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Mr. Plake, on coming forward was greeted with round after round of cheering. He eaid:-Mr. Chairman, ladies, and gentlemen,-It is always a great privilege to a public man to be permitted to express, to any portion of his countrymen, his sentiments npon the public affairs of their common country, but I confess that it is with peculiar pleasure that I avail myself of the opportunity given me to address a meeting under the auspices of the Young Men's Liberal Club of Toronto. (Applause.) I have always regarded the politics of a free country, as the noblest occupation of the citizens of that country, and I hase always believed that it ras cissential to the fullest development of the nobier part of politics that there should be an early apprenticeship on the partof the citizen to that, his noblest duiy. "It has always been mey earnest wish, therefore, that the young Canadian should, at a very early time in his life, begin that active interest in politice which it is the part of every good citizen to take, and, as long ago as ten years or more, I took an opportmaty of raaking a propesition in that sense, and based upon that view, for the enlargement of the franchise of Ontario, which rezulted in the odding of a very great number of young men all through the rural parts of the Provisuce to the rolls, who otherwise would have been for a long series of years excludel from the franchise, and which resulted, further, in increas ing the number of the electorate of Ontario to a point higher than in any other Province, excent one, which possesses
manhood suffrage. I think the results of that legislation have demonstrater its wisdom. (Cheers). It was based upon the proposition that the franchise Was, when properly viewed, an elevating privilege, and that it was, therefore, of the last consequence that at the carliest period at which the process of reflection and argument were available, the citizen should be trained to the exercise of the franchise. Nos, I have said that I regard politics as
the sollest occupation of the citizn, and yet I have been especially anyious that the young should early take a gart in them: because, althongh they be the noblest occupation of the citizen ! know it is oflen defiled, and it is yery imporiant to all of us that the generoas aspirations of youth should mingle with our efforts, and it is all important that the youth of the country begin to participate actively in the politics of tiae commtry and do so upon sound and elevater principles. They should aim high, They must remember, as we ail ongh to rememier, that there may be dearbought victories, and that it is better to lose in the right than to triumph in the wrong. (foad applause.) They must as youth will, I think, be only too willing to do, agree that the end loes not jusitify the means, they must be willing to assume as their rule of action in politics that no double conscience should divide the man and the polltician. (Ioud applause.) Now, wo are living under a free system of Governmont, which is worked upon the principle of party. There are many men who lay to that
principle the great and adritted evils which permeate our political system. I am not blind to those faulte, zor am I biind to the fact that the excess of party zeal tends largely to produce them. I ste-we have all seen-incapacity and even crime condoned; I have sech fraud justifed; I have senn a loose code of morality embraced ; I have seen legislative iniquities-such, for example, as the Gerrymander Act of last session-(lond aprianse) approved ard applauled ; and I have sem all these things dowe largely in the name and tor the interest of party. (Applanee.) Bat I say thei these are not insegarablof from the prin(iple of party goverument. (Applause.) T say that such thinge as these are, some of which mifht have heen parallelled in the fistory of Englistr representative institutions, would be impossible in the England of to-day. Aotvithstanding the severity of rarty strife, notwithstaudirg the acrimony and bitterness whicl: mbappily prevail, aid thavo for the last fevi years, prevailed in England, the things to which I have referred are impossilis there. If you want proof of it take tle Retistribution Act now or shortly to ke phaced before the English Pallament and the Redistribution Act -soralled-passed in the Canadian Pariament of $10 \mathrm{~S}^{2}$. Look at the principle of action prevailing in one case and in the other. Look at the fact that in one case the power of

## a partisan matority

was deliberately need in order, if possible, to crush the minority ont of existence, while on the other the principles of redistribution were astreal upon by the mutual assent of the tro great parties (lond apphause), and yon will see that in a country in which party government prevails, justice and cquity, and honor, aud liberal netions may yet also prevail. So with yoference to the gederal colde of politicalmorality, Contrast the English eode with that observer in Canada. Contrast even the code of the United States with that observed here -far inferior as that is to the Inglish. wook at the cuse of Colfox ; look at ever later and lareer cases which i might name, and see cor youscives once arain that party sinit dues not necessixily ammihilate the songe of honor or justace And, alter all sud, warty covermment I believe to b incritable, fur" the reason thent you will have an orgarization? at aily rate. Cll , the sile of the Governmert. The Gowannent of the day, to whatever viers is may adibere. is the rumpers of an oryanzation, It hodrs phace, power, patronage, and has the machinery for cinsliditing its adherents, and it dots not seelu to me passilhe that thiere should be any effective chetk upen thw rourse of cuvermment maless there be an organized party in opposition. Nor am I able to advise any better moans for the ascertainment of a trie, and sound, and reasomable
view of things than that discussion which goes on when measures are treated from opposite points of view by a Government and an Opposition. But I say that the thing has its evils and grave evile, that it is liahle to aluse and great abuse, and that the young wloo are entering apon the discission of political affairs, and are taking their part, should recognize that, and, in the spirit, which you, Sir, intimated a few moments ago, engage in the discussion of political questions, from an inderendent standpoint, and with an earnest desire to reach the true, the sonnd, the just conclusion. For my own part, I have been some seventeen or eifhtpen years in public life. Thave sat for some three or forr and twenty seasions in one Honse or the other, and I have never given a vote or pronounced an opinion which I would ask my constituents or my fellowconnerymen to approve of or condone because it was a party vote or opiniou. Hond cheers.]. It is upon the trerits of those votes and opinions that I have ain tempted, and ever will attempt, to vindiate their soundness. I rejoice, I need hardly say, in the establishment of this club. I hope that its membership, large though it be. as you have just mentioned, will be mere than doubled within a mort space. [Loud applause.] It ought to be, in a city of this magnitude. more than doubled. I hope you will proced to justify by your retivity your existence. You have to

## SEP AS EXAMPLE.

There are many other places in whim Young Men's Liberal Clubs may weil be established. There are places in the country in which it is better to adhere to the old system of old and young going together, in which it is difficult to get sufficient members conveniently close to one another to establish different oreanizations. No such difficulty exists hore. There are many other places in which no such difficalty exists, and where numbers, and convenierce, prozimity are such as to enable different organizations to be forned, and in theze flaces I favor the formation of numerpus oryanizations. Io have work befure you, studying the public questions of the day preparing yourselves by mactice for the discrassion of those ouestions upon the phatform ; yon have the pactical work of sarying your opinions and convietions to a stetedsfel issue by taking that pat which knoyledre and trainod activity can take in the organization of your party-in seeing to the reristration of the votes, seeing to getting or the voles, seeing to the formation of a sound publie opinon anongst the masses of your fellowcitizens anl clectors. There is in-this city, Conservative though it be, a great Liberal foree (Loud applave). There is a Liberal foree sum ficiently strong to do great things
even in Tinoste. There is a Liberal forco strong enougi fy, be of great and valuntie assistance in spreadIng Liberwhrinemides and carrying them to a successmixume in the surrounding constituencies. Wi: Reformors of the Province of Ontario must never forget what 18 m freçuently reminding you of from the phatem, that our forco amongst the people is relutitwly greater than the force which the: :- alled Redistribution Act permiss the focure to send to larliament on the hiberal side. Youmust not forget thiat under all the unfaverable circust tasces of the late clection, circumstanes which I shall not now particularize--ur political force conpared with that of our opponents was almost as 45 to at, as evidenced at the polls. Y̌on mont nit forgot that the pppular fore, great as it was then, is greater now. that wot many months plapsed after the seneral election before Ehat series of ervais becan which bave toen somdingeron in unwilling cars the truth of the viers which wo have been pressine apar the people, and that, at this time the senferts which formed the mineipal top of debate at the late election aro clismaed in altogether a different spirit, an that altogether a different temper rovails in the ranks of the adversary.

