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## COL. GARRICK MALLERY, U. S. A.

CHAIRMAN OF SUDSECTION OF ANTIROPOLOGY,

THE GESTURE SPEECH OF MAN.

Anthropology tells the march of mankind out of savagery. In that march some peoples have led with the flect conrse of videttes or the sturdy stride of pioneers, some have only plodded on the roads opened by the vanguard, while others still lag in the unordered rear, mere dragweights to the column. All commenced their progress toward civilization from a point of departure lower than the stage reached by the lowest of the tribes now found on earth, and all, even the most advanced, have retained marks of their rude origin. These marks are of the same kind, though differing in distinctness, and careful search discovers the fact that none are missing, showing that there is a common source to all the forms of intellectual and social development, notwithstanding their present diversities. Perhaps the most notable criterion of differer is in the copiousness and precision of oral language, and in the unequal survival of the communication by gesture signs which, it is believed, once universally prevailed. The phenomena of that mode of human utterance, wherever it still appears, require examination as an instructive vestige of the prehistoric epoch. In this respect the preëminent gesture system of the North Americau Iudians calls for study in comparison with other less developed or more degenerate systems. It may solve problems in psychologic comparative philology not limited to the single form of speech, but embracing all modes of expressing ideas. Perhaps, therefore, a condensed report of such study pur-
sued with advantages possessed by few persons even in this country will, on this occasion, be un acceptable contribution as illustruting the gesture speech of man.
So fur ins the use of gesture signs continued, however originuting, in the necessity for communication between peoples of different oral speech, North America shows more favorable conditions for its development thin any other thoroughly explored part of the world. In that great coniinent the precolumbian population was, as is now believed, scanty, and so subdivided dialectically, that the members of but few bands conld readily converse with others. The number of now defined stocks or families of Indian hunguages within the territory of the United States amounts to sixty-five, and these differ among themselves as radically as eneh differs from the Hebrew, Chinese, or English. In each of these linguistic families there are several, sometimes as many ns twenty, separate languages, which also differ from each other as much as do the English, French, German and Persian divisions of the Aryan linguistle stock
The conditions upon which the survival of sign language among the Indiuns has depended are well shown by those attending its discontinnance among certain tribes. The growth of the mongrel tongue, called the Chinook jargon, arising from the same causes that produced the pigeon-English, or lingua franca of the Orient, explains the known recent disuse of systematic signs among the Knlapnyas and other tribes of the North Pacific coast. The Alaskan tribes also generally used signs not more than a generation ago. Before the advent of the Russians the coast Alaskans traded their chried fish and oil for the skins and paints of the eastern tribes by visiting the latter, whom they did not allow to come to the coast, and this trade was conducted mainly in sign language. The Russians brought a better market, so the travel to the interior ceased, and with it the necessity for the signs, which therefore gradually died out, and are little known to the present generation on the coast, though still continuing in the interior where the inhabitants are divided by dialects.

No explanation is needed for the gradual disuse of signs for the special purpose of intertribal communication when the speech of surrounding civilization becomes known as the best common mediun. When that has become general, and there is a compelled end both to hunting and warfare, signs, as systematically employed
before, fale away, or survise only in formal orntory and impas. sioned conversation.

## theories entertalnel) hesirecting indian siging.

It is not now proposed to pronounce upon theories. The mere collection of facts cannot, however, he prosecuted to alvantage withont predetermined rules of directlon, nor can they be elassified at all without the adoption of some principle which involves a lentative theory. Now, also, since the great principle of evolution has been brought to general notice, no one will he satislled with knowing a fact without also trying to establish its relation to other facts. Therefore a working hypothesis, which slull not be held to with tenacity, is not only allowable but necessary. It is likewise proper to examine with respect the theories adranced by others.

Not Cormelated witil meagerness of langlage.
The ever unconfirmed report of travellers that certain languages cmanot be clearly understood in the durk by thelr possessors, using their mother tongue hetween thenselves, when asserted, as it often has been, in reference to any of the tribes of North America, is absolutely false. It must be attributed to the error of visitors, who seldom see the natives except when trying to make themselves intelligible to them by a practice which they have found by experience to lave been successful with strangers to their tongue. Captain Burton specially states that the Arapahos possess a very scanty vocabulary, pronounced in a quasiunintelligible way, and can harlly converse with one another in the dark. The truth is that their vocabulary is by no means scanty, and they do converse with each other with perfect freedom without any gestures when they so please. The same distinguished explorer also gives a story "of a man who, being sent among the Cheyennes to qualify himself for interpreting, returned in a week and proved his competency ; all he dicl, however, was to go through the usual pantomine with a rouning accompaniment of grunts." And he might as well have omitted the grunts, for obviously he ouly nsed sign language.

The similar accusation made against the Shoshonian stock, that their tongue, without signs, was too meager for understanding, is refuted by my own experience. When Ouray, the late head chief of the Utes, was last at Washington, after an interview with the

Secretary of the Interior, he made report of it to the others of the delegation who haid not been present. He spoke without panse in his own langage for nearly an hour, in a monotone and without a single gesture. The reason for this depressed manmer was unionlitedly becanse he was very sad at the result, involving loss of land and change of home; but the fuct remains that full information wis commmicated on a complicated subject withont the nit of a manual sign, and also withont even such change of intlection of voice as is common among Europeans. All theorfes hased upon the supposed poverty of American languages must be abandoned.
The grievons accusation against foreign people that they have no intelligible langunge is venerable and general. With the Greeks the term ïjhuraus, "tongucless," was used synonymons with ;sip,sumus, "barbmian," of thll who were not Greek. 'The name "Slav," assumed by a grand division of the Arymn fumily, means "the speaker," and is contradistinguished from the other peoples of the worll, such as the Germans, who are called in Russian "Njemez," that is, "speechless." In Isaiah (xxxiii, 19) the Assyrians ure called a people "of a stammering tongue, that one e:nniot $u$ iderstand." The common use of the expressions "tongueless" and "speechless," so applied, has probably given rise to the mythical storics of actually specehless tribes of savages, and the instances now presented tend to diseredit the many other accomits of langunges which are incomplete without the help of gesture. The theory that sign langunge was in whole or in chief the origimal utterance of mankind would be strongly supported by conchasive evidence to the truth of such travellers' tales, but does not depend upon them. Nor, considering the immeasmable period during which, in accordance with modern geologic views, man has been on the earth, is it probable that any existing peoples can be fonnd among whom speech has not obviated the absolnte necessity for gesture in communication between themselves. The signs survive for couvenience, used together with oral language, and for special employment when language is unavailable.
its origin from one tribe on negion.
My correspondents in the Indian country have often contended that sign language was invented by a certain tribe in a partieular region from which its knowledge spread among other tribes in-
versely as their distance from, and directly as their Intercourso with, the alleged inventös. Unfortunately there is no agreement as to the latter, and probably the accident that the several eorrespondents met, in certuin trihes, specinlly skilful sign-talkers, determincit their opinions. The theory also supposes a comparatively recent origin of sign langnuge, whereas so fur as can be traced, the conditions finvornble to it existed very long ago aud were coëxtensive with the territory of North America necupied by any of the tribes. Some writers confline its use to the Grent Plains. It is, however, ascertained to have prevaited among tho Iroquois, Wyandots, Ojibwas, and at least three generations back among the Crees and the Mandans and other far northern Dakotas. Some of these and many other tribes of the United States never habiting the Plains, as also the Kutchins of eastern Alaska and the Kintine and Selish of British Colnmbia, use signs now. Instead of referring to some past period when they did not use signs, many Indians examined speak of a time when they ol their fathers employed them more freely and coplously than at present.

