



3 3051 00004 2246









State of Illinois Henry Horner, Governor Department of Registration and Education Division of the STATE GEOLOGICAL SURVEY M. M. Leighton, Chief

INFORMATION CIRCULAR NO. 14

CLAY PRODUCTS INDUSTRY IN ILLINOIS IN 1935

PRELIMINARY REPORT

By W. A. Newton and Walter H. Voskuil

May, 1936

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2012 with funding from University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

http://archive.org/details/clayproductsindu14newt

Information Circular No. 14

May, 1936

CLAY PRODUCTS INDUSTRY IN ILLINOIS IN 1935

Preliminary Report

By W. A. Newton and Walter H. Voskuil

The clay products industry is one of the largest non-fuels mineral industries in Illinois, in terms of value of production. It is the purpose of this preliminary report to place in the hands of the producers at an early date a summary of statistical data that has been obtained through their prompt cooperation.

The figures given in this report are subject to final revision, although more than 95 per cent of the producers have reported to date.

The total value of clay products in Illinois continued to increase in 1935, the preliminary total being \$6,731,597 for this year, in comparison with \$5,945,199 for the year 1934. However, the following table shows that this increase was in the pottery division of the industry and that there was actually a slight decrease in the structural and refractory clay products division.

		an a reason a state of the foreign of the state of the party of the state of the state of the state of the state		and the second
· · ·	1932	1933	1934	1935
Structural and refractory clay products Pottery Total	\$2,504,610 1,837,033 \$4,341,643	\$2,328,556 1,816,467 \$4,145,033	\$4,498,960 1,446,239 \$5,945,199	\$4,467,076 2,264,521 \$6,731,597

Table 1. - Value of Clay Products, 1932 to 1935

This decrease was largely the result of almost a 50 per cent reduction in production value of paving blocks in 1935. There was a slight decrease also in value of refractory cement and in non-clay refractories. The figures given in Table 2 show an increase over the

1934 totals in the following classes: common brick, face brick, hollow brick, hollow building tile, drain tile, fireclay products, and clay sold (raw or prepared). The value of those classes included under other clay products (except pottery) which decreased in 1935 includes terra cotta, sewer pipe, flue lining and non-clay refractories. Wall coping was the only product included in this category which showed an increase in 1935 over 1934.

Table 2. - Production of Clay Products, by Classes, 1935

	Quantity	Value S	Quantity tocks on hand Dec. 31, 1935
Common brick (M) Face brick (M) Hollow brick (M) Hollow building tile (Tons)	83,445.4 34,945.4 2,778.8 46,624.8	\$ 689,538.69 479,118.76 53,916.50 198,119.68	56,517.6 17,508.6 680.1 34,057.1
Vitrified brick or block for paving (M) for other purposes (M) Draintile (Tons) Fireclay products Refractory cement	6,411 977 38,757.4 175	104,171,77 53,245.89 249,589.07 750,284.42 7,822.67	4,188.6 1,110.7 13,971.6 21
Clay sold, raw or prepared (etc.)	an a	160,429.10	n de la Marcalla de la Marcala de Catalana de Catalana
*Other clay products (except Pottery) Pottery	an La Maria I.	1,720,839.58 2,264,520.97	
* Terra cotta, sewer pipe, flu	e lining, wa	ll coping, and	non-clay re-

A MARTINE MALL MARTINE A . N. W.

fractories included under "Other clay products, except Pottery."

Table 3 presents data compiled from the Bureau of Census statistics from selected identical plants for the years 1932 to 1935. Total shipments, value of shipments, monthly averages, average values per unit, and stocks on hand December 31 of each year are given for common brick, face brick, and hollow building tile,

ALC: CONTRACT OF A

Table 3. - Shipments of Common Brick Face Brick, and Hollow Building Tile in Illinois by Years 1932-1935 (Data from the U. S. Bureau of Census)

