(From THE TIMES, Montreal, 17th Junuary, 1885.)

THE SITUATION.

'Read! Mark! Learn! and Inwardly Digest!"

# SPEECH

-OF THE --

# HON. EDWARD BLAKE,

-- BEFORE THE ---

# Young Men's Liberal Club of Toronto, - on -TUESDAY EVENING, JANUARY 13TH, 1884.

greeted with round after round of cheering. He said :- Mr. Chairman, ladies, and gentlemen,-It is always a great privilege to a public man to be permitted to express, to any portion of his countrymen, his sentiments upon the public affairs of their common country, but I confess that it is with peculiar pleasure that I avail myself of the opportunity given me to address a meeting under the auspices of the Young Men's Liberal Club of Toronto. (Applause.) I have always regarded the politics of a free country, as the noblest occupation of the citizens of that country, and I have always believed that it believed the fullest was essential to devalues and the second the young Canadian should, at a very early time in his life, begin that active interest in politics which it is the part of every good citizen to take, and, as long ago as ten years or more, I took an op-portunity of making a proposition in that sense, and based upon that yiew, for the enlargement of the franchise of Ontario, which resulted in the adding of a very great number of young men all through the rural parts of the Province to the rolls, who other-wise would have been for a long series of years excluded from the franchise, and which resulted, further, in increasing the number of the electorate of On-tario to a point higher than in any other Province, except one, which possesses

Mr. Elake, on coming forward was eeted with round after round of cheerg. He said :--Mr. Chairman, lades, id gentlemen,--It is always a great upon the proposition that the franchise upon the properly viewed, an elevating privilege, and that it was, therefore, of untrymen, his sentiments npon the blie affairs of their common country, easure that it is with peculiar easure that it avail myself of the optunity given me to address a meeting ider the auspices of the Young Men's gard politics as

THE NOBLEST OCCUPATION OF THE CITIZEN,

and yet I have been especially anxious that the young should early take a part in them; because, although they be the noblest occupation of the citizen I know it is often defiled, and it is very important to all of us that the generous aspiraefforts, and it is all important that the youth of the country begin to partici-pate actively in the politics of the country and do so upon sound and elevated principles. They should aim high. They must remember, as we all ought to remember, that there may be dearbought victories, and that it is better to lose in the right than to triumph in the wrong. (Loud applause.) They must as youth will, I think, be only too wil-ling to do, agree that the end does not justify the means, they must be willing to assume as their rule of action in polities that no double conscience should divide the man and the polltician. (Loud applause.) Now, we are living under a free system of Government, which is worked upon the principle of party. There are many men who lay to that

principle the great and admitted evils view of things than that discussion which permeate our political system. I which goes on when measures are treat-am not blind to those faults, nor am I ed from opposite points of view by a blind to the fact that the excess of party zeal tends largely to produce them. I say that the ihing has its evils and see-we have all seen-incapacity and grave evils, that it is liable to abuse and even crime condoned; I have seen fraud great abuse, and that the young who justified; I have seen a loose code of are entering upon the discussion of polimorality embraced; I have seen legislative iniquities-such, for example, as the should recognize that, and, in the spirit applause) approved and applauded; ago, engage in the discussion of political and I have seen all these things done questions, from an independent standlargely in the name and for the interest point, and with an earnest desire to of party. (Applause.) But I say that reach the true, the sound, the just these are not inseparable from the prin-couclusion. For my own part, I have ciple of party government. (Applause.) been some seventeen or eighteen years I say that such things as these are, some in public life. I have sat for some three or of which might have been parallelled in four and twenty sessions in one House the bistory of English representative or the other, and I have never given a institutions, would be impossible in the vote or prenounced an opinion which I England of to-day. Notwithstanding would ask my constituents or my fellowthe severity of party strife, notwith countrymen to approve of or couldone standing the acrimony and bitterness because it was a party vote or opinion. ciple of action prevailing in one case and ought to be, in a city of this magnitude, in the other. Look at the fact that in more than doubled. I hope you will one case the power of

#### A PARTISAN MAJORITY

was deliberately used in order, if pos-sible, to crush the minority out of ex- There are many other places in which the mutual assent of the two great par- country in which it is better to adhere ties (loud applause), and you will see to the old system of old and young that in a country in which party govern- going together, in which it is difficult to ment prevails, justice and equity, and get sufficient members conveniently honor, and liberal actions may yet also close to one another to establish prevail. So with reference to the gen-different organizations. No such diffieral code of political morality. Contrast culty exists here. There are many other the English code with that observed in places in which no such difficulty Canada. Contrast even the code of the exists, and where numbers, and United States with that observed here convenience, proximity are such -far inferior as that is to the English. as to enable different organizations Look at the case of Colfax; look at even to be formed, and in these places I later and larger cases which I might favor the at any rate. on , the Government. The Government of the issue by taking that part which knowday, to whatever views it may adhere. ledge and trained activity can take in is the nucleus of an organization. It the organization of your party—in see-holds place, power, patronage, and has ing to the registration of the votes, see the machinery for consolidating its ad-ing to getting out the votes, seeing to the herents, and it does not seem to me pes-formation of a sound public opinion sible that there should be any effective amongst the masses of your fellow-check upon\_the course of government citizens and electors. There is in this unless there be an organized party in city. Conservative though it be, a opposition. Nor am I able to advise great Liberal force. (Loud applause), any better means for the ascertainment There is a Liberal force sufof a true, and sound, and reasonable ficiently strong to do great things

Government and an Opposition. But I say that the illing has its evils and grave evils, that it is liable to abuse and Gerrymander Act of last session-(loud which you, Sir, intimated a few moments which unhappily prevail, and have for ]Loud cheers.]. It is upon the merits of the last few years, prevailed in England, those votes and opinions that I have at-the things to which I have referred are tempted, and ever will attempt, to vindi-impossible there. If you want proof of eate their soundness. I rejoice, I need it take the Redistribution Act now or hardly say, in the establishment of shortly to be placed before the English this club. I hope that its membership, proceed to justify by your activity your existence. You have to

istence, while in the other the principles Young Men's Liberal Clubs may well be of redistribution were agreed upon by established. There are places in the proximity formation of numerand after all said, party government I believe to be inevitable, for the reason that you will have an organization us organizations. You have work that party spirit does not necessarily before you, studying the public questions of the day, preparing your-selves by practice for the discussion of those questions upon the platform; you have the prior you work of carrying your-selves and any the platform; you side of the opinions and convictions to a successful

even in Toronto. There great and valuable assistance in spreading Liber A principles and carrying them to a successful issue in the surrounding constituencies. We Reformers of the Province of Ontario must never forget what Lam frequently reminding you of from the platform, that our force amongst the people is relatively greater than the force which the so-called Redistribution Act permits the people to send to Parlia-ment on the Liberal side. You must not forget that under all the unfavorable circum tances of the late election, circumstances which I shall not now particularize-our political force compared with that of our opponents was almost as 45 to 40, as evidenced at the polls. You must not forget that the popular force, great as it was then, is greater now, that not many months lapsed after the general election before ehat series of events began which have there sounding even in unwilling cars of his best personal friends, and as a the truth of the views which we have public man share with you the feeling been pressing upon the people, and that, of sorrow for their loss. I trust that at this time the subjects which formed the principal topic of debate at the late election are discussed in altogether a different spirit, and that altogether a different temper prevails in the ranks of the adversary. It is

