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The tribes of the Dakota stock. under various desiguations-Osages, Quappas, Kansas, Otoes, Omahas, Minitarees (or Hidatsas), Iowas, Mandans, Sioux (or Dakotas proper) and Assiniboins, have always been regarded as a people of the western prairies, whose proper home was the vast region lying west of the Mississippi, and stretching from the Arkansas River on the south to the Saskatelawnn on the north. A single tribe, the Winnebagoes, who dwelt east of the Mississippi, near the western shore of Lake Michigan, were deemed to be intruders into the territory of the Algonkin nations. The fitet, which has been recently ascertained, that several tribes speaking languages of the Dakota stock were found by the earliest explorers occupying the country east of the Alleghenies, along a line extending through the southern part of Virginia and the northern portion of North Carolina, nearly to the Atlantic ocean, has naturally awakened much interest. This interest will be heightened if it shall appear that not only must our ethnographical maps of North Anerica be modified, but that a new element has been introduced into the theory of Indian migrations. Careful researehes seem to show that while the language of these eastern tribes is closely allied to that of the western Dakotas, it bears evidence of being older in form. If this conclusion shall be verified, the supposition, which at first was natural, that these eastern tribes were merely offshoots of the Dakota stock, must be deemed at least improbable. The course of migration may be found to have followed the contrary direction, and the western Dakotas, like the western Algonkins, may find their parent stock in the east. As a means of solving this interesting problem, the study of the history and language of a tribe now virtually extinct assumes a pectiar scientific value. Philologists will notice, also, that in this study there is presented to them a remarkable instance of an inflected language closely allied in its vocabu-
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lary and in miny of its forms to dialects which are mainly agglutinative in their structure, and bear but slight traces of inflection.
In the year 1671 an exploring party under Captain Batt, leaving " the Apomatock Town," on the James River, penetrated into the mountains of Western Virginia, nt a distance, by the route they traveled, of two hundred and fifty miles from their starting point. At this point they found " the Tolera Town in a very rich swamp between a breach [branch] and the main river of the Roanoke, circled about by mountains."* There are many errata in the printed narrative, and the circumstances leave no doubt that "Toler." should be "Totera." On their way to this town the party had passed the S.pong [Sapony] town, which, according to the journal, was nbout one hundred and fify miles west of the Apomatock Town, and about a hundred miles east of the "Tolerus." A few years later we shall find these tribes in closer vicinity and connection.

At this perlod the Five Nations were at the height of their power, and in the full flush of that career of conquest which extended their empire from the Georgian Bay on the north to the Roanoke River on the south. They had destroyed the Hurons and the Eries, had crushed the Andastes (or Conestoga Indians), had reduced the Delawares to subjection, and were now brought into direct collision with the tribes of Virginia and the Carolinas. The Toteras (whom we shall henceforth know as the Tuteloes) began to feel thelr power. In 1633 the French missionaries had occasion to record a projected expedition of the Senecas against a people designated in the printed letter the "Tolere,"-the same misprint occurring once more in the same publication. $\dagger$ The traditions of the Tuteloes record long continued and destructive wars waged against them and their allies by the Iroquois, and more especially by the two western nations, the Cayngns and Senecas. To escape the incursions of their numerous and relentless enemies, they retreated further to the south and cast. Here they came under the observation of a skilled explorer, John Lawson, the Sur-veyor-General of South Carolina. In 170t, Lawson traveled from Charleston, S. C., to Pamlico sound. In this journey he left the sea-const at the mouth of the Santee river, and pursued a northward course into the hilly country, whence he turned eastward to Pamlico. At the Sapona river, which was the west branch of the Cape Fear or Clarendon river, he came to the Sapona town, where he was well received. $\ddagger$ He there heard of the Toteros as "a neighboring nation" in the "western mountains." "At that time," he adds, "these Toteros, saponas, and the Keyawees, three small nations, were going to live together, by whlch they thought they should strengthen themselves and become formidable to their enemies."

[^0]They were then at war with the powerful and dreaded Senecas-whom Lawson styles Sinnagers. While he was at the Sapona town, some of the Toteras warriors came to visit their allies. Lawson was struck with their appearance. He describes them, in his quaint idiom, as "tall, likely men, having great plenty of buffaloes, elks and bears, with every sort of deer, amougst them, which strong food makes large, robust bodies." In another place he adds: "These five nations of the Toteros, Saponas, Keiauwees, Aconechos and Schoicories are lately come amongst us, and may contain in all about 750 men , women and children."* It is known that the Toteroes (or Tuteloes) and Stponas understood each other's speech, and it is highly probable that all the five tribes belonged to the same stock. They had doubtless fled together from southwestern Virginia before their Iroquois invaders. The position in which they had taken refuge might well have seemed to them safe, as it placed between them and their enemies the strong and warlike Tuscarora nation, which numbered then, according to Lawson's estimate, twelve hundred warriors, elustered in fifteen towns, stretching along the Neuse and Tar rivers. Yet, even behind this living rampart, the feeble confederates were not secure. Lawson was shown, near the Sapona town, the graves of seven Indians who had been lately killed by the "Sinnegars or Jennitos"-names by which Gallatin understands the Senecas and Oneidas, though as regards the latter identification there may be some question.
The noteworthy fact mentioned by Lawson, that buflaloes were found in "great plenty" in the hilly country on the head waters of the Cape Fear river, may be thought to afford a clue to the causes which account for the appearance of tribes of Dakota lineage east of the Alleghenies. The Dakotas are peculiarly a hunting race, and the buffalo is their favorite game. The fact that the Big Sandy river, which flows westward from the Alleghenies to the Ohio, and whose head waters approach those of the Cape Fear river, was anciently known as the Totteroy river, has been supposed to afford an indication that the progress of the Toteros or Tutelos, and perhaps of the buffaloes which they hunted, may be traced along its course from the Ohio valley eastward. There are evidences which seem to show that this valley was at one time the residence, or at least the hunting.ground, of tribes of the Dakota stock. Gravier (in 1700) afflrms that the Ohio river was called by the Illinois and the Miamis the Akansea river, because the Akanseas formerly dwelt along it. $\dagger$ The Akanseas were identical with the Quappas, and have at a later day glven their name to the river and State of Arkansas. Catlin found reason for believing

[^1]that the Mandans, another tribe of the Southern Dakota stock, formerly -and at no very distant period-resided in the valley of the Ohio. The peculiar traces in the soil which marked the foundations of their dwellings and the position of their villages were evident, heaflrms, at various points along that river. It is by mo means improbable that when the buffilo abounded on the Ohio, the Dakota tribes found its valley their matural home, and that they receled with it to the westward of the Mississippi. But the inference that the region west of the Mississippi was the original home of the Dakotns, and that those of that stock who dwe.t on the Ohio or east of the Alleghenies were emigrants from the Western prairies, cloes not, by any moans, foliow. By the same course of reasoning we might conclude that the Aryans had their original seat in Western Europe, that the Portuguese were emigrants from Brazil, and that the English derived their origin from America. The migrations of races are not to be traced by such recent and casual vestiges. The only evidence which has real weight in any inquiry respecting migrations in prehistoric times is that of lnnguage ; and where this fails, as it sometimes does, the question must be pronounced unsoluble.

The protection which the Tuteloes had received from the Tuscaroras and their allies soon failed them. In the year 1711 a war broke out between the Tuscaroras and the Carolina settlers, which ended during the following year in the complete defeat of the Inclians. After their overthrow the great body of the Tuscaroras retreated northward and joined the Iroquois, who receivel them into their leagne as the sixtla nation of the confederacy. A portion, however, remained near their original home. They merely re. tired a short distance northward into the Virginian territory, and took up their abode in the tract which lies between the Romoke and the Potomac rivers. Here they were allowed to remain at peace, under the protection of the Virginian government. And here they were presently joined by the Tuteloes and Siponas, with their confederates. In September. 172:, the governors of New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, held a conference at Albany with the chiefs of the Iroquois, to endeavor to bring about a peace between them and the southern tribes. On this occasion Governor Spottes. wood, of Virgiaia, enumerated the tribes for which the government of his Province would undertake to engage. Among them were certain tribes which were commonly known under the name of the "Christanna Indians," a name derived from that of a fort which had been established in their neighborhood. These were "the Saponies, Ochineeches, Stenkenoaks, Meipontskys, and Toteroes," all of whom, it appears, the Iroquois were accustomed to comprehend under the name of Todirichrones.*

Some confusior and uncertuinty, however, arise in consulting the colonial recorls of this time, from the fact that this nume of Todirichrones was applied by the Iroquois to two distinct tribes, or rather confederacies, of Southern Indians, belonging to different stocks, and speaking languages

[^2]totally dissimilar. These were, on the one hand, the Tuteloes (or Toteroes) nud their allies, and, on the other, the powerful Catawbat nation. The Catawbas occupied the eastern portion of the Carolinas, south of the Tuscarorn nation. At the beginning of the last century they numbered several thousand souls. As late us 1743 , ncourding to Adair, they could still mister four hundred wartiors. A bitter animosity existed between them and the Iroquois, lending to frequent hostilities, which the English authorities at this conference sought to repress. It was the policy of the Iroquois, from ancient times, always to yield to overtures of peace from any Indian nation. On this occasion they responded in their usual spirit. "Though there is among you," they replied to the Virginians, "a nation, the Todirichrones, against whom we have hat so inveterate an enimity that we thought it could only be extinguished by their total extirpation, yet, since you desire it, we are willing to receive them into this peace, and to forget all the pust."*

The Catawbin haguage is a peculiar speech, differing widely, if not radically, both from the Dakota and from the Iroquois languages. $\dagger$ The only connection between the Catawhas and the Tuteloes appears to have arisen from the fact that they were neighboring, and eperhips politically allied tribes, and were alike engaged in hostilities with the Iroquois. The later, however, seem to have confounded them all together, under the name of the tribe which lay nearest to the confederacy and was the best known to them.

One result of the peace thus established was that the Tuteloes and Saponas, after a time, determined to follow the conrse which hat been taken by the major portion of their Tuscarora triends, and place themselves directly under the protection of the Six Nations. Moving north. ward across Virginia, they established themselves at Shamokin (since named Sunbury) in what is now the centre of Pennsylvania. It was a region which the Iroquois held by right of conquest, its former occupants, the Delawares and Shawanese, having been either expelted or reduced to subjection. Here, under the shatow of the great confederacy, many frag.

[^3]ments of broken tribes were now congregated-Conoys, Nanticokes, Delawares, Tuteloes, and others.
In September, 1745, the missionary, David Brainerd, visited Shamokin. He describes it in his diary as containing upwards of fify houses and nearly three hundred persons. "They are," he says, "of three different tribes of Indians, speaking three languages wholly unintelligible to each other. About one half of its inhabitants are Delawares, the others Senekas and Tutelas.'* Three years later, in the summer of 1748, an exploring party of Moravian missionaries passed through the same region. The celebrated Zeisberger, who was one of them, has left a record of their travels. From this we gather that the whole of the Tuteloes were not congregated in Shamokin. Before reaching that town, they passed through Skogari, in what is now Columbia connty. In Zeisberger's biogmphy the impression formed of this town by the travelers is expressed in brief but emphatic terms. It was "the only town on the continent inhabited by Tutelocs, a degenerate remnant of thieves and drunkards." $\dagger$ This disparaging description was perhaps not unmerited. Yet some regard must be paid to a fact of which the good missionary could not be aware, namely, that the Indians who are gharacterized in these unsavory terms belonged to a stock distinguished from the other Indians whom he knew by certain marked traits of character. Those who are familiar with the various branches of the Indian race are aware that every tribe, and still more every main stock, or ethnic family, has certain special characteristics, both physical and mental. The Mohawk differs in look and character decidedly from the Onondaga, the Delnware from the Shawanese, the Sioux from the Mandan; and between the great divisions to which these tribes belong, the differences are much more strongly marked. The Iroquois have been styled "the Romans of the West." The designation is more just than is usual in such comparisons. Indeed, the resemblance between these great conquering communities is strkingly marked. The same politic fore.thought in council, the same respect for haws and treaties, the same love of conquest, the same relentless determination in war, the same clemency to the utterly vanquished, a like readiness to strengthen their power by the admission of strangers to the citizenship, an equal reliance on strong fortifications, similar customs of forming outlying colonies, and of ruling subject nations by proconsular deputies, a similar admixture of aristocracy and democracy in their constitution, a like taste for agriculture, even a notable similarity in the strong and heavy mould of figure and the bold and massive features, marked the two peoples who, on widely distant theatres of action, achieved not dissimilar destinies.
Pursuing thesame classical comparison, we might liken the nearest neighbors of the Iroquols, the tribes of the Algonkin stock, whose natural traits are exem. liffed in their renowned sachems, Powhatan, Philip of Pokano-