mpossible i, h THEM To Ament

any longer to ortain apparent facts as proving beyon! controversy the soundness of their polity. From a period within a few monthis after that election had taken place, ind up to the present day cerchinstabes have been accumulating, farts have been coming forward, everts love been precipitated upontare minds of the impartial and the inteligene in faven of the conclusion that a mistuko was vade in 1875 and repeated in 188\%. [houd cheers). I maintain that the ahmost even balance which existed beewen the political parties in Cntario in 1892 has now been reversed, and that the preponderance of sentiment os with the Liberal parts. I cannot forest, nor can I lose the present oppurtunity of restring to losses which wo have suganeri. Within the last fow days we have sustamed grievons losses. We have lost from the ranks our party, from onr fellowship as citizens, two men who were well linown to host of ron, and whose repatation hat shread far berme the wounds. of the city which they aillal theis home. We have lost Jamers Rethine anil WilKiam Jomiston, They were young Liberals, not so lone ayo, and early in their lives they assumed a prominent place in the cmmefls ant ranks of the liberal party: In 1871-'72, those criticel years in our Pravinciad histray, Tauss Bethune valiantly contested and won the Countr of Stormont, when it was of the last consequence to the libera! party that not a county should be lost. I, at that tima, engaged actively as I was in these Pro-
vincial politios, felt nuder great obligatinns to hum for the course be took, In the yent 1892, ten years aftersards, when the Liberal nazty, crippled by the Act to whicls I havo referred, and by an election precipitated upon it unexpectedly, was forced without proparation to enter into the contest, William Johnston volunteered for the fray. He offered to take charge of the organization of Ontario for the elcetion, ind he !abored, as few mon have labored, as few mon could labor, through that contest and the one which followed a year later. We owed to them gratitude for their services in the past, we owe to onrselves not to forget in any short time those services, but to keep, their memory green. (Loud aqfianse). They were both, besides beiag political friends, personal friencls of mine. They hotis happe ned to be stu dents in $m y$ office, and to have received their professional training there, and therefore 1 feel as one who has lost two of lis best persomal friends, and as at publie man share with you the feeling of sorrow for their loss. I trust that

## Thera Nume empvites

will remain enshrised in your hearts. and that the lesson they tangut us will not be forgotten. They took an active, honorable, noble, manly part in the political life of their comantry. They worked while it was today and, may we not say of them as it was said by a great man of political friends gono:-
> "From the eternal shailum rounding, All oar sint and starlight here, Voices of on lost ones sounding Bid us kne of heart and cheer. Throngh the wlienen, down the spaces. Frilling on the inwarl ear.

> Yet us draw their mantles o'er nan, Which have fallen in our way, Let us do the work before us, Cheerily, bravely, whilo wo may, Ere the long night-stience cometh, And with w it is not day."

(Loml and prolonged applause.) Now, Mr. Chairman, there are many tepics. each of which deserve a speech by itself, which I might address yon upon. There we many questions of a most pressing chazader. The constitution under which we live was settled, unfortunately, withont an appeal to the people, whont that threshing and sifting, and crughd diserssion in Farlimment, in the newspapers and at the polls. which $\therefore$ woth have undergone had that appeal been taken. It was settled very largely by a public man, who was avowedly of the opinion that it was a mistake, that the mion showld have been a legishative, not a federal union, ant it hears umistakable marks af that handiwonts. Ticreare defects and inconsistemies which would not have appeared had it been submitted to the test of public discussion. Yonhave allodé, Mr. Chairman, to one defectthe Senate. As Senate, we have-we, livinglin a demucratic country, in an age of democratic principles-a
legislative body not eleoted di-
rectiy or indirectiy by tho peoplo, but appointed by the First Minister and appointed for life. The Sonato was said to be, when first establisheu, the zerresentative of the sovereignty of the ProFinces, and the special protector of Provincial rights. And yet the members are appointed by the ferson against whom (on the theory of protection for the Provinces,) that protection would be needer. (Loud applause.) The First Minister of Canada, whoever he may be, controls the majority of the House of Commons and the legislation of the country. He infringes, we will say, upon Provincial rights, and the Senate is to guard aqainst that-and yet be appoints the watch dogs. (Loud laughter and applause). Now Isay we ought to have a Senate responsible to and elected by the people-(great applause)-small in numbers, and with proper checiss and safeguarls which have been devisod and which could easily be made to avoid those difficultigs which the great objec-tor-there is always an ebjector to every change-says will arise through having an elective Senato. There is another thing. The Provinces have the power to revise the Constitutions and to amend them in all respects except in that which concerns the link between them and the Federal body-the Lieut.Governor. But the Dominion of Canada has no rower to

RETISE HAN CONGTTUTION
at al. Neither the people nor the Parliament of Canada can amend tho Constitution, either with reference to tho Senate or anything else. I maintain that that is a great disadyantage. (Checrs.) We ought to have inberent in us the power to revise and amend our Constitution as from time to time may seem necessary, with proper safeguards, no drubt, for the rights of the Provinces, as they exist in the United States. At the present time, no zatter how much you might Khe to change the Constitution, you do not know that it would be done, for it is dependent upon the action of the Imperial Government and Parliament; and no matter how much you might desire not to change it, the change might be made becanse it derends apon the action of that Governm ant and Yarliament. Then there is anv ier thing, which grew, I believe, largely out of the circumstances to which I have referred respecting the adoption of the Constitution and that is the division of the judicial powers. We have the large body of our faws made by the Prorinces. These ought to be administrated by the Provinces which make them. The Courts are created by the Provinces, but the judges are nominated and paid by the Federal authority. It is an utterly illogical and absurd mode of managing the matter. (Cheers). I maintain that when fou hare a legisiative body which makes tho laws, ycu ought to have in
the armo Provinge the power to Rdminister those laves by meang of Provincial officers responsible to the peupite whone laws are to be administered. (Loud applause). Then we have the great question of Irovincial lights, which may be summed up, perhaps, in the question of the princinles upon which the disputed prower of disallowance should be exercised. To what ent is it that you have a Local Legislature, and that go through the turmoil of T. cal elections, to send representatives to that Legislature to mata laws if, in those natters which are confessdy witbin the jurisdiction and the exclusive jurisdiction of thot Lecrislature, and in those laws which do not effect the general interest of the Dominion, your legislation is not supreme? (Cheers). I say it would be better for you-becanse the truth is always better than a sham-to
have a legiglative urion at once
than to submit to a power of revision and disallowance of law upon those subjts which arecommitted exclusively to your as a Prosince, and your laws on which do not afect the general interests. (Applause.) The principies of responsible government are violated at their root by suck disallowance. If such disallowanco tock place in England of Canadian legislation we should be aflame, and should insist that we ought to govern our own affairs, and the same rule applies as between one of the Provinces and the Dominion as applies between the Dominion and the Empire, with reference to domestic legislation. Even though we have a writien Constiiution, a large part of the Constitution is unwritten, and depends upon the spirit in which it is interpreted and administered. That is the case, as you know, entirely, as to the British Constitution, which is unwriten. In this question of disallowance it is all important to understand the spirit in which you should expornd our Constitution. Sxpound it on the federal principle on which it is recited, that it is made, and you will reack one concinsion; expound it in the spirit of legislative union, and you will reach another. That is mota question for the lawyers, therefore it is not a question for the Courts, but it is a high and important and yet very plain question for the people at large to settle at the pells. (Loud cheering). look for example at the question of granting hicences for the sale of intoxicating liquers.- (loud and prolonged applause) -and you will find in that a proof of the propositions I have just been adrancing. lou will find an efiort made at Ottawa to construe your Constitution in a centralizing spirit which minimizes the attributes of the Local Legislature and magnifies the attributes of the Federal Legislature. But, when we find that ever since the Cometitution Has a Constitu:
tion for the seventeen or eighteen years in which we have been liring under it, the Local Leglisiatures acted upon the right to make laws upon a rmrticular topic, and the Central Legislature did not interfere with the exerciso of that right, can we ask more cogent or plainer proof of the understanding of the people as $t$. what the meaning of their Constitutic a was? I ask whether, except in case of urgent and sibsolute necesaity, a meaning which was expounded by 18 years' practice shonld he attempted to be violatal? Well, it was attempted to be violated, and upon the very doctrine to which I have referred-that of necessity. It was announced to us that thm Lawyers had moexd otr
that we were all wrong anl that our Constitution made it something wholly different from what we ahl, except a few of us, one being the late Minister of Justice of Canada, had supposed, and that now it was found that the jarisdiction was at Ottawa, and not with the Provisces, and that of necessity we must act. We disputed that propesition. We said that nothing of the kind had been discovered, and we said more-supposing yon are right, and the jurisdiction is with the Dominion, what we ought to do is io take stepre to make the Constitution agree with the cormmon sense of the prople as to what it was, instead of proooding to violate that commonsense. [loud applause.] We were told nothing of the kind shall be done, our amendments were expolled, and alaw was passed, based apon the idea that such a law was nececsary, taking the control of the liquor traflic, so far as could be done, into the hands of the Dominion. Then the next yoar the event which we anticipated came to pass, and the Judical Committee of the Privy Council decided that the local laws on this subject bad efficacy and vitality-were nut the waste paper we Tere told in the city of Toronto they were. (loud and prolonged cheering.) Then we pressed upon them to repeal their law, since the arymuent of necessity was shown to have no force. No, the second act of the drama was gone on with. We were told that, as a matter of policy, this law should be kept upon the statute bonk, if by some hook or by some crook, some cunning device of the lawyers, the jurisdiction could be held by the Dominion, tbough the Province had adequate jurisdiction. An arrangement was nado for a statement of a case io the Suprome Court to ask its decision upou the question, and it is only this norning yous have heard that the unamimous judgment of that tribunalin all the dispute? noints; the point with reference to the liquo frenses, except wholesale and vessel licensns which may be put to one sides the law of the Domininn is roid, (Tremendoue applause.) Now there, you see, we bave priumphed under the Constitution. But

