota a$ del．Her．





 'А $\AA$ ó $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ оя.


 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime} \nu \theta a \quad \sigma \epsilon \pi v \gamma \mu a \chi i ́ \eta$ каì о’рх $\eta \sigma \tau v \hat{\imath}$ каi $\dot{\iota}$



 $\lambda \epsilon u ́ \tau a ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \epsilon ่ m a i ́ \nu o u ~ \epsilon ่ s ~ т a ́ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \epsilon ै \pi \eta, ~ \epsilon ่ \nu ~ o i ̂ s ~ к а i ~ \epsilon ̇ a v \tau o v ̂ ~$ є่тє $\epsilon \nu \eta \dot{ } \sigma \theta \eta^{\circ}$

§ 4. ${ }^{3} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \delta ̈ \tau \in$ ABCEFG. ở $\lambda \lambda \grave{a} \sigma \dot{v}$ vulg.
ठं $\rho \chi \eta \theta \mu \hat{\omega}$ vulg.- $\sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ v u l g$.

$\xi_{\xi} \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu 0 s \tau a \lambda a \pi \epsilon i \rho \cos \epsilon \lambda \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$ vulg.
є $\because i \phi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{~s}$ Her.
§ 6. $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ iє $\epsilon \epsilon$ í $\omega \nu$ Her.
 $\mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda \eta$ そ̌v



 oข่к $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ ．

CV．Tô̂ $\delta$＇av̉тô $\chi \epsilon \iota \mu \hat{\nu} \nu o s ~ ' А \mu \pi \rho а к \iota \omega ิ т а \iota, ~ đ ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~$








 Kрî̀aı калєîtal，фu入áббоעтєs тov̀s $\mu \epsilon \tau$ à Eủpu入ó $\chi$ оu






 oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \tau a ̀ s " О \lambda \pi a \varsigma ~ ' А \mu \pi \rho a \kappa \iota \omega ิ \tau a \iota ~ \epsilon ่ \varsigma ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u ̛-$



CV．§ 1．＇Aкаرиàves каi＇Aцфi入oxo F＇．Niese from Steph．Byz． （Hermes，Vol．xiv．）．
§̉ 4．$\delta$ เє $\lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ ' A k a p \nu a ̂ v a s ~ \lambda a \theta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s ~ N a b . ~$












 $\sigma a \nu$ є่s тìv 'A $\rho \gamma \epsilon i ́ a \nu \nu \nu \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta, \kappa a i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \theta o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{v}$

 'А $\mu \pi \rho а к \iota \omega ่ т а \iota \varsigma . ~ C V I I . ~ \gamma є \nu о ́ \mu є \nu о \iota ~ \delta є ̀ ~ a ̀ \theta \rho o ́ o \iota ~ a ̈ \mu а ~ т \hat{\eta}$









CVI. § 2. Фotrias Steph. Byz. and Inscr.-oióćts E.

CVII. § ィ. кó入tov ACG del. St. wrongly; cf. I. 29 § 3,55 § I, II. 68 § 3, IV. 49.
§ 2. $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \lambda \not \subset \phi 0 \nu$ del. Her. St. ${ }^{1} 4 \%$

















 $\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda о \nu, \kappa a i ̀ ~ o u ̉ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к \epsilon ́ p a s ~ a ̈ к р о \nu ~ \epsilon ้ \chi о \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ a ̀ \theta \rho o ́ o \iota ~ ग ु \sigma a \nu, ~$









§ 3. oüt Hud.
§4. Є̇สєîXov om. B.
CVIII. § 1. ô каi кра́тוбтov transp. Hud.





 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~ к а i ~ o i ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda о \iota ~ ' A \kappa а \rho \nu a ̂ \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \sigma \phi l \sigma \iota ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon ́ к \epsilon \iota \nu \tau о, ~$


 тaעтòs тô̂ oтрaтô̂ ảvє $\chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$. каi $\hat{\eta}$ нè̀ $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$

CIX. Meve $\nu \epsilon \omega ̂ \tau о s ~ к а і ~ M a \kappa а р i ́ o u ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \pi а \rho \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta \phi \omega ̀ s ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \eta ं \nu$,


 $\rho \omega ̂ \nu \delta \iota a \sigma \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau a \iota, \pi \rho о \sigma \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ 入óyоע $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \sigma \pi о \nu \delta \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa а \grave{ }$


 є́avt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ трıакобiovs $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a ~ a ̀ т о \theta a \nu o ́ v \tau a s ~ a ̉ \nu є i ̀ \lambda o \nu \tau o . ~$




$\epsilon \pi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 \nu$ EG. $\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 \nu \mathrm{M}$.

§ 3. áтáкт

CIX. § \&. $\tau \eta$ ท̂s $\eta \sigma \sigma \eta s$ Hud.








 'А $\mu \pi \rho а к \iota \omega ́ т а \varsigma ~ \tau о и ̀ s ~ \grave{\epsilon} \kappa ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \pi a \nu \delta \eta \mu \mu \epsilon \grave{~ \kappa а т a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu}$












 є่ $\pi \iota к а т а \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \beta o u \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \iota . ~ o i ́ ~ \delta є ̀ ~ ' А к а \rho \nu a ̂ \nu є \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ 3 ~$
§ 2. Mein. questions $\mu \iota \sigma \theta \circ \phi \Delta \rho o v$; cf. vili. 25 § 1 (Schacf.).一тòv $\xi \epsilon v i \delta \nu \quad$ del. Her. Cob. St.

§ 2. $\mu \grave{\eta}$ (for $\mu \dot{\iota} \nu$ ) Hud.一тoútous (for oütws) Hud. ìvecúrxavov


$\xi v v \xi_{\xi} \epsilon \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ C, which Her. accepts ; cf. Cob. on I. 3. In 34 § 2









 ö $\mu$ opov ov̉ $\alpha a \nu$, каì $\Sigma a \lambda$ úp $\theta$ los aùtoùs ó ßaбi $\lambda \in \grave{s}$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$



 $\pi \rho о a \pi o \sigma \tau a \lambda \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ v i \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o ̂ v ~ \Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \grave{~ i \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \rho a-~}$













CXII. § I. $\dot{\eta}^{\prime} I \delta o \mu \notin \nu \eta$ del. Her.
 és $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \delta^{\prime}$ ' $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \omega$ Popp.



























§ 6. ӧтоt vulg.


§ 3. $\theta a v \mu a ́ s \in \epsilon ?$


















 $\mu \epsilon ́ p o s ~ \nu \epsilon i ́ \mu a \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ бкv́入 $\omega \nu$ тoîs＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i o \iota s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda a ~$







§ 6．$\pi \imath \theta \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \mathrm{Cob}$ ．$\pi \alpha \rho о \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ ？
CXIV．§ x ．тả入入a Her．－тàs before $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon t s$ del．Cob． $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ย̇к $\tau \hat{\rho} \mathrm{A}$ Ait．Her．
§ 2．oïr $\frac{\text { MSS．corr．Hermann．}}{}$
 $\Delta \eta \mu o \sigma \theta$ évovs тoîs wis $\Sigma a \lambda$ úv $\theta$ lov каì＇A $\gamma$ раíovs ката－






 ＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i o u s, ~ \beta o \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ т $\hat{\eta}$ à $\lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu$ ，каì ìmoठô̂vaı






 $\pi \rho а к i ́ a \nu$ ойт $\omega \mathrm{s}$ є่ $\gamma \in ́ \nu є \tau о$.

CXV．Oi $i$＇èv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ミıкє入iá＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i ̂ o \iota ~ т о \hat{v}$ aủтô̂



 тòv＇I $\sigma o \lambda o ́ \chi o v ~ ' A \theta \eta \nu a i ́ \omega \nu ~ \sigma \tau р а т \eta \gamma o ̀ v ~ к а т \alpha \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu о v \sigma \iota \nu ~$

 ßoŋ $\theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma \phi i \sigma \iota ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o \sigma \iota ~ \nu a v \sigma i ́ . ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu ~ \gamma ள ̀ \rho ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} s ~ a v ̉ \tau \omega \hat{\nu}$



CXV．§ 2．$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma$ о̀ ク̈коуга Her．$^{\text {．}}$
§3．$\pi \epsilon \mu \nLeftarrow a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ Hud．；cf．VI． 62 § 5 ．




















§ 5．$\quad \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu} \nu$ del．Her．
§ 6．$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ del．Her．
 $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ ö $\rho \in \iota$ del．Her．
§ 2．$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \hat{\varphi} \mathbf{K r}$ 。

## NOTES.

## CHAPTER I.

§ 1. The invasion of Attica here recorded took place in the month of May 42 RB.C., the fourth year of the Peloponnesian war. It was the third invasion, as in the preceding year 429 B.c. none took place; cf. II. 7 I .

日épous, genitive of 'time within which,' from which is developer the genitive absolute ; cf. Monro, IIomeric Gr. § $2 \boldsymbol{q} 6$.

Пелотоvуท்бьо каi oi $\xi u ́ \mu \mu a x o \iota$, the regular phrase used by Thuc. in deacriling the combined confederate forces: cf. if. 47 § 4. Herbst
 without the article.

 in Attica from the middle of May to middle of June, though placed by Mommsen somewhat earlier.

The participle is temporal (Goodwin, Gk. Syntax, § 558 ), Kriiger, G. G. 50, 11 § 3. Avoid the 'perilous equation' that 'participle $=$ infinitive': i.e. that the verbalised form of substantive (infinitive) may be replaced at will by the verbalised form of adjective. In the present passage the substantival expression ${ }^{a} \mu a \tau \hat{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \sigma i \tau \circ v \dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu \hat{\eta}$ was possible, but would suggest no notion of tense: indeed the $a^{\mu} \mu a$ clause is a simple variant on an ordinary genitive sequence, каl àк $\mu a ́ \zeta o v \tau о s ~ \tau о \hat{u} ~ \sigma i \tau o v . ~$ On the use of the participle as expressing the verb in adjectival relations see an interesting article in Am. Journal of Phil. IV. 292.
 a strong instance cf. Hes. Op. 6ır.
$\eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\gamma} i \tau 0 \delta \notin \alpha u \cos \boldsymbol{v}$, in accordance with the usual Spartan custom that one of the kings should hold chief command.
 (I. 80--85). He headed each of the first three expeditions against Attica, and in $4^{29}$ led a furce against Plataea (11. 71). In 89 we find him succeeded by his son Agis.
 replaces an imperfect. ÉSñouv, Diod. Sic. XII. 52.


ö $\pi \eta$ тареі́kol, 'as occasion offered,' uptat. of indefinite frequency. Goodwin, G. Gr. § 225 (cf. кatà tò $\pi$ rapeîov, Th. Iv. 36 § 2). On thene impersonal uses see Shill. on Thuc. I. $5^{1}$ § 2 : and of. Theactetus 150 D (Kriig.).

тòv $\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \sigma \tau 0 \nu$ ö $\mu \mathrm{i} \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$, the main body': cf. Hdt. I. 88.
єโpyov тò $\mu \eta$, a loose use of the articular infinitive, especially when contrasted with 6 § 2. In vir. 33 \& 3 the reading is doubtful, in VII. $53 \S 4 \tau \grave{\partial} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i v$ is accus. of direct object.

The use of $\epsilon i \rho \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ would suggest the genitive cîp $\quad$ ov tồ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (cf.
 would, as Kriiger suggests, point to some limitation ( $\epsilon i \rho \gamma \in \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu a ́ \tau \iota$ ), or result may be regarded rather than purpose, hence tó for $\tau 0 \hat{u}$.

In Thuc. there is an occasional tendency to use the articular infinitive with verbs of a certain class, e.g. $\pi \rho \circ \theta_{1} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \mathrm{~m}$, but the use is capricious. See Behrendt's monograph, Berlin, 1866.

In Suphocles the article appears added for rhetorical effect, e.g. tò סpâv oik $\dot{\eta} \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma a \nu$, with which compare the common use of the article in emphasising a word or expression. For purposes of the present passage it will suffice to notice the distinction of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (eventuality) from тои̂ (purpose). See Goodwin, M. T. 8Ir.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ö $\pi \lambda \omega \nu$, sc. 'the stands of arms,' here= 'castra'; cf. I. If I § 1 .
$\tau \grave{\alpha}$ é $\gamma \gamma$ ús, accus. of direct object; cf. II. 32 какогрүєîv тウ̀ข Eőßotav.
§2. oủ, no need for Krïger's ötov, or Naber's öбou: the genitive is possessive, as in $\sigma \iota \tau i a$ $\tau \rho \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ (Gull.) ; cf. I. $\ddagger 8$ § 1.

## CHAPTER II.

Revold of Mytilene (cf. I)iod. Sic. Xir. 5.5 ). The complaint of the Mytilenaeans was founded on the Athenian attempt to prevent their centralisation ( $\xi$ (voixiocs), the very change effected by Theseus for Athens, and forced upon the Plataeans by Thebans (cf. 11. I5 § 2, III. 6t, 65 ). Aristotle, Politics v. $4 \$ 6$, says that the war was brought about liy an appeal to Athens from an Athenian $\pi \rho \delta \xi_{\xi} \in \nu$ os to obtain in marriage for his sons the two daughters of a wealthy man. See Grote vi. 299 , who refures to admit the incident as sufficient cause for the revolt; cf. ThirlWall, Hist. Gr. c. Nxi. The ouvatoi were probably responsible; cf. 47 § 3,39 § 6.

Mytilene was an oligarchic state, with dependent towns, Antissa, Pyrrha, Eresus. She had at an early period made herself mistress of the Truad (Strabo, p. S69). In $\sigma_{20}$ R.c. Athens sent out colonists under l'hrynon to occupy Sigeum, a fort built by Mytilene. A war of several years ensued, in which the tradition is that Pittacus overcame Phrynon in single combat, by anticipating the art of the 'retiarius' (B.C. 606) ; cf. Rawl. Mdt. HII. ${ }^{24 \%}$. It is one of the few cities of the Agean whose prosperity has continued to the present day:
 छ̆vouki

кaí, intensive, as in $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \ell, ~ \kappa \alpha l ~ \pi \alpha ́ v v . ~$
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ oi $\Lambda$., note the parenthetical $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }^{c}$ clause, a favourite Thucyd. use; here employed to save confusion by a double $\delta$ é clause.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \in \delta \in ́ \xi a v \tau 0$, without object, cf. II. 70 § 2.
каi $\tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \nu=\kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \nu i \nu$. No reference to any former revolt, but to the revolutionary intention. $\tau a u$ ún $\nu$ is naturally thrown into agree-ment-'finding themselves compelled even in this instance (кal faî Ta), i.c. even when the revolt was made.' Hude awkwardly connects with $\pi \rho \delta т є \rho о \nu_{0}$ (Comm. Crit. p. 90.)

The Lacedaemonian refusal to accept their overtures was out of respect for the 30 years' treaty ; cf. I. II 5 (schol.).
 yooivzo. This sentence (as Güller remarks) explains the reason for the long delay in revolting, the next sentence ( T eveotoc $\gamma \dot{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}$ ) why they were compelled at last to precipitate matters (cf. 1. 40 §s 4,5 ).
$\mathrm{X}^{\omega} \sigma \mathrm{\sigma}$, 'blocking,' by 'moles' ( $\chi \eta \lambda a \ell$ ) or 'booms': a device not unknown in modern times. The article covers all three accusatives.
$\tau \eta \dot{\eta} X^{\omega} \sigma \iota \iota v \tau \in \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \eta \eta v a l$, the accus. is the sulject of the epexegetic infin., not direct object of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu \nu$, which in Thuc. is intransitive; cf. infra $26 \S_{3}$, Soph. Trach. if 76 . The passage is a useful instance of the survival of the dative of purpose (Gk. infin.) even with subject in accusative (cf. Monro H. G. § $234, \S 242$ ).

 sense the verb of a dependent clause, with it.s subject in the accusative : indeed the accus. has no construction except as the sul,ject of the infinitive. The old lat. version has rightly rendered 'exspectabant donec obstructi essent portus.' See Monro, Hom. Gr. § 237.

то弓́то́ $\tau \epsilon$ кal бitov кal ä, appositional to ëôt clause, 'et, et... praeterquam.'
 a use which in Thuc. is restricted to participles used as adjectives (cf. I. $3^{8} \S 4$ ). I. I is not a case in point, on account of var. lect. In



Translate 'were occupied in sending for' : there is no need of emenfation. For the periphrasis see A. J. P. iv. 297.
§3. Tevéסiol, allies of Athens. M $\eta \theta$ upvaiot. possibly as commercial rivals: their territories adjoined.

кaтà $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma เ \nu$, katá and $\delta<\alpha$ with accusative are at times hardly distinguishable, in Thuc. at least.
$\mu \eta \nu v \tau a i \gamma(\gamma v o v \tau a \iota$, periphrastic for simple $\mu \eta \nu$ iovot.
$\xi$ grouki豸ovar, the Athenian resentment was based on the fear of a naval combination hostile to Athens. The concentration was probably a political, not a local one.
$\xi \cup \gamma \gamma \epsilon v \omega \hat{\nu}$, with reference to Boeotians only, who claimed rlescent from Acolus, the eldest son of Hellen (cf. Arnold's note); cf. V111. 100.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, of purpose, or end in view.
$\epsilon i \mu \eta \quad \tau เ s$, with indic. future, suggestive of warning (Clans.), more correctly, marking the urgency for prompt action. Once only, ïv $\mu \dot{\eta}$ Tוs vif. II § 3. Haase, Luc. 1. 2. See A. J. P. IN. qوi, Xill. 123.
$\eta ้ \delta \eta=\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \tau a ́ \chi \epsilon \ell$, 'forthwith.' Cf. vini. 91 § 2.

## CHAPTER III.

§1. $\hat{j} \sigma a v \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$, on the construction of the $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ sentence see Shill. on Thuc. 1. 25 : here treat as parenthetical.
$\tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \in v o t$, middlle. Thuc. uses the active form alsu.
äрть каөเซтацє́vov, cf. GS\$3. The war was still 'in its infancy' as contrasted with its 27 years duration. Sce Appendix.


dкє́patov, i.e. "incacdua ’; cf. кepais' $\omega$, кeip (root 'ker').
 used in sense of 'accepting without demur,' 'countenancing'; cf. 57 § 1. Note the force of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \dot{d}$ in the compound.
 Athenian demos refused to accept the stubborn evidence of facts, 'they would not have it true.' Cf. Caesar, B. G. III. I8.
d $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$, an implied agreement only; cf. 1. 7 \& $1 \pi \lambda \omega \tau \mu \omega \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu \partial ้ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, iv. 20 § $2 \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho i \tau \omega \nu \quad \ddot{y} \tau \omega \nu$. On the plural use, see Shill. on I. 7 § 1 .

кal $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \psi a v \tau \epsilon s$, i.e. in spite of their going to the length of even sending an embassy. The rule of Athens over her siupaxoc was that of the strong hand.
 Cf. 3 I § I.
 plains as ềv $\delta \iota \grave{d}$ סvoîv.

סєíoavtєs, 'taking alarm.' סєєos, not 'fear' but 'apprehension.' See Shill. on Thuc. I. 36.
$\pi \rho о к а т а \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̂ v$, cf. I. 57 § 4 троката入анßávety тàs $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s=$ 'forestall,' 'prevent,' ('occupare').
 See note on III §2.
§ 3. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \gamma \gamma \mathcal{e}^{\hat{\epsilon}} \lambda \theta \eta \gamma a ́ \rho, \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ refers to $\dot{\epsilon}_{\xi}^{\xi} \alpha \pi \iota v a i \omega s$ of previous sentence.


 such change of mood is due to louseness of co-ordination, variety of expression, or unconsciousness, is an open question. Comparison of parallel passages affords no clue: sometimes the optat. precedes, sometimes the infin.: but the tendency appears to be to lapse into the more simple and natural structure of accus. with infin. The $\dot{\omega}$ or ö $\tau \iota$ clause may perhaps contain a more specific statement of the fact than the infinitive : on this assumption, the definite statement of the $\dot{\omega}$ or ötc clause will stand in contrast to the mere suggestion of the infinitive. See however Goodwin, Syntax § 670; Thompson, Syntax §320. Late Latin affords a parallel in constructions of 'quod'; cf. Madvig, Opusc.

11． 2.35 ，＇at nemo refert quod Italia externis opibus indiget＇（Tac．A． III．54）．

Ma入ótvtos（Steph．Byz．Ma入入bets），a name of Apollo as the shepherd god＇Nómos（cf．Pind．I＇yth．IX．（f）），the Doric Aristacus． The local reference is not to Cape Malea，which is 70 stades from Mytilene，but to a $\tau \ell \mu \in \nu 0 s$ just to the north of the city．
époáそovor，indicative as marking constant practice，or a comment of the writer＇s own insertion．
 subject of infinitive；hence the accusative．The suggestion is that they would find them drunk．There was much good wine in Myti－ lene and Methymna，and special penalties were imposed in cases of drunkenness．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ ä $\phi \nu \omega$ ，the emphasis is on ä $\phi \nu \omega$ ，＇there was a prospect of taking them by surprise．＇Mark the realistic aorist without äv，in liell of future ；cf． 32 § 3 and Thuc．construction with elkós；cf．Lat． construction of＇spes est＇with perfect infin．
$\xi \nu \mu \beta \hat{\eta}$（＇schol．катор $\theta \omega \theta \hat{\eta}$ ），＇succeed＇；cf．єं $\gamma \in \nu \epsilon \tau о$, V． 5.53.
$\eta ँ \nu \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \xi \nu \mu \beta \hat{\eta} \ldots \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ ，in such sentences of alternative the custom of the Greeks is to suppress the apodosis of the $\mu \dot{e} \nu$ clause．Vet in I． 82 § 2 the structure is complete．IV．I $3 \S 3$ is not a case in point，except
 gested in the $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ clause is sometimes rav̂тa äpı $\sigma \tau a$（cf．1． $82 \$ 2$ ）or $\kappa а \lambda \omega \bar{s}$ ย $\xi \in \epsilon$ ．See Shill．on 1． 82 ；Goodw．M．T．§ 99.
 （Poppo）or from some verb of kindred meaning in é $\sigma \gamma \gamma \bar{\epsilon} \backslash 0 \eta$（cf．Kirig．）． The infin．is probably independent（cf．IV． 50 § 2，II．I3 § I，fin．）as
 $\epsilon l \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}, \epsilon i \pi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$ ．But the proposal to the assembly becomes a commission to the commander of the experlition：＇let them（the Athenians as represented by Cleippides）order the Mytilenaeans．＇For


With $\tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$ and $\nu a \hat{s}$ note absence of article（as familiar objects）；

$\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \in \bullet$ © $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ，genitive absolute without definite subject，＇in case of their refusing to comply．＇$\mu$＇liypothetical，＝＇nisi．＇
kal，continuative．
§ 4．тd̀s $\delta$ éka $\tau \rho$ ıńpets，the article anticipates further specification in relative clause ；cf． $22 \S 5$ ．

 of $\pi$ apayevóecva. For the reflexive pronoun see Goodw. $\$ y^{8} 7$.
 pregnant construction. Cf. I. § I.
§5. Tepalotóv, a promontory and harbour of liuboea to the suuthwest (Mandili).
 accumulation of participles. غ̇ாirvx${ }^{\omega} v$ is not commected with $\chi$. $\eta$ odacvos by any copula, neither of the two expressing temporal meaninse but the one serving to explain the calle, the other the means. (Poppo.)

We are asked to divide this group of five partciples into two pairs,
 intermediate $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \cup \chi \dot{\nu} \nu$ without comexion, apparently that the editors may impress on us the fact that $\pi \lambda \hat{\omega}=\epsilon_{i} \pi \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ a. Herwerden for suggest-
 opportunity of contrasting $\pi \lambda o u ̂ s, \quad \ddot{a} \pi \lambda \alpha \kappa \alpha, \chi \in \iota \mu \omega \nu$. In the parallel passage, I. 137 § 3 , there is no proof whatever; indeed $\mu$ é $\chi \rho t \pi$ गoûs $\gamma \epsilon \in \nu \eta$ tal may just as well mean 'until he set sail' as 'until fair weather came,' secondly, the construction is plain enough ; but here Thuc. gives
 as regards the participial accumulation.
$\delta \iota a \beta \dot{a} s$ кai $\dot{e} \backslash \theta \dot{\omega} \nu$ are temporal: of the two participles now held in suspense, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \cup \chi \dot{\omega} \nu$ and $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu o s$, the one explains the other, "hy falling in with a ship, so finding a passage': кai then comnects totraios
 reached Mytilene with such speed. Lit. 'after first crossing to Euboea and making his way by land to Geraestus, by falling in with a ship on the point of sailing (thus) finding a passage, and so arriving at Mytilene within three days.' Strictly speaking, there are three participial clauses only; the first and third compound clauses with rai, the second a clause compounded of two participles mutually interdependent ( $\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \cup \chi \omega ́ \omega \nu-\chi \rho \eta-$ $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu 0 s$ ) ; the first clause temporal only, the second circrmstantial (Goods. Gk. Synt., Ş 832 sqq.). For distance see Appendix.
§ 6. oưтє-тє, cf. Lat. 'neque-que,' the negative affecting the first clause only.
tòv Ma入óevta, Classen compares тòv 'Evuáhcov (1v. 67 § 2), a doubtful reading.
 tion to sentence (cf. IV. 55 今' 1 ) $=$ 'praeterea,' 'quod ad cetera (attinebat).'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \omega \chi \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{kal} \lambda \mu\rangle \nu \omega \nu$ come under a common＇vinculum，＇hence we find one article only：$\pi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \iota$ follows its case，by＇anastrophe＇（cf．I．23 \＄3），with explanatory or illustrative meaning，＇exempli gratia．＇
＇́фú入aб大ov，intransitive $=$＇custodias agebant．＇
Meincke suggests $\pi$ epi $\xi_{\xi}$ on the ground that this anastrophic use of $\pi e p i$ is only found where the preposition stands between two genitives； an objection which Stahl easily refutes．The $\phi$ párua may perhaps have been a $\sigma \tau \alpha u ́ p \omega \mu a$（cf．II． $75 \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau a u ́ p \omega \sigma \epsilon$ ）．A＇tmesis＇of $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ from
 were left unfinished；hence the Mytilenaeans took measures for securing or strengthening the weak places in their line of defence．фpakápsoo．
 $\tau \dot{a}$ ímut $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \tau a$ ，then $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \chi \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ will be a simple partitive grenitive．Hule， keeping the vulgate $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ，translates＇et practerea in murorum postuum－ que operibus semiperfectis custodias agebant＇（i．e．iфuila $\left.\dot{\eta} \mu \tau \tau \in \lambda_{0}\right)$ ．

## CHAPTER IV．

 neither a nominativealsolute＇A $\theta$ quaiou катam $\lambda$ eíravtes（as Schol．suggests），
 construction（the first subject＇$A \theta \eta \nu a i o r ~ r e s u m e d ~ i n n e w ~ f o r m ~ b y ~ \sigma \tau p a r \eta \gamma o i, ~$ in lieu of dependent genitive $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$＇A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i)$ ．The $\dot{\omega}$ is misplaced（＇postpositum＇）；cf．IV． 78 § I．
 $\gamma \not \tau \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu a$ is probably an adscript．
éoakovóvtav，note the＇s of compound，not $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\ell}$（nee shill．I．$\$_{2}$ § 2）．
 contrasted with imperfects $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma a k o l i \nu t \omega \nu$（continued defiance），ка日iбтavto （commenced action）．
 adjective compare 13 § 2 ．Both are predicative in construction with $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon i ้ \nu$ ．
ëk $\pi \lambda$ ouv $\tau t v a, ~ \tau \iota v a$ qualifies and depreciates，but does not strictly $=\epsilon i s \tau \tau s$, ＇they made some sort of sally，＇＇made shift．＇
$\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ ，limiting，＇with the intention of giving battle．＇
 XIII． 675.
 holding 50 ships of war (Strabo).
ëretra without $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, a frequent Thuc, use, though mot invariable (ef. 1. I $\$ \$(0)$; here $=\delta \varepsilon$ in answer to $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ : of. Tragic use of $\varepsilon i \tau \alpha$ in contrast.

$\eta ้ \delta \eta$, 'thereupon.'

rò $\pi a \rho a u t i к a, ~ s c h o l . ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi a p u ́ v, ~ a n ~ a c c u s a t i v e ~ o f ~ l i m i t a t i o n . ~$ adapted for use as temporal adverb; cf. I. 27 § 1.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \mu \psi \alpha \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$, 'get rid of,' 'get them sent away.'
órodoyía ėтtєккє̂, in-trumental dative; equivalent here to a parti

étıєเкєi, strictly, 'equitable': equity being regarded by Aristotle as
 any reasonable surrender.'
§3. кal oi $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o i$, кai marks the sequel, 'whereupon.'
á $\pi \varepsilon \delta \in \epsilon \xi a v \tau 0$, without object; cf. 2 § 1.
 Both Athenians and Mytilenaeans had ground for apprehension, hence 'ipsi quoque.'
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ oúX ikavoi $\mathfrak{\omega} \sigma \iota$, the second negative oú is not only suggested by a negative lurking in $\phi$ oßoŕ $\mu \in \nu 0$, but necessary to the sense as qualifying ikavoi. The $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is not a mere particle of negation, but of connexion. On the $\mu$ r̀ ov sequence see Thompson, Gr. Synt. § 300. Goodw. § 305 .
$\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta$, without article (Kir. G. G. 50. Ir. 9), 'if combined.'


 the present participle, as shewing that they continued their $\delta<\alpha \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}$, or that the $\delta \iota a \beta 0 \lambda \dot{\eta}$ was not yet annulled.
$\epsilon{ }^{\ell} \pi \omega s$, as in the Latin sequence 'si qua,' 'si forte,' so here we trace a lurking sense of purpose. Is it a mere litotes for öncos? Is it referable to the interrogative $\epsilon l=$ ' whether'? or is the 'wish father to the thought'? The question opens a wide field of speculation, not merely as to the origin and use of $\epsilon i, \epsilon \pi \epsilon i$, but also in connexion with the relations of dependent to independent constructions, and the 'still standing puzzle' of the Greek optative.

тàs vaûs áme $\lambda \theta_{\epsilon} \hat{\imath} v$, Cobet, Mnemosyne Viil. 124 , objects to persuasion being brought to bear on ships. But viri. $96 \S+$ is a strong instance. Treat $\tau$ às $\nu$ aûs not as accusative of direct object, but as
subject of $\dot{i} \pi e \lambda A C i v$. The construction is (as Cl . suggests) on the analugy
 in fact the accus. with infin. becomes epexegetic, 'to press for the ship). retiring,' i.e. to press (the Athenians) to withdraw their flect ; cf. suma 2
 ance with Thuc. practice of sulstituting intransitives for pabsives. Note that $\pi \epsilon i \theta \epsilon \omega$ is used by Thuc. both with and without $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$. The insertion of $\omega$ 畆 $\tau$ usually marks attainment of result, though not invariably. [see Monro, Hom. G. § 232.]
is $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ oủ $\delta \notin \nu v \in \omega \tau \epsilon \rho\llcorner o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, genitive absolute, with notion of condition marked more clearly by the limiting ws: the $\dot{\omega}$ renders condition clearer by restricting point of view: compare the logical use of $\dot{\omega}$, $\dot{\eta}$, 'qua ' as excluding all other considerations. Note the of of fact.
§ 5. Evv тoúte, sc. during the armistice, 'meanwhile' (no need of Naber's $̇ \dot{\nu} \nu \tau u \cup \tau \hat{\psi})$.
 that Malea was 70 stades from Mytilene (now St Maria), the southern point of Lesbos. Stahl hesitates to change the text. The simple expe-
 During the armistice the Athenian fleet prolably withdrew to Malea, i.e. to the south; the Mytilenacans consequently shaped their course northwards.

тoîs axò т $\omega v$ ' $A \theta \eta v a i \omega \nu$, cf. 1.127 § r , 'the result of the nego-
 fidence in the negotiations, that they would succeed.' The parallel passage, I. 127 § 1 , shews the antiptosis, ou' $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ ėmiotevov $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ cimò $\tau \hat{\omega \nu}$
 cf. also IV 92 § 7.
§ 6. тov̂ $\pi \epsilon \lambda a$ yous, 'the open sea,' in contrast to the mere $\pi a \rho a ́ \pi \lambda$ ous.
av̉roîs, Popp. Kriig. and Stahl agree in referring to the Mitylenaeans, as dependent on $\ddot{\eta} \xi \epsilon \iota$, and as representing the 'initial' dative of the örcos clause. Class. refers to Lacedaemonians, but does not explain in what relation. In IV. 106 § 2, 110 § 2, the dative must be a dativus com-
 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho o s \tau_{\imath} \tau \nu$. See Appendix.

## CHAPTER V.

§ 1. $\mathbf{~ w}$, 'postpositum'; cf. 4 §' I.
 oūтoı, i.e. M $\eta \theta v \mu \nu a i ̃ o \iota: ~ c f . ~ s u p . ~ 2 ~ § ~ I . ~$

Imbros and Lemmos were Athenian colonies ; cf. vit. $57 \$ 2$.
' $\beta \in \beta$ оך $\theta$ ŋ́кєGav, 'come to their aid,' they were constantly to the fore ; cf. IV. 28 § 3, v. 8 § 2 (Cl.).
ó入(you tıvés, c.g. Temelos, cf. supra 2 § 3 (Herist).

$\pi a v \delta \eta \mu \epsilon i$, as citizen troups, not $\pi a \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau i q ̆ . ~ C f . ~ 91 ~ § ~ 4 . ~$
${ }^{\epsilon}$ 'Xovtes, pres. on malogy of $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu:$ cf. Aesch. Choeph. $10+1 \mu \dot{\eta} \phi$ оßoû

éтๆu入(бavтo, the usual mode of enforcing a claim to a disputed victory (cf. IV. 134).
oürt...oürt, Poppo remarks on the grammatical coordination of two ideas, one of which is logically subordinate to the other. Why so? All that Thuc. says is 'they neither bivouacked on the field nor shewed self-confidence.'
§ 3. ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \in \epsilon \tau a$, answering preceding $\mu \hat{\prime} \nu$, but with temporal significance.



 is intensive, not copulative : $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ II $\epsilon, \lambda \pi \sigma$. claims this prominent position as the leading idea of the sentence: their one hope of help was from the Peloponnesian alliance (from Sparta as the head, from Thebes as Boeotian kinsmen). The position is justified by the prepositional form of predication replacing the adjectival ( $\dot{\kappa} \Pi \epsilon \lambda \circ \pi .=\Pi \epsilon \lambda о \pi о \nu \nu \eta \sigma i o u)$ : the
 the further help of reinforcements from the Peloponnese.'

єi $\pi$ робүє́voıтó $\boldsymbol{\tau} \iota$, a purely supplementary clause, the 'ideal condition' expressing not merely eventuality, but also the hope or wish implied in the original optative : the aorist = 'si quid accessisset.'
§ 4. aủroîs, cf. 1. $13 \S 3$, not a dative of direction or 'motion towards,' but 'suljective' with secondary notion of 'dat. commodi.' The arrival of Meleas and Hermaeondas was an accession of strength to their counsels.
$\mu \in \tau \alpha ̀ \tau \eta \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \dot{x} \eta \nu$, pleonastic, but not, of necessity, an 'adlscript'; cf. I. 3 § $1 \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~T} \rho \omega \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu, \mathrm{~V} .24$ § 2.

тapnivouv, 'suadebant' (VIII. 46 §ु 1).
$\tau \rho ı \eta \rho^{\rho} \eta \not{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$, as one had already been sent; cf. $4 \S 5$.
kal, 'thereupon.' Mark the tense coordination, $\pi \rho o a \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \eta \sigma \sigma \nu$

 pluperfect：$\pi$ appg้ouv coordinate with í $\sigma \lambda$ iouot，as graphic imperfect， shewing that the arlvice given was almost synchronous with the arrival of Mel．and Hermaeondas．

## CHAPTER VI．

 the sentence is interrupted ly a parenthesis（каi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ an＇оís．．．киi éктє́ $\mu$ ． $\pi 0 v \sigma(\nu)$ ．

ทं $\sigma v$ 亿的，＇inaction．＇

$\pi a \rho \eta \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，cf．I． $47 \S 1=\pi \alpha \rho \in \gamma \in \nu=\nu \tau 0$ ．
 of＇enclosing．＇тò $\pi$ roos vórov，accus，in apposition，＇to the south of the city．＇There is no contradiction of $+\S 5$ ．The Athenians shifted their position from Malea（where they lad remained duing the armis－ tice）to the southern harbour，entrenched themselves in two different positions on each side of the town，and maintained a blockade at cither harlour（i．e．both north and south）．The northern haven was the larger and deeper．

 here；the $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ clause answering the $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ is subdivided into a $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ clause of its own，the 3rd $\delta \epsilon$ clause（vaíaтa $\theta \mu 0 \nu \delta \epsilon$ ）being merely supple－ mentary．
 negative $\mu \dot{\prime}$ sugcested by negative force of eiprov（prchibition＝non－ permission）：the $\mu \dot{\eta} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a t$ is epexegetic，as is clear from the simpler
 struction I § I sup．＇They shut out the Mytilenaeans from the sea for the non－using it．＇＇The English idiom marks the suparation＇from，＇but fails to express the epexegesis，e．g．＇they shut them out from the sea＇or ＇excluded them from making use of．＇But see Goodw．M．T．§ 807 sqq．
of ä入入oı $\Lambda \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \beta$ ıol，cf． $2 \S$ i，Methymna excepted．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \beta \in \beta$ оך $\theta \eta \kappa$ ко́ $\epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ，Hude（cf．Hdt．viII．144）reads $\pi \rho 0 .$, i．e．they had anticipated the Athenian occupation．

тò $\delta \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{\rho}$ ，direct object of катєīðоע，＇castrorum viciniam．＇
vaviota $\theta \mu \mathrm{ov}$, 'station,' i.e. for the 'naves onerariae,' 'the tenders.' áyopâs, of. vil. fo. = commeatus (L. and S.), i.e. 'food-supply.' (Kirigg. however reads àropá = 'a market.')
 spite of the distance of Malea from Mytilene they chose it in preference to any nearer spot, probally because of the land-lucked grulf there. St. points out that, the Athenian force being insufficient to invest the town completely, a safe basis of supply became an imperative necessity: cf. Lamachus' selection of Megara as a vaúorathov, lit. 49 § 3.
 more simple construction, of. 111. $68 \$ 3$, though the accusative is possible; cf. IV. 108 § I.

## CHAPTER VII.

§1. kai és, kaí, in addlition to the Lesbian squadron. ITerw. profreses $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ for $\epsilon$ 's on the ground that $\pi \epsilon \mu i$ was absorbed in II $\epsilon$ dotivunjov. But $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda a \nu \pi \epsilon \rho l$ is not a happy collocation.
$\Phi \circ \rho \mu i \omega v$, he must have died shortly after his return to Athens (II. 103) or become infirm (Haack). See the record of his grourd services in II. 69, S1, 102, a sufficient recommendation to these warlike Acarnanians.
äpxovтa, predicative, 'as commander,' 'in command.'
 advanced' (along the coast).
§3. Nav́тakтov, the Athenian vav́бтa $\theta \mu \mathrm{\nu}$ in Messenia, II. 69.
§ 4. avaotióas, 'putting into the field,' 'calling out.' Cf. II. 68. г.
Oivideas, cf. II. 102. The ruins of the place are still found on the W: bank of Achelous, completely surrounded by morasses. The son was attempting an enterprise his father had abandoned. Cp. II. 102.
 Thuc. use, although opposed to the use in кат' oipov, кatà póov. Lit. 'by way of the Achelous.'

aủròs $\delta$ è $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ v́бas, in contrast to $\pi \epsilon$ ¢ 6 S.
Nípıкov, according to Pliny and Strabo the ancient name of Leucas (Hom. Od. Xxiv. 376); not the same as Mount Neritus.

 mentary. of. v. $21 \$ 2$ (Popp.); for position of $\tau \in \mathrm{cf}$. vir. 7 i \$ 6.

ти $\mu$ épos, 'bona pars.'
 expressed Thuc.'s meaning equally well, but i $\pi 6$ brings the construction into closer connexion with the verb.
 diarii).
§ 6. ámoт入ev́oavets, 'cum paullum recessissent' (Güll.), 'after retiring, 'perhaps pointings to the term- of the $\sigma \pi$ ovöai. (if. mapaöoures


## CHAPTER VIII.



'Oגvpilage, not, as Buttmann held, a furmation from -ois, i.c. an adidition of $\hat{\delta} \epsilon$ (lucative) to acc. plural: talice, for instance, $\chi a \mu a \hat{s} \epsilon$. No plural of such a word could exist. Probably to be referred to Ski. -ya.
ö $\pi \omega$ s $\beta$ oùcés $\omega v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, in all these final constructions with ö $\pi \omega$ s Cobet insists on future indicative - 'ömes 'quo pacto,' significans con-

 win, M. T. \& $3^{24}$, remarks on the rarity of $3 \pi \omega s$ with pure fimal clauses. It is noticeable that in Thuc. only one instance of wis with sulj. occurs, one only of $\dot{\omega} s \ddot{\alpha} \nu$, but on the other hand $\mathrm{II}_{+}$in-tances of ठ̈ $\pi \omega$. (Weber.)
$\Delta$ wplev́s, son of Diagoras, a Rhodian and Heracleil, thrice an Olympic victor (cf. Pindar, Olymp. vir.), an athlete whose success became proverbial (Cic. Tusc. I. 4 页 $\$ 1 \mathrm{r}$ ). The present (July, $4^{2}$ ) was the second of three succe-sive Olympian victories, the first being won in 432 , the third in $4^{2} 4$. In vill. 35 we find him in command of a squadron from Thurii, to which city he had fled upon the overthrow of the Dorian aristocrats in Rhodes. IIe was captured hy Athenians and condemned to death, but liberated (Xen. Hell. I. \& \& 19). According to Pausanias he was the wimer of 8 Isthmian and 7 Nemean victories as well as 3 Olympian (Paus. vi. 7 \$2). The typical athlete of Aristotle, Rhet. I. 2 § 13.
 enduring result; cf. $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}$. Goodwin, M. T. § 27.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ т $\dot{\jmath} v$ éoprýv，the festival lasted five day：，ending with the full moon，but whether the full moon following the summer solstice is doubtful．The date usually assigned is July 20 or 21 ．

катย́ $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma a v$ és $\lambda$ óyous，cf．sup． 4 § I ．

## CHAPTER IX．

§ 1．vó $\mu$ uov，note the singular，the one instance in Thuc．，though the plural is frequent．
yáp＝＇nämlich，＇＇to wit，＇＇that is to say＇（see Shill．on Thuc．I．25）．
 II． $21 \S 5 \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\partial} \gamma \gamma!\hat{\eta}$ eixov，and cf．oiá with genit．，c．g．II．¡6 fin．oủ obà $\chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ้ \chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s . ~ T r . ~ ' r e g a r d ~ w i t h ~ f a v o u r . ' ~$

Xeipous，whether a modified positive or implied comparative matters not：context does not always furnish a clue．（The phrase recurs in c．IV．II 4 § 3．）Kr．Cl．explain by implication，＇than they would otherwise．＇For the sentiment Göll．well compares Tac．A．I． 58 ＇proditores etiam eis，quos anteponunt，invisi sunt．＇
 in molal relation by application of the general principle to the par－ ticular case．The indicative expresses the $\kappa \alpha \theta \in \sigma \tau \dot{\omega}$ s $\nu$ buruov（hence there is no need for äp eï $\eta$ ，to кa甘ódov：the optative specilies the кaO éкagta，the particular cases to which the general rule applies．In fine， the one condenses，the other distributes．Such a use of optative with indic．is by no means unusual，e．g．ä入入’ ö̀ $\pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota s$ $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$ tô̂ôe $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ Niviety（Antig．666）．The constrn．is due to future force of optative． In a conditional sentence，either protasis or apodosis may select its own form of expression：the one may be realised at the expense of the other：the one necessary postulate is that the relation of effect to cause should be clearly marked．Aristotle，Ethics I．10 ss 8，I4，will afford exx．Platonists will recall Phileb．p． 15.
 $93 \S 3$ ．Note that in these structures the relative clause may represent either subject or object clause．

Sıaкpivoıvto，optat．by assimilation to túxotev．Cf．Goorlw．M．T． 558.
 say＇s Class．Here，I think，of political＇purpose，＇＇policy，＇rather than ＇sentiment．＇Cf．contrast of $\gamma \nu \omega$ 白 $\mu$ with ioxús or é $\rho \gamma o \nu, 83 \S 3$ ．

єv̉voía，not merely＇good will，＇but＇loyalty＇（cf．єïvous，oúovous，T？ $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ）．Hude suggests $\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \nu$ oía on the plea that the contrast is＇paritas
potentiae＇with＇similitudo sententiae＇；surely $\gamma \nu \omega$＇un satisfies these con－ ditions．

тท̂ mapaokєuท̂ kal $\delta$ vvápєь，＇actual＇as comphred with＇possible．＇ ＇єтเєเкท่s，＇equitable，＇＇justifiable．＇Cf． 4 § 2.
\％，with reference to preceding sentence．Cf． 104 § 6 ．
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\text { é }} \delta o ́ \xi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，deprecatory or even prohihitive（as first jerson of im ． perative）；cf．v． 9 § 7．For the sentiment cf．Tac．A．1． 58.
$\epsilon i$ ，litntes for ört，begging the question；cf． $32 \$ 3$ ．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{v}$ o七，for fact cf． 39 § 2.


## CHAPTER X．

 has all the force of a substantive．$\kappa \alpha i$ is corrective rather than copu－ lative，＇or，＇＇or rather．＇dрєтท̆，＇rectitude．＇

סєо́ $\boldsymbol{\epsilon v o r , ~ ' p o s t u l a n t e s . ' ~}$
 Popp．St．Boehme supply，with f（\％votvio，фi入ia кal кotvavia as suljject （B．reading $\gamma$ i $\gamma^{\nu}$ outo）：with eie $\nu$ they assume a change of subject ：such change is quite possible．Göller supplies фìot каi коншшoi from фi入ia and кow $\omega \boldsymbol{y}$ ia（improbable，as $\phi i \lambda / a$ and кow $\omega \nu l a$ are not here used in col－
 with＇s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda$ ous，＇unless they conduct themselves towards each other，＇ a doubtful explanation，unless $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \dot{d} \rho$ ．$\delta о \kappa$ ．be taken predicatively with
 rifvolvto．But these prepositional forms of predication are so complete in themselves that their connexion with the sentence is often very loose， e．g．$\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau o u ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ．
$\gamma เ \gamma \nu 0 \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta \nu$ ，an appeal to constant experience，hence no ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ ．
koıvшvía，＇societas．＇és oủ8év，＇nullam in partem＇（cf．vii． 59 § 3）． $\epsilon_{s}=$＇in reference to＇（looking to），＇quoquo versus．＇
 proved by consent of mankind．＇s＇s $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\lambda} \lambda$ ous（practically $=\pi \rho o ̀ s \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ．，but with suggestion of more intimate relations，＇fusion＇rather than＇ap－
 in vili． $96 \S 5$ it is used of assimilation of tactics．Accepting the received text，render＇knowing that neither friendship between individuals nor association between states proves permanent in any way，unless they be contracted under conviction of mutual integrity，and unless they the
contracting parties) be furthermore congenial.' But see critical note and Appendix.
 to disagreement in practice. Lit. ' on divergence of opinion the very dis-

§ 2. $\xi v \mu \mu a x$ ia, cf. Herod. ix. 106 with Thuc. I. 95. I.
 it is extremely doubtful whether the prepositional clause is to be pressed into close construction with the verb. V. $4 \S+$ gives no clue. $\dot{a} \pi \sigma \lambda$ เ $\pi \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ in Hdt. frequently $=$ 'deficere,' and is used without object (Hdt. vir. 221). $\epsilon \in=$ 'after,' i.e. 'after committing yourself to the war'; hence 'in the midst of.' Cf. 'єк עиктós, 'de nocte.'


 by $\xi$ ' $\mu \mu a \chi o$, i.e. 'ours was no private alliance with Athens, for the subjugation of Hellenes; but we joined a Panhellenic confederation in the calse freedom.' The allusion, of course, is to the events which followed the battle of Mycale.
§ 4. aंmò тô̂ torou, Schol. кат' iбovouiav. For history cf. I. 97 (Poppo).
 § 259. "Quidni 'adducentes '?" (Göll.). But the emendation appears stronger: 'urging on,' rather than 'attempting.'
$\alpha^{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \epsilon i ̄ s$, 'without apprehension' (see Shill. on 1. 36).
§ 5. $k a \theta$ ' $\ddagger v$, cf. inf. II § 4, 'by combining.'
$\pi 0 \lambda \nu \psi \eta \boldsymbol{l}_{i} \alpha v$, numbers suggesting conflict of interests ( $\mathrm{I} . \mathrm{I}_{4} \mathrm{I} \S 6$ ).
$\delta \eta$, sarcastic $=$ 'scilicet.'
 fusion between the two forms is frequent, but no change is necessary here. Take $\pi a \rho a \delta \epsilon \ell \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota$ as predicative, 'appealing to their previous conduct as a precedent' (treating precedents as warnings); cf. Lat. use of 'exemplum.'

ката⿱тт $\varepsilon^{\prime} \nLeftarrow a \sigma \theta a l$, aorist with eikbs, 'uti passim' in Thucyd. Naber's suggestion, $\delta$ pá $\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$, ignores this usage. The first of the two aorists is retrospective (the fact accomplished), the second anticipative.
 St. defends text. The indicative marks the historic fact, the optative ( $\delta v \nu \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{i} \epsilon \nu$ ) would point rather to the subjective or hypothetical point of view, taken by Lesbians. The form of the sentence is simple;
the sulatitution of the relative with aorist for the direct object (oüs $\dot{\epsilon} \pi r o m$ -
 $\sigma a \nu$, as halancing iтoonjoavto. The clue may be found in a construction

 seems to speak for itself. Other solutions are possible: (1) the eir tote clause parcnthetical; cf. Cic. ad Att. Xill. 27 'aliter enim fuissemus et in hos inofficiosi et in nosmet ipsos, si illum offensuri fuimus, paene periculosi,' where the si clause is clearly parenthetical, 'atiter' accounting for the constrn. ; (2) ellipse, 'a poor crutch to prop a lame conceit'; (3) a mixed construction, realised fact ) (realistic futurity ; (4) assimilation ; (5) in the absence of any tense of optative to express the pluperfect


## CHAPTER XI.

§ 1. $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta$ aьóтєрot, in personal relation, cf. I. 40 § + (Class.). $\eta^{\boldsymbol{j}} \mu \mathrm{i} v$, the so-called 'dativus iudicantis,' really subjective or ethic.
$\boldsymbol{\nu} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\omega} \epsilon \mathrm{p}$ єiv, epexegetic infin., rare in future tense'; 'we should have had a stronger guarantee that they would attempt no new departure.' $\nu \in \omega \tau \epsilon \rho / \zeta^{\prime} \iota \nu$, 'res novas moliti,' to disturb the terms of the alliance.
 $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ clause, the second supplementing the sentence.
kal $\pi$ тós, Dobree wrongly expunges кai, 'etiam.' $\pi \rho o ́ s$ of comparison or contrast. đò $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ étepov, neuter, common in these ethnic specifications.
rò $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} 0 \nu$, Samians, Byzantines, Naxians, \&ic. (Schol.).
av̉col av́т $\boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$, degree of increase measured by comparisun with subject itself; a favourite Herodotean device.


$\pi \rho о є ́ \chi \omega \nu$, ç. 82 § 7 єi $\pi \rho \circ$ ӧXоtєv.
áтотр́ттєтаи, sensu rhetorico: 'is deterred,' 'discouraged.'
§ 2. oú $\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda_{0} \tau \iota \eta$ ö oorov, the öoov sequence replaces the ordinary öт $\iota$ construction (cf. II. $6_{5}$ § I2) $=$ limiting accusative.
's $\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} v$ ápXrv, lit. 'with a view to their empire,' i.e. for imperial purposes, extension of power.

єúmpentia 入óyou, cf. $8_{2}$ § 8, 'speciousness of diplomacy;' 'plausibility of representation.'

ammed aggression.' The tact of a Machiavelli rather than the iron hand of an Alva. ¿ффoosos in weaker sense, 'aditus,' with $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$; in stronger, 'impetus,' with ioxús. The genitives are objective, 'such opening, access, as tact (or policy) afforded '; cf. $83 \S+$ for antithesis.
$\tau \alpha$ т $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$, cf. I. 7+ § I 'summa rerum,' i.e. the hegemony (Kriig.).
$\kappa a r a \lambda \eta \pi \tau \alpha$, 'within their grasp'; cf. 30 § 2.
 $\mu \hat{\ell} \nu . . . \alpha \mu \alpha \alpha \hat{\prime}$; cf. IV. 73 § 2.
$\mu \eta ̀$ äv roús $\gamma \in$ íro廿ŋ́фous äкovras, $\gamma \epsilon$ emphasises and restricts; those at least whose vote had equal weight (i.e. with Athens), an implied contrast of $\xi \cup \mu \mu a \chi o \iota$ with $\dot{\nu} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa о о$.
$\mu \eta$, due to the protest containcdi in $\mu a \rho \tau u \rho \prime \neq$ é $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau o . ~ C f . ~ t h e ~ u s e ~$ of negative $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ with verbs of swearing, promising \&c. (Goodwin, M. T. $\S 685)$. The whole weight of the sentence falls on äкоvтas: hence an


 be taking part in these expeditions (as they were, without protest), who would only have done so under protest, but for the goorlness of the Athenian cause.' For similar instances, cf. Demusth. Conon \$32
 S6 § $1 \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\eta} \epsilon i \delta o u \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma u \mu$. Fact is contrasted with hypothesis: the statement of the fact is made to imply negation of assumed case; hence the negation of assumed case implies assertion of the fact. Stahl and Hude (p. 92) have noted the brachylogy. Cf. Latin use, 'montes continui, ni dissocientur opaca valle,' i.e. 'qui continui sint ni dissocientur' : sometimes the ellipse is supplied, e.g. Theaetet. i 70 E є $l \mu \eta \delta \hat{\epsilon}$
 ò̀ cival $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \nu$ т $\grave{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota a \nu$. For a sentence which puzzled some few generations of editors see Tac. Agricola, 6 'nisi quod in bona uxore tanto maior laus quanto in mala plus culpae est.'
äк $\omega v$, not only of unwillingness, but of moral revolt, or repulsion, cf. Arist. Eth. III. I.

тà крátıбтa, i.e. toùs кpatiotous, Schol. ìmâs. For neuter, vid. supra, iI § i. The form крáтıбтos is an isolated superlative, from Hom. кратús.

 with more direct reference to $\nu \eta \sigma \iota \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \iota$; cf. v. 97.

Tedevtaia, so Krïg. and Stahl, treating $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u r a i a$ as predicative
 retaining the article, $\tau \dot{a}$ redeuraĩa will be sulstantival and prolepllic, 'leaving them for their last achievement.' The phrase might be adverbial, cf. $\tau$ d $\pi \circ 0 \ell \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho a$ (Theocr.) : or the article may denote a second object distinct from tà крátıova. The passage quoted by Cl . from $23 \S 3$ is no parallel ; on the whole, it is safer to follow Kriig. and St. ' Jeaving them (i.e. 'us') to the last.' Note that the sequence is $\xi \nu \bar{\xi} \in \pi \hat{\eta}-$
 its explanation in $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \eta \rho \eta \mu \notin \nu=v$.
 $\phi i \lambda \omega \nu$ ámoyidoîs $\mu \epsilon$. For Athenian policy see I. 44.
deөevé $\sigma \tau \in \rho a$, Lat. 'debilis' (crippled), a very old use; cf. Pinc.'
 trunca scilicet manu.' See Prof. Mayor's Lat. Heptateuch, p. 104.
 It is interesting to note how verbs of originally strong meaning lapse into mere auxiliaries, e.g. Homeric $\theta \epsilon \lambda \omega, \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$.
aủtûv, no need for aúr̂̂v: not a possessive genitive, but in simple apposition with subject of gen. absolute, ' ipsi per se.'
$\pi \rho o ̀ s$ ö $\tau \iota$ xpì $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} v a l$, more expressive than $\sigma \tau \hat{\eta} v a \iota ~ \mu c \tau a ́$, suggesting not merely 'stare cum' but 'stare ab,' the idea leeing that of some common rallying-point.

 The sulject, under like conditions, admits the same ellipse.
§4. Tó $\tau \epsilon$ vavtıóv, $\tau \epsilon$ resumes main argument, which has been


Classen's note is misleading. To vautuoby is in itself the grammatical sulbject to $\pi a \rho c i \chi \epsilon$; but the logic of the sentence requires the supplement $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$. It was not the fleet of Mytilene in itself which constituted a menace to Athens, but the danger of the combination of that fleet with another. Cf. the like apprehension in the case of Corcyra, Bk. I. 44. mapáoxn, realistic for optative.
 clause explains the other, i.e. $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ \& $\delta \gamma \epsilon \nu$. finds its explanation in $\pi \rho \rho \sigma \theta \epsilon \mu \mu \nu 0 \nu$, vid. sup. $3 \S 5 \cdot \eta ँ \ldots \eta$. 'sive, sell,' 'liy combining, whether by siding with you or some other power.'
§ $\delta$. $\tau \dot{a} \dot{\text { a }} \mathbf{\delta \ell}$, without clear suggestion of previous rà $\mu \hat{e} \nu$ (P.), a secondary reason.

Etpartías. demis scilicet et muneribas" (Iterm. frum (illieat). Muller-sirul ing sues a reference to vemality of Athenian demazy sues; cf. Ar. Vespae $5: 6$, Ach. 6. But the word arfears usel here in the








## CHAPTER XII.

§ 1. Tis. thrown in:0 asmeement, in liea of it (cf. Plato, Theaet. ${ }^{158} \mathrm{E}$ ) 'in what form.'
 होरevधepia.
 'alienis animis' (Portus).

 We ' h spitio excif ere will exphin the content, in which, says Prybs there is an evilent reference to :-....gs(a (intercourse): we (..emened (received, but not with open arms).
$\delta_{\epsilon \delta \text { เót } \epsilon \text {, 'from apprehension' (not 'fear'). }}$.
'̇Өєра́тєєvov, cf. sup. II ảmò $\theta$ єраттєlas.
 wath :e either accus. in appation with sentemic. or acous. of intemal


 The explanation that o deyends on -i.te: Bebanat as a comp und
 use appears to distinguiah o amticipative (cf. Kef. $5 \therefore 3$ E. Irotag. 313 A )
 Hence it may either $=$ • 3 quad' or 'cum tomen' but see stheneine in New. Tahr! for -53 ). Tov̂so is resumplive of $b$; unless injeed we accept the view that reire reiers to $\pi$ tion. Thas, that which with ther: is ctutily secuted iy giod will-confiemce-this in our case was

 $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \kappa о \iota \nu \omega َ \sigma \omega$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．

Badham＇s $\pi$ ィ $\sigma$ tóv（contra mss．），which haiancus éxupòv mapeîXe by $\pi \iota \sigma t \dot{\nu} \beta \in \beta a t o \hat{\imath}$ ，simplifies all，hut lacks authority． Cl ，and St．cut out $\pi i \sigma \tau<v$ on the plea that＇reciprocity of apprehension does not produce grod faith＇－true，but it makes either party extremely careful not to di．surb）existing covenants．The alliance between Athens and Lesbos was simply one＇of convenience，＇maintained on mere grounds of àvi－ $\pi \alpha \lambda o \nu \delta$ bos（cf．II § I）．The dialectical argument is sufficiently justified in the context，as well as by the plea of toŋ $\dot{a} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \kappa \eta$ set forth in V .89 ．
 катєХо́цєvol，＇under restraint，＇with more direct reference to ốos．фi入iq̧ would suggest $\xi v \nu \in \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o t, ~ ' h e l d ~ t o g e t h e r . ' ~$
$\xi \cup \mu \mu a x \circ$ ท $\dagger \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，＇we continued allies．＇
óтот́́pors mapá⿱亠乂ol，optat．indefmite（iterative）dependent on

 like interchange in Latin：＇quod＝si，＇＇qui＝si quis．＇Note imperfect ＂$\mu \in \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{}$ as condensing the particulars implied in $\pi a \rho a ́ \sigma \chi o u$.
 gests $\theta$ páoos as better suiting the word $\pi a \rho a \beta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \theta a t$ ，i．e．as＇temeritas＇ rather than＇fiducia．＇

каi mapaßク่ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta$ aı，каi emphatic，some＇actual，＇＇direct，＇breach of the treaty．
 punctuation，and explanation are all alike in doubt．But on the whole there seems no real ground for disturbing the received text．The main points appear to be（1）the real meaning of $\mu \dot{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma i s$ ，（2）the contrast of
 To take the words in detail ：－
a＇$\delta$ เкєiv，＇to be in the wrong＇（to be the＇aggressors＇）；cf．$\sigma_{5} \S 2$.
$\pi \rho \circ a \pi о \sigma \tau a \dot{v} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$（ $=o ̈ \tau \iota \pi \rho o a \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ ）；the $\pi \rho o$－is all important， ＇rushing into rebellion，＇＇precipitating secession．＇
 connexion that I would explain it．
$\mu \in \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota v$ ，in strong sense（cf．IV．126 §5；I． 69 § 7）．＇menace．＇The Athenians＇looked like mischief．＇Mark position of sul）st．between subjective and objective genitive（cf．I． 25 § 4）．
 of coercion＇（cf． 11.77 § 1）．is stronger than $\pi$ pós，which pointed at us
(not 'in' us): the verbal subst. suggests the verhal malogy, sia to

aủr $\hat{\nu}$, i.e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \operatorname{\delta ec\nu } \nu \nu$, unless aitá be loosely referable to context.

$\alpha \nu \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \alpha$, with evident reference to $\mu(\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \tau s$, 'to menace in return.'
 of these two uses vid. Goodw. Gr. M. T. p. 407). Note particularly the contrast of an assumed case with the real position.
ék тov̂ ópoiov, cf. I. ${ }^{1}+3$ § 4 , a mere equivalent for $\dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{o}$ íws (al. to aroid repetition of rov ioov), if in the stronger sense assumed by Stahl = є́к тои̂ ó $\mu$. övtas, 'as holding a position of equality' (ex hypothesi).
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ cf. II. $\S_{+} \S 2$ (for three consecutive $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ i's cf. II. 90 § 3 ).

Render, 'Hence, if any one regards us as in the wrong in forestalling Athens by revolt, because of the menace on their side of such coercion as they could bring to bear on us (of dangers that threatened us), instead of waiting on our part to see whether any of these apprehensions would be realised, he takes a wrong view. For had we been in a position to meet intrigues by intrigues and threats by threats, what need would there have been for us to remain, as we did, at their mercy?

The key-note is struck in $\theta \hat{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o \nu$ and $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o l$. The relation of Lesbos to Athens was one of ǘtov\os avirovopla.

Athens, as the stronger, could afford to 'watch and wait,' in fact could choose her opportunity: Lesbos, the weaker, had to seize the opportunity when it offered. It was a mere question of who should strike first. Lesbos struck first in seif-defence (cf. vi. 18 § 2), $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$

(For discussion of Class, and Stahl's views, see Appendix.)

## CHAPTER XIII.

§ 1. totav́tas, Poppo notes the asyndeton (cf. II. $7+$ § i).
kai airias, Cobet objects that airia in Thuc. always has the force of 'crimen' (had he forgotten IV. 85 § I ?) : wal corrects and strengthens $\pi \rho \circ \phi a, \sigma \epsilon s$, 'such are the pleas, or rather motives, which we have for revolting' : even if airia $=\epsilon \quad \gamma \kappa \lambda \eta \mu a$, 'allegation,' 'indictment,' it is still appropriate.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \epsilon i \bar{s}, ~ ' d i s t i n c t, ' ~ ' c o n v i n c i n g . ' ~ \gamma \nu \omega \overline{v a l}$, epexegetic. єiкóт $\omega$ s, i. e. not 'temere' ( $\epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta})$ but almust $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda o \dot{\gamma} \omega \mathrm{~s}$, 'with good reasun,' 'justifiably.'
doф́á $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\epsilon}$ av, cf. v1. 59 § 2 , 'some means of safety.'

Boı $\omega \tau$ ol, i.c. as $\xi_{v \gamma} \gamma^{\prime} \nu \in i s$, cf. 2 § 3 .
ímๆкоúбaцєv, mark anrist with imperfect ; the action was prompterl by the $\epsilon \xi$ cs (formed habit); cf. 53 § I.
$\dot{\epsilon} v o \mu\langle\zeta \circ \mu \in \nu$, Class. interprets of 'self-consciousness': rather, I think, of 'self-justification': they tested their action by the standard of conventional morality. dimó $\sigma$ raots conveys the twofold meaning of 'standing aloof' from complicity, and of 'severance' from Athens. The severance was to have a double effect, ( I ) avoiding, (2) escaping.


$\pi 0 เ \epsilon \hat{v} \ldots \xi v v \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{v} v$, epexegetic infinitives.

 prose shews hardly any traces. (Plat. Phaedrus 237 a $\xi i \mu \mu, 0 \iota \lambda \alpha \beta \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is dithyrambic affectation.) For collocation of $\xi \dot{v} \nu$ with $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ cf. vill. 13. Cl. points out that the adverb does not coalesce with the verb. The true order is $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ' $ั \nu \mu \pi o t \epsilon i \nu$ airou's как $\hat{\omega} s \mu \in \tau^{\prime}$ 'A $\theta$., 'not to take part in doing them ill in common with Athens,' 'non cum Atheniensibus contra cos consociare iniuriam.' Does какผิs here imply the какía of the traitor? We really need a word to form a marked antithesis to eitev$\theta \in \rho о \hat{\nu}$, e.g. бvүкатабои入о仑̂̀.
 $\pi \rho o \delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i ̂ \rho a \iota$.
§ 2. $\theta \hat{a} \sigma \sigma o v$, sc. roû $\delta t \neq \nu \tau o s, a d v$. and adj. combined, as in 4 § 2 sup.
ท̂, 'whereby,' as representing 'wherefure,' i.e. 'by cause of which' )( 'by reason of which.' The dat. is adverbial rather than in agree-
 even with plurals. Cf. Thuc. II. $4 \S 2$ т $\omega \nu$ ôbôw $\hat{\eta}$, Plato, Placdr.
 $\sigma \kappa \in \pi T \notin \nu_{0} \tau \hat{\varphi}=\dot{\varphi}$, i.e. ô' ö. Cf. Lat. 'quu magis,' 'quare,' 'quamobrem.' The use of $\hat{j}$ in logical limitation (qua) we may compare with w.
 § 4. (Cl.)

סıa taxémv, plural for sing. in accordance with the common tendency of Greek.
a $\mu$ v́vovtes, 'ready to help.' 'willing to aid': no need for future; vid.

oîs $\delta \in \hat{\text { n }}$, sc. $\dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\nu} \nu \epsilon$ lv.
§3. '́ $\phi \theta$ ápatal, see Curt. Gk. Verb, p. G4. The form is I)oric, ergo older than Ionic, but Curt. notes only two Doric forms. In Attic the forms -atat, aro are found only after consomants, and are a distinguishing mark of the old Attic writers. (Found in Inscrip. of Methone, early in Pelop. war.)

 i.e. as $\epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \phi \rho \mu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$.
§ 4. ÉX $\epsilon \downarrow$, no need for Coh. $\sigma \chi \epsilon i \bar{\nu}$. $\pi \epsilon p i o v \sigma l a \nu$ is the emphatic word: they have at this moment a naval force, which will be none too large, if \&c.
$\tau \hat{\varphi}$ Ófet $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$, the temporal dative is found both with and without $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in Thuc. Attic Gik, rarely uses $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\varphi}$, but $\epsilon^{\prime} s \kappa \alpha \iota \rho \dot{\nu}$ or кац $\rho \hat{\varphi}$. How far the Mytilenacans, like others, were wrong in their calculations is evident from ViI. $28 \$ 3$.
 is prone to pleonasms.
aтох $\omega \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau a \mathrm{l}$, note middle form of future; the simple $\chi \omega \rho \epsilon \epsilon$ forms fut. $\chi \omega \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma} \mu a \iota$.
s. 5. voui $\sigma \eta \quad \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ is, notice position of $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i$ for emphasis. Cf. $\pi$ apaot $\hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \nu i($ Iv. 95 § 2). The subj. here recalls the old Homeric use of 'warning,' $\mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \kappa \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega$, a force which Attic renders by ou $\mu \dot{\eta}$. The quasi-imperative use of subj. will commect it with the older use.
oikeiov, Hude's suggestion oúk oikeiov will destroy the antithesis with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda$ 入otplas (cf. IV. 95 § 2).

$\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} v \omega \not \omega \in \lambda i \alpha v$, 'suam utilitatem'; the article is possessive.
av่ $\tau \hat{\varphi}$, weak resumptive; i.e. of $\tau \iota s$ implied in $\widehat{\psi}$.
'v $\tau \hat{\eta}$ 'A $A \tau \kappa \kappa \hat{\eta}, \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ not in local sense, as Cl . points out: cf. the phrasec cival év крıт $\hat{y}$. 'The issue will not depend on, turn on Attica,' cf. I. it $\$ \mathrm{~s}$ I. Whether $\delta i \dot{\eta} s$ or $\delta i \not{ }^{\prime} \nu \nu$ be read here matters little, the



oúrє... $\tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon$, notice the triple $\tau \epsilon$. The first two of these three clauses only are coordinate, as the change of mood shews; the negation affects the first clause only; the $3^{\text {rd }} \tau \epsilon$ clause is really supplementary. The optative $\pi a ́ \theta o \iota \mu \in \nu$ ä $\nu$ points to the contingency implied in fi кata-
 There is no indication here of any subjective use of the mood（of which see a good instance in ViII． 50 § i）．

סєtvótepa，＇recentissima quiergue servitus durissima est＇（P．）．
oi $\pi \rho i v$ סountéovtes，imperf．participle．The reference is to the

 pressing subject of main verl）；for nom．again in vilr． $76 \S 4$ ；for dat． （with repetition of subject）I．II $\ddagger$ § r ；for acc．II．\＆\＆4．See Goodw． G．Syn．$\$ 850$ ．The variation in construction is used to make the parti－ cipial clause more prominent，and to express its relation more em－ phatically．Sce also Popp．Prol．I．IIy．Such a use of the ablative absolute is contrary to the spirit of the Latin langrage．（Sce Thompern， G．Syn．§ 152 ．）In the present instance it simply expresses the con－ ditional clause（ $\epsilon l$ ßon日riซєTc）in a condensed form．
iфalpoûvtes，cf．3I § 1，with subsidiary motion of＇sapping＇：cf．II． 76 § 2，白фєî̀रоу．
aitiav，＇imputation，＇＇blame，＇cf．11． 60 § 7.
 hoc sustinebatis＇（Popp．）．
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon і \bar{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \omega s \lambda_{n} \psi 0 \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ 。

## CHAPTER XIV．

§ 1．$\tau \dot{\alpha} \grave{s}^{\text {és }} \mathbf{~ u ́ \mu a ̂ s , ~ ' t h a t ~ l o o k ~ t o ~ y o u . ' ~ T h e ~ p r e p o s i t i o n a l ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~}$ perhaps used only to save confusion with a second genitive（ $\dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu)$ ． Krigger remarks upon the free use of e＇s in Thuc．as contrasted with later Attic．Poppo illustrates from later verbal usage é $\lambda \pi i j \epsilon \iota \nu$ és vıva：

 ＇Zeus the great Olympian god，＇the＇Deus optumus maximus．＇This appended article is constantly used by Thuc．epexegetically．
 ＇precinct．＇

Toa kai ixetal，this use of the neuter adjective is based on the use of the neuter pronouns，e．g．$\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \ell \tau$ ，and is analogrous to the cognate use of acc．，＇a special form of the use of the accusative as a de－ fining or qualifying word．＇such adverbial uses of the aceus．Were probaloly，in the ohler language，of witer range，a range which has
been encroached upon by the more specific senses expressed by other cases (cf. Monro IIom. (i. \$132 sqq.). The use can be referred to the so-called 'limiting' or 'determinant' accus., its use with intransitive verls being based on the use with transitive verbs. Thuc. himself
 use uf arlverl) for adjective, 'sic est vita' (sc. talis), (2) in the acc. use 'quid tibi opus est ?' For the construction l' $\sigma \alpha$ каi, ö $\mu$ оьа каl, cf. vir. 29 § 4. Lat. 'exque ac,' 'iuxta ac,' 'similis ac,' 'similis ac si' (Cic Fin. IV. 12 §31).
$\pi \rho \circ \eta \mathrm{\eta} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, 'laze us to our fate,' 'betray us.' The word is used in weaker sense of risking,' 'venturing' (e.g. money on bad security), as in vili. 32, or in stronger sense of 'squandering.' Cf . the $\pi \rho 0 \in \tau \leftarrow \kappa$ ós (ä $\sigma \omega \tau \circ s$ ) of Aristotle's Ethics.

тараßa入入о $\mu$ 'vovs, 'risking,' 'hazarding,' a gambling term, 'staking the one against the other.' Cf. 65 § 3 .
 double $\delta \hat{6}$ clause. The second $\delta \epsilon$ clause merely amplifies the notion of кoь ós suggested in the preceding sentence.
 also the predicative use of iotov, кoı $\eta^{\prime} \nu$, кow orépav, and the change of tense from present to future participle.
 absolute. The absolute construction replaces a clause of hypothesis, as in $13 \S 9$, to save tautology, $\epsilon i \ldots \epsilon i$.
§ 2. $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha l$, sc. $\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ í $\mu a ̂ s$.

## CHAPTER XV.

 common with their allies (for kai cf. viri. 23 \& 4). There is no need for Cobet's excision of каi oi $\xi \mu \mu$. The joint subject $\Lambda а к$. каi oi $\grave{\xi} \mu \mu$.
 лакєбаци.
 transposed or emended. Herwerden after first transposing $\dot{\omega} s \pi o t \eta \sigma o \mu \in \nu O \iota$ has now excised them; he suggests $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \pi i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ (Krüg. кaì $\epsilon \mathrm{s}$ ); Poppo (following Heilmann) accepts a trajection, arranging (but without disturbance of text) the grammatical order as follows: кai тì $\dot{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime}$ ' $A \tau \tau, \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \beta$.
 we might wish it were impossible. The scholiast saw the difficulty, and
rightly treated is $\pi$ oın $\sigma \mu$. as supplementary and parenthetical, supplying
 old grammarians; here practically in apposition with the sentence, and standing first as the leading notion. Such positions are sometimes only anticipatory, e.g. Soph. Elect. 1364, where note the resumptive
 cognate structure with léval on analogy of ésitéval $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau c i a \nu(1.15)$ ), léval ó óv.
mupov̂ซı katà тáxos, Cl. following Lupus (Jahrb. 1875) construes these words with léval. But though mapeivat may:= $\pi a \rho a \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ (for
 Phaedr. 372 D ), would Thucylides write $\pi a \rho \dot{\omega} \nu$ it́vaı for $\pi a p \iota \in ́ v a \ell ~ o r ~$ mape $\theta$ civ? Again, if part of the $\phi p a \delta \eta^{\prime}$, the dat. would naturally become accusative; in vir. 20, where the variant occurs, it is clear that $\dot{\alpha} \phi \kappa \circ \mu \epsilon \nu \omega$ is in temporal sense only, and no part of the instructions given. $\pi$ apoû̃ $=$ = cum adessent' (i.e. at the Olympian games) should be considered in comnexion with фpájetv (cf. Plato Phileb. Go A úmb $\tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \quad \pi \pi \omega \nu$ кal $\left.\pi \alpha \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \quad \phi \rho \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu\right)$ and in close agreement with s̀vuムáous: there was no need for the usual $\pi \epsilon p t a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a$. Tr. 'And, for the proposed ( $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ) invasion of Attica, they told their allies, being on the spot (or, in temporal sense, 'while still there,' i.e. 'before they left') to repair with all speed to the Isthmus (of Corinth) with the intention of invading with two-thirds of their forces.'

катà тáxos, the summer was well advanced.
тois $\delta \mathbf{v} o ~ \mu \epsilon ́ p \epsilon \sigma t v$, the remaining third left for home-defence, the article, as always in such fractional expressions, denoting the numerator.

о́ $\lambda$ коi, ' machinae,' rollers and other appliances, 'hatuling gear.'
тарєбкєúa̧ov, the destination is reached in áфiкодто, the imperfect introduces a new phase.

ข่тєpolfortes, P. cites Livy Xlif. 16. This portion of the isthmus is called by Strabo $\delta$ io入kos: for Leucas cf. 81.
 equivalent to $\alpha \pi \rho 0 \theta v \mu i q:$ cf. Lat. 'senescere,' 'languescere.' They had
 The periphrasis with $\hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ has given rise to a zeugma; cf. 79 § 3 . (On this figure see Cope, Ar. Rhet., Vol. 1. p. 6r.)

## CHAPTER XVI.

§1. Sià катáyvootv döevelas $\sigma \phi \bar{\omega} v$, the constrm. in dictated by
 of weakness against them' (cf. $45 \$ 1$ ), i.e. 'imputation of weaknes against themselves.' In viit. 8 § 3 катафро́vךбıs.

 otos 'disposition,' otos $\tau \epsilon$ 'position,' as Prof. Gildersleeve well states the case in A. J. P. vil. $\mathbf{6 5}$.
 drawing upon.' $\quad \mu \eta$ hypothetical = 'si non moverent.'
éri, cf. 13 § 4. kal тò ámò Пелот. Cobet by expunging kal destroys all the nerve of the sentence: кai='as well,' 'to boot,' i.e. in addition to maintaining the blockade of Lesbos.
 attack or invasion'; cf. v. $9 \S 5$.
è $\pi \lambda$ ท'p $\omega \sigma a v$, , promptly manned.' vav̂s ékaróv, not the reserve flect ; cf. 11. 24. '̇ $\sigma \beta$ ávtes, circumstantial participle, of 'means'; cf. Goodlv. G. Syn. § 834. aủroí $\tau \in$ кal oi $\mu \hat{\tau} \tau о \iota \kappa o l$, i.e. with mixed crews of citizens and metoecs. The two highest classes (imin̂s каi $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau a \kappa о \sigma \iota \neq \epsilon$ '$\delta ц \mu \nu o \iota$ ) rarely served on ship-board : aürot must therefore include $\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon s$

 a demonstration in force.' ảvayayóvtes, active for more frequent middle form ; cf. vil. $5^{2}$ § I .
 particular with general statement cf. II §2 (note). For optat. oin
 fin. would suggest construction with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon t s$ (Böckh).
§ 2. тòv $\pi a \rho \alpha ́ \lambda o \gamma o v$, mascul. form of subst. ; cf. I. 78 § I.

aúroiss, 'initial' dative, in position, 'subjective' in construction, 'when they found that.' ä $\mu \mathrm{a}$, there was a lack of combined action (cf. $\mathrm{I}_{5}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi \dot{\omega} \rho \eta \sigma a \nu$. The first кai in comexion with $\tau \epsilon$, the second and thirc. coordinating $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ and $\dot{\eta} \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau o$ of circumstantial $\dot{\omega}$ clause, the fourth emphatic. For personal construction, $\nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s \eta^{\eta} \gamma \gamma^{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{\lambda} \tau 0$, cf. Vini, 79 § 6.
ai rpıákovra, Steup (fullowed by Class, and St.) rejects the statement on the ground that the facts mentioned in the Mytilenacan speech $(1,3 \S 3)$ could not at a later period have come as news to the Lacedaemonians. Agrain, in $7 \S 3$, Asopius is said to have sent back the main portion of his fleet. He therefore holds that the new fleet (cккarob ) is meant. But there may have been a division of this large fleet, partly for raids on the Laconian coast, partly to watch the Isthmus of Corinth.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \frac{\kappa}{}(\delta a$, Didot, from Strabo X. $2 \S 2$, explains as comprising the greater part of Laconia, and all Messenia.
§ 3. ö $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \in \mu \not \subset \sigma \sigma \iota$, the old Ionic use of subjunctive in such final clatuses has now become a use of the past; cf. Hdt. use of ös with subj.

катд́ то́ $\lambda \in \iota s$, Greek periphrasis, in default of Lat. distributive '-tim.'
є่ $\pi \eta ์ \gamma \gamma є \lambda \lambda o v$, cf. v. 47 § $5:$ so also $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota a \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ II. $85 \S 3$.
$\epsilon \pi เ \pi \lambda \in v ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, 'to sail in charge,' II. $\sigma$ § $\$ 2$ (Cl.).

(For the difficulties involved in the narrative, see Appendix.)

## CHAPTER XVII.

§ 1. €́v rois $\pi \lambda \in i \sigma \tau a i \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$, the phrase serves (o) restrict mather than intensify the superlative, 'one of the most mumerous,' not 'the most numerous of all.' I Ierbst, Philol. XVI. 346 , regards it as denoting prominence 'inter pares' (cf. 1. $6 \$ 2$, and vid. Krïg. Gir. Gix. s 49, 10). The form $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau 0 i s$ is retained even with feminine.

тоîs, demonstrative, cf. $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o v, ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ́ . ~ \delta \eta ́ ~ e m p h a t i c . ~$
ä $\mu a$, adverbial. av̉rois, construe with є่ $\gamma \in ́ \nu o \nu \tau o$. є̇vepyol, here=strictly ' iv ' $\rho \gamma \omega$ ' in opere occupatae, 'on actual service,' not merely available. This number of ships had been raised (cf. IV. $94 \S$ I) on actual service, 'placed in commission': cf. also IIerodotus, viri. 26.
 acceptance or rejection of Campe's $\eta$.

Xwpls $\delta \epsilon \in$, sc. $\eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$, cf. I. 6I § 2.
Потєiסalav, presumably 70; cf. I. 57 § 6, I. 61 §े 4.
ä入入oss X ${ }^{\omega}$ piots, 40 at Lesbos, 12 at Naupactus, cf. 7 § 3, if we follow Stahl. (See Appendix.)

§ 3. roûro, with reference to context, 'the maintenance of all these ships.'
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha^{2}$ Пoтєь́alas, Naber reads accus., as the lown had fallen: for brachylogy cf. Cobet, Var. Lect. 180.
imavウ் $\lambda \omega \sigma \epsilon$ ，＇graclually wasted，＇＇sapped＇（note mangmented form of mss．）．See St．，Qu．Gr．p． 60.