	SHI	PMENTS	V	ALUE	an ann an Anna ann an Anna ann an Anna	Stocks on hand at	
Year	Total Thousands	Average per month Thousands	Total Dollars	Average per month Dollars	Average value per Thousand	end of year Thousands	
		C	ommon Bri	.ck			
1932 1933 1934 1935	56,388 51,011 62,269 84,085	4,699 4,251 5,189 7,007	446,906 403,813 564,164 835,775	37,242 33,651 47,014 69,648	7.93 7.92 9.06 9.94	69,771 58,993 55,120 63,283	
			Face Bric	·k			
1932 1933 1934 1935	32,439 22,825 24,657 36,923	2,703 1,902 2,055 3,077	464,398 305,168 409,542 596,248	38,700 25,432 34,128 49,687	14.31 13.81 16.61 18.85	46,668 26,863 23,281 24,411	
		Hollo	w Buildin	g Tile			
1932 1933 1934 1935	30,930 16,585 31,580 21,978	2,578 1,382 2,632 1,832	104,922 65,615 163,312 131,370	8,744 5,468 13,609 10,948	3.39 3.96 5.17 5.98	45,282 39,519 34,766 28,757	

In the year 1933 each item listed under common brick and face brick decreased in comparison to the corresponding figure for 1932. The greatest comparable decrease took place in face brick. Likewise, with the exception of stocks, each figure for these two types of brick increased in both 1934 and 1935, the 1935 totals in each case being greater than those of 1932. Not since 1932 has the total value of face brick shipments been greater than those of common brick, and it is yet lagging considerably.

A comparison of the data on hollow building tile with that of common and face brick gives quite a different picture. The trend in shipments and value of shipments in all three commodities is similar for the first three years, being downward in 1932 and upward in 1933, but in 1935 the tile trend falls again while the brick trend rises very markedly. This may be in part due to a natural decrease in demand for tile in 1935 or to the steady increase in value per unit placed on hollow building tile each year since 1932. The average value for both kinds of brick fluctuated with demand during these years.

1.2

It is important to note that stocks in both common and face brick increased in 1935 for the first time. This suggests that heavy inventories have been reduced to a more normal level. Stocks of hollow building tile on hand necessarily continued to decrease in 1935. The stocks of tile on hand at the end of the year were still greater than the total shipped during 1935. However, the stocks have shrunk 37 per cent from the 1932 total.

The important part that the stocks on hand play in the economic picture is not the actual number or quantity but the relation of the quantity of stocks to the demand. The producer can determine the size of the stocks he should carry on hand to meet emergencies only through past experience. Probably the most pertinent data available to judge future demands are shipments of the past year, with an analysis of the probable increase or decrease in demand for the coming months. Table 4 shows the relation of stocks on hand at the end of each year to the demand for that current year in terms of month's supplies on hand, December 31.

	in Terms of Mo	onths, 1932-19	35 ·		
Year	Common Brick (Months)	Face Brick (Months)	Hollow	W Building Tile (Months)	9
1932 1933 1934 1935	14.8 13.9 10.6 9.0	17.3 14.1 11.3 7.9		17.6 28.6 13.2 15.7	

Table 4. - Supply of Stocks on Hand at End of Year, in Terms of Months, 1932-1935

The actual quantity of stocks on hand as seen in Table 3 was increased for both common and face brick in 1935. However, the relation of these stocks to current demand has continued to decrease through 1935 and will continue to do so until this portion of the industry is stabilized. The stocks of hollow building tile on hand at the end of each year as seen in Table 4 has in no way coincided with demand and at the present time is no doubt much larger than that necessary to meet adequately the current requirements.

The clay products industry is more or less dependent upon the extent of building activity. A brief study of construction as revealed by building permit data in Illinois and in the country as a whole for the past few years may give some indications of future trends.

The first pronounced measure of building recovery was in 1935 as shown in Table 5 below. This 54 per cent increase over the 1934 total building permits issued in the 16 Illinois cities and St. Louis, Missouri, is gratifying not only in that it marks a definite upturn for the severely deflated construction industries but in that the value of building permits of this group of cities is 14.3 per cent above the average for Sll cities throughout the United States, as compiled by the U. S. Department of Labor, shown in Table 6.