#### IMPOSSIBLE LOR THEM TO APPEAL

any longer to certain apparent facts as proving beyond controversy the sound-ness of their policy. From a period within a few months after that election had taken place, and up to the present day, circumstances have been accumulating, facts have been coming for-ward, events have been precipitated upon the minds of the impartial and the intelligent in fatour of the conclusion that a mistake was unade in 187S and repeated in 1882. Loud cheers). I repeated in 1882. maintain that the almost even balance which existed between the political parties in Ontario in 1882 has now been reversed, and that the preponderance of sentiment is with the Liberal party. I cannot forget, nor can I lose the present opportunity of referring to losses which we have sustained. Within the last few days we have sustained grievous lesses. We have lest from the ranks of our party, from our fellowship as citizens, two men who were well known to most of you, and whose reputation had spread far beyond the bounds, of the city which they called their home. We have lost James Bethune and William Johnston. They were young Lib-erals, not so long are, and early in their lives they assumed a prominent place in the councils and ranks of the Liberal party. In 1871-72, those critical years in our Provincial history, James Bethune valiantly contested and won the County of Stormont, when it was of the last con-sequence to the Liberal party that not a county should be lost. I, at that time, engaged actively as I was in these Pro- age of democratic principles--a

even in Toronto. There is a vincial politics, felt under great obliga-Liberal force strong enough to be of tions to hum for the course he took. In the year 1892, ten years afterwards, when the Liberal party, crippled by the Act to which I have referred, and by an election precipitated upon it unexpectedly, was forced without preparation to entor into the contest, William Johnston volunteered for the fray. He offered to take charge of the organization of Ontario for the election, and he labored, as few men have labored, as few men could labor, through that contest and the one which followed a year later. We owed to them gratitude for their services in the past, we owe to ourselves not to forget in any short time those services, but to keep their memory green. (Loud applause). They were both, besides being political friends, personal friends of mine. They both happ ned to be stu-dents in my office, and to have received their professional training there, and therefore I feel as one who has lost two of his hort revenue friends, and on a

#### THEIR NOBLE SERVICES

will remain enshrined in your hearts, and that the lesson they taught us will not be forgotten. They took an active, honorable, noble, manly part in the political life of their country. They worked while it was to-day and, may we not say of them as it was said by a great man of political friends gono :-

> "From the eternal shadow rounding, All our sun and starlight here Volces of our lost one sounding, Bid us to of heart and cheer, Through the vilence, down the spaces, Falling on the inward ear.

Let us draw their mantles o'er us, Which have fallen in our way, Let us do the work before us, Cheerily, bravely, while we may, Ere the long night-slience cometh, And with us it is not day."

(Loud and prolonged applause.) Now. Mr. Chairman, there are many topics, each of which deserve a speech by itself, which I might address you upon. There are many questions of a most pressing character. The constitution under which we live was settled, unfortunately, without an appeal to the people, without that threshing and sifting, and crucial discussion in Farliament, in the newspapers and at the polls, which would have undergone had that appeal been taken. It was settled very largely by a public man, who was avowedly of the opinion that it was a mistake, that the union should have been a legislative, not a federal union, and it bears unmistakable marks of that handiwork. There are defects and inconsistencies which would not have appeared had it been submitted to the test

legislative body not elected di-rectly or indirectly by the people, but appointed by the First Minister and appointed for life. The Senate was said to be, when first established, the representative of the sovereignty of the Provinces, and the special protector of Pro-And yet the members vincial rights. are appointed by the person against whom (on the theory of protection for the Provinces,) that protection would be needed. (Loud applause.) The First Minister of Canada, whoever he may be, controls the majority of the House of Commons and the legislation of the country. He infringes, we will say, upon Provincial rights, and the Senate is to guard against that—and yet he appoints the watch dogs. (Loud laughter and applause). Now I say we ought to have a Senate responsible to and elected by the people-(great applause)-small in numbers, and with proper checks and safeguards which have been devised and which could easily be made to avoid those difficulties which the great objector-there is always an objector to every change-says will arise through having an elective Senate. There is another The Provinces have the thing: power to revise the Constitutions and to amend them in all respects except in that which concerns the link between them and the Féderal body-the Lieut.-Governor. But the Dominion of Canada has no power to

#### REVISE HER CONSTITUTION

at al. Neither the people nor the Parliament of Canada can amend the Constitution, either with reference to the Senate or anything else. I maintain that that is a great disadvantage. (Cheers.) We ought to have inherent in us the power to revise and amend our Constitution as from time to time may seem necessary, with proper safeguards, no doubt, for the rights of the Provinces, as they exist in the United States. At the present time, no matter how much you might like to change the Constitution, you do not know that it would be done, for it is dependent upon the action of the Imperial Government and Parliament; and no matter how much you might desire not to change it, the change might be made because it depends upon the action of that Government and Par-Then there is another thing, liament. which grew, I believe, largely out of the circumstances to which I have referred respecting the adoption of the Constitution and that is the division of the judicial powers. We have the large body of our laws made by the Provinces. These ought to be administrated by the Pro-vinces which make, them. The Courts are created by the Provinces, but the judges are nominated and paid by the Federal authority. It is an utterly illogical and absurd mode of managing the matter. (Cheers). I maintain that magnifies the attributes of the Federal when you have a legislative body which Legislature. But, when we find that ever makes the laws, you ought to have in since the Constitution was a Constitu-

elected di-the same Province the power to administer those laws by means of Provin-cial officer responsible to the people whose laws are to be administered. (Loud applause). Then we have the great question of Provincial Rights, which may be summed up, perhaps, in the question of the principles upon which the disputed power of disallowance should be exercised. To what end should be exercised. To what end is it that you have a Local Legislature, and that go through the turmoil of Lacal elections, to send representatives to that Legislature to make laws if, in those matters which are confessaly within the jurisdiction and the exclusive jurisdiction of thot Legislature, and in which do those laws not effect the general Dominion, y interest of your legislation is not (Cheers). I say it would be supreme ? better for you-because the truth is always better than a sham-to

> HAVE A LEGISLATIVE UNION AT ONCE than to submit to a power of revision and disallowance of law upon those

subjts which are committed exclusively to you as a Province, and your laws on which do not affect the general in-(Applause.) The principles of terests. responsible government are violated at their root by such disallowance. If such disallowance took place in England of Canadian, legislation we should be aflame, and should insist that we ought to govern our own affairs, and the same rule applies as between one of the Provinces and the Dominion as applies between the Dominion and the Empire, with reference to domestic legislation. Even though we have a written Constiiution, a large part of the Constitution is unwritten, and depends upon the spirit in which it is interpreted and administered. That is the case, as you know, entirely, as to the British Constitution, which is unwritten. question of In this disallowance all it 18 important 10 understand the spirit in which you should expound our Constitution. Expound it on the federal principle on which it is recited, that it is made, and you will reach one conclusion; expound it in the spirit of legislative union, and you will reach another. That is not a question for the lawyers, therefore it is not a question for the Courts, but it is a high and important and yet very plain question for the people at large to settle at the Look for expells. (Loud cheering). ample at the question of granting licences for the sale of intoxicating liquors.-(loud and prolonged applause) -and you will find in that a proof of the propositions I have just been advancing. You will find an effort made at Ottawa to construe your Constitution in a centralizing spirit which minimizes the attributes of the Local Legislature and

right to make laws upon a particular topic, and the Contral Legislature did not interfere with the exercise of that right, can we ask more cogent or plainer proof of the understanding of the people as t what the meaning of their Constitutica was ? I ask whether, except in case of urgent and absolute necessity, a meaning which was expounded by years' practice should be attempted to be violated? Well, it was attempted to be violated, and upon the very doctrine to which I have referred-that of neces-It was announced to us that sitv.