є́фpoúpouv，there was a $\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta o \lambda o s ~ t o ~ g u a r d . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ a j \pi o t \epsilon \ell \chi \iota \sigma \iota s ~$ see 1．$\sigma_{4} \S$ I：they were now in actual possession．No need in either case for $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \phi \rho$ ．（Herw．）．$\delta(\delta \rho \alpha \times \mu o l$ ，an excessive rate，as compared with the later rpífßo入ov：cf．Poppo＇s note．
aútê kal úmๆpétn，note omission of article，＇for self and servant．＇

$\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a v \epsilon$ ，the evolution of singular from plural Cl ．notes as without parallel in Thuc．Herw．，Stud．p．39，proposes $\tau \iota s$. Cf．vi． 31 § 4. But see Stein on Hdt．1．195；Bernhardy，Synt． 419 ；cf．Plat．，Kep．

$\delta \iota є \pi о \lambda เ о ́ \rho \kappa \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，＇served throughout the siege．＇$\pi \rho \circ a \pi \eta \hat{\eta} \lambda 0$ ov，i．e． before the capitulation，cf．1． 65 § 3．No mention is made of the forces of Hagnon or Cleopompus，who only made ineffectual attempts to storm the place（cf．11．58）．

тòv av̉тòv $\mu$ เซ⿴óv，i．e．one drachma per diem．
＇$\oint \in \rho \rho 0 \mathrm{v}$ ，act．for middle，cf．V1． 24 § 3，＇received．＇Thuc．does not use the middle in this sense．

тобaûтal $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ，emphatic $\delta \dot{\eta}$, cf． 113 § 6.
（On the whole chapter see Appendix．）

## CHAPTER XVIII．


Mị́धupvav，on northern coast of Lesbos，some 60 stadia from main－ land．This city refused to join the Lesbian revolt from Athens，but fell into the hands of Sparta just before the battle of Arginusae．From this time its importance seems to have diminished．

ผ́s $\pi \rho \circ \delta \delta \delta o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ ，present participle with future reference，as ex－ pressing likelihood or intention（Goodw．M．T．§ 32 ），＇in expectation of its betrayal，＇lit．＇as on the point of betrayal．＇
 cf．I． 74 § 4 －
＇Avri $\sigma \sigma \eta \mathrm{y}$ ，cf．Ov．Met．xv．287．From Livy，xlv．3r，we learn that it was destroyed and its inhabitants removed to Methymna for having sheltered and provisioned Antenor．Cf．also Pliny，N．H．II． S9．Antissa，Eresos，and Pyrrha were all dependencies of Mytilene．
 suggests）by leaving garrisons of mercenaries．

 paratactic construction of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$ and $\lambda o l \pi o l$ with $\pi \lambda \eta \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu T \in S$ of. supra 13 §3, ai $\nu \eta ิ \in s \ldots a l \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$...ai $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$.
 epexegesis, in lieu of infinitive, Kriig. well compares Aristoph. Nulues
 of verbal adjective (part.) with verbal substantive (infin.). The partic. constrn. is however suggested by the verb of perception mup $\begin{aligned} & \text { avópevol: }\end{aligned}$ note coordination of presents, $\pi \nu \nu \theta a \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota, \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \iota$.
elpyєtv, without object expressed.
Máxŋ̄s, cf. infra, 28, 33-36, 49, 50.
§4. oi $\delta \in ́$, demonstrative. aủтєрє́тal, cf. I. $10 \$ 6$, 'working their own passage.' $\quad \dot{v} v$ ки́к $\lambda \omega$, Thuc, uses кúki $\omega$ or $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa \cup ́ \kappa \lambda \omega$ indifferently.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{e} \tau \epsilon \backslash \chi \in L$, the usual practice, but here possibly specified because of the double wall at Plataea.
'̇ $\pi i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ картєр $\omega v$, 'upon commanding positions.'
$\epsilon^{\epsilon} \gamma \kappa a \tau \omega \kappa о \delta о \mu \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$, Cl. retains the perfect and explains by reference to previous historic present $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \kappa \nu 0 \bar{\nu} \nu \alpha a$. In regarding the erection of these фpoupia as a preliminary he seems at fault. The perfect might perhaps mean that the фpoúpia were still standing at the time of Thuc.'s
 an ambiguous $\pi \epsilon \phi u ́ t \epsilon \cup \tau a \imath$ (but see crit. note). These фpoúpıa were perhaps mere quarters for фúdakes, as at Plataea. Arn. cites Caesar, Bell. Civ. III. 37.
§ в. катà кра́тоs, 'acriter.'
 similated to form of genitive, 'both by sea and land,' a variant on катà $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$, but not to be construed on analogy of $\epsilon \ell_{\rho} \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \kappa$.
$\eta \not \rho X \in \tau о \quad \gamma\{\gamma v \in \sigma \theta a$, 'began to set in': the reading seems doubtful to Herwerden.

## CHAPTER XIX.

§ 1. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \epsilon$ о́ $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ ot, i.e. to supplement ( $\pi$ pós) their own contributions or the ordinary sources of revenue.

кal av́rol, as compared with oi $\xi v{ }^{\mu} \mu \mu a \chi o l$.
то́тє $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$, the statement is ambiguous: the evidence of Antiphon and Isaeus is against the assumption that this was the first occasion on which such special contribution was levied. $\pi \rho$ ŵrov may imply (1) that
this was the first occasion on which so large a sum as 200 talents was raised by ciopopá，（2）that this was the first occasion in this war，（3）that the Athenians＇started＇the subsciption by this contribution，before appealing to the allies．eio申opá was a special war－tax，and distinct from ordinary $\lambda$ 笛тoupriat；e．g．a trierarch was liable．Brickh resgards the sum here named as pointing to an assen－ment of I per cent．

ठเakóvıa тd́入аvтa，appositional to єi $\sigma \phi$ орáv．
apyupodóyous，cf．IV． 50 \＆ 1 ；such a method of collecting arrears of tribute was sometimes employed（Jowett）．
$\Lambda v \sigma\llcorner\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \alpha$ ，the name is common in Inscriptions．The Lysicles here mentioned is，however，possibly the same as the $\pi \rho \circ \beta a \tau o \pi \omega \lambda \eta s$ of Aristophanes，Eq．I32．He married Aspania after the death of Pericles．
 pá申ot．$\pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \tau 0 \nu$ ，the mention of $\mathfrak{a}$ commanders to 12 ships suggests the difficult nature of the commission．

$\tau \hat{\eta}$ S Kaplas，note the use of article with first subst．only；cf． V ． 33 § 1 т $\hat{s}$＇A $\rho \kappa a \delta i a s$ és Mappaviovṣ（ $\mathrm{Kr}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ）．

Muoûvros，on the Maeander，eventually ceded by Philip of Macedon to the Magnesians．The smallest of the 12 Ionian cities，and even in Strabo＇s day so reduced as to be incorporated with Miletus，probalbly on account of the frequent inundations to which the place was liable （cf．Smith，Dict．Geo．）．

Kavdios，this Sandis or Sandes was a son of the Persian Hercules． For the Ionic genitive of．＇Aфútıos，「oáslos．（Meinek．Herm．3． $3^{6} 3$ ； Cobet N．L．338．）
＇Avautஸ̂v，Anaea（or Annaea）placed by Stephanus oppusite Samos：if so it must have been in Lydia．From Thuc．11I．32，IV．75， viif．ig it may have been on or near the coast，and in or near the valley of the Maeander．At least it was near enough to annoy Samos．（Smith， Dict．Geo．）
$\tau \hat{\eta} s \not{ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta s$ ，the word ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta s$ is wanting in some of the better MSS． Haack compares Tac．Hist．IV．${ }_{5} 6$ ，＇legatis interfectis ceterum vulgus facile accessurum＇；cf．Soph．Philoct． 38.

## CHAPTER XX．

§ 1．（For the account of the siege cf．Pseud．－Dem．Neaera § io3， and Diodorus Xir． 56 ．It is hard to reconcile Diorlorus＇account of the losses sustained in the assault with the Thucydidean narrative．）
 sulstantive ímı $\lambda \in i \psi \epsilon \iota$ тô̂ $\sigma$ ítov (Cl.). This pusition of $\tau \epsilon$ would prepare us for some other sequence. Klotz (de part. II. 748) holds that this $\tau \epsilon$ 'traiectum' is due either to anacoluthon or to the use of compound for simple expression. The aorist $\epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \iota \pi \dot{b} v \tau$ calls for no change, although Naber finds sufficient misery for the Platacans in a present, $\epsilon \pi i \lambda e i \pi o y t \iota$.
$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho$ ( $\alpha$, 'help,' the old Ionic use; cf. I. 25 § 1 , 'as there was no hope of succour or visible means of escape.' For derivation cf. Curt. Gk. lit. I. 4 r9, root 'or,' as in bpouat, oúpos. tipmpós, 'honour-guard' (cf. $\theta$ upcopós, door-guard $)=\beta$ oŋ $\theta$ ós, 'champion.'
eirrßoudevovotv, frequent with verbal substantive, but only here in
 80 of them.

BıárafӨal, 'vi viam facere,' used without direct object, unless indeed we supply one by implication from context; cf. Iv. 20 § 3 .
'̇б $\eta \gamma \eta \sigma a \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o v$, cf. vi. $90 \S$ I, = 'auctor erat,' 'suggested.' For singular

 i.e. 'praefectus,' not 'dux eruptionis.'
$\mathrm{kal}=$ 'etiam,' as well as 'auctor consilii.'

 'metu quodam.'


 not escaped Krriger ; 'according to the following scheme,' a logical rather than syntactical connexion. Hude asks why $\dot{\varepsilon} \theta \epsilon$ גovral? 'Volunteers for a forlorn hope' is a phrase familiar enough in modern warfare even. From Dem. Neaera § $103, \delta \iota a \kappa \lambda \eta \rho \omega \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu o l$, Hude finds occasion for some 'sortitio' here. His proposal to place a full stop at $\xi^{\xi} \sigma \delta \delta \varphi$, and to connect by $\bar{\epsilon} \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu \delta \hat{\epsilon}$, is ingenious but needless.
 pound ; cf. II. 76 § I $\xi v \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \mu \eta \rho a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o r$. From Plato, Theaet. I $5+$ A, тò $\pi$ aрадєт $\rho \circ \tilde{v}_{\mu \epsilon \nu} \nu$, the addition of an accus. of object seems needless.


 of the wall had been left rough, thus shewing the courses of sun-baked bricks. The so-called 'bricks' were probably mere lumps of clay.

Badham's omission of oik commits us to the trade vocabulary of l'ollux (cf. Duker's note).
 and suspicious side by side with ápetرoìvtes. Mark the parataxis,


 with Thucydidean syntax.
és ô éßoúdovтo, 'ea muri pars in quam tendehant' (St.), treating тєíरous as partitive grenitive. is ő need not $=\ddot{\sigma} \sigma o \nu$, nor need the кatá
 ßoúloual,' supplies léval. C. F. Smith (Am. J. Phil. x. 209) suggests
 this interpretation, e.g. Aesch. Choeph. 224, Hom. Il. III. 268. The Schol. explains ès ö̉ éß. $\theta \in \hat{\epsilon} v a \iota ~ \kappa \lambda / \mu \alpha \kappa a s$.
§ 4. oút $\omega$ s, 'more supra dicto.' $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \lambda$ ใv $\theta$ ov, generic article. Herw. explains as éká $\begin{gathered}\text { t } \\ \text { s, cf. vir. } \\ 6 \\ \text { § } 2 .\end{gathered}$

The parallel case of a Roman soldier discovering the height of the Syracusan walls by the like means is familiar to all readers of Livy: cf. Livy XXv. 23. In imitation in Procopius B. G. 1. 22 (Gottl.).

## CHAPTER XXI.


Svo rovis $\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\beta$ ódous, the usual practice, when an attack in rear was possible. Cf. Liv. v. I (Bl.). $\pi$ pós, 'on the side of,' cf. IV. 31 § 1.
$\epsilon i ँ \tau \operatorname{ts} \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \pi i o t$, coordination of adverbial with prepositional structure.
§ 2. тò oúv $\mu \in \tau \alpha \xi v$ v, cither ( I ) with Stahl accept Cobet's heroic remedy of expunging the words oi $\dot{\kappa \kappa \kappa a i \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha ~} \pi \delta \delta \epsilon \epsilon$, as a gloss, regarding $\tau \delta \mu \epsilon \tau a \xi \dot{\xi}$ as an accusative of limitation, 'in this intervening space,' or (2) retaining them, make tò $\mu \in \tau \neq \xi \xi^{v}$ subject to $\dot{\psi} \kappa о \delta \partial \mu \eta \tau 0, ~ r e g a r d i n g ~$
 being used predicatively with verb of distribution; cf. Thompson, G. Syn. § 87). Fur such false agreement cf. 98 § 3. 'This space which had been allotted to the sentries for quarters was covered with buildings which were continuous.' Classen, while regarding oi є́ккаібєєка $\pi \delta \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ as



 lates itself to the nearer substantive.

It is quite possible that the termination of the participle - $\epsilon \nu a$ has


§ 3. $\delta \iota a ̀$. $\delta \in ́ \kappa \alpha ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \lambda \xi \epsilon \omega v$, 'interiectis decem pinnis,' 'at distances (intervals) of'; cf. I1. 29 § 2 סtà $\tau 0 \sigma$ oúrou.
 parative (Poppo).
kal oi aúrot, ral expunged by Herbst and Classen, to give oi auiroi predicative force (cf. 11. $36 \$ 2$ ), 'extending without a break.' There was no room to pass on either side of the turrets, as the wall face of the turret was a continuation of the outer face of the wall itself.
$\delta_{i}$ aủ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \omega \nu$, there was only one central gangway (or archway) through the turret itself. Poppo comments on the contrast of $\pi$ ápoōos with סiodos.
§ 4. Xє $\mathrm{X} \mu \omega \dot{\nu} \in i \eta \eta$, optative of indefinite frequency.
votєpós, not predicative, but in agreement with $\chi \in \epsilon \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$, 'stormy weather with rain,' 'dirty weather' (Arn.).
$\sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \omega \nu \omega \nu$, 'roofed in.'
$\boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon} \mathrm{i} \times \mathrm{s}$, collective, as including the two $\pi \epsilon \rho(\beta) \lambda o u$.


## CHAPTER XXII.

§ 1. тарєбкєv́aoto, impersonal ; a favourite Thuc. mannerism with perf. and plup. of passive verb. au่ ois, dative of agent (cf. Goodw. G. G. § 188), but reducible to subjective explanation.

Xetpépiov viठatı, causal dative, 'by reason of,' although it might re-
 $\phi \quad \mu \epsilon \quad \nu \eta$. The rain (üjati), snow, wind, and darkness, all favourable 'ad furta belli' (Wasse).
$\epsilon \xi \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma a v$, note the inceptive imperfect as contrasted with the completed action of aorists $\delta \iota \epsilon \beta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \mu \xi \xi \alpha \nu$.
oï $\pi \epsilon \rho, \pi \epsilon \rho$ is emphatic. The $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \eta \gamma \eta \tau a i$ of 20 are meant.
$\tau \alpha ́ \phi \rho o v$, the inner moat (nearer Plataea). $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \epsilon \in \mu \kappa \xi \alpha v$, in weaker se:me, 'approached,' 'came up to.' ávà tò oкoteเvóv, 'among,' i.e. 'amidnt' the darkness, 'in the all-pervading darkness' (Cl.).
ov $\pi \rho \circ$ öठóvt $\omega v$ av่ $\hat{\omega} v$, this use of genitive absolute, with reference
to oljject or subject of main sentence, is in distinct contrast to the I.atin use. Cf. 13 § 7 , and see Thompson, (i. Synt. \$ 152.
 lit. 'blustering against,' Lat. 'obstrepere.' Cf. Livy xxiv. $4^{6}$ 'imber custodes suffugere in tecta coegit, sonitugue primo largioris procellae strepitum molientium portam exaudiri prohibuit' : 'in consequence of the boisterous wind drowning the noise of their approach' (lit. ik = resulting from, consequent upon).
§ 2. ő $\pi \omega \mathrm{s} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ö $\pi \lambda \alpha \mu \eta$, the position of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is due to the participial expression kpovóueva, which has superseded a coordination of

 position.

$\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i} \mathrm{~s} \tau \hat{n}$ óт $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i} \sigma \epsilon \iota$, 'armatura expediti,' 'lightly equipped in point of accoutrement.' The dative is a dative of limitation. Cf. Madvig, Gk. Syn. § 40.

тòv d́pıotєpòv móda, Schol. ôıà коvфótทта. Cf. Virg. Aen. Vil. 689

## ' vestigia nuda sinistri

Instituere pedis.'
(Duk. who also quotes from Macrob. Sat. v. 19; Sil. Ital. Xili. f21.) Vegetius recommends that the left foot be left bare for the discharge of missiles, the right for using the sword.
 that is to say against the mud': the articular clause appended in explanation, i.e. to give them a safe foot-hold against the (slippery) clay.
 incessus.' Cf. Sall. Jug. 94 (Poppo).
§ 3. $\mu \in \tau a \pi u ́ p \gamma เ o v(i . q . \mu \epsilon \sigma o \pi u ́ p \gamma เ o \nu)$, 'a space between the turrets.' The cunstruction $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \epsilon \mu \sigma \gamma \sigma \nu$ катá suggests the analogy of $\pi \rho \circ \sigma-$ ßá入入єเข катá, катd́ marking 'point of attack.'

є́p $\ddagger \mu \circ$, 'propter hiemem' (Haack). Cf. 2 I § 3.
$\alpha^{d} v^{\prime} \beta a \iota v o v \ldots \alpha^{\prime} v \in ́ \beta \eta$, this contrast of imperf. with aor. is wisely retained by Stahl. (For various readings and punctuation see crit. note.)
 make themselves masters of the $\delta$ oiooor. $\quad \mathfrak{\xi} \xi$, supplementing the predication, 'next came his followers, six making their way to either turret'
（i．e．to the turrets to right and left of the $\mu \in \tau a \pi u ́ p \gamma t o v)$ ．exkivol，their comrades，＇the leading files．＇
＇$\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \delta \omega^{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon t \nu$ ，not only＇were intending，＇＇but were intended．＇ Cf．parallel use of $\begin{gathered} \\ \delta \\ \epsilon \\ \text { ．}\end{gathered}$
 cival，not $\gamma^{l} \gamma \nu \in \sigma 0 a l$ ，implying a nearness so immediate as to exclude transition．
§ 4．avti入a $\mu$ ßavó $\mu \in v o s$, without object；construe $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ with кat － $\beta a \lambda \epsilon . \quad к \epsilon \rho \alpha \mu \delta \alpha$, for more usual кє́ $\rho \alpha \mu о$（Pollux）．廿óфov，no actual need for Cobet＇s correction $\delta o u ̂ \pi o v . ~ \psi 6 \phi$ os will represent any indistinct sound．
§ ธ．ßоク่，＇an alarm．＇Cf．кпри́ббєเข ßоグข．
 sheltering in the turrets．$\tau \epsilon \bar{i} X 0 s=\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \dot{\lambda} \xi_{\xi} \epsilon \varsigma$ ，and will include both $\pi \epsilon \rho \hat{L}-$ $\beta 0 \lambda o l$ ．Possibly $\dot{\epsilon} \pi l$ may $=\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$（an Ionic confusion which lingers in Thuc．）＇in suam quisque stationem．＇（Popp．）
ó $\tau t \hat{\eta} v$ ，mark the realisation；hence the mood of actual fact，in－ dicative．

mporé $\beta a \lambda o v$ ，aorist，probably the right reading．This feint had been made＇pari passu＇with the attempt at escalade．
 $207 \epsilon \notin \mu \pi a \lambda \iota \nu \check{\eta}$ ．Cobet＇s $\epsilon \kappa \kappa \tau o \Delta ँ \mu \pi a \lambda \iota \nu \tilde{\eta} \eta \geqslant$ is surely an error in judgment． In such comparative structures the tendency of the language is to brachy－ logy ；cf．Cicero＇meliorem invenies quam reliquisti＇（not＇quam quem＇）． See Shilleto on Thuc．1． 60.

ข่ $\pi \epsilon \rho \in ́ \beta a \iota v o v, ~ ' w e r e ~ e n g a g e d ~ i n ~ c r o s s i n g, ' ~ ' t r y i n g ~ t o ~ c r o s s . ' ~ '$
ク̈кцбтa voûv＇＇Xolev，＇to distract their attention as far as possible＇； a litotes．
§6．$\mu \grave{\varepsilon} v$ ov̉v，continuative，and slightly inferential（ov $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \eta{ }^{\eta} \delta \epsilon \epsilon$ ö $\tau \iota$ $\hat{\eta} \nu)$ ．Perhaps the $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad o \hat{v} \nu$ may be separable，$\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ finding its direct answer in $\delta \epsilon$ ．＇Thus，though bewildered，they kept their posts，but no one ventured to quit his own particular station．＇


 （Plato Phil． 15 D）；the confusion of the＇one and many，＇the general and particular．In Demosth．P＇antaenet．§ 55 the correction $\dot{\varepsilon}$ autois for $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \alpha u \tau \hat{\omega}$ seems very doubtful．

 wits' end to conjecture what was happening.'
§ 7. oi tplakóotol, article, as expressing fraction of whole, or as definitely anticipating relative, see Arnold's note and cf. 3 § 4 .
€l' тı ס́óo, 'si forte necesse esset,' 'in case of emergency.'
${ }^{\prime} \xi \omega \omega$, i.e. on the side of the Peloponnesian lines nearer Athens. The wall doubtless had its $\pi v \lambda i o ̂ e s ~ a n d ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma a \gamma \omega \gamma a i(v i l i . ~ 92 § 1) ; c f .2 I$ § 1.

фриктоl тодє́رнol, as contrasted with $\phi i \lambda \iota o \iota$, which were given by keeping the torches at rest ( $\dot{\eta} \rho \epsilon \mu$ ои̃гтs) ; the signal of an enemy's attack was given by waving them ( $\sigma \epsilon 6$ ó $\mu \in \nu 0 t$ ). Arnold doubts whether the art of signalling was quite as much in its infancy as Poppo implies: vid. II. 94, Vili. 102. In Polybius we find a complete code.
§ 8. тapavîनXov, observe $\pi a \rho a ́, ~ ' c o n t r a . ' ~$


 Sometimes the optative precedes the subjunctive; cf. vi. $96 \S 3$ öm ${ }^{6}$ єïךбav, каl クั้ $\tau \iota \delta \in ́ \eta \pi \alpha \rho a \gamma i \gamma \nu \omega \nu \tau \alpha l$.

As to the true relation of subj. to optat. 'adhuc sub iudice lis est.' On the one hand we have the distinction of 'will' from 'wish,' on the other hand the discrimination of 'more immediate' futurity from 'less immediate' futurity. On the first assumption we have the mood of will yielding to the mood of wish, on the second we have the distinction of a primary and secondary object in view, or a $\pi$ á $\rho \in \rho \gamma o v$ subordinated to an E $\rho$ pov. The distinction of wish and will fails, more or less, in ordinary conditional sentences, in potential use of optative, and in ordinary causal clauses. The distinction of nearer or remoter future, on the contrary, not only derives support from Sanskrit, but also from Homeric usage.

In the present passage the object was to prevent relief by confusing the signals, but the confusion of the signals was a necessary preliminary to the prevention of relief. But whether these are regarded as two distinct issues, or whether the second is an outcome of the first, appears from comparison of passages extremely doubtful. In ö $\pi \omega$ s with subj. we see the expression of the actual form of thought of the agent (Goodw. M. T. p. ${ }^{115}$ ), in the optative we may perhaps find a reflexion of the 'ideal condition,' the wish being 'father to the thought'; i.e. we have an interchange of $\eta^{\prime \prime} \pi \omega s$ with $\epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \pi \omega s$. But the realisation may possibly be analogous to the 'representation of conditional structures,' as protasis may be realised at the expense of apodosis, or apodosis at the cost of
protasis : so will may be made to give way to wish and wish to will, the nearer to the remoter or the remoter to the nearer (see Goodiwin, M. T. Appendix I.; Gildersleeve in Am. Journal of Philol. iv. 426).
$\pi \rho i v$ ávri入áßoıvтo, 'until they should have reached' (=fut. perf.); c IV. I28§3.
$\pi \rho i v$, essentially negative in force, hence with strong affinity for the aorist, the tense of simple occurrence (Am. J. Phil. II. 466). The use of $\pi \rho i \nu$ with optat. merely represents the use with subjunctive of direct form of sentence (Goodw. M. T. § 643). The construction is restricted to negative sentences (Goodw. $\S 635$ ).

тov̂ do $\sigma a \lambda o v ̂ s$, one of the many instances of Thuc.'s use of neut. adj. or participle for abstract noun.

## CHAPTER XXIII.

 the larger subject, oi $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \beta a i v o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, is eventually resolved into a oi $\mu \epsilon \epsilon \nu \ldots$
 being parenthetical. $r \in$ prepares us for the kal sequence; the first кai



 for the Plataeans who were meanwhile engaged in crossing (now that their leading files had already mounted and, after cutting down the sentries, had made themselves masters of either turret), they were watching the passages through the towers, in which they had themselves taken their stand, to prevent any attempt at rescue through the archways, and by dint of planting ladders from the wall against the turret, and mounting thereon several men, were some of them holding in check by missiles both from above and beneath those who attempted a rescue, while others, the main body, were meanwhile making their way across.'

The difficulty lies in (r) $\tau \epsilon \ldots$...ki sequence, which might suggest a co-
 which suggested itself to Poppo, but leaves us in difficulties with the two
 and imavab. to the main clause, thus postponing the separation of the main subject into the $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \epsilon$ clauses.

For a simple instance of the like construction of. i3 $£ 4$; for a more complex case see 1v. 73. Even Cicero does not disdain the use; cf. de Fin. III. § 2 I 'honesta facta, ipsumque honestum, quo ommia referenda sunt...tamen id solum expetendum est.'

On the prevalence of the participial clement, cf. Am. Journ. Phil. IX. 137 .
ws of, either temporal or circumstantial, probably the former.
éкат́fov, at cither end of the $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi \dot{\rho} \rho \gamma \iota \circ$.
av́rol, in place of the original фúגarєs. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} v a$ énıßoך $\theta \in \hat{\epsilon} v$, a strained epexegetical infin. suggestive of $\tau 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \dot{\eta}$ of purpose: cf. 11. 69 § I.
axò roû teix practically one level between the two turrets: the $\beta$ paqui reĩos by con-
 i.e. from the roof of the turrets as well as from the סiojot: observe throughout the passage the aorists as preliminary to imperfects.
oi $\delta \in ́ \ldots$ oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lous, articular clause in explanation, 'alii, qui plures erant.'
 out from $\Delta \mathbf{I}$ syllable, was replaced in margin, and so transposed; but cf. IV. 39 § 2, тoîs $\epsilon \in \pi \lambda \notin o v \sigma \iota ~ \lambda \alpha ́ \theta p a$.
$X^{\epsilon}$ ( $\lambda$ ovs, i.e. 'labrum fossae'; cf. Dobree Adv. I. 33 'puto fuisse inter murum et fossam,' 'the brink of the outer trench' (moat).

 For such resolution of the simple verbal expression, cf. 2 § $3 \mu \eta \nu v \tau a i$ ri $\gamma \nu 0 \nu \tau a l$. The optative here is iterative, and the $\epsilon i$ almost temporal in signification.
 the lines, parallel with the external $\pi \epsilon \rho i \beta o \lambda o s:$ the holders of the turrets were dealing with those on the higher level.
§ 3. oi $\tau \in \lambda_{\epsilon u \tau a i o l, ~ t h e ~ o i ~ m u s t ~ n o t ~ b e ~ d i s t u r b e d . ~ K r u ̈ g e r ~ r i g h t l y ~}^{\text {n }}$ treats it as appositional. The Plataeans holding the סioooc and miproc could cover the descent of their comrades, but would have none to cover their own.
§ 4. ék тoû okóтovs, Herw. calls attention to the later neuter form $\sigma$ ко́тous. Krïg. quotes from Xen. Anab. Vir. 4 § $18 . \mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda o v=$ $\sigma a \phi \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ (the comparison is suppressed, $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \nu \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\nu} \hat{\eta} \hat{\epsilon} \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \tau o$ ).
$\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu v \alpha$, 'the unprotected (unshielded) side'; cf. v. Io § 4, Livy xxiI. 50, 'dextrum latus, quod patebat' : see Thuc.'s comment on the effect of such $\gamma \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \omega \sigma \iota s$, v. 7 I § .
§ 5. $\omega \sigma \tau \epsilon \phi$ ф́vovot, indicative of actual result, although the infinitive need not exclude such actual result: note historic present.

кal oi v̈бтatot, kal intensive, 'even the very last' (rearmost of all).
ßıaíns, 'under pressure,' 'hard pressed'; cf. II. 33 § 3.
 the addition of $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ only makes the epexegesis more specific, ' not strong enough to bear.' The order of the words suggests that ou $\beta \epsilon \beta \beta a l o s$ is used for $\dot{\alpha} \beta \notin \beta a l o s$.
$\alpha^{2} \pi \eta \lambda$ เம́rov, the genitive is explained by Kruis. from Loljeck, Ajax So5, on temporal analogy. liut the nakedness of the structure, and the absence of substantival participle (a rare feature in Gk. absolute constructions) makes one suspect the omission of a preposition, e.g. $i \pi o$ in constr. with $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon$,
 note. I quite agree with Classen that the text shews signs of some disturbance or omission.

тowov́rw déf $\mu \omega$, not sociative, but cansal dative, 'by reason of the wind from that quarter.'
 the participle, 'of which they could only just keep their heads clear in crossing.' $\mu$ ódıs, 'vix et ne vix quidem.'
 ס̀t'фvyov. $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \tau o$ is here used in the old Ionic sense 'proved successful' (cf. $\xi v \nu \notin \beta \eta=$ 'bene cessit'). This substantival formation suggests a later period of Greek: it is a form in - $\sigma$ ts consisting mainly of nouns of action, and pointing to some aoristic formation, e.g. $\lambda \in i \psi \psi t, \delta \in i \hat{i} \iota s, \zeta \in \hat{i} \bar{\zeta} i s$ (cf. Vict. Henry, Compar. Gr. p. I30). Perhaps some inceptive force lurks in this termination, 'even their attempt at escape proved successful, mainly because of the severity of the weather' (violence of the storm).
$\mu \bar{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu, ~ ' m o r e ~ d i r e c t l y, ' ~ ' m o r e ~ i m m e d i a t e l y . ' ~$

## CHAPTER XXIV.

§ 1. á $\theta$ póol, 'agmine facto,' 'conslobati' ( $\xi v \sigma \tau \rho a \phi t \nu \tau \epsilon s$ as opposed to ঠєєбтариє́vol).
'Avסpoкрátovs, cf. Hdt. 1X. 25 ; I'lut. Arist. XI. (p. 326) where he is
 thickly planted.
 its omission is more than probable $(\overline{\mathrm{A}})$, but such cases are exceptional
 Stahl，Quaest．Gramm．c．r．For the double infmitive of．Plato，Rep．

$\sigma \phi$ âs，Plataeans．aúrov́s，Peloponnesians；cf． 3 I § I．
$\Delta \rho$ ós кєфа入ás，the т $\rho \in i$ is кєфa入ai of Hdt．IN． 39 ：a roadl led through the pass in a S．E．direction，by way of Eleutherae and the Thriasian plain．There are two roads passing over Cithaeron and converging on Plataea；one from Eleusis and Athens，passing Oenoe，Eleutherae， and Panactum，and debouching on the Plataean plain near Hysiae ：the other was the direct route from the Isthmus to Theles．It led from Megara，and crossed the ridge of Cithaeron about a mile to the west of the former，descending thence obliquely，along the flanks of the moun－ tain，upon Plataea．（Leake，N．Greece 11．334．）
 （sc．ó ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \nu$ ）．
 § 4，Soph．O．T． 728 vimootpaфєls，＇divertentes．＇
＇Epúgpas，some 30 stades from Plataea：note the accent，${ }^{\text {E }}$ Epu日par in Boeotia，＇Epu0pal in Asia（Schol．ad Il．II．499）．
＇Yoıás，a Boeotian deme，but not within Plataean territory（Schol．）： it was some 26 or 27 stades E．of Plataea．
$\lambda a \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o l, ~ c f . ~ 22 ~ s u b ~ f i n . ~ ' i v \tau i \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \iota \nu \tau o, ~ ' a s s e q u i, ' ~ ' h a v i n g ~ r e a c h e d . ' ~$
$\pi \lambda \epsilon t o ́ v \omega v$, i．e． 220 ；cf． 20 § $2: \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \mathrm{s}, 7$ men．
ciol yáp，a stereotyped phrase，and so retaining present furm even with historical tenses（cf．Lat．＇nescio qui＇）．

ข่ $\pi \epsilon \rho \beta a i v \in L \nu$, i．e．＇before attempting to cross．＇
тоگ̆óтŋs，appositional to $\epsilon i$ is，＇one，an archer．＇
 quarters．＇$\beta \circ \eta \theta \epsilon l a s . ~ C f . ~ 22 § 7 . ~$
 tion of participle in agreement with subject with genitive absolute．For the like structure cf． 53 § 2 ．
rois $v \in \times$ pois，a strained＇dativus commodi．＇The dead are re－
 animo habebant pacisci，＇＇iam paciscebantur，＇＇they were on the point of arranging burial for their dead．＇The text is unsatisfactory：the word àaipeबıs，＇gathering，＇＇collecting，＇＇picking up，＇is only used in four other instances in all Thuc．：without substantive，in dependence， cf．II．34，V．II，or with genitive，III．II3，or with preposition $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ ，vir． $\S 72$ ．The＇butcher＇s bill＇of the siege was not a heavy one．From II．

78 we know that 480 was the total number of the garrison. In iII. 20 we find 220 taking part in the sally, of whom 212 escaped to Athens, one being taken prisoner, 7 finding 'discretion the better part of valour.' In 68 § 3 we read that 200 Plataeans and 25 Athenians were executed. The siege then cost in all some 43 or 44 lives. Naber doubts the credibility of the narrative, e.g. 'who, amidst the darkness and confusion, would note the capture of a single roğorns?' Again, 'how shall we reconcile the statement $\dot{\omega}$ ovoocis $\pi \in p l e \sigma t \iota$ with the fact that all escaped?' Surely such criticism is as inconsistent as it is inconclusive.

## CHAPTER XXV.

§ 1. © $\Lambda$ aкє $\delta a \iota \mu$ óvios, cf. III. 100 § 2. The use of article with ethnic names appears more or less arbitrary in Thuc.

Múppa, west of Lesbos, on the so-called Euripus of I'yrrha, some 80 stades from Mytilene, 100 from Cape Malea. Pliny, v. 39, says that the town was swallowed up by the sea. In Strabo's time it had ceased to exist, although the port and suburbs still remained.

XapáSpa, a torrent bed, interrupting the line of the Athenian works (Arn.).
$\pi \rho o \epsilon \delta \rho o l s$, probably the ä $\rho \chi 0 \nu \tau \epsilon$ of 27 § 3 . Cf, also vini. 67 § 3 , the $\pi \rho о є \sigma \tau \eta \kappa о ́ т \epsilon s$ or chief oligarchs of Mytilene.
 ${ }^{\ell} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu, 22$ § 4.
$\pi \rho о a \pi о \pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta \hat{\eta} v a r$, notice the change to infinitive with the supplementary $\tau \epsilon$ clause. The tendency in these ört structures is to lapse into the less formal accus. and infinitive. (Cf. viir. 76.)



 13 § 2. The Schol. explains $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \mu$ by $\pi \rho o a l \rho \in \sigma \iota s$, but it more nearly $=$ סıávota. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \xi \nu \mu \beta a i v \epsilon \iota v$, epexegetic, 'they turned their attention less to the Athenians, in the way of seeking terms.' For $\xi v \mu \beta a i v e t \nu$ used absolutely cf. IV. 8I § I: for epexegesis, cf. vii. 85 § 3 .
 aorist). Cf, I. I § I.

## CHAPTER XXVI.

§ 1. (The text of the opeuing sentence, thoust possibly tampered with, admits of explanation. In the absence of ms. authority to disprove it I have kept the usual text.)

тàs és Mvтi入خŋ́v $\eta \nu$, 'the ships intended for Mytilene.'
Sv́o кal teббара́коขта, vid. sup. chap. 16 and 20, 40 sail only. Poppo suggests a round number. The text appears correct. No mention has been made so far of the return of the two triremes sent with ambassadors from Mytilene (cf. chap. 4 and 5 ). In all probability they waited for the safe conroy afforded by this fleet of to sail. Diod. Sic. Xir. 55 mentions 45 .
vav́apxos, an official of the highest rank in Sparta. Cf. Arist. Pol.
 in charge was not always intended to retain command : cf. vili. $26 \$ 1$ (P. St.). Did Arist. exaggerate his powers?
 (cf. $28 \S$ ). It is evident that the dispratch of this fleet to Mytilene was intended to be synchronous with the invasion of Attica.
á $\mu \phi \quad \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \theta \in v$, i.e. both in Attica and Mytilene.
катат $\lambda$ єоv́бaıs, compound for simple (Cla:s.). Cf. II. IOZ § I.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi\llcorner\beta \quad \beta \theta \eta \quad \sigma \omega \sigma t v$, the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ of the compound is aggressive, 'send a furce agrainst.' The change of subjunctive to future indicative in final clauses is one which demands stronger proof.
§ 2. Cleomenes and Plcistoanax were both sons of Pausanias, the hero of the great battle of Plataea. For Pleistoanax of. 11. 21 § i, v. 16. He was still in exile. In III. 89 § I we find that Agis, the son of Archidamus, is the king in command. Cleomenes was probably dead by that time (cf. Class.).
$\nu \in \omega \tau \in \mathfrak{\rho} \rho \mathbf{v}, \mathrm{cf} . \mathrm{I} .107$ § I . $\pi$ atpòs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, this use of $\delta \epsilon$ in supplementary
 $\mu \delta \rho o y \delta{ }^{\prime}$ 'Opé $\sigma \tau 0 v$.
§ 3. ' $\beta \in \beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{ }$ кє, 'repullulare' (Dobree). Buttmann notes the rarity of reduplication before $\beta \lambda$ : but cf. $\beta \lambda a \sigma \phi \eta \mu \in \hat{\imath}$. The law of reduplication appears most capricious, e.g. in the case of Doric present $\delta \in \delta o l \kappa \omega$.

§ 4. $\pi \in v \in \sigma \epsilon \theta \theta a l$, the future in epexegesis is somewhat rare. The tense is due to sense of expectation lurking in $\dot{\epsilon} \pi / \mu \hat{\epsilon} \dot{\nu} 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$.

ஸ́s $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota \omega \mu \in \mathfrak{v} \omega \nu$, 'tanquam traiecissent.' For ís in absolute sequence, cf. 4 § 4 .
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta 0 \nu$, Schol. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \bar{\epsilon} \rho a \mu \circ \nu$, 'they extended their ravages.' T $\dot{\alpha}$ $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha ́$, construe with $\tau \epsilon \mu \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.


## CHAPTER XXVII.

§1. ai vท̂єs, promised by Salacthus; cf. 25 § r. ท̂kov= pluperfect.
 'were wasting time upon the voyage' (or perhaps $=\chi$ ро́oo $\delta<a \tau \rho \iota \beta i \eta \nu$
 sequence, and cf. vili. 76.
 oú ${ }^{\prime}$ ' aủtós), 'not even himself.'
$\dot{\circ} \pi \lambda(\xi \epsilon ⿺$, cf. vili. 25 § I, 'gravi armatura instruit,' i.c. with shield, spear and cuirass. ővea, as participle of historic $\hat{\eta} \nu$.

§ 3. ov̈rє...тє, vid. Thuc. passim. The ov affects the first clause only. Cf. Lat. neque...et (Roby, Lat. Gr. § 2241).
 concilia ${ }^{\dagger}$; such meetings were purely informal.
 àvaфavobv). тòv бitov, collective, 'the stock of provisions,' according to I Ierbst, imported, before the revolt, from Pontus and elsewhere.
$\eta \geqslant . . \geqslant$ probably point to a zeugma with $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v o \nu$.
aúcol, 'ipsi per se,' 'of themselves.' They would make terms of their own (independently of the oligarchs).
$\xi \nu \gamma x \omega \rho \eta{ }^{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta$ al, the midd. future is not only a feature of the compound, but of the simple verb. Cf. II. 20 § t.

## CHAPTER XXVIII.

§ 1. of èv тoîs $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma เ v$, not a unique instance. Cf. Demosth. p. 126 (Herw.); and 72 § 2 ol '̈́xovtes $\tau \dot{a} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha-t h e ~ o ̂ v \nu a t o l ~ o f ~ c a p . ~$ 27.
 M. T. § 904 . Note also the transition from present to future. кoเvn, i.e. in common with the leaders of the democrats.
mןós Пáx $\eta$ ra, subject, of course, to ratification of Athenian

$\omega \ddot{\omega} \varepsilon$, 'ea lege ut,' 'on the condition that.' Cf. the parallel uses of ' $\phi^{\prime} \hat{\psi} \tau \epsilon$ with infin. and ' $\epsilon \phi^{\prime}$ ofs $\alpha, \nu$ with optat.

The conditional element that we often find in $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ and ' $\dot{\phi} \dot{\psi}$ carries with it the origimal finality. The conditional (restrictive) 'ita -ut' in Latin has not only 'ut-non' but 'ne' (Roby, 1650, 1704). The condition is intended to bring about the result (Gildersleeve, Am. Journ. Phil. VII. 161-175).

Mark the connexion of clauses: $\delta \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ takes its constr. directly from $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, not from $\dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \hat{i} \alpha \iota: ~ \beta o u \lambda \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma a \iota$ and $\delta \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ are however coordinated as stipulations in favour of Athenians, indeed the кai
 resumes its force: at $\pi \epsilon \rho^{i} \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha u \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ the subdivision of the main sentence is so far complete: with the second $\delta \epsilon$ clause ( $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \delta \sigma \delta \bar{\epsilon}$ ) is introduced a second concession in favour of Mytilene. But so loose is Thuc. in coordination that it is quite possible that the sentence represents a succession of independent infinitives, reflecting imperative of oratio recta (cf. IV. $50, \pi \xi \mu \psi \alpha \iota$ ); or even the infin. of original agreement: i.e. (1) on the condition that Paches should not attempt to imprison; (2) Paches must not imprison.

For contrast of aorist and present cf. $52 \S 3$.
 interval between their going and coming back'; a good instance of the notorious fondness of Greek for leaving an antithesis incomplete: one only of the two things, between which a third lies, is specified. Cf. $51 \S 3$ ধ́s $\tau \grave{o} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \tau \hat{\eta} s \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v$. See Shilleto on Dem. Fals. Leg. § 182.
aüт $\eta$, adjectival for adverbial predication = oüт $\boldsymbol{\text { s }}$, катà тâ̂тa. Cf. supra 12 § I.
 active in negotiating.'

ク่véَ Xovтo, cf. v. 45 § 4, used absolutely, 'non sustinuerunt' (Poppo cf. Caes. Bell. Gall. iI. 6). By some edd. construed with $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \delta \in \epsilon i 今$ b$\quad$ btes on analogy of 11. 49 § 5 , 'found their apprehension unbearable.' "̋ $\mu \omega$ s, i.e. either (I) in spite of their excess of apprehension, or ( 2 ) in spite of Paches' assurance of protection. Thuc.'s use of ö $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{s}$ is frequently suggestive of brachylogy, cf. 49 § i.

$\dot{\alpha} \nu a \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{2} \sigma a s=\pi \epsilon i \sigma a s \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a l$, 'Inducing them to quit (their place
of sanctuary），cf．Soph．O．C． 175 бoì $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ⿱ ⺌ 兀 寸 \sigma a s ~ k a l ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a \nu a \sigma t a ́ s, ~ T h u c . ~$ i． 126 § 1 f ．

кaтar（ $\theta_{\epsilon \tau \operatorname{lal}, ~ C l}$ ．suggests that the use of the middle implies self－ interest on Paches＇part in the safety of the hostages（cf．vini． 3 § 1 ）．
$\mu$ éxpl ov̉，the absence of ăv is noticeable；but with ö $\pi \circ v$ ，öqтis，the poets frequently dispense with ă $\nu$（vid．St．，Quaest．Gr．p．II）．Cf． I． $137 \S 3 \mu^{\prime} \chi \chi \rho t \gamma^{\prime} \nu \eta \tau \alpha c$ ．Custom wavers between $\mu \epsilon \in \chi \rho t$ and $\mu \notin \chi \rho \iota s$ oủ．
 $\tau \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda a$ ，＇quod reliqui erat．＇$\tau \grave{~} \pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{\imath}$ тò $\sigma \tau p a \tau o ́ \pi \epsilon \delta o \nu$ ，＇quod ad exercitum attinebat，＇or，better，$=\tau \grave{a} \tau o \hat{v} \sigma \tau p a \tau o \pi \ell ́ \delta o v$.

## CHAPTER XXIX．

тєббара́коvта，cf． 16 § 3.
§ 1．ėvסıย́тpı\＆av，practically＝pluperfect，＇had been loitering＇： contrast with $\lambda$ avoávovol，historic present as $=$ imperfect．$\sigma$ xodaiol， adjectival for adverbial ；cf．$\epsilon \pi i \quad \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s, \delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ．

тoùs द́к тท̂s mó $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ ：a bone of much contention．Krüg．，Class． and Hude explain by the 100 ships of chap． 16 ，now on their way back from Peloponnese．But that fleet returned in $428(16 \S 4)$ ，whereas the present Pel．fleet was not sent out until 427 ．Von Velsen（Bonn，
 imoтrєíova，＇but needlessly．The words must refer either to the squadron reserved for defence of Attica and Salamis（cf．cap．17）or
 return or recall we find no mention．
$\pi \rho i v \quad \delta \eta \dot{\eta}, \delta \dot{\eta}$ ，though emphasising $\pi \rho i v$ ，has in itself no temporal significance．The structure $\pi \rho i \nu$ é $\sigma \chi \circ \nu$ is due to latent negative in
 ＇cursum inhibuerunt，＇＇stopped at．＇$\Delta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega$ ，locative．
＇Iкарф каi Mчкóvゅ，the geographical order is reversed，as else－ where，cf．ili． 102 § I，Vili． 108 § I．Von Velsen suggests that there is no notion of＇touching at，＇but only of＇approaching，＇in $\pi \rho o \sigma \mu i \xi a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ （see however $22 \S 4$ ），i．e．＇it was in the offing of Icarus and Myconus that they first heard the news．＇Classen＇s explanation is probably correct，viz．that Icarus，being the place at which the news first reached them，claims priority of place in defiance of geography．

Icarus，an island in Aegean，W．of Samos，a colony of Miletus，but in time of Strabo a possession of Samos，who used it for a sheep pasture；perhaps the same as Callimachus＇$\Delta 0 \lambda \iota \chi \dot{\eta}$ and Pliny＇s Macris．

Myconus, another island, E. of Delos, N. of Naxos, to stades from Rheneia, mentioned in Hdt. Vi. 1 I 8.
éa入んкvía, predicative participle. 'Mytilene was now numbering seven days as a captured town,' i.e. 'the capture of Mytilene was now seven day's old.' This expression of temporal relation by possessive dative is a favourite device of poets; e. g. Xpóvos $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ Tls $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi a \iota \delta i \quad \delta \iota a$ $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho \alpha \gamma^{\epsilon} \hat{\ell} \nu \varphi$; Ion 353.

Embatum, only known from Theopompus.
§ 2. Tò $\sigma a \phi e ́ s$. Why pregnant article? (Cl.). Tò $\sigma a \phi$ és merely $=\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\sigma a \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \in a \nu$, and comes under the common Thuc. use of neut. art. with adjective as = substantive.
 the present emergency.
${ }^{\prime \prime} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \in \tau$, the aorist suggests the brevity of the speech.

## CHAPTER XXX.

§ 1. $\pi a ́ p \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon v$, 'per коì $\omega \sigma \iota \nu$ ' (Göll.) : he identifies himself with them, as one of them. Cf. I. 68 § I, III. 13 § 2.
$\hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s$, expunged by Cobet, but retained by Class. on authority of VI. 22 § I. The insertion of the subject is unusual.


$\omega ̈ \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ 'Єxopєv, 'ut sumus,' 'statim' (cf. Soph. Phil. 808 Herm.), 'at once,' but in V. 32 § 5 in totally different sense.
§ 2. $\dot{\alpha} v \delta \rho \omega \hat{v}$, the absence of article marks the genitive as possessive, not absolute: practically, $\alpha \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu=\tau \iota \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$.

 realistic aorist without ${ }^{a} \nu$ canmot replace the future with words expressing hope or expectation? For further discussion of the question see Appendix.
 note. Herbst, Philol. xvi. 305, would explain the construction by repetition of $\dot{a} \nu \epsilon \lambda \pi i \sigma \tau o s$, treating the word as active in first position, passive in second, -an interpretation, which, says Herw. (Stud. p. 40), 'bellum declarat grammaticae.'

Stahl expl. 'nostrarum virium robur maxime locum habet' $=$ where
we find the best field for our strength．Kriiger points out that the I＇doponnesian superiority cannot bear upon the Athenian want of precaution．

Cobet is right，＇qua parte nos valemus maxime．＇The Pelopon－ nesians had now a flect of 40 or $4^{2}$ sail．Athens harl only sent a squadron of 40 ships at first，although in c． 18 there is mention of a reinforcement of 1000 hoplites．The point made hy＇Teutiaplus is that the unusually strong flect of the Pelopomesians will find a reinforce－ ment in Athenian neglect．Note the $\tau \epsilon-$ кal conncxion．For once the relative construction is regular．
$\alpha^{\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ，cf．I．So § 3．Junghahn（N．Jahrb．cxix．358）explains as ＇defence＇：cf．C．F．Smith，A．J．P．X． 210.

кат＇оiкias，＇from house to house．＇
 ஸs кєкратךко́т $\omega \nu$ ，＇all the more carclessly in the assurance of victory．

Steoráp $\theta a t$ ，more graphic than the usual acorist with eikos，＇have become scattered．＇Pop，compares Livy VI． 3 § 5 ．
§ 3．єi＇$\tau$ is àpa does not suggest doubt，but possibility（ Cl ．）．＇Qui－ cumque＇rather than＇si qui＇；assurance，not hesitation．
$\kappa а \tau а \lambda \eta \phi \hat{\eta} v a l$ äv $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ i $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$ ，＇that we shall find ourselves masters of the position．＇Note the qualified form of futurity，the $\ddot{a} \nu$ of apodosis clearly recalling the $\epsilon l$ of protasis．
$\tau \alpha$ т $\quad \alpha ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$ ，＇res illas＇（Pp．），but would not this be éкeiva？ rather，the equivalent of＇rerum status．＇
§ 4．$\mu \dot{\eta}$ á $\pi \sigma \kappa \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \in \nu$ ，really a first person of imperative，whether we treat as hortative or deprecatory matters not：＇let us not，＇or＇we must not．＇Cf．v． 9 § 9 ．

тò кatvóv，cf．Tac．＇subita belli，＇＇surprise，＇＇mò̀入à кaıvà toû $\pi o \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v '$（St．）．тò тotov̂тov．Either with reference to preceding con－ text，i．e．$\tau \grave{\text { ò }} \mathrm{a} \phi \nu \omega \pi{ }_{\pi} \quad \pi \rho \sigma \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon i \nu$ ，or anticipating ö（more Thucydideo）． Stahl assumes a construction of ö in common with each verb，фu入ć⿱一𫝀口ooto and $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \chi$ cipoin，at the same time making it depend on $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \circ \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．The objection to this interpretation is that ob will have（i）a verbal depend－ ency with $\phi u \lambda \alpha \sigma^{\sigma} \sigma o \iota \tau 0,(2)$ a participial with $\dot{\epsilon} \nu o p \hat{\omega} \nu$, （3）a construction
 $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \circ \rho \bar{\omega} \nu$ ，and regarding $\phi u \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma o t \tau$ and $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \iota \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o i ́ \eta$ as used without object， we should escape the difficulty．The order would then be 0 ，$\epsilon \check{\iota}$ ris ${ }^{\prime} \nu$
 av optoîto．But we need not supply the participle from the second of the two clauses．The principle is clearly enough set forth in $\mathrm{V} \cdot 9 \mathrm{y} 3, \mathrm{a}$
comparison of which passage would sugsest a cognate construction of $\delta$ with $\delta \rho \theta 0$ ôto d̛̉. $\nu$. (See Appendix.)

## CHAPTER XXXI.

§ 1. tooav̂ta eimóv, 'by these few words' (imsteall of tolaûta), in reference to shorter speeches; cf. II. 72 § I. oủk $\neq \pi \pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon$, 'made no impression.' For imp. see Curt. Eluc., p. 209.
oi $\Lambda$ '́ $\sigma \beta$ lor, the $\pi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \beta \epsilon$ es of chap. 4 and 5 (B1.).
тapnivouv, 'suggested.' Cf. viri. 46 § I.
Kúp $\eta \nu$, north of the Hemms, once the largest of all Aetolian cities. With the exception of its joining the revolt of Aristagoras, and its recapture by l'ersia, little is known of the history of the place. In Thuc. we find only the scantiest mention of it. (Cf. vili. 3r, 100.)

 difficulties; text, punctuation, and interpretation are all so doubtful, that a final settlement is impossible. The main points are,
(I) The limits of the parenthetical clause. Herw. places a colon at $\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \omega \sigma \omega \nu$, regarding $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta a \delta^{\prime}$ єival as transition to orat. obliqua, and making oúdєvi...áфíरीat parenthetical. Stahl, Poppo, Cl. and Herw, extend the parenthesis from $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi i \delta a$ to $\dot{\alpha} \phi i \chi \theta a u$.
(2) The limits of the obtcos clause, which St. extends to $\gamma i \gamma \nu \eta \tau a l$, with intervening parenthesis $\dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\dot{v}} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \sigma \iota \ldots \tilde{\eta}^{\prime} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \phi \circ \rho \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$. To escape this Dobree suggests iva $\dot{v} \phi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \sigma$, but to make the stronger iva follow the weaker öm $\pi$ s is unadvisable; such interchange of öt $\pi \omega$ s with ${ }^{i v} \nu a$ and iva with öt $\pi$ s is most rare in Thuc., but cf. vi. 87 § 2, VI. 22. In the absence of any modal enallage (for of a $\pi \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$ we have no MS. evidence) it would appear that Thuc. did not intend any distinction of primary or secondary motive.
(3) The connexion of the two hypothetical $\eta_{\nu}$ clauses: Herw. and Gerhard regard them as dependent on $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta \alpha$ єival: St., following Kruig., treats as parenthetical, i.e. ö $\pi \omega$ s ámoгт $\dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma \nu \nu$, каil ö $\pi \omega s$ ( $\ddot{\eta} \nu$
 sees in $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ the equivalent of $\ddot{0} \pi \omega s$; Kistemaker identifies with $\epsilon i$ as expressing wish: others suggest an aposiopesis (all three suggestions are unsound; the first would demand $\eta^{\nu} \nu \pi \omega s$, the second $\epsilon i \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, and, thirdly, the figure aposiopesis is most rare in Thuc.).
(4) The construction of $\ddot{\alpha} \mu a$ : does this mark a close connexion of the two subjunctives? or does it denote some new departure? or a return to the main construction? cf. VI. 18 § 4 iva $\sigma \tau о \rho \neq \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$ каl ä $\mu a$ äp $\xi \circ \mu \epsilon \nu$.
（5）The retention or rejection of $\tau \epsilon$ ：it may be supplementary to the two parallel кai clauses（a common Thuc．use）or inferential，but not apodotic（sce Arnold＇s note）．If，however，${ }^{\prime 2} \nu$ linds its construction with $\gamma i \gamma \nu \eta \tau a l$ ，the $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ clause must form a protasis to some new apodosis，which will necessitate the exclusion of $\tau \epsilon$ ，or we shall be driven to accept Hermann＇s view（vid．cap．3）．

Following Stahl we get the following version：＇that，with a city for their base（point d＇appui）they might cause a revolt of Ionia－and there was hope，for their coming had proved unwelcome to none－－also that，if they succeerled in sapping this，one of the chief resources of Athens，and at the same time，if they sought to attack Athens，they might secure funds for themselves．＇（The objection to this view will be found discussed in Appendix．）

Following Herwerden，who cuts out $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ before $\dot{\nu} \phi \in \lambda \lambda \omega \tau$ ，and reeds
 might cause a revolt of Ionia，and sap，this，a main source of revenue to Athens，and at the same time，in case of the Athenians blockading them，the cost might fall on Athens alone＇：that is to say，simul－ taneously with the stoppage of Ionian supplies，Athens would be thrown upon her own unaided resources．To this view I incline．
ádix $\theta$ ar，subject implied in context，Alcidas and his force．
áкоvбị，for personal agreement cf．IV． 85 § 2．The distinction äк $\kappa \nu$ of agent，áко́⿱宀⿻三丨口儿os of act，is ignored by Thucyciides．Stahl，re－ taining $\dot{\alpha} \kappa o v \sigma i \omega s$, treats the adverb as equivalent to a passive adjective ＇non optatus＇；but the word conveys a stronger meaning，＇their coming had provoked no protest＇（cf．viil． 3 § I áкívт $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda \omega \hat{\omega})$ ．The dative is ethical．
$\mu \in \gamma(\sigma \tau \eta v$ ，a strong statement，unless incleed we include Hellespont． The Ionian tribute was at the time only 25 to 26 talents．í ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \omega \sigma$ ı．Cf． I3 § 7．Lit．＇reduce，＇＇diminish，＇perhaps with implied covertness in úmó．
 ade，（2）taking the aggressive，（3）watching opportunity（cf．Demosth． Olynth．111．§ 7）．
$\sigma \boldsymbol{\phi} \sigma_{\iota}$ ，reflexive purely in Thuc．The old Ionic demonstrative use has become a thing of the past．avitois，sc．Athenians，here $=$＇per se，＇ ＇soli，＇a well－known Attic use．
 St．calls attention to twofold meaning of $\delta \alpha \pi a \dot{\nu} \eta$ ，（I）expense，＇sumptus，＇ （2）＇means of meeting expense，＇＇facultas，＇although in Latin sumptus will bear either meaning．Cf．Ter．Haut．I．2．33；and Thuc．I． 99 S． 3.
$\pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon L v \tau \in$ olf $^{\prime} \epsilon \theta$ al, whether a resumption of the parenthetical construction $\epsilon \lambda \pi i \delta a \quad \epsilon\lceil\nu a \ell$, or a mere relapse into orat. obliq. suggested by mapgnve, is immaterial. The future is due to expectation implied in olє $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.

Пıбनоú $\theta \nu \eta \nu$, cf. vin. 5 § 5, I. 115 § 5, succeeded by Tissaphernes as $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \partial s \tau \omega \hat{\nu} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$, i.e. 'satrap of lower Asia,' or 'the sea-board.' (Cf. Arn. on Vili. 5.)
$\omega_{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, pleonastic, but explicit.
§ 2. oúסغ̇ $\tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a$, no more than the first proposal to surprise Mytilene.
 $\left.\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \lambda \in i \sigma \tau \eta \nu \tau \hat{n} s \gamma^{\prime} \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta s\right)$, adapted from Ionic. Cf. Hdt. v. 126; cf. also Thuc. vini. 46 § 5 т̀̀ $\pi \lambda$ ย́ov ס̀єєvoєîto.
 had been too late in the case of Myt.' The genitive is a mere genitive of connexion.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \mu i \xi a t$, 'to make for' (come in touch with). Cp. 1. 46 § 3. (For further discussion of this chapter see Appendix.)

## CHAPTER XXXII.

§ 1. 'Е ${ }^{\prime} \beta$ árov, cf. c. 29. тар'́ $\pi \lambda \epsilon$, i.e. the Ionian coast, southwards (Haack). Mvovvท́ $\sigma \omega$, a promontory between Teos and Samos (cf. Livy xxxviri. 27). кãฝ̀ $\pi \lambda 0 \hat{v} v$, no article, as usual in such prepositional predications, e.g. $\epsilon \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\psi}, \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\delta} \delta \delta \nu$. Cf. vI. $3 \mathrm{I} \S 3$. тov̀s $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o u ́ s$, articular apposition, in epexegesis.

'Aval $\omega v$, the place of refuge of the Samian exiles (cf. IV. 75 , viri. 19), apparently a naval station in valley of Maeander, and near enough to Samos to cause much annoyance.
è $\lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon$ poûv, the usual claim of Sparta. Cf. ir. 8 § 4.
 $\epsilon i$ as $=\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon$. Cobet, from oratio recta, ' $\epsilon l$ $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota$ ' reads $\epsilon l \delta \iota a-$ $\phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \iota$.
 $\dot{\epsilon}^{\xi} \xi \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{a} \gamma \kappa \eta s$, or $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \kappa \eta \nu(\mathrm{Cl}).$.
 infinitive with ă $\nu$ : vid. St. Quaest. Gr. p. 7. Cf. vi. 34 § 2.
§ 3. oúk हैфєuyov, note the imperfect, 'made no attempt at light.'
 § 2 è $\lambda \pi l o ̂ a ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \phi b ß o v . ~$
$\mu \eta$ тapa $\beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$ ，the infinitive here replaces the more usual subj．or optat．，as a clause of simple epexegesis．The negative is due to the preceding negative．Goodw．M．T．§815．
mapaßa入єiv，not only in sense of＇crossing＇（traicere），but alsn of risking（ $\pi$ аракı $\nu \quad \delta \nu \nu(v \in \epsilon \nu)$ ）；the presence of a subject marks the infin．as a future rather than a realistic aorist．

## CHAPTER XXXIII．

§ 1．＊al фuүท้̀ émoteîтo，kal corrective，rather than copulative， ＇made sail，or rather fled＇（Jowett）．Cf．Lat．＇fugan facere．＇
$\omega \ddot{\omega} \theta \eta=$ pluperfect，as＇$\epsilon \tau \iota$ attests，＇he had been sighted while still riding off Claros．＇

इa入a $\mu$ vía кal Mápa入os，the special service vessels（despatch boats）of the Athenian navy，employed for collecting trilute，for con－ veyance of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho o t$ ，and sometimes prisoners．Their special mission in the present case is a matter of free speculation．

K入ápov．Poppo＇s Icarus is refuted by Armold ：a place in Ionia， near Colophon，between Ephesus and Myonnesus．It was the site of a famous oracle，Tac．A．II．54．The＇Zille＇which was identined with Claros is now believed to be Notium．
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \dot{\nu} \boldsymbol{v} \delta \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\xi} \iota v$ ，not of the Paralus and Salaminia，but of the Attic fleet （Cl．）．Surely the article is possessive，＇apprehensive of his being pursued．＇
$\pi \epsilon \lambda$ áyous，＇the open sea，＇ut passim．ékov́otos，cf．érஸ̀v єivau．
 a$\rho \chi \omega \nu$ takes precedence，cf．vili． 63 § I ．Class．finds a triple con－ nexion for the dative，$\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ，$\dot{a} \phi \iota \kappa \nu \epsilon i \tau o$ ，${ }^{\prime} \phi \rho a \sigma \alpha \nu$ ，placing colon at $\tau \dot{\alpha} s$ $\pi \delta \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \iota$ ．
$\tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ ，of the first news，áфıкvєito，of the continued information．
каl aंтò т $\hat{\mathrm{y}}$＇＇Epu日paias，＇from the district of Erythrae itself＇ （ipsa ab Erythraea），кal тavtaxó $\theta \in v$ ，＇from all quarters，as well＇（prae－

àtexírrov，from time of ist Persian war（Göll．），Hdt．Vi． 32. Instances of later fortification will be found in viri． $\mathbf{I}_{4}$ ，vili． $8_{4}$（Pop．）．

каl $̈ \mathrm{~s}$ ，sc．каiтєр árєєХiotov oüons，＇vel sic＇：＇even thus，＇＇even as it was，＇cf．viII． 5 I § 2．äpa，cf．IV． 2 § 3，III． 7 § 2.
av่тá $\gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \mathrm{ou}$ ，cf．Soph．O．C．333．av่тúv，Alcidas．
＇€фрaoav，＇gave warning．＇Cf．sup． 15 § $\mathbf{r}$ ．
v́mò $\sigma \pi$ oviŋ̄s，prepositional for adverbial expression，$=\sigma \pi o v \delta \hat{\eta}, \sigma \pi o u-$ $\delta a i \omega s, c f . \dot{v} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\gamma} \gamma \kappa \eta s$.
§ 3．Márpov，one of the Sporades，in S．E．Aegean，to S．of Samos；the scene of S．John＇s banishment．
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \in \delta\{\omega \xi \in v$, mark the $\epsilon \pi i$＇，＇pressed the chase，＇＇continued a hot pursuit．＇＇̇лi，of extension，continuation，rather than malevolence．
 an impersonal construction（cf．vr．Go § 2 каì oỉk ধ̇v $\pi$ aú入 $\eta$ غ́申аìeтo）． є́фаโขєто，i．e．Alcidas．

غ̇тavєXळ́pєt，sc．ö $\theta \in \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\omega} \rho \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ，＇eo unde profectus crat redibat．＇
 against the sky－line suggested the notion of＇elevation．＇

є́үката入ךфөєі̂бal，＇nullo in loco（nusquam）deprehensae．＇
 than logical connexion．The construction is connected with the subor－
 тарабұєiv غ́ф＇ориŋбぃ to Athenians，＇and so compelled to entrench them－ selves and put the Athenians（Paches and his force）to the trouble of blockading them．＇For coordination cf． 57 § I．

## CHAPTER XXXIV．

§ 1．$\pi a p a \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega v \pi \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \iota v$, ＇on his way back，＇sc．along the coast of Asia Minor．$\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu=o ̉ \pi i \sigma \omega$ ，cf．Aesch．Ag．335．

Nórtov，the haven of Colophon，two miles from the upper city
 $\phi \hat{\omega \nu}$ a غंmitधivau，＇Theaetet． 153 C ．The history of Colophon was one of $\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma s$ from early times．

катஸ́кпито，passive form with middle force：a common Thucyd． use in the case of perf．and plupf．，especially with perfect participle in all Attic writers．ग̂̀s àv $\omega$ ，the old town．
＇Itapávovs，unknown ：the very form of the name is doubtful．
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́=o ̂ t a ́, ~ ' i n ~ c o n s e q u e n c e ~ o f ' ; ~ r e t r o s p e c t i v e ~ r a t h e r ~ t h a n ~ p r o-~$ spective（although the latter use，＇with a view to，＇cannot be denied in Thucydides）．
 separation of the one city into two distinct townships，＇$\sigma \tau a \sigma \iota a ́ 5 o v \sigma \iota ~ \partial \hat{e}$
 the parallel instance of Athens and Peiraeus．
 the synchronous imperfect．
§ 2．oi катафиүóvtes，＇those who had sought refuge there and taken up their abode in the place．＇The sentence is another instance of partitive apposition．The distribution of the main subject oi кaraф． кal кaтoкк．into oi $\mu t \nu$ ．．oi ot sentences is interrupted by the intermediate and supplementary каl ．．émo入ítevov clause（cf．II． 88 § 2）．

Пıббoú $\theta$ vov，cf． 31 § 1 ．＇Apкá $\delta \omega \nu$ ，a poor and adventurous race． Cf．Hdt．vili．26，Thuc，vir． 57 （Herw．）．
 i．e．a separate fortified part of the town，or＇a detached fortified
 ＇intersaepire＇（Liv．Xxi．II）．
＇$\pi$ ro入itevov，＇were admitted to rights of citizenship，＇lit．＇were living
 $\tau \rho a \pi \epsilon^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．

The situation is correctly described by Arnold．In consequence of the establishment of an aristocratical Medising party in Colophon， the bulk of the populace had left Colophon and settled in Notium． Before long，an aristocratical party declared itself among the refugees at Notium；these，with the aid of the Median party in Colophon， backed by Persian aid，expelled the democrats a second time．
§ 3．$\pi \rho \neq \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \mathcal{L}$ ，a＇nominativus pendens，＇in early Greek no unfamiliar use．The fact of the subject of the participle being the same as that of one of the two finite verbs suggests the construction（Gioll．）． Strictly speaking，it is due to that Ionic freedom of coordination which Thuc．so frequently reflects：for a good instance cf．iv． $80 \S 4$ ．
 in $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ：at the same time the $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ sequence admits of the alternative


филакท̀ ä $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \mathrm{s}$, ，libera custodia，＇Tac．A．vi． 2, ＇on parole．＇
 replaces the adverbial：－note the use of absolute participle without subject．

катато弓єúєL，this simple record of a barbarous act of treachery without comment on the historian＇s part need not have provoked Grote＇s astonishment（Gr．Hist．G．vi．327）．The incident may be classed under the outrages of I． 23 ．
 Whether sent from Athens itself we know not（Grote）．The object
was to counteract the restless proclivities of the native population (Cl.), and at the same time to confer a right of IIellenic citizenship, without which neither $\theta \epsilon \omega \mathrm{p}$ ta nor admission to Olympian festivals would have been possible (Grote).


## CHAPTER XXXV.

§ 1. Múppav, cf. 18 § I.
 Cf. I. 29 § 5.

इá $\alpha$ aı $\theta$ ov, cf. 25 § r. Tevéfov, 28 § 2, some fo stades from mainland, 56 from Lesbos, a tributary of Athens (vii. 57).
ailtos, 'ringleader.'
§ 2. $\boldsymbol{\tau} 0$ îs $\lambda$ dormoîs, sociative, in construction with $\dot{\psi} \pi \rho^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$, not instrumental, with каӨiбтaтo.

ка日iбтато, 'arranged,' 'settled,' Lat. 'componere.' Cf. 28 § 3.

## CHAPTER XXXVI.

 cf. 1. 39 § 2. No need for $\pi \rho o \iota \sigma \chi \dot{\beta} \mu \nu 0 \nu$.
$\tau \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha \not \partial \lambda \alpha$, more commonly ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha l$. The article Cl . explains as used in more explicit reference; Popp. as possessive, 'quae ab eo prolata sunt' (cf. v. 46 § 4).
$\alpha \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota v$, causative active. (Thomp. G. Syn. § 128.)
§ 2. $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \alpha$ غ́тоюоûvто, 'consultare,' 'proceeded to debate': imperfect, initiatory to $\epsilon \hat{0} 0 \varsigma \epsilon$, of final decision, or rapidity of decision.
örou $\eta ; \beta \omega \sigma$ t, 'all adults.' The relative clause is one of mere definition: the indicative remains unchanged (as in Latin) in spite of indirect discourse.
émเка入oûvtєs, a free coordination, ignoring change of personal construction to impersonal. Cf. VI. 24 § 3 .
$\tau \eta ์ \nu \tau \epsilon a ̈ \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ áтóбтабьv, Classen's insertion of ка́ is a mistake: Grossmann (Neue Jahrb. 1884) rejects it, on the ground that the emphasis falls on the resentment of Athens, not on the fault of Mytilene. Thuc. does not imply $\tau \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \nexists \lambda \lambda \alpha$ каi ö ót : the two great provocations are ( r ) an unprovoked revolt, an émaváotaбıs rather than $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$, cf. 39 § 2: (2) the violation of that 'mare clausum' the Aegean.

The idiomatic ä入入os precedes，instead of following．See Shill．on Dem．Fals．Leg．§ 9t，who quotes from Eur．Med．297：


There is no trajection of $\tau \epsilon$ ；the sequence implied is $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa a \lambda o i v \tau \epsilon s$
 there is a tendency to revert to direct construction，e．g．Theaetetus
 тaүbpas．For like irregularity of $\tau \epsilon \ldots$ кal sequence cf．Thuc．v． 61 § 4

ápXó $\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o l}$, passive，i．e．under pressure of Athenian rule．Cf． 39 § 2，where Cleon lays stress on Mytilenacan aúzovouia．
$\pi \rho о \sigma \xi v v \in \beta a ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau о$ ，once only in Thuc．：a so－called＇Schema I＇in－ daricum＇（a phrase unjust to Pindar＇s memory，when we analyse the instances quoted）；a construction by which a verb in the singular is con－ structed with a plural subject．Two other cases are quoted in Thuc．， IV． 26 § 5，vili． 9 § 3．As in such constructions the verb always precedes，the old explanation that＇a conception originally simple becomes complex in process of expression＇holds good．

In our present passage the difficulty is due to the interchange of verbal adjective（participle）with verbal substantive（intinitive），a trick familiar to all readers of Tacitus or Livy，e．g．＇pudor non lati auxilii patres cepit．＇

Hude（Comment．Crit．p．96－97）refers the use of this construction with substantival verb，$\epsilon i \nu a \iota$ ，$\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a \ell$ ，to assimilation，cf．IV． 26 § 5 ； but，in my judgment，wrongly：the same principle applies whether to substantival or other verbs．Haydon，in Am．J．Phil．xi．100，wisely distinguishes points of accidence（e．g．$\tilde{\eta} \nu$ for $\tilde{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ ，as in Pindar，Pyth． IV．57）from points of syntax：the whole article will well repay the reader．
oủk é̀áXıテтov，accus．of object（ Cl ．and Ponp．）．Letter to take it as adverbial（from accus．of limitation）making óp $\mu$ ŋ̂s depend as partitive on $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \xi \xi_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\beta} \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \tau \sigma$ ．For the use of $\xi י \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ with genitive （a use which Popp．denies）see Eur．Med． $2 S+\xi v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ o ̀ ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$
 óp $\mu \hat{\eta} s=$＇studium，＇＇impetuosity．＇
ßo $\eta$ 说，proleptic．oủk ámò $\beta$ paxtias Stavoías，＇in pursuance of no small design．＇

ÉSókov̀，of the impression，suspicion；subject implied in context．
§ 3．ẃs Пáx $\begin{aligned} \\ \text { ra，as equivalent of } \pi \rho o ́ s, \text { with persons only（Goodw．}\end{aligned}$ G．Gr．§ 19I）．
ä $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ o $v$ ，predicative，cf．vifi． 106 § 4 ．

§4．$\mu \epsilon \tau$ ávota，＇paenitentia，＇＇remorse．＇ảva入oyเซ $\mu o ́ s, ~ ' r e f l e c t i o n . ' ~$


$\mu \bar{a} \lambda \lambda o v \eta$ $\quad$ ov，an instance of the so－callecl pleonastic negative． Shilleto notes that in most cases a negative precedes，or there is some lurking negation（such a negration might be found in $\dot{\omega}^{\mu} \dot{\nu} \nu$ ）．Strictly speaking，the ou is supplementary；it points to the fact of the rejection of a possible alternative－＇rather than decide，as had not been de－ cided．＇－o $\dot{u}$ instead of $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ ，（ 1 ）as the negative of oratio obliqua；（2）

 Demosth．Timoth．rig8 § 47．Such a distinction Latin would mark by antithetical subjunctive：e．g．Plautus，Aul．Prol．in＇inopem potius voluit relinquere quam thensaurum commonstraret，＇Cic．de lin．Iv． § 20．Poppo appeals to idiom of French，＇il est plus grand qu＇on ne croit，＇Shilleto to vulgar English，＇nor＇一＇this is better nor that＇（＝ ＇this is the better and not that＇），cf．Arist．Rhet．I． 9 § 24 ．See also Bast on Gregor．Corinth．ioz，Hermann on Viger，Boı．

 their interest＇（Jowett）．

тарєбкєv́arav，＇wrought upon them，＇cf．viri． 52 § I，hardly $=$ Poppo＇s＇conciliaverant，＇which suggets a middle；although Demosth．
 latter having the power of summoning the $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a$ in time of war or extraordinary emergencies（vid．Arnold）．
 （Popp．）$=$ proponere，＇to open a fresh debate．＇тò $\pi \lambda$＇év，cf． $35 \S 2=0$ i $\pi \lambda$ eloves．

тıwá，for singular cf．Soph．O．T．ro7．twvés，＇nonnulli，＇rıs＇inde－ finitum est＇（Cob．）．For plural Cl．cites Iv． 69 § I．

及ou入cévar®at，not a true accus．of direct object，which would de－ mand article，but due to secondary sense of $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \delta o \hat{v} v a \iota ~ a s ~ द ُ a ̂ v, ~ ' p e r m i t, ' ~ ' ~$
 periplirasis only employed by Thuc．when the participle is used in

 oia. Thuc. prefers intransitive to passive forms.
$\alpha \phi^{\prime}$ 'єća $\sigma \omega v$, 'on the part of individuals' (cf. P'opp. I. I7 § 1 ). The relation of 'auctor' rather than of 'actor' ( $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\prime}$ ) is prominent. Cobet would alter such instances, one and all, to $\dot{u} \pi \dot{6}$.
 фiarúv, a nd comment on the rising demagogues of the new school (Grote, Hist. Gr. Vı. 659).
$\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \rho \circ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a \nu$, sc. $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$; an extension of cognate accusative, $\nu \iota \kappa \hat{\alpha} \nu$ $\nu i \kappa \eta \nu$. $\pi$ เ日avผ́тatos ='gratiosissimus,' most 'influential.' Cf. vi. 35 § 2.

## CHAPTER XXXVII.

## (Speech of Cleon.)

§ 1. ' $\epsilon \gamma \nu \omega v$, 'made up my mind,' 'come to the conclusion.'
a'ठúvarov, Cobet's correction áoívatos, though idiomatic, is needless. The construction is not impersonal : the neuter adjective replaces
 accusative $\delta \eta \mu о к р a t i a r ~ i s ~ d u e ~ t o ~ a n t i p t o s i s, ~ ' t h a t ~ d e m o c r a c y ~ i s ~ i n c a-~-~$ pable (a thing incapable) of ruling others.' For neuter predicate cf. § 3 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \theta i a \dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \iota \mu \dot{\omega} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu_{\text {. }}$. For estimate of democracy cf. VI. 39 § I with Demosth. F. L. § 149 .
€่v $\tau \hat{n} \ldots \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \alpha$, è $\nu$ quasi-instrumental, 'through'; cf. 42 § 4 with Plato Gorg. 452 E èv $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \iota$. It marks the basis of Cleon's judgment. $\mu \in \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon$ ia = 'indecision,' 'plebis inconstantia.'

Mutid $\eta$ vainv, no article, on the ground that there is no direct antithesis, e.g. of Mytilene to Athens. Cf. Herbst, Philol. xl. $37^{2}$ sqq.: possibly, also, a slight touch of contempt. Cf. $59 \S+$ Ө $\ddagger$ ßalots.
§ 2. tò déés, 'the security and freedom from intrigue, between man and man.' Cf. II. 37 § 2 and I. 68 § i $\tau \grave{2} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i a s$.
's... $\pi$ pós, practically equivalents, but here to avoid tautology. The interchange is common in Thuc., cf. 54 § 1.

тò aủтó, sc. Tò ảṓt́s (Schol.).
ő $\tau \iota \alpha ้ \nu=\eta_{\nu} \nu \tau \iota$.
olккє, parallel with $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon s$ as =oiktijovtes: the dative is causal, 'through pity,' 'by reason of pity': 'you do not reflect that you are giving way with danger to yourselves, and without furthering the conciliation of your allies.'
kai ovk, the negative of the primary verb continues the construction; cf. a strong instance in 57 § 1 .
és $\tau \dot{\eta} v$ xápıv, balancing the adverbial $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa \iota \nu o ̂ v \omega \omega s$ by a stronger form.
$\mu a \lambda \alpha \kappa l \zeta \in \sigma \theta a l, c f .40$ § $7 \cdot$
rupavvi $\delta a$, cf. the words of Pericles II. 62 with I. 122 §3. 'The power you hold is a despotic one, and held over folk who are themselves conspirators and subjects under protest.'

äkovras apxouévovs, at this point of the sentence Böhme and Classen assume an asyndeton, a rare figure in Thucydides. Stahl corrects to ăкоעtєs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\beta} \mu \epsilon \nu$ о $\dot{\omega} s(\dot{\omega} s$ replacing original ört); the words oú

 $\chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota v . ~ F o r ~ \dot{u} s . . . \delta ั \tau \iota$ change cf. I. 32 § 1.

Iude objects that the change is violent, and in the immediate neighbourhood of émißou入єv́ovias aúroús suspicious. In fine, he protests against the sacrifice of perspicuity to $\pi$ aplow $\sigma$ s.

The asyndeton appears condemned by the fact of its not being explanatory or parenthetical. The insertion of oí (confused with ou') is at least reasonable (cf. IV. ro § 2), and the simplest solution.

But without alteration or addition the text will construe, viz. by making öт the clue to the whole constrn., treating $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ оu入єúovтas aủroús as a complete predication in itself, and placing a comma after каl: 'not reflecting that your power is despotic, and that, in the face of treachery and disaffection on their part, they do not obey you in consequence of your concessions,' \&c. \&c. Such $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{\zeta} \iota s \in l \rho o \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ is very evident in the style of Cleon; cf. especially 38 sub fin.

The implicit good faith which exists between Athenians as fellowcitizens causes the extension of the like good faith to their allies: such concessions as they make either $\dot{\eta} \delta o \nu \hat{\eta} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$, or through pity, ойкт $\varphi$, are at once dangerous and useless: they ignore two great principles: (1) that a despotic power cannot relax the iron grip (cf. v. 95), (2) that no concession can secure the good-will of those who are radically dis-
 succeeding than to the preceding context.
$\beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 r$, 'to your own detriment.'
$\epsilon \epsilon \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \check{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ v \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$, from such (particular) superiority as you command.
$i \sigma \times u$ úl, the dative condenses the construction.
 of the utter absence of all finality in any one of our resolutions，and our ignoring the fact that a state which enforces inferior laws inflexibly is superion to one which makes grood laws invalid，that a dull sobriety is preferable to a clever incontinence，and that men of meaner wit，as contrasted with the more subtle，administer their affairs more success－ fully．＇
ßéßacov，predicative，lit．＇if nothing is to be settled as a per－ manent thing．${ }^{\circ}$ Cf． 83 § I．
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota$, ＇po：tpositum，＇as frequently in Thuc．，especially when illus－ trative．Cf． 3 § 6.
$X \in$（porrt，cf．Alcibiades＇s comment，vi．i8 sub fin．
vóross，St．objects that it was a question of $\psi \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \sigma \mu a$ not of $\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{s}$ ． But in $\nu b \mu o c$ Cleon merely takes the higher instead of the lower ground．

крєíq$\sigma \omega \nu$ ，＇melius se habet＇（ $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{p}}$ ．）．The ambiguities of креl $\sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ are discussed in Plato，Gorg． 489 C sqq．
 thought points not to＇igmorance，＇but＇slowness＇as contrasted with єن́paila，＇quickness．＇Cf．Plato，＇Theaet． 144 B．
 nation．＇
oikov̂бı，cf．viif． 67 § I，used absolutely in II． 37 § i．
§4．oi $\mu \hat{\mu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ．．．oi $\delta \epsilon ́$ ，in inverted relation．
бофи́тєроL，cf．I． 84 § 3 ．
фaiveo日al，＇to shew themselves，＇＇prove themselves．＇
és tò кotvóv，cf．I． 9 I § 7，IV．58， 59 § r．
$\pi \epsilon p t \gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a i$, ＇supersede，＇＇override＇all proposals made from time to time for the common weal．Cf．Tac．Hist．I． 26 （Arn．）．

ஸ́s oúk äv $\delta \eta \lambda \omega$＇бavtєs，＇as not likely to display．＇Cf．the Latin future participle in－rus，＇tanquam non ostentaturi．＇See Goodw．M．T． § 215 ．
©s，of conviction．
＇̇к rov̂ rowov́rov，＇as the outcome（result）of such a policy．＇
бфá入入ovorı，cf．VI．I 5 § 4.
oi $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ，oi $\phi$ au入ótєpol．


то仑̂ ка入ิิs єimóvzos，genitive of comparison，＇less capable than the clever speaker of criticising a proposal．＇
árò $\tau$ ov̂ loov, construe with крєтal, 'impartial judges.'
áy $\omega v$ total, 'rivals,' i.e. in a rhetorical competition, for a prize. Cf. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \dot{v} \sigma \mu a, 1.22 \$ 5 . \quad$ óp日ov̂vtal, 'are in the right ' (Jowett).
§ 5. '̇тaıpopévous, not merely 'efferri,' but 'impelli' (Cl.).
mapà $\delta o ́ \xi \alpha v$, at least one instance of $\delta 0 \xi \alpha$ in the sense of 'oplinion'; cf. v. 105 § 2. Hence Ullrich's correction $\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \grave{~} \delta \delta \xi ్ \xi a \nu$ will be needless. тapaıvєiv, 'suadere,' advise (suggest).

## CHAPTER XXXVIII.

 $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta$ II. 61 § 2.
$\theta a v \mu \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \theta \dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \omega v$, the mention of the agent implies the action which excites surprise.
$\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$, not an accusative of direct object, but due to secondary meaning of $\pi \rho \circ \theta \epsilon i v a l, ~ ' p r o p o s e ' ~(c f . ~ 36 ~ § ~ a ~ d r o \delta o i ̂ v a t ~ \beta o u \lambda \epsilon u ́ \sigma a \sigma \theta a t) . ~$.
 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau \iota$.

тpós, 'in favour of,' 'on the side of.' Cf. 59 § i.
$\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$, sc. $\ddot{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\partial} \iota \kappa \eta \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$. Such implications of the opposite are constantly recurring.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \lambda \nu \tau \in \rho \alpha$, , all the duller' (i.e. for delay).
$\tau \hat{\omega} \pi a \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, dependent not on $\bar{\epsilon} \gamma \gamma u \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \omega$, but on the compound ex-
 iI. 89 § 10 .
$\kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu \circ v$, the usual substitute for $\tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \epsilon \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$. 'But when revenge follows as closely as possible upon the wrong done, the satisfaction it exacts proves most adequate.' dva $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} v \epsilon$, questioned by Stahl, may yet stand: 'it recovers' (Hdt.).