Perhaps the most salutary critlelsm to be offered regarding the theory would be in the form of a query whether sign language has ever been invented by any one body of people at any one time, and whether it is not simply a phase in evolution, surviving and reviving when needed. Not only does the burden of proof rest unfavorably upon the attempt to establish one parent stock for sign language in North America, but it also comes under the stigma now fastened upon the immemorial effort io name and locate the original oral speech of man. It is only next in difllculty to the old persistent determination to decide upon the origin of the whole Indian " race," in which most peoples of antiquity in the eastern hemisphere, including the lost tribes of Isiael, the Gipsies, and the Welsh, have figured conspicuously as putative parents.

## sign language not dniform.

The general report that there is but one sign language in North America, any deviation from which is either blunder, corruption, or a dialect in the nature of provincialism, originated with sign talkers in several regions. Now a mere sign talker is often a bad authority upon principles and theories. He may not be
liable to the satirical compliment of Dickens' "brave courier," who " understood all languages indifferently 111 ;" but many men speak some one language fluently, and yet are wholly unable to explain or analyze its words and forms so as to teach it to another, or even to give an intelligent summary or classification of their own knowledge. What sueh a sign talker has learned is by memorizing, as a cliild learns English, and though both the sign talker and the child may be able to give some separate items useful to a philologist or foreiguer, such items are spoiled when colored by the attempt of ignorance to theorize. A German who has studied English to thorough mastery, except in the mere facility of speech, may in a disenssion upon some of its principles be contradicted by any mere English speaker, who insists upon his superior knowlelge because he actually speaks the language and his antagonist does not, but the student will probably be correct and the talker wrong. It is an old adage about oral speech that a man who understands but one language understands none. The science of a sign talker possessed by a restrictive theory is like that of Mirabean, who was greater as an orator than as a philologist, and who on a visit to England gravely argued that there was something scrionsly wrong in the British mind becanse the people would persist in saying "give me some bread" instead of "donnez-moi du pain," which was so much easier and more natural. When a sign is presented which such a sign talker has not before seen, he will at once condemu it as bad, just as a United States Minister to Vienna, who had been nursed in the mongrel Dutch of Berks County, Pennsylvania, declared that the people of Germany spoke very bad German.

An argument for the uniformity of the signs of Indians is derived from the fact that those used by any of them are generally understood by others. But sigus may be understood without being identical with any before seen. It is a common experience that when Indians find a sign which has become conventional anong their tribe not to be understood by an interlocutor, a selfexpressive sign is substituted for it, from which a visitor may form the impression that there are no conventional signs. It may likewise occur that the self-expressive sign substituted will be met with by a visitor in several localities, different Indians, in their ingenuity, taking the best and the same means of reaching the exotic intelligence.

There is some evidence that where sign linguage is now found among Indian tribes it has become more aniform than ever before, simply becanse many tribes have for some time past heen foreed to dwell near together at peace. The resulting uniformity in these cases might either be considered as a jargon or as the natural tendeney to a com, romise for mutual understanding - the unification so often observed in ornl speech coming under many circumstances out of former heterogeneity. The rule is that dialects precede languages and that out of many dialects comes one language.

The process of the formation and introdnction of signs is the same among Indians as often observed among deaf-mutes. When a number of those unfortunate persons, possessed ouly of such crude signs as were used by each among his speaking relatives come together for a considerable time, they are at first only able to communicate on a few subjects, but the number of those and the general scope of expression will be continually enlarged. Each one commences with his own conception and his own presentment of it, but the universality of the medium used makes it sooner or later understood. This independent development often renders the first interchange of thonght between strangens slow, for the signs must he self-interpreting. There can be no natural universal language which is absolute and arbitrary. When used without convention, as sign language alone of all modes of utterance can be, it must be tentative, experimental, and flexible. The mutes will also resort to the invention of new sigus for new ideas as they arise, which will be made intelligible, if necessary, through the illustration and definition given by signs formerly adopted. The fittest sigus will in due course be evolved, after rivalry and trial, and will survive. But there may not al ways be such a preponderance of fitness that all but one of the rival sigus shall die out, and some being equal in value to express the same idea or object, will continue to be used indifferently, or as a matter of individual taste, without confusion. A multiplication of the numbers confined together, either of deaf-mutes or of Indians whose speech is diverse, will not decrease the resulting uniformity, though it will increase both the copiousuess and the precision of the vocabulary. The Indian use of signs, though maintained hy linguistic diversities, is not coincident with any linguistic boundaries. The tendency is to their uniformity among groups

## ADDHESS OF GARRICK MALIERT,

of people who from any canse are brought into contact with each other white still speaking different langnages. The longer and closer such contact, while no common tongue is alopted. the greater will be the miformity of signs.

