



Gc  
941.50002  
Irlc  
v.3  
1308-1314  
1256481

M.L.

GENEALOGY COLLECTION

ALLEN COUNTY PUBLIC LIBRARY



3 1833 00726 6213









# CALENDAR

OF THE

# JUSTICIARY ROLLS

OR PROCEEDINGS IN THE COURT OF THE JUSTICIAR OF

# IRELAND

I TO VII YEARS OF

# EDWARD II.

PREPARED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE  
DEPUTY KEEPER OF THE PUBLIC RECORDS BY  
HERBERT WOOD AND ALBERT E. LANGMAN

AND REVISED BY  
MARGARET C. GRIFFITH,  
OF THE PUBLIC RECORD OFFICE.

Published with the approval of the  
MINISTER FOR JUSTICE.

DUBLIN:  
PUBLISHED BY THE STATIONERY OFFICE.

To be purchased from the  
GOVERNMENT PUBLICATIONS SALE OFFICE, G.P.O. ARCADE,  
DUBLIN,  
or through any Bookseller.

*Price—£3 3s. 0d.*



1256181  
PREFACE.

When the publication of a calendar to the Justiciary Rolls of Ireland was originally undertaken, it was intended that the whole of this series of rolls, extending to the eighteenth year of Richard II, should be included. By 1922, when all but one of the original rolls perished in the destruction of the Public Record Office, only two volumes, covering the years 1295 to 1307, had been printed<sup>(1)</sup>. Calendars covering the years 1308 to 1318 had been made, but had not been finally revised. Now that the originals, excepting the roll for 6 and 7 Edward II, no longer exist, these calendars have become of primary importance despite their unfinished condition, and it has therefore been decided to undertake their publication.

The present volume covers the following rolls:—

1. Roll for 1 and 2 Edward II. This was made up into four rolls, listed by the Record Commissioners<sup>(2)</sup> as plea rolls nos. 84 (containing mm. 1-20), 85 (mm. 21-37), 86 (mm. 38-64) and 87 (mm. 65-79). The calendar, made by Herbert Wood, late Deputy Keeper, covers nos. 85 (mm. 29-36), 86 (mm. 40-50 and 52-64) and 87 (mm. 65-79). Mm. 1-20, which ran from 13 Oct. 1307, do not appear in the catalogue of Justiciary Rolls in the Deputy Keeper's 26th report, and do not seem to have reached the Public Record Office.

2. Roll for 2 Edward II. Record Commissioners' list no. 90. Calendared by Albert E. Langman.

3. Roll for 3 to 7 Edward II. Record Commissioners' list no. 108. Calendared by Albert E. Langman. M.28 missing.

The remaining calendars cover the following rolls:—

Roll for 6 and 7 Edward II. Listed by the Record Commissioners among the Memoranda Rolls of the Exchequer (no. 23). The original roll is still in the Public Record Office.

|                        |                                |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Roll for 7 Edward II.  | Record Commissioners' no. 105. |
| „ „ 8 and 9 Edward II. | „ „ „ 106.                     |
| „ „ 9 to 11 Edward II. | „ „ „ 109.                     |
| „ „ 11 Edward II.      | „ „ „ 114.                     |
| „ „ 11 Edward II.      | „ „ „ 116.                     |
| „ „ 11 Edward II.      | „ „ „ 117.                     |
| „ „ 11 Edward II.      | „ „ „ 118.                     |
| „ „ 11 Edward II.      | „ „ „ 119.                     |

John Wogan, appointed on 18 Oct. 1295<sup>(3)</sup>, was Justiciar from the beginning of the period covered in the present volume until April 1313,

(1) *Calendar of Justiciary Rolls or Proceedings in the Court of the Justiciar of Ireland, preserved in the Public Record Office of Ireland, xxiii to xxxi Years of Edward I*, edited by James Mills, Dublin, S. O., 1905.  
*Calendar of Justiciary Rolls . . . . . Edward I, part 2, xxxiii to xxxv years*, edited by James Mills. Dublin, S. O., 1914.

(2) *Ir. Rec. Comm. Repts.*, 1816-20, p. 85.

(3) *Cal. Pat. Rolls*, 1292-1301, p. 155.

Authentic 127.50 30-10-10

when Theobald de Verdon was appointed<sup>(4)</sup>. Richard de Burgh was appointed King's Lieutenant on 15 June 1308<sup>(5)</sup>, but does not seem to have taken office, and Piers Gaveston was appointed to the same office on the following day<sup>(6)</sup>. Gaveston's visit to Ireland lasted from June 1308 to June 1309. Wogan retained the office of Justiciar during this period. He was absent in England from autumn 1308 and William de Burgo acted as his deputy, holding pleas in January and February 1309. Wogan was again in Ireland in May 1309, and remained until about August 1312. After his departure Edmund le Botiller, described as *Custos*, acted as his deputy. Theobald de Verdon, appointed Justiciar on 30 April 1313, came to Ireland to take up office in Dec. 1314<sup>(7)</sup>. In March and August 1313 Walter de Thornbury, the Chancellor, and William Alysandre acted as deputies to hear pleas at Cashel and at Cork, as the *Custos* was acting elsewhere in remote parts.

David le Blond and William Alysandre are found as *Justices to hold pleas following the Justiciar* during the period, and Walter Lenfaunt, who had held this office during the previous reign, may still have been acting.

Although certain changes, particularly abbreviations and the elimination of certain inconsistencies of translation, would no doubt have been made in the calendars had they been finally revised before 1922, it has been thought best, in view of the absence of the originals, to make no alterations other than the following:—

In the rendering of dates the words "the feast of" have been omitted, "Michaelmas" has been preferred to "the feast of St. Michael" and "quinzaine" to "quindene."

The words "of Ireland" have generally been omitted after "Justiciar," the words "the said" have been omitted except where they make the sense clearer, and the words "with the appurtenances" have been omitted after specifications of lands. "Comes and defends, etc." has been given for "comes and defends, says he is not guilty and puts himself on the country," "so that he stand, etc." for "so that he stand to right if anyone shall implead him therefor," "is admitted to make fine, etc." for "is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him," and "is not suspected, etc." for "is not suspected of any other misdeeds."

"*Sine die*" has always been preferred to "without a day," "let him be in mercy" to "be he in mercy," "pleas of complaints" to "pleas of complaint," "crop" to "growth" in lists of goods, and "waylaid" to "forestalled" in cases of assaults on the high road. Lists of names have not been repeated in the same paragraph if the form does not vary. All writs of *liberate* are directed to the Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Exchequer.

Very occasionally the sequence of tenses has been restored or punctuation added, for the sake of clearness.

I wish to express my thanks to Professor J. Otway-Ruthven for valuable help and advice, and to my colleague, Mr. Breandán Mac Giolla Choille for help in proof-reading.

MARGARET C. GRIFFITH.

(4) Cal. Pat. Rolls, 1307-1313, p. 568.

(5) *Ibid.*, p. 83. (6) *Ib.*, p. 83.

(7) Laud MS annals, p. 343.

## DATE AND PLACE OF SESSION

v  
Page

|      |            |    |  |  |     |
|------|------------|----|--|--|-----|
| 1308 | 8 Jan.     | .. | Limerick, before John Wogan, Justiciar. Pleas of     |  |     |
|      |            |    | plaints essoins, and pleas of juries and assizes     |  | 1   |
|      | 15 Jan.    | .. | Cashel. John Wogan. Common pleas, juries and         |  |     |
|      |            |    | assizes .. .. .                                      |  | 6   |
|      | 20 Jan.    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of pleas ..                |  | 11  |
|      | 20-23 Jan. | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas ..                  |  | 16  |
|      | 27 Jan.    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas and essoins         |  |     |
|      |            |    | and common pleas .. .. .                             |  | 23  |
|      | 9 Feb.     | .. | Castledermot, Co. Kildare. John Wogan. Essoins,      |  |     |
|      |            |    | common pleas and pleas of pleas ..                   |  | 34  |
|      | 9 Feb.     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas. Date               |  |     |
|      |            |    | given as "octave of the Purification." " Octave      |  |     |
|      |            |    | and quinzaine " in original? .. .. .                 |  | 35  |
|      | 9-16 Feb.  | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas. Date               |  |     |
|      |            |    | given as "octave and quinzaine of the Purifi-        |  |     |
|      |            |    | cation " .. .. .                                     |  | 37  |
|      | 11 Feb.    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas. Date               |  |     |
|      |            |    | given as "octave of the Purification . . . the       |  |     |
|      |            |    | day being continued to the Monday next               |  |     |
|      |            |    | following " .. .. .                                  |  | 40  |
|      | 11 Feb.    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of pleas ..                |  | 41  |
|      | 16 Feb.    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas ..                  |  | 42  |
|      | 19 Feb.    | .. | Carlow. John Wogan, Walter Lenfaunt and              |  |     |
|      |            |    | Nigel le Brun. Pleas of pleas. .. .. .               |  | 41  |
|      | 4 Mar.     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of pleas ..                |  | 45  |
|      | 6 Mar.     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of juries and assizes      |  | 45  |
|      | 1 Apr.     | .. | New Ross. John Wogan. Pleas of pleas ..              |  | 46  |
|      | 28 Apr.    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Essoins, common pleas            |  |     |
|      |            |    | and pleas of pleas .. .. .                           |  | 48  |
|      | 12 May     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Essoins and common               |  |     |
|      |            |    | pleas .. .. .  |  | 66  |
|      | 24 May     | .. | Naas. John Wogan. Common pleas, pleas of             |  |     |
|      |            |    | pleas, essoins and pleas of juries and assizes       |  | 72  |
|      | 16 June    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of pleas, essoins          |  |     |
|      |            |    | and common pleas .. .. .                             |  | 83  |
|      | 23 June    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas ..                  |  | 98  |
|      | 1 July     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of pleas, essoins          |  |     |
|      |            |    | and common pleas .. .. .                             |  | 102 |
|      | 8 July     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Essoins and common pleas         |  | 105 |
|      | 26 July    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas ..                  |  | 115 |
|      | 2 Aug.     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas ..                  |  | 116 |
|      | 16 Aug.    | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Common pleas ..                  |  | 117 |
| 1309 | 14 Jan.    | .. | Cashel. William de Burgo, <i>locum tenens</i> of the |  |     |
|      |            |    | Justiciar. Juries and assizes and pleas of pleas     |  | 119 |
|      | 20 Jan.    | .. | Cashel. William de Burgo. Common pleas ..            |  | 127 |
|      | 22 Jan.    | .. | Cashel. William de Burgo. Juries and assizes ..      |  | 132 |
|      | 27 Jan.    | .. | Cashel. William de Burgo. Essoins, common            |  |     |
|      |            |    | pleas and pleas of pleas .. .. .                     |  | 134 |
|      | 31 Jan.    | .. | Waterford. William de Burgo. Juries and assizes      |  |     |
|      |            |    | and pleas of pleas .. .. .                           |  | 136 |
|      | 8 Feb.     | .. | Waterford. William de Burgo. Pleas of juries ..      |  | 140 |
|      | 13 Feb.    | .. | New Ross. William de Burgo. Pleas of pleas ..        |  | 141 |
|      | 16 Feb.    | .. | Dublin. William de Burgo. Pleas of pleas ..          |  | 141 |
| 1310 | 10 May     | .. | Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown and           |  |     |
|      |            |    | delivery of gaol .. .. .                             |  | 143 |
|      | 27 May     | .. | Leighlin. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown ..          |  | 145 |

## DATE AND PLACE OF SESSION

|      |               |  | Page |
|------|---------------|--|------|
| 1310 | 29 May        | .. Modeshill, Co. Tipperary. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown .. .. .      | 145  |
|      | 16 June       | .. Kildare. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol .. .. .  | 147  |
|      | 21 June       | .. Castledermot. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown                          | 149  |
|      | 1 July        | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol .. .. .   | 149  |
|      | 8 July        | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 150  |
|      | 30 July       | .. Naas. John Wogan. Same .. .. .  | 156  |
|      | 28 Aug.       | .. Cashel. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 157  |
|      | 24 Sept.      | .. Kildare. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                     | 157  |
|      | 25 Sept.      | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same <sup>(1)</sup> .. .. .                       | 159  |
|      | 13 Oct.       | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 161  |
|      | 11 Dec.       | .. Ballymore Eustace, Co. Kildare. John Wogan. Same .. .. .              | 164  |
|      | 22 Dec.       | .. Castledermot. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                | 165  |
|      | 29 Dec.       | .. Castledermot. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                | 165  |
| 1311 | 4 Jan.        | .. Drogheda. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                    | 166  |
|      | 15 Feb.       | .. Carlow. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 171  |
|      | 25 Feb.       | .. Waterford. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                   | 176  |
|      | 12 Mar.       | .. Cork. John Wogan. Same .. .. .  | 191  |
|      | 29 Mar.       | .. Limerick. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                    | 203  |
|      | 9 May         | .. Drogheda. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                    | 208  |
|      | 11 June       | .. Cashel. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 214  |
|      | 13 June       | .. Limerick. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                    | 214  |
|      | 1 July        | .. Kilkenny. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                    | 216  |
|      | 5 July        | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 217  |
|      | 4 Oct.        | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 221  |
|      | 25 Oct.       | .. Drogheda. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                    | 223  |
|      | 18 or 25 Nov. | .. Naas. John Wogan. Same <sup>(2)</sup> .. .. .                         | 226  |
|      | 25 Nov.       | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 226  |
|      | 14 Dec.       | .. Castledermot. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                | 227  |
|      | 30 Dec.       | .. Carlow. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 228  |
| 1312 | 10 Jan.       | .. New Ross. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                    | 234  |
|      | 9 Apr.        | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 237  |
|      | 16 Apr.       | .. Drogheda. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown ..                           | 237  |
|      | 23 Apr.       | .. Drogheda. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol .. .. . | 239  |
|      | 28 May        | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Pleas of the Crown ..                             | 241  |
|      | 13 June       | .. Carlow. John Wogan. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol .. .. .   | 241  |
|      | 21 June       | .. Naas. John Wogan. Same .. .. .  | 242  |
|      | 1 July        | .. Dublin. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                      | 245  |
|      | 17 July       | .. Ardree, Co. Kildare. John Wogan. Same ..                              | 247  |
|      | 24 July       | .. Waterford. John Wogan. Same .. .. .                                   | 247  |

(1) Date is given as "Friday after St. Mathias, a. r. 4" (26 Feb. 1311), but on 25 Feb. 1311, the Justiciar had held pleas at Waterford. The entry for Feb 26 occurs, however, on m. 8, and m. 7d. has pleas at Kildare on Thursday after S. Matthew, a. r. 4 (24 Sept. 1310). A possible explanation is that on m. 8 Mathias has been read for Matthew, and that 26 Feb. 1311 should be Sept. 25 1310.

(2) Date is given as Thursday after S. Clement (25 Nov.). The next set of entries is dated the quinzaine of Martinmas, which is also 25 Nov. It seems unlikely either that the Justiciar should have sat both at Naas and at Dublin on the same day, or that the clerks should have described the same date in two different ways in the same roll. Possibly in the first case the date should be Thursday before S. Clement, i. e. 18 Nov.



|      |          |  |       |     |
|------|----------|--|-------|-----|
| 1312 | 31 July  | .. Clonmel. John Wogan. Same   | .. .. | 252 |
|      | 30 Sept. | .. Cashel. Edmund le Botiller, Custos. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol   | .. .. | 257 |
|      | 13 Oct.  | .. Dublin. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 258 |
|      | 27 Oct.  | .. Carlow. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 259 |
|      | 3 Nov.   | .. Waterford. Edmund le Botiller. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol  | .. .. | 259 |
|      | 18 Nov.  | .. New Ross. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 263 |
|      | 25 Nov.  | .. Dublin. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 264 |
|      | 4 Dec.   | .. Drogheda. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 265 |
| 1313 | 2 Jan.   | .. Limerick. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 266 |
|      | 13 Jan.  | .. Cashel. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 270 |
|      | 27 Jan.  | .. Dublin. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 271 |
|      | 21 Mar.  | .. Tullow. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 271 |
|      | 26 Mar.  | .. Cashel. Walter de Thornbury, Chancellor, and William Alysandre, assigned to the place of Edmund le Botiller, Custos, acting elsewhere in remote parts. Pleas of the crown | .. .. | 272 |
|      | 27 Apr.  | .. Drogheda. Edmund le Botiller. Pleas of the crown  | .. .. | 274 |
|      | 13 May   | .. Place not stated. Edmund le Botiller. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol   | .. .. | 280 |
|      | 7 June   | .. Waterford. Edmund le Botiller. Same   | .. .. | 280 |
|      | 12 June  | .. Kilkenny. Edmund le Botiller. Pleas of the crown  | .. .. | 283 |
|      | 8 July   | .. Dublin. Edmund le Botiller. Pleas of the crown and delivery of gaol   | .. .. | 285 |
|      | 6 Aug.   | .. Cork. Walter de Thornbury, Chancellor, and William Alisaudre, assigned to the place of Edmund le Botiller, Custos, acting elsewhere in remote parts. Same                 | .. .. | 286 |
|      | 13 Oct.  | .. Dublin. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 298 |
|      | 22 Oct.  | .. Waterford. Edmund le Botiller. Same   | .. .. | 299 |
|      | 27 Oct.  | .. Cashel. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 301 |
|      | 12 Nov.  | .. Limerick. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 303 |
|      | 31 Dec.  | .. Limerick. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 311 |
| 1314 | 16 Jan.  | .. Kilkenny. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 312 |
|      | 27 Jan.  | .. Cashel. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 313 |
|      | 4 Feb.   | .. Waterford. Edmund le Botiller. Same   | .. .. | 313 |
|      | 25 Feb.  | .. Dublin. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 315 |
|      | 18 Mar.  | .. Waterford. Edmund le Botiller. Same   | .. .. | 316 |
|      | 20 Mar.  | .. Rosbercon, Co. Kilkenny. Edmund le Botiller. Same   | .. .. | 316 |
|      | 21 Mar.  | .. Graigenamanagh, Co. Kilkenny. Edraund le Botiller. Same   | .. .. | 317 |
|      | 21 Apr.  | .. Naas. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 318 |
|      | 28 Apr.  | .. Dublin. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 319 |
|      | 6 May    | .. Cashel. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 320 |
|      | 14 May   | .. Clonmel. Edmund le Botiller. Same   | .. .. | 323 |
|      | 3 June   | .. Castledernot. Edmund le Botiller. Same  | .. .. | 324 |

## ERRATA.

- p. 373, col. i, line 6. Delete "Mayo", and substitute "May, q. v."
- p. 374, col. ii, line 25. Add "*see also* Magio".

# JUSTICIARY ROLL

1308

1 AND 2 EDWARD II.

Roll 85, m. 29

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT LIMERICK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
MONDAY AFTER EPIPHANY, a. r. 1.

8 Jan.

Brother Isaac, abbot de Magio, complains of brother William, late abbot of the same house, that he on Tuesday before the nativity of the B. V. M. last came at night time to the abbey with a large body of armed men and broke the gate there and opened and broke one chest and took eight marks of money in the same way and carried them away, and likewise took and carried away the vestments of the abbot. And he likewise complains that William on Tuesday after Michaelmas last entered the abbey and thrust out certain monks and nuns found there, and took and carried away the goods and chattels of the men and tenants of the abbot to the value of 100 shillings, and inflicted on him other outrages (*enormia*), to the severe loss of the abbot and against the peace.

Limerick

And brother William says that he is the abbot of the said house, and not Isaac, and produces letters patent under the name of Robert, the present bishop of Limerick, by which the bishop testifies that the lord Clement the Pope has now given a dispensation to brother William upon his irregularity, and that the Pope has him for abbot of the said house.

And because each of them alleges that he is the abbot, and knowledge who of them is the real abbot rightly belongs to the abbot of Mellifont, who is their superior and who has to visit the said abbey de Magio and to institute . . . the abbots there accordingly, the plea is put off until the court be certified by the abbot of Mellifont who of the said William and Isaac is the true abbot. Afterwards from Easter day in three weeks next following at Dublin Ralph, abbot of Mellifont and father abbot of the house de Magio, returned to the Justiciar here by his letters patent that brother Isaac is the true abbot of the house de Magio, and not brother William. The sheriff is commanded to cause brother William to appear in the octave of Trinity to hear the record and judgment in the said plea.

Gerard of Or complains against William Wolf of the same that William levied and received by extortion twenty pounds of silver of the rent of Gerard of the manor of Killyde from Michaelmas term last, which he had by the demise of John son of Thomas, and thereof did his will. Whence he says that when John demised the said manor in August last by his letters patent, which he produced and which testify to this, William, whom he had acquainted with the said demise on Michaelmas following, levied the said twenty pounds of the rent of the said term.

Limerick

And William comes and says that he knows well that in truth he

1308 was receiver of the moneys of John in the parts of Conell which are in the hands of John in the said Michaelmas term, and levied of the rent of the said term of the manor of Killyde which is in Oconill up to the sum of ten marks, and which he paid to John his master as . . . to certain contracts thereof he was held between John and Gerard, nevertheless John . . . manor aforesaid up to about three weeks before Christmas had his seisin. And because, having inspected the letter of John about the said demise, it appears to the court here that the plea . . . can not take an end, therefore let John come in the quinzaine of S. Hilary. The same day is given to Gerard and William.

Afterwards on that day came the said parties and likewise John son of Thomas, who truly recognizes that William received the rents of the said Michaelmas term by order of John as his receiver, and the rent which he received he paid to John, who was then in seisin of the manor of Killyde. And he says further that he does not believe that seisin of the manor was granted to Gerard by covenant made between them. And if it appears by the writings of the said . . . the said manor as well as the other lands which belonged to Thomas son of Maurice and on the hands of John . . . to answer to him for the said rent. Therefore William thereof *sine die*. And Gerard . . . (This record is imperfect, portion missing).

8 Jan. YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT LIMERICK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN,  
JUSTICIAR, THE DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Limerick

Be it remembered that certain dissensions and injuries having recently occurred between the citizens of the city of Limerick on the one part and Doneghuch Obren, chief of the Irish of Thomond, and his men on the other part, they have at length agreed and produced in court certain letters indented made between them of the said agreement and have sought that they may be enrolled in the following form:—

Whereas divers dissensions have occurred between the mayor and community of the city of Limerick of the one part and Doneghuch Obrien, chief of the Irish of Thomond, and his men of the other part, they have at length agreed by the lord John Wogan, Chief Justiciar of Ireland, on the Wednesday after Epiphany near the weir of Limerick in the first year of king Edward, in the following form, to wit, that all trespasses and forfeitures up to the day of the making of these presents hitherto committed be henceforth fully remitted and pardoned, each side giving bail, upon the holy evangelists, faithfully to observe henceforth a firm peace, so that the subjects of the one chief of Thomond or his tenants be not distrained or attached by the occasion of the debts or trespasses of the other chief or his tenants, nor that the innocent be punished or taken for the guilty until O'Brien, on being required, within fifteen days from the time of the requisition shall fail to cause reparation to be done; that, if the reparation be not made within the time aforesaid the said mayor and community may distrain and attach anything belonging to the sub-tenants of the said Obrien, if a distress of the said debtor or trespasser they cannot find, nor shall anyone of the town of Limerick by the occasion of the debt or trespass of the other be distrained or attached by anyone of the Thomonds, unless the said mayor and community, in like manner being required, shall fail to cause reparation to be done. And that this agreement may remain ratified and established, to this portion made in manner of a cyrograph each party alternatively has caused to be affixed his seal, together with

the seal of the lord Justiciar aforesaid, who is a pledge for the observing of the present agreement. 1308

ESSOINS TAKEN AT LIMERICK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER EPIPHANY, a. r. 1. 8 Jan.

Robert son of Walran v Thomas son of Walran, of a plea of assize of mort d'ancestor. By Gerald son of Walran, before the Justice assigned at the next coming. The assize was held and none came. Therefore let the sheriff have the bodies, etc. And be it known that the close writ remains with the sheriff and an open writ with the party. Limerick

Thomas son of Hugh Purcel v Hugh son of Hugh Purcel, of a plea of assize of mort d'ancestor. By Robert le White, before the justice assigned at the next coming. The assize was held and none came. Therefore let the sheriff have the bodies. And be it known that a close writ remains with the sheriff and an open writ with the party. Limerick

Robert son of Hugh Purcel v Hugh son of Hugh Purcel, of a plea of assize of mort d'ancestor. By Thomas le White, before the justice assigned at the next coming. The assize was held and none came. Therefore let the sheriff have the bodies. And be it known that a close writ remains with the sheriff and an open writ with the party. Limerick

PLEAS OF JURIES AND ASSIZES THERE BEFORE THE AFORESAID JUSTICIAR THE DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID. 8 Jan.

The sheriff was directed to cause to appear here on this day all assizes of novel disseisin and mort d'ancestor before certain justices assigned in the county, with the original writs and all adminicles (ancillary documents) touching these assizes. And that he should summon the parties that they should be here to do and receive that which, etc. And that through all his bailiwick he should make public proclamation that all those who should wish to complain against the servants of the King or others should be here . . . if they wished. And likewise that all those who have a day before the Justiciar here at his next coming by prefixion or by any other way should be here on this day to do and receive what in justice ought (to be done and received). And likewise that he should cause to come here on this day all prisoners of his county and all mainprised to pleas of the crown . . . with the Justiciar here with their indictments, the prosecutors and all others who should be necessary for their delivery, to stand to the charge. And likewise that he should cause to come here on this day twenty four discreet, upright and loyal men, as well knights as other freemen, to certify to the Justiciar here upon certain articles touching the peace of the land . . . requiring and that the sheriff should be here in his proper person to certify to the Justiciar here such said writs thoroughly. And the sheriff comes and testifies to the execution of the writ in full. Limerick

The Chancellor is commanded to cause to issue to the Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Exchequer, Dublin, a writ of *liberate* to John son of Thomas out of the issues of the King for the last service proclaimed at Loxeuedy of fifty marks for overcoming the felonious Irish of Offaly. And likewise that he cause to issue a similar writ of *liberate* to Peter son of James de Bermengham of the money aforesaid fifty marks for the said expedition. Given at Limerick the ninth day of January in the year aforesaid. Afterwards on the Thursday before the feast of S. Gregory the Pope next following at Dublin, because the monies of the

1308 said service are not yet levied, the Chancellor is commanded that he cause a writ of *liberate* to the Treasurer and Chamberlains (to pay) to the said Peter another fifty marks out of the King's Treasury as a loan upon the service aforesaid in full payment of the hundred marks granted him for the expedition aforesaid. <sup>(1)</sup>

The assize came to recognize if James Ketyng and Hernesius Ketyng unjustly and without judgment disseised Stephen Carreu and Lucy his wife of their free tenement in Rathgalwigh . . . And then they complain that he disseised them of one messuage, forty three acres of wood, four acres of meadow and six acres of pasture. And James comes and Hernesius does not come, but a certain Henry de Berkeley answers for him as his bailiff and says there should be no assize against him. He says also that his name is Arnaldus and not Hernesius. And he asks for judgment.<sup>(2)</sup> And if it appears by the assize that his name is Hernesius and not Arnaldus, then he further says that he has nothing and no claim in the said tenements, nor did he cause any injury or disseisin thereof to them. And of this he puts himself on the assize. And James answers as tenant, and says that he did not cause them any injury or disseisin thereof. He says also that Lucy holds the tenements of James by the service which . . . according to the custom of this land, and he was in seisin of the tenements by reason of the minority of age of (Lucy), and because the marriage of Lucy belonged to James and she married Stephen without the assent . . . of James. He claims to hold the tenements by forfeiture of the marriage of Lucy as to him . . . And he seeks judgment <sup>(3)</sup>.

And Stephen and Lucy say that Hernesius is known by this name and not by the name of Arnald, and that James cannot claim any forfeiture for the marriage of Lucy. They also say that a certain Robert Ketyng . . . the said Lucy and caused her in the name of James to marry a certain Thomas Ketyng who has her as his wife . . . And of her . . . offspring, after whose death she then being of full age married Stephen as . . . And James says that Robert never was his bailiff nor held any power from James over Lucy. Therefore he seeks that inquiry be made by assize. And Stephen and Lucy likewise. Therefore let the truth of the matter be inquired by the assize.

And the jurors say upon their oath that James sold the custody and marriage of Lucy to a certain Adam de (the rest of this item is so torn and worn that it is unintelligible).

m. 29d

Limerick

William, abbot de Magio, recognizes that he granted to Robert, bishop of Limerick, all that land which master Laurence Osonek held of the said abbot in Camysbeg, to have and to hold to the bishop and his assigns for the term of twenty nine years from Michaelmas next, the bishop or his assigns rendering therefor yearly to the abbot and his successors fifty shillings, one moiety at Michaelmas and the other at Easter. And likewise brother Isaak, who says that he is abbot of the said house, granted that the bishop and his assigns may have and hold

(1) Query in margin opposite 1st sentence.

(2) Phrase struck out.

(3) " " "

all the land aforesaid in the form aforesaid. And likewise both of the abbots recognize that they have granted to render to the bishop the goods and chattels of the said master Laurence there found, namely, two ricks of oats and one of beans, six afers of the price of half a mark each, and that the other goods and chattels of Laurence taken to the value of eight marks and three shillings, they will allow in the rent of the bishop, and which goods Laurence recognizes to have given and granted to the bishop. 1308

The assize comes to recognize if Richard Goer, John Cromyl and Nicholas Cromyl unjustly and without judgment disseised Adam son of Richard G . . . of his free tenement in Grene. And thereof he complains that they disseised him of one messuage. And John and Nicholas come and Richard comes not, but a certain Stephen Cradok answers for him as his . . . And Nicholas says he has nothing nor claims to have in the said messuage, and that he did no injury or disseisin to him thereof. And John answers as tenant and says that he entered the messuage by feoffment of Richard Goer and (not) by disseisin. And Richard Goer says that he did not do any injury or disseisin to him thereof, because he says that he entered upon the said . . . by feoffment of a certain John le Potter, who is not named in the writ, and he produces a certain deed in the name of the said . . . which testifies this. And he seeks judgment (1).

Limerick

And Adam says that after the making of the said deed he was in good and peaceful seisin of the messuage until . . . Richard, John and Nicholas disseised him thereof unjustly and without judgment. And of this he puts himself upon the assize (2). Therefore let the assize be held, which is remitted for default of a jury till the next coming of the justices assigned to that county. And be it known that the close writ remains with the sheriff and the open writ with the party.

David, bishop of Killaloe, recognizes that he owes Robert, bishop of Limerick, fourteen marks and forty pence, . . . will pay him the moiety in the quinzaine of the Purification next, and another moiety at Easter next . . . should he not do so he grants that the sheriff have execution of the lands and chattels.

Tipperary

It is granted by the Justiciar that the burgesses of Kylmehallock have murage for the purpose of enclosing and strengthening their town, to last for three years. Therefore let letters of the King be made to them in the accustomed form.

Limerick  
Tipperary

Stephen de Carru and Lucy his wife recognize that they owe James Ketyng twenty six shillings and eight pence which . . . forthwith. And should they not do so they grant that the sheriff have execution, etc.

John de Donevill and Arnald le Poer came into court here on Monday after S. Valerian . . . at Carlow and recognized that they owe to Roger de Glenne, Nicholas de Eggeffeld, William de Suylly, John Plunket . . . Balymor and John de Stanes five marks and forty pence. And Nicholas de Carreu, Maurice de Caunteton . . . and John de Lynet(3) came into court here and recognized that they owe the said Roger, Nicholas de Eggeffeld, William de Suylly, John Plunket and Thomas and John de Stanes five marks and forty pence. And Edward le Botiller came here and recognized

Carlow

(1) Phrase struck out.

(2) " " " (3) Lyvet.

1308 that he owes the said Roger, Nicholas de Eggeffeld, William de Suylly, John Plunket, Thomas . . . . Stanes five marks and forty pence. And Thomas le Botiller, John de Valle, William Traharne and M . . . Kendale came here into court and recognized that they owe the said Roger, Nicholas de Eggesffeld, William de Suylly . . . Plunket, Thomas de Ballymore and John de Stanes five marks and forty pence which they will pay them. And unless they shall do so, they grant that the sheriff have execution, etc.

m. 30

15 Jan. COMMON PLEAS AT CASSEL BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

Tipperary

At the instance of William de S. Ledger, knight, complaining that whereas Hugh Purcel, knight, and Philip Purcel his son, in the court of the late King Edward, before Thomas, bishop of Meath and his fellows, late Justices Itinerant, at Cassel in the county aforesaid, recognized that they owed William four hundred pounds, it was commanded the bishop of Meath that, after examining the rolls of the said itinerary, he should send the tenor of the recognition to the Justiciar here under his seal distinctly and openly, who sent here the tenor of the recognizance aforesaid in these words:—

Pleas of juries and assizes before Thomas, bishop of Meath, assigned in place of Walter Lenfaunt, John de Fresingfeld, assigned in place of Walter de la Haye, being elsewhere by command of the King, John de Ponte, Alexander de Bykenore and William Alysandre, Justices Itinerant, at Cassel, from the quinzaine of Michaelmas in the thirty third year of King Edward, continued till the morrow of S. Hilary in the thirty fourth year.

Hugh Purcel, knight, and Philip his son come before the Justiciar here on Saturday after the Purification and recognize for themselves and their heirs and executors that they owe William de S. Ledger, knight, four hundred pounds, to be paid to William, his heirs or executors, forthwith. And unless they shall do so they grant that the sheriff have execution, etc., to whatsoever hands they may have come.

It is granted by the Justiciar here that Richard de Lees, accused of divers felonies by him committed, be under the guardianship of the peace henceforth till Easter. Nevertheless in the meantime let him conduct himself peacefully.

m. 31

15 Jan. PLEAS OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT CASSEL BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

Tipperary

The sheriff was commanded that he cause to come here on this day all assizes of novel disseisin and mort d'ancestor before certain justices of the King assigned in the county aforesaid, with original writs and all things in support thereof touching these assizes, and that he should acquaint the parties in these assizes that they should come here on this day to do and receive that which . . . and that through all his bailiwick he should make public proclamation that all those who wished to complain against the King's ministers or any other should come here to present their complaints if they so wished, and likewise that all those



who have a day before the Justiciar at his next coming should then be here by . . . or in any other way to do and receive that which justice should advise, and also that they should cause to come before the Justiciar all prisoners of the county aforesaid and all persons mainprised for pleas of the crown by the writ of the Justiciar here, with their indictments and indictors and all other things which should be necessary for their delivery, to stand to the charge brought against them, and that they should cause to come before the Justiciar twenty four of the more discreet, upright and lawful men, as well knights as other free and lawful men of the bailiwick, to certify to the Justiciar here upon certain articles touching the King's peace to be required from them, and that the sheriff in his own proper person should be here to certify how he had executed the premises. And the sheriff now comes and testifies that the said writ has been fully executed according to the tenor thereof. And he delivered here certain assizes. 1308

The assize came to recognize if John son of Walter Willy and William Ketyng unjustly disseised Robert son of John Rothe of his free tenement in Ballydessehan after the . . . (1). And thereof he complains that he disseised him of seven acres of land. And William Ketyng comes and John son of Walter comes not, but Reginald McCotir answers for him as his bailiff. And William says that he has ingress upon the said tenements by the said John son of Walter and not by disseisin. And John son of Walter says that he had ingress upon the tenements by a certain Elena Broun who is not named in the writ. And he seeks judgment (2). And if it appears by the assize that he has not ingress upon the tenements by Elena, then he says further that Robert never was seised of the tenements so that he could disseise him thereof. And on this he puts himself upon the assize (3). Therefore let the assize be held, which remains to be taken for default of recognitors because they are not fit. And the sheriff is commanded that he cause to come before the Justiciar at his next coming here twelve etc., by whom, etc. (4). Tipperary

Afterwards on Tuesday the morrow of S. Hilary in the second year of King Edward at Cassel before William de Burgo, lieutenant of the Justiciar here, came the said parties and likewise the recognitors. The jurors say upon oath that Robert never had seisin of the tenements as of a free tenement whence he could be disseised. Therefore it is considered that he take nothing by his writ but be in mercy for a false claim. And John and the others be thereof *sine die*. Afterwards mercy is pardoned because he is under age.

The assize comes to recognize if Eustace le Poer, Adam Roth, Lucy Kayn and Philip de S. Brigida unjustly disseised John Roth of his free tenement in Baledessehan. And thereof he complains that they disseised him of eighteen shillings and eight pence rent.

And Eustace and all the others come. And Adam Roth says that he claims nothing in the said rent, but answers as tenant of two parts of the tenement wherefrom the said rent issues. And he says further that he did him no injury or disseisin thereof. And on this he puts himself on the assize (5). And Philip and Lucy answer as tenants of the third part of the tenement wherefrom the said rent issues. And they

(1) Word " peace " crossed out.

(2), (3), (4) Sentence crossed out.

(5) Sentence crossed out.

1308 say that they have nothing nor any claim in the said rent, and that they did him no injury or disseisin thereof. And on this they put themselves upon the assize <sup>(1)</sup>. And Eustace replies as tenant of the said rent, and says that an assize thereon between them ought not to be held. He also says that in truth a certain John, father of the said John Roth, was a middleman between the tenants of the tenements and Maurice de Carreu, head landlord, and paid to Maurice by his hand the said rent as middleman. And on the death of John the father, Maurice so acted towards John Roth the son that John granted, rendered, and demised the possession of the tenements to Maurice quietly to himself and his heirs, by which rendering Maurice was in seisin of the fealty of the tenants of the tenements and received the rent from them by his own hands. Which Maurice indeed afterwards enfeoffed Eustace of the rent and demesne of the tenements aforesaid. And he seeks judgment whether John Roth the son, who by this kind of rendering and demise is become wholly . . . to the demesne of the said tenements ought to be admitted to that assize without special statute.

And John Roth says that in truth he made the said demise to Maurice in exchange for a certain land of which Maurice . . . but afterwards Maurice remised to him all the right and claim which he had in the rent aforesaid and granted him . . . of the same, and he afterwards for several years was in seisin thereof. And he says that that quit claim afterwards . . . he seeks that inquiry be held by assize . . .

(The remainder is so torn that it is difficult to extract the sense).

m. 31d

15 Jan. YET OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT CASHEL BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. HILARY.

The assize came to recognize whether John de la Fenne unjustly disseised Robert de la Fenne of his free tenement in le Yoldetoun after . . . And thereof he complains that he disseised him of sixteen shillings rent. And John does not come. Therefore let the assize be taken against him for his default, which remains to be taken before the Justice assigned at his next coming into that county for default of recognitors. And William Tyrell, Philip Tyrell, John Tyrell of Balylegan, William Brydagh, David Huchun, William Ardry, Robert Unredd, Richard le Jeofne, Andrew de More, Thomas Godeson, Adam son of William, William Hamound, Robert de Ranyde and David Russell, the recognitors, though summoned came not. Therefore they are in mercy. And be it known that the close writ remains in the custody of the sheriff and the open writ in the custody of the party.

The assize came to recognize whether Walter Lenfaunt, Ralph<sup>o</sup> de Kerdyf and John de Loghken unjustly disseised Eustach le Poer of his free tenement in Cadeleston after . . . And thereof he complains that they disseised him of four hundred acres of land. And Ralph and John come. And Ralph says that he has nothing and no claim and that he did him no injury or disseisin thereof. And on this he puts himself upon the assize. And John answers as tenant and says that the assize ought not to be taken against them. He also says that a certain Andrew Cadel held the tenements of a certain Robert de Loghken immediately and the Andrew committed a certain felony, on which account he put himself under the protection of the church and renounced the King's land, for which reason William de la Sale, then coroner in that county, took the

(1) Sentence crossed out.

tenements into the King's hand. And Robert appeared at the King's court, certifying to the court that Andrew held the tenements of Robert immediately, and seeking that they might be returned to him as his escheat he got a writ directed to the coroner for having seisin of the tenements. And so he obtained seisin of the tenements, and afterwards Johanna Caddell, asserting that she was in seisin of the tenement . . . sought a certain assize of novel disseisin against the coroner and Robert and John de Lochken, and recovered her seisin thereof. And afterwards the said . . . noticing that the Justices had erred in taking the said assize and in rendering judgment, caused the record and process to be brought before the Justiciar here, on the recital whereof, on account of various errors in the same mentioned, the said record and process were wholly annulled and the Justiciar here proceeded again to the taking of the said assize, by whom it was . . . that neither Johanna nor John her brother, on whose death she made title of her seisin, ever had seisin of the tenement, and he seeks judgment whether any act that Johanna could do could give to anyone . . . with free tenement.

And Eustace truly recognizes that he held his seisin of the tenements by the livery of Johanna after the said recovery of Johanna before the said Justices Itinerant, but this was before John . . . his writ of citing Johanna to be before the Justices here, in which writ Eustace, then tenant, was not named. Therefore he says that the said recovery of John against Johanna ought not to delay the taking of the assize. And he seeks judgment.

And John says that he recently after the erroneous delivery of the said judgment sued to cause the said record to be brought before the Justiciar here and also to cite Johanna. And he seeks judgment whether any deed of Johanna since the recent suit of John could give Eustace the title of free tenant of the tenements, especially when the position which Johanna held in the tenements is held to be null and void. He also says that, saving to himself the reason aforesaid, Eustace had no disseisin thereof in the meantime whereof he could be disseised. And on this he puts himself upon the assize.

Afterwards Eustace came and withdrew his defence. Therefore he and his pledges to prosecute, to wit, Andrew son of John and Robert de London, in mercy. And John de Loghken and the others *sine die*.

Afterwards it was agreed that Eustace remised and quit claimed for himself and his heirs to the said . . . and his heirs all the right and claim which he had or could have in the tenements for ever. And for this recognition and quitclaim John de Loghken recognised that he owed Eustace one hundred shillings which he would pay him forthwith . . . Laffan, John le Blound of Loghken, Wydly Loghken and John Gerard. And if they shall not do so it is agreed that the sheriff have execution, etc. Of the plea of mercy of Eustace and his pledges of the cause, nothing, because they are pardoned by the Justiciar.

The assize came to recognize if Hugh son of Hugh son of John Purcel and Robert son of Tancard de Barry . . . Purcel of his free tenement in Balnedargh . . . And he complains that he disseised him of one message . . .

And Robert comes and Hugh son of Hugh comes not but Gervase de Ralleye . . . his bailiff. And Robert answers as tenant. And he says

1308 that he has entry upon the tenements . . . not by disseisin. And Hugh says that a certain Hugh Purcel, father of the said Hugh, whose heir . . . of the said tenements in his demesne, after whose death he entered recently upon the tenements without . . . disseisin to Philip being thereof made. And of this he puts himself upon the assize. Therefore let the assize be held . . . the justices assigned at the next coming for default of recognizances. And Henry de la Launde, Da . . . , Hugh Comyn, William de la Launde, John Roscre, William son of Walter de Elycar, William . . . Richard Galcolyn, Thomas Cadynor and Richard son of John, his recognitors, came not. Therefore they are in mercy . . . close writ remains in the custody of the sheriff and the open writ in the custody of the party.

15 Jan. YET OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT CASHEL BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
MONDAY AFTER S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

Tipperary

The assize came to recognize if Walter son of Thomas Leynagh, Ralph son of Thomas Leynagh, Robert son of James Ketyng, Milo Ketyng, Ralph son of William Leynagh, Thomas son of Thomas Ketyng of Dergeragh, William Falyagh McÜbuth Beg and Alexander Broun unjustly disseised Thomas Laynagh of his free tenement in Alweyston, Leynaghston and Marteleston; and he complains that they disseised him of two messuages and a hundred acres of land.

And Walter son of Thomas Leynagh and Robert son of James Ketyng come and Ralph son of Thomas and the others come not, but a certain Reginald McCotir answers for them as their bailiff. And Robert son of James and all the rest except Walter son of Thomas say they have nothing and no claim in the tenements and that they did no injury or disseisin to Thomas. And of this they put themselves upon the assize. And Walter answers as tenant of the tenements, and says that he has entry by the said Thomas, who enfeoffed him by his deed which he produces, which testifies to this, and not by disseisin.

And Thomas truly recognizes the said deed to be his act, but says that Walter has not ingress in the tenements by feoffment of Thomas nor by the said deed, but by disseisin, and that Walter and the rest disseised him thereof as he complains. And of this he puts himself upon the assize. Therefore let the assize be taken.

The jurors say upon oath that Walter had ingress upon one messuage and a quarter twenty acres<sup>(1)</sup> of land of the tenements aforesaid by the gift and feoffment of Thomas and by the said deed. And as to the other messuage and the remaining twenty acres of land, they say that Walter and all the others unjustly disseised Thomas as he complains. Being asked if the said disseisin was made *vi et armis*, they say that it was. Therefore it is considered that Thomas recover against them his . . . of the said messuage and twenty acres of land by view of recognition of the said assize, and his costs, which are taxed at one mark. And Walter and Robert, being present in court here, are committed to gaol. And let Ralph son of Thomas and the others be taken. And with respect to the remainder, namely to the one messuage and a quarter twenty acres of land, it is considered that Thomas take nothing by that assize, but be in mercy for a false claim. And Walter and the others *sine die*. And because Thomas appears against his own deed,

(1) Thus.

therefore let him be committed to gaol. And Robert Marche, Nicholas de Bristoll and Simon, his recognitors, came not. Therefore let them be in mercy. 1308

m. 33

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE OCTAVE OF S. HILARY, a. r. 1. 20 Jan.

On hearing the complaint of Robert, bishop of Ferns, showing that the sheriff of Dublin unjustly distrained him and his tenants to pay twelve pence for every carucate of land, in part payment of one hundred marks assigned to Edmund le Botiller for all Leinster . . . . McUhuth Ballagh McUhuth, felon of the King, killed, as is said, by Edmund, where he, the bishop, or his predecessors and their men or tenants were never accustomed to be taxed for making such contributions and subsidies, it is agreed that the sheriff go personally to those parts and having called before him those whom he shall see fit, by the oaths of upright and lawful men he shall cause diligent inquiry to be made whether the bishop and his predecessors or their men and tenants were accustomed to be taxed for making such contributions and subsidies in time past or no. And let him send the inquisition, distinctly and openly made, under his seal and the seals of the jurors, to the Justiciar here, so that he may have it within one month from Easter, wherever he may be. And if he shall have levied any money from them, to restore it without delay, and if he shall have caused any distraint to be executed on that occasion, that he release it. Afterwards in one month from Easter the sheriff sent here the inquisition thereof taken, under the seals of the jurors, by which it is ascertained that the bishop of Ferns and his predecessors or men and tenants were never accustomed to be taxed or to make any subsidies or contributions. And therefore the sheriff is inhibited from distraining the bishop, his men or tenants, to make any such subsidies or contributions until otherwise ordered.

Dublin

William Alisaunder . . . against Gilbert le Paumer of a plea why he, with John de Slane, seized and without licence caused William, son and heir of Richard de Coventre, to be married, whose marriage belonged to William by the demise of King Edward I. And he comes not. And he had a day here to this day after that he appeared in court here. Therefore the sheriff is ordered to distrain Gilbert by all his lands, and let him have his body before the Justiciar in the octave of the Purification to answer William together with John of the plea aforesaid, and to hear judgment.

Kildare

Ralph de Monte Hermery complains against Richard de Bereford, late Treasurer of Ireland, and Hugh Canoun, that when the lord Edward I, wishing to do special favour to Ralph after the death of Johanna, countess of Gloucester, wife of Ralph, commanded the Justiciar and Richard, then Treasurer, that he should take into the King's hands all the goods and chattels of Ralph and Johanna in Ireland and should cause them to be safely guarded without any . . . until the King should think fit to demand otherwise, the said Richard and Hugh, after receipt of the said writ, which Richard received on the 30th of May last, broke open and caused to be broken open a certain box of Ralph's in the town of Kilkenny, and took thereof of the treasure of Ralph one hundred and one pounds of money, and of the other goods and chattels of Ralph in the liberty of Kilkenny to the value of three hundred pounds

1308 they . . . and sale, to the severe damage of Ralph, and against the peace. And thereof he seeks a remedy. And Richard and Hugh came. And Hugh says that he did no injury or trespass to Ralph. He also says, that on hearing of the death of Johanna, William de Meones, one of the Barons of the Exchequer, Dublin, was sent to the parts of Kilkenny for taking into the hands of the King all the goods of Ralph and of Johanna in that liberty, for the five hundred pounds which are due to the King in the said liberty, as well of the debt of Ralph and Johanna as of the debts of Gilbert de Clare, late earl of Gloucester, deceased, and afterwards William, who took the said goods into the King's hands, produced to the Treasury a certain inventory of the goods and chattels of Johanna, which inventory Richard, then Treasurer, handed to Hugh and granted him a certain commission under the seal of the Treasury to sell the said goods etc. at as high a price as they could fetch, and that as well of those goods as of the money which belonged to Johanna and was found in the custody of Alan Donnynge he should cause to be levied five hundred pounds, and that the money . . . should be paid to master John Travers, assigned to receive the money aforesaid. And Richard recognizes the truth of this, and says further that on the thirtieth of May last he received a writ of Edward I to take the goods which belonged to Johanna, deceased, into the King's hand and to guard them safely, which writ was dated the first of May, but before the receipt of this writ the King sent certain letters patent to his Justiciar, Chancellor and Treasurer of Ireland, dated the seventh of May, which he produces in these words:—

Edward, etc., to the honourable father in God, Thomas, by the same grace bishop of Emly, our Chancellor, and to our faithful and loyal John Wogan, our Justiciar, and to our dear clerk Richard de Berford, our Treasurer of Ireland, and to all our other faithful and loyal ministers and others in Ireland to whom these letters shall come, greeting. We command you, firmly enjoining you on the faith which you owe us, that to the order which the estimable father in God the bishop of Chester, our Treasurer, shall make to you on our behalf you render obedience in all points and perform and execute them . . . And let this not be omitted. In testimony whereof we have caused these our letters patent to be made, to continue at our will. Given under our privy seal at Cardoill the seventh day of May in the thirty fifth year of our reign.

And likewise he produced a certain letter of the bishop of Chester, dated the fifteenth of May here, in these words:—

To his very dear friend Sir Richard de Berford, Treasurer of Ireland, Walter, by the grace of God bishop of Chester, Treasurer of our lord the King, greeting and friendship. Our lord the King . . . to the parts of Scotland for some great matters which concern that district, and amongst other things which he enjoins us to do there he especially charges us to get the town and castle of Are furnished with food and diet against the arrival of the army, and that we should write to you on the same matter, as you can see by his letters patent which we send you. Therefore we enjoin you on the part of the King that . . . the money or the issues of the archbishop of Dublin or the lands of the countess of Gloucester, or from any other part that you can have them, between you and the Justiciar and the Chancellor you provide five hundred quarters of . . . and five hundred barrels of wine and three hundred quarters of . . . and five hundred quarters of oats, and

that . . . as much as you can, and have it put on board with all speed and sent to . . . to Sir James Dalyleye, receiver of victuals for the King, or to his deputy in that district. And if you . . . to have all at once safe you send them by parcels in haste as you . . . we command you that you yourselves or any one of you three be constantly intendant to the matter . . . be repaired and sent to sea. Be not remiss for debts which you owe . . . how these debts must be paid, nor for other harm that . . . speedily without any delay, and that it be sent . . . as . . . and save his life, and as you desire his honour and profit and salvation of . . . his letters patent of the same matter, to which you will make against . . . to you upon your account of the costs which you have put . . .

1308

. . . breaking of the said box, Hugh says that he did not break the box nor was the box broken, but . . . says that William on his arrival had view of the said moneys and left them deposited under his seal in the box in the custody of the said Alan, in whose custody the key of that box remained. And afterwards Hugh, coming there for the reason aforesaid, enjoined Simon and Alan that they should deliver to him the said money. They refused to do so, but showed him the box and key, and Hugh taking that key opened the box, and in view of Simon and Alan caused that money . . . and to be delivered to master John. And because Edward I by his letters patent dated at Kardolum the twenty fourth day of June last, which are enrolled elsewhere in that term, pardoned and remitted to the said Ralph all kinds of debts which Ralph and Johanna, late countess of Gloucester, wife of Ralph and daughter of the King, owed to the King in any way, as well the debts which Gilbert de Clare, late earl of Gloucester and Hereford, deceased, owed to the King and in which Ralph and Johanna were bound to the King by their letters and recognitions, as other debts which they owed to the King . . . as in the said letters patent is more fully contained. It is agreed by the Justiciar and all the Council that Ralph recover all the goods and chattels so taken into the King's hands, except the said one hundred and sixty seven pounds for which he answered to Edward I, as is aforesaid, long before the arrival of the said letters patent and before the making thereof.

John Balygodman recognizes that he owes John de Kylegh two marks which he will pay him forthwith. And if he shall not do so he agrees that the sheriff make execution, etc.

Dublin

John Oysel complains *v.* Thomas Sapecot of a plea of two hundred cattle. He gives to the King two shillings for licence of retiring from his plaint, by the plea of William de Berdesfeld.

Richard de Eyton complains of William Wolf, clerk, that he unjustly detains from him sixty six shillings, which he ought to have returned on the feast of the Decollation of S. John Baptist last, and which he has not yet returned. And he produces certain letters patent under the name of the aforesaid, which testify this . . . And William comes by his attorney, and says that he cannot deny but that that he owes Richard the sixty six shillings, as Richard complains. Therefore it is agreed that Richard recover against William the sixty six shillings. And . . . in mercy. No damages because Richard has remitted them. Afterwards the aforesaid in mercy, by the Justiciar.

Dublin

. . . on which John Matthew and Henry Coraunt placed themselves in a plea of trespass that John delivered one of his . . . into the custody

Dublin

1308 of Henry, but that he sold that . . . to him for twenty . . . on this condition, that if Henry could not sell the . . . , for so much money, then John should keep it, without prejudice, and Henry afterwards sold the . . . for two marks and . . . It is agreed that John get nothing for his complaint, but stand in mercy for a false claim. And Henry . . .

Dublin Hugh de Borham complains of Laurence, servant of William de Berdesfeld, that he unjustly detains from him one falling<sup>(1)</sup> of the price of one mark, one brooch (*firmaculum*) of the price of five shillings, and others of his goods to the value of twenty . . . , which goods Katherine, the wife of Hugh, handed to Laurence to keep the custody thereof, by order of Hugh, and which he has hitherto refused to return, to the loss of Hugh of ten shillings. And thereof he seeks a remedy. And Laurence comes and truly recognizes that he received from Katherine the said goods, to be carried to London to the daughter of Katherine, where he expected to arrive. And that Laurence landed at Bristol . . . so that he could not go to London, he delivered those goods into custody at Bristol. And . . . a day was given him to return to Hugh the goods and jewels aforesaid . . . to wit, in Dublin . . . Easter next, by pleas of William de Berdesfeld and Hugh de Trikyngnam. And unless he shall do so let the sheriff have execution of their lands and chattels to the value etc.

m. 34

20 Jan. YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE OCTAVE OF S. HILARY, a. r. I.

Kildare Arnald le Poer complains against Richard de Eyteleye and Thomas de Eyteleye that they, with master Bartholomew de Eyteleye and Nicholas le Norreys, on Monday after the Nativity of the B. V. M. last, came to Kynnegh and there caused to come Follan Otohill with his following, William Onolan with his following and the Glentalewys, *hibernici*, and William de Beaufou, felon, outlawed in that county, and the goods and chattels of Arnald, viz. corn, barley, oats and . . . to the value of forty pounds there found, took and carried away by force of arms, to the damage of Arnald of twenty pounds and against the peace. And thereof he seeks a remedy.

And Richard and Thomas come and say that they did no injury or trespass to Arnald. And they ask for an inquiry, and Arnald likewise. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he cause to come before the Justices in the quinzaine of Easter, wherever they may be, *nisi prius*, etc., twelve etc., by whom, etc. And who . . . to the recognizance in form aforesaid, etc.

Arnald offers himself on the fourth day against the said Bartholomew and Nicholas of the said plea. And they came not. And the sheriff was commanded to attach Nicholas to have him here on this day to answer Arnald, together with Richard and the others, of the said plea. And the sheriff did nothing, but sent word that Nicholas was not to be found, nor had anything in his bailiwick. Also the sheriff of Dublin was commanded to distrain Bartholomew and to have his body here on this day. And the sheriff sent word that he was not to be found nor

(1) Mantle. Irish *fallaing*.



had anything in his bailiwick. (The sheriff of Kildare was commanded to have the bodies of Nicholas and Bartholomew in court on the same day). Afterwards, on the Wednesday after the Purification, at Tristeldermot, came Arnald and Richard and Thomas and also the jurors. And Richard and Thomas say that the court should not proceed to the taking of the said jury because they have not been properly warned to hear that jury, for they say that they had not warning . . . . And they seek judgment. Therefore a day is given them at Tristeldermot the following Friday, to wit, the Friday in the octave of the Purification. On which day came there as well Arnald, Richard and Thomas as the jurors. And the jurors say upon their oath that master Bartholomew obtained from Nicholas le Norreys and Henry Baret the presentation to the church of Kynnegh, which Thomas de Yaneworthe held by the presentation of the late King Edward, whilst the wives of Nicholas and Henry, patronesses of the said church, were under age and in the custody of the King, which presentation master Bartholomew . . . .<sup>(1)</sup> of archdeacon of Glendalough, within which archdeaconry the said church is situated, upon which archdeacon the spiritual jurisdiction of that archdeaconry had devolved, by reason of the see of Dublin being vacant, and master Bartholomew . . . . assigned him a certain commissary, to wit, John Cheure, dean of the church of Leighlin, who on the first day of the cause gave judgment for master Bartholomew, adjudging to him the possession of the said church, and that Richard and Thomas, taking with them a certain master Simon Jandewyn, whom the commissary had assigned to master Bartholomew to institute to that church, and David le Poer, Nicholas le Norreis and Henry Baret, came to the church, and when they could not get ingress they broke the door of the church and, entering, threw the robes (*hernes*) of Thomas de Yaneworth found there out of the church, and kept possession of that church, so that Thomas de Yaneworth approached Arnald and agreed with him that Arnald should have the fruits of the church for this autumn, on condition that Arnald maintained Thomas in possession of the church against master Bartholomew, who had obtained possession of it by such a false judgment, from which Thomas asserts that he had recently appealed, and Arnald, approaching the church, removed those whom he found there in the name of master Bartholomew, and held possession of the church in the name of master Thomas de Yaneworth, and immediately afterwards Richard de Eyteleye approached Thomas le Botiller, who was then at Tuylagh, and in the name of master Bartholomew granted to Thomas le Botiller all this year's fruits of the church, on condition that Thomas le Botiller maintained master Bartholomew in possession of his church, who by reason of that agreement approached the church in company with Richard and Thomas de Eyteleye and removed the men whom Arnald had left there, and on Richard and Thomas de Eyteleye retiring, Thomas le Botiller kept his position there for several days, and caused to come there Follaun Othel with his kerns, William de Beaufo, felon of the King, outlawed in that county, with his kerns, and certain other malefactors, who . . . . carried away the sheaves of the tithes of the said church which had been before collected by Thomas de Yaneworth and Arnald before the coming of Thomas le Botiller, to the value of four pounds, and also the sheaves of tithes afterwards gathered by the men of Thomas le Botiller, to the value of ten pounds. And afterwards, Thomas le Botiller, retiring, left there to guard the said church William de Beaufo with his kerns, who afterwards took the robes of Thomas de Yaneworth which had been thrown outside the church, as is said, to the

(<sup>1</sup>) Cal. has words "detulit offic'" inserted in pencil.

1308 value of two marks, and carried them away outside the church where they had been deposited by Arnald.

On being asked if Richard and Thomas de Eyteleye, who had sent food out of the town of Tristeldermot to Thomas le Botiller, knew that the said felons and malefactors were in the church with Thomas le Botiller, they said that they did not know.

Afterwards, on the quinzaine of the Purification, at Dublin, master Bartholomew came freely. And Arnald in court complains that master Bartholomew, together with Richard and Thomas de Eyteleye, committed the trespass aforesaid, and that he has suffered loss thereby and is damaged to the amount of forty pounds. And thereof he seeks judgment.

And master Bartholomew defends the force and injury and says that he has done no trespass or injury to Arnald. He also says that he is the parson of the church and was the parson . . . in the same on the day and year aforesaid, and not Thomas de Yaneworth, so that these tithes belong to him, and not to Thomas de Yaneworth, nor to Arnald by the gift of Thomas de Yaneworth. And this he is prepared to verify according as the court shall consider.

And because the said recognition belongs to the ecclesiastical court, the . . . elect of Dublin . . . is commanded to make diligent inquiry as to who of the said Bartholomew and Thomas de Yaneworth was parson of the said church on the day and year aforesaid, and to make known what he shall have found out to the Justiciar here in one month from Easter, wherever, etc. And further that thereof it be done which, etc. The same day is given to Richard and Thomas de Eyteleye for hearing judgment. Afterwards on that day at Dublin came the parties aforesaid, and master Thomas de Chaddesworth, dean of the cathedral church, otherwise S. Patrick's, Dublin, vicar general of Richard, (archbishop) elect of Dublin, informed the Justiciar, sheriff, etc., by his letters patent signed as well with his own seal as with the seal of the office of Dublin, that Thomas de Yaneworth on the day aforesaid was rector and parson imparsonnee of the said church, and still is, and not Bartholomew. Therefore it is considered that Arnald recover . . . master Bartholomew forty pounds for his goods and chattels . . . but his damages . . .

m. 35

20-23 COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, SATURDAY  
Jan. IN THE OCTAVE OF S. HILARY, A.D. 1, CONTINUED TO THE TUESDAY  
FOLLOWING.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded not to omit, on account of the liberty of Kilkenny, to cause to be levied of the lands, etc. of Andrew son of Robert, Walter son of William and John son of William in his bailiwick the sum of seventeen pounds, and to cause Robert de la Lysern to have them without delay, for the twenty pounds which Robert, in the court of the present King before John Wogan, Justiciar, at Kilkenny by the judgment of the said court recovered against Andrew, Walter and John, and that he acquaint the Justiciar here on this day. Whereupon the sheriff informed the Justiciar in the quinzaine of S. Martin's day last that the *custos* of the liberty of Kilkenny, though he had received the precept of the King directed to him, the sheriff, had caused nothing . . . And the sheriff now returns that Andrew son of Robert has no lands or

chattels whereof any money can be made. And that forty four shillings and eight pence are levied on the goods and chattels of Walter son of William and are paid to Robert de la Lysern. And that for the rest of the said debt there are taken into the hands of the King, of the goods of the said Walter, sixteen crannocks of wheat of the price of five shillings each, and . . . of forty acres of land sown with wheat and barley, of the price of forty pence each acre, and are handed over to certain keepers to be guarded, and that Walter has no more goods whereof any money can be made. And that John son of William has no lands or chattels whereof any money can be made. And at the instance and request of Robert the sheriff is commanded that, as well of the said goods of Walter as of the other lands and chattels of Andrew, Walter and John son of William in his bailiwick, he cause to be levied fourteen pounds and fifteen shillings and four pence, and that he cause Robert to have them, being the arrears of the said twenty pounds. And that he acquaint the Justiciar here on the Monday after Mid-Lent.

The Chancellor is commanded that he cause to be issued to the Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Treasury, Dublin, the King's writ of *liberate* to Thomas de Saint John, constable of the castle of Limerick, of five marks for the custody of the castle from Easter last to Michaelmas next, to wit, for half a year, in part payment of ten marks which he receives yearly for the said custody. And a like writ for the said Thomas of two and a half marks for the said custody from Michaelmas to Christmas next, to wit, for a quarter of a year. Given at Dublin, the twenty . . . day of January in the year aforesaid.

Dublin  
Limerick

John, bishop of Killala, offers himself on the fourth day against William son of William Baret, of a plea that he be here on this day to answer as well to the King as to the bishop, of this, that whereas the King after the death of Donatus, late bishop of Killala, the predecessor of John, had taken the temporalities of the said bishopric into his hands by reason of the vacancy, the said William, without the licence and will of the King, on his own authority, at the time of the vacancy, entered into the island of Naglasshe, which belongs to the temporalities of the bishopric, to the manifest contempt of the King. And he came not. And he was attached by Richard le Whyte and Philip Baret. Therefore he is in mercy. And the sheriff is commanded that he distrain William by all his lands, and that he have his body before the Justiciar on the quinzaine of Easter, wherever he shall then be, to answer as well the King as the said bishop for the said contempt and trespass, and to hear judgment. The sheriff is also commanded that he take into his hands the said island. And the sheriff now returns that he has taken it into the King's hands.

Connaught

John Tyrrell offers himself on the fourth day against Richard, son of Richard le Tuyt, Godfrey de Hereford, Richard son of Alured, Maurice le Charter, Simon Worgan, Stephen le Loung, Martin le Palfrayman, Hugh de Lythe, Robert Gerard, William son of Gillegill and Odo de Hereford, of a plea why they attacked him, John Tyrel, by force of arms, and there assaulted, wounded, imprisoned and maltreated him, and his goods and chattels there found to the value of ten pounds took and carried away, and inflicted other outrages upon him, to his severe damage and against the peace. And they did not appear. And Richard son of Richard was otherwise excused, to wit, on the octave of S. Martin, and he had a day here to this day. And as regards the said Godfrey, Richard son of Alured and the rest, the sheriff was commanded to take them and keep

1308 them safe and to have their bodies here on this day. And the sheriff did nothing, nor did he send the writ. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he distrain Richard son of Richard de Tuyt by all his lands, etc. And that he take Godfrey, Richard son of Alured and the rest, if they shall be found, and that he have the bodies before the Justiciar in the quinzaine of Easter, to answer John Tyrel of the plea aforesaid and to hear judgment on the plea in default. And let the sheriff be then there to hear his judgment.

William de Athy recognizes that he owes master Hamon son of Peter five marks, whereof . . . (roll torn).

m. 35d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
THE DAY AND YEAR AS BELOW <sup>(1)</sup>.

Dublin The sheriff was commanded that he should levy twenty shillings of the lands and chattels of James O McKeis, John Markleye and Walter Russell in his bailiwick, and should cause Robert Seynden, senr., to have them without delay, which the said Robert recovered in court before John Wogan, Justiciar, at Ross . . . against them, and that he should summon them here for this day. And the sheriff now says that the writ was issued to the *custos* of the liberty of Wexford, who answers that there have been taken into the hand of the King of the goods of James O McKeis two afers of the price of five shillings each, and of the goods of James Markleye one afer of the price of five shillings for the debt aforesaid, and that they remain in the castle of Wexford for default of buyers. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he cause the said money to be levied as well of those goods as of other lands and chattels of James, John and Walter in his bailiwick, and that he cause Robert to have it without delay in the form aforesaid, . . . And let him have them here in the quinzaine of Easter, wherever, etc.

Dublin The sheriff was commanded that he should levy sixty five pounds nineteen shillings and one halfpenny of the lands etc. of David de Caunteton and Agatha his wife in his bailiwick, and should cause the abbot of Tynterne and John de Sutton of Rathgarrock, executors of the will of Gilbert de Sutton, to have them without delay, which the said executors in court before John Wogan, Justicar, at Ros . . . had recovered against David and Agatha, and that he should summon them here for this day. And the sheriff now says that a precept was issued to the *custos* of the liberty of Wexford, who thus answered, that there have been taken into the King's hand of the goods of David de Caunteton and Agatha his wife two hundred and forty sheep, value sixpence each, twelve crannocks of wheat, value five shillings a crannock, ten crannocks of oats at three shillings a crannock, and the crop (*vestura*) of eight acres sown with wheat, at a rent for each acre of forty pence and two marks, whereof the first term will be at Easter next, and that all the goods aforesaid remain in the custody of Richard Scurlag and Cradok O Clery through want of buyers. And because the said *custos* did not answer fully for the full debt, the sheriff is commanded that he omit not on account of the liberty aforesaid to cause the said two hundred sheep, the said twelve crannocks of wheat and ten of oats and the said crop to be delivered without delay to the said executors, for a reasonable price and extent of the same, in part payment of the said debt, provided

(1) Thus.

nevertheless that the appraisers and valuers shall so extend and value the goods as they would be willing to take them if the said executors should be unwilling to take them at the said extent and valuation. And if there shall be any remainder of the lands etc. of David and Agatha in his bailiwick, he cause to be made the said money and let the executors have it without delay for the settlement of the debt. And let him summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Easter. Also the sheriff is commanded that he attach the *custos* of the liberty aforesaid so that he have him here on the said day to answer as well to the King as to the said executors for contempt and trespass of the peace. 1308

The sheriff was commanded to levy of the lands and chattels of John Coterel in his bailiwick fifty shillings and . . . and to cause Robert Seynden senr. to have them without delay, which the said Robert in court before John Wogan at Ros recognized that he owed Robert, and which he ought to have returned to him in the octave . . . (rest torn). Dublin

m. 36

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 20 Jan.  
IN THE OCTAVE OF S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

Gilbert de Cauntetoun in mercy for false pleas. Gilbert was attached to answer Richard, son of Henry Taloun, of a plea why he, together with Jordan, son of Thomas de Cauntetoun, Walter, son of William de Cauntetoun, Reymund, son of Thomas de Cauntetoun, Reginald, son of Thomas de Cauntetoun, and Matthew Cullagh by force of arms seized, conveyed and carried away oats and other goods etc. of Richard found at Rathnegeragh, to the value of two hundred pounds, and inflicted on him other outrages, to the severe loss of Richard and against the peace. And thereon Richard complains that Gilbert, together with Jordan son of Thomas and others, on Monday after the Assumption in the thirteenth year of Edward I, came to Rathnegeragh and seized, conveyed and carried away oats and other goods etc. of Richard, viz. afers, oxen, cows, hoggets, goats, pigs, wheat, rye, oats, beans, peas, woollen and linen cloth, brass vessels, pots, chattels <sup>(1)</sup>, plates, stools, lances, bows, arrows, knives and hauberks there found. And thereon he says he is injured and has loss to the value of two hundred pounds. And thereon he brings his suit. Carlow

And Gilbert comes and defends the force and injury when and so forth. And he says that he committed no such trespass against Richard as he complains of. And this he seeks, that it may be inquired of by the country. And likewise Richard. Therefore let a jury be called. And the sheriff is commanded to summon twelve men in the quinzaine of Easter, *nisi prius*, etc.

Afterwards on the Monday after S. Valentine at Carlow came the aforesaid parties, to wit, Richard and Gilbert, and also Jordan, son of Thomas de Cauntetoun, Walter, son of William de Cauntetoun, Reymund, son of Thomas de Cauntetoun, Reginald, son of Thomas de Cauntetoun, and Mathew Culkagh and likewise the jurors, and Jordan and the others defend the force and injury, and so forth, and say that they committed no trespass upon Richard such as he complains of, and seek that this may be inquired of, and Richard likewise. And (the jurors) say on oath that when Maurice de Rupeforte, deputy of the Justiciar, had come into

(1) Thus.

1308 the parts of Fothered to overcome the Onolans, felons of the King, and had been informed that certain of the said felons with their cattle were in Richard's manor of Rathnegeragh, he approached there, and a certain Adam Taloun, who was then bound to the peace, was in possession of a certain fortalice within the manor, being unwilling to give himself up to justice, so that Maurice attacked and took him by force. And afterwards Gilbert, Walter and Reginald, who were present at the said fight, together with certain others then present, took there of the goods and chattels of Richard and his *hibernici* . . . . to the value of one hundred marks, and did as they pleased with those goods. Asked if any of the felons were taken along with the said goods, they say that some of the felons sent their cattle there for a refuge, Richard being then in Connaught and knowing nothing thereof, and these cattle were taken along with the goods and chattels. They say also that Jordan, Reymund and Mathew Culkagh were not guilty thereof. Therefore it is considered that Richard recover against Gilbert, Walter and Reginald one hundred marks and his damages, taxed by the jury at twenty marks. And Gilbert, Walter and Reginald await judgment. And Richard in mercy for false claim against the others. And let him be thereof *sine die*. Damages twenty marks. A day is given them in one month from Easter.

The said Richard offered himself on the fourth day against Jordan son of Thomas de Cauntetoun of a plea why he, together with the said William, Walter, Reymund and Matthew Culkagh by force and arms seized, conveyed and carried away cattle and other goods of Richard found at Rathnegeragh, to the value of two hundred pounds, and inflicted other outrages on him, to the severe damage of Richard and against the peace. And he came not. And the sheriff was ordered to distrain him in all lands, and that he should have his body here on this day to answer Richard of the plea aforesaid. And the sheriff says that he distrained Jordan for two crannocks of oats at forty pence each. Therefore . . . . And the sheriff is commanded as elsewhere to distrain Jordan by all his lands. And that he have his body before . . . . in the quinzaine of Easter, *nisi prius*, to answer the others, of the pleas aforesaid, and to hear judgment. Afterwards came the parties aforesaid and pleaded as above.

Robert son of William de Cauntetoun and Gilbert de Cauntetoun in mercy for false pleas.

The said Robert and Gilbert were attached to answer Richard son of Henry Taloun of a plea why they, together with Ralph son of Ralph and Duffcossaugh . . . . Maurice de Cauntetoun, Reginald de Cauntetoun and Walter son of William de Cauntetoun, by force of arms seized and carried away cattle and other goods etc. of Richard found at Rathnegeragh, to the value of two hundred pounds, and inflicted on him other outrages, to the severe damage of Richard and against the peace. And thereon Richard complains that Robert and Gilbert, together with Ralph and the rest, on the Thursday before S. Barnabas in the thirty fourth year of Edward I at Rathnegeragh seized, conveyed and carried away cattle and other goods and chattels of Richard, viz. thirty four cows and clothes, linen and woollen, to the value of two hundred pounds, to the severe damage of him and against the peace. And thereon he brings his suit.

And Robert and Gilbert come and defend the force and injury . . . . and admit that on the day and year aforesaid they seized in the afore-

said tenement eight cows which belonged to Oregan Okolleyn his faithful *hibernicus*. And they say that they did not seize any more cows nor any other goods or chattels of Richard as he complains. And they ask that an inquiry be held of the country. 1308

And Richard says that the eight cows belonged to a certain Melok O Killeyn, his *hibernicus*, and not to Oregan, *hibernicus* of Robert and Gilbert, and that they on the day and year aforesaid seized in his tenement thirty eight cows which belonged to the said Melok etc., and not to Oregan etc. And he likewise asks that an inquiry be held by the country. Therefore let a jury be empanelled. And the sheriff was ordered to summon twelve lawful, etc., men to be present in the quinzaine of Easter, wherever, etc., *nisi prius*.

Afterwards on the Monday after S. Valentine at Carlow came the said parties, to wit, the said Richard, and Robert son of William, Gilbert de Cauntetoun, Maurice son of William, Reginald de Cauntetoun and Walter son of William de Cauntetoun, and the jury, who say upon oath that Robert son of William, Maurice, Reginald and Walter, together with the said Ralph son of Ralph, and Dufcussagh, seized the cattle and other goods and chattels of the *hibernici* of Richard found at Rathnegeragh on the day and year aforesaid unjustifiably, as he complains, to the value of ten pounds, and those cattle and goods conveyed and carried away and did with them as they pleased. Therefore it is considered that Richard recover against them the said ten pounds. And Robert son of William de Cauntetoun and the rest are committed to gaol.

. . . . offers himself on the fourth day against Ralph son of Ralph and Dufcossagh . . . . Maurice de Cauntetoun of the plea aforesaid. And . . . . the sheriff was commanded to take them, so that he might have their bodies here on this day to answer . . . with the said Robert for the plea aforesaid. And the sheriff did nothing, but says that Ralph and Dufcossagh . . . found. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he cause Ralph and Dufcossagh to be exacted from county court to county court . . . . And if they shall appear then let him take them, and saving, etc., so that he have their bodies before, etc., in the quinzaine of . . . . wherever, etc., to answer Richard, together with Robert and William, of the plea aforesaid.

m. 36d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
YEAR AND DAY AS ABOVE.

The sheriff was commanded that he levy twenty shillings of the lands etc. of William Vicary of Knockmark in his bailiwick, and cause Hugh de la Felde and Milo son of William le Bret to have them without delay, out of the six marks which William Vicary in the court of the King's father before John Wogan acknowledged that he owed Hugh and Milo, and whereof he ought to have rendered them at Easter last two marks and a half, and at the feast of S. Peter ad Vincula next two marks, and at All Saints next twenty shillings, and whereof they are in arrears the said twenty shillings from the term of All Saints, and that he should summon them to appear here on the morrow of S. Nicholas last. And the sheriff now returns that for the said debt there have been taken into the King's hands of the goods of William nine crannocks of wheat in ricks at four shillings each crannock, ready to be delivered at request.

Meath

1308 And because the sheriff did not cause the said corn to be sold, therefore let him, viz. Robert Taaf, be in mercy, and . . . by the court at one mark. And the sheriff is commanded, just as otherwise (1), We command you that as well of those nine crannocks of wheat of William Vicary of Cnokmark in ricks which you lately took into our hands, as you returned to John Wogan, our Justiciar, on the morrow of S. Nicholas last, as of the other lands and chattels of William in his bailiwick he (2) cause to be made twenty shillings, and cause Hugh and Milo to have them without delay, out of the six marks which he, William, in the court of the King's father, of good memory, before us at Dublin acknowledged that he owed Hugh and Milo, and whereof he ought to have rendered them the said money at the terms aforesaid, and whereof there are in arrears to them the said twenty shillings of the said term of All Saints, as they say. And let him summon here, etc., in the quinzaine of Easter. And let the sheriff know that he is amerced in the sum of forty shillings because he did not cause to be sold the said corn as he was ordered. And . . . Afterwards the sheriff was pardoned the said mercy by the Justiciar himself at the instance of Richard de Exon.

Dublin  
Wexford

The *custos* of the liberty of Wexford was commanded that he levy twenty marks of the lands and chattels of the community of the liberty aforesaid in his bailiwick, and cause Edmund le Botiller or David de Cauntetoun his assignee to have them without delay, in part payment of the hundred marks which are due to Edmund from the liberty aforesaid and the liberty of Kilkenny, and also from the counties of Dublin, Carlow and Kildare, for the capture of Morghuth Ballagh McMorghuth, felon, whom Edmund killed, and that he should summon, etc., here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that twelve marks seven shillings and eight pence have been paid to David de Cauntetoun, and that Richard Scurlags, attorney of David, has been summoned to be at Wexford on the morrow of S. Hilary, to receive divers distrains for the remainder of the said money. And Richard came not on the day aforesaid, nor anyone in his name, wherefore the distrains remain in the castle of Wexford for default of buyers. And thereupon comes David by his attorney and says that he has received only three marks of the said money. And because the court here is assured that the King gave the said liberty to Ademar de Valence as his inheritance, who now has a seneschal in the said liberty, the seneschal is commanded that he levy ten marks of the lands and chattels of the community of the liberty, to wit, of all which were wont to contribute to the common subsidies in his bailiwick, and should cause Edmund le Botiller or David de Cauntetoun, his assignee, to have them in part payment of the hundred marks which are due to Edmund by the liberty aforesaid and the liberty of Kilkenny, and also by the counties of Dublin, Carlow and Kildare, for the capture of Morghuth Ballagh McMorughuth, felon, whom Edmund killed. And let him be summoned here in the quinzaine of Easter, wherever, etc.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded to levy the portion of twenty marks touching that community which are in arrears, as well of those forty afers of the community of the county aforesaid (excepting the archbishopric of Dublin), at . . . shillings each, which he lately took into the King's hands and has not yet sold because he has not yet found any buyers, as he returned in the quinzaine of S. Martin last, as of the other lands, etc., of the said community (excepting the archbishopric aforesaid) in his bailiwick, and to cause Edmund le Botiller to have them in payment

(1) Thus.

(2) Preceded by "you" crossed out.



of a certain debt of one hundred marks which are due to him by the county aforesaid and the counties of Carlow and Kildare and the liberties of Wexford and Kilkenny, for the capture of Moruhuth Ballagh McMoruhuth, felon, whom he slew, and cause him or David de Cauntetoun, his assignee, to have that portion without delay, in part payment of the said twenty marks. Also the sheriff was commanded that he should not omit on account of the liberty of the said archbishopric of S. Sepulchre, but that he should cause to be levied of the lands and chattels of the community of the liberty in his bailiwick the portion affecting that community of the said hundred marks, and cause Edmund or David to have it without delay, in payment in full of the said money. And let him summon them here, etc., on this day, etc. Also the sheriff was ordered that he should attach the bailiff of the said liberty that he should be here on this day to answer as well the King as the said Edmund as to why he treated with contempt the writ aforesaid formerly directed to him to be executed. And the sheriff now returns that thirty two afers have been sold out of the said forty afers for eight . . . , which have been paid to David, and that the remaining eight afers . . . and that he took into the King's hands of the goods and chattels of the community of the liberty aforesaid fourteen afers at . . . shillings each, for which he has not yet found buyers, and that he attached Thomas de London, bailiff of the said liberty of the said archbishopric, to be here on this day. And thereupon comes David by his attorney, and says that the sheriff did not pay him . . . and fourteen shillings. And the sheriff being present in court here, to wit, Thomas de Rathlawe, sub-sheriff . . . Therefore let him be in mercy. And the sheriff is commanded, as well as the others, that of the community of the county aforesaid, to wit, of all which are wont to contribute to the common subsidies in his bailiwick, he cause to be levied six pounds twelve shillings and eight pence, and cause Edmund or David to have them in full ayment of the said twenty marks. And . . . in the quinzaine of Easter, wherever, etc. And let the sheriff know that he is . . .

Roll 86. m. 40

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
IN THE OCTAVE AND QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

20 & 27  
Jan.

Be it remembered that the King sent the Justiciar here his writ come, which was delivered to him at Dublin on 25 Jan. in the above year, in these words <sup>(1)</sup>. And likewise he sent here a certain ordinance in these words <sup>(2)</sup>:—

England

For certain sure reasons it is ordained by the lord the King and his council that on Wednesday next after the feast of the Epiphany next coming, all the brethren of the knighthood of the Temple in every county of England be attached by their bodies by the sheriffs thereof, and by some lawful men of the same counties, and that all their lands, tenements, goods and chattels, as well ecclesiastical as temporal, be seized and taken into the hands of the said King, together with the charters, writings, and muniments of all kinds belonging to the said brethren, and that of those goods and chattels and of the value thereof be made a

<sup>(1)</sup> Cal. has note:—"The writ is set out in Rymer's *Foedera*, Vol. II, pt. 1, p. 23."

<sup>(2)</sup> *Foedera* (ed. 1816-69), vol. II, pt. 1, p. 18. Translation in H. Wood, *The Templars in Ireland* (Proc. R. I. A., Sec. C, vol. xxvi, no. 14, p. 346).

1308 lawful inventory and indenture (in presence of the keeper of every of the places of the said brethren, whoever he be, a brother, namely, of that order, or another person, and in presence of two lawful men, neighbouring and nearest to the said place, who can conveniently be present), one part whereof shall remain with the said keeper and the other with the sheriff, under the seal of him who shall have caused those goods and chattels to be placed in safe and secure custody, and that the cattle and beasts of the said brethren be well kept and maintained out of the goods aforesaid, as shall seem most convenient to be done, and that their lands be cultivated and sowed out of the issues thereof, to the best advantage and profit which can be done, and that the bodies of the said Templars be kept safely, securely and faithfully, in a suitable place, elsewhere than in their own dwellings, so that their keepers may be sure of the bodies of the said brethren, provided, however, that they be not in a cruel and loathsome prison, until the King shall think fit to make other order thereon, and that reasonable sustenance be found for the said brethren, as becomes their rank, out of the things and goods so to be seized by the command of the said King, in competent manner. Which things being performed, let the sheriffs make known by their letters to the Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer at Westminster how many brethren and whom they shall have caused to be arrested and their names, and where and under what custody they shall have placed them, and let them send transcripts of the indentures which shall be made thereof to the said Treasurer and Barons, and let them make known to the same distinctly and plainly what lands and what tenements of the said brethren shall have been so seized, together with their whole proceedings in this behalf, so that the said Treasurer and Barons on the morrow of the Purification of the B. V. M. next coming may be fully certified thereof by the said sheriffs.

Which ordinance the King caused to be executed in the following manner; first, namely, he sent his writ to each sheriff throughout England, that they should premonish lawful men of their bailiwicks up to a certain number, to wit, ten or twelve in each county, in whom the King could have more confidence, to be at a certain place in the county under pain of forfeiture of everything which they could forfeit to the King, and that the sheriff under the same forfeiture should be in his own person at the same place on the Sunday on the morrow of the Epiphany, to do things touching the King's peace, and which the sheriff would find contained in the King's writ directing him, and afterwards the King sent sworn clerks, together with their writs containing the said ordinance, to the sheriffs to receive the oath from the sheriffs before the writs of that ordinance were opened, that they would not disclose or reveal to anyone that which they should find contained in those writs until they had been demanded for execution. And that the sheriffs on opening the said writs of ordinance should cause all and singular the premises to be duly demanded for execution, and that from these men whom the King first ordered to be premonished, or from any of them whom the sheriffs should consider more fit to be burdened with the said business, like oaths be taken before the said clerks, to wit, that they will not disclose or reveal to anyone the said premises until they shall have been demanded, as is aforesaid, for execution. And therefore the King wishes that the said ordinance be done and executed in Ireland, so that all the brethren of the said order who shall happen to be found in Ireland on one day to be determined by the Justiciar of Ireland and the Treasurer of the Exchequer, Dublin, shall be attached by their bodies, and that all their lands and tenements there be seized into the King's hand and taken and

kept there, and that all and singular other things contained in the said ordinance be demanded for execution in the form aforesaid. And that the said Justiciar and Treasurer, when they shall have been certified of the premises by the sheriffs of Ireland, shall distinctly and openly under their seals certify the Treasurer and Barons of the kingdom thereof. 1308

Maurice son of William de Cauntetoun and Reginald de Cauntetoun were attached to answer Richard Taloun of a plea why he, together with Dovenald son of Dovenald McMurth, seized the cattle of Richard at Lysmethan in County Carlow and carried them out of the county to Glascarryk in the liberty of Wexford, and still keep them there. And thereon Richard complains that Maurice and Reginald, together with Dovenald, on the Thursday after S. Barnabas in the thirty fifth year of Edward I, by force of arms seized, conveyed and carried away cattle and other goods and chattels of his found at Lysmethan, to wit, oxen, cows, sheep, goats, pigs, woollen and linen clothes, to the value of two hundred pounds, to his heavy loss of two hundred pounds. And Maurice and Reginald come and defend the force and injury, and say that they did not seize the goods, as Richard complains. And on this they put themselves upon the country, and Richard likewise. Therefore let a jury be empanelled. And the sheriff is directed to summon a jury in the quinzaine of Easter. Carlow

Afterwards on the Monday after S. Valerian at Carlow come the said parties, to wit, Richard and Maurice and Reginald and likewise the jury, who say upon oath that when two of the *hibernici* of Richard, authorized by the permission of Maurice, brought his cattle on to the land of Maurice for safety on account of divers acts of pillage upon the lands of Richard, to wit, twenty eight cows, the said Maurice and Reginald, moved with anger against Richard, seized the cattle and carried them to the manor of Maurice . . . and afterwards the said *hibernici* of Richard, to wit, Molok Oconleyn and Regan Oconleyn, approached Maurice, seeking from him restitution of their cattle, to whom he returned fourteen cows, and with the other fourteen, value four shillings each, he did as he pleased. Therefore it is considered that Richard recover against them the price of the said cows, to wit, fifty six shillings, and his damages, which are taxed by the jury at ten shillings. And Maurice and Reginald are committed to gaol. Damages ten shillings. Thereof to N. senior clerk six shillings.

. . . Richard offered himself on the fourth day against Dovenald son of Dovenald McMurth of the plea aforesaid. And he did not appear. Therefore the sheriff was directed to take him and have his body here on this day to answer the plea aforesaid, together with Maurice and Reginald. And the sheriff did nothing, but answered that Dovenald could not be found. Therefore the sheriff is directed to proclaim Dovenald from county court to county court if he shall not appear, then to take him and keep . . . in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, to answer Richard, together with Maurice and Reginald, of the pleas aforesaid, and to hear sentence for his several defaults. And thereon the sheriff answers . . .  
 . . . . .

m. 41

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 20 & 27  
 ON THE OCTAVE AND QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 1. Jan.

The sheriff was commanded that he cause to be levied of the lands Dublin

1308 and chattels of the abbot of Tyntern and John de Sutton of Rathgarroke, executors of the will of Gilbert de Sutton, in his bailiwick, fifty shillings and six pence, and that he cause Robert Russell of Ros to have them without delay, which the said abbot and John in court at Ros recognized that they owed Robert, and which they ought to have returned in the octave of S. Martin last, and have not yet done so, and that he should summon them here on this day. And the sheriff returns that a writ was issued to the *custos* of the liberty of Wexford, who thus answered, that goods, etc. of the abbot of Tyntern and John de Sutton of Rathgarrok have been taken into the King's hands to the value of the said debt, and remain in the castle of Wexford for default of buyers. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that as well of those goods as of other lands and chattels of the abbot and John in his bailiwick he cause to be levied the said money, and let Robert have it without delay in the form aforesaid. And let him summon them here in the quinzaine of Easter.

Similar writ and similar parties for the sum of four shillings and six pence.

Carlow

It is agreed that Walter de Cane have the custody of the castle of Carlow at the will of the King, receiving yearly the fee which other constables there in time past have been wont to receive. And the Chancellor is commanded that he cause to be made for the said Geoffrey (1) letters patent of commission of the custody aforesaid in the accustomed form. Given at Kilka, the fifth day of February in the year aforesaid.

Limerick  
Lenfaunt

The Chancellor is commanded that he cause to be made a writ of *mandamus* of the King to the sheriff of Limerick that of the issues of his bailiwick he cause to be paid to . . . Lenfaunt six marks for his expenses which he incurred in passing through the parts of Connaught and Meath to the earl of Ulster to induce the said earl to combat and vanquish McYertagh McYoghegan, felon. Given at Dublin the 28th day of January in the year aforesaid.

m. 41d

Tipperary

A petition was heard from James Hareberge, complaining that, whereas for the good service which he performed for the late King in Scotland the latter pardoned him the suit of his peace which pertained to him for homicides, felonies, robberies, burglaries, thefts, receipt of thieves, felons and theft, and other trespasses whatsoever committed by the said James against the peace, up to the twenty eighth of July in the thirty second year of his reign, and also outlawry if such had been promulgated against him, for the said occasions, nevertheless his lands and tenements in the said county, taken into the King's hand for certain felonies which he was said to have formerly committed, still remained in the King's hand. And thereon he sought that the said tenements, as was just, should be restored to him, and exhibited a certain deed under the name of the late King, witnessed by John Wogan, Justiciar, the twenty fourth of June in the thirty fourth year of his reign, which testifies to the said pardon. Therefore the sheriff is directed that he diligently inquire by the oaths of twelve lawful men about the manner and cause of the taking of the lands of James into the King's hand. And let him send the inquisition thereof, clearly and openly made, to the Justiciar here on this day, under his seal and those of the jurors. And he sent it here, as follows:—

(1) Thus.

An inquisition taken at Cashel the Tuesday next after the octave of S. Hilary, in the first year of the reign of King Edward, upon the manner and cause of the taking of the lands of James Hareberge into the King's hand, by the jurors underwritten, to wit, Reynald Wymound, John Marescall, Mathew Calf, Walter Baroun, Philip Stabler, John le Stabler, John Marescall of Balyethe, David le Fysshher, William Bole of Athysshell, Simon Manywrench, Simon le Mouner and Griffinn le Mouner, which jurors say on oath that on the killing of Craddoc le Waleys, an Englishman, the lands of James de Haverberge were taken into the King's hand, the Thursday before Palm Sunday in the thirty first year of the reign of King Edward, and still are in his hand, and were handed over by the mainprise of William Manywrenche and William Bole, who have held them up to the present. 1308

Therefore let James recover seisin of the tenements aforesaid; of the issues in the meantime he has nothing, because the issues are answered for in the Exchequer by the estreats of the last eyre of Cashell, as appears by inspection of the record and process held on the aforesaid death before Thomas, bishop of Meath, and his fellows, Justices Itinerant there, which record and process the said Justices sent here.

Richard Taloun offers himself on the fourth day against Thomas son of Richard son of Reginald Obyn <sup>(1)</sup>, Richard son of McMagnus Roth Oryan, Malaghlyn Mc . . . Oryan, Mughtur McConoghur Oryan, Gillepatrick Ohillil, Comdynn son of Richard Oryan, Robert McTayghyn Olargenan, Corbryn Omurghuth and Cristuk O Murghuth, of a plea wherefore they assaulted him at Lysmethan and did waylay, beat, wound and ill treat him, and inflicted on him other outrages, to his heavy damage and against the peace. And they came not. And the sheriff was directed to take them and have their bodies here on this day to answer Richard of the plea aforesaid. And the sheriff did nothing, but returned that Thomas and the rest are not found and have nothing in his bailiwick. Therefore the sheriff is directed that he cause to be proclaimed the said Thomas, Richard son of McMagnus, Malaghlyn, Doghtur <sup>(2)</sup>, Gillepatrick, Comdyn, Robert, Corbryn and Cristuk from county court to county court, until according to law and custom they be outlawed, if they shall not appear. And if they shall appear, then let him take them and have their bodies before the Justiciar in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist to answer Richard of the plea aforesaid and to hear sentence. Carlow

William de Cauntetoun, sheriff of the county aforesaid, is allowed . . . all the prisoners who are in the gaol of Cork, in respect of the exceeding number of prisoners there, excepting those who are accused of homicide, so that he takes from such prisoners sufficient mainprise to stand to the charge in these charges at the next coming. Cork

Richard the clerk of Tuylagh recognizes that he owes to John de la Cornere four marks and forty pence whereof he will pay him one moiety on Monday the morrow of Mid-Lent next and the other moiety on the morrow of Easter following. And if he shall not do so, he grants that the sheriff may levy, etc. Carlow

On hearing the petition of John de Bonevill asking that he may acquire from Peter Waas one messuage and half a carucate of land in Anylsnean in Obargy, which Peter held of the daughter and heiress of Hugh Purcel, being a minor and in the custody of . . . , and one messuage from the Carlow

(1) Thus.

(2) Name varies thus.

1308 said Peter in Catherlagh, to wit, next the bridge, and likewise that he may acquire from the same one messuage and fifty . . . in Catherlagh, which Peter held of the King, it was granted to him by a fine of forty shillings . . . to the King for licence aforesaid, to be held by the plea of Arnald le Poer and William Waspaill, to wit, to have and to hold to the aforesaid John the said messuage and half carucate in Anylsnean of the said heirsch of Hugh by the services . . . accustomed, and the said messuages and fifty acres in Catherlagh of the King . . . due and accustomed.

m. 42

27 Jan. ESSOINS TAKEN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

Lymerick Richard son of William de Ralleye *v.* Nicholas Avenel of a plea of assize of novel disseisin, whereof record and process by John Weynillo <sup>(1)</sup>.

Tipperary Hugh Purcel, son and heir of Hugh Purcel, *v.* Nesta, who was the wife of Hugh de Rupefort, of hearing record and process of a plea of land by John de Stanes, Robert son of Tancard de Barry, of the same. Before, etc., from Easter day in one month, wherever, etc.

Dublin Brother Richard le Whyte, attorney of brother Robert, prior of S. John's Kilkenny, *v.* John, abbot of Tyntern, of a plea of trespass, whereof record and process by Gilbert son of Geoffrey. Brother Thomas of Ros also attorns in the same process <sup>(2)</sup>.

Cork Jordan de Engelound *v.* John Don, of a plea of conspiracy and of other trespass, by Hugh son of Nicholas . . . Mabilla his wife of the same. Robert de Engelound of the same. Geoffrey Crok of the same. Matilda wife of the said Geoffrey of the same. Before, etc., from Easter in one month, wherever, etc.

Connaught Henry de Bermengham, attorney of William, archbishop of Tuam, *v.* the abbess of Casta Silva, of a plea of trespass, by Maurice le Hore. Maurice Houne, another attorney of the said William, of the same. <sup>(3)</sup>.

Connaught William, archbishop of Tuam, *v.* Richard, son and heir of Henry Taloun, of hearing the record and process of a plea . . . by Henry Bryk. Before, etc., from Easter day in one month, wherever, etc.

COMMON PLEAS BEFORE THE AFORESAID JUSTICIAR ON THE DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin The sheriff was directed that of the lands and chattels of master John Travers in his bailiwick he cause to be made sixty shillings, and thereof without delay he cause William de Bourn, clerk, assignee of Alan Donnyns of Kilkenny, to have forty shillings, Nicholas, clerk of the said William, senior, assignee of the said Alan, six shillings and eight pence, and William de Moenes, clerk, assignee of the said Alan, thirteen shillings and four pence, of the twenty pounds which Alan recovered here in court at Kilkenny by con . . . against the said master John, and that he should summon him to be here on this day. And the sheriff

(1), (2) Cal. has marginal note:—"Does not lie because elsewhere."

(3) Entry crossed out.

now answers that the *custos* of the liberty of Kilkenny was commanded that he should execute the said writ, and he replied that he had commanded the provosts of the liberty of the town of Kilkenny, who had replied that John neither had nor has goods or chattels in the town of Kilkenny, except the private merchandise which he, immediately on the day he was convicted, secretly alienated and sold outside the liberty aforesaid, so that none of his goods could be found after the receipt of the writ, nor could the *custos* of the liberty find anything of the said John's elsewhere within the liberty. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that of the goods and chattels which belonged to master John, on Tuesday the morrow of the circumcision last, to whosoever hands they shall have come, in his bailiwick, he cause to be made the said money. And let him cause William de Bourn to have it without delay. And let him summon him here on Monday the morrow of Mid-Lent. 1308

m. 42d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 27 Jan.  
IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

The sheriff was commanded that of the lands and chattels of Thomas Furlang, David Langeport and William Boweney's in his bailiwick he should cause to be levied seven pounds and should cause Roger de la Hyde to have them without delay, out of the seven and fifteen shillings which the said Roger in court before John Wogan, Justiciar, at Ros, by decree of the said court, had recovered against them, and that he should summon them to be here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he levied of the goods etc. of Thomas Furlong four shillings and eight pence and paid them to Roger de la Hyde, and that Thomas has no more goods whence any money can be had. And that he levied of the goods etc. of David Langeport seventy four shillings and paid them to Roger. And that for the rest there have been taken of the goods of David into the hands of the King eight crannocks of wheat in stack, value five shillings each, and sixteen crannocks of oats, value four shillings each, and that David has no more goods whereof money can be made. And that the *custos* of the liberty of Kilkenny was commanded that of the lands and chattels of William Boney's he should cause to be levied the portion of the money aforesaid for which William was liable, and he thus returns, that all the goods of William had been taken into the King's hand before the arrival of the said writ, for a debt of the King's and of Ralph de Monte Hermeri. And at the instance of Roger the sheriff is commanded that of those eight crannocks of oats, at four shillings each, which he lately took into the King's hand and keeps in his possession, as he made return to John Wogan, Justiciar, as also of the other lands and chattels of David, and likewise of Thomas and William, in his bailiwick, he cause to be levied seventy six shillings and four pence, and that he cause Roger without delay to have them, in payment of the seven pounds and fifteen shillings which he recovered in the manner aforesaid. And let him summon them here in the quinzaine of Easter. And he replies that all the goods of William were taken into the King's hand before the arrival of the said writ for a debt of the King's and of Ralph de Monte Hermeri. On which day the sheriff did nothing, nor sent the writ. Let the sheriff summon the defendants to appear in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, and let him then be there to hear his sentence. Dublin

At the instance of Nicholas de Eggefeld and Helwyse his wife, the sheriff was directed that by the oath of upright and lawful men of his bailiwick, by whom the truth of the matter might be better known, he

1308 should diligently inquire if it would be to the loss or prejudice of the King or of any other if the King grant to Nicholas and Helwyse that they may acquire from William Bloundel two messuages, forty acres and half a carucate in Bloundeleston, which William holds from us in chief, and if it were to the loss or prejudice of the King or of any other, then to what loss or prejudice, and that he should send without delay the inquisition clearly and openly made to the Justiciar here under his seal and those the of jurors. And he sent it here in these words:—

Inquisition taken before Richard . . . . tentyn, sheriff of Dublin, on Tuesday before the Purification in the first year of the reign of King Edward by the underwritten, to wit, Simon de Canda, Richard Kyssok, Henry Gerard, Thomas fitz Simon, Adam Jordan, John S . . . . ., Simon de Stathcony, John de Freynes, John de Bonevill, Roger Bege, John Dolfyn and John Yonge, which jurors say upon oath that it is not to the loss or prejudice of the King or of any other if the King grant to Nicholas and Helwyse that they can acquire the two messuages, etc., but that this is rather to the advantage of the King and of others.

And thereupon Nicholas and Helwis came and gave to the King twenty shillings for having licence to acquire the said tenements of William, to be had and held of the King in chief by the services due and accustomed.

Connac'

Maurice Honne, one of the attorneys of William, archbishop of Tuam, produced here in court certain letters patent of the King under his seal which he uses in Ireland, in these words, and seeks that they may be enrolled:—

Edward etc., to all his bailiffs and faithful men in Ireland to whom these presents shall come, greeting. Know ye that William, archbishop of Tuam, who by our licence is about to set out for parts beyond the seas, has attorned before us in his place Henry de Germeng and Maurice Honne to gain or lose in all pleas and complaints moved or to be moved by the said archbishop or against him in any courts in Ireland. And at the instance of the said archbishop we have granted to Henry and Maurice or either of them who shall happen to be present in the place of the archbishop that they or he may make an attorney or attorneys whom they or he may wish in our Chancery of Ireland, to prosecute and defend all the said pleas and complaints and to gain or lose in the same, as is aforesaid. In witness whereof we have caused to be made these our letters patent, to be ratified for two years, these presents to be wholly invalid if the archbishop does not take the said journey or returns to Ireland within the said term. Witness John Wogan, our Justiciar of Ireland, at Dublin, the 18th of October in the first year of our reign.

Tipperary

The sheriff was commanded that of the lands and chattels of Oliver Haket in his bailiwick he should cause to be levied thirty shillings and should cause William son of Bernard Amaubyn to have them without delay, which William had recovered against Oliver in the court of King Edward I, before the Justiciar, John Wogan, at Cashel, by decree of the court, and that he should summon him here in the quinzaine of Michaelmas last. And the sheriff returned that he had taken into the King's hand of the goods of Oliver corn in the haggard to the value of the debt, which he had exposed for sale, but found no buyers. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that as well of that corn as of the other lands and chattels of Oliver he cause the sum aforesaid to be made, and let him



cause William to have it in the form aforesaid without delay, and let him *summon the said Oliver to be present* <sup>(1)</sup> in the quinzaine of Easter. 1308

m. 43

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 27 Jan.  
IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 1.

The abess of Casta Silva appeared on the fourth day against William, archbishop of Tuam, of a plea why, though the abbey belongs to the King's advowson and was founded by his ancestors and the King is specially bound to guard the abess and nuns serving God there from injuries, troubles and grievances, and had lately directed the archbishop that he should in no way presume to subject the abess and her nuns to injuries, troubles, losses or grievances by himself or his followers, contrary to the usage which they have hitherto employed and . . . were accustomed at the time of the predecessors of the archbishop, nevertheless the archbishop, by himself and his followers, so much the more has in many ways aggrieved and oppressed the abess and her nuns and house by exactions of undue visitations and procurations, and from day to day does not cease to aggrieve and oppress, and did enter the abbey with an intolerable multitude of men and horses, did seize, consume and destroy corn and oats and other goods and chattels of the abess, and inflicted other outrages on her, in contempt and disherison of the King and his crown, and to the abess' loss of one hundred pounds and against the peace. And he did not appear. And the sheriff was commanded that he should . . . And the sheriff did nothing, but returned that William, archbishop of Tuam, was not found because he had crossed to the court of Rome before the arrival of that writ. Therefore the sheriff in mercy, to wit, Richard le Blake. And thereupon comes the abess and seeks that the damages be taxed. And they are taxed by the court at forty shillings. And the sheriff is commanded that by sureties and safe pledges he cause the archbishop to appear in one month from Easter, to answer as well the King as the abess in the plea aforesaid. And let the sheriff know that he will be heavily punished unless, etc.

Counaught

The sheriff was commanded that as well of the corn of David Roch at the price of twenty shillings and of the corn of John Marcel at the price of ten shillings, and of the corn of Stephen Roch at the price of twenty shillings and of the corn of Adam Wythye at the price of ten shillings, which he lately took into the hand of King Edward of good memory and kept in his possession to sell for him, and for which he found no buyers, as he made return to John Wogan, Justiciar, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas last, as of the other lands and chattels of the said David, John, Stephen and Adam, and likewise of Luke de Stokton, in his bailiwick, he should cause to be levied sixty shillings and deliver them without delay to William de Ros, Master of Any, which David, John, Stephen, Adam and Lucas, in the court of Edward I before John Wogan at Cashel recognized that they owed to the said brother William, and that he should summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he caused to be levied of the corn of David twenty shillings which he paid to William Syward, attorney of the Master of Any, and for the rest of the debt the corn of the others above presented is threshed and ought to be sold and money made thereof as much as can be done. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that as well of the corn of David, John Stephen and Adam as of the rest of the lands and chattels of the

Tipperary

(1) Crossed out in Cal.

1308 said David etc., and likewise of Luke de Stokton, in his bailiwick, he cause to be made forty shillings of the money aforesaid and deliver them without delay to brother William in full payment of the said debt. And let him summon them here in the quinzaine of Easter.

Cath The sheriff was commanded that of the lands and chattels of the community aforesaid in his bailiwick he should levy twenty marks and deliver them without delay to Edmund le Botiller or David de Cauntetoun his assignee, in part payment of the hundred marks which are owed to Edmund by the county aforesaid and the counties of Dublin and Kildare and the liberties of Wexford and Kilkenny for the capture of Morughuth Ballagh McMorughuth, felon, whom Edmund killed. And let him summon them here on this day. And the sheriff did nothing, nor did he send the writ, but David, present in court by his attorney, says that he has been satisfied to the extent of ten marks out of the twenty. Therefore the sheriff is ordered as . . . that of the lands and chattels of the community of the county aforesaid, to wit, of all those who have been accustomed to contribute to the common subsidies in his bailiwick, he cause to be made ten marks in full payment of the said twenty. And let him summon them to be here in the quinzaine of Easter.

Kildare *(Similar writ to the sheriff of Kildare).*

. . . it was agreed in the time of the late King Edward by the Justiciar and Council that the clerk who writes the summonses of the Exchequer at Dublin should receive every year for his labour in his said office four pounds by writ of *mandamus* beyond his fee of four marks which he receives every year from the King in his office aforesaid. And also it is now agreed that Nicholas de Walecot, who writes the said summonses, shall receive every year from the King the said four pounds beyond the fee aforesaid so long as he shall remain in the said office. Let a writ be made.

27 Jan. YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, IN THE ABOVE YEAR.

Dublin Trym The seneschal of the liberty of Trym was commanded that as well of those twenty marcates of rent which Simon de Genevill owes to Richard son of Robert yearly, and which lately the seneschal took into the hand of the late King Edward, as he was commanded by John Wogan, Justiciar, in the octave of S. Hilary in the thirty fourth year of his reign, as of the other lands and chattels of the said Richard in his bailiwick, he should levy forty marks and should deliver them without delay to master William de la Ryvere, which of the lands etc. of master William had been levied and returned to Richard for the damages which he sustained by reason of a certain disseisin made to Richard, and the record and process of the plea thereof having been cited before the King on account of the manifold errors found in the same, it was decreed that William should recover his damages which were levied on the lands and chattels of William and delivered to Richard by reason of the erroneous judgment aforesaid, and that he should summon them here in the quinzaine of Michaelmas last. On which day the seneschal returned that he had levied eight marks of the said twenty marcates of rent from the term of the nativity of S. John Baptist last, and paid them to master William, which William here in court well acknowledged. And likewise he returned that he could not levy more thereof before the feast of the Nativity last. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that as well of the

said rents as of other the goods etc. of Richard in his bailiwick he levy thirty two marks of the said forty marks and deliver them without delay to master William, and that he summon Richard in the quinzaine of Easter. And let the sheriff he here then to hear his judgment. 1308

Suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Arnald le Poer for all trespasses and felonies by him committed against the King's peace up to the thirtieth of January in the year aforesaid. And the Chancellor is commanded to cause to be made for Arnald letters patent of the said pardon in the accustomed form, so nevertheless that he stand to the charge. Given at Dublin the thirtieth day of January in the year above mentioned. Kildare

The King sends his writ under his privy seal here in these words:— England

Edward etc., to John Wogan, our Justiciar of Ireland, greeting. We command you that by the oath of lawful and upright men . . . . of Paineston Dullard in Meath, by whom the truth of the matter can be better ascertained, you diligently inquire whether Hugh le Rede, accused of the death of Richard Saundre at Payneston Dullard, killed as it is said . . . . is charged with his death through hatred or malice (if so be that he is guilty), and if he is guilty, then whether he killed Richard in self defence, so that he could not otherwise avoid his own death, or feloniously and of malice aforethought, and how and in what way and . . . . and whether Hugh abjured our land of Ireland and for what cause, and how and in what way . . . . inquisition distinctly and openly made to us under your seal and the seals of those by whom it is made, without delay . . . . Given under our private seal which we were in the habit of using before we undertook the government of the kingdom . . . . at Dunfres the seventh day of August in the first year of our reign. Meath

By virtue of which command the Justiciar proceeded to make inquiry thereof in the form which follows:—

An inquisition taken at Dublin before John Wogan, Justiciar, . . . . on Thursday the eve of the Purification, in the first year of Edward II, whether Hugh le Rede, accused of the death of Richard Saundre at Payneston Dullard in Meath, killed as it is said . . . . is accused of his death through hatred or malice . . . . And if he is guilty thereof . . . . whether he killed Richard in self defence, so that he could not otherwise avoid his own death, or feloniously and of malice aforethought, and how and in what way, by the jurors underwritten, to wit, Ralph le Flemeng, Robert le Flemeng, Walter Destyn, Henry Bloundel, Nicholas Carryk, Richard . . . . , Robert Carnulf, John le Whyte, Robert Montaigne, Ralph le Whyte, Thomas Serle . . . . Carnulf, who say on oath that Hugh was not accused of the death of Richard through hatred or malice, but . . . . is thereof guilty. They also say that on the day of SS. Peter and Paul last, after dissension had arisen between Hugh and Richard, they met one another in the high street in Payneston Dullard, and Hugh . . . . by Richard to make him amends for the trespass which he had formerly committed against him, and on his replying that he would not make him any amends, Hugh threw a stone at Richard and struck him on the jaw, and immediately Richard threw at Hugh and struck him on the chest. And Hugh approached Richard to kill him, which Richard resisted and struck Hugh with a certain . . . . on the head so that he fell to the ground, and Richard immediately put himself upon Hugh to kill him. And Hugh, anticipating that he was threatened with danger of death, drew out his knife and . . . . in the belly, whereof he died. And

1308 they state clearly that Hugh could not otherwise have avoided his own death unless he had killed Richard. They also say that immediately afterwards Hugh fled to the church of the said town and there abjured the land of Ireland, and chose . . . to pass to Dublin, and there passed.

And knowing that the said writ and inquisition . . . Hugh to cross into England. And John son of Roger, Thomas Swyft, Richard Nasse, John Wyn . . . the chapman, Walter de Annebury, William de Molaghfonyn, Nicholas de Molaghfon and Robert . . . in the said inquisition came not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

m. 44

9 Feb. ESSOINS TAKEN AT TRISTELDERMOT BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE OCTAVE OF THE PURIFICATION, a. r. 1.

Kildare Walter Troman *v.* Thomas de Penkeston, of a plea of trespass, by John Prat.

Thomas de Girrous *v.* the same, of the same.

Nicholas Dyloun *v.* the same, of the same.

Roger Pychar *v.* the same, of the same.

*(These entries were struck out and "post ven." written against them.)*

COMMON PLEAS THERE BEFORE THE SAME IN THE DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID.

Kildare Gilbert le Paumer recognizes that he owes William Alexander and Philip Hughlot four hundred marks for the marriage of William, son and heir of Richard de Coventre, to the use of Rose, daughter of the said Gilbert, whereof he will pay them twenty two marks in the quinzaine of Easter next, twelve marks at Whitsun next, ten marks at Michaelmas next, ten marks the following Easter, ten marks the following Michaelmas, ten marks the following Easter, and six marks the following Michaelmas, by the plea of Jordan le Paumer, Nicholas le Flemming of Donfythny, David Wyt, Jacob le Mareschal, John Helwys, William Donheued, William Heywod and Walter Otothyl. And unless they shall do so, they agree that the sheriff may levy of their lands and chattels. And the said William Alexander and Philip agree to render to Gilbert, to the use of William, son and heir of Richard de Coventre, all deeds and other muniments whatsoever which they have of the ancestors of William, and which in any way could be converted to the profit of the said heir, except a certain writing by which the tenants of the heir are bound to pay forty shillings yearly to John de Braham for the life of the said John, which rent Philip, who had acquired it from John, granted to Gilbert for the life of John de Braham, together with the arrears of the said rent.

Dublin Hugh Canoun, sub-escheator in the said county, took into the King's hand the lands and tenements which belonged to Walter de Redelesford at Kynnegh, which Walter held of the King in chief, because John de Ridelesford, Walter's son and heir, entered upon those tenements without licence and will of the King. And because John, who now comes and seeks that these tenements may be restored to him, acknowledges that he has no claim on them except for the term of ten years by the demise of Walter his father, and this without any writing being made thereof, so that he has no claim in the said tenements except at the will of his said father, therefore Hugh, sub-escheator, present here in court, is commanded that he amove the King's hand from the tenements.

Robert Taaf acknowledges that he owes Thomas le Mareschal and Ralph de Wyleby forty shillings which he will pay him at Easter next, and unless he shall do so, he agrees that the sheriff levy of his lands and chattels. 1308

Henry Coraunt acknowledges that he owes John Matthew ten shillings and six pence of silver which he will pay him forthwith, and unless he shall do so he agrees that the sheriff levy, etc.

m. 46

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT TRISTELDERMOT BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 9 Feb.  
ON FRIDAY IN THE OCTAVE OF THE PURIFICATION, a. r. 1.

Philip du Vaal *v.* Richard, son of William Dullard, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that Richard, on the day of the Assumption, 28 Edward I, did not attack Philip in the town of Tamelyng by force of arms, nor did he beat or wound him or maltreat him, nor did he inflict any other outrages upon him, as Philip complains. Judgment that Philip take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. Kildare

Walter Dullard *v.* John, son of John de Moon, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that John, on the day of the Assumption, 29 Edward I, did not attack Walter in the town of Tamelyng by force of arms, nor did he beat or wound or maltreat him nor did he inflict any other outrages upon him, as is complained. Judgment that Walter take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. Kildare

John le Mareschal of Balytarfyn *v.* John le Botiller of Typerrorik, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that John le Botiller did not procure or instigate John le Botiller of Momoma, Haukynn le Botiller, Henry le Botiller and Thomas Fossard, with their attendants, to come to the house of John le Mareschall on Tuesday after the Assumption, a. r. 1, to assault, beat or maltreat him, but that Elyanora, wife of John le Botiller of Typerrorik, caused the said trespass to be done. Therefore let her be taken. Afterwards John le Botiller of Typerrorik came and paid a fine for his wife for the trespass aforesaid of twenty shillings, by the pleas of Geoffrey Cadel and Ralph de Bendevill. And he acknowledges that he owes John le Mareschall twenty shillings. Cath'

Roger Marche acknowledges that he owes Jordan, son of William de Cauntetoun, five thousand pounds <sup>(1)</sup>. Cath

m. 49d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 9 Feb.  
IN THE OCTAVE OF THE PURIFICATION AS ABOVE.

The prior of Athysshel offers himself on the fourth day against the Master of the Temple in Ireland, of a plea that he should be here on this day to hear judgment in the plea which was in the court of the late King Edward before his Justiciar of Ireland here, between the said prior, complainant, and the said Master, that the Master should appear in the King's court to hear record of the plea which was in the King's court before Walter Lenfant and his fellows, Justices Itinerant, at Cassell in county Tipperary, of the advowson of the church of Ardmail by a writ Tipperary Athysshel

<sup>(1)</sup> Thus.

1308 of right of the late King, and likewise the record afterwards thereof had before Thomas, bishop of Meath, in the place of the said Walter and his fellows in certain records of error intervening, as it is said, so that the plea should be here on this day in the same state in which it was in the said court of the late King before his Justiciar, in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist last, and which afterwards by the death of the King remained *sine die*. And he came not. And the sheriff was commanded that by upright men he should summon the said Master to be here. And the sheriff now returns that the Master was summoned by Richard Oweyn, Peter de Clonmell, Robert Gregoir and Richard Whytesyde. And because the Master, by writ of the King, together with all his brethren in this land, have been taken and detained in the King's prison, and likewise all the goods, chattels, lands and tenements which belonged to the said Master and brethren were taken into the King's hand, therefore that plea is *sine die*. And let the prior proceed against the King if he shall deem it expedient. And be it known that Thomas, bishop of Meath, present here in court, records that the prior, in the taking of the said grand assize, alleged that John son of Robert and John Assyk, knights, ought not to be put on the said grand assize, because they were both tenants and servants of Edmund le Botiller, kinsman of the Master, and likewise because Richard le Botiller and Gilbert le Botiller, brothers of the said Edmund and kinsmen of the Master, are servants of the Master, and that they, together with Henry Laffan, seneschal of the lands of the said Edmund, openly encouraged the said . . . against the prior with the Master. The bishop and his fellows, Justices Itinerant, to whom it appeared that this was not a sufficient cause why John and John should not be put upon that assize, did not admit the said exception, but quashed it, and put the said John and John on the said grand assize.

Tipperary

The sheriff was commanded to levy sixty shillings, as well of the corn of David Roth to the value of twenty shillings and of the corn of John Martel to the value of ten shillings and the corn of Stephen Roth to the value of twenty shillings and of the corn of Adam Wythie to the value of ten shillings, which lately he took into the hand of King Edward I and still keeps in his custody to be sold, because he has found no buyers, as he returned to John Wogan, Justiciar, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas last, as of other the lands and chattels of David and the rest, likewise of Luke de Stokton, in his bailiwick, and to deliver them without delay to brother William de Ros, Master of Any, which David etc. acknowledged that they owed brother William in the court of the late King at Cassell, and which they ought to have paid him at the terms lately passed. And they came not. And the sheriff was commanded to summon them to appear in the octave of S. Hilary last, on which day the sheriff returned that he had levied twenty shillings of the corn of David, which he had paid to William Syward, attorney of brother William, and that the rest of the goods remained unsold for want of buyers. And at the instance of the prior the sheriff is commanded to levy forty shillings, as well of the said remaining goods as of other the lands, etc., of John Martel and the rest and likewise of David and Luke, and deliver them without delay to the prior, and to summon them to appear on the morning of the Assumption. On which day the sheriff returns that the said goods are being kept in safe custody for want of buyers, and that he took into the King's hand the crop of two acres of the said Martel, sown with wheat, value three shillings an acre, and the crop of three acres of the said Stephen, sown with oats, value three shillings an acre, and the crop of four acres of the said Adam, sown with oats, value three shillings an acre, and the crop of six acres of the said David, sown with oats, value three

shillings an acre, for which he has not yet found buyers, and that they have no more goods, and that the said . . . has nothing. Therefore the sheriff is again commanded to levy the sum of the said goods as well as of the rest of the lands etc., and to deliver it without delay to brother William, and to summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. 1308

m. 49

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 9 & 16  
IN THE OCTAVE AND QUINZAIN OF THE PURIFICATION, a. r. 1. Feb.

The sheriff was commanded to levy nineteen pounds and ten shillings, as well of that crop of thirty acres of Thomas de Daundon, sown with wheat, value six shillings and eight pence an acre, twenty acres belonging to the said Thomas sown with oats at five shillings an acre, and twenty acres of John del Ile sown with wheat at six shillings and eight pence an acre, which he lately took into the hand of King Edward I and still retains in his custody because he has found no buyers, as he returned to John Wogan, Justiciar, on the morrow of the Assumption last, as of other the lands etc. of the said Thomas and John and likewise of Philip Ulf in his bailiwick, and to deliver them without delay to John de Lagtherne, which John de Lagtherne, in the King's court before John Wogan at Limerick recovered against Thomas, John and Philip, and to summon them here in the octave of the Purification. On which day the sheriff returns that the crop of Thomas Daundon and John del Ile in the writ mentioned was expended in the marches to defend the country, and the goods and chattels of Philip Ulf were sold for debts due to Agnes de Valence and William de Rupe and delivered to them by writ of the King before the arrival of that writ, because Thomas Daundon came at Limerick on Wednesday before the Purification, and for himself and the others offered a reasonable price in good horses, to the value of the price in the writ contained, by valuation of the citizens of Limerick. On which day John de Lagtherne came not, neither by himself nor by his attorney, wherefore the mayor of Limerick was commanded to summon John de Lagtherne to be at Any on the morrow of the Purification to receive a sufficient price in suitable horses by the said valuation. On which day John de Lagtherne came not, neither by himself nor by his attorney, nor did he send anyone for himself. Therefore nothing was done. And because the sheriff has in no way executed the said writ, therefore let him, to wit, Cambinus Donati, be heavily in mercy. And the sheriff is commanded to levy the said money as well of that crop as of any other the lands and chattels of Thomas, John del Ile and Philip in his bailiwick, and to deliver them without delay to John de Lagtherne, and to summon him here within one month of Easter. Afterwards that mercy is pardoned by the Justiciar. Limerick

The sheriff was commanded to levy sixteen pounds thirteen shillings and four pence of the lands and chattels of Philip, son of Odo de Barry, in his bailiwick, and deliver them without delay to William de Berdesfeld, of a certain annual pension of forty shillings and one robe of the value of two marks, or of those two marks which Philip, in the court of Edward I before John Wogan at Cassell, acknowledged that he owed William yearly for the life of the said William, and whereof he ought to have paid him twenty shillings and one robe value two marks or the two marks at Easter, 31 Edward I, and twenty shillings at the following Michaelmas, and similarly for the four ensuing years, and which he has not yet paid him, as he says, and that he should summon him here. And the sheriff now returns that all the goods and chattels and rents of Philip de Barry Cork

1368 in the county of Cork are not sufficient for the debts which are exacted from him to be levied by summonses of the Treasury, except six afers which are put upon another writ. Wherefore the money contained in the writ cannot be levied.

Thomas de Snyterby acknowledges that he owes the executors of Alianora, late Queen of England, forty pounds of the arrears of his account, from the time when he was receiver of the gold of Alianora in Ireland, which he will pay them forthwith . . . . And if he shall not do so he agrees that the sheriff may levy, as well of his watermill in the street of S. Patrick, Dublin, as of other his goods and chattels. He also agrees that the recognizance which he lately made to Master Thomas Cantok, auditor of his account from the time when he was receiver of the gold of Alianora in Ireland, of fifty four pounds of arrears of his said account to be paid to Master Thomas in one month from Michaelmas last, as appears in the roll of common pleas of the said Michaelmas term, be valid, notwithstanding this present recognizance made to the executors of the said forty pounds.

m. 50

9 & 16 YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
Feb. IN THE OCTAVE AND QUINZAIN OF THE PURIFICATION, a. r. 1.

England The king sent his letters patent here in these words:—

Waterford Edward etc., to John Wogan etc. Whereas our beloved in Christ the dean and chapter of Waterford have signified to us by their letters patent that their church by the death of Walter, late bishop of that place, is deprived of a pastor's care, beseeching us to think fit to grant them a licence for choosing another bishop, and we, being favourably inclined to their prayers in this behalf, have decided that that licence should be granted to them, commanding the dean and chapter that they choose for themselves such a person to be bishop and pastor who will be devoted to God, necessary to the rule of our church and useful and faithful to us and our land of Ireland, we, being willing to spare the labours and expenses of the dean and chapter in this behalf, have granted to them on this occasion, of our especial grace, and we have given you power, that on the election of the new bishop being made, when the said elect shall have been presented to you by the dean and chapter on this occasion, you give the royal assent in our place to the said election, signifying to the metropolitan of the place in order that that which is his may be done in this behalf. We also will and have given you power that, if it chance that this election is canonically confirmed by the metropolitan of the place, and shall have been certified to you by the letters of the said metropolitan, then, having received from the elect the fealty due to us on that occasion, you deliver to the said elect in our stead the temporalities of the bishopric, as is the custom, having first received from the elect his letters patent sealed with his seal as well as with that of the chapter, that this our favour which we have granted to the said elect on the present occasion from our mere liberality may not be used to our prejudice or disherison, or be taken as a precedent in the future. In witness whereof, etc. T. at Westminster, 14 Dec., anno 1.

And thereupon came Matthew, precentor of the said church, and produced letters patent under the name of the dean and chapter, in these words:—

To the most excellent lord Edward, etc., his humble and devout



dean and chapter of the church of Waterford, greeting in Him by whom kings reign and princes hold sway. By these our present letters we have thought fit to signify to your lordship that Walter of happy memory, formerly our bishop, having gone the way of all flesh, and your majesty having granted us licence to elect, we, by our unanimous will and consent have chosen the prudent and discreet master Matthew, precentor of our said church, who exhibits these presents, as bishop of Waterford and pastor, whom we humbly present by these presents to your excellency, devoutly supplicating . . . in as much as you are willing graciously to give your royal assent to the said election, causing, if it pleases you, your letters patent to be directed to the lord archbishop of Cashel for obtaining canonical confirmation of our elect, etc. Dated at Waterford in the chapter, Wednesday on the morrow of SS. Vedastus and Amandus, 1307. 1308

And accordingly the royal assent to the said election having been exhibited, the archbishop of Cashel, metropolitan of the place, is commanded to do what is his in this behalf. And afterwards, on Thursday before Mid-Lent, at Kylka, came the said elect and produced certain letters patent of the archbishop in these words:—

To the most excellent lord Edward, etc., Maurice, by the same grace archbishop of Cashel, greeting, etc. We have received letters from your venerable majesty containing the information that you have given your royal assent to the election made of the person of master Matthew, lately elected to be bishop of Waterford, commanding us to do whatever pertained to our office. We signify to your royal excellency by these presents that we have examined the said election and found it canonically celebrated, and confirm the same by our authority as metropolitan. Dated at Cashel on the morrow of S. David the Confessor, 1307.

m. 50d

The sheriff was commanded to summon by upright and lawful men John de la More to be here in the octave of S. Hilary last, to show if he had anything to say why the forty shillings which lately in the court of the late King, before John Wogan, Justiciar, at Castle Cumber on Wednesday the morrow of S. Andrew in the thirty third year of his reign, he acknowledged to owe to Martin de Monnemuch, and which he ought to have paid him on the said day, should not be levied of the lands etc. of him, John, to the use of Martin, if he should think it expedient. On which day the sheriff returns that he ordered the *custos* of the liberty of Kilkenny, who thus answers, that John de la More was summoned as in the writ is contained, by Hamon . . . and William Attellarre. And Martin, by his attorney, offers himself on the fourth day against him, of the plea aforesaid. And he came not. Therefore let execution issue against him. Dublin

The sheriff was commanded to levy sixty shillings, as well of the forty shillings of yearly rent of Philip de Callan which he had lately taken into the King's hand by the *custos* of the liberty of Wexford and retained in his custody because the first term of that rent was at Easter next coming, as he returned to John Wogan, Justiciar, in the octave of S. Hilary last, as of other the lands and chattels of Philip in his bailiwick, and deliver them without delay to John the baker and Alice his wife, which they in court at Ros had recovered against Philip, and that he should summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he ordered the seneschal of the liberty of Wexford to issue that Dublin

1308 mandate, and he replied that John the baker and Alice his wife were not . . . that writ. Therefore nothing was done. And that John the baker and Alice his wife, in court here, witness that they . . . The sheriff is commanded that he must not by reason of the said liberty omit to levy the said sum, as well of the said forty shillings of rent of Philip as of other the goods etc., and deliver it without delay to John the baker and Alice, in the manner aforesaid. And let the sheriff summon him here in the quinzaine of Trinity, and the sheriff is commanded to attach the seneschal of the liberty to be present at the said term, to answer as well the King for contempt as John and Alice for the damages which that have sustained.

9 & 11 COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE  
Feb. OCTAVE OF THE PURIFICATION, AS ABOVE, THE DAY BEING CONTINUED TO THE MONDAY NEXT FOLLOWING.

England The king sent to the Justiciar here his writ in these words:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Whereas we, on account of the great affection and especial love which we show and have towards our beloved . . . Gilbert, son and heir of Gilbert de Clare, formerly earl of Gloucester and Hereford, deceased, who held in chief from the late King Edward, being under age and in our custody, and in order that he may receive the arms of knighthood, to the honour of us all, and may the more decently maintain his position, and may the more powerfully and honourably serve us, have restored to him, though he is under age, all the lands and tenements which he has inherited in Ireland and which, by reason of his minority, are in our hand, we command you that you cause the said Gilbert to have full seisin of all the said lands and tenements, saving the right of anyone whomsoever. T. at Westminster, 8 Nov., in the first year of our reign, by the King himself.

By reason of which writ Nigel le Brun, escheator of Ireland, is commanded to cause Gilbert to have his seisin in manner aforesaid.

England The King sent to the Justiciar his writ, delivered at Dublin the 12th February in the said year, in these words:—

Downe Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Because for certain reasons we wish to be certified about the record of a plea which was before you in our late father's time between our father and Roger, then prior of S. Patrick's of Dune, by a writ of our said father by what authority the prior claimed to have in his manors and lands in Ulster all pleas of the crown except the four pleas, to wit, of treasure trove, rape, arson and forcible entry, we command you, that if judgment thereon has been delivered, then the record of the plea, with all matters affecting it, you send to us under your seal, distinctly and clearly, without delay, together with this writ. T. at Canterbury, 4 Jan. in the first year of our reign.

By reason of which order the Justiciar, having searched the rolls of Hilary term, 26 Edward I, sent to the King the record attached hereto. And be it known that on 14 Feb. the said writ, together with the record, was delivered at Dublin to brother William de Kylcleth, monk of the said prior, to carry to England.

England The King sent to the Justiciar his writ, which was delivered at Dublin, 12 Feb., a. r. 1, in these words:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Because for certain reasons we

wish to be certified about the record of the plea which was before you in the time of our late father, between our father and Nicholas, then bishop of Dune, by our fathers writ by what authority the said bishop claims to hold in his manors and lands in Ulster all pleas of the crown except the four pleas, etc. (as in above writ). T. at Canterbury, 4 Jan., a. r. 1. 1308

By reason of which order the Justiciar, having searched the rolls of Easter term, 25 Edward I, sent to the King the said record, together with the said writ. And be it known that the writ was delivered to brother William de Kylcleth, together with the said record, to bring to England, the 14 Feb., in the said year.

m. 46d

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER THE OCTAVE OF THE PURIFICATION IN THE ABOVE YEAR. 11 Feb.

Simon de Cromhal *v.* Geoffrey Wollibeterc, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that Simon is an Englishman of the surname of Cromhals, and his father was named Adam de Cromhal and dwelt at Atherde and was regarded as an Englishman all his life, and that Simon is not an Irishman, as Geoffrey alleges. Judgment that Simon recover his damages, which are taxed by the court at forty shillings, against Geoffrey. And Geoffrey is committed to gaol. Afterwards Geoffrey is admitted to pay a fine of twenty shillings, by the plea of Roger Gaffeny and William de Lacy. Damages forty shillings, whereof ten shillings to Nicholas, the senior clerk. Meath

The same Simon appears on the fourth day against Hugh Dunnyng, of the same plea. And he did not appear. And the sheriff was commanded to attach Hugh, so as to have him here on this day to answer Simon. And the sheriff did nothing, but returned that Hugh was not found, nor had he anything in his bailiwick. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to take Hugh if he shall be found, and to have his body before . . . on the Monday in Mid-Lent.

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT CATHERLAGH BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, WALTER LENFAUNT AND NIGEL LE BRUN, JUSTICES AT COMMON ASSISES IN THE LIBERTY OF CATHERLAGH TO BE HELD THE MONDAY AFTER S. VALENTINE IN THE YEAR AFORESAID. 19 Feb.

Henry Yerward *v.* Robert de Clahull of Adron. It is found by the jury that Robert, on the Tuesday before the Nativity of S. John Baptist last, found Henry walking in his meadow by a certain way which Robert had granted to John de Bonevill for carrying stones for building a fortress, without doing him any harm in the said meadow, and there Robert attacked Henry and wounded him in the arm with a certain axe, by which wound he is maimed. And afterwards Henry sued him by way of trespass for the said wound in the court of Peter le Poer, lord of the said Robert, and recovered there against him his damages, taxed by the court at four marks. Asked if Henry was maimed at the time when he sued that plea, and if the court knew he was maimed, they say that in truth he was maimed at that time, but the court was ignorant of it. Asked if Henry was satisfied of the four marks, they say that he received nothing thereof. They also say that after Robert had so wounded Henry, he took him into his own house to heal him of his wound, but after a few days Henry left for want of sustenance and a surgeon. Judgment that Henry recover against him his damages taxed by the court, and that Catherlagh

1303 Robert be committed to gaol. Afterwards Robert and Henry came to an agreement that Robert should acknowledge that he owed Henry eight marks, to be paid at the will of Henry. Afterwards the sheriff of Carlow, to whom Robert had been committed to be guarded in prison, was commanded, on receiving sufficient security for the appearance of Robert to make a fine, in the meanwhile to deliver him from prison on that security. And let him inform the Justiciar here of the security without delay.

Catherlagh Peter del Naungle *v.* John de Bonevill of a plea of detaining a horse, value forty marks. There is no process. Therefore let him and his pledges of process be in mercy, to wit, Arnald le Poer and Peter le Poer. Afterwards the said mercies are pardoned by the Justiciar.

Catherlagh Gilbert, son of Ralph de Cref, complains against Thomas, parson of Urcline, that he unjustly detains eight crannocks of wheat, value four shillings, and eight crannocks of oats, value forty shillings <sup>(1)</sup>, which he owes him and which he ought to have paid him at Michaelmas, 31 Edward I. On which day Thomas failed to satisfy him of the grain aforesaid or of its price, nor has he hitherto taken care to satisfy him, whereby he says that he is injured and suffered loss to the value of forty shillings. And thereof he exhibits a certain deed under the name of Thomas, which testifies to the above. And Thomas came and could not deny this. Judgment that Gilbert recover against him the price of the said grain, to wit, four pounds, and his damages, taxed by the court at one mark. And Thomas in mercy. Afterwards Gilbert gives the King half a mark for licence to plead by bill. Damages one mark.

Catherlagh The prior of the house of S. Mary of Kenles in Ossory complains against Adam le Bretoun, Meiler de Kendale and William Traharne that they unjustly detain ten marks and six shillings and eight pence, which are in arrears to the prior of a certain debt of eighty marks which they owe him, whereof they ought to have paid the said ten marks and six shillings and eight pence at the Purification last, on which day they made no satisfaction. And thereof he exhibited a certain deed under the name of Adam and the rest, which testifies to this. And he says that he is injured and suffered loss to the value of forty shillings. And Adam, Meiler and William came and could not deny this. Judgment that the prior receive ten marks and six shillings and eight pence, and his damages, taxed by the court at twenty shillings. And Adam and the rest in mercy.

. . . . complains against Roger Marche of a plea of debt, to wit, four pounds. There is no process . . . . Walter son of Thomas de Caunteton and Remund . . . .

m. 45

16 Feb. COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE QUINZAIN OF THE PURIFICATION, a. r. 1.

Dublin The sheriff was commanded to levy twenty shillings, as well of the six crannocks of corn of Walter son of Maurice, value four shillings each, and of the two afers of Maurice son of William, value six shillings each, which he lately took into the King's hand by the *custos* of the liberty of Kilkenny, and which still remain in the charge of the said *custos* to be sold because he has as yet found no buyers, as the sheriff returned to the Justiciar here in the quinzaine of S. Martin last, as of other lands etc., of Maurice and Walter in his bailiwick, and that he should thereof

(1) Thus.

without delay deliver to John de Patrikchurche, clerk, assignee of Yereward le Waleis, six shillings and eight pence, and to Roger de Glenne, serjeant narrator, assignee of the said Yereward, thirteen shillings and four pence of the thirty shillings which Yereward in the court of the late King our father, before Maurice de Rupeforte, late deputy of the Justiciar, at Clonmell, recovered against Walter and Maurice, for his loss occasioned by a certain disseisin of tenements in Fertene, and that he should summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he ordered the *custos* of the liberty of Kilkenny that he should execute the said writ, and he replied that the writ had been delivered to him after such delay that he could not execute it. And it is testified in court here that the said *custos*, to wit, John Droill, had in his possession the return of the writ for three weeks and more, so that he could have fully executed it if he had wished. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he omit not on account of the said liberty, but that he levy the said sum, as well of the said goods as of other the lands etc., of Walter and Maurice in his bailiwick, and let him deliver it without delay to John de Patrikchurche and Roger in the manner aforesaid, and let him summon them to be here on Monday the morrow of Mid-Lent. Also the sheriff is commanded that he attach the said *custos* to appear at the said term to answer as well the King as John and Roger for trespass and contempt aforesaid.

The sheriff was commanded that he should levy nine marks, as well of that grain of William Droill in the haggard to the value of nine marks, which lately by the seneschal of the liberty of Kilkenny he took into the King's hand and which still remains in the custody of the seneschal to be sold because he has as yet found no buyers, as he returned to John Wogan in the quinzaine of Easter last, and likewise of that crop of twenty four acres of the said William, sown with wheat and oats, value forty pence an acre, which the sheriff took into the King's hand and still keeps for want of buyers, as he returned to the Justiciar in the octave of Trinity following, as of the other lands, etc. of William Droill in his bailiwick, and should deliver them without delay to Cruchuis Huberte del Passo, which William, in the Chancery of the late King Edward acknowledged that he owed to Cruchuis, and which he ought to have paid him at the terms lately past, as has been ascertained by inspection of the rolls of the Chancery, and which he has not yet paid. The sheriff was also ordered to distrain William by all his lands to render to Cruchuis ten crannocks of wheat and two of oats, which William in the Chancery acknowledged that he owed, and which he ought to have paid at the terms lately past, as has been ascertained by inspection of the rolls aforesaid, and which he has not yet paid, and that he should summon him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he ordered the *custos* of the liberty of Kilkenny to execute the said writ, and that he replied that the return to the said writ was delivered to him after such delay that he could not execute it. And it is testified in court here that the *custos*, to wit, John Droill, had in his possession the return to the writ for three weeks and more, so that he could have executed it fully if he had liked. Therefore the sheriff is commanded not to omit by reason of the said liberty to levy the said money, as well of the said grain and crop of William Droill, as of other the lands of William in his bailiwick, and let him deliver it without delay to Cruchuis. The sheriff is also commanded not to omit by reason that liberty to distrain William Droill by all his lands to render to Cruchuis the said ten crannocks of wheat and two of oats, and let him summon him here on Monday the morrow of Mid-Lent. The sheriff is also commanded to attach the said *custos*, so that he may appear at the said term, to answer as well

Dublin

1308

1308 the King for contempt as Cruchio for the damages which he sustained by reason of the delay in executing the said writ.

It is granted by the Justiciar and Council that John Sampson and Adam le Latymer may receive and acquire from the prior of Kylhele and his men four cows, one heifer and one steer belonging to John Sampson, which were in the custody of the said Adam and were therefrom stolen and found in the custody of the prior and his men at Kylhele.

. . . . Elie acknowledges that he owes Nicholas de Eggesfeld four pounds of silver, which he will pay him . . . . unless he do so he agrees that the sheriff may levy, etc.

m. 45d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
THE DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Cath'  
Kildare

Robert de Rocheford acknowledges that he owes Richard Marche twenty shillings, which he will pay him forthwith, by the plea of John de Lynet<sup>(1)</sup> and William de Lynet<sup>(1)</sup>. And unless, etc.

England

The King sent his writ here in these words:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Whereas we have commanded Richard de Bereford, late Treasurer of the Treasury of our late father in Dublin, to appear before us at Westminster in three weeks from the Purification, to confer with us and with our Council upon some matters concerning us and the said Richard, and it is expedient, as we have heard, that Geoffrey de Morton and Henry de Walton, late Chamberlains of our said father in the said Treasury, should come to us to inform us more fully upon the said business, we command you that you cause the said Geoffrey and Henry without delay to be warned that they personally appear before us on the said day and place, to inform us and our Council upon the premises, as shall seem to be the more expedient. And whereas Henry is detained in our prison for certain offences which he is said to have committed against us, we command you that, on receiving from Henry sufficient surety to stand to the charge in our court at our will upon these offences for which he has been taken and imprisoned, in the meanwhile on that surety you cause Henry to be liberated from that prison, if he is detained in the same for those offences which belong to us, and not for any other cause, and have there the names of the sureties and this writ. T. at Westminster, 16 Dec., a. r. 1.

By reason of which mandate the Justiciar, because the writ was delivered after such delay that Geoffrey and Henry could not be present on that day, caused them to be warned to appear before the King for the said expedition in the quinzaine of Easter. And Adam le Latimer, Richard de St. Olave, John Stakepoll, Robert Barret, Roger Flynt, Henry Flynt, Thomas le Wyte, Godefrey son of Roger, Robert Joye, William Frere, Robert de Caunteton, John Godgamen and John Matthew became sureties to have then there the body of Henry to stand to the charge.

Kildare

The sheriff was commanded to levy sixty . . . . of the lands, etc., of Walter le Bailiff in his bailiwick, and to deliver them without delay to William de Heywod, which William, in court here at Tristeldermot . . . . recovered against Walter, and that he should summon him to be here on this day. And the sheriff returns that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of Walter one stack of oats in which there were estimated to be . . . . at four shillings a crannock, two afers, value ten

(1) Lyvet ?

shillings, forty sheep, value . . . delivered the goods to Hugh le Prout, John Falliagh, John son of Maurice and Stephen Flanuk. Therefore the sheriff is ordered that he levy the said money as well of the said goods as of other goods and chattels, and deliver them without delay . . . and that he summon Walter to appear on the morrow of Palm Sunday. 1308

The sheriff was commanded to levy of the lands, etc. of Walran Wodelok, executor of the will of Thomas de Heywode, in his bailiwick, four pounds six shillings and eight pence and to deliver them without delay to Thomas son of Thomas de Heywode, which Thomas in court here at Tristeldermot . . . had recovered against Walran, and that he should summon him to be here on this day. And the sheriff returned that he had taken into the King's hand of the goods etc. of Walran two stacks of wheat and oats in which there were estimated to be forty crannocks, value four shillings each, and sixty sheep at eight pence each, which remain in the custody of the sheriff for default of purchasers and are delivered to James Wodelok, Walter Cradok and Walter the bailiff to take charge of. Therefore the sheriff is ordered that he levy the said money, as well of the said goods as of other the lands, etc., and that he deliver it without delay to Thomas. And let him summon Walran to appear on Monday the morrow of Palm Sunday. Kildare

m. 47

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, ON MONDAY IN THE FIRST WEEK OF LENT, a. r. 1. 4 Mar.

Isabella, who was the wife of Geoffrey Harald, complainant against Walter de Kenley of a plea of debt and trespass, gives the King half a mark for licence to retire from her plaint, by the plea of Geoffrey le Bret, knight. Dublin

Gerard de Orum appears against Maurice son of Walter and Jordan Comyn, of a plea why, when Gerard had a falcon belonging to Otho de Grandison to keep, of the value of ten pounds, which escaped from him between the town of Moytobre and Callan on the Tuesday before the Nativity of the B.V.M. last, Maurice and Jordan caught it and still unjustly detain it. And hereof he seeks a remedy. And they came not. And Maurice was attached by Walter son of Maurice and Henry son of Roger. Therefore let them be in mercy. And the mercies are appraised by the court at forty shillings. And the sheriff was commanded to attach Jordan. And the sheriff did nothing, but returned that Jordan was not found, but that he was distrained by the crop of six acres sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre. Therefore those chattels are forfeited. And thereupon Gerard says for the King that Jordan has other goods, etc. to the value of one hundred pounds in the said county by which he can be attached. And he seeks judgment upon the sheriff. Thereupon the sheriff is commanded to distrain Maurice and Jordan by all their lands, and to have their bodies in court on Thursday before Mid-Lent, to hear judgment, and let the sheriff be there to hear his judgment. Afterwards the said chattels of Jordan are given quietly to him by the Justiciar, on the understanding that Jordan satisfy Gerard for the falcon. Therefore those chattels are not put in . . . Tipperary

m. 48

PLEAS OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, WEDNESDAY BEFORE THE FEAST OF S. GREGORY THE POPE, a. r. 1. 6 Mar.

The assize came to recognize whether John Balygodman and Alda Dublin

1308 his wife and Alice, who was the wife of Peter de Bradyston, unjustly disseised master John Cantok of his free tenement in Dengyn near Bree. And therefore he complains that he disseised him of one messuage and one carucate of land, etc. And John Balygodman and Alda and Alicia come, and Alice says that she did him no injury or disseisin. And John and Alda answer as tenants of the said tenements, and say that they had ingress in the tenements by Peter and Alice, who enfeoffed them thereof, and so they had ingress into the said tenements by Peter and Alice, and not by disseisin. And they say further that in truth master Thomas (<sup>1</sup>) was at one time in seisin of the tenements, by feoffment of Peter and Alice, and afterwards returned the tenements to Peter and Alice, and they enfeoffed the said John and Alda of the tenements, And they ask that inquiry be made by assize. Therefore let the assize be taken.

The jurors say that Peter and Alice agreed with master Thomas to enfeoff him of the said tenements, and he afterwards re-enfeoffed them, to have and to hold of the said Thomas and his heirs, by reason whereof they put master Thomas in seisin thereof, and obtained a writ of *warrantia cartae* between them for levying a fine in the Bench. And Nigel le Brun, chief lord of that fee, hearing that the fine was so to be levied, to his disherison, to wit, by making master Thomas middleman between him and Peter and Alicia, his tenants, appeared at the Bench and counterpleaded the levying of that fine, which could not be levied for this cause, so that master Thomas by word of mouth in the Bench granted to Peter and Alicia that they might re-enter the tenements, and they, at the will of Thomas, put themselves in seisin of the tenements without any bailiff of Thomas putting them in seisin thereof. Judgment that master Thomas recover his seisin of the tenements by view of recognition. And John Balygodman and the others in mercy. Damages none, because master Thomas remitted them in court. And Thomas de Carrick, Geoffrey Luterel and Peter de Coulok, recognitors, though summoned came not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Tipperary Jordan Comyn acknowledges that he owes Alexander de Bykenore ten marks, which he will pay him at S. Peter ad Vincula next.

1 Apr. PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT ROSPONTE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR, ON THE MONDAY AFTER THE ANNUNCIATION, IN THE ABOVE YEAR.

Wexford Geoffrey le Horcastel *v.* John de Wallia of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that John, on the Sunday before S. Ambrose last, in the shop of John at Rosponte, waylaid Geoffrey and drew blood from him, by force and against the King's peace. Judgment that Geoffrey recover against him his damages, taxed by the court at twenty shillings. And John is committed to gaol. And Adam Gregory, Nicholas de Bennes and Richard le Nassehe, jurors, though summoned did not appear. Therefore let them be in mercy. Damages twenty shillings.

Dublin John de Wallia *v.* Geoffrey Horcastel of a plea of trespass. It is found that Geoffrey, on the Sunday before S. Ambrose last, did not come to the shop of John in the town of Rosponte at the hour of curfew to attack John, by force and against the peace, nor did he strike him with his fist in the said shop, nor did he procure any other unknown men to come there to kill or maltreat John, as John complains. Judgement that John get nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And Geoffrey thereof *sine die*. Afterwards the said mercy is pardoned to John.

(<sup>1</sup>) Names varies thus.



ROLL OF ATTORNEYS, MAINPRISES AND BAILS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN,  
EASTER TERM, a. r. 1.

- Adam de Houeth and Isabella his wife put as their attorneys Richard Athelard or Robert de Tylaughkenan, against John, son and heir of John de Kerdif, of a plea of assize of novel disseisin. Dublin
- Robert Gerard puts William Peny against John le Blount, of a plea of trespass. Kildare
- The said Robert puts the said William against Walter de Kenley, of a plea of debt.
- The said Robert puts the said William against John Tyrel, of a plea of trespass. Dublin
- William de London puts Walter Fisshacre against Geoffrey de Morton and the other executors of Robert de Bre, of a plea of debt. Dublin
- Walter le Bret puts William Spenevill or John de Balygaveran against Hugh de Lych and William Swetman, of a plea of trespass. Kildare
- William de Marisco puts Walter de Spenevill or William de Londegom against Henry de Bermengham and others contained in the writ, of a plea of trespass. Tipperary
- Walter de la Hay puts David de Baa against William de Monte of a plea of debt. Dublin  
Kilkenny
- John, son of Thomas Lengleis, puts John de Cornwaleis against John de Bonevill, of a plea of trespass. Dublin
- James de Ketyng mainprises to have Thomas, son of Adam Ketyng, before the Justiciar here at his next coming. The said James mainprises to have Adam Ketyng of Gortyngrag and Philip his son, if they have been charged, at the next coming. Tipperary
- Brother Walter de Lewe, prior of the Hospital of S. John of Jerusalem in Ireland, puts brother Roger Priour or William de Wydeworth against Thomas de Snyterby, of a plea of debt. Dublin
- The said prior puts the said brother Roger Priour and William de Wydeworth against Warin O Wayn, of a plea of debt.
- William de Fynglas puts Richard de Fynglas against John Sergeaunt, of a plea of debt. Dublin
- Robert de Burnham puts William, son of Roger de la Sale, against Richard Mauclerk, of a plea *ne vexat*. Tipperary
- Thomas de Synterby puts Richard Rudipak, clerk, against Roger le Paumer, of a plea of mort d'ancestor. Kildare
- John, son of William le Botiller and Thomas le Fraunceis mainprised Adam, son of Thomas Hulot, accused of the theft of a pitchfork, to have him at the next coming to the county aforesaid, to stand to the charge. Tipperary
- Reginald de Bristell puts William Landegome and Walter Hoge kyn against John de Bristoll and Nicholas de Vylers and all the others in the writ, of a plea of trespass.

- 1308  
Dublin William Spynell, Thomas de Kent, Robert de Wilughby, Robert le Woder, Walter le Lumbard, John Bowet, William de Moenes, clerk, Alexander de Bikenore, clerk, and John de Hothum, clerk, mainprised Andrew Gerard and William Lestapoun, accused of the death of Jakemyn del Isle, feloniously by them killed, to have him<sup>(1)</sup>, in the octave of S. John Baptist, to stand to the charge.
- Dublin Phillip le Bret, Jordan Comyn, William Douz, William son of Gilbert le Blound, Thomas de Kent, William le Decer, . . . Naungle, William Ruffus and Henry de Allesleye mainprised Andrew de Asshebourne, taken on suspicion of the death of Jakemyn del Isle, by him feloniously killed, to have him, in the octave of S. John Baptist, to stand to the charge.
- Tipperary Baldewyn le Flemyng puts Robert de Belynges against Richard Locard to hear the record of a plea of land, William de Bourn . . . attorney.
- Meath Walter de Cusak, knight, John de Sutton, knight, Fulk de Fraxineto, knight, John le Heward, citizen of Dublin, William Douce of the same and Richard le Whyte of the liberty of Trym mainprised John Fraunceis, accused of the death of a man, to stand to the charge.
- Connac' The abess of Casta Silva puts John le Chapelayn and William Peny against William, archbishop of Tuam, of a plea of trespass.
- Dublin John de Bonevill, mainprised to answer to the King for the body of Donaghuch O Morth, or of four cows which the said Donaghuch was . . . to the King and delivered to the castle of Kilkenny to be kept in prison for the said cows, which he was in arrears to the King, of the fine of Robert McAmilaf.
- Waterford John le Poer, baron of Donoyl, mainprised to have at the next coming Reginald Broun, knight, and David his brother, accused of divers trespasses and felonies, to stand to the charge thereof, and likewise the said John mainprised to answer for the value of the goods and chattels of the said Reginald and David.
- Dublin John de Bonevill mainprised to have Richard, son of Walter, son of Alexander, accused of the death of Dovenald McKyntyr, at the next coming, to stand to the charge.

m. 53

28 Apr. ESSOINS TAKEN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, IN THE QUINZAIN  
OF EASTER, a. r. I.

Dublin Adam de Houthe *v.* John, son and heir of John de Kerdyf, of a plea of assize of novel disseisin, whereof record and process by John Jak, in the octave of Trinity.

Isabella, wife of the said Adam, of the same.

COMMON PLEAS THERE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR, THE YEAR AND DAY AFORESAID.

England The King sent his writ in these words, delivered to the Justiciar at Dublin, 30 April, a. r. I.

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Although Roger de Mortuo Mari, son and heir of Edmund de Mortuo Mari, lately deceased, who held of our

(<sup>1</sup>) Thus.

late father in chief, is a minor and in our custody and has not yet proved his age, as is customary, yet we, wishing to do him a special favour, have yielded him all the lands and tenements which belong to his inheritance in Ireland and which, by reason of his minority, are in our hands. And therefore we command you that you cause Roger to have full seisin of all the lands and tenements so in our hands, saving the right of everyone whomsoever. T. at Westminster, 15 Dec., a. r. 1. By the King himself . . . . W. Juge. 1308

By pretext of which writ the escheator of Ireland is commanded that without delay he deliver to Roger his seisin of the lands and tenements.

The King sent his letters patent in these words:—

England

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. The dean and chapter of Clonfert on the death of the late bishop, R . . . , and on seeking and obtaining licence from us to elect another, have chosen Gregory, dean of Clonfert to be bishop, as appears by their letters patent directed to us. When, therefore, the elect shall be presented to you, you are to give the royal assent thereto, and notify the metropolitan thereof. We also empower you, if the elect shall be canonically confirmed by the metropolitan, and you shall be certified thereof, that then, on receiving from the said elect the fealty due to us, you cause the temporalities of the bishopric to be delivered to him, having first received from him his letters patent, sealed with his seal and that of the chapter, that this grace shall not prejudice the King in future. T. at Westminster, 22 Mar., a. r. 1.

Whereupon Gregory Obroy, dean of the church, produced the letters patent of the dean and chapter, signifying to John Wogan, Justiciar, that they have elected master Gregory, dean of the church, to be bishop, in succession to Robert, late bishop, and praying for letters patent to the archbishop of Tuam or his deputy for obtaining canonical confirmation thereof. Dated at Clonfert on the feast of S. Gregory the Martyr, 1308.

By pretext of this writ, the Justiciar gave the royal assent to the said election, and the metropolitan of the place is directed to do what is his in this behalf.

m. 53d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE 28 Apr.  
QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 1.

The King sent the Justiciar here his writ in these words:—

England

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. We command you that, if the executors of the will of master Richard de Feryngs, late archbishop of Dublin, deceased, shall find you sufficient security, for which you are willing to answer, to satisfy us for all the debts for which master Richard, on the day he died, was liable to our late father, then by the oath of upright and lawful men of our said land of Ireland, by whom the truth of the matter can be the better known, you cause diligent inquiry to be made as to what goods and chattels the said deceased had in our land of Ireland on the said day, and what they are worth and to whose hands they have come, and in whose hands they now are, and that you deliver the said goods, etc. by the surety aforesaid to the said executors, to make execution of the will of the said deceased. And inform the Treasurer and Barons of our Treasury of England at Westminster in the quinzaine of Trinity what goods, etc. you have so delivered to the executors and the

1308 value thereof, and return them this writ. T. Walter Reginald, our Treasurer, at Westminster, 1 Mar., a. r. 1.

Tipperary

The sheriff was commanded to levy forty four pounds twelve shillings and seven pence of the lands and chattels of Oliver, son and heir of Robert Haket, in his bailiwick, and to deliver thereof without delay to William de Bourn and his fellows, clerks, assignees of Matilda de Sancto Albino, one hundred shillings and the rest of said sum, which are in arrears of the fifty three pounds five shillings and eleven pence which Matilda recovered in the court of the late King at Cashel against Robert. And the sheriff now returns that a writ was issued to Walter Maunsell, chief serjeant of the fee, who thus replies, that of the goods of Oliver Haket there have been taken sixty acres of wheat and oats, value forty pence an acre, and they have been exposed for sale, but no buyers have yet been found. And because the sheriff . . . fully answers, therefore let him, to wit, Fulk de Fraxineto, be heavily in mercy. And at the instance of William de Bourn the sheriff was again commanded to levy the said hundred shillings, and to appear in the octave of Trinity. Afterwards on that day the sheriff did nothing, nor did he send the writ. Therefore the sheriff is again ordered to levy the said hundred shillings and to appear in one month from Michaelmas, to hear his sentence. Concerning the rest of the debt, it appears elsewhere, at the suit of the said Richard, in the said term of Trinity.

m. 69

28 Apr. YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 1.

England

The King sent his writ to the Justiciar, which was delivered to him at Dublin the Wednesday before the Purification in the said year, as follows:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. On behalf of William de Maundevill it was shown to the late King that whereas William elsewhere in the court of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, at Cragfergus before Nigel le Brun and his fellows, justices of the earl, by the earl's writ of *precipe in capite* demanded against Robert son of Ralph one messuage, three roods of meadow and half a carucate of land in Neubyggynge, etc.<sup>(1)</sup> We therefore, wishing to assist the said William, as is just, in the premises, command you that you summon Alan by two free and lawful men of your bailiwick that he be before us in the octave of Trinity, wherever we shall be in England, to hear the said records, and further to do and receive what our court shall consider right in the premises. And let him have there the charter and confirmation aforesaid, if he shall think fit, and have there the names of those who have summoned him, and this writ. T. R. de Brabazon, at Westminster, 3 Nov., a. r. 1.

By pretext of which writ the sheriff of Dublin was commanded to execute the said mandate. And the sheriff returns that John Moigne, chief serjeant of the King in the cross of Ulster, was ordered to execute the mandate, and that he thus returns, that Alan, son of William fitz Waryn, was summoned to appear by John Holleye, Philip Harphyn, John, son of Stephen, Robert, son of Philip, Adam, son of Simon and Richard Stereman. And be it known that the writ was delivered to a messenger of William de Maundevill, 28 Apr., a. r. 1., at Dublin, to carry to the King.

<sup>(1)</sup> *Cal. Justic. Rolls Ire.*, 1305-1307, p. 63.

It is granted that Thomas de Cromhale may lawfully receive and acquire his . . . and other his goods and chattels, which certain Irish felons from the mountains of Leinster robbed him of, without hindrance in any way.

1308  
Kildare

Hugh le Rede produced here certain letters patent of the King in these words:—

England

Edward, etc., to all his bailiffs and faithful men to whom the present letters shall come, greeting. Know ye that we have pardoned Hugh le Rede his abjuring our land of Ireland, which he did for the death of Richard Saundre, whereof he was accused, also the suit of our peace which belongs to us for that death, and we have granted him our firm peace, so nevertheless that he stand to the charge in our court if any shall wish to charge him with the said death. T. at Westminster, 21 Mar., a. r. 1.

Therefore the sheriff is commanded that throughout all his bailiwick he publicly proclaim the said abjuration and suit of the King's peace so to be pardoned him of the death aforesaid, and the King's firm peace to be granted to Adam, according to the tenor of the said charter.

It is granted by the Justiciar here that Milo de Verdun, for the good of the peace, can treat and parley with the Irish of the parts of Meath and Uriel. Therefore the Chancellor is commanded to make letters patent for the said Milo of the grant aforesaid, to last to the Nativity of S. John Baptist next.

Meath

m. 54d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 1.

28 Apr.

The King sent his writ to the Justiciar:—

England

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Because in the record of the plea which was before you in the court of the late King without his writ between Richard, abbot of S. Mary's, Dyvelek, and Nicholas, prior of Colp, John del Nanye, John de Aldermonston, canon of Colp, Nicholas, serving man of John de Nanye, David le Waleys, Richard le Carter, Gilbert le Graunger, Geoffrey le Wolbeter and Robert le Carter, of a certain trespass committed by them against the abbot, there has intervened manifest error, as we have learnt by the complaint of the prior, we, willing that that error, if there is any, should be corrected and swift justice done to the parties in this behalf, command you that, if judgment has been delivered, then the record of the plea, with all things concerning it, you send to us, distinctly and clearly, under your seal, without delay, and this writ. T. at Dover, 19 Jan., a. r. 1.

By pretext of which writ the said record, which is enrolled in the rolls of pleas of complaints of Michaelmas term, 34 Edward I, together with the said writ, are delivered to John de Aldermonston, canon of Colp, under the seal of the Justiciar, to be brought to England.

The sheriff was commanded to summon Philip, son of Hugh Purcel, knight, to be here on this day to show, together with Hugh, son and heir of said Hugh, why the forty pounds which the said Sir Hugh, together with Philip his son, in the court of the late King before Thomas, bishop of Meath, and his fellow Justices, acknowledged that they owed to William de St. Leodegario, knight, and which they ought to have repaid him on Saturday after the Purification, 35 Edward I, and have not yet

Tipperary

1308 paid, should not be levied of their lands, etc. to the use of William. And the sheriff now returns that he summoned Philip to appear by Thomas Godesone, Andrew de Mora, Adam le Whyte and John Tyrel. And Philip comes, by that premunition, and says that he is not bound to answer, because he says that the writ supposes the recognizance to have been made by Hugh Purcel, knight, and Philip his son, and not by Hugh, son and heir of the said Hugh. And he seeks judgment. Therefore it is considered that William take nothing by his writ, but be in mercy for false claim, and Philip thereof *sine die*.

Tipperary

Whereas it was lately decided before Edmund le Botiller then Custos of Ireland, in the quinzaine of S. Hilary, 33 Edward I, at Dublin (1) by a certain jury of the county taken there between William de Monte, complainant, and master Guido de Wikys, that master Guido took from William a certain writing obligatory for twenty pounds to be paid to the said master Guido by a corrupt contract entered into between them, for which Guido . . . and that writing judicially by the judgment of the court annulled, in whatsoever hand the writing should happen to be found. And the said King, on the complaint of William, had afterwards heard that Guido had proposed to implicate William by the said writing beyond the kingdom in parts across the sea, and had by his writ ordered the sheriff of Dublin to distrain Guido to restore to William the said writing, and that when he had done it he should inform the Justiciar in one month from Easter next coming, and the sheriff on that day had returned to John Wogan, Justiciar, that master Guido had no lay fee in his bailiwick by which he could distrain him to render to William the said writing. And although William had often sought to get restitution of the said writing, yet he had not hitherto been able to get it, as William thereof complains. And that it was testified in court before John Wogan that Guido has goods and chattels in his bailiwick, to wit, ten pounds of an annual pension which the archbishop of Cashel owes Guido, and one hundred shillings in the hands of William de Monte of a certain debt which William owes him, by which he could distrain. The sheriff was commanded to distrain Guido to restore to William the writing aforesaid, and that he should inform the Justiciar here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that a writ was directed to Walter Maunsel, chief serjeant of the fee, who thus answers, that Guido de Wykis is distrained by the hundred shillings which William owes him. And because the sheriff made no mention in this return of other the goods and chattels of Guido in his bailiwick, therefore he, to wit, Fulco de Fraxineto, in mercy. And the sheriff is again commanded to distrain Guido and to make a return in the octave of Trinity, and to summon Guido to show cause, if any, why the hundred shillings should not be forfeited to the King. Afterwards on that day at Dublin comes a certain Centil de Wykys, and in the name of Theobald de Wykys returned the said writing into the court here.

Cork

The sheriff was again commanded to levy forty pounds of the lands and chattels of Nicholas, son of Alexander de Rupe, Maurice de Rupe of Direncede, Henry the parson of Rupe, Philip son of William, Thomas Russell and Walter(?) son of Gerard, in his bailiwick, and deliver them to David de Cogan, which they in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Cork acknowledged that they owed him, and whereof they should have paid him twenty pounds at the feast of the Assumption last and twenty pounds at Michaelmas following, which they have not done, and that he should summon them here on this day, and that he should be here in his own person to hear his sentence. And the sheriff now

(1) *Cal. Justic. Rolls Ire.*, 1305-1307, p. 34.

(2) *Recte* William.

returns that he has levied twenty marks of the goods of Nicholas, son of Alexander de Rupe, (etc., as above), which he has paid to David de Cogan. As for the rest of the money contained in the writ, he took into the King's hand of the goods of Nicholas son of Alexander de Rupe the crop of ten acres sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre, and the crop of ten acres sown with oats, value three shillings an acre, for which he has not yet found a buyer, and that Nicholas has no more goods whereof any money can be made and that Maurice de Rupe has no more whereof money can be made, and that he took into the King's hand of the goods of Henry the parson of Rupe the crop of four acres sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre, and the crop of eight acres sown with oats, value two shillings and sixpence an acre, and two mills worth yearly forty shillings, which have been handed over to the custody of Gerard de Rath, William son of Philip and Thomas de Rath and William de . . . ., and of the goods of Thomas Russell the crop of twelve acres sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre, and twelve acres sown with oats at two shillings and six pence an acre, and of the goods of William, son of Gerard the crop of eight acres sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre and twelve acres sown with oats at two and six pence an acre.

m. 55

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 1. 28 Apr.

Dublin

Richard, son of Richard de Tuyt, Godfrey de Hereford, Hugh de Lithe, Robert Gerard and Odo de Hereford in mercy for several defaults. They were attached to answer John Tyrel of a plea why they, together with Richard son of Alured, Maurice le Carter, Simon Worgan, Stephen le Loung, Martin le Palefrayman and William son of Gilnegill, attacked him at Cameleston by force of arms and beat, wounded, imprisoned and maltreated him, and his goods and chattels there found, to the value of ten pounds, took and carried away, and inflicted on him other outrages, to his severe damage. And John complains that they, on the Monday after the feast of S. . . . 1 Edward I, came to Cameleston and his goods and chattels there found, to wit, thirty eight crannocks of wheat, value four shillings each, one . . . ., value thirteen shillings, one collar, value half a mark, one sword, value four shillings, one misericord, value twelve pence, one lance, value twelve pence, one pair of thigh pieces (*cuyseaux*), value half a mark, one pair of gloves of whale (*balena*) <sup>(1)</sup>, value twenty pence, one leathern girdle, value three pence, one seal, value four pence, twelve pence in money, one . . . ., value four shillings, one car <sup>(2)</sup>, value four pence, two cups, value six pence, one axe, value twelve pence, one bow and arrows, value twelve pence, one skin of a certain fish called "sele", value four pence, thirty six pieces of . . . ., value eight pence, one currycomb, value four pence, three . . . . and one surcingle and one pair of spurs, value eight pence, took and carried away, and there attacked, beat, wounded, imprisoned and maltreated John and committed other outrages on him. And thereof he says that he is injured and has loss to the value of ten pounds.

And Richard son of Richard, Godfrey, Hugh, Robert and Odo come and defend the force and injury, and say that in no way are they guilty thereof. And they ask that it be inquired of by the county. And likewise John Tyrel. Therefore let a jury be called. And the sheriff is ordered to summon twelve jurors to be present on the morrow of the Ascension. And they came not to the recognizance in the form aforesaid.

(1) & (2) Thus.

1308

Afterwards in the octave of Trinity at Dublin came the said parties and the jurors, who say on oath that Guido Cokerel, who has married the mother of John Tyrel, had a certain *hibernicus* by name Adam Gilnengill, remaining on the lands which the said Gwydo holds at Castro Crok, of the dower of his said wife, and because Adam did not well pay his rent to Gwydo, the latter ejected him from his lands and demised them to John Tyrel, with the consent of his wife. And afterwards it was agreed between John Tyrel and a certain William Gilnengill, likewise an *hibernicus*, brother of Adam, that they should jointly cultivate and sow the said land, and that the one should provide half the seed and the other the other, and when autumn came John Tyrel only reaped the said corn, to wit, to the value of twelve acres, and thereof threshed six crannocks, of which he spent three crannocks for the autumn expenses connected with the said corn, and three crannocks he converted to his own use, and he caused part of the corn to be carried into his own haggard, to wit, six crannocks, and the rest he left on the field, to wit, eight crannocks. And William, who through fear of John Tyrel did not dare to handle the portion belonging to him, approached Richard de Tuyt, who was at Clony in the liberty of Trym, and became his man, and asked him to assist him in getting the said corn, and he, together with Robert Gerard, sent his carts to the land with a guard, by which force of arms he caused to be carried all the said corn, as well that gathered in the haggard of John Tyrel as the corn so left on the field, from the county of Dublin to the said liberty, Robert wittingly sending his cart and inducing Richard de Tuyt to do this. Asked who had all the corn, they said that William had. And they say that Robert is in no way guilty. And of Godfrey, Hugh and Odo they say that they committed no trespass on John Tyrel. Therefore John should recover against Robert his damages to be taxed. And let Robert, who pleaded by attorney, be taken, and let Godfrey, Hugh and Odo be *sine die*, and John in mercy for false claim against them. Against Richard de Tuyt nothing, because he has crossed to England by licence, and he has protection with the clause that he be quit of all pleas till the feast of the Purification. Afterwards the damages are taxed by the jury at twenty one pounds, sixteen shillings and eight pence. (These damages are struck out).

The said John Tyrel offered himself on the fourth day against the said Richard son of Alured, Maurice le Charter, Simon Worgan, Stephen le Loung, Martin le Palefrayman and William son of Gilnengill of the said plea. And they came not. And the sheriff was again commanded to take them and have their bodies here on this day. And the sheriff did nothing, but returned that they were not found, nor have they anything in his bailiwick. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he cause them to be called from county court to county court, according to the law, if they shall not appear, and if they appear, then let him take them and have their bodies in court in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, to answer John and to hear their sentence. Afterwards in the quinzaine of Easter in the second year of the King's reign, before William de Burgo, lieutenant of the Justiciar, at Dublin, Richard, Simon, Stephen, Martin and William are admitted to make fine for outlawry promulgated against them on that occasion, at six shillings and eight pence, by the plea of Richard Tuyt, so that they present themselves personally to stand to the charge on the said trespass at the suit of John. And the fine appears in the plea rolls of Easter term, a. r. 2.

Be it remembered that the jurors taxed the damages of John as regards the carrying away of the corn at thirty six shillings and eight pence, and as to the other trespass at twenty pounds.



Afterwards, in view of the fact that Robert was the cause of all the said trespass, as appears by the said jury, John's damages are taxed against him by the Justiciar here at sixty shillings, and that the taxation above struck out, of twenty one pounds six shillings and eight pence, proceeded from error, as appears above on the record itself by the verdict of the jurors<sup>(1)</sup>. 1308

Walter de Kenleye, knight, acknowledges that he owes Simon de Allesleye twenty pounds, whereof he will pay him forthwith a hundred shillings, and a hundred shillings at the terms of S. Peter ad Vincula, All Saints, the Purification and Easter next, by the plea of Richard de Cruys, John Owayn, Reginald de la Felde and Warun Owayn. Dublin

Afterwards, on the Monday after the quinzaine of Trinity, at Dublin, Simon came into the court here and acknowledged that satisfaction had been made to him of one hundred shillings of the said debt, and the remaining twenty pounds he had assigned to Robert de Notyngham, citizen of Dublin and William de Allesleye, etc. Afterwards, in one month from Easter, in the second year of the present reign, Robert de Notyngham came and acknowledged for himself and William de Allesleye that they had been satisfied of ten pounds. Afterwards, in the quinzaine of Easter in the third year of the present reign Robert came and acknowledged that he had been satisfied of the remaining ten pounds.

m. 55d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Although the citizens of the town of Dungarvan are bound to make a bridge in the said town, nevertheless, because they are poor and the bridge rather lacks charges for its repair, it is graciously granted them to have pontage for three years. This grant is not to be taken for a precedent. Waterford

The sheriff was commanded to levy six pounds twelve shillings and eight pence of the lands, etc. of the community of the county, to wit, of those who are wont to contribute to the common subsidies, and to deliver them to Edmund le Botiller or David de Caunteton his assignee, in full payment of twenty marks of the hundred marks which are owed to Edmund by the communities of<sup>ss</sup> Kildare and Carlow and the liberties of Wexford and Kilkenny, for the capture of . . . Mcuhuth Ballagh McMruhuth felon, whom Edmund killed, and to summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that for the money in the writ contained he took into the King's hand of the goods of the community of the county aforesaid thirty three afers, value four shillings each, for which he has not yet found buyers. Dublin

The sheriff was commanded to levy one hundred shillings of the lands and chattels of Guido Cokerel in his bailiwick and to deliver them to master Bartholomew de Eyteleye, which Guido, in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Dublin, acknowledged that he owed to master Bartholomew, and which he ought to have paid him at Michaelmas last. And the sheriff now returns that he took into the King's hand twenty crannocks of wheat, value five shillings each, which he has caused to be safely guarded because he has found no buyers for them. Dublin

The bishop of Meath was commanded to distrain William de Morton by his ecclesiastical benefice in his diocese to render to Nicholas de Eggesfeld and Helwys his wife one breviary of the value of forty shillings, Meath

(1) Calendar has query in margin.

1308 which Helwys, in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Dublin, recovered against William. And the sheriff of Meath returned in the quinzaine of Michaelmas last that William is a clerk and has no lay fee, and it was testified that William has ecclesiastical goods and chattels in the diocese of Meath by which he might be distrained. And the bishop now returns that William has no ecclesiastical benefices or ecclesiastical goods in his diocese by which he may be distrained. And it is testified that William has an ecclesiastical benefice in the diocese of Killaloe sufficient to be distrained. Therefore, at the instance of the complainant, the bishop of Killaloe was commanded to distrain William to render to Nicholas and Helwys the said breviary, and to summon him to be here on the quinzaine of S. John Baptist. Afterwards, on that day, the bishop did nothing, nor did he send the writ. Therefore he was again commanded to distrain William and have him here in the octave of S. Hilary, and that he, the bishop, be then there to hear his sentence.

Scotland

The Chancellor is commanded to cause to be made to the Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Treasury, Dublin, the King's writ of *liberate* to Thomas Coliz and William de Callan, deputed as the King's providers of food at Dublin, of three hundred pounds for buying wheat, wine and other food and sending them to Skymburnes for the King's expedition of war in Scotland. Dated at Novum Castrum McKyngan, 18 May in the first year of the King's reign.

Roscommon

The Chancellor is commanded to cause to be made to the Treasurer and Chamberlain of the Treasury, Dublin, the King's writ of *liberate* to Richard de Exon, constable of the castle of Roscommon, of fifteen pounds, in part payment of sixty pounds which he yearly receives for the custody of the castle, from 30 April last to 31 July next, to wit, for one quarter of a year. Dated at Dublin, 21 May in the first year of the King's reign.

m. 56

28 Apr. YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 1.

England

The King sent the Justiciar here his writ, which was delivered to him here at Dublin on Wednesday before the Purification in the above year, in these words:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc., or his lieutenant, greeting. On the part of William de Maundevill it was lately shown to the late King that whereas William had otherwise demanded of Alan, son of William fitz Waryn, in the court of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, at Cragfergus before Nigel le Brun and his fellows, justices of the earl, by the earl's writ of *precipe in capite* one messuage, seven acres of meadow, twenty acres of pasture and two carucates of land in Dromrothan, and Alan in court before the said justices had exhibited a certain charter of John, late King of England, our great grandfather, which the King had made to William de Serlaunde, great grandfather of Alan, whose heir he is, and a certain charter of the late King, by which he confirmed the charter of our great grandfather, as is affirmed there in the judgment, and took exception that by virtue of the charter and confirmation he ought not to answer William de Maundevill without the King, on which the Justiciar superseded the further hearing of the plea before them, on which the record of the plea was sent before you and the said parties were adjourned to a certain day, to do and receive what the court of our late father should decide in this matter, which business, by reason of the charter and confirmation, afterwards likewise remained undiscussed before you.