Hude sees a difficulty unless $\dot{o} \pi a \theta \omega \dot{\omega} \nu$ be taken as the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon!$ : he therefore proposes to treat $\kappa \epsilon \ell \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ as accus. absol., reading toû $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i v$, 'if it be enacted that retaliation follow at once upon the being wronged.' He thus accounts for absence of article with ápúvaб大al (Cod. Laur.). (Cf. Hude, Comment. Crit., p. 97.)
 on analogy of $\epsilon i$. 'I wonder who the man will be who will oppose me or presume to point out that...our own misfortunes result in injury to our allies.'
 early editors, - witness Dobree's 'non intelligo'-but rightly interpreted
by Arnold. In 56 the same doctrine is inculcated, viz. the impossibility of identifying the interests of a rúpappos mbiles with those of

rò $\pi$ áve Sokoûv: (1) an undoubted resolution (i.e. in reference to the $\psi \dot{\eta} \phi \quad \sigma \mu a)$; (2) 'that which has unquestioned approval' (i.e. as
 to the 'consensus hominum'). Poppo, Stahl and Kriger all take the second view, on the ground of the tense of the participle $\tau \delta$ ōoкой, not tò objgav. The tense of the participle is in itself no clue, the decision not being as yet rescinded: hence $\tau \grave{\partial} \delta \delta_{0} \kappa \hat{\nu} \nu$ could stand. Again in $3^{6}$ $\S+\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta a \iota$ is the very word used. But, on the other hand, the question of $\mu \in \tau a \mu \epsilon \in \epsilon є a$ has already been dealt with in c. 37: in § I the
 weight of the argument is concentrated on the twofold thesis of the ó sentence, doósías and छ̀vpфopás. 'My opponent,' says Cleon, 'must either be relying on his powers of rhetoric for sume brilliant effort of casuistry, or bribed to mislead you by some elaborate trick of oratory.'

 display.'

кє́pסєє є̇таиро́ $\mu \epsilon$ vos, cf. Diodotus' reply, 42 § 3 .
тò єủmpєтย́s (a hit at Gorgias' єúє $\pi \epsilon \iota a$ ), 'specious,' 'attractive' (vili. 66 § 1).

єкттоиทีбas, 'elaborating.' Cf. vi. 31 § 3. The allusion is to the oratorical arts of the school of Gorgias and Protagoras. The visit of Gorgias to Athens is recorded in Diod. Sic. Xir. 53.
$\pi a p a ́ \gamma є เ \nu=a ं \pi a \tau a ̂ \nu(S c h o l).$.
$\pi \epsilon เ \rho a \sigma \epsilon \tau a l$, note the change from indirect future form $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \nu i \sigma \alpha / \tau$ ' ă $\nu$ to direct, as indicating the view on which Cleon prefers to dwell.
§ 3. évépols, sc. тois p̊ $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau \circ \rho \sigma \iota \nu$ (Schol.).
 on her own shoulders.'
§4. altion, 'the blame lies with your own false notions of fair play.'

 á $\gamma \omega \nu 0 \theta \in \tau \alpha l$, Hdt. VI. 126.
oirtves, see note on 57 § 3.
Өtaral, lit. 'spectators of debates, but listeners in action,' i.e. 'you keep your eyes for words, your ears for deeds.'

standpoint of clever speakers, but, when you come to actual results, the accomplished fact you segard as no more credible for the seeing it than that which you have heard upon the evidence of specious verbal criticism'; cf. VII. q $^{8}$ § 3. I'oppo aptly quotes I'laut. Truc. 11. 6. 8 'qui audiunt audita dicunt, qui vident plane sciunt.'
tò Spaöév, partitive apposition to $\pi \in \pi \rho a \gamma^{\prime} \dot{\text { éva }}$. For the form $\delta \rho a \sigma \theta \in ́ \nu$ cf. $5+$ § I.
ő $\psi \in \iota$, cansal, 'by reason of.' Cf. 37 § 2 i $\sigma \chi u ́ \iota$.
$\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$, cf. 56 § 3 note.
aंmò $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ धं $\pi เ \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, not to be construed with $\dot{\alpha} \kappa о v \sigma \theta \ell \nu$, but as forming complete prepositional predication in antithesis to ö $\psi \epsilon \iota$ 。 $\lambda a \beta o ́ \nu$ $\tau \in s$ must be referred to each member of the sentence.
§ 5. каl $\mu \in \tau \alpha$ каเvóт $\eta$ тоs к.т. $\lambda$., a notable instance of $\lambda \epsilon \xi \iota \iota$ єipo $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$. The appositional construction is at once continuous and complicated, the participial clauses, partly substantival partly active, resolving themselves into a threefold kaì sequence. The analysis is this: kai $\mu \in \tau \dot{a} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$





The first кai sequence, continuing the construction from the original $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \nu 0 \theta \epsilon \tau 0 u \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \mathfrak{s}$ with distributive $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \epsilon$ clause, finds an explanation in


The second кai sentence, like the first, is resolved into $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, \ldots \delta \dot{\prime}$, but


The third каi sentence is resolved into a тє...каi sequence ( $\pi \rho о a, \sigma$ $\theta \epsilon \epsilon \theta a \iota \tau \in \kappa \alpha i$ т $\rho \circ \nu \circ \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$ ), but resumes the original construction with substantival verb.

Then follows a supplementary sentence or corollary, marked by $\tau \epsilon . . . \kappa a l$.
'Thus, when new-fangled tricks of rhetoric step in, you are adepts in the art of self-deception, but experts in refusing to follow an argument backed by proof, slaves as you are of each new paradox, but disdainful of all that is familiar,-your first and foremost aim each one of you to make himself an orator, or, in default of this, vying with these dealers in paradox in proving yourselves no dullards in following their drift or in promptly anticipating by approval any point made, as keen in anticipating proposals as you are slow in foreseeing the inevitable results, seeking, if I may so say, something different from the conditions of common life, though without clear perception of the facts
before yon；in brief，mere slaves of the delight of ear－tickling and more like the circle of a professor＇s admirers than a nation＇s counsellors．＇
 $\epsilon \downarrow \delta \delta^{\prime} \kappa \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．

 ooкoûv，＇a principle generally approved．＇L．and S．quote from Plato Laws Gو5 $\left.\xi^{\xi} v\right\rangle \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \lambda_{6} \gamma \varphi$ ，＇to follow，＇i．e．＇to understand．＇

ข์тєро́ттаL，＇contemptores，＇a rare word．
§ 6．ßou入ó $\mu \in v o s$, partitive apposition．
тoîs тotav̂тa $\lambda$＇́́yovot，sc．тà ắтoтa（Krüg．）．

ó $\xi \in \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，construe with $\pi \rho о є \pi a \iota \nu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma a l$ ．
$\tau \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \tau o s$, enclitic misplaced（cf．Platonic use of $\lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \epsilon \nu \tau t, \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon L \nu$ ovo $\delta \ell \nu)$ ，＇when any point is made．＇
kai $\pi \rho o \delta^{\theta} \theta \mu \mathrm{o}$ ，sc．övtєs，retuming to original construction；the re－ tention of $\epsilon \tau \nu a l$ involves some straining of the Greek．
 Cf．Plato，Theaet． 174 A．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \bar{s}$, ＇in brief，＇＇in fine．＇
$\eta \dot{\eta} \delta o v \hat{\eta} \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \in \nu \circ$ ，dative to avoid confusion of double genitive．
 бoфเ⿱亠ท门s see Dr Jackson＇s excellent article in Encycl．Britann．

каөךце́vors，no need for Krug．＇s explanation＇desidentes．＇The Athenians debated sitting．Cf．Arist．$\sigma \kappa \lambda \eta \rho a ̂ s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \pi \epsilon \tau \rho a s ~ к \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$ оӥт $\omega$ s．$\theta$ earaîs，predicative，＇sitting as admirers．＇（Hud．）

## CHAPTER XXXIX．

§ 1．$\hat{\omega} v$（Schol．$\epsilon \pi \iota \tau \eta \delta \epsilon v \mu a \dot{\tau} \tau \nu)$ ，without definite antecedent．
àтотре́тєtv，by some restricted in Thuc．to the rhetorical limit of ＇dissuasion．＇（Cf．$\pi \rho о т \rho о \pi \dot{\eta})($ à $\pi о т \rho о \pi \dot{\eta}$.$) Here =$＇discourage．＇Cf． $8_{2}$ $\S 4 \dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \rho \circ \pi \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho \delta \dot{\phi} \alpha \sigma t s$.
aंтофаiv，＇conative＇present，＇am for proving，＇＇am trying to prove．＇St．explains as＇contend＇；cf． 11.62 § 2． Cl ．sees a reference to $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \pi о \phi a l \nu \omega$ in $3^{8}$ § 2.
$\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \delta \eta$＇，$\delta \dot{\eta}$ with superlative in intensive furce，＇the very
 emphatic apposition．
§2. oittves $\mu \dot{\eta}, \mu \dot{\eta}$ marks the general application of the principle; direct personal allusion to Mytilenaeans is by implication only.
$\eta$ oirtves, the balance of the sentence oiltuves $\mu$ t $\boldsymbol{\nu} \ldots$ oiltues $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ is really complete without the second oirtves. But Thuc. doubtlessly intended to distinguisil $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \nu \nu a \tau o i ~ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu .$. from $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa a \sigma \theta \epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon s:$ the anaphora is intentional.

$\boldsymbol{\nu} \bar{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \chi \bar{\omega} \nu$, 'an island, and a fortified one as well.' A double advantage, (1) isolation, secured by aú $\tau \dot{\rho} \rho \kappa \eta s \theta \in \sigma \iota s$, cf. I. 37 § 3 . (2) means of defence-nature was backed by art. The words of Vil. 57

$\dot{\epsilon} v \stackrel{\psi}{\dot{\varphi}}$, not of necessity temporal as in § 3, but denoting conditions or circumstances.
kal av̉rol, independently of allies.
$\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma к є \cup \eta{ }^{n}$, collective, cf. II. 9 § 6 ; the dative is causal.
aúróvouoí $\tau \in, \tau \epsilon$ resumes the original construction after its inter-

és $\tau \alpha$ d $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \alpha$, cf. $56 \S 6$. The 's merely marks the limit more distinctly than the accus. of limitation without preposition (cf. Hdt. IX. 16 § I. Kr.).
 cf. the Lat. use 'nec plus quam solitum testificatur opus' ( $O v$ v. Fast. I. 170). Zumpt, Lat. Gr. $\S 77 \mathrm{I}$, gives several instances. $^{\text {O }}$

For rhetorical form of question, cf. $66 \S 2 \pi \hat{\omega} s$ où $\delta \epsilon \omega \nu \dot{a} \epsilon i \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$;
̇̇ $\pi \epsilon \beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon v \sigma a v$, 'conspired against us and rebelled against us' rather than 'seceded from us.' Note the aggressive $\epsilon \pi i$, and for $\pi a \rho o \nu o \mu \alpha \sigma i a$ cf. I. 122 § 4 катафро́vךбts...áфробúv .
$\alpha \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s \mu \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon$, note the asyndeton, on which see 66 § 2 , and cf. I. 40 § 4 for similar parenthetical explanation.
$\boldsymbol{\gamma}$, 'quidem,' reinforcing $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu}$, true to its habit of emphasizing the word it follows. Cf. $\delta \epsilon \in \epsilon \ldots \mu \eta \boldsymbol{\nu} \gamma \epsilon$.
$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \gamma \epsilon=\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, but without such strong adversative force, suggesting rather a $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ 'solitarium.' Where $\gamma \epsilon$ precedes, $\delta \dot{\eta}$ follows, e.g. $\gamma \epsilon \mu \notin \nu \partial \dot{\eta} \eta$.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \iota \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$, mark the prominence given to $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \in \mu \iota o t$ in emphatic antithesis side by side with $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ (in accordance with the Greek habit of placing in juxtaposition the things or persons compared, in anticipation of construction).
$\sigma \tau \eta ̂ v a l ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a ́, ~ c f . ~ V i l . ~ 6 I ~ § ~ 3, ~ ' s t a r e ~ c u m, ' ~ \sigma \tau \eta ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \pi p o ́ s ~ \tau ı \nu a, ~ I I I . ~ I I ~ § ~ 3 . ~$
ка日' avíov́s, in opposition to $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \grave{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu \omega \tau \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu$, and in direct contrast to $\dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
$\delta^{j} v a \mu \iota \nu \kappa \tau \omega \mu \in \nu \circ$, 'by way of acquiring power,' i.e. in the course of acquiring, 'for the acquisition of power.' lietter explained as participle of incomplete effort (conative), than as temporal (imperfect) iv $\psi$ е́ктஸ̂ขто.
§ 3. $\pi a \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon เ \gamma \mu \alpha$, 'exemplum,' 'warning'; cf. 40 § I\&. (No need for тapaócir $\mu a \tau a$.) The position implies a predicative relation to two distinct suljects $\xi v \mu \phi o p a i ~ a n d ~ e v i \delta a u \mu o v i a, ~ t h e ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~ c h a n g i n g, ~$ however, with the second member of the sentence. Once more we have

 oilte is suspicious, and finds no confirmation from the two cases quoted ly Stahl, viz. v. 7 § 4 (where oúot precedes), and III. 96 § 3 (where the text is doubtful). As in I . 16 the dative suggests the connexion. But may not Thuc. have treated $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \hat{\delta}^{\delta} \iota \gamma \mu a$ as appositional to sentence, and given '̇'ย́vovto a pregnant sense? 'By way of warning in their case neither did the reverses of others serve, nor did their prosperity for the moment occasion reluctance to face this venture.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \in \lambda a s$, 'their fellows,' i.e. '̈tepol v$\eta \sigma \iota \omega \tau \tau a \iota(\mathrm{~V}, 97$ ). An Ionic use, cf. Idt. 11I. 4t, but Attic also. Aeginetans or Eubocans could hardly be called neighbours of Lesbos.

$\pi a \rho \in ́ \sigma X \in \nu$ őkvov $\mu \dot{\eta}$, no need for Herwerden's $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ò̉, or for any supplement of $\tau o \hat{v}$ with infinitive. The addition of ov is not inevitable, and with these words of hindering the construction varies between rò $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \eta$ (cf. I § 2).
$\pi \rho o ' s ~ t o ̀ ~ \mu e ́ \lambda \lambda o v, ~ n o t ~ m e r e l y ~ ' i n ~ v i e w ~ o f ' ~ b u t ~ a l s o ~ ' o n ~ t h e ~ s t r e n g t h ~$ of,'-they had forestalled success. $\theta$ pareis, 'reckless.'
edतíartes, the hope is here an ambition. Cf. the old poetic use of $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \pi i s$, Pind. Pyth. II. 49: 'their projects, though far beyond their power, were all too little for their ambition.'
$\mu \alpha к \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon p a=\mu \epsilon i \zeta \omega$, 'all too great for.' sce Pp. I. I 4 § 3 nute.
 peots.

év $\hat{\omega}$, temporal, 'at a moment when,' pointing to a katpós.
dं $\delta^{\prime}$ เкои́ $\mu \in v o t$, present participial, either in technical sense, cf. $65 \$_{2}$ $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa o \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \nu$, or of the $\dot{\alpha} \delta \delta \kappa \eta \mu \alpha$ still continuing.
 Weil (Rev, de l'hilul. II. 90) is no relief. The Scholia give no real clue. Gelzer places ô' $\begin{gathered} \\ \text { axiotou before is 'ißpiv. The yuctation from }\end{gathered}$

Philistus is a simple transcription from Thuc．Ifude，while suggesting that the Scholiast read $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \dot{a} \pi \rho \rho \sigma o \delta o \kappa \eta t o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \delta \iota ' ~ \dot{e} \lambda a \chi i \sigma \tau o v, ~ y e t ~ a d d s ~ s$ ＇durissime dici omnes consentiunt．＇Stahl explains＇maxime et minimo intervallo．＇$\mu \alpha \lambda^{\lambda} \sigma \sigma \sigma a$ I understand of the degree of prosperity，of．Pind． Pyth．111．105，i．e．＇the larger the measure of prosperity，the more sudden its access，the more intoxicating its effect．＇The ámpoo $\delta o{ }^{\circ} \kappa \eta \tau o s$ єúrpa乡la finds its explanation in the exceptional weakness of Athens， from pestilence and war expenditure．（Cf． 13 § 4．）
 єu่tvхoúซas．Cf．vili． 46 § 3 ．

rà $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \alpha$ ．．．єv̉rux 0 ûvta，the vulgate，explained by Kr．and Pp ． as a nominative，on the plea of absence of second article with evirv－ xoûvta．Hude＇s єúrvxoû̀tą he justifies by reference to V． 26 § 2，vin． 10 § I ．Badham＇s $\epsilon \dot{\tau} \tau \cup \chi 0 \hat{0} \sigma \iota$ seems needless．On the ground that the Scholiast appears to have had a second article in his text，as also on the score that $\lambda$ and $r$ are frequently confused，I have ventured to insert $\tau \alpha$ ， but with grave suspicion that $\epsilon \dot{r} v \chi \chi \hat{u} v \tau a$ should be $\epsilon \hat{v} \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau a$ ．＇As a rule，success is less dangerous when in accordance with man＇s expecta－ tions（calculations）than when it takes him by surprise．＇The whole passage is a comment on $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho o \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \in \dot{\delta} \delta a \epsilon \mu \nu \nu$ ia of Mytilene．

For the sentiment cf．IV． 17 § 4 and Demosth．Olynth．I § 23 ．

aंtఉ00yิvral，＇detrudunt，＇＇stave off，＇＇fend off，＇a naval word．Cf． $\delta \iota \omega \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a l$, II． $8+\S 3$ ．Note the change to personal construction．

Sıa⿱㇒日勺̛̆ovtal，＇preserve to the last，＇＇keep intact＇：middle of self－ interest．Cf．v． 16 § ฐ $\delta \iota a \sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \in \cup \dot{T} u \chi$ โav．
§ 5．Xp $\hat{\eta} v$ ，＇the right plan was＇（i．e．would have been）：for position cf． 46 § 6．кal $\pi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda \alpha \iota$ ，＇long，long ago，＇ 13 § i．

ठıaф́́povtas，predicative with $\tau \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \eta \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
 $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu \mu \eta \delta \grave{\iota} \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \iota \mu \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a l$ ，кai naturally continues the apodosis．（See Goodw．M．T．§ 416 sqq．）
és tó $\delta \epsilon$ ，＇adeo＇（Classen）．Cf．I． 75 § 3.
кal ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，＇even under other conditions．＇Pp．＇omnino．＇Kr．＇in the main＇－a generalising expression．Cf．Vinf． 45 § I．

vimєрфроvєiv，with accusative，V1． 68 § 2.
Өavpá̧єเv，＇respect．＇

 on I. 34 § 1 .
kal $\downarrow v ิ v$, reflecting кal $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \iota$ sup., 'late as it is.'
 the few, but acquit the many.' Cf. 56 § $7 \cdot$
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \gamma \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$, 'quod ad nos quidem attinet,' 'there was no lack of unanimity in attacking us.'
ois $\gamma \epsilon$, 'quippe quibus,' plural including both $\delta \hat{\eta} \mu$ os and $\delta \lambda \lambda$ i $o 九$.
 Cobet's $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha$ (Hdt. vi. IOO) practically come to the same thing, 'the leading power,' except that Herw. (Stud. Th. p. I43) suggests a forensic use of iv 'in the estimation of' (sc. Athens). No change is needed. The words $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \nu \nu \alpha \mu \epsilon t, 93 \S 2$, give a clue to the construction, and the expression oú $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \kappa \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ (IV. Io6 § i) to the interpretation, 'reinstated in their city.' In fact the phrase implies é $\chi$ elv $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 difficulty of plural inflexion in the form $\epsilon \mu \pi 0 \lambda$ es suggested the periphrasis ̇̀ $\nu \pi$ б $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ єìvaı,
$\beta \epsilon \beta$ tórєрov, 'the safer game,' i.e. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \in \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o v$.
§ 7. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \xi \nu \mu \mu a ́ x \omega v$, partitwe, as much in comnexion with $\tau l v a$ övтıva oú as with roîs $\tau \epsilon \dot{a} \nu a \gamma \kappa a \sigma \theta \epsilon i \sigma \iota \nu$ and rois ékoûбu (Kir. and St.).
$\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, probably the true reading : cf. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \rho i \beta \epsilon \iota \nu$.

 Such assimilation is very rare, except in the case of the object accusative.

Bpaxeía mpoфó $\sigma \epsilon$, dative of circumstance, 'with but small pretext.' то́́фaбıs in Thuc. is almost synonymous for aitia, cf. 1,3 § 1 .


ท̂, the construction changes from substantive ( $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon v \theta \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \sigma$ 纤) to verbal substantive, in form of non-articular infinitive ( $\pi \alpha \theta \in i \nu)$. Cf. Goodw. M. T. § 745, Krüg. G. G. 59. 2. 3.
 grammatical connexion with $\sigma \kappa \xi \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$. But the sequence $\tau \epsilon \ldots \delta \epsilon$ is not unfamiliar.
 Thuc., 'shall have to risk to the uttermost.'

тuxóvtes, hypothetical, 'if successful.' Cf. 82 §9.
 all time to come' (in perpetuity).
$\sigma \tau \epsilon p \eta{ }^{\sigma} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, 'you will sacrifice,' 'have to forego.' The distinction of $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \mu a \iota$ from $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho i \sigma \kappa о \mu a \iota$ is one which must not be pressed too far. For confusion of persons of. v. 9 § I : the speaker identifies himself with his audience.
$\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \eta \sigma \rho \epsilon \epsilon$, 'we shall have to fight.' All these futures are prophetic, 'minatory or monitory' (Gildersleeve).

## CHAPTER XL.

 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \partial \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \nu$, with active force. (Cf. Jebb's note.)
$\xi \mathcal{\xi}^{\gamma} \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$ á $\mu a \rho \tau \epsilon i \hat{} \nu$, appositional construction, 'they will find erring humanity an excuse.' $\grave{\gamma \gamma \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu, ~ ' a ~ p l e a ~ o f ~ e x c u s e, ' ~ ' g r o u n d ~ f o r ~ e x-~}$ cuse.' With Stahl's suggestion of roû omitted, 'veniam peccandi,' it is hard to acquiesce. $\alpha^{v} v \rho \omega \pi i v \omega s$, 'humanitus.' Construe with $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon i v$,
 (Cobet's $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \dot{\delta} \nu \tau \epsilon s$ points to a misconception.) 'This is not a question of accidental damage, but of wilful conspiracy.' The distinction is that of $\beta \backslash a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu)(\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \epsilon \hat{\nu}$, familiar to all readers of Aristotle's Ethics. The $\dot{a} \delta \kappa i a$ takes the form of $\dot{\epsilon \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda \eta \text { '. The strong point is the 'malice }}$ prepense,' the $\pi \rho o a i \rho \epsilon \sigma \iota s$ (intention) implied in eiobtcs. The negative oú is attracted to the verb, cf. 66 § 2 тà ó $\mu \circ \hat{a} a$ oúк $\dot{a} \nu \tau a \pi t \dot{\delta} о \tau \epsilon$.
 (Sch.). Cf. Iv. 98 § 6.
 ( $\delta \iota \epsilon \mu a \chi \in \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu)$ каi $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \iota a \mu a ́ \chi o \mu a b$ (Schol.), 'ut ab initio, ita nunc.' Cf. I. 86 § 2 .

Sıaцáxоцаи, $\delta$ á intensive, 'fight to the end' (through thick and thin). $\mu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \vee \omega \hat{\omega}$ au, epexegetic infin., 'contend for your not changing,' i.e. 'protest to the last against your changing.'
$\pi \rho \circ \delta \epsilon \delta \circ \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \mathrm{va}$, note the $\pi \rho \sigma$ 'prae, prius.' Cf. 'praeiudicatum.'
 strument [a construction possible with substantive, e.g. äpнать עiк $\eta$ (Pind.), $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau \iota \beta$ oj́ $\theta \epsilon \iota a$ (Aristot.)].
§ 3. è $\lambda$ кos ( cf . oiктоs, § 2). The personal replaces the impersonal




$\dot{\epsilon} \xi \mathfrak{\alpha} v a ́ \gamma \kappa \eta s$, from force of circumstances (Jowett).
ka日eorêras, not 'who have taken up the attitude,' but 'who are placed in the position' (of inveterate foes).
oi téprovtes, with reference to the second $\dot{\alpha} \xi \dot{u} \mu \phi о \rho o \nu$ of § 3 : cf. $\dot{\eta} \delta о \nu \hat{\eta}$ áкоŋิs ( 38 sub fin.).

à $\boldsymbol{u} v a$, a 'field' or 'sphere' of action, 'arena.' Cf. the 'competition' of chap. 37 and 38.

кai $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\Psi}$, the sequence $\kappa \alpha \dot{l} \mu \dot{\eta}$ must, I think, point to some imperative force lurking in ésovat, 'they will have to find,' i.e. 'must find,' naturally followed by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (of deprecation). See Goodw. M. T. §s 69 and 70. Cf. Soph. O. C. 956 . A trajection of the negative as $=\kappa \alpha l{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \Psi \mu \eta$ is hardly possible (cf. $84 \S 2$ ).

The construction $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \hat{\psi}$ is loose, probably clue to the alverlial character of the expression, as $=\dot{\xi} \nu \partial \alpha$ : or the singular may serve to emphasize the particular case in view.


ék тoû є̂̉ єiteîv tò mâeîv єủ, Class. notes the chiasmus. The reference in $\epsilon \hat{\hat{v}} \pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i \nu$ is to the $\theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i a \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi p o \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ of 1 § $\S$, and of which Cleon himself was perhaps not without experience.
€̇тєéкєเa, 'consideration,' 'forbearance.' In Ar. Eth. VI. 10 § 8 the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon \epsilon$ ท́s figures as the opposite of áкрıßодікасоs.
$\delta$ (8отаı, Lat. 'indulgere.'
ó $\mu$ oious, sc. $\gamma^{\nu} \omega \dot{\mu} \eta$ (vid. sup. § 4), 'consistent' (i.e. unchanged) pre-
 for.
oú $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma \sigma v$, 'none the less hostile' (i.e. in spite of all forbearance).


$\pi \epsilon \theta$ ó $\mu \in v o l$, 'hy following my advice,' i.e. 'if you follow.' The participle carries with it a presumption.

סıкаเш́ $\epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, 'stand self-condemned' (cf. act. use ठıкаьoîv). Not here in IIdt. sense of 'punishment,' but of sentence preceding punishment. The middle verb with í $\mu \hat{s}$ aútoús constitutes a double reflection. ov̉ Xapıєิิणधє. Cf. 37 § 2.
 unreal condition, but an aorist replacing a definite tense: an inter-
change rendered possible by the fact of the aorist only marking ＇simple occurrence．＇（See Goodw．M．T．54，58．）
äpxorrє áv，modified future．＇If it be the case that these culprits are justified in revolting，you must be ruling without right．＇
oú Xpєడ́v，accus．absolute．
єi $\delta \dot{\text { è }} \delta \dot{\eta}$ ，$\delta \epsilon$ marking contrast or connexion，$\delta \dot{\eta}$ inferential，＇but if， in that case．＇
ov $\pi$ rooonkov，assuming the absence of right：hence oủ，in spite of ci preceding．The absolute accus．is complete in itwelf；for purposes of syntax＝$\pi a \rho \alpha$ тò $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta ิ к о \nu$.

тои̂тo $\delta \rho \hat{̣} v$（i．e．ăp $\rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ），a common synonym in Thuc．，cf．I． 5 § 2.
mapà tò cikós，＇in defiance of equity，＇reverting to topic of $\epsilon \pi /$－ etкєга．

тoi，＇look you，＇a colloquial use，found three times only in Thuc．
кai тоv́o $\delta \epsilon$ ，каi includes Mytilene in the list of Athens＇legitimate dependents．
 á $\rho \chi \hat{\eta}$（Schol．）．Cf．§ 5 ．

ко入áఢєбӨaь，middle，cf．Arist．Vesp． 406.

avסpaya日i\}eo日al, 'play the honest man,' i.e. affect the tone of that high morality which shirks all dangers．Cleon＇s bite goes deeper than a mere policy of masterly non－intervention；＇either，＇he says，＇accept the risks of empire or avoid those risks by sacrificing it．＇The whole passage is a direct allusion to Pericles＇words in ir． 63 ．The risk im－ plied is $\hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{a} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} \dot{a} \pi \pi \dot{\eta} \chi \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$（cf．v．91）；the taunt is that they lack the courage of their own opinions．
§ 5．Tท̂ Tє aư $\mathfrak{n}$ §ัquía，＇thus，by the self－same punishment de－ termine to avenge yourselves and to shew that you are no less keenly alive to danger，you who are bent on escaping the plot，than those who laid it．＇тที่ aútñ，the same which Mytilene could have inflicted on you．
 $\dot{\epsilon} v \theta \nu \mu \eta \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{S}, \mathrm{cf} . \epsilon \in \nu \quad \theta \nu \mu \hat{\varphi} \beta a \lambda \epsilon i v$, ＇laying to heart，reflecting seriously．＇
 Tクбav．Note the juxtaposition of the two participles，крaтウ́бavtas，of assumption，$\pi \rho o u \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \xi a \nu \tau a s$, of fact．

ๆ์ $\boldsymbol{v}$ ，Stahl sees allusion＇ad tempus defectionis．＇See the true ex－ planation in Goodw．M．T．§415．
 another，press their malice to the utmost，even to their own undoing，
in their suspicions of danger to be apprehended from one who is left to be their enemy.'
 strued with it.
$\pi \rho о ф \alpha^{\sigma} \sigma \iota$, cf. 40 § 6.
 $\delta \iota 6 \lambda \lambda$. finds confirmation from Plat. Phaedr. 254 E $\phi \dot{\beta} \beta \varphi$ ôtóג入utar. Revenge must be had at any price, even though it prove a l'yrrhic victory. The principle involved is, of course, 'odisse quem laeseris.'

 'for he who has suffered a needless wrong (a wanton injury) is more implacable when the danger is past (when he has escaped the plot) than a mere enemy on equal terms (i.e. who merely gets what he gives).'
$\mu \mathfrak{\eta} \xi \dot{v} v$ áváyкn, the correctors of MSS. appear to have referred this to a secondary use of $\dot{\alpha} \nu$ á $\gamma \kappa \eta$ (cf. Iv. $87 \S 3$ ), but the real allusion is to the absence of all pressure of Athenian yoke or external compulsion (cf. 39 § $2 \dot{\nu} \pi \delta \dot{\delta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu a \gamma \kappa \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \in \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s})$.
$\chi^{a \lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi \omega \dot{\tau} \tau \rho \circ \mathrm{~s}$, 'harder to reckon with': as sterner in his reprisals.
ảmò $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{l}$ '̈ $\quad \eta \mathrm{s}$, cf. I. 15 § 2 , 'qui aeque alterum laesit' Pp., 'a case of six in the one and half a dozen in the other,' in terms of the common proverb. He merely 'gives what he gets.'

Sıaфuүஸ́v, cf. 82 § 5 for conditional participle. (Bauer's allusion to those who are 'sui iuris' (ioó $\eta \phi 0 c$ ) limits the case too much.)
$\gamma \in v o ́ \mu \epsilon v o l$ ' $\delta$ ' öть '̇үүútata, 'realising as closely as possible your

 $\mu \eta \kappa \in \tau \iota \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \circ \nu \tau \iota$.



 moment.'
 'in view of' the present awful plight of Mytilene. The Schol, takes the first view, Portus the second.
'єтькрєцаб日є́vтоs, 'imminent,' 'impending.' Cf. II. 53 § 4.
§8. Tov́rous $\tau \epsilon$ кai $\tau 0 \hat{s}$ ä $\lambda \lambda$ dors, the position of $\tau \epsilon$ is due to the close connexion between the two pronouns, marked by $\tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa \alpha i$.
$\xi$ ยчциа́хоเs, cf. 39 fin.

 appeal to amalogy of tivoual is doubtful. Cf. Goodiv. M. T. § 904 .
ös äv $\dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \tau \alpha \iota=\tilde{\eta} \nu \tau \iota s \dot{\alpha} \phi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \tau a \iota$, forming object clause.


## CHAPTER XLI.

$\Delta$ tó8otos. With the exception of the speech constructed for him by Thucydides, nothing is known of him. Was his father Eucrates the $\sigma \tau v \pi \pi \epsilon \iota \circ \pi \dot{\prime} \lambda \eta$ s (cf. Aristoph. Eq. 129), or the brother of Nicias? (cf. Lysistrat. 103).
 M.T. § 80\%.

каі то́тє, 'once more.'

## CHAPTER XLII.

The whole speech should be compared with Sall. Cat. 51 and the opening words with 38 § I.
§1. $\delta\llcorner a \gamma v \omega \mu \eta v$, cf. 1. 87 § 6 , a word peculiar to Thucydides.
 dispraise in $\mu \epsilon \mu \phi о \mu \epsilon$ 'ขous : a retort to 38 § 3 .

Sv́o тà évavtıம́tara, Classen destroys comma at $\epsilon \tau \nu a l$, and treats
 sup. 40 § 2. The joint enumeration $\tau \epsilon \ldots$...kai as contrasted with the disjunct $\eta ้ \ldots \eta$ そ้ in I. $122 \S+$ justifies Classen's interpretation.
 $\tau o ̀ ~ \mu ' \nu \nu$ - $\grave{2} \delta \dot{\prime}$, both are 'pessimi consultores,' Sall. Cat. 5 r . Note the false balance of periods, the $\delta \epsilon \in$ sentence being enlarged by $\beta \rho a \chi u ́ \tau \eta \tau o s$ $\gamma_{\nu} \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \mathrm{s}$. The moral is ( 1 ) 'fools rush in,' (2) 'anger is madness of the moment.'
ăvota, 'unreason': here almost dं ${ }^{\text {doyia. }}$
 'bigotry.'

Bpaxúrŋтos, 'shallowness,' but, perhaps on analogy of $\mu \kappa \kappa р о$ s $=$ $\mu$ ккрочихia. Cf. its opposite $\beta$ á $\theta o s$, Plato, Theaetet. I 83 E. Thucydides

§ 2. тoús $\tau \in$ 入óyous, for argument cf. 38 § 4, 'that words (statements) do not serve to explain matters.' $\pi \rho a \dot{\gamma} \mu a \tau \alpha$, 'affairs' from the statesman's point of view, not ${ }^{\prime} p \gamma a$ 'exploits' or 'achievements.'
 छ彑uve grvecós is one who can appreciate the argumenis of the speaker，who is able ócà $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ ouvopâ $\nu$ ，to take in at a glance an argument of many steps．

Lסía $\tau \iota a v ̉ \tau \hat{̣}$ סıaфépєt，＇has some personal interest at stake．＇
ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \tau \tau \downarrow \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ，instrumental dative．
 although $\mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \hat{\eta} \theta a \iota \pi \epsilon \rho$（viri， 47 § 2）might suggest an analogry．

Suvaтòv €ival＝èvố́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，impersonal；cf．áôúvatov， 37 § I．
фрáбal，＇explain，＇cf．I． 145 § I．
aíoxpóv，cognate accusative．

oúk äv $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \in i t a l$ ，on analogy of oú $\phi \eta \mu i$ ，the negative rcally belongs to the infinitive，as also the äv；cf．Goodw．M．T．§ 220.
$\epsilon i . .$. ov，oủ is due to litotes，as $\epsilon l=$ ör $\iota$ ．
тои̂ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ кa入ov̂，＇res inhonesta，＇＇discreditable．＇Note the mapovo．
 Keiske as＇cum successu．＇Mialler translates＇successfully＇in cither case．

Diodotus＇point is that，though he thinks he cannot well speak in a bad cause，yet he may slander well（i．e．cleverly）．
 тatos，Eq．45．Sıaßá $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$（I）of＇aspersing，＇＇prejudicing，＇by＇throwing out sops to Cerberus，＇（2）of＇setting at variance＇；cf．Arist．Rhet．I． I § 4.
 $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\gamma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ must not be pressed in Thucydides．
§ 3．Xa入єாผ́тarot，＇most difficult to deal with＇（cf．sup． 40 § 6）．
kal，in awkward position ：hence Poppo＇s proposed transposition and the suggestion of Badham oi．．．éкєivor．But see Krïg．G．（i．1． 95 § 4 ． Jowett explains by confusion of $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi 0 i$ кai of $\pi \rho o \sigma$ ．with $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \omega \dot{\omega} \alpha a \tau 06$ $\delta \dot{\delta}$ oi $\pi \rho$ ．＇there is yet another class，and those most difficult to deal with．＇
 gain＇is most doubtful．There is，of course，direct allusion to Cleon＇s
 a＇professional opening＇to a young and rising rhetorician．
$\pi \rho о к а \tau \eta \gamma \circ \rho o u ̂ v \tau \epsilon s$, ＇anticipate the speech of their adversary＇（St．）． Class．retains $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \kappa a \tau \eta \gamma o p o u ̂ \tau \epsilon \epsilon$ ，＇supplement the accusation．＇
 quod ab) ostentatione ducitur proprium est ' (Quintil. III. 7 § i).

єi катптเิิขто, real for unreal condition.
á\&ıќтepos, 'dishonest': for double comparative see Thompson, G.S. § 127.

 charge of venality is brought to bear.' The gen. absol. replaces the $\epsilon i$ clause, the participles $\pi \epsilon i \sigma a s \ldots \tau \not \chi \omega \dot{\nu}$ containing the protasis. тє...кaí, 'sive,' 'sive.'
$\gamma(\gamma \nu \in \tau \alpha l$, 'evadit,' more expressive than $\phi$ 人lvetal.
тขХผ์v, cf. 39 § 8.
$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ águvєбías kal ädıкоs, for construction of prepositional clause with adjectival cf. I. 32 § 1 , II. 15 § 2.
 the verb.

$\xi \nu \mu \beta$ oú $\lambda \omega v$, 'consultores.' Thucydides is anticipating the later
 forensic.' Elsewhere in Thuc. in official sense; cf. 69 § i.
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau a . . e ̀ \lambda a \dot{\chi} \downarrow \sigma \tau a$, with force of double comparative.
тоѝs тolov́tous, retrospective, $=$ oi $\grave{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \chi \rho \eta \dot{\mu} \mu a \sigma \iota \pi \rho о \sigma к а т$.
$\pi \epsilon \omega \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon v$, there is no real change of subject as $\pi \delta$ hıs includes mo入itcal. See Rep. 359 E , with Heindorf's note on Gorgias 510 C . Dobree's $\pi \in \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i \eta$ ă $\nu$ avoids the difficulty. See crit. note.
§ 5. тòv áyäòv mo $\lambda i \tau \eta \nu$, 'the honest patriot,' the $\phi_{l} \lambda \delta \pi \pi 0 \lambda \iota s$. 'The right plan is for the honest patriot to prove his policy the better, not by intimidation, but by fair argument,' i.e. $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \nu \tau a$ (cf. § 2), but ảnò ťoov, 'by meeting his opponent on equal terms.'

тробть $\theta$ éval = 'accumulare.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma o u ̄ v$, the object is suppressed; the first $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ $=$ 'but in no way,' the second $=$ 'but not even.'
oúx ötws, as a rule, contains the weaker of two contrasted notions, 'so far from punishing him she should not even so much as disparage him.' Cf. Goodw. M. T. § 707.

 $\mu \grave{\eta} \tau v \chi b \nu \tau \alpha$, are slightly disturbed by the parenthesis $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ è $\lambda a \sigma \sigma o u ̂ \nu$.
 єival.
$\eta ̋ \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ äv, covers both clauses; Meyer connects with one clause only, vid. Stahl.

тapà $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$, not only 'contrary to judgment' but 'contrary to conscience.'
$\pi \rho \partial{ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{X}$ áptv, i.e. 'ad captandum.'
ó $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau v \chi \omega \dot{\omega}$, cf. sup. $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau v \chi \dot{\omega} \nu$, lit. 'missed the mark.'

$\pi \rho о \sigma a ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta a r$, 'sibi conciliare.'

## CHAPTER XLIII.

§ 1. $\tilde{\omega} v$, at once adversative and connecting: cf. 39 § 1 .
$\eta ้ \nu \tau$ เs $\dot{v} \pi \circ \pi \tau \epsilon \cup{ }^{\eta} \eta \tau \alpha$, with the verb $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ the suspicion becomes an impression : the zeugma is by no means harsh.
o$\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$, in spite of our suspicions.
$\phi \theta_{0} \eta_{\eta} \sigma a v \tau \epsilon s$ (sc. aúvê): the dative of object is suppressed: 'by resenting such unconfirmed impression of bribery, we deprive the state of such an obvious advantage.' Kriig. questions the construction $\phi \theta$ oveiv
 expressed in previous context). The difficulty may be met by treating the genitive as a 'genitivus unde,' marking the source or origin of $\phi \theta$ óvos.

סók $\eta \sigma$ ts, 'impression,' 'conviction,' not $\pi \rho о \sigma \delta o к i a, ~ ' e x p e c t a t i o n . ' ~ ' ~$
$\tau \bar{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ depends on $\dot{\alpha} \phi a \iota \rho o u ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$. For genitive in lieu of double accus. with $\dot{\alpha} \phi a \iota \rho \in i \sigma \theta \alpha l, c f . ~ 58$ § 5.
§ 2. каӨ́єттŋкє, 'usu venit' (Pp.), 'it has come to this.' For dependent infinitive cf: 1. 76 § 2.

ả̉ò тov̂ єúӨध́os, i.e. 'straightforward.'
тòv тà $\delta \in t v o ́ \tau a \tau a \beta$ ßou入ó $\mu \in v o v$, 'bent on pressing the most atrocious schemes.' For construction cf. sup. $42 \S 2$, note.
$\alpha \pi \alpha \tau \hat{\eta}$, the dative is answered by the participle $\psi \in v \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$.
$\pi เ \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a$, , dependent upon $\delta \in i ̂ v$.
§ 3. Tє, inferential, 'thus. ${ }^{2} \pi o ́ \lambda เ v$, without article. With Athenians there could be no mistake as to the $\pi \delta \lambda \iota s$ in view.
$\pi \epsilon \rho เ \nu 0$ ias, schol. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \rho \gamma i a$. Cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \eta \sigma \iota s, 82$ § 3, 'would-be wisdom,' 'excess of conceit' (Muiller); is it not rather 'excess of suspicion' (over-circumspection)? Cf. Arist. Ranae $95^{8} \pi \epsilon \rho \boldsymbol{L}^{\circ}$ oêt äлаута.
＇̇x тoû $\pi \rho \circ \phi \alpha v o u ̂ s, ~ e i t h e r ~ a ~ m e r e ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ f o r ~ d ं \pi \grave{o ̀ ~ r o u ̂ ~ c u ́ \theta t o s ~(s u p .), ~}$ or implying＇even when the benefit is obvious．＇

סıסoús，＇proffers．＇avөumomtєv́єral（Thuc．greatly affects these compounds with $\dot{a} \nu \tau i)$ ，＇is in his turn suspected，＇i．e．incurs as his reward the suspicion that he will in some covert manner be the gainer．

§ 4．$\pi$ ．${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$ ，＇in the face of．＇
aं $\xi\llcorner\circ \hat{v} v \tau$ ，the misplaced enclitic finds ample confirmation in Thuc．，but its connexion is doubtful．Pp．connects with $\pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \rho \omega$ ， Krigg．with $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，Reiske with $\pi \rho o \nu o o u ̂ \nu \tau a s$ ．It will find its best con－ nexion with $\pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega$ ．

סi＇ỏ入íyov，either of space or time．Hude cites vir． 71 § 3 ôi

avevi日voov，in lieu of ávertít vov：the shorter form is found in Hdt． and Aristotle（Kriig．）．＇W＇e are accountable（answerable）for the advice we give，whereas you are not accountable for listening to it．＇The adviser is responsible，the hearer irresponsible．
$\pi \rho o ́ s, ~ ' b y ~ c o n t r a s t ~ w i t h . ' ~$
§ 5．Єi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$＂o $\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \sigma a s$ к．$\tau . \lambda$ ．＇If hoth proposer and supporter suffered alike，you would be more temperate in your decisions．＇
vûv סè mpòs ópyŕv к．т．入．Hermann＇s interpretation of this most difficult passage is opposed by Poppo：sं $\eta \mu \iota o u ̂ \tau \epsilon \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ̀ p \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu \ddot{\eta} \nu \tau \iota \nu a(a ̂ \nu)$
 struction with 亏ัఇu๐oûte．
$\eta ̈ v \tau เ v a, ~ i n ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~ w i t h ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~(G u l l),. ~ ' i n ~ s u c h ~ t e m p e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ e$ moment as may lead you to inflict punishment．＇But Thuc．may have used the relative，as in I． $35 \AA 4$ ，with assimilation in agreement to $\dot{o} \rho \gamma \eta \eta^{\nu}$ ， where a neuter sequence would be more natural：e．g．$\pi \rho \dot{o} s$ oj $\rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ （ó $\rho \gamma \iota \sigma \theta \in \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ）ö $\tau \iota$ ä $\nu \tau u ́ \chi \eta \tau \in \sigma \phi a, \lambda \in ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ，＇in anger，in whatsoever you may chance to find yourselves at fault．＇This somewhat strains the cognate accus．（ $\sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota ~ o \rho \gamma \eta \dot{\eta} \nu)$ ，to which，however，a parallel might be found in $\ddot{\eta}_{\nu} \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \epsilon \epsilon$, V． 105 § 3，and Plato Phaedr． 249 D $\ddot{\eta}_{\nu} \ldots$ aitian ${ }^{\prime}$＇$\chi \epsilon$ ．
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o ́ p \gamma \eta v^{\prime}, ~ ' a d ~ q u e m l i b e t ~ a n i m i ~ m o t u m ' ~(P p . ~ S t),. ~ r i g h t l y, ~ ' b u t, ~$ as it is，in the caprice of the moment，when you find yourselves at fault， you visit with punishment the single judgment of your adviser，instead of your own judgments，for having all alike gone wrong，in spite of numbers．＇

（On the whole sentence see Appendix．）

## CHAPTER XLIV．

## § 1．àvtєр $\omega \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{sc} . \mathrm{K} \lambda \epsilon \omega \nu$ ．Cf． 38 § t.

кarŋүорฑ́⿱㇒日，＇to play the part of an accuser＇；no supplement of the object is necessary．
od ayóv，＇the issuc．＇$\epsilon i$ $\sigma \omega \phi$ povoûuev，＇if we regrard the matter calmly＇（i．c．from a sober，rational，point of view），＇dispassionately．＇ Cf． 1.86 § 2.
§ 2．そ้v $\tau \in \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ áтофŋ́vш к．т．$\lambda$ ．The theory of a double protasis with single apodosis，which found favour with past editors，stands self－condemned by the fact of the apodosis being complete in the first member of the sentence．Classen＇s suggestion of aposiopesis with collofuial elev is entirely at variance with the use and style of Thut－ cydides．$\eta^{\nu} \nu$ with optative is of course utterly untenable，in spite of Thomas Magister：again，é $\chi$ ovtєs eley cannot be periphrastic for ë $\chi$ oucv in Thuc．，as such periphrasis is only admissible（in Thuc．）when the
 constitute a compound adjectival expression．

The sentence is a complete conditional construction，listurled only lyy
 фaivolto．The apodosis ou кє $\lambda$ rí $\sigma \omega$ must，of course，be mentally repeated





The question，suys Diudotus，is not one of justice but expediency． Whether I prove them guilty without extentating circumstances，I shall not insist on their execution（contra utilitatem），or whether I find extenuating circumstances I shall not recommend them to mercy（contra honestum）．But，to a despotic power，good and expediency are one and the same．The change from transitive to intransitive construction （ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \tau \in \imath \alpha, \ldots \in i \nu \alpha u)$ can be amply justified on Thucydidean analogy， even though it involves a change of subject．

The words $\epsilon \hat{\nu}$ al $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \dot{\delta} \lambda \in \iota$ are，I believe，a direct reply to $39 \S 6$ ．
тávv a่ठเкоบิvтas，＇vel maxime＇（Pp．），（Cl．）．
§ 3．тоviтo，not（with Pp．）the object of $i \sigma \chi \cup \rho i \xi \in \tau \alpha \iota$, but，with Class． and Stahl，appositional to sentence：＇as for this point on which Cleon most insists＇（lays special stress）．
$\pi \rho \circ \theta \in i \sigma \iota$（sc．j̀ $\mu \hat{\nu}$ ），hypothetical，＇si proposuerimus，＇but in con－

laying down the law from $\pi$ poodeivat of the magistrate applying the law, enforcing the penalty.
 rebellion.'
§ 4. oúk $\mathfrak{a} \xi t \omega$, cf. oú $\phi \eta \mu$, and the like amalogies.
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \epsilon \cup \cup \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, causal dative, cf. 38 § 2 , 'because of that which is attractive' (specious).
ó $\lambda o ́ y o s, ~ ' h i s ~ p r o p o s a l ' ~(p o l i c y) . ~$
$\pi \rho o ̀ s \tau \grave{̀} \nu$ ó $\rho \gamma \eta \dot{\nu} \nu, \pi \rho o s^{s}$ of the standard of reference ( Pp .).
غ̇тьซтáбaito, without object, 'prove attractive.' Cf. v. iri §3.
 (Pp. St.) ; 'principles of justice' i.e. 'justice.'
ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$, not final, but modal=ка日' ö $\tau \iota$, 'as to the way in which,' i. c. 'how to make them useful.' Arnold quotes Arist. Rhet. 1. 3 § 4 .

## CHAPTER XLV.

§ 1. oûv, resumptive, stronger than äpa, ='igitur.'

 that the plural better covers the individual offences. But the singular serves to mark the one death-penalty for all.
$\tau \hat{\text { re }} \boldsymbol{\text { en }} \lambda \pi i \delta \mathrm{t}$, the article is possessive.
$\mu \eta ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho ⿺ \notin \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$, epexegetic: 'after passing sentence against himself to the effect that,' i.e. condemning his design to failure. $\pi \epsilon \rho t \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a t$ is a negative rather than positive word, suggesting rather 'escape from' than 'victory over' (see Shilleto, on I. 55 § 3).
 the attempt,' 'live to see his scheme successful,' although in construction

 bent on revolt, what state ever yet ventured this?'

Class. notes that this position of the substantive gives ( 1 ) a character of generality, (2) suggests a partitive genitive (vid. Class. on I. I § 1).
$\tau \epsilon$, marking transition from individual to state, from oúdeís to $\pi$ ódes.
$\tau$ ls, a rhetorical question, replacing definite assertion.
 of $\ddot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \omega$, 'if possessing resources inferior in its own conviction, whether in point of home forces or aid of others,' i.e. 'if impressed with the conviction of its own inferiority.'
§ 3．тєфи́кабф $\tau \epsilon: \tau \epsilon$ epexegetic：of would suggest contrast．
This doctrine of human depravity（＇original sin＇），though recognised by Stoics，was combated by Plato．See Cope＇s Introd．to Plato＇s Gorgias，p．lxiv．sqq．
＇̇ $\pi \epsilon \ell$ ，＇whereas，＇＇although．＇
$\delta \iota \epsilon \xi \in \lambda \eta \lambda v \theta^{\prime} a \sigma(\gamma \epsilon$ ，＇have positively（actually）exhausted the whole list of penalties，＇＇run the whole gamut．＇
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\theta} \boldsymbol{\theta} v \tau \epsilon$ ，imperfect participle，expressing either continued at－ tempt or accumulation of penalties．
$\epsilon^{\prime} \pi \omega s$ ，in the vain effort（in the vague hope）to escape the iniquities of evil－doers．$\epsilon i \pi \omega s$ conceals a purpose（Goodw．M．T．§ 490）．The optative is due either to＇original intention＇（cf．Aristoph．Ran． $2_{4}$ ）or to the wish as＇father to the thought．＇

тараßaเvoцє́vตv，impersonal gen．alsol．（Kriig．）．I＇ossibly in agrec－
 contrast mape入өєív עó $\mu о \nu$ ，＇to neglect a law，＇with mapaßaivety＇to trans－ gress，＇i．e．＇as these come to be disregarded．＇
ávท́кovaı，on analogy of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \phi \dot{\ell} \rho \in \iota \nu$ ，of reference to a standard， Oávaros representing the ideal penalty：the only instance in Thuc．On the growth of Law，see Tac．A．III． 26.
kal тои̂то，sc．тò ès Өávarov tàs mo入入às ávj่кetv（St．）．Lupus （N．Jahrb．1875）would strike out каì тои̂то．IIude suggests каì таúta （cf．VII． 79 § 3；Vili． 19 § 1），i．e．＇talia facinora．＇
§4．тои́тov．．．тó $\delta \epsilon$ ，both refer to the death－penalty，roítou having reference to the previous context，róje to the present．Cf．Soph．Antig． 296.

Śos，as $\pi$ робоिкía тои̂ какой（Protag． 358 D），is here pressed beyond Thuc．＇s use as＇apprehension＇（cf．Shill．on 1． 36 § 1）．
$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \in$ limits：＇this＇（without anything more），＇this in itself．＇
 lardship，or license suggesting aggrandisement，through arrogance and pride，or other conditions of fortune，according to men＇s temper，each being severally controlled by some fatal dominant passion，lead men into such ventures．＇
 （cf．I．38）．In the case of poverty the stimulus is $\dot{a} \nu \alpha \gamma^{\gamma} \eta$ ，in that of wealth it is i＂ßpts，each condition of fortune being swayed by its own particular passion．To the poor man hardship says＇be bold＇（nothing venture，nothing have）；to the rich man arrogance suggests＇help your－ self＇；for these in other conditions the temptations of an overmastering
passion are reckless of consequences．The whole passage is a comment on Opa⿱úrŋs as defying law．
＇Egovaía，＇opes＇（Vall．），rather＇the license which wealth gives．＇ Thuc．by specifying the two extremes only＇poor＇and＇rich＇（cf．$\pi \epsilon \nu \eta s$ ．．．єiōaiu $\left.\mu \nu, 11 . \Sigma_{3}\right)$ sumewhat narrows the $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \eta$ ．Cf．with this passage $8_{4}$ § 1．$\pi \lambda \epsilon \sigma v \in \xi$ ia defies law，I． 77 § 3.

кal фроvŋjuart destroys the balance of the sentence．
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \boldsymbol{d} v \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v$ ，perhaps in commiserating sense，＇its victims，＇＇mor－ tales aegri＇；cf．Thuc．in． 4 \＆\＄2．Classen，on the ground that an object


 Virg．Aen．1x． $185^{5}$＇sua cuique deus fit dira cupido．＇Hude refers ópr$\hat{\eta}$


 ducing new idea（Class．）．Ëpws，＇desire，＇cf．Soph．O．T．Goo．

For $\epsilon \lambda \pi i$ is and its fatal influence，cf．v． 103.
€̇ $\pi i \quad \pi \alpha \nu \tau i$ ，＇in every case＇；but cf．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \pi \hat{a} \nu$（v． 68 § 3）．

éкфpovti̧ $\omega v$ ，a rare word，＇excogitans＇（Portus）．Cf．Nubes 695 （Duk．）．

єúmopiav тท̂s тúXクs，（1）the betterment of their condition： （2）abundant possibilities of chance．Whether objective or subjective genitive is hard to determine．őv $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \phi \downarrow \eta \hat{\eta}$ ，neuter，of inanimate conceptions．＇Hope also and desire，in every case，the one leading， the other following，the one originating the enterprise，the other suggesting the feasibility of success，are most harmful，and，though invisible，outweigh the visible dangers．＇

 cating influence．＇
$\xi v \mu \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha L$＇s，so also with genitive．Cf．Hdt．Iv．50，of con－ tributing to a total．
$\pi a \rho เ \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \dot{\kappa} \eta \eta$ ，i．e．$\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \tau a \tau o \hat{v} \sigma a$ ，not merely $\pi a \rho a \gamma \iota \gamma \nu о \mu \hat{\nu} \eta \eta$ ：＇for sometimes，by her unlooked－for favour，she induces a man to face danger even at a disadvantage，and more particularly states，inasmuch as they play for the highest stakes，freedom or empire over others．＇
oúX ท̂o $\sigma$ ov，a litotes．Classen explains as $\mu \dot{\lambda} \lambda$ เのтa：＇no less than individuals＇（Kriig．）．
"ó $\omega \omega$, dat. of measure $=\kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \quad \ddot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \nu$, I. $68 \$ 2$, frequently found with comparatives.
$\pi \epsilon \rho l \tau \omega ิ \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma \not \sigma \tau \omega \nu$, sc. $\kappa เ \nu \delta \nu \nu \epsilon \cup ์ O v \sigma เ \nu$.
è $\lambda \in \cup \theta_{\epsilon}$ ia, 'freedom,' mot the $\ddot{\pi} \pi$ oùos aúrovouia of Athens' proffering. кal $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$, the words may either constitute a mere comment on $\tau \dot{\alpha} s \pi \dot{d} \lambda \epsilon t s$, or refer with equal force to $\tau \iota s$ and $\pi \dot{u} \lambda t s$ alike.
(1) When backed by numbers each individual forms, unreasonably, an opinion far in excess of his real strength.
aúт $\hat{\nu} \nu$ for aú $o \hat{v}$, the unit being lost in the aggregate.
(2) Each and all alike (i.e. both individuals and states) unreasonably exaggerate their own strength.

Lit. 'conceive opinions far greater than themselves.'

avi $\tilde{\omega} v$, i.e. the actual strength of $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$, 'quam pro eo quod ipsi valent,' a confusion of general with particular.

The construction of $\delta 0 \xi$ ásecv is either with cognate accus. or with

 examples. The aorist is gnomic. The two phases of thought sug. gested are (I) Providence is on the side of big battalions, (2) the exciting influence of good fortune tells with equal effect on states and individuals.
§ 7. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \omega \hat{s} \tau \epsilon, c f .38$ § 7.
 simplicity.' Cf. I. 142 § 9 .
öбтts $=\epsilon l$ tis, replacing inf. with article, 'for anyone to think': al.
 St. treats öбтוs oíctal as parenthetical ('if any there be who so imagines'), making é $\chi \in \omega$ take its constrn. directly from á $\delta \dot{v} \nu a \tau o \nu$, thus escaping the difficulty of a mixed constrn. (Pp.). Cf. II. 44 § I.
aंтотро $\pi \eta$ ทे, sensu rhetorico, 'means of dissuasion.'
óp $\mu \omega \mu$ '́ $\eta \eta$ s к.т. $\lambda$., 'is bent with all its energy upon the achievement of some purpose,' i.e. when all the energies of human nature are stirred
 i" $\mu$ ерои (Aesch. Choeph. 299).


## CHAPTER XLVI．

§ 1．тov̂ $\theta a v a ́ т o v ~ \tau ท ̂ ~ \zeta \eta \mu i a ̨, ~ f o r ~ o r d e r ~ c f . ~ § 4 . ~$
 vili． 68 §3．
 returns once more to the point of cú $\beta$ ou入ia．Cf． 44 § I．

ovik，pleonastic，but due to negative force of $\dot{a} \nu \dot{C} \lambda \pi \sigma \sigma$ ov．The con－
 ои́к $\not{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu \alpha$, with $\dot{\omega}$ clause epexegetic．
＇$\sigma \sigma \tau a l=\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \xi \in \sigma \tau a l$ ，i．e．there is to be no＇locus poenitentiae．＇

 speed．＇
 Ran． 69 r ；lit．＇make an end of．＇The word in itself suggests＇pacifi－ cation＇；hence the notion of＇adjustment．＇For the relation of $\lambda$ vors to ä $\phi \in \sigma \iota s$, cf．Demosth．Pantaen．§ 58.
§ 2．кaì ámoofâoa，＇etiam post defectionem＇（St．）：＇nullo sano sensu＇is Hude＇s comment．But Stahl is right，＇even after actually revolting＇：the revolt is not merely meclitated．Kruiger somewhat awkwardly refers $\kappa$ al to $\check{\eta} \nu$ ．
$\mu \eta$ ，suggested by $\eta^{\nu} \nu$ ；although the use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with verbs of this type is sufficiently well－known，＇if it be convinced that it cannot succeed．＇
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \sigma \sigma \mu \epsilon ์ \nu \eta$ ，in stronger sense；but cf． 45 § 1 ，note．
énfor öv，qualified future in lieu of more explicit：but side by side with definite fut．in I．I2I § 4 （Cl．）．
aंmoסoûval，＇refunding the outlay，＇aorist of single payment．
ข่тотє $\lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu=\dot{i} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\eta} \epsilon i \nu a l$ ，of continued contribution．
 reference to argument of § 1 ．

тiva oľєซ $\theta \in \eta$ グv тıva，attraction．Cf． 39 § 7.
$\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma \kappa є v a ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ ，whether with or without ä $\nu$（the latter use with verbs of expectation，cf．Soph．El．443）the aorist of the Mss． is harsh side by side with the future，especially as there appears no dis－ tinction of probability from certainty．

то入ьоркía，circumstantial dative $=\pi о \lambda \iota о р к о г \mu$ е́v $\eta \nu$.
$\pi a \rho a \tau \epsilon \varepsilon \epsilon$ io $\theta a \mathrm{l}$, ＇strain（its endurance）to the uttermost，＇a word from the vocabulary of torture，＇to rack．＇Cf．Arist．Nub． 2 I3．

тò av̉zò Súvaraı，＇idem valet，＇cf．I．I 1 I § I．Note the non－articular infinitive（Goodw．M．T．790）．
§3．$\eta_{\mu} \hat{i} \nu \tau \epsilon, \tau \epsilon$ somewhat irregularly answering $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu(\alpha \mu \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu \mu \hat{t} \nu)$ ． Class．cites I． 144 § 2 ，where the sequence is doubtful ：otherwise we can have recourse to $\mu^{\prime} \nu$＇solitarium＇with $\tau \epsilon$ supplementary or inferential．
$\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta=\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \rho \delta \nu$ ，＇damnosum，＇＇ruinous．＇
кaөךpévoss，with notion of＇desidere，＇＇sitting down before a town．＇

$\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \mathbf{\epsilon}$ ，with loose reference to $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \hat{o}$ ．Cf． 45 § 3 каi тои̂то．A counter－blast to $39 \S 8$ ．
§4．$̈ \sigma T \epsilon$ oủ $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ ，山̈̈ $\sigma \tau \in$ with indicative as a mere particle of con－ nexion：cf．Goodw．M．T． 582 sqq．
 not bound，in the capacity of judges，to injure ourselves by severity（i．e． ＇enforce the law to our own detriment＇），so much as to consider © ©c．＇ Diodotus disclaims throughout the judicial position．
 Cf．Demosth．Fal．Leg．§ 156 with Shilleto＇s note：＇in point of money．＇

фи入aкगेv roleíซ日al，＇to found our precautions not on the basis of legal terrorism，but on vigilance in administration．＇For emphatic position of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\phi} \mu \omega \nu$ and $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \not{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \omega \nu$ ，cf．I． 32 § 2 ：practical precautions must supplant theoretical intimidation．
§ б．€̇ $\lambda \in u ́ \theta \in \rho \circ \boldsymbol{v}$ ，by contrast with $\dot{u} \pi \dot{\eta} \kappa о o t$ ，a remark of general application，but with special reference to Lesbos（ P ，Sit．）．（ff．It．


трòs av̇тоvopiav，not the ürou入os aútovopia of 10 § 3 or of viri． 64 § 3．For the construction Stahl compares Antig． 299 iotaroat $\pi \rho b s$ ，＇to secede to the side of＇（cf．the ambiguity in 13 § 1 ），＇range themselves on the side of．＇
 to the subordinate．
dффьтарévovs，＇at the moment of revolt．＇
$\sigma \phi \delta^{\delta} \rho a \ldots \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$ ，for the parisosis cf． $4^{2} \S 2$ e $\dot{u} \ldots \epsilon \hat{u}$.
$\pi \rho о к а \tau а \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon เ v$, ＇anticipate the mere possibility of their conceiving such a design．＇тov́тov＝тov̂ ảmoot $\hat{\nu}$ at．




## CHAPTER XLVII．

§1．кal тоиิто，＇in this again＇；for accusative cf． 37 § 2.
$\pi \in t \theta \circ \rho \in v o l$ ，no need for $\pi t \theta$ ó $\mu \in \nu o t$ ，as the tense of the participle usually assimilates itself to the tense of the verb．Cf．+3 \＄ 5 ．
§ 2．ó $\delta \bar{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os}$ єűvous $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \ell$ ，a reply to 39 § 6 ．
$\beta \iota a \sigma \theta \hat{\eta}$ ，＇coerced＇（i．e．into rebellion）．
тois aंтобтท＇$\sigma a \sigma$ ，＇those who have caused the rebellion，＇the＇rerum novarum auctores．＇

тd $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os，the mass，bulk，of the population．
 iv． $68 \$ 4$ ，both passages being questioned by Cobet）loses much of its harshness by assuming a dative of object with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，$\epsilon$ is with accus． denoting the end in view；cf．$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \ell$ with dative．

á8ıкทุ่ $\epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ，without object，cf． 65 § 2．єv่єрүє́тas，construe with ктеіроутєร．
＇$\epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \tau \tau a$ ，usually in Thuc，without $\delta \dot{\delta}$ ．
катабтท＇бєтє，＇bring about，＇＇effect．＇
ô $\beta$ oú $\lambda o v \tau a$, ＇their very heart＇s desire＇；object clause to кata－ $\sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ ．
áфıテテávтєs，＇when intent on causing revolution．＇єv̇日ús，＇ab initio．＇
$\pi \rho \circ \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu, \pi \rho 0$－temporal．
§4．ทं $\delta$ iкпбаv，sc．oi $\pi$ о $\lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$ ．

 imply $\pi p o \sigma \pi o i \eta \sigma \iota s$ ėmi rò $\mu \in i ̂ \jmath o v, ~ ' n o t ~ t o ~ e x a g g e r a t e ~ i t, ' ~ ' m a k e ~ t o o ~ m u c h ~$ of it．＇
ö，in collective sense．
 of $\tau \iota$ is needless．ká $\theta \in \xi \leftarrow$ s，＇security，＇a Thucydidean word．

Sıkaims，cf． $46 \S 4$ бiкaбтàs ŏ̀таs．
ovis $\mu$ ท＇，cf． 58 § I．
тò aủrò Sikatov к．т．入．The comparison of vi． 87 § 3 and IV． 17 § i compels us to treat these words as appositional（not，with Classen，as predicative），＇as for Cleon＇s contention，the coincidence of justice with expediency in such punishment，it is found to be impossible to combine the two by such a course，＇i．e．the combination proves impossible．

## CHAPTER XLVIII．

§ 1．$\gamma v o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ ，＇deciding，＇hence the infinitive，in preference to ötィ with indicative of hare fact．（See St．on IV． 27 § 4．）

о้ктఱ，cf． 40 § 2.
$\nu \epsilon(\mu a v \tau \epsilon$ ，the participle is balanced by dirò $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a p a c \nu o v \mu \dot{\ell} \nu \omega \nu$ ．Cf． 3 § I with Eur．Hec． $868 \tau \hat{\varphi} \tau^{\prime}$ ö $\chi \lambda \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon \neq \nu \nu \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \varsigma$ ．
oú $\delta \grave{\text { é }} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ ，i．e．no more than Cleon．$\pi \rho o \sigma a ́ \gamma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{a}$ ，a middle，cf． $4^{2}$ § 6.
 gestions．＇For ámb́cf． 38 § 4．

Пáx $\eta$ s，cf． $35 \cdot$
кpîval，＇reos sisterc．＇The infinitive is epexegetic of $\pi \epsilon i \theta \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ．
кa日＇ $\mathfrak{j} \sigma \boldsymbol{u x}$ \｛ $\boldsymbol{v}$ ，＇at your leisure＇（Portus＇per otium＇），not＇aequo

oikeiv，sc．$\epsilon i \nu a \iota ~ i v \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta\langle\epsilon \epsilon$ ．Cf． 39 § 6．Pregnant in sense，says



 proposed insertion of article（ $\dot{( } \ldots \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \dot{\omega} \nu)$ is needless．There is no change of personality，the same individual may adopt either course．The
 $i \sigma \chi$ ios int $\rho \chi \in \tau a l$ ．The reference may be either to the attitude of Athens． towards Mytilene or to the two different plans submitted to the con－ sideration of the Athenians．

## CHAPTER XLIX．

 dicative with $\dot{\rho} \eta \theta \epsilon \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．$\pi$ pòs $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda a s$ ，＇the one against the other＇：i．c． ＇enforced by nearly equal weight of counter－arguments．＇
d́vтเாá $\lambda \omega v$ ，＇aequales＇rather than＇contrariae．＇
 Protag． 335 A．
ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，＇after all，＇i．e．in spite of the full discussion of the arguments ＇pro and con＇（ Pp. ），or in spite of their willingness to reconsider the question from mere motives of humanity．Cf． 36 § 3 ．Hude suggests $\dot{\delta} \mu o i \omega s$ ，in the sense of oix $\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu$ ，i．e．the difference of opinion on the part of the voters was as marked as that on the part of the speakers．
á $\gamma \chi{ }^{\omega} \mu \mu \lambda \mathrm{l}$ ，＇a harsh word，＇says Pollux，but common in later Greek，and found elsewhere in Thuc．－cf．IV． 134 § 2－＇almost equal．＇
§ 2．ä入入 $\eta \nu=\dot{\tau} \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a \nu$ ．Cf．Shilleto on 1． 48 § 3 ，who comments on
 from Theactetus．aं $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，imperfect．Cf．I． 26 § I of prompt action：＇the activity of the sender goes with the person sent＇（Cl．）．
$\phi \theta a \sigma a \prime \sigma \eta s$ тท̂s тротє́pas，＇si prior illa praevenerit．＇The genitive alsolute is the equivalent of a hypothetical sentence $\ddot{\eta} \nu \phi \theta \dot{a} \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \pi \rho o$－ repa．The absolute construction can express all relations to the main sentence of which the dependent sentence is capable．ö $\pi \omega s \mu \eta े ~ \epsilon \ddot{\rho} \rho \omega \sigma$ ， realistic subj．，as usual in Thuc．
$\pi \rho о є \hat{\chi} \in(\tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \lambda 0 \hat{\mathrm{~S}}$ Sch．），＇had the start．＇
ท̂ $\mu \in ́ p a ̨ ~ к a l ~ \nu u k \tau i, ~ s c . ~ \mu i ̣ ̂, ~ a ~ f r e q u e n t ~ e l l i p s e . ~ C f . ~ D e m . ~ F a l s . ~ L e g . ~$ § 135 ，Soph．Phil．83．The dative is a dative of measure．

Göller explains that the first ship left after the first day＇s dehate， towards evening，the second in the late afternoon of the second day．
§ 3．$\tau \bar{\omega} v \pi \rho \epsilon \in \sigma \beta \epsilon \omega \nu$ ，cf． 28 ．oi้vఱ̣，in lieu of the usual water，i．e． the oivoîtca of Athenaeus as distinct from the $\mu \hat{a} j_{a}$（here ä̀ $\phi \iota \tau a$ ）of Aristoph．Ran．1073．The editors remark upon the superior quality of the rations．ä $\mu a$ é $\lambda a u^{v} v o v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，cf．Plato，Phaedo $\sigma 0$ в каi $\tau \rho i ́ \beta \omega \nu$ $\ddot{a} \mu a \ddot{\epsilon} \phi \eta$ ．This construction of $\ddot{\mu} \mu a$ and $\mu \epsilon \tau a \dot{s} \dot{v}$ is found both with gen． absol．and simple participle，Thompson，Syn．§ 151．$\pi \in ф \cup \rho a \mu \varepsilon ́ v a$, ＇kneaded with．＇

кaтà $\mu$ épos，＇in turn，＇IV． 26 § 3．Similarly катà ঠ̀ıaঠoхás．They did not even avail themselves of the＇intervalla noctium．＇
§4．катà тúX $\eta v$ ，＇providentially．＇$\tau u ́ \chi \eta$ to the Greek represents the aitia áoportos，＇the undefined cause．＇Cf．Arist．Rhet．I．го § 12.
 tinuous．At no point of the voyage had they a foul wind，whereas the slowness of the first vessel continued throughout．
dл入о́котоv，＇distasteful．＇Cf．Lat．＇alienum，＇Soph．Phil．ingr．
 oûtos oîos，Plat．Gorg． 457 D．Note also a variant in Vili． 72 § I oít
 infin．is one of limitation，and referable to epexegetic use．According to Goodw．，M．and T．§ 759，the infinitive depends upon the idea of fitness，ability，or sufficiency expressed in these combinations．＇It came enough in advance（of the other ship）for Paches to have already read the decree．＇The fact that he had read it is inferred，but not expressed． For the phrase compare Lat．＇tantum quod，＇and note the interchange
of accus. with dat. (in Gk.), and accus. with ablat. (Lat.) in expressions of distance.

The account of Diodorus, XiI. 55, differs slightly (Pp.).
є̇ாเкатá $ү є \tau \alpha \iota, ~ ' p o s t e a ~ a p p e l l i t ' ~(S t),. ~ o r ~=' i n s u p e r, ' ~ V I I t . ~ 28 ~ § ~ I, ~$ came into port, close on the heels of the first; or, at the critical moment. Observe coordination of present with aorist $\delta \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \dot{\omega} \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon . ~ \mu \eta$, epexegetic of negative force of $\delta \iota \alpha \kappa \omega \lambda \nu \dot{\omega} \omega$.
mapà тобоиิтоv кıvסúvov, the same construction with infinitive in

 'within such measurable distance of danger,' or 'up to such point of danger.' 'The use of mapá may imply either that the line is followed to its full extent, or that a stoppage is made at a certain point. Jelf's diagram (Gr. G. § 637) may help the student to understand the apparently conflicting uses of $\pi \alpha \rho a ́$. See also Holden on ViI, 2 § 4 .

## CHAPTER L.

§ 1. In this chapter Paches is for the last time mentioned in Thucydides. His end as chronicled by Plutarch was tragic, ' $\epsilon$ vetrívas
 aútov' (Nic. 6). Plutarch adds the case of Paches to the list of rà
 that he was brought to trial for the murder of the husbands of two women of Mytilene of whom he had become enamoured. Sec Grote, Vol. vi. 352. Agathias, Epigr. 57. (Anth. Pal. vir. Gr_.)
$\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$, cf. I. 90 § 3, causal. Cf. Thomp. Synt. § 122 C.
$X^{〔} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$. This statement Miiller-Striibing suspects as the work of some bloodthirsty grammarian. Schutz holds that $\Lambda^{\prime}$ was corrupted into ,A. The Athenian enforcement of the principle 'vae victis' was notorious; yet, says Müller-Striibing, such wholesale butchery lacks confirmation by other historians. This theory, discussed by Holzapfel (Rhein. Mus. xxxvir. 3), has called forth a reply from Stahl (Rh. Mus. xxxvin. i), who argues that there is no need to suspect the text. The very fact of the income derived from the land confiscated being so small would tend to prove that the Athenian severity was not extreme. Nearly all the land of Lesbos was the property of the $\delta v v a r o i:$ if Thuc. had said that the lands of the סuvatoi were confiscated, it would have tallied with our text. (But see Diod. xifr. 30, who perhaps relied on Ephorus.)
rel $\chi \eta$, without article, as is usual in the case of familiar objects. Cf. vili. 91 § 3 .
$\pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v$, the opposite of $\pi a p a \delta 0 o i v a l, ~ c p . ~ I . ~ 19 ~ § ~ 1 . ~ L i t . ~ ' t o o k ~$ over,' 'traditas acceperunt' ( $\left.\mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}.\right)$ ), 'ademerunt' (Va.).
§ 2. фópos, a term so odious as eventually to necessitate a $\dot{u} \pi 0$ корь $\sigma \mu$ bs in the form $\sigma \dot{\nu} \nu \tau a \xi$ ૬s.
$\kappa \lambda \eta$ pous, according to Clinton, 96 iugera apiece.
M $\eta \theta 0 \mu v a l \omega v$, they had remained faithful to Athens.
трเaкoolous, see Amold's note, and cf. 'inter alia' the case of Veii; Livy v. 23. See also Arist. Pol. vir. 10.

к $\lambda \eta \rho o u ́ x o u s$, Arnold remarks that they could not have continued to reside there (cf. Thuc. Viri. 22, Xen. Hell. 1. 6, II. 2). Böckh suggests that they went there as a garrison. Grote points out that it appears strange that Athens under such straitened circumstances as to call for an eioфopó should sacrifice the large sum of 5400 minx ( 90 talents). Perhaps, however, Athens made Lesbos pay for its garrison in this way. In the Sth year of the war (cf. Iv. 75) we find no mention of $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o i ̂ \chi o t$, who would naturally have been employed to suppress the Samian exiles. Grote further appeals to evidence of Antiphon (de caede Herod. $r_{\text {4 }}$ ) as making no allusion to cleruchs whether resident or absentecs. But see Grote, Vol. vi. 347. Kirchhoff would contend that Athenian colonists were in all cases exempt from state contributions.

тov̀s $\lambda a \chi$ óvтas, 'sorte ductos.'
$\tau \alpha \xi \xi^{\alpha} \mu \epsilon v o r$, middle, as denoting mutual agrcement.
éкáotov, construe with $\kappa \lambda \eta$ npou. $^{\text {. }}$
§ 3. тapé $\lambda \alpha \beta o v, ~ ' a n n e x e d . ' ~ C p . ~ s u p . ~ § ~ 2 . ~$
 Coryphantis, Heracleia, Rhoeteum, Antandros.
éкра́тouv, such possession of towns on the mainland was a common feature of island powers, e.g. Chios, Samos, Thasos.


## CHAPTER LI.

The first mention in Thuc. of that $\tau \in \ell \chi \mu a \dot{\chi} \eta \mathrm{~s} \dot{a} \nu \eta \rho$, successful, but dilatory, and superstitious commander, Nicias.
§ 1. тウ̀v $\Lambda \in ́ \sigma \beta$ ov ä $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota v$, for absence of article cf. I. 12 § $3 \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ 'I $\lambda$ lov ä $\lambda \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, with Poppo's note.
$\pi u ́ p \gamma o v$, Meineke (Hermes III. $36_{4}$ ) insists on $\pi \dot{v} \rho \gamma \omega \mu a$, on the plea that $\pi \dot{v} p \gamma o s$ is not used in collective sense.

 crux is the accusative，rous IIe入omovvnoious，of which the following solutions are possible．

I．An anacoluthic accusative（I）by implied constrn，with фu入akウ̀
 （2）lyy change in ötcos clause；i．e．the sentence shifts from tous $\mathrm{II}_{\epsilon}$ дo－



 ả $\delta \epsilon i a s \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀$ roúr $\omega \nu \tau v \chi \epsilon i v$.

II．An ordinary antiptosis；i．e．zovis $\Pi \epsilon \lambda$ ．represents the sulject of
 already completed its construction with фu入aкウ่ єival：（2）that it is not a verb of the class which takes a double accusative，e．g．Arist．Nubes， 144
 well find its sequence from ¿¿ßoúגєтo（except indeed on Ionic pre－ cedents，cf．Hdt．סtєvoєito öncs），although it can from $\epsilon$ โval．

III．The accusative de quo：cf．Aves 1259 ôєıvóv $\gamma \in$ Tòv кท́puкa $\epsilon i$ $\mu \eta \delta \dot{́} \pi$ тотє עобти́णєı $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$.

IV．The verbal force retained in substantives suggests the accusa－ tive фט入aкभ́v．But we have no Thuc．parallel to hand．Cf．however Dem．F．L．$\tau \epsilon \theta \nu a ́ v a \iota ~ \phi o ́ \beta \varphi ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \Theta \eta \beta a i o u s ~(p r o b a b l y ~ a ~ f a l s e ~ a n a l o g y), ~$ Plato，Rep． 465 ốos tò $\beta$ oŋ $\theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu$（a doultful reading）．

V．An implied repetition of é $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau=$ a most clumsy shift，yet suggested by Shilleto，I． 36 § 3 ．

The two $\tau \epsilon$ clauses are evidently parallel ：but parallel in what sense？as coordinate with main sentence，or subordinate？Subordinate in grammar，but expressing（logically）the main object in view．The occupation of Minoa was to subserve the twofold purpose（ I ）of checking Peloponnesian privateers，（2）of isolating Megara．

Stahl suggests the loss of $\sigma \kappa 0 \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ before ö $\pi \omega s$ ：this creates a new difficulty by compelling the construction of $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon i v$ to return to the cival sentence－hence a confusion of end with means．

Badham（followed by Herw．）suggests ès toi＇s $\tau \epsilon \Pi \epsilon \lambda$ ．，but of $\phi$ ùaкخ e＇s I can find no instance in Thuc．，though several of $\pi \rho$ ós：although there is no disputing Thuc．confusion of $\epsilon$＇s and $\pi \rho$ ós．

Hude reads roû Henotovp $\begin{aligned} & \text { ofou，neuter singular in ctinnic sense．}\end{aligned}$

Cf. $1: 3$ § 4, and vini. 2 § 4, neither instance being conclusive, although from II. 69 тò $\lambda \eta \sigma \tau \iota \kappa b \nu$ might give some clue.

Translate: 'Now Nicias' intention was to secure for the Athenians a guard-post on the spot...both to prevent the Peloponnesians from organising secret expeditions from that quarter, whether by dispatching ships of war, as on the former occasion (cf. 11. 93), or sending out privateers (II. (ig), and also to prevent anything being brought in to Megara by sea.'
av́có日ev, from the Megarid, or from its only harbour on this gulf, Nisaea.

Bouסópov, cf. II. 94 § 3.
 If one article only be read, connect it with the participle.
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ v$, neuter verb for passive, a common Thuc. use.
 yet.
§ 3. aimò т $\mathfrak{\eta}$ S Ntoalas, either 'on the side of Nisaen,' or connect with $\pi \rho \circ \dot{\theta} \chi{ }^{\circ}$ had long walls, cf. IV. 73. For such $\pi \dot{p} p \gamma o c$ forming terminations of walls see viil. 90 § 4 .
$\mu \eta \mathrm{X}$ avaîs, 'by escalade'? (for $\mu \eta \chi$ avai frequently in $\mathrm{Th} .=\kappa \lambda$ ( $\mu$ акєs $)$ or 'battering engines'?
 constant Attic use, cf. $\mu \hat{t} \sigma o s$. Sometimes the phrase is found in full, but rarely. See Shilleto on Dem. F.L. § 18 r .

 $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ Ňraias, Stahl a useless repetition of $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\eta} s \mathrm{~N} \iota \sigma$. if allowed to stand. For $̇ \kappa$ cf. I. $6_{+} \S$ I (so also $\pi$ pós in Hdt.). It is doubtful whether the accus. is one of direct object, 'eam insulae partem' (St.), or whether it is quasi-adverbial, 'at the point of junction with.' For topography see Arnold's note, and Dict. of Geog. s.v. 'Megara.'
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \omega$, possessive dative with $\hat{\eta} \nu$. 'The island commanded re-
 €̈ $\chi$ ข
 both reiरos and кai. But the zeugma is not intolerable reîXos in collective sense, 'fortress,' cf. 65 § 3.