I want to say to you, to-night, on the very night of that triumph, that the most important question is the other ono which I put to rou--the question of policy. In what spirit shall pe act at Ottawa? Shall we, after it has beon established, afer cichteen years experience of all the Local I regislatures, that a particular attribute is theirs, and when it has been decided by the Courtathat they have that atribate, stroyble to deprive them of it? ("No, no," and applause.") Shali we go ou litigating further if we can, by sume hook or crook, to find something thatshall take away that power from then and give it to the central autherity? I say, Nio. (Cheers.)

## the the thionists

in this Canarla of ours, with its sparse population, with its diverse nationalities and interests, are they who recomize the principle of local lawi and local powers in the widest and most generons spirit. (Loud applause.) I say that it is by recognizing the fact that the people in a riat area of territory can most effectually legislate for themselves in the great masses of questions, that we shall promote a real union in thoso things in which we have really a common interext. And, if there be one instance above another in which local excitement, local opinion is important in deciding the expediency andefficacy of law, it is mpon that question in which the attempt bad been made and will be persisted in, I fear,to take array the power of the Tocal Ifgislatures and legislate for the whole Dominion at Ottawa. (Loud and rirolonged applause.) I congratulaie the Liberals upon the series of triumphs which hare attecient their efforts to establish the federal principle and to eniorce federal views. But, I say to them their work is not done. so long as these persistent efforts to minimize that principle go on, and that we must set our faces as flints against these aggressions which hare been made in the past and will be persisted in in the future, and that our loing so is essential to the prosperity of this Canada we love. Sow, Mr. Chairman, there are other questions upon which I wonld say a few words, because I have to deal with some pressing questions which have been before us for some vears-are hefore us this night for decision. There is the question of

## combrecal treaties.

We haye believed for a long time that it was extremely important that we should enlarge our foreign markets. Woth parties have agreed upon this. The pariy in porter proclaimed that it was cue of their most earnest objects to enlarge oor foreign markets by making arrangements with divers countries. The have been in power for a good many years and inove not succeeded in taking the firat step as yet in the enlargement of the market. (Lanyter and applause.)

On the contrary, the marketa soen to be narrowing rather than enlarging. bave argued that an important step towards success would be the
IHEECT NEKOTIATTOM OF OUMMEHCTAL
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(Loud and prolonged suphlause). My opinion is that tiere aro business aflairs which are best managed by those who know most and best about the business. They involve discrissions with reference to advantages and disadvantages. The commercial and fiscal policy of Eugland differs widoly from ours ; their lives are different, thoir views are different. It is necessary only to look to their pablic despatches upon analogots questions to observe. We heve found it stated in Parliament that re just missed a most advantageons convention with France by twenty-four hours, because our ther Agent-General :th Paris had in rofer it to the Fordign Ufties in London. Before the answer came the happy moment was lost, sind the treaty wis lost too. That has been stated by the First Minister at Ottawa, yet he says it would be a great harm for Conada to havo power to negotiate treaties clirect. In that case it would not bare been harm. It has benir said to me, How do yon expect you will be able to enforce your teaties by war unless yon negotiate it through the medium of the British Anbassadur? Well, I never shouid propose to eninree a commercial treaty that way. (Applause). I am rather a man of peace myself, at any rate, but the idea of insistiny that, if a commercial convention is hroken, you will go to war about it, seems to me perfectly absurd. (Applause). It is said that we are toosmall to engage in cominercial treaties advantagcously. It leponds upon the advantages of a treaty whethor we will nergotiate it or not. It does not depend upon our size, which is yespectable, our numbers which we considerable, or our trade which is not to be despised, but apon the question whether the otber party thinks it is a good bargain to enter into or not. It soems to me the arguments against our position are entirely fallacions, and that common sense and experience alike indicate that a step in advance would be taken if Canada had the power to make her own commercial treaties, (Loud applause.) All these things, however, these constitutional questions, and those affecting the fuiture of our country, we shall settle wisely and well if we recognize the greatleading principles upoh which we should govern. I have said that for Canada one of these is the fuli and ample recognition of the Federal priuciple. Ard I saygenerally that for Canada, as for the Prorinces of Canada,

TIE DHISOCRTTE PMKCIPLB
is the seccad great principle. We aro not to ferget that we live in a democratic
age, and that we are un. of the most democratic prodncts of hate a re. (Applatase.) All orer the civiliver whitit, for the last twenty-five years, it has inien plain 'o the observe: that thene bas lowen approaching what is ealle! the reign of the common people. Everninco the series of revolutionary movennel:s which ayitated the continent of lurope a fow years ago it has boon tharked, evont in those oid-world montr hiew, butressed round as thoy veat by the great foudal luttressos and sumparts, anl overborne dis the pruphe nore by beavy taxation and enormons furces of armed men, as the great musucent that has beeng going on. And the min this happy land, these difficultien have noter surervened, you have had no the dal ramparts to batter down; mo standing army to over-awo you; mu prest ageregation of wealth or power in for havols; no inseditary avistocrace, no reason why hre the dernocratic mine gle should not be applied. (Loud aytlaise). It may go upon two lines, and upan this contin. ent both are being trict-the presidential system on the ctime side and the system of Dritish frectem, which, for ray part, I have approvel and adiocated as being in this conntry. It is being tried lere under cir:mintances more favorable than at the smenent exigt in England, yet in that whorld you see how strong the demonratic spirit is. Within a few days Ho great. Trine Minister of England wil have consummated that grand moasme under which the electorate of the inniled Kingdom will be increased from three millions to five millions. (Great "thause). Yon will see a representativo Government always, when there wat a yreat question to ve decided which muved the heart of the people democratic, bat still under ordinary circumstanco, aristocratic under the great power of the peers and the unhappy arrangement of the olectorato districts and the restricted franchise, Which subsequently berome phutocratic, and is to be now demotratic by the combined effect of enlatged franchise and satisfactory redistribution of electoral districts. (Lwud clecers.) Now the domocratic principles has the greatest possibilities for good, and whether we lelieve in it or shrink from it, we have to face it. If the experiment has got to be tried, then, that it may have a chance to succeed to the uttermast-and all good men wish it to-it is our duty, and especially is it the duty of the young and active, to see what are the dangers to be guarded against and what are the real principles to be eniorced. (Applanse.) The division of Wealch, not by communistic lam, but by those happy conditions which prevail here, the repto diation from the statute book, and from those statutes which we sluined in the hearts of the people of the law of primogsniture ; the distribution, as a rule, of a man's wealth equally mongst his chikren; the abolition-whieh I
 of labor on the nie hand, end of capital on the other. I have no hesitation in snying to you that there is one test which I have always socugt to apply when I have desired to consiler what the material condition of a cunntr" wos, an! that-test was-What is the material condition of the lowest class of bonest habor in that country? If I tind the lowest class so filrly jaid that there is enough tor the support of a man and of those derendent upon isim and some to lay by for a rainy day, I know that I need inquire no further-[applansy]hecause I know that allinhove that rade must be well off, unless you establioh a false scale of civiligation, which 1 am disposed to call luxury You mey in the effort to "keep up appearances,", as it is callad, have agreai deal of centeel miserv. [fenowed applonse.] I have not much sympathy with that. It is from the point of view of the standard I have just shown you that that I have abways considered the fiscai and tariff moley of this Adeninistration. I want to lay before yon a fers of the points un which I think that policy whory indefensible. It is a good time to do it, and I will give rou reasons from the adversary as well as from the frient. They are anxions that we should not discuses this matter now. They want us to discuss Imperial Federation, Independence, Annexation, Commercial Treaties, anything at all except the condition of the rountry ( (aughter and applanso). A iltie while ago they wanted us to talk of nothing else but the condition of the country (Renewed langleter) They They wanted to yut us down by pointing to what they said wus prosicarity. They said, There's no vso arguing why or how, are you not welt ofe? Would you not be fools to make a change? Todaz they wouk be only to glad to draw any red herring across the trail. (Applanse). They ask us then, Show us your policy. We have had always an aftirmative as weil as a negative policy-not only as to the tarif but also as to that other groat question, the railway and North-West administration. And to-day we are quite ready to continue that discuesion and to let it be judge by the light of events, whether the promises they zade, the profersions to which they gave utterance, the views they expressed ond to which they induced the people to assent, are soand, and whether they hare been veritied or falsified by events.