On which the late King, considering that that business, by reason of the charter and confirmation, could not in any way be finished before you, especially as the act of the King or his ancestor ought not to be adjudged without consulting him, caused the record, as well of the plea as of all the business held before you at the suit of William de Maundevill, to come before him, as we are assured of by inspection of the records of the time of our late father. We therefore command you to summon Alan to appear before us in the octave of Trinity to hear the said record, and to have there the charter and confirmation if he shall think fit. And do you have there the names of the summoners and this writ. T. R. de Brabazon, at Westminster, 3 Nov., a. r. 1. 1308

By reason of which writ the sheriff of Dublin was commanded to issue this writ. And the sheriff now returns that John Moigne, chief serjeant of the King in the cross of Ulster, was ordered to issue the writ, who thus replies, that Alan, son of William fitz Waryn, was summoned by John Holleye, Philip Harphyn, John son of Stephen, Robert son of Philip, Adam son of Simon and Richard Stereman, and the writ was delivered to a messenger of William de Maudevill, 28 April, a. r. 1., at Dublin, to bring to the King in England.

Roger Smalrys produced here certain letters patent of the King under his seal of England in these words:—

Edward, etc. Know ye that we have granted to Roger de Smalrys the office of the custody of our market in Ireland, to hold during our pleasure, with all things reasonably pertaining to that office as others have hitherto held the said office. T. at Senewhare, 28 Aug., a. r. 1., by writ of privy seal. England

And likewise Roger produced to the Justiciar here a close writ of the King in these words:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Whereas on 28 August last we did confer on Roger de Smalrys the office of custody of our market in Ireland during our pleasure, as is more fully contained in our letters patent to Roger, and afterwards, not remembering that commission, we did confer the said office on Adam de Cretyng, to hold in the form aforesaid, which we would not have done if we had recollected the former commission to Roger, we, willing that our said prior commission should have full force in everything, command you that you restore the said office to Roger, to be held according to the tenor of our said letters and as others held the office in the time of the late King. T. at Wyndesore, 7 April, a. r. 1., by writ of privy seal.

By pretext of which writ Adam de Cretyng is commanded that the standard measures and weights and all other things which pertain to the said office and are in his custody he cause to be delivered to Roger, by dividend thereof to be made between them, to be held in the form aforesaid.

Hugh de Notyngham, clerk, appeared on the fourth day against Ririth, son of John, late sheriff of Dublin, of a plea that he be here on this day to show cause wherefore he should not be distrained to return Hugh de Notyngham, clerk, one horse, value one mark, which Thomas le Flemeng, lately King's serjeant in the said county, under the said sheriff, took from Hugh and delivered to Ririth, and which Hugh afterwards in the court of the late King recovered against Ririth. And he came not. And the sheriff was commanded to summon him. And the sheriff returned that he had summoned him to be here by Hand<sup>(1)</sup> de Dublin

(1) Thus.

1308 Estham, Thursteynn de la Warde, John le Serjaunt of Correston and Eustace de Glymethan. Therefore let execution proceed against him, to wit, by causing to be made of his lands and chattels the price of the said horse. Afterwards Hugh assigned the price of the horse to the use of the church of the friars of the order of S. Augustine.

Limerick

The sheriff was commanded to levy thirty marks of the lands and chattels of Walter Maunsel, serjeant of the late King in the county aforesaid in the thirty fourth year of his reign, in his bailiwick, and likewise to levy ten marks of the lands, etc. of Cambinus Donatus, sheriff of the late King in the said county in the said year, in his bailiwick, and to deliver the moneys to Agnes de Valence or her certain attorney, which Agnes in court at Catherlagh recovered against them, and that he should summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that all the goods and chattels of Walter in the said county, as well rents of serjeanty and others as standing corn at Baligady, were taken into the King's hand for debts due to him long before the arrival of that writ, which goods, etc. and also rents are not yet sufficient to pay those debts. And he also returned that Cambinus has nothing in the said county whereof money can be made. Whereupon came the attorneys of Agnes and attested that Walter and Cambinus have sufficient goods, etc. in the county to pay the debts etc. Therefore the sheriff is commanded again to levy thirty marks of the lands, etc. of Walter, and to deliver to John Patrikchurche, clerk, four shillings, to Nicholas Clerk, senior, two marks, and to Nicholas Clerk, junior, twenty shillings, and the rest of the money to Agnes, and likewise to levy ten marks of the lands, etc. of Cambinus and to deliver them to Agnes or her certain attorney. And let him summon them to appear in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist. And let the sheriff appear personally.

m. 56d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Tipperary

Elsewhere, to wit, in Easter term, 36 Edward I (1), the sheriff was commanded again to levy fourteen shillings and eight pence of the lands, etc. of Henry Haket, late sheriff of the said county, to whose hands a certain part of the goods, etc. of William, son of Roger de la Sale, to the value of fourteen and eight pence, by the taking of them into the hand of the late King, had come, in his bailiwick, and likewise to levy forty shillings of the lands, etc. of William son of Richard, lately sub-sheriff of the said county, for the same reason, and also to levy three shillings of the lands, etc. of Geoffrey Haket, serjeant of the King in the said county, for the same reason, and to deliver to Peter Stikehare forty pence of the twenty marks which Peter, in court before John Wogan at Cassell, recovered against William son of Roger, and to William de Bourn and his fellows, clerks, assignees of Peter, six shillings and eight pence, and to Richard Locard, serjeant pleader, assignee of Peter, forty shillings, of the said debt, and to Nicholas, clerk of William de Bourn, assignee of William son of Roger, seven shillings and four pence, which the goods, etc. of William son of Roger, taken into the late King's hand for the debt, were valued at beyond the debt. The sheriff was also commanded to levy thirteen shillings and four pence of the lands, etc. of the late sheriff, sub-sheriff and serjeant pleader there in his bailiwick, and to deliver to William de Bourn and his fellows, clerks, assignees of Peter,

(1) *Cal. Justic. Rolls Ire.*, 1305-1307, p. 337.

six shillings and eight pence, and to Richard Locard, serjeant pleader, assignee of Peter, six shillings and eight pence, which William de Bourn and his fellows clerks, and Richard Locard, in the court at Cassel recovered against Henry, William son of Richard and Geoffrey for their damages, by reason of the detention of the said money, as found by a jury there, and that whatever should be lacking of the share of William son of Richard in his bailiwick should be levied of the lands, etc. of Hacket, late sheriff, and be delivered to William de Bourn and his fellows, assignees of Peter, and the rest, proportionally, in full payment of the said debts, and that he should summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Trinity last, and that he should be here to hear his sentence. On which day the sheriff returned that he had the money respecting the said sheriff ready, and would pay it at the next coming of the Justiciar to the county, and that he had taken into the King's hand of the goods of William son of Richard for his debt the crop of ten acres sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre, and of the goods, etc. of Geoffrey Hackett for his debt the crop of one acre sown with wheat, value forty pence for which no buyers had been found. And because the sheriff did not pay the said money as he returned, therefore let him, Henry Hacket, be heavily in mercy. And the sheriff is again ordered to levy the said money of the lands, etc. of Henry, late sheriff, and of William son of Richard and the rest, and deliver them to William de Bourn and the rest, and to summon them to appear in the octave of Trinity. On which day the sheriff returned that he had taken into the King's hand the crop of eight acres of the land of Henry Hacket sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre, and of ten acres of William son of Richard sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre, for neither of which had buyers been found. And as to the crop of ten acres of William sown with wheat, the sheriff returned that the crop was taken into the King's hand in the time of Henry Haket, then sheriff, nor can any of it now be found. And likewise he returned that he had taken into the King's hand the crop of two acres of Geoffrey sown with wheat, value forty pence an acre, for which no buyers had been found. And as to this crop, he returned that it was taken into the King's hand at the time when Henry was sheriff, nor can any of it now be found. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to levy the said sum proportionally of the goods, etc., and deliver it to William de Bourn and the rest. And let him summon them to appear on the morrow of the Assumption, and let him be there in his own person.

The King sent his writ in these words:—

England

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc., or his lieutenant. Because for certain reasons we wish to be certified about the manner and cause of the taking and detaining of Henry de Waletton in the prison of our castle of Dublin we command you again that you certify us without delay concerning the manner and cause aforesaid clearly and openly under your seal, returning us this writ, or you shall signify to us the reason for not obeying our mandate. T. at Westminster, 26 Mar., a. r. 1.

By reason of which mandate the crown rolls of Hilary, 34Ed ward I, were searched, and the Justiciar sent to the King the manner and cause, together with the writ. And be it known that the said writ, together with the manner and cause, were delivered to William le Lou, clerk, to carry to England, viz., 7 May, a. r. 1.

1308  
England

The King sent his writ in these words:—

Edward, etc., to the Justiciar, etc., or his lieutenant. Because we commanded Richard de Bereford, late Treasurer of Ireland, that, putting everything else aside, he should come personally to Westminster so as to be there on the morrow of the Ascension next, to state his account before the Treasurer and Barons of the late King of his Treasury there, from the time when he was Treasurer of our late father in Ireland, by him rendered before our Treasurer and Barons of the Treasury, also finally to render his account from the time in which he was Treasurer of our said father and of us in the said parts beyond the time of which he rendered such account before our Treasurer and Barons of the said Treasury, and because we have already been informed that Richard, at the suit of Geoffrey de Morton for trespasses committed by him, by the judgment of our court before the venerable father Thomas, bishop of Emly, our Chancellor of Ireland, and Edmund le Butiller and Nigel le Brun, our Justices assigned to hear and determine the said trespasses, and at the suit of certain others for certain trespasses committed by Richard, by the judgment of our court before you, was sentenced to the prison of our castle of Dublin and detained therein. We, being unwilling that the rendering of the said account or other matters which specially affect us should be delayed by the imprisonment, for the loss which would threaten us in that behalf, have taken from Richard sufficient mainprises that he will satisfy both us and Geoffrey and others who complained against him of those things which appertain to us and to them. And therefore we command you that you cause Richard to be released from prison without delay, if he is detained therein by reason of the said trespasses and not for any other cause, by the mainprison aforesaid, so that he can come freely into England and personally appear on the said day and place, to render his account and further to do and receive what our court shall consider in the premises. And summon Geoffrey to be then there if he shall think fit. And have you there this writ. T. at Westminster, 25 Mar., a. r. 1.

And be it known that this writ was endorsed as follows:—

It was delivered to William le Lou, clerk, 7 May in the said year, to carry to England.

Richard de Bereford was delivered from prison according to the tenor of this writ, and Geoffrey de Morton was not summoned to be on the day and place mentioned in the writ, because he had crossed to England before the coming of the writ.

m. 57

28 April YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUIN-  
ZAINÉ OF EASTER, a. r. 1.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded not to omit on account of the liberty of Wexford to deliver the two hundred sheep of David de Caunteton and Agatha his wife, worth 6 pence each, their twelve crannocks of wheat, value five shillings each, ten crannocks of oats, worth three shillings each, and the crop of eight acres of their land sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, which lately he took into the King's hand by the *custos* of the liberty, and which remain in his custody to be sold, for want of buyers, as he returned in the octave of S. Hilary last, by reasonable price and extent thereof, to the abbot of Tynterne and John de Sutton of Rathgarrok, executors of the will of Gilbert de Sutton, in part payment

of sixty five pounds nineteen shillings and one halfpenny, which the said executors in court at Ros recovered against David and Agatha, provided that the valuers estimate the said goods at a valuation at which they would be willing to take them themselves if the executors refused, and to levy the rest, if any, of the other lands, etc. of David and Agatha in his bailiwick, and deliver them to the executors, up to full payment of the said money, and to summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that the goods, etc. of David and Agatha formerly taken into the King's hand have been delivered to the abbot and John de Sutton at a reasonable valuation, and that for the rest of the debt he took into the King's hand of their goods, etc. the crop of sixteen acres sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, and four marcates and eight shillings and four pence worth of rent from Easter term last, nor have they any more goods. And because the sheriff did not return the price or valuation by which he delivered the goods to the abbot, therefore let him, to wit, Richard Constentyn, be heavily in mercy. Thereupon comes brother Geoffrey de Banowe, general attorney of the abbot . . . and John de Sutton, and attests in court here that they are satisfied of eighteen pounds for the price of the said goods. Therefore at the instance of the said attorney and John, the sheriff is again commanded to levy of the goods, etc. of David and Agatha in his bailiwick forty seven pounds nineteen shillings and one halfpenny, and to deliver them to the executors in full payment of the debt. And let him summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Trinity.

1308

The sheriff was commanded to levy ten shillings and sixpence of the lands, etc. of Henry Coraunt in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to John Mathew, which Henry in court at Dublin acknowledged that he owed John, and which he ought to have paid him in the octave of the Purification, and which he has not done, and that he should summon him here on this day. And the sheriff did nothing, nor did he send the writ. Therefore he is commanded again in the form aforesaid. And let him summon Henry to appear in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, and let the sheriff be then there to hear sentence.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded to levy one hundred marks of the lands, etc. of William, archbishop of Tuam, Richard son of Peter son of Meiler de Bermengham and Peter son of Meiler de Bermengham, in his bailiwick, and have them here on this day, to pay thereof to Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, assignee of John de Fresingfeld and Johanna his wife ninety marks, and to Thomas de Penkeston and his fellows, clerks, assignees of John and Johanna, ten marks, which were adjudged to them in the court of the late King before Thomas, bishop of Meath, and his fellows, late Justices Itinerant, at Cassell in the county aforesaid, for their damages occasioned by a certain trespass by William, archbishop of Tuam, Richard and Peter, and likewise master Robert de Bermengham, done to Johanna by the rape of Philippa and Elizabeth, her daughters, as was proved by a jury taken before the said Justices, and to summon them to appear on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he took into the King's hand of the goods of the archbishop sixty stud horses worth twenty four marks, one hundred sheep worth six pence each and sixteen oxen worth forty pence each, which he delivered to certain hands because he found no buyers for them, and that he took into the King's hand of the goods of Richard, son of Peter the crop of twenty acres of land sown with wheat, worth three shillings an acre, and that of thirty acres sown with oats, worth two shillings an acre, which likewise he delivered to certain mainpernors because he found no buyers for them, and that he took into the King's hand twenty six pounds and

Connacht

1308 fifteen shillings worth of rent of Richard son of Peter in Glantayg and Connacundunmor from Easter term last, which he inhibited in the hands of the tenants here. And he likewise returned that Peter, son of Meiler has nothing. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that, as well of the goods and rent as of other the lands and chattels of the said archbishop and Richard son of Peter and likewise of Peter son of Meiler in his bailiwick, he cause to be made the said money and deliver it to the earl and Thomas and his fellows, clerks, and that he summon them to appear on the morrow of the Assumption.

m. 57d

28 Apr. YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. i.

Cork The sheriff was again commanded to levy one hundred marks of the lands, etc. of Richard, son of David de Barry of Moyill, Robert, son of Richard Cosyn, Raymund, son of Philip de Rupe and Walter Magnel, in his bailiwick, and deliver to William de Bourn, clerk, assignee of Isabella de Beauver, ten pounds, to John de Patrikchurch, assignee of the said Isabella, one hundred shillings, and to Nicholas, clerk of the said William de Bourn, senior, assignee of the said Isabella, forty shillings, and the rest of the money to deliver to Richard Locard, serjeant pleader, assignee of the said Isabella, which she lately in the court of our late father before John Wogan at Cork recovered against them for damages occasioned by a certain disseisin of tenants in Germakynewer and Atheluskemaleg, and to summon them to be here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that Richard son of David, Robert son of Richard and Raymund son of Philip have nothing, and that he took into the King's hand of the goods and chattels of Walter Magnel four oxen and two afers worth forty pence each and the crop of fifteen acres sown with wheat worth forty pence an acre and the crop of twenty five acres sown with oats worth three shillings an acre, for which goods he has not yet found buyers, and that Walter has no more goods. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that as well of the goods as of other the lands of Walter, Richard, Robert and Reymund he levy the said money and deliver it to William and the rest, and summon them here in the quinzain of Michaelmas.

Dublin John le Waleis of Coillauth acknowledges that he owes the prior of All Saints outside Dublin and brother William of Clonard, canon of the priory, ten shillings which he will pay them on Michaelmas next.

Cork The sheriff was commanded that by the oath of upright and lawful men of his bailiwick he should have valued all the goods, etc. of John, son of Richard de la Puyll, except oxen and cart afers, and likewise the moiety of all his lands and tenements, and deliver them by the same valuation to Geoffrey le Bret, to be held in the name of free tenant according to the statute of our late father, until he had levied thereof one hundred shillings of the seven pounds which Geoffrey, in the court of the late King before John Wogan, had recovered against him, provided nevertheless that the valuers so value the goods, etc. as they would have been willing to receive them if Geoffrey had been unwilling to have them at that valuation, and that he should summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that by the oath etc, he caused to be valued of the goods, etc. of John the crop of fifteen acres sown with wheat, value twenty pence an acre, and fifty acres of arable land valued at threepence and twenty acres . . . valued at one penny an acre, which



goods, etc. he delivered to Philip le Bret, attorney of Geoffrey, to be held in the form aforesaid, and likewise he returned that John has no more goods. And thereupon comes Geoffrey and complains that the sheriff has not delivered to him the said goods, etc. Therefore the sheriff is again commanded to deliver the said goods, etc. to the said Geoffrey to be held in manner aforesaid, and let him summon him here in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and let the sheriff be there to hear his sentence. 1308

m. 58

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 1. 28 Apr.

Robert Rys of Monemehennok, complainant against Richard Burnel, chaplain, of a plea of trespass and carrying away of chattels, gives to the King forty pence for licence to retire from his suit, by the plea of Richard Burnel of twenty pence and of Nicholas le Waleys of Macgaveny of twenty pence, who will discharge him thereof. Kildare

Walter Styward complains against William Waryn of Naas, David Russell and others contained in his complaint, of a plea of trespass. Therefore let him and his pledges be in mercy . . . Afterwards the said mercies are pardoned by Walter Lenfaunt, etc. Kildare

Michael le Gras *v.* Ranulph le Clerk of Swords and Henry de Bodenham of a plea of trespass. The jury say that, whereas Michael by the King's writ lately impleaded Ranulph of one messuage and half a burgage of land in the town of Swerdes in the Bench at Dublin, and afterwards it was agreed between Michael and Ranulph and Henry that Michael should remise and quit claim to Ranulph all his right in the said lands, and thereupon Ranulph gave Michael one farthing as earnest money under a penalty of sixty shillings and assigned Michael a day, to wit, Sunday after the Purification last, for demanding his money at Swerdes for his covenant, and when Ranulph came there on the morrow of the said Sunday Ranulph came to him and requested his sealed writing of release and quit claim according to the covenant thereof made between them, and Micheal was unwilling to do it, wherefore Ranulph at his suit caused Michael to be attached to answer him in the court at Swerdes about the said covenant, and Henry de Bodenham, serjeant of the archbishop there, by reason of the complaint of Ranulph came to Michael to attach him and sought pledges from him, and he replied that he had no pledges there, nor was anyone willing to find pledges for him for this reason, so that Henry inhibited him from leaving the town of Swerdes until he should have found security, and afterwards Henry permitted him to leave on his giving a promise to appear at the court of the archbishop on a certain day assigned to him to answer Ranulph of the said plea. Michael hitherto has not taken care to come to the said court, nor have Ranulph and Henry caused him to be arrested nor waylaid him nor even detained him there for three weeks, as Michael complains against them. Judgment that Michael get nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. Dublin

John Matheu complains against John le Loung of Lyuekan and Richard de Bereford, junior, that they on the day of S. Catherine, 35 Edward I, at Balytermod in the tenement of the bishop of Emly, seized and drove away and did their will with two oxen belonging to John Matheu worth twenty shillings, unjustly, by force and against the peace, to his damage of thirty shillings. And John Matheu complains against Dublin

1308 John le Loung and Richard that they unjustly and against the peace came into the tenement aforesaid in the month of August last and there moved the crop of one and a half perches belonging to John and sown with wheat worth twenty shillings, and that crop took and carried away and did as they liked therewith, to his damage of twenty shillings. And John le Loung and Richard come and defend the force and injury. And Richard says that John Matheu was bound to him in forty six shillings and eight pence, by a recognizance made in the Treasury, Dublin, which he ought to have paid on the day assigned, on which day John Matheu in no way satisfied him thereof, and accordingly Richard sued a writ of the Exchequer against him to the sheriff of the county to levy the said money of his lands, etc. To this writ the sheriff returned that he had taken into the King's hand the goods, etc. of John Matheu for the said debt, for which goods he could find no buyers, and accordingly Richard sued another writ to the sheriff to levy the said money as well of the said goods as of others, to which writ the sheriff replied as above, that he had found no buyers for these goods, and that John Matheu had no more goods. Thereupon Richard came into the Exchequer and sought that these goods should be delivered to him at a reasonable price for the debt, and thereof he had a writ for the same from the Exchequer to the sheriff, who by virtue of that writ by his letters assigned John le Loung, then sub-serjeant in those parts, to deliver to Richard the said goods, etc. in the said manner. And the serjeant by virtue of that writ delivered to Richard the said goods, etc. And in proof that no trespass or injury was done, both John le Loung and Richard call the record of the Barons of the Exchequer, which Barons record that the goods etc. were delivered to Richard by decree of the Exchequer. Judgment that John Matheu take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And John le Loung and Richard are *sine die*.

Dublin

William de Fynglas complains against John de Killyth and Eustace de Glenmethan because, whereas William, at the request of John and Eustace and likewise of John le Seriaunt of Correston, had become their pledge against master William de Whasslebourne of twenty eight marks for a certain tithe which John, Eustace and John had purchased from master William, and John de Killyth and Eustace, together with John le Seriaunt, had thereof covenanted with William de Fynglas that they discharge him against the said master William of the bail aforesaid and would save him harmless thereof, twenty nine crannocks of wheat and oats belonging to William in the haggard, worth four shillings a crannock, were, by the King's writ of the Exchequer, delivered to master William for the said debt, because John de Killith and Eustace and John le Seriaunt had not discharged master William of the said surety, whereof he says that he is injured and has damages to the value of one hundred shillings. And John de Killith and Eustace come and cannot deny this. Judgment that William de Fynglas recover against them the price of the said corn, to wit, one hundred and sixteen shillings, and his damages, which are taxed by the jury thereof held at forty shillings. And John de Killyth and Eustace in mercy.

The same William de Fynglas appears against Philip le Seriaunt of Correston of the said plea. And he did not come. And the sheriff was ordered to attach him to be here on this day to answer William of the said plea. And the sheriff returns that he was attached by Eustace de Glynmethan and Laurence Isaac. Therefore they are in mercy. And the sheriff is ordered to distrain him by all his lands, and to have his body in court on Monday after the month of Easter at Dublin, to answer William of the said plea.

m. 58d

1308

YET OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR ON THE ABOVE  
DAY AND YEAR.

William Alexander, merchant, of Wales, complains against William le Deyer, of St. Patrick's Street, Dublin, that whereas about Mid-Lent last he had sold to William le Deyer woad and other articles necessary for dyeing on the Key at Dublin, for which he ought forthwith to have satisfied him, he unjustly detains twenty eight shillings which he owes for the said merchandise. And William le Deyer comes and cannot deny that he owes William Alexander twenty eight shillings, but says that the contract was made in St. Patrick's Street, which is in the liberty of the archbishop of Dublin, by which it seems to him that he is not bound to answer elsewhere than in the court of the archbishop, and he seeks judgment. And because he cannot deny that he owes William Alexander the said twenty eight shillings which he ought to have paid him about the middle of Lent last, it is considered that William Alexander recover the said money, and his damages, taxed by the court at half a mark. And William le Deyer in mercy for unjust detention. Afterwards William le Deyer found for William Alexander pledges for the said money, viz., John le Waleys and Adam de Naungle. Damages half a mark.

Dublin

William Alexander complains against Thomas le Deyer that Thomas unjustly detains twelve shillings which he ought to have paid him about the middle of Lent for woad and other articles of merchandise which he bought from him on the Key at Dublin. And Thomas comes and says that he owes nothing, because he bought the woad, etc. for the use of another dyer, his master, by his order, and the profit which came therefrom came to the benefit of the dyer his master, by which it seems to him that he is not bound to answer William, as he obtained no advantage therefrom, and he seeks judgment as to whether he ought to be charged therefor for his master. And because Thomas cannot deny that he received the goods of William, so that William sold them to no one else but Thomas, for which goods the said moneys are owed to William, it is considered that William recover the twelve shillings against Thomas, and his damages, which are taxed by the court at forty pence. And Thomas heavily in mercy for unjust detention. Afterwards Thomas found for William pledges for the said money, viz., William le Deyer and John le Waleys. Damages forty pence.

Dublin

Richard le Feure of Rathcoul acknowledges that he has in his custody a cauldron belonging to John Russel of Cromelyn, worth twenty shillings, which he will return on the morrow of S. Peter ad Vincula next, or twenty shillings, at the choice of John, by the plea of John le Mareschal of Rathcoul and Walter Fox.

Dublin

Gilbert Bercelemewe v. David le Wodeward of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that whereas by a certain indenture Gilbert demised to Nicholas Skiby as one acre of land and one of meadow in the town called Bercelemeweston in the tenement of Fynglas for the term of twelve years from Easter 24 Edward I, at one penny a year, and Nicholas dying in the same year bequeathed the farm to Mabilla his wife, who was in seisin thereof, and afterwards in the following year, to wit, 35 Edward I <sup>(1)</sup>, Gilbert forcibly had the said meadow mown, part whereof he sold and part whereof he heaped up in cocks in the meadow, whereupon

Dublin

(1) Dates thus in cal.

1308 Mabilla called for the assistance of David to carry home the hay, and he, at the call of Mabilla, taking with him a cart, rode to the meadow to carry away the hay, carrying on his hand a sparrow hawk, and when that cart was brought up to the cock of hay, immediately there came Gilbert, carrying a big stick in his hand and bringing with him two sons, one having a lance in his hand and the other a bow . . . , to stop the said carting. And immediately Gilbert attacked David, who had got off his horse, and struck him with the stick on his neck so that he fell to the ground. And on his rising again after that stroke one of the sons hit David with a stone on the chest, and David, fearing that he was threatened with danger of death, drew his sword to defend himself. And when again he saw Gilbert approaching him with raised stick, in fear of death he drew his sword against Gilbert's stroke and hit him on the arm in self defence, and had he not done so, and had not a boy of his arrived to his assistance, Gilbert and his two sons would here have killed David. Therefore it is considered that Gilbert take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And David *sine die*. Afterwards the mercy is pardoned by the Justiciar.

m. 59

12 May ESSOINS TAKEN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN ONE MONTH FROM EASTER, a. r. 1.

Connacht Henry de Bermengham, attorney of William, archbishop of Tuam, *v.* the King and the abbess of Casta Silva, of a plea of trespass, by Randulph the clerk.

Maurice Honne, another attorney of the same, by William Fox. To be in court in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist.

Tipperary Richard Mauclerk that he is in the King's service *v.* Robert de Burnham of a plea of *ne vexas* whereof there is record.

Tipperary William, son of Robert de la Sale that he is in the King's service *v.* Henry Laffan of a plea of land whereof there is record, by John Park.

COMMON PLEAS THERE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR THE DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID.

Connacht William, archbishop of Tuam, being warned to be here on this day to hear record of the plea which was in the court of the late King before Robert Bagod and his fellows, late Justices of the Bench, Dublin, between William, petitioner, and Henry Taloun, tenant, of the moiety of one villata in Clonmoledun, by writ of right of the late King, in which record appeared manifest error, as Richard, son and heir of Henry, heavily complaining showed here in court, comes and says that he is not to proceed to read the said record, because he is not tenant of the said tenements nor was he on the day of obtaining Richard's writ for warning him, 6 Dec. in the said year, but he says that one Henry de Bermengham is tenant of the tenements and was on the day of obtaining the writ. And Richard, present here in court, cannot deny this. Therefore the archbishop *sine die*. And Richard sues a new premonition against William and likewise Henry, if he wills.

Limerick The sheriff was ordered to levy nineteen pounds and ten shillings as well of that crop of thirty acres of Thomas Daundon sown with wheat, worth six shillings and eight pence an acre, and that crop of thirty acres of Thomas sown with oats, worth five shillings an acre, and that crop of

twenty acres of John del Isle sown with wheat, worth six shillings and eight pence, which lately he took into the hand of the King and kept in his custody for want of buyers, as he returned to John Wogan, Justiciar, on the morrow of the Assumption last, as of other the lands and chattels of Thomas and John, and likewise of Philip Ulf in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to John de Laghern, which John de Laghern in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Limerick recovered against him, and to summon them before the Justiciar here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he sold three carts . . . . of Thomas Daundon for sixty three shillings and two carts . . . . of Philip Ulf for forty five shillings and two carts . . . . of John del Isle for forty five shillings, which he delivered to John de Laghern. And that for the rest of the debt aforesaid Walter Maunsel, chief serjeant, took into the King's hand of the corn of Thomas the crop of twenty acres sown with wheat and the crop of twenty acres sown with oats, worth forty pence an acre, and the crop of sixteen acres sown with oats belonging to Philip Ulf, worth forty pence an acre, and the crop of sixteen acres belonging to John del Isle sown with oats, worth forty pence an acre, which he handed over to certain men to take charge of because he had found no buyers for them. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that he levy eleven pounds seventeen shillings, as well of those goods as of other the lands, etc. of Thomas, John and Philip, in his bailiwick, and deliver them to John de Laghern, being the arrears of the said nineteen pounds ten shillings. And let him summon them to be in court in the quinzaine of Michaelmas.

1308

The Chancellor is commanded to cause to be made to the Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Exchequer, Dublin, a writ of *liberate* of the Treasurer to John de Dene, clerk, assigned to pay for the wages of men at arms, hobelers and foot soldiers, being at Newcastle McYnegan and elsewhere beside the Leinster mountains to resist the craft of the Irish felons of those parts, one hundred pounds for the wages of those so stationed there on the King's service. Dated at Dublin, 18 June of the above year.

McYnegan

m. 59d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN ONE MONTH  
FROM EASTER IN THE ABOVE YEAR.

12 May

The sheriff was commanded to levy two marks of the lands, etc. of John Balygodman in his bailiwick and to deliver them to John de Kylleth, which John Balygodman in court before John Wogan at Dublin acknowledged that he owed, and to summon him to be here in the quinzaine of Easter. And the sheriff returned that he had taken into the King's hand the crop of eight acres of John Balygodman sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, for which he has found no buyers. And thereupon comes John de Kylleth and asks that that crop may be delivered to him at a reasonable price. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to deliver the said crop to John at a reasonable price in full payment of said debt. And let him summon him to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas.

Dublin

m. 60

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN ONE MONTH  
FROM EASTER, a. r. 1.

12 May

Thomas, bishop of Meath, was commanded to send the Justiciar here the record of a plea which was before Simon de Ludegate and his

Tipperary

1308 fellows, Justices of the late King of the Bench at Dublin, by his writ, between Hugh, son of John Purcel, petitioner, and Nesta, who was the wife of Hugh de Rupeforte, tenant of one messuage and two carucates in Daraghgenrethan, and also the record of the same plea before Richard de Exon and his fellows, Justices of the late King of the said Bench, by his writ, afterwards held, and also the record of the same plea before Thomas Misse and his fellows, Justices Itinerant of the late King, by his writ, and he sent them here in these words:—

Pleas of juries and assizes before Simon de Ludegate and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, Dublin, Robert de Littleburi and his fellows, Justices of the said Bench, Richard de Exon and his fellows, Justices of the said Bench, from the quinzaine of S. Hilary, 30 Edward I, continued to the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, 33 Edward I. and Thomas, bishop of Meath and his fellows, Justices Itinerant, at Cassel, Co. Tipperary, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, 33 Edward I, continued to the feast of S. Hilary, 34 Edward I.

Essoins taken at Dublin in the quinzaine of S. Hilary, 30 Edward I. before Simon de Ludegate and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, Dublin, Hugh, son of John Purcel *v.* Nesta, wife of Hugh de Rupeforte, of a plea of land, by John Spoyt. The same Nesta by Roger Don.

On the morrow of S. John Baptist. Pleas there before the same on the morrow of S. John Baptist in the said year. A day is given to Hugh and Nesta to appear here on the morrow of All Souls at the prayer of the parties.

Pleas before Robert de Littleburi and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, Dublin, on the morrow of All Souls, 30 Edward I. Hugh *v.* Nesta of the same plea. Nesta came and sought to have view thereof. A day is given to them to be here in the quinzaine of Easter.

Essoins taken before Richard de Exon and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, Dublin, in the quinzaine of Easter, 31 Edward I. John le Cornwaleis, attorney of Nesta, *v.* Hugh, by Laurence, son of Hugh. Richard the clerk, the other attorney of the same, by John Jak, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas.

Pleas there before Richard de Exon and his fellows in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, 31 Edward I. A day is given to Hugh Purcel by his attorney and Nesta in the octave of S. Hilary at the prayer of the parties, without essoin.

Pleas there before Richard de Exon, etc. in the octave of S. Hilary, 32 Edward I. A day is given to Hugh Purcel and Nesta in the quinzaine of Easter, at the prayer of the parties.

Pleas there before the same in the quinzaine of Easter, 32 Edward I. A day is given to Hugh Purcel and Nesta here on the morrow of S. John Baptist, without essoin.

Pleas there before the same on the morrow of S. John Baptist, 32 Edward I. Hugh *v.* Nesta, that Hugh Purcel, grandfather of said Hugh, son of John, whose heir he is, gave the said lands to Philip, son of Philip de Barry, and Sibilla his wife and the heirs of their bodies, and that after the death of Philip and Sibilla they should revert to Hugh, son of John, according to the form of the said gift, as Philip and Sibilla died without heirs. And Nesta by her attorney comes and says that she is not full tenant of the said tenement, by the ten acres which John de Ruperforte holds, and she prays that an inquiry be held. And Hugh says that she is full tenant, and likewise prays that an inquiry be held. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury of twelve. And they came here in the octave of S. Martin.

1308

Essoins taken before the same in the octave of S. Martin, 32 Edward I. John le Cornwaleis, attorney of Nesta *v.* Hugh, son of John Purcel, by Laurence, son of Hugh. Richard the clerk, the other attorney of the same, in one month from Easter . . . . A jury is called. And none came. Therefore let the sheriff have their bodies.

Pleas there before the same in one month from Easter, 33 Edward I. Hugh, son of John Purcel *v.* Nesta. The jury to inquire if Nesta was full tenant of one messuage and two carucates in Daraghkenrethan, as Hugh asserts, or if John de Rocheford holds of the said tenements ten acres in that town, remains to be taken in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, as Henry Haket, sheriff, has not returned the writ of *habeas corpus* directed to him. Therefore the sheriff is commended to summon twelve men, and *tot et tales*, here on the said day.

Pleas held there before the same in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, 33 Edward I. The jury to inquire as above remains to be taken till the quinzaine of S. Martin, for default of jurors. And let the sheriff have their bodies, and *tot et tales*. And the sheriff, Henry Haket, in mercy, because he came not at the King's command before the justices here. And afterwards, by proclamation of the *iter*, came the parties before the Justices here. And the jurors say upon oath that Nesta holds in full the said tenements in the cause against her. Therefore it is considered that Hugh recover his seisin against Nesta. And Nesta in mercy for unjust detention.

And at the suit of Nesta, complaining that in the said record manifest error has intervened, Robert, son of Tancard de Barry, who now holds the said tenements, being warned that he should appear, together with Hugh, son of John Purcel, to hear the said record, now comes. And Nesta complains that the Justices erred in that, whereas before the Justices of the Bench on the morrow of All Souls, 30 Edward I, she prayed for a view, the Justices appointed a day in the quinzaine of Easter, when by common law and certain assignation of days of pleas in the Bench they should have assigned her a day in the octave of Trinity. And likewise they erred in that, whereas in the quinzaine of Easter a certain John le Cornwaleis, attorney of Nesta, was essoined against Hugh Purcel, no mention was made against which Hugh Purcel, since there are several, and especially two are named in the original writ, viz., Hugh Purcel and Hugh, son of John Purcel, and so it seems that the essoin was . . . . against Hugh Purcel, devisee of the tenements, than against Hugh, son of John, who brought the original writ, and consequently by allowing the said essoin when there was no mention of Hugh, son of John, the petitioner, that plea between Hugh, son of John, petitioner, and Nesta remained *sine die*. And likewise the Justices erred in that, whereas in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, 31 Edward I, also in the octave of S. Hilary, 32 Edward I, and also in the quinzaine of Easter next following, it is contained that a day was given to Hugh Purcel by his attorney, complainant, and Nesta, at the prayer of the parties, no mention being made of Hugh son of John Purcel, petitioner, whereby in all these days the said plea between Hugh, son of John Purcel and Nesta was totally discontinued, yet the said Justices on the morrow of S. John Baptist following had proceeded in further holding the plea thereof without warrant, especially when the original writ by such discontinuance had been . . . . and the power of the Justices wholly expired. And she prays that the said errors may be corrected and justice shown her.

And Robert says that they should not proceed to read and correct

1308 the said record without Hugh, son and heir of said Hugh, son of John Purcel, because he says that the original writ was between Hugh, son of John Purcel, and Nesta, and in the writ for making premonition it is thus contained:—"Summon Hugh Purcel, son and heir of the said Hugh Purcel", and likewise to the said Robert, son of Tancard de Barry who now holds the tenements there was no mention made of Hugh, son of John Purcel, where in the original writ are named two Hughs, to wit, Hugh, son of John Purcel, and Hugh Purcel, his grandfather, so that it cannot be known by this kind of premonition if Hugh, son and heir of Hugh Purcel the grandfather, or Hugh, son and heir of Hugh, son of John Purcel, ought to have been warned.

And Nesta cannot deny this. Therefore Robert thereof *sine die*. And Nesta sues against Hugh, son and heir of Hugh, son of John Purcel, and the said Robert, if she wishes . . . in the quinzaine of Michaelmas at Dublin before William de Burgo, *locum tenens* of the Justiciar . . . comes and says that he ought not to proceed to the recitation and correction. . . .

m. 60d

Nesta appears against Hugh, son and heir of Hugh, son of John Purcel, of a plea that he be here to hear the said record together with Robert. And he did not come, and otherwise was essoined, after that he had been warned, and had a day here for his essoin. Therefore let it proceed to the recitation and correction against him by his default. Afterwards on that day . . . the sheriff did nothing, nor did he send the writ. Therefore the sheriff is again commanded to summon Johanna to appear in the octave of S. Hilary to hear the record, together with Robert. And the sheriff to be there and hear his sentence. The same day is given to Robert *in Banco*.

Afterwards in the octave of Trinity at Dublin, before John Wogan, Justiciar, came Nesta as well as Robert and Johanna<sup>(1)</sup>, who had been premonished. And because, on inspecting the writ by which Johanna had been premonished, it was found that there is no mention in the writ of the record of the plea held before Robert de Littlebury etc., and so she is not sufficiently premonished to hear the said record, therefore let her be at present *sine die*. And at the instance of the complainant the sheriff is commanded to summon Johanna, who holds the third part, to appear in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist to hear the said record, together with Robert. The same day is given to Robert *in Banco*.

Afterwards on that day at Tristledermot came Nesta and Robert by their attorneys, and Johanna by Milo Purcel made her essoin, and (said) that the writ by which she was premonished is defective, in that at the end of it is said, T. J. Wogan, Justiciar of Ireland etc., 11 June, without saying 11th day of June. Therefore she was essoined *sine die*. And at the instance of the complainant the sheriff is commanded to summon Johanna to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas to hear the record, together with Robert. The same day is given to Robert by his attorney *in Banco*. And be it known that in one month from Easter, Robert and Johanna will be essoined of the King's service and will have a day here by their essoiners in the octave of Trinity next.

Afterwards in the quinzaine of S. Hilary at Drogheda came Robert in his own person and Nesta by her attorney, who say that besides the errors formerly assigned, the Justices erred in that, whereas the parties appeared before the Justices of the Bench in one month from Easter,

(1) For John de Rocheford?



33 Edward I, the Justices prefixed them a day in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, when they should have prefixed them a day in one month from Michaelmas. And likewise they complained that the Justices erred in this, that in the said quinzaine of S. John they prefixed, as well to the parties as to the jury of the country on which Nesta placed herself in that plea, the quinzaine of Martinmas. And when the said plea came before the Justices Itinerant by proclamation of the *iter*, they proceeded to take the said jury, without the jurors coming by summons before the adjournment of the Justices of the Bench. And they pray that these errors, as well as the errors before assigned, may be corrected and justice done them. 1308

And Robert says that the Justices proceeded rightly. And as to the first error assigned, that the parties were adjourned from the morrow of All Saints till the quinzaine of Easter, that prefixing was made according to the common custom of days *in Banco*. And as to the second error of essoin alleged on Nesta's behalf and allowed against Hugh Purcel, who was party to the petition in the plea, he says that Nesta ought not to be allowed to assign such an error, both because she alleges her own default and because it seems to be the fault of the clerk rather than the error of the Justices, which appears manifest by this, that Nesta appeared on the day given her by her essoin and freely pleaded, and consequently accepted the adjournment rightly made. And as to the other three errors assigned, of this, that there is found in the record . . . a day is given to Hugh Purcel, petitioner, and Nesta etc., by the prayer of the parties, it is sufficiently found that that day was given to Hugh, son of John Purcel, for this reason, that the word *petitioner* is used, and that there was no other petitioner except Hugh, son of John Purcel. And as to the error assigned of the adjournment made *in Banco* from the month of Easter, 33 Edward I, to the quinzaine of S. John, the Justices rightly proceeded, and especially for this reason, that Nesta was in no way aggrieved by the adjournment, nor sustained any damage, because she, by accepting the adjournment, appeared freely in the quinzaine of S. John and freely pleaded, but if she in that quinzaine had made default by which she would have lost her seisin, then she could have taken an action to annul the said record, because she was damaged by the adjournment. And to the last error assigned, to wit, of the jury taken before the Justices Itinerant, he says that the Justices rightly proceeded, because by the proclamation of the *iter* both the parties and the jury had a day appointed before them, so that it was not necessary in the *iter* to make any other solemnity of law in this case than in the written record *de Banco* sent to them to exact the parties and the jury. And if the parties and the jurors had been present they would have had nothing else to do than to proceed to the taking of the jury, and further to return their judgment according to the verdict of the jury.

Afterwards in the quinzaine of Michaelmas at Dublin came the said parties. And because, on inspecting and reading the record, it appears to the court here that Robert has made a sufficiently full answer to the errors assigned on the part of Nesta, it appears to the court here that, as to the adjournment of the essoin . . . for Nesta after the view against Hugh Purcel, no mention being made of Hugh, son of John Purcel, who was a party to the petition, that defect is rather the fault of the scribe than an error of the court, and also Nesta, who in this alleges her own default, in . . . of the said essoin, freely appeared on the day given her by her essoin and freely pleaded, accepting the said adjournment. And as to this, that there is found in the record, "a day is given to Hugh Purcel, complainant, and Nesta etc.," the court does not lay

1308 much stress on this, because there was no other complainant in the writ except Hugh, son of John Purcel, and so Hugh Purcel, complainant, and Hugh, son of John Purcel, are the same. And as to the errors assigned, of certain days being given to the parties different from the form of the days common in the Bench at Westminster, the court also does not lay much stress on this, nor does the court think this a reason for annulling the said record, both because Nesta, accepting such an adjournment, appeared on the day given her and freely pleaded, making no charge on this point, and because such an assignment of common days *in Banco* is not derived from ancient law, nor is it a King's statute, but is an ordinance of the Justices of the Bench at Westminster, which, although useful, it cannot be thought that the present King or any of his ancestors have ever commanded the said ordinance to be observed in this land, and, on inspection of the rolls of the Bench aforesaid from the time of Robert Bagod and others, Justices of the Bench, to the time of the said Robert, it is ascertained that the said Justices at their discretion are wont to adjourn the parties pleading before them as they may deem expedient. And also that it appears clearly to the court here that there is not any error in the record which is a reason for annulling the said record. Therefore it is considered that Nesta take nothing by her plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And Robert (<sup>1</sup>)

m. 61

12-24 YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN ONE  
May MONTH FROM EASTER, a. r. 1, CONTINUED TILL THE MORROW OF  
THE ASCENSION FOLLOWING, AT NAAS, AS FROM DAY TO DAY.

Tipperary

Philip, son of Matheu appeared against Stephen Terry, of a plea to be here on this day to hear the record and judgment of the plea which was in the court of the late King before John Wogan, between the said Philip and Stephen, that Stephen, together with Gilbert Droill, should be in court before the Justiciar to hear the record of the plea which was in the court of the late King before Thomas, bishop of Meath and his fellows, Justices last Itinerant, at Cassell, between Stephen, petitioner, and Philip, tenant by the writ of the late King of one messuage, one mill, one carucate of land, three acres of meadow, one acre of wood and thirty acres of moor, in Morathbiran, in which record error intervened, as it is asserted, so that the plea should be here and now in the same state in which it was in the court of the late King before his Justiciar in the octave of Trinity last, and which afterwards by the death of the King remained *sine die*. And he did not come. And the sheriff was ordered to summon Stephen to be here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he summoned Stephen by Thomas Jordan, Richard, son of Stephen, Henry Mayow and John Waryn. Therefore let him proceed to the reading and correction of the record by his default, which record was sent to John Wogan, Justiciar of the late King, in these words:—

Pleas of juries and assizes before Thomas, bishop of Meath, assigned in place of Walter Lenfaunt, who was elsewhere by the King's command, Walter de la Haye, John de Ponte, Alexander de Bikenore and William Alisaundre, Justices Itinerant, at Cassell in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, 33 Edward I, continued to the morrow of S. Hilary, 34 Edward I, Tipperary. Stephen Tirry complains against Philip, son of Matheu Maunsel, of one messuage, one mill, one carucate of land, three acres of meadow, one acre of wood and thirty acres of moor, in Moyrathbryan,

(<sup>1</sup>) Note in cal., "The last line is undecipherable."

as his right and that of Christiana, who was the wife of John Droill, held in dower of the gift of John, her late husband, by the assignment of Walter Tirry, and which, after the death of Christiana, ought to have reverted to Stephen, kinsman and heir of Walter, by reason of the said assignment. And Philip comes and defends the right, and calls to warranty Mathew Maunsel. Let him have him here before the Justices in one month from Easter for the assistance of the court, and his summoners in the county of Dublin. On which day Stephen appeared against Philip in the said plea. And Philip did not come, so that then the sheriff was commanded to take the tenements into the King's hand and to summon him to be before the Justices here on the morrow of the Ascension to hear his sentence. On which day the sheriff returned that he had done so. And Stephen and Philip come, and Stephen holds strictly to the default of Philip, which he made in the month of Easter last, and Philip says that the default ought not to harm him, because he says that Mathew Maunsel, whom Philip calls to warranty, made his essoin against Stephen in the quinzaine of Easter last, and a day was given to them on the morrow of the Ascension by his essoiner, on which day of essoin Stephen appeared and accepted the essoin, and took the said day, whereby he says that the default ought not to harm him. And Stephen says that both he and Philip had a day in the month of Easter, and that however much Mathew had got himself essoined in the quinzaine of Easter, by that essoin before the day prefixed to them the default cannot be saved. And he prayed Philip to say if he knew anything else to save the default. And Philip says that what he has said is sufficient for him to save the default. Whereupon comes Mathew, son and heir of Philip, and says that the tenements were given to Philip Maunsel and Sarah his wife and the heirs of their body, and that he is son and heir of Philip, to whom the tenements ought to descend, and prays to be admitted to defend his right. And Stephen says that Mathew ought not to be admitted, because Philip is still alive. And afterwards Stephen remitted to Philip the said default. And Philip comes and defends his right, and says that Walter did not assign to Christiana the said tenements in dower, and asks that it be inquired of by the country, and Stephen likewise. Therefore let a jury be called. The jurors say on oath that Walter assigned the tenements to Christiana in dower, and that she died before the coronation. Afterwards, on that *iter* being continued till the morrow of S. Hilary, 35 Edward I, came Stephen and Philip before the Justices. And because the jury decided that Christiana held the tenements in dower by the assignment of Walter, and Philip cannot deny that Stephen is the next heir of Walter, it is considered that Stephen recover his seisin, and Philip in mercy for unjust detention.

And Philip complains that the Justices erred in that, whereas Mathew, whom Philip called to warranty, in the quinzaine of Easter, got himself essoined against Philip, which essoin was an adjournment to the morrow of the Ascension by the Justices, and was accepted by Stephen, to whom the same day had been given, nevertheless the Justices, in one month from Easter, having no regard to the adjournment, decided that the said land be taken into the King's hand by his default in the said month from Easter, and that Philip be summoned to appear before the Justices on the morrow of the Ascension to hear his sentence. And likewise he complains that the Justices erred in that, whereas Mathew, claiming the reversion of the tenements, came before them on the morrow of the Ascension and prayed to be allowed to defend his right according to the form of the statute, they proceeded to hold the plea between Stephen and Philip without first discussing whether Mathew should be admitted to defend his right. And likewise he complains that the Justices erred

1308 in proceeding on the morrow of the Ascension to hold the said plea between Stephen and Philip without first proceeding in the plea of warranty between Philip and Mathew, who had the same day by essoin. And likewise he complains that the Justices erred in that, whereas it had been ascertained by a jury of the country taken between them by consent of the parties that though Walter assigned to Christiana . . . the said tenements in dower, nevertheless it was ascertained by the jury that Christiana died before the coronation, whereby the Justices ought to have decided that Stephen should take nothing by his writ, yet the Justices, having no regard to the limitation of the writ, decided the contrary, namely, that Stephen should recover seisin. And he prays that these errors may be corrected.

And though Gilbert de Droill, who now holds the tenements, otherwise, to wit, in the octave of Trinity, 35 Edward I, made default, whereby it was then decided that the case should proceed to the reading and correction by his default, yet Gilbert, who is a minor, is allowed to speak . . . (!). And he says that the Justices rightly proceeded, because he says that whatever was said in the said quinzaine of Easter of the said essoin is all vain and not of the substance of this plea, as appears on inspection of the record, in which is contained that the parties had their day in a month from Easter and not in the said quinzaine. And because Philip then made default the tenements were taken into the King's hand, and he was summoned to appear on the morrow of the Ascension to hear his sentence, as is customary. And though Stephen could have then recovered by judgment of the court, yet he freely renounced the said default and pleaded with the assent of Philip to the action, nor was it necessary that Mathew should be admitted to defend his right, since Stephen did not hold to that default, and Philip freely pleaded to the action of Stephen, as appears on inspection of the record. And as to the last error that Stephen assigned, namely, that it was ascertained by the jury that Christiana died before the coronation, he says that that issue was made indistinctly, without mention being made of any limitation in time, and according to that issue the jurors gave their verdict namely, that Walter clearly demised the tenements to Christiana in dower, but nevertheless after the said verdict was received the Justices further inquired if Christiana died before the coronation or no, as appears in the said record, where it is said that the jurors were asked if Christiana died before the coronation, the Justices in giving their judgment ought to have had regard to the reply made to that question, which was not of that issue.

And afterwards in the quinzaine of Michaelmas at Dublin came the parties before William de Burgo, lieutenant of the Justiciar, namely Gilbert by John le Flemeng, his guardian given him by the court, and Philip in his own person. And a day is given them to hear the sentence in the quinzaine of S. Hilary. On which day Philip did not appear. Therefore let him be in mercy. And Gilbert *sine die*.

m. 61d

Limerick

It is granted that Master William de Sengleton have by the King's collation the prebend of Kochyr', vacant by the death of M. Odywyrk and belonging to the King's collation by reason of the temporalities of the see of Killaloe, lately vacant, being in the King's hand. Therefore the Chancellor is commanded that letters patent of the King be made for William of the said collation in the accustomed form.

(1) Marginal note:—" pro statu curiae."

m. 62

1308

ESSOINS TAKEN AT THE NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON THE MORROW  
OF THE ASCENSION, a. r. 1.

24 May

William Peny, attorney of Richard, son of Richard de Tuyt, *v.* John Tyrel, of a plea of trespass, whereof a jury by Richard Mannyng. The said William, attorney of Robert Gerard, of the same. Godefrey de Hereford of the same. Hugh de Lythe of the same.

Dublin

BEFORE ETC. IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY.

A jury is called, but none comes, and the sheriff does nothing nor has his writ here. Therefore he is commanded again. And let the sheriff be then here to hear his sentence.

COMMON PLEAS THERE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR IN THE DAY AND  
YEAR AFORESAID<sup>(1)</sup>.

The sheriff was commanded to inquire by the oaths of upright and lawful men whether it be to the loss or prejudice of the King or others if the King grants to Milo le Poer and Egidia his wife licence to give and assign one messuage, one mill, . . . acres of land, twenty acres of meadow, forty acres of wood and two hundred acres of moor on Blakehalle in Arst to John, son of John, son of Thomas, to hold in chief for ever by the services due and accustomed, and if so, what loss, and to send that inquisition to the Justiciar here under his seal. And the sheriff sent here the inquisition in these words:—

Kildare

Inquisition taken at the Naas on Saturday after the Ascension, a. r. 1, before Hugh Canoun, sheriff of Kildare, by John le Bret, Adam Seys, Adam son of Adam, Reginald Duff, Richard Holwey, John son of Stephen Taueny, David Mannyng, John le Waleis, William le Irreis, Nicholas Sampson, Adam son of Adam le Stabeler and Alan de Watterton. Which jurors say on oath that it is not to the prejudice or loss of the King or anyone else to permit the above, but rather to the advantage of the King and others. Therefore it is granted that Milo and Egidia may make the said assignment.

John son of Adam, Maurice son of Adam, Ralph Neweman, Roger son of Robert, William son of Ralph, Adam Roth, Walter son of Elias, John son of Philip and Simon son of Adam acknowledge that they owe William de Sully twenty pounds, which they will pay him forthwith.

Kildare

The sheriff was commanded to summon Hugh Purcel, knight, and Philip son of Hugh, to appear here on this day to show cause why the four hundred pounds which they acknowledged that they owed William de Saint Leodegar in the court of the late King before Thomas, bishop of Meath etc. at Cassell, and which they ought to have paid on Saturday after the Purification, 35 Edward I, but have not paid, should not be levied of their lands, etc. to the use of William. And the sheriff now returns that Hugh Purcel is dead. And it is attested in court here that he has one Hugh as his son and heir. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to summon Hugh, son and heir of the said Hugh, to appear in the octave of Trinity, to show cause why the money should not be levied of his lands, etc. and those of Philip to the use of William, if he should think it expedient. And let the sheriff have their names. On which day William de St. Ledger appeared against Hugh, son and heir of Hugh. And he

Tipperrary

<sup>(1)</sup> The text does not make it clear what date is meant.

1308 did not come. And the sheriff returned that he had summoned him by Reginald Bretnagh, Simon le Fougheler, John de la Fenne and Maurice de la Fenne. Therefore let execution proceed against him.

The said William by his attorney appeared on the fourth day against Philip son of Hugh, of the plea aforesaid. And he did not come. And the sheriff was ordered to summon him to be here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he summoned him to be here by William Tyrel, John Tirel, John Bretnagh and Robert Druhille. Therefore let execution proceed against him, which remains in suspense till Hugh, son and heir of Hugh, shall have been premonished.

m. 62d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN THE DAY AND  
YEAR ABOVE MENTIONED.

Tipperary It is granted by the Justiciar that Peter de Cauntewell and John le Cornwaleis be guardians of Gilbert Droyll, a minor, to sue a record for him in a plea of land.

Wodhous It is agreed and ordained by the Justiciar and Treasurer that Richard de Wodehouse, keeper of the office of chief engrosser of the Exchequer, Dublin, for his great and divers labours executed in the said office, may have and receive from the Treasury seven pounds a year as others in the said office are wont to receive beyond the daily accustomed fee.

Dublin On hearing the petition of John de Patrikchurche laid before the Justiciar here, the Chancellor and Treasurer and others of the King's Council, alleging that he had made estreats from the rolls of the Justiciar here for the last four years without receiving anything for doing so, as other clerks were accustomed to receive in past times for making estreats, it is agreed that he have for his said labour one hundred shillings, which he may receive out of any fine made before the Justiciar here and not yet put in the estreats. And John elects to take the hundred shillings by which William le Whyte of Balyrotheri made fine, as appears in the rolls of pleas of the crown, Hilary term last past. Therefore the said hundred shillings are not put in the estreats, but let the sheriff of Dublin levy them to the use of John for his labour.

Dublin The sheriff was commanded to levy of the lands etc. of William le Blound of Balirothery in his bailiwick a hundred shillings, by which William made fine with the King for trespass, and to deliver them to John de Patrikchurche, clerk, which are assigned to him for estreats of the rolls of John Wogan, Justiciar, made in the last four years. And if the lands, etc. of William are not sufficient, then to levy the money of the lands, etc. of Robert Daundoun, Luke de Belyng, Robert Daueny, Roger Mole, Robert de Belyng and Henry de Belyng, his pledges, in his bailiwick, and to deliver it to John in manner aforesaid, and that he should summon them before the Justiciar on the morrow of Trinity. On which day the sheriff returned that the bailiff of the liberty of S. Sepulchre had been ordered to execute the said mandate. He replied that for the said debt he had taken into the King's hand the crop of twenty acres of William le Blound sown with wheat, worth five shillings an acre, and the crop of twenty acres of William sown with oats, value four shillings an acre, for which goods he had not yet found buyers, and that William had no more or other goods, and that he had taken into the King's hand two oxen of Luke de Belynges, value one mark, two cows, value ten shillings, and three young oxen, value ten shillings, and two

afers of Robert Daueny, value one mark, two cows, value one mark, and twelve sheep, value one mark, and two oxen of Henry de Belynges, value one mark, one cow, value one mark, and sixteen sheep, value one mark, for which he had found no buyers. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to levy the money as well of the said goods of William as of others, and to deliver it to John, and if the lands, etc. of William are not sufficient, then that he levy the balance as well of the goods of Luke, Robert and Henry now taken as of others, and likewise of Robert Daundon, Roger Mole and Robert de Belyng, his pledges, in his bailiwick and deliver them to John. And let him summon them to appear in the octave of S. John Baptist. 1308

The Chancellor is commanded to cause a writ of *liberate* to be made to the Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Exchequer, Dublin, for John Cartmel, jun., and Thomas de Ulveston, appointed as the King's purveyors of food at Drogheda on both sides of the water, of three hundred pounds for buying corn and wine and other victuals and sending them to Skymburnes for the King's expedition of war in the parts of Scotland. Dated at Dublin, 24 May, in the above year.

The Chancellor is commanded to cause a writ of *liberate*, etc., for John de Stratton, constable of Newcastle McKyngan, of thirty pounds for the wages of men at arms, hobellars and footmen stationed there to resist the malice of the Irish felons of those parts invading both the castle and the town there and the adjacent places.

William de Marisco appears on the fourth day against Henry de Bermengham, Reymund de Bermengham, Howel Benet, Walter Benet and Gylmelo O Laghtnan, of a plea that they should appear here on this day to show cause why they attacked William at . . . by force of arms, and him there (1). Tipperary

On hearing the petition of James, the King's gaoler in his castle of Strothir, complaining that the prison there is falling to pieces, unroofed and not well shut, so that no prisoners can be kept there without the possibility of their escape, it is agreed and granted by the Justiciar and Council that the gaoler have a writ from the Chancery to the sheriff of Connacht to repair the prison, and the reasonable . . . which he shall apply to this purpose the King will cause to be allowed him on his account. Connacht

## m. 63

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, FRIDAY THE MORROW OF THE ASCENSION, a. r. 1. 24 May

Geoffrey, son of Eustace appeared against John Craddock and Henry de Sarsfeld of a plea of trespass. And they did not come. And the sheriff was commanded to attach them and have them here on this day to answer Geoffrey of the said plea. And the sheriff returned that John Craddock was attached by Henry le Waleis and William Cosyn, and Henry by Walter Arthur and Mathew de Craddockstown. Therefore let them be in mercy. And the sheriff is commanded to distrain them by all their lands, etc., and to have their bodies before the court on Wednesday after Ascension, to answer Geoffrey of the said plea and to hear their sentence. Kildare

(1) Cal. has note:—"The entry stops here. The whole entry has been struck out and marked 'vacat'".

1308  
Kildare It is decided by the jury on which John Foughel and Matilda his wife, complainants, and Richard le Gras placed themselves on a plea of trespass, that Richard did not detain a horse worth ten marks belonging to John and Matilda, which Matilda, who had been married to John, had pledged to Richard for twenty shillings, but that that horse came into the hands of Roger de la Hide by the consent of Matilda, by the bond Roger entered into to Richard to pay him the said twenty shillings. Therefore it is decided that John and Matilda take nothing by their complaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And Richard *sine die*.

Kildare It is decided by the jury upon which Richard le Gras, complainant, and Hugh de la Hide placed themselves on a plea of trespass, that Hugh, on the eve of All Saints last at Tipperary, did not take from Richard a horse worth five marks by force of arms and against the will of Richard, as Richard complains. Therefore it is decided that Richard take nothing etc., but be etc. And Hugh *sine die*.

Dublin Robert Pratt acknowledges that he owes Hugh de la Felde forty shillings, which he will pay him at the will of Hugh, by the plea of Robert Waspayl and Richard de Kyrkerby.

Dublin Nicholas Goldyng, complainant, against William Bek, of a plea of raiding and detaining cattle, gives the King twenty pence for licence of agreement, by the plea of William Bek, who will acquit him thereof by the plea of John Bek and William de Uriel.

## m. 64

24 May ESSOINS TAKEN AT THE NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON FRIDAY THE MORROW OF THE ASCENSION, a. r. 1.

Kildare William le Deveneys *v.* William, son of William Geydon, of a plea of assize of mort d'ancestor. By Richard Fot.

PLEAS OF JURIES AND ASSIZES THERE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR ON THE DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID.

Kildare The sheriff was commanded (1).

The assize came to recognise whether Michael Talbot and Agnes his wife and Edmund le Botiller unjustly disseised David, son of David de Offynton of his free tenement in Baly Keuan in Lethteok, whereof he complains that he disseised him of one acre of land. And Michael does not come, but one Robert de Alengowe answers for him. And Agnes and Edmund come. And Robert says for Michael and others that there ought not to be an assize thereof between them. He also says that, whereas David supposes by his writ that Balekeuan is in Lethteok, it is a town separate by itself and not in Lethteok. And because that assize was arranged before Richard de Exon and Robert Bagod, and David did not bring with him an open writ, therefore Michael and the rest *sine die*.

Kildare The assize came to recognize whether Simon de Flatesbury, Walter Elyot, Alice his wife, Maurice Adam, Locard le Monner, Ivor le Monner, Adam le Monner, John his son and John, brother of the said John, William son of John, John Roth, William son of Ralph, Calvagh son of Philip Ouweyn, Richard Keppok, Roger Pederyn, John le Mille Wardessone of the More, John le Joefne, John le Monner, Thomas Clare, William son of Ralph Neweman, Adam Roth, Walter Couherde, Isolde the Welle, Nicholas son of John Ouweyn, Robert Prustsone and Mabilla his

(1) Entry unfinished and note added:—"Similar writ earlier in these pages."



1308

wife, Simon Adam, Johanna the Prustes maid, Agnes the Prestes daughter, Alicia Johan Adams maid and Sibilla Tyrel unjustly disseised William de Suyly of his free tenement in Joneston near the Naas against the peace. And thereof he complains that they disseised him of forty shillings worth of rent. And Walter Elyot, Alice his wife, Maurice Adam, Locard le Monner, Ivor le Monner, Adam le Monner, John his son, William son of John, Calvagh son of Philip, John le Monner, Isolda Welle, Robert Prustsone and Mabel his wife, Johanna the Prustesmayd and Alicia, Johan Adams maid do not come nor have they a bailiff. And Walter Elyot and Alice his wife were attached by Maurice Adam and Locard le Monner. And Maurice Adam was attached by Locard le Monner and Ivor le Monner. And Locard le Monner was attached by Adam and Ivor le Monner, Ivor le Monner by Locard le Monner and Maurice Adam, Adam le Monner by Ivor le Monner and Maurice Adam, John son of Adam le Monner by Adam and Ivor le Monner, William son of John by Maurice Adam and Ivor le Monner, Calvagh son of Philip by William son of Ralph and John Roth, John le Monner by Thomas Clare and William son of Ralph, Robert Prestsone and Mabilla his wife by Nicholas son of Philip and Simon Adam. Therefore let them be in mercy, and let an assize be taken against them by their default. And Simon de Flatesbury, John Roth, William son of Ralph, Richard Keppok, Roger Pederyn, John le Millewardessone, John le Joeven, Thomas Clare, William son of Ralph, Adam Roth, Walter le Couherd, Nicholas son of Philip, Simon Adam, Agnes the Prestes daughter and Sibilla Tyrel come. And all except Simon de Flatesbury say that they have nothing and claim nothing and that they did no injury or disseisin to him thereof. And of this they put themselves on the assize. And Simon answers as tenant and says that the town of Joneston is his free tenement, and that he granted to William de Suyly forty shillings yearly rent to be received from his tenement for the life of William, and he never hindered him from receiving it or from distraining in the said town for it, nor in any other way did he disseise him. And of this he puts himself on the assize. Therefore let the assize be taken. And Stephen Kermerdyn and William Cornebille, his recognitors, do not appear. Therefore let them be in mercy.

The jurors say on oath that Simon gave William de Suyly forty shillings of yearly rent from Joneston for life, and at will of Simon, William came to Joneston and distrained there for twenty shillings which were in arrears from Easter term last. And Walter Elyot and all the others except Simon de Flatesbury, Adam le Monner, William son of Ralph, Roger Pederyn and John le Joeven by force of arms rescued from William the distraint which he had made there, to wit, two afers. Therefore it is considered that William recover against them his seisin of forty shillings yearly rent by view of recognition, and his damages, which are taxed at two marks.

And John, brother of John son of Adam le Monner, John Roth, Calvagh son of Philip Oweyn, Richard Keppook, John le Millewardsone of the More, Thomas Clare, William son of Ralph Neweman, Adam Roth, Walter le Coherde, Nicholas son of Philip Oweyn, Agnes the Prestes daughter and Sibilla Tyrel, present in court, are committed to gaol for disseisin made by force of arms. And let Walter Elyot and Alice his wife, Maurice Adam, Locard le Monner, Ivor le Monner, Isolda the Welle, Robert Prestsone and Mabilla his wife, Johana the Prestesmayd, Alicia, Johan Adams maiden, John his son, William son of John and John le Monner be taken. And the said William in mercy for false claim against Simon and the others. Damages two marks.

1308  
Kildare

Margaret, wife of Richard de Mora, attorns the said Richard *v.* Roger le Paumer of a plea of assize of mort d'ancestor.

Kildare

The assize came to recognize whether Thomas le Blound of Ardesse and Adam Brun unjustly disseised Richard le Gras and Emma his wife of his free tenement in Barbuston against the peace. And thereof it is complained that they disseised them of three acres of land. And Thomas and Adam come. And Adam says that he has nothing and claims nothing in the tenements and that he did them no injury or disseisin. And of this he puts himself on the assize. And Thomas replies as tenant of the tenements and says that there ought not to be an assize. He says also that one Henry de Garby enfeoffed a certain Richard le Blound, grandfather of Thomas, whose heir he is, as well of the said tenements as of certain other lands in the same town. And after the death of Henry one Mabilla, who was wife of Henry, recovered the said three acres of land against Richard le Blound as her dower, and she demised her interest to one Richard de Penkeston, and immediately after the death of Mabilla Thomas, to whom the reversion appertained, entered upon the land as next heir of Richard le Blound. And Richard le Gras and Emma say that Richard de Penkeston, Emma's first husband, had possession of the land and died seized thereof, after whose death William de Penkeston, heir of Richard, entered upon both that land and other lands which belonged to Richard de Penkeston, and assigned to Emma in dower the said three acres, by which she and Richard le Gras, now her husband, were in good and peaceful seisin for about fifteen years uninterruptedly, until Thomas and Adam unjustly disseised them, as they complain. And of this they put themselves on the assize. And Thomas says nothing further, as to why the assize should remain. Therefore let the assize be taken.

The jurors say that Thomas and Adam disseised them as they complain. Asked if that disseisin was made by force of arms, they say it was. Therefore it is considered that Richard le Gras and Emma recover seisin of the three acres by view of recognizance. And let Thomas le Blound and Adam be committed to gaol. Concerning damages nothing, because the land was sown and improved. Afterwards Thomas le Blound and Adam made fine for the said trespass by one mark by another plea.

Kildare

The assize came to recognise whether John le Palmer, Walter le Palmer, Geoffrey le Bole, John le Norreis and John de Baliartan unjustly disseised Mabilla, daughter of John de Baliartan, and Matilda her sister of her free tenement in Baliartan and Marchaleston against the peace. And it is complained that they disseised them of one messuage, sixty acres of land in Baliartan and two acres of meadow in Marchaleston. And John le Paumer comes. And Walter le Paumer and the others come not, but John le Paumer answers for them and says that they have and claim nothing in the said tenements and that they did them no injury or disseisin. And of this they put themselves on the assize. And John le Paumer answers as tenant and says for himself that he had entry in the tenements by John de Baliartan and not by disseisin. And of this he puts himself on the assize. Therefore let the assize be taken.

The jurors say that Mabilla and Matilda were never in seisin so that they could be disseised. Therefore it is considered that they take nothing by the assize but be in mercy for false claim. And John le Paumer and the others *sine die*. And John de la More<sup>(1)</sup> and Martin de Rath their recognitors came not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

(1) Cal. has note:—"This name is struck out, and over it is written 'he is pardoned by the Justiciar.'"

The assize came to recognize whether Simon de Flatesbury, Walter de la More, Robert Braynok and Richard de Oxenford unjustly disseised John de Lodyngton of his free tenement in Thomaston and Lodyngton near Barrieston against the peace. And it is complained that they disseised him of two parts of one carucate. And Simon de Flatesbury and Robert Breynok come. And Walter de la More comes not, but Thomas de Balymor answers for him. And Richard de Oxenford came not, and was attached by Walter de Flatesbury and John de la Hulle. Therefore let them be in mercy, and let the assize be taken against him for his default. And Thomas for Walter, and Robert for himself, say that they neither have nor claim anything in the tenements, and that they did no injury or disseisin to him. And of this they put themselves on the assize. And Simon answers as tenant and says that there ought not to be an assize, nor is it competent for him to bring an action to obtain the tenements, because Thomas de Lodyngton, father of John, who is his heir, enfeoffed Robert de Flatesbury, father of Simon, who is his heir, of the tenements, and bound himself and his heirs to warranty, and he produced a certain deed under the name of the said Thomas which attests this and that John de Lodyngton was bound to warrant the tenements to Simon if he should be impleaded thereof by anyone else. And he prays judgment, especially since John cannot prove that he was in seisin after the said feoffment. And John cannot deny this. Therefor it is considered that John take nothing by that assize, but be in mercy for false claim. And Simon and the rest *sine die*.

1308  
Kildare

Let the sheriff of Kildare, Hugh Canoun, be in mercy because he did not . . . his writs of assize, and the fine is appraised by the Justiciar at one hundred shillings. Afterwards the said mercy is pardoned to Hugh by the Justiciar.

Roll 87. m. 65

YET OF JURIES AND ASSIZES TAKEN AT NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON  
FRIDAY THE MORROW OF THE ASCENSION, a. r. 1.

24 May

The assize came to recognize whether John Boy, Philip de la Chapele and Amabilla his wife, Stephen le Whyte and Basilia his wife, and Claricia de Kendale unjustly disseised Meiler de Kendale of his free tenement in Meiloreston against the peace. And it is complained that they disseised him of one messuage, one hundred and forty acres of land and ten acres of meadow. And Philip de la Chapele and Stephen le Whyte came. And John Boy and Amabilla, wife of Philip, and Basilia, wife of Stephen, and Claricia de Kendale came not. And Amabilla was attached by William le Whyte and Walter le Whyte, and Basilia by William son of Richard and William le Blound of Nerny. Therefore let them be in mercy, and let the assize be taken against them by their default. And Philip and Stephen answer as tenants and say that they hold the tenements as by the inheritance of Amabilla and Basilia, their wives, and that Meiler never was in seisin of the tenements as of a free tenement so that he could be disseised. And of this they put themselves on the assize. Therefore let the assize be taken.

Kildare

The jurors say that Meiler never was in seisin. Therefore it is considered that Meiler take nothing by that assize, but be in mercy for false claim. And John Boy and the rest *sine die*.

The assize came to recognise whether John son of Thomas, William Loue, Roger Pollard, Thomas le Rede, David le Hore, Thomas Conal, Thomas Shirlok, Simon Bossher and Thomas Neuman unjustly disseised

1308 Walter, son of John de Punchardon of his free tenement in Kilmory against the peace. And it is complained that they disseised him of six messuages, ninety acres of land, five acres of meadow, twenty acres of pasture and ten acres of turbary. And John son of Thomas and all the rest except Thomas Sherlok came, and he did not come and was attached by Thomas le Rede and William Lowe. Therefore let them be in mercy and let the assize be taken for his default. And William Loue and all the others except John son of Thomas say that they have and claim nothing in the said tenements and that they have done him no injury or disseisin. And of this they put themselves upon the assize. And John son of Thomas answers as tenant and says that the assize ought not to be held. He also says that Gerald son of Maurice, who held the tenements in demense, enfeoffed one John de Punchardon of those tenements, making thereof to himself and his heirs eleven pounds a year and suit to the court of him, Gerald, of Rathymegan, and afterwards Gerald enfeoffed John son of Thomas of the manor of Rathymegan, by which John son of Thomas was seized of the fealty and submission of John de Punchardon for the said tenements. And afterwards John de Punchardon gave the tenements to John his son, who did fealty and submission to John son of Thomas for them and was his tenant at his death, and after his death John son of Thomas entered into the tenements in the name of custody, by reason of the minority of Walter son of John, son of John de Punchardon. And Walter says that neither Gerald nor John son of Thomas was ever in seisin of the said rent whereby John son of Thomas can claim the custody there. And John son of Thomas says that it is not necessary for him to prove that he was in seisin of the said rent, but it is sufficient for him, to delay the assize, to prove that he was in seisin of the submission and fealty of John son of John de Punchardon, who held the tenements by the said rent and was his tenant at death, and as Walter cannot deny that John, father of Walter, was his tenant at death, he prays judgment whether the assize ought to be between them.

And because Walter is under age and cannot at present be admitted to deny the said service, and John son of Thomas says nothing else wherefore the assize should remain, therefore let an inquiry of assize be held, which remains to be taken for default of recognitors till the next coming of the Justices to the said county. And the sheriff is commanded to have the bodies before the Justices and *tot et tales*. The same day is given to the parties. And Simon le Carter, William Reymound, Thomas Broun, Henry le Arblaster and Geoffrey de la Hulle, the recognitors, though summoned came not. Therefore let them be in mercy. And be it known that the original writ remains in the possession of the sheriff and the open writ in the possession of the party.

Kildare

The assize came to recognise whether Nicholas Veyse, brother of Christiana, wife of John son of Adam de Collan, was seized in his demense as of fee of two messuages in Tristeldermot on the day when he died, and if he died after the coronation, and whether Christiana is his next heir, and whereof John Man and Alice and Isabella, daughters of John Man, hold one messuage and Osbert le Taillur and John his son also one messuage. And John Man, Alice, Osbert and John his son came, and Isabella did not come and otherwise was essoined, to wit, on Monday after the feast of S. Andrew last, as appears by the record of Walter de Kenleye and William Alisaunder, Justices assigned, sent here. Therefore let an assize be taken against her by her default. And as regards John Man, the said John son of Adam and Christiana, his wife pray licence of retiring from their writ, and they have it. And Alice answers as tenant, and calls to warranty John Man. Let her have him before the Justices

of the Bench, Dublin, in the octave of S. John. And John, son of Osbert answers as tenant and calls to warranty Osbert, who is present and freely warrants him, and also he calls to warranty Richard de Eyteleye. Let him have him before the Justices at the said term. And be it known that Osbert is assigned to sue for John his son in the said plea. 1308

m. 65d

YET OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON THE DAY AND YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

The assize came to recognize whether William le Paumer, brother of Roger le Paumer, was seized in his demesne as of fee of twelve shillings and four pence rent in Balicolan, on the day on which he took the pilgrims' road to S. James, on which journey he died, as is asserted, and whether he took the journey after the coronation, and whether Roger is his next heir. This rent Thomas de Snyterby, Richard de la More and Margaret his wife and Robert, son of Richard de la More deforced him of . . . . And Thomas and the rest come, and all except Richard say that they have and claim nothing, nor did they on the day of receiving the said writ, to wit, the eighth of November this year. And Roger says that Thomas and the rest were tenants of the said rent on the said day. And of this they put themselves on the assize. Therefore let an assize be taken against them. And Richard answers as tenant and calls to warranty Thomas. Let him have him before the Justices of the Bench, Dublin, in the octave of S. John Baptist. And summoners in county Dublin and the recognitors of the said assize *sine die* until the plea of warranty between them is concluded. Kildare

m. 63d

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY, a. r. I. 16 June

The prior of the hospital of S. John of Jerusalem in Ireland complains that Thomas de Snyterby unjustly detains from him twelve marks of silver which he owes him and of which he should have rendered him one moiety at the Purification, 31 Edward I, and the other at Easter following, to the damage of the said prior of one hundred shillings. And he produced a writing under the name of Thomas which attests this. Therefore it is considered that the prior recover against him the twelve marks and his damages, to be taxed by the court. And Thomas in mercy. Afterwards the damages are taxed at seven marks. Afterwards the prior assigned seven marks to Richard Mannyng and William Wydeworth. Damages seven marks. Dublin

Thomas le Mareschal and Ralph de Wyluby, complainants against the abbot of S. Mary's near Dublin, of a plea of debt, give to the King half a mark for licence of agreement. And it is agreed that the abbot acknowledges that he owes them ten pounds which he will pay at All Saints next. And be it known that the abbot will pay the moiety of the said half mark. Dublin

William de Monte complains of Walter de la Haye that he unjustly detains six pounds ten shillings which he owes him, to wit, five pounds ten shillings which he received from William and Theobald de Castillon his fellow merchants, in money given as a loan, and forty shillings for cloth bought from them. And Walter comes and says that in no way is he bound. And he is prepared to defend this . . . . John Coterel and Thomas Dublin

1308 Fraunceys. And there is given to him a day before the Justicar in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist. The same day is given to William. Afterwards on that day William appears against Walter of the said plea. And he does not come. Therefore it is considered that William recover against him six pounds ten shillings and his damages to be taxed by the court. And Walter and his pledges for making law in mercy. Afterwards in the quinzaine of S. Hilary in the second year of the King's reign, before William de Bury<sup>(1)</sup>, *locum tenens* of the Justiciar, at Cassell, the damages of William are taxed at five marks, because the court is certain that Theobald died ten years ago, so that the debt has existed for the past ten years. Damages five marks.

Dublin William de Launde, complainant, appeared against Richard de Fynglas of a plea of detaining two crannocks of oats. And he did not come. And the sheriff was commanded to attach him. And the sheriff returned that he was attached by John de Killich and Adam the serjeant. Therefore let them be in mercy. And the sheriff is commanded to distrain Richard by all his lands and to have his body on the Thursday after the octave of S. John Baptist to answer William of the said plea and to hear his sentence.

Dublin William de Launde complains of Richard de Fynglas that he unjustly detains two crannocks of oats, value five shillings a crannock, which he ought to have given him on Michaelmas last and has not yet given him, but still unjustly detains, to his damage of five shillings. And Richard comes and cannot deny this. Therefore it is considered that William recover against him the price of the said oats, to wit, ten shillings. And Richard in mercy.

Dublin It is decided by the jury upon which Richard Tyrel, complainant, and John Tyrell put themselves of a plea of trespass that John took from a certain Thornan and Kellan, *hibernici* of Richard, against their will two afers, value half a mark each, and pledged one of them to one of the men of Richard de Tuyt for half a mark, and did as he liked with the other, so that he caused Killan to redeem the afer for half a mark before he could get it again, and for the afer of Thornan Richard allowed him half a mark in his rent which he ought to have paid him, and that John takes food and drink from his *hibernici* and other tenants of his against their will and beats and maltreats and disturbs them that they can hardly keep their land there, as Richard complains. Therefore it is considered that Richard recover against him the value of the afers, viz., thirteen shillings and four pence, and his damages, which are taxed by the jury at twenty shillings. And let John, who pleaded by his attorney, be taken . . . Afterwards, on Wednesday before S. Matthew in the second year of the King's reign, by Peter de Gavaston, Earl of Cornwall, the King's lieutenant in Ireland, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to John. And Richard Tyrel of Castle Cnock, Maurice Tyrel, Reginald de la Felde, William de London and Bertrand Abbot mainprised for John to keep the peace for the future. Damages twenty shillings.

Dublin It is decided by the jury on which John Tyrel, complainant, and Richard Tyrel put themselves of a plea of trespass that Richard did not give or grant John a horse of his, value ten marks, together with the harness, value two marks, to induce him to go with him to Scotland, nor does John unjustly detain from him his wages for a quarter of a year, nor did he carry off from him a horse of John's, value twenty shillings, as John complains. Therefore it is considered that John get nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And Richard *sine die*.

(1) De Burgo.

m. 66

1308

## COMMON PLEAS THERE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR ON THE DAY AND YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

McKynegan

On hearing the petition of John de Stratton, constable of Newcastle McYnegan, complaining that the house and walls of the castle in divers places are split asunder and . . . and that they are greatly in need of being improved and repaired, it is granted and ordained by the Justiciar and Council that the constable have ten marks of the Treasurer of the King for such work. And the Chancellor is commanded to have made a writ of *liberate* to the Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Exchequer, Dublin. Dated at Dublin, 18 June in the above year.

The Chancellor is commanded to have made a writ of *liberate* for John Wogan of two hundred and fifty pounds, for his fee for the office of Justiciar from 30 March, 1 Edward II, to 30 September following, namely for half a year. Dated at Dublin, 18 June in the above year.

Catherlagh

The sheriff was commanded to summon Thomas le Chapman, William, son of Geoffrey Cachepol, Richard, son of Jordan le Fisser, Roger le Lang, John son of Henry, Walter de la Barre, John de Weston, Adam le Mazoun, William Penlyn, William Fyntenan, Stephen le Mazoun, Richard le Tailleur, John le Crocker, Roger le Fevere, Nicholas le Souter, Walter Traharn, David le Crocker, Peter de la Barre, Thomas son of William, David Robyn, John Sotheuan, Gregory le Flemeng, Adam le Crocker, William le Wales, Adam le Tanner, John le Graunt, Peter le Chapman, William Alayn, Adam Baret, Richard Clement, William le Graunt, Richard le Chapman, Geoffrey, son of Richard le Fisser and Richard, son of Thomas le Chapman, to be here on this day to show cause why the hundred shillings which William, bishop of Ossory, in the court of the late King before Edmund le Botiller, late Custos of Ireland, at Catherlagh, assigned to William de Suylly, serjeant pleader, of the hundred marks which the bishop in that court before the said Edmund recovered against Thomas, William and the rest, as is ascertained by inspection of the rolls of the late King, and of which hundred shillings William de Suylly has in no way been satisfied, as he with bitter complaint showed here in court, should not be levied to the use of William of their lands and chattels if they should deem it expedient. And William appeared on the fourth day against them. And they did not come. And the sheriff returns that he summoned Thomas le Chapman and all the rest except John le Crocker and Nicholas le Souter, who had died, by Richard Knayth, Jordan Magnel, John Crocker and William Pocok. Therefore let execution issue against them.

## ESSOINS TAKEN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY, a. r. 1.

16 June

Nicholas le Norreys *v.* the King of a plea of trespass and conspiracy by John Norman. The same Nicholas *v.* Thomas de Yaneworth of the same by the same. John de Bristoll *v.* Reginald de Bristoll of a plea of trespass by Maurice le Hore. Before etc., in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. Nicholas de Vylers of the same.

Dublin

The abbot of S. Mary's, Duleek, *v.* Nicholas, prior of Colp, of a plea of trespass whereof the record by Adam Scot (1).

Meath

(1) Cal. has note:—" This entry is struck out and over it is written, ' Does not lie because the case first by bill.' "

1308

Dublin

The abbot of S. Mary's, near Dublin, who is beyond the sea, *v.* the King and Thomas de Yaneworth of a plea of conspiracy and other trespasses, by Nicholas Tal <sup>(1)</sup>.

Master Bartholomew de Eyteley of the same <sup>(1)</sup>.

Nicholas le Norreys of the same <sup>(1)</sup>.

Simon Cornwaleys of the same <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>(2)</sup>.

The prior of Holy Trinity, Dublin, of the same <sup>(1)</sup>.

Others in the writ as appears in the pleas.

Kildare

Thomas de Eyteley *v.* the King and Thomas de Yaneworth, of a plea of conspiracy and other trespasses, by John Fat <sup>(1)</sup>.

Simon Cornwaleys of the same <sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>(3)</sup>.

Richard de Eyteley of the same <sup>(1)</sup>.

Master Maurice Jak of the same <sup>(1)</sup>.

Henry Baret of the same <sup>(1)</sup>.

Nicholas le Norreys of the same <sup>(1)</sup>.

m. 66d

16 June

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY IN THE YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

The Chancellor is commanded to have made a writ of *liberate* for John de Hothom, clerk, for his expenses in going to England to accomplish the King's business there and returning, of twenty pounds. Dated at Dublin, 17 June in the above year.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded to levy of the lands, etc. of John de Killith and Eustace de Glenmethan in his bailiwick seven pounds and eight pence, and to deliver them to William de Fynglas, of the seven pounds sixteen shillings which William, in court here in Dublin, recovered against them, and that he should summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that John de Killyth has nothing in his bailiwick outside the city of Dublin whereof money can be made, wherefore he ordered the mayor and bailiffs of the said city to execute the mandate, and they replied that he had nothing, and that he took into the King's hand of the goods of Eustace the crop of five acres of land sown with wheat and hastivel, worth half a mark an acre, and that of nine acres sown with beans and peas, worth four shillings an acre, for which he has not yet found buyers, and that Eustace has no more goods. Therefore at the instance of William the sheriff is commanded to cause the said goods and chattels to be delivered to William without delay, at a reasonable price, in part payment of the said money, provided nevertheless that the valuers so value and extend the goods as they would have been willing to take them if William were unwilling to take them at that valuation, and that he levy the rest of the money of the other goods, lands and chattels of John and Eustace without delay and deliver them to William in full payment of the said debt. And let him acquaint the Justiciar here in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist.

Tipperary

William de Marisco appears on the fourth day against Henry de Bermengham, Reymund de Bermengham, Howel Benet, Walter Benet

(1) Cal. has note:—"Against the above entries is written, 'Before etc. in the fifteen days of St. Michael.'"

(2) Cal. has note:—"Struck out and over it is written, 'Does not lie because not yet attached.'"

(3) Cal. has note:—"Struck out."



and Gylmel Olaghtnan, of a plea that they be here on this day to show cause why they attacked William at Traghotheran by force of arms, and him there did beat, wound and maltreat, and the goods, etc. of Willfam there found to the value of ten marks did take and carry away and other outrages did inflict on him, to his severe damage and against the peace. And Henry and the rest did not come, and he was attached by Mark Kyft and Robert Salne. Therefore let them be in mercy. And the sheriff did nothing concerning Reymund, Howel, Walter and Gylmel, but returned that they had not been found, nor had they wherewithal they might be attached. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to distrain Henry by his lands, etc., and to take Reymund, Howel, Walter and Gylmelo, so that he have their bodies in court in the quinzaine of Michaelmas to answer William of the said plea and to hear his sentence. 1308

Walter de Cusak came into court here on 20 June in the above year and proffered certain letters patent under the great seal of England in these words and prayed that they should be enrolled. England

Edward etc., to all, etc., greeting. Know ye that we, being specially confident of the circumspection and fealty of our beloved and faithful Walter de Cusak, have appointed him our Chief Justice in each *iter* of our Justices in the parts of Ireland as often as we shall cause such *iter* in those parts to be summoned, during our good pleasure. In witness whereof, etc. T. at Langley, 8 June, a. r. 1.

The sheriff was commanded to levy one hundred marks of the lands, etc. of Henry de Thrapston, clerk, in his bailiwick, and deliver them to Thomas, bishop of Emly, Chancellor of Ireland, which Thomas in court at Dublin recovered against him, and to summon him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he ordered the mayor and bailiffs of Dublin to execute the said mandate, who thus answered, that they had taken into the King's hand of the goods of Henry one . . . . sealed in which are divers goods and a certain . . . . sealed in which is money, the amount whereof they know not, nor could they find any other chattels of Henry's within the said liberty. And likewise the sheriff returns that Henry has nothing elsewhere in his bailiwick outside the said liberty whereof money can be made. And because the mayor and bailiffs have not returned the value of the goods nor the sum of the said money, therefore the sheriff is commanded not to omit on account of the liberty of the said city to levy the said money of the lands and chattels of Henry in his bailiwick, and to deliver it to Thomas. And let him summon him to appear in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist. The sheriff is also commanded to attach the Mayor and bailiffs to appear in the said term to answer as well the King for contempt as Thomas for his damages which he sustained by reason of the delay in executing the said writ. Dublin

m. 67

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY, a. r. 1. 16 June

The Treasurer and Chamberlains of the Exchequer were commanded that, having inspected the rolls of Robert Bagod and his fellows, Justices of the Bench of the late King at Dublin, which are in the King's Treasury under their custody, they should without delay send to the Connacht

1308 Justiciar here the record of the plea which was before the said Justices of a charge between William, archbishop of Tuam, petitioner, and Henry Taloun, tenant of two messuages, three carucates of land in Glynmadoun, who sent it here in these words:—

Before Robert Bagod and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, Dublin, in the quinzaine of Easter, in the nineteenth year.

Connacht. Henry Taloun *v.* William, archbishop of Tuam, of a plea by Robert de Donaghmor, in the octave of Trinity at Dublin. Affidavit.

Before the said Justices there in the octave of Trinity in the said year.

Connacht. William, archbishop of Tuam, prays against Henry Taloun the moiety of one *villata* of land in Glanmoledun, which he claims to belong to his church and to hold from the King in chief. And Henry comes and prays that he may have view thereof. A day is given to them at Dublin in the quinzaine of Michaelmas.

Before the said Justices there in the quinzaine of Michaelmas in the nineteenth year of the King and beginning of the twentieth.

Connacht. William, archbishop of Tuam, by his attorney, appeared on the fourth day against Henry Taloun of a plea that he render him the moiety of one *villata* in Glanmoledun which he claims to belong to his church and to hold of the King in chief. And Henry did not come, and had a day to this day . . . . Therefore the sheriff is commanded to take the said land into the King's hand and to summon Henry to be at Dublin in the octave of S. Hilary to hear his sentence.

Before the said Justices there on the morrow of S. Hilary, a. r. 20 Edward I.

Connacht. Henry Thalon, who is in the King's service, against William, archbishop of Tuam, of a plea of land, by Gilbert Guage. In the quinzaine of Easter at Dublin. Affidavit.

Before the said Justices there in the quinzaine of Easter in the year above written.

Connacht. William, archbishop of Tuam, by his attorney, again prays against Henry Tallon the moiety of one *villata* in Glanmoledun as the right of his church of Tuam and held of the King in chief, and Henry again had thereof a day before the Justices, to wit, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, 19 Edward I, after that he appeared in court and prayed view of land thereof, on which day, viz., in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, Henry made default, so that the sheriff was commanded to take the moiety of the said *villata* into the King's hand for his default, and to summon him to be before the Justices at Dublin in the octave of S. Hilary last to hear his sentence. And on this day Henry had himself essoined thereof by service of the King against archbishop William, and had a day for his essoin at Dublin in the quinzaine of Easter, to wit, to this day, on which day came Henry and produced the King's writ that he was in the King's service on the day above written, to wit, in the octave of S. Hilary. Nevertheless the default which he made against William the archbishop, to wit, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, he did not save, nor was he able to save. And because the Justices were

not able to proceed to pass judgment thereon on account of the King's statutes thereof published, without making an inquisition thereof, the sheriff was commanded to summon a jury at Dublin in the octave of Trinity to inquire whether the archbishop had any right in the said moiety of that *villata* or anyone else. 1308

Connacht. Before the same Justices there in the octave of Trinity in the said year.

The sheriff is ordered as before to take into the King's hand the moiety of one *villata* in Glonmoledun, and that he cause to come twelve men and *tot et tales* at Dublin on the morrow of All Souls, to inquire whether William, archbishop of Tuam, has any right in the said land, as he claims in court as his right against Henry Talon. And because Hamund, son of Jordan, Heleas Laweles, Henry Galdolly, David Herbert, William son of Philip, Lewelin Walens, Henry Bonnalet, David Viyel, John Brehenok, Robert Wolf, Henry son of John de Rupe and Adam le Waleys, certain of the jury of the said inquisition, though summoned came not, let them be in mercy.

Before the said Justices there in the octave of S. Martin, 20 and 21, Edward I.

William, archbishop of Tuam, puts Henry de Bermengham or William Fox against the King and Henry Talon, of a plea, nor is anything else of that plea found in Michaelmas term in the same year.

Before the said Justices there in the quinzaine of S. Hilary, 21 Edward I.

Connacht. An inquisition between William, archbishop of Tuam and Henry Talon remains to be taken until the quinzaine of Easter, for default of jurors. And the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury and *tot et tales*. The same day is given to the jury present in the Bench. And because William Ballygaveran, Adam Attyr, Laurence Uryel, Robert Bron, Thomas son of Adam, Stephen Fleming, William Taverner, Thomas Brun of Oyrallyf, Jon . . . son of Adam Bolg, William son of Philip Walens, Robert son of Edmund and William Duf, certain of the jury of the said inquisition, though summoned came not, let them be in mercy.

Before the said Justices there in the quinzaine of Easter in the said year.

Connacht. An inquisition between William, archbishop of Tuam, and Henry Talon remains to be taken till the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, for default of jurors. And the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury and *tot et tales*. And because John son of Geoffrey de Cogan, Geoffrey de Rupefort, William Bretnagh, William Wodestok, Hugh Bole, Walter son of David de Rydelesford, Henry son of James, Nicholas de Herford, and John son of Richard, certain of the jurors of the said inquisition, though summoned came not, let them be in mercy.

Before the said Justices there in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist in the said year.

Connacht. An inquisition between William, archbishop of Tuam, and Henry Talon remains to be taken till the morrow of All Souls for default of jurors. And the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury and

1308

*tot et tales*, for that day, and let the sheriff be then there to hear his sentence why he did not answer concerning the issues as he was ordered. And because Philip Peverel, Adam Laragh, Henry Leynath, David Attyr, John Kent, Adam Walens, John le Poer, Thomas Bretnagh, William Peynot, Adam Mauern, John Bratnagh, Henry son of Thomas and Henry Lowys, certain of the jury of the said inquisition, though summoned did not come, let them be in mercy.

Before the said Justices there on the morrow of All Souls 22 Edward I.

Connacht. An inquisition between William, archbishop of Tuam, and Henry Talon remains to be taken till the three weeks of Easter, for default of jurors. And the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury for that day and *tot et tales*, and because David Brysky, Jacob Haistel of Owyngad, Robert Husbert, John Crok of Offaghya, Thomas Godefrey of Monwyn of Clanargely, Robert Smal of Tyrneue, Adam Albus of Tyrneue, Robert Chaumbreleyn of Kere, Elias Bretnagh, Henry Carpentar of Rothka, Meiler son of Philip de Glen, John Comyn of Tyrerath, Roger Albus of the same and Richard Lyvet of the same, certain of the jurors of the said inquisition, though summoned did not come, let them be in mercy.

m. 67d

Before the said Justices there in the quinzaine of Easter, 22 Edward I.

Connacht. The sheriff was commanded to summon a jury on this day for view of Glonmoledun, the jurors whereof are not related to William, archbishop of Tuam, or Henry Talon, to inquire whether the archbishop has any right in the moiety of one *villata* in Glonmoledun which he in court before the Justices of the Bench recovered against Henry by Henry's default, by reason of his church of Tuam or no. Afterwards John de Ponte held the said inquisition at Galway on Tuesday in Easter week last in the form underwritten. The jurors say that the archbishop, by reason of the right of his church of Tuam, prayed the said land against Henry Talon and not by collusion, and for this reason, that Florence McClynge, archbishop, predecessor of William, died seised thereof, and the jurors, questioned as to the issues of the said land, say that there are no issues because the land lies waste. Afterwards it is considered that William recover his seisin thereof.

And at the suit of Richard, son and heir of Henry, complaining that in the said record manifest error intervened, William, being premonished, now comes. And Richard complains that the Justices erred in that, whereas on the first day of the plea, to wit, in the quinzaine of Easter, 19 Edward I, that plea remained *sine die*, the Justices in the octave of Trinity following proceeded further in holding that plea, and so without warrant, because, whereas Henry was summoned to appear before the said Justices in the said quinzaine of Easter to answer William of the said plea, nothing was then done of the said plea, as appears by inspection of the said record, where nothing is contained except, to wit, Henry Taloun against William, archbishop of Tuam, of a plea by Robert de Donamor. In the octave of Trinity. Affidavit. And likewise they erred in that, whereas that plea was by writ of right, the Justices adjourned

the essoin in the octave of Trinity and from the octave of Trinity they gave the parties a day in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and in the said quinzaine of Michaelmas they prefixed to the parties a day in the octave of S. Hilary following, which are not common days in this kind of writ. And afterwards they erred where afterwards they anticipated the time of the said adjournment, to wit, by holding that plea on the morrow of S. Hilary, which is not a day in the Bench, by allowing on that day a certain essoin of King's service for Henry, and by adjourning that essoin to the quinzaine of Easter following, and nevertheless on that day they proceeded to judgment against Henry by adjudging to William his seisin of the tenements, by the default which Henry did in the quinzaine of Michaelmas then last past, having no regard to this, that on the first day of the suit that plea was discontinued and totally *sine die*. And likewise in the said octave of S. Hilary when the parties did not appear or plead, so that by the discontinuance in both terms the power of the Justices of further holding that plea on the following days<sup>(1)</sup>. And he prays that the errors may be corrected and justice shown him.

And the archbishop, by Maurice Honne his general attorney, comes and says nothing to maintain the said record. Therefore let him <sup>(2)</sup> proceed to the narration and correction. And because on inspection of the record it appears that where Henry had his first day in the quinzaine of Easter, 19 Edward I, on which day nothing was done about that plea, so that the original writ from that cause was quashed and the parties remained *sine die*, and so the power of the Justices of further holding that plea totally expired, the Justices erred by proceeding further in that plea in the octave of Trinity following, because they pleaded without warrant, and likewise it seems to the court here that the Justices erred in that, where afterwards in the quinzaine of Michaelmas following they gave to the parties a day in the octave of S. Hilary following, they proceeded in holding that plea on the morrow of S. Hilary, before the octave, which is not a day in the Bench, in allowing a certain essoin of King's service for Henry against the archbishop in the said plea and in adjourning the said essoin to the quinzaine of Easter following, to wit, 20 Edward I, and so in the said octave of S. Hilary, where the parties did not plead as they were adjourned, by which that plea . . . then was discontinued and the parties *sine die*, nevertheless the said Justices in the said quinzaine of Easter following adjudged to the archbishop his seisin of the said tenements, by the default which Henry did in the quinzaine of Michaelmas last, and likewise it appears to the court here that the Justices erred where they gave to the parties four days of plea within one year, where in a writ of right of this kind they ought not to have given them but five days in two years. Therefore it is considered that the record be wholly annulled and the judgment thereof pronounced be deemed void, and that Richard have his seisin of the tenements, to wit, such as Henry had on the day on which the said errors intervened. And the archbishop in mercy.

The said Richard appeared on the fourth day against Henry de Bermengham, who now holds the said tenements, as it is said, of a plea that he should appear here on this day to hear the said record together with William the archbishop.

<sup>(1)</sup> No omission is shown in cal., though sentence appears incomplete.

<sup>(2)</sup> Queried in cal.

1308

m. 68

16 June YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY, a. r. 1.

Meath

At the suit of Jordan, son of Jordan Dardiz, asserting that he is of full age and praying that the lands and tenements of his inheritance in the King's hand by reason of his minority may be restored to him, the sheriff was commanded to summon here before the Justiciar on the Saturday before S. John Baptist twelve men of his bailiwick to make proclamation of the age of Jordan. And the sheriff summoned Henry de la Ford, John de Lutilington, Richard de la Forde, John le Chaumbreleyn, Laurence Rauf, Alexander Candelan, John le Whyte, William Hyne, Geoffrey de Launneye, John son of Nicholas, John de la Forde and Richard Stanagh. And Henry de la Forde, sworn and carefully examined by himself, says that he believes that Jordan son of Jordan is of the age of twenty one years on Wednesday in the week of Pentecost last, because he was born on that day, and he proves this by this evidence, that a nephew of Jordan who was born on the same day on which the said Jordan was born proved his age in the court of Simon de Genevill and has his land. And John de Lutelyngton, sworn and examined in the manner aforesaid, proves that Jordan son of Jordan is of age, because William del Auney was killed on the feast of S. John Baptist in the same year in which the said Jordan was born, on the Wednesday in the week of Pentecost last. And Richard de la Forde, sworn and examined, proves that Jordan is of full age by the evidence which John produces. And John le Chaumbreleyn, sworn, etc., proves the age of Jordan by the evidence which John produces. And Laurence Rauf proves the age of Jordan by the evidence which John produces, and says further that he proves it by the fact that the son of Richard Coci, who holds of Theobald de Verdun, proved his age and has his land, was born fifteen days before Jordan. And Alexander Candelan, sworn, etc., proves the age of Jordan by this, that the sister of Alexander, who reared Jordan, had a son on the Thursday before the birth of Jordan, and he has proved his age and has his land. And John le Whyte proves the age of Jordan in the same way as Henry de la Forde proved it. And William Hyne, sworn, etc., proves the age of Jordan by the evidence which John de Lutylington produces. And Geoffrey del Auney, sworn, etc., proves the age of Jordan by this, that on the day William del Auney was killed he was in the company of William, and immediately after the death of William he passed through that town in which the mother of Jordan was, and on the same day she was purified after the birth of Jordan, and he says that twenty one years have elapsed since that day. And John son of Nicholas, sworn, etc., proves the age of Jordan by this, that he was in the manor in which Jordan was born in a certain bretagh outside the gate there, and says further that William del Auney was killed one year after the birth of Jordan. And Adam le la Forde, sworn, etc., says that Jordan is of the age of twenty one years and proves this by the fact that the sister of Adam had a son who was born on the feast of S. Mary Magdalene in the same year as Jordan was born, to wit, on the Wednesday in the week of Pentecost then last past, who proved his age in the court of Simon de Geynevill and has his land. And Richard Stanagh, sworn, etc., proves the age of Jordan by this evidence, to wit, that he, Richard, has a son who was born on the Friday in the week of Pentecost in the same year in which Jordan was born, to wit, the Wednesday then next preceding, and is of the age of twenty one years. Therefore the Chancellor of Ireland is commanded to cause to be made a King's writ to the

escheator of Ireland to deliver the seisin of the lands and tenements of Jordan son of Jordan, in the King's hand by reason of his minority, to him without delay. 1308

The sheriff was commanded that as well of the crop of ten acres of land of Nicholas son of Alexander de Rupe sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, and that crop of ten acres of land of the said Nicholas sown with oats, worth three shillings an acre, and that of four acres of land of Henry, parson of Rupe, sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, and that of eight acres of land of the said Henry sown with oats, worth two shillings and six pence an acre, and those four oxen in poor condition belonging to Philip son of William, worth forty pence each, and that crop of twenty acres belonging to the said Philip sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, and that of twenty acres of the said Philip sown with oats, worth two shillings and six pence an acre, and the issues of two mills of Philip worth yearly forty shillings, and the crop of twelve acres of Thomas Russell sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, and that of twelve acres of the said Thomas sown with oats, worth two shillings and six pence, and the crop of eight acres of William son of Gerald sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, and the crop of twelve acres of William sown with oats, worth two shillings and six pence, which lately he took into the King's hand and handed over to certain custodians for him, as he returned in the quinzaine of Easter last, as of the other lands, etc. of the said Nicholas, Henry, Philip, Thomas and William, and likewise Maurice de Rupe of Direncede in his bailiwick, he cause to be levied forty marks and deliver them to David de Cogan, of the forty pounds which Nicholas, Maurice, Henry, Philip, Thomas and William in the court of Edward I before John Wogan at Cork acknowledged that they owed David, and whereof they ought to have rendered him twenty pounds at the feast of the Assumption last and twenty pounds at Michaelmas following, and whereof they are in arrears the said forty marks of the forty pounds, as it is said, and to summon a jury on this day. And the sheriff returned that Maurice had no goods, etc. whereof money could be made. Let the sheriff be here on this day to hear his sentence. And the sheriff now returns that all the goods previously seized are being safely guarded as he has found no buyers for them. Therefore he is commanded to levy, and to summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and let him be before us to answer as well the King for his contempt as David for his damages which he sustained by reason of the delay in executing the said writ. And let him know that he is heavily fined. On which day the sheriff did nothing, nor did he send the writ. Therefore he is again commanded that as well of the said goods as of other the lands he cause to be levied twenty five marks and deliver them to David, and to summon the defendants to appear in the quinzaine of S. Martin, and let him be there to hear his sentence. Cork

Simon Sprot, charged with the death of William Sprot, his son, by him feloniously killed, as it is said, gives to the King half a mark for being mainprised till the next coming of the court by reasonable premonition to stand to the charge, by the plea of Richard de Haulberge and John Mynchege, by the presentation of Benedict de Haulberge, sheriff. And the sheriff will answer for the mainperners. Louth

m. 68d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON THE DAY  
AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

The King sent to the Justiciar here his writ in these words:—

Meath

1308 Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Whereas by the complaint of our beloved in Christ the prior of Lanthony we have just heard that the prior is much injured in the plea which was before you in the time of the late King without his writ, between the abbot of Dyvelek and brother Nicholas, con-canon of the said prior, then prior of Colp, of a certain trespass done to the said abbot by Nicholas, as is asserted, we, being willing that such injury, if any there was, should be amended in due form, command you that in the record of the said plea, if judgment was given before you and others of our Council in those parts whom you may have considered fit to call together for that purpose, you recite and fully examine, and those being called before who you shall have been called in this behalf, and having heard the reasons to be proposed for the prior of Lanthony before you in the premises, you shall cause that which shall have been less rightly attempted in this behalf to be justly amended and full and swift justice to be done to the prior, according to the law and custom of the parties (<sup>1</sup>), lest for default of justice it behove us to provide another remedy for the said prior. T. Piers de Gaveston, earl of Cornwall, at Dovoit, 22 January, a. r. 1.

And so at the suit of the prior of Lanthony by Gilbert de Nette his general attorney, the abbot, being premonished, now comes. And the prior complains that error intervened in the said record because, whereas the action of the abbot was for tithes, which are mere spiritual matters and the knowledge whereof belongs to the ecclesiastical court, especially for tithes lying in the fields and separate from the nine parts, so long as those tithes do not exceed the fourth part of the value of the church of which they are said to be the tithes, yet the Justiciar here proceeded to judgment against brother Nicholas by virtue of a certain jury of the country thereof taken between the abbot and brother Nicholas, by which the Justiciar found that brother Nicholas, together with John de Aylmynstre, canon of Colpe, and others, seized and carried away the tithes of the abbot found at Daveston, to wit, twelve crannocks of oats, without making further inquiry if these tithes had been collected by the abbot and were in his possession, whereby knowledge of the valuation of the said tithes ought to belong to the King's court, or if the tithes at the time of the seizing of them were tithes lying tithed (decimate) in the fields and separate from the nine parts, not collected by the abbot, and likewise, and likewise without inquiring if those tithes did not exceed the fourth part of the value of the church to which they were said to belong, so that knowledge of that valuation should belong to the ecclesiastical court. And he prays for remedy thereof according to the King's writ.

And the abbot says that the court should not proceed to the recitation and correction by virtue of this writ, because he says that the plea which was in the court of the late King of such valuation before the Justiciar here was between Richard, abbot of S. Mary's, Dyvelek, and brother Nicholas, and that writ makes no mention of Richard, but only of the abbot of Dyvelek. And he prays judgment in error. He says also that the prior of Lanthony ought not to be admitted to disprove the said remedy, because he was not party to the said plea nor is he the successor of brother Nicholas, prior of Colp. And likewise he seeks judgment thereof. And because the abbot cannot deny that he is the complainant in the plea against brother Nicholas, nor can he learn that

(<sup>1</sup>) For "of those parts."?



there is any other abbot or abbey at Dyvelek, nor also can he deny that, however brother Nicholas was nominated prior of Colp, he was nothing but a bailiff there, removable at the pleasure of the prior of Lanthony, the abbot was told that he might reply further if he wished. And because the abbot has nothing else to say to maintain the record, and it appears to the court here that the said verdict was not sufficiently full for making the said judgment, so that it is expedient that the court here be certified whether the said tithes were tithes of the abbot gathered together and in his possession, or if those tithes were tithes tithed and separated from the nine parts, lying in the fields and not collected by the abbot, and likewise if those tithes exceed the fourth part of the value of the church of the abbot, to which he claims that those tithes belong, or no, the sheriff is commanded to summon in the quinzaine of Michaelmas twelve men to inquire about the aforesaid. Afterwards on that day at Dublin, before brother William de Burgo, *locum tenens* of the Justiciar here, came the said parties, likewise the jurors, who say that these tithes at the time in which the prior seized and carried them away by force of arms were tithes tithed, lying in the fields, and not collected and gathered together by the abbot. They also say that in truth both the prior and the abbot, claiming to have a right in the said tithes, came into the fields where the tithes lay separate from the nine parts, and each of them wished to carry them away by force, but the prior was stronger than the abbot and collected and carried away the tithes. And because it is ascertained by the jury that the tithes were not collected by the abbot nor in his possession on the day when they were seized and carried away, although it had been ascertained otherwise, viz., that the abbot for many years had been in possession of the tithes there taken, it appears to the court here that recognition of this sort belongs clearly to the ecclesiastical court and not to the King's court, as the prior otherwise against the abbot by exception proposed, so that the action of tithes so carried away has to be concluded in the ecclesiastical court by way of spoliation, it is considered that the action be revoked and wholly annulled and the judgment thereon passed to be void, and that the prior get his damages, if any, and his redemption for which on that occasion he made fine, if he paid that fine. And be it known that the abbot acknowledges that the said tithes do not exceed that fourth part of the value of his church of S. Mary's of Dyvelek, to which the abbot claims that these tithes belong.

William de Monte, one of the members of Theobald de Castloun and his company, merchants, of Florence, appeared on the fourth day against Peter le Botiller, of a plea that he should be here on this day together with Philip de Barry of Kilbryn and Philip de Barry, knight, to show cause why the eight marks which are in arrears to the said merchants of the twenty four marks which Peter le Botiller, in the court of the late King before John Wogan, Justiciar, on Tuesday after Easter, 24 Edward I, at Kilmehallok, acknowledged that he owed Theobald and his company, and which he ought to have paid them on Michaelmas following, by the plea of Philip and Philip, should not be levied of his lands, etc. and likewise of Philip and Philip, to the use of the merchants. And the sheriff was commanded to summon him to be here. And the sheriff returns that Peter is dead. Therefore at the instance of the merchants the sheriff is commanded to summon John, son and heir of Peter, to appear on the morrow of the Assumption. Afterwards on that day the sheriff returned that he had summoned John to appear . . . . And William by his attorney appeared on the fourth day against him of the said plea. And he did not come. Therefore let execution proceed

Limerick

1308 against him. And it remains in suspense until he shall be of full age. And let execution proceed against the said pledges.

The said William de Monte appeared on the fourth day against Philip de Barry, knight, and Philip de Barry of Kilbryn of the said plea. And they did not come. And the sheriff of Cork was commanded to summon them to be here on this day. And the sheriff returned that he had summoned them by William Hardyng, Ralph Joce, Geoffrey le Loung and Thomas Uddard. Therefore let execution proceed against them, and it remains in suspense until the said heir shall have been summoned.

m. 69

16 June YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY, a. r. 1 (1).

Kildare

The sheriff was again commanded to levy five marks of those twenty crannocks of wheat of David le Mazyner in stack, worth four shillings a crannock, which he lately took into the King's hand and still keeps because he has not found buyers, as he returned in the quinzaine of S. Martin last, and likewise as well of those four cows of David's, worth five shillings apiece, which he took into the King's hand and keeps for default of buyers, as he returned in the quinzaine of Easter last, as of the other lands, etc. of David in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to William de Bourn, assignee of Theobald de Wikys, which David in the court of the late King before John Wogan acknowledged that he owed Theobald, and which he ought to have paid him in the quinzaine of Easter in the late King's reign, and that he should summon him to appear on this day, and that the sheriff in his own person should be here to hear his sentence. And the sheriff did nothing, nor did he send the writ, but afterwards in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist next at Dublin, being charged with this in court here, he acknowledged that he had received the said writ, but how long ago he does not know, but he well recollects that he made the return of the writ to Robert Breighnok, chief serjeant of the fee in his bailiwick, who answers that Lambert le Mazyner and Richard de Oxenford mainprised to acquit him, the sheriff, against William of the money aforesaid. And because the sheriff has not executed the precept, nor does the court of the King admit any reply made by any bailiff, who has not the return of the King's writ, therefore let him, Hugh Canoun, be heavily in mercy. And let William await taxation of his damages. Whereupon Hugh the sheriff prays to be admitted to sue against Robert, and he is admitted. Therefore the sheriff is again commanded to levy the said money as well of the said goods as of other the lands, etc. of David, and to deliver it to William, and to summon him on the morrow of S. Peter ad Vincula. He is also commanded to attach Robert so that he may have him here at the said term to answer as well the King for contempt as Hugh for the damages which he has sustained by reason of the detention and delay in executing the writ.

m. 70

16 June YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY, a. r. 1.

Clonfert

Gregory, confirmed elect of Clonfert, and the dean and chapter of

(1) Cal. has a. r. 2.

the same came into court here and produced certain letters patent of theirs in these words, which they acknowledged to be their deed and prayed to have enrolled:— 1308

To all faithful servants of Christ to whom these present letters shall come, Gregory, by the grace of God confirmed elect of Clonfert, greeting in the Lord. Whereas the King, by John Wogan, his Justiciar of Ireland, granted his favour to his clerk, Sir Alexander de Bykenore, his Treasurer of Ireland, which the said clerk saw fit to accept, we have granted to him by the will and assent of our chapter, for us and our successors, ten marks of yearly pension to be received from our chamber at the two terms of the year, viz., one moiety in the octave of Michaelmas and the other on the morrow of the close of Easter, to be paid to Alexander or his certain attorney in the Exchequer of Dublin until we shall have made provision for him of a competent prebend . . . in our church which he shall deem worthy of being accepted. And to his yearly pension at the said terms well and faithfully to be paid to him we bind ourselves and all our goods to the distress of the Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer, Dublin. In witness whereof our seal as well as that of our chapter has been appended to these presents. Dated at Trym, 23 June, a. r. 1., and in the year 1308.

The sheriff was commanded to levy forty pounds of the lands, etc. of Walter de Dermor in his bailiwick and deliver them to David le Blund, which Walter in the court of the late King before Edmund le Botiller, *locum tenens* of John Wogan, at Cassell, acknowledged that he owed David, and which he ought to have paid him on the morrow of the Epiphany, 33 Edward I, and which he has not yet paid him, as is asserted. And if the lands, etc. of Walter in his bailiwick are not sufficient, then to cause the money so deficient to be levied of the lands, etc. of William de Dermor, mainpernor of Walter, and to be delivered to David, and to summon him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that Walter de Dermor has nothing whereof the debt can be made, nor has William de Dermor, except land fresh and uncultivated. And because it is attested in court here that both Walter and William had lands and tenements, goods and chattels, sufficient to make the said money, to wit, on the morrow of the Epiphany, 33 Edward I, therefore the sheriff is commanded that of the lands, etc. of Walter which were in his bailiwick on the day and year above mentioned, to whomsoever they shall have come he levy the said money and deliver it to David and if the lands of Walter are not sufficient, then of the lands etc. of William to levy the deficiency and deliver to David, in full payment of the said money. And let him acquaint the Justiciar in the quinzaine of Michaelmas.

Tipperary

Let William Beaufiz and John Beaufiz, because they had not Stephen de Exon, a mainpernor, as appears in the plea roll of Michaelmas, 33 Edward I, be in mercy. And let Adam Belejaumbe and Richard Bernard, because they had not Philip Burnel, a mainpernor, as appears there, be in mercy. And because these mercies are not placed there on the estreats, therefore here, etc.

Meath

The sheriff was commanded to levy thirty six shillings of the lands, etc. of John de Lyvet in his bailiwick and deliver them to the prior of the Hospital of S. John of Jerusalem in Ireland, which John de Lyvet here in court at Catherlagh acknowledged that he owed the prior, and which he ought to have paid him at Easter last and has not yet paid. And the sheriff should summon him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of John, four afers worth five shillings each and two oxen worth three shillings each, for

Catherlagh

1308 which he has not yet found buyers. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that, as well of the said goods, etc. as of others of John's, he levy the said money and deliver it to the prior, and that he summon John to appear on the morrow of the Assumption. And let him appear himself to hear his sentence.

Catherlagh

William de Monte, one of the members of Theobald de Castelloun and company, of Florence, appeared on the fourth day against William Cadel, senr., John le Botiller and Alexander Brisky, of a plea that they be here on this day to show cause why the sixteen and a half marks arrears of the twenty pounds of . . . and one sack of wool worth five marks, which William Cadel in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Tuylagh acknowledged that he owed Theobald and company, merchants, and which he ought to have paid them at Pentecost, 24 Edward I, by the plea of John le Botiller and Alexander, to the use of the said merchants, should not be levied of their lands, etc., if they should deem it expedient. And the sheriff was commanded to summon them to be here on this day. And the sheriff returned that William Cadel was dead, and that he had summoned John le Botiller by Gilbert le Forester and Eustace Sygyn, and that Alexander had no lands or tenements in his bailiwick by which he could summon him. Whereupon comes William de Monte and testifies in court here that . . . has lands and tenements in county Kildare, where he can summon him. Therefore let execution proceed against John le Botiller, which remains in suspense until John, son of Richard Cadel, cousin and heir of William Cadel, shall have been premonished, and likewise Alexander. And the sheriff is commanded to summon John, cousin and heir of William Cadel, to appear on the morrow of the Assumption, and also Alexander, to show cause why the said money should not be levied of their lands, etc., to the use of the merchants.

Afterwards on that day the sheriff of Catherlagh returned that he had summoned the said John . . . being in custody of Edmund de Hastings, to be here in the said term by John son of William and Walter son of Robert. And William de Monte appeared on the fourth day against him on the said plea. And he did not come. Therefore let execution proceed against him, which remains in suspense . . . premonished. And likewise the sheriff of Kildare returns that he summoned Alexander by John le Blound . . . and William le Bret to be here on the same day. And William de Monte, by his attorney . . . of the said plea. And he came not. Therefore let execution proceed against Alexander and likewise against him and likewise against . . .

m. 70d

23 June COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF TRINITY, a. r. 1.

Tipperary

The sheriff was commanded that, as well of that crop of sixty acres of Oliver, son and heir of Robert Haket, sown with wheat and oats, worth forty pence an acre, which he lately took into the King's hand and retains in his custody for default of buyers, as he returned in the quinzaine of Easter last, as of other lands, etc. of his in his bailiwick, he levy thirty nine pounds twelve shillings and seven pence and deliver them to Richard Locard, assignee of Matilda de S. Albino, being the arrears of the fifty three pounds five shillings and eleven pence which Matilda in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Cassell recovered against

Robert, and to summon him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that, as well of the said crop as of the crop of twenty acres of Oliver's, worth forty pence an acre, which he has now taken into the King's hand, he has levied twenty marks and has paid them to Robert, in part payment of the debt, and that Oliver has no more in his bailiwick except some goods, etc. which have been taken into the King's hand for divers debts which Oliver owes to the King, which goods are not sufficient to pay those debts. Whereupon Richard comes into court and prays that all the goods, etc. of Oliver, except the oxen and afers used for carting, and likewise the moiety of his lands and tenements, at a reasonable price and valuation, be delivered to him, to be held in the name of free tenant, according to the form of the statute, until he shall have fully levied the debt of the same. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to deliver to Robert or his certain attorney all the goods, etc. of Robert except the oxen and afers used for carting, and except the goods of Oliver taken into the King's hand for his debts, and likewise the moiety of his lands and tenements in his bailiwick, at a reasonable price and valuation, to be held in the name of free tenant according to the form of the statute, and to summon him to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. And let the sheriff have there the valuation.

1308

Because . . . the Justiciar heard that, whereas all the goods, etc. of Walter Martel, deceased, have been forfeited to the King for certain trespasses, of which Walter, in the court of the late King before Thomas, bishop of Meath and his fellows, Justices last Itinerant, at Cassell, was convicted, certain of the officers of the late King in those parts concealed and appropriated to themselves and have hitherto detained a certain portion of the goods, etc. of Walter so forfeited. Accordingly the sheriff was commanded diligently to make inquiry of the truth of the premises and to send the inquisition thereof clearly made under his and their seals to the Justiciar here. Which inquisition he sent here on this day in these words:—

Tipperary

Inquisition taken before Fulk de Fraxineto, sheriff of Tipperary, on Friday the eve of SS. Peter and Paul at Cassell, 1 Edward II, by the oath of Henry Enyas, Philip Braynok, Maurice son of John, Robert Crynan, Nicholas de Stafford, John Weyvill, Philip Boniour, Andrew Seisel, Henry Moyglass, Henry Ocle, David son of Hugh, William son of David and John le Blound, who say that on the day on which Walter Martel died, Henry Haket, then sheriff of Tipperary, and Geoffrey Haket, sub-sheriff, seized all the goods, etc. of Walter, of which they remitted twenty seven acres of oats at Clonbrogan, worth two shillings an acre, and at Moygorban nine acres of oats, worth three shillings an acre, and at Coylathbeg thirty acres of oats, worth three shillings an acre, and twenty pigs worth ten pence each, and three acres of wheat and hastivel worth forty pence an acre, and at Rathmol four acres of oats worth three shillings an acre, and the custody and marriage of Adam, son and heir of Philip Martel and of the lands and tenements of the said heir in Nova Villa, worth twenty marks, and at Coillagthbeg three afers worth five shillings and five young oxen (*boviculi*) worth three shillings each, and at Clonbrogan seventeen acres of wheat worth three shillings an acre, which goods, etc. were not presented by the said sheriff and sub-sheriff. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to attach Henry and Geoffrey Haket and have them in court in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, to answer the King for the said concealment and deceit.

William de Monte, one of the members of Theobald de Casteillon and

Limerick

1308 company, merchants, of Florence, appeared on the fourth day against John, son and heir of John de Penrys, Richard de Penrys and Philip de Penrys, of a plea that they should appear on this day to show cause why the fifteen and a half marks which the said merchants, in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Kilmehallok, recovered against John de Penrys, and which John ought to have paid them at Michaelmas, 24 Edward I, by the plea of . . . and Philip, and has not yet done so, should not be levied of his lands, etc. to the use of the said merchants, if they should think it expedient. And John de Penrys did not come. And the sheriff was commanded to summon him to appear on this day. And the sheriff returns that he summoned him by John son of Stephen and Nicholas Farman. Therefore let execution proceed against him . . . Richard and Philip. The sheriff returned that they are dead. Therefore let the merchants sue against their heirs if . . .

m. 71

23 June YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF TRINITY, a. r. 1.

Catherlagh The sheriff was commanded to levy of the lands, etc. of Jordan de Caunteton in his bailiwick the fifteen pounds fifteen shillings which Richard Taloun in court at Catherlagh recovered against Jordan, and to deliver them to him without delay, and to summon him to appear here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of Jordan the crop of forty acres sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, and that of forty acres sown with oats, worth forty pence an acre, for which he has as yet found no buyers, and that Jordan has no more goods in his bailiwick. Therefore at the suit of Richard, attesting in court here that Jordan has other goods, etc., to wit, oxen and afers, of sufficient value, the sheriff is commanded that, as well of the said goods, etc. as of others of Jordan, he cause to be levied the said money. And let him summon him to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and let the sheriff be there to hear his judgment.

Geoffrey de Genevill sent here by John Corbaly and John Mody, clerks, a certain charter of King Henry, formerly King of England, in these words, and prayed that it might be enrolled:—

Henry, King of England and Duke of Normandy and Aquitaine and Count of Anjou, to archbishops, bishops, abbots, earls, barons, justices and all his servants and faithful subjects French and English and Irish of all his land, greeting. Know ye that I have given and granted and by my present charter have confirmed to Hugh de Lacy for his service the land of Meath with all its appurtenances by the service of fifty knights by himself and his heirs, to be held and had from me and my heirs as Murcardus Humelachlyn held it or anyone else before him or afterwards, and in addition to that grant, all fees which he has granted or will grant round Dublin while he is my bailiff to do me service at my city of Dublin, wherefore I will and firmly enjoin that Hugh and his heirs after him may have the said land and hold all liberties and free customs which he has there or can have, by the aforementioned service, from me and my heirs, well and peacefully, freely and quietly and honourably, in wood and plain, in meadows and pastures, in waters and mills, in vivaries and fishings and pools and huntings, in roads and paths and harbours of the sea, in all other places and things to it belonging, with all liberties which I have there or can give him, and by this my charter have confirmed. Witness earl Richard, son of Gilbert, William de Braosa, William de Albin, Reginald de Courtenay, Hugh de Goundevilla, William son of Aldelm, *dapifer*, Hugh de Cressy, William de Stutevilla, Ralph de Haya, Reginald

de Pauilly, Ralph de Verdun, William de Gerpunvilla, Robert de Ruyly, 1308  
at Weyseford <sup>(1)</sup>.

The sheriff was commanded that as well of these ten afers and ten cows of Maurice son of William de Caunteton, worth five shillings each, as of those five afers and five cows of Reginald de Caunteton worth five shillings each and those five afers and five cows of Walter, son of William de Caunteton worth five shillings each, which he lately seized, as he returned to the Justiciar here in the quinzaine of Easter last, as of other the goods, etc. of Maurice, Reginald and Walter, and likewise of Robert son of William de Caunteton in his bailiwick, he should levy ten pounds and deliver them to Richard Taloun, which Richard in court at Catherlagh recovered against them, and should summon them to be here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that the goods he had formerly seized into the King's hand are being safely guarded, nor can they yet be sold for default of buyers, and that he has taken into the King's hand of the goods of Maurice the crop of two acres sown with wheat, and of the goods of Reginald the crop of two acres sown with wheat, and of those of Walter the crop of two acres sown with wheat, worth forty pence an acre, for which he has not yet found buyers, and that Robert, son of William has nothing in his bailiwick. Therefore at the instance of Richard, attesting in court here that Robert and the others have goods and chattels of sufficient value, the sheriff is again ordered that, as well of the said goods before presented and those goods now presented, as of other the lands, etc. of Maurice, Reginald, Walter and Robert in his bailiwick, he levy the money and deliver it without delay to Richard, and summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and that he be present.

m. 71d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN THE DAY AND  
YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

The sheriff was commanded that of the lands, etc. of Walter Maunsell, chief serjeant of the fee in the county of Limerick, in his bailiwick he should levy one hundred and thirteen shillings and four pence and deliver them to John de Patrikchurche, clerk, assignee of Agnes de Valence . . . to Nicholas, clerk of William de Bourn, senr., assignee of the said Agnes, twenty six shillings and eight pence and to Nicholas de Staveley, clerk, assignee of the said Agnes, twenty shillings of the twenty pounds which Agnes in court at Catherlagh recovered against Walter, and to summon them to appear on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of Walter the crop of four acres sown with wheat, worth twenty pence an acre, and the crop of six acres of Walter sown with oats, worth eighteen pence an acre, and that Walter has no more goods, and that Walter levied all his rent of the term of Michaelmas next of his English tenants before the coming of the said writ. And at the instance of the said clerks, attesting in court here that Walter has goods in sufficiency, the sheriff is commanded that, as well of the said goods, etc., as of other the lands, etc., he levy the said money and deliver it to John and the others, and that he summon Walter to appear on the morrow of the Exaltation of the Holy Cross, and that he be present himself. Afterwards on that day the sheriff returned that for the said debt he had taken into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of Walter Maunsell the crop of thirteen acres sown with wheat, worth twenty pence an acre, and that of fifteen acres sown with oats, worth three shillings an acre, for which buyers have not yet been

Dublin

<sup>(1)</sup> Printed in Latin in Orpen, *Ireland under the Normans*, vol. II, p. 285, and Round, *Commune of London*, p. 152.

1308 found, and that Walter had no more goods in his bailiwick. Thereupon came John, Nicholas and Nicholas, and prayed that the said goods should be delivered to them at a reasonable valuation. Therefore at their instance the sheriff is commanded to deliver them the said goods in the form aforesaid, and to summon Walter to appear in one month from Michaelmas.

m. 72

1 July PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF  
S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 1.

Dublin John de Circester *v.* Geoffrey de Belynges, Lucas de Belynges and Simon de Colbrok, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that, whereas John was crossing to England on a ship belonging to Robert Fot of Coneweye which by the violence of the sea was broken up and dashed to pieces and thrown up on land in the district of Dalkeye, whereby divers goods of Philip to the value of twelve pounds, nineteen shillings and two pence were thrown up on land in the district of Bree, Geoffrey and Simon, as well as Griffin le Waleys forbade the men of the country who came there to save and guard the said goods, to touch or remove any of them thence, and appropriated to themselves those goods and chattels and did as they pleased with them, as John complains. Therefore it is considered that John recover against Geoffrey and Simon the value of the goods, etc. and his damages, taxed by the jury at one hundred shillings. And Geoffrey and Simon are committed to gaol. And because it is ascertained by the jury that Lucas de Belynges is in no way guilty of the said trespass, therefore John in mercy for false claim against him, and Lucas *sine die*. But of the fines of Geoffrey and Simon regarding the King's suit he has nothing, because they, being elsewhere thereof summoned, made fine with the King for pardoning the suit of his peace, as appears in the roll of pleas of the crown in the term of Michaelmas last. Damages one hundred shillings, whereof to W. de Bourn twenty shillings, J. de Patrikchurche twenty shillings, Nicholas the clerk, senior, ten shillings, Nicholas the clerk, junior, ten shillings, W. de Moenes 1 mark and to Walter Spynel and his fellows for proclamation half a mark.

John appeared against Griffin of the said plea. And he did not come. And the sheriff was commanded to attach him, and he returned that Griffin was not found, nor had he anything. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to take him and have his body before us in the quinzaine of Michaelmas to answer John of the plea aforesaid.

Meath Simon de Cromhal *v.* Hugh Dunnyng of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that Hugh, together with Geoffrey le Wolbetere maliciously charged Simon with being an Irishman and so defamed him throughout all the barony of Dyvelek and the adjacent parts, because Simon interfered in the matter of the marriage of a certain woman whom Hugh wished to marry, and afterwards Simon married her, as Simon complains. Therefore it is considered that Simon recover against Hugh his damages, taxed by the court at forty shillings. And Hugh in mercy. Damages forty shillings, whereof to W. de Bourn 1 mark, to John de Patrikchurche half a mark and to Nicholas the clerk, senior, and Nicholas the clerk, junior, half a mark.

The same Simon, being charged with presenting a plea in court christian against Hugh for the said defamation and that he severely troubled and disturbed him, pending the complaint of Simon of that trespass in court here, and afterwards that Hugh had delivered to him the King's prohibition, in contempt of the King, comes and clearly acknowledges that he prosecuted a plea against Hugh in court christian, but



says that this was before Hugh delivered him the said prohibition and not afterwards. And of this he puts himself on the country. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas *nisi prius*. 1308

The prior of the Hospital of S. John of Jerusalem in Ireland complains of Warin Oweyn that he unjustly detains from him twenty six shillings and eight pence which brother William de Ros, predecessor and prior, lent to Warin and which he ought to have repaid him at Michaelmas, 29 Edward I, on which day he paid him no money, but hitherto has the same unjustly and still does so to his damage of twenty shillings. And thereof he produces letters obligatory from Warin which attest this. And Warin comes and cannot deny this. Therefore it is considered that the prior recover against him the said twenty six shillings and eightpence and his damages, to be taxed by the country. And Warin in mercy. Afterwards came brother William de Ros and acknowledged that Warin had satisfied the prior of the said money by the hands of him brother William, in three weeks from Easter.

Dublin

m. 72d

YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON THE DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID.

William Bernard *v.* John McCorcan, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that, whereas William, on the Sunday after the Nativity of S. John Baptist last, in the town of New Castle of Lyons, was playing at ball with men of that town and the ball was struck in the direction of John, who was standing near to watch the game, John ran towards the ball, which William was following in pursuit, and met him so swiftly that he wounded William in the upper part of his right leg with a knife which he, John, had upon him, which knife unfortunately without John's knowledge pierced its sheath and so injured William, to his damage of five shillings. And the jurors, being asked if John did this from ill-timed zeal or ran against William from malice aforethought, say that it was not so, but that it was for the purpose of playing that he ran towards him to hit the ball. Therefore it is considered that William recover against him his said damages. And John in mercy, which is pardoned him afterwards by the Justiciar, because the jurors testify that William and John at the said time and before that were fast friends, and that John did not wound William knowingly. And John de Bonevill and John Ronelagh, jurors, though summoned did not appear. Therefore let them be in mercy. Damages five shillings.

Dublin

m. 73

ESSOINS TAKEN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE OCTAVE OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. I.

1 July

Richard Mauclerk in the King's service against Robert de Burnham of hearing the record of the plea *ne vexas* by William Fox, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. Affidavit.

Tipperary

William, son of Robert de Aula, in the King's service, against Henry Laffan, of hearing the record of a plea of land by Robert Fox, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. Affidavit.

Tipperary

William, son of Robert de la Sale, against Henry Laffan, of a plea of land, whereof record by John Not (1).

Tipperary

John le Cornwalleys, attorney of Richard Mauclerk, against Robert de Burnham, of a plea of land, whereof record by William de la Sale (1).

Tipperary

William, son of Robert de Aula, against William, son of Roger de Aula, of a plea of land, whereof record by William Fox (1).

Tipperary

(1) Cal. has note:—"In these cases, the name of the essoiner is struck out."

## 1308 COMMON PLEAS THERE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR THE DAY AND YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

The King sent the Justiciar a certain close writ under his seal in these words:—

England Edward etc., to John Wogan etc., greeting. Know ye that we have taken the homage of Thomas, son of John of Kent, for all the lands and tenements which he holds of us in chief in Ireland, and so we command you that in future you in no way distraint Thomas by reason of his doing us the said homage. And if you shall for that reason have distrained him, you shall cause such distraint to be released to him. Witness myself at Wyndesore, 16 June, 1 Edward II.

By pretext of which mandate the escheator is commanded to issue the said mandate.

in. 73d

## YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN THE DAY AND YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

Dublin The sheriff was commanded not to omit by reason of the liberty of Kilkenny to levy nine marks, as well of that corn of William Droill in the haggard, worth nine marks, which lately by the seneschal of the said liberty he took into the King's hand and which still remains in his custody because the seneschal has found no buyers, as he returned to John Wogan in the quinzaine of Easter, 35 Edward I, and of that crop of twenty acres of William Droill sown with wheat and oats, worth forty pence an acre, which the sheriff took into the hand of the late King and which remains in his custody for want of buyers, as he returned to the Justiciar in the octave of Trinity next following, as of other the lands, etc. of William Droill in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to Cruchius Huberte del Passo, which William in the Chancery of the late King acknowledged that he owed Cruchius, and which he ought to have paid him at terms long past, as has been ascertained by inspection of the rolls of Chancery, and has not yet paid. Also the sheriff was commanded not to omit by reason of the said liberty to distraint William Droill by all his lands to render Cruchius ten crannocks of wheat and two crannocks of oats, which William in Chancery acknowledged that he owed Cruchius, and which he ought to have rendered him at terms long past, as has been ascertained by inspection of the said rolls, and has not yet paid him, and that he should summon him here on Monday the morrow of Mid-Lent last. And thereon the sheriff returned in the quinzaine of the Purification last that he had commanded John Droill, *custos* of the liberty aforesaid, that the return of the said writ to the sheriff directed should be fully executed, who returned that that return was delivered to him so late that he could not execute it. And it was attested that the *custos* had in his possession the return of the said writ for three weeks and more, so that he could have fully executed it if he had wished. Also the sheriff was commanded to attach the *custos* to be here on the said Monday to answer as well the King for contempt as Cruchius for his damages which he sustained by reason of the delay in executing the writ. And the sheriff on that day returned that the corn of William in the haggard to the value of nine marks, which the seneschal of the liberty lately took into the King's hand for the debt of Cruchius, is safely guarded and remains in his custody for want of threshing and buyers. And because the sheriff answers nothing of distraining William Droill nor of attaching the seneschal as he was ordered, therefore let him, to wit, Richard Costentyn, be in mercy, and he is assessed by the court at forty shillings. And the sheriff is again ordered not to omit on account of the said liberty to levy four marks nine shillings and four pence as well

of the said corn and crop as of other the lands, etc., and to deliver them to Cruchius. The sheriff is also again commanded not to omit on account of the said liberty to distrain William Droill by all his lands to render to Cruchius the said corn, and to summon him to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. The sheriff is also again commanded to attach the seneschal of the said liberty to appear at the said term to answer as well the sheriff for contempt as Cruchius for his damages, and let the sheriff appear to hear his sentence. 1308

m. 74

ESSOINS TAKEN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 2. 8 July

Gilbert le Large in the King's service *v.* William le Clerk of Drogheda, of a plea of trespass, by Richard Fot. In the quinzaine of Michaelmas, *nisi prius*, etc. Affidavit. Jury is exacted, and none came. Therefore let the sheriff have their bodies here. Meath

Robert, son of Tancard de Barry *v.* Nesta, who was the wife of Hugh de Rupeforte, of having a record of a plea of trespass, by Milo Purcel. Assessed. Hugh Purcel, son and heir of Hugh, son of John Purcel, in the King's service, of the same. Affidavit. In the quinzaine of Michaelmas. Tipperary

COMMON PLEAS THERE BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR ON THE DAY AND YEAR ABOVE MENTIONED.

John de Balibyn came into court here and produced certain letters patent to the King under his great seal of England in these words:— England

Edward etc., to all whom etc. Know ye that we have committed to our beloved John de Balibyn our castle of Kildare to guard during our good pleasure, receiving each day for the custody thereof twelve pence as long as he shall have the custody, so that in that castle for the safe guarding thereof he find as many men at arms and horses equipped and unequipped and also as many footmen for defence as any constable there has hitherto found or ought to have found during the aforesaid custody. In witness whereof, etc. Witness myself at Wyndesor, 7 April, a. r. 1. By writ of Privy Seal.

Whereupon the King sent the Justiciar here certain letters pateht in these words:—

Edward etc. (Above patent recited). We command you to deliver to John the said castle, together with arms, food, and all other things of ours belonging to the said castle by indenture to be made thereof in due form, to be guarded in manner aforesaid. Witness myself at Wyndesor, 7 April, a. r. 1. By writ of Privy Seal.

By pretext of which mandate the Chancellor is commanded to cause to be made for John de Balibyn a close writ to Hugh Canoun, constable of the said castle, that he deliver to John the said castle, together with all armour, food and all other things of the King's in it, by indenture thereof between them to be made in due form, to be guarded in the said manner, of which the date is at Dublin, 8 July, a. r. 1 (4).

Robert de Mounceus came into court here and produced certain letters patent of the King under his great seal of England in these words:—

Edward, etc., to all to whom, etc. Know ye that we have committed to Robert de Mounceus the custody of our demesne lands in the counties of Dublin and Kildare, to hold during our good pleasure in the same way that Thomas de Kent had the said custody, so that he answer to us for the issues thereof, as hitherto was accustomed to be done. In witness

(4) Thus. England

1308 whereof, etc. Witness ourself at Langeleye, I June, a. r. 1. By writ of Privy Seal.

Whereupon the King sent the Justiciar a close writ in these words:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Whereas by our letters patent we have committed to Robert de Mounceux (as above), we command you to deliver to him the custody of our said lands, to be held in the manner aforesaid. Witness ourself at Langele, 1 June, a. r. 1.

Therefore the Chancellor is commanded to cause to be made a writ of the King to Thomas to deliver to Robert the court rolls and other things pertaining to his office.

The sheriff was again commanded that as well of the eight crannocks of wheat of David de Langeport, worth five shillings each, and of the sixteen crannocks . . . . of David, worth four shillings each, which he lately took into the King's hand and still retains in his custody, as he returned to John Wogan in the octave of S. Hilary last, as of other the goods, etc. of David . . . ., Thomas Furlong and William Boneyes in his bailiwick he should levy seven pounds and deliver them to Roger de la Hyde, of the seven pounds, fifteen shillings which Roger in court at Ros recovered against them, and to summon them to appear on this day, and to be here himself to hear his sentence. And the sheriff now returns that the goods of David Langeport formerly taken into the King's hand for the said debt still remain unsold for want of buyers, and that David has no other goods and chattels, lands or tenements, whereof he can make any money, nor have Thomas Furlong and William Boneyes anything whereof he can make any money.

The Chancellor was commanded to cause to be issued a writ of *liberate* for Richard de Exon, keeper of the King's castle of Roscommon, of thirty pounds, in full payment of the sixty pounds which he receives yearly for guardianship of the said castle, namely, from 20 April last to 29 October following, namely . . . .

The Chancellor was commanded to cause to be issued a writ of *liberate* for John Wogan, keeper . . . . in full payment of the forty pounds which he receives yearly for guardianship of the said castle, from the twenty . . . . eighth day of September following . . . . Dated at Dublin . . . . 2 Edward II.

m. 74d.

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN THE DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded to levy of the lands, etc. of David de Caunteton and Agatha his wife in his bailiwick forty seven pounds, nine shillings and one halfpenny and to deliver them to the abbot of Tynterne and John de Sutton of Rathgarrok, executors of the will of Gilbert de Sutton, of sixty five pounds nineteen shillings and one halfpenny which the executors in court at Ros recovered against David and Agatha, and to summon them to appear here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that a precept was issued to the seneschal of the liberty of Weysford, who replies that all the goods, etc. of David de Caunteton and Agatha his wife were taken into the King's hand for a debt due to Theobald de Wykys before the arrival of this writ, and also that a precept was issued to the seneschal of the liberty of Kilkenny to execute the said precept, and that he answers that of the goods, etc. of David and Agatha there was taken into the King's hand the crop of sixty acres sown with wheat and oats, worth forty pence an acre. And because it is attested that David and Agatha

have oxen, afers and other moveable goods in the liberties of Weysford and Kilkenny, whereof the seneschals of these liberties could have made the said money if they had wished, therefore the sheriff is commanded not to omit by reason of the said liberties to levy the said money, as well of that crop of sixty acres of David and Agatha which he lately took into the King's hand by the seneschal of the liberty of Kilkenny, as he returns, as of other the lands, etc. of David and Agatha in his bailiwick, and to deliver it to the executors, and to summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. The sheriff is also commanded to attach the seneschals to appear on the said day to answer as well the King for contempt as the said executors for the damages they sustained by reason of the delay in executing the precept. Afterwards the executors assigned to William de Bourn, clerk, twenty shillings and to Nicholas de Staueneleye, clerk, half a mark, out of the said money.

Afterwards on that day the sheriff returned that the goods hitherto taken are being safely guarded for want of buyers, and that there are in the King's hand of the goods of David and Agatha at Balibrassill the crop of twenty acres of land sown with wheat, worth three shillings an acre, the crop of eighteen acres sown with oats, worth two shillings an acre, and four marks, eight shillings and four pence worth of rents of David and Agatha, and that he has found no buyers for them. And he is commanded to attach Adam de Rupe, seneschal of the liberty of Weysford, by John the clerk and John Ponteyes, and William de Caunteton, seneschal of the liberty of Kilkenny, by William Avenel and Roger de Penbrok. And they do not come. Therefore let them be in mercy. And the sheriff is again commanded as above, and to summon them to appear in the quinzaine of S. Martin. And because the sheriff did not cause the wheat to be threshed, therefore he, Richard Constentyn, heavily in mercy.

On hearing the petition of Philip le Fireter, praying that the lands and tenements belonging to Hugh Lettif, felon, hanged in the time of the late King before the Justiciar at Adart, should be restored to him, which lands, etc. Hugh held immediately of Philip on the day when he committed that felony for which he was hanged, and although it appears on inspection of the record that this was so, yet because Hugh alienated these tenements in fee to John, son of David de Barri, after the said felony had been committed, so that these lands were taken into the King's hand beyond the seisin of John after Hugh had been hanged, the sheriff of Cork, in whose bailiwick he is, is commanded to summon John to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas to show cause why the lands and tenements ought not to be restored to Philip as his escheats, if he shall deem it expedient.

The King sent the Justiciar here his close writ in these words:—

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. On the part of John, abbot of Tynterne, complainant, it has been shown us that, whereas the prior of S. John's of Kilkenny, before Walran de Wellesleye and his fellows, late Justices Itinerant of our late father, at Dublin, by his writ had impleaded William, formerly abbot of Tynterne, predecessor of the said abbot, of suing forth a plea in court christian of the advowson of the churches of S. Mary and S. Ey . . . of New Ross, contrary to the prohibition of the late King, who afterwards, on the complaint of the abbot, who suggested to him that in the record and also in giving judgment in the said plea wrong proceedings had been taken, to the manifold injury of the said abbot, had caused by his writ the record of the plea with everything affecting it to come before you, that you, having inspected and diligently examined the record, and having listened to the reasons of the parties, should cause the error, if there happened to

Kerry  
England  
Tintern

1308 be any, to be corrected without delay and full and swift justice to be done to the parties, yet you, though you have long since examined the record and listened to the reasons and allegations of the parties, have hitherto . . . to proceed, to the heavy damage and loss of the abbot, at which we are much astonished. Therefore we command you that you cause the said record to be diligently examined before you and other faithful men in those parts, that you cause the error, if you shall have found any, in due form to be corrected, and that you cause full and swift justice therein to be done to the abbot, according to the tenor of our father's writ in this matter directed to you. And if by chance any difficulty arises whereby, without consulting us . . . the premises, then that you send us openly under seal as well the record before the said Justices as the record thereof taken before you, with all matters concerning the same, so that we may have them in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, wherever we may be in England, that then we may cause to be done whatever ought to be done. Witness ourself at Westminster, 24 May, a. r. 1.

By pretext of which mandate the record found in the rolls of Hilary term, 35 Edward I, was sent to the King in England, together with this writ. And they were delivered to brother Geoffrey Bal . . . , who sues for the said abbot, at Dublin on 11 July in the above year, to carry to the King in England.

The sheriff was commanded to levy one hundred and twenty marks of the lands, etc. of Gilbert son of Thomas de Caunteton, Walter son of William de Caunteton and Reginald son of . . . de Caunteton in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to Richard Taloun, who recovered them in court at Dublin against them, and to summon them to appear on this day. And the sheriff now returns that Gilbert, Walter and Reginald have nothing in his bailiwick whereof money can be made. At the instance of Richard, attesting in court here that Gilbert and the rest have goods, etc. of sufficient value, the sheriff is again commanded to levy as above and to summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and let the sheriff also be present in his own person.

m. 75

8 July YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 2.

Tipperary

Thomas, bishop of Meath, was commanded to send here the record of the plea which was in the King's court before Richard de Exon and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, Dublin, by writ between Baldwin le Fleming, plaintiff, and Richard Locard, tenant of one messuage two carucates of land and a half, two acres of meadow and twenty acres of pasture in Estmaynbeg, which by our said Justices was . . . before our beloved and faithful Walter Lenfaunt and his fellows, Justices Itinerant in the county of Tipperary, and which was pleaded for some time before the said Walter and afterwards before the said Thomas etc., if judgment thereon was given. And he sends them in these words:—

Pleas of juries and assizes before Thomas, bishop of Meath, assigned in place of Walter Lenfaunt, being elsewhere at the King's command, Walter de la Haye, John de Ponte, Alexander de Bikenore and William Alisaundre, Justices Itinerant at Cassell in the county of Tipperary, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, 33 Edward I, the day being continued till the morrow of S. Hilary, 34 Edward I.

Richard de Exon and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, sent to the Justiciar here the record in these words:—

Essoins before Richard de Exon and his fellows, Justices of the Bench, taken in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, 33 Edward I. Gilbert Lemagh v. Baldwin le Flemyng, of a plea of land, by John the clerk; John, son of Adam de Weguill of the same by Laurence son of Hugh, in the quinzaine of S. Martin. Affidavit. 1308

Pleas there before the same on the day and year above.

Baldewin le Fleming by his attorney seeks against Richard Locard one messuage, two and a half carucates of land, two acres of meadow and twenty acres of pasture in Astmaynebeg, which he claims to be his right and inheritance and into which Richard had not ingress until after the disseisin which Philip de Wygornia unjustly and without judgment did thereof to Stephen le Flemyng, great grandfather of Baldwin, whose heir he is, after the crossing of the late King Henry into Gascony, as he says. And he says that Stephen, his great grandfather, was seised of the said tenements in time of peace in the time of the late King Henry, and from Stephen the right to the said tenements descended to Baldwin, his son and heir, and from Baldwin to Richard, as son and heir, and from Richard the right descended to Baldwin, the present petitioner. And Richard comes and defends the right and says that Philip did not disseise Stephen, great grandfather of Baldwin, and of this he puts himself upon the country, and Baldwin likewise. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury here in the quinzaine of S. Martin, within which day the *iter* of the county of Tipperary was proclaimed, by virtue of which proclamation the said records came before the Justices here, and Baldwin by his attorney appeared against Richard, and Richard did not come, to wit, on the Wednesday after Trinity after that he appeared elsewhere in court before the Justices of the Bench, so that then the sheriff was ordered to take the said tenements into the King's hand, and to summon him to appear before the Justices to hear his judgment. On which day Baldwin by his attorney and Richard came, and Baldwin holds positively to the default of Richard which he made the Wednesday after that he appeared, and Richard says that the said default ought not to harm him, because he says that Baldwin and Richard elsewhere before the Justices of the Bench, Dublin, to wit, in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, joined issue, and Richard put himself on a jury of the country, as in the said record above is contained. On which day Richard retired from court *sine die*, so that on that day the plea was extinguished, and he seeks judgment whether by the said plea, which is extinguished, any default can now be adjudged against him. And Baldwin says that it is satisfactorily shown by the record sent to the Justices here that the parties had a day in the quinzaine of S. Martin, by which date in the record the sheriff was commanded to summon a jury to recognize in the said form. And thereupon came Richard son of Richard de Boyton, and says that Richard Locard held the tenements of Richard son of Richard for the life of Richard Locard, by the demise of Richard de Boyton, father of Richard son of Richard, to whom the reversion of the tenements belongs after the death of Richard Locard, and he prays to be admitted to defend his right . . . came and proffered security to answer for the issues in the meantime of the said tenements when final judgment thereon shall be given, and this by the form of the statute of the King thereof published, for which he prays that he may be admitted to defend. And Baldwin says that Richard de Boyton, father of Richard son of Richard, never had seisin so as to be able to demise to Richard Locard, and he is prepared to verify this by a jury. And Richard son of Richard says that it is not necessary that that demise should be verified by a jury, because he says that it is sufficient for him to proffer security to answer for the

1308 issues in the meantime, and he prays judgment. And Baldwin prays judgment, as Richard son of Richard refuses the verification of the said demise which Baldwin offers him. And afterwards Richard son of Richard was not a party to finding the said security to answer for the issues in the meantime, and Richard Locard has nothing else to say about saving the default. It is considered that Baldwin recover seisin against Richard Locard, and Locard in mercy for unjust detention.

And at the suit of Richard, complaining that in the said record manifest error has intervened, Baldwin, being premonished, now comes, and Richard complains that the Justices Itinerant erred, in that whereas in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist, to wit, the last day on which the parties joined issue in the Bench, that plea remained *sine die* and wholly discontinued, for this reason that the parties were not adjourned further to another day, as appears in that plea in the said quinzaine of S. John, where it is contained that the sheriff was commanded to summon a jury before the Justices of the Bench, without their prefixing that day to the parties, the Justices Itinerant, having no regard to this, that the record sent to them by the Justices of the Bench had been discontinued and wholly *sine die*, proceeded further in holding that plea by adjudging to Baldwin seisin of the tenements by default of Richard, notwithstanding that Richard, before the said Justices Itinerant, had clearly alleged the said discontinuance before judgment was given. And he prays that the said error may be corrected and justice done to him.

And Baldwin says that the Justices proceeded rightly, because he says that the parties in the said quinzaine of S. John joined issue before the Justices of the Bench and put themselves on a jury of the country, whereby it was sufficient to insert on the roll, "The sheriff was commanded to summon twelve men, etc.", because by the manifest presence of the party the prefixing of the day with the adjournment of the jury . . . . as appears in the allocation of the esoin where it is not said, "the same day is given, etc.", it is otherwise where anyone, being impleaded, calls to warranty, and being called, counterpleads warranty. So that a jury of the country is joined between them a day is not given to the called or the calling, but only the sheriff is commanded to summon a jury, and then it is necessary to prefix a day to the petitioner, whose presence does not appear on the record of that day, because he did not plead. The case is similar where the jury remains to some (other) day by default of the jury because the process does not show the presence of the parties, and he seeks judgment <sup>(1)</sup>.

And Richard says that as well in his case as in the said cases it is always necessary to insert in the roll, "the same day is given to the parties", and he prays instant judgment.

m. 75d

Tipperary

The sheriff was commanded to levy forty-three shillings and four pence of the lands, etc. of Henry Haket, late sheriff, in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to William de Bourn and his fellows, clerks, in full payment of four marks which William etc. in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Cassell recovered against Henry, and to summon him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that of the goods of Henry Haket for the debt contained in the writ the crop of twenty acres of wheat, worth forty pence an acre, has been taken and exposed for sale, and that buyers have not yet been found. Therefore the sheriff is commanded that, as well of these goods as of other the lands, etc. of Henry in his bailiwick, he levy the said money and deliver it to William and his

<sup>(1)</sup> This paragraph is queried in margin.



fellows, clerks. And let him summon him to appear in a month from Michaelmas. 1308

m. 76

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE QUIN-  
ZAINÉ OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 2. 8 July

(Writs from the King dated 5 and 6 June, a. r. 1, at Langley, together with transcript of statute of Winchester for use in Ireland. See *Statutes and ordinances, and acts of parliament of Ireland, King John to Henry V.*, pp. 244-253).

m. 76d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, DAY AND  
YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

Walter Lenfaunt produced here in court certain letters patent of the King under his great seal of England in these words and prays that they may be enrolled:—

England

Edward, etc., to Walter Lenfaunt, greeting. Know ye that we have appointed you our Justice to hold all pleas following our chief Justiciar of Ireland commenced and also to be commenced as well in the time of the late King as in our time, and to hear and terminate them according to the law and custom of our land of Ireland. And so we command you that you hear and terminate those pleas in manner aforesaid, to do therein what rightfully and according to the law and customs of the said land should be done, saving to us the ameracements and other things thereof belonging to us. In witness whereof we have caused these our letters patent to be made, to last during our good pleasure. Witness ourself at Bristol, 28 June, a. r. 1. By writ of privy seal.

The sheriff was commanded to levy eleven pounds, as well of that crop of twenty acres of land of Reymund Lercedekne sown with wheat and oats, worth forty pence an acre, which lately by the seneschal of the liberty of Kilkenny he took into the King's hand and delivered into the custody of John son of Thomas and John Cas because he found no buyers, as he returned in one month from Easter last, as of other the goods, etc. of Reymund in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to William de Bourn, clerk, assignee of Adam de Rupe, in full payment of the forty pounds which Johana de Valence in the court of the late King before John Wogan at Ferns assigned to Adam of the hundred pounds which Reymund in the said court acknowledged that he owed John, and whereof Reymund ought to have paid William the said eleven pounds at Michaelmas last and has not yet paid, as he says, and to summon him to appear here on this day. The sheriff was also commanded to attach the seneschal so that he should have him here to answer as well the King as William for not answering the King's writ as he was commanded. And the sheriff now returns that the seneschal was commanded to execute the said mandate, and that he returned that no goods of Reymund had been found except the crop aforesaid, for which he had not yet found buyers, and that ten marcates of rent of Reymund from Michaelmas term next <sup>(1)</sup> are inhibited in the hands of the tenants and are in the custody of Thomas Droill. And the sheriff returns that John Droill, seneschal of the said liberty, is attached by Stephen Droill and Henry le Harpour. And he comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy. And because it is attested in court here that Reymund has lands etc. of sufficient value, to wit, twenty pounds worth and more of rent in Gauylmoy and ten marcates of rent and more and one mill worth yearly four marks and more at

Dublin

(1) For 'Michaelmas term last' ?

1308 Thomaston in the said liberty, therefore the sheriff is commanded not to omit on account of the said liberty to levy the said money, as well of the said crop and ten marcates of rent as of other the lands and chattels in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to William, and to summon him to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. The sheriff is commanded to attach the seneschal to appear at the said term to answer as well the King for contempt as William for the damages he sustained by reason of the delay in executing the said writ.

The sheriff was commanded to levy thirty eight shillings and four pence of the lands and chattels of Laurence, valet of William de Berdesfeld, in his bailiwick, and deliver them to Hugh de Borham, which Laurence owes him for a cloak, a buckle and other goods, worth thirty eight shillings and four pence, which Laurence in court here at Dublin acknowledged to have received from Hugh and which or the price thereof he ought to have given him in the quinzaine of Easter last, and has not yet done so, and if the lands etc. of Laurence were not sufficient, then to levy the balance of the lands etc. of William de Berdesfeld and Hugh de Trikyngham, pledges of Laurence, in his bailiwick, and deliver it to Hugh de Borham and to summon him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that the mayor and bailiffs of Dublin were commanded to execute the said mandate, and they answer that Laurence has nothing in their bailiwick whereof they can make any money and Hugh de Trikyngham has nothing, and that they took into the King's hand of the goods etc. of William de Berdesfeld one wey of large salt worth twenty shillings and half a wey of small salt worth twelve shillings . . . . and four iron pikes worth eight shillings, for which they have found no buyers. Therefore at the instance of Hugh de Borham the sheriff is commanded that he cause the goods etc. to be delivered to Hugh de Borham at a reasonable price to the amount of the said money, and if they are not sufficient then to levy the balance of the other goods etc. of William and Laurence and Hugh de Trikyngham in his bailiwick and deliver them to Hugh de Borham and to summon them on the morrow of the Assumption.

m. 77

8 July YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN IN THE  
QUINZAIN OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 1. (1)

England The King sent the Justiciar his writ:—Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Nigel le Brun, who holds at our will our lands between the mountains of Toman and Carneleg in the parts of Leinster, to wit, three and a half carucates of land in Glencapy, one carucate in Balymakconyn, sixty acres in Balibrengan, one hundred and fifteen acres in Tirynchelach, twenty acres in Ferenser, twenty acres in Neckur, half a carucate in Garthlon, four carucates in Balycolgen, two carucates in Mondelouch and Glenery, three carucates and a half in Balicathel, Balychenit and Donderk, half a carucate in Coulcullyn, one carucate one hundred and ten acres in Balimakcorris and half a carucate in Garuath, by a commission to him thereof made under the seal of our Exchequer at Dublin, yielding therefor yearly to the Exchequer for every carucate of land one mark, as in the said commission is more fully contained, having prayed that he might be granted the said lands to hold to him and his heirs in fee farm by the said rent or by any other rent to be paid yearly, inquire whether there may be any loss to us or to others, etc., and the inquisition clearly made send under seals together with this writ. T. at Westminster, 24 May, a. r. 1.

(1) Thus

By pretext of which writ the Justiciar proceeded to take inquisition. 1308

Inquisition taken before John Wogan at Dublin, Wednesday after S. Mary Magdalene, a. r. 2, by John de Hereford, Andrew Tyrel, Bertrand Allot, Martin le Loung, Richard de Ballytermot, Walter Fox, Henry Kyssok, Robert Laudhary, Richard Beg, John de la Sale, John Halfhened and Robert Davy, who say that it is not to the damage or prejudice of the King or of others if the King gives Nigel the said lands to hold, etc. And they say that there are in the said parts twenty carucates of land, as appears by the above mentioned particulars, and that each carucate is worth yearly a mark and no more.

And be it known that the said writ together with the aforesaid inquisition was delivered to Nigel to carry to England, 25 July, in the above year.

It is granted by the Justiciar that Baldewyn de Stonore be presented by the King to the church of Roscre, vacant by the resignation of Master William de Caumpedene, late rector of the said church, and belonging to the presentation of the King. Therefore the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent to be made for Baldewyn to the bishop of Killaloe of the above presentation in the accustomed manner. Dated at Dublin, 1 August, a. r. 2. Roscre

William, archbishop of Tuam, was attached to answer the abess of Casta Silva of a plea wherefore, since the said abbey is of the King's advowson and founded by his ancestors, and the King is bound to defend it and the nuns there from injury, molestation or grievance, and since the archbishop had lately been commanded that in no way should he presume to injure, harm, damage or aggrieve the abess and her nuns contrary to the customs which they have hitherto used and were accustomed . . . . in the time of the predecessors of the archbishop, nevertheless he, by himself and his servants so much the more aggrieved and many times oppressed the abess and her nuns and her said house by exactions of undue visitations and procurations, and does not desist from daily aggrieving and oppressing them, and did enter the abbey with an intolerable multitude of men and horses, did consume and destroy wheat and oats and other goods and chattels of the abess, and did inflict other outrages upon her, to the contempt and disherison of the King and to the no small damage of the abess and against the peace. And . . . . in the time of the predecessors of the archbishop . . . . between Florence, archbishop of Tuam, and one Orly, abess . . . . on the manner of the visitation of the archbishop in the said abbey. (1).

m. 77d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, THE DAY AND YEAR ABOVE WRITTEN.

Afterwards, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, 3 Edward II, at Dublin, to wit, the abess in her own person and the archbishop by Maurice Houne, his attorney, and because it appears to the court here that the recognition of such sort of trespass done against the King's peace belongs to the King's court, the archbishop is told to make further answer, who says that he has nothing further to say. And the abess seeks judgment against the archbishop as it were in defence. Therefore by the assent of the abess it is considered that she recover against him her damages,

(1) Cal. has note, "the rest of the page is greatly torn."

1308 and let the archbishop be taken. And the sheriff is commanded to summon him to appear in the octave of S. Hilary, unless Roger de Clen . . . ., Justice assigned, first hold an inquest, etc. And be it known that the tenor of the said letters of composition is as follows:—

This is the form of peace entered into by the authority and counsel of D . . . ., bishop of Killaloe, judge delegate of the Pope and . . . . archdeacon and other prudent men, between the archbishop of Tuam on the one part and lady Orlich, abbess, and the convent of nuns of Casta Silva on the other part, on . . . . upon which controversy we have seen and inspected a bull of Pope Honorius which has not been abolished, cancelled or in any way vitiated, containing, among other things, that the said abbess and nuns of the said house be allowed to conform to the order of S. Benedict of the Cistercian order, and to change and relinquish the habit and rule of S. Augustine of the Arroasian order, whereof we by the counsel . . . . of our chapter have for ever granted these our letters for us, our heirs and successors, to the said abbess and convent and their successors . . . . sons of holy mother church, to whom they shall have come . . . . Florence, by the grace of God archbishop of Tuam, eternal greeting in the Lord. Know all ye by these presents that we by the council of the legate and consent of O., dean of our cathedral, and of our canons and chapter, have granted to the lady abess of the convent . . . . . (the remainder of the entry is torn and mostly illegible).

Limerick

The sheriff was again commanded to levy fifteen pounds thirteen shillings and four pence of the lands, etc. of Walter Maunsel, chief serjeant of the fee in the said county, and to deliver them to Agnes de Valence, of the twenty pounds which Agnes in court at Catherlagh recovered against Walter. The sheriff was also again commanded to levy ten marks of his own lands, etc. and deliver them to Agnes, which she in the said court at Catherlagh recovered against the said sheriff, and to summon Walter to appear on this day, and to appear himself to hear his sentence.

And the sheriff now returns that all the lands, etc. of Walter in the said county have been taken into the King's hand by a writ of the Exchequer, and the extent and valuation thereof are returned into the Exchequer, and the issues arising therefrom are paid there during the arrival of that writ, so that nothing can be levied from him. And the sheriff as regards himself returned that he had nothing in that county. And likewise he returned that he was in the marches of Oconyl with the *posse comitatus* to guard the marches by command of Maurice de Rupeforte, so that he could not be present on this day. And because he came not, nor sent on his behalf any responsible person, therefore let him, Cambinus Donatus, be heavily in mercy. And because Agnes by her attorneys assigned to William de Bourn, clerk, one hundred shillings to be received out of the twenty pounds which Walter owes her, therefore, at the instance of William, the sheriff of Dublin is commanded to levy one hundred shillings of the lands, etc. of Walter in his bailiwick and to deliver them to William, and to summon him to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. And at the instance of the said attorneys of Agnes the sheriff is a third time commanded to levy ten pounds, thirteen shillings and four pence of the lands, etc. of Walter, and deliver them to Agnes. And at the suit of the said attorneys, asserting that the sheriff, Cambinus Donatus, has lands of sufficient value in county Cork, the sheriff of that county is commanded to levy the said ten marks of the lands of Cambinus in his bailiwick and deliver them to Agnes.

m. 78

1308

COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON THE MORROW OF  
S. JAMES THE APOSTLE, a. r. 2.

26 July

Cork

The sheriff was commanded to summon Philip, son of Odo de Barry to appear on this day to show cause why the hundred and twenty marks which he in court on the quinzaine of S. Hilary 33 Edward I, at Dublin before Edmund le Botiller, late Custos, acknowledged that he owed Thomas son of Philip for the marriage of John, son of the said Thomas, to the use of Johanna, daughter of Philip, whereof he ought to have paid him forty marks at Easter following, and forty marks at Michaelmas following and the same the following Easter, should not be levied of his lands, etc., to the use of John, son and heir of Thomas, if he should deem it expedient. And Philip, having been premonished by Philip de Kent, Geoffrey le Loung, Maurice du Vaal and Thomas Uddard, now comes, and John complains that, whereas Philip acknowledged as above that he owed Thomas, father of John, who is his heir, one hundred and twenty marks, which he ought to have paid on the above days to Thomas or John, his son and heir, in no way has he made satisfaction. Wherefore he prays that execution may issue against Philip to the benefit of John. And Philip says that no action can be taken by John in this behalf, because he says that an action for obtaining a debt due to a deceased person belongs to the executors of the deceased and not to the heir. And as John seeks the said debt as heir, therefore he seeks for judgment. And John cannot deny this. Therefore it is considered that he get nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And Philip *sine die*.

The King sent his close writ to his Justiciar here and to the Treasurer and Barons of his Exchequer in Dublin, in these words:—

England

Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. We command you that, on taking sufficient surety from William son of John that he will well and faithfully demean himself in the office of sheriff of the county of Limerick and of the constableness of the castle there, you cause to be committed to him the said . . . and castle to be guarded during our pleasure, by our letters patent under our seal which we use in Ireland, so that he may answer to us for the issues arising therefrom at our Exchequer so long as he shall have the said custody. Witness myself at Redyng, 16 June, a. r. I.

And John le Poer, baron of Donoyll, and Reginald Broun, knights, and John son of Thomas son of Philip and David Broun, are pledges of the said William son of Philip (1) henceforth till the Nativity of the B. V. M. next, that in the meantime he will demean himself well and faithfully in the said office and faithfully answer for the issues. And on that day let William find better security. And the Chancellor is commanded to cause to be made letters patent for William of the said office of the said constableness. And as to the office of sheriff, let the Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer, Dublin, etc.

The protection of the King's peace is granted to Bernard son of Elia, accused of certain felonies and trespasses by him committed against the King's peace, as he is about to set out on the King's service to Scotland in the company of Eustace le Poer, until he shall be able to return from the said service, provided that Bernard shall not turn aside to the liberty of Catherlagh . . . . Dated at Dublin, 5 August in the above year.

Limerick

(1) Name varies in cal.

1308 The protection of the King's peace is granted to Philip, son of Mathew le Poer, Eustace, son of Mathew le Poer, and Robert Daundon, accused of certain trespasses and felonies by them committed against the King's peace, as they are about to set out on the King's service to Scotland in the company of Eustace le Poer, until they shall be able to return from the said service. And the Chancellor is commanded, etc. Dated . . . in the above year <sup>(1)</sup>.

m. 78d

2 Aug. COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON THE MORROW OF S. PETER AD VINCULA IN THE ABOVE YEAR.

Dublin The sheriff was commanded to levy four pounds of the lands, etc. of Simon de Colbrok and Geoffrey de Belynges in his bailiwick and deliver to William de Bourn, clerk, assignee of John de Circester, twenty shillings, to John de Patrikchurch, clerk, assignee of the said John, twenty shillings, to Nicholas, clerk, senior, and Nicholas, clerk, junior, assignees etc., twenty shillings, to William de Meon, clerk, assignee of the said John, thirteen shillings and four pence, and to Walter de Spynevill and his fellows, assignees of the said John, six shillings and eight pence, of the seventeen pounds nine shillings and two pence which John de Circester in court at Dublin recovered against them, and to summon them here on this day. And the sheriff now answers that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of Simon the crop of five acres sown with wheat and one acre sown with barley, worth five shillings an acre, and the crop of three acres sown with oats, worth four shillings an acre, and the crop of two acres sown with beans and peas, worth four shillings an acre, and three young pigs worth six pence and hay worth twelve pence, and of the goods of Geoffrey he took into the King's hand the crop of two acres sown with oats, worth four shillings an acre, for which he has not found buyers, and that they have no more goods in his bailiwick. And at the instance of William de Bourn and others, testifying that Simon and Geoffrey have other goods, etc. of sufficient value, the sheriff is commanded that, as well of those goods as of others, he cause to be levied the said money and deliver it in manner aforesaid. And let him summon them to appear here on the morrow of the Nativity of the B. V. M., and let him be there himself, etc.

John de Bonevill acknowledges that he owes Alexander de Bikenore forty pounds which he will pay him in the quinzaine of Michaelmas next. Afterwards Alexander comes here in the octave of Trinity and acknowledges that he has been satisfied.

The sheriff was commanded to levy one hundred marks, as well of those lands, etc. of Henry de Thrapston which he lately took into the King's hand, as he returned in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist last, as of other the lands, etc. in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to Thomas, bishop of Emly, Chancellor of Ireland, which Thomas in court at Dublin recovered against Henry, and to summon him to appear on the morrow of S. James the Apostle last. And the sheriff on that day returned that the goods of Henry found in Herues . . . are being kept for default of buyers, and thirty-five pounds of Henry's formerly taken into the King's hand <sup>(2)</sup>.

<sup>(1)</sup> Cal. has note:—"The rest of the membrane has perished."

<sup>(2)</sup> Cal. has note:—"The rest of the folio is too much injured to decipher."

m. 79

1308

COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN ON THE MORROW OF  
THE ASSUMPTION, a. r. 2. 16 Aug.

The sheriff was commanded to levy ten marks of the lands, etc. of Stephen de Exon, John le Petyt, Adam de Exon and Philip Burnel in his bailiwick and deliver to William de Bourn, clerk, assignee of Luke de Nettrevill, James de Nettrevill, Thomas de Nettrevill and William de Nettrevill, four marks, and to John de Patrikchurch, clerk, assignee of the said Luke, James, Thomas and William de Nettrevill, four shillings, and to Nicholas, clerk, senior, assignee of the said Luke, James, Thomas and William de Nettrevill, four shillings, which Luke etc. in court at Dublin recovered against them, and to summon them to appear on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of Stephen and Philip ten oxen worth six shillings and eight pence, six afers worth five shillings each and the crop of four acres sown with wheat, worth six shillings an acre. And because the sheriff answers nothing about John le Petit and Adam de Exon, therefore let him, Ririth, son of John, be heavily in mercy, and he is assessed by the court at forty shillings. And the sheriff is commanded that, as well of the said goods as of other the lands, etc. of Stephen and Philip and likewise of John le Petyt and Adam in his bailiwick he levy the said money and deliver it to William and the rest in manner aforesaid, and to summon them to appear on the morrow of the Nativity of the B.V.M. And the sheriff returned on this day that the goods already taken are being safely kept, and that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of Stephen and Philip the crop of ten acres sown with wheat and oats, worth six shillings an acre, which goods have not yet found buyers. Therefore at the instance of the said clerk the sheriff is again commanded as above, and to summon them to appear on the morrow of All Souls, and let him be there to hear his sentence.

Meath

The sheriff was commanded to levy twelve marks of the lands, etc. of Gilbert le Paumer in his bailiwick and deliver them to William Alisaundre and Philip Hughelot, of the eighty marks which Gilbert in court at Tristeldermot acknowledged that he owed William and Philip, and of which he ought to have paid them the said twelve marks at Pentecost last, and has not yet paid, and to summon him to appear here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that John Helewys, chief serjeant of Omurthy, so answered, viz., that of the lands, etc. of Gilbert he took into the King's hand the crop of thirty acres sown with wheat and oats, worth six shillings an acre, and that the sale thereof was proclaimed but that he has not found buyers, and that the goods have been handed over for safe keeping to Thomas de Eyeteleye, Richard de Eyeteleye and William Semblaunt. And thereupon comes William and complains that the sheriff was able to find cows, hoggets and other cattle, of which he could have levied the money if he had wished. And he seeks judgment of the said false return. And the sheriff, Hugh Canoun, being present here in court, vouches the return as being the reply of the said serjeant of the fee, and prays that he, the serjeant, may come as well as the sheriff to exonerate him. And at the instance of William, the complainant, it is granted. And the sheriff is again commanded to levy the money and deliver it to William and Philip, and to summon the defendants to appear on the quinzaine of Michaelmas. Also the sheriff is commanded to attach John Helewys, chief serjeant, and to have him here at the said term, to answer as well the King as Philip

Kildare

1308 and William and also the sheriff as to why he was unwilling to answer the King's writ, as he was commanded. The same day is given to the sheriff in court (1).

m. 79d

YET OF COMMON PLEAS AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR,  
DAY AND YEAR ABOVE MENTIONED.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded not to omit on account of the liberty of Trim to levy twenty-one pounds of the lands, etc. of Walter Troman, Nicholas Doyloun of Dromlegyn and John Gerrous in his bailiwick and to deliver them to Thomas de Penkeston, which Thomas in court at Dublin recovered against them, and to summon them to appear on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he commanded Nicholas Passelewe, sub-sheriff of Dublin, to execute the said precept, and that he replied that Walter has nothing in the said county whereof money could be made, for this reason, that he alienated to Thomas Troman, his son, all his land, etc. during the coming of the said writ, and that Nicholas has nothing, for this reason, that long before the coming of that writ he demised his lands and tenements, etc. to Richard Mauvesyn for the term of fifteen years and received money therefor, and that John Gerrous is dead and had no lands in his bailiwick. Therefore the sheriff is commanded to levy the said money of the lands, etc. of Walter, Nicholas and John in the octave of the Purification last, to whosoever hands they shall have come, in his bailiwick, and to deliver it to Thomas, and to summon them to appear in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and to be there himself.