[^4]ket, Mlantanomah, Pontiac, and Tecumseh, to the ingenious and versatile Greeks, capable of heroism, but incapable of political union, or of long-sustained effort. A not less notable resemblance might be found between the wild and wandering Scythians of old, and the wild and wandering tribes of the great Dakotan stock. Reckless and rapaclous, untamable and fickle, fond of the chase and the fight, and no less eager for the dunce and the feast, the modern Dakotas present all the traits which the Greek historians and travelers remarked in the barbarous nomads who roamed along their northern and eastern frontiers.
The Tuteloes, fur from the maln body of their race, and encircled by tribes of Algonkin and Iroquois lineage, showed all the distinctive characteristics of the stock to which they belonged. The tall, robust huntsmen of Lawson, chasers of the elk and the deer, had apparently degenerated, half a century later, into a "remnant of thieves and drunkards," at least as seen in the hurried view of a passing missionary. But it would seem that their red-skinned neighbors saw in them some qualities whel gained their respect and liking. Five years after Zeisberger's visit, the Iroquois, who had held them hitherto under a species of tutelage, declded to admit them, together with their fellow-refugees, the Algonkin Nanticokes from the Eastern Shore of Maryland, to the full honors of the confederacy. The step received the commendation of so shrewd a judge as Colonel (afterwards Sir William) Johnson. At a great council of the Six Nations, held at Onondaga in September, 1753, Colonel Johnson congratulated the Cayugas on the resolution they had formed of " strengthening their castle" by taking in the Tedarighroones.* At about the sane time a band of Delawares was received into the League. When a great conncil was to be convened in 1756, to confer with Colonel Johnson on the subject of the French war, wampum belts were sent to nine "nations" of the confederacy. $\dagger$ From this time the chiefs of the Tuteloes, as well as o the Nanticokes and the Delawares, took their seats in the Council of the League, a position which they still hold in the Canadian branch of the confederacy, though the tribes whon they represent have ceased to exist as such, and have become absorbed in the larger nations.
It would seem, however, that thelr removal from their lands on the Susquehanna to the proper territory of the Six Nations did not take place immediately after their reception into the Laague, and perhaps was never wholly completed. In an "account of the location of the Indian tribes," prepured by Sir William Johnson in November, 1763, the four small tribes of "Nanticokes, Conoys, Tutecoes [an evident misprint] and Saponeys," are bracketed together in the list as mustering in all two hundred men, and are described as "a people removed from the southward, and settled on or about the Susquehanna, on lands allotted by the Six Nations." $\ddagger$
Though the Tuteloes were thus recognized as one of the nations of the

[^5]confedemey, and as such kept up their distinct tribal organization, they were regarded as being is a special manner the friends and allies of the Cayugas. The hatter, a tribe always noted for their kindly temper, received the new comers within their tertory, and gave them a site for their town, which of course brought with it the hunting und fishing privileges necessary for their existence. 'The principal Cayuga vilhages were chastered about the hake to which the mation has given its name. South of them lay the land assignet to the 'luteloes. Their ehief settlement, acconting to a careful observer, was on the enst side of Caynga inlet, whott three miles from the south cud of Cayuga lake, and two miles south of Ithaca. "The fown was on the high ground sonth of the school-house, nearly opposite Buttemilk Fulls, on the farm of James Fleming. On the Guy Johnson's map of 1771, it fignres (by a slight misprint) as Todevigh-rono. It was catled in the Joumal of General Dearbom, Coreorgonet ; in the Journal of (ieorge Grant (1zia), Dehoriss-kanadin; and on a map made about the same date Kiyeghtalagealat."*

The town was testroyed in $17 \% 0$ by General Sullivan, in the expedition which avenged, so disastronsly for the Six Nations, the ravages eommitted by them upon the settlements of their white neighbors. The result, as is well known, was the destruction of the ancient confeatertey. Of the broken tribes, some fragments remained in their original soats, submitting to the conquerors. All the Mohawks, the greater part of the Cayugas, about half of the Onondigas, and many of the Oneidas, with a few of the Senecas and Tusearoras, followed Brant to Canadia. Tho British government furnished them with lands, mostly along the Grand River, in the territory which in ancient times hat been conquered by the Iroquois from the people who were styled the Neutral Nation. The Tuteloes accompanied their friends the Cayugras. A phace was found for them in a locality which seemed at the time attractive and desirable, but whieh proved most unfortumate for them. They built their town on a pleasant elevation, which stretehes along the western bank of the Grand River, and still bears the name of Tutelo Heights. Under this name it now forms a suburb of the eity of Brant ford.

Fifty years ago, when the present eity was a mere hamlet, occupied by a few venturous Indian traters and pionecrs, the Tutelo eabins were scattered over these heights, having in the milst their "long-house" in which their tribal councils were held, and their festivals celebrated. They are said to have numbered then about two huntred souis. They retained apparently the reckless habits and love of enjoyment which had distinguished them in former times. Old people still remember the uproar of the dances which enlivened their council-house. Unhapily, the position of

[^6]their town hrought them into direct contact with the white settlements. Their frames, enfecbled by dissipation, were an ensy prey to the diseases which followed in the track of the new population. In 18:3, the Asiatic cholera found many victims on the Intian Reserve. The Titeloes, in proportion to their numbers, suffered the most. The greater part of the tribe perished. Those who escaped clung to their habitations a few yenrs longer. But the second visitation of the dreadful plague in 1848 completed the work of the first. The 'lutelo mation ceased to exist. The few survivors fled from the IIeights to which they have left their mame, and took refuge among their Cayuga friends. By intermarriage with these allies, the small remmant was soon absorbed; and in the year 1870, only one Tutelo of tho full blood was known to be living, the last survivor of the tribe of stalwart - hunters nud daring warriors whom Lawson encountered in Carolina a handred and seventy years before.
This last surviving 'Iutelo lived among the Cayngas, \& nd was known to them by the name of Nikonlan. Okenha in the Caynga dialeet signifles mosquito. Nikonha was sometimes, in answer to my inquiries, rendered "mosquito," and sometlmes "iittle," perhaps in the sense of mosquitolike. His Tutelo name was said to be Waskiteng ; its meaning could not be ascertained, and it was perhaps merely a corruption of the English word mosquito. At all events, it was by the rather odd cognomen of "Old Mosquito," that he was commonly known nmong the whites; and he was even so designated, I believe, in the pension list, in which he had a phaco as having served in the war of 1812 . What in common repute was deemed to be the most notable fact in regird to him was his great age. He was considered by far the ollest man on the Reserve. IIis age was said to exceed a century ; and in confirmation of this opinion it was related that be had fought under Brant in the American war of Independence. My friend, Chief George Johnson, the government interpreter, accompanied us to the residence of the old man, a $\log$ cabin, built on a small eminence near the centre of the Reserve. Ifis appeamee, as we first saw hing, basking in the sunshine on the slope before his cabin, confirmed the reports which I had heard, both of his great age and of his marked intelligence. "A wrinkled, smiling countenance, a high forehead, half-shut eyes, white hair, a scanty, stubbly beard, fingers bent with age like a birl's claws," is the deseription recorded in my note book. Not only in physiognomy, but also in demeanor and character, he differed strikingly from the grave and composed Iroquois among whom he dwelt. The lively, mirthful disposition of his race survived in full force in its latest member. His replies to our inquiries were intermingled with many jocose remarks, and much good-humored laughter.

He was married to a Cayuga wife, and for many years had spoken only the langinge of her people. But he had not forgotted his proper speceh, and readily gave us the Tutelo renderings of nearly a hundred words. At that time my only knowledge of the Tuteloes had been derived from the few notices comprised in Gallatin's Synopsis of the Indian Tribes, where
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they are classed with the nations of the Ifuron-Iroquois stock. At the same time, the distinguished author, with the scientific caution which marked all his writings, is careful to mention that no vocibulary of the language was known. That which was now obtained showed, beyond question, that the language was totally distinct from the IIuron-Iroquois tongues, and that it was closely allied to the languages of the Dacotan family.

The diseovery of a tribe of Dakota lineage near the Atlantic coast was so unexpected and surprising that at first it was natural to suspect some mistake. The idea occurred that the old Tutelo might have been a Sioux captive, taken in the wars which were anciently waged between the Iroquois and the tribes of the far West. With the view of determining this point, I took the first opportunity, on my next visit to the Reserve, in October, 1870, of questioning the old men about his carly history, and that of his people. His answers soon removed all doubt. He believed himself to be a hundred and six years old ; and if so, his earliest recollections would go back to a time preceding by some years the Revolutionary war. At that time his people, the Tuteloes, were living in the neighborhood of two other tribes, the Saponies and the Patshenins or Botshenins. In the latter we may perhaps recognize the Ochineeches, whom Governor Spotteswood, in 1702, enumerated with the Saponies, Toteroes, and two other tribes, under the general name of Christanna Indians. The Saponies and Tuteloes, old Nikonha said, could understand one another's speech. About the language of the Patshenins, I neglected to inquire, but they were mentioned with the Saponies as n companion tribe. When the Tutelocs came to Canada with Brant, they parted with the Saponies at Niagara Falls, and he did not know what had become of them. Ifis father's mme was Onusowa; he was a chicf among the Tuteloes. His mother (who was also a 'rutelo), died when he was young, and le was brought up by an uncle. He lad heard from old men that the Tuteloes formerly lived on a great river beyond Washington, which city he knew by that name. In early times they were a large tribe, but had wasted nway through fighting. Their war parties used to go out frequently against varlous enemies. The tribes they most commonly fought with were the Tuscaroras, Senecas, and Cayugas. Afterwards his tribe came to Ningara (as he expressed it), and jolned the Six Nations. IIe knew of no Tutelo of the full blood now living, except himself.

This, with some additions to my vocabulary, was the last information which I received from old Waskiteng, or Nikonla. He died a few months later (on the 2lst of February, 1871), before I had an opportunlty of again visiting the Reserve. There are, however, several half-castes, children of Tutelo mothers by Iroquois fathers, who know the language, and by the native law (which traces deseent through the femble) are held to be Tuteloes. One of them, who sat in the council as the representative of the tribe, and who, with a conservatisn worthy of the days of old Sarmu, was uliowed to retnin his saat after his constituency had disappeared, was
accustomed to amuse his grave fellow-senators occasionally by asserting the right which each councillor possesses of addressing the conncil in the language of his people, -his speech, if necessity requires, heing translated by an interpreter. In the case of the Tutelo chief the jest, which was duly appreciated, lay in the fhet that the interpreters were dumfomided, and that the eloquence uttered in an unknown tongue had to go without reply.

From this chief, and from his aunt, an elderly dame, whose daughter was the wife of a leading Onondaga chief, I received a sutlleient mumber of words and phrases of the language to give a good idea of its grammatlcal framework. Fortunately, the list of words obtained from the old 'Tutelo was extensive enough to atford a test of the correctness of the additional information thus procured. The vocabulary and the outlines of grommar which have been derived from these sources may, therefore, as far as they extend, be accepted as affording an authentic representation of this very interesting speech.

There is still, it should be added, some uncertainty in regard to the tribal name. So far as can be learned, the word Tutelo or Totero (which in the Iroquois dialects is variously pronounced Tiunterih or Tehōtirigh, Tehātili, Tiñtei and Tūtie) has no meaning either in the Tutelo or the Iroquois language. It may have been originally a mere local designation, which has accompanied the tribe, as such names sometimes do, in its subscquent migrations. Both of my semi-Tutelo informants assured me that the proper national name-or the name ly which the people were designated among themselves-was Yesáng or Yesáh, the last syllable having a faint nasal sound, which was sometimes barely andible. In this word we probably see the origin of the name, Nahyssan, applied by Lederer to the tribes of this stock. John Lederer was a German traveler who in May, 1670-a year before Captain Batt's expedition to the Allegheniesundertook, tht the charge of the coloninl government, an exploring journey in the same direction, though not with equal success. He made, however, some interesting discoveries. Starting from the Falls of the James river, he came, after twenty days of travel, to "Sapon, a village of the Nahyssans," situate on a branch of the IRoanoke river. These were, undoubtedly, the Saponas whom Cnptain Batt visiied in the following year, the kindred and allies of the Tuteloes. Fifty miles beyond Sapon he arrived at Akenatzy, an island in the same river. "The island," he says, "though small, maintains many inhabitants, who are fixed in great security, being naturnlly fortified with fustnesses of mountains and water on every side."* In these Akenatzies we undoubtedly see the Aennechos of Lawson, and the Ochineeches mentioned by Governor Spotteswood. Dr. Brinton, in his well-known work on the "Myths of the New World," has pointed out, also, theiridentity with the Occanceches mentioned by Beverley in his "History of Virghia," and in doing so has drawn attention to

[^7]the very interesting facts recorded hy Beverley respecting their language.*

According to this historian, the tribes of Virginia spoke languages differing so widely that natives "at a moderate distance" apart did not under. stand one another. They had, however, a "general language," which people of different tribes used in their intercourse with one mother, precisely as the Indians of the north, accorling to La IIontan, used the " Al gonkine," and as Latin was employed in most parts of Europe, and the Lingua Franca in the Levant. 'These are Beverley's illustrations. He then adds the remarkable statement: "The genernl language here used is that of the Occanceches. though they have been but a small nation ever since these parts were known to the English; but in what their language may differ from that of the Algonkins I am not able to determine.' $\dagger$ Further on he gives us the still more surprising information that this "general language" was used by the "priests and conjurors" of the different Virginian nations in performing their religious ceremonies, in the same manner (he observes) "as the Catholics of all nations do their Mass in the Latin." $\ddagger$

The Akenatzies or Occanceches would seem to have been, in some respects, the chief or leading community among the tribes of Dakotan stock who formerly inhabited Virginia. That these tribes had at one time a large and widespread population may be inferred from the simple fact that their language, like that of the widely scattered Algonkins (or Ojibways) in the northwest, became the general medium of communication for the people of different nationalities in their neighborhood. That they had some ceremonial observances (or, as Beverley terms them, "atorations and conjurations') of a peculiar and impressive cast, like those of the western Dakotas, seems evident from the circumstance that the intrisive tribes adopted this language, and probably with it some of these eb. servances, in performing their own religious rites. We thas have a strong and unexpected confirmation of the tradition prevailing among the tribes both of the Algonkin and of the Iroquois stocks, which represents them as coming originally from the far north, and gradually overspreading the country on both sides of the Alleghanies, from the Great Lakes to the motatain fastnesses of the Cherokees. They found, it wonld seem, Virginia, and possibly the whole country east of the Alleghenies, from the Great Lakes to South Carolina, oceupied by tribes speaking languages of the Dakotan stock. That the displacement of these tribes was a very gradual process, and that the relations between the natives and the encronching tribes were not always hostile, may be inferred not only from the adoption of the aboriginal specch as the general means of intercourse, but also from the terms of amity on which these tribes of diverse origin, attive and intrisive, were found by the English to be living together.