#### THE LAWYERS HAD FOUND OUT

that we were all wrong, and that our Constitution made it something wholly different from what we all, except a few of us, one being the late Minister of Justice of Canada, had supposed, and that now it was found that the jurisdiction was at Ottawa, and not with the Provinces, and that of necessity we must act. We disputed that proposition. We said that nothing of the kind had been discovered, and we said more-supposing you are right, and the jurisdiction is with the Dominion, what we ought to do is to take steps to make the Constitution agree with the common sense of the people as to what it was, instead of proceeding to violate that common sense. [Loud applause.] We were told nothing [Loud applause.] of the kind shall be done, our amend-ments were expelled, and a law was passed, based upon the idea that such a law was necessary, taking the control of the liquor traffic, so far as could be done, into the hands of the Dominion. Then the next year the event which we came to pass, and the anticipated Judicial of the Privy Committee that local Council decided the laws on this subject had efficacy and vitality-were not the waste paper we were told in the city of Toronto they were. (Loud and prolonged cheering.) Then we pressed upon them to repeal their law, since the argument of neces-sity was shown to have no force. No, the second act of the drama was gone We were told that, as a matter on with. of policy, this law should be kept upon the statute book, if by some book or by some crook, some cunning device of the lawyers, the jurisdiction could be held by the Dominion, though the Province had adequate jurisdiction. An arrangement was made for a statement of a case to the Supreme Court to ask its decision upon the question, and it is only this niorning you have heard that the unanimons judgment of that tribunal in all the disputed points, the point with refer-ence to the liquor Brenses, except ence to the liquor Brenses, except wholesale and vessel licenses, which may be put to one side, the law of the been in power for a good many years Dominion, is void. (Tremendous ap-plause.) Now there, you see, we have first step as yet in the enlargement of mumphed under the Constitution. But the market. (Laughter and applause.)

tion for the seventeen or eighteen years I want to say to you, to-night, on the in which we have been living under it, very night of that triumph, that the most the Local Logislatures acted upon the important question is the other one which I put to you-the question of policy. In what spirit shall we act at Ottawa? Shall we, after it has been established, after eighteen years experi-ence of all the Local Legislatures, that a particular attribute is theirs, and when it has been decided by the Courts that they have that attribute, struggle to de-prive them of it? ("No, no," and ap-plause.") Shall we go on litigating further if we can, by some hook or crook, to find something that shall take away that power from them and give it to the cen-

tral authority? I say, No. (Cheers.)

#### THE TRUE UNIONISTS

in this Canada of ours, with its sparse population, with its diverse nationalities and interests, are they who recognize the principle of local laws and local powers in the widest and most generous spirit. (Loud applause.) I say that it is by recognizing the fact that the people in a vast area of territory can most effectually legislate for themselves in the great masses of questions, that we shall promote a real union in those things in which we have really a common inter-And, if there be one instance above PRL. another in which local excitement, local opinion is important in deciding the expediency and efficacy of law, it is upon that question in which the attempt had been made and will be persisted in, I' fear, to take away the power of the Local Legislatures and legislate for the whole Dominion at Ottawa. (Loud and prolonged applause.) I congratulate the Liberals upon the series of triumphs which have attended their efforts to establish the federal principle and to en-force federal views. But, I say to them their work is not done, so long as these persistent efforts to minimize that principle go on, and that we must set our faces as flints against these aggressions which have been made in the past and will be persisted in in the future, and that our doing so is essential to the pros-perity of this Canada we love. Now, Mr. Chairman, there are other questious upon which I would say a few words, because I have to deal with some pressing questions which have been before us for some years-are before us this night for decision. There is the question of

#### COMMERCIAL TREATIES.

We have believed for a long time that it was extremely important that we should enlarge our foreign markets. Both par-ties have agreed upon this. The party in power proclaimed that it was one of their most earnest objects to enlarge our foreign markets by making arrange-ments with divers countries. The have On the contrary, the markets soon to be narrowing rather than enlarging. I have argued that an important step towards success would be the

#### LIRECT NEGOTIATION OF COMMERCIAL

### TREATINS.

(Loud and prolonged applause). Mr opinion is that there are business affairs which are best managed by those who know most and best about the business. They involve discussions with reference to advantages and disadvantages. The commercial and fiscal policy of England differs widely from ours ; their lives are different, their views are different. Tt. is necessary only to look to their public despatches upon analogous questions to observe. We have found it stated in Parliament that we just missed a most advantageous convention with France by. twenty-four hours, because our then Agent-General at Paris had refer 10 it to the Foreign Office in London. Before the answer came the happy moment was lost, and the treaty was lost too. That has been stated by the First Minister at Ottawa, yet he says it would be a great harm for Canada to have power to negotiate treaties direct. In that case if would not have been harm. It has been said to me, How do you ex-pect you will be able to enforce your treaties by war unless you negotiate it through the medium of the British Ambassader ? Well, I never should propose to enforce a commercial treaty that way. (Applause). I am rather a man of peace myself, at any rate, but the idea of insisting that, if a commercial convention is broken, you will go to war about it, seems to me perfectly absurd. (Applause). It is said that we are toosmall to engage in commercial treaties advantageously. It depends upon the advantages of a treaty whether we will negotiate it or not. It does not depend upon our size, which is respectable, our numbers which are considerable, or our trade which is not to be despised, but upon the question whether the other party thinks it is a good bargain to enter into or not. It seems to me the arguments against our position are entirely fallacions, and that common sense and experience alike indicate that a step in advance would be taken if Can-ada had the power to make her own commercial treaties, (Loud applause.) All these things, however, these constitutional questions, and those affecting the future of our country, we shall settle wisely and well if we recognize the great leading principles upon which we should govern. I have said that for Canada one of these is the full and ample recognition of the Federal principle. And I saygenerally that for Canada, as for the Provinces of Canada,

THE DEMOCRATIC PRINCIPLE

is the second great principle. We are of a man's wealth equally amongst h not to ferget that we live in a democratic children; the abolition - which

age, and that we are one of the most democratic products of that age. (Applause.) All over the civilized world, for the last twenty-five years, it has been plain 'o the observer inst there ins been ap-proaching what is called the reign of the common people. Everythe series of revolutionary movements which agitated the continent of Europe a few years ago, it has been marked, even in those oid-world monar hies, buttressed round as they were by the great feudal buttresses and camparts, and overborne as the people nere by heavy taxation and enormous forces of armed men, as the great movement that has been going on. And here in this happy land, these difficulties have never supervened, you have had no feudal ramparts to batter down; no standing army to over-awe you; no great aggregation of wealth or power in few hands; no hereditary aristocracy, no reason why here the democratic principle should not be applied. (Loud applause). It may go upon two lines, and upon this continent both are being tried-the presidential system on the other side and the system of British freedem, which, for iny part, I have approved and advocated as being in this country. It is being tried here under circumstances more favorable than at the moment exist in England, yet in that Old World you see how strong the democratic spirit is. Within a few days the great Prime. Minister of England will have consummated that grand measure under which the electorate of the brited Kingdom will be increased from three millions to five millions. (Great applause). You will see a representative Government always, when there was a great question to be decided which moved the heart of the people democratic, but still under ordinary eircumstances, aristocratic under the great power of the peers and. the unhappy arrangement of the electorate districts and the restricted franchise, which subsequently become plutocratic, and is to be now democratic by the combined effect of enlarged franchise and satisfactory redistribution of electoral districts. (Loud cheers.) Now the democratic principles has the greatest possibilities for good, and whether we believe in it or shrink from it, we have to face it. If the experiment has got to be tried, then, that it may have a chance to succeed to the uttermost-and all good men wish it to-it is our duty, and especially is it the duty of the young and active, to see what are the dangers to be guarded against and what are the real principles to be enforced. (Applause.) The division of wealth, not by communistic law, but by those happy conditions which prevail here, the repudiation from the statute book, and from those statutes which are shrined in the hearts of the people of the law of primogeniture ; the distribution, as a rule, of a man's wealth equally amongst his

#### -radical 301 enough cate -- 01 the law of

## A WIDELY DIFFUSED EDUCATION :

an intermingling of the people ; the effacement, as far as we can efface them. of class distinctions in our midst-all these things are points to which we should look carefully, and which strue Liberals, anxious for the success of the democratic principle, should seek to achieve. I attribute the greatest im-portance to the intermingling of the people. I feel that we ought to be as I have said, an educated people, and being educated, readers of books and newspapers. capable of forming and expressing an opinion, we ought to feel our common citizenship the strongest tie that binds us, from the man who happens to be wealthy to the man who happens to be poor. I hope that in this Young Men's Liberal Club this example is set and that the Club will be a common meeting ground where the young mechanic, the young student, the young clerk, and all other classes in our community, possessing that happy gilt of youth which has fled from me, may mert together as young citizens of Canada, and on an equal footing as young Liberals and citizens, learning to respect, esteem, and admire one another, no matter what the tex-ture of the cloth in the coat, retexcognizing the identity of country and the identity of interest. (Loud applause). What should the democracy believe with reference to the great principles of freedom? I have no newfangled doctrine to present to you, but I want you to remember there are three great freedoms which have been wrested from unwilling hands in olden time, and which are preserved to us-freedom of opinion, the most valuable of all, freedom of person, and freedom of property -a well-ordered liberty, the right to do what I will with mine own, but not the right so to use it as to hurt my neighbor. What does freedom of property embrace? It embraces, as one of its most essential elements, the right to exchange that property which I have (whether it be mine by the labor of my hands or the fruits of my accumulated labor or of that of those who preceded me) for what I want. (Applause). The right to deal with whom, for what, and where I please. (Applause). And here we get

