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Edward Sapir, about 1913
('omrtesy of Sapir family)

Edward Sapir (1884-1939) has been referred to as "one of the most brilliant scholars in linguistics and anthropology in our country" (Franz Boas) and as "one of the greatest figures in American humanistic scholarship" (Franklin Edgerton). His classic book, Language (1921), is still in use, and many of his papers in general linguistics, such as "Sound Patterns in Language" and "The Psychological Reality of Phonemes," stand also as classics. The development of the American descriptive school of structural linguistics, including the adoption of phonemic principles in the study of non-literary languages, was primarily due to him.

The large body of work he carried out on Native American languages has been called "ground-breaking" and "monumental" and includes descriptive, historical, and comparative studies. They are of continuing importance and relevance to today's scholars.

Not to be ignored are his studies in Indo-European, Semitic, and African languages, which have been characterized as "masterpieces of brilliant association" (Zellig Harris). Further, he is recognized as a forefather of ethnolinguistic and sociolinguistic studies.

In anthropology Sapir contributed the classic statement on the theory and methodology of the American school of Franz Boas in his monograph, "Time Perspective in Aboriginal American Culture" (1916). His major contribution, however, was as a pioneer and proponent for studies on the interrelation of culture and personality, of society and the individual, providing the theoretical basis for what is known today as humanistic anthropology.

He was, in addition, a poet, and contributed papers on aesthetics, literature, music, and social criticism.
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## Preface

Volumes I through VI of The Collected Works of Edward Sapir are devoted mainly to shorter papers, for the most part reprinted from journals and collections; by contrast, Volumes VII through XV are devoted to longer works of monographic nature - grammars, dictionaries, text collections, and extended ethnographic accounts. Many of these were published by Sapir during his lifetime; others were edited by his students and published after his death; still others have only now been edited and are published here for the first time. The organization of each volume in this latter group brings together, in most instances, works on a single language and culture; in a few volumes, however, the unifying element is one of linguistic family or culture area.

This volume contains the two major publications on Takelma that resulted from Sapir's second field trip, in 1906: Takelma Texts of 1909, and The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon, which first appeared in 1912. In addition, a reinterpretation by Dell Hymes of the first narrative in Takelma Texts is printed here for the first time.

The typographical errors noted by Sapir in his personal copies of both works have been corrected in this edition, as have several other misprints that escaped Sapir's notice. The editor is especially grateful to Professor Osahito Miyaoka, Hokkaido University, for giving him access to Sapir's copy of Takelma Texts, which is now in the Library of the Tokyo University of Foreign Studies. Sapir's copy of The Takelma Language has been provided by the Sapir family, who plan to add it to the collection of Sapiriana in the Library of the American Philosophical Society, upon completion of the publication of The Collected Works.

Preparation of this and the other monographic volumes of The Collected Works of Edward Sapir has been aided by grants from the American Philosophical Society (Phillips Fund), the National Science Foundation (grant no. BNS-86-09411), and the Wenner-Gren Foundation for Anthropological Research.

The editor wishes to thank, for their special help on this volume, Beth Carroll-Horrocks, Dell Hymes, Jane McGary, and Katherine Turner.

## Introduction

Sapir's field work on Takelma during the summer of 1906 was a linguistic and ethnographic salvage project carried out under Franz Boas's direction and with support from the Bureau of American Ethnology. It also provided the 22-yearold Sapir with the material for his doctoral dissertation at Columbia University. Based on a mere month and a half of work with a single consultant, Sapir's record of the language and culture of this now extinct southern Oregon group is extraordinarily comprehensive. Fortunately, he was able to see nearly all of his material into print within a few years of its collection. Included in this volume are Sapir's two monograph-length volumes on the Takelma language, Takelma Texts (1909c) and The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon (1912h). Two substantial reports on Takelma culture (1907b, 1907c) and a brief handbook article (1910e) can be found in Volume IV, Ethnology.

Before Sapir's work, the Takelmas were among the most poorly documented Indian groups of the American west. Together with the other Indians of the Rogue and Umpqua river drainages, the Takelmas were treated very cruelly by the whites who flocked to that area during the gold rush of the early 1850s. A series of massacres in the middle of that decade - the so-called "Rogue River War" - virtually extinguished the native cultures of southwestern Oregon (Beckham 1971). The traumatized survivors were resettled on two small reservations in northwestern Oregon, at Siletz and Grand Ronde. Here some local groups, most notably the village tribelets of the Rogue River Athabaskans, were able to re-establish a modicum of cultural and linguistic identity, but the remaining Takelmas were too few and too demoralized to reassert themselves in their new locale. J. Owen Dorsey, who made an ethnographic survey of the Siletz reservation in 1884, noted only a few individuals who identified themselves as Takelma (1890: 234-235). Some knowledge of the language remained, however, and Dorsey was able to fill out a good part of a Powell questionnaire ${ }^{1}$ for Takelma. On the basis of this and a few other scraps of linguistic data, Powell (1891) classified Takelma as a separate stock, "Takilman," unrelated to any other language group in North America. The imminent demise of so unique a language became a matter of some concern to scholars. Franz Boas, who made the field documentation of American Indian languages and cultures the focus of the graduate program he initiated at Columbia University in 1897, sent one of his earliest students, H. H. St. Clair II, to work on Takelma in 1903-04. St. Clair, however, proved to be a disappointment, and in 1906 Boas turned the

[^0]project over to Edward Sapir, a young man who had shown himself, in his work with Wishram Chinook the previous summer, to be a field worker of rare ability.

Sapir's Takelma informant during his stay at Siletz in July and August 1906, was Mrs. Frances Johnson, who had apparently also been St. Clair's informant. Born around 1845 in a village on Jump-off-Joe Creek, a small tributary of the Rogue River, she was a speaker of Lower Takelma, the dialect spoken on the Rogue River below Grants Pass and on Cow Creek to the north. At the time of Sapir's visit, perhaps a dozen other speakers of Takelma survived, including at least two who spoke Upper Takelma, the other attested dialect. Sapir is not known to have worked with any of them. His documentation of Takelma, as in much of his other work, is essentially a penetrating exploration of the linguistic competence of a single individual.

Mrs. Johnson died early in 1934, and none of the other speakers of Takelma appears to have outlived her by more than a few years. After Sapir's visit, the only significant work done on the Takelma language was that carried out by John P. Harrington, who visited Siletz in the fall of 1933. Harrington interviewed three speakers: Mrs. Johnson; Aneti Scott, apparently the widow of Mrs. Johnson's first cousin; and Molly Orton, a speaker of the Upper Takelma dialect (Gray 1987: 13-14). While his primary objective was the collection of place name data from the Rogue River area, he took advantage of the occasion to make a number of sound recordings of Takelma speech. These included recitations by Mrs. Johnson of several of the texts she had dictated to Sapir in 1906. Harrington's notes (and possibly his aluminum-disk sound recordings, although these have not been definitely identified) are in the National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution (Mills 1981: 78-80). Also in the National Anthropological Archives are some incidental linguistic and ethnographic notes collected by the anthropologist Philip Drucker in 1934 from Willie Simmons, identified as an Upper Takelma Indian. An anonymous notebook with further Upper Takelma data from Molly Orton is in the Archives of the Department and Museum of Anthropology, Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley; these notes were probably also collected by Drucker in 1934.

Sapir's Takelma work, particularly his grammar, occupies an important place both in his own career and in 20th-century American linguistics. Second only to his monumental grammar, texts, and dictionary of Southern Paiute (Volume X), Sapir's publications on Takelma constitute his fullest descriptive treatment of a language. The grammar, completed in 1907 and formally submitted to Columbia as his doctoral dissertation in 1909, was published in 1912 as the first installment of the second volume of Boas's Handbook of American Indian Languages. (The complete second volume of the Handbook was issued in 1922, and this date is the one usually cited.) Sapir prepared his collection of texts for publication while he was teaching at the University of Pennsylvania (1908-10), and they appeared in 1909 in the University Museum's Anthropological Publications.

The grammar, which is thoroughly cross-referenced to the texts, stands out among the contributions to the Handbook for its lucidity and precision of statement. While Sapir to some extent followed the model Boas urged on all contributors, especially in his use of processual terminology (see Stocking 1974), what characterizes the work and gives it enduring significance is the uncompromising rigor with which Takelma grammar is depicted as a formally complete system. From the perspective of structural linguistics, Sapir's Takelma is no less than the first modern description of an American Indian language.

The texts, while of lesser theoretical importance than the grammar, also continue to attract the interest of linguists and anthropologists. Daythal Kendall, working under Zellig Harris in the 1970s, used them as a corpus for studying Takelma sentence structure and discourse cohesion (Kendall 1977). More recently, Dell Hymes, as part of his many-faceted study of the structural properties of the oral literatures of the Northwest, has commented on the distinctive phonetic indexing of animal characters in Takelma myths (Hymes 1979, 1981: 65-76) and has investigated the ethnopoetic discourse patterning of Mrs. Johnson's narratives. His analysis of one of the texts is included in this volume.

The possible relationship of Takelma to other American Indian languages was of some interest to Sapir. As early as 1915 he saw reasons for linking Takelma with the Penutian family of California proposed by Dixon and Kroeber (1913), as well as with the Coos, Siuslaw, Alsea, and Kalapuya languages of western Oregon (Golla 1984: 182-186). He later made a much expanded Penutian family one of his six North American "superstocks," adding KlamathModoc, Sahaptian, Cayuse-Molale, Chinookan, Tsimshian, and Huave and the Mixe-Zoque family of Mexico (Sapir 1921b, 1929a). Sapir's "Penutian hypothesis" continues to receive serious attention, and while it is far from being universally accepted, it is one of the more plausible of the wide-ranging genetic groupings that have been proposed for American Indian languages (see Silverstein 1979). In Sapir's view, the relationship, while bolstered by a number of lexical sets (see Sapir and Swadesh 1953), was most convincingly supported by deeply rooted typological similarities among the languages. Among the features of Takelma structure that seemed to Sapir especially Penutian-like were the occurrence of numerous stems of $\mathrm{CVCV}(\mathrm{C})$ shape with repeated vowel; reduplication as an important morphological process, characteristically involving repetition of the end rather than the beginning of a root; and vowel ablaut.

Takelma belongs to no clearly defined subgroup within Penutian, although it is usually aligned with Coos, Siuslaw, Alsea, and Kalapuya as Oregon (Coast) Penutian. Sapir early on identified a large number of potential cognates between Takelma and Coos (Sapir and Swadesh 1953), but this may indicate little more than the ready availability of Frachtenberg's Coos data to Sapir. A Takelma-Kalapuya subgroup was proposed by Swadesh (1965) and explored by Shipley (1969), but the paucity of easily accessible data on Kalapuya has made this claim difficult to test.
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# THE TAKELMA LANGUAGE OF SOUTHWESTERN OREGON 

By Edward Sapir

## § 1. INTRODUCTION

The language treated in the following pages was spoken in the southwestern part of what is now the state of Oregon, along the middle portion of Rogue river and certain of its tributaries. It, together with an upland dialect of which but a few words were obtained, forms the Takilman stock of Powell. The form "Takelma" of the word is practically identical with the native name of the tribe, $D \bar{a}^{a} g e l m a^{\prime \prime} n$ those dwelling along the river (see below, § 87, 4); there seems to be no good reason for departing from it in favor of Powell's variant form.

The linguistic material on which this account of the Takelma language is based consists of a series of myth and other texts, published by the University of Pennsylvania (Sapir, Takelma Texts, Anthropological Publications of the University Museum, vol. n, no. 1, Philadelphia, 1909), together with a mass of grammatical material (forms and sentences) obtained in connection with the texts. A series of eleven short medicine formulas or charms have been published with interlinear and free translation in the Journal of American Folk-Lore (xx, 35-40). A vocabulary of Takelma verb, noum, and adjective stems, together with a certain number of derivatives, will be found at the end of the "Takelma Texts." Some manuscript notes on Takelma, collected in the summer of 1904 by Mr. H. H. St. Clair, 2d, for the Bureau of American Ethnology, have been kindly put at my disposal by the Bureau; though these consist mainly of lexical material, they have been found useful on one or two points. References like 125.3 refer to page and line of my Takelma Texts. Those in parentheses refer to forms amalogous to the ones discussed.

The author's material was gathered at the Siletz reservation of Oregon during a stay of a month and a half in the summer of 1906, also under the direction of the Bureau of American Ethnology. My informant was Mrs. Frances Johnson, an elderly full-blood Takelma woman. Her native place was the village of Dak'ts!asiñ or Daldani'k', on Jump-off-Joe creek ( $D \bar{i} p!\bar{o} l t s!i^{\prime} l d a$ ), a northern affluent of Rogue river, her mother having come from a village on the upper course of Cow creek (Hagwal). Despite her imperfect command of the English language, she was found an exceptionally intelligent and good-humored informant, without which qualities the following study would have been far more imperfect than it necessarily must be under even the very best of circumstances.

In conclusion I must thank Prof. Franz Boas for his valuable advice in regard to several points of method and for his active interest in the progress of the work. It is due largely to him that I was encouraged to depart from the ordinary rut of grammatical description and to arrange and interpret the facts in a manner that seemed most in accordance with the spirit of the Takelma language itself. ${ }^{1}$

## PHONOLOGY (§§2-24)

## § 2. Introductory

In its general phonetic character, at least as regards relative harshness or smoothness of acoustic effect, 'Takelma will probably be found to occupy a position about midway between the characteristically rough languages of the Columbia valley and the North Californian and Oregon coast (Chinookan, Salish, Alsea, Coos, Athapascan, Yurok) on the one hand, and the relatively euphonious languages of the Sacramento valley (Maidu, Yana, Wintun) on the other, inclining rather to the latter than to the former.

From the former group it differs chiefly in the absence of voiceless $l$-sounds ( $L, l,{ }^{2} L!$ ) and of velar stops ( $q, g, q!$ ); from the latter,

[^1]in the occurrence of relatively more complex consonantic clusters, though these are of strictly limited possibilities, and hardly to be considered as difficult in themselves.

Like the languages of the latter group, Takelma possesses clearcut vowels, and abounds, besides, in long vowels and diphthongs; these, together with a system of syllabic pitch-accent, give the Takelma language a decidedly musical character, marred only to some extent by the profusion of disturbing catches. The line of cleavage between Takelma and the neighboring dialects of the Athapascan stock (Upper Umpqua, Applegate Creek, Galice Creek, Chasta Costa) is thus not only morphologically but also phonetically distinct, despite resemblances in the manner of articulation of some of the vowels and consonants. Chasta Costa, formerly spoken on the lower course of Rogue river, possesses all the voiceless l-sounds above referred to; a peculiar illusive $q!$, the fortis character of which is hardly as prominent as in Chinook; a voiced guttural spirant $r$, as in North German Tage; the sonants or weak surds $d j$ and $z$ (rarely); a voiceless interdental spirant $\varepsilon$ and its corresponding fortis $t c!$; and a very frequently occurring $\hat{a}$ vowel, as in English hut. All of these are absent from Takelma, which, in turn, has a complete labial series ( $b, p^{\circ}, p!, m$ ), whereas Chasta Costa has only the nasal $m$ (labial stops occur apparently only in borrowed words, $b \bar{o} c i^{\prime}$ cat $<p u s s y$ ). The fortis $k!$, common in Takelma, seems in the Chasta Costa to be replaced by $q!$; the Takelma vowel $\ddot{u}$, found also in California, is absent from Chasta Costa; $r$ is foreign to either, though found in Galice Creek and Shasta. Perhaps the greatest point of phonetic difference, however, between the Takelma and Chasta Costa languages lies in the peculiar long (doubled) consonants of the latter, while Takelma regularly simplifies consonant geminations that would theoretically appear in the building of words. Not enough of the Shasta has been published to enable one to form an estimate of the degree of phonctic similarity that obtains between it and Takelma, but the differences can hardly be as pronounced as those that have just been found to exist in the case of the latter and Chasta Costa.

This preliminary survey seemed necessary in order to show, as far as the scanty means at present at our disposal would allow, the phonetic affiliations of Takelma. Attention will now be directed to the sounds themselves.

## Vowels (§§ 3-11)

## § 3. General Remarks

The simple vowels appear, quantitatively considered, in two forms, short and long, or, to adopt a not inappropriate term, pseudodiphthongal. By this is meant that a long vowel normally consists of the corresponding short vowel, though generally of greater quantity, plus a slight parasitic rearticulation of the same vowel (indicated by a small superior letter), the whole giving the effect of a diphthong without material change of vowel-quality in the course of production. The term pseudo-diphthong is the more justified in that the long vowel has the same absolute quantity, and experiences the same accentual and syllabic treatment, as the true diphthong, consisting of short vowel $+i, u, l, m$, or $n$. If the short vowel be given a unitary quantitative value of 1 , the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) and ordinary diphthong will have an approximate value of 2 ; while the long diphthong, consisting of long vowel $+i, u, l, m$, or $n$, will be assigned a value of 3 . The liquid ( $l$ ) and the nasals ( $m$ and $n$ ) are best considered as forming, parallel to the semi-vowels $y(i)$ and $w(u)$, diphthongs with preceding vowels, inasmuch as the combinations thus entered on are treated, similarly to $i$ - and $u$-diphthongs, as phonetic units for the purposes of pitchaccent and grammatic processes. As a preliminary example serving to justify this treatment, it may be noted that the verb-stem bilw-, bilu- Jump becomes bilau- with inorganic $a$ under exactly the same phonetic conditions as those which make of the stem k!emn- make k!eman-. We thus have, for instance:
${ }^{\text {bilwa }}{ }^{\prime \epsilon}$ s jumper; bila $u k{ }^{\prime}$ he jumped
$k!e m n a^{\prime \ell} \varepsilon_{s}$ maker; k!ema nk $k^{\circ}$ he made it
From this and numberless other examples it follows that au and an, similarly $a i$, $a l$, and $a m$, belong, from a strictly Takelma point of view, to the same series of phonetic elcments; similarly for $e, i, o$, and $\ddot{\ddot{u}}$ diphthongs.