Dublin

The sheriff was commanded not to omit on account of the liberty of Weisford to levy five marks of the lands, etc. of John son of Daniel Ketyng and Thomas his brother in his bailiwick, and to deliver them to John de Patrikchurche, clerk, assignee of William son of Robert de la Sale, out of the twenty pounds which William in court at Dublin recovered against them and Thomas, son and heir of William Ketyng, their pledge for the said money, and if the lands, etc. of John son of Daniel and Thomas his brother in his bailiwick are not sufficient, then to levy the deficit of the lands, etc. of Thomas, son and heir of William, in his bailiwick, and deliver it to John de Patrikchurch, and to summon them to appear on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he took into the King's hand of the goods, etc. of John son of Daniel seven oxen worth forty shillings, for which he has not yet found buyers, and that John has no more goods in his bailiwick, and that Thomas Ketyng and Thomas, son and heir of William Ketyng, have nothing in his bailiwick. And at the instance of John de Patrikchurche, testifying that John and the others have goods, etc. of sufficient value, and likewise praying that the said goods might be delivered to him at a reasonable price, the sheriff is commanded not to omit to cause those goods, etc. to be delivered at a reasonable price to John, up to the sum of the said money, and that the rest, if any there be, he cause to be levied of the other lands, etc. of John and Thomas his brother in his bailiwick, and deliver it to John de Patrikchurche, in full payment of the said money. And let him summon them to appear on the morrow of the Exaltation of the Cross, and let him be there also.

(1) Cal. has note:—"Next entry almost entirely destroyed."



## JUSTICIARY ROLL, 2 EDWARD II.

Roll 90, m. 1

1309

YET OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT CASS. BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO,  
LOCUM TENENS OF THE JUSTICIAR, ON THE MORROW OF S. HILARY,  
a. r. 2. 14 Jan.

Walter de Cantewell acknowledges that he owes John Renagh and Agnes his wife eleven marks, eight shillings and two pence, which he will pay immediately; if not, he grants that the sheriff levy it of his lands and chattels. Tipperary

Assize of novel disseisin. If Stephen le Poer and William le Poer disseised Peter Stykehare of his freehold in Caryde, one messuage, five-score acres of land, seven acres of meadow, eight acres of turbary and twelve acres of pasture. Tipperary

Stephen comes and answers, as bailiff for William, that he claims nothing and made no injury or disseisin, and of this he puts himself on the assize. And for himself he says that Walter Stikehare, father of Peter, was seised of the tenements in fee and demised them to Stephen in fee, so that he had entry by Walter and not by disseisin. Peter says that Walter, father of Peter, whose heir he is, demised the tenements to Stephen for term of years, and not in fee, and after the term ended, Walter being dead, Peter entered and was in good and peaceful seisin until Stephen and William disseised him, and of this he puts himself on the assize. Stephen and William likewise. Therefore let the assize be taken. And because Roger Turbeville, a recognitor summoned comes not, therefore in mercy.

The jurors say that Walter, father of Peter, demised the tenements to Peter <sup>(1)</sup> for term of years, and not in fee, and after the term ended Peter entered and was in good and peaceful seisin as of freehold until Stephen and William disseised him. Judgment that Peter recover his seisin. And Stephen and William in mercy. No damages because the land is improved.

Assize of novel disseisin. If Robert son of James de Ketyng and John son of Robert disseised James de Ketyng of his freehold in Fertene, one messuage, one watermill, nine score acres of land, three acres of meadow and ten acres of moor. Tipperary

John and Robert come. John answers as tenant that he has the tenements by demise of Robert in pledge until he pay him forty pounds. And he calls Robert to warranty, who freely warrants him and says that he has entry by James and not by disseisin, for James demised the tenements to him for term of James' life, and he proffers letters patent under the name of James which testify this. And he seeks judgment. James says that whatever writings John may have, he has entry by disseisin, and prays that this may be inquired by the assize. John and Robert likewise. Therefore let the assize be taken, which at the instance of the plaintiff remains to be taken, for want of recognitors, before the

(1) Thus.

1309 justice assigned at the next coming. And the sheriff is directed to have their bodies, and beside them *tot et tales*. The writ close remained with the sheriff and the patent with the party. And Simon Heved, John le Venne, Philip Wyard, Oliver Broun, Maurice le Venne, Henry le Whyte and Henry le Jeofne, recognitors, summoned come not, therefore in mercy.

Tipperary Geoffrey, son of Henry de Salle, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against Edmund le Botiller and others of tenements in Lyssynhethan, Lyssinossery, Moycark, Gortemellan and Kylcad, does not prosecute. Therefore he and his pledges to prosecute, to wit, William de Salle and Simon de Salle, in mercy. Edmund and the others *sine die*.

Tipperary Assize of novel disseisin. If Edmund le Botiller, Richard le Botiller, William, son of Thomas de Burgo, John, son of Stephen de Burgo, Gilbert Brun and Donnaghuth O Beran disseised Philip Comyn of his freehold in Moylaghta, one messuage, ten score acres of land, two acres of meadow and six score acres of wood. Edmund and William come. John, son of Stephen de Burgo and Richard are dead; therefore of them nothing. Gilbert and Donnaghuth come not, but William answers as their bailiff. Edmund says that one Thomas de Burgo held the tenements of him, Edmund, by service which gives custody by the custom of the land, when he died: by which he entered the tenements in name of custody by reason of the minority of William, without doing any injury or disseisin to Philip. William says for himself that the assize ought not to be taken between them, because Thomas his father, whose heir he is, died seised of the tenements in fee, after whose death he entered by hereditary succession. And he prays judgment. For Gilbert and Donnaghuth he says that they did no injury or disseisin, and of this he puts himself on the assize. Philip says that he was in good and peaceful seisin of the tenements as of freehold until Edmund and the others disseised him, and of this he puts himself on the assize. Edmund and the others likewise. Therefore let the assize be taken. Richard Sowys, a recognitor, comes not, and was . . . by Philip and John Sowys: therefore they in mercy. And Ralph son of John, a recognitor, summoned comes not; therefore in mercy.

The jurors say that Thomas, father of William, whose heir he is, held a moiety of the tenements of one William le Bret. And William le Bret, who held the other moiety in demesne, demised in fee that moiety with all the *dominium* which he had therein to Philip. And because Thomas would not attorn to Philip, Philip gave the moiety which he so had from William le Bret, so that Thomas should attorn to Philip. And so they say that . . . de Burgo died seised in demesne as of fee of the said tenements, so that Philip, after the demise which he so made to Thomas of the said moiety, had no seisin of the tenements as of freehold whereof he could be disseised. Judgment that Philip take nothing by his writ, but be in mercy for false claim. And Edmund and the others *sine die*.

Tipperary Thomas Renagh acknowledges that he owes Reginald McOtyr half a mark, which he will pay immediately; if not, let the sheriff levy of his lands, etc.

Tipperary Walter, son of Thomas Leynagh, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against Robert, son of James Ketyng, and others, of tenements in Aleweyestoun and Leynaghstoun, does not prosecute. Therefore he and his pledges to prosecute, to wit, Thomas Leynagh and Richard son of . . . And Robert and the others *sine die*. And the mercies are assessed by the court at five shillings.

Thomas Leynagh, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against Robert, son of James Ketyng, and others . . . . Leynaghestoun, does not prosecute. Therefore he and his pledges to prosecute, to wit, Thomas and Walter Leynagh, in mercy. And Robert and the others . . . . And the mercies are assessed by the court at five shillings.

1309  
Tipperary

Hugh le Chapeleyn, who brought a writ of novel disseisin against John Broun and Johanna his wife and Hugh . . . . DrimmcBarran, does not prosecute. Therefore he and his pledges to prosecute, to wit, John son of Robert and Edmund son of John . . . . *sine die*. Afterwards the mercies . . . . (membrane torn).

Tipperary

m. 1d

YET OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT CASS. BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO,  
LOCUM TENENS, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Assize of novel disseisin. If Odo, son of Philip de Barry, junior, Thomas, son of Peter de Bermyngeham, Eustace de Bermyngeham, Henry de Bermyngeham, Reymund de Bermyngeham and Clement Colenagh disseised Odo, son of Philip de Barry, senior, of his freehold in Lisboing, one messuage, a third part of two watermills, two carucates of land, twelve acres of meadow, sixty acres of wood, forty acres of pasture and twenty-two marks of rent.

Tipperary

Robert de Bermyngeham comes and answers as bailiff for Odo junior, Thomas, Eustace, Henry, Reymund and Clement. For Odo junior he answers as tenant and says that Philip, father of Odo junior, whose heir he is, died seised of the tenements in demesne as of fee, after whose death Odo entered as son and heir. For Thomas he says that Philip held the tenements of John de Bermyngeham when he died, whereby he entered the tenements in the name of John by reason of the minority of Odo junior, claiming custody of the tenements to the use of John. For Eustace and the others he says that they claim nothing and did no injury or disseisin. And of this he puts himself on the assize. Odo, son of Philip de Barry, senior, says that Philip junior never had estate of freehold in the tenements, but was only bailiff of Odo senior. He prays that it be inquired by the assize. Odo junior likewise. Therefore let the assize be taken.

Henry son of Hugh, Adam son of Roger, Thomas Oyebourne, Thomas Rymbaud, Hugh le Waleys, Walter le Jeofne, Thomas Ranid, John son of Laurence, Henry son of Walter, John le Jeofne, Gilbert de Nasse, Richard de Nasse, Henry Tyrel and Hugh Tyrel, recognitors, summoned come not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards at the instance of the plaintiff the assize remains to be taken before the Justices assigned at the next coming, for want of recognitors. And the sheriff is directed to have the bodies, etc., and beside them *tot et tales*. The writ close remains with the sheriff, and the patent with the party.

m. 4

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT CASSELL BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM  
TENENS, TUESDAY AFTER S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

14 Jan.

Thomas Wlf *v.* Robert Falyagh, a serjeant of the King in this country, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that Robert did not . . . .

Tipperary

- 1309 Thomas in any sum . . . . nor did he sustain any damage by Robert. Judgment that Thomas take nothing by his plaint but be in mercy for false claim. Robert *sine die*.
- Tipperary Thomas Fyvel complains of Henry de Norwych that Henry detains seven marks which he ought to have paid him on the morrow of the nativity of the B.V.M. last. Henry comes and cannot deny that he owes the seven marks. Judgment that Thomas recover the seven marks and his damages, taxed by the court at twenty shillings. And Henry in mercy for unjust detention.
- Tipperary David Cosin *v.* Robert Haket of Okonagh. It is found by the jury that Robert was bailiff of David at Balylogh and received of the money of David twenty-seven shillings seven years ago. Judgment that David recover the twenty-seven shillings and his damages, taxed by the jury at one mark. And Robert in mercy.
- Tipperary David Cosin *v.* Robert Haket of Okonagh of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that David did not deliver to Robert a horse worth ten marks, to be rendered to the lord of Okonagh in part payment of twenty pounds by which David made fine with the said lord for his marriage, as David complains, but one Peter Ballagh de Birmingham delivered the horse to Robert in said form, to wit, to acquit David of ten marks against the lord of Okonagh. And afterwards Peter came to Robert and prayed him to restore the horse to him, which he did on receipt of one . . . . Judgment that David take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And Robert *sine die*. Afterwards the mercy is pardoned by the locum tenens, etc.
- Tipperary Richard de Valle and Alicia his wife complain of Henry Luffan that Henry unjustly detains a letter obligatory under the seal of Hugh, Philip, Robert and Thomas Purcel for seven score marks, which said Hugh, Philip, Robert and Thomas owe to Adam le Blound, deceased, and said Alicia, late his wife, to be paid to Adam and Alicia or their executors or one of them. Henry comes and acknowledges that he has the said writing so to be kept. Judgment that Henry restore to them the said writing, which he did in court here.
- Tipperary Adam le Blound *v.* Walter de Cauntewell of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that Walter ejected Adam from a farm of fourteen acres which he had by demise of William de Cauntetoun<sup>(1)</sup>, brother of Walter, whose heir he is, for the term of sixteen years whereof eight years are now past, and under colour of rent which he exacted from Adam for the term of Michaelmas last he took two afers of Adam worth one mark, which he yet detains, knowing that Adam owed him no rent for the said land. Likewise when Walter had to assign a dower to a woman, he of malice assigned her one and a half acres of the said land of Adam sown with corn and oats, by which Adam lost the crop. Likewise he assigned to the said woman, to wit, Matilda Harold, . . . . of the said William, a curtilage of the said land of Adam in which Adam had flax . . . . hemp, which he lost by such assignment. Judgment that Adam recover . . . . for ejectionment of the farm and his damages, taxed by the jury at forty shillings. And . . . . of the said two afers, to wit, one mark, and his damages for the taking and detaining of the said two afers, taxed by the jury at forty shillings, and also damages for the crop of the said one and a half acres, taxed by the jury at four shillings, and also his damages for the said herbs, flax, leeks and hemp, taxed by the jury at two shillings. And let Walter be committed to gaol. Afterwards Walter

(<sup>1</sup>) *Recte* Cauntewell.

made fine by half a mark by pledge of Henry Laffan and Philip Haket, knight. And Henry and Philip are pledges of Walter for the said damages, etc. 1309

Hamund Gascoyn *v.* Nicholas Leyna (unfinished). Tipperary

Hamo le Gascoyn complains of Nicholas Leynagh that certain thieves in county Waterford stole . . . big cows and fat, of the cows of the said Hamo, and drove them through the middle of the land of Nicholas, and certain of Nicholas' men rescued the cows and delivered them to Nicholas, who caused them to be slaughtered, to the grave damage of Hamo, whereof he prays remedy. And Nicholas comes and says that his men rescued six such cows . . . (entry much damaged). Tipperary

m. 4d

YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT CASSELL BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, 14 Jan.  
LOCUM TENENS, TUESDAY AFTER S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

Sibilla de Salle *v.* Richard Cothelyn of Ardmayl. (unfinished). Tipperary

Alexander le Blound for tumult in court in mercy and assessed at half a mark. Afterwards mercy pardoned by the Justiciar. (Entry partly struck out). Tipperary

Sibilla de Salle complains of Richard Cothelyn of Artmayl that he unjustly detains twelve shillings and a penny which he ought to have rendered to her on the morrow of S. Laurence, a. r. 1. Richard comes and cannot deny that he owes her the said twelve shillings and one penny. Judgment that Sibilla recover against Richard the said twelve shillings and one penny. And Richard in mercy for unjust detention. No damages because Sibilla remitted them. Tipperary

Sibilla de Salle, executrix of the will of Geoffrey de Salle her late husband, complains of Andrew de Bannebyr' that he unjustly detains eight dakers of cow hides worth twenty four shillings each, which he ought to have rendered to her at the Purification, a. r. 28 Edward I. Andrew comes and cannot deny that he owes her the said debt. Judgment that Sibilla recover against Andrew the said eight dakers of hides or eight pounds, at which they are valued, and her damages, taxed by the court at a hundred shillings. And Andrew in mercy. Afterwards Sibilla assigned to John de Patrikchurch, clerk, the said debt and damages. Tipperary  
Connaught

Richard son of Michael offers himself on the fourth day against Simon son of Michael of a plea of trespass, but he comes not, and the sheriff is commanded to attach him. The sheriff did nothing, but says that one Richard Ketyng, to whom he delivered the said precept, answers nothing. And because the sheriff has no excuse for such default of serjeants, therefore he, viz. Fulk de Fraxineto, in mercy. And he is commanded as before to attach him and have him here on Wednesday after the octave of S. Hilary, to answer Richard of a plea of trespass. Afterwards, because Richard Ketyng, one of the serjeants in the county, cannot deny that he received the said precept and has not executed it, therefore let him acquit the sheriff of the said mercy. Tipperary

William de MOUNTY complains of Richard son of Michael of Balyckerkan that he unjustly detains eighteen shillings of silver which he ought to have paid him at Pentecost, a. r. 28 Edward I. Richard comes and cannot deny that William, in the court of the late King in this town of Tipperary

- 1309 Cashel before Edmond le Botiller, then *locum tenens* of the Justiciar, recovered against him thirty-six shillings, of which eighteen shillings are yet in arrear. And he prays judgment whether action lies for William to demand the said debt by such plaint, as he can recover by writ of judgment *de fieri faciendo*, as is customary in debts acknowledged or recovered, of which plea ought not to be repeated in court.

William prays immediate judgment as Richard acknowledges the debt. And as to Richard's statement that William recovered the debt before Edmund le Botiller, William says that he never recovered before the said Edmund, but that Richard puts this forward . . . false answer he ought to be quit of mercy for such detention. And likewise . . . he might be excluded from his damages. Therefore let execution proceed against Richard by William for the said eighteen shillings. And a day is given to them before the Justiciar at the next coming to the county to hear his judgment, because the rolls of Edmund are not yet present. Meanwhile let Richard sue at his own risk that the rolls will be searched.

Philip St . . . complains of Ralph Bourdoun, serjeant of the king, that he distrained him to pay fifteen shillings for green wax for plevin of John Stake which Philip paid Ralph who mainprised to acquit him. Ralph comes and cannot deny that he received the fifteen shillings of Philip for the king's work, and says that he paid them to Geoffrey Haket, attorney of Henry Haket, sheriff, to whom he paid fourpence for having the tally thereof, and Geoffrey has hitherto refused him the tally. And because Ralph cannot deny the receipt of the money and has not yet acquitted him of it, whereby Philip was grievously distrained for the debt, judgment that Philip recover against him the said fifteen shillings, and his damages, to be taxed by the court.

m. 5

14 Jan. YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM TENENS,  
TUESDAY AFTER S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

**Tipperary** Philip Neste of Clonmel offers himself on the fourth day against Remund de Carreu of Tybrit of a plea of debt. And he comes not. And the sheriff returned that he was attached by Philip de Carreu and Michael de London. Therefore they in mercy. And the sheriff is commanded to distrain Remund by all his lands, and that he have his body on this Friday instant to answer Philip of the said plea.

**Tipperary** John Cod *v.* Roger Turbevill of Athlissel of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that Roger put John in plevin against James de Wincestre, merchant, of six stone of wool which he ought to have paid to James at the feast of the apostles SS. Philip and James, a. r. 34 Edward I. And for default of the said payment James recovered against John before John Wogan, Justiciar of Ireland, the said six stone of wool and his damages, taxed at thirty shillings, which John paid to James. Judgment that John recover against Roger thirty shillings and his damages, taxed by the jury at ten shillings. And Roger in mercy.

**Tipperary** Roger Weymud and Agnes his wife complain of James de Hareberge that, when certain lands of James were taken into the King's hand for a felony and were given to one William Many Wrenche, first husband of Agnes, by the coroner to keep, to answer to the King for the issues, James afterwards obtained the King's peace and entered the lands without warrant. After the death of William, first husband of Agnes,

there were levied of the goods of Agnes which were William's thirteen shillings and six pence for the issues of the lands from the time when James so held them without warrant. James comes and cannot deny it. Judgment that Roger and Agnes recover against James the said thirteen shillings and six pence. And James in mercy. 1309

John son of Walter Maunsell *v.* Walter Mulcot and William son of David, of a plea of trespass. It was found by the jury that Walter and William had forty sheep of the goods of John which were taken into the King's hand for the debts of Walter Maunsell his father and delivered to Walter and William . . . to the said Walter. And because it was found that Walter and William had delivery of the said beasts by the delivery of Edward de Tonebrigge, attorney . . . not nominated . . . of John, judgment that John take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim: and Walter Mulcot and William *sine die*. Tipperary

Henry le Chaundeller complains of William de Monte that he on Tuesday after the Circumcision, a. r. . . . Edward I, in the town of Clonmell in the cellar of John de Landaf took four tuns of the wine of Henry against his will. William comes and says that he received there four tuns of wine which he had of the will of one . . . in whose possession the wines were, and who from the same as from his own goods . . . And he says further that . . . the wines of Henry. And he prays inquiry. And Henry likewise. Therefore let inquiry be made by the jury. Tipperary

The jury say that Henry and one William le Paumer, now deceased, had ten tuns . . . from Waterford to Clonmell and afterwards in the cellar of John de Landaf, whereof one tun . . . in ullage, and remained there by reason of putrefaction. And Henry received thereof two tuns. And William de Monte, at the request of master Elias, who intermeddled with the wine, together with . . . tuns of wine of the earl of Ulster to be placed in the cellar, came to Clonmell and took the remaining four tuns of wine, asserting that master Elias sold the said wines to him, said wines belonging not to master Elias but to Henry, and William le Paumer . . . which four tuns William de Monte converted to his own use. Asked about the value of the four tuns, they say that each tun was worth sixty shillings. (The whole of this entry is struck out.)

Henry le Chaundeller, executor of the will of William le Paumer, *v.* William de Monte, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that William de Monte did not take in the town of Clonmell against the will of the said executor four tuns of wine from the wine of the said deceased, because William de Monte only . . . there four tuns of wine which belonged to Henry and William le Paumer in common, as was proved by the jury taken above between Henry and William le Paumer. Judgment that the said executor take nothing by his plaint but be in mercy for false claim. And William de Monte *sine die*. (This entry is struck out.) Tipperary

Thomas Broun complains of Walter de Cantewell, brother and heir of William de Cantewell, that William put him in plevin against William de Monte of eleven marks eight shillings and . . . pence, for which Thomas is distrained, and of which William de Cantewell . . . acquit him. W . . . comes and cannot deny that he put Thomas in plevin against . . . Judgment that Thomas recover against Walter the said eleven . . . and his damages, taxed by the court at one mark. And Walter in mercy.

Robert le Northryn of Cassell *v.* Hugh . . . William Brunn of Cnok and Henry Norwyich. (The rest of the entry is much mutilated.)

1309

m. 5d

YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND  
YEAR AS ABOVE.

- Tipperary Thomas de Wavill *v.* Thomas, prior of the house of S. Edmund of Athissel, of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that the prior, together with brother John, the cellarer of the said house, Nicholas Ogiththyn, Stephen Kellag, Gregory, servant of the said cellarer, John Kennedy and John O Soullevan, did not break the house of Thomas at Weyvilestoun and did not take and carry away the cattle of the said town found there or wound Thomas, as complained. Judgment that Thomas take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And the prior *sine die*. And because the sheriff testifies that Nicholas, Stephen, Gregory, John and John have nothing whereby they can be distrained, the complainant prays licence to withdraw from his plaint, and he hath it.
- Tipperary Richard Belderk complains of Adam le Leche, son and heir of Adam le Leche of Gel that he unjustly detains two crannocks of wheat and two crannocks of oats which he ought to have rendered to him at All Saints, a. r. 35 Edward I. Adam comes and cannot deny that he owes one crannock of wheat. Judgement that Richard recover against him the said crannock of wheat and his damages, taxed by the court at two shillings. And Adam in mercy. And Richard cannot deny that he received the remainder. Therefore as to the remainder, in mercy for false claim. Afterwards the mercy is pardoned to Richard.
- Tipperary Thomas Syward *v.* David Everard of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that David did not put Thomas in plevin against Hugh de Norwyth, as complained. Judgment that Thomas take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And David *sine die*.
- Tipperary William son of David *v.* Luke de Stoctoun of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that when William was at Dublin in the month of Easter, a. r. 33 Edward I, to pay into the Exchequer six marks of gold . . . . for a fine of David de Rupeforti, Luke, a receiver of the King's money in the county, levied in the absence of William of his goods, etc. twenty shillings of the said gold, whereas William owed nothing of the said debt, because he paid the whole into the Exchequer. Judgment that William recover against Luke the said twenty shillings and his damages, taxed by the court at ten shillings. And Luke in mercy. Afterwards, in Hilary term in the third year of the now King, (he) assigned the said debt with his damages to John de Patrikchurch, clerk.
- Tipperary William le Broun, who is under age, complains of William de Monte that when Thomas Broun, father of William, became a pledge of William de Cauntewell for eleven marks eight shillings against William de Monte, said William de Monte . . . . (Entry struck out.)
- Tipperary William le Broun, who is under age, complains of Fulc de Fraxineto, sheriff of the county . . . . King's serjeant in the parts of Elyogrid, and of William de Monte that when Thomas Broun, father of William, became a pledge of William de Cantewell for eleven marks and eight shillings against William de Monte, the sheriff and serjeant, at the procuration of William de Monte, took of the goods of William le Broun . . . . stacks of wheat and oats and delivered them to William de Monte in acquittance of Thomas for the said pledge: and thereof he prays remedy. The sheriff, Richard and William de Monte come and say that the corn belonged to



Thomas . . . of which Thomas made his will: if Thomas made any gift thereof to William it was made by fraud and collusion to escape distress for the debts which he owed to William de Monte and the others. And he prayed that it might be inquired. And William Broun likewise. Therefore let a jury be made. 1309

The jury say that Thomas gave William Broun certain goods, etc., and of the goods by William Fraunceis his guardian he bought corn and in the land of Thomas ploughed by the plough of Thomas with Thomas' licence; said corn so bought was sown in the name of William Broun. Asked if the gift was made to escape such distress for debt, they say yes. Asked if Thomas of the goods which he gave to William his son and of the issues and produce thereof made his will as in use, sale and gift at his will, they say yes. And because it seems to the court that such gifts so made by collusion when the donors use their goods ought to be void, judgment that William Broun take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And the sheriff and the others *sine die*.

David Martel complains of Simon son of Michael that Simon unjustly detains forty shillings which he owes him for one and a half carucates of land which he demised to him for a term which is past, of which he ought to have rendered one moiety at Michaelmas, a. r. 1, and the other moiety at All Saints ensuing. Simon comes and says that he is bound to him in no sum of money, and this he is ready to defend as to the court seems good. Therefore . . . the law *de duodecimo manu*. Pledges of the law:—Robert le Graunt and Adam son of Robert. And a day is given at Waterford on Tuesday after the Purification. On which day they come and Simon made his law. Judgment that David take nothing by his plaint, but be in mercy for false claim. And Simon *sine die*.

Tipperary

. . . ulf . . . raffan complains of William de Stafford, King's serjeant, that William . . . after the Assumption last, came to the house of the said William . . . the door of the house and thence . . .

m. 2

COMMON PLEAS AT CASSELL BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM TENENS, 20 Jan.  
ON THE OCTAVE OF S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

The King sent his writ:—Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Wogan is to inquire by the oath of good and lawful men if it be to the damage of the King or others if the King should grant to Simon Dynbegh and Henry Rowe that they may give sixty acres of land to the prior and brethren of the Hospital of S. John Baptist without the Newgate of Dublin in pure and perpetual alms, and to inquire of whom the land is held and by what service and how much it is worth yearly and who are the mesne between the King and Simon and Henry, and if the lands and tenements remaining to Simon and Henry are sufficient to perform the customs and services and all other burdens which they have been accustomed to sustain, as in suits, views of frankpledge, aids, tallages, vigils, fines, amercements, contributions and other charges, so that the country be not charged or aggrieved more than usual by the default of Simon and Henry. Wogan is to send the inquisition under seal with this writ. T. at Wyndesore, 15 July, a. r. 2.

England

By pretext of which inquisition is taken.

Inquisition taken at Cassel before William de Burgo, *locum tenens* of the Justiciar, on Wednesday after the octave of S. Hilary, a. r. 2, if Simon Dynbegh and Henry Rowe may give sixty acres in Clothwre as above:

1309 by Richard Blaunchard, Geoffrey Sampson, Adam Cod, John Cod, Thomas Hudde, John Meie, Maurice Meye, John Juvenis, Peter de Clonmell, John Benet, John Maunsell and John Howell. Who say that it is not to the damage of the King or of others if the King grant as aforesaid, for Simon and Henry hold the lands of Herbert de Mareys immediately without doing any service. And Edmund le Botiller is mesne between Herbert and the King, to which Edmund likewise no service is due. The sixty acres are worth yearly in all issues thirty shillings. Henry has no other tenements. Simon has others which he holds by certain services which are sufficient to perform the services due and accustomed. The country is not burdened or aggrieved by such a gift, provided that the prior and his successors contribute to watches and other tallages with others of the country, as Simon and Henry were accustomed to do, and as the prior and his predecessors have hitherto contributed for their adjoining lands.

The inquisition with the original writ was delivered to brother Nicholas de Bedeford, attorney of the prior, to bring to the King in England.

Jury of the country by the abbess of Casta Silva, plaintiff, and William archbishop of Tuam, to make known if the archbishop, with Robert, son of John de Byrmyngham, Cadok le Waleys, Henry de Stokes, Walter, son of John de Byrmyngham, . . . de Byrmyngham on the day of the Purification, a. r. 1, came to Moydereth and the goods and chattels of the abbess, to wit, ten cows worth forty pence each, six afers worth twenty-five shillings, . . . worth two shillings each and ten sheep worth ten pence each, forcibly carried away with them, . . . damage to the abbess of twenty pounds. Respited to the month of Easter. Peter son of Geoffrey, Thomas the Welshman, John the Welshman, Jordan son of Simon de Exon., John son of Elias, Roger Ballagh, . . . , Roger de Mora, Thomas Foran, Philip son of Erfyrt, Walter, son of Richard Bretnagh, Walter son of . . . , Adam Mauverne, Roger Onnyn, Walter Leygnagh, Maurice Gregory, Henry Martyn, Thomas . . . and William Clystoun, jurors summoned come not. Therefore in mercy. And the sheriff is directed to have the bodies of Peter son of Geoffrey and the others, and beside them *tot et tales*, etc. The same day is given to the abbess and William the archbishop.

Afterwards the parties come by their attornies. And the sheriff did nothing and did not send the writ, but comes in his own person and acknowledges that he received the writ *de venire faciendo*, which writ was robbed by the Offlathertys, Irish felons, out of the custody of one Roger, a clerk of the sheriff, by night in the town of Clare, and by said felons afterwards burnt. And the parties acknowledge this. Therefore at the instance of the plaintiff the sheriff is directed to have the bodies, etc., in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and beside them *tot et tales*, etc.

m. 2d

YET OF PLEAS AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Tipperary

The sheriff was directed that of the lands, etc. which were Walter Dermor's on the morrow of the Epiphany, a. r. 33 Edward I, to whose-soever hands they might have come, in his bailiwick he should levy forty pounds for David le Blount, which Walter in court before Edmund le Botiller, *locum tenens* of John Wogan, Justiciar, at Cass' acknowledged that he owed to David and had not yet paid, and if the lands, etc. of

Walter in his bailiwick were not sufficient, to levy of those which were William Dermor's, pledge of Walter for the said debt, to whosoever hands they might have come, and to make return in the quinzaine of Michaelmas. At which day the sheriff returned to William de Burgo, *locum tenens*, that Walter Dermor had no goods, but that the lands and tenements which were his had come to the hands of Edmund le Botiller and lay uncultivated. William has no goods, etc. in his bailiwick except waste land. Upon this comes David and elects that there be delivered to him a moiety of all the lands and tenements which were of Walter and William on the said day and which afterwards they acquired, to whosoever hands they may have come, to hold as freehold according to the form of the statute. Therefore the sheriff was directed to have extent taken of such lands and to deliver by reasonable extent a moiety thereof to David as freehold until he should have levied the forty pounds therefrom, and to make return in the quinzaine of S. Martin last. At which day the sheriff sent the extent.

Extent of the lands and tenements of Walter Dermor and William Dermor made before Fulco de Fraxineto, sheriff of Tipperary, at Balynywyr on Saturday before the feast of S. . . . , a. r. 2, by Alexander Stok, William Beafu, Maurice son of Walter, Andrew Seysell, Alexander Belderg, Thomas son of Ralph, Nicholas de Neeth, Henry Tyrell, Adam son of Ralph, Roger Hethlyn, William Bretnagh and Walter son of Robert, which jurors say that Walter and William at the Novan had one messuage with garden, worth yearly three shillings, also there are a hundred acres of land worth yearly sixpence an acre, also four acres of meadow at sixpence an acre and eight acres of grove at twopence an acre, also a mountain in which they estimate a hundred and twenty acres of poor land and pasture which they extend yearly at five shillings, also there are free tenants who hold a carucate of land and pasture and render yearly nineteen shillings and seven pence. Sum of the extent, four pounds and eleven pence, <sup>(1)</sup> of which there are due to the lords of Kyldenale ten shillings of royal service as often as it shall be proclaimed, and to the lord Gilbert de Lyvet thirteen shillings and four pence rent yearly, and to the prior of Kylmaynan four shillings. Sum of the true value, fifty three shillings and seven pence yearly. Sum of the moiety by extent, twenty six shillings and nine pence halfpenny. For which there are delivered to master David le Blount one grange and half of the garden in extent for eighteen pence, fifty acres of arable land on the east side in extent for twenty-five shillings, two acres of meadow below the grove on the east side in extent for twelve pence, four acres on the east side in extent for eight pence, sixty acres of mountain, moor and pasture on the east side in extent for three shillings and six pence, and nine shillings and nine-pence halfpenny rent yearly to be received from Alexander Belderg from twenty acres of land which he holds in fee.<sup>(1)</sup> David is burdened with all services which pertain to his moiety, because he has a full moiety of the lands and tenements.

## m. 3

PLEAS AT CASSELL BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM TENENS, ON THE OCTAVE OF S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

The King sent his writ:— Edward, etc., to John Wogan, etc. Wogan is to inquire if it be to the damage of the King or others if the King should grant to the prior of the church of S. Edmund of Athissell that he may have again to him and his successors for ever one messuage and a hundred and eighty acres of land in Balylothnan, which he acquired in fee from Thomas de Rath, forty acres in Athissell from Adam Hay <sup>(1)</sup> Thus.

1309

20 Jan.

England  
Tipperary

1309 and Matthew Haye, forty acres in the same town from John de Columbariis, twenty eight acres in the same town from Laurence de la Haye, thirty-two acres in the same town from Robert de Hereberge, eight acres in the same town from Reginald le Sowere, ten acres in the same town from Hugh de Newport, twenty acres and six shillings and eight pence rent in the same town from William de Columbariis, eight acres in the same town from Reginald son of John, three acres in the same town from Simon le Wyse, to him and his house in fee after the statute of mortmain, licence of the King not having been obtained, and which by reason of that acquisition were taken into the hand of the King's father by Thomas, bishop of Meath, and his fellows, late Justices Itinerant at Cassel, Co. Tipperary. And if it be to the damage, to what damage, etc. And to render the inquisition under seal together with this writ. T. at Westminster, 24 May, a. r. 1.

By pretext of which mandate the *locum tenens* took inquisition.

Inquisition taken at Cassell before William de Burgo, *locum tenens* of the Justiciar, on Wednesday after the octave of S. Hilary, a. r. 2, by Maurice son of David, Matthew Raley, Roger Wymonde, Philip Graunt, John Stabler, Robert Allm', Thomas son of William Calf, William Hauerberge, John Mareschal Calf<sup>(1)</sup>, Henry Ewyas, Maurice son of John, Andrew Seisel, John de Londoun, Simon Sprotun, Roger Turbevil, Walter Brigge, Robert Marche and Adam Boniur, jurors. Who say that it is not to the damage of the king or others if the King should grant that the prior should have again to him and his successors for ever the said messuage and hundred and eighty acres in Balilothnan, which he acquired of Thomas de Rath, for Thomas held them of the prior immediately by service of a penny yearly and doing suit at the prior's court of Huntেকynistoun fortnightly. And the prior as mesne of William de Naungle by doing suit at William's court of Balinynche fortnightly. And William as mesne of James son of Richard by service of twelve shillings for the King's scutage whenever it shall happen and by doing suit at James' court of Balilothnan fortnightly. And James holds of Oto de Grandison immediately by service of two shillings whenever royal service shall happen and doing suit at Oto's court of Killekyl. And Oto holds of the King in chief. There remain to Thomas de Rath beyond the said messuage and land two messuages in Athissell worth yearly three shillings and six pence and forty shillings yearly rent in the said town. The messuage so acquired is worth yearly half a mark and each acre of land acquired is worth yearly sixpence. Thomas holds the said messuages and rents which remain to him of the prior by service of sixpence rent yearly. The messuages and rents which remain to Thomas are sufficient to do the customs and services due and to sustain all other burdens which he was accustomed to sustain. He can be put on assizes, juries, etc., as before he was accustomed to be put.

It will not be to the damage of the King or of others if the King should grant that the prior have again to him and his successors for ever forty acres of land in Athissell which he acquired of Adam de Haye and Mathew de Haye, because Adam and Mathew held the land immediately of the prior by service of forty pence yearly rent and doing suit at the prior's court of Baliclenan fortnightly; and the prior as mesne of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, in frankalmoin, and the earl of the King in chief. The forty acres are worth yearly in all issues twenty shillings. There remain to Adam beyond the said land one messuage in Athis . . . . worth yearly four shillings. Adam holds the messuage from the prior immediately by service of three pence yearly. Matthew died without

(<sup>1</sup>) Thus.

heir and had no lands or tenements when he died. The messuage remaining to Adam beyond the land acquired does not suffice for the customs and burdens he was accustomed to sustain, but only to do the services due to the chief lord, nor can he be put on assizes, juries, etc. as before he was accustomed to be put. And it is not to the damage of any, because the country on account of the default of Adam is neither more relieved nor burdened. 1309

It will not be to the damage of the King or others if the King should grant that the prior may have again to him and his successors for ever forty acres of land in Athissell which he acquired of John de Columbar', because John held the land of the prior immediately by service of three shillings yearly and doing suit at the prior's court of Athissell twice a year, at Easter and Michaelmas. And the prior as mesne of the heirs of Ralph de Wigorn' in frankalmoin, and the heirs of Ralph of the King in chief. The forty acres are worth yearly in all issues twenty shillings, and there remain to John beyond the land so acquired one messuage in Athissell worth yearly three shillings . . . . acres of land in the same town worth yearly seven shillings and sixpence, which suffice for customs and all other burdens which he was accustomed to sustain, but he cannot be put on assizes, etc. as before . . . . by the absence of John is neither more relieved nor burdened.

It will not be to the damage of the King or of others if the King should grant that the prior may hold to him and his successors forever twenty eight acres in Athissell, which he acquired of Laurence de la Haye, because Laurence held the land from the prior immediately by doing . . . . (Remainder of membrane torn.)

m. 3d

And the heirs of Ralph of the King in chief. Each acre is worth yearly sixpence. Robert has died and there remain to William Hauerberge his son and heir beyond the said land one messuage in Athissell which is worth yearly half a mark and eighty acres of land in the same town, of which each acre is worth yearly sixpence. And William holds the tenements of the prior by service of twelve shillings and sixpence rent yearly. The messuage and lands which remain to William suffice for customs, services and all other burdens which he was accustomed to sustain and he can be put on assizes, juries, etc., as Robert his father before.

It will not be to the damage of the King or of others if the King should grant that the prior have again to him and his successors forever eight acres of land in Athissell which he acquired of Reginald le Sower, because Reginald held the land immediately of the prior, doing suit at the prior's court of Athissell twice a year at the terms aforesaid. And the prior of the heirs of Ralph de Wigorn' in frankalmoin, and the heirs of Ralph of the King in chief. Each acre is worth yearly sixpence. Reginald has died and there remain to Roger, his son and heir, one messuage in Athissell which is worth two shillings and four acres of land in the same town of which each acre is worth yearly sixpence. And he holds the tenements of the prior by service of sixpence rent yearly, and they do not suffice for the customs and other burdens which he was accustomed to sustain, nor can he be put on assizes, juries, etc., as Reginald was accustomed to be put. It is not to the damage of any because the country on account of Roger's default is neither more relieved nor burdened.

It will not be to the damage of the King or of any others if the King

1309 should grant that the prior have again to himself and his successors forever ten acres of land in Athissel which he acquired of Hugh Newport, because Hugh held the land of the prior immediately, doing suit at the prior's court of Athissel twice a year at the terms aforesaid: and the prior as mesne of the heirs of Ralph de Wygorn' in frankalmoin, and the heirs of Ralph of the King in chief. Each acre is worth yearly sixpence. Hugh has died and there remain to John, his son and heir, one messuage in Athissel which is worth yearly five shillings, and five acres of land in the same town, of which each acre is worth yearly sixpence. He holds the tenements of the prior by service of twenty pence rent yearly. And they do not suffice for the customs and other burdens which he was accustomed to sustain, nor can he be put on juries, assizes, etc., as Hugh his father was accustomed to be put. It is not to the damage of any because the country on account of John's default is neither more relieved nor burdened.

It will not be to the damage of the King or any other if the King should grant that the prior may acquire to him and his successors forever twenty acres of land and six shillings and eight pence rent in Athissel which he acquired of William de Columbar', because William held the tenements of the prior immediately by doing suit at the prior's court of Athissel twice a year at the terms aforesaid: and the prior as mesne of the heirs of Ralph de Wygorn' in frankalmoin and the heirs of Ralph of the King in chief. Each acre is worth yearly sixpence. William has died, and no lands or tenements remain to his heir, nor can he be put on assizes, juries, etc., as William was accustomed to be put. It is not to the damage of any, because the country on account of his default is neither more relieved nor burdened.

It will not be to the damage of the King or of any others if the King should grant that the prior have again to him and his successors forever three acres of land in Athissel which he acquired of Simon le Wise, because Simon held the land of the prior immediately as above: and the prior as mesne of the heirs of Ralph de Wigorn' in frankalmoin, and the heirs of Ralph of the King in chief. Each acre is worth yearly sixpence. Simon has died and there remain to his heir one messuage worth yearly three shillings and nine acres of land in the same town of which each acre is worth yearly sixpence, which suffice for the customs and services due and all other burdens which Simon was accustomed to sustain. And he can be put on assizes, etc., as Simon was accustomed to be. He holds the messuage and the land remaining to him of the prior by service of eighteen pence yearly.

It is not to the damage of the King or others if the prior and his successors have again forever all the aforesaid, provided that the prior ought to contribute as those who before the acquisition held the lands and tenements used to contribute.

The writ with the inquisition was delivered to brother Peter, attorney of the prior, to bring to England.

m. 1d

22 Jan.

PLEAS OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT SAME PLACE BEFORE WILLAIM DE BURGO, LOCUM TENENS, ON WEDNESDAY AFTER THE OCTAVE OF S. HILARY, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Tipperary

Assize of novel disseisin. If Thomas le Bret, Elena McHotyr and Adam Lockard disseised Maurice, archbishop of Cass', of his freehold

in Cassel, one messuage with appurtenances. Thomas comes and answers as bailiff for Elena and Adam. For himself and Elena he answers as tenant that they have entry by Adam and not by disseisin, and of this he puts himself on the assize. For Adam he says that one Richard Lockard his brother, whose heir he is, died seised of the messuage in demesne as of fee, after whose death Adam recently entered the tenements as brother and heir of Richard without injury or disseisin to the archbishop, and of this he puts himself on the assize. Therefore let the assize be taken. 1309

The jurors say that Richard Lockard died seised of the tenements in demesne as of fee, after whose death Adam Lockard his brother, together with Thomas le Bret, held themselves in the tenement, claiming hereditary succession, to the use of Adam. And thereupon came one William le Blund, serjeant of the archbishop, and entered the messuage in the name of the archbishop, immediate lord, as he asserted, before Adam and Thomas, and Adam and Thomas ejected him. Asked if Adam be brother and heir of Richard, they say that he is his brother, but whether he is heir or not they know not, because they were not born in these parts. Asked if William, when he entered the messuage in the name of the archbishop, claimed freehold to the use of the archbishop or not, they say no.

Judgment that the archbishop take nothing by his writ, but be in mercy for false claim. And Thomas and the others *sine die*.

Assize of novel disseisin. If John de Valle disseised Roland de Valle of his freehold in Bothmanagh, Mershystoun and le Novan, two messuages, a hundred and forty acres of land, one acre of meadow, twelve acres of turbary and twelve acres of brushwood. John comes and says nothing why the assize should remain. Therefore let the assize be taken. And Robert Burneham, a recognitor, summoned comes not, therefore in mercy: of the mercy nothing because he died. The jurors say that Roland was in good and peaceful seisin of the tenements as of freehold until he was disseised by John. Judgment that Roland recover seisin of the tenements. And John in mercy. Tipperary

Robert Wodelok acknowledges that he owes John de Drohuill, knight, two sacks of wool worth twenty marks which he will pay immediately, so that it be at the option of John to receive the wool or its value: if not, let the sheriff levy it of his lands, etc. Tipperary

Assize of novel disseisin. If Baldewyn le Flemyng and Geoffrey Haket disseised Robert Wodelok of this freehold in Beayveristoun in Asmaynbeg, forty shillings of rent. Geoffrey answers for Baldewyn as his bailiff. For himself he says that he claims nothing in the tenements save a term of years by demise of Baldewyn without doing injury or disseisin to Robert. For Baldewyn he says that the assize ought not to be taken between them, for one Richard Lockard held the tenements, against whom Baldewyn, before the Justice last Itinerant in the county, recovered the tenements by writ of right of the seisin of one Archebald le Flemyng, great great grandfather of Baldewyn, in whose time the tenements were burdened with such rent. And he prays judgment. Tipperary

Robert says that the recovery of Baldewyn ought not to retard the taking of the assize, for the tenements were at one time in the seisin of Archebald, who rendered them with others to one Philip de Wyrcestre, who died seised thereof, to whom succeeded one William de Wyrcestre, his son

1309 and heir. And he held the tenements and enfeoffed one Robert de Beauver, making to him the said forty shillings yearly. Afterwards, William de Wyrcestre being dead, Ralph his son and heir enfeoffed one Robert Wodelok and Alicia his wife, father of this Robert who now complains, of the said rent, to wit, to Robert and Alicia and the heirs begotten between them. And he prays judgment if the said recovery of Baldwin by collusion between him and Richard ought to hurt him. And Geoffrey says nothing else why the assize should remain: therefore let the truth be inquired by assize. Afterwards the assize remains to be taken before the Justices assigned at the next coming, for want of recognitors. And the sheriff is directed to have their bodies and beside them *tot et tales*, etc., that the assize remain not. The writ close remains with the sheriff and the patent with the party.

m. 6

27 Jan. ESSOINS TAKEN AT CASSELL BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM TENENS, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

Tipperary Johanna, who was the wife of Hugh, son of John Purcel, *v.* Nesta, who was the wife of Hugh de Ruperforti, to hear record of a plea of land. Before, etc., in the month of Easter, wherever, etc. The same day is given to Robert, son of Tankard de Barry *in banco*, etc.

Tipperary John le Cornewaleys, attorney of William, son of Robert de Aula, *v.* Henry Laffan, to hear record of a plea of land, by John the clerk. Before, etc., in the month of Easter, wherever, etc.

Same John *v.* Isabella, who was the wife of Roger de Aula, of same by same.

Same *v.* Ralph, son of Roger de Aula, of same by same.

Same *v.* William, son of Roger de Aula, of same by same.

Ralph, son of Roger de Aula, *v.* William, son of Robert de Aula, of a plea of land whereof record and process by William le Criour <sup>(1)</sup>.

COMMON PLEAS AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin The sheriff was commanded, as elsewhere, as well of those goods of John le Botiller to the value of eleven pounds, which Gilbert le Paumer, late sheriff of Co. Cath., took into the King's hand by command, according as he returned to William de Burgo, *locum tenens*, in the quinzaine of Michaelmas last, and of those goods of the same John to the value of thirty shillings which the same sheriff lately took into the King's hand by the seneschal of the liberty of Catherl., which remain with the seneschal because he has found no one to buy them, according as he returned to the said *locum tenens* in the quinzaine of S. Martin last, and also of those goods, etc. of Alexander Brysky to the value of a hundred and eleven shillings which lately he took into the King's hand by the seneschal of the liberty of Cath. and Kildare, according as you returned (*mandasti*) to the said *locum tenens* at the said quinzaine of S. Martin, as of the other lands, etc. of John and Alexander in his bailiwick, to levy six and a half marks, thirty shillings for Nicholas the clerk, senior, attorney of Alexander de Bromeye, assignee of William de Monte, fellow of Thebald de Casteleon, deceased, and his fellows, merchants of Florence, and the residue to William de Monte: of twenty pounds and one sack of wool

(1) Cal. has note:—"Last five lines struck out."



worth five marks which William Cadel, senior, deceased, in the court of King Edward the father before John Wogan, Justiciar, at Tylagh acknowledged that he owed to Theobald and his fellow merchants, and which he ought to have paid at Pentecost, a. r. 24 Edward I, by pledge of the said John le Botiller and Alexander, and whereof there are in arrear the said sixteen and a half marks <sup>(1)</sup> of the said debt, as William de Monte says: and to have information here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that the seneschals of the liberties of Caherl. and Kildare were commanded to execute the said mandate, and they answer thus. The goods, etc. of John le Botiller which were taken into the King's hand for the said debt are safely kept . . . . crop . . . . worth forty pence an acre, and a sale is proclaimed . . . . chattels of Alexander Brysky which were taken into the King's hand for the said debt are safely kept . . . . chattels are, to wit, the crop of . . . . acres of corn worth forty pence an acre . . . . And at the instance of William and Nicholas it was directed . . . . of the goods and chattels aforesaid and of the goods, etc., of John and Alexander in his bailiwick and cause it to be delivered to William and Alexander proportionately as aforesaid. And . . . . in the quinzaine of Easter, etc. And let the sheriff then be there to hear . . . . Afterwards William de Monte comes to court here and assigns to Alexander de Bykenore his said portion touching the said money, to wit, nine pounds, ten shillings. Therefore let execution be made thereof for Alexander de Bykenore. Afterwards in the quinzaine of Easter, a. r. 2, Alexander de Bykenore comes to court here and acknowledges that John le Botiller satisfied him of seven marks and twenty pence of the debt . . . . Alexander de Bromeleye and *Nicholas the clerk* . . . . *attorney of said Alexander* <sup>(2)</sup> also comes and acknowledges that said . . . . ller satisfied him of fifteen shillings of the debt. Therefore at the instance of Alexander de Bykenore . . . . that Alexander de Brysky has other goods, etc. moveable of sufficient quantity in the said liberty . . . . said liberties the residue of the said money, to wit, eight marks and forty pence, could be levied . . . . the sheriff that he omit not . . . . said liberty, but of the lands, etc. of Alexander Brysky in his bailiwick . . . . eight marks and forty pence, and thereof cause to be delivered to Alexander de Bromeleye, assignee of William de Monte: and the residue of said money to be delivered to Alexander de Bykenore, assignee of William de Monte, part of the said sixteen marks. And to make a return here on the morrow of the Ascension wherever, etc. And . . . . is commanded to attach the seneschal of the said liberties to appear here at the said term to hear his judgment wherefore the King's writ directed to him was not executed as commanded.

A day is given to the abbess of Casta Silva, plaintiff, and William, archbishop of Tuam, of a plea of land, to hear judgment, as appears in the rolls of the common pleas in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist next . . . . in the month because judgment is not yet.

. . . . to the abbot of S. Thomas the Martyr near Dublin, plaintiff . . . . in the rolls of the common pleas . . . . in the month, because judgment is not yet.

m. 7

YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT CASSELL BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO,  
LOCUM TENENS, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

William de Monte appeared against Remund, son and heir of Remund de Carreu, Nicholas, son of Remund de Carreu, and Robert le Waleys, of a plea that they should be there on this day to answer William of a certain debt of two and a half sacks of wool worth twenty five marks.

<sup>(1)</sup> Thus.

<sup>(2)</sup> Cal. has note:—"Struck out."

27 Jan.

Tipperary

1309 They came not. And Remund, son of Remund de Carreu, was attached by Robert Ketyng and Richard de Offelagh, and Nicholas and Robert by the same. Therefore in mercy. And the sheriff was commanded to distrain them by all their land, etc., and to have their bodies before etc., at Waterford on Tuesday after the Purification, to answer William of the said plea and to hear their judgment.

The same William appeared against Adam Hunte of Offath' and Adam Og of Kylmlog of a plea that they should be here on this day to answer William of a debt of forty shillings. They came not. And Adam Hunte was attached by Adam Og and Robert Ketyng. And Adam Og was attached by Adam Hunte and Robert Ketyng. Therefore in mercy. And the sheriff was commanded to distrain them by all their lands, etc., and to have their bodies before etc., at Waterford on the Tuesday after the Purification, to answer William of the said plea and to hear judgment.

The same William complains of Philip de Kent that he, together with Roesia, daughter and heiress of John, son of Robert de Typerary, Richard de Midia and John le Blund of Clompet, unjustly detains thirty-four stone of wool worth five pounds thirteen shillings and four pence, which they ought to have rendered to him on the day of SS. Philip and James, a. r. 33 Edward I, and have not done so, and therefore he prays remedy. Philip de Kent comes and with the assent of the plaintiff acknowledges that he owes William forty shillings, his proportion of the debt, whereof he will pay ten shillings at the feast of St. Patrick next, ten shillings at Pentecost, ten shillings at the feast of S. John Baptist, and ten shillings at Michaelmas. Judgment that William recover against Philip the said forty shillings, and Philip in mercy. No damages, as William remitted them.

Same William appears against Roesia, Richard and John of a plea that they be here at this day to answer William of the said plea. And the sheriff returns that Roesia is dead. Therefore of her nothing. The others come not. Richard was attached by Simon Aylward and David de Midia, and John by Philip le Whyte and J . . . nock. Therefore in mercy. And the sheriff was commanded to distrain them by all their lands, etc., and to have their bodies before etc., at Waterford on Tuesday after the Purification . . . said William of the said plea and to hear their judgment.

31 Jan.

m. 8

PLEAS OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT WATERFORD BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM TENENS, ON FRIDAY AFTER THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

Waterford

The sheriff was directed to cause to come here at this day all assizes, etc. <sup>(1)</sup>.

(1) This item is also given in a translation from the Record Commissioners' transcript as follows:—

The sheriff was commanded to cause to appear on this day all the assizes of novel disseisin and mort d'ancestor arraigned before any Justices in that county with the original writs and all the adminicles touching the assizes: and to make known to the parties of the assizes that they should do and receive as justice required: and to proclaim throughout his bailwick that all who desired to complain to the officers of the King or any others should prosecute their plaints here: and likewise that all who have a day before the Justiciar at his next coming to that county by prefixion or otherwise should here do or receive as justice required: and to cause to appear here all persons of that county and all mainprised at pleas of the crown by direction of the King with their indictments and indictors and all other things which for their deliberation should be necessary to the trial of the charges (obicienda) against them: and to cause to appear twenty four of the more honest, discreet and lawful knights and other free and lawful men of his bailwick, to certify to the Justiciar here upon certain articles touching the King's peace: and to appear himself in person to certify how he had carried out the foregoing. The sheriff, present in court, returns the names of the said twenty four and testifies that that the premonitions and proclamations were made according to the mandate.

Assize of novel disseisin. If Silvester, son of Robert Cristofre, Philip, son of Griffin Cristofre, and Nicholas Cristofre unjustly disseised Maurice, son of William Broun, and Alicia his wife of their freehold in Tillughcoul, one messuage of twenty-five acres of land, two acres of meadow and three acres under alders.

1309  
Waterford

Silvester comes not, but one Gervas de Raleye answers as his bailiff. Philip and Nicholas come and say that they claim nothing and have done no injury or disseisin, and of this they put themselves upon the country. Gervas answers as tenant and says that the assize ought not to be had, because the tenements where view was made are in Tilaghcoul and not in Tillughcoul, as contained in the writ; and he prays judgment; and if it be found by the assize that the tenements are in Tillughcoul, then he says further that he did not disseise Maurice and Alicia as they complain: and of this he puts himself on the assize. Therefore let the assize be taken. Philip son of Osbert and Adam Corbaly, recognitors summoned come not: therefore in mercy. Afterwards Maurice and Alicia cannot deny that the tenements are in Tillaghcoul and not in Tillughcoul, as in the writ. Judgement that they take nothing for their writ, but be in mercy for false claim. And Silvester and the others *sine die*.

Assize of novel disseisin. If John Coterel, Robert his son and John Corbry unjustly disseised Reymund de Carru of his freehold in Tyrnebrohys, one messuage of three carucates of land, two acres of meadow, forty acres of wood and five acres of moor. John Coterel and John Corbry come and Robert comes not. Therefore let assize be taken against him by default. John and John say that the assize ought not to be had because the original writ of the assize is defective, in that where in the attachment it ought to say: '*et pone per vadia et salvos plegios*' it says '*pone vadia etc.*' without the '*per*'. And because on inspection of the writ the defect is clear, it is adjudged that Reymund take nothing by his writ, but be in mercy for false claim. And John and the others *sine die*.

Waterford

m. 8d

YET OF JURIES AND ASSIZES AT WATERFORD BEFORE SAID WILLIAM,  
DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Richard le Waleys, knight, acknowledges that he owes Master David le Waleys, dean of Waterford, four marks, which he will pay him immediately, and if not, he grants that the sheriff levy of his lands, etc.

Waterford

Richard le Wafeys, knight, acknowledges that he owes Walter Vincent, advocate, forty shillings of silver, which he will pay him immediately, and if not, he grants that the sheriff levy, etc.

Waterford

m. 9

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT WATERFORD BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM  
TENENS OF THE JUSTICIAR, FRIDAY AFTER THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY,  
a. r. 2.

31 Jan.

Philip Petit complains of Philip Brun that when Philip Brun was bailiff of Johanna de Valencia, lately lady of the liberty of Weisford, of the manor of Odugh, and was arrested on rendering his account at Weisford for arrears of his account, he on Monday before the feast of S. Nicholas, a. r. . . . Edward I, put Philip Petit in pledge to Johanna for eight marks of the said arrears, of which by distraint of the bailiffs of Johanna of the said liberty Philip Petit paid seventy-four shillings

Waterford

1309 and eight pence for the non-acquittance of Philip Brun. And therefore he prays remedy. Philip Brun comes and demands what Philip Petit has by which he can show that Philip Brun put him in pledge: who says that Philip Brun, when arrested, put him in pledge in the court of the liberty of Weisford, which is a court of record, and he is ready to aver by the record of that court that Philip Brun put him in pledge as aforesaid. Philip Brun says nothing else why Philip Petit ought not to be admitted to the said averment. Therefore the seneschal of the liberty is directed that, having searched the rolls of the liberty of the time of Johanna which are in his custody, he should notify what he should find distinctly and openly on Tuesday next after the octave of the Purification.

Afterwards they agreed by licence. And the agreement is that Philip Brun acknowledges that Philip le Petyt became his pledge to Johanna de Valenc' that he would well and faithfully serve her in the office of bailiff of the castle of Odogh and answer to her for the issues of the said manor. And because Philip Brun was in arrears on his account, Philip Petyt was distrained to pay Johanna seventy-four shillings and eight pence which he acknowledges that he owes to Philip le Petyt, at his will, and if he does not, he grants that the sheriff may levy it.

Waterford It is found by the jury between Andrew de Plymmth, plaintiff, and Richard le Hopere of Waterford of a plea of trespass that Richard, on Friday before Pentecost, a. r. 1, in the town of Waterford in his own house insulted Andrew, beat, wounded and maltreated him, by which Andrew lay on his bed six weeks and more in danger of death. Judgment that Andrew recover against him his damages, taxed by the court at one mark. And Richard is committed to gaol.

Waterford John le Poyer of Dunnoyl complains of John son of Henry that when Henry, father of said John, whose heir he is, on the morrow of Palm Sunday, a. r. 30 Edward I, demised to Andrew Denne one carucate of land in Baly Adam for the term of twelve years beginning at Easter in the said year, to hold to Andrew, his heirs or assigns, and bound himself and his heirs to warrant the said carucate to Andrew and his heirs and assigns, afterwards on 20 April, a. r. 34 Edward I, Andrew demised his said farm to John, who was in good and peaceful seisin thereof up to Michaelmas following, until the escheator of the King, at the procurement of John son of Henry, ejected John le Poyer from the said farm. John le Poyer often requested John son of Henry to warrant the said carucate to him according to the tenor of his father's deed to Andrew, as assignee of Andrew, which deed and the letters of Andrew about the assignment he proffered here in court, and he would not warrant him: whereof he prays remedy.

John son of Henry acknowledges the deed to be his father's, but says that one Olyva, late wife of his father, immediately after Henry's death put herself into the said carucate as her freehold and ejected John le Poyer. And because John son of Henry cannot deny that he is bound to warrant by the deed of his father, whose heir he is, it is adjudged that John le Poyer recover against him his damages, to be taxed, etc., and let him be in mercy for non-warranty. Afterwards by licence they agree about the damages, and John son of Henry acknowledges that he owes sixteen marks to John le Poyer, of which he will pay him from year to year two marks, half at Easter and half at Michaelmas. Damages sixteen marks, of which a hundred and forty shillings.

Waterford It is found by the jury between Robert de Calwedeleye, plaintiff, and Walter Stakepol, that Walter at Waterford on Monday before S.

Augustine, a. r. . . . Edward I, took and imprisoned Robert, as he complains. Judgment that Robert recover his damages against Walter, which are assessed at ten shillings. And Walter is committed to gaol. And because . . . by the jury that Walter neither beat nor wounded Robert . . . And Robert in mercy for false claim.

m. 9d

YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

William de Mouns *v.* Theobald de Wyk of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that on Tuesday after the Purification, a. r. 2, certain words having arisen between them at the house of Eymer le Gascoyn at Waterford, they went out of the house to the church of Holy Trinity to hold a day of love there. And when they came there William refused to hold any day of love while Theobald was present. And Theobald refused to leave his friends or fail them because of him. And so with threats they left the church as if to fight, Theobald to the cemetery gate towards Eymer's house, and William to the cemetery gate towards the tholsel. Theobald, thinking that William had come after him, looked behind, and, seeing him cross elsewhere, went to meet him, and upbraiding him with insulting words, put his hands upon a misericord which he had at his side, but did not yet draw it. And William son of Richard, coming up, put himself between them as a mutual friend and disturbed them from doing any further evil. Asked if William was in any way disturbed in taking the road whither he was going, they say yes.

Waterford

William de Monte appears against John le Blund of Clompet and Richard de Midia of a plea that they be here to answer William of a plea that they render to him thirty-four stone of wool worth five pounds, thirteen shillings and four pence. They come not. And the sheriff is commanded to distrain them by all their lands, etc., and to have their bodies here. And the sheriff now returns that John is distrained by one afer worth forty pence, and Richard is distrained by one acre of wheat worth forty pence. Therefore their chattels are forfeit. And Robert Faliath and William Aunsel mainprised John. And Reymund, son and heir of Richard, and the said William mainprised Richard de Midia. Therefore in mercy. Let him have their bodies here at the next coming in county Tipperary to answer William of the said plea and to hear their judgment.

Tipperary

The same William de Monte appears against Reymund, son and heir of Reymund de Carreu, Nicholas, son of Reymund de Carreu, and William le Waleys, of a plea that they be here to answer William of a plea of debt. They come not. And the sheriff is commanded to distrain them by all their lands, etc., and to have their bodies here. The sheriff now returns that Nicholas is distrained by two acres of corn worth forty pence, and Robert le Waleys<sup>(1)</sup> by two acres of corn worth forty pence. Therefore their chattels are forfeit. And Simon Ryvell and Maurice Giffard mainprised Nicholas, and John le Waleys and John de S. Brigida mainprised Robert. Therefore in mercy. And of Reymund, son and heir of Reymund de Carreu, the sheriff did nothing, but now returns that he was not found, and has nothing by which he could be distrained. And it is testified in court here that he has enough in the county and can be distrained there; therefore as before the sheriff is commanded to distrain, and to have their bodies at the next coming in county Tipperary to answer William of the said plea and to hear their judgment. And let the sheriff be there, etc.

Tipperary

(1) Name varies thus

1309  
Tipperary Richard le Blund *v.* Adam Skybras of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that Adam put Richard in pledge against Richard Locard for eight crannocks of wheat. Richard le Blund by distraint paid Richard Locard thirteen shillings and eight pence in part payment for the said corn, by default of acquittance of the said Adam. Judgment that Richard le Blund recover against Adam the said thirteen shillings and eight pence and his damages, taxed by the jury at forty pence. And Adam in mercy.

Waterford Thomas, son of John le Poer and Johanna his wife *v.* Bartholomew de Kerdif of a plea of trespass. It is found by the jury that when Bartholomew impleaded John and Johanna in court before the Justices of the Bench, Dublin, by writ of the King, of one carucate of land in Cullyn, and thereof they had a day before the said Justices . . . . All Souls, a. r. 35 (1) Edward I, it was agreed between . . . .

m. 10

31 Jan. YET OF PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT WATERFORD BEFORE WILLIAM DE BURGO, LOCUM TENENS, FRIDAY AFTER THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, a. r. 2.

Waterford Bartholomew de Kerdif *v.* John, son of William le Botiller, Eustace le Botiller and Gerard le Botiller, of a plea of trespass.

It is found by the jury that when Bartholomew on Tuesday before Michaelmas, a. r. 2, came to Waterford to the . . . then there held to hasten certain business concerning him, with some armed men, by reason of certain differences between him and John, for greater safety, the said John, Eustace and Gerald, having perceived his arrival, put themselves into the house of John Russel, a citizen of Waterford, and there armed themselves against Bartholomew and his men, and afterwards came to the cemetery of Holy Trinity, and marching round the cemetery John and his men saw Bartholomew standing in the gate of the bishop's court and with him Silvester his father and certain others of his following armed, Silvester himself having two lances in his hand. And after some time one of John's men, unknown to John, Eustace and Gerard, hurled a stone at Bartholomew and Silvester, whereupon certain of their following took stones, of which there were a great quantity in that place, and hurled them at Bartholomew and his men, who withdrew into the bishop's court and barred the gate. The conflict thus being joined and hue and cry raised, John, son of John le Poer, who was holding the . . . at that time, hearing it approached the parties and . . . so that no further evil was done. And because it is found by the jury that John, Eustace and Gerard attacked Bartholomew, let them be committed to gaol.

m. 8d

8 Feb. PLEAS OF JURIES AT WATERFORD BEFORE THE SAID WILLIAM, SATURDAY AFTER PURIFICATION, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Waterford Assize of novel disseisin. If John Coterel, Robert Coterel and John Corbrye unjustly disseised Reymund de Carreu of his freehold Tyrnebruyghys, one messuage three carucates of land, six acres of meadow, forty acres of wood and ten acres of moor.

John Coterel and John Corbrye come. Robert comes not. Therefore let the assize be taken against him by default. John Corbrye says that he claims nothing in the tenements and did no wrong to Reymund and

(1) Thus.

made no disseisin. He says that a writ of judgment came to the sheriff of Waterford to put John Coterel in seisin of one messuage and one carucate of land in the town of Tyrnebruyghys where there are more carucates, which messuage and carucate John recovered against Reymund, son of Reymund de Carreu, in the court of the King, who warranted them to him, the return of which writ was made to John Corbrye, bailiff of those parts. And when he would have delivered to him one messuage and one carucate in the said town according to the writ, viz; in another place where he showed that view was made to John Coterel at the time when the plea was between them in the King's court, John would not receive seisin of it, alleging that he put in his view all the tenements of Reymund in the said town. Wherefore he says that John Coterel entered into the tenements which are now put in view by his own authority, without livery of the sheriff or serjeant of the King, and without the tenements being those which were before put in view. And he prays that it may be inquired by the assize.

1309

John Coterel answers as tenant and says that he did no wrong and made no disseisin, because he in court before the Justices of the Bench, Dublin, demanded against Reymund by the King's writ of entry one messuage and one carucate of land in the said town as his right, and Reymund prayed view. And John Coterel made view of all the tenements which are put in view. Afterwards Reymund called to warranty Reymund, son of Reymund de Carreu, who warranted him and rendered the said tenements . . . (Remainder of membrane torn).

m. 10

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT ROSS BEFORE THE SAME ON THURSDAY AFTER THE OCTAVE OF THE PURIFICATION. <sup>(1)</sup> 13 Feb.

It was found by the jury upon which Adam of Northampton, plaintiff, and John Keppagh put themselves of a plea that when Adam had corn at Slefcultur in the cross of Ferns to the value of forty shillings, John came with John fitz Daniel Ketyng and others and threshed the corn and carried it away. Therefore it is considered that Adam do recover against John Keppagh the said forty shillings and costs.

Waterford

m. 10d

PLEAS OF PLAINTS AT DUBLIN BEFORE THE SAME FROM THE DAY OF THE PURIFICATION IN THE QUINZAIN. 16 Feb.

Richard Talon complains that when he lately in the court of the King, before John Wogan, Justiciar, at Carlow recovered against Maurice, son of William de Caunteton, Reginald de Caunteton and Walter, son of William de Caunteton, ten pounds, and likewise in the same court before the same at Dublin recovered against Gilbert de Caunteton, Walter, son of William de Caunteton, and Reginald, son of Thomas de Caunteton, a hundred and twenty marks, wherefore Richard Talon followed divers judicial writs of the King directed to Gilbert le Paumer, late sheriff of Co. Carlow, which is now converted into a liberty, as of old it used to be, to raise the money from their lands, etc., Gilbert, at the time when he was sheriff of the county, took into the hands of the King divers goods, etc. by virtue of the said writs for the said debt, of which goods, etc. Gilbert did not trouble to raise any money while he was sheriff of the county, whereof he seeks remedy for himself and for the King.

Dublin

<sup>(1)</sup> This and the following item are stated to have been calendared from the Record Commissioners' transcript, not from the original roll.

1309 Gilbert well knew that at the time when he was sheriff of Co. Carlow he took into the hands of the King goods, etc. for the said debt to be raised from them to the value of sixteen pounds, thirteen shillings and four pence, but could find no purchasers while he was sheriff, and consequently Gilbert delivered the goods, etc. to certain men to keep until he could find purchasers, and soon afterwards Co. Carlow became a liberty as of old, and he was made seneschal there, so that he was removed from the said office: what was done about the goods afterwards he is entirely ignorant, wherefore he says that it does not concern him that the money was not raised in his time for the benefit of Richard.

Richard says for himself and for the King that Gilbert when he was sheriff was remiss in raising the debt, for Gilbert by himself and his subordinates in the said county could have sold the goods, etc. reasonably while he was sheriff if he had wished.

Because Gilbert cannot deny that he could have raised money to the value of sixteen pounds thirteen shillings and four pence if he had wished, from the goods, etc. which he took into the hands of the King, it is considered that Richard do recover against Gilbert sixteen pounds, thirteen shillings and four pence, the value of the said chattels, and forty shillings costs.



## JUSTICIARY ROLL, 3—7 EDWARD II (1)

Roll 108, m. 1

1310

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE THREE WEEKS OF EASTER, a. r. 3.

10 May

Richard Cadygan, charged that he, together with certain other malefactors, came by night to the house of Thomas Mocheman and broke into his house and took and carried away the goods of Thomas, to wit, four afers and other goods to the value of . . . marks, and that he is a common robber, comes and says that he is a clerk and that he neither can nor ought to answer. And that it may be known, inquiry is proceeded with by the country as to the truth of the matter, whether he is guilty or not and whether he is bigamous or not (2). And Walter de Houethe, Nicholas Tyngbegh, Adam Faber of Kylleth, John Faber, Richard de Mora, Roger de S. Laur., Osbert Midewenter, Simon Burgois, John son of Walter, Thomas le Palmer, William Tempernoys and Richard Fynglas, jurors, say that Richard Cadygan married a woman named Eddusa, who still survives and remains near Castlecnok, and afterwards he married another woman named Johanna Hanleye, who likewise still survives and remains near Newetown in the tenement of Maynogh, and afterwards he married a widow, now dead, whose name they do not know, but they say clearly that between Richard Cadygan and Eddusa a divorce was never celebrated.

Dublin

Ralph le Stathker, charged that he burglariously entered the booth of Roger de Kyldar and stole thence 45 . . . and 7 pence, comes and defends etc. And Peter Cary, Richard Aurifaber (Goldsmith), Geoffrey Lo . . . burgh, Roger de Telselde, Olyver Cissor, Ad. Scherman, Stephen Cissor, Thomas Barbour, John Heres . . . , . . . Parmeter, William Scherman, Jonkyn Barbour and Ad. Swetman, jurors, say that Ralph is not guilty, etc. Therefore he is quit. And the jurors testify that Henry Lucas and John Seleby, who were jurors of the first inquisition by which Ralph was . . . indicted Ralph out of malice, whereof they were convicted by a jury of the country on which they put themselves. Therefore it is considered that Henry and John be committed to gaol. Afterwards in the quinzaine of S. John Baptist in the fourth year of the now King, at the instance of master Walter de Islep, the said transgressions are pardoned to Henry and John by the Justiciar. Therefore . . . indefinitely.

Dublin city

Richard Fysshher, charged that he stole from William de Scharneford at Balyogar . . . eight cows, value five shillings each, and from Nicholas Fallythewoll two afers, value ten shillings, and from Ad. Pyrot one afer, value forty pence, comes and defends and puts himself upon the country. And John le Blound, Adam Fattyng, Adam Uryel, Ralph K . . . , Ph. Schurlock, William Uryel, Adam Leynot, Regin. Brunyng, Thomas

Dublin

(1) MS bears note:—"From Cal. Rot. Plac. vol. 4 Ed. II." Before 1922 there existed a series of plea roll calendars made by the Record Commission of 1810-30, in 20 volumes, of which vols. 1-11 and 16 have survived. Vol. 4 is of Edward I. The fourth vol. for Edward II, vol. 15 in the series, covered the years 1310-18; unfortunately there is no record of the numbers given by the commissioners to the plea rolls calendared in it.

(2) There is nothing to indicate whether two entries have been run together here, as seems likely.

1310 Osenound, Thom. de . . . . Johan and Thom. Johan, jurors, say that Richard is guilty. Hanged. Chattels six shillings and ten pence, for which Thom. de Rathlawe, sheriff, will answer.

Dublin William Broun, miller, charged with the death of Philip son of William, comes and defends, etc. And Robert Comyn, William . . . ., Thom. Richeman, Thom. Faber de Drumnagh, Laur. le Blak, Roger Page, Roger Beg, Sim . . . ., Nicholas Makepays, Alex. Beg, John de Welle and John Fort, jurors, say that William took an axe in his hand to chastise one Nicholas Mardyn and said Ph . . . . said William Broun, said William not perceiving this, and when he raised the axe to . . . . said William Broun struck said Philip with the iron point of the axe on the head . . . . four days later. Afterwards William Broun is admitted to . . . . for half a mark by pledge of Reginald Berneval and Hugh de la Felde.

Kyldar Geoffrey le Huldere, Nicholas de Naungle, Hugh Scot, David son of Richard and . . . . of Walter de Sarisfeld and John Melan, who are felons of the King . . . . said felons of divers robberies committed by them in the country . . . . could safely do, come and defend.

m. 1d

10 May YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE THREE WEEKS OF EASTER, a. r. 3.

Dublin Nicholas McMolgmery, charged that he stole from Louryn Fernetestaun one afer, value four shillings, comes and defends, etc. And Robert David, William Lewl . . . ., Richard de Covyntre, John le Whyte, Peter de Kilcoban, Nicholas Baker (*pistor*), William de Covyntre, John le Cle . . . ., John Fentrath, John Herseye, Walter le Noble and Richard Osebern, jurors, say that Nicholas is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged Gregory le White, one of the jurors summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

Dublin Richard le Noble, charged that he met Robert Makortan in a street in the town of Palmerystoun and struck said Robert with an axe, and Robert escaped from Richard and went into his own house; Richard, following Robert, against the King's peace broke a door of the house in which Robert lives, wishing to maltreat him; Robert escaped by another door of the house and went into the house of Avelan le Hore for fear of Richard; then came Richard and followed him to that house and broke a window of that house, with intent to maltreat Robert, but other men standing round aided and defended him; comes and defends, etc. And Walter Clement, John son of Roger, Jordan son of Laur., Regin. Piglyn, John Monteyn, John Wel, Henry Huet, Richard Colman, Thom., son of John, Adam Beg, John Coleman and Thomas Stewyn, jurors, say that Richard is not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford Adam Crumpe de Dounbruyk and John Crumpe, Geoffrey Crumpe, Thomas Crumpe and William Crumpe, sons of said Adam, charged that they, together with other malefactors, stole from Kynnelith Olonnan nine cows and led them to the house of Adan, and likewise that they stole from Hugh Brun four cows, from William de . . . . aountoun one cow, from Thomas Brabazon eight cows and from Simon Edward two afers and one foal; and said Geoffrey, son of Adam Crumpe, charged that he stole the bed of Adam Crumpe his father, value forty pence, because Adam refused to give Geoffrey his portion of the corn which Geoffrey stole from divers men of the country in the autumn, which

Geoffrey delivered to Adam to appropriate for the use of Geoffrey and which Geoffrey is accustomed to do with such thefts of corn in the autumn, and likewise charged that he stole from Adam his father one barrel of beer, value eighteen pence, at the instance of Alexander de Bykenore, Treasurer of Ireland, are admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them for the said charges, by one hundred shillings, by pledge of Lodowyc Maar. Said fine ought not to be put in the estreats because it is assigned by the Justiciar with the assent of the Treasurer to do other of the King's business. 1310

Of the issues of the lands of Agnes, who was the wife of John Quyntyn, felon, for the burning of the house of David Jakes thirty-five shillings and eight pence, for which Stephen, son of Thomas the clerk, chaplain, will answer, by the inquisition taken before Hugh Canon at the command of the Justiciar; and of the charges in court, and of the fine of Agnes it is set out elsewhere in that term. Kildare

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT FEYGHLYN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 27 May  
WEDNESDAY THE VIGIL OF THE ASCENSION, a, r. 3.

William, son of John Talon, charged that he freely and for twenty cows by which Morierdagh <sup>(1)</sup>, son of McGhuth Nectapil, felon of the King and outlawed in the county, dealt with him, permitted McRierdagh to depart, after said William, Richard de Valle, Haye de Valle and others of their following took the said McRierdagh <sup>(1)</sup> and dismissed him to be kept in the hands of William; and likewise that he received Stephen, son of John Talon, and Ph., son of John Talon, his brothers, who are common robbers and who stole oxen and afers of Henry Cantok at le Carryk near Tristeldernot, and who likewise stole sixty sheep at Bythelan in county Kildare after last Easter, and who likewise stole from Adam le Bretoun two crannocks of wheat likewise after last Easter; and that William had part in their robberies and well knew that they were common robbers; and likewise . . . that when John, son of Henry Talon, came to Balybeill, which belongs to William, to take . . . and others of his following, and had taken one Richard de Herford de . . . sent him bound in custody of certain of his men, and pursued said Stephen . . . raised hue and cry upon said John and his men, at which said William . . . and when said William who had under him one man . . . then John on behalf of the King commanded him to follow after said felons . . . and on the morrow said William collected all the force that he could . . .

m. 2

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT MOYDESSYLL BEFORE SAME ON FRIDAY THE 29 May  
MORROW OF THE ASCENSION, IN SAME YEAR.

Philip Taloun, Walter Taloun, Richard, son of John Taloun, William son of Peter, John his brother, Stephen, son of John Taloun, Philip his brother, Philip son of Richard Taloun, William Ketyng, Roger his son, William his brother, Philip son of Roger, Anselm Legraue, Nicholas son of Elias, David son of Elias, John the priest, William his son, Adam Huscard, Richard Herford, Nicholas Ketyng, Dermot McCodiltan, Milo McCodiltan, Malaghlyn McCodiltan, Henry Brek McCodiltan, David, son of Philip McCodiltan, Thomas, son of Dovenald McCodiltan, Conoghur McCodiltan, Roger Oryan, Counok Ohereran, David, son of Counok McCodiltan, Yoghy son of Donghut, Donghut his brother and William his brother, charged that they are common robbers and that the whole country is injured by them, treaty and advice being had with Richard Carlow

(1) Name varies thus.

1310 de Burgo, earl of Ulster, it is agreed that for good service which the said Philip Talon and others of his name and family have lately done to the King in fighting Maurice de Cauntetoun and his accomplices as well English as Irish, who openly put themselves ar war against the King's standard, that said Philip and the others be admitted to make fine with the King. They give to the King twenty pounds for suit of the King's peace by pledge of Adam Bretoun of five marks, Thomas de Lees of five marks, John de Lynet<sup>(1)</sup> of five marks, John Taloun of five marks, Hugh Taloun of five marks, and Geoffrey de la Hide of five marks.

Carlow Henry Onolan, Tayg Onolan, Hour Onolan, William, son of Kynagh Onolan, Geoffrey, son of Richard Onolan, Ffyne Onolan, Gilpatrick Onolan, Donnelyng Onolan, John, son of Gillegobagh Onolan, Richard, son of Philip Onolan, David Makel Onolan, Jordan, son of Ralph Onolan, Sauvenal, son of Malic Onolan, Dovenald, son of William Onolan, Murghut, son of William Onolan, Jordan, son of William Onolan, David, son of William Onolan, and Kynagh, son of William Onolan, at the instance of Thomas le Botiller and for good service that they did to the King in the company of the Justiciar in fighting Maurice de Cauntetoun and his accomplices, felons, who openly put themselves at war against the King's standard, are admitted to make fine with the King, as well for themselves as for all of their sept, by ten marks, by pledge of Thomas le Botiller, John de Lynet, John Taloun and John, son of William Traharne.

Tipperary David Maunsel, William, son of Robert Maunsel, Walter, son of William Maunsel, Philip, son of William Maunsel, Peter, son of William Maunsel, Richard, son of William Maunsel, Walter, son of Reimund Maunsel, John Walopp, John Murthill, David, son of Robert Ketyng, William, son of Robert Ketyng, charged that they commonly take in the said county from men of the town of Fythryth and the adjacent parts food and drink against their will, and that they wander through the country and have robbed divers men of sheep, pigs, geese and hens: and said David, charged with the death of Walter Martel; at the instance of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, are admitted to make fine with the King by . . . pounds, by pledge of John, son of Robert Knight of five marks, Reymund, son of Philip Maunsel of forty shillings, . . . son of Richard of forty shillings, Thomas Saundoun, knight, of forty shillings, William, son of Robert Maunsel of . . . shillings, John, son of Robert Maunsel of two marks, Thomas, son of William de Lees of twenty shillings, Henry de . . . pella of forty shillings, Odo de Valle, knight, of twenty shillings, William son of Hugh of twenty shillings, Thomas Tankard of twenty shillings and William son of Walter Maunsel of forty-six shillings and eight pence.

Tipperary At the instance of William, son of Ph . . . pe, and for the good service of the said William often done to the King, John son of Richard Mauclerk is admitted to make fine with the King by a hundred shillings, by pledge of the said William, son of Philip de Rupe. And the said fine ought not to be put in the estreats to the Exchequer, because . . .

m. 2d

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT THE SAME PLACE ON THE SAME DAY  
AND IN SAME YEAR.

Tipperary Walter de S. Albino, Philip son of Richard, Laur. son of Adam son of Robert, Maurice son of Thomas de S. Albino, David son of Richard de S. Albino, Richard son of Thomas son of Richard de S.

(1) Lyvet ?

Albino, Nicholas son of Thomas son of Richard de S. Albino, John son of Richard junior de S. Albino, Geoffrey son of Richard de S. Albino, John son of Richard de S. Albino, senior, Maunger de S. Albino, Richard son of Maunger de S. Albino, John son of Walter de S. Albino, Thomas son of Richard son of Regin. de S. Albino, James son of Richard son of Regin. de S. Albino, Thomas son of Thomas son of Regin. de S. Albino, Richard son of Thomas son of Regin. de S. Albino, Richard son of William son of Richard de S. Albino, Thomas son of William son of Richard de S. Albino, John son of Geoffrey de S. Albino, Alex. son of John son of Richard Kyft, Thomas son of Alex. son of John Kyft, Richard son of Alex. son of John Kyft, Maur. son of Alex. son of John Kyft, Maur. son of Richard Kyft, John son of Richard Kyft, John son of John Brysky, Hugh son of Adam Brysky, Mich. son of Adam Brysky, Richard son of Adam Brysky, Robert Broun, James Niger, Hugh Blak, Richard son of Robert son of David, John son of Adam Byford, Robert son of Adam Byford, William son of Peter Byford, John son of Peter Byford, David Bordoun, Richard son of Richard Braynok, Thomas le Flemyng, Philip Prout, David Prout, Gregory Prout, Abel Prout, Henry Prout, John son of Adam le Waleys, Philip son of Adam le Waleys, John le Rede, Roger Broun, John Corre, Adam Byford, Alex. Byford, William son of Stephen Byford, John Byford his brother, Walter Palmer, Richard Purcel, Nicholas le Flemyng and John le Flemyng, charged with the death of Peter son of John de S. Albino, Philip son of Master David de S. Albino, Alured Brak de S. Albino, John son of Barth. de S. Albino, Candelan le Waleys, John le Waleys and David de Bredessale, at the instance of Edmund le Botiller and Eustace le Poer and for the good service which the said Walter de S. Albino and others of his surname have often done, as well to the late as to the now King, in fighting the Irish felons of the mountains of Leinster and elsewhere in Ireland, are admitted to make fine with the King by fifty marks, by pledge of Geoffrey de S. Albino, John son of Richard de S. Albino, Adam Byford, Walter le Poer, Maunger de S. Albino, Maurice son of Richard de S. Albino, Maurice son of Thomas de S. Albino, Nicholas son of Thomas de S. Albino, Philip son of Richard de S. Albino, Thomas son of Richard son of Regin. de S. Albino, Hugh Stafford, Laur. son of Adam son of Robert de S. Albino and David Bordoun. Afterwards suit of the peace is pardoned to the said Walter de S. Albino, Philip son of Richard, Laur. son of Adam son of Robert, and Maurice son of Thomas de S. Albino, and any outlawries promulgated against their persons are remitted.

## m. 3

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT KILDARE BEFORE 16 June  
SAME ON TUESDAY AFTER TRINITY IN SAID YEAR.

Richard de Graunger, charged with the death of Hugh de Hereford, comes and defends the death and puts himself upon the country. And Richard Holeweye, John Boy, John Holeweye, John Godefroy, Thomas de Loge, Robert le Corveyser, Richard Inge, David Mannyng, David le Paumer, Stephen le Blound, Richard Gole and James de la Fountayne, jurors, say that Richard, on Thursday before Easter last, came to the house of Richard Spiryn in the town of Clane about the ninth hour and found him sitting at meat, having before him a piece of white bread, value one halfpenny, and a pennyworth of fish for himself and his wife, whereupon he told Richard to deliver to him the food. He declined to do so, asserting that he had no other food for himself and his wife, but asked him to sit down and have part of it. This he refused to do, but said that he wished to have the whole. And Richard broke the bread and

1310 gave Hugh a part, which he took and gave to his greyhound, and struck Richard over the head with his spear so that he broke his spear, and immediately one Adam de Hereford, brother of Hugh, came up, and Hugh and Adam wounded Richard with their knives and inflicted four horrible wounds, and leaving him half dead departed, being desirous of flying outside the town. Whereupon, hue and cry being raised, John de Taueny, provost of the town, came to attach them, and Hugh struck him on the head so that he fell to the ground. Then Richard and the others of the town who had come to the hue and cry, thinking that John de Taueny was dead, pursued Hugh and Adam to take them, but they refused to be attached, and defended themselves, whereupon, a conflict arising, Richard struck Hugh on the head with his axe so that he died. Afterwards Richard, from fear of Adam and others of his clan, who were near at hand, fled to the church of the town, to which came Adam with certain followers to kill Richard. And when he saw that as yet he could not, he went out of the town to seek a larger force to kill Richard. On his departure Richard went out of the church and from a like fear of them pursuing him crossed the water of Aunleffy and went to the town of Naas and gave himself up to the sheriff. And, not knowing whether Hugh could have been taken alive, they say that Richard is therefore not quit. And Roger le Reve, Barth. Leynagh and Adam Holeweie, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. And because the jurors testify that Richard instantly fled to the church of the town, from which he escaped and crossed the water of Auenlyffy, etc., therefore his chattels are confiscate for his flight, and for judgment of escape let the escape be upon the county of the said town. The chattels of Richard—the crop of one acre of hastivel worth six shillings, the crop of one acre of wheat worth six shillings, the crop of four acres of oats worth forty pence an acre, for which Nicholas son of Thomas the coroner answers. Afterwards it is granted that Richard have again his chattels for a reasonable price. And the coroner is ordered to deliver the said goods, etc. for a sufficient security to answer to the King for the true value of the same.

**Kildare** David the weaver of Moon and John Baynguard, charged that they, with a certain Peter the weaver, are accustomed with ladders to cross the walls of the close of the priory of Tamelynbeg and in the night to steal out of the grange, the mill and the kiln there corn, wheat and malt, and by divers turns they stole thence goods of the nuns worth forty shillings and wrought their will upon them, come and defend etc. And John Torragh, William Calf, Thomas Porter, Thomas Rolaund, John Tanner, Stephen Potter, Thomas W . . . , Robert son of Regin., Luke Sylly, William son of Hugh, William Prevost and David Prevost, jurors, say that David the weaver is not guilty of the said charges and is not suspected of any other evildoing. Quit. And they say that John Baynguard is guilty and has been accustomed to do such thefts for the last year and a half. Let him be hanged. Chattels . . . s, wherefor David the Mariner, sheriff, will answer. Of Peter the weaver nothing because . . .

**Kildare** John Malvern, charged with the death of Johanna his wife, comes and defends, etc. And Rob. son of Regin., Luke Sylly, William son of Hugh, William le Reve, David le . . . , Regin. W . . . , Robert le Reve, David Kyllaban, William Boly, Geoffrey son of Hugh and Geoffrey Champeneys, jurors, say that John feloniously struck Johanna his wife with an axe so that she died. Let him be hanged. Chattels twenty-three pence, wherefor William Began and Robert Ow . . . will answer, by presentation of Laurence Sygrym, coroner. He had no free land.

Thomas McAdam, charged that he, together with Peter Elyot, Richard Bernard, Ivor McCasse and their following, burned the town of Clane, the town of Castelkely, Kerragh and Barbeston, and that he with the said malefactors robbed the said towns of divers goods to the value of one hundred marks, comes and defends, etc. And Thom . . . of Robert, David Bosyngtoun, Roger Cotus, Hugh le Whyte, Roger de Loge, Robert son of Richard, John de W . . . , John Godefrey, David Palud, Richard Daniel, Thomas de Loge and William Corner, jurors, say that Thomas is guilty. Let him be hanged. Chattels none. 1310

m. 3d

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT TRISTELDERMOT BEFORE SAME IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY IN THE SAID YEAR. 21 June

Laur. Ker., charged that he stole from the corn of John Wogan out of his haggard of Monemahannok two bushels of wheat worth forty pence, and William Doneran and Nicholas Migaght, charged that they stole out of the said haggard two bushels of wheat worth forty pence, and Philip son of Roger le Mileward and David le Flemeng, charged that they stole out of the said haggard one bushel of wheat worth twenty pence, come and defend, etc. And William Wydon, Thomas Ris, Richard Roland, Nicholas Rys, Walter Aylward, William Harne, John Wydon, Walter Capr . . . ch, Adam Rys, John Tael, Peter Albus and Phes. le Bouler, jurors, say that Laur., William, Nicholas, Philip and David are guilty. Afterwards suit of the peace is pardoned to them, so that they stand to right if anyone question them for it. Kildare

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE SAME IN THE OCTAVE OF S. JOHN BAPTIST IN SAID YEAR. 1 July

Hugh Possewyk, charged that he stole from Richard Batlifford two afers, and from John de Etoun two afers, comes and defends, says he is not guilty and that he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer. Thereupon comes one John, dean of christianity of Dublin, with letters patent of Thomas de Cathefford, vicar general of the lord elect of Dublin being in remote parts, by which the vicar assigned John the dean to demand in his name clerks charged before the Justiciar of pleas of the crown, and he demands Hugh Possewyk to be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known wherefore he ought to be delivered to him, enquiry on the premises is proceeded with more fully by the under-mentioned jurors, to wit: William Hamelyn, Richard de Mora, Hugh Albus, Henry Johan, Thomas Bonde, Ralph Beger, John Jordan, Reginald Baret, Thomas le Flemyng de Dyvelek, Thomas Scobas, William Dobilday and William Kyng, who say that Hugh is guilty. Therefore he is delivered to the dean to be kept in the prison of the archbishop elect as one convicted under punishment which is fitting. Chattels none. John Dardys, one of the jurors summoned, came not. Therefore let him be in mercy. Meath

Adam Laverok, charged with the death of Thomas Packyn, comes and defends, etc. Ralph Herbt, Richard Bonde, Roger Wirly, John Jel . . . Gilbert . . . , Thomas de Lude, John Megyr, Thomas Scobas, William Dobilday, William Kyng, William Brounyng and John le Freynse, jurors, say that Adam and Thomas ate a meal in the house of Richard . . . elyng, and at dinner as at the hour of vespers they took their leave, and as Richard and others departed to their homes and as they were so journeyng, offensive words arose between them, said Thomas Meath

1310 . . . . took the said Adam, who was riding his horse, by the shoulders and threw him from his horse, and beat Adam thus prostrate severely over the head and . . . . and Adam out of breath . . . . the said Thomas, lying over him and beating him, with the knife under the left shoulder . . . . afterwards died, and immediately Adam fled. Asked if Adam could not otherwise have avoided being killed, they say emphatically no. Afterwards Adam is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him, as well for the restoration of his chattels, etc. by six marks, by pledge of Maurice de Cadell, so that he stand, etc. The coroner and sheriff are directed to restore without delay the chattels of Adam, which on the occasion of the murder they took into the hands of the King, and which are in the hand of the King for that occasion and no other. Elias de la More, Philip Laynagh and Robert son of Richard de Baloy, jurors summoned, came not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Milo Okerth, charged that he by night burglariously entered the pantry and butlery of the abbess of Othyr and the pantry . . . . and stole therefrom other goods of the abbess, and that he broke a chest and stole therefrom a deed of the tenement of Balylath . . . . comes and defends and says he is not guilty.

m. 4

8 July PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL OF THE CITY OF DUBLIN  
IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. JOHN BAPTIST BEFORE THE SAME, a. r. 4.

Dublin Thomas Thomyr, charged with receiving Adam, son of Robert de Cauntetoun, who, together with other malefactors, broke into the church of the friars de Penitentia Jesu Christi <sup>(1)</sup>, Dublin, and thence robbed forty shillings, for which Adam, by a jury of the country on which he put himself, before Hugh Canoun and William de Breddesfeld, justices assigned to delivery of gaol . . . . and that he had art and part with Adam in the burglary and robbery, comes and defends, etc. And Roger Fab. de la Hulle, Walter de Nalle, Andrew Cissor, Henry Broun, William Baillif, Peter de Wyndesor, John Baker the painter, Gilbert Glydere, Symon Carnifex, Henry Faucoun, John Stradbaly and Richard de Lodelowe, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Roger le Keu, one of the jurors summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

Dublin Alexander McKyrgyr, taken with stolen goods, viz., a tabard of russet worth five pence, which he stole out of the church called la Whytechurche near Ballykegh, comes and acknowledges that he took the said tabard and a fallyng worth sixpence, which he sold for sixpence. And he says that he had such hunger for want of sustenance that he would have died unless he had taken the said fallyng and sold it for his sustenance, and forasmuch as he took the said tabard and fallyng in manner aforesaid, he puts himself wholly at the pleasure of the King and his court; he says also that he never did any other evil against the King's peace and he puts himself upon the country. Therefore he is delivered to the sheriff of Dublin to be taken to the prison of the castle of Dublin, there to be kept until, etc. And the sheriff is commanded that by the oath of good men of the parts of Ballikegh, where Alexander was born and reared to this day, he enquire diligently of his life and conversation and send the inquisition to the Justiciar as quickly as convenient. Afterwards in the quinzaine of Michaelmas in the said year at Dublin before the Justiciar, Alexander is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace

(1) The mendicant order generally known in England as the *Friars of the Sack*.



to be pardoned to him by half a mark, by pledge of Milo Chieure, Richard Chyeure, John Chyeure and William Seysell, so that he stand to right if anyone question him. 1310

m. 4d

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN,  
JUSTICIAR, DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID.

Henry son of Otuel de Cruys, Richard son of John de Cruys, Walter son of Henry de Cruys, Walter Mauveysin, John Elyot, Thomas, squire (*garcio*) of the said Henry, Richard son of Peter Crompe, William Canoun and Michael Crompe, charged that when lately the sheriff of Meath and Richard son of Maurice de Cruys were commanded to take Richard Moydarrach, charged at the plea of the King's crown, and to put him for safe custody in the King's prison until, etc., said Richard son of Maurice de Cruys by virtue of the said command came to the town of Kenles, and hearing that Richard Moydarrach was in the town, armed himself and came to the place where Henry son of Otuel de Cruys and the others aforesaid of his following were and found Richard Moydarrach in their company and wished to take him as he had been commanded, the said Henry and the others of his following were unwilling to suffer this, but beat Richard son of Maurice de Cruys, wounded and maltreated him and killed his horse which he was riding, worth 12 marks, and inflicted other evils upon him, to his great damage and against the peace, and thus rescued Richard Moydarrach, a felon of the King, and afterwards permitted him to go away free, by which the whole country is injured, wherefore the said Richard son of Maurice de Cruys as well on his own behalf as for the King seeks remedy, come and defend, etc. Nicholas de Cusak, Rhys de Stokes, Geoffrey le Bret, William Hamelyn, Richard Roddipak, Adam Belegaumbe, Adam son of Ralph Betagh, William de Kyngestoun, Stephen Roddipak, Richard Gernoun, Benedict le Hauberge and Ralph Bethe, jurors, say that from the time when it was certified to Richard Moydorrach that he was indicted before the Justiciar about the quinzaine of S. Hilary last at Drogheda, he withdrew and fled. John de Moydorrach, son of Richard, was indicted at the same time and taken at Drogheda, brought before the Justiciar and there arraigned for divers transgressions and felonies alleged to have been done by him against the peace, and thereof afterwards by a jury of the country upon which he had put himself was convicted, whereby the Justiciar wished to proceed to judgment against John. Afterwards the Justiciar, at the instance and request of Richard, son of Maurice de Cruys, delivered the body of John Moydorrach to said Richard son of Maurice de Cruys on bail to answer and to restore his body to the King's prison at a certain day which the Justiciar assigned to him, which if he failed to do, let him be held for the King in a large sum of money. Richard son of Maurice de Cruys for that grace promised that he would take Richard Moydorrach, a fugitive, father of John Moydorrach, and restore him to the King's prison. The Justiciar commanded him to use diligence in so doing, promising that if he did so he would regard it as good service in this behalf. And afterwards Richard son of Maurice de Cruys coming home had speech with Richard Moydorrach and told him in what wise he was indicted, and afterwards they agreed that Richard Moydorrach should sell his land to Richard son of Maurice de Cruys, so that Richard son of Maurice should make the peace of Richard Moydorrach with the King for the charges whereof he was indicted. Afterwards Richard Moydorrach went to Henry, son of Otuel de Cruys, and told him how it was agreed between him and Richard,

1310 son of Maurice about the sale of his land. On hearing this Henry asked Richard Moydorrhagh to sell his land to him and not to Richard, saying that he would give him more for the land than Richard offered and would likewise satisfy the King's court for him, wherefore Richard Moydorrhagh changed the first agreement and said that he would do this for the said Henry, and thereafter dwelt with Henry. When Richard son of Maurice de Cruys heard this, moved by wrath he said he would take Richard Moydorrhagh as the Justiciar had commanded him or stay him wherever he could find him, and John Moydorrhagh, son of Richard, understanding that he had his peace with the King if he could do this with the said Richard his father, promised Richard son of Maurice that he would faithfully help him as far as he could to take or slay Richard Moydorrhagh, wherefore Richard son of Maurice, John Moydorrhagh, and others of their following, hearing on a certain day that Henry son of Otuel de Cruys and Richard Moydorrhagh were drinking in the town of Kenles, came to the said town and told the men of the town that one Richard Moydorrhagh, a felon of the King, was in the town and they had come to the town to take him by command of the Justiciar; they also told them that if it happened otherwise hue and cry would be raised and that they were to help them, if need be, to take Richard Moydorrhagh. And as they were speaking Henry, son of Otuel de Cruys, Richard Moydorrhagh and the others above mentioned of their following mounted their horses and left the town. And Richard son of Maurice, John Moydorrhagh and others of their following armed and hastened after them and came up with them outside the town in a certain field. Richard son of Maurice immediately turned to Richard Moydorrhagh, and Richard Moydorrhagh, seeing him thus coming at him, fled hither and thither among his comrades, and Richard de Cruys and John Moydorrhagh continued to pursue him, and at last John Moydorrhagh struck Richard Moydorrhagh his father with a spear and gave him a severe wound and threw him from his horse to the ground. Then Henry son of Otuel de Cruys and others of his following, moved with wrath and wishing to save Richard Moydorrhagh, rushed upon Richard son of Maurice and slew his horse under him, and, throwing him to the ground, beat and wounded him. Afterwards they took and carried him away with them and kept him for some time in prison, to the serious loss of the said Richard de Cruys. Afterwards they brought Richard Moydorrhagh, felon of the King, with them and kept him for some days, and afterwards let him go away free. Therefore let Henry son of Otuel de Cruys and Richard son of John de Cruys be recommitted to gaol to await sentence. John de Clifford, knight, Michael de Cravill, Roger Gafney, Michael de Stokes, Ralph de Portis, Richard Eustace, Adam Chapman, John de Launey of Croskel, Walter Vole, William Frend and John de la Roche, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Afterwards Richard, son of Maurice of grace is admitted to make fine with the King for making a contract with Richard Moydorrhagh, a felon, to buy his land and said . . . likewise for concealing and hiding Richard Moydorrhagh . . . (1) of grace is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the King's peace to be pardoned to him for all transgressions against the King's peace to this day by twenty marks, by pledge of Richard son of Maurice de Cruys and Laur. le Blound of Robertstoun, so that he stand, etc. Richard son of Maurice de Cruys and Laurence are pledges of John Moydorrhagh that for the future he will always bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace, but if he shall not so do they undertake to restore him to the King's prison dead or alive. And of Richard de Moydorrhagh, who has long withdrawn and fled, the sheriff was elsewhere directed to seize into the hand of the King

(1) Long gap follows in Cal.

all the goods and chattels, lands and tenements of Richard, who is a fugitive, and to have them safely guarded, so that of the issues, etc., until, etc., and that of the true value of the goods, etc. aforesaid and of the reasonable value and extent of the lands, etc. he certify to the Justiciar here without delay. The sheriff then commanded a certain inquisition which he took thereof, and which is filed among the writs of Easter last, in which it is contained that Richard de Moydorrhagh on the day on which he fled had at Moydorrhagh fifty-six acres of land and meadow, worth twelve pence per annum per acre, and the crop of twenty acres of corn, worth forty pence an acre, eight crannocks of oats worth four shillings an acre <sup>(1)</sup>, five oxen and two cows worth five shillings each, which goods Richard de Balybyn, chief serjeant of Meath, took into the hand of the King immediately after Richard fled, viz., on the morrow of the Purification in the third year of the now King, and delivered the said lands and tenements, goods and chattels to Richard son of Maurice de Cruys and John Duffe by value and extent, to answer therefor to the King when they should be required. Sum of said chattels to the King . . . flight of Richard de Moydorrhagh six pounds thirteen shillings and eight pence, for which Richard . . . Cruys and John Duffe will answer as aforesaid; and said Richard and John will answer for . . . for fifty-six shillings . . . of the extent of the free land of Richard de Moydorrhagh . . . from the morrow of the Purification in the said year as long as the land is in the hand of the King. Afterwards Richard de Moydorrhagh is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for all transgressions committed by him against the King's peace to the said quinzaine of S. Martin by twenty pounds, by pledge of Henry son of Otuel de Cruys . . . de Cruys, John son of Elias, Maurice Carlan and William Carlan, so that he stand to right if anyone, etc. And Henry son of Otuel de Cruys and Richard son of John de Cruys are . . . of Richard de Moydorrhagh that for the future he will always bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace, and if he shall not do so they undertake to return his body to the King's prison.

m. 5

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 4. 8 July

Ralph Mulghan, charged that he, together with other malefactors, came by night to Miriyonge with horses and sacks and there stole from the hastivel of William le Devenys to the value of five shillings, comes and defends, etc. And John the clerk, John Davy, Alan de Crosse, John Halfide, Gregory Tauntoun, Thomas Tauntoun, John de Roche, Ph., son of David, Peter de Achdodenagh, Jordan le Waleys, William Chaumbirleyn, and Geoffrey Chevere, jurors, say that Ralph is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Dublin

Adam Jordan, charged that he, at the time when he was a serjeant of the King under the sheriff in the county, in the time of King Edward, father of the now King, a certain Richard de Lough who renounced the King's land had chattels to the value of forty pence, and that John Jordan, brother of Adam Jordan, who broke the prison of the King and escaped out of the castle of Dublin and fled, likewise had chattels, viz. seven crannocks of corn, oats and barley, worth four shillings a crannock, ten sheep with six lambs worth six pence each, four small pigs worth eight pence each, one brewing cup worth three shillings, one hogshead worth twelve pence and a pair of irons for a plough worth ten pence, Dublin

(1) Thus.

1310 which chattels Adam, on account of their flight, seized into the hand of the King and appropriated them to himself and concealed such forfeited chattels, when he ought to have presented them here, comes and says that he took the said chattels into the hand of the King as is aforesaid, but not of so great value, and presented them to Thom. de Rathlawe, the sheriff, who refused to receive them and commanded Adam that whenever he should be commanded by the Justiciar here he should present the chattels of the said fugitives, and that he made no other concealment of the said chattels; he asks that it be inquired into by the country. Therefore let a jury be made. And Nicholas de Cruys, Walter Fox, Thom. le Wythe of the New Castle of Lyouns, Ric. Bege of Tassagard, John Russel of Cromelyn, Ric. Broun of Tassagard, John Palmer, Ric. Cissor of the New Castle of Lyouns, John le Hore, John le Jo . . . ., Thom. de Ronelagh, and Roger Brekspere, jurors, say that Adam took the said chattels, as may now be seen, into the hand of the King on the occasion of the flight, and afterwards presented them to the sheriff as is aforesaid, and the sheriff answered that he should keep them safely and answer for them before the Justiciar here as Justices Itinerary<sup>(1)</sup> when he should be forewarned. The said sheriff, being present in court, testifies to this; therefore let Adam be put off indefinitely, and the sheriff, viz. Thom. de Rathlawe, will answer for the chattels, and let Adam be exonerated from now. And the sheriff is directed to receive the chattels from Adam if he will. Chattels of Richard de Lough, forty pence. Chattels of John Jordan, forty shillings and sixpence.

Dublin John, son of William Schort, charged that he, together with other malefactors, waylaid John, a monk of Geriponte on the highway between the town of Welles and Kilory and robbed him of a horse and cloth to the value of forty shillings, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him by forty shillings, by pledge of William Schort, Thom. Schort and Ris de la Warr, so that he stand, etc.

Dublin Richard Tyrel of Castrocnok, charged that he feloniously and against the peace carried off Eva, daughter of William de Lo . . . . down, who was a tender maiden of eleven years at that time, and afterwards had carnal knowledge of the said Eva without her consent and will, comes and cannot deny this and completely puts himself upon the grace of the King and of the court. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol to await judgment and grace. Afterwards, on Friday before the Decollation of S. John Baptist in this year at Cassell, at the instance and request of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, and John, son of the said earl, for the good service of the said earl and John done and to be done hereafter for the King, treaty and advice being had thereupon with the Chancellor and Treasurer of Ireland and others of the King's Council there present, and with the assent of the said Chancellor and Treasurer and others aforesaid, Richard Tyrel is admitted to make fine with the King, as well for the grace aforesaid as for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for the rape aforesaid, by one hundred marks, so that before the next coming of the Justiciar here at Dublin he satisfy the said Eva for the rape. And the sheriff of Dublin will answer for the pledges and the fine. Afterwards in the quinzaine of Michaelmas in the said year at Dublin the sheriff instructed . . . . of the said fine which he accepted, to wit, Ric. de Cruys for ten marks, Maur. Cadel for ten marks, . . . . de Cruys for ten marks, John Oweyn for ten marks, Regin. de la Ffeld for ten marks, . . . . Luterel for ten marks, Bertram Abbot for ten marks, Robert le Porter for ten marks, . . . . for ten marks, and Maur. le Reve for ten marks.

(1) Thus.

And thereupon come into court . . . at Dublin in the quinzaine of S, Martin in the said year Richard Tyrel and Eva, and the said Richard . . . with the said Eva and for suit of the said Eva to be remitted to Richard and to be pardoned for the said rape . . . marriage of Eva to Thom. Skybras, son and heir of Thomas Skybras, who is under age . . . and marriage pertaining to the said Richard to make a profit of the said Eva from the said marriage according as she . . . see it will be more expedient. And if it should happen that Thomas die before he arrive at full age and obtain his inheritance, then Eva may have marriage with the other heir of the said Thomas . . . from heir to heir until she obtain the value of the marriage of one heir of the said Thomas Skybras and make a profit thereof in manner aforesaid. And thereof she holds herself content, and William de Loud . . . took that Eva his daughter, when she comes of age, will render to Richard Tyrel due security . . . against the said Richard for the rape. Afterwards, at the instance of Alexander de Bykenore, Treasurer of Ireland, it is granted that Richard by the said fine have pardon for all transgressions to this day.

m. 5d

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID.

Reymund Freysel, charged with the death of John Cachfrens, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, says he is not guilty, and puts himself upon the country. Robert Beneger, Adam Cullyn, Matthew de la Rath, Robert Cor, Nicholas Balue, Adam Galeth, Adam Dullard, John Wolbot, Stephen Cor, Walter the miller, William Turbut and Robert le Lang of Molaghyde, jurors, say that Reymund and John were drinking in a tavern, and angry words arising between them, John Cachfrens rose up in anger and went to another house adjacent and there drank with another company until about the hour of vespers, and afterwards in the dusk Reymund, intending to go home, came out of the porch of the tavern, and as he delayed there a short time, one of his friends came and told him to fly quickly because John Cachfrens and others of his following were coming to kill him, and as they spoke they saw them coming fully armed. And Reymund hastily took to flight and put behind his back the point of a spear which he held in his hand, and John, running quickly after Reymund to kill him, by his speed caught Reymund and ran upon the said spear and wounded himself, Reymund continuing to fly as before, from which wound John afterwards died. Therefore to judgment of Reymund. Afterwards of grace, etc. suit of the peace is pardoned to Reymund for the said death, so that he stand, etc. And it is granted that he have again his chattels, which were taken into the King's hand on the occasion of the said death, and the coroner is directed to remove the King's hand therefrom if they are in the King's hand for that occasion and no other.

Meath

Walter, son of Philip, charged with the death of Nicholas Troman, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, says he is not guilty and puts himself upon the country. Adam Cullyn, Mathew de la Rath, Robert Freysel, Robert Cor, Nicholas Balue, Adam Galeth, Adam Dullard, John Wolbot, Stephen Cor, Walter the miller, William Turbut and Robert le Lang of Molaghyde, jurors, say that Walter is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels twenty-two shillings and seven pence, for which Richard Dardicz, sheriff, will answer. Henry Freysel, Walter son of Nicholas, John Deruos, Peter Admot, John son of Admot,

Meath

1310 Henry Bygetoun, Adam Chaumbirleyn, Peter Clathan, John Bygetoun, William Arnold, Robert Bygetoun and Thomas Deytoun, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore they are in mercy.

Dublin

Thomas, son of John Oweyn, charged that he stole from John Waspaill a horse, value twenty shillings, of grace etc. is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him therefor, and likewise for all transgressions committed by him to this day, excepting murder of an Englishman, rape and arson, for forty shillings, by pledge of Richard, son of Hugh de Cruys, John Oweyn and Warin Oweyn, so that he stand to right, etc.

m. 6

30 July PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT THE NAAS BEFORE SAME ON THURSDAY BEFORE S. PETER AD VINCULA, a. r. 4.

Kildare

David le Long, charged that he stole from Milo le Bret a wether, value thirteene pence, and that he is a common robber of geese, hens, sheep and corn in the stack and in the barns, and that he threatens the neighbours of the country from day to day to burn them if they indict him, comes and defends and puts himself upon the country. And Richard Daniel, Richard de Bosco, David Renagh, Will. Daniel, William Grene, Ph. Martin, Hugh son of Richard, Will. le Waleys, Adam Collet, Milo le Bret, David de Rupe and John de Rochford, jurors, say that David is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels half a mark, for which the sheriff, David le Masiner, will answer.

Kildare

Twelve jurors present that David Kerdyf, miller of a mill of Castelmartyn, stood in the mill and prepared the mill for milling, and for weakness of the upper millstone broke the millstone into three parts, of which one part struck David on the belly, whereof he died on the seventh day following. Judgment, misadventure. Value of the said upper millstone, of the inner and outer wheel and iron of the said mill, seven shillings, for which Hugo Canoun will answer, by the presentation of Nicholas, son of Thomas, coroner.

Dublin  
Wexford

At the instance of Maurice de Rupeforti, knight, Walter le Mareschal, who feloniously slew David le Mareschal, as is alleged, is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for the said death, and likewise for retaking his chattels, by £10, by pledge of Peter le Mareschal junior, and Robert de Prendilgast of £6, David Boscher of Ros and Philip de la Roche of Belgrave of £4, so that he stand to right, etc.

. . . . to said Walter . . . . pe, from whom it was stolen <sup>(1)</sup>.

Kildare

Arnald le Poer, knight, Milo le Poer, William de Lyuet, Michael de Lyvet, Maurice son of . . . ., William son of Thomas Moynagh and Hugh, son of . . . ., charged with the death of John de Bonevill, knight, come and defend, say they are not guilty and put themselves upon the country. And John de Bermengham, Walter le Bret, . . . . de Sintoun, Milo de Rocheford, knights, Walter de Stauntoun, Henry son of Ririth, David Wy . . . . Dullard, Silvester Calf, David le Masiner, Henry de Kermerdyn and Hugh de la H . . . . say that Arnald, Milo, William, Michael, Maurice, William and Hugh are not guilty. Therefore they are quit.

<sup>(1)</sup> This fragment occurs at the top of a page, suggesting that a page of the cal. is missing.

m. 7

1310

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CASSELL BEFORE  
SAME, FRIDAY BEFORE THE DECOLLATION OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 4. 28 Aug.

John Vayrewey, charged with the death of Simon de Via, comes and defends, etc. And John-Beyshin, John le Blak, Philip Mardewell, Martin son of John, John le Blak, William le Blak, John White of Loghken, John de Valle of Catheraban, Philip le Blount of Owenagh Cassell, Nicholas Stafford, Symon son of Michael, and John Gerard, jurors, say that John feloniously and against the peace slew Symon and afterwards withdrew himself and fled, and by divers tricks robbed men and women coming to the market of Fahred of falings and cloth, woollen and linen, and divers other like goods, to the value of 40s. Chattels of John, none here, because he was elsewhere arraigned before Fulk de Fraxineto and his fellows, Justices of the King, at the delivery of gaol to be made in that county. And because he refused the common law, he is adjudged to the prison to the diet, and his chattels before the said judges are adjudged to be forfeited and are there placed in the estreats. Afterwards John is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him by 10 marks, by pledge of Richard Breyghnok for one mark, Robert Braynok for one mark, John de Fen for one mark, William Pie de Lyoun for half a mark, William le Bret for half a mark, Thomas le Bret for 20s. Thomas Fayrway for one mark, William Fayrway for one mark, Peter Marchis for 40d., James Fayrway for one mark, Thomas de Stoketoun for one mark, John le Waleys junior for 5s. and John le Loung for 5s.

Tipperary

John Oclery, taken *in flagrante delicto* at the suit of Adam Hudd, to wit, with sixteen ells of Irish woollen cloth, which he stole from Adam, comes and acknowledges that he committed the theft. Therefore it is considered that Adam have again his cloth, and John is re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Afterwards of grace suit of the King's peace, is pardoned to him by the Justiciar.

Tipperary

At the instance of Richard de Clare, knight, Richard of Oxford, clerk, is admitted to make fine, etc. for all trespasses and felonies committed by him against the King's peace up to this day, except murder of an Englishman, rape and arson, by 40s., by pledge of John, son of John le Poer, knight, and John de Harcourt, knight, so that he stand to right, etc.

Cork

At the instance of John, son of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, and for the good service of John rendered to the King and hereafter to be rendered, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Robert le Taillour, who by another name is called Robert de Aschebourne, for all trespasses and felonies committed by him against the King's peace up to this day, except murder of an Englishman, rape and arson, so that he stand to right, etc. And the Chancellor is directed to cause letters patent of the King to be made of the said pardon in the usual form.

Dublin

m. 7d

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT KILDARE BEFORE  
JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY AFTER S. MATTHEW, a. r. 4. 24 Sept.

William Lek of Kildare and Alice his wife, charged that they abetted and procured one brother Richard Feys of the order of S. Mary of Mount

Kildare

1310 Carmel to break a chest of Rose Sweyn which was to be kept in a stone house of the friars of the said order at Kildare, which said brother Richard by such abetment broke the chest and stole 15 marks of silver out of it and took the money to William and Alice, who had their part of the theft and burglary, and of their share falling to them by agreement previously made between them and brother Richard afterwards worked their will, come and defend the burglary, theft, abetment, etc., say they are not guilty and put themselves upon the country. Roger Ossery, Thomas de Mora, Richard Hford, Richard Muchele, Nicholas le Blake, John Rolog, Henry Clerk, William Coyntre, John Craville, William Loudyn, Henry Quintyn and Richard Syward, jurors, say that William and Alice are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And the jurors, being asked why William and Alice were indicted for the said theft, say that John le Feure, William the clerk, and John Seyngur, who were in the inquisition which the sheriff took of the theft and burglary, suborned the whole inquisition, saying that William and Alice were guilty, and took upon themselves the whole risk of the oath taken by their fellows. Asked why they did this, they say clearly that on account of malice and insults arising between them and William and Alice, because William Lek had said for a long time in this town that John, William and John took false oaths in a certain inquisition which was taken before the bailiffs of the town, the said John, William and John, wishing to take revenge therefor on William Lek and to vent their wrath against him in some way, falsely and maliciously procured against William and Alice the said indictment. They say also that at the time when Rose Sweyn, who died eight years ago, lay on her death bed or at any previous time, Rose made no complaint thereof, nor made mention of any such theft. They say also that John, William and John after the death of Rose were often jurors, as well in the turns of the sheriffs of the county as in inquisitions taken before the seneschal, for saying what they knew about the articles of pleas of the crown etc., and said nothing of this fact until now. Therefore let John le Feure, William the clerk, and John le Seyngur for their perjury be committed to gaol. Afterwards John, William and John made fine with the King for the said trespasses, viz., John le Feure by 20s., by pledge of Thomas de Boys for 5s. Richard de Clane for 5s. and Peter Cissor for 40d., and John Seyngnour by 20s., by pledge of John Sweyn and Richard Seyngnour, and William the clerk by 20s., by pledge of Adam the clerk of Lekkagh for 5s., Thom. de Boys for 10s., John Giffard for 2s. and Roger Goldfynche and William Beneg' for 3s. Afterwards two marks of the said fines, viz., the 20s. by which John le Seynour, and half a mark of the said twenty shillings by which John le Feure made fine as aforesaid, are assigned to the Friars Minors of Clane by the Justiciar, of the King's gift, as of his alms; therefore let them not be put in the estreats to the Exchequer. And know that David le Mazener, sheriff, received the said pledges and returned them into court here.

**Kildare** Agatha, daughter of William of Meath, charged that she stole by night out of a chest of her father, William, which was placed in the church of Mon to be kept, silver and other goods found in the chest, and said William, charged that he received Agatha his daughter afterwards, knowing that she had committed the said theft, come, and at the instance of Philip de Mon are admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of William Kyst, John Chaumbirleyn and Philip de Mon, so that they stand to right, etc.

**Kildare** Richard, son of Geoffrey of Kill, taken because he deforced the King's marshal from taking carriage for the use of the Justiciar here in the



town of Kill, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine with the King by half a mark, by pledge of John Seliman of Archourestoun and Richard, son of Thomas of Kill. 1310

At the instance of master Henry de Ragleye, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Eve de la More for cutting a purse at Kildare, as is said, so that she stand to right, etc. And the provost and bailiffs of Kildare are directed to deliver up Eve, who was taken and detained in their power in the King's prison, if she be detained on that occasion and no other. Kildare

## m. 8

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT DUBLIN BEFORE SAME ON FRIDAY AFTER S. MATHIAS, (1) a. r. 4. 26 Feb. 1311 (2)

Because Maurice de Cauntetoun, who by himself and his accomplices, felons of the King, slew Ric. Taloun, and David de Cauntetoun, knight, who after the said felony knowingly received Maurice and his accomplices, openly put themselves at war against the King, it was commanded, as well to the seneschals of the liberties of Leinster as to the sheriffs of Cork and Limerick in whose bailiwicks Maurice and David and their accomplices were sometimes remaining, that they should cause to be taken into the King's hand all goods and chattels, lands, rents and tenements of the said felons and cause them to be extended and valued, so that they should answer for the true value to the King at his Exchequer in Dublin until they should have other precept, and that they should notify the Justiciar.

And the seneschal of the liberty of Weysford sends an inquisition in which is contained that Maurice de Cauntetoun had at Glascarrig one messuage, the easement of which is at present of no value, because it is ruinous and no one inhabits it or can inhabit it until much expense be incurred for its repair; and he had there in demesne eight carucates of arable land each worth yearly, if they could be tilled and ploughed, two marks, which now are worth nothing because they lie uncultivated, nor does anyone dare to put hands to them on account of the Macmurghs, who, after Maurice put himself at war against the King, preyed upon and devastated that land, although Maurice, before he committed the said felony, was accustomed to receive 16 marks of yearly rent. And he had there 48 burgages which render yearly 48s. And the pleas and perquisites of the court and hundred are worth yearly one mark. And there is a rabbit warren worth yearly 2s. And he had there 9d. and half a pound of cummin of yearly rent. And Maurice had at Moyany two carucates of land in demesne, which are now worth nothing because they are in the march and lie sterile. Dublin Wexford

And David de Cauntetoun had at Moylagh in demesne five carucates of arable land, each of which should be worth yearly *si fuisset assisa* and could be tilled 20s., which now are worth nothing because they lie sterile. And he had there 40 burgages which used to render yearly 40s., whereof now nothing arises because the tenants are fled and the burgages fell and are not rebuilt. The wood there is of no value. David had at Abolsy two carucates of arable land with Agatha his wife, of which Agatha was jointly enfeoffed with Gilbert de Suttoun, her first husband, and they are worth yearly 40s. which is rendered to the master of Balycaock, and so they are worth nothing beyond the rent. The fishery there is worth

(1) For *Matthew*? (2) Recte 25 Sept 1310?

- 1310 3s. yearly. David had at Quylleferestoun two carucates of arable land with the said Agatha his wife, of which she was jointly enfeofed with the said Gilbert, which are worth yearly 40s., from which is to be deducted two marks which are rendered to the Master of Balycaock, and so they are worth only one mark. And he had in Bentry  $3\frac{1}{2}$  carucates of land each of which is worth yearly 18s. And he had there of free rent 6s., which he received yearly from John, son of Philip. And he had there in the name of custody of land of the heir of Nicholas son of Robert until the age of the heir, one carucate of land worth yearly 20s.

And the said seneschal now returns that the goods, etc. of the said felons immediately after they committed the felony were depredated by the Macmurghs, Irish enemies of Maurice de Cauntetoun and the others, and altogether carried away, except their corn growing in the land, which they have not yet been able to value. Afterwards the seneschal returned to the Justiciar that Maurice de Cauntetoun had at Glascarrig in the said liberty the crop of 20 acres of wheat, value 20d. an acre, 20 acres of oats value 20d. an acre, five oxen value 5s. each, three afers value 4s. each. Sum of the said goods, except the extent of the tenements and goods robbed by the Macmurghs, 103s. 8d.; and David de Borrard will answer by presentment of the said seneschal.

Cork

And the sheriff of Cork returned that Maurice de Cauntetoun had in Corkbeg one carucate of land which is worth yearly £3, and he had easement of two parts of the island of Corkbeg which is worth yearly 2s. And he had pleas and perquisites of the court and hundred of two parts worth yearly 2s., and he had two parts of the mill worth yearly 2s. and worth more if it should be repaired by 4s. And Maurice had of extern rent, viz. yearly of Fynnewor 33s. 4d., and of Lysduf 7s., and of rent of burgages yearly 36s., and when royal service happens to be proclaimed to the lord of Lyssofran and Duffityr one mark, and half a mark . . . part of that land. And he had 4s. of rent of boats of sand (*sablon*) yearly and 12 . . . of turbary. And he had at Balydirthawyn 240 acres of land, each of which is worth yearly 4d., and at Shanclon 60 acres of land each worth 4d., and at Carrigourtan 40 acres of land of the same extent, at Moyarissan 60 acres of same extent, at Laynought 120 acres of same extent, at Moycrone and Gortevahy 100 acres of same extent, at Kyngeskyn 30 acres of same extent, at . . . heny 40 acres of same extent, and at Conryn 12 acres of same extent, and of herbage at Gortybryn 40d. yearly. And he had a mill at Killurd worth half a mark per annum, and he had a weir at Balyhiddirthawyn with a fishery there worth yearly half a mark, and he had a sand pit (*puteum sabloni*) worth yearly half a mark at Shanclon, and he had of rent and . . . ger at Killurd eight marks yearly, and John Russel who accustomed to bear to said Maurice yearly 25s. (1), Hugh Penbroc likewise 7s., Reym. son of Herbert 29d. Maurice had of the court and hundred of Killurd yearly half a mark. And the sheriff returns that he took into the hand of the King of Maurice's chattels 140 sheep, value . . . 20 pigs, value one mark, 12 oxen and eight afers, value . . . twelve cows and one bull, value five marks, and forty . . . oats, value 13 marks.

m. 8d

. . . Henry two colts of the stud, value 20s., and 30 sheep, value 20s. Sum of the chattels beside the custody, 40s., whereof of Will., son of Ph. de Rupe will answer. And the sheriff, Will. de Cauntetoun, will

(1) Thus in cal.

answer for the custody as long as it shall stand in the hand of the King. 1310

And the sheriff of Limerick returns that he caused to be seized into the hand of the King 120 acres of the said Maurice de Cauntetoun at Glydowen, value 4d. each yearly, and 20 acres of wood, value 2s. a year, and two marks of yearly rent which John de Rupe of Corran bore to the said Maurice, and one mark rent which John son of Gregory de Cauntetoun owed him, and two carucates of land in the Neynan which Maurice held of Griffin, son of Reymund de Rupe for two marks rent per annum, which are worth 2s. yearly beyond the said rent. And likewise that he took into the hand of the King of the goods of Maurice found at Glyndowen, nine afers and four oxen, value each 40d., six crannoeks of wheat, value each 4s., and twenty crannoeks of oats, value each 20d. Sum of the said chattels, 100s. 8d., for which Will., son of Ph. de Rupe will answer by pledge of Griffin son of Reymund de Rupe, and John de Rupe of Corran, by presentment of John de Athy, sheriff.

Limerick

m. 9

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, 13 Oct.  
IN THE QUINZAIN OF MICHAELMAS, a. r. 4.

Because Maghoun McMaghoun, an Irishman of the said county, and all the Irishmen of his sept, and Maghoun McKeygh Oraily of county Meath and all the Irishmen of his sept openly put themselves at war against the King on account of divers dissensions between the Irish and English of their marches now arisen anew, by which it was feared great damage might easily come if such dissensions should not somehow be allayed, Ric. de Exon, one of the Justices of the Bench, was commanded to treat and parley with the said Irish to see if he could find a reasonable measure to make amends as well to the King as to others of the marches of the said Irish for the damage done by the said Irish; Richard should certify what he did in the premises.

Louth

Richard returned a letter to the Justiciar here at this day under his seal, which is filed among the writs of judgment of this term, in which is contained that Maghoun McMaghoun, as well for himself as for all his sept in Co. Louth, offers to the King ten pounds for suit of the King's peace to be pardoned to him for all crimes committed by them against the peace to this day, to wit, one moiety at the feast of S. Hilary next and the other next Easter, and they would also satisfy all who wished to complain of them by consideration and ordinance of the natives of their marches, as well English as Irish, to be chosen for the purpose on a certain day and at a certain place to be assigned by them, provided that the Englishmen satisfied them in turn, and if they did not do so the said ten pounds to be paid in full to the King and the Irishmen to be in the same position as they were before making fine, to wit, if it be found that default lie with the Irish and not the English etc. For the payment of the said ten pounds at the said terms in the said form Maghoun McMaghoun and his men of Co. Louth found pledges, to wit, Mag . . . . Okerwill, Gilletyrny McMaghoun and Nicholas Okerwill. And Maghoun McKeygh Oraily, for himself and all the Irishmen of his sept of Co. Meath, offers to the King ten marks, to be paid in the same form at the same terms, by pledge of Turdelagh Oraily and Cathel Irrielagh Oraily. And treaty being had with those of the King's Council at present in this town, it is granted to them, so that they stand, etc.

Thomas Omothel, charged with the death of Walter Robyn, comes and defends, etc. Richard le Blount de Taelagh, Alex. Alwy, Roger

Dublin

1310 Silvestr, Walter Loghan, Robert Albus, Walter son of Reginald, Nicholas Alayn, Richard Albus juvenis, Regin. Albus, John Palmer, Walter Kenefeg, Thom . . . . and Philip Joye, jurors, say that thieves came by night to the archbishop's mill near Tauelagh, and broke the mill, that they might steal corn and other goods found there, and the miller, who heard them break the mill, fearing that he would easily be slain if the thieves found him in the mill, went out by a secret way and went to the town of Tauelagh and came to a house where there was a tavern and men drinking, and immediately related to them how thieves were in the mill, and all in the said house exc . . . . taking their arms went out and with haste came to the mill, and the thieves hearing the noise of men coming to the mill, fled, and when those who came from the town found no one in the mill they all returned to the town. And Thomas Omothel, carrying a lance in his hand, came a little before his fellows who were coming from the mill and met one Ric. de . . . ., bailiff of the archbishop, who came riding towards the mill and immediately asked Thomas Omothel, whom he did not know because he was a stranger, who he was, and Thomas replied by asking the bailiff who he was. And so, abusive words arising between them, Richard de Balythermot made a sign with his lance to strike Thomas, and Thomas in return the same towards Richard. And Walter Robyn and Thomas le Lech, fearing greater injury might arise, separated them from each other, and Thomas le Lech held the lance of Thomas Omothel by the hinder part, and Walter Robyn held it near the point, and with a short axe which he had in his hand, making sign of his intention to do greater injury, struck the lance near the head, which Thomas Omothel still held by the middle, and broke the lance to avoid a greater danger. And Thomas Omothel withdrew in wrath a little, unsheathed his sword and gave Walter a wound of which he died. Afterwards at the instance of Meiler de Kendal, knight, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Thomas for the said charges, so that he stand, etc.

Meath Roger Jacob and Richard Bonde, charged that they, with other malefactors, burglariously entered the mill of Geoffrey Coulmolyn by night and stole of the corn of John Geffrey half a crannock of wheat worth 8s., of the corn of Robert Straffan two bushels of wheat worth 4s., and of the corn of John de Lak . . . . bushels of wheat worth 4s., and that they stole of Adam Louesay one afer worth 2s. and also burglariously entered by night the kiln of the abbot of S. Thomas the Martyr at Newbiry and stole . . . . to the value of 3s., and the said Roger, charged that he stole by night from Matilda Hamound . . . . and other goods to the value of 5s., come and defend, etc. And Laurence Osmund, William Brounyng, John de Rath, Ralph Devenys, Robert . . . . Warin le Hore, Robert Wodhorn, John le Freynse, Geoffrey le Wythe, Simon de R . . . . de Rath and Robert Hosberne, jurors, say that Richard is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And of the said . . . . that he is guilty. And they say clearly that from excessive poverty and hunger which . . . . they had in the summer last past, when there was great dearth in this land . . . . but never heard any other evil of him. Therefore . . . . to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for the said crimes for . . . . shillings, by pledge of the said jurors, except Robert Hosberne . . . . to acquit the said jury, so that he stand, etc.

m. 9d

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS BEFORE.

Meath

Jordan Cor, charged with the death of Ric. de Midiltoun, comes and

defends, etc. And Hugh Corbally, Thomas Horche, William Long, Laurence Gos, Henry Andreu, Roger Andreu, Henry Roger, Henry Rowe, William Rowe, Arnald Carpenter, John Wythirsmere and Robert Baldebes, jurors, say that Jordan is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none. 1310

William, son of Martin le Longe of Tassagard, and John le Devenys, squire of the said William, charged that they, with other robbers, stole the stud of Robert Darditz in the liberty of Trym and drove it into the county, come and William, for himself and for John, is admitted to make fine, etc., and to have his chattels again, by six marks, by pledge of the said Martin. And the sheriff is directed to deliver to him without delay the goods, etc. of William, taken into the King's hand on that occasion. Dublin

Walter de Saresfeld and John McElan, charged with the death of Richard son of Reginald and Richard, son of the same Richard, at the instance and request of Walter Lenfaunt, knight, are admitted to make fine, etc., for the said death by 40 marks, by pledge of Walter Lenfaunt, knight, of 10 marks, David le Maziner of £10, Henry de Lees of 2 marks, Simon de Flatesbury of 2 marks, Richard de Oxenford of 2 marks, Hugh de la Hyde of 2 marks, and Robert Brayghnok of 2 marks and David le Masiner of the rest, so that they stand, etc. And Walter Lenfaunt mainprised that Walter de Saresfeld and John McElan should go to Scotland to the King's war immediately after Easter next and apply their faithful following to fight the Scottish enemies of the King in those parts, and for that reason the fine is admitted on so small a scale. Afterwards, at the instance of Walter Lenfaunt, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Walter de Saresfeld and John McElan for all trespasses to this day, except murder of another Englishman, rape and arson and such felonies, so that they stand, etc. Kildare

Arnald le Poer and John son of Robert le Poer, knights, Milo le Poer, John son of Math . . . le Poer, William son of Henry le Poer, William de Lyvet, Michael de Lyvet, Maurice son of Robert, William son of Thomas Moynagh, Hugh son of the bailiff, Gerald de S. Michael, Maurice Clement, Richard Russel, John son of Gilbert de S. Michael, Richard Wulf, Robert Crok, Richs (†) Moynagh, John Moynagh, Henry le Waleys, Adam de la Bere, and John Landebourne, charged that after John de Bonevill, knight, was feloniously slain against the King's peace by David, son of David de Offyntoun, they forcibly entered the fortalice of the said John de Bonevill in his manor of Balylethan and took, destroyed and carried away the goods, etc. which were found in the manor, by the advice of the Chancellor, Treasurer and all ministers of the King's Council present in the town were admitted to make fine, etc., by £100, by pledge of John, son of John le Poer, of 10 marks, William, son of Philip de Rupe of 10 marks, Theobald le Poer of 10 marks, John Talun of 10 marks, John Godsy of 10 marks, Maurice Tyrel of 10 marks, David Brun of 10 marks, Stephen Fraunceys of 10 marks, Geoffrey de la Hide of 10 marks, John de Athy of 10 marks, Geoffrey son of Eustace of 10 marks, John son of Eustace of 10 marks, Philip son of Bernard de Stauntoun of 10 marks, Richard Whittey of 10 marks and Thomas le Botiller of 10 marks, so that they stand, etc. And know that the said fine is admitted on so meagre and moderate a scale because Arnald and certain of his household before named are elsewhere, by knights, free men and lieges, as well of Leinster as of Munster, on whose oaths at the King's suit before the Justiciar here they put themselves, duly quit that they are not guilty of the death of John de Bonevill, whereof they were indicted. Afterwards, by the advice of the Chancellor, Treasurer and

(†) " ? Nichs " in pencil in margin.

1310 others of the Council, at the instance of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, John son of Thomas and many other nobles of this country, it is agreed and granted that as well Arnald and others of his household who are acquitted of the death of John de Bonevill by a jury of the county, as is aforesaid, as appears in the rolls of pleas of the crown before the Justiciar here of Easter term last, as all others before named who were indicted of the said death and not yet acquitted, may have pardon of suit of the King's peace for all trespasses to this day, except for the death of John de Bonevill. And the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent of the King to be made of the said pardon in the customary form, so that those of them who were not yet acquitted of the said death . . . to prison to stand to the charge in the King's court, as well at the King's suit as . . . if anyone wishes to plead against them.

m. 10

11 Dec. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT BALYMOR BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, FRIDAY AFTER S. NICHOLAS, a. r. 4.

Kildare Geoffrey son of Eustace, charged that he sends his serving men, whose names are not known, with Irishmen to burn, ravage and rob the country in the said county, and that he has art and part in the robberies committed by his serving men with the Irishmen, and that the said serving men are received with Geoffrey after the robberies, and John son Eustace, charged that he received Hugh Og and Adok Duff, felons, while going and returning from the robberies, and especially before the burning of the towns of Carnelwy and Sourdwestoun, come and defend, etc. Afterwards the Justiciar here, having consulted with the lords Fulk de Fraxineto, Milo de Rotheford, Meiler de Kendale and other loyal men present in court, dismissed Geoffrey and John by mainprise of the said lords Fulk de Fraxineto, Milo de Rotheford and Meiler de Kendale, knights, master William Rodyyard, Hugh de la Feld, William son of Ralph, Lambert le Masiner, Henry de Baddowe, Robert de Kerdif, Robert son of William, Joseph le Hore and William le Baker of Balmor, to have the bodies of Geoffrey and John before the Justiciar by reasonable warning to stand to right on the said charges, etc.

Kildare David Dunegan, miller, of Balicotlan, and Philip son of Thomas le Lang of Molaghcassry, charged that they commonly search the country and inns for the goods and chattels of the natives of the county Kildare against the arrival of Irishmen from the mountains of Leinster, felons of the King, and that they lead these felons by night to rob their neighbours being at peace with the King, and that they have art and part with the said felons in such robberies, and the said Philip, likewise charged that he, together with other malefactors, stole from Henry de Kermerdyn at Milesestounkermerdyn seventy one pigs and drove them to the said felons and, together with the said felons, made away with them, come and defend, etc. And Thomas de Cromhale, Henry Breynok, Hugh de la Hide, Henry de Kermerdyn, William son of William, John le Arblaster, Robert de Kerdif, John son of David, John Gyngelyn, David Jakes, Henry de Lees, Robert Birch, Thomas le Taverner and William son of Ralph, jurors, say that Philip le Lang is guilty. They say also that Philip feloniously and against the peace slew Henry Donne, an Englishman. And of David Dunegan they say that he is not guilty of the charges brought against him, but that he has a brother named David Dunegan who sojourns among the Irish, and it is that David who does all the evil he can do throughout the country. Therefore David Dunegan is quit, and let Philip son of Thomas le Lang be hanged and the sheriff take an inquisition of his chattels and answer for them.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT TRISTELDERMOT 1310  
BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, TUESDAY THE MORROW OF S. THOMAS 22 Dec.  
THE APOSTLE, a. r. 4.

Dermot Oseghe, charged that he is a common robber and that he was Kildare  
at the burning of Comynestoun, Balymor, Russelestoun and Carnelwy,  
and that he has art and part of the robberies which the Ototheles, Obrynes  
and McMurghuthes, felons, do in Co. Kildare, and is received with the  
said felons, comes and defends, etc. And John Was, Luke le Mareschal,  
James le Mareschal, Walter Wodeloc, John Collan, William Hoper, John  
le N . . . , Osbert Cissor, Barth. de Lynne, Stephen Lythfot, Walter  
Sparawk and Richard Riche, jurors, say Dermot is guilty. Therefore  
let him be hanged.

m. 10d.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT TRISTELDERMOT, 29 Dec.  
TUESDAY AFTER CHRISTMAS, a. r. 4.

John Chevere, dean of Leyghlin, and master Ralph le Brun, arch- Dublin  
deacon of the same, charged that they, after the death of Nicholas, late  
bishop of Leyghlin, retained the bishop's seal, and as well with that seal  
as with the seal of the chapter, which was shut up under the seal of three  
of the canons of the same church and which they opened without view  
of the said three canons, they caused to be sealed a letter of quitclaim  
containing that the said bishop, by assent of his chapter, released to  
Geoffrey Chevere, son of the said John, all right in 12s. rent and 14s.  
rent which he owed for certain lands which he held of the bishop in fee,  
and also that they caused to be sealed by the same seals falsely for the  
said archdeacon certain letters patent that the bishop, by the assent of  
his chapter, granted to the archdeacon that he should have all profits  
of . . . (1) visitation or half of all profits of the chapter of the bishop  
and all profits arising from probate of wills; and the said Geoffrey,  
charged that he falsely and wittingly procured certain letters of quit  
claim; come and say that they are not bound to answer without suit  
being brought by some plaintiff, and if it should seem good to the court  
here that they ought to answer at the suit of the King, then they will  
answer adequately. Being told that they should answer, they say they  
are not guilty and put themselves on the country. And the said dean,  
likewise charged that he, after the death of the bishop, caused to be  
signed certain letters patent with the seal of the bishop, containing that  
the bishop ordained one Stephen priest, likewise says he is not guilty  
and puts himself on the country. And John Sampson, Thomas Salter,  
John Capel, Philip Knay, Gilbert Forster, John Stones, Richard Knay,  
Robert le Waleys, Gilbert son of Griffyn, Robert le Lang, Geoffrey le  
Lang and Maykyn Bette, jurors, say that the dean and the archdeacon  
caused to be signed such letters patent for the archdeacon with the seal  
of the bishop and of the chapter after the death of Nicholas, late bishop  
of Leighlin, and the dean . . . with the same seals caused to be sealed  
a letter of quitclaim for the said Geoffrey of the said 12s. rent, at the  
request of Geoffrey, who quitclaim . . . accepting it, who thence-  
forward ceased to pay the rent of 12s. . . . owed 14s. yearly for the  
lands, etc. which he held from the chapter . . . after the said signing  
paid only 2s., but they say that the signing was done without the arch-  
deacon, because he knew nothing of it. They say also that the dean,  
after the bishop's death, caused to be signed with the bishop's seal a

(1) "Next" written in pencil here, with "his own" over it. "Proprie" in  
margin.

1310 letter patent for one Stephen, a chaplain, whom the bishop had previously ordained to the priesthood, testifying to the said ordination, and this likewise the dean did without the archdeacon. Being asked who had the custody of the seal of the chapter, they say the seal was in a chest in the cathedral church, shut up under the seals of three canons of the said church. And the dean . . . the key of the chest after the death of the bishop broke and displaced the seals of his own act without the archdeacon. Asked how long after the bishop's death the said letters patent were signed, they say that the letters so signed for the archdeacon were signed immediately after the bishop's death, and the remaining letters were made and signed about a month after his death, but the archdeacon never used the letters signed for him or exercised his jurisdiction as the letters required. A day is given to them for hearing judgment in the quinzaine of S. Hilary. And John son of Thomas, and Walter Lenfaunt mainprised them. Afterwards the dean made fine by 60s. and the archdeacon, because he was less guilty, by 40s., and the sheriff will answer for the pledges.

1311

m. 11

4 Jan. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER CIRCUMCISION, a. r. 4.

Louth

Robert le Lumynour, charged with the death of Jordan, the chaplain of Hugh de Lacy, knight, comes and defends, etc. And John de Cartmel, junior, Stephen le Orstrier, Richard Cosyn, Robert le Fourbour, Henry le Comber, Geoffrey Lagheles, John Talbot, John Bonneys, Martin Sewell, William Prestoun, John le Blount and William Crouche, senior, jurors, say that Jordan the chaplain, on Wed. after S. Francis last, came to Drogheda, and two other men riding, of the household of the said Hugh de Lacy, of whom one guarded one of the war horses of Hugh, came in the following of Jordan the Chaplain, and the three on that Wednesday rode to the house of Robert le Lumynour and inquired of the servants whether Robert was at home. They replied that Robert was elsewhere in the town, but where they knew not, therefore Jordan and his fellows rode to the inn of Michael of Trym and drank wine there. After the departure of Jordan and his fellows, Robert le Lumynour, who is called by another name Robert le Tailour, came home, and, having converse with his servants, they told him how Jordan the chaplain had been there. Thereupon Robert went into the town and sought Jordan in divers places to hold converse with him, and finally found him at the inn of Michael of Trym, and also his fellows, drinking wine there among other men of Drogheda, and Robert le Lumynour immediately asked Jordan why he had been at his house and whether he had any cloaks to be made. Jordan replied that he would not have any cloaks made at his place anyhow, and if he had any to be made he would get them made by William le Taillour, who was present there, or by some other tailor of Drogheda better than Robert le Taillour. Immediately after, as they were thus quarrelling, other worthy men of the town present there separated them from each other and made Jordan and his fellows mount their horses, and made Robert go by another way to his home. Thereupon one of Jordan's following rode after Robert and, drawing his sword, would have struck Robert, and Robert, perceiving this, took a big club from a serving man near him and went against the horseman with the drawn sword, wishing to defend himself against him, and the horseman fled, and another horseman who was with Jordan drew his sword and came towards Robert and would have struck him, and Robert, carrying



the club in his hand, bravely came against the horseman, and the horseman fled in terror after his fellow, and Robert followed them, and, not being able to touch them, as they carried drawn swords, he struck Jordan with the club on the back of the neck so that he fell to the ground and soon afterwards died. Asked where Robert le Lumynour stayed after the killing of Jordan, they say that he was taken by the mayor and bailiffs of Drogheda on the side of Louth immediately after the death of Jordan and imprisoned, and afterwards the mayor and bailiffs of their own act delivered Robert from prison and allowed him to stay peacefully among them in the town until about eight days ago, when the mayor and bailiffs took him again and detained him in the prison of the town for fear of the coming of the Justiciar to the town. Afterwards, in the quinzaine of S. Hilary in the same year, treaty being had with the Chancellor, Treasurer, Justices of the Bench, Barons of the Exchequer and others of the King's Council there present, by common consent of the whole Council, the mayor and bailiffs and the whole community of the town of Drogheda on the side of Louth are admitted to make fine with the King, as well for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them for the reception and trespass aforesaid as for the reception of Luke de Sewell and Luke Hert, who feloniously slew Adam Rauf in the said town, and also for not taking or attaching the said Luke and Luke after the said felony, by £20, so that they stand to right, etc. And by the same fine the trespass which John of Tasagard and Richard Magnel, coroners of Drogheda, did in taking upon themselves jurisdiction to try . . . of said Adam Rauf after his death by the oath of suspected jurors, who said that Adam was an Irishman, when in truth he was not, and likewise for this, that the said coroners put nothing in the rolls about Adam's death, the said coroners are pardoned. And Robert le Lumenour is committed to gaol to await judgment. Afterwards on Saturday before S. Margaret the Virgin at Dublin, at the instance of John of Argyle, knight, Robert is admitted to make fine with the King by £20, by pledge of the said John of Argyle, who will acquit Robert thereof. And be it known that the said fine is more easily admitted because Robert is about to set out with John to pay homage to the King in Scotland.

m. 11d.

Walter Gigg, charged that he is a common thief, and that he leads Coulygh Macneel and other Irish felons coming from the woods and mountains of Hatherde to steal animals and other goods of faithful Englishmen in the said county, and that he has art and part in the said thefts, comes and defends, etc. And Hugh Tyrel, John Mynchegirr, John Blundus, John, son of William le Blak, Thomas Blundus, Richard Mullaghlo, junior, Ralph de Houthe, Roger Broun, John Milledog, Richard Broun, Philip Duraunt and Laurence Jocelyn, jurors, say that Walter Gigg is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Louth

Nicholas Oconwill, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Laurence Broumagh and broke a chest belonging to Laurence in the said house and stole out of it 8s. 4d. in silver and that he robbed David Duff of a shirt and cloak worth 2s., and likewise that Nicholas, together with other malefactors, came by night to the house of Adam Chamberleyn and burned Adam's stable and nine oxen, twenty-five cows and eight young oxen in the said stable, and that he burglariously entered by night the house of John the chaplain at Chamberleynestoun and stole seven ells of woollen cloth worth 2s., one stone of wool worth 4s., and one . . . worth 16d., comes and defends, etc. And John le . . . , Symon

Louth

1311

1311 Chaumberleyn, Ralph Burg . . . ., Richard . . . ., Richard Molaghlo, Symon Sprot, Laur. Sprot, . . . . Gallen, Richard . . . ., William de Coule, jurors, say that Nicholas is guilty of all the said charges and of many others, and has for a long time been a public incendiary in the following of Conlygh McNeel. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Louth

John Crispyn, Walter le Ken, William le Graunt Taillour, Laurence le Cantre, William Faunt, William Everard, Roger le Blound, Hamund le Glover, Stephen Lordeure and William le Feure, charged that when Luke de Sewell and Luke Hert feloniously slew Adam Rauf in Drogheda on the side of Louth on Wednesday after SS. Peter and Paul, a. r. 3, and the same John, etc. were jurors in an inquisition taken before John de Tasagard and Richard Magnel, coroners of Drogheda on the side of Louth, to say who was guilty of the said death, John and the others, together with Robert le Lumynour and James de Eldoni, who were jurors together with them in the same inquisition, said that Adam Rauf was an Irishman and that Luke de Sewell and Luke Hert who slew Adam could not commit a felony by slaying him because he was an Irishman, when in reality it was agreed that Adam was an Englishman, and that they made this false oath in order to save Luke and Luke from the said felony, come and say that at the time when they were summoned to be on the said inquisition before the said coroners while . . . . was working in Drogheda, that Adam who was killed was commonly held among the commons of the town to be an Irishman and from the first time when he came to the town was had for an Irishman to the day of his slaying, by which they understood that they well and faithfully kept their oath to the coroners by saying that Adam was an Irishman, and that they did not make the said oath for any other cause or on account of . . . . other malice, and they put themselves on the country.

And Ric. G . . . ., Hugh de C . . . ., John le Blount, William de Repenteneye, John le . . . . John Mc A . . . ., John . . . . Roger Gasconeye, jurors, say that Adam Rauf was an Englishman on the day on which Luke and Luke feloniously slew him; still they say that John Crispyn and the other jurors who were in the first inquisition before the coroners understood for certain that Adam was an Irishman on the day on which he was slain, and it was for this cause that they made the said oath, and not for any other falseness or collusion. Therefore let them be postponed *sine die*. And John de Tasagard and Richard Magnel, coroners, . . . . because they did not put the verdict of the said jurors in their rolls and because they assumed . . . . jurisdiction to try . . . . of Adam Rauf after his death, come and cannot deny. Therefore let them be committed to gaol. And the jurors, asked where Luke and Luke were staying after the said felony, say in Drogheda . . . . on the waterside, for their vanity, without anyone in the town causing them to be arrested until the coming of the Justiciar to the town, and that it was agreed that the Justiciar found by an inquisition that Adam Rauf was an Englishman; lately they have withdrawn and are of ill fame. Let them therefore be put in exigent and outlawed and their chattels confiscated for flight. Chattels of Luke Hert, 8s., for which . . . . Watere and William le Lou, clerk, will answer. The mayor and bailiffs are told to take an inquisition . . . . of Luke de Sewell and certify thereof to the court here as soon as possible. Afterwards the coroners and mayor and community of Drogheda on the side of Louth made fine, as appears elsewhere in the roll. Afterwards Luke de Sewell made fine, as appears in the roll of pleas of the crown of Easter next ensuing. Therefore as to him nothing of the said exigent.

m. 12

1311

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA  
BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER CIRCUMCISION,  
a. r. 4.

4 Jan.

Philip Cadwely, charged that he received Henry Cadwely, John Cadwely and William Hyweyn after they had stolen a horse of the prior of the house of S. Leonard of Dundalk, horses of John Everard, Thomas le Hore, Adam Nott, Adam Fyngal and Adam Abovethemill, and that he had art and part in their theft, comes and defends, etc. Also Richard Touker, charged that he broke a pipe of the Friars Minors of Dundalk and stole from the weir lead to the value of 20s. and more, comes and defends, etc. William Fertullagh, William Manne, John Everard, Mathew Brok, Nicholas Cassell, John Alger, John Herward, William Osthenuord, Richard Alger, John Tancard, Thomas le White of Cnokdonan, Gilbert le Parsoun of Casteltoundundalk, William Galwey, Walter Galwey and William Abovethemill, jurors, say, except John Everard and Nicholas Cassell, who are removed, that Philip is not guilty. All the jurors say that Richard le Touker is not guilty. Therefore they are quit.

Louth

John Miagh, charged that when he and one Matilda Payn had two parts of an acre of land sown with oats, which by previous agreement between them, when the time of reaping should come, should be equally divided between them, John, after the oats were reaped, took the whole crop himself, against the will of Matilda and against the peace, comes and defends, etc. Robert Bethleem, John Payn, Stephen Blundus, Walter Knyght, Geoffrey de Lythe, Geoffrey le Lang, Thomas de Lythe, Nicholas Knyght, William Warwik, John son of Richard, Adam Jordan and Geoffrey Kenfeg, jurors, say that John Miagh took of the crop only about a bushel and a half, worth 3d., and all the rest was loyally shared between them, as was previously agreed between them; and because John by so doing did not commit a felony, as the said corn does not exceed the value of 12d., let John be in grave mercy, which is pardoned to him at the instance of Walter Dovedale up to 40d., which John Miagh will pay to the King by pledge of Robert Payn. John de Fyngal, one of the jurors summoned, came not; therefore let him be in mercy. And because Eustace Broun, one of the jurors by whom John Miagh was . . . cannot deny that through malice or anger which he had against John maliciously . . . said John, putting it on him that he took the whole crop . . . would not have done. Therefore it is considered that Eustace Broun . . .

Louth

John Duff, charged that he stole from G . . . ywyn a horse worth 10s., and that he is a common robber . . . art and part, comes and defends, etc. John . . . , John . . . , Will. Everard, Geoffrey le Blak, Ric. Molaghlo senior, . . . Hugh Tyrel, Ph. Duraunt, William Boy . . . say that John is not guilty . . . therefore he is quit.

John of Aldermannestoun . . . charged with the death of Robert Russell, comes and defends, etc. Walter Page, William Bryan, Martin . . . Geoffrey . . . , John . . . , Benedict le Hauberge . . . Richard de la More and Geoffrey . . . , jurors, say that John is not guilty of the death of Robert, and is not suspected of any other crime. Therefore he is quit.<sup>(1)</sup>

m. 12d.

William le Yong, Robert le Yong, Robert Tracy, Robert Tanner, Adam Threl, John Pullehare, Walter Pullehare, Richard son of Roger Burgeys, Richard le Rede, William Bonde, John Bouer, and Richard

Louth

<sup>(1)</sup> The names "William Warwik" and "Henry Warwik," apparently part of a fragmentary entry, are found after this entry, crossed out.

1311 Mowr, charged that when Richard Gernoun, sheriff of the said county, took one William McNeel, indicted before the sheriff of theft, and handed William over to the said William le Yong, etc., as prisoner of the King to lead to the castle of Drogheda, they permitted the said prisoner to go free and escape, whereby the whole country is damaged, come and say they received the said prisoner from the sheriff, as is aforesaid, and led him to the castle of Dublin and offered to Richard de Balybyn, constable, an open letter of the sheriff commanding the constable to receive the said prisoner from them; the constable refused to receive the letter and prisoner, whereupon they led back the prisoner to the town of Hathrede and put him bound in the house of Adam le White, and burdened the community of the town with the custody of the prisoner, from whose custody he afterwards escaped, so that William le Yong etc. are not guilty of the escape, and did not permit the prisoner otherwise to depart, and they put themselves on the country.

John de Haddesore, Thomas de Stanley, Roger Gernoun, Hugh de Clyntoun, Thomas de Russebyry, John Hervy, Walter Maynard, William de Repenteneye, Richard de Wodeford, Ralph Devenyng, Richard de Wilteshyre and John Lemman, jurors, say that William le Yong etc. led back the prisoner to Hathirde after the said constable had refused to receive him from them, as aforesaid, and put him in the custody of the community of the town, from whose custody he escaped by night through faulty watch, and William le Yong etc. have no guilt for the escape. Therefore they are quit. And the community of Hathirde, asked about the escape, comes and cannot deny that William McNeel escaped through default of the community. So to judgment for the escape. The escape is put upon the community of Hathirde.

Meath

William Carlan and Alice his wife, charged that when the robbers of Richard Moidorrhagh stole from Ismania, who was wife of Richard of Exeter, senior, a great flock of sheep and led them to the house of the said Richard Moidorrhagh, William and Alice received as a gift from Richard twelve sheep, knowing how they were stolen, come and defend, etc. Afterwards William and Alice, at the instance of Richard of Exeter, knight, are admitted to make fine, etc. by twenty pounds, by pledge of Henry, son of Otuel de C . . . .<sup>(1)</sup>, Adam, son of William Betagh, John le Waleys, Richard Norbry, Maurice Carlan, John, son of . . . . and Andrew Carlan. Afterwards of grace, at the instance of Richard de Exeter, suit of the peace is pardoned to William and Alice by the said fine for all trespasses and felonies to this day, except murder of an Englishman, so that they stand to right, etc.

Louth

John Lemman, Richard Hervy, Thomas Godknave, Richard Molaghlo, John England and Adam Taloun, charged that when Maghoun McMaghoun and Conlyth McNeel and all their Irishmen in the parts of Donaghmayn loyally kept themselves at peace with the King, and the King, by his letters patent under his great seal which he uses in this country, received them and all their . . . . into his peace, charging one and all not to inflict loss and injury while they bore themselves loyally to the peace, the said John Lemman etc., notwithstanding the said charge, slew one Ralph . . . . gan, a man of Maghoun McMaghoun and Conlyth McNeel, who was loyally at peace with the King, in the parts of Hathirde, by which the whole peace of those marches is disturbed, to the common ill of the Englishmen of those parts, come and do not deny that they slew the said Ralph, but say that Ralph on the day on which they slew him was a common robber, not being at peace with the King, and of this they put themselves on the country. Adam Chamberleyn, John Cocus, Symon Chamberleyn, Richard . . . . Nysleve, John

<sup>(1)</sup> De Cruys ?

Ardagh, Symon le Flemyng, Thomas . . . . , John Kerdiffe, . . . . 1311  
 Wodeford, Walter Maynard and Gilbert de . . . . , jurors, say that Ralph  
 Oh . . . . egan and Walter . . . . to this day . . . . and others coming  
 to the hue and cry . . . . being unwilling to do that . . . . slew the said  
 Ralph, and they say . . . . was a common robber and against the King's  
 peace, and that . . . . Therefore John Lemman and the others are quit

m. 13

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CATH. BEFORE 15 Feb.  
 JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY THE MORROW OF S. VALENTINE,  
 a. r. 4.

Reginald le Potter, charged that he stole from Adam le Tanner of Carlow  
 Leyghlyn and John de Clabull six cows, comes and defends, etc. Symon  
 le Deyer, Thomas Mercator, Peter son of Thomas, John le Graunt,  
 Thomas Long, William Long, John de Clabull, David Samel, John Bet,  
 Geoffrey Fot, William Hay and Thomas le Walsche say that Reginald is  
 not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

The said jurors present that William McMalaghlyn Obrenan and  
 others of his name whose names are unknown stole the said cows and  
 that William has withdrawn and is of evil fame. Therefore let him be  
 put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels of William, none; he has no free  
 land.

John Clement, charged with the death of Mabilla, daughter of John Carlow  
 son of Robert, comes and defends, etc. David le Rede, Nicholas son of  
 Robert, William Glanok, Adam Dryfeld, John de O . . . .myt, John  
 son of Robert, William de Cruce, Philip Clement, Henry Clement, Walter  
 Clement, William Hibernicus and Symon son of Robert say that John  
 Clement and other shepherds shot arrows at a mark, near which Mabilla  
 was seated, and the said shepherds instantly asked Mabilla to move  
 away from the mark, which she refused to do. At length John Clement  
 shot towards the mark with a blunt arrow, which was deflected by the  
 wind to Mabilla and against the will of John Clement struck her on the  
 head and wounded her so that she died. And because the jurors testify  
 that John Clement slew Mabilla against his will and without malice, John  
 is of grace admitted to make fine, etc., for the said death by one mark,  
 by pledge of David le Rus and Nicholas son of Robert, so that he stand,  
 etc.

Stephen McTrif and Comdinus Offyn, charged with receiving John Carlow  
 son of Peter Taloun, an outlawed felon, and that they eat and drink  
 with him and have art and part in his robberies and other misdeeds, come  
 and defend, etc. Richard son of Jno <sup>(1)</sup>, Stephen de Lees, Gilbert son  
 of Griffin, Robert Walenger, Patrick Boscher, Gregory son of Walter,  
 Stephen Mothill, Richard Toner, Henry de Valle, William Patrik,  
 Robert de Bendevill and James son of Henry, jurors, say that Stephen  
 and Comdinus are not guilty of the said receiving and are not suspected,  
 etc. Therefore they are quit.

Twelve jurors present that one Richard le Venour, servant of William Carlow  
 son of John of the town of Kenles . . . . caused to carry in a cart thirty Forth  
 sheaves of oats of the said William his lord outside a . . . . near Kenles  
 towards the haggard of William, from which cart Richard by accident  
 fell, and two afers drawing the cart went beyond the belly of Richard and  
 dragged the cart over him and killed him. Judgment, misadventure.  
 Value of the two afers, . . . .s., of the cart 20d., and of the oats 2½d., for

(1) "? Ivo" written above in pencil.

1311 which William son of John of Kenles will answer, by pledge of Thomas Albrey and Adam son of Reginald. Afterwards the said deodand was assigned to the Friars Minor of Trestildermot.

Twelve jurors present that Richard son of Philip Onolan, Jordan son of Ralph Onolan, Culan son of Agh . . . . tag . . . . lan, Elyas Carragh Onolan, David son of William Onolan, Symon son of L . . . . Onolan, . . . . of Roger Onolan, Philip Oheyn, Richard Oheyn, Philip son of Hugh . . . . lan, . . . . of James de Ketyng, knight, twenty mares for the stud and . . . . in Fothryth Onolan, and that at the time when . . . . David de Cauntetoun, . . . .

m. 13d.

. . . . then holding the place of seneschal of the county, that the said Adam, *locum tenens* etc., pardoned the said John West suit of the King's peace for the said burglary and thefts by a certain fine, which the said William, chaplain of Rathto, made for the said John West before the said *locum tenens*, under such condition, that John West instantly and without delay cause to be restored to the said Rose her said chattels, on account of which William the chaplain approached John West and told him how he had done his part, and John West immediately delivered to him the falings and other chattels to be restored to Rose. William had the chattels carried to the church of Rathto, and straightway sent to Rose to come and receive the said chattels, and when she came there she could not have delivery of her chattels until she gave him 12d. for the willingness of the said William. And they say clearly that John West, with the said Robert Bernard, after the said felony was not at any time received. Therefore he is quit. And to judgment concerning William, chaplain of Rathto, of the false gift which he received from Rose. Afterwards he made fine with the King for the said trespass by 40s., by pledge of Richard son of Jordan de Valle, Reymund son of Stephen de Valle, John son of Henry Traharne and Robert Bernard.

Carlow  
Forth

David McEthe, charged that he is a common robber of afers and sheep, and that he stole from John Taloun one afer worth half a mark and from Richard Boscher and the wife of Maurice le Clerk two afers of the same value and led them to the Onolans and Obrynns of Kynalo, felons, comes and defends, etc. Robert de Bende vill of Forth, William Brun, Richard son of Yvon, William son of Yvon, Gilbert son of Griffin, Robert Bremyl of Forth, Richard Toner, Roger Oros, William Patrik, Philip le Blunt and Thomas Albrey, jurors, say that David is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he had no free land.

Carlow  
Bargy

Symon le Deyer of Leyghlyn, charged that when Nicholas, a servant of Symon, slew Elyas le Hoper, an Englishman, on Sunday after SS. Peter and Paul, a. r. 3, at Leyghlin, the said Nicholas after the felony came to the house of Symon, and Symon knowing that Nicholas had committed the felony, received him for four days and afterwards allowed him to go free. Likewise that he obstructed a highway near the town of New Leghlyn by appropriating to his freehold two perches of land of the highway in length and twelve feet in breadth, to the damage of the King and of the people crossing by that way, comes and defends, says he is in no wise guilty of receiving Nicholas or letting him go free, and puts himself on the country. And with regard to the encroachment on the highway, he says that in reality he bought a curtilage contiguous to the highway, and at the time of the purchase the highway against the curtilage was three perches broad, and afterwards he let the curtilage lie waste, and people crossing made a way through the said curtilage, and so of his curtilage they made as it were a highway for about half a

year; that he built a mound around the curtilage as he had full right, the highway being three perches in breadth as aforesaid, and that he . . . . no . . . . or encroachment thereof, he seeks to have inquiry made by the country. Adam le Tanner, Ralph de Bendevill, Thomas Mercator, John de Clahull, David Crokker, Thomas Longus, John Bol . . . ., David Samel, Roger son of Henry, Adam Mazoun, Richard son of Peter and William Graunt, jurors, say that Symon was at Dublin on the day on which Nicholas his servant slew Elyas le Hoper and was entirely ignorant, and they say clearly that Nicholas, after he slew Elyas, was not received with Symon, but withdrew and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels, none; he had no free land. Symon is quit. And with regard to the encroachment, they say that Symon destroyed the said highway and appropriated to himself of the said way two perches of land in length and twelve feet in breadth without the King's licence, yet they say that the said highway . . . . said curtilage is still three perches in breadth; therefore let Symon await judgment.

m. 14

15 Feb.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CARLOW BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY THE MORROW OF S. VALEN TINE, a. r. 4.

John son of Richard Taloun and Rose his wife and Isabella wife of David son of John Taloun, charged with receiving Peter son of John Taloun, an outlawed felon, and also with receiving Henry Barret after he had stolen from Nicholas Leynagh twenty-eight cows against the King's peace, and that they had art and part with Henry in the theft, come and defend, etc. William Patrik, Robert Bremell, Richard Toner, Stephen Mothill, Patrick Boscher, Geoffrey Longus, Robert Longus, Robert de Bendevill, James son of Henry, William Bokelaund, William Whithend and Ralph Miagh, jurors, say that John, Rose and Isabella are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Edward Glanok, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

Carlow  
Forth

Geoffrey son of Richard, charged with the death of Henry Forester, comes and defends, etc. John the Clerk, Adam le Tanner, Ralph de Bendevill, Thomas Chepman, John Boly, David Samel, . . . . le Mazoun, Symon le Deyer, William Penlyn, Robert de Clahull, Henry Rys, and Walter de Rupe, jurors, say that Geoffrey son of Richard and Henry Forester were playing in a meadow near Leghlyn, and threw their lances there, and afterwards towards evening they came to Leghlyn and drank wine there in an inn, and shortly afterwards quarrelled as to who should pay for the drink. Finally Geoffrey dismissed Henry in the inn and went into the town to avoid strife, and Henry followed him of malice, drew his long knife and would have slain Geoffrey, who fled to a house, and when he could not obtain entrance there, he put his back to the wall of the house and drew his knife to defend himself against Henry. Henry boldly rushed at Geoffrey and . . . . towards him with his long knife, and would have slain Geoffrey at all costs, and Geoffrey in defence struck Henry with his knife in the belly so that he died. They say clearly that Geoffrey could not have avoided death except by slaying Henry. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol to await grace, etc.

Carlow  
Bargy

Twelve jurors present that David son of Folam Otothill, Tayg McDovenald Roth Otothill, Hugh Og Otothill, John son of Henry Otothill, Benet, keeper of the stud, Conghur Sokyr Otothill, Walter son of Folam Otothill, John Og Otothill, David son of Yoghy, Dermot McManus, Malmore McManus, Henry son of Yoghy, Hugh son of Yoghy, John son of Loughlyn, Richard his son, Josyn son of Henry, Roger son

Carlow  
Forth

1311 of Henry, Kellagh Ohert, Kerwyll Obrene, Tayg McDonwill, Robert Harper, Doughut McRegan and Nicholas Ot . . . tyn by night burned the house of Betoun, widow of John de Valle, knight, at Arbrystyn and slew there Thomas de Valle and Philip de Valle, Englishmen, and robbed John de Valle of 180 cows, 40 afers, 200 sheep and other goods to the value of 40s., and all that they did there was done in revenge for a prey which Henry son of Nicholas de Valle and others of his family and surname took at Cnoklorkan in Omayl upon the Otholes, within a day of truce which the Justiciar here took between the Otholes and the English.

They present also that Morghut Olorkan, David Oronan, and Reginald McKele robbed William Broun and Thomas Broun of 30 cows, 8 afers and one horse, and that Richard son of Basely robbed Comdyn Fydor of a cup worth 12d. And because John son of Henry Taloun did not now have Richard son of Basely, whom he had mainprised, therefore let him be in mercy.

They present also that Tayg son of Doughut Onolan, Henry Onolan, R . . . . Inyhillille, Owyr McKele, Murghut McGillynan and Englyn Otybryth received John son of Peter Taloun, an outlawed felon, and the said Morghut Olorkan, David Oronan and Reginald McKele, knowing them to be felons, and that Henry Barret who was lately killed, robbed Nicholas Leynagh of 28 . . . . and drove them to John Gillegobagh, and the said John received Henry and had a share in the said robbery, and that Ellok Ynymcmurghut stole from Reymund de Valle and Richard de Valle 12 . . . ., and that William Bernard and William son of Stephen de Valle robbed Richard Otnavecy of two geese, and also that Richard Slankard robbed Hugh Taloun of a horse, value two marks, and a . . . ., value 20s.

And because the jurors testify that all the said malefactors have withdrawn and are of ill fame, therefore let them be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels in land of peace, none; they have no free land.

m. 14d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL BEFORE SAME,  
DAY AND YEAR AFORESAID.

Cath.  
Odroon.

The jurors present that Maur. Macmurghut, Thomas Macmurghut and John Macmurghut robbed from the abbot of Dawysky at New Grange 27 cows, and that brother Gilbert, granger of the said grange, received of the said Maurice etc. 13 of the cows without licence of the King's court, and also received of William Fyn of Marche two afers, which William before robbed from the abbot at the Wodegraunge. The Justiciar here records that he gave licence to the abbot that he might lawfully receive back his chattels so stolen by the said malefactors. Therefore brother Gilbert is quit on this count.

They present also that Richard Swetman, Stephen Kerdif and Richard Russell, doctor, who remain at Thomasestoun, robbed John Maunsel at Athmothell of 177 sheep, and that John, son of Cecilia Meyllagh de Cauntetoun received Maurice de Cauntetoun and his accomplices, felons of the King, and the said John, together with the said felons of his name, slew Richard Taloun Irryelah, and that John Oconyg, Richard, son of William Ketyng, and Alexander Bard stole at Clonbathird<sup>(1)</sup> in Ossory from Alex. de Cruor 30 sheep, and that Maurice son of Conok Oryan received Maurice de Cauntetoun and David de

(1) Might be read as Clonlathird.



Cauntetoun, convicted felons, and that Reymund McEle Onolan feloniously slew John Stakepol, an Englishman, and that Philip son of Laurence and Stephen son of Laurence robbed of the corn of John Maunsel in the fields to the value of 12d. 1311

And the jurors testify that all the said malefactors have withdrawn and are of ill fame. Therefore let them be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels in land of peace, none; they have no free land.

Richard le Smale, charged that he robbed a monk of Geripont of a horse, value 40s., comes and defends, etc. Patrick de Cruce, Richard le March, Alex. de Cruce, John son of Richard Dromro, Henry Walens, David Stakepol, Richard son of Robert, Milo son of Alan, Robert Maunsel, Stephen Galbarry, Robert Clahull and John Grane, jurors, say that Richard is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Cath. Odroon.

Twelve jurors present that Richard Hereford, William Hereford, Richard Bokelaund and Philip, son of John Taloun by night burglariously entered the grange of Adam le Brettoun at Boschestoun and therefrom robbed two crannocks of wheat, value two marks, and that William son of William Taloun <sup>(1)</sup> Philip son of John Taloun of 20 bushels of oats, value 12s., and that the said Philip son of John Taloun robbed Nicholas le Hore of an afer, value 10s. And the jurors testify that all the said malefactors have withdrawn and are of ill fame. Therefore let them be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels in land of peace, none; they have no free land. Cath. Fothr.

William Taloun Irryelagh, charged that he, about the middle of Lent last, robbed Philip McLyng, *hibernicus* of Henry Treharne, at Kilergy of wheat, oats and barley to the value of 12d., and that he beat and ill treated the said Philip, and that he waylaid Robert le Mouner, an Irishman, and slew him and afterwards robbed him of a bow and . . . worth 12d. and a tunic worth 6d., comes and defends, says he is not guilty, and as to the . . . of Robert le Mouner, an Irishman, says that Robert was a common robber and a notorious thief, and that he, William Taloun, being a serjeant of the King in the said county, had a command from the sheriff to take Robert le Mouner, and finding Robert one day in the bailiwick . . . to take him as he had been commanded. Robert put himself on guard against William, and William, observing this, struck Robert with an axe, from which blow . . ., and that he did no other trespass there, and he puts himself on the country.<sup>(2)</sup> Cath. Fothr.

Richard son of Maurice, charged that he robbed Thomas Thursteyn of the crop of half an acre of winter barley, value 10s., and Robert Broun and Matilda his wife of the crop of half an acre of winter barley of the same value, comes and defends, etc. And Thomas son of Reginald, John Berd, Adam Broun, Roger Coytif, Thomas Ivor, Robert Aylmer, John Palmer, Richard Holewey, David Palmer, David Manyng, Adam Holewey and William Faliagh, jurors, say that Richard is guilty. Afterwards Richard is admitted to make fine, etc. for the said crimes, as for the trespass which he committed upon Thomas Thursteyn, as appears in the roll of complaints for this session, by 100s., by pledge of Walter son of Maurice son of Robert, William Circestre, Henry son of Adam, John Oweyn and Nicholas son of Thomas, so that he stand, etc. And David Bosyngtoun, juror summoned, came not. Therefore let him be in mercy. Kildare

At the instance of John son of Thomas, knight, James son of John Carragh Ketyng, taken and detained in the King's prison, is admitted Kildare

(1) "Robbed" omitted?

(2) See following p. for conclusion of this case.

1311 to make fine, etc., for all transgressions and felonies against the King's peace to this day, by 40s., by pledge of David le Masiner, so that he stand, etc.

Kildare Henry Sergiaunt and Michael Obergyth, taken *in flagrante delicto*, to wit, with a silver cup, value half a mark, which they stole from Walter Grauntpe of Tristildermot, come, and at the instance of Arnald le Poer, knight, are admitted to make fine, etc., for the said theft, by one mark, by pledge of Milo le . . . . and William de Lyne, so that they stand, etc. And Milo le Poer mainprises for Henry and Michael that they will bear themselves well and faithfully for the future to the King's peace, and the said cup, of grace, etc., is delivered . . . .