#### A PLAIN DIVIDING LINE

between the parties of this country. We Liberals are charged, in reference to our fiscal policy, with neglect of the inter-ests of labor and neglect of the interests of capital. If you were to believe that personage to whom you, Sir, have al-luded,—[laughter]—you would suppose that we were insane anough to seek to persuade the people to a policy of des-trasted with an *ad valorem* duty, is

to advo-ftruction, as I have said, of the interests entail ; of labor on the one hand, and of capital I have no hesitation in on the other. snying to you that there is one test which I have always sought to apply when I have desired to consider what the material condition of a country was, and that test was--What is the material condition of the lowest class of honest labor in that country? If I find the lowest class so fairly paid that there is enough for the support of a man and of those dependent upon him and some to lay by for a rainy day, I know that I need inquire no further-[applause]because I know that all above that grade must be well off, unless you establish a false scale of civilization, which I am disposed to call luxury. You may in the effort to "keep up appearances,", as it is called, have a great deal of genteel miserv. [Renewed applause.] I have not much sympathy with that. It is from the point of view of the standard I have just shown you that that I have always considered the fiscal and tariff policy of this Administration. I want to tay before you a few of the points on which I think that policy wholly indefensible. It is a good time to do it, and I will give you reasons from the adversary as well as from the friend. They are anxious that we should not discuss this matter now. They want us to discuss Imperial Federation, Independence, Annexation, Commercial Treaties, anything at all except the condition of the country (Laughter and applanse). A little while ago they wanted us to talk of nothing but the condition else -0fthe (Renewed laughter) country. They They wanted to yut as down by point-ing to what they said was prosperity. They said, There's no use arguing why or how, are you not well off? Would you not be fools to make a change? To-day they would be only to glad to draw any red herring across the trail. (Applause). They ask us then, Show us your policy. We have had always an affirmative as well as a negative policy-not only as to the tariff but also as to that other great question, the railway and North-West administration. And to-day we are quite ready to continue that discussion and to let it be judge by the light of events, whether the pro-mises they made, the professions to which they gave utterance, the views they expressed and to which they induced the people to assent, are scand, and whether they have been verified or faisified by events.

#### I HAVE OBJECTED TO THE POLICY.

first of all, in a leading point, that of specific duties. I objected to that from the very point of view I mentioned a

poverty to pay more than the rich man ple of Nova Scotia and New Brunswick. out of his wealth. But it is not necessary as well as Quebec, for it is a well-known for me to do so this evening, because sometimes, fortunately for us, our Ministers visit England, and whether the ocean has some effect in making them forget what they have said on this side of the water, I know not, but they give utterance to truths on which they are silent on this side. I am to-night prepared to establish my proposition with reference to the injustice of specific duties, from the mouth of the Finance He was talk-Minister. (Hear, hear). ing in England to some merchants who were anxious from their point of view, that he should establish specific duties on our own goods. This is what he said :-- "They had adopted specific duties wherever it was possible; but where there was a difference in the value of the article, such as in the case of cotton, where there were the cheaper and the coarser kinds, anything where there was great difference of value, if they charged so much upon the pound, they were liable to the accusation that they were imposin, the same charges upon the oor man using a cheap article as upon the rich man who could afford a dearer ". ticle." The murder > is out. (Great langhter and applause). That would be heresy on this side of the water, but it is logic, good sense and truth in England. The Liberal party objected also to the incidence of the tariff upon prime necessaries of life. We objected, amongst other things, to the coal and breadstuffs duties, because they were both necessaries of life, and that one of them, was in one sense directly, and flour also, for that matter, was a raw material of manufacture, that these taxes hore heavily and unjustly upon the poorer classes. I am not going to argue that out, for again I shall read you a short passage which proves my case. It is the language of a Minister :-- " If it had been the object to devise a tariff that would set one Province against another-that would create and perpetuate sectional jealousies and antipathies, the Government had certainly succeeded. Breadstuffs were to be taxed to please the people of Ontario, but he believed it was a great injustice to the agriculturists of Ontario to suppose that they were prepared to accept such a taris, or that it would be protection to them. The market where their surplus product was disposed of fixed the price of the whole, and duty could not be of any positive advantage so far as the lions. That is between 13 and 14 per price of their breadstuffs was concern- cent. for everything, free and dutiable, ed. Their duty would be a great ob- you used within the year. In 1881 you struction to trade all throughout the also used \$1,600,000 of goods-the same country, which should, in accordanc, value. How much duty did you pay with the true principles of commerce under this tariff, which was to be a re-

that it compels the poor man out of his sharman and the great masses of peofact that large quantities of breadstuffs are yearly taken into the latter Province from the United States. Then, as part of this great "National Policy," a duty was imposed on foreign coal as a nieans of propitiating the people of Nova Scotia. Nova Scotia, New Brunswick, and Quebec, were taxed to satisfy. Ontario, Quebec, Ontario and New Brunswick were to be burthened please a minority in Nova to Scotia. Nothing could be more calculated to create dissension and to disturb the harmonious working of Confedera-tion than such legislation." Would you not have thought I was reading from the speech of some Liberal. But it was really a speech of Sir David Macpherson. (Loud applause and laughter). But it was made a good while ago. (Re-newed laughter). It was made when he, was an independent member

#### HE BOASTED MUCH

of his independence then, and later on he said he sat in the Senate not as a party man but to speak the views he conscientiously held. He spoke the truth, believe, 1-29 and he spoke his independent convictions as I presume, and they remain on record, fortunately for us and unfortunately for him. (Laughter and applause.) He has changed his place since then-and he has changed his opinions. (Applause.) It is for you to judge whether you will pay most respect to the changed opinions of the office-holder or to the independent opinions of the Senator. I agreed with him when he made the speech, I agree with him to-day, but I differ altogether from the Minister of the Interior. We have objected, Mr. Chairman, to the rate of taxation. They told us that they were going to give us a readjust-ment and not an increase of the tariff. They were simply just the burdens to going to adto the, strength of the back. What has, happened i In 1877 you used, according to the returns, \$96,300,000 worth of goods 1877 you goods imported. But I have to take off bea considerable number of millions, causa the accounts were kept in a different way from what they are to-day, and certain imports are not to be counted. It, tells against me, but we must be fair. The net amount of goods imported for use was about \$91,600,000. On that you paid duty in round numbers of 122 mil-, be left as unrestricted as possible. Not adjustment, and not an increase enly would the tariff be worthless to Eighteen and a half millions; about 20 the people of Ontario, but it would be 1-5 per cent, or about fifty, per cent. of most burdensome to other sections—to an increase. (Applause.) For every

dollar you paid in 1877, on the same value of goods you paid \$1.50 in 1883.

Mr. Jamos French-Those goods were specially for the rich.