## CHAPTER LII．

§1．$\dot{v} \pi \delta^{\prime}=\pi \epsilon \rho$ l．
кal oi Платаıทs，кal，in addition to Mytilene．
 $\gamma \in \sigma \theta a t$ ，i．e．＇powerless to remain blockaded＇－better expressed in 11.
 ＇1 ermissive use＇of the passive ef．Kriig．Gr．52． 1 I § 3.

 connecting particle，（3）of $\mu t \nu$ ．




The Scholiast，while explaining the use of accus，for genitive，seems to have had $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ in his text．There is no mild dle course ：either cut out the words $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \nu$ or retain both，with Class．，St．，Boeckil，Kir．
$\xi \nu \gamma x \omega p o i \in \nu$ ，not only of＇concession＇but of＇agreement＇also： hence a cluubt arises whether the subject is Дакєócдóv七о，or Аакєó，каi
 passage forestalls the agreement of the peace of Nicias v． 17 § 2 ．

aủt $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ékóvt $\omega v$ ，perhaps an intentional pleonasm．Stahl regards the expression as a strong contrast to $\beta \dot{l} \not a$, treating $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ as implying і $\mu$ олобі́a．

 dependent un ßoídovatal，but with change of subject at кo入ájecv（borrowed from $̇$ éкévors）：so also Arnold．

Göller finds a construction for ко入á乡єiv from $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma a \sigma \theta a l$ ，as epexegetic infin．

Classen regards the sentence as an ordinary conditional constrn．， the protasis extending to $\chi \rho \eta$ 白 $\sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ，the apodosis commencing with roús
 sulject．This entails an irregular $\tau \epsilon-\hat{\ell} \hat{\epsilon}$ sequence，for which，however， see Shill．on 1． 25 § 4 ．
 $\kappa \omega \lambda i o v \tau a s . ~ S t . ~ q u o t e s ~ L i v y ~ x x i . ~ 6 ~ § ~ 2, ~ ' I e g a t i ~ m i s s i ~ a u x i l i u m ~$ orantes．＇
ti ßoúخovtal，three solutions：（I）prefatory to formal offer of
terms－a common Thuc．use：perhaps also conciliatory in tome；cf．the colloquial use＇pace tua＇：e．g．Plato，lhil． 20 I三 тò jà́ ci pocitre pimitev
 marking protasis．But treat the infinitives mapaôoîval and xpíoafilat its dependent on $\beta$ oú入ovtal．

Tove $\tau \in$ doikous，the $\tau \epsilon$ clause is supplementary，the of adversative． Cf．Soph．Antig．1690，with Jebl＇s note：see also Klotz de I＇art． $7+1$ ． We cannot，with Classen，regard the $\tau \epsilon-\delta \dot{\delta}$ sequence as a simple equiva－ lent for $\tau \epsilon-\tau \epsilon$ ．When so used in Thuc．the of is usually emphasized by $\epsilon_{\tau}$ t or äma．The word oi oívéva points to a continuation of the oratio obliqua introduced by $\lambda$＇́ $\gamma o \nu \tau a$ ．＇He sent a herald with this message， Were they willing to hand over their city to the Lacedacmonians of their own act and deed and abide by their verdict？adding that they proposed to punish the guilty only．．．．＇
$\kappa 0 \lambda a ́ j \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ would thus represent кo\áso $\mu \epsilon \nu$ of orat．rect．＂We are for


Another pussible solution is to treat the кai as corroborative or corrective，and make the clause кai．．．$\chi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ parenthetical，coupling rois àiokous with $\pi \dot{d} \lambda \iota \nu$ and treating koláselv as epexegetic，＇to hand over the town to the Lacedaemonians－in fact to abide by their decision －together with all offenders，for punishment．＇

Yet other explanations are：（I）a continuou＊protasis，with apodosis suppressed－a most questionable solution，（2）хр $\quad \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ e p e x e g e t i c, ~ c f . ~$ 11． $4 \S 7$ ，which however suggests an ellipse，（3）an independent infinitive construction．Cf．IF．इ○ § 2 єi poídovтal．．．$\pi \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\psi} \alpha$, ，but this involves a difficulty with $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{u} \nu \tau \in s$, although parenthetical constructions have much to answer for．

Note Spartan irony in toùs áôikous and rapà ôiкךv，to say nothing of єi $\beta$ रú $\lambda о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ．
rooav̂ra，＇thus much＇（and no more），Thuc．usual formula in citing brief speeches．
 Perusina．＇
 arrival，＇$=\mu \epsilon \in \chi \rho$ ovi．Cf．Latin use of＇dum．＇Of these commissioners Aristomenides，the grandfather of Agesilaus，wa：one（Paus．III． 9 § 1）．（Pp．）
§4．$\pi \rho \circ \in \epsilon \in \in \emptyset \eta, \mathrm{cp} .38$ § I，＇was preferred，＇＇brought forward．＇
ėтька入єб ${ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon v o l$ ，Portus，＇arcessitos，＇＇summoned them before them．＇ єĭ $\tau$ Ł．．．ḑa日óv $\tau$ ，no intolerable pleonasm，＇si qua（quid）boni quid．＇

S．T．

Cf. the common use of ci $\tau \iota=$ si forte. For the question, see Livy xxvi. 33 .
 only.
$\sigma \phi \omega ิ \nu$ aủ兀 $\hat{v}$, partitive genitive (Iucle), 'suae causae patronos' (Portus). Ilude's appears the sounder explanation.
'Aєццvŋ́णтov, cf. Herod. Ix. 64. Plut. Aristid. ig names him 'Arimnestus.' The commander of the Platacan contingent at Marathon and Plataea.
' $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta$ óvtєऽ, sc. $\pi a \rho \epsilon \lambda \theta$ Óv $\nu \epsilon \varsigma$, 'came forward.'

## CHAPTER LIII.

 aorist participial clauses $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon u ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ кaì $\delta \in \varsigma ̧ a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0 u$ (both in construction with $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \dot{o} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi о \iota \eta \sigma a \dot{\mu} \epsilon \theta a)$, (2) of the two present participles, oió $\mu \in \nu 0 \iota . . . \dot{\eta}$ roú $\mu \in \nu=0$. The present participles express the fixed principle which finds application in the aorists. The Plataean surrender was due to two reasons : (I) a $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s$ based on their belief in Spartan conservatism, (2) a ouo久oyía, in reliance on Spartan 'bona ficles.' Classen holds that the weight of the sentence falls on ov่к oiópec ot $\dot{v} \phi \epsilon \xi_{\zeta}^{\prime} \epsilon \epsilon \nu$, but, with St. and Poppo, is clearly at fault in making $\delta \epsilon \xi \dot{\xi} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota=\epsilon i \delta \epsilon \chi \circ i \mu \epsilon \theta a$. 'The surrender of our city was made in reliance on you-our firm conviction being that you would observe the ordinary forms of law-and upon our own consent to place ourselves in your hands, as the best means of obtaining fair pley.'

тoนávסє, i.e. a mere answer to an unanswerable question. Cf. $5^{2}$ § 3 and infia § 2. $\nu \circ \mu \mu \omega \tau \epsilon \in \rho \alpha v$, 'more conventional.'
oủk $̇ \boldsymbol{\epsilon} v$ Sıkaбтaîs. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$, 'sensu forensi.' The repetition Herbst defends from vi. $82 \S+\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \rho \dot{\delta} \pi 0 \lambda \nu \nu$, $\dot{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$. Press the ou closely with $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ dots, 'as having consented to place ourselves in the hands of no other judges.'
 sc. $\epsilon l \dot{\ell} \dot{\ell} \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{i} \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu 0 l \mu \in \theta a$.
§ 2. vûv $\delta \epsilon \in$, the usual contrast of 'stern fact' with 'fools' paradise.'

$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \mu a \rho \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \mu \in \nu$, realistic indicative; a constrn. primarily referable to simple interrogative parataxis, but see Goodw. M. and T. § 269 sqq.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \tau \omega \bar{\nu} \delta \epsilon t v o \tau a ́ \tau \omega \nu$, 'turns upon' (i.e. involves) 'the gravest issues.' Cf. $\pi$ epi of the premisses of an argument. The older Greek would
 ( $\theta a v a ́ r o v$, says the scholiast).
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ ov́ кoเvol $\alpha \pi \sigma \beta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, note change of construction from cival, and the emphasis laid on $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha$ and $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ - 'the question of life and death in the hands of no impartial judges.'
$\mu \dot{\eta}$ oú='ne non.' Cf. I. 91 § 3. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \% \beta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, unusual, says Kriiger, for 'evadere.'
 that no definite charge (impeachment) has first been made... and that the question put is brief.,

The construction, though curt, is correct (pace Badham). The absolute construction replaces a $\dot{\omega}$ or ötь sequence, and Thuc.'s free coordination allows of the collocation of gen. absol. with accus. absolute.

$\pi \rho о к а т \eta \gamma о \rho i a s . . . \pi \rho о \gamma є \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \mu \in \dot{v} \eta \mathrm{~s}$, the pleonasm is but slight. Cf. I. $23 \S 5$.
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ aúzoí, for parenthetical $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{c}$ clause cf. viil. 76 § 6.
$\dot{\omega}$ रà $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \eta \hat{\eta}$, from Poppo and Classen's point of view, forming with infin. (non-articular) the subject to rizveral. Stahl, for clearness, now reads évaption. I suspect an assimilation of the first article to the second, $\tau \alpha$ for $\tau$, the construction changing with the second clause-'to which to give a truthful answer must tell against us, while falsehood must entail exposure.'

§ 3. $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi^{o ́ \theta \in v, ~ c f . ~ I . ~} 12 \nmid$ § I.
каi $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ Soкєî, a change from personal construction $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \gamma-$ кајо́ $\mu \in \theta$ a to impersonal. We may however treat the кal clause as parenthetical in sense, although grammatically determining the main sentence; for note the accusative єimbutas.

єimóvtas $\tau \iota$ kıvסvvev́єเv, cf. I. 20 § 2 , with Shilleto's note, 'to say something if we must risk our lives.' The stress falls on the participle.
$\delta \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \theta \in l s$ dóyos, the phrase is practically the equivalent of $\tau \grave{\partial} \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\dot{\rho} \eta \theta$ $\eta v a \iota ~ \lambda b$ бov. Cf. sup. $36 \S 2$, note.
 is merged in the general application of the principle.
aitiav, 'occasio criminandi' (Pp.), lit. 'allegation.'
§4. $\pi \rho o{ }^{s}$ тoîs $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda$ dots, 'to add to our other difficulties.'
aj$\gamma \downarrow \omega ิ \tau \epsilon$, active, cf. vili. $66 \S 3$ (Kr.) ; but, according to Pp. and Cl ., as referring to both parties to the suit, is of wider range than the
mere subject of $\dot{\omega} \phi \varepsilon \lambda o{ }^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \theta a$ : i.c. 'had we both been strangers to each other, we might have served our turn by producing evidence.'

غ̇тєเซєvєүкá $\mu \in \nu$ or, 'by adducing evidence upon evidence,' i.e. 'ac-
 Cf. v. 90 .
 be useless.
ovxi, the more emphatic form : found in Thuc. six times only.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, dependent on катá of compound verb трокатаүขóvтєs, but. also from its position marking antithesis to $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \nu$.

тàs ápє Tás, 'our services.' Cf. II. $42 \S 2$, or, in wider sense, 'merits.'
aủró, with reference to preceding sentence $\pi \rho о к а \tau a \gamma \nu$. Tàs àpetàs ク̈ббous єโvat (cf. I. 68 § 2), but hardly = aúrò $\tau 0 \hat{\tau} \tau 0$.
d $\lambda \lambda$ ots, sc. Thebans (schol.).
Xápıv ф'́povtes, one of the many instances in which logic and syntax are at variance. The difficulty is due to three main causes: ( 1 ) change from active to passive, (2) categrical confusion, i.c. of volition with non-volition, (3) the great tendency to personal agreement. The confusion of personality is explainable on the ground that the action of the principal is identified with the use made of the agent. The Plataeans are to be made unwilling agents for the gratification of Thebes. Herwerden would correct $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \circ \boldsymbol{\rho} \tau \epsilon s$ to $\phi \epsilon \rho \circ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ : Cobet suspects a lacuna. For the expression $\chi$ ápıl $\phi \dot{f} \rho o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, cf. Eur. Med. so9, and for a similar passage to the present cf. Ar. Eth. I. 9 § If


каөıбтஸ́цєөa, cf. Lat. 'reum sistere.'

## CHAPTER LIV.


Síkaıa, 'grounds of justification.' $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ pós...'єs, hardly distinguishable in Thuc. (vid. Shill. on I. $3^{8}$ § 1). Cf. Dem. Ol. III. § I.

Sıáфopa, 'differences' = 'feud': rare in singular, II. 27 § 2.
$\pi \epsilon \in \theta \in เ \nu \pi \in เ \rho \alpha \sigma o ́ \mu \in \theta \alpha$, cf. 53 § 4 .
§ 2. тò $\beta$ paxú, mark the position, 'that question (of yours), that brief one,' i.e. of the brevity of which we complain; to which we once more call attention. Cf, sup. 53 § 2 .
ci $\tau \iota$, interrogative, 'num quid?' $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{v}$, hypothetical.
$\mu \eta े \epsilon \hat{v} \pi a \theta o ́ v \tau a s$, equivalent to an indirect admission of I'lataean guilt; the $\mu \eta$ begs the question.
 protasis, in spite of formal $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \delta t$ : the form of the sentence is assimilated to the nearer $\dot{v} \mu a ̂ s$.
av่тoús, emphatic ' you yourselves are in the wrong.'
тoùs '̇ாเซтparcúvavtas, article for more exact definition, 'you who attacked us.' Cf. Lat. 'qui adorti estis'; the participle without article would $=$ Lat. subj. ' qui adorti sitis.'
§ 3. $\tau \alpha \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} v \in i p \eta v \eta$, the article affects both prepositions iv and $\pi \rho o ́ s . ~ \tau \alpha \dot{d}$ év, temporal ; cf. I. 2 § I. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho o ́ s, ~ l i m i t i n g ~(c f . ~ L a t . ~ ' q u o d ~$ ad,' 'quoad').
ayaool, 'good men and true,' 'honest.'
$\xi \nu v \in \pi เ \theta \in \mu \in v o l$, sc. 'with you.'
 $\bar{\epsilon} \lambda \epsilon \cup \theta \epsilon \rho i \underline{q}$.
$\mu$ óvor, not 'soli,' but 'inter primos': for Thespiae and Haliartus must be added to the list (cf. Herod. viir. 50). The hyperbole is perhaps intentional.
§ 4. кal үáp, 'enimvero,' perhaps with resumptive force, 'for remember.'
$\mu a ́ x \eta$, temporal dative, cf. II. $20 \S$ I, a rare use without $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in the case of nouns not temporal in meaning.

The allusion is to the battle of Plataea. Cf. Pind. Pyth. r. $\boldsymbol{7}^{6}$ tiv $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ K ı \theta a \iota \rho \omega ̂ \nu o s ~ \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu . ~ D e m . ~(p s e u d) ~ N e a e r a ~ .1377 ; ~ D i o d . ~ X i . ~ 32 ; ~ ;$ IIdt. IX. 62 sqq. The double dative construction with the same verl, is not uncommon, especially when one dative represents a participle, e.g.

$\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \omega \boldsymbol{v}$, plural, as resumptive not merely of $\epsilon t \tau \iota \not \partial \lambda \lambda_{0}$, but of $\dot{\eta} \dot{d} \nu$

mapà $\delta v ́ v a \mu เ v$, not only 'pro virili parte,' but 'ultra vires,' 'beyond our strength.'
§ 5. öтє $\tau \in \rho \delta \dot{\eta}, \pi \epsilon \rho$ emphasizing öтє ('amplificative,' Hartung), $\delta \dot{\eta}$ fixing the attention, 'at the very time, remember': although $\delta \dot{\eta}$ may be regarded as adding strength to $\pi \epsilon \rho$, as in the collocation $\gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}=\gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.
$\pi \epsilon p$ té $\sigma \eta$ is used both with and without accus. of object; cf. 1V. io § I; VIII. I § 2.

тòv $\sigma \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu$ óv, 'the great earthquake.' Cf. I. sо⿺ § 2. For the ideas of the ancients on the subject of earthquakes see Lecky, 'Hist. of European Morals,' vol. 1. 392.
$\tau \omega ิ \nu$ 's 'I $\theta \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$ Eiגćт $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$, an objective genitive, as the position of the preposition shews ; for position of participle cf. I. 90 § I

тò тpitov $\mu$ f́pos, cf. 15 § 1 , note.
 imikoupor. On the bravery of soldier-citizens, see Arist. Ethics 111. 8 §9

$\hat{\omega} \nu$ (i.e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \hat{\nu} \delta \bar{\delta} \delta \hat{\rho} \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ ): sometimes the reference is more precise, e.g. I. $35 \S+\eta \not \eta \nu$ oú oikalov. The relative is really adversative, 'sed is' rather than 'et is.' Cf. Madvig, Lat. Gr. § $44^{8 .}$

## CHAPTER LV.

§ 1. $\tau \mathfrak{a} \pi a \lambda \alpha{ }^{2} \alpha, c f .54 \S 3$.
$\eta$ $\eta \xi$ เడ́ $\alpha a \mu \in v$, 'resolved,' 'determined' (i.e. as a point of honour), Cl.

סєopev vov, gen. absol. with subject implied ; a frequent use in Thuc. whether in relation to subject or object of main verl). Cf. .3t \$ 3.
‘ $\beta$ ıáa $\alpha v \tau 0$, 'had already brought force to bear.' Cf. Hilt. vis. 108.
ípeîs, emphatic.
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{\omega} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$, for the form, which is not Ionic, see Curt. Et. 11. 5.50 .
 balance of the sentence. The more usual sequence would be $\dot{\omega}$ i $\gamma \gamma$ 's öy $\tau \omega \nu$, but the construction becomes reflexive, as in $54 \$ 2 \phi$ inors $\delta \hat{c}$ vouliovtas.
 $\mu а к р \grave{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \Psi к є і т о$.
§ 2. éк $\pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ v$, cf. I. $38 \$+($ probably a litotes for the Ionic $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota \in(s)$, ='alınormal,' 'unusual.' Cf. the Altic àтò тро́тои, 'contra morem,' T'ac. A. III. 26.
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\jmath} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$, sc. $\pi a \theta \epsilon i \nu$, for ellipse cf. Demosth. Conon § $40 \mu \eta \hat{\sigma}^{3}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$.

 negative may conlesce with the verb, as in stereotyped phrases, oú $\phi \eta \mu$, ov̉火 $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \omega$.

Évavtia, adverbial adaptation of internal accus., cf. I. 29 § I.
oúsíts, i.e. after such practical proof of Athenian good-will: the gllusion is to 429 B.C.
oüs $\epsilon \hat{u} \pi \alpha \theta \dot{\omega} v$ tes，cf．the assertion of Pericles in II． 40 尽 4．See also Shilleto＇s regrelful note on the loss of＇man＇（A．S．）as equivalent to T ts．

The relative oüs Poppo regards as causal．
 note on such iбото入ıтєia，the＇ius Caeritum＇of Rome．For the omission of aút $\hat{\nu} \nu$ in second member of relative sentence，or the still more unusual $\omega^{*} \nu$ ，cf．the tendency of Latin，＇eamne rationem sequare，qua tecum ipse et cum tuis utare，profiteri autem non audeas＇（Cic．Fin．II．2．3）． Cf．Madv．Lat．Synt．§ 323.

тараүүє $\lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime} \mu \in v a$ ，usually a military term．Cf．I． 121 § 2 ；but here the $\pi а р a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i a$ is more of a $\pi \alpha \rho a \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \sigma \iota s$ ，＇a party call．＇Cf．Dem． F．L．§ I．
 ＇praeire＇or＇praescribere，＇matters little．The accus．is cognate；cf．$v$ ． $66 \S 2$＂̈кaбтa $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma 01 \mu \epsilon \in \nu o v$ ．The relative clause is introductory：＇as for the lead that either of you gave your allies＇（i．e．in the exercise of your hegemony）．
 its present form．

For the sentiment cf． 65 § 2 ．

## CHAPTER LVI．

§ 1．Tò $\delta \hat{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau a i ̂ o v, ~ e i t h e r ~ t h e ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n ~ c h a n g e s ~ w i t h ~ \delta \ell ~ c l a u s e, ~$ $\tau \grave{\tau} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon u \tau a i ̂ o \nu(s c . \dot{a} \delta i к \eta \mu a)$ becoming direct object of $\xi \dot{u} \nu \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon$（cf．I． 73 § 2）， or some word has dropped out of the text，e．g．oîo or ő：$\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$
 thinking so is not merely the presumable loss of small words in many cases，but also the practice of Greek in bringing these ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha \tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa a i$ and $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \dot{\epsilon}$ sequences under one common vinculum．To explain the sentence by treating alitoi sivu完є as parenthetical，on analogy of oipal， $\delta о \kappa \hat{\omega}$ ，\＆c．is intolerable（cf．Popp．ed．mai．）．＇To the long list of injuries done us by the Thebans is added this crowning one of all，one within your own cognisance，which indeed is the cause of our being brought to this very pass．＇
§2．ката入aرßávovias，imperf．pres．＇attempted to seize．＇
iєpouqvía，＇a festal season＇（vid．Buttm．Meidias 175），practically $=$ ＇die festo．＇
óp日ês，emphatic，＇we were justified in taking reprisals．＇This
ancient doctrine of retaliation was first overthrown by Plato's Gorgias (cf. Cope's Transl. Introd. xlv. Rep. 335 B, D).
örov, a higher moral stage (from point of view of context) than $\dot{o}_{p}$ Óóv or ôkaloy, but for its true conception sec Plato, Protag. 330 sqq.
§ 3. $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \tau \hat{\varphi}$ av́тiка $\times \rho \eta \sigma i \mu \omega$, note the single article connecting $\chi p \eta \sigma i \mu \omega$ with $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu i \varphi$. 'If you intend to fix your estimate of justice by that vindictiveness of theirs which tallies for the moment with your own interests.'

хр $\eta \sigma i \mu \omega$, dat. of measure.
 59 § І о̋ктч $\lambda a \beta$ б́vтas.

фavєí $\theta$ E, cf. 42 § 5, 'shew yourselves,' 'prove yourselves.' For the whole argument cf. the identification of $\bar{\xi} \boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \phi \dot{\rho} \rho o \nu$ and $\delta i x c u o \nu$ in the Melian discussion, v. 90 sqq.
§4. of ä $\lambda \lambda \mathrm{ol}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \boldsymbol{\mu} \mathrm{s}$, excluding the 'Medising' Thebans.
$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$, sc. $\hat{\eta} \mu \in \nu$ (P. and Cl.), cf. I. $86 \S$ 2. But here the ellipse is
 and the juxtaposition of tótє...ة̈тє is suspicions. Is a word missing after $\tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$ ?

The historical reference is to the Persian war.
 of the order of the $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \delta \dot{\theta}$ sentences: the connecting link is $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \mu \in i j o \nu$, кєขôinu, 'in greater danger; for then slavery impended, whereas now you are free to menace others.'
' $\pi \pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{f} \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, 'are the aggressors.'
Setvol, i.e. with the terrors of war.
$\dot{\epsilon} v$ éketve $\tau \hat{\omega}$ кatpê, for the adverbial кalpíws the Attic equivalent

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau \dot{\prime} \phi \in \rho \in \nu$, on analogy of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ö $\pi \lambda \alpha$, and, by adaptation, $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \phi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \in \iota \nu$ $\epsilon \lambda\rfloor v \theta \epsilon \rho l a \nu(\mathrm{IV} .87$ § 2).
§ 5. $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, constructed with $\pi \rho o \theta v \mu i a$ as well as $\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho \tau i a$.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu a \rho t i a s, c f .11 .85 \S 2$ : the genitive is due to the analogy of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i$ uncompounded.
$\dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho^{\rho} \tau \eta \tau a l$, impersonal (cf. 45 § $3 \pi \alpha \rho a \beta a i \nu \epsilon \tau a l$ ). For personal use cf. Arist. Eth. H. 6 § 20.
 Kriig. regarded (possibly rightly) as neuter.
$\dot{\epsilon} v$ кalpois, of. the Demosthenic use of $\chi$ pobot in plural.
 Hdt. VII. 132.
of $\mu \eta$, 'all such as.' $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime} s$, 'in view of,' 'in the face of.' Cf. vi. 58 § 1 .
$\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \lambda_{\epsilon} i \alpha=\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \omega \bar{\omega}$. Cf. $82 \S 4$; Soph. O. R. $51 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i \not q$ $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta \delta^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \theta \omega \sigma \sigma \nu \pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$.
e日enovets, cf. v. 9 § 9: 'those, who in the face of his attack, instead of furthering in safety their own interests, were ready to brave the nobler though more perilous course.'
$\S 6$. $\quad \mathfrak{\omega} v$, partitive genitive.
's $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau \alpha$, for the facts cf. II. 7 I ; for the construction cf. 39 § 2.
$\kappa \in p \delta a \lambda \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$, 'in a spirit of greed,' 'from motives of greed.' Both adverbs are somewhat strained. Cf. $65 \$ 3$.
§ 7. кairol Xpri тavirá, the sentence reflects the construction of

 by the resolution of infinitive into ötav clanse the dative is left to take an apparent construction from $\xi v \mu \phi \in ́ \rho o \nu$.
$\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ av̉т $\hat{\nu}$, neuter. $\delta \mu \circ$ í $\omega \mathrm{s}$, ' consistently.'
$\pi 0 v$, 'aliquatenus' ( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{p}}$.) : rather 'aliqua ex parte' (usquam), 'whether your interest may, for the moment, point in the direction of this or that state.' Cf. v. 9I § 1.
${ }^{\text {éx }}$ €เv Xápıv, used indifferently of giver or recipient. Cf. vili. 87 and sup. 39 § I $\xi v \gamma \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ё $\chi \omega$, with 44 § 2.
'And yet you are bound to shew that you consistently hold the same opinions on the same questions, and to hold that expediency, in relation to good allies, implies conditions under which they invariably command a sure recognition of their good services, while to yourselves the possible interests of the moment are secured'; i.e. your standard of expediency must not shift with the exigencies of the moment, but be based on the fixed principle that good services demand a good return.
$\hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} v$, misplaced, to enforce the antithesis of $\dot{\alpha} \in l \beta \notin \beta a \iota o \nu$ with $\tau \delta$ тараитіка.

Pp . and Cl . reading é $\chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$, in agreement with $\dot{v} \mu i v$, make $\chi$ ápıv ' ' $\chi \epsilon \nu=$ 'gratiam reddere': but this involves a dependency of dative upon dative.

Jowett treats the passage as a general $\gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta$, finding a subject
 Portus).

## CHAPTER LVII.

§1. тробкє́ $\psi a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, whether $\pi \rho$ ('seriously reflect') or $\pi \rho o ́ s$ ('further reflect ') is immaterial.

тois modnois, excluding Athens, although (as Ifobbes notes) the Spartans had small claim to such estimation.
àvpaya日ias = ̇̇тıєiкєєa, not àvôpia, 'manly honesty,' 'probity,' 'intugrity': but see the Melian estimate of this, v. 105 § +.
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \dot{\alpha}$ єіко́та, connect $\mu \dot{\prime}$ closely with $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ єiкóтa, although $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is due to $\epsilon l$ preceding.
áфavף̂, 'in secret' (in a comer): predicative $=\hat{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \bar{\epsilon}$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \mathfrak{o}$ ovं ${ }^{\prime} \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, following natural order of direct construction ovio' $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i s{ }_{s} \mu \epsilon \mu \pi \tau o i \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$ (Krr.), 'deciding in our case the fate of no deppicalle folk.' ouvot, 'no more than yourselves,' 'qui ne ipsi quidem.'
 accept without demur,' cf. I'lato, Theact. 160 c . On ö $\pi \omega s$ $\mu$ 方 with subj. or indic. cf. Goodw. M. T. 27 I sqq.
 (practerea); but suggesting a dittography from $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$ preceding. But int may merely strengthen the simple form $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a t$ : if it has special force, it can mean 'to make up one's mind to' (to decide, when it comes to the point) or, in offensive sense, 'a gratuitous iniquity,' the verdict being regarded as an $\epsilon \pi i \delta o \sigma t s$ to the Thebans.

кotvois, e.g. Olympia or Delphi. There is probably no reference to the dedications commemorating the victory of Plataea.
avate $\begin{aligned} & \text { nुval, not } \dot{2} v a \kappa c i \sigma \theta a t, ~ t h e ~ d e d i c a t i o n ~ i s ~ n o t ~ y e t ~ a n ~ a c c o m p l i s h e d ~\end{aligned}$ fact.
§ 2. $\delta \in t v o ́ v, ~ ' m o n s t r o u s, ' ~ ' a t r o c i o u s . ' ~ \pi o p \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma a l$, anticipative and causative.
avaypáఖar, on analogy of ávatє $\theta \hat{\eta} v a l$. For the tripod cf. 1. I 32 § 2 , IIdt. ix. Si. It was captured by the Phocians in the Sacred War: the pedestal was remored to Byzantium by Constantine, and was brought to light once more in 1856 .

тavork $\sigma^{\prime}$ (a, in spite of the form mavoukeria (Pollux), it is by no means certain that the derivation may not be from oik as oinét $\eta \mathrm{s}$, 'as an entire settlement' (cf. vir. 88 § 4) or 'communce.' The point here is the utter obliteration of Plataea as a recognised múhes, not the destruction of a household with all its members.
© $\eta$ ßalous, without article, 'Thehans' comtemptuonsly. Cf. next sentence.

 by $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$. [On the interchange of $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ and $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \tau t s$, though recognised in Attic, sce cioodw. M. and T. § 575 : no Thuc. instance is known to me.] то仑ิто is retrospective.
oltıves merges the particular in the general, but without losing sight of individuality, 'as men who' (not 'we who'). Cf. Soph. O. T. 1 s 4
 sequences is easily crossed. Cf. the Latin 'ut qui,' 'quippe qui.'

M $\dagger \mathbf{\delta} \delta \nu$ краг $\eta \sigma a \dot{v} \tau \omega \nu$, temporal, 'after the victory of the Persians,' c.g. at Thermopylae (Poppo).
$\alpha \pi \omega \lambda \lambda u ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$, 'were on the verge of ruin,' 'cum Medi vicissent peribamus' (St.).
'The facts of history are against a hypothetical explanation; Plataca was actually burnt' (Poppo).
'̇v ípiv, in forensic sense, 'in your court,' 'through your verdict,' 53 § I.
 is due to the notion of comparison in the verb.
áyŵvas, 'trials,' in wider sense of 'discrimina,' 'angustiae.'
ข่тย́ $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \in \boldsymbol{v}$, 'have faced,' or rather 'been brought face to face with.' Cf. IV. 59 § 2 ó úmvotás )( ó фuү由́v.

$\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \mu \eta ̀ ̀ \pi a \rho \in ́ \delta o \mu \epsilon \nu$, realised condition, as the $\dot{a} \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu$ is decided. The dependent sentence preserves the protasis of the independent, тóte $\mu \hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta a \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu \stackrel{a}{\nu} \nu \epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \pi a \rho \epsilon \hat{\jmath} o \mu \epsilon \nu$. On these conditional forms cf. Goodw. M. T. §4II.

The infinitives $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \theta a \rho \eta \hat{\nu} a \iota$ and $\kappa \rho^{\prime} \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ are in apposition to, and epexegetic of, ả $\gamma \omega \hat{\nu} a s$.
 Cf. Krüg. G. G. $47, \S_{22}$, note I.
§ 4. $\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \omega \dot{\sigma} \mu \in \theta a$, 'pushed to and fro,' 'bandied about,' = 'rejected.'
'єк $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tau \omega \nu$, 'on all sides,' 'at every hancl,' $=\pi \alpha \nu \tau a \chi \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$.
$\pi \rho o ́ \theta v \mu o t$..'є́p $\hat{\mu}$ ot. Mark the contrast by simple apposition, 'for all our zeal,' 'nozv so forsaken.'

Cf. 'fictilibus crevere deis haec aurea templa' (Prop. IV. 1. 5).
ov่ $\beta$ éßalot, 'unsteadfast.' Heilmann remarks upon the pathetic despondency of this last sentence.

## CHAPTER LVIII.

§1. kalrot, 'though hoping against hope.'
 кal connecting $\mu \in \tau \alpha \gamma \nu \omega ิ \nu a \iota$ with кацфө̂̀pal.
$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \xi \nu \mu \mu a x<\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$, lit. 'who served as grods of alliance,' i.c. who witnessed our oath of alliance.
 i.c. in the cause of Hellas.
 $\beta o w \lambda a l$ (Pind.). For the $\gamma \nu$ form cf. Curt. Gk. Et. 536.
 actuated (influenced) by Thebans.' The $e i$ ' $\tau$ clause may be either the object clause to $\mu \in \tau a \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a l$, or merely parenthetical, continuing the construction, as in 1. 44 § I.
$\tau \boldsymbol{\eta} v$ t $\epsilon \delta \omega \rho \epsilon \alpha^{2}$, the article must be either possessive or deictic,
 To this accusative $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \tau \epsilon i \nu \epsilon \downarrow \nu$ stands in epexegetic apposition (cf. $66 \$ 2$
 marking the alternative.
'We call on you to relent, and, if indeed you have been in any way influenced by Thebans, to alter your decision to asking of them, as a concession due to you in return, not to have to slay those whose death were your disgrace.' The two $\tau \epsilon$ clauses $\tau \eta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \delta \omega \rho \epsilon a ́ \nu$ and $\sigma \omega \dot{\phi} p o \nu \alpha$ á $\tau \epsilon$ are parallel.
 the main verb or dependent, but the infinitive supplement is necessary. In oûs $\mu \dot{\eta}$ we have both a conditional and causal combination, one of which only can be reproduced in English. Cf. Goodw. M. and T. § 581 for exx., and the Lat. use of 'siquidem.'
$\sigma \omega ́ \phi p o v a$, in strange antithesis to aioxpós (but see 1.84 § 3), 'temperate' v. 'intemperate,' 'decorous' v. 'indecorous.'

какlav, 'infamy' (i.e. of treachery).
 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a \delta \iota \delta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon$.

oủk éX $\theta$ poùs $\gamma$ áp. $\gamma$ á $\rho$ 'postpositum,' as oủ adheres closely to 'x0pol, although it applies with equal force to ciкóros; with aidaú the
sentence becomes positive，＇we are no enemies on whom you will be taking vengeance justifiably，but good friends．＇
 （ 56 § 1）．
§ 3．äStlav $\pi$ otov̂vtes，cf．vini． $\boldsymbol{7}^{6}$ § 7 ，＇granting immunity．＇
 holding that kal．．．кai do not correspond in this passage．But is not the point of the sentence＇your verdict will be a righteous one，not merely as guaranteeing the protection of our persons，but also as recognising the claims of suppliants＇${ }^{\text {？}}$
öбta，sc．＇righteous，＇＇conscientious＇－in the sight of the $\theta$ eol $\xi v \mu$－ махєко的．

троvoov̂vтєs，＇nobis prospicientes＇（P＇p．），＇considering before you decide＇（St．）．

סเג̀ $\pi \alpha \nu \tau$ ós，temporal，cf．I． $3^{8}$ § I．
§ 4．$\pi a \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \nu$ Өŋ́кas，note omission of article，and position of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$, cf． 56 § 2．For the history cf．Hdt．IX． 85.
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \mu a \sigma \iota$ ，a needless bone of contention to editors．There is no allusion to offerings of raiment as in Tac．A．III．2，＇vestes－cremabant，＇ or to the＇velamina nota＇of Virgil，or to the extravagancies of a Lucullus＇funeral pyre（Pliny N．H．xxxvi．）．The story of Periander in Hdt．v． $9^{2}$ is wholly different．See Thirlw．G．H．11．365．Plutarch＇s Aristides xxi．explains the difficulty $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{II} \lambda a \tau a \iota \epsilon \in \nu \dot{0} \not{ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu \ldots \chi \iota \hat{\omega} \nu a$

roîs ä $\lambda \lambda$ ors $v$ оц $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu}$ ors，＇aliaque funerum sollennia＇（Tac．）．
©paîa simply＝＇fruges，＇produce．Cf．I．I20 § 2.
¿ँтьє́роитєऽ，＇offering，＇II． 34 § 2 ．
óaixpors（Ionic），＇brothers in arms＇（cf．I． 18 § 3）．
$\mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ óp $\theta \bar{\omega} s ~ \gamma \nu o ́ v \tau \epsilon s=\epsilon i \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\rho} \rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \gamma \nu 0 i \eta \tau \epsilon, \quad$ Goodw．M．T．§ 472.
§ 5．＇Єقartєv，＇quod mansurum erat sepulcrum＇（Poppo and Class．）； but how far does the lasting result affect the choice of tense？The imperfect is descriptive，＇panoramic．＇It must not be forgotten that the stress of the sentence frequently falls on the participle，so that the tense of the verb assimilates itself to the participle．

тotov́тoเs，sc．$\phi i \lambda_{\text {ols，}}$ a useful synonym，frequent in Plato and Aristotle．Cf．$\dot{\omega} \sigma a u ́ t \omega s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu(A r . ~ E t h . ~ I I . ~ 3 § ~ I I) . ~$.
$\tau$ व̈入入o ぞ，cf． 39 § 2.
aủधévcals，by siding with the Medes the Thebans were，in a manner，guilty of the blood of the Spartans．
$\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{j}$（ $\mu$ ous，proleptic：the genitive specifies the form of loss or
privation, e.g. $\hat{o} \omega \mu a ́ t \omega \nu$ átluous, 'without honour of a home,' 'denied a home.'
' $\sigma \times \boldsymbol{\chi} \epsilon \nu$, stronger form of ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \omega$.
$\pi \rho \dot{s} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, adverlial $=$ 'furthermore.' The only ex. in Thuc. kal', intensive.
$\eta ่ \lambda є \cup \theta \epsilon \rho \dot{\theta} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$, middle in force, 'won their freedom.'

tigá $\mu \in v o t$, temporal, 'to whom they prayed (made their vows) before their victory.'
$\theta u \boldsymbol{l}$ las $\tau \alpha{ }^{\circ}$ marpious, sacrifices, ancestral (i.c. and those ancestral) in point of institution and foundation, lit. 'in connexion with thuse who founded them.' The genitive cioa $\mu$. каi ктוб. Stahl rightly regards as oljjective. cíaquévav in connexion with iefá, cf. P'ind. Pyth. IV.

 to the 'conditores et cultores,' which disappears in the text of Herwerden. The genitive could hardly depend on $\dot{\alpha} \phi$ afp $\eta \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta$, as the Plataeans will all be dead. (See Appendix.)

## CHAPTER LIX.

§ 1. $\pi$ pós, 'on the side of,' 'in favour of.' Cf. Eur. Alc. $52 \pi$ fòs $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ย̇ $\chi$ óvт $\omega \nu$.
$\boldsymbol{\nu} \mu \mu \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, Panhellenic usage, whether in the matter of iepá or öбca.
áцapтávєเv, 'bent on siming,' 'meditating an offence,' present, as the mere notion constitutes a moral offence (peccatum), which has not yet found expression, but may at any moment ; hence the aorist : cf. Cic. de Fin. III. § 32. The $\begin{gathered} \\ \xi \\ \xi \\ \text { s }\end{gathered}$ is not yet an $\dot{e} \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \iota a$.

 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu$, as restraining the desire for revenge, 'self-contained.' $\lambda a \beta \in i ̂ \nu$ as in 56 § 3. The phrase appears no more difficult of comprehension (in spite of Ilerwerden's objection) than Plato's $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\nu} \varepsilon \iota \nu$ (Phaedr. 234 C) or the ordinary $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ oiktov. For $\lambda \alpha \beta \in i v$ without object expressed cf. vi. 61 § I: in the present instance $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{a} s$ is close at hand.
oloi $\tau \epsilon$, detach $\tau \epsilon$ from oioo and connect with кai following.
$\pi a \dot{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} \iota \mu \in \nu$ äv, implied protasis.
is $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \mu \eta \tau 0 \nu$ (sc. $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ ), 'no forecasting the possibility of misfortune befalling at any moment even one who denerves it not.' $\tau \delta$ ciфave's tô $\mu \in \lambda \lambda$ dovtos is one of the few commonplaces of the Thucydidean rhetoric.
 the equivalent of an $\varepsilon i$ clause, cf. á $\delta \eta \lambda o \nu \in l$.
$\xi v \mu \pi \varepsilon \in \sigma o t$, more usual with dat. of thing, but such inversions are common.
 $\epsilon l \kappa o ́ s, \chi \rho \epsilon \omega \dot{\nu}$.
o $\mu \mathrm{o} \beta \omega \mu$ ious, 'worshipped at common altars' (Duk. St.). Others explain with ref. to j $\mu \omega \chi$ '́tas ( $=\sigma u v \nu a ́ o v s$ ), cf. IV. 97 § 4. kowoús, 'mational.' The idea of common privilege finds confirmation in the word кирเผ́татоь, cf. v. 53 .
$\pi \epsilon i \sigma a l$ тá $\delta \epsilon$, 'to urge (press) this course' -without accus. of person, cf. 43 § 2.

трофєро́ $\mu$ коі $\theta^{\prime}$ ӧркоия, Stahl divides the sentence into three heads,
 one common conception iкє́тą $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu о \mu \epsilon \theta a$ каi є̇тька入оípe $\theta a$, and referring $\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon \rho о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ$ to the words iкє́тal $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \theta \theta$ only.

Göller sees an asyndeton, construing $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon i ้ \nu$ with aitó $\mu \in \theta a$, but omitting $\tau \epsilon$ with $\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu 0$.

Classen places colon after táóe, leaving an anyndeton, and construing $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ with $i \kappa \in ́ \tau \alpha a \iota ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$.

Herwerden detects a string of $\dot{\epsilon} \beta$. $\eta_{\eta} \mu a \tau a$, and simplifies the sentence accordingly (see critical note).
'And pleading (in defence) those oaths your fathers swore we supplicate you by your fathers' graves not to forget them.'
iкє́тat $\gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ( $=i \kappa \in \sigma i a \nu$ тоьov́ $\mu \in \theta a$ ), both genitives depend on this. For such genitive construction with verbs of praying see Madv. G. Synt. 6I, 6, note 2. Cf. Aesch. Suppl. $321 \tau i \phi \eta \geqslant \mathrm{i} i \kappa \nu \epsilon i \hat{\sigma} \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta{ }^{\prime}$
 of $\pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$ simply makes the case-relation more explicit. At the same time, in the passage from Aesch. at least, it is doubtful whether we cannot get a construction from $\tau i$, 'in what matter, connected with these gods, comest thou (as a suppliant)?'
 save us from falling into the hands of Thebans, or, for all our true friendship (to you), from being left at the mercy of (our) deadliest foes.' (Cf. Stahl.)

Greek love of antithesis places $\dot{\epsilon} \chi \theta i \sigma \tau o s s$ side by side with $\phi i \lambda \tau a \tau o c$. The tense of öv $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \epsilon$ need cause no difficulty, as the imperfect of $\epsilon i \mu i$ can represent a historic tense.
$\mathfrak{\eta} \mu$ є́pas, the ellipse of article is unusual. $\tau \in$, introducing climax, but cf. I. I § 2 (St.).
$\hat{\mathrm{n}}$, temporal dative, although it may find a construction from preposition in second clause. Classen however regards ip as introduced to fix the critical moment. Note particularly the opposition of relative to demonstrative; the confusion is caused by the participle (due to Thucydidean condensation). When rewritten in the form $\epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \tau \dot{a}$ ô $\epsilon \omega \nu$ -
 a second point of view $\pi \rho \alpha_{\xi}^{\prime} \dot{a} \tau \tau \epsilon$ may suggest $\epsilon i$ каi $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \dot{\xi} \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$, 'in spite of our brilliant exploits.'

§ 3. "̈ $\pi \epsilon \rho \delta \dot{\delta}$, anticipative relative clause.





vi $\mu i v ~ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma a v \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \dot{v} \mu i v$, in emphatic contrast to $\Theta \eta \beta a i o c s$.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \eta$ $\lambda \lambda \theta \mu \in \nu$, 'approached (you'), used 'of making advances' rather than 'siding with' ( $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \chi \omega \rho \in i \nu)$.
 suffer us at once,' \&c. \&c.
 Cf. 34 § 3 .

тòv $\xi v \nu \tau v \chi o ́ v \tau a, ~ t h e ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ o f ~ a ~ f u t u r e ~ p e r f e c t, ~ o ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu ~ \check{y n v i u ́ \chi n ~}$ (Kriig.). Hude suggests $\tau \dot{\partial} \nu \xi_{\nu \nu \tau v \chi}{ }^{b \nu \tau^{\circ}}{ }^{a} \downarrow \nu$, in order to obtain a future meaning-but $\ddot{a} \nu$ is needless. The words simply denote the risk incident to (coincident with) the renewal of 'in statu quo' (кaraбtîgaь ès $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ aủ $u$ á).


$\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$, introducing peroration.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ tous "Eג入ףvas, $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ marking the sphere or scope of such $\pi \rho о \theta v \mu i a$.
$\pi$ iovecws, 'fides,' 'protection.'
 $\tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\mu \epsilon \nu}$; better taken in apposition with sentence, 'as suppliants.' Cf.

$\boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \theta a \mathrm{t} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} p a s$, the accusative is necessitated hy change of person.
é $\lambda \in \cup \theta$ єpoûvtas, the favourite profession of sparta. Cf. IV. 8 - \$ 2.

## CHAPTER LX.

$\pi$ pòs ròv $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$, the position $\delta$ à $\mu$ é $\sigma o v$ points to a construction ámò кoเvô, i. e. with $\delta \epsilon i \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ and $\epsilon \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ alike (Pp.).
$\pi \rho o s^{\prime}$ of doubtful interpretation-(1) in view of, (2) in response to, $(3)$ on the ground of.

ESóO $\eta$, aorist as pluperfect.

## CHAPTER LXI.

§ 1. kal ov̂тot, 'et isti' (St.).


kal $\mu$ r, transition to opposite, cf. 58 § I.
The order is complicated by the insertion of $\epsilon \xi \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho о \kappa$. каì ${ }_{\alpha} \mu \alpha$


$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{̀}$ aú $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, by common Attic confusion of $\pi \epsilon \rho \dot{i}$ with $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho$.
 the same time without even so much as any charge against them.
kai äpa, cf. S2 § r. oủס́́, in spite of $\epsilon i$ preceding; cf. 40 § 4.
 condemned by Poppo). The combination of prepositional with participial construction has already been observed; and we shall be within the limits of Thucydidean syntax in taking ṅ $\boldsymbol{T} / a \mu \notin \nu \omega \nu$ as an impersonal genitive absolute if needful. For the passive form of deponent cf. viri. 68 § 2. Steup suggests $\eta^{\prime} \tau \iota a \mu$ évol.
 The presence of one article may denote either a common grammatical vinculum ( $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$ referring to each substantive), or shew that kal corrects ámo入oria to éralvos.
$\mathfrak{\omega} \nu$, the attraction is due to brachylogy, $=\tau \circ u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ä.
Render: 'had they not turned upon us with denunciations and, in their own behoof, in self-laudation, without reference to the point at issue, and, at the same time, entirely without accusation, defended, or rather panegyrised at length, actions which no one had discredited.'

If кai be treated as purely copulative, some suspicion arises of tautology between $\dot{\eta} \tau \iota \alpha \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ and $\tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \in \mu \psi a \tau o:$ treat as 'corrective.'
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu e ̀ v \tau a ́, ~ f o r ~ o r d e r ~ c f . ~ 82 § 7, ~ v i I . ~ I 2 ~ § ~ I, ~ w i t h ~ P l a t o ~ T h e a e t . ~$

 Arist. Rhet. II. 25 § I.
 Theles is contrasted with the 'grood name' claimed by Plataeans for their services.
$\tau 0 \cup \tau^{\tau} \omega \nu$ סóga, 'the reputation of those services.' тov́ $\omega \nu$, neuter, sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi a \iota \nu o \nu \mu \hat{\ell} \nu \omega \nu$.
tò $\delta$ ' $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta$ 's, 'that you may hear the truth on both sides before you decide '; cf. 53 § 3, note.
§ 2. $\dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{fîs} \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, for $\hat{o} \epsilon$ cf. 1. 37 § 2 , 'now'; no) need for strongly inferential form $\delta \eta$.

- The original occasion of our feud with them was their refusal to accept Theban hegemony.' St. well explains that the point at isste was the recognition of Thelses as head of the Bocotian confederation, but not the reduction of Plataca to absolute dependency.

ктьซávт $\omega \nu$, for the settlement cf. I. 12 § I.
v̈ $\sigma \tau \in \rho 0 v$, i.e. about 60 years after the Trojan war (Am.).
ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha \times \omega$ 人la, e.g. Orchomenus; but including the whole district from Cithaeron to Euripus.
ä, plural, to include Plataea.
 population,' e.g. Pelasgians, Thracians, Hyantians (Strabo 1x. 2 \& 3).
oủk $\eta \xi$ 'ouv, 'disdained,' 'dedignabantur,'-mark the imperfect, they 'persistently' refused. Cf. Isocr. Plat. § 6.
 cydides).
${ }^{\mu} \xi \omega=\chi \omega \rho i s$, ' apart from.'
 founders (cf. 1. 25) : (2) in the matter of national institutions (i.e. the ancestral confederation of Boeotia).
 supply toîs $\pi$ atpiots, but, from I. Io6 \$ 1 , no supplement is necessary to the construction. The word is used of 'presising into service,' 'requisitioning.' Cf. Plat. Theaet. $153 \mathrm{C} \dot{\alpha} \nu а \gamma к \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega \pi \rho о \sigma \beta \beta \beta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega \nu$.
 border town, they were of special service to Athens; but the $\beta \lambda \alpha \beta \eta$ is most probably exaggerated.

кal àvтémáxov, 'simply (merely) sulfered reprisals.'

## CHAPTER LXII．

§1．kal ó $\beta$ áp $\beta$ apos，кai＇depravat orationem＇（Pp．）：it is intensive here as dealing with the strongest point of their case（î $\mu \mathrm{a} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ бокє $\hat{\imath}$ iotafoar $\dot{0}$ 入óros）－it is not placed here for convenience of position（ Cl.$)$ ， but for emphasis．

Hóvol，cf． 54 § 3 ．
diyd $\lambda \lambda$ оvтal，cf． 82 § 7 ．
тои́тழ，in loose construction with $\lambda o \iota \delta o \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \nu$ ．
 would be equally correct，but once more Thucydides＇love of coordination asserts itself；cf．v． 16 § 3 ．Poppo notes that in comparisons such assimilations are frequent ； 64 § I resumes this argument．
 scription or specification（e．g．Өavárov，фטү $\hat{\eta} s, \pi 0 \lambda \notin \mu \circ v$, I． 109 § I），$=$ ＇scheme，＇＇design，＇＇tactics＇（for which cf．Thuc．use of тоо́тоs）．
$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \hat{u}=$＇ e contrario．＇
§ 3．eifet，the＇external＇by contrast with the＇internal＇relation （Cl．），i．e，＇concrete＇with＇abstract，＇a distinction familiar to all Plato－ nists．The contrast is well marked in Ar．Eth．I．$\sigma \S$ ro $\hat{\eta}$ oú $\delta{ }^{\prime}$ ä $\lambda \lambda \frac{}{\prime}$
 Theact．App．C．）

є＇రєь（ $\pi \rho a \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ or $\pi$ o入ıтєias），＇under what form of polity＇；a similar implication in VIII． 90 § I．
＇धrpagav，＇took such action＇；contrast the 3 rd person with the 2 nd in $55 \S+\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota \dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ：the insertion of $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ makes $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota$ the subject of the verb ：in $56 \S 5$ it is appositional．
dגıyapx ${ }^{\text {a a }}$ lбóvopos，＇isonomous＇in what sense？not as assigning equal power to each member of the oligarchic governing body，but as acknowledging equality of laws for all（cf．II． 37 § $2 \mu \epsilon \in \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ i ́ \delta \iota a ~ \delta t a ́ \phi o p a ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \imath ̌ \sigma o \nu)$ ．The allusion is not to a＇timocracy，＇or $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о к \rho a t i a \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \gamma^{\epsilon} \nu 00$ s，一for the first would not apply to either Thebes or Sparta－but rather to a＇moderate aristocracy，＇regardful of the rights of citizens（ $\delta a \hat{\mu} \mu \nu \nu \quad \gamma \in \rho a i \rho \omega \nu$ ，Pind．Pyth．I． 70 ）：such $\dot{\delta \lambda i \gamma o c ~ w o u l d ~ b e ~}$ $\mu \notin \tau p t o \iota$ as law－abiding，＇aequi iuris fautores．＇Cf．Arist．Pol．IV． 5 ．
＂̈ $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ，anticipative and appositional ；cf．＇id quod．＇
$\tau \omega ్$ $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau a ́ \tau \omega$, ＇ideal moderation．＇St．translates＇modestissimo cuique＇（rei publicae statu）．The double superlative is probably intended to enforce the contrast：the greater its development the wider the de－
parture from constitutional government: they are as opposite as the two poles.

Svvarteia, 'a cabal.' From Aristotle's point of view the worst form of $\delta$ ג̌rapxia, cf. P'ol. VI. 5. Poppo well compares Tac. A. Vi. $4^{2}$, 'paucorum dominatio regiae libidini propior est.' (Cf. Plato Polit. 291 for the subclivision of $\mu \mathbf{\nu} \alpha \rho \chi i a$ into tupavvıк $\eta$ and $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \iota \kappa \eta$, and of sicrapxia into aristocracy and plutocracy.) tupávyov, 'persona pro re.'
${ }^{\circ} \lambda(\gamma \omega v \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \omega \hat{v}$, e.g. Attaginus and Timagenides; cf. Iddt. IX. 86.
§4. $\sigma \times \eta$ 'бєєv, 'secure.' єi крaтท' $\epsilon \iota \epsilon$, as fut. perf. of oratio obliqna, 'si vicissent.'
i $\sigma$ Xúl $=$ 'vi et manu'; cf. $\chi \in \iota \rho!(82 \S 8$ ). For the facts see P'lut. Aristid. 18.

кal $\dot{\eta} \xi \dot{\mu} \mu \pi a \sigma \alpha$, Classen destroys colon after aủtov, regarding кal...
 alteration: the argument is 'thus the action taken was not the action of the whole body of the Theban perple-the many were in the hands of the few.' A law-abiding commonalty is not responsible for the acts of a law-despising tyranny.
'Thus our state as a whole (at large) was not responsible for her actions in so doing (i.e. Є̇ $\pi a \dot{\gamma} \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mathrm{M} \hat{\eta} \delta o \nu$ ), nor is it fair to reproach her with errors she committed when without a constitution.'

 $\ddot{\eta} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \epsilon$ : the words $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}=\not \approx \nu \epsilon v$ (Pp.), but this does not explain $\mu \dot{\eta}$, which is partly hypothetical.
$\hat{\omega} \nu$, genitive of cause; cf. Hdt. I. $90 \tau \hat{\psi} \theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$ тoú $\boldsymbol{\tau} \nu \nu$ ò $\nu \epsilon \iota \partial \delta i \sigma a l$, Dem. Con. § II фоßєîซөal $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho \alpha v \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \nu$.
 'regained.'
$\epsilon \pi$ เóvt $\omega \nu$, the motive of the attack is found in $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$; from the broader point of view the second participle explains the first. Mark the



кatà $\sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu=\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \sigma \tau a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ; c f .82 \S 2$ 'in consequence of.'
ÉXóvт $\omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$, after Enophyta, $45^{8}$ b.c.
ci, interrogative, 'whether,' here a litotes for öть.
Kopeveía, cf. I. ir 3 .
Kimous, lint $i \pi \pi$ tas in II. $9 \$ 3$, hence Cohet's 'in $\pi$ ov, 'cavalyy.'

## CHAPTER LXIII,

§ 1. $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v, ~ s c . ~ \tilde{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s$.
 we, deserve any and every punishment.'
 $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \delta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, Arist. Pol. II. 3 § 2.
§ 2. '̇ $\boldsymbol{\gamma} \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, note the abrupt introduction of the topic.
 agreement may represent either subjective or objective genitive, as required.
§ú $\mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \times$ о , cf. 55 § 4.
тà $\pi$ pòs $\eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{a} s$, 'quod ad nos attinebat,' limiting accus. with adverbial force.
vimápxov $\gamma \epsilon$, accus. absolute: cf. $\delta \epsilon \in \circ \nu, \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \chi \chi \circ \nu, \epsilon_{\xi} \xi \delta \nu$ : the ellipse ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\xi ้ v \nu \epsilon \pi เ$ téval) is easily supplied, lit. 'it being quite open to you' (so to do). For this use of úmáp $\omega \omega$ cf. 1. $124 \S$ I. $\gamma \epsilon$ emphasizes $\dot{u} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi o \nu$, Lat. 'quidem' : in this collocation cf. 'siquidem.'
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \eta^{\prime} \gamma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, the verb completes its construction either with $\tau \iota$ of limitation or by supplement of そ̌veสt'́val. Duker, from Vill. $106 \$ 4$, gives it the meaning of 'compulsion.' $\in \pi i$, 'contra.'
$\xi v \mu \mu a x i a s \gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \in \dot{\varepsilon} \eta \boldsymbol{s}$, the genitive absolute is coordinate with the accus. absolute $\dot{u} \pi \dot{a} p \chi o \nu$ : the second participial expression explains the first. Cf. 3 § 5 .
$\pi \rho \circ \beta \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, i.e. 'your favourite subterfuge': for $\pi \rho o \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda$. of using a cloak or screen, cf. I. 37 § 4 .
ikavń $\boldsymbol{\dagger}$, the asyndeton offends editors; see crit. note.
á $\pi \sigma \boldsymbol{\sigma} \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \epsilon เ v$, in milder sense (Cl.), 'to deter us from (attacking) you.'

тò $\mu$ '́ $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau 0 v$, accus. in apposition with sentence, a use not so familiar in Latin.
ßouncú $\epsilon \theta$ al, for the infinitive as accus. of object cf. Monro, H. Gr. § 237.
 to you, vid. 55 § 1 .


кaтampoסov̂val, 'to betray downright,' 'betray to ruin.' C.f. i. S6 § 5.

тov̀s $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \ldots$ тoùs $\delta \epsilon$, a chiasmus; for the assertion cf. I. 6.5 § 3 .
§4．oủk iఠ $\boldsymbol{\eta} v$, ＇out of all proportion．＇
 Ionism．Cf．IIdt．I．$\sigma 0$ cỉn $\theta \epsilon i \eta s \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ with Th．I． 122 § 4 ．

кalrot тàs ópoias к．т．$\lambda_{\text {．，Hude sees a difficulty in the contrast of }}$ ＇gratia non relata＇with＇gratia cum iniuria non relata．＇But és diockiav here is not the mere equivalent of $\dot{a} o ̂ w l a$ ．His suggestion，$\tilde{\eta}$ for $\eta_{\eta}$ ， making the construction $\mu \dot{\eta} \hat{\eta}$＇we suspect that，＇and translating＇we suspect that disgrace lies rather in repaying just obligations for unjust purposes－than in declining to repay them，＇involves an independent use of $\mu$＇，not found in Thucydides：vid．Goordw．M．T．§ 265.

Taking the text as it stands，we may translate：＇And yet disgrace lies rather in failing to render in return like services than in declining to repay such obligations as，though honestly due，are in clanger of being repaid in the interests of injustice．＇

The services of Athens to Plataea，in rescuing Plataea from Theban oppression，called for a return in kind，i．e．in succouring Athens if oppressed，but not for payment in the unlike coin of helping Athens to oppress others．




aioxpóv，cf．v．III §4．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ סıkalooúvŋs，＇sanctioned by justice＇；cf．82 § ir．

$\mathbf{\alpha} \pi \mathbf{\pi} \delta \iota \delta o \mu \in \mathbf{v a s}$, press the present，＇are（for）being repaid．＇
Arnold＇s explanation is perfectly sound，and finds confirmation from his excellent parallel in Cic．de Off．I．I5，＇non reddere beneficium viro bono non licet，modo id facere possit sine iniuria．＇On such return of eivepreaia，of．Aristot．Eth．vill． 13 § 9 with Plato Rep．I． 33 I C （in reference to тараката日и́кп）．
＇ M utatis mutandis，＇Iv． $61 \S 4$ will illustrate the case：aúroi tò oiracov


## CHAPTER LXIV．

 18 §1．
$\dot{v} \mu \in i \hat{s}$ Ś＇，St．and Cl ．$\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s}$ ，supplying $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \delta i \sigma a \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，a platitude．The alsence of $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ in first clause is no bar to this reading．Hude sees
cause for retaining imeis, lest 'AOquâoc should sugesect the attraction of Bounóutvoc imto agreement with it. But is Thucydides always so regarelful of his reader?

Without changing text, we can either (i) accept IIude's view,
 of clearness, i.c. we may look on the construction as a mere participial attraction, replacing $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{i}$ sè $\dot{\epsilon} \beta$ oú $\bar{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \epsilon$ : or (2) the sequence may be
 parenthetical, a construction of which there is strong suspicion in 67 § ı: or (3) repunctuate by putting colon at 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i o l$, and destroying full stop at tàravtia, giving to kail $\nu \hat{v} \nu$ a temporal force 'once again,' in reference to the renewal of the claim urged in 11. 71 § 3 . 'Thus you have made it plain that even then (in the Median war) it was not for the sake of Hellas that you alone (of all Bocotians) refused to side with l'ersia, but simply because the Athenians themselves refused; though for your part, in spite of your intention to favour the one side (Athens) but thwart the other (Thebes), you once more claim protection on the ground of a bravery which was due to others.'

But to all these explanations there are objections: to (1) the intrusive i $\mu \epsilon i \hat{i}$, (2) $\delta \epsilon$, (3) want of sufficient antithetical force in the participle $\beta$ оu入ó $\mu \in \nu 0$ o.

The allegation is that the motives of Plataea were not motives of Panhellenism, but of partiality. The mere choice of Athens instead of Sparta disclosed their real intentions; cf. 56 § 6 and 62 sub fin.: throughout the Peloponnesian war the isolation of Athens, even in treaties, is noticeable.

тоîs $\mu \hat{\epsilon} v$, Athens. тoîs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, Thebes (or Botwroî). Hude, however, sees a reference rather to the cause, than to its represcntatives.

 Plataea had flattered Athens by imitation.

тoút $\omega v$, resumptive; Poppo remarks that we should expect ä $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ or omit $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò $\tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega \nu$ : but see Kr. G. G. 51. i I § 2.
 of 'benefit,' advantage.'
§ 3. ov̉k єixós, 'unreasonable.'
$\xi v v a \gamma \omega v<\zeta^{\prime} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, cf. v. Iog. St. lays stress on the present, 'continue to share their fortunes.' The $\dot{a} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ implies кivouvos, 'peril.'
$\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$, 'keep on pleading,' middle in 59 §' 2. Cf. $\pi \rho \circ \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$,
'(d) not keep on pleading that past alliance as a plea for present pro-


то́тє, cf. 59 § 2. छ̀vvaporlav, 'alliance' (confederation).
тараßávтєS, 'by your breach of faith.'
Alyเvítas, cf. 1. 105, 108; 11. 27.
ädous tivás, no allusion (says Stahl) to the Thessalian expedition (I. III), or Coroneia (I. II3), or Phocis or Opuntian Locris (I. 108 § 3), who fought with Persia (IIdt. IX. 3r); but rather to Euboeans, who sided with Pausanias (Idt. IX. 28), and for whose reduction the Plataeans were personally employed by Pericles. Cf. Thirlw. G. H. Chap. xve.
$\eta$ ぞ $\delta$ เєк $\omega \lambda$ v́єтє, Cobet's comment is violent; all that Thuc. says is 'you helped rather than hindered.' Does a poet never enfurce his case by statement of the contrary? The question of 'legree' does not affect this passage.
 distinct participial constructions; cf. 53 § 2.

тovs vórous, 'that constitution,' a distinct allusion to the 'free state' of l'lataea, and in direct contrast to $62 \S 4 \bar{\omega}^{\nu} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \mu \in \tau \dot{a} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \omega \nu$ $\eta_{\mu} \mu \rho \tau \epsilon \nu$.
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \tau \epsilon X \nmid \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \mathrm{~L}$, passive. $\quad \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon=\dot{\epsilon} \phi$ ' $\dot{\psi} \tau \epsilon$, 'the consequence becomes a limitation or condition': cf. Goodw. M. T. § 602 with $\S 610$; for this $\pi \rho \sigma ́ \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma$ เs cf. 11.72 § 2.
§ 4. тoîs "E $\lambda \lambda \eta \sigma$, dativus iudicantis, 'detestable in the eyes of Hellenes,' subjective dative rather than dative of agent.
oittves, directly answering tives, but with suggestion of causal force, 'qui quidem praetulistis' passing into 'quippe qui practuleritis.'
$\pi \rho \circ u ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, cf. vili. 85 §3, Hdt. VI. 21.
 than 'fortes.'
ws фat'́, with direct refurence to $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \pi o l$, cf. $\Sigma+\S 3$.
ov $\pi$ робๆ́коvтa, cf. § 2. The P'ersians in front, the Thebans behind, they had been 'kicked into courage.'
's тò $\alpha^{\lambda} \lambda \eta \theta^{\prime}$ s, not a mere idverbial equivalent, but a pregnant construction, due to the $\dot{\xi} \xi$ of compound verb.

§ 5. тolav̂ta ditroфaivo $\mu \in \nu$, mark the predicative adjective without participle. Is not the defence a flimsy one? The ohious duty of


## CHAPTER LXV．

§ 1．à Sè te入eutaîa，cf．te入eutaîov， 56 § 1．The Cireek tendency to pluralise has already been noted．
mapavó $\mu \omega \boldsymbol{s} \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho, \gamma \dot{\rho} \rho$ explanatory，rather than lugically continuative．

§ 2．av่гol，＇ultro，＇without provocation；the emphatic point．

（I）A technical use，on analogy of $\phi$ eú $\gamma \omega$（see esp．Heindorf on
 For perfect present cf．Demosth．Call．§ $12 \beta \backslash \alpha \dot{\pi} \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ ：see Goodw．M．T． § 27 。
（2）Irregular conditional sentence，the supposition being notoriously contrary to fact（Goodw．M．T．§ $4^{\circ}$ ）．l＇oppo cp．Ag． $8 \not \subset 0$ траинátшv $\epsilon i$ тó $\sigma \omega \nu$＇̇ $\tau \dot{\prime} \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu . . . \tau \epsilon \tau \rho \omega \tau \alpha l$ ．
$\tau \bar{\eta}{ }^{\epsilon} \xi \xi \omega \xi \nu \mu \mu a x i \alpha s$ ，i．e．Athens．Cf．the exclusion of Athens in v． 79 §2．
$\pi a \hat{v} \sigma a l$ ，with genitive of deprivation，cf．Hdt．I． $5^{6}$ ．
 potuit＇（Pp．）．

катабт $\eta$ баı，i．q．катá $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，＇reinstate，＇cf． 59 § 3．
oi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ äүоvтєs，a retort to $55 \S 5$ ．
§ 3．$\alpha^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ оӥ $\tau^{\prime}$ ékeivol，sc．$\pi \alpha \rho a \nu о \mu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ ．
$\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \beta \alpha \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon v 0 r, ~ ' r i s k i n g, ' ~ ' s t a k i n g ' ~(c f . ~ I I . ~+~ \# § ~ 3) . ~$.
$\boldsymbol{\tau \epsilon i} \mathrm{Xos}$ ，in collective sense（fortress），cf． $3+\S 3$ ．
$\phi i \lambda t \omega s$, ov $\pi 0 \lambda \epsilon \mu l \omega s$ ，if the adverbs be sound，they can only refer to the intentions of the Plataean oligarchs．They opened their gates to the Theban force in＇kindliness，＇not to the Thebans，but to their political opponents．Their object，presumably，was to overawe the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota-$ бтабьá\}ovtes by show of force.

коці＇$\sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，＇introducing＇；for the facts cf．II． 2 § 2.
Xeipous，euphemistic？＇improbi，＇＇deteriores＇（I＇uplp）．）．
 supplying xeipous．Hude rightly questions the legitimacy of severing ＇̀rı from $\mu \eta$＇（cf．Kruig．＇noch mehr＇），surpecting some stronger word
 The double comparative $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ đeipor＇s we can parallel from Hdt．II．


Perhaps an Ionism is at the bottom of the difficulty；cf．$\dot{i} \pi \dot{i} \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda{ }_{l}{ }^{\prime}$
（Hdt．IIt．104）：but this might demand a change of $\gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a u$ ，e．g． $\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，＇encroach．＇With a dative，$\tau$ ois $\chi$ elport，we could find an Attic
 pregnant force of $\gamma \in \nu \in \in \sigma a l$＇bene cedere，＇cf．v． 5.5 § 3 ．
$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \omega \phi$ povtotal к．т．入．（cf．vini． $4^{8} \S 5$ ），the difficulty of the passage （already noted by Arnold and others）Weil＇s ingenious suggestion（ox $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu)$ fails to remove entirely：still it appears so far the best， in spite of the zeugma caused：＇enforcing the lesson of moderation not on your persons，but on your minds，not by estranging your state but by making it at one with its own kith and kin，placing it on ill terms with none，but on grod relations with all alike．＇



The political motive attributed to these $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 九$ ävôpes is of a twofold nature：（1）to check the＇worser sort，＇（2）to secure to the better class their due ；this end they proposed to gain by moral force，not by physical violence（banishment，slavery，or death），but by the revival and consoli－ dation of the old Boeotian federation．
oiketoûvtes，＇reconciling，＇and suggesting also the notion of oiki－ jovtєs：observe the pregnant $\epsilon$＇s．

Є̇varóvסous，i．e．so far as concerned federated loeotia．It should be noticed that the ordinary text construes $\sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{\lambda}$ poồv $\epsilon \in$ ， a somewhat doubtful inversion，though analogous to Thuc．＇s use of ȧтoбтєрєiv．Cf．I．to § 4，and consult Shilleto on 1． 69 § i．

## CHAPTER LXVI．

§ 1．тєкцท́pıov $\delta \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ к．т．$\lambda$ ．，in spite of Thucydides＇fondness for the use of this appositional accusative，the $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ sequence raises a difficulty．
$\pi \rho о \epsilon\{\pi о \mu \epsilon \nu$ ，i．q．$\pi \rho о и ้ \kappa a \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ ，＇we gave formal notice．＇
то̀v ßоидó $\mu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o v}$ ，generic article．The sentence is complete：$\tau \delta \nu$ $\beta o u \lambda .=\epsilon i ้ \tau$ rs $\beta$ oú $\lambda \epsilon \tau a l$ ：léval we can treat either as dependent on $\pi \rho o \in i-$ $\pi о \mu \varepsilon \nu$ ，or as＝imperative of oratio recta，＇＇T $\tau$ ．＇

то入เтєи́єเv，＇to live as a free citizen，＇i．e．under a molıтeia，not a $\delta u \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon i \alpha$ or $\delta^{\prime} \iota \gamma \alpha \rho \chi$ ia．
§2．X $\quad$ ррท́баvтєs，i．q．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ，the prepusition possibly unnecessary on account of nearness of após with iéval．

ov＇$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \dot{ }=\alpha{ }^{2} \nu \epsilon \cup$ ，＇without the consent of，（f．I．gS＇$\$ 3$ ．
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{e} \nu$ ó $\mu \mathrm{oía}$ к.т. $\lambda$., a complicated sentence. The framework of

 so far is complete: now an asyndeton intervenes, oùs $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \nu a \tau \epsilon$
 cip $\quad \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$; with the words кai raûta the clue of the sentence is resumed.

There are no less than three $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \ldots \delta \dot{\delta}$ sentences, the second, $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{c} \nu$ $\dot{o} \mu o i \alpha \ldots \hat{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \in \nu o \iota \delta \dot{\delta}$, being subordinate to and explanatory of the first, the third, oûs $\mu t \nu \ldots$ oûs $\delta \epsilon$, an asyndeton; the words кal taûta resume the sentence, the particulars of the résumé being borrowed partly from the main sentence, partly from the parenthetical.
'Thereupon, you, who were only too glad to approach us and to come to terms, at first kept the peace, but afterwards, although we might have seemed to have acted somewhat unfairly in entering your town without the sanction of your commons, instead of meeting us fairly by refraining from actual violence, and inducing us by argument to leave the town, retorted by attacking us in the very teeth of your agreement: as for those indeed whom you slew hand to hand, their fate we do not feel so much, for they suffered, we grant you, the penalty of a rough justice-but in lawlessly butchering prisoners whose lives you took in spite of their stretching forth their hands to you, after giving quarter and pledging your word to us to spare them, how can your act be other than atrocious?'

The sentence is subject to a twofold interruption: (1) the sentence $\epsilon i$ äpa каi єं $\delta о к о и ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu \ldots \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s:$ (2) a longer parenthesis oüs $\mu \epsilon \ell \nu \ldots$ oüs $\delta \epsilon$, complete in itself, but with the suggestion of a rhetorical climax in $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ oủ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu a ̀ ~ \epsilon l \rho \gamma \gamma \sigma \sigma \theta$; for such parenthetical interruption cf. vili. 76.

If $\epsilon \pi \iota \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$ be left in construction with $\pi \hat{\omega}$ s oủ $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \neq p \gamma a \sigma \theta \epsilon$, the sentence oùs $\delta \epsilon \ldots \delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho a \tau \epsilon$ has no construction except by repeating $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma 0 \hat{v} \mu \in \nu$, or assuming a construction ámঠ коьоô. The construction of the parenthesis may cross the construction of the main sentence, but, in such cases, the parenthetical construction determines the essential form of the sentence. Strong grammatical teeth may find a tough nut to crack in Plato Phaedr. 249 E.

The clue to our difficulty is the complete antithesis in $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \mu 0 \hat{i} \alpha \ldots$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \theta \epsilon \in \mu \varepsilon \nu_{0} \delta \epsilon$, partly objective, partly subjective, in point of agreement.


$\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon เ \nu \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \epsilon \in \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \nu, \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ unnecessary, but inserted probably to save the crudeness of two infinitives side by side.
oüs $\mu$ év, abrupt, but probably intentional: the pathos of the incident suggests a broken form of expression. Such asyndeton, though not common with Thuc., may be traced to use of relative without definitely expressed antecedent : for abrupt parenthesis cf. I. 40 § 4 Kopivoious $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon$ к.т. $\lambda$.

$\pi \hat{s}$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon$ เvá, rhetorical question supplanting direct statement.
§ 3. kal taûra, with reference to previous context, but more fully developed in тpeis àôcias, 'and herein' ('herel)y').

Өávaтov= $=$ à $\alpha$ át $\omega \sigma \iota \nu$, v. 9 § 6.
 of substantive. Cf. 58 § I ; cf. St. Qu. Gr. p. 7.

§4. oűk, cf. v. IOI, emphatic form of negative.

## CHAPTER LXVII.

 ciô $\hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ or some verb of kindred meaning from ciôñ $\epsilon$. But no statement in open court was necessary to convince the Thebans of the groodness of their own cause. A confusion of persons seems hardly possible in so simple a sentence. To me it appears one of Thucydides' free coordinations, suggested by antithesis of $\dot{u} \mu \epsilon \hat{i} s$ to $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, but leaving the words $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i \hat{s} \delta \dot{\xi} \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \mu \omega \rho \eta \mu \in \mathcal{L} \nu 0<$ to find a constrn. from the main verb
 becomes parenthetical or held in suspense, without passing into a nominative absolute, until it finds development in каi $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ à $\nu \tau a \pi o \phi a i-$ $\nu о \mu \in \nu$. Meanwhile, the $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ clause is fully developed because of the necessity of convincing the Spartan judges. With persons, and even nouns, it is no uncommon thing to find the $\mu \epsilon \nu$ 'solitarium.'
'On these points we have dwelt...that you may be assured that you will be justified in passing sentence on them, though we ourselves have been more than justified in demanding satisfaction.'

Kriiger's reading $\dot{\eta} \mu a ̀ s . . . \tau \in \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \eta \mu t \nu 0 u s$ cuts the knot.
 of ist fut. to fut. perf.

I should suspect jow'witep' ă $\nu=$ though we should have been still more justified in taking the law into our own hands (i.e. without appeal
to $\delta(\kappa \eta)$ ，if an ex．of ${ }_{a} \nu$ with pluperf．participle were forthoming． $\tau \in \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \eta \mu$ évol，middle．
§ 2．Ta入alàs ápttás，no article；its addition might imply a personal claim．

єl＇$\tau$ тs a̋pa kal éyéveto，＇if any such indeed were ever actually rendered．＇
§ $\eta \mu$ ias，Meineke suggests a lost word airlas，making $\xi \eta \mu l a s$ genitive （cf．I． 86 § 1）．But the plural lends itself naturally to the plural $\tau$ ois $\delta \rho \omega \hat{\sigma} \iota . \quad \zeta \eta \mu i \alpha=$＇ground of punishment，＇＇cause of punishment．＇Cf． $\xi \nu \gamma \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta, 40$ § I．For the idea cf．I． 86 § I．
 no trajection of the negative（see Vahlen，Ar．Poet．c． 21 ）．
$\dot{\omega} \phi \varepsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \nu \quad(\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ MSS．）：on the substitution of these shortened forms of imperative for the longer，e．g．ко入аб $\begin{gathered}\text { i } \nu \tau \omega \nu \\ \text { for }\end{gathered}$ ко入 $\alpha \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu, 39 \S 6, \mu \alpha \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ for $\mu a \theta \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ I． $3+\S$ I，see Herwerlen， Stud．Thuc．p．116，Stahl，Qu．Gr． 63.
＇єๆpíav，cf． 57 § 4，＇isolation．＇
§ 3． $\mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i ́ \alpha \nu=\nu$ єó $\tau \eta \tau \alpha$ ，II． 8 § I ；cf． 98 § 4．Lat．＇iuventus．＇
äүovtes，cf．viit．${ }^{2}+$ § 6，＇bringing Boeotia to your side．＇
Kор $\omega \boldsymbol{v \epsilon i ́ a}$, cf． $6 \not{ }_{4} \S 5$ ．
$\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ v̂rar，predicative，＇those who still survive in their old age．＇ No need for Stahl＇s кат＇оікías．The кal is quite Thucydidean；cf．vili．


§ 4．$\dot{\alpha} \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \in s, c f . ~ \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \in, 55$ § 2.
т $\dot{\alpha}$ évavtía，more usual in singular．
 какіа，＇malevolent joy，＇cf．Arist．Ethics II． 6 § I 8.

єใvat，by implication of àto七．

oủk äv ảvta $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ oסóvtєs，Dobree＇s addlition of $\not \partial \nu$ is necessary，unless we accept Stahl＇s àvtamoó $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon s$, which，after all，no more forestalls the issue than катаү $\omega \omega \sigma$ ó $\mu \in \nu 0$ o．

The participial construction once more reverts to the main verb） $\pi \alpha \rho \in \nu o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．

＇ss $\delta i \kappa \eta \nu$ ，＇for trial．＇Contrast with the more direct dative construction．
§6．dúvivatє oûv，＇uphold，＇＇maintain．＇
$\tau \hat{\oplus} v o ́ \mu \varphi$, sc．to spare a suppliant．Cf．in $8+\S 3$ a comment on the violation of such＇iura gentium．＇
civratoóóvees would make the construction smonther, especially in


$\pi \varepsilon \rho เ \omega \sigma \theta \omega ิ \mu \epsilon \mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{cf} .57$ § 4 .
 hence the participial attraction.
áүw̄as, not only in forensic, but also in rhetorical sense: 'trial' ) 'competition.'
 competitions you will institute will be of deeds not words.'
 ' of which, when good, the recital, though brief, is sufficient.'

 tricked out in fine phrases serve as veils.'

 is caused grammatically by influence of parenthesis; logically, by the application of the general principle to the particular case, i.e. if all in a leading position, like yourselves at this moment.

кєфалаเш́ซavтєऽ, 'summarily '; cf. 52 § 3 .

ė $\pi$, 'under conditions of'; a dative of attendant circumstances: cf. I. 70 § 3 .

## CHAPTER LXVIII.

§ 1. 'The Lacedaemonian judges, thinking that they would be justified in putting the question "whether their state had received any service at their hands during the war"-because, as they alleged, they had all along requested them to keep the peace in accordance with the original treaty of Pausanias after the Persian invasion, and again afterwards, when, before investing the town, they made them the offer of remaining neutral, in compliance with those very terms-holding (in accordance with their own just intentions) that, on the strength of their refusal, they were entirely relieved from all obligations and had sustained an injury at their hands, had them brought forward one by one and once more put to them the same question "whether they had done any service to the Lacedaemonians or their allies during the war," and, on their owning that they had not, had them led out to instant execution, sparing none.'

The text appears, on the whole, sound, although emendations are rife. 'The simplest mode of connecting the construction is to treat the words from סь́ть to кат" eкeiva as parenthetical, and to regard the participle vouijoures as finding its explanation in $\dot{\eta} \gamma o u ́ \mu e \nu o c$.

The expedient of coordinating $\dot{\eta}_{\xi}^{\prime}$ low with $\dot{\eta} \gamma o u ́ \mu \in \nu 0$ (on analogy of I. I § I) is rightly condemned by stahl, although accepted in Valla's version.

The Spartan commissioners wanted, 'dicis causa,' to have an $\dot{\alpha} \kappa p \not \beta \dot{\eta} s$ $\pi \rho \delta \phi a \sigma t s$, i.e. to be within the letter of the law: hence they put a question which they thought would be justified on these grounds: (1) rejection of Spartan mediation: (2) refusal of special conditions: the first would be an insult to the league at large, the second a direct affront to the Spartans. At the same time the 'imago iustitiae' was sufficiently transparent, as they held that all treaty obligations were already at an end and that the Plataeans stood self-condemned.
é $\pi \epsilon \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \eta \mu a$, Diodorus speaks of a twofold question (cf. Diod. xiI. 56), referring probably to 52 § 4 .
 of Tanagra to the siege of Plataea.
 sentatives (cf. v. 46 § i).
$\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \in \nu$, implying always either falsity of statement or falsity of thought (cf. Shill. on I. 92 ).

חavoaviov, cf. II. 7 I § 4.
$\eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mathrm{X} \alpha{ }^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, i.e. $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$, with special reference to Thebes.
троє(Xоуто, 'held out,' 'proffered'; cf. $\pi \rho о т \in\{\nu \in \iota \nu$.
kotvoùs cival, 'to remain neutral': with special allusion to Athens.
кaт' 'éкєiva, the reference, though lax in point of concord, is sufficiently explicit for Thucydides.
 Є' $\delta \xi \xi_{\zeta} \alpha \nu \tau 0$ without object cf. 13 § I.

Another possible solution is that $\dot{\eta} \gamma o \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \nu=t$ represents an antithesis to $\nu 0 \mu i \xi \circ \nu \tau \epsilon s$, i.e. the real 'animus' of the Spartans is contrasted with the 'externa species' of a conventional justice.
 of the historian. $\beta 0 v \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota$ cannot $=\dot{\alpha} \xi(\omega \sigma \epsilon \epsilon$, 'request,' nor can $\delta \iota \kappa a l a$ Bou入ทंनє represent $\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega \sigma \epsilon \iota$, whether in Attic or Ionic sense From V. 105 we can explain $\beta \circ u \lambda \eta{ }_{j} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ as $=\pi \rho o a \iota \rho \epsilon \in \epsilon \iota$, 'intention': the sub-

$T \hat{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta} \beta$ oí $\lambda \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \alpha \iota)$ : the dative is causal (cf. 50 § I). Practically the expression replaces $\beta$ ounúmenol aúzoi olkatot cival (cf. II. I6 § I $\tau \hat{\eta}$


тараүаүóvтєs kal $\epsilon \rho \omega \tau \hat{\nu} v \tau \epsilon s$, a $\sigma \chi \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ $\delta \iota \alpha$ $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \sigma o v:$ the first accusative is refurable to the remoter participle (see Shilleto's note on I. 39 § 3 ).

о́тóтє $\mu \eta$ ф̀ $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$, iterative optative (cf. vili. 53 § 3), answered as usual by imperfect, $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$.
 as the construction merely $=\dot{\alpha} \pi \tilde{\eta} \gamma \quad \nu \quad \kappa \alpha i \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \omega \circ \nu$, the participle assimilitting its tense to that of the finite verb.