## § 4. System of Vowels

The three quantitative stages outlined above are presented for the various vowels and diphthong-forming elements in the following table:

| I. Short. | II. Long. | Short diphthong. | III. Long diphthong. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a$ | $\bar{a} a,(\bar{a})$ | ai, au, al, am, an | $\bar{a} i, \quad \bar{a} u, \quad \bar{a} a l, \bar{a} a m, ~ \check{a} a n$ |
| $e$ | ee, (e) | ei, eu, el, em, en | èi, eu, eel, eem een |
| $i$ | ii, (i) | $i u, i l, i m, i n$ | $\bar{i} u, \quad \bar{i} i l, \bar{i} i m, ~ \bar{i} i n$ |
| $o,(u)$ | ${ }_{0}{ }^{\text {u }}$, (ō) | oi, ou, ol, om, on <br> (ous) (ul) (um) (un) | $\bar{o} i, \quad \hat{o}^{u}(u c), \quad \bar{o} u l, \quad \bar{o} u m, \bar{o} u n$ |
| $\bar{u}$ | $\bar{u} u,(\bar{u})$ | $u i, \bar{u} w, \bar{u} l, \bar{u} m, \bar{u} n$ (ūu) | $\bar{u} \bar{i}, \bar{u} u(w), \bar{u} u l, \bar{u} u m, \bar{u} u n$ |
| ü | uiu, ( $\overline{\bar{u}}^{\text {u }}$ | $\ddot{u} i, \quad u ̈ w, \ddot{u} l, \quad u m, \quad \ddot{ } n$ (iii) |  |

It is to be understood, of course, that, under proper syllabic conditions, $i$ and $u$ may respectively appear in semivocalic form as $y$ and $w$; thus $\bar{o}^{u}$ and $\bar{u}^{u}$ appear as $\bar{o} w$ and $\bar{u} w$ when followed by vowels; e. g., in $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{u}$ - throw away, $\bar{u} w$ and $\bar{u}^{u}$ are equivalent elements forming a reduplicated complex entirely analogous to -elel- in helelsing. Similarly ai, $a u, \bar{a} i$, and $\bar{a} u$ may appear as $a y, a w, \bar{a}^{a} y$, and $\bar{a}^{a} w$; and correspondingly for the other vowels. Indeed, one of the best criteria for the determination of the length of the first element of a diphthong is to obtain it in such form as would cause the second element ( $i$ or $u$ ) to become semi-vocalic, for then the first vowel will adopt the form of a short vowel or pseudo-diphthong, as the case may be. The following phonetic (not morphologic) proportions will make this clearer:
biliut $e^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ jump: biliwa ${ }^{\circ} t^{\prime}$ you jump $=h e^{e \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{u}}$ he went away from him: he $e^{\varepsilon \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{i}}} \mathrm{w}^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I went away from him
$g \mathrm{a} k^{\prime}$ he ate it: $g$ ayawa $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ ate $\mathrm{it}=g \overline{\mathrm{a}} k^{\prime}$ he grew: $g \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{y} a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he will grow
gayau he ate it: gayaw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ ate it = hant $g$ àu over land: Laty' $\bar{a}^{2} \mathbf{w} a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ one from Lat'gāu [uplands]
Sometimes, though not commonly, a diphthong may appear in the same word either with a semivowel or vowel as its second element, according to whether it is or is not followed by a connecting inorganic $a$. A good example of such a doublet is haye ${ }^{e}{ }^{w} a^{\prime} x d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ or hayèuxd $\bar{a}^{a} d a$ in hiss returning (verb stem yè $u$-, ye ${ }^{e} w$ - return). It is acoustically difficult to distinguish sharply between the long vowel or pseudo-diphthong $\bar{o}^{u}$ and the $u$-diphthongs of $o$ (both $o u$ and $\bar{o} u$ are often heard as $\bar{o}^{u}$ ), yet there is no doubt that there is an organic difference between $\bar{o}^{u}$, as long vowel to $o$, and $\bar{o}^{u}=o u, \bar{o} u$. Thus, in loh $\bar{o}^{u} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cause him to die, and lohona'n I sialli cause him to die, $\bar{o}^{u}$ and $o$ are related as long and short vowel in parallel
fashion to the $\bar{a}^{a}$ and $a$ of $y \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} n a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ you went, and yanada' you will Go. On the other hand, the $\bar{o}^{u}$ of $p^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u} p^{\prime} a u$ - (aorist stem) blow is organically a diphthong ( $\bar{o} u$ ), the $\bar{o}^{u}$ of the first syllable being related to the $a u$ of the second as the $i u$ of $k^{i} i u k i a u$ - (verb stem) brandisir is to its $a u$. Similarly, the $-\bar{o}^{u}$ - of $s^{\prime} \bar{o}^{\prime \mu \varepsilon} k^{\prime} \hat{o} p^{\prime}$ - (verb stem) JUMP is organic shortened ou, related to the owo- of the aorist stem $s o^{\prime}$ wo $^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} \hat{o} p^{\prime}$ - as the $-e^{i}$ - of $h \mathrm{e}^{\prime \mathrm{i} \varepsilon} x$ - (verb stem) be left over is to the -eye- of heye ${ }^{\epsilon} x$ - (aorist stem). A similar acoustic difficulty is experienced in distinguishing $\ddot{u}^{u}$, $\left(\bar{u}^{u}\right)$ as long vowel from the $u$-diphthongs of $\ddot{u},(\bar{u})$.

Examples of unrelated stems and words differing only in the length of the vowel or diphthong are not rare, and serve as internal evidence of the correctness, from a native point of view, of the vowel classification made:
gai- eat, but gāi- grow
verb-prefix $d \bar{a}^{a}$ - ear, but $d a$ - mouth
$w \bar{a}^{a} x a$ his younger brother, but $w a^{\prime} x a$ at them
It may happen that two distinct forms of the same word differ only in vocalic quantity; $y \bar{a}^{a} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ he will swim, $y a d a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ he swims.

It is, naturally enough, not to be supposed that the long vowels and diphthongs always appear in exactly the same quantity. Speed of utterance and, to some extent, withdrawal of the stress-accent, tend to reduce the absolute quantities of the vowels, so that a normally long vowel can become short, or at least lose its parasitic attachment. In the case of the $i$ - and $u$-diphthongs, such a quantitative reduction means that the two vowels forming the diphthong more completely lose their separate individuality and melt into one. Quantitative reduction is apt to occur particularly before a glottal catch; in the diphthongs the catch follows so rapidly upon the second element ( $i$ or $u$ ) that one can easily be in doubt as to whether a full $i$ - or $u$ - vowel is pronounced, or whether this second vowel appears rather as a palatal or labial articulation of the catch itself. The practice has been adopted of writing such diphthongs with a superior $i$ or $u$ before the catch: $a^{i \varepsilon}, a^{u \varepsilon}, e^{u \varepsilon}$, and similarly for the rest. When, however, in the course of word-formation, this catch drops off, the $i$ or $u$ that has been swallowed up, as it were, in the catch reasserts itself, and we get such pairs of forms as:
nag $\mathrm{a}^{\prime \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ he said; but naga' $\mathrm{i} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he said
sgele ${ }^{\prime \mu \varepsilon}$ he shouted; but sgele'uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he shouted

On the other hand, vowels naturally short sometimes become long when dwelt upon for rhetorical emphasis. Thus ga that sometimes appears as $g \bar{a}^{a}$ :
$g \bar{a}^{a} l o h o^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}$ in that case I shall die
$g \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ for that reason
As regards the pronunciation of the vowels themselves, little need be said. The $a$ is of the same quality as the short $a$ of German mann, while the long $\bar{a}^{a}$ (barring the parasitic element) corresponds to the $a$ of HAHN.

A labial coloring of the $a$ (i. e., $\hat{o}$ as in German voll) frequently occurs before and after $k^{*}$ :
g $\tilde{u} h \hat{o} k^{\text {w }}$ planted, sown
$\bar{i} k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{\prime} w o \hat{k}{ }^{\prime}$ he woke him up
But there were also heard:
sek $k^{\circ} a k^{*}{ }^{w}$ shot
malãk'wa he told him
The $e$ is an open sound, as in the English let; it is so open, indeed, as to verge, particularly after $y$, toward $a .^{1}$ Also the long vowel $e^{e}$ is very open in quality, being pronounced approximately like the $e i$ of English their (but of course without the $r$ - vanish) or the $\hat{e}$ of French fête; $e^{e}$, though unprovided with the mark of length, will be always understood as denoting the long vowel (pseudo-diphthong) corresponding to the short $e$; while $\grave{e}$ will be employed, wherever necessary, for the long vowel without the parasitic $-{ }^{-e}$. The close $\bar{e}$, as in German reir, does not seem to occur in Takelma, although it was sometimes heard for $i$; in the words $l \bar{a} a l \bar{e} \bar{e}^{\prime}$ he became, láalét am you became, and other related forms, $\bar{e}$ was generally heard, and may be justified, though there can be small doubt that it is morphologically identical with the $i^{i}$ of certain other verbs.

The $i$ is of about the same quality as in English hit, while the long $\bar{\imath}^{i}$ is closer, corresponding to the ea of English beat. Several monosyllables, however, in $-i$, such as gwi where, $d i$ interrogative particle, should be pronounced with a close though short vowel (cf. French fini). This closer pronunciation of the short vowel may be explained by supposing that gwi, $d i$, and other such words are rapid pronunciations of $g w \bar{\imath}^{i}, d \bar{\imath}^{i}$, and the others; and indeed the texts sometimes show such longer forms.

[^2]The $o$ is a close vowel, as in German sohn, as far as the quality is concerned, but with the short quantity of the of voll. This closeness of pronunciation of the $o$ readily explains its very frequent interchange with $u$ :
$\bar{\imath} t s^{\prime}!o^{\prime} p^{\prime} a l$ sharp-clawed
dets ! ugu't' sharp-pointed
and also the $u$-quality of the parasitic element in the long close vowel $\bar{o}^{u}$. The short open $\hat{o}$, as in German voll, never occurs as a primary vowel, but is practically always a labialized variant of $a$. Thus in Takelma, contrary to the parallelism one ordinarily expects to find in vocalic systems, $e$ - vowels are open in quality, while $o$ - vowels are close.

The vowel $\bar{u}$ is close, as in the English word rude, the long mark over the $u$ being here used to indicate closeness of quality rather than length of quantity. The $\ddot{u}$ is not identical with the German $\ddot{u}$, but is somewhat more obscure in quality and wavers (to an unIndian ear) between the German short $\ddot{u}$ of mütze and $u$ of muss; sometimes it was even heard with the approximate quality of the short $\ddot{o}$ of götz. The long $\ddot{u}^{u}$ is, in the same way, not exactly equivalent to the long $\ddot{u}$ of the German süss, but tends in the direction of $\bar{u}^{u}$, with which it frequently varies in the texts. It is somewhat doubtful how far the two vowels $\bar{u}$ and $\ddot{u}$ are to be considered separate and distinct; it is quite possible that they should be looked upon as auditory variants of one sound. Before or after $y$ or $w, \ddot{u}$ is apt to be heard as $\bar{u}$, $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ They RAN AWAY, $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} s^{*}$ He laUghed, $\bar{\imath} g \bar{u} y \bar{u} g \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} i s i$, he keeps nudging me, - otherwise often as $u$.

The only short vowel not provided for in the table is $\hat{u}$ (as in English suts), which, however, has no separate individuality of its own, but is simply a variant form of $a$, heard chiefly before $m$ :
$h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} \overline{7} e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon} x u m$ he killed us off (for -am)
$x a m$ in water (for xam)
The absence of the obscure vowel $E$ of indeterminate quality is noteworthy as showing indirectly the clear-cut vocalic character of Takelma speech. Only in a very few cases was the $e$ heard, and in the majority of these it was not a reduced vowel, but an intrusive sound between $m$ and $s$ :
$d a k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime e \varepsilon} k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a g a m_{E s}$ he tied his hair up into top-knot (in place of $-a m s)$.

Even here it may really have been the strongly sonantic quality of the $m$ in contrast to the voiceless $s$ that produced the acoustic effect of an obscure vowel. The exact pronunciation of the diphthongs will be better understood when we consider the subject of pitch-accent.

## § 5. Stress and Pitch-Accent

Inasmuch as pitch and stress accent are phonetic phenomena that affect more particularly the vowels and diphthongs, it seems advisable to consider the subject here and to let the treatment of the consonants follow. As in many Indian languages, the stress-accent of any particular word in Takelma is not so inseparably associated with any particular syllable but that the same word, especially if consisting of more than two syllables, may appear with the main stress-accent now on one, now on the other syllable. In the uninterrupted flow of the sentence it becomes often difficult to decide which syllable of a word should be assigned the stress-accent. Often, if the word bears no particular logical or rhythmic emphasis, one does best to regard it as entirely without accent and as standing in a proclitic or enclitic relation to a following or preceding word of greater emphasis. This is naturally chiefly the case with adverbs (such as $h e^{\varepsilon} n e$ THEN) and conjunctive particles (such as ganēhi $i^{\varepsilon}$ and then; agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ and so, but THEN) ; though it not infrequently happens that the major part of a clause will thus be strung along without decided stress-accent until some emphatic noun or verb-form is reached. Thus the following passage occurs in one of the myths:
ganēhi dewenxa lāa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{l} \bar{e} h o n o^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime} e l e^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$, literally translated, And then to-morrow (next day) it became, again they went out to war

All that precedes the main verb-form $p^{\prime} e l e^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ they went out to WAR is relatively unimportant, and hence is hurried over without anywhere receiving marked stress.

Nevertheless a fully accented word is normally stressed on some particular syllable; it may even happen that two forms differ merely in the place of accent:
naga'-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he said, but
naga-ida ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ when you said
The important point to observe, however, is that when a particular syllable does receive the stress (and after all most words are normally
accented on some one syllable), it takes on one of two or three musical inflections:
(1) A simple pitch distinctly higher than the normal pitch of unstressed speech ( $($ ).
(2) A rising inflection that starts at, or a trifle above, the normal pitch, and gradually slides up to the same higher pitch referred to above ( $\sim$ ).
(3) A falling inflection that starts at, or generally somewhat higher than, the raised pitch of (1) and (2), and gradually slides down to fall either in the same or immediately following syllable, to a pitch somewhat lower than the normal ( - ).

The "raised" pitch ( () is employed only in the case of final short vowels or shortened diphthongs (i. e., diphthongs that, owing to speed of utterance, are pronounced so rapidly as to have a quantitative value hardly greater than that of short vowels; also secondary diphthongs involving an inorganic a); if a short vowel spoken on a raised pitch be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable (as will always happen, if it is not the final vowel of the word), there will evidently ensue a fall in pitch in the unaccented syllable, and the general acoustic effect of the two syllables will be equivalent to a "falling" inflection ( $\lrcorner$ ) within one syllable; i. e. (if - be employed to denote an unaccented syllable), $(\because)+-=(\dot{\prime})$. The following illustration will make this clearer: you sang is regularly accented helela${ }^{\circ} t^{\circ}$, the $a^{\prime}$ being sung on an interval of a (minor, sometimes even major) third above the two unaccented $e$-vowels. The acoustic effect to an American ear is very much the same as that of a curt query requiring a positive or negative answer, did he go? where the $i$ of mid and $e$ of he correspond in .pitch to the two $e$ 's of the Takelma word, while the $o$ of go is equivalent to the Takelma $a^{\text {}}$. The Takelma word, of course, has no interrogative connotation. If, now, we wish to make a question out of helela 't', we add the interrogative particle di, and obtain the form helela' $t{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} d i$ did he sing? (The $\check{ }$ is a weak vowel inserted to keep the $t^{\prime}$ and $d$ apart.) Here the $a^{\prime}$ has about the same pitch as in the preceding word, but the $t$ sinks to about the level of the $e$ vowels, and the $d i$ is pronounced approximately a third below the normal level. The Takelma interrogative form thus bears an acoustic resemblance to a rapid English reply: so he did go, the o of so and
$e$ of he corresponding in pitch to the unaccented $e$ - vowels of the Takelma, the $i$ of DID resembling in its rise above the normal pitch the $a^{\prime}$, and the $o$ of Go sinking like the $i$ of the interrogative particle. ${ }^{1}$ If the normal level of speech be set at $A$, the two forms just considered may be musically, naturally with very greatly exaggerated tonal effect, represented as follows:


The "rising" pitch ( $\simeq$ ) is found only on long vowels and short or long diphthongs. The rising pitch is for a long vowel or diphthong what the raised pitch is for a short vowel or shortened diphthong; the essential difference between the two being that in the latter case the accented vowel is sung on a single tone reached without an intermediate slur from the lower level, whereas in the case of the rising pitch the affected vowel or diphthong changes in pitch in the course of pronunciation; the first part of the long vowel and the first vowel of the diphthong are sung on a tone intermediate between the normal level and the raised pitch, while the parasitic element of the long vowel and the second vowel ( $i$ or $u$ ) of the diphthong are hit by the raised tone itself. It is easy to understand that in rapid pronunciation the intermediate tone of the first part of the long vowel or diphthong would be hurried over and sometimes dropped altogether; this means that a long vowel or diphthong with rising pitch ( $\tilde{a}, a \bar{\imath}$ ) becomes a short vowel or shortened diphthong with raised pitch ( $\left.a^{\prime}, a^{\prime} i\right) .^{2}$ Diphthongs consisting of a short vowel $+l, m$, or $n$, and provided with a rising pitch, ought, in strict analogy, to appear as $a \tilde{n}, a \bar{l}, a \tilde{m}$; and so on for the other vowels. This is doubtless the correct representation, and such forms as:
na $\tilde{n} k^{\circ}$ he will say, do
gwalt wind
dasmaya $\tilde{m}$ he smiled
wulx enemy, Shasta
were actually heard, the liquid or nasal being distinctly higher in pitch than the preceding vowel. In the majority of cases, however,

[^3]$3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40 , pt $2-12-2$
these diphthongs were heard, if not always pronounced, as shortened diphthongs with raised pitch ( $a^{\prime} n, a^{\prime} l, a^{\prime} m$ ). The acoustic effect of a syllable with rising pitch followed by an unaccented syllable is necessarily different from that of a syllable with falling pitch ( $\llcorner$ ), or of a syllable with raised pitch followed by an unaccented syllable, because of the steady rise in pitch before the succeeding fall. The tendency at first is naturally to hear the combination ——— as - - - , and to make no distinction in accent between yewéidá when he returned and yeweat $e^{\varepsilon}$ I returned; but variations in the recorded texts between the rising and falling pitch in one and the same form are in every ease faults of perception, and not true variations at all. The words t!omõm he Killed him and yawaĩt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I SPOKe may be approximately represented in musical form as follows:


The falling piteh $(\stackrel{\ominus}{-}$ ) affects both long and short vowels as well as diphthongs, its essential characteristic being, as already defined, a steady fall from a tone higher than the normal level. The peak of the falling inflection may coincide in absolute pitch with that of the rising inflection, though it is often somewhat higher, say an interval of a fourth above the ordinary level. The base (lowest tone) of the fall is not assignable to any definite relative pitch, the gamut run through by the voice depending largely upon the character of the syllable. If the accent hits a long vowel or diphthong not immediately followed by a catch, the base will, generally speaking, coincide with the normal level, or lie somewhat below it. If the long vowel or diphthong be immediately followed by an unaccented syllable, the base is apt to strike this unaccented syllable at an interval of about a third below the level. If the vowel or diphthong be immediately followed by a catch, the fall in pitch will be rapidly cheeked, and the whole extent of the fall limited to perhaps not more than a semitone. As soon, however, as the catch is removed (as often happens on the addition to the form of certain grammatical elements), the fall runs through its usual gamut. The words
$k$ 'wede'i his name
yewe'ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he returned
yewe ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ he returned
will serve to illustrate the character of the falling pitch.


The pronunciation of the diphthongs is now easily understood A shortened diphthong ( $a^{`} i, a^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ ) sounds to an American car like an indivisible entity, very much like ei and $a n$ in higil and how; a diphthong with falling pitch ( $\left.a^{\prime} i\right)$ is naturally apt to be heard as two distinct vowels, so that one is easily led to write natm'-ida $a^{\varepsilon}$ instead of naga'ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he said; a diphthong with rising pitch (at) is heard either as a pure diphthong or as two distinct rowels, according to the speed of utterance or the accidents of perception. All these interpretations, however, are merely matters of perception by an American ear and have in themselves no objective vahue. It would be quite misleading, for instance, to treat Takelma diphthongs as "pure" and "impure," no regard being had to pitch, for such a classification is merely a secondary consequence of the accentual phenomena we have just considered.