Mr. Blake-And so neither you nor I bought any of them. (Laughter and applause). We objected to the gross amount of the taxes also, because it was said the ross amount was not to be increased, We had been told that it required about ( thirteen millions from customs, and five millions from excise, to carry on the affairs of the Dominion. Take the three years 1876-7-8 under the Mackenzie ad-ministration. The aggregate collections by customs were \$35,150,000. In 1881-?-3, under the present Government, the customs collections were \$63,000.000, an excess of almost twenty-five millions, or nearly two-thirds more in the latter than in the former three years. (Cheers) We objected to the surplus. It was one of the grounds of glorification with the Ministers for two or three years. They are not talking so much about it now, because there is not so much of it. (Laughter). It was not so in old days. I have often pointed to a statement of Sir Charles Tupper, made when Sir Richard Cartwright estimated a modest surplus of half a million dollars. Sir Charles rose, and n indignant terms denounced him for proposing to take more from the people than he expected to spend. It was of no use for Sir Richard to say that he was not sure that he would get as much as he had estimated, or that he would be able to keep the expenditure down as low as he had estimated, and that half a million was not an unreason. ble margin to ask on the large transactions of the Do-minion. Sir Charles replied that he should do as they had done, and as the Government in England had done-lower the taxation so that there should be no surplus. Such was the patriotic Sir Charles, when in opposition talking about a surplus, which I am sorry to say, was not realized, of half a million. The Government of which he was a member actually collected surpluses in 1881-2-3 of \$17,400,000. I agreed with Sir Charles in principle in 1875, though he was pushing the principle too far to refuse to allow the Finance Minister a margin of helf a million. When you find us agree it may be a reason for doubting us both. (Laughter, and ap-But I am of the same opinion, plause.) while Sir Charles has changed. [Ap-plause.] What did the First Minister say during the election of 1882? He said :- 'I never knew a man grow, too rich to please himself, and it is the same with a country ; in fact, my belief about surplases is the same as the old squaw's Well, in the Pacific Railway. I won't about whiskey. She said a little too count that So he averages the figures much was just 'enough." [Inughter.] and divides and shows you that your How does a man grow rich? By save taxation is really less than you paid ing his money, not by spending it. Does under Mr. Mackenzie. [Loud laughter.]

from your pockets and mine? [Applause.] It is a simple process to call upon you to pay money into the treasury, and he says the country can't grow too richin that particular way. [Applause.] I say the more you put into the treasury in that way the poorer you make the country. [Great applause.] The national woalth is that in.

#### THE POCKHES OF THE PROPLE.

The collecting of a surplus (Applause). is a great evil. It leads to extravagance. (Applause). It takes away means which in a country like ours we require to carry on the business of the country. (Cheers). One of our great difficulties is the high rate of interest, and when the Government takes away money at four per cent, which is required to carry on the Lusiness of the country, and which has to be replaced by money borrowed from the banks at seven or eight per per cent, an additional injury result. By the time the consumer had paid for this surplus it cost about thirty millions. For, mark you, the amount in the treasury does not gauge the loss to the people. The money is paid to the Customs by the importer. He must charge a profit on the whole cost of the goods, including the Costoms duties. The retailer pays that and he also charges his profit on the whole amount of the goods, including the amount the wholesaler paid, and the profit upon it, so that by the time the goods reached the consumer the money given would be not less than fifty per cent, more, or thirty millions. Had that amount of money been left with the people, instead of being taken from them, the present stringency have been in a large n wonid a large measure (Applause). Well, averted. they make a number of excuses when they talk about this matter. Sir Leonard Tilley, as you know, is great on calcula-tion. [Laughter.] I'll tell you how he does it. He says it is unfair to compare the gross amount of taxation now with that under Mr. Mackenzie. I am wiling to compare them, and find how much it is per head. Mr. Mackenzie didn't tax you enough. I will add what I think he ought to have taxed you: Add a certain n \_nber of millions, divided by the number of the population, and it is so much per head. I come to my time, and I calculate the population on the rate of increase that I think out to rate of increase that I think ought to have taken place. Do not ask me to divide that into what I taxed you. Why? Because-the surplus. deduct that. I did not spend I must that in carrying on the ordinary business of the country. Where did you spend it? the country grow rich by having a large I fancy that some of us would be glad to quantity of money in the treasury taken settle the accounts of our debts in the same way. The accounts would balance was alleged to have committed so many most satisfactorily. I suspect that you crimes. The expenditure in 1878 was paid the surplus as much as the rest of \$703,000. That is a large amount, I ad-the taxation, and I suspect you are not going to get that money back. The money (Loud]taughter and applause.) Take one with the taxation with and the pair of the taxation with taxation with the taxation with taxation wi is paid, gone, spent, sunk, and I am go-ing to charge Sir Leonard Tilley with it to the Day of Judgment. (Applause.) Wo objected to

#### THE INCREASED SCALE OF EXPENDITURE.

There was a great cry of economy raised in 1877-78. You were told that Mr. Mackenzie was a profligate administrator of your funds, that he filled the publie buildings at Ottawa with partizans who needed offices, but who were not needed in the public service, and that he spent other hundreds of thousands in erecting a new building, which also he filled with useless clerks. You were told that not so much as was spent by the Reform Government was needed to carry on the business of the country. There was no suggestion of increased expenditure. Sir Leonard Tilloy said that he was opposed to the increase of the tariff from 15 to 17½ per cent. I shall give you a few fiems of the expenditure of 1878 and 1883 the former they thought bad, the latter they thought good. The amount spent on immigration in 1878 was \$180,600, and in 1883, \$437,000. Then there were the surgrammations. You know that besides paying our civil servants while they serve us, even after they stop serving us, we pay them annuities and they live a long time. We pay them a good deal of money in that way. Mr. Mac-kenzie baid \$106,000 in this way in 1878, and Sir Charles Tupper, at Halifax, when Mr. Jones was running in an election there, said :--"I am not going There is the amount, into details. \$106,000. for superannuations. It bears corruption and wilful extravagance on the face of it." If that is so, what amount of corruption is there in \$186,000, for that is the amount paid by the Con-servative Government for superannu-ations in 1883. There is an estimate for about \$200,000 for the year just passed and for the current year, I suppose, it will increase still further. (Loud applause.)

Fisherics	1878.	1883.   \$ 168.000
Miscellaneous	\$1,000 421.000	238,000
Mounted Police	334,000	477,000
Ottawa Police	10,600	13.500

I have the pamphlet of Sir David Macpherson, in which he devotes a good many pages to the extravagance of the Mackenzie Government in connection with the administration of justice. That expenditure was \$564,000. It was \$615,-000 in ISS3. Excise in IS78, \$215,000; in 1883, \$279,000. And now we come to the

DEPARTMENTAL SALARIES AND CONTIN-

GENCIES.

(Loudlaughter and applause.) Take one instance. When Mr. Mackenzie was First Minister, he was Minister of Public Works, which included the Railway Department. When the present Government came into office they divided the Department into Railway and Public Works Departments. Under Mr. Mackenzie the expenditure was \$59,800. The two Departments in 1883 cost \$108,-000, although it is only fair to say that \$7,000 of that was upon an account not included in Mr. Mackenzie's time. The net cost, however, was about a hundred thousand dollars, or many nearly double Under these circumstances, who can wonder that the public expenditure, which they said in 1878 would average for the next five years, if they got into office, twentytwo millions, rose from (wenty-three and a half millions in 1875 to (wentyeight and three-fourth millions in 1883. I believe, although, of course, we have not the Public Accounts yet, that it was about thirty millions in the year just passed. In fact, you can mark the trail of the Tory Governments by this item. From 1868 to 1874 the expenditure increased ten millions; from 1874 to 1878 the rate of expenditure was at about a standstill. From 1878 to 1884 there is an increase of about seven millions. I do not say that all that expenditure comes out of your pockets, because part of it is balanced by receipts. I do not say that all the increases are wrong. say now, as I said when in Government, that in a growing country like ours there must be increases. But admitting all that, how does it justify these men, who condemned the \$200,000 increase of Mr. Mackenzie, and said that if they were placed in power they would do better. When I charged them with extravagance, what was their reply? They said the difference between us and YOU is that we've got the money. You allowed them to tax you. They did it. And now they give as a reason for not carrying out their promises that they have taken this money from you. This This question of expenditure and taxation is a serious one. I want to bring it home to you. Unless the people will appreciate the direct interest it is to each of them it will not assume the importance which it should assume. Take the statistics which are furnished to the Ontario Bureau of Statistics by the labor organizations of this city for last year. Returns have been obtained from 291