I IIAY台 OHJECTDD TO THE POLKCY,
first of all, in a leading point. that of specific duties. I objected to that from the very point of view I mentioned a while ago, the incidence of the tarifi opon the poor and the rich, and I have pointed out from many a platform What the efiect of a spocifie, as con-
that it compels the poor man oat of hia poverty to pay more than the rich man ont of hifs westh. Bet it is nott necensary for me to fo so this evening, because sometimes, fortunatoly for us, our Ministers visit England, and whathar the ocean has some effoct in making them forzet what they have said on this side of the water, I know not, but they give utterance to truths on which they are silent on this side. I am to-night prepared to establish way proposition with reference to the ingustice of mpecific duties, from the sustith of the Finaner Minister. (Hear, Hear). Ile was talking in England to somo merchants who were anxious from their point of vien, that he should establish specinic duties on cor own poods. This is what he saill:-" They had adopted strecific duties wherever it was passible; bat whore the re was a diffrence in the value of the article, ench as in the case of cotton, where there were the cheaper and the coarser kinds, anything where there was great differcice of value, if they charged go mach upon the pound, they were liable to 'he accusation that they were imposin." the same charges upon the por minn using a cheap article as npon the rich man who conld afford a dearer - iticle." The murder is out. (Great langhter and applause). That would he heresy on this side of the water, but it is logic, goonl sense and truth in Tngland. The Liberal party cbjected also to the incidence of the tariff upon prime necessaries of life. We objected, aurngest other thinge, to the coal and breachstuffs duties, because they were both iecessaries of life, and that one of ther, was in one sense directly, and flora also, for that matter, was a raw material of manufacture, that these tases bore heavily and cujustly ujon the poorer classes. I am not going to areque that out, for again I shall read you a short passuge which proves my case. It is the language of a Minister:--" If it had been the object to devise a tariff that would set one Province against an-other--that would create and frerpetuate sectional jealousies ani antiparhies, the Government had certainly sroceerled. Breadstuff's were to be taxed to please the people of Ontario, hat he trieved it was a great injustice to th earicalturista of Ontario to suppese that they were prepared to accept such a tariu, or that it would be protection to them. The market where their surphus product was diepseef of fixed the price of the whole, and duit could not be of any positive adrantafe so. fer as the price of their breadstuff was concerned. Their duty would be a great obstruction to trado all thropghout the couratry which shond, in accordanc, with the true principles of commerce le left as unrestricted as passible. Not only would the tariff be worthless to the people of Ontario, but it would te most burdensome to other sections-to
fiahernan and the ervat macses of pev plo of Nora Scotia and New Brunswick, as well an Puebec, for it is a well-knovia fact that large quautitien of breadstufle are yearly taken ints the latter Province from the Uniterl States. Them, as part of this great "National Policy," a 3uty was imposed on fureirg coal as a means of propitiating the prople of Nova Scotia. Nova \&cotia, New Brunswick, and Quebec, wore tased to satisfy. Ontario, Quebec, Ontario and New Brunswick were to be burthened. to pleuse a minority in Nova Scotia. Nothing coold be more calculated to creato dissonsion and to disturl) the harmonious Torkipg of Confederation than such legislation." Would you not have thought I was reading from the speech of some Iiberal. But it was really a speech of Sir David Macpherson. (Loud applanse and laughter). Büt it was made 2 good while ago. (Fienowed laughter). It was made when he. was an independent member
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ofhis independence than, and later ou he baid he sat in the Senate not as a party man lut to speak the views he conscientiously held. He spoke tha truth, as I- beliere, and be spoke his independent convictions as I presume, and they remain on record, fortuately for us and unfortunately for him. (Lawghter and applause.) He has changed his place since then-and he has changed his opinions. (Applause.) It is for you to judige whether you will pay most respect to the changed opinions of the eflice-holder or to the independent opinions of ihe Sonator. I agreed with aim when ne made the speech. I agree with him to-das, but I differ alto gether from the Minister of the Interior. We have objected, Mr. Chairman, to the rate of taxation. They told ns that thoy were going to give us a readjustment and not an increase of the tayif: They were simply going to adjust the burdens to the strength of the back. What has happened? In 1877 you userl, according to the returns, $\$ 96,300,000$ worth of goodis goods imported. But I have to take ofi a considerable numbor of millions, because the accounts were kept in a different way from what they are today, and certain imports are not to be counted. It tells against me, but we unust be fair. The net amomnt of goocls imported for use was alwout $\$ 91,600,000$. On that you paid duty in round numbers of 12 ! millions. That is between 18 and 14 per cent. for everything, free and dutiable, you used within the year. In 1881 you also used $\$ 01,600,000$ of goods-the same value. How manch duty lid you pay under this tariff, which was to be a readjustment, and not an increase. Eighteen and a laslfmillions; about 20 $1-5$ per cent., or about fifty, per cent. of an increase. (Applause.) For every
doliar you paid in 1877, on the same value of goods you paid $\$ 1,50$ in $18 \%$.

Mr. Jainos French-Those goods were specially on the sich.