. . . . Gilbert son . . . . Bosch, John Langport, Roger Cros, Geoffrey Lang, Gregory son of Walter . . . . Nicholas son of Robert, Robert le Walsch, William Husbonde, Robert le Lang and . . . ., jurors, say that in truth William Taloun slew Robert le Mouner, but say clearly that Robert was a mere Irishman and a common robber . . . . and that the whole country is the better for his slaying. And of the other charges they say he is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.<sup>(1)</sup>

25 Feb.

m. 15

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY THE MORROW OF S. MATHIAS, a. r. 4.

Waterford

Reimund son of Robert de Carreu, charged that he came by night to the house of John de Rupe at Balyabran and burglariously entered it and robbed John of cloth, plate, tripods, wool and other household utensils to the value of 40s., and that he robbed Isard Mappede, Richard Okyle, Juliana le Lung, John the miller, Crahyn Ocohan Mattoy and William McTalneyth of cloth, plates, tripods, wool and other household utensils to the value of ten marks, and that he stole from divers men of the tenement of Rathmethyn 23 cows worth 5s., each, and that he is a common robber and evildoer, and that the whole country is wasted by him; and Gregory Ohassonath, charged that he stole in the parish of Balykerok ten bushels of oats and two bushels of wheat from divers men of the said parish, worth 5s., come, and say that they are clerks and neither can nor ought to answer here; and that it may be known to what ordinary both of them ought to be delivered when he desires to ask for them, an inquiry has proceeded of the truth of the matter by the country.

William le Graunt, William de Bykamptoun, Nicholas Edward, Peter Went, Michael Galgeyl, Philip de Carreu, Maurice Cristoffre, John de la Freyne, Adam, son of Griffin Cristoffre, Maurice Longus, Richard Went and Adam son of William, jurors, say that Reimund and Gregory are guilty of the charges and accustomed to do divers robberies and all other evils that they can, and that the whole country is wasted by them. And because no ordinary comes to ask for them, let them be re-committed to gaol until, etc. Afterwards of grace Reimund is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Michael de Carreu, William son of Nicholas, and John son of Nicholas, so that he stand, etc. And the said pledges mainprised for Reimund that he would always for the future well and faithfully bear himself to the King's peace, and if he should not do so, they will restore his body to the King's prison alive or dead within fifteen days from the time they are warned of his repeated misdeeds, or

<sup>(1)</sup> See previous p. for the charges in this case.

drive him out of the county, and will nevertheless restore the losses caused by Reymund in the future. The said Gregory Ohassonagh is admitted to make fine by 20s., by pledge of John son of John le White Poer, and John is pledge of Gregory of peace etc. in the same form. 1311

Symon Leynagh, William Odormodagh and John Ohoctegan, charged that they robbed Isabella Galbarry of two robes worth 4d., come and defend, etc. William le Graunt, William Bikamptoun, Nicholas Edward, Peter Went, Michael Galgey, Philip de Carreu, Maurice Cristofr, John de la Freyne, Adam son of Griffin Cristofr, Maurice Longus, Richard Went and Adam son of William, jurors, say that Symon, William and John are guilty of the said robbery, but are not suspected of any other misdeeds. Therefore of grace, etc., at the instance of Stephen le Poer, knight, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to them for the said charge, so that they stand, etc. Waterford

Aymer Godore, mayor of the city of Waterford, the bailiffs and commonalty of the said city, arraigned that when Walter Calwodeleye and Alexander his brother, who elsewhere before William de Burgo, then *locum tenens*, here on Friday after the quinzaine of S. Hilary, a. r. 2, were charged that, together with other malefactors, they burglariously entered a house in the town of the Ostmanns in the suburbs of Waterford and in the said house broke three chests and from them carried away divers goods to the value of 40d., then before the said *locum tenens* answered nothing, but both of them held themselves mute, wherefore they were re-committed to gaol until it was ordained what should be done about them in that case, the said mayor, bailiffs and commonalty, to whom Walter and Alexander were delivered to keep in gaol in the said manner, permitted Walter and Alexander to escape from the said prison. The said mayor etc. come and cannot deny that Walter and Alexander were delivered to them to keep in prison, but say that they were placed by them in the prison of the town, which prison they broke by night and escaped to the church of S. Laurence of Kiliwyr and afterwards abjured the King's land out of the said church before Roger Andreu, coroner of Offaygh. Therefore to judgment for the escapes. Escapes upon the mayor and commonalty of Waterford. Roger the coroner testified that Walter after the abjuration was slain at Clonmele on the side of Waterford in that county. Therefore of him nothing. Waterford

Nicholas Percevale, charged that he robbed a stranger travelling on the highway which leads from Waterford to le Crok of 40s. of silver, comes and defends, etc. Thomas de Northamptoun, Richard de Nethe, Thomas de la Grave, Peter Mansot, Bartholomew Kerdif, Richard Whitefeld, John le Hore, Walter le Lang, Robert Arnold, John Gily, Alan Elyot and Walter Hert, jurors, say that Nicholas is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Nicholas Aylward and John son of Adam, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore they are in mercy. Waterford

Thomas son of Nicholas the miller, charged that he burglariously entered a cupboard of Robert Davy in the city of Waterford and therefrom stole nine cow-hides worth 18s., and that he burglariously entered the shop of Hugh le Horde and stole divers goods to the value of 60s., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Robert le Rede, Richard Randalf, Symon le Corviser, Robert Hullok, Robert Arnold, Robert le Touker, Robert Rothwell, Alan Elyot, Peter Scoldbek, Robert Gerd . . . , Richard de Barry and Walter Large, jurors, say that Thomas is guilty of the charges and several other robberies. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Waterford

1311

m. 15d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS AFORESAID.

Waterford

David le Hore, charged that he stole from William le Hore his father an iron bar worth 6d., and that he stole from Thomas Broun a lamb worth 5d., comes and defends, etc. Robert Bret, Philip Galgeyle, Philip de la Montayne, Philip Burne, Broun Otuel, Adam Ostieler, John Gouer, Nicholas Moyl, Thomas Broun, Richard Broun, David Reymund and Philip Osberne, jurors, say that David found the bar in the fields and sold it, and because he did not deliver the said bar to his father, let him be re-committed to gaol, until, etc. And as to the theft of the lamb, they say he is not guilty. Therefore on this count he is quit. Afterwards he made fine for the said trespass by 40d., by pledge of said William le Hore, so that he stand, etc.

Maurice Omolmoyth, charged that he stole by night of divers men of the town of Kynsale eleven cords worth 11s., comes and defends, etc. The said jurors say that Maurice is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. John de la Montayn, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

Waterford

Michael Ogrodyth, charged that he stole from Robert le Rede two sheep worth 2s., comes and defends, etc. And Robert le Clerk of Donoyll, charged that he stole by night from William le Wouler corn to the value of half a mark, and that he stole from Rys Bremlys a tunic worth 2s., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. William Burgeys, Richard Andreu, John Andreu, Peter Hamound, Geoffrey son of William, William Offath, Walter Elys, Roger Fysser, Maurice son of Nicholas, Maurice White, Adam Baldewyn and Maurice Cristofr, jurors, say that Michael and Robert are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Roger Taloun and Adam Broun, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. And the jurors, asked how and for what reason Michael and Robert were indicted, say that Roger Taloun and Adam Broun, who were the indictors in the first inquisition, indicted Michael of malice and ill-will against the said Michael Obrodyth, lying about him and knowing well that he was not guilty. Therefore let them be taken.

Waterford

David son of Walter Cristofr, charged that he robbed Henry son of Richard Cristofr of half a mark of silver and a bow and quiver worth 12d., and that he commonly wanders through the country, is a leader of kernes and by night breaks the houses of the natives and takes from them food and drink against their will, whereby the natives suffer much loss and poverty, comes and defends, etc. William son of Waryng, John Bykamptoun, Alfred de Bendevill, William le Hore, Henry de Capella, Adam Hostiller, Adam son of Griffin Cristofr, Walter Deverous, Philip Bourne, Thomas Cas, Nicholas Moyl and Philip Osberne, jurors, say that David is guilty of the charges. Afterwards of grace David is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, by 10 marks; and the sheriff will answer for the pledges. Roger La . . . les, Thomas Brettevill, Gerald de Rupe, Philip Cristofr and John Russell, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Afterwards the sheriff returned the names of the pledges, to wit, John, son of John le Poer, knight, John Cristofr of Moylargy, Griffin Cristofre his brother, Geoffrey Cristofre his brother, Robert Cristofre his brother, Philip Cristofre of Balykerok, Nicholas Cristofre his brother, Richard Cristofre his brother, Maurice son of William Cristofre and Gerald son of David de Rupe.

Nicholas McKyrvehawyn, charged that he received Crystyn Ogelnan and Thomas Ogelnan, robbers, who stole from Padyng Olatnan seven cows worth 4d. each and took them with them into county Cork, and that Nicholas had art and part with the said robbers in the said theft and divers other robberies, comes and defends, etc. Alfred de Bendevill, William son of Waryng, Adam son of Adam Cristofr, Andrew son of Nicholas, Henry de la Chapele, Henry son of Richard Cristofr, Adam son of Griffin Cristofr, Walter Deverous, Richard Went, John de la Ffreyne, Hugh Broun and Thomas Cas, jurors, say that Nicholas is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Nicholas le Riche and William Bryttbevill, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

1311  
Waterford

John son of Simon Deverous and Adok Othir McTrathene, charged that they robbed Adam de la Felde of a faling worth 3s., David de la Felde of a tripod worth 6d., and Robert McSym le Poer of an afer worth 5s., come and defend, etc. John Bykamtoun, Walter Elys, Symon Edward, Philip son of Griffin Cristofre, Laurence de Sorragh, Maurice Cristofr, Maurice Long, John de la Freyne, John son of Henry de R . . . , Nicholas Edward, William son of Nicholas, and Meiler, son of David le Poer, jurors, say that Jordan of Exeter the younger came from Waterford to Athmethan on a day in late summer towards evening, and seeing that he could not reach Athmethan in daylight, sent the said John, son of Symon, his bailiff, to the tenants of Jordan of Exeter the elder, instructing the said bailiff that he should treat with the said tenants for delay for the whole night, to ease the horses of Jordan, and they did so, but the tenants refused to give hay or a stable for the horses, wherefore John and Adok took from the tenants the said afer, faling and tripod, and pawned them at Athmethan for 21d., which they spent that night, and on the morrow Jordan their lord came and redeemed the said pledges and re-delivered them to the tenants. They say clearly that John and Adok are not suspected of any other misdeed.

m. 16

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY THE MORROW OF S. MATHIAS, a. r. 4.

25 Feb.

Adam Osmer, charged with the receipt of Robert son of William son of Andrew le Poer, Richard his brother and Henry son of Duraunt son of Andrew le Poer, who stole from the community of the town of Stradballycolgyn fourteen cows worth 4s. each, three afers worth 7s. each, and from Thomas Broun five afers worth half a mark each, and that he had art and part with the said robbers in the said theft, comes and defends, etc. Geoffrey de Norragh, Philip Cristofr, Jordan of Exeter and John le Botiller, knights, Laurence de Norragh, John de Norragh, Geoffrey Lercedkne, John le Sang . . . the younger, Adam Baldewyn, William le Graunt, Robert Cristofr and John, son of Reymund le Poer, jurors, say that Adam Osmer has not part with the said robbers in their robberies, but that Robert, son of William, son of Andrew le Poer, who holds a daughter of Adam as a concubine, is an evil man and has in his following thieves and robbers, and often came with his kernes to the house of Adam, with whom his daughter, the mistress of the said Robert, remains, to talk with her, and Adam dare not prevent this from fear of Robert and his family. Afterwards Adam is admitted to make fine, etc. for the said charges, and for having again his chattels, by 100s., by pledge of Simon Cadwely, John Osmer, Richard Hyne, Henry Heyne and

Waterford

1311 Geoffrey le Poer of Balydoyn, so that he stand to right, etc. The same are pledges of Adam that he will behave himself well in future.

Waterford

Reymund le Taillour, charged with the receipt of Henry Mey, who wanders through the country and robs the men of the country of food, drink, falings and silver, under pretence of seeking courtesy, and beats and wounds those who do not suffer him to do this, and who stole from Patrick McCoghloghan three cows and two afers worth 5s. each, and is a common robber and receiver of felons and robbers, and has art and part in divers other robberies in that county, and that he (Reymund) has art and part with Henry in the said thefts, comes and defends, etc. John son of Henry, Richard McGillemory, John de Barry, John de Grave, Geoffrey Herberd, Bartholomew Kerdif, Thomas Herberd, Mathew Belscot, William Savage, Geoffrey le Poer of Clonfade, Henry Rudberd and Robert Aylward, jurors, say that Reymund le Taillour is not guilty and is not suspected etc. Therefore he is quit.

Gilbert Cor, who was taken in the company of Henry Mey, charged that he has art and part with Henry in the said robberies, comes and defends, etc. The said jurors say that Gilbert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford

John son of Maurice Lowys, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Cristiana, daughter of Nicholas, widow of Ralph Corbry, and robbed her of stuffs and other plenishings and utensils of the house to the value of 40s., and also that he robbed Geoffrey le Jeofne of Gortesyty of stuffs, wool and other plenishings to the value of 32s., comes and defends, etc. Richard Went, John le la Freyne, Peter Went, John Bykamptoun, Thomas le White, Adam de la Felde, William de la Felde, Michael Galgeyl, Maurice le Lang, William Bykamptoun, Thomas Corbaly and Alfred de Bendevill, jurors, say that John is guilty of the charges. Afterwards of grace he is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, by five marks, by pledge of William son of Richard le Botiller and Andrew of Windsor, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford

Eva Giffard, mistress of Adam Crompe, charged that she is a common robber of sheep, calves and hens, and that she stole of the sheep of Ivor Obrodir all the wool which grew on twenty sheep, and tore the wool from the sheep in the fold with her hands without shears, comes and defends, etc. Richard Went, John de la Freyne, Peter Went, Walter Elys, John Bykamptoun, Maurice Cristofr, Thomas Corbaly, Peter White, Thomas Brabeston, Thomas le White, Adam de la Felde and William de la Felde, jurors, say that Eva is guilty and is accustomed to perpetrate such thefts and robberies. Afterwards of grace Eva is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, by 40s., by pledge of Adam Crompe and Michael de Carreu, so that she stand, etc. The same pledges mainprised for Eva that she would always in the future bear herself well towards the King's peace, which if she shall not do they will restore her body to the King's prison alive or dead within fifteen days from the time when they are warned of her repeated misdeeds, or drive her outside the county, and will make good to the injured the losses caused by the said Eva.

Waterford

Griffin McStythrok, charged that he commonly wanders over the country with his greyhounds and mastiffs and breaks the houses of the natives and takes from them food and drink against their will for himself and his dogs, and beats and wounds those who do not suffer him to do so, to their grave injury and against the peace, comes and defends, etc. Geoffrey . . . . le Poer, Maurice Long, Philip le Poer, Philip Gogh, William Savage, Henry Rudberd, Walter son of . . . . le Poer, David

des Auteres, Philip des Auteres, Griffin Yago, William son of Nicholas and Gilbert son of . . . , jurors, say that Griffin is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. 1311

Alice le White, charged that she received Milo son of Benedict le Poer at the time when he was at . . . against the King's peace, and that she had art and part with Milo in his robberies and misdeeds, and Gillepatrick Ofyegh, charged that he is a common robber and that he robbed the men of the village of Kilberghan of three . . . , and Henry Heyn of twelve pence, and that he commonly enters houses of the country burglariously, and breaks ricks of corn in the haggards, and that he commonly robs in the country sheep, pigs, geese, hens and other pilferings, arms and stuffs, to the great destruction of the country, come and defend, etc. John de Norragh, Geoffroy . . . of John le Poer of Balydorn, Adam le Poer, David le Poer, William le Poer, Duraunt le Poer, . . . Cristofr, Adam Baldewyn, Maurice Cristofr, Stephen Heyroun, Walter son of Meiler le . . . son of Griffin Cristofr, Symon Edward and William le Graunt, jurors, say that Alice is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore she is quit. And as to Gillepatrick, they say he is guilty of all the charges. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, one cow and two afers worth 4s. each, for which John le White Poer, sheriff, will answer . . . free land. Waterford

And Thomas Ocolgyn, charged that he is a common robber and of the following of the said Gillepatrick Ofyegh, comes and defends, etc. And the said jurors say that he is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

m. 16d

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS AFORESAID.

John Odowyll, charged that he waylaid John Cristofr, a servant of the bishop of Lismore, on the highway, and beat, wounded and maltreated him and robbed him of his belt and purse, comes and defends, etc. Symon Edward, Philip son of Griffin le Poer, John de Norragh David le Poer, Thomas Broun, John Edward, Adam Baldewyn, William le Graunt, Peter Baldewyn, Stephen Heyroun, John le Gret and Geoffrey Lerscedekne, jurors, say that John Odowyll waylaid the said John Cristofr and beat and wounded him as is alleged, but he did not rob him of his belt and purse, and they say clearly that they do not suspect him of any other misdeeds. Afterwards of grace, etc., John is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Adam son of Martin le Poer and Adam son of William le Poer, so that he stand, etc. Waterford

Ivor Ogaweny and Sutor Oconloghir, charged that they commonly wander through the country and take food and drink from the men of the country against their will and beat and wound those who do not suffer them to do this, come and defend, etc. William de la Felde, Richard Went, Maurice White, John de la Freyne, Thomas Corbaly, Robert le Deyer, Richard son of Philip Wallens, Meyler son of David le Poer, William son of Warin, Alfred de Bendeவில், Nicholas Edward and Henry de la Chapele, jurors, say that Ivor and Sutor are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Walter le White, Maurice de Prendirgast, Nicholas of Kyltenenan, Philip Brewys and Hammund Broun, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Waterford

Maurice Olaghtnan, charged that he waylaid Adam Keyr, a burges of the Yoghell, on the highway near Kensale and robbed him of 4d., and Waterford

1311 that he led Philip son of Mathew le Poer, then a felon of the King, viz., in the thirty-fifth year of Edward I, into the hundred of Oueghtagh to slay John le Hore, and that he is wont to do such things, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas Gaskoun, Philip Burne, Philip Osberne, Richard Otuel, William Patrick, Adam son of Adam Cristofr, Adam son of Griffin Cristofr, Alfred de Bendevill, Thomas White, Roger Corbry, William Burgeys and Walter Elys, jurors, say that Maurice is not guilty of the waylaying and robbery, but by his arrangement had caused Philip le Poer to come into the said hundred, at the time when he was a felon of the King, to slay John le Hore. Afterwards of grace Maurice is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of William son of Nicholas, William Deverous and John Corbry, so that he stand, etc. Philip Galgeyl, Maurice Baker, Andrew Byvel and Walter le White, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Afterwards, in the three weeks of Easter at Dublin, at the instance of Alexander de Bykenore, Treasurer of Ireland, whose man Maurice Olaghtnan is, the said 20s., with the assent of the Chancellor of Ireland and others of the Council, are pardoned to Maurice. Therefore let them not be entered in the estreats to the Exchequer.

m. 17

25 Feb. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY THE MORROW OF S. MATHIAS, a. r. 4.

Waterford Adam McMayghyn and John Corbery, carpenter, charged that they feloniously slew Richard son of Adam Cristofre, in the town of Dungarvan, come and defend, etc. Geoffrey de Norragh and Stephen le Poer, knights, Maurice le White, Maurice le Lang, Richard Whitefeld, John son of Milo de la Roche, John de la Freyne, Adam son of William le Poer, Peter Went, Walter Elys, Peter le White and Hugh Broun, jurors, say that Adam and John are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

Waterford Geoffrey de Valle, charged with the death of Griffin Broun, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. Henry de Rupe, John son of John of Kilcope, Thomas Kenselagh, Thomas of Northampton, Robert Aylward, John son of Stephen, Geoffrey son of David, Gilbert Pembrok, John de Barry, Reymund Taillour, Mathew Belscot and William le Botiller, jurors, say that Griffin and other common robbers whose names they know not were in a house of Reymund son of Maurice at Le Crok, in which house was a beer tavern, and Griffin and his fellows drank there, and at length Geoffrey de Valle came to the tavern to drink, and, abusive words having arisen between them, Griffin drew his knife and struck Geoffrey twice on the breast with the knife with intent to kill him, and Geoffrey observing this drew his sword and struck Griffin on the head and gave him two wounds, of which he died. And they say clearly that Geoffrey could not otherwise have escaped death, and that Griffin was a common robber, and that the whole country is the better for his death. Therefore let Geoffrey be re-committed to gaol, to await grace. Richard Herberd and Nicholas Aylward, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Of Ellena Turtill, who went with Geoffrey de Valle and kept in his following after the slaying of Griffin, with full knowledge thereof, nothing, because suit of the peace is pardoned to the said Elena.

Waterford Henry son of John Gilys and Henry, servant of William Fyvyan, charged with the death of Olyver le Botiller, feloniously slain by them,



as alleged, come and defend, etc. Richard Randalf, Robert le Tanner, Alan Elyot, Robert Hulle, Robert Sandewiz, Walter Mareschal, Geoffrey le Poer, John de Norragh, John le Graunt, Thomas of Northampton, Philip Cristofr and Geoffrey, son of David, jurors, say that Henry and Henry are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. 1311

David the miller, charged that he wanders by night through the country and breaks the doors of dovecotes, and that he broke the dovecote of John Gilys and killed his doves to the value of 5s., and that he robbed a strange woman in the city of Waterford of a faling worth 12d., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Robert Geraud, Robert Hullok, Walter le Large, Dominic de Godyng, Robert Sandewiz, David Taillour, Peter Justo, Nicholas de Ipre, Robert Touker, Alan Elyot, Henry Rymbaud and Richard Randalf, jurors, say that David is guilty of the charges and of several other robberies. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Waterford

Henry son of Robert, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Henry the Dyer and stole therefrom two tunics worth 4s., and two other tunics worth 6s., a faling and an over-tunic worth 8s., and divers other goods to the value of 10s., comes and defends, etc. Nicholas de Ipre, Peter Coldebek, Clement As, Thomas le Marchal, Stephen Faber, Robert Geraud, Richard de Barry, Richard Randalf, David Taillour, Robert Broun, Alan Elyot and Robert le Rede, jurors, say that Henry is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Waterford

Philip de Portnouill, William de Portnouill and Richard Oculeny, charged that they commonly cause to be carried over the ferry of Port . . . . divers men with their robberies in the parts of Ossory to the county of Waterford and *vice versa*, and that they have art and part in their robberies, and that the said Richard is a receiver of robbers and like evil men, come and defend, etc. Robert Hullok, Walter Large . . . . of Spain, Peter Justo, John Giles, David Taillour, Robert Sandewitz, Robert Touker, Nicholas de . . . ., David Cod, Richard de Barry, David the baker and Robert le Rede, jurors, say that Philip, William and Richard are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Waterford

Andrew le Taillour, charged that he is a common robber of sheep, pigs, geese and hens, and that he commonly wanders in the hundred of Offath and takes courtesies of the natives of those parts against their will and beats those who refuse to give him such courtesies, comes and of grace is permitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of William son of Nicholas, Reymund le Taillour, John de Barry, Gregory Herberd and G . . . . Herberd, so that he stand, etc. And the said William son of Nicholas etc. mainprised for Andrew le Taillour that he would always for the future bear himself well and faithfully towards the King's peace, and if he do not, they will return his body to the prison within fifteen days of his repeated delinquency, or will drive him outside the county, and also make good their losses to those injured by Andrew. Waterford

m. 17d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS AFORESAID.

Clement Soor, charged that he is a common robber of sheep, geese and hens, and that he stole from Geoffrey son of David a sheep worth Waterford

1311 12d., and Peter Aylward, charged that he commonly robs fishermen coming from the Crok to Waterford of their fish, and that he beats the fishermen and other natives and robs them of falings and other *vadia* and pawns them in the town of the Crok for drink and other victuals, come and defend, etc. John le Graunt, Thomas of Northampton, Stephen Fraunceys, John, son of Robert le Poer, Bartholomew de Kerdif, Geoffrey son of John le Poer of Balydoryn, William Savage, Henry Ruddberd, Adam son of William le Poer, Symon Edward, Philip son of Griffin Christofr and Laurence de Norragh, jurors, say that Peter Aylward is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And of Clement Soor they say that he stole the said sheep, which was only worth 6d., and so much for the theft of the said sheep. Afterwards Clement is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of William, son of Richard le Poer, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford

Tyrry de Nyvel, charged that he stole from John Broun a cow worth 10s., comes and defends, etc. John le Graunt, Thomas of Northampton, Geoffrey son of David, Gilbert Pembrok, Geoffrey son of John le Poer, John son of Robert le Poer, William le Sanger, Henry Ruddberd, Adam son of William le Poer, Symon Edward, Nicholas Batayll and Philip son of John de Fortnagh, jurors, say that Tyrry is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford

Geoffrey McWaltir, charged that he burglariously entered a house of Christiana, daughter of Nicholas, widow of Ralph Corbry, and robbed her of stuffs and other plenishings and household utensils to the value of 40s., and also that he robbed Geoffrey le Jeofne of Gortesysty of stuffs, wool and other plenishings to the value of 32s., comes and defends, etc. John de la Freyne, John son of Henry le Poer, Richard son of Philip the Welshman, John son of Milo de Rupe, Maurice White, Peter Went, Walter Elys, John Bykamptoun, William son of Nicholas, Michael Galgeyl, Adam Cristofr and Nicholas Edward, jurors, say that Geoffrey is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And Godbert de Rupe, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy. Pardoned by the Justiciar.

Waterford

Henry Mey, charged that he commonly wanders through the country and robs the men of the country of food, drink, falings and silver, under pretext of seeking courtesy, and beats and wounds those who do not suffer him to do this, and that he stole from Patrick McCoghloghan three cows and two afers worth 5s. each, and that he is a common robber and receiver of felons and robbers, and has art and part in divers other robberies in the said county, at the instance of John le Botiller of Typerothrik is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for the said charges and for all other trespasses and felonies committed by him to this day, except murder of an Englishmen, rape and arson and such heinous crimes, by 40s., by pledge of Gilbert Pembrok, Thomas Mey, Thomas son of Gilbert, Bartholomew Kerdif, John le Botiller of Typerothrik and Reymund le Tailleur, so that he stand, etc. And John son of William le Botiller and Henry de Rupe mainprised that Henry Mey would always for the future bear himself well and faithfully towards the King's peace, and if he do not, they will restore his body to the King's prison, alive or dead, within fifteen days from the time that they are warned, and if they cannot do so they will drive him out of the county, and also make good their losses to those injured by Henry.

Waterford

Robert le Waleys charged that when Walter son of William, son of David de Portnouill, Keng Odoulyng, Philip son of David and other robbers stole from William de Haye in the Cross of Ferns twenty-three

cows worth half a mark each and a horse worth 4s., the said Walter, who holds Cristiana, daughter of Robert le Waleys, as a concubine, and the other said robbers led the said cows and horse to the house of Robert le Waleys and spent the goods there, Robert being fully aware of the theft and having art and part therein, comes and defends, etc. Godbert le Poer, Hugh le Poer, Gilbert Pembrok, Geoffrey son of David, John son of John of Kilcop, John de la Grave, Michael de la Grave, Mathew Belscot, David Herberd, Thomas of Northampton, Philip son of Milo and John de Barry, jurors, say that Walter son of William, son of David de Portnouill and the other said robbers with their following stole the horse and cows as alleged, and led them to the house of Robert le Waleys, who was fully aware of the theft and had art and part therein, as above contained, and also in several other robberies committed by Walter and the other robbers. Afterwards Robert le Waleys is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charge and for having again his chattels, by £10, by pledge of Milo son of Benedict le Poer, William son of Richard le Botiller and Peter son of David, so that he stand, etc.

1311

David le Poer, charged with the receipt of Walter son of David le Poer and other robbers of the following of the said Walter, who feloniously by night entered the house of Henry le Gret at Stradbalycolgyn and robbed him of a faling and a tunic and other goods to the value of 20s., and that he has art and part with Walter in the robbery, and John, son of David le Poer, charged that he came by night to the house of Adam Bary in Stradbalycolgyn and burglariously entered it, and, finding one Thomas Broun in the house, beat, wounded and maltreated him and robbed him of a lance worth 6d., and that he commonly wanders through the country and takes food and drink from the men of the country against their will, come and defend, etc. Peter Baldewyn, Thomas le White, William Fythard, John the Welshman, Nicholas Edward, Geoffrey le Arsedekne, Henry Heyn, John Baloun, Roger Andrew, William son of Nicholas, John Corbaly and Michael Galgeyll, jurors, say that David and John are guilty, and that John took food and drink in turn from the natives to the value of 6d. Afterwards David and John are admitted to make fine, etc., by 10 marks, by pledge of Stephen le Poer and Richard le Poer, knights, Adam son of Martin le Poer, Adam son of William le Poer, William son of Martin le Poer, Richard son of David le Poer, and John son of Reymund le Poer, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford

m. 18

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY THE MORROW OF S. MATHIAS, a. r. 4.

25 Feb.

Robert le Waleys, charged with the death of John, son of Yvor McGillemory, came and acknowledged that he slew John. He says, however, that he could not by that slaying have committed felony, because John was pure *hibernicus* and not of free blood, and when the lord of the said John, whose *hibernicus* John was when he was slain, wished to demand payment for the slaying of John, his *hibernicus*, he, Robert, would be ready to answer for the payment as justice required. And hereupon came one John son of John son of Robert le Poer, and says for the King that John son of Yvor McGillemory and his ancestors of his surname from the time when King Henry son of the Empress,

Waterford<sup>(1)</sup>

(1) This entry has been printed in full in Latin in *Aarbogter for Nordisk Oldkyndighed og Historie*, ser. II, vol. 15, p. 319. Copenhagen 1900. (Article by A. Bugge. 'Nordisk Sprog og Nordisk Nationalitet i Irland').

1311 formerly lord of Ireland, great great grandfather of the present King, was in Ireland, unto this day, ought and were accustomed to have the law of Englishmen in Ireland, and to be judged and treated according to that law. Wherefore King Henry by his deed from the time of the first conquest of Ireland granted the said liberty to all of the surname of the Macgillemoreys, which deed the lord Edward, lately King of England, father of the present King, by his letters patent ratified and confirmed. And he produces letters of the said lord the King Edward, father of the present King, which testify to the said confirmation in these words:—

Edward, etc., to his Justiciar in Ireland and all others his bailiffs and faithful men in Ireland to whom these present letters shall come, greeting. Whereas by inspection of a deed of King Henry, son of the Empress, formerly Lord of Ireland, our great grandfather, we are agreed that our Ostmen of Waterford ought to have the law of Englishmen in Ireland and to be judged and treated according to that law, we do command that you cause Gillecrist Macgillemorey, William and John Macgillemorey and other Ostmen of the city and county of Waterford who trace their origin from the said Ostmen of King Henry our great grandfather to have the law of the Englishmen in those parts, according to the tenor of the said deed, and to be treated according to that law as far as in you lies until further ordinance from our Council. In witness whereof we have caused these our letters to be made patent. Witness myself at Acton Burnel, 4 Oct., a. r. 11.

Wherefore John, son of John, son of Robert le Poer demanded on on the King's behalf that judgment be given against Robert le Waleys for the death of John son of Ivor Macgillemorey, who is of the stock of the said Gillecrist Macgillemorey, William and John Macgillemorey, to whom King Edward, father of the present King, granted the use of the law of Englishmen and to be treated according to such law, as in the said letters patent is more fully contained.

The Justiciar, wishing to be more fully informed as to what position John son of Ivor Macgillemorey held while he lived, and whether he himself and his ancestors from the time of the perfecting of the said deeds were accustomed to be put on juries and assizes like the other Englishmen in the parts of Ireland, proceeded to inquire more fully into the truth of the premises by the under-signed jurors, to wit, John le Butiller, John son of Warin, knights, Adam le Poer junior, Henry de Whitfeld, Reymund le Tailleir, John de Barry, Thomas le Poer of Boryn, Bartholomew de Kerdif, Philip son of John of Norragh, Henry Ruddeberd, John Barun of Porthallok, William Savage, Mathew Belescot, Thomas de Norhamptoun and Geoffrey son of David.

The jurors say that from the time when King Henry, son of the Empress, first came to Ireland to achieve its conquest, there was a certain notable named Reginald Macgillemorey, who was a rich man and very powerful in that county, and was resident at Renaudescastel near the port of Waterford, where now is an old deserted moat, and Reginald, hearing of the coming of King Henry, and that Henry had given orders for the army and fleet to put in at the port of Waterford in the lordship of the said Reginald, had three large iron chains made, each as long as the port of Waterford was then broad, from the said moat called Renaudescastel on the side of Waterford to the land of Dunbrody opposite, which is in the liberty of Wexford, and caused the said three chains to be carried beyond the said port and each of them to be stretched and made firm on each side of the port, intending by this device to prevent the great King Henry and his army putting in there. The said chains notwithstanding, King Henry with his fleet and army put in there, and

shortly afterwards took the said Reginald Macgillemory, the lord of those parts who constructed the chains, and had himself and all his adherents found in his company taken to the town of Waterford, all of whom were shortly afterwards tried and hanged for rebellion by judgment of King Henry's court; all the rest whom King Henry found residing in the town were expelled, except one faithful man of the surname of the Macgillemories called Gerald Macgillemory who always bore himself faithfully towards the King's peace and who resided in a tower, now very old and decrepit, opposite the church of the Friars Preachers within the walls of the town of Waterford. And King Henry assigned certain places without the walls to some whom he expelled to make their dwellings there. Those so expelled built a town there which is now called the town of the Ostmen of Waterford: and within the walls of the town from which they were expelled he enfeofed of the tenements of those so expelled divers men of his following and granted them divers liberties. For the great fidelity which King Henry found in the said Gerald Macgillemory who was resident in the said tower within the walls of Waterford, he did not expel him, but granted him life and limb, and that he and his could reside in the said town as before. Afterwards in the course of time after the return of King Henry it happened that the burgesses of Waterford their wives and others of their households on a certain day of August went outside the walls to play in the fields, and all those of the town of the Ostmen expelled in the first instance as aforesaid adopted a plan of slaying the burgesses and their folk, carried the plan into action, and on that day slew all the burgesses of the town whom they found outside the walls and took their wives and brought them with them, wherefore a war arose between those of the town of the Ostmen of Waterford, who thus slew the said burgesses, and those who remained within the walls on that occasion, and the war and dissension lasted a long time, and the said Gerald Macgillemory, who was resident in the said tower with the walls, faithfully and manfully by himself and his guarded and defended the said town, as well against the said Ostmen expelled in the first instance as against any others on the side of the said Ostmen, until the second coming of King Henry to Ireland.

When King Henry, on his arrival at Waterford, heard of the great faithfulness of Gerald Macgillemory, and how well he had borne himself in the defence of the town, as well against the Ostmen who were of the same parentage as himself as against all other Ostmen expelled in the first instance as aforesaid, at the instance and request of Gerald himself he granted as well to him as to others of his parentage, Ostmen of Waterford, that they from that time should have the law of the English and be judged and treated according to that law.

Asked if John son of Yvor Macgillemory, whom Robert le Waleys slew, was of the stock of Gerald Macgillemory to whom King Henry granted the said liberties, they say yes. Asked if John son of Yvor Macgillemory or any of his ancestors after the said deed and confirmation was accustomed to be put on juries and assizes like the English, they say that a certain William Macgillemory, kinsman of John, is a free tenant in the said county and holds all his lands from the King in chief in the said county, by paying scutage to the county of Waterford from county to county, and the said John as well as the said William heretofore was accustomed to be put on juries and assizes like all other English of the county. Asked if any of the said stock and surname had hitherto been slain, and if the slayer was taken and . . . arraigned in the King's court, they say that a certain Robert son of Watyn le Poer,

1311 in the time of King Edward . . . . of the present King slew a certain Gillecriste Macgillemory . . . . in the court of King Edward, father . . . . that he was a clerk and . . . . before the sheriff . . . . likewise to speak . . . . Robert le Waleys in the inquisition . . . . slew . . . . Asked if . . . . Macgillemory . . . . and whether . . . .

m. 18d.

Came from Devon to Ireland long before the conquest made by King Henry son of the Empress in Ireland. Therefore let Robert le Waleys be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. A day is given for hearing his judgment in the quinzaine of Easter. Afterwards of grace Robert is dismissed by mainprise. And his mainprise is set out in the roll of mainprises of this term.

m. 19

25 Feb. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY THE MORROW OF S. MATHIAS, a. r. 4.

Waterford

Nicholas de Cogeho, charged that he, together with other malefactors, stole from John le Waleys one afer, from William son of Adam six afers, from Michael de la Grave one afer, and from John de la Grave the younger one afer, and Richard de Cogeho, charged that he was with Nicholas in the said theft, and that they, Nicholas and Richard, led the afers into Ossory and sold them there, and that they stole at Balygounore in Ossory four afers and led them into county Waterford to John de Cogeho their father and Elias de Cogeho their brother, and the said John and Elias de Cogeho, charged with the receipt of the said Nicholas and Richard de Cogeho, and that they have art and part with them in their robberies, come, and Richard and John de Cogeho say they are clerks and neither can nor ought to answer here. Thereupon came one Simon de Portefeya, chaplain, with letters patent of Mathew, bishop of Waterford, by which the bishop made Symon his commissary to seek clerks in the court here, and sought to have Richard and John delivered to him as clerks; and Nicholas and Elyas de Cogeho defend, say they are not guilty and put themselves on the country. And that it may be known for what reasons Richard and John ought to be delivered to the said ordinary, inquiry is more fully made as to the truth of the said charges by the jurors underwritten, to wit, Geoffrey son of David, Robert Aylward, John de la Grave, Michael de la Grave, David Greg, Peter son of David, John de la Grave, Richard Whitefeld, Henry Whitefeld, Gilbert Pembrok, Thomas Herberd, Thomas of Northampton and John son of Robert le Poer, who say that Nicholas de Cogeho, Richard de Cogeho and John de Cogeho are guilty of the charges, and that Nicholas and Richard are common robbers, and that Elyas de Cogeho is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Richard and John are delivered to the said commissary to be kept in the bishop's prison as convicted clerks under a suitable penalty. Chattels of Nicholas de Cogeho, 15s. 6d., for which John le White Poer, sheriff, will answer. Chattels of Richard, 2s., for which the same sheriff will answer. Chattels of John, none. They have no free land. Afterwards of grace Nicholas is admitted to make fine, etc. by £10, by pledge of Richard Whittey, Richard le Poer, knight, John son of William le Botiller, David Broun, Nicholas de la Bataylle, Stephen Fraunceys and Philip son of Milo. And by the same fine it is granted that Nicholas have again his chattels. And the said pledges mainprised for Nicholas that he would always well and faithfully bear himself towards the King's peace, and if he do not, they will restore his body to the King's prison, dead or alive within fifteen days from the notice of his delin-

quency, and also make good their losses to those who suffered from the said Nicholas.

1311  
Waterford

Philip son of David Cradok, charged that he stole an afer in the parts of Dungarvan and led it to Symon Cradok who, together with the said Symon <sup>(1)</sup>, converted it to his own use, and the said Symon Cradok, charged that he stole from Symon Okenlewan, Symon Ohatheyr and Thomas Ohatheyr six afers worth 5s. each, and Thomas son of Maurice Hamound, charged that he stole from Brydok Yvyrtervyth two afers worth one mark and from Adam Maper one worth 5s., come and defend, etc. Roger le Vischer, Maurice son of Nicholas, Walter Elys, John Chapagh, Ralph son of Ralph, William Gilbert, Roger Corbry, Hugh Broun, Michael Galgeyl, Peter Went, William son of Nicholas, William Burgeys and William Savage, jurors, say that Philip, Symon and Thomas are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And John Edward, David le Cok and Richard Andrew, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Waterford

Stephen son of Adam Cristofr, and Philip son of Adam Cristofr, charged that they came by night to the house of Robert Oly newan to slay him, and when they did not find him at home, they spent the whole night there and took a sheep worth 4d., against the will of the household, and that they came to the house of John Edward and beat him, broke his house and took a fish worth 3d., and that they are common robbers, come and defend, etc. Maurice Long, Hugh Broun, Peter le White, Michael Galgeyl, Walter Elys, Nicholas Edward, Henry de la Chapele, William Burgey, John de la Freyne, William de la Feld, Thomas White and . . . Went, say that Stephen and Philip Cristofr are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Henry Crompe, David Cocus and Symon Lowys, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Waterford

Stephen le Poer, knight, charged that he feloniously carried off Margaret, wife of Reginald Russell, knight, in Reginald's manor of Moynehone against her will, and that he took goods and chattels of Reginald found there to the value of £20, comes and defends, etc. John son of John le Poer, Geoffrey de Norragh, John le Bo . . . , knights, Richard de Whytfeld, John le Graunt, Henry de Rupe of Failyk, Griffin son of D . . . Cristofre, Geoffrey le Poer of Balydurne, Simon Edward, John de Norragh, Philip son of Griffin Cristofre and William son of Warin, jurors, say that a certain handmaid of Reginald Russell made it clear by her letters to the said Stephen le Poer that a divorce was in the Roman court between Reginald Russell and his wife, Margaret, and that Margaret would willingly marry Stephen, whom she loved above all, if Stephen . . . , wherefore Stephen came to John le Poer, baron of Donnoill and said . . . said Margaret commanded him by her letter that she loved him before all others, asking him to seek her and . . . with him for his . . . , and the said baron, believing this to be untrue, advised Stephen . . . said Stephen, touching the holy Gospels, swore before the said . . . he had previously said was true, and prayed the said baron that . . . and the said baron, observing that the idlers who had come there with Stephen were inclined to do divers harm there after his retirement when they were drunk, at the instance and request of Margaret stayed there the whole night to defend her, and to keep the idlers quiet as far as he was able, and on the morrow the said baron at the request of Margaret led her to the castle of Dungarvan and guarded her and her household well and honourably, whereupon the baron wished to go to his own country, and Margaret, seeing Stephen le Poer, who first came with them at Dungarvan,

(1) Thus.

1311 showing no sign or intention of leaving town, instantly asked the baron to send for his armour, which he had sent on before to his own house and stay with her to save her as before until after the retirement of Stephen and the other idlers. The baron did so at her request, and on the said occasion stayed for six days, not at the expense of Reginald and Margaret but at his own expense. And Reginald Russell, on the first day on which the said baron, Stephen and others of their following came to Reginald's manor of Monyhone, immediately went to the house of master Roger Russell, his brother, and stayed there all the time until the said baron made him come in at his country of Dungarvan; and afterwards the said baron, having sworn that neither Stephen nor any other who had come there with them had caused dishonour to Margaret, delivered her well and honourably to her husband Reginald, and afterwards inquired what losses had been caused to Reginald and Margaret by the men whom the baron and Stephen had brought with them, and whatever was found, he had it restored in full. Yet they say that Reginald and Margaret and their men of Monyhone are injured and have loss to the value of £13 13s. 4d. for divers goods and chattels of theirs taken and carried away by the idlers and following of the said Reginald<sup>(1)</sup> and Stephen at Monyhone, and at Dungarvan to the value of £4; therefore let Stephen be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Afterwards of grace he is dismissed by mainprise until the quinzaine of Easter, as appears by the roll of mainprises for this term. And John son of Robert le Poer, knight, Theobald le Poer, John son of Mathew le Poer the younger, Walter son of Meiller le Poer, Henry le Poer his brother, Richard son of John le Botiller, Edmund son of John le Botiller, David le Poer son of Griffin, and William Whytefeld, charged that they forcibly assisted Stephen le Poer and others in doing the said evils, come and defend, etc. The said jurors say that John son of Robert le Poer and the others at the request of Stephen came with him to Monyhone but knew not the reason why, and as soon as it was clear why Stephen had come, they retired immediately without doing any evil. Therefore they are quit.

Afterwards in the quinzaine of Easter at Dublin, treaty being had with the Chancellor, Treasurer, Justices of the Bench, Barons of the Exchequer and others of the King's Council there present, Stephen le Poer is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him, and also to Simon, son of William le Poer, and Robert, son of Peter le Poer, men of Stephen who were with him in all the premises, by 50 marks, by pledge of John le Poer, baron of Donnoill, John son of John le Poer, Arnald le Poer and Milo son of Benedict le Poer, so that they stand to right, etc. And John le Poer, baron of Donnoill, who is not so guilty as Stephen le Poer, because he thought that Stephen told the truth in all that he had said on that behalf, is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him, and also to John son of Peter le Poer, Robert son of Peter le Poer, Stephen Fraunceys, William Fraunceys, Simon son of William le Poer, William Herberd, Walter son of Hugh le Poer, Richard son of Hugh le Poer, Peter son of John le Poer Sarrone, Geoffrey le Poer his brother, Henry Rodberd, Stephen Ofoulyth, William le Poer, Thomas son of William le Poer, Philip McScytrok, Mathew Russell, Robert Russell, Robert le Poer of Lysmac, Maurice son of Roger le Waleys, Richard son of Walter son of Andrew le Poer, Milo son of Robert le Poer, Duraunt son of Henry le Poer, Dunkone Ofoulyth, Murghut Ofoulyth, Anygagh Ofoulyth, Malaghlyn Ofoulyth, Philip Oryan, Maurice Oryan, Philip son of A . . . . McCasmyth, Simon le Poer of

<sup>(1)</sup> " ? Baron " in margin.



Kery, John Okellan, Mauricc son of John le Poer, and Walter son of William son of David of Portuill, men of John le Poer, baron of Donnoill, who were with the baron for the above purpose, by £20, by pledge of Stephen le Poer, John son of John le Poer, Arnald le Poer and John Gossip, so that they stand to right, etc. Afterwards in the month after Easter, a. r. 5, at Dublin, as well for the good and praiseworthy service which John le Poer, baron of Donoyll, as well at his own expense as at the King's, has done in fighting Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, notorious felons, who openly put themselves at war against the King in county Louth, as for the good services which John le Poer, baron of Donoyll, will in the future do for the King, by and with the consent and advice of the Chancellor, Treasurer, all the Justices of the Bench at Dublin, the Chancellor and Barons of the Exchequer at Dublin and all others of the King's Council there present a free . . . is pardoned to the said baron and his men for the said occasion. Therefore let them not be put in the estreats.

1311

m. 20

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CORK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, FRIDAY THE FEAST OF S. GREGORY THE POPE, a. r. 4. 12 Mar.

William Duff Orayghly, charged that he is a common robber and incendiary, and that he, together with other malefactors, by night robbed David le White of Ballysallagh of 19 cows worth 4 marks, 4 afers worth 2 marks, and other booty to the value of half a mark, and that he, together with other malefactors, by night broke into the house of Reymund de Cauntetoun and stole therefrom 12 sheep and 12 goats worth a mark, and that he did other innumerable robberies, comes and defends, etc. Adam son of Richard, Stephen Long, Philip Bolaund, Adam son of Henry le White, Richard Stauntoun, Stephen le Devenys, Henry le Devenys, Marcus le Fort, Adam le Ferreres, William Galle, Stephen son of Henry and James le Fort, jurors, say that William Duff is not guilty of the robbing of the said cows and sheep, but is a customary stealer of afers, and often stays in the company of divers other robbers and shares in their robberies. Afterwards of grace William is admitted to make fine, etc., by 6 marks, by pledge of Stephen de Saresfeld and William de Stauntoun, so that he stand to right, etc. And Stephen and William are pledges of William that he will for the future bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace, and if he do not they will restore him to the King's prison dead or alive or drive him out of the county and also make good their losses to those that suffer by William, within fifteen days from notice of his repeated misdeeds.

Cork

Henry Ofolan, charged with the death of Henry de Boys and that he is a common burglar, comes and defends, etc. John Beket, Walter Barret, Milo le Waleys, David de S. Michael, Reymund Beket, William Ketyng, Adam le White of Croyth, Robert Kery, Richard of Meath, David son of Richard, Nicholas Hantelan and William of Meath, jurors, say that Henry and one Olyver Ofolan his brother and Henry de Boys were drinking in a tavern in the town of Gle . . . and as they were drinking a contention arose between Henry Ofolan and Olyver Ofolan on the one side and Henry de Boys on the other, and Henry de Boys, fearing that he receive injury from them, retired from them and went towards home. Henry and Olyver Ofolan followed him and Olyver came up to Henry de Boys and struck him on the head with an axe and gave him a wound whereof he quickly died, and thereupon Olyver fled.

Cork

1311 Soon afterwards Henry Ofolan came to the place where Henry de Boys lay slain, and seeing him dead, took an axe of Henry's found there worth 4d. and a faling which Olyver left there when he fled worth 4d., and converted them to his own use. Afterwards he stayed in the following of Olyver his brother for the whole night, and on the morrow both Henry and Olyver were taken and imprisoned, and Olyver died in prison. Therefore of him nothing. Asked if Henry Ofolan forcibly assisted Olyver in slaying Henry de Boys, they say no, but that after commission of the said felony he went in the following of Olyver and stayed with him for the night. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Philip Magnel, William de Rupe of the Nard, John son of Mathew, John son of Adam de Cauntetoun, Reymund son of John, Philip Market, Nicholas de Burgo, Reymund Penrys, Robert de Cauntetoun and Thomas Penrys, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Cork

John son of Thomas of Dromore, and Johanna Bryde his wife, charged with receiving John Ohartwor, Philip Omoreghyth, Roger son of Nicholas Duff de Caunt', Hubert de Cauntetoun, Gillebervagh Ohanemeghyth, son of McDille Ohanemeghyth, and William Beket, who are common robbers, who by night robbed Margaret Appalgard of seven cows worth 5s. each and other booty to the value of 10s., and who robbed Richard McKermekan of five wethers and five lambs worth 5s., and Richard Jos of five wethers worth 4s., and that they had art and part with John Ohartwor and the others in the said robberies, and that Johanna abets them to rob her neighbours, whom she hates, come and defend, etc. Philip Rolaund, Stephen Lovel, Adam son of Richard, Adam son of Henry le White, William Galle, Richard de Stauntoun, Stephen son of Henry, Stephen le Devenys, Henry le Devenys, James le Fort, Walter of Meath, Robert le White, Marcus le Fort and Symon le Fort say that John son of Thomas of Dromore is guilty of receiving John Ohartwor and other malefactors, but had nothing of the theft or robbery, except that he received them freely, well knowing them to be felons and incendiaries. Afterwards of grace John and Johanna are admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges and for having again their chattels, by 60s., by pledge of Thomas de Gorteres, Philip son of Robert, John son of John Ty . . . . and Robert Bryde, so that they stand, etc. And the same pledges mainprise for John and Johanna that they will always for the future bear themselves well and faithfully towards the King's peace, and that if they do not then they will restore them to the King's prison dead or alive within fifteen days of notice of their repeated misdeeds, and will also make good their losses to those that suffer by John and Johanna. Afterwards it is granted that John do pay of the fine 20s. a year, half at Easter and half at Michaelmas.

Philip son of Adam son of Symon, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night robbed Mariot Kyr . . . . of a gown worth 12d., and that he burglariously entered her house and the house of Orly, wife of Henry the clerk of . . . . baly and robbed Orly of a faling worth 2s. and a pan worth 12d., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Philip Rolaund, Stephen Lovel, Adam son of . . . . r le White, William le Galle, Richard de Stauntoun, Stephen son of Henry, . . . . le Devene, . . . . Devenys, James le Fort, Walter of Meath, Robert le White . . . . aunt, jurors, say that Philip is guilty of the charges and is suspected of several other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none . . . . rd, Roger de Stauntoun, Philip son of . . . ., Richard . . . . el, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

m. 20d.

1311

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS AFORESAID.

John Kyltavenan, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Maurice Tancard and robbed him of divers goods to the value of 4s., and that he beat Johanna de Rupe, Maurice's wife, who was with child, and maltreated her, whereby he killed a boy in the womb of the said Johanna, comes and defends, etc. Gerald son of Rys, Thomas Borard, Richard son of Adam, Maurice Briddesale, Thomas Pembrok, Thomas Bartholmeu, Philip Imelagh, John Hogge, Adam Dunyng, David Milot, John de Rupe and John Borard, jurors, say that John Kyltavenan is guilty of the said charges and of several other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Cork

Thomas of Meath, charged with the death of John son of Stephen Faber, and that he robbed the said John of 16d. and of 2½ ells of woollen stuffs worth 10d., comes and defends, etc. John Barret, Maurice Crik, Thomas Brounevesting, Thomas Rodan, James le Fort, Ive Haletoun, Thomas Haletoun, William son of Peter, Haket Heywod, Geoffrey son of David, Henry son of David, John Bernard and John Stakepoll, jurors, say that Thomas is guilty of the said charges and of several other crimes. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Cork

Dermot Omavegr., charged that he stole from Muriel Ivyenym nine . . . of woollen stuffs worth 3s., and that he is a common robber of all things that he can get, comes and defends, etc. Peter de Monte, Thomas son of Eneas Tyntagel, Henry Derby, Maurice Aylward, Mathew Aylward, Griffin Worgan, Philip Magnel del Rath, Nicholas Derby, John Turkill, John le Deveneyns and Adam Prutfot, jurors, say that Dermot is guilty of the said charges and of several other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Cork

Richard Stakepoll, charged that he burglariously by night entered the house of John Seys and robbed therefrom four hams worth 4s., comes and defends, etc. Tancard Not, Henry Freysel, John son of Peter, Thomas Cadel, Gerald Russell, Henry le Flemyng, Thomas de Haye, John son of Thomas, John Russell, John Tybaud, Robert le Waleys and Richard son of Philip, jurors, say that Richard is guilty of the charges, and that he stole the hams from excessive want and poverty, and they do not suspect him of any other misdeeds. Therefore of grace Richard is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of John Stakepoll, so that he stand, etc. And John Stakepoll mainprised for Richard that he would for the future always bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace, and if he do not, he will restore him to the King's prison dead or alive within fifteen days of notice of the repetition of his misdeeds, and also make good their losses to those that suffer by Richard. John Not and John Dod, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Cork

Oweyn Gallecurry, charged that when Tatheg Oryn and Dougheyth Oryn stole from Henry Ohursy a cow worth 5s., the said Tatheg and Dougheyth led the cow to the house of the said Oweyn at Balyspalan and there killed and ate it, and of the said cow Oweyn had art and part with Tatheg and Dougheyth, knowing the cow to be stolen, and that Oweyn shared with Tatheg and Dougheyth in the said theft and other thefts in the said county, come and defend, etc. Walter son of Richard, Thomas son of Eneas Tyntagel, Mathew Aylward, Henry Derby,

Cork

1311 Maurice Aylward, Griffin Worgan, Philip Magnel, Nicholas Derby, John Turkill, Peter de Monte, Alex. de Ferreres and Richard son of Eynon, jurors, say that Oweyn Gallecurry is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Cork

Thomas Cod, charged that he bought of Geoffrey Cod, who is a common robber, five afers which Geoffrey stole, well knowing them to be stolen, comes and defends, etc. And William de Cauntelon, John son of . . . ynet, John of Exeter, Richard de Cogan de McK . . . , Henry Derby, Robert Kenefeg, Philip son of Roger, Gilbert son of Richard, John le Flemyng, Nicholas de Cantelon, Bernard . . . yngmal and John T . . rk . . l, jurors, say that Thomas did not buy the said afers from Geoffrey and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Nicholas Odomlyng, charged that he stole a cow from a serf of Geoffrey Ketyng worth half a mark, comes and defends, etc. The said jurors say that Nicholas, together with Geoffrey . . . yet they say that Nicholas made fine with the King and . . . share of said . . . to Walter Lenfaunt and his fellow Justices last Itinerant . . . and they do not suspect him of any other misdeed. Therefore Nicholas is admitted to make fine with the King for the said theft by two . . . by pledge of John son of . . . Cod, so that he stand, etc.

Murchugh Macbreen, Dovenaldus Macbreen, Tervallagh Gaarbud Macbreen, Thady Bacagh McBreen, Donethud McConcobur Tirmellath, Macconcobur McBreen, Luygh Macbreen, Cormok Mc . . . , Thady Macconcobur, Thomas Macconcobur, Thomok Ohartugayn, Malaghlyn Ol . . . , Gilletrist Olydeda, Adam McBalyg, Tancard Osnyld, Thomas . . . Odyburgh, John O . . . Gy . . . Odonyll, Robert son of Thomas le Graunt, Iwyr Orone, Tyrnelath O . . . Macbreen and Molaghlyn Oconnethan, at the instance of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, are admitted to make fine with the King for all trespasses to this day, by ten pounds, by pledge of Henry Haket and . . . so that they stand, etc. Afterwards it is granted to them that they pay . . . and the other moiety at Michaelmas.

m. 21

12 Mar. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CORK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, FRIDAY THE FEAST OF S. GREGORY THE POPE, a. r. 4.

Cork

Thomas de Valle, charged that he is a common robber of afers, sheep and other booty, and that the whole country is devastated by him, and that he stole from a serf of Bernard Miagh an afer worth 4s., comes and defends, etc. Ger. son of Rys, Thomas Borard, Richard son of Adam, Maurice Briddesale, Thomas Pembr . . . , Thomas Bartehn, Philip Imelak, John Hogge, Adam Donnyng, David Milot, John de Rupe and John Borard, jurors, say that Thomas is guilty of the charges and is a common robber. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Cork

David son of Herbert, charged that he is a common robber, thief and burglar, and that he burglariously entered the grange of John de Barry and stole therefrom three bushels of corn worth 4s., comes and defends, etc. Richard Godefrey, Philip Constantyn, Robert son of John, Michael Rougecot, David Wrenthe, Philip Pembrok, Symon le Graunt, Philip son of Gilbert, Thomas de Cotteres, Robert Bryde, William son of Robert and Gilbert son of Richard, jurors, say that David is not

guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And William the Cook, Philip son of Robert Constantyn, Peter de Carreu, John Broun, William son of Geoffrey, William Broun, William Ewyas, Heyn Broun, John Ragewas, Thomas le Blak and Gerald son of Gerald des Auteres, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. 1311

Walter Spydok, charged that he is a common robber and that he burglariously entered the house of Thomas le Engleys, a servant of the bishop of Cloyne, and stole therefrom vestments and other goods to the value of two marks, comes and defends, etc. Eustace le Noble, John le Flemeyng of Balynelan, Laurence son of Walter, Gilbert son of Robert, Mathew Aylward, Philip son of Roger, John Turkill, Walter son of Richard, John of Exeter, Adam Prutfot, Henry Derby, Nicholas Derby and Gregory son of Odo, jurors, say that Walter Spydok is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Thomas Crompe, John le Devenys, Philip son of Roger de Moieill', jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Cork

Johanna, daughter of Donyng Bernard, charged that she, together with other malefactors, opened the door . . . . le Waleys with a false key and stole therefrom six bushels of hastivel worth 6s. and three . . . . and that she is a common robber of these and other . . . . comes and defends, etc. John Tybaud, Walter Tredyn (<sup>1</sup>), Richard son of Philip, Gerald Russell, . . . . son of Peter, Robert le Waleys, Ralph le White, John Howe, John Russell, H . . . . Crocan, jurors, say that Johanna is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore she is quit. Richard son of Walter le Waleys, John . . . ., Ralph Goer, Mathew Kerdif and Henry le Waleys, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Cork

Comdyn Oconyll, charged that he is a common buglar and that he broke into the cemetery of Kilmad . . . . and stole therefrom three hives of bees worth 6s., comes and defends, etc. Alex. Roth, Nicholas Gold, John Cole, Walter Cole, David son of Gilbert le Waleys, William le Flemyng, William son of Robert, Adam son of William, Nicholas son of Walter, David Turncocell, Henry Goly and Milo de S. John, jurors, say that Comdyn is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Thomas Heywod, and William Arlaund, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Cork

John Scot, charged that, together with other malefactors, he robbed John de Maundevill of four afers worth 20s., two cows worth 12s., and household utensils to the value of 10s., comes and defends, etc. Andrew son of Nicholas, John son of Walter Cod, Richard de Maundevill, David de Rupe, Reymund Magnel, Nicholas Derby, John of Exeter, Richard Cogan, Thomas son of Walter Cod, James Cod, John son of Ralph Cod, and Richard son of Robert Cod, jurors, say that John Scot is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Gilbert Cod, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy. Cork

Thomas son of Richard, charged that he bought of John de Rupe two horses which the said John stole, comes and defends, etc. James de Maundevill, Richard de Maundevill, Henry Derby, David de Rupe, Philip Tybaud, Reymund Magnel, Nicholas Derby, Thomas son of Walter Cod, James Cod, Andrew son of Nicholas, John son of Walter Cod and Thomas Patrick, jurors, say that Thomas son of Richard Cod is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Cork

(<sup>1</sup>) Trevedyn ?

1311

m. 21d

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL BEFORE SAME,  
DAY AND YEAR AS AFORESAID.

Cork

Matilda le Waleys, taken in the act, viz., with the 14 . . . .<sup>(1)</sup> of woollen cloth which she stole from Robok McGhut, worth 4s., comes and defends, etc. Philip Costentyn, Richard Godefrey, William Elyot, Roger Heyn, Maurice Fraunceys, David Wrenche, Philip Pembrok, Philip son of Symon Appilgard, John Candelan, William Roley, Philip Rolaund and Robert Tyntagel, jurors, say that Matilda came to the house of Robok Murgut in the town of Newcastle de Olethan in this year, and on a certain day at dusk when the household slept, and took the said cloth and a woman's red hood and a cloak found there, and was minded to carry all these away with her, and the household seeing this immediately arose, and Matilda, fearing that she would be taken, left the cloth near the door and fled, and carried with her the hood and cloak, and hid herself between two houses. The women of the house of the said Obok hastened after and found her lying between the two houses, and brought her back to the said house, and afterwards delivered her with the said cloth to the provost of the town, to be kept in prison, and the provost afterwards delivered her to the sheriff to be kept in the King's prison with the said cloth. And they say clearly that they do not suspect her of any other misdeeds, save only of the said theft. Therefore let her be recommitted to gaol to await judgment. Value of the cloth, 4s., for which Cambinus Donat will answer. Afterwards of grace, and because the jurors testified that Matilda did not carry away the cloth out of the said house, suit of the peace is pardoned to Matilda for the said charges, so that she stand, etc.

Cork

John le Blount, taken in the act, viz., with one afer worth 4d., which he stole from an Irishman, Reymund de Cauntetoun, comes and defends, etc. Adam Fereres, Henry le Devenys, Stephen le Devenys, Philip Rolaund, Adam son of Richard, James le Fort, Mark le Fort, Symon le Graunt, John de Ville, Richard de Stauntoun, William Galle, Thomas Gogh and David son of Thomas, jurors, say that John is guilty of the said theft, but they do not suspect him of any other misdeeds. Afterwards of grace John is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of Stephen Lovel, and Stephen of Meath, so that he stand, etc. Stephen Lovel and Stephen of Meath are pledges that John will always for the future bear himself well and faithfully towards the King's peace, and if he do not, that they will restore his body alive or dead to the King's prison, within fifteen days of notification of his repeated misdeeds, or drive him out of the county, and will also make good their losses to those injured by the said John le Blount.

Cork

Adam Ohynevan, charged that he is a common robber, and that he was in the following of the Cauntetouns, felons of the King, and that he shared with the said felons in their robberies, and that he was the messenger of the said felons, comes and defends, etc. Reymund Beket, William Ketyng, Thomas son of Richard, John Beket, Walter Barret, Milo le Waleys, David de St. Michael, Nicholas Havelan, Philip Smythe, Robert Kery and Jordan Dodd, jurors, say that Adam is guilty of the said charges and of many other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Cork

Laurence Offlyng, charged with the death of David de Maundevill and that he robbed David of seven afers, twenty-one cows and divers

(1) " ? lamis " in margin.

other goods to the value of 40s., and that he received Tathyg Oryng, Dought Oryng, David Oryng, Maghoun Ocaran and Gregory Ocaran, outlawed felons of the King, comes and defends, etc. Peter de Monte, Nicholas de Caunteloue, Nicholas Derby, Philip son of Roger, Griffin Worgan, Walter son of Richard, Walter Laundry, Stephen Stauntoun, Andrew Scertoun, Henry Derby, Philip son of Tancard and Adam Proutfot, jurors, say that Laurence is guilty and is an evildoer. Afterwards Laurence is admitted to make fine for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him, and to have again his chattels, by five marks, by pledge of John son of John le White Poer so that he stand, etc. And John undertook that Laurence would henceforth bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace. The said John son of John le Poer undertook without delay to put out the eyes of Laurence Offlyng and to . . . him to the sheriff. 1311

Richard Stakepol, taken red handed, viz., with a purse of sheepskin which he cut from a woman's girdle in the church of Clon, worth 1d., at the instance of Maurice de Carreu, knight, is admitted to make fine, etc., and to have again his chattels, by half a mark, by pledge of Maurice de Carreu. The said Maurice undertook that Richard would henceforth bear himself well and faithfully towards the King's peace, and if not, that he would restore his body alive or dead to the King's prison within fifteen days from notification of his repeated misdeeds, or drive him out of the county, and would also make good their losses to those injured by Richard.

## m. 22

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CORK 12 Mar  
BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, FRIDAY THE FEAST OF S. GREGORY  
THE POPE, a. r. 4.

John son of John le Cotiller, charged that he broke a chest of John le Cotiller, his father, and stole therefrom 36s. of silver, a silver cup worth 10s., and a robe worth a mark, at the instance of John Galegyr, citizen of Cork, is admitted to make fine, etc. by 40s., by pledge of Roger le Poer, Edmund Pollard, Geoffrey Cissor, Robert Tanner, John Bledoun, Thomas de la Wychy, Walter Elyot, Michael le Poer and Walter Hemyng, so that he stand, etc. Cork

John son of John de Cogan, Richard son of Richard de Cogan, John son of Richard de Cogan, Robert Saresfeld, Maurice son of John son of Symon, Nicholas Osulewan, John Orayghly, Ethe Ogressy, Cristyn Oculan, Dovenald Oduffynne, William Offynnan, Reymund Offynnan, Henry de S. John, John Freych, Eustace de Cogan and Thomas Cogh, at the instance of Edmund le Botiller, knight, John son of Thomas, and other notables now in this town and with the assent of Walter de Thornebyry, Chancellor of Ireland, are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies committed by them to this day, by 100s., by pledge of David de Cogh, Henry Goly, Milo de S. John, Michael de S. John, Michael de Cogan, John Borrard, Nicholas Co . . . and John Cole, so that they stand, etc. Cork

Twelve jurymen present that Stephen le Waleys wounded John Bederne in the city of Cork with an axe on the head so that John's life was despaired of, whereupon Stephen immediately fled to the church of the Holy Cross del Nard in the said city and stayed there, and told the coroner and the keepers of the pleas of the crown in the said city that he would not surrender, but admitted that he had wounded John Cork

1311 in the said manner, nor did he know whether John lived or not, wherefore he said distinctly that he would not surrender. Stephen stayed in the church for three weeks and more, and afterwards escaped out of the church through failure of the guard. Therefore let the chattels of Stephen be confiscated for flight, and to judgment for the . . . Chattels of Stephen, 66s. 1d., wherefor Nicholas Heyne will answer, by pledge of Nicholas le Tailour and Nicholas le Mercer. The escape to be upon the mayor and community of Cork. And because the jurors testify that John Bederne has now completely recovered from the wound and is in good bodily health so that there is no fear of his death from the said wound, the said keepers of the pleas of the crown record that . . . said Stephen le Waleys if he wished, etc. Afterwards it is granted and agreed by the Justices that William de Neuhaus of Cork have of the said forfeited chattels one mark for the losses he had because the Justices in that session and in the previous session in that town held pleas of the crown in his house. Therefore let there be put in the estreats only 46s. 9d.

Cork Nicholas Randalf, who was mainprised by Mathew de Cauntetoun, knight, John son of Thomas son of P . . . , John son of William Cod, and Philip son of Baldewyn to come before the Justices here on this day to stand to right for divers trespasses and felonies, comes not. Therefore let Nicholas Randalf and his mainpernors, except Mathew de Cauntetoun, who died, be in mercy. Afterwards, at the instance of Robert son and heir of the said Mathew de Cauntetoun, Nicholas Randalf is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him and his mainpernors, by 20s., by pledge of Maurice de Carreu, knight, and the said Robert, son of Mathew de Cauntetoun, whereof he shall pay 10s. at Easter next, and the residue at Michaelmas following.

Cork Robert Lovedey, charged with the death of Alice Lovedey, comes and defends, etc. John Northeren, Isaac Bedeford, Philip Morgan, John Russell, David Morgan, Thomas son of Richard, David de Cauntetoun, Clement le Botiller, John Myneter, John Moltoun, Jordan Broun and Reginald Bek, jurors, say that Robert is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Cork David the Welshman of Belaghfethred, charged that he is a common robber and that he stole from John Burdoun one afer and one mare worth one mark, and from John le Carpenter one afer worth half . . . , comes and defends, etc. William Martel, John Arnold, . . . , Flemeng de Corrothir, Philip son of Hugh de Inchovenan, David de Rupe, William . . . , Henry de Rídesford, Maurice de Rupe, Milo Doupe, William le White and Nicholas Martel, jurors, say that David is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

m. 22d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS AFORESAID. WOGAN.

Cork William le Palmere, Robert son of William, Martin Palmer, Roger Northam, Philip Strode, William Babbe, Geoffrey Russell, Thomas le Flemeng, David Luk, Alan Olorkan, John Ede, Richard Scholfyn, Robert Dogun and Nicholas Cornewaleys, mariner of Kynsale, charged that they received from Roger Mody and the master of the Cog of Wynchelsey five tuns and a pipe of wine worth 16½ marks as a gift from the said Roger Mody and the master of the Cog at Craudoun in Brittany, and that Roger and the master of the Cog stole the said wine from eight



ships of Flanders, against the peace, and that William le Palmer and the others received as a gift from Roger Mody and the master of the Cog a small anchor worth half a mark and two old cables worth 2s., and that they had 10s. of silver of one sail by sale of a purchase <sup>(1)</sup>, and one over-cloak found in the sea worth 2s., at the instance of Milo de Conroy are admitted to make fine, etc. by 100s., of which they will pay 40s. next Easter and 60s. at Michaelmas following, by pledge of William Chapman of Kynsale and John Ede, so that they stand, etc. Afterwards the said 100s. were assigned to John de Patrikchurch, clerk, for making the estreats of the rolls of the Justiciar here. Therefore they should not be put in the estreats to the Exchequer. 1311

Hugh son of Richard Aroundel, charged with the death of Thomas Aroundel, comes and defends, etc. At the instance of John son of David de Barry and Philip de Barry of Carryldougan, knight, Hugh is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Philip de Barry of Kilbryn, knight, and William son of William de Barry of Ardewot, so that he stand, etc. Cork

Philip son of Baldwin Hodinet, charged with receiving Hubert de Cauntetoun and others of that name, felons of the King, at the instance of Edmund le Botiller, knight, and for the good service often rendered and hereafter to be rendered to the King by Philip, is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him and also to Nicholas son of Baldwyn Hodynet, Gillys Oduflothy, John Oduflother, Richard Omorhiarti, Hywyr Oduflauthe and Thomas Ryvel, charged with said receipt, and likewise for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them for all trespasses against the peace to this day, except murder of an Englishman and arson, by 20 marks, by pledge of William son of Philip de Rupe, John son of Thomas Hodynet, Robert son of Mathew de Cauntetoun and Robert Patrik, so that they stand, etc. Cork

For the good service which David son of Alexander de Rupe, George de Rupe, William son of Philip de Rupe, David son of Henry de Rupe, knight, Milo son of Philip de Rupe, Patrick son of Philip de Rupe, Gerald son of David de Rupe, Eustace son of David de Rupe, John son of Alexander de Rupe, Gilbert son of Eustace de Rupe, Richard son of David de Rupe, James Magnel, William Magnel, Alexander Wryyot, John son of David son of David de Rupe, John son of David son of Alexander de Rupe, Philip son of David de Rupe, Luke son of Robert de Rupe, Luke de Rupe of Awenbeg, Gerald son of Henry de Rupe, Alexander son of Henry de Rupe, Milo the Welshman, Richard son of Richard le Shepherd of Leinster, Michael de Caneton, Henry son of Elias, William Brisky, James Aylward, Thomas de Inteberg, clerk, John Mauclerk, Hugh de Penbrok, Luke son of Luke de Rupe, Philip son of Luke de Rupe, Michael son of Luke de Rupe, William son of Luke de Rupe, William de Rupe Nard, William son of Gerald de Rupe, Philip son of Gerald de Rupe, John son of Gerald de Rupe, Maurice de Rupe de Dirnetede, Maurice son of Alexander de Rupe, Philip son of Alexander de Rupe, Luke Duff son of Alexander de Rupe, Luke Wrenche son of Alexander de Rupe, Alexander son of Alexander de Rupe, Gerald de Rupe, Philip son of William, James Logan, Richard de Midia, William de Midia, Richard son of Philip de Midia, Thomas de Midia, William de Rupe of Dromdowny, Robert de Penrys, Adam Bastard de Rupe, Reymund son of Reymund de Rupe, Maurice son of Reymund de Rupe, William son of Reymund de Rupe, Reymund son of Philip de

(1) Thus.

1311 Rupe, John son of Philip de Rupe, Henry son of John de Rupe, Reymund son of John de Rupe, Philip son of John de Rupe, John Baret, Walter son of John son of Alan, Robert son of Nicholas de Rupe, David son of Nicholas de Rupe, John son of Henry de Rupe, Robert Okenry, Molaghlyn Revagh Okygnedy, Macraigh Okygnedy, Tayg Okygnedy, Molaghlyn McTayg Okygnedy, Donelagh McTayd Okygnedy, Philip son of Baldewyn, William son of Baldewyn, Robert Baret, Richard Baret, Math. Baret, Robert son of Robert Baret, Thomas Baret, Thomas Bruneosyng, Walter Ewyas, Henry son of David de Rupe, knight, Maurice de Rupe of Condory, Henry de Rupe, Maurice Okally, Elena his wife, John Okally, Luke Handlaw, Ni . . . . Omolynagh, Donechugh Obohetan, Michael . . . ., Richard son of David de Rupe, John le Blund, Padyng McGilletenny, Thomas Oheraghthy, Comdyn Ocridan, Nicholas Alreche, Lewelin the Welshman, William son of Reymund Cod, Jordan son of Thomas Cod, Philip son of Bartholomew Cod, Philip son of Griffin de Rupe, master Griffin de Rupe, Peter son of Henry de Rupe, Hillare de Rupe, John Busshell, Alex. Busshell, Nicholas Busshell, Robert le Blund, Gerald Payn, Henry Payn, Pagan son of Robert Payn, Nicholas de Castelmartin, David de Castelmartin, Thomas son of the serjeant of J . . . ., Henry le Mineter, clerk, Thomas McAssemond, John son of Mathew le Mercer, John Fraunceys, Bartholomew le Waleys, Thomas le Blund of A . . cresse, Henry son of Philip son of Henry de Rupe, Reymund son of John son of Herbert and Philip le Someter often did to the King, as well in fighting against Maurice de Cauntetoun and his accomplices, notorious felons, who slew Richard Talun, and afterwards, Maurice de Cauntetoun and his accomplices having combined with Doulyng Obryn and other Irishmen from the mountains of Leinster, openly put themselves at war with the King with standards displayed, doing many murders, robberies and other evils, as also for the good service which the said David son of Alexander de Rupe and the others will hereafter do, suit of the peace is pardoned to them for all trespasses and felonies to this day, and also outlawries if there be any against their persons at the suit of the King, and abjurations of the King's land if they made any are remitted, so that they stand, etc. And the Chancellor is directed to cause these letters to be made patent.

m. 22(a)

12 Mar. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CORK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN JUSTICIAR, FRIDAY IN THE FEAST OF S. GREGORY THE POPE, a. r. 4.

Cork Basilia, who was the wife of John Tyntagel, John Tyntagel, junior, Walter Tyntagel, John son of Richard Tyntagel and Laurence Tyntagel, charged with receiving John son of Clement le Cornwaleys de Carriktohill, Nicholas Bryttoun and Richard Britton, who feloniously broke the park of Thomas Cod and therefore stole eleven cows, and that they had art and part in the said robberies, come and defend, etc. John de Carreu, Gilbert le Waleys and Nicholas de Courty, knights, Henry le Waleys, William de Stauntoun, Andrew Seretoun, Richard Godefrey, Gilbert son of Richard, Adam Stakepol, John Fraunceys, John Appilgard, Maurice Scurlag and Philip son of Symon Appilgard, jurors, say that Basilia, etc. are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

Cork Goremyn Inyenyfaderan and Roesea her sister, charged with receiving John son of Tancard de Rupe, Thomas Oshide, Philip Okeally, Adam Okealli, and Reymund son of David Boy le Waleys, who are commen

robbers and stole from the men of John le Poer, knight, at Nedgrange in the march nine cows and two oxen worth half a mark each, and that they had art and part in the said theft, come and defend, etc. John Kenefeg, Richard son of Robert Cod, William de Caunteloue, Martin Cod, Richard son of Griffin, John Turkill, Thomas Tyntagel, John de Exon., Jordan Deverous, Jordan Sygyn, Thomas Freysell and Philip Hok, jurors, say that Goremyn and Roesea are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Robert le Waleys and Bartholomew son of William, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. 1311

Henry Cod, charged that he is a common robber and that he stole from Philip de Barry of Kilbryn, knight, six oxen worth 40s., comes and defends, etc. Michael de S. John, Nicholas Gold, David Turnecotell, Bernard de Midia, Patrick de Midia, Thomas Berthelmew, Jordan Berthelmew, Robert Cosyn, Robert Cawerdyn, Philip Howe, John Martel of Insounan and Walter son of John, jurors, say that Henry is guilty of the charges and of many other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Cork

Richard Goer, charged that when Abraham le Waleys burglariously entered Richard's house and carried away half a crannock of corn worth 3s., and 2s. of silver, the said Abraham afterwards came to Richard and made peace with him for the burglary and that Richard had art and part with Abraham in his misdeeds and that he was received with him, comes and defends, etc. Maurice Prendregast, Gilbert Malenfaut, Thomas Odart, David Magnel, Robert Magnel, John le Waleys, William de Midia, Nicholas Not, Henry Snell, Thomas Treuedyn, John Hicche and John son of Thomas of Balaghath, jurors, say that Abraham kept a horse of Richard Goer, and being angry with Richard his lord, took a housing of the said horse worth 10d. which he had in his keeping and carried away the said housing. Afterwards through lapse of time Abraham came to Richard Goer his lord and restored him the housing, and Richard received him, knowing that he had previously carried it away, and remitted all ill-feeling which he had towards him, and afterwards retained him in his service. And of the other trespasses they say Richard is not guilty, and they do not suspect him of any other misdeeds. Afterwards Richard is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of Cambin Donat, so that he stand, etc. Cork

Henry Laughles, charged that he is a common robber and that he commonly stole afers and sheep through the country, and Margery, daughter of Inylongan, charged that she bought from the said Henry two afers which Henry stole, knowing well that they were stolen, come and defend, etc. James de Maundevill, Richard de Maundevill, Henry Derby, Philip Tybaud, Nicholas Derby, John de Exon., Richard de Cogan, Andrew son of Nicholas, Philip Noble, Robert Kenefeg, Richard son of Griffin Randalf and William de Millebourne, jurors, say that Henry and Margaret are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Cork

John son of Robert Tyrry, charged that he, together with other malefactors, came to the house of Walter le Waleys, burglariously entered it, and broke a chest and robbed victuals therefrom to the value of 4d., and that he, together with said malefactors, commonly ranges through the country and robs the poor of their victuals and extorts from them their money to the value of 4s. a year, and that he, together with the said malefactors, threatened to burn William Gall's house and his chattels and to kill him, if William Gall should indict John Tyrry and Cork

1311 the said malefactors in inquisitions before the sheriff and coroner, comes and defends, etc. William Burdoun, Robert le . . . of Cognath, Stephen Lovel, Adam son of Richard, Ivo Halletoun, Mathew Halletoun, Richard son of Richard Tyntagell, Philip son of Robert, Adam Stakepoll, Robert Barefot, Adam Bernard and Stephen son of Henry, jurors, say that John is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Bartholomew Spere, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

m. 22(a) d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT THE SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS AFORESAID.

Cork Laurence Elyffeld, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night robbed Mariot Kirkeby of a cloak worth 12d., and that he burglariously entered the said Mariot's house and the house of Orly, wife of Henry the clerk of Senbaly, and robbed the said Orly of a faling worth 2s. and a pan worth 12d., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Philip Rolaund, Richard de Stauntoun, Stephen le Devenys, Henry le Devenys, James le Fort, Mark le Fort, Roger de Stauntoun, Philip le Lang of Dunbolg, Symon le Graunt, Robert le White, Robert son of Thomas le White and Thomas Gaall, jurors, say that Laurence is guilty of the theft of the cloak, but not of the other charges, and that they do not suspect him of any other misdeeds. Afterwards of grace Laurence is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of William de Stauntoun of Corbelethan, so that he stand, etc.

Cork Thomas Odufgyr, charged with receiving William Duff Orayghly and his son and Roger Oboyghil, who are common robbers and incendiaries, who by night robbed David le White of Balysalagh of nineteen cows worth 4 marks, four afers worth 2 marks and other booty to the value of half a mark, who burglariously entered by night the house of Reymund de Cauntetoun and stole therefrom twelve wethers and twelve goats worth one mark, and who did many other robberies in the country, and that he, Thomas Odufgyr, has art and part in the said robberies, comes and defends, etc. Philip Rolaund, Stephen Lovel, Adam son of Richard, Adam son of Henry le White, William Gall, Stephen son of Henry, Richard de Stauntoun, Stephen le Devenys, James le Fort, Roger de Stauntoun, Philip le Long, Symon le Graunt and Robert le White, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Cork Henry son of Richard de Cogan, charged that he made his Irish tenants steal from Maurice de Rupe a cow worth 30d. against their will, and afterwards made them kill the cow and carry its flesh to his house, which flesh Henry and his household afterwards ate, at the instance of George de Rupe and Eustace de Cogan is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of said Eustace de Cogan, so that he stand, etc. The said Eustace mainprised for Henry that he would hereafter always bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace, and if not, that he would restore his body to the King's prison within fifteen days from warning of the renewal of his misdeeds or drive him out of the country, and also make good their losses to those injured by Henry.

Cork John Goddard, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night stole from Reymund de Stauntoun five pigs worth half a mark, and from Andrew de Seretoun three sheep worth 30d., and that he is a common robber of such and other things, comes and defends, etc.

Maurice son of Michael Aylward, Philip Maguel, John Torkill, John de Exon., Richard Randolf, Thomas Burgeys, John le Flemeng of Balynylan, Gregory son of Odo, Andrew Seretoun, Walter son of Richard, Peter de Monte, Mathew Aylward and John son of Eyvon, jurors, say that John is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. 1311

Rys Forester, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night stole from David son of Robert at Balymcgille two sheep worth 16d., and that he is accustomed to steal the sheep of his neighbours, comes and defends, etc. Peter de Monte, Gregory son of Odo, Henry Derby, Nicholas Derby, John Turkill, Griffin Gorgan<sup>(1)</sup>, Philip son of Roger, Robert son of Thomas, John son of Thomas, Philip Laghles and John Roch Madok, jurors, say that Rys is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Cork

Entry effaced.

m. 23

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER THE ANNUNCIATION, a. r. 4. 29 Mar.

Mabilla Gogh and Richard Top, charged that they by night murdered William le Belymer, Mabilla's man, in the city of Limerick, come and defend, etc. Henry Troye, Nicholas Ricolf, Thomas Wythye, Richard Assebourne, John de Exon, Richard Cokay, John Broun of Balydonan, Symon Hereward, John Comyn, Robert Trym, Robert de Panynton and Thomas de S. Clare, jurors, say that Mabilla and Richard are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Of Clement Fanyn, charged that he abetted Mabilla and Richard to murder the said William le Belymer nothing, because Mabilla and Richard, the principals, are acquitted. Limerick

Robert Sweyn and Thomas Bretnagli, charged that they by day and night commonly destroyed the rabbits in the warrens of master John le Jeofne and John le White, to the estimate of one mark, come and defend, etc. Maurice le Glover, Richard Cokay, John son of Walter le White, Robert Pavyntoun, Walter Someter, John Comyn, Nicholas de Angl., John Chaumpeneys, William le Lang of Sengill, Symon Hereward, John Broun and Henry Troye, jurors, say that Robert Sweyn and Thomas Bretnagh are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Adam le White of Sengill and Walter Martel of Limerick, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Limerick

William son of Roger, charged with the death of Roger de Cauntetoun, feloniously slain by him, comes and says that he could not commit a felony because Roger is an Irishman and not of free blood; he says also that Roger was of the surname of Ohedirscoll and not of the Cauntetouns, and thereof he puts himself on the country. John Lagherne, Richard Cokay, Nicholas Ricolf, John Comyn, John Geppedagh, Philip Schortgrow, John son of Walter le White, William Someter, William Peyntour, Geoffrey de Angl., Thomas de S. Claro and Richard Assebourne, jurors, say that Roger was an Irishman and of the surname of Ohedirscoll and was held to be an Irishman all his life. Therefore as regards the felony William is quit, but inasmuch as Roger Ohedirscoll was a *hibernicus* of the King, let William be re-committed to gaol until he find pledges of five marks, to be paid to the King for the said *hibernicus*. Afterwards he found pledges of the said five marks, to wit, Maurice son of Ralph, Limerick

<sup>(1)</sup> Initial letter queried in cal.

1311 Henry de Any junior, Roger son of Ralph, Thomas son of Richard and Robert le Waleys. And because the jurors testify that William son of Roger, withdrew, therefore let his chattels be confiscated for flight. Chattels, 41s. 2d., wherefore Robert le Waleys, Thomas Her, Richard Herebard, Symon Whitefot and William Barrys will answer.

**Lymerick** William le Wylde, charged that he is a common robber and that he stole from Robert Haket an afer, and from Clarice la Sanger an afer, comes and defends, etc. David Waryng, Geoffrey Beaugraunt, Roger Pavor, Roger Erlyngham, Henry son of Roger, Henry Beaugraunt, Henry son of John, Henry Michel, John Adam, Milo Adam, Richard Somervill and Walter Pany, jurors, say that William is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Richard Any, Richard Miller and Richard Clou, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

**Lymerick** William son of Ralph Brak, charged that he, together with other malefactors, came by night to the house of Henry Estram, burglariously entered it and feloniously slew Henry, who was found therein, and the goods of Henry found in the house carried away to the value of 100s., and that he, together with the said malefactors, robbed William de Dovenyld of divers goods etc. to the value of £40, comes and defends, etc. John de Loundres, Adam son of Richard, William Goer, Robert Lovel, Henry Fouk, Walter Rathgoul, Ralph de Lisnegyll, John le White, Adam le White, James . . . . dale, Maurice de Loundr . . . . and John son of Adam, jurors, say that William is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

**Lymerick** Maurice Ohologhan, charged that he robbed Anyn Obryn of a horse worth 20s., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Adam le Hore, William le Barrys, Richard Andreu, David Cachepoll, William Lambaudyn, Richard Carrik, Roger Curteys, John Bar . . . ., Elyas le Rede, Stephen le Rede, Audoen Ethenard and Andrew Rossathy, jurors, say that Maurice is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

**Lymerick** Agnes, wife of John Hert, charged that she caused a false key to be made and with the said key . . . . a chest of the said John le Hert, and took therefrom 38s. 10d., comes and defends, etc. William le Barrys, Richard Andreu, William Lambaudyn, Richard Carrik, Roger Curteys, Roger Hosogod, Ralph le White, Audoen Ethenard, William le Flemeng, Adam Wydy, William Powys and Alfred Payn, jurors, say that Agnes is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore she is quit.

m. 23d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

**Lymerick** Agnes de Berkeley, charged that she maliciously instigated and procured Geoffrey McBren to rob Adam Ohologhan of 21 cows, value half a mark each, ten afers worth half a mark each and other small things to the value of 100s., and that she had art and part with Geoffrey in the said robbery, comes and defends, etc. William Barrys, Richard Andreu, Roger Curteys, Andrew Rossagh, William Lambaudyn, John Barret, William Broun, Thomas Broun, William le Flemeng, Richard le Flemeng, William Broun of Carrigkytill and Robert son of Walran, jurors, say that Agnes is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore she is quit.

Richard Ketyng, charged that he procured Bren McRicard and his accomplices, outlawed felons, and instigated them by his letters to burn the town of Athynstadan, whereby the said Bren and his accomplices at Richard's instance afterwards burned the said town, comes and defends, etc. Thomas son of Walran, Ralph de Camera, William Broun, Richard le Flemeng, William Broun of Bondynestoun, Thomas Broun of Bandynestoun, Philip son of Adam, Nicholas Gregory, Thomas Gregory, Walter Martel, David le White and Stephen Cradok, jurors, say that Richard is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. The said jurors testify that Richard did not secrete himself. Therefore nothing of his chattels. Gerald of Winchester, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

1311  
Lymerick

Gilbert le Blak, charged that he, with other malefactors, by night burglariously entered the church of le Garthe and robbed it of 100s., and other . . . the the value of 40s., and also that on another occasion he burglariously entered the same church and robbed it of wool, a fillet, and butter, to the value of 5s., comes and defends, etc. John Bretnagh, Thomas Tancard, Henry son of Robert de Capella, Thomas Galbeg, Henry Tancard, William Hythel, Richard Olf, Nicholas Goer, William Kyvernog, Peter Torgir, Ralph Symcok and John Hay, jurors, say that Gilbert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Lymerick

Richard son of David Sampson, charged with the death of Thomas le Prout, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer for it here. Thereupon come one Henry, dean of Wetheney<sup>(1)</sup>, with letters patent of William, bishop of Emly, by which the bishop assigned Henry as his proxy and commissary to demand and receive clerks of the said bishopric charged at pleas of the crown before the Justiciar, and he demanded the said Richard to be delivered to him in the name of the bishop, as a clerk. And in order that it may be known why he ought to be delivered to him, an inquisition was held more fully as to the truth of the premises, by the undersigned jurors, viz., Thomas son of Robert, Elyas Cheyne, Richard de Any, John le Ware, Richard Miller, Henry Beugraunt, Geoffrey Beugraunt, Henry son of Roger, Roger Pawor, Walter Pawor, Richard Kilmehallok and Thomas le Lang. The jurors say that Richard son of David is guilty of the charges. Therefore let him be delivered to Henry to be kept in the bishop's prison under fitting penalty. And because Richard son of David Sampson refuses the common law, let his chattels be forfeited to the King. Chattels of Richard, 46s. 11½d., for which Elyas Cheyn and Roger Pavour will answer, by pledge of Richard Miller and Henry Beaugrant. Andrew le Flemeng, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

Lymerick

David Oketfagh, charged that he, together with other malefactors, robbed Henry Troye's men out of a boat on the bank of the Schynyn of 27 gallons of beer worth 40d.; and William of Gloucester's men, who were in another boat on the same bank, of 40 gallons of wine worth one mark, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer for it here. And in order that it may be known why he ought to be delivered to the ordinary, inquisition was held more fully into the truth of the premises by the undersigned jurors, viz., Richard Cokay, Nicholas Rycolf, John Comyn, John Wodeford, Thomas de S. Claro, Robert Panyngton, Nicholas de Angl., Philip Schortgrave, John son of Walter, William Connaght, Nicholas Wygemor and William Someter, who say that David is guilty of the charges. Therefore let him be committed again to gaol until, etc. Afterwards David is admitted to make fine,

Lymerick

(1) Thus.

etc., by five marks, by pledge of Richard de Clare, so that he stand, etc.

1311  
Lymerick

Robert Odonegan, charged that he came by night to the house of Saryn Momal, and burglariously entering the house, robbed therefrom four cows and other afers and articles found there, comes and defends, etc. John of London, Adam son of Richard, William Goer, Robert Lovel, Henry Folik, Walter Rathgoul, Ralph Lysnekill, John son of Adam, Maurice of London, Henry de Capella, John le Palmer and Adam le White, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

m. 24

29 Mar. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER THE ANNUNCIATION, a. r. 4.

Lymerick

John Bryan and Cecilia who was the wife of Nicholas Bryan, charged with receiving Bren McRikard and his Irish accomplices, outlawed felons, come and defend, etc. Thomas son of Walran, Ralph de Camera, William Broun, Richard le Flemeng, William Broun of Boudynestoun, Philip son of Adam, Nicholas Gregory, Thomas Gregory, Robert son of Walran, Walter Martel and David le White, jurors, say that John and Cecilia are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

Lymerick

The jury on which Clement Fanyn, plaintiff, who sues on behalf of the King, and Robert le Lang and Thomas le Blound put themselves find that Robert and Thomas falsely and maliciously indicted Mabilla Gogh and Richard Top, saying that Mabilla and Richard with the assent of Clement Fanyn murdered William le Belymer, of which murder Mabilla and Richard were duly acquitted by a jury of the country on which they put themselves before the Justiciar here on this day. Therefore it is considered that Robert and Thomas be committed to gaol for the said falsehood.

Lymerick

John de Londoun, charged with the death of Walter son of Stephen, and that he robbed lord Gilbert the chaplain of three afers worth 40s. and of twenty four bushels of oats worth 12s., comes and defends, etc. Geoffrey son of Richard, Laurence Adam, David le Flemeng, Gerald Dullard, Andrew Sygyn, Richard Ulf, Nicholas Goer, John Bretnagh, Thomas Galbeg, Stephen son of Philip, Robert le Watre and Gregory Derneford, jurors, say that John is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Lymerick

Ralph Osthedirthan, charged that he, together with other malefactors, robbed Thomas Wolf of divers goods, etc. to the value of 20 marks, comes and defends, etc. John Bryan, David le Rede, Walter Martel, Thomas Broun, William Broun of Carrynketyll, Richard Balygardan, Henry son of Stephen, Thomas le Lang, Thomas Aunsel, Richard Hamound, Philip Hamound and Henry le Lang, jurors, say that Ralph is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Geoffrey Sandr., a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy.

Lymerick

Walter Maunger, charged that he by force and arms, against the King's peace, waylaid Geoffrey Grave on the highway near Balygady and robbed him of a cap worth 2d., comes and defends, etc. Richard Weythan, Robert Leynagh, Symon Laurans, Symon de Mora, William Colifer, Henry Devenys, Andrew Broun, Thomas Yago, Henry Martel,



Nicholas de Scales, Adam Martel and Henry Fanyn, jurors, say that Walter is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And William le White, Richard Martel and William son of Walter, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. 1311

Thomas son of Henry le Palmer, charged that he asked Gillepatrik McYogh and others of the surname of McYogh, felons, by letters, to kill Thomas Gregory and Nicholas Gregory for the sake of revenge, for that Thomas and Nicholas Gregory killed Conghur McYogh, their kinsman . . . . Limerick

m. 24d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE. WOGAN.

Philip son of Philip Comyn, who was outlawed in the King's court at the suit of John Harold, knight, for the death of Richard son of the said John Harold, feloniously slain by Philip son of Philip (Entry struck out). Limerick

At the instance of Richard de Clare, Mc Yertagh McBren, William McBren and Geoffrey McBren McRicard, charged with divers trespasses and felonies, come and of grace are admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them for all trespasses and felonies to his day, by £10, by pledge of Oliver Haket, John Lengleys, John de Dreytun, junior, Nicholas de Dreyton and John de Dreyton senior. Be it known that Oliver and the others mainprised that the said McYertagh etc. would for the future bear themselves well towards the peace, etc. Limerick

Thomas son of John Russell, charged that he by night burglariously entered the fold of Reginald le Blower and therefrom stole 40 sheep worth 2 marks, at the instance of Edmund le Botiller and John son of Thomas, knights, and by the counsel and advice of the said Edmund and John son of Thomas, is admitted to make fine, etc. by 20s. by pledge of Patrick de Cauntetoun, David de St. Michael, Richard le Blak and John de Athy, so that they stand, etc. The said Patrick de Cauntetoun mainprised to acquit and indemnify that said Richard le Blak of the said plevin. Limerick

At the instance of Edmund le Botiller, knight, and Thomas le Botiller, and for their good service often done to the King and hereafter to be done, Philip son of Philip Comyn is admitted to make fine with King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for all trespasses and felonies committed by him against the King's peace in Co. Limerick to this day, by 40s., by pledge of Thomas le Botiller and William son of Philip de Rupe, and by the same fine all outlawries published against the said Philip son of Philip for whatever case are remitted to the said Philip son of Philip Comyn, so that he stand, etc. Limerick

Adam, clerk of the bishop of Limerick, charged that he feloniously and against the King's peace carried off Eddous, wife of Nicholas Roth and took her with him to Sengol, kept her there for half a year, and afterwards took from her a linen apron, a gown and a tunic worth 3s. 4d., comes and says he is a clerk and that he cannot and ought not to answer for that here. And that it may be known for what reason he ought to be delivered to the ordinary whenever he wish to ask for him, the underwritten jurors proceeded to inquire more fully into the truth of the premises, viz., John Bretnagh, Ralph Synnok, John Worgan, Richard Limerick

- 1311 Ulf, Bartholomew Wythir, Maurice de Londoun, Gerald Dullard, Henry Hunt, Gregory Derneford, Robert son of Robert de Adare, Philip Grymbaud, Nicholas Scales and Milo Bosoun, who say that Adam is guilty of the charges. Thereupon comes Peter, vicar of the church of S. Mary, Limerick, with letters patent of Robert, bishop of Limerick, by which the bishop assigned the said Peter to demand and receive clerks charged at pleas of the crown before John Wogan, Justiciar, here in the bishop's name, and he demanded Adam to be delivered to him as a clerk. Therefore let him be delivered to him to be kept in the bishop's prison as a convict under suitable penalty, etc.
- Limerick David son of Walter Appilgard, charged that he feloniously and against the King's peace carried off Mabilla who was the wife of Gilbert de Whiteleye after the death of her husband and had carnal knowledge of her against her will, comes and at the instance of Edmund le Botiller and John son of Thomas, knights, is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned as well to the said David for the said charges as to John Obrenan his servant, who forcibly assisted David to carry off Mabilla, by 10 marks, by pledge of Thomas Daundoun and Philip Ulf, knights, William Leredekne and William, son of Robert Maunsel, so that they stand to right etc.
- Limerick Ralph the fowler, charged with receiving Robert Britnagh Russell, Thomas Rath Russell, William son of Henry son of Reginald Russell, Henry . . . . Russell and Conghur McPadyn Gar, who by night came to the house of Stephen Welleype at the Kappagh and entered the house of Stephen and stole therefrom a stone and a half of wool worth 3s., two falings worth 12d., one tripod worth 4d. and divers other goods to the value of half a mark, and also that he received Henry Bothir Russel, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine with the King, etc. and for having again his chattels, by one mark, by pledge of Jordan Coterel, so that he stand, etc.
- m. 25
- 9 May YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE MONTH OF EASTER, a. r. 4.
- Louth Gilbert Olanyn, charged that he stole from Roger Omyhan a horse worth 5s., from the abbot of Knock a horse worth half a mark and from Chaplain Ocahan two horses worth 20s., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Richard Justice, William Strongbowe, Geoffrey le Mir, William Devenyng, Simon de Callan, Nicholas son of Henry, Jordan Dode, Henry de la Felde, Robert son of Ralph, William le White, William le Mouner and Gilbert Parsoun, jurors, say that Gilbert is guilty and is a common robber. Afterwards Gilbert before judgment says that he is a clerk and demands that he enjoy clerical privilege. And because it was found that Gilbert reads and sings, and no ordinary comes to demand him, let Gilbert be re-committed to gaol to be kept as a convict until etc. Chattels, none; he has no free land.
- England The King sent his writ here in these words:—
- Haddesour Edward etc., to his beloved and faithful John Wogan, Justiciar of  
Louth Ireland, greeting. Whereas by our letters patent we have pardoned John de Haddesoure suit of the peace for the death of William de Graftoun wherefore he is indicted, and also any outlawry that was pronounced against him on that occasion, and have granted him our firm peace therefor, as in our letters patent therefor made to him is more

fully contained, we command you that you cause to be publicly proclaimed and held in those parts and places which shall seem expedient to you our letters patent and the granting of our peace to him, according to the tenor of our said letters patent. Witness myself at Berwick on Tweed, 6 Nov. a.r.4, by writ of privy seal.

1311

And thereupon one John de Haddesoure of Keppok produced letters patent of the King in these words:—

Edward etc., to all his bailiffs and faithful men to whom the present letters shall come, greeting. Know ye that for the good service which John de Haddesoure in the parts of Scotland has done for us, we have pardoned John suit of the peace for the death of William de Graftoun whereof he was indicted, and also any outlawry pronounced against him on that occasion, and have granted him our firm peace therefor, so that he stand, etc. In witness whereof, etc. Witness myself at Berwick on Tweed, 6 Nov. a.r.4, by writ of privy seal.

On pretext of this command the Justiciar here in that sitting caused to be proclaimed the peace of John de Haddesoure according to the tenor of the said writ. And Robert de Drumgol, coroner of the said county, is commanded to remove without delay the King's hand from the lands and tenements of John de Haddesoure which were taken into the King's hand on the occasion of the said death, if they are in the King's hand on that occasion and on no other, provided that for the issues thereof taken in the meantime answer be made to the King. He also commanded another writ in these words (similar writ to the above, dated 20 Nov., a.r.4.).

## m. 25d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA BEFORE THE SAID JUSTICIAR, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

At the instance of John son of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster and for the good service of the said John, and likewise at the instance of Thomas de Maundevill, knight, Luke de Sewell is admitted to make fine etc. for the death of Adam Rauf, by 100s., whereof he will pay 2½ marks yearly, one moiety at Michaelmas and the other at Easter, by pledge of Martin de Sewell and Peter de Segre, so that he stand to right, etc.

Louth

Robert de Mortoun, charged with the death of Richard Heyroun, John Frombold, Patrick Hethen, William le White and William de Mortoun, and that he is a common robber and incendiary, comes and defends, etc. Richard Eustaz, Adam Chepman, John le Keu, John son of Ralph, William Carlan, Adam Nugent, Ralph de Portes, William Norbry, William Turpyn, Thomas Gryfine, Hugh son of Henry and Robert Attecoc, jurors, say that Robert is guilty and is a common robber. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Walter Stavagh, Luke Daniel, David Ogary, John Galboly, John de Mortoun, John Prutfot, Walter de Mortoun, John le Waleys, Laurence Fay, John son of Stephen, Stephen Snellard, Adam Quayntrel, Adam son of Stephen, Walter Tene, John le Lange, John Moriz and Thomas le Grete, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Meath

Hugh Alger, John le Blund, William son of Henry Manne, William Grenoun, Simon Fernoun, John Pippard, Richard Kenefer, Richard de Grenof, Stephen Wyot, William son of Ralph le Tannere, William son of Elias, John son of Simon le Blund, Gilbert Parsonne, William Above-the-mill, Henry Brünnyng, Richard Mareschal and William de Galeweye, charged that, whereas the King lately granted to Mathew son of Cecil

Louth

1311 Oraylly and all of his sept and following suit of the peace for all trespasses and felonies against the peace, and caused letters patent to be made concerning the said pardon, Hugh and the others, notwithstanding the said pardon, robbed Mathew and his men of five score and seven cows, wherefore Mathew Orailly and his men in revenge robbed Robertstown of four score cows and Henry son of Otuel de Cruys of four score pigs, so that the whole peace of those marches is destroyed, and Henry son of Otuel de Cruys, charged that he by letter procured the said Hugh Alger and the others to take the said booty in the said way, come, and Hugh Alger and all the others except Henry son of Otuel de Cruys say that Mathew Orailly and his accomplices, long before the taking of the said booty, were against the King's peace incendiaries and notorious felons, and on the day of the said taking Hugh and the others had no certain knowledge that the King had pardoned Mathew and his accomplices suit of the peace by the said letters patent, and that proclamation of the said peace had not been made, wherefore they might well be ignorant of the pardon of the said peace, and that they committed no other robbery or trespass upon the said Irish after they knew of the said pardon: they demand that the case be tried by the country. And Henry son of Otuel de Cruys comes and defends, etc.

Ris de Stokes, Jordan Teling, Adam Chepman, John Tancard, Adam le Cornewaleys, John Dardiz, John de Sumerfete, Roger Gasny, Stephen Bray, John de la Ryvere, William Hamelyn and Gilbert Beg, jurors, say that the booty was taken by Hugh and the others five days before the proclamation of the said peace and before the letters patent of the pardon of the peace came to Mathew, and they say clearly that Hugh and the others on the day of the taking of the booty did not know that the King had pardoned suit of the peace to Mathew and his accomplices, as is aforesaid. And as to Henry son of Otuel de Cruys, they say that Henry on the day of the taking of the booty was in Dublin, and at the time when Henry asked Hugh and the others by letter to take the booty knew nothing of the pardon. And they say that they do not suspect Hugh and the others of any other misdeed. Therefore they are all quit.

Meath John Frombold elsewhere, to wit in Hilary term in the second year of the now King before William de Burgo, *locum tenens* of the Justiciar here, made fine with the King for suit of the King to be pardoned to him for the death of Andrew de Westoun, by 40s., by pledge of Walter le Blund of Co. Meath and Richard le Neyr of Co. Connaught, so that he stand to right, etc., as more fully in the rolls of pleas of the crown for the said Hilary term. Because the fine was not placed in the estreats, therefore let it be done now.

Kildare Henry Sharragh, charged that he stole from Maurice Hanleye three sheep worth 2s, and from Ralph Arthour one sheep worth 8d., comes and defends, etc. John Selyman, Hugh Selyman, Ralph Arthur, Richard Hathil, John son of Walter, Geoffrey Bathe, Adam Fornagh, Laurence Waleys, Ralph Prestoun, Philip Nyweman, Stephen Aas and John Osbern, jurors, say that Hugh is guilty but is not suspected of any other misdeed. The said jurors testify that Henry committed the theft from great poverty and hunger. Therefore Henry is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of the said twelve jurors, so that he stand, etc.

m. 26

9 May PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA ON THE SIDE OF MEATH BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE MONTH OF EASTER, a. r. 4.

Meath Robert the chaplain of Kilbeg and Robert Omery, chaplain of

Cruystown, charged with receiving Gillekegh Orailly and his accomplices, Irish felons, and that they send them clothes, victuals and divers other conveniences for their pleasure, against the peace, come and defend, etc. And Adam Colt, Richard Scurlog, Henry son of Stephen, John le Keu, Michael Bolle, Stephen le Waleys, John son of Ralph junior, John Fynyan, John son of Mathew son of Ralph, Adam Wyard, Adam son of Robert Betagh and Alexander Candelan <sup>(1)</sup>. Afterwards of grace Robert and Robert are admitted to make fine, etc., by ten marks, to wit, each of them by five marks, by pledge of Philip Mauveysin and Philip Drake for the said Robert Chaplain of Kilbeg, and of John Duf and Richard Duf for the said Robert Omery, so that they stand to right, etc. Laurence le White and John Roger, jurors summoned come not. Therefore let them be in mercy. Philip Mauveysin and Thomas Crumpe are pledges of Robert Chaplain of Kilbeg that he will always for the future bear himself well and faithfully towards the King's peace. And . . .

John Chamberleyn, charged that he robbed the tenants of Grelly of nine pigs, comes and defends, etc. William Turpyn, William Carlan, Laurence le Blund, Thomas Aungevyn, William Norbry, John le Keu, Stephen le Waleys, John son of Ralph junior, John Fynyan, John son of Mathew son of Ralph, Adam son of Robert Betagh, and Milo Serle, jurors, say that one Richard Tuyt acquired from one Jordan Dardiz the manor of Grelly with the appurtenances in fee, and the said John le Chamberleyn, by the direction of the said Richard Tuyt his master, took from the tenants of the said manor for the use of Richard Tuyt the said nine pigs. The said Richard caused the tenants to be rented at as much as the pigs were worth, and the pigs were taken and valued against the will of those from whom they were taken. Therefore let John Chamberleyn be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Afterwards, at the instance of Milo de Verdun and because the notables of the county for their money in past times were often accustomed to take from the tenants victuals for their hospitality and this taking has not hitherto been forbidden to the notables, suit of the peace is pardoned to John, so that he stand, etc.

Robert Ocaigny, charged that he stole 18 sheep from Hugh le Waleys of Grilly worth 8d. each, and Nicholas Okynedy, charged that he received Gillekegh Orailly and his accomplices, felons of the King, and that he procured the said felons to burn the town of John Fynyan and the town of Roberdeston, and that he is a common robber and had art and part in their felonies; and John Brun, serjeant of the King, arraigned that he, under colour of his serjeanty, levied one mark from the tenants of the Grylly and of Bory without a warrant, and appropriated the money, come and defend, etc. John son of Ralph, junior, John Fynyan, John son of Mathew son of Ralph, Adam Wyard <sup>(1)</sup>, Adam son of Robert Betagh, Alexander Candelan <sup>(1)</sup>, Milo Serle, Ralph de Portes, William Turpyn, William Carlan, Laurence le Blund, Thomas Aungevyn, William Norbry, John le Keu and Stephen le Waleys, jurors, say that Robert Ocaigny and John Brun are not guilty and are not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore they are quit. And as to Nicholas Okynedy, they say he is guilty of all the charges and of several other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 41s. 4d., for which Richard Dardiz, sheriff, will answer. He has no free land.

Philip Mour and Adam Weryng, charged with receiving brother

<sup>(1)</sup> Cal. has marginal note, "struck out."

1311 Roger of Corbaly, canon of the abbey of S. Thomas the martyr, near Dublin, which brother Roger, putting aside the order and habit of his religion, shaved his head and put on lay garments, and afterwards of malice aforethought broke divers locks of the coffers of the abbey and broke and mutilated charters and other muniments found therein and stole by night from the abbey sixty pounds of silver and divers other plate and carried them away to the house of Philip Mour and Adam Weryng, and of the said chattels lent the said Philip sixteen shillings of silver and gave him a horse worth twenty shillings, both Philip and Adam well knowing of the said robbery and burglary, come and defend, etc. John Lovel, John Coterel, Thomas Brun, Richard Gafney, Nicholas Gafney, Stephen le Hore, Simon le Hore, Roger Wodeman, Richard Scurlag, John Keppok, David Hert and Richard Lovel, jurors, say that brother Roger was received with Philip and Adam, though they well knew how he had left his order, and Philip had of brother Roger the said horse and silver as a loan, but they were quite ignorant that brother Roger had committed the said burglary and theft. Therefore let them be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Of . . . de Stanleye, knight, with whom brother Roger was received, nothing, because the jurors testify that . . . de Stanleye knew nothing of the misdeeds of brother Roger nor how he had left the said order. W . . . Mour, Hugh Byrel, Robert le Blund and Stephen le Lange junior, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore let them be in mercy.

Louth William Strangbowe, charged that whereas a certain heifer of Richard de Kent came upon the land . . . at Stepheneston, and William . . . the heifer worth 14d. . . . said William took the heifer and appropriated it . . . and that he received John Wyot and Magnus Okerwyl . . . and had art and part in their robberies, comes and defends, etc. William le Fleure, William le White de . . . Elias le Tan . . . Alger . . .

m. 26d.

Louth Isolda, wife of John Hauberge, charged that she, together with John her husband, who renounced the King's land, by night burglariously entered the grange of William de Warwyk and stole therefrom ten bushels of excellent malt, comes and defends, etc. Richard de Canonestoun, senior, William Carpenter, John Myagh, William de Grenok, Richard de Canonestoun junior, Robert Beleawe, John Wenelok, Geoffrey Lythe, William Brandoun, Thomas Lythe, Thomas Brun and John Coterel, jurors, say that Isolda is not guilty and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore she is quit. Chattels of John Hauberge, who renounced the King's land, 23s. 9d., for which Adam son of Jordan will answer, by pledge of Thomas Brun de Tarmefeighyn and William le Carpenter, by presentation of Robert de Drumgol, coroner. Also John Hauberge had three acres of free land worth 3s. yearly, for which the said Adam will answer, by said pledge each year, so long as the land is in the King's hand.

Meath John Brun, late serjeant of the King, charged with receiving Roger Brun, who is a common robber of afers, pigs, and sheep, and that he has art and part with Roger in his thefts and other misdeeds, at the instance of Richard of Exeter, knight, is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of John Drake, Philip Drake, Nicholas Drake and Nicholas son of Richard Drake, so that he stand, etc. John Drake and the others mainprised for John Brun that he would always for the future bear himself well and faithfully towards the King's peace.

Stephen Germeyn, charged that he bought of Walter Martyn three cows and a calf worth 19s., which Walter stole from John Lovel, and that he bought of the said Walter a cow and a heifer worth 12s., which Walter stole from John le Mouner, knowing well how Walter stole the said afers, comes and at the instance of Richard of Exeter, and because the jury testify that the cows were restored and that Stephen is not guilty of any other misdeed, and also because they testify that Stephen is a strong man and often fights well against Irish felons although he is poor, is admitted to make fine with the King, as well for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him as for recovery of his chattels, by five marks, by pledge of Richard of Exeter, whereof he shall pay a moiety at Michaelmas and another moiety at Easter next ensuing, so that he stand, etc. Stephen Germeyn freely grants that Richard of Exeter have his chattels in exoneracion of the said pledge. The sheriff is directed to deliver them to him. 1311  
Louth

John Cadwelly, charged that he stole a horse from the prior of S. Leonard of Dundalk and two horses from John Everard and clothes and other pelf <sup>(1)</sup> to the value of 20s. from Wymark, wife of Henry le Lung, comes and defends, etc. William Manne, William de Fertillagh, Roger Germeyn, Mathew Broc, Thomas Morgan, Thomas le Blund of Knocdonan, Hugh Alger, Richard Alger, Gilbert Parsoun, William de Galweye, William Above-the-milne, and Roger le Dycher, jurors, say that John de Cadwelly is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And Adam de Fyngal, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore let him be in mercy. Louth

Sourdyn le Babeler, charged that he, together with Maghoun Mackeheigh Orailly and other Irish his accomplices, felons of the King, feloniously burned a town near Kenles in Meath called Roberdestoun, comes and defends, etc. William Carlan, Adam Colt, Richard Scurlag, Henry son of Stephen, Thomas le Keu, Michael Bolle, Michael de Stokes, Philip Mauveisyn, Stephen of Exeter, John son of Ralph, Reymund le Bl . . . . and Adam of Exeter say that Sourdyn is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Meath

Richard Fernoun, charged that he bought of John de Repenty and Roger Fernoun 30 sheep out of 55 sheep which the said John and Roger stole from Henry Crossagh, knowing well of the said theft, and that he sheared the sheep, converted the wool to his own use, and moreover sold the sheep lest by chance they should be have been found with him on that account, comes and defends, etc. John de Carrik, William le Feure, Simon de Callan, Richard Justice, Hugh Alger, John le Blund, William Alger, William le Juesne, Thomas le Blund of Knocd . . . . , Richard Alger, Gilbert Parson and Mathew le Blund, jurors, say that Richard is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Louth

William Maneghan, charged that he by night stole from Ralph Kytteibern a . . . . worth 12d., a quarter of a stone of wool worth 10d., nine silver pennies, one robe worth 8d., and a pair of military boots worth 6d., comes and defends, etc. . . . eu, Simon le Chamberlene, John de Kerdif, Ralph Burgeys, Richard Wildeshir, . . . . ghlo, Simon Sprot, Laurence Sprot, Simon de Callan, William Conl . . . . Justice, jurors, say that William is guilty of the charges and of several other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels . . . . Louth

(1) Thus.

1311

m. 27

11 June PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CASSEL BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, FRIDAY AFTER TRINITY, a. r. 4.

Tipperary No entry.

13 June PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LIMERICK BEFORE THE AFORESAID JUSTICIAR IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY IN THE ABOVE YEAR.

Limerick Henry O Glasganal, charged with the death of Richard le Jugelour, comes and defends, etc. Thomas le Blund, William Connaght, Robert Rembold, Maurice le Glovere, Robert le Lung, Geoffrey de Angl . . . ., John de Angl . . . ., Philip Shortgrove, William Peyntour, Richard Cokey, John Laghern, and John son of Walter le Blund, jurors, say that Henry is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 20s. 5d., for which Walter de Rupe, clerk, will answer, by pledge of John son of William le Lange and Nicholas le Taverner. He has no free land.

Limerick William Savage, charged that he, together with Nicholas son of John de Burgo, Geoffrey son of John de Burgo and David de Burgo, brother of Geoffrey said robbery and was in the company of the said Nicholas, Geoffrey and David <sup>(1)</sup>.

Limerick William Savage, charged that he, together with Nicholas son of John de Burgo and David de Burgo, robbed Walter Syward at Sywardesrath in the suburbs of Limerick of horses, cows and other goods to the value of 100s., comes and defends, etc. Richard Cokay, Geoffrey de Angl . . . ., Alexander Baret, Richard Kenefeg, John Laghren, Maurice le Glover, Robert Reynbald, Henry Troye, John son of Walter le White, John son of Walter, Nicholas de Wygemor and Thomas de Seint Cler, jurors, say that William was not with the said malefactors in the said robbery, and that he was the cook of the said John son of John de Burgo <sup>(2)</sup>, and that he often killed and skinned cows which the said malefactors stole from faithful men of the country, and prepared food therefrom and ate thereof with the others, knowing well of the said robbery. Afterwards of grace, at the instance of master Richard de Burgo, suit of the peace is pardoned to William Savage, so that he stand, etc.

Limerick John Olewyrthe, charged with the death of Maurice de Chilleburn, comes and defends, etc. Walter Rathgoul, Thomas Kyng, Stephen . . . ., David Don Myneter, Laurence Juvenis, Walter son of Robert, Peter Motyng, Peter Myneter, Thomas son of Richard, Hugh de Rode, Henry Fouk and Roger Fouk, jurors, say that John Olewyrthe is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Limerick Robert Odonok, charged that he received Robert Brittagh Russel, Thomas Russel, . . . ., son of Henry, son of Reymund Russel, Henry Sharugh Russel and Conewhor MacPadyn G . . . . who are common robbers, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of Nicholas Ocoghlan, so that he stand, etc.

Limerick Philip Oskevyn, Thomas Odonedy and Thomas Roth, charged with receiving the said Robert Brittagh . . . . are admitted to make fine . . . . and for having again his chattels.

(1) Marginal note, "Entry struck out."

(2) Marginal note, "Not previously mentioned."



m. 27d.

1311

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Robert son of John de Burgo, charged that he, together with other malefactors, robbed the fishermen of Theobald Troye of salmon to the value of 5s., and that he waylaid the said fishermen and beat them and prevented them from carrying their salmon to Limerick for sale, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., as well for the said charges as for having again his chattels, by 20s., by pledge of Richard de Clare, so that he stand to right, etc. Robert Rembaud, coroner of the city of Limerick, is told to deliver to Robert son of John de Burgo his chattels which on that account he took into the King's hand, if they are in the King's hand on that account and no other.

Limerick

John Olompny, charged that he stole from Mabilla Brun four cows worth two marks, and from William Ketyng a cow worth half a mark, comes and defends, etc. John de Rupe, Geoffrey le Keu, William Wyncestre, William Wodeford, Geoffrey Grannagh, Gerald Dullard, Reymund le Keu, Henry son of Adam, Walter son of Adam, Nicholas le Waleys, Mathew son of Roger and John de Rupe de Fedemere, jurors, say that John is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Limerick

Richard Carragh, charged that he waylaid Thomas son of Geoffrey and Peter le White on the highway near Kilmehallok and robbed them of two afers worth 20s. and two loads of fish worth a mark and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Richard de Sumervil, John Hush, James Bluet, Adam son of Geoffrey, Peter Pickard, Roger de Leye, John Maynard, John Kyft, Nicholas son of Philip, Nicholas Baiard, John le Lung and William Edmund, jurors, say that Richard is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Limerick

Nicholas de Capella and Thomas son of Robert de Capella charged that they, together with other malefactors, burglariously entered the house of Robert Woodward and robbed Robert of bread, beer, flesh and other food to the value of 2s., and that they robbed John Alcoc of 15d. of silver, and that they commonly take food and drink from the men of the country against their will in the aforesaid manner, come and of grace, at the instance of John son of Thomas, are admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Henry son of Henry de Capella, Henry de Capella of Ardraghyn, and Henry son of Robert de Capella, so that they stand to right, etc. The said pledges mainprised that Nicholas and Thomas will always for the future bear themselves well and faithfully towards the King's peace, and if not they will restore the bodies of Nicholas and Thomas to the King's prison for judgment or utterly blind them. And it is granted by the Justiciar here that it be at the election of the said mainpernors either to blind Nicholas and Thomas after a renewal of their misdoing or to restore their bodies to the King's prison, as aforesaid. And at the instance of John son of Thomas, whatever abjurations Nicholas and Thomas made are remitted to them, so that they stand, etc.

Limerick

Osbert Brun, charged with the death of Nicholas Stokes, at the instance of William de Cauntetoun, knight, and Geoffrey Brun, is admitted to make fine, etc., by 100s., by pledge of Geoffrey Brun, Lodewich Mape, Philip Brun, David le White, Nicholas de Kyltevenan and William le

Waterford

1311 Grey, whereof he will pay two and a half marks yearly, by pledge of the said Geoffrey and the others. Therefore the Chancellor is directed to have letters patent of the King made for Osbert concerning the said pardon in customary form. Afterwards at the instance of George de Rupe, a landholder and tenant of Osbert, they are granted to him by the Justiciar by the said fine.

m. 31

1 July PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT KILKENNY BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY AFTER SS. PETER AND PAUL, a. r. 4.

Dublin Cross Thomas le Raggede, charged with receiving Donewyth McTani, a common robber, in the liberty of Kilkenny, and that he receives John le Serjaunt, called the cook, who stole from John Belcok a pig in the said liberty, and that he waylaid John Payn on the highway near Coulfobyl and forcibly took from him two horses worth one mark and brought them to the cross at Tascholyn, and that he robbed the wife of Thomas son of Robert in the said county of three pennyworth of butter and beat her so that an infant in her womb died, comes and defends, etc.

John Wyat, John son of Simon of Rathcas, William Longe of Kylfane, Henry Huly, William son of Ralph, William le Norreys, John son of William of Taschoythyn, Robert le Lung, Walter son of Hugh, Gilbert le Parmeter, Ralph son of Jordan and William le Claver, jurors, say that as to the receiving he is not guilty. Therefore he is quit thereof. And as to the horses, they say that Thomas met John Payn on the highway near Coulfobyl with the said horses laden from the wood of his lord, the bishop of Ossory, and took them with two loads of wood and brought them out of the country to the Logh, a manor of the bishop in the cross, and impounded them, whereby one of the horses immediately died; and as to the butter, they say that the seneschal of the hospice of the bishop directed Thomas, his servant, to take the butter for his lord's dinner, and Thomas met the woman with three pennyworth of butter, threw her a penny and took the butter from her. Therefore let him be committed to gaol. Asked if he beat the woman, they say no. Afterwards Thomas made fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of John Belecoks, Roger le Archer, Henry le Whyte and Thomas son of Robert.

Dublin Nicholas son of Griffin Ledyr, charged that he broke the gate of the castle of Doundrownyn and carried away thence wool, stuff, cheeses and butter, to the value of 20s., and that he is a common robber, came and refused the common law; therefore to the diet. The constable of the castle of Kilkenny is directed to guard Nicholas in a fitting manner. Afterwards Nicholas is admitted to make fine, etc., by 4s. William de S. Leodegar', seneschal etc., will answer for the pledges.

Dublin Kilkenny Wasmayr Okenwan, charged that he received Nicholas son of Griffin Ledyr, a common robber, and that he had art and part in his robberies, comes and defends, etc. Richard Aylward, Philip son of Milo, Gilbert son of Andrew, David Greg, Walter Cadigan, John Maddok, Henry Cadican, Peter de Rupe, John Daniel, Richard de S. Albino, John Sampson, Robert le Lung, and William Claviger, jurors, say that Nicholas, together with other malefactors, was wont to come to the house of Wasmayr with his booty, against the will of Wasmayr, and the said malefactors forcibly and against Wasmayr's will slept in his house with his wife and daughter, and that he durst not raise the hue and cry for fear of death. Therefore of grace his trespasses are pardoned to him.

Florence, wife of William le Devenys, sues David Ogorman for the murder of William her husband. David comes and says he is not guilty, and puts himself on the country. David Greg, Walter Cadigan, Philip son of Milo, Henry Cadigan, Richard Aylward, Gilbert son of Andrew, Griffin son of Matthew, Reymund son of Alan, Henry le clerk, John Sampson, Thomas Meth and John son of Simon son of Ralph, jurors, say that David is guilty. Hanged. Chattels, none.

1311  
Dublin  
Kilkenny

Henry son of Richard Logh, charged that he robbed Thomas Eylward at Kylblethyn in the said county of a horse worth half a mark and brought it to the Logh in the cross (and one Thomas le Lang says for the King and for himself that Henry stole from him 55 sheep) comes and defends and puts himself on the country. And afterwards Henry is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Henry Mathew senior, Thomas son of William Mathew, Thomas son of Henry Mathew, William Perys, Henry Mathew junior and John Mathew. And the same are pledges of Henry son of Richard that he will for the future bear himself well to the peace, or that they will restore his body to await justice, after he has sinned against the peace.

Dublin  
Kilkenny

m. 31d.

No entries.

m. 29

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER SS. PETER AND PAUL, a. r. 4.

5 July

Thomas Tyrel, charged that he was at the staying of John de Bonevill, King's seneschal of the liberties of Kildare and Carlow, and that he slew Robert le Someter, a King's serjeant, and that he is a common robber, as well in Co. Dublin as in Co. Kildare, so that no one dared to come to the parts of Dublin to bring victuals there, being asked how he would acquit himself, comes and says he is a clerk and that he neither ought nor can answer therefor here without his ordinaries. And because he is not found in clerical garb he is told to answer further if he will, but he refused to answer anything therefor. And because he refused the common law, therefore to the diet. And the constable of the castle of Dublin is directed to guard him in fitting manner under pain, etc.

Kildare

(Two entries struck out and subsequently re-entered).

At the instance of Edmund le Botiller and Richard of Exeter, John de Repenty is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies up to 22 Aug. in the fifth year of the now King, except murder of an Englishman, so that he stand to right, etc., by 10 marks, by pledge of the said Richard. Five marks of the said fine ought<sup>(1)</sup> to be put in the estreats because they are assigned by the Justiciar here and the King's son to the said Richard for his expenses at the time when he went to the earl of Ulster to heal the dissensions between the earl and his accomplices and Richard de Clare and those of his confederation. Richard mainprised that John would bear himself well and faithfully for the future, etc., or that he would restore him for judgment at the King's pleasure.

Louth

Laurence Manfras, charged that he by night burglariously entered the hen-house of Andrew Tyrel and stole therefrom six capons and hens worth 12d., comes and says he is not guilty and puts himself on the country. Nicholas Tynbygh, Adam Faber de Kylleth, Thomas le Porter,

Dublin

(1) "Not" omitted?

1311 Richard de Mora, Osbert Midewynter, Stephen Knok, Philip Renevill, Henry Hamelyn, Richard son of Simon, Philip le Someter, David le Carpenter and Roger de S. Laurence, jurors, say that Laurence was sitting in Andrew's house, and drank there with his following, and when he had drunk his fill he went on his way, and when he left Andrew's house he found one capon and one hen sitting on a tree in Andrew's garden and took them. Asked if he is suspected of any other misdeed, they say no. And because he stayed a long time in prison in the castle of Dublin, therefore those trespasses are pardoned to him, and for the present he is quit thereof.

Kildare Richard de Mora, chaplain, charged that he was in the company of Thomas Tyrel, felon, and that he robbed a man in the market place of Maynogh of a horse worth half a mark, and that he had art and part in Thomas' robberies, that he burglariously entered the church of Aghderg, broke a chest there of Robert Fedan and carried away goods and chattels of Robert to the value of 5 marks, that he came to the house of Jordan le Mouner and burned it, that he stole from Hugh de la Felde two oxen worth half a mark each, and that he burglariously entered the church of Rathl . . . , broke a chest there and carried away from it 5s., comes and defends, etc. Thereupon comes John . . . of the archbishop and claims him as a clerk, although, etc. Reginald Berneval, Reginald de la Felde, William de Penkystoun, Roger de la Hyde, Thomas de Kent, Thomas le Blound de Ardras, Ralph Brun, Roger Canterel, Stephen Chilton, Geoffrey Loterel, Warin Owayn, John le Lung, serjeant, Walter Fox, John Lyn, John de Hereford, William Fynglas and H . . . , jurors, say that as regards the horse he is not guilty, and he had not art and part in the robberies of Thomas; as to this therefore let him be quit. But they say that of the other robberies made from the church, of the goods . . . , of the oxen of Hugh de la Felde, of the burning of the house of Jordan . . . . Therefore let him be delivered to the constable of the castle of Dublin to be kept in fitting manner. Afterwards because he put himself freely to the question, it is granted of grace that he be delivered as convicted to the attorney of the archbishop assigned to make claim in this event, etc., by 40s., which he gives to the King by pledge of Hugh de . . . , who ought to pay . . . .

Dublin John Dorhunt, charged that he feloniously slew Alice, wife of Sweyn . . . . and . . . . Geoffrey, charged with aiding John in slaying the said Alice, come and defend, etc. Jordan le Reve, Richard son of Roger of Stradbaly, William Uryel, Thur . . . . Warde, Henry de Esthaur, John le Waleys, Roger Fybleye, Robert de . . . ., Thomas Peeter, Richard Perot, Nicholas de Tynghy, Adam Fattyng, Roger Ode . . . ., Tancard . . . . and Reginald Festyng say that John and Johanna are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Asked if they withdrew . . . .

Ry . . . . ap . . . . charged that he is a common robber . . . .

m. 29d.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE  
JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin John Gille, elsewhere convicted in the eyre before Walter de Cusak and his fellow Justices Itinerant in the said county of the death of Richard Wyan, hanged before the Justiciar here for the said death, and the said Justices present here record the same of him. Chattels, none.

Kildare Adam Squyer, charged with the death of Nicholas de Penbrigge, and

that he was in the following of Thomas Tyrel, a convicted felon, and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Thomas de Kent, Adam Broun, Stephen Chilton, David son of Richard de Rupe, Henry Alewyn, William Landaff, Richard Bernard, Roger Canterel, John Leysshagh, Danyel Doucro, Hugh de Boys and Philip de Boys, jurors, say that Adam is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

1311

William le Rede, Gilbert de Whithavene, Robert Godard of Sandewiz, Richard son of Robert Faber of Lyverpol, Roger Getegod and Alexander Botsweyn, charged that they were freely with Thomas le White, mariner, who feloniously slew Robert Thurstayn, giving him forcible assistance in the slaying, and so caused the death of the said Robert, come and defend, etc. Andrew de Asshebourne, Walter Keppok, Robert le Woder, John le Mareschal, Richard de Eytoun, William le Graunt, Robert Joye, William Fynsur, John de Capeles, Hugh de Carletoun, John Baret, Geoffrey de Tauntoun and John Bouet, jurors, say that William le Rede is not guilty, but that Gilbert, Robert Godard, Richard, Roger and Alexander are guilty. Therefore William is quit. Let Gilbert and the others be hanged. Chattels, none; they have no free land. Afterwards Robert Godard and Richard were taken down as dead from the gallows, and when carried in a cart to Kilmeynan to be buried were found to be alive and took refuge in a church there, and at the instance of John de Ergadia, who asserts that they had set out with him to pay homage to the King in Scotland, and testifies that they are valiant and good strong mariners, suit of the peace is pardoned to them.

Dubhn

Adam Longus, clerk, Omughut, Walter le Irreys, John the clerk, Luke son of Richard and Thomas de Rupe, taken in flight in the cross of the archbishopric of Dublin in the tenement of Clondolkan, demanded at the prosecution of David le Blound of Maynan, Johanna Daniel, William Grene, Richard Daniel, John McCassy, David Smyth, Agnes wife of Roger the Carpenter, Basilia Bole, Margery Pak, Alice, daughter of the chaplain, and other tenants of the King, of Maynan, that they stole from the said David and the other tenants of the King there fifty as well bulls as cows and brought them to Tassagard.

Kildare

They come and Adam says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here, and thereupon comes John de Cantuar., attorney of the custos of the spiritualities of the archbishopric of Dublin, the see being vacant, and claimed Adam as a clerk and a member of the church. Process to inquire of the truth is taken by Thomas de Kent, Ralph Broun of Ardras, Stephen Chilton, Henry Alwyn, William Landaf, Richard Bernard, Richard son of Thomas, Thomas le White, Richard Broun, Henry Bonde, Richard Beg and Henry son of Richard.

Walter, John, Luke and Thomas come and defend, etc. Thomas de Kent and the other jurors say that Walter, John and Thomas are not guilty, and that Adam and Luke are guilty. Therefore let them be re-committed to jail to await judgment. Chattels of Luke, none. Walter, John and Thomas are quit.

Afterwards, at the instance of George de Rupe and for the good service which George and Adam have often done and will yet do to the King, Adam is admitted to make fine, etc., by ten marks, by pledge of the said George and of Patrick de Rupe. George and Patrick mainprised that Adam would for the future bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace, doing no ill to the said tenants of the King for their prosecution against him, and that they would restore his body to the King's

1311 prison of the castle of Dublin under penalty of 40 marks within the quinzaine of Michaelmas next, so that inquiry may be made into his conduct in the meantime if necessity arise, at the will of the Justiciar.

George de Rupe acknowledges that he will satisfy the tenants of the King of Maynan for the said Adam by 40s. for five cows, 20s. for four steers, and 12s. for four heifers, at the feast of S. Margaret the Virgin next ensuing, and if he shall not do so he grants that the sheriff may levy the said money from his goods and chattels.

John Rus, charged that he slew John Rus, his own son, by throwing him to the ground by way of punishment, at the instance of Richard of Exeter is admitted to make fine, etc., and for having again his chattels, by ten marks which he will pay within three years in the terms of Michaelmas and Easter, by equal portions, by pledge of John le Neyr de . . . ., William Kylton, William Hamond of Loxeuedy, Richard Trenor, Walter son of Nicholas and Walter Kylton, by presentation of Richard Dardyz. Therefore the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent to be made for John Rus for his pardon, and also a close writ of the crown for having again his chattels in due form. Afterwards the sheriff is arraigned of the said fine, and the said pledges are quit. And the sheriff is burdened therewith as appears in the pleas of complaints of Michaelmas term, on Monday next before SS. Simon and Jude in the 5th year at Dublin.

At the instance of master John de Cantok and for the good service which Stephen Boyagh did and will yet do to the King, suit of the peace is pardoned to Stephen for robbery of six oxen and three cows of the abbot of the house of S. Mary's near . . . . taken at Gillecragh and driven away against the peace, as alleged, so that he stand to right, etc. Therefore the Chancellor is directed to cause letters patent to be made for the pardon . . . . said Stephen will satisfy the abbot of his said . . . . of licence.

m. 30

5 July PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER SS. PETER AND PAUL, a. r. 4.

Dublin Adam de Ulton., charged that he stole a counterpane worth 4s. from Robert de Geytone, comes and defends, etc. Peter de Coullok, William de Uriel, William de Nalle, Adam le Fevere, Stephen Cnok, Walter Brekedent, Nicholas Tynbegh, Thomas le Porter, Nicholas de Herdewyk, John Neuman of Coullok, Walter Barry, Ralph Broun and Richard le Holder, jurors, say that Adam de Ulton. is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Dublin Johanna de Angl., charged that she broke a coffer of Laurence, chaplain of the church of S. Audoen, Dublin, and stole therefrom a golden buckle<sup>(1)</sup> worth half a mark, a cup of maser<sup>(2)</sup> with silver base worth 10s., and 19s. 8d. of silver belonging to Laurence himself, comes and defends, etc. John de Castroknoc, Henry Faucun, Adam Lytelharm, Adam de Wygemore, Robert Wynter, John Drake, Andrew de Assheburn, Walter Keppok, Robert le Woder, John de Mattesal, Richard de Geytoun and William le Graunt, jurors, say that Johanna is not guilty. Therefore she is quit.

Dublin  
Kilkenny

Walter Been, charged that he burnt a house of the abbot of Geripont at the new town of Geripont, comes and defends, etc. Richard son of

<sup>(1)</sup> " Brooch " written above.

<sup>(2)</sup> Thus.

John, Richard Devenys, Nicholas Godwyn, Richard son of John Clerk, John son of . . . , John Bowey, William Webbe, Roger Mouner, Roger Hethene, Walter Godefray, John Hykeday and John Michael, jurors, say that Walter is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, six sheep worth 6s., for which John the clerk of Cnoktofre will answer, one faling worth 5s., for which Thomas Box will answer, one over-garment worth 12d., for which the said Thomas will answer, two crannoeks and a half and two bushels worth 5s., for which Richard de Valle will answer. He has no free land. 1311

At the instance of Edmund le Botiller suit of the peace is pardoned to Richard le Blund of Arclo, Elias de Assheborne, Thomas le Joevene, Maurice Ponteyns, John Cristofre, John le Blund of Arclo, John Bole, Michael Scot, Geoffrey de Lekno, and Isolde Leon, for the castration of Ralph Petybon, whereof they are charged, as alleged, so that they stand to right, etc. Therefore the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent of the King to be made for the said Richard, Elias and the others for the said pardon in the usual form. Dublin

m. 30d.

No entries.

m. 32

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER MICHAELMAS, a. r. 5. 4 Oct.

Philip le Clerk, charged that by night he lay hid in the church of the Holy Trinity, Dublin, and broke a trunk there in which money arising from oblations of divers people for the aid of the Holy Land were deposited, and thereout took secretly a great part of the money, and also that he broke a coffer of John de Exon. in the same church and took therefrom a book and other goods to the value of 10s., and also that he took from the image of S. Katherine a robe, comes and says he is a clerk, that he neither can nor ought to answer, yet comes freely and answers further that he is not guilty and puts himself on the country. And Robert de Haukesdene, Richard de Rallesham and Hugh the clerk, taken on suspicion of breaking the trunk and of having art and part in the said theft, come and defend, etc. And brother William de Clifford, canon of the said church, charged that he maintained Philip in the church against the wish of the prior and convent, and that it was by his abetting and advice that Philip broke the trunk and took the money, and that brother William had art and part in the theft, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer. Thereupon John, rural dean of Dublin, attorney of the vicar general of the archbishop of Dublin, assigned to demand clerks at the pleas of the crown by letters patent of the said vicar, and demanded him to be delivered to him as a clerk and member of the church. And that it may be known why he should be delivered, enquiry is made of the truth of the premises. Dublin

William Scherman, Adam Scherman, John Athelan, Roger Bakun, John le Taverner, John le Cutiller, Oliver le Taillour, Robert le Barbour, John Scherman, William Neumasti . . . , Henry Aurisal, David Bokeler, John the clerk, John de Bristoll, John the cook, John the baker, William de Bristoll, John Sparkes, Robert Wytheside, William de Cartemel, William Smythe and William Helyhere, jurors, say that Robert, Richard and Hugh are not guilty; therefore they are quit; and that Philip and brother William are guilty; let them be re-committed

1311 to the King's prison in the castle of Dublin to be kept as convicted to await judgment. Know that Philip and brother William are not delivered to the attorney because they are convicted of sacrilege.

Limerick

For the good service which Henry son of Robert son of Pagan, and Pagan son of Robert son of Pagan, charged with the death of Martin Crane, did for the King in the company of the Justiciar in fighting the Irishmen of the Obyrns in the parts of Wykynglo, suit of the peace is pardoned to them for the said death, so that they stand to right, etc., by £10, whereof they will pay 50s. at Easter next, 50s. at Michaelmas, 50s. at Easter following and 50s. at the succeeding Michaelmas, by pledge of Gerald Payn, Gerald son of Henry, Adam Grene, Philip Gos, Simon Martyn, Milo Kyft, Adam Martel, John Gos, Philip Grene and John Grene. Know that the goods and chattels of Henry and Pagan, which on the occasion of the said death were taken into the King's hand, are granted to them by the Justiciar for the said £10.

Dublin

John Kellagh, taken in the act and demanded at the prosecution of Hugh Laghles de Sauntref and Isabella de Sauntref for that he is a common robber, and that he burglariously entered the house of the said Hugh and therefrom secretly took clothing of Hugh and Isabella to the value of 2s., comes and defends, etc. Thomas Dardiz, Stephen Cnok, Thomas de Mauchetoun, John le Rede de Glasneyvyn, John Cnok, John le Whyte de Thurgotestoun, Thomas Paumer, Thomas son of Peter, Rys de Estham, Alan de Glassalagh, Roger de Mora, and Philip the carpenter of Glassalagh, jurors, say that John is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. He has no free land, no chattels.

Dublin city

Richard del Shoppe, charged with the death of Adam de Cokerford, comes and defends, etc. William Funsur, Geoffrey the cook, William Taillur, Robert Corviser, Robert de Ioghel, John Russell the cook, Henry Hopere, Alexander Hopere, John Thursteyn, John de Leycestre, John de Northfolk and William Scherman, jurors, say that Adam with a big stick which sailors call a spek wished to kill the said Richard; (Richard) observing this fled from him to the mast of the ship, wishing to escape into the sea lest Adam should strike him. Adam, being greatly moved with wrath against Richard, followed him and would have slain Richard with the stick but Richard, seeing that he could not escape from being slain by Adam, struck him with his cutlass in the breast so that he died. Asked if Richard could otherwise have escaped death, they say no. Therefore let Richard be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Chattels of Richard; 10s. and a fifth part of a ship which is valued at 10s., wherefor the mayor of Dublin, to wit, Richard Laghles, and William Seriaunt, bailiff of the city, will answer. Afterwards of grace suit of the peace is pardoned to Richard for the said death, so that he stand, etc. And it is granted to Richard that he have again his chattels to answer to the King of their true value, by pledge of Geoffrey de Mortoun. And the mayor and bailiffs are commanded to deliver them to him in the said form. Afterwards 10s. of the said chattels are pardoned to Richard by the Justiciar. Therefore let only 10s. be placed in the estreats.

m. 32d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN  
BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, DAY AND YEAR AS BEFORE.

Dublin

Richard Knylle, charged with receiving Philip Knylle, for which receiving Richard put himself in the church of S. Mary de Dam in Dublin for fear of prison, comes and is of grace admitted to make fine etc., by half a mark, by pledge of Godfrey son of Roger.



Cecilia daughter of Thomas Rauf, charged with the death of Matilda, daughter of Thomas Mebrey, whom she feloniously scalded and thus slew, as alleged, is of grace admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Stephen Miagh, Laurence Curragh, Stephen Bernard and Richard Elyot, so that she stand, etc.

1311  
Kildare

m. 33

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY BEFORE SS. SIMON AND JUDE, a. r. 5.

25 Oct.

Twelve jurors present that when at Michaelmas last a quarrel arose at night between Robert Pellipar of the one part and Reginald Sewell and Gilbert Caisse at the door of the said Robert at Drogheda on the side of Louth, at length Robert drew a long cutlass and struck Reginald by the middle of the left arm, and he had it in his body in the middle of his breast, and so half dead he returned to the house of Martin Sewell, and immediately it was announced to Luke Sewell, brother of Reginald, as he lay in bed that Reginald was wounded to death, he arose, armed himself, and, taking with him John Omoleody and Gilbert Caisse, came to the house of Geoffrey Pellipar on the side of Meath, knocked on the door of the house and threatened those who were inside until Geoffrey's wife opened it; and at their entry they struck the woman, and, entering the garden, saw Robert crossing the *fovea* of the garden. Luke struck him with an axe on the head so that he fell to the ground, and immediately after Gilbert Caisse struck Robert with a lance in the left side, and so they slew Robert. The jurors testify that they are of ill fame and are fleeing attachment. Let them be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels of Reginald and of John Omoleody, none. Chattels of Gilbert, one overcoat worth 12d., three pigs worth 3s. 8d., wherefor Peter le Feure and Richard Magnel will answer, by presentation of Roger de Oxon', coroner, etc.

Meath

David Lovel, William Sollers, and John de Tasagard, charged that they, together with the said Luke and the others, forcibly assisted in the slaying of Robert, come and defend, etc. Rys de Stokes, Thomas de Ulvestoun, John de la Ryvere, John de Somersete, Roger Gaffeney, John de Clyfford, Alexander de Repenty, Roger son of William, John Dardicz, William Hamely, William de Lacy, John de la Montaigne, Gilbert Beg, Richard Cotyn, Adam son of Walter, William Curteys, John Whiterel, John le Comber, Nicholas Owre and Richard le Corvyser, jurors, say that David, William and John are not guilty. Therefore they are quit.

John le Blund, coroner of the King at Drogheda on the side of Louth, and Robert le Vilur, charged that when Luke Sewell and others of his following, after slaying Robert Pellipar, as is above set out, came to the house of Martin Sewell where Reginald Sewell lay wounded, and in the presence of John le Blund and Robert in the said house openly said in the hearing of John and Robert that he, Luke, had slain Robert Pellipar, John and Robert afterwards freely permitted the felons to depart without taking them or raising the hue and cry over them, whereby the felons retired without being brought to trial, come and say they are not guilty and put themselves on the country. John de Clifford, Alexander de Repenty, John de la Ryver', John de Somersete, Roger Gaffeney, Rys de Stokes, Thomas de Ulvestoun, Roger son of William, John Dardiz, William Hamelyn, William Lacy, John Montaigne, Gilbert Beg, Richard Cotyn, John Palmer and John Whiterel, jurors, say that John and

Louth

1311 Robert arc guilty. Therefore let them be committed to gaol to await judgment, and let them be removed from the said office. Afterwards the said trespass is pardoned to John by a fine of 40s., which he will pay half at Easter and half at Michaelmas. The mayor and bailiffs of Drogheda will answer for the pledges.

Meath William son of Walter the weaver of Rethyre, charged that he is a common robber and that he stole from Alice de la More two sheep worth 2s., and from Roger Parche one sheep worth 12d., comes and defends, etc. Richard Bonde, Thomas de Monte, Ralph Beg, Michael de Eton', Thomas Bonde, William Hamelyn, Rys de Stokes, Jordan Telyng, John de la Ryvere, Richard de Stantoun, John Dardiz and Geoffrey Telyng, jurors, say that William is not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

Meath Henry son of Otuel de Cruys, Nicholas Drake, John Drake, Richard son of John de Cruys, Laurence le Blound, John son of Elias, Roger Clane and William de Cruys, charged that they, together with other malefactors, came to the lands of Richard son of Maurice de Crues at Heremanestoun and Rathlaghan and also to the King's lands of Inskan with caparisoned horses and standards displayed, and robbed the King's lands of Inskan of fifty-four cows and that they robbed . . . . of Richard son of Maurice de Crues of Heremanestoun and Rathloghan of . . . . cows with which boars, pigs and divers other goods to the value of . . . . they converted to their own use against the peace, come, and the said . . . . de Crues, Richard son of John de Crues and William de Cruys say that . . . . Lacy and Richard de Lacy, knights, asked Henry son of Otuel . . . . to come with them to fight . . . . Inskan notorious felons of the King . . . . went with the said Walter de . . . . coming there they found the afers of the said Irish felons . . . . de Crues and the King's lands in the custody of a serf . . . . retook the afers of the said serf from the said . . . .<sup>(1)</sup>

m. 33d.

. . . . de Verdoun a sub-servant of William le . . . . Robert Karragh who is a public robber . . . . of Dundalk and delivered him to the provosts and bailiffs . . . . for the manors . . . .

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Meath Ralph Quytel, charged that he, together with other malefactors, feloniously slew the great chaplain<sup>(2)</sup> and that he stole in the suburbs of Drogheda on the side of Meath three afers, and that he is wont to perpetrate such thefts by night in the said county, comes and defends, etc. William Derwery, John Maystir, Roger Martyn, John Cotys, Hugh Dyvelyn, Walter Neynan, John Milhismonythe, Walter son of Mathew, Thomas Harryn, John Hosberne, Nicholas Rynger and Robert del Eyntz, jurors, say that Ralph is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 5s., wherefor Henry son of William de Cruys, sheriff, will answer. Ralph Waryng, a juror summoned, comes not. Therefore in mercy.

<sup>(3)</sup> Tankard Ad. le Cornwaleys and Stephen Bray, jurors summoned came not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards the said Henry son of Otuel de Crues and all the others above charged give to the King 20s. fine to be by mainprise to him at the octave of S. Martin next, by pledge of

<sup>(1)</sup> Appears to be continued on m. 33d.

<sup>(2)</sup> Thus.

<sup>(3)</sup> Appears to be a continuation of last item on m. 33.

Stephen de Exon. and Richard Protfot. Stephen de Exon., William Kokeley, Hugh Telyng, John Broun, Reymund Breksys, Thomas le Flemeng, Henry Portesceu and Philip Drake are mainpernors of Henry and the others to have their bodies, etc., at the said term whenever, etc., to stand to right each for his several crimes, body for body, on which day at Dublin come Henry and the others and of grace are dismissed by mainprise to the octave of the Purification at Drogheda. The said Thomas Flemyng, David Gowelok, Maurice le Reve, Thomas de Eldoun, Luke de Belynges and John de Kylleogh are mainpernors of Henry and the others to have their bodies at the said term.

m. 34

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY BEFORE SS. SIMON AND JUDE, a. r. 5. 25 Oct.

Twelve jurors present that when Richard Gernoun, late sheriff in this county, together with others of his family, came on the Sunday before the Nativity of the B.V.M. last with hawks in a field of Athelard-estoun to take partridges and other birds, as is the manner in autumn, Walter Brisbon junior, John Brisbon and William Brisbon deliberately assaulted Richard Gernoun the sheriff and wounded him, of which wound he died on the morrow about the first hour. Thereupon Walter Brisbon and John Brisbon led William Brisbon, their brother, who was wounded in the affray, to a desert place called Senkyl and left him thus wounded because of his great weakness, and hastily went to the town of Ays and took there two horses and arms of Walter Brisbon, father of Walter, John and William, and forthwith fled. Shortly after one William Obbraydir and John Hereward of Dunbeng came to Senkyl and found there William Brisbon wounded and very weak, and led him to the chapel of Dunbeng in which he stayed all that night, the whole town of Dunbeng knowing of it. On the morrow very early in the morning one Adam Synny led the said William from the chapel to the church of the Friars Minors of Dundalk, the whole town of Dunbeng knowing of it, and William afterwards stayed for a fortnight within the cloister of the Friars Minors, the whole town of Dundalk well knowing of it, and at length for want of custody he escaped. Therefore to judgment for the escape; escape upon the town of Dundalk.

Louth

They present also that after Richard the sheriff was wounded as aforesaid, hue and cry was raised and the four nearest towns being warned thereof, to wit, Kylinkoul, the town of Athelard, the town of Walter Hamelyn and Rathsales, which towns, which could have taken the said felons if they wished, freely allowed them to go. Therefore to judgment of the said towns. Afterwards the town of Kylynkoul is admitted to make fine with the King for the said trespass for 40s., by pledge of Adam le Blount, John le Clerk, Gyllebride, Henry Kolbard, John le Lange, John Boyrbaly, Iweyn Boyrbaly, and the town of Athelard for £20, whereof it will pay £10 yearly, one moiety at Easter and the other at Michaelmas, by pledge of William Athelard, Henry Athelard, Walter Martyn, John Slane, Walter Slane, Robert le Lang, Robert Pywler, Philip Pedris, Philip Calstyn, Henry Herebard, Henry Fagan, John Godred, junior, William le Blount, Geoffrey Leynagh, and Nicholas Athelard, and the town of Walter Hamelyn for £8, whereof it will pay £4 yearly, one moiety at Easter and the other at Michaelmas, by pledge of Walter Brisbon, William son of Henry, David <sup>(1)</sup> Broun, Peter Cargan, Henry

(1) "Adam?" written above.

1311 Laurence junior, Richard Laurence, Stephen Callan, William Coltoun, John Tyrel, Stephen Brisbon, Adam Brisbon, Richard Broun, John le Blount, Geoffrey Laurence and Mathew Kyttilbern, and the town of Rathsales for 8 marks, whereof it will pay 4 marks yearly, one moiety at Easter and the other at Michaelmas, by pledge of John Faleys junior, John Faleys senior, and Roger Andreu, and the town of Dunbeng by £20, whereof it will pay 100s. yearly, one moiety at Michaelmas and the other at Easter, by pledge of David Fot and John Ays'. And because the jurors testify that Walter Brisebon junior, John Brisebon and William Brisebon retired and are of ill fame, therefore let them be put in exigent and outlawed.

m. 34d.

25 Nov. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY AFTER S. CLEMENT THE POPE, a. r. 5 <sup>(1)</sup>

Kildare John Huberd and John Stabler Bastard, charged that they are common robbers of cows, afers and sheep, and that John Huberd stole from the men of Clonconry fourteen cows worth half a mark each, twelve afers worth six shillings each, and a hundred and forty sheep worth 8d. each, and that they have art and part with the Oconethours, notorious felons, in arson and other crimes which the same felons commit, come and defend, etc. Richard Russel, Nicholas Burgeys, Maurice son of Reginald, Adam Puddyng, Roger Thoner, Nicholas Forestier, Thomas Revagh, Philip Bretnagh, Richard Cromhale, Henry Revagh, Michael de Freyne and Henry Howell, jurors, say that John Huberd and John Stabler Bastard are guilty of the charges and that they are common robbers. Therefore let them be hanged. Chattels of John le Stabler Bastard, two cows worth one mark and twenty-four sheep worth 12s., wherefor David le Masyner, sheriff, will answer.

Kildare Robert Parens, vicar of Kylladouan, charged that he robbed three afers worth 20s., of John Possewyk, comes and defends, etc., which remains to be taken for want of jurors. Geoffrey le Blake, William Burleye, Robert Hanley, Robert Traharn, Philip Rouk, Richard Martin, John Griffyn, Geoffrey Rouk, John Melagh, John Colleman of Dungowyl and Edward son of Walter, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. The sheriff is directed to have their bodies before, etc., on Tue. after S. Lucy at Tresteldermod, and so many and such to form the said jury, so that the jury then remain not to be taken, and let Robert meanwhile be re-committed to gaol. Afterwards on that day at Tresteldermod comes the said Robert, and the jury remains to be taken for want of jurors. Geoffrey le Blake, William Burleye, Robert Hanley, Robert Traharn, William Rathymegan, Philip Raunk, John Gryffyn, Nicholas Bykertoun, Geoffrey Rouk, John Mellagh, John Colleman de Dongouel, Andrew Burley, Roger Chepman and Edward son of Walter, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Kildare For the good service which Geoffrey son of Robert did to the King in fighting the Irish of the mountains of Leinster, felons, in the following of the Justiciar here, and will hereafter do, Geoffrey of grace is admitted to make fine etc., for all felonies and trespasses to the 26 Nov. in this year, by 100s., by pledge of Hugh Clement and Nicholas Foul until he find other pledges, so that he stand to right, etc.

m. 35

25 Nov. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. MARTIN, a. r. 5.

Louth Gilbert Cayss', charged with the death of Robert le Parmenter in the

(1) Date doubtful.

town of Drogheda on the side of Uriel, elsewhere, to wit, on the octave of S. Martin last, came before the Justiciar here and said that he was not guilty, and thereupon came a certain . . . , widow of Robert, who sues as well for the King as for herself against Gilbert for the death of her husband, and said that elsewhere for the said felony he abjured the King's land out of the church of S. Mary de Urso on the side of Uriel, wherefore Gilbert ought not to be admitted to prove by the country that he is not guilty of the death, as he in the said church acknowledged that he had committed the said felony, for which before the King's coroner of the said town on the side of Uriel he made the abjuration, and sought judgment to be done on him for life and limb. And the Justiciar, wishing to proceed more advisedly in the matter, directed the mayor, bailiffs and keepers of the pleas of the crown of Drogheda on the side of Uriel that they should certify the Justiciar of the manner and cause of the abjuration distinctly and openly under the common seal of the town at this day, and they now return a schedule sewn to the writ under the said common seal, in these words:—

Transcript of the process before John le Blount and Roger de Oxon', coroners of Drogheda on the side of Uriel, of the manner and cause of the abjuration of the King's land which Gilbert Cayss' made before them.

On Sunday after Michaelmas, a.r.5, Gilbert Cayss', who kept in the church of S. Mary de Urso in Drogheda on the side of Uriel for the death of Robert le Parmenter, abjured the King's land in Ireland because he forcibly aided in the doing of the said felony. And there is given to him the port of Drogheda at the Crok. Therefore let Gilbert be hanged. Chattels, 4s. 8d., for which Peter le Feure and Richard Magnel will answer. He has no free land by presentation of the said coroners. Of the chattels of Gilbert nothing here, which elsewhere in that term, to wit, on Monday before SS. Simon and Jude last before the Justiciar at Drogheda are more fully set out and are there placed in the estreats.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT TRESTELDERMOT 14 Dec.  
BEFORE THE JUSTICIAR, TUESDAY AFTER S. LUCY, YEAR AS ABOVE.

John Poukoc, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night entered the church of S. Michael de Athy by a window which he first broke, and that he broke a chest of John Pistor in the said church, and out of the chest stole 11s. of silver, that he broke a chest of Maurice Kerewyl in the same church and stole therefrom forty ells of woollen web, that he broke another chest of Roger de Dyvelyn in the same church and stole therefrom half a bacon worth 12d., and that he stole from William Grage two bushels of beans worth 12d. and one pair of trews worth 4d.; and also the said John Poukoc and Alice wife of John Heyne, charged that they, together with other malefactors, on another occasion by night broke the said church and also a chest of William le Feure therein and stole out of the chest a bacon worth 3s., a tunic worth 3s., twelve runs of thread worth 2s., two sheets worth 12d., one bushel of beans worth 6d., and 3s. 8d. of silver, and also that they stole from a chest of Peter le Parchymener, which they broke in the said church, divers goods to the value of 12s., and from the chest of Adam Brun divers goods to the value of 9s., and from a chest of Wencok divers goods to the value of 9s., and from a chest of Adam Brice divers goods to the value of 3s., which chests they found in the said church and broke; and that the said John Poukoc stole two ox hides out of the cellar of Robert Russel, and that the said Alice stole from Adam Goer five bushels of malt worth 5s., come and defend, etc. Adam Goer, Richard son of Roger, Geoffrey Martin, William the baker, Richard Scadan, Henry Og,

Kildare

1311 Thomas son of Peter, Thomas Jordan, Robert Chepman, Gilbert son of Symon, William Aleyn and Henry son of William, jurors, say that John and Alice are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are alic.

Kildarc Thomas Blakthok, charged with the death of William son of Richard de Kyldrogh, at the instance of Milo de Rocheford and for the good service which he did to the King in the following of the Justiciar in fighting the Irish of the mountains of Leinster, felons, and will do in the future, of grace is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for the said death, by 40s., by pledge of the said Milo de Rocheford, Thomas de Roch . . . . . ocheford and Godfrey son of Henry, so that he stand to right, etc . . . . said Thomas Blakthok that he would always for the future bear himself well and faithfully . . . . they would restore his body dead or alive . . . .

m. 35d.

30 Dec. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CATH. BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY AFTER CHRISTMAS, a. r. 5.

Cath. Stephen Wyswasse, charged that he, together with other malefactors, waylaid John le Carpenter and robbed him of a belt, a knife, a purse, 4s. 3d. of silver, a lance, an axe and two caps, and wounded him almost to death, and that he robbed him of two . . . . and two iron . . . ., and that he is a common robber of sheep, geese and hens, comes and defends, etc. Philip son of Hugh, David le Rede, John Offelmith, Richard Bernard, John son of Robert, Richard Rokele, William Yrys, John Godefrey, Henry Semer, John Beth de Tylagh, Richard son of Youo, Roger Seys and Stephen del Ees, jurors, say that Stephen is guilty and is a common robber. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he had no free land.

And the said jurors present that Michael Offynnan and Robert, son of William, son of Andrew Warde were with the said Stephen in doing the said robberies and retired and are of ill fame. Therefore let them be put in exigent and outlawed and their chattels confiscated for flight. Chettels of Michael Offynnan, one crannock of oats worth 3s., wherefor the sheriff, Adam Britoun, will answer, also a cow worth 5s. wherefor the said sheriff will answer. Robert has free land worth 24s. 4½d. clear yearly beyond external rent, wherefor the sheriff will answer as long as the land remains in the King's hand.

Richard Polyn, a juror, summoned comes not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards Robert of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 6 marks, by pledge of William, vicar of Rathmor, and Thomas le Holdere, so that he stand to right, etc. And the sheriff is told to . . . . himself of the said land on behalf of the King, and it is not yet seized. Afterwards it is granted that he pay of the fine 20s. at Easter next and 20s. at Michaelmas next and 40s. at the same terms of the following year.

Cath. William Occothy and Tayg Occothy, charged with the death of Geoffrey le Lange, and that they robbed him of three afers, thirteen cows and forty sheep, come and defend, etc. Eustace Unnything, Walter le Waleys, Thomas the Miller, Peter le Whyte de Rouwistoun, Richard son of Ivo, Gilbert son of Griffin, Robert de Bendevile, Robert Brenulle, Hugh le Wyte, Richard Toner and Stephen Mothyl, jurors, say that William and Tayg are guilty and are common robbers. Therefore let them be hanged. Chattels of Tayg, 13s. 8d., for which William the chaplain, vicar of Rathmor, will answer, by presentation of Adam B . . . . oun, sheriff, also 4s., for which the said sheriff will answer. Chattels of William, none; they had no free land. Walter le Waleys, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Baloch Occothy, whom William the chaplain, vicar of Rathmore, took, charged with receiving the said felons, at the instance of the said William of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40d., by pledge of the said William, so that she stand, etc. 1311

Dovenald son of Folany Obergith, charged with receiving Avelath Obergith, who feloniously slew the son of William le Peyntour and robbed him of three afers, knowing that he had committed the said felony, comes and defends, etc. John de Clahull, John Pembrok, Philip Stakepol, Adam Was, Thomas Pembrok, Henry Bedeford, Ralph Bendevile, Peter de Gre . . . ., John Magnel, Nicholas Broun and Thomas son of Robert son of Alexander, jurors, say that Dovenald is not guilty of the charge and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit. Nicholas son of Alexander, who of malice indicted Dovenald, gives to the King half a mark for suit to be pardoned to him, by pledge of John son of Simon and Thomas son of Robert. Cath.

David son of John Taloun, serjeant of the King, charged that when lately he was directed to take Philip Taloun his brother, who was indicted of divers robberies, he had conversations with Philip and did not take him, though he could have done so, but allowed him freely to depart contrary to his oath, and that he took William Octochy, a felon, who was a common robber, and when he ought to have brought him to the King's prison, he took ransom from him and allowed him freely to depart contrary to his oath, knowing that he was a felon, comes and acknowledges that he took William, but that he understood that William had made fine with the King before the Justiciar at the time when he took him, together with the Onolans, to whom the King had pardoned suit of the peace by fine of twelve marks for all trespasses and felonies to the feast of the Ascension, a. r. 3, and he says he took William because he had struck a servant of David, and not for the said felony, and of the rest he is not guilty, and puts himself on his country. Cath.

Robert Bendevile, Stephen Mothyl, William Bol . . . ., William Capriche, James son of Henry, Geoffrey Sot, William Hosebond, William Flemyng, Robert le W . . . ., William Patrik, Roger Maucovenant and Gregory son of Walter, jurors, say that David spoke with Philip his brother after he had directions to take him, and though he could have done so he did not take him, but allowed him to retire favourably; and as to William Octochy, they say that he took William because he had struck a servant of David and for no other reason, and he was entirely ignorant that William was then a felon, nor had he any warrant to take him. Afterwards David is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of William Taloun and Reginald Maucovenant, so that he stand, etc.

## m. 36

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CATH. BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY AFTER CHRISTMAS, a. r. 5. 30 Dec.

Michael son of Reginald de Lyvet, charged that he robbed a tabard of Nicholas Franceys, and Peter son of John son of Peter, charged that he by night stole of the hastivell of the monks at Magaveny in sheaves to the value of 12d., come and defend, etc. John Franceys, Robert Archer, Laurence Seuer, Thomas son of James, Thomas Broun, Richard son of Nicholas, David de Rupe, William Russel, Robert Bolerbacke, John Cadel, John Hill, John Tybaud, John Longus, William Corneys, jurors, say that Michael is guilty, and Peter is not guilty of the charges. Cath.

1311 and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore Peter is quit. And David de Rupe, William Russel and Robert Bolerbache, jurors summoned come not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards of grace Michael is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of William de Lyvet and Richard son of Ivo, by presentation of Adam Bretoun, sheriff, so that he stand, etc.

Cath. Richard de Clahulle and John son of John Gaere, charged that they by night, together with other malefactors, burglariously entered the house of William le Cartere de Rothan and robbed William of a stone and a half of wool worth 5s., of woollen thread worth 8d. and two sheep worth 2s., come and defend, etc. John Tybaud, John Champioun, Henry Champioun, Philip Tybaud, Thomas son of Robert, Nicholas Broun, Laurence Rowe, Ralph Bendevile, Adam Tannere, Henry Rys, John Graunt, and William son of Stephen, jurors, say that John and Richard are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Roger Rouwe and John Arnold, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

The said jurors testify that Philip de Bendevile stole of the corn of John de Bonevile de Rathornan three bushels of barley worth 3s., and they present that Philip withdrew and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be put in exigent and outlawed and his chattels confiscated for flight. Chattels, 12s. 8d., for which Adam Brytoun, sheriff, will answer. Afterwards suit of the peace is pardoned to Philip, so that he stand, etc.

Cath. Geoffrey Cadel, charged that he robbed Slany Oconwyrghan of two cows and a heifer, and also that he broke two stacks of his own wheat which William Broun, serjeant of the King, had previously taken into the hand of the King by the King's direction, for a debt in which Geoffrey was bound to the King, contrary to the inhibition made by the King's serjeant on behalf of the King that he lay no hand on the said corn without warrant, comes and defends, etc. Afterwards of grace Geoffrey is admitted to make fine with the King as well for relaxing the assize of neighbours as for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him, by 40s., by pledge of Michael Cadel, Simon son of Peter, Laurence Seuer and Hugh son of Thomas, by presentation of Adam Bretoun, sheriff, so that he stand to right, etc. And be it known that the said very moderate fine is admitted because Geoffrey remains in the marches and is much impoverished by the great losses and expenses which he bore in checking the malice of Irish felons of his marches. Stephen Cadel and Henry Champioun, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Cath. Meiller de Kendale, Michael de Kendale and Adam Reynaud, charged that they, together with other malefactors, seditiously slew Dermot Ballagh McGorman, Flan Ynym McGorman and Robert Offothyl, and robbed them of twenty cows, three afers, stuffs, utensils and equipment worth 40s., come, and Meiller and Michael say that the said Irish when slain were of the confederation of the Odymysys, notorious felons, so that in slaying them they could not commit felony. And further Meiller and Adam say that they are in no wise guilty and put themselves on the country. Richard le Rous, Richard Galbarry, John son of Nicholas Cadel, John Knayth, Gilbert son of Gryffin, Reymund Stakepol, Philip Knayth, Stephen Galbarry, Robert Grenull de Odron, Alexander Crosse, David Forcill and William Corneys, jurors, say that Dermot Ballagh McGorman, Flan and Robert at the time when John de Bonevill, knight, was slain, were *hibernici* and tenants of the said John de Bonevill, after whose death, not daring to remain longer in his mansions for fear of those who slew the said John, they came to Meiller le Kendale, whom John de Bonevill had made a knight, and besought him to admit them to his



protection and avowry, and Meiller promised and granted this, wherefore they brought all their goods and other chattels, with their wives and households, to the manor of Meiller de Mothyl, and Meiller, alleging that he had waste lands in Co. Kildare, where it would be more convenient for the said *hibernici* to stay than at le Mothyl, consulted the said *hibernici* . . . his said land in Co. Kildare to remain there, promising them safe conduct to the said land. And as under hope of such safe conduct they were returning from Meiller's manor of Mothyl with their households and journeying on the said occasion to his land in Co. Kildare, Meiller directed . . . dale, Adam Reynaud and others of his household to follow the said Dermot . . . and slay them and bring back all their afers and chattels . . . Michael and Adam and others of the said Meiller's household by his direction . . . followed, and those whom at le Blak . . . they found, they slew immediately and robbed of . . . utensils and equipment to the value of 40s., . . . And Meiller, knowing the whole deed . . . Afterwards Meiller . . .

1311

m. 36d.

Nicholas, servant of Simon le Deyer de Leghlyn, charged with the death of Elyas le Hoper, comes and defends, and acknowledges that he slew Elyas, but says that he could not commit a felony by his slaying, because he found Elyas by night among the beans of Simon le Deyer, Nicholas' lord, stealing the beans, of which Nicholas had custody, and because Nicholas upbraided him for so stealing the beans by night, Elyas would have killed him, and Nicholas, seeing that he could in no way escape death unless he slew Elyas, struck him with his knife in the belly and gave him a wound of which he died; and further he says that Elyas was a common thief of his neighbours' corn which he stole by night; and of this he puts himself on the country. Adam le Tanner, Robert de Bende vill, Thomas le Chapman, John le Clahull, David le Crolber, Thomas le Lunge, John Boly, David Somel, Adam Motoun, John le Graunt, John le Clerk and William le Lunge, jurors, say that Elyas was a common thief at the time when Nicholas slew him, and that Elyas would have slain Nicholas unless Nicholas had slain him, nor could he otherwise have escaped death. Therefore let him be committed to gaol to await the King's grace. Afterwards of grace suit of the peace is pardoned to him for the said death, so that he stand, etc.

Cath.

Fynyna Octouthy and Isabella her daughter, charged with receiving William Octouthy and Tayg Octouthy, sons of Fenyna, who slew Geoffrey le Lang and were convicted of the said felony before the Justiciar here in this session by a jury on which they put themselves and were hanged, come and defend, etc. Richard son of Ivo, Gilbert son of Griffin, Robert de Bende ville, Robert Bremill, Richard Tener, Hugh Taloun, John Taloun, William de Valle, Henry de Valle, Henry Traharne, John de Valle and Reginald Maucovenant, jurors, say that Fynyna commonly received William and Tayg and had art and part in their robberies knowing that they were evil men. Therefore let her be hanged. Chattels, none; she had no free land. And of Isabella they say that she gave food and drink to William and Tayg, and talked with them, knowing them to be felons, but received nothing from their robberies. And because the jurors testify that Isabella, who is married to Thomas de Valle, an Englishman, is pregnant of a living child, let her be re-committed to gaol until she be delivered, and then let her be hanged. Chattels, none; she has no free land.

Cath.

1311 John de Rupe de Inchegori, charged with receiving Loghlyny  
 Cath. Othothle, felon, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by  
 pledge of Gilbert le Paumer and Richard son of Jordan de Valle, so that  
 he stand to right, etc.

m. 37.

30 Dec. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CATH. BEFORE  
 JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, THURSDAY AFTER CHRISTMAS, a. r. 5

Cath. Richard Capel, charged that he slew William le Rous, comes and  
 defends and says that William stole an afer of Richard, and Richard  
 followed after him and found him in Oboy with the afer, and wished to  
 attach both William and the afer, but he did not allow him, but defended  
 himself with violence, so that by his own fault he was slain; and he says  
 further that William at the time of his death was a public and notorious  
 thief; and of this he puts himself on the country. The jurors say that  
 William was the servant of Richard, and while Richard was in Dublin  
 he stole the afer, and afterwards when Richard returned and understood  
 that his afer was stolen by William, he followed after him and found him  
 with the afer, which he had brought with him, in the parts of Oboy, and  
 wished to attach William, but William defended himself and did not  
 allow Richard to attach him, and so in the struggle between them  
 Richard slew William. And they say clearly that William was a public  
 and notorious thief at the time when he was slain. Asked for what time  
 William had the afer before Richard followed after him, they say, for  
 eight days, to wit until Richard returned from Dublin. Therefore let  
 him be committed to gaol to await judgment.

Hugh son of Thomas, Stephen Cadel, John Chaunpioun, Philip  
 Tybaut, Bartholomew son of Roger and John Randalf, jurors summoned,  
 come not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards Richard is admitted to make  
 fine with the King for the said death by half a mark, by pledge of Ralph  
 de Bendeuill, so that he stand to right, etc. And Richard gives to the  
 King half a mark for the grace which he had of being on mainprise to  
 this day, by pledge of Adam Bretoun.

Cath. Adam Bretoun, sheriff and coroner of the county, records that  
 Thomas Toner, who put himself in the church of S. Patrick de Kenles in  
 Foth, acknowledged before the said coroner in the quinzaine of Easter  
 last that he had feloniously slain Thomas le Lang, and abjured the land  
 of Ireland, and chose for himself the port of Waterford. And Cecilia  
 wife of Thomas Toner, charged that Thomas her husband committed  
 that felony by her procuration and abetting, comes and defends all  
 felony, etc., and especially that Thomas her husband committed the  
 felony by her procuration and abetting, and puts herself on the country.  
 Thomas de Porta, David Sire, Thomas le Palmer, Richard son of Ralph,  
 John Bayard, John Scolok, Adam de Aula, John Petipas, William son  
 of John, John Boneuill, Thomas son of John and Richard Russell,  
 jurors, say that Cecilia is guilty of the charges. Afterwards Cecilia is  
 admitted to make fine with the King, as well for suit of the peace to be  
 pardoned to her as for having the chattels of Thomas Toner her husband,  
 by twenty marks, whercof she will pay two marks yearly, one moiety  
 at Easter and the other at-Michaelmas, by pledge of Meiller de Kendale  
 and Thomas Albrey of ten marks, Nicholas de Valle of Co. Dublin of five  
 marks, Richard Toner and William de Valle de Kenles of five marks.

Cath. Twelve jurors present that when Ger. de Beafon, who for fourteen  
 years and more was a public malefactor, a spy of the country and an  
 associate of felons, to wit, of the Obrynnes and their confederates, was

charged before Adam le Bretoun, sheriff, with receiving Robert son of Ger. Obryn and others of his race and following at a time when they were felons, for which Hugh Taloun, serjeant, was directed to attach him, but the said Ger. prevented him, and when Hugh presented to the sheriff the said prevention, the sheriff directed Hugh and also Richard de Valle, serjeants, that they should take with them an adequate force and proceed to the house of Ger. de Beaufon and attach him and bring him under safe conduct to the King's prison. When they arrived, Ger., being forewarned, armed himself and, leaving his house, directed his way to the wood which was near, and one company of those who came with Hugh and Richard met him and told him to surrender, to which he replied that he understood that the Justiciar was moved against him because he refused to surrender, and said he would rather be slain than hanged, and so saying he passed by that company. And thereupon Stephen son of William de Valle, and Henry son of Stephen de Valle, with another company, met him, and Stephen in peaceable manner asked him to surrender, asserting that no one would do him harm, to whom he replied as before that he would not surrender and told Stephen to depart, else he would harm him, and with those words he struck Stephen with a lance near the thigh, and it happened that the blow crossed under the arm of Stephen through the middle of his tunic. And Henry, perceiving this and thinking that the blow had struck Stephen in the middle of the body, struck Ger. with a lance in the middle of the body, so that he died instantly. Asked if they could have taken him alive, they say no. Chattels of Ger., which the said serjeants took, twenty-six pigs worth 7d. each, wherefore Adam le Bretoun, sheriff, will answer.

1311

Of William son of John Taloun of the fine for trespass as appears in the roll of pleas of the crown before the Justiciar here of Easter term, a. r. 3, £4 of which he will pay 20s. yearly, half at Easter and the other half at Michaelmas, by pledge of William de Valle, Hugh Taloun, Reginald Maucovenaund and David Taloun.