§2. oủk èdáorous, 'no fewer than,' i.e. 'fully,' shewing that this number was reachert but not exceeded. Stakoot $\omega v$, for the actual number of the besieged cf. 11. 78 § 3, 111. 20 § $2,24 \S 2$.
 proposed change to pluperfect is needless.
$\eta$ ทivparódıavv, compare the Athenian treatment of the Melians (V. 116).
§ 3. '̇viautóv tıva, 'for about a year'; Meineke, on analogy of $\epsilon$ îs $\tau / \bar{s}$ proposes ëva $\tau \iota v \dot{\prime}$ (cf. vi. $61 \S 2$ ). This use of $\tau / s$, though common with plurals, is uncommon with singular. The analogous use of $\dot{\eta} \mu \dot{f} \rho a$ (as $=\mu(\alpha \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \rho a)$ would justify èvtavtós tis.

Oŋßaiot, expunged by Stahl and Classen, on the ground that the allotment rested with Sparta, as well as on the authority of Diodorus, XII. 56.


és é $\delta a \phi 0 \mathrm{~s}$, 'to the ground'; cf. IV. I09 § I.
 365). Classen, however, regards the expression as the equivalent of 'funditus.' The passage quoted from Procopius points to a misconception of Thucydides' own construction.
$\tau \hat{̣}$ 'Hpaị, cf. Hdt. IX. 52. катаүш́үเov, 'deversorium': a necessary precaution, after the destruction of all houses, for the protection of thuse who visited the shrine (see Becker's Charicles I. 136).
mavtaxn, 'all ways,' 'quoquo versus': i.e. it was 200 ft . square. oiкүната, 'chambers,' 'cubicula'; cf. I. 134 § I, P'lato Mhaed. II6 A. $\kappa \alpha \alpha^{2} \omega \theta \in \nu$ кal äv $\omega \theta \epsilon v$, i.e. of two stories.
rois ä入入ots，＇with the rest，＇an instrumental dative，though some－ what strained．
$\tau \hat{\varphi} \tau \epsilon i \chi \notin \iota$ ，in collective sense，＇within the fortress＇；cf． 1.62 § 6.
 attraction to the parenthetical relative clause，$\hat{a} \hat{\eta} \nu$ ，in lieu of appositional dative，wrongly explained by some editors as denoting the＇cramps of iron＇（retinacula）which held the masonry of the walls together．

к $\lambda$ ivas，possibly for the use of the worshippers，although suggestive of a＇lectisternium．＇
$\boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega}$ ，as all act of propitiation to the gods of the captured land （Arnold）．
$\delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma เ \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，＇iuris publici fecerunt，＇＇confiscated．＇
§4．$\sigma X \in \delta o ̀ v \delta \epsilon ́ \tau \iota$, cf．v． 66 § 4 ；vil． 33 § 2 （Böhme）．
каi тò $\xi \dot{u} \mu \pi \alpha \nu$ ，кai is intensive．$\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \Pi \lambda a \tau \alpha เ \omega ิ \nu, ~ t h e ~ p r e p o s i t i o n a l ~}$ construction is complete in itself，＝＇quod attinebat ad＇；cf．Platn，Theaet． 177 B $\pi \in \rho \hat{i} \mu \hat{e} \nu$ oî̀ $\tau 0 u ́ \tau \omega \nu \dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ ．Herwerden，by striking out $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ，apparently misunderstood the construction．aंтотєт $\rho a \mu \mu \in ́ v o l$ ， ＇aversi．＇＇̇ยย์oveo，an unusual periphrasis in good prose（Kriig．）．
a̋pть каӨıбт $\alpha \mu \in \nu \circ v, c f .3$ § I ：it was still in its first stage：for the periods of the war cf．v． 26.
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda i \mu \mathrm{ous}$ ，the cession of Plataea to Thebes would bring Thebes into immediate contact with Athens：at the same time the Spartans sorely needed the services of the Boeotian cavalry．
$\tau \rho i \tau \varphi$ каi $\varepsilon \downarrow \in \nu \eta \kappa о \sigma \tau \varphi ิ$ ，i．c．from 519－427 в．c．Grote，placing the treaty some 10 years later，would read oj $\gamma \delta \eta \kappa 0 \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$ ．See however Curtius，Gk．Hist．I． 318.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \eta$＇，strengthened form of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{l}$ ，strictly a form of addition $=$＇post－ quam，＇in lieu of subtraction＇ex quo．＇Cf．vili， 68 § 4.

The later history of the Plataeans is one of strange vicissitudes． In 420 Scione was assigned them as a residence（cf．v． 32 ）：ejected at the close of the Peloponnesian war they were admitted to rights （apparently）of iбomo久ıteía at Athens．In 387 they were reinstated by the Spartans，but in b．c． 372 the city was once more surprised by the Thebans and utterly destroyed．They then again returned to Athens （cf．Grote X．220）．After the battle of Chaeroneia（ 338 B．C．），the Plataeans were once more reinstated by Philip．From this time forth the city continued to exist until comparatively late times（see Smith＇s Dict．Geogr．s．v．Plataea）．

## CHAP'TER LXIX.

(The narrative is now resumed from Chap. xxxiri.)
§ 1. тєббара́коvта, for number of ships cf. 26 § 1 ; 29.

 and poetic, in spite of Poppo's quotation from Autiphon II. S § 1 , cannot rank as an Attic equivalent for imó (see Shilleto on I. 2I § 2). Of two other instances in Thuc., I. 20 § 2, vi. 36 § 2 , neither are satisfactory. Schomann's explanation that the chase was only made by a portion of the Attic fleet scems untenalle. In the present instance it appears we must accept the Ionism.
è $\pi \iota \delta(\omega X \theta \in i \sigma a l$, the pursuit was, at least, sustained; which will account for $\epsilon \pi i$. $\sigma \pi 0 p \alpha \delta \epsilon$, treated by Boilhme as the equivalent of $\sigma \pi$ ора́ócs $\gamma \in \nu \dot{\rho} \mu \epsilon \nu$ al (cf. 11. $\$_{2} \S_{1}$, V. $\sigma_{4} \S 4$ ). To escape this difficulty, Classen strikes out rai before $\dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ airû̀s and comstrues $\sigma \pi$ opáōes with $\kappa \alpha т \eta \nu \epsilon \in \chi \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$.

катпиє́X $\theta \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \boldsymbol{\alpha}$, ' were driven there' (by stress of weather).
ката入a $\beta$ ßávovoเv, 'offendunt.' Kv $\lambda \lambda \eta \eta \eta$, the seaport of Elis and naval station of Peloponnesian fleet (cf. II. $8+\S .5$ ), opposite Zacymthus. Bpafídav, the Spartan $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \in \tau p i \beta a \nu o s$, Ar. Pax 275 , long destined to be a thorn in the side of Athens. The hero of many a feat of arms until his fall at Amphipolis 422 B.C. (cf. v. 10).
$\xi v \mu \beta o u \lambda o v$, the usual expedient of the Spartan government in the case of those whom they suspected; cf. II. 85 § I.
' $A \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{i} \delta \mathfrak{a}$, it is somewhat surprising to find this incapable officer still in command ; but, probably, the term of his vavapxia had not yet expired. '̇̃ $\pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \eta \lambda \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ óta, Classen remarks upon the graphic tense, 'he had just come'; $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, either to join Alcidas, or, on analogy of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon i v$, ' had come on board.'
§ 2. ij ${ }^{2} \rho \tau \eta^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \sigma \alpha v$, lit. 'missed,' failed in the case of Lesbos, i.e. been too late to save Mytilene.
$\delta \dot{\delta} \delta \epsilon \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu . . . \pi \rho i v \delta \epsilon ́$, note the false relation of the $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ clause (see Kriig. G. G. 59. 2 § 3) ; Göller would find a connexion through
 gives each clause a construction with ör $\pi \omega$ s $\pi \rho \circ \phi \theta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$. The difficulty disappears if we give a temporal meaning to $\pi a p o \rho^{2} \boldsymbol{\tau} \omega$ : 'while the Athenians had only 12 ships available, and before reinforcements
could arive.' For the strength of the squadrom at Naupactus, of. 75 § 1 .

таребкєvá̧oveo, inchoative imperfect, 'proceeded to make preparations.'

## CHAPTER LXX.

§1. oi $\gamma \dot{\rho} \rho \mathrm{K} \epsilon$ ккирaiol, cf. Diod. Sic. x11. 57: $\gamma$ (íp recall.s the mention of $\mathrm{K} \epsilon \rho \kappa v \rho \alpha$ in $\sigma_{9} \S 2$.
 of the captives. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \dot{0} \eta$, temporal, as in 68 §.5.
aix $\mu$ á̀ $\omega$ tol, 250 in number, cf. I. 55 av่тois, a 'dativus incommodi'; for structure with $\eta \lambda \theta 0 \nu$, cf. I. I3 § 3 .
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ 'Estí $\alpha \mu \nu 0 v$, i.e. Sybota, cf. I. 47 : $11 /$. : a convenient central locality for the purposes of Thucydides' narrative.
óктакобi$\omega \nu$, genitive of price. $\pi \rho \circ \xi_{\xi}$ vots, dative of agent: they had acted as 'intercessores': there had been no lack of civility on the part of their captors ( $\dot{\nu} \quad \theta \epsilon \rho a \pi \epsilon i \notin \epsilon i \chi 0 \nu \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}$ ). The largeness of the sum demanded as ransom has provolied much comment; Classen regards it as a merely nominal sum. It is true that they were persons of note ( $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \mathrm{o}$ ôtvá $\mu \mathrm{t}$ ), but when in Hdt, vi. 79 we find the ransom (of oi $\pi a \chi \epsilon \in \epsilon s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{Na} \alpha \kappa \iota o ̄ \in \omega \nu$ ) fixed at 2 minae, in Demosth. Nicostr. at 26 minae, and in Thuc. vir. 83 at one talent, the sum here demanded appears exorbitant, even for such 'warm men' as these Corcyreans (see Boeckh Pol. Ec. I. Ico). Jowett wisely deprecates discussion of a purely fictitious sum.
$\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu$ 'vol, 'brilicl,' i.e. by the promise of release.
$\mu \in \tau$ Lóvtes, 'soliciting' (cf. viit. 73 § 5), Lat. 'ambire.'
§ 2. áyouowv, i.e. both with ambassadors on board.

 an $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu a \chi^{i} a$ only was concluded at the time.
§ 3. ท̂v ráp, 'causa $\pi a \rho c \nu \theta \epsilon \in \tau \omega s$ praemissa' (Poppo): but on the practice of universally punctuating these $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ sentences as parentheses, see Shilleto's stringent comments on Thuc. I. 25 § 2.
${ }^{\dot{c}} \theta \in \lambda 0 \pi \rho \rho^{\prime} \xi \in v o s$, i.e. without state authority or public recognition in
 popular party.' i̇ாáyovoıv, used sometimes without the supplement, ís ôiкخע (cf. Hdt. vi. $7^{2}$ ). катаסou入oûv, conative present.
§4. aंтофиүш́v, 'absolutus,' on being acquitted.


Ionic use．$\tau \in \mu \nu \in \iota v$, the present marks the habitual practice，although it may be used in technical sense（cf．$\dot{d} \dot{0} \neq \hat{\omega})$ ．With the Athenians the penalty for such an offence was death．Poppo quotes several instances of the reverence in which sacred enclosures and groves were held by the ancients．

Xápakas，＇vine props，＇probably of greater value than＇vine－saplings，＇ as Corcyra abounded in vineyards and orchards（ $\pi a \gamma \kappa a \lambda \omega 今 s \pi \epsilon \phi \cup \tau \epsilon \emptyset \mu \epsilon \nu \eta$ ， Xen．Hell．vi． 2 § 6）．
＇A $\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{k i v o v, ~ C o r c y r a ~ w a s ~ a ~ r e p u t e d ~ P h a c a c i a n ~ c o l o n y ~(c f . ~ I . ~}^{25} \S 4$ ）： the tradition is，however，disputed．
$\sigma \tau \alpha \tau \eta \rho$, whether the Attic didrachma，the silver stater，or the Attic gold stater of 20 drachmae，is doubtful．

$\tau \alpha \xi \alpha \mu \in \nu o t ~ \alpha \pi \sigma \delta \omega \sigma \sigma \nu$ ，＇that they might arrange for the payment，＇ whether by compromise（＇facta pactione，＇as Herwerden suggents），or by instalments，is duubtful：the latter is probably correct：cf．i．ror § 3 ． ßou入ท今s $\omega v$ ，partitive genitive：I Ierwerden disputes the phrase，in spiste of Dio＇s imitation．The assumption of a Corcyrean worl $\beta$ oul $\hat{\eta} s$（i．e． Boùcutís）on analogy of $\tau \mu \mu \hat{\eta}$（ $\tau \iota \mu \eta \in i s$ ）is a pure fiction，especially in close proximity to the orthodox $\beta$ oudeutiss．$\Pi \in \epsilon$ ias $\pi \in\{\theta \in \varepsilon$ ，the paronomasia is purely accidental．Kr．quotes vir． 39 § 2 ；I＇latn Symp． 185 C．
$\omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon$, marking result attained．xpróarөat，＇to enforce＇（the law）： the legal penalty would probably be one of $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu$ ．
 Poppo hesitates to decide．Arnold，who compares Hdt．I． 3183 ，is probably right in rejecting all attempts at supplement．
$\nu \circ \mu i \zeta \epsilon เ v$, cf．I． 44 § I，＇recognise．＇This policy of Peithias＇would at once convert the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu a \chi i a$ into a $\xi v \mu \mu a \chi i a$ ．
$\xi v v i \sigma \tau a v \tau 0$, ＇coniurare＇（cf．vili． 65 § 2）．
є’ $\gamma \chi \in \iota \rho i \delta \iota \alpha$ ，as easily concealed ；cf．VIII． 69 § 4 ．
of $\delta \in \operatorname{tives} \ldots$ od $\lambda$ ipot，＇but certain others，a few only＇；for the order
 description：cf．I． 113 § 2 with vill． 92 § 6 ．

## CHAPTER LXXI．

§ 1．taûta，i．e．the action they had taken in getting rid of these ӧ $\eta$ мотькои．
kal．．．kai＇，＇ut，＇＇ita．＇$\epsilon i \eta$ ，without $\not \partial \nu$ ，as conveying no notion of futurity：convertible into realistic $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i$ ，just as $\delta o u \lambda \omega \theta \in i 匕 \nu$ dal might be
realised by direct future of indicative. The use of optative may, in either case, be due to sulbjective statement of the case, from the speaker's point of view. $\delta \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$, the infinitive is due either to implied repetition of eimov in altered sense ('censebant'), or to imperative lurking in infmitive, i.c. 'they submitted that what they had done had been done for the best, and proposed that thenceforth they should receive neither'; cf. a like ambiguity in 3 \& 3 . For cimoy in stronger sense cf. II. $2 \not+\S$ I.
 been taken by Lacedaemunians, 11. 7 § 2. ŋjovxáGovtas, in agreement with subject of infinitive, sc. тous Kepкирalous.

тò $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ éov= $=$ ou's $\pi \lambda$ elovas, 'a larger number.' On the necessity of such precaution see Arnold's note.
 have used a twofold $\dot{\omega} s$, e.g. $\dot{\omega}$ íbov $\dot{\omega} s \epsilon \in \mu a ́ \nu \eta \nu$.

ทุขáyкабаv, 'induced' (by pressure), 'constrained'; cf. vili. 4 I § 3.
§2. ஸ́s $\xi v v \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon$, 'as was expedient,' 'ut ipsis commodum erat' (Poppo). $\dot{\omega}$ is here the equivalent not of öт $\begin{gathered}\text { but of öt } \pi \omega s \text { (Poppo). }\end{gathered}$
 already reached, cf. IV. I \& § I : some had escaped on board the trireme ( $7 \circ \S 6$ ). ávє ' $\pi$ เのтрофウ', 'animadrersio' (attention on the part of Athens, resulting in punitive measures), rather than 'rerum conversio' (reaction): -i.e. to avoid attracting the attention of Athens.-Cf. Soph. O. R. I 34, and see Jebb's note. Connect ö $\pi \omega$ s $\mu \dot{\eta}$ in point of structure with $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu$ $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## CHAPTER LXXII.

§ 1. $\dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta_{o ́ v \tau \omega \nu, ~ s c . ~}^{\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \hat{\epsilon} \omega \nu$; for omission of sulject, cf. I. 2 § 2 :

ws veفteplyovtas, 'on a charge of sedition': for use of ws with circumstantial participle, cf. Goodw. M. T. §§ $86_{4}, \$ 6_{5}$.
 structure relieves the monotony of a participial coordination.
'ereloav, 'had tampered with': there is no indication in the aorist that the intrigue had actually succeeded.
 Aegina was now an Athenian colony.
 in authority,' the $\delta \eta \mu \mathrm{ovp} \mathrm{\gamma ol}$ of V .47 § 9.
 such cases is capricious，e．g．vill．ऊ3 \＄I ；cf．Thompson G．Syn． \＄25．3．kal $\Lambda a k \in \delta \alpha \varphi \mu o v(\omega \nu$ ，＇with some Lacedacmonian ambassadors．＇ evikŋoav，the aorist points to the ease with which the victory was won （cf．Curtius，Elucidations，c．xx．）．
 suggests $\notin \phi \iota к о \mu \hat{\prime} \nu \eta$ s．
$\tau \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \omega \rho a=\tau a ̀$ каратерá．The old acropolis was the long undulating promontory to the south of the modern town（smith，Dict．（ieo．）．

кaraфєv́yєt．．ispúө $\ldots$ ．．．ixov，this coordination of present，aorint， and imperfect is noteworthy．The sentence＝rataderi－fel kai iôplOivetes eixoy．The hi－toric present may rephace either imperfect or aorit： the imperfect cixou being used on analugy of evincov，＇were masters of．＇ For change of number at cixov，cf．I． 13 ミ I ．The phural is more usmally evolved from the singular than the singular from the pharal．$\xi v \lambda \lambda \in \gamma \in$ is $=\xi v \sigma t p a \phi e i s, ~ ' r a l l i e d, '$ Lat．＇conglobati．＇＇Yג入aïкóv，at the present day known as Kaliokopulo or Peschiera；it has at its entrance an island called Condilonisi，but is now choked with silt and mud．Scylax speaks of three harbours：apprarently there were only two，viん．＇Portus Alcinoi＇ and＇Ifyllaicus．＇The site of the ancient town was on a peninsula a little to the south of Corfu；on the one side is the gulf of Kialioker，ulu， on the other side a bay which separates the penim－ula from the pro－ montory on which the modern citadel now stands（Simith，Dict．（ien）．： cf．Cic．ad Div．xvı． 8.
ròv $\pi$ pòs av́t $\hat{n}$ ，i．e．the＇Portus Alcinoi．＇


## CHAPTER LXXIII．

§ 1．ทккроßо入ioavto，uret of＇skirmishing，＇＇out－fighting＇；cf．is． $3+$ § I；Ar．Eth．III．I § I 7 d $\kappa \rho 0 \chi \in \iota \rho i \xi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
odíya，mother instance of the Greek tendency to pluralise；cf．

 ＇after some slight skirmishing，they proceeded to send．＇

тарака入оиิvтєऽ，cf． 39 § $2 \kappa \tau \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu 0$ ．
тò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \mathrm{os},=0$ i $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ 倦 $\epsilon$ ：cf．I． $106 \S 2$（Cl．）．
тoîs érépots，i．e．тoîs èvaptious．
ETikovpot，＇mercenaries＇；cf．is \＄ 5 ，the＇proeliatores，＇＇lighting men，＇ of Plato＇s Republic．

## CHAPTER LXXIV．



 for the behaviour of the women of．II．$+\$ 2$ ．Classen places a comma only at $\pi \rho o \dot{\theta}^{\chi} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ on the plea that the supplementary $\tau \epsilon$ sentence adds an additional rethon for the superior force of the democrats．छ$\xi v \in \pi \epsilon \lambda$ c． ßovio，ef．I． 115 ， 2 ，a strengthened form from sul\außiveotai（cí the use of $\xi_{v v a l \rho \in \sigma \theta a i) ~ u s e d ~ w i t h o u t ~ o b j e c t . ~}^{\text {．}}$

кєра́цщ，in collective sense；cf．II． 4 § 2.
mapà фv́otv，either＇beyond their sex＇or＇superhuman．＇Cf．vi．r\％ § I（which Weil would alter to $\pi a p a ́ ~ \tau \iota \sigma(\nu)$ ．
§ 2．$\pi \epsilon p i \delta \epsilon i \lambda \eta \nu$ ó $\psi i a v$ ，＇late in the afternonn＇；cf．viil．26 § i．
oi ỏ $\lambda$ ใүol，i．e．oi $\delta u v a t o l$ ，the＇optimates．＇
aúroßocl，＇with a sudden rush＇（Jowett），＇primo impetu et clamore＇ （Livy）：the word is not found in Hdt．although described by Marcellinus： as $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi a t$＇́t $\epsilon \rho о \nu$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\omega} v$, marking hypothetical protasis，$=\epsilon \ell \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \lambda \theta \circ$ ．
 represents＇cut off their last chance＇（of escape）．
tàs oikías，i．e．the detached houses，mansions（of the wealthy）．
$\xi$ vootkias，＇insulae，＇＇lodging－houses，＇＇flats．＇ovvoukia，$\dot{\eta}$ moldois катокоуцย́ $\nu \eta$ каі $\mu \sigma \theta$ оr $\mu$ е́ $\nu \eta$（Ammonius）；cf．Aeschines c．Timarch． 137. The distinction is between the dwellings of the rich and poor．

oikєias，genitive singular．Xpŕцaгa，＇property，＇money＇s－worth，＇ Lat．＇merces＇；cf．Demosth．c．Phormio．§ i 7.
$\pi a ̂ \sigma a$ סLaфӨapŋ̂var，＇was in imminent danger of being totally destroyed，in the event of any wind springing up to waft the flame towards it．＇In point of conditional relation，Éкıvס亢́vevoe $\delta t a \phi \theta a \rho i ̄ \nu a \iota$ is merely a resolved form for ôıє $\phi \theta \dot{a} p \eta$ ä $\nu$ ，but a more realistic form of expression：cf．＇deleri potuit exercitus si persecuti essent．＇The absence of $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ points to the imminence of the danger．кevouveúelv is not used in Thucydides in the Platonic sense of＇likelihood＇or＇proba－ bility，＇not even in IV．II7 § 2．émi申оро今，cf．II． 77 § 5 ．
§ 3．oi $\mu^{\prime} v$ ，the Corcyreans，the rival factions as distinct from the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ккоирои．$\pi \alpha v \sigma a ́ \mu \in \nu o l$ ，temporal $=\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \eta \nu$ ．$\quad$ śs ékáтєpol， ＇utrique pro se＇（Poppu），cf．ws ënautut：the eis is at once limiting and distributive．
 hostilities. '่v фu入aкท̂ $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$, 'remained on the alert.'
 furtive $\dot{i} \pi b$. тov̂ $\delta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}$, Corinth was oligarchical; but the exclusive oligarchy of the Bacchiarlae had long been 'in melius temperatum.'


With regard to the threefold kal sequence, Shilletn, in a note on 1. $50 \$ 5$, remarks on the obscurity occasionally resulting from the use of this particle. In the present case, the Ist kal forms the link with the preceding sentence, being, indeed, almost temporal in effect, the 2nd and 3 rd are copulative, kal...kal suggesting community of action on the part of the Corinthian vessel and the mercenaries.

## CHAPTER LXXV.

§ 1. Tท̂ $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$, continuing the marrative from oi $\mu \in ́ v(74 \$ 3)$.
Nıкóттратоs, probably the $\Sigma_{\kappa} \kappa \mu \beta \omega \nu i o ̂ \eta s$ of Ar. Vesp. 81 ; cf. Thuc. Iv. 129 § 2. He fell at Mantineia: cf. v. 64, 7r.
$\Delta u \tau \rho \in ́ \phi o u s$, Stahl from an inscription reads $\Delta \iota \epsilon \iota \rho \epsilon \in \phi \eta s$, not that in a matter of itacism the evidence of an inscription carries much weight. Are we to correct the spelling of $\Delta u \pi \epsilon \tau \eta$ ) to $\Delta \iota \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \in \tau \eta s$ ? Again, what of the Latin dative forms, e.g. -ei, -e? (cf. Quintilian I. 7 § 15 sqq.).

тараүіуvєтаи $\beta \circ \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \nu=\pi \alpha \rho a \beta о \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, 'came to their relief.'
Nautákтov, cf. $\sigma_{9} \S$ 2. $\delta \omega \delta \delta \epsilon к \alpha$, the regular guard-force there. '̇mpa, $\sigma \sigma \epsilon$, probably inchoative, 'tried to effect'; by some explained of the sustained effort. $\pi \in(\theta \varepsilon \varepsilon$, historic present.
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, of result attained: he induced them to accept this arrangement. крival, 'bring to trial,' 'reos sistere.'
épetvav Classen explains as pluperfect: they had promptly disappeared, 'had not waited further results.'
oikєiv, without object, cf. $4^{8} \S$ I. тоוทoap'sous, not only preliminary to oikeiv but also conditional. $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon=\dot{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \hat{\psi} \tau \epsilon$, cf. I. 44 § 1 .

§ 2. ท̂бनóv tı, 'aliquanto minus' (Poppo).
 active or passive, e.g. ̇ंv $\phi \cup \lambda \alpha \kappa \hat{\eta}$ єival, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \kappa a \tau a \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \epsilon \iota$ cival, but is more graphic than the simple verb.
$\xi v \mu \pi \epsilon \mu \psi \epsilon เ v$, loose in construction, suggestive of some verb of promise
lurking in $\pi \epsilon i \theta o v \sigma v$, but, the oratio obliqua once introduced, or even suggested, Greek freedom of construction will answer for the rest ; cf. $9+\S 3$.
'̇к $\sigma \phi \hat{\omega} v$ av̉ $\hat{\omega} v$, ' e numero sturum,' i.c. of their own felluwcitizens.
§3. кaté $\lambda \in \mathcal{y} \circ$ és, pregnant construction, 'told off into the vessels,' 'eligehant ad maves complendas'; for кatèterov cf. Vinf. 3 I §' i.
aंтопє $\mu \phi \hat{\omega} \sigma t$, 'ne missi fuerint,' fut. perfect.
$\Delta$ lookóp $\omega v$, the old Attic form. There was a second temple on MI. Istone. Cf. Boeckh Corp. Inscr. No. 1874.
§ 4. dviot $\begin{gathered}\text {, cf. I. } 137 \text { § } 1 \text {. His attempt was a failure: hence the }\end{gathered}$ imperfect. $\delta \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i s$, Cobet's suggestion opyı $\theta \theta \epsilon i$ appears needless.

 íyıs's dıavooîvzat. But though is can thus be used with participles, ört cannot (cf. 82 § i). In such expressions ís suggests no idea of unreality: see Goodw. M. T. § 865 . Here it marks the ground on which action is taken, 'on the plea that they could be bent on no wholesome scheme, in thus refusing to sail with Nicostratus.'
íyı́́s, frequently supplemented by $\dot{a} \pi \lambda o i ̂ \nu$; cf. Dem.
тov $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \xi \nu \mu \pi \lambda \in i v$, the negative is due to negative form of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i \alpha$, the genitive is primarily a possessive genitive, 'the mistrust implied in the not sailing.' These oblique forms of the articular infinitive are, of course, necessitated by the absence of any gerund in Greek.
$\delta$ té $\phi \theta \in \rho \rho a v$, note the transition to plural, probably to escape confusion with $\epsilon \in \kappa \dot{\omega} \lambda \nu \sigma \epsilon$.

## § б. кa⿴囗

'Hpaîov, i.e. the $\tau \epsilon \mu \in \nu$ os, 'precinct'; it was near the Hyllaic harbour (Göll.), and, from Bloomfield's point of view, a more inviolable sanctuary than the temple of the Dioscuri.
$\gamma$ iqvoytal, present, somewhat awkwardly, by assimilation to ratijovotv.
$\boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \operatorname{i} \sigma \omega \sigma เ v$, 'attempt some violence,' desperate act,-a suspicion justified by their previous action in setting fire to the town.
$v \eta \sigma o v$, which of the many small islands about Corcyra is meant? would any one of them hold 400 persons? The choice of commentators varies between Ptychia and Condilonisi.
$\delta \iota \epsilon \pi \epsilon \in \mu \pi \epsilon \tau 0$, a sudden transition to passive.

## CHAPTER LXXVI.

§ 1. ' $\phi$ ' ó $\rho \mu \omega$ oûbat, the existence of sucls an adjectival form as ' $\phi \quad$ ор $\mu$ o (vid. Bekker's text) is denied by Meineke.

 Peloponnesian flect under Alcidas, reinforced by i3 Ambraciot and Leucadian ships: cf. 69 § $\mathbf{1}$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \in \pi \in \iota$, i.e. 'was on board': contrast this use with the hostile $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ of $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu$ in next sentence.
 the island (Poppo).

## CHAPTER LXXVII.

§ 1. oi $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, the democrats. $\theta_{0}$ oú $\beta \omega$, dative of circumstance, coor-
 Cf. катá $\lambda \eta \psi \iota s, v .7_{2} \S 4$.

тàs áci $\pi \lambda \eta p o u \mu$ évas, such as were manned from time to time, i.e. as fast as they were manned: cf. 23 § 2 .
'єॄє $\epsilon \epsilon \mu \pi \pi \nu$, 'kept sending them out'; the imperfect necessitated by iterative ácl (Cl.).
mapaเvoúvt $\omega v$, 'quamvis suaderent,' 'in spite of the Athenians

 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota v o u ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, not on $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \iota$.
§ 2. av̉roîs, intial dative in position (cf. I. 4 § § 4), subjective in point of meaning. ท̂бav тpós, cf. 22 § 3.
év $\dot{\text { étépats, }} \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ in lieu of the more usual $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\prime}$ is suggested simply by the compound $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon \in \neq \nu \tau \epsilon s$, i. e. the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{a} \tau \alpha \iota$.

 The Scholiast had evidently the same reading as our text.
§ 3. Étágavto, 'took up their position': cf. IV. II § i.
ai Sío, 'the two well-known vessels.' ai, deictic. 'illae'; cf. 33 § 1 .

## CHAPTER LXXVIII.

§ 1. какиิs, 'clumsily,' 'awkwardly.' тò кa日' aitoús, i.e. 'sua ex parte' (St.): omitting the article, кa日' autous would mean either 'per se' (II. 99 § 2), or 'inter se' (II. 88 § I). Even if we arlmit the article, it is doubtful whether we should give the phrase a lucal meaning.
 interprets as instrumental (or sociative), on amalogy of viri. So § i, 'with all their ships,' 'en masse.' But $\dot{a} \theta \rho \dot{0} \dot{a} s$ must be predicative to $\tau$ ais ' $\phi$ ' 'auloùs $\tau \epsilon \tau a \gamma \mu e ́ v a l s$ (sc. varoi), they did not venture to attack the main body, 'the solid formation,' opposed to them.

The Pedoponnesian fleet had divided into two squadrons, 20 to attack the Corcyreans, 33 to fight the 12 Athenian ships. The Athenians, consequently, did not venture to attack the solid central formation, although their superior skill and speed emboldened them to attack the wings. The centre was, presumally, the strongest portion of the line: the danger of weakening it is well illustrated in Viri. IOt, 105 . The crescent formation was much in vogue with the ancients, as in later times with the Zulus. кarà кépas stands in contrast to кarà $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \circ \nu$, it cannot represent $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \kappa \epsilon \rho \omega \bar{\rho}$, in column.'

In fine, the Athenians dared not risk their favourite device the $\delta \ell \epsilon \kappa \pi \lambda$ дous. $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \beta a \lambda o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ katá, cf. v. 9 § 4 .

кataסv́ourt, 'disabled,' 'cut duwn to the water's edge.'
 83 § 5 .

§ 2. $\pi$ pos rois $\mathrm{K}_{\text {єpкupaiors, }}$ 'those opposed to the Corcyreans'; for the dative (which Herw., Stud. Thuc. p. $\psi^{6}$, would replace by
 II. 84 .
$\gamma \in v o ́ \mu \in v o l$ depóal, the two divisions of the flect had now combined.

 polably arose from afrinity of thought in 'striking' and 'thrusting,' e.g. $\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta}$ (Ar. Rhet. I. 5 §S 12, I5) as well as from the use of the simple verb for the compound (àá), i.e. 'to thrust (hackward) the stern by the strokes of the oar.' The object of the mancurre was to lieep the ship $\mu \epsilon \tau \omega \pi \eta \delta o v^{\nu}$, 'stem on,' to the enemy and so escape the risk of being rammed amidships, whether in the act of retreating, or to gather way for a charge. Whether in the execution of this manceuvre the rowers 'faced about' ('converso remigio'), is more than doubtful. In Gronovius 'Observationes' iv. c. 26 , will be found a note of vast erudition on the subject. Cicero (ad Att. Xilt. 21) discusses the point of distinction between $\nu a \hat{\nu} \nu$ ' $\pi \epsilon \in \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$, 'inhibere remus' and $\pi \rho \dot{\mu} \mu \nu a \nu$ крои́єбөal, 'inhibere remis.'
§ 3．ä $\mu a$ ，i．e．a simultaneous，combined，attack．
 $\phi$ reitp，＇they wanted above all things to secure their escape＇：cf． 47 § 3．Classen，following the Vatican MS．，alters the order to $\dot{\operatorname{c}} \mathrm{au} \mathrm{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \nu$ öt七 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ ．
 accounts for the genitive in lieu of nominative（ $\mathrm{I}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}$ ．）．
$\tau \in \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \epsilon \in \omega v$ ，i．e．＇kecping the enemy confronted with them－ selves．＇
 Mr Heitland of St John＇s College has called my attention to this twuch of Thucydidean irony lurking in rocaúr $\eta$ ．
 Пu0icuv．

## CHAPTER LXXIX．

§1．$\sigma \phi \sigma \sigma$ ，dativus incommodi（Kriig．）：really an ethic dative． ©s kparoûvtes，＇uti victores＇（Pop．），＇in the flush of victory．＇
àva入áß $\omega \sigma$ เv，＇take on hoard＇（pick up）：cf．11． $25 \$ 5$.
$\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho / \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ ，in general sense（Cl．），＇cause some further trouble．＇
 єixov．

крaтov̂vтєs $\tau \hat{n} v a v \mu a x i \alpha$ ，the circumstantial participle expresses reserve，＇for all their victory，＇＇although victorious．＇Kriiger would expunge the words as a gloss，but Stahl cites in defence I． 69 § 5 émt $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \in \nu 0$ ．
o$\theta \in \nu \pi \in \rho$ ，i．e．Sybota：cf． 76 fin．
§ 3．oúठèv $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} v$ ，cf．II．7O § I ：they were no more readly to attack than on the day preceding．ofvas，sc．rois $\pi$ ohltas，contained in $\pi \delta \lambda_{\Delta} \nu$－once more the particulars are evolved from the general expression．
övtas．．．$\pi$ apaıvov̂vtos，for coordination of two different forms of construction cf． 53 § 2 ．

ஸs $\lambda \epsilon$＇́ $\dagger$ тal，perhaps an apology of Thucydides for any imputation of cowardice on the part of his favourite hero．
oúk ioo廿ŋ́фov，as a mere síußowios，and consequently not holding equal rank with the Lord High Admiral of Sparta．On the import－ ance of Alcidas＇ulfice sec Ar．I＇ol．11． 1 § $33 \dot{\eta}$ vatupxia $\sigma \chi$ ¢öò＇тtpa

Baбincia кa月tiot reserve ：see Goodhart on Vili． 6 § 5 ．
$\Lambda_{\epsilon u \kappa i} \mu \mu \eta$ ，the sonth－castern promontory of Corcyra（cf．I． 30 § i）．

## CHAPTER LXXX．

§1．$\delta \delta \hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{os} \ldots$ ñav，the plural is all the harsher on account of the
 for further exx．see his note．
rois ädols，i．c．of the number of the $\dot{i} \lambda l$ rou（who had not taken refuge in the Heraeum），cf． $74 \S 2$（C1．and Göll．）．
ö $\pi \omega$ s，＇quomodu＇rather than＇quo＇＝＇in what way，＇＇how＇；cf．vill．

ërtefav，with better success this time（C1．）：but on what represen－ tations？the promise of a safe convoy，e．g．to Athens？
ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，＇after all，＇i．e．in spite of all difficulties（vid． 77 and 78 ）：cf． 28 § 2．тplákovta，thirteen had been captured：how many disabled， is not recorded．The cooling of Corcyraean courage，after their tirst experience，may perhaps account for the smallness of the number．
§2．$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \sigma o v$, neuter：a unique instance in Thuc．：Kriger compares Xen．Anab．1．S § 8 ：cf．Lat．＇medium diei．＇úmò vúkтa，＇sub noctem．＇
 only instance of the verb in Thucydides．
€̇ŋंкоитa，no acknowledgment of any refinement in the art of signalling；but，as Böhme suggests，an insertion of the historian＇s own． The signals given were the фриктоi mo入є́ $\mu \mathrm{c}$ ：cf． 23 and II． $9+$ § I．For evidence of later expertness in the art of signalling，see Göller＇s note． $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \lambda$ є́ovoal，cf．1． 50 § 5.
árò $\Lambda \epsilon u \kappa \alpha ́ \delta o s$, Didot rightly construes with $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \rho v \kappa \tau \omega \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma a \nu$ ．Leucas was a $\phi$ ilia $\chi$＇́pa，and the distance from Leucas to Sybota was only about 10 miles．äs，anaphoric and explanatory：cf．I． 10 §3． $\begin{gathered}\text { ráoiv }\end{gathered}$ кaì тàs vav̂s $\mu \in \lambda \lambda 0 v \sigma^{\sigma} a s \pi \lambda \epsilon i v$ ，a combination of substantival and adjec． tival form of predication，＇hearing of the revolution and of the intended expedition against Corcyra．＇

Evipupé $\delta o v \tau \alpha$, probably the Eurymedon of VII． 52 今 2.

## CHAPTER LXXXI．

§ 1．т $\mathfrak{j} \mathrm{S}$ vuktós，Classen detects a sarcasm．The veil of darkness hid the incompetency of Alcidas；but with 53 vessels only to fight 72 ， ＇discretion was the better part of valour．＇

 open sea at any time.
úтєрєvєүко́vтє§, for the device cf. I5 § I and iv. 8 § 2.
$\tau$ às $\boldsymbol{\nu} a \mathrm{v} \mathrm{s}$, dependent on $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \epsilon \gamma \kappa \delta \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon$ s.
ròv io $\theta \mu$ óv, governed by $\dot{u} \pi t \rho$ of compound. This isthmus was the
 land joining Leucas with the mainland. By hauling the ships acrons, they escaped doubling Leucate. The inthmus was about 3 stades in width (I'liny N. H. IV. I § 5) and had been cut through, Strabo p. 4.52 C: it was reopened by the Romans, Livy xxxill. 17.
amoкоцi'govтat, i.e. in the direction of Cyllene and Gythium. Thus ended l'eloponnesian naval operations for this year (Cl.).

 $\lambda a \beta \dot{\omega} v, ~ i . e . ~ ' t h e y ~ p r o m p t l y ~ b r o u g h t ~ i n t o ~ t h e ~ t o w n . ' ~ I I u d e ~ s u g g e s t s ~$ $\lambda a \theta$ óvtes, Comm. Crit. p. ito.
 some such feat of arms as that of the Thracians at Mycalessus: of. VII. 29.

'Yג入aïкóv, of. $7_{2}^{2} 3$. The ships must have been manned in the Portus Alcinoi, the site of the dockyard, adjoining the aropá. While the ships were making their way round the peninsula, the promontory of $S$. Nicolas, the democrats (aided doubtless by the mercenarics) killed all the aristocrats left in the city upon whom they could lay hands: only a few had gone on board (cf. 80 § 1). The object of the democrats was to divide the strength of the oligarchs, with a view to orerpowering them.
éк $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \omega \hat{\nu}$, construe with $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \beta \iota \beta a ́ s o \nu \tau \epsilon s$, i.e. as they put them ashore, they cut them down.
aitexpêvтo, for more usual óat compound, cf. I. 126 § 1r. The explanation of the grammarian, daýporv, settles the question.
és тò 'Hpaîóv tє, for order cf. vil. 84 § 4 .

 portant of the two aorists is Kar' $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma a \nu$, 'they promptly condemmed them.'

Classen here comments on the recurrence of the graphic impeifect: $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \iota \nu 0 \nu, \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau 0, \dot{a} \pi \dot{\eta} \gamma \chi 0 \nu \tau 0, \dot{a} \nu \eta \lambda 0 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau 0, \dot{\epsilon} \phi \dot{u} \nu \in v o \nu$.
§ 3. $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon\{\sigma \theta \eta \sigma a v$, i.e. $\delta<\kappa \eta \nu$ ímo $\chi \chi \in \hat{\nu}$.
$\dot{\omega}$ é $\omega \rho \omega v$, their elevated position gave them a full view. The ís sentence may be either temporal or circumstantial.
 interpolation: Stahl, however, justifies the pleonasm.
$\alpha \lambda \lambda{ }_{\eta} \lambda^{\prime}$ ous, cf. Tac. A. IIf. 46 'mutuis ictibus occidere.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} v \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \delta \rho \omega v$, in the fore-court of the temple ( F (oppo).
 ís éraotos ẅpuそro: see also Cobet Var. Lect. 113 , Nov. Lect. 453 . In the present case there was no need for distinction of groups (ëкабтоt). But were the Greek writers so precise in their discrimination of ëкaбтos and érкaotol as their critics represent them to have been? A Latin, after all, writes almost indifferently 'maximus quisque,' 'maximi quique.'
§4. vavoi, sociative.
$\sigma \phi \hat{\nu} \nu \alpha \cup ้ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, i.e. 'suorum,' 'their fellow-citizens.'
סokov̂vtas, reputed, suspected: cf. the use of $\delta$ ók $\eta \sigma t s, 43$ § 1 . v. 16 § 3 .
'́фóvєvov, 'butchered'; the word is essentially a bloodthirsty one.

 points out that the $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ sentence distinguishes the political victims from those who were massacred from private motives. Matthiae, G. G. § 268 , treats roîs кatadúovour as appositional to an imagimary aúroîs; his instances however are oddly selected and, for the most part, do not

 true reading, $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ demands explanation in the context, which a $\dot{\omega}$ or ӧть clause or appositional accusative could supply. From 1. 107 § 6 we
 $\lambda v \sigma \omega$-when the alteration of кarádvou to кata入voroul took place the insertion of rois became natural-otherwise rois may represent the last syllable of aúzois.

From Classen's point of view we may explain that the charge of 'perduellio' was extended to all alike, although they really fell victims to private animosity or to the villainy of dishonest debtors. From Stahl's standpoint a distinction is made between the case of those who were executed on a specific charge and that of those who were $\phi$ ovevo. $\mu \in \nu 0 l \dot{\delta} \theta \epsilon \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ ('on any grounds or none ').

аiтíav ย̇тเфє́роขтєऽ, cf. $4 \sigma \S 6$.
$\alpha$ dé ${ }^{\prime}$ avov, for transition to passive structure, cf. 75 fin.
 delotors.' Arnold quotes from Dem. Timoih. is 86 , where $\lambda \eta \phi t \in \nu \tau a$ appears as a banking term, and agrees with Stahl and Poppo in con-
 construes with ó $\phi \in \lambda$ o $\hat{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$, i.e. they were put to death because moncy was owing to them by those into whose hands they fell.
§ 5. $\tau \epsilon$, restmptive (says Cl.) = 'in bricf': why not inferential:'thus'?
i§́́a Өavátov, cf. $83 \S$ 1, Tac. Hist. 111. 28, Virg. Aen. II. 369, 'plurima mortis imago.'

катย́สтๆ, 'was rife.'
oîov $\phi \iota \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$, why not accept Wasse's suggestion oï $\omega \nu$ ? To this I incline for more than one reason: ( 1 ) the words olov $\phi \iota \lambda \in \hat{\imath}$ puint to a parenthetical use in Thuc.: cf. IV. 28 § 3, Vi. 63 § 2. (2) ou'סty ö rı oú, if appositional, suggests a partitive apposition, i.e. of singular to plural (oia). (3) Thucydides evidently intends to state that all the ustal horrors of a revolution were realised : this appears best expresserl by a partitive genitive.

ย่v т $\hat{\varphi}$ rowoútw, 'in such a case' (as I have described), 'under such conditions': cf. 43 § 4.

кal 'ยть $\pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \tau \epsilon \in \omega$, 'and even worse' (adverb for adjective). Bloomfield cites Aristoph. 'Thesm. 705, Aesch. Prom. 225. Poppo compares Sallust Jug. 4t 'quaecunque dici aut fingi queunt...probra cuncta fuere, et alia amplius.'

oi $\delta$ ย́ тเvย§, cf. $70 \S 6$.
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \kappa 0 \delta o ́ \mu \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ occurs in I. $13+\S 2$.

## CHAPTER LXXXII.

§ 1. $\omega \mu \eta$, proleptic, 'to such savage extremes was party strife carried.' Classen and Stahl insert the article ( $\dot{\omega} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota s$ ), an addition which Shilleto regards as needless: cf. I. 23 § x $\mu \hat{\eta} \kappa \circ s \mu \epsilon \neq a \pi \rho o u ̈ \beta \eta$.
 all the more attention.'
èv тоîs прш́т $\boldsymbol{\eta}$, cf. 17 § 1 , note.
€ $\pi \in$, in contrast 'although' : cf. Plato Prot. 333 C.
v̋бтєрóv $\gamma \epsilon$, 'postea quidem'; $\gamma \epsilon$ is emphatic, 'eventually.'
kal，intensive．
ws eiteîv，＇ut ita dicam，＇in qualifying sense．
тò＇E入入ךขเкóv，neuter in cthnic sense，cf． 83 § I．The allusion is to all the Ifellenic－speaking portion of the human race：even the Ionian cities were affected．
éкเขท่ $\theta \eta$ ，＇felt the shock＇：cf．I．I § 2.
Slaфopw̄y（from sıaфopá），＇in consequence of the quarrels which everywhere prevailed．＇
ėráyєの日at（cf． 65 § 3），epexegetic infinitive；as though Thuc．had
 I． 128 § 3 ．

 For this use of $a \nu$ with participle，which practically replaces $\epsilon i$ k $\alpha i$ ，see Krïg．G．G．54．10． 3 and Goodwin M．T．§ 213 sqq．
$\pi \rho o ́ \phi \alpha \sigma เ v$, almost $=$ aitia，cf． 13 § ．
€́тoโ $\mu \omega \nu$（sc．ö $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ），＇notissima ellipsis＇（says Poppo），but rare in Thucydides，and contrary to the usual practice of Attic Greek．Löhme quotes a doubtful case from 69 § I ．In combination with a participle the use is found in v． $6_{4} \S+$（mnless indeed $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ poors has supplanted $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ póoss oî $\sigma \nu)$ ：II． 49 § 5 supplies another doubtful instance，$\gamma v \mu \nu o l$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota$（C．F．Miiller＇s suggestion of $\epsilon \in \delta \lambda \mu \omega \nu$ is quite uncalled for）．
$\pi \circ \lambda є \mu о \nu \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$（from $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta a \iota$ not $\pi о \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a t$ ），＇cum bello pre－ merentur＇（St．）．The real meaning is，in my opinion，＇as they became embroiled＇（i．e．the one party with the other）：for this antithesis of $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 \hat{\nu} \nu$ with oincioîv．cf．I． $3^{6}$ § I．Hude explains as neuter impersonal： a doubtful interpretation．
kal кarà $\xi v \mu \mu a x i a s$ ，for sequence of prepositional constrn．with participle，cf．IV． 24 § 5 ：lit．＇in consequence of．＇
ä $\mu a$ ，＇therewith＇（cf． 61 § г）．
ékatépols，i．e．Athens or Sparta：the dative finds its constrn．from
 ordinary text кal 乡rицахias treats the genitive singular as dependent on $\dot{\epsilon} \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma a l$ ：but this makes the connexion so remote that Herwerden
 v． 27 § I， 48 § I， 79 § I．Classen and Stahl agree in treating érarépocs
 apposition，i．e．＇on either side，those who were bent on any disturbance


какผ́бєt, dative of motive, or cause, replacing participle, 'in the (lesire (attempt) to ham their opponents'; of. the use of the vert) как $\omega \sigma \alpha t$ in I. 33 § 3.
$\sigma \phi$ loıv aútois, 'their own party,' dependent on verbal notion lurking in $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \sigma \circ \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon$, for which cf. I. 73 § I. The presence of one
 under one common grammatical 'vinculum.'
pa $\delta(\omega \mathrm{s}$, 'libenter' (Dobrec), 'gladly,' 'readily,' i.e. with a light

 of context.

 departure.'
'สтoplyoveo, lit. 'were procured by': a passive construction with dative of subject replaces an active or middle verb with nominative of subject. They made light of having recourse to such means as these external alliances supplied.

The drift of the sentence appears to me this: The feuds which everywhere prevailed between the party of progress and constitutionalists cleared the way for appeals to either of the rival powers, Athens or Sparta. In time of peace neither side would have countenanced such a course, nor indeed have tolerated foreign interference.

But as these feuds ripened into war (as the $\sigma$ táots became an oikeios $\pi \delta^{\prime} \lambda \in \mu \circ s$ ), and as it became necessary for either faction to strengthen their hands by alliance with one or the other of the two leading powers, the disturbers of the public peace found a ready plea for appeal to external aid, of which they only too readily availed themselves.
§2. '่̇ $\pi \in \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$, 'ingressive aorist' ( Cl .).
кaтà $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma เ \nu$, for $\kappa a \tau \alpha ́$ as $=\delta \iota a ́$, cf. 68 § 3.
$\gamma เ \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a . . . \epsilon \in \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon v a$, a contrast of transient with permanent: 'such as are of constant occurrence and will always continue.'
 homines.'
$\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$ Classen explains by supplement of $\chi \alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha ́$ : but is any supplement necessary? cf. $\pi \epsilon \rho a \iota \tau \epsilon \rho \omega 8$ § $\$ 5$ and $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha 39$ § 4. Hude suspects a flaw in text, and suggests from Dio. Hal. $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \hat{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa a i ̀ ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma o \nu$, while in - रaitcpa he detects the presence of another adjective, e.g. $\chi$ $\chi \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha ́$, or according to Gertz, ă $\tau \eta \rho a$, ă $\gamma \rho ı$. Has Thucydides suppressed, as he not unfrequently does, part of the comparative constrn.,
 termination - xaitepa conceal кai e"tepa? In the latter case, gloss-hunters
 Plato I'bil. 2.f 1, e is a mere mapovomacia prompted by Protarchus' reply 'rai oфóopa.' The text is, I believe, sound: translate, 'though in greater or less intensity and with variation in their features.'
 we have ajvt $\eta \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu i v a$ in IV. $1+83$. Herodotus and Thue. affect the active forms.
ws äv, 'prout,' 'quocunçue modo' = 'in such way as,' 'according as': a direct relative sequence from implied antecedent (oürws), see Goodw. M. T. § 519 sqq.
 case,' the adjective replaces the adverb: cf. sup. § I éraotaरov.
$\xi \nu \nu \tau v x เ \omega ิ \nu$, 'coincidences,' cf. I. 33 § I, III. 45 § 4.
'ॄфьтढ̂vtal, a stronger form of expression, perhaps, than $\pi a p a \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega$ (Lur. Med. 331). Still in Suph. O. R. 777 ध̇ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$ conveys no stronger force than $\pi \alpha \alpha \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta$. A comparison of Plato Theaet. 172 E might suggest the notion of control. Lit.: 'in such way as changes of coincidences may befall,' i.e. varying with the various surroundings or circumstances ( $\pi \epsilon \rho\llcorner\sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \varsigma)$.
̇̀v $\gamma a \dot{\rho}$ єip $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \eta$, not so much in explanation of $\delta i \eta \lambda \lambda a \gamma \mu \in ́ v a$, as in preparation for the introduction of $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu \circ$ and force of circumstances.
á $\gamma a \theta_{0} i \bar{s} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \sigma \iota v$, an unusual phrase, 'res secundae': cf. є $\cup \in \sigma \tau \omega$ ', єن̉єтทрía.
$\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu a s=$ 'sententias,' spirit (disposition), cf. I. 140 § 1.
ảкovolovs áváyкas, 'imperious necessities' (Gail): the phrase is copied by Dio. Hal. and paraphrased by Josephus, $\dot{\beta} \beta$ ovג $\dot{\text { qutocs, }}$ but feebly: the moral nature of man revolts.
$\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$, a rare substitute for $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Poppo). is may have been absorbed in -as, the last syllable of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha a s$.

ข́фє $\lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}, ~ ' d i m i n i s h i n g, ' ~ ' s a p p i n g . ' ~$
єủnop ${ }^{\text {al }}$, 'comfort,' 'ease.'
тоиิ кa日' ทi $\mu \epsilon \in \rho a v$, Krïg. and Herw. add $\beta i o v$, lost in $\beta l a z o s$.

$\pi$ roos rà mapóv $\alpha$, replacing more usual constrn. of oj $\mu o t o \hat{v} \nu$ with dative: cf. Tac. Germ. 9, 'assimulare in.'
ópyás, cf. I. 130 § 2, VIII. 83 § 3 'moods' ='mores.'
§ 3. $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$, 'thus': detach from following кaí (Cl.) : Hude shrewdly suspects $\delta \epsilon$ : for $\delta \epsilon$ oûv as= 'ceterum,' 'igitur' (after digression, ì $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$
 has suffered correction.
$\tau \alpha{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \operatorname{mo}_{\epsilon} \omega \nu$, the usual periphrasis, $=$ 'the states and all belongin's to them,' the whole political world.
 merely to the periphrasis.

тúotel, Herwerden from a paraphrase of Dio. Hal. 'oi iortepisoutcs
 the value of this 'testis antiquissimus' appears to me frequently of small weight. The dative replaces a participle in temporal sense 'on getting to know.'

$\pi 0 \lambda \dot{\prime}$, adverbial with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\ell} \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon:$ cf. Aesch. Choeph. 10इ2. $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu$, the reading of Dio. Hal., Herwerden accepts with gratitude. The Cireck is sound, though harsh: IIude wiscly remarks that it is hard to see how
 $\epsilon \pi i \ell \pi 0 \lambda \dot{v}, \mathrm{cf} .46$ sub fin.

тov̂ kaเvov̄o日aı, Stahl from Dio. Hal. eslits és $\tau$ b, a reading which on Ionic analogy may be correct (cf. $v .75 \$ 3$ ). His quotation is mot
 $\beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ és (see Duker's note on Viil. 88). In the present case $\tau 0 \hat{u}$ is perfectly satisfactory.

Sıavoías, 'designs' (cf. 'itivolas). 'Those who came later, upon hearing of that which had already preceded, largely extended their extravagant inventiveness of design, whether in point of elaborate ingenuity of attack or novelty of reprisal.'

 dative appears modal, or limiting, as shewing the particular form in which such 'originality of design' evinced itself. Note the $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{f}$ of compound (cf. $\left.\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{p}^{2} v o l a\right)$ marking the 'limata subtilitas,' 'the extreme elaborateness': cf. Arist. Ran. 957.
$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \stackrel{\omega}{\nu}$, 'punishment' (Poppo), better understood in sense of 'reprisals.'
d́тoтią, 'strangeness,' suggesting ingenuity rather than 'atrocity': $\tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha} \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ says the Scholiast.
§4. ${ }^{j} \xi(\omega \sigma \iota v$, in objective sense as contrasted with subjective $\partial \iota k a i-$ $\omega \sigma$ ( Cl .). Schol. $\sigma \eta \mu \alpha \sigma$ (aestimatio), 'acceptation,' 'meaning': a rare word, says Krüger.
es tà 'epya, 'to serve their ends' (lit. with a view to the jol) in
hand): the redos was in this case an ëpor (cf. Aist. Eth, I. r). Poppo explains by reference to the constrn. of is $\tau$ ò кawoivoas $\S$. 3 , and sugcerets
 of övo $\mu \alpha$ and $\tilde{\epsilon}^{\mu} \rho \gamma \quad \nu$, cf. vi. 78 § 3 .
ávтj̇ $\lambda \lambda a \xi a v$, more common in mildde, of. Itato Theact. isy e, 'changed,' 'reversed,' i.e. the vice became a virtue.

Sıkat $\sigma_{\epsilon \epsilon}$, 'at their arhitrary pleasture' (lit. 'justification'): Lat. 'arbitratu (arhitrio) suo.' The following passage is rightly regarded by most Thucyididean critics as an claborate specimen of $\dot{u} \pi 0$ коро $\sigma$ ós (if. Ar. Rhet. I. $9 \$ 2 y$ ), or 'interpretatio in melius.' l'oppo's note accumulates instances.

The relation of the $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \dot{\delta}$ clanses is somewhat complicated and difficult to amalyse. The simplest course is to take $\tau \dot{d} \lambda \mu a \mu \dot{e} \nu$ as anti-
 $\dot{\partial} \dot{f}$, and introducing the third enumeration of the topic of $\tau \dot{o} \dot{e} \nu a v \tau i o v$ inaugurated by $\mu \epsilon \lambda i \eta \sigma \iota s$. At the same time, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu, \delta \hat{\partial}$, $\partial \hat{\delta}$, sai may be simple moles of enumeration, $\mathbf{I}, 2,3,4$, as in the famous scholion
 next sentence, $\tau \dot{o} \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \omega s$, the first $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ is resumptive, the second usel in contrast. Then follows a third sentence introduced by kat, 'and so,' containing an antithesis of $\dot{o} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \dot{o} \hat{o} \epsilon$, with secund antithesis of $\delta \epsilon \ldots \delta \epsilon_{\text {. }}$. We have thus:
A. (r) a strong contrast $\tau 6 \lambda \mu \alpha \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \mu \hat{\prime} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma$ is $\delta \hat{k}$ :

B. a sequence of two more $\delta \hat{\delta} \hat{\prime}$, recalling the topics of $A$ :
C. a 'finale ': $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha i \nu \omega \nu$ balancing $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \kappa \tau \omega s \dot{\delta} \dot{\xi} \dot{\prime}, \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i a \dot{\epsilon} \pi \tau$.
 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \phi \rho o \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o u \lambda \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma a s$ to $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \in i ́ a$.

тó $\lambda \mu a$ (the true form, in spite of Dionysius' exception to its poetical colouring) $=\theta \rho a \sigma$ út $\eta s$ of ethics, 'reckless daring.'

філе́таıроs ( $\pi \alpha \rho є \lambda \kappa \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega s$ кєîral, i.e. 'superfluous,' Schol.), lit. 'that loved its comrades.' The reference is to the ératpial, the political clubs (sodalitia), on which see Arnold's note (vill. 54 ) : 'bravery in the cause of party,' 'self-sacrificing heroism,'-'amicorum studiosa' (Portus). I'oppo compares Sallust Cat., ' malarum rerum audacia fortitudo vocatur.'

Ėvouioon (Herw. from Schol. $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \gamma \delta \rho \in \imath \sigma a \nu$ and Dionysius' éкá入ouv reads む̀vouá $\theta \eta$ : cf. Badham, Mmemosyne, N. S. II. 18, Herw. Stud. p. 47), 'came to be regarded ': the aorist marks result attained (P. St.). Classen refers the aorist to the individual characteristics, the imperfect to habitual practice.
$\pi \rho \circ \mu \eta \theta$ ris（a poctical and I＇latonic word），＇cautious．＇
єủrpetris，＇specious，＇＇fair－seeming＇：cf．I．．3り § 2，VIII．S6 § I．
тò $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \sigma \hat{\omega} \phi \rho o v, ~ ' s e l f-c o n t a i m m e n t ' ~(m o d e r a t i o n) . ~ K r i ̈ g e r ~ w e l l ~ i l-~$
 iкßádion $\sigma \nu$ ．The recurrence of neuter adjective with article as re－ placing substantive demands attention（Pp．）．
 here $=\pi \rho \dot{\phi} \phi а \sigma \iota$ ．

тò $\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ ämav $\xi v v \epsilon \tau o{ }^{2} v$ ，the suggestion is that of extreme political imoхй，suspension of judgment，such as Arnold well illu－trates by the example of Cicero．＇To see the case in all its bearings was to commit

 $5^{1}$ S．2：such intelligence was too comprehensive to descend to par－ ticulars．

є́ $\mu \pi \lambda \eta \eta^{\kappa} \tau \omega$ os ỏgú，＇frantic precipitation．＇Classen çuotes Soph．Aj．



roipa，an unusual use of the worl，which in Thuc．is always cm－ ployed in literal sense．I＇lato＇s $\theta$ eía $\mu$ oîpa is，however，rearly to hand．
$\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \tau \in \dot{\theta} \eta$ ，＇was set down on the side of，＇＇ancribed to＇：cf．Lat． ＇imputare＇（lit．＇scored to＇）．
áoфa入єía，Ifude objects to the position of the dative；vid．Comm． Crit．p． 112 sqq ．The position is probably due to emphasis．Kriiger
 Hude＇s final acceptation of à $\sigma \phi \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota a$ тồ ėтıßou入єíoactas still leaves us in a difficulty for a verb，unless we adopt the awkward expedient of returning to $\dot{\nu} \nu \mu i \sigma \theta \eta$ ．The scholia leave us in doubt as to text，but the comment $\delta i \dot{i} \sigma \phi \alpha^{\lambda} \epsilon \epsilon a \nu$ would point to the dative（which，indeed， there is small reason to suspect）as $=\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\omega}$ ．Can the substantival verb $\dot{\eta} \nu$ have dropped out from the last syllable of $\dot{\alpha} \pi о т \rho o \pi \bar{\eta} s$ ？If so， our context is clear．
 consider，＇on analogy of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ，$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\iota} \omega \dot{\omega} \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ，suggesting at the same
 § 2），＇consilium ad tempus accommodare，＇to cautiously adapt one＇s plans to the moment．
aimorporiss，reflecting the middle verb $\dot{\alpha} \pi o t p e \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$（see Stahl＇s
note) $=$ 'detrectatio periculi.' The word is here used of 'evasion,' 'shirking,' but not in the rhetorical sense of dissuasion or discouragement. 'Frantic precipitation was set down on the side of manliness, whereas to cautiously mature one's plans was but a plausible reason for evasion.'
$\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma เ s$, apparently here used in weaker sense of 'plea' or 'excuse,' contrary to the practice of Thucydides.
§5. XađєTaiv ${ }^{2} \nu$, 'the violent man,' 'the advocate of strong measures,'
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ is utterly uncalled for.
$\pi$ racós, 'trustworthy,' i.e. as having given a pledge to his party, by offence given to the opposition.

ข̈тоттоs, i.e. as playing a double game, 白 $\pi a \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho i \zeta \omega \nu$, or as seeking an $\dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \sigma \tau \rho \circ \phi \grave{\eta} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i a s ;$ cf. Arnold's note: once more, we must either supply $\eta^{\eta} \nu$ or go back to $\dot{\epsilon} \nu 0 \mu i \sigma \theta \eta$.
 may be either hypothetical or appositional: the $\tau$ ts structure replaces $\dot{0}$ with participle. Practically, it is only another form of generic expression.
$\tau \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\omega} \boldsymbol{\omega}$, 'if successful': the sentence, if rewritten, would run, $\dot{o} \delta \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}$
 Virg. Aen. vi. $62+$ 'ausi omnes immane nefas, ausoque potiti.'

ข์тоvoท́cas, 'si praesentiret,' 'if he detected one.'

$\pi \rho \circ \beta$ ouncúбas, 'deliberately planned': cf. the like force of $\pi \rho o$ in $\pi \rho о \mu а \theta \epsilon і ̈ \nu, \pi \rho о \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma к \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta o v \lambda \eta$ and $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\nu} \nu o l a$, or from Dionysius' point of view фu入аки, lurking in $\dot{\text { úrovoŋ́бas. }}$
$\delta \epsilon \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon\llcorner$, realistic indicative.
$\tau \epsilon$, not $\delta \dot{\delta}$, in accordance with Thucydidean use: cf. 40 § 5 .
Éraıplas, 'the league,' cf. छ $\mathfrak{\xi} \nu 0 \delta o c i n f r a ~ § ~ 6 . ~$
SLàutท's, a most rare word: why should Thuc. discard the analogy of $\lambda v \tau \eta \dot{p}$ ? Here almost $=\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \nu \tau \iota \kappa o ́ s$, 'bent on breaking up’: cf. supr. 81 § 4.

á $\pi \lambda \omega \bar{s}$, 'in brief.'
 with Badham, suggests $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \omega \omega \lambda \iota \sigma a s(c f$. Thuc. vi. 17 § 6), Campe $\epsilon \pi \iota \kappa o-$ $\lambda o v ́ \sigma a s: ~ n o ~ e m e n d a t i o n ~ i s ~ n e e d e d . ~$

 ס̌avoov́ $\mu \in \nu=\nu$.
§ 6. тò $\xi v \gamma \gamma \in \nu \epsilon ́ s$, Schol. oi $\xi u \gamma \gamma \in \nu \in i ̂ s$.
тоข̂ є̇таирเкоข̂, cf. ViII. 48 § 3 .
Éroúóтєpov, the Sicholiast refers to tò étacpúbu, and rightly; Fadham and Herwerden sugeseat àvetouútepor, with remoter reference (1) to छ̈v
àтрофабíт $\omega \mathrm{s}$, 'without demur,' cf. I. 49 § 6.
ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu \in \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \omega ̂ \nu ~ к \in \mu \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$, both text and interpretation are most doultful. Vollgrati (Stud. I'al, zo), from Dionysius and Isocrates Thil. $\$$ fo, suggests the nominative, épereial, $\pi \backslash$ govestat: he is followed by
 cival, lut at p. 145 he recants and returns to the dative reading, on analogy of I .123 § I . Poppo and Stahl retain the dative; Badham condemns the whole sentence. Bekker retains the genitive dupedias, which could find a constm. with $\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ (cf. 1. 32 §5): lut this would dentroy the balance of the sentence, as it is clear that $\mu \in \tau \dot{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \varepsilon \in \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\nu} \nu \omega$ $\nu o ́ \mu \omega \nu$ directly answers tapà toùs кaөє $\sigma \tau \hat{T} \tau a s$ ('ad amus.inn respondent'). A genitive of definition or description, though rare in Creck, might solve the difficulty, while the change to dative $\pi \lambda$ eoves'iq 'Thucydidem sapit.' From vi. $33 \S 2$ the sequence of two datives is justified : but the pressing need of the sentence is a verb. To give the causal dative a construction with the substantival verl implied only is a somewhat desperate device; but a construction кatà $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \in \sigma \iota y$ can either raise or remove mountains for the grammarian. On the assumption that 弓̌ivooon $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu-\xi_{2} v \hat{\eta} \sigma a \nu$, we may explain the dative. The paraphrase of Dionysius is worth reference, as alsu the opposite assertion in Isucr. Panes. $5_{6}$ (cf. Arnold's note).
$\omega{ }^{\omega} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ (a, 'the benefit of the commonwealth' (Poppo). Is it not rather the 'benefit of the law,' i.e. 'protection,' which is meant? of. $s_{+}$ § 3 and v. 90 .
 'aggrandisement,' resulting from є̇૬ovia (cf. 45 § 4), 'such calsals were not due to protection, under sanction of established laws, but to aggrandisement, in defiance of existing institutions, i.e. they were not comstitutional or defensive, but unconstitutional and aggrewive.
$\sigma \phi a ̂ s$ aủroús, cf. 8r § 4 .
míatels, ly the interpretation öpkous oioóvess the Scholiast limits the sense, viz. 'pledges of good faith.'
 criticism of Dionysius，whose text is however in dubut，reads ofie rai vouipes，but needlesily．This confusion of $\theta_{\text {eion }}$ with öocov is a favourite plaything of Cobet＇s（cf．Var．Lect．357）．

Éxpatívorto，＇found secured．＇
кowñ tapavou $\hat{\sigma}$ al，＇complicity in some deed of wrong．＇
§ 7．ảmò tûv ėvavticvv，for ảmó cf． 36 § 6.
$\lambda \in \gamma o ́ \mu \in v a$ ．＇proposals＂：cf．the use of 入ózos in the phrase $\lambda$＇órous $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \in ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$.

 explains by contrating＇diligentia in actionibus＇with＇speciosi sermones．＇ The allusion is to the ëp $a$ of those who made the proposals；cf．ir．
 may，however，equally well，on analogy of $\tau \dot{a}$ ëpro sup．§ t，mean ＇vigilance in action．＇
ci $\pi$ poúxolev，sc．oi ívantio．Hude，however，suggests that the sulject is oi $\dot{\nu} \delta \delta \epsilon \chi \dot{\mu} \mu \epsilon \nu 0 t$ ，and reads $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \chi o \iota \epsilon \nu$ ，＇animo secum cogitantes si superiores ipsi fieri possent．＇But in the two instances he quotes，IV． $5 S$ ， v． 37 § 2 ，the reading is $\epsilon \check{l} \pi \omega s$ ，not $\epsilon l$ ．
 $=$＇generosity，＇＇frankness．＇They could not realise the possibility of generous concession on the part of a superior（cf．I．if § $3 \dot{\epsilon} \lambda a \sigma \sigma o u ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o t$ ）． ＇Fair proposals on the part of their antagonists they met in no spirit of generosity，but，if they had the upper hand，by watching their actions，＇ i．e．generous proposals merely provoked suspicion．
$\alpha \cdot v \tau \iota \tau \iota \omega \rho \eta^{\prime} \sigma a \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，the non－articular infinitive offends the purist： cf． 3 I § 1 ．
$\pi \epsilon \rho i \pi \lambda \epsilon i^{\circ}$ vos．Badham injudiciously inserts ov：＇revenge tuok pre－ cedence of self－protection．＇
öpкol $\xi v v a \lambda \lambda a \gamma \eta ิ s=$ öprol $\xi \imath v a \lambda \lambda \alpha \kappa \tau \iota k o l$ ，＇oaths of agreement＇：a genitive of connexion（whone use is very loose）or perhaps of definition （we Thompson G．Syn．§ifi），＇agreement sworn to by either party＇ （Jowett）．

єi $\pi 00$ ，suggeating the infrequency of such a course of action：for $\epsilon i$ đ̈pa cf． 56 § 5 ．

үє́vo七七七o，iterative optative，or rather distributive，＇in the rare in－ stances in which they were contracted＇：when given，if given at all．
$\pi \rho o$＇s tò äropov，＇to meet the emergency＂（Classen compares I． 136 § 2）．
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \boldsymbol{\kappa} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \rho \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{q}$, dative of agent (Reiske's suggestion $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \boldsymbol{\ell} \rho \omega \nu$ is needless).
 (Poppo).

EXóvт $\omega v$, genitive absolute with indefinite subject (cf. § I), either 'temporal,' 'quamdiu' (Valla), or circumstantial, 'quia' (Poppo): the plural number is suggested by $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \hat{\varepsilon} \rho \varphi$.
$a ̈ \lambda \lambda_{0} \theta \in \nu$, e.g. from external allies, cf. $\$ 1$ : so long as each side was dependent on its own resources: lit. 'could not command strength from elsewhere ' (cf. 45 § $2 a ̈ \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \xi v \mu \mu a \chi i q)$.
 $3^{8}$ § 1.
 This is IIerwerden's correction of the vulgate $\phi \theta \dot{d} \sigma a s \quad \theta a p \pi \bar{\eta} \sigma a l$, which although found in Ar. Nub. $138_{4}$, Eq. 935 , he condemns as a soloccism. Shilleto suggests $\theta a \rho \sigma \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \iota, \mathrm{cf}, \mathrm{v} .72$ § I.
äфpartov, 'unsecured,' 'unprotected,' rather than 'unguarded': cf. X . II 7 § I .
 with an assumed case, cf. II § 3 . Dut to our context ă $\nu$ appears essential: it may have dropped out after the last syllable of そ̈ôtov ( $\ddot{0} o ̂ t o v$ $\ddot{a} \nu$ ), which would, however, involve the difficulty of ăv iterative in the one case but potential in the other; more probably, if lost at all, its loss is due to confusion of $H$ with $K$ (Bast. p. 987, Index), and of $A$ with $\bar{A}(A N)$.
 keener on the score of his pleclge (broken) than (he would have feit) in open action,' i.e. his breach of faith 'lent an added savour' to his revenge. But Thuc. does not much affect the $\ddot{\eta}$ kai sequence, cf. viri. $27 \$ 3$, and the assumption of a potential meaning may be gratuitous. Thucydides is not, after all, so precise in his logical categories, and the inference of the received text is plain.
 being unprotected.

єो $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\gamma} \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ (乡єто (lit. 'reckoned up) '), 'took into account.'
кal öтt, a parallelism of öть clause with clause of direct object, 'as well as the fact that by fraudulently overreaching he was also gaining the prize of superior ability' (Jowett).

$\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta a v \epsilon, \pi \rho o ́ s ~ i m p l y i n g ~ a n ~ a d d i t i o n ~ t o ~ m e r e ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i a . ~$
pâov $\delta$ ' of $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$, 'it is easier in most cases for men when rogues
to gain the name of shrewd, than for a simple-minded man to gain the name of honest': such is Poppo's explanation, confirmed by an imitation of Procopius.
 explains $\dot{\rho} \not Q_{0}{ }^{2}$ as $\ddot{\eta} \dot{\partial} o \nu$, so also Kriger: Hude agrees, but objects to какоípro övtєs expressing a condition: he suggests a repetition of какоip roc, rendering 'libentius autem homines, si nefarii sunt, nefarii callidi quam imperiti boni audiunt': i.e. men less resent the name of 'clever rogue' than that of 'honest fool.' The comment of Dionysius (vid. P'opp. ed. ma. 11. Siry) is justly flouted by Reiske. On the perfect níni $\eta \nu \tau a l$, cf. II. 37 § 1 , and on the whole passage see Arnold's note.
$\tau \hat{\mu} \mu^{\prime} \dot{v} v$, sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu a \theta i ́ a q$.
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{l} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varphi}$, for position of $\tau \hat{\varphi}$, cf. 6I § I : for chiasmus in $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \delta \hat{\delta}$ see Krig. (.). G. 50. 1, 2. The preposition $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ is reserved for the second member of the sentence-a common poetic device, not unknown in Thuc.
a'yá入入ovrat, 'they pricle themselves,' 'glory in their shame' (cf. II. 44 § 2).
§ 8. $\pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu \delta^{\prime}$ aủt $\hat{\omega} \nu$ ai้тtov ápXף̀ $\mathfrak{\eta}$. The main difficulty of the text is the retention or rejection of $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{r}$, which the Scholiast explains as $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \theta v \mu i a \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, and for which Classen compares the Latin use of 'gloria'='gloriae cupiditas.' Madvig, Adv. I. 317, condemns aitoov as a gloss, treating $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ as 'initium.' Weil (Rev. de Philolog. ir.) suggests $\dot{\eta} \lambda i a \nu \pi \lambda \epsilon o \nu \in \xi ̧ i a: ~ H u d e ~ s u g g e s t s ~ a ~ \delta \iota \tau \tau o \gamma p a \phi i a ~ o f ~ \dot{\eta}(\dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \dot{\eta})$ with ellipse of $\hat{\eta} \nu$. Dionysius, however, appears to have had aं $\rho \chi \dot{\eta}$ in his text. oba is in itself ambiguous; cf. 1. 77 § 3, and see Rutherford's introduction to Thuc. IN. p. xxxix. On the article appended consult a note of Vahlen's on Arist. Poet. 1459 b 2I. Render, with Madvig, 'and of all this the origin is due to avarice and ambition.'
 тıuias.
 ' committed to.'
 76 § 1 .

тò $\pi \rho o ́ \theta$ vpov, 'party spirit,' 'studium contentionis.'
òvó $\mu a r o s$, 'honesta nomina praetendebant' (Tac.).
íovopias тодıтькүิs, 'constitutional equality of rights,' implying far more than the removal of legal disabilities: cf. Byron, Marino Faliero, 'no rash equality but equal rights': vid. II. 37 § 1 .
 intended oligarchy．
$\pi р о т \iota \mu \neq \sigma \epsilon$, ＇preferring，＇＇advocating．＇
$\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ кotvà $\theta$ epartevovtes，＇studying the common weal．＇
$\dot{\alpha} 0 \lambda \alpha$ énotov̂vro，the comstrn．is not the direct erguivalent of the analogous $\lambda$ eíav rouiodal，àひ入a lecing predicative to $\tau \dot{a}$ kotvá．＇The interests of the state became the prize of a political competition：from the point of view of Alcilsiades，v． 17 \＆ 3 ，and of Cleon，11． 38 \＆ 3 ，the state＇had to pay．＇


 cf． 38 § 2.
 the ellipse suggested by the comparative as $\hat{\eta}$ of evaptiod rpoefloav．
$\mu$ éxpl，＇intra fines＇（Bauer）．
тротө＇日vess（the reading of Dionysius），cf． 45 § 3，see also Cobet， Mnemosyne I． 83 ．
és $\delta$ è tó，practically the equivalent of $\mu$ éx $\rho \iota$ ，＇secundum＇（Kriig．）， rather，＇usque ad＇（cf．IV． $9^{2}$ § 4，V．III § 5 ）．The vulgate $\pi \rho 0 \pi t \theta$ èdes appears justified by poetic and Ionic usc：＇not enforcing them within the limits of justice or state expediency，but regulating them by the momentary caprice of either party＇（lit．＇that which for the moment chanced to afford pleasure to either side＇）．
karayvต́vєळs，Herwerden expunges，so also Hude，on the plea that
 the word кacá $\gamma{ }^{r} \omega \sigma$ os in a different sense．The first objection is met by treating кatajע＇bocws as a grenitive of definition，the second needs no refutation．
$X \in \oplus \rho$ ，＇vi et manu＇－no susgestion whatever of $\chi \in \epsilon \rho o r o v i a$ in con－

$\kappa \tau \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon \nu o t$ ，＇in the attempt to win＇（the upper hancl），a conative present．
 the moment＇：for $\dot{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \iota \mu \pi \lambda$ ával cf．ámoтt $\mu \pi \lambda a ́ \nu \iota u$, vir． 68 § I．

є $\mathfrak{*} \sigma \in \beta$ eía，the dative is an Ionism，cf．I． 77 \＆4，II． 38 § I．
єúтpєтєiá 入óyou，＇speciousmess of representation，＇cf．Vili． 66 § i． Construe with $\delta \iota a \pi \rho a \dot{\xi} \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，for which cf．V． 89 ．
ois $\xi v \mu \beta a i \eta$ ，for the postponement of the relative clanse l＇oppo cites 39 § 2.
exrobovos，＇invidiously，＇i．e．to their own diseredit：Matham reads （from a schol．$\gamma \in \nu \nu a i ̂ o \nu ~ \tau t) ~ \alpha ̀ \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \phi \theta o \partial \nu \omega s$.
ä $\mu \epsilon t v o v$ ท̈кovov，＇had the better reputation，＇＇melius audichant．＇
тà $\mu$ éoa，＇the neutrals，＇or perhaps＇the moderate parly＇：cf．vill． 75 § 1.
$\phi$ Oóvẹ，causal dative，coordinate with ört sentence，＇because they resented their immunity．＇

סte申日eipovio，＇fell a prey to both＇（Jowett）．The plural serves not only to assert the personality of the neutrals，but also to mark the existence of independent bodies of such neutrals éraotaxoû．

## CHAPTER LXXXIII．

§ 1．ov̋ $\omega$ ，in reference to previous narrative．
тáбa iठ́́a какотротias，＇iniquity in every form＇：какотритia＝ ＇pravi mores，＇＇pravitas．＇iôta in literal sense，more usually represented by єîoos：cf．1． 109 § I iotal $\pi$ ohé $\mu \omega \nu$ ，with Hor．Od．II．I． 2 ＇helli modos＇：cf．also II．19 § I．

катє́бтๆ，＇was rife，＇＇was rampant＇（lit．＇became established＇）：cf． 11． 5 I § 2 of a recognised specific．

тò єü $\theta_{\epsilon \in s}$ ，in grood sense of＇credulitas，＇as＇fides，＇＇simple good faith，＇ ＇simplicity＇（not the ímórwpos of later Greek），＇the simple，innocent， artless，candid，turn of mind which thinketh no evil，and puts a favour－ able interpretation on any doubtful act or expression＇（Cope，on Ar． Rhet．II． 12 § 9）．
 Hude renders＇quae maxime e generositate constat，＇on the plea that the ordinary version＇ex qua generositas maxime constat＇supplics a
 cohacret＇avoids the difficulty．Compare the like ambiguity in I． $8+\$ 3$ （Arnold）：for $\tau \grave{̀} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a i ̂ o \nu ~ c f . ~ S o p h . ~ O e d . ~ C o l . ~ 8 . ~ . ~$

катаүє $\lambda a \sigma \theta$ èv $\eta$ ク̉фaví $\theta \eta$ ，＇became contemptuously ignored＇（lit．＇dis－ appeared under ridicule＇），i．e．＇vanished before the contempt of public opinion．＇

ảvтıтєтáxӨal，＇confronting，＇really a military phrase（＇e diverso instructi＇），cf．v．iII § 2 ，＇an attitude of perfidious（incredulous？） antagonism＇（Jowett）．
$\tau \mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tau \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，for combination of dative and adverb with one verb，cf．Soph．O．C． 1318 sq．，where we have two datives $\pi r \cdot p i$ （instrument）and катaбкафऱ（mudal），both in construction with $\delta \eta \omega \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$ ．

 upper hand，＇＇over－rode all．＇Poppo rightly corrects Gioller＇s＇invaluit＇ by Portus＇＇praestitit．＇
§2．ó סıa入v́ $\sigma \omega \nu$（i．e．š̌va入入ás $\omega \nu$ ），for the＇noun－making＇participle see Thompson G．Syn．§ 150.