Mr. Blake-And so neither you nor I tought any of them. (Laughter and applause). Wo objected to the gross amount of the iare also, because it wae said the gross amount was not to be increased, We had been told that it required aboat thirted inillions from customs, and fire millions from excise, to carry on the affrirs of the Dominion. Talse the three years 1876-7-8 under the Mackenzie administration The aggregate collections by customs were 3 35,150,000. In 1881-\%8 , under the present Government, the custome collections were $\$ 63,000.000$, an excess of almost twenty five millions, or nearly two-thirds more in the latter than in the former three years. (Cheers) We abjected to the surplus. It was one of the grounds of glorification with the Ministers for two or three years. They are not taking so much about it now, beeause there is not so much of it. (Laughter). It was mot so in old days. I have often pointed to a statement of Sir Charles Tupper, made. when Sir Richard Cartwright estimated a modest surplus of half a million dollars. Sir Charles rose, and $n$ indignant terms denounced him for moposing to take moro from the people than he expected to spend. It was of no use for Sir Richare? to say that he was not sure that he would get as much as he bad estimated, or that he would bo able to keep the expenditure down is low as he had estimated, and that half a million was not an unreason ble margin to ask on the large transactions of the Dominion. Sir Charies rephed that he shonld do as they had done, and as the Goversment in Tingland had done-lowor the taxation so that there shotald be no surplus. Such was the patriotic Sir Charles, when in opposition talking about a surplus, which I an somy to say, was not realized, of half a nillion. The Govermment of which he vas a member actually collected surpluses in $1851.42 \cdot \%$ of $\$ 17,400,000$. I arreed with Sir Charles in principle in 18\%, though he was pushing the principle tou far to refuse to allow the Finance Minister a margin of helf a million. When you find us agree it may be a reason for doubting us both. (Laughier and applause.) rint I am of the same opinion, While Sir Charies has changet. [Applause. 1 What did the First ALinister say during the elention of 1882? He said:-"I never knew a man grow too rich to ploase himself, and it is the same With a cuantry ; in fact, my belief about surplnses is the same as the old squarts about whiskey. She siaid a little too much was just ewough [1/aughter] How dows a man grow rich? By javing his money, not by spending it. Does the conntry grow rich by having a large quantity of money in the treasury taken
from your peckets and mita? [Applause.] It is a simple process to call upouyou to pay money into the treasury, and he zayn the fountry tan't grow too rich in that particular way. [Appiause.] [ say the more yon ynt into the treasury in that wav the pooter you make the country. [Great applause.] The national werith is that in.
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(Applanse). The collecting of a surphas is a great evil. It leads to extravagance. (Applause). It takes away means whelk in a country like ours we require to carry on the busicons of the conntry. (Cheers). One of ont great difficulties is the higli rate of interest, and when ihe Government takes away money at four per cent. which is required to carry on the kusiness of the country, and which has to be replaced by money barrowe? from the banks at seven or eight per cent.; an additional injury result, ly the time the consumer had paid for this surplus it cost about thirty millions. For, mark you, the amonnt in the treasury does not gauge the loss to tha perople. The money is paid to tho Customs by the importer. Ho must charge a profit on the whole cost of the goods, including the Ccstoms duties. The refaler pays that and he also charges his proft on the whole anount of the goods, :ncluding the amount tho wholesaler paid, and the profit upon it, so that by the time the goods reached the consumer the money given would be not less thar fifty per cent. nore, or thirty millious. ITad that amount of money been left mith the people, instead of being taken form them, thig rresent stringency won?d have been in a large measur? averted. (Applause). Weh, thes make a number of excuses when they talk about this matter. Sir Leonard Tilley, as you know, is great on calculation. [Laughter] I'll tell you how lie does it. He says it is unfair to compare the gross amount of taxation now with that under Mr. Mackenzie. I ann wil. ing to comp are them, and find how much it is per head. Mr. Mackenzie didn't tax you enough. I will add what I think he onght to lave taxed youl: Add a certain n nuer of millions, divided by the number of the population, and it is somuch per heav. I come to my time, and I calculate the population on the rate of increase that I think ought to bave taken place. Do not ask me to divide that into what I taxed you. Why?. Becsuse-the surplas. Imast deduct that. I did not spend that in carrying on the ordinary business of the country. Where did you spend it? Well, in the Pacific Railway. I wor't count that. אo he averages the nigures and divides and shows you that your taxation is really less than you paid undè Mr. Mackenzie. [Loud laughter.] I fancy thai some of us would be glad to settle the a motiniz of our debts in the
same way. Thenccounts would balauce most satisfactorily. I suspect "that yon paid the surplas as much as the rest of the taxation, and I suspect you are not going to get that money back. The money is paid, gone, spent, sunk, and I amgoing to charge Sir Leonard Tilley with it to the Day of Judgment. (Applause.) We objected io

THE NCHEASED SCAER OE EXPFANDITCRE.
There was a great cry of cconomy raised in 1877-78. You were told that Mr. Mackencie was a protligate administrator of your funds, that he flled the public Luildings at Ottawa with partizans who needed offices, but who were not needed in the public service, and that he spent other hundreds of thousands in erecting a dew building, which also he flled with useless clerks. You were told that now so muchas was spent by the Reform Govermment was needed to carry on the business of the country. There was no suggestion of increased expenditure. Sis Leonard Fillos said that he was opposed to the increase of tho taritf from 15 to $12 \frac{1}{2}$ pur cent. I shall give you a few jems of the expenditure of 1878 and 1883the foriner iney thought bad, the later trey through gool. The amomet spent on imsigigration in $15: 8$ was $\$ 180,000$, and in 1883, $\$ 437,000$. Then there were the sur eramuations. Jon know that besides paying our civil servants while they serve us, even after they stop serving us, we pay them annuities vind they live a long time. We pay them a good deal of money in that way. Mr. Mackenzie vaid $\$ 106,000$ in this way in 1878, and Sir Charles Tupper, at Halifax, when Mr. Joues was running in an election there, said:--"T am not going into demils. There is the amount, $\$ 100,000$. for superancuations. It bears corruption and wilful extravagance on the tace of it." If that is so, what aroount of corruption is there in $\$ 186,000$, for that is the amonent paid by the Conservative Government for superannuations in 1883. There is an estimate for about $\$ 200,000$ for the year just passed and for the current year, I suppose, it will increase still further. (Loud applause.)
 pherson, in which he devotes a good many pages to the extravaganco of the Mackenzie Government in comnection with the administration of justice. That expenditure was $\$ 564,000$. It was $\$ 015$,000 in 1883. Excise in 1578, $\$ 215,000$; in 1883, $\$ 279,000$. And now we come to the

DEPARTMENTAL SALARIES AND CONTINaEwcils,
in connection with which Mr. Mackenzie

Wan alleged to have conmitted go many crimes. The expenditure in 1878 was \$: 113,000 . That is a lare amount, I adwit. They reduced it to 856,000 in 1883. (Loudlanghter and applansa.) Take one instance. When Mr. Mackenzie was First Minister, he was Minivter of Public Works, which included tu Railway Department. When the prasent Governenent carne into office they divided the Department into Railnay and Public Works Departments. Under Mr. Mackenzie the expenditnre was \$90,800. The two Departments in 1843 cost $\$ 105$, 000 , althourh it is only fuir to say that $\$ 7,000$ of that was upon an account not included in Mr. Mackerge's time. The net cost, however, was a mint a hundred thousand dollars, or maty double that under Mr. Mankentic. Unier these circumstances, whil an wonder that the pablic expenditure, which they saill in 1578 would averige for the next five years if they got into ahice, iwentytwo millions, rose frons weaty-three and a half millions in $15 \%$ to twentygirht and thre-foumb mintinn in 1883. I Delievo, although, of eonnse, we have not the Public Accounts yei, that lt was about thiry millions in the year jusit passed. In fact, you can harrk the trail of the Tory Governments $1 y$ this item. From 1868 to 1874 the expenditure in creased ten millions ; from 1874 to 1878 the rate of expenditure was at :bout in standstill. From 187s to 1851 there is an increase of about seven millions. I do not say that all that expenditure comes out of your pocketg, herause part of it is balanced by reseipts. I do not say that all the increasea are wrong. I say now, as I said when in Governanent, that in a growing country like onra there must be increasus. But. admitting all that, huw does it justify those men, who condemned the $\$ 200,000$ increase of Mr. Mackenzie, and said that if they were placed in power they wonld do betier. When I charged them with extravagance, what was their reply? They said the difference between us and you is that we've got the money. allowed them to tax yon. They did it And now they give as meason for not carrying out their promises that they have taken this money from you. This question of expenditure and taxation is a serious one. I want to bring it home to you. Unless the people will appreciate the direct interest it is to each of them it will not assume the importance which it shoula assume. Take the statistics which are furnished to the Cntario Bureat of Statistics by the labor organizations of this city. for last year. Returns have been obtained from 291 mechanics with families, nunberirg in all 1,089 souls.