Cath.

Henry son of Robert, demanded that he deprived William le Lang, serjeant of the King, of an afer which the said serjeant had taken into the King's hand for a debt, comes and gives the King half a mark for the said depriving to be pardoned to him, by pledge of John son of Simon and Thomas son of Robert.

John Caufy, taken and detained in the King's prison in the castle of Kildare because he stole from Thomas Eyteley two bushels of wheat, as alleged, is admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him . . . son of Robert, so that he stand, etc.<sup>(1)</sup>

m. 37d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE. WOGAN.

John Bet' de Coulmene, William son of Philip de Achethawyl, John his brother, Andrew Naal, Hugh the Whyte and Robert Odownyld, charged with receiving Robert son of Ger. Obryn, Gilkeynyn Boy Obryn, William Brak Obryn and other Irishmen of their parentage and name, felons, and that they gave them food and drink at a time when they were commonly at war against the King's standard, come and defend, etc. Henry Hay, William Hay, Eustace Unnythyng, John Miltoun, Thomas Broun, Walter Hay, Symon Kynhegh, Stephen Merwyn, Peter the Whyte, Thomas the Miller, William Lyttoun and Thomas Duraunt,

Cath.

<sup>(1)</sup> A further entry seems to have followed here. Cal. has word "Illegible."

1311 jurors, say that the said Irish felons as well in time of peace as of war ate and drank with John Bet and the others, and this against their will, because they have not power to punish the said felons. Asked if they raised hue and cry upon the said felons, they say no, because they dared not for fear of death. Asked if they secretly warned the natives of the country that the said Irish felons spent their time with them, by which warning the said felons could haply have been taken or slain, they say no. Therefore let them be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Afterwards Robert Odownyld, William son of Philip Odownyld and John his brother of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Robert le Waleys and John Miltoun, so that they stand, etc.

Cath. Henry Traharne, charged that he of his direction caused one Richard Dullard his servant to rob a cow worth 6s. 7d., of William le Whyte de Balytarsyn, and another cow, worth 5s., of Thomas le Waleys de Balytarsyn, and a bull worth 40d. of John son of Reymund Bremyll, and had the beasts slaughtered in his house to increase his larder without making any payment for the beasts, and also charged that by the said Richard Dullard and others of his household he caused to be broken a stack of oats belonging to Robert Bremyl de Fothrith, and nine trusses of oats to be made, so big that horses could carry them from the stack, and had them carried to his house against Robert's will and against the peace, and that he and his satellites insulted Robert Bremyl and his son William, and attacked them with lances and other weapons, because they abused him for so doing, and also charged that he freely permitted one Tayg McEthe, a notorious felon, to depart, who was at the slaying of John Traharne, knight, brother of Henry, and at the burning of Aghyt, whom he had in his prison for eight days for ransom, which the said Tayg paid him, knowing well that he was a felon, and also charged that he received John de Cantewelle, felon for the death of John of Munster, and that he had of the gift of John de Cantewelle an afer worth 5s., furniture for one horse, and an iron cap which John de Cantewelle robbed of Philip Purcel, well knowing how John had obtained the goods, comes and defends, etc.

And John Taloun, Richard le Rous, Michael Kadel, Geoffrey de la Hyde, John le Buntyller, Richard son of Ivo, Gilbert son of Griffin, Reymund Stakepol, Richard du Vaal, John Martel, Alexander Crosse and David Forcel, jurors, say that Henry is guilty of all the charges, except that he knew that Tayg McEthe was guilty of the slaying of John de Traharne, knight. They say, however, that Henry well knew that Tayg was in the company of Henry son of John Onolan and others of his name, who feloniously slew the said John, after the said felony was committed, and had art and part with the said felons in many robberies and larcenies, and they say that Tayg allowed Henry Traharne to cause the said Henry to come into a safe place by the spying of the said Tayg, in order to slay the said Henry son of John Onolan and others of his confederation who slew John Traharne and thereby the sooner delivered the said Tayg from prison. Afterwards of grace Henry is admitted to make fine, etc., by five marks, by pledge of John Taloun and Thomas de Balymor, so that he stand, etc.

1312

m. 38

10 Jan. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT ROS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER EPIPHANY, a. r. 5.

Dublin  
Kylkenny

Henry Odoulyng of Balymalgorme, charged that he together with

other malefactors, feloniously robbed Baldewyn de Nyvel on the King's land in Co. Wexford of six falings worth 2s. each and divers other goods to the value of 40s., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. Richard Ailward, Philip son of Milo, David Bosser, John de Suttoun de Rathgarrok, Luke le Fouler, Griffin son of Matthew, Reymund son of Alan, Walter de Rupe, Alan son of Walter, John Lunt, Thomas Danyel and David son of David son of John, jurors, say that Henry is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. John son of Eustace de Rupe <sup>(1)</sup>, David son of Thomas Brounfeth and Michael Sparks, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. 1312

Margery, wife of Geoffrey Bybyry, charged that she often received Robert son of Philip Obrodir, Milo de Bydeford junior, Gilledonesagh Otauly, Robert son of Tapelagh Obrodir, Thomas son of David de Rupe, William son of Adam Hay, William son of Adam Hay Cam, David Omouroun and his brother, who feloniously slew John son of William Estmund and are common robbers, and that the said Margaret has art and part with them in their robberies, and that the whole country is wasted for receiving of felons and thieves in her house, comes and defends, etc. John de Sutton de Rathgarrok, Nicholas de Stafford, David de Sumery, Philip son of Robert Furlang, Richard Whytay, Robert Bosser de Bary, John Lunt, Edmund Wyz, Nicholas Ketyng, John Marcley, John Furlang de Moyeyghit and Thomas, son of Philip Bosser, jurors, say that the said felons came to Margaret's house on a certain day agreed upon by the said felons and ate and drank with Margaret, and on the next night the said malefactors went to the parts of Weyseford to commit robberies, and the inhabitants of those parts, perceiving that the said robbers were in the country, raised hue and cry over them. And the said John, son of William Estmund, hearing hue and cry thus raised, came to the said cry, and the felons slew him there and fled. They say clearly that after the deed Margaret did not receive the felons and did not afterwards talk with them, but that Margaret knew well that the malefactors were ready to commit robberies at the time when they came to her house, and none the less she received them and gave them food and drink. They say, however, that she had nothing of their robberies and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Afterwards of grace Margaret is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Nicholas Ingram of half a mark, John Ingram of half a mark, Laurence Cod of 40d., and Nicholas Avenel of the remainder, so that she stand, etc.

Dublin

Weyseford

David Doddekyn, charged that he is a common robber, and that he, together with other malefactors, stole from David Brounfeth three afers worth 20s., and that he received William Dodekyn and John Dodekyn his sons, who are common robbers, and that he has art and part in their robberies, comes and defends, etc. David Goff, Alan son of Walter, Michael Sparks, Thomas Danyel, David son of David son of John, David son of Andrew, Henry son of Richard, Walter le Poer, Matthew son of Oliver, Meiller son of Roger, David Broun and William Avenel, jurors, say that David is not guilty of stealing the afers; they say, however, that he often received his sons, who are common felons, and that he has art and part in their robberies. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Dublin

Kilkenny

Andrew son of Walter, charged that he is a common robber and that he, together with other malefactors, came to the castle of Dromdoueny and there robbed John de St. Albin of divers goods to the value of 20s., comes and defends, etc. Richard Ailward, Philip son of Milo, John son

(1) Cal. has note, "Struck out".

1312 of Philip son of Milo, David Bosser, David son of Thomas Brounfeth, Griffin son of Mathew, Reymund son of Alan, Alan son of Walter, Michael Sparkes, Thomas Daniel, Henry son of Richard and John Flemyng, jurors, say that Andrew is not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

m. 38d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin  
Weyseford

Roger Colyn, Roger son of John Furlang, Stephen his brother, Geoffrey Furlang and Nicholas Furlang, charged that they feloniously carried off Isolda la Hore against her will, come and defend, etc. John de Suttoun de Rathgarrok, Nicholas de Stafford, Simon Barge, Richard Whytay, Robert Bosser de Obargy, Nicholas Ketyng, Walter Waddyng, Robert de Prendelgast, John Furlang de Moyeth, John son of Philip, John Lunt and John Marclej, jurors, say that Roger and the others are not guilty of the carrying off, because Isolda freely and of her own wish became the mistress of Roger and stayed with him, and they say that they are not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore they are quit.

Dublin  
Weyseford

William son of Adam Hay and William Hay son of Adam Cam, charged with the death of John son of William Estmound, and that they, together with other malefactors, robbed John Ocoormok of four cows worth 20s., and that they are common thieves and robbers and receivers of thieves, come, and William son of Adam Hay says he is a clerk and that he neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came master William de Rodyard, *custos* of the spirituality of the bishopric of Ferns, the see being vacant, and demanded William son of Adam Hay to be delivered to him as a clerk, and that it may be known why he ought to be delivered to him, an inquiry is held more fully into the truth of the premises by the underwritten jurors, to wit, John de Suttoun de Rathgarrok, John son of Philip, Nicholas de Stafford, Davyd de Somery, Philip son of Robert, Richard Wythay, Robert Boscher de Bargy, John Lunt, Edmond Wyz, Nicholas Keoug, John Furlang and Thomas, son of Philip Boscher. The jurors say that William son of Adam Hay, together with William Hay son of Adam Cam, and other thieves, went by night to the liberty of Weyseford to commit robberies, and the inhabitants of those parts, perceiving the said thieves to be in the country, raised hue and cry over them, and John son of William Estmond, perceiving this, came to the said cry and fought the thieves, and in the struggle the thieves slew John. Asked if William son of Adam Hay slew John with his own hands, they say no; they say, however, that he forcibly assisted in the felony, and after the slaying of John he went with the said felons, whom he had previously brought into the country for the said robberies, conversed with them, received them, gave them food and drink, consulted them and maintained them, knowing that they had committed the said felony. They say clearly that William son of Adam Hay is a common receiver of thieves and robbers and men guilty of all other said charges. Therefore let William son of Adam Hay be delivered to the said *custos* of the spirituality to be kept as a convict under fitting penalty. Afterwards of grace William son of Adam Hay Cam is admitted to make fine, etc., by eight marks by pledge of Richard son of William Hay, John son of Hamund Hay and John son of William Hay, whereof he will pay four marks yearly, to wit, one moiety at Easter and another at Michaelmas, so that he stand, etc. And Nicholas Avenel, knight, Edmund Wyz, Nicholas de Valle, Richard Hay, Peter the Marshal and Odo de Barry of Castlecour mainprised for William that he would forever henceforth bear himself well and faithfully to the King's peace, and if

not, they would restore his body to the King's prison, dead or alive, as soon as they were informed of his renewed wrongdoing, or drive him out of the county, and none the less would make good their losses to those injured by him hereafter. 1312

All the tenants of the prior of Glascarric, of the town of Tillaghlathyn, charged with receiving Maurice de Cauntetoun, David de Cauntetoun, knight, Doulyng Obryn and others of their name and parentage, felons, who lately put themselves at war against the King with standards displayed, of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by 100s., by pledge of Adam de Rupe, David de Borrard, and P . . . de Glascarric, so that they stand, etc. Dublin  
Weyseford

For the good service which Hamund le Graas, David de Borrard and Dovenald son of Simon Omorth have often done, as well to the late as to the present King, in fighting the Irish felons of the mountains of Leinster, and for the good service which Hamund and the others will hereafter do, suit of the peace is pardoned to them for receiving the said Maurice de Cauntetoun, David de Cauntetoun, Doulyng Obryn and others of their name and parentage, who lately put themselves openly at war against the King, as above stated, so that they stand etc. Dublin  
Weyseford

## m. 40.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 5. 9 April

John Lumbard, charged with the death of John son of Reginald Harold, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas Stury, Simon Bole, Nicholas Makepais, Reginald Hobbe, Thomas Begs, Henry son of John, Roger Montayngne, John Welle, John Montayne, Nicholas Tym, Roger Page and Chas. Bole, jurors, say that John Lumbard is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 24s., for which Thomas de Kenet, seneschal of the King's lands, will answer; also 4d., for which Reginald de Bernevale will answer, by presentation of Richard Kissok, coroner; he had no free land. Dublin

## m. 39

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT DROGHEDA BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THREE WEEKS FROM EASTER, a. r. 5. 16 April

Nicholas de Verduno, demanded for that when Robert de Verduno his brother, Walter de la Pulle and others of their company, as well English as Irish, notorious felons, set themselves openly at war against the King, preying not only on the lands of the abbot of Mellifont and others of those parts, but also subjugating the demesne lands of the King at Athrede and the lands of the lord of Louth which are held of the King in chief, by taking fealty from some tenants and from others fines and ransoms for respite of doing fealty until the Nativity of S. John Baptist next; and when the Justiciar here, learning of this, collected a force of men at arms, hobelers and foot soldiers and brought them to Dublin to crush the said felons; and he sent a part of the force to Athrede to protect the King's town against the said felons, at the request of the community of the county, which asserted that by the coming of so great an army the faithful men of those parts would suffer greater evils than before; the Justiciar, having left the greater part of his army at Dublin, turned with a few to the parts of Drogheda, where the community of the said county requested that the said community, to avoid the injury which Louth

1312 might come to the country by the coming of the army, might guard the country against the said felons at their own charges, and that the said Nicholas and Milo de Verduno his brother might have the custody of it, which being granted to them they swore on the gospels that they would strongly fight the said felons and give them up alive or dead if they should remain in the country, but if not, they would keep them out, so that no evil would come to the country through them. And a commission having been made to them by letters patent of the King under witness of the Justiciar, the Justiciar caused to return to their own parts the army which he had left at Dublin. And before notice of this came to Nicholas Avenel, knight, Patrick de Rupe, Walter de Nyvel and others who were sent to guard the town of Athrede, they, hearing that the said felons kept themselves in the town of Loueth, hastened thither to fight the felons, having with them the King's standard displayed; and soon, when they came there and fought certain of the said malefactors whom they found there resisting them, the said Nicholas de Verduno, having associated with him the said felons and other armed force, as well English as Irish, as well of the town of Dundalk as of the adjacent parts, rose against the King's standard and against Nicholas Avenel, Patrick and Walter de Nyvel and the others of their company and slew Nicholas Avenel, Patrick and a great many others as well English as Irish, knowing that they came thither in the King's service to fight the said felons, and took certain of them, to wit, Walter de Nyvel, John Taloun and Richard de Lyvet, and detained them in prison and robbed them of horses and arms, as well those thus taken as others feloniously slain, especially when he could have taken them alive; and others fleeing to the town of Athrede he pursued, bringing with him the said felons, and some of them so fleeing he slew and robbed of their goods found there, to wit, horses and arms, to the value of £100.

And Nicholas comes and says that in truth after he and Milo his brother had custody of the peace by the commission made to them by letters patent of the King under witness of the Justiciar, he, taking with him the posse of the lands of his lord, of Dundalk and adjacent places, went to parley with the said felons to induce them to surrender, and while treating with them they saw the town of Loueth and other towns of those parts burning, wherefore he, as *custos* of the peace, hastened thither with the said force, and finding there Nicholas Avenel, Patrick and others of their company, who feloniously had burnt the said towns, and a conflict thereupon taking place, Nicholas Avenel and the others slain there were slain as felons, incendiaries and robbers who robbed as well the men of Athrede as of the adjacent parts; and they say that the said felons, to wit, Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, to whom Nicholas de Verduno for the good of the peace had given a truce, were present at the conflict for the resistance of Nicholas Avenel, Patrick and others of their company, incendiaries and robbers, and well advocated the taking and detention of horses and arms, as belonging to felons, incendiaries and robbers.

And the Justiciar, wishing that the matter may be more fully dealt with before the whole Council of the King in this land and also by the earl of Ulster and other notables, a day is given to Nicholas to stand to the right on Friday after Trinity at Dublin, and he is by mainprise, as elsewhere appears. And it is granted and agreed that if Robert de Verduno, Walter de la Pulle and others of their company wish to surrender to the court here, under safety of life and limb, then Roger de Mortuo Mari, lord of Wygemor, present in court, may have power so to receive them and conduct them to the prison of the castle of Dublin, and Roger



granted that he would so receive them and conduct them to the prison if they wished to surrender. 1312

Afterwards on that day at Dublin, before the earl of Ulster, Roger de Mortuo Mari and other notables of the Council of the King in this land, comes Nicholas de Verduno, and, being demanded of all the above felonies, answers as before at Drogheda and says that he did not bring Robert de Verduno and those of his company to the said conflict to cause evil, but that he might resist the more strongly the said incendiaries and robbers; and of this he puts himself on the country. He says also that such felons ought not to have been spared because they carried as a banner the standard of the King, inasmuch as it is not to be presumed that it is a true standard of the King, with the carrying of which were done arson and robbery upon the loyal people of the King and those who were at peace. A day is given to him to hear judgment in the quinzaine of Michaelmas, and he is by mainprise, as elsewhere appears. And Walter de la Pille and others of the company of Robert de Verduno, to wit, Reginald de Clyntoun, Adam son of John de Cusaak.

m. 39d.

Symon Bod, Robert Cassell, John le Flemyng of Meath, Symon Cockeley, John le Flemyng of Uriel, Richard John, and Thomas le Blound, Richard Bet, Symon Serl, James le White, Philip Chaumbre, Ralph Hunt, John Pippard, Henry Serl, Adam de Serlestoun, Richard Hunt, Bertram Hunt, John le White, Alan son of Symon, William Douenaghmayn, Adam Jordan, Peter Daniel and Philip McSchaaun surrendered to Roger de Mortuo Mari in the above form, to wit, under safety of life and limb, and Roger placed them in the prison of the castle of Dublin in the octave of Trinity last. And at the instance of the said Roger it is granted that Walter and the others be by mainprise of Roger to the morrow of the Nativity of S. John Baptist next.

Afterwards on that day Roger restored to the said prison the bodies of the said Walter etc., and also the bodies of Robert de Verduno, Thomas Scryn, Roger Gernoun de Coly, John Ger . . . , John de Cruys, Roger Wodeford, Benedict Crompe, Richard Godman, Robert Garzoun, Adam Not, John Drocath, Nicholas son of Elias le Tanner, Roger Godman, Peter Gatyn, William son of Elias le Tanner, Benedict Kenefeg, Adam Lewys, John Wyot, William Stanard and Thomas Boyt, of the company of Richard de Verduno, who surrendered to Roger in the said form, to wit, under safety of life and limb. The further execution of the same will appear in the roll of the term of Michaelmas next, before Edmund le Botiller, *custos* of the land of Ireland.

. . . the town of Dumbeng of fine for trespass, whereof it will pay £20 yearly, to wit, a moiety at Michaelmas and the other at Easter, by pledge of David Fot and John Ays, as appears in the roll of pleas of the crown before the Justiciar here of Michaelmas term last. They were not put in the estreats there, therefore here, etc. Louth

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA BEFORE THE JUSTICIAR IN A MONTH FROM EASTER, a. r. 5. 23 April

John Parys, charged with the death of Jordan le Tailleour, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here without the ordinary; and thereupon came master Reginald Taaf, Custos of the spirituality of the archbishopric of Armagh, the see being vacant, and demanded John Parys to be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it Louth

1312 may be known why he ought to be delivered, inquiry proceeded more fully into the truth of the premises by the undersigned jurors, to wit, Henry de Bristoll, Nigel Your, John Cassell, John Broun, John de Leycestre, William le Hopere, William Everad, Nicholas Stoppil, William Langford, John Jakes, William Here, and John Newport, who say that John Paris is guilty of felony and of the death. Therefore let him be delivered to the *custos* to be kept as one convicted under fitting penalty. Chattels of John Parys, 12d., for which Adam Cristofre will answer.

Meath William McKys and Richard Haket, charged with the death of John McCauffy, come and defend, etc. And John de Ardern, William le Tanner, William le Blunt, William Godefrey, Henry the Cook, Richard le Tanner, Michael de Lyndesey, John le Coureur, John Fagan, Adam de Rathmolan, John de S. James, and Robert de Londoun, jurors, say that William and Richard are not guilty of the said death and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

Meath William Frend, charged with the death of Stephen Bray, comes and defends, etc. And Nicholas Fotyn, Philip F . . . , Philip Boyt, Richard Albus, Audoen Lauleys, Nicholas Stede, Thomas Peverel, Stephen Telyng, Henry de Cru . . . , Richard Prutfot, William Leveknyht and Adam de Houeth, jurors, say that William Frend asked Stephen Bray on the eve of Palm Sunday last to come to his house to talk to him <sup>(1)</sup>, and Stephen at his request did so, and as they were thus talking William's wife asked Stephen to eat with them at Easter, to whom he replied that he would not, for she was a hard woman and a vile, and the mistress as well of the chaplain as . . . , and the household, moved to wrath, told Stephen to go to his own house, and he replied that he would not until he had struck William on the head till his brains gushed out, because William had abused him for speaking evil of his wife, whereupon the household led Stephen out of the house in a good way without any harm being done to him, and shut the door. Thereupon Stephen secretly on a wall overheard them talking of him, and a long while afterwards William Frend, thinking that Stephen had gone home, took an axe in his hand and went out by another door towards . . . to do his business, and Stephen, perceiving this, went against William and hid . . . where William ought to cross, and before William saw that he was there Stephen raised an axe and would have slain him, but William, seeing this, raised his axe to meet Stephen's blow and struck Stephen on the head, whereby he died. And they say clearly that William could not otherwise have avoided his own death, had he not slain Stephen, because they were shut in between the walls so that William could not have escaped being killed by Stephen, except in the above manner. Afterwards of grace, at the instance and request of Richard de Exon., knight, William Frend is admitted to make fine, etc., by £10, by pledge of Henry son of . . . de Crues, Milo de Eldoun and Roger le Flemeng, so that he stand, etc. Afterwards it is granted to William that he pay of the said fine five marks yearly, one moiety at Michaelmas and the other at Easter.

m. 40d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE,  
DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Louth Reginald Sewelle and John Sewelle, charged that they freely permitted to depart Luke Sewelle, John Moledy, Gilbert Caisse and John Holywode, tailor, who feloniously slew Robert de Bruges, skinner, in the town of Drogheda on the side of Meath, when they could have taken them after the said felony if they had wished come, and of grace are admitted

(1) Marginal note here:—" ad solacium alternum faciendum."

to make fine, etc., by 40s., whereof they will pay at Michaelmas next 10s. and at Easter 10s. and in the following year at the same terms 20s., by pledge of Martin Sewell and William Hardelowe, so that they stand, etc.

1312

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT DUBLIN BEFORE THE JUSTICIAR IN THE OCTAVE OF TRINITY, YEAR AS ABOVE. 28 May

At the instance of brother Walter de Aqua, prior of the Hospital of S. John of Jerusalem in Ireland, Thomas son of Richard son of Luke is admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of Robert Herberd, feloniously slain by the said Thomas, as alleged, by 20s., by pledge of the said prior until he find other pledges, so that he stand, etc. Afterwards Thomas finds the underwritten pledges of the said fine, to wit, Gilbert del Rath, Thomas son of David de Schirlokestoun, Hugh Norman, Robert son of William, William son of Adam and Robert son of Elias. Kyldare

At the instance of Hugh Canoun, Philip de la Loude is admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of John de la Loude, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, by 40s., by pledge of William Loghan and Nicholas Dernekyll, so that he stand, etc. Meath

At the instance of Arnald le Poer, knight, and for the good service of Arnald done and hereafter to be done to the King, suit of the peace is pardoned to Robert le Warner for the death of William son of Robert de Oldetoun, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, so that he stand, etc. And the Chancellor is directed to cause letters patent of the King to be made for Robert of the said pardon in customary form. Typperary

m. 41

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CATH. BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, TUESDAY AFTER S. BARNABAS, a. r. 5. 13 June

Raghnyld Omolryan, charged that he stole six afers in the land of peace in the liberty of Kylkenny and led them to Co. Carlow, and also that he is a common thief, robber and burglar, comes and defends, etc. And Robert de Clahull, Patrick Crosse, Richard son of Elyas, John Taloun, Henry Traharn, Thomas de Carreu, Richard son of Robert, John, son of Richard de Drompro, Henry le Waleys, Robert Monsel, David Stakepoll and William de Rynngny, jurors, say that Raghnyld is guilty and that the whole country is impoverished by the thefts and divers other robberies which Raghnyld has hitherto long done against the peace. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Richard de Marche, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Cath.

Robert son of Andrew Waspail and David Kermerdyn, charged that they forcibly and against the peace assaulted Richard son of Nicholas and waylaid him on the King's highway, bound him, beat him when bound, and afterwards robbed him of an axe and a lance worth 14d., come and defend, etc. And John Fraunceys, Michael Cadel, John Hillar', William Corveyser, Robert le Archer, John Cathepol, William Randolph, Robert Cadel, John Cadel, Stephen Cadel, Laurence Seuer and Simon son of Peter, jurors, say that Robert and David and one William Waspail Connathath came to the grange of the abbot of Balkynglas at Makgaveny and would have broken the gates of the grange and taken food and drink there against the wish of the serving-men of the abbot, and Richard son of Nicholas, a serving-man of the abbot, was in the grange, and Cath.

1312 together with the other serving-men of the abbot, defended the gate of the grange and prevented the malefactors from taking food and drink there as aforesaid, wherefore the said malefactors retired. And afterwards Robert son of Andrew Waspaill, David Kermerdyn and William Waspaill Connathtath met Richard and other serving-men of the abbot in the King's highway near the said grange, and told Richard to surrender, as he was captured, and Richard replied, "Willingly", and delivered to them his arms, whereupon they immediately beat, wounded and forestalled him, and the other serving-men of the abbot fled and escaped, and Robert and David, together with William, leaving Richard half dead retired and took with them the said axe and lance worth 14d., and kept the arms with them from the first hour of the day until after the ninth hour, and afterwards sent back the arms by a certain chaplain to Richard, and Richard refused to receive back the said arms.

And William Waspaill, knight, charged that he directed Robert son of Andrew Waspaill and the others to do the said trespasses and misdeeds, and that he received Robert and the others after the said robbery, comes and defends, etc. And the said jurors say that Robert son of Andrew Waspaill and the others related to William Waspaill, knight, how Richard son of Nicholas and the other serving-men of the abbot had repulsed them from the gate of the grange, and William reviled and cursed them because they had not beaten the serving-men of the abbot, and therefore the sooner did Robert and the others beat and rob Richard as aforesaid, and William afterwards received them, well knowing that they had committed the said misdeeds.

(Entry is not finished on the roll).

m. 41d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Cath. Henry son of John Taloun, charged that he, together with others unknown, broke a stack of oats belonging to Robert Bremyl in the fields and took thereof and carried away nine horse trusses against Robert's will, comes and defends, etc. Afterwards of grace, at the instance of Adam Bretoun, Henry is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40d., by pledge of Adam le Bretoun, so that he stand, etc.

Cath. Laurence McKille son of Philip the butcher de Villa Poer, charged that he bought from Hubert de Cauntetoun an ox which Hubert, together with others, had stolen from the bishop of Ossory, and another ox from Murgh Ohe the which Murgh had stolen from the said bishop, knowing the said oxen were stolen, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 10s., by pledge of Patrick de Cruce, Alexander de Cruce, John son of Richard de Drompro and Richard son of Robert, so that he stand, etc.

m. 42

21 June PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LE NAAS BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, WEDNESDAY BEFORE S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 5.

Kildare Thomas de Westoun, charged that he gave to Robert de Vastham an afer and six lambs to slay Nicholas Sampson, and also that he stole from John Landaf four sheep worth 2s., and William Chamberleyn, charged that he is a common thief of afers, pigs, geese and hens, come and defend,

etc. And Walter de Stantoun, Richard de Lyt, Robert Brayghnok, Adam Stabeler, Robert son of Vincent, Peter Langloue, John Oweyn, William Circestre, John Taueny, John le Waleys, Nicholas son of Thomas, John Broctoun, David son of Walter de Rupe, Adam le Whyte, Thomas Rossel and Nicholas son of Ralph, jurors, say that Thomas and William are not guilty and are not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore they are quit. And because the jurors testify that the said Thomas withdrew from the time when he was indicted for the said charges, let his chattels be confiscated for flight. Chattels, 27s. 4d., for which Thomas de Westoun will answer, by pledge of Thomas de Comptoun and Robert de Leye. And Thomas gives the King 40d. for the grace which he had of being by mainprise to this day, by pledge of John de Feypo. Maurice Howel<sup>(1)</sup>, John de Rocheford and William Corulle, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards it is granted by the Justiciar that Thomas pay, of the said 27s. 4d., 13s. 8d. yearly, one moiety at Michaelmas and the other at Easter. 1312

John Fernan, Thomas Eldoun, Richard Eldoun, Henry Alysaundre, John le Whyte, Richard le Whyte, Thomas son of Alexander, William Kyntoun, Adam Kyntoun, Adam Alysaundre, Walter le Deveneys and John son of Hugh, jurors, present that John Godard feloniously slew Philip Benet, servant of John de la Cornere, in the town of Kylkoc on Sunday after the Nativity of S. John Baptist, a. r. 4, and immediately after the felony fled to the church of Kylkoc and in the said church acknowledged before the coroner that he had committed the said felony, wherefor the coroner put a guard over him, and John Godard kept himself in the church from that day to the sixteenth day following, and then, by default of the guard, he escaped. Therefore to judgment for the escape; the escape upon the community of the men of the parish of Kylkoc, and let John Godard, who withdrew and is of ill fame, be put in exigent and outlawed, and his chattels are confiscated for flight. Chattels, 7s. 4d., for which Adam le Blount of Thomastoun will answer, by pledge of John le Whyte and Thomas Eldoun. Henry Louragh, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Kyldare

Elena, wife of Laurence de Milletoun, John Lyntoun, Philip Sangester, John son, of Laurence and Adam son of John, charged that they violently and burglariously entered the house of Matilda Baroun and beat and maltreated Matilda and her son, who were found in the house, and took and carried away Matilda's goods to the value of 40d., come and defend, etc. And Walter Sygyn, Philip Umfr., Stephen Juvenis, Henry Walkelyn, John Westoun, John le Blake, Walter Juvenis, Walter Langloue, Walter Strath, John Deveneys junior, Martin R. . . ., Simon le Blunt, jurors, say that a contention arose between Elena and Matilda, so that Elena asked Laurence and the others to go with her to Matilda's house to take vengeance on Matilda, and they did so, and Matilda, seeing them coming, immediately fled to her house for fear of Elena, and they all followed Matilda to her house, and drove the door which Matilda had closed against them upon her, and beat her and her son; and as to carrying away the chattels, they say they are not guilty. Therefore as far as this they are quit, but for the said burglary let them be committed to gaol to await judgment. Afterwards Elena, John, Philip, John and Adam made fine with the King for the said trespass by one mark, by pledge of Laurence de Milletoun, . . . son of Thomas, John le Blake, Stephen le Joefne, Henry Walklyn and Philip Umfrey, so that they stand, etc. Kyldare

(1) Marginal note against this name—"Struck out p. Justiciar."

1312  
Kyldare

Walter Penrys, William le Reve, Henry le Reve, William Penrys, John son of Walter le Reve, John son of Robert, Thomas Selew . . . , Jack Baldewyn and Ranulf, a serving-man, charged that they came by night to the town of Hugetoun le Rede, and in the town of malice shouted in a loud voice, Fennok abo, Fennok abo, which is the war cry of the O Totheles, and by this cry of malice made all the men and women of the town fly out of their houses, and this done, robbed in the said town four hens and eight pullets worth 6d. and a cheese worth  $\frac{1}{2}$ d., come and defend, etc. And Richard Allewyn, Adam Juvenis, William le Whyte, Thomas Rathymegan, Stephen Chiltoun, Thomas Cromhale, Thomas le Whyte de Ardras, Elyas son of John, John Osemond, Henry Alonn, John Herdman and Henry Fornagh, jurors, say that all the said men came to the town and raised a shout in the said manner, and that Ranulf, a serving man, took the hens and pullets without the knowledge of his fellows. Afterwards Walter Penrys, William le Reve, Henry le Reve and William Penrys made fine with the King for the said trespass by 20s., by mutual pledge. And John son of Walter le Reve, Thomas Selew . . . and Jack Baldewyn made fine with the King for the said trespass by 5s., by mutual pledge. And Ranulf the serving-man made fine with the King for the said charges by 10s., by pledge of Henry Penrys, Henry Aloun, Richard Aloun and . . . Brayghnok. And at the instance of William Alysandre suit of the King's peace is pardoned to John son of Robert for the said charges. And because the jurors testify that one Roger le Mileward forcibly assisted the said malefactors in all the said deeds, and had art and part in the said robbery, the sheriff was directed to take him, so as to have him here on this day. And the sheriff now returns that he was not found, but that he took his goods into the King's hand to the value of 16d. Therefore let the chattels be forfeited, for which the sheriff, John de Wellesleye, will answer. Afterwards Roger made fine with the King for the said charges by half a mark, by pledge of Walter Penrys and Henry le Reve, so that he stand, etc.

m. 42d.

Kildare

Twelve jurors present that Thomas Gadircurs is a common thief and robber of oxen, afers, cows and divers other beasts robbed in that county, and the whole country is the worse for him, and that Thomas withdrew and is of ill fame. Therefore let his chattels be confiscated, and let him be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels 54s., for which the sheriff, John de Wellesleye, will answer.

Kyldare

Robert Parens, vicar of Killadouan, charged that he robbed John Possewyk of three afers worth 20s., comes and defends, etc. John Colman, Geoffrey le Blak, William Burley, Robert Hanley, Robert Traharne, William Rathungan, Richard Martin, John Griffin, Nicholas Bykirtoun, Henry Wapatrik, Geoffrey Ronk, John Melagh, Andrew Burley and Thomas le Blount of Ardrass, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit. And Robert Engelond, convicted by the jury that he of malice indicted Robert of the said robbery before the sheriff, whereof he is now duly acquitted, of grace is admitted to make fine with the King by 20s., by pledge of Milo de Rocheford, John Roth, Thomas Rathungan, David le Waleys, Ralph de Engelond and John Athil, whereof he will pay 10s. at Michaelmas next and 10s. at Easter next.

Kildare

Laurence Goddard and Nicholas son of Ralph, charged with receiving John Goddard, who feloniously slew Philip Benet, as alleged, as more fully is contained in the roll above, come and give to the King a

mark for being by mainprise until John Goddard the principal etc., be convicted of the said death, to wit, Laurence half a mark by pledge of William son of Ralph, John de Penkistoun, Thomas Rossel and Adam de Kingtoun. And William and the others are mainpernors of Laurence in the said form. And Nicholas son of Ralph half a mark, by pledge of David de la Roche, John son of Hugh, Thomas Rossel and Thomas Eveldoun. And David de la Roche and the others are mainpernors of the said Nicholas in the said form, to wit, to have their bodies before the Justiciar to stand to the charge of the said receiving upon reasonable warning, as soon as John Goddard is convicted of the principal, etc. 1312

Nicholas Gaging, charged that he is a common thief of afers, cows and sheep in that county, comes and defends, etc. And Thomas de Et . . . ley, William Semblant, John Collan, Philip de Moon, John the Whyte de Canavystoun, Laurence Sigrim, Adam Clements, Roger du Vaal, Richard Bohirbaly, Philip Clements, Adam le Reve, Richard Chamberleyn and Adam Wrasscler, jurors, say that Nicholas is guilty, and that he robbed Robert Sterre of Waasistoun of an afer worth 40d., and that the whole country is the worse for him. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 5s. for which John de Wellele, sheriff, will answer. He has no free land. Kildare

Symon Chamberleyn, charged that he, together with other malefactors, commonly roams through the country and steals oxen, pigs, lambs, geese, hens and corn in sheaves by night, of grace and for the good service of Symon done to the King in fighting the Irish of the mountains of Leinster, felons, and hereafter to be done, is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Adam le Reve, Laurence Sygrym, Richard Chamberleyn and Walter Chamberleyn, so that he stand, etc. And the said pledges are mainpernors of Symon that he will always for the future bear himself well and faithfully towards the peace, etc.

At the instance of Maurice son of Thomas, Meiler son of Thomas le Stabill and Meiler son of David le Stabill are admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them for the death of Peter Morlegan whereof they are charged, by 10 marks, by pledge of Gerald son of Maurice, Gilbert son of Andrew Brun, Elias son of Daniel, Maurice son of Hervy, Thomas son of Gregory le Hore, Philip son of John Brun, Nicholas le Hore, Richard son of Daniel William son of Thomas le Stabill, Robert de Clahull of Ard, Robert Mol, Gilbert Braynok, Patrick Crispin, Robert Dulan, Rys son of Elias, Elias le Marischal, Thomas Crispin, David son of Oweyn de Cantulupo, Milo son of Elias and John son of Gerald, so that they stand, etc.

## m. 43

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, IN THE OCTAVE OF S. JOHN BAPTIST, a. r. 5. 1 July

David Loterel and Agnes his wife, charged that they by night burglariously entered the granary of the prior of S. John outside the New Gate of Dublin at Palmeristoun and therefrom stole six bushels of wheat worth 3s. each, come and defend, etc. And William Dullard, John Grene, Martin Broun, William son of John, Thomas de Roulaght, William What, Walter Clement, John Reynald, Thomas son of Nicholas, William Styward, Robert le Nothirne and Ralph de Fonte, jurors, say that David and Agnes are not guilty and are not of ill fame. Therefore they are quit. Dublin

1312  
Dublin

Patrick de Galewey, charged that he is a common thief of afers, cows and sheep in Co. Meath and the parts of Fyngal, and stole from William Haket six hundred sheep worth 6d. each, a hundred lambs worth 4d. each and four score afers worth 40d. each, comes and defends, etc. And Richard Osbern, Elyas le Rede, Gregory le Whyte, Audoen del Rabo, Hugh son of Thomas, John son of Davy, Richard Lyneger, Adam the Whyte, Thomas le Waleys, John de Hereford, Geoffrey son of Robert and Robert Lanhary, jurors, say that Patrick is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. And Nicholas Brekedent and Robert de Wiltoun, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Dublin

Because the abbey of S. Mary near Dublin is much impoverished by Irish felons very often invading the granges of the abbey at Carrickbrenan and at Balyoky and preying them in many ways, as well of beasts as of other goods being in the said granges, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to William the abbot and his monks and servants for having redeemed from the said felons such beasts and other goods thus preyed, and received and treated with the felons to have the goods again <sup>(1)</sup>.

Typperary

At the instance of Milo son of James de Ketyng, Thomas Broun of Kylbrayth is admitted to make fine, etc., for receiving Richard de Burgo de Gallys and Maurice Trahene, felons, and also for receiving Ranyld McTrahene, who stole four . . . . in the parts of the Dess., and for having art and part with Ranyld in the theft, well knowing how they were stolen, by one mark, by pledge of Walter le Bret, Milo Ketyng, David Drake, John Cor . . . . leys and Henry Ockeley, so that he stand to right, etc. And by the same fine it is granted that Thomas have again his chattels, which on the occasion of the said receiving were taken into the King's hand.

Dublin

Richard Moridaunce, charged that he stole from Isolde de Wycombe a faling worth 20d., and 28d. in silver money, one tunic worth 8d. and an axe worth 4d., and from Stephen de Cruys a wether worth 8d., and from Reginald Burwyk a wether worth 8d., comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And that it may be known why he ought to be delivered to the ordinary, when he shall be willing to demand him, inquiry proceeded more fully into the truth of the premises by the underwritten jurors, to wit, Adam Louwelyn, Nicholas Ketath, Robert le Waleys, Walter Brekedent, William Bayard, William de S. Michel, Richard Norreys and Nicholas Cante, who say that Richard is guilty. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol until, etc. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Dublin

Geoffrey son of Robert Caasse, charged that he stole from John Curragh a horse worth half a mark, and from Henry Not' a mare worth 4s., comes and of grace at the instance of John Plunket is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of John Plunket, Elias de Assebourne, and R . . . . son of Richard le Blound of Arclo, so that he stand, etc. And the said pledges acknowledge that they owe Henry Not 4s., which they will pay him at S. Peter ad Vincula next, and if not, they grant that the sheriff may cause it to be levied off their lands and chattels. And they also mainprise for Geoffrey that henceforth he will not by art or device cause or procure to be caused to Henry Not any loss, injury, annoyance or disturbance in any way.

Dublin

Richard Borhunt, who is also called Richard Bonseriaunt, charged that he is a common thief and that he robbed John Murthawe of four afers and five cows and other goods to the value of 10 marks, comes and

(1) Entry printed in full in *Chartul. St. Mary's, Dublin*, Vol. I, p. 275.



says he will not answer therefor, and because he refuses the common law let him be re-committed to gaol for the diet. Chattels, none. 1312

For the good service which Richard son of Jordan de Valle did to the King in fighting . . . and his accomplices, felons, who openly set themselves at war against . . . county Loueth, and also for the good service of the same done to the King in fighting . . . of the mountains of Leinster, felons, and hereafter to be done, suit of the King's peace . . . for all trespasses and felonies done by him against the peace to this day, so that he stand, etc. Louth

At the instance of Thomas son of John, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Maurice de L . . . done by him against the peace to this day, so that . . . for him letters patent of the King therefor in customary form. Kildare

m. 44

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT ARDRY BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. MARGARET THE VIRGIN, a. r. 6. 17 July (1)

John son of Robert le Poer, knight, Robert Crok, Richard le Lou, Thomas le Lou, Nicholas Moynagh and John Moynagh, charged with the death of John de Bonevill, knight, come and defend, etc. And Geoffrey de Norragh, John de Suttoun and Walter le Bret, knights, Adam Bretoun, Philip Dullard, William Dunhevid, Gilbert le Paumer, John Waas, Simon Clement, Luke le Mareschall, Geoffrey de la Hyde and William de Welesleie, jurors, say that John and the others are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Kildare

m. 44d.

No entries.

m. 43d.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. MARY MAGDALEN, a. r. 6. 24 July

For the good service which Milo son of Philip de Rupe, Henry son of Philip de Rupe, Philip son of David de Rupe, Henry son of Elias, Maurice son of Alexander de Rupe, Luke son of Alexander de Rupe, Luke Wrenche de Rupe, Philip son of Alexander de Rupe, Gerald son of Henry de Rupe, Luke son of Luke de Rupe, Henry son of Lucas de Rupe, Michael son of Luke de Rupe, Philip Mangnel, Orly Enym<sup>e</sup> Breen, Adam O Tornymt, Milo le Waleys, Patrick Mangnel, Milo le Waleys, Robert Penrys, William Cod, Jordan Cod, Henry son of John de Rupe and Jordan son of Peter de Rupe, did to the King, as well in fighting Maurice de Cauntetoun and his accomplices, notorious felons, who openly set themselves at war against the standard of the King with standards displayed, and also in fighting the Irish felons of the mountains of Leinster in the following of John Wogan, Justiciar, and also for the good service which Milo, son of Philip de Rupe and the others above named will hereafter do to the King, and also because William son of Philip de Rupe and Patrick son of Philip de Rupe, brothers of Milo, were slain in the King's service in fighting the King's enemies, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Milo and all the others aforesaid for all trespasses and felonies committed by them against the peace to this day. And any outlawries and abjurations are remitted to them, so that they stand, etc. Cork

(1) Assuming that S. Margaret's day, 13 July, is meant. S. Margaret's day, 20 July, would give 24 July, on which date the Justiciar was at Waterford.

1312  
Waterford

Nicholas son of John, taken red handed, to wit, with two afers which he stole from Robert son of John le Clerk, as alleged, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came one Andrew Brun, commissary of William, bishop of Lismore, with letters patent of the bishops by which the bishop assigned Andrew as his commissary to demand all and singular clerks charged on this day at pleas of the crown before the Justiciar, and demanded the said Nicholas in the bishop's name to be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known why he ought to be delivered to him, inquiry proceeds more fully into the truth of the premises by the underwritten jurors, to wit, Simon son of Hugh, Philip le White of Tarmon, John le White of Athmethan, John son of Milo de Rupe, William le White, Thomas le White, Walter Cole, Philip Prut, Robert Brohale, John Cristoffre, Robert Jordan and Richard Went, who say that Nicholas is guilty. Therefore let Nicholas be delivered to the bishop's commissary to be kept in the bishop's prison as one convicted under fitting penalty. He has no chattels except the said two afers, which are valued at 6s., for which Robert son of John le Clerk will answer.

Waterford

William McKillenan, charged that he stole from Roger Heyne an afer worth 5s., and from Donhuth Ofylan an afer worth 5s., and from Malathlyn Ocohyt an afer worth half a mark, and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. William de Bycamptoun, Peter White, Hugh Brun, Walter Elys, John son of Reymund le Poer, David the Cook, Maurice Long, Thomas Corbaly, William Burgoyes, Peter Tany, Michael Galgeyl and Philip Sauters, jurors, say that William is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Waterford

Henry McRys, charged that he stole from the brothers of the order of S. Augustine of Dungarvan an afer worth half a mark, comes and defends, etc. And John de Norragh, Walter son of Meyler le Poer, William de Carreu, Adam son of William le Poer, William Sauvage, Walter de Rupe, John son of Peter le Poer, John son of Simon de Rupe, Gilbert Pembrok, Henry son of William, Robert Burhüyl and David le Poer, jurors, say that Henry is not guilty. Therefore he is quit. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Waterford

William son of Philip, Nicholas son of Philip and Remund his brother, charged with receiving David Des, Thomas de Rydelefford, John, son of Remund de Valle, John Olethan, Philip Ocormok, Thomas Osynyth, Thomas Obanan, and Ywyr Odoflata, felons, who slew the bailiff Eustace le Poer of Balydohyl in Co. Tipperary and robbed him of horses, cows and a great sum of silver money, stuffs and domestic utensils to the value of 100s., and are common thieves, and that William, Nicholas and Remund have art and part in their larcenies, knowing them to be concerned in the said robberies, come and defend, etc. And John son of Milo de Rupe, John son of Henry de Rupe, David de la Felde, Robert Mor, Adam Norrath, Adam de la Felde, Gilbert Sot, Walter Cork, Robert Lollay, Hugh Brabystoun, John de Norrath and Maurice le White, jurors, say that William, Nicholas and Remund are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. And Matthew le Flemyng, John Corbaly, Thomas Sauters, Maurice Moyl, Robert Corbaly, Richard Corbaly and Robert Adam, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Waterford

Rose, daughter of Richard de Balygaveran, charged that she, together with John le Saucer, stole from Henry Rymbaud by night in the city of Waterford a crannock of malt . . . worth 5s., and eleven ells of blue woollen cloth worth 2s. an ell and a hauberk worth 5s., comes and defends, etc. And Richard de Barry, Jordan de Bristoll, Walter Large, Dominic de Espan., Robert le Folour, John Gyls, John le Palmer, Richard le

Tayllour, David Tayllour, Robert Hullak, Robert le Rede, Peter de Northamptoun and John le Hore say that John le Saucer stole the said goods and carried them to the house of John le Rede, late husband of Rose, and Rose had a surcoat made of the said cloth, and the malt she converted to her own use. Asked whether Rose received the goods by the delivery of John Saucer without the knowledge of John le Rede, her husband, they say that John Saucer delivered the goods to John le Rede, Rose's husband, and Rose received the goods by delivery of John le Rede, and disposed thereof by the advice of the said John le Rede her husband. And because the jury testify that Rose is not suspected of any other misdeed, of grace suit of the King's peace is pardoned to her, so that she stand, etc. 1312

. . . . of . . . . ram de Wyncheslesse and Robert Russel de Ros, Laurence Br . . . . water is admitted . . . . for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for all trespasses committed by him to this day, by 20s., by pledge of Robert Russell of 10s. . . . abjuration of the King's land.

m. 45

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. MARY MAGDALEN, 24 July

a. r. 6.

Waterford

Henry Latrath', charged with the death of Adam Brun, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came one Henry, commissary of Matthew, bishop of Waterford, with letters patent of the bishop by which he assigned Henry as his commissary to demand all and singular clerks charged on this day at pleas of the crown before the Justiciar here, and demanded Henry in the bishop's name to be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known why he ought to be delivered, inquiry proceeds more fully as to the truth of the premises by the underwritten jurors, to wit, Robert Aylleward, John le Botiller of Caylmsaury, Nicholas de la Bataille, Thomas de Grane, John son of Adam, Adam de la Bataille, Philip son of Milo de Fornat, John Chewre, John Patrik, Philip Tornor, Edward Bruys, Thomas Kenselagh, Remund son of Maurice, John Lovesast and Gilbert Rendalf, who say that Henry Latrath' and another man whose name they know not came to the ferry of the Crok of Waterford with two afers and two bundles, wishing to cross to the liberty of Weyseford, and Adam Brun and his following came to Henry and his comrade and attacked them with insulting words, imputing to them that they were thieves and had acquired the afers by theft, whereupon Adam Brun and his following took and arrested the afers and Henry and his comrade went to the Island of Hervicius, and there obtained letters patent under the common seal of the town of the said island, testifying that they were loyal men, and with these letters, and having associated with them other men of the liberty of Weseford, they came to the parts of the Crok to demand the said afers, and Adam Brun and his following, seeing Henry and the others of the liberty of Weseford coming, ran to the town of Fayllig and armed themselves and their horses, and thus armed came outside the said town and raised their lances as though they would fight, and Henry and his comrades, seeing them riding, were afraid, and certain of them fled towards the sea, and others bent their bows, and Henry shot an arrow at the said horsemen and struck Adam Brun, riding and armed as above stated, under the left eye, from which blow he died. Therefore let Henry be delivered to the commissary to be kept in the bishop's prison as one convicted under fitting penalty, and let Henry's chattels be confiscated. And Gregory Herberd, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

1312  
Waterford

Adam Cristoffre son of William Cristoffre, charged with the death of William son of William Cristoffre his brother, comes and defends, etc. And Walter Elys, Michael Galgeyl, Thomas White of Cortlysny, William Burgeys, John . . . . of Remund le Poer, Adam Baldewyn, Adam de la Felde, John Juvenis, Henry Brabystoun, Hugh Brun, P . . . . White and Alfred de Bendevill, jurors, say that Adam took a certain distress upon the tenement of William for 7d. yearly rent which was owing to him. And William followed Adam to his house and insulted him on the said occasion. And Adam twice with his . . . . expelled him without doing him any harm. And finally William came a third time to Adam's house and raised a big stick which he had in his hand with intent to strike Adam upon the head, and Adam withdrew for William as far as the . . . .<sup>(1)</sup> of his house, and Adam, seeing that he could not escape otherwise, raised his axe and struck against William's stick, and his axe descended upon William's head and gave him a blow from which he died six days after. And they say precisely that Adam could not otherwise have escaped his own death. Afterwards Adam of grace is admitted to make fine, etc. by 40s., whereof he will pay 20s. yearly, one moiety at Easter and the other at Michaelmas, by pledge of William Bycantoun, John son of David Cristoffre . . . ., Richard Went, Andrew Wyndesore and Philip son of David Cristofre del Tarmun, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford

John McGillemory, chaplain of Killotheran, charged with receiving Adam son of Chaplain McGillemory, who is a common thief, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of John le Botiller, knight, and Thomas Fraunceys, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford

Roger Andreu, King's coroner in that county, gives to the King one mark for trespass to be remitted to him for that he concealed two afers of the chattels of Henry Latrath', felon for the death of Adam Brun, whereof he will pay half a mark yearly, one moiety at Michaelmas and the other at Easter, by pledge of John de Norragh and Griffin son of David Cristoffre.

Waterford

Philip Cristoffre, knight, in mercy for tumult and contempt in court, and because he entered at pleas of the crown contrary to direction and defence.

Chattels of Adam Cristoffre, 44s. 4d.

m. 45d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Waterford

Walter Ohatherne and William Otrassy, charged that they, on Trinity Sunday at the ninth hour, entered the church of Holy Trinity, Waterford, and there broke a chest before the altar of S. John Baptist, and out of the said chest robbed a breviary worth two marks and a chalice worth 20s., come and say they are clerks and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came one Henry, commissary of Matthew, bishop of Waterford, with letters patent of the bishop by which the bishop assigned Henry as his commissary to demand all and singular clerks charged at pleas of the crown at this day before the Justiciar, and demanded Walter and William in the bishop's name to be delivered to him as clerks. And that it may be known why they ought to be delivered to the said commissary inquiry proceeds more fully into

(1) " Bancum " written in margin.

the truth of the premises by the underwritten jurors, to wit, Jordan de Bristoll, Robert de Gloucestre, Robert Toukere, David Tayllour, Robert le Marischall, William le Tylere, Henry Goer, Walter Large, John son of Richard, Richard le Tayllour, Robert le Rede and Walter le Keu, who say that Walter is not guilty. Therefore he is quit. And they say that William is guilty. Therefore let him be delivered to the commissary to be kept in the bishop's prison as one convicted under fitting penalty. He has no chattels. 1312

At the instance of Arnald le Poer, knight, Henry son of William Hamond of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of Sarra, daughter of Robert Patrik, and Henry's mother, whom Henry slew by misadventure, as alleged, by 40s., by pledge of Thomas de Keynes, Stephen Fraunceys, Maurice son of Remund, Robert Aylleward, Gilbert son of Nicholas, Remund son of Maurice and John Duraunt, so that he stand to right, etc. And the said pledges are pledges of Henry to answer to the King for the true value of the goods and chattels of Henry which were taken into the King's hand because Henry withdrew on the occasion of the said death. And Roger Andreu, coroner, is directed to make a constat of the chattels. Afterwards the coroner returned that Henry has half a boat, worth 20s., for which the said pledges will answer. Waterford

At the instance of Milo son of Philip de Rupe, and for the good service which Milo and William son of Philip de Rupe and Patrick de Rupe, brothers of Milo, who were slain in the King's service, did, and also for the good service which Milo will hereafter do to the King, William son of John le Graunt is admitted to make fine, etc., for trespasses and felonies committed by him against the peace to this day, by 20 marks, of which he will pay 5 marks yearly, one moiety at Michaelmas and another at Easter, by pledge of Stephen Fraunceys, David Brun, Thomas de Norhamptoun, John son of Robert de Rupe, William le Graunt and Milo son of Philip de Rupe, so that he stand, etc. Waterford

At the instance of Arnald le Poer, knight, and for the good service of Arnald often done to the King and hereafter to be done, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Geoffrey de Nasse, Richard de Nasse, John son of Geoffrey de Nasse, Henry de Nasse, Roger son of Geoffrey de Nasse, Thomas son of David de Nasse, Gilbert son of David de Nasse, Clement de Nasse, David Loth Osethe, Maurice Kyft, and William Braynok, of all trespasses and felonies against the peace to this day; and any abjuration of the King's land and any outlawries published at the suit of the King against their persons are remitted to them, so that they stand, etc. Typperyary

At the instance of Arnald le Poer, knight, Walter son of William son of David de Kilcolyn is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies against the peace to this day except the death of an Englishman, by 20s., by pledge of William son of David de Kylcolyn and Walter Mulcote, so that he stand, etc. Typperyary

At the instance of John son of Peter le Poer of Dunhuyll, Benedict son of Benedict le Poer is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies against the peace to this day, by 5 marks, by pledge of John son of Peter le Poer of Dunhuyll and John son of John le Poer, so that he stand, etc. Typperyary

For the good service which Philip son of Adam Ketyng of Gortnegrak in the company of John Wogan often did to the King in fighting the felons of the mountains of Leinster, and for the good service hereafter to be done by Philip, suit of the peace is pardoned to him for all trespasses and felonies against the peace to this day, so that he stand, etc. Typperyary

1312

m. 46

31 July PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CLONMEL ON THE SIDE OF TIPPERARY BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. JAMES THE APOSTLE, a. r. 6.

Typperary

William Okally, charged with the death of Richard Laudefey, whom he must have slain feloniously at Burgagemylagh, comes and defends, etc. And Richard Blonchard, Geoffrey Sampson, John Codde, Walter Crinan, Roger Bratnagh, David Huethoun, Thomas Becche, Philip Becche, James Cosin, Adam Leche de Geel, John Lagles and Philip Lagles, jurors, say that when William Okally lay in the embrace of a certain woman, Richard came up and, moved by jealousy, took William by the feet and dragged him from the said woman, and as William returned Adam again dragged him away, and each retiring a little way they dug up sods and threw them at each other, and when William had thrown a sod there came out thereof a small stone and hurt Richard, and feeling that he was hurt, with a rush he drew his sword and struck and severely wounded William. Feeling this, William immediately drew his sword and therewith struck and wounded him fatally so that he died. Asked if he could otherwise have avoided death if he had not done this, they say no, because assuredly if William had not thus struck Richard, Richard was prepared to strike him again and kill him. Asked further if before or after this deed he was charged with larceny or any other evil charge, they say no, and he is not of ill fame; wherefore let him be committed to gaol to await judgment.

Typperary

John son of Andrew de Nasshe, taken in the act by Richard Berd, serjeant of the King at the suit of one Henry the dyer, to wit, with four <sup>(1)</sup> . . . of white woollen Irish cloth worth 4d. a . . . one housing worth 3d., one cap worth 1d., and one trivet worth  $\frac{1}{2}$ d., which goods were delivered to Henry the dyer to answer therefore by pledge of Peter son of Geoffrey, William le Taillour, Robert Monssoun and William de Ley, and demanded that he carried off the goods by theft against the peace, came and could not deny that drunkenness had caused him to do this in the town of Thorles. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none. And the goods are delivered to Henry the dyer, plaintiff at the said suit.

Typperary

William son of Nicholas de Bristoil, together with Robert de Carreu, William de Carreu, William son of Durant Comyn and Henry Elagh and his wife, charged that they by night burglariously entered the house of John Brysky and there robbed him of four . . . of stuffs worth 20s., three tunics with two surcoats worth 4 marks, two tablecloths and three towels worth 10s., twelve ells of white cloth worth 4s., one afer worth 10s., garlands and kerchiefs worth half a mark, one faling worth half a mark, and wounded Wantilian de Valle, wife of the said John, and Gonora, his sister, almost unto death, and leaving them half dead they departed with the said goods. And William son of Nicholas de Bristoil comes and says he is a clerk and will hold himself to his own crown and is not bound to answer anything here to such articles without the ordinary. And Robert de Carreu, William de Carreu and William son of Durant Comyn and the wife of Henry Elagh come not, but Henry Elagh comes and defends, etc. And Adam Biford, Abel . . . , John Braynok of Coulmor, Stephen Braynok, Robert son of David, Richard Bordoun, Elyas son of Walter, Thomas Braynok, Robert Braynok, Robert le Blount and William de Boly, jurors, say that William son of Nicholas de Brittoil and Henry Elagh are guilty, and Henry worked out the whole deed and had art and part therein and

(1) "Lamis" in margin.

is of ill fame. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none. And because it seems to the Justiciar that William son of Nicholas de Brittoil is a clerk and no prelate demands him on behalf of the church, therefore let him be committed to gaol to await grace.

1312

Walter O Brenan, charged that he was a consenting party in all the burglaries and robberies to be done by the aforesaid . . . Bresky, and that he broke according to his custom stacks in harvest time, comes and defends, etc. And . . . Byford, Stephen Braynok, Robert son of David, Richard Bordoun, Elias son of Walter, Thomas Braynok, Robert Braynok, Robert le Blount, William Boly, Richard Pucele, John Crompe and Martin son of John, jurors, say that Walter is guilty thereof and of many other things, and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, one croft . . . sown, worth 6d., wherefor the sheriff, Richard le Poer, will answer.

Typperary

Thomas, son of Roger le Crouthour, charged that he stole a knife from Roger his father, worth 8d., and that he broke the door of the house of Gagyna Wythir in broad day and had and carried away wool to the value of 8d., and that he entered the church of Kildenal and broke open the chests of divers persons and stole thereout . . . and other small things to the value of 12d., and that he stole from Ralph de Stredowy fourteen . . . numbered coins, and that he is a common thief of chests . . . and other muniments, comes and defends, etc. Hammond Prat, Richard Prat, Stephen de Nasshe, Thomas de Neeth, Henry Rathlan, Ilger Heyne, Hugh Possewyk, Thomas . . ., Robert Cuilefer, Andrew Broun, Henry Rothe and Philip Stafford, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty and is not of ill fame. Therefore he is quit.

Typperary

Philip Sprottoun, John Sprot, and Nicholas O Callan, charged that they received John O Crahan, who slew Andrew le Poer and others, and was also a common robber, and that they had art and part in the robberies, and the whole country is the worse for them, and that they are common thieves, come and defend, etc. . . . Maurice le Waleys, Robert son of Griffin, Gilbert Somersted, William Durant, Peter Broun, . . . John Lothken, Ralph Laynagh, Nicholas de Carreu and Wydy le Flemyng, jurors, say that Philip, John and Nicholas are guilty. Therefore let them be hanged. Chattels of Philip Sprot, one cow worth 40d., the crop of an acre and a half of corn worth . . . Sprot and Nicholas O Callan, none; they have no free land.

Typperary

m. 46d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Adam son of John de Midia, charged that he feloniously slew William Drak, an Englishman, whom he basely struck in the breast with a knife and killed him, as alleged, comes and defends, etc. David Rothan, John Ede, Roger son of William Payn, Nicholas son of Maurice, Henry Beg, John le Devenys, William Crick, John son of Henry, Richard le Blount, John Hyne, Thomas de la Sale and Roger Wymound, jurors, say that when William Drak went out of a certain tavern, strife and dissension arose between William Drak and the wife of Adam son of John de Midia, who called the own brother of William Drak an apostate, whereupon William, moved with wrath, beat her badly, and Adam, coming up to tear his wife from the hands of William, as the said apostate refused to permit Adam to approach his own wife, bent his bow and shot at the apostate with two arrows, and when he had no more, began to fly, and William Drak and the apostate pursued him, and when William had

Typperary

1312 caught Adam he threw him on the ground under him and drawing his knife, gave Adam four wounds therewith, and Adam, feeling that he was grievously hurt and could not escape the murderous hands of William, drew his knife and struck William upwards in the breast. And William rose and, thinking he had truly killed Adam first, fled swiftly to the church of Thronoill, in which he afterwards died of the said wound. Therefore let Adam be committed to gaol to await the King's grace. Free land of Adam, eight acres in fee, worth 8½d. an acre yearly, one messuage in fee worth 2d. yearly, two small houses with two yards from his wife's dowry worth 2s. yearly, five acres and a half from the said dowry worth 8d. an acre yearly. Chattels of Adam, the crop of four acres of beans worth four shillings an acre. Afterwards Adam gives to the King for grace, etc., and for having again his said lands 100s., by pledge of John de Midia, William de Midia, Thomas de Midia, Symon Aylward, Symon de la Sale, Philip Eylward, Robert son of Baudewin Haket, Richard de Lees, John Dreytoun, John Braynok, Richard son of John Eylward and Robert Warrot.

Tipperary Richard Meyler, King's coroner of the parts of Iuowyn, witnesses that Thomas Laynagh of Ardfynan unlawfully . . . the half carucate of land which was taken into the King's hand by the said coroner for trespass and felony whereof William Og, who formerly held that land in the town of Ardfynan, was charged in Co. Tipperary, to wit, for the death of Andrew le Poer, basely slain by William, as alleged. He comes and cannot deny that he entered the said land after it was taken into the King's hand. Therefore let him be committed to gaol for the said trespass, and the coroner is directed to seize again the land into the King's hand and to answer to the Exchequer for the issues.

Tipperary Reginald Cut, charged that he stole a pitchfork worth 12d. from Comdyn O Brochran, comes and defends, etc. John de Valle, Andrew Seysill, Maurice son of John, Henry Moyglas, Thomas Lovel, Robert Marche, Adam Boniour, John Broun, William Syward, Thomas Syward, Philip Braynok and Peter Stikehare, jurors, testify that the pitchfork was only worth 6d., and that he took it owing to poverty. Therefore no judgment. Chattels, ten sheep worth 5s. 6d., for which Oliver Haket, knight, lord of Reginald, will answer.

Tipperary Peter Coroure, charged that he stole from Mathew Raley an afer worth 5s. and four bushels of wheat worth 8d. each and one horse covering worth 4d., which afer he sold for 5s. at Benlek to one Richard Not, who was ignorant of the theft, but wondered whence Peter could have obtained such an afer and retained the money until he had security for good faith. Afterwards Peter came to one Matilda, his mother, and on account of the witness of Matilda he paid Peter the money, and Matilda knew of the theft and had art and part in the theft and the money. They come and defend, etc. Hamoun Prat, Richard Prat, Stephen de Nasshe, Thomas de Neeth, Henry Rathlan, Ilger Heyne, Hugh Possewyk, Thomas son of Ralph, Robert Cuilfer, Andrew Broun, Henry Roth and Philip Stafford, jurors, say that Peter Corroure is guilty and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none. And as to Matilda his mother, they say that she is not guilty and is not of ill fame. Therefore she is quit.

Tipperary Maurice Lithfot, charged with the death of Richard le Hore, whom he basely slew at Le Roube in a meeting, comes and defends, etc. John le Cornewaleys, John le Gommond, William son of Roger de la Sale, John de Loundres, Richard Mannyng, William son of Richard, Andrew Sancy, Reginald McOtyr, Thomas le Bret, Richard Braynok, Henry



son of Robert and Maurice son of Walter, jurors, say that Maurice Lythfot is guilty and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none. 1312

At the instance of Richard le Poer, knight, and for forty shillings which Henry son of Adam gives to the King, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Henry, as far as regards the King, for the death of John son of Adam, whereof Henry is charged, and also the outlawry, if any, on that occasion published against him, so that he stand, etc., by pledge of John de Loundres, John de St. Alban, Nanagh Obreen and Master Richard son of John de Areth.

## m. 47

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CLONMEL ON THE SIDE OF TIPPERARY BEFORE JOHN WOGAN, JUSTICIAR, MONDAY AFTER S. JAMES, a. r. 6. 31 July

Alan O Kally and Richard O Kally, charged that they received John Ultagh, felon for the death of John le Seriaunt, knowing John to be a common thief of everything he could lay hold of, and that they had art and part therein, come and defend, etc. Geoffrey son of Richard, Ralph Crick William Crick, Reginald Crick, Peter son of Walter, Richard le Whyte of Runeger, John Ely of Tipperary, William Ciprian, Nicholas son of Maurice, Philip Kent, William Pollard and Roger Payn, jurors, say that Alan and Richard are guilty. Therefore let them be hanged. Chattels, none. Tipperary

Symon son of Adam son of Symon of Maistrestoun and Thomas O Killith, charged that they robbed Roger son of Adam of Maistrestoun of three marks of silver and John O Tayg of thirty sheep worth 8d. each at Cnokanveegh, come and defend, etc. Henry Inyas, Andrew Seysel, Maurice son of John, William de la Sale, Robert Crinan, Adam Staffan, Walter le Blount, Walter Weyvile, David Weyvile, Robert Flanvile, Philip Braynok and John de Loundres, jurors, say that Symon son of Adam son of Symon and Thomas O Gillith are not guilty and are not of ill fame. Therefore they are quit. Tipperary

Philip le Hore le Croughour, attached for a certain deforcement done to the King's serjeant, comes and cannot deny that he deforced the serjeant, etc., and seeks grace of the court, and is received of the grace of the Justiciar to make fine by half a mark, by pledge of John de Loundres and Roger le Croughour. Tipperary

John le Blound del Rath in Astmaynnor, charged that he received Adam le Flemyng, felon for the death of Stephen son of Adam son of Symon and also of the death of one Henry the weaver, knowing Adam to be a common robber, comes and defends, etc. John de Valle, Robert Marche, Adam Boniour, John Broun, William Syward, Thomas Syward, Thomas Lovel, David . . . . rard, Peter Stikehare, David son of Hugh, William Strangman and John Laynagh, jurors, say that John is not guilty. Therefore he is quit. Tipperary

Hugh de Stafford, charged that he received William de Stafford, felon, and also that he received Richard son of Walter de Valle, a common robber in the county of Tipperary, and that he has art and part in his robberies, knowing William and Richard to be what they were, comes and defends, etc. Walter Mulcote, Andrew Saucy, John . . . . ham, Henry Ker, Richard Mauclerk, Richard Scadan, Richard Bordoun, Tipperary

1312 Nicholas de Brittoil, Roger le Bret, Thomas . . . ., Stephen de Loundres and Adam son of Hugh Brysky, say that Hugh is guilty and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol. Chattels, the crop of two acres and a half of wheat and two acres of oats worth 40d. an acre, for which the sheriff, Richard le Poer, will answer. Afterwards, in the octave of the Purification, at Dublin, in the above year, before Edmund le Butiller, custos of Ireland, Thomas de S. John, knight, made fine with the King for Hugh for suit of the peace to be pardoned to Hugh for the said charges, by 20 marks, of which he will pay 4 marks yearly, half at Easter and half at Michaelmas. Afterwards William Alysandre, Justice, records that Thomas de S. John and James de Stafford are pledges of Hugh for the said fine.

Typperary

Henry le Whyte, charged that he is a thief and taken in the act, to wit, with a portion of wool and malt worth 6d., which he secretly took and carried away, comes and defends, etc. David le Blound, Ralph Roth, Nicholas Wrench, . . . . le Rede, Peter Broun, William Duraunt, Stephen de Lochlochy, John Keting, David Bordoun, Adam son of . . . ., Richard Heylot and John Chambreleyn, jurors, say that Henry le Whyte is guilty, but is not of ill fame, and only took this from poverty and distress. Therefore let him be committed to gaol to await grace. Chattels, 6d., for which the sheriff, Richard le Poer, will answer.

. . . . lerk and Deonisia his wife, charged that when strife and dissension arose between the said Richard . . . . ence son of Hugh for certain land which belonged to Adam Tysoun, whereby Michael son of the said Richard Maucl . . . . instigated Hugh son of Patrick to burn a . . . .

m. 47d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Typperary

For the good service which Richard le Waleys, knight, has often done to the King in the company of John Wogan, Justiciar, and also for the good service which he will hereafter do, suit of the King's peace, as far as concerns the King, for receiving Richard son of Walter de Valle, knight, felon in the county of Typperary, whereof Thomas son of Neel McSeyrselneyth Oneel and master John Oneel, clerk, are indicted or charged, is pardoned to the said Thomas and master John, the said Richard le Waleys' men, because the receiving was against their will, and also any outlawry published against them, so that Thomas, who was the greater transgressor, do so no more.

Cork

At the instance of John son of Peter le Poer of Donoill, Ris son of Reymund Beket is admitted to make fine, etc., for all transgressions and felonies to this day, by £10, by pledge of John son of John le Whyte Poer until he find other pledges, and by the same fine any abjuration of the King's land which he made and any outlawry published against him are remitted to Ris, so that he stand, etc.

For the good service which Adam Ketyng of Gortnegrag, Thomas son of Adam Ketyng and Philip Falyagh Ketyng have done and will hereafter do to the King, and at the instance of Arnald le Per, knight, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done by Arnald to the King, suit of the King's peace is pardoned to Adam, Thomas and Philip for all trespasses and felonies to Friday after S. Valentine, a. r. 3, so that they stand, etc.

At the instance of Philip Cristofre, knight, and for the good service of Philip hitherto done and hereafter to be done to the King, John Cristofre de . . . junior, Stephen son of Adam Cristofre, Robert son of Adam Cristofre and Philip son of Richard Cristofre of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, except killing of an Englishman, and gross felonies, by five marks, by pledge of John son of David Cristofre and Philip son of David Cristofre of 16s. 8d., of Geoffrey son of Adam Cristofre and Richard son of Henry Cristofre of 16s. 8d., of David son of Griffin Cristofre and Stephen son of Adam Cristofre of 16s. 8d., and of Henry son of Richard Cristofre and Richard Cristofre of 16s. 8d., so that he stand, etc.

1312

Waterford

m. 48

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CASSELL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, SATURDAY AFTER MICHAELMAS, a. r. 6.

30 Sept.

Walter de S. Albino, charged with receiving Finin McJohan, William, son of Dovenold Og McGilpatrik, Geoffrey O Cudy, Domlech O Cudy, John O Cudy, Comdin O Tosshy McNelare, and that he has art and part in all their misdeeds done in the parts of Slefardagh and elsewhere in the parts of the Comsy by the said Finin and others of his following, Irish felons etc., comes and defends, etc. John son of Robert, Richard le Waleys, John de Cantewell, Andrew Seisel, Ralph Kerdiff, Philip Kerdiff, Mathew son of Oliver, John de Londres, Roland Haket, Joice Mauclerk, Abel Brisky and John son of Richard de S. Albino, jurors, say that Walter received Finin and other Irish felons, knowing them to be common robbers, but Walter had no part in the robberies of the said malefactors. Asked if Walter is suspected of any other misdeed, they say no. Afterwards Walter is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, and also for suit of the peace to be pardoned to Walter himself, John son of Walter de S. Albino, John Comsy de S. Albino, Laurence, son of Adam de S. Albino, Richard son of Richard de S. Albino and Philip son of Richard de S. Albino for all other trespasses and felonies to this day, by £10, by pledge of John de Birmyngham until they find other pledges, so that they stand, etc.

Typperary

Reymund le Ercediakne, charged that he received Hugh le Grant, felon for the death of William Sortals, feloniously slain by Hugh as alleged, and also that he received Silvester de Mareis, Henry Ohogan, William Ohogan, Nicholas Ohogan and Richard McRoery Ohogan, common robbers and thieves who robbed the town of Martinistoun of divers goods to the value of £10, and also that he received Adam Brek Ohogan, an outlawed felon in the County Typperary, knowing him to be an outlaw, and that he has art and part in the robberies which the said malefactors committed through the country, and that he could have punished them well and taken them had he wished, comes and defends, etc. John son of Robert, John de Druhull, Thomas de S. John, John de Cantewell, John Dreytoun, Thomas Crik, Richard Blanchard, Mathew son of Oliver, Peter le Poer, Henry Haket, Peter son of Walter and Ralph Crik, jurors, say that Reymund is not guilty of the charges, except only of receiving Adam Brek Ohogan the outlaw, being entirely ignorant that he was outlawed. Afterwards Reymund of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 10 marks, by pledge of John le Engleis, William le Engleis, James Stafford and Henry le Ercediakne, so that he stand to right, etc. Afterwards at the instance of the said Reymund suit of the peace is pardoned to Silvester de Mareys, Richard de Mareys, David O Boyhan,

Typperary

1312 John de Mareys of Bruury, Gilbert le Ercediakne, David Hay, Stephen O Bodre, Stephen Okerekan, James Stafford, Laurence Stafford and Thomas son of Laurence Stafford, Reymund's men, for all trespasses and felonies to this day except murder, rape and arson, so that they stand, etc.

Typperary

James Ketyng, knight, charged that he robbed of Robert Ketyng his son 240 sheep . . . . and 24 pigs worth 24s., and of David le Flemmyng five cows worth . . . . and six hives of bees worth 20s., and that he robbed of the goods of Laurence son of Hugh a rick . . . . a rick of oats worth twenty marks after the death of the said Laurence, and that he was wont to send his serving men . . . . to break ricks and chests of liege men to take therefrom provender for his horses . . . . the country as well from his tenants as from other men of the country victuals for his pleasure . . . . that he makes his serving men beat the country men who prevented them . . . . the like victuals . . . . daughter of Richard Coillagh of a cow worth half a mark within the compass of the King's court in this . . . . and paid nothing for the said cow, which he consumed in his own house, comes and defends, etc. John son of Robert . . . . Druhull, Thomas de S. John, knight, John de Cantewell, Roland Haket, Richard . . . . Edmund de Valle, John de Valle, Mathew son of Olyver and James son of Philip de Valle, jurors, say that James is guilty of all the charges except the robbery of the said . . . . that he had not of the corn of Laurence son of Hugh robbed as aforesaid except forty crannocks worth . . . . Afterwards James of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40 marks, so that he stand, etc.

m. 48d.

. . . . that a contention having arisen between Adam Martel and Thomas Hey in a field where Adam was reaping . . . . with a stone struck Adam on the back of the head, from which blow Adam on the eight day . . . . died, whereupon Thomas, who had no chattels, was immediately taken and delivered to Richard le Poer, sheriff . . . . caused Thomas to be delivered to Walter Maunsell, chief serjeant of the King in that county, to be brought by Walter . . . . to the King's prison of the castle of Roscree, and said Walter and his sub-serjeants . . . . said Thomas . . . . that Thomas escaped from them in the town of Thorles because of their bad guard and is of ill fame. . . . outlawed. Chattels, none; he has no free land. And to judgment for the escape. Escape on . . . . Maunsell. And let Walter sue for recovery from his sub-serjeants if it seem good to him.

m. 49

13 Oct. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, IN THE QUINZAIN OF MICHAELMAS, a. r. 6.

Dublin

Henry Gilkokessone and Walter le Waleys, charged that they feloniously stole from master Walter de Istelep, escheator of Ireland, three horses at Kyntork, comes and defends, etc. Richard Oseberne, John Michel, Elyas le Rede, Henry le Waleys, John Bruges, William Wodebrid, Gilbert Lusk, Nicholas Tyrel, Stephen Bernard, John le Blunt, Andrew de Rabo, Thomas Ivias, Nicholas Tynbegh, Gregory Tentoun and John the clerk, jurors, say that Henry and Walter are guilty. Therefore let them be hanged.

William le Mouner of Tulok, charged with receiving Richard de Morydaunte, who elsewhere before John Wogan the Justiciar, was convicted of stealing from Isolda de Wycombe a faling worth 20d., and 28d., a tunic worth 8d., an axe worth 4d., and that he had art and part with Richard de Moridaunte in all his thefts, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Robert de Rath, so that he stand, etc.

1312  
Dublin

At the instance of John son of Peter de Byrmyngham suit of the peace is pardoned to Richard son of Henry Donewygh for the death of Richard son of Richard Lydyr, feloniously slain by him. Therefore the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent to be made to the said Richard son of Henry Donewygh for the said pardon in customary form, so that he stand, etc.

Kildare

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CATH., BEFORE THE SAID CUSTOS IN THE MONTH OF MICHAELMAS, a. r. 6. 27 Oct.

Math. Peck, charged that he feloniously . . . . comes and defends, etc. Michael Cadel, . . . . chepol, Robert Cadel, Thomas Cadel, Ralph son of . . . . Bendevill, jurors, say that . . . . common thief of geese, hens, . . . . has no free land.

m. 49d.

No entries.

m. 50

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, FRIDAY THE MORROW OF ALL SOULS, a. r. 6. 3 Nov.

John Omolmorath, charged that he feloniously slew by night Robert Goer in the town of Kilrossnetyn, and that he stole from Philip des Autes two afers worth 10s., that he is a common thief and has art and part in all the thefts and larcenies in the county, comes and defends, etc. Milo son of Henry le Poer, Philip Goth, Philip des Autes, David des Autes, Philip Kenn, Thomas le Mareschal, Adam son of Maurice, Henry Swetman, William Cadewely, Edmund de Valle, Walter Braynok and John le Mareschal, jurors summoned come not; therefore in mercy. Griffin Yago, David Kenn, Milo son of Henry le Poer, Philip Goth, Philip Kenn, Thomas le Mareschal, Adam son of Maurice, Henry Swetman, William Cadewely, Edmund de Valle, Walter Braynok and John le Mareschal, jurors, say that John is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 10s.; he has no free land. Afterwards the twelve jurors fined as above made fine by one mark for the said mercy to be pardoned to them by mutual pledge. John le Whyte Poer, sheriff of Waterford, will answer for the chattels.

Waterford

Cristyn O Galuan, charged that he stole from Padyn O Lathnan seven cows worth 40d. each and led them to the county of Cork, comes and defends, etc. Alfred de Bendevill, William son of Warin, John Bycamptoun, Thomas Brituill, Andrew son of Nicholas, Henry de la Chapele, Nicholas de Riche, William Patrik, Henry Brabestoun, Philip Osberne, Nicholas Moyll and William Deverus, jurors, say that Cristyn O Galuan is not guilty and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit. David son of Griffin Cristoffre, Walter Deverus and William Brituill, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Waterford

1312  
Waterford

John Gasgoun is charged that he stole from Richard O Teylyn an afer worth half a mark, for which larceny and other trespasses John Rossell, serjeant of Oweth, was directed to take him, his goods and chattels, and John Gasgoun, perceiving that the serjeant was coming to take him, fled to the church of Glasmor and withdrew from the said church in the company of John Cristoffre alias Brodeye, a common thief and felon for the death of divers Englishmen feloniously slain by him in the county, and from that time until now that the said John is taken, he kept himself commonly at war against the King. He comes and says nothing, but is silent as if dumb. And twelve jurors present that John is well able to speak, and is silent of malice, pretending to be dumb. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol to the diet, etc. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Adam son of Griffin Cristoffre, Philip Bourne, Adam Ostiler, Richard Otuel, David son of Griffin Cristoffre (these names are struck out, and over them is written, "committed by direction of the Justiciar for custody to the King's prisons"), Robert le Waleys and Nicholas le Waleys, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

• Waterford

Gilleburyn O Lehan, charged that he stole from Thomas de Brituill two wethers worth 2s., and from Philip Cristoffre eight sheep worth 8d., and Henry O Sourehan, charged that he stole from Luke O Tetlyn an afer worth half a mark, come and defend, etc. Alfred de Bendevill, William son of Warin, Henry de la Chapele, Henry son of Richard Cristofre, Nicholas le Riche, Thomas Brituill, Andrew son of Nicholas, Henry Brabestoun, Nicholas Moyll, William Patrik, John Bycamptoun, William Bycamptoun and Philip Osberne, jurors, say that Gilleburyn is guilty and that Henry O Sourehan is not guilty and is not suspected of any other misdeed; therefore Henry is quit. Afterwards Gilleburyn is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Geoffrey son of Adam Cristoffre and John de Norragh, so that he stand to right, etc. Nicholas Gascoyn, John Sampson and Walter the Welshman, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

. . . . r de Kilruncyn, charged that when his son David stole from Thomas Cowill an afer worth half . . . . and was taken with the stolen goods at Clonmele, knowing that David was taken with the said stolen goods, came to Clonmele and avowed that the afer was his own, and so caused the said thief to be delivered . . . . well knowing how David had stolen the afer, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of John son of John le Poer, William de . . . . son of Richard le Poer, so that he stand to right, etc.

. . . . together with other malefactors came to a certain vessel found in the port of Waterford and . . . . and robbed a chest . . . . stone placed in the said vessel, and the goods within the said . . . . of borel worth . . . . a garment of mixed stuffs worth 2s., two linen aprons, . . . . de Lathdyn worth 12d., and other . . . . puts himself on the country . . . .

m. 50d.

. . . . of the said charges and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford

Richard son of John O Doly, charged that he commonly, under pretence of seeking a courtesy, wanders through the country and takes sheep, lambs, pigs, bonhams, geese and hens against the will of the men of the country, and also that he took from Malrony Offey 6d. by threats and against his will, comes and defends, etc. Adam Hostiller, Richard

Otuel, Nicholas Moyll, Philip Osberne, Adam son of Griffin Cristoffre, William Patrik, Henry Brabestoun, Philip Galgoill, William Bycamtoun, John Bycamtoun, Symon son of William and Lowys Mape, jurors, say that Richard is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit. And John Sampson, juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. 1312

Geoffrey MacTravene, charged that he feloniously slew Henry Sygyn in the town of Athmethan in the house of Matthew the carpenter, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. Thereupon came master Roger Rossell, archdeacon of Lismore, with letters patent of W., bishop of Lismore, by which the bishop assigned the archdeacon to demand clerks charged before the Justiciar here at pleas of the crown, and demanded Geoffrey as a clerk. And that it may be known for what he ought to be delivered, inquiry is made more fully into the truth of the premises by the underwritten jurors, to wit, John son of Milo de Rupe, Richard Went, Robert the Dyer, Philip son of David Cristoffre, Philip Prout, Thomas Blount, John le Blount, Peter le Blount, David le Cue, Adam de la Felde, William de la Feld, Richard O Tuel and Gilbert Sett. The jurors say that Geoffrey son of John McKentravene is guilty of the death of Henry Sygyn. Therefore let him be delivered to the archdeacon to be kept in the bishop's prison as a convicted clerk under fitting penalty. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Waterford

Walter son of David de Rupe, charged that he, together with Henry Boyl who is a common robber, robbed Michael O Conlehan of a wether worth 12d., comes and of grace, because he is a minor, to wit, about fifteen years, is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Walter, son of David de Rupe of Porlicket, so that he stand to right, etc. Waterford

Robert le Mineter, charged that he, together with other felons, feloniously slew John le Grant in John's house at Balyglan, and that he robbed John of stuffs, armour, forty cows, eight afers, one horse and sixty pigs, worth £40, and that he stole from John le White Poer two afers worth one mark, comes and defends, etc. Richard Witfeld, Maurice Cristoffre, Alfred de Bendevill, Barthol. de Kerdiff, Adam son of William le Poer, Henry Witfeld, John son of Robert le Poer, Philip son of John Wolf, John son of William le Botiller, Gilbert Pembrok, William son of Warin and Henry Cristofre, jurors, say that Robert is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Waterford

Philip Edward, charged with receiving the said Robert le Mineter, felon of the King, who was hanged, and with the theft of the said afers, comes and defends, etc. John son of Milo de Rupe, William Grey, John son of Walter Albus, Thomas Albus of Balyachram, Lodowyc Mape, Godebert de Rupe, Maurice le Lung, Thomas Broun, Geoffrey le Poer of Balydorne, Simon son of Hugo, Philip Albus of Tarment, Robert Lollay and John Cristoffre of Tarment, jurors, say that Philip ate and drank with Robert, but was unaware that he was guilty of the said charges, and Philip is not guilty of the theft of the afers, but ate in the company of Robert le Mineter his part of the three sheep which Robert stole, and he knew how they were stolen. Afterwards of grace Philip is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges and for having again his chatttels, by 10s. 4d., by pledge of Philip son of Simon Edward and Richard Edward, so that he stand to right, etc. Waterford

William Herberd, charged that he, together with other felons, feloniously slew John le Grant in John's house at Balyglan, and that he robbed John of stuffs, armour, forty cows, twenty calves, eight afers, Waterford

1312 one horse and sixty pigs, worth £40, and that he stole from John le Whyte Poer two afers worth one mark, comes and says nothing, but is silent as if mute. Twelve jurors present that William is well able to speak and is silent of malice, pretending to be mute. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol to the diet. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Waterford

Theobald le Poer and Edward le Poer, charged that they procured and abetted John son of Mathew le . . . berd, Robert le Myneter, now hanged, and other felons of the surname of the Offoullithes, to slay John . . . Balyglan, and that they agreed to do the said felony, and John son of Matthew le Poer and the others . . . the like abetting, procuration and agreement, by prearranged attack they came to the manor . . . of Balyglan and there found John le Grant and feloniously . . . outside the manor robbed all the goods and chattels which belonged to John le Grant . . . value of £40, of which felony Robert le Mineter was convicted here before the Justiciar and hanged, and also charged at . . . committed the said felony in the said manner, come and defend, etc. . . . Blakman le Poer, Simon Ed . . . , Nicholas son of Griffin . . . la Freigne, Laurence de Ne . . . de Pembrok . . .

m. 51

3 Nov. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, FRIDAY THE MORROW OF ALL SOULS, a. r. 6.

Waterford

John le Whyte, charged with receiving William Herberd, a common and notorious robber in the county, who, together with Robert le Myneter, now hanged, feloniously slew John le Grant at Balyglan and robbed John of divers his goods and chattels found there to the value of £40, and that he has art and part in the robberies of William Herberd, comes and defends, etc. Laurence de Norragh, Richard Wittfeld, Maurice Cristofre, Alfred de Bendevill, Bartholomew de Kerdiff, Adam son of William le Poer, Henry Wittfeld, John son of Robert le Poer, Philip son of John Wolf, John son of William le Botiller, Gilbert Pembrok, William son of Warin and Henry Cristofre, jurors, say that John is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford

Gregory Herberd, charged with receiving William Herberd (see previous entry), and that he had art and part in the robberies of William, comes and defends, etc. (This entry is struck out).

Waterford

Adam de Leye and Fey la Poer his wife, charged with receiving William Offoulith and Stephen Offoulith, common and notorious robbers, who were concerned in the death of John le Grant, and that they have art and part in the robberies of William and Stephen, come and defend, etc. Stephen le Poer, and Philip Cristofre, and John le Botiller, knights, John son of Benedict le Poer, William de Carreu, Walter son of Griffin le Poer, Andrew son of Griffin le Poer, Thomas de Northampton, Robert Fraunceys, Richard de Valle, Geoffrey son of David, Hey de Valle and David Blakeman le Poer, jurors, say that Adam and Fey are guilty. Afterwards Adam and Fey of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by £10, by pledge of John de Norragh, Theobald le Poer, Edward le Poer, Eustace son of Mathew le Poer, Ben . . . son of Andrew le Poer, Philip Goth, Stephen Fraunceys, Bartholomew de Kerdiff, Amory Poer and Laurence de Norragh, so that they stand to the charge, etc.

Waterford

At the instance of William son of Warin, Dufesse Ynynlynechan and Saynyn Ynynurik are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day except murder and arson, by 40s., by pledge of William son of Warin, William son of Nicholas, Henry de Capella, Symon Hostiller and Stephen Rossell, so that they stand, etc.



Thomas Gamboun, charged that he, together with other thieves, stole from David O Bodre six cows worth . . . each, and from John Connauthtagh a cow and a calf worth half a mark, comes and defends, etc. John de la Freigne, Richard Went, William Bycampton, Hugh Brabestoun, Richard Brabestoun, William son of Reg . . . Feld, Alfred Bendevill, William Grey, Adam de la Feld, David de la Feld, David Cok, Maurice le . . . le Deyer, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit. John le Flemyng of Athfern., Hugh Bra . . . , Alexander de Rupe and John Scurlag, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

1312  
Waterford

Thomas Fraunceys, charged that he, together with Adam O Brogan, stole an afer from Donewit . . . and also that he received Andrew son of Benedict le Poer in . . . of Waterford and secretly gave him food and drink at the time when Andrew was at war against the King . . . he received John son of William le Botiller, Reymund son of Richard de Cnokennaume, Reymund . . . who openly set themselves at war against the King, to rob and to do many other evils, comes and defends, etc. And . . . John de la Freigne, John Kemmeys, John son of Robert le Poer, Meiler le . . . , Meiler le Poer, Richard de Witfeld, William Sauvage, Gilbert Nest, John Arnald . . . Jordan de Bristoll and Richard Randalf, jurors, say that . . . charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford

m. 51d.

Ranyld O Hayngle, charged with receiving Dovenald O Garvyth, Dovenald McBren and their accomplices, outlaws, and Clarice, daughter of the said Ranyld, and Moryn his wife, charged that they have art and part with the said outlaws in their robberies, are admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of William son of Philip and Meylor son of David le Poer of Salagh Typerid., Robert Burgyll, William des Autes, Richard le Proute, Milo son of Reymund de Rupe, Henry Albus of Sythan, John Cristofre of Tarmen, Robert Kemmeys and Thomas son of Richard de Moycolpe, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Waterford

m. 62<sup>(1)</sup>

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT ROS BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, IN THE OCTAVE OF S. MARTIN,  
a. r. 6.

18 Nov.

John son of John le Poer, knight, John son of Robert le Poer, knight, Eustace son of Mathew le Poer, John son of Mathew le Poer, Philip son of Andrew le Poer, Henry son of Milo le Poer, Adam son of Milo le Poer, John son of Mathew le Poer, Milo son of Robert le le Poer, William son of Geoffrey son of Batyn le Poer, David Cristofre son of Nicholas, John son of Walter Cristofre, Walter de Norragh, Hugh son of Geoffrey de Norragh, Reymund de Norragh, Nicholas de Norragh, Richard de Norragh, Edmund son of Laurence de Norragh, Griffin de Norragh, James de Norragh, Peter son of Hugh Aylward, Stephen Offoullith, William Offoullith, Doncon Offoullith, Murthut Offoullith, Malaghlin Offoullith, Robert Offoullith, Conechur Offoullith, Nel Offoullith, Philip Offlonnegan, William Myagh, Richard O Molbrogan, Alumpnus . . . Mohill, Nywe Inyn Solewan, John McManyth, William son of Osbert, Gillepatrik McSchyttrok, Henry Ketyng, John Baldoweyn, Robert O Conlan, Mathew O Conlan, Andrew O Conlan,

Waterford

<sup>(1)</sup> An alternative transcript exists for portions of this and the following membranes, down to 67d; it is numbered m.52 to m.57d.

1312 Griffin Calf, John Payn, John Harold, Maurice McKarwill, Adam O Karwil, Thomas O Monan Ronelagh, Gilcomde O Doyrin, physician, Edmund O Nel and Andrew O Nel, charged with divers trespasses and felonies, are admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of John le Graunt and Nicholas Edward, and for all other trespasses and felonies in the county of Waterford to this day, except the death of other Englishmen, rape of women, murder and arson, by £100, by pledge of John son of Robert le Poer, John son of John le Poer, knight, Theobald le Poer, John de Norragh, Edward le Poer, Eustace son of Mathew le Poer, Geoffrey de Norragh, Laurence de Norragh, Hugh de Norragh, Simon son of Laurence de Norragh, Richard son of Adam de Norragh, John son of Geoffrey de Norragh, William son of Adam son of Robert, Daniel son of Richard, William Fythard, Richard Hyne, Philip le Sanger senior, Henry the clerk of Stradbaly, Laurence Osme, John Ryvel, Adam Osmer, John de Norragh, Philip son of Andrew le Poer, Roger Andreu and Adam son of William le Poer, so that they stand to the charge, etc. And moreover Arnald le Poer, knight, in court here mainprised that if any of his surname in the future should do evil and make himself amenable to justice, he would as far as lay in his power surrender the delinquent to the King's prison to await justice. And be it known that the mainprise which John le Poer, baron of Donoill, and others of his surname made elsewhere before William de Burgo, then *locum tenens* of the Justiciar, so far as relates to the preservation of the King's peace, shall stand firm according to the form which is more fully contained in the rolls of pleas of the time of the said William de Burgo, a. r. 2.

25 Nov. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE THE SAID CUSTOS IN THE QUINZAINÉ OF S. MARTIN, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin

Richard le Mareschal, charged that he stole from Walter Aylloun (1) and William Burtoun at Clonsylagh one chest and woollen and linen garments found therein to the value of one mark, and 7s. of silver in penny pieces, with which he was taken guilty-handed by Richard Tyrell at Castelnok at the suit of Walter and William, who present in court sue Richard for the theft, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer therefor here. And thereupon came John, rural dean of the church of S. Patrick, with letters patent of master William Rodierd, vicar general of the archbishop of Dublin, by which the vicar assigned John the dean to demand clerks charged at pleas of the crown in court here and to receive them in the name of the archbishop, and demanded Richard le Mareschal to be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known for what he should be delivered, inquiry is made more fully of the truth of the premises by the underwritten jurors, to wit, Elyas le Blound, Robert Laveragh, Roger Fubleye, John Brekespere, Thomas Grantcourt, Philip Skybras, Andrew Baret, John le Waleys, Stephen Bernard, Gilbert Lusk, Robert de Wiltoun, and Nicholas le Porter, who say that one Gilleker came to the house of Walter Aylloun and William Burton and stole the said goods and chattels from them and carried them away with him to Castle Cnok, and Walter and William raised hue and cry and quickly pursued Gilleker, and Gilleker, perceiving that he could not escape them by flight, entered a grange at Castle Cnok in which Richard le Mareschal slept and there put away the goods and chattels which he had stolen, and Gilleker, as soon as he had thus disburdened himself, immediately fled, and Richard Tyrell, hearing the hue and cry raised by Walter and William, came to them and together with Walter and William entered the grange in search of the thief, and

(1) Alternative version has "Dylloun."

when they found no one in the grange but Richard le Mareschal asleep, and the goods and chattels which Gilleker had stolen and put away in the grange as aforesaid, they took Richard le Mareschal, putting the theft upon him, and brought Richard with the goods to the King's prison of the castle of Dublin. And they say definitely that no one is guilty of the charges except Gilleker, and that Richard le Mareschal is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Asked if the goods and chattels are the property of Walter and William and were stolen by Gilleker, they say yes. Therefore the goods are delivered to Walter and William, saving the suit of the King, if he wish to bring any elsewhere. 1312

At the instance of Geoffrey le Bret, knight, and for the good service of the same often done to the King . . . Walter and John sons of Milo le Bret, of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of John Maunsell, feloniously slain by them, as alleged . . . Geoffrey le Bret and Milo le Bret, knights, Milo son of Geoffrey le Bret, Walter, son of Philip le Bret, Hugh . . . they shall find pledges, so that they stand to the charge, etc. And the said . . . they will always in future bear themselves well and faithfully to the King's peace. Cath.

m. 62d.

No entries.

m. 63

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA ON THE SIDE OF URIEL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, 4 Dec.  
MONDAY AFTER S. ANDREW, a. r 6.

Robert Olyver, charged that he openly put himself at war against the King in the following of Robert de Verduno and Walter de la Pulle and their accomplices, doing murders, robberies, and many other evils in county Louth and the adjoining parts, and that Robert Olyver, together with the said Robert de Verduno, Walter and their following, robbed Graungegeth, Crewode, Balyrayny, Callan, Bulypatrik, Athgla . . . , Ratheskyr, Molkory, Castelonmacht, the haggard of Stackbanan, Arthurestoun, Chamberleyn, the haggard of Simon Chamberleyn and Drumcare of oxen, cows, sheep, afers and divers other goods to the value of £100 and more, comes and defends, etc. And William Graftoun, Adam son of Jordan, Hugh de Clyntoun, John de Haddessore, Roger Gernoun, Benet le Hauberge, William de Repentenev, Peter le Fever, John Ardagh, John de Cusaak, John le Whyte of Drogheda and Laurence Jocelyn, jurors, say that Robert was with Robert de Verduno and Walter de la Pulle and others of their following at the time when they were at war against the King, and also that he forcibly assisted in robbing some of the above *villata*, but not all. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol to await judgment, and he is delivered to the mayor and bailiffs of Drogheda on the side of Uriel to be guarded as one convicted until, etc. Chattels, none; he has no free land. Afterwards Robert of grace is admitted to make fine, etc. for the said charges, by 40s., by pledge of Nicholas de Verdun and Milo de Verdun, knight, of 20s., Hugh Burgeys of Drogheda of 10s., and William Slane of Drogheda of 10s., so that he stand to the charge etc. Louth

Reginald son of Osan was taken elsewhere by the seneschal and bailiffs of Drogheda on the side of Meath at the suit of Elias le clerk of Meath

1312 Balyscadan and detained in the King's prison in Drogheda on the side of Meath by the said seneschal and bailiffs to this day, for the theft of a horse which Reginald stole from Elias at Balyscadan. Richard and Elias come, and Elias says, as well for the King as for himself, that Reginald is guilty of the theft, and also that Reginald was in the following of Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, who lately put themselves openly at war against the King, and did divers robberies in their following in county Louth and the adjoining parts, and that Reginald is a common thief in the parts of Fingal of oxen, afers, cows and pigs, and this he is prepared to prove as the court thinks fit. Reginald says he is neither guilty of the said theft nor of any other misdeed, and puts himself on the country. William Lacy, Roger Gaffny, William Kyngestoun, Richard Bathfford, Thomas Bonde, David son of Roger, Richard Stauntoun, John de la Montayne, John Dardiz, Richard Dardiz, Gilbert Beg., Randolph the tailor and Richard le Bowmaker, jurors, say that Reginald is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, none; he has no free land.

Meath David Gregory, charged that when Jordan Gregory son of the said David, lately stole two afers worth half a mark each, a faling worth 4d. and a tunic worth 6d., in the following of Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, felons, in the county of Louth, and brought away the afers and goods with him to Sydan to the house of the said David Gregory, David accepting and ratifying the theft, received from his son Jordan the said afers, faling and tunic, and brought the afers with him to the town del Nobre and offered them there for sale, and converted the faling and tunic to his own use, comes and defends, etc. Philip Fot, Richard le Whyte, Eustach Rys, Stephen Fotyn, Edwin Laghles, William Mannen, William Sorthals, Thomas Peverel, Philip Boyt, Nicholas Fotyn, Stephen Telyng and Henrt Boyt, jurors, say that David is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Louth Roger Stede, charged that he, together with other malefactors, robbed of Roger le Prout at Bulypa . . . . two oxen worth one mark and brought them with him to the parts of Meath, comes and defends, etc. Simon le Hore, Stephen le Hore, Roger Wodeman, Richard . . . ., Richard Lovel, David Hert, Thomas Ossegod, William le Blount of Bulypatrick . . . . le Lang and John le Lang, jurors, say that Roger is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore . . . . William Ryissbury jur . . . .

m. 63d.

No entries.

1313

m. 64

2 Jan. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, TUESDAY THE MORROW OF THE CIRCUMCISION, a. r. 6.

Lymerrick

Clement Grymbold, charged that he, together with other malefactors, stole from Henry de Berkely a cow worth 2s., and from John Itthel two hives of bees worth 4s., and from Henry Russell two afers worth a mark, and that he is a common thief, and that he, together with other malefactors, stole from Geoffrey Hullyn at Ardagh nine cows and a mare. (This entry is struck out and unfinished).

John Olonregan, charged that he forcibly assisted William Bulle to slay William son of Maurice, and Agnes de Naungle, and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. Clement Fanyn, Matthew son of Roger, Thomas son of Rys, Henry le Mareschal, John de Rupe of Anagh, William Dondovenyld, Adam Lextoun, Adam Brykyn, Adam Kylmor, John Jordan, Nicholas Gregory and Thomas Gregory, jurors, say that John is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land.

1313  
Lymerick

Philip Oballan and Alice Rydale, charged that they robbed Walter Caldey of 7s. of silver, a cap worth 12d., five yards of linen cloth worth 5d. a yard and a shirt worth 6d., come and defend, etc. William le Wilde, Adam Kilmore, John son of Henry, John Laynagh, Philip Flemyng, Philip le Waleys, John Rymbold, Nicholas Wal . . . , Peter Any, Henry Mareschal, Maurice son of Ralph and Robert Waleys, jurors, say that Philip and Alice are guilty. Therefore let Philip be hanged, and let Alice be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Chattels of Philip, 30d. for which Thomas Hert will answer, by pledge of John Hert, a lance worth 2d., for which John son of William will answer, by pledge of John son of Adam, and an axe worth 1d., for which Thomas Page will answer; he has no free land. Richard de Any, Peter de Any, William Og of Adamstoun and Roger Worgan, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Maurice Caruel and Richard Caruel, charged that they stole from David Fraunceys two horses, and that they are common thieves, come and defend, etc. Thomas son of Patrick Huberd, Robert son of Laurence, John de Loundres, John son of William Huberd, Adam son of Richard, Walter Rathcoul, John son of David, Thomas son of Walter de Bristol, John le Whyte of Ardagh, David Grene and Adam le Whyte, jurors, say that Maurice and Richard are guilty. Therefore let them be hanged. No chattels or free land.

Lymerick

William Odouwyr acknowledges that he owes the abbot of Wetheny seven afers worth 10s. each, which he will restore, or their value, according to the wish of the abbot; if not, the sheriff shall distrain him to restore the afers or levy of his lands and chattels their value for the benefit of the abbot, according to the abbot's wish.

Lymerick

John Fanyn, charged that he, together with other malefactors, waylaid William de Cantewell, Robert de Cantewell, Theobald son of William, Hugh le Lyverour and Walter Lusser on Monday after the quinzaine of Trinity, a. r. 4, on the highway between Adar and Cathbaghelagh, and of malice raising hue and cry upon them, robbed them of 11s. of silver and a . . . worth 2s., comes and says he will not answer; and because he refuses the common law, let him be committed to gaol to the diet.

Lymerick

Geoffrey Carragh and Thomas Sanfog, charged that they by night burglariously entered the house of William le Whyte of Kilmehallok and wounded William and robbed him of an afer worth half a mark, a tripod worth 6d., and a knife and a girdle worth 4d., and that they are common thieves, come and defend, etc. John Lenfaunt, William le . . . , John Deyer, John son of Philip the younger, John Ove, Andrew Brun, Thomas Brun, Adam . . . , Milo Kyft, Ger. son of Henry, Adam Grene and Laurence Pollard, jurors, say that Geoffrey and Thomas are not guilty. Therefore they are quit.

Lymerick

Dovenald . . . Malaghlyn Odowyr gives to the King four marks for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for all trespasses and felonies

Lymerick

1313 to this day, by pledge of . . . Hereward and Clement Fanyyn, so that he stand to the charge, etc.

m. 64d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK  
BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, DAY AND YEAR  
AS ABOVE. BOTILLER.

Lymerick

John Lyllye, charged that he came by night to Typernevyn and there ravished Clarice Fontyn against her will, and that he came to le Miltoun and there broke a certain house and ravished Isolda Laynagh forcibly and against the peace, and that he struck Margery Crane with a lance, whereof Margery died instantly, and that he is a common thief of geese and hens, comes and defends, etc. John Ove, Thomas Beg, Andrew Brun, Adam Martel, Robert Seyr, Laurence Pollard, William Pollard, John Baret, Ralph Beg, Thomas le Flemyng, Thomas Ove and Thomas de Hey, jurors, say that John is not guilty. Therefore he is quit. Adam Gos, John Gos and Philip Grene, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Margery Omonethian, charged that she feloniously burned the house of Thomas Harold, and that she stole 4s. from John Ramag., comes and defends, etc. Simon Dullard, William le Flemyng, William Powys, Richard Andreu, Roger Cortoys, Richard le Flemyng, William le Wyld, Thomas son of Rys, Henry le Mareschal, Robert le Waleys, David Appellgard and Nicholas Gregory, jurors, say that Margery is not guilty. Therefore she is quit. John Bastard, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Nothing about Roger Duff, charged with receiving Margery, as Margery is quit.

Lymerick

Issuk, daughter of O Meskille, charged that she by night burglariously entered the church of Garthe and stole therefrom 100s. of silver, wool, thread and butter to the value of 5s., and other valuables worth 40s., comes and defends, etc. John Bretnagh, Thomas Tancard, Ralph Schynnagh, John Worgan, Henry Tancard, Bartholomew Wyther, William Kyvernok, William Ithel, Richard Ulf, Nicholas Goer, Peter Torgyr and Stephen son of Philip, jurors, say that Issuk is guilty. Therefore let her be hanged. No chattels or free land.

Lymerick

Philip Oholdrik, charged that he feloniously burned the house of William Whyte, comes and defends, etc. Ralph Albus of Balytarsy, Roger Osgod, Roger Cortoys, Elyas le Rede, Stephen le Rede, John Galle, William le Flemyng, Simon Dullard, Richard Andreu, David Cachepol, Adam Wydy and Roger Hert, jurors, say that Philip is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Lymerick

William Broun, Philip Broun and John le Rede, charged that they, together with others, by night broke the house of Richard Carrig and therefrom robbed a bushel of malt worth 12d. and seven hens worth 7d., one pig worth 9d. and a shirt worth 12d., come and defend, etc. Nicholas son of Ralph, Henry son of Stephen, Thomas le Wyte of Cnokan, Richard Ketyng of Auscadan, John son of Simon Germeyn, Hugh Prout, Henry Scurlag, Richard Balygaveran, Nicholas Oweyn, William le Palmer, Walter Martel and Philip Hamound, jurors, say that William, Philip and John are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

David le Rede, John le Rede, John O Bridan and Ingram Aunsell, charged that they robbed John Aunsell and Walter son of Nicholas of their corn to the value of 24 marks at Authmescadan, and that David received Walter O Carbre, a notorious thief who stole from George de Rupe forty lambs, and that David had art and part in his robberies, come and defend, etc. John Lenfant, Hugh le Prude, Ralph le Blunt, Adam Revagh, Walter Crane, Richard Ketyng of Athmescadan, Philip Hamound, John son of Simon Germeyn, Henry Scurlag, Richard Balygaveran, Nicholas Oweyn and William le Palmer, jurors, say that David, John, John and Ingram are not guilty and are not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore they are quit. John son of Henry and Alexander de Rupe, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

1313  
Lymerick

John son of Walter Bag Bretnagh, senior, charged that he feloniously slew Neel Weryng with a sword and lance, and that he robbed John Kyft of three horses worth one mark each, and that he took William Kyft son of the said John, and brought him to Indes (?Adnides) and robbed him of his stuffs worth 2s., and that he is a common thief, and also that he burglariously entered the house of William le Keu at Dromyn, and therefrom robbed Nicholas Rys, clerk, of four bacons and two quarters of beef worth 10s. 4d., and also that he burglariously entered the house of Henry Chepman and therefrom robbed him of six cows and six afers worth 40s., comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., for which the sheriff will answer in the octave of the Purification, wherever, etc.—by writ.

Lymerick

## m. 65

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, TUESDAY THE MORROW OF THE CIRCUMCISION, a. r. 6. BOTILLER.

2 Jan.

David Martel, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Walter son of Thomas Martel, and therefrom stole two deeds and an indenture of a fine levied in the King's court and a letter of quitclaim; and also that he received John O Crythan and Ohiflan, common thieves and felons in county Tipperary and elsewhere, and gave them food and drink, comes and defends, etc. Geoffrey Saudre, Alexander Wytecote, John son of Gilbert, Richard Balygaveran, Nicholas son of Ralph, David Oweyn, Thomas le Rede, William Lambondyn, Adam le Hore, William le Flemmyng, Elyas le Rede and Ralph le Blund, jurors, say that David is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Henry Boys and John Worthing, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Because divers malefactors and disturbers of the King's peace have often in time past attacked the citizens of the King's city of Limerick and beaten them, robbed their goods and chattels within the boundaries of the liberties of the said city and burnt the suburb, from which the citizens might obtain a relief, as they allege, if the King would grant them the power of taking distress, as well within as without the said liberty, of such malefactors and their men, it is agreed and for the Custos here it is granted that the said citizens, as well for the losses already inflicted by such malefactors as for any to be inflicted in the future, may henceforth take such malefactors, as well English as Irish, from the time when any forfeiture resulted to the said citizens by the said malefactors, and seize their goods and chattels and take pledges from the said malefactors as well within the said liberty as without, and retain them

Lymerick

1313 as sureties until adequate satisfaction shall have been made by the malefactors for such forfeitures, so that the citizens be not on that account troubled or hampered in the King's court in any way.

Limerick

Nicholas son of Simon Hereward, charged that he feloniously robbed seven afers, a horse, a cow, a faling and an axe from Robert de Trym, a liege citizen of Limerick, in a suburb of the said city on Tuesday after the feast of S. Martin, a. r. 5, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 100s., by pledge of Ger. Dullard, John son of Simon, Milo Ketyng, Thomas son of Rys, junior, Henry le Mareschal and Clement Fanyn, so that he stand to the charge, etc. The said pledges mainprised for the future good behaviour of Nicholas. Clement Fanyn and . . . are pledges of Nicholas that he will henceforth keep the peace good and firm towards Robert de Trym, not bringing or causing to be brought upon Robert any loss or injury whatever.

Limerick

At the instance of John de Billesburne, knight, Roger O Horwuth of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., as well for the death of Maurice le Waleys feloniously slain by him as for all trespasses to this day, except the killing of another Englishman and gross felonies, by 20s., by pledge of John de Billebourne of Co. Tipperary and David de Appelgard, so that he stand to the charge, etc. Moreover, John and David mainprised for the future good conduct of Roger.

m. 65d.

13 Jan. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT CASSELL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, SATURDAY AFTER EPIPHANY, a. r. 6.

Waterford

Mich. son of Nicholas Edward, charged with the death of Peter son of Walram de Norragh, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said death and also for all other trespasses done by him in Co. Waterford, except the killing of another Englishman and arson, to this day, by 40s., by pledge of Richard le Poer, knight, and Simon Edward, so that he stand to the charge, etc. Be it known that so small a fine is admitted because it is testified that Peter previously threw to the ground Nicholas Edward, father of Michael, and lay upon him with intent to slay him, and Michael in rescuing his father slew Peter. Afterwards because of Michael's poverty it is granted that he have terms for paying the fine, one mark each year, half at Easter and the other half at Michaelmas.

Typerary

John son of Thomas de Burgo, charged that he robbed David bishop of Killaloe of eight oxen at Lysboyn, and also that he feloniously slew William de Lyouns, Bartholomew Bretnagh, William Kermerdyn, John Braynok and ten other Englishmen of the town of Cassell near the said town, and robbed them of divers goods and equipment to the value of 100s., comes and acknowledges that he is guilty, and puts himself upon the grace of the King and the court here. (This entry is apparently struck out and entered elsewhere).

Typerary

At the instance of Henry Haket of Geel, Richard de Donndevenyld is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses to this day except the killing of an Englishman, rape and arson, by 20s., by pledge of Walter Maunsell and Mathew de Donndovenold, so that he stand to the charge, etc.



## m. 66

1313

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE  
EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S.  
HILARY, a. r. 6.

27 Jan.

At the instance of John de Burgo son of the earl of Ulster, and for the good service to the King done and hereafter to be done by John, suit of the peace is pardoned to Luke de Sewell for the death of Robert le Peleter, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, so that he stand to the charge, etc.

Loveth

For the good service to the King often done and hereafter to be done by him, suit of the peace is pardoned to Theobald Talebot for all trespasses to this day, so that he stand to the charge, etc.

Cath.

For the good service done to the King by Ademot McOrtan, Thomas McGilray<sup>(1)</sup> and Comdyn le Tournour in slaying William McKynagh O Morthé, felon, and many other Irish felons in the mountains of Slefmary, the said Ademot, Thomas and Comdyn of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for all felonies to this day, by 40s., by pledge of John de Lyvet of one mark, Adam Bretoun of one mark and Geoffrey de la Hyde of one mark, so that they stand to the charge, etc. The said John, Adam le Bretoun and Geoffrey are pledges of Ademot, Thomas and Comdyn to satisfy all the King's lieges who would complain of them for all losses and other forfeitures done by Ademot, Thomas and Comdyn to this day. And the said pledges mainprised for the future good behaviour of Ademot, Thomas and Comdyn, and for any future losses inflicted by them.

Cath.

m. 66d.

No entries.

## m. 67

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT TULAGH BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER,  
WEDNESDAY AFTER S. EDWARD, KING AND MARTYR, a. r. 6.

21 Mar.

At the instance of Philip son of Philip Comyn, and for the good service done by him in warring down the Irish of the mountains of Leinster, felons of the King, in the following of Thomas le Botiller, and hereafter to be done by him, suit of the peace is pardoned to Peter Comyn, Alexander Comyn son of Richard, and Dermot O Regan for all trespasses to this day, so that they stand to the charge, etc., and the Chancellor of Ireland is commanded to have letters patent issued therefor in customary form. And also at the instance of the said Philip son of Philip, and for the good service done to the King by Walter son of John de Cather. in the following of Philip son of Philip in warring down the Irish felons in the mountains of Leinster, Walter is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses to this day, by 5 marks, by pledge of William le Engleis, Richard le Engleis, Alexander Travers and Philip Comyn; and by the same fine any outlawry promulgated against Walter, and any abjuration of the King's land, are remitted, so that he stand to the charge, etc.

Typeryary

At the instance of William Spynel and for the good service often done by him to the King's father and hereafter to be done to the now King, William le Tayllour is admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of Richard son of Geoffrey, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, by one mark, by pledge of Silvester Calf and Walter Talebot, so that he stand to the charge, etc.

Kylclare

(1) Could be read 'McGilroy.'

1313  
26 Mar. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT CASSELL BEFORE WALTER DE THORNBURY, CHANCELLOR OF IRELAND, AND WILLIAM ALYSAUNDRE, ASSIGNED TO THE PLACE OF EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, ACTING ELSEWHERE IN REMOTE PARTS, ON MONDAY THE MORROW OF THE ANNUNCIATION, a. r. 6.

Typenary McHugh <sup>(1)</sup> son of Philip O Kerwill, charged that he, together with other malefactors, came by night to the house of William Assik, maltreated him and robbed him of two falings, a lance, an axe, and other small things to the value of 20s., and also that he beat Thomas de S. Albano in a wood of David de S. Albano, maltreated him and robbed him of an afer worth 10s., and that he stole from David de S. Albano a cow worth half a mark, and that he is a common thief and disturber of the peace; and William de Norragh, servant of Thomas de Cantewell, and Moluk McBegher, who is called Strakyr, charged that they stole an afer worth half a mark from the grange of Edmund le Botiller del Nanagh, and two afers of the said Edmund from his garden, worth a mark, and also that he stole from the said grange five stone of wool worth 2s. a stone; at the instance of Thomas de Cantewell, knight, are admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of the said Thomas de Cantewell and Thomas son of John de Cantewell, so that they stand, etc.

Typenary John Petit, charged that he, together with Thomas Donnyng, stole from Luke de Stoktoun two afers worth 10s., comes and defends, etc. Robert Crynan, Andrew Seysel, Maurice son of John, Philip Braynok, Adam Staffan, John Crinan, John son of Henry de Londoun, John Broun of Villa Staffan, Adam Byford, Abel Brysky, John Braynok and Thomas Braynok, jurors, say that John Petit is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit. Henry son of Urban a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Typenary Traharyn Wolf charged that he, together with Milo Prat, lately slain, stole from Peter Whytfold five cows worth half a mark and took them to Ossory, comes and defends, etc. Philip Assik, William de Nasshe, John de Stokes, Simon Latymer, Maurice son of Walter, Thomas Lenfant, Thomas de . . . ., Henry son of William son of Ralph, Andrew Broun, John de Loghken, . . . . de Clonbauwan and Matthew son of John, jurors, say that Traharyn Wolf is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Typenary Geoffrey Baroun, charged that he, together with other malefactors, stole from Thomas son of Richard de S. Albino in le Comsy three afers worth 5s. each and took them to . . . his own home, comes and defends, etc. Philip Assik, William de Nasshe, John de Stokes, Simon Latymer, Thomas Lenfant, Richard Not, Philip Bole, Henry son of William son of Ralph, Alexander de Nasshe, John de Loghken, David de Nasshe and Thomas son of Ralph, jurors, say that Geoffrey Baroun is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Typenary Nicholas Passelewe, charged that he stole from Richard Burnam a bushel of meal worth 12d., and from Thomas son of Matthew a bushel of peas worth 6d., six gallons of beer worth 6d., that he broke the grange of the bishop of Lysmore and therefrom stole two bushels of oats worth 6d., and that he is a common thief and that the whole country is wasted by him, comes and defends, etc. Walter Cork, Peter son of Matthew, Richard Foulter, John Baret, Andrew Albus, Philip Braynok, Philip Broun, Robert Crivan . . . . son of Milo, Adam Waleys, Andrew son of John and Matthew Drak, jurors, say that Nicholas is not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

(1) " ? McThugh " in pencil above.

Walter Ohassy, charged with the death of John de Nasshe, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. John Athelard, El . . . ., Simon Monywrench, Walter le Blound, John . . . ., Mare . . . . William . . . . Tailleur, Roger A . . . . Graunt, Robert Albus, Thomas de Aula and Walter Broun, jurors, say that Walter is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. 1313

m. 67d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Elyas Prat, charged that he, together with other malefactors, stole from John the cook a pig worth 2s. and ate it in his own house at Bovelek, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of Hamoun Prat and Richard Not, so that he stand, etc. And moreover, Elyas acknowledges that he owes John the cook 2s., which he will restore to him immediately by pledge of Matthew son of John and Richard Not, and if not, he grants that levy may be made of his lands and chattels. Typenary

William Don, charged that he by night feloniously burned the house of Roger Hervy in the town of Nanagh and his goods in the house to the value of forty marks, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas Archer, Thomas Archer, John Hopper, Richard Galbeg, Richard Custos, Maurice Goer, Philip Galle, John le Whyte, Robert Bechlan, Peter de Hale, eleven <sup>(1)</sup> jurors, say that William is not guilty of the charge and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Maurice le Archer, the twelfth juror, says that William is guilty. And because Maurice alone opposes the verdict of the eleven jurors, and it seems expedient to the court to be more fully informed of the premises, inquiry proceeds by the oaths of other good and lawful men, viz., Matthew son of David, Matthew Botavant, John Botavant, David de S. Albano, John de S. Albano, Jordan Og, John Goer, Alexander Travers, Robert Belan, Jordan Baret, Richard Cullenagh, Robert Goer and Nicholas Scot, who say that William is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected of any other misdeed. Therefore he is quit. And because Maurice le Archer alone of malice opposes the verdict of the said twenty four <sup>(2)</sup> jurors, let him be committed to gaol. Afterwards he made fine by 20s., by pledge of Nicholas le Archer and Richard Custos. Typenary

Richard Doundovild <sup>(3)</sup>, charged with divers trespasses and felonies, gives to the King one mark for being in prison until the next coming, etc., by pledge of Thomas de Cerney and Richard de Marreys. Typenary

David Cardoun, charged that he stole a faling worth 12d. from Hugh de Valle's man, and that he broke the house of Thomas de Raley and therefrom stole a crannock of oats worth 20s., and that he stole a sheep from Walter Malon worth 8d., and that he is wont in autumn to break ricks in haggard and in the fields, and to carry away such grass, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of John de Loghken and Robert Cardoun, so that he stand, etc. Typenary

Philip le Whyte, charged that he stole from William de la Fenne a horse worth a mark, comes and says that in no way will he quit himself thereof by the country. And because Philip utterly refuses the common law, therefore let him be committed again to gaol to the diet. No Typenary

<sup>(1)</sup> Thus.      <sup>(2)</sup> Thus.      <sup>(3)</sup> Suspension mark over second syllable of this name.

1313 chattels or free land. Robert Herberd, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Typenary Ralph Corray, charged that he, together with Robert Bonevill, by night stole from Matthew son of John at Incherothrik two wethers worth 2s., comes and defends, etc. Philip Assik, Milo de Cantewell, John de Stokes, Simon Latymer, Thomas Lenfant, Philip Bole, Thomas de Neeth, Henry son of William son of Ralph, Alexander de Nasshe, Andrew Broun, John de Loghken and David de Nasshe, jurors, say that Ralph is not guilty of the charge, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Typenary Matthew Bryan, charged that he feloniously slew Thomas son of Matthew and Henry le Maceoun by night in the town of Emly and straightway fled to the forest, and also that he broke the church of Emly and outside it stole from a trunk a great sum of money given to the land of Jerusalem, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here: and that it may be known for what he ought to be delivered to the ordinary when he shall demand him as a clerk, process is taken to inquire more fully of the truth by the following:—Robert Haket, Thomas Crik, Ralph Crik, Simon Aylward, Philip Aylward, John Ede, Simon de Aula, Roger de Rath, Richard Seriant, John Braynok, William le Blount, Milo de Cantewell and Philip Assik, jurors, who say that Matthew is guilty, as well of breaking the said church as of the other charges. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol until, etc. No chattels or free land. John le Veel, junior, William Gynnour, Thomas son of Adam, William Druhull, Adam Olyver, Nicholas Beg, John Stalun, Gilbert Seriant, Walter Ledyre and Henry Lenfant, jurors summoned come not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards master Thomas Olongthy, archdeacon of Cashel, demands that Matthew be delivered to him as a clerk, etc. And he is delivered to be kept in the prison of the archbishop of Emly as one convicted under the penalty which is fitting.

Typenary John Couladethy, Philip the weaver, Walter de Rathmyagh and Thomas son of Laurence Cromp, charged that they received Richard de Burgo and others of his following, common thieves and felons in that county, and gave them food and drink, contrary to the peace, come and defend, etc. Henry Ewyas, Andrew Seysel, Maurice son of John, Thomas le Blound of Loghken, Robert Flannill, John le . . . , David Gerrard, Walter Weyvill, David Weyvill, Robert Marche, Philip Broun and Adam le Waleys, jurors, say that John, Philip, Walter and Thomas are not guilty of the charge and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

Typenary Stephen Tapley, charged that he stole from Walter son of Richard a sheep worth 12d., comes and defends, etc. Stephen Loghlythirre, John le Flemyng, Adam le De . . . , Wid . . . , John Edmund, John Loghken, Adam Roth, William Loghlother, Maurice Heylot, John son of Thomas, John son of Griffin and William Roth, jurors, say that Stephen is not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

Typenary At the instance of Reymund le Ercedakne, knight, for the good service rendered to the King and hereafter to be rendered by the said Reymund, Peter Payn and Richard Payn are admitted to make fine, etc., for all transgressions, etc., to this day . . . le Rous and . . .

m. 6.....? (1)

27 Apr. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT DROGHEDA ON THE SIDE OF URIEL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, FRIDAY NEXT AFTER EASTER IN THE QUINZAIN, a. r. 6.

Twelve jurors present that Stephen Couniham was in his own house

Louth (1) " Recte 57 " added in pencil.

at the town of Mowr on Sunday before the feast of S. Martin a. r. 5, and Adam Herman, a neighbour of Stephen, came to his house . . . and Stephen in his madness took his knife and struck Adam in the breast, wounding him so that he died in the following month. 1313

Robert Kenefeg, charged that when Walter le Tanner lately put himself openly at war with the King in the company of Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, felons, by the perpetration of murders and other evils in the county Louth, he received Walter freely and gave him food and drink in his house, knowing him to be of the company of Robert and other felons, comes and because the commonalty of the whole county, of which commonalty Robert Kenefeg is one, made fine with the King by £500 for suit of the peace to be pardoned to it for receiving Robert de Verdun and his accomplices, as appears elsewhere in the rolls of the crown of this term, Robert, as regards this charge, is for the present postponed indefinitely. Louth

Philip Burnel, charged that he freely put himself at war in the company of Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, notorious felons, by the perpetration of murders, robberies and other evils in the said county, and that he, together with the said Robert and other felons of his company, robbed the town of Callan of 200 cows, oxen and afers, 300 sheep, and other goods to the value of 20 marks, comes and defends, etc. H . . . de Cruys, Richard de Cruys, William Page, Nicholas de Cusaak, Rys de Stokes, Stephen de Exon., John le Why . . . , Richard Magnel, Peter Faber, John Pren, William Frend and Nicholas Drak, jurors, say that Philip is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Meath

David le Wyse, charged that he freely put himself at war in the company of Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, notorious felons, by the perpetration of murders, robberies and other evils, comes and defends, and says that he was never in the company of the said felons for the purpose of doing evil, and puts himself on the country. John de Haddesore, Benedict le Hauberge, Hugh de Clyntoun, Philip de Repenteny, William de Repenteny, Ralph Burgeys, Boniface Taloun, William Graftoun, John Ardagh, Adam son of Jordan, John Mynchegier and John de Cusaak, jurors, say that David is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Meath

Gromyn le Rede, John le Hore of Rathbran and John Mool of Dromconragh, charged that they, together with other malefactors, plundered the town of Callan of 200 cows, oxen and afers, 300 sheep and other goods to the value of 20 marks, come and defend, etc. Robert de Lude, Robert son of Richard, Henry le Blund, Henry Bagod, Thomas Broun, James Dacy, Roger Mathirgalyn, John Payn, Robert Beethleem, Adam son of Jordan, William Graftoun, Richard Meyler and Stephen de Exon., jurors, say that Gromyn, John and John are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Meath

John Drak, charged that he, together with other malefactors, robbed William Newport of cows, oxen and afers, and took the beasts with him and kept them until William redeemed them for 4s., and also that when Roger Taloun and certain other malefactors of his company were with Meath

the army of Leinster at the burning of Eychleym and Houothtoun and had for their share of a certain robbery there done seventeen as well cows as oxen, and twelve afers, and Roger and the other malefactors brought the beasts with them to the said John Drak at Atherde, and then immediately took them to the town of Michael de Stokes in the parts of Meath, and the men of that town detained them there on suspicion; on the following day John Drak came with Roger and others and testified that they had been lawfully obtained from the King's army; and the said men of the town of Michael de Stokes, on account of the importance of John and his testifying, delivered the beasts to John Drak, who, together with the other said malefactors, drove them to the parts of Mathergal and knowingly consented to all such injuries and robberies, comes and defends, etc. William Beg, Robert Maueu, David Broun, Henry Boyt, Henry le Rede, junior, Adam Warwyk, Nicholas . . . ., Ralph Burnel, John le Hore, Adam Chepman of Kenles, Milo Sherle and John Tancard, jurors, say that John is not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

m. 6..... d. (1)

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Meath

John Simyan, charged that he carried off Nicholaa de Rocheford, whom he found in the house of Thomas Ortoun, and forcibly took her away with him and afterwards had carnal knowledge of her against her will, at the instance of Walter le Lacy, knight, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done to the King by the said Walter, is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, and also for breaking the house of the said Thomas, and also for waylaying a certain Joanna Petite on the highway near the bridge of Kenles, and for maltreating her, by 40s., by pledge of Walter de Lacy, knight, and Hugh de Nugent, knight, so that he stand, etc.

Louth

At the instance of Alexander de Bykenore, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done to the King by Alexander, suit of the peace is pardoned to Walter de Dovedale of Dundalk for all trespasses, etc., to this day, excepting only that Walter forcibly assisted at the slaying and robbing certain Englishmen of the King's army in the parts of Louth about the feast of S. Mark the Evangelist, a. r. 5, who with the King's standard displayed at the King's command came to Louth to repress the evildoing of Robert de Verduno, Walter de la Pulle and his accomplices, felons of the King, who openly put themselves at war with the King by the perpetration of murders, robberies and other evils. And the Chancellor is commanded to cause the King's letters patent to be made for Walter de Dovedale in the said form.

Louth

At the instance of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, and Richard de Exon., and for the good service done and hereafter to be done to the King by the said Richard and Richard, suit of the peace is pardoned to Thomas de Stanley and Adam son of Thomas for all trespasses, etc., to this day, excepting as in previous entry.

Meath

John the Miller of Cayran, charged that he, together with other

(1) Cal. has note, " 67a (recte 57a d)."

malefactors, plundered the town of Callan of 200 cows, oxen and afers, 300 sheep, and other goods to the value of 20 marks, comes and defends, etc. Robert de Lude, Robert son of Richard, Henry le Blund, Richard Meyler, Henry Bagod, Thomas Broun of Villa Carnath, Simon le Hore, Stephen le Hore, Roger Mathirgalyn, Richard Scurlag, John Coterel and Roger Wodeman, jurors, say that John is guilty. Afterwards of grace he is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Roger Fot and Philip Fot of 20s., Henry Tancard and John le Flemyng of half a mark, Laurence Everard, John le Whyte and John del Angle of half a mark, and David Broun and Richard Wydy of half a mark, so that he stand, etc. 1313

Philip Telyng and Nicholas Telyng his son, charged that they received Robert de Verduno and his accomplices, notorious felons, who lately openly put themselves at war with the King by the perpetration of murders, robberies and other evils, and that they gave the said felons food and drink and that they had art and part in their robberies; and the said Philip Telyn, also charged that he received and still allows to reside in his land Henry Telyng, Patrick O Carrenan, Gilleneue O Crathe, who were robbers and felons in the company of Robert de Verdun, whom he could well have taken had he wished, come and of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Baudewin le Flemyng and John Plunket. Meath

It is found by the rolls of Robert de Dromgol, coroner, that Richard de Alletoun freely and spontaneously struck himself in the breast with his own knife and died immediately. And because Richard feloniously slew himself as aforesaid, therefore let his chattels be forfeited. Chattels of Richard, 5s. 8½d., for which Geoffrey de Alletoun and John Everard will answer. He had no free land. Louth

John Rus, charged that he stole from Sibilla, wife of Roger Crisp, six ells of cloth worth 2s., and also that by night he broke the dove-cote of the said Roger and took therefrom the whole stock of doves found there, and also that by night he burned the house of Reginald le Tanner feloniously, comes and defends, etc. Robert Benegier, Adam Tuyt, Clement Stormyn, John Wolbot, Philip Mauveisin, Walter le Blak, John de Gary, senior, Adam Goly, John West, Henry Lagles, Richard son of Nicholas and William Norreis, jurors, say that John is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Henry Dullard, Henry Freisel, Thomas Edward, Thomas Stokes, John son of Thomas de Gary and Adam Rory, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Meath

Walter Page and John de Lekno, charged that they received Simon Mollaghone, a common thief, who lately stole from Walter de Cusaak two afers, and that he had art and part therein and also in other thefts committed by Simon, come and defend, etc. Jordan Telyng, Roger Gaffeny, William Hamelyn, Nicholas Baret, William Pren, John de Somersete, John Dardiz, John de la Montaigne, William de Lacy, William de Kyngestoun, Henry Cor and Michael de Stokes, jurors, say that Walter and John are not guilty of the charge and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Henry Daletoun, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Meath

At the instance of Maurice de Rupeforti and for the good service heretofore done and hereafter to be done to the King by Maurice, suit of the peace is pardoned to Philip son of David de Rupe and William son of the said Philip, for the death of Robert son of Nicholas de Rupe, feloniously slain by them, as alleged, so that they stand, etc.

1313

m. 68

27 Apr. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DROGHEDA ON THE SIDE OF URIEL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, FRIDAY IN THE QUINZAIN AFTER EASTER, a. r. 6.

Louth

The whole community of free tenants and others of the whole county of Louth, charged that when Robert de Verduno, Walter de la Pulle and others of that confederacy in Lent a. r. 5 put themselves at war with the King by open perpetration of murders and robberies, as well by day as by night, appropriating to themselves as if by conquest the demesne land of the King, administering the oath of fealty as well to free tenants and betaghs of the King as to other inhabitants of the said county, and taking homage, the said community, considering that Robert, Walter and their accomplices from day to day were committing such murders, robberies and other intolerable evils, did not hinder them from so doing, but certain of the community, as it were sponsors of the said felons and maintaining them in the said evildoing, permitted the felons to commit the said evils freely, received them wittingly, gave them food and drink and gave counsel and favour to them in their misdeeds, comes, and of grace the whole community of the said county is admitted to make fine, etc. by 500 marks for the whole community, except the burgeeses and all other men of the town of Drogheda on the side of Uriel, to be levied for the King's use.

And be it known that certain men have been elected and approved by the whole community with the common consent thereof and sworn to assess the said fine on the county, so that every one in the county, as well free tenant as villate, according to his greater or less guilt for the said charges and according to his wealth be assessed to pay his share of the said fine.

And be it known that all those, as well of the said county as elsewhere, who were present with Robert de Verduno, Walter and their confederates at the perpetration of the said felonies, who have not yet surrendered themselves to the King's prison, and also all those who at another time surrendered themselves to the King's prison before John Wogan, Justiciar, at Dublin, to await the King's pleasure, life and limb only being secured to them, ought not to be included in the said fine, but all those who surrendered to the King's prison as aforesaid shall await the King's pleasure until the King shall make some other order concerning them. And all others who were present in person with Robert etc., and have not yet surrendered or obtained the King's peace, shall be held as the King's enemies until they shall be duly acquitted of the charge or shall have otherwise satisfied the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them. And let not any of the said community put forward pardon of suit of the peace by the said fine for any trespasses or felonies done by them before the time when Robert, Walter and their accomplices put themselves at war as aforesaid, because suit of the King's peace with regard to previous felonies is entirely reserved, the said fine notwithstanding; and also let suit of the King's peace be entirely reserved against Nicholas de Verduno, knight, and all those who in his company rose against the King's standard and slew Nicholas Avenel, Patrick de la Roche and others of the King's army in the parts of Louth, because none of those who were present in person at the said slaying or were privy or consenting to the slaying, ought to be included in the said fine.

Meath

Adam Grafoun in mercy for tumult.



m. 68d.

1313

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Walter Vineter, charged that he received Adam Vineter, who is a common thief, and who stole from Robert Passavant a horse and from Roger Thomas two afers, and that Walter has art and part in such thefts, comes and defends, etc. John Boudoun, William de Exon., David Iriel, Roger Taaf, David Fouler, Philip Boyt, David Broun, Martin Cassell, William le Rede, Walter Blak, Richard le Blound and Walter son of Nicholas, jurors, say that Walter is not guilty of the charges, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Stephen Galyn, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Meath

William Beg, and Philip Beg, charged with receiving William Telyng, Henry Telyng, Adam Jordan of Crewmartin and divers other felons and common robbers who robbed the town of Callan of 200 cows, oxen and afers, 300 sheep and divers other goods to the value of 20 marks, and that they had art and part in the said robberies, come and defend, etc. Robert de Lude, Robert son of Richard, Henry le Blound, Richard Meyler, Henry Bagod, Thomas Broun, William de Graftoun, Adam Jordan, Robert Beelem, John Payn, Robert son of Richard and Simon le Hore, jurors, say that William and Philip are not guilty of the charges and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. John Keppok of Callan, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Meath

Clemen Stormyn, charged that when Nicholas Caillath and other malefactors burglariously entered the house of Philip the usher and therefrom carried away a chest of Philip by the abetting of Mariot Belagh, Philip's wife, and brought the chest to Clement's house, and a certain John de Leys, then a serjeant of the King, forbade Clement to allow anyone to break or remove the chest, Clement, notwithstanding the inhibition, unlawfully and freely allowed Mariot after the departure of the serjeant to break the chest and carry away the goods found therein, and afterwards bought the chest from Mariot, well knowing how it was robbed from Philip the usher, comes and defends, etc. John Cnowell, Alan le Marescall, John Duff of Gary, William Kiltoun, Roger le Tanner, John Wolbot, Thomas Bosch, Adam Tuyt, Walter son of Nicholas, John West, Henry Lagles and Robert Benegier, jurors, say that Clement is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Henry Freisell, John Balf, Gilbert Balf, Henry Northlyng, Henry Turbot and Adam Freisell, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Meath

Henry le Rede and William Frend, charged that they, together with other malefactors, by night robbed the town of Callan of forty-four afers worth 10 marks, twenty oxen worth 10 marks, seven score cows and heifers worth £20, 300 sheep worth £9, 100 lambs worth 30 (1), two pigs worth half a mark, a chalice worth 20s., and divers other vessels, utensils and vestments worth 100s., and brought all the said goods and beasts with them to the castle of Crefmartyn and shared them there, come and put themselves on the country. Simon le Hore, James Dacy, Stephen le Hore, Roger Wodeman, Richard le Rede, Roger le Palmer, Richard Surlag, Richard Lovel, John le Lang, David Hert, Simon Bernard, Thomas Osegod and John Weynelok, jurors, say that Henry and William are not guilty of the charges and are not suspected, Meath

(1) Thus.

1313 etc. Therefore they are quit. John Brabezoun and Thomas Olyver, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Meath

Roger Burgeys of Sidan, Nicholas Stede, Richard Albus, Stephen Telyng, William Messan, William Brayn, Owen Woith, Nicholas Bole, David Beg, Nicholas Fotyng, Stephen Fotyng, John Ewek, Thomas Albus, David Hugh, Henry Ewek and John Gary, of the community of Sidan, charged that when William and Henry Telyng, felons and notorious robbers in that county and elsewhere, robbed Callan and other adjacent towns, after the said robberies Robert Burgeys and the others of the said community received the said felons as well by day as by night, gave them food and drink and permitted them to depart freely when they could have taken them had they wished, come and of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by 100s., by pledge of Michael de Stokes, Henry son of William de Cruys, Stephen de Exon., and Nicholas Stede, so that they stand, etc. Afterwards the 100s. are assigned to John de Patrikchurch, clerk, for making the estreats; therefore they ought not to be put in the estreats to the Exchequer.

13 May PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL BEFORE THE SAID CUSTOS IN ONE MONTH FROM EASTER, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin

William Comptoun and Wolfram de Bristoll, charged that when the King caused to be proclaimed and publicly ordained throughout the whole of Ireland that no one should cause to be carried away any victuals, equipment, or anything else to Scots, the King's enemies in the parts of Scotland, whereby the said Scots might be supported, under every forfeiture which can obtain towards the King, William and Wolfram, notwithstanding the said inhibition and proclamation, carried and caused to be carried divers victuals to the Scots, the King's enemies, to Are in Scotland and elsewhere, for the support of the said King's enemies, come and put themselves on the country. Robert le Woder, Thomas Colice, William Seriant, Richard de S. Olavo, Hugh de Carlyngtoun, John le Specer, Adam Aurifab., Robert de Rutoun, William Sherman, Gerald Juven., John Bouwet, Walter Keppok, Robert Stanford and Thomas Bolis, jurors, say that William caused a ship of Thomas Colice, of which Roger Gehyn was master, to be loaded and chartered with wine and salt for Coulrath, and on the voyage the ship was driven by a storm of wind to the island of Scotland, when William and the mariners were taken and plundered of all their goods, and scarcely escaped violence; and as to Wolfram, they say he was never in Scotland and did not send any victuals or merchandise thither. Therefore they are quit.

m. 69

7 June PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, THURSDAY IN THE WEEK OF PENTECOST, a. r. 6.

Waterford

Maurice son of Alfred de Bendevill, charged that he robbed Thomas de la Felde at Kilmoglasse of a pair of shoes worth 3d., and that he is wont to take food, drink and money against the will of the people of the country, forcibly and against the peace, comes and defends, etc. Richard Went, Richard Brabestoun, William Went, William son of Warin, Lewis Map, John Corbey, Maurice le Lung, Hugh Brabestoun, David Corbaly, Henry Corbaly, Thomas Brabestoun and John le Cornewaleys, jurors, say that Maurice is not guilty of the charges and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Adam son of Robert Cradok, who remained at Moynchowe, charged that when Philip Cradok stole from Richard Cristofre an afer worth half a mark, and also from William Galgell an afer worth half a mark, and from John the baker of Stradbaly an afer worth half a mark, Adam received the said thief and the stolen goods and had art and part therein, and also that Adam, together with certain other malefactors, robbed Dermod O Donghill of Moynehene of two afers worth one mark, napkins, towels and divers other things, to the value of 20s., comes and puts himself on the country. Laurence de Norragh, Philip son of Griffin Cristofre, Maurice Cristofre, David le Poer, Philip Goth, Richard Cristofre, Adam son of William Cristofre, John son of Reymund, Thomas Broun, Thomas son of Walter, John Baloun and Thomas le Blund, jurors, say that Adam is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land. Philip Cristofre, John son of Robert le Poer, William son of Griffin le Poer, John Russell, for contempt, uproar and tumult made in the court here, in mercy.

1313  
Waterford

Walter Lowys, charged that he stole from a sailor of a ship of John Galegre of Cork in the house of Peter Tany at Dungarvan a tabard of blue worth 40d., and from Richard le Whyte 2s. of silver, comes and defends, etc. Philip son of Robert son of Philip, John Broun, Richard Andrew, Maurice Cristofre, Thomas Albus of Dungarvan, Maurice Longus, William Burgois, John Corlosky, Thomas son of Maurice Hamond, Robert the merchant, Walter le Rede and Peter Tany, jurors, say that Walter is not guilty of the charges, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Maurice son of Andrew Albus, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Waterford

Robert le Hore, charged that he stole from John Brounyng an afer worth half a mark, comes and defends, etc. Reymund Go . . . monir, Robert Jordan, Robert Lollar, Henry Grenok, Adam le Waleys, William des Aute, John son of Richard, David le Botiller, Griffin Yago, David le Poer, William Savage and Alfred de Bendevill, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford

Tyrrioun de Nyvel, charged that he stole from John de Kilcopok a pig worth 12d., comes and defends, etc. Griffin Cristofre, Geoffrey son of David, William Roch le Botill . . . ward de Brus, John son of Roger le Botiller, John de la Grave, junior, John son of Adam, Robert de Lyouns, Thomas . . . , Thomas Haie, Thomas Aylward of Coulboygun, Thomas de la Grave, Adam de la Bataille and William le Grant, jurors, say that Tyrrioun is guilty. They say, however, that the pig was worth only 10d. Afterwards of grace suit of the peace is pardoned to Tyrrioun, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford

Richard son of Matthew Ayleward of Gortynys and Gillice O Sloyth, charged that Gillice received John son of M . . . O Brodre, who stole from the tenements of Fathlyg and Coulmoiyfawery seven sheep worth 8d. each, and from Edward . . . Brus two afers worth a mark, and that Gillice had art and part therein; and that Richard received John Ballagh with his following, John being also concerned in the said thefts, and had art and part therein; come and defend, etc. Griffin Cristofre, Geoffrey son of David, William Roth le Botiller, Edward de Brus, John son of Roger le Botiller, John de la Grave junior, John son of Adam, Robert de Lyouns, Thomas Ayleward of Coulboygun, Thomas de la Grave, Adam de la Bataille, Henry Broun, Alexander de la Grave and Walter le Graunt, jurors, say that Richard and Gillice are not guilty, and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Because all the said jurors at another time solemnly gave evidence against Richard and

Waterford

1313 Gillice, and now they acquit them and assert the contrary, therefore all of them in heavy mercy.

Waterford Maurice O Laghcnan, charged that he stole from William Dak . . . an afer worth half a mark, and that he is a common thief of all other things on which he can lay his hands, comes and defends, etc. Thomas Albus of Balyathan, William . . . , William the Englishman, Robert Wytewatir, Thomas Croutham, John son of Walter le Whyte, Richard Albus, John Keppagh, La . . . Broun, William Albus, Geoffrey de Kerdiff and David Albroun, jurors, say that Maurice O Laghnan is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. John Auntaygne, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Waterford Nicholas son of William Gascoyngne, John son of Nicholas Gascoyngne, Cristiana and Johanna, daughters of Nicholas Gascoyngne, and Nicholas son of John Gascoyngne, at the instance of Hamond G . . . yngne are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses to this day, by 6 marks, by pledge of Hamond Gascoyngne, so that they stand, etc.

m. 69d.

YET OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Waterford Thomas Bernard, charged that he, together with another malefactor, stole from John Montaigne an afer worth half a mark, comes and defends, etc. William son of Warin, John de Bycamptoun, Alfred de Bendevile, Gilbert de Bycamptoun, Thomas de Argenteym, William Patrik, Roger Laghles, Philip Bourne, Milo le Flemyng, Henry son of Richard Cristofre, Roger le Whyte and Thomas Brittevill, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Adam son of Griffin Cristofre, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. And because the said jurors all at another time solemnly gave evidence against Thomas and now acquit him and assert the contrary, therefore all in mercy, which mercy is appraised by the Justiciar at 40s.

Typerary For the good service often done and hereafter to be done to the King by Henry Lefayn, suit of the peace is pardoned to Henry for all trespasses to this day, so that he stand, etc. And the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent to be made for Henry's pardon in customary form.

Waterford David O Donegyn, charged that he feloniously slew Elena de Carreu, his wife, and threw her dead body into the mill pool of the Crok, and that he is a common thief of all handy and . . . things that he can lay his hands on, comes and defends, etc. Griffin Cristofre, Edward de Brus, John son of Roger le Botiller, Michael de la Grave, John de la Grave junior, John son of Adam, John de Kilcophth, Thomas Ayleward of Coulboygun, Thomas de la Grave, Adam de la Bataille, Alexander de la Grave and Walter le Graunt, jurors, say that David O Donegyn is guilty of the charges and is suspected of other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land.

Waterford Neuk O Glomerny, charged that he feloniously slew Thomas Belescot, whom he struck on the head with a stick, comes and defends, etc. Geoffrey Herberd, John Flemyng, Peter son of David, Michael de Grave, John de Grave junior, Philip son of Milo Fornaht, John son of John de Kilcop, David son of Henry Wys, John son of Henry Wys,

John son of Adam, Thomas de Grave and Walter son of Hugh, jurors, say that Neuok is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land. 1313

William son of John, son of Jordan Myneter, charged that he, together with other malefactors, is accustomed to go through the country and break houses and bolts, and that he robbed the tenants of William son of Richard le Botiller at le Nadan of food, drink, lambs, kids, silver and other things to the value of half a mark, to the great damage of the country, and that he stole from John son of Henry le Poer, parson, seven afers worth 5s. each, and broke three houses of the said John son of Henry at Clounegam, and therefrom robbed John of pans, tripods, stuffs and other utensils to the value of 20s., comes and refuses to speak, but of fraud and malice remains silent. (This entry is apparently unfinished, a space being left, opposite which in the margin is the note *diet'*.) Waterford

William son of John le Whyte, charged that he, together with other malefactors, came by night to the house of Andrew Albus at Coildorath and entering the house struck John le Mareschal with a lance and an axe and feloniously slew him and afterwards robbed him of a faling worth 6d., comes and refuses to answer, but as if mute of fraud and malice is silent. (Unfinished like previous entry: same note in margin.) Waterford

At the instance of Richard le Waleys, knight, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done by him to the King, Philip O Nel, Avercath O Nel, William O Kellyn, Griffin McScitthruk, Ney Inyn McChoilan and John McRicar O Nel of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses whereof they are indicted to this day, by 10 marks, by pledge of Richard le Waleys, knight, and Richard son of Richard du Vaal, so that they stand, etc. Therefore the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent to be issued in customary form. Waterford

Juliana Lowys, elsewhere indicted that she stole from the wife of William O Kynnagh 20s. of silver, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of John Corbry and William son of Nicholas. Waterford

Loghlyn O Boygh, charged with receiving . . . Cleragh O Foghlyth, who is a common thief, and that he has art and part with him in his larcenies, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of William de Nauntoun and William son of Nicholas. Waterford

At the instance of Ralph de Kerdiff, Richard de Kerdiff and James de Kerdiff are admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of Nicholas Cod, feloniously slain by them, and for all trespasses and felonies to this day except the death of an Englishman, by 60s., by pledge of the said Ralph de Kerdiff of the county Typerary, so that they stand, etc. Waterford

For the good service which Reginald Broun, knight, has often done and hereafter will do to the King, Reginald of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses to this day, by 100s., by pledge of Almaric le Poer and William Gardyn. And by the same fine any abjuration of the King's land made by him is remitted, and also any outlawry proclaimed against him, so that he stand, etc. And the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent to issue in customary form. Waterford

m. 70

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT KILKENNY BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, 12 June  
CUSTOS, TUESDAY AFTER TRINITY, a. r. 6.

At the instance of Arnald le Poer, knight, and for the good service Kildare

- 1313 often done and hereafter to be done by him, suit of the peace is pardoned to Thomas Wolf for robbing Nicholas the priest of Kilka against his will of a horse . . . so that he stand, etc.
- Dublin  
Kilkenny Geoffrey son of Thomas Russel, charged that he forcibly carried off Annot, daughter of Thomas Baker, against her will, comes and defends, etc. Philip de Cantetoun, Thomas le Lung, John son of Richard, Henry Norreis, David son of Adam, David Bulle, David son of David son of Adam, Stephen Bokelond, Matthew Ayleward, Richard de Brewes, Walter Touker and John son of Reymund, jurors, say that Geoffrey is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.
- Dublin  
Kilkenny Lucia, daughter of Nicholas, taken on suspicion for that it was commonly rumoured that she was a partner with Alexander son of Nicholas Laurence in waylaying Andrew Gerrard and that she had art and part in 20 marks of which Alexander robbed Andrew, comes and of grace suit of the peace is pardoned to her, so that she stand, etc.
- Dublin  
Kilkenny At the instance of Andrew Gerrard, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done by him, suit of the peace is pardoned to John son of Thomas Laurence, John son of Nicholas Laurence and Andrew son of William Laurence, for that they, together with Alexander son of Nicholas Laurence, waylaid Andrew Gerrard and robbed him of 20 marks, and also that they received Alexander and several other Welshmen and Irishmen who were present at the said waylaying, so that they stand, etc.
- Dublin  
Kilkenny At the instance of Andrew Gerrard, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done to the King by him, suit of the peace is pardoned to Philip son of Lewelagh Clogagh, John son of Dayok Dodekyn, William son of Dayok Dodekyn, Maurice Moor, Walter Suppyn, Philip son of Henry, David Clogagh and Thomas Candelan for all trespasses to this day, so that they stand, etc.
- Dublin  
Kilkenny At the instance of Hugh Clement, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done to the King by him, Richard Dorbarre of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses to this day except murder, rape and arson, by half a mark, by pledge of Hugh Clement, so that he stand, etc.
- Kildare Alexander de Gavestoun, clerk, charged that when John de Wellesley, late sheriff of the county, committed his turn to the said Alexander, his clerk, to take an inquisition at Tristeldermot on certain articles done contrary to the peace, Alexander took by the hands of Reginald son of Robert from a certain Nicholas de Rede, indicted before Alexander by the said inquisition of divers trespasses, a fine of 2s. for remitting the charge and concealing it to the King's hurt, comes, and because the court here is satisfied that Alexander well and faithfully served the King and the indictment was brought by the malice which certain people in the inquisition had against him, therefore Alexander is pardoned for the said trespass.
- Waterford John son of Reymund, charged that he stole from Richard le Prute and John Olorkan two afers, and also charged that on the last coming of the custos of Ireland to the town of Waterford, viz., on Thursday in the week of Pentecost last at Waterford, he broke the King's prison in which he was detained for the said theft and escaped out of the prison and the custody of Michael de Carren, attorney of the chief serjeant of the county of Waterford, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Philip Cristofre, knight, so that he stand, etc.

And because the said John withdrew as aforesaid, his chattels are confiscated for flight. Chattels; four afers worth 5s. each, a young horse worth 2s., six cows worth 5s. each, sixty sheep worth 6d. each, six lambs worth 3d. each, two acres of wheat and a stang of wheat and hastivel worth 7s., three stangs of beans worth 3s., an acre of peas worth . . . .d. five acres of oats worth 2s. each, for which Philip Cristofre, knight, will answer for 21s. 8d., to wit for the crop of all the said corn granted to him for the said price, so that if it be worth more he will answer to the King for the surplus. And the sheriff, John son of William le Botiller, will answer for the remainder of the chattels, viz. £4 3s. 6d. And to judgment for the escape. Escape upon the said Michael de Carreu. And if Michael suffice not for this, let the chief serjeant answer for him, as he appointed Michael in his place. 1313

m. 71

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. JOHN BAPTIST. 8 July

Peter de Colstoun and Nicholas de Offyngtoun, charged that when they lately came to Dalkey with a certain ship, they there robbed another ship, a foreigner, of merchandise, victuals and other goods to the value of £40, and also that they are accustomed to come and go between Scotland and Ireland, bringing victuals and divers other goods to Robert le Bruys, the King's enemy, come and defend, etc. John Lymput, Walter Fox, Roger Peny, Thomas Resel, Philip Brayn, Philip son of Elias, John Godefrey, Ralph Fox, Roger Resel, Thomas le Whyte of Yestende, William le Hore and Ralph son of Thomas, jurors, say that Peter and Nicholas are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Dublin

Walter son of Richard O Bryn, John McTorkoill, Thomas Ohenethan and Walter O Conlyn, charged that whereas they are common robbers and notorious felons, for which they were assigned to the King's prison at Dublin, they by sedition attempted to escape from the said castle by certain cords found with them, which they made from sheets and coverlets, and that they broke the castle, come and defend, etc. William de la Felde, Elyas le Whyte, William le Forester, Richard de Houthe, John Hamond, Thomas Heyford, Roger Mole, Thomas de Kent of Kilcoskan, John Oweyn, Ryrith son of John, Audoen de Rabo, Richard Fox and Nicholas de Callan, jurors, say that Walter and John are guilty and are suspected of other misdeeds. Therefore let them be drawn and hanged. Thomas Ohennethan and Walter are guilty of the said larcenies, robberies and felonies. Therefore let them be hanged. No chattels or free land. Dublin

Fyngole, wife of Walter McTorkoill, charged that she came by night to Dublin and brought with her the said sheets and coverlets, from which she, as well as Walter and the others, made cords by which they intended to descend the walls of the castle and escape, and that she participated not only in the misdeeds of her husband but also of the other felons, comes and answers nothing, but as one mute and unwilling to speak remains silent. And twelve jurors present that Fyngole is well able to speak, is silent of malice and pretends to be mute. Therefore let her be re-committed to gaol to the diet. No chattels or free land. Afterwards of grace suit of the peace is pardoned to her and the diet remitted, so that she stand, etc. Dublin

1313  
Dublin

John de Ulton., charged that he broke the grange of John Wodelok, at night at Keppok and therefrom stole half a crannock of wheat and half a crannock of oats worth 4s., comes and defends, etc. Philip Scribias, William the Miller, Maurice Curtiller, William de Lusk, Gilbert de Rubea Mora, Richard Longus, Thomas le Fouler, Robert Wiltoun, Walter Andreu, Nicholas Porter, Elias le Whyte and John Tyrel, jurors, say that John is guilty of the charges, but is not suspected of any other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 9s., for which John Derpatrik, the sheriff, will answer. No free land.

Kildare

Alicia daughter of Annot de Mid., and Roger de Mid., indicted that they burglariously entered the house of Mabilla the weaver of Leixlip<sup>(1)</sup> and therefrom stole stuffs to the value of 40d., and Thomas de Mid., indicted that he is a common thief of corn in the fields in autumn, and that he commonly breaks ricks in haggards, to the great damage of the countrymen, and Agnes de Mid., indicted for receiving the said Thomas, and Betoun, wife of Thomas, indicted that she stole four bushels of malt oats worth 12d. out of the *torellum* of Godefrey Cateryn against his will, and Thomas and Betoun his wife that they stole capons and hens from Adam the clerk of Leixlip to the value of 16d., and that they stole from Adam the clerk four bushels of malt oats worth 6d. each, at the instance of Henry de B . . . . we and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done to the King by the said Henry, Alicia, Thomas, Betoun and Agnes are admitted to make fine, etc. by half a mark, by pledge of Godfrey de Hereford and Haket de la Sale, so that they stand, etc.

m. 72

6 Aug.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CORK BEFORE WALTER DE THORNBURY, CHANCELLOR OF IRELAND AND WILLIAM ALISAUNDRE, ASSIGNED TO THE PLACE OF EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, ACTING ELSEWHERE IN REMOTE PARTS, MONDAY BEFORE S. LAURENCE THE MARTYR, a. r. 7.

Cork

Nevok Oshynny, charged that he stole from Alicia Dollyng at Tylaghrath a cow worth 6s., and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. Philip son of Baldewyn, Philip Costyn, Maurice Scurlag, John Candelan, Philip Pembr., Alexander Deffeuers, Maurice Fraunceys, John Broun, William Elyot, Robert Newton, Nicholas le Whyte and John son of Gilbert, jurors, say that Nevok is guilty of the charges and of many other felonies. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land.

Cork

Thomas Smegyn, charged that he is a common spy upon loyal countrymen at peace with the King, and that he brings Irishmen from the parts of Desmond to rob the said lieges, and that he has art and part with Dermod Roth Offelan, who is a common thief and robber, in all his misdeeds, and that at the request of the said Dermod he came to master Adam Copener, bidding him send to Dermod a corselet (*corsectum*), and if he refused, his whole mansion would be burnt by Dermod, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas Burnel, Jordan Deverous, Matthew Coyt, Jordan Sygyn, Nicholas Copener, John Boletoun, Robert Barefot, Symon Coyit, Adam le Whyte, Henry le Tavernere, John Babbe and William Bernard, jurors, say that Thomas is guilty of all the charges and participated with Dermod and his accomplices in all arson and robberies hitherto committed by them in that county. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land. David Ketyng, William Palmer nad John Ragemas, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

(1) " De Saltu Salmon."



William McAlryth, charged that he by night burglariously entered the house of John McGillekeleghan, *hibernicus* of Eneas Wogan, and robbed him of a pan and other booty to the value of a mark, and that he stole from Alexander de Ferres an afer worth half a mark, from Reymund de Carreu an afer worth half a mark and from Henry le Whyte . . . carcasses of oxen worth 16d., and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. Philip son of Baldewyn, Philip Costyn, Maurice Scourlag, John Candelan, Maurice Fraunceys, Adam Tankard, Henry le Whyte of Tullaghrat., Stephen le Waleys, Robert Nywetoun, William Ilgel, Thomas de Barry and John Apilgard, jurors, say that William is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

1313  
Cork

William Corbaly, charged that he stole from John Borard an afer worth half a mark and from Luke son of Walter de Beauver an afer worth half a mark and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. Milo son of Thomas, Ger. son of David, Thomas de Midia, John Copener, Jordan Bartholomew, Thomas Pembrok, Maurice Briddeshale, John Stakepol, William Tankard, John Torry, Adam Tankard and John Bryt, jurors, say that William at the time when he was of the age of thirteen years stole the said afers, and he is not suspected of any other misdeed. Afterwards of grace William is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said theft, by 20s., by pledge of John Corbally and Nicholas Goly, so that he stand, etc. John Malros, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Cork

Philip Waleys, charged that he burglariously entered the house of John Huberd and Martin son of Alexander and robbed them of ten afers worth five marks, and other booty to the value of 40s., and that he is a common robber, comes and defends, etc. John le Flemmyng, son of Simon, Nicholas de Monte, William Bretteville, William de Loundres, Milo Dunre . . . , Henry Wolf, William Martel, John Anloud, Ger. son of David de Midia, Thomas de Midia, John Cole and Michael de S. John, jurors, say that Philip is not guilty, and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. John son of Richard de la Pulle, Wyot Bretteu . . . , Laurence Breteville, Robert son of William, William son of Thomas, James le Whyte, Hugh Boys, John Hubert, Philip son of Hugh and Michael son of John de Rupe, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Cork

William Fythard, charged with the death of John le Taillour, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. Patrick de Midia, Thomas Bartholomew, Maurice Briddeshale, Walter Broun, Stephen de la Pulle, Richard Bes . . . le, John Borard, John Bryt, Ger. Rys, Robert Stakepol, John Myagh and John Pollard, jurors, say that John, by reason of a contention arising between him and William, would have slain William and struck at him with an axe, wounding him in the shoulder, threw him down and fell upon him with intent to slay him, and William, seeing he could not otherwise escape death, drew a small knife and struck John in the breast so that he died. And they say clearly that had not William slain John, John would have slain him.

Cork

Dovenald Ogrefi, charged . . . Seycel the Welshman, Hywan the Welshman, Howel the Welshman and John the Welshman, by him feloniously slain, comes and defends, etc. Thomas Bromheswe . . . hyng, Henry son of David, Maurice Crik, Thomas Bonewyl, . . . Wyte, William Deyer, John Bernard, William . . . , Thomas Heywode, John son of David, . . . say that Donald is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land. Walter son of David and William Martyn, jurors summoned, come not . . . Ragnyl Okathlan, charged

Cork

1313 with the death of the said Welshmen, comes and puts himself on the country. And said jurors say that Ragnyl is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land.

Cork William Laweles, charged that he stole from Mabilla de Leye nine cows worth 60s., and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. William Brisky, Stephen le Devenes, Ger. de Stauntoun, William de Ferrers, . . . . Cogan, William Gal, Stephen Lovel, Adam son of Richard, Richard Fitz Symound, Roger de Vaal, S . . . . , Adam. Cryk, jurors, say that William is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Cork Dovenald Daltyn, Thomas Bertholomeu charged that he<sup>(1)</sup> by night burglariously entered the grange . . . and stole therefrom oats to the value of 4d., comes and defends, etc. . . . John Pollard, Richard Bryt, Richard . . . . (remainder of membrane decayed).

m. 72d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Cork Simon Penrys, charged with the death of Richard Sinnahit, feloniously slain by him, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon comes John Bernard, vicar of the church of S. Katerine, Cork, with letters patent of John, bishop of Cork, by which the bishop assigned John Bernard to demand clerks charged before the Justice at pleas of the crown, and demands that Simon be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known for what he ought to be delivered, inquiry is made more fully into the truth of the premises by the following jurors, viz., Philip de Mid., Richard de Mid., Adam le Blund, Milo Milblaunk, Robert Keri, Richard son of Ralph, Gerald de Rait, William Brego, Richard Haye, Nicholas Chod, Maurice Prendilgast and Philip Magnel, who say that Richard Sinnahit met Simon on the highway and attacked him with insulting words, threw him from his horse and lay upon him with intent to slay him, whereupon Simon, seeing that in no way could he escape death, drew his knife and struck Richard Sinnath in the breast so that he died; and they say clearly that Richard would have slain Simon had Simon not defended himself as aforesaid. And because Simon refused the common law, his chattels are confiscated, viz., 70s. 8d., for which Philip son of William de Donrayl will answer, by pledge of Philip de Mid., Milo Milblaunk, Robert Keri and Gerald de Rath. Walter de Waleys, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards Simon is admitted to make fine, etc., by 10s., by pledge of Philip son of William, so that he stand, etc.

Cork Stephen le Myneter, who is outlawed by the estreats of the rolls of the crown of the last circuit of the Justice in Eyre in that county for the death of Ithel the Welshman, feloniously slain by him, comes and says that King Edward, father of the present King, by letters patent pardoned him suit of the peace for all trespasses and felonies. And he produced the letters patent under the testimony of Maurice de Rupeforti, *locum tenens* of the Justiciar, in which it is contained that the said King Edward pardoned suit of the peace to Stephen for all trespasses and felonies to the octave of the Purification, in the thirtieth year of the King's reign, before which day John the Welshman was slain. And

(<sup>1</sup>) Thus.

because the said outlawry is not remitted to him by the letters patent, let Stephen be re-committed to gaol to await judgment. Afterwards of grace Stephen le Mineter and Gilbert le Myneter his father, charged with receiving Stephen, are admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Milo de Rupe, Robert Kery and Thomas Kery, so that they stand, etc. And the community of the town of Moyale, which received Stephen when outlawed, is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Nicholas Surlag and Walter son of Robert. 1313

Adam Odonegan, charged with the death of Walter de Riddelysford, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. Ger. Rys, Ger. Miagh, Thomas Miagh, Hugh . . . oes, John Stakepol, William Tancard, Adam Tankard, Richard Tankard, William Grene, Richard Besevill, Peter Cadigan and Patrick de Mid., jurors, say that Adam is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And because the said jurors testify and present that one Richard Stibbe feloniously slew Walter de Riddelysford, and that Richard has withdrawn himself and is of ill fame, his chattels are confiscated. And let him be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels, £4 4s. 3½d., for which the sheriff will answer. Afterwards Richard Stibbe came and surrendered and of grace, at the instance of Maurice de Rupeforti, is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Thomas Stibbe, Adam le Smale, John de Rupe, Patrick Stibbe, Walter de la Pulle and David son of Hugh, so that they stand, etc. Afterwards it is granted to Richard Stibbe that he have again his chattels, to answer therefor to the King by pledge of the aforesaid. And the sheriff and coroner are told to deliver them to him in manner aforesaid. Cork

Suit of the peace is pardoned to Evot Cod for that by night she stole from David son of Robert two ewes worth 16d., and for this pardon Evot mainprised to have sixteen masses celebrated for the soul of King Edward, father of the present King, and of all the faithful dead, so that she stand, etc. And Walter son of Richard, Matthew Ailward, Maurice son of Milo Ailward, John C . . . yl, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Cork

Thomas . . . and Milo Obrenan, charged that they by night burglariously entered the grange of Maurice de Prendilgast and stole therefrom corn and other victuals to the value of 40s., and that they are common thieves, come and defend, etc. Maurice Prendilgast, Richard son of Philip, Ede de Bridsal, John Ove, Robert le Waleys, Gerald Russel, John the Welshman, Philip son of Thomas le Waleys, . . . le Flemmyng, Philip Trevedyn, John son of Peter and Philip son of Walter le Waleys say that Thomas and Milo are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Ralph le Whyte, John Torre and Nicholas Trevedyn, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Cork

Robert Duffath, charged . . . Martel three afers worth half a mark each, and that he stole of the grass of Philip Albus . . . value of 10s., and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. Richard Gold, Reginald Broun, J . . . ng, John Gold, Robert Devenys, Stephen Gold, William Candelan, John Devenys, Henry . . . Broun, Maurice Torry and James Sygin, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And Stephen Torry, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy. Cork

Thomas Gascoyn, taken for that he is outlawed at the suit of the King by the estreats of the rolls of the crown at the last circuit of the Justice in that county for the death of Synolde Casse, came and produced

- 1313 letters patent of King Edward, father of the present king, under the seal which he used in Ireland, in which it is contained that the said King Edward pardoned to the said Thomas Gascoyn, who served under him in the war in Scotland in the company of David son of Jordan de Cauntoun, suit of the peace for all trespasses and felonies and also outlawry against him for whatever cause . . . . seventeenth day of February, a. r. . . . (mutilated).

m. 73

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, a. r. 7.

- Cork John Bole, William le Whyte, William Flemmyng, David Broun, William Broun, Walter Bole, Richard Bole, Simon Prutfot, David son of William, Robert Suellyng, Nicholas Wodecoc, John Wodecoc, Henry de Riddlesford, Henry son of Robert, Philip Larcher, Richard Prutfot, William son of William Broun, Stephen le Whyte, Nicholas Cole, Nicholas le Waleys, John Godrith, Richard le Flemmyng, Adam Cole, Elyas Suellyng, Walter son of Eustace Bole, Walter Stauntoun, Richard son of William Bole, charged with receiving John McLode, an outlawed felon in that county, come and of grace are admitted to make fine with the King for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them, also to all men of the parish of Athnehone, for the same charges, by 40s., by pledge of Walter Sueilling, William le Flemmig, Walter Bole, David son of William, Robert Suelling and Richard Bole, so that they stand, etc.
- Cork William de S. Michael, taken in the act, viz., with an afer stolen by him from John le Flemmyng worth half a mark, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 10s., by pledge of John son of Geoffrey de Cogan and Thomas Heywode, so that he stand, etc.
- Cork Comdyn Ohyrthyn, charged that he stole from the monastery of Obathom a cow worth 5s., comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of William Arundel, William son of Reymund, John de Rupe and John de Candelan, so that he stand, etc.
- Cork John Offlyng, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Katerine Oweyn and thence carried away a pan worth 2s., and that he broke the house of John Hamound and thence led away a horse worth 20s., and that he is a common thief, burglar and robber, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came John Bernard, vicar of the church of S. Katerine of Cork, with letters patent of John, bishop of Cork, assigning him to demand clerks charged before the Justice here at the suit of the crown, and demanded that John should be delivered to him as a clerk, and that it may be known for what he ought to be delivered to him, inquiry proceeded more fully into the truth of the premises, by the following jurors, viz., John Kenefeg, Henry Derby, Symon Kenefeg, Thomas de Tyntagel, Nicholas Derby, John Flemmyng, John Cod dil Nytyr <sup>(1)</sup>, David de Rupe, Philip Tybaud, Philip Madok, Maurice Madok and William Ilger, who say that John is guilty and is a common thief, burglar and robber. Therefore let him be delivered to John Bernard to be kept in the bishop's prison under fitting penalty, etc. Gilbert Cod, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

(1) Thus.

Thomas Onolan, charged with the death of Gerald Sumpter, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. Robert son of John, David Ketyng, Adam le Was, Maurice le Fraunceys, Alexander de Ferres, Nicholas Tyntagel, Roger le Wyth, Philip Pembrok, John Brun, Thomas son of David, Maurice Fraunceys, Philip son of Gilbert and William le Palmer, jurors, say that Thomas is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land. 1313  
Cork

Thomas, sometime . . . . David, sometime vicar of Shendoun, charged that he stole five pounds of wax worth 9d. a pound from the wax of the church of the B.V.M. of Shendoun, comes and says that he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came John Bernard, etc. (as in previous entries). Adam son of Henry le Blound, Stephen Lovel, Eustace Cogan, Adam son of Richard, William de Ferres, Stephen le Devenys, James le Fort, Simon le Grant, Roger Stauntoun, William Gall, John Mereward, Thomas Gal junior, and Ger. de Stauntoun, jurors, say that Thomas is guilty. Therefore let him be delivered to John Bernard to be kept in the bishop's prison under fitting penalty, etc. Chattels, none. Cork

Thomas son of Thomas Hodynet, William son of Thomas Hodinet, Matthew Omolyntyn, Padyng Offareghir, Nicholas Offareghyr and Richard Ocroyne, charged with receiving Neuok O Shynny, a felon hanged for divers larcenies and robberies of which he was convicted before the Justice here in this session, come and defend, etc. Philip Costyn, Philip son of Gilbert, Maurice Scurlag, John Candelan, Alexander de Ferres, Maurice Fraunceys, David Ketyng, Adam Tankard, William Palmer, John Broun, William Elyot and Robert Neweton, jurors, say that Thomas, William, Matthew, Padyng, Nicholas and Richard are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Cork

Philip son of Nicholas de Castro Olethran, charged with the death of Thomas Joce, feloniously slain by him, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came Richard Okona, canon of Cloyne, with letters patent of Nicholas, bishop of Cloyne, etc. (as in previous entries). John Brun, John Pope (*Papa*), William Elyot, William Onyas, David son of Adam, William Palmer, John son of Thomas Wrench, Adam Tancard, Adam Bul, Roger le Whyth and David son of Gilbert Wrenoch, jurors, say that Philip shot Thomas in the left side of the head with an arrow so that he died, and this he did by accident when shooting at the boundary. Afterwards suit of the peace is pardoned to him because the affair was an accident, so that he stand, etc. Cork

Philip le Waleys and Roesea his wife, charged with the death of Symon le Waleys, feloniously slain by Philip at the instigation of Roesea, came and say that Symon was a *hibernicus* so that by his slaying he could not commit a felony. Maurice Prendilgast, Walter Trevedyn, John Tebaud, Richard son of Philip, T . . . . Briddissale, John son of Peter, John Leynath, Richard Cole, Roger Trevedyn, John Ove and Matthew Cardyff, jurors, say that Simon was a *hibernicus* of Philip. Therefore Philip and Roesea are quit of the said felony. Cork

John Mariner, charged that he stole from Nyvin Oholeghan a pack horse worth a mark, and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. Walter Malefaunt, William le Whyte, Robert son of Robert, Maurice Dunre, William son of Thomas, William de . . . . , Richard le Rede, Henry le Lou, John son of Richard de la Pulle, Robert Terry, Laurence de Breteville and John Hubert, jurors, say that John is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Cork

1313  
Cork Henry Brounyng, charged with the death of Nicholas Wolf, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. Henry son of Robert, . . . . de la Pulle, Patrick le Whyte, John Bodyn, Henry de Rupe son of Edmund, John son of Tancar . . . . Turnelof, Robert le Waleys, Henry Goly, Symon Geel and John Ede, jurors, say that a strife arose between Henry and Nicholas and that Henry slew Nicholas with a knife in self defence. Afterwards Henry is admitted to make fine, etc. . . . Thomas Aydoun and John Bodyn, so that he stand, etc. (Entry much mutilated).

m. 73d.

Cork David Torre charged that he received two afers worth 5s. stolen from a certain man of Mack . . . whose name is not known by John Clement, a common thief, and that he had art and part therein and knew the afers to have been stolen, comes and defends, etc. Robert son of John, Adam son of Henry, Stephen Lovel, Eustace de Cogan, Adam son of Richard, William de Ferres, Stephen le Devenys, James le Fort, Simon le Graunt, Roger Stauntoun, William Galle, John Mereward and Thomas Gal junior, jurors, say that David is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Cork Alexander Orothyn, charged with the death of Milo le Graunt, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. And Margeria de Rupe, charged that after Alexander had killed Milo, she robbed Milo of a faling worth 12d., and afterwards went with Alexander, a felon, and dwelt in his company to this day, also comes. And at the instance of David son of Alexander de Rupe they are admitted to make fine, etc., by 60s., by pledge of David son of Alexander de Rupe and Adam le Blund, so that they stand, etc.