入óyos éxupós，cpexeyctic of $\dot{o} \dot{\delta} \iota a \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega \nu$ ，as the oüre $\ldots$ oüte sequence shews．＇For means of reconciliation there were none，whether bond of word，or fear of oath．＇exupós，i．q．extéryos，фepé $\gamma \gamma^{v o s}$（vili． 68 § 3）．
＂оркоs，cf． 82 § 7.
крєlơovs $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ övtes，a much vexed sentence．Stahl＇s explanation that $\tau$ oû $\beta \epsilon \beta a t o v=\tilde{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \beta \epsilon \beta a i \varphi$ ，though accepted by Classen，receives no confirmation from either of the passages quoted（Vi．x § i，Xen．An． II． 5 § I 3）．Dobree＇s translation＇steeled against＇（i．e．either dóros or öpкos）demands a far－fetched ellipse．The true construction of $\tau o \hat{u}$ $\beta \epsilon \beta$ aiou（fur which cf． 37 § 3）is，in my belief，with $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \dot{\prime} \lambda \pi \sigma \tau 0 \nu$ ：the
 $\$ 7$ ，of which sentence，indeed，the present passage seems an echo．
$\lambda o \gamma \iota \sigma \mu \varphi$, ，either causal with $\kappa \rho \epsilon / \sigma \sigma o v s$ ，or perhaps modal，as limiting， ＇stronger in point of calculation．＇From Iv． 108 \＆s t，we may perhaps trace an allusion to the aủтокрát $\omega \rho$ 入oүו $\mu$ ós of mankind．（But did Thuc．write $\lambda 0 \gamma \iota \sigma \mu$ û？i．e．＇they were superior to suber reasoning．＇）
és tò àvé $\lambda \pi$ เбтov，ès for $\pi \rho$ ós as IV． 17 § 1 ，＇in view of．＇
$\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon i v, c$ f．$\pi \rho \circ \pi a \theta \epsilon i \nu 82$ § 7 and 38 § г．
édívavto，suggesting＇intolerance＇：cf．I．130§ I．Translate：＇but each and all alike，while they reckoned themselves the stronger，in their hopelessness of stability（security）formed their plans to save themselves rather than condescend to trust another．＇At the same time，I am fain to confess that Thuc．，by the words крєíनбous övтєs $\lambda_{0} \downarrow \iota \mu \hat{\varphi}$ ，may have simply meant a contrast to oi фаu入óтepol，and that the real distinction is between the diplomatic strategy of those＇superior in point of reasoning power，＇and the rough and ready measures of the less＇intelligent．＇
§ 3．фav入óтєрot，i．e． $\mathfrak{\alpha} \xi ้ \nu \epsilon \tau \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$, cf． 37 § 3.
$\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta \nu$ ，limiting，determinant，accusative．
$\pi \in \rho เ \in \boldsymbol{\gamma}$＇$\gamma ข 0 v \tau 0$, cf． 82 fin．




（diplomacy）＇：there is no need to connect $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ dosely with $\pi$ poertißou－ $\lambda \in \log _{\mu \varepsilon \nu}$ ：once more the prepositional predication is complete in itself． $\grave{\epsilon} \kappa$ ，in＇causal sense，＇says Classen（cf．1． 2 § 4）．тoû то入итрóтou for $\pi 0 \lambda v \tau \rho 0 \pi l a s$, Hdt．II． 121 § 5 （Krüg．）．
 Ph．xir．$\sigma^{6}$ ，suggests $\phi \theta$ áv $\omega \sigma$ on the ground that the constant use of $\phi \theta a \dot{v} \omega$ admits of no variation in the tense；i．e．in contra－distinction to the auxiliary $\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$ ．＇In $\phi \theta a \nu \omega$ ，the action of the verb must coincide with that of its participle：to use a homely phrase，neither can get through the door before the other．＇
§ 4．oi סé，sc．oi そvyєтоí．
 in their contempt．＇
 out beforehand．＇
$\lambda a \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota v$, simple for compound（каталанßávє七ข），＝＇occupare，＇ ＇secure：＇
aфрактои，sc．ठ̈עтеs（Schol．）．
$\mu a ̂ \lambda \lambda_{o v}$ ，＇on a larger scale＇（ Cl ．）．

## CHAPTER LXXXIV．

This chapter，although found in all mss．，the majority of critics concur in condemning either wholly or in part on the ground（ 1 ）of paucity of scholia，（2）reticence of grammarians，（3）absence of allusion to it by Dionysius，in spite of his elaborate criticism of the eighty－second chapter as far as the words $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \dot{a} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{} \tau \alpha$. ．The paucity of scholia is certainly remarkable，none being found in F ，and three only in the Leyden Ms．G brackets the whole as spurious．Poppo，Stahl，Kriger， Göller，Classen，Cobet，Herwerden，and Arnold，reject it in toto． Badham recognises the hand of Thucydides so far only as the words $\dot{a} \pi \alpha \rho a \iota \tau \dot{\eta} \tau \omega s \dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta 0 \iota \epsilon \nu$ ．Haack，while detecting no internal evidence against it，rejects it on the ground of its non－recognition by scholiasts：
 argues in its favour（ I ）that its condemnation by the Scholiast is founded on a tradition of its spuriousness，（2）that the grammarians quote but sparsely from Thucydides．Cobet assumes it to have been the com－ position of the＇pusillus Thucydides＇Philistus，and to have found its way into our text from the margin of some ancient ms．Naber，while
treating the question with indifference, declines to father it on Philistus, who was not only, according to (Quintihan (x, 1) 'Thucydide lucitior,' but also, on the authority of Dionysius, no imitator of 'Thucydides' finished style or affectation of strange words ( $\tau \grave{\partial} \gamma \lambda \omega \tau \tau \eta \mu a \tau<\kappa o ̀ \nu ~ к u i ~$
 point to the early presence in the text of this disputed passage. Gialler's own prejudices have apparently led him to mistake or ignore Thucydides' meaning. The notion of a Christian writer, e.g. a liyzantine of the 6 th or 7 th century, as suggested by Arnold, found but small favour with that consummate critic of Thucydidean style, Richard Shilleto: long familiarity with the chapter has only strengthened my own conviction that Chap. $8_{4}$ is just as much the work of Thucydides as either of its two predecessors.
 of these deeds were for the first time ventured, whether indeed all such acts as men bent upon reprisal would commit when governed with more arrogance than moderation by those who now placed vengeance within their reach, or such iniquitous resolutions as men would form, either in their desire to escape penury, their normal condition, or most of all amidst a reign of terror through longing to possess the goods of their fellows, or such savage and pitiless excesses as men would perpetrate, not for the sake of gain so much as in a struggle man against man, when carriced to the greatest lengths by bigotry of passion.'

Three motives are suggested: (i) vengeance upon oppressers, (2) greed of gain, prompted either by sheer poverty or by the oppertunities afforled by such social disorder of appropriating the possessions of the wealthier, (3) the savage vindictiveness of a struggle in which each man's hand was against his fellow.
 large. Poppo connects with 82 § I .
$a \cup ̉ \tau \omega \bar{v}$, a loose reference, but not without Thucydilean parallel: cf. I. I § 2 .
$\pi \rho \circ \epsilon \tau о \lambda \mu \eta \theta \eta$, i.e. prior to the general disturbance of the Hellenic

kal, epexegetic of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.
ómó $\sigma a$ finds a construction with all three optatives, $\delta$ pá $\sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu, \gamma \nsupseteq \nu \dot{\omega}$ -

$\sigma \omega \phi$ рoбúvท, 'moderation,' cf. viri. $6_{4} \S_{5}$ : both datives are modal.
$\tau ц \mu \omega$ íav $\pi a \rho a \sigma X o ́ v \tau \omega v$, 'afforded (uccasion of) revenge,' i.e. huil themselves open to reprisals: cf. 22 § 2.

Spá大єtav，P＇oppos and stahl both feel the want of äv，which indeed is needed just as much in the first clause as in the secomd，although in the latter position Kriiger can hardly be justified in construing it with éritvuoûvtєs．
 calamity，for in this sense of＇clades＇or＇calamitas＇$\pi \alpha \dot{\theta} \theta$ os is constantly used by Thucydides：such a political convulsion was only too favourable to the development of such $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \bullet 0 v \mu i \alpha$ ．On this topic of $\pi \epsilon v i a$ see $45 \$ 4$ and II． 53 § r．In the later sense of＇emotion＇$\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ os is not found in Thucydides．On this use of $\delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ see Appendix．
$\alpha \pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \in \operatorname{lovt\epsilon s}$, cf．I． 95 § 6 ．Desiderative forms are rare in Thu－ cydides．
oil $\tau \in$ ．Madvig，who sees here an allusion to two different classes of crimes（i）prompted by greed of gain on the part of inferiors against superiors，（2）on the part of superiors against inferiors，suggests ä $\tau \epsilon$ ，to connect with $\dot{o} \pi \delta \sigma \alpha$（vid．Adv．Crit．I． $3^{1} 7$ ）．
$\mu \eta ̀ \dot{\prime} \pi i \pi \lambda \epsilon 0 \vee \epsilon \xi\{\alpha$, Göller wrongly takes exception to $\mu \eta$ as a soloc－ cism，a piece of hyper－criticism which provokes Poppo＇s censure．
aitò ไoov，＇cx aequo，＇＇as man against man，＇i．e．ignoring all dis－ tinctions：cf．I． 77 § 3.
ámai $\delta$ evoia，＇lhigotry，＇cf． 42 § 1 ：the word need not be restricted to the mere sense of $\dot{\alpha}$ кo八acia．Could Thuc．have written $\dot{\delta} \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ єिवтov？
 Soph．Elect． 628.
 cf．1． 65 § r．
és tòv кaıpòv тoûtov，for és in temporal relation cf．IV． 89 § r．Stahl explains＇in hunc modum，＇＇usque adeo，＇＇to such an extent．＇But is not this use of $\kappa \alpha \iota \rho o ́ s$ for $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \tau \rho \circ \nu$ Platonic？

тov̂ $\beta$ iov，＇vitae disciplina＇（Portus）．
 natura＇as＝＇pecudes．＇
kal mapà tov̀s vópous，the allusion is to the violation of even the äүрафа ע́ $\mu \not \mu \alpha$ of morality：law was now not only defied but discarded．
áкратท＇s，＇impotent．＇
крєí完 $\omega \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＇superior to，＇＇regardless of．＇
тои̂ $\pi \rho 0$ र́Xovтos，neuter，＇quidquid emineret＇（Tac．），not masculine， as Haack suggests，in sense of＇optimates＇：see Arnold＇s illustration from Hdt．viri． 236 \＆ 3 ．

 suggests $\beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau o v \sigma \iota v$, 'in quo adversus eos qui nihil nocebant valebat invidia.' The analogy of 'iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$ must not be too closely pressed here. iv $\dot{\psi} \mu \dot{\eta}$ carries with it in the present case a hypothetical sense ( $=\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ iv тoú $\omega$ ) rather than a temporal. In Thucydides $\dot{\epsilon} \nu(\hat{\varphi}$ fluctuates between temporal and realistic use. Cf, the like use of $\dot{\omega}$, Soph. O. T. $139^{2}$.
§ 3. Toùs kotvoús, i.e. the 'iura gentium,' the common principles of humanity; in a narrower sense the words imply Vergil's 'commercia belli': cf. Aen. X. 532.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu$ тotoút $\omega \nu$, 'applying to such matters,' i.e. such party quarrels: but the words may mean 'in striving for such ends.'

ข́ло́кєเтal, cf. vI. 87 § 4 .
$\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon i \not \sigma \iota$, 'periclitantibus,' 'when endangered.'
av์roús, for accus. cf. 1. 31 § 2.
$\pi р о к а т а \lambda$ v́eเv, 'to be the first to break.'

dl troté tis, the singular is evolved from the preceding plural.

## CHAPTER LXXXV.

§ 1. $\mu \hat{\mathrm{e}} v$ ov̂v, continuing the narrative.

rotaúrass, predicative and recalling the description given in Chap. 82 and 83 .
tais $\pi \rho \omega$ тals, as contrasted with the subsequent narrative of 1 V .46 . Herwerden needlessily suggests $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ roîs $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o l$, cf. 82 § 1. Stahl rightly renders, treating $\tau a i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau a \iota s ~ a s ~ a t t r i b u t i v e, ~ ' p r i m a e ~ l i b i d i n e s, ~ q u i b u s ~$ inter se utebantur, tales erant.'
 mention some detect a condemnation of Lurymedon's conduct. Grote contrasts the inaction of Eurymedon with the more successful attempts of Nicostratus, and that with an inferior force at his disposal (Gr. vi. 373).
§ 2. Stєन $\dot{\theta} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha v$, to the mainland (apparently in ships of their own).
$\tau$ j̀s $\pi$ t́pav, 'the territory belonging to Corcyra on the opposite coast' (i.e. facing the island 'e regione sitae'): cf. the action of the Mytilenean exiles, 91. The possession of cities and forts upon the
mainland was not an uncommon feature of these island powers, e.g. Samos, Chios, Rhodes, Lesbos.

 authority of the best mss. The middle is perhaps due to repetition of тo from roús. Haack's suggestion $\tau \in$ Poppo condemns: only one other instance of the active is found in Thucydides, IV. 41 § 2.
${ }^{\prime \prime} \beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \sigma \nu$, imperfect of continued damage.
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}$ ь, Corcyra.
§ 3. $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{l}$ кaӨóbov, 'to treat for (their) restoration,' cf. Vill. 47 § 1.
 negotiations: for the dative, cf. II. Ior § 5 .

ข̈бтєpov X $\quad$ óvఱ, 'some time afterwards,' cf. I. $8 \S 4$ : the expression denotes some considerable lapse of time, probably from the autumn of 427 to 425 B.C.
oi $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~S}$, 'in all,' cf. I. 60 § 1.
§ 4. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \frac{i \alpha}{} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \tau \tau \in$, Agathocles had recourse to the like expedient: cf. Diod. Sic. Xx. 7 .


є่voเкобо $\quad$ ๆба́ $\mu \in v \circ$, it was built for their own occupation: hence the middle.
" $\phi \theta \in$ tpov, for the end of these raids see IV. 46 -48. The position of Mt. Istone is still a matter of conjecture; some identify it with S. Salvadore, others with Mt. Falario. Xenophon, Mell. vi. 2 § 7, speaks of a hill some five stadia from the city (vid. Dict. Geo. s. v. Corcyra). See Appendix.

## CHAPTER LXXXVI.

This chapter records the first attempt on the part of Athens at interfering in the affairs of Sicily, under pretext of aiding the Ionian states of Sicily against Syracuse and its Dorian allies.
§ 1. €lкoot, according to Diodorus, the fleet consisted of 100 ships, but in 88 § 1 the combined fleet only numbers 30 sail.

इıke入íav, cf. Xen. Hell. vı., Arist. Ach. 606.
$\Lambda a_{\chi} \eta \tau a$, the cur who pilfered the Sicilian cheese, the Labes of Vespae $8_{3} 8,240$. He was the hero of the Platonic dialogue which bears his name and which deals with the question of courage. In 115 § 5 we find him superseded in his command by Pythodorus, who, like Eurymedon and Sophocles, proved venal (cf. iv. 65 § 3). In

IN. It8 we find him mentioned as proposing the ratification of the twelve months' armistice, and again, in V. 19, 24, 43, he is a prominent figure in the negotiations with Sparta; indeed the peace of Nicias was mainly due to his intervention. He commanded a force sent to Argos (v. Gi § 1 ), and finally fell at Mantincia (v. 74 § 3). The particulars of this expedition are given in Diodorus XII. 53 .
$\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o v$, the use of this word in licu of ápxovta may perhaps point to the fact of his being one of the io $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o l$, but, on the other hand, $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \epsilon i \nu$ is no uncommon verb in Thucydides.

Xapotá $\delta \eta \nu$, al. X $a p$ á $\delta \eta \nu$, but the present form is found in inscriptions. He fell in the campaign, cf. 90 § 2.

$\Delta \omega p i \delta \epsilon s$, cf. VI. 3, 4, 5. For their attitude at the commencement of the Peloponnesian war see 11. 7 § 2 : on the strength of the Spartan alliance in Sicily see Müller's Dorians 1. 195.
 remarks that the context clearly shews that as yet the Sicilian states had not obeyed the Sipartan instructions to send ships to their aid.
$\Lambda$ fovtivols, cf. VI. 3 § 3.
ai Xa入kıסıkal mó $\lambda_{\text {tss, }}$ Naxos, Catana, and part of Himera.
Kapápıva, cf. VI. 5 § 3. Founded 599 b.C.; the only Dorian state which in this struggle took part with the Chalcidians. The subsequent invasion of the Carthaginians ( $405 \mathrm{~B} . \mathrm{C}$.) greatly crippled its resources; in the wars between Agathocles and Carthage it was captured and looted by the Mamertines. In 258 it was betrayed to Carthage, and in 255 was the scene of a terrible disaster to the Roman fleet, which was so completely destroyed by storm that out of $3^{6}+$ vessels 80 only escaped.
 founded, probably, about 710 B.C., famous for the legislation of Zaleucus, and celebrated in Pindar (Ol. X. 18, Xi. 19) for devotion to poctry as well as for skill and courage in war.
'P $\eta$ yivol, cf. vi. 44 : founded, apparently, in the 8 th century b.c., famous as the head-quarters of the Pythagorean sect after the death of its founder. Its position as commanding the passage of the straits secured it from the state of decay into which most of the cities of southern Italy eventually fell. As the terminus of the great Italian highway we find it figuring as a town of importance even to the end of the Roman empire. In 1783 it was almost destroyed by earthquakes and suffered severely once more in 1841 .

Supakooich, probably depends on $\dot{\eta} \sigma a \nu$ as possessive genitive, without ellipse of $\xi \dot{\sim} \mu \mu a \chi \circ \iota$, cf. v. $84 \AA_{2}$ (Роppo).

катג̀ rò $\xi v \gamma \gamma \in v \epsilon$ 's, as Chalcidians.
§ 3. $\pi \epsilon \in \mu$ avtes, the embassy was headed by Gorgias, who amazed the Athenian audience by his rhetorical skill: cf. (Plato) Hipp. Ma. $2 S_{2} \mathrm{~b}$. If Diodorus is to be credited, his кацлаi and катабкєval told with no less effect upon his hearers than those of Cicero upon his 'novus auditor,' Pompey (Cic. ad Att. I. I\& \$ 4).
oi $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \Lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \tau i v \omega \nu \xi \dot{v} \mu \mu a x \circ$, Poppo explains with reference to an alliance of Rhegium only with Athens: Bloomfield suggests a league or confederation. l'oppo objects that Gorgias clearly acted as the representative of the Leontini independently.

кaтá тє...kal öть, for the false coordination see Kriig. G. Gr. 59. 2, 3.
$\pi a \lambda \alpha a \dot{\alpha} v \xi_{\nu \mu \mu a X^{i} \alpha v, ~ c f . ~ K i r c h . ~ I n s c r i p t . ~ I . ~}^{33}$ : the treaty was renewed in the archonship of Apseudes, O1. 86, 4.
"I $\omega v \in \varsigma$, cf. IV. 6I § 2.

 have a dative coordinated with a participle ( $\beta$ ov $\bar{\circ} \dot{\mu} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ ). An appositional accusative might replace the dative, e.g. vi. $33 \S 2$, or even be coordinated with it.

及ounó $\mu \in v o t \delta \epsilon$, Stahl observes that $\delta \epsilon$ here forms but a weak contrast of the real intention to the alleged cause, indeed $\delta \epsilon=\tau \grave{o} \hat{o}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ '́s. He compares Tacitus' use of 'ceterum' (Ann. I. 44).
$\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$, the negative affects the first clause only.
$\alpha \gamma \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{l}$, replacing the more usual $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \lambda \epsilon i v$ of Thuc.
$\pi \rho o ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \rho a v$, a rare word, but cf. Hdt. $1 x .48$, 'by way of trying,' 'throwing out a feeler.'
motoú $\mu$ ยvor, in lieu of moteīəal: the confusion is caused by the transfer of the negative to the dependent infinitive, i.e. oüt $\beta$ $\beta о \boldsymbol{\lambda} \delta \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \iota$
 $\tau \epsilon$. The change to $\mu \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ is due to Thuc.'s desire to avoid a $\delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ collocation; the $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ldots \tau \epsilon$ sequence suggests the participial coordination.

єl, interrogative, ' whether.'
$\sigma \phi i \sigma\llcorner$, dependent on $\dot{v} \pi$ охєipia.
Suvará, in agreement with $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\pi \rho \dot{\gamma} \gamma \mu a \tau \alpha$, cf. vili. 106 § $5:$ for the passive construction $\gamma \in \nu \hat{\ell} \sigma \theta a \iota$ cf. 5 I § 2.
§ 5. kataotávtes 's, 'after establishing themselves,' 'taking up a position at Khegium.' Poppo is at fault in rendering 'cum pervenissent.'

## CHAPTER LXXXVII.

Athens experiences a second visitation of the plague.
§ 1. ท́ vóqos, 'morbus ille,' cf. II. 47 § 3. Diodurus (Ni1, 5§) ascribes the original outbreak to the malarious influence of the stagnant pools left by the heavy rains of a winter which was followed by a summer of tropical heat, and absence of 'etesian' winds.
 II. 47 § 3, VII. I3 § 2. $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \lambda \iota \pi 0 \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ is here used in a primary rather than secondary sense (deficere), as Classen suggests: 'it had never entirely quitted the city.'

тò $\pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \alpha^{\pi} \alpha \sigma เ v$, explained on the analogy of $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \alpha \rho a ́ \pi a \nu$, but still a unique instance. Thuc. may perhaps have intended an epexegetic use,
 тò ( $=\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) $\pi \alpha \nu \tau a ́ \pi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \kappa \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu . ~$

Sıow $\omega \times{ }^{\prime}$, for orthography see Stahl, Qu. Gr. 14 , Herw. Sturl. $12+$ : 'an intermission,' another rare word.
§2. $\boldsymbol{\pi a \rho} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \epsilon$, this unwelcome visitor 'stayed with them' a full year.'
kal, intensive, 'no less than.'
 doubt whether the insertion of $\epsilon \pi i \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ may not restore the original text:
 $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{\alpha} \kappa \omega \sigma \epsilon \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\delta} \dot{\prime} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu<\alpha u ̈ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ? > . The omission of $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$ would at once suggest the correction 'A $\theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$, and the excision of the unintelligible roúrous (vid. Hude, Comm. Crit. p. II5).
'A0ךvaíwv $\gamma \epsilon$, 'reliquorum non item' (Poppo): this $\gamma \epsilon$ of limitation rivets the attention on Athens.

є́ка́кшбє, 'crippled,'
Súvapıv, 'vires,' 'fighting strength,' capacity for war, excluding $\chi \rho \eta \dot{\mu} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\tau}$.
§3. $\tau \mathfrak{a} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu,=\kappa a \tau a \lambda$ ó $\gamma o u$ (Göll.) rather 'brigades' or 'battalions.' Arnold (on IV., 4) identifies $\tau$ d $\xi$ ts with the dóxos of the Peloponnesians, as representing the principal division of the army, probably 100 men. The кат $\dot{\lambda} \lambda o \gamma o s$ includes (as Classen remarks) $\theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon s$ and $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau o \kappa к o . ~$
ox ${ }^{\text {dou }}$, the populace' (i.e. the non-combatants, as distinct from oi $\left.\dot{\kappa} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha{ }_{\xi} \xi \epsilon \omega \nu\right)$ : Diodorus says that of the populace 10,000 diecl. From 11. 13 we may estimate the luss of 4,700 men here recorded as roughly representing a mortality of about one in six amongst the military.
áve૬ev́petos, 'is past finding out,' i.e. it cannot be accurately cstimatel (cf. ทن́piбкєто I. 22 §3).
§4. oi $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda o i$, the article is demonstrative, 'those fiequent.'
 however $\sigma \epsilon เ \sigma \mu \circ \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon$.

тis $\gamma \eta$ is, rejected, as a gloss, by Herwerden.
év Eüpoía, the preposition should probably be retained (on the authority of Laur. and Viat.) : its loss is easily accounted for by absorption in the Ev. of Eưßolq.
'OpXонєขผิ, (in inscriptions 'Epxонєขos) the Minyan Orchomenus of Hom. I1. 2. 5 t1: the other Orchomenus was in Arcadia, cf. Thuc. If. 76 § 3.

## CHAPTER LXXXVIII.

§ 1. трıákovтa vavoi, twenty only came from Athens, cf. irf S. I.
Aió入ov, cf. Strabo vi, 275 ai $-1<\pi a p a i \omega \nu \nu \eta ̂ \sigma o t$, Pliny N. H. III. 8. 92 'Aeoliae, appellatae enedem Liparaeorum, Hephaestiades a Graecis, a nostris Volcaniae.' Diodorus ( $(\cdot, 7)$ says that they are connected with Aetna by $\dot{u} \pi \dot{b}$ vouot, which accounts for the alternate eruptions. The name Liparaean is traditionally derived from Liparus a son of Auson, who first peopled them, the name of Aeolicles from one Acolus wh, married a daughter of king Liparus.

ка入oupévas, 'so called': for the position of the participle Classen compares I. II §3.

ảסv́vata, impersonal, cf. I. 59 § 2.
§ 2. vépovtal, used of possession or nccupation in any form, but distinct from oikoû $\sigma$, 'their possessors are the Liparaeans.'

Kviઠicu äтockol, from Pausanias X. I § 3 and Diod. Sic. v. 9, we gather that they were a mixed colony of Cnidians and Rhodians who, under Pentathlus, an Olympian victor, first landed at Lilybaeum, but, being beaten in a battle in which they helped the Segestans (Selinuntines ?), returned homewards once more, but on touching at Lipara were induced by the inhabitants to stay.
oú $\mu \in \gamma^{a} \lambda_{\eta} \eta$, about 25 miles in circumference, 150 stadia according to Pliny.

калєital $\delta$ é, for this use of $\delta \epsilon$ replacing a relative clause, Poppo compares $N .53$ § 2 . The name $A \iota \pi \alpha \dot{p a}$ is said to be due to its fertility.
$\tau \boldsymbol{a} s \not{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda a s$, eleven or twelve in mumber at the present day.
$\Delta \iota \delta u ́ \mu \eta$, Salini.

ミтроүүú入ŋи，Stromboli．
＇Iepá（sc．iepà＇H申aiozou），its identity is questionable．
 as＇una litura delendum，＇adding，＇si Thucydidis sunt，legendum $\chi$ a入－ кси́єь．＇voцísovat apparently follows the analegy of $\phi \eta \mu i$ ，＇have the tradition．＇For an equally harsh sequence of is compare v ． 9 § 3 i $\lambda \pi i$－ Sovtas $\dot{\omega}$ s äv．

diva．סıסov̂नa，cf． 58 § 4 ，＇summittens．＇
кaтá，＇opposite to，＇cf．II． 30 § 2.
Mє $\sigma \sigma \eta \boldsymbol{v}^{\prime} \omega \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ，one of the original Siceliot tribes，as distinguished from the Sicels（cf．VI．2，III．II5，with Poppo＇s notes）．

 it is，perhaps，only a reflection of the Ionic $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \ldots \delta \dot{f}$ construction． Cwilinski（Hermes XII．${ }^{6}$ 6）suspects the whole sentence．

## CHAPTER LXXXIX．

The sixth year of the war now commences．
§ 1．＇Apxiסápov，the commander of the first three invasions of Attica；the last mention of him is found in Chap．i，but in 26 Cleo－ menes is in command．Krüger（Hist．Stud．1．151）and Clinton（Fasti Hell．）agree in placing his death shortly before the intended invasion here mentioned．
$\sigma \epsilon \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ，for the disturbing influence of such natural phenomena， which appear to have not been altogether without effect on Thucyides himself，cf．v． 45, vi． 95 ，vill． 6.
àтєтро́тогто，in purely physical sense＇turned back＇；cf．Shilleto＇s note on I． 76 § 2 ．
§ 2．катєXóvт由v，＇were prevalent，＇cf．I．Io § I：a somewhat rare
 Lat．＇obtinere，＇＇praevalere，＇with the old English＇obtain．＇
$\tau \eta \uparrow$ Eúßolas，for position cf． 19 § 2 （ Cl ．）．
＇Opoßlars，in the north－west，＇hodie Rosias＇（Iferw．）．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta_{0} \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ ，the vulgate $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta_{0} \hat{v} \sigma \alpha$ is sufficiently condemned by the $i \pi \hat{\eta}, \lambda \theta \epsilon$ of the context：of $i \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon$ Herwerden finds corroboration in Pliny Ep．VI． 20 § 9，but why not $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta 0 i \sigma \alpha$ ？the retirement of the sea must precede the return．Diodorus＇account grossly exasgerates the effect of these earthquake shocks．
$\kappa \nu \mu a \tau \omega \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \alpha$, ＇rising in a wave．＇
$\mu$ épos $\tau \iota$ ，＇bona pars，＇＇a considerable part．＇
тò $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \ldots$ ．．．$\delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon}$ ，both are nominatives and subjects to their respective verbs．
 an inundation．＇
 flood（IIdt．I．191），or of the settlement of a heavy body（Hdt．IV．62） （Arnold）．

Өá入a⿱一𫝀口a vûv é $\sigma \tau \mathfrak{l}$ ，＇what was once land is now sea．＇Böhme notes the assimilation of the participle to the predicate．Coller adds＇exspec－ taverim forsitan $\dot{\eta}$ ．＇

ठเє́ $\theta \theta \epsilon \iota \rho \in \nu$ ，sc．$\dot{\eta} \theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ．
$\phi \theta \hat{v} v a l$, cf．vili． 19 § 3 द̇s $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \phi \theta a \sigma a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
 v1．24．Diodorus（XII．59）says that the island was formed by the earthquake；but in II． 32 § 1 we read that the Athenians had alreadly planted a fort upon it．
\okpoîs，cf．II． 32.
фpoupiov，partitive genitive with mapeìл．Kriiger quotes Eur．

$\dot{a} v \in\left\llcorner\kappa \kappa \sigma \mu \mu^{\prime} v \omega \nu\right.$ ，the usual practice when vessels were not needed for immediate service．
§ 4．$\Pi \epsilon \pi a \rho \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \omega$ ，by some identified with Pelagisi，Piperi ；by Leake with Chiliodromia（North．Gr．III．IIz）．N．E．of Euboea，says Classen，in the same group with Halonessus and Sciathus．Does the name point to any connexion with $\pi \epsilon \pi a \rho \epsilon i v$, as an＇insula conspicua＇？

ä $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ s，＇as well，＇the idiumatic $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ os：for a $\pi$ putaveîo would not rank as an oixia．
 （Herm．111．353）takes exception to the accus．and infin．in lieu of the öt constrn．Stahl proposes to treat aítov as masculine，in agreement with $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu$ ós：Hude uljects that in this case we should not find $\dot{o} \sigma \epsilon \tau \sigma \mu{ }^{\prime}$ s in the relative sentence．In all other instances of aitoo in Thuc．the word is neuter（cf．Bétant，Lex．Thuc．）．Kriiger regards the infin． $\dot{d} \pi 0 \sigma \tau \in \lambda \in \iota v$ as epexegetic of a suppressed cival with aitıov．Hude＇s suggestion（Comm．Crit．p．115）is by far the best and simplest，viz．
 as appositional to the sentence：＇as for the cause of such an occurrence，

I hold that at that particular point where the shock is most severely felt it (i.e. the earthruake) causes the sea to retire, which rushing back again instantly makes the inundation all the more violent.'

катณ̀ тоиิто = тav́т $\eta$, 'at that point.'

$\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \sigma \pi \circ \mu \epsilon \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \eta \nu$, cf. 43 § 5, v. 3 § 2. This correction of F gives us the sense which the passage demands, viz. the violent inrush of the sea after this phenomenal ebb: $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \dot{\ell} \nu \eta \nu$, on the other hand, suggests the weaker notion of 'resorberi,' the ėmavax'́p $\bar{\sigma}$ is of our context, 'reductus' rather than 'refusus.' The present interpretation demands, of course, a change of subject with $\pi$ oceiv, which we can only escape by treating $\dot{a} \pi 0 \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ as intransitive (though of such a use we lack instances), or by accepting Herwerden's suggestion $\dot{\epsilon} \pi / \sigma \pi \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \eta s$ : the use of the active $\pi$ roteiv would naturally point to the retention of $\sigma \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ, \nu$ as the subject of the second verb.
ßıatótepor, the comparative retains the inflexion of the positive, cf. roi § 2 (Cl.): we may however, as Kruiger suggests, regard it as adverbial.
oủk äv Hot Sokєî, ä $\nu$, which belongs to the infin. $\check{v} \mu \mu \hat{\eta} \nu a t$, marks the implied condition expressed in ăvev toítov, as though the sentence
 in protasis, cf. Goodwin, M. T. § 472.

Such gigantic waves are not infrequent features of serious earthquake shocks. The great earthquake at Lisbon in 1755 supplies a memorable instance. In the earthquake shock which visited East Anglia some few years ago, the level of water in ponds even was sensibly affected.

## CHAPTER XC.

 Meineke (Hermes 1II. 354) to suggest $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha$, a reading followed by Poppo and Stahl. Krüger, while retaining ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$, applies it to the Sicels: to this Hude objects that they would scarcely be included
 until 103 § I that Thuc. makes explicit mention of the allies. At the same time, it must be remembered that Thuc.'s order of narrative is not always implicitly to be relied upon. The historian's intention was manifestly to call attention to those operations of war which concerned the Athenians only-an intention which was not fulfilled.
 was ä入入o äd入os－leads me to accept Poppo＇s reading，for which cf． 1. 65 §2，and to explain＇there was desultory warfare both on the part of Siceliots（without Athenian aid）and of Athenians in concert with their allies；I will however confme myself to the mention of the most noteworthy successes or reverses of the Athenian arms．＇

кal av́тol．．．кal of＇A日ŋvaiol，＝＇ut ipsi．．．ita Athenienses．＇
 werden，from Dio Cassius，accepts the form $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi b \lambda \epsilon \mu 0 l(c f$. Pollux 1．150） which is apparently the Ionic form．
§ 2．$\xi v \mu \mu a ́ x \omega v$ ，cf． 86 § 5.
Mulás，Milazzo，on N．coast ：cf．Diod．Sic．Nir． 54 ．
фu入ai，here the equivalent of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi$ cs（Poppo）：the members of the various tribes formed separate battalions，cf．vi． $9^{8}$ § 4 ．
 a depreciatory sense，＇some sort of ambuscade，＇＇an attempt at an ambuscade．＇
$\tau 0 i s$ dंगò $\tau \hat{\omega} v \nu \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ ，the distinction between $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{i}$ and $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ in such a
 of their disembarking．
§ 3．є́ри́

$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon$＇$A \theta \eta \nu a i \omega \nu$ к $\alpha l \boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \nu \xi \nu \mu \mu a ́ X \omega \nu$ ，these words Herwerden strikes out as＇manifestum emblema＇（Stud．p．48）on the ground that （I）the genitive absolute needs no subject，least of all at the expense of a trajection：（2）that they are repeated from \＆ 1 ．For the disturbed order Poppo compares IV．134 § I，V． 47 § I．
kai aúrol，they followed the example of Mylae．
$\tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$＇，＇and otherwise giving pledges of good faith．＇$\pi เ \sigma \tau \alpha ́$ is predicative，cf．I． 32 § 3 ．Poppo，however，treats it as a substantive （cf．Popp．ed．mai．11． 85 1）：see Arnold＇s note．
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§ 1．そौ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \iota \lambda \alpha \nu \pi \epsilon \rho \hat{i}$ ，a commonplace of the Thucydidean vocabulary， as Classen notes，cf．II． 23 § 2．Really Demosthenes received a＇roving commission，＇they sent him to＇cruise off，or round，the Peloponnesian coast．＇
$\Delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta s$ ，the first mention of that active and able commander，
the victor of P＇ylos，a central figure in the Knights of Aristophanes，and by his great namesake classed with Aristides，Pericles，and Nicias．

Прок $\lambda \hat{\eta} s$ ，killed in the retreat from Aetolia，cf． 98 § 4.
Sıoxi入lous，Diodorus（x11．65），confounding both number and occasion，writes 3000 ．
§ 2．$\nu \eta$ Øเш́тas，over whom Athens claimed control：cf．v． 97,99 ， VII， 57 § 7 ．

＇s $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò $\alpha u ̋ \tau \omega ิ v$ ，Kriiger reads $\alpha u ́ t \omega ิ \nu$.
тò $\xi \nu \mu \mu a \times$ ккóv，cf．Vili． 9 § 2 ：this neuter form is not infrequent in Thucydides．
léval，cf．vı． 80 § 1 ：although Kriiger would prefer īđiéval：for synonymous forms of expression see Stahl＇s note．The Melians were colonists of Sparta（Diod．Sic．XII．65）．
 From Kirchhoff，Inscr．Att．I． 38 ，we find that the acceptance of the ＇Aттıкаl $\sigma \pi \frac{\nu \delta a i}{}$ was urged on Thera and Melos．
aúrol，in contradistinction to the other force from Athens，oi és Tîs $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ ．But，if needful，aúroí could＝＇en masse＇：cf．viri． 39 § 2 ， Arist．Pax 18.
＇$\Omega \rho \omega \pi$ óv，a town on the borders of Attica and Boeotia，and a frequent bone of contention between the two countries．After the battle of Chaeroneia Philip handed it over to Athens．
 regards as a common term．Krüger，from Cramer，would read If $\epsilon \rho a \iota \kappa \bar{\eta} s$ ， Stahl Граєкท̂s，from 「paia，apparently the ancient name of the place． The expression $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \epsilon \rho a i \eta \nu$（Hdt．vili．44）Poppo regards as not affecting the present question．
$\sigma$ Xóvtes $=\pi \rho \circ \sigma \sigma \chi$ óv $\tau \epsilon$ ，found in construction with preposition or with dative：cf．I．III § 4，V11．I § 2.
oi óm $\pi \bar{i} \tau \alpha \mathrm{a}$ à̀̀ $\tau \bar{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，Attic Greek would require oi $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 with more than one instance of such false position．Why should not $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \hat{\omega} \nu$ be complete per se，as meaning＇leaving the ships＇？If necessary we can find a construction with émopeviovto．

Távaypav，about 130 stadia from Oropus，on the left bank of the Asopus，and the scene of more than one battle：Oenophyta lies within the district．
 by a general luy：a draft had been levied from all the pudai，to the
extent，indeed，according to Classen，of all the availalle strength of Athens after the despatch of the two expeditions under I Demosthenes and Nicias．
＇Introvikov，the father－in－law of Alcibiades．His father was the Callias who negotiated the peace of Cimon with the Persian king，after the victory on the Eurymedon in 470 B．C．：cf．Dem．F．L．P． 428 ， Diod．Sic．XII． 4 ．
árò $\sigma \eta \mu$ кiov，cf．II． 90 § 4 ．
＇s tò aúró，＇at the same point，＇cf．v． 55 § 2.
§5．Tavá $5 \rho a$, Meineke would read Tavarpaía，of．IV． 76 § 4 ：but the $\pi \dot{\delta}$ 人̀s may include the $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho \alpha$ ．This district，from the richness of its pastures，was known as $\pi ⿰ 丿 ⺄ \mu a \nu \delta p l a, ~ ' t h e ~ m i l k ~ p a i l . ' ~ '$
 judgment，throws into agreement with $\mu \dot{a} \chi \eta$ ，which，however，finds a natural construction with крат $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，＇on the next day，in an engage－ ment（which took place）they defented them．＇
 case of verbs of this type，which take either accus．or genitive，Curtius endeavours to shew that the contrast is between＇complete mastery＇ expressed by accus．and＇partial mastery＇expressed by genitive．The distinction is an exceedingly questionable one．
ö $\pi \lambda \alpha$ ，whether of the slain or of the $\dot{\rho} \iota \psi \dot{a} \sigma \pi t \delta \epsilon s$ ．
§6．тais $\varepsilon \xi \eta \eta^{\prime} \kappa \boldsymbol{v} \tau \alpha$ ，the article may be either possessive or demon－ strative ；i．e．either＇suis＇or＇quas supra diximus．＇
\okpi（os，i．e．Opuntian Locris．
${ }^{\prime} \tau \tau \mu \epsilon$ ，the aorist records the mere fact without reference to either time or exertion．Indeed，Thuc．might equally well have written $\tau \alpha \mu \dot{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi \omega ́ \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ．
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§1．Tpaxıvia，readings vary between Tpaxive（the constant Thu－
 （sc．$\pi$ ét paıs）Cl．：from the last Benedict conjectures Tpaxılia és а̇оккіад кавібта⿱то：cf．vili． 29 § 1 ，where the reading is probably false．

ка日ใбтаvто，inceptive imperfect，＇proceeded to found．＇
áró，causal，cf．Curt．Gk．Gr．§ 452.
 ＇The Malians，as a nation，consist of three tribes．＇

Mapádtor，the equivalent，as Arnold suggests，of the Athenian
rápaiou, the people who grew up around the original iepīs, or priestnobles, Eupatridae, the Trachinians representing the ímepáкрto of Athens: cf. Callimachus, Delos 287 ócítepod 'Ipéw ắ $\sigma \tau v$ (vid. Meineke ad loc.), 'the land of the i $\in \rho \hat{p} s$.'
$\pi о \lambda \dot{\kappa} \mu \varphi$ é $\phi \theta a \rho \mu \hat{v} v o l$, 'weakened (exhausted) by war': according to Diodorus the long wars with the Octaei had depopulated the town: it lay at the foot of Mt. Oeta. Livy xxxvi, 21 describes it thus, 'sita in radicibus Oetaei montis, ipsa in campo, arcem imminentem loco alto et undicpue praecipiti habet': in chap. 22 he describes its siege.

Oirdi $\omega v$, an independent highland trile: cf. viil. 3 § f , Hdt. vil. 217 : see Hermes vii. $3^{80}$ sqq.
$\pi \rho o \sigma \theta \in i v a l$, the Thucydidean use of $\mu \in \lambda \lambda \omega$ with either future or present is so constant that Herwerden would read $\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \in \nu a$, although Arist. Av. $3^{66}$ causes him to hesitate before accepting Cobet's condemnation of the aorist as a soloecism. Poppo quotes three instarces from Thuc., v. 30 § I, v. $9^{8}$ (where Herw. sees a confusion between
 Stud. 14 6. For the phrase $\pi \rho 0 \sigma \theta \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \sigma \phi \hat{S}$ aúrois, cf. Vili. 50 § 3 : it is explained by the editors as $=\pi \rho \circ \sigma a ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta a l$, but the reflexive force is strong: more strictly, it represents 'to attach themselves to,' 'place themselves on the side of Athens.'
$\mu \eta ̀ ~ o v ่, ~ t h e ~ s e c o n d ~ n e g a t i v e ~ d u e ~ t o ~ t h e ~ l u r k i n g ~ n e g a t i v e ~ i n ~ \delta \varepsilon i \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s . ~$
$\pi \boldsymbol{\pi} \tau \circ$ i, i.e. in point of aúrovouia, of which, as proffered by Athens, the allies had grave suspicion.
§ 3. $\dot{\eta} \mu \eta \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \lambda เ s ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \Lambda a \kappa \in \delta a \imath \mu o \nu i \omega v$, these words Cobet expunges as an adscript from 1. 107 § $2:$ cf. also 1. 12 § 3 , with Arnold's note. For the Dorian legend in its Laconian form see Abbott, Hist. Gr. Pt. I. III. 3.
§4. $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta \nu \epsilon โ \chi \circ \nu=\delta \iota \varepsilon \nu 00 \hat{\nu} \nu \tau 0$, cf. II. $86 \$ 5$, lit. 'were minded to.'

$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \epsilon i v$, i.e. $\beta$ оך $\theta \epsilon i ้ v$.
тоv̂ $\pi \circ \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu o v$, for the genitive of connexion cf. I. 22 § 3,36 § 2 .
 aúroîs: 'male,' says Poppo, 'quod fit non est, sed erit.' The present may either be a 'praesens propheticum' or represent a mere passive
 settlement.' Stahl quotes similar uses of $\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a t$ and $\epsilon \mathcal{T} \mathcal{L} \iota$, e.g. II. 84 § 2, IV. 9 §3.
'̇пi (катà $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ E'ißolas, sch.), 'against Euboea,' i.e. to threaten, or attack Euboea, cf. 13 § 3 .
vavtıoóv, the district was well timbered; 'frequens arboribus' (Livy xxxvi, 21).
$\pi \alpha \rho a \sigma k \varepsilon v a \sigma \theta \eta ิ v a r$ ăv, the cั้ is really potential, 'might he equipped,' at the same time it recalls the condition єi катабтali $\dot{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \iota s$.
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, pointing to the conditions under which the fleet would be built: cf. the Latin 'ita...ut': lit. 'so as to make their passage at a short distance' (from a point near at hand). The sequence is strongly suggestive of the Herodotean use of oüt $\omega$ s $\begin{gathered}\sigma \tau \tau \\ \text {, cf. Goodw. M. T. § } 593 \text {. }\end{gathered}$ For the merging of condition in result see Gildersleeve, Am. J. Phil. vir. 167 sqq.
 more frequent in Thuc., that is to say, the Chalcidians. For the Spartan designs cf. iv. 78.
 for genitive with " $\chi \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ cf. I. 22 § 3. The contrast of certainty (future) with contingency (arist with ă $\nu$ ) deserves notice.
$\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon$, inferential, 'thus.'
ढ̈р $\quad \eta \nu \tau \tau$, 'were eager,' cf. vili. 40 § 3 .
§ 5. Ėv $\Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi 0 i ̂ s$, Cobet (Mnemos. viil. I \& ) suggests a transposition, $\tau \delta \nu \epsilon^{\prime} \nu \Delta \epsilon \lambda \phi o i s ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ v$. The usual practice on such occasions was to consult the oracle: Jowett here remarks that the sanction of the god was given to an enterprise destined to result in utter failure.
$\kappa \in \lambda \epsilon$ vovtos, for omission of subject in singular number, cf. $3^{8}$ § 6: with the plural the ellipse is frequent.
$\pi \epsilon \rho \rho^{\prime}(\kappa \omega v$, the old inhabitants of the country who had been reduced by the Dorians not to slavery but dependency (cf. Miiller, Dorians III. 16 sqq.). In respect of political rights they probably stood on a level with the plebeians in the early days of Rome, but with the additional advantage of a monopoly of trade and commerce (cf. Smith, Dict. Antiq. s.v. $\pi \epsilon$ piotкos). They were originally of Achacan stock.
$\tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega v, 6000$ says Diodorus (xII. 59), from whom Naber would read тєтракıбхı入ious. The exclusion of Achaeans, here related, clashes, as Poppo remarks, with the evidence of Xenophon, Hell. 1. 2 § 18 : see however Thirlwall, G. Hist. IV. 95.
 on purely political grounds: it was largely influenced by such Spartan exclusiveness as found its highest expression in $\xi \in \nu \eta \lambda a \sigma i a l$.
oikเซтal, 'triumviri coloniae deducendae,' not always three in number, although this was a favourite Spartan number: sometimes two or even one sufficed; cf. vi. 3,4 .
'A $A x i \delta a s$, presumably the unsuccessful commander of chap. 16,26 , 3r, 76 . His appointment would serve the twofold purpose of getting rid of an inefficient person, and lending to the new culony the prestige of the presence of an ex-admiral.
§ 6. катaбтávtєऽ, cf. 86 § 2.
ék кalvทิs, a corresponding form of expression is found even with
 The ellipse is uncertain, but is apparently suggested by the context, e.g. $\tau \epsilon \chi \chi \sigma \epsilon \omega s$.
$\eta \geqslant \nu \hat{\nu} v$, the old name was Trachis, cf. IIdt. vir. 199.
oraסíous тєббара́коvтa, modern geography verifies the statement of Thucydides; although, as Arnold suggests, the altered course of the river Spercheius renders identification difficult.
$\pi a \rho \epsilon \sigma \kappa \in v a ́ \zeta o v \tau 0$, the second step-'they proceeded to construct dockyards.' The defences are already complete in the aorist tetei$\chi$ ббаข.
 plete without some infinitive, e.g. к. $\hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$. Classen, from E, reads eip $\xi_{\mathrm{L}}$, $\tau 6$ (comparing 51 § 3 Tò $\epsilon \kappa \tau \hat{\eta} S$ $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \rho o v$ ), i.e. 'in the direction of Thermopylae, they cut off all access.' The Phocians had in past times built a wall across the pass, of. Hdt. vii. 176. Herwerden, Stud.



єúфúлaктa, whether in agreement with $\nu \epsilon \omega$ éca, or an impersonal construction, matters little: cf. I. 8 § 2, II. 98 § I, Vtir. 55 § i.

## CHAPTER XCIII.

 ality of the settlers (Class.) : cf. Port. 'colonis undique coactis.' To me it only represents a more expressive form of $\sigma_{v \gamma \kappa a \theta \iota \sigma \tau a \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s . ~}^{\text {' }}$
$\epsilon \pi \pi i \tau \eta ̂$ Eủßoía, 'they thought it was a direct menace to Euboea.' For the sequence of the two aorists cf. 91 sub fin. $\check{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi(\dot{\omega} p \eta \sigma \epsilon$.

Kグvalov, the north-west promontory of Euboea, opposite to Thermopylae, i.e. the mouth of the Malian gulf, the Lithada of modern times: cf. Leake II. 6r7. It was the site of a temple of Zeus: cf. Soph. Trach. 238. The place is mentioned by Livy XXXvi. 20 § 5.
a $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \beta \eta$, a solitary instance of this verb used impersonally in Thucydides ( Cl .).
§ 2. altoov $\delta \mathbf{t} \dot{\eta} v$, this form of expression is followed in Thuc. either
by a substantive (cf. 82 § 8), or by a participle in personal agreement (Iv. 26 § 5 ), or by ört (11. $65 \$$ ) : our present construction may reflect
 Thuc. (with one exception only, II. so § 2), $\gamma$ áp is the invariable sequence. Cobet, N. Lect. +19 , 718 , insists on its insertion in all such sequences: against this thilleto protests: see his note on 11. 50 § 2: the instance which S . quotes from Theactetus 150 C is redeemed
 $\mu \epsilon \dot{o} \theta \in$ ès ávaүка́jєє. Classen's rejection of Cobet's $\gamma$ úp, which Herwerden accepts, is hardly justified by an appeal to parallel passages, in Thucydides at least, although Kruiger quotes one or two strong
 reason may, of course, be stated as an independent fact; but, can we justify the asyndeton on any one of the four grounds which Hermann assigns for the use of this figure, viz.: (1) mental excitement, (2) the introluction of some new and grave topic, (3) 'oratio graviter finita,' (4) explanation of some olscure statement? The topic is already introduced by aittod $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$. So far as concerns the participial construction,
 substitution of participle for infinitive, i.e. of an adjectival for a substantival form of expression. A Latin would, 'pro re nata,' write either 'urbis incendium' or 'urbs incensa.'

Mathiae's solution (Gr. Syn. $\S 309$ ), that the distance of the principal
 vention of participles, led Thucydides to treat the latter part of the sentence as an independent construction, only throws us back into the ambiguities which so long obscured Greek grammar: equally unsound, in my estimation, is his criticism that $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ could not follow (oï $\tau \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \theta$.), because oi $\Theta \epsilon \sigma \sigma a \lambda o i$ should be the subject to aítov $\dot{\eta} \nu$. Thuc. uses aitiov as a predicative substantive regardless of gender or number, with this limitation only, that where aifoos precedes the neuter singular is uned, where it follows, it is thrown into strict agreement. So far as the mere expansion of the form of expression is concerned, we may well refer it to the 'Schema Pindaricum' so called.

If any confusion in the text exists, it is clue to some 'homo acutus,' who out of an original ötc evolved of $\tau \epsilon$ for the sake of instituting a correlation between $\tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa \alpha i$. My own belief is that Thuc. wrote either



 compares Plato Rep． 328 c ì ồvá $\mu \epsilon \iota$ тoû $\pi$ орєúє $\sigma \theta$ at．The Thessalians were dominant in these parts，vid．II，IOI § 2.

таútn，cf． 88 ミ 3 ．
kal $\dot{\omega} v$ ，a lmachylogy＝каi iкeîvou $\dot{\omega} \nu$ ，＇and those whose territory was menaced by the settlement，＇i．e．the Oenians，Dolopians and Malians．
$\dot{\text { Éктiţero，se．} \dot{\eta} \pi o ́ \lambda c s . ~ C l a s s e n ~ w o u l d ~ d e s t r o y ~ t h e ~ c o n n e x i o n ~ b e t w e e n ~}$ $\tau \epsilon$ and kal，and follows Poppo in assuming a $\tau \epsilon \ldots \hat{\epsilon}$ form of sequence，
 l＇ut this succession of $\tau \epsilon \ldots$ of $^{\text {p }}$ points to a climax，of which the context has no trace．
vєокатабта́тoเs，a solitary instance in Thuc．：but cf．vєóктьттоs， 100 § 2.
 common in Thucydides．

кal mávu mod入ov́s，Diodorus speaks of 4000 P＇elopomesians，（，000 whers；the Malians possibly helped to swell the number of the adi－ venturers．
$\beta^{6} \beta \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ เov，cf．I． 32 § I，＇secure．＇
 you＇）simply reinforces $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \nu$ ．In the combination $\mu$＇́vtor we find a blending of concession with reservation；the preponderance of either the context must determine．IIere it expresses＇sane，＇＇I grant you，＇ rather than＇tamen＇：cf．V． 43 § 2 oú $\mu$ évtol à̀ $\lambda \alpha$ ，P＇lato Phaedo 62 B ．
aủt⿳⺈v，Krüg．suggests aủrol．
oi áфкрои́ $\mu \mathrm{\varepsilon vol}$ ，i．e．＇who came from time to time，＇whether year by year or in other rotation is not specified，cf．I．9I \＆I．The allusion i．to the $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o \sigma t a i$ of Sparta，cf．vili． 5 \＄2，the imionucorp roi，I． 56 § 2 ， ＇officers of supervision＇of the Dorian states．For the malversations of such officials see Grote，Hist．，chap．Lxxxir．
 them out of the place，＇cf．vinf． $4+\$ 2$ ，a doubtful instance：in +25. it is used in the sense of＇intimidating．＇
$\chi^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda} \pi \bar{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ ，＇harshly，＇severely．＇

é $\xi \eta y o u ́ \mu \epsilon v o t$, without object，cf．1． 76 § 1，＇domineering，＇＇lording it wer them．＇There is a twofold suggestion of＇ruling＇and＇dictating＇ （i．e．laying down the law）．The Boeotians on their own authority
expelled Ilegesippides, cf. v. 52 § 1. Polyaenus it. 21 records an iniquitous device of I Ierippidas (? I Iegesippidas).

тро́боเкоь, cf. v. 5 I § 2.
ย̇ $\pi$ єк ра́rouv, cf. I. 49 § 6.
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The narmative (for which cf. Diod. Xir. 60) is now restmed from chap. 9I, after the episode of Trachis, cc. 92, 93.
§ 1. катєโXоуто, 'were detained,' cf. II. S6 § i.
of ámò $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ тplákоvтa, the ships of 91 § I.
${ }^{\prime} E \lambda \lambda_{0} \hat{6} v \varphi$, identified by Leake, N. G. 111. 23, with Kliminu, a port sheltered by four or five islands lying before it, and connected by a narrow opening with a long interior bay. Forchhammer suggests K $\lambda \nu \mu \hat{\mu} \nu \omega$.
 Aeikás,' says Herwerden, misled by the form. Cobet points out that Aevkadia denotes 'ager Leucadiorum et in peninsula et in continente situs.' Classen explains the passage by an unsuccessful attempt at landing ( $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ Tov)-which appears scarcely consistent with the notion of $\lambda 0^{\chi}$ os or $\delta$ ola $\phi \theta$ opá-followed by an attempt in force upon the capital itself.

ह̈ $\pi \epsilon เ \tau a$ marks order or sequence, ${ }^{\text {vi }} \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$, time (Kriug.).
Oiviaiow cf. 11. 102 § 2. Leake notes that the name of the place always occurs in history under that of the people. 'I'laced on the right flank of the great line of defence which the Achelous afforded to the Acarnanes against their powerful neighbours of Aetolia, Oeniadae was of immense importance to the Acarnanian confederacy, although its situation at the extremity of that province, in an angle of the maritime plain, the greater part of which belonged to Aetolia, and possibly the influence of some pussessions on the Aetolian side of the river, caused it sometimes to be politically dissevered from Acarnania, or even in alliance with the Aetolians' (Leake, N. G. 1II. $5^{6}{ }_{4}$ ).
 cf. §3.
$\S 2$. $\quad \xi \xi \omega$, construe with $\tau o \hat{u} i \sigma \theta \mu 0 \hat{v}$. The Leucadians held part of the territory of Acamania proper.
 7 th century) the Acarnanians of Leucas being in a state of insurrection called in 1000 Corinthian colonists, who eventually occupied the
isthmus，and，by cutting through it，converted it into an island． According to Pliny，the canal was about 3 stades in length：it had become useless before the Peloponnesian war，as is evident from the constant allusions to hauling ships across the isthmus．Its restoration was probably a work of the Romans after the Macedonian conquest，and was still existing in the time of Augustus．Livy＇s account（xxxirf． $1_{7}$ ） is probably borrowed from Polybius，and contains，like Strabo＇s，one or two inaccuracies，for which see Leake 111．20：cf．also Arnold＇s note and map．
$\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\epsilon \iota}$ ，＇superior numbers．＇$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os simply denotes an aggregrate not determined by number．

ท̈бúxa̧ov，cf． $1 v .73$ § t，they made no attempt at resistance．
ท̇گlovv，＇urged．＇
ajoretx ${ }^{〔} \xi \in เ$ ，i．e．to cut them off from their point of junction with the mainland：yet，in 95 § 2 ，we find $\pi \epsilon p \iota \tau \epsilon i \chi \iota \sigma t s$ ．
äv extends its force to $\dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \eta_{\eta}^{\nu} \alpha$ ．
є́кто入ьорк $\hat{\mathbf{r}} \sigma a \mathrm{a}$ ，i．e．compel them to surrender．
§ 3．dvarti $\theta \in \tau \alpha a$ ，the $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{a}$ of the compound points，says Classen， to an almost violent reaction：cf．1． $8+\S 2$ ．Demosthenes was persuadel in spite of himself，＇convinced against his will．＇

ตs ka入óv，Classen explains on analogy of neuter impersonals，e．g．
 by no means certain that the ellipse is nol $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i, \dot{\omega}$ standing for ötc，cf． VIII． 2 § I ô̂ $\mu \epsilon \tau a \sigma \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ к a \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon โ \nu a u$.

Navта́ктఱ $\tau \epsilon \ldots$ кal тробтоเทีбєเv，in such sequences of $\tau \epsilon$ ，каí， there is always the possibility of either sentence asserting its gram－ matical individuality；i．e．the tendency is to a change of construction in the кai clause：cf．v． 61 § 4，1v． 3 § 3：on the latter of these two passages Barton remarks that＇the particle $\tau \epsilon$ is locally affixed to the leading notion of the sentence＇：so in the present instance the two leading notions are（1）Naupactus，（2）тд ä̀入о $\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu . ~ T h e ~ r e t u r n ~$ from the subordinate to the primary construction，a well－known feature of Greek，is amply illustrated by Shilleto in his note on I． 58 § I．On such a principle of resumption（cf．IV． 3 § 3）the structure will be ayarci－
 the other hand，the Greek tendency to lapse from wis or öt constrn． into the accusative with infinitive is so common that the analysis may
 into $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \sigma \circ \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \nu)$ ．In any case the change is noteworthy，as the $\tau \epsilon \ldots$ кai clauses supply the motives for the attempt．The meaning is clear，
'that it was a grood opportunity for him, with so large a force collected, to attack the Actolians, not only as enemies of Naupactus, but with the further prospect of easily winning to the Athenian interest the other tribes of the mainland.'

тò ท่тєเ $\rho \omega \tau$ ккóv, an ethnic neuter; they were, like Leucas and Oeniadae, allies of Sparta.
§4. єival, the infinitive is either a natural continuation of the oratio obliqua, or may find its structure from $\dot{\alpha} \pi t \phi a \iota v o \nu$.

ката̀ кஸ́ $\mu \mathrm{as}$, as in the case of ancient Attica, centralisation was unknown, cf. I. 5 § I, 10 § 2 .
$\delta\left\llcorner\alpha \alpha^{\pi} \pi \boldsymbol{\lambda} \lambda 0 \hat{v}\right.$, 'far apart,' at some distance from each other, cf. II. 29 § 3.
$\sigma \kappa \in \cup \eta \eta$, for the feminine form cf. 1, $2 \S 2$ : cf. also $\pi \alpha \dot{a} \eta \eta$ for $\pi \dot{a} \theta o s$. They were $\epsilon \dot{i} \sigma \tau a \lambda \epsilon i \hat{s} \tau \hat{\eta}$ ò $\pi \lambda i \sigma \epsilon \iota$, 'levi armatura instructi.'
$\xi v \mu \beta \quad \eta \theta \bar{\eta} \sigma a \mathrm{l}$, 'collect their forces,' cf. Lat. 'conglohari.'
катабтраф $\eta \nu \alpha$, epexegetic of $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ v$, cf. I. 20 § 1, II. 36 § 4 .
§ 5. 'Atoठஸ́tots, Herwerden has corrected the accent from Steph. lyzant. : cf. Livy xxxil. 34. They were inhabitants of Aitchia eimi$\kappa т \eta \tau o s$, 'acquired Aetolia,' and bordered upon Locris. Ancient Aetolia comprehended only the district from the Achelous to the Euenus together with the fertile inland plain (Leake iI. 623).
ö $\pi \epsilon \rho \mu \epsilon$ fos, for attraction to predicate, see Kruig. G. G. § бi, 7, n. 8. For Latin use, cf. Livy xlif. 44, 'Thebae, quod Boeotiae caput est': see also Madv. Lat. Synt. § 3 I 6.
áy $\nu \omega \sigma$ тóтaтot, 'most unintelligible.' They were semi-barbarians, cf. Polybius XVII. $5 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~A} i \tau \omega \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ oúk єiซiv"E $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu \in s$ oi $\pi \lambda \epsilon i=u s$.

ఉцофа́үot, i.e. eaters of raw flesh-but no more 'cannibals' than the

 will suggest è $\begin{aligned} & \text { equov. } \\ & \text {. }\end{aligned}$

## CHAPTER XCV.

§ 1. Xápıtь $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta$ fis, Demosthenes was actuated not merely by goodwill, but by actual gratitude: the Messenians had done much to save Phormio from disaster: cf. 1I. 90. Herwerden, on analogy of $50 \S \mathrm{I}$, K $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \omega \nu 0 s \gamma^{\nu} \omega \omega_{\mu} \eta$, expunges $\pi \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ is. The sentence, which is interrupted by a lengthy parenthesis каi vouiбas... Bot in suspense until it resumes its construction at äpas oîv.

тois $\eta$ j̇tєрш́тals, not, as Stahl points out, the actual but the possible allies of Athens, cf. $94 \S$.
$\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Alt $\omega \lambda \hat{\omega} v$ ．These words，which Stahl rejects，Classen wisely retains，comparing $\mathrm{r} \cdot 3^{6} \S \mathrm{I}$ ．The absence of Actolian opposition would be essential to their advance by land；indeed，the words $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Airch $\hat{\omega} \nu$ may be taken in close connexion with кard $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ，as representing $\delta \iota a \gamma \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \omega ิ \nu \mathrm{~A} l \tau \omega \lambda \omega \hat{\nu}$ ．

Kutivtov，cf．1．107，IIdt．VIIf．43：one of the four great Dorian cities，by Leake identified with Gravia，situated at the northern entrance of the pass leading from the valley of Doris to the plain of Amphissa．It was a position of vast strategical importance，as is clear from its selection（1）by Eurylochus $102 \$ 1,(2)$ by Philip of Nacedon before the battle of Chaeroneia．
$\dot{\epsilon} v \delta \epsilon \xi(\underset{a}{a}$ ，a vague but sufficient indication as marking the point of the compass：cf．H1． 98 § 2，ViII， 108 § I．He was committed to this more circuitous route by the hostility of Delphi（Bl．）．
＂＇$\omega$ к кaтaßaiๆ，＇donec descenderet＇（Cl．）．The optative suggests the purely subjective statement of the case，reflecting the plan of campaign as submitted to Demosthenes＇own mind．

$\phi \iota \lambda i \alpha v$ ，the alliance of Phocis with Sparta，inspired merely by fear of Boeotia，was always a precarious one．
$\xi$ گorpartúaยเv．IHerwerden，Stud．p． 48 ，suggests this reading，which Stahl，Quaest．Gramm．p．8，demands as necessary．The present is defended by Classen on analogy of $\S 3$ 的申є入ia töóкouv єivau．Madvig and Cobet agree in holding that，except in the case of such verbs as necessarily suggest the notion of expectation，the aorist without äp is inadmissible（see Cob．Nov．Lect．245）．

$\eta ้ \delta \eta$ ，＇from this point，＇cf．II． $9^{6}$ § 3 ．
oûv，resumptive，and essential to the continuity of the sentence：cf． Lat．＇igitur．＇

ג́ко́vт $\omega \nu$ ，cf．IV． 78 § 4.
Sól入ıov，Stravolimiona（Leake，N．G．IV．18），a Corinthian colony， captured by Athens in the first year of the war，and handed over by them to the people of Palaerus（II． 30 § 1 ）．Its position was midway between Leucas and Oeniadae．Simcox holds that Demosthenes had not yet decided to approach Aetolia from the south rather than from the west，but that the dissent of the Acarnanians finally settled the question．
§ 2．oú $\pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon \delta i \xi \alpha v \tau 0$ ，＇refused to entertain＇（the project，$\tau i \eta v$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi($ loorav ）：for use without object cf，II．70 § 2.

Kєфа入入ท̂бь, cf. $9+$ § r .
tplakooiors, this would give the usual proportion of 10 imi $\beta$ átal to each ship. The larger proportion of 40 to each vessel belongs to the earlier days of naval tactics, when victory was determined rather by weight of numbers than by skill of scamen: see Amold's note, and cf. vil. $62,67$.
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon к \alpha\left(\delta_{\epsilon} \alpha, c f .79\right.$ 2. Kriiger places the number at 13 .
 sheer indlifference, not having any personal interests at stake.
§ 3. Oivē̂vos, according to Leake, close to Naupactus (N. G. ir. 616), apparently a harbour ( 98 § 3) two days' march from Potidania ( $96 \$ 2$ ), east of Naupactus, and separated from it by the river Morno.
$\xi \dot{v} \mu \mu a \times o r$, in II. $9 \$ 2$ the Locrians are stated to be allies of Sparta. Thucydides may here be distinguishing the attitude of this particular section of the Locrians from that of the main body of the mation. Simeox.
€ $\delta \in \iota$, cf. II. 5 § I with Goodw. M. T. § 4 I5.
о́иó $\sigma \in$ vol, i.e. as light-armed, cf. 94 § 4 .
$\mu a ́ x \eta s$, 'warfare' ('militia'), cf. IV. 34 § 2 , Hdt. vir. 9 § 2.
$X \omega \rho \dot{i} \omega \nu$, sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \ell \nu \omega \nu$, the second article omitted by brachylogy.

## CHAPTER XCVI.

 march. He takes care to keep on the Locrian side of the Aetolian border.
 The small respect shewn for sacred precincts is scarcely consistent with the professions of $1 \mathrm{~V} \cdot 9^{8}$ § 2 .
$\tau \underline{~} i \in \rho \hat{\varphi}$, i.e. 'the precinct' ( $\tau \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \nu O s$ ).
'Hoiodos, the legend is that he was killed by the two sons of a Locrian host in revenge for an attempt upon their sister's chastity. The place of burial was kept secret: cf. Pausanias IX. 3r, Plut. Symp. ig. Pausanias however says $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~(n o t ~ \epsilon \nu \nu) ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~N} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \varphi$.

ข́mò $\tau \hat{\omega} v \tau \pi u ́ \tau \eta$, construe with $\dot{\alpha} \pi 0 \theta a \nu \epsilon i v$, cf. I. 9 § 2.
xp $\eta \sigma \theta \in \in v$, accusative absolute.
$\pi \alpha \theta \in i v$, the aorist is oracular ; the answer of the priestess was always given either in present or aorist, cf. Pindar, Pyth. Iv. 7. Poppo. Stahl refers the aorist to the notion 'praecipiendi' contained in $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \in \nu$ : 'Est. enim fato constituere ut aliquid fiat' (Madv. Adv. I. 176). Curtius, however (G. Et. II. 2.3), refers $\chi$ páw to 'ghar' (Greek $\chi \subset p$ ). Fick,
explaining the active as 'to take,' the midalle 'to take to,' interprets ex $\chi \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon$ as $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon i \lambda \epsilon$, with reference to the gratping of the 'sortes.' Thucydides, as in $11.17 \$ 2$ and $54 \$ 3$, makes mere passing allusion to the oracle in connexion with the legend. Its fulfiment he would treat as a mere coincidence.
 little way inland from Oencon, about 5 miles to the north-west, not far from Eupalium and Apollonia.

Kpoкú入єtov, cf. Leake 11. 618. Both this place and Teichium were probably inland fortresses in the valley of the Morno: the sites cannot be accurately determined.

Eủná入ıov, probably some little distance inland from Erythrae, its harbour, and facing the island Trisonia or Trazonia.

oüt $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, 'in that case,' almost $=\tau \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon$, used resmmptively after participle, the part. in itself implying a condition, єi кataatpé $\psi \in \epsilon \epsilon:$ cf. 1 N . 88 § I, vi. 6I § 4.
'Oфьovéas, cf. $9+\S 5$.
 marks that Thuc, begins the sentence without conceiving the addition
 to bring into close connexion the temporal clauses öt $\begin{gathered}\ldots \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \hat{\eta} \eta \\ \tau \epsilon\end{gathered}$ Classen explains as an adaptation of the familiar olitc... $\tau \epsilon$ sequence.
 v. 7 § 4 : indeed with an introductory negative, it is hard to see how it could be otherwise. Thuc. may have written oïтє i $\lambda a \dot{\nu} \nu \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$,
 In any case, the sense demands that the negation should terminate with the first temporal clause-for which reason oủbet commends itself, i.e. 'this design was no secret even in its first conception.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \beta$ ou $\bar{\epsilon} \dot{v} \epsilon \tau 0, \mathrm{sc} . \dot{\eta} \pi a \rho a \sigma \kappa \epsilon u \dot{\eta}$. There is no need to treat the construction as impersonal. For the transitive use cf. 20 § I
 instance in Thuc., although in 11. 77 § 3 we find $\pi 0 \lambda v x \epsilon i p i a$.
mpós, 'towards,' in the direction of: 'versus' non 'ad' (Poppo).
$B \omega \mu \iota \eta$ s, so called from $\beta \omega \mu o l$, a name given to some hills near the source of the Euenus (Leake 11. 623).

Kad $\lambda$ เท̂s, Pausanias speaks of a city called Callium. It was apparently situated not far S.W. of Hypate (in the territory of the Aenianes), the Callipolis of Livy xxxvi. 30.

## CHAPTER XCVII.

§ 1. Totóv $\delta \in \tau$, the enclitic suggests no uncertainty, but supplies the place of a corresponding verbal substantive: cf. VIII. 50 § I.

тò $\pi \rho \hat{\omega}$ тov, cf. $94 \S 3$. Steup destroys the colon after $\pi \rho \bar{\omega} \tau o v$.
ávaסtoáokovtes, Kriiger and Poppo both note the absence of any connecting particle, cf. $92 \S 3$. The use of the imperfect participle is suggestive of Aetolian persistency.
\#ws äv, see Goodw. M. T. § 613.
 promptu,' 'the first to hand,' 'first in his way.'

 11. 89 § 6, 111. 89 § 5 (Cl.).

ヘokpovis, cf. 95 §3.
 troops he had, cf. 98 § I (St.).

Aificiov, the capital of the Apodoti: its site is a matter of speculation (Leake II. 617).

катд̀ крáтоs, connect with aipeî, 'carried it by storm.'
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi t \omega \boldsymbol{t} \boldsymbol{v}$, 'upon attacking it,' i.e. at the first assault ( Cl .).
$X \omega \rho i \omega v$, rejected by Kriuger as a gloss, but retained by Poppo: Classen explains from $94 \S 4$, оiкои̂ע катà кйuas: for plural, cf. IV. 27 § 1.
§ 3. $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta \circ \eta \eta_{0} \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \epsilon$ ท̂б result. For the presentation of such a conception periphrasis is exactly fitted. The substantival verb ( $\epsilon \tau \nu a \iota$ or $\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ) may either follow the participle as a mere copula, or precede, either as an emphatic assertion, or as containing a predicate within itself. The difference between the artjective and participle in such periphrasis is that whereas the adjective merely points to the existence of the quality, the perfect participle has regard to its genesis' (Alexander, Am. J. Phil. נv. 291-308). For the expression $\beta$ on $\theta \epsilon i v \in \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$, cf. v. 65 § 4, I. 107 § 5.

ảró, Herwerden suggests кaтá.
é $\pi$ iol, for optative cf. 68 § 1: cf. the tactics followed at Pylos (IV. 32 § 4) with Tac. A. III. 21, 'ubi instaretur cedens ac rursus in terga remeans.'

тotaúт $\eta$, i.e. of alternate advance and retreat, cf. 78 § 4.
$\epsilon^{\prime} v$ ois, neuter, in spite of two feminine substantives, cf. vi. $72 \S+$. Poppo quotes Sallust, Cat. 5 , 'inopia et conscientia scelerum, quae utraque his artibus auxerat.'

## CHAPTER XCVIII.

§ 1. $\mu$ éxpl, with imperfect, 'so long as' (cf. 10 § 4), with aorist indic. 'until,' $\mathrm{IV}, 4$ § r : for $\mu$ é $\chi \rho 九$ and $\mu$ é $\chi$ pe oú see Goodw. M. T. § 619.
$\mu \varepsilon े v$ oûv, continuative.
тoگ́órat, i.e. the Cephallenians and Messenians.
$\tau \alpha \beta \dot{e} \lambda \eta$, the article is possessive.
aurois (sc. 'A0qualors), 'on their side.' But is not the position of the dative unnatural? It may however be an adaptation from colloçuial use: cf. Plato Theaet. 143 D.
oiof $\tau \epsilon$, 'in a condition tn,' i.e. 'had strength' to use them' (Cl.).
oi $\delta \epsilon \in$, resumptive, of lexing apodotic, not iterative-an Ionic use, cf. I. II § I.

ảv $\theta$ p $\omega \pi$ ть $\psi$ ᄂ $\lambda 0$ l, an adscript from § 2 (IIerw.).
àvєбтє́ $\lambda \lambda$ оуто, 'were held in check,' cf. vi. 70 § 3.
oûtot, the archers.
av́roi, the Athenians, the 'main-lody,' cf. 91 §3.
 It may however have crept in from repetition of last syllable of $\dot{\kappa} \kappa \in \kappa \mu \eta$ кєбад. For $\epsilon \pi i$ то入v́, temporal, cf. 97 § 3.
 cf. II. $3^{6}$ § I.
$\xi \cup v \in \chi o ́ \mu \in v o l$, stronger than suvóvtes, cf. 11. 49 § 5 : accoriling to Ileindorf (Plat. Soph. 236 E ), found sometimes in construction with iv; but the instances quoted in his note are harilly trustworthy.
oüт $\omega$ סń , Thucydides' usual mode of resuming the thread of a lengthy sentence, cf. I. 49 § 6.
'̇бтimrovtes, 'irruentes,' 'plunging into,' with further notion of entanglement.

Xapádpas, 'water-courses,' 'ravines,' the dry beds of winter torrents, cf. 112 § 6.
érúyxave $\tau \in \theta \nu \eta \kappa$ ẃs, for the auxilinry cf. 3 § 2 : the imperfect is at once more graphic and explicit than the aorist: on the absence of tense assimilation, see note on 83 § 3 .
§ 2. aúrov̂, 'ilico,' 'there and then,' cf. 8: § 3,112 § 5.

кard $\pi$ ó8as (Schol. ૬uvtoucs), 'e vestigin,' 'at their heels,' i.e. in hot pursuit.
$\pi \mathbf{\pi} \dot{\boldsymbol{\kappa} \epsilon เ \varsigma, ~ p o e t i c a l . ~}$
ápaptávovzas, present participle, as the error was repeater, 'as they kept missing the way. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
 enim a via aberrant dicuntur éкфє $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota$, , 'flocking into,' 'drifting into': cf. IV. I2 § I.

Súfoodol, a Platonic word, in sense of 'thoroughfare,' but questioned here by IIerwerden (Stud. Thuc. p. 4S) on the ground of the frequent confusion in MSS, of $\delta \iota a ́$ and $\epsilon \kappa$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho t \epsilon \pi i \mu \pi \rho a \sigma a v$, to the $\pi \epsilon \rho f$ of this compound is due the accusative
 is preparatory to the culminating aorist кат $\epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$.
§ 3. $\pi$ âбa iठ'́a, cf. 8 I § 5.
ö $\theta \in \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \rho, \pi \epsilon \rho$ emphasizes ö $\theta \epsilon \nu$, 'the very place from which,' cf. I. 74 § 1.
§ 4. $\pi \epsilon \rho\left(\ldots \mu \alpha \lambda^{\prime} \sigma \tau \alpha\right.$, a slight pleonasm, 'about 120 , at most.'
тoбoûtol $\mu \dot{\epsilon} v$, the repunctuation is due to Haase (Luc. 7): Her
 $\beta \notin \lambda \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \iota ~ \delta \dot{\eta}$ к.т.入. Hude, while explaining $\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa i a \dot{\eta}$ aút $\dot{\eta}$ as $\dot{o} \mu \dot{\eta} \lambda t \kappa \in s$, doubts whether Classen has not forced the meaning too far: from Iv. $95 \$ 3$, he proposes $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta$, 'aetatis praestantissimae.' The text will construe: 'in these men, so many in point of number (as I have stated) and at the same time stalwart fellows, was sacrificed the very finest hody of men (drawn) from the city of Athens lost within the present war.'
$\dot{\eta} \lambda_{\iota \kappa} i \alpha$, in collective sense $=\nu \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \tau \eta s$, cf. vi. $26 \S 2$.
$\dot{\eta}$ aúry', sc. oi aúrol, 'idem' not 'pares': for the attraction in agreement (i.e. of subject with predicate), cf. IV. 102 § 3. Arnold suggents that the popularity of Demosthenes may have attracted an unusually large number of young men of rank and position, the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta$ átal being usually drawn from the class of Thetes. The destruction of the full stop at $\delta \iota \epsilon \phi \theta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \sigma a \nu$ will restore $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu$ to the companionship of $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$.

Прок入 $\hat{\eta}^{s}$, cf. 9I § I.
§5. $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \phi \theta \eta$, he remained in this neighbourhood until the outbreak of the war with the Ambraciots, c. 105.

тois $\pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon \operatorname{vots}$, for the dative cf. 97 § 2.

## CHAPTER XCIX.

§ 1. $\pi є \rho \grave{\imath} \Sigma \kappa \kappa \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ iav, this fleet had heen despatched in the jear liefore, cf. 86 sis 4,5 : their head-quarters were at Rhegium, 88 § 4 .

Локрі $\delta a$, cf. 86 § 2.

Ttvl may either particularise or depreciate, e.g. 'in one particular descent upon the const,' which proved successful, or 'in one unimportant descent,' cf. èkrतोous tıs, $\beta$ oǹ $\theta \epsilon \iota a ́ ~ \tau t s$.
ékpátŋбav. 'Thuc.'s practice is to use кратєìv with accusative when combined with $\mu a ́ \chi \eta$ or $\mu a \chi b \mu \epsilon \nu$ ol (less frequently with such implication in context, cf. II. 39 § 2), but otherwise with genitive (Class. on 1. 108 § 5).
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi o ́ \lambda \iota o v$, not a фрои́piov $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \delta ́ \lambda \omega \nu$, but a mere 'castellum,' a fortress for the protection of the open country (Cl.): cf. our own erection of 'Martello towers.'
"A $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \boldsymbol{\eta} \kappa$ เ, according to Strabo the boundary between Rhegium and Locris, cf. 103 § 3.

## CHAPTER C.

§ 1. $\pi \rho о \pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi a v \tau \epsilon s \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o v$, for the pleonasm cf. I. 23 § 5. The feurl between Aetolia and Naupactus was one of old date; indleed one of the chicf objects of the present expedition was to protect the Messenians, old and faithful allies of Athens, from an enemy already soliciting Peloponnesian aid against them (see Armold's note, and cf. 94 § 3).

Bopıáסףv, Bo九d́ঠŋข (Herw.).
$\pi \epsilon$ (Өovaเv $̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon$, cf. 70 § 5 .
érayตyที้, i.c. the appeal already made to Athens by Naupactus, cf. 82 § I.
§ 2. $\tau \hat{\omega} v \xi \xi^{\nu} \mu \mu a ́ x \omega v$, with a wise economy of Spartan life and limb, (Poppo). The military despotism of Sparta retained in its own hands the disposal of even a purely allied force.
vєoктiбтov in reference to the mó入ıs: veoкатáбтatos in allusion to $\pi 0$ रital, cf. 93 § 2.
 mand,' $\epsilon i \quad \tau \iota$ aỉròs $\pi u ́ \sigma \chi o l\left(1 N, 3^{S}\right.$ § I). The event proved the wisdom of the precaution, cf. 108 § 1 . Three was a favourite number with the Spartans, cf. 92 § 5.

Meve $\delta$ álos, Doric for Meveoj̀ıos, 'foe-withstander.'
oi $\sum$ maptiàtaı, for articular apposition, cf. 25 §̊ r.

## CHAPTER CI.

 ìvs (eis), see Gildersleeve on Pindar, Pyth. 11. 11.
$\Delta \in \lambda$ фov́s, friendly to Sparta, cf. I. 112 § 5 .
є̇тєкприкєи́єто, 'made overtures to,' cf. 11. 64 § 4.
'A日चŋvai $\omega$ v, cf. 95 § 3 .
§ 2. 'A $A \phi \quad \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta}$ s, the town itself, according to Pausanias, was 120 stades from Delphi, though really only half that distance. The Satona of modern times, the nearest harbour being Lamaki. Sitrabo's statement that it was destroyed in the second sacred war is incorrect (I.eake, N. G. 11. 590).
 feud between Locris and Phocis dated from before the lersian war even: the apprehensions of the Amphissians were probably aroused by the suspicion that the Phocians would avail themselves of Athenian aid to clear off old scores. The Locrian encroachment, after the sacred war, upon the territory of Cirrha, caused Philip's intervention, and ultimately led to the campaign of Chaeroneia.
$\pi \rho \omega \bar{\tau} \boldsymbol{v}$. Poppo defends the vulgate: see his note on Vi. 3 § i. Later editors excise it, or correct to $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \iota$. The close proximity of the second $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau 0 \nu$ excites suspicion: but is correction necessary? Does not Plato write inclifferently ó $\pi \rho \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \mathrm{s}$ єitúv and ó $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ $\varepsilon i \pi \omega$ ćv? Steup insists upon an antithesis of persons.
$\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ oú $\nu$, the particles are not composite but disjunct, cf. Soph. O. T. 483, Antig. 65 : oîv is resumptive, $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ finding an answer in $\ddot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \tau \alpha$ $(=\delta \epsilon)$ : see Jebb's note on Ant. 65 , where we find no answering $\delta \hat{\delta}$, and cf. the Aeschylean use of $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oiv. For a similar collocation, cf. iv. 104 § 4 .

Muovéas, near the head of the valley of Amphissa, so that any force on the march from Amphissa to Naupactus must pass through their territory.
$\delta v \sigma \epsilon \sigma \beta\rangle \lambda \omega \tau \sigma \sigma$, the superlative retains the inflection of the positive: cf. a like use of the comparative, 89 § 5 .

ย̇тєtтa, the equivalent of $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$, as frequently in Thucydides, though sometimes reinforced by it.
'İvéas, more or less unknown.
 F. Niese, in Hermes Xiv. (1879) rewrites in the form Metatious from Métata: but the Aetolian Metapa lay on the banks of the great lake of Trichonium, see Leake 1. 127.

Tpiratéas, an ethnic form Tpireús is found in Hdt. vill. 33: the name occurs in Phocis as well as Locris.

Xadaious, Pliny, N. H. Iv. $3 \S 7$, speaks of a harbour Chalaeon

7 miles from the free town of Delphi：from Ptulemy the town is identified as Xa入eús．

To入oфwvious，Tolophon，next to liupalium the most important city on the Locrian coast，probably occupied the valley of Kiscli（Leake， N．G．II．620）．
${ }^{'} H \sigma \sigma$ ious，the name of the town was＇H $H \sigma$ ós，but its site remains a matter of conjecture．

Oiav日éas，perhaps the modern Galaxidi．
＇Oגmaiol，not the Olpae or Olpa of 105 ：the name was given to a pass or commanding height，possibly Pendornia．
＇Yaiol，unknown．
חó $\lambda$ เv perhaps occupied the site of Karútes，and commanded the pass leading from Amphissa to the supposed site of IIyle（Leake 11．620）．
$\pi \rho i v$ єì $\quad v$ ，for this use of $\pi \rho i \nu$ with historic tenses preceding and nearly invariably with negative，see Goodiv．M．T．SS $634,635$.

## CHAPTER CII．

§ 1．кaré $\theta \in \tau 0, \mathrm{cf} .28$ § 2.
Kutiviov，cf． 95 § 1.
Oivє $\omega v a$ ，cf． 95 § 3 ．
Eưtádiov，cf．g ${ }^{6}$ § 2．Oeneon and Eupalium should in puint of order change places：a similar inversion occurs in 29 § 1，vini．S8 and 108 § 1.
 of Naupactus，they together with the Locrians who liad already come to their aid＇：cf．Poppo on 68 § 4．Kriiger rightly explains $\gamma \in \nu$ ómevol with reference to oi $\mu \in \tau \dot{a}$ Eujpu入óqov．The kal sequence is harsh，but may be illustrated from vini． 23 §＋．Steup，Qu．Th．so，suggests aútoi kai oi．

モ́Eñouv，imperfect of process．
єì ov ，aorist of result．
Modúkpєьov（Diod．Mo入uкрíav），cf．11． 8 \＆\＆，really a Lucrian town， although assigned to Aetolia by Stephanus Byz．
aipoṽat，cf．Diod．XiI．60：his details are inaccurate．
 loss of $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma o ́ s$ or ä $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ ．
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \bar{\eta} s$ Aitchias，$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa$ sugrests at once the scene and surrce of his disaster，cf．Iv．8ı § 2.