Some writers take a middle ground with regard to the identity of the sign language of the North American Indians, comparing it with the dialects and provincialisms of the English language, as spoken in Eugland, Ireland, Scotland and Wales. But those dinlects are the remains of actually diverse languages, which to some speakers have not hecome integrated. In England alone the provincial dialects are tracenble as the legacies of Sasons, Angles, Jutes, and Danes, with a varying amount of Norman intluence. A thorongh seholar in the composite tongue, now ealled English, will be able to molerstand all the dialects and provincialisms of English in the British Isles, bint the mellucated man of Yorkshire is not able to communicate readily with the equally medneated man of somessetshire. This is the true distinction. A thorongh sign talker would be able to talk with several Indians who have no sigus in common, and who, if their knowledge of signs were only memorized, conld not commmicate with eael other. So, also, as an edncated Englishman will molerstand the attempts of a foreigner to speak in very imperfect and broken English, a good Indian sign-expert will apprehend the feeblest efforts of a tyro in gestures. But the inference that there is but one true Indian sign langunge, just as there is but one true English language, is not correct unless it can be shown that a much larger proportion of the Indians who use sigus at all, than present researches show to he the case, use identically the same signs to express the same ideas. It would also seem necessary to the parallel that the signs so used should be absolnte, if not arhitrary, as are the worls of an oral language, and not independent of preconcert and selfinterpreting at the instant of their invention or first exhibition, as all true signs must originally have been and still measurably remain.
are signs conventional or instinctive?
There has been much discussion on the question whether gesture signs were originally invented, in the strict sense of that term, or whether they result from a natural connection between longer lopted. mposite all the les, but amicate 3. This be able 10n, and ould not Englishspeak in ;1-expert es. But age, just unless it ians who case, use It would should be language, ig at the rue signs I between
them and the ideas represented by them, that is, whether they are conventional or instinctive. Cardimal Wisman (Essays, III, 537 ) thinks they are of both characters ; hat referring particulaly to the Italim signs and the proper mode of discovering their meaning, he observes that they are used primarily with words and form the usual accompmiment of certain phrases. "For these the gestures become substitutes, and then by association express all their meaning, even when used alone." This wonld be the process only where systematic gestures had never prevailed or had been so disused as to be forgotten, and were adopted after elahorate oral phrases and traditional oral expressions had become common. Sign language as a product of evolution has been developed rather than invented, and yet it seems probable that each of the separate signs, like the several steps that lead to any true invention, had a definite origin arising out of some appropriate oceasion, and the same sign may in this manner have. had many iddependent origins due to identity in the circumstances, or, if lost, may have been reprodnced.
Another form of the query is whether signs are arbitrary or natural. An unphilosophic answer will often be made in accordance with what the ohserver considers to be natural to himself. A common sign among both deaf-mutes and Indians for uoman consists in designating the arrangement of the liair, but such a represented arrangement of hair familiar to the gesturer as had never been seen by the person addressed would not seem "natural" to the latter. It would he classed as arbitrary, and could not be understood without context or explanation, indeed without translation such as is required from foreign oral speech. Signs most maturally, that is appropriately, expressing a coinception of the thing signified, are first adopted from circumstances of enviromment, and afterwards modified so as to appear, without full understanding, conventional and arbitrary, yet they are as truly "natural" as the signs for hearing, seeing, eating, and drinking, which continue all over the world as they were first formed becanse there is no change in those operations.

Perhaps no signs in common use are in their origin eonventional. What appears to be conventionality largely consists in the form of abbreviation which is agreed upon. When the signs of the Indims have from ideographic form become demotic, they may be roughly called conventional, but still not arbitrary.

## COME NATURAL SIGNS CONVENTIONABIZED

But while all Iudians, is all gesturing men, have many natural signs in common, they nse many others which have become conventional in the sense that their origin and conception are not now known or regariled by the persons using them. The conventions by which the latter were established occurred during long periods, when the tribes forming them were so separated as to have established altogether diverse customs and mythologies, and when the several tribes were exposed to snch different environments as to have formed varying conceptions needing appropriate sign expression. The old error that the North Americun Ludians constitute one homogeneons race is now ubandoned. Nearly all the characteristies onee allegel as segregating them from the rest of mankind have proved not to belong to the whole of the preenInmbian population, but only to those portions of it first explored. The practice of scalping is not now universul, if it ever was, even umong the tribes least influenced by civilization, and therefore the cultivation of the scalp-lock separated from the rest of the hair of the heal, or with the removal of all other hair, is not a general feature of their appearance. The arrangement of the hair is so different among tribes as to be one of the most convenient modes for their pictorial distinction. The war paint, red in some tribes, was hack in others; the mystic rites of the calumet were in many regions unknown, aud the use of wampum was by no means extensive. The wigwam is not the type of native dwellings, which show as many differing forms as those of Europe. In color there is a great variety, and evell admitting that the term "race" is proper!y applied, no competent olserver would characterize it as red, still less copper-colored. Some tribes differ from each .ther in all respects nearly as much as either of them do from the lazzaroni of Naples, and more than either do from cettain tribes of Australia. It would therefore be expected, as is the case, that the conventional signs of different stocks and regions differ as do the words of English, French and German, which, nevertheless, have sproug from the same linguistic roots. No one of those languages is a dialect of any of the others; and although the sign systems of the several tribes have grenter generic unity with less specific variety than oral languages, no one of them is necessarily the dialect of any other. To insist that sign language is uniform
were to assert that it is perfect - "That faultess inonster that the world ne'er saw." vertheless, of those gh the sign with less ecessarily is uniform
glineral ancient tige of the system in n. A.
The supposition that the systematic use of signs once existed among all Indian tribes receives support from the fact that in nearly all instunces where such existence has been at first denied, further research has discovered the remains, even if not the practice, of sign langnage. This has been even anong tribes long exposed to Enropean influence and oflleially segregatell from all others. Collections have been obtained from the Iroquois, Ojibwas, Alaskans, Apaches, Zuñi, Pimas, Papagos and Maricopas, after army ofleers, missionaries, Indian agents and travellers had denied them to be possessed of any knowledge on the subject.
One of the most interesting proofs of the general knowlenge of sign language, even when seldom nsed, was given in the visit of five Jicarilla Apaches to Washington in Aprit, 1880, mader the charge of their agent. The hatter said he had never heard of any use of signs anoug them. But it happened that there was a delegation of Absaroka (Crows) at the smme hotel, and the two parties, from regions one thousand miles apart, not knowing a word of each other's langnage, immedintely began to converse in signs, resulting in a decided sensation. One of the Crows asked the Apaches whether they ate horses, and it happening that the sign for eating was misapprelended for that knowa by the Apaches for many, the question was sipposed to be whether the latter had many horses, which was answered in the affirmative. Thence ensued a misunderstanding on the subject of hippophagy, which was curious both as showing the general use of signs as a pracice and the diversity in special signs for particular meanings. The surprise of the agent at the unsuspected accomplisliment of his charges was not unlike that of a hen which, having hatehed a number of luck eggs, is perplexed at the instinct with which the brood takes to the water.

The denial of the nse of signs is sometimes faitlifully though erroneonsly reported from the distinct statements of Indians to that effect. In that, as in other matters, they are often provokingly retieent about their old habits and traditions. Chief Ouray asserted to me, that his people, the Utes, had not the practice of
sign talk, and hail no nse for it. This was much in the pront spirit in which an Englishmm wonlh have mate the same statement, as the idea involved an aceusation against the civilization of his people, whom he wished to appear highly alvanced. Within the same week I took seven Utes, members of the delegation then with Ouray, to the National Denf-Mnte College, and they showed not only perfect faniliarity with, but expertness in, signs.
The studies thus far pursued lead to the conclusion that at the time of the discovery of North Anerica all its inhabitants practised sign langunge, though with different degrees of expertuess; ami that, while moder changed circumstances, it was disused by some, others, especially those who after the acquisition of horses beeame nomads of the Grent Plains, retnined and cultivated it to the higlt development now attained.