HESE WERC PICRED MEN.
First, they belong to the trade orgagizations, which comprise, as i rule, I believe, the best of twe workingmen. (Ap plause). In the second place, they kept
accounts, and the korkingman who keeps account aud knows what the wages are from year to year and how live money is spent, shows his superiority over the average citizen. (Applanse). If the workingmen would keep a little pass book in which should be carefully noted what he epends and what he seceives, it would solve for them many important problems concerning which the politicians now persuade them to wrong views. The results as shown by the reports of these 291 picked men were as follows:-
A verage earnings
. 1531
Extra eirnings...
Total.
Average hiving oxponsex.
$\qquad$ 10 Om
S475 17 45175

Average saving. \$ 10 绱
So that the saving were about ennal to the extra carnings of the wife or one of the children. The regular wages of the head of the house were absorbed as living expenses for the year. We usually calculato five to a family in Canada but in these cases tho dependents were not up to the average. These families averaged only about ${ }^{3}$ members. So, having regarded to that fact, and having regard to the peculiar character of the men, there could be no doubt. that in other cases where the families were larger and the men not of the same degree of carefulness all that was earned even in that year was spent. It was clear I regret to say eren in 1883 , as a rule, it was all the mechanics could do to live. The question with the mechanic always is, "What wages can I get for my labor; what can I exchange my labor for? It is not a more question of how much inoney can I get. but what food, clothing, shelter, education for my children, and other necessaries can I get for the labor of my hands?" It is clear that in $188 \%$, which the Conservatives say was a prosperous year, it was not prosperous for the mechanic. Steady employment was what was wanted. The average number of days in wiaich these picked men worked was 24.4. If you allow for Sundays and holidays there were 60 lost days. The average allowance made by English authorities for sickness is 9 days in the year, and assuming that even in our healthier climate, and under our more favourable conditions, the average is the same, there are still over fifty lost days. So there was an enormous loss for want of employment. How is it this year? Our eity, as you know, is excertionally prosperous. Various circumstances have combined to lead to that gratifying result, , I believe that
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more than alnost any other city on the continent for the last three yoars, certainly far more than any other city in the Dominion; and we are considering the operation of the tariff in ite practical
resnits under exceptionaliy fevorable circumstances for those wha allege that it produces prosperity. Times are harder this rear. Look at this city, at Mamiltron, Tondon, Mindas, Ottawa. Tabe last year, again, rememboriug what I have told you. The wases of a picked mechanic are \$46. What is deducted from those wages as Dominion laxation? The revenie from exstoms and excise was twenty-rine and a half millions. If yot allow five to a family, you will find the taxation.was'sjü per head. Eut, as I have pointed ont, that is what went into the Treasnry, and what we actually paid was ahout 50 per cent. nore, or about Eso per head. What propention will this 850 bear to the whole in orne of $\$ 16 \overline{3}$. It is ten times the saving of the picked man. It is mone than a tithe of the whole income of E46. It is half as much again as the rule in the old days of which they have been telling yon This is the taxation, which thege gentlemen have been telling you, ard Wbich some of yon beliere: youldid not feel at all. (Applause.) Nuw, I say a tax bears more hovily on the poor than on the rich. (Loud apphane.) The rich may burn tifty tons of cosl and pay a tax of $\$ 25$, and not foel it. The poor nan burns fire or six tons and pays a tax of $\$ 250$ or 3 , and what is it? it means a third of the areage savings of these picked men. I ask those who found they could not make both ends meets I ask those picked men who could only save a trifle, if they would not have oeen better off if the loul hat been lightened. as it might have been lightened, and which was not lightered, in order that a surplus might be accumulated. (Loud applause.) They tell us that they gave prosperity to all classes, farmer, manufactarer, mechanic, and the Finance Minister declared that that prosperity wonld last for ten years. He advised the neom ple of this country to clap on all sail for ten years of prosperity, to speculate, buy, sell, to berierc him that his fiscal policy and the great arrangements he had made assured a clear commercial sky for ton years. We took his advice. [Laughter.] We specalated, imported, manufactured.
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in the North-West. [Lond laughter.] They brought on ile election at the height of the season of apparent prosperity which, by these and other-means, they had created. Only last year, when I twitted the Finance Minister with this statement of his about ten years of pros. perity, he said, "I said so, it's quito true, and I say still, that if our merchants won't import too much, and won't be too anxious to sell, we will have beven years more of prosperity" We were in adversity oren then. Ho said
we were prosperons, hovever, and that we would have sevon years mone of it. Perbaps he incant that we rould have seven years wore of the sume kind of prosperity we are having now. But that is not the kind that waa promised. [Applause and laughter.] They did creato a fictitious prosperity in some lines of business. They gave the manufacturers in some lines exorbjtant profits, as, for' instance, in cotton and sugar. We cold them they nould induce an excess of capital to seek these branches, that there would be a time of inflation, operatives would be collected in centres, and that after a brief period the market would be glutted, the milis would be idle, and loss wonid resnlt to the capitalists, and lack of work to the operatives. That is exactly what has lappened. Lerok at the condition of the cotton inuustry for the last two year. Anu it was only yesterday we leamed that arrangements had been inade to limit the production of the mills and 80 adrance prices, in fact to form a cotton monopoly in order ts make up their lossee and to extricate themselves from the tronile in which they have been plunged. The Government declared in 1882, when they cane before you for reelection that the boom which then prerailed was only the beginning of what was to be. They said that what was wanted was, and they came before the people earlier than was actually necossary to make sure of it, that the good tinues should continue. They wanted the pepple's eudorsation of the prlicy whieh had been adopted to secure prosperity for all tine. They said that millions of dollars were
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and that if that verdict was farorable to the peliny unexampled increases would take pace in the money invested in the anines and other industries of the country. In this city the Tirst Minister said :-
"Itell you this, and thin ts not a matter of sapposition but of certainty and Enowiedge on my part, that chere sre millions of dollars watin to be hurested in Canada millions in Englend and large sums in the United Atates waiting to the invested in every kind of idustry, in mines and manufacturec of every kind : All that is wanted by capitalists in Canada, England and the United states, and in France and Germany, is to learn rhether this country is of the fixed constant opinton that the N. P. siall be continued as settied in TS."

Where aro the millions? (Laughter.( Now, it seems pretty plain that the milfions never came. They sail they wond give steniy employment to the labor at fair wages. Our market is sinall and soon stoched. As I pointed ont to you a while gaco, instead of opening new markets and mstead of the policy being such as to enable you to open new markets those martets are being closed rather than opened. The export of manufactured goods for the last throe yoars is
only sbout three-fiftbs of what it was for tho three previous rears I have been citing as examples. Tisey boested that they gave such temporary sunshine as there was, that it was due to them and anditheir little Act of Jarliament that vou wers rich and happy as yous were. There was no use to pornt in the gronditicir of free trude England or to that of the United States, where there had been no change in the tariff. There was zo use in discnsaing the causes of action and reaction. I will give, as I have given in the rural parts, a figure or two which will show you upon what the properity of the towns depends-not of the wrins alone, not of the country alone, bnt of both town and country. The farming capital of the Province of Ontario, including aboat one hundred millions for current capital is one thousand and fifty millions or more. The manufacturing capital is about eighty-one or eighty-two millions. Of this a large proportion is in the sam mills, bakerie flour mills, and other industries which the N. P. does not hely, howorer much it may hurt them. There remain about fifty milions about which there may be a dispute as to whether it hurts or helps. Now, doz you beliere that it is the prosperity of the fifty millions that is going to holp the $1,050,000,000$, or will it be the rererse ? Tho larger figuro rules, and what we depend upon who live in the towns-I am a city man myself, and interested in everything that advances the welfare of the city-in the prosperity of the conntry parts which buy our gools. When the farmer has a good crop and good prices for it, we profit by it as well as he. (Applause.) He buys the zooris that we import or manufacture; he makes the wheels of trade revolve, and you will notice that the Conservatives themselves in their trade articles, and in their leading articles, too,
based their hopis of nood times,
upon the crops. They were quite right: the crop was the important thing. i wish to show by another set of figures where the prosperity came from. Of the products of the land, in which I include forest land, we exported in 1876-i-s products to the value of $\$ 158,500,000$. In 1881-2-3 we exported $\$ 2 l 1,000,000$, ar excess of about $\$ 53,000,000$. That is, in the latter period we got -feur years' profits in three years of time. That is, enough to made a country prosperous. In the perisad of dopression which the Conservatives are so fond of saying was the werst in the country's history the people were economising and investing their sevings only in the safest possibte way, With those savings and with the great addition to our wealth, of which I have told you, we ought to have prosperity. They said we should have it. But they have hindered it instead of bringing it about: It is the undue inflation, the fiscal bur-
dens, the onorminus expenditures which these men are rouponsible for which hare curtailed the sasson of prosperity, and have brought aiont ancthor period of stringency Thase men deny it. Sir John Blacdonatd. ise other day, in Eugland, you know, where theso inconventent tbings ame said, issued $\approx$ wholeselo invitation io the Eigglish poople u.vこのa out and stay with us. (Laugiter.) He aaid that in Canada any industrious man could obtain a good day"s wages for a good day's work. Contrast that statemeut with what comes to us in unquestioned reports, Look at the report of the labor organization of Hamilton of last winter:-
"Novesuber-Opened with in decreasing demand for lator in alinost every branch of productlye industry. During the month reductions of the working force wero very genaral, greatly increasing the surplus of labor. General secestion from wrik on all outdoor branches of labor tonk plsce toward the clowe of this morith. Gone slight reductions in thmo and rate of wages were also made and acuepted. The month ciosed with a very poor ontlook for the wluter. Signs of sill greater depression were especially evident in the panicky recling among workingmen, and their determination to cartall expenditure, thus hastening deprescion by an almost entire falling off in the demand for those manuiactures now esse utial to support life, and the consequent discharge of persons engaged in the manufacturo of such goods. This month may be summed up as a poor one for labor, for, although wages were much the same as lust month, work was not so stendy, and the number out of employment was largely increased.
"December-Opened with a sith giommier outlook for lintorers There were conelant rumors of intended reductions of force, pay, and time, many of which proved correct Among others the iron monlders, one of the strongest and best orcanized lwdies of wageForkers, were cut 20 per cent., and owing to the depressed condtion of labor, they dld not resist. Thisws followed by a wholesale reduction in the force eagaged in tho iron industry in general, and the stove industry in particular, amonnting in the aggregite to kometining near 45 or 50 per cent. of the entire force employed during goat times. The average wage of cotton operations were reduced from $\$ 10$ to $\$ 7$ per week, which was again followed by 9.1 ulmost universal shatdown or suspension of operations for an indefinite perlod-on the Saturday limmediately before Christmas-with the exceptlon of the boot and shoe, cotton, and glass intustries. These continucd fhirly active, but otherwise the mont closed wlith no demand, whaterer, for labor if any kind. On the whole the wonth muet ho summed un as a very poor and discouraging one for labor. Many horest, hand-working people were compelled to apply for rellef, auch many letters appeared in the newspapers appealing to the Sity Council to untartake relief worke, anci the month may he set down as one of the worst months for labor, if not the worst, for many years."