Naúтактоv，cf． $9^{\text {S S S } 5 .}$
 uses either structure.
$X^{a} \lambda \epsilon \pi \hat{\omega} s$, the abruptness of the sentence suggests to Herwerden the


§ 4. $\epsilon \pi i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} v \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} v$, supplied by the Acarnanians: Demosthenes' own fleet had returned to Athens ( 98 § 5 ) : the ships mentioned in 105 did not come up until later. Steup suggents $\dot{\pi} \pi i \quad \tau \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \omega ̂ \nu$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho เ \epsilon \pi$ ingaav, 'secured (saved) the place': an Ionic use: cf. it. 25 § 2.

Sttvòv ráp, the danger was that the place might fall before help came, cf. IV. 75 § I.
 coordination of participle in agreement with impersonal use.

 was some 7 miles from the sea, close to the river Euenus: Pleuron, according to Dicaearchus, lay between the Achelous and Calydon, near Mesolonghi (cf. Leake, N. G. I. 109, II 7, III. 536).
 119), the Pylene of Homer, Il. II. 639.
§ 6. $\pi \epsilon$ iӨ
ท่тєเคผтเкóv, cf. 94 § 3,95 § I.
$\xi \dot{v} \mu \mu a X o v$ кäє $\sigma$ тท' $\xi \in$, i.e. would be secured to the Lacedaemonian alliance: a frequent use of кäiбтaбөal with predicative adjective, of. 39 § 8.
§ 7. dфєis, 'dismissed,' cf. vili. 87 § 3 .
$\mathrm{X}^{\omega}$ povs, another word from the Ionic rocabulary.
"' $\omega$ s $\delta$ 'or, 'until the time came to go to the aid of the Ambraciots in the neighbourhood of Argos, upon their taking the field.' The point of time, as Classen justly remarks, is marked by the aorist: for the facts cf. $105 \S$ 1. 'The optative with écos is rare' (says Gildersleeve), 'and usually found with aorist only.' Apparently no instance of ëws in the sense of 'while,' 'so long as,' can be found with the present optative after an historic tense. In Plato, Theaet. I 55 A , the present optative is required by general rules of dependence. In the Attic éws $a ̈ \nu$ with optative, ăy appears retained from the uriginal subjunctive construction (cf. Am. J. P. IV. 4i8).
$\pi \epsilon p l$ tò "Apyos, to be construed with $\beta$ 湖tiv ; although Poppo leaves the question open.

## CHAPTER CIII．


$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda$ Oóvtes，Kriuger and Classen，to avoid the difficulty of connecting $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \beta a \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ ，take $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \dot{\partial} \nu \tau \epsilon \in$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime}$＂I $\nu \eta \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ；Hude，on the plea $^{\text {lea }}$ of remoteness，－a sound objection，－suggests $\dot{\epsilon} \xi \in \lambda \theta o ́ \nu T \epsilon s$（cf．v． 54 § 3）．
${ }^{\text {＇E E }}$ 入 $\eta_{\eta} \nu \omega \nu$ ，i．e．Siceliots，cf． 86 § 2．Sice Rutherford，New I＇hryn． p． 21.

ката̀ кра́тоs，＇harshly，＇＇with a high hand＇；cf．$\chi \alpha \lambda \in \pi \hat{\omega} s, 93 \S 3$ ； i$\beta \rho \epsilon \iota, 84$ § t ．
apxó $\mu \in v o l$ kal ővтєs，either we have an＇ordo praeposterus，＇in lieu
 limitation（cf．Goodw．M．T． $8+2$ ），＇who being harshly ruled and having， although allies，revolted，were now fighting on the side of Athens．＇The objection to this interpretation is that we luse the coordination of the two imperfect participles áp $\quad$ ó $\mu \in \nu o \iota$ ，övтєs．Sce vir． 88.
aimò $\Sigma v p a \kappa o \sigma i \omega v$ Herwerden，possibly with justification，clits out of the text．But what if Thuc．wrote ḋmootávtes és aùtoús？cf．vilit． 90 § I ．
 то⿱宀тои ка入оу $\mu \in \nu \eta \nu$＂I $\nu \eta \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu$ ．According to Strabo，its site was close to Catana：it remained faithful to Syracuse，and for its fidelity suffered much at the hands of Athens（vi．g6）．For the foundation of the new city（Aetna），cf．Pindar Pyth．1． 3 I．

тò $\mathrm{\Sigma}$ เкє $\lambda \iota$ кóv，i．e．＇the stronghold of the Sicels su－called＇：on this practice of the Syracusans occupying the citadels of Sicel towns，Arnold compares Vi． 88 § 5 ．
§ 2．＇AӨquaiwv depends on vंबrépors，cf． 49 § 4 ．
$\tau \in เ \chi \ell \sigma \mu a \tau \circ s$, i．e．the Acropolis．
$\mu$ е́pos ть，＇bona pars．＇
§ 3．$\Lambda a ́ \chi \eta$ s，cf． 90 § 2.
tivás，＇some few，＇＇several．＇
kará，marking the point of landing on this particular uccavion，＇at the mouth of＇－at the point where the river joins the sea．The words кarà $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mathrm{K} \alpha \ldots \hat{\imath} \nu o \nu$ we must connect with éкра́т $\eta \sigma a \nu$ ．Several descents were made，but one only is particularised．The river itself，the very name of which is in doubt，e．g．Kaprivos（Herw．），Kamivos，is by some identified with Halex：if so，it may have been a tributary of the

Halex. It is probably a separate stream some 5 miles east of the Halex. None of the rivers in this region are navigatbe, and probably never were (in spite of l'liny's statement to the contrary), being mere mountain torrents.

## CHAPTER CIV.

In Philologus for $18+6$, G. Ifermann proposes to make imputamt excisions in this chapter. The description of the ${ }^{2} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ and the lengthy quotations he holds to be foreign to the style of Thucydides. Again, the very variants in text from the received version of Ilomeric hymms wouk suggest the hand of the amotator, and may be due to some such improving criticism as inspired the writer of chap. $S_{4}$. The quotations may, however, be from memory. See also Baumeister's retort (Ilom. IIymin 113), and Nitzsch (Sagenpoesie des (ir. 309).
§ 1. Éxóضppav, according to Diod. xir. 58 , in gratiturle to the healing god for staying the plague; the suggestion was probably due to Nicias (cf. vir. 50 § 4).
$\delta \eta$, not ironical, but explanatory.
Пeєбiбтратоs, cf. Hdt. I. 64, Thuc. I. 8 § г.


§2. $\theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha$, , coffins,' cf. 1. 8 § I : no connecting particle is needed, tot $\hat{\varphi} \hat{\delta} \epsilon$ lhaving already made sufficient preparation for the explanation: cf. I. $\S_{9}$ § 1 , III. 20 § 3. For the attraction, cf. Thompson, Gr. Syn. § 75.
$\pi \rho \circ$ eitov, of public or formal notice: cf. I. 45 . 3 . A similar law was in force at Epidaurus.
évriktetv, cf. Aristoph. Lys. $7+3$, Ranae ioSo, and especially the well-known Pythagorean fragment of Euripides 476.
${ }^{\text {'P }}$ グvelav, at the present day larger than Delos, diatant some 900 yards; according to Strabo, 4 stades.

Поגขкра́тทs, cf. I. Із § 6.
i$\sigma$ Xv́ $\sigma a s$, not the ingressive use, which is almost confined to the first aorist (Class, on I. 3 § 2), 'he had for a time a powerful flcet.'
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon$, symbolical of a bond inviolable (Curtius).
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon \tau \eta \mathrm{i}$ (8a, Boeckh (II. 82) fixes the date on the 6 th or 7 th of Thargelion, the birthdays of Apollo and Artemis. But can this be reconciled with Thucydides' account?
$\tau \alpha$ ' $\Delta \eta$ ŋ̀ıı, Herwerden excises: 'Byzantinis utile additamentum, Graecis non item.'
§ 3. kal тc̀ тádaı, inserted, says Cobet, from § 6.

$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa т$ oov $\omega v$ ，i．e．the inhalitants of the neighbouring Cyclades． Curtius，（8．let．wol．I．नy，derives the word from rout кT\＆，cf．ктigetv， ктlбıs，кта́ода兀．
＇êcwipouv，here simply＝＇spectatum venichant，＇lut clewhere in
 At Ahens a Oewpia sats an expensive matter，the cont being greater even than that of a rpenpapxia：see Arist．Eth．W． 2 § 11 ．In some states the Ocwpoi were a permanent body，e．g．at－Jegina，Mesocnc， Thasos：compare the Spartan P＇ythii（Muller，Durians 11．1ほ）．
 on Hdt．II． r $_{4} 8$ ．

 ryuдıкои́s．
 the worls of the Psalmist＇for thither the tribes go up＇：is the itca that of a raised $\theta \nu \mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta$ ？
 has been raised whether the hymn was a prelule to the pajquial，or whether the hymm was in itself a rhapsody：cf．the technical use of àvapoly，Arist．Rhet．III． 9 \＆6，Aristoph．Fax $\$_{31}$ ．The hymn here quoted is traditionally ascribed to Cynacthus of Chos．The repurel author of the ancient hymm in praise of Arge and Opis，in whose nane the Delian women sought contributions，was，according to IIerodotus， one Olen，a Lycian．I＇ausanias also says that the hymns of Olen were more ancient than even those of Pamphos and Orpheus．They were written in hexameters（see kawlinson on IIdt．IN． 3 j ）．

The middle portion of the chapter，from ss $4-6$ ，IIermann cuts out entirely，resuming the narrative agrain with the words iotepoy it．
＇̇T＇$\rho \phi \theta \eta \mathrm{s}$ ，a gnomic aorist．
 analogy of $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon i \rho \omega, \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon \rho \omega$（cf．Curt．G．Et．§ 50ヶ）．
ajutáv，here in collective sense as＇urbs＇：cf．àว，vect＇s，àうcuàts，of the god of ways（Pind．Pyth．XI．I，Aesch．Ag．1047）．
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \in v o t$, ＇making mention，＇＇speaking thy praise，＇a common poetic use：cf．Pind．Nem．Vit．so，not unknown in Thuc．，cf．Vili． 47 名2． Krüger explains as＇memores，＇sc．＇tui cultus．＇
 ＇to institute，＇＇appoint＇：cf．the like idea in Xopoy iotcivat．
áyŵva，＇fextival，＇lit．＇gathering＇：cf．Hom．Il．Xvili．3ヶ6．Hermann regards $\kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon \epsilon \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ as a mere gloss on $\sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\sigma} \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ．
 cf． 59 § 4 ，for＇́s 5 I § 3 ．
i入ŋ́кol，from injinc，a form usually found in optative only：cf．Ofl． xxi． 365.
tis $\delta$ é，$\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ postpositum，according to poctic usagre ：cf．Acsch．I＇rom． V． 1.
ű $\mu \mu \iota v$ ，dativus iudicantis．
$\tau \epsilon \in$, cf．Hom，gen．plur．$\tau \epsilon \in \omega \nu$ ，and Attic dat，$\tau \hat{\omega}$ ．
v́ $\mu$ єîs $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ ，apodotic $\delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ ．

тиф $\lambda_{0}$ ，confirming the tradition．
§ 6．Tooav̂ra，adverhial，＇at such length＇：in connexion with
 csamony，but from Thucydidean usage it can equally well represent ＇so far，and so far only．＇

Xopoús，cf．Xen．Mem．III． 3 § 12.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ，i．e．the＇surroundings＇：the solemnities with which the festival was invested．

кai，either intensive＇by far the greater part，＇or to distinguish the competitive element from the ceremonial itself．
ímó，＇prae，＇＇ob，＇not of direct agency：cf．Thompson，G．S．§ 278.
то́te，it was a revival of the old festival of the Delian amphictyony．
o，with reference to preceding clause，and including both $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \boldsymbol{\omega}$ ancl $i \pi \pi о \delta р о \mu i \alpha$ ．

## CHAPTER CV．

For the narrative，cf．Diod．xir． 60.
§1．$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ vimo $\quad$ Х ${ }^{\prime} \mu \in v o l$ ．The stress of the sentence falls on the par－ ticiple：lit．＇as they had detained his force on the strength of a promise，＇ i．e．in accordance with their promise to Eurylochus，on the strength of which they had induced him to stay．For this promise cf． $102 \$ 5$ ．
 to secure direct communication with Sicily（Marchant）．

тpıбXi入ioıs， 1000 only，says Diodorts．
＂O $\lambda \pi \alpha$ s，the name is found both in singular and plural form．Its site was probably on the Ambracian Gulf，about 3 miles N．W．of Amphilochian Argos，which is situated in a hilly country some 20 miles S．E．of the town of Ambracia．The Pelopomesians marched from

Proschium about 35 miles nearly in a straight line northwards．They found the country almost deserted，as the inhabitants had gone to the relief of Argos．On approaching Argos，they struck into the range of Thyamus，and descending by night into the plain between the two positions of the enemy at Argos and Crenae，effected a junction with the Ambraciots at Olpae on the other side of Argos（cf．Leake，N．G． 1v．244，and Jowett II．221）．
 verb with one object only expressed，the participle usually determines the agreement．
$\pi ⿰ 丿 ⺄ ⿱ ㇒ 日 勺 \in$, before the Peloponnesian war，cf．II． 68.
кoเv $\hat{\oplus}$ ，＇common，＇in what sense？to the petty states of Acarnania or to Acarnania and Amphilochia？Classen holds the latter view： Stahl agrees with Schömann in holding that the site of the oikaotnpoov
 $\nu$ áv $\omega \nu$ ，but it is strange（says St．）to find their national court of justice in Argive territory．Niese（ITermes xiv．）from Steph．Byz．proposes

$\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma$ ias，either from its nearness to the great marsh or lagoon （Leake），or from the neighbourhood of the Ambracian Gulf．
§ 2．oi $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots$ ．．oi $\delta \in ́$ ，partitive apposition．
$\xi u v \epsilon \beta \frac{\eta}{} \boldsymbol{\theta}$ ouv＇s，＇were throwing all their forces into Argos．＇The reinforcement was made $\pi \alpha \nu \delta \eta \mu \epsilon l$ ．
 be the principal subject，when the name of the country is appended，e．g． I． 108 §（Arnold）．

Kpŋivar，it commanded the southern approach ：the $\pi a \lambda a i d$ Audi of modern times（Herw．）．
 an instance the more remarkable from the fact of the accusative super－ seding a genitive，cf．v． 36 § 2 ．
§ 3．＇̇mi $\Delta \eta \mu \circ \sigma \theta \in ́ v \eta \nu($ not $\mu \epsilon \tau a ́),=$＇to fetch，＇not＇to find，＇as they knew that he was there．

बтрarךүทंनavra，no allusion to the expiration of the term of his command（Droysen），but to the incidents of the preceding summer．
ö $\pi \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，＇with a view to＇：the message（ $\pi \epsilon \mu \pi \sigma \cdot \sigma \iota)$ suggests a request （ $\delta$ єov $0 \downarrow$ ）．
elkorı vav̂s，the 30 ships originally sent had returned（cf．98）：was this some new squadron sent out？
＇Aptorove $\lambda \eta$ s，father of Charmides，one of the mutilators of the

Hermace (VI. $2 \%$ ), in after years one of the thirty (cf. Xen. Hell. III. I § 3).
§ 4. aiméartє
$\pi o ́ \lambda เ \nu$, Ambracia.
$\pi \alpha \nu \delta \eta \mu \in$, cf. 9 I § 4.
ทं $\mu a x \eta$ रév $\quad$ ral, 'they might have to fight' (lit. 'their fighting might be done'). The usual passive periphrasis for $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu \pi о t \in \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a$ : the article is possessive : no other force is necessary in the two instances quoted by Krüger, cf. IV. 91 § 2, V. 59 § 4.

## CHAPTER CVI.

§ 1. n̋न
rov's èv "Oגדals, 'when they found that the Ambraciot force at Olpae had arrived.'

Пробх ${ }^{\text {lov, cf. }}$ IO2 § 5 .
 for its course cf. II. 102 § 2.
'ep $\mu \mathrm{rov}$, i.e. 'nudae militibus,' cf. II. 8i § i : used as either of two or three terminations by Thucydides.
$\Sigma \tau p a \tau i \omega v$, cf. 1 I . So § 8. On the right bank of the Achelous, in the plain of the lake Trichonis, 200 stades by river from the sea. Livy Xliii. 21 gives an account of Perseus' attempt upon the place, b.c. ${ }_{17}{ }^{\circ}$ - 16 g . It is the modern Sourovigli, now a mere village (cf. Leake, N. G. I. 137).

т $\dot{v} v$ фpoupáv, lying close to the Aetolian border they could not venture to leave it without a garrison.
§ 2. Фutias, according to Steph. Byz. Фortias, so called from Toitoos, a reputed son of Alcmacon: the gentile name is Фotrtáv, cf. 'Aкариáv (cf. Leake, N. G. III. 575). Classen regards the $v$ as a modification of the Acarnanian -oc. Kiepert places it west of Stratus, and near Porta.
aṽoıs, 'deinde.'
Me $\epsilon \epsilon \omega \bar{\omega}$ os, north of Phytia; according to Leake (III. 575) near Katúna. By Livy written Medion: cf. Liv. xxxvi, if, not the Medeon of Liv. Xliv. 23. It was besieged by the Aetolians in 231 b.c. and surprised by Antiochus in 191 b.c.
$\pi a \rho$ ' ${ }^{\prime \sigma} \sigma^{\prime} \alpha \tau a$, 'skirting the border.'
$\Lambda$ upalas, in II. $80 \S 8$ described as $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \dot{\prime} \chi \iota \sigma$ os: placed by Kruse on the southern side of M. Thyamus: not to be confounded with the Thessalian town of the same name.
＇Aypaic $\omega$ ，of．11．102 $\$ 2$ ，seprarated from Limmaca by the range of

oúkéte，in lucal rense：of the like use in 11． $9^{6 \$ 3} 3$ aúrovópous rôô Krigger explains $\eta_{\eta}$ oinéte ${ }^{*} \nu$＇Arapuavias：see Kr．（i．（ir．47．6，9：with oinito we may in Thuc．expect bachylggy：e．g．vin．万o § 2.


## § 3．$\lambda \alpha \beta{ }^{\prime} \mu \in \nu 0$ l，cf． 24 § 2 ．

＇A $\begin{gathered}\text { ppaïóv，Muller＇s correction for árpoînov of Mas．．which mut lee a }\end{gathered}$ mistake，as ärpockos cannot $=$ ä $\gamma$ ptos．
 Thompson，G．Sy．§ 99.
$\pi р о \sigma \epsilon ́ \mu ル \xi a v, ~ ' j o i n e d, '$ cf．v． 58 § г．

## CHAPTER CVII．

§ 1．$\gamma \in v o ́ \mu \in v o l$ á日póot，i．e．äua $\gamma(\nu \dot{\mu} \mu \in \nu o u$ ，＇ul on effecting a junction＇ of Ambraciot and Peloponnesian forces．

Mŋтро́тодеь，which Lealic incentifies with Leggovitzi，was probably only a detached fortress near Olpae．

таîs єไкобь，cf．IO5 § 3．
 the ground that Thuc．only omits the substantive in the cave of tov ＇Iovoov，and even in this case not invariably：it is also retained in three of the best mss．ACG．Whether és finds its construction with 及unPoivtes （cf． $105 \$ 2$ ），or with $\pi$ apari ${ }^{2}$ voutal（an Iomism，cf．Iflt．I．18§），or with looth（as＝$\pi a \rho a, \beta o n \theta o i ̂ \sigma t$ ），is most doubtful：order would point to the second explanation．
＇A白vaicov，drawn，in all probability，from the garrison of Niau－ pactus．

S2．Tòv Xóфov is the explanation adiled hecause of the hill and the fortress bearing the same name ：（re shall we explain with Clarsen as $=\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \chi o s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \lambda o ́ \phi o u, ~ ' t h e ~ h i l l-f o r t r e s s ' ? ~ ? ~$

غ́фẃp
Bía кatéxovto，＇were furcil，ly detainer，＇i．e．prevented from stirring： Schol．̇̇ $\kappa \omega \lambda$ úovto（cf．II 4 sub fin．）．
$\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \in \rho \omega \nu$ ，the various divisions of the native force were to remain under the command of oi a $\pi \dot{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ mólechr äp $\chi o \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ．The ponition of Demonthenes has been comprated to that of Montrose or Charles Elward amongst the Highland clans：his supcriurity was recogninul，hut he had nos force to lack it（simeon）．
§ 3. mporayayóv, without ohject, of. vit. 3 § \& 2 (the nnly two

ws és $\mu$ áx $\eta \nu$, wis limits, and thus emphasizes, the purpose expmeseet in és $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \not \eta^{\nu}$ : see also Classen on I. 48 § I.

кai, $\mu \in i\}$ the form of the construction, cf. 万o § 3 : see Class. on I. 31 § 2 .

kará, marking the point-'ex ea parte qua' (Poppo).
av่rn̂, 'at the moment of the actual collision': cf. iv тporî, gS S. 2.
' $\xi$ gavaotávtes, 'arise' (from their place of ambush).
ката̀ vఱ́rov, the invariable Thucydidean formula, never кatà $\nu$ ढ̂tov.
ov̉rol, resumptive: Hude suggests oüt $\omega$, cf. $9^{6}$ § 2.
§ 4. тарєбкєúaбто, impersonal, cf. I. 46 § I.
o $\lambda$ ( $\gamma \omega \nu$, the sixty archers of § I.
tò ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda_{0}$, the centre and the left.
 separate place in the line of battle: they were arranged in their several contingents.

Ėteixov (might, with Vatican, be omitted: but cf. I. qs $^{\text {§ }} 3$ ), 'occupied,' 'extended over.'
avapig, the Ambraciots, as Corinthian colonists, and disciplined troops, would naturally serve the purpose of a wholesome leaven to these rude soldiers.

Mavtเvє́ $\omega v$, not mercenaries, as might be inferred from vill. 57 § 9 : cf. 109 § 2.

จบ๋тoเ $\delta$ é, epexegetic, cf. I. 26 § 5 .
akpov, predicative, 'not hokling the wing at the end,' i.e. 'the extreme point of the wing,' cf. infr. '̈́ $\sigma \chi a \tau o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon u ̉ \omega ́ v \nu \mu o \nu . ~$

кaтá, 'facing.'

## CHAPTER CVIII.

§ 1. ${ }^{\epsilon} v \chi^{\in} \rho \sigma \tau \nu, c f, 66$ § 2 .

'єкบклоขิvто, 'were on the point of outflanking.'
Ł̇ $\pi$ เүєvó $\mu \in \nu 0$ l, cf. 30 § 2.
©゙ $\sigma \tau \epsilon$, i.e. 'with such effect that.'
és áhкท̀v vimoutival, 'for resistance they made no stand,' cf. II. $8_{4}$ §3,v. 72 § t. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \cdots \dot{\eta}-$ 'defence,' cf. Diener, de Serm. Thuc. p. 12.
kal тò $\pi \lambda$＇tov，i．c．as well as Eurylochus＇division．
тò кат＇Eúpúdoxov，usually explained as representing oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Eúpú．入oxov（IV． 33 § 1），or oi $\mu \in \tau$ È Eúpu入óxov．But кará is not $\mu \in T a ́$（although liast states that in $13^{\text {th }}$ century MSS．the confusion of $\mu$ with $\kappa$ is frequent），nor is any Thucydidean parallel adduced．The words may signify＇Eurylochus＇portion of the line，＇leaving кazá to lear its usual local signification，as in 107 § 3 ．
 need not press the copulative force of $\kappa \alpha l$ ．
 sense．

таv́тท，on the right，cf． 107 § 4 ．
тo modì＇$\xi \underset{\eta}{2} \lambda$ Oov，＇bore the brunt of the battle＇：the case quoted from $\mathrm{I} .70 \S 7$ is not a good one，as the participle probably determines the structure ：for ésîh $\theta$ op with accus．cf．Soph．Trach．इof．
§ 2．тò кa日＇éautov́s，＇the force opposed to them＇：an accus．of direct object appears necessary with e $\pi \epsilon$ öics dence in favour of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \in \dot{\partial} i \omega_{\varsigma}^{\zeta} \alpha \nu$（even in V1， 102 § 3），that Haases

§ 3．$\dot{\omega} \mathrm{s}$ é $\omega \rho \omega \mathrm{L}$ ，$\dot{\omega}$ covers both clauses．
$\sigma \phi i \sigma \iota$ recalls the subject of the first clause，cf．I． $4+$ § I．

 vill． $84 \S 2$ ，with meaning of attacking．
＇s ó $\psi \dot{\epsilon}$ ，apart from the difficulty of the vulgate écos，the recurrence of the phrase in virl． 23 § 2 would suggest the present reaciing，cf． $78 \S+$ and 1.51 § 3 ：the battle lasted until the evening．

## CHAPTER CIX．


 temporal sense．
ámop $\hat{\nu} \boldsymbol{v}$ ，＇heing at his wit＇s end how to face a siege or save himself by retreat．＇
$\pi о \lambda \iota \rho к \eta \dot{\sigma} \epsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ，a＇deliberative future＇（Classen），i．c．a realised form of dependent question：for the passive cf． $52 \S \mathrm{I}$ ．
d $\pi$ окєк $\lambda$ qпévos，＇hemmed in as he was b th by land and sen，＇i．e． cut off from all communications．
 plural，$\lambda$ órous．
§2．тov̀s £́aut $\hat{v}$ ，wwo different explanations are suggested：（1）th treat $\tau$ res éauT $\hat{\nu} \nu$ as a complete substantival expression in itself，followed by participial epexegesis，＇their own（slain），about three hundred of whom hace fallen＇：or（2）to treat tol＇s amotaróvtas as the equivalent of a substantive ：of．the Homeric use． 300 appears a heavy loss for a victorious army，with the advantages of ambu－h in their favour．
 ently $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu l$ and $\pi \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \iota \nu a$ ．

दُk тоv̂ $\pi \rho \circ$ фavov̂s，cf． 43 § 2.
$\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \delta o v \tau a$, historic present as imperfect．The plural is suggested ly the compound sulject $\Delta \eta \mu \sigma \sigma \theta \in \nu \eta s \mu \in \tau \dot{a} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \check{\zeta} v \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \nu$ ，for which cf．the Latin use with＇cum，＇e．g．＇ipse dux cum principibus capiuntur＇： see Madv．Lat．Syn． 215 c ．Su rare is this construction in Greek that， with the exception of Xen．Hell．I．I \＆IO，the instances quoted are all from later writers；with the participle $\beta$ ounó $\mu \in \nu$ os the personality of Demosthenes once more asserts itself．
äpxovot，the chief officers．
öбol au่t $\hat{\omega}$ ，for the relative clause as representing object，cf．viri． $46 \$ 3$.
ajto入oүẃratot，the most important，noteworthy，i．e．the leading personages，cf．II．io § 3 ．
á $\pi \mathbf{x}{ }^{\omega} \omega \boldsymbol{\epsilon \epsilon i ̂ v , ~ e p e x e g e t i c ~ o f ~} \sigma \pi \epsilon \in \nu \delta o \nu \tau a t$.
$\psi \backslash \lambda \omega \sigma a \iota$（sc．$\mu, \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \sigma \iota), ~ ' t o ~ i s o l a t e . ' ~$
$\mu \sigma \theta \circ \phi$ ópov．．．$\xi \in \nu$ ско́v，the like combination is found in vill． 25 § 2 ， although Meincke（Iterm．3．366）finds a gloss in $\mu$ 温oфópov，Cobet and Herwerden in $\grave{\xi \nu} \boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o x }} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ ：which，however，will serve to distinguish the non－Peloponnesians．
öX $\lambda 0 \boldsymbol{v}$ ，a word applicable to any mixed or motley collection：here， ＇the food for powder＇of modern strategy，including the light－armed and baggage train；they were probably Epirotes．

סıaßa入єiv és，＇to bring into discredit with，＇a confusion of ès and $\pi \rho j s$ ．The constrn．must not be confounded with the use of is with $\lambda_{0} \hat{\delta o p e i \nu}$ ，ócaßal $\lambda_{\epsilon \iota \nu}$ ，as marking the ground on which the aspersion is made，cf．vili． 88.

Xprís $\omega v$ ，the only instance of its use in Thuc．，perhaps an adscript．
кататpo8óvtes，the supplement of auroús is not necessary（cf．I． So § 4）．Steup places the comma after $\chi \rho \eta^{\prime} \zeta^{\prime} \omega \nu$ ．
$\pi \rho o u p \gamma L a i \tau \epsilon \rho o v$ ，for the comparative furmation cf．$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ aitepov．
§3．$\dot{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\nu} \pi \hat{\eta} \rho \chi \epsilon,=\dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ímap $\chi \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ，＇as means alluwed，＇ ＇as best they could．＇
 relative．


## CHAPTER CX．

§．1．áyy＇$\lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha$, histuric present：for parataxis with $\pi i \mu \pi \epsilon \ell$ ，cf． 1． 61 § 1 ．
$\tau \hat{\rho} \pi{ }^{\pi} \lambda_{\epsilon} \omega \mathrm{s}$ ，the capital（Ambracia）．
katá，＇in accordance with，＇i．e．＇in response to，＇cf．10：
$\xi \nu \mu \mu i \xi \alpha \iota, ~ ' j o i n ' ; ~ c f . ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \mu \iota \xi \alpha \nu, 106$ § 3.
§ 2．kal，marking the sequel，＇thereupon．＇
трo入oxtoîvtas，＇to po－t amluscades in adrance，＇cf．H1． 8 I § 5：note the distributive agreement with collective noun．
đò kaptepá，＇the strong，commanding，positions，＇cf．It． 100 今̀ I．
及on $\theta \in i ̂ v$＇̇ $\pi$＇avirov́s，＇to take the field against them，＇i．c．to dispute


## CHAPTER CNI．

 verb is an impersonal pas－ive，not from $\sigma \pi \in \dot{v} \delta \omega$ ，but onévóoual，a ＇reciprocal＇middle（see Thompson，G．Syn．129 C）：for the dative cf． 109 § 2.
$\pi \rho o ́ \phi a \sigma เ v$, accusative in apposition with sentence；cf．VI． 3.3 § 2.
daxavoroov，the practice，alluded to by Aristophanes，Tliesmoph． $4^{6} 3$ ，is still common with the Greek and Allanian soldiery（Lealie． N．G．IV．248）．The country abounds in edible herbs and ronts， especially wild cabbage．
$\phi \rho u \gamma a ́ v \omega v$ gud more common term $\phi$ piraviouós：but would not Thucydides＇character－ istic love of change have led him to aroid the close proximity of two identical terminations？
v̇ாaாท̂бav，＇were stealing away．＇
кат＇ó $\lambda$ íyous，＇in small groups＇；in contrast，possibly，to the $\dot{a} \theta$ póor of § 2 ．
 though connected with the temporal participle，grammatically qualities the verb of the sentence（Goodw．M．T．§ 858）．
$\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \in \nu$ ，＇professedly，＇cf． 68 § I．
aitex ${ }^{\text {poove }}$ an inceptive imperfect, 'began to quicken their pace.'

 constitute a 'locus vexatissimus.' (I) The solitary $\mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ (to which we can scarcely, with lierbst, find an answer in oi ò 'Akapvâves) offencts most critics, so much an that Campe, Stahl, and others, believe it to
 though Campe's poncotievtes violates Thacyidean usage: on the other hand mev without $\delta \dot{c}$, for purposes of emphasis, is by no means so uncommon. (2) oites (for which Poppo proposes üptes) leaves much donbt as to its true meaning: does it refer to the previous context ("uti supma memomamus'), does it directly correspond to $\dot{\omega}$, or is it idimatic? (cf. Latin 'sic,' and sce Thompson on Plato, Gorgias $49+$ b.). (3) Can $\dot{a} \theta_{\text {foro }}$ be constructed with Exiz $\chi$ avov as constituting a complete predication without the addition of övtes? on the possibility of such omission of the substantival participle with rirגáv $\omega$, see Thompson on Plato, Phacdrus $26_{3}$ c, Stalllaum on Rep. $3^{\text {(in }}$ l, Jelbb on Soph. Elect. 4 6, Kutherford, New Plorynichus 342. The use, which first occurs in Homer, is established beyond doubt by poetic nsage, e.g. Soph. El. $f^{\text {fo }}$,
 In Plato, Ast has collected some ten instances, in most of which the paticiple is easily supplicet: but one at least, Hipp. Ma. 300 A $\dot{\eta} \dot{j}$ ôoù
 which Cobet approves), if construed with étirरavov, violates the Thucydidean canon : although in Thuc. we regularly find present or perfect participle with the imperfect of $\tau \mathfrak{\gamma} \chi^{a} \nu \omega$, one instance alone is forthcoming where the aorist is used, and even then the perfect precedes
 M. T. § if $\mathrm{f}^{6, \text { appealing to Prof. Wheeler's stati.tics (di.cussed loy }}$ Prof. Gildersleeve with his usual acuteness in A. J. Phil. Xir. 76), calls attention to this neglect of tense-identity between the finite verb and participle. But may it not be that the aorist here is 'shorthand for the perfect' (to quote Prof. Gillersleeve's own worls), and that 'the appropriateness of the arrist suggested the violation of a somewhat wooden rule'? The imperfect naturally lends itself to the imperfuets of the context, $\dot{v} \pi a \pi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu, \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \chi \dot{\omega} p o r \nu$, but if the difficulty really lies in the imperfect, why not at once correct the imperfect to aorist? After all, in the case of minuscules, what does the distinction between av anl or count for? Correction, if made at all, will have to be made 'contra fidem codicum.' The clause, with its restriction öбo九 $\mu$ év, will
thus either point to the survivors of the hard-fought $\dot{d} \nu \alpha \chi$ wopnots of $108 \$ 3$, or to the case of those Ambraciots and necrecnaries who had joined the Mantincans and Eurylochus' command in their quest for fuel and forage. In the one case we shall translate 'those who had succeeded, as I have said, in making their way into Olpae together (with the Ambraciots)'; in the other, 'those who chanced to have taken part (with the P'eloponnesians and Mantineans) in thus going out.'


The scanty details make it hard to sce in what way the secret arrangement was carried out. To ensure its success, the isolation of the Mantineans and Eurylochus' troops from the Ambraciots and mercenaries was essential. But does Thuc. mean us to understand that the I'elopomesians left the Ambraciots and mercenaries within the town, while they themselves went out upon this subterfuge? or did the Mantineans pretend to act as a covering party, while the Ambraciots and others gathered fuel and pot-herbs? The historian plainly says that the Mantineans did the foraging, but does this preclude a certain number of Ambraciots and $\xi \in \nu 0$ from taking part? The subsequent narrative points to a lutchery of almost defenceless men: how was it that these $\mu a \chi \iota \omega$ catol, who had actually cut their way through in the face of odds ( $108 \$ 3$ ), now made so feeble a resistance? On the treachery of Eurylochus to his brother Dorians, see Grote, Part II. Chap. 51.

Emendations are rife: Poppo proposes övtes for oili $\omega$ s, connecting
 aủroîs (a very rough and ready solution): Classen sees a lacuna after $\mu \epsilon ่ \nu$, and hints at the possibility of $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi a \nu o \nu$ concealing some passive or neuter verb of other meaning : or of some lost participle, $\mu \circ \nu \boldsymbol{o}^{\prime} \mu \in \nu$ or or $\mu \epsilon \mu \circ \nu \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu 0$, , lurking in $\mu \hat{t} \nu$. Stahl suggests $\mu \hat{\prime} \nu 0 \nu \tau \epsilon s$, which he connects with erior ${ }^{\text {avov }}$ : Hude sees a reference to those left in the fortress rather than to those who liad gone out, suggesting öfor $\mu \dot{\eta}$, and qoúrous (so also IIerwerden), while in $\dot{a} \theta$ póo the detects a suggestion of some word implying secrecy, and therefore proposes ă $\theta \rho o o l(=a ̈ \nu \in v \theta \rho o \hat{u}$ ), 'sine tumultu': but the word is a mere figment of the grammarians. The agreement of MSS. may perhaps point to the perpetuation of some ancient mis-reading. Does the $-\tau \omega$ s in oütcs conceal $\tau \epsilon \in \mathrm{s}$, ou-being a mere repetition of the previous -ov, or has an cs been lost in - ws, e.g.
 we in àtpoon some other word disguised? for further discusion see Appendix.


§ 3．＇Akapvâves，Demosthenes had let them into the secret（Cl）．
av่т $\omega v \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ o $\tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \bar{\omega} v$ ，＇of the generals even，＇marking，as Clamen suggests，the high pitch of excitement；the authority of a brigadier even could hardly restrain the rank and file．

Tis，singular for plural，as in the phrase $\ddot{\eta}$ Tis $\ddot{\eta}$ oioots；here， probably to avoid collision with $\tau$ wad ．The enclitic is explained by grammarians as used in a collective sense，as implying a plural（cf． Hadley，G．G．S（ g 0 y A），by Curtius，G．G．S $475 \cdot+$ A，referred to the German＇man＇：＇here and there one＇（Cl．）．The use of dंко⿰亻⿱亠䒑⿱⺊口灬七⿺辶 with accusative of external object is without parallel in Thue．
$\nu$ opioas，the singular emphasizes the want of combined action．
$\sigma \phi \bar{s}$ ，the individual is lost in the aggregate．
＇EkTєLvov，＇fell to slaying＇；the imperfect prepares us for the result attained in aorist $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon เ \nu a \nu$.
§ 4．tivás，cf． 68 § 3，Diod．Xif．6o，$\sigma \chi \in \delta o ̀ v ~ \pi a ́ v t a s ~(a ~ g r o s s ~$ exaggeration）．
＇A $\mathrm{Apat} \mathrm{\delta a}$ ，cf． 106 § 2，Leake，N．G．IV． 352.

## CHAPTER CXII．


＇I $\delta$ o $\mu \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu$ ，the site cannot be determined with accuracy：see，how－ ever，Arnold＇s note，and Leake，N．G．IV．249， 250 ．By securing the southern summit，Demosthenes obtained a post which both protected his advance and secured the retreat，in case of ill success，as well of the division which he led through Makrinoro as of that which marched through the mountains to the right．
＇GTóv，in agreement with predicate，cf．IV． 102 § 3 ．
$\pi \rho \circ a \pi о \sigma \tau a \lambda \in \epsilon \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ，cf． 110 § 2 ：for the pleonasm with $\dot{a} \pi \sigma^{\prime}, \mathrm{cf}$ ．I． 23 §5．

тоиิ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \circ \pi$＇́סov，＇the main body．＇
 $\lambda a \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon s$ ，＇they had forestalled（the enemy）in occupying without detection，＇cf．IV． 127 § 2.

Tòv $\delta^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\lambda} \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \sigma \sigma \omega$ ，the construction of $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \beta a i \nu \in \iota \nu$ with accusative is not recognised by Thucydides：Poppo would correct to és tòv $\hat{o}^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ ， assuming the loss of és from final syllable of кata入aßóvtes．Kriiger quotes one instance from Plato，Phaed．II3 D．
§ 2. $\delta \in เ \pi v \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma a s$, after the evening meal.
 given to the commander, cf. viti. $G_{3}$ \& 1. For the Latin use, see Mads. Synt. § 2 I 4.
to ä $\lambda \lambda$ o, i.e. his own force, which he had liept in reserve, consinting of 200 Niessenians and the Amphitochians: the Acarnanians were engaged in pursuing the Ambraciots ( 110 § 2 , III $\$ 3$ ).
dं $\pi$ ò é $\sigma \pi \epsilon \in \rho a s$, 'at fall of night,' cf. vil. 29 § 2.

§ 3. öp $\rho \rho \varphi$, 'break of day;' the Latin 'quartac vigiliae,' accorling
 attack at the first glimpse of dawn, cf. IV. IIo § I.

ETL ( sc . ov̉ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ).
èv rais єv่vais, 'while still in their bivouacs'; cf. Tac. A. I. =o, and note the coordination of prepositional and participial forms of predication.
 has collected instances in his note on Arist. I'uet. I $+=0$ a 30 : cf. Plato, Apol. 30 D.

§ 4. $̇ \pi i \tau \eta \delta \epsilon s$ (Sch. $̇ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \mu \mu \epsilon \nu \omega s)$, 'purposely.'
«робаүорєv́єเv, 'challenge,' Lat. 'compellare.'


тíбтเv $\pi$ apeXo $\mu$ évous, 'inspiring confidence,' i.e. by the Durian dialect.
$\tau \hat{n}$ ö $\psi \epsilon \iota$, 'not distinguishable by sight,' a suppressed contrast to $\tau \hat{\eta}$ גंкой, cf. 38 § 4 .

 see Krüg. G. G. 58. 43.
aúrov̄= 'ilico,' 'there and then.' See 98 § 2, n.
$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha^{2}$ ö ő $\eta$, 'scattering over the mountains.'
§ 6. трокатєі $\lambda \eta \mu \mu \hat{\varepsilon} v \omega \nu$, the perfect marks the recent character of the occupation, cf. rio § 2.
$\pi \rho o{ }^{\circ}$ ó $\pi \lambda$ (tas, 'encountering heavy-armed troops.' $\pi \rho$ ós is primarily here used of contrast.

ö $\pi \eta$ трám $\omega v \tau a \mathrm{l}$, an adaptation of the deliberative suljunctive, in $10 y$ § 1 still more strongly realised loy future indicative.
 ment with a subject expresed in genitive abmolute, of. 55 § 1 .
§ 7. $\pi \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ i $\delta \in \in \alpha v$, cf. 98 § 3 .
 lit. 'at the time of the wecurrence of this engagement': for sevoriaco (coincilence), cf. I. 33 § I ; for éprov in sense of 'battle,' cf. I. 105 s. ill. 108 § I.

тเvés constitutes a partitive apposition with $\chi$ wipgravres, cf. I. 49
 absulute, of. $1 \mathrm{~V} .10 \$ \$ 4$, Viri. $10+\$ 4$ : for the orler of the sentence cf. v. ro § io.
$\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta \in \hat{\imath}$, 'if needs must,' i.e. if inevitable, cf. II. $7+\S$ I.
$\beta a \rho \beta a ́ p \omega v$, here in agreement with 'A $\mu \phi\left\langle{ }^{\prime} \chi \bar{\chi} \nu\right.$. The Amphilochians were a mixed race, probally l'elascrian (Arnold), cf. if. 68 \& 5

ó $\lambda$ íyot ámò $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$, 'a handful of a heist' (few out of many), of. 1. IIO§I.
 $\pi \epsilon \pi \tau \omega \kappa о ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma$.

## CHAPTER CXIII.

§ 1. aútois, for the dative cf. I. I3 § 3, Plato, I'rotag. $3 \geq 1$ C. és'A Apaious, cf. III §4.
ék $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ " $\mathrm{O} \lambda \pi \eta \mathrm{s}$, following instead of preceding the attributive participle, катафиyóvт $\omega$ : cf. Classen on I, II § 3.

т $\boldsymbol{\nu} \nu \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \bar{\nu} \nu$ oüs ȧtéктєเvav, 'the bodies of those whom they had slain' (i.e. '̇кeivev oi's): as in I. 50 \& 1, there is no assimilation by attraction. Herwerden remarks 'veкроi's àmоктєivelv nemo potest,' suggesting either the excision of $\nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$, reading $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu$ ois $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \kappa \tau \epsilon \omega$ a (a desperate remedy), or simply $\dot{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \kappa \tau \epsilon \nu a \nu$, omitting $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \kappa p \hat{\omega} \nu$ absolutely (Stud. Th. 49).
$\pi \rho \omega ́ т \eta s$, not $\pi \rho o t \epsilon ́ p a s$, as there had been three encounters ( Cl .).
vimoonóv$\delta \omega \nu$, i.e. oîs $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \sigma \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \tau 0$, III § I. Kriger explains rai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 69. 32. 2).
$\xi \nu \nu \in \xi \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$, 'tried to escape with.'
 'mirari.'

тò $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta_{0}$ os, i.e. the disastrous affair at Idomene.
$\sigma \phi \omega \nu$, the к $\hat{\rho} \nu v_{亏}$ identifies himself with his comrades, as one of a
number only; cf. infra § 4 , $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (sc. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ is 'A $\begin{aligned} & \text { ppaious кata- }\end{aligned}$ $\phi \quad$ रóvt $\omega \nu$ ).

єival, sc. $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ö $\pi \lambda \alpha$.
§ 3. Oaupá\}ol... $\tau \in \theta$ vâ $\sigma$ เv, for the modal enallage there appears no need whatever, although the optative we can explain as subjective, the indicative as the mood of fact : for change from sulj. to optative cf. 22 § 8. Naber, on the analogy of 35 § I , would read ö tı Uarpájo $\dot{\delta} \pi \delta \sigma \sigma \circ$ aúr $\hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \theta \nu a ̂ \sigma t \nu$ (eliminating kal).
oió $\mu \in \nu=s$ a $\hat{\dot{v}} \dot{\delta} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \omega \bar{\nu}$, the atdition of the article, for the sake of more exact specification, lends to a mere apposition almost the furce of an alsolute construction; lit. 'thinking on his part, he the questioner': the article is practically resumptive, see Monro, II. G. § 26 万.
 $34 \S 5$ тoùs $\epsilon \mathrm{c} \nu \mathrm{Mapa} \mathrm{\theta} \mathrm{\omega} \nu \iota$.
$\mu$ á入ı $\sigma \tau a$, cf. 109 § 2.
§ 4. oükouv $\tau \alpha$ ö ö $\lambda \alpha$ тauti, some word appears necessary to the completion of the sentence, more enpecially in contrast to the $\dot{\alpha} \ \backslash \alpha$ clause. Krïger suggests $\sigma^{\prime}=\delta \partial \alpha o \sigma i \omega \nu$ : may not éceivel have dropt out after oukous? 'then, those arms here are evidently not theirs.'
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{o v}$ (not $\pi \lambda$ eiv as Herwerden suggests), see Meisterhans, $1.1 \geq 0$, Stahl, Qu. Gr. 16.
$\mu \alpha \chi о \mu \epsilon ์ \nu \omega \nu$, imperfect participle.
$\epsilon$ 'тєє $\gamma \epsilon$, 'yes, if indeed.'
$\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime} \ldots \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}^{\prime}$, the first $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ in reply to the worls of the previous speaker, the second introducing the antithesis; in both cases the particle is adversative, but adversative in different relations.



кai $\mu \grave{\mu} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$, lit. 'and indeed then.' ö̀ strengthens $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu$, thus giving the combination the force of кai $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$, 'all I can tell you is that': cf. the Aeschylean use of $\gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad \delta \dot{\eta}$ as $=\gamma \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta} \nu($ i.e. ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ ), see Kriug. (i. G. $6 g$. 35. 1. The combination is frequent as representing rai $\mu \mathrm{i} \nu$ in all its various meanings, vid. Klotz de Part. II. 401.
§ 5. $\beta \circ \eta \eta_{\text {ela }}$, collective.
StéфOaprat, the indicative realises the hopeless certainty of the case ( Cl .).
$\tau \hat{\omega} \mu \in \gamma^{\prime} \theta \in \mathrm{\epsilon}$, cf. Tac. A. 11I. 3, 'magnitudinem mali perferre non toleravit.'
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi a \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu$, i.e. with which he was confronted, brought face to face.
äтpaктos. 'without doing his errand' (Jowett), lit. 'inn-pual.'
 for the dead.'
\$6. $\pi$ di 0 os $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ routo, the orler of the worls is noticeable, 'as a disather' (i.e. in proint of disaster), 'this, as the experience of any one state within the like number of days, was the very direst of all that occurred in the course of the present war.'
$\mu \mathrm{a} \hat{\alpha} \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon t$, the dative is not only a dative of relation (incommoti), but of restriction also.
 restrict to the 10 years' war only.
toals, three days.
 comments, see VII. 29 §5, $30 \S 4$.


ätıotov $\tau$ ò $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta_{0}$, the predicative position of the adjective suggents

 (Iferw.). (irote entimates the total loss at Gooo, lut his calculation is based on simply arbitrary assumptions, e.g. that the proportion of suits of armour allotted to Demosthenes was one-sixth only of that allotted to the state of Athens (cf. Grote, chap' 51). The fact remains, however, that the denuled state of Amhacia called for the prompt despatch of 300 Corinthian hoplites for its protection (cf. II4).
ws $\pi$ pós, 'as compared with,' i.e. in proportion to.
${ }^{\prime} A \mu \pi \rho \alpha к i \alpha v$, the country for the people: c饣. Tac. A. II. 25 , 'exscindit non ausum congredi hostem' (see Shill. on Th. 1. 107 § 2).
' $\xi \in \epsilon \in \epsilon i v$, 'expugnare,' 'destroy,' cf. vili. 46 § 3 .
$\nu \hat{v} \boldsymbol{v}$ ©́, the usual mode of contrasting fact with assumption: cf. 43 § 5.
$\chi^{\alpha}$. $\epsilon \pi \omega$ ஸ́тєpot, 'difficiliores,' more troublesome, difficult to deal with, cf. 42 § 3 .
$\pi$ áporkol $\hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$, the one instance in Thuc. of this adjectival form тápotкоs. $93 \S 2$ gives the true reading $\pi a \rho о \kappa \kappa \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$.

Diodorus, xil. 6o, confirms the account here given of the crushing losses experienced by the Ambraciots, and the suspicions entertained of the Athenians.

## CHAPTER CXIV．

§1．katì rás mó $\begin{gathered}\text { ets，Cobet would cut out the anti－le on the analogy }\end{gathered}$ of adverbial phrases．
$\pi \lambda$ éovra，＇on the voyare＇：for this use of $\pi \lambda \in \hat{i}$ in connexion with inanimate things，cf． 51 § 2.
éd $\lambda \omega$ ，in what way Thuc．does not record：the episude he probably regarded as unimportant（Cl．）．

＇$\xi$ npét $\quad$ gav，＇were specially asigned＇：the plural is expluined by Shhl and Clasen liy acommorlation to the apposition（tpraxiolat ravo－ $\pi$ N（ac），just as in 112 \＆ 1 we have an adaptation to the predicate：bit Thuc．has some few instances of plural verb with neuter plural sulject． To me it seems that the notion of selection，picking wit one by one， sugsents the distributive plural，and that tpaкóoぃa тaromiat represents an apposition pure and simple．
 Demosthenes was left in voluntary exile in $98 \$ 5$ ．
á $\mu a$ ，somewhat otiose at first sight，but really calling altention to an addition of special importance：of．Gr § 1 ，and see（ lassen on 1.2 § 2 ， who compares its effect to that of ä $\lambda$ hws $\tau \epsilon$ kai：but this explanation applies more particularly to its use with participles．
$\tau \eta{ }^{2} v \tau \eta$ Aitwhias，the genitive may be explained as a genitive of definition，the position giving it the force of an adjective，sc．Tinv Aitw－ Xexiv．But the Mss，at least（Laur．Pal．）have tìv $\dot{\xi} \xi$ Airwlias（cf． 102 S．3），which appears confirmed by the substitution of $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu$ for $\varepsilon \kappa$ in the inferior mss．（Hude，Com．Crit．p．IIS）．
ámó，causal as in $\sigma_{\downarrow} \S 2$ ．
$\pi \rho a \xi \iota s$, ＇success＇（cf．cirppağiu， 39 § t），found only in one other panage，vi．SS \＄9，and in different semse．The word is here used in the poetic sense of＇reault＇or＇issue，＇wally qualified by adjectives， c．g．oípia，Acech．Chocph． $8_{14}$ ；cúruxis，Soph．Trach．294：it may however serve as a substitute for какотparia，cf．Hit．il． 65.
aं $\delta \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \in \rho a$ ，＇with less misgiving，＇i．e．less than he would viherwise have felt，but for the trophies in his charge．
§ 2．єौкобt，cf． 105 § 3， 107 § I ．
इa入úv日เov，cf． 111 §4．
d．vax $\dot{p} \eta \boldsymbol{\eta}$ tv，cf． 101 § 2 ．The absence of ships left them at the mercy of Athens（Poppo）．

кal $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha v \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta \sigma a v$ ，＇to which place they had eventually withdrawn＇；
for кai，cf．1．14 §2．The correction oimep（for oiltef）ごa入ıpliou（for La $\lambda^{\prime} \nu\left(\theta_{\text {lo }}\right)$ is due to Hermann．
§3．छv $\mu \mu \alpha \chi^{i \alpha v}$ ，really an $i \pi t \mu \alpha \chi^{i \alpha}$ ，＇defensive alliance．＇
exatòv érๆ，accusative of duration of time；the expression is really figurative，representing＇in perpetuity，＇cf．v． 47 § 1.
$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon=\dot{\epsilon} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\psi} \tau \epsilon$ ，as expressing the conclitions：cf．2\＄§ I with Goodw． M．T． 587 § 2.
＇Avakтópıov，a little S．of Actium．
 in the friendly sense．

S．Stédvoav，the middle would be more acceptable（cf．rata－ $\lambda i \in \sigma \theta a t$ ）in reference to the two parties to the contract．In vint $f^{6}$ 念 I，the active $\delta$ cadiona appears suggested by the isolated attitucle of Tissaphernes．

Kopiv $\theta$ เo ，Ambracia was a Corinthian colony，cf．II． 80 § 3.
€́avt $\omega v$ ，＇native troops，＇soldier－citizens．Diodorus＇account（Xin． 60 ） of an appeal to Lacedaemon is untrue．

$\kappa о \mu \iota \zeta$ ó $\mu \in v o \iota X^{a \lambda} \in \pi \overline{\mathrm{~s}}$ ，＇making their way with difficulty＇；the im－ perfect participle marks the sustained effort．
$\tau \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \mathrm{v} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，for the like formula，cf． $50 \S 3,68 \S 5$ ．For grammatical purposes，the clause would be better placed in the next chapter．

## CHAPTER CXV．

This chapter resumes the narrative from 103 § 3 ．


इเкєлิิv，they held the mainland，cf．vi． 88 § $4 \cdot$
$\alpha{ }^{\alpha} v \omega \theta \in \nu$, cf．IV． 25 § 9 ن́ $\pi \dot{\epsilon} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ ．
${ }^{\prime} \sigma \times \alpha \tau \alpha$ ，i．e．furthest from the coast．
Aió入ov v $\uparrow \sigma o \iota$, cf． 88.
§ 2．חuもó $\delta \omega \rho o v$ ，archon at the time of the outhreak of the war （II． $2 \S$ 1），banished on suspicion of malversation（IV． 65 ），one of the signatories of the peace of $\mathrm{v}, 19,24$ ，and one of the first to break it （vi．105）．

סıáSoxov，predicative with ката入a $\mu$ ávovoıv，says Classen：but is

 constrn．may be complete in itself．＇They found Isolochus（come）to
take charge of the flect, to supersede (as a succenor to) Laches in his command.'
$\Lambda \alpha ́ \chi \eta s$, cf. 86 § I , for his recall cf. Ar. Vesp. 240.
§ 3. छú $\mu \mu a \chi \circ$ o cf. 86 § 3 .
 Comm. Crit. p. 118).
 supplement $\mu \grave{\eta} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ c f ., 6 \S 2$.
 the circumstantiai participle givareiportes denotes the means employed (cf. Goodw. M. T. § $\$_{35}$ ), 'they made preparations for preventing this by collecting a naval force': the words or $\pi$ efroquopevor ( $=$ oik imırpéYovtes) constitute a litotes: the leading notion is that of overluoking, treating with indifference, with a surgestion of wounded pricte at being thun hemmed in by a few ships. For the phrase itself cf. I. 9. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{S}} 2$ : for is of intention or determination, see Goodw: M. T. §\$ $8 \kappa_{4}$.
 'exercise,' 'practise,' in view of a serions engagement, says Classen. For thrce years now, since lhormio's succesees $(+29)$, the navy had grown rusty for lack of occupation on a serious scale.
§5. इoфок $\lambda$ éa, there is a tradition of his having been eventually one of the thirty. Thuc. records his banishment together with Eurymedon, in IV. GS. See Grote Hist. Gr. c. lxi. ; Xen. Itell. II. 3 § 2.

Eúpupéסov $\alpha$, eventually killed in a sea-fight, cf. VII. 52 § 2 .

§6. тov̂ $\Lambda a ́ x \eta$ ros $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} v$, for double genitive of. Krís. G. G. 47. 9. 6.

фpoúpiov, on the Halex, cf. 99.

## CHAPTER CXVI.

§ 1. €́pún, a puetical and Platonic form, of. the irregular érpíp from $\dot{\rho} l \pi \tau \omega$.
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$, 'circa,' 'sub.'
ṕvag, cf. Plato, Phaedo int E, Pliny's 'rixus ignis': the article denotes a familiar phenomenon of the mountain. For a description see Pindar's first Pythian ode.
 change precludes all allusion to the city.

Tê öpte，expunged by Iferwerten：Badham sugse th the elimimation of the whole clause from ö $\pi \epsilon \rho$ to $\Sigma \iota \kappa \epsilon \lambda(q$ ．
§2．$\pi \epsilon v \tau \eta \kappa \circ \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ ，if Thucydides＇calculation be correct，it will take us back to 4 ：B B．e．，if years lefore Ilieros famoun victory．The Parian inscription places the date of the eruption in 479 ；Boickh explains，the diecrepancy by suggesting either that Thuc．set down a round number， or that the eruptions continued for some few years．To awod the ditiiculty，Kiriger suggents that Thuc．really wrote ve＇＇ťєL，not $\nu^{\prime}$ ．

тpis $\gamma \in \gamma \in ⿴ 囗 十 \eta \sigma \theta a l$ ，the carliest of these three eruptions．will take us hack to 396 or 400 B．C．，cf．Diod．xiv． 59.
＇$\phi$＇ou oikeital，i．e．from the middle of the sth century B．c． $104^{2}$ 2， cf．VI． 3 § I．
§ 3．кaтá，＇in the course of．＇
$\xi \nu ข \in ́ y p a \psi \in \nu, c f .88$ § 4 ．

## APPENDIX.

Chap, iii. äptı кa日l $\sigma \tau \alpha \mu \dot{v} v o v$. Stelup, from a comparison of ofs + with Ir. $36 \$ 3$, explains this expression as denoting the middle period of
 's $\pi$ ódepoy 'to settle down to war,' it would seem undesirable to limit the meaning of the phrase. The war was now 'fairly afoot' (Prof. Smith), indeed, just at its height. For äprı as combining the notion of 'right' with that of 'success' see Curtius Gk. Et. 71, 339, and Rutherford (New Phrynichus p. 70), who limits it as the direct equivalent of our English 'just.'

трıтaios वंфкко́ $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{v o s}$. The distance from Athens to Geraestus would be, as the crow flies, about $q^{6}$ miles, from Geraestus to Nalea about 137. Assuming that the ship could lay a fairly straight course, and taking the speed of the ordinary oivcás at 1300 stadia ( $\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{I} \frac{1}{2}$ miles) in $2_{4}$ hours, the possibility of covering the distance within the time specified, even making allowance for a certain amount of inevitable deviation, becomes obvious. Cf. Idt. IV. S6 with Arnold's note on Thuc. II. 97 § I.

Chap. iv. aúrois ëmpaoनov, the interpretation that this phrase is the equivalent of ëтpaббov $\pi \rho$ òs aítoús is quite untenable. aúroîs is here, in all probability, a 'dativus commodi,' its emphatic position being due to the fact that the Lesbians were the persons in whose behalf the appeal was made, and for whuse benefit relief would be sent, if sent at all. Thucydides may have purposely placed aúrois in this position for the sake of a construction $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ коเขoû, i.e. with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \rho a \sigma \sigma o \nu$ and $\ddot{\eta}_{\zeta}^{\zeta} \epsilon \iota$. But (the confusion of C with $€$ is very common) did Thuc. write airoi?

Chap. x. §1. Herwerden's suggestion סoкทं $\sigma \epsilon \omega$ (Stud. Th. p. 38) is no great help to the solution of the difficulty. Did Thuc. really intend any distinction of $\epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon$ from $\gamma i \gamma \nu o \nu \nu \tau o$ ? Failing to find any satisfactory reason for such an antithesis, and feeling extremely doubtful of Classen and Steup's interpretation of ri, volvto, and suspicious of the
awkward change of sulject, I have had recourse to the heroic remedy of excising elev, which appears due to ditengraphy; hence the intensive kui was mistaken for a copulative. In $+4 \leqslant 2$ efed is probalily due to the like cause. The Thucydielean mannerism of combining a prepuritional form of exp ression with an adjective is almot ton familiar to need illus-




The point is that neither friendship between individual nor union between states can prove permanent, unleos there be ( I ) a mutual conviction of good faith, (2) similarity of national character and political institutions. In Thuc.'s own words, 'umlens, in combination with a convictom of mutual honesty, they also prove to be of the like character.' In opootorpotoc, which he explains with reference to political 'mores,' Herlat sees an allusion to the oligarchic tratitions of Mytilene. is diditaous may easily find a construction from ópocótpootros, as replacing the more commonplace dative.

 $\pi о \mu \epsilon \nu$ : see, however, Soph. Elect. 5I4

$$
0 \check{v} \pi \pi \omega
$$


Chap. xii. \& 2. The objections to Stahl's explanation appear to lie (1) in the weak argument of 'delay, ( 2 ) in ignoring the rhetorical question,
 $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma a \ell$. Still, ávтцue入入j$\sigma a \ell$ is in itself a very doubtful word, and may possibly combine the weaker sense of $\mu$ edijots with the stronser.

Leshos was under no moral obligation to delay, simply because Athens delayed. The charge of dootcia was based on the fact of the revolt constituting an act of aggression. The difficulty is to sce whether
 whether the bice rìv iкcivelv $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ is not an apulngy for the Lesbian haste. The allies might, of course, have regarded the Leslians as öobot in having revolted upon mere suspicion of what might be. There i.s evidently a conscionsness of 'mala fides' implied on the part of Lesbos, coupled with the suggestion that as agsressors by revolting ( $\pi$ poanoorávTधs) they had put themselves out of court.
 три́covia on the plea that its retention involses a contradiction of
the narrative of $7 \$ 2$ and 1.3 \$ 3. The operations deacribed in 7 \& 3 can hardly have occupical so brief a space of time as to make it possible for the 12 ships retainel lay doppius to be once more on the Lacomian cuast, at the time when the Spartans were at the Isthmus. On the contiary, expunging $\tau_{\text {phatouta, we can easily refer the statement }}$ to the flect of 100 sail mentiones in s. 1 . But the time actually occupiel in these raids, as well a, the preciec date of the return of Asopins' is vessels, must remain a matter of pure conjecture.

Against Steup's view Herbst (I'hil. Xlif. 680) contends that ai $\pi e p i$ тìv IIe.. wîes implies the ships 'beyond (west of) the Peloponnese,' in contradistinction to the flect of 100 sail which had remained on this side of the Peloponnese. Ifis contention is, however, refuted by the very instances he quotes. Muiller-Sthilsing (Thuk. Forsch. 109 sqq.) joins with Herbst in controverting Steup's explanation.

Chap. xvii. This chapter, condemned 'in toto' by Steup (Rhein. Mus. Xxiv. $3=0$ ) has been defended by Herbst (Philol. Xlif. 681) and Stahl (Rhein. Mus. Nxviri. 622), although from different points of view, Herbst holding that the allusion is to the first year of the war, Stahl detecting a reference to the events of the fourth year. Stahl, while contenting himself with partial excision, finds it necessary to emend; e.g. by striking out ố after $\pi \alpha \rho a \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha$, (2) inserting $\ddot{\eta}$ befure $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi о \mu \dot{\nu} \nu o u$, (3) excising $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ IIorciôalav кai. But even by this process we do not escape the difficulties besetting this chapter.

The critics who affix the 'mortiferum theta' do so not only on the score of linguistic difficulties, obscurity of expression, and suspicion of interpulation, but on the more serious charge that the statements of the chapter cannot be made to tally with the account elsewhere given by Thucydides.

Amongst difficulties of expression, the following have attracted special notice: (1) the restrictive use of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ qoîs $\pi$ 入єioval, (2) the phrase èveproi кál.\єt, (3) the use of $\chi \omega$ pis, (4) the loose reference in roûto § 3,
 tion of singular from plural-a use unparalleled in Thuc. (Classen).

But, with regard to (I) grammarians now agree in giving to the phrase a restrictive or intensive meaning, 'pro re nata.' (2) Both ėveproi and $\wedge \dot{a} \lambda \lambda e \iota$ are $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \xi \zeta \lambda^{\xi} \gamma^{\prime} \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ in Thuc. : this gives us all the more reasun for rejecting that old sun-pect кál $\lambda \epsilon \ell$, in spite of the $\kappa \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau о \nu \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \delta \pi \epsilon \delta \partial \nu$ of r. 60 , and the $\kappa a \mathrm{~d} . \mathrm{lo} \mathrm{\nu} \dot{\eta}$ of Hdt. vir. 36 . Indeed, it is impossible to retain кálist without depriving èvepyol of its true meaningr, cf. Ifd. IIfr. 25. The retention of eveproi appears all-impurtant when regardel in
 (3) admits of sufficient illustration from 'Thucydides' own usage. $(t)$ Such lonseness of reference is of common occurrence in Thucyelides. (5) The word recurs in passive form in this very chapter: $\dot{\text { und }}$ will well express the gradual or the 'initial' sapping of Athenian rescurces (cf. the compounds ímerneiv 'to say by way of preface,' ímoरpápetv, к.т.入.). Thuse who quarrel with $\dot{u} \pi 0^{\prime}$ can find a ready substitute in ámó. (6) ìáupave. When we find $\tau \iota \nu$ confused with $\tau \eta$, what is more probable than that $\tau \iota s$ is lost in $\tau \hat{\eta} s{ }^{\text {? }}{ }^{1}$

The main ruestions after all appear to be whether (1) the enumeration of vesinels in $S_{2} 2$ includes the reserve fleet, (2) whether the allusion is to the year 43 r or 428 B.C., (3) whether this section (§ 2) contains the


 we shall have a flat contradiction of the concluding words of \$ 1 , каï $\tau \tau$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon$ lous dं $\rho \chi \circ \mu$ évov $\tau 0 \hat{v}$ mohé $\mu \circ v$, and shall be driven to accept, with Stahl, Campe's insertion of $\ddot{\eta}$. But to reserve 200 ships for home defence, out of a total of $2: 0$ only, would be a measure not much in keeping with the $\theta a \lambda a \sigma \sigma o \kappa p a t i a$ of Athens, or the principles or practice
 of 100 ships, in addition to the reserve squadron of 100 , is absurdly large for the protection of Attica, Euboea, and Salamis appears sufficiently proved from the fact that on the occasion of the Peloponnesian dash on Salamis (II. 93) only 3 ships were on duty at that station: again, in II. 26, 30 vessels were sufficient for the twofold purpose of raiding the Laconian coast and guarding Salamis. Further, the display in force of roo ships of war upon the coast of the Isthmus (1iI. 16) would render the presence of a strong force at Salamis less necessary than ever. In 11. 94 Thucydides makes no mention of any great addition to the Salaminian guard-force, but lays stress on the precautions taken for the protection of the Piraeus, $\lambda \iota \mu \dot{\ell} \nu \omega \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \xi \in \epsilon \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \dot{i}$. It is not

 reserve. This, I think, tells against the notion of any draft made upon the home reserve for the $\beta$ oj$\theta \epsilon \iota \alpha$ 's $\Lambda \epsilon \in \beta$ ov. Still, the defence of those important positions, Euboea and Salamis, might be held to come within the province of these $\dot{\epsilon} \xi a l \rho \in \tau o t \nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$. The omission of the words

[^7] is made of the return of the two fleets, of 30 and fo sail respectively, sent to Potidaea: these conld hardly be omitted from our present calculation, although Stahl suggests that the omission of the article in i. $64, \$ 3$ points to the recall of sume of the ships. But womld a diminution of numbers be consistent with the maintenance of a moגtopria кatà крátos? Further, the statements made with regard to the number of hoplites who served throughout the siege, and the excessive rate of pay allowed both soldiers and seamen, require either explanation or confirmation. Holcapfel indeed fommels upon the basis of the rate of pay a calculation of the length of the siege, holding that the data are due, if not to Thucydiles himself, at least to some well-informed authority !

Excluding the home fleet of 100 , one may hazard the following calculation:

## $100 \pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' I \sigma \theta \mu o ́ v$.

$30 \pi \epsilon \rho i$ II $\epsilon$ лот $6 \nu \nu \eta \sigma o \nu$.
40 at Lesbos.
7o at Potidaea.
3 at Salamis?
2 at Atalante?
This (including the 10 Mytilenean triremes detained by Athens, 3 § 4) would give a total of 25 , a suspicious number when viewed sile by side with vili. 39 § $2^{1}$. But are we justified in including in the list of $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \in p \gamma o i \nu \hat{\eta} \epsilon s$ these ro triremes of Mytilene? Again have we any data for determining the strength of the squadron still at Potidaea, or reason for assuming the return of the 18 vessels sent back by Asopius to have taken place before the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i \delta \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\xi} / s$ and $\dot{a} \pi 0 \beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ of 16 § 1 ?

Much depends on the interpretation of $\dot{\varepsilon} \nu \in p \gamma o i$, but, regarding the word in connexion with em. $\quad \eta \rho \dot{\prime} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$, I cannot help thinking that the writer intended to direct special attention to the number of ressels on actual service, i.e. to the number of those actually manned as distinct from the number of those available ( $\pi \lambda \omega \dot{c} \mu 0 \iota$ ).

The passing comment on the naval strength of Athens, at a period of such exhaustion, seems no more out of place in the narrative of Thucydides than the estimate of Athenian resources II. I3, or the statement of the mortality incident upon the plague. Of interpolation and dislocation strong suspicion exists, but of the spuriousness of the chapter no actual proof is forthcoming.

these $\phi p o i f(a)$ were constructed at the sume time as the reixos, indeed 'built into the wall' (cf. the $\pi$ íprot of 21 s.3), rejects the perfect, regarding the imperfect or aorist as necessary.
 Whether we treat rồ reixous as the sulject of kanopwaivol, or as partitive depenelent on es ö, neither explanarion is satiwfactory. Hurle's explanation (Comm. Crit. 9f) that is ö= is $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma o \nu$, 'so far as,' lacks Thucydidean analugy, while to treat e's $\hat{o}$ i;suedoveo as meanmer 'for the purpose they had in view,' is a very doubful interpretation. Steup, accordingly, propenes to omit is ô i,pocidovro. Stahl's comjecture äjon is no help. See Rhein. Mus. xxili, 250.

 A the preposition is added by a later hand). Only one other instance of the like construction uccurs in Thuc., Vif. $22 \$ 1$, where it means to 'join.' Steup aloo finds a practical oljection to 'appronching the battlements,' i.e. the top of the wall insteat of the wall itself. I3ut, after all, the notion involved is merely that of 'coming within reach of,'
 the more usual dative. The consensus of the better mss. does not necessarily tell against Steup's emendation.
 thesis, as subordinate in point of importance. Stahl follows Classen in
 Phil. 11. 89) oljects that, though only i 2 qidoi are mentionel, Ammeas makes a thirtecnth, and, though Thuc. states that he was the first to axcent, he apparently indicates only a di,tinction from his companions. Weil therefore proposes to place a comma after emóucvol and to cut out the second $\dot{\alpha} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \beta a t v o v$. Such a correction would be more himdrance than help. But Herwerden's excision of à $\epsilon^{\beta} \beta a \sim v o \nu$ in each case sulves the difficulty. Ammeas led the way and was the first to reach the top; cluse on his heels came his companions ; this party of twelve then, dividel inte com. panies of six each, made for the $\dot{\delta i o \delta o r ~} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi$ miphev at either end of the $\mu \epsilon \tau a \pi$ и́p ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{ov}$.

Chap. xx --xxiv. The engineering difficultics connected with the siege of Ilataea and the excape of the besieged have, like the duabts cat upon the credibility of the narrative itself, been almost entirely dispelled by the minute investigation of American archaeolugists and the searching examination of Mr B. G. Grundy: The decision of the question, complicated as it is by the architectural remains of different
perionts, tums chicfly on two points; (I) the stlertion of a Nonth-wetern prsition, or sombem; (2) the lager or smaller area of the position attually hesiegedi. 'The site itself,' to quote Mr (irundy"s own acoumt, 'is the flat sloping top of a bastion of Cithaeron, which extends from the foot of a steep rocky slope, at the hase of the highest peak of that mountain, nothwards for about two-thide to three-quaters of a mile, to the flat alluvial phan extencling from the head streams of the Oeroe to the A-rms. Liesiden this slope from N. to s. there is also an inclination from IV. to I:. The East side is on the whole at a lower elevation than the Wist side, the difierence becoming more markerl as the bastion appraches the plain. At the North or luwer end there is a valley, and cluse by it a depression huns down towards the plain... The higher portion of the site presents a rucky uneven surface, incapalile of cultivation, the lower portion heing covered with s.in in a considerable depth, and cultivated throughont." Explomation has brought to lisht :
(I) an enceinte wall, forming a rough inosecles triangle, with its base on the Nonth front of the liastion, its apex at the Sumth or higher enel;
(2) a higher cross-wall;
(3) a lower cros-wall, forming, with the N.W. portion of the enceinte wall, the defence-work of the N.IW. quarter of the bastion, which is strategically the strongest portion of the whole ground.

The town besieged was, acconding to Mr Grunly, coextensive with the area inclublel in the wall surrounding the N.W. portion of the bastion,--in brief the acropolis.

Agrainst this it in argued ( 1 ) that so small a space wuuld not contain 10.000 people, (2) that all flocks, herds and implements would be left at the mercy of an enemy. - But what evidence exists that 10,000 was the actual number of the population? Secondly, on the plain there is hardly any grazing ground, and very little on Cithacron: why then raise difficulties about flocks and herds which, in all probability, never existed? Again, how could + oo men, it is a sked, have defended a
 But what proof is there of so large a circuit? Mr Grundy's calculations give us the following estimate :-
(I) Total $\pi \epsilon \rho i(\beta \circ \lambda o s$ of Acropolis wall... ... ... I 430 yards.
(2) Wall on N . and W . sides (the least awailable portion of the position, as the foundation of any wall of enceinte must have been at least from 60 to 90 feet abore the plain) ... ... ... jou yards.
(3) Total length on S. and E. sides ... ... ... 730 yards.
if) $^{\text {) I'urtion of }} \mathrm{E}$. wall on the edge of the dupres-ion... I 50 yards.

This would leave 730 yards of wall without natural difficulties of position, or (accepting Mr G.'s view of the depression, as much deeper in former times) 580 yarls. Even this reduced estimate leaves a long, but not imponsible, stretch of wall for + So men to defend.

To take the several points in detail:
(i) The number of the garrison : Plataea was of the first importance to Athens as commanding the pass, and rendering communication between the Northem allies of Lacedaemon and the Peloponnesians most difficult, so long as it remained in the hands of Athens. Evidently, the Athenians regaried $q^{\text {so men as sufficient for its defence, or they would }}$ never have risked the sacrifice of their own reinforcements.
(2) The strength of the attacking force: what ground had MiillerStribing for assuming that the Peloponnesian force was of the same strenuth as in the case of invasions of Altica? Thucydides simply tells us that it was a large force. The numbers 70,000, So,000 (or even 100,000 ) are purely conjectural.
(3) The stockade: probably not more than one mile in circumference; if it enclosed the N.W. pertion of the site, the ground would present no difficultics, and timber in albundance would be found on Cithaeron.
(+) The mound: clay exists in abundance, and that of the very stiffest kind.
(5) The ladders: no theatrical embellishment: it would be necessary to find the exact length : if too short, the work of climbing the last yard or so at the top would materially delay the pasmage ; if too long, the defenders could have thrust them back.
(6) The escape: from $22 \$ 2$ there would seem to have been a space left between the edge of the inner trench and the nearer face of the Peloponnesian wall. No mention is made of any water in the inner trench, but the depth of water in the outer trench can be accounted for by the natural slope of the ground. Water does not so readily filter through stiff clay, but it is more than probable that the l'eloponnesians took precautions, by some simple means, against accumulation of water in the inner trench and the consequent flooding of their quarters.

So far from Thucydides having drawn upon his imagination for his facts, his allusion to the prevailing wind of the district (i.e. the South, cf. 11. $77 \$ 5$ ), the soil of the neighbourhood, the plentiful supply of wood, the small losses sustained by the besieged, and even the trivial details of the escape, are a sufficient proof that his object was not to construct an
ifleal picture of a siege or to impart instruction in the art of successful defence.

His information was, in all likelihood, derived from eyewitnesses, and his account, although confusing in peint of tupegraphy, contains no actual or obvious misstatements. No one, as Mr Formes suggents (I'ref. to Thuc. I., 1'. xeviii.), would ever infer from Thucydiles narative that the city stood not on a level plain, but on a plateau fifty or sixty fect above the surrounding ground.

But no one rightly appreciating Thucylides could ever conceive that this most truthful and accurate of historians ever lont himelf to the componition of a 'military-didactic epic,' or that he mistook the city wall for the siege wall!

For further disens-ion see Paley in Journ. of Phil., Vol. X.; Am. Journ, of Archacology for ISyo, Vol. Wr., No. 4; (i. B. Grundy's IBattle of I'lataea (Murray); Evelyn Abbott in Claw. Kev. Iv. I; Forbes' Pref. to Thuc. I. pp. xcvii.-xcix.
 The instrumental construction of the clative Steup rightly takes objection to, on the score that the кatam iors of the ships is unnecessary and that $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota_{i} \beta \circ \eta \theta$ i $\sigma \omega \sigma t ;$ is left without an object. He therefore proposes to strike out karamiteifaus as an aul-cript, but to retain tais vavoi as instrumental, 'with their ships.' But cannot rais ravoi refer to the fleet of the l'elopomesians, and may not $\dot{\epsilon \pi}\langle\beta=n \theta \epsilon i v$ be regarded in a hutile sense if necessary? (Cf. 69 § 2.)
 Miiller-Striubing agree in referring to the ships $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ T $\eta \nu$ ' $A \tau \tau \iota \kappa \eta \eta^{\nu}$, as distinct from those despatched to Lesbos. Herbst, on the contrary, refers them to the fleet of 100 ships mentioned in $16 \$$ I. But his own quotations tell against him, e.g. II. 3 I § I, I. 105 § 4.

Steup proposes to bracket èvócérpưav or to alier to évôatpíquavtes, on the plea that ( I ) the vulgate presents a harsh anacoluthon, ( 2 ) that the I'eloponnesians' waste of time on the first part of the voyage led to the fall of Mytilene no less than their dilatoriness in accumplishing the second stage.

Chap. xxx. § 2. Goodwin's rule (M. T. ss 100,136 ) that verbs of hoping, expecting, promising, swearing, when they refer to a future object, naturally take the future infinitive, but may also have the present or arist of the infinitive (not in indirect discourse), needs at least some limitation or supplement. This is supplied by Rutherford, in a note on Babrius IN. 2, and by Lendrum, Classical Review IV. 100. The limita-
tion laid dnwn by the latter that 'when the infinitive has mo sulject expresised, either form may stand, hut when the infinitive has a subject expressed, the future only is admissible' has been criticised loy Harrison (Classical Review IN. 3 SI), who adds (i) that every influitive, if only as an abstract, by refinition involves a subject ; ( 2 ) in these combinations the lealing verl, implies futurity; (3) the infinitive as an ab-tract is always future, and in this sense may be cailed timeless, i.e. omnitemporal.

The whole of Mr Lemdrum's article will well repay the reader. Cf. also Monro, Hom. Gr. 235 ; Roby, L. G. § $1345^{\circ}$
§3. tà $\pi \rho \alpha{ }^{\gamma} \mu \mathrm{ara}$. The article, I think, can hardly carry with it the strong demonstrative force assigned it ly I'opp". Litcrally the meaning is 'that the position (situation) will be within our grasp.'
§4. тò кawòv rov̂ mohépov. Steup reads tò nowóv, arguing that (1) for rò kauróy no certain equivalent is found, (2) Tò toooûtov must refer to $\tau \grave{o}$ ápúגaкrov, which camnot be referred to the new or surprising (кutróv), or the vain or deceptive (кevóy). On the other hand, tò kourúp (the 'communis Mars belli'), that which is common, impartial, in war may well be represented by tò àфùaктov, 'lack of precaution.' The moral is simpie-the art of surprise consists not less in exercising due precaution yourself than in promptly availing yourself of neglect of precaution on the part of an adversary.

 Kinger, however, in common with Bohme would bracket $\sigma \phi \ell \sigma t$ : to this Steup objects on the ground that the retention of $\sigma$ piot renders the context easier, and relieves the harshness of a change of subject with é $\phi \circ p \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$.
 The first $\eta \ddot{\nu}$ may be due to some archetypal confusion (for confusion of N with H see Bast. $428,715,726$ ), the second $\ddot{\eta}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{b}$ being due to the first. The prescuce of the one would suggest the other: but it is more than possible that the first $\ddot{\eta} \nu$ is an interpolation, arising from a mistaken notion of the öros sequence. Hude, regarding the sentence as expressing motive rather than condition, objects to the feebleness of riv, and appoves Dobree's iva. But the interchange of ötcos with iva is found only twice in Thuc. (vi. 22, vi. 87 , \& 2) and that the stronger final particle should follow the weaker is undesirable. (See Goodw. M. T. § IIt ; Gildersleeve, in Am. J. Phil. Iv. 426 sqq.)

Steup, following the Scholiast, separates $\sigma \phi i \sigma u$ from airois, refer-


But if 'A $\theta \eta v a i o s ~ b e ~ t h e ~ s u l j e c t ~ o f ~ i \phi o p \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma t$ and $\sigma \phi i \sigma$ refers to the I'cluponnesians, then $\sigma \phi i \sigma t \nu$ aúrois must lee construed together. A like difficulty of combination or separation arises in 1.77 § I , ウ̀mîv aúroîs.
 $\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a$, , deserved ponsibly more consideration than it received at I'oppo's hands: not that $a \check{\nu}$ is necessary, as rirveo Aat could represent the orat. obliq. furm of an indicative present. Steup's arrangement of the


 Hude, Comm. Crit. 9f). Class. 111. 197, and Dobree's and Madvig's Adversaria.)

In dealing with this passage it must be borne in mind that
(1) The Ionian cities would object to paying tribute to a Peloponnesian treasury no less than to Athens; unless indeed convinced of some direct personal advantage to accrue.
(2) A collection of $\phi$ ópos would be dead against the stipulations of any such treaty as that of viii. 37 , nor would it accord with the role of Spartans as è $\lambda \in v \theta \epsilon \rho \circ \hat{v} \nu \tau \epsilon s$.
(3) The tribute of Ionia, 25 talents and 31 minae, would be quite insufficient fur any serious operations of war-indeed would only maintain so ships for one month. But $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \hat{o} o s$ might, as the Scholiast suggests, include other тарабкєur, especially food. If we include the Hellespont, we get the substantial addition of 80 talents: i.e. the combined taxes of Ionia and the IIellespont make up about one-sixth of the entire revenue of Athens.

The exhaustion of Athens by drainage of supplies and casting increased charges of éфópu$\eta \sigma \iota s$ upon a diminished and diminishing income might suggest to the Persian satrap the policy urged by Alcibiades in Book viri, and induce him 'impellere bellum,' to give the last thrust to an exhausted combatant.

Inability on the part of the Peloponnesian alliance to bear the cost of $\dot{\varepsilon} \phi \dot{\rho} \rho \mu \eta \sigma$ ss might induce Pissuthnes to help, either in memory of the old friendly relations between Sparta and Persia, or on the principle of maintaining the balance of power between the rival States of Sparta and Athens. The readiness of the Peloponnesians to maintain a 'squad. ron of observation' in Ionian waters might serve as a proof of $\pi \rho o \theta v \mu i a$ which would appeal to Pissuthnes, more especially if, as we are told in Bk viir., the presence of the Athenian flect proved an obstacle to the collection of the tribute due to the Persian king. The suggestion of the
 to risk outlay to avoid humiliation.