[^8]That the Tutelo tongue represents this "general language" of which Beverley speaks-this aboriginal Latin of Virginia-cannot be doubted. It may, therefore he deemed a language of no small historical importance. The fact thas this language, which was first obscurely henrd of in Virginia two hundred yeats ago, has been brought to light in our day on a far-off Reservation in Canada, and there learned from the lips of the latest surviving member of this ancient community, must certainly be considered one of the most singular occurrences in the history of science.

Apart from the mere historical interest of the language, its scientifie value in American ethnology entitles it to a caneful study. As has been already said, a comparison of its grammar and vocabulary with those of the western Dakota tongues has led to the inference that the Tutelo linguage was the older form of this common speech. This conclusion was briefly set forth in some remarks which I had the loonor of addressing to this Society at the meeting of December 19,1879 , and is recorded in the published minutes of the meeting. Some years afterwards, and after the earlier portion of this essay was written, I had the pleasure, at the mecting of the American Association for the Adrancement of Seience, held in Montreal, in September, 1832, of learning from my friend, the Rev. J. Owen Dorsey, of the Smithsonian Institution, who has resided for several years as a missionary among the western Dakotas, and has made careful researches into their languages and history, that they have a distinct tradition that their ancestors tormerly dwelt east of the Mississippi. In fict, the more southern Dakotas dechare their tribes to be offshoots of the Winnebagoes, who till recently resided near the western shore of Lake Michigan. A comparison of their dialects, made with Mr. Dorsey's aid, fully sustains this assertion. Mere traditionary evidence, as is well known, cannot always be relied on; but when it corresponds with conclusions previously drawn from linguistic evidence, it has a weight which renders it a valuable confirmation.

The portrait of old Nikonla, an accurate photograph, will serve to show, better than any description could do, the characteristics of race whieh distinguished his people. The full oval ontline of face, and the large features of almost European cast, were evidently not individual or family traits, as they reappear in the Tutelo half-breeds on the Reserve, who tho not claim a near relationship to Nikonlat. Those who are familiar with the Dikotan physiognomy will probably discover a resemblance of type between this last representative of the Virginian Tutelos and their congeners, the Sioux and Mandans of the western plains.

## TIIE TUTELO LANGUAGE.

In the following outline of Tutelo grammar, it has been deemed advisable to bring its forms into comparison with those of the western languages of the same stock. For this purpose the Dakota and Hidatsa (or Minnetaree) languages were necessarily selected, being the only tongues of this fimily of which any complete account has yet been published.

For the information respecting these languages I am indelted to the Da kota Grammar and Dictionary of the Rev. S. R. Riggs (published in the Smitlisonian Contributions to Knowledge) and the Hidatsa Grammar and Dictionary of Dr. Washington Matthews (published in Dr. Shea's Library of American Linguistics), both of them excellent works, of the highest scientific value.

## The Alphabet.

The alphabetical method which has been followed by me in writing this language, as well as the Iroquois dialects, is based on the well-known system proposed by the Hon. John Pickering, and generally followed by American missionaries, whose experience has attested its value. The modifications suggested for the Indian languages by Professor Whitney and Major Powell have been adopted, with a few exceptions, which are due chiefly to a desire to employ no characters that are not found in any well-furnished printing-ofilice.
The letters $b, d, h, k, l, m, n, p, s, t, v, y, z$ are sounded as in English, the 8 laving always its sharp sound, as in mason. The vowels are sounded generally as in Italian or German, with some moditications expressed by diacritical marks, thus:
$a$, as in father; in accented syllables written $\bar{a}$.
$\breve{a}$, like the German $a$ in Mann.
$\ddot{a}$, like $a$ in mat.
$\hat{a}$, like $a$ in fall.
$e$, like a in fate; in accented syllables ē.
é, like $e$ in met.
$i$, like $i$ in machine ; in accented syllables $\bar{i}$.
i. like $i$ in pin.
$o$, as in note ; in accented syllables $\overline{0}$.
$o$, like the French $o$ in bonne.
$\grave{o}$, like $o$ in not.
$u$, as in rule, or like 00 in pool; in accented syllables $\bar{u}$.
$\check{u}$, like $u$ in pull,
$\dot{u}$, like $u$ in but; in an accented syliable written $u$.
$\ddot{u}$, like the French $u$ in $d u r$.
The diphthongs are, ai, like our long in in pine; au, like ou in loud; ai, like oi in boil; iu, like $u$ in pure.

The consonants requiring special notice are:
c, like $s h$ in shine.
$g$, always hard, as in go, get, give.
$j$, like $z$ in azure.
$\tilde{n}$, like the French nasal $n$ in $a n, b o n, u n$.
$g$, like the German ch in Loch, or the Spanish $\boldsymbol{j}$ in joven.

The sound of the English $c h$ in chest is represented by $t_{q}$; the $j$ and $d g$ in judge by dj.
The apostrophe (') indicates a slight hiatus in the pronounciation of a word, which is often, though not always, caused by the dropping of a consonantal sound.

In general, the diacritical marks over the vowels are omitted, except in the accented syllable-that is, the syllable on which the stress of voice falls. It is understood that when a vowel (other than the $\dot{u}$ ) has a mark of any kind over it, the syllable in which it occurs is the accented or emphatic syllable of the word. Experience shows that the variations in the sound of a vowel in unaccented syllables, within the limits represented by the foregoing alphabet, are rarely of sufficient importance to require to be noted in taking down a new language. The only exception is in the sound marked $\grave{u}$, which occasionally has to be Indicated in unaccented syllables, to distinguish it from the $u$, with which it has no similarity of sound. It is, in fact, more frequently a variation of the $a$ than of any other vowel sound.

Occasionally the accented syllable is indicated by an acute accent over the vowel. This method is adopted principally when the vowel has a brief or obscure sound, as in misáñ$i$, I alone, which is pronounced in a manner midway between $n i s a ̈ n \tilde{n} i$ and $m i s i ̀ n ̃ i$.

## Phonology.

The Tutelo has the ordinary vowel sounds, but the distinction between $e$ and $i$, and between $o$ and $u$ is not always clear. The word for " mother" was at one time written hena, and at another ina; the word for "he steals" was heard as manöma and manūma. In general, however, the difference of these vowels was sufficiently apparent. The obscure sound of $\dot{u}$ (or in accented syllables $\hat{u}$ ) was often heard, but when the word in which it occurred was more distinctly uttered, this sound was frequently developed into a clearer vowel. Thus hùstōi, arm, became histō ; mùst $\bar{e}$, spring (the season), became mastē ; asûñi, white, became asäñi, or (losing the nasal sound) asäi, and so on. The use of the character $\grave{u}$ (or $\hat{u}$ ) in this language could probably be dispensed witin.

The consonantal sounds which were heard were: $p$ (or $b$ ), $t$ (or $d$ ), $k$ (or $g$ ), $h$ (and $q$ ) $, l, m, n, s, v$ and $y$, and the nasal $\tilde{n}$. Neither $f, r$, nor $r$ was heard, and $\&(8 h)$ only as a variant of 8 . Harsh combinations of consonants were rare. The harshest was that of tsk, as in voagutska, child, and this was not frequent.* Woris usually end in a vowel or a liquid. A double con.

[^9]sonant at the commencement of a word is rare. It perhaps only occurs in the combintion tr, (ts.i) and ial contractions, as lesandut, nine, for kasionkai.

It is doubtful if the sonants $\delta, d$ and $g$ oceur, except as viariants of the surd consonants $p$, $t$ and $k$; yet in certain words sonants vere pretty constantly used. Thus in the pronouns min./btove, mine, yiñjâtowe, thine, $i \pi j a t o m e$, his, the $g$ was almost always sounded.

The $l$ nnd $n$ were oceasionally interehanged, as in läni and näni, three, letri and netci, tongue. In general, however, the two elements seemed to be distinct. The aspirate was somewhat stronger than the English $h$, and frequently assumed the foree of the German $c h$ or the Spanish $j$ (represented in our alphabet hy $q$ ). Whether there were really two distinet sounds or not, could not be positively ascertained. The same word was writlen at one time with $h$, and at another with $q$.

The nasal $\tilde{d}$ is properly a modification of the preceding vowel, and would have been more adequately rendered by a mark above or below the vowel itself; but it has seemed desirable to avoid the multiplication of such diacritical marks. This masal is not to be confounded with the sound of rig in ring, which is a distinct consonantal element, and in the Polynesian dialects often commences a word. In the Tutelo this latter sound only oceurs before a $k$ or hard $g$, and is then represented by $\tilde{n}$. It is, in fact, in this position, merely the French nasal somnd, 1 lified by the palatal consonnnt. The masal $\tilde{n}$ is also modified by the labials $b$ and $p$, before which it assumes the sound of $m$. Thus the Tutelo word for day, nahimbi, or (in the construct form) nulaimp, is properly a modification of naluãubi or nahinnp. In all words in which it oceurs, the nasal sound was at times very faintly heard, and was oceasionally so little audible that it was not noted, while at $c$ 'er times an $n$ was heard in its place. The word forknife was written at different times masẽñiand masäi ; that for sky, matōni, matōi, mantōi, and $m \cdot \tilde{n} t o i$; that for day, nahāmbi, nahãmp, nahäñp, and mahāp; that for winte $\overline{i n} \bar{e}, w \bar{c} n e ́ n i$, and $v o c m e \bar{e} i$; that for one, nōs and noñs, and so on. Whethe his indistinctness of the nasal sound belongs to the langnage, or was a pecnliarity of the individuals from whom the speech was learned, could not be satisfactorily determined.

The tendency of the langnage, as has been said, is to terminate every word with a vowel sound. When a monosyllnble or dissyllable ends with u consonant, it is usually in a construct form, and is followed by another word grummatically related to it. Thus, hisépi, axe, hisēp miñjitooe, my axe; monti, a bear, mont nosä, one bear; tçònjo (or tçònki), dog, tçònk ejūsel, good dog ; nuhāmbi, day, nahämp lïni, three days.

The following brief comparative list, extracted from the more extensive vocabulary hereafter given, will show the forms which similar words take in the allied dialects, Tutelo, Dakota (or Sioux proper) and Hidatsa (or Minnetaree) :

| Tutelo. | Dakota. | Hidatsa. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| iti | cite | ali | father |
| $\overline{\mathrm{B}}$ nā, henā, henũ | ina | hiule, hus, itius | mother |
| tājütçki | tıkoçku, tçiñkçi | idiçi | son |
| suntka | suñ.¢a | tsuka | younger brother |
| $\bar{i} h, i \pi \bar{i}$ | $i$ | : | mouth |
| nētçi, nētsi, lētçi | tçeji | ..jji | tongue |
| iliz | $7 i$ | i, isa, hi | tooth |
| lōti | dote | doti, loti | tliroat |
| โงธิ | $\operatorname{sih} a$ | itsi | foot |
| voasūt | nasu | tsuata | brain |
| vī$\overline{\mathrm{y}} \overline{\mathrm{z}}$, valy $\bar{i}$ | qee | $i d i$ | blood |
| atà | tipi | ati | house |
| maséñi, masāi | isañ, miñna | maetsi | knife |
| $m \bar{i}$ | wi | micli | sun (or moon) |
| nỉāmpi, nihāñpi | i añpetu | mape | day |
| marī | mini | mini | water |
| $a m \bar{a} \tilde{n} i, a m a ̄ i$ | maka | ame | land |
| - tcuunki, tçoño | çunkix | mę̧uka | dog |
| ขānéñi, vānēi | wani | mana | winter |
| $t a n i$ | ptañ | mata, | autunn |
| asáñi, asâi, aséi | $8 \times \tilde{n}$ | atùki, ohùki | white |
| asépi , | )sspa | sipi | black |
| $s \bar{i} i, u \bar{a} s i$ | $\boldsymbol{z i}$ | tsi, tsidi | yellow |
| $t \bar{e}$ | ta | te | dead |
| sani | $8 n i$ | tsinia | cold |
| Nosāi, noņ̃ | vantça, voantçi | nuéts, luétsa | one |
| nombāi | noñpa | nopa | two |
| $n \bar{a} n i, l \bar{a} n i$ | yamni | dími, lavi | flree |
| topai | topa | $\operatorname{topa}$ | four |
| kisāhai | zaptañ | kihu | five |
| aliáspe | çakpe | aLama, akawa | $5{ }^{4} 1$ |
| sāgomink | çakowin | sapua | L, en |
| luta ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | yuta, woota | duti | to eat |
| howa | u, uroa | lu | to come |
| kitci | voatçi | kidiçi | to dance |
| mahanañka | yañlla, nañlia | naka | to sil, remain |
| ktéva, kitésel | hte | Kitahé | to kill |

It must be borne in mind that the sounds of $m, b$, and $v o$ are inter. changeable in the Hidatsa, and that $d, l, n$, and $r$ are also interclangeable. A similar confusion or interchange of these elements is to some extent apparent In the Dakota and the Tutelo languages. Taking this fact into consideration, the slmilarity or rather identity of such words as $m i$ in Tu telo and wi in Dakota, meanlng "sun," and loti in Tutelo, dote in Dakota, and dole or lote In Hidatsa, meaning "brais," becomes apparent.
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The nasal sounds, which are so common in the Dakota anci the Tutele, are wanting in the Hidatsa, while the $s$ of the two fornaer languages frequertly becomes $t s$ in Hidatsa. These dialectical peculiarities explain the difference between the words for younger brother, sunthu, Tit., sunker, Dia., tsuka, Hi., between isi, foot, Tu., and itsi, Hi., between mascñi, knife, Tu., and maetsi, Hi. It will be noticed that the words in Tatelo are frequently longer and fuller in sound than the corresponding words in the other linguages, as though they were nearer the original forms from which the words in the various Dakota tongues were derived.