#### all 1,089 souls. BIESE WERE PICKED MEN.

First, they belong to the trade organizations, which comprise, as a rule, I believe, the best of the workingmen. (Apin connection with which Mr. Mackenzie | plause). In the second place, they kept

mechanics with families, numbering in

accounts, and the workingman who results under exceptionally favorable keeps account and knows, what the circumstances for those who allege that wages are from year to year and how his money is spent, shows his superiority over the average citizen. (Applause). If the workingmen would keep a little pass book in which should be carefully noted what he spends and what he re-ceives, it would solve for them many important problems concerning which the politicians now persuade them to wrong views. The results as shown by the reports of these 291 picked men were as follows :---

Average earnings	61 06
Total\$475	67
Average living expenses 451	75

So that the saving were about equal to the extra earnings of the wife or one of the children. The regular wages of the head of the house were absorbed as living expenses for the year. We usu-ally calculate five to a family in Canada but in these cases the dependents were not up to the average. These families averaged only about 33 members. So, having regarded to that fact, and having regard to the peculiar character of the men, there could be no doubt that in other cases where the families were larger and the men not of the same degree of carefulness all that was earned vear was I regret to spent. even in that It was clear say even in 1883, as a rule, it was all the mechanics could do to live. The ques-tion with the mechanic always is, "What wages can I get for my labor; what can I exchange my labor for? It is not a more question of how much money can I get but what food, clothing, shelter, education for my children, hands?" It other necessaries can I and of my for the labor is clear that in 1883, which the Conservatives say was a prosperous year, it was not prosperous for the mechanic. Steady employment was what was wanted. The average number of days in which these picked men worked was 244. If you allow for Sundays and If you allow for Sundays and holidays there were 60 lost days. The average allowance made by English acthorities for sickness is 9 days in the year, and assuming that even in our healthier climate, and under our more favourable conditions, the average is the same, there are still over fifty lost days. So there was an enormous loss for want of employment. How is it this year? Our city, as you know, is excep-tionally prosperous. Various circumstances have combined to lead to that gratifying result. I believe that

## TORONTO HAS PROSPERED

continent for the last three years, certainly far more than any other city in be too anxious to sell, we will have the Dominion; and we are considering seven years more of prosperity." We the operation of the tariff in its practical were in adversity even then. He said

circumstances for those who allege that it produces prosperity. Times are harder this year. Look at this city, at Hamilton, London, Dundas, Ottawa. Take last year, again, remembering what I have told you. The wages of a picked mechanic are \$465. What is deducted from those wages as Dominion taxation ? The revenue from customs and excise twenty-nine and was 2 half millions. If you allow five to a family, you will taxation was \$35 per head. find the But, as I have pointed out, that is what went into the Treasury, and what we actually paid was about 50 per cent. more, or about \$50 per head. What propertion will this \$50 bear to the whole income of \$465. It is ten times the saving of the picked man. It is more than a tithe of the whole income of \$465. It is half as as the rate in the much again old days of which they have been telling you. This is the taxation, which these gentlemen have been telling you, and which some of you believed. you did not feel at all. (Applause.) Now, I say a tax bears more heavily on the poor than on the rich. (Loud applause.) The fifty may burn rich tons of .\$25, tax of cosl and pay а it. The poor man and not feel burns five or six tons and pays a tax of \$2 50 or \$3, and what is it? It means a third of the average savings of these picked men. I ask those who found they could not make both ends meet. I ask those picked men who could only save a trifle, if they would not have oeen better off if the load had been lightened. as it might have been lightened, and which was not lightened, in order that a surplus might be accumula-ted. (Loud applause.) They tell us that they gave prosperity to all classes, farmer, manufacturer, mechanic, and the Finance Minister declared that for that prosperity would last peoyears. He advised the ten ple of this country to clap on all sail for ten years of presperity, to speculate, buy, sell, to believe him that his fiscal policy and the great arrangements he had made assured a clear commercial sky for ten years. We took his advice. [Laughter.] We specu-We specalated, imported, manufactured.

#### WE BOUGHT OURSELVES RICH

in the North-West. [Loud laughter.] They brought on the election at the height of the season of apparent prosperity which, by these and other means, they had created. Only last year, when I twitted the Finance Minister with this statement of his about ten years of pros-perity, he said, "I said so, it's quite more than almost any other city on the true, and I say still, that if our mercliants won't import too much, and won't

we were prosperous, however, and that; only about three-fifths of what it was for we would have seven years more of it. Perhaps he meant that we would have seven years more of the same kind of prosperity we are having now. But that is not the kind that was [Applause and laughter.] promised. They did create a fictitious prosperity They gave in some lines of business. the manufacturers in some lines exorbitant profits, as, for instance, in cotton and sugar. We told them they would induce an excess of capital to seek these branches, that there would be a time of inflation, operatives would be collected in centres, and that after a brief period the market would be glutted, the milis would be idle, and loss would result to the capitalists, and lack of work to the operatives. That is exactly what has happened. Look at the condition of the cotton industry for the last two years. And it was only yesterday we learned that arrangements had been made to limit the production of the mills and so advance prices, in fact to form a cotton monopoly in order to make up their losses and to extricate themselves from the trouble in which they have been The Government declared in plunged. 1882, when they came before you for reelection that the boom which then prevailed was only the beginning of what was to be. They said that what was wanted was, and they came before the people earlier than was actually necessary to make sure of it, that the good times should continue. They wanted the people's endorsation of the policy which had been adopted to secure prosperity for all time. They said that millions of dollars were

#### HANGING UPON THE VERDICT.

and if that that verdict was favorable to the policy unexampled increases would take place in the money invested in the mines and other industries of the country. In this city the First Minister said :-

" I tell you this, and this is not a matter of supposition but of certainty and knowledge on my part, that there are millions of dol-lars waiting to be invested in Canada mil-lons in England and large sums in the United States waiting to be invested United States waiting to be invested in every kind of industry, in mines and ma-nufactures of every kind . . . All that is wanted by capitalists in Canada, England and the United Stores and the Market Swalled by contains in Canada, Lagrand Germany, is to learn whether this country is of the fixed constant opinion that the N. P. shall be continued as settled in 7.8."

Where are the millions? (Laughter.( Now, it seems pretty plain that the mil-lions never came. They said they would give steady employment to the labor at fair wages. Our market is small and soon stocked. As I pointed out to you a while ago, instead of opening new markets and instead of the policy being such as to enable, you to open "new markets those markets are being closed rather said we should have it. But they have than opened. The export of manufac-tured goods for the last three years is It is the undue inflation, the fiscal bur-

the three previous years I have been citing as examples. They boasted that they gave such temporary sunshine as there was, that it was due to them and and their little Act of Parliament that you were rich and happy as you were. These was no use to point to the conditich of free trade England or to that of the United States, where there had been no change in the tariff. There was no use in discussing the causes of action and reaction. I will give, as I have given in the rural parts, a figure or two which will show you upon what the prosperity of the towns depends-not of the towns alone, not of the country alone. but of both town and country. The farming capital of the Province of Ontario, including about one hundred millions for current capital is one thousand and fifty millions or more. The manu-facturing capital is about eighty-one or eighty-two millions. Of this a large proportion is in the saw mills, bakerie flour mills, and other industries which the N. P. help, does not howmay hu much it hurt ever them. There remain about millions about which there may be a dispute as to whether it hurts or helps. Now, do. you believe that it is the prosperity of the fifty millions that is going to help the 1,050,000,000, or will it be the re-verse? The larger figure rules, and what we depend upon who live in the towns-I am a city man myself, and interested in everything that advances the welfare of the city-in the prosperity of the country parts which buy our goods. When the farmer has a good crop and good prices for it, we profit by it as well as he. (Applause.) He buys the gools that we import or manufacture; he makes the wheels of trade revolve, and you will notice that the Conservatives themselves in their trade articles, and in their leading articles, too,

BASED THEIR HOPES OF GOOD TIMES.

upon the crops. They were quite right : the crop was the important thing. 1 wish to show by another set of figures where the prosperity came from. Of the products of the land, in which I include forest land, we exported in 1876-7-8 products to the value of \$158,500,000. In 1881-2-3 we exported \$211,000,000, an excess of about \$53,000,000. That is, in the latter period we got four . years' profits in three years of time. - That is, enough to make a country prosperous. In the period of depression which the Conservatives are so fond of saying was the worst in the country's history the people were economising and investing their savings only in the safest possible With those savings and way, with the great addition to our wealth, of which I have told you, They we ought to have prosperity.