But the real motive, the true of $\quad \ddot{\nu} \in \kappa \alpha$, was the destruction of the Athenian power: to this end the seizure of a city, the sulsequent revolt of Ionia, am! drainage of Athenian supplies, would be only means. To Pissuthes the real issue would be the restoration to the Persian king of the крátos $\theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s$. The cession of Ionia and even of the adjacent islands is seriously contemplated by Athens in look vin., but the one great difficulty is the sovereignty of the Aegrean. Much of the argument


Ilaase (Luc. Th. 4 sqq.) holds that if the choice is to be between ${ }^{\text {pu }}$
 change would spoil all. Why should Thuc. write öncos followed by a double $\eta_{\nu}$ ? It was a matter of prime importance to effect the cession of Ionia, but of this there was small hope without first securing some Ionian city, or Cyme; on the other hand, the sapping of Athenian supplies, and compelling Athens to maintain a blockading squadron in Ionian waters, was quite within the limits of expectation, independently of an Ionian revolt. Haase therefore proposes two clauses dependent on è $\pi i \delta \alpha \delta^{\prime \prime}$ cival, unequally balanced (more Thucydideo),
 marking the accomplished fact, the second, divided into two of clauses, referring to that which was yet in prospect. For such a construction of.
 ÉGovzal. This elucidation, Haase contends, deals with the conditions rather than the results. The prospect held out to the Ionians would, of course, be relief from tribute. But on this point of tribute comes the difficulty: Athens once got rid of, Persia would demand her dues, cf. Thuc. Vili. 5, 46. Still, for the time being, Sparta's profession of disinterestelness might lure the unwary (cf. Thuc. I. Si, 122, VI. 9r, Vili. 18 ).

Chap. xxxii. § 3. ópw̄ttes $\gamma a ́ p . . . \pi a \rho a ß a \lambda \in i ̂ v . ~ C l a s s e n ' s ~ p r o p o s a l ~ t o ~$ insert this sentence after tous $\pi 0 \lambda$ 入oús in $\$ 2$ has heen rejected by Steup on the ground that instead of airtô in § 2 tô̂ 'A $\lambda_{c} l \hat{o} o v$ would be necessary; again, Rauchenstein suggests that in the next chapter ( 33 § 1 ) $\dot{d}$ 'Alviôas would be unnecessary after the ó $\mu$ é $\nu$ immediately preceding. Irof. Smith wisely comments that it is difficult to explain how the traditional order originated, but contents himself with the suggestion that the whole passage is one of those which point to a lack of final revision.

Chap．xxxvi．§ 2．тท่v $\tau \epsilon$ ä $\lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ ditóбтaбเv．．．Classen＇s insertion of кat before öt（contra mss．），comlemned by Stahl（N．Jahrb．xerir． 108），is supported by Steup（1）on the score that the vulgate would con－ vey the notion that rebellion was not in all cases reprehensible in the eyes of Athens，（2）on the strength of Classen＇s own reply to Stahl＇s criticism；viz．（a）the revolt of a practically free allied state（aútóoomos， oủs áp $\quad \circ \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta$ ）calls for emphatic notice，which can only be expressed by contrasting oủk $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \dot{\rho} \mu \in \nu 0 九$ with $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau a \sigma \iota s$ in general：кal will therefore be indi．pensable：but if the örı clause be merely epexegetical，then the fault conveyed in $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ must find explanation in the context；
 point to some graver offence of the Mytileneans which cannot，even admitting Stahl＇s anacoluthon，find explanation in the mere presence of a Peloponnesian fleet upon the sea－board of Ionia．

Classen＇s view has the merit of simplifying the sentence；but Gross－ mam＇s explanation commends itself to me．See Notes p． 155.
 $5 \mathrm{I}, \$ 2$ ）＇on the previous day，＇or＇at the former meeting，＇for two reatons： （1）that with $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \in ́ \rho a \nu$ it would be necessary to supply $\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \nu \quad$ from äd入aı $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota$ ，（2）that the change will better accord with the words of


Chap．xxxviii．§ 4．$\theta$ єatal т $\omega v$ 入óy
 $\dot{\delta} v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \omega s<\kappa \kappa i \nu \omega \nu>\dot{\dot{o}} \theta \epsilon \omega$ pós，＇you go to the public assembly as you go to the theatre，merely in quest of intellectual excitement ：that is，merely for your amusement，not as kpirai（except so far as the mere skill of the speaker is concerned！，as carefully weighing the matter of what is said， in order to adopt it in your practice or reject it＇（Amold）．
§6．тoîs totaûta $\lambda$＇́yovol．The word toaûta，bracketed by Her－ werden and described by Poppo as＇obscurius dictum，＇Steup regards as unintelligible．All three words he looks upon as an awkward attempt
 Further，he holds that the excision of these three words（tois tolaûta $\lambda \epsilon$＇roval）will obviate the harshness of the transition from rois $\lambda$ é＇ovo九 to ósécss $\lambda \in ́ \gamma o v t o s . ~$
 retaining $\epsilon i \nu a \iota$ as dependent upon $\delta о \kappa \epsilon i \nu$ ，adding that，if $\epsilon \tau \nu a \iota$ be bracketed， $\kappa \alpha i$ before $\pi \rho o \alpha \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ must also be cut out．In reply to Poppo＇s com－ ment，that in the present passage the infinitive presents depend directly
only on participles and adjectives, the aorist following all that is secondary, he cites äpıбтot $\mu \grave{\eta} \xi \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \dot{\epsilon} \theta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon \iota$.
 transposition (see Notes $p, 167$ ) though attractive is not regarded by Classen as necessary. Steup sees a difficulty in any reference to a sudden change of fortune as affecting Mytilene, and therefore accepts Gelzer's suggestion. But it must not be forgotten that the moment of Athens' weakness would be the moment of Mytilene's opportunity.
 difficulty, ( 1 ) the pleonasm, which Classen defends by referring $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ër $\pi \epsilon \tau a$ $\pi \rho o \sigma b \delta o v$ proleptically to $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon,(2)$ the loose comnexion of $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ ' $" \pi$.
 Ullrich éкєîधv, or with Weil ė $\pi \epsilon \tau \epsilon i=v$, although neither sugsestion destroys the difficulty of $o{ }^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \nu i \sigma x{ }^{\prime} o \mu \in \nu$. St. therefore holds that the



Chap. xl. § 3. тoùs ó $\mu \mathrm{olous...vi} \mathrm{\pi o} \mathrm{\lambda} \mathrm{\epsilon ı} \mathrm{\pi o} \mathrm{\mu évous}. \mathrm{Classen’s} \mathrm{acceptance}$ of Thiersch's proposal i $\mu$ oicss is really no advantage to the text. When we find Antiphon v. § 76 writing $\ddot{\mu} \mu o o s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$ as the equivalent of Thuc.'s ó aúròs $\tau \hat{\eta} \gamma^{v} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta(38$ § 1), what need is there of alteration? The combination of the negrative and affirmative forms of expression is equally explicit whether adverb or adjective be read. All that Thuc. means is 'they are left on hand (as foes to be reckoned with) unchanged in feeling, and without abatement of their animosity': and surely the vulgate conveys his meaning clearly enough.
§4. $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \delta \notin \delta \eta^{\prime} \ldots \alpha v \delta p a \gamma a \theta i \xi \in \sigma \theta a \mathrm{~L}$. Steup argues that (1) the necessary logical supplement to the context is far from obvious, (2) the 8 , clause of the apodosis does not accord with the protasis.

But the train of thought is clear enough, to those at least who do not insist upon logical precision of expression in the days of an unformulated logic. We must not lose sight of the inferential or or of the alternative $\ddot{\eta}$, suggesting an $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \epsilon \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu 0 \nu$ to the $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon$ protasis. $\ddot{\eta}$, like the Latin 'aut' or 'vel,' is used in adding the consequence of denying a former proposition, in the sense of 'or else,' 'otherwise' (cf. Ruby, Lat. Gr. §2216; Hartung, de Partic. 11. 57). Indeed, as in Thuc. 1. 121 § 5, $\eta$ is the equivalent of a second protasis with $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$. The argument is 'Justice must yield to expediency. So far as justice is concerned: if the revolt of Mytilene be justifiable, the Athenian claim to dominion is without justification: but if, in that case (i.e. in the face of an acknowledged truism), the Athenians still insist upon enforcing a claim
which is no claim, justice must be ignored ; or otherwise (i.e. if justice be recogrised) justice must assert her right, and Athens must relinquish the rule of the strong hand.'

Junghahn's contention of false substantiation by means of a $\gamma \dot{a} p$ clause (N. Jahrb. cxi. 662) -one which depends entirely upon the connexion in which $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ is taken-is sufficiently refuted ly Junge, who finds a

 The elliptical use of $\tau v \gamma \chi \dot{u} v e \iota v$, although admissible in the case of a substantival participle omitted, e.g. II. $87 \S 5$, or where the supplement is obvious, e.g. IV. $26 \dot{o} \pi \dot{\delta} \theta \in \nu \tau \dot{\chi} \chi 0 \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ ( $\kappa a \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \epsilon \neq \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ), can hardly justify the assumption of such a construction as $\tau i \gamma \chi \alpha \dot{\nu} \epsilon \nu$ óp $\gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ övtes. We may, it is true, assume a construction кarà そúve $\sigma t \nu$, by explaining $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o j p \gamma \eta \dot{\eta}$

 $\S 8$; the agreement in $\ddot{\eta} \nu \tau t \nu a$ is purely accidental (or, more correctly, due to position): cf. I. $35 \S+\delta \dot{\delta} \nu a \mu \nu \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i v \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \dot{\psi} \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \ldots \ddot{\nu} \nu$ oú סikatov. May not the rolúnous $\beta$ paquloria of Thucydides have originated the expression $\sigma \phi a \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu a l$ ó $\rho \gamma \dot{\eta} \nu$ as 'writ short' for $\sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} v a l ~ \sigma \phi a ́ \lambda \mu a$ $\delta \rho \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ? or if we follow the clue of the direct agreement, feminine, replacing the neuter ött, we shall still preserve the natural connexion of the sentence with $\sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \ell \tau \epsilon s$. The point which Diodotus emphasizes is not that the Athenians are led into errors of judgment through heat of temper, but that in the heat of passion they visit on the proposer those errors of judgment to which they find that they have already been committed.
 colloquial $\epsilon i \epsilon \nu$, which is open to two objections, ( I ) the absence of any Thucydidean parallel, (2) that it is the first sentence which is left incomplete and not the second, e.s. Thiuc. ili. $3 \S 3$, Aristoph. Plut. 468 , he considers justified by the argument (an aesthetic one) that the painful consequence of the alternative should be passed over as lightly as possible; he accordingly regards $\epsilon i \in \nu$ as expressive of resignation, 'very well, I say no more.' The proposed emendations he rejects one and all on the plea that they necessitate the supplement of oi $\kappa \in \lambda \in i \sigma \omega$, which, after $\ddot{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon$ kal, he holds will be scarcely compatible with the context.

Chap. xlv. § 3. каl тоиิто ő $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ тараßaívєтal. Kriiger's suggestion
 The oljections raised by Steup and Lupus (N. Jahrb. Cxi. 166) to

Stahl's explanation are suggestive of hypercriticism. Is there so much difference after all between 'treating with indifference the law itself, and the penalty imposed by the law'?

There is no need to ignore ö $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}^{\text {'after all' }}$ (in spite of such stringency). Hude, reading кai raîra, would render 'nevertheless such transgressions still continue.'

Against Lupus' proposed excision of kai roûro it may fairly be held (with Prof. Smith) that it is hard to account for the presence of such an interpolation.
 Classen holds that we have a distinction of the impelling passions from the external circumstances. The first two clauses deal with certain definite conditions both external and internal; the thind clause deals generally with all other possible cases. The ä入入aı گuvruxia of the third
 answers to $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \eta$ and $\begin{aligned} & \text { üppos (although } \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \eta \text { is external, üppis internal), }\end{aligned}$ and, lastly, $\delta \rho \gamma \eta$ to $\ddot{v} \beta p / s$ and $\phi \rho \delta \nu \eta \mu \alpha$.
$\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \epsilon \omega \nu$ would suggest ëкaбtós $\tau \iota s$ rather than $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \dot{d} \sigma \tau \eta ~ \tau \iota s$, and, whether taken with $\xi$ Hence the change to $\tau \grave{\partial} \nu \ddot{\omega} \nu 0 \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu$, which has the further merit of supplying $\epsilon_{\xi} \xi \dot{\gamma} \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ with an object.
 and Steup take exception, as not used in the sense of 'attack' before Polybius.

Chap. 1. § 1. od $\lambda$ C $\gamma \omega \pi \lambda \epsilon$ fous $X \backslash \lambda(\omega v$. The impossibility of this numerical estimate has been fully discussed by Muiller-Striihing (Thuk. Forsch. 154 sqq.) : the most important point made by him is the absence of all confirmatory evidence. To Schutz's alteration of $\chi$ int $\omega \nu$ to триáкоута, M.-S. objects that in a number less than 100 Thuc. would have given the exact figures. Prof. Smith (Appendix 291) lays stress on the incompatibility of this chapter with the previous narrative: cf. $2 \S \mathrm{I}, 5$ § 4,13 § $\mathrm{I}, 28$ § $\mathrm{I}, 35$ § I . See, however, Stahl, Rhein. Mus. xxxifir. 1, Holzapfel (Rhein. Mus. xxxifi, 3, p. $4+8$ ), Herbst (Philol. Xlif. p. 107).
 (Thul. Forsch. 2 I ), though approved by Ilolzapfel, are refuted by Stahl (Rh. Mus. xxxviri. I +3 ). Cf. also Diodorus Nil. 5 . Antiphon v. § 77.

With regard to the smallness of the rental, Prof. Smith remarks that it may easily be explained, if we assume the object of the Athenians to
have been to supply a considerable number of the poorer citizens with a livelihood at the expense of the ouvarot; for the original owners we may safely assume to have been oligarchs, hence the סipos, whom Athens had most reason to conciliate, would be but little affected.

Chap. li. § 3. é $\lambda \omega \dot{v}$ ov̂v ảmò $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} s$ Ntoaías. To the usual interpretation of $a \pi d$ t $\hat{\mu} s$ N(oulas, 'ab ea parte quace Nisacam spectat,' Steup oljects ( I ) that there is no proof that this is the actual meaning of the words, (2) that the reference must be to another side of the island than that towards Nisaea, (3) that Nicias would not have ventured to attack the hostile mainland. Ullrich explains as 'away from Nisaca.' Classen retorts that, in that case, $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \theta_{a} \lambda_{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \eta s$ would render the addition $\dot{a} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ Nofalas superfluous; but all depends on the sense in which we take $\epsilon^{\kappa} \kappa \theta$ alá $\sigma \sigma \eta$, whether 'on the sea-sile' or 'from the sea.' C. F. Mitler suggests a dislocation (see crit. note, P. 43). Classen would bracket the three offending words.
 takes exception to the courdination of rai moooùves with kai mpovooûvtes as dependent on ôwájorte, on the ground of a tautology between the
 oîvres. But his argument depends larsely upon taking apovooîvtes as equivalent to $\pi$ пок $\eta \delta \delta \dot{\rho} \mu \boldsymbol{\nu} 0$, 'caring for us.' A suspicion that the words öть є́кóvtas éגásєтє refer to something not previously mentioned leads him to conjecture that the text originally ran in some such form as this,
 ing would convey an impression of contrasted motives and correspond with 38 § 6, 46 § .

Against Stahl's explanation he contends that kai cannot represent 'etiam,' as $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ãóclav molô̂vtes is simply the antithesis to $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu a \tau a \delta$ sıaфөipal. From 52 § 2 it would be left to the Spartans to spare or slay the Platacans, whose lives would be secure in case of the Spartans declining to execute them: ergo kal as the equivalent of 'etiam' cannot stand.
§5. є́p $\eta \mu$ ovtte. The intrusion of an apparent present form between two futures has induced Stahl to correct to $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \eta \mu o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon s$. Classen, retaining the vulgate, treats the form as a contracted future, but the instances quoted are certainly presents. Steup would avoid the difficulty by cutting out $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \eta \mu o \hat{T} \tau \in$ as an adscript, and construing iepá and $\theta v \sigma i a s ~ a s ~ o b j e c t s ~ o f ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \iota \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$, understanding iєpá with єi$\sigma \alpha \mu \dot{\iota} \nu \omega \nu$ ( $\dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ ) and $\theta \nu \sigma i a s$ with $\kappa \tau \iota \sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.
 With Steup's contention that these worls cannot be connected with the preceding context, as also with his explanation of $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ ioqv tiumpiav, 'the equivalent punishment,' i.c. a punishment contrary to all law or right, I find it hard to agree. The case as stated against the I'lataeans is that the offence they have committed - a breach of the law of nations, without even the justification of provocation on the part of Thebes (ov $\pi \rho o-$ $\pi a(0$ óvtes) -is one for which no adequate atonement can be made, even by the extremest penalty of the law. ion I would understand in the sense of ioou'́ $\rho \eta \eta_{0}$, i.e. of the adjustment of the punishment to the offence. As for the participial construction, does it present any greater difficulty than $\tau \epsilon \tau \tau \mu \omega \rho \eta \mu \epsilon \epsilon_{0}$ in § r ? The text, in my opinion, requires no change, whether by altering ádramoóovtes to a finite verb or assuming a lacuna before the participle, e.g. oósov $\sigma \nu$. Giller's proposal to treat ĕvoua ráp as a complete parenthesis is intolerably harsh.
 of these fugitives Steup, from arguments based on the narrative of Thuc., would place at earliest in the winter of $428-427$ B.C. See also B. Schmidt, Kork. Stud. 9 .
 this passage Steup detects a collision with the text of SI § I $\dot{u} \pi \epsilon \rho \in \nu \in \gamma$.
 have called attention to mere cowardly apprehension on the part of Alcidas? If the text be sound, he holds that the historian's intention is to mark the fact that when Alcidas was in the offing of Leucas, the Athenian fleet had not as yet passed the peninsula. The real aim of such transport of a fleet would be to shorten the voyage; hence he argues that the words ö $\pi \omega s \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\circ} \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega$ are interpolated. The signalling was probably effected by a chain of фрuктol. Cf. viII. IO2.
 no assumption of a non-Thucydidean use of $\pi a^{\theta} \theta$ os in the sense of 'passion' or 'emotion.' A solution will be found in the analogous use


 agreement was forced upon them through reverses,' Aesch. Ag. +34 iv
 through a woman.' So here I would understand the words to mean that 'men are brought through hardship to desire their neighbours' groods.'
 1. $y_{i}$, 八. Jalirl). 1892, p. 317) raises the question whether Istone repre-
 holds to afford no sufficient argument for placing the teixos near the city, and proposes to fix its position on Pantokrator, a mountain in the N.E. of Corfu, the loftiest in the island. From Iv. 46 \& i he proposes to rewrite $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ 'I $\sigma \tau$ curns, on the ground ( I ) that the whole of the mountain range in the North of Corcyra was called Istone, (2) that the accusative is the mere correction of some grammarian.
 correct the sentence (1) by omitting $\tau \dot{\nu} \nu$ before $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, ( 2 ) by suppressing is before $\tau \grave{a}$ taúrg $\chi$ wpla. His contention is that Thuc. intended to give the place both an ancient and modern name ; the words Kadusiva

 the cities of this name, and $\chi \omega \rho i=$ referring to the neighbouring region: cf. 98 sub fin. raûta rà $\chi$ wpia. Herwerden also would excise '̇́s before rà $\tau a u ́ \tau \eta$ on the ground of close connexion with the preceding context.

 $\dot{d} \sigma \pi 0 \nu \delta o t$, it is clear that an attempt only was made, and that the addition of the aorist participle to the imperfect of the indicative could not convert an attempt into success. Further, both oürcs and $\dot{\alpha} \theta$ póor present difficulties: if the Ambraciots withdrew in a body with the Mantineans and others, with whom terms had been made, not only would the whole scheme be frustrated, but we have also to account for their admission to a secret agreement. With this view both Stahl and Widmann agree, with this difference however, that Stahl, from I. $\sigma_{5}$ § I $\eta \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta \in \lambda \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 the contrary, holds that $\mu$ огoí $\mu \in \nu$ ot renders oüt $\omega$ s more intelligible, as representing катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\xi} \dot{\mu} \mu \beta \alpha \sigma \nu$. Classen's argument is, however, somewhat suggestive of $\dot{o} \sigma o \phi i \check{j} \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ קou入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu 0 s$. The Ambraciots at least succeeded in getting outside the walls of Olpae. The participial difficulty may be easily overcome, and that without violence to the text. Hude's suggestion of correcting $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$ to $\mu \dot{\eta}$ commends itself strongly, not only for palicographical reasons (as $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ are frequently confused by scril)es) but also as distinguishing the äб $\sigma 0 \nu \delta o u$ from the $\epsilon \nu \sigma \pi \sigma \nu \delta o u$. But for his proposed alteration of oüт ws to roútoss (with Herwerden) there appears no necessity.

The ellipse of övtes with itirquyov finds a parallel in I. 32 § 3 and 11. 87 § 5 áтара́бкєvol тóтє тuхєîv: compare the like omission with $\dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \chi \in \sigma \theta a t$ II. 49 § 5 , and $\delta \iota a \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i v$ I. 34 § 3, vr. 89 § 2: but these instances are justly suspected.
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A．
äү $\nu \omega \sigma$ TOS $9+85$
áela 58 §3
$\dot{\alpha} \kappa 0 \cup \sigma \cos 3 \mathrm{I}$ § I， 82 § 2
גкратך́s $\mathrm{S}_{4} \$ 2$
d́к $\alpha$ II § 3
à $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \gamma \eta \tau$ os $40 \S 5$
àvєそєúpєtos 87 §3
גंขєTเยเкク่＇ 66 § 2
àvє $\pi ル ท ่ \delta \in \operatorname{los} 7 \mathrm{I}$ § 2
avev́Өvvos 43 §4
áそúveTOS $42 \S 2$
äтракто今 I13§5
$\alpha \pi \rho \in \pi \eta s 67 \$ 4$

appworia I5 §2
áo日єvク́s p．II8
á $\sigma \tau \dot{a} \theta \mu \eta \tau o s ~ 59 § \mathbf{I}$
áбфá入єıa 82 § 4
áromia 82 §3
àண́v 40 § 3， 49 § 1,57 § 3， 67 86

aं $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha$ 82 7
á $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} s 37$ \＆ 4
à $\boldsymbol{\omega} \nu 0 \theta \epsilon \tau \epsilon i \nu \quad 38 \S 2$
aंסıкєi้ 65 § 1
$\alpha \in i$ with article $23 \S 2,7 ヶ \S I$
a日入ov 82 § S
a 0 póos 24 §I， 7 S § $\mathrm{I}, 107$ § 1
aitla I3 §I
aítiov $89 \S 5,93 \S 2$
aкルá̧etv I § I
áкро $\beta 0 \lambda i \varsigma \in \sigma \theta a l ~ 73$ § I
aं $\lambda \kappa \eta$ そ 30 § 2， 108 § I
à入入á 27 § $1,53 \S 2$
à入入á．．．ả入入á II3§4
$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \ddot{\eta}$－I $\S$ I
á入入о́котоs $49 \S 4$
à入os p．I56；for étepos 49 § 2
a入入о ть ท゙ 85 § 3
a゙入入 $\omega$ s $39 \S 5$
ä $\mu$ with partic． 49 § 3，III § 1
，，adding important fact $I I 4 \$ 1$
á $\mu a \theta i a \quad 37 \$ 3$

av potential 92 § 4 position of $89 \$ 5$
ává in compounds $9+\S 3$
ávaßaívelv II2 § I
áváүelv IOt § 3
áváסотos 52 § I
àขакєї $\sigma \alpha \iota$ II 4 § I
a゙ขакрои́єбӨaь 78 § 3
ảva入außávelv 38 § I
ávaرi豸 $107 \S 4$
ávaбтє́入入єเv 98 \＆ 1,89 §5
ávaфє́pєเv 38 § 2
àvย́入кєเv 89 § 3
ávьotával of raising forces 784
of suppliants 28
$\S 2,75 \S 4$
$\dot{a} \nu a ́ \gamma K \eta$ 57 § I
ал $\delta р a \gamma \alpha \theta i a ~ 57$ § I
$\alpha \nu \delta \rho a \gamma a \theta i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 40 \S+$
ávグкєเข 45 § 3
aंvท́p Ionic for Tis 20 § I
avoкшХท่ $4 \S \&$
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i-: \quad a \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu i\} \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 3^{8}$ ávтavaرévetv 12 §2
$\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon เ \nu 12 \S 3$
àvita 49 § I
àv $\tau \iota \pi 0 \lambda \epsilon ́ \mu \cos 90 \S 1$
a่ $\nu \tau \iota 兀 \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 83$ § 1
á $\xi^{\circ}$ เ०ยิ้ 55 § I
むそi
ảтaเôєvбia 42 § I
ámó causal II4§ I
distinct from úrb́b $36 \S 6$
or $\epsilon \pi i$ ？ 90 § 2
in adv．phrases aं $\pi \dot{\delta}$ тท̂s ions 40 § 6
temporal in 2 § 2
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta-: \dot{\alpha} \pi a \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \sigma_{3}$ § 3
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \lambda \iota \omega \dot{\tau} \eta{ }^{2} \quad 23$ §5
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o \beta a l \nu \in \iota \nu$（impersonal，u－ nique） $93 \S$ I
ámb́үроа 85 § 3
a่тобє́ $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 57$ § $\mathrm{I}, 3$ § 1
a่токเข $\delta \cup \nu \in \cup ์ \epsilon เ \nu ~ 39 § 8$
ȧтокрive $\sigma \theta a \iota 61$ § 1
ảmo入єimeเv Є̇к 10 § 3

aंтотрє́ $\pi \epsilon!\nu$ II § I， 39 § I
аंтот $о \pi \dot{\eta} 82$ § 4
aंтохр $\hat{\sigma} \sigma$ बa८ 81 § 2
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \theta \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \quad 55 \S 1,39 \S 4$

áp $\gamma v \rho o \lambda$ ó $\gamma$ o 19 § I
ápєTท́ 10 § $1,53 \S+$
ápıөرeî $\sigma a l 20$ § 3
ảpть 3 § I
äтนนos 58 §5
aủ入iそєन $\quad 96$ § 1
av่日と́vtךs 58 § 5
aủ $\alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon \lambda$ OS 33 § 2
aútós in loose reference $82 \S 5$
strangely placed 98 § 1
aủтoì aút $\hat{\omega} \nu$ II § I
$={ }^{6}$ ultro＇ 65 § I
ó aủtós 98 § $\mathrm{I}, 2$ 亿 § 3
aủтоßoel $7+\S 2$
au่тои̂＇ilico＇ 98 §2，112 § 5

## B．

$\beta \circ \eta \theta \epsilon i ้ \nu(\dot{\epsilon} \pi l) 68$ § I， 110 § 2 ßoú入クすเs 68 § I
ßрахús（ $=\sigma \mu$ iкро́s） $39 \S 7,36 \S 2$ ßpaxúrŋ̀s 42 §I

## $\Gamma$.

रáp explanatory 65 § I
misplaced 58 § 2
$=$＇nämlich＇ 9 § I
parenthetical 107 § 3
$\gamma \in$ limiting 45 § 4
रєyvaîos 83 § I
रєעvatóт $\eta$ s 82 § 7
$\gamma i \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ periphrasis with $105 \S 3$ $\gamma i \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ v́m 59 § 2
$\gamma i \gamma \nu . \epsilon \nu 102$ § I
$\gamma \nu o u$ s with infin． 48 § 1
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \S_{2}$
$\gamma \nu \dot{\mu} \mu a s \pi \rho о \theta \varepsilon i \nu a \iota 36 \S 5$

## $\Delta$.

$\delta a \pi a ́ v \eta$＇sumptus＇or＇facultas＇ 3 I§ I
סt apodotic 98 § I
doubled II § I
epexegetical 107 § 4
postpositum $10+\S 5$
ồ oû̀ $\nu$ resumptive $8+\S 1$
supplementary 26 § 2
without $\mu \epsilon \nu \nu$ p．I $18,106 \S 2$
סéos 45 § 4 ，II § 6
$\delta \eta$ g explanatory 104 § 1
emphatic，with sup． 39 § 5 ， 113 § 6
$\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu 68$ § I，III § I
סıá：סl＇ó入lyou 43 §4 otà $\pi \alpha ́ \theta$ ous $8+\S \mathrm{I}$ ，and ap－ pendix p． 328
סtà mavtós 58 § 3
$\delta \iota \alpha-: \delta \iota \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu 4^{2} \S 2,82 \S 3$, 109 § 2
$\delta \iota a \gamma^{\nu} \omega \dot{\mu} \mu 4^{2}$ § I
ठ८a入úєเข 83 § I
סıa入єimeเv 74 §【
ס८a入vtท́s 82 § 5
$\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu \quad 82$ § 2
סเa $\mu a ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 40$ §2
סıávola 82 § 3


ঠıaфє́perv 83 § I
Sıáфopov 54 § 1



ס1 $\epsilon \xi$ Kodos 98 § 2
ठเок $\omega \chi$ グ 87 § 3
Sikalov 56 § 3,54 § 1
ठıкаเоиิनөa 40 § 4
סikalwots 82 § 4
סठкクणル 43 § I
סокоข̂y 38 § 2
\＄6乡а 37 § 4
So そá̧̌ル $45 \S 6$
$\delta$ v́vapls 87 § 2 ；̇̇v $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \in l ~ 93 § 2$
סúvajoal 46 § 2
סuvaotela 62 § 3
$\delta v \sigma \epsilon \sigma \beta 0 \lambda \omega ́ \tau a \tau O S$ IOI § 2

## E．

ぞסaфos $68 \S 3$
$\dot{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \lambda о \pi \rho \sigma \xi \epsilon \nu 0 s 70 \S 2$
Є日 $\theta \eta 92$ §5
eip $¢ \in เ \nu \mu \dot{\eta} 6$ § 2 ；with genit．S6 § 4
$\epsilon l$ ：interrogative $5+\S$ I
єl Boú $\mathrm{A} \in \boldsymbol{6} 52$ §3
$\epsilon l$ oủ 55 § 1
є $\boldsymbol{l} \pi \omega s 45 \S 3$
cǐTIS 30 §3
$\epsilon l \mu \eta \dot{\tau} \tau L \quad 2$ § 3
ciкós with aorist $10 \S 6$
$\epsilon i \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu(\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu) 58 § 5$
єl大lv oil 24 § 2
$\epsilon K$ ：pleonastic 37 § 3
different from $\dot{u} \pi 669 \S 1$
suggesting source 102 § 2

oi ধ̌к т $\ddagger$ s $\pi 6 \lambda \epsilon \omega s 29$ § 1
$\epsilon \in \cdot: \epsilon \in \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon L \nu 42$ § 2
$\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \rho \in \pi \eta$ भ́s 55 § 2
є̇ктрvðoûv 93 § 2
є́кф́є $\rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota 8+\S$ I
$\epsilon \kappa \varnothing \circ \beta \epsilon \grave{\nu} 93$ § 3
є́彑a入єโ申є！ 20 § 2
єं $\eta \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta$ 人 55 § 5， 93 §3

é $\boldsymbol{e} \in \gamma \chi$ os 53 § 2
є $\lambda \theta \epsilon \bar{l} \nu \quad 39$ § 4.
$\epsilon \lambda \pi i s$＇ambition＇ $39 \S 3$ ＇suspicion＇ 32 § 3
$\epsilon \nu$ ：forensic $39 \S 6,57 \S 3$
not local 13 § 5
periphrasis with 75 § 2
$\varepsilon \nu \nu \delta u \nu a ́ \mu \in \imath 93$
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ö $\sigma \omega 28$ § I
év $\pi 0 \sigma$ iv 97 § I
$\epsilon^{2} \nu$ тois $\pi \lambda$ еíatal 17 § I
є̇ $\nu$ тoîs $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \quad 28$ § I
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \stackrel{\psi}{\dot{s}} \mu \dot{y}_{4} 8$ § 2
$\epsilon \nu v=\epsilon \tau \nu \delta \in \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 82$ § 7
$\dot{\epsilon} \nu \in \delta \rho a \quad 90 \S 2$
Eveprol $17 \$ 1$
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \mu \in ́ v \in t \nu \quad 20$ § 2
є̀ $\nu о \iota к о \delta о \mu \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \theta$ at 85 §3
$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\kappa} \tau \omega s 2_{2}$ §4



є̇छovala 45 § 4
$\epsilon \pi \pi \epsilon$ in contrast $45 \S 3,82 \S 1$
$\epsilon \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} 68$ §5
є́ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau a$ in Thuc．without $\delta \epsilon \in 47$ § 3， or as $=\delta$ é IOI § 2 ，of order $94 \S$ I
$\epsilon \in \pi \ell$ aggressive $39 \S 2$
ambiguous $42 \S 2$
with dat． $92 \S 4$
distinct from $\mu \in T \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ IO5 § 2
for $\pi a \rho a ́ \quad 22 \S 3$
$={ }^{6} \mathrm{re}^{\prime}$ p． 123
$\epsilon \pi \iota-: \epsilon \pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} 100$ § I
є่ $\pi a i \rho \in \sigma \theta a \iota 37$ § 4
є่ $\pi a v \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 5$ § 2
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \phi \in \rho \epsilon \iota \nu 53 \S 4$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \xi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{w}$ ．accus． $108 \S 2$
Є̇ $\pi \epsilon \xi$ Ł́́vac W．accus． $82 \S 8$
є̇ $\pi \epsilon \rho \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ є่s 47 § I
є̇ $\pi \epsilon \chi \chi \in เ \nu 107 \$ 4$
$\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \beta \alpha^{\prime} \tau \alpha \iota \quad 95$ § 2

$\epsilon \pi \pi\langle\beta 0 \lambda a l 20$ § 2
 only 20 § I

$\epsilon \in \pi \imath \nu \hat{\omega} \nu a \iota 57$ § 1

$\epsilon \pi \iota \epsilon เ \kappa \eta$ р p．107， 9 § 2

Є̇ $\pi เ K \in \lambda \epsilon \cup ์ \in เ \nu ~ 82 ~ § 5$
Є̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 49$ § 4
є́ $\pi \iota к \eta \rho \cup к є \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ IOI § I
є́ $\pi$ lкoupos 73 § I
є゙ $\pi \iota \pi \lambda \alpha 68$ § 3
є่ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ 69 § 4
$\epsilon \pi \iota \sigma \pi о \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu \quad(\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \pi \omega \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu \eta \nu) \quad \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu 0 \nu \in \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 61$ § 2

89 § 5


$\epsilon \pi เ \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon t \nu 81$ § $4,4^{2}$ § 3
$\epsilon \pi$ lфороs 74 § 2
ímiхaptos 67 § 4
єфіттабөal 82 § 2
̇́фориâv not in Thuc．p． 150
${ }^{\epsilon} \phi \circ \rho \mu$ os a false form $7^{6} \S 1$

é $\rho \eta \mu$ os of 2 or 3 terms．in Thuc．
Io6 § I
є̌рида 90 § 3
${ }^{\text {Ex}} \rho \omega \mathrm{s} 45$ § 5
ès marking limit（és $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \omega \hat{\tau} \pi$ ） 39
§ 2
$=\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \stackrel{8}{2}$ § 8
$=\pi \rho o ́ s \quad 54 \S 1,37 \S 2$
（ $=\xi \pi l$ ）of purpose $54 \S 3$, II § 2
temporal $8+\S_{2}$
दs $3 \psi \epsilon 108$ § 3
̇̇бакои́cty p． 106

t $\sigma \theta \eta \mu \alpha \quad 58$ § 4
$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon L \nu 98$ § 1


éraıpla 82 § 5
є́таוрıкы́s 82 § 6
củnoǹs 83 § I
єบ้̉ท่ 112 § 3
єu゙vota 9 § 2
єủmopía 45 § 5
$\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \sigma \epsilon \beta \epsilon \iota a 82$ § 8
єv̉ara入єî́s 22 § 2
єú $\phi \eta \dot{\mu} \omega \mathrm{s}$ I 34 § 5
＇Є $\chi \in L \nu(\pi a \rho \epsilon \in \chi \in L \nu) 53$ § 2

＇́xupós 83 § I
téws ằ 97 § I
é $\omega$ s with optat．rare 102 § 7

## Z

Snula 67 § 2
§ク山loûa日at +0 § 2

## H

$\ddot{\eta}(=\epsilon l \delta \hat{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}) 40 \S_{4}$
in p． 122

グठ $\eta$ with genitive 106 § 3
$\dot{\eta} \lambda \iota \kappa 1 a 67$ § 3
$\dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \iota \kappa \delta \nu 102$ § 6
$\dot{\eta} \sigma u \chi \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu 68$ § 1， 94 § 2
ウ்бuxia 48 § I

## $\theta$

Oavpá $\epsilon_{\epsilon \nu \nu}$ II 3 § I；with genitive 38 § I
Oqatai 38 § 2， 38 § 6 and Appendix p． 323
$\theta$ єîos（ $\nu \delta \mu \circ \mathrm{s}) 82$ § 6
$\theta \epsilon \mu \hat{\lambda} \lambda c a \quad 68$ § 3
$\theta \in \rho a \pi \epsilon l a \operatorname{II} \S 5$
$\theta \in \omega p \in i ̂ v$ és $10+\$ 3$
$\theta \eta \kappa \eta$ 104 §2

## I

$i \delta \epsilon a 62$ § 2,81 § 4,83 § $\mathrm{I}, 98$ § 3 ， II2 §2
iєронךvía 56 § 2
iepóv gर § 1
iкєтєía 67 § 3
iкє́тクs 59 § 2
i入ทंко $10+\S 5$
ioakal I4 § I

iбovouia 62 §3， 82 § 8
lóひそうos 79§3

## K

кal continuative 5 § 4 corrective 33 § I
intensive（кal $\pi \alpha ́ v v) ~ 98 § \mathrm{I}, 2 \S$ I obscure in connexion it § 3
кal．．．кal，＇ut．．．ita＇ 7 I § I
каi үáp 54 § 4
кal oủ．．．dं $\lambda \lambda$ á II2 §3
кal w＇s＇vel sic＇ $33 \S_{2}$
ка́入入os 17 § 1
какотротіа 82 § І
какойәөає II2 § 7
$\kappa а \tau \alpha ́ ~ d i s t r i b u t i v e ~(L a t . ~ '-t i m ') ~ p . ~$ 128
$=$＇iuxta＇ 110 § $1 ;=\delta$ tá $62 \S 5$ 82 § 2
＇point at which＇ 107 § 3 катà ขผ́rov 107 § 3

катà $\pi$ bías 98 § 2

$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha-: \kappa \alpha т \alpha \gamma є \lambda \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ 82 § I катаүเү $\omega$ бкєєเ 45 § I катá $\nu \omega \omega \sigma$ เs 16 § 1,82 § 8 катаүஸ́үเоข 68 §3 катаби́єเข 77 § 1 катак入ú\}єเข 89 § 2 $\kappa \alpha \cdot \theta \epsilon \xi$ เร 47 § 5 каӨiऍєเข 104 § 4 ка́Өпиає 38 § 7，46§3 каӨ ${ }_{4} \sigma r a ́ v a l ~ ' s i s t e r e ' ~ 53 § 4$ катабтท̂val 82 § I катабтท̂val є่s 86 § 4 ката入ข́єเ้ 46 § 2 кáӨo oos 85 § 3
кататробойval 63 § 3,109 § 2
катафрорєір 83 § 3
катє $\chi \in L \nu 89$ § 2
каเขós（ $่ \kappa \kappa$ каเข $\hat{\varsigma}$ ） 92 § 6
каıós $56 \S 4$
$\kappa \in i \sigma \theta a \iota 38$ § I
кє́pas 77 § I
кєфа入аєоиิ้ 67 § 6
кเขסบขยย์ยเข $74 \S 2$

$\kappa \lambda i \nu \eta 68$ § 5
ко七ขoi $\nu$ b $\mu$ ot $8+\S 3$
кotvoi єivat 68 § I
kotvós 59 § I；doubtful 105 § I
коเv $\omega v i a \operatorname{lo}$ § I

кратєi้ 91 § 5,99
кра́тоs（катà кра́тоs） 97 §2，103§ I
крєiбб $\omega \nu$ 8 § 2 ；doubtful 37 § 3
крои́єб日a 77 §3
ктโ广єしข 58 § 5,93 § 2
кข $\mu \alpha \tau о \hat{\cup} \sigma \theta a \iota 89 \$ 2$

## $\Lambda$.

$\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu є \iota \nu 56$ § 3， 59 § I
$\lambda a \beta \in \imath ̂ \nu \quad \chi \rho \eta ́ \mu a \tau \alpha$ 81 § 4
$\lambda a \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l$ with gen． $24 \S 2$
$\lambda a \beta \omega \dot{\nu}$ pleonastic？81 §2
$\lambda \alpha \nu \theta a ́ v \in \iota \nu$ constm．inverted 5 I §2， 74 §3
入aұavı $\sigma$ ós 1 II § I
$\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon เ \nu \tau \iota 3^{8} \S 6$

$\lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a l 85 \$_{2}$

入o $\quad$ ıoús 83 § 2
入óros，és 入órov 46 § 4
M．
макрáv 55 § I
$\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu(\epsilon ̇ \pi i \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu) 65 \S_{2}$
ov̉ồv $\mu a \hat{\lambda} \lambda \frac{1}{} 79$ § 3
comparison suppressed 23 § 4
$\mu \epsilon \gamma a$（ $=\delta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta \nu) 36$ § 4
$\mu \in \gamma \in \theta$ os 113 § 5
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \omega$ constrn．of $92 \S 2$
interchanged with $\delta \in \hat{\imath v} 22$ § 3
strong auxiliary p．II8
$\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$ in strong sense 12 § 2
$\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ irregular sequence with $\delta \epsilon \in 7$ § 1
single $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ clause with double סé clause p． 125
with $\delta \epsilon$ in chiastic order 45 S 5
with $\delta \in$ in irregular sequence S7§ 1
$\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \gamma \epsilon$ in asyndeton 39 § 2
$\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \delta \dot{\eta}=\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ II3 § 4
$\mu e ̀ v$ oûv disjunct 101 § 2
in Thuc．continuative not cor－ rective 98 § I
$\mu \epsilon \rho o s(\mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \iota)$＇bona pars＇ 103 § 2
$\mu$＇́ $\sigma o s, \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \notin \sigma \alpha$＇neutrals＇ 82 § 8
$\mu \hat{k} \sigma \circ \nu \quad \sigma \chi \eta$ クु～a 68 § 1
$\mu \in \tau \alpha ́$ pleonastic $3^{8} \S 5$
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-: \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha 37$ § 1
$\mu \in \tau a \zeta \dot{u}^{2} 2 \mathrm{I}$ § I
$\mu \in \tau \alpha \pi u ́ p \gamma \operatorname{lo\nu } 22$ § 3
$\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \omega \rho o s ~ 33 \S 3,72 \S 3$
Metiéval jo § I
нヒ́тоцкоц 16 § I
$\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota 28$ § 2,98 § I
$\mu \eta$ í apparently misplaced $22 \S \mathrm{I}$ ， 40 § 3
hypothetical p． 125
$\mu$ خो ou p．10\％， $53 \S 3$
$\mu \eta$ with indic． 53 § 2
$\mu \eta \chi a v a l$ 5I §3
$\mu \nu \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha 1 \mathrm{IO}_{4} \S 3$
$\mu$ оіра 82 § 4
$\mu$ rvot not＇soli＇ $54 \S 3,62$ § I

## N．

vaúap os． 26 § 1
ขaúota $0 \mu 0 \nu 6$ § 2
veкpós IIz § I
$\nu \epsilon \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 88$ § I
vєокатd́бтатоs 93 § 2
עє́кктเбтоs 100
$\nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho i$ § $\epsilon \nu \quad 66$ § 2
$\nu$ เкầ p． 112
voul§etv with dat． 82 § 8
with ws construction，con－ demned by Valck． 88 § 3
$\nu \hat{\nu}$ ot of fact v．assumption 113 § 6

## z．




૬̌v－：छ̌ú
$\xi \nu \mu \beta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a t 45$ § 6
Evaßon $\theta$ єin 94 § 4
Ev́ $\mu$ ßovios 42 § +

$\xi v \mu \mu i \xi a 6$ 11о § 1
ぞv $\mu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu 365$

छuva入入arท่ 82 § 7
$\xi \nu \nu \epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ v \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 74$ § I
Guvetbs 82 § 4
छ$v \nu \in \chi \in \sigma \theta a \iota 18$ § 1
$\xi$ छ́vodot 82 § 6
૬uvorkia 74 § 2
छvvotki广el 2 §3， 93 § 1


0.
oikeiv in pregnant sense 48 § I
without object 75 § I
olketoûv 65 § 3
oikıбTท่s 34 § 4， 92 §5
oiкодb $\mu \eta \sigma \iota$（for оікодо $\mu$ ia） 21 § I
otós $\tau \epsilon 93$ § 1
${ }^{\prime} 0 \lambda v \mu \pi l a \zeta \epsilon$ p． 112
ӧ $\mu$ аи $\chi$ оо 58 § 4
$\delta \mu \mathrm{i}$ о os I § 2
ó $\mu 0 \beta \dot{\omega} \mu$ ноs 59 § 2
i $\mu 0 \hat{i} \alpha 66$ § 2
ó $\mu$ ос́т $\quad$ ротоs 10 § I
ö $\mu \omega$ н 49 § I， 80 § I
ö $\pi \lambda a=$＇castra＇ $\mathrm{I} \S 2$
$\delta \pi \omega s$ ro5 § 2
final p．II 1
modal 44 § 4,80 § I oủx öT $\omega$ s 42 § 5
óp $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota ~ 92$ § 5
ор $\mu \hat{a} \sigma \theta a \iota$ ÉK 3 I § I
ös adversative $54 \S 5$
ő in apposition 12 § I
ös causal $55 \S 3$ ；as $\in \mathscr{l} \tau t s$ p． 123
collective 47 § 4
ós $\mu \eta \eta^{\prime} 62$ § 4,58 § $\mathrm{I}, 56 \S 5$
ö́cov 56 § 3
ö $\sigma 0 \nu$ II § 2

ö $\sigma \tau L$ LS $\mu$ خ́ 39 § 2
 $\omega ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon 57$ § 3
ӧтє $\pi \epsilon \rho$ ס̀̀ $54 \S 5$
ӧть coordinate with clause of direct object 37 § 2
construction lapsing into infin． 25 § I

ou coalescing with subst． $95 \S 2$
in $\epsilon l$ clause $40 \S 4,42 \S 2,55$ § 2
pleonastic 46 § I oú $\mu$ év $\boldsymbol{\text { rol }} 93$ § 3
ойкย́т九 without temporal mean－ ing 106 § 2
－ビтє．．．Tє 27 §2 difficult ex．of $96 \S 3$
oûtos resumptive $107 \S 3$

－ั゙т $\omega$ s $\delta \dot{\eta} 98$ § 1
oux $\begin{gathered}\text { rare in Thuc．} 53 \text { § } 4\end{gathered}$
ö $\chi$ 入os 87 § 3,109 § 2

## II．

$\pi \alpha ́ \theta$ os 113 § 1 ；for $\delta \iota a ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \theta$ ous 84 § I see App．p． 328
$\pi \alpha \nu \delta \eta \mu \epsilon 19 \mathrm{I}$ § 4， 5 § 2
$\pi a \nu 0 เ \kappa \eta \sigma l a(\pi a \nu 0<\kappa \epsilon \sigma i q) 57$ § 2
$\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha ́ \pi a \sigma \iota \nu$（ $\tau \grave{\partial} \pi \alpha \nu \tau$ ．unique）$S_{7}$ § 1
лavтa才ท̂＇quoquo versus＇ 68 § 3
rapá in phrases
$\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu \quad 12$ § I
$\pi a \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \delta \xi \alpha \nu 37$
$\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \delta u ́ v a \mu \nu \nu 5+\S 4$
$\pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \pi o \lambda u ́ 36$ § 6
Tapà тобоиิтоע 49 § 4
tapá in compounds
$\pi \alpha \rho a \beta a i \nu \in \omega \nu 45$ § 3
тараßá入入єбөat 65 § 2
$\pi а р а \gamma \gamma є \lambda \lambda \delta ́ \mu є \nu \alpha 55$ § 3
$\pi \alpha \rho a ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \gamma \mu \alpha 39$ § 3
тара入аßєì 50 § 2
тapavio $\chi \in \omega 22$ § 8
тарабкєvá乡єเข 36 § 4
таратєขєі̂नөal 46 § 3
таратvхб⿱亠䒑日， 82 § 7
$\pi a \rho \in \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \tau 035$ § I
тарехо́ $\mu \in \nu$ оs 36 § I
$\pi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ̇ \nu \eta, 45$ § 6
$\pi \dot{\alpha} \rho o t к o t$（unique） $113 \S 6$
$\pi a p \epsilon \hat{\nu} a l$ for $\pi a \rho a \gamma \in \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota 6$ § I
тâs collective or distributive 63
§ I
$\pi a \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota$ with gen．$\sigma_{5} \S_{2}$
$\pi \epsilon \ell \theta \epsilon \iota \nu$ with $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon 100$ § I

$\pi \epsilon \in \lambda a s(o ́ d e ́ \lambda a s) 39 \S 3$
$\pi \epsilon \nu \tau \epsilon T \eta \rho i ' s ~ 104 \S 2$
$\pi \epsilon \rho 54 \S 5,98$ § 3
$\pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu(\grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \rho \alpha \nu) 85$ § $1,91 \S 3$
$\pi \epsilon \dot{\rho} \iota$ anastrophic，e．g． $3 \S 6,37 \S 3$
$\pi \epsilon \rho i$ with genitive or dat． $102 \S 3$
in compounds
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \alpha \iota \rho \in i ̂ \sigma \theta a l$ II § 3
$\pi \varepsilon \rho \iota \gamma l \gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 83$ § 2
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \in \chi \in L \nu$ IO7 § 3
тєріктіоуеs 104 § 3
$\pi є р \iota к \cup ́ \kappa \lambda \omega \sigma \iota s 7^{8}$ § I
$\pi \epsilon$ р inota 43 § 3
$\pi \epsilon \rho$ lotко 92 § 5
$\pi є$ рьоккіs 16 § 2
$\pi є$ єоткодолєї 81 §5
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o p \mu i \zeta \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad 6$ I
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \iota \mu \pi \rho \eta{ }^{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \iota 98$ §z
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \pi \dot{\text { 人 }} \iota \circ \boldsymbol{2} 99$ § I
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\nu} \mathrm{a} \mathrm{\iota} 5+\S 5$
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \chi i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota 6_{4}$ § 3
$\pi \epsilon р \iota \tau \epsilon ์ \chi \nu \eta \sigma \iota$ \＆ 82 § 3
$\pi \epsilon \rho \iota ф \rho о \cup \rho \in i ̂ v 2 I$ § 3
$\pi \epsilon \rho t \omega \theta \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta a \iota \quad 67$ § 6
$\pi \iota \sigma$ व́ Poppo，on 90 § 4
тเซtós 40 § I
$\pi \lambda \in i v$ intrans．for passive IIt $\S$ I
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \bar{\sigma}$, not $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu$ ，Thuc．form of comparative II3 § 4
$\pi \lambda \epsilon \circ \nu \epsilon \xi$ दू 45 § 4
$\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta 0 \mathrm{os} 9+\S 2$
$\pi 0 \lambda \iota \tau \in\{\alpha 55$ § 3
$\pi 0 \lambda$ เтєย́єtข 34 § 2， 66 § I
$\pi 0 \iota o \nu \mu \hat{v} \nu \omega \nu$ rare for $\gamma เ \gamma \nu \circ \mu \in ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad 77$ § 2
$\pi o \rho l$ ¢ $\epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota 82$ § I
$\pi \rho \hat{a} \xi$ is 114 § I
$\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ with dat． 85 § 3
$\pi \rho \rho \nu$ with historic tenses IOI § 2 ；
force of， 22 § 8
$\pi \rho b$－in compounds
трод́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ 107 § 3
$\pi \rho о \beta \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha z 63$ § 2
$\pi \rho \circ \beta$ оu入єย́єเข 82 § 5
$\pi \rho \sigma є \delta \rho \circ \iota 25$ § I
$\pi \rho 0 \epsilon 1 \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu \quad 10+\S 2$
$\pi \rho 0 \in \chi \in \tau \nu 82$ § 7
$\pi \rho о к а т а \lambda$ v́єเข $8_{+}$§ 3

$\pi \rho о \mu \eta \theta$ ท́s 82 § 4 Tpoolutov $10 \div$ § 3
трб́тєєра 86 § 4
$\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha 82$ § 4
$\pi \rho о т і \theta \eta \mu \quad 67$ § 6
$\pi \rho о т i \mu \eta \sigma t s 82$ § 8
$\pi$ poupytaltepov 109 § 2
$\pi \rho б \phi \alpha \sigma$ เs 82 § 4
$\pi \rho \circ \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \nu+\S_{2}$
$\pi \rho o ́ s ~ a d v e r b i a l ~ o n c e ~ o n l y ~ i n ~ T h u c . ~$
$5^{8}$ § 5
＇against＇ 43 § 4， 82 § 7
ambiguous 40 § 7
of contrast I12 § 6
with accus．$=$＇in view of＇ 56 § 5
＇versus＇ 96 § 3
бтท̂vat $\pi$ Tós 1 II § 3
with genitive 59 § 1,77 § 2
in adverbial expressions
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ o b p \gamma \eta^{\nu} \nu 43$ § 5
$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \chi a ́ p \iota \nu ~ 42 § 6$
in compounds
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma a \gamma 0 \rho \epsilon \cup ́ \varepsilon ⿺ 辶 ~ 112 ~ § 4$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \alpha \nu a \gamma к \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon เ \nu 61$ § I
$\pi \rho 0 \sigma \delta \epsilon \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \epsilon 95 \$ 2$
тробท́кєєン 66 § 2
$\pi \rho о \sigma \mu \hat{i} \alpha \propto$ 3I § 2,22 § I ， 106 § 3
$\pi \rho$ о́боикоє 93 § 3
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi i \pi \tau \in L \nu \quad 108 \S 3$
$\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi$ оьє $\imath \sigma \theta a \iota \quad 47 \S 4$
$\pi \rho o \sigma \tau i \theta \in \mathcal{\nu} \alpha i \quad 82$ § 4
$\pi \rho \dot{\iota} \eta \nu$ II3§ 4

тúpros 5I §【
ти́สтis $82 \S 3$
$\pi \omega ̂ s$ oú $66 \S 2$

## P．

pquíws 82 § I
pُq̣ov＝＇libenter＇ 82 § 7
póáał 116 § I

$$
\Sigma .
$$

oкєuク̆ fem． 94 § 4
боф८नтท́s $3^{8}$ § 6
$\sigma \pi$ opádes 69 § I
orá⿱⺌兀s due to site p． 153
бтє́pєナӨa८ 46 § 3， 39 § 8
бтра́тєvца 112 § 4
бфa入eís 84 § 3
$\sigma \phi \in i \hat{s}$ reflexive only in Thuc．（p． 150）
бхєî̀ 9：§ 3
$\sigma \omega ิ \mu \alpha 8$ § 2
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho \circ \nu \iota \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s} 65$ § 3
$\sigma \omega ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu 58$ § I， 62 § 3

## T．


$\tau \epsilon$ inferential p．II9
＇trajective＇P．I 32
$\tau \varepsilon \ldots \kappa a l 94$ §3
тєкнท́pıò appositional 66 § I
тe入єutầ with gen． 59 § $3,10+\$ 5$
$\tau \epsilon$ बos 36 § 5
rı $\mu \omega \rho \in i ̂ \nu ~ 92 § 4$
$\tau \iota \mu \omega \rho \in i=\theta a \iota \quad 67$ § I
т $\mu \omega \omega \mathrm{p}$ 人 Ionic 20 § I
Attic 82 § 3
Tis collective $111 \S 3$
distinct from $\tau \iota \nu \notin s{ }^{2} 6 \$ 5$
for eis TLS 68 § 3
idiomatic $\tau 0 t 6 \nu \delta$ t $\tau t 97$ § I
intensive 75 § 1,45 § 6
particularising 99

TÉ ${ }^{4}$ IO4 § 5
rol rare in Thuc． $40 \S_{4}$
тoloûtos synonymous 58 § 5
тобои̂tos 3 I § I， 52 §3， 104 § 6
тобоиิтov 8ัov 49 § 4
$\tau \circ ঠ \mu \pi \alpha \lambda \iota \nu$ ท้ 23 § 5
$\tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v \omega$ constr．of III § 2
тupavuis 37 §2
тúx $\eta$（as áóplбтos altia） 49 § 4
$\Upsilon$ ．
virctes 75 § 4
í $\delta a \tau \omega \dot{0} \eta \mathrm{~s} 23$ §5

ن́тє $\varnothing$ фроуєі้̂ 39 § 5

i $\pi \pi^{\prime}-$ in compounds ย $\pi a \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta} 97$ § 3 ย゙тava入laкety 17 § 3 ย่ா0ঠє $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota 22$ § 2 ย่ாoסє $\chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ I 2 § I | $\dot{v} \pi 0 \nu i \phi \in \sigma \theta a l$ | 23 | $\S$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | v́фatpeîv 13 § 7

with subst． 32 § 2,33 § 3
ข่ $\tau \tau \in \in$ єiv 3 r § 2
v̈のTє
$\Phi$ ．
фаu入bтєроs 37 § 3,83 § 2
$\phi$ Óve九v with infin．（？） 82 § 7
фө̂̀vat 89 § 2
$\phi$ Ooveî̀ 43 § I
фь入étalpos 82 § 4
фı入оуєıке̂̂̀（ $\phi \iota \lambda$ оуєкєî̀） 82 § 8
$\phi$ bos 50 § 2

фо́̃́al 42 § 2
фри́rava 111 § 1
фриктot 22 \＆ 7
фिикт $\omega \rho \in i ̂ v 80$ § 3
фu入al 90 § 2
фиえакウ่ 22 § 6

## X．

$\chi^{a \lambda \epsilon \pi \alpha i \nu \omega \nu} 82$ § 5
$\chi$ रаád $\rho \alpha{ }^{2} 8$ § 1
ха́paそ 70 § 4
$\chi$ áoss 56 § 7
$\chi \in i \rho$ in phrases
ty $\chi \in \rho \sigma 66$ § 2
$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho \hat{l} 96$ § 3
$\chi \epsilon \epsilon \rho l=\beta i q \quad 82$ § 8
$\chi$ 咩 113 § 9,65 § 3
$\chi \rho \eta n^{\circ} \omega \nu$ once only in Thuc. 109 § 2
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma \theta \in \varphi=96$ §
$\chi \rho \eta \sigma l \mu \omega s 9^{2} \S 4$
$\chi р б \nu ゅ 85$ § 3
$\chi \omega \dot{\omega} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ in phrase
катà $\chi \dot{\omega} \rho a \nu 22 \S 6$
$\chi$ wols 17 § 2
$\chi \hat{\omega} \sigma$ ss I § 2
$\Psi$.
$\psi \iota \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \iota 109$ § 2
$\Omega$.


## INDEX. English.

## A

Abruptness of constrn. 102 § 3
Acarnanians III §3
Accusative:
absolute, e. g. $\chi \rho \in \omega_{\nu}^{\nu} 40$ §4, 96 § I
adverbial 55 § 3
appositional III § I
'de quo' 51 § 2
limiting 63 § I
Achelous 7 § 4, I06 § I
Adjective for adverb 29 § I
Admiral, Spartan 79 § 3
Adverb for adjective 8i §5
Aegina 72 § I
Aegitium 97 § 2
Aeimnestus 52 § 4
Aeolus, islands of 88 § I
Agreement:
loose 68 § I кат’ ধкєîva
of subj. with predicate irregular 109 § 2
of verb with prominent subject 112 §2
strained 12 § I

ஸ́paîa 58 § 4
$\dot{\omega}$ circumstantial 72 § I 'postpositum' 5 § I
limiting 74 § 3,107 §3, II 3 § 6
with gen. absol. 4 § 4
with participle $75, \S 4$
$=8 \pi \omega$ s 'quomodo' $7 \mathrm{I} \S 2$
for $\pi \rho 6$ ( $\mathrm{p} . \mathrm{I}_{57}$ )

$\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ of condition ( $\epsilon^{\prime} \phi \bar{\psi} \tau \varepsilon$ ) $6_{4}$ § 3
with indic. 46 § 4,23 § 5
pleonastic 31 § I of result 75 § I
whether synonymous with öवTเs? 57 § 3
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota 6_{4} \S 2$
$\dot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \omega \nu \quad 67$ § 2
$\dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda l a-82 \S 6$

Agraea 106 § 2
Agraeans II 3 § I
Alcidas $16,26,30,31,32,33,69$, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 92
Alcinous 70 § 4
Amphilochia 105 § I
Amphisseans ror § 2
Anaea 20 § 2, 32 § I
Androcrates 24 § I
Antecedent absorbed in relative 109 § 3
Antiptosis 105 § 2
Antessa 18 § 1
Aorist:
complexive 25 § 2
diff. from imperfect 82 § 4
$=$ fut. perf. 59 § 3
ingressive, limited almost entirely to Ist aor. 104 § 2
of mere event 91 § 6
oracular 96 § I
with verbs of expectation, with or without äl $30 \S 2,24 \S 1$, 45 § 2

Apodosis, rhetorical p. 121
Apodoti 94 § 5
Aposiopesis p. $\mathrm{rO}_{4}$
Apposition w. article 100, 113 § 3
Arcadians p. 154
Archidamus I § I
Aristoteles 105 § 3
Article:
demonstrative 87 § 4
epexegetic 22 § 2
in fractional expressions P. I 26
second article omitted for brevity 95 § 3
with preposition $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \ell \quad 10+\S 6$
Assimilation:
in comparisons 62 § I
of tense neglected 98 § 2
Asyndeton, rare in Thuc. 37 § 2, 66 § 2
Atalante 89 § 3
Athens, excluded from alliances 65 § 2
Attraction in agreement 98 § 3

## B

Balance of sentence, false 55 § I
Bomienses 96 § 3
Boriades 100 § 1
Brasidas 69, 76, 77, 79
Budorum 51 § 2

## C

Callienses 96 § 3
Calydon 102 § 5
Camarina 86 § 2
Caria 19 § 2
Causative active 36 § I
Cenaeum 93 § I
Cephallenians 95 § 2
Chalaei roi § 2
Charoeades 86 § I
Chiasmus $\sigma_{3}$ § 3,40 § 3, 82 § 7
Citizen-soldiers, bravery of $54 \S 5$
Claros 33 § :
Cleomenes 26 § I
Cleon $3^{6}$ § 6, 50 § i, speech 3740
Cnidus 88 § I
Comparative :
double 42 § 3
with implied $\theta \hat{a} \sigma \sigma o \nu, \mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ pp. 110, IIf
Condition :
realised 57 § 3
real for unreal 42 § 3
Connecting particle omitted $97 \S$ I
Contrast of real and unreal $82 \S 7$
fact and assumption $\sigma_{3} \S 2$
Coordination :
adverb with adjective 84 § 2
adverb with participle $3+\S 3$, 102 § 5
adverb with preposition 21 §
dative with participle (Lat. abl. and gerund) 86 § 4
gen. absol. with accus. absol. 53 § 2
gen. absolute, with part. in agreement 24 § 3,79 § 3
ört clause with infinitive 25 § I
ör $\iota$ with dative $82 \S 8$
öтt with preposition, катá $\tau \varepsilon$ каl öть 86 § 3
participle with preposition 64 § 3
personal with impersonal 53 §3
substantive with participle 80 § 2
Corcyra 69, 70-84
Coroneia 62 § 5,67 § 3
Crocyleium 96 § 2
Custodia libera 34 § 3
Cyllene 69 § $\mathrm{I}, 76$ § I
Cyme 3 I § I
Cynaethus (of Chios) $10+\S 3$
Cytinium $95 \S \mathrm{x}, 102$ § I

## D

Dative:
=adverb 56 § 5
agent 22 § I
causal 38 § 4,82 § 8, 97 § 2
causal or modal 82 § 3
of circumstance $39 \S 7,46 \S 3$, 77 § 1
combined with adverb 83 § 1
combining two meanings if3 \$ 6
coordinated with participle 37 § 2
double dat. 54 § 4
initial II3 § I
of motive 82 § I
of instrument $4^{2} \S+, 108 \S$ s iudicantis II § $1,6+\S 4,104$ § 5
limitation 22 § 2,45 § 2
measure 45 § 6,49 § $2,56 \S 3$
of purpose $\mathrm{I} \$ 2$
strained constr. 68 § 3
sociative 35 § 2, 81 § 4
temporal 13 § $4,5+\S 4$
with verbs of motion 5 § 4
Delphi ior § 1 , oracle of $96 \S 1$
Demosthenes 91, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 102, 105, 107, 108, 109, 110 , II2, 114
Desiderative (rare) 84 § I
Diitrephes 75 § I
Diodotus 4 I (speech of $42-48$ )
Dioscuri 75 § 3
Dorians 86 § 2
Doric form 13 §3
Dorieus 8 § I
Dryos Cephalae 24 § I

## E

Earthquakes, account of 89
Ellipse 55 § 2
Ellomenus 94 § I
Embatum 29 § 1, 32 § I
Epexegesis:
by article (see article)
by infinitive 32 § 3
with future infin., rare 66 § 2
Ephesian games $10+\S 3$
Erythrae 24 § 2, 33 § 2
Eupalium 96 § 2, 102 § I
Eurylochus 100 § 3, 101, 102, 106, 107, 108, 109
Eurymedon 108 § I, II5

## F

Fact contrasted with hypothesis p. 117

Future deliberative 109 § I epexegetic, rare 26 § 4
infin. or pres. of infin, with äv p. ${ }^{5} 51$
monitory 39 § 8

## G

General confounded with particular 22 § 6
Generic expression by $\tau$ ts 82 § 5
Genitive:
absolute as subject or object of main verb 13 § 7
absolute without subject $55 \S \mathrm{I}$, 72 § 1
cause 62 § 4
definition 45 § I
partitive with $\xi v \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha l 36 \xi_{2}$
position, follows, instead of precedes His§ I
precedes with force almost of adjective $11+$ § 1
rare without subst. participle 82 § 1
time within which I § I
of value (penalty) 57 § 3
with compounds of $\dot{a} \nu \tau i ́ 56 \$ 5$
with verbs of praying $59 \S 2$
Geraestus 3 § 5
Gorgias p. 162
Grammar v. Logic (p. 153), $33 \S 3$

## H

Halex 99
Haydon (quoted) p. 156
Hegemony, Theban 6r § 2
Hellenes (Siceliots) 103 § 1
Helots 54 § 5
Hera 68 § 3
Heraeum 68 § 3, 75 § 5
Hesiod 96 § I
Hessii roi § 2
Hipponicus 91 §4
Hyaei ror § 2
Hyllaic harbour 72 § 3, 8I § I

## I

Icarus 29 § I
Ideal condition p. 109
Idomene II 2 § I
Imbros 5 § I

Imperative， 3 rd plur．form of Leucimme $79 \S 3$ p． 168
Imperfect：
graphic 8I §2
inceptive 111 § $1,22 \S 1$ ，$(p$ ． 103）
Impersonal ：
confused with personal 36 § 2
use of ка入ob without $6 \nu \nu$ ？ $9+\S 3$ use of pluperfect pass． 22 § 1 ， 107 § 4
Implication of opposite p． 161
Indicative，strongly realistic 53 §
2, II3 § 5
Inessa 103 § 1
Infinitive：
with article I § 2
without article 46 § 2
epexegetic 82 § I， 94 § 4
for imperative 3 § 3
of limitation 49 § 4
non－articular 82 § 7
not accus．of direct object $36 \S$ 5， 38 § I
pres．for future 66 § 3
with $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mu \eta n^{\prime} 75$ § 4
$\tau 0 \hat{\mu} \mu \eta$ distinct from $\tau$ ò $\mu \eta$ I § I
Intransitive verb for passive $p$ ． 108
Ionians 86 § 3 and elsewhere
Ionisms：

$\pi \epsilon \rho!к т$ loves $10+\S 3$
терเтоเยลิ้ IO2 § 4
$\pi \circ \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta} \chi \in \iota \rho \frac{1}{9} \$ 3$
$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon ่ \nu \pi$ тơiv 97 § 1
$\chi$ wैpos 102 § 7
Ipnaei $101 \S 2$
Isthmus of Corinth $15 \S$ I
of Leucas 8i § I
Itamanes 34 § I
Ithome $5+\$ 5$

## L

Laches 86 § $1,90,99,103,115$
Lecky（quoted） $5+\S 5$
Lemnos 5 § I
Leontini 86 § 2
Lesbos 2，5，13，35，50， 5 I
Leucas 80 § 2， 94 § I

Limnaea ro6 § I
Litotes 45 § 6
 グкเбта 22 §5
Locris 86 § 2， 89 § 3,97 § 2， 99 § I
Logic at variance with syntax 5.3 § 4
Lupus，von 15 § I
Lysicles 19 § I

## M

Malea（Lesbos） 4 §5， 6 § 2
Maloeis 3 § 3－6
Mantineans 107 § 4
Medeon 68 §3
Megara 51 § 2
Melians 68 § 2
Menedaius 100
Messapium 10 § ${ }^{2}$
Messenians 81 § 2， 88 § 3
Methymna 18 § 1,50 § 2
Metropolis 92 § 3， 107 § I
Molycrium 102 § I
Moods interchanged 113 § 3
Myconus 29 § I
Mylae 90 § 2－4
Myoneans roi § 2
Myonesus 32 § I
Myus 19 § 2
Mytilene 2，3，4，5，6，8，9－14， ${ }^{1} 5,18,25,26,27,28,29,30$ ， $35,36-40,41-48,49,50$

## N

Naupactus 7 § 4,85 § 1,102 § 3
Negative：
coalescing with verb $42 \S+$
pleonastic in comparisons 36 § 4
Nemea 96 § I
Nericus 7 § 5
Neuter：
adj．with article or partic．for abstract noun 22 § 8
collective 16 § 1
ethnic，singular or plural $p$ ． $11 \%$
Nicias 51 §』

Nominative absolute $34 \S 3$
Notium $3+$ § I

## O

Oeantheans 101 § 2
Oeneon 95 §3, 102 § I
Oeniadae 7 § 4,94 § 1
Oetaei 92 § 2
Olen 10483,4
Olpae ror etc.
Ophioneans 96 § 2
Optative :
alternating with infin. p. 103
with $a \not \partial=$ qualified future $46 \$ 2$
without $\nless \nu \nu S_{4} \S$ I
in $\gamma \nu \omega \hat{\mu} \mu \mathrm{t}$ P. $\mathrm{II}_{3}$
iterative p. 100, 12 § I
subjective 71 § I
Orchomenus (Minyan) 87 § 4
Order:
emphatic II3 § 6
inverted 103 § I
Orobiae 89 § 2
Oropus 91 §3
Outrage $34 \S 3$

## P

Paches $18,33-36,48$ § I, 49 , 50 § 1
Paralii 92 § 2
Parisosis $46 \$ 6$
Paronomasia 70 § 5
Particular combined with general
p. 127

Participle:
absolute without subj. 34 § 3
accumulation of (Note p. 105)
attraction 28 § I
different from adjective 9783
emphatic word $23 \S 5,53 \S 3$, 105 §
epexegesis (for infin.) 18 § 3
explained by participle 11 § 3
expressing condition $54 \S 2$
for infinitive 5 § § 4
periphrasis $36 \$ 5$ (Note p. 102, p. 157)
predicative $29 \$ 2$
predicative, omitted $6+55$
tense assimilation of 47 § 1,68今́I
with and without article $5+\S_{2}$ with verb, one object only expressed 105 §
Particular combined with general p. 127

Partitive apposition $13 \S 3,23 \S 1$, $34 \S 2,3^{8} \S 4,3^{8} \S 6,105 \S 2$
Passive 'permissive' 52 § I
with middle force 34 § I
Patmos 33 § 2
Pausanias 68 § 1, p. 143
Peparethus $\mathrm{S}_{9}$ § 4
Periphrasis with $\gamma(\gamma \nu \in \sigma \theta a l$ (pass. of $\pi \circ \iota \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \theta a \iota) 23$ § 2 with verbal adjective 30 § I
Person, and contrasted with 3rd 62 § 3
Personal construction with infinitive 40 § 2
Phoceans roi §2
Phormio 7 § I
Phytia 106 § I
Pindaric schema p. 156
Pisistratus 10. § I
Pissuthnes 31 § I
Plague, second outbreak of 87 § I
Plataea, battle of 54 § 4
history of 68 § 5
sortie from $20-24$ and App.
Pleistoanax 26 § 2
Pleonasm 53 § 2, 83 § 3, 98 § 3, 100 § I, 112 § I
Pleuron 102 § 5
Pluperfect, in form only I § I
Pluperfect, impersonal III § I
Plural, change to 75 §4,112§5
for singular 3 § $1,13 \$ 5$
verb w. neuter plural 82 § 8
Polis 101 § 2
Polycrates $104 \$ 2$
Potidania $96 \$ 2$
Predicative position of adjective suggesting relative sentence 113 § 6
Pregnant construction Iot $\S 6$
", " 1. 105
", $\quad$ I


Preposition in and clause 82 § 7
I'repositional construction with adj. 42 § 3
Prepositional expression for adverb
p. 153
for adjective 33 § 3
construction 68 § 4
Prepositional predication com-
plete in itself 83 § 2
I'resent:
by assimilation 75 § 5
conative $82 \S 8$
w. future reference 18 § 1
historic 72 § 3,109 § 2,110
Procles 9 I § $\mathrm{I}, 98 \S 3$
Pronoun, possessive, in agreement 63 § I
Proschium 106 § 1,102 § 5
Pyrrha 25 § I, 35 § I
Pythodorus 115 \& 5

## R

Ransom 70 § I
Reduplication, rare before $\beta \lambda$. 26 \& 3
Relative:
adversative 54 § 5
neuter, recalling previous sentence 104 § 6
omitted in and clause $55 \S 3$, (55 § 2)
Retaliation, doctrine of 56 § 2
Rhegium $86 \S 2$
Rheneia $10+\S 2$

## S

Salaminia 33 § 1
Saluethus 25 § I, 35 § I
Salynthius 114 § I
Sandis 19 § 2
Sicily 86 § 1,99 § I, 103 § I

Signals 80 § 2
Singular, evolved from plural (unique) p. 129
Sollium 95 § I
Sophocles 115 §5
Spartan professions 32 § I, 50 § 4
Spurious chapters $17,8+$
Stratii 106 § I
Subject implied:
w. gen. absol. 82 § I
with verb 17 § 3
Subjunctive:
coordinated with opt. 22 § 8
deliberative, adaptation of 112 § 6
Ionic use with relative p. 128
warning P, 123
Superlative, double $=$ double com-
parative $4^{2}$ § 4
Sybota 76
Syracuse 86 § 3, 103 § I
siege of, allusion to p. 133

## T

Tanagra 9 I § 3
Trachis 92 § 1
Tripod 57 § I (2)?
Tritaeans 101 § 2
Tolophonians 101 § 2
V
$\checkmark$ erb in agreement with Predicate 112 § I, $1 \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{\$} \mathrm{~S}$
Volcanic eruption 116

## X

Xenoclides $\mathrm{IIf}_{4}$
$Z$
Zacynthians 94 § I
Zeugma 43 § I

## THE PITT PRESS SERIES.

## COMPLETE LIST.

## 1. GREEK.

| Autror | Work | Editor Price |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aristophanes | Aves-Plutus-Ranae | Green | 3/6 cack |
|  | Vespae | Graves |  |
| Euripides | Heracleidae | Beck \& He | dlam 3/6 |
| ", | Hercules Furens | Gray \& Hu | chinson 2/- |
| , | Hippolytus | Hadley | $2 /-$ |
| ," | Iphigeneia in Aulis | Headlam | 2/6 |
| " | Hecuba | Hadley | 2/6 |
| , | Alcestis |  | Ins the Press |
| , | Orestes | Wedd | 4/6 |
| Herodotus | Book v | Shuckburgh | $3 /$ |
| " | " VI, Vili, ix | " | 4/- each |
| , | ,1, vili $1-90,1 \times \mathrm{l}-89$ |  | 2/6 each |
| Homer | Oclyssey IX, x | Edwards | 2/6 each |
| " | ,, xxi | ", | 2\% |
|  | Iliad vi, xxir, xximf, xxiv |  | 2/- each |
| Lucian | Somnium, Charon, etc. | Heitland | 3/6 |
|  | Menippus and Timon | Mackie | 3/6 |
| Plato | Apologia Socratis | Adam | 3/6 |
| , | Crito | " | 2/6 |
| , | Euthyphro | J " ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2/6 |
| tar | Protagoras | J. \& A. M. | Adam 4/6 |
| Plutarch | Demosthenes | Holden | $4 / 6$ |
| ", | Gracchi | ", | $6 /$ |
| " | Nicias | " | $5 \%$ |
| " | Sulla | " | 6/- |
| " | Timoleon | " | $6 /$ |
| Sophocles | Oedipus Tyrannus | Jebb | 4/6 |
| Thucydides | Book III | Spratt | 5/- |
|  | Book VII | Holden | 5/\% |
| Xenophon | Agesilaus | Hailstone | $2 / 6$ |
| ," | Anabasis Vol. I. Text. | Pretor | 3/- |
| " | " Vol. II. Notes. | ", | 4/6 |
| " | " I, II | " | 4/- |
| " | ", I, III, IV, V | " | 2/- each |
| " | ," II, vi, viI |  | 2/6 each |
| " | Cyropaedeia I, il ( 2 vols.) | Holden | 6/- |
| " | " Hi, iv, v | " | 5\%- |
| " | " Vi, Vii, vili | " | 5\% |
| $\frac{5}{4 \cdot 3.96}$ | $\underline{I}$ |  |  |


| Author | Work | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caesar | De Bello Gallico |  |  |
|  | Com. I, III, VI, VIII | Peskett | 1/6 eack |
| " | " II-III, and VII | , | 2/-eack |
| " | ", $1-111$ | " | 31 |
| " | \# IV-V | " | 1/6 |
| " | De Bello Civili. Com. I | " | 3/- |
| Cicero | ", Com. III | Cowi | Ins the Press |
|  | Actio Prima in C. Verrem | Cowie | ı/6 |
| ,. | De Amicitia | Reid | 3/6 |
|  | De Senectute | ", | 3/6 |
| " | Div. in Q. Caec. et Actio Prima in C. Verrem | Heitland \& Cowie 3\% |  |
| " | Philippica Secunda | Peskett | 3/6 |
| " | Pro Archia Poeta | Reid | $2 /-$ |
| " | , Balbo | " | 1/6 |
| " | ", Milone |  | 2/6 |
| " | "Murena | Heitland | 3/- |
| " | , Plancio | Holden | $4 / 6$ |
| " | ," Sulla | Reid | 3/6 |
|  | Somnium Scipionis | Pearman | $2 /-$ |
| Cornelius Nepos | Miltiades, Themistocles, Aris- <br> tides, Pausanias, Cimon Shuckburgh I/6 |  |  |
|  | Hannibal, Cato, Atticus | " | I/6 |
| Horace | Epistles. Bk I |  | 2/6 |
| ", | Odes and Epodes | Gow | In the Press |
| , | Odes. Books I, III | , | 2/-each |
|  | Book II |  | I/6 |
| Livy | Books IV, vi, Ix, xxvir | Stephenson | 2/6 each |
| " | " V | Whibley | 2/6 |
|  | , XXI, XXII | Dimsdale | $2 / 6$ each |
| Lucan | Pharsalia, 13k 1. | Heitland \& | Haskins i/6 |
|  | Pharsalia. Bk vir | Postgate | In the Press |
| Lucretius | Book v | Duff | 2/- |
| Ovid | Fasti. Book vi | Sidgwick | 1/6 |
|  | Metamorphoses, Bk I. | Dowdall | 1/6 |
| Plautus | Epidicus | Gray | 3/- |
| " | Asinaria |  | $3 / 6$ |
|  | Stichus | Fennell | 2/6 |
| Quintus Curtius | Alexander in India | Heitland \& | Raven 3/6 |
| Tacitus | Agricola and Germania | Stephenson | 3/- |
|  | Hist. Bk I | Davies | In the Press |
| Terence | Hautontimorumenos | Gray | 3/. |
| Vergil | Aeneid I to XII | Sidgwick | 1/6 each |
| " | Bucolics | , | 1/6 |
| " | Georgics I, II, and III, IV | " | 2/- each |
| " | Complete Works, Vol. I, Te | xt " | 3/6 |
| " | " " Vol. II, No | tes " | $4 / 6$ |

## 3. FRENCH.



## 4. GERMAN.

| Author | Work | Editor | Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ballads on German History | Wagner | $2 \%$ |
| Benedix | Dr Wespe | Breul | 3/- |
| Freytag | Der Staat Friedrichs des Grossen |  |  |
|  | German Dactylic Poetry |  | 3/- |
| Goethe | Knabenjahre (1749-1761) | Wagner \& Cartmell $2 /$ |  |
| " | Hermann und Dorothea | " " | 3/6 |
| Gutzkow | Zopf und Schwert | Wolstenholme | $3 / 6$ |
| Häcklander | Der geheime Agent | E. L. Milner Barry | 3/- |
| Hauff | Das Bild des Kaisers | Breul | 3/- |
| " | Das Wirthshaus im Spessart | Schlottmann \& Cartmell | $3 /$. |
| " | Die Karavane | Schlottmann | $3 \%$ |
| Immermann | Der Oberhof | Wagner | 3/- |
| Klee | Die deutschen Heldensagen | Wolstenholme | 3/- |
| Kohlrausch | Das Jahr 1813 | " | $2 \%$ |
| Lessing | Minna von Barnhelm | Wolstenholme |  |
| Lessing \& Gellert |  | In the Press |  |
|  | t Selected Fables | Breul | $3 \%$ |
| Mendelssohn | Selected Letters | Sime | 3/- |
| Raumer | Der erste Kreuzzug | Wagner | 2/- |
| Riehl | Culturgeschichtliche |  |  |
|  | Novellen | Wolstenholme | $3 \%$ |
| " | Die Ganerben \& Die Gerechtigkeit Gottes |  | 3/- |
| Schiller | Wilheim Tell | Breul | 2/6 |
| " | ," (Abridged Edition) |  | 1/6 |
| " | Geschichte des dreissigjährigen Kriegs Book IIr. | " |  |
| " | Maria Stuart | " | $3 / 6$ |
| " | Wallenstein I. (Lager and |  |  |
|  | Piccolomini) | " | $3 / 6$ |
| " | Wallenstein II. (Tod) | Nearly Re |  |
| Ohland | Ernst, Herzog von Schwaben | Wolstenholme | $3 / 6$ |

## 5. ENGLISH.

| Autho, | Work | Editor | Pric |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mayor | A Sketch of Ancient I'hilosophy from Thales to Cicero |  | $3 /$ |
| Wallace | Outlines of the Philosophy of Aristote |  | $4 / 6$ |
| Bacon | History of the Reign of King Henry VII |  |  |
| Cowley | Essays | Tovey In Preparation |  |
| Gray | Poems |  |  |
| More | Ilistory of King Richard III Utopia | Lumby | $3 /$ |
|  |  |  | $3 / 6$ |
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[^0]:    St Catharine's College, February 10, 1896.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Forbes, Introduction to Thuc. 1. p. xxi。
    2 Plato, Phaedrus, 269 A.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The recurrence of such common topics in the speeches of Thucydides is remarkable.

[^3]:    1 Partly in fragments of the authors themselves, partly in quotations, e.g. in Athenaeus.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Müller's Lit, of Greece, II. 108.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ モ̇ $\gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ó $\lambda \epsilon \in \omega \nu$.

[^6]:    LXXXVI. § 3. $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{G}$.

[^7]:    