One other point in regard to the diphthongs should be noted. It is important to distinguish between organic diphthongs, in which each element of the diphthong has a distinct radical or etymological value, and secondary diphthongs, arising from an $i, u, l, m$, or $n$ with prefixed inorganic $a$. The secondary diphthongs ( $a i, a u$, $a l, a m, a r$ ), being etymologically single vowels or semivowels, are always unitonal in character; they can have the raised, not the rising accent. Contrast the inorganic $a u$ of
bila'uk' ( $=$ *bilw` ${ }^{\prime},{ }^{1}$ not *bilañk') he jumped; cf. bilwa's sumner with the organic $a u$ of
gayaū he ate it; cf. gayaw $a^{\prime} n$ I ate it
Contrast similarly the inorganic an of
 maker
with the organic am of
dasmayañ he smiled; cf. dasmayamo' ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I smiled
Phonetically such secondary diphthongs are hardly different from shortened organic diphthongs; etymologically and, in consequence, in morphologic treatment, the line of diference is sharply drawn.

It was said that any particular syllable, if accented, necessarily receives a definite pitch-inflection. If it is furthermore pointed out that distinct words and forms may differ merely in the eharacter of the accent, and that definite grammatical forms are associated with definite accentual forms, it becomes evident that pitch-accent has a not unimportant bearing on morphology. Examples of words differing only in the pitch-accent are:
$s e^{\prime e} l$ black paint, writing; sel kingfisher
$l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{c}$ leaves; (1) lãp $p^{c}$ he carried it on his back, (2) la $p^{2}$ become (so and so)!
$s \bar{a}^{\prime a} t^{\prime}$ his discharge of wind; sãt mash it!
wilīi his house; will house, for instance, in lak'wili on top of the house
$h e^{\prime e} l$ song; hel sing it!
Indeed, neither vowel-quantity, accent, nor the catch can be considered negligible factors in Takelma phonology, as shown by the following:

```
waya` knife
way\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\primea}\mathrm{ his knife}
waya'\varepsilon}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ he sleeps
wayãn he put him to sleep
k!w\mp@subsup{\tilde{a}}{}{\varepsilon}y/\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}}(=k!w\overline{a}\mp@subsup{\tilde{\imath}}{}{\varepsilon}\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}) just gras
```

It is impossible to give any simple rule for the determination of the proper accent of all words. What has been ascertained in regard to the accent of certain forms or types of words in large part seems to be of a grammatic, not purely phonetic, character, and hence will most naturally receive treatment when the forms themselves are discussed. Here it will suffice to give as illustrations of the morphologic value of accent a few of the cases:
(1) Perhaps the most comprehensive generalization that can be made in regard to the employment of accents is that a catch requires the falling pitch-accent on an immediately preceding stressed syllable, as comes out most clearly in forms where the catch has been secondarily removed. Some of the forms affected are:
(a) The first person singular subject third person object aorist of the transitive verb, as in:
t!omoma's $n$ I kill him
t!omoma'nda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ as I killed him
(b) The third person aorist of all intransitive verbs that take the catch as the characteristic element of this person and tense, as in:
$y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he went
$y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he went
(c) The second person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for this person and number is $-^{\varepsilon} t^{\circ}$, as in:
$t!i^{\prime i} t^{\prime}$ your husband $e l a^{\prime} t^{\circ}$ your tongue
Contrast:
t!it t' $k^{\prime}$ my husband
ela't' $k$ " my tongue
There are but few exceptions to this rule. A certain not very numerous class of transitive verbs, that will later occupy us in the treatment of the verb, show a long vowel with rising pitch before a catch in the first person singular subject third person object aorist, as in:
$k!e m \tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n$ I make it
dīt!üg $\tilde{\tilde{u}}^{\mathrm{s}} n$ I wear it
The very isolation of these forms argues powerfully for the general correctness of the rule.
(2) The first person singular subject third person object future, and the third person aorist passive always follow the accent of $1 a$ :
$d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ I shall kill him
t!omoma' $n$ he was killed
Contrast:
$x \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ he dried it
Like $k!e m \tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n$ in accent we have also:
$k!e m e ̄ n$ it was made
(3) The first person singular possessive of nouns whose ending for that person and number is $-t^{\prime} k$ shows a raised or rising pitch, according to whether the accented vowel is short or long (or diphthongal):
$k^{*}$ wedeĩt' $k^{\prime}$ my name
$p!a ̃ n t{ }^{*}$ my liver
t!ibagwa'nt' $k$ ' my pancreas
Contrast:
$k$ wede' $i$ his name
$p!a^{\prime a} n t^{\prime}$ his liver
t!ibagwa' $n$ his pancreas
(4) The verbai sullix -ald- takes the falling pitch:
sgelowa'lda $n$ I shouted to him
sgelewa'lt he shouted to him
Contrast:
gralt wind
Many more such rules could be given, but these will suffice at present to show what, is meant by the "fixity" of certain types of accent in morphological classes.

This fixity of accent seems to require a slight qualification. A tendency is observable to end up a sentence with the raised pitch, so that a sylable normally provided with a falling piteh-accent may sometimes, though by no means always, assume a raised accent, if it is the last syllable of the sentence. The most probable explanation of this phenomenon is that the voice of a Takelma speaker seeks its rest in a rise, not, as is the habit in English as spoken in America, in a fall. ${ }^{1}$

## Vocalic Processes (\$§ ( $;-11$ )

## § 6. VOWEL HIATUS

There is never in Takelma the slightest tendency to avoid the coming together of two vowels by elision of one of the vowels or contraction of the two. So carefully, indeed, is each vowel kept intact that the hiatus is frequently strengthened by the insertion of a catch. If the words $y a^{\prime} p!a$ man and $a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ not, for instance, should come torether in that order in the course of the sentence, the two $a$ - vowels would not coalesce into one long vowel, but would be separated by an inorganic (i. e., not morphologically essential) eateh yap!a ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n^{-\varepsilon}$. The same thing happens when two verbal prefixes, the first ending in and the second beginning with a vowel, come together. Thus:
de- in front
$x \bar{u}^{a}$ - betwean, in two
$+i-$ with hand
generally appear as:
$d e^{\varepsilon}{ }^{-}$-
$x \bar{u}^{a \varepsilon_{i}}-$
respectively. The deietic element $-a$ ', used to emphasize preceding

[^4]nouns, pronouns, and adverbs, is regularly separated from a preceding vowel by the catch:
$m a^{\prime \varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ but you, you truly
$b \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ nowadays indeed
If a diphthong in $i$ or $u$ precedes a catch followed by a vowel, the $i$ or $u$ often appears as $y$ or $w$ after the catch:
$k!w \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} y a^{\prime}$ just grass $\left(=k!w \bar{a} \tilde{\imath}+-a^{\prime}\right)$
$\bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} y a^{\prime}$ just they ( $=\bar{a} i$ - they $+-a^{\prime}$ )
$h a^{\varepsilon} w \bar{\imath}-(=h a-u$ - under $+\bar{\imath}$-with hand)
If the second of two syntactically closely connected words begins with a semivowel ( $w$ or $y$ ) and the first ends in a vowel, a catch is generally heard to separate the two, in other words the semivowel is treated as a vowel. Examples are:
$g e^{\prime \varepsilon} w \tilde{o} k^{\prime}\left(=g e^{\prime}+w \tilde{o} k^{\circ}\right)$ there he arrived
$b e^{e \varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{a} d \bar{i}^{\prime i}\left(=b e^{e}+w \bar{a}^{a} d \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ day its-body $=$ all day long
$g e^{\varepsilon} y \bar{a}^{\prime a} h i\left(=g e+y \bar{a}^{\prime a} h i\right)$ just there indeed
Such cases are of course not to be confounded with examples like:
$m e^{\varepsilon} w \tilde{o} k^{*}$ He arrived here, and
$m e^{\varepsilon} y e ̀ u ̃$ COME HERE!
in which the catch is organic, being an integral part of the adverb $m e^{\varepsilon}$ HITHER ; contrast:
$m e^{\varepsilon} g i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ HE CAME HERE, with
ge $g i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ he went there.
The same phonetic rule applies even more commonly when the first element is a noun or verb prefix:
ha ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wini ${ }^{\prime} i d a$ inside of him; but habe ebini at noon
$\mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon}$ wiliwia ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ they shouted; but dexebe ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ he said so
abai ${ }^{\varepsilon} w a^{\varepsilon} y e w e ̃ n h i$ he returned inside with him; but abaigini ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} h^{\prime}$ he went inside
wi ${ }^{\varepsilon} w \tilde{a}$ my younger brother; but wiha' $m$ my father
It is interesting to note that the catch is generally found also when the first element ends in $l, m$, or $n$, these consonants, as has been already seen, being closely allied to the semivowels in phonetic treatment:
$a^{\varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{a} d i d e \tilde{e}$ to my body; but als ${ }^{\cdot} \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ to the mountain
als ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yowo ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he looked; but alxíi$k^{\prime}$ he saw him
$b \bar{a}^{a}$ ge' ${ }^{\varepsilon} y o$ he lay belly up; but gel $k!i y i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ he turned to face him gwen ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wat'geits! İk' wa his (head) lay next to it; but gwenliwila'uॄ he looked back
yiwin ${ }^{\varepsilon} w \hat{o}^{\prime} k^{`} i^{\varepsilon}$ ( $=$ yiwin speech $+w \hat{o}^{\prime} k k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ without) without speech

It goes without saying that the catch separates elements ending in $l, m$, or $n$ from such as begin with a vowel:
s'inillats!agi's $n$ touch his nose
al"it 'baga't'bak' he struck them

## § 7. DISSIMILATION OF u

A diphthong in $u$ tends, by an easily understood dissimilatory process, to drop the $u$ before a labial suffix $\left(-q w-,-p^{p},-b a^{\varepsilon}\right)$. Thus we have:
wahawaxīigwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I rot with it, for *xiugwa's $n$
Compare:
hawaxi'u ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ he rots
wahawaxiwigwa' $n$ I shall rot with it
Similarly:
bilik'w he jumped having it, for *biliunk'w (stem biliu-)
wilik'w he proceeded with it, for *wiliūk'w (stem wiliu-)
Observe that, while the diphthong $i u$ is monophthongized, the original quantity is kept, $i$ being compensatively lengthened to $\bar{i}^{i}$. In the various forms of the verb yèu- Return, such dissimilation, wherever possible, regularly takes place:
$y e k^{* w}$ he returned with it, for ${ }^{*} y \grave{e} \tilde{u} k^{*} w\left(=y \grave{e} \tilde{u}-g w-k^{*}\right)$
$m e^{\varepsilon} y \tilde{e} p^{\prime}$ come back! (pl.), but sing. mé $y \dot{e} \bar{u}$
yeeba's let us return! for *yèuba's
It is interesting to note how this $u$ - dissimilation is directly responsible for a number of homonyms:
$y z k{ }^{*} w$ bite him!
(al)yẽp show it to him!
A similar dissimilation of an - $u$ - after a long vowel has in all probability taken place in the reduplicating verb $l \bar{a}^{a} l i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n_{\text {I call ham by }}$ name (leela'usi he calls me by name) from * lēuliwi's n (*lèula'usi).

## § 8. I- UMLAUT

Probably the most far-reaching phonetic law touching the Takelma vowels is an assimilatory process that can be appropriately termed " $i$ - umlaut." Briefly stated, the process is a regressive assimilation of a non-radical $-a$ - to an $-i$, caused by an $-i-\left(-i^{i}-\right)$ in an immediately following suffixed syllable, whether the $-i$ - causing the umlaut is an original - - -, or itself umlauted from an original $-a-$; the $-i$ - of the
pronominal endings -bi- thee, -si- he to me, -xi- he me, fails to cause umlaut, nor does the law operate when the $-i$ - is immediately preceded by an inorganic $h$. The following forms will make the applicability of the rule somewhat clearer:
wak!ayayinis $n$ I caused him to grow with it (but $k$ !ayayana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I caused him to grow, with preserved -a-, because of following $-a^{\prime \delta} n$, not $-i^{\prime \delta} n$ )
wak!eyeya'nxi he caused me to grow with it
wak!ayaya' $n x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I caused thee to grow with it
ìyulu'yili $n$ I rub it (from -yalien)
iyulu'yalhi he rubs it
It should be carefully noted that this $i$ - umlaut never operates on a radical or stem-vowel, a fact that incidentally proves helpful at times in determining how much of a phonetic complex belongs to the stem, and how much is to be considered as belonging to the grammatical apparatus following the stem. In:
$w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I brought it to him (from $-a w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$; cf. $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I brought it to you)
the $-a$ - following the $g$ is shown to be not a part of the aoristic stem $w \bar{a}^{a} g$ - by the $i$ - umlaut that it may undergo; on the other hand, the corresponding future shows an un-umlauted -a-:
wagawi'n I shall bring it to him
so that the future stem must be set down as waga-, as is confirmed by certain other considerations.

It would take us too far afield to enumerate all the possible cases in which $i$-umlaut takes place; nevertheless, it is a phenomenon of such frequent recurrence that some of the more common possibilities should be listed, if only for purposes of further illustration:
(1) It is caused by the aoristic verb suffix $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - denoting position: $s \cdot a s \cdot i n \tau$ he stands (cf. $s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{*} a n t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ he will stand)
$t$ !obig $\mathfrak{\imath}$ he lies as if dead (cf. future t!obaga'st $\bar{a}^{a}$ )
(2) By an element $-i$ - characteristic of certain nouns, that is added to the absolute form of the noun before the possessive pronominal endings:
$b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i t{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my arm (cf. $b \bar{u}^{u} b a^{\prime} n$ arm)
t'ga'lt'gilixdek* my belly (for * t'galt'gali-)
(3) By the common verbal "instrumental" vowel -i-, which, for one reason or another, replaces the normal pre-pronominal element
$-a-$, and often serves to give the verb an instrumental force. This instrumental - $i$ - may work its influence on a great number of preceding elements containing -a-, among which are:
(a) The -a-that regularly replaces the stem-vowel in the second member of a duplicated verb:

```
als}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{I}}{}{\prime
ts}!+l\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}ts\cdot!ilisn I rattle it (cf. ts'!ele'ts'!alhi he rattles it
ismili'smili`n I swing it (cf. \}smi'lsmal swing it!
```

(b) The causative element -an-:
wap! $\bar{a}^{a} g i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cause him to swim with it (cf. p! $\bar{a}^{a} g a n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cause him to swim)
See above:
wak!ayayinis ${ }^{\prime s}$ I cause him to grow
(c) The element -an-added to transitive stems to express the idea of For, in beilalf of:
wat!omomini ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I kill it for him with it (cf. t!omomana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I kill it for him)
(d) The pronominal element -am-, first personal plural object:
alxi'iximiss one who sees us (cf. alxīíxam he sees us)
4. By the suffixed local element $-d \bar{t}^{i}$ on top of added to the demonstrative pronoun ga tiat to form a general local postposition:
gid $\bar{\imath}^{i}$ on top of it, over (so and so)
Compare the similarly formed:
gadaぞ above
gada`l among
and others.
5. By the pronominal element -2g-(-ik'), first personal plural subject intransitive:
t!omõxinik' we kill each other (cf. t!omoxas $n$ they kill each other)
dãxinigam we shall find each other (cf. dãxanst' they will find each other)

This list might be greatly extended if desired, and indeed numerous other examples will meet us in the morphology. Examples of a double and treble $i$ - umlaut are:
loh $\bar{o}^{u} n i n i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I caused him to die (i. e., killed him) for him (cf. loh $\bar{o}^{u} n a n a^{\prime} n h i$ he killed him for him)
$\bar{\imath} k!\bar{u} m i n i n i n i \backslash n k^{\prime}$ he will fix it for him (compare $\bar{i} k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\wedge} n$ he fixed it)

The semivowel corresponding to $i$, namely $y$, is also capable, under analogous circumstances, of causing the $i$ - umlaut of a preceding nonradical $a$. Examples are:
daxoyo'xiya ${ }^{\varepsilon} n\left(=-x a y a^{\varepsilon} n\right)$ I scare them around; daxoyo'xi ( $=-x i y$ $=-x a y$ ) he scares them around
alsit ${ }^{\prime} g e^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ giyak'w $\left(=-t^{\prime}\right.$ gay-) rolled up
alh $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} h \bar{i}^{i} x(=-h i y x=-h a y x)$ he used to hunt
saniya' ( $=$ sanaya' ${ }^{\prime}$ ) to fight him
dõumk'wiya (=-k'waya) to kill him; and numerous other infinitives in -k'wiya ( $=-k^{\prime}$ waya)

## § 9. K- SOUNDS PRECEDED BY U- VOWELS

An $u$-vowel ( $(0, u, \ddot{u}$, and diphthongs in $-u$ ) immediately preceding a $k$ - sound (i. e., $g, k, k!, x)$ introduces after the latter a parasitic $-w$-, which, when itself followed by a vowel, unites with the $k$ - sound to form a consonant-cluster ( $g w, k w, k!w, x w$ ), but appears, when standing after a (word or syllabic) final $k$, as a voiceless - ${ }^{w}$. The introduction of the excrescent $w$ simply means, of course, that the labial rounding of the $u$-vowel lingers on after the articulation of the $k$ sound, a phonetic tendency encouraged by the fact that the production of the guttural consonant does not, as in the labials and dentals, necessitate a readjustment of the lips. A few examples will illustrate the phonetic process:
gelgulugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I desire it
gelgulu ${ }^{\prime} k^{*}{ }^{w}$ he desires it (contrast gelgula ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ he desired it, without the labial affection of the $-k^{\prime}$ because of the replacement of the $-u$ - by an -a-)
güxw $\bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$ his heart
$d \ddot{u}^{i} g w i^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} g w a$ her dress
$d \tilde{u} k^{* w}$ woman's garment
$y \tilde{o}^{u} k!w \bar{a}^{a}$ his bones
As also in the upper Chinook dialects (Wasco, Wishram), where exactly the same process occurs, the $w$ - infection is often very slight, and particularly before $u$ - vowels the $-w$ - is, if not entirely absent, at least barely audible:
yok! ${ }^{w} \bar{o} y a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I know it
yo'k'yan I shall know it
In one very common word the catch seems to be treated as a $k$-sound in reference to a preceding $u$ when itself followed by an $-\bar{i}$-:
$s^{\prime} u^{f}$ wili he sits; but
$s^{\cdot} u^{s}{ }^{s} l t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ he will sit

The first form was, for some reason or other, often heard, perhaps misheard, as $s^{i s} u l \bar{\imath}$.