## THE TORONTO REPORT:

Take our own city What says the report of the Combined. Charities for last winter? That report is signed by Mayor Boswell, the chairman. [Applause and lau'hter.] De says:-
The loige number of newly arrived and destitute ex igrants seeking relief, naturally drew the aticnimn of the Conference to the aystem prevailing of giving indiserim!nately axislsted passayes at all seasous of the year, and thus fooding Toronto with pauperism throughon! the long winter senson. A
memarlal Fias pregented to both ibe [mo selnlon and tro Ontarlo Goveruraente, ask ing that the practice might be dimondinued, atany rate botwean the montins of A"guxis and April in each year. Whether any further notics of the eominunieavion will be taken by those nuthorities ramsins to be eck."
Now hear the report of Mr. Fell, Secretary of the Combined Charities, as to : He atnte of affairs this year. He says thai the cascs for relief this winter were 1.633, as against Yis in to the same time last year. He adds: "There arm -nrire mer olit of employment in Toronto now than dia:ing any previous year of my residence. St. George's Socioty assisted to relieve 1,400 persons, as ayairst 700 up to 1st December, lask year." Take anothr lest-that number of vargrants arrested, many of whom came to the pulice magistrate, and want to go gaol because they can't get any other shelter. In 1882 there were 275 ; in 1883 the number increased to 389 , and in 1884 to 439 . Take the waifm -persons who go to the police station for a night's lodging, and are glad to sleep on the floor of a cell because they can't get better accommorlation. In 1882 there were 879 ; in $158^{\circ}$ there were 2,016 , and in 1884 the number had frown to 4,035 . I am glad to say that, for the last three months of last year, it seems to have been only about the same, or perhaps a little better, than for the last three months of the year before. Wo know that this does not disclose the worst cases. We know that the worst and most heart-breaking cases are those that do not meet the pmblic eye. Now, I say that the men who complain because these facts are disciosed, are not patriots. (Loud applause.) They bay we are unpatriotic because we want to ascertain the

EXACY CNDTRON OE THE COUNTE
to see whether their policy is successful or not, and to see whether their promises have or have not been faltilled. What did they do in 18.8? On overy platform in the country they told of men who had bcen brought upon the country. No Liberal complained of anything that they said which was true. That is all right. The people wre entitled to know the facts. I gice you the facts and my authority for them, and I say that these facts, painful as they are, demonstrate that the men who misled you into the belief that by Acts of Parliament and by taxation, they could secure you prosperity, have falled, and that a condition of affairs exists which is dewerting of the attentive consideration of the people at large and which ought to be remedied at the earliest possiblo moment. (Loud applause.) I said they told you they would give you steady omploynient at good wages last sessiou when we disclissed the facts of the case, they had to some extent to withdraw from their statements. An important mumission wos made by the Finance Minister He said:-
"A yes.r oriwh ago as Iknow atd other honarabie mombers know perfecty well as tbe prices mid fir labor ia' the Uulted s:ates mulist renulate the prico or labor in Conad, veente if the waggaro not about erial the operatives whll seon pase acrioss the line, our thmuracturers found it nocassary io Increase the weges here when an covance iook ;hite tn the Unlted Btites, in order to keept thel men; hut nove that there is a rednethentimine Uuiled states, owing to rectured dultes, our manimacturers, ta order aucessitity in compere, have aloo, to reduce tho prices in thetrprotucts, and ha some casta, allet, the wages of thetr operiआऔर
There is an whansion that notwithstanding ineir Acts of Parliament and their tarifl arrangements the labor in the big markels of the United States regulates the market here. If a Liberal had said that-Unpatrictic man! (laughtef) you are hinting to the mechanies aud laborers of Cunada to go across the line and better themsolves. Of course a good 'Jory like Sir Leonard coull not do such a thing-at asy rate, it is not wicked when he does it. (Loud laughter and applause). Now, as a matter of fact no matter how we cleak or disgnise

THD SSETEM OF ASSISTED PASSACIFS
as worked, has negravated the evil. The
minister of Immigration may tell you that he has issued his rule for this or for that. I do not know what those rules are, but it is clear that the departinent uses the steamehip agents as its agents and these men are paid a commission on the mumber they send out. Thelieve that almost anybody who is not actually blind or crippled, who is apparently able-bodied, can get out to Canada on assisted passage. The immigration you want is of a frecial kind. You want the tenant farmer or the freehold farmer. You want, to a certain extent, the immigration of agricultural laborers. You may want an immigrathe of domestic servants, if yon can keep himen. There is a great want of householi servints in the country, but immigration will not supply that. The women corse fron this comitry to the towns, and from the iowns of Canada they go to the larger towns in the United states. The immigration nest ve so regulated that it shall not tend io asgravate the distress which now exists through theaction of the Govomment. I surpose I shonid not be so apt to bes called umpatriotic if I said with Sir John Macdonald that every intustrious man can get in Canada a geol day's wages for ar good day's work. I can't say that and tell the truth, because I know the contrary. Every man knows that. The cases of the workingmen to which I trave referred prove it. How different was the course of Mr. Mackenzie. He was never afraid to state the truth. (Loud cheers.) He was not afraid to let people know when it was not expedient that they should come to Canada. On 12th July, 1875 , Mr. Mackenzie being then in Fngland, our Agent-General, Mr. Jenkins, wrote a let-
ter to the Jondon Tima, in which he said:-
"Eir,-W:ll you perink me to make. the columpe of the "Irmer," an inumation whlebmay serve to prevent a great deal of Whappointment and trouble. The arlvices, whet 1 have from Canada, both privately and In the prass, as well as from gentiemen who have lately arriwadrom there showthat In the present wtate of commerco and trade in the lomintou, und especlally at so late a period of the equigration season, it is not advjsatble to encourage the emigration from thif eountry of brifzans, racekinites. clerks, and general haporers to Canala. Thete uersons, arrivtag in the midile of Jaly or in the begimfing of Augist will find at depresser! state of trade atad a lack of general moploynent, and unless they have ex* tritordir' iry energy and self-relianee, or suffictont means to kustaln themselves for if consideralie time, they may findt?emselven iorcedto ir it Canmalan winter with no prospect on mplayment. To encourfye congration ol such persons in such circuma Gances would be. nlwiost eriminal, thal equally drastrous to the emprants them selves, ind to the interests of Canadu."
And so on. Now, there he gave the state of the case as it was. (Loud applause). Me intinatod a hone that. he would be ahle to advise inmigration at a later time. He got abused for it. Here is Sir David Macplerson's pampilet, and in it he pitches into Mr. Mackenzie because he allowed that letter to be written. "Conld anything be more ill-advised?" he asks, "or exhibit greater ignorance of the field which Canada ofters for immigrants? This country is specially adapted, for workingmen with large families." He was denouncing Mr. Mackenzie for suggesting that the classes of men namel should not come io Canada. That was the