Cork William son of Matthew, charged that he stole from Richard Archer a hack worth 8s., and that he is wont to go by night and break the ricks of the neighbours, and that he broke the rick of John Cardigan and took therefrom half a crannock of beans, worth 2s., and also Henry Kildenal, charged that he broke his own house and his own coffer and took there from three marks of silver from the silver of his brother, Walter Laucock, handed over to him for safe keeping, come and defend, etc. John Joye, John Fraunceys senior, Maurice le Palmer, Robert Palmer, Elyas Leynester, Gilbert Longus, John Cardigan, Thomas Lambert, Walter le Whyte, William son of John, David Fraunceys and John Fraunceys, jurors, say that Walter and Henry are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

Cork Twelve jurors present that a horse of James de Rupe worth five marks, standing in the house of Margeria Hamound in the town of Sandoun, a suburb of Cork, struck a pan standing in the house on the fire full of hot water and overturned it, so that the water therein fell on John son of Nicholas, a small boy of three years sitting near the fire, and scalded him so that he died. Therefore judgment for the said horse and pan, which ought to be forfeited to the King as deodands. Value of the horse, five marks, for which David son of Alexander de Rupe will answer by pledge of Nicholas son of Herbert and Gerald de Cauntetoun. Value of the pan and a small tripod, 4d., for which Gilbert Yerward will answer by pledge of William Yerward and John Brase.

Cork David Haltoun, charged with the death of Henry Ballard feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. Adam de Ferres, Adam son of Richard, James le Fort, Symon le Grant, Thomas son of Henry le Wyth,

1313

Eustace de Cogan, Stephen de Midia, Roger le Wal., Henry son of Adam, Adam le Whyte, Stephen Devenys and William de Ferres, jurors, say that certain thieves and malefactors came by night to the vill of Hywe Haltoun and would have robbed the said vill, whereupon hue and cry was raised, and David coming to the hue with a bow and arrows in his hand, thought he had shot a thief, but had shot Henry Ballard in the breast so that he died. Philip le Lang and Maurice le Wyth, jurors summoned come not; therefore in mercy. Afterwards of grace David is admitted to make fine, etc., by 10s., by pledge of Yvy Haltoun and Matthew Haltoun, so that he stand, etc. Chattels forfeited, 13s., for which Laurence Tyntagel will answer, by pledge of Walter Tyntagel and John son of Richard Tyntagel.

Twelve jurors present that Adam Goth rode over the water of Glanmoledyn, and the horse on which he rode stumbled in the water and threw Adam beneath it and so drowned him. Value of the horse, half a mark, for which Richard Fraunceys will answer, by pledge of Thomas le Smale and Adam son of Richard the Smith. They present also that Maurice Seisil rode a horse, and the horse stumbled and threw Maurice to the crown <sup>(1)</sup> whereby his bowels were ruptured so that on the second day afterwards he died. Value of the horse 10s., for which Richard Tyntagel will answer, by pledge of Alexander Ferres and Philip son of Simon Appilgard. They present also that John son of Thomas rode a horse outside the town of Cork towards Castrum de Olethran, and on the journey he dismounted, and the horse with the right hind foot struck John in the belly so that he died. Value of the horse, a mark, for which Robert le Whyte of Cognagh will answer, by pledge of David son of Simon and Laurence le Whyte. They present also that Robert son of Thomas, a boy of four years, was playing in the house of Thomas son of David, and by accident fell into a pan of hot hydromel and scalded himself so that he died. Value of the pan, 8d., for which Adam son of Milo will answer, by pledge of John le Lang and Simon le Grant. They present also . . . . rode on a horse near the priory of Botoun and fell from the horse . . . . Value of the horse, 10s., for which Nicholas Albus de Castr. de Olethran will answer, by pledge of William . . . . They present also that Robert Kryt cut an oak, and the oak fell . . . . so that he died. Value of the oak 1d., for which John Wrench will answer, by pledge of Reginald . . . . They present also that Bartholomew Wogan and Nicholas Wogan rode on a . . . . ryd, and the horse fell upon them, whereby they were drowned. Value of the horse, 10s., for which . . . . will answer, by pledge of Aeneas Wogan and Richard Godefrey. They present also that Adam le Flemmyng, being in a boat on the sea, slept, and there came a tempest and drowned Adam as well as sinking the boat. Value of the boat, 12d., for which Thomas Bertolomew will answer, by pledge of John Copener and Henry Bertolomew. They present also that a mare of John Talebot struck John on the forehead so that he died. Value of the mare, 40d., for which master John de Cauntoun will answer, by pledge of John Fraunceys and Adam Stakepol. They present also that Mariot Kenepheg fell into a pan full of hot water and scalded herself so that she died. Value of the pan, 12d., for which Reymund de Cauntoun will answer, by pledge of Adam Stauntoun and Robert Albus. They present also that David le Blund, a boy of the age of six years, was sitting near the fire in the house of Richard Cod, and a pot full of hot water which was over the fire fell on David and scalded him so that he died. Value of . . . . 40d., for which Philip Costentyn son of Maurice will answer, by pledge of David Broun and William the Cook. They

Cork

(1) Thus.

1313 present also that Robert Laweles rode on a horse over the water of Leglas and the horse fell under him in the water so that he was drowned. Value of the horse . . . .s. 8d., and a bridle worth 2d., for which James Magnel will answer by pledge of James de Cauntoun . . . . Jordan Magnel. And Philip son of William de Kar., Henry Crothan, Philip son of Roger, Gilbert . . . ., John Norragh, John Baret, Jordan de Contoun of Conebart, William Porter son of Robert . . . . dia, Walter Baret, Jordan Talboth, Walter Seys, David Broun, Walter Seys <sup>(1)</sup>, David . . . ., Adam . . . ., Adam Obyn, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

m. 74

6 Aug. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CORK BEFORE WALTER DE THORNBURY, CHANCELLOR OF IRELAND, AND WILLIAM ALYSAUNDRE, ASSIGNED IN PLACE OF EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, MONDAY BEFORE S. LAURENCE, a. r. 7.

Cork Robert Oholeghan, charged that he received McCraygh Oholeghan, Thomas Dywyn Oholeghan, common thieves and notorious thieves in the county, who lately stole from Laurence de Bretvill two afers worth a mark, wherein Robert had art and part, comes and defends, etc. John son of Simon le Flemyng, Nicholas de la Montaigne, William Bretvill, Walter Malenfant, William de Loundres, Milo Drunre, John son of Richard de la Pulle, Henry Wolf, William Martel, John Arnold, Gerald son of David de Midia, Thomas de Mid. and John Cole, jurors, say that Robert Oholeghan is not guilty and is not suspected, etc.

Cork Gillice Odufgyn, charged with receiving Gylyn, kinswoman of Nyvyn son of Byhgne, who is an Irish spy and an intriguer between Irish and English, and that she carries victuals to felons, robbers and thieves of the countryside, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas Gold, Adam Cole, John son of Gruffin de Rupe, Walter Suellyng, John Bole, Geoffrey son of Henry, Walter Longus, John M<sup>r</sup>te, Reymund Nocte, Nicholas Goly and Gregory de Rupe, jurors, say that Gillice is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore she is quit.

Cork Philip Nelot, charged that he robbed Juliana la Whyte of a faling worth 5s., comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Philip de Barry of Kilbryn and John his son, so that they stand, etc.

Cork Twelve jurors present that David Kydenor feloniously slew Philip le Wyte and afterwards withdrew himself, evaded attachment and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be put in exigent and outlawed. And his chattels are confiscated for flight, viz., 50s. 8d., for which William son of William de Barry will answer, by pledge of Patrick Kedenor, Philip Staloun, Geoffrey yWias and Roger le Hore.

Cork Thomas son of Simon Trevedyn, charged that he, together with other felons, murdered Richard Leynagh and Adam Moor, Englishmen, by night in the house of Richard, and that he robbed the house of Richard of afers, oxen, and other chattels to the value of 100s., and that he is a common thief, burglar and robber, and also that he, together with certain other felons, feloniously murdered Henry Cadewely, merchant, near Botavant, and robbed him of ten marks of silver, a horse worth a mark and of his stuffs, comes and says that he is a clerk and neither can

(1) Name repeated in cal.



nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came Richard O Cona, canon of Cloyne, with letters patent of Nicholas bishop of Cloyne, and demanded that Thomas be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known for what he ought to be delivered, inquiry proceeds more fully into the truth of the premises by the following jurors, viz., John de Stakepol of Botavant, Nicholas Hantlan, Maurice Prendregast, John Silvestre, Michael Gough, Reymund son of Herbert, John le Nywe, Robert le Waleys, David Trole, William de Stantoun of Villa Pont., Philip Magnel, John Germeyn and Roger Oweyn, who say that Thomas is guilty of the murder of Richard Leynagh and Adam Moor and also of the robbery. And as to the murder of Henry Cadewely, the jurors say that Thomas is acquitted thereof and is not guilty of that or of the subsequent robbery. Therefore he is quit. And because he is guilty of the murder of Richard and Adam and of that robbery, therefore let him be delivered to Richard Okona to be kept in the bishop's prison under fitting penalty. No chattels or free land. 1313

At the instance of Maurice de Carreu and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done to the King by Maurice, Richard son of Thomas de Carreu, Thomas son of Walter de Carreu, William son of Richard Omurgan, Robert son of David O . . . , Thomas the Miller and Annot his wife, Nicholas Offyn, Philip O Congth, Griffin son of Griffin, David Bybrys and Thomas Smyche are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day except death of an Englishman and arson, by 60s., by pledge of Maurice de Carreu, John le Poer son of John, William de Cauntoun, John de Stauntoun, Robert son of Richard de Carreu, Robert son of Maurice de Carreu and Nicholas Randalf, so that they stand, etc. Cork

m. 74d.

At the instance of brother William de Ros, master of Mora, suit of the peace is pardoned to Peter McLaderan, chaplain, of all felonies and trespasses to this day except death of an Englishman and arson, so that he stand, etc. Cork

m. 75

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CORK BEFORE WALTER DE THORNBURY, CHANCELLOR OF IRELAND, AND WILLIAM ALYSANDRE, ASSIGNED IN PLACE OF EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, ACTING ELSEWHERE IN REMOTE PARTS, MONDAY BEFORE S. LAURENCE, a. r. 7. 6 Aug.

Murirtagh O Dowille, charged that he feloniously slew Thomas Pollard, and that he, together with Adam O Mollally and other Irishmen, notorious felons, burned the manor of Reymund son of Herbert in Fermoy, comes and defends, etc. James le Engleis, William Ketyng, Nicholas the Miller, Nicholas de Burgo, John Pollard, Robert Maghery, David Cauntoun, William Lochwe, Robert Russel, Reymund Beket, John Beket and David Magnel, jurors, say that Muriertagh is guilty and is suspected of other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land. Alexander le Whyte, and Matthew the clerk, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Cork

Richard Stibbe, charged with the death of Walter de Ridelifford, feloniously slain by him, comes and defends, etc. Ger. Miagh, Hugh Boys, John Stakepol, William Tancard, Adam Tancard, Richard Cork

1313 Tancard, William Grene, Richard Besevill, Peter Cadigan, John Tirry, Walter Broun and Nicholas de Monte, jurors, say . . . . (Entry struck out, with marginal note, "*vacat quia alibi*").

Cork Rawenyld O Grayne, charged that he received Thomas Smegyn, a common robber and felon, committing murders, etc., for which he was hanged recently, and that he gave Thomas food and drink, knowing him to be such a man, and that he had art and part in the robberies, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas Burel, Jordan Deverous, Philip Stauntoun, Matthew Coyt, Jordan Sigyn, Nicholas Copener, John Boletoun, Robert Barefot, Simon Coyt, Adam le Whyte, Henry le Tanner and Hamo Hereward, jurors, say that Rawenyld is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Cork David Eynuk, charged that he stole a heifer worth 2s. from Walter Braynok and a pig worth 12d., and that he broke the house of Henry le Devenys and therefrom stole balls of wool and linen worth 4s., comes and of grace at the instance of Maurice de Rupeforti is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Peter de la Montaigne, Nicholas de la Montaigne, Ger. Silvester and Yerward le Waleys, so that he stand, etc. Robert Edward and Walter Bosser, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Cork Adam son of William de la Court, charged that he, together with other malefactors, feloniously slew Henry de Cadewely, merchant, of the town of Cork, as he was going to the fair of Kilmehallok, and robbed Henry of ten marks of silver, a horse worth 10s. and of all his clothes, worth half a mark, and also that he feloniously slew Robert de Midia, comes and defends, etc. John Joie, John Franceis junior, Philip son of Adam, David Franceis, Philip son of Philip, Robert Joye and Gilbert Joie, . . . . John Joie, John Franceis senior, John Franceis junior, Gilbert Joie, John Demet, Richard son of Philip, Thomas . . . . uerick, John Thebaud, John Ove, Ger. Russel and William Berd, jurors, say that Adam is guilty of all the charges and is suspected of other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels of Adam . . . . (entry unfinished).

Cork It is found by the rolls of the coroner of Fermoy that Henry Herdman feloniously slew Thomas Mych . . . . and David son of Odo and robbed them of divers goods to the value of 3s., and afterwards fled, whereupon hue and cry being raised John son of Thomas, Henry son of Thomas, and Philip son of Comdin O Harsythe pursued Henry shortly after the felony and slew him as he fled, as a felon of the death of Thomas and David. And because Henry first committed felony, so that John and Henry, sons of Thomas, and Philip could not commit a felony by slaying him, therefore they are quit (*sine die*). The chattels of Henry Herdman are confiscated, to wit, 2s., for which Richard son of Philip will answer, by pledge of Adam son of Geoffrey Cadel and George son of Philip. And because it is found by the rolls of the said coroner and it is presented by the jury that John, Robert and Maurice Herdman forcibly assisted Henry in slaying Thomas Michel and David son of Odo, and because John, Robert and Maurice Herdman withdrew themselves and are of ill fame, their chattels are confiscated for flight, and let them be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels of John Herdman, 2s., for which Richard son of Philip will answer, by pledge of the said Adam and George. Chattels of Robert, none, but he has a certain message worth 3d. yearly beyond payment of rent, for which Richard son of Philip will answer by the said pledge, as long as it shall remain in the King's hand.

Cork Robert Myagh, charged that he stole from . . . . a hive of bees worth

3s., and from Inyen Oryn five . . . . and that he is a common thief of anything on which he can lay his hands, comes and defends, etc. . . . . son of Baldewyn, Philip C . . . . , Philip son of Gilbert, Maurice Scurl . . . . , William Elyot, John Broun, John Ragwas, Henry . . . . jurors, say that Robert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. 1313

At the instance of John son of David de Barry suit of the peace is pardoned to John Okerwetan and Richard O . . . . , chaplains, for that they abetted William . . . . and others to go to the fold of Richard Joie, within which . . . . the King's serjeant had impounded twenty-seven cows of John son of David de Barry for . . . . and thereof made them guards, and John Okerwetan and Richard caused William and the others to break the pound and lead away therefrom by violence all the said animals, so that they stand, etc. Cork

## m. 75d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Cristyn O Hanni, charged that he broke the sheepfold of John son of Nicholas and thence carried away seven score and nine ewes and led them to Schendoun and there sold them, and that he, together with others, robbed John de Maundevill of four afers worth 20s. and two cows worth 13s. and household utensils worth 10s., comes and defends, etc. John son of Walter Cod, Henry Derby, David de Rupe, Philip Thebaud, Reymund Magnel, Gilbert Cod, Nicholas Derby, James Cod, Milo de S. John, John Cod of Niter, Thomas son of Milo, Walter Landrey and Elias Kent, jurors, say that Cristyn is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Cork

Philip Frumpelyn, charged with the death of William Haket, feloniously slain by him at another time, came before Reginald Broun and Cambinus Donati, Justices assigned to the delivery of gaol in the county and said that he was not guilty and put himself on the country. And the jurors chosen and approved before Reginald and Cambinus then said, and now also say, that William Haket drove Philip Frumpelyn into a corner and would have slain him there, had not William slain him in self-defence. Therefore of grace Philip is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of David son of Alexander de Rupe, so that he stand, etc. Cork

Stephen Imelagh, charged that he instigated Adam le Whyte to steal the afers of Richard le Mouner, said Adam stealing as well afers as a foal worth 5s., and that he had art and part therein, comes and defends, etc. Patrick de Mid., John Copyner, Walter Broun, John Pollard, John Bret, Richard Bret, Richard Besevill, John son of William, Adam Tancard, John Tyrry, William Grene and Thomas Barth., jurors, say that Stephen is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Cork

Hugh O Cuyrk, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Adam Laynagh, *hibernicus* of David Freisel, and therefrom stole a bacon worth 20d., comes and defends, etc. Patrick de Midia, Ger. son of David de Midia, Walter Broun, John Pollard, Richard Brit, Richard Besevill, Ger. Rys, Adam Dounyng, Robert Stakepol, John son of William, William Tancard, Adam Tancard, John Terry and Richard Tancard, jurors, say that Hugh Ocuyrk is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Cork

1313 Philip Duf O Raily, charged that he broke the house of Henry Hay  
Cork by night and therefrom stole a falling worth 3s., a stone of wool worth 3s., and a pan and tripod worth 12d., and that he fled attachment, comes and defends, etc. Thomas Hay, Alexander Leg, Nicholas Troley, Richard de Midia, Robert Kery, Milo Miblaunk, Adam le Whyte of Crogh, David son of Richard, David le Waleys, John son of Reginald de Rupe, Robert son of Reginald de Rupe and David son of Reginald de Rupe, jurors, say that Philip is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Cork Michael de Rupe, Nicholas son of Richard de Rupe, Reymund son of John de Rupe and Walter son of John le Flemmyng, charged that they forcibly robbed Maurice O Loghregan of the crop of two acres of oats and James de Coursy of the crop of one and a half acres of oats, come and defend, etc. Milo Dunre, Robert son of Richard, Maurice Dunre, Maurice le Flemmyng, Robert Cosin, Eustace de Cogan, Nicholas son of Walter, Walter Snelling, William Flemmyng, William Albus de Anho, Walter son of Peter and Patrick Drummer, jurors, say that Michael, Nicholas, Reymund and Walter are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit.

Cork Nicholas son of Baldwin son of Philip, charged that he has art and part in the larcenies of John O Douly, John son of Dovenyld O Douly, Maurice O Henwys, Donald O Henwys and Padin O Henwys, and that he receives tham and shares in . . . comes and defends, etc. Thomas son of Milo . . . le Waleys, John Bristyn, Maurice Fram, William Costongan, John Rag . . . Nywets, Robert Bryde, Nicholas son of Robert and John son of Thomas, jurors, say that Nicholas son of Baldwin is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Cork . . . , charged . . . la Whyte of a falling worth 5s., comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said robbery and for all other trespasses to this day except homicide, by two marks, by pledge of Philip de Barry de . . . Young, so that . . . stand, etc.

At the instance of Maurice de Rupeforti, knight, John McLode, charged that he stole eight cows and four afers from the *hibernici* of Thomas Daundoun, knight, for which he was outlawed at the last circuit of the county at the suit of the King, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said theft and also for the remission of the said outlawry, by . . . s, by pledge of Maurice de Rupeforti and Gilbert le Waleys, knights, so that he stand, etc.

13 Oct. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, IN THE QUINZAIN OF MICHAELMAS, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Connac. Philip son of Walter de Rydelifford, Symon son of Walter de Rydelifford, Eustace son of Walter de Rydelifford, John son of Henry de Rydelifford, Peter son of William de Rydelifford, Philip son of William de Rydelifford, Philip son of Walter son of David de Rydelifford, William son of Walter son of Walter de Rydelifford, John son of Walter son of Walter de Rydelifford, McWyt O Caman, Stephen O Caman, Hugh O Dormyn, David O Caman, Walter de Rydelifford, John son of Walter de Rydelifford and Richard le Neyr, charged with the death of William Gaignard, feloniously slain by them, as alleged, are of grace admitted to make fine, etc., by 100 marks, whereof they will pay yearly 10 marks, half at Easter and half at Michaelmas, by pledge of Walter de Rydelifford, Theobald Talebot, John Talebot, Richard de

Lyt of County Connacht, Silvester Calf, Walter Lungespy and Richard Caprich of county Kildare, Odo de Barry of county Cork, William de Barry, John de Exon., Richard de Neyr and Richard le Clerk of county Connacht, so that they stand, etc. 1313

Thomas de la Haye and Philip de la Haye, charged with the death of Andrew Malros, feloniously slain by them, as alleged, at the instance of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, are admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Richard le Neir and Richard the clerk de Clare, so that they stand, etc. Connac.

m. 76

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OF IRELAND, MONDAY AFTER S. LUKE, a. r. 7. 22 Oct.

John le Palmer, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night stole out of the house of Henry Rymbaud a piece of blue cloth worth 20s., a cuirass worth 20s., two crannocks of malt mash worth 10s., one pair of gauntlets of whale-skin (*baleyn*) worth 12s., and a basinet of iron worth 10d., and Richard, son of Richard Bernard, charged that he by night stole in the house of Daniel the Cornishman Irish cloth to the value of 20s., come and defend, etc. Robert Hulloc, William Lok, Alan Elyot, Henry Goer, Richard Boys, Robert le Mareschald, John Giles, William Russell, John Stowe, Master Geoffrey, Robert Arnold and Walter Skedy, jurors, say that John and Richard are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Waterford

David Boyscheir, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night stole out of the house of Henry Rymbaud a piece of blue cloth worth 20s., a cuirass worth 20s., two crannocks of oat mash worth 10s., a pair of gauntlets of whale-skin (*balaigne*) worth 12 . . . , and a basinet of iron worth 10d., comes and defends, etc. Robert Hulloc, William Loc, Alan Elyot, Henry Goer, Walter son of Nicholas, Jordan de Bristoll, John Giles, Robert Arnold, Geoffrey de Fytherit, John Arnold, William Cornewaleys and Robert le Mareschal, jurors, say that David is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Waterford

Clement Shore, charged that he stole from Mariot Lomb two linen sheets and a napkin worth 12d., and from Joiot, widow of Richard Brus, an iron chain worth 8d. and a billhook worth 4d., and that he is a common thief of all small things on which he can lay his hands, comes and defends, etc. Griffin Cristofre, William Roth le Botiller, Michael de la Grave, John de la Grave junior, John son of Adam, John de Kilcopth, Robert de Lyouns, Thomas Aylward, Adam de la Bataille, Alexander de la Grave, Walter la Graunt and Walter son of Hugh, jurors, say that Clement is guilty as regards the two linen sheets worth 12d., but as to the rest he is not guilty. Afterwards of grace he is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Nicholas O Doyryn and William Shore, so that he stand, etc. And William Roth le Botiller and Nicholas O Doyryn and William Sore are pledges for Clement's future good behaviour and keeping the peace with Geoffrey son of David, etc. Waterford

William Wolriche, charged that he stole from Thomas Keyngnes a cap of blue worth 20d., and from W . . . . O Conogan the blind 5d. in silver, and Laurence who was a boy . . . . la Roche, charged that he stole from Amitia Ynynyt Yrwyschan a hogget worth 4d., and from Waterford

1313 William . . . a lamb worth 3d., and Milo Fox and Adam Fox, charged that they, together with other malefactors, stole from Nicholas O Craghan a cow worth 5s., come and defend, etc. Geoffrey son of David, William Roth le Botiller, John de la Grave, John son of Adam, J. . . . Kilcophth, Robert de Lyouns, Thomas Aylward, Adam de la Bataille, Alexander de la Grave, Walter le Graunt, Walter son of . . . le Poer and Thomas Chevre, jurors, say that William is guilty of the said theft, which is worth only 8d., and of the remainder is not guilty, and they say that Milo and Adam Fox are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And they say that Laurence was with one Roger O Conyk, who stole the said hogget and lamb, but they do not suspect Laurence of any other misdeed. Therefore because of the tender age of Laurence suit of the peace is pardoned to him, so that he stand, etc. And William Wolriche of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40d., by pledge of Stephen Franceys and Thomas Franceys, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford (An entry mostly illegible referring to one Molmory Inyn Oclo . . .).

Waterford (An entry mostly illegible referring to one Philip O Mol . . . , admitted to make fine by half a mark for some charge relating to one Arnold).

Waterford (An entry only made in part and mostly illegible referring to one David O L . . . , and mentioning as jurors . . . le Graunt, William Bru . . . Hulloke).

Waterford David son of Griffin le Poer and David . . . charged that they, together with other malefactors, robbed . . . la Grave of seven cows worth 12d. each, are admitted to make fine, etc., by two marks, by pledge of Geoffrey le Poer.

m. 76d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Waterford John O Hanewyth, charged that he robbed William de Nyvel of three geese worth 6d. and Nicholas Bataille of a lance worth 4d. and Robert O Graucan of a wether and two geese worth 12d., comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Duraunt son of Henry le Poer and Roger son of John le Poer, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford John son of Michael Galgeyl, charged that he stole from a widow at Balylanimoyth seven sheep worth 5s., comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Michael Galgeyl and Symon O Kynna, so that he stand, etc.

Waterford McIrtagh O Brick and Geoffrey O Brick at the instance of John le Poer, baron of Donoill, of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, by 40s., by pledge of the said baron, except death of an Englishman and arson, so that they stand, etc.

Louth At the instance of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, and for the good service of the earl often done and hereafter to be done to the King, suit of the peace is pardoned to William de Balygorman for the death of Robert son of Katerine of Grangetoun in Co. Louth, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, so that he stand, etc. And the Chancellor is commanded to cause letters patent of the King to be made therefor.

m. 81 (ter.)

1313

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CASSELL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, SATURDAY IN THE MONTH OF MICHAELMAS, a. r. 7. 27 Oct.

Sowery O Donegan, charged that he waylaid Henry O Leynan, a man of Richard le Poer, sheriff of the county, in a trackway and robbed him of an afer worth 5s., comes and defends, etc. Maurice Laweles Roger Meyler, Richard le Joevene, John son of Richard, David son of Luke, Philip Burdoun, Matthew Milet, William Maunsell, William le Porter, Richard son of John, William Watheberd and John son of Roger, jurors, say that Sowery met Henry in the highway, and Henry was riding an afer which he had previously stolen from Sowery, and Sowery, seeing his afer under Henry, threw him to the ground and led the afer with him and appropriated it to himself. Afterwards of grace suit of the peace is pardoned to Sowery, so that he stand, etc. Typperary

Thomas le Bret, charged that when he sold a cow to Walter Godsone for 5s., which he assigned to Elena McOtyr his wife to be paid by the said Walter, and gave Walter a sign by which Elena delivered to him the cow, after which delivery Walter led it with him to Fythard and slaughtered it, thereupon Thomas came to the house of Walter and said that the cow was not the one which he had sold to him, and robbed him of the cow which he had previously bought of him, and none the less continues to detain the said 5s., comes and defends, etc. John Beysshram, Reginald son of William, Richard Pucel, John le Blak, Adam Moyglas, John Killamery, Thomas Braynok, John Stokes, Andrew Saucy, Simon Not, Alexander Stokes and Andrew Seisel, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And Hugh Crompe and William le Blak, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Typperary

David Arnold, charged that he bought from William Fyn O Keyff, a common thief and felon for the death of Henry le Hore, an Englishman, six cows worth 4s. each, which William had robbed of Henry, and that he knew well the said cows to have been so robbed, comes and defends, etc. William Roth, William Durant, Peter Broun, Adam son of Nicholas Wydy, John Kermerdyn, Adam Roth, Richard Durant, Maurice Waleys, Gregory Somerford, Robert son of Griffin, Nicholas Wrench and John son of Thomas, jurors, say that David is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And Gerald de Rupe and Thomas son of William Ketyng of Balygorman, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Typperary

Robert le Blound, charged that when he and Sibilla his wife were wrangling verbally, moved with wrath he drew his knife and feloniously slew Sibilla, comes and defends, etc. Thomas Nugent, William Wiriot, Adam Cor, Adam Nyweman, James son of Philip de Valle, Edmund de Valle, Philip son of John de Valle, Matthew Milet, Maurice Lawles, Richard son of John, John son of Maydewill, Richard son of Hugh and William Porter, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Typperary

At the instance of Henry Leffayn and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done by him, Olyver Haket, knight, charged with receiving Murthut Mantagh McBren, an outlawed felon, and other felons of his following, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, and also for receiving any other outlawed felons, thieves

1313 and robbers, of whatever condition they be, received to this day, by 40s., by pledge of Henry Leffayn and William son of Richard, so that he stand, etc.

Herbert de Mareys, charged that he bought of John son of Thomas de Burgo eight oxen, which John had robbed of . . . . bishop of Killaloe, well knowing that John had robbed them as aforesaid, and also charged that he received . . . . de . . . . , Philip Crynan and Howel Benet, at another time outlawed at the suit of the said Herbert, and that he took a fine of . . . . (This entry is struck out).

. . . . of Matthew de Millebourne, John son of Robert, Reymund le Ercediakne, Richard le Waleys, Richard de Mareys, James Keting, . . . . and James de Beaufo, knight, and for the good service often done to the King by them and hereafter to be done, suit of the peace is pardoned to William son of Hugh Jordan for the death of William Trusselone, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, so that he stand, etc. And also at the instance of the said . . . . the chattels of the said William son of Hugh Jordan, which were taken into the King's hand by the sheriff . . . . flight which William son of Hugh Jordan made for the said death, to the said William son of Hugh Jordan. And the Chancellor is directed to issue letters patent for William son of Hugh Jordan for his pardon in customary form. And also the sheriff and coroner of the said county are to restore without delay and in all integrity all . . . . . tenements of the said William son of Hugh Jordan which were taken into the King's hand.

m. 81d. (ter.)

Typenary

Peter and John, sons of John Purcell, charged that when the burgesses of Corketeny of the precept of the sheriff of the county led Philip son of Walter de Valle, Reymund son of Philip de Valle and Walter son of Walter de Valle, taken for divers trespasses and robberies, to the prison of the King's castle of Roscree, they took the prisoners by force of arms from the burgesses and allowed them to depart, against the peace, and John son of Hugh Purcel, charged that he received the said Peter and John, knowing that they were guilty, of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges and also for all other trespasses and felonies committed by them against the peace to this day, except the death of an Englishman, rape and arson, by 40s., by pledge of William Tyrell, John de Ven and Maurice de Ven.

Typenary

Maurice de Bathe, James de Valle, Thomas de Nangle, Maurice McBaghely and Gilbert son of Thomas O Nolan, charged that they by force of arms waylaid Alicia Walour on the highway between the town of Artmayl and Cassell, and against her will led her to Kilm<sup>e</sup> Clegh, and that Maurice de Bathe there raped her, come and of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by £40, by pledge of Maurice, archbishop of Cassell, and Thomas, archdeacon of Cassell, so that they stand, etc. Afterwards £30 out of the said £40 are assigned to Thomas le Botiller by the Custos with the assent of the Chancellor and others of the King's Council present in court here, to be received as a gift from the King, in recompense of great expenses which Thomas took upon himself to repress the evil doings of many felons, as well in Leinster as in Munster. Therefore they ought not to be put in the estreats to the Exchequer, except only £10.

Typenary

Twelve jurors present that Robert le Graunt feloniously slew Richard Locard, serjeant advocate, in the town of Cassell, and after the felony



immediately fled to the church of S. John of Cassell, and therein acknowledged that he had committed the felony, whereupon the provost and commonalty of Cassell assigned certain men to guard him until, etc., and afterwards Robert escaped owing to bad guard. Therefore to judgment for the escape. Escape upon the provost and commonalty of Cassell, etc. Afterwards the said 100s. are granted to William de Bourne, clerk, as a gift for good services often done to the King. Therefore they are not put into the estreats to the Exchequer, etc. 1313

At the instance of John de Bremengham, Roger de Hereford, Edusa de Nangle and Isolda de Cantewell are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, except death of an Englishman, rape and arson, by pledge of Laurence Maucclerk, William de Burgo, Richard le Mareschial and John Candelan, so that they stand, etc. Typerary

At the instance of Thomas de S. John, James de Stafford is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, except death of an Englishman, rape and arson, by 40s., by pledge of Thomas de S. John and Reymund de Ercedekne, so that he stand, etc. Typerary

The provost and commonalty of the town of Moydesshil give to the King 40s. for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them for that they did not raise hue and cry over the sons of John de S. Albino and others of their following, who feloniously slew Milo Prat in the said town, and did not take the said felons, as they could, by pledge of Hamund Kenefeg, Walter le Rede, Alexander son of Ralph and David son of David, so that they stand, etc. Typerary

David, bishop of Killaloe, charged with receiving Nicholas de Burgo, felon of the King, William McTownmcygl . . . . Lymeric and Adam Cradok, a common thief and robber as well in Co. Limerick as Typerary, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc. by 40s., by pledge of John de Bremengham and John de Barry, knight, of Elikarwill, so that he stand, etc.

At the instance of Maurice de S. Albano, John, Thomas and Maurice de S. Albano are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, except death of an Englishman, rape and arson, viz., John de S. Albano by 40s. by pledge of Maurice de S. Albano and Thomas Rembaud, and Thomas de S. Albano by one mark by pledge of David de S. Albano, and Maurice de S. Albano by one mark, by pledge of John de S. Albano and Thomas Rembaud, so that they stand, etc.

## m. 77

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS OR IRELAND, MONDAY THE MORROW OF S. MARTIN, a. r. 7. 12 Nov.

Alicia Oketyf, charged that she is a common spy of felons and thieves in the county and that she has art and part with the felons and robbers in their thefts and robberies, viz., with Dovenald Okethyf and his robbers, comes and defends, etc. Henry Troy, John Wodefod, Alexander Baret, John son of Walter Albus, Richard Kenefeg, William Glover, William Peyntour, Philip Shortegrove, Nicholas Ricoulf, Robert Rymbaud, Thomas le Wyte, jurors, say that Alicia is guilty. Therefore let her be hanged. No chattels or free land. Lymeric

Germeyn son of John Selyman of Glynnogy, charged that he feloniously slew James son of Simon, comes and says he is a clerk and Lymeric

1313 neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came one Thomas Kyft, rural dean of the deanery of Lymerick, with letters patent of Eustace, bishop of Lymerick, and demanded that Germeyn should be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known for what he ought to be delivered to him, inquiry proceeds more fully as to the truth of the premises by the following jurors, viz., Henry son of David, Henry son of Peter, Robert Hyne, Philip le Rede, Robert Aploun, John son of Hugh, Richard de Rupe, John Strich, Adam Drenyn, William le Whyte, John Baiard and Geoffrey Wyteleye, who say that Germeyn is guilty. Therefore let him be delivered to the dean to be led to the bishop's prison to be kept at the bishop's peril under fitting penalty. And because Germeyn refused the common law and the jurors also testify that Germeyn, after the said felony, withdrew and fled to the church of Glennogyr and abjured the King's land out of the said church, and Roger le Lees, coroner of the King in the county, records the same, the chattels of Germeyn are confiscated, to wit, £6. 4s. 8d., for which Walter le Whyte will answer, by pledge of John son of Simon and Henry le Whyteleye, also 23s. 11d., for which Roger de Lees, coroner, will answer, by pledge of Hugh de Lees, knight, and Thomas son of William de Lees. Hugh le Waleys, Richard Striche and Adam Maunsell, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Thomas the clerk of Balyherran, charged with the death of David Bras, feloniously slain by him, comes and says that he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came one Thomas Synnagh, chaplain, with letters patent of William, bishop of Emly, and demanded that Thomas should be delivered to him as a clerk. And that it may be known for what he ought to be delivered to him, inquiry proceeds more fully as to the truth of the premises, by the following jurors, viz., Audoen Ethenard, David Oweyn, Nicholas son of Ralph, Richard de Balygaveran, John Bryan, Richard Carryg, Adam Any, Henry Long., David le Rede, Richard Flemmyng, Richard Ketyng and Robert Inscoul, who say that Thomas is guilty. Therefore let him be delivered to Thomas Shynnagh to be led to the bishop's prison to be kept at the bishop's peril under fitting penalty. And because Thomas refuses the common law, therefore his chattels are confiscated, to wit, 38s. 4d., for which Clement Fanyn, coroner, will answer. Henry son of Stephen, John son of Robert and John Inteberge, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Philip Cendale, charged with receiving Peter de Doundonnyld, a common robber who robbed John Harol . . . . te of a cuirass for which the said Peter was convicted at another time in the court here before John Wogan, justiciar, comes and defends, etc. Clement . . . . nyn, John Broun, Symon Hereward, Hugh Bole, Roger Flemeng of Carkenlys, John Leynagh, William Albre . . . . Wilde, William Doundonnyld, Thomas FizRis, Henry Mar. and John Bole, jurors, say that Philip is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And Philip . . . . yng of Karckenlys, Richard Flemyng of the same and John Oweyn, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Dovenald Omoylkenne, charged that he procured and abetted a kern of Richard de Burgo to rob P . . . . Roleye, and that he gave the kern 12d. for the robbery, for which the kern robbed . . . . of a cow and a calf worth half a mark and afterwards gave the cow to Dovenald, who received it and converted it to his own use, well knowing that the cow was stolen, comes and defends, etc. John Ketyng, John Leynagh, William de Wodefod, John Don, Geoffrey Grannagh, Philip Albus,

James Nordreu, . . . . Cok, William Elys, James Albus, James Nordreu<sup>(1)</sup>, William Doundovenyld and Symon Hereward, jurors, say that the kern, for 12d. which Dovenald gave him, robbed Philip of the cow and gave it to Dovenald, who received it and afterwards sold it for half a mark. Afterwards of grace Dovenald is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of Odo de Valle for 5s. and Clement Fanyn for 20d., so that he stand, etc. John . . . . and John Schortred, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

1313

John le Blake junior, charged with receiving . . . . , a common thief and who burglariously entered the church of Garthe and therefrom robbed 100s. . . . . and other goods to the value of . . . . , and that he had art and part in the robberies, comes and defends, etc. John . . . . nagh, Thomas T . . . . d, Ralph S . . . . ond, Thomas . . . . William . . . . , William Ythel, R . . . . , Nicholas . . . . jurors, say that John is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. Afterwards William le Blake is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Thomas . . . . and John le Blake, senior, so that he stand, etc.

Lymerick

Roger Bon, charged that he stole . . . . Bretnagh two horses worth ten marks and . . . . worth a mark. comes and defends and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 60s., by pledge of Alexander Wytcot for 20s., John Wytcot for 10s., Roger Boyanagh for 10s., . . . . Wytcot for 10s., Walter Maunsel for half a mark and William son of Robert Maunsel for 40d., so that he stand, etc.

Lymerick

m. 77d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Twelve jurors present that one Thomas Hamound feloniously slew Nicholas Bonde, and after the felony immediately withdrew and is of ill fame. Therefore let him be put in exigent and outlawed, and his chattels are confiscated for flight, to wit, 24s., for which Philip de Nynche will answer, by presentation of Clement Fanyn, coroner. Also Thomas had 11 acres of free land and an acre of meadow worth 12d. an acre yearly, for which Philip de Nynche will answer as long as they shall be in the King's hand. And John Bonde and Thomas Aunsel, charged that they freely permitted Thomas to depart when they could have taken him after the felony, of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of William Wytcot, Audoen Ythenard, Henry le Lang and John Wytcot.

Lymerick

Gilbert son of Ralph, charged that he received David and Stephen, sons of Ralph, common robbers, who broke the King's prison and escaped out of the custody of William son of Thomas de Shengole, serjeant of the King in the county assigned by Walter Maunsel, chief serjeant, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Thomas Daundoun, knight, Thomas de Lees del Garnethe and David son of John. And David mainprised to acquit Thomas and Thomas of the said plevin. And to judgment for the escape. The escape upon William son of Thomas de Schengole, serjeant, if he hath where-withal, if not, then upon Walter Maunsel chief serjeant, who appointed him.

Lymerick

Richard son of William de Leye, charged that he stole a cow from Loghlyn Brak, an afer from Henry le Wyte and an afer from Philip le

Lymerick

(<sup>1</sup>) Name repeated in cal.

1313 Firter in Kerry, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of John Harold for half a mark, Robert Lenfaunt for 10s., and John son of Simon for 40d.

Lymerick

Hugh O Halynan, Henry his son, Howel le Flemmyng and John Codfleming, charged with receiving Robert Brettagh Russell, felon for the death of several Englishmen, comes and defends, etc. Robert Lovel, William son of Hugh, John Palmer, Thomas son of Richard, John son of Richard, Hugh de Rode, Richard Ulf, Thomas Brettagh, Roger Fouk, John de Loundres, Maurice de Loundres, Henry de la Chapele of Ardyn and William the clerk of Rathgel, jurors, say that Hugh, Henry, Howel and John are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And Roger Myagh, charged with the said charges, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Thomas Russel and William Russel so that he stand, etc.

Lymerick

Robert le Cartare, charged that he stole from William O Flyn an afer and from John Schestebryr an afer and from Robert de Adar an afer, and that he is a common thief, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas le Mazoun, Adam le Mazoun, Roger le Palmer, John Crewagh, Nicholas Ledeshram, William Albus, Michael son of John, David le Flemmyng, Geoffrey son of Richard, Gerald Dullard, Roger Hankyn and John Perot, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. William le Mynour and Thomas le Waleys, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Maurice son of Adam Cor, charged that he by night burglariously entered the house of McAdekyn and therefrom robbed a horse worth 10s., a bushel of wheat worth 12d. and divers other booty to the value of ten marks, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by five marks, by pledge of John Ameros and Adam son of Henry, so that he stand, etc. Moreover, the said pledges mainprised for the future good behaviour of Maurice.

And Robert son of Robert le Waleys, charged with the said charges, comes and defends, etc. John de Nasche, William le Hore, Walter le Flemmeng, Howel le Waleys, Clement Crop, Richard Heyne, Andrew de Prendevill, Philip Loddyn, John Gamboun, John son of Robert Luc., Thomas Ythel and Thomas Laundry, jurors, say that Robert is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. Chattels, 23s. 4d., for which Maurice son of John, serjeant of the King, will answer. He has no free land.

(Here follow some entries which are almost obliterated, but of which the following is legible).

John son of Stephen . . . . comes and defends the burglary and puts himself on the country. And Thomas C . . . . oun, Thomas de . . . . ., Adam son of Richard, William son of Richard Co . . . . ., Adam le Wyte, William . . . . ., John son of Adam and Henry . . . . . say that John is guilty. Therefore let him be hanged. And Phillip Laynagh, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

m. 78

12 Nov. YET OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, MONDAY THE MORROW OF S. MARTIN, a. r. 7.

Kerry

Hugh le Flemmyng, charged with the death of Hugh son of Ralph, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by pledge of John Ameros, so that he stand, etc. And John is pledge for the future good behaviour of Hugh.

Matthew Ororyg and Henry son of Henry Bernard, charged that they, together with other malefactors, by night stole from Robert de Loundres and Maurice de Loundres seven oxen, and also charged that they feloniously slew Gerald le Carpenter, come and defend, etc. Adam son of Richard, James de Rydale, Ralph Lysnekille, Ralph Leynagh, John de Loundres, Maurice de Loundres, Adam le Wyte, John de la Haye, William Kyvernok, Geoffrey son of Richard, William Goer and Hamo de Loges, jurors, say that Matthew and Henry are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. And John son of Adam, Ralph Leynagh, John son of Reymund Huberd and Thomas son of Matthew, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

1313  
Lymerick

Maurice Gerveys and David Gerveys, charged that they are accustomed by night to take and steal the rabbits of Adam son of William in his warren at Conykyn, and Gilbert Gerveys, charged that he by night burglariously entered the house of Hugh Broun and thereout robbed a horse worth 8s., come and defend, etc. Adam Weydan, James the White, James Nordryn, John Nordryn, John Don, John de la Roche, Matthew son of Roger, Gerald Dullard, Richard Ketyng, Audoen Ethenard, John Ethenard junior and William Wodeford, jurors, say that Maurice, David and Gilbert are not guilty. Therefore they are quit.

Lymerick

Stephen and David, sons of Ralph, charged that they stole from Robert, bishop of Limerick, twelve afers worth 5s. each and thirty cows worth half a mark each and other booty to the value of 100s., of grace at the instance of William Lercediakne, knight, are admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges and also for all trespasses and felonies to this day except the death of an Englishman, rape and arson, by 100s., by pledge of William G . . . de for one mark, Adam son of Richard for one mark, Thomas son of Philip for one mark, Adam le Wyte for one mark, David le Flemmyng for one mark, John son of John for 20s., and David son of John for one mark, so that they stand, etc. And John and David, sons of John, are pledges for the future good behaviour of Stephen and David.

Lymerick

Yenan Roth Waleys, charged that he, together with Luke Okaan, stole from Thomas Laundry twelve pigs, from William Laundry three cows, and that he is a common thief, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of John Cole and Barth. le Hore, so that he stand, etc. John and Bartholomew are pledges for the future good behaviour of Yenan.

Kerry

Thomas O Hoillechan, charged that he received Reginald Oholecan, who feloniously slew Walter Byrell and afterwards robbed his house, wherein Thomas had art and part, and also Orlyn, mother of the said felon and Ranyld his sister, charged that they received him and saw him robbing the said house and did not raise hue and cry, come and defend, etc. Richard son of Adam de Clarayn, William Lucas, John Lucas, Simon Ossory, Nicholas Woderoue, William Kift, William Laynagh, Simon son of Philip, James le N . . . ryn, John Don, William Wodeford, Richard Ketyng and Adam Waython, jurors, say that Thomas Oholethan, Orlyn and Ranyld are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And Walter the White, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick

Geoffrey son of Maurice Young of Londoun, charged that he was in the company of Robert Brittagh Russell, notorious felon of the King, robber and scoundrel, and that he received him and had art and part in

Lymerick

1313 his robberies, comes and says that he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And thereupon came one Henry . . . , chaplain of the deanery of Lymerick, with letters patent of Eustace (rest of membrane torn).

m. 78d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE  
BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Lymerick Thomas Broun, charged that he stole an afer from John son of Simon Germeyn worth 20s., and that he is a common thief in the county, comes and defends, etc. Richard de Any, Adam Kilmore, Roger Worgan, Philip le Flemmyng, William Overy, John Jordan, William Worgan, Simon Lambard, Henry de Any *juvenis*, Roger le Flemmyng, Neel son of Richard and William Benet, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And John Horsmanger, Maurice Clone and Henry Bratnagh, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy.

Lymerick Laurence Bigetoun, charged with the death of Robert de Barry, comes and defends, etc. Richard the White, Elias le Grete, Thomas le Flemmyng, Gerald son of Henry, Ralph the White, Simon Martyn, Hugh Cadygan, Maurice Broun, William Pollard, Richard Waythan, William Cuylfer and Milo Kyft, jurors, say that Laurence is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And Alexander Otwy and Thomas Yago, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. And because the jurors testify that Laurence, after he was indicted for the said death, withdrew as soon as he heard of the indictment and fled to the church of Ard Patrik and kept himself there for forty three days and afterwards escaped thence through failure of the guard, to judgment for the escape. Escape upon the whole parish of the church of Ard Patrik.

And also the jurors testify that John Bigetoun, brother of Laurence, who was also indicted for the death of Robert de Barry, was taken and delivered to the community of the villate of Cranystoun to be kept in the King's prison and afterwards escaped thence through failure of the guard, to judgment for the escape. Escape upon the community of the villate of Cranystoun. And the chattels of Laurence and John Bygetoun are confiscated for flight. And let John Bygetoun, who withdrew for the said death and is of ill fame, be put in exigent and outlawed. Chattels of Laurence, 28s. 8d., for which Nicholas Turbot will answer, by pledge of Walter Fox and Walter Seyss. Chattels of John, 4s., for which Roger de Lees, coroner, will answer.

And because the said jurors, on whose oath Walter Fox, serjeant of the King, and Nicholas Turbot put themselves at the suit of the King, say that Walter and Nicholas, who took the chattels of Laurence into the hands of the King, did not have the said chattels removed and brought before the coroner to be valued by the oath of the said jurors, but kept them secretly, telling the jurors that they were worth only 13s. 6d., and caused them to be so valued by the said jurors, that they might have them for their own use at such a price, whereas it is now found that the chattels are worth 28s. 8d., let Walter and Nicholas be committed to gaol. Afterwards Walter made fine with the King by 10s., by pledge of Adam de Goules, and Nicholas Turbot by 40d., by pledge of Adam de Goules.

It is also found by the oath of the said jurors, upon which the said Roger de Lees, coroner, put himself at the suit of the King, that the said coroner took from Cristiana Rath, mother of John Bigetoun, half a mark as a gift from Cristiana, promising her that he would use all his diligence to make the jurors, by whose oath he took the inquisition on the said death, say in their verdict that Robert Barry was an Irishman, and so save John from the felony. Therefore let Roger for his falseness be committed to gaol. Afterwards at the suit of the villate of Cranystoun, complaining that Roger Crane, to whom the community delivered John Bigetoun to be kept in the King's prison, allowed him to escape through his bad guard, Roger Crane, being attached, comes and cannot deny this, but acknowledges that he ought to acquit the community of the escape, and for the community so acquitted he finds the following pledges, viz., Geoffrey Crane, Walter Crane, Alexander Otewy and John Leynagh.

1313

John Fanyn and William Fanyn, charged that they, together with other malefactors, robbed William de Yowill . . . and John Godefrey of Feddemer of a load of Irish stuffs worth 38s. on the highway between Lymerrick and Kilmehallok, and also that they stole from O Kilte, *hibernicus* of John Harold, knight, at Mowan two afers worth 20s. (This entry is struck out).

Lymerrick

William Fanyn, charged that he, together with other malefactors of his following, burglariously entered the house of master John le Blount of Balylosky and therefrom led away eight afers worth 60s., and also robbed the new grange of the monks of May of three horses worth 60s., twenty-eight oxen worth £10, twelve afers worth £4, eight cows worth 4 marks, two ploughshares and divers other small things worth 20s., viz., of the goods of John Silvester of Kilmehallok, which said goods and beasts he had sent there to be guarded, *and that he stole from Thomas de Valle at Crevagh eight oxen worth 60s.,<sup>(1)</sup>* and Thomas Fanyn, charged that he received a wether of John Godefrey to rescue the said load of stuffs from the said thieves. William le Whyte of Glyn, William Goer, Thomas son of William, Richard Ketyng of Aghscadan, Gregory Derneford, John Broun of Balydouan, Robert Laynagh, Richard de Ketyngistoun, William de Leynz, Thomas Walrond, John de la Haye, Bartholomew le Flemmyng, John de la Roche, Gilbert Gerveys, John Godefrey and John de Perepount, jurors, say that William and Thomas Fanyn are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And William Kyvernok, William de Donndovenold and John de Rupe of Fedmer, jurors summoned come not; therefore in mercy. And Clement Fanyn, indicted for receiving William and Thomas Fanyn, being present here in court, sought judgment. It is considered that he is quit thereof. (This entry is struck out).

Lymerrick

Thomas de Heye, charged that when Robert Brittagh Russell and other malefactors and notorious felons in the county by night broke the house of Dovenald O Nelan and wounded the said Donald and robbed him of an afer, two cows and other things to the value of half a mark, and came to the said Thomas' house at le Kappath at cockcrow, Thomas received the felon; gave them food and drink, knowing them to be felons, and had art and part in their robberies, comes and defends, etc. John son of Adam, Maurice son of Andrew Chepman, Ralph Lysneville, Henry Fouk, John Palmer, Thomas de Nasshe, Thomas son of Richard, John the White of Ardagh, Thomas Kyng, John de Londres, Maurice de Londres and Walter de Rathcoul, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Lymerrick

(1) Note, "struck out."

1313  
Lymerick

Twelve jurors present that John Tyrel feloniously slew William Cass. and was afterwards taken by Robert le Whyte of Adare and delivered to William Mynour, provost of the town of Adare, to be guarded by him and the community of the town, from which custody he afterwards escaped to the town of Brouny and the said provost followed him (rest of membrane torn).

m. 79

12 Nov. YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL BEFORE EDMUND  
LE BOTILLER, THE MORROW OF S. MARTIN, a. r. 7.

Lymerick

William Fanyn, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night burglariously entered the house of master John le Blount of Balylosky and therefrom led away eight afers worth 60s.; and that he robbed the new grange of the monks of May of three horses worth 60s., twenty-eight oxen worth £10, twelve afers worth £4, eight cows worth 4 marks, two ploughshares and other small things worth 20s., viz., of the goods of John Silvester of Kilmehallok, and also Thomas Fanyn, charged that he received a wether of one John Godefrey to rescue a load of cloth from the hands of William son of Simon Fanyn Connautagh and other felons his accomplices, which he did not do, though he could well have done so, and converted the said wether to his own use, come and put themselves on the country. William le Whyte of Glen, William Goer, Thomas son of William, Richard Ketyng of Aghscadan, Gregory Derneford, John Broun of Balydouan, Robert Laynagh, Richard de Ketyngestoun, William de Leynz, Thomas Walerond, John de la Haye, Bartholomew le Fleinmyng, John de la Roche, Gilbert Gerveys, John Godefrey and John de Perpoynt, jurors, say that William and Thomas Fanyn are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And of Clement Fanyn, charged with receiving William and Thomas, nothing, because the principals are quit.

Cork

David Commyn, charged with receiving Adam son of William de la Court, a felon hanged for the death of Henry Cadwely, feloniously slain, and that he forcibly assisted Adam in the slaying, of grace at the instance of Maurice son of Thomas is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Thomas de Lees and William Kyvernok of the county of Lymerick, of which he will pay 20s. yearly, half at Easter and the other half at Michaelmas, so that he stand, etc.

Lymerick

Robert le Lang, charged with the death of Roger le Hauberge, feloniously slain by Robert, as alleged, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 100 marks, by pledge of Henry Troye for two marks, John Wodeford two marks, John Wynbond ten marks, Alexander Baret two marks, Nicholas Ricol two marks, John Chapman two marks, Robert O Murthy two marks, Robert Reymbaud two marks, Richard Kenefeg two marks, John son of Reginald le Loung two marks, Adam de Goules two marks, Robert de Trym two marks, Robert de Panyngtoun two marks, John son of William le Lung two marks, Adam Payn two marks, Simon son of Thomas son of Nicholas two marks, John son of John le Blound two marks, Thomas Crop two marks, John de Excestre two marks, Philip Schorgrove two marks, John son of Walter le Blound two marks, Adam son of Walter le Blound two marks, John son of Thomas Wynbond two marks, William le Payntour two marks, William . . . two marks, John Ker two marks, John Lagharne two marks, Roger le Lung two marks, Roger son of John le Keu two marks, John le Shyrmessour two marks, William de Donndovenald of



Balynclogh two marks, William le Lung of Sengel two marks, Thomas Wythly two marks, Gilbert de Bultyngford two marks, William Wodeford two marks, Gregory Wynbaud two marks, Stephen Furner two marks, Theobald Troy and Maurice Troy five marks, Maurice Furner two marks, Walter Troy two marks, Jordan Coterel two marks, Thomas Kyft two marks, Thomas le Blound two marks, William Daniel two marks and William Welbeley one mark, so that he stand, etc. Afterwards on Monday in the first week of Lent at Dublin in this year, with the assent of Richard de Burgo, earl of Ulster, and of all the others of the King's Council then there present, at the instance of Thomas son of John, twenty marks of the fine are pardoned to Robert. And as to the residue of eighty marks, it is granted to Robert that he have terms to pay thereof each year until it be all paid, five marks yearly, half at Michaelmas and the other half at Easter.

m. 80

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LYMERICK BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, MONDAY AFTER S. THOMAS THE MARTYR, a. r. 7. 31 Dec.

Walter son of John and William O Mynok, charged that they stole out of the King's marsh near Lymerick three cows and four heifers and drove them to Corbaly, thence to the King's Island, thence to the vill, and hid them in the cellar of Walter de Rupe and secretly killed and sold them, say that they are not guilty and put themselves on the country. And John son of William le Long, charged that he knew of the said theft and had art and part therein, comes and defends, etc. Nicholas Ricolf, Robert Regbold, John Wodeford, Roger the Cook, Adam Goulyns, John son of Richard, John son of John the White, Richard Kenefeg, Thomas Wydye, William Peyntour, Robert Pavyntoun, Henry Fraunceys and Thomas Crop, jurors, say that Walter, William and John are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Lymerick

Thomas le Taillour and Richard Asshebourne, charged that they by night receive afers from thieves and robbers of Thotomon and lead the afers through the country for sale, and have art and part in their larcenies knowingly, and Thomas le Long, charged that he has fellowship and is a partner with Walter de Burgo and his accomplices, felons, and sends to them food, drink and necessaries, and buys cows and other merchandise from them and has art and part with them, come and defend, etc. Nicholas Rycolf, John son of Walter le Whyte, Roger the Cook, Alexander Baret, John de Exon., John son of Richard, Philip son of Simon, Hugh Bole, William le Wylde, Richard Ketyng of Esttloun, Maurice son of Ralph and John Wodeford, jurors, say that Thomas, Richard and Thomas are not guilty. Therefore they are quit. Lymerick

John Adam of Any, charged that when Richard de Raley associated himself with other malefactors and came by night to the house of Robert de Boneville on Sunday before the Conversion of S. Paul, burned the gate of Robert, burglariously entered his house and thence took and carried away seventeen bacons and divers other goods to the value of £40, he, John, received nine of the said bacons in his house, worth 3s. each, comes and defends, etc. Walter Tancard, Richard Farman, Nicholas de Berkeleye, William Ston, John Lovetrot, Stephen Cather, Maurice Matheu, Walter Crane, John le War. senior, William Ston junior, Henry Prout and Henry Mareschal, jurors, say that John is not guilty. Therefore he is quit. Lymerick

1313  
Lymerick

Thomas le Palmer, charged that he procured, abetted and instructed Peter le Palmer, felon for the death of Nicholas le Palmer, to kill the said Nicholas, was present at the slaying in the town of Corhelwy, and freely allowed the felon to depart when he could have taken him if he had wished, comes and defends, etc. Thomas Daundoun, Philip Ulf, Philip le Joefne, Hugh Bole, Thomas son of William, Henry son of David, Simon Hereward, Walter Overey, Richard de Any, John Gregory, John le Blound of Cathirelvy and John Rembaud, jurors, say that Thomas is not guilty. Therefore he is quit.

Lymerick

John Aunsel and Nicholas Aunsel, charged that they by night commonly took large trusses of corn of their neighbours and had them carried to the house of Hilary de la Roche and by their horses consumed and wasted it, to the great loss of their neighbours, and also Nicholas Aunsel, charged that he, together with other malefactors, burglariously entered the house of Roger de Lees, knight, at Proutestoun and robbed Roger of stuffs, household utensils, gold of the weight of 7d., and other goods to the value of 60s., and also charged that he feloniously slew Thomas Eustace and Robert le Ferrour, and the said John Aunsel, charged that he received William Man and John son of William Aunsel, felons, and also Nicholas after the perpetration of the said felonies, and had art and part with him in the said robberies, come and defend and put themselves on the country for all homicides, arson and other evils committed from 12 March, a. r. 4, to the present day, and say that the King pardoned them suit of the peace for all trespasses and felonies to the said 12 March, and they put forward a deed of the King under the testimony of John Wogan, then Justiciar, which testifies to this. William Appelgard, Maurice de Loundres, Thomas son of William, William Kevernok, John son of Reginald, Gregory Dereneford, Robert Leynagh, Richard Ketyng, . . . . William Dondovenyld, William de Ley . . . . Aunsel are not guilty . . . . (Remainder of membrane torn).

1314

m. 79d.

16 Jan.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT KILKENNY BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, WEDNESDAY AFTER S. HILARY, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Dublin  
Kilkenny

Thomas Renagh, chaplain, John Eynolf and Agnes le Waleys, charged with receiving Nicholas Scilling and Schad Enin, hanged for felony, of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by 10s., by pledge of William Eynolf and Philip Eynolf of the liberty of Kilkenny, so that they stand, etc.

And Maurice the Miller, charged with receiving the said Nicholas and Schad, is admitted to make fine, for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him and for having his chattels again, by four marks, by pledge of Philip son of Milo and Richard Ayleward, so that he stand, etc.

Dublin  
Kilkenny

Nicholas Scilling and Schad Enyn, charged that they are common thieves and commit divers robberies in county Waterford and the cross of Ossory, and that they, together with other malefactors, robbed a man of the Chancellor of Ireland at Kenles of 2½ marks of silver, come and defend, etc. Philip son of Milo, Richard Ayleward, John son of War., Richard son of William, William son of William, Patrick son of Walter, David son of John, Philip son of David, David Bole, Walter de Rupe, John de S. Patricio, David Durbarre and John son of Alexander de Rupe, jurors, say that Nicholas and Schad are guilty. Therefore let them be hanged. No chattels or free land.

Henry Kennen, Gerok Houwel and John Frapias, charged that they are common thieves and commit divers robberies in county Waterford and the cross of Ossory, and that they, together with other malefactors, robbed a man of the Chancellor of Ireland of 2½ marks of silver, come and defend, etc. David de Bathe, Nicholas de Bathe, Griffin son of Matthew, David Greg, John Madok, Henry Cadygan, Robert son of John, John son of David Lowelyn, Henry son of Richard, Henry Lyder, David son of Reymund, Geoffrey Coterel, William son of Gilbert and Hugh son of Ralph, jurors, say that Henry Kennen, Gerok and John Frapias are guilty of the charges and are suspected of other misdeeds, and robbed Nicholas Houwel of twenty sheep. Therefore let them be hanged. No chattels or free land.

1314  
Dublin  
Kilkenny

m. 80d.

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CASSELL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, IN THE QUINZAIN OF S. HILARY, YEAR AS ABOVE.

27 Jan.

Symon Not, charged that he procured and abetted Walter Not, John Corbaly and divers other felons to slay and rob John Gothemund, attorney in the King's Bench, Dublin, and also that he procured the said felons to burn the haggard of Walter Mulcot at Athfade in the county, who by instigation of Symon slew John Gothemund and robbed him of divers goods to the value of £10, and also burned Walter's grange and his corn to the value of £20, comes and defends, etc. Afterwards of grace Symon is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges and for having his chattels again, by 20 marks, by pledge of Richard Braynok, Robert Braynok, Gilbert Laynagh, David Corbaly, Symon Tynbegh, John son of William Braynok and Adam son of Symon Not, of which he will pay yearly five marks, half at Easter and the other half at Michaelmas, so that he stand, etc. The sheriff, to wit, William son of Richard, returned the said pledges by writ, etc.

Typperary

Donald McBren, Murthut McBren, Mathun McBren, Tyrdolagh McTayg, Teige Bapkat, Gillecris O Liddy, Malaghlyn O Liddy, Tyrdolagh Myne, Teige McKenedy Og, Maurice le Waleys, Doneghut McTayg, Thomas Mor Odonekyr, Gillecris O Daly, Patrick O Conry and Robert O Murghut of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, by five marks, by pledge of William le Waleys, Robert Northeryn, Richard de Rupe, Philip de Rupe and Peter Yongman, so that they stand, etc.

Lymerick

m. 81 (1)

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, MONDAY AFTER PURIFICATION, a. r. 7.

4 Feb.

Robert le Mareschal, charged that he feloniously slew Hugh de Barre, comes and defends, etc. Robert de Gloucestr., Robert Arnold, Roger le Rede, Donyng de Godyng, Richard Taillour, David Taillour, Robert Sandw., Nicholas Beauchamp, John son of Richard the cook, William Tyler, Alan Eliot and Richard Randalf, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.

Waterford

John O Layve, fisherman of Villa Custumannorum, (2) Waterford, charged that he stole from Thomas Ayleward of Couboynge a cow worth half a mark and from Philip O Bergyn a cow worth half a mark, comes and defends, etc. Geoffrey, son of David, Edward de Brus,

Waterford

(1) Cal. has note, " ? no number."

(2) " Ost " written above.

- 1314 Michael de la Grave, John de la Grave junior, John son of Adam, John de Kilcophth, John Giles, Robert Hulloke, Stephen Sherman, Nicholas de Ipre, Walter son of Nicholas the cook and Robert le Rede, jurors, say that John is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.
- Waterford William Gerdon, charged that he feloniously struck Hugh de Barry with a twybill on the head so that he died, comes and defends, etc. Jordan de Bristoll, Robert de Gloucestr., Robert le Rede, Robert Arnald, Domyng de Godyng, Roger le Rede, David le Corviser, Stephen Thesseler, David Lycheffeld, Richard Taillor, Peter Lebritht and Robert Edward, jurors, say that William Gerdoun is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit.
- Waterford Symon Roth, Reginald son of the cook and Lydir Lyvok, charged that they received Alexander Barefot McLemather, an outlawed felon, and also that they received Nicholas Scilling and Schadde Enyn, felons hanged for larceny, and had art and part in their robberies, come and defend, etc. John son of War., William son of Gilbert, Griffin Coterel, Matthew son of Olyver, David son of Andrew, Andrew son of Philip, Thomas Daniel of O Bargoun, Thomas Echan, Andrew son of David, David Brounfether, Geoffrey Coterel and William de S. Albino, jurors, say that Symon, Reginald and Lyder are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. And Roger son of Milo, baron of Overk, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.
- Waterford Thomas O Gnewe, charged that he feloniously slew John le Grant at Balyglan and that he robbed him of stuffs, armour, horses, afers, cows, calves, pigs and other small things to the value of 40 . . . . , came and could not deny that he forcibly assisted in the slaying, but says that he had not art and part in the goods there robbed except 10d. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land.
- Waterford At the instance of John son of Robert le Poer, knight, Walter son of John de la Roche is admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of William le Waleys, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, and for having his chattels again, by 100s., by pledge of the said John son of Robert le Poer, John son of John le Poer, knight, John son of . . . . de Rupe and Milo de Rupe, so that he stand, etc., and he will pay 2½ marks yearly, half at Easter and the other half at Michaelmas.
- Waterford Griffin son of Reymund, who broke the dovecot of Cristiana la Waleys at Lysmore and stole therefrom forty-five doves worth 22d., of grace at the instance of John le Poer of Donoill is admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Alfred de Bendevile and Philip son of Griffin Cristofre, so that he stand, etc.
- Waterford  
city Twelve jurors present that Henry Cas feloniously slew Symon le Harper . . . . said city and afterwards fled to the church of S. Peter in the said city and escaped therefrom by reason of . . . . Therefore to judgment for the escape. Escape upon the mayor, bailiffs and commonalty of the city of Waterford . . . . said 100s. are assigned to John de Patrikcherche, clerk, by the said Custos and the whole Council for the expenses of the said John which he incurred in the King's service in divers parts of Ireland this year. Therefore they ought not to be put in the estreats to the Exchequer.
- Waterford At the instance of John le Poer of Donoill, Richard son of Adam Rodeberd is admitted to make fine, etc., for this, that he, together with other malefactors, beat . . . . Havecoun and robbed him of a bow, three arrows and a knife worth 6d., and Roger Andreu of . . . . geese

and a lance worth 2s., by one mark, by pledge of Geoffrey le Poer of Balydoryn and Adam . . . so that he stand, etc. 1314

A mutilated and illegible entry, referring to one Adam, who at the instance of John le Poer of Donoill made fine, by pledge of, among others, John de Maydewell for two marks. Thomas Landefey and one Reginald are also mentioned.

m. 81d. (1)

YET OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE SAME ON MONDAY IN THE FIRST WEEK OF LENT, YEAR AS ABOVE. 25 Feb.

Philip le Cu, charged that he burglariously entered the house of Thomas son of William at Chapel Isolde and therefrom robbed two linen sheets worth 12d., and that he is a common thief and spy for doing damage and ill in the country, comes and defends, etc. William le Mouner, Maurice le Cortiller, Richard Benet, Ralph le Blount, Thomas son of William, Henry Mor, John de Fonte of Cromelyn, William Palbrok, Walter le Lang, Thomas Dyne, Roger le Carter, Geoffrey de Athbo and Gilbert Grigge, jurors, say that Philip is guilty of the burglary and robbery, but is not suspected of any other misdeed. And William Havel (2), Thomas Heyne and Elias Bole, jurors summoned, come not. Therefore in mercy. Afterwards (unfinished). Dublin

Robert le Reve, charged that he stole from Jordan de Glymethan in the town of Stradbally two afers worth 11s., and also that he broke a house in the grange of Balybaghel by night and therefrom stole nine bushels of malt worth 27d., comes and defends, etc. John Dummyng, Richard le Lang, Simon the Carpenter, John the Smith, Walter Brekedent, John Mon, John Serche, Nicholas de Tynbegh, Thomas Porter, Stephen Cnok, John Bek and William de Uriel, jurors, say that Robert is not guilty and is not suspected, etc. Therefore he is quit. And John Cromelin and John the Miller, jurors summoned, come not; therefore in mercy. Dublin

John son of Hugh le Mouner of Rathgarf, charged that he, together with a certain other, broke the house of the prior of S. John without the New Gate of Dublin at le Lese by night, and slew Adam de Lese, an Englishman, and robbed him of two cows and two afers worth two marks, comes and says he is a clerk and neither can nor ought to answer here. And that it may be known for what he should be delivered to the ordinary whenever he shall demand him as a clerk, inquiry proceeds more fully into the truth of the premises. Thomas son of Simon, Thomas Enyas, John Broun, John Benttey, Stephen Oseberne, Umfrey de Fynglas, Thomas le Waleis, William Mewe, William Youet, William Bruge, Milo Larcher, William Sprakelyn and Elias Stachcony, jurors, say that John is guilty of the charges and is suspected of other misdeeds. And thereupon came John, rural dean . . . (unfinished). Dublin

For the good service often done to the King by Maurice Howell in fighting the Irish felons of the mountains of Leinster and of the parts of Offaly and hereafter to be done by him, it is agreed by the Custos of Ireland and all of the King's Council in this country that Maurice, for himself and all his men written below, have pardon of suit of the peace for all trespasses and felonies to this day, and any abjuration of the King's

(1) Cal. has note " ? no number."

(2) Pencil note in margin:—"Struck out. Condo: p. Justic."

1314 land which he or his men have made, and any outlawries published against them at the suit of the King are remitted, so that they stand, etc. And their names are as follows:—Maurice Houwel, Archebaud Houwel, Alexander Houwel, Walter Houwel, John Houwel, Richard Houwel, William Houwel, Philip Houwel, John Bredeshale, John Bersy, Adam Ireys, Adam O Murghut, Dovenald O Hilleth, Richard Maugoun, Richard le Waleys, Thomas O Kally, Richard Roth le Waleys, Willagh Crok, Thomas Crok, Robert le Waleys, Annlaf McKenbory, Stephen Offlen, Reynok O Geythyn, Robert Locum and Thomas Cristofre. And the Chancellor of Ireland is directed to cause letters patent to be made in customary form.

William Loterel le Petit, charged that he feloniously at night time, together with others, burned a rick of wheat and two ricks of oats at Rathbroun in the tenement of Castelcnok, in which ricks there were estimated to be twenty crannocks, comes and defends, etc. Thomas de Kent, Richard de Balytermot, Richard Beg of Tathsgard, Richard Fraunceys . . . . (unfinished).

Eustace de Glenmethan gives to the King 40d., by pledge of Roger Mol, for suit of the peace . . . . the King's pound and his afers impounded there for the King's debt . . . . and also for suit of the peace to be pardoned to him for this, that he took Geoffrey son of Robert . . . . so that he stand, etc.

A mutilated and illegible entry referring to a pardon granted at the instance of Maurice Tyrel.

m. 81 (bis.) .

18 Mar. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT WATERFORD BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, MONDAY AFTER MID LENT a. r. 7.

Waterford Philip McKenmory, charged that he stole from Oweyn le Waleys twelve afers, and that he is a common thief, comes and puts himself on the country. And Bartholomew de Kerdiff . . . . (Entry unfinished and struck out).

Waterford At the instance of John le Poer, baron of Donoill, and for the good service hitherto done to the King and hereafter to be done by him, Adam son of Henry Wyz and Robert son of Henry Wyz are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, by 20 marks, by pledge of Bartholomew de Kerdiff for two marks, David Broun 20s., Robert Ayleward 40s., William son of Henry one mark, Almaric le Poer one mark, Geoffrey Dene one mark, Stephen Fraunceys two marks, John son of Benedict le Poer 20s., John son of Henry Wyz five marks, Andrew son of Philip Wyz one mark and Peter Ayleward one mark, so that they stand, etc. And the Chancellor is directed to cause letters patent to be made in customary form.

20 Mar. PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT ROSBARGOUN BEFORE THE CUSTOS ON WEDNESDAY AFTER MID LENT, YEAR AS AFORESAID.

Dublin  
Kilkenny William Lyder, charged that he stole from David Greg seven cows worth half a mark each, from Henry . . . . five afers worth half a mark each from . . . . of Yvo and . . . . men eleven afers worth half a mark each, from Richard son of Philip seven cows worth half a mark each, and from Richard son of William Carthoman five cows worth half a mark each, and that he robbed the men of the said Custos of Ireland of their fish,

worth 10s., and that he is a common thief and robber, as well in the crosses of Leinster as in the liberties, and that he commonly waylays fishermen on the highways . . . robbed of their fish, comes and defends, etc. Maurice son of David, Philip de Inteberge, David le Gra . . . of John, Philip son of Milo, Richard Ayleward, Thomas Daniel, Luke le Voueler, Andrew son of Philip, William . . . Willagh, and Geoffrey Coterel, jurors, say that William is guilty of the charges and is suspected of other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land. Afterwards at the instance of John son of Thomas, Philip Madok, charged that he forcibly assisted the said William Lyder in doing all his mischiefs, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by . . . shillings, by pledge of David Greg. 1314

m. 81d. (bis.)

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT THE NEW TOWN OF DAWISKY BEFORE THE CUSTOS ON THURSDAY AFTER MID LENT, YEAR AS ABOVE. 21 Mar.

It is granted for the good of the peace that Philip son of Henry Taloun, Richard son of John Taloun, Philip son of Richard Taloun of Uriel, Malaghlyn McCodiltan, William Ketyng, Roger son of the said William, William brother of the said Roger, Hugh O Neil, Adam O Clery, Stephen Heyward, Robert son of Maurice Nemone, Philip son of Hugh Nemone, John Crakel, Richard son of Dionysius, Philip son of Roger Milot, Henry Beynon, Adam Someter Omothil, David son of Henry McCodiltan, Doneghut son of Dowok McCleragh, Richard his brother, Walter le Waleys of Tamelyng, Thomas McDovenold McCodiltan, McCrayth McRobyn McCodiltan, Craythyn McEyni McCodiltan, Mothok McCodiltan, David son of Elias Porter, Nicholas his brother, Henry Brek McCodiltan, Dermot McWillok McCodiltan, Adam McConok McCodiltan, Doneghut McKelly McCodiltan, Nicholas Ketyng, John O Conyng, Henry le Lang, John son of Colet Beynon, John Colman, Robert Donegan, Thomas son of Richard of Kilbeleth, Thomas le Boucher of Tamelyng, charged with divers trespasses and felonies, as alleged, be under the protection of the King's peace from henceforth to the quinzaine of Easter, provided that in the meantime they bear themselves well and faithfully to the peace. Therefore all bailiffs and others the King's lieges in Ireland are commanded by letters patent of the Custos that they permit the said Philip son of Henry Taloun and the others to enjoy that protection in the said form. Dublin Cath.

At the instance of John de la Barre, John Carraghon is admitted to make fine, etc., for receiving David Clogagh, Maurice Moor and Philip Meth, who feloniously slew Gillecass the Welshman at Kilkeleghyn, by half a mark, <sup>(1)</sup> of John Madok, William Willagh and John Willekyn Grenoo, <sup>(2)</sup> and also by the said fine at the instance of the said John de la Barre, suit of the peace is pardoned to the said John Carraghon for all other trespasses and felonies to this day, except homicide, abduction of a woman and arson, so that he stand, etc. Dublin Kilkenny

At the instance of James le Botiller suit of the peace is pardoned to Walter Faliagh and William Faliagh for trespasses and felonies to this day, so that they stand, etc. And the Chancellor is directed to cause letters patent to be made in customary form. Kildare

(1) "By pledge" omitted?

(2) Might be read "Grenov."

1314

m. 82

21 April

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT LE NAAS BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, IN THE QUINZAIN OF EASTER, a. r. 7.

Kildare

William Swyft, charged that he was in the company of the O Tothles, felons of the King, who were at war with the King by perpetrating divers felonies, robberies, homicides and many other evils, wherein William had art and part, comes, and at the instance of Walter Laweles and John le Palmer of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of John le Palmer and John Swyft, so that he stand, etc.

Kildare

Geoffrey le Blak, Henry le Blak and William le Blak, charged that they took from Gilcam Clement four cows out of the twenty-three cows which Gillecarn had stolen from Nicholas de Cruys in Fyngal, and that they received Gillecarn and hid him and the cows in Geoffrey's garden, for the said four cows, which they had as a gift from Gillecarn, knowing how they were stolen; and Henry le Waleys, charged that he is wont to break the doors of houses in the town of Kildroght and by night to take geese, hens, bread, beer and other victuals against the will of the people of the said town, come and defend, etc. John Roth, Thomas de Rathymgan, Ralph Broun, Ralph Traharne, David le Wyte, John Hirdman, John de Kil, Thomas le Hore, Henry Alwyn, John Penrys, John Ireys, John Selyman, Richard Martyn, John Melagh and William le Blount, jurors, say that Geoffrey, Henry and William le Blak and Henry le Waleys are not guilty and are not suspected, etc. Therefore they are quit. Nicholas Barby, a juror summoned, comes not; therefore in mercy.

Kildare

Aduc de Hereford del Dengyn, charged that he stole from Philip son of Frombaud four ells of russet worth 12d. each, one overcoat and a cap worth 2s., and an axe worth 6d., and that he is a common thief in the county of afers, cows, oxen, geese, hens and other small things, comes and defends, etc. Maurice Howell, David le Wyte, Richard Daniel, John Giffard of Baretistoun, Richard de Boys, Nicholas de Kerdiff, Godfrey son of Henry, Robert Traharne, Thomas Burgeys, Thomas the clerk of Lytelrath, William Daniel and Hugh son of Richard, jurors, say that Aduc is not guilty of the theft or robbery, but that he is a common thief in the county, and that recently he stole from the bishop of Kildare a mare with a foal worth a mark, and that he is suspected of other misdeeds. Afterwards of grace Aduc is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, and also for all other trespasses and felonies to this day, by ten marks, by pledge of Walter de Stantoun of 4 marks, Hugh de Appilberge of one mark, Walter de Circestre of one mark, David de la Roche of one mark, Thomas Russel of one mark, Laurence Calf of one mark and David le Maziner of one mark. And the said pledges mainprised for the future good behaviour of Aduc. Afterwards on Wednesday after the octave of S. John Baptist in this year, before Theobald de Verduno, Justiciar of Ireland, at Dublin in full Council, etc., William Alysandre, Justice of the King assigned to hold pleas which follow the Justiciar, it is recorded that Edmund le Botiller, late Custos, etc., at another time, at the instance of Hugh Canoun, pardoned Adok five marks out of the said ten, which are already put in the estreats to the Exchequer. Therefore it is agreed by the Justiciar and the whole Council that five marks out of the said ten be deleted from the said estreats. And let the remaining five marks be levied of Adok and his pledges.



Twelve jurors present that Nicholas Langloue, a young boy, followed his mother to a certain moor on a road which descends from a hill, and Gregory O Torran drove a cart to the said moor on the said road, and as soon as Gregory saw the boy on the road before him he shouted to his mother to remove him, and the afers of the cart dragged it with such speed down the hill that the wheel reached Nicholas before he could be removed and crushed him so that he died. Judgment, mishap. Value of the afers and cart, 9s. 6½d., for which William Geydoun, coroner, will answer. They present also that Gregory, immediately the boy was dead, withdrew and fled. Therefore his chattels are confiscated for flight. Chattels, 9d., for which the coroner will answer. And because Gregory drove the cart over the boy neither feloniously nor aforethought, but the afers dragged the cart over the boy, of the felony as regards Gregory, nothing.

1314

Kildare

At the instance of brother Walter del Ewe, prior of the Hospital of S. John of Jerusalem in Ireland, Richard le Haywa . . . of Kilmaynan is admitted to make fine, etc., for the death of John Galewey, feloniously slain by him, as stated, by 20s., by pledge of Adam Fattyng and Roger Levyot, so that he stand, etc. Of Paul le Holder, . . . Meyler, Thomas Underwode and Walter Samford, charged that they freely allowed the said . . . le Hayward to depart, after he had slain John Galwey, when they could have taken him had they wished, nothing, because suit of the peace is pardoned to them for this at the instance of the aforesaid. Therefore *sine die*, etc.

Dublin

m. 83

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT DUBLIN BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, IN THE THREE WEEKS OF EASTER, a. r. 7.

28 April

For the good service which Reginald Archebaud and others of his family and name have heretofore done to the King in warring down the Irish felons of the mountains of Leinster, and will hereafter do, the said Reginald and also Adam, William, Gilbert, Symon, Thomas, David, Milo and Robert Archebaud are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, by 40s., by pledge of Hugh Laweles, Maurice Tyrell and David Archebaud and by the same fine any outlawry which may have been published against them, and any abjuraction of the King's land which they may have made, are remitted to them, so that they stand, etc.

Dublin  
Kildare

Adam Fotyng, who feloniously slew Robert le Lang, as alleged, at the instance of Hugh Laweles, knight, is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said death and for having again his chattels, etc., by pledge of Michael de Stokes, Roger Gaffeney, Nicholas Fotyng and Peter le Flemmyng, so that he stand, etc.

Meath

At the instance of Hugh Laweles and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done by him, suit of the peace is pardoned to Michael de Trym for all trespasses and felonies to this day against the King's peace, and also for other trespasses whatsoever, so that he stand, etc. And the Chancellor is directed to cause letters patent to be issued therefor in customary form.

Louth

For the good service which Symon Fitz Richer often did and will hereafter do to the King, Symon of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, by 100s., by pledge of Michael de Cramle and Symon de Feypo, so that he stand, etc. And they ought not to be put in the estreats, because they are assigned to John de Patrikchurch, clerk.

Meath

1314  
Dublin  
Kylkenny

Twelve jurors present that Nicholas son of Elyas le Porter, who is outlawed in the King's court in the county of Dublin at the suit of Walter son of William for a robbery committed upon the said Walter, was received in the town of Smythestoun after outlawry had been published against him. And because the jurors testify that the men of the community of the said town did not know he was outlawed, suit of the peace is of grace pardoned to them, so that they stand, etc.

6 May

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CASSELL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, MONDAY AFTER S.S. PHILIP AND JAMES, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Typenary

Ralph, a boy of David Engeloud, charged that he, together with other malefactors, stole from Thomas Crik two cows and a steer worth a mark, and also that he stole from William Thursteyn a young horse worth 40d., comes and defends, etc. And David son of Alan, John Scot, John l . . . Flemmyng, David le Flemmyng, Philip Penlyn, Roger Payn, Richard le Waleys, John Ede, John le Veel, Wy . . . le Yong, Stephen Ralee and David Rothan, jurors, say that Ralph is guilty of the charges and is suspected of other misdeeds. Therefore let him be hanged. No chattels or free land.

Typenary

Symon Maunsel, charged that he feloniously slew Adam Hussee, comes and defends, etc. And Mau . . . , Jordan Og, Richard Belagh, John Shymiagh, John Hopper, Henry son of Robert, Robert de Bray, Walter son of . . . , Richard Blanchard, Alexander Travers, Edmund Umfrey and John Cod, jurors, say that when Symon was in his house at Moyaskyr, Adam Hussee, who with his following was drinking in an inn, being somewhat drunk went out of the inn and came to Symon's house, and Symon standing outside . . . attacked Adam with insulting words and said that he would like to have better ale in his house . . . which he drank quickly in the said inn. Symon then said that he would not allow this, and thereupon Adam Hussee with a lance in his hand ran towards Symon with intent to slay him, and Symon from fear fled into the house, and from the door of the house he asked Adam to leave him in peace, which Adam refused to do . . . would cut Symon's head off, and immediately broke open the door of the house and entered with intent to slay Symon. And Symon, considering that he could in no way escape death save by slaying Adam, struck Adam with an arrow in the left breast, whereof he died. And the jurors, asked if Symon could have escaped death without slaying Adam, say clearly, no. Therefore let Symon be re-committed to gaol to await the King's grace. Afterwards of grace Symon is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said death and also for having again his chattels, which were taken into King's hand after the death, by 20s., by pledge of Alexander son of Alexander Travers and Philip Comyn, so that he stand, etc.

Typenary

Henry son of Walter le Joeven, charged that he feloniously slew Adam Wolf, comes and refuses the common law and declines to answer. Therefore let him be re-committed to gaol to the diet, etc. No chattels or free land.

m. 83d.

YET OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT SAME PLACE, BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

Typenary

Peter the Whyte, charged that he feloniously slew Richard Cosyn,

comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of John le Blount of Clonpet and Richard le Blound, so that he stand, etc. John and Richard mainprised for the future good behaviour of Peter. 1314

Clement Poltram, charged that he is a common robber of the company of Benedict, son of Benedict le Poer, who also is a common robber, and that he beats the men of the country and takes fallings from the women, and also wethers, geese and hens, comes and defends, etc. Afterwards of grace, because the jurors testify that Clement is not suspected of any misdeed, except that he took grass in the company of the said Benedict for Benedict's horses, the said trespass is pardoned to Clement, so that he stand, etc. Typenary

For the good service which Geoffrey son of Reymund de Burgo has often done and will hereafter do to the King, and also for this, that Geoffrey slew Geoffrey McHanerth, Maurice O Lochevan, Geoffrey O Lochevan, Donald O Hengys O Corcran, Reginald O Bothy, Donald O Makan, Adam Makowyn, Thomas McTrayn, John O Hanedhan and Lochlyn O Grytyn, notorious felons, and put himself to great expense in their slaying, (and especially at the instance of the Custos of Ireland), whose heads he promised to render to the court here or to slay them, suit of the peace is pardoned to Geoffrey for all trespasses and felonies to this day, so that he stand, etc. Typenary

Geoffrey le Menour of Cnoctraffan, charged that he bought three afers worth 20s. from Donewyth Dessath O Curk and Geoffroy O Lochnan, felons, which afers the said felons burglariously took from the house of Henry Haket at Celerystoun, Geoffrey well knowing that the afers were stolen, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Roger Broun and John Mynour, so that he stand, etc. Typenary

For the good service which John son of Henry Taloun has often done and will hereafter do to the King, Philip son of Henry Taloun, Richard Taloun of Tamolyng, Philip son of Richard Taloun, Malaghlyn McCodiltan, Hugh O Nel, Adam O Clery, Stephen He . . . ward, Robert son of Maurice Nemonyth, Robert son of Hugh Nemonyth, Philip son of Hugh Nemonyth, Richard son of Denis the clerk, Philip son of Roger, Henry Beynon, Adam Someter, Walter the Welshman, Thomas McDonald McCodiltan, McRach McRobyn McCodiltan, Crachyn McEyn McCodiltan, Murthut McCodiltan, David son of Elias le Portreve, Henry Brek McCodiltan, Dermot McLonyth McCodiltan, Donthut McKelly McCodiltan, John O Conyng, Henry Longus, Adam the Welshman, John son of Colet, Robert Donegan, Thomas son of Richard of Kilbelec, Thomas Fleshewer, Nicholas Ketyng, Richard son of Dowe, John Colman, John son of John Colman, David son of Henry McCodiltan, William son of Milo McCodiltan, John Crackel of Ballygscy, Nicholas son of Elias le Portreve, Con . . . thur McCodiltan, brother . . . , Roger Duff McCodiltan, Evelot Mastil, Gregory O Kirrele, William Ketyng, Roger his son, William his brother, John son of Wyllot, Mothuk McCodiltan, Donchut McDowe, Adam son of Conuk McCodiltan and David son of Philip McCodiltan, who were outlawed in Co. Dublin at the suit of Walter son of William for divers trespasses committed upon Walter, are admitted to make fine, etc., for the said outlawry to be remitted and for suit of the peace to be pardoned to them for all trespasses committed upon Walter and his men in the liberties of Kilkenny and Cath., to this day, by 100s., by pledge of John son of Henry Taloun, and the seneschal of the liberties of Cath. will answer for several pledges. Know that the fine is so small because Walter acknowledged before the Custos here that Philip son of Henry Taloun and all Dublin Cath.

1314 the others above mentioned satisfied him for all actions and demands which Walter had or could have against them to this day.

Typenary

Robert Inteberge, taken in the act, viz., with one afer, a tabard and a tunic, which he stole from David Inteberge, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of William Blake and Lamual de Inteberge, so that he stand, etc. And because no one sues for the goods, let the value of the afer, tabard and tunic, 3s. 6d., be forfeited, for which the sheriff, William son of Richard, will answer.

An entry partly illegible, partly mutilated.

m. 82d.

6 May PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CASS. BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, MONDAY AFTER SS. PHILIP AND JAMES, YEAR AS ABOVE.

Typenary

Alexander son of Walter Travers, charged with the death of Ralph le Gardeyner, feloniously slain, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 40s., by pledge of Philip Comyn and Alexander son of Alexander Travers, so that he stand, etc.

Typenary

Walter son of Griffin, David son of Griffin and Reymund son of Walter son of Griffin, charged that they stole from Richard son of Maurice Ketyng twenty seven cows worth half a mark each and from Nicholas Abraham two afers worth half a mark each, and also that they received Andrew McWalter, who is a common thief of afers and cows in the counties of Waterford and Tipperary, wherein the said Walter, David and Reymund have art and part, and also that they are common thieves and the whole country is wasted by them, and also that Reymund was in the company of John O Trodan and Dovenald Troter, a serving man of Adam Ketyng, at the stealing of two ox hides worth 4s. from Adam de Marisco and had art and part therein, and also that Reymund stole from Walter Hervy an afer worth half a mark, come and of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges, by six marks, by pledge of Robert de Logken, Robert son of Griffin, Thomas Myagh and Maurice de Walles, so that they stand, etc. Afterwards of grace and by the same fine suit of the peace is pardoned to Walter, David and Reymund for all other trespasses to this day, except death of an Englishman, rape and arson, so that they stand, etc.

Typenary

Richard son of Milo de Burgo, charged that he, together with other malefactors, by night burned the haggard of Philip Ulf, knight, at Oldetoun near Grene in Co. Limerick, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges and all other trespasses and felonies to this day, by 6 marks, by pledge of John son of Robert, knight, for one mark, Henry Leffayn, clerk, one mark, Philip Comyn one mark, Edmund son of John one mark, Nicholas de Stapeltoun one mark and Henry Fraunceys one mark, so that he stand, etc.

Typenary

John son of William Becche, charged that he feloniously raped Claricia, wife of Laurence B . . . . doun, against her will, and also William Becche senior and William, son of William Becche, charged that they received the said John after the felony and knowing thereof, come and of grace are admitted to make fine, etc., by one mark, by pledge of Henry Leffayn, who mainprised before the Custos to satisfy Clarice.

Robert Lowys, David le Poer, Philip Ketyng, John de la Maryn (1), Walter Tony, Robert Boly, Walter Wyncestre, Nicholas de Norwych, Thomas le Tanner, Jack le Skynner, Laurence Madeshale, Philip Nest, Benedict le Blound, Walter Selwod, John de Athy, Richard Curteys, Adam Bos, James Porter, Robert Kerre, Walter Veys, Adam Edoun, Thomas le Deier, Geoffrey Fitz . . . ., Martin le Blound, Milo Tailour, Milo Lowys, Adam Tony, Milo le Blound, Stephen Tyllagh and Milo Tyllagh (2), the provost and the whole community of the town of Clonmele, charged that they took William Og son of William for certain trespasses in the town of Clonmele, bound him and kept him in the King's prison in the house of Walter Wyncestre in the said town, and afterwards freely permitted Benedict le Poer and Edmund son of Andrew le Poer to slay William feloniously, and also charged that they received the said Benedict and Edmund, felons for the death of William, gave them food and drink in Clonmele and freely permitted them to depart, when they could easily have taken them and detained them in the King's prison had they wished, come and, as well for themselves as for the whole community, say that Benedict and Edmund, who slew William, have not yet been convicted of the felony, and they seek judgment whether they ought to answer for receiving them until the principals are committed, etc. Afterwards the said community gives to the King 100s. for mainprise until the next coming, by pledge of Milo Tyllagh, Walter Wyncestre, Nicholas Norwych and Milo le Blount. And of the mainprise, it appears in the rolls of mainprises. Afterwards the said community made fine, etc., for £10, by pledge of Robert Lowys and the others of the town above mentioned, so that it stand, etc. And they ought not to be put in the estreats, because they are assigned to John de Patrikchurche, clerk, as well for making the estreats as for other expenses incurred in divers matters for the King.

1314  
Typenary

Hugh Wodelok, who abjured the King's land outside the church of Mone, at the instance of Walter Laweles of grace is admitted to make fine, etc. for all trespasses and felonies to this day and also for the said abjuration, by 40s., by pledge of Walter Wodelok, James Wodelok and Walter Baillif, so that he stand, etc. And the community of the villate of Commynystoun, charged with receiving Hugh, of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by 20s., by pledge of Thomas Marmilloun, John Marmilloun, Philip Herbard and William Cosselyn, so that it stand, etc.

At the instance of Walter Laweles, Robert Chiltoun and Roesia his wife are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, by one mark, by pledge of Stephen Chiltoun, Richard Chiltoun, Maurice Chiltoun and John Foul, so that they stand, etc.

Kildare

m. 85

PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT CLONMELL BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, TUESDAY BEFORE ASCENSION, a. r. 7.

14 May

Nicholas Leynagh, charged that he is a common receiver of felons and that he received David Leynagh, Ivo Leynagh, John Bratnagh, Thomas Conelagh, John O Gormegan and several other felons whose names are not known, who were in the following of the Cantetouns, who openly put themselves at war with the King by committing many murders and other evils, and who robbed John son of Robert le Poer . . . . of which they ate four in the house of Nicholas Leynagh, and two still remain with Nicholas in his house, and also charged that he received

Typenary

(1) &amp; (2) Names struck out.

1314 Jordan son of Patrick Nekylle and others of his following, who feloniously slew Roland Tracy and William Kerdiff at Ringnagonagh and robbed them of thirty cows, which they brought to the house of Nicholas Leynagh, Nicholas well knowing that they were thus robbed and having art and part therein, and also charged that he procured and abetted Jordan son of Patrick Nekyll to rob Clement Leynagh, tenant of Nicholas, of all his goods and chattels, so that when Clement was made a beggar Nicholas could have good right to his lands and tenements, and so acquire the said tenements in fee, comes and of grace, at the instance of Arnald le Poer, knight, is admitted to make fine, etc., for the said charges and also for all other trespasses and felonies to this day, except death of another man, by 20 marks, by pledge of John Kermerdyn and Clement Leynagh, so that he stand, etc. And by the same fine suit of the peace is pardoned to David Leynagh, Ivo Leynagh and John Bretnagh, for the charges wherewith they were charged above in this roll, so that they stand, etc. And let the said fine be levied of David, Ivo and John as well as Nicholas, if they wish to enjoy the same peace. And it is granted that they have terms to pay of the fine 40s. yearly, half at Michaelmas and the other half at Easter.

Typenary

Twelve jurors present that Walter O Raghwan, who was taken for divers trespasses and felonies and by the provost and community of the town of Thorles delivered to the provost and community of the town of Lowemoy, escaped from their custody. Judgment of escape upon the community of the villate of Lowemoy.

At the instance of Hugh de Lacy, knight, suit of the peace is pardoned to Henry Scadan for the death of Maurice Frend, feloniously slain by him, as alleged, so that he stand, etc. And the Chancellor is directed to cause letters patent to issue therefor in customary form.

Typenary

John son of Thomas, knight, Peter Lengleys, knight, William Lengleys and William son of Richard at another time, viz. in the octave of S. Hilary last, before the Custos at Cassell, mainprised to have at the next coming the bodies of Nicholas Dreytoun and Edmund Dreytoun, indicted at pleas of the crown, to stand to the charge against them. And though they were reasonably forewarned, viz. by the space of fifteen days, to be here on this day, they come not; therefore in heavy mercy. Afterwards the mercy of William son of Richard is assessed by the Justiciar at one mark.

At the instance of Fulc de Frax . . . . and William Alysandre, knight, and for the good service often done and hereafter to be done by them to the King, suit of the peace is pardoned to . . . . adok . . . . wagh for all trespasses and felonies to this day, so that he stand, etc.

m. 84

3 June PLEAS OF THE CROWN AND DELIVERY OF GAOL AT TRISTELDERMOT BEFORE EDMUND LE BOTILLER, CUSTOS, MONDAY AFTER TRINITY, a. r. 7.

Kildare

Hugh Dantoun, knight, charged that he, together with other malefactors, robbed William Wydoun at Mounmehennock of a haqueton and other goods and chattels to the value of 35s. 11d. and Adam Rys of three tunics and other goods and chattels to the value of 4s. and John Tavel of a haqueton etc., to the value of 60s. 2d. and Symon le Joefne of a lance etc. to the value of 77s. and John son of Ralph Rys of a tunic etc. to the value of half a mark and Isolda Tavel of a falling etc. to the value of 10s. and Michael le Joefne of a bacon etc. to the value of 3s.

and Martin le Portreve of a bacon etc., to the value of 2s., and also John de Suttoun, knight, lord of the said town, charged that he abetted and procured Hugh to do the said robberies by previous arrangement, come and defend, etc. And Philip Dullard, John Eme, Richard le Riche, Nicholas le Waleys, William Heywod, Gilbert Cref, John Stone, John Balymor, Geoffrey Colman, John Was, William Dunheved and Robert Cref, jurors, say that Hugh and John are guilty of all the charges, except only that Hugh and the other malefactors did not take from Isolda Tavel anything but a falling worth 2s., and they say clearly that all the said evils which Hugh and the other malefactors of his company did were done by the instigation and abetting of John, of malice aforethought, by reason of revenge upon some of his men of the said town of Mounemehennok, because they refused to obey his orders as their lord. Afterwards of grace John is admitted to make fine, etc., by ten marks, by pledge of Gilbert le Palmer, Thomas Brisky, John Tavel, William Wydoun, William Rys and Simon Young (*Juvenis*), so that he stand, etc. Afterwards of grace and for the good service which Hugh has often done and will hereafter do to the King, suit of the peace is pardoned to him, so that he stand, etc. Afterwards, regard being had to this, that John lately lost his horses in the King's service by deed of arms, and also for John's good service, it is granted that John have as a gift five marks of the said fine, and that of the remaining five marks he pay half at Michaelmas next and the other half at Easter following.

1314

Richard de Lyvet, charged that outside the stone house of Thomas son of John near Moygaveny he robbed eleven ells of Irish woollen cloth worth 2s. 9d., 1½ stone of iron worth 15d., twelve horseshoes (*ferra eq.*) worth 12d., five ells of Irish linen cloth worth 6d., a . . . . (*glanetum*) worth 12d. and other small things worth 2s., and also that he took and by force brought William the baker, an Englishman of the King, to Tancardistoun bound, and broke his arms and teeth there, and also that he robbed William de Wellesley of four pigs worth 4s. and John le Hopper of a wether worth 12d. at Jordanystoun, comes and defends, etc. And Thomas le Yonge, John Appilbi, Philip Colby, Walter Wodelok, James le Ma . . . . ye, Richard Eyteleye, Maurice son of Robert, William Dunheved, Thomas Brysky, Gilbert Cref and Walter . . . . that Richard is guilty. (The remainder of the membrane is torn off).

Kildare

m. 84d.

YET OF PLEAS OF THE CROWN AT SAME PLACE BEFORE SAME, DAY AND YEAR AS ABOVE.

For the good service which Philip Purcel of Corkteny, Philip son of William Purcel, John son of Hugh Purcel of Corkteny and John son of Hugh Purcel of Kysleve have often done and will hereafter do to the King, and also by fine of 40s., which Philip etc. made with the King, suit of the peace is pardoned to them for all trespasses and felonies to this day, so that they stand, etc.

Typerary

William Ocorkeran, taken in possession, viz., with a stolen afer, comes and of grace is admitted to make fine, etc., by half a mark, by pledge of John Deverous and John Leredekne, so that he stand, etc. Let the price of the afer be forfeited to the King because no other sues, 40d., for which Walter son of Hugh and John Fyn will answer.

Dublin  
Kylkenny

For the good service which Geoffrey, son of Thomas de la Roche, Alexander son of Alexander de la Roche senior, Henry Brack de Rupe,

Dublin  
Kylkenny

- 1314 David son of Geoffrey of Portynhill, Robert son of Philip O Brodre, Muriertagh O Brodre, David son of William O Brodre, John O Brodre, Thomas O Brodre, Maythok Mor O Brodre, Nicholas his brother, Richard son of Philip O Brodre, Nicholas son of Philip O Brodre, Philip son of Henry de Rupe, Gilbert son of Eustace de Rupe, John son of Alexander de Rupe, and Philip son of Stephen Candelan have often done and will hereafter do to the King, and also for this, that William son of Philip de Rupe and Patrick son of Philip de Rupe, kinsmen of Geoffrey son of Thomas de la Roche and the others, were slain in the King's service, the said Geoffrey son of Thomas and the others are admitted to make fine, etc., for all trespasses and felonies to this day, by 20 marks, by pledge of John son of Alexander de Rupe, Peter son of Ger. de Rupe, Adam son of David, Ger. son of Eustace de Rupe and John son of Eustace de Rupe, so that they stand, etc.



## INDEX OF PERSONS AND PLACES

- Aas, *see* As.  
 Abbington, monastery of (Co. Lim.),  
*see* Wetheney.  
 Abbot, Bertram, Bertrand, 84, 154.  
 Abolsy (Co. Wex.), 159.  
 Abovethemill, Above-the-mill, Above-  
 the milne, Adam, 169.  
 —, William, 169, 209, 213.  
 Abraham, Nicholas, 322.  
 Achdodenagh, Peter de, 153.  
 Achethawyl, William son of Philip of,  
 233.  
 Acton Burnel, patent dated at, 186.  
 Adam, Adam son of, 75.  
 —, David son of (Co. Cork), 291.  
 —, — (Co. Kilk?), 284.  
 —, — son of David son of, 284.  
 —, Henry son of (Co. Cork), 293.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 175.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 215.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 255.  
 —, John, 204.  
 —, —, of Any, 311.  
 —, — son of (Co. Kild.), 75.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204, 206,  
 267, 306, 307, 309.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 255.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 177, 249,  
 281-283, 299, 300, 314.  
 —, Laurence, 206.  
 —, Maurice, 78-79.  
 —, Maurice son of, 75.  
 —, Milo, 204.  
 —, Philip son of (Co. Cork), 296.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 205, 206.  
 —, Richard son of, 193, 194.  
 —, Robert, 248.  
 —, Roger son of, of Maistrestoun,  
 255.  
 —, Simon, 79.  
 —, — son of, 75.  
 —, Thomas son of (Co. Dub?), 89.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 274.  
 —, Walter son of, 215.  
 —, William son of (Co. Kild.), 241.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 188.  
 Adams, John, Alicia, maid of, 79.  
 —, John son of John, 79.  
 Adamstoun (Adamstown, Co. Lim.),  
 William Og of, 267.  
 Adar, Adare (Adare, Co. Lim.), 267,  
 310.  
 —, Robert de, 306.  
 —, — son of Robert de, 208.  
 —, Robert le Whyte of, 310.  
 Adart (Co. Cork or Ker.), 107.  
 Aderrig, *see* Aghderg.  
 Admot, John son of, 155.  
 —, Peter, 155.  
 Adnides, Indes (Anhid, B. Coshma, Co.  
 Lim.), 269.  
 Adron (Co. Car?), Robert de Clahull of,  
 41-42.  
 Affane, *see* Athtern and Athmethan.  
 Aghderg (Aderrig, B. Newcastle, Co.  
 Dub.), church of, 218.  
 Aghscadan, Athmescadan, Auscadan,  
 Anthmescadan (Co. Lim.), 269;  
 Richard Ketyng of, 268, 269,  
 309, 310.  
 Aghyt (Co. Car.), 234.  
 Ailward, *see* Aylward.  
 Alan, David son of, 320.  
 Alan, Milo son of, 175.  
 —, Reymund son of, 217, 235, 236.  
 —, Walter son of John son of, 200.  
 Alayn, Aleyn, Nicholas, 162.  
 —, William, 85, 228.  
 Albin, William de, 100.  
 Albrey, Thomas, 172, 232.  
 Albre . . . William, 304.  
 Albroun, David, 282.  
 Albus, *see also* White.  
 Albus, Adam, of Tyrneue, 90.  
 —, Andrew (Co. Tip.), 272.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 283.  
 —, Henry, of Sythan, 263.  
 —, Hugh, 149.  
 —, James, 305.  
 —, John son of Walter (Co. Lim.),  
 303.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 261.  
 —, Maurice son of Andrew, 281.  
 —, Nicholas, of Castr. de Olethran,  
 293.  
 —, Peter, 149.  
 —, Philip (Co. Cork), 289.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304.  
 —, —, of Tarment, 261.  
 —, Ralph, of Balytarsy, 268.  
 —, Regin., 162.  
 —, Richard (Co. Meath), 240, 280.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 282.  
 —, —, *juvenis*, 162.  
 —, Robert (Co. Cork), 293.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 162.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 273.  
 —, Roger, of Tyrerath, 90.  
 —, Thomas, 280.  
 —, —, of Balyachram, 261.  
 —, —, of Balyathan, 282.  
 —, —, of Dungarvan, 281.  
 —, William (Co. Lim.), 306.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 282.  
 —, —, de Auho, 298.  
 Alcock, John, 215.  
 Aldelm, William son of, 100.  
 Aldermannestoun, John of, 169.

- Aldermunston, John de, canon of Colp, 51.
- Alengowe, Robert de, 78.
- Aleweyestoun, *see* Alweyiston.
- Alwyn, *see* Alwyn.
- Alexander, *see also* Alysauudre.
- Alexander, Martin son of, 287.
- , Nicholas son of, 229.
- , Richard son of Walter son of, 48.
- , Thomas son of, 243.
- , — son of Robert son of, 229.
- , William, 34.
- , —, merchant, of Wales, 65.
- Aleyn, *see* Alayn.
- Alger, Hugh, 209-210, 213.
- , John, 169.
- , Richard, 169-213.
- , William, 213.
- Alianora, late queen, 38.
- Alisaunder, Alisaudre, *see* Alysauudre.
- Allardstown, *see* Athelardestoun.
- Allesleye, Henry de, 48.
- , Simon de, 55.
- , William de, 55.
- Alletoun, Geoffrey de, 277.
- , Richard de, 277.
- Allewyn, *see* Alwyn.
- Allm', Robert, 130.
- Allot, Bertrand, 113.
- Alonn, Alonn, Henry, 244.
- , Richard, 244.
- Alreche, Nicholas, 200.
- Alured, Richard son of, 17-18, 53-54.
- Alweyiston, Aleweyestoun (Co. Tip.), 10, 120.
- Alwy, Alex., 161.
- Alwyn, Alwyn, Allewyn, Henry, 219, 318.
- , Richard, 244.
- Alysauudre, *see also* Alexander.
- Alysauudre, Alysandre, Alisaunder, Alisaudre, Adam, 243.
- , Henry, 243.
- , William, 11, 117, 244; knight, 324; Justice, 256; Justice in Eyre, 6, 72, 82, 108; Justice assigned to hold pleas which follow the Justiciar, 318; acting in place of the Custos, pleas before, 272-274, 286-298.
- Amaubyn, William son of Bernard, 30.
- Ameros, John, 306.
- Anagh (Co. Lim.), John de Rupe of, 267.
- Andreu, Andrew, David son of (Co. Kilk.), 235.
- , — (Co. Wat.), 314.
- , Gilbert son of, 216, 217.
- , Henry, 163.
- , John, 178.
- , Richard (Co. Lim.), 204, 268.
- , — (Co. Wat.), 178, 189, 281.
- , Roger (Co. Wat.), 163, 185, 226, 264, 314; coroner, 250, 251; coroner of Offaygh, 177.
- , Walter, 286.
- Angl., *see also* Engles.
- Angl., Geoffrey de, 203, 214.
- , Johanna de, 220.
- , John de, 214.
- , Nicholas de, 203, 205.
- Angle, *see also* Nangle.
- Angle, John del, 277.
- Anhid, *see* Adnides.
- Anloud, John, 287.
- Annagh, *see* Anagh.
- Annebury, Walter de, 34.
- Any (Knockainy, B. Smallcounty, Co. Lim.), 37; preceptor of the Hospitallers, master of, 31-32, 36-37.
- , John Adam of, 311.
- Any, de Any, Adam, 304.
- , Henry, *juvenis*, 204, 308.
- , Peter, 267.
- , Richard de, 204, 205, 267, 308, 312.
- Anylsnean in Obargy (Co. Car.), 27-28.
- Aploun, Robert, 304.
- Appelgard, de Appelgard, Apilgard, Appilgard, David, 268, 270.
- , David son of Walter, 208.
- , John, 200, 287.
- , Margaret, 192.
- , Philip son of Simon, 196, 200, 293.
- , William, 312.
- Appilberge, Hugh de, 318.
- Appilbi, John, 325.
- Appilgard, *see* Appelgard.
- Aqua, de, del Ewe, de Lewe, Walter, prior of the Hospital, 47, 241, 319.
- Arblaster, Henry le, 82.
- , John le, 164.
- Arbrystyn (Ardristan, B. Rathvilly, Co. Car.), 174.
- Archdeacon, *see* Ercedakne.
- Archeband, Adam, 319.
- , David, 319.
- , Gilbert, 319.
- , Milo, 319.
- , Reginald, 319.
- , Robert, 319.
- , Symon, 319.
- , Thomas, 319.
- , William, 319.
- Archer, le Archer, Larcher, Maurice, 273.
- , Milo, 315.
- , Nicholas, 273.
- , Philip, 290.
- , Richard, 292.
- , Robert, 229, 241.
- , Roger, 216.
- , Thomas, 273.
- Archourestown (Co. Kild.), John Seliman of, 159.
- Arclo (Arklow), John le Blund of, 221.
- , Richard le Blund of, 221.
- , R. . . son of Richard le Blound of, 246.
- Ard (Co. Kild.), Robert de Clahull of, 245.
- Ardagh (Ardagh, Co. Lim.), 266.
- , John le Whyte (the White) of, 267, 309.
- , John (Co. Louth), 265.
- , — (Co. Meath), 170-171, 275.
- Ardee, *see* Atherde.
- Ardern, John de, 240.
- Ardewot (Co. Cork?), William son of William de Barry of, 199.
- Ardfynan (Ardfinnan, B. Iffa and Offa W, Co. Tip.), 254.
- , Thomas Laynagh of, 254.

- Ardmail, Ardmayl, Artmayl (Ardmayle, B. Middlethrd, Co. Tip.), 35, 302.  
 —, Richard Cothelyn of, 123.  
 Ard Patrick (Ardpatrick, B. Coshlea, Co. Lim.), parish and church of, 308.  
 Ardraghyn (Ardrahin, B. Coshlea, Co. Lim.), Henry de Capella of, 215.  
 Ardras, Ardrass, Adresse (Ardrass, B. Salt N, Co. Kild.), Thomas le Blound (Blount) of, 80, 218, 244.  
 See also A. . . cresse.  
 —, Ralph Broun of, 219.  
 —, Thomas le Whyte de, 244.  
 Ardree, *see* Ardry.  
 Adresse, *see* Ardras.  
 Ardristan, *see* Arbrystyn.  
 Ardry (Ardree, B. Kilkea and Moore, Co. Kild.), pleas at, 247.  
 Ardry, William, 8.  
 Ardyn (Co. Lim.?), Henry de la Chapele of, 306.  
 Are (Ayr, Scotland), 12, 280.  
 Areth, master Richard son of John de, 255.  
 Argenteym, Thomas de, 282.  
 Argyle, Ergadia, John of, knight, 167, 219.  
 Arklow, *see* Arclo.  
 Arlaund, William, 195.  
 Armagh, archbishopric, custos of spiritualities of, 239.  
 Arnald, Arnold, David, 301.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 230.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 198, 294.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 263, 299.  
 —, Robert, 177, 299, 313, 314.  
 —, William, 156.  
 Aroundel, *see* Arundel.  
 Arroasian rule, 114.  
 Arsedekne, *see* Ercedakne.  
 Arst (Co. Kild.), 75.  
 Arthour, Ralph, 210.  
 Arthur, Walter, 77.  
 Arthurestown (Arthurstown, B. Ardec, Co. Louth), 265.  
 Artmayl, *see* Ardmail.  
 Arundel, Aroundel, Hugh son of Richard, 199.  
 —, Thomas, 199.  
 —, William, 290.  
 As, Aas, Clement, 183.  
 —, Stephen, 210.  
 Aschebourne, de, Assebourne, de Assheborne, Asshebourne, de Asshebourne, Assheburn, Andrew, 48, 219, 220.  
 —, Elias, 221, 246.  
 —, Richard, 203, 311.  
 —, Robert, alias Robert le Tailleur, 157.  
 [Ashburn], William, abbot of S. Mary's, near Dublin, 246.  
 Asmaynbeg, *see* Astmaynebeg.  
 Assik, Assyk, John, knight, 36.  
 —, Philip, 272, 274.  
 —, William, 272.  
 Astmaynebeg, Asmaynbeg, Estmayn-beg (Co. Tip.), 108-109, 133.  
 Astmaynbor (Co. Tip.), John le Blund del Rath in, 255.  
 Athassel, *see* Athissel.  
 Athbo, Geoffrey de, 315.  
 Athelan, John, 221.  
 Athelard, Henry, 225.  
 —, John, 273.  
 —, Nicholas, 225.  
 —, Richard, 47.  
 —, William, 225.  
 Athelardestoun, the town of Athelard (Allardstown, B. Louth or Dundalk Upr., Co. Louth), 225.  
 Atheluskemaleg (Co. Cork), 62.  
 Atherde, Athrede, Hatherde, Hathirde, Hathrede (Ardee, Co. Louth), 41, 167, 170, 237-238, 276.  
 —, demesne lands of the King at, 237.  
 Athfade (Co. Tip.), 313.  
 Athfern (Affane, B. Decies-without-Drum, Co. Wat?), John le Flemyng of, 263.  
 Athgla . . . (Co. Louth), 265.  
 Athil, John, 244.  
 Athissel, Athissel, AthissheII, Athysshel, Athyssshell (Athassel, Co. Tip.), 129-132.  
 —, priory & prior of, 35-36, 126, 129-132; brother Peter, attorney of the prior, 132.  
 —, Wilham Bole of, 27.  
 —, Roger Turbevill of, 124.  
 Athmescadan, *see* Aghscadan.  
 Athmethan (Affane, B. Decies-Without-Drum, Co. Wat.), 179, 261.  
 —, John le White of, 248.  
 Athmothell (Co. Car.), 174.  
 Athnehone (Athnowen, Co. Cork), parish, 290.  
 Athrede, *see* Atherde.  
 Athy (Athy, Co. Kild.), 227.  
 Athy, John de (Co. Kild.), 163.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 207; sheriff of Lim., 161.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 323.  
 —, William de, 18.  
 Athynstadan (Co. Lim.), 205.  
 Athysshel, Athyssshell, *see* Athissel.  
 Attecoc, Robert, 209.  
 Attelarre, William, 39.  
 Attyr, Adam, 89.  
 —, David, 90.  
 Auenelyffy, *see* Aunleffy.  
 Auho (Co. Cork?), William Albus de, 298.  
 Aula, Adam de, 232.  
 —, Isabella wife of Roger de, 134.  
 —, Ralph son of Roger de, 134.  
 —, Simon de, 274.  
 —, Thomas de, 273.  
 —, William son of Robert de, 103, 134.  
 —, — son of Roger de, 103, 134.  
 Auney, del, de Launey, de Launneye, Geoffrey, 92.  
 —, John, of Croskel, 152.  
 —, William, 92.  
 Aungevyn, Thomas, 211.  
 Aunleffy, Auenelyffy (Liffey), river, 148.  
 Aunsel, Aunsel, Ingram, 269.  
 —, John, 269, 312.  
 —, — son of William, 312.  
 —, Nicholas, 312.  
 —, Thomas, 206, 305.  
 —, William, 139.  
 —, . . ., 312.

Auntaygne, John, 282.  
 Aurifaber, *see also* Lorfeure.  
 Aurifaber, Aurifab., Adam, 280.  
 —, Richard, 143.  
 Aurisal, Henry, 221.  
 Auscadan, *see* Aghscadan.  
 Auteres, Autes, Ante, Sauters, David  
 des, 180-181, 259.  
 —, Gerald son of Gerald des, 195.  
 —, Philip des, 181, 248, 259.  
 —, Thomas, 248.  
 —, William des, 263, 281.  
 Authmscadan, *see* Aghscadan.  
 Avenel, Nicholas, knight, 28, 235, 236,  
 238, 278.  
 —, William, 107, 235.  
 Awenbeg (Co. Cork ?), Luke de Rupe  
 of, 199.  
 Aydoun, Thomas, 292.  
 Ayleward *see* Aylward.  
 Ayloun (Dylloun ?), Walter, 264-265.  
 Aylmer, Robert, 175.  
 Aylmynstre, John de, canon of Colp,  
 94.  
 Aylward, Ayleward, Ailward,  
 Aylleward, Eylward, James,  
 199.  
 —, Mathew (Co. Cork), 193, 195, 203,  
 289.  
 —, — (Co. Kilk?), 284.  
 —, Maurice, 193, 194.  
 —, — son of Michael, 203.  
 —, — son of Milo, 289.  
 —, Nicholas, 177, 182.  
 —, Peter, 184, 316.  
 —, — son of Hugh, 263.  
 —, Philip, 254, 274.  
 —, Richard, 216, 217, 235, 312, 317.  
 —, — son of John, 254.  
 —, — son of Matthew, of Gortynys,  
 281.  
 —, Robert, 180, 182, 188, 249, 251,  
 316.  
 —, Simon, 136, 254, 274.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Kilk.), 217.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 299, 300.  
 —, —, of Coulboygun (Couboyngne,  
 281, 282, 313.  
 —, Walter, 149.  
 Ayr, *see* Are.  
 Ays, Ays', John, 226, 239.  
 A . . . cresse, (Ardrass?) Thomas le  
 Blund of, 200.  
 A . . . , Roger, 273.

## B

Baa, David de, 47.  
 Babbe, John, 286.  
 —, William, 198.  
 Babeler, Sourdyn le, 213.  
 Baddowe, Henry de, 164.  
 Bagod, Henry, 275, 277, 279.  
 —, Robert, Justice of the Bench, 66,  
 72, 78, 87, 88.  
 Baiard, Bayard, John (Co. Car.), 232.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304.  
 —, Nicholas, 215.  
 —, William, 246.  
 Bailiff, le Bailiff, the Bailiff, Baillif,  
 Hugh son of, 163.  
 —, Walter, 44, 45, 323.  
 —, William, 150.

Baker, *see also* Pistor.  
 Baker, Alice wife of John the, 39-40.  
 —, Annot dau. of Thomas, 284.  
 —, David the, 183.  
 —, John the, 39-40, 221.  
 —, —, of Stradbaly, 281.  
 —, —, the painter, 150 (?confusion  
 between "*pistor*" and "*pictor*").  
 —, Maurice, 182.  
 —, William the, 227, 325.  
 —, William le, of Balmor, 164.  
 Bakun, Roger, 221.  
 Balaghath (Charleville, Co. Cork), John  
 son of Thomas of, 201.  
 Baldebes, Robert, 163.  
 Baldwin, Baldewyn, Baldoweyn,  
 Adam, 178, 179, 181, 250.  
 —, Jack, 244.  
 —, John, 263.  
 —, Peter, 181, 185.  
 Baledessehan, *see* Ballydessehan.  
 Balekeuan, *see* Bally Keuan.  
 Balf, Gilbert, 279.  
 —, John, 279.  
 Bali—. Place names beginning thus  
 are entered as though beginning  
 with Bally—. Personal names  
 are entered as written.  
 Baliartan, John de, 80.  
 —, Mabilla, dau. of John de, 80.  
 —, Matilda, dau. of same, 80.  
 Balibyn, John de, 105.  
 Balkinglas (Balinglass, Co. Wicklow),  
 grange of the abbot of, 241.  
 Ballagh, John, 281.  
 —, Roger, 128.  
 Ballard, Henry, 292-293.  
 Ballygaddy, *see* Ballygady.  
 Ballinlough, *see* Ballynclogh.  
 Ballyabran, Balyabran (Co. Wat.), 176.  
 Ballyachram, Balyachram (Co. Wat.),  
 Thomas Albus of, 261.  
 Ballyadam, Baly Adam (Ballyadam,  
 B. Middlethird, Co. Wat.), 138.  
 Ballyartan, Baliartan (Co. Kild.), 80.  
 Ballyathan, Balyathan (Co. Wat.),  
 Thomas Albus of, 282.  
 Ballybaghel, Balybaghel (Ballyboghil,  
 B. Balrothery W, Co. Dub.),  
 315.  
 Ballybeill, Balybeill (Co. Kild.), 145.  
 Ballybrassill, Balibrassill (Ballybrazil,  
 B. Shelburne, Co. Wex.), 107.  
 Ballybrenan, Balibrenan (Brenans-  
 town, B. Rathdown, Co. Dub.),  
 112.  
 Ballycaock, Balycaock (Ballyhack, Co.  
 Wexford), preceptory of the  
 Hospitallers, master of, 159-160.  
 Ballycathel, Balicathel (Ballycakan,  
 B. Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 112.  
 Ballychenit, Balychenit (Cheeverstown,  
 B. Newcastle, Co. Dub.), 112.  
 Ballyclenan, Baliclenan (Co. Tip.), 130.  
 Ballyclerkan, Balyclerkan (Ballyclera-  
 han, B. Iffa and Offa E, Co.  
 Tip.), Richard son of Michael of,  
 123.  
 Ballycolan, Balicolan (Co. Kild.), 83.  
 Ballycolgen, Balycolgen (Colgaustown,  
 B. Newcastle, Co. Dub.), 112.  
 Ballycommon, *see* Commyustown.  
 Ballycorus, *see* Ballymakorris.

- Ballycotlan, Balicotlan (Co. Kild.?), David Duneagan of, 164.
- Ballydessehan, Baledessehan (Co. Tip.), 7.
- Ballydirthawyn, Balydirthawyn, Balyhiddirthawyn (Co. Cork), 160.
- Ballydohyl, Balydohyl (Ballydoyle, B. Middlethird, Co. Tip.), Eustace le Poer of, 248.
- Ballydonan, Balydonan, Balydouan (Co. Lim.?), John Brown of, 203, 309, 310.
- Ballydorn, Balydorn, Balydorne, Balydoryn, Balydoyn, Balydurne (Ballydurn, B. Uppertthird, Co. Wat.), Geoffrey le Poer (son of John) of, 180, 181, 184, 189, 261, 315.
- Ballydoyle, *see* Ballydohyl.
- Ballyethe, Balyethe (Co. Tip.?), John Marescall of, 27.
- Ballygady, Baligady, Balygady (Ballingaddy, B. Coshlea, Co. Lim.?), 58, 206.
- Ballygaveran, Balygaveran, de Balygaveran, John, 47.
- , Richard, 268, 269, 304.
- , Rose, dau. of Richard, 248-249.
- , William, 89.
- Ballyglan, Balyglan (Ballyglan, B. Gaultiere, Co. Wat.), 261, 262, 314.
- Ballygorman, Balygorman (Gormanstown, B. Iffa and Offa W, Co. Tip.), Thomas son of William Ketyng of, 301.
- Ballygounore, Balygounore, in Ossory, 188.
- Ballygscy (Co. Tip.?), John Crackel of, 321.
- Ballyhack, *see* Ballycaock.
- Ballyherran, Balyherran (Co. Lim.?), Thomas the clerk of, 304.
- Ballyhiddirthawyn, *see* Ballydirthawyn.
- Ballykegh, Ballikegh, Balyoky, Balyoky (Harold's Grange, B. Rathdown, Co. Dub. No modern equivalent.), 150, 246.
- Ballykerok, Balykerok (Co. Wat.), parish, 176.
- , Philip Cristoffe of, 178.
- Bally Keuan, Baly Keuan, Balekeuan, in Lethteok (Co. Kild.?), 78.
- Ballylammoyth, Balylammoyth (Co. Wat.), 300.
- Ballylath . . . Balylath . . . (Co. Meath), 150.
- Ballylegan, Balylegan (Ballylegan, B. Iffa and Offa W, Co. Tip.), John Tyrell of, 8.
- Ballylethan, Balylethan (Co. Car.), 163.
- Ballylina, *see* Leynaghston.
- Ballylough, Balylough (Ballyloughnane, B. Ormond Lr., Co. Tip.?), 122. *See also* Ballylothan.
- Ballylosky, Balylosky (Ballylusky, B. Coshma, Co. Lim.), master John le Blount of, 309, 310.
- Ballylothan, Balilothnan, Balylothan (Ballyloughnane, B. Ormond Lr., Co. Tip.), 129-130. *See also* Ballylough.
- Ballymagille, Ballymcgille (Co. Cork), 203.
- Ballymakconyn, Balymakconyn (B. Rathdown or Newcastle, Co. Dub.), 112.
- Ballymakcorris, Balimakcorris (Ballycorus, B. Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 112.
- Ballymalgorme, Balymalgorme (Co. Kilk. or Wex.?), Henry Odoulyng of, 234.
- Ballymore, Balymore (Ballymore Eustace, Co. Kild.), 165.
- , William le Baker of, 164.
- Ballynclogh, Balynclogh (Ballinlough, B. Smallcounty, Co. Lim.?), William de Donnovalend of, 310-311.
- Ballynelan, Balynelan, Balynylan (Ballynella, B. Barrymore, Co. Cork?), John le Fleming of, 195, 203.
- Ballynynche, Balynynche (Ballynahinch, B. Ormond Lr., Owney and Arra, or Clanwilliam, Co. Tip.), 130.
- Ballynywyr, Balynywyr (Co. Tip.), 129.
- Ballyogar, Balyogar (Garristown, B. Balrothery W, Co. Dub.), 143.
- Ballyoky, *see* Ballykegh.
- Ballyrayny, Balyrayny (Reynoldstown, B. Ferrard, Co. Louth), 265.
- Ballyrotheri, Balyrotheri, Balirothery (Balrothery, Co. Dub.), William le Blound and William le White of, 76.
- Ballysallagh, Balyalagh (Co. Cork), David le White of, 191, 202.
- Ballyscadan, Balyscadan (Balscaddan, B. Balrothery E, Co. Dub.), 266.
- , Elias le Clerk of, 265-266.
- Ballyspalan, Balyspalan (Ballyspillane, B. Barrymore, Co. Cork), 193.
- Ballytartyn, *see* Ballytarsyn.
- Balytarsy, Balytarsy (Co. Lim.?), Ralph Albus of, 268.
- Ballytarsy, Balytarsyn, Balytarfyn (Ballytarsna, B. & Co. Car.), John le Mareschal of, 35.
- , Thomas le Waleys and William le Whyte of, 234.
- Ballytermod, Balytermod, in the tene-ment of the bishop of Emly, 63.
- Ballytermot, Balytermot, Balythermot, Richard de, 113, 162, 316.
- Balnedargh (Co. Tip.), 9.
- Baloun, John, 185, 281.
- Baloy, Robert son of Richard de, 150.
- Balrothery, *see* Ballyrotheri.
- Balscaddan, *see* Ballyscadan.
- Baltinglass, *see* Ballynglas.
- Baluc, Nicholas, 155.
- Baly—. Place names beginning thus are entered as though beginning with Bally—. Personal names are entered as written.
- Balybyn, Richard de, chief serjeant of Meath, 153; constable of Dublin Castle, 170.
- Balygardan, Richard, 206.
- Balygaveran, *see* Ballygaveran.
- Balygodman, Alda, wife of John, 45-46.
- , John, 13, 45-46, 67.

- Balygorman, William de, 300.  
 Balyomor, John, 325.  
 —, Thomas de, 5-6, 81, 234.  
 Balytermot, Balythermot, *see* Ballytermot.  
 Bal . . . , brother Geoffrey, of Tintern, 108.  
 Bandynestown, *see* Boudynestown.  
 Bannebyr', Andrew de, 123.  
 Banowe, brother Geoffrey de, of Tintern, 61.  
 Bantry, *see* Bentry.  
 Bapkat, Teige, 313.  
 Barbeston, Barbuston (Barberstown, B.Salt N, Co. Kild.), 80, 149.  
 Barbour, Jonkyn, 143.  
 —, Robert le, 221.  
 —, Thomas, 143.  
 Barbuston, *see* Barbeston.  
 Barby, Nicholas, 318.  
 Bard, Alexander, 174.  
 Barefot, Alexander McLemather, 314.  
 —, Robert, 202, 286, 296.  
 Barret, *see* Barret.  
 Baretistoun (Barrettstown, B.Clane or Connell, Co. Kild.), John Giffard of, 318.  
 Barge, Simon, 236.  
 Barga, Obargy (Co. Car.), 27; marginal notes of venue, 172, 173.  
 Barga, Obargy (Co. Wex.), Robert Boscher de, 235, 236.  
 Barga, Adam, 185.  
 Baroun, Barun, Geoffrey, 272.  
 —, John, of Porthallok, 186.  
 —, Matilda, 243.  
 —, Walter, 27.  
 Barre, Hugh de, 313.  
 —, John de la, 317.  
 —, Peter de la, 85.  
 —, Walter de la, 85.  
 Barret, Baret, Adam, 85.  
 —, Alexander, 214, 303, 310, 311.  
 —, Andrew, 264.  
 —, Henry (Co. Car.), 173, 174.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 15, 86.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 193, 200, 294.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 219.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204, 268.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 272.  
 —, Jordan, 273.  
 —, Math., 200.  
 —, Nicholas, 277.  
 —, Philip, 17.  
 —, Reginald, 149.  
 —, Richard, 200.  
 —, Robert (Co. Cork), 200.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 44.  
 —, — son of Robert, 200.  
 —, Thomas, 200.  
 —, Walter, 191, 196, 294.  
 —, William son of William, 17.  
 Barrettstown, *see* Baretistoun.  
 Barrieston (Co. Kild.), 81.  
 Barry, de Barry, Hugh, 314.  
 —, Johanna dau. of Philip son of Odo, 115.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 194.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 180, 182-184, 186.  
 —, —, of Elikarwill, kt., 303.  
 —, — son of David (Co. Cork), 199, 297.  
 —, — (Co. Kerry ?), 107.  
 —, John son of Philip, of Kilbryn, 294.  
 —, Odo, 299.  
 —, —, of Castlecor, 236.  
 —, Odo son of Philip, sen., 121.  
 —, — jun., 121.  
 —, Philip, kt., 95-96.  
 —, —, of Carryldougan, kt., 199.  
 —, —, of Kilbryn, 95-96, 199, 201, 294.  
 —, — son of Odo, 37, 115.  
 —, — son of Philip, 68.  
 —, — father of Odo, jun., 121.  
 —, — of . . . , 298.  
 —, Richard, 177, 183, 248.  
 —, — son of David, of Moyill, 62.  
 —, Robert, 308, 309.  
 —, — son of Tancard, 9, 28, 69-71, 105, 134.  
 —, Sibilla, wife of Philip son of Philip, 68.  
 —, Thomas, 287.  
 —, Walter, 220.  
 —, William, 299.  
 —, — son of William, 294.  
 —, —, of Ardewot, 199.  
 Barrys, le Barrys, William, 204.  
 Barteln (*recte* Bartelm., for Bartholomew ?), Thomas, 194.  
 Bartholomew, *see also* Bercelemewe.  
 Bartholomew, Bartholmeu, Barth., Berthelmew, Bertholomeu, Bertolomeu, Henry, 293.  
 —, Jordan, 201, 287.  
 —, Thomas, 193, 201, 287, 288, 293, 297.  
 Barun, *see* Baroun.  
 Bar . . . , John, 204.  
 Basely, Richard son of, 174.  
 Bastard, John, 268.  
 —, le stabier, 226.  
 Bataille, de la Bataille, Batayll, de la Bataylle, Adam, 249, 281, 282, 299, 300.  
 —, Nicholas, 184, 188, 249, 300.  
 Bathe, David de, 313.  
 —, Geoffrey, 210.  
 —, Maurice de, 302.  
 —, Nicholas de, 313.  
 Bathford, Batlford, Richard, 149, 266.  
 Bayard, *see* Baiard.  
 Baynguard, John, 148.  
 Beafu, *see* Beaufo.  
 Beauchamp, Nicholas, 313.  
 Beaufiz, John, 97.  
 —, William, 97.  
 Beaufo, Beaufon, Beaufo, Beafu, Beafon, Ger. de, 232-233.  
 —, James de, kt., 302.  
 —, William de, 14-15, 129.  
 Beaugraunt, Beugraunt, Geoffrey, 204, 205.  
 —, Henry, 204, 205.  
 Beauver, Isabella de, 62.  
 —, Luke son of Walter de, 287.  
 —, Robert de, 134.  
 Beayveristoun (Co. Tip.), 133.  
 Becche, *see also* Bek.  
 Becche, John son of William, 322.  
 —, Philip, 252.  
 —, Thomas, 252.  
 —, William, sen., 322.  
 —, — son of William, 322.

- Bechlan, Robert, 273.  
 Bedeford, Henry, 229.  
 —, Isaac, 198.  
 —, brother Nicholas de, 128.  
 Bederne, John, 197–198.  
 Beelem, *see* Bethlehem.  
 Been, Walter, 220–221.  
 Beethleem, *see* Bethlehem.  
 Beg, Beg., Bege, Adam, 144.  
 —, Alex., 144.  
 —, David, 280.  
 —, Gilbert, 210, 223, 266.  
 —, Henry, 253.  
 —, Nicholas, 274.  
 —, Philip, 279.  
 —, Ralph (Co. Lim.), 268.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 224.  
 —, Richard (Co. Dub.?), 113.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 219.  
 —, — of Tassagard, 154, 316.  
 —, Roger, 30, 144.  
 —, Thomas, 268.  
 —, William, 276, 279.  
 Beger, Ralph, 149.  
 Bega, Thomas, 237.  
 Bek, *see also* Becche.  
 Bek, John, 78, 315.  
 —, Reginald, 198.  
 —, William, 78.  
 Bekan, William, 148.  
 Beket, John, 191, 196, 295.  
 —, Reymund, 191, 196, 295.  
 —, Ris son of Reymund, 256.  
 —, William, 192.  
 Belagh, Mariot, wife of Philip the  
 usher, 279.  
 —, Richard, 320.  
 Belaghfethred (Co. Cork), David the  
 Welshman of, 198.  
 Belan, Robert, 273.  
 Belcok, Belecoks, John, 216.  
 Belderg, Alexander, 129.  
 Belderik, Richard, 126.  
 Beleawe, Robert, 212.  
 Belecoks, *see* Belcok.  
 Belegambe, Belejaumbe, Adam, 97,  
 151.  
 Belescot, Belscot, Mathew, 180, 182,  
 185, 186.  
 —, Thomas, 282.  
 Belgrave (Co. Wex.), Philip de la  
 Roche of, 156.  
 Belpatrick, *see* Bulypatrick.  
 Belscot, *see* Belescot.  
 Belymer, William le, 203, 206.  
 Belyng, Belynges, Geoffrey de, 102,  
 116.  
 —, Henry de, 76–77.  
 —, Luke (Lucas) de, 76–77, 102, 225.  
 —, Robert de, 48, 76–77.  
 Bendevill, de Bendevill, Bendevile, de  
 Bendevile, Alfred, 178–182, 250,  
 259–263, 281, 282, 314.  
 —, Maurice son of Alfred, 280.  
 —, Philip, 230.  
 —, Ralph, 35, 173, 229, 230, 232.  
 —, Robert, 171, 173, 228, 229, 231.  
 —, Robert, of Forth, 172.  
 —, . . . , 259.  
 Beneger, Benegier, Beneg', Robert,  
 155, 277, 279.  
 —, William, 158.  
 Benet, Howel, 77, 86–87, 302.  
 —, John, 128.  
 —, Philip, 243, 244.  
 —, Richard, 315.  
 —, Walter, 77, 86–87.  
 —, William, 308.  
 Benet, keeper of the stud, 173.  
 Benlek (Buolick, B. Slieveardagh, Co.  
 Tip.?), 254.  
 Bennes, Nicholas de, 46.  
 Bentry (Bantry, Co. Wex.), 160.  
 Benty, John, 315.  
 Bercelemewe, *see also* Bartholomew.  
 Bercelemewe, Gilbert, 65–66.  
 Bercelemeweston in the tenement of  
 Finglas (Co. Dub.), 65.  
 Berd, John, 175.  
 —, Richard, serjeant of the King,  
 252.  
 —, William, 296.  
 Berdesfeld, Breddesfeld, William de,  
 13, 14, 37, 112; Justice of gaol  
 delivery 150; Laurence, servant  
 of, 14, 112.  
 Bere, Adam de la, 163.  
 Bereford, Berford, Richard de,  
 Treasurer, 11–12, 44, 60.  
 —, Richard de, jun., 63–64.  
 Berkely, Berkeleye, Agnes de, 204.  
 —, Henry de, 4, 266.  
 —, Nicholas de, 311.  
 Bermingham, Bermengham, Ber-  
 myngeham, Bermyngham, Bir-  
 mingham, Bir myngham,  
 Bremengham, Byrmyngesham,  
 Bymyngham, Eustace de, 121.  
 —, Henry de (Connacht), 28, 66,  
 89, 91.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 47, 77, 86–87, 121.  
 —, John de, 121, 257, 303.  
 —, — kt., 156.  
 —, — son of Peter de, 259.  
 —, Peter son of James de, 3–4.  
 —, — son of Meiler de, 61–62.  
 —, — Ballagh de, 122.  
 —, Reymund de, 77, 86–87, 121.  
 —, Richard son of Peter son of  
 Meiler, 61–62.  
 —, Robert de, 121.  
 —, Master Robert de, 61.  
 —, Robert son of John de, 128.  
 —, Thomas son of Peter de, 121.  
 —, Walter son of John de, 128.  
 —, William de, archbishop of Tuam,  
 28, 30, 31, 48, 61, 66, 88–91,  
 113, 128, 135.  
 —, . . . de, 128.  
 Bernard, Adam, 202.  
 —, Henry son of Henry, 307.  
 —, Johanna dau. of Dony, 195.  
 —, John, 193, 287, 288, 290, 291.  
 —, Richard (Co. Car.), 228.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 149, 219.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 97.  
 —, — son of Richard, 299.  
 —, Robert, 172.  
 —, Simon, 279.  
 —, Stephen (Co. Dub.), 258, 264.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 223.  
 —, Thomas, 282.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 174.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 286.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 103.

- Berneval, Bernevale, Reginald, 144,  
218, 237.
- Bersy, John, 316.
- Berthelmew, Bertholomen, Bertolomen,  
*see* Bartholomew.
- Berwick-on-Tweed, letters dated at, 209.
- Besevill, Richard, 289, 296, 297.
- Bes . . . le, Richard, 287.
- Bet, Bette, Bet' John, 171.
- , —, de Coulmene, 233-234.
- , —, Maykyn, 165.
- , —, Richard, 239.
- Betagh, Adam son of Ralph, 151.
- , —, son of Robert, 211.
- , —, son of William, 170.
- Beth, John, de Tylagh, 228.
- Bethe, Ralph, 151.
- Bethleem, Beelem, Beethleem, Robert,  
169, 275, 279.
- Bette, *see* Bet.
- Beugraunt, *see* Beaugraunt.
- Beynon, Henry, 317, 321.
- , —, John son of Colet, 317.
- Beyshin, John, 157.
- Beysgram, John, 301.
- Biford, *see* Byford.
- Bigetoun, Bygetoun, Henry, 156.
- , —, John (Co. Lim.), 308, 309.
- , —, — (Co. Meath), 156.
- , —, Cristiana Rath, mother of,  
309.
- , —, Laurence, 308.
- , —, Robert, 156.
- Bikamtoun, *see* Bycamtoun.
- Bikenore, *see* Bykenore.
- Billebourne, Billesburne, John de, kt.,  
270.
- Birch, Robert, 164.
- Birmingham, *see* Bermingham.
- Blak, le Blak, le Blake, Geoffrey (Co.  
Kild.), 226, 244, 318.
- , —, — (Co. Louth), 169.
- , —, Gilbert, 205.
- , —, Henry, 318.
- , —, Hugh, 147.
- , —, John (Co. Kild.), 243.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 157, 301.
- , —, — sen., 305.
- , —, — jun., 305.
- , —, John son of William, 167.
- , —, Laur., 144.
- , —, Nicholas, 158.
- , —, Richard, 207.
- , —, — sheriff of Connacht, 31.
- , —, Thomas, 195.
- , —, Walter, 277, 279.
- , —, William (Co. Kild.), 318.
- , —, — (Co. Lim.), 305.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 157, 301, 322.
- Blakehale in Arst (Co. Kild.), 75.
- Blakthok, Thomas, 228.
- Blanchard, Blanchard, Blonchard,  
Richard, 128, 252, 257, 320.
- Bledoun, John, 197.
- Blound, *see also* Blundus.
- Blound, le Blound, Blount, le Blount,  
Blund, Blunt, le Blunt, Adam  
(Co. Cork), 288, 292.
- , —, — (Co. Louth), 225.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 122.
- , —, — of Thomastoun, 243.
- , —, — son of Henry, 291.
- , —, — son of Walter, 310.
- Blound, Alicia, wife of Adam, 122.
- , —, Alexander, 123.
- , —, Benedict, 323.
- , —, David (Co. Cork), 293.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 97, 128-129, 256.
- , —, David, of Maynan, 219.
- , —, Elyas, 264.
- , —, Henry, 275, 277, 279.
- , —, John (Co. Cork), 196, 200.
- , —, — (Co. Dub.), 143, 166, 258.
- , —, — (Co. Kild.), 47, 98.
- , —, — (Co. Louth), 168, 209, 213,  
226.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 99.
- , —, — (Co. Wat.), 261.
- , —, —, of Arclo, 221.
- , —, master John, of Ballylosky, 309,  
310.
- , —, John, of Cathirelvy, 312.
- , —, —, of Clompet, 136, 139, 321.
- , —, — coroner, Drogheda on the  
side of Louth, 223-224, 227.
- , —, —, of Loghken, 9.
- , —, —, del Rath in Astmaynnor,  
255.
- , —, — son of John, 310.
- , —, — son of Simon, 209.
- , —, — son of Walter, 214, 310.
- , —, Laurence, 211, 224.
- , —, Laur., of Robertstown, 152.
- , —, Martin, 323.
- , —, Mathew, 213.
- , —, Milo, 323.
- [—, —,] Nicholas, bishop of Down, 41.
- , —, Peter, 261.
- , —, Philip, 172.
- , —, —, of Owenagh Cassell, 157.
- , —, Ralph (Co. Dub.), 315.
- , —, — (Co. Lim.), 269.
- , —, Richard (Co. Kild.), 80.
- , —, Richard (Co. Meath), 279, 321.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 253.
- , —, — (Co. Wat.), 140.
- , —, —, of Arclo, 221.
- , —, —, of Taulagh, 161.
- , —, Robert (Co. Cork), 200.
- , —, — (Co. Louth), 212.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 252, 253, 301.
- , —, Roger, 168.
- , —, R . . . son of Richard, of Arclo,  
246.
- , —, Sibilla, wife of Robert, 301.
- , —, Simon, 243.
- , —, Stephen, 147.
- , —, Thomas (Co. Lim.), 206, 214, 311.
- , —, — (Co. Louth), 239.
- , —, — (Co. Wat.), 261, 281.
- , —, —, of Ardrass, 80, 218, 244.
- , —, —, of A . . . cresse, 200.
- , —, —, of Knocdonan, 213.
- , —, —, of Loghken, 274.
- , —, Walter (Co. Meath), 210.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 255, 273.
- , —, William (Co. Kild.), 318.
- , —, — (Co. Louth), 225.
- , —, — (Co. Meath), 240.
- , —, — (Co. Tip.), 133, 274.
- , —, —, of Balirothery, 76-77.
- , —, —, of Bulypatrick, 266.
- , —, —, of Nerny, 81.
- , —, —, son of Gilbert, 48.
- Bloundel, Henry, 33.
- , —, William, 30.



- Bloundeleston (Blundelstown, B. New-castle, Co. Dub.), 30.  
 Blount, *see* Blound.  
 Blower, Reginald le, 207.  
 Bluet, James, 215.  
 Blund, *see* Blound.  
 Blundus, John, 167.  
 —, Thomas, 167.  
 —, Stephen, 169.  
 Blunt, *see* Blound.  
 Bl. . . ., Reymund le, 213.  
 Bod, Symon, 239.  
 Bodenham, Henry de, 63.  
 Bodyn, John, 292.  
 Bohrbaly, *see also* Boyrbaly.  
 Bohrbaly, Richard, 245.  
 Bokelound, Richard, 175.  
 —, Stephen, 284.  
 —, William, 173.  
 Bokeler, David, 221.  
 Bolaund, Philip, 191.  
 Bole, Bolle, Basilia, 219.  
 —, Chas., 237.  
 —, David, 312.  
 —, Elias, 315.  
 —, Geoffrey le, 80.  
 —, Hugh (Connacht ?), 89.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304, 311, 312.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 290, 294.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 221.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304.  
 —, Michael, 211, 213.  
 —, Nicholas, 280.  
 —, Philip, 272, 274.  
 —, Richard, 290.  
 —, — son of William, 290.  
 —, Simon, 237.  
 —, Walter, 290.  
 —, — son of Eustace, 290.  
 —, William, of Athyssshell, 27.  
 Bolerbache, Bolerbacke, Robert, 229-230.  
 Boletoun, John, 286, 296.  
 Bolg, Jon. . . . son of Adam, 89.  
 Bolis, Thomas, 280.  
 Bolle, *see* Bole.  
 Boly, de Boly, John, 173, 231.  
 —, Robert, 323.  
 —, William (Co. Kild.), 148.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 252, 253.  
 Bol. . . ., John, 173.  
 —, William, 229.  
 Bon, Roger, 305.  
 Bonde, Henry, 219.  
 —, John, 305.  
 —, Nicholas, 305.  
 —, Richard, 149, 162, 224.  
 —, Thomas, 149, 224, 266.  
 —, William, 169.  
 Bondynestoun, *see* Boudynestoun.  
 Bonevile, John de, de Rathornan, 230.  
 Bonevill, John de, kt. 156, 163-164, 230, 247; seneschal of the liberties of Kildare and Carlow, 217.  
 —, John de (Co. Car.), probably id. with above, 27, 41-42.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), not id., 232.  
 —, John de (Co. Dub.), possibly id., 47, 48, 116.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), juror, 30, 103.  
 —, Robert de, (Co. Lim.), 311.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 274.  
 Bonewyl, Thomas, 287.  
 Boneyes, *see* Boweneyes.  
 Boniour, Boniur, Adam, 130, 254, 255.  
 —, Philip, 99.  
 Bonnalet, Henry, 89.  
 Bonneys, *see* Boweneyes.  
 Bonseriaunt, *alias* Borhunt, Richard, 246.  
 Borard, Borrard, David de, 160, 237.  
 —, John, 193, 194, 197, 287.  
 —, Thomas, 193, 194.  
 Bordoun, David, 147, 256.  
 —, Richard, 252, 253, 255.  
 Borham, Hugh de, 14, 112.  
 —, Katherine, wife of Hugh, 14.  
 Borhunt, *see* Bonseriaunt.  
 Borrard, *see* Borard.  
 Bory (Co. Meath), 211.  
 Boryn (Co. Wat.), Thomas le Poer of, 186.  
 Bos, Adam, 323.  
 Bosch, Gilbert, 176.  
 —, Thomas, 279.  
 Boscher, Bosser, Bossher, Boyschier, David, (Co. Wat.), 299.  
 —, — (Co. Wex.), 235, 236.  
 —, —, of Kos, 156.  
 —, Patrick, 171, 173.  
 —, Richard, 172.  
 —, Robert, de Bargy, 235, 236.  
 —, Simon, 81.  
 —, Thomas son of Philip, 235, 236.  
 —, Walter, 296.  
 Boschestoun (Busherstown, B. & Co. Car.), 175.  
 Bosco, Richard de, 156.  
 Bosoun, Milo, 208.  
 Bosser, Bossher, *see* Boscher.  
 Bosyngtoun, David, 149, 175.  
 Botavant (Buttevant, Co. Cork), 294.  
 —, John Stakepol of, 295.  
 —, John, 273.  
 —, Mathew, 273.  
 Bothmanagh (Co. Tip.), 133.  
 Botiller, Botiler, Butiller, Clement le, 198.  
 —, David le, 281.  
 —, Edmund le, as Custos of Ireland, proceedings before, 257-271, 274-286, 298-326; as Custos, proc. before, referred to, 52, 85, 115, 239, 256, 318; as Custos, deputies of, proc. before, 272-274, 286-298; as *locum tenens* of the Justiciar, proc. before, referred to, 97, 124, 128; as Justice of oyer and terminer, 60; rolls of, 124; pardons at his instance, 147, 197, 199, 207, 208, 217, 221; as party to action, 78, 120; tenants and property of, 36, 128, 129; reward to, for slaying a felon, 11, 22-23, 32, 55.  
 —, Edmund le, de Nanagh, 272.  
 —, — son of John le, 190.  
 —, Edward le, 5.  
 —, Elyanora, wife of John, of Typerrorik, 35.  
 —, Eustace le, 140.  
 —, Gerard le, 140.  
 —, Gilbert le, bro. of Edmund, 36.  
 —, Haukyann le, 35.

- Botiller, Henry le, 35.  
 —, James le, 317.  
 —, John, 134-135.  
 —, John le, kt., 179, 186, 250, 262.  
 —, —, of Caylmesaury, 249.  
 —, —, of Momoma, 35.  
 —, —, of Typerrorik, 35, 184.  
 —, John (son of Peter), 95, 98.  
 —, —, son of Roger, 281, 282.  
 —, —, son of William (Co. Tip.), 47.  
 —, —, (Co. Wat.), 140, 184, 188,  
 261-263; sheriff of Wat., 285.  
 —, Olyver le, 182.  
 —, Peter le, 95.  
 —, Richard le, 120.  
 —, Richard bro. of Edmund le, 36.  
 —, —, son of John le, 190.  
 —, Thomas le, 6, 15-16, 146, 163,  
 207, 271, 302.  
 —, William le, 182.  
 —, William Roth (Roch) le, 281, 299,  
 300.  
 —, —, son of Richard, 180, 185,  
 283.  
 Botoun, (Co. Cork), priory of, 293.  
 Botsweyn, Alexander, 219.  
 Boucher, Thomas le, of Tamelyng, 317.  
 Bondoun, John, 279.  
 Boudynestown, Bandynestown,  
 Bondynestoun (Co. Lim.),  
 Thomas Broun of, 205.  
 —, William Broun of, 205, 206.  
 Bouer, John, 169.  
 Bouet, Bouwet, Bowet, John, 48, 219,  
 280.  
 Boulter, Phes. le, 149.  
 Bourdon, Ralph, serjeant of the King  
 (Co. Tip.), 124.  
 Bourn, Bourne, Philip, 178, 260, 282.  
 —, William (clerk of the Justiciar's  
 court), 28, 29, 48, 50, 58-59,  
 62, 96, 102, 107, 110-112, 114,  
 116, 117, 303; Nicholas his  
 clerk, *see* Stanley. *See also*  
 Clerk, Nicholas, jun.  
 Bouwet, *see* Bouet.  
 Boweneys, Boneys, Bonneys, John,  
 166.  
 —, William, 29, 106.  
 Bowet, *see* Bouet.  
 Bowey, John, 221.  
 Bowmaker, Richard le, 266.  
 Box, Thomas, 221.  
 Boy, John, 81, 147.  
 Boyagh, Stephen, 220.  
 Boyanagh, Roger, 305.  
 Boyl, Henry, 261.  
 Boyrbaly, *see also* Bohirbaly.  
 Boyrbaly, Iweyn, 225.  
 —, John, 225.  
 Boys, de Boys, Henry (Co. Cork),  
 191-192.  
 —, (Co. Lim.), 269.  
 —, Hugh (Co. Cork), 287, 295.  
 —, —, (Co. Kild.), 219.  
 —, Philip, 219.  
 —, Richard (Co. Kild.), 318.  
 —, —, (Co. Wat.), 299.  
 —, Thomas, 158.  
 Boyschier, *see* Boscher.  
 Boyt, Henry, 266, 276.  
 —, Philip, 240, 266, 279.  
 —, Thomas, 239.  
 Boyton, Richard de, 109.  
 —, Richard son of Richard de,  
 109-110.  
 Boy...., William, 169.  
 Bo...., John le, kt., 189.  
 Brabazon, Brabezoun, John, 280.  
 —, R. de, 50, 57.  
 —, Thomas, 144.  
 Brabeston, Brabestoun, Brabystoun,  
 Henry, 250, 259-261.  
 —, Hugh, 248, 263, 280.  
 —, Richard, 263, 280.  
 —, Thomas, 180, 280.  
 Brabezoun, *see* Brabazon.  
 Bradyston, Alice wife of Peter de, 46.  
 —, Peter de, 46.  
 Braham, John de, 34.  
 Brak, Loghlyn, 305.  
 —, William son of Ralph, 204.  
 Brandoun, William, 212.  
 Braosa, William de, 100.  
 Bras, David, 304.  
 Brase, John, 292.  
 Bratnagh, *see* Bretnagh.  
 Bray, Co. Wicklow, *see* Bree.  
 Bray, Robert de, 320.  
 —, Stephen, 210, 224, 240.  
 Brayghnok, *see* Braynok.  
 Brayn, Philip, 285.  
 —, William, 280.  
 Braynok, *see also* Brehenok, Bretnagh,  
 Waleys, Walsch and Welshman.  
 Braynok, Brayghnok, Breighnok,  
 Breyghnoks, Breynok, Gilbert,  
 245.  
 —, Henry, 164.  
 —, John, 254, 270, 272, 274.  
 —, —, of Coulmor, 252.  
 —, —, son of William, 313.  
 —, Philip, 99, 254, 255, 272.  
 —, Richard, 157, 254, 313.  
 —, —, son of Richard, 147.  
 —, Robert (Co. Kild.), 81, 163,  
 243; chief serjeant in Co.  
 Kild., 96.  
 —, —, (Co. Tip.), 157, 252, 253,  
 313.  
 —, Stephen, 252, 253.  
 —, Thomas, 252, 253, 272, 301.  
 —, Walter, (Co. Cork), 296.  
 —, —, (Co. Wat.), 259.  
 —, William, 251.  
 —, ...., 244.  
 Bra...., Hugh, 263.  
 Bre, Robert de, 47.  
 Breteville, *see* Brettevell.  
 Breddesfeld, *see* Berdesfeld.  
 Bredeshale, Bredessale, *see* Briddeshale.  
 Bree (Bray, Co. Wick.), 46, 102.  
 Brego, William, 288.  
 Brehenok, *see also* Braynok.  
 Brehenok, John, 89.  
 Breighnok, *see* Braynok.  
 Brekedent, Nicholas, 246.  
 —, Walter, 220, 246, 315.  
 Brekespere, Brekspere, John, 264.  
 —, Roger, 154.  
 Breksys, Reymund, 225.  
 Bremell, *see* Bremyl.  
 Bremingham, *see* Bermingham.  
 Bremlys, Rys, 178.  
 Bremyl, Bremyll, Brenulle,  
 John son of Reymund, 234.

- Bremyl, Robert, 173, 228, 231, 242.  
 —, —, of Forth (Fothrith), 172, 234.  
 —, William son of Robert, of Fothrith, 234.  
 Bresky, *see* Brisky.  
 Bret, le Bret, Brit, Geoffrey, kt., 45, 265.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 62-63.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 151.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 297.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 75.  
 —, John son of Milo, 265.  
 —, Milo, 156.  
 —, — kt., 265.  
 —, — son of Geoffrey, 265.  
 —, — son of William, 21-22.  
 —, Philip, 48, 63.  
 —, Richard, 297.  
 —, Robert, 178.  
 —, Roger, 256.  
 —, Thomas, 132-133, 157, 254, 301.  
 —, Walter (Co. Kild.), 47.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 246.  
 —, — kt., 156, 247.  
 —, — son of Milo, 265.  
 —, — son of Philip, 265.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 98.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 120, 157.  
 Breteville, *see* Brettevill.  
 Bretnagh, *see also* Braynok, Brehenok, Waleys, Walsch, *and* Welshman.  
 Bretnagh, Bratnagh, Bartholomew, 270.  
 —, Elias, 90.  
 —, Henry, 308.  
 —, John (Connacht ?), 90.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 205-207, 268.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 76, 323-324.  
 —, — son of Walter Bag, 269.  
 —, Philip, 226.  
 —, Reginald, 76.  
 —, Roger, 252.  
 —, Thomas (Connacht ?), 90.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 203, 306.  
 —, Walter son of Richard, 128.  
 —, William (Connacht ?), 89.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 129.  
 —, . . . ., 305.  
 Bretoun, le Bretoun, le Brettoun, Britoun, Britoun, Brytoun, Bryttoun, Adam (Co. Car.), 42, 146, 175, 232, 242, 271; sheriff of Carlow, 228, 230, 232, 233; coroner, Co. Carlow, 232.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 145, 247.  
 —, Nicholas, 200.  
 —, Richard, 200.  
 Bretteu . . . ., Wyot (Brettevill ?), 287.  
 Brettevill, Bretteville, Bretvill, de Bretvill, de Brecteville, Breteville, Britevill, Brituill, de Brituill, Bryttevill, Laurence, 287, 291, 294.  
 —, Thomas, 178, 259, 260, 282.  
 —, William (Co. Cork), 287, 294.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 179, 259.  
 Brewes, Richard de, 284.  
 Brewys, Philip, 181.  
 Breyghnok, Breyghnoks, Breynok, *see* Braynok.  
 Brice, Adam, 227.  
 Briddesale, Briddeshale, Briddissale, Bredeshale, Bredessale, Bridsal, David, 147.  
 —, Ede de, 289.  
 —, John, 316.  
 —, Maurice, 193, 194, 287.  
 —, T . . . ., 291.  
 Bridgetown, *see* Villa Pont.  
 Brugge, Walter, 130.  
 Brisbon, Brisebone, Adam, 226.  
 —, John, 225-226.  
 —, Stephen, 226.  
 —, Walter, 225.  
 —, Walter son of Walter, 225-226.  
 —, William, 225-226.  
 Brisky, Bresky, Brysky, Abel, 257, 272.  
 —, Adam son of Hugh, 256.  
 —, Alexander, 98, 134-135.  
 —, David, 90.  
 —, Gonora, sister of John, 252.  
 —, Hugh, son of Adam, 147.  
 —, John, 252.  
 —, John son of John, 147.  
 —, Mich. son of Adam, 147.  
 —, Richard son of Adam, 147.  
 —, Thomas, 325.  
 —, Wantilian (de Valle), wife of John, 252.  
 —, William, 199, 288.  
 —, . . . ., 253.  
 Bristol, Bristol, Bristoil, Bristoll, 14; letters dated at, 111.  
 —, Henry de, 240.  
 —, John de, 47, 85, 221.  
 —, Jordan de, 248, 251, 263, 299, 314.  
 —, Nicholas de, 11, 256 (also given as Brittoil).  
 —, Reginald de, 47, 85.  
 —, Thomas son of Walter de, 267.  
 —, William de, 221.  
 —, — son of Nicholas de, 252-253.  
 —, Wolfram de, 280.  
 Bristyn, John, 298.  
 Brit, *see* Bret.  
 Britevill, *see* Brettevill.  
 Britoun, Britoun, *see* Bretoun.  
 Brittoun, Crandoun in, 198.  
 Brittoil, *see* Bristol, Nicholas de.  
 Brituill, *see* Brettevill.  
 Broc, Brok, Mathew, 169, 213.  
 Broctoun, John, 243.  
 Brodeye, John, alias Cristoffre, 260.  
 Brohale, Robert, 248.  
 Brok, *see* Broc.  
 Bromeleye, Alexander de, 134-135.  
 Bromheswe . . . .hyng, Thomas, 287.  
 Bron, *see* Broun.  
 Broumagh, Laurence, 167.  
 Broun, le Broun, Bron, Brun, le Brun, Brunn, Adam (Co. Kild.), 80, 175, 219, 227.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178, 249, 250.  
 —, Alexander, 10.  
 —, Alicia wife of Maurice son of William, 137.  
 —, Andrew (Co. Lim.), 206, 267, 268.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 253, 254, 272, 274.  
 —, — commissary of the bishop of Lismore, 248.  
 —, David (Co. Cork), 290, 293, 294.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 163.

- Broun, David (Co. Kilk.), 235.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 225.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 276, 277, 279.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 48, 115, 188,  
     251, 316.  
 —, Elena, 7.  
 —, Eustace, 169.  
 —, Geoffrey, 215–216.  
 —, Gilbert, 120.  
 —, — son of Andrew, 245.  
 —, Griffin, 182.  
 —, Hammund, 181.  
 —, Henry, 150, 281.  
 —, Heyn, 195.  
 —, Hugh (Co. Lim.), 307.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 144, 179, 182,  
     189, 248, 250.  
 —, Johanna wife of John, 121.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 195, 286, 291,  
     297.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 315.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 240.  
 —, — Co. Meath), 225; serjeant of  
     the King, 211, 212.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 121, 254, 255.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 184, 281.  
 —, —, of Balydoman, 203, 309,  
     310.  
 —, —, of Villa Staffan, 272.  
 —, Jordan, 198.  
 —, La . . . ., 282.  
 —, Mabilla, 215.  
 —, Martin, 245.  
 —, Matilda wife of Robert, 175.  
 —, Maurice, 308.  
 —, — son of William, 137.  
 —, Nicholas, 229, 230.  
 —, Nigel, escheator of Ireland, 40;  
     Justice at common assizes, 41;  
     Justice of oyer and terminer,  
     60; Justice of the earl of Ulster,  
     50, 56; other refs., 46, 112–113.  
 —, Oliver, 120.  
 —, Osbert, 215–216.  
 —, Peter, 253, 256, 301.  
 —, Philip (Co. Lim.), 268.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 272, 274.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 137–138, 215.  
 —, — son of John, 245.  
 —, Ralph, 218, 220, 318.  
 —, —, archdeacon of Leighlin, 165.  
 —, —, of Ardras, 219.  
 —, Reginald, knight, 48, 115, 283.  
 —, Reginald, Justice of gaol delivery,  
     297.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 289.  
 —, Richard (Co. Kild.), 219.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 167, 226.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178.  
 —, Ric., of Tassagard, 154.  
 —, Robert (Connacht ?), 89.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 175.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 147.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 183.  
 —, Roger (Co. Louth), 167, 212.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 147, 321.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Car.), 174, 229, 233.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 82.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204, 206, 267,  
     308.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 212.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 275, 279.
- Broun, Thomas (Co. Tip.), 125–127.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178, 179, 181,  
     261, 281.  
 —, —, of Bandynestown (Co. Lim.),  
     205.  
 —, —, of Kylbrayth, 246.  
 —, —, of Oyrallyf, 89.  
 —, —, of Tarmefeighyn, 212.  
 —, —, of Villa Carnath, 277.  
 —, Walter (Co. Cork), 287, 296, 297.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 273.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 172, 174;  
     serjeant of the King, 230.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 195, 290.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 144.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204–206, 268.  
 —, —, of Boudynestown (Co.  
     Lim.), 205, 206.  
 —, —, of Carrigkytill, Carrynketyll  
     (Co. Lim.), 204, 206.  
 —, —, of Cnok (Co. Tip.), 125.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 126–127.  
 —, — son of William, 290.  
 —, . . . ., 289.
- Brounevesting, Thomas, 193.  
 Broumfeth, David, 235.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 235, 236.  
 Brounfether, David, 314.  
 Bruury, *see* Bruury.  
 Brounyng, Brunnyng, Brunyng, Henry  
     (Co. Cork), 292.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 209.  
 —, John, 281.  
 —, Regin., 143.  
 —, William, 149, 162.  
 Bruge, William, 315.  
 Bruges, John, 258.  
 —, Robert de, 240.  
 Brun, Brunn, *see* Broun.  
 Bruneosyng, Thomas, 200.  
 Brunnyng, Brunyng, *see* Brounyng.  
 Bruree, *see* Bruury.  
 Brus, Bruys, Edward de, 249, 281, 282,  
     313.  
 —, Joiot, widow of Richard, 299.  
 —, Robert le, 285.  
 Bruury, Brouny (Bruree, Co. Lim.), 310.  
 —, John de Mareys of, 258.  
 Bruys, *see* Brus.  
 Bru . . . ., William, 300.  
 Bryan, Cecilia wife of Nicholas, 206.  
 —, John, 206, 304.  
 —, Matthew, 274.  
 —, William, 169.  
 Brydagb, William, 8.  
 Bryde, Johanna wife of John son of  
     Thomas of Dromore, 192.  
 —, Robert, 192, 194, 298.  
 Bryk, Henry, 28.  
 Brykyn, Adam, 267.  
 Brysky, *see* Brisky.  
 Bryt, John, 287.  
 —, Richard, 288.  
 Brytoun, Bryttoun, *see* Bretoun.  
 Bryttevill, *see* Brettevill.  
 Br . . . water, Laurence, 249.  
 Bul, Adam, 291.  
 Bulle, David, 284.  
 —, William, 267.  
 Bultyngford, Gilbert de, 311.  
 Bulypatrick (Belpatrick, B. Ferrard  
     Co. Louth), 265.  
 —, William le Blound of, 266.

Buntyller, John le, 234.  
 Buolick, *see* Benlek.  
 Burdoun, John, 198.  
 —, Philip, 301.  
 —, William, 202.  
 Burel, Nicholas, 296.  
 Burgagemylagh (Co. Tip.), 252.  
 Burgeys, Burgey, Burgois, Burgoys,  
 Hugh, of Drogheda, 265  
 —, Nicholas, 226.  
 —, Ralph, 213, 275.  
 —, Richard son of Roger, 169.  
 —, Robert or Roger, of Sidan, 280.  
 —, Simon, 143.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Cork), 203.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 318.  
 —, William, 178, 182, 189, 248, 250,  
 281.  
 Burgo, David de, 214.  
 —, Geoffrey son of John de, 214.  
 —, — son of Reymund de, 321.  
 —, John son of John de, 214.  
 —, — de, son of Richard earl of  
 Ulster, 154, 157, 209, 271.  
 —, — son of Stephen de, 120.  
 —, — son of Thomas de, 270, 302.  
 —, Nicholas de (Co. Cork), 192, 295.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 303.  
 —, — son of John de, 214.  
 —, Richard de, earl of Ulster, court  
 of, 50, 56; acting as member of  
 King's Council, 238–239, 311;  
 pardons at his instance, 145–146,  
 154, 164, 194, 276, 299, 300;  
 other refs., 26, 61, 125, 130, 217.  
 —, Richard de, 274, 304.  
 —, master Richard de, 214.  
 —, Richard de, de Gallys, 246.  
 —, — son of Milo de, 322.  
 —, Robert son of John de, 215.  
 —, Thomas de, 120.  
 —, Walter de, 311.  
 —, William de, *locum tenens* of the  
 Justiciar, proceedings before,  
 119–142; *proc.* before, referred  
 to, 7, 54, 70, 74, 84 (given as  
 de Bury), 95, 177, 210, 264;  
 inquisitions before, 127, 130.  
 —, William de, 303.  
 —, — son of Thomas de, 120.  
 Burgois, Burgoys, *see* Burgeys.  
 Burgyll, Robert, 263.  
 Burg . . . , Ralph, 168.  
 Burhuyl, Robert, 248.  
 Burley, Burleye, Andrew, 226, 244.  
 —, William, 226, 244.  
 Burnam, Richard, 272.  
 Burne, Philip, 178, 182.  
 Burnham, de Burnham, Robert, 47,  
 66, 103, 133.  
 Burnel, Nicholas, 286.  
 —, Philip, 97, 117, 275.  
 —, Ralph, 276.  
 —, Richard, 63.  
 Burnham, *see* Burneham.  
 Burtoun, William, 264–265.  
 Burwyk, Reginald, 246.  
 Bury, William de, *see* Burgo.  
 Busherstoun, *see* Boschestoun.  
 Busshell, Busshell, Alex., 200.  
 —, John, 200.  
 —, Nicholas, 200.  
 Butcher, *see also* Carnifex.

Butcher, Laurence McKille, son of  
 Philip the, of Villa Poer, 242.  
 Butiller, *see* Botiller.  
 Buttevant, *see* Botavant.  
 Bybrys, David, 295.  
 Bybyry, Margery or Margaret wife of  
 Geoffrey, 235.  
 Bycampptoun, de Bycampptoun,  
 Bycamptoun, Bikamptoun,  
 Bykamptoun, Gilbert, 282.  
 —, John, 178–180, 184, 259–261,  
 282.  
 —, William, 176–177, 180, 248, 250,  
 260, 261, 263.  
 Bydeford, Milo de, jun., 235.  
 Byford, Biford, Adam, 147, 252, 272.  
 —, Alex., 147.  
 —, John son of Adam, 147.  
 —, John son of Peter, 147.  
 —, — son of Stephen, 147.  
 —, Robert son of Adam, 147.  
 —, William son of Peter, 147.  
 —, — son of Stephen, 147.  
 —, . . . ., 253.  
 Bygetoun, *see* Bigetoun.  
 Byhgne, Nyvyn son of, 294.  
 Bykamptoun, *see* Bycamptoun.  
 Bykenore, de Bykenore, Bikenore, de  
 Bikenore, Alexander, Treasurer  
 of Ireland, 97, 145, 155, 182;  
 Justice in eyre, 6, 72, 108;  
 other refs., 46, 116, 135, 276.  
 —, Alexander, clerk, 48.  
 Bykertoun, Bykirtoun, Nicholas, 226,  
 244.  
 Byrel, Hugh, 212.  
 Byrell, Walter, 307.  
 Byrmyngham, Byrmyngeham, *see*  
 Bermingham.  
 Bythelan (Co. Kild.), 145.  
 Byvel, Andrew, 182.  
 B . . . doun, Claricia wife of Laurence,  
 322.  
 B . . . we, Henry de, 286.

## C

Caasse, *see* Cas.  
 Cachepol, Cachepoll, Cathepoll, David,  
 204, 268.  
 —, John, 241.  
 —, William son of Geoffrey, 85.  
 Cachfrens, John, 155.  
 Caddel, Caddell, Cadell, de Cadel,  
 Kadel, Adam son of Geoffrey,  
 296.  
 —, Andrew, 8–9.  
 —, Geoffrey, 35, 230.  
 —, Johanna, 9.  
 —, John, 229, 241.  
 —, John son of Nicholas, 230.  
 —, John son of Richard, 98.  
 —, Maurice, 150, 154.  
 —, Michael, 230, 234, 241, 259.  
 —, Robert, 241, 259.  
 —, Stephen, 230, 232, 241.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Car.), 259.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 193.  
 —, William, sen., 98, 135.  
 Cadeleston (Caddlestown, B. Middle-  
 third, Co. Tip.), 8.  
 Cadewely, *see* Cadwely.  
 Cadican, Cadigan, *see* Cadygan.

- Cadwely, Cadewely, de Cadewely, Cadwelly, Henry (Co. Cork or Lim.), 294-296, 310.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 169.  
 —, John, 169, 213.  
 —, Philip, 169.  
 —, Simon, 179.  
 —, William, 259.  
 Cadygan, *see also* Cardigan.  
 Cadygan, Cadican, Cadigan, Eddusa wife of Richard, 143.  
 —, Henry, 216, 217, 313.  
 —, Hugh, 308.  
 —, Peter, 289, 296.  
 —, Richard, 143.  
 —, Walter, 216, 217.  
 Cadynor, Thomas, 10.  
 Caherabbey, *see* Catheraban.  
 Caherconlish, *see* Carkenlys.  
 Caherelly, *see* Cathirelvy and Corhelwy.  
 Caillath, Nicholas, 279.  
 Caisse, *see* Cas.  
 Caldey, Walter, 267.  
 Calf, Griffin, 264.  
 —, John Mareschal, 130.  
 —, Laurence, 318.  
 —, Matthew, 27.  
 —, Silvester, 156, 271, 299.  
 —, Thomas son of William, 130.  
 —, William, 148.  
 Callan (Callan, Co. Kilk.), 45.  
 Callan (Collon, Co. Louth), 265, 275, 277, 279, 280.  
 —, John Keppok of, 212, 279.  
 Callan, Nicholas de, 285.  
 —, Philip de, 39.  
 —, Simon de, 208, 213.  
 —, Stephen, 226.  
 —, William de, King's provider of food at Dublin, 56.  
 Calstyn, Philip, 225.  
 Calwedeleye, Calwodeleye, Alexander, 177.  
 —, Robert de, 138-139.  
 —, Walter, 177.  
 Camelston (Co. Dub.), 53.  
 Camera, Ralph de, 205, 206.  
 Camysbeg (Co. Lim.), 4.  
 Canavystoun (Cannonstoun, B. Connell, Co. Kild.), John the White of, 245.  
 Candelan, de Candelan, Alexander, 92, 211.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 196, 286, 287, 290, 291.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 303.  
 —, Philip son of Stephen, 326.  
 —, Thomas, 284.  
 —, William, 289.  
 Cane, Geoffrey or Walter de, 26.  
 Caneton, Michael de, 199.  
 Cannonstoun, *see* Canavystoun.  
 Canonestoun, Richard de, sen., 212.  
 —, —, jun., 212.  
 Canon, Canoun, Hugh, sheriff of Co. Kild., 75, 81, 96, 117; Justice of gaol delivery, 150; sub-  
 escheator, Co. Dub., 34; constable of castle of Kildare, 105; other refs., 11-13, 145, 156, 241, 318.  
 —, William, 151.  
 Cante, Nicholas, 246.  
 Cantelon, de Canteloue, de Cauntelon, de Caunteloue, Nicholas, 194, 197.  
 —, William, 194, 201.  
 Canterbury, *see also* Cantuar.  
 Canterbury, letters dated at, 40, 41.  
 Canterel, Roger, 218, 219.  
 Cantetoun, *see* Cauntetoun.  
 Cantewell, Cantewelle, Cauntewell, Isolda de, 303.  
 —, John de (Co. Car.), 234.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 257, 258.  
 —, Milo de, 274.  
 —, Peter de, 76.  
 —, Robert de, 267.  
 —, Thomas de, kt., 272.  
 —, Thomas son of John de, 272.  
 —, Walter de, 119, 122-123, 125.  
 —, William de, 122, 125, 126, 267.  
 Cantok, Henry, 145.  
 —, master John, 46 (*recte* Thomas ?), 220.  
 —, master Thomas, 38, 46.  
 [Cantok], Thomas, bishop of Emly, Chancellor of Ireland, 12, 60, 87, 116.  
 Cantre, Laurence le, 168.  
 Cantuar, *see also* Canterbury.  
 Cantuar., John de, 219.  
 Cantulupo, David son of Oweyn de, 245.  
 Capel, John, 165.  
 —, Richard, 232.  
 Capeles, John de, 219.  
 Capella, Henry de (Co. Lim.), 206.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178, 262.  
 —, —, of Ardraghyn, 215.  
 —, — son of Henry de, 215.  
 —, — son of Robert de, 205, 215.  
 —, Nicholas de, 215.  
 —, Thomas son of Robert de, 215.  
 Cappagh, *see* Kappagh.  
 Cappoge, *see* Keppok.  
 Caprich, Richard, 299.  
 Capriche, William, 229.  
 Capr. . . ch, Walter, 149.  
 Cardigan, *see also* Cadygan.  
 Cardigan, John, 292.  
 Cardoill, Kardolum (Carlisle), 12, 13.  
 Cardonn, David, 273.  
 —, Robert, 273.  
 Cardyff, Matthew, 291.  
 Cargan, Peter, 225.  
 Carkenlys, Karkenlys (Caherconlish, Co. Lim.), Philip, Richard and Roger Fleming of, 304.  
 Carlan, Alice wife of William, 170.  
 —, Andrew, 170.  
 —, Maurice, 153, 170.  
 —, William, 153, 170, 209, 211, 213.  
 Carletonn, Hugh de, 219.  
 Carlisle, *see* Cardoill.  
 Carlow, Catherlagh, Catherl., Cath', Cath. (town), pleas at, 41-42, 171-176, 228-234, 241-242, 259; pleas at, referred to, 5, 19, 21, 25, 58, 85, 97, 100-101, 114, 141; castle of, 26; property in, 28.  
 Carlow (county), marginal notes of venue, 5, 19, 25-27, 32, 35, 41, 42, 44, 85, 97, 98, 100, 145, 146, 171-175, 228-234, 241, 242, 265, 271, 317, 321.