PERMANENCE OF SIGNS.
It is important to inquire into the permanence of particular gesture signs to express a special iden or object when the system has been long continned. The gestures of classic times are still in use by the modern Italinns with the same signification: indeed the former, on Greek vases or reliefs, or in Herculanean bronzes, ean only be interpreted by the latter. In regard to the signs of instrocted deaf-mutes in this country there appears to be a permanence beyond expectation. A pupil of the Ilartford Institute lialf a century ago lately stated that the signs used by teachers and pupits at Inatford, Philadelphia, Washington, Comeil Bluffs and Omaha, were nearly the same as he hal learned. "We still adhere to the ohd sign for President from Monroe's three-cornered hat, and for governor we designate the cockade worn by that dignitary on grand occasions three generations ago."

Specitic comparisons made of the signs reported by the Prince of Wieal, in 1832, with those now used by the same tribes from whom he obtained them, show a remarkable degree of permanence. If they have persisted for half a century their age is probably much greater. In general it is believed that signs, constituting as they do a natmal mode of expression, thongh enlarging in scope as new ideas and new objects require to be included and though abbreviated variously, do not readily ehange in their essentials. lat dignanence. probably stituting rging in aded and in their

I do not present any ludian sigus as precisely those of primitive man, not heing so carried away hy enthisiasm as to suppose them possessed of inmmtability and immortulity not foum in any other mode of luman itterance. Signs as well as words, animals, and plants have had their growth, development and change, their births and deaths, mul their struggle for existence with survival of the tittest. Yet when signs, which are general mongig Indian tribes, are also prevalent in other parts of the world, they probably are of great antiquity. The use of derivative meanings to a sign only enhances this presumption. At first there might not appear to be any connection between the ideas of same and wife, expressed by the sign of horizontally extending the two foreflingers side by side. The original iden was doubtless that given by the Welsh captain in Nhakspere's Henry V : " "lis so like as my fingers is to my fingers ;" and from this similarity comes " equal," "companion," and subsequently the close life-companion "wife." The sign is used in each of these senses by different Indian tribes, and sometimes the same tribe ajplies it in all of the senses as the context determines. It appeurs also in many lands with all the signitications except that of "wife."

Many signs but little differentiated were unstable, while others that have proved the best moles of expression have survived as definite and established. A note may be made in this connection of the large mmber of diverse signs for horse, all of which must have been invented within a comparatively recent period, and the small variation in the signs for dog, which are probably ancient.

IS THE INDIAN SYSTEM SPECIAL AND PECULIAR?
While denying the uniformity of Indian signs, it is proper to inquire whether their system, as a whole, is speeial and peculiar to themselves. This may be determined by comparing that system with those of other peoples and of deaf-mutes.

## COMPARISUNS WITH FOREIGN SIGNS.

My researches during several years show a surprising number of signs for the sane idea which are substantially identical, not only among savage tribes, but among all peoples that use gesture
signs with any freedom. Men, in groping for a mote of communieation with each other, und using the same general methods, have been under many varying conditions and circumstances whieh have determined difterently many conceptions mat their semiotic execntion, but there have nlso been many of both which were similar. North American Indians have no special superstition concerning the evil-eye like the Italians, nor have they heen long familiar with the jackass so as to make him, with more or less propriety, emblematic of stupidity ; therefore signs for those coneepts are not cisatlantic, but many are substantially in common between our Indians and Italians. Many other Indian signs are identical, not only with those of the Italians and the classic Greeks mid Romans, but of other peoples of the Ohl World, both savage and civilized. The generic uniformity is obvious, while the ocension of suecifie varieties enn be realily understood.

The same remark applies to the collections of signs already obtained by correspondence from among the Turks, Armenians and Koords, the Bushmen of Africa, the Fijians, the Redjangs and Lelongs of Sumatra, the Chinese and the Australians. The results of researches in Ceylon, India, Sonth America and several other parts of the world, are not yet suflleient to allow of their classification. Mnch interesting material is expected from inquiries recently instituted through the medinn of Mr. Hyde Clarke. Viee President of the Anthropological Institute of Great Britain and Ireland, into the sign language of the mites of the Seraglio at Constantinople. That they had a system of commucation was noticed by Sibscota, in 1670, withont his giving any details. It appears not only to be known to the inmates themselves, but to high otllcials, emmelis and other persons connected with the Sublime Porte. As it is supposed that the Osmanli Sultans followed the Byzantine emperors in the employment of mutes, and that they adonted them from Persian kings, it is possible that the signs, now in systematic, though limited, use, have been regularly transmitted from high oriental antiquity.

## comparison with deaf-mute signs.

The Indians who have been brought to the eastern states have often held happy intercourse by sigus with white deaf-mutes, who surely have no semiotic code preconcerted with any of the plain-
roamers. While many of their signs were illentical, and all sooner or later were mutnally mulerstoond it has heen motiend that the signs of the deaf-mates were more readily maderstood by the Jadians than were theirs by the deaf-mules, and that the batter greatly exeelled in pantomimic eflect. What is to the Jodian a mere aljunct or aceomplishment is to the dead-mute the matural mode of utterance. 'Ihe "action, action, action," of' Demosthenes is their only oratory, not mere beightening of it, however valuable.
'The result of the comparisons is that the so-ealled sign hangnage of Indians is not properly speaking one fanguge, but that it and the gesture systems of deaf-mutes and of all peoples constitute together one bangunge - the gestare speech of mankind of which each system is a dialect.

The most interesting light in which the Intians of North America can be ragarded is in their present representation of a stage of evolution once passed threagh by onr own ancestors. Their signs, as well as their myths and enstoms, form a pat of the palamolology of lumanity to be studied in the history of the later, as the geologist, with similar object, studies all the strata of the physical wom. At this time it is only possihle to state, that gesture signs have been applied to elucidate pictograplis, and also to discover religions, sociologic, nud historic ideas preserved in themselves, as has been done with great suceess in the radicals of oral speech.

## SIGNS CONNECTED WITI IICTOGIEAPIIS.

The pieture writing of Indians is the sole form in which they recorked events and ideas that can ever be interpreted withont the aid of a traditional key, such as is required for the signification of the wampum belts of the northeastern tribes and the quippus of Peru. Strips of bark, tatblets of wood, dressed skins of animals, and the smooth surfaces of rocks have been and still are used for such records, those most ancient, and therefore most interesting, being the rock etchings; but they can only be deciphered by the ascertained principles on which the more modern atd obvions are made. Many of the widespread rock carvings
are mere idle sketches of matural objects, manly animals, and others are an strictly mmemonic as is the wambum. But where there has existed a rule form of graphie repusentation, and at the same time a system of ineographice gesture signs prevailed, it would be expeeted that the form of the lather wonld appear th the former. 'That thas is the fate among North Amorican latians will be shown in a paper to be read before the sertion by me whberator Dr. W. . . Dothan, and at greater length in a report by meself to form part of the first Ammul Report of the Burean of Bthmology, now in press. This lact is of great ardmologie inportance, as the reproduction of gesture lines in the pictocraphes mate by Indians has, for obvions reasons, been most frequent in the attempt to conver those subjective ideas which were beyond the rame of an artistie skill limited to the direct representation of objects, so that the part of the pietographes which is the most dithent of interpretation is the one which the stuly of sign langhage ean eluchate. 'Traces of the same signs used by Indians fomm in the idcographic pictures of the ligyptims, and in Chinese and Aztec characters, are also exhibited by illustrations in the Report above mentionsd.