## § 10. INORGANIC $a$

It frequently happens in the formation of words that a vowel present in some other form of the stem will drop out, or, more accurately expressed, has never been inserted. Consonant-combinations sometimes then result which are either quite impossible in Takelma phonetics, or at any rate are limited in their occurrence to certain grammatical forms, so that the introduction of an "inorganic" $-a$-, serving to limber up the consonant-eluster, as it were, becomes necessary. Ordinarily this $-a$ - is inserted after the first consonant; in certain cases, after the two consonants forming the cluster. The theoretical future of gini'k'de I GO somewhere should be, for example, *gink'de ${ }^{\text {; }}$ but, instead of this somewhat difficult form, we really get $g i n a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{e}$. That the $-a^{\prime}$ - is here really inorganic, and not a characteristic of the future stem, as was at first believed, is clearly shown by the imperative $d i^{i} n k k^{\circ}$ (all imperatives are formed from the future stem). Similarly:
$k:!i y a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall go, come; aorist, $k!i y i^{\prime} k k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$
alxik!a'lhik' ( $=$ theoretical *alxik!lik') he kept looking at him; aorist first person alxik! $/$ ilhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I keep looking at him
$k!e m a^{\prime} n$ make it! (=theoretical *!!emn); ef. k!!emna'n I shall make it
 sickness
sgela'ut'e $e^{e}$ I shall shout ( $=$ theoretic *sgelwt ${ }^{\prime} e^{e}$ ); aorist second person, syelewa 't' you shouted
As an example of an inorganic -a- following a consonantic cluster may be given:
wisma't $e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall move (stem wism-); aorist, wits $!i \pi m t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ I moved ${ }^{1}$ The exact nature of the processes involved in the various forms given will be better understood when stem-formation is discussed. Here

[^5]it will suffice to say that there are three distinct sorts of inorganic or secondary $a$ - vowels: the regular inorganic a first illustrated above, inserted between two consonants that would theoretically form a cluster; the post-consonantal constant $a$ of certain stems (such as wism-above) that would otherwise end in more or less impracticable consonant clusters (this $-a$ appears as $-i$ under circumstances to be discussed below); and a connecting a employed to join consonantal suffixes to preceding consonants (such suffixes are generally directly added to preceding vowels or diphthongs). The varying treatment accorded these different secondary $a$ vowels will become clearer in the morphology.

## § 11. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE DIPHTHONGS

By a double diphthong is meant a syllable consisting of an ordinary diphthong (long or short) followed by a semivowel $(y, w)$ or by $l, m$, or $n$. Such double diphthongs are, for instance, aiw, $\bar{a} i w, a u y, \bar{a} u y$, ain, $\bar{a} i n, ~ a l w, \bar{a}^{a} l w$; those with initial short vowel, like ain, have, like the long diphthongs (e. g. $\bar{a}^{a} n$ ), a quantitative value of 3 morae, while those with initial long vowel, like $\bar{a} i n$, have a quantitative value of 4 morae and may be termed over-long diphthongs. Double diphthongs may theoretically arise when, for some reason or other, a connecting or inorganic $a$ fails to lighten the heavy syllable by reducing it to two (see particularly § 65 for a well-defined class of such cases). Double diphthongs, however, are nearly always avoided in Takelma; there is evidently a rhythmic feeling here brought into play, a dislike of heavy syllables containing three qualitatively distinct sonantic elements.

In consequence of this, double diphthongs are regularly simplified by the loss of either the second or third element of the diphthong; in other words, they are quantitatively reduced by one mora (the simple double diphthongs now have a value of 2 morae, the overlong diphthongs 3 morae like ordinary long diphthongs), while qualitivetatly they now involve only two sonantic elements. An exception seems to be afforded by double diphthongs in -uy (e. g. -auy), which become dissyllabic by vocalizing the $y$ to $i$, in other words, -auy becomes -awi:
ts!awi'k' he ran fast; cf. ts!a-uya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ fast runner, ts!awaya ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ (aorist) you ran fast
$y$ awi't $e^{e}$ I shall talk; cf. yawaya't' (aorist) you talked

The -awi- ( = theoretic -awy-) of these forms is related to the -awayof the aorist as the -ilw- of $b i l w a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ Jumper to the -iliw- of the aorist biliwa't' you Jumped.

Such double diphthongs as end in $-w$ (e. g. -aiw, $-\bar{a}^{a} l w$ ) simply lose the $-w$ :
gai eat it! (=*gaiw); gaîk' he ate it ( $={ }^{*}$ gaiwk ${ }^{*}$ ) ; compare $g a-i w a ' n$ I shall eat it
Other examples of this loss of $w$ are given in $\S 18,2$. All other double diphthongs are simplified by the loss of the second vowel ( $i, u$ ) or consonant ( $l, m, n$ ) ; a glottal catch, if present after the second vowel or consonant, is always preserved in the simplified form of the double diphthong. Examples of simplified double diphthongs with initial short vowel are:
gelhewe'ha ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ ( $\left.={ }^{*}-h a u^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I think; compare gelhewe'hau he thinks $i m i^{\prime} h \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\left(=^{*}-h \mathrm{am}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I sent him; compare $i m i^{\prime} h a m$ he sent him
$m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon} m \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\left(={ }^{*} m \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I stir it up; $m o^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} m$ an ( $={ }^{*}-m a l n$ ) I shall stir it up; compare parallel forms with connecting $a: m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon}$ $m a a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}, m o^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} m$ alan, and third person aorist $m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon} m a l$
$m \bar{a}^{a} n m \mathrm{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\left(=^{*}-m a n{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I count them; compare $d a m \bar{a}^{a} n m i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (umlauted from $-m a n-i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ ) I counted them up
$k!$ em $x a^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}\left(=* k!\mathrm{emn} x a^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}\right)$ I shall make; compare $k!$ emn $a^{\prime \epsilon} s$ maker and $k!$ ema'n make it! (with inorganic $a$ because accent is not thrown forward)
Examples of simplified over-long diphthongs are:
$d \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{l} d i^{\prime} n \quad\left(=* d \bar{a} \mathrm{il} d i^{\prime} n\right)$ I shall go to him for food; compare dāit $e^{e}$ I shall go for food
ei $t^{\prime} g \overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{l} x \bar{i}^{i}\left(=^{*} t^{\prime} g\right.$ èil $\left.l \bar{i}^{i}\right)$ wagon (literally, rolling canoe); compare $t^{\prime} g \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{y} a^{\prime} \mathrm{l} x$ it rolls
dat!agãan $\left.{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}={ }^{*} t!a g a \overline{1} \overline{1}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I build a fire; compare dat!agāī he builds a fire
$k!e m \bar{e}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\left(==^{*}!!e m e ̀ ̀{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I make it; compare $k!e m e ̀ ̀ ~ h e ~ m a k e s ~ i t ~$ $o y \tilde{\sigma}^{\epsilon} \mathrm{n}\left(={ }^{*} o y \tilde{o} \mathrm{n}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right)$ I give it ; compare third person oyon he gives it
In the inferential, less frequently passive participle and imperative, forms of the verb, double diphthongs, except those ending in $w$, generally fail to be simplified. If coming immediately before the inferential $-k$ - the double diphthong is preserved, for what reason is not evident (perhaps by analogy to other non-aorist forms in which the last element of the double diphthong belongs to the following syllable):
$t s^{\prime}!$ aim $k^{\prime}$ (but also $t s^{\prime}!$ ayàm $k^{\prime}$ ) he hid it; compare $t s^{\prime}!$ a-ima'n I shall hide it
oink $k^{*}$ he gave it; compare oin $a^{\prime} n$ I shall give it
If the inferential $-k$ - does not immediately follow, an inorganic $a$ seems to be regularly inserted between the second and third elements of the diphthong:
gelts ! ${ }^{\text {ay }} a^{\prime}$ mxamk ${ }^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon}$ since he concealed it from us
Examples of other than inferential forms with unsimplified double diphthong are:
$t s!$ aĩmhak'whidden
oin give it! (yet $t s^{\prime}!$ ay $a^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ hide it ! with inorganic $a$ )

## Consonants (§§ 12-24)

## § 12. System of Consonants

The Takelma consonant system is represented in the following table:


The spirants have been divided into two groups, those on the lefthand side of the column (labeled $v$.) being voiced, while those on the right-hand side (labeled unv.) are unvoiced. The rarely oceurring palatal lateral $\ell$ (see $\& 2$, footnote) is also voiceless. Every one of the consonants tabulated may occur initially, except the voiceless labial spirant - ${ }^{*} w$, which occurs only with $k$ at the end of a syllable. Properly speaking, $-k^{w}$ should be considered the syllabic final of the labialized guttural series ( $k w, g w, k!w$ ); a consideration of the consonant-clusters allowed in Takelma shows that these labialized consonants must be looked upon as phonetic units. The catch ( ${ }^{6}$ ) as organic consonant is found only medially and finally; the $l$ only
initially. In regard to the pronunciation of the various consonants, $w, s, y, h, l, m$, and $n$ do not differ materially from the corresponding sounds in English.

The first two series of stops-tenuis ( $p^{\prime}, \ell^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ ) and media ( $b, d, g$ ) do not exactly correspond to the surd and sonant stops of English or French. The aspirated tenues are, as their name implies, voiceless stops whose release is accompanied by an appreciable expulsion of breath. The voiceless mediae are also stops without voiced articulation; but they differ from the true tenues in the absence of aspiration and in the considerably weaker stress of articulation. Inasmuch as our English mediae combine sonancy with comparatively weak stress of articulation, while the tenues are at the same time unvoiced and pronounced with decided stress, it is apparent that a series of consonants which, like the Takelma voiceless mediae, combine weak stress with lack of voice will tend to be pereeived by an American ear sometimes (particularly when initial) as surds, at other times (particularly between rowels) as sonants. On the other hand, the aspirated tenues will be regularly heard as ordinary surd-stops, so that an untrained American ear is apt to combine an unealled-for differentiation with a disturbing lack of differentiation. While the Takelma tenuis and media are to a large extent morphologically equivalent consonants with manner of articulation determined by certain largely mechanical rules of position, yet in a considerable number of cases (notably as initials) they are to be rigidly kept apart etymologically. Words and stems which differ only in regard to the weak or strong stress and the absence or presence of aspiration of a stop, can be found in great number:

```
d\overline{a}}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}n-\mathrm{ ear; t'a}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}n squirrel
b}\mp@subsup{\overline{o}}{}{u}\mathrm{ now; p
ga that; l'a what
di}\mp@subsup{}{}{i}-\mathrm{ - on top; t' }\mp@subsup{\hat{\imath}}{}{i}\mathrm{ - to drift
b}\mp@subsup{\overline{\sigma}}{}{u}d\mathrm{ - to pull out hair; p}\mp@subsup{}{}{*}\mp@subsup{\overline{o}}{}{u}d- to mix
d\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{a}g-to build fire; d\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{a}g\mathrm{ - to find; t'的a}g\mathrm{ -to cry}
gai- to eat; 晌ai- thing, what }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
```

[^6]§ 12

The fortes ( $p!, t!, k!, t s![=t s!]$, and ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, which has been put in the same series because of its intimate phonetic and morphologic relation to the other consonants) are pronounced with the characteristic snatched or crackly effect (more or less decided stress of articulation of voiceless stop followed by explosion and momentary hiatus) prevalent on the Pacific coast. From the point of view of Takelma, $p!, t!$, and $k!$ are in a way equivalent to $p^{\varepsilon}, t^{\varepsilon}$, and $k^{\varepsilon}$, respectively, or rather to $b^{\varepsilon}, d^{\varepsilon}$, and $g^{\varepsilon}$, for the fortes can never be aspirated. In some cases it was found difficult to tell whether a fortis, or a voiceless stop followed by a glottal stricture, was really heard:
yap!a' and yap ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ man
$g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i^{`}$ and $g \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{s} i n i^{\prime}$ two
In fact, a final tenuis + a catch inserted, as between vowels, to prevent phonetic amalgamation, regularly become, at least as far as acoustic effect is concerned, the homorganic fortis:
$\bar{a} k!a^{\prime}$ he indeed $\left(=\bar{a} k^{\prime}\right.$ he + deictic ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$; cf. $m a^{\prime s} a^{\prime}$ you indeed)
sãk!leit you shot him ( $=s a \tilde{a} k^{\prime}$ he shot him $+\left(^{(\varepsilon}\right)$ eit' you are)
$m \tilde{a} p!a^{\wedge}$ just you[pl.] ( $=m \tilde{a} p^{\wedge}$ you [pl.] $+{ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ )
Nevertheless, $p^{\varepsilon}, t^{\varepsilon}, k^{\varepsilon}$ are by no means phonetically identical with $p!, t!, k!$; in Yana, for instance, the two series are etymologically, as well as phonetically, distinct. One difference between the two may be the greater stress of articulation that has been often held to be the main characteristic of the fortes, but another factor, at least as far as Takelma (also Yana) is concerned, is probably of greater moment. This has regard to the duration of the glottal closure. In the case of $p^{\varepsilon}, t^{\varepsilon}$, and $k^{\varepsilon}$ the glottis is closed immediately upon release of the stop-contact for $p, t$, and $k$. In the case of $p!, t!$, and $k!$ the glottis is closed just before or simultaneously with the moment of consonant contact, is hekl closed during the full extent of the consonant articulation, and is not opened until after the consonant release; the fortis $p!$, e. g., may be symbolically represented as ${ }^{\varepsilon} p^{\varepsilon}$ (or ${ }^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon}$, better as ${ }^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon}$, i. c., a labial unaspirated stop immersed in a glottal catch). As the glottis is closed throughout the whole extent of the fortis articulation, no breath can escape through it; hence a fortis consonant is necessarily unaspirated. This explains why fortes are so apt to be misheard as voiceless mediae or even voiced mediae rather than as aspirated tenues ( $p$ !, e. g., will be often misheard as $b$ rather than $p$ ). The cracked effect of the fortes, sometimes quite incorrectly $3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40, pt $2-12-3$
referred to as a click, is due to the sudden opening of the closed chamber formed between the closed glottis and the point of consonant contact (compare the sound produced by the sudden withdrawal of a stopper from a closed bottle); the hiatus generally heard between a fortis and a following vowel is simply the interval of time elapsing between the consonant release and the release of the glottal closure. ${ }^{1}$ That the fortis consonant really does involve an initial glottal catch is abundantly illustrated in the author's manuscript material by such writings as:
$d \ddot{u} \overline{u ̈}^{\prime} \varepsilon t!i l i^{\varepsilon} n=d u ̈ \overline{u ̈}^{\prime} t!i l i^{\varepsilon} n$ I stuff it
$d u^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} t!i l i n=d u^{\prime} l t!i l i n ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ s t u f f ~ i t ~$
lem $e^{\prime \varepsilon} k!i a-u d a^{\varepsilon}=l e m e^{\prime} k!i a-u d a^{\varepsilon}$ as they go off
Many facts of a phonetic and morphological character will meet us later on that serve to confirm the correctness of the phonetic analysis given (see $\S 13$, end; also $\S \S 30,4 ; 40,6 ; 40,13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{p} .113 ; 40,13 \mathrm{~b}$ ). Here it is enough to point out that $p!, t!, k!, t s!$ are etymologically related to $b, d, g, s$ as are ${ }^{i \varepsilon},{ }^{\varepsilon \varepsilon},{ }^{\varepsilon} l,{ }^{\varepsilon} m,{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ to $i, u, l, m, n$.

There is no tenuis or media affricative ( $t s-d z ; t s^{\bullet}, t c-d z^{\circ}, d j$ ) corresponding in Takelma to the fortis $t s!$, $t s^{r}!$, though it seems possible that it originally existed but developed to $x$ (cf. yegwexi they bite me [upper Takelma yegwe'tci]; $t s^{\cdot}!i^{\prime} x i \operatorname{dog}$ [from original $\left.* t s \cdot!i t s \cdot i ?^{2}\right]$ ). Morphologically $t s!, t s^{\cdot}!$ stand in the same relation to $s, s$ that $p!, t!$, and $k!$ stand in to $b, d, g$. For example,
Aorist stems:
t!omom-kill, p!ügüg-start (war, basket), k!olol- dig-are related to their corresponding
Future stems:
$d \bar{o}^{u} m-, b \ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}} g-, g \bar{o}^{u} l_{-,- \text {-as are the }}$
Aorist stems:
$t s$ !adad- mash, ts'!elel- paint-to their corresponding
Future stems:
$s \cdot \bar{a}^{a} d-, s \cdot e^{c} l-$
Of the other consonants, only $x,-^{{ }^{\prime} w}$, and $s, s^{\prime}$ call for remark. $x$ is equivalent to the ch of German Daci, though gencrally pronounced further forward ( $x$ ). It frequently has a $w$ tinge, even when no $u$-vowel or diphthong precedes, particularly before $i$; examples are $h \bar{a}^{\prime} p x^{w} i$ child and hax ${ }^{w} y a^{\prime}$ (ordinarily haxiya') in THe water. $-k^{\cdot w}$,

[^7]in which combination alone, as we have seen, - ${ }^{\text {to }}$ occurs, is the aspirated tenuis $k^{*}$ followed by a voiceless labial continuant approximately equivalent to the $w h$ of English which, more nearly to the sound made in blowing out a candle. $s$ is the ordinary English $s$ as in sell; while $s$ is employed to represent a sibilant about midway in place of articulation between $s$ and $c(=s h$ in English shell), the fortes $t s!$ and $t s$ ! corresponding, respectively, in place of articulation to $s$ and $s$. The two sounds $s$ and $s$ have been put together, as it is hardly probable that they represent morphologically distinct sounds, but seem rather to be the limits of a normal range of variation (both sal- with foot and s.al-, e. g., were heard). The only distinction in use that can be made out is that $s$ occurs more frequently before and after consonants and after ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ :
$s^{\cdot} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot a n t} e^{e}$ I shall stand
$o g u^{\prime} s \cdot i$ he gave it to me, but ogu'sbi he gave it to you
$l \bar{o} u^{\prime} \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{i}$ his plaything 110.6
$\bar{\imath} l a s g i^{\prime} n$ I shall touch it
$l e^{e} p s i{ }^{\prime}$ feathers
$y \tilde{o l l s}$ steel-head salmon
ha-uhana's ${ }^{\prime}$ it stopped (raining)

## § 13. Fimal Consonants

By a "final" consonant will always be meant one that stands at the end of a syllable, whether the syllable be the last in the word or not. Such a final position may be taken only by the aspirated tenues, the voiceless spirants, the catch, the liquid ( $l$ ), and the nasals, not by the voiceless mediae, fortes, and semivowels ( $y$ and $w$ ); $h$ occurs as a final only very rarely:
la`h excrement
lohlaha'nk' he always caused them to die
A final semivowel unites with the preceding vowel to form a diphthong:
gayau he ate it (cf. gayawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ ate it)
$g \bar{a} t$ grow! (cf. $g \bar{a}^{a} y a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{t}$ he will grow)
A final voiceless media always turns into the corresponding aspirated surd; so that in the various forms of one stem a constant alternation between the two manners of articulation is brought about:
$s e^{e} b a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I roasted it; $s \widetilde{e^{2}}$ he roasted it
$x e b e^{\epsilon \varepsilon} n$ he did it ; xẽ $p^{\prime} g a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ did it
xuduma'lda'n I whistle to him; xuduma'lt', xuduma'lt'gwa he whistles to him
t!ayaga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I found it; tlaya ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he found it, $d \tilde{a} k^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon}$ since he found it

A final fortis also becomes the corresponding aspirated surd (-ts! becoming $-\varepsilon_{s}$ ), but with a preceding catch by way of compensation for the loss of the fortis character of the consonant. This process is readily understood by a reference to the phonetic analysis of the fortes given above ( $\S 12$ ). Final $p!$, for instance, rcally ${ }^{\varepsilon} b\left(^{\varepsilon}\right)$, is treated in absolutely parallel fashion to a final $b$; the final media implied in the $p!$ must become an aspirated surd (this means, of course, that the glottal closure is released at the same time as the stop, not subsequently, as in the ordinary fortis), but the glottal attack of the ${ }^{\varepsilon} b$ still remains. Examples are:

```
wasg \(\bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n\) I shall make it tight; wasg \(\bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} p^{\prime}\) make it tight
\(k^{\circ} a p!a^{\prime} k^{\prime} a p^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon} n\) I throw them under (fire, carth); future, \(k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} p^{\circ}-\)
    \(k^{\prime} a^{\prime} p^{\prime} n a n\)
\(b \bar{a}^{a} x \bar{o}^{\prime} t!a n\) I shall win over him; \(b \bar{a}^{a} x \bar{o}^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{e}\) win over him! \(b \bar{a}^{a} x \bar{o}^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{2} g a^{\varepsilon}\)
    I won over him
```



```
    saw him ; alxīik' he saw him)
\(h a^{\varepsilon} w^{i} h a^{\prime} n t s!i n ~ \mathrm{I}\) shall cause it to stop (raining); \(h a^{\varepsilon} w i h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s\)
    make it stop raining!
\(n \bar{o}^{\prime} t s!a t^{\prime} g w a n\) ncxt door to each other ; n \(\bar{o}^{\prime \mu \varepsilon} s^{\cdot}\) next door
```



## Consonant Combinations (§§ 14-17)

## § 14. GENERAL REMARKS

Not all consonant combinations are allowable in Takelma, a certain limited number of possibilities occurring initially, while a larger number occur as finals. Medial combinations, as we shall see ( $\$ 17$ ), are simply combinations of syllabic final consonants or permissible consonant combinations and syllabic initial consonants or permissible consonant combinations.