dens, the enormous expenditures which [ these men are responsible for which have curtailed the season of prosperity and have brought about another period These men deny it. Sir of stringency John Macdonald, the other day, in England, you know, where these inconvenient things are said, issued s wholesale invitation to the English people user and stay with us. (Laughter.) He said that in Canada any industrious man could obtain a good day's wages for a good day's work. Contrast that statement with what comes to us in unquestioned reports, Look at the report of the labor organization of Hamilton of last winter:-

"November-Opened with a decreasing demand for labor in almost every branch of productive industry. During the month reductions of the working force were very genaral, greatly increasing the surplus of labor. General seccession from work on all outdoor branches of labor took place toward the close of this month. Some slight reductions in time and rate of wages were also made and accepted. The month closed with a very poor outlook for the winter. Bigns of spill greater depression were especially evident in the panicky feeling among workingmen, and their determination to curtal expenditure, thus hastening depression by an almost entire falling off in the demand for those manufactures not essectial to support life, and the consequent dischargo of persons engaged in the manufacture of such goods. This month may be summed up as a poor one for labor, for, although wages were much the same as last month, work was not so steady, and the number out of employment was largely increased.

creased. "December-Opened with a still gloomler outlook for laborers. There were constant, rumors of intended reductions of force, pay, and time, many of which proved correct. Among others the iron moniders, one of the strongest and best organized bodies of wageworkers, were cut 20 per cent., and owing to the depressed condition of labor, they did not resist. This was followed by a wholesale reduction in the force engaged in tho iron industry in general, and the stove industry in particular, amounting in the aggregate to something near 45 or 50 per cent. of th. entire force employed during good times. The average wage of cotton operations were reduced from \$10 to \$7 per week, which was again followed by an almost universal shutdown or suspension of operations for an indefinite period-on the Saturday linmediately before Christmas—with the exception of the boot and shoe, cotton, and glass industries. These continued firly active, but otherwise the month closed with no demand, whatever, for labor of any kind. On the whole the month must be summed up as a very poor and discouraging one for labor. Many honest, hand-working people were compelled to apply for relief, and many letters appeared in the newspapers appearling to the City Council to undertake relief works, and the month may be set down as one of the worst months for labor, if not the worst, for many years."

#### THE TORONTO REPORTS.

Take our own city What says the report of the Combined Charities for last winter? That report is signed by Mayor Boswell, the chairman. [Applause and laughter.] He says:—

"The large number of newly arrived and destitute en igrants seeking relief, naturally drew the attantion of the Conference to the system prevailing of giving indiscriminately agsisted passages at all seasons of the year, and thus flooding Toronto with pauperism throughout the long winter season. A memorial was presented to ooth the Dominion and the Ontario Governments, asking that the practice might be discontinued, at any rate between the months of Augustand April in each year. Whether any further notice of the contaunication will be taken by those authorities remains to be seen."

Now hear the report of Mr. Pell, Secretary of the Combined Charities, as to the state of affairs this year. He says that the cases for relief this winter were 1.683 as against 955 op to the same time last year. He adds: "There are more men out of employment in Toronto now than during any previous year of my residence. St. George's Society assisted to relieve 1,400 persons, as against 700 up to 1st December, last year." Take another test-that number of vagrants arrested, many of whom came to the police magistrate, and want to go gaol because they can't get any other shelter. In 1882 there were 275; in 1883 the number increased to 339, and in 1884 to 439. Take the waifs -persons who go to the police station for a night's lodging, and are glad to sleep on the floor of a cell because they can't get better accommodation. In 1882 there were 879; in 1883 there were 2,016, and in 1884 the number had grown to 4,035. I am glad to say that, for the last three months of last year, it seems to have been only about the same, or perhaps a little better, than for the last three months of the year before. We know that this does not disclose the worst cases. We know that the worst and most heart-breaking cases are those that do not meet the public eye. Now, I say that the men who complain because these facts are disclosed, are not patriots. (Loud applause.) They say we are unpatriotic because we want to ascertain. the

#### EXACT CONDITION OF THE COUNTRY

to see whether their policy is successful or not, and to see whether their promises have or have not been fulfilled. What did they do in 1578? On every platform in the country they told of men who had been brought upon the country. No Liberal complained of anything that they said which was true. That is all right. The people are entitled to know the facts. I give you the facts and my authority for them, and I say that these facts, painful as they are, demonstrate that the men who misled you into the belief that by Acts of Parliament and by taxation, they could secure you prosperity, have failed, and that a condition of affairs exists which is deserving of the attentive consideration of the people at large and which ought to be remedied at the earliest pos-(Loud applause.) sible moment." said they told you they would give you steady employment at good wages. Last session when we discussed the facts of the case, they had to some extent to withdraw from their statements. An important admission was made by the Finance Minister. He said :--

"A year or two ago, as I know and other bouorable members know perfectly well as the prices paid for labor in the United States must regulate the price of labor in Genada, been as if the wages are not about equal the operatives will soon pass across the line, our manufacturers found it no creasers to increase the wages here when an order to keep their men; but now that there is a reduction in the United States, owing to reduced piecs, our manufacturers, and order successfully to compete, have also, to reduce the prices of their products, and in to reduced prices, our manufacturers, la order successfully to compete, have also, to reduce the prices of their products, and in some cases, also, the wages of their atives." 0.007-

There is an admission that notwithstanding their Acts of Parliament and their tariff arrangements the labor in the big markets of the United States regulates the market here. If a Liberal had said that-Unpatriotic man ! (laughter) you are hinting to the mechanics and laborers of Canada to go across the line and better themselves. Of course a good Tory like Sir Leonard could not do such a thing-at any rate, it is not wicked when he does it. (Loud laughter and applause). Now, as a matter of fact no matter how we cleak or disgnise it,

#### THE SYSTEM OF ASSISTED PASSAGES

as worked, has aggravated the evil. The Minister of Immigration may tell you that he has issued his rule for this or for that. I do not know what those rules are, but it is clear that the Department uses the steamship agents as its agents and these men are paid a comits mission on the number they send out. I believe that almost anybody who is not actually blind or crippled, who is apparently able-bodied, can get out to Canada on assisted passage. The im-migration you want is of a special kind. You want the tenant farmer or the free-then. It is the honest, true state of the hold farmer. You want, to a certain ex- case with reference to the mechanic and tent, the immigration of agricultural general laborer to-day, and it is time laborers. You may want an immigra- that the truth should be told.  $(A_F)$ tion of domestic servants, if you can keep them. There is a great want of household servants in the country, but immigration will not supply that. The immigration will not supply that. women come from this country to the towns, and from the lowns of Canada they go to the larger towns in the United States. The immigration must be so regulated that it shall not tend to ag- selves can do. (Great applause). Even gravate the distress which now exists the case of the agricultural labourer is through the action of the Government. I surpose I should not be so apt to be machinery, notibly of the self-binder. called unpatriotic if I said with Sir A large portion of the labour formerly John Macdonald that every industrious man can get in Canada a good day's wages for a good day's work. I can't say that and tell the truth, because 1 know the contrary. Every man knows that. The cases of the workingmen to which I have referred prove it. How different was the course of Mr. Mackenzie. the truth. afraid to let people know when it was not expedient that they should come to Canada. On 12th July, 1875, Mr. Mac-kenzie being then in England, our test would be made. We have a right Agent-General, Mr. Jenkins, wrote a let- to be proud of our legislative record with

"Sin,-Will you perink me to make, "Bir,-Will you perink me to make, the columns of the "Times," an intimation which may serve to prevent a great deal of disappointment and trouble. The advices, which I have from Canada, both privately and in the pross, as well as from gentlemen who have lately arrived from there show that In the present state of commerce and trade in the Dominion, and especially at so late a period of the emigration senson, it is not advisable to encourage the emigration from this country of arrived processing the solution. advisable to encourage the emigration from this country of artizans, nechanics, elerks, and general taborers to Canada. These persons, arriving in the middle of July or in the beginning of August will find a depressed state of trade and a lack of gen-erai employment, and unless they have ex-traordir ary energy and self-reliance, or suf-ficient means to sustain themselves for a considerable time they neaving themselves. nction means to sustain themselves for a considerable time, they may find themselves forced to 'no' a Canadian winter with no prospect on employment. To encourage emigration of such persons in such circum-stances would be, almost criminal, and equally disasfrous to the emigrants them-selves, and to the interests of Canada."