## histoliv of gestide lancivalit.

There is ample axidence of record, besides that derived from other somrees, that the systematic use of gesture speech was of great antiquity. Livy so declared, and Quintilian specifies that the "lex grestus * * * ulb illis temproribus heroicis ortt est." Athenens tells that gestures were reduced to distinct classification with appropriate terminology. One of these classes was alapted ly Bathyllus to pantomime.

While the general effect of the classic pantomimes is often mentioned, there remain but few detailed descriptions of them. Aphleins, however, in his Metamorphosis gives suflicient details of the performance of the Judgment of laris to show that it resembled the best form of modern ballet opera. The popularity of these exhibitions contimed until the sixth century, and it is evident from a deeree of Charlemagne that they were not lost, or, at lenst, had been revived in his time. 'Those of us who have enjoyed the performance of the original Ravel troupe will admit that the wrt still survives, thongll not with the magnificence or perfection,
especially with reference to serions subjects, which it exhibiterl in the nge of imprial Rome.

Quintilian gave most chatorate mons for gestures in oratory, which we spectally noticeable from the importance attached to the mamer of di-posing the fingers. We attributed to each particular alisposition a significane or smitablemess which is not now obvions. 'The value of these digital armagements is, however, exhihited be their use among the modern Italians, to whom they hase didectly deseembed. Their embons elaboration uppars itn the volume liy the canon Ambrat de Jorio, Lat Mimive degli Antichi investigulle wel Gosstire Nequoletemo, Napoli, is32. 'Ihe "anon's chice ohjore was to interpret the gestares of the ancients ns exhibited la their works of art and deseribed in their writings, by the modern gestienlations of the Nempolitans, nud he lats shown that the gromeral system of gesture once prevailing in anciont laly is sulostantially the stme as how observed. Wilh :m understanding of the existing languge of gesture the seenes on the most ancient Greek vases and reliofs ohtain a new and interesting signillounce and form a connecting link between the present and prehistoric times.

USE HY MODEIN ACTORS.
Less of practical value cim be learned of sign language, considered as a system, from the study of the gestures used by actors, than would appen withont rellection. The pantomimist, infled, who uses no words whatever, is obliged to avail himself of every natural or imagined comection between thonght and gesture, and depending wholly upon the latter, makes himselt intelligible. With speaking actors, however, words are the main reliance, and gestures generally serve for rhythmic movement and to display personal grace.

When many admirers of Ristori, who were wholly unacquinted with the language in which her words were delivered, declared that her gesture and expression were so perfect that they understood every sentence, it is to be donbted it they would have been so delighted if they had not been thoronghly fimilian with the plots of Queen Elizabeth and Mary Stuart. This view is confinned by the case of a deaf-mute, known to me, who had prepared to enjoy Ristori's acting by reading in advance the advertised play,
han on his reaching the thentre another phay was subatituted and
 this subject was made at the representation at Wianhoghtom last
 company shppoting her, several persoms of special intelligence mud faniliar with theatrical performanes, but who dial not inderstand spoken Fremel, and hat not heard or remd the phy
 what they cond lean of the plot mod hedenes fiom the gestures alone. This conla be determined lo the sperdal phay the more cortainly as it is not fommed on historic events of my known facts. The resilt was that from the entrance of the heroine durfing the first serene in a pencock-blue riding hatiot to her denth in a back waking-snit, thee hons or fle nets later, none of the students formed miy distinet conception of the plot. 'This want of npprehension extembed even to uncertanty whether billierte was married or not; that is, whether her montures were those of a disobedient dampher or a lathless wife, amb, if mariod, which of the half dozen mate persomges was her hashand. 'There were gestures enomgh, inded rather a profusion of them, and they were thotomghly aproprinte to the worls (when those were miderstood) in which fint, distress, rage, and other emotions were expressed, hat in no eases did they interpet the motive for those emotions. They were the dressing for the words of the actors as the smperb millinery was that of their persons, and perhaps acted as varnish to bring ont dialogues and soliboquies in heightened effect. Bat thongh varnish can bring into platuer view dill or faded chancters, it camot introduce into then significance where none before existed. The simple fact was that the gestures of the most famed histrionic school, the Comedic Framenise, were not signiticant, far less self-interpreting, mid thongh prased as the perfection of art, have diverged widely from nature.

However numerons and correct may be the actually significant gestures mate by a grent actor in the representation of his part, they must be in small proportion to the mmber of gestures not at all significunt, and which are no less necessary to give to his dechanation precision, grace and toree. Itistrionic perfection is, indeed, more shown in the slight shades of movement of the hend, ghances of the eye, and poises of the boly than in violent attiturles; but these slight movements are wholly mintelligible apart
from the words iltereet with them. liven in the expression of

 whet the hemer of the "xpository words womberentaneonsly masme if thlding to the smme amotions, mad which therefore hy associntion tomb to linduce a symphether yielding. The greatest actor in trlling that his bather was deme ean contey him grief with It Natale of ditlerence from that which he wombl ne if ansing that his wife hul run awhy, his son herel mrested for morder, or his honse haged down: but that slande would not withont words ins. form any person, ignorant of the supposed event, which of the
 would filly express the extet rifermatances, riller with or whthout my exhitition of the gemeral emotion nypopriate to thent.
liven mong the lest sign-talkers, whether lalime or deaf-mme, it is necersary to establisha some mpmert fatating to theme or sub-
 degree with spoken words, hase widely ditferent signifieations, aceording to the ohject of their exhibition, as well na the context. Rabelais (I'mete!riel, Book III, (llo xiv) hits the Imill mon this point, lowever mugallant in his mpliention of it to the fair sex. lamuge is desirons to consult a damb man, but says it would be useless to aply to a woman, for "whatever it he that they see they do nlwiys represent unto their foncies, and imagine that it hath some relation to love. Whatever signs, shows or gestures, we shall make, or whatever our bebavior, carvinge or demennor, shall haplen to be in their view and presence, they will interpet the whole in reference to ambrogymion." A story is told to the sume point by Guevara, in his fabulons life of the Emperor Mareus Aurelins. A young Roman gentleman encometering at the foot of Monnt Celion a beantiful Latin lady, who from her very erudle had heen deaf and dumb, asked her in gesture what senators in her descent from the top of the hill she had met with, going up thither, She statightway inngined that he had fillen in love with her and was eloquently proposing marriage, whereupon she at once threw herself into his arms in acceptance. The experience of travellers of the Plans is to the same general effect, that signs commonly used to men are understood by women in a sense so different as to oceasion embarrassment.
mesctis socgirt in the steby of sign langitage.
These may be diviled into (1) its practical application, (2) its aid to philologie researches, and (3) its archæologic relations.