- Castelmartyn (Castlemartin, B. Kilkullen, Co. Kild.), 156.
- Castelonmacht (Castelumny, B. Ferrard, Co. Louth ?), 265.
- Castletoundundalk (Castletown, B. Dundalk Upr., Co. Louth ?), Gilbert le Parsoun of, 169.
- Castillon, Casteleon, Casteillon, Castelloun, Casteloun, Theobald de, merchant of Florence, 83-84, 95, 98-100, 134-135.
- , William de, of same, 83.
- Castle Cnok, *see* Castleknok.
- Castlecro (Co. Wex.?), Odo de Barry of, 236.
- Castlecumber (Castlecomer), pleas at, referred to, 39.
- Castledermot, *see* Tristledermot.
- Castlekeely, *see* Castelkely.
- Castleknok, Castelnok, Castle Cnok, Castrocnok, Castro Crok, Castroknoc (Castleknock, Co. Dub.), 54, 143, 154, 264, 316.
- , Richard Tyrell of, 84, 154.
- , John de, 220.
- Castelumny, *see* Castelonmacht.
- Castlemartin, *see* Castelmartyn.
- Castletown, Co. Louth, *see* Casteltoundundalk.
- Castro Olethran, Castr. de Olethran, *see* Olethran.
- Cateryn, Godefrey, 286.
- Cathbaghelagh (Co. Lim.), 267.
- Cathefford, Thomas de, vicar general of the archbishop elect of Dublin, 149.
- Cathepoll, *see* Cachepol.
- Cather, Cathen., Stephen, 311.
- , Walter son of John de, 271.
- Catheraban (Caherabbey, B. Iffa and Offa W, Co. Tip.), John de Valle of, 157.
- Cathirelvy, *see also* Cornhelvy.
- Cathirelvy (Caherelly, B. Clanwilliam, Co. Lim.), John le Blound of, 312.
- Cauda, Simon de, 30.
- Caufy, John, 233.
- Caumpedene, William de, rector of Roscrea, 113.
- Cauntelon, Caunteloue, *see* Cantelon.
- Caunteton, de Cantetoun, de Caunteton, Cauntetoun, de Cauntetoun, Cauntoun, Cauntoun, de Cauntoun, de Caunt., Contoun, Adam son of Robert, 150.
- , Agatha wife of David, 18-19, 60-61, 106-107, 159-160.
- , David (Co. Cork), 198, 295.
- , — (Co. Car. & Wex.), 18-19, 22-23, 32, 55, 60-61, 106-107, 159-160, 172, 174-175, 237.
- , — son of Jordan, 290.
- , Gerald, 292.
- , Gilbert, 19-21, 141.
- , Gilbert son of Thomas, 108.
- , Hubert, 192, 199, 242.
- , James, 294.
- , John, 293.
- , John son of Adam, 192.
- , John son of Cecilia Meyllagh, 174.
- , John son of Gregory, 161.
- Caunteton, Jordan, of Conebart, 294.
- , Jordan (son of Thomas), 19-21, 100.
- , Jordan son of William, 35.
- , Mathew, kt., 198.
- , Maurice (son of William), 5, 20-21, 25, 101, 141, 146, 159-161, 174, 200, 237, 247.
- , Patrick, 207.
- , Philip, 284.
- , Reginald, 101, 108, 141.
- , — (son of Thomas), 19-21, 25, 141.
- , Reymund, 191, 196, 202, 293.
- , — son of Thomas, 19-20.
- , Robert, 44, 192.
- , — son of Mathew, 198, 199.
- , — son of William, 20-21, 101.
- , Roger, 203.
- , — son of Nicholas Duff, 192.
- , Walter son of Thomas, 42.
- , — son of William, 19-21, 101, 108, 141.
- , William, 295.
- , —, kt., 215.
- , William, sheriff of Cork, 27, 160.
- , —, seneschal of the liberty of Kilkenny, 107.
- Cauntetouns, the, 196, 203, 323.
- Cauntewell, *see* Cantewell.
- Cawerdyn, Robert, 201.
- Caylmsaury (Co. Wat.), John le Bottiller of, 249.
- Cayran (Co. Meath), John the miller of, 276.
- Cayss', *see* Cas.
- Celbridge, *see* Kildroght.
- Celerystoun (Co. Tip.), 321.
- Cendale, Philip, 304.
- Cerney, Thomas de, 273.
- Chaddesworth, Thomas de, dean of S. Patrick's, Dublin, 16.
- Chamberlene, Chamberleyn, Chamberlyne, Chamberleyn, Chamberleyn, le Chamberleyn, Chaumberleyn, Chaumberleyn, le Chaumberleyn, Adam, (Co. Dub.), 156.
- , — (Co. Louth), 167, 170.
- , John (Co. Kild.), 158.
- , — (Co. Meath), 92, 211.
- , — (Co. Tip.), 256.
- , Richard, 245.
- , Robert, of Kere, 90.
- , Simon (Co. Kild.), 245.
- , — (Co. Louth), 167-168, 170, 213, 265.
- , Walter, 245.
- , William (Co. Dub.), 153.
- , — (Co. Kild.), 242-243.
- Chamberleyn[estoun], Chaumberleyne-stoun (Chamberlainstown, B. & Co. Louth), 167, 265.
- Champioun, Chaupioun, Henry, 230.
- , John, 230, 232.
- Chapagh, John, 189.
- Chapel Isolde, Chapelizod, (Co. Dub.), 315.
- Chapelayn, *see also* Chaplain.
- Chapelayn, Chapeleyn, Hugh le, 121.
- , John le, 48.
- Chapele, Amabilla wife of Philip de la, 81.



- Chapele, Henry dela, 179, 181, 189, 259, 260.  
 —, —, of Ardyn, 306.  
 —, Philip de la, 81.  
 Chaplain, *see also* Chapelayn.  
 Chaplain, Alice dau. of the, 219.  
 —, Gilbert the, 206.  
 —, John the, 167.  
 —, Jordan the, 166.  
 —, Robert the, of Kilbeg, 210–211.  
 Chapman, le Chapman, Chepman, le Chepman, Adam, 152, 209, 210.  
 —, —, of Kenles, 276.  
 —, Henry, 269.  
 —, John, 310.  
 —, Maurice son of Andrew, 309.  
 —, Peter, 85.  
 —, Richard, 85.  
 —, Richard son of Thomas, 85.  
 —, Robert, 228.  
 —, Roger, 226.  
 —, Thomas, 85, 173, 231.  
 —, William, of Kynsale, 199.  
 Charleville, *see* Balaghath.  
 Charter, *see* Carter.  
 Chamberleyn, Chaumbirleyn, *see* Chamberlene.  
 Chaumbre, Philip, 239.  
 Chaumbreleyn, *see* Chamberlene.  
 Champeney, Geoffrey, 148.  
 —, John, 203.  
 Chaundeller, Henry le, 125.  
 Champioun, *see* Champioun.  
 Cheevers, *see* Chevere.  
 Chepman, *see* Chapman.  
 Chester, bishop of, *see* Langton.  
 Chevere, *see also* Chieure.  
 Chevere, Chevre, Cheure, Chewre, Geoffrey, 153.  
 —, Geoffrey son of John, 165.  
 —, John, dean of Leighlin, 15, 165.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 249.  
 [—,] Nicholas, bishop of Leighlin, 165.  
 —, Thomas, 300.  
 Cheyn, Cheyne, Elyas, 205.  
 Chieure, Chyeure, *see also* Chevere.  
 Chieure, Chyeure, John, 151.  
 —, Milo, 151.  
 —, Richard, 151.  
 Chilleburn, Maurice de, 214.  
 Chilton, Chiltoun, Maurice, 323.  
 —, Richard, 323.  
 —, Robert, 323.  
 —, Roesia wife of Robert, 323.  
 —, Stephen, 218, 219, 244, 323.  
 Chod, Nicholas, 288.  
 Christofr, *see* Cristoffre.  
 Chyeure, *see* Chieure.  
 Ciprian, William, 255.  
 Circester, Circestre, John de, 102, 116.  
 —, Walter de, 318.  
 —, William, 175, 243.  
 Cissor, *see also* Tailor.  
 Cissor, Andrew, 150.  
 —, Geoffrey, 197.  
 —, Olyver, 143.  
 —, Osbert, 165.  
 —, Peter, 158.  
 —, Ric., of the New Castle of Lyouns, 154.  
 —, Stephen, 143.  
 Cistercian rule, 114.  
 Clahull, de Clahull, le Clahull, de Clahulle, John, 171, 173, 229, 231.  
 —, Richard, 230.  
 —, Robert, 173, 175, 241.  
 —, —, of Adron, 41–42.  
 —, —, of Ard, 245.  
 Clanargely, Thomas Godefrey of Monwyn of, 90.  
 Clane (Co. Kild.), 147, 149, Friars Minor of, 158.  
 —, Richard de, 158.  
 —, Roger, 224.  
 Clarayn, Richard son of Adam de, 307.  
 Clare, town of (Co. Galway), 128.  
 Clare, Gilbert de, earl of Gloucester and Hereford, 12–13, 40.  
 —, —, son and heir of above, 40.  
 —, Richard de, kt., 157, 206, 215, 217.  
 —, Richard the clerk de, 299.  
 —, Thomas, 78–79.  
 Clashmore, *see* Glasmor.  
 Clathan, Peter, 156.  
 Claver, Claviger, William le, 216.  
 Clement V, Pope, 1.  
 Clement, Gilcam, Gillecum, 318.  
 —, Henry, 171.  
 —, Hugh, 226, 284.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 171.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 292.  
 —, Maurice, 163.  
 —, Philip, 171.  
 —, Richard, 85.  
 —, Simon, 247.  
 —, Walter, (Co. Car.), 171.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 144, 245.  
 Clements, Adam, 245.  
 —, Philip, 245.  
 Clen . . . , Roger de, Justice, 114.  
 Clerk, le Clerk, the Clerk, Adam, of Leixlip, 286.  
 —, —, of Lekkagh, 158.  
 —, Elias, of Balyscadan, 265–266.  
 —, Henry, 158, 217.  
 —, —, of Stradbaly, 264.  
 —, Hugh, 221.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 173, 231.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 153, 221, 258.  
 —, — (Co. Dub. or Kild.), 219.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 225.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 109, 134.  
 —, — (Co. Wex?), 107.  
 —, — of Cnoktofre, 221.  
 —, Matthew, 295.  
 —, Maurice, the wife of, 172.  
 —, Nicholas, *sen.*, *see* Stanley.  
 —, —, jun., 58, 102, 116.  
 —, Orly, wife of Henry, of Senbaly, 192, 202.  
 —, Philip, 221–222.  
 —, Randulph, 66.  
 —, Ranulph, of Swords, 63.  
 —, Richard, 68–69.  
 —, —, de Clare, 299.  
 —, —, of Connacht, 299.  
 —, —, of Tuylagh, 27.  
 —, —, son of Denis, 321.  
 —, —, son of John, 221.  
 —, Robert, of Donoyli, 178.  
 —, —, son of John, 248.  
 —, Stephen son of Thomas, 145.

- Clerk, Thomas, of Balyherran, 304.  
 —, —, of Lytelrath, 318.  
 —, William, 158.  
 —, —, of Drogheda, 105.  
 —, —, of Rathgel, 306.  
 Cle . . . , John le, 144.  
 Clifford, Clyfford, John de, kt., 152, 223.  
 —, brother William de, canon of Holy Trinity, Dublin, 221-222.  
 Clogagh, David, 284, 317.  
 —, Philip son of Lewelagh, 284.  
 Clogher, *see* Clothwre.  
 Clompet, Clonpet (Co. Tip.), John le Blount (Blund) of, 136, 139, 321.  
 Clon (Cloon, B. Fermoy, Co. Cork ?), the church of, 197.  
 Clonard, brother William of, canon of All Saints, outside Dublin, 62.  
 Clonbathird, Clonlathird, in Ossory, 174.  
 Clonbauwan (Co. Tip.), 272.  
 Clonbrogan (Clonbrogan, B. Middlethird, Co. Tip.), 99.  
 Clonconry (Cloncurry, B. Ikeathy and Oughtterany, Co. Kild.), 226.  
 Clondolkan (Clondalkin, Co. Dub.), 219.  
 Clone, Maurice, 308.  
 Clonegan, *see* Clounegam.  
 Clonfada (Clonfadda, B. Middlethird, Co. Wat.), Geoffrey le Poer of, 180.  
 Clonfert, Robert, bishop of; Gregory [O Brogy], bishop of; dean and chapter of, 49, 96-97.  
 —, letters dated at, 49.  
 Clonlathird, *see* Clonbathird.  
 Clonmell, Clonmell, Clonmele, proceedings at, 252-257, 323-324; proc. at, referred to, 43.  
 —, King's prison at, 323.  
 —, incidents at, 125, 177, 260, 323.  
 —, Philip Neste of, 124.  
 Clonmell, Peter de, 36, 128.  
 Clonmoledun, *see* Glanmoledun.  
 Clonpet, *see* Clompet.  
 Clonsylagh (Clonsilla, Co. Dub.), 264.  
 Clonturk, *see* Kyntork.  
 Clony in the liberty of Trym, 54.  
 Cloon, *see* Clon.  
 Clothwre (Clogher, B. Kilnamahagh Lr., Co. Tip.); 127.  
 Clou, Richard, 204.  
 Clounegam (Clonegan, B. Upperthird, Co. Wat.), 283.  
 Cloyne, bishop of, *see* Effingham.  
 —, canon of, *see* Okona.  
 Clyfford, *see* Clifford.  
 Clyntoun, Hugh de, 170, 265, 275.  
 —, Reginald de, 239.  
 Clystoun, William, 128.  
 Cnoctraffan (Knockgraffon, Co. Tip.), Geoffrey le Menour of, 321.  
 Cnok (Co. Tip.), William Brunn of, 125.  
 Cnok, John, 222.  
 —, Stephen, 220, 222, 315.  
 Cnokan (Knockane, B. Glenquin, Co. Lim.), Thomas le Wyte of, 268.  
 Cnokanveegh (Co. Tip.), 255.  
 Cnokdonan, *see* Knoctdonan.  
 Cnokennaume (Co. Wat.), Reymund son of Richard of, 263.  
 Cnoklorkan, in Omayl, 174.  
 Cnokmark, *see* Knockmark.  
 Cnoktofre (Knocktopher, Co. Kilk.), John the Clerk of, 221.  
 Cnowell, John, 279.  
 Coci, Richard, 92.  
 Cockeley, Kokeley, Symon, 239.  
 —, William, 225.  
 Cocus, David, 189.  
 —, John, 170.  
 Cod, Codde, Adam, 128.  
 —, David, 183.  
 —, Evot, 289.  
 —, Geoffrey, 194.  
 —, Gilbert, 195, 290, 297.  
 —, Henry, 201.  
 —, James, 195, 297.  
 —, John, 124, 128, 252, 320.  
 —, —, of Niter, dil Nytyr, 290, 297.  
 —, John son of Ralph, 195.  
 —, — son of Walter, 195, 297.  
 —, — son of William, 198.  
 —, — son of . . . , 194.  
 —, Jordan, 247.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 200.  
 —, Laurence, 235.  
 —, Martin, 201.  
 —, Nicholas, 283.  
 —, Philip son of Bartholomew, 200.  
 —, Richard, 293.  
 —, — son of Robert, 195, 201.  
 —, Thomas, 194, 200.  
 —, — son of Richard, 195.  
 —, — son of Walter, 195.  
 —, William, 247.  
 —, — son of Reymund, 200.  
 Codfleming, Cod Fleming, John, 306.  
 Cogan, de Cogan, David, 52-53, 93.  
 —, Eustace, 197, 202, 291-293, 298.  
 —, Henry son of Richard, 202.  
 —, John son of Geoffrey, 89, 290.  
 —, — son of John, 197.  
 —, — son of Richard, 197.  
 —, Michael, 197.  
 —, Richard, 195, 201.  
 —, —, de MeK. . . . , 194.  
 —, — son of Richard, 197.  
 —, . . . , 288.  
 Cogehe, Elias de, 188.  
 —, John de, 188.  
 —, Nicholas de, 188.  
 —, Richard de, 188.  
 Cogh, David de, 197.  
 —, Thomas, 197.  
 Cognagh, Cognath (Co. Cork), Robert le Whyte of, 293.  
 —, Robert le [Whyte ?] of, 202.  
 Coherde, *see* Couherd.  
 Coildorath (Co. Wat.), 283.  
 Coillagh, Richard, 258.  
 Coillagthbeg, *see* Coyalthbeg.  
 Coillanth (Co. Dub.), John le Walcis of, 62.  
 Cok, *see* Cook.  
 Cokay, Cokey, Richard, 203, 205, 214.  
 Cokerel, Guido, Gwydo, 54, 55.  
 Cokerford, Adam de, 222.  
 Colbrok, Simon de, 102, 116.  
 Colby, Philip, 325.  
 Coldebek, Peter, 183.

- Cole, Adam, 290, 294.  
 —, John, 195, 197, 287, 294, 307.  
 —, Nicholas, 290.  
 —, Richard, 291.  
 —, Walter (Co. Cork), 195.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248.  
 Coleman, Colleman, Colman, Geoffrey, 325.  
 —, John (Co. Car. or Dub.), 317, 321.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 144.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 244.  
 —, —, of Dongouel, 226.  
 —, — son of John, 321.  
 —, Richard, 144.  
 Colenagh, Clement, 121.  
 Colet, John son of, 321.  
 Colganstown, *see* Ballycolgan.  
 Colice, Coliz, Thomas, 280; king's provider of food at Dublin, 56.  
 Collan, Christiana Veyse, wife of John son of Adam de, 82.  
 Collan, John, 165, 215.  
 Colleman, *see* Coleman.  
 Collet, Adam, 156.  
 Collon, *see* Callan.  
 Colman, *see* Coleman.  
 Colp, Colpe, Nicholas, prior of, 51, 85, 94-95.  
 —, canon of, *see* Aldermonston and Aylmynstre.  
 Colstoun, Peter de, 285.  
 Colt, Adam, 211, 213.  
 Coltoun, William, 226.  
 Columbaris, Colambar', John de, 130-131.  
 —, William de, 130, 132.  
 Coly, Roger Gernou de, 239.  
 Colyn, Roger, 236.  
 Comber, Henry le, 166.  
 —, John le, 223.  
 Commonstown, *see* Comynestoun.  
 Commyn, *see* Comyn.  
 Commynestoun (Ballycommon, B. Ormond Lr., Co. Tip.), 323.  
 [Compostela], S. James of, pilgrimage to, 83.  
 Comptoun, Thomas de, 243.  
 —, William, 280.  
 Comsy, le Comsy (district in B. Slievardagh, Co. Tip.), 257, 272.  
 Comyn, Commyn, Alexander son of Richard, 271.  
 —, David, 310.  
 —, Hugh, 10.  
 —, John, 203, 205.  
 —, —, of Tyrerath, 90.  
 —, Jordan, 45, 46, 48.  
 —, Peter, 271.  
 —, Philip, 120, 271, 320, 322.  
 —, Philip son of Philip, 207, 271.  
 —, Robert, 144.  
 —, William son of Durant, 252.  
 Comynestoun (Commonstown, B. Killea and Moone, Co. Kild.), 165.  
 Conal, Thomas, 81.  
 Condory (Co. Cork), Maurice de Rupe of, 200.  
 Conebart (Co. Cork), Jordan de Contoun of, 294.  
 Conclagh, Thomas, 323.  
 Conell, Oconill, Oconyl (Connello, Co. Lim.), 2, 114.  
 Coneweys (Conway), Robert Fot of, 102.  
 Conl. . . ., William, 213.  
 Connacduimor (Connacht?), 62.  
 Connacht, Connac., Connaught, marginal notes of venue, 17, 28, 30, 31, 48, 61, 66, 77, 87, 123, 298, 299; notes of venue in text, 88-90; other refs., 20, 26, 210.  
 —, sheriff of (named), *see* Blake, Richard le;  
 —, — (unnamed), 17, 61-62, 77, 88-90, 114, 128.  
 —, Richard le Clerk of, 299.  
 —, Richard de Lyt of, 299.  
 —, Roger clerk of the sheriff of, 128.  
 Connacht, William, 205, 214.  
 Connauthtagh, John, 263.  
 Connello, *see* Concll.  
 Conroy, Milo de, 189.  
 Conryn (Co. Cork), 160.  
 Constantyn, Constentyn, Costentyn, Philip, 194, 196.  
 —, Philip son of Maurice, 293.  
 —, — son of Robert, 195.  
 —, Richard, sheriff of Dublin, 30, 61, 104, 107.  
 Contoun, *see* Cauntetoun.  
 Conway, *see* Coneweys.  
 Conykyn (Co. Lim.), 307.  
 Cook, the Cook, Cok, le Cok, David, 189, 248, 263.  
 —, Geoffrey, 222.  
 —, Henry, 240.  
 —, John, 221, 273. *See also* Seriaunt.  
 —, — son of Richard, 313.  
 —, Reginald son of, 314.  
 —, Roger, 311.  
 —, Walter son of Nicholas, 314.  
 —, William, 195, 293.  
 Coolbunna, *see* Coulboygun.  
 Coolmeen, *see* Coulmene.  
 Coolock, *see* Conloek.  
 Coonagh, *see* Okonagh.  
 Copcner, master Adam, 286.  
 —, John, 287, 293.  
 —, Nicholas, 286, 296.  
 Copyner, John, 297.  
 Cor, Adam, 301.  
 —, Gilbert, 180.  
 —, Henry, 277.  
 —, Jordan, 162-163.  
 —, Maurice son of Adam, 306.  
 —, Robert, 155.  
 —, Stephen, 155.  
 Coraunt, Henry, 13-14, 35, 61.  
 Corbaly (Co. Lim.), 311.  
 Corbally, Corbaly, Adam, 137.  
 —, David (Co. Tip.), 313.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 280.  
 —, Henry, 280.  
 —, Hugh, 163.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 287.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 313.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 185, 248.  
 —, —, clerk, 100.  
 —, Richard, 248.  
 —, Robert, 248.  
 —, brother Roger, canon of S. Thomas', Dublin, 211-212.  
 —, Thomas, 180, 181, 248.  
 —, William, 287.

- Corbelethan (Co. Cork), William de Stauntoun of, 202.
- Corbey, John, 280.
- Corbry, Corbrye, Corbery, Cristiana, widow of Ralph, 180, 184.
- , John, 137, 140-141, 182, 283.
- , Roger, 182, 189.
- Corhelwy, *see also* Cathirelwy.
- Corhelwy (Caherelly, B. Clanwilliam, Co. Lim.?), town of, 312.
- Cork (city), proceedings at, 191-203, 286-298; *proc. at*, referred to, 52, 62, 93; incidents at or near, 197-198, 293; church of S. Katherine, 288, 290; gaol, 27; church of the Holy Cross del Nard, 197.
- , Henry Cadwely, merchant of, 296.
- , John Galegre, Galegyrof, 197, 281.
- , William de Neuhous of, 198.
- Cork (county), marginal notes of *venue*, 27, 28, 37, 52, 62, 93, 115, 157, 160, 191-203, 247, 256, 286-298, 310.
- , sheriff (named), *see* Cauntetoun William de.
- , — (not named), 37, 52, 62, 63, 93, 96, 107, 114, 115, 159, 160, 196, 197, 202, 289.
- , Justices of gaol delivery in, 297.
- , coroner and keeper of pleas of the crown, 197, 202, 289.
- , other refs., 38, 114, 179, 259, 299.
- , bishop of, *see* MacCarroll.
- Corkbeg (Co. Cork), 160; island of, 160.
- Corkteny, Corkteny (Co. Tip.), 302.
- , John son of Hugh Purcel of, 325.
- , Philip Purcel of, 325.
- Corlosky, John, 281.
- Corneville, William, 79.
- Corner, William, 149.
- Cornere, John de la, 27, 243.
- Cornewaleys, *see also* Cornishman.
- Cornewaleys, le Cornewaleys, le Cornwaleis, de Cornwaleis, le Cornwalleys, Adam, Ad., 210, 224.
- , John (Co. Dub.), 47.
- , — (Co. Tip.), 68-69, 76, 103, 134, 254.
- , — (Co. Wat.), 280.
- , John son of Clement, of Carriktothill, 200.
- , Nicholas, of Kynsale, 198.
- , Simon, 86.
- , William, 299.
- Corneys, William, 229, 230.
- Cornishman, *see also* Cornewaleys.
- Cornishman, Daniel the, 299.
- Cornwaleis, Cornwaleys, *see* Cornevaleys.
- Cornwall, earl of, *see* Gaveston.
- Coroure, Corroure, Matilda, mother of Peter, 254.
- , Peter, 254.
- Corran (Co. Lim.), John de Rupe of, 161.
- Corray, Ralph, 274.
- Corre, John, 147.
- Correston (Corrstown, B. Nethercross, Co. Dub.), John le Serjaunt of, 58, 64.
- Correston, Philip le Seriaunt of, 64.
- Corrothir (Co. Cork), . . . le Flemyng of, 198.
- Corroure, *see* Coroure.
- Cortiller, Maurice le, 315.
- Cortlysny (Co. Wat.), Thomas White of, 250.
- Cortoys, Roger, 268.
- Corulle, William, 243.
- Corveyser, Corviser, Corvyser, David le, 314.
- , Richard le, 223.
- , Robert le, 147, 222.
- , Simon le, 177.
- , William, 241.
- Cor. . . leys, John, 246.
- Cosin, Cosyn, David, 122.
- , James, 252.
- , Richard (Co. Louth), 166.
- , — (Co. Tip.), 320.
- , Robert, 201, 298.
- , Robert son of Richard, 62.
- , William, 77.
- Cosselyn, William, 323.
- Costentyn, *see* Constantyn.
- Costongan, William, 298.
- Costyn, Philip, 286, 287, 291.
- Cosyn, *see* Cosin.
- Coterel, Geoffrey, 313, 314, 317.
- , Griffin, 314.
- , John (Co. Dub.), 19, 84.
- , — (Co. Louth), 212.
- , — (Co. Meath), 277.
- , — (Co. Wat.), 137, 140-141.
- , Jordan, 208, 311.
- , Robert (son of John), 137, 140.
- Cothelyn, Richard, of Ardmayl, 123.
- Cotiller, John le, 197.
- , — son of John le, 197.
- Cotteres, Thomas de, 194.
- Cotus, Roger, 149.
- Cotyn, Richard, 223.
- Cotys, John, 224.
- Couboyngne, *see* Coulboygyn.
- Couherd, Couherde, Coherde, Walter, 78-79.
- Couladethy, John, 274.
- Coulboygyn, Couboyngne (Coolbunna, B. Gaultier, Co. Wat.), Thomas Aylward of, 281, 282, 313.
- Coulcullyn (B. Rathdown or Newcastle, Co. Dub.?), 112.
- Coule, William de, 168.
- Coulfobyl (Co. Kilk.), 216.
- Coullok, *see* Coulok.
- Coulmene (Co. Car., or Coolmeen, B. Knocktopher, Co. Kilk.), John Bet of, 233.
- Coulmoly, Geoffrey, 162.
- Coulmor (Co. Tip.), John Braynok of, 252.
- Coulmoifawery (Co. Wat.), 281.
- Coulok, Coullok (Coolock, Co. Dub.), John Neuman of, 220.
- , Peter de, 46, 220.
- Coulrath (on N.E. coast), 280.
- Couniham, Stephen, 274-275.
- Couneur, John le, 240.
- Coursy, James de, 298.
- Court, Adam son of William de la, 296, 310.
- Courtenay, Reginald de, 100.
- Courty, Nicholas de, kt., 200.

Coventre, Covyntre, Richard de, 144.  
 —, William de, 144, 158.  
 —, — son of Richard de, 11, 34.  
 Cowill, Thomas, 260.  
 Coylathbeg, Coillagthbeg (Co. Tip.), 99.  
 Coyt, Coyit, Matthew, 286, 296.  
 —, Simon, 286, 296.  
 Coytif, Roger, 175.  
 Co. . . . , Nicholas, 197.  
 Co. . . . , William son of Richard, 306.  
 Crackel, Crakel, John, 317.  
 —, —, of Ballygsey, 321.  
 Craddock, Cradok, Adam, 303.  
 —, — son of Robert, 281.  
 —, John, 77.  
 —, Philip, 281.  
 —, — son of David, 189.  
 —, Stephen, 5, 205.  
 —, Symon, 189.  
 —, Walter, 45.  
 Craddockstown, Mathew de, 77.  
 Cragfergus (Carrickfergus), 50, 56.  
 Crakel, *see* Crackel.  
 Cramle, Michael de, 319.  
 Crane, Geoffrey, 309.  
 —, Margery, 268.  
 —, Martin, 222.  
 —, Roger, 309.  
 —, Walter, 269, 309, 311.  
 Cranystoun (Co. Lim.), 308, 309.  
 Craudonn in Brittain, 198.  
 Cravill, Craville, John, 158.  
 —, Michael de, 152.  
 Creewood, *see* Crewede.  
 Cref, Gilbert, 325.  
 —, — son of Ralph de, 42.  
 —, Robert, 325.  
 Crefmartyn, *see* Crewmartin.  
 Cressy, Hugh de, 100.  
 Cretyng, Adam de, 57.  
 Crevagh (Co. Lim.), 309.  
 Crewagh, John, 306.  
 Crewmartin, Crefmartyn (Co. Meath,  
 or Crowmartin, B. Ardee, Co.  
 Louth), castle of, 279.  
 —, Adam Jordan of, 279.  
 Crewode (Creewood, B. Slane Upr., Co.  
 Meath), 265.  
 Crick, Crik, Cryk, Adam, 288.  
 —, Maurice, 193, 287.  
 —, Ralph, 255, 257, 274.  
 —, Reginald, 255.  
 —, Thomas, 257, 274, 320.  
 —, William, 253, 255.  
 Crinan, Crynan, Crivan, John, 272.  
 —, Philip, 302.  
 —, Robert, 99, 255, 272.  
 —, Walter, 252.  
 Criour, William le, 134.  
 Crisp, Sibilla wife of Roger, 277.  
 Crispin, Crispyn, John, 168.  
 —, Patrick, 245.  
 —, Thomas, 245.  
 Cristoffre, Cristofr, Cristofre, Christofr,  
 Adam (Co. Louth), 240.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 184.  
 —, — son of Adam, 179, 182.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 176–179, 182,  
 260, 261, 282.  
 —, — son of William, 250, 281.  
 —, David son of Griffin, 257, 259,  
 260.  
 —, — son of Nicholas, 263.

Cristoffre, David son of Walter, 178.  
 —, Geoffrey son of Adam, 257, 260.  
 —, — brother of John of Moylargo,  
 178.  
 —, Griffin, 281, 282, 299.  
 —, — son of David, 250.  
 —, — son of D. . . . , 189.  
 —, — brother of John of Moylargo,  
 178.  
 —, Henry, 261, 262.  
 —, — son of Richard, 178–179,  
 257, 260, 282.  
 —, John, 181, 221, 248.  
 —, — son of David, 250, 257.  
 —, —, of Moylargo, 178.  
 —, —, of Tarment, (Tarmen), 261,  
 263.  
 —, — son of Walter, 263.  
 —, — of . . . . , jun., 257.  
 —, —, alias Brodeye, 260.  
 —, —, servant of the bishop of  
 Lismore, 181.  
 —, Maurice, 176–181, 261, 262, 281.  
 —, — son of William, 178.  
 —, Nicholas, 137.  
 —, —, brother of Philip of Baly-  
 kerok, 178.  
 —, Philip, 178, 179, 183, 260, 281.  
 —, —, kt., 250, 257, 262, 284–285.  
 —, —, of Balykerok, 178.  
 —, — son of Adam, 189.  
 —, — son of David, 257, 261.  
 —, —, del Tarmun, 250.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 137, 179, 184,  
 189, 281, 314.  
 —, — son of Richard, 257.  
 —, Richard, 257, 281.  
 —, — brother of Philip of Baly-  
 kerok, 178.  
 —, — son of Adam, 182.  
 —, — son of Henry, 257.  
 —, Robert, 179.  
 —, — son of Adam, 257.  
 —, — brother of John of Moy-  
 largo, 178.  
 —, Silvester son of Robert, 137.  
 —, Stephen son of Adam, 189, 257.  
 —, Thomas, 316.  
 —, William son of William, 250.  
 —, . . . . son of Griffin, 181.  
 —, . . . . , 181.  
 Crivan, *see* Crinan.  
 Crocan, . . . . , 195.  
 Crocker, le Croker, Crockker, Adam, 85.  
 —, David, 85, 173.  
 —, John, 85.  
 Crogh, Croyth (Co. Cork), Adam le  
 Whyte of, 191, 298.  
 Crok, the (near Drogheda), 227.  
 Crok, the, le (Crooke, Co. Wat.), 177,  
 182, 184, 249, 282.  
 —, Geoffrey, 28.  
 —, John, of Offaghya, 90.  
 —, Matilda wife of Geoffrey, 28.  
 —, Robert, 163, 247.  
 —, Thomas, 316.  
 —, Willagh, 316.  
 Crockker, *see* Crocker.  
 Crolber, David le, 231.  
 Cromelin, John, 315.  
 Cromelyn (Crumlin, Co. Dub.), John de  
 Fonte of, 315.  
 —, John Russel of, 65, 154.

- Cromhal, de Cromhal, Cromhale, de  
 Cromhale, Adam, 41.  
 —, Richard, 226.  
 —, Simon, 41, 102.  
 —, Thomas, 51, 164, 244.  
 Cromp, Crompe, Crumpe, Adam, 180.  
 —, —, of Dounbruyk, 144-145.  
 —, Benedict, 239.  
 —, Geoffrey son of Adam, 144-145.  
 —, Henry, 189.  
 —, Hugh, 301.  
 —, John, 253.  
 —, son of Adam, 144.  
 —, Michael, 151.  
 —, Richard son of Peter, 151.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Cork), 195.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 211.  
 —, — son of Adam, 144.  
 —, — son of Laurence, 274.  
 —, William son of Adam, 144.  
 Cromyl, John, 5.  
 —, Nicholas, 5.  
 Croke, *see* Crok.  
 Crop, Clement, 306.  
 —, Thomas, 310-311.  
 Cros, Roger, 176.  
 Croskel (Crossakeel, Co. Meath), John  
 de Lanney of, 152.  
 Crossagh, Henry, 213.  
 Crosse, Alan de, 153.  
 —, Alexander, 230, 234.  
 —, Patrick, 241.  
 Crothan, Henry, 294.  
 Crouche, William, sen., 168.  
 Croutham, Thomas, 282.  
 Crouthour, Croughour, Croughour,  
 Philip le Hore le, 255.  
 —, Roger le, 253, 255.  
 —, Thomas son of Roger le, 253.  
 Crowmartin, *see* Crewmartin.  
 Croyth, *see* Crogth.  
 Cruce, Crues, *see* Cruys.  
 Cruicetown, *see* Cruystown.  
 Crumlin, *see* Cromelyn.  
 Crumpe, *see* Crompe.  
 Cruor, Alex. de, 174.  
 Cruys, Cruce, Crues, Alexander, Alex.  
 de, 175, 242.  
 —, Henry son of Otuel de, 151-153,  
 170, 210, 224-225, 240(?),  
 Thomas, squire of, 151.  
 —, — son of William de, 280;  
 sheriff of Meath, 224.  
 —, H. . . . de, 275.  
 —, John de, 239.  
 —, Nicholas de, 154, 318.  
 —, Patrick de, 175, 242.  
 —, Richard, Ric., 55, 154, 275.  
 —, — son of Hugh de, 156.  
 —, — son of John de, 151-153,  
 224.  
 —, — son of Maurice de, 151-153,  
 224.  
 —, Stephen de, 246.  
 —, Walter son of Henry de, 151.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 171.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 224.  
 —, . . . . de, 154, 224.  
 Cruystown (Cruicetown, B. Kells Lr. or  
 Slane Upr., Co. Meath), Robert  
 Omery, chaplain of, 210-211.  
 Cru. . . ., Henry de, 240.  
 Cryk, *see* Crick.
- Crynan, *see* Crinan.  
 Cue, Cu, *see* Ken.  
 Cuilefer, Cuilfer, Cuylfer, Robert,  
 253-254.  
 —, William, 308.  
 Culkagh, Cullagh, Matthew, 19, 20.  
 Cullenagh, Richard, 273.  
 Cullyn (Cullenagh, B. Middlethird Co.  
 Wat.?), 140.  
 Cullyn, Adam, 155.  
 Curragh, John, 246.  
 —, Laurence, 223.  
 Carteys, Richard, 323.  
 —, Roger, 204.  
 —, William, 223.  
 Cartiller, Maurice, 286.  
 Cusaak, Cnsak, Adam son of John de,  
 239.  
 —, John de, 265, 275.  
 —, Nicholas de, 151, 275.  
 —, Walter de, 48, 277; Justice in  
 eyre, 87, 218.  
 Custos, Richard, 273.  
 Cut, Reginald, 254.  
 Catiller, John le, 221.  
 Cuylfer, *see* Cuilfer.  
 C. . . .oun, Thomas, 306.  
 C. . . .yl, John, 289.  
 C. . . ., Hugh de, 168.  
 C. . . ., Philip, 297.

## D

- Dacy, James, 275, 279.  
 Dak. . . ., William, 282.  
 Daletonn, Henry, 277.  
 Dalkeye (Dalkey, Co. Dub.), 102, 285.  
 Daltyn, Dovenald, 288.  
 Dalyleye, James, kt., receiver of  
 victuals for the King, 13.  
 Daniel, Danyel, Elias son of, 245  
 —, Johanna, 219.  
 —, John, 216.  
 —, Luke, 269.  
 —, Peter, 239.  
 —, Richard, 149, 156, 219, 318.  
 —, — son of, 245.  
 —, Thomas, 235, 236, 317.  
 —, —, of Obargoun, 314.  
 —, William, Will. (Co. Kild.), 156,  
 318.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 311.  
 Dantoun, *see* Daundon.  
 Danyel, *see* Daniel.  
 Daraghenvrethan, Daraghkenrethan  
 (Co. Tip.), 68-69.  
 Dardicz, Darditz, Dardiz, Dardys,  
 Dardyz, John, 149, 210, 223,  
 224, 266, 277.  
 —, Jordan, 211.  
 —, — son of Jordan, 92-93.  
 —, Richard, 220, 266; sheriff of  
 Meath, 155, 211.  
 —, Robert, 163.  
 —, Thomas, 222.  
 Daueny, Robert, 76-77.  
 Danndon, Daundon, Dantoun, Hugh,  
 324-325.  
 —, Robert, 76-77, 116.  
 —, Thomas, 37, 66-67, 312.  
 —, —, kt., 208, 298, 305.

- David, Adam son of (de Rupe ?), 326.  
 —, Andrew son of, 314.  
 —, David son of, 303.  
 —, Geoffrey son of (Co. Cork), 193.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 182-186,  
 188, 262, 281, 299, 300, 313.  
 —, Ger. son of, 287.  
 —, Henry son of (Co. Cork), 193, 287.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304, 312.  
 —, John son of (Co. Cork), 287.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 164.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 267.  
 —, Matthew son of, 273.  
 —, Maurice son of (Co. Kilk.), 317.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 130.  
 —, Peter son of, 185, 188, 282.  
 —, Philip son of (Co. Kilk.), 312.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 184.  
 —, Ph. son of, 153.  
 —, Richard son of Robert son of, 147.  
 —, Robert, 144.  
 —, — son of, 252-253.  
 —, Thomas son of, 291, 293.  
 —, —, de Schirlockestoun,  
 241.  
 —, Walter son of, 287.  
 —, — son of William son of, of  
 Kicolyn, 251.  
 —, — son of William son of, of  
 Portnuuill (Portuill), 184-185,  
 191.  
 —, William son of, 99, 125, 126.  
 —, —, of Kicolyn, 251.
- Davy, John, 153.  
 —, John son of, 246.  
 —, Robert (Co. Dub.), 113.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 177.
- Daweston (Davidstown, B. Dulceek  
 Upr., Co. Meath), 94.
- Dawisky, New Town of (Graiguenama-  
 nagh, Co. Kilk.), proceedings at,  
 317.
- , Duiske, abbot of, 174; brother  
 Gilbert of, 174.
- Decer, William le, 48.
- Deffeuers, Alexander, 286.
- Deier, *see* Deyer.
- Demet, John, 296.
- Dene, Geoffrey, 316.  
 —, John de, clerk, 67.
- Dengyn, near Bree (Co. Dub.), 46.  
 —, Aduc de Hereford del, 318.
- Denne, Andrew, 138.
- Derby, Henry, 193-195, 197, 201, 203,  
 290, 297.  
 —, Nicholas, 193-195, 197, 201, 203,  
 290, 297.
- Dereneford, *see* Derneford.
- Dergeragh (Co. Tip.), Thomas son of  
 Thomas Ketyng of, 10.
- Dermor, de Dermor, Walter, 97,  
 128-129.  
 —, William, 97, 129.
- Derमतstown, *see* Thurgofestoun.
- Derneford, Dereneford, Gregory, 206,  
 208, 309, 310, 312.
- Dernekyll, Nicholas, 241.
- Derpatrick, John, sheriff of Dublin, 286.
- Deruos, John, 155.
- Derwery, William, 224.
- Des, David, 248.
- Desmond, Irishmen from the parts of,  
 286.
- Dess., the parts of the (Co. Tip.), 246.
- Destyn, Walter, 33.
- Devenes, Deveneys, le Deveneys,  
 Devenys, le Devenys, Florence  
 wife of William, 217.  
 —, Henry (Co. Cork), 191, 192, 196,  
 202, 296.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 206.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 193, 195, 289.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 163.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 253.  
 —, —, jun., 243.  
 —, Ralph, 162.  
 —, Richard, 221.  
 —, Robert, 289.  
 —, Stephen, 191, 192, 196, 202, 288,  
 291-293.  
 —, Walter, 243.  
 —, William (Co. Dub.), 153.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 78.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 217.
- Devenyng, Ralph, 170.  
 —, William, 208.
- Deverous, Deverus, John, 325.  
 —, — son of Simon, 179.  
 —, Jordan, 201, 286, 296.  
 —, Walter, 178, 179, 259.  
 —, William, 182, 259.
- Devon, 188.
- Deyer, le Deyer, le Deier, the Dyer.  
 —, Henry, 183, 252.  
 —, John, 267.  
 —, Maurice, 263.  
 —, Robert, 181, 261.  
 —, Symon (of Leghlyn), 171-173,  
 231; Nicholas his servant,  
 172-173, 231.  
 —, Thomas, 65, 323.  
 —, William, 65, 287.
- Deytoun, Thomas, 156.
- De... .., Adam le, 274.
- Dionysius, Richard son of, 317.
- Direncede, Dirnetede (Co. Cork),  
 Maurice de Rupe of, 52, 93, 199.
- Dobilday, William, 149.
- Dod, Dodd, Dode, John, 193.  
 —, Jordan (Co. Cork), 196.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 208.
- Doddekyn, Dodekyn, David, 235.  
 —, John, 235.  
 —, — son of Dayok, 284.  
 —, William, 235.  
 —, — son of Dayok, 284.
- Dogun, Robert, 198.
- Dolfin, John, 30.
- Dollardstown, *see* Paineston.
- Dollyng, Alicia, 286.
- Don, Donne, Henry, 164.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 28.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304, 307.  
 —, William, 273.
- Donaghmayn (Donaghmoyn, Co.  
 Mon.), 170.
- Donaghmor, Donamor, Robert de, 88,  
 90.
- Donat, Donati, Donatus, Cambin,  
 Cambinus, 196, 201; sheriff of  
 Limerick, 37, 58, 114; Justice of  
 gaol delivery, 297.
- Donder, (B. Rathdown or Newcastle,  
 Co. Dub.), 112.
- Dondevenyld etc., *see also* Dundonald

- Dondevenyld, de Donndovenald,  
 Doundevenyld, de Donndove-  
 nold, Doundonnyld, de  
 Doundonnyld, de Doundove-  
 nyld, Doundouild,  
 —, Matthew, 270.  
 —, Peter, 304.  
 —, Richard, 270, 273.  
 —, William, 267, 304, 305, 309, 312.  
 —, —, of Balynclogh, 310-311.  
 Donegan, Robert, 317, 321.  
 Doneraile, *see* Donrayl.  
 Doneran, William, 149.  
 Donevill, John de, 5.  
 Donewit, ....., 263.  
 Donewygh, Richard son of Henry, 259.  
 Donfythny (Dunfieth, B. Carbury, Co.  
 Kild.), Nicholas le Flemmyng  
 of, 34.  
 Donghut, Donghut son of, 145.  
 —, William son of, 145.  
 —, Yoghly son of, 145.  
 Dongouel, Dungowyl (Co. Kild.),  
 John Coleman of, 226.  
 Donheved, *see* Dunheved.  
 Donne, *see* Don.  
 Donnoill, Donoil, Donoill, Donoyll,  
 Dunhuyll, Dunnoyll (Dunhill,  
 Co. Wat.),  
 —, John le Poer, baron of, 48, 115,  
 189-191, 264, 300, 316.  
 —, John le Poer of, 314, 315.  
 —, John son of Peter le Poer of, 251,  
 256.  
 —, John le Poyer of, 138.  
 —, Robert le Clerk of, 178.  
 Donnybrook, *see* Dounbruyk.  
 Donnyng, Dounyng, Dunnyng,  
 Dunyng,  
 —, Adam, 193, 194, 297.  
 —, Hugh, 41, 102-103.  
 Donnyngs, Alan, 12-13.  
 —, —, of Kilkenny, 28.  
 Donrayl (Doneraile, Co. Cork), Philip  
 son of William de, 288.  
 Dorbarre, Richard, 284.  
 Durhunt, John, 218.  
 Douce, Douz, William, 48.  
 Doucro, Danyel, 219.  
 Douenaghmayn, William, 239.  
 Dounbruyk (Donnybrook, Co. Dub.),  
 Adam Crompe of, 144.  
 Doundonnyld, Doundovenyld  
 Doundowny, *see* Dromdoueny.  
 Dounyng, *see* Donnyng.  
 Doupe, Milo, 198.  
 Douz, *see* Douce.  
 Dovedale, de Dovedale, Walter, 169.  
 —, —, of Dundalk, 276.  
 Doveyld, William de, 204.  
 Dover, Dovoit, letters dated at, 51,  
 94.  
 Dowe, Richard son of, 321.  
 Down, bishop of, *see* Blound, Nicholas  
 le.  
 Downpatrick, *see* Dune.  
 Doyloun, Nicholas, of Dromlegyn, 118.  
 Drak, Drake, David, 246.  
 —, John (Co. Dub.), 220.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 212, 224, 275-  
 276.  
 —, Matthew, 272.  
 —, Nicholas, 212, 224, 275.  
 Drak, Nicholas son of Richard, 212.  
 —, Philip, 211, 212, 225.  
 —, William, 253-254.  
 Drenyn, Adam, 304.  
 Dreyton, de Dreyton, Dreytoun, de  
 Dreytun, Edmund, 324.  
 —, John, 254, 257.  
 —, John, sen., 207.  
 —, —, jun., 207.  
 —, Nicholas, 207, 324.  
 Drimme Barran, ....., 121.  
 Drimnagh, *see* Drumnagh.  
 Drocath, John, 239.  
 Drogheda, proceedings at, 166-171,  
 208-213, 223-226, 237-241, 265-  
 266, 274-280; proceedings at,  
 referred to, 70, 151, 227, 239.  
 —, incidents at, 151, 166-168, 170,  
 223-224, 227, 240.  
 —, exempted from fine on Co.  
 Louth, 278.  
 —, fighting near, 237-238.  
 —, castle, 170.  
 —, prison, 167, 266.  
 —, church of S. Mary de Urso, 227.  
 —, mayor etc. (side of Louth or  
 Uriel), 167, 168, 224, 227, 265.  
 —, seneschal and bailiffs (side of  
 Meath), 265.  
 —, coroners and keepers of pleas of  
 the crown, *see* Blound, John le;  
 Magnet, Richard; Oxon., Roger  
 de; Tassagard, John of.  
 —, King's purveyor of food at, 77.  
 —, Hugh Burgeys of, 265.  
 —, William le Clerk of, 105.  
 —, William Slane of, 265.  
 —, John le Whyte of, 265.  
 Drohuill, *see also* Druhull.  
 Drohuill, John de, kt., 133.  
 Droill, de Droill, Droyll, Christiana  
 wife of John, 73-74.  
 —, Gilbert, 72, 74, 76.  
 —, John, 73.  
 —, —, custos of the liberty of  
 Kilkenny, 43, 104, 111.  
 —, Stephen, 111.  
 —, Thomas, 111.  
 —, William, 43, 104-105.  
 Dromconragh (Drumcondra, B. Slane  
 Lr., Co. Meath), John Mool of,  
 275.  
 Dromdoueny, Doundrownyn (Drum-  
 downey, B. Ida, Co. Kilk.),  
 castle of, 216, 235.  
 Dromdowny (Dromdowney, B. Orrery  
 and Kilmore, Co. Cork), William  
 de Rupe of, 199.  
 Dromgol, *see* Drumgol.  
 Dromin, *see* Dromyn.  
 Dromlegyn (Drumlin, B. Nethercross,  
 Co. Dub.), Nicholas Doyloun  
 of, 118.  
 Dromore (Co. Cork), John son of  
 Thomas of, 192.  
 Drompro (Co. Car.), John son of  
 Richard de, 241, 242.  
 Dromro, John son of Richard, 175.  
 Dromrothan (lands of the earl of  
 Ulster), 56.  
 Dromyn (Dromin, B. Glenquin, Co.  
 Lim.), 269.  
 Droyll, *see* Droill.



- Druhill, *see also* Drohill and Droill.  
 Druhill, Druhill, John de, 257.  
 —, Robert, 76.  
 —, William, 274.  
 —, ....., 258.  
 Drumcare (Drumcar, Co. Louth), 265.  
 Drumcondra, *see* Dromconragh.  
 Drumdowney, *see* Dromdoueny.  
 Drumgol, de, Dromgol, Robert, coroner  
 (Co. Louth), 209, 212, 277.  
 Drumlin, *see* Dromlegyn.  
 Drummer, Patrick, 298.  
 Drumnagh (Drinnagh, Co. Dub.),  
 Thomas Faber of, 144.  
 Dnunre, Milo, 294. *See also* Dunre.  
 Dryfeld, Adam, 171.  
 Dublin (city), proceedings at, 11-34,  
 35-46, 48-74, 83-118, 141-145,  
 149-156, 159-164, 217-223, 226-  
 227, 237, 241, 245-247, 258-259,  
 264-265, 271, 285-286, 298-299,  
 319-320; proceedings at, referred  
 to, 3, 16, 22, 52, 54-56, 61, 63,  
 66-71, 74, 86-91, 95, 96, 107-  
 109, 112-114, 116-118, 140,  
 141, 150, 154-155, 167, 182,  
 190, 191, 220, 225, 238-239,  
 256, 311.  
 —, marginal notes of venue, 143,  
 222.  
 —, letters dated at, 17, 26, 30, 33,  
 56, 67, 77, 85, 86, 105, 106, 113,  
 115.  
 —, inquisitions at, 33, 89, 90, 113.  
 —, meetings of King's Council at,  
 190, 191, 239, 311, 318.  
 —, The Bench at, 63, 66, 68, 83, 87,  
 88, 108, 140, 191, 313.  
 —, Exchequer at, 3, 12, 24, 32, 67,  
 76, 77, 85, 97, 112, 115, 126,  
 159.  
 —, Treasury at, 17, 44, 56, 64.  
 —, mayor and bailiffs etc. (named),  
*see* Lagheles, Richard, and  
 Seriaunt, William le.  
 —, — (unnamed), 87, 112.  
 —, King's providers of food at, 56.  
 —, rights granted to Hugh de Lacy  
 in, 100.  
 —, army at, 237-238.  
 —, accused person flees to, 34.  
 —, alibi at, 210.  
 —, castle of (prison), 59, 60, 150,  
 153, 170, 217, 218, 220, 222,  
 238-239, 265, 285.  
 —, the Key, 65.  
 —, S. Patrick's St., 38, 65; watermill  
 in, 38.  
 —, priory of All SS., 62.  
 —, church of S. Audoen, 220.  
 —, — the friars of S. Augustine,  
 58.  
 —, hospital of S. John Baptist  
 without the New Gate, 127, 245,  
 315.  
 —, S. Mary's abbey near, 83, 86,  
 220, 246; William [Ashburn]  
 abbot of, 246.  
 —, church of S. Mary de Dam, 222.  
 —, S. Patrick's, dean of, *see* Chaddes-  
 worth.  
 —, church of friars *De Penitentia*  
*Jesu Christi*, 150.  
 Dublin, abbey of S. Thomas near, 135,  
 162, 212.  
 —, church and prior of Holy Trinity,  
 86, 221.  
 —, other refs., 1, 23, 40, 50, 56,  
 108, 173, 232, 278.  
 Dublin (county), marginal notes of  
 venue, 11, 13, 14, 16-19, 22,  
 25, 28, 29, 32, 34, 39, 42, 43,  
 45-48, 53, 55, 57, 60-65, 67, 75,  
 76, 78, 83-87, 101-104, 106, 111,  
 116, 118, 134, 141, 143, 144, 150,  
 153, 154, 156, 157, 159, 161, 163,  
 165, 216-222, 234-237, 245, 246,  
 258, 259, 264, 280, 284-286, 312,  
 313, 315-317, 319-321, 325.  
 —, sheriff of (named), *see* Constantyn,  
 Richard; Derpatrick, John;  
 John, Ririth son of; Rathlawe,  
 Thomas de.  
 —, — (unnamed), 11, 13, 14, 16-  
 19, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29, 32, 35,  
 38-40, 42-44, 50, 52-55, 57, 60,  
 64, 67, 68, 70, 75-77, 84, 86, 87,  
 101, 102, 104-106, 108, 111, 112,  
 114, 116, 118, 134, 135, 150,  
 153, 166, 220.  
 —, sub-sheriff, *see* Passelewe and  
 Rathlawe.  
 —, sub-escheator, *see* Canoun, Hugh.  
 —, coroners, *see* Geydoun, William,  
 and Kyssok, Richard.  
 —, serjeants, *see* Jordan, Adam.  
 —, Justices in eyre in, 218.  
 —, King's demesne lands in, 105,  
 237.  
 —, king's court in, 320.  
 —, levy on, 22, 32.  
 —, outlawries in, 321.  
 —, other refs., 73, 83, 217, 232.  
 Dublin (diocese), archbishop of, *see*  
 Feryngs, Richard de, and  
 Havering, Richard de.  
 —, —, court of the, 63, 65.  
 —, archbishopric of, vacant, 15.  
 —, —, liberty of, (liberty of S.  
 Sepulchre), 23, 65, 76.  
 —, —, cross of the, 219.  
 —, —, seal of the, 16.  
 —, —, custos of spiritualities of,  
 219.  
 —, —, exemption from a levy, 22.  
 —, vicar general of archbishop of,  
 264; his attorney, 221.  
 —, John, rural dean of, 221, 264.  
 —, John, "dean of Christianity of,"  
 149.  
 —, money or issues of the archbishop  
 of, 12.  
 —, serjeant of the archbishop at  
 Swords, 63.  
 Duf, Duff, Duffe, Adok, 164.  
 —, David, 167.  
 —, John, 153, 169, 211.  
 —, —, of Gary, 279.  
 —, Reginald, 75.  
 —, Richard, 211.  
 —, Roger, 268.  
 —, William, 89.  
 Dufcossagh, Dufcussagh, Duffcossaugh,  
 20, 21.  
 Duffath, Robert, 289.  
 Dullitory (Co. Cork), 160.

- Duiske, *see* Dawisky.  
 Dulan, Robert, 245.  
 Duleek, Dyvelek (Co. Meath), barony, 102.  
 —, abbey and abbot of; Richard, abbot of, 51, 85, 94-95.  
 —, Thomas le Fleming of, 149.  
 Dullard, Adam, 155.  
 —, Gerald, Ger., 206, 208, 215, 270, 306, 307.  
 —, Henry, 277.  
 —, Philip, 247, 325.  
 —, Richard, 234.  
 —, Richard son of William, 35.  
 —, Simon, 268.  
 —, Walter, 35.  
 —, William, 245.  
 —, . . . ., 156.  
 Dumfries, *see* Dunfres.  
 Dummyng, John, 315.  
 Dunbeng (Dunbin, B. Dundalk [pr. Co. Louth], 225-226, 239.  
 —, John Hereward of, 225.  
 Dunbolg (Dunbulloge, B. Barrymore, Co. Cork), Philip le Lang of, 202.  
 Dunbrody (Co. Wat.), 186.  
 Dundalk, rebellion of the Verduns at, 238.  
 —, priory and prior of S. Leonard, 169, 213.  
 —, church of the Friars Minor, 169, 225.  
 —, Walter de Dovedale of, 276.  
 —, other refs., 224.  
 Dundonald, *see also* Dondevenyld.  
 [Dundonald], Robert de, bishop of Limerick, 1, 4, 5, 208, 307.  
 Dune (Down), bishop of, *see* Blound, Nicholas.  
 Dune (Downpatrick, Co. Down), Roger, prior of, 40.  
 —, William de Kycleth, a monk of, 40-41.  
 Dunfieth, *see* Donfythny.  
 Dunfres (Dunfries), Scotland, 33.  
 Dungarvan, (Co. Wat.), 55, 182, 189, 190, 248, 281.  
 —, Thomas Albus of, 281.  
 Dungowyl, *see* Dongouel.  
 Dunheved, Donheved, William, 34, 247, 325.  
 Dunhill, Dunhyll, *see* Donnoill.  
 Dunegan, David, of Balicotlan, 164.  
 Dunmanoge, *see* Monemahannok.  
 Dunnyng, Dunyng, *see* Donnyng.  
 Dunre, *see also* Druunre.  
 Dunre, Maurice, 291, 298.  
 —, Milo, 287, 298.  
 Durant, Duraunt, John, 251.  
 —, Philip, Ph., 167, 169.  
 —, Richard, 301.  
 —, Thomas, 233.  
 —, William, 233.  
 —, William, 253, 256, 301.  
 Durbarre, David, 312.  
 Dycher, Roger le, 213.  
 Dyer, *see* Deyer.  
 Dylloun, Walter, *see* Aylloun.  
 Dyloun, Nicholas, 34.  
 Dynbegh, Simon, 127-128.  
 Dyne, Thomas, 315.  
 Dyvelek, *see* Duleek.  
 Dyvelyn, Hugh, 224.  
 —, Roger de, 227.

## E

- Eau, de l', *see* Waters and Aqua.  
 Echan, Thomas, Thomas, 314.  
 Ede, John, 198-199, 253, 274, 292, 320.  
 Edmund, John, 274.  
 —, Robert son of, 89.  
 —, William, 215.  
 Edoun, Adam, 323.  
 Edward I, King, Scottish wars of, 12, 26, 290.  
 —, patents of, quoted, 12, 186.  
 —, pardons by, 288, 290.  
 —, other refs., 11, 13, 15, 32, 36, 37, 153, 289.  
 Edward II, King, passim; Scottish wars of, 56, 77, 116, 163, 280.  
 Edward, John, 181, 189.  
 —, Michael son of Nicholas, 270.  
 —, Nicholas, 176, 177, 179, 181, 184, 185, 189, 264, 270.  
 —, Philip, 261.  
 —, — son of Simon, 261.  
 —, Richard, 261.  
 —, Robert, 296, 314.  
 —, Simon, 144, 179, 181, 184, 189, 270.  
 —, Thomas, 277.  
 Ed . . . ., Simon, 262.  
 Ees, Stephen del, 228.  
 [Effingham], Nicholas de, bishop of Cloyne, 291, 295.  
 Eggefeld, Eggefield, Eggesfeld, Helwys wife of Nicholas de, 29-30, 55-56.  
 —, Nicholas de, 5-6, 29-30, 44, 55-56.  
 Elagh, Henry, 252.  
 Eldoni, James de, 168.  
 Eldoun, de Eldoun, Milo, 240.  
 —, Richard, 243.  
 —, Thomas, 225, 243.  
 Eleanor (Alianora), late queen of England, 38.  
 Elia, Bernard son of, 115.  
 Elias, Elyas, David son of, 145.  
 —, Henry son of (de Rupe?), 199, 247.  
 —, John son of (Connacht?), 128.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 153, 224.  
 —, Milo son of, 245.  
 —, Nicholas son of, 145.  
 —, Philip son of, 285.  
 —, Richard son of, 241.  
 —, Rys son of, 245.  
 —, Walter son of, 75.  
 —, William son of, 209.  
 Elias, master, 125.  
 Elie . . . ., 44.  
 Elikarwill (Ely O Carroll, Co. Tip.), John de Barry of, 303.  
 Eliogarty, *see* Elyogrid.  
 Eliot, Elyot, Alan, 177, 183, 299, 313.  
 —, Alice, wife of Walter, 78-79.  
 —, John, 151.  
 —, Peter, 149.  
 —, Richard, 223.  
 —, Walter (Co. Cork), 197.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 78-79.  
 —, William, 196, 286, 291, 297.  
 Ely, John, of Tipperary, 255.  
 Ely O Carroll, *see* Elikarwill.

- Elyas, *see* Elias.  
 Elycar, William son of Walter de, 10.  
 Elyfield, Laurence, 202.  
 Elyogrid (Eliogarty, Co. Tip.), 126.  
 Elyot, *see* Eliot.  
 Elys, Walter, 178-180, 182, 184, 189, 248, 250.  
 —, William, 305.  
 Emc, John, 325.  
 Emly, town of, 274.  
 —, bishop of (named), *see* Cantok, Thomas and Routhead, William.  
 —, — (unnamed), 63.  
 Engeloud, de Engeloud, Engelound, de Engelound, David, Ralph, a boy of, 320.  
 —, Jordan, 28.  
 —, Ralph, 244.  
 —, Robert (Co. Cork), 28.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 244.  
 England, John, 170.  
 England, marginal notes, 23, 33, 38, 40, 44, 48-51, 56, 57, 59, 60, 87, 105, 107, 111, 112, 115, 127, 129, 208.  
 —, records etc. sent to, 40, 41, 50, 51, 57, 59, 60, 108, 113, 128, 132.  
 —, great seal of, the King's seal of, 57, 87.  
 —, persons crossing to, licences to cross to, 34, 44, 54, 60, 86, 102.  
 Engleis, *see also* Angl.  
 Engleis, le Engleys, Lengleis, Lengleys, James, 295.  
 —, John (Co. Lim.), 207.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 257.  
 —, John son of Thomas, 47.  
 —, Peter, kt., 324.  
 —, Richard, 271.  
 —, Thomas, 195.  
 —, William, 257, 271, 324.  
 Englishman, William the, 282.  
 Enin, Enyn, Schad (Schadde), 312, 314.  
 Enyas, Henry, 99.  
 —, Thomas, 315.  
 Enymc Breen, Orly (McBreen ?), 247.  
 Erceedakne, le, le Erceedekne, le Ercediakne, le Arsedekne, Lercedekne, Lercediakne, Lerscedekne, Geoffrey, 179, 181, 185.  
 —, Gilbert, 258.  
 —, Henry, 257.  
 —, John, 325.  
 —, Reymund, Remund, 111, 257, 258, 274, 302, 303.  
 —, William, 208.  
 —, —, kt., 307.  
 Erfyrt, Philip son of, 128.  
 Ergadia, *see* Argyle.  
 Erlyngham, Roger, 204.  
 Espan,, Dominic de, 248.  
 Estham, Hand de, 57-58.  
 —, Rys de, 222.  
 Esthaur, Henry de, 218.  
 Estmaynbeg, *see* Astmaynebeg.  
 Estmond, Estmound, Estmund, John son of William, 235, 236.  
 Estram, Henry, 204.  
 Esttloun (Co. Lim.), Richard Ketyng of, 311.  
 Ethenard, Ythenard, Audoen, 204, 304, 305, 307.  
 —, John, jun., 307.  
 Eton', Eteun, John de, 149.  
 —, Michael de, 224.  
 Et...ley (Eyteley ?), Thomas de, 245.  
 Eustace, Eustaz, Geoffrey son of, 77, 163, 164.  
 —, John son of, 163, 164.  
 —, Richard (Co. Car.), 152.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 209.  
 —, Thomas, 312.  
 Eveldoun, Thomas, 245.  
 Everad, Everard, David, 126.  
 —, John, 169, 213, 277.  
 —, Laurence, 277.  
 —, William, Will., 168, 169, 240.  
 Ewe, brother Walter del, *see* Aqua.  
 Ewek, Henry, 280.  
 —, John, 280.  
 Ewyas, Henry, 130, 274.  
 —, Walter, 200.  
 —, William, 195.  
 Excestre, Exeter, Exon, Exon., Exon', Adam of (de), 117, 213.  
 —, Ismania wite of Richard de, sen., 170.  
 —, John de (of), (Connacht ?), 299.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 194, 195, 201, 203.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 221.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 310, 311.  
 —, Jordan of, sen., 179.  
 —, —, jun., 179.  
 —, — son of Simon de, 128.  
 —, Richard de (of), 22, 78, 170, 213, 217, 220, 276; kt., 212, 240; Justice of the Bench, 68, 103-109, 161; constable of castle of Roscommon, 56, 106.  
 —, Richard de, sen., 170.  
 —, Stephen de, 97, 117, 213, 225, 275, 280.  
 —, William de, 279.  
 Eychleym (Co. Meath), 276.  
 Eylward, *see* Aylward.  
 Eynolf, John, 312.  
 —, Philip, 312.  
 —, William, 312.  
 Eynon, Richard son of, 194.  
 Eyntz, *see also* Leynz.  
 Eyntz, Robert del, 224.  
 Eynuk, David, 296.  
 Eyteley, Eyteleye, de Eyteleye, master Bartholomew, 14-16, 55, 86.  
 —, Richard, 14-16, 83, 86, 117, 325.  
 —, Thomas, 14-16, 86, 117, 233.  
 Eyton, Eytoun, Richard de, 13, 219.  
 Eyvon, John son of, 203.

## F

- Fab., Roger, *see* Hulle.  
 Faber, *see also* Smith.  
 Faber, Adam, of Kylleth, 143, 217.  
 —, John, 143.  
 —, — son of Stephen, 193.  
 —, Peter, 275.  
 —, Richard son of Robert, of Lyverpol, 219.  
 —, Stephen, 183.  
 —, Thom., de Drumnagh, 144.  
 Fagan, Henry, 225.  
 —, John, 240.  
 Fahred (Fethard, Co. Tip.?), 157. *See also* Fythard.

- Failyk, Fathlyg, Fayllig (Faithlegg, Co. Wat.), 249, 281.  
 —, Henry de Rupe of, 189.  
 Faleys, John, sen., 226.  
 —, —, jun., 226.  
 Faliagh, Faliath, Faliagh, Falyagh, John, 45.  
 —, Robert, 139; King's serjeant, Co. Tip., 121—122.  
 —, Walter, 317.  
 —, William, 175, 317.  
 Fallythewoll, Nicholas, 143.  
 Falyagh, *see* Faliagh.  
 Fanyn, Clement, 203, 206, 267, 268, 270, 305, 309, 310; coroner, Co. Lim., 304.  
 —, Henry, 207.  
 —, John, 267, 309.  
 —, Thomas, 309, 310.  
 —, William, 309, 310.  
 —, — son of Simon Connautagh, 310.  
 Farman, Nicholas, 100.  
 —, Richard, 311.  
 Fat., John, 86.  
 Fathlyg, *see* Failyk.  
 Fattyng, *see also* Fotyng.  
 Fattyng, Adam, 143, 218.  
 Faucoun, Faucun, Henry, 150, 220.  
 Faunt, William, 168.  
 Fay, Laurence, 209.  
 Fayllig, *see* Failyk.  
 Fayrway, *see also* Vayrewey.  
 Fayrway, James, 157.  
 —, Thomas, 157.  
 —, William, 157.  
 Fedan, Robert, 218.  
 Feddemer, Fedemere, Fedmer (Fedamore, B. Smallcounty, Co. Lim.), John Godefroy of, 309.  
 —, John de Rupe of, 215, 309.  
 Feld, Felde, Ffeld, Adam de la, 179, 180, 248, 250, 261, 263.  
 —, David de la, 179, 248, 263.  
 —, Henry de la, 208.  
 —, Hughdela, 21—22, 78, 144, 164, 218.  
 —, Reginald, Regin. de la, 55, 84, 154, 218.  
 —, Thomas de la, 280.  
 —, William de la, 180, 181, 189, 261, 285.  
 —, — son of Reg., 263.  
 Fen, John de, 157.  
 Fenne, John de la, 8, 76.  
 —, Maurice de la, 76.  
 —, Robert de la, 8.  
 —, William de la, 273.  
 Fentrath, John, 144.  
 Ferenser (Fernecost, B. Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 112.  
 Fereres, *see* Ferreres.  
 Feringes, *see* Feryngs.  
 Fermoy (Co. Cork) 295, 296.  
 Fernecost, *see* Ferenser.  
 Fernetestaun, Louryn, 144.  
 Fernoun, Richard, 213.  
 —, Roger, 213.  
 —, Simon, 209.  
 Ferns (Co. Wex.), proceedings at, referred to, 111.  
 Ferns, bishopric, *custos* of spiritualities, 236.  
 —, bishopric of, cross of, 141, 184.  
 Ferns, bishop of, *see* Walrand.  
 Ferreres, de Ferreres, le Ferreres, Fereres, de Ferrers, Adam, 191, 196.  
 —, Alex, de, 194.  
 —, William de, 288.  
 Ferres, de Ferres (Ferreres?), Adam de, 292.  
 —, Alexander, 287, 291, 293.  
 —, William de, 291—293.  
 Ferrour, Robert le, 312.  
 Fertene (Fertiana, B. Eliogarty, Co. Tip.), 43, 119.  
 Fertillagh, de, Fertullagh, William, 169, 213.  
 Feryngs, Richard de, archbishop of Dublin, 16, 49.  
 Festyng, Reginald, 218.  
 Fethard, *see* Fythard.  
 Feure, Fever, Fevere, Adam le, 220.  
 —, John le, 158.  
 —, Peter le, 223, 227, 265.  
 —, Richard le, of Rathcoul, 65.  
 —, Roger le, 85.  
 —, William le (Co. Kilk.), 227.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 168, 213.  
 Feypo, John de, 243.  
 —, Symon de, 319.  
 Feys, brother Richard, carmelite, 157—158.  
 Fingal, *see* Fyngal.  
 Finglas, *see* Fynglas.  
 Fireter, Firter, Philip le, 107, 305—306.  
 Fissacre, Walter, 47.  
 Fissher, *see also* Vischer.  
 Fissher, le Fissher, Fysser, Fyssher, le Fyssher, David, 27.  
 —, Geoffrey son of Richard, 85.  
 —, Richard, 143—144.  
 —, Richard son of Jordan, 85.  
 —, Roger, 178.  
 [Fitz John], William, bishop of Ossory, 85.  
 Fitz Richer, Symon, 319.  
 Fitz Simon, Thomas, 30.  
 Fitz Symound, Richard, 288.  
 Fitz Waryn, Alan son of William, 50, 56—57.  
 Fitz . . . , Geoffrey, 323.  
 Fiz Ris, Thomas, 304.  
 Flanders, ships of, 199.  
 Flannill, Flanvile, Robert, 255, 274.  
 Flanuk, Stephen, 45.  
 Flatesbury, Robert de, 81.  
 —, Simon (son of Robert) de, 78—79, 81, 163.  
 —, Walter de, 81.  
 Flemeng, le Flemeng, le Flemeing, le Fleming, le Flemmig, Flemmeng, Flemmyng, le Flemmyng, Fleming, le Fleming,  
 —, Adam, 255, 293.  
 —, Andrew, 205.  
 —, Archebald, 133.  
 —, Baldwin, Baldewyn, Baudewin, (son of Richard son of Baldwin son of Stephen), 48, 108—110, 133—134, 277.  
 —, — son of Stephen, 109.  
 —, Bartholomew, 309, 310.  
 —, David (Co. Kild.), 149.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 206, 306, 307.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 258, 320.

- Flemeng, Gregory, 85.  
 —, Henry, 193.  
 —, Howel, 306.  
 —, Hugh, 306.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 194, 290.  
 —, — (Co. Kilk.?), 236.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 277.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 74, 147, 274.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 282.  
 —, —, of Athfern, 263.  
 —, —, of Ballynelan, Ballynylan  
 (Co. Cork), 195, 203.  
 —, —, of Uriel, 239.  
 —, —, son of Simon, 287, 294.  
 —, —, Cod, 306.  
 —, —, l. . . ., 320.  
 —, Matthew, 248.  
 —, Maurice, 298.  
 —, Milo, 282.  
 —, Nicholas, 147.  
 —, —, of Donfythny, 34.  
 —, Peter, 319.  
 —, Philip, 267, 308.  
 —, —, of Carkenlys, 304.  
 —, Ralph, 33.  
 —, Richard (Co. Cork), 290.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204–206, 268,  
 304.  
 —, —, of Carkenlys, 304.  
 —, —, son of Baldwin son of  
 Stephen, 109.  
 —, Robert, 33.  
 —, Roger (Co. Lim.), 308.  
 —, — (Co. Meath?), 240.  
 —, —, of Carkenlys, 304.  
 —, Simon, 171.  
 —, Stephen (Connacht?), 89.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 109.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Cork), 198.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 268, 308.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 225.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 147.  
 —, —, of Dyvelek, 149.  
 —, —, lately king's serjeant, Co.  
 Dub., 57.  
 —, Walter, 306.  
 —, —, son of John, 298.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 229.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 195, 290, 298.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204, 268, 269.  
 [—], —, bishop of Lismore, 248,  
 261.  
 —, Wydy, 253.  
 —, . . . ., de Corrothir, 198.  
 Fleshewer, Thomas, 321.  
 Fleuere, William le, 212.  
 Florence, merchants of, *see* Castillon.  
 Flynt, Henry, 44.  
 —, Roger, 44.  
 Folik, Henry, 206.  
 Foulour, Robert le, 248.  
 Fonte, John de, of Cromelyn, 315.  
 —, Ralph de, 245.  
 Fontyn, Clarice, 268.  
 Foran, Thomas, 128.  
 Forcel, Forcill, David, 230, 234.  
 Ford, Forde, Adam (or John), de la, 92.  
 —, Henry de la, 92.  
 —, John (or Adam) de la, 92.  
 —, Richard de la, 92.  
 Forester, le Forester, Forestier, Forster,  
 Gilbert, 98, 165.  
 —, Henry, 173.  
 Forester, Nicholas, 226.  
 —, Rys, 203.  
 —, William, 285.  
 Fornagh, Adam, 210.  
 —, Henry, 244.  
 Fornahrt, Philip son of Miloi, 282.  
 Fornat (Fornaght, B. Gaultiere, Co.  
 Wat.), Philip son of Milo of,  
 249.  
 Forster, *see* Forester.  
 Fort, le Fort, James, 191–193, 196, 202,  
 291, 292.  
 —, John, 144.  
 —, Marcus (Mark), 191, 192, 196,  
 202.  
 —, Symon, 192.  
 Forth, Foth., Fothered, Fothrith (Forth,  
 barony, Co. Car.), marginal  
 notes of venue, 171–173, 175,  
 the parts of, 20.  
 —, Robert de Bendevill of, 172.  
 —, Robert Bremyl de, 172, 234.  
 —, church of S. Patrick de Kenles  
 in, 232.  
 Forthnagh, Philip son of John de, 184.  
 Fossard, Thomas, 35.  
 Fot, David, 226, 239.  
 —, Geoffrey, 171.  
 —, Philip, 266, 277.  
 —, Richard, 78, 105.  
 —, Robert, of Coneweeye, 102.  
 —, Roger, 277.  
 Foth., Fothered, Fothrith, *see* Forth.  
 Fothryth Onolan (Co. Car.), 172.  
 Fotyng, *see also* Fattyng.  
 Fotyng, Fotyn, Adam, 319.  
 —, Nicholas, 240, 266, 280, 319.  
 —, Stephen, 266, 280.  
 Fonghel, John, 78.  
 —, Matilda wife of John, 78.  
 Fougheler, Simon le, 76. *See also*  
 Fouler.  
 Fouk, Henry, 204, 214, 309.  
 —, Roger, 214, 306.  
 Foul, John, 323.  
 —, Nicholas, 226.  
 Fouler, *see also* Fongheler and Voucler.  
 Fouler, Fowler, David, 279.  
 —, Luke le, 235.  
 —, Ralph the, 208.  
 —, Richard, 272.  
 —, Thomas le, 286.  
 Fountayne, James de la, 147.  
 Fourbour, Robert le, 166.  
 Fowler, *see* Fouler.  
 Fox, Adam, 300.  
 —, Milo, 300.  
 —, Ralph, 285.  
 —, Richard, 285.  
 —, Robert, 103.  
 —, Walter, 65, 113, 154, 218, 285.  
 —, —, serjeant of the King, Co.  
 Lim., 308.  
 —, William, 66, 89, 103.  
 Fram, Maurice, 298.  
 Frapias, John, 313.  
 Fraunceys, le Fraunceys, Fraunceis,  
 Franceis, Franceys,  
 —, David, 267, 292, 296.  
 —, Henry, 311, 322.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 229, 241.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 200, 292, 293.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 48.

- Fraunçoys, John, sen., 292, 296.  
 —, —, jun., 296.  
 —, Maurice, 196, 286, 287, 291.  
 —, Nicholas, 229.  
 —, Richard, (Co. Cork), 293.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 316.  
 —, Robert, 262.  
 —, Stephen, 163, 184, 188, 190, 251, 262, 300, 316.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Dub.), 84.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 47.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 250, 263, 300.  
 —, William, 127, 190.  
 Fraxineto, Frax. . . . , Fulk (Fulc) de, 164, 324; sheriff of Tip., 50, 52, 99, 123, 126, 129; Justice of gaol delivery, 157.  
 Freigne, *see* Freyne.  
 Freisel, Freisell, Freysel, Freysell, Adam, 279.  
 —, David, 297.  
 —, Henry (Co. Cork), 193.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 155, 277, 279.  
 —, Reymund, 155.  
 —, Robert, 155.  
 —, Thomas, 201.  
 Frend, Maurice, 324.  
 —, William, 152, 240, 275, 279.  
 Frere, William, 44.  
 Fresingfeld, Elizabeth dau. of Johanna de, 61.  
 —, Johanna wife of John de, 61.  
 —, John de, 61; Justice in eyre, 6.  
 —, Philippa dau. of Johanna de, 61.  
 Freych, John, 197.  
 Freyne, *see also* Fraxineto.  
 Freyne, de, de la. Freigne, de Freynes, Freyne,  
 —, John, 30, 176, 177, 179-182, 184, 189, 263.  
 —, Michael, 226.  
 —, . . . . , 262.  
 Freynse, John le, 149, 162.  
 Frombaud, Philip son of, 318.  
 Frombold, John, 209, 210.  
 Frumpelyn, Philip, 297.  
 Fubleye, Roger, 264.  
 [Fulburn], Walter of, bishop of Waterford, 38-39.  
 Funsur, *see* Fynsur.  
 Furlang, Furlong, Geoffrey, 236.  
 —, John, 236.  
 —, —, of Moyeth, Moyeyghit, 235, 236.  
 —, Nicholas, 236.  
 —, Philip son of Robert, 235.  
 —, Roger son of John, 236.  
 —, Stephen son of John, 236.  
 —, Thomas, 29, 106.  
 Furner, Maurice, 311.  
 —, Stephen, 311.  
 Fubleye, Roger, 218.  
 Fydor, Comdyn, 174.  
 Fyn, John, 325.  
 —, William, of Marche, 174.  
 Fyngal, the parts of, 246, 266, 318.  
 Fyngal, de Fyngal, Adam, 169, 213.  
 —, John de, 169.  
 Fynglas (Finglas, Co. Dub.), 65.  
 Fynglas, de Fynglas, Richard, 47, 84, 143.  
 —, Unfrey, 315.  
 —, William, 47, 64, 86, 218.  
 Fynnnewor (Co. Cork), 160.  
 Fynsur, Funsur, William, 219, 222.  
 Fyntenan, William, 85.  
 Fynyan, John, 211; the town of, 211.  
 Fysser, Fyssher, *see* Fisssher.  
 Fythard, Fythryth (Fethard, Co. Tip.), 146, 301. *See also* Fahred.  
 Fytherit, Geoffrey de, 299.  
 Fyvel, Thomas, 122.  
 Fyvyan, William, Henry servant of, 182-183.  
 F. . . . . Philip, 240.
- G
- Gaall, *see* Galle.  
 Gadircurs, Thomas, 244.  
 Gaere, John son of John, 230.  
 Gaffency, Gaffeny, Gaffny, Gafney, Nicholas, 212.  
 —, Richard, 212.  
 —, Roger, 41, 152, 223, 266, 277, 319.  
 Gaging, Nicholas, 245.  
 Gaignard, William, 298.  
 Gal, *see* Galle.  
 Galbarry, Isabella, 177.  
 —, Richard, 230.  
 —, Stephen, 175, 230.  
 Galbeg, Richard, 273.  
 —, Thomas, 205, 206.  
 Galboloy, John, 209.  
 Galcolyn, Richard, 10.  
 Galdolly, Henry, 89.  
 Galegre, Galegyr, John, 197, 281.  
 Galeth, Adam, 155.  
 Galewey, de Galewey, de Galeweye, Galwey, Galweye,  
 —, John, 319.  
 —, Patrick, 246.  
 —, Walter, 169.  
 —, William, 169, 209, 213.  
 Galgeyl, Galgey, Galgell, Galgeyle, Galgoill,  
 —, John son of Michael, 300.  
 —, Michael, 176, 177, 180, 184, 185, 189, 248, 250, 300.  
 —, Philip, 178, 182, 261.  
 —, William, 281.  
 Galle, Gal, le Galle, Gaall,  
 —, John, 268.  
 —, Philip, 273.  
 —, Thomas, 202.  
 —, Thomas, jun., 291, 292.  
 —, William, 191, 192, 196, 201, 202, 288, 291, 292.  
 Gallecturry, Oweyn, 193-194.  
 Gallen. . . . , 168.  
 Gallys, Richard de Burgo of, 246.  
 Galmoystown, *see* Gauyinoyn.  
 Galway, inquisition at, 90.  
 Galwey, *see* Galewey.  
 Gamboun, John, 306.  
 —, Thomas, 263.  
 Garby, Henry de, 80.  
 —, Mabilla wife of Henry de, 80.  
 Gardeyner, Ralph le, 322.  
 Gardyn, William, 283.  
 Garnethe (Co. Lim.), Thomas de Lees del, 305.  
 Garristown, *see* Ballyogor.  
 Garthe (Co. Lim.), church of, 205, 268, 305.

- Garthlon (B. Rathdown or Newcastle, Co. Dub.?), 112.  
 Garuath (B. Rathdown or Newcastle, Co. Dub.?), 112.  
 Gary (Co. Meath), John Duff of, 279.  
 Gary, John, 280.  
 —, John de, sen., 277.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 277.  
 Garzoun, Robert, 239.  
 Gasconeye, Roger, 168.  
 Gascony, 109.  
 Gascoyn, le Gascoyn, Gascoyngne, Gascoun, Gaskoun,  
 —, Cristiana dau. of Nicholas, 282.  
 —, Fymer, 139.  
 —, Hamo, Hamond, Hamund, 123, 282.  
 —, Johanna dau. of Nicholas, 282.  
 —, John, 260.  
 —, John son of Nicholas, 282.  
 —, Nicholas, 182, 260.  
 —, — son of John, 282.  
 —, — son of William, 282.  
 —, Thomas, 289-290.  
 Gasny, Roger, 210.  
 Gatyn, Peter, 239.  
 Gauylmoy (Galmoystown, B. Fore, Co. Meath), 111.  
 Gavaston, Gaveston, Peter (Piers) de, earl of Cornwall, King's lieutenant, 84, 94.  
 Gavestoun, Alexander de, clerk, 284.  
 Geel, Gel (Co. Tip.), Henry Haket of, 270.  
 —, Adam le Leche of, 126, 252.  
 Geel, Symon, 292.  
 Geoffrey, John, 162.  
 —, . . . ., 218.  
 Gehyn, Roger, 280.  
 Gel, *see* Geel.  
 Genevill, Geynevill, Geoffrey de, 100.  
 —, Simon de, 32, 92.  
 Geoffrey, Adam son of, 215.  
 —, David son of, of Portynhill, 326.  
 —, Gilbert son of, 28.  
 —, Peter son of, 128, 252.  
 —, Richard son of, 271.  
 —, —, of Kill, 158.  
 —, Thomas son of, 215.  
 —, William son of, 195.  
 —, master, 299.  
 Geppedagh, John, 203.  
 Gerald, Gerard, Gerand, Andrew, 48, 284.  
 —, David, 274.  
 —, Henry, 30.  
 —, John, 9, 157.  
 —, John son of, 245.  
 —, Robert (Co. Dub.), 17, 47, 53-55, 75.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 183.  
 —, William son of, 52 (wrongly given as Walter), 53, 93.  
 Gerdon, Gerdoun, William, 314.  
 Gerd. . . ., Robert, 177.  
 Geripont, Geriponte (Jerpoint, Co. Kilk.), new town of, 220; abbot of, monk of, 154, 175, 220.  
 Germakynewer (Co. Cork), 62.  
 Germeng, Henry de, 30.  
 Germeyn, John, 295.  
 —, John son of Simon, 268, 269, 308.  
 —, Roger, 213.  
 —, Stephen, 213.  
 Gernoun, Richard, 151; sheriff of Louth, 170, 225.  
 —, Roger, 170, 265.  
 —, —, de Coly, 239.  
 —, William, 209.  
 Gerpuurvilla, William de, 101.  
 Gerrard, *see* Gerard.  
 Gerrous, Girrous, John, 118.  
 —, Thomas de, 34.  
 Gerveys, David, 307.  
 —, Gilbert, 307, 309, 310.  
 —, Maurice, 307.  
 Ger. . . ., John, 239.  
 Getegod, Roger, 219.  
 Geydon, Geydoun, William, coroner, Co. Dub., 319.  
 —, William son of William, 78.  
 Geynevill, *see* Genevill.  
 Geytone, Geytoun; Richard de, 220.  
 —, Robert de, 220.  
 Giffard, Eva, 180.  
 —, John, 158.  
 —, —, of Baretistoun, 318.  
 —, Maurice, 139.  
 Gigg, Walter, 167.  
 Gilbert, John son of, 269, 286.  
 —, Philip son of, 194, 291, 297.  
 —, Richard son of, earl, 100.  
 —, Thomas son of, 184.  
 —, William, 189.  
 —, — son of, 313, 314.  
 Giles, *see* Gyls.  
 Gilkokessone, Henry, 258.  
 Gille, John, 218.  
 Gillecragh (Kilcrea, B. Nethercross, Co. Dub.?), 220.  
 Gillegobbagh, John, 174.  
 Gilleker, 264-265.  
 Gilnegill, Gilnengill, Gillegill, Adam, brother of William, 54.  
 —, William, William son of, 17, 53-54.  
 Gyls, Giles, Henry son of John, 182-183.  
 —, John, 177, 183, 248, 299, 314.  
 Girley, *see* Grelly.  
 Girrous, *see* Gerrous.  
 Glanmoledun, Glonmoledun, Glynmadoun, Clonmoledun (Connacht), 66, 88-90.  
 Glanmoledyn (Co. Cork), the water of, 293.  
 Glanok, Edward, 173.  
 —, William, 171.  
 Glantays (Connacht?), 62.  
 Glascarric, Glascarrig, Glascarryk, (Glascarrig, B. Ballaghkeen N., Co. Wexford), 25, 159, 160.  
 —, prior of, 237.  
 —, P. . . . de, 237.  
 Glasmor (Clashmore, Co. Wat.?), church of, 260.  
 Glasneyvyn (Glasnevin, Co. Dub.), John le Rede of, 222.  
 Glassalagh, (Glassely, B. Narragh and Reban E. Co. Kild.?), Philip the Carpenter of, 222.  
 —, Alan de, 222.  
 Glen (Co. Lim.), *see* Glyn.  
 Glen, Glenne, Meiler son of Philip de, 90.  
 —, Roger de, 5-6.  
 —, —, serjeant narrator, 43.

- Glencapy (Glencap, B. Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 112.
- Glencry (Glencree, Co. Wick.), 112.
- Glendalough (Co. Wick.), archdeacon of, 15.
- Glenmethan, Glynmethan, Glymethan, Eustace de, 58, 64, 86, 316.
- , Jordan de, 315.
- Glennogyr, Glynogyr (Glenogra, B. Smallcounty, Co. Lim.), church of, 304.
- , Germeyn son of John Selyman of, 303-304.
- Glentalewys, the, *hibernici*, 14.
- Gle. . . (Co. Cork), town of, 191.
- Glin, *see* Glyn.
- Gloucester, Gloucestre, Gloucestr., Gilbert de Clare, earl of, 12-13.
- , Johanna, countess of, 11-13.
- , Robert de, 251, 313, 314.
- , William of, 205.
- Glover, Glover, Hamund le, 168.
- , Maurice le, 203, 214.
- , William, 303.
- Glydere, Gilbert, 150.
- Glydown, *see* Glydownen.
- Glymethan, *see* Glenmethan.
- Glyn, Glen (Glin, Co. Lim.), William le Whyte of, 309, 310.
- Glydown, Glydownen (Co. Lim.), 161.
- Glynmadoun, *see* Glanmoledun.
- Glynogyr, *see* Glennogyr.
- Godard, Goddard, John, 202-203, 243-245.
- , Laurence, 244-245.
- , Robert, of Sandewiz, 219.
- Godefray, Godefrey, Godefroy, John (Co. Car.), 228.
- , — (Co. Dub.), 285.
- , — (Co. Kild.), 147, 149.
- , —, (of Feddemer), (Co. Lim.), 309, 310.
- , Richard, 194, 196, 200, 293.
- , Thomas, of Monwyn of Clan-argely, 90.
- , Walter, 221.
- , William, 240.
- Godeson, Godesone, Godsone, Thomas, 8, 52.
- , Walter, 301.
- Godgamen, John, 44.
- Godkave, Thomas, 170.
- Godman, Richard, 239.
- , Roger, 239.
- Godore, Aymer, mayor of Waterford, 177.
- Godred, John, jun., 225.
- Godrith, John, 290.
- Godsone, *see* Godesone.
- Godsy, John, 163.
- Godwyn, Nicholas, 221.
- Godyng, Dominic (Domyng, Donyng), de, 183, 313, 314.
- Goer, Adam, 227.
- , Henry, 251, 299.
- , John, 273.
- , Maurice, 273.
- , Nicholas, 205, 206, 268.
- , Ralph, 195.
- , Richard, 5, 201.
- , Robert, 259, 273.
- , William, 204, 206, 307, 309, 310.
- Goff, David, 235.
- Gogh, Gough, Mabilla, 203, 206.
- , Michael, 295.
- , Philip, 180.
- , Thomas, 196.
- Gold, John, 289.
- , Nicholas, 195, 201, 294.
- , Richard, 289.
- , Stephen, 289.
- Goldfynche, Roger, 158.
- Goldsmith, *see* Aurifaber.
- Goldyng, Nicholas, 78.
- Gole, Richard, 147.
- Goly, Adam, 277.
- , Henry, 195, 197, 292.
- , Nicholas, 287, 294.
- Gommond, John le, 254.
- Gorgan, Griffin, 203. (=Worgan, *q.v.*?).
- Gormanstown, *see* Ballygorman.
- Gortemellan (Gortmullin, B. Ikerrin, Co. Tip.), 120.
- Gorteres, Thomas de, 192.
- Gortesysty (Co. Wat.), Geoffrey le Jeofne of, 180, 184.
- Gortevahy (Gortavehy, B. Muskerry W, Co. Cork?), 160.
- Gortnegrag, Gortnegrak, Gortyngrag (Co. Tip.), Adam Ketyng of, 47, 256.
- , Philip son of Adam Ketyng of, 47, 251.
- Gortybryn (Co. Cork), 160.
- Gortynys (Co. Wat.), Richard son of Matthew Ayleward of, 281.
- Gos, Adam, 268.
- , John, 222, 268.
- , Laurence, 163.
- , Philip, 222.
- Gossip, John, 191.
- Goth, Adam, 293.
- , Philip, 259, 262, 281.
- Gothemund, John, 313.
- Gouer, John, 178.
- Gough, *see* Gogh.
- Goules, de, Goulys, Adam, 308, 310, 311.
- Goundevilla, Hugh de, 100.
- Gowelok, David, 225.
- Go. . . monir, Reynmund, 281.
- Graas, *see* Gras.
- Grafoun, Adam, 278.
- Graftoun, de Graftoun, William, 208-209, 265, 275, 279.
- Grage, William, 227.
- Graiguenamanagh, *see* Dawisky.
- Gralyn, Stephen, 279.
- Grandison, Otho, Oto, de, 45, 130.
- Grane, John, 175.
- , Thomas de, 249.
- Grangegeeth, *see* Graungegeth.
- Grangetoun (Co. Louth), Robert son of Katherine of, 300.
- Grannagh, Geoffrey, 215, 304.
- Grant, le Grant, Graunt, le Graunt, Hugh, 257.
- , John (Co. Car.), 85, 171, 230, 231.
- , — (Co. Wat.), 183, 184, 189, 261, 262, 264, 314.
- , Milo, 292.
- , Philip, 130.
- , Robert, 127, 302-303.
- , — son of Thomas, 194.
- , Simon, 194, 196, 202, 291-293.
- , Walter, 281, 282, 299, 300.





- Hamond, Matilda, 162.  
 —, Peter, 178.  
 —, Philip, 206, 268, 269.  
 —, Richard, 206.  
 —, Thomas, 305.  
 —, — son of Maurice, 189, 281.  
 —, William, 8.  
 —, —, of Loxeuedy, 220.
- Handlaw, Luke, 200.
- Hankyn, Roger, 306.
- Hanley, Hanleye, Johanna, 143.  
 —, Maurice, 210.  
 —, Robert, 226, 244.
- Hantelan, Hantlan, Nicholas, 191, 295.
- Harald, *see* Harold.
- Harcourt, John de, kt., 157.
- Hardelowe, William, 241.
- Hardyng, William, 96.
- Hareberge, *see also* Hauberge.
- Hareberge, Hauerberge, Hereberge.  
 James, 26-27, 124-125.  
 —, Robert de, 130-131.  
 —, William son of Robert, 131.
- Harmantown, *see* Heremanestoun.
- Harme, William, 149.
- Harold, Harald, Isabella wife of  
 Geoffrey, 45.  
 —, John (Co. Lim.), 306; kt., 207,  
 309.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 264.  
 —, — son of Reginald, 237.  
 —, Matilda, 122.  
 —, Richard son of John, kt., 207.  
 —, Thomas, 268.
- Harold's Grange, *see* Ballykegh.
- Harol . . . te, John, 304.
- Harper, Harpour, Henry le, 111.  
 —, Robert, 174.  
 —, Symon le, 314.
- Harphyn, Philip, 50, 57.
- Harryn, Thomas, 224.
- Hastynge, Edmund de, 98.
- Hatherde, Hathirde, Hathrede, *see*  
 Atherde.
- Hathil, Richard, 210.
- Hauberge, *see also* Hareberge.
- Hauberge, le, Haulberge, de Haul-  
 berge, le Haulberge,  
 —, Benedict (Denet), 151, 169, 265,  
 275; sheriff of Louth, 93.  
 —, Isolda wife of John, 212.  
 —, John, 212.  
 —, Richard, 93.  
 —, Roger, 310.
- Hauerberge, *see* Hareberge.
- Hankesdene, Robert de, 221.
- Havecoun, . . . , 314.
- Havel, William, 315.
- Havelan, Nicholas, 196.
- Havering, Richard de, archbishop  
 elect of Dublin, 16, 149.
- Hay, Haya, Haye, de Haye, Haie, de  
 la Hay, de la Haye, Hey, de  
 Hey, de Heye,  
 —, Adam, 129-131.  
 —, David, 258.  
 —, Henry (Co. Car.), 233.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 298.  
 —, John, 205, 307, 309, 310.  
 —, — son of Hamund, 236.  
 —, — son of William, 236.  
 —, Laurence, 130-131.  
 —, Matthew, 130.
- Hay, Philip, 299.  
 —, Ralph, 100.  
 —, Richard (Co. Cork), 288.  
 —, — (Co. Wex.?), 236.  
 —, Richard son of William, 236.  
 —, Thomas (Connacht?), 299.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Cork), 193, 298.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 268, 309.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 258.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 281.  
 —, Walter (Co. Car.), 233.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 47, 83-84.  
 —, —, Justice, 6, 72, 108.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 171, 233.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 184.  
 —, — son of Adam, 235, 236.  
 —, — son of Adam Cam, 235, 236.
- Hayward, Heyward, Richard le, of  
 Kilmayan, 319.  
 —, Stephen, 317.
- Helwys, Helwys, John, 34; chief  
 serjeant of Onmurthy, 117.
- Helyhere, William, 221.
- Hemyng, Walter, 197.
- Henry II, King, charters of, 100, 185-  
 187.  
 —, entry into Waterford, 186-187.
- Henry III, King, 109.
- Henry, Adam son of, 292, 306.  
 —, Geoffrey son of, 294.  
 —, Gerald, Ger., son of, 222, 267,  
 308.  
 —, Godfrey son of, 228, 318.  
 —, Hugh son of, 209.  
 —, James son of, 171, 173, 229.  
 —, John son of (Co. Car.), 85.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 267, 269.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 253.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 138, 180.  
 —, Josyn son of, 173.  
 —, Nicholas son of, 208.  
 —, Olyva, wife of, 138.  
 —, Philip son of, 284.  
 —, Roger son of, 173-174.  
 —, Stephen son of, 191, 192, 202.  
 —, William son of, 225, 316.
- Her, Thomas, 204.
- Herbard, Herberd, Herbert, Herebard,  
 David, 89, 185.  
 —, David son of, 194.  
 —, Geoffrey, 180, 282.  
 —, Gregory, 183, 249, 262.  
 —, G. . . . , 183.  
 —, Henry, 225.  
 —, Nicholas son of, 292.  
 —, Phillip, 323.  
 —, Reymund, Reym., son of, 160,  
 295.  
 —, Reymund son of John son of, 200.  
 —, Richard, 182, 204.  
 —, Robert (Co. Kild.), 241.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 274.  
 —, Thomas, 180, 188.  
 —, William, 190, 261, 262.
- Herbt (Herbert?), Ralph, 149.
- Herdewyk, Nicholas de, 220.
- Hersman, Henry, 296.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 296.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 244.  
 —, Maurice, 296.  
 —, Robert, 296.
- Here, William, 240.
- Herebard, *see* Herbard.

- Hereberge, *see* Hareberge.  
 Hereford, de Hereford, Herford, de  
     Herford, Hford, Adam, 148.  
 —, Adok (Aduk), del Dengyn, 318.  
 —, Godfrey (Godefrey), 17-18, 53-  
     54, 75, 286.  
 —, Hugh, 147-148.  
 —, John, 113, 218, 246.  
 —, Nicholas, 89.  
 —, Odo, 17, 53-54.  
 —, Richard, 145, 158(?), 175.  
 —, Roger, 303.  
 —, William, 175.  
 Heremanestoun (Harmanstoun, B.  
     Slane Upr., Co. Meath), 224.  
 Heres . . . ., John, 143.  
 Hereward, Herward, Hamo, 296.  
 —, John, 169.  
 —, —, of Dunbeng, 225.  
 —, Nicholas son of Simon, 270.  
 —, Symon, 203, 304, 305, 312.  
 —, . . . ., 268.  
 Herford, *see* Hereford.  
 Herman, Adam, 275.  
 Herseye, John, 144.  
 Hert, le Hert, Agnes wife of John, 204.  
 —, David, 212, 266, 279.  
 —, John, 204, 267.  
 —, Luke, 167, 168.  
 —, Roger, 268.  
 —, Thomas, 267.  
 —, Walter, 177.  
 Herves. . . ., 116.  
 Hervy, *see* Hervy.  
 Hervicus, the Island of (Great Island,  
     Co. Wat.), 249.  
 Hervy, John, 170.  
 —, Maurice son of, 245.  
 —, Richard, 170.  
 —, Roger, 273.  
 —, Walter, 322.  
 Herward, *see* Hereward.  
 Hethen, Hethene, Hethyn, Patrick,  
     209.  
 —, Roger, 129, 221.  
 Heved, Simon, 120.  
 Heward, John le, of Dublin, 48.  
 Hey, Heye, *see* Hay.  
 Heyford, Thomas, 285.  
 Heylot, Maurice, 274.  
 —, Richard, 256.  
 Heyn, *see also* Hyne.  
 Heyn, Heyne, Alice wife of John,  
     227-228.  
 —, Henry, 179, 181, 185.  
 —, Ilger, 253, 254.  
 —, Nicholas, 198.  
 —, Richard, 306.  
 —, Roger (Co. Cork), 196.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248.  
 —, Thomas, 315.  
 Heyroun, Richard, 209.  
 —, Stephen, 181.  
 Heyward, *see* Hayward.  
 Heywod, de Heywod, Heywode, de  
     Heywode,  
 —, Hacket, 193.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Cork), 195, 287, 290.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 45.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 45.  
 —, William, 34, 44, 325.  
 He. . . . ward, Stephen, 321.  
 Hford, *see* Hereford.  
 Hibernicus, *see also* Ireys.  
 Hibernicus, William, 171.  
 Hicche, John, 201.  
 Hide, Hyde, Geoffrey de la, 146, 163,  
     234, 247, 271.  
 —, Hugh de la, 78, 163, 164.  
 —, Roger de la, 29, 78, 106, 218.  
 Hill, John, 229.  
 Hillar', John, 241.  
 Hirdman, John, 318.  
 Hobbe, Reginald, 237.  
 Hodinet, Hodynet, John son of Thomas,  
     199.  
 —, Nicholas son of Baldwyn, 199.  
 —, Philip son of Baldwin, 199.  
 —, Thomas son of Thomas, 291.  
 —, William son of Thomas, 291.  
 Hogebyn, Walter, 47.  
 Hogge, John, 193, 194.  
 Hok, Phillip, 201.  
 Holder, Holdere, Paul le, 319.  
 —, Richard le, 220.  
 —, Thomas le, 228.  
 Holwere, Holeywey, Holeywey, Holwey,  
     —, Adam, 148, 175.  
 —, John, 147.  
 —, Richard, 75, 147, 175.  
 Holleye, John, 50, 57.  
 Holy Land, offerings for, 221. *See*  
     *also* Jerusalem.  
 Holywode, John, 240.  
 Honne, Houne, Maurice, 28, 30, 66,  
     91, 113.  
 Honorius IV, Pope, 114.  
 Hoper, le Hoper, Hopere, le Hopere,  
     Hopper, le Hopper.  
 —, Alexander, 222.  
 —, Elyas, of Waterford, 172-173,  
     231.  
 —, Henry, 222.  
 —, John (Co. Kilk.), 325.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 273, 320.  
 —, Richard, of Waterford, 138.  
 —, William (Co. Kild.), 165.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 240.  
 Horcastel, le Horcastel, Geoffrey, 46.  
 Horche, Thomas, 163.  
 Horde, Hugh le, 177.  
 Hore, Adam le, 204, 269.  
 —, Avelan le, 144.  
 —, Barth. le, 307.  
 —, David le (Co. Kilk.), 81.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178.  
 —, Henry le, 301.  
 —, Isolda la, 236.  
 —, John le (Co. Dub.), 154.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 276.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 177, 182, 249.  
 —, —, of Rathbran, 275.  
 —, Joseph le, 164.  
 —, Maurice le, 28, 85.  
 —, Nicholas le (Co. Car.), 175.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 245.  
 —, Philip le, le Croughour, 255.  
 —, Richard le, 254.  
 —, Robert le, 281.  
 —, Roger le, 294.  
 —, Simon le, 212, 266, 277, 279.  
 —, Stephen le, 212, 266, 277, 279.  
 —, Thomas le (Co. Kild.), 318.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 169.  
 —, — son of Gregory, 245.  
 —, Waria le, 162.

Hore, William le (Co. Dub.), 285.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 306.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178.  
 Horsmanger, John, 308.  
 Hosberne, *see* Osbern.  
 Hosebond, William, 229.  
 Hosogod, *see* Osegod.  
 Hospital of S. John of Jerusalem in  
 Ireland, prior of, (prior of  
 Kylmaynan), 47, 83, 97-8, 103,  
 241, 319.  
 —, *see also* Any, Ballycaock, Kylhele  
*and* Mora.  
 Hostiller, Ostiler, Ostieler, Adam, 178,  
 260.  
 —, Symon, 262.  
 Hothom, Hothum, John de, clerk, 48,  
 86.  
 Houeth, Houethe, Houth, Houthe  
 (Howth, Co. Dub.), Adam de,  
 47, 48, 240.  
 —, Isabella wife of Adam de, 47, 48.  
 —, Ralph de, 167.  
 —, Richard de, 285.  
 —, Walter de, 143.  
 Houothtoun (Howthstown, B. Slane  
 Ir., Co. Meath), 276.  
 Howe, John, 195.  
 —, Philip, 201.  
 Howel, Howell, Houwel, Alexander,  
 316.  
 —, Archebaud, 316.  
 —, Gerok, 313.  
 —, Henry, 226.  
 —, John, 128, 316.  
 —, Maurice, 243, 315, 316, 318.  
 —, Nicholas, 313.  
 —, Philip, 316.  
 —, Richard, 316.  
 —, Walter, 316.  
 —, William, 316.  
 Howth, *see* Houeth.  
 Howthstown, *see* Houothtoun.  
 Huberd, Hubert, John (Co. Cork), 287,  
 291.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 226.  
 —, — son of Reymund, 307.  
 —, — son of William, 237.  
 —, Thomas son of Patrick, 267.  
 Huchun, David, 8.  
 Hudd, Adam, 157.  
 Hudde, Thomas, 128.  
 Huet, Henry, 144.  
 Huethoun, David, 252.  
 Hugetoun le Rede (Hughstown, B.  
 Kilkea and Moone, Co. Kild.),  
 244.  
 Hugh, Hugo, David, 280.  
 —, — son of, 99, 255, 289.  
 —, Geoffrey son of, 148.  
 —, Henry son of, 121.  
 —, John son of, 243, 245, 304.  
 —, Laurence son of, 68-69, 109,  
 256?, 258.  
 —, Philip son of, 228, 287.  
 —, —, de Inchovenan, 198.  
 —, Richard son of, 301.  
 —, Simon son of, 248, 261.  
 —, Walter son of, 216, 283, 299, 325.  
 —, William son of, 146, 148, 306.  
 Hughelot, Hughlot, Philip, 34, 117.  
 Hildere, Geoffrey le, 144.  
 Hulle, Geoffrey de la, 82.

Hulle, John de la, 81.  
 —, Robert, 183.  
 —, Roger Fab. de la, 150.  
 Hulloc, Hullok, Hullak, Robert, 177,  
 183, 249, 299, 314.  
 —, . . . ., 300.  
 Hullyn, Hulyn, Geoffrey, 266.  
 —, Henry, 216.  
 Hulot, Adam son of Thomas, 47.  
 Humelachlyn, Murchardus, 100.  
 Hunt, Hunte, Adam, of Offath', 136.  
 —, Bertram, 239.  
 —, Henry, 208.  
 —, Ralph, 239.  
 —, Richard, 239.  
 Huntেক্যনিস্তoun (Co. Tip.), 130.  
 Hnsbert, Robert, 90.  
 Hnsbonde, William, 176.  
 Huscard, Adam, 145.  
 Hussec, Adam, 320.  
 Huss, John, 215.  
 Hyde, *see* Hide.  
 Hykeday, John, 221.  
 Hyne, *see also* Heyn.  
 Hyne, John, 253.  
 —, Richard, 179, 264.  
 —, Robert, 304.  
 —, William, 92.  
 Hythel, William, 205.  
 Hyweyn, William, 169.  
 H. . . ., Hugh de la, 156.

## I

Ibercon, *see* O Bargoun.  
 Idron, *see* Odron.  
 Ile, del, *see* Isle.  
 Ilgel, Ilger, William, 287, 290.  
 Imaal, *see* Omayl.  
 Imclagh, Imelak, Philip, 193, 194  
 —, Stephen, 297.  
 Inchegori (Co. Car.), John de Rupe of,  
 232.  
 Incherothrik (Inchirourke, B. Slievard-  
 agh, Co. Tip.), 274.  
 Inchovenan (Co. Cork), Philip son of  
 Hugh de, 198.  
 Indes, *see* Adnides.  
 Inge, Richard, 147.  
 Ingram, John, 235.  
 —, Nicholas, 235.  
 Inscoul, Robert, 304.  
 Inskan (Co. Meath), the King's lands  
 of, 224.  
 Insounan (Inishannon, Co. Cork), John  
 Martel of, 201.  
 Inteberge, David, 322.  
 —, John, 304.  
 —, Lamual de, 322.  
 —, Philip de, 317.  
 —, Robert, 322.  
 —, Thomas de, clerk, 199.  
 Inyas, Henry, 255  
 Inyenfaderan, Goremyn, 200, 201;  
 Roesia her sister, 200, 201.  
 Inyhillille, R. . . ., 174.  
 Inylongan, Margery dau. of, 201.  
 Ioghel, Robert de, 222.  
 Ipre, Nicholas de, 183, 314.  
 Ireland, *passim*.

- Ireland, Chancellor of, *see* Cantok, Thomas, and Thornbury, Walter de.  
 —, Chief Justice in Eyre, *see* Cusak, Walter de.  
 —, Custos of, *see* Botiller, Edmund le.  
 —, —, deputies of, *see* Alysandre, William, and Thornbury, Walter de.  
 —, Escheator of, *see* Brun, Nigel le, and Islep, Walter de.  
 —, Exchequer, Barons of, *see* Meones, William de.  
 —, —, chief engrosser in, *see* Wodehouse, Richard.  
 —, Justiciar of, *see* Wogan, John, and Verdun, Theobald de.  
 —, —, Justice to hold pleas following the, *see* Alysandre, William, and Lenfaunt, Walter.  
 —, —, deputies or lieutenants of, *see* Botiller, Edmund le; Burgo, William de; Rupeforte, Maurice de.  
 —, King's Lieutenant in, *see* Gaveston.  
 —, Treasurer of, *see* Bereford, Richard de, and Bykenore, Alexander de.  
 —, Treasury, chamberlains of, *see* Morton, Geoffrey de, and Walton, Henry de.  
 —, great seal of, seal which the King uses in, 30, 115, 290.  
 —, law and custom of, 111.  
 —, market in, custody of, 57.  
 Ireys, *see also* Hibernicus.  
 Ireys, Ireis, Irreys, Adam, 316.  
 —, John, 318.  
 —, Walter le, 219.  
 —, William le, 75.  
 Iriel, David, 279.  
 Isaac, Laurence, 64.  
 Isle, lle, Jakemyn del, 48.  
 —, John del, 37, 67.  
 Islep, Istelep, master Walter, 143; escheator of Ireland, 258.  
 Itthel, Itthel, John, 266.  
 —, William, 268.  
 Iuowyn (Co. Tip.), the parts of, 254.  
 Iverk, *see* Overk.  
 Ivias, Thomas, 258.  
 Ivo, Yvo, Richard son of, 171(?), 172, 228, 230, 231, 234.  
 —, William son of, 172.  
 —, . . . of, 316.  
 Ivor, Thomas, 175.  
 Ivyenym, Muriel, 193.
- J
- Jacob, Roger, 162.  
 Jak, John, 48.  
 —, master Maurice, 86.  
 Jakes, David, 145, 164.  
 —, John, 240.  
 James, Henry son of, 89.  
 —, Thomas son of, 229.  
 Jandewyn, master Simon, 15.  
 Jel. . . ., John, 149.  
 Jeofne, *see* Joefne.  
 Jerpoint, *see* Geripont.  
 Jerusalem, money given to, 274. *See also* Holy Land.  
 Jno (Ivo ?), Richard son of, 171.  
 Joce, Ralph, 96.  
 —, Thomas, 291.  
 Jocelyn, Laurence, 167, 265.  
 Joefne, *see also* Juvenis and Yong.  
 Joefne, Jeofne, Joeven, Joevene, Juesne.  
 —, Geoffrey **le** of Gortesysty, 180, 184.  
 —, Henry le, 120.  
 —, —, son of Walter le, 320.  
 —, John le (Co. Kilk.), 78-79.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 121.  
 —, master John le, 203.  
 —, Michael le, 324.  
 —, Philip le, 312.  
 —, Richard le, 8, 301.  
 —, Stephen le, 243.  
 —, Symon le, 324.  
 —, Thomas le, 221.  
 —, Walter le, 121.  
 —, William le, 213.  
 Johan, Henry, 149.  
 —, Thom., 144.  
 —, . . ., 144.  
 John, King, charter of, 56.  
 John, Adam son of, 243.  
 —, Andrew son of, 9, 272.  
 —, David son of, 305, 307, 312.  
 —, —, son of David son of, 235.  
 —, Edmund son of, 121, 322.  
 —, Elyas son of, 244.  
 —, Henry son of, 204, 237.  
 —, John son of, 307.  
 —, —, — of Kilcop, 182, 185, 282.  
 —, Martin son of, 157, 253.  
 —, Matthew son of, 272-274.  
 —, Maurice son of, (Co. Lim.), king's sergeant, 306.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 99, 130, 254, 255, 272, 274.  
 —, Michael son of, 306.  
 —, Nicholas son of, 248.  
 —, Philip son of, of Norragh, 186.  
 —, Ralph son of, 120.  
 —, Reginald son of, 130.  
 —, Reymund son of, 192.  
 —, Richard, 239.  
 —, —, son of, 10, 220-221, 301.  
 —, Ririth, (Ryrith), son of, 285; late sheriff of Dublin, 57; sheriff of Meath, 117.  
 —, Robert son of, 194, 291, 292, 313.  
 —, Thomas son of, 144, 232, 247, 311, 325.  
 —, Walter son of, 201, 311.  
 —, William son of, 78-79, 171-172, 232, 245, 292.  
 —, — (or son of Philip), sheriff of Lim., 115.  
 —, . . . son of, 317.  
 Joic, Joye, Gilbert, 296.  
 —, John, 292, 296.  
 —, Philip, 162.  
 —, Robert (Co. Cork), 296.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 44, 219.  
 Joneston near Naas (Johnstown, Co. Kild.), 79.

Jordan, Adam (Co. Dub.), 30; king's  
serjeant, Co. Dub., 153-154.  
—, — (Co. Louth), 169, 239.  
—, — (Co. Meath), 279.  
—, —, of Crewmartin, 279.  
—, —, son of (Co. Louth), 212, 265.  
—, — (Co. Meath), 275.  
—, Hamund son of, 89.  
—, John (Co. Lim.), 267, 308.  
—, — (Co. Meath), 149.  
—, John brother of Adam, 153-154.  
—, Ralph son of, 216.  
—, Robert, 248, 281.  
—, Thomas, 72, 228.  
—, William son of Hugh, 302.  
Jordanystoun (Co. Kild.), 325.  
Jcs, Richard, 192.  
Joye, *see* Joie.  
Jo . . . ., John le, 154.  
Joefne, *see* Joefne.  
Jugelour, Richard le, 214.  
Justice, Richard, 208, 213.  
—, . . . ., 213.  
Justo, Peter, 183.  
Juvénis, *see also* Joefne and Yong.  
Juvénis, Javen., Adam, 244.  
—, Gerald, 280.  
—, John, 128, 250.  
—, Laurence, 214.  
—, Stephen, 243.  
—, Walter, 243.

## K

Kadel, *see* Caddel.  
Kappagh (Cappagh, Co. Lim.), 208.  
Kappath, le (same ?), 309.  
Kar., Philip son of William de, 294.  
Kardolum, *see* Cardeoil.  
Karkenlys, *see* Carkenlys.  
Karragh, Robert, 224.  
Katherine, Robert son of, of Grangetoun,  
300.  
Kayn, Lucy, 7.  
Keating, *see* Ketyng.  
Kedenor, *see* Kydenor.  
Kellag, Stephen, 126.  
Kellagh, John, 222.  
Kells, *see* Kenles.  
Kemmeys, John, 263.  
—, Robert, 263.  
Ken (Keu ?), Walter le, 168.  
Kendale, Claricia wife of Meiler de, 81.  
—, Meiler (Meiller), de, 42, 81, 162,  
164, 230-232.  
—, Michael de, 230.  
—, M. . . ., 6.  
Kenefeg, Kenefleg, Kenef, Benedict,  
239.  
—, Geoffrey, 169.  
—, Hamund, 303.  
—, John, 201, 290.  
—, Mariot, 293.  
—, Richard, 214, 303, 310, 311.  
—, Robert (Co. Cork), 194, 201.  
—, — (Co. Louth), 275.  
—, Symon, 290.  
—, Walter, 162.  
Kenefer, Richard, 209.  
Kenet, Thomas de, seneschal of the  
King's lands (Co. Dub.), 237.

Kenles (Kells, Co. Kilk.), 312.  
—, prior of S. Mary's, 42.  
—, church of S. Patrick de, in Foth.,  
232.  
—, William de Valle de, 232.  
Kenles (Kells, Co. Meath), 151-152,  
171-172, 213, 276.  
—, Adam Chepman of, 276.  
Kenley, Kenloye, Walter de, 45, 47,  
55; Justice, 82.  
Kenn, David, 259.  
—, Philip, 259.  
Kennedy, *see also* Okynedy and  
Mc Kenedy.  
Kennedy, John, 126.  
Kennen, Henry, 313.  
Kensale, *see* Kynsale.  
Kenselagh, Thomas, 182, 249.  
Kent, de Kent, Elias, 297.  
—, John, 90.  
—, Philip (Co. Cork), 115.  
—, — (Co. Tip.), 136, 255.  
—, Richard, 212.  
—, Thomas, 48, 105-106, 218, 219,  
316.  
—, —, of Kilcoskan, 285.  
—, —, son of John of, 104.  
Keoug, Nicholas, 236.  
Keppagh, John, 141, 282.  
Keppok (Cappoge, B. Ardee, Co.  
Louth), 286.  
—, John de Haddesoure of, 209.  
Keppog, John, 212, of Callan, 279.  
—, Richard, 78-79.  
—, Walter, 219, 220, 280.  
Ker, Ker., Kerre, Henry, 255.  
—, John, 310.  
—, Laur., 149.  
—, Robert, 323.  
Kerdiff, de Kerdiff, Kerdiff, de Kerdiff,  
Kerdiff, Kerdyf, de Kerdyf,  
Bartholomew, 140, 177, 180,  
184, 186, 261, 262, 316.  
—, David, 156.  
—, Geoffrey, 282.  
—, James, 283.  
—, John, 47, 171, 213.  
—, —, son of John, 48.  
—, Matthew, 195.  
—, Nicholas, 318.  
—, Philip, 257.  
—, Ralph, 8, 257, 283.  
—, Richard, 283.  
—, Robert, 164.  
—, Silvester, 140.  
—, Stephen, 174.  
—, William, 324.  
Kere, Robert Chamberlyn of, 90.  
Kerewyl, Maurice, 227.  
Keri, Robert, 288.  
Kermerdyn, David, 241-242.  
—, Henry de, 156, 164.  
—, John, 301, 324.  
—, Stephen, 79.  
—, William, 270.  
Kerragh (Co. Kild.), 149.  
Kerre, *see* Ker.  
Kerry, Co., marginal notes of venue,  
107, 306, 307; other reiss., 306.  
Kery (Co. Wat.?), Simon le Poer of,  
190-191.  
Kery, Robert, 191, 196, 289, 298.  
—, Thomas, 289.

- Ketath, Nicholas, 246.  
 Ketyng, de Ketyng, Keting, Adam, 322.  
 —, —, of Gortnegrag (Gortyngrag), 47, 256.  
 —, Arnaldus, *alias* Hernesius, 4.  
 —, David, 286, 291.  
 —, — son of Robert, 146.  
 —, Geotfrey, 194.  
 —, Henry, 263.  
 —, Hernesius, *see* Arnaldus.  
 —, James (Co. Car.), 172.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 4, 5.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 47, 119, 258, 302.  
 —, — son of John Carragh, 175.  
 —, John, 256, 304.  
 —, — fitz Daniel (son of Daniel), 118, 141.  
 —, — son of Robert son of James, 119.  
 —, Maurice, 322.  
 —, Milo, 10, 246, 270.  
 —, — son of James, 246.  
 —, Nicholas, 145, 235, 236, 317, 321.  
 —, Philip, 323.  
 —, — Falyagh, 256.  
 —, — son of Adam, of Gortyngrag (Gortnegrak), 47, 251.  
 —, — son of Roger (?), 145.  
 —, Richard (Co. Lim.), 205, 304, 307, 312.  
 —, —, of Aghscadan (Co. Lim.), 268, 269, 309, 310.  
 —, —, of Estloun, 311.  
 —, — son of Maurice, 322.  
 —, — son of William, 174.  
 —, —, king's serjeant (Co. Tip.), 123.  
 —, Robert (Co. Tip.), 4, 136.  
 —, — son of James, 10, 119-121, 258.  
 —, Roger son of William, 145, 317, 321.  
 —, Thomas, 4.  
 —, — son of Adam, 47, 256.  
 —, — son of Daniel, 118.  
 —, — son of Thomas, of Dergergagh, 10.  
 —, — son of William, 118.  
 —, —, of Ballygorman, 301.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 145, 317, 321.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 191, 196, 295.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 215.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 7.  
 —, — son of Robert, 146.  
 —, — son of William, 145, 317, 321.  
 Ketyngestoun, Ketyngistoun, Richard de, 309, 310.  
 Keu, Cu, Cue, David le, 261.  
 —, Geoffrey le, 215.  
 —, John le, 209, 211.  
 —, Philip le, 315.  
 —, Reymund le, 215.  
 —, Roger le, 150.  
 —, Roger son of John le, 310.  
 —, Thomas le, 213.  
 —, Walter le, 168(?), 251.  
 —, William le, 269.  
 Kevernok, *see* Kyvernog.  
 Keynes, Thomas de, 251.  
 Keyngnes, Thomas, 299.  
 Keyr, Adam, 181.  
 Kift, *see* Kyft.  
 Kil, John de, 318.  
 Kilbarry, *see* Kilberghan.  
 Kilbeg (Co. Meath), Robert the chaplain of, 210-211.  
 Kilbelec, Kilbeleth (Kilbaylet, B. Talbotstown Lr., Co. Wick.), Thomas son of Richard of, 317, 321.  
 Kilberghan (Kilbarry, B. Gaultiere, Co. Wat.?), 181.  
 Kilbragh, *see* Kylbrayth.  
 Kilbryn (Kilbrien, B. Barrymore, Co. Cork), John son of Philip de Barry of, 294.  
 —, Philip de Barry of, 95, 199, 201, 294.  
 Kilcoban, Peter de, 144.  
 Kilcock, *see* Kyikoc.  
 Kilcolyn (Kilcullen, Co. Kild.), William son of David de, and Walter, his son, 251.  
 Kilcop, Kilcope, Kilcopok, Kilcophth (Kilcop, B. Gaultiere, Co. Wat.), John de, 281, 282, 299(?), 300, 314.  
 —, John son of John de, 182, 185, 282.  
 Kilcoskan (Kilcoskan, B. Nothercross, Co. Dub.), Thomas de Kent of, 285.  
 Kilcrea, *see* Gillecragh.  
 Kilcreevanty, abbey, *see* Casta Silva.  
 Kilcullen (Co. Kild.), *see* Kilcolyn.  
 —, (Co. Kilk.), *see* Kilkeleghyn.  
 Kildare, Kyldar, Kyldare (town), proceedings at, 147-149, 157-159; Carmelite house at, 158; castle of, 105; prison in castle of, 233; other refs., 159.  
 —, William and Alice Lek of, 157.  
 — (county), marginal notes of venue, 11, 14, 32-35, 44, 45, 47, 51, 63, 75, 77, 78, 80-83, 86, 96, 117, 144, 145, 147-149, 156-159, 163-165, 175, 176, 210, 217-219, 223, 226-228, 241-245, 247, 259, 271, 283, 284, 286, 317-319, 323-325.  
 —, sheriff (named), *see* Canoun, Hugh; Mazyner, David le; Wellesley, John de.  
 —, — (unnamed), 11, 14, 15, 32, 34, 44, 45, 77, 78, 82, 98, 118, 220.  
 —, coroner, *see* Thomas, Nicholas son of.  
 —, levy on, 22, 23, 32, 55.  
 —, robberies in, 164, 165, 217.  
 —, King's demesne lands in, 105.  
 —, lands of Meiler de Kendale in, 231.  
 —, lands of William de Monte in, 98.  
 —, pledges in, 299.  
 Kildare (liberty), seneschal of (named), *see* Bonevill.  
 —, — (unnamed), 134-135.  
 Kildare, bishop of, 318.  
 Kildare, Roger de, 143.  
 Kildenal, Kyldenale (Killenaule, Co. Tip.?), church of, 253.  
 —, the lords of, 129.  
 —, Henry, 292.

- Kildroght, Kyldroght (Celbridge, Co. Kild.), 318.  
 —, William son of Richard de, 228.  
 Kilergy (Killerrig, B. and Co. Car.), 175.  
 Kilfane, *see* Kylfane.  
 Kilfekyl (Kilteakle, Co. Tip.), 130.  
 Kiliwyr (Killare, Co. Wat.?), church of S. Laurence of, 177.  
 Kilka, Kylka (Kilkea, Co. Kild.), 26, 39.  
 —, Nicholas the priest of, 284.  
 Killeleghyn (Kilcullen, B. Gowran, Co. Kilk.), 317.  
 Kilkenny (town), proceedings at, 216–217, 283–285, 312–313; proceedings at, referred to, 16, 28.  
 —, castle, 48, 216.  
 —, priory of S. John, 28, 107.  
 —, other refs., 11, 29.  
 —, Alan Donnyns of, 28.  
 — (county), marginal notes of venue, 47, 216, 217, 234, 235, 284, 312, 313, 316, 317, 320, 325.  
 — (liberty), custos or seneschal of (named), *see* Cannteton, William de, and Droill, Thomas.  
 — (unnamed), 16, 29, 39, 42–43, 106, 107.  
 —, levy on, 22, 23, 32, 55.  
 —, cross of, *see* Ossory.  
 —, other refs., 11, 12, 104, 216, 241, 312, 321.  
 Kill (Co. Kild.), 159.  
 —, Richard son of Geoffrey of, 158.  
 —, — son of Thomas of, 159.  
 Killadoun, Kylladoun (Killadeon, B. Salt N., Co. Kild.), vicar of, 226, 244.  
 Killala, bishop of, *see* O Flaherty, Donatus, and Tankard, John.  
 —, *see* of, temporalities of, 17.  
 Killaloe, bishop of (named), *see* MacMahon, David, and O Kennedy, Donald.  
 —, — (unnamed), 56, 113, 302.  
 —, diocese of, benefice in, distrained on, 56.  
 —, —, prebend of Koehyr' in, 74.  
 Killamery, John, 301.  
 Killeedy, *see* Killyde.  
 Killeek, *see* Killich.  
 Killenaule, *see* Kildenal.  
 Killerrig, *see* Kilergy.  
 Killich, Killith, Killyth, Kylleth (Killeek, B. Nethercross, Co. Dub.), Adam Faber of, 143, 217.  
 Killich, John de, 64, 67, 84, 86.  
 Killincoole, *see* Kylinkoul.  
 Killotheran (Killoteran, B. Middlebird, Co. Wat.), John McGillemory, chaplain of, 250.  
 Killurd (Kilworth, Co. Cork?), 160.  
 Killure, *see* Kiliwyr.  
 Killyde (Killeedy, B. Glenquin, Co. Lim.), 1–2.  
 KilmacClegb (Kilmacleague, B. Gaultiere, Co. Wat.), 302.  
 Kilmad... (Co. Cork), 195.  
 Kilmainham; *see* Hospital, and Kilmaynan.  
 Kilmallock, *see* Kilmehallok.  
 Kilmaloge, *see* Kylmlog.  
 Kilmaynan, Kilmeynan, Kylmaynan, Maynan (Kilmainham, Co. Dub.), 219, 220.  
 —, David le Blound of, 219.  
 —, Richard le Haywa(rd) of, 319.  
 Kilmehallok, Richard, 205.  
 Kilmehallok, Kylmehallok (Kilmallock, Co. Cork), proceedings at, referred to, 95, 100.  
 —, murage, 5.  
 —, robberies near, 215, 296, 309.  
 —, John Silvester of, 309, 310.  
 —, William le Whyte of, 267.  
 Kilmoglasse (Kilmolash, B. Decies-without-Drum, Co. Wat.), 280.  
 Kilmore, Kylmor, Adam, 267, 308.  
 Kilmory (Kilmore, B. Carbury, Co. Kild.), 82.  
 Kilory (Co. Car.), 154.  
 Kilrossnetyn (Kilrossanty, Co. Wat.), 259.  
 Kilruncyn (Co. Wat.), 260.  
 Kiltel, *see* Kylhele.  
 Kiltoun, William, 279.  
 King, *see* Kyng.  
 King's Island (Lim.), 311.  
 King's Marsh (Lim.), 311.  
 Kingtoun, Adam de, 245.  
 Kinneagh, *see* Kynnegh.  
 Kinsale, *see* Kynsale.  
 Kirkeby, Kyrkerby, Kyr...., Mariot, 192, 202.  
 —, Richard de, 78.  
 Kissok, *see* Kyssok.  
 Knay, Philip, 165.  
 —, Richard, 165.  
 Knayth, John, 230.  
 —, Philip, 230.  
 —, Richard, 85.  
 Knight, Knyght, John son of Robert (John son of Robert, kt.), 146.  
 —, Nicholas, 169.  
 —, Waiter, 169.  
 Knoedonan, Cnokdonan (Co. Louth), Thomas le Blund of, 213.  
 —, Thomas le White of, 169.  
 Knock (Co. Louth), abbot of, 208.  
 Knockaincy, *see* Any.  
 Knockane, *see* Cnokan.  
 Knockgraffon, *see* Cnoctraffan.  
 Knockmark, Cnokmark (Knockmark, B. Deece Lr., Co. Meath), William Vicary of, 21–22.  
 Knocktopher, *see* Cnoktofre.  
 Knok, Stephen, 218.  
 Knyght, *see* Knight.  
 Knylle, Philip, 222.  
 —, Richard, 222.  
 Koehyr', prebend in dioc. Killaloe, 74.  
 Kokeley, *see* Cockeley.  
 Kolbard, Henry, 225.  
 Kryt, Robert, 293.  
 Kydenor, Kedenor, David, 294.  
 —, Patrick, 294.  
 Kyft, Kift, Alex. son of John son of Richard, 147.  
 —, John, 215, 269.  
 —, — son of Richard, 147.  
 —, Mark, 87.  
 —, Maurice, 251.  
 —, Maur. son of Alex. son of John, 147.



- Kyft, Maor, son of Richard, 147.  
 —, Milo, 222, 267, 308.  
 —, Richard son of Alex. son of John, 147.  
 —, Thomas, 311.  
 —, —, son of Alex. son of John, 147.  
 —, —, rural dean of Limerick, 304.  
 —, William, 307.  
 —, —, son of John, 269.  
 Kyllblethyn (Co. Kilk.), 217.  
 Kyllbrayth (Kilbragh, B. Middlethird, Co. Tip.?), Thomas Broun of, 246.  
 Kylead (Co. Tip.), 120.  
 Kyclethi, William de, monk of Downpatrick, 40, 41.  
 Kyldenale, *see* Kildenal.  
 Kyldroght, *see* Kildroght.  
 Kylegh, John de, 13.  
 Kyllane (Killane, B. Gowran, Co. Kilk.), William Longe of, 216.  
 Kyllhele (Kilteel, Co. Kild.), 44; prior of, 44.  
 Kylynkou, Kylynkou (Killincoole, B. and Co. Louth), 225.  
 Kylka, *see* Kilka.  
 Kylkoc (Kilcock, Co. Kild.), 243.  
 Kyllaban, David, 148.  
 Kylladouan, *see* Killadouan.  
 Kylleogh, John de, 225.  
 Kylleth, *see* Killich.  
 Kylmaynan, *see* Kilmaynan.  
 Kilmehallok, *see* Kilmehallok.  
 Kilmlog (Kilmaloge, B. Iffa and Offa W, Co. Tip.), 136.  
 Kilmor, *see* Kilmore.  
 Kylsleve (Co. Tip.?), John son of Hugh Purcel of, 325.  
 Kyltavenan, Kyltenenan, Kyltevenan, John, 193.  
 —, Nicholas of, 181, 215.  
 Kylton, Walter, 220.  
 —, William, 220.  
 Kynalo (Cinel Flaithiamhain, in B. Gorey, Co. Wex.), Onolans and Obrynn of, 172.  
 Kyng, Thomas, 214, 309.  
 —, William, 149.  
 Kyngeskyn (Co. Cork), 160.  
 Kyngestoun, de Kyngestoun, William, 151, 266, 277.  
 Kynhegh, Simon, 233.  
 Kynnegh, (Kinneagh, B. Kilmullen, Co. Kild.), 14–15, 34.  
 Kynsale, Kensale (Kinsale), 178, 181.  
 —, William Chapman of, 199.  
 —, Nicholas Cornewaleys of, 198.  
 Kyntork (Clonturk, B. Coolock, Co. Dub.), 258.  
 Kyntoun, Adam, 243.  
 —, William, 243.  
 Kyrkerby, Kyr., *see* Kirkeby.  
 Kyssok, Kissok, Henry, 113.  
 —, Richard, 30; coroner (Co. Dub.), 237.  
 Kyst, William, 158.  
 Kytteibern, Ralph, 213.  
 Kyttilbern, Mathew, 226.  
 Kyvernog, Kyvernok, Kevernok, William, 205, 268, 307, 309, 310, 312.  
 K. . . ., Ralph, 143.
- L
- Lackagh, *see* Lekkagh.  
 Lacy, de Lacy, Hugh de, grant of Meath to, 100.  
 —, Hugh, kt., 166, 324; Jordan the chaplain of, 166–167.  
 —, Richard, kt., 224.  
 —, Walter, kt., 276.  
 —, William, 41, 223, 266, 277.  
 —, . . . ., 224.  
 Laitan, Laitan, Lcfayn, Lefayn, Henry, 36, 66, 103, 122, 134, 282, 301, 302.  
 —, Henry, clerk, 322.  
 —, . . . ., 9.  
 Lagharne, de Laghern, de Lagherne, de Lagtherne, John, 37, 67, 203, 214, 310.  
 Lagheles, Laghles, Lagles, Laughles, Lauleys, Laweles, Andoen, 240.  
 —, Edwin, 266.  
 —, Geotfrey, 166.  
 —, Heleas, 89.  
 —, Henry, 201, 277, 279.  
 —, Hugh, de Sauntref, 222.  
 —, —, kt., 319.  
 —, John, 252.  
 —, Maurice, 301.  
 —, Philip, 203, 252.  
 —, Richard, mayor of Dublin, 222.  
 —, Robert, 294.  
 —, Roger, 282.  
 —, Walter, 318, 323.  
 —, William, 288.  
 Laghern, *see* Lagharne.  
 Laghren, John, 214.  
 Lagtherne, *see* Lagharne.  
 Lak. . . ., John de, 162.  
 Lambard, Simon, 308.  
 Lambaudyn, Lambodyn, William, 204, 269.  
 Lambert, Thomas, 292.  
 Landaf, Landaff, John de, 125, 242.  
 —, William, 219.  
 Landebourne, John, 163.  
 Landefey, Landefey, Richard, 252.  
 —, Thomas, 315.  
 Landegome, Londegom, William, 47.  
 Landrey, *see* Laundrey.  
 Lang, le Lange, Long, le Long, Long., Longe, le Longe, Longus, le Loung, le Lung, le Lunge, Adam, clerk, 219–220.  
 —, David, 156.  
 —, Geoffrey (Co. Car.), 173, 228, 231.  
 —, —, (Co. Cork), 115.  
 —, —, (Co. Dub.), 165.  
 —, —, (Co. Kild.), 176.  
 —, —, (Co. Lim.), 96.  
 —, —, (Co. Lonth), 169.  
 —, Gilbert, 292.  
 —, Henry (Co. Car.), 317, 321.  
 —, —, (Co. Lim.), 206, 304, 305.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 229.  
 —, —, (Co. Cork), 293.  
 —, —, (Co. Kild.), serjeant, 218.  
 —, —, (Co. Lim.), 215.  
 —, —, (Co. Louth), 225, 266.  
 —, —, (Co. Meath), 209, 279.  
 —, —, (Co. Tip.), 157.  
 —, —, of Lyuekan, sub-serjeant, 63–64.

- Lang, John son of Reginald, 310.  
 —, — son of William, 214, 310, 311.  
 —, Juliana, 176.  
 —, Martin, 113.  
 —, —, of Tassagard, 163.  
 —, Maurice, 176, 177, 179, 180, 182, 189, 248, 261, 280, 281.  
 —, Philip, 293.  
 —, —, of Dunbolg, 202.  
 —, — son of Thomas, of Molagh-cassyr, 164.  
 —, Richard, 286, 315.  
 —, Robert (Co. Car.), 173.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 165, 216.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 176.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 206, 214, 310-311.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 225.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 319.  
 —, —, of Molaghyde, 155.  
 —, Roger, 85, 310.  
 —, Stephen (Co. Cork), 191.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 17, 53-54.  
 —, —, jun., 212.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Car.), 171, 173, 231, 232.  
 —, — (Co. Kilk.), 217, 284.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 205-207, 311.  
 —, Walter (Co. Cork), 294.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 315.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 177.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 171, 231; serjeant of the King, 233.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 163.  
 —, —, of Kylvane, 216.  
 —, —, of Sengel (Sengill), 203, 311.  
 —, — son of Martin, of Tassagard, 163.  
 —, Wynark wife of Henry, 213.  
 —, . . . , 266.  
 Langeport, de Langeport, Langport, David, 29, 106.  
 —, John, 176.  
 Langford, William, 240.  
 Langley, Langele (Langley, Eng.), letters dated at, 87, 106, 111.  
 Langloue, Nicholas, 319.  
 —, Peter, 243.  
 —, Walter, 243.  
 Langport, *see* Langeport.  
 [Langton], Walter, bishop of Chester, Treasurer of England, 12.  
 Lanbary, Robert, 246.  
 Lanthony, prior of, 94-95.  
 Laragh, Adam, 90.  
 Larcher, *see* Archer.  
 Large, le Large, Gilbert, 105.  
 —, Walter, 177, 183, 248, 251.  
 Lathlyn, . . . de, 260.  
 Latrath, Latrath', Henry, 249, 250.  
 Latymer, le Latymer, le Latimer, Adam, 44.  
 —, Simon, 272, 274.  
 Laucok, Walter, 292.  
 Laudefey, *see* Landefey.  
 Laudhary, Robert, 113.  
 Laughles, Lanleys, *see* Lagheles.  
 Launde, Henry de la, 10.  
 —, William de, de la, 10, 84.  
 Laundrey, Landrey, Thomas, 306, 307.  
 —, Walter, 197, 297.  
 —, William, 307.  
 Launey, Launneye, *see* Auney.  
 Laurans, Symon, 206.  
 Laurence, Laur., Alexander son of Nicholas, 284.  
 —, Andrew son of William, 284.  
 —, Geoffrey, 226.  
 —, Henry, jun., 225-226.  
 —, John son of, 121, 243.  
 —, — son of Nicholas, 284.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 284.  
 —, Jordan son of, 144.  
 —, Lucia dau. of Nicholas, (?), 284.  
 —, Philip son of, 175.  
 —, Richard, 226.  
 —, Robert son of, 267.  
 —, Stephen son of, 175.  
 Laveragh, Robert, 264.  
 Laverok, Adam, 149-150.  
 Laweles, *see* Lagheles.  
 Laynagh, *see* Leynagh.  
 Laynought (Lehenagh, B. & Co. Cork ?), 160.  
 La. . . . les, Roger, 178.  
 Lebritht, Peter, 314.  
 Lech, Leche, le Leche, Adam, of Geel, 252.  
 —, — son of Adam, of Geel, 126.  
 —, Thomas, 162.  
 Ledeshram, Nicholas, 306.  
 Ledyr, *see also* Lyder.  
 Ledyr, Ledyre, Nicholas son of Griffin, 216.  
 —, Walter, 274.  
 Lees, *see also* Lesc.  
 Lees, Henry de, 163, 164.  
 —, Hugh de, kt., 304.  
 —, Richard de, 6, 254.  
 —, Roger de, le, kt., 312; coroner (Co. Lim.), 304, 309.  
 —, Stephen de, 171.  
 —, Thomas de, 146, 310.  
 —, —, del Garnethc, 305.  
 —, — son of William de, 146, 304.  
 Lefayn, Lefian, Lefayn, *see* Laffan.  
 Leg, Alexander, 298.  
 Leglas (Co. Cork), the water of, 294.  
 Legraue, Anselm, 145.  
 Lehenagh, *see* Laynought.  
 Leighlin, Leghlyn, Leyghlin, proceedings at, 145; other refs., 172-173.  
 —, bishop of, *see* Chevere.  
 —, archdeacon of, *see* Bruu, Ralph le.  
 —, Stephen, priest in dioc. of, 165-166.  
 —, Simon le Deyer of, 172-173, 231.  
 —, Adam le Tanner of, 171.  
 Leinster, army of, 276.  
 —, crosses of, 317.  
 —, Irish of the mountains of, 51, 67, 147, 164, 200, 226, 228, 237, 245, 247, 251, 271, 315, 319.  
 —, levy on, 11.  
 —, liberties of, 159, 317.  
 —, thieves and felons in, 302, 317.  
 —, Richard son of Richard le Shepherd of, 199.  
 Leixlip, Saltus Salmonum, Adam the clerk of, 286.  
 —, Mabilla the weaver of, 286.  
 Lek, Alice wife of William, of Kildare, 157-158.  
 —, William, of Kildare, 157-158.  
 Lekkagh (Lackagh, B. Offaly W. Co. Kild.), Adam the Clerk of, 158.

- Le Keu, *see* Keu.  
 Lekno, Geoffrey de, 221.  
 —, John de, 277.  
 Lemagh, Gilbert, 109.  
 Lemman, John, 170-171.  
 Lenfant, Lenfaunt, Henry, 274.  
 —, John, 267, 269.  
 —, Robert, 306.  
 —, Thomas, 272, 274.  
 —, Walter, kt., Justice in eyre, 6, 35-36, 194; Justice at common assizes in the liberty of Catherlagh, 41; appointment as Justice to hold pleas following the Justiciar, 111, other refs. to, as Justice, 63, 72, 108; other refs., 8, 163, 166.  
 —, . . . ., 26.  
 Lengleis, Lengleys, *see* Engleis.  
 Leon, Isolde, 221.  
 Lercedekne, Lercedkne, Lercediakne, Lerscedekne, *see* Ercedakne.  
 Lese, le (S. John's Leas, Terenure, Co. Dub.), 315.  
 Lese, *see also* Lees.  
 Lese, Adam de, 315.  
 Lestapoun, William, 48.  
 Lethteok (Co. Kild., or Loughtecog, B. Stradbally, Co. Leix?), 78.  
 Lettif, Hugh, 107.  
 Leveknyht, William, 240.  
 Levyot, Roger, 319.  
 Lewe, *see* Aqua.  
 Lewl. . . ., William, 144.  
 Lewys, *see* Lowys.  
 Lextoun, Adam, 267.  
 Ley, *see also* Leys.  
 Ley, Leye, Adam de, 262.  
 —, Mabilla de, 288.  
 —, Richard son of William de, 305.  
 —, Robert de, 243.  
 —, Roger de, 215.  
 Leycestre, John de, 222, 240.  
 Leyghlyn, *see* Leighlin.  
 Leynagh, Laynagh, Leygnagh, Leynath, Adam, 297.  
 —, Barth., 148.  
 —, Clement, 324.  
 —, David, 323-324.  
 —, Geoffrey, 225.  
 —, Gilbert, 313.  
 —, Henry, 90.  
 —, Isolda, 268.  
 —, Ivo, 323-324.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 291.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 267, 304, 309.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 255.  
 —, Nicholas (Co. Car.), 173, 174.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 123, 323-324.  
 —, Philip (Co. Dub.), 150.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 306.  
 —, Ralph (Co. Lim.), 307.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 253.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 10.  
 —, — son of William, 10.  
 —, Richard, 294-295.  
 —, Robert, 206, 309, 310, 312.  
 —, Symon, 177.  
 —, Thomas, 10, 120, 121.  
 —, —, of Ardfynan, 254.  
 —, Walter, 121, 128.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 10, 120.  
 —, William, 307.  
 Leynaghston, Leynaghstoun (Ballylina, B. Ormond Lr., Co. Tip.?), 10, 120, 121.  
 Leynester, Elias, 292.  
 Leynot, Adam, 143.  
 Leynz, *see also* Eyntz.  
 Leynz, William de, 309, 310.  
 Leys, *see also* Ley.  
 Leys, John de, King's serjeant (Co. Meath), 279.  
 Leysshagh, John, 219.  
 Ley. . . ., William de, 312.  
 Liffey, *see* Aunleffy.  
 Limerick (city), proceedings at, 1-6, 203-208, 214-216, 266-270, 303-312; proceedings at, referred to, 37, 67.  
 —, incidents in or near; 203, 214, 270, 309, 311.  
 —, treaty with O Briens of Thomond, 2.  
 —, granted right to take pledges from malefactors, 269.  
 —, castle, 17, 115.  
 —, church of S. Mary, 208.  
 —, King's Island and King's Marsh near, 311.  
 —, Sywardesrath in the suburbs of, 214.  
 —, weir of, 2.  
 —, coroner, *see* Rembaud, Robert.  
 —, Walter Martel of, 203.  
 —, other refs., 3, 37, 215.  
 Limerick (county), marginal notes of venue, 1-5, 17, 26, 28, 37, 58, 66, 74, 95, 99, 114, 115, 161, 203-208, 214, 215, 222, 266-270, 303-313.  
 —, sheriff (named), *see* Athy, John de; Donati, Cambinus; John (or Philip), William son of.  
 —, — (unnamed), 1, 3, 5, 26, 58, 66, 67, 95, 159.  
 —, *mandamus* for payment out of issues of, 26.  
 —, pardon for offences in, 207.  
 —, thieves in, 303.  
 —, coroner, *see* Fanyin, Clement.  
 —, chief serjeant, *see* Maunsell, Walter.  
 —, King's serjeant, *see* Thomas, William son of, de Shengole.  
 —, other refs., 310.  
 Limerick (dioc.), bishop, *see* Dundonald, Robert, and Waters, Eustace.  
 —, Adam clerk of the bishop of, 207-208.  
 —, rural dean of, *see* Kyft, Thomas.  
 —, Henry, chaplain of the deanery of, 308.  
 Lisboyng, Lysboyn, (Co. Tip.), 121, 270.  
 Lisduff, *see* Lysduf.  
 Lismore, Lysmore, 314.  
 —, bishop of (named), *see* Fleming, William le.  
 —, — (unnamed), 181, 272.  
 —, archdeacon of, *see* Rossell, Roger.  
 Lisnegyll, *see* Lisnekil.  
 Lithe, *see* Lythe.  
 Lithfol, *see* Lythfol.  
 Littlebury, Littleburi, Robert de, Justice of the Bench, 68, 70.

- Litterath, *see* Lytelrath.  
 Liverpool, *see* Lyverpol.  
 Loc, Lok, William, 299.  
 Locard, Lockard, Adam, 132-133.  
 —, Richard, 48, 98-99, 108-110, 140;  
     serjeant advocate or serjeant  
     pleader, 58-59, 62, 302.  
 —, Richard, decd; brother of Adam,  
     133.  
 Lochken, *see* Loghken.  
 Lochlochay, Stephen de, 256.  
 Lochwe, William, 295.  
 Lockard, *see* Locard.  
 Locum, Robert, 316.  
 Loddyn, Philip, 306.  
 Lodelowe, Richard de, 150.  
 Lodyngton, near Barrieston (Co. Kild.),  
     81.  
 Lodyngton, John son of Thomas de, 81.  
 —, Thomas de, 81.  
 Logan, James, 199.  
 Loge, Rogr de, 149.  
 —, Thomas de, 147, 149.  
 Loges, Hamo de, 307.  
 Logh, the, manor of the bishop of  
     Ossory, 216, 217.  
 Logh, Henry son of Richard, 217.  
 Loghan, Walter, 162.  
 —, William, 241.  
 Loghken (Loughkeen, B. Ormond Lr.,  
     Co. Tip.), John le Blound of, 9.  
 —, Thomas le Blound of, 274.  
 —, John White of, 157.  
 Loghken, de Loghken, de Lochken, de  
     Logken, John, 8-9, 253, 272-  
     274.  
 —, Robert, 8-9, 322.  
 —, Wydly, 9.  
 Loghlother, Loghlythirre, Stephen, 274.  
 —, William, 274.  
 Lok, *see* Loc.  
 Lollar, Robert, 281.  
 Lollay, Robert, 248, 261.  
 Lomb, Mariot, 299.  
 Londegom, *see* Landegome.  
 London, Londoun, 14.  
 —, Geoffrey son of Maurice Young  
     of, 307.  
 London, Londoun, Londres, Loundres,  
     Loundr.,  
 —, John de, of, (Co. Lim.), 204, 206,  
     267, 306, 307, 309.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 130, 254, 255,  
     257.  
 —, — son of Henry de, 272.  
 —, Maurice de, 204, 206, 208, 306,  
     307, 309, 312.  
 —, Michael de, 124.  
 —, Robert de (Co. Lin.), 307.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 240.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 9.  
 —, Stephen de, 256.  
 —, Thomas de, 23.  
 —, William de (Co. Cork), 287, 294.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 47, 84.  
 Long, Longe, Longus, *see* Lang.  
 Lorfoure, *see also* Aurifaber.  
 Lorfoure, Stephen, 168.  
 Loterel, Luterel, Agnes wife of David,  
     245.  
 —, David, 245.  
 —, Geoffrey, 46, 218.  
 —, William, le Petit, 316.  
 Loterel, . . . , 154.  
 Lothken, *see* Loghken.  
 Lou, Loue, Lov, Louwe, Lowe, Henry  
     le, 291.  
 —, Richard le, 247.  
 —, Thomas le, 247.  
 —, William, 81-82.  
 —, — le, clerk, 59, 60, 168.  
 Loude, John de la, 241.  
 —, Philip de la, 241.  
 Loud. . . . , Lo. . . . doun, Eva, dau. of  
     William, 154-155.  
 —, William, 155.  
 Loudyn, William, 158.  
 Lone, *see* Lou.  
 Lonesay, Adam, 162.  
 Lough, Richard de, 153-154.  
 Loughkeen, *see* Loghken.  
 Loughlyn, John son of, 173.  
 —, Richard son of John son of, 173.  
 Loughsewdy, *see* Loxeuedy.  
 Loughteog, *see* Lethteok.  
 Loundres, *see* London.  
 Loung, Lounge, *see* Lang.  
 Louragh, Henry, 243.  
 Louth, Loueth (town), 238.  
 — (county), marginal notes of venue,  
     93, 161, 166-170, 208, 209, 211-  
     213, 217, 223, 225, 226, 237, 239,  
     240, 247, 265, 266, 271, 274-278,  
     300, 319.  
 —, sheriff (named), *see* Haulberge,  
     Benedict de, and Gernoun,  
     Richard.  
 —, — (unnamed), 213.  
 —, coroner, *see* Drumgol, Robert de.  
 —, disturbances in, 161, 170, 191,  
     237-239, 247, 265, 266, 275-278.  
 —, Irish of, 161.  
 —, lord of, lands of, 237.  
 Louwelyn, Lowelyn, Adam, 246.  
 —, John son of David, 313.  
 Loveday, Alice, 198.  
 —, Robert, 198.  
 Lovel, David, 223.  
 —, John, 211-213.  
 —, Richard, 212, 266, 279.  
 —, Robert, 204, 206, 306.  
 —, Stephen, 192, 196, 202, 288, 291,  
     292.  
 —, Thomas, 254, 255.  
 Lovesast, John, 249.  
 Lovetrot, John, 311.  
 Lowe, *see* Lou.  
 Lowelyn, *see* Louwelyn.  
 Lowemoy (Co. Tip.), 324.  
 Lowys, Lewys, Adam, 239.  
 —, Henry, 90.  
 —, John son of Maurice, 180.  
 —, Juliana, 283.  
 —, Milo, 323.  
 —, Robert, 323.  
 —, Symon, 189.  
 —, Walter, 281.  
 Loxeuedy (Loughsewdy, Co. West-  
     meath), 3; William Hamond of,  
     220.  
 Lo. . . . burgh, Geoffrey, 143.  
 Lo. . . . doun, *see* Loud. . . .  
 Luc., John son of Robert, 306.  
 Lucan, *see* Lyuekan.  
 Lucas, *see also* Luk.  
 Lucas, Henry, 143.

Lucas, John, 307.  
 —, William, 307.  
 Lude, Robert de, 275, 277, 279.  
 —, Thomas de, 149.  
 Ludegate, Simon de, Justice of the Bench, 67–68.  
 Luk, Luke, *see also* Lucas.  
 Luk, David, 198.  
 Luke, David son of, 301.  
 —, Thomas son of Richard son of, 241.  
 Lumbard, John, 237.  
 —, Walter le, 48.  
 Lumenour, Lumynor, Robert le, alias le Tailour, 166–168.  
 Lung, Lunge, *see* Lang.  
 Lungespy, Walter, 299.  
 Lunt, John, 235, 236.  
 Lusk, Gilbert, 258, 264.  
 —, William de, 286.  
 Lusser, Walter, 267.  
 Lutelyngton, Lutilington, Lutyngton, John de, 92.  
 Luterele, *see* Loterel.  
 Lych, Hugh de, 47.  
 Lycheffeld, David, 314.  
 Lyder, *see also* Ledyr.  
 Lyder, Henry, 313.  
 —, William, 316–317.  
 Lydyr, Richard son of Richard, 259.  
 Lylye, John, 268.  
 Lynput, John, 285.  
 Lyn, John, 218.  
 Lyndesey, Michael de, 240.  
 Lyne, William de, 176.  
 Lyneger, Richard, 246.  
 Lynet, *see* Lyvet.  
 Lynne, Barth, de, 165.  
 Lyntoun, John, 243.  
 Lyouns, Robert de, 281, 299, 300.  
 —, William de, 270.  
 Lysboyn, *see* Lisboying.  
 Lysduf (Lisduff, B. Ibane and Barryroe, Co. Cork ?), 160.  
 Lysern, Robert de la, 16–17.  
 Lysmac (Co. Wat.), Robert le Poer of, 190.  
 Lysmethan (Co. Car.), 25, 27.  
 Lysmore, *see* Lisnore.  
 Lysnekill, Lysnekill, Lisnegyll, de Lisnegyll, Ralph, 204, 206, 307, 309.  
 Lyssinossery (Co. Tip.), 120.  
 Lysofran (Co. Cork), 160.  
 Lyssynhethan (Co. Tip.), 120.  
 Lyt, Richard de, 243, 298, 299.  
 Lytelharm, Adam, 220.  
 Lytelrath (Littlerath, B. Naas N, Co. Kild.), Thomas the Clerk of, 318.  
 Lythe, de Lythe, Lithe, Geoffrey, 169, 212.  
 —, Hugh, 17, 53–54, 75.  
 —, Thomas, 169, 212.  
 Lythfot, Lifthot, Maurice, 254–255.  
 —, Stephen, 165.  
 Lyttoun, William, 233.  
 Lyuekan (Lucan, Co. Dub.), John le Loung of, 63.  
 Lyverour, Hugh le, 267.  
 Lyverpol (Liverpool), Richard son of Robert Faber of, 219.  
 Lyvet (sometimes transcribed as Lynet), Gilbert de, 129.

Lyvet, John de, 5, 44, 97–98, 146, 271.  
 —, Michael de, 156, 163.  
 —, — son of Reginald de, 229–230.  
 —, Richard de, 238, 325.  
 —, —, of Tyrerath, 90.  
 —, William de, 44, 156, 163, 230.  
 Lyvok, Lydir, 314.  
 L. . . . , Maurice de, 247.

## M

Maar, Lodowyc, 145.  
 McAdam, Thomas, 149.  
 McAdekyn, 306.  
 McAlryth, William, 287.  
 McAmilaf, Robert, 48.  
 McAssemmond, Thomas, 200.  
 McA. . . . , John, 168.  
 McBaghely, Maurice, 302.  
 McBalyg, Adam, 194.  
 McBegher, Moluk, called Strakyr, 272.  
 Macbreen, McBren, Donethud McConcobur Tirnellath, 194.  
 —, Dovenaldus (Dovenald, Donald), 194, 263, 313.  
 —, Geoffrey, 204.  
 —, — McRicard, 207.  
 —, Lygygh, 194.  
 —, Macconcobur, 194.  
 —, McYertagh, 207.  
 —, Mathun, 313.  
 —, Murchugh, 194.  
 —, Murthut, 313.  
 —, Murthut Mantagh, 301.  
 —, Orly Eny (Orly EnymcBreen), 247.  
 —, Tervallagh Gaarbud, 194.  
 —, Thady Bacagh, 194.  
 —, Tyrnellath O. . . . , 194.  
 —, William, 207.  
 [MacCarroll], John, bishop of Cork, 288, 290.  
 [MacCarwell], Maurice, archbishop of Cashel, 39, 132–133, 302.  
 McCasmyth, Philip son of A. . . . , 190.  
 McCasse, Ivor, 149.  
 McCassy, John, 219.  
 McCaufy, John, 240.  
 McChoilan, Ney Inyn, 283.  
 McCleragh, Doneghut son of Dowok, 317.  
 —, Richard son of Dowok, 317.  
 McClynge (O Flynn), Florence, archbishop of Tuam, 90, 113–114.  
 McCodiltan, Adam McConor (son of Conuk), 317, 321.  
 —, Coneghur, 145.  
 —, Con. . . . thur, 321.  
 —, Craythyn McEyni (Crachyn McEyn), 317, 321.  
 —, David son of Counok, 145.  
 —, — son of Henry, 317, 321.  
 —, — son of Philip, 145, 321.  
 —, Dermot, 145.  
 —, — McLonyth, 321.  
 —, — McWillok, 317.  
 —, Doneghut (Donthut), McKelly, 317, 321.  
 —, Henry Brek, 145, 317, 321.  
 —, McCrayth (McRach) McRobyn, 317, 321.  
 —, Malaghlyn, 145, 317, 321.  
 —, Milo, 145.

- McCodiltan, Mothok, Mothuk, 317, 321.  
 —, Murthut, 321.  
 —, Roger Duff, 321.  
 —, Thomas McDovenold (McDcnald, son of Dovenald), 145, 317, 321.  
 —, William son of Milo, 321.  
 McCoghloghan, Patrick, 180, 184.  
 Macconcobur, Thady, 194.  
 —, Thomas, 194.  
 McCorcan, John, 103.  
 McCotir, Reginald, 7, 10.  
 McDonwill, Tayg, 174.  
 McDowe, Donchut, 321.  
 McElan, John, 163.  
 Maccoun, Henry le, 274.  
 McEthe, David, 172.  
 —, Tayg, 234.  
 Macgaveny, *see* Magaveny.  
 McGhut, *see* Murghut.  
 McGillekeleghan, John, 287.  
 McGillemory, Macgillemory, Adam son of Chaplain, 250.  
 —, Gerald, 187.  
 —, Gillecrist (Gillecriste), 186.  
 —, Gillecriste (another), 188.  
 —, John, 186.  
 —, John, chaplain of Killotheran, 250.  
 —, John son of Ivor (Yvor), 185-187.  
 —, Reginald, 186-187.  
 —, Richard, 180.  
 —, William, 186.  
 —, — (another), 187.  
 Macgillemorycs, the, 186-187.  
 McGilletenny, Pady, 200.  
 McGillynan, Murghut, 174.  
 McGilpatrick, William son of Dovenold Og, 257.  
 McGilray (or McGilroy), Thomas, 271.  
 McGorman, Dermot Ballagh, 230-231.  
 —, Flan Ynym, 230.  
 McHanerth, Geoffrey, 321.  
 McHotyr, *see also* McOtyr.  
 McHotyr, Elena, 132-133.  
 McJohan, Finin, 257.  
 Mack . . . (Co. Cork), 292.  
 McKarwill, *see also* O Karwil.  
 McKarwill, Maurice, 264.  
 McKele, Owyr, 174.  
 —, Reginald, 174.  
 McKenbory, Amlaf, 316.  
 McKenedy, *see also* O Kennedy and Okynedy.  
 McKenedy, Teige Og, 313.  
 McKenmory, Philip, 316.  
 McKentravene, *see* McTravenc.  
 McKermekan, Richard, 192.  
 McKille, Laurence son of Philip the butcher, of Villa Poer, 242.  
 McKillenán, William, 248.  
 McKynegan, *see* Newcastle McKynegan.  
 McKyntyr, Dovenald, 48.  
 McKyrgyr, Alexander, 150.  
 McKyrvehawyn, Nicholas, 179.  
 McKys, William, 240.  
 McLaderan, Peter, 295.  
 McLemather, *see* Barefot.  
 McLode, John, 290, 298.  
 McLyng, Philip, 175.  
 McMaghoun, Gilletyrny, 161.  
 —, Maghoun, 161, 170.  
 [McMahon], David, bishop of Killaloe, 5, 270, 303.  
 McManus, Dermot, 173.  
 —, Malmore, 173.  
 McManyth, John, 263.  
 McMayghyn, Adam, 182.  
 McMoigmerly, Nicholas, 144.  
 McMorghuth, McMorghugh, McMorughuth, McMoruhuth, McMrubuth, Macmurguth, McMurth, McUhuth,  
 —, Dovenald son of Dovenald, 25.  
 —, John, 174.  
 —, Maur., 174.  
 —, Morghuth (Morughuth, Morghugh, Moruhuth, Mcuhuth) Ballagh, 11, 22, 23, 32, 55.  
 —, Thomas, 174.  
 Macmurgths, McMurghuthes, the, 159, 160, 165.  
 Macneel, McNeel, Conlygh (Conlyth, Coulygh), 167, 168, 170.  
 —, William, 170.  
 McNelare, Comdin O Tosshy, 257.  
 McOrtan, Ademot, 271.  
 McOtyr, *see also* McHotyr.  
 McOtyr, Elena, wife of Walter Godsone, 301.  
 —, Reginald, 120, 254.  
 McL'adyn, Conewhor, G. . . ., 214.  
 —, Conghur Gar, 208.  
 McRegan, Doughut, 174.  
 McRicard, McRikard, Bren, 205, 206.  
 McRierdagh, *see* Morierdagh.  
 Merte, John, 294.  
 McRys, Henry, 248.  
 McSchaaun, Philip, 239.  
 McSchyttrok, McScitthruk, McScytrok, McStythrok, Gillepatrik, 263.  
 —, Griffin, 180, 283.  
 —, Philip, 190.  
 McTalneith, William, 176.  
 McTani, Donewyith, 216.  
 McTayg, Doneghut, 313.  
 —, Tyrdolagh, 313.  
 McTorkoill, Fyngole wife of Walter, 285.  
 —, John, 285.  
 —, Walter, 285.  
 McTownmcygl. . . ., William, 303.  
 McTrahene, *see also* Trahene.  
 McTrahene, Ranyld, 246.  
 McTrathene, Adok Othir, 179.  
 McTravene, McKentravene, Geoffrey son of John, 261.  
 McTrayn, Thomas, 321.  
 McTrif, Stephen, 171.  
 McUbuth, William Falyagh Beg, 10.  
 McUhuth, *see* McMorghuth.  
 McWalter, McWaltir, Andrew 322.  
 —, Geoffrey, 184.  
 McYogh, Conghur, 207.  
 —, Gillepatrick, 207.  
 McYoghcs, the, 207.  
 McYoghegan, McYertagh, 26.  
 Mc. . . ., Cormok, 194.  
 Madeshale, Laurence, 323.  
 Madok, Maddok, John, 216, 313, 317.  
 —, John Roch, 203.  
 —, Maurice, 290.  
 —, Philip, 290, 317.  
 Magaveny, Macgaveny, Makgaveny, Moygaveny (Maganey, B. Kilkea and Moone, Co. Kild.), 325.

- Magaveny, monks of, 229.  
 —, grange of the abbot of Baltinglass at, 241.  
 —, Nicholas le Waleys of, 63.  
 Maghery, Robert, 295.  
 Magio (Mayo), abbots of, 1, 4.  
 Magnel, Mangnel, David, 201, 295.  
 —, James, 199, 294.  
 —, John, 229.  
 —, Jordan, 85, 294.  
 —, Patrick, 247.  
 —, Philip, Philip del Rath, 192-194, 203, 247, 288, 295.  
 —, Reymund, 195, 297.  
 —, Richard, 223, 227, 275; coroner of Drogheda, 167, 168.  
 —, Robert, 201.  
 —, Walter, 62.  
 —, William, 189.  
 Magorban, *see* Moygorban.  
 Maistrestoun (Masterstoun, B. Clanwilliam, Co. Tip.), Roger son of Adam and Stephen son of Adam son of Simon of, 255.  
 Makepays, Makepays, Nicholas, 144, 237.  
 Makgaveny, *see* Magaveny.  
 Makortan, Robert, 144.  
 Makowyn, Adam, 321.  
 Malahide, *see* Molaghhyde.  
 Malefaunt, Malenfant, Malenfant, Gilbert, 201.  
 —, Walter, 291, 294.  
 Malon, Walter, 273.  
 Malros, Andrew, 299.  
 —, John, 287.  
 Malvern, Johanna wife of John, 148.  
 —, John, 148.  
 Man, *see also* Manne.  
 Man, Alice dau. of John, 82.  
 —, Isabella dau. of John, 82.  
 —, John, 82.  
 —, William, 312.  
 Mandevill, *see* Maundevill.  
 Maneghan, William, 213.  
 Manfras, Laurence, 217-218.  
 Mangnel, *see* Magnel.  
 Manne, *see also* Man.  
 Manne, William, 169, 213.  
 —, —, son of Henry, 209.  
 Mannen, William, 266.  
 Mannyng, Mannyng, Manyng, David, 75, 147, 175.  
 —, Richard, 75, 83, 254.  
 Mansot, Peter, 177.  
 Manywrench, Manywrenche, Many Wrenche, Monywrench,  
 —, Simon, 27, 273.  
 —, William, 27, 124-125.  
 Map, Mape, Mape, Isard, 176.  
 —, Lewis, Lowys, Lodewich, Lodowyc, 215, 261, 280.  
 Maper, Adam, 189.  
 Mar., Henry, 304.  
 Marcel, *see* Martel.  
 Marchal, *see* Mareschal.  
 Marchaleston (Marshalstown, B. Kilkea and Moone, Co. Kild.), 80.  
 Marche, March, le March, le Marche, de Marche, Richard, 44, 175, 241.  
 —, Robert, 11, 130, 254, 255, 274.  
 —, Roger, 35, 42.  
 Marche (Co. Car.), William Fyn of, 174.  
 Marchis, Peter, 157.  
 Marcley, *see* Markleye.  
 Mardewell, Philip, 157.  
 Mardyn, Nicholas, 144.  
 Marcis, *see* Mareys.  
 Marescall *see also* Marshal.  
 Marescall, le Marescall, Mareschal, le Mareschal, le Mareschal, le Marischal, le Marischal,  
 —, Alan, 279.  
 —, David, 156.  
 —, Elias, 245.  
 —, Henry, 267, 268, 270, 311.  
 —, Jacob (James), 34, 165.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 27.  
 —, —, (Co. Dub.), 219.  
 —, —, (Co. Wat.), 259, 283.  
 —, —, of Balyethe, 27.  
 —, —, of Palytarfyn, 35.  
 —, —, of Rathcoul, 65.  
 —, —, *see also* Call.  
 —, Luke, 165, 247.  
 —, Peter, jun., 156.  
 —, Richard (Co. Dub.), 264-265.  
 —, Richard (Co. Louth), 209.  
 —, —, (Co. Tip.), 303.  
 —, Robert, 251, 299, 313.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Dub.), 35, 83.  
 —, —, (Co. Wat.), 183, 259.  
 —, Walter, 156, 183.  
 Mareys, *see also* Marisco.  
 Mareys, Mareis, Marreys, Herbert de, 128, 302.  
 —, John de, of Bruury, 258.  
 —, Richard de, 257, 273, 302.  
 —, Silvester de, 257.  
 Mariner, David, *see* Mazzyner.  
 —, John, 291.  
 Marischal, Marischal, *see* Marescall.  
 Marisco, *see also* Mareys.  
 Marisco, Adam de, 322.  
 —, William de, 47, 77, 86.  
 Market, Philip, 192.  
 Markleye, Marcley, John, 18 (or James), 235, 236.  
 Marmilloun, John, 323.  
 —, Thomas, 323.  
 Marshal, *see also* Marescall.  
 Marshal, Peter the, 236.  
 Marshalstown, *see* Marchaleston and Mershystown.  
 Martel, Marcel, Adam, 207, 222, 258, 268.  
 —, —, son of Philip, 99.  
 —, David, 127, 269.  
 —, Henry, 206.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 234.  
 —, —, (Co. Tip.), 31, 36.  
 —, —, of Insouan, 201.  
 —, Nicholas, 198.  
 —, Richard, 207.  
 —, Walter (Co. Lim.), 205, 206, 268.  
 —, —, (Co. Tip.), 99, 146.  
 —, —, of Limerick, 203.  
 —, Walter son of Thomas, 269.  
 —, William, 198, 287, 294.  
 —, . . . , 289.  
 Marteleston (Mortlestown, B. Iffa and Offa W, Co. Tip.), 10.  
 Martin, Martyn, Geoffrey, 227.  
 —, Henry, 128.

- Martin, Ph., 156.  
 —, Richard, 226, 244, 318.  
 —, Roger, 224.  
 —, Simon, 222, 308.  
 —, Walter, 213, 225.  
 —, William, 287.  
 Martinstown (Co. Tip.), 257.  
 Maryn, John de la, 323.  
 Masiner, *see* Mazyner.  
 Mason, *see* Mazoun.  
 Masterstown, *see* Maistrestoun.  
 Mastil, Evclot, 321.  
 Mathergal (Morgallion, Co. Meath), the parts of, 276.  
 Mathirgalyn, Roger, 275, 277.  
 Mathew, Matthew, Matheu, Griffin son of, 217, 235, 236, 313.  
 —, Henry, sen., 217.  
 —, —, jun., 217.  
 —, John, 13-14, 35, 44, 61, 63-64, 217.  
 —, — son of, 192.  
 —, Maurice, 311.  
 —, Peter son of, 272.  
 —, Thomas son of, 272, 274, 307.  
 —, — son of Henry, 217.  
 —, — son of William, 217.  
 —, Walter son of, 224.  
 —, William son of, 292.  
 Mattesal, John de, 220.  
 Mattoy, Grahyn Ocohan, 176.  
 Mauchetoun, Thomas de, 222.  
 Mauclerk, Deonisia wife of Richard, 256(?).  
 —, John, 199.  
 —, — son of Richard, 146.  
 —, Joice, 257.  
 —, Laurence, 303.  
 —, Michael son of Richard, 256(?).  
 —, Richard, 47, 66, 103, 255, 256(?).  
 Maucovenant, Maucovenaund, Reginald, 229, 231, 233.  
 —, Roger, 229.  
 Mauern, *see* Mauverne.  
 Maueu, Robert, 276.  
 Maugoun, Richard, 316.  
 Maundevill, Mandevill, David de, 196.  
 —, James de, 195, 201.  
 —, John de, 195, 297.  
 —, Richard de, 195, 201.  
 —, Thomas de, kt., 209.  
 —, William de, 50, 56-57.  
 Maunger, Walter, 206-207.  
 Maunsel, *see also* Monsel.  
 Maunsel, Maunsell, Adam, 304.  
 —, David, 146.  
 —, John, (Co. Car.), 174-175, 265.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 128.  
 —, — son of Robert, 146.  
 —, — son of Walter, 125.  
 —, Mathew (son of Philip son of Mathew), 73-74.  
 —, Peter son of William, 146.  
 —, Philip son of Mathew, 72-74.  
 —, — son of William, 146.  
 —, Reymund son of Philip, 146.  
 —, Richard son of William, 146.  
 —, Robert, 175.  
 —, Sarah wife of Philip son of Mathew, 73.  
 —, Symon, 320.  
 —, Walter (Co. Tip.), 125, 270; chief serjeant, 50, 52, 258.  
 Maunsel, Walter, chief serjeant (Co. Lim.), 67, 101-102, 114, 305; serjeant, 58.  
 —, — son of Reimund, 146.  
 —, — son of William, 146.  
 —, William, 301.  
 —, — son of Robert, 146, 208, 305.  
 —, — son of Walter, 146.  
 Maurice, Adam son of, 259.  
 —, Gerald son of, 82, 245.  
 —, John son of, 45.  
 —, Nich las son of, 253, 255.  
 —, Remund (Reymund) son of, 182, 249, 251.  
 —, Richard son of, 175.  
 —, Thomas son of, 2.  
 —, Walter son of, 42-43, 45.  
 —, William son of, 267.  
 Mauverne, Maucrn, Adam, 90, 128.  
 Mauvesin, Mauveisin, Mauveysin, Philip, 211, 213, 277.  
 —, Richard, 118.  
 —, Walter, 151.  
 May (Monasternenagh, Co. Lim.), monks of, 309, 310.  
 Maydewell, John de, 315.  
 Maydewill, John son of, 301.  
 Maynan, *see* Kilmayan.  
 Maynard, John, 215.  
 —, Walter, 171.  
 Maynogh (Mayrooth, Co. Kild.), 143, 218.  
 Mayo, *see* Magio.  
 Mayow, Henry, 72.  
 Maystir, John, 224.  
 Mazener, Maziner, *see* Mazyner.  
 Mazoun, le Mazoun, Adam, 85, 173, 306.  
 —, Nicholas, 306.  
 —, Stephen, 85.  
 —, . . . ., 173.  
 Mazyner, Mariner, Masiner, Mazener, Maziner, David le, 96, 156, 176, 318; sheriff of Kildare, 148, 156, 158, 226.  
 —, Lambert, 96, 164.  
 Ma . . . ye, James le, 325.  
 Meath, *see also* Midia.  
 Meath (county), marginal notes of venue, 21, 33, 41, 48, 51, 55, 85, 92, 93, 97, 102, 105, 117, 149, 151, 155, 162, 170, 209-213, 223, 224, 240, 241, 265, 266, 275-280, 319.  
 —, sheriff (named), *see* Cruys, Henry son of William de; Dardicz, Richard; John, Kirith son of; Taaf, Robert.  
 —, — (unnamed), 21, 33, 41, 51, 56, 92, 95, 103, 117, 150, 151, 153.  
 —, chief serjeant, *see* Balybyn, Richard de.  
 —, serjeant, *see* Leys, John de.  
 —, coroner, 150.  
 —, grant of, to Hugh de Lacy, 100.  
 —, Irish of, 51, 161.  
 —, other refs., 26, 210, 239, 246, 266, 276.  
 —, Agatha dau. of William of, 158.  
 —, Richard of, 191.  
 —, Stephen of, 196.  
 —, Thomas of, 193.