## HONEET, TENE STATE OF THE CASE

theu. It is the honest, true state of the case with reference to the mecbanic and general laborer today, and it is time that the truth sbonld be told. (Arphause). It is better to tell the people there is no room for them, when there really is none, than to have them brought over here only to be disappointed, to leave the coruntry as soon as they can. and to write letters concorning us, which will do ns more harm by far than the Main, straightforward truth told by ourselvea can do. (Great applause). Even the case of the agricultural labourer is changed by the introduction of improved machinery, notibly of the self-tinder. A large portion of the labour formerly recquired is required no longer. and, this should enyphasize more than ever the view that it is not advisable to jay others to como into the conntry to share with our own workingmen the labour whil is seanty enough already. And will not the members of the Young Men's Liberal Clab elo good work in impressing these facts upon the masses of the people. These are facts which require to be brought home to men's minds. - If these facts were made familiar to the people it could not be but that a general protest would be made. We have a right to be proud of onr legislative record with
reference to the workingman. We: ave placed him in at lair position in relation to his eroployer and the law. We did so in advance of the E.uglish legislation. We recognized the fate that it was essential in the interests of labour in i enentroversies with canital that it should be permitted to usinize, and we removel the disabilitieds ach then existed inthe way of organizat nis and combinations. Strikes are workingtaan But sometinu zhizes them as such. ceids. To have we tight to act es a uhited body labor in these I should like this Club de: problems als legislative in $t 1$ of co-operation duction is pr you see to wh Exgland It : tail societies, enormous wh suppiles the ro actions run oly theirgreat exp lations of the 3 world will bo Familiarity o tion and thers the utmost in Candaian Libe have to do w tiongi laws, ant the bours of hab ren. And in thing to the : axd one to the workingman in be satistied wit gress in these that made in eighty years the work of improving the entition of the workingman in these regards has been going on. For teichty years law after law has been passed as experience demonstrated its umessity. On the cuntinent of Europe, uss, great progress has been made in at in years, \& hope we shall doas welt even as they are doing ia Eagland. If should be ashamed io be behind theta. We onght to be ashamed of surh things as atatement made in Farliembent, which, when it was made, catum me to bo a naned that such a thine showid be said in Cho mada. A gentleman on the Reform side was pointing out a diminution in the school attenbarce in Nova Sotia, and Sias anstrered by a gentleman on the other side. "Bon't you know the resson of timet? The

CHUDREX AKN DUSX N TIKE FACOORISS
and can't go to sehorb," [1pplause.] Is that the sort of work we want done in this Canada of ours? Is that the way we expect to elevate the people to true
demooratic principles? The young must be protected. [Cheers.] They must have time to grow etrong and to be educated. England has passed throagh this stage, and, in the interest of the people, will not allow those thinks to be done of which this gentleman boasted. There are important questions Leyond the pale of 1aw. One is the inculation of praciples of economy and frugality and the spread of the system of keeping accounts aniong working men. I have before made is practical suggertion, which I was to repeat. I mantain that in our common schools we ought to teach the children the great masses of whomi are the sons and daughters of farmers, mechanics, and labourers a simple nethex of keeping the daily accounts of the honsehold or farm. It would be better than roing on into advanced rules of arithmetic, which are never used aftor the person leaves school. (Applaase). Exonomy and temperance are important questions, which are in yotr own hands. What l want to see is that mechanics and work ingmen should save something-shonld feel it their duty to save. Once the thing is begun, it is wonderful how you get on. To feel that he has something earned will make the mechanic an independent man, which he is not. For all this I do not except those things which are of a legislative character. There is much in a social and moral question which is beyond the legislative pale, but it is unquestionable that if you can mould your legislation in a more useful and benefinial direction, the 'bigher the social and moral tone. It is not free trade nor is it protection, it is not competition nor is it the absence of it, it is not laws in our present state that will do, but the application to our daily lives of the prineiples of the Gospel is that which we ought to soek in making a country. [Lrnd applases.] It is the work of slow degrees. I may be called Utopian, but I believe that work is progressing, and will progress. It is our daty to set our fanes toward it. We shall not reach high unless we aim high. Thette: aim higher than you expect to reach. With these considerationa governing us as a people, legishation impositible and uselsss beretofore will become possibie, and you will find sotial and moral considerations inextricably intertwine thenselves with those of lerislation.

It is too late to diseuss thin other topie of the Canadian Pacific Railway, and I shall not trespass upon your patience. (Go on, go cn.) I should rejoice it I fould lay my hasion my heart and say I was mistalen, and the policy of the Government would not bring about he results I thought it would. I believe the expenditure, the enormous rapidity with which the work has been put through,
the effect upon the North-west, the eflect by reflex action noon the rest of Cianad., could not be considered too serious or grave, and that an attempt is now being made to creave a sort of boom, in the expectation that you will get your railway finished in the course of a few monthe, and that a new era of prosperity is about to set in. I wish I could tell you that I think there will be that era of prosperity. I am content that what I said shoculd bo recorded, that it shonld be read, and ttrat in the course of a few short months, and ziter a year's experience of the road in actual use, you should judge whether I am right or rwong in advising what 1 did I feel that the condition of the country is serious. I do not feel that we have canse for despair: I hope never to despair of my country. But we have cause for serious narm We ought to endeavor to Rwake our country from its lethargy, to show how far performance has come from promise, how woefully it has follen sicrt We ought to show the real situation, exaggerating nothing believing that onr conntry will see that a nolicy of reduced iaxation, of readjusted taxation, of economy in administration, of recognition of the Federal principle, ank of Provincial rights; saving what romains to bo saved, is the policy which ought to be adopted. Sha will see that the men who now govern her hane proved unsafe guides, have proyed unjust stewards, and that she Fill be prepared to remove from them the power which ibey faye abused and to flplace it in the hands of men who
hare shaw themselves, when they had it, faithinal to their trust, gut declining, under dificult circumstances, to retain power by gulling the reople. (Iond applause.) Who never said they would achieve for the country prosperity by the fiscal policy, and declined to ondeavor to instruct the people in the doctrine that they could grow rich by taxation, whose thought rather was how to confine the limits of the Government within its proper scope, and told the perme that apon your libor, intellizently, literally, inuastriously and freely applied, you must depend for your wealth and prosperity. (Cbeers'). I trust that this will be the verdict of the country when it shall te called tupon, (Cheers.) I trust the young Men's Liheral Club hare seen this night, I hope, notwithstanding its ieeble advocacy, that their cause is goot, that the issues are momentous; the propositions that they are to advocate are such as they can zuaintain on any platorm that they are propositions in the inturest of this country; not the nropositions of charlatans, who pretend to be able to heal by quack remedios, bat of men who point to the true sources of the evil and gire the true remedy, which lies with the people. And so may I not exhort. them:-
"Press on ! the triumphstall be ven, Of cominon rishts and equal laws, the gloriousareain of Harrington, And sidnev's grand old canse.

Blessing the cotter aid the Crowa. sweetening worn labors biter cep, And pheking not the highest curyi, Lifting the lowext up."