Table 5. - Value of Building Permits in 16 Illinois Cities and St. Louis, Missouri (a)

		a na Maran da Marana Manana	and the state of t	
	1933	. 1934	193 (Prelim	inary)
Aurora Bloomington Chicago Cicero Decatur E. St. Louis Elgin Evanston Freeport Moline Dak Park Peoria Quincy Rockford Rock Island Springfield St. Louis, Missouri Total	<pre>\$ 104,966 192,570 3,683,960 56,165 157,605 212,742 105,953 402,600 102,782 102,685 122,940 1,891,320 73,954 117,720 186,426 535,929 10,106,632 \$18,156,949</pre>	<pre>\$ 282,282 238,046 7,727,351 162,885 577,640 265,498 169,946 741,700 99,887 170,653 181,850 910,987 58,314 227,300 322,892 326,184 4,998,453 \$17,461,868</pre>	\$ 250,2 579,02 12,936,40 198,24 588,10 869,12 217,94 947,75 230,15 626,20 1,791,34 81,75 347,06 456,45 11,355,86 \$32,144,45	70 22 209 40 22 3 45 50 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35
Table 6 in 8	Comparison of Sll U. S. Citie	Building Actors, 1934-1935	tivity	
Class of onstruction 1935	er of Building P.C. 1934 chang	s Estimat	ed Cost 1934	P.C. change
New`residen- tial 53,058 New`non- résidential 79.439	21,773 +143.7 64,546 +23.1	291,227,231 316.730.227	107,146,264 215,402,856	+171.8 + ¹ 7.0
dditions, al- terations, repairs 317,626 .ll construc-	264,241 +20.2	2 228,546,659	168,505,689	+35.6
tion 450,123 Data from U. S. Dop	350,560 +28.4 artment of Lak	836,504,117	491,054,809	+70.3

Most important in Table 6 is the significant increase in new residential construction. This class of construction acts as a business barometer and is important because of its greater relative volume in normal times, and in that it is a measure of public purchasing power and a reflection of the confidence of private capital.

It must be remembered, however, that although building activity in 1935 was substantially greater than in the previous year, the total value for 1934 as given in Table 5 was actually less than in 1933. This was particularly due to the decline of almost 50 per cent in value of permits issued in St. Louis, most of the cities showing somewhat of an increase.

The building industry usually contracts more than others of the durable or capital goods classification and its advance after the worst effects of a depression is usually tardy. The striking improvement now recorded is highly important for this reason. The building industry in Illinois began its decline in 1926 and reached the lowest level of activity in 1933. In 1934 many cities showed an increase and in 1935 all cities recorded in Table 5, with one exception, evidenced improvement.

> Table 7. - Value of Building Permits of Six Groups of Illinois Cities from 1920 to 1935 (In millions of dollars)

Year	Chicago, Oak Park, Evanston, Cicero	St.Louis and East St.Louis	Rock Island and Moline	Rockford and Freeport	Elgin and Aurora	Spring- field, Decatur, Bloom- ington, Peoria
1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1926 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935	79.5 135.6 243.7 351.3 356.9 386.9 386.9 3786.9 378.2 6.9 378.2 84.6 48.5 48.5 48.5 4.3 5.8 14.7	19.6 18.0 27.9 44.3 43.1 60.1 44.3 47.7 45.5 8 8 17.7 40.3 18.8 17.7 4.6 10.3 5.3 12.2	22222324 22222324 22222324 21233557	8945272599236 	44774 5321 ••55	9.3 8.1 10.1 15.9 16.0 13.9 16.0 13.9 16.0 13.9 16.0 11.9 5.1 2.0 11.9 5.1 2.0 12.0 3.1 2.1 2.1 3.1 2.1 2.1 3.1 2.1 2.1 3.1 2.1 2.1 3.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2.1 2

Table 7 presents building permit data for six groups of Illinois cities, in millions of dollars, for the years 1920 to 1935. These figures suggest that the building industry in this State is definitely on the up-turn after having experienced its lowest level in the year 1932 of the industrial depression. Also pictured by this table are the great strides construction must take before it can be said that normal building and industrial conditions are restored. This fact is further substantiated when the 1935 total value of permits issued in these cities, \$32,144,438, is compared to the total of \$415,526,483 in 1928. While it is improbable that the activity of building in the period 1926-1928 will occur in the future, the level of building activity in 1935 is probably much below normal require-

Governmental activities may have aided to some degree the improvement in building in the United States since 1933. However, such activities could not have made for such an enormous decline in building as witnessed during the first stages of the depression. Building construction was overdone by private capital during the years of increasing prosperity and it would be impossible for public works to tighten the slack in the building industry drained of these private funds. The slack is justly being taken up by an increased residential and industrial building program which will be the basis for a normal building program.

ments.