- Meath, Walter of, 192.  
 —, William of, 158, 191.  
 Meath (diocese), bishop of (named), *see*  
   S. Leger, Thomas.  
 —, — (unnamed), 55.  
 —, — distraint on property in, 56.  
 Mebrey, Matilda dau. of Thomas, 223.  
 Megyr, John, 149.  
 Meie, *see* Mey.  
 Meilestone (Mylerstown, Co. Kild.),  
   81.  
 Melagh, Mellagh, John, 226, 244, 318.  
 Melan, John, 144.  
 Mellifont, abbot of, 1, 237.  
 Menour, *see also* Mynour.  
 Menour, Geoffrey le, of Cnoctraffan,  
   321.  
 Meon, William de, clerk, 116.  
 Meones, *see also* Moenes.  
 Meones, William de, Baron of the  
   Exchequer, 12-13.  
 Mercator, *see also* Merchant.  
 Mercator, Thomas, 171, 173.  
 Mercer, John son of Mathew le, 200.  
 —, Nicholas le, 198.  
 Merchant, *see also* Mercator.  
 Merchant, Robert the, 281.  
 Mereward, John, 291, 292.  
 Merrion, *see* Miriyonge.  
 Mershystown (Marshallstown, B.  
   Middlethird, Co. Tip.?), 133.  
 Merwyn, Stephen, 233.  
 Messan, William, 280.  
 Meth, Philip, 317.  
 —, Thomas, 217.  
 Mewe, William, 315.  
 Mey, Meye, Meic, Henry, 180, 184.  
 —, John, 128.  
 —, Maurice, 128.  
 —, Thomas, 184.  
 Meyler, Richard (Co. Meath), 275, 277,  
   279.  
 —, —, coroner in Luowyn (Co.  
   Tip.), 254.  
 —, Roger, 301.  
 —, . . . ., 319.  
 Miagh, Myagh, Bernard, 194.  
 —, Ger., 289, 295.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 287.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 169, 212.  
 —, Ralph, 173.  
 —, Robert, 296-297.  
 —, Roger, 306.  
 —, Stephen, 223.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Cork), 289.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 322.  
 —, William, 263.  
 Michael, John, 221.  
 —, Richard son of, 123.  
 —, —, of Balyclerkan, 123-124.  
 —, Simon son of, 123, 127, 157.  
 Michel, Mychel, Henry, 204.  
 —, John, 258.  
 —, Thomas, 296.  
 Midewenter, Midewynter, Osbert, 143,  
   218.  
 Midia, *see also* Meath.  
 Midia, Mid., Adam son of John de,  
   253-254.  
 —, Agnes de, 286.  
 —, Alicia dau. of Annot de, 286.  
 —, Bernard de, 201.  
 —, Betoun wife of Thomas de, 286.  
 Midia, David de, 136.  
 —, Gerald (Ger.) son of David de,  
   287, 294, 297.  
 —, John de, 254.  
 —, Patrick de, 201, 287, 289, 297.  
 —, Philip de, 288.  
 —, Reynmund son of Richard de, 139.  
 —, Richard de, 136, 139, 199, 288,  
   298.  
 —, — son of Philip de, 199.  
 —, Robert de, 296.  
 —, Roger de, 286.  
 —, Stephen de, 293.  
 —, Thomas de, 199, 254, 286, 287,  
   294.  
 —, William de, 199, 201, 254.  
 Midiltoun, Ric. de, 162.  
 Migaght, Nicholas, 149.  
 Milblauk, Milo, 288, 298.  
 Milesestounkermerdyn (Co. Kild.), 164.  
 Milet, *see also* Milot.  
 Milot, Matthew, 301.  
 Mileward, Philip son of Roger le, 149.  
 —, Roger le, 244.  
 Milismonythe, John, 224.  
 Millebourne, William de, 201.  
 —, . . . . of Matthew de, 302.  
 Milledog, John, 167.  
 Miller, *see also* Monner.  
 Miller, Annot wife of Thomas the, 295.  
 —, David the, 183.  
 —, John the, 176, 315.  
 —, —, of Cayran, 276.  
 —, Maurice the, 312.  
 —, Nicholas the, 295.  
 —, Richard, 204, 265.  
 —, Thomas the, 228, 233, 295.  
 —, — son of Nicholas the, 177.  
 —, Walter the, 155.  
 —, William the, 286.  
 Milletoun, Miltoun, Elena wife of  
   Laurence de, 243.  
 —, John, 233-234.  
 —, Laurence de, 243.  
 Millewadsone, Millewadessone, Mille  
   Wardessone, John le, of the  
   More, 78-79.  
 Milo, Adam son of, 293.  
 —, John son of Philip son of, 235-  
   236.  
 —, Philip son of, 185, 188, 216, 217,  
   235, 312, 317.  
 —, —, of Fornat, 249.  
 —, Roger son of, baron of Overk,  
   314.  
 —, Thomas son of, 297, 298.  
 —, . . . . son of, 272.  
 Milot, *see also* Milet.  
 Milot, David, 193, 194.  
 —, Philip son of Roger, 317.  
 Miltoun, le (Co. Lim.), 268.  
 Miltoun, *see also* Milletoun.  
 Mineter, Myneter, David Don, 214.  
 —, Gilbert le, 289.  
 —, Henry le, clerk, 200.  
 —, John, 198.  
 —, Peter, 214.  
 —, Robert le, 261, 262.  
 —, Stephen le, 288-289.  
 —, William son of John son of  
   Jordan, 283.  
 Mir, Gcoffrey le, 208.  
 Miriyonge (Merrion, Co. Dub.), 153.

- Misse, Thomas, Justice in eyre, 68.  
 Mocheman, Thomas, 143.  
 Moccolop, *see* Moycolpe.  
 Modeshil, *see* Moydessyll.  
 Mody, John, clerk, 100.  
 —, Roger, 198-199.  
 Moenes, *see also* Meones.  
 Moenes, William de, clerk, 28, 48.  
 —, W. de, 102.  
 Mohill, . . . ., 263.  
 Moidorragh, *see* Moydorragh.  
 Moicll', Philip son of Roger de, 195.  
 Moigne, John, chief serjeant of the King  
 in the cross of Ulster, 50, 57.  
 Mol, Mole, Robert, 245.  
 —, Roger (Co. Dub.), 285, 316.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 76-77.  
 Molaghcassyr (Mullacash, B. Naas  
 S. Co. Kild.), Philip son of  
 Thomas le Lang of, 164.  
 Molaghfon, Nicholas de, 34.  
 Molaghfonyn, William de, 34.  
 Molaghlo, Richard, 168, 170.  
 —, Ric., sen., 169.  
 Molaghhyde (Malahide, Co. Dub.),  
 Robert le Lang of, 155.  
 Mole, *see* Mol.  
 Moledey, John, 240.  
 Molkory (Mullacrew, Co. Louth?), 265.  
 Mollaghone, Simon, 277.  
 Molough, *see* Moylaghta.  
 Moltoun, John, 198.  
 Momal., Saryn, 206.  
 Momoma (Memomia\*), John le Botiller  
 of, 35.  
 Mon, *see also* Moon.  
 Mon, John, 315.  
 —, Philip de, 158.  
 Monasternagh, *see* May.  
 Mondelouch (in B. Newcastle or  
 Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 112.  
 Mone (Co. Tip.), church of, 323.  
 Monemahannok, Monemehennok,  
 Mounemehennok, Mounnie-  
 hennok (Dunmanoge, B. Kilkea  
 and Moone, Co. Kilk.), 149,  
 324-325.  
 —, Robert Rys of, 63.  
 Monkstown, Co. Dub., *see* Carrick-  
 brenan.  
 Monnemuch, Martin de, 39.  
 Monner, *see also* Miller.  
 Monner, Mouner, Adam le, 78-79.  
 —, Griffan le, 27.  
 —, Ivor le, 78-79.  
 —, John le, 78-79, 213.  
 —, — son of Adam le, 78-79.  
 —, — son of Hugh le, of Rathgarf,  
 315.  
 —, — brother of John son of  
 Adam, 78-79.  
 —, Jordan le, 218.  
 —, Locard le, 78-79.  
 —, Richard le, 297.  
 —, Robert le, 175, 176.  
 —, Roger, 221.  
 —, Simon le, 27.  
 —, William le, 208, 315.  
 —, —, of Tulok, 259.  
 Monsel, *see also* Mannels.  
 Monsel, Robert, 241.  
 Monssoun, Robert, 252.  
 Montaigne, de la Montaigne,  
 Montayngne, de la Montayn,  
 Montayne, de la Montayne,  
 Monteyn, John, 144, 178, 223,  
 237, 266, 277, 282.  
 —, Nicholas, 294, 296.  
 —, Peter, 296.  
 —, Philip, 178.  
 —, Robert, 33.  
 —, Roger, 237.  
 Monte, Nicholas de, 287, 296.  
 —, Peter de, 193, 194, 197, 203.  
 —, Richard de, 126.  
 —, Thomas de, 224.  
 —, William de, 47, 52, 83-84, 95-96,  
 98-100, 125-127, 134-136, 139.  
 Monte Hermcri, Monte Hermery, Ralph  
 de, 11-13, 29.  
 Monteyn, *see* Montaigne.  
 Monwyn, Thomas Godefrey of, of  
 Clarargely, 90.  
 Moayhone, *see* Moynehenc.  
 Mool, John, of Dromconragh, 275.  
 Moon, Men (Moone, Co. Kild.), David  
 the weaver of, 148.  
 —, church of, 153.  
 —, John son of John de, 35.  
 —, Philip de, 245.  
 Moor, Adam, 294-295.  
 —, Maurice, 284, 317.  
 Moortown, *see* More and Mowr.  
 Mor, Henry, 315.  
 —, Robert, 248.  
 Mora (Mourneabbey, Co. Cork), brother  
 William de Ros, master of, 295.  
 Mora, de, de More, de la More, Alice, 224.  
 —, Andrew, 8, 52.  
 —, Elias, 150.  
 —, Eve, 159.  
 —, John, 39, 80.  
 —, Margaret wife of Richard, 80, 83.  
 —, Richard (Co. Dub. or Kild.), 80,  
 83, 143, 218.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 169.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 149.  
 —, Robert son of Richard, 83.  
 —, Roger, 128, 222.  
 —, Symon, 206.  
 —, Thomas, 158.  
 —, Walter, 81.  
 Morathbiran, *see* Moyrathbryan.  
 More, the (Moortown, B. Connell or  
 Kilkea and Moone, Co. Kild.),  
 John le Mille Wardessone of, 78.  
 Morgallion, *see* Mathergal.  
 Morgan, David, 198.  
 —, Philip, 198.  
 —, Thomas, 213.  
 Moridaunce, Moridaunte de Mory-  
 daunte, Richard, 246, 259.  
 Morierdagh (McRierdagh) son of  
 McGhuth Nectapil, 145.  
 Moriz, John, 209.  
 Morlegan, Peter, 245.  
 Mortimer, *see* Mortuo Mari.  
 Mortlestoun, *see* Marteleston.  
 Morton, Moltoun, Geoffrey de, 47, 60,  
 222; chamberlain of the Treasury,  
 44.  
 —, John de, 209.

\* In W. Kilkenny. Name survives in Munster River.

- Morton, Robert de, 209.  
 —, Walter de, 209.  
 —, William de, 55-56, 209.  
 Mortuo Mari, Edmund de, 48.  
 —, Roger de, 48-49; lord of Wygemor, 238-239.  
 Morydaunte, *see* Moridaunce.  
 Mothill, Mothyl, Stephen, 171, 173, 228, 229.  
 Mothyl (Co. Car.?), manor of, 231.  
 Motoun, Adam, 231.  
 Motyng, Peter, 214.  
 Mounceus, Mounceux, Robert de, 105-106.  
 Mouner, *see* Monner.  
 Mounchebennok, *see* Monemahannok.  
 Mouns, William de, 139.  
 Mounty, William de, 123-124.  
 Mour, Mowr, Philip, 211-212.  
 —, Richard, 169-170.  
 —, W. . . ., 212.  
 Mourneabbey, *see* Mora.  
 Mowan (Co. Lim.), 309.  
 Mowr (Moortown, li. Ardce or Dundalk Lr., Co. Louth ?), town of, 275.  
 Mowr, *see also* Mour.  
 Moyale (Co. Cork), 289.  
 Moyany (Co. Wex.), 159.  
 Moyarissan (Co. Cork), 160.  
 Moyaskyr (Co. Tip.), 320.  
 Moycark (Moycarky, B. Eliogarty, Co. Tip.), 120.  
 Moycolpe (Mocollop, B. Coshmore and Coshbride, Co. Wat.), Thomas son of Richard de, 263.  
 Moycrone (Co. Cork), 160.  
 Moydarrach, *see* Moydorragh.  
 Moydereth (Connacht ?), 128.  
 Moydessyll, Moydesshil (Modeshil, B. Shievardagh, Co. Tip.), proceedings at, 145, 147.  
 —, murder at, 303.  
 Moydorragh (Moydorragh, B. Kells Lr., Co. Meath), 153.  
 Moydorragh, de Moydorragh, Moydarrach, Moydarragh, de Moydarragh, Moidorragh.  
 —, John son of Richard, 151-153.  
 —, Richard, 151-153, 170.  
 Moyeth, Moyeyghit (Co. Wex.), John Purlang of, 235, 236.  
 Moygaveny, *see* Magaveny.  
 Moyglas, Moyglass, Adam, 301.  
 —, Henry, 99, 254.  
 Moygorban (Magorban, B. Middlethird, Co. Tip.), 99.  
 Moyill (Co. Cork), Richard son of David de Barry of, 62.  
 Moyl, Moyll, Maurice, 248.  
 —, Nicholas, 178, 259-261.  
 Moylagh (Co. Wex.), 159.  
 Moylaghta (Molough, B. Iffa and Offa W, Co. Tip.?), 120.  
 Moylargo, John Cristoffre of, 178.  
 Moynagh, John, 163, 247.  
 —, Nicholas, 247.  
 —, Richs. (Nichs.?), 163.  
 —, William son of Thomas, 156, 163.  
 Moynchowc (Co. Wat.), 281.  
 Moynchene, Moynehone, Monyhone, (Co. Wat.), manor of, 189-190.  
 —, Dermot O Doughill of, 281.  
 Moyrathbryan, Morathbiran (Co. Tip.), 72.  
 Moytobre (Co. Tip.), 45.  
 Mucbele, Richard, 158.  
 Mulcot, Mulcote, Mulkot, Walter, 125, 251, 255, 313.  
 Mulghan, Ralph, 153.  
 Mulkot, *see* Mulcot.  
 Mullacash, *see* Molaghcassyr.  
 Mullacrew, *see* Molkory.  
 Mullaghlo, Richard, jun., 167.  
 Munster, *see also* Monoma.  
 —, Iclons in, 302.  
 —, John of, 234.  
 Murghut (McGhuth), Kobok (Obok), 196.  
 Murthawe, John, 246.  
 Murthill, John, 146.  
 Myagh, *see* Mragh.  
 Mychel, *see* Michel.  
 Mylerstown, *see* Merloreston.  
 Myncheher, Mynchehier, Mynchehirr, John, 93, 167, 275.  
 Mync, Tyrdolagh, 313.  
 Myncter, *see* Mineter.  
 Mynour, *see also* Menour.  
 Mynour, John, 321.  
 —, William le, 306.  
 —, —, provost of Adare, 310.

## N

- Naal, Andrew, 233.  
 Naas, le Naas, the Naas, proceedings at, 72-83, 156, 226, 242-245, 318-319.  
 —, inquisition at, 75.  
 —, other refs., 79, 148.  
 —, William Waryn of, 63.  
 Nadan, le (Co. Wat.), 283.  
 Naglasshe (Co. Mayo), island of, part of temporalities of the see of Killala, 17.  
 Nalle, Walter de, 150.  
 —, William de, 220.  
 Nanagh, le (Co. Tip.), 273.  
 —, Edmund le Botiller del, 272.  
 Nangle, *see also* Angle.  
 Nangle, de Nangle, Naungle, de Naungle, Adam, 65.  
 —, Agnes, 267.  
 —, Edusa, 303.  
 —, Nicholas, 144.  
 —, Peter, 42.  
 —, Thomas, 302.  
 —, William, 130.  
 —, . . . ., 48.  
 Nanyc, John de, del, 51.  
 Nard, the (Cork), church of the Holy Cross del, 197.  
 —, William de Rupe of, 192, 199.  
 Nasse, de Nasse, Nasshe, le Nasshe, de Nasche,  
 —, Alexander, 272, 274.  
 —, Clement, 251.  
 —, David, 272, 274.  
 —, Geoffrey, 251.  
 —, Gilbert, 121.  
 —, — son of David, 251.  
 —, Henry, 251.  
 —, John, 273, 306.  
 —, — son of Andrew, 252.  
 —, — son of Geoffrey, 251.

- Nasse, Richard, 34, 46, 121, 251.  
 —, Roger son of Geoffrey, 251.  
 —, Stephen, 253, 254.  
 —, Thomas, 309.  
 —, — son of David, 251.  
 —, William, 272.
- Naungle, *see* Nangle.
- Nauntoun, William de, 283.
- Neckur (B. Newcastle or Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 112.
- Nectapil, *see* Morierdagh.
- Nedgrange in the March (Co. Cork), 201.
- Neeth, Nethe, Nicholas de, 129.  
 —, Richard de, 177.  
 —, Thomas de, 253, 254, 274.
- Neir, *see* Neyr.
- Nekyll, Nekýlle, Jordan son of Patrick, 324.
- Nelot, Philip, 294.
- Nemone, Nemonyth, Philip son of Hugh, 317, 321.  
 —, Robert son of Hugh, 321.  
 —, — son of Maurice, 317, 321.
- Nerney (Nurney, Co. Kild.), William le Biound of, 81.
- Nest, Neste, Gilbert, 263.  
 —, Philip, 124, 323.
- Nethe, *see* Neeth.
- Nette, Gilbert de, 94.
- Nettrevill, James de, 117.  
 —, Luke de, 117.  
 —, Thomas de, 117.  
 —, William de, 117.
- Neubygygge (Co. Tip.), 50.
- Neuhous, William de, of Cork, 198.
- Neuman, *see also* Nyweman.
- Neuman, Neweman, John, of Coullok, 220.  
 —, Ralph, 75.  
 —, Thomas, 81.  
 —, William son of Ralph, 78-79.
- Neumasti, . . . , William, 221.
- Newbiry (Co. Meath), kiln of the abbot of S. Thomas at, 162.
- New Castle of Lyons (Lyouns) (Newcastle Lyons, Co. Dub.), 103.  
 —, Ric. Cissor of, 154.  
 —, Thom. le Whyte of, 154.
- Newcastle McKynegan, Newcastle McKyngan, Newcastle McNegan, Novum Castrum McKyngan (Newcastle, Co. Wick.), 56, 67, 77, 85.
- Newcastle de Olethran, *see* Olethran.
- Newton, Nywetoun, Robert, 286, 287, 291.
- Newtown in the tenement of Maynogh, 143.
- New Grange, property of Duiske abbey, 174.
- New Leghlin, 172.
- Newman, *see* Neuman.
- Newport, de Newport, Hugh, 130, 132.  
 —, John, 240.  
 —, — son of Hugh, 132.  
 —, William, 275.
- New Ross, *see* Ros.
- Neynan, the (Co. Lim.), 161.
- Neynan, Walter, 224.
- Neyr, Neir, John le, 220.  
 —, Richard le, 210, 298, 299.
- Nie, . . . , Laurence de, 262.
- Nicholas, Andrew son of (Co. Cork), 195, 201.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 179, 259, 260.  
 —, Gilbert son of, 251.  
 —, Hugh son of, 28.  
 —, John son of (Co. Cork), 292, 297.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 92.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 176.  
 —, Lucia dau. of (Laurence?), 284.  
 —, Mabilla wife of Hugh son of, 28.  
 —, Maurice son of, 178, 189.  
 —, Philip son of, of Castro Olethran, 291.  
 —, Richard son of (Co. Car.), 229, 241-242.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 277.  
 —, Simon son of Thomas son of, 310.  
 —, Thomas son of, 245.  
 —, Walter son of (Co. Dub.), 220.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 155, 279.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 269.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 299.  
 —, William son of, 176, 179, 181-185, 189, 262, 283.
- Niger, James, 147.
- Niter, Nytyr (Co. Cork), John Cod of, 290, 297.
- Nobber, *see* Nolre.
- Noble, Eustace le, 195.  
 —, Philip, 201.  
 —, Richard le, 144.  
 —, Walter le, 144.
- Nobre (Nobber, Co. Meath), 266.
- Nocte, Reynmund, 294.
- Norbry, Richard, 170.  
 —, William, 209, 211.
- Nordren, James, 305.
- Nordryn, *see also* Northeren.
- Nordryn, James, 307.  
 —, John, 307.
- Norhamptoun, *see* Northampton.
- Norman, Hugh, 241.  
 —, John, 85.
- Norragh, de Norragh, of Norragh, Norrath,  
 —, Adam, 248.  
 —, Edmund son of Laurence, 263.  
 —, Geoffrey, 264; kt., 179, 182, 189, 247.  
 —, Griffin, 263.  
 —, Hugh, 264.  
 —, — son of Geoffrey, 263.  
 —, James, 263.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 294.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 179, 181, 183, 189, 248, 250, 260, 262, 264.  
 —, — son of Geoffrey, 264.  
 —, Laurence, 179, 184, 262, 264, 281.  
 —, Nicholas, 263.  
 —, Peter son of Walran, 270.  
 —, Philip son of John, 186.  
 —, Reynmund, 263.  
 —, Richard, 263.  
 —, — son of Adam, 264.  
 —, Simon son of Laurence, 264.  
 —, Walter, 263.  
 —, William, 272.
- Norreis, le Norreis, le Norreys, Henry, 284.  
 —, John, 80.  
 —, Nicholas, 14-15, 85, 86.

- Norreis, Richard, 246.  
 —, William, 216, 277.  
 Northam, Roger, 198.  
 Northampton, Northamptoun, Northampton, Adam of, 141.  
 —, Peter de, 249.  
 —, Thomas de (of), 177, 182-186, 188, 251, 262.  
 Northern, *see also* Nordryn.  
 Northern, Northeryn, le Northryn, John, 198.  
 —, Robert, 313.  
 —, —, of Cassell, 125.  
 Northfolk, John de, 222.  
 Northryn, *see* Northern.  
 Northyng, Henry, 279.  
 Norwych, de Norwych, Norwyth, Henry, 122, 125.  
 —, Hugh, 126.  
 —, Nicholas, 323.  
 Not, Nott, Not', Adam, 169, 239.  
 —, —, — son of Symon, 313.  
 —, Henry, 246.  
 —, John, 103, 193.  
 —, Nicholas, 201.  
 —, Richard, 254, 272, 273.  
 —, Simon, 301, 313.  
 —, Tancard, 193.  
 —, Walter, 313.  
 Nothirne, Robert le, 245.  
 Notyngnam, Hugh de, clerk, 57.  
 —, Robert de, 55.  
 Nova Villa (Co. Tip.), 99.  
 Novan, le (Co. Tip.), 129, 133.  
 Novum Castrum McKyngan, *see* New-castle.  
 Nugent, Adam, 209.  
 —, Hugh de, 276.  
 —, Thomas, 301.  
 Nurney, *see* Nerney.  
 Nynche, Philip de, 305.  
 Nysleve, . . . ., 170.  
 Nytyr, *see* Niter.  
 Nyvel, Baldewyn de, 235.  
 —, Tyrry (Tyrrionn) de, 184, 281.  
 —, Walter de, 238.  
 —, William de, 300.  
 Nywe, John le, 295.  
 Nyweman, *see also* Neuman.  
 Nyweman, Adam, 301.  
 —, Philip, 210.  
 Nywetoun, *see* Neweton.  
 Nywets, . . . ., 298.  
 N. . . .ryn, (Nordryn ?), James le, 207.  
 N. . . ., John le, 165.
- O
- Oballan, Philip, 267.  
 Obanan, Thomas, 248.  
 O Bargoun (Co. Wat., or Ibercon, Co. Kilk.), Thomas Daniel of, 314.  
 Obargy, *see* Bargy.  
 Obathom (Co. Cork), monastery of, 290.  
 Obbraydir, William, 225.  
 O Beran, Donnaghuth, 120.  
 Obergith, Obergyth, Avelath, 229.  
 —, Dovenald son of Folany, 229.  
 —, Michael, 176.  
 O Bergyn, Philip, 313.  
 O Bodre, David, 263.  
 —, Stephen, 258.  
 Obothetan, Donechugh, 200.  
 O Botly, Reginald, 321.  
 Oboy (Ui Buidhe, district in Co. Carlow, B. Ballyadams), 232.  
 O Boygh, Loghlyn, 283.  
 Oboyghil, Roger, 202.  
 O Boyhan, David, 257.  
 Obreen, Nanagh, 255.  
 O'Brien, Obrien, Doneghuch, chief of the Irish of Thomond, 2.  
 Obrenan, O Brenan, John, 208.  
 —, Milo, 289.  
 —, Walter, 253.  
 —, William McMalaghlyn, 171.  
 Obrene, Kerwyll, 174.  
 O Brick, Geofirey, 300.  
 —, McIrtaigh, 300.  
 O Bridan, John, 269.  
 O Brien, *see* Obren.  
 O Brochran, Comdyn, 254.  
 O Brodir, Ivor, 180.  
 —, Robert son of Philip, 235.  
 —, Robert son of Tapelagh, 235.  
 O Brodie, David son of William, 326.  
 —, John, 326.  
 —, John son of M. . . ., 281.  
 —, Maythok Mor, 326.  
 —, Muriertagh, 326.  
 —, Nicholas son of Philip, 326.  
 —, Nicholas bro. of Maythok Mor, 326.  
 —, Richard son of Philip, 326.  
 —, Robert son of Philip, 326.  
 —, Thomas, 326.  
 Obrodyth, *see* Ogrodyth.  
 O Brogan, Adam, 263.  
 O Brogy, Gregory, bishop of Clonfert, 49, 96-97.  
 Obryn, Anyn, 204.  
 —, Doulyng, 200, 237.  
 —, Gilkeynyn Boy, 233.  
 —, Robert son of Ger., 233.  
 —, Walter son of Richard, 285.  
 —, William Brak, 233.  
 Obryns, Obrynes, Obryanes, the, 165, 222, 232.  
 Obrynnus of Kynalo, the, 172.  
 Obyn, Adam, 294.  
 — (Oryan ?), Thomas son of Richard son of Reginald, 27.  
 O Byrne, *see* Obryn.  
 Ocahan, Chaplain, 208.  
 Ocaigny, *see* Ocaigny.  
 O Callan, Nicholas, 253.  
 O Caman, David, 298.  
 —, McWyt, 298.  
 —, Stephen, 298.  
 O Carbre, Walter, 269.  
 O Carran, Gregory, 197.  
 —, Maghoun, 197.  
 O Carrenan, Patrick, 277.  
 O Carroll, *see* O Karwil.  
 Ocaigny, Ocaigny, Robert, 211.  
 Oconill, Oconyl, *see* Conell.  
 Occothy, *see also* Octochoy.  
 Occothy, Baloch, 229.  
 —, Tayg, 228.  
 —, William, 228.  
 Ockeley, Henry, 246.  
 Oclee, Henry, 99.  
 O Clery, Adam, 317, 321.  
 —, Cradok, 18.  
 —, John, 157.

- Oclo . . . , Molmory Inyn, 300.  
 Ocoghdan, Nicholas, 214.  
 Ocohyt, Malathlyn, 248.  
 Ocolgyn, Thomas, 181.  
 O Cona, *see* Okona.  
 Oconethours, the, 226.  
 O Congth, Philip, 295.  
 O Conlan, O Conleyn, Oconlyn, Andrew, 263.  
 —, Mathew, 263.  
 —, Molok, 25.  
 —, Regan, 25.  
 —, Robert, 263.  
 —, Walter, 285.  
 O Conlehan, Michael, 261.  
 Oconnethan, Molaghlyn, 194.  
 Oconloghir, Sutor, 181.  
 O Conogan, W. . . . , 299.  
 O Conroy, Patrick, 313.  
 Oconwill, Nicholas, 167–168.  
 Oconwyrghan, Slany, 230.  
 Oconyg, John, 174.  
 O Conyk, Roger, 300.  
 Oconyll, Comdyn, 195.  
 O Conyng, John, 317, 321.  
 O Corcran, Donald O Hengys, 321.  
 Ocorkeran, William, 325.  
 Ocornok, Jehn, 236.  
 —, Philip, 248.  
 O Crahan, O Craghan, John, 253.  
 —, Nicholas, 300.  
 O Crathe, Gileneue, 277.  
 Ceridan, Comdyn, 200.  
 Ceroyne, Richard, 291.  
 O Crythan, John, 269.  
 Octochy, *see also* Ocothy.  
 Octochy, Octothy, Octouthy, Fenyna (Fynyna), 231.  
 —, Isabella dau. of Fynyna, 231.  
 —, Tayg son of Fynyna, 231.  
 —, William, 229.  
 —, William son of Fynyna, 231.  
 O Cudy, Domicch, 257.  
 —, Geoffrey, 257.  
 —, John, 257.  
 Oculan, Cristyn, 197.  
 Oculeuy, Richard, 183.  
 O Curk, Donewyth Dessath, 321.  
 O Cayrk, Oauryrk, Hugh, 297.  
 O Daly, Gillecrist, 313.  
 Odart, Thomas, 201.  
 Odder, *see* Othyr.  
 O Dempseys, *see* Odymys.  
 Ode . . . , Roger, 218.  
 Odo, David son of, 296.  
 —, Gregory son of, 195, 203.  
 Odoilata, Ywyr, 248.  
 O Doly, Richard son of, 260.  
 Odomlyng, Nicholas, 194.  
 Odonedy, Thomas, 214.  
 Odonegan, O Donegan, O Donegyn, David, 282.  
 —, Robert, 206.  
 —, Sowery, 301.  
 Odonekyr, Thomas Mor, 313.  
 O Doughill, Dermod, of Moynehene, 281.  
 Odonok, Robert, 214.  
 Odonyll . . . , 194.  
 Odormodagh, William, 177.  
 O Dormyn, Hugh, 293.  
 O Douly, John, 293.  
 —, John son of Dovenyld, 298.  
 Odoulyng, Henry, of Balymalgorrie, 234–235.  
 —, Keng, 184.  
 Odouwyr, Odowyr, Dovenald (son of ?) Malaghlyn, 267.  
 —, William, 267.  
 O Dowille, Murirtagh, 295.  
 Odownyld, Jehn son of Philip, 234.  
 —, Robert, 233, 234.  
 —, William son of Philip, 234.  
 Odowyll, John, 181.  
 O Doyrin, Gilcomde, physician, 264.  
 O Doyryn, Nicholas, 299.  
 Odron (Idrone, Co. Car.), marginal notes of venue, 174, 175.  
 —, Robert Grenull of, 230.  
 Oduffynne, Dovenald, 197.  
 Odufigyr, Gillice, 294.  
 —, Thomas, 202.  
 Oduflotter, Oduflotthyr, Oduflauthe, Gillys, 199.  
 —, Hywyr, 199.  
 —, John, 199.  
 Odogh, Odugh (district of Uí Duach or Idough, Co. Kilk., mainly in B. Fassadinin), manor 137–138; castle, 138.  
 O Driscoll, *see* Ohedirscoll.  
 Odyburgh, Thomas . . . , 194.  
 Odymys, the, 230.  
 Odywyrk, M. . . . , 74.  
 Odonegan, Adam, 289.  
 Offaghya, John Crok of, 90.  
 Ofaly, the Irish of, 3, 315.  
 Offareghir, Offareghyr, Nicholas, 291.  
 —, Padyn, 291.  
 Offath, Offaygh (Uí Eathach, surviving as Offa in Co. Tip.), the hundred of, 183.  
 —, Roger Andreu, coroner of, 177.  
 —, Adam Hunte of, 136.  
 Offath, William, 178.  
 Offelagh, Richard de, 136.  
 Offelan, Dermod Roth, 286.  
 Offelmith, John, 228.  
 Offey, Malrony, 260.  
 Offlathertys, the, 128.  
 Offlen, Stephen, 316.  
 Offlonnegan, Philip, 263.  
 Offlyng, John, 290.  
 —, Laurence, 196–197.  
 Offothyl, Robert, 230.  
 Offoulith, Ofoulyth, Anygagh, 190.  
 —, Conechur, 263.  
 —, Doncon, Dunkone, 190, 263.  
 —, Malaghlin, Malaghlyn, 190, 263.  
 —, Murghut, Murthut, 190, 263.  
 —, Nel, 263.  
 —, Robert, 263.  
 —, Stephen, 190, 262, 263.  
 —, William, 262, 263.  
 Offoullithes, the, 262.  
 Offyn, Comdinus, 171.  
 —, Nicholas, 295.  
 Offyngtoun, Offynton, Offyngtoun, David son of David de, 78, 163.  
 —, Nicholas de, 285.  
 Offynnan, Offynnann, Michael, 228.  
 —, Reynmund, 197.  
 —, William, 197.  
 Offynton, *see* Offyngtoun.  
 O Flaherty, Donatus, bishop of Killala, 17.

- O Flynn, O Flynn, Florence, archbishop of Tuam, *see* McClynghe.  
 —, William, 306.  
 O Foghlyth, Cleragh, 283.  
 Ofolan, Henry, 191-192.  
 —, Olyver, 191-192.  
 Ofoulyth, *see* Offoulith.  
 Olyegh, Gillepatrick, 181.  
 Olylan, Donhuth, 248.  
 Og, Adam, of Kymlog, 136.  
 —, Henry, 227.  
 —, Hugh, 164.  
 —, Jordan, 273, 320.  
 —, William, 254.  
 —, —, of Adamstoun, 267.  
 —, —, son of William, 323.  
 O Galuan, Cristyn, 259.  
 O Garvyth, Dovenald, 263.  
 Ogary, David, 209.  
 Ogaweny, Ivor, 181.  
 Ogelman, Crystyn, 179.  
 —, Thomas, 179.  
 O Geythyn, Reynok, 316.  
 O Gillith, *see* O Killith.  
 Ogiththyn, Nicholas, 126.  
 O Glasganal, Henry, 214.  
 O Glomerny, Neuok, 282-283.  
 O Gnewe, Thomas, 314.  
 Ogorman, David, 217.  
 O Gormegan, John, 323.  
 O Graucan, Robert, 300.  
 O Grayne, Rawenyld, 296.  
 Ogrefi, Dovenald, 287.  
 Ogressy, Ethe, 197.  
 Ogrodyth, Obrodyth, Michael, 178.  
 O Grylyn, Lochlyn, 321.  
 O Halynan, Henry son of Hugh, 306.  
 —, Hugh, 306.  
 O Hanedhan, John, 321.  
 Ohanemeghyth, Gillebervagh son of McDille, 192.  
 O Hanewyth, John, 300.  
 O Hamu, Cristyn, 297.  
 O Harsythe, Philip son of Comdin, 296.  
 Ohartugayn, Thomok, 194.  
 Ohartwor, John, 192.  
 Ohassonagh, Ohassonath, Gregory, 176-177.  
 Ohassy, Walter, 273.  
 Ohatlerne, Walter, 250-251.  
 Ohatheyr, Symon, 189.  
 —, Thomas, 189.  
 O Hayngle, Clarice dau. of Ranyld, 263.  
 —, Moryn wife of Ranyld, 263.  
 —, Ranyld, 263.  
 Ohedirscoll, Roger, 203.  
 Ohenethan, Ohennethan, Thomas, 285.  
 O Henwys, Donald, 298.  
 —, Maurice, 298.  
 —, Padin, 298.  
 Oheraghty, Thomas, 200.  
 Ohereran, Cunnok, 145.  
 Ohert, Kellagh, 174.  
 Ohethe, Murgh, 242.  
 Oheyn, Philip, 172.  
 —, Richard, 172.  
 Ohiflan, a thief, 269.  
 O Hilleth, Dovenald, 316.  
 Ohillil, Gillepatrick, 27.  
 Ohoctegan, John, 177.  
 Ohogan, Adam Brek, 257.  
 —, Henry, 257.  
 Ohogan, Nicholas, 257.  
 —, Richard McRoery, 257.  
 —, William, 257.  
 O Hoillechan, *see* Oholeghan.  
 Oholdrik, Philip, 268.  
 Oholeghan, Oholecan, Ohologhan, Oholethan, O Hoillechan,  
 —, Adam, 204.  
 —, McCraygh, 294.  
 —, Maurice, 204.  
 —, Nyvin, 291.  
 —, Orlyn, mother of Thomas, 307.  
 —, Ranyld, sister of Thomas, 307.  
 —, Reginald, 307.  
 —, Robert, 294.  
 —, Thomas, 307.  
 —, Thomas Dywyn, 294.  
 O Horwuth, Roger, 270.  
 Ohursy, Henry, 193.  
 Ohynevan, Adam, 196.  
 Ohyrthyn, Comdyn, 290.  
 Oh...egan, Ralph, 171.  
 Okaan, Luke, 307.  
 O Kally, Okally, Okeally, Okealli, Okelly, Adam, 200.  
 —, Alan, 255.  
 —, Elena wife of Maurice, 200.  
 —, John, 200.  
 —, Maurice, 200.  
 —, Philip, 200.  
 —, Richard, 255.  
 —, Thomas, 316.  
 —, William, 252.  
 O Karwil, *see also* McKarwill.  
 O Karwil, Okerwill, O Kerwill, Okerwyl, —, Adam, 284.  
 —, McHugh son of Philip, 272.  
 —, Magnus, 212.  
 —, Mag..., 161.  
 —, Nicholas, 161.  
 Okathlan, Kagnyl, 287-288.  
 Okeally, Okealli, *see* Okally.  
 Okellan, John, 191.  
 Okellyn, William, 283.  
 Okenlewan, Symon, 189.  
 [O Kennedy], Donald, bishop of Killaloe, 114.  
 O Kennedy, *see also* Okynedy and McKenedy.  
 Okenry, Robert, 200.  
 Okenwan, Wasmayr, 216.  
 Okerekan, Stephen, 258.  
 Okerth, Miilo, 150.  
 Okerwetan, John, 297.  
 Okerwill, O Keruill, Okerwyl, *see* O Karwil.  
 Oketfagh, David, 205.  
 Oketyf, Okethyf, Alicia, 303.  
 —, Dovenald, 303.  
 O Keyff, William Fyn, 301.  
 O Killeyn, Melok, 21.  
 O Killith, O Gillith, Thomas, 255.  
 O Kilde, *hibernicus*, 309.  
 O Kirrele, Gregory, 321.  
 Okolleyn, Oregan, 21.  
 Okona, O Cona, Richard, canon of Cloyne, 291, 295.  
 Okonagh (name survives in B. Coonagh, Co. Lim.), Robert Haket of, 122.  
 —, the lord of, 122.  
 Okyle, Richard, 176.  
 Okynedy, *see also* Kennedy and McKenedy.

- Okynedy, Okynedy, Okyngnedy,  
     Donelagh McTayd, 200.  
 —, Macraigh, 200.  
 —, Molaghlyn Mc Tayg, 200.  
 —, Molaghlyn Revagh, 200.  
 —, Nicholas, 211.  
 —, Tayg, 200.  
 O Kynna, Symon, 300.  
 O Kynnagh, William, 283.  
 O Laghtnan, Olaghtnan, O Laghenan,  
     O Laghanan, O Lathnan, Olatnan,  
 —, Gylmel, Gylmelo, 77, 87.  
 —, Maurice, 181-182, 282.  
 —, Pady, 179, 259.  
 Olany, Gilbert, 208.  
 Olargenan, Robert McTayghyn, 27.  
 O Lathnan, Olainan, *see* O Laghtnan.  
 O Layve, John, 313.  
 Oldetoun near Grene (Co. Lim.), 322.  
 Oldetoun, William son of Robert de,  
     241.  
 Oldtown, Co. Tip., *see* Yoldetoun.  
 O Lehan, Gilleburyn, 260.  
 Olethran, Olethian, Newcastle de,  
     Castrum de, Castr., (Co. Cork),  
     196, 293.  
 —, Nicholas Albus of, 293.  
 —, Philip son of Nicholas of, 291.  
 —, John, 248.  
 Olewyrthe, John, 214.  
 O Leynan, Henry, 301.  
 Oli, Richard, 205.  
 O Liddy, Gillecrist, 313.  
 —, Malaghlyn, 313.  
 Oliver, Olyver, Adam, 274.  
 —, Matthew son of, 235, 257, 258,  
     314.  
 —, Robert, 265.  
 —, Thomas, 280.  
 O Lochewan, O Lochnan, Geoffrey, 321.  
 —, Maurice, 321.  
 O Loghregan, Maurice, 298.  
 Olompy, John, 215.  
 Olongthy, master Thomas, archdeacon  
     of Cashel, 274, 302\*.  
 Olonnan, Kynnelith, 144.  
 Olonregan, John, 267.  
 Olorkan, Alan, 198.  
 —, John, 284.  
 —, Morghut, 174.  
 Olewyrthe, John, 214.  
 Olydeda, Gilletrist, 194.  
 Oly newan, Robert, 189.  
 Olyver, *see* Oliver.  
 O L. . . . , David, 300.  
 OI. . . . , Malaghlyn, 194.  
 O McKeis, James, 18.  
 O Makan, Donald, 321.  
 Cmavegr., Dermot, 193.  
 Omayl (Imaal, Co. Wick.), 174.  
 Omery, Robert, chaplain of Cruystown,  
     210-211.  
 O Meskille, Issuk dau. of, 268.  
 O Molbrogan, Richard, 263.  
 Omolely, John, 223.  
 O Mollally, Adam, 285.  
 Omolr orath, John, 259.  
 Omolmoyle, Maurice, 178.  
 Omolryan, Raghnayd, 241.  
 Omolynagh, Nl. . . . , 200.  
 Omolyntyn, Matthew, 291.  
 O Mol. . . . , Philip, 300.  
 O Monan, Thomas Ronelagh, 264.  
 Omonethan, Margery, 268.  
 Omoreghy, Philip, 192.  
 Omorhiarte, Richard, 199.  
 O Morth, Omorth, O Morthe,  
     Donaghuch, 48.  
 —, Dovenald son of Simon, 237.  
 —, William McKynagh, 271.  
 Omothel, Omothil, Adam Someter, 317.  
 —, Thomas, 161-162.  
 Omouroun, David, 235.  
 Omoylkenne, Dovenald, 304-305.  
 Omughut, 219.  
 Omurgan, William son of Richard, 295.  
 O Murghut, O Murghuth, Adam, 316.  
 —, Corbryn, 27.  
 —, Cristuk, 27.  
 —, Robert, 313.  
 Omurthy (Uí Muireadhaigh, in S.  
     Kild.), John Helewys, chief  
     serjeant of, 117.  
 O Murthy, Robert, 310.  
 Omyhan, Roger, 208.  
 O Mynok, William, 311.  
 Oneel, O Neil, O Nel, Andrew, 264.  
 —, Avercath, 283.  
 —, Edmund, 264.  
 —, Hugh, 317, 321.  
 —, master John, clerik, 256.  
 —, John McRicard, 283.  
 —, Philip, 283.  
 —, Thomas son of Neel McSeyrsele-  
     neyth, 256.  
 O Nelan, Donald (Dovenald), 309.  
 Onnyn, Roger, 128.  
 Onolan, Culan son of Agh. . . . , 172.  
 —, David Makel, 146.  
 —, — son of William, 146, 172.  
 —, Donnellyng, 146.  
 —, Dovenald son of William, 146.  
 —, Elyas Carragh, 172.  
 —, Ffynne, 146.  
 —, Geoffrey son of Richard, 146.  
 —, Gilbert son of Thomas, 302.  
 —, Gilpatrick, 146.  
 —, Henry, 146, 174.  
 —, — son of John, 234.  
 —, Hour, 146.  
 —, John son of Gillegobagh, 146.  
 —, Jordan son of Ralph, 146, 172.  
 —, — son of William, 146.  
 —, Kynagh son of William, 146.  
 —, Murghut son of William, 146.  
 —, Philip son of Hugh, 172.  
 —, Reymund MeEle, 175.  
 —, Richard son of Philip, 146, 172.  
 —, Sauvenal son of Malic, 146.  
 —, Symon son of L. . . . , 172.  
 —, Tayg, 146.  
 —, —, son of Doughut, 174.  
 —, Thomas, 291.  
 —, William, 14.  
 —, — son of Kynagh, 146.  
 —, . . . son of Roger, 172.  
 Onolans, the, 20, 229.  
 —, —, of Kynalo, 172.  
 Onyas, William, 291.  
 Or, Gerard of, 1-2.  
 O Raghwan, Walter, 324.



- O Raily, Oraily, Orayghly, Cathel  
 Irrielagh, 161.  
 —, Gillekegh, 211.  
 —, John, 197.  
 —, Maghoun McKeygh (Mackcheigh),  
 161, 213.  
 —, Mathew son of Cecl, 209-210.  
 —, Philip Duf, 298.  
 —, Turdelagh, 161.  
 —, William Duf, 191, 202.  
 O Regan, Dermot, 271.  
 Oronan, David, 174.  
 Orone, Iwyr, 194.  
 Ororyg, Matthew, 307.  
 Oros, Roger, 172.  
 Orothyn, Alexander, 292.  
 Orstrier, Stephen le, 166.  
 Ortown, Thomas, 276.  
 Orum, Gerard de, 45.  
 Oryan, *see also* Obyn, Thomas.  
 Oryan, Comdynn son of Richard, 27.  
 —, Doghtur, *see* Mughtur.  
 —, Malaghlyn, Mc. . . ., 27.  
 —, Maurice, 190.  
 —, — son of Conok, 174.  
 —, Mughtur (Doghtur) McConoghur,  
 27.  
 —, Philip, 190.  
 —, Richard son of McMagnus Roth,  
 27.  
 —, Roger, 145.  
 Oryn, Oryng, David, 197.  
 —, Dougheyth, 193.  
 —, Doughut, 197.  
 —, Inyen, 297.  
 —, Tatheg, Tathyg, 193, 197.  
 Osan, Reginald son of, 265-266.  
 Osbern, Osberne, Oseberne, Hosberne,  
 John (Co. Kild.), 210.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 224.  
 —, Philip, 178, 182, 259-261.  
 —, Richard, 144, 246, 258.  
 —, Robert, 162.  
 —, Stephen, 315.  
 Osbert, Philip son of, 137.  
 —, William son of, 263.  
 Oseghe, Dermot, 165.  
 Osegod, Osgod, Ossegod, Hosogod,  
 Roger, 204, 268.  
 —, Thomas, 266, 279.  
 Osemond, Osmund, John, 244.  
 —, Laurence, 162.  
 Osenound, Thomas, 143-144.  
 Osethe, David Loth, 251.  
 Osgod, *see* Osegod.  
 Oshide, Thomas, 200.  
 O Shynny, Oshynny, Nevok, 286, 291.  
 Oskevyn, Philip, 214.  
 O Sloyth, Gillice, 281-282.  
 Osme, Laurence, 264.  
 Osmer, Adam, 179-180, 264.  
 —, John, 179.  
 Osmund, *see* Osemond.  
 Osnelyd, Tancard, 194.  
 Osonek, master Laurence, 4-5.  
 O Soullevan, Osulewan, Solewan, John,  
 126.  
 —, Nicholas, 197.  
 —, Nywe Inyen, 263.  
 O Sourehan, Henry, 260.  
 Ossegod, *see* Osegod.  
 Ossery, Roger, 158.  
 Ossory, Simon, 307.  
 Ossory, robberies in, 183, 188, 272.  
 —, cross of, robbers and robberies in,  
 216, 217, 312, 313.  
 —, Balygounore in, 188.  
 —, Clonbathird (Clonlathird) in, 174.  
 —, Kenles in, 42.  
 —, bishop of (named), *see* Fitz John,  
 William.  
 —, — (unnamed), 216, 242.  
 Osthedirthan, Ralph, 206.  
 Ostheoord, William, 169.  
 Ostieler, Ostiler, *see* Hostiller.  
 Ostmen of Waterford, 177, 186.  
 Osulewan, *see* O Soullewan.  
 Osynyth, Thomas, 248.  
 Otauley, Gilledonesagh, 235.  
 O Tayg, John, 255.  
 O Tetlyn, Luke, 260.  
 Otewy, *see* Otwy.  
 O Teylyn, Richard, 260.  
 Othothle, *see* Othethel.  
 Othyr (Odder, Co. Meath), abbess of,  
 150.  
 Otnavocy, Richard, 174.  
 O Tornymt, Adam, 247.  
 O Torran, Gregory, 319.  
 O Tothel, Otthill, Othothle, Otthyl,  
 —, Conghur Sokyr, 173.  
 —, David son of Folam, 173.  
 —, Follan (Follaun), 14-15.  
 —, Hugh Og, 173.  
 —, John Og, 173.  
 —, — son of Henry, 173.  
 —, Loghlyny, 232.  
 —, Tayg McDovenald Roth, 173.  
 —, Waiter, 34.  
 —, — son of Folam, 173.  
 Ototheles, Ottholes, O Totheles, the,  
 165, 174, 244, 318.  
 Otrassy, William, 250-251.  
 O Trodan, John, 322.  
 O Tuel, Otuel, Broun, 178.  
 —, Richard, 182, 260, 261.  
 Otwy, Otewy, Alexander, 308, 309.  
 Otybryth, Englyn, 174.  
 Ot. . . tyn, Nicholas, 174.  
 Ouegtagh (Co. Wat.), the hundred of,  
 182.  
 Ouweyn, *see* Oweyn.  
 Ove, John, 267, 268, 289, 291, 296.  
 —, Thomas, 268.  
 Overey, Walter, 312.  
 Overk (Iverk), Roger son of Milo,  
 baron of, 314.  
 Overy, William, 308.  
 Owayn, *see* Oweyn.  
 Owenagh Cassell (Co. Tip.), Philip le  
 Blount of, 157.  
 Oweth (Co. Wat.), John Rossell,  
 serjeant of, 260.  
 Oweyn, Owayn, O Wayn, Ouweyn,  
 Calvagh son of Philip, 78-79.  
 —, David, 269, 304.  
 —, John (Co. Dub.), 55, 154, 156,  
 285.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 175, 243.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304.  
 —, Katerine, 290.  
 —, Nicholas, 268, 269.  
 —, — son of John, 78-79.  
 —, Richard, 36.  
 —, Roger, 295.  
 —, Thomas son of John, 156.

- Oweyn, Warin (Warun), 47, 55, 103, 156, 218.  
 Owre, Nicholas, 223.  
 Owyngad, Jacob Haistel of, 90.  
 Ow. . . ., Robert, 148.  
 Oxenford, Oxford, Richard de (of), 81, 96, 157, 163.  
 Oxon', Oxon., Roger de, coroner of Drogheda, 223, 227.  
 Oyebourne, Thomas, 121.  
 Oyrallyf, Thomas Brun of, 89.  
 Oysel, John, 13.  
 O. . . .myt, John de, 171.  
 O. . . ., Richard, 297.  
 —, Robert son of David, 295.
- P.
- Packyn, Thomas, 149-150.  
 Pagan, *see also* Payn.  
 Pagan, Henry son of Robert son of, 222.  
 —, Pagan son of Robert son of, 222.  
 Page, Roger, 144, 237.  
 —, Thomas, 267.  
 —, Walter, 169, 277.  
 —, William, 275.  
 Paineston Dullard, Payneston Dullard (Dollardstown, P. Painestown, B. Duleek Lr., Co. Meath), 33.  
 Pak, Margery, 219.  
 Palbrok, William, 315.  
 Palefrayman, Palfrayman, Martin le, 17, 53-54.  
 Pallas Grean, *see* Grene.  
 Palmer, le Palmer, le Palmere, Paumer, le Paumer,  
 —, David, 147, 175.  
 —, Gilbert (Co. Car.), 232; sheriff of Carlow, 134, 141-142.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 11, 34, 117, 247, 235.  
 —, John (Cos. Dub. and Kild.), 80, 154, 162, 175, 318.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 206, 306, 309.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 223.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248, 299.  
 —, Jordan, 34.  
 —, Martin, 198.  
 —, Maurice, 292.  
 —, Nicholas, 312.  
 —, Peter, 312.  
 —, Robert, 292.  
 —, Roger (Co. Kild.), 47, 80, 83.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 306.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 279.  
 —, Rose, dau. of Gilbert, 34.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Car.), 232.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 143, 222.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 312.  
 —, — son of Henry, 207.  
 —, Walter, 80, 147.  
 —, William (Co. Cork), 198, 199, 286, 291.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 83.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 268, 269.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 125.  
 Palmeristoun, Palmerystoun (Palmerstown, B. Newcastle, Co. Dub.), 245.  
 —, (Palmerstown, B. Balrothery W. or Newcastle, Co. Dub.), 144.
- Palud, David, 149.  
 Pany, Walter, 204.  
 Panyngton, Panyngtoun, Panynton, Pavyntoun, Robert de, 203, 205, 310, 311.  
 Parche, Roger, 224.  
 Parchemyner, Peter le, 227.  
 Parens, Robert, vicar of Killadouan, 226, 244.  
 Paris, Parys, John, 239-240.  
 Park, John, 66.  
 Parmenter, Robert le, 226-227.  
 Parmeter, Gilbert le, 216.  
 —, . . . ., 143.  
 Parsone, Parsoun, le Parsoun, Gilbert, 208, 209, 213.  
 —, —, of Castletoundundalk, 169.  
 Parys, *see* Paris.  
 Passavant, Robert, 279.  
 Passelewe, Nicholas, 272; sub sheriff of Dublin, 118.  
 Passo, Cruclius Huberte del (Crucluis, Cruchio), 43-44, 104-105.  
 Patrick, Patrick, Hugh son of, 256.  
 —, John, 249.  
 —, Robert, 199.  
 —, Sarra, dau. of Robert, 251.  
 —, Thomas, 195.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 171-173, 229.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 182, 259-261, 282.  
 Patrickchurch, Patrikcherche, de Patrikchurch, Patrikchurch, John, clerk, 43, 58, 62, 76-77, 101, 102, 116-118, 123, 126, 199, 280, 314, 319, 323.  
 Paumer, *see* Palmer.  
 Pavilly, Reginald de, 100-101.  
 Pavor, Pavour, Pawor, Roger, 204, 205.  
 —, Walter, 205.  
 Pavyntoun, *see* Panyngtoun.  
 Payn, *see also* Pagan.  
 Payn, Adam, 310.  
 —, Alfred, 204.  
 —, Gerald, 200, 222.  
 —, Henry, 200.  
 —, John (Co. Kilk.), 216.  
 —, — (Co. Lonth), 169.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 275, 279.  
 —, John (Co. Wat.), 264.  
 —, Matilda, 169.  
 —, Pagan son of Robert, 200.  
 —, Peter, 274.  
 —, Richard, 274.  
 —, Robert, 169.  
 —, Roger, 255, 320.  
 —, — son of William, 253.  
 Payntour, *see* Peyntour.  
 Peck, Math., 259.  
 Pederyn, Roger, 78-79.  
 Pedris, Philip, 225.  
 Peeter, Thomas, 218.  
 Peleter, Robert le, 271.  
 Pellipar, *see also* Skinner.  
 Pellipar, Robert, 223.  
 Pembrok, de Pembrok, Penbroc, de Penbroc, Pembr.,  
 —, Gilbert, 182, 184, 185, 188, 248, 261, 262.  
 —, Hugh, 160, 199.  
 —, John, 229.  
 —, Philip, 194, 196, 286, 291.  
 —, Roger, 107.

- Pembrok, Thomas (Co. Car.), 229.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 193, 194, 287.  
 —, . . . ., 262.  
 Penbrigg, Nicholas de, 218.  
 Penkeston, Penkistoun, Penkystoun,  
     John de, 245.  
 —, Richard de, 80.  
 —, Thomas de, 34, 61-62, 118.  
 —, William de, 80, 218.  
 Penlyn, Philip, 320.  
 —, William, 85, 173.  
 Penrys, de Penrys, Henry, 244.  
 —, John, 100, 318.  
 —, — son of John, 100.  
 —, Philip, 100.  
 —, Reynmund, 192.  
 —, Richard, 100.  
 —, Robert, 199, 247.  
 —, Simon, 288.  
 —, Thomas, 192.  
 —, Walter, 244.  
 —, William, 244.  
 Peny, Roger, 285.  
 —, William, 47, 48, 75.  
 Percevale, Nicholas, 177.  
 Perrepunt, Perpoynt, John de, 309,  
     310.  
 Perot, John, 306.  
 —, Richard, 218.  
 Perys, William, 217.  
 Peter, master Hamon son of, 18.  
 —, Henry son of, 304.  
 —, John son of (Co. Car.), 145.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 193, 289, 291.  
 —, Peter son of John son of, 229-230.  
 —, Richard, son of, 173.  
 —, Simon son of, 230, 241.  
 —, Thomas son of, 222, 228.  
 —, Walter son of, 298.  
 —, William son of (Co. Car.), 145.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 193.  
 —, . . . ., son of, 195.  
 Petipas, John, 232.  
 Petit, Petyt, le Petyt, John, 117, 272.  
 —, Philip, 137-138.  
 Petite, Joanna, 276.  
 Petybon, Ralph, 221.  
 Peverel, Philip, 90.  
 —, Thomas, 240, 266.  
 Peynot, William, 90.  
 Peyntour, le Feyntour, le Payntour,  
     William (Co. Car.), 229.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 203, 214, 303,  
     310, 311.  
 Philip, Andrew son of, 314, 317.  
 —, George son of, 296.  
 —, John son of (Co. Car.), 233.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 75.  
 —, — (Co. Wex.), 160, 236.  
 —, —, the younger, 267.  
 —, — son of Thomas son of, 115.  
 —, Nicholas son of (Co. Kild.), 79.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 215.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248.  
 —, — son of Baldwin son of, 298.  
 —, Philip son of, 296.  
 —, — son of Robert son of, 281.  
 —, Remund son of, 248.  
 —, Richard son of (Co. Cork), 193,  
     195, 289, 291, 296.  
 —, — (Co. Kilk.); 316.  
 —, Robert son of, 50, 57.  
 —, Simon son of, 307.  
 Philip, Stephen son of, 206, 268.  
 —, Thomas son of, 115, 307.  
 —, Walter son of, 155.  
 —, William son of (Connacht ?), 89.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 53.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248, 263.  
 —, —, of Achethawyl 233.  
 —, —, sheriff of Lim. (or  
     William son of John), 115.  
 Pickard, Peter, 215.  
 Pie de Lyoun, William, 157.  
 Piglyn, Regin., 144.  
 Pippard, John, 209, 239.  
 Pistor, *see also* Baker.  
 Pistor, John, 227.  
 —, Nicholas, 144.  
 Planket, John, 5-6, 246, 277.  
 Plymmuth, Andrew de, 138.  
 P'ocok, William, 85.  
 Poer, le Poer, Poyer,  
     Adam, 181.  
     Adam, jun., 186.  
     — son of Martin, 181, 185.  
     — son of Milo, 263.  
     — son of William, 181, 182,  
     184, 185, 248, 261, 262, 264.  
 —, Almaric (Amory), 262, 283, 316.  
 —, Andrew, 253, 254.  
 —, — son of Benedict, 263.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 262.  
 —, Arnald, (kt.), 5, 14-16, 28, 33, 42,  
     156, 163-164, 176, 190-191, 241,  
     251, 256, 264, 283, 324.  
 —, Benedict, 323.  
 —, — son of Benedict, 251, 321.  
 —, Ben. . . . son of Andrew, 262.  
 —, David, 15, 181, 185, 248, 281, 323.  
 —, David Blakeman, 262.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 190, 300.  
 —, Duraunt, 181.  
 —, — son of Henry, 190, 300.  
 —, Edmund son of Andrew, 323.  
 —, Edward, 262, 264.  
 —, Egidia wife of Milo, 75.  
 —, Eustace 7-9, 115, 116, 147.  
 —, —, of Balydohyl, 248.  
 —, — son of Matthew, 116, 262-  
     264.  
 —, Fey la, wife of Adam de Leye,  
     262.  
 —, Geoffrey, 180, 183, 300.  
 —, —, Geoffrey son of John,  
     of Balydorn (Balydorne, Baly-  
     doryn, Balydoyn, Balydurne),  
     180, 181, 184, 189, 261, 315.  
 —, —, of Clonfade, 180.  
 —, —, brother of Peter son of  
     John Sarrone, 190.  
 —, — . . . ., 180.  
 —, Godbert, 185.  
 —, Henry son of Duraunt son of  
     Andrew, 179.  
 —, — son of Meiller, 190.  
 —, — son of Milo, 263.  
 —, Hugh, 185.  
 —, Johanna wife of Thomas son of  
     John, 140.  
 —, John, baron of Donnoil (Donoill,  
     Donoyl, Donoyll), 48, 115, 189-  
     191, 264, 300, 316.  
 —, —, kt. (id.?), 201.  
 —, —, of Donoill (id.?), 314, 315.  
 —, —, of Dunnoyl, 138.

- Poer, John, 90.  
 —, — le White, sheriff of Waterford, 181, 188, 259, 261, 262.  
 —, — son of Benedict, 262, 316.  
 —, — son of David, 185.  
 —, John son of Henry, 184.  
 —, — son of Henry, parson, 283.  
 —, — son of John, kt., 157, 163, 178, 189, 263, 264, 314.  
 —, — son of John (id.?), 140, 190, 191, 251, 260, 295.  
 —, — son of John le White, 177, 197, 256.  
 —, — son of John son of Robert, 185-186.  
 —, — son of Matthew (Math.), 163, 262, 263.  
 —, — son of Matthew, jun., 190.  
 —, — son of Peter, 190, 248.  
 —, — son of Peter, of Donoill (Dunhuill), (id.?), 251, 256.  
 —, — son of Reymund, 179, 185, 248, 250(?).  
 —, — son of Robert, kt., 163, 190, 247, 263.  
 —, — son of Robert (id.?), 264, 314.  
 —, — son of Robert, 184, 188, 261, 262, 281, 323.  
 —, — Maurice son of John, 191.  
 —, — Michael, 197.  
 —, — Meiler (Meyler, Meylor), 263.  
 —, — son of David, 179, 181.  
 —, — of Salagh Typerid, 263.  
 —, Milo, 75, 156, 163, 176.  
 —, — son of Benedict, 181, 185, 190.  
 —, — son of Henry, 259.  
 —, — son of Robert, 190, 263.  
 —, Peter, 41, 42, 257.  
 —, — son of John Sarrone, 190.  
 —, Philip, 180.  
 —, — son of Andrew, 263, 264.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 181.  
 —, — son of Matthew, 116, 182.  
 —, — Richard, kt., 185, 188, 255, 270.  
 —, — Richard, sheriff of Tip., 253, 256, 258, 301.  
 —, — son of David, 195.  
 —, — son of Hugh, 190.  
 —, — son of Walter son of Andrew, 190.  
 —, — son of William son of Andrew, 179.  
 —, — Robert, of Lysmac, 190.  
 —, — son of Peter, 190.  
 —, — McSym, 179.  
 —, — son of Watyn, 187.  
 —, — son of William son of Andrew, 179.  
 —, — Roger, 197.  
 —, — son of John, 300.  
 —, — Simon, of Kery, 190-191.  
 —, — son of William, 190.  
 —, — Stephen (Co. Wat.), kt., 177, 182, 185, 189-191, 262.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 119.  
 —, — Theobald, 163, 190, 262, 264.  
 —, — Thomas, of Boryn, 186.  
 —, — son of John, 140.  
 —, — son of William, 190.  
 —, — Walter, 147, 235.  
 —, — son of David, 185.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 262.
- Poer, Walter son of Hugh, 190.  
 —, — son of Meiller (Meyler), 190, 248.  
 —, — son of . . . ., 180, 300.  
 —, — William, 119, 181, 190.  
 —, — son of Geoffrey son of Batyn, 263.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 281.  
 —, — son of Henry, 163.  
 —, — son of Martin, 185.  
 —, — William, son of Richard, 184.  
 —, — . . . . son of Richard, 260.  
 —, — . . . . Blakman, 262.
- Pollard, Edmund, 197.  
 —, — John, 287, 288, 295, 297.  
 —, — Laurence, 267, 268.  
 —, — Roger, 81.  
 —, — Thomas, 295.  
 —, — William, 255, 268, 308.
- Poltram, Clement, 321.  
 Polyn, Richard, 228.
- Ponte, John de, 90; Justice in cyre, 6, 72, 108.
- Ponteyns, Maurice, 221.
- Ponteys, John, 107.
- Pope, John, 291.
- Porlicket (Co. Wat.?), Walter son of David de Rupe of, 261.
- Porta, Thomas de, 232.
- Portally, *see* Porthalok.
- Portefeya, Simon, commissary of the bishop of Waterford, 153.
- Porter, le Porter, David son of Elias, 317.  
 —, — James, 323.  
 —, — Nicholas, 264, 286.  
 —, — son of Elias, 317, 320.  
 —, — Robert, 154.  
 —, — Thomas (Co. Dub.), 217, 220, 315.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 148.  
 —, — William, 301.  
 —, — son of Robert, 294.
- Portes, Portis, Ralph de, 152, 209, 211.
- Portesceu, Henry, 225.
- Porthalok (Portally, B. Gaultiere, Co. Wat.?), John Barun of, 186.
- Portis, *see* Portes.
- Portnouill, Portuill, Philip de, 183.  
 —, — Walter son of William son of David de, 184-185, 191.  
 —, — William de, 183.
- Portreve, David son of Elias le, 321.  
 —, — Martin le, 325.  
 —, — Nicholas son of Elias le, 321.
- Portuill, *see* Portnouill.
- Portynhill, David son of Geoffrey of, 326.
- Port . . . ., ferry of (Co. Wat.), 183.
- Possewyk, Hugh, 149, 253, 254.  
 —, — John, 226, 244.
- Potter, John le, 5.  
 —, — Reginald le, 171.  
 —, — Stephen, 148.
- Poukoc, John, 227-228.
- Powys, William, 204, 268.
- Poyer, *see* Poer.
- Prat, Pratt, Eiyas, 273.  
 —, — Hammond (Hamoun), 253, 254, 273.  
 —, — John, 34.  
 —, — Milo, 272, 303.  
 —, — Richard, 253, 254.  
 —, — Robert, 78.

- Pren, John, 275.  
 —, William, 277.  
 Prendergast, de, Prendelgast, Prendil-  
 gast, de Prendilgast, Prendre-  
 gast, Maurice, 181, 201, 288,  
 289, 291, 295.  
 —, Robert, 156, 236.  
 Prendevill, Andrew de, 306.  
 Prestes daughter, Agnes the, 79.  
 Prestoun, Ralph, 210.  
 —, William, 166.  
 Prestsone, *see* Prustsone.  
 Prevost, David, 148.  
 —, William, 148.  
 Priest, John the, 145.  
 —, Nicholas the, of Kilka, 284.  
 —, William son of John the, 145.  
 Priour, brother Roger, 47.  
 Prutfot, *see* Prutfot.  
 Prout, le Prout, le Prude, Prute, le  
 Prute,  
 —, Abel, 147.  
 —, David, 147.  
 —, Gregory, 147.  
 —, Henry, 147, 311.  
 —, Hugh, 45, 268, 269.  
 —, Philip, 147, 248, 261.  
 —, Richard, 263, 284.  
 —, Roger, 266.  
 —, Thomas, 205.  
 Proutestoun (Co. Lim.), 312.  
 Prutfot, *see* Prutfot.  
 Prude, *see* Prout.  
 Prustesmaid, Johanna the, 79.  
 Prustsone, Prestsone, Mabilka wife of  
 Robert, 78-79.  
 —, Robert, 78-79.  
 Prut, Prute, *see* Prout.  
 Prutfot, Prutfot, Proutfot, Adam, 193,  
 195, 197.  
 —, John, 209.  
 —, Richard, 225, 240, 290.  
 —, Simon, 290.  
 Pucel, Pucele, Richard, 253, 301.  
 Pudding, Adam, 226.  
 Pulle, Puylle, John son of Richard de  
 la, 62, 287, 291, 294.  
 —, Stephen de la, 287.  
 —, Walter de la, 237-239, 265, 276,  
 278, 289.  
 —, . . . de la, 292.  
 Pullehare, John, 169.  
 —, Walter, 169.  
 Punchardon, Punchardoun, John de,  
 82.  
 —, John son of John de, 82.  
 —, Walter son of John son of John  
 de, 82.  
 Purcel, Hugh (Co. Tip.), kt., 6, 51-52,  
 75.  
 —, — son of Hugh (Co. Tip.), kt.,  
 51-52, 75-76.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 122.  
 —, — son of Hugh (Co. Lim.), 3.  
 —, — son of John, father of Hugh  
 (Co. Tip.), 10, 68-72.  
 —, — son of Hugh son of John  
 (Co. Tip.), 9-10, 28, 68-71, 105.  
 —, — grandfather of Hugh son  
 of John, 68-71.  
 —, — the dau. and heiress of,  
 27-28.  
 Purcel, Johanna, wife of Hugh son of  
 John, 134.  
 —, John son of Hugh, of Corkteny,  
 325.  
 —, — son of Hugh, of Kylsleve,  
 325.  
 —, — son of John, 302.  
 —, Milo, 70, 105.  
 —, Peter son of John, 302.  
 —, Philip, 9-10(?), 122, 234.  
 —, —, of Corkteny, 325.  
 —, — son of Hugh, kt., 6, 51-52,  
 75-76.  
 —, — son of William, 325.  
 —, Richard, 147.  
 —, Robert, 122.  
 —, — son of Hugh, 3.  
 —, Thomas, 122.  
 —, — son of Hugh, 3.  
 Puylle, *see* Pulle.  
 Pychar, Roger, 34.  
 Pyrot, Ad., 143.  
 Pywler, Robert, 225.  
 P. . . ., John son of Thomas son of, 198.

## Q

- Qnayntrel, Adam, 209.  
 Quintyn, Quyntyn, Agnes wife of John,  
 145.  
 —, Henry, 158.  
 Quylleferstoun (Co. Wex.), 160.  
 Quytel, Ralph, 224.

## R

- Rabo, Andrew de, 258.  
 —, Andocn de, del, 246, 285.  
 Ragewas, Ragwas, John, 195, 286, 297.  
 Raggede, Thomas le, 216.  
 Ragleve, Master Henry de, 159.  
 Rag. . . ., John, 298.  
 Rait (Rath?), Gerald de, 288.  
 Ralec, Raley, Raleve, Ralleye, Gervas  
 (Gervasc) de, 9, 137.  
 —, Matthew, 130, 254.  
 —, Richard de, 311.  
 —, Stephen, 320.  
 —, Thomas de, 273.  
 Rallesham, Richard de, 221.  
 Ralph, Rauf, Adam, 167, 168, 209.  
 —, — son of, 129.  
 —, Cecilia dau. of Thomas, 223.  
 —, David son of, 305, 307.  
 —, Gilbert son of, 305.  
 —, Henry son of William son of, 272,  
 274.  
 —, Hugh son of, 306, 313.  
 —, John son of, 209, 213.  
 —, —, jun., 211.  
 —, — son of Mathew son of, 211.  
 —, — son of Simon son of, 217.  
 —, Laurence, 92.  
 —, Maurice son of, 203, 267, 311.  
 —, Nicholas son of, 243-245, 268,  
 269, 304.  
 —, Ralph son of, 20-21, 189.  
 —, Richard son of, 232, 288.  
 —, Robert son of, 50, 208.  
 —, Roger son of, 204.  
 —, Stephen son of, 305, 307.  
 —, Thomas son of, 129, 254, 272.  
 —, William son of, 75, 78-79, 164,  
 216, 245.

- Ramag., John, 268.  
 Randalf, Randolf, Readalf, Gilbert, 249.  
 —, John, 232.  
 —, Nicholas, 198, 295.  
 —, Richard (Co. Cork), 203.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 177, 183, 263, 313.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 201.  
 —, William, 241.  
 Ravid, Thomas, 121.  
 Ranyde, Robert de, 8.  
 Rath, *see also* Rait.  
 Rath, Cristiana, 309.  
 —, Gerald de, 288.  
 —, Gerard de, 53.  
 —, Gilbert del, 241.  
 —, John de, 162.  
 —, John le Blount del, 255.  
 —, Martin de, 80.  
 —, Matthew de la, 155.  
 —, Philip Magnel del, 193.  
 —, Robert de, 259.  
 —, Roger de, 274.  
 —, Thomas de (Co. Dub.), 53.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 129–130.  
 —, . . . de, 162.  
 Rath, *see also* Astmaynuor.  
 Rathbran (Rathbran, B. Slane Lr., Co. Meath), John le Hore of, 275.  
 Rathbroun in Castelenok (Co. Dub.), 316.  
 Rathcas (Co. Dub.?), John son of Simon of, 216.  
 Rathcoul (Rathcoole, Co. Dub.), Richard le Feure of, 65.  
 —, John le Mareschal of, 65.  
 —, Walter, Walter de, 267, 309.  
 Ratheskyr (Rathescar, B. Ardee, Co. Louth), 265.  
 Rathgalwigh (Co. Lim.), 4.  
 Rathgarf (Rathgar, Co. Dub.), John son of Hugh le Mouner of, 315.  
 Rathgarrock, Rathgarrok, Rathgarroke (Rathgaroge, B. Bantry, Co. Wex.), John de Sutton of, *see* Sutton.  
 Rathgel (Rathkeale, Co. Lim.), William the clerk of, 306.  
 Rathgonl, Walter, 204, 206, 314.  
 Rathlaghan, Rathloghan (Rathlagan, B. Slane Lr., Co. Meath?), 224.  
 Rathlan, Henry, 253, 254.  
 Rathlawe, Thomas de, sub-sheriff of Dublin, later sheriff, 23, 144, 154.  
 Rathloghan, *see* Rathlaghan.  
 Rathl. . . (Co. Kild.), church of, 218.  
 Rathmeague, *see* Rathymegan.  
 Rathmethyn (Co. Wat.), 176.  
 Rathmol (Rathmooley, B. Slievardagh, Co. Tip.), 99.  
 Rathmolan, Adam de, 240.  
 Rathmor (Rathmore, Co. Car.), William vicar of, 228, 229.  
 Rathmyagh, Walter de, 274.  
 Rathnegeragh (Rathnageeragh, B. Idrone E, Co. Car.), 19–21.  
 Rathornan (B. Idrone W. Co. Car.), John de Boneville of, 230.  
 Rathsales (Co. Louth), 225–226.  
 Rathto (Co. Car., or Rathtoole, Co. Wick.?), church of, 172.  
 —, William, chaplain of, 172.  
 Rathungan, Thomas, 244.  
 —, William, 244.  
 Rathymegan (Rathmeague, B. Ballinacor S, Co. Wick.), manor and court of, 82.  
 Rathymegan, de Rathymegan, Thomas, 244, 318.  
 —, William, 226.  
 Rauf, *see* Ralph.  
 Rannk, *see* Rouk.  
 Reading, *see* Redyng.  
 Rede, Adam, *see* Hugh.  
 —, David le (Co. Car.), 228.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 206, 269, 304.  
 —, Elyas le (Co. Dub.), 246, 258.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204, 268, 269.  
 —, Gromyn le, 275.  
 —, Henry le, 279.  
 —, —, jun., 276.  
 —, Hugh le, 33–34, 51.\*  
 —, John le (Co. Lim.), 268, 269.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.?), 147.  
 —, John le (Co. Wat.), 249.  
 —, —, of Glasneyvn, 222.  
 —, Nicholas de, 284.  
 —, Philip le, 304.  
 —, Richard le (Co. Cork), 291.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 169.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 279.  
 —, Robert le, 177, 178, 183, 249, 251, 314.  
 —, Roger le, 313, 314.  
 —, Stephen le, 204, 268.  
 —, Thomas le (Co. Killk.), 81–82.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 269.  
 —, Walter le (Co. Tip.), 303.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 281.  
 —, William le, 219, 279.  
 —, . . . le, 256.  
 Redelesford, *see* Ridelesford.  
 Redyng (Reading), letters dated at, 115.  
 Regbold, Robert, 311.  
 Reginald, Regin., Adam son of, 172.  
 —, John son of, 312.  
 —, Maurice son of, 226.  
 —, Richard son of, 163.  
 —, — son of Richard son of, 163.  
 —, Robert son of, 148.  
 —, Thomas son of, 175.  
 —, Walter son of, 162.  
 —, Walter, Treasurer of England, 50.  
 Rembaud, Rembold, Reymbaud, Reynbald, Rymbaud, —, Henry, 183, 248, 299.  
 —, John, 267, 312.  
 —, Robert, 214, 303, 310; coroner (Lim.), 215.  
 —, Thomas, 121, 303.  
 Remund, *see* Reymund.  
 Renagh, *see also* Revagh.  
 Renagh, Agnes wife of John, 119.  
 —, David, 156.  
 —, John, 119.  
 —, Thomas, chaplain, 120, 312.  
 Rendalf, *see* Randalf.

- Renevill, Philip, 218.  
 Repentency, Repentencye, Repenteny,  
     Alexander de, 225.  
     John de, 213, 217.  
     Philip de, 275.  
     William de, 168, 170, 265, 275.  
 Resel, Roger, 285.  
     Thomas, 285.  
 Reihyre (Co. Meath?), William son of  
     Walter the Weaver of, 224.  
 Revagh, *see also* Renagh.  
 Revagh, Adam, 269.  
     Henry, 226.  
     Thomas, 226.  
 Reve, Adam le, 245.  
     Henry le, 244.  
     John son of Walter le, 244.  
     Jordan le, 218.  
     Maurice le, 154, 225.  
     Robert le, 148, 315.  
     Roger le, 148.  
     William le, 148, 244.  
 Reymbaud, *see* Rembaud.  
 Reymund, Reymound, Remund,  
     David, 178.  
     — son of, 313.  
     Griffin son of, 314.  
     John son of, 281, 284-285.  
     Maurice son of, 251.  
     William, 82.  
     William son of, 290.  
 Reynald, Reynaud, Adam, 230-231.  
     John, 245.  
 Reynbaud, *see* Rembaud.  
 Reynoldstown, *see* Ballyrayny.  
 Richard, Adam son of (Co. Cork), 191,  
     192, 196, 202, 288, 291, 292.  
     — (Co. Lim.), 204, 206,  
     267, 306, 307.  
     Daniel son of, 264.  
     David son of (Co. Cork), 191, 298.  
     — (Co. Kild.), 144.  
     Geoffrey son of (Co. Car.), 173.  
     — (Co. Lim.), 206, 306,  
     307.  
     — (Co. Tip.), 255.  
     Gilbert son of, 194, 200.  
     Henry son of, 219, 235, 236, 313.  
     Hugh son of, 155, 318.  
     James son of, 130.  
     John son of (Conaacht?), 89.  
     — (Co. Kilk.), 284.  
     — (Co. Lim.), 306, 311.  
     — (Co. Louth), 169.  
     — (Co. Tip.), 301.  
     — (Co. Wat.), 251, 281.  
     —, of Drompro, (Dromro),  
     175, 241, 242.  
     Luke son of, 219.  
     Neel son of, 308.  
     Philip son of (de S. Albino?),  
     146, 147.  
     Reymundson of, of Cnokennaume,  
     263.  
     Robert son of (Co. Cork), 298.  
     — (Co. Kild.), 149.  
     — (Co. Meath), 275, 277,  
     279.  
     Thomas son of (Co. Cork), 196,  
     198.  
     — (Co. Lim.), 204, 214,  
     306, 309.  
     Richard, Thomas son of, of Kilbelech  
     (Kilbelec), 317, 321.  
     —, —, —, de Moycolpe, 263.  
     —, —, —, (Cod), 195.  
     Walter son of (Co. Cork), 193,  
     195, 197, 203, 289.  
     — (Co. Tip.), 274.  
     William son of (Co. Kild.), 81.  
     — (Co. Tip.), 254, 302,  
     324, sheriff, 313, 322; sub-  
     sheriff, 58-59.  
     — (Co. Wat.), 139.  
     —, —, —, de Kyldrogh, 228.  
     —, —, —, son of, 146.  
 Riche, le Riche, de Riche, Nicholas,  
     179, 259, 260.  
     Richard, 165, 325.  
 Richeman, Thom., 144.  
 Ricoh, Ricolf, Ricoulf, Rycolf, Nicholas,  
     203, 205, 303, 310, 311.  
 Ridesford, de Redelesford, Riddelys-  
     ford, Ridelifford, de Riddlesford,  
     de Rydelefjord, de Rydelesford,  
     Rydelifford, de Rydelifford,  
     Eustace son of Walter, 298.  
     Henry, 198, 290.  
     John son of Henry, 298.  
     — son of Walter, 34, 298.  
     — son of Walter son of Walter,  
     298.  
     Peter son of William, 298.  
     Philip son of Walter, 298.  
     — son of Walter son of David,  
     298.  
     — son of William, 298.  
     Symon son of Walter, 298.  
     Thomas, 248.  
     Walter, 34, 289, 295, 298.  
     — son of David, 89.  
     William son of Walter son of  
     Walter, 298.  
 Ringnagonagh (Co. Tip.), 324.  
 Kirith, Henry son of, 156.  
 Ris, *see* Rys.  
 Roberdeston, Roberdestoun, *see*  
     Robertstoun.  
 Robert, Adam son of, 127.  
     Andrew son of, 16-17.  
     David son of, 203, 289.  
     Geoffrey son of, 226, 246, 316.  
     Gilbert son of, 195.  
     Henry son of (Co. Car.), 233.  
     — (Co. Cork), 290, 292.  
     — (Co. Tip.), 254-255,  
     320.  
     — (Co. Wat.), 183.  
     John son of (Co. Car.), 171, 228.  
     — (Co. Kild.), 244.  
     — (Co. Lim.), 304.  
     — (Co. Tip.), 119, 121,  
     257, 258, 302; kt., 36, 322, (*see*  
     *also* Knight).  
     Laur. son of Adam son of (de  
     S. Albino?), 146, 147.  
     Mabilla dau. of John son of, 171.  
     Maurice son of, 163, 325.  
     Nicholas son of, (Co. Car.), 171.  
     — (Co. Cork), 160, 298.  
     — (Co. Kild.), 176.  
     Philip son of (Co. Cork), 192,  
     202.  
     — (Co. Wex.), 236.  
     Reginald son of, 284.

- Robert, Richard son of, 32-33, 175, 241, 242.  
 —, Robert son of, 291.  
 —, Roesia dau. of John son of, de Typerary, 136.  
 —, Roger son of, 75.  
 —, Symon son of, 171, 216.  
 —, Thomas, Thom., son of (Co. Car.), 229, 230, 233.  
 —, ——— (Co. Dub.), 216.  
 —, ——— (Co. Kild.), 149.  
 —, ——— (Co. Lim.), 205.  
 —, Walter son of (Co. Car.), 98.  
 —, ——— (Co. Cork), 289.  
 —, ——— (Co. Lim.), 214.  
 —, ——— (Co. Tip.), 129.  
 —, ——— son of Maurice son of, 175.  
 —, William son of, 194, 195.  
 —, ———, of Oldetoun, 241.  
 —, ——— son of Adam son of, 264.  
 —, ——— son of, 233.
- Robertstoun, Roberdeston, Roberdestoun (Robertstoun, B. Ratoath or Kells Lr., Co. Meath), 211, 213.  
 —, Laur. le Blound of, 152.
- Robertstown (Meath or Louth ?), 210.
- Robyn, David, 85.  
 —, Walter, 161-162.
- Roch, *see also* Roth and Rupe.
- Roch, Roche, Alexander son of Alexander de la, sen., 325.  
 —, David, 31-32.  
 —, ——— de la, 245, 318.  
 —, Geoffrey son of Thomas de la, 325-326.  
 —, Hilary de la, 312.  
 —, John de, de la (Co. Lim.), 307, 309, 310.  
 —, ——— (Co. Meath), 152, 153.  
 —, ——— son of Milo de la, 182.  
 —, Patrick de la, 278.  
 —, Philip de la, of Belgrave, 156.  
 —, Stephen, 31.  
 —, Walter son of John de la, 314.  
 —, la . . . , 299.
- Rocheford, *see also* Rothford and Rupeforte.
- Rocheford, Rochford, Roch. . . . , John de, 69, 70(note), 156, 243.\*  
 —, Milo de, 228, 244; kt., 156.  
 —, Nicholaa de, 276.  
 —, Robert de, 44.  
 —, Thomas le, 228.
- Rodan, Thomas, 193.
- Rodberd, *see* Rudberd.
- Roddipak, Rudipak, Richard, 151.  
 —, ———, clerk, 47.  
 —, Stephen, 151.
- Rode, Hugh de, 214, 306.
- Rodeberd, *see* Rudberd.
- Rodfierd, Rodyard Rodyyard, master William, 164; vicar-general of the archbishop of Dublin, 264.  
 —, William de, *custos* of the spirituality of Ferns, 236.
- Roger, Adam son of, 121.  
 —, Bartholomew son of, 232.  
 —, David son of, 266.  
 —, Godefrey son of, 44, 222.  
 —, Henry, 163.
- Roger, Henry son of, 45, 204, 205.  
 —, John, 211.  
 —, ——— son of 34, 144, 301.  
 —, Mathew, Matthew son of, 215, 267, 307.  
 —, Meiller son of, 235.  
 —, Philip son of (Co. Cork), 194, 195, 197, 203, 294.  
 —, ——— (Co. Dub. or Car.), 321.  
 —, ——— (Ketyng ?), 145.  
 —, Richard son of, 227.  
 —, ——— of Stradbaly, 218.  
 —, William son of, 203-204.
- Rokele, Richard, 228.
- Roland, Rolaund, Philip, 192, 196, 202.  
 —, Richard, 149.  
 —, Thomas, 148.
- Roleg, John, 158.
- Roley, Roleye, P. . . . , 304.  
 —, William, 196.
- Rome, the court of, 31.
- Ronelagh, John, 103.  
 —, Thom. de, 154.
- Ronk, *see* Ronk.
- Rory, Adam, 277.
- Ros, Ross, Rosponte (New Ross), proceedings at, 46, 141, 234-237, 263-264.  
 —, proceedings at, referred to, 18, 19, 26, 29, 39, 61, 106.  
 —, incidents at, 46.  
 —, churches of S. Mary and S. Ey. . . . , 107.  
 —, David Boscher of, 156.  
 —, Robert Russell of (de), 26, 249.  
 —, brother Thomas of, 28.  
 —, brother William de, master of Any, 31-32, 36-37; prior of the Hospital, 103; master of Mora, 295.
- Rosbargoun (Rosbercon, Co. Kilk.), proceedings at, 316-317.
- Roscommon, castle of, 56, 106.
- Roscre (Roscrea), church of, 113.  
 —, prison in the castle of, 258, 302.
- Roscre, John, 10.
- Rosponte, Ross, *see* Ros.
- Rossagh, Rossathy, Andrew, 204.
- Rossel, Rossell, *see* Russel.
- Roth, Rotie, Adam (Co. Kild.), 75, 78-79.  
 —, ——— (Co. Tip.), 7, 274, 301.  
 —, Alex., 195.  
 —, David (Roch), 31, 36.  
 —, Eddous wife of Nicholas, 207.  
 —, Henry, 253, 254.  
 —, John, 78-79, 244, 318.  
 —, ———, sen., 8.  
 —, ——— son of John, 7, 8.  
 —, Ralph, 256.  
 —, Robert son of John, 7.  
 —, Stephen, 36.  
 —, Symon, 314.  
 —, Thomas, 214.  
 —, William, 274, 301.
- Rothan (Co. Car.?), William le Cartere de, 230.
- Rothan, David, 253, 320.
- Rothford (Rocheford ?), Milo de, 164.
- Rothka, Henry Carpentar of, 90.

\* Also as Ruperforte, *qv.*



- Rothwell, Robert, 177.  
 Roube, le (Co. Tip.), 254.  
 Rougecot, Michael, 194.  
 [Roughhead], William, bishop of Emly,  
 205, 304.  
 Rouk, Ronk, Raunk, Geoffrey, 226,  
 244.  
 —, Philip, 226.  
 Roulaght, Thomas de, 245.  
 Rous, Richard le, 230, 234.  
 —, William le, 232.  
 Rouwe, *see* Rowe.  
 Rowistoun (Rowestown, B. Forth,  
 Co. Wex.), Peter le Whyte of,  
 228.  
 Rowe, Rouwe, Henry, 127-128, 163.  
 —, Laurence, 230.  
 —, Roger, 230.  
 —, William, 163.  
 Rowestown, *see* Rowistoun.  
 Rubea Mora, Gilbert de, 286.  
 Rudberd, Ruddberd, Rudeberd,  
 Rodberd, Rodeberd,  
 —, Henry, 180, 184, 186, 190.  
 —, Richard son of Adam, 314.  
 Rudipak, *see* Roddipak.  
 Ruffus, William, 48.  
 Runeger (Co. Tip.?), Richard le Whyte  
 of, 255.  
 Rupe, *see also* Roch.  
 Rupe, de Rupe, Adam, 111, 237;  
 seneschal of the liberty of  
 Wexford, 107.  
 —, — son of David, 326(?).  
 —, — Bastard, 199.  
 —, Alexander, 263, 269.  
 —, — son of Alexander, 199.  
 —, — son of Henry, 199.  
 —, David (Co. Car.), 229-230.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 195, 198, 290,  
 297.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 156.  
 —, — son of Alexander, 199-200,  
 292, 297.  
 —, — son of Henry, kt., 199.  
 —, — son of Nicholas, 200.  
 —, — son of Reginald, 298.  
 —, — son of Richard, 219.  
 —, — son of Walter, 243.  
 —, Eustace son of David, 199.  
 —, George (Co. Cork), 199, 202.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 216, 219-220.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 269.  
 —, Gerald (Co. Cork), 199.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 301.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178.  
 —, — son of David (Co. Cork),  
 199.  
 —, — — (Co. Wat.), 178.  
 —, — son of Eustace, 326.  
 —, — son of Henry, 199, 247.  
 —, Gilbert son of Eustace, 199, 326.  
 —, Godbert (Godebert), 184, 261.  
 —, Gregory, 294.  
 —, master Griffin, 200.  
 —, Griffin son of Reymund, 161.  
 —, Henry (Co. Cork), 200.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 182, 184.  
 —, — of Failyk, 189.  
 —, Henry Brack, 325.  
 —, — the parson of, 52-53, 93.  
 —, — son of David, kt., 200.  
 —, — son of Edmund, 292.  
 Rupe, Henry son of Elias, 199(?), 247(?).  
 —, — son of John, 89, 200, 247.  
 —, — son of Lucas, 247.  
 —, — son of Philip, 247.  
 —, — son of Philip son of Henry,  
 200.  
 —, Hillare, 200.  
 —, James, 292.  
 —, Johanna, wife of Maurice  
 Tancard, 193.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 193-195, 289,  
 290.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 215.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 176.  
 —, — of Anagh, 267.  
 —, — of Corran, 161.  
 —, — of Fedemere (Fedmer), 215,  
 309.  
 —, — of Inchegori, 232.  
 —, — son of Alexander, 199, 312,  
 326.  
 —, — son of David son of  
 Alexander, 199.  
 —, — son of David son of David,  
 199.  
 —, — son of Eustace, 235, 326.  
 —, — son of Gerald, 199.  
 —, — son of Gruffin, 294.  
 —, — son of Henry, 200, 248.  
 —, — son of Milo, 184, 248, 261.  
 —, — son of Philip, 200.  
 —, — son of Reginald, 298.  
 —, — son of Robert, 251.  
 —, — son of Simon, 248.  
 —, John son of Tancard, 200.  
 —, — son of . . . ., 314.  
 —, Jordan son of Peter, 247.  
 —, Luke, cf. Awenbeg, 199.  
 —, — son of Alexander, 247.  
 —, — Duff son of Alexander, 199.  
 —, — Wrenche, 247.  
 —, — Wrenche, son of Alexander,  
 199.  
 —, — son of Luke, 199, 247.  
 —, — son of Robert, 199.  
 —, Margeria, 292.  
 —, Maurice, 198, 202.  
 —, — of Condory, 200.  
 —, — of Dircenede (Dirnetede),  
 52-53, 93, 199.  
 —, — son of Alexander, 199, 247.  
 —, — son of Reymund, 199.  
 —, Michael, 298.  
 —, — son of John, 287.  
 —, — son of Luke, 199, 247.  
 —, Milo, 289, 314.  
 —, — son of Philip, 199, 247, 251.  
 —, — son of Reymund, 263.  
 —, Nicholas son of Alexander, 52-53,  
 93.  
 —, — son of Richard, 298.  
 —, Patrick, 219, 238.  
 —, — (son of Philip), 199, 247,  
 251, 326.  
 —, Peter, 216.  
 —, — son of Ger., 326.  
 —, — son of Henry, 200.  
 —, Philip, 313.  
 —, — son of Alexander, 199, 247.  
 —, Philip son of David, 199, 247, 277.  
 —, — son of Gerald, 199.  
 —, — son of Griffin, 200.  
 —, — son of Henry, 326.

- Rupe, Philip son of John, 200.  
 —, — son of Luke, 199.  
 —, Reymund son of John, 200, 298.  
 —, — son of Philip, 62, 199, 200.  
 —, — son of Reymund, 199.  
 —, Richard, 304, 313.  
 —, — son of David, 199, 200.  
 —, Robert son of Nicholas, 200, 277.  
 —, — son of Reginald, 298.  
 —, Thomas, 219.  
 —, — son of David, 235.  
 —, Walter, 173, 235, 248, 311, 312.  
 —, — de, clerk, 214.  
 —, — son of David, 261.  
 —, —, of Porlicket, 261.  
 —, William, 37.  
 —, —, of Dromdowny, 199.  
 —, —, of the Nard, 192, 199.  
 —, — son of Gerald, 199.  
 —, — son of Luke, 199.  
 —, —, Will., son of Philip, 146, 160, 161, 163, 199, 207, 247, 251, 326.  
 —, — son of Philip son of David, 277.  
 —, — son of Reymund, 199.  
 Rupefort, *see also* Rocheford.  
 Rupefort, Rupeforte, Rupeforti, Ruperforte,  
 —, David de, 126.  
 —, Geoffrey de, 89.  
 —, John de, 68.\*  
 —, Maurice de, 114, 277, 289, 296; kt., 156, 298; deputy of the Justiciar, 19-20, 43, 288.  
 —, Nesta wife of Hugh de, 28, 68-72, 105, 134.  
 Rus, David le, 171.  
 —, John, 220, 277.  
 —, — son of John, 220.  
 Russebyr, Thomas de, 170.  
 Russel, Russell, Rossel, Rossell, David, 8, 63.  
 —, Geoffrey, 198.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 284.  
 —, Gerald, Ger., 193, 195, 289, 296.  
 —, Henry, 266.  
 —, — Bothir, 208.  
 —, — Sharugh, 214.  
 —, —, . . . ., 208.  
 —, John (Co. Cork), 160, 195, 198.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 140, 178, 193, 281.  
 —, —, serjeant of Oweth, 260.  
 —, —, of Cromelyn, 65, 154.  
 —, —, the cook, 222.  
 —, Margaret, wife of Reginald, 189-190.  
 —, Mathew, 190.  
 —, Reginald, kt., 189-190.  
 —, Richard, 163, 226, 232.  
 —, —, doctor, 174.  
 —, Robert (Co. Cork), 295.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 227.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 169.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 190.  
 —, — Britnagh (Brettagh, Brittagh), 208, 214, 306, 307, 309.  
 —, — of Ros, 26, 249.  
 Russel, Rogør, master, brother of Reginald, 190.  
 —, —, archdeacon of Lismore, 261.  
 —, —, Stephen, 262.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Cork), 52-53, 93.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 318.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 214, 306.  
 —, —, Rath, 208.  
 —, — son of John, 207.  
 —, —, Walter, 18.  
 —, —, William (Co. Car.), 229-230.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 306.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 299.  
 —, — son of Henry son of Reginald, 208.  
 —, —, . . . son of Henry son of Reymund, 214.  
 Russelestoun (Russellstoun, B. Connell or Narragh and Reban W, Co. Kild.), 165.  
 Rutoun, Robert de, 280.  
 Ruyly, Robert de, 101.  
 Rycolf, *see* Ricolf.  
 Rydale, Alice, 267.  
 —, James de, 307.  
 Rydeleftord, Rydelesford, Rydelifford, *see* Rideslesford.  
 Ryissbury, William, 266.  
 Rymbaud, Rymbold, *see* Rembaud.  
 Rynger, Nicholas, 224.  
 Rynngny, William de, 241.  
 Rys, Ris, Adam, 149, 324.  
 —, Eustach, 266.  
 —, Ger., 287, 289, 297.  
 —, Gerald, Ger., son of, 193, 194.  
 —, Henry, 173, 230.  
 —, John son of Ralph, 324.  
 —, Nicholas, 149.  
 —, —, clerk, 269.  
 —, Robert, of Monemehenuok, 63.  
 —, Thomas, 149.  
 —, — son of, 267, 268.  
 —, —, jun., 270.  
 —, William, 325.  
 Ryvel, Ryvell, John, 264.  
 —, Simon, 139.  
 —, Thomas, 199.  
 Ryver', Ryvere, John de la, 210, 223, 224.  
 —, master William de la, 32-33.  
 R. . . ., John son of Henry de, 179.  
 —, Martin, 243.  
 —, Simon de, 162.

## S

- Saggart, *see* Tassagard.  
 S. Albano, St. Alban, David de, 272, 273, 303.  
 —, John de, 255, 273, 303.  
 —, Maurice de, 303.  
 —, Thomas de, 272, 303.  
 S. Albino, de S. Albino, de S. Albin,  
 —, Ahured Brak, 147.  
 —, David son of Richard, 146.  
 —, Geoffrey, 147.  
 —, — son of Richard, 147.  
 —, James son of Richard son of Regin., 147.

- S. Albino, John, 235, 303.  
 —, — son of Barth., 147.  
 —, — son of Geoffrey, 147.  
 —, — son of Richard, 147, 257.  
 —, John, son of Richard, sen., 147.  
 —, —, jun., 147.  
 —, — son of Walter, 147, 257.  
 —, — Comsy, 257.  
 —, Laur. son of Adam, 257.  
 —, — son of Robert, 146-147.  
 —, Matilda, 50, 98.  
 —, Mannger, 147.  
 —, Maurice son of Richard, 147.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 146-147.  
 —, Nicholas son of Thomas, 147.  
 —, — son of Richard, 147.  
 —, Peter son of John, 147.  
 —, Philip son of master David, 147.  
 —, — son of Richard, 146-147, 257.  
 —, Richard, 216.  
 —, — son of Mannger, 147.  
 —, — son of Richard, 257.  
 —, — son of Thomas son of Regin., 147.  
 —, — son of Richard, 146-147.  
 —, — son of William son of Richard, 147.  
 —, Thomas son of Richard, 272.  
 —, — son of Regin., 147.  
 —, — son of Thomas son of Regin., 147.  
 —, — son of William son of Richard, 147.  
 —, Walter, 146-147, 257.  
 —, William, 314.  
 S. Brigida, John de, 139.  
 —, Philip de, 7.  
 S. Clare, S. Claro, Seint Cler, Thomas de, 203, 205, 214.  
 S. James, John de, 240.  
 S. John, Hospital of, *see* Hospital.  
 —, Henry de, 197.  
 —, Michael de, 197, 201, 287.  
 —, Milo de, 195, 197, 297.  
 —, Thomas de, constable of the castle of Limerick, 17.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 257, 303; kt., 256, 258.  
 S. John's Leas, *see* Lese.  
 S. Laurence, S. Laur., Roger de, 143, 218.  
 [S. Ledger], Thomas, bishop of Meath, (Justice), 6, 27, 36, 51, 61, 67, 68, 72, 75, 99, 108, 130.  
 S. Ledger, S. Ledger, S. Leger, St. Leodegario, S. Leodegar', Saint Leodegar,  
 —, William de, 75; kt., 6, 51-52; seneschal of the liberty of Kilkenny, 216.  
 S. Michael, S. Michel, David de, 191, 196, 207.  
 —, Gerald de, 163.  
 —, John son of Gilbert de, 163.  
 —, William de, 246, 290.  
 S. Olava, S. Olavo, Richard de, 44, 280.  
 S. Patricio, John de, 312.  
 S. Sepulchre, liberty of, *see* Dublin.  
 Salagh Typerid (Salterbridge, B. Coshmore and Coshbride, Co. Wat ?), Meylor son of David le Poer of, 263.  
 Sale, Haket de la, 286.  
 —, John de la, 113.  
 —, Symon de la, 254.  
 —, Thomas de la, 253.  
 —, William de la (Co. Tip.), 255; coroner, 8.  
 —, — (son of Robert) de la, 66, 103, 118.  
 —, — son of Roger de la, 47, 58, 254.  
 Salle, Geoffrey de, 123.  
 —, — son of Henry de, 120.  
 —, Sibilla widow of Geoffrey, 123.  
 —, Simon de, 120.  
 —, William de, 120.  
 Salne, Robert, 87.  
 Salter, Thomas, 165.  
 Salterbridge, *see* Salagh Typerid.  
 Saltus Salmonum, *see* Leiskip.  
 Samel, David, 171, 173.  
 Samford, Walter, 319.  
 Sampson, Sampson, Geoffrey, 128, 252.  
 —, John (Co. Dub. or Kild.), 44, 165, 216, 217.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 260, 261.  
 —, Nicholas, 75, 242.  
 —, — Richard son of David, 205.  
 Sancy, *see* Sancy.  
 Sandewitz, Sandewiz, Robert, 183.  
 Sandwiz, Robert Godard of, 219.  
 Sandoun, *see* Shendoun.  
 Sandr., Geoffrey, 206.  
 Sandw., Robert, 313.  
 Sanfog, Thomas, 267.  
 Sanger, Clarice le, 204.  
 —, Philip le, sen., 264.  
 —, William le, 184.  
 Sangester, Philip, 243.  
 Sang..., John le, the younger, 179.  
 Sanquhar, *see* Senewhare.  
 Santry, *see* Sauntref.  
 Sapecot, Thomas, 13.  
 Saresfeld, Sarisfeld, Sarsfeld, Henry de, 77.  
 —, Robert, 197.  
 —, Stephen de, 191.  
 —, Walter de, 144, 163.  
 Saucer, le Saucer, John, 248-249.  
 Saucy, Sancy, Andrew, 254, 255, 301.  
 Sandre, Geoffrey, 269.  
 Saundoun, Thomas, kt., 146.  
 Saundre, Richard, 33-34, 51.  
 Sauntref (Santry, Co. Dub.), Hugh Laghles de, 222.  
 —, Isabella de, 222.  
 Sauters, *see* Auteres.  
 Savage, Sauvage, William (Co. Lim.), 214.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 180, 184, 186, 189, 248, 263, 281.  
 Scadan, Henry, 324.  
 —, Richard, 227, 255.  
 Scales, de Scales, Nicholas, 207, 208.  
 Scertoun, *see* Seretoun.  
 Scharneford, William de, 143.  
 Schendoun, *see* Shendoun.  
 Schengole, *see* Sengel.  
 Scherman, Sherman, Adam, Ad., 143, 221.  
 —, John, 221.  
 —, Stephen, 314.  
 —, William, 143, 221, 222, 280.

- Schestebury, John, 306.  
 Schirlockestoun (Sherlockstown, B. Naas N., Co. Kild.), Thomas son of David de, 241.  
 Scholfyn, Richard, 198.  
 Schorgrove, *see* Shortgrove.  
 Schort, John son of William, 154.  
 —, Thom., 154.  
 —, William, 154.  
 Schortgrave, Schortgrow, *see* Shortgrove.  
 Schortred, John, 305.  
 Schurlok, *see* Scurlag.  
 Schynnagh, *see* Shynnagh.  
 Schynyn (Shannon), river, 205.  
 Scilling, Nicholas, 312, 314.  
 Scobas, Thomas, 149.  
 Scoldbek, Peter, 177.  
 Scotok, John, 232.  
 Scot, Adam, 85.  
 —, Hugh, 144.  
 —, John, 195, 320.  
 —, Michael, 221.  
 —, Nicholas, 273.  
 Scotland, wars of Edward I in, 12.  
 —, wars of Edward II in, 56, 77, 163, 280.  
 —, persons charged with bringing supplies to, 280, 285.  
 —, pardons and protections for service in, 26, 116, 209, 290.  
 —, the island of, 280.  
 —, other refs., 84, 167, 219.  
 Scribias, Philip, 286.  
 Scryn, Thomas, 239.  
 Scurlag, Scurlags, Scourlag, Scurlog, Scurl..., Schurlock, Sherlock, Shirlok,  
 —, Henry, 268, 269.  
 —, John, 263.  
 —, Maurice, 200, 286, 287, 291, 297.  
 —, Nicholas, 289.  
 —, R..., 143.  
 —, Richard, 18, 22, 211-213, 277, 279.  
 —, Thomas, 81-82.  
 Segre, Peter de, 209.  
 Seisel, Seisil, *see* Seyscell.  
 Saleby, John, 143.  
 Slew..., Thomas, 244.  
 Selwod, Walter, 322.  
 Selyman, Seliman, Gemeyn son of John, of Glynnogyr, 303-304.  
 —, Hugh, 210.  
 —, John, 210, 318.  
 —, —, of Archourestoun, 159.  
 Semblant, Semblaunt, William, 117, 245.  
 Semer, Henry, 228.  
 Senbaly (Co. Cork ?), Henry the Clerk of, 202.  
 Senewhare (Sanguhar, Dumfriesshire, Scotland), letters dated at, 57.  
 Sengel, Sengill, Sengol, Shengole, Schengole (Co. Lim.), 207.  
 —, William le Lang (Lung) of, 203, 311.  
 —, Adam le White of, 203.  
 —, William son of Thomas de, chief serjeant (Co. Lim.), 305.  
 Sengleton, master William de, 74.  
 Senkyl (Co. Louth), 225.  
 Serche, John, 315.  
 Serctoun, Scertoun, Andrew, 197, 200, 202, 203.  
 Sergeaunt, Sergiaunt, Seriant, Seriaunt, le Seriaunt, Serjeant, the Serjeant, le Serjaunt,  
 —, Adam, 84.  
 —, Gilbert, 274.  
 —, Henry, 176.  
 —, John, 47, 255.  
 —, —, of Correston, 58, 64.  
 —, —, called the Cook, 216.  
 —, Philip, of Correston, 64.  
 —, Richard, 274.  
 —, Thomas son of, of J..., 200.  
 —, William, 280.  
 —, —, bailiff of Dublin, 222.  
 Serl, Serle, Sherle, Henry, 239.  
 —, Milo, 211, 276.  
 —, Symon, 239.  
 —, Thomas, 33.  
 Serlande, William de, 56.  
 Serlestoun, Adam de, 239.  
 Sett, Gilbert, 261.  
 Seuer, Laurence, 229, 230, 241.  
 Sewell, de Sewell, Sewelle, John, 240.  
 —, Luke, 167, 168, 209, 223, 240, 271.  
 —, Martin, 166, 209, 223, 241.  
 —, Reginald, 223, 240.  
 Seynden, Robert, sen., 18, 19.  
 Seyngur, le Seyngur, Seyngnour, le Seynnr, John, 158.  
 —, Richard, 158.  
 Seyr, Robert, 268.  
 Seys, Seyss, Adam, 75.  
 —, John, 193.  
 —, Roger, 228.  
 —, Walter, 294, 308.  
 Seysel, Seyscell, Seysill, Seisel, Seisil, Andrew, 99, 129, 130, 254, 255, 257, 272, 274, 301.  
 —, Maurice, 293.  
 —, William, 151.  
 Seyss, *see* Seys.  
 Shanclon (Co. Cork, Shandon ?), 160.  
 Shandon, *see* Shendoun.  
 Shannon, R., *see* Schynyn.  
 Sharragh, Henry, 210.  
 Shendoun, Schendoun, Sandoun (Shandon, Cork), 292, 297.  
 —, vicar and church of, 291.  
 Shengole, *see* Sengel.  
 Shepherd, Richard son of Richard le, 199.  
 Sherle, *see* Serl.  
 Sherlock, Sherlock, *see* Scurlag.  
 Sherlockstown, *see* Schirlockestoun.  
 Sherman, *see* Scherman.  
 Shirlok, *see* Scurlag.  
 Shoppe, Richard del, 222.  
 Shore, Sore, Soor, Clement, 183-184, 299.  
 —, William, 299.  
 Shortgrove, Shortgrove, Schortgrave, Schortgrow, Schorgrove,  
 —, Philip, 203, 205, 214, 303, 310.  
 Sbrule, *see* Strothir.  
 Shymiagh, John, 320.  
 Shynnagh, Schynnagh, Synnagh, Ralph, 268.  
 —, Thomas, chaplain, 304.  
 Shyrmessour, John le, 310.

- Sidan, Sydan (Siddan, B. Slane Lr., Co. Meath), 266.  
 —, Roger Burgeys of, 280.  
 Sigrim, Sygrym, Laurence, 245; coroner (Co. Kild.), 148.  
 Sigyn, *see* Sygyn.  
 Silvester, Silvestre, Silvestr., Gen., 296.  
 —, John, 295.  
 —, —, of Kilmehallok, 309, 310.  
 —, Roger, 161-162.  
 Simon, Symon, Adam son of, 50, 57.  
 —, Alan son of, 239.  
 —, David son of, 293.  
 —, Gilbert son of, 228.  
 —, James son of, 303.  
 —, John son of (Co. Car.), 229, 233.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 270, 304, 306.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 179.  
 —, — of Rathcas, 216.  
 —, Maurice son of John son of, 197.  
 —, Philip son of, 311.  
 —, — son of Adam son of, 192.  
 —, Richard son of, 218.  
 —, Symon son of Adam son of, of Maistrestoun, 255.  
 —, Stephen son of Adam son of, 255.  
 —, Thomas son of, 315.  
 —, (no surname), 11.  
 Simyan, John, 276.  
 Sinnahit, Sinnath, Richard, 288.  
 Sintoun, . . . de, kt., 156.  
 Sire, David, 232.  
 Skedy, Walter, 299.  
 Skibyas, Mabilla wife of Nicholas, 65-66.  
 —, Nicholas, 65.  
 Skinburness, *see* Skymburnes.  
 Skybras, Adam, 140.  
 —, Philip, 264.  
 —, Thomas, 155.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 155.  
 Skymburnes (Skinburness, Cumberland), 56, 77.  
 Skynner, Jack le, 323.  
 Slane, de Slane, John, 11, 225.  
 —, Walter, 225.  
 —, William, of Drogheda, 265.  
 Slankard, Richard, 174.  
 Slefardagh (Slievardagh, Co. Tip.), 257.  
 Slefcultur, in the cross of Ferns (Slievecoiltia, B. Shelbourne, Co. Wex.), 141.  
 Slefmary, the mountains of (Sheve-margy, Cos. Car. & Leix), 271.  
 Smal, Smale, Adam le, 289.  
 —, Richard le, 175.  
 —, Robert, of Tyrneue, 90.  
 —, Thomas le, 293.  
 Smalrys, de Smalrys, Roger, 57.  
 Smegyn, Thomas, 286, 296.  
 Smith, *see also* Faber.  
 Smith, Smyth, Smythe, Adam son of Richard the, 293.  
 —, David, 219.  
 —, John the, 315.  
 —, Philip, 196.  
 —, William, 221.  
 Smyche, Thomas, 295.  
 Smythestoun (Co. Kilk.), 320.  
 Snell, Henry, 201.  
 Snellard, Stephen, 209.  
 Snelling, *see* Snellyng.  
 Snyterby, Thomas de, 38, 47, 83.  
 Solewan, *see* O Soullewan.  
 Sollers, William, 223.  
 Somel, David, 231.  
 Somerford, Gregory, 301.  
 Somersete, John de, 223, 277.  
 Somersted, Gilbert, 253.  
 Somervill, de Sumervil, Richard, 204, 215.  
 Somery, *see* Sumery.  
 Someter, Adam, 321.  
 —, Philip le, 209, 218.  
 —, Robert le, King's serjeant, 217.  
 —, Walter, 203.  
 —, William, 203, 205.  
 Soor, Sore, *see* Shere.  
 Sorragh, Laurence de, 179.  
 Sortals, Sorthals, William, 257, 266.  
 Sot, Geoffrey, 229.  
 —, Gilbert, 248.  
 Sotheuan, John, 85.  
 Sourdwaleston (Swordlestown, B. Naas S. Co. Kild.), 164.  
 Souter, Nicholas le, 85.  
 Sower, Sowre, Reginald le, 130-131.  
 —, Roger son of Reginald le, 131.  
 Sowys, John, 120.  
 —, Philip, 120.  
 —, Richard, 120.  
 Spain, . . . of, 183.  
 Sparawk, Walter, 165.  
 Sparkes, Sparks, John, 221.  
 —, Michael, 235, 236.  
 Specer, John le, 280.  
 Spenevill, de Spenevill, Walter, 47.  
 —, William, 47.  
 Spere, Bartholomew, 202.  
 Spiryn, Richard, 147.  
 Spoyt, John, 68.  
 Sprakelyn, William, 315.  
 Sprot, Sprottoun, Sprotun, John, 253.  
 —, Laurence, Laur., 168, 213.  
 —, Philip, 253.  
 —, Simon, Symon, 93, 130, 168, 213.  
 —, William son of Simon, 93.  
 Spydok, Walter, 195.  
 Spynel, Spynell, Spynevill, Walter de, 102, 116.  
 —, William, 48, 271.  
 Squyer, Adam, 218-219.  
 Stabeler, le Stabeler, Stabler, le Stabler, Adam, 243.  
 —, — son of Adam, 75.  
 —, John, 27, 130.  
 —, — Bastard, 226.  
 —, Philip, 27.  
 Stabill, Meiler son of David le, 245.  
 —, — son of Thomas le, 245.  
 —, William son of Thomas le, 245.  
 Stachcony, *see* Stathcony.  
 Stackbanan (Stabannan, B. Ardee, Co. Louth), 265.  
 Staffan, Adam, 255, 272.  
 Stafford, de Stafford, Hugh (Co. Kild.), 147.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 255-256.  
 —, James, 256-258, 303.  
 —, Laurence, 258.  
 —, Nicholas (Co. Tip.), 99, 157.  
 —, — (Co. Wex.), 235, 236.  
 —, Philip, 253, 254.  
 —, Thomas son of Laurence, 258.  
 —, William de (Co. Tip.), 255; King's serjeant, 127.

- Stake, John, 124.  
 Stakepol, Stakepoll, Adam, 200, 202, 293.  
 —, David, 175, 241.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 44, 175.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 193, 287, 289, 295.  
 —, —, of Botavant, 295.  
 —, Philip, 229.  
 —, Reymund, 230, 234.  
 —, Richard, 193, 197.  
 —, Robert, 287, 297.  
 —, Walter, 138-139.  
 Staloun, Stalun, John, 274.  
 —, Philip, 294.  
 Stanagh, Richard, 92.  
 Stanard, William, 239.  
 Stanes, John de, 5-6, 28.  
 Stanford, Robert, 280.  
 Stanley, de, de Stanleve, de Stauneleye, de Staveley, Nicholas, clerk to William de Bourn (Nicholas the clerk, sen.), 28, 41, 58, 62, 101-102, 107, 116, 117, 134, 135. *See also* Clerk, Nicholas the, jun.  
 —, Thomas de, 170, 276.  
 —, —, kt., 212.  
 Stantoun, *see* Stauntoun.  
 Stapeltoun, Nicholas de, 322.  
 Stathcony, Stachcony, Elias, 315.  
 —, Simon de, 30.  
 Stathker, Ralph le, 143.  
 Staueneleye, *see* Stanley.  
 Stauntoun, de Stauntoun, Stauntou, de Stauntoun, Adam, 293.  
 —, Ger., 288, 291.  
 —, John, 295.  
 —, Philip, 296.  
 —, —, son of Bernard, 163.  
 —, Reymund, 202.  
 —, Richard (Co. Cork), 191, 192, 196, 202.  
 —, —, (Co. Meath), 224, 266.  
 —, Roger, 192, 202, 291, 292.  
 —, Stephen, 197.  
 —, Walter (Co. Cork), 290.  
 —, —, (Co. Kild.), 156, 243, 318.  
 —, William, 191, 200.  
 —, —, of Corbelthun, 202.  
 —, —, of Villa Pont., 295.  
 Stavagh, Walter, 209.  
 Staveley, *see* Stanley.  
 Stede, Nicholas, 240, 280.  
 —, Roger, 266.  
 Stephen, Adam son of, 209.  
 —, Henry son of (Co. Lim.), 206, 268, 304.  
 —, —, (Co. Meath), 211, 213.  
 —, John son of (Co. Car.), 100.  
 —, —, (Co. Dub.?), 50, 57.  
 —, —, (Co. Lim.), 306.  
 —, —, (Co. Meath), 209.  
 —, —, (Co. Wat.), 182.  
 —, Richard son of, 72.  
 —, Walter son of, 206.  
 —, William son of, 230.  
 Stepheneston (Stephenstown, B. Dundalk Upr., Co. Louth), 212.  
 Stereman, Richard, 50, 57.  
 Sterre, Robert, of Waasistoun, 245.  
 Stewyn, Thomas, 144.  
 Stibbe, Patrick, 289.  
 —, Richard, 289, 295.  
 —, Thomas, 289.  
 Stikehare, Stykeharc, Peter, 58-59, 119, 254, 255.  
 —, Walter, father of Peter, 119.  
 Stoctoun, *see* Stoktoun.  
 Stok, Alexander, 129.  
 Stokes, de Stokes, Alexander, 301.  
 —, Henry, 128.  
 —, John, 272, 274, 301.  
 —, Michael, 152, 213, 277, 280, 319.  
 —, —, the town of (Stokestown, B. Dunboyne or Moyfenrath Lr., Co. Meath), 276.  
 —, Nicholas, 215.  
 —, Rhys, Ris, Rys, 151, 210, 223, 224, 275.  
 —, Thomas, 277.  
 Stoktoun, Stoctoun, Stokton, Stoke-toun, Luke, Lucas de, 31-32, 36, 126, 272.  
 —, Thomas de, 157.  
 Ston, Stone, Stones, John, 165, 325.  
 —, William, 311.  
 —, —, jun., 311.  
 Stonore, Baldewyn de, rector of Roscrea, 113.  
 Stoppil, Nicholas, 240.  
 Stormyn, Clement, 277, 279.  
 Stowe, John, 299.  
 Stradbaly, Stradbally, (Co. Dub.), 315.  
 —, Richard son of Roger of, 218.  
 —, (Co. Wat.), Henry the clerk of, 264.  
 —, John the baker of, 281.  
 —, John, 150.  
 Stradballycolgyn, Stradbalycolgyn (Stradbally, B. Decies-without -Drum, Co. Wat.?), 179, 185.  
 Straffan, Robert, 162.  
 Strangbowe, *see* Strongbowe.  
 Strangman, William, 255.  
 Strath, Walter, 243.  
 Stratton, John de, constable of Newcastle McKynegan, 77, 85.  
 Stredowy, Ralph de, 253.  
 Strich, Striche, John, 304.  
 —, Richard, 304.  
 Strode, Philip, 198.  
 Strongbowe, Strangbowe, William, 208, 212.  
 Strothir (Shrulle, Co. Mayo), castle of, 77.  
 Stury, Nicholas, 237.  
 Stutevilla, William de, 100.  
 Stykeharc, *see* Stikehare.  
 Styward, Walter, 63.  
 —, William, 245.  
 St. . . . , Philip, 124.  
 Suellyng, Sueilling, Snelling, Elyas, 290.  
 —, Robert, 290.  
 —, Walter, 290, 294, 298.  
 Sully, *see* Suylly.  
 Sumerlete, John de, 210.  
 Sumervil, *see* Somervill.  
 Sumery, Somery, David de, 235, 236.  
 Sumpter, Gerald, 291.  
 Suppyn, Walter, 284.  
 Sutton, Suttoun, Gilbert de, 18, 26, 60, 106, 159-160.  
 —, John de, of Rathgarrock, 18, 26, 60-61, 106, 235, 236; kt., 48, 247, 325.

Sully, Sully, Syilly, Luke, 148.  
 —, William de, 5-6, 75, 79; serjeant  
 pleader, 85.  
 Sweetman, Sweetman, Ad., 143.  
 —, Henry, 259.  
 —, Richard, 174.  
 —, William, 47.  
 Swerdes (Swords, Co. Dub.), 63.  
 —, Ranulph le Clerk of, 63.  
 Sweyn, John, 158.  
 —, Robert, 203.  
 —, Rose, 158.  
 Swordlestown, *see* Sourdwaileston.  
 Swords, *see* Swerdes.  
 Swyft, John, 318.  
 —, Thomas, 34.  
 —, William, 318.  
 Sydan, *see* Sidan.  
 Sygrym, *see* Sigrim.  
 Sygyn, Sigyn, Andrew, 206.  
 —, Eustace, 98.  
 —, Henry, 261.  
 —, James, 289.  
 —, Jordan, 201, 286, 296.  
 —, Walter, 243.  
 Syilly, *see* Sully.  
 Symcok, Synnok, Ralph, 205, 207.  
 Symon, *see* Simon.  
 Synnagh, *see* Shynnagh.  
 Synnok, *see* Symcok.  
 Synny, Adam, 225.  
 Sythan (Co. Wat.?), Henry Albus of, 263.  
 Syward, Richard, 158.  
 —, Thomas, 126, 254, 255.  
 —, Walter, 214.  
 —, William, 31, 36, 254, 255.  
 Sywardesrath in the suburbs of Lim-  
 erick, 214.  
 S....ond, Ralph, 305.  
 S...., John, 30.

## T

Taaf, master Reginald, custos of the  
 spirituality of Armagh, 239.  
 —, Robert, 35; sheriff of Meath, 22.  
 —, Roger, 279.  
 Tailor, *see also* Cissor.  
 Tailor, the Tailor, Tailleir, le Tailleir,  
 Taillor, Taillour, le Taillour,  
 Taillar, le Taillur, Tailour, le  
 Tailour,  
 —, Andrew, 183.  
 —, David, 183, 249, 251, 313.  
 —, John, 287.  
 —, — son of Osbert, 82-83.  
 —, Jordan, 239.  
 —, Milo, 323.  
 —, Nicholas, 198.  
 —, Oliver, 221.  
 —, Osbert, 82-83.  
 —, Randulph, 266.  
 —, Reymund, 180, 182-184, 186.  
 —, Richard (Co. Car.), 85.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248-249, 251,  
 313, 314.  
 —, Robert, alias Robert de Asche-  
 bourne, 157.  
 —, —, alias Robert le Lumynour,  
 166-167.  
 —, Thomas, 311.  
 —, William, 166, 222, 252, 271.  
 —, —, —, 273.  
 Tal, Nicholas, 86.

Talbot, Talboth, Talebot, Agnes wife  
 of Michael, 78.  
 —, John, 166, 293, 298.  
 —, Jordan, 294.  
 —, Michael, 78.  
 —, Theobald, 271, 298.  
 —, Walter, 271.  
 Tallaght, *see* Taulagh.  
 Talon, Tallon, Taloun, Talun, Thalon,  
 Adam, 20, 170.  
 —, Boniface, 275.  
 —, David, 233.  
 —, — son of John, King's serjeant  
 (Co. Car.), 229.  
 —, Henry, 66, 88, 91.  
 —, — son of John, 242.  
 —, Hugh (Co. Car.), 174, 231, 233;  
 serjeant, 233.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 146.  
 —, Isabella wife of David son of  
 John, 173.  
 —, John, 146, 163, 172, 231, 234,  
 238, 241.  
 —, — son of Henry, 145, 174, 321.  
 —, — son of Peter, 145, 171, 174.  
 —, — son of Richard, 173.  
 —, Peter son of John, 173.  
 —, Philip, 145, 146.  
 —, — son of Henry, 317, 321.  
 —, — son of John, 145, 175, 229.  
 —, — son of Richard, 145, 321.  
 —, —, of Uriel, 317.  
 —, Richard, Ric., 141-142, 159.  
 —, — Irrielagh, 174.  
 —, —, of Tamolyng, 321.  
 —, — (son of Henry), 19-21, 25,  
 27, 28, 66, 90-91, 100-101, 108,  
 159, 200.  
 —, — son of John, 145, 317.  
 —, Roger (Co. Meath), 275-276.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178.  
 —, Rose wife of John son of Richard,  
 173.  
 —, Stephen son of John, 145.  
 —, Walter, 145.  
 —, William (Co. Car.), 229.  
 —, William (Irryvelagh), King's  
 serjeant (Co. Car.), 175, 176.  
 —, — son of John, 145, 233.  
 —, — son of Peter, 145.  
 —, — son of William, 175.  
 Tamelyng, Tamolyng (Timolin, B.  
 Narragh and Rehan E, Co.  
 Kild.), 35.  
 —, Thomas le Boucher of, 317.  
 —, Richard Taloun of, 321.  
 —, Walter le Waleys of, 317.  
 Tamelynbeg (Timolin), priory of, 148.  
 Tancard, Tankard, Adam, 287, 289,  
 291, 295, 297.  
 —, Henry, (Co. Lim.), 205, 268.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 277.  
 —, Johanna de Rupe, wife of  
 Maurice, 193.  
 —, John, 169, 210, 276.  
 [—], —, bishop of Killala, 17.  
 —, Maurice, 193.  
 —, Philip son of, 197.  
 —, Richard, 289, 295-296.  
 —, Thomas, 146, 205, 268.  
 —, Walter, 311.  
 —, William, 287, 289, 295, 297.  
 —, —, —, 224.

- Tancardistoun (Tankardstown, B. Kilkea and Moone, Co. Kild.), 325.
- Tanner, le Tanner, Tannere, le Tannere, Adam, 85, 173, 230, 231.
- , —, of Leyghblyn, 171.
- , Henry, 296.
- , John, 148.
- , Nicholas son of Elias, 239.
- , Reginald, 277.
- , Richard, 240.
- , Robert (Co. Cork), 197.
- , — (Co. Louth), 169.
- , — (Co. Wat.), 183.
- , Roger, 279.
- , Thomas, 323.
- , Walter, 275.
- , William, 240.
- , — son of Elias, 239.
- , — son of Ralph, 209.
- Tany, Peter, 248, 281.
- Tan . . . , Elias le, 212.
- Tapley, Stephen, 274.
- Tarmefeighyn (Termonteckin, Co. Louth), Thomas Brun of, 212.
- Tarmen, Tarment, Tarmen, Tarmun (Co. Wat.?), Philip Albus of, 261.
- , John Cristoffre (Cristofre) of, 261, 263.
- , Philip son of David Cristoffre del, 250.
- , Philip the White of, 248.
- Tascholy, the cross at (cross of Kilk.?), 216.
- Taschoythyn (Tiscoffin, Co. Kilk.), John son of William of, 216.
- Tassagard, Tasagard, Tathsagard, (Saggart, Co. Dub.), 219.
- , Richard Beg (Bege) of, 154, 316.
- , Richard Broun of, 154.
- , John de, 223.
- , — of, coroner of Drogheda, 167, 168.
- , Martin and William le Longe of, 163.
- Tavel, Tavel, Isolda, 324-325.
- , John, 149, 324-325.
- Tanelagh (Tallaght, Co. Dub.), 161-162.
- Taueny, de Taueny, John, 243; provost of Clane, 148.
- , John son of Stephen, 75.
- Tauntoun, Geoffrey de, 219.
- , Gregory, 153.
- , Thomas, 153.
- Taverner, Tavernere, Henry le, 286.
- , John le, 221.
- , Nicholas le, 214.
- , Thomas le, 164.
- , William, 89.
- Tayllour, *see* Tailor.
- Tebaud, *see* Tybaud.
- Telsekde, Roger de, 143.
- Telyng, Telyn, Teling, Geoffrey, 224.
- , Henry, 277, 279, 280.
- , Hugh, 225.
- , Jordan, 210, 224, 277.
- , Nicholas son of Philip, 277.
- , Philip, 277.
- , Stephen, 266, 280.
- , William, 279, 280.
- Tempenoys, William, 143.
- Temple, brothren of the, 23-24, 36.
- , master of the, in Ireland, 35-36.
- Tene, Walter, 209.
- Tener (Toner?), Richard, 231.
- Tentoun, Gregory, 258.
- Termonleekin, *see* Tarmefeighyn.
- Terry, *see* Tirry.
- Thalon, *see* Talon.
- Thebaud, *see* Tybaud.
- Thesseler, Stephen, 314.
- Thomas, Adam son of, 276.
- , David son of, 196.
- , Henry son of, 90, 296.
- , Hugh son of, 230, 232, 246.
- , Johanna Bryde, wife of John son of, 192.
- , John son of, kt., 1-3, 164, 166, 175, 197, 207, 208, 215, 317, 324.
- , — (Co. Cork), 193, 203, 293, 296, 298.
- , — (Co. Dub. or Kild.), 81-82.
- , — (Co. Dub. or Kilk.), 111.
- , — (Co. Tip.), 274, 301.
- , —, of Balagath, 201.
- , —, of Dromore, 192.
- , — son of John son of, 75.
- , Maurice son of, 245, 310.
- , Milo son of, 287.
- , Nicholas son of, 175, 243; coroner (Co. Kild.), 148, 156.
- , Peter son of, 171.
- , Ralph son of, 285.
- , Richard son of, 219.
- , —, of Kill, 159.
- , Robert son of, 203, 293.
- , Roger, 279.
- , William son of, 287, 291.
- , —, of Shengole, King's serjeant (Co. Lim.), 305.
- , . . . son of, 243.
- Thomasestoun, Thomaston, (Thomas-toun, Co. Kilk.), 112, 174.
- Thomaston, Thomastoun (Thomas-toun, B. Carbury, Clane or Kilcullen, Co. Kild.), 81.
- , Adam le Blount of, 243.
- Thomond, chiefs of the Irish of, treaty with Limerick, 2.
- , Thotomon, robbers of, 311.
- Thoner, *see* Toner.
- Thonnyr, Thomas, 150.
- Thorles (Thurles, Co. Tip.), 252, 258, 324.
- Thornbury, Thornebyry, Walter de, Chancellor, pleas before, 272-274, 286-298; assents to pardon, 197.
- Thotomon, *see* Thomond.
- Thrapston, Henry de, 116; clerk, 87.
- Threl, Adam, 169.
- Thronoill (Co. Tip.), church of, 254.
- Thurgotestoun (Dermotstow, B. Balrothery E. Co. Dub.), John le Whyte of, 222.
- Thurles, *see* Thorles.
- Thurstayn, Thursteyn, John, 222.
- , Robert, 219.
- , Thomas, 175.
- , William, 320.
- Tilaghcoul, Tillaghcoul (Co. Wat.), 137.
- Tillaghlathyn (Co. Wat.), 237.
- Tillughcoul (Co. Wat.), 137.
- Timolin, *see* Tamelyng.



- Tintern. *see* Tyntern.
- Tipperary, Typerary (town), theft at, 78.
- , John Ely of, 255.
- , Roesia dau. of John son of Robert de, 136.
- (county), marginal notes of venue, 5-7, 10, 26, 28, 30, 31, 35, 36, 45-48, 50-52, 58, 66, 67, 72, 75-77, 86, 97-99, 103, 105, 108, 110, 119-129, 132-135, 139, 140, 146, 157, 214, 241, 246, 251-258, 270-274, 282, 301-303, 313, 320-325.
- , sheriff of (named), *see* Fraxincto, Fulco de; Haket, Henry; Poer, Richard le; Richard, William son of.
- , — (unnamed), 5-10, 26, 30, 31, 36, 45, 51, 58, 59, 68, 70, 72, 73, 75, 76, 97, 98, 109, 110, 120, 121, 124, 127, 128, 136, 139, 302.
- , sub-sheriff, *see* Haket, Geoffrey.
- , coroners, *see* Sale, William de la.
- , chief serjeant, *see* Maunsel, Walter.
- , King's serjeants, *see* Palyagh, Robert; Haket, Geoffrey; Ketyng, Richard; Stafford, William de.
- , *iter* of, 108, 109.
- , thieves in, 255, 257, 269.
- , other refs., 139, 254, 256, 270, 283, 303, 322.
- Tirel, *see* Tyrrell.
- Tirry, Terry, Tyrry, John, 296, 297.
- , John son of Robert, 201, 202.
- , Robert, 291.
- , Stephen, 72, 74.
- , Walter, 73.
- Tirynchelach (B. Newcastle or Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 112.
- Tiscoffin, *see* Taschoythyn.
- Toman, the mountains of (Co. Dub.), 112.
- Tonebrigue, Edward de, 125.
- Toner, *see also* Tener and Thonnyr.
- Toner, Thoner, Cecilia wife of Thomas, 232.
- , Richard, 171-173, 228, 232.
- , Roger, 226.
- , Thomas, 232.
- Tony, Adam, 323.
- , Walter, 323.
- Top, Richard, 203, 206.
- Torgir, Torgyr, Peter, 205, 268.
- Torkill, *see* Turkill.
- Tornor, Philip, 249.
- Torragh, John, 148.
- Torre, David, 292.
- , John, 289.
- Torry, John, 287.
- , Maurice, 289.
- , Stephen, 289.
- Touker, le Touker, Toukere, Richard, 169.
- , Robert, 177, 183, 251.
- , Walter, 284.
- Tournour, Comdyn le, 271.
- Tracy, Robert, 169.
- , Roland, 324.
- Traghothoran (Co. Tip.), 87.
- Traharn, Traharne, Treharne, Henry, 175, 231, 234, 241.
- Traharn, John, kt., 234.
- , —, son of Henry, 172.
- , —, son of William, 146.
- , Ralph, 318.
- , Robert, 226, 244, 318.
- , Walter, 85.
- , William, 6, 42.
- Trahene, *see also* McTrahene.
- , Maurice, 246.
- Travers, Alexander, 271, 273, 320.
- , —, son of Alexander, 320, 322.
- , —, son of Walter, 322.
- , master John, 12, 28-29.
- Treharne, *see* Traharn.
- Trenedyn, *see* Treuedyn.
- Trenor, Richard, 220.
- Tresteldermod, *see* Tristeldermot.
- Treuedyn, Trevedyn, Trenedyn, Nicholas, 289.
- , Philip, 289.
- , Roger, 291.
- , Thomas, 201.
- , Thomas son of Simon, 294-295.
- , Walter, 195, 291.
- Trkyngham, Hugh de, 14, 112.
- Trim, Trym, liberty of, 32, 54, 118, 163; seneschal, 32.
- , letters dated at, 97.
- , Michael of (de), 166, 319.
- , Robert (de), 203, 270, 310.
- , Richard le Whyte of the liberty of, 48.
- Tristeldermot, Tristildermot, Tristeldermot, Tresteldermod (Castledermot, Co. Kild.), proceedings at, 34-35, 149, 165-166, 324-326; proceedings at, referred to, 15, 44, 45, 70, 117, 226.
- , inquisition at, 284.
- , Friars Minor of, 172.
- , other refs., 16, 82, 145.
- , Walter Graunte of, 176.
- Troley, David, 295.
- , Nicholas, 298.
- Troman, Nicholas, 155.
- , Thomas son of Walter, 118.
- , Walter, 34, 118.
- Troter, Dovenald, 322.
- Troy, Troye, Henry, 203, 205, 214, 303, 310.
- , Maurice, 311.
- , Theobald, 215, 311.
- , Walter, 311.
- Trusseloue, William, 302.
- Trym, *see* Trim.
- Tuam, archbishop of (named), *see* Bermingham, William de.
- , — (not named), 49.
- , church of, rights of, *see* Glanmoledun.
- Tubbrid, *see* Tybrit.
- Tuite, *see* Tuyt.
- Tulagh, Tuylagh, Tylagh (Tullow, Co. Car.), proceedings at, 274; proceedings at, referred to, 98, 135; other refs., 15.
- , John Beth de, 228.
- , Richard the clerk of, 27.
- Tullaghurat (Co. Cork), Henry le Whyte of, 287.
- Tulok (Co. Dub.), William le Mouner of, 259.

- Turbevil, Turbevil, Turbeville, Roger, 119, 130.  
 —, Roger, of Athlissel, 124.  
 Turbot, Turbut, Henry, 279.  
 —, Nicholas, 303.  
 —, William, 155.  
 Turkill, Torkill, John, 193-195, 201, 293.  
 Turnocell, Turnecotell, David, 195, 201.  
 Turnelof, . . . ., 292.  
 Turpyn, William, 209, 211.  
 Turtill Ellena, 182.  
 Tuylagh, *see* Tulagh.  
 Tuyt, de Tuyt, le Tuyt, Adam, 277, 279.  
 —, Richard, 84, 211.  
 —, —, son of Richard, 17-18, 53-54, 75.  
 Tyband, Teband, Theband, Tybaut, —, John (Co. Car.), 229, 230.  
 —, —, (Co. Cork), 193, 195, 291, 296.  
 —, Philip (Co. Car.), 230, 232.  
 —, —, (Co. Cork), 195, 201, 290, 297.  
 Tybrit (Tubbrid, B. Ifia and Offa W, Co. Tip.), Remund de Carreu of, 124.  
 Tyllagh, *see* Tulagh.  
 Tylaghrath (Co. Cork), 286.  
 Tyllaughkenan, Robert de, 47.  
 Tyler, William, 313.  
 Tylere, William le, 251.  
 Tyllagh, Milo, 323.  
 —, Stephen, 323.  
 Tym, Nicholas, 237.  
 Tynbegh, de Tynbegh, Tyngbegh, de Tyngghy, —, Nicholas, 143, 217, 218, 220, 258, 315.  
 —, Symon, 313.  
 Tyntagel, de Tyntagel, Tyntagell, Basilia wife of John, 200.  
 —, John, jun., 200.  
 —, —, son of Richard, 200, 293.  
 —, Laurence, 290, 293.  
 —, Nicholas, 291.  
 —, Richard, 293.  
 —, —, son of Richard, 202.  
 —, Robert, 196.  
 —, Thomas, 201, 290.  
 —, —, son of Eneas, 193.  
 —, Walter, 200, 293.  
 Tyntern, Tynterne (Tintern, Co. Wex.), abbey and abbot of, 18, 26, 60-61, 106; John, abbot of, 28, 107-108; William, abbot of, 107.  
 Typerary, *see* Tipperary.  
 Typernevyvyn (Co. Lim.), 268.  
 Typerorrik, Typerothrik (Co. Wat.?), John le Boriller of, 35, 184.  
 Tyrel, Tyrrell, *see* Tyrrell.  
 Tyrerath, Roger Albus of, 90.  
 —, John Conyn of, 90.  
 —, Richard Lyvet of, 90.  
 Tyrnebrohys, Tyrnebruyghys (Co. Wat.), 137, 140-141.  
 Tyrneue, Adam Albus of, 90.  
 —, Robert Smal of, 90.  
 Tyrrell, Tyrel, Tyrell, Tirel, Andrew, 113, 217-218.  
 Tyrrell, Henry, 121, 129.  
 —, Hugh, 121, 167, 169.  
 —, John (Co. Dub.), 17-18, 47, 53-54, 75, 84, 286.  
 —, —, (Co. Lim.), 310.  
 —, —, (Co. Louth), 226.  
 —, —, (Co. Tip.), 52, 76.  
 —, —, of Balylegan (Co. Tip.), 8.  
 —, Maurice, 84, 163, 316, 319.  
 —, Nicholas, 258.  
 —, Phillip, 8.  
 —, Richard, 84; Thorman and Kellan, *hibernici* of, 84.  
 —, —, of Castleknock, 84, 154-155, 264.  
 —, Sibilla, 79.  
 —, Thomas, 217-219.  
 —, William, 8, 76, 302.  
 Tyrry, *see* Tirry.  
 Tysoun, Adam, 256.  
 Ty. . . ., John son of John, 192.  
 T. . . .d, Thomas, 305.

## U

- Uddard, Thomas, 96, 115.  
 Ulf, Philip, 37, 67, 312; kt., 208, 322.  
 —, Richard, 206-208, 268, 306.  
 Ulster, Richard, earl of, *see* Burgo.  
 —, cross of, chief serjeant in, *see* Moigne.  
 —, rights of prior of Downpatrick in, 40.  
 —, rights of bishop of Down in, 41.  
 Ultagh, John, 255.  
 Ulton, Adam de, 220.  
 —, John, de, 286.  
 Ulveston, Ulvestoun, Thomas de, 223; King's purveyor of food at Drogheda, 77.  
 Umfrey, Umfr', Edmund, 320.  
 —, Philip, 243.  
 Underwode, Thomas, 319.  
 Unnything, Eustace, 228, 233.  
 Unredd, Robert, 8.  
 Urban, Henry son of, 272.  
 Urclyne (Urglin, Co. Car.), Thomas, parson of, 42.  
 Uriel, *see also* Louth.  
 —, Irish of, 51.  
 —, Drogheda on the side of, *see* Drogheda.  
 —, John le Flemyng of, 239.  
 —, Philip son of Richard Taloun of, 317.  
 —, Uryel, Adam, 143.  
 —, Laurence, 89.  
 —, William, 143, 218.  
 —, —, de, 78, 220, 315.  
 Usher, Mariot Belagh, wife of Philip the, 279.  
 —, Philip the, 279.

## V

- Vaal, Maurice du, 115.  
 —, Philip du, 35.  
 —, Richard du, 234.  
 —, —, son of Richard du, 283.  
 —, Roger du, de, 245, 288.  
 Valencia, Valencia, Valenc', Ademar de, 22.

- Valence, Agnes de, 37, 58, 101, 114.  
 —, Johanna de, 111; lady of the  
 liberty of Weisford, 137-138.  
 Valle, Alicia wife of Richard de, 122.  
 —, Betoun, widow of John de, kt.,  
 174.  
 —, Edmund de, 258, 259, 301.  
 —, Geoffrey de, 182.  
 —, Haye, 145.  
 —, Henry de, 171, 231.  
 —, — son of Nicholas de, 174.  
 —, — son of Stephen de, 233.  
 —, Hey de (Geoffrey son of David  
 Hey de ?), 262.  
 —, Hugh de, a man of, 273.  
 —, James de, 302.  
 —, — son of Philip de, 258, 301.  
 —, John (Co. Car.), 231, kt., 174.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 6, 133, 254, 255,  
 258.  
 —, —, of Catheraban, 157.  
 —, — son of Remund de, 248.  
 —, Nicholas de, 232, 236.  
 —, Ode de, 305, kt., 146.  
 —, Philip, 174.  
 —, — son of John de, 301.  
 —, — son of Walter de, 302.  
 —, Reymund, 174.  
 —, — son of Philip de, 302.  
 —, — son of Stephen de, 172.  
 —, Richard de (Co. Dub.), 221.  
 —, — (Co. Car. & Kild.), 145, 174.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 122.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 262.  
 —, — son of Jordan de, 172, 232,  
 247.  
 —, — son of Walter de, 255, 256.  
 —, —, serjeant (Co. Car. Richard  
 son of Jordan ?), 233.  
 —, Roland de, 133.  
 —, Stephen son of William, 233.  
 —, Thomas de (Co. Car.), 174, 231.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 194.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 309.  
 —, Walter son of Walter de, 302.  
 —, Wantilan de, wife of John  
 Brysky, 252.  
 —, William, 231, 233.  
 —, — de, of Kenles, 232.  
 —, — son of Stephen de, 174.  
 Vastham, Robert de, 242.  
 Vayrewey, *see also* Fayrway.  
 Vayrewey, John, 157.  
 Veel, John le, 320.  
 —, —, jun., 274.  
 Ven, de Ven, le Venne, John, 120, 302.  
 —, Maurice, 120, 302.  
 Venour, Richard le, 171.  
 Verdoun, Verdun, Verduno, Milo de,  
 51, 211, 238; kt., 265.  
 —, Nicholas de, 237-239; kt., 265, 278.  
 —, Ralph de, 101.  
 —, Richard de, 239.  
 —, Robert de, 191, 237-239, 265,  
 266, 275-278.  
 —, Theobald de, 92; Justiciar, 318.  
 —, . . . de, 224.  
 Verduns, rebellion of the, 191, 237-239,  
 265-266, 275-278.  
 Veys, Veyse, Christiana, wife of John  
 son of Adam de Collan, 82.  
 —, Nicholas, 82.  
 —, Walter, 323.  
 Via, Simon de, 157.  
 Vicary, William, of Knockmark, 21-22.  
 Villa Carnath (Co. Meath ?), Thomas  
 Brown of, 277.  
 Villa Pocr (Powerstown, B. and Co.  
 Car.), Laurence McKille of, 242.  
 Villa Pont (Bridgetown, B. Imokilly  
 or Muskerry E. Co. Cork ?),  
 William de Stauntoun of, 295.  
 Villa Staffan (Co. Tip. ?), John Brown  
 of, 272.  
 Ville (Valle ?), John de, 196.  
 Vilur, Robert le, 223-224.  
 Vincent, Robert son of, 243.  
 —, —, Walter, advocate, 137.  
 Vineter, Adam, 279.  
 —, —, Walter, 279.  
 Vischer, *see also* Fisser.  
 Vischer, Roger le, 189.  
 Viyel, David, 89.  
 Vole, Walter, 152.  
 Voucler, *see also* Foulcr.  
 Voucler, Luke le, 317.  
 Vylers, Nicholas de, 47, 85.

## W

- Waas, Was, le Was, Adam, 229, 291,  
 —, John, 165, 247, 325.  
 —, Peter, 27-28.  
 Waasistoun (Co. Kild. ?), Robert Sterre  
 of, 245.  
 Waddyng, Walter, 236.  
 Walecot, Nicholas de, clerk in  
 Exchequer, 32.  
 Wales, *see* Waleys.  
 Walenger, Robert, 171.  
 Walens, *see* Waleys.  
 Walerond, *see* Walrond.  
 Wales, William Alexander, a merchant  
 of, 65.  
 Waleton, Henry de, 59.  
 Waleys, *see also* Braynok, Brehenok,  
 Bretynagh, Walsch and Welsh-  
 man.  
 Waleys, le Waleys, de Waleys, le  
 Waleis, Walens, Wallens, de  
 Walles, Wal. . . ., Abraham, 201.  
 —, Adam, (Connacht ?), 89, 90.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 272, 274  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 281.  
 —, Agnes, 312.  
 —, Bartholomew, 200.  
 —, Cadok, 128.  
 —, Candelan, 147.  
 —, Craddoc, 27.  
 —, Cristiana, 314.  
 —, — dau. of Robert, 185.  
 —, David (Co. Cork), 298.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 244.  
 —, — (Co. Meath ?), 51.  
 —, —, dean of Waterford, 137.  
 —, — son of Gilbert, 195.  
 —, Gilbert, kt., 200, 298.  
 —, Griffin, 102.  
 —, Henry (Co. Car.), 175, 241.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 195, 200.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 258.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 77, 163, 318.  
 —, Howel, 306.  
 —, Hugh (Co. Lim.), 304.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 121.  
 —, —, of Grilly, 211.

- Waleys, John (Co. Cork), 201.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 65, 218, 264.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 75, 243.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 170, 209.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 139, 147.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 188.  
 —, —, jun., 157.  
 —, —, of Coillaunth, 62.  
 —, — son of Adam, 147.  
 —, Jordan, 153.  
 —, Laurence, 210.  
 —, Lewelin, 89.  
 —, Matilda, 196.  
 —, Maurice (Co. Lim.), 270, 313.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 253, 301, 322.  
 —, — son of Roger, 190.  
 —, Milo, 191, 196, 247.  
 —, Nicholas (Co. Cork), 290.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 325.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 215, 267.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 260.  
 —, —, of Macgaveny, 63.  
 —, Oweyn le, 316.  
 —, Philip le (Co. Cork), 287, 291.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 267.  
 —, — son of Adam, 147.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 289.  
 —, — son of Walter, 289.  
 —, Reymund son of David Boy, 200.  
 —, Richard (Co. Tip.), 257, 302, 320;  
 —, —, kt., 256.  
 —, —, kt., (Co. Wat.), 137, 283,  
 —, —, 316.  
 —, —, Roth, 316.  
 —, —, son of Philip, 181.  
 —, —, son of Walter, 195.  
 —, Robert (Co. Car.), 234.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 193, 195, 201,  
 —, —, 289, 292, 295.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 165, 246.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 267, 268.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 135-136, 139.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 184-188, 204,  
 —, —, 260, 316.  
 —, —, son of Robert, 306.  
 —, —, Roesia wife of Philip, 291.  
 —, —, Stephen (Co. Cork), 197-198, 287.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 211.  
 —, Symon, 291.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Dub.), 246, 315.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 306.  
 —, —, of Balytarsyn, 234.  
 —, Walter (Co. Car.), 228.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 201, 288.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 258.  
 —, —, of Tamelyng, 317.  
 —, William, Will., (Co. Car.), 85.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 156.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 313.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 139.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 314.  
 —, —, son of Philip, 89.  
 —, Yenan Roth, 307.  
 —, Yereward, Yerward, 43, 296.  
 —, —, 195, 298.  
 Walkelyn, Walklyn, Henry, 243.  
 Wallia, John de, 46.  
 Walcupp, John, 146.  
 Walour, Alicia, 302.  
 Walram, Walran, Gerald son of, 3.  
 —, —, Peter son of, de Norragh, 270.  
 —, —, Robert son of, 3, 204, 206.  
 —, —, Thomas son of, 3, 205, 206.  
 Walrand, Robert, bishop of Ferns, 11.  
 Walrond, Walerond, Thomas, 309, 310.  
 Walsch, *see also* Braynok, Brehenok,  
 —, —, Bretnagh, Waleys and Welsh-  
 —, —, man.  
 Walsch, Walsche, Robert le, 176.  
 —, —, Thomas le, 171.  
 Walter, Adam son of, 223.  
 —, —, Alan son of, 235-236.  
 —, —, Andrew son of, 235-236.  
 —, —, Edward son of, 226.  
 —, —, Elias, Elyas, son of, 252, 253.  
 —, —, Gregory son of, 171, 176, 229.  
 —, —, Henry son of, 121.  
 —, —, John son of (Co. Dub.), 143.  
 —, —, — (Co. Kild.), 210.  
 —, —, — (Co. Lim.), 205, 214.  
 —, —, Laurence son of, 195.  
 —, —, Maurice son of, 45, 129, 255, 272.  
 —, —, Nicholas son of, 195, 298.  
 —, —, Patrick son of, 312.  
 —, —, Peter son of, 255, 257.  
 —, —, Thomas son of, 281.  
 —, —, William son of, 207.  
 —, —, —, of Rethyre, 224.  
 Walton, Henry de, chamberlain of the  
 —, —, Treasury, 44.  
 Wal., Roger le, 293.  
 Wapatrik, Henry, 244.  
 War., Warr, John le, sen., 311.  
 —, —, John son of (Waryng ?), 312, 314.  
 —, —, Ris de la, 154.  
 Warde, Robert son of William son of  
 —, —, Andrew, 228.  
 —, —, Thursteynn de la, 58.  
 —, —, Thur. . . ., 218.  
 Ware, John le, 205.  
 Warin, *see* Waryn.  
 Warner, Robert le, 241.  
 Warrot, Robert, 254.  
 Warwik, de Warwyk, Adam, 276.  
 —, —, Henry, 169 (note).  
 —, —, William, 169, 212.  
 Waryn, *see also* Fitz Waryn.  
 Waryn, Waryng, Warin, Weryng,  
 —, —, Adam, 211-212.  
 —, —, David, 204.  
 —, —, John, 72.  
 —, —, — son of, kt., 186.  
 —, —, Neel, 269.  
 —, —, Ralph, 224.  
 —, —, William, of Naas, 63.  
 —, —, — son of, 178, 179, 181, 189,  
 —, —, 259-262, 280, 282.  
 Was, *see* Waas.  
 Waspail, Waspail, Waspayl, John, 156.  
 —, —, Robert, 78.  
 —, —, — son of Andrew, 241-242.  
 —, —, William, 28; kt., 242.  
 —, —, — Connathath, 241-242.  
 Watere, . . . ., 168.  
 Waterford (city), proceedings at, 136-  
 —, —, 141, 176-191, 247-251, 259-263,  
 —, —, 280-283, 299-300, 313-315, 316.  
 —, —, —, incidents in or near, 138-  
 —, —, 140, 177, 183, 248, 260, 314.  
 —, —, —, entry of Henry II into,  
 —, —, 186-187.  
 —, —, —, letters dated at, 39.  
 —, —, —, Ostmen of, charter of  
 —, —, Henry II to, 186.  
 —, —, —, slaying of burgesses  
 —, —, by, 187.

- Waterford (city), Ostmen of, town of the, 177, 187, 313.  
 —, —, church of the Friars Preachers, 187.  
 —, —, church and cemetery of Holy Trinity, 139, 140, 250.  
 —, —, church of S. Peter, 314.  
 —, —, the bishop's court, 140.  
 —, —, prison, 284.  
 —, —, Renaudescastel, 186.  
 —, —, tholsel, 139.  
 —, —, mayor of (named), *see* Godore.  
 —, —, —, (unnamed), 314.  
 —, —, other refs., 123, 136, 179, 184, 232.  
 —, —, Richard le Hopere of, 138.  
 — (county), marginal notes of venue, 38, 48, 55, 136-141, 144, 176-185, 188, 189, 215, 248-251, 257, 259-263, 270, 280-284, 299, 313, 314, 316.  
 —, —, thieves and robberies in, 123, 183, 188, 312, 313, 322.  
 —, —, trespasses in, pardoned, 270.  
 —, —, sheriff (named), *see* Poer, John le White, and Botiller, John son of William le.  
 —, —, —, (unnamed), 136-138, 141, 178.  
 —, —, coroner, *see* Andreu, Roger.  
 —, —, (diocese), Matthew, precentor and later bishop, 38-39, 188, 249, 250; Henry, his commissary, 249, 250.  
 —, —, —, bishop, *see also* Fulburn.  
 —, —, —, dean and chapter, 38-39.  
 —, —, —, dean, *see also* Waleys, David le.  
 [Waters, de l'Eau], Eustace, bishop of Limerick, 304.  
 Wathberd, William, 301.  
 Watre, Robert le, 206.  
 Watterton, Alan de, 75.  
 Wavill, *see* Weyvill.  
 Waythan, Waython, Weythan, Weydan, Adam, 307.  
 —, —, Richard, 206, 308.  
 Weaver, David the, of Moon, 148.  
 —, —, Henry the, 255.  
 —, —, Mabilla the, of Leixlip, 286.  
 —, —, Peter the, 148.  
 —, —, Philip the, 274.  
 Webbe, William, 221.  
 Weguill, John son of Adam de, 109.  
 Weisford, *see* Wexford.  
 Wel, *see* Welle.  
 Welbeley, William, 311.  
 Wellesleie, *see* Wellesley.  
 Welle, de Welle, Wel, Isolda, 78-89.  
 —, —, John, 144, 237.  
 Welles, (Wells, B. Idrone, Co. Car.), 154.  
 Wellesley, Wellesleye, Welleste, Wellesleie, John de, sheriff of Kildare, 244, 245, 284.  
 —, —, Walran de, Justice in eyre, 107.  
 —, —, William de, 247, 325.  
 Welleypppe, Stephen, 208.  
 Welshman, *see also* Braynok, Brehenok, Bretnagh, Waleys and Walsch.  
 Welshman, Adam the, 321.  
 —, —, David the, of Belaghfethred, 188.  
 —, —, Gillecass the, 317.  
 —, —, Howel the, 287.  
 —, —, Hywan the, 287.  
 —, —, Ithel the, 288.  
 —, —, John the, 128, 185, 287-289.  
 —, —, Lewelin the, 200.  
 —, —, Milo the, 199.  
 —, —, Richard son of Philip the, 184.  
 —, —, Sceydel the, 287.  
 —, —, Thomas the, 128.  
 —, —, Walter the, 260, 321.  
 Wencok, 227.  
 Wenebok, Weynebok, John, 212, 279.  
 Went, Peter, 176, 177, 180, 182, 184, 189.  
 —, —, Richard, 176, 177, 179, 180, 181, 248, 250, 261, 263, 280.  
 —, —, William, 280.  
 —, —, . . . ., 189.  
 Weryng, *see* Waryn.  
 Wexford, *see* Wexford.  
 West, John, 172, 277, 279.  
 Westminster, letters dated at, 38, 40, 44, 49-51, 57, 59, 60, 108, 112, 130.  
 —, —, the Bench at, 72.  
 —, —, Exchequer and Treasury at, 24, 49, 60.  
 —, —, summons to, 44, 60.  
 Weston, Westoun, Andrew de, 210.  
 —, —, John de, 85, 243.  
 —, —, Thomas, 242-243.  
 Wetheny (Abbington, Co. Lin.), abbot of, 267.  
 —, —, Henry, dean of, 205.  
 Wexford, Weisford, Weseford, Weyseford, Weysford, (city), charter dated at, 101.  
 —, —, —, arrest at, 137.  
 —, —, —, castle, 18, 22.  
 —, —, (county), marginal notes of venue, 22, 46, 156, 159, 235-237.  
 —, —, —, sheriff, 22.  
 —, —, —, King's land in, 235.  
 —, —, —, robberies in, 235-236.  
 —, —, (liberty), court of, 138.  
 —, —, —, *custos* or *seneschal* of, 18-19, 22, 26, 39-40, 60, 106-107, 138, 159-160.  
 —, —, —, levy on, 22, 23, 32, 55.  
 —, —, —, other refs., 25, 118, 137, 186, 249.  
 Weydan, *see* Waythan.  
 Weymund, Agnes wife of Roger, 124-125.  
 —, —, Roger, 124-125.  
 Weynebok, *see* Wenebok.  
 Weynillo (Weyville ?), John, 28.  
 Weythan, *see* Waythan.  
 Weyvill, Weyvile, Wavill, David, 255, 274.  
 —, —, John, 99.  
 —, —, Thomas de, 126.  
 —, —, Walter, 255, 274.  
 Weyvilestoun (Co. Tip.), 126.  
 Whasslebourne, William de, 64.  
 What, William, 245.  
 White, *see also* Albus.  
 White, Whyte, le or the, le Whyth, Wyt, le Wyt, le Wyth, le Wythe, Adam (Co. Cork), 286, 293, 296, 297.

- White, Adam (Co. Dub.), 246.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 243.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204, 206, 267,  
 306, 307.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 170.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 52.  
 —, —, of Crogh, Croyth (Co. Cork),  
 191, 298.  
 —, —, of Sengill (Co. Lim.), 203.  
 —, —, son of Henry, 191, 192, 202.  
 —, —, Alexander, 295.  
 —, —, Alice, 181.  
 —, —, Basilia wife of Stephen, 81.  
 —, —, David (Co. Kild.), 34, 318.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 205, 206, 215.  
 —, —, of Ballysallagh, 191, 202.  
 —, —, Elias, Elyas, 285, 286.  
 —, —, Geoffrey, 162.  
 —, —, Gregory, 144, 246.  
 —, —, Henry (Co. Cork), 287.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 216.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 305.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 120, 256.  
 —, —, of Tullaghurat, 287.  
 —, —, Hugh, 149, 228, 233.  
 —, —, James, 239, 287, 307.  
 —, —, John (Co. Dub.), 144.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 243.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 203, 204.  
 —, — (Co. Louth or Meath), 239.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 33, 92, 277.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 273.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 262.  
 —, —, of Ardagh, 267, 309.  
 —, —, of Athmethan, 248.  
 —, —, of Canavystoun, 245.  
 —, —, of Drogheda, 265.  
 —, —, of Loghken, 157.  
 —, —, of Thurgotestoun, 222.  
 —, —, son of John, 311.  
 —, —, son of Walter, 203, 214, 282,  
 311.  
 —, —, Juliana, 294.  
 —, —, Laurence, 211, 293.  
 —, —, Maurice (Co. Cork), 293.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 178, 181, 182,  
 184, 248.  
 —, —, Nicholas, 286.  
 —, —, Patrick, 292.  
 —, —, Peter (Co. Car.), 233.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 215.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 320-321.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 180, 182, 189,  
 248.  
 —, —, of Rouwistoun, 228.  
 —, —, Philip (Co. Cork), 294.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 136, 273.  
 —, —, of Tarmon, 248.  
 —, —, P. . . . ., 250.  
 —, —, Ralph (Co. Cork), 195, 289.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 204, 308.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 33.  
 —, —, Richard (Connacht ?), 17.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 243.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 308.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 266.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 281.  
 —, —, of Runeger, 255.  
 —, —, of the liberty of Trym, 48.  
 —, —, brother Richard, 28.  
 —, —, Robert (Co. Cork), 192, 202.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 3.
- White, Robert, of Adare, 310.  
 —, —, of Cognagh, 293.  
 —, —, son of Thomas, 202.  
 —, —, Roger, 282, 291.  
 —, —, Stephen, 81, 290.  
 —, —, Thomas, Thom., (Co. Kild.), 44,  
 219.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 3, 303.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 180, 182, 185,  
 189, 248.  
 —, —, of Ardras, 244.  
 —, —, of Cnokan, 268.  
 —, —, of Cnokdonan, 169.  
 —, —, of Cortlynsny, 250.  
 —, —, of New Castle of Lyouns,  
 154.  
 —, —, of Yestende, 285.  
 —, —, mariner, 219.  
 —, —, son of Henry, 292.  
 —, —, Walter (Co. Cork), 292.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 81.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 304, 307.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 181, 182.  
 —, —, William (Co. Cork), 198, 290, 291.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 81, 244.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 207, 268, 304.  
 —, — (Co. Louth), 208, 212.  
 —, — (Co. Meath), 209.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248.  
 —, —, of Balyrotheri, 76.  
 —, —, of Balytarsyn, 234.  
 —, —, of Glyn, Glen, 309, 310.  
 —, —, of Kilmehallok, 267.  
 —, —, son of John, 283.  
 —, —, . . . . la, 298.  
 —, —, . . . ., 287.
- Whitechurch, *see* Whytechurch.  
 Whitefeld, de Whitefeld, Whitefield,  
 Whitfeld, Whytefeld, Whytfield,  
 Whytfold, Witfeld, de Witfeld,  
 —, —, Henry, 186, 188, 261, 262.  
 —, —, Peter, 272.  
 —, —, Richard, 177, 182, 188, 189, 261-  
 263.  
 —, —, William, 190.
- Whitefot, Symon, 204.  
 Whiteleye, Whyteleye, Wytteleye,  
 Geoffrey, 304.  
 —, —, Henry le, 304.  
 —, —, Mabilla wife of Gilbert de, 208.
- Whiterel, John, 223.  
 Whithavene, Gilbert de, 219.  
 Whithend, William, 173.  
 Whittey, Richard (Co. Kild.), 163.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 188.
- Whyteleye, *see* Whiteleye.  
 Whytay, Wythay, Richard, 235, 236.  
 Whyte, *see* White.  
 Whytechurch, la, (Whitechurch, B.  
 Rathdown, Co. Dub.), 150.  
 Whytefeld, *see* Whitefeld.  
 Whytesyde, Wytheside, Richard, 36.  
 —, —, Robert, 221.
- Whytfield, Whytfold, *see* Whitefeld.  
 Why . . . . John le, 275.  
 Wicklow, *see* Wykynglo.  
 Wigmore, *see* Wygemor.  
 Wigorn', *see also* Wyrcestre.  
 Wigorn', Wygornia, Wygorn', Philip  
 de, 109.  
 —, —, Ralph de, 131-132.  
 Wikys, *see* Wykys.

- Wilde, Wylde, William le, 204, 267,  
     268, 311.  
 —, . . . ., 304.  
 Wildeshir, Richard, 213.  
 Willagh, William, 317.  
 —, . . . ., 317.  
 Willekyn, John, 317.  
 William, brother, abbot of Mayo, 1, 4.  
 —, Adam son of (Co. Cork), 195.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 307.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 8, 176, 177.  
 —, Bartholomew son of, 201.  
 —, David son of, 290.  
 —, Geoffrey son of, 178.  
 —, Henry son of (Co. Kild.), 228.  
 —, — (Co. Wat.), 248.  
 —, John son of (Co. Car.), 98.  
 —, — (Co. Cork), 297.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 16-17.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 267.  
 —, — of Taschoythyn, 216.  
 —, Maurice son of, 42-43.  
 —, Philip son of (Co. Cork), 52, 93,  
     199, 288.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 144.  
 —, Reginald son of, 301.  
 —, Richard son of, 312.  
 —, Robert son of (Co. Cork), 198,  
     287.  
 —, — (Co. Kild.), 164, 241.  
 —, Roger son of, 223.  
 —, Symon son of, 261.  
 —, Theobald son of, 267.  
 —, Thomas son of (Co. Car.), 85.  
 —, — (Co. Dub.), 315.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 309, 310,  
     312.  
 —, Walter son of (Co. Dub.), 16-17.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 320-322.  
 —, William son of (Co. Kild.), 164.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 312.  
 Willy, John son of Walter, 7.  
 Wiltshyre, Richard de, 170.  
 Wiltoun, de Wiltoun, Robert, 246, 264,  
     286.  
 Wilughby, Robert de, 48.  
 Winchelsea, *see* Wynchelsey.  
 Winchester, Wincestre, Wyncestre,  
     Gerald of, 205.  
 —, James de, 124.  
 —, Walter, 323.  
 —, William, 215.  
 Winchester, statute of, 111.  
 Windsor, Wyndsor, Wyndesore, letters  
     dated at, 57, 104, 105, 127.  
 —, Andrew of, 180, 250.  
 —, Peter de, 150.  
 Wiriot, Wryyot, Alexander, 199.  
 —, William, 301.  
 Wirly, Roger, 149.  
 Wise, *see* Wys.  
 Witfeld, *see* Whitefeld.  
 Wit, Thomas, 121-122.  
 Wodebrid, William, 258.  
 Wodecoc, Wodekoc, John, 290.  
 —, Nicholas, 290.  
 Wodeford, de Wodeford, John, 205,  
     303, 310, 311.  
 —, Richard, 170.  
 —, Roger, 239.  
 —, William, 215, 304, 307, 311.  
 —, . . . ., 171.  
 Wodegrange, the (of Duiske abbey),  
     174.  
 Wodehouse, Richard de, chief engrosser  
     of the Exchequer, 76.  
 Wodekoc, *see* Wodecoc.  
 Wodeloc, Wodelok, Mlicic wife of  
     Robert, sen., 134.  
 —, Hugh, 323.  
 —, James, 45, 323.  
 —, John, 286.  
 —, Robert, 133, 134.  
 —, —, father of Robert, 134  
 —, Walran, 45.  
 —, Walter, 165, 323, 325.  
 Wodeman, Roger, 212, 266, 277, 279.  
 Woder, Robert le, 48, 219, 220, 280.  
 Woderone, Nicholas, 307.  
 Wodestok, William, 89.  
 Wodeward, *see* Woodward.  
 Wodhorn, Robert, 162.  
 Wogan, Aencas, Eneas, 287, 293.  
 —, Bartholomew, 293.  
 —, John, Justiciar, *passim*.  
 —, —, proceedings before, 1-118,  
     143-257.  
 —, —, proceedings before, referred  
     to, 16, 18, 19, 21, 29, 30, 31, 37,  
     39, 52, 55, 56, 58, 62, 67, 70-72,  
     93, 95, 96, 98, 100, 110, 111, 124,  
     135, 141, 259, 278, 304.  
 —, —, patents etc. directed  
     to, 12, 33, 38, 40, 41, 44, 48-51,  
     56, 57, 59, 60, 94, 104-107, 112,  
     115, 127, 129, 208.  
 —, —, patents etc. witnessed  
     by, 26, 30, 312.  
 —, —, inquisitions before,  
     33, 113, 186.  
 —, —, campaigns against  
     Irish and rebels, 247, 251.  
 —, —, fee as Justiciar, 85.  
 —, —, fee as guardian of  
     Roscommon castle, 106.  
 —, —, rolls of, 76.  
 —, —, pledge for observance  
     of treaty between Limerick and  
     the O Briens, 3.  
 —, —, property of, 149.  
 —, —, deputies of, *see*  
     Botiller, Edmund le; Burgo,  
     William de; Rupeforte, Maurice  
     de.  
 —, Nicholas, 293.  
 Woith, Owen, 230.  
 Wolbeter, Wolbetere, Wollebetere,  
     Geoffry le, 41, 51, 102.  
 Wolbot, John, 155, 277, 279.  
 Wolf, Wulf, Adam, 320.  
 —, Henry, 287, 294.  
 —, Nicholas, 292.  
 —, Philip son of John, 261, 262.  
 —, Richard, 163.  
 —, Robert, 89.  
 —, Thomas (Co. Kild.), 284.  
 —, — (Co. Lim.), 296.  
 —, Traharyn, 272.  
 —, William, 1.  
 —, —, clerk, 13.  
 Wollebetere, *see* Wolbeter.  
 Wolriche, William, 299-300.  
 Woodward, Wodeward, David le, 65-  
     66.  
 Worcester, *see* Wigorn' and Wyrcestre.

- Worgan, Griffin, 193, 194, 197 (cf Gorgan).  
 —, John, 207, 268.  
 —, Roger, 267, 308.  
 —, Simon, 17, 53, 54.  
 —, William, 308.  
 Worthyng, John, 269.  
 Wouler, William le, 178.  
 Wrasseler, Adam, 245.  
 Wrench, Wrenche, Wrenthe, David, 194, 196.  
 —, John, 293.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 291.  
 —, Nicholas, 256, 301.  
 Wrenoch, David son of Gilbert, 291.  
 Wulf, *see* Wolf.  
 Wyan, Richard, 218.  
 Wyard, Adam, 211.  
 —, Philip, 120.  
 Wyat, *see* Wyot.  
 Wychy, Thomas de la, 197.  
 Wycombe, Isolda de, 246, 259.  
 Wydeworth, de Wydeworth, William, 47, 83.  
 Wydon, Wydoun, John, 149.  
 —, William, 149, 324-325.  
 Wydy, Wydye, Wythie, Wythy, Wythye,  
 —, Adam (Co. Lim.), 204, 268.  
 —, — (Co. Tip.), 31, 36.  
 —, — son of Nicholas, 301.  
 —, Richard, 277.  
 —, Thomas, 203, 311.  
 Wygemor, de Wygemor, de Wygemore, Adam, 220.  
 —, Nicholas, 205, 214.  
 —, Roger Mortimer of, *see* Mortuo Mari.  
 Wygornia, *see* Wigorn.  
 Wyk, Theobald de, 139.  
 Wykynglo (Wicklow), Irishmen of, 222.  
 Wykys, Wykis, Wikys, Centil de, 52.  
 —, Guido de, 52.  
 —, Theobald de, 52, 96, 106.  
 Wylde, *see* Wilde.  
 Wyleby, Wylby, Ralph de, 35, 83.  
 Wyllof, John son of, 321.  
 Wymonde, Wymound, Reynald, 27.  
 —, Roger, 130, 253.  
 Wynbaud, Wynbond, Gregory, 311.  
 —, John, 310.  
 —, — son of Thomas, 310.  
 Wyncestre, *see* Winchester.  
 Wynchelsey, Wynchelesse, ship "Cog" of, 198.  
 —, . . . ram de, 249.  
 Wyndesor, Wyndesore, *see* Windsor.  
 Wynter, Robert, 220.  
 Wyn . . . , John, 34.  
 Wyot, Wyat, John, 212, 216, 239.  
 —, Stephen, 209.  
 Wyrcestre, Philip de, 133.  
 —, Ralph son of William de, 134.  
 —, William son of Philip de, 133-134.  
 Wryyot, *see* Wrirot.  
 Wys, Wyse, Wyz, Adam son of Henry, 316.  
 —, Andrew son of Philip, 316.  
 —, David le, 275.  
 —, — son of Henry, 282.  
 —, Edmund, Edmond, 235, 236.  
 —, John son of Henry, 282, 316.  
 Wys, Robert son of Henry, 316.  
 —, Simon le, 130, 132.  
 Wyswasse, Stephen, 228.  
 Wyt, Wyte, *see* White.  
 Wytcot, Wytcote, Alexander, 269, 305.  
 —, John, 305.  
 —, William, 305.  
 —, . . . , 305.  
 Wyteleye, *see* Whiteleye.  
 Wytewatir, Robert, 282.  
 Wyth, Wythe, *see* White.  
 Wythay, *see* Whytay.  
 Wyther, Wythir, Bartholomew, 208, 268.  
 —, Gagyna, 253.  
 Wytheside, *see* Whytesyde.  
 Wythirmsere, John, 163.  
 Wythy, Wythye, *see* Wydy.  
 Wyz, *see* Wys.  
 Wy . . . , David, 156.  
 W . . . , John de, 149.  
 —, Regin., 148.  
 —, Robert le, 229.  
 —, Thomas, 148.

## Y

- Yago, Griffin, 181, 259, 281.  
 —, Thomas, 206, 308.  
 Yaneworth, Yaneworth, Thomas de, 15, 16, 85, 86.  
 Yerward, Gilbert, 292.  
 —, Henry, 41-42.  
 —, William, 292.  
 Yestende (Co. Dub.), Thomas le Whyte of, 285.  
 Ynymemurghut, Ellok, 174.  
 Ynylnynechan, Duffesse, 262.  
 Yaynurik, Saynyn, 262.  
 Yoghell (Youghal), 181.  
 Yoghly, David son of, 173.  
 —, Henry son of, 173.  
 —, Hugh son of, 173.  
 Yoldetoun, le (Oldtown, B. Eliogarty, Co. Tip.), 8.  
 Yong, *see also* Joefne and Juvenis.  
 Yong, le Yong, Yonge, le Yonge, Young, Geoffrey son of Maurice, of Londoun, 307.  
 —, John, 30.  
 —, Robert, 169.  
 —, Simon, 325.  
 —, Thomas, 325.  
 —, William, 169-170.  
 —, Wy . . . , 320.  
 —, . . . , 298.  
 Yongman, Peter, 313.  
 Youet, William, 315.  
 Youghal, *see* Yoghell.  
 Youo, Richard son of, 228.  
 Your, Nigel, 240.  
 Yowil, William de, 309.  
 Ypres, *see* Ipre.  
 Yrwyschan, Amitia Ynyayt, 299.  
 Yrys, William, 228.  
 Ythel, Thomas, 306.  
 —, William, 305.  
 Ythenard, *see* Ethenard.  
 Yvo, Yvon, *see* Ivo.  
 Yvyrttervyth, Brydok, 189.  
 yWias, Geoffrey, 294

