## Grammatical Forms.

As is usually the ease with allied tongues, the grammatical resemblances of the languages of this stock are much more striking and instructive than those which appear in the mere comparison of isolated words.

## Substantives and Aljectices.

The Tutelo, like the Dakota and the Milatsa, has no inflection of the substantive to indicate the plural number; but in both the Tutelo and the Dakota, the plural of adjectives is frequently expressed by what may be termed a natural inflection, nanely, by a reduplication. In the Dakota, accorling to Mr. Riggs, the initial syllable is sometimes reduplicated, as $k$ sapa, wise, pl. ksaksapa; tañtt, great, pl. tañetañea; sometimes it is the last syllable, as wooŗté. good, pl. vorçtéçte; and occasionally it is a middle syllable, as, tañkiñyañ, great, pl. tañkiñ liñyıan.

Sometimes the adjective in Dakota takes the suffix pi, which makes the plural form of the verb, as waçté, good vitçista waç'épi, good men, i. e., they are good men.
Similar forms exist in the Tutelo. The adjective, or some part of it, is reduplicated in the plural, and at the same time a verbal suffix is frequently if not always added, thus; ati api, good house. pl. ati apipisel, good houses (those are good houses); ati itáñi, large house, pl. ati itañtáñsel ; ati okayēle, bad house, pl. ati ohayeyētesel ; ati asáñ, white house, pl , ati asañsáñsel. Occasionally the reduplication takes a peculiar form, as in ati kutska, small house. pl. ati kotskutskaisel. In one instance the plural differs totally from the singular ; atisui, long house, pl. ati yumpun. hatskaisel.

The plural verbal termination is frequently used without the reduplication; as, valutáke bi (or pi), good man, voahtáke bizon (or bise), he ls a good man ; pl. wahtáke b̄̄hla (or bihlése), vhey are good men. So tṛñje bise, good dog (or, it is a good dog), pl. tcoñje bihlése.

The plural form by reduplication does not appear to exist in the IIidatea.
The Rev. J. Owen Dorsey, who has made a special study of the western Dakota languages, finds in the Omala (or Dhegila) dialect a peculiar meaning given to this reduplicate plural of aljectives. The following ex-
amples will illustrate this signification. Jiñgü, small, becomes in the reduplicate form jiñjiñya, which refers to small objects of different kinds or sizes. Sagi, firm, fast, hard, makes säsagi or 8 nf $\overline{\mathrm{b}} \boldsymbol{j}$, which is employed as in the following exnmple : vëdhikide sag̈̈jihnan kuñbdhe, I wish tools that are hard, and of different kinds, them only. Here the suffix huin expresses the meaning of "only;" the reduplication of the adjective gives the sense expressed by the words "of different kinds." Sillhe, black, makes sīsabe, black here and there. Gdhejē, spotied, becomes $g$ dhejiaju
 different bad deeds. Nujiñja (apparently a compound or derivative form, from jiñgã, small), means "boy," i. e., small man ; nüjiñjã̃ga, boys of different sizes and ages.* It would seem from these examples that in this language the redupication expresses primarily the idea of variety, from which that of plurulity in many cases follows. This meaning is not indicated by Mr. Riggs in his Dakota grammar, and it was not detected by me in the Tutelo, but it is not impossible that it actually exists in both languages. It is deserving of notice that while no inflection of the nonn is found in the Iroquois to express plurality, this meaning is indicated in the adjective k-j the addition of $s$, or $h o n s$, affixed to the adjective when it is combined with the noun. Thus from kanónsa, house, and uīyo, handsome, we have konoñsīo, handsome house, pl. kanounsiyos, handsome houses. So kereñnaksen, bad song, pl. kareñnthsens, bad songs; kanaükares, long nole, pl. kanakarēshoñs, long poles.

It is also remarkable that the peculiar mode of forming the plural, both of substantives and of adjectives, by reduplication of the first syllable or portion of the word, is found in several Indian languages spoken west of the Rocky Mountains, and belonging to families entirely distinct from one another, and from the Dakota. Thus in the Selish language we have lùáus, father, pl. lùlùíus; tána, ear, pl. tùntána; skìltamiqo, man, pl. shùlhùltamiqo ; quiest, good, pl. qùsqáext. In the Sahaptin, pātin, girl, pl. pipítin ; tā̀ls, good, pl. tilāhs. In the Kizh ianguage, woróit, man, pl. voororōt; ţinni, small, pl. ţeitçinni. $\dagger$ This has been terned, and certainly seems, a naturnl mode of forming the plural. It is therefore somewhat surprising to find it restricted in America to a comparatively small group of linguistic families. It is still more noteworthy that in the Polynesiun dialects, which in their general characteristics differ so widely from the Indian linguages, this same method of forming the plural is found, but contined, as in the Dakota tongues, to the adjective; thus we have laau tele, large tree, pl. laau tetele, large trees; tuata maitai, good man, pl. taata maitatai, good men; mahaki, sick, pl. mahamahaki, sick (persons). $\ddagger$ This is a subject in linguistic science which merits further investigation.

[^10]
## Numerals.

The near resemblance of the first seven numerals in the Tutelo, Dakota, and llidatsa is sufficiently shown in the vocabulary. The manner in which the compound numbers are formed is also shimilar in the three languages. In the Dakota ake, again, is prefixed to the simple numerals to form the numbers ubove ten, as ake rañjida $\tilde{n}$, eleven; ake noñpa, twelve. In the Tutelo the same word (usually softened to age) is used, as agenōsai, eleven; agenambai, twelve. In the Hidatsa aqpi (or ahpi), signifying a part or division, is employed, as aqpi-duetsa, eleven; aqpi-dopa, twelve.

In Dakota, viktçenna, ten, and nō̄pa, two, form vikţ̧omna noñpa, twenty. In Tutelo the form is the same; putçka nomba, tens-two. In Hidatsa it is similar, but the position of the words is reversed, twenty being dopá-pitika, two tens.
The ordinal numbers, after the first, are formed in all three languages by pretixing $i$ or $e i$ to the cardinal numbers, as in Dakota, inoñpa, second; iyamni, third; itopa, fourth. In Hidatsa, idopa, second; idani, third; itopa, fourth. In Tutelo I received einombai, twice; eināni, thrice: eintöpai, four times. This rendering was given by the interpreter, but the true meaning was probably the same as in the Dakota and Hidatsa. The word for "first" is peculiar in ull three languages; in Dakota, tokaheya, in Hidatsa, itsika, in Tutelo, ctāhni.
In the Tutelo the numerals appear to have different forms; or perlaps, more accurately speaking, different terminations, according to the context in which they are used. The following are examples of these forms, the first or abridged form being apparently used in ordinary counting, and the others when the numerals are employed in conjunction with other words. The various pronunciations of my different informants-and sometimes of the same informant at different times-are also shown in these examples.

| 1 | Separate. nöñs, $n \bar{s} 8$ | Construct. nosä̀, noñsūi | Varlations. $\left\{\begin{array}{c} n o s \bar{n} \tilde{n}, n u s e \tilde{n}, \text { no } n s a i, n o \tilde{n} s a, \\ n \bar{o} s \bar{a} \tilde{n}, n \bar{o} s \bar{a} h, n o \tilde{n} s a h \end{array}\right.$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | nomp | nombāi | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { numbāi, nomba, nūmba, } \\ \text { noñmbai, noñpa, nōmbāh, } \\ \text { nombaq } \end{array}\right.$ |
| 3 | $l \bar{a} t, n \bar{a} n$ | nāni | lāni, lānih, lāniq |
| 4 | top | topāi | toba, topah |
| 5 | kisè, kisáñ | kisāhai | kisäháñi |
| 6 | agās or akás, akāsp | $a k a ́ s p \vec{B}$ | akaspé, akāspei, agespeq |
| 7 | sägám | sagomēi | sagōmı, sāgōmiq, sagomiñk |
| 8 | xālán | palāni | palāniq |
| 9 | $s \bar{a}$ or sā̃ ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ksañ $k$ | ksāhkas | kasankai, ksākai |
| 10 | putçk, lūtçk' | putskai | butçkai, putskáñi, putskän |
| 11 | àgenōsai |  | aginosai, akinosai |

Separate.
agenomba
agetani
agctoba
agfgīsai
agegāspe
agesagōmi
agepalāni
agekesañka
putska nomba,
putçia nombai
putska nans
putska tobai
ukerz nosa
uken $\bar{z}$ putskai
agelani
agctoba
agrgīsai
agegāspe
agesagōmi
agepalāni
agekesañka
putska nomba, $\}$
putçika nombais
putska nans
putska tobai
uरer( nost
uken̄̈ putskai

Construct Forms and Variations.
aginombai, akinombai
agiläli, ukilani
akitopa
akikisāhai
akikuspei
akisagomei
akipalali
akiんasañkai
putska nombai
putçka lani
ohen $\bar{z}$

The numeral follows the noun which it qualifies. If the noun terminates in a vowel not accented, the vowel is usually dropped, while the numeral assumes its constuctor or lengthened form, and is sometimes closed with a strong aspirate. Thus, from milıíhi, woman, we have miha nosī or mihañ noñsāi, one woman; mihañ nombaq, two women; mihañ laniq, three women, sc. From tęoñjo or tęñ̆ki, dog, tcoñk nosäh, one dog; tcoñk nombaq, two dogs. From monti, bear, mont nōsāh, one bear ; mont nombah. two bears. From nahambi, day, nahimp nosäh, one day, nahamp nombai, two days; nahamp lax uiq, three days, sc. It will be seen that the dropping of the final vowel of the noun has the effect of giving a sharper sound to the preceding consonant. When the final vowel is accented, no change takes place in the noun ; thus ati, lıouse; atiz noñsai, one house; atiz noñbai, two houses; ati laniq, three houses, \&c.

No such difference between the simple and the construct forms of the numerals appears to exist either in the Dakota or in the Hidatsa. This is one evidence, anong others, of the greater wealth of inflections which characterizes the Tutelo language.

## Pronouns.

There are in the Tutelo, as in the Dakota, two classes of pronouns, the separate pronouns, and the affixed or incorporated pronouns. The former, however, are rarely used, except for the purpose of emphasis. In the Dakota the separate pronouns are miye or miç. I, niye, or niç, thou or ye, $i y e$, or $i c ̧$, he or they, and uñiye or $u \tilde{n} k i e$, we. In the Tutelo, $m \bar{z} m$ sig. nifies I or we, $y \bar{z} m$, thou or ye, $i m$, he or they, which was sometimes lengthened to imahëse. A still more emplatic form is made with the termination sái or sáñi, giving the sense of "alone," or rather perhaps
"self," for which meaning the Dakota employs the separate pronouns alrendy given, white the Hidatsa has a special form; thus :

| Tutelo. | bakuta. | Hilutsn. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mixiii or mixáni | miye (mic) | miqki | I myself(or I alone) |
| yiviii, or yfsáũi | wiye (nic) | niqki | thou |
| esäi, isãior isáãa | iye (i¢) | iqki | lio |
| macsãior macsíni | uñkiye (uñi¢) | midoki | we |

The Dikkota unkiye is a aid to be properly a dual form. The Tutelo appa. rently, like the Hidatsa, has no clual.

The nifxed or incorporated pronoms have in the Tutein, as in the Dakota and Hidatsa, two forms, nominative and objective. These forms in the three languages are very siminr:

| Tuteto. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | Dakota. Nominative. | Hidata. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ma, ra | ver, vce | $m a$ | I |
| $y \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{ye}$ | $y$ I', ye | $d u(n a)$ | thou |
| mue, mai, vae, wai, man, mañk, un |  |  | we |
|  |  |  |  |
| $m i, ~ v i$ | ma, mi | $m i$ | me |
| yi, hi | ni | li (ni) | thee |
| e, $e i, i$ |  | $i$ | him |
| muc, mai, vae, seai | uñ |  | us |

The objective forms are also used in all these languages as possessive pronouns, and they are affixed as nominatives to nenter or acljectlve verbs, In the first and second persons. Tho third personal pronoun is not ex. pressed in the verb, at least in the singular number. In the plural the Tutelo indientes this pronoun by an inflection, both in the nominative and the objective. Thus hatḕoa, he snys, hahéhla, they say; minēooa, I see him, minéhla, I see them.