## practical apllication

The most obvions application of sign language will for its practical utility depend upon the correctness of the view submitted that it is not a mere semaphoric repetition of motions to be memorized from a limited traditional list, but is a cultivable art, fommed pon principles which ean be readily appled by travellers. 'This advantage is not merely theoretical, hat has been demonstrated to be practical hy a professor in a deal mute college who, lately visiting several of the will tribes of the plains, made himself mulerstood anong all of them withont knowing a word of any of their langnages, and by another who had a similar experience in Italy and son hern France. It must, however, be observel that the use of signs is only of great assistance in communicating with foreigners, whose speech is not unterstood, when both parties agree to cease all attempt at oral languge, relying wholly upon gestures. So long as words are used at all, signs will he made only as their accompaniment, and they will not always be ideographic.

## powers of signs compared with speecir.

Sign language is superior to all others in that it permits every one to find in nature an image to express his thonghts on the most needful matters intelligibly to any other person. The direct or substantial natural analogy peentiar to it prevents a confusion of ileas. It is possible to use words without understanding them which yet may be understood by those addressed, but it is hardly possible to use signs without full comprehension of them. Separate words may be comprehended by persons hearing them without the whole connected sonse of the words taken together being caught, but sigus are more intimately comected. Even those most appropriate will not be understood it the subject is beyond
for its ew subtions to iltivable plied by 1:1s benen ute colplains, owing a a similar vever, be in comod, when , relying :ll, sigus will not direct or ufusion of ling them is hardly m. Sepam without her being well those is beyond
the comprehension of their beholders. They would be as mintelligible as the wild chicks of his instrment, in an electric storm, wonld be to the telegrapher, or as the smaphore, diven by wime to the signalist. In oral speech even onomatopes are arbitrary, the most strictly matural someds striking the ear of different individuals and nations in a mamer wholly diverse. The instances given by Sayce are in point. Exatly the same sonnd was intended to be reproduced in the " bilbit amphora" of Navins, the "glut glut murmurat mada somans" of the Latin Anthologry, and the "puls" of Varro. The Persian "bulbul," the "jugjug" of Gascoigne, and the "uhitwhit" of others are all attempts at imitating the note of the nightingale. But successful signs must have a much closer analogy and establish a concord between the talkers far beyond that prodnced by the mere sombd of worts. The merely emotional somms or interjections may be alvantageonsly employed in comection with merely emotional erestures, but whether with or withont them, they would be useless for the explicit commmication of facts and opinions of which signs by themselves are apable. The combinations which can he made by signs are infinite and their enthosiastic teachers may be right in elaiming that if they had been elaborated by the secular habor devoted to sjoken language, man could, by his hands, arms and fingers, with facial and borlily accentuation, express any idea that could he ronvered by worts. As, however, sign language has heen chiefly used during historic time either as a scaffolding aromed a more valuable structure, to be thrown aside when the latter was completed, or as an occasional substitute, such development was not to be expected.
A comparison sometimes drawn between sign langnage and that of the North American Indians, founded on the statement of their common poverty in abstract expressions, is not just to either. Deeper study into Indian tongues has ascertained that they are by no means so confined to the concrete as was once believed, and the process of forming signs to express abstract ideas is only a variant from that of oral speech. in which the words for the most abstract ideas, such as law, virtue, infinitude, and immortality, are shown by Max Müller to have been derived and deduced, that is, abstracted, from sensuous impressions. This is done by selecting what is and rejecting what is not in common to the concrete ideas. Concepts of the intangible and invisible are only learned through
precepts of tangible and visible objects, whether finally expressed to the ere or to the ear, in terms of sight or of somal. In the use of signs the combename and mamer as well as the tenor decide whether objects themselves are intended, or the forms, positions, guatities, and motions of other objects which are suggested; and signs for moral and intellectual ideas, fombled on analogies, are common all over the wotd as well ats among deaf-mutes. The very concepts of phordity, momentum and righteousness. selected by 'Tylor as the result of combined and compared thought which reguires words, can be clearly expressed by signs, and it is not moderstoon why those signs conld not have attained their present abstate signitieance throng the thonghts anising from the combination and comparison of other sigus, withont the actual intervention of words.
The elements of sign language me matural and universal, by recurring to which the less matural sigus alopted dialectically or for expedition can always, with some ciremblocution, be explaned. This power of interpreting itself is a peentiar alvantage over spoken langnages, which, maless explained by gestures or indications, can only be interpreted by means of some other spoken languge. When highly cultivated, its rapiolity on familiar subjects exceeds that of speech abd approaches to that of thought itself. This statement may be startling to those who do not consider that oral speech is now wholly conventional, and that with the similar development of sign language conventional expressions with hands and booly conld be mate more quickly than with the voeal organs, becanse more organs conld be worked at once. At the same time it must be admitted that great increase in ropidity is chielly ohtained by a system of preconcerted abbreviations, and by the adoption of absolute forms, in which naturalness is sacrificed and eonventionality established, as has been the case with all spoken languages in the degree in which they have become copions and convenient.
There is another characteristic of the gesture specel that, though it cannot be resorted to in the dark, nor where the attention of the person addressed has not been otherwise attracted, it has the conntervailing henefit of nse when the voice cannot he employed. This may be an advantage at a distanee which the eye can reach, but not the ear, and still more frequently when silence or secrecy is desired. Dalgarno recommends it for use
in the presence of great people, who ought not to be disturbed, and curiously enough "Disappearing Mist," the Iroquois chief, speaks of the former extensive employment of signs in lis tribe by women and boys as a mark of respect to warriors and elders, their voices, in the good old days, not being uplifted in the presence of the latter. The decay of that wholesome state of discipline, he thinks, accomnts partly for the disappearance of the use of sigus among the modern impudent youth and the dusky claimants of woman's rights.