## § 15. INITIAL COMBINATIONS

If, as seems necessary, we regard $g w$ as a single labialized consonant, the gencral rule obtains that no combinations of three or more consonants can stand at the beginning of a word or syllable. The following table shows all the initial combinations of two consonants possible in Takelma, the first members of the various combinations being disposed in vertical columns and the second members, with which the first combine, being given in horizontal lines. Examples fill the spaces thus mapped out. Inasmuch as the mediae and fortes,
the liquid, nasals, semivowels, and $h$ never appear, or with very few exceptions, as the first members of initial combinations, it was not considered necessary to provide for them in the horizontal row. Similarly the tenues and fortes never occur as second members of initial combinations. A dash denotes non-occurrence.

|  | $p^{\prime}$ | $t$ | $k \cdot$ | $s$ | I |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b$ | - | $t^{\prime} b \bar{a} a g$ - hit | - | sbin heaver | ? |  |  |
| d | - | - | - | $s^{*}$ dó'is s dagwa- put on st yle | xdeit' flute |  |  |
| $g$ | - | t'geib-roll | - | sgi'si coyote | - |  |  |
| gw | - | t'gwa' thunder | - | sgwini` raccoon & - \\ \hline \[ \begin{array}{ll} s & \} \\ x & \} \end{array} \] & - & - & - & - & - \\ \hline \(l\) & - & - & - & \(?\) & sliwi war feathers \\ \hline \(m\) & - & \(t^{\prime}\) mila` $p x$ smooth | - | sma-im-smile | $?$ |
| $n$ | - | - | - | $s \cdot n \bar{a}$ mamma! | zni $k$ ' acorn mush |  |  |
| ${ }^{y}$ | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| $w$ | - | t'wap!at'wap'- blink | $\begin{aligned} & {[k \cdot w \bar{a} \text { ag } w} \\ & \text { awaken]. } \end{aligned}$ | swat'g-pursue | ? |  |  |

It will be noticed that only $t^{*}$ ( $p^{*}$ and $k^{\prime}$ were given mainly for contrast) and the two voiceless spirants $s$ and $x$ combine with following consonants ( $k^{\circ} w$ - is not to be analyzed into $k^{*}+w$, but is to be regarded as a single consonant, as also $g w$ - and $k!w$-, both of which frequently occur as initials) ; furthermore that $s, x$, and $y$ never combine with preceding consonants. The general law of initial combination is thus found to be: tenuis $\left(t^{\prime}\right)$ or voiceless spirant $(s, x)+$ media ( $b, d, g$ ) or voiced continuant $(l, m, n, w) .^{1}$ Of the combinations above tabulated, only $t^{\circ} b-t^{\circ} g-, s b-, s g^{-}$, and perhaps $s g w^{-}$and $s w-$, can be considered as at all common, $t^{\prime} m-, t^{\prime} w-, s d-, s n-, x d-$, $x l$-, and $x n$ - being very rare. $s l-, s b-, x m-$, and $x w$ - have not been found, but the analogy of $x l$ - for the first, and of $s b-, s m-$, and $s w-$ for the others, make it barely possible that they exist, though rarely; there may, however, be a distinct feeling against the combination $x+$ labial $(b, m, w)$.

Only two cases have been found of fortis or media + consonant:
t!wep!e't!wapx they fly about without lighting; future dwep'$d w a^{\prime} p x d \bar{a}^{a}$

[^8]
## § 16. FINAL COMBINATIONS

Final consonant combinations are limited in possibility of occurrence by the fact that only aspirated tenues and voiceless spirants ( $p^{\prime}, t^{\prime}, k^{\circ}, k^{*} w, s$, and $x$ ) can stand as absolute finals after other consonants. The following table will give examples of all final combinations of two or three consonants that have been diseovered in the available material.

|  | $p^{\text { }}$ | $t$ | $k^{*}$ | $l$ | $m$ | $n$ | $s$ | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $p^{*}$ | - | eit'p' ye are | - | belip swan | - | $\begin{aligned} & s \cdot a^{\prime} \cdot a n p^{\prime} \\ & \text { stand! }(\mathrm{pl} .) \end{aligned}$ | - | -- |
| $t^{\prime}$ | - | -- | - | soelewa ' t ' he shouted to him | ts!elela'mt' he paints it | $\begin{aligned} & p \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime} a n t \text { his } \\ & \text { liver } \end{aligned}$ | - | - |
| $k^{\prime}$ | $x{ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ he did it |  | - | $a \nmid k$ ' silver-side salmon | $x a^{\prime} \mathrm{mk}^{\prime}$ grizzly bear | dōuma'nkhe will kill him | milla'sk" <br> he loved her | $k^{\prime} w a^{\prime} a^{\epsilon} \mathrm{xk}^{\prime}$ he's awake |
| $k^{*} w$ | - | - | - | t'gwe $^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\text {w rat }}$ | ? | $\begin{gathered} \text { yank' we he } \\ \text { took it } \\ \text { along } \end{gathered}$ | - | - |
| $p^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | - | - | - | $s^{\prime} u^{\prime \varepsilon} a l \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ he sat | - | se'nsanp ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ he whooped | - | - |
| $t{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | - | - | - | döuma'lt'k"my testicles | rāala'mt' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' -my urine | bilga'nt'k' my breast | - | - |
| $s$ | $l a^{\prime}$ 'ps blanket | - | - | bils moss | gūms blind | p!e'ns <br> squirrel | - | - |
|  | t'geya'px round | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t'geeya'lx } \\ & \text { rolls } \end{aligned} \text { it }$ | ya'mx grease | $\underset{\text { ger }}{\text { banx }} \text { hun- }$ | - | - |
| ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { des 'ipxk' } \\ \text { closed } \end{gathered} \text { it }$ | - | - | gü'lk!alxk' it was blazing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dats } \cdot / \bar{a} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{mx}}{ }^{\prime \prime} \\ & \text { it hurt } \end{aligned}$ | ūqwa'nxk' he drank | - | - |
| $\mu x$ | - | - | - | sgiilpx warm your back! | - | ? | - | - |

No examples of $-m k^{\cdot w}$ and $-n p x$ have been found, but the analogy of $-l p x$ makes the existence of the latter of these almost certain ( $l$ and $n$ are throughout parallel in treatment); the former (because of the double labial ; (f. the absence of $-m p^{\circ}$ ) is much less probable, despite the analogy of $-7 k^{* w}$ and $-n k^{*}$. It is possible also that $-l s k^{*},-m s k^{*}$, and -nsk' exist, though their oceurrence can hardly be frequent. Of final clusters of four consonants -nt $p^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ has been found in $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n t^{\prime} p^{\prime} k^{*}$ ne stood, but there can be small doubt that the - $t$ - is merely a dental tenuis glide inserted in passing from the dental nasal to the labial tenuis; compare the morphologically analogous form se'nsanp $k^{*}$ He whooped. However, the combinations $-l p x k^{*}$ and - $n p x k^{*}$ (if $-n p x$ exists), though not found in the available material, very probably ought to be listed, as they would naturally be the terminations of morphologically necessary forms (cf. des itpxk'). Most, if not all, of
the preceding final combinations may furthermore be complicated by the addition of ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, which is inserted before the first tenuis or voiceless spirant of the group, i. e., after a possible liquid or nasal:
$\bar{u}^{\prime} i \varepsilon_{s} \cdot F_{i}^{*}$ he laughed
$k^{\prime} o^{\prime \varepsilon} p x$ dust, ashes.
$t s^{\circ}!u^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s$ (deerskin) cap
As compared to the initial combinations, the table of final clusters seems to present a larger number of possibilities. It is significant, however, that only those that consist of $l$, $m$, or $n+$ single consonant can ever be looked upon as integral portions of the stem (such as $x a^{\prime} m k^{\prime}$ and $t^{\prime} g w e^{\prime}\left(k^{\cdot} \cdot w\right)$; while those that end in $-s$ can always be suspected of containing either the verbal suflix $-s(=t+x)$, or the noun and adjective forming element $-s$. All other combinations are the result of the addition of one or more grammatical elements to the stem (e.g., $s^{\cdot} u^{\prime \varepsilon} a l p^{\prime} k^{*}=s^{*} u^{\varepsilon} a l-+p^{\prime}+k^{\prime}$ ). Further investigation shows that only two of the combinations, $-t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ (second personal plural subject aorist) and $-t^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ (first personal singular possessive) are suffixal units; though $-t^{\circ} p^{*}$ might be ultimately analyzed into $-t^{*}$ (second personal singular subject aorist) $+-p^{\circ}$. It is interesting to note that these clusters are at the same time the only ones, except $t^{\prime}!p-$, allowed initially, $t^{\prime} b$ - and $t^{\prime} g$-. The constitution of the Takelma word-stem may thus be formulated as
tenuis (or voiceless spirant) + media (or voiced continuant) + vowel (or diphthong) + liquid or nasal + stop (fortis or media-tenuis),
any or all of the members of which skeleton may be absent except the vowel; $h$ may also be found before the vowel.

## § 17. MEDIAL COMBINATIONS

A medial combination consists simply of a syllabically final combination or single consonant + an initial combination or single consonant, so that theoretically a very harge number of such medial combinations may occur. Quite a large number do indeed oceur, yet there is no morphologic opportunity for many of them, such as $k^{2}-l, n p^{2}-m$, and numerous others. Examples of medial combinations are:
t!omoma'n-ma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he was killed
hêlk'-na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he sang
$d a k^{\circ}-t^{t} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u b a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put hollowed object (like hat) on top (as on head)

The occurrence of such clusters as $-k^{2} n$ - must not for a moment be interpreted as a contradiction of the non-occurrence of the same clusters initially or finally, as they are not, syllabically speaking, clusters at all. Had such combinations as, say, $-t^{\prime} g n$ - (in which $-t^{\circ}$ would be the final of one syllable and $g n$ - the initial of the next) occurred, we should be justified in speaking of an inconsistency in the treatment of clusters; but the significant thing is, that such clusters are never found. A Takelma word can thus ordinarily be cut up into a definite number of syllables:
$g a z k: n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he ate it $\left(=g a i k k^{i}-n a^{\varepsilon}\right)$
yo'k'yan I shall know it ( $=y o^{\prime} k^{\prime}-y a n$ )
but these syllables have only a phonetic, not necessarily a morphologic value (e. g., the morphologic division of the preceding forms is respectively $g a i-k^{2}-n a^{\varepsilon}$ and yok $\left.y-a n\right)$. The theory of syllabification implied by the phonetic structure of a Takelma word is therefore at complete variance with that found in the neighboring Athapascan dialects, in which the well-defined syllable has at least a relative morphologic value, the stem normally consisting of a distinct syllable in itself.

One important phonetic adjustment touching the medial combination of consonants should be noted. If the first syllable ends in a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd, the following syllable, as far as initial stops are concerned, will begin with a media (instead of aspirated surd) or aspirated surd + media; i. e., for a cluster of stops in medial position, the last can be a media only, while the others are aspirated surds. As also in the case of single consonants, this adjustment often brings about a variation in the manner of articulation of the final consonant in the cluster, according to whether its position in the word is medial or final. Thus we have:
$x \tilde{e} p^{\circ} g a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ did it ; xép ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he did it
Contrast, with constant $-k k^{\circ}-$ :
$a l x \iota^{-\varepsilon} k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon}$ I saw it ; $a l x \bar{i}^{\prime \epsilon} k^{{ }^{+1}}$ he saw it
the $-(\xi-$ of the first form and the $-k$ of the second being the same morphological element; the $-p^{*}$ of both forms is the syllabically final $b$ of the stem $x e^{e} b$ - Do, so that $x \tilde{e} p^{\prime} g a^{\varepsilon}$ stands for a theoretical ${ }^{*} x \tilde{e} b k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon}$, a phonetically impossible form. Other examples are:
${ }^{1}$ This form is distinct from $a l x i^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime}$ Look at IT!, quoted before. The imperative theoretically $=*_{a l x i^{\prime} k f}$ the text form $={ }^{*}$ alx $i^{\prime} k / k^{*}$.
$g a-i w a^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ ye shall eat it; gayawa't $p^{\prime}$ ye ate it
$d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} x g a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ (as long object) was stretching out; $d i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} x k^{\prime}$ long object
was stretching

## Consonant Processes (§§ 18-24)

## § 18. DROPPING OF FINAL CONSONANTS

There is a good deal to indicate that the comparatively limited number of possible final consonant-clusters is not a primary condition, but has been brought about by the dropping of a number of consonants that originally stood at the end.

1. The most important case is the loss of every final $-t^{t}$ that stood after a voiceless spirant or aspirated surd. Its former presence in such words can be safely inferred, either from morphologically parallel forms, or from other forms of the same stem where the phonetic conditions were such as to preserve the dental. Thus gwidi`** не THREW it represents an older reduplicated *gwidi'k'x $t^{\prime}(=g w i d-i-g w d-)$, as proven by the corresponding form for the first person, gwidi $i^{\prime} F^{*} v d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I THREW it and $g w i d i^{\prime} k^{\circ}{ }^{*} d a g w a$ he threw him (122.13). Similarly all participles showing the bare verb stem are found to be phonetically such as not to permit of a final $-t^{i}$, and are therefore historically identical with the other participial forms that show the $-t^{\prime}$ :
$s \tilde{a} k^{*}$ shooting $\left(=*_{s} \tilde{a} k{ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)$
$d \tilde{o} x$ gathering $\left(=* d \tilde{o} x t^{*}\right)$

sana' $p$ ' fighting $\left(={ }^{*}\right.$ sana ${ }^{\prime} p^{\circ} t$ )
Compare:
yana't' going
loho't' dead
sebe't' roasting
dont $t^{\circ}$ having killed
se'nsant ${ }^{\text { }}$ whooping
yi'lt copulating with
The combinations $-k^{*} w t^{*} k^{\prime}\left(-k^{*} w t^{\prime} g\right.$ - $)$ and $-k^{*}{ }^{*} t^{*} x-$, however, seem to lose, not the $-t^{*}$-, but the $-k^{\circ} w_{-}$, whereupon $-t^{\prime} k^{*}\left(-t^{*} g_{-}\right)$remains, while $-t^{*} x$ - regularly becomes $-s$ - (sec § 20, 2):
 he ${ }^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$ gwida't $t^{\circ} g a^{\varepsilon}\left(==^{*} g w i d a^{\prime} k^{*}{ }^{*} t^{*}-g a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ I lost it
xamgwidi'sgwide $e^{\varepsilon}\left(={ }^{*} g w i d i^{\prime} k^{\prime} t^{\prime}-x-g w i-\right.$ or possibly ${ }^{*} g w i d i^{\prime} k^{*} w t^{\prime}-$ $g w i-$ ) I drown myself
2. Somewhat less transparent is the former existence of a $-w$ after consonants. The following examples have been found in the material at disposal:
$l \tilde{a} l$ she twined basket ( $=* l \tilde{a} l w)$; cf. $l \bar{a}^{a} l w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I twine it (that $-w$ really belongs to the stem is shown by the forms $l \bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime} n$ I shall twine it; lèaxi twine it for me!)
$k!e \delta$ basket bucket $(=* k!e l w)$; cf. $k!e l w \tau^{\prime i}$ her bucket
$k^{\prime} a l$ penis $\left(={ }^{*} k^{\prime} a \bar{l} w\right)$; cf. $k^{\prime} a l w \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$ his penis.
sgelēle $l^{\varepsilon}\left(=\right.$ sgelēl $\left.^{\varepsilon} w\right)$ he keeps shouting; cf. sgelewa't you shout, sgelwa'lt $e^{e}$ I shall keep shouting
alsgãlk' $a^{\varepsilon}\left(={ }^{*} \operatorname{sga} \tilde{l} w k^{*} a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ I turned my head to one side to look at him; cf. alsgāalui'n I shall turn my head to look at him
alsgelēlxi $(=*$ sgelēlwxi) he keeps turning his head to one side to look at me; cf. alsgaläaliwis $n$ I keep turning my head to look at him, future alsgalwalwi'n
This process, as further shown by cases like gai Eat it! ( $=$ *gaĩw), is really a special case of the simplification of double diphthongs (see § 11). Perhaps such "dissimilated" cases as $l \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$and $l e^{e_{-}}$(for lāuand lèu-), see § 7, really belong here.