The IIidatsa makes no distinction between the singular and the plural of the possessive pronouns. Mi slgnifies both my and our, $l l i$, they and your, and $i$, his and their. The Dakota distinguishes the plural $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$ adding the particle $p_{i}$ to the noun. The Tutelo adds $p u i$ to the noun in the second person, and sometimes lei or kai to the third. With nouns signifying relationship, the Dakota indieates the possessive pronoun of the third person by adding $k u$ to the noun. The Tutelo sometimes adds ktt or kainot only in this person, but in the first and third persons, as shown in the following example:

Dakotn.
suñka
misuñkn
yisuñka
suñkaku
uñkisuñkrpi
misuñkapi
suñkapi

Tutelo.
suintka wisuintk
yistintk
esuintka or esuintkai
maisúñtkai
yisuintkapui
eisúñtkai

|  | younger | bro:her |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| my | $"$ |  |
| thy | $"$ | $"$ |
| his | $"$ | $"$ |
| our | $"$ | $"$ |
| your | $"$ | $"$ |
| their | $"$ | $"$ |

In the Tutelo an $e$ is somstimes preficed to the possessive pronouns, as in ati, house, which makes

| crīli | my house | cmānti | onr house |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| elıäti | thy " | eyйtipüi | your |
| cīti | his " | cītillei | their " |

In this case the final vowel of the pronoms wi and $y i$ is ellded before the hinitial $a$ of the noun. So in mineiou, I see him, the vowel of the prefixed pronoun $m a, \mathrm{I}$, is elded before the vowel of the verb inéten, to see. Some other euphonic clanges of the possessive pronoun in the Tutelo are shown in the following example:


In Tutelo, tatt', my fither, is an anomalous form, used instead of mate', or emăt'. With the other aflxes the word becomes yät' (or itāti), thy father, eät', his father (or their fither), emaät', our father, eyätpui, your father.
A good example of the use of the prefixed personal pronouns in the Tutelo is shown in the word for son. There were slight differences in the forms received from two of my informants, as here given:

| qoitéka | ritékai | my son |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| yitêka | yitékai | thy son |
| ctêka | ctékai | his son |
| muãntêcka | emuñ̂têkai | our son |
| yitêkabūi | yitêkabüi | your son |
| etéka | etekahlēi | their son |

Mincēk', my uncle (in Dakofa midekeqi) is thus varied: Yinêk', thy uncle (Dak. nidek $̧$ i), einēk', his uncle (Dak. deçitku), emainek, our uncle, einēkpui, your uncle, einek' or emek'-lei, their uncle.
In the word for brother, $\overline{i n j} i n u m b a ̈ i$ (or $i n ̃ k i n u m b a ̈ i)$, the possessive prononus are inserted after the first syllable, and in this instance they are used la the nominative form:

| iñonginumbāi | my brother | maiiinginumbäi | our brother |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| iñyagnumbäi | thy brother | iñyaginumbabüi | your brother |
| ingiginumbäi | his brother | iñjgiginumbäi | their brother |

The Dakota and Hidatsa have lengthened forms of the personal pronouns to indicate property in things, or "transferable possession." These are in the former, mita, my, nitu, thy, and ta, his, as mita-oñspe, my axe, nita-rृuñae, thy dog. These pronouns are also used with koda, friend, and kitçuna, comrade. In Hidatsa mata, dita (for nita), and ita, are used in a similar manner. In the Tutclo the pronouns of this form occurred in a
few examples, but only with certain words of personal connection or rela. tions, in which their use secms to resemble that of the Dakota pronouns with the words meaning "comrnde" and "friend." Thus we heard vitämuñki, my lusband, yitūmañki, thy husband, ctāmañki, her husband. So vitāmilh $\tilde{n}$, my wife (i. c. my woman), yitămihe $\tilde{n}$, thy wife; and vitagūtçhäi, my son, i. c. "my boy," from waţūtc̣. $h \bar{a} i$, boy (cvidently the same word as the Dakota koçka, young man). In the latter cxample vitagutçiäi, apparently expresses a lower bond or sense of relationship than witékui, - not "my child," but "my boy," or "my youth," who may leave me and go elsewhere at any time.

In Tutelo the pronouns indicating p:operty or "transferable possession" were commonly found in a separato and apparently compound form, following the noun, which was then sometimes (though not always) heard in the shortened or "construct" form. Thus with hisēpi, axe, we have:

| hisêp' migitowi | (or mikītonci) my uxe | hisëp' mahgitovi | our axe |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hisepp' yiñgitowi | thy axe | 7isēp' iñjütombüi | your axe |
| 7isēp' gītowi | his axe | hisöp' gitolnēi | their axe |

So sīs, bed, has säs miñjïtowi, my bed, sas yingātowi, thy bed, sas gĩtomi, his bed.

With tçoño, dog, we find a different form :

| t¢oñgo vallitmpi | my dog | ţ̧ongo maokímpi (or mahkimpi) | our dog |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t¢̣oñqo yaklimpi | thy dog | ţ̦ongo yahkimrüi | your dog |
| ţ̧õ̃o eohkimpi | his dog | ţ̧ongo kímpena | their dog |

The first of these forms, migātowi, \&e., is evidently the same that appears in the Dakota mitawa, mine, vitava, thine, tawa, his, uñkituma, ours. The IIidatsa has similar forms, matamae, ditamae, and itamae, often pronounced mataocee, nitcerae, and itazcee. Dr. Matthews regards them as compounds formed by prefixing the pronouns matn, dita (nita) and ita to the noun mae (or wae) signifying personall property, which seems a very probable explanation.

The form valliimpi may be similarly explained. In Dakota kipá signifies, to keep for me, and hipz, to hold or contain. The sense of property or possession is apparently implied, and tequgo wahkimpi in Tutelo probably means "the dog my property," or "the dog I have."

The possessive pronouns are used by themselves in Tutelo in the following aflrmative and negative forms:

```
mimigätovi (or mimigätowe, or mihätori)
yiñ|ÿtowi (yingūtove, yiñん\overline{\imath̃towi)}
```



```
maqgitowi (or mahyätove, or mahkitovi)
yingitombüi (or yi\tilde{n}'itombui)
gitoñ"ēsel (or kitoñncsel)
```

mine, or, it is mine thine, or, it is thine his, or, it is his ours, or, it is ours yours, or, it is yours theirs, or it is theirs

## Negative Form.

| Kimiyz̄tonañ (kimihītonañ) | it is not mine |
| :---: | :---: |
| kiñyiy ${ }^{\text {antona }}$ | it is not thine |
| kijz̄tonan | it is not his |
| kinaquitonañ | it is not ours |
| kiñuig ${ }^{\text {bitombönañ }}$ | it is not yours |
| kiyätoqnēnañ | it is not theirs |

The proper form of the first personal affirmative is doubtless migitowi (or mihätovec). In mimigätowi the first syllable is evidently from the separate pronoun $m \bar{z} m$, $I$, used for emphasis. In the Dakota the forms miye mitava, me, mine, niye nitawa, thee, thine, \&e., are used for the same purpose:

The negative form is not found in either the Dakota or the IIidntsa, and may be regarded as another instance of the greater wealth of inflections possessed by the Tutelo.

The following are the interrogative demonstrative and indefinite pronouns in the Tutelo, so far as they were ascertained. The Dakota and Hidatsa are added for comparison :

| Tutelo. ètocia, or hetōa | Dakota. tuwe | Hidatsa. tape | who? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| àleñ, kaka | taka | tapa | what? |
| ètuk | tukte | to; tua | which? |
| $t$ henaùn | tonu; tonaku | tuami | how many? |
| tewakītùmcā | tucetava | tapeitamae | whose (is it)? |
| $n \bar{e} k e$, or nēikin ; heiki | de | hidi; kini | this |
| yuhān; hēon; enā | he; ka | hido ; hino | that |
| ohön, or oho | ota | ahu | many |
| $h \bar{o} k, 7 \bar{u} k, \bar{o} k a h \bar{o} k$ | owasiñ ; iyuqpa | etsa; qukaheta | all |

The general resemblance of most of these forms is apparent. In the Tutelo for "whose?" which might have been written tera!i!tuñoa, we see the allix of the possessive pronoun (gïtooe) inflectedto mike an interrogative form. The Dakota and Hidatsa use the affix (tura and tamae) without the inflection.

## The Verb.

There are two very striking peenliarities in which the Dakota and Hidatsa dialects difler from most, if not all, Indinn languages of other stocks. Tinese are: firstly, the manner in which the personal pronom is incorporated with the verb; and, seciondly, the extreme paucity or almoss total absence of inflections of mood and tense. In the first of these peculiarities the Tutelo resembles its western congeners; in the second it differs from them in a marked degree-more widely even than the Latin verb differs from the English. These two characteristics require to be separately noted.

In most Indinn languages the personal pronouns, both of the subject and of the olject, are in some measure either united with the verb or inphoc. Amer. pillos. soc. xxi. 114. d. phinted malleil 31, 1883.
dicated by an inflection. The peculiarity which distinguishes the language's of the Dakotan stock is found in the variable position of these incorporated pronouns. They may be placed at the beginning, at the end, or betweea any two syllables of the verb. The position of the pronoun is not, how. ever, arbitrary and dependent on the pleasure of the speaker. It appears to be fixed for each verb, according to certain rules. These rules, however, seem not yet to have been fully determined, and thus it happens that a Dakota dietionary must give the place of the pronoun in every verb, precisely as a Latin dictionary must give the perfect tense of every verb of the third conjugation. Thas, for example, in the Dakota proper, kuertit, to bind (or rather "he binds"), makes wakíçka, I bind, yakakę't, thou bindest; manoñ, he steals, makes mawánoñ. I steal, mayároñ, thou stealest: and etçiñ, he thinks, makes etçiñumi, I think, etçã̃ni, thou thinkest, the suffixed pronouns receiving a peculiar form. In the Hidatsa, kidlĕqi, he loves, makes makidĕçi, I love, dakidĕçi, thou lovest; eke, be knows, becomes emake, I know, and edake, thou knowest ; and kitsahike, he makes good, becomes kitsahikema, I make good, and kitsahikeda, thou makest good. The Tutelo has the pronouns sometimes prefixed, and sometimes inserted; no instances have been found in which they are suffixed, but it is by no means improbable that such cases may occur, as verbs of this class are not common in either of the former linguages, and our examples of conjugated verbs in Tutelo are not very numerous. Among them are the following :

1. Verbs with prefixed pronouns:
lakpése, he drinks
yalakpése, thou drinkest
walakpése, I drink
hiantkapeioa, he sleeps
yahiantkapēıa, thou sleepest
wahiantkapeeioa, I sleep
tetoo, he is dead
yitēıa, thou art dead
witë́ca, I am dead
2. The verbs in which the pronouns are inserted seem to be the most numerous class. The following are exumples:
hahērct, he says
hayikēıa, thou sayest
hawaleèca, I say
malıanáñka, he sits down
mahayináñka, thou sittest down
mahamináñla, I sit down
inksēhn, he lauglıs
iñyakrëha, thou laughest
iñwaksëha, I laugh
ohata, he sees
oyahíta, thou seest
owaháa, I see

The pronouns may be thus inserted in a noun, used with a verbal sense. Thus volltí' 'a or vahtakai, man or Indian, may be conjugated:
> waltatkai, he is an Indian
> voayiltükai, thou art an Indian
> womiltākai, I am un Indian

It is remarkable, however, that the pronoun of the first person plural is usually (though not always) prefixed. Thus from maluáäuka, he sits down, we have (as above) mahaminañha, I sit town, and mañkmuhanánku, we sit down. So, mainkisēha (or sometimes wainkisēha), we laugh, and marohata, we see. On the other hand, we find hamankhewa, we say, from hahewa, he says, making (as above) Juwaheva. I suy.

The word manon. he steals, has in Dakota the pronouns inserted, as is shown in the examples previously given. The similar word in Tutelo, mamioma or manümu, has them prefixed, us yimanōma, thou stealest, mamanöma, I stenl. But on one occasion this word was given in a different form, as manundañi, he steals; and in this example the pronouns were inserted, the form of the first personal pronoun, and of the verb itself in that person, being at the same time varied, as mayinundäni, thou stenlest, maminuntame, I steal. In Dakota the place of the pronoun is similarly varied by a change in the form of the verb. Thus baksí, to cut off with a knife, makes bawaksa, I cut off (with the pronoun inserted), while kalisfi, to cut off with an axe, makes wahilise, I cut off (with the pronoun prefixed), and so in other like instances.

The other peculiarity of the Dakota and Itidatsa languages, which has been referred to, viz., the paucity, or rather absence, of all changes of mood and tense which can properly be called inflections, is in striking contrast with the nbunclance of these changes which mark the Tutelo verb. The difference is important, especially as indicating that the Tutelo is the older form of speech. It is an established law in the science of linguistics that, in any family of languages, those which are of the oldest formation, or, in other worts, which approtch nearest to the mother speech, are the most highly inflected. The derivative or more recent tongues are distinguished by the comparative fewness of the grammatical changes in the vocables. The diflerence in this respect between the Tutelo and the western brancines of this stock is so great that they seem to belong to different categories or genera in the chassifieation of languages. The Tutelo may properly be styled an inflected language, while the Dakota, the Hidatsa, and apparently all the other western dialects of the stock, must be classed among agglutinated languages, the variations of person, number, mood and tense being denoted by affixed or inserted particles.