## IEELATIONS TO PHILOLOGY

The aid to be derived from the study of sign language in prosecuting researches into the science of philology was pointed out by Leibnitz, in his Collectanea Etymologica, without hitherto exciting any thorongh or scientific work in that direction, the obstacle to it probably being that scholars competent in other respects liad no adequate data of the gesture speech of man to be used in comparison. The latter will, it is hoped, be supplied by the work now undertaken by me, under the direction of the Bureau of Ethnology, which extends to the collection and collation of signs from all parts of the world as well as those of North American Indians.
It is generally admitted that signs played an important part in giving meaning to spoken words, and that many primordial roots of language have been founded in the involuntary sounds accompanying certain actions. As, however, the action was the essential, and the concomitant or consequent sound the accident, it would be expected that a representation or feigned reproduction of the action would have been used to express the idea before the sound associated with that action wonld have been separated from it. Philology, therefore, comparing the languages of earth in their radicals, must henceforth include the graphic or manaul presentation of thought, and compare the elements of ideograplyy with those of phonics. Etymology now examines the ultimate roots, not the fanciful resemblances between oral forms, in the different tongues; the internal, not the mere oxternal parts of language. A marked peculiarity of sign language consists in its limited number of radicals and the infinite combinations into which those radicals enter while still remaining
distinctive. It is therefore a proper field for etymologie study. It is possible to ascertan the inchuled gesture even in many English words. The class represented by the word srpercilious will ocenr to all, hut one or two examples mat he given not so obvions and more immediately comected with the gestures of Indians. Imbecile, genemally applied to the weakness of old age, is derived from the Latin $i n$, in the sense of on, and bacillam, a statf, which at once recalls the Cheyenne sign for old mum, viz. : hohling the right hand forward, bent at ellow with the fist closed sidewise, as it holding a stath. So time appears more mearly comected with the Greek raion, to stretch, when information is given of the sign for long time, viz., : placing the thmbs and forefingers as if a small thread were held between the thmbland foretinger of each hand, the hands first tonching each other, and then slowly moving apart, as if stretching a piece of' gum-elastic.

Some special resemblances exist between the langange of signs and the character of the oral languages foum on this continent. Dr. J. Nammond Trumbull remarks of the composition of the words that they were "so construeted as to he thoronghly seltdelining and immediately intelligible to the hearer." In another comection the remark is further enforced. "Indeed, it is a requirement of the Indian languages that every word shatl be so framed as to admit of immediate resolution to its significant clements by the hearer. It must be thoroughly self-defining, for (as Max Mïller has expressed it) 'it requires tradition, society, and literature to maintain words which can no longer be analyzed at once.' * * * In the ever-shifting state of anomadic society no debased coin ean be tolerated in language, no obscure legend accepted on trust. The metal must be pure and the legend distinct."

Indian languages, like those of higher development, sometimes exhibit ehanges of form by the permutation of vowels, but often an incorporated particle, whether suffix, allix, or infix, shows the etymology which often, also, exhibits the same objective conecption that would be execited in gesture. There are, for instance, different forms for standing, sitting, lying, falling, and for standing, sitting, lying on or falling from the same level or a higher or lower level. This resembles the pietorial conception and execution of signs.

Indian langnages exhibit the same fonduess for demonstration
which is necessary in sign language. The two forms of utterance are alike in their want of power to express certain words, such as the verb "to be," and in the criterion of organization, so far as concerns a high degree of synthesis and imprerfect differentiation, they bear substantially the same relation to the English langugre.
It may he added that as not only proper names bat nouns generally in Indian languages are connotive, prealicating some attribute of the object, they can readily be expressed by gesture signs, and therefore among them, relations may be established between the words and the sigus. Such have ulso been noticed, especially ly my valned correspondent, Mr. Ityde Clarke, to exist between signs and the words of ohl Asiatic and African lamgages, showing the same opreation of conditions in the sane psychologic horizon.

## DItISIONS OF GESTURE SPEECII.

Gesture speech is eomposed of corporeal motion and facial expression. An nttempt has been made by some writers to discuss these general divisions separately, and its success would be practically convenient if it were always understood that their comection is so intimate that they can never be altogether severed. A play of feature, whether instinctive or voluntary, accentiantes and qualifies all motions intended to serve as signs, and strong instinetive facial expression is generally accompranied by action of the body or some of its members. But, so far as a distinction can be made, expressions of the features are the result of emotional, and corporeal gestures, of intellectual action. The former in general and the small number of the latter that are distinctively emotional are nearly inlentical among men from physiological canses which do not affect with the same similarity the processes of thought. The large number of corporeal gestures expressing intellectual operations require and admit of more variety and conventionality. Thus the features and the body among all mankind act almost uniformly in exhibiting fear, grief, surprise and shame, bnt all ohjective conceptions are varied and variously portrayed. Even such simple indications as those for " no" and "yes" appear in several different motions. While, therefore, the terms sign language and gesture speech necessarily inchude and suppose facial expression when emotions are in ques-
tion, they refer more particnlarly to corporeal motions and attitudes. For this reason much of the valuable contribution of Durwin in his Expression of the Emotions in Man and Animals is not directly applicable to sign language. His analysis of emotional gestures into those explained on the principles of serviceable associated habits, of antithesis, and of constitution of the nervous system, shonld, nevertheless, always be remembered. The enrliest gestures were doubtless emotional, preceding those of a pictorial, metaphoric, and, still subsequent, conventional character.

## THE ORIGIN OF SION LIANGUAGE.

When examining into the origin of sign language throngh its connection with that of oral speech, it is necessary to be free from the ragne popular impression that some oral language, of the general character of that now used among mankind, is "nathral" to mankind. It will be admitted that all the higher oral lunguages were at some past time less opulent and comprehensive than they are now, and as each particulur language has been thoroughly studied it has become evident that it grew out of some other and less advanced form.

Oral language consists of variations and mutations of vocal sounds produced as signs of thought and emotion. But it is not enough that those signs should be available as the vehicle of the producer's own thonghts. They must be also efficient for the communication of such thoughts to others. It has been, until of late years, generally held that thought was not possible without oral langnage, and that, as minn was supposed to bave possessed from the first the power of thought, he also from the first possessed and used oral language substantially as at present. That the latter, as a special faculty, formed the main distinction between man and the brutes, has been and still is the prevailing doctrine. It may, however, be doubted if there is any more necessary connection between ideas and sounds, the mere signs of thonght that strike the ear, than there is between the same ideas and signs addressed only to the eye.
The point most debated for centuries has been, not whether there was any primitive of ai language, but what that language was. Sone litelalists have inceed argued from the Mosaic nar-
rative that the primitive langunge had been taken away as a disciplinary pmishment, as the Puradisiac Eden had been earlier lost, and that, therefore, the sencli for it was as fruitless as to attempt the pussage of the flaming sworl. More liberal Christians have been disposed to regard the Babel story as allegoricul, if not mythicnl, and have considered it to represent the disintegration of tongnes ont of one which was primitive. Though its quest has led into error, it has, like those of the philosopher's stone, of perpetual motion and of other phantasms in other directions of thought, been of great indirect utility. It has stimulated philologic science, the advance of which has successively shifted hack the postulated primitive language from Hebrew to Sanscrit, thence to Aryan, and now it is attempted to evoke from the vasty decps of antiquity the ghosts of other rival claimants for precedence in dissolution.
The disconssion is now, however, varied by the suggested possibility that man at some time may have existed without any oral language. It is of late conceded that mental images or representations can be formed withont any comection with somnd, and may at lenst serve for thought, if not for expression. It is certain that concepts, however formed, can be expressed by other means than sound. One mode of this expression is by gesture, and there is less reason to believe that gestures commenced as the interpretation of or substitute for words, than that the latter originated in and served to translate gestures. Many arguments have been advanced to prove that gesture language preceded articulate speech and formed the earliest attempt at communication, resulting from the interacting subjective and objective conditions to which primitive man was exposed. Some of the facts on which deductions have been based, made in accordance with well-established modes of scientific research from study of the lower animals, children, individuals in mental disorder or isolated from their fellows, and the lower types of mankind, are of grent interest, but it is only possible now to examine those relating to deaf-mutes.