And so on. Now, there he gave the state of the case as it was. (Loud applause). He intimated a hope that, he would be able to advise immigration at a later time. He got abused for it. Here is Sir David Macpherson's pamphlet, and in it he pitches into Mr. Mackenzie because he allowed that letter to be written. "Could anything be more ill-advised?" he asks, "or exhibit greater ignorance of the field which Canada offers for immigrants? This country is specially adapted for workingmen with large families." He was denouncing Mr. Mackenzie for suggest-ing that the classes of men named should not come to Canada. That was

plause). It is better to tell the people there is no room for them, when there really is none, than to have them brought over here only to be disappointed, to leave the country as soon as they can. and to write letters concerning us, which will do us more harm by far than the plain, straightforward truth told by ourchanged by the introduction of improved required is required no longer. and, this should emphasize more than ever the view that it is not advisable to pay others to come into the country to share with our own workingmen the labour which is scanty enough already. And will not the members of the Young Men's Liberal Club do good work in impressing He was never afraid to state these facts upon the masses of the peo-h. (Loud cheers.) He was not ple. These are facts which require to be

so in advance of the English legislation. | educated. We recognized the fact that it was essen-through this stage, and, in tial in the interests of labour in is con-interest of the people, will troversies with capital that it should be allow those thinks to be done of which permitted to organize, and we removed this gentleman boasted. There are imthe disabilities which then existed in the portant questions beyond the pale of way of organizations and combinations. Law, One is the inculation of principles Strikes are evids, and the intelligent of economy and frugality and the spread working man non-guizes them as such, of the system of keeping accounts among But sometimes they were necessary working men. I have before made a exils. To have the right to act as a practical suggestion, which I was to reunited body is absolutely essential to peat. labor in these controversies with capital, is chools we ought to teach the children Ishould like to have the young men of this Club devote their attention to other problems also indiff social and half and labourers a simple method of keep-legislative in their nature. The question in the daily accounts of the household of co-operation in distribution and production is prognant with importance. into advanced rules of arithmetic, which You see to what a great extent co-opera- are never used after the person leaves tion in distribution has progressed in school. (Applause). Economy and England. It has gone beyond the re- temperance are important questions, tail societies, and now they have an which are in your own hands. What I enormous whelesale institution which want to see is that mechanics and work supplies the retail societies. The traus- ingmen should save something—should actions run up into the millions, and if feel it their duty to save. Once the their great experiment succeeds the re- thing is begun, it is wonderful how you before actions to the sate of the cet on. To feel that he has something lations of the Laborerer to the rest of the get on. To feel that he has something world will be almost revolutionized, earned will make the mechanic an in-Familiarity with the topic of co-opera-dependent man, which he is not. For tion and others of a like character is of all this I do not except those things the utmost importance to the young which are of a legislative character. Canadian Liberal. We, as legislators, There is much in a social and moral have to do with sanitary laws, educa-question which is beyond the legislative tional laws, and with laws for regulating the hours of labor for women and child-can mould your legislation in a more ren. And in all I want to say one useful and beneficial direction, the higher thing to the young Canadian Liberals the social and moral tone. It is not and one to the workingmen. I hope the free trade nor is it protection, it is not workingman in Canada is not going to competition nor is it the absence of it, it be satisfied with a less measure of pro-is not laws in our present state that will gress in these important matters than that made in Old England. For of the principles of the Gospel is that eighty years the work of im- which we ought to seek in making a proving the condition of the work- country. ingman in these regards has been work of slow degrees. I may be called going on. For eighty years law after Utopian, but I believe that work is prolaw has been passed as experience de-gressing, and will progress. It is our naw has been passed as experience de-gressing, and will progress. It is dur monstrated its necessity. On the conti-lative to set our faces toward it. We shall nent of Europe, also, great progress has not reach high unless we aim high-been made in a new years. I hope we Better aim higher than you expect to shall do as well even as they are doing in England. We should be ashamed to erning us as a people, legislation im-be behind them. We ought to be possible and uselsss heretofore will be-ashamed of such things as a statement come possible, and you will find social made in Parliament which when it and moral considerations included made in Parliament, which, when it and moral considerations inextricably was made, caused me to be a named intertwine themselves with those of that such a thing should be said in Ca- legislation. A gentleman on the Reform side mada. was pointing out a diminution in the school attendance in Nova Scotia, and It is too late to discuss the other topic of was answered by a gentleman on the the Canadian Pacific Bailway, and I other side. " Don't you know the reason shall not trespass upon your patience. of that? The (Go on, go on.) I should rejoice if I of that?

#### CHILDREN ARE BUSY IN THE FACTORIES

reference to the workingman. We have democratic principles? The young placed him in a fair position in relation must be protected. [Cheers.] They to his employer and the law. We did must have time to grow strong and to be passed England has in the 101 I maintain that in our common [Loud applause.] It is the

#### CANADIAN PACIFIC RAIL' AY.

(Go on, go on.) I should rejoice if I could lay my hand on my heart and say I was mistaken; and the policy of the and can't go to school," [Applause.] Is Government would not bring about the that the sort of work we want done in this Canada of ours? Is that the way we expect to elevate the people to true which the work has been put through,

by reflex action upon the rest of Canada, could not be considered too serious or grave, and that an attempt is now being made to create a sort of boom, in the expectation that you will get your railway finished in the course of a few months, and that a new era of prosperity is about | and declined to endeavor to instruct the to set in. I wish I could tell you that I people in the doctrine that they could think there will be that era of prosperity. I am content that what I said should be recorded, that it should be read, and that in the course of a few short months, and aiter a year's experi-ence of the read in actual use, you 2.011 should judge whether I am right or advising what I did rwong in that 01 feel the condition is serious. I do not the country feel that we have cause for despair." I hope never to despair of my country. But we have cause for serious alarm We ought to endeavor to awake-our from its lethargy, to show ar performance has come country far how from promise, how woerun, from promise, how woerun, follow the real follow short. We cught to show the real solution bebesituation, exaggerating nothing. lieving that our country will see that a policy of reduced taxation, of readjusted taxation, of economy in administration, of recognition of the Federal principle, and of Provincial rights; saving what remains to be saved, is the policy which ought to be adopted. · Sha will see that the men who now govern her have proved unsafe guides, have proved unjust stewards, and that she will be prepared to remove from them the power which they have abused and to place "it in the hands of men who

the effect upon the North-west, the effect have shown themselves, when they had faithful to their trust, it, declining, under difficult circum-stances, to retain power by gulling the people. (Loud applause.) Who difficult circumnever said they would achieve for the country prosperity by the fiscal policy, grow rich by taxation, whose thought rather was how to confine the limits of the Government within its proper scope, and told the people that upon your labor, intelligently, liberally, industriously and freely applied, you must depend for your wealth and prosperity. (Cheers). I trust that this will be the verdict of the country when it shall be called upon, (Cheers.) I trust the young Men's this Liberal Club have seen night, I hope, notwithstanding its feeble advocacy, that their cause is good, that the issues are momentous; the propositions that they are to advocate are such as they can maintain on any platform that they are propositions in the interest of this country; not the propositions. of charlatans, who pretend to be able to heal by quack remedies, but of men who point to the true sources of the evil and give the true remedy, which lies with the people. And so may I not exhort. them :-

> " Press on ! the triumph shall be won, Of common rights and equal laws, The glorious dream of Harrington, And Sidney's grand old cause.

Blessing the cotter and the Crown. Sweetening worn labor's bitter cnp, And plucking not the highest down, Lifting the lowest up."