Thus in the Ilidatsa there is no difference, in the present tense, between the singular and the plural of a verb. Killegei isignifies both "he loves" and "they love ;" makidĕçi, "I love," and "we love." In the future a distinction is made in the flrst and second persons. Dakidĕcidi signifies
"thou wilt love," of which dakidĕcidiha is the plural, "ye will love." In this language there is no mark of any kind, even by afflxed particles, to distinguish the present tense from the past, nor even, in the third person, to distinguish the future from the other tenses. Kidëçisignifies he loves, he loved, and he will love. The Dakota is a little better furnislsel in this way. The plural is distinguished from the singular by the addition of the particle $p i$, and in the first person by prefixing the pronoun $u \tilde{n}$, they, in lieu of va or $w e$, . Thus kaçká, he binds, mes kaçlípi, they bind. Wakraçla, I bind, becomes uñkaçkapi, we bind. No distinction is mate between the present and the past tense. Kaçká is both he binds and he bound. The particle kta, which is not printed and apparently not pronounced as an affix, indicates the future. It sometimes produces a slight euphonic change in the final vowel of the verb. Thus kígke kita, he will bind, kaçkiapi kta, they will bincl. All other distinetions of number and tense are indicated in these two languages by adverbs, or by the general context of the sentence.

In lieu of these scant and imperfect modes of expression, the Tutelo gives us a surprising wealth of verbal forms. The distinction of singuiar and plural is clearly shown in all the persons, thus:

| opeeroa, he goes | opchélla, they go |
| :---: | :---: |
| oyapēoo, thou goest | oyapepūa, ye go |
| ovapëroa, I go | maopēza, we go |

Of tenses there are many forms. The termination in evon appears to be of an aorist, or ratlier of an indefinite sense. Opēioa (from opa, to go) may signify both he goes and be went. A distinctive present is indicated by the termination oma; a distinctive past by ōka; and a future by $t a$ or étu. Thus from kite, to kill, we have waktèwa, I kill him, or killed him, arakteöma; I am killing him, and wakitēta, I will kill him. So ohütn, he sees it, becomes ohatiōka, he saw it formerly, and ohatēta, he will see it. Orēra, he goes (or went), becomes opēta, he will go, inflected as follows:
opēta, he will go
oyapëta, thou wilt go
ovapēta, I will go
opehéhla, they will go
oyapétepa, ye will go
maopēta, we will go

The inflections for person and number in the distinctively present tense, ending in oma, are shown in the following exnmple:
voaginöma, he is sick wayinjinoma, thou art sick wormeginōma, I am sick

Ohäta, he sees it, is thus varied :
ohata, he sees it oyahata, thou seest it ovohata, I see it
qoaginónhna, they are sick
vayin̆ jinómpo, ye are sick mañ juoxginōma, we are sick
ohatéhla, they see it oyahatbua, ye see it maohata, we see it
ohationka, he saw it oyahatiōka, thou sawest it oncalatioka, I saw it ohatētic, he will sec it oyahatēta, thou wilt see it oucahatēta, I shall see it
ohatiokehla, they saw it oyahatiokerca, ye saw it maohatioka, we saw it ohatetéhla, they will see it oyahātetlūa, ye will see it maohātēta, we shall see it

The following examples will show the variations of person in the aorist tense :

| hatèea, he says | hahéhla, they say |
| :---: | :---: |
| 'hayihêca, thou sayest | hayiliepua, ye say |
| hasalieaca, I say | hamañhētoa, we say |
| hilininderca, he is hungry | hōhnin:ēse, they are liungry |
| yihöhnindèca, thou art hungry | $h \bar{z} h \sim i n d e \bar{p} \bar{u} a$, ye are hungry |
| mihōhnindēwa, I lıungry | mahkilinindēca, we are hungry. |

Wakiv $\frac{\imath}{s p e} x \neq a$, remember it, an aorist form, becomes in the preterite wako ${ }^{\text {speöka, and, in the future, vakonspēta. It is thus varied in the aorist }}$ and past tenses :

| quakunspēva, I remember it $y a k o n ̃ s p e \bar{c} c a$, thou rememberest it | makikoñspēıa, we remember it yakoñspetü, ye remember it |
| :---: | :---: |
| kikonspecea, he remembers it | kikoñspēhĕla, they remember it |
| vakoñ peōka, I remembered it | makikoñspeōka, we remembered it |
| yakoñspeokia, thou rememberedst it | yakoũspepuyoka, ye remembered it |
|  | $k i k o \tilde{u} s p e l e o ̄ k a$, they remembered it |

In several instances verbs were heard only in the inflected forms. For the simple or root-form, which doubtless exists in the language, we are obliged to have recourse to the better known Dakota language. Thus opeloa, he went, and opeta, he will go, indicate a root opa, he goes, which is actually found in the Dakota.
So manöma (which is probably a distinctively present tense), and manondañi, both meaning he steals, indicate a briefer root-form which we find in the Dakota manoñ, having the same meaning. Manōma, which is probably a contraction of manoñoma, is thus varied:
manöma, he steals
yımanöma, thou stealest
mamanōma, I steal

> manonnese, they steal yimanompu $\bar{u} a$, ye steal ma $\tilde{n} k m a n \bar{o} m a$, we steal

From these examples it is evident that there are variations of inflection, which, if the language were better understood, might probably be classified in distinct conjugations. Other instances of these varlations will be given hereafter.
It is well known that in the Iroquois, Algonquin, Cherokee, and other Indian languages, of different stocks, there are many forms of the verb, nega-
tive, interrogative, desiderative, and the like, which are among the most notable characteristies of these languages, and add much to their power of expression. The Tutelo has several of these forms, but none of them are found in the Dakota or Hidatsa, both of which express the meaning of these forms by adverbial phrases or other circumbentions. The negative form in Tutelo is made (in a manner which reminds us of the French nepas) by prefixing $k$ or $k i$ to the affirmative and sufhxing $n a$. The tense terminations oma, owa, and ewa, become ona and ena in this form :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { inksḕla, he laughs } & \text { kinksélna, he does not laugh } \\
\text { iñoaksēha, I laugh } & \text { kiñocihsehna, I do not laugh } \\
\text { vameginōma, I nm sick } & \text { kivameginōna, I am not siek } \\
\text { vaktēoa, I killed hinı } & \text { kivoktēna, I did not kill him } \\
\text { oicakläka, I speak } & \text { kovaklē̈lna, I do not speak } \\
\text { wakteōma, I an killing him } & \text { kioakteōna, I am not killing him } \\
\text { yahōwa, he is coming } & \text { kiahōna, he is not coming }
\end{array}
$$

Kinitséhna, he is not laughing, is thus varied in the present tense :

| kiñzséhna, he is not laughing' | kiñisehanëna, they are not laughing |
| :--- | :--- |
| kiñyakséhna, thou art not laughing | kiñyakséhpuna, ye are not laughing |
| kiñoakséhna, I am not laughing | kinatñkéhna, we are not laughing |

The interrogative form terminates in 0 , as:
yaktēnoa, thou killedst him
yaktcoma, thou art killing him
yatēta, thou wilt kill him
yatz̄ıa, thou dwellest
alēioa, he is going
yaktēco, didst thou kill him?
yakteoñmo, art thou kiling him? yaktēto, wilt thou kill him?
toka yatizo, where dost thou dwell?
toka alcwo, where is he going?

It is evident that this form is an inflection, pure and simple. It is a vowel change, and not in any manner an agglutinated partiele. It takes the place of that elevation of tone with which we conclude an interrogative sentence, and which, strange to say, is not heard among the Dakotis. Mr. Riggs remarks that "unlike the English, the voice falls at the close of all interrogative sentences."

The desiderative form appears to be expressed by the affixed particle $b i$ or be, but the examples which were obtained happened to be all in the negative, thus:
owapèza, I go
opetēse, he is going, or will go
havoilewa, I come
waktewa, I kill him
kovapëbina, I do not wish to go $k o p e \overline{b e n}$ äse, he does not wish to go kivoilëbina, I do not wish to come kivcaktēbina, I do not wish to kill him

The imperative mood is distinguished apparently by a sharp accent on the final syllable of the verb, which loses the sign ut iense. Thus from the $\tilde{n} j o ̈$, to give (in Dakota und Hidatsa, ku), which appears in maingöwa, I
give to you, we have, in the imperative, masā mingó, give me a knife. kitëse or kitesel, he kills him, gives kité tçoñli, or tạの $\tilde{n} k$ kité, kill the dog.

In the western languages of the Dakota stock, certain particles prefixed to the verb play an important part in modifying the meaning. Thus in Dakota and Hidatsa the prefix $p a$ signifies that the action is done with the hand. From ksa, Dak., meaning separate, we have paksá, to break with the hand; from qu, Hid., to spill, paqu, to pour out with the hand. The Dakota $n a$, Hidatsa ada (for ana) are prefixes showing that the action is done with the foot. The Dakota $y a$, Hidatsa $d a$ (often pronounced ra or la) show that the act is done with the mouth. Ka (Dak.) and dăk (IIid.) indicate an act done by a sudden, forcible impulse, \&c. Attempts were made to ascertain whether similar prefixes were employed in the Tntelo speech. It was found that in many cases the latter had distinct words to express acts which in the western languages were indicated by these compound forms. Still, a sufficient number of examples were obtained to show that the use of modifying prefixes was not unknown to the language. Thus the root kusa, which evidently corresponds with the Dakota ksa, signifying separation, occurs in the following forms:

nanthūsisel, he breaks it off with the foot<br>latküsisel, .he bites it off<br>tikiusisel, he breaks it off by pushing<br>lakathūsisel, he cuts it off with an axe

The Dakota $n a$, signifying action with the foot, is evidently found, with some modification, in the Tutelo nanth $\bar{u} s i s c l$ above quoted, and also in nañkokisek, to stamp with the foot, and in konaqlotisel, to scratch with the foot. So the cutting, pushing, or impulsive prefix, lak or lakia, which appears in lakathiusisel, is found also in lakathüsisel, he cuts open, lukaspëta, to cut off in pieces, lakasäse, to chop, lakapleh, to sweep the fioor. La, which in lathiusisel indicates action with the mouth, is found also in lakpëse, to drink, and perhaps in yilanäha, to count or read, which has the corresponding prefix $y a$ in the Dakota word $y \vec{a} \cdot o a$, of like meaning

The affixed or incorporated pronouns are used with transitive verbs to form what are called by the Spanish writers on Indian grammar transitions, that is, to express the passage of the action from the agent or subject to the object. This usage is governed by very simnle rules. In the Dakota and Hidatsa the rule prevails, that when two atixed pronouns come together, the one being in the nominative case an! the other in the objective, the objective always precedes the nominative as in mayakoria (Dak.) me-thou-bindest, dimakilléei (Hid.) thee-I-love. In the Dakota the third personal pronoun is in general not expressed ; karfta signifies both he binds, and he binds him, her, or it ; voakáçla is I bind, and I bind him, Ac. In the Hidatsa, this pronoun is not expressed in the nominative, but in the objective it is indicated by the pronoun $i$ preflxed to the verb, as kidéci, lie loves ; ikideçi, he loves him, her or it.

The Tutelo, as far as could be ascertained, follows the usage of the Dakota
in regard to the third personal pronoun (which is not expressed) but differs from both the other languages, at least in some instances, in the order of the pronouns. The nominative affix occasionally precedes the objective, as in marineiga, I-thee-see. Yet in kohinañkwiysheda, me-thou-struckest (where the pronouns are inserted), this order is reversed. The rule on which these variations' depend was not ascertained. Owing to the dimlculties of an inquiry carried on through the medium of a double translation (from English into Cayuga or Onondaga, and from the latter into Tutelo), it was not easy to gain a clear idea of the precise meaning of many of the examples which were obtnined. An Indian when asked to translate "I love thee," or "thou lovest me," unless he is an educated man, or perfectly familiar with the language in which he is addressed, is apt to become perplexed, and to reverse the meaning of the pronouns. The following examples, however, will suffice to show that the system of transitions exists in the Tutelo, though they do not enable us to analyze and reconstruct it completely. Many other examples were obtained, but are omitted from a doubt of their correctness.

roakteōma, I am killing him vaikteöma (for woyikteöma) I am killing thee mikteōma he is killing me yakteōma, thon art killing him kiteóñsel, he is killing them<br>inēeca, he sees him (or he saw him) minḕıa, I see him (qu. m'inḕoa, for ma.inềoa)<br>mayineèoa, I see thee<br>miïnēıa, he sees me<br>yiunévoa, he sees thee<br>miünêlla, they see me<br>yandostēta, he loves him yandomistēkia, he loves me yandoyistēka, he loves thee yandowastēki, I love him yandoyastēka, thou lovest him yandoyistêka, he loves thee manh⿱̆च̆andostēka (qu. maikiandoyistēka), we love thee maihiandostekanēse, we love them waiyandostêka, he loves us waiyandoyastēka, thou loved us<br>yandostekanëse, he loves them (or they love him)<br>yandomistêkana, they love me<br>kohinañ̃йюа, he struck (or strikes) him<br>kohinañkyilizoa, he struck thee<br>kohinañmilīoa, he struck me

kohinañıohīıa, I struck him<br>kohinañyaizioa, thou struckest him<br>kohinañ'voiyahīoa, thou struckest me<br>kohinañmañkihīoa, we struck him<br>gikioha (or kihöha), lie calls to him<br>wigikoha, I call to him<br>soaingikōha, (for zouyingition? in), I call to thee<br>iñ.juhohèse (for yingikohāse), he calls to thee<br>$i \tilde{n} j i$ iopolēse, he calls to yon<br>minjoikoha, he calls to m 3<br>yigikoha, thou callest to him<br>ingikopüa, they call to you<br>gikohunēse, they call to them

From the foregoing examples it is evident that the system of transitions In the Tutelo is as complete as in the Dakota and Ilidatsa. But there are apparently some peculiar euphonic changes, and some of the pronouns are indicated by terminal inflections, particularly in the second person plural and in the third person singular and plural.