Other consonants have doubtless dropped off under similar conditions, but the internal evidence of such a phenomenon is not as satisfactory as in the two cases listed. The loss of a final $-n$ is probable in such forms as $\bar{\imath} h e g w e^{\prime} h a k^{\bullet w}$ не works, cf. $\bar{\imath} h e g w e^{\prime} h a k^{\bullet}{ }^{\bullet} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I work, and īhegwe'hak'wnana'k' we work. Certain verb-forms would be satisfactorily explained as originally reduplicated like gwidi ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$, if we could suppose the loss of certain final consonants:
gini $i^{\prime} k k^{\prime}$ he went somewheres $\left(=? *{ }^{*} \operatorname{gin}-i^{\prime}-\varepsilon k^{*} n\right)$
gelgulu' $k^{*}{ }^{*}$ he desired it $\left(=? *-g u l-u^{\prime}-k^{\bullet}{ }^{*} l\right)$
In the case of these examples, however, such a loss of consonants is entirely hypothetical. ${ }^{1}$

## § 19. SIMPLIFICATION OF DOUBLE CONSONANTS

Morphologically doubled consonants occur very frequently in Takelma, but phonetically such theoretic doublings are simplified into single consonants; i. c., $k^{*}+g$ become $k^{*}$ or $g$, and correspondingly for other consonants. If one of the consonants is a fortis, the simplified result will be a fortis or aspirated surd with preceding catch, according to the phonctic circumstances of the case. If one of the

[^9]$k$ - consonants is labialized, the resulting $k$-sound preserves the labial affection. Examples of consonant simplification are:

```
mo't'ek' my son-in-law ( = mo't'- + -dek')
la\tilde{k}\mp@subsup{*}{}{*}wô\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}}\mathrm{ he gave him to eat ( = la a g- + -k'wôk')
dek!iya'k' }\mp@subsup{i}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ if it goes on (=dek!iya'g- + -k'i')
li}\mp@subsup{\imath}{}{i}gwa'n I shall fetch them home ( = l\overline{\imath}\mp@code{i}g- + -gwan); cf. aoris
    ligigwa'&}
d\tilde{\imath}}\mp@subsup{\imath}{}{i
    make him)
```

A good example of three $k$-sounds simplifying to one is:
$\operatorname{gin} \tilde{a} k^{*} w i^{\varepsilon}$ if he comes $\left(=\operatorname{gin} \tilde{a} g-k^{\bullet}{ }^{\varphi}-k^{\bullet} i^{\epsilon}\right)$
The interrogative element $d i$ never unites with the $-t$ of a second person singular aorist, but each dental preserves its individuality, a light $\check{\imath}$ being inserted to keep the two apart:
xemela' $t^{\prime}$ tudi do you wish to eat? $\left(=x e m e l a{ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}+d i\right)$
The operation of various phonetic processes of simplification of ten brings about a considerable number of homonymous forms. One example will serve for many. From the verb-stem $s \bar{a}^{a} g$ - shoot are derived:

1. Imperative sãk ${ }^{\prime}$ shoot it!
2. Potential sãk he can, might shoot it
3. Participle sãk' shooting $\left(=*^{*} \tilde{a} k{ }^{*} t^{*}\right)$
4. Inferential $s \tilde{a} k^{\prime}$ so he shot it $\left(={ }^{*} s \tilde{a} g-k^{*}\right)$

The corresponding forms of the stem yana- ao will bring home the fact that we are here really dealing with morphologically distinct formations:

1. yana` go!
2. yana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he would have gone
3. yana't' going
4. yana'k' so he went

Another simplification of consonant groups may be mentioned here. When standing immediately after a stop, an organic, etymologically significant $h$ loses its individuality as such and unites with a preceding media or aspirated tenuis to form an aspirated tenuis, with a preceding fortis to form an aspirated tenuis preceded by a glottal catch (in the latter case the fortis, being a syllabic final, cannot preserve its original form). Thus, for the $k$ - series, $g$ or $k^{2}+h$ becomes $k^{\prime}, k$ ! (or ${ }^{\epsilon} k^{`}$ ) $+h$ becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$; gw or $k^{\top} w+h$ becomes $k^{`} w$, $k!w\left(\right.$ or $\left.^{\varepsilon} k^{*} w\right)+h$ becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{*} w$. Under suitable conditions of accent
(see § 23) the contraction product $k^{*}$ or $k^{*} w$ may itself become $g$ or $g u$, so that all trace of the original $h$ seems to be lost. Examples for the $k$ - sounds are:
 nagan $\bar{a}^{\prime a} \bar{k}^{\prime} \imath^{\varepsilon}\left(=n a g a n \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} k^{*}+\right.$ quotative $-h i^{\varepsilon} ;$ see $\left.\S 22\right)$ he always said, it is said
gwen-he'k'w $\bar{a}^{a} g w$ - ( $=$ reduplicated $h e^{\prime} g w-h \bar{a}^{a} g w-$ ) relate; with accent thrown forward gwen-hegw $\bar{a}^{\prime a} g w-a n-i-\left(=h e g w-h \bar{a}^{\prime a} g w-\right)$; compare, with preserved $h$, gwen-hegwe'hagw-an-i tell to
 $w a$; see § 9) he jumps; compare $s \cdot o w o^{\prime} k$ !ana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I cause him to jump
Similarly, $d$ or $t^{\prime}+h$ becomes $t^{\prime}, t!\left(\right.$ or $\left.{ }^{\varepsilon} t^{*}\right)+h$ becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} ; b$ or $p^{*}+h$ becomes $p^{\prime}, p$ ! (or $\left.{ }^{\varepsilon} p^{*}\right)+h$ becomes ${ }^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime}$ :
gana't $i\left(=\right.$ gana ${ }^{\circ} t^{\circ}+$ emphatic $\left.-h i\right)$ of just that sort yo't'i (=yo't' being + emphatic $-h i$ ) alive: compare plural yot $i^{\prime} \mathrm{hi}$
he ${ }^{\epsilon \varepsilon} s g \bar{u}^{\prime u s} t^{\prime} \hat{o} k^{*} w\left(=s g \bar{u}^{\prime} u t!-h a k^{* w}\right)$ cut away; compare he ${ }^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} s g \bar{o}^{\prime u} t!a n$ I shall cut it away
$s^{\text {a }}$ and $x$ also generally contract with $h$ to $s$ and $x$, e. g.: $n \bar{o}^{u} \cdot{ }^{\cdot} \cdot i^{\prime \varepsilon}\left(=n \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{s}+-h i^{\varepsilon}\right)$ next door, it is said.

## § 20. CONSONANTS BEFORE $x$

No stopped consonant or spirant may stand before $x$, except $p$. The dentals, guttural stops, and sibilants all simplify with $x$ into single sounds; the fortes (including ts!) following the example of the ordinary stops and of the $s$, but leaving a trace in the vicarious ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

1. All $k$ - sounds ( $\left.k^{\circ}, g, k!, k \cdot w, g w, k!w\right)$ simply disappear before $x$ without leaving any trace of their former existence, except in so far as $k!$ and $k!w$ remain as ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; if $x$ is followed by a vowel, the $w$ of the labialized $k$-sounds unites with $x$ to form $x w$ :
$a l x \imath^{\prime \prime} \cdot x i$ he saw me ( $\left.=a l-x \imath^{\prime \prime} g-x i\right)$; ef. $a l x \imath^{\prime i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I saw him $k^{*} w \bar{a}^{\prime} x x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I awoke ( $\left.=k^{*} w \bar{a}^{\prime a} g w-x-d e^{\varepsilon}\right)$; cf. $\bar{\imath} k^{*} w \bar{a}^{\prime a} g w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I woke him up
gelgulu'xbisn I like you (=-gulu'gw-x-bi$\left.i^{\varepsilon} n\right)$; ef. -gulugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I like him
$b \bar{a}^{a} d i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ (clouds) spread out on high $\left(=-d i n i^{\prime} k!-x\right) ;$ ef. $d i^{\prime} n i k!a^{\varepsilon} n$ I stretch it out
$l \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} x w a^{\prime}$ to trap $\left(=l \bar{u} k!{ }^{w}-x a^{\prime}\right)$; ef. $l o^{\prime} k!w a n$ I shall trap (deer)
yéxwink゙ ( = yẽgw-xink') he will bite me; but yẽxda ${ }^{\varepsilon}\left(=y \tilde{e} g w-x-d a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ you will bite me
2. $t x$ always simplifies to $s, t!x$ to ${ }^{s} s$. Whether the combination $t x$ really spontaneously developed into $s$ it is naturally impossible to say; all that can safely be stated is that, where we should by morphologic analogy expect $t+x$, this combination as such never appears, but is replaced by $s$. Examples are numerous:
$l e b e^{\prime} s a^{\varepsilon}$ she sews ( $\left.=l e b e^{\prime} t-x a^{\varepsilon}\right)$; cf., for $-t$ of stem, lebe't' she sewed it, for suffix $-x a^{\varepsilon}$, lobo' $x a^{\varepsilon}$ she pounds
sgelewa'lsi he shouts to me (=sgelewa'ld-xi) ; (f. sgelewa'lda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I shout to him
$d \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} b o d o b a^{\prime} s a^{\varepsilon} n$ they pull out each other's hair, with reduplicated stem bodobad-+x-
$x \bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime e \varepsilon} k^{\circ} t^{\prime} b a g a m s$ it is all tied together $\left(=-t^{\prime}\right.$ bagamt-x); cf. $x \bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I tie it together
hansgō ${ }^{\prime \prime u} \varepsilon_{s}$ he cut across, lay over (road) ( $=-s g o^{\prime \prime} u t!-x$ ); ef. hansgo ${ }^{\prime \prime} u t!a n ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ c u t ~ i t ~ a c r o s s ~$

This change of $t x$ to $s$ is brought about constantly in the course of word-formation, and will be incidentally exemplified more than once in the morphology.
3. $s x$ simplifies to $s, t s!x\left(={ }^{\varepsilon} s x\right)$ to ${ }^{\varepsilon} s$. Examples are:
yimi's ${ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ he dreams ( $=y i m i^{\prime} s^{*}-x a^{\varepsilon}$, with suffix $-x a^{\varepsilon}$ as in lobo'xas above
$h a-u h a n a^{\prime \varepsilon} s^{s}$ it stopped (raining) ( $={ }^{*}-h a n a^{\prime s} s x$, stem hanats!- + $-x$ )

## § 21. DISSIMILATION OF $n$ TO /AND $m$

If a (generally) final $n$ of a stem is immediately followed, or, less commonly, preceded by, a suffix containing a nasal, it dissimilates to $l$. The following examples have been found:
yalalana't you lost it (cf. yalnanada's you will lose it, with $n$ preserved because it forms a consonant-cluster with $l$ )
$h a-g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m$ in the road (cf. gwãn road)
Dīdala'm Grant's Pass (probably =over [dī-] the rocks[ $d a^{\prime} n$ ])
$x \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} k^{\wedge}$ my urine; xala'xamt' $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ urinate (cf. xãn urine)
$b a-i s \cdot i n-x i^{\prime} l i k!w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I blow my nose, with $l$ due to $-n$ of profix sin-nose (cf. xin mucus)
$s \cdot i n p^{\circ} i^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ flat-nosed, alongside of $s \cdot i n p^{\prime} i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s$
The possibility of a doublet in the last example shows that the prefix $s$ in- is not as thoroughly amalgamated with the rest of the word as are the suffixes; probably, also, the analogy of forms in -p'inss with other prefixes not containing an $n$ would tend to restore an anomalous-sounding $s \cdot i n p^{\prime} i^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ to $-p^{\prime} i^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s$.

A suffixed -(a) $n$ dissimilates to $-(a) l$ because of a preceding $m$ in the stem:
s.imil dew (cf. such noums as p!iyiin deer)
dak' $-s^{*} \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ on the mountain ( $s^{\cdot} \circ \tilde{m}$ mountain)
$d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{`} l t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my testicles ( $d \tilde{o}^{u} m$ testicles)
With these compare:
$d \bar{a}^{a}-t s!\bar{a}^{a} w a ' n$ by the ocean (ts!āu deep water)
In $x \bar{a}^{a}-g u l m a^{\prime} n$ among oaks, the $l$ immediately preceding the $m$ seems to have prevented the dissimilation of the -an to -al.

It is practically certain that the -am of hagw $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$, Dídala'm, and $x \bar{a}^{a}$ la 'mt ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ is at bottom phonetically as well as functionally identical with the suffix -an ( $-a l$ ), seen in $x \bar{a}^{a}-g u l m a^{\prime} n$ (gulu'm оак) and dak'$s \cdot \bar{o}^{u} m a^{`} l$, and rests on a second dissimilation of the nasal lingual ( $n$ ) of the suffix to a labial nasal $(m)$, because of the lingual $(l)$ of the stem. The history of a word like hagwa $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$ is in that event as follows: An original *hagw $\bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime} n$ in the road (stem $g w \bar{a}^{a} n-+$ nominal characteristic -an) becomes first *hagwäala'n by the dissimilation of the first $n$ because of the following $n$, then hagw $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$ by the dissimi lation of this second $n$ because of the preceding $l$. Similarly $D \bar{\imath} d a l a{ }^{\prime} m$ and $x \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} k^{*}$ would go back to ${ }^{*} D \bar{i} d a n a^{\prime} n$ and ${ }^{*} x \bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ respectively; with the second form compare the reduplicated verb xala'xam( = *xanaxan-) URINATE. The probability of such a dissimilation of $n$ to $m$ is greatly strengthened by the fact that nearly all nouns with an evidently suffixal noun-forming element -(a)m have an $l$ in the stem as compared to an $-(a) n$ of nouns not so affected. Contrast:

| -m | -n |
| :---: | :---: |
| he ${ }^{\prime}$ la'm board (cf. dī̀he'liya sleeping on wooden platform) | daga'n turtle |
| gela'm river | wigin red lizard |
| ts!ela'm hail (cf. stem ts!elrattle) | $p!i y i$ 'n deer ( $-n$ here as suffix shown by p!iya'x fawn) |
| xila'm sick, ghost | $y \bar{u} t!u$ 'n white duck (cf. yut!$u^{\prime} y i d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I eat it greedily) |
| $t s$ ! ${ }^{\prime}$ 'lm wart ${ }^{1}$ | $y \bar{u}^{\prime} x$ agan trout |
| habila'm empty | $x d \tilde{a} \mathrm{n}$ eel (cf. $h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-x d \bar{a}^{\prime a} x d a g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I throw something slippery far away) |
| lap'ãm frog | $w \bar{o}^{u} p$ ! un- eyebrows |

1 No other example of final $-l m$ is known, so that this form was probably misheard for $t s:$ fulu' $m$ (cl. oulu'm OAK).
$y u l u$ 'm eagle (also $y u l a ` m$ is $d \bar{a}^{a}-\mathrm{n}$ - ear
found)
gulu'm oak
$\mathfrak{k}^{\prime} \ddot{\text { unluim }}$ fish (sp.?)
legem- kidney
bebe'n rushes
$g a^{\prime} k$ !an house ladder
gwit!'in- wrist

It should not be concealed that a few words (such as hülün ocean, t!aga'm lake, and yuk!um-a-bones) do not seem to conform to the phonetic law implied by the table; but more exact knowledge of the etymology of these and similar words would doubtless show such disagreement to be but apparent. It is probable that in delgan- $n$ buttocks, bilga' $n$ - breast, and do'lk'in- $i$ - anus, the $g$, ( $k^{\prime}$ ) immediately following upon the $l$ prevented the expected dissimilation of $n$ to $m$; in le $e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ wan- anus the dissimilation was perhaps thwarted by a counter-tendency to dissimilate the two labials ( $k^{*}$ w and $m$ ) that would thus result. *yalan-an- lose (tr.), dissimilated, as we have seen, to yalal-an-, fails to be further dissimilated to *yalal-am- because, doubtless, there is a feeling against the obscuring of the phonetic form of the causative suffix -an-. The great probability of the existence of a dissimilatory tendency involving the change of $n$ to $m$ is clinched by the form do'lk'im-i- anus alongside of do'lk'in-i-.

A dissimilation of an original $l$ to $n$ (the reverse of the process first described), because of an $l$ in the stem, is found in
$y i l i{ }^{i} n m a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I keep asking for it ( $=$ original $*_{y i l i}{ }^{i} l m a^{\prime \varepsilon} n[l$ inserted as repetition of stem $-l$ - in iterative formation from yilima ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I ask him])
$l e^{e} b a^{\prime} n x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I am carrying (object not specificd) ( $=$ original *le ${ }^{e}$ $\left.b a^{\prime} l x d e^{\epsilon}\right)$; cf. identical suffix -al-x-, e. g., gayawa'lxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I eat.
In $\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} n x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I drink (stem $\bar{u} g w-$ ), it hardly seems plausible that $-a n-x$ - is at all morphologically different from the $-a l(-a n)-x$ - of these words, yet no satisfactory reason can be given here for a change of the $l$ to $n$.

## § 22. CATCH DISSIMILATION

If to a form with a glottal catch in the last syllable is added a syntactic (conjunctive) element, itself containing a catch, the first catch is lost, but without involving a change in the character of the pitchaccent; the loss of the catch is frequently accompanied by a lengthening of the preceding vowel (or rather, in many cases, a restoration of the original length). This phonetic process finds its most frequent
application in the subordinate form of the third person aorist intransitive:
$y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he went (cf. $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he went)
$\operatorname{gini} i^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he went to (cf. gini $i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ he went to)
yawa'ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he spoke (cf. yawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he spoke)
loho'ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he died (cf. loho ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he died)
The connectives $-h i^{\varepsilon}$ IT is SAID, and $-s^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon}$ but, and are, in regard to this process, parallel to the $-d a^{\varepsilon}$ of the preceding forms:
naga'ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he said, it is said (cf. naga ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he said)
$n \bar{o}^{u} S^{\prime} \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ but, so (he went) next door (cf. $n \bar{o}^{\prime u \varepsilon} \mathcal{S}^{\cdot}$ next door).
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} s \cdot i^{s}$ but not (cf. $a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ not)
${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{\prime} s \cdot i s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ but no matter how (often) (cf. ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau}^{\prime} s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ even if)
$d a l^{\varepsilon} w \bar{i}^{\prime i} s^{-} i^{\varepsilon}$ but some (cf. $d a l^{\varepsilon} w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ sometimes; $-w \bar{u}^{\prime i} s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ is related to $-w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ as is $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ to $\left.y a^{\prime \varepsilon}\right)$

## § 23. INFLUENCE OF PLACE AND KIND OF ACCENT ON MANNER OF ARTICULATION

The general phonetic rule may be laid down that an aspirated surd, when not immediately followed by another consonant, can, with comparatively few exceptions, be found as such medially only when the accent immediately precedes, provided that no consonant (except in certain circumstances $l, m$, and $n$ ) intervenc between the accented vowel and the aspirated surd; under other conditions it appears as a media. This phonetic limitation naturally brings about a constant interchange between the aspirated surd and the corresponding media in morphologically identical elements. Thus we have as doublets $-d a$ and $-t^{\circ} a$, third person possessive pronoun of certain nouns:
bémt"ãa his stick
$s e^{\prime e} l \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ his writing
wila'ut ${ }^{\top} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ his arrow
$g a^{\prime} l t^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ his bow
$m o^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ his son-in-law; but
da'gaxda his head
and numerous other nouns with $-x$-. This consonant in itself, as we have seen, demands a following media. Another pair of doublets is $-d e^{\varepsilon}$ and $-t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$, first person singular subject intransitive aorist ( $-d e^{e}$ and $-t^{\prime} e^{e}$ to correspond in future):
$p^{\prime} e l e^{\prime} x a \mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ go to fight; p ${ }^{\circ} e l x a^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall go to war
yãnt' $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ go; yana't' $\mathrm{e}^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall go
nagazt' $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ say; na't' ${ }^{\rho} \mathrm{I}$ shall say
but:
wits $!$ ĩsmade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I keep moving; future wits $!e^{\prime}$ smade ${ }^{e}$ (contrast wits ${ }^{\prime}$ inmt $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ move and wisma't $\mathrm{e}^{\ominus} \mathrm{I}$ shall move)
Other examples of interchange are:
$s g \bar{o}^{u} t^{\prime} s g a^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} i$ he cut them to pieces; sgóstisgidicn I cut them to pieces
$t s^{\cdot}!\ddot{u} m \tilde{u} m t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I boil it, $s \cdot \tilde{u} m t^{\prime} a n$ I shall boil it (stem $s^{\cdot} \ddot{u}^{u} m-t^{t} a-$ ); $s^{\cdot} \operatorname{omod} a^{\prime} n$ I boil it, $s^{\cdot o m d} a^{\prime} n$ I shall boil it (evidently related stem $s^{\circ} \cdot \mathrm{m}-d$-)
$s^{*} a s^{\prime} i n i n \mathrm{p}^{\prime} i k^{\prime}$ we stand; $e^{e} \mathrm{~b} i^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ we are
This phonetic rule must not be understood to mean that a media can never appear under the conditions given for the occurrence of a surd. The various grammatical elements involved are not all on one line. It seems necessary to assume that some contain a surd as the primary form of their consonant, while others contain an organic media. The more or less mechanical changes in manner of articulation, already treated of, have had the effect, however, of so inextricably interlocking the aspirated surds and mediae in medial and final positions that it becomes difficult to tell in many cases which manner of articulation should be considered the primary form of the consonant. Some of the medially occurring elements with primary tenuis are:
$-t^{\prime} a$, third person possessive
$-t^{\prime} a$, exclusive (as in $k!w a^{\prime} l t^{\prime} a$ young, not old; younger one)
$-t^{i} e^{\varepsilon}$, first person intransitive aorist (future, $-t^{\prime} e^{e}$ )
$-t^{\prime} e k^{\prime}$, first person singular possessive (as in ga'lt $e k^{\prime}$ my bow)
Such elements show an aspirated consonant whether the preceding accent be rising or falling; e. g., bẽmt'a like $h e^{\prime}$ elt $a$. Some of those with primary media are:

- $d a$, third person possessive with preceding preposition (corresponding not to first person $-t^{\prime} e k^{\prime}$, -dek', but to -dẽ)
$-a^{\prime} l d$ - and - $a^{\prime} m d$-indirect object
$-d a^{\varepsilon}$, subordinating element
This second set regularly keep the media whether the accent immediately precedes or not. The first two of these generally, if not always, require the preceding accent to be a falling one:
dak'wilīi ${ }^{\prime} d a$ on his house
hat $g \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a$ in his country
$x \bar{a}^{a} s a^{\prime} l d a$ between his toes
$x \bar{a}^{a} h a^{\prime} m d a$ on his back
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt $2-12 — 4$
hawa'nda under him
sgelewa'ldán I shout to him
ts!elela'mda $n$ I paint it
The third retains its primary character as media when the preceding verb form has the falling accent:
yewe'ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he returned
naga'-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he said
baxa'mda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he came
hele'ldas when he sang
xebe'nda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he did it
On the other hand it appears as an aspirate tenuis when preceded by the rising accent:
lăa ${ }^{a} \bar{e}^{\hat{e}} t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ as it became
s.as init $a^{\varepsilon}$ when he stood

The rule first given, when interpreted in the light of a reconstructed historical development, would then mean that a rising accent preserved an immediately following aspirated surd (including always those cases in which $l, m$, or $n$ intervened), and caused the change of a media to an aspirated surd; while a falling accent preserved a similarly situated media or aspirated surd in its original form. That the change in the phonetic circumstances defined of an originaı media to an aspirated surd is indeed conditioned by a preceding rising accent, is further indicated by such rather uncommon forms as hadedil-tia everywheres. Here the $-t^{\prime} a$ is evidently the same as the $-d a$ of hawilīid ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ in his house, and the difference in manner of articulation is doubtless in direct relation to the difference of accent.

A modification of the general phonetic rule as first given remains to be mentioned. After $l, m$, or $n$ an original aspirated tenuis retains its aspiration even if the accent falls on the preceding syllable but one; also after a short vowel preceded by $l, m$, or $n$, provided the accented vowel is short. Examples are:
alue'k!alt ' $e^{e}$ I shall shine; alwe'k!alp 'igam we shall shine; alwe'k!alk' wa to shine
$k^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a \mathrm{lt}^{\prime} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be absent; $k^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a \mathrm{lk} w a$ to be absent
wïlü'hamt ' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I have menstrual courses for the first time
xala'xamt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I urinate
$\bar{I}^{\prime} m h a m k^{\prime} a m$ he was sent off ( $\bar{\imath}$ is short, though close in quality; contrast domhigam he was killed)
imi'hamk'wit' he sent himself
$t s^{\circ}!u ̈ m u ̈{ }^{\prime} t s^{\varepsilon}!a m t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ always boil it (cf. $s^{\circ} \circ \mathrm{mod} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ boil it)
$s^{\cdot} a^{\prime} s . a n t^{\prime} e^{e} I$ shall stand; $s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\circ} a n p ` i g a m$ we shall stand; $s^{\circ} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n-$ $\mathrm{k}^{*}$ wa to stand
sene'sant $e^{\varepsilon}$ I whoop; se'nsant $e^{e}$ I shall whoop
$d e^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} w \imath^{\prime \prime} g a n k{ }^{*} w i d e^{\varepsilon}$ I spread (it) out for myself
dasga'lit $\bar{a}^{a}$ (grain) will lie scattered about
With $-t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ and $-t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ above contrast the morphologically identical elements $-d \bar{a}^{a}$ and $-d e^{\varepsilon}$ of the following examples, in which the same accentual condition prevails but with a consonant other than $l, m$, or $n$ preceding the affected dental:
$t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} i t s^{\prime}!i \mathrm{~d} \bar{a}^{a}$ (round object) will lie (there)
$s^{\prime} u^{\prime} k^{\prime} d i \mathrm{~d} \bar{a}^{a}$ (string) will lie curled up
$d a k^{\circ} t^{\circ} e k!e^{\prime} x a \mathrm{~d} e^{\varepsilon}$ I smoke (but future $-x a^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{*} e^{e}$ because of immediately preceding accent)
§24. INORGANIC $h$
Whenever two morphologically distinct vowels come together within the word (verbal prefixes and postposed particles, such as deictic $-a^{\prime}$, are not considered as integral parts of the word), the first (accented) vowel is separated from the second by an "inorganic" $-h$-:
ìt!ana'hien I hold it (aorist stem t!ana- + instrumental -i-), but future $\bar{\imath} t!a n i ' n$ (stem t!an-)
$d a k^{*}-d a-h a l a^{\prime} h i n ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ a n s w e r ~ h i m ~(f u t u r e ~ s t e m ~ h a l a-~+~ i n s t r u-~$ mental -i-), but aorist $d a \mathbb{k}^{\circ}-d a-h \bar{a}^{a} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (stem $h \bar{a}^{a} l-$ )
This inorganic $h$ is found also immediately following an $m, n$, or $l$ preceded by the accent:
wayãnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I put him to sleep (cf. same form with change of accent $w a-y \bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ )
$d \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon} a g a ̃ n h i^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to hear about it (cf. -agani' $n$ I hear it)
liwilhaut' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I kept looking (cf. liwila'ut $e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked)
$x a-i t^{\prime} g y^{-i} l t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} l h i$ he broke it in two (cf. with identical -i- suffix $x \bar{a}^{a}$ salt'gwi'lt'gwili he broke [somebody's arm] by stepping)
$\bar{\imath}^{\prime} m h a m k^{\prime} a m$ he was sent off (also in aorist stem imiham-)
wadõmhike he killed him with it (stem $\lambda^{u} \bar{o}^{u} m-+-i-$ )
It will be observed that the insertion of the $h$ is practically the same phonctic phenomenon as the occurrence of an aspirated tenuis instead of a media after an accented vowel. The vowel, nasal, or liquid may appropriately enough be considered as having become aspirated under the influence of the accent, just as in the case of the mediae.

## MORPHOLOGY (§§ 25-114)

## § 25. Introductory

Takelma conforms to the supposedly typical morphology of American languages in that it is thoroughly incorporating, both as regards the pronominal, and, though somewhat less evidently, the nominal object. If by "polysynthetic" is merely meant the introduction into the verb-complex of ideas generally expressed by independent elements (adverbs or the like), then Takelma is also polysynthetic, yet only moderately so as compared with such extreme examples of the type as Eskimo or Kwakiutl. The degree of intimacy with which the pronominal objective elements on the one hand, and the nominal objective and polysynthetic (instrumental and local) elements on the other, are combined with the internal verb-structure is decidedly different. The former combine as suffixes to form an indissoluble part, as it were, of the verb-form, the subjective elements of the transitive verb, though in themselves absolutely without independent existence, being secondarily attached to the stem already provided with its pronominal object. The latter vary in degree of independence; they are strung along as prefixes to the verb, but form no integral part of its structure, and may, as far as grammatical coherence is concerned, fall away entirely.

The polysynthetic character of the Takelma verb (and by discussing the verb we touch, as so frequently in America, upon the most vital element of the sentence) seems, then, a comparatively accidental, superimposed feature. To use the term "polysynthetic" as a catchword for the peculiar character of Takelma, as of many another American language, hardly hits the core of the matter. On the other hand, the term "incorporation," though generally of more value as a dassificatory label than "polysynthesis," conveys information rather as to the treatment of a special, if important, set of concepts, than as to the general character of the process of form-building.

If we study the manner in which the stem unites in Takelma with derivative and grammatical elements to form the word, and the vocalic and consonantic changes that the stem itself undergoes for grammatical purposes, we shall hardly be able to find a tangible difference
in general method, however much the details may vary, between Takelma and languages that have been dignified by the name "inflectional." It is generally said, in defining inflection, that languages of the inflectional as contrasted with those of the agglutinative type make usc of words of indivisible psychic value, in which the stem and the various grammatical elements have entirely lost their single individualities, but have "chemically" (!) coalesced into a single formunit; in other words, the word is not a mere mosaic of phonetic materials, of which each is the necessary symbol of some special concept (stem) or logical category (grammatical element).

In support of the actual existence of this admired lack of a one-to-one correspondence between a grammatical category and its phonetic expression is often quoted the multiplicity of elements that serve to symbolize the same concept; e. g., Lat. $-\bar{\imath}, a e,-a,-\bar{e} s,-\bar{u} s$, all indicate that the idea of a plurality of subjects is to be associated with the concrete idea given by the main body of the words to which they are attached. Furthermore, variability of the stem or base itself is frequently adduced as a proof of its lack of even a relative degree of individuality apart from the forms from which by analysis it has been abstracted; e. g., German bind-, band-, bund-, $b a ̈ n d-$, bünd-. These two characteristics are very far indced from constituting anything like a definition of inflection, but they are often referred to as peculiar to it, and hence may well serve us as approximate tests.

As regards the first test, we find that just such a multiplicity of phonetic symbols for the same, or approximately the same, concept, is characteristic of Takelma. The idea of possession of an object by a person or thing other than the speaker or person addressed is expressed by $-x a,-a,-d a\left(-t^{\prime} a\right),-\angle t^{\prime}$, or $\angle$, all of which are best rendered by his, her, its, their (the ideas of gender and number do not here enter as requiring grammatical expression). Similarly, the idea of the person speaking as subject of the action or state predicated by the main body of the verb is expressed by the various elements $-t^{\epsilon} e^{\varepsilon}\left(-d e^{\varepsilon}\right),-t^{\epsilon} e^{e}\left(-d e^{\varepsilon}\right),{ }^{\varepsilon} n,-n,-k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}\left(-g a^{\varepsilon}\right)$, all of which are best rendered in English by "I." $-t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ is confined to the aorist of intransitive verbs; $-t^{\prime} e^{e}$ is future intransitive; $-\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} n$ is aorist transitive; $-n$ is future transitive; and $-k^{i} a^{\epsilon}$ is used in all inferential forms, whether transitive or intransitive.

As for the second test, it soon appears that the Takelma stem may undergo even more far-reaching changes than we are accustomed to in German or Greek. As examples may serve:
$d \bar{o}^{u} m-, d \ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}} m-, t!o m o m-\left(t!o m \bar{o}^{u}-\right), t!\dot{u} m \ddot{u}^{u_{-}}$kill
$n \bar{a}^{a} g-, n e^{e}-$, naga-, nege- say to
The first form in each of these sets is the verb-stem, properly speaking, and is used in the formation of all but the aorist forms. The second is employed in non-aorist forms when the incorporated object of the verb is a first person singular, and in several derivative formations. The third is characteristic of the aorist. The fourth is used in the aorist under the same conditions as determine the use of the second form of the stem in other groups of forms. It needs but a moment's thought to bring home the general psychic identity of such stem-variability and the "ablaut" of many German verbs, or the Latin stem-variation in present and perfect:

```
frang- : frēg-break
```

$d a-$ : ded- give
If the typical verb (and, for that matter, noun) form of Takelma is thus found to be a firm phonetic and psychic unit, and to be characterized by some of the supposed earmarks of inflection, what is left but to frankly call the language "inflectional"? "Polysynthetic" and "incorporative" are not in the slightest degree terms that exclude such a designation, for they have reference rather to the detailed treatment of certain groups of concepts than to morphologic method. Everything depends on the point of view. If chief stress for purposes of classification is laid on the relative importance and fulness of the verb, Takelma is polysynthetic; if the criterion of classification be taken to be whether the verb takes the pronominal object within its structure or not, it is incorporating; if, finally, stress be laid on the general method of building up the word from smaller elements, it is inflective. Not that Takelma is in the least thereby relegated to a peculiar or in any way exceptional position. A more objective, unhampered study of languages spoken in various parts of the world will undoubtedly reveal a far wider prevalence than has been generally admitted of the inflectional type. The error, however, must not be made of taking such comparatively trivial characteristics as sex gender, or the presence of cases, as criteria of inflection. Inflection has reference to method, not to subject-matter.

## Grammatical Processes (§§ 26-32)

## § 26. General Remarks

There are four processes employed in Takelma for purposes of grammatical modification and word-formation: aflixation (pre-, in-, and suffixation), reduplication, vocalic change (ablaut), and consonant change (consonant ablaut). Pitch-accent is of grammatical importance, but is most probably a product of purely phonetic causes. Of the processes mentioned, suffixation is by far the most important, while the presence of infixation will have to be allowed or denied according to the definition given of it.

## § 2\%. Prefixation

Prefixation is either of the loose polysynthetic type already referred to, or of the more firmly knit inflective type. Loose prefixation is extremely common, nominal objects, instruments, and local ileas of one kind or another finding admittance into the word-complex, as we have seen, in this manner. Examples of such loose prefixation are:
gwen- ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$-yowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he looked back (gwen-in back; al- is dillicult to define, but can perhaps be best described as indicative of action away from one's self, here with clear implication of sight directed outward; yowo ${ }^{\prime 8}$ he was, can be used as independent word)
$\operatorname{sin-i}$-lats!agi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his nose ( $s \cdot i n$ - nose; $\bar{i}$ - with hand; lats!agis $n$ I touched him, as independent word) gwent ge` $m$ black necked (gwen- nape, neck; $t^{\prime}$ ge' $m$ black)
The first example shows best the general character of loose prefixation. The prefixed elements gwen-, al-, s'in-, and $\bar{\imath}$ - have no separate existence as such, yet in themselves dirertly convey, except perhaps al-, a larger, more definitely apperceived, share of meaning than falls to the lot of most purely grammatical clements. In dealing with such elements as these, we are indeed on the borderland between independent word and aflix. The contrast between them and grammatical suffixes comes out strongest in the fact that they may be entirely omitted without destroying the reality of the rest of the word, while the attempt to extract any of the other elements leaves an unmeaning remainder. At the same time, the first example well illustrates the point that they are not so loosely attached but that they may entirely alter the concrete meaning of the word. Prefixation of the inflective type is very rare. There is only one
such prefix that occurs with considerable frequency, wi-, first person singular possessive of nouns of relationship:
wiha'm my father
hami'st' your father

## § 28. Suffixation

Suffixation is the normal method employed in building up actual forms of nouns and verbs from stems. The suffixes in themselves have for the most part very little individuality, some of them being hardly cvident at all except to the minute linguistic analyst. The notions they convey are partly derivational of one kind or other. In the verb they express such ideas as those of position, reciprocal action, causation, frequentative action, reflexive action, spontaneous activity, action directed to some one, action done in behalf of some one. From the verb-stem such adjectival and nominal derivations as participles, infinitives, or abstract nouns of action, and nouns of agent are formed by suffixation. In the noun itself various suffixed elements appear whose concrete meaning is practically nil. Other suffixes are formal in the narrower sense of the word. They express pronominal elements for subject and object in the verb, for the possessor in the noun, modal elements in the verb. Thus a word like t!omóxinik' we kill one another contains, besides the aorist stem $t$ tom $\bar{o}$ - (formed from $d \bar{o}^{u} m$-), the suffixed elements $-x$ - (expressing general idea of relation between subject and object), -in- umlauted from -an- (element denoting reciprocal action $[-x-i n-=$ each otiler, one another]), and -ik' (first personal plural subject intransitive aorist). As an example of suffixation in the noun may be given t!ibagwa'n-t' $k$ ' my pancreas. This form contains, besides the stem $i!i b a-$, the suffixed elements -gw- (of no ascertainable concrete significance, but employed to form several body-part nouns; e. g., $t$ tiba ${ }^{\prime} k^{' w}$ pancreas 47.17), -an- (apparently meaningless in itself and appearing suflixed to many nouns when they are provided with possessive endings), and $-t^{t} k^{*}$ (first personal singular possessive).