## UNINSTRCCTED DEAF-MUTES.

The signs male by congenital and uninstructed denf-mutes are either those originating in or invented by individuals, or those of
a colloquial character used by such mates where associated. The accincontal or merely suggestive signs pecoliar to families, one member of which happens to he a mute, are too much afferted by the other members of the family to be of certan value. 'Those. again, which are tanght in institutions have become conventional and were designedly mapted to transhation into oral speech, althongh fomded by the abberle l'Epre, followed by the abbé sicard, in the natural signs first above mentioned.

A great change has dorbtess oceured in the estimation of congenital deaf-mutes since the Justinian Code which consigned them forever to legal infancy, as incapable of intelligence, and classed them with the insune. Yet most modern writers, for instance, Archbishop Whately and Man Matler, have decham that deafmutes conk mot think matil after having been instructed. It cannot be denied that the deaf-mute thinks after his instraction either in the ordinary gesture signs or in the finger alphibet, or more lately in artiticial speech. By this instruction he las become master of a highly-developed hanguage, such as English or French, which he can real, write, mod actaally talk, but that foreign langange he has obtanmed throngh the medimm of signs. This is a conclusive proof that signs constitute a real hagnage and one which ulmits of thonght, for no one can learn a foreign language muless he had some language of his own, whether by descent or acquisition, by which it conld be translated, and such translation into the new language could not even be commenced untess the mind had been already in action and intelligently using the original language for that purpose. In fuct the use by deaf-mutes of signs originating in themselves exhibits a ereative action of mind and imnate faculty of expression beyond that of ordinary speakers who acquired language without conscious effort.

## gestures of fluent talkens.

The command of a copious vocabulary common to both speaker and hearer mulonbtedty tends to a phlegmatic delivery and disdain of subsidialy aid. An excited speaker will, however, generally make a free use of his hands withont regard to any effect of that use upon anditors. Even among the gesture-hnting English, when they are aroused from torpidity of maner, the hands are involuntarily clapped in approbation, rubbed with delight, wrung effect of English, is are init, wrung
in distress, raised in astonishment, and waved in trimmph. The fingers are sumped for contempt, the forethger is vibmated to reprove or threatem, and the that shaken in definnece The brow is contracted with displeasure, and the eyes winked to slow comivance. 'The shoulders are shanged to express disbelief or repugnance, the eyobrows elevatel with surpuise, the lips bitten in vexation and thrust ont in sullenness or displetisme. Quintilian becomes eloquent on the variety of motions of which the lamels alone we capable.
"The action of the other parts of the borly assists the speaker, but the hands speak themselves. By them do we mot demand, promise, call, dismiss, threaten, supplicate, express abhorrence and teror, question and leng? bo we not by them expross joy and sormow, donbt, confession, repentance, measure, ynantity, number, and time? lo they not also encomage, supplicate, restrain, convict, almire, respect?"

## NATULAL PANTOMIME.

In the earliest part of man's history the subjects of his discourse must have been almost wholly sensmons, and therefore rendily expressel in pantomime. Not only was pantomime sufllcient for all the actual needs of his existence, but it is not easy to imagine how he could huve used language such as is now known to us. If the best English dictionary and grammur had been miraculonsly furnished to him, together with the art of reading with proper pronunciation, the gift would have been valneless, because the ideas expressed by the words had not yet been formed.

That the early concepts were of a direct and material character is shown ly what has been ascertained of the roots of language and there does not appear to be much diflculty in expressing by other than vocal instrumentality all that could have been expressed by those roots. Even now, with our vastly increased belongings of external life, avocations, and habits, nearly all that is absolutely necessary for our physical neets can be expressed in pantomime. Far heyond the mere signs for eating, drinking, sleeping, and the like, any one will understand a skilful representation in signs of a tailor, shoemaker, blacksmith, weaver, sailor, farmer, or doctor. So of washing, dressing, sharing, walking, driving, writing, reading, churning, milking, shoot-

## ADDRFSS OF GARRICK MALILERY,

ing, fishing, rowing, sailing, sawing, planing, boring, and, in short, an endless list.
Whether or not sight preceded hearing in order of development, it is diflentt, in conjecturing the lirst attempts of man or his hypotheticul ancestor at the expression either of pereepts or concepts, to connect vocal sombls with any large mumber of ohjeets, but it is readily conceivable that the characteristies of their forms and movements shoulil have heen surgested to the eye-highly exercised before the tongue-after the arms nud fingers had become free for the requisite simulation or portrayal. It may readily be supposed that a troglodyte man would desire to commmiente the finding of a cave in the vicinity of a pure pool, eircled with soft grass, and shaded hy trees bearing edible fruit. No sound of nature is connected with any of those objects, but the position and size of the care, its distance and direction, the water, its quality, and moment, the verdmat cireling carpet, and the kind and height of the trees contd have heen made known by pantomime in the days of the mammoth, if artienlate speech had not then heen established, as Indians or deaf-mutes now communicate similar information by the same agency.

## CONCLUSIONs.

It may be conceded that after man had attained to all his present fuculties, he did not choose between the aloption of voice and gesture, and never, with those fatculties, was in a state where the one was used to the absolate cxclusion of the other. The epoch, however, to which our speculations relate, is that in which he had not reached the present symmetric development of his intellect and of his bodily organs, and the inquiry is, which mode of communication was earliest in adaptation to his simple wants and unformed intelligence. With the voice he conld imitate distinctively but the few sounds of nature, while with gesture he could exhibit actions, motions, positions, forms, dimensions, directions and distances, with their derivatives and analogues. It would seem from this unequal division of capacity that oral speech remained rudimentary long after gesture had become an efficient instrument of thought and expression. With due allowance for all purely initative sounds and for the spontaneous
metion of the vocal organs miler extitement, it appears that thr connction between itleas and words is only to he explained by a compact between the speaker and hearer which supposes the existence ol' a prior mode of commoniontion. 'This was prohably by gesture, which, in the helpy phrase of suyce, "like the ropebridges of the Dimulayas or the Andes, formed the lirst rime menns of commmacation betwien man and man." At least wo may gladly necept it as a clew lembing us ont of the lallyrinth of philologic confinsion, ame as regnlating the immemorial search for man's pristine speech.
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