In the Tutclo, as in the Dakota und Hidatsa, substantives and adjectives are readily converted into neuter verbs by the addition or insertion of the pronouns and the verbal suffixes. It is in this manner that these languages, like other Indian tongues, are generally enabled to dispense with the use of the substantive verb. Thus in the Dakota witeçesth, man, by inserting the pronoun ma, I, becomes voimatçıçta or voitçamuçta, I am a man, and by inserting $u \tilde{n}$ (we) and adding the plural affix pi, becomes wiun'ş'çtapi, we are men. So also wą̧te, good, becomes muwaçte, I am good, uñouçtepi, we are good.

In the Tutelo the word wahtāka, or wahtākai, man, is inflected as follows:
> wamihtākrai. I am a man.
> woyihtā́tni, thou art a man.
> wahtālcai, lie is a man.
> micamihtāllui, we are men.
> iñıouhtātai, ye are men. hūkzoaltākai, they are men.

The last two forms appear not to be regular, and may have been given ly mistake. Hūkoahtātai probably means "all are men."

This verb may take the aorist form, as :

> wamihtakāıa, I am (or was) a man. woayihtakāıoa, thou art (or wast) a man.
> wahtakāıa, le is (or was) a man, \&c.

So the adjective $l_{i}$, good, becomes, with the aorist affix roa, lizoa, he is (or was) good; yimbica, thou art good; mimlicoa, I am good. In the proc. Amer. pillos. boc. xxi. 114. f. phinted may 8, 1883.
prosent teuse we have chise, he is good ; ebile ese, they are good; and in tho preterit, cbihöa, he was good.

## Adverbs.

In many cases, as has been already shown, the English adverb is indrcated in the Tutelo by a modification of the verb. The negative adverb, for example, is usually expressed in this manner, as in in cseha, he is latghing, kinksehna, le is not laughing ; migitowe, it is mine, kimigitonetn, it is not mine.

Sometimes the meaning which in English would be expressed by an adverb accompanying a verb, is expressed in Tutelo by two verbs. Thus we have $i h \bar{o} h a$, slie is sewing, apparently from a root $i h \bar{o}$ or yehñ, to sew : and $k o n ̃ s p \bar{e}$ ool yehō, slıe is sewing well, $i$. $e$., she is carefnl in sewing (lit., she thinks, or remembers, in sewing) ; kelōna yehō, she is sewing badly, i.e. she does not well in sewing (or is not good nt sewing). Here kehina is the negative form of bioo, he (or she) is goonl.

## Prepositions.

Many plarases were obtained with a viow of asecrtalning the prepositions of the Tutelo, but without success. Sometimes an expression which in Engllsh requires a preposition would in the Tutelo appear as a distinct word. Thus, while ati signifles a house, tokai wos given as equivalent to "in the house." It may perhaps simply mean "at home." Prairie is latāhkoi, but onīi signifies "at the prairie."

Other examples wou!d seem to show that the prepositions in the Tutelo, as in the IIdatsa, and to a large extent in the Dakota, are incorporated with the verb. Thus tähkai signifies "woods," mind tähkai ajinexe, he is in the woods. So $s \bar{u} i$, hill, and $s \bar{u} i a_{i} i n \bar{e} s e$, he is on the hill. The phrase "I am going to the house" was rendered witêta iati, and the phrise "I am coming from the house," by woklēta iati. The practice of combining the preposition with the verb is very common in the Indian languages, which merely carry to a greater extent a familiar usage of the Aryan speech. The expressions, to ascend or descend a hill, to circumnavigate a lake, to overhang a fence, to undermine a wall, are exmmples of an idiom so prevalent in the Indian tongues as to supersede not merely the cases of nouns, but to a large extent the separable prepositions.

## Conjunctions.

In the Tutelo, conjunctions appear to be less frequently used than in English. An elliptical form of speech is employed, but with no loss of clearness. The phrase " when I came, he was asleep," is expressed briefly wikiok, hiañka, I came, he was asleep. So, "I called the dog, but he did not come," becomes wagelākiok tçoñ̀l, kihūna, I called the dog, he came not. When it is considered necessary or proper, however, the conjunction is expressed, as kuminēna, mi Jān hinēka, I did not see him, but John saw him. Here "but" is expressed by mi.

Nịás signitles "nul," or "ulso." Waklumīha lubūs nigás maséñ, I hought a lat and a knifc. Ooakiōka wonktảl:a nigás mihéã nomba lek, I met atman and two women.
$L i$, which expresses "if," appears to be combined with the verh, at least In pronunciation; thus: Lihāok, voageläjita, If he comes, I will tell him; voilüta, Jun liliō̄, I will come if John comes. It is noticeable in the last two examples that the accent or stress of voice in the worl lihiok, if ho comes, appenrs to vary with the position of the word in the sentence.

## Syntax.

The only points of interest which were asecrtalned in regard to the syntax of the language related to the position of worls in a sentence.

The adjective follows the notun which it qualifies, as voahtake $l \overline{\mathrm{~b}}$, good man, af asäñ. white house. The rule applies to the numerals, as miláă noñsa, one woman, at $\bar{z}$ noñbai, two houses. In this respect the Tutelo conforms to the rule which prevalls in the Dakota and Hidats a languages, as well as in the dialects of the Iroquois stock. In the Algonkin lan. gunges, on the other hand, the adjective precedes the noun.

The position of the verb appears to be a matter of indifference. It sometimes precedes the noun expressing either the subject or the object, and sometimes follows it, the meaning being determined apparently, as in Latin, by the inflection. Thus "I see a man," is minēoa waiuc $\bar{\square}$ (I sco him a man) ; and "the man sees me" is miinēor quaicäl (he sees me the man). Ţ̧̃ñto miñjō, give me a dog ; kitétçoñhi, kill the dog. In the last example the change from tẹñato to ternai is appurently not a grammatical infiection, but is merely euphonic. The verb in the imperative mond suffciently shows the speaker's meaning, and the position of the noun is a matter of emphasis. "A dog give me," not a knife ; " kill the dog," don't let him esenpe.

A verb is placed after another verb to which it bears the relation expressed by our infinitlve ; as miñiloqko waktë́a, let me kill him (allow me, I will kill him). Wakonta opéta, I will make him go (I cause him he will go).

The euphonic changes which words undergo in construction with other words are as marked in this language as they are in the proper Dakota tongue, and seem to be often of a similar, if not identical, charteter in the tuoo languages. Thus in Dikota the word çuñer, dog, becomes çieñ'ee when a possessive pronoun is prefixed. In the Tutelo a similar change takes place wien the position of the noun is altered ; thus wo have teñeo miñgö, give me a dog ; kitē tçoñki, kill the dog. The terminal vowel is frequently dropped, and the consonant preceiling it undergoes a change ; thus in Dakota yuza, to hold, becomes yus in the phrase yus majin, to stand holding. In Tutelo naliāmbi (properly nahä̃ãhi) or naltäbi, day, becomes nahāmp (or nahäp), in nahāmp lāli (or nahāp lali), three days. In such instances the two words which are thus in construction are pronounced as though they formed a single word.

## VOCABULARY.

Particular care was taken to obtuin, as correctly as possible, all the words comprised in the comparative vocabulary adopted by Gallatin for his Synopsls of the Indian languages. Many other words, expressive of the most common objects or actions, have been added. The alphabetical arrangement Is adopted for convenience of reference, in lieu of the different order which Gallatin preferred for the purposes of his work. The Dakota and Hidatsa words are derived from the dietionarics of Mr. Riggs and Dr. Matthews, with the neeessary ehanges of orthography which are required for the direct comparison of the three languages.

When several words are given in the Tutelo list, they are sometimes, as will be seen, mere varintions of pronuncintion or of grammatical form, and sometimes entirely distinct expressions. The Tutelo has no less than four worls for " man,' nuhtäta, vaiyüıa (or vouitaq) yū'ikuñ, and nōna, which have doubtless different shades of meaning, though these were not ascertained. There are also two distinet worls meaning "to see," $i \neq \bar{\theta} \omega a$, and olāta, and two for " go," opēion and qulu (or, rather opa and la, answering to opa and ya in Dakota). A more complete knowledge of the language would doubtless afford the means of discriminating between these apparently synonymous terms.

The words marked N in the vocabulary are those which were received from Nikonla himself. The pronunciation of these words may be accepted as that of a Tutelo of the full blood, and as affording a test of the correctness of the others.

|  | Tutelo. | Dakota. | Hidatsa. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Alive | inis, ení, lnina | ni | hiwakatsa |
| All | hūk, hṑk, okahōk | iyuqpa | qukaheta; etsa |
| And | nigás | kha; tév; uñkañ; nakuñ | ica |
| Arm | hicto (N) histo | isto | ara |
| Arrowo | muñkslı; mãñō! (N) | wañhiñ'xpo | ita, maita |
| Ashes | alıpōk | fequota | midũtsapi |
| Aunt | watemai ; tomin | tuñwin | içami ika |
| Autumn | \{ãñyl, tä'l | ptañyctu | mata |
| Awoke | kiklëse | kikta | itsi ; hidamitats |
| Ax\% | nisēp ( N ), hisēpi, hisép | oñspe | maiptsa |
| $\boldsymbol{B a d}$ | okāyck (N) okāyik, ukāyik | citct | Jcia |
| Bag | mañksūi | ojuha | içi |
| Ball | tapi | tapa | maotàpi |
| Berk ( $n$ ) | qūpi ; yohiñk | cıñha | midaiçi ; qùpi (v) |
| Bear | münti (N) mōnti, mcfill | mato | daqpitsi |
| Beade | watai | totodan | akutohi |


'Tutelo.
Cheek
Cherry
Child

Chop (v)
Churn (v)
Claw
Cloud
Club
Cold
Come
Copper
Count (v) yilanāha
Cranberry hohnūñk
Crane kainstäkai
Crozo ( $n$ ) - kālii
Cry (v) qãqise
Cut (v) with
knife lakatkōsa
Dance ( $v$ ) wagitçi ( N ), ketçi
Darkness usīhaa, olhsīha
Daughter (my) witēka ( N ), wi-
Day nahambe, nahamp,

Deer witāi
Devil (evil spirit)
Dig
Dog
Drink (o)
Duck
ohañke, miohañk mitcuñķ̧i nalıañpe
tē, têka
ùkstéh
yosañkrota wakasīk; wāgotskäi (secsmall) hokçiyopa kaksa
mampamasawohöka botço
olnskēse tsake
maqōsi ( N )
yehēti
sani
yahūa, 'howa, hī
penilıēi
mämpā isī
tē ( N ), tēolāha
tẹoñg ( $N$ ) tçoñgo tẹoñki, (çoñk
lākpē, lapēta
ïctai ( N ), hcistañ, manēasēi (sce Goose)
naqōq ( n ), nahūh
amãni, amāi
lūtí
mayiñk pōs (see Bird)
pālán ( N ) pnlāni, palāll
mughaksitça; skiska miqaka

| noghe; nakpa | akuqi |
| :--- | :--- |
| maka | amu <br> yuta |
| duti (nuti) |  |