F. W. Dodge figures show that in 1935 industrial building increased slightly over that of 1934 for 37 states east of the Rocky Mountains, although it lagged as compared to an almost double outlay for residential construction in 1935. Public works and utility project contracts declined somewhat from 1934. A few building permits statistics compiled by the F. W. Dodge Corporation illustrate the importance of residential building for the improvement last year, as follows:

Fable	8.	 Value	of	Building	Permits	for	37	States
		East	of	Rocky Mou	intains	(a)		

Class	1935	1934	1933	<u>.</u>
Residential	\$478,843,100	\$248,840,100	\$249,262,100	\$811,338,700 (1931)
Non- residential	675, ⁴ 88,600	543,031,800	403,723,700	2,590,221,000 (1929)
Public works Utility	578,541,800 111,671,400	625,044,500 126,192,000		
(a) Data com	npiled by F. W.	Dodge Corpor	ation	

A further refinement of residential construction data for Sll identical cities has been compiled by the U. S. Department of Labor and is shown in Table 9. In 1935 there was a greater number of dwellings and more money outlaid for the one-family than for any other kind. However, the greatest increase over the 1934 dwelling construction total took place in the multi-family class, showing that business conditions are returning to the point where it is profitable to relieve the shortage in apartments.

Table 9. - Number of Family-Dwelling Units Provided in Sll Cities (U. S. Department of Labor)

	No. of new buildings for which permits issued			Familie	es provide	ed for
	1935	1934	P.C. Change	1935	1934	P.C. Change
One-family Two-family Multi-family All kinds	49,001 2,428 1,523 52,952	20,198 1,176 <u>341</u> 21,715	+142.6 +106.5 +346.6 +143.8	49,001 4,544 22,970 76,515	20,198 2,180 7,290 29,668	+142.6 +108.4 +215.1 +157.9

Total construction awards during the first two months of 1936 were 98.5 per cent higher than for the same two months of 1935 in 37 states east of the Rocky Mountains, according to F. W. Dodge figures. Table 10, given below, compares the different classes of construction for this period.

Table 10. - Construction Contracts Awarded in 37 States East of the Rocky Mountains, Comparing the First Two Months of the Years 1936 and 1935 (Figures from the F. W. Dodge Corporation)

	and a second				
Janua	ry and February	No. of Projects	New floor space (Sq. ft.)	Valuation (Dollars)	P. C.* increase over 1935
1936	Residential bldg. Non-residential Public works and	6,943 5,116	19,420,000 27,431,400	68,615,000 153,090,700	75.7 114.1
	utilities Total construction	2,107 14,116	1,057,700 47,910,000	125,137,300 346,843,000	73.2 98.5

Table 10 (continued)

J	anı	lary and February	No. of Projects	New floor space (Sq. ft.)	Valuation (Dollars)	P.C.* increase over 1935
1	935	Residential bldg. Non-residential	5,864 4,875	10,096,900 10,607,300	39,027,000 63,571,200	
		utilities Total construction	1,854 12,593	211,200 20,915,400	72,222,800 174,821,000	
	*	Calculated				

The value of building permits for 16 Illinois cities for the first three months in 1935 and 1936 has been compiled from monthly issues of the Illinois Journal of Commerce. The results are given in Table 11 which affords a basis of comparison for construction in Illinois in the year 1935 and the possible trend building may take during the remainder of the current year.

Table 11. - Value of Building Permits in 16 Illinois Cities*, by Months, 1935-1936

			1935		1936			
		Value of Building	Number of	Average Value of	Value of Building	Number of	Average Value of	
		Permits	Permits	Permit	Permits	Permits	Permit	
Jai	nuary	94,963	151	628.8	809,143	203	3,985.9	
re Ia:	oruary rch	784;398	379	1,857:7	374;585	141 632	1;542:8	
*	The 16 East Rockí	cities: A St. Louis, Cord, Rock	urora, BJ Elgin, H Island, S	Loomington, Freeport, Jo Springfield.	Champaign oliet, Mol , and Wauk	, Danvill ine, Peor egan.	e, Decatur, Pia, Quincy,	







"WASCHER'S" LIBKARY BINDERS 507 S. Goodwin Urbana, Ill.