| Aun | Tutelo. minktë ( N ) | Dakota. mazakañ | Hidatsa. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hail | nōq | wasu | ma'kùqpitami |
| Hair | natónwe ( N ), nañ̛ói, natói | natú ; liñ | ana; hi |
| Hand | hāg (N), hāki, āk | nape (cake, claw, finger-nail) | çaki |
| Handsome | pirē ( N ), ipī, ipīkam (see g.ood) | owañyag waste |  |
| Have | tahoñtanēki | tiñ-maçiñtç | itūki |
| Hat | lubūs ; kotubós (N) | wapaha | apoka |
| Hatchet | (see axe) |  |  |
| He | im, i | ic, jye | i, ce |
| Head | pasūye ( N ), pasūi | pa | atu |
| Heart | yāñti ( N ), yanti; tāpì | tcante (tapi, liver) | na'ta (apiça,liver) |
| Hers | nei | den, detu |  |
| Him | e, ei, i | iye, is | i |
| Himself | esái, isáñi | iye, is | iqki |
| House | atio ( N ) | tipi | ati |
| How many | tokėnuñ | tona, tonaka | tuami, tuaka |
| Hundred | ukeni, okeni | opawiñghe | pitikiqtia |
| Hunger (v) | kihnindewn | wotektehda (hungry) | aniiti (hungry) |
| Husband | mãñki | hilna | kida, kina |
| $I$ | ma, mi, mīm | m:¢, miye | ma, mi |
| Ialone or Imy- |  |  |  |
| self | misáñi, misāi | mīye, miç, miçuana | miqki, mitsaki |
| Ico | noñhi ; miñgiratçal | telgha | manūqi |
| If | li | kiñhañ |  |
| Indian | wahtākai (man) | iktçewitçasta | amakanoqpaka |
| Iron | mañs, mās, masízoiäk | mazasapa | uetsa |
| Island | histēk, stëk, stestēki | wita |  |
| Kettle | yesiñk | tecegha | miduqa |
| Kill | kitē ( N ), ktē, kitēse | kte, kata | ta, kitahe |
| Krifo | maséñi, masēi, masāi ( N ) masā | isan | maetsi |
| Lake | (see Sea) |  |  |
| Land | (see Earth) |  |  |
| Laugh | inksēha, iñ̃kçē ( N ) | iqa | ka' |
| Leaf | otōi, otōq ( N ) | ape; wapa | midapa |
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| Hale.] |  | 42 | [ March_2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ours | Tutelo. maqgitowe | Dakota. uñkitawa | Hidatsa. matawae |
| Ourselves | maesäi, maesáni |  | midohi |
| Partridge | wustetkai | zitça |  |
| Pigeon | mayūtkāi, wayōtkāi | wakiyedañ |  |
| Pine-tree | wā3tī, wā3te ( N ) | wazi | matsi |
| Pipe | yehiñastik ( N ), ihīr. tik, iheñstek (qu, "mouth-stone") | tçotañka; tçañdu. hupa | ikipi |
| Pound (0) | pahē | apa | pa |
| Prairio | latahkoi | tiñta | amaadatsa, tedut |
| Rain | qawōi ( N ), qawōqa, hūwōhā, qawō | maghaju | qade |
| Raspberry | hasisiāi | takañhetęa |  |
| Red | atsūti, atçūti, atçūt | duta (scarlet), pa (red) | hiçi |
| Remember | koñspēwa | kiksuya |  |
| River | taksita, taksitai | wakpir ; watpa | azi |
| Run (v) | hinda, hantá ( N ) | inyañka | tinie |
| Say ( $)^{\text {) }}$ | hahōwa (seo Speak) | eya | ide |
| Sea | yetañi, yetāi, iētañ | mde (lake); miniwañ:ç: (onewater) | minfiqtia (groat water) |
| See (v) | ohäta, inēwa, waqēta | toñwañ; wañyaka; wañhdaka | ika ; atsiça |
| Seven | sāgóm ( N ), sagomēi, sagomiñk | çakowiñ | çapua |
| Seventeen | agesagōmi | ake-ç.lkowiñ | aqp:capua |
| Sew (v) | ihōha | kagheghe ; ipasisa | kikaki |
| Shoes | handisonōi ( $N$ ), añ gohlēi, āgōre, āgōdē | tcañhañpa | hupa ; itapa |
| Shoot off (v) | opatañsel | bopōta |  |
| Sick | waginōma | yazañ | iqoade |
| Sing ( ${ }^{\text {) }}$ | yãmùñiyē ( N ) | dowañ; ahiyaya |  |
| Sister | minēk ( N ), tahañk | tawinoqtin; tañka, tanku | inu, itaku, içami |
| Sit | mahanañka | iyotañka | amaki |
| Six | agùs ( N ), akásp, akāspei | çakpe | akama |
| Sixteen | agegaspe | akeçakpe | aqpiakama |
| Sky | mañtōi, matoñi, matōi | maqpiya to | apaqi |


| 1883.] |  | 43 | [Hale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tutelo. | Dakota. | Hidatsa. |
| Sleep (r) | hīyŭñ ( N ); hianta, hiantkapewa | içtiñma | hami, hinami |
| Small | kutçkai ( N ), kütskai, |  |  |
|  | kotskai | tẹistiñna; tçikadañ; niçkodañ | kariçta |
| Snake | wägenī | wañ; wamduçka | mapokça |
| Son | witēka (n), tēkai; qūtçkai(see\|Small) | tçinktçi (koçká, young man) | idiçi |
| Speak | niça ( N ), salıeña, sahīta, hahēwa, oaklaka | ia; yaotañiñ | idé, ine |
| Spring ( $n$ ) | welıahempēi, wehaélimpē; maste | wetu (maçté, woarm) |  |
| Squirrel | nistāqkai | taçnahetęı; hetkaduñ; ziça |  |
| Stamp (v) roith foot | nañkōkisek | natata, natañtan |  |
| Star | $\begin{aligned} & \text { tabunītçkai ( } \mathrm{N} \text { ), tap- } \\ & \text { niñskai } \end{aligned}$ | witçañqpi | iça |
| Stay (v) | nañka (see Sit) | yañka | daka |
| Steal | mancin, manōma | manoñ | açadi |
| Stone | histéki, nistēk ( N ) | iñyañ | mi' |
| Strawberiy | haspalínuk | wrjuctetça | amuáqoiza |
| Strike | kohinùnhiwa | apa, kaçaka |  |
| Strong | itāi; soti; wāyupiiki | suta; waçaka | itsii |
| Summer | wēhē piwa (sce Spring) | mdoketu | ade, mande |
| Sun | mie or min ( N ), mi ( $\sec$ Moon) | wi | midi |
| Swoeep (v) | lakaplék | kahiñta |  |
| Ten | pōtsk ( N ), putsk, butçk, putckai, putskáñi | wiktçemna | pitika |
| That | yukan; nêikiñ | ka, koñ | ku |
| Thee | hi, yi | ni | ni |
| Their | gitonnēsel | tawapi | itamae |
| There | kowai | hetçi; heñ; ka; kañki | hidikoa; kuad;; çekoa |
| They | imahese | lyepi | 1 |
| Thine | yiñgitowe | nitawa | nitawae |
| Thirteen | agelali | ake-yamni | aqpinami |
| Thirty | putçka nani | wiktçemna yamni | damia-pitika |


|  | Tutelo. | Dakota. | Hidatsa. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This | néke, nēikiñ | de; detçedañ | hidi ; hini |
| Think | opemíha; koñspēwa | eçiñ ер¢̧ı | idie ; inie |
| Thou | yïm, ya, ye | niç, ya, ye | na, ni |
| Thousand | okeni butskai, ukeni |  |  |
|  | mbutskai | kektopawiñghe | pitikiqtia akakodi |
| Three | năn ( N ) nāni, lăt, lāni | yamni | nami, nawl |
| Thunder | tūi ; tūhangrūa | otin | tahu |
| Thyself | yisái, yesáñi | niye, nic | niqki |
| Tie (0) | olohī | iyakaçka; paqta | dutskiti |
| Tobacco | yéhni, yihnū | tẹañdi | ope |
| 20-day | nahámblekéñ (see Day) | etçin; nakaha; añ. petu kiñ de | hini-mape |
| Toes | atkasusai | siyukaja; sipiñkpa | itsiadutsamike |
| To-morrow | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nahampk (see To- } \\ & \text { day) } \end{aligned}$ | heyaketciñkañ | ataduk, ataruk |
| Tongue | netçi, netsi, letci | tçeji | dezi (nezi) |
| Tooth | ihin ( N ) | hl | i, hi |
| Town | māmpi, māmbì | otonwe | ati, ati ahu |
| Tres | onī ; wiéñ ( N ) miéñ (see Wood) | tçañ | mina (wood) |
| Turkey | māndāhkāi, māndùlıkāi | zitça tañka |  |
| Thoelve | agenomba | ake-noñpa | aqpidopa (agpinopa) |
| Thoenty | putska nomba | wiktcemna noñpa | nopapitika |
| Troo | nomp ( N ) nomba | noñpa | nopa, dopa |
| Ugly | ukāyik (see Bad) | owañyaq sitça | icia |
| Uncle (my) | minök' | midekçi; ate (father) | ate ; itadu |
| Us | mac, wae | บกั | mido, wiro |
| Valley | oñqyãyùñ | kaksiza ; tçokañ | amaqaktupi |
| Walk (o) | yalēwa (see Go) | mani | dide |
| Warm | akāteka, akātia | kata; tçoza; maçte | ade |
| Warrior | ērutāoñe | akitçita; mdetahuñka | akimakikua |
| Wuter | manj (N) | mini | mini, midi |
| We | mim, mae, wae, mañ, mãcsáũ | uñ |  |
| Weave | añktāka | yañka ; kazoñta |  |
| Weep | qaka | tęeya | imia |
| Which | ētuk | tukte | tapa |
| What is that? | kakãñwā | taku (what) | tapa |


| 1883.1 |  | 45 | [Hale. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tutelo. | Dakota. | Hidntsa. |
| Whon | tokēnāq | tohiñni ; kehañ | tuakaduk ; tuakaçedu |
| Where | tokā | toki, tokiya | torn, toka |
| White | asùñi ( N ), asañi, asai, asei | sañ ; ska | atùki ; oqati |
| Who | keton, hetōa | tuwe | tape |
| Whose | tewakī.ùaw | tuwetawa | tapeitamae |
| Wifo | (same as Woman) mihañi | tawitçu | itadamia ; ua |
| .Wind | maniñkiē ( N ), mam. ùnklēi, maminkrē, omaklēwa | tate | hutsi |
| Winter | wāneñi, wānēi | wani, waniyetu | mana; tsinic (cold) |
| Wolf | mùñktagín (N), mùnktōkāi, maktukn: | çuñktoketça | motsn; tecca |
| Woman | milıáñi, mihañ ( $\mathbf{x}$ ), mahèi | winohintçā, wiñyañ | mia |
| Wood | miyeñi, miéñ, miyēi | tç.ñ | mina |
| Wort (0) | oknahō | $q$ tani | dule ; kikça |
| To | yim (see Thou) | niyepi | diclo ; niro |
| Yellow | ¢ii | zi | tsi |
| Yes | alıá, aháñ, awāqa | hañ; ho | $\theta$ |
| Yesterday | sito | qtanilnn | hudiçedu; hurjo çeru |
| Young | jéñki | askatudañ wota |  |
| Your (pl) | yiñøìambūi | nitawapi |  |





[^0]:    *Batt's Journal and Relation of a New Discovery, in N. Y. Hist. Col. Vol. III, p. 191.
    †Lambrevillo to Bruyas, Nov. 4, 1636, In N. Y. Hist. Col., Vol, ili, p. 484.
    $\ddagger$ Gallatin surgests that Lawson was here in erior, und that the Sapona river was a bianch of the Great Pedee, which he does not mention, and some branohes which he evilently mistook for tributaries of the Cape Fear river.-Synopaie of the Indian Tribes, p. 86.

[^1]:    *Lawson's "History of Carolina;" reprinted by Strother \& Marcom. Ralelgh, 1860 ; p. 384.
    t"Elte" (the Ohio) "s'appelle par tes Illinols et par les Oumiamis la riviere des Akanseas, parceque les Akanseas I'habltolent autrefols."-Gravier, Kelation du Voyage, p. 10. I an Indebted for this and other references to my estemed friend, Dr. J. G. Shea, whose unsurpassed knowledge of Indian history is not more admirable than the Ilverality with which its stores are placed at the com. mand of his friends.

[^2]:    * N. Y. Hist. Col., Vot. v, p. 655 et seq.

[^3]:    * N. Y. Ilist. Col., Vol. v, 1. © eto.
    †Gallatin, in his Synopsis elasses the Catawha as a separate stock, distinct from the Dakotil. The vocabntary whith he gives seems to warrant this sepamation, the resembinnces of worls belng few and of a doubtal eharacter. On the other hand, in the first anman report of the liarean of Ethmology eonneeted with the Smithsonlan Instintion (Introdnetlon, p. xix) the liataba (or Catiwba) is ranked umong the langunges of the Dakotan fimbly. My estermed corresponient, Mr. A.S. Ginischet, whose extensiveaequaln ance with Indian Inguisties glves great weight to hisophinion on uny suhject connected with this stindy, Informs me (Mareh 31, 1882) that this chasstfienton wis eonjectural ind provislonal, and thit his subsequent resenrehes among the few survivors of the tribe have not yet resultedin confirming it. They show eertain triees of resemblanee, both in the vocabmary and the syntax, but too slight and distant to make the uflliation certain. We shatl have, as he remurks, "to compare more material, or more uttentively that which we have, to arrive at afinal result."

[^4]:    *Life of Brainerd, p. 167, Am. Traet Soc. edition. Quoted in the "Life of Zeisberge:;" by De Sohweinitz. p. 71.
    $\dagger$ Life oi Zeisberger, by De Sohweinitz, p. 149.

[^5]:    * N. Y. Hist. Col. Vol, vi, p. 811.
    † Stone's Life of Sir William Johnson, Vol. 1, p. 484.
    $\ddagger$ 1bid., Vol. 11, p. 487.

[^6]:    *'I am Indebted tor this and much other valuablo information to my fricia Generul John S. Clark, of Anburn, N. Y., who has made the location and migrathons of the Indinn tribes the subjeet of a specinl study. Of the above names Dehoriss knuadia is appurently a eorruption of tho Mohawk words Tehoterigh kanada, Tutelo town. The other words are probably, like most Indian names of phaces, deseriptive designations, but are too much corrupted to be satlsfactorlly deciphered.

[^7]:    *See "The Disconeries of John Lederer," reprinted by O. If. Harpot. Cincinnati, 1879, p. 17.

[^8]:    * See the note on page 303 of Dr Brinton's volume, ed edtion.
    + History of Virginia (Ist edition), p. 101.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid., 1. 171.

[^9]:    - In wagutska (Dakota, koçka), suntka, younger brother (Dak., sunka) ; ţongo or tcunki, dog (Dak., cunka) and many similar words, the $t$ is apparently an adscititions sound, Inserted by a mere trick of pronunciation. The Hidutsa earnies this practice further, and oonstantly introduces the sound of $t$ before the sharp s. The Tutelo isi, foot, becomes itsi in Hidatas; sant, oold, vecomes tsinia, \&o.

[^10]:    * I am indebted to Mr. Dorsey's letters for this and mnch other information of great interest respecting the western langunges ot the Dakota stock, forming part of his extensive work, which we may hope will soon be published.
    + Ethnography and Phtlology of the U.S. Exploifing Expedition under Chas. Wilkes, pp. 534, et scq.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid., p. 24 !.