## § 29. Infixation

Infixation, or what superficially appears to be such, is found only in the formation of certain aorist stems and frequentatives. Thus the aorist stem mats!ag- (from masg- put) shows an intrusive or
§§ 28-29
infixed -a-between the $s$ (strengthened to $t s!$ ) and $g$ of the stem. Similarly the aorist stem wits!im- (from wism- move) shows an infixed $i$. Infixation in frequentative forms is illustrated by:
yonoina ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I always sing (aorist stem yonon-)
ts!ayank he used to shoot them (ef. ts!aya $\Re_{i}^{*}$ he shot them)
On examination it is found that the infixed element is invariably a repetition of part of the phonetie material given by the stem. Thus the infixed $-a$ - and $-i$ - of mats!ag- and wits $!i m$ - are repetitions of the $-a$ - and $-i$ - of the stems masg- and wism-; the infixed $-i$ - of yonoin- and ts!ayaig- are similarly repetitions of the $y$ - of yonon and $-y$ - of ts!ayag-. It seems advisable, therefore, to consider all eases of infixation rather as stem-amplifications related to reduplication. An infixed element may itself be augmented by a second infixation. Thus we have:

| verbstem | Aorist stem | Frequentative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hemg- take out | hemeg- | heme ${ }^{\text {emg- }}$ |
| ts!a-im-hide | ts!ayam- | ts!aya-im- |
| masg-put | mats!ag- | mats! $\bar{a}^{a}$ sg- |
| yawi- talk | yawa-i- | yāwa-iy- |
| baxm- come | baxam- | baxă ${ }^{\text {a }}$ xm- |

## § 30. Reduplication

Reduplication is used in Takelma as a grammatical process with surprising frequency, probably as frequently as in the Salish languages. The most interesting point in connection with it is probably the fact that the reduplicating increment follows the base, never, as in most languages (Salish, Kwakiutl, Indo-Germanic), precedes it. It is, like the infixation spoken of above, employed partly in the formation of the aorist, partly to express frequentative or usitative action. Some nouns show reduplicated stems, though, as a process, reduplication is not nearly as important in the noun as in the verb. Some verbs, including a number that do not seem to imply a necessary repetitive action, are apparently never found in unreduplicated form. Four main types of reduplication, with various subtypes, oceur:

1. A partial reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the vowel and final consonant of the stem:
aorist helel- (from he el-sing)
aorist t!omom- (from $d \dot{\bar{o}}^{u} m$ - kill)
The reduplicated vowel is lengthened in certain forms, e. g., hele el-, $t!o m \bar{o}^{u} m$.
$1 a$. A subtype of 1 is illustrated by such forms as exhibit an unreduplicated consonant after the reduplicated portion of the word, the second vowel in such cases being generally long
aorist $t s^{\prime}!\ddot{u} m \ddot{u}^{u} m t^{\circ} a$ - (from $s \cdot \ddot{u} m t^{\bullet} a$ - boil)
usitative aorist $t!\ddot{u} l \ddot{u} \ddot{u} l g$ - (from verb stem $t!\ddot{u} \bar{u} l g$-, aorist $t!\ddot{u} l \ddot{u} g$ follow trail)
usitative aorist $g_{i n i}{ }^{i} n g$ - (from verb stem ging-, aorist ginig- go to; ging-, ginig-itself is probably reduplicated from gin-)
2. A complete reduplication, consisting of the repetition of the entire base with a change of the stem-vowel to $a$ :
aorist t!èut!au- (from t!èu-play shinny)
aorist bot'bad- (from $b \bar{o}^{u} d$ - pull out one's hair)
aorist $b \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$sal- xo(x)xag come to a stand (pl.) ; aorist sal-xog- $\bar{\imath}^{i_{-}}$ stand (pl.)
3. A complete reduplication, as in 2 , with the addition of a connecting vowel repeated from the vowel of the stem:
aorist yuluyal- (cf. verb stem yulyal- rub)
aorist frequentative hogohag- keep running (from $h \bar{o}^{u} g$ - run)
aorist frequentative $s$ wilis wal- tear to pieces; verb stem $s$ wil$s \cdot w a l$ - (from aorist $s \cdot w^{-i} l s \cdot w a l-$ tear; verb stem $s \cdot w^{-i} l-$ )
If the stem ends in a fortis consonant, the reduplicating syllable regularly shows the corresponding media (or aspirated tenuis):
sgot!osgad-cut to pieces (from verb stem $s g \bar{o}^{u} t!-$, aorist $s g \bar{o}^{u} d$-cut)
$3 a$. A subgroup of 3 is formed by some verbs that leave out the $-a-$ of the reduplicating syllable:
```
gwidik'wd-throw (base gwid-)
```

4. An irregular reduplication, consisting of a repetition of the vowel of the stem followed by $-\left(^{(\varepsilon}\right) a-+$ the last and first (or third) consonants of the stem in that order:
frequentative aorist t!omoamd-, as though instead of *t!omo-t!am-; cf. non-aorist do ${ }^{u} m d a m$ - (from aorist t!omom- kill)
frequentative aorist $k!e m e^{\varepsilon} a m g$ - (from $k!e m e-n$ - make; verb stem k!em-n-)
frequentative aorist $p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} a u g-$, as though instead of $* p!\bar{u} w \bar{u} p!a u g-$ (from aorist $p!\bar{u} w u \bar{u}!$ - name)
It will be noticed that verbs of this type of reduplication all begin with fortis consonants. The glottal catch is best considered a partial representative of the initial fortis; in cases like $k!e m e^{\varepsilon} a m g$ - an original
$-k!a m$ (i. e., ${ }^{-\varepsilon} g a m$ ) may be conceived of as undergoing partial metathesis to ${ }^{-\varepsilon}$ amg.

Other rarer reduplications or stem-amplifications occur, and will be treated in speaking of aorist formations and frequentatives.

## § 31. Vowel-Ablaut

Vowel-ablaut consists of the palatalization of non-palatal stemvowels in certain forms. Only $o$ and $a$ (with corresponding long vowels and diphthongs) are affected; they become respectively $\ddot{u}(\bar{u})$ and $e$. In sharp contradistinction to the $i$ - umlaut of an original $a$ to $i$, this ablaut affects only the radical portion of the word, and thus serves as a further criterion to identify the stem. Thus we have we ${ }^{e} g a^{\prime} s i$ he brought it to me (from stem $w \bar{a}^{a} g$-, as shown also by $w \bar{a}^{a} g-i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I brought it to him), but wege'sink' he will bring it to me (from stem waga-, as shown also by waga$w i^{\prime} n$ I'll bring it to him), both $i$ - umbaut and stem-ablaut serving in these cases to help analyze out the stems. Vowel-ablaut occurs in the following cases:

1. Whenever the object of the transitive verb or subject of the passive is the first person singular:
mele' $x i$ he told it to me 172.17, but mala' $x b i^{s} n$ I told it to you (162.6)
nege's $\cdot i$ he said to me 186.22 , but naga'sam he said to us (178.12) düuxina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I shall be slain (192.11), but dõmxbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ you will be slain (178.15)
gel-lūh $\bar{u} i g w a \prime s i$ he avenges me, but-lohoigwa' $n$ I avengehim(148.3)
Not infrequently vowel-ablaut in such cases is directly responsible for the existence of homonyms, as in yeweyagwa'si he talks about me (from yaway-talk), and yeweyagwa'si he returns with me (from yewei-return).
2. With the passive participial endings $-a k^{*} w,-i k^{*} w$ : waseegi $k^{\circ}{ }^{w}$ wherewith it is shot (from $s \bar{a}^{a} g$-shoot) $m e^{\prime} x a k^{\cdot w}$ having father (from $m a^{\prime} x a$ his father) $w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-d \bar{u} x i k^{*} w d e k^{*}$ my gathered ones ( $=$ I have been gathering them) (from $d \bar{o}^{u} x$ - gather)
$d a l^{\varepsilon}-w a-p^{\top} \overline{u ̈}^{\prime} t!i k^{\circ}{ }^{w}$ mixed with (from $p^{\circ} \bar{o} t!-\mathrm{mix}$ ) 178.5
3. In some verbs that have the peculiar intransitive-forming suffix $-x-$, by no means in all:
geyewa'lxde $e^{\varepsilon}$ I eat (136.15) (cf. gayawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n I$ eat it 30.11)
le eba' $n x$ he carries 178.6 (stem la $\bar{a}^{a} b$-)
did $\bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime \epsilon \varepsilon} h^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a g-a m s(=-a m t x)$ they had their hair tied on sides of head (from base $t^{*} b \bar{a}^{a} g$.) 142.17; cf. -t ${ }^{\star} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I tie his hair (27.1)

No satisfactory reason can be given why most verbs in $-x$ - do not show this stem-palatalization. It is quite possible that its occurrence is confined to a restricted number of such verbs; at any rate, there is some limitation in its employment, which the material at hand has not been found extensive enough to define.
4. In nouns ending in $-x-a p^{\circ}\left(-s-a p^{\circ}=-t-x-a p^{\circ}\right)$, probably derived from such verbs in $-x$ - as were referred to under 3 :
${ }^{c} \bar{a}^{a} l e^{\prime}$ sap ${ }^{\prime}$ belt (cf. $x \bar{a}^{a} l \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put it about my waist)

5. In verbs provided with the suffix -xa-, which serves to relieve transitive verbs of the necessity of expressing the object:

$\bar{\imath} l \bar{u}^{\prime} p x a g w a n k{ }^{`}$ she shall pound with (stone pestle) (cf. lobo'p' she pounds them)
$k!c d e i x a d e^{\varepsilon} I$ was out picking (cf. $k!a d \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ I pick them, $k!a d a ̄ \bar{\imath}$ he picks them)
ts!eye'mxades $I$ hide things (cf. ts!ayama's $n$ I hide it)
6. In reflexive verbs ending in -gwi- or $-k w a-(-g w a-)$ :
$k!\tau t^{\prime}!w^{-i} p^{\prime}$ pick them for yourself! (stem $k!\bar{a}^{a} d-$ )
alts!eyëk'wit' he washed himself with it (cf. alts!ayãp' he washed his own face)
ilets!ëk' wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I touch myself (cf. ilats!agis ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touch him)
$k!e d e ̀ i k \prime w a^{s} n$ I pick them for myself (aorist stem $k!a d a \bar{a} i-$ )
aln $\bar{u}^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ wa he painted his own face (stem $n \bar{o}^{u} g w$-)
Yet many, perhaps most, reflexive verbs fail to show the palatal ablaut:
p!a!ãnl:wit he bathed himself
$t^{*} g w \bar{a}^{a} x a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} g w i d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall tattoo myself (but $\bar{u}^{\prime u}$ gwant'gwide ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ trap deer for myself)
$x \bar{a}^{a}-\sin ^{-\quad u} \dot{t}^{*}!$ fwide $e^{\varepsilon}$ I cut myself
i! $a x a y a^{\prime} x y w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I scratch myself
We have here the same difficulty as in 3. Evidently some factor or factors enter into the use of the ablaut that it has not been found possible to determine.
7. Other cases undoubtedly occur, but there are not enough of them in the material gathered to allow of the setting up of further groups. All that can be done with those cases that do not fall
within the first six groups is to list them as miscellaneous cases. Such are:
gwel-leisde ${ }^{e}$ I shall be lame (cf. gwel-la'is k!emna'n I shall make him lame
$l e^{e} p s i^{`}$ wing (if derived, as seems probable, from stem lãab-carry)
t!emeya'nwia ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$ people go along to see her married 178.1 (cf. t!amayana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I take her somewheres to get her married [148.5])
Palatal ablaut, it should be noted, does not affect the $-a$ - of the second member of reduplicated verbs:
$t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a} l t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} l$ it bounced from her 140.8
$t^{\prime} g e^{e} l t g^{\prime} a^{\prime} l s i$ it bounced from me
The connecting vowel, however, of verbs reduplicated according to the third type always follows the stem-vowel:
$d a F^{\prime} d a-h e l e^{\prime} h a l x a d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am accustomed to answer (stem $-h \bar{a}^{a} l$-)
It is difficult to find a very tangible psychic connection between the various cases that require the use of the palatal ablaut, nor is there the slightest indication that a phonetic cause lies at the bottom of the phenomenon. If we disregard the first group of cases, we shall find that they have this in common, they are all or nearly all intransitives derived from transitives by means of certain voice-forming elements ( $-x-,-x a-,-g w i-,-k w a-$ ), or else nominal passives or derivatives of such intransitives $\left(-a k^{\bullet},-x-a p^{\circ}\right) ;-k^{\prime} w a-$, it is true, takes transitive pronominal forms; but it is logically intransitive in character in that it indicates action in reference to something belonging to the subject. The only trait that can be found in common to the first group and the remaining is that the action may be looked upon as self-centered; just as, e. g., a form in -xa-denotes that the (logically) transitive action is not conceived of as directed toward some definite outside object, but is held within the sphere of the person of central interest (the subject), so, also, in a form with incorporated first person singular object, the action may be readily conceived of as taking place within the sphere of the person of central interest from the point of view of the speaker. No difficulty will be found in making this interpretation fit the other cases, though it is not conversely true that all forms implying self-centered action undergo palatalization. The explanation offered may be considered too vague to be convincing; but no better can be offered. In any cvent, the palatal ablaut will be explained as the symbolic expression of some general mental attitude rather than of a clear-cut grammatical concept.

Besides these regular interchanges of non-palatal and palatalized vowels, there are a number of cases of words showing differing vowels, but whose genetic relationship seems evident. These vocalic variations have not been brought into the form of a rule; the number of examples is small and the process apparently touches rather the lexical material than the morphology. Variations of this character between $a$ and $e$ are:
gala-b-a' $n$ I twist it; $p!i^{i}-w a-g e l e-g-i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I drill for fire with it (88.12), dīisal-gelegal-a'mdasn I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2)
$d \bar{a}^{a}-d a l a-q-a^{\prime} m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I pierce his ear (22.1); $d \bar{a}^{a}-d$ ele $-b-i^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I stick it through his ear
la" excrement 122.2; le ${ }^{\prime}-k^{\prime} w-a n-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my anus
Variations between $o(u)$ and $\ddot{i}$ are:
$s^{\circ} \mathrm{omoda} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I boil it (58.10); ts'!üm $\tilde{\mathrm{u}} m t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ boil it (170.17)
xuma' food 54.4 ; xümü' $k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I am sated (130.18)
An $a-\ddot{u}$ variation is seen in:
hau-hana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}}$ it stopped (raining) 196.8; p!ai-hun $\overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime \mu \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}}$ he shrank 33.16

Variations between $a$ and $i$ are:
yawaitt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I talk (132.3); yiwiya'ut $e^{\varepsilon}$ I keep talking, I converse (194.5) ; yiwin talking, (power of) speech 138.4
laba' $n$ I shall carry it (124.5) ; libin news (what is carried about from mouth to mouth[?]) 194.9
Of $o(u)-e$ variations there have been found:
lohout $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ die 184.18; leheĩt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ drift dead ashore (75.5)
$x \bar{a}^{a}-h \mathrm{uk}$ ! $\mathrm{u}^{\prime} h a k^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I breathe; $x \bar{a}^{a}-h \mathrm{ege} \mathrm{e}^{\prime} h a k{ }^{*} n a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ breathe (79.2) $t!{ }^{\prime} \cdot \bar{o}^{\prime \mu}$ little 180.20 ; al-t! $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{s}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{2} t^{2}$ little-eyed 94.3
An $e-i$ variation is found in the probably related:
$p!\mathrm{e} y \mathrm{e} n t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ lie 71.5 (future $\left.p!\grave{e ́}^{\prime} t^{t} e^{e}[146.9]\right)$; gwen-p!iyi'nk'was I lie on pillow (future gwen-p!ikiwan)
$t^{\prime} g e^{e} y a^{\prime} 7 x$ it rolls; $a^{\prime} l-t^{\prime} g \mathrm{i}^{i} y a^{\prime} 7 x$ tears rolled from (his) eyes 138.25

## §3:. Consomant-Ablaut

Consonant-ablaut, ordinarily a rare method of word-formation, plays a rather important part in the tense-formation (aorist and nonaorist) of many verbs. The variation is in every case one between fortis and non-fortis; i. e., between $p!, t!, k!, t s!$, and $b, d, g, s$, respectively. Three main types of grammatical consonant change are to be recognized:

1. An initial fortis in the aorist as opposed to an initial media in non-aorist forms:
aorist k!olol- (stem $g \bar{o}^{u l}$ - dig)
aorist t!ebe- (stem de ${ }^{e} b$ - arise)
aorist t!ayag-(stem $d \bar{a}^{a} g$ - find)
2. A medial fortis followed by a vowel in the aorist as opposed to a medial tenuis followed by a consonant in non-aorist forms:
aorist lop!od-(stem lop'd-rain, snow, or hail)
aorist lats!ag-(stem lasg- touch)
3. A medial media in the aorist as opposed to a medial fortis in the remaining forms:
aorist $n \bar{u}^{u} d-$ (stem $n \bar{u}^{u} t!-$ drown)
aorist $w \bar{\imath}^{i} g$ - (stem wīk!- spread)
Needless to say, this consonant-ablaut has absolutely nothing to do with the various mechanical consonant-changes dealt with in the phonology.

A few examples of consonant-ablaut not connected with regular grammatical changes have also been found:
s'omod- boil; ts'!ümüümt*a-boil
hau-gwen-yut!uyad-i- swallow down greedily (like duck or hog) 126.10; hau-gwen-yun $u^{\varepsilon} y a n-i$ - dit.

The second example illustrates an interchange not of fortis and nonfortis (for $n^{\varepsilon}$ is related to $n$ as is $t!$ to $d$ ), but of non-nasal stop and nasal.

## I. The Verb (§§33-83)

## §3.3. Introductor!

The verb is by far the most important part of the Takelma sentence, and as such it will be treated before the independent pronoun, noun, or adjective. A general idea of the make-up of the typieal verb-form will have been gained from the general remarks on morphology; nevertheless the following formula will be found useful by way of restatement:

Loosely attached prefixes + verb-stem (or aorist stem derived from verb-stem) + derivational suffixes + formal elements (chiefly pronominal) + syntactic element.

This skeleton will at the same time serve to suggest an order of treatment of the various factors entering into verb morphology.

Before taking up the purely formal or relational elements, it seems best to get an idea of the main body or core of the word to which these relational elements are attached. The prefixes, though not entering into the vital grammatical structure of the verb, are important for the part they play in giving the whole verb-form its exact material content. They may, therefore, with advantage be taken up first.

## 1. Verbal Prefixes (\$ § 34-38)

## § 34. GENERAL REMARKS

Verbal prefixes may be classified into four groups when regard is mainly had to their function as determined largely by position with respect to other prefixes: incorporated objects, adverbial (including local) elements, incorporated instrumentals, and connective and modal particles. These various prefixes are simply strung along as particles in the same order in which they have been listed. Inasmuch as the exact function of a prefix is to a considerable extent determined by its position, it follows that the same prefix, phonetically speaking, may appear with slightly variant meanings according as it is to be interpreted as an object, local element, or instrument. Thus the prefix $\bar{\imath}$ - always has reference to the hand or to both hands; but the exact nature of the reference depends partly on the form of the verb and partly on the position of the prefix itself, so that $\bar{i}$-may be translated, according to the circumstances of the case, as hand (s):
$\bar{i}-p!\bar{i}^{i}-n \bar{\sigma}^{-} u k^{\prime}$ wa $n \mathrm{I}$ warm my hands
with the hand:
$\bar{i}^{\varepsilon} \bar{o}^{u} d i n i^{\prime s} n$ I hunt for it with the hand (= I am feeling around for it)

IN THE LIAND:
p'im-ì-h $\bar{o}^{u}{ }^{\prime}$ wagwa $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I run with salmon in my hand
In the first of these three examples the $\bar{\imath}$ - as object precedes the incorporated instrumental $p!\bar{i}^{i}$ Fire, so that the form means literally 1 warm my hands witif fire. In the third form the $\bar{\imath}$ as local element follows the incorporated object pim salmon. Such a triplicate use is found only in the case of incorporated nouns, particularly such as refer to parts of the body. These incorporated elements are to be kept distinct from certain other elements that are used in an
adverbial sense only, and regularly occupy the second position. The line between these two sets of prefixes is, however, difficult to draw when it comes to considering the place to be assigned to some of the prefixed elements. It is doubtful whether we are fully justified in making absolutely strict distinctions between the various uses of the body-part prefixes; at any rate, it is certainly preferable, from a native point of view, to translate the three examples of $\bar{\imath}$ - incorporation given above as:

I-hand-fire-warm(-as-regards-myself)
-I-hand-hunt-for-it
I-salmon-hand-run-with
leaving in each case the exact delimitation in meaning of the element hand to be gathered from the general nature of the form. The following examples will render the matter of position and function of the various prefixes somewhat clearer:

| Object. | Locative adverb. | Instrument. | Modal. | Verb proper. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $b \bar{e} m$-sticks | wa-together <br> heee- away | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{i}$ - hand | yara-continuously | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t!oro'工ín I gather (them) } \\ & \text { gather sticks together) } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | wa-with it |  | wäagiwi'n she is bought ( $=$ she is brought with it) 176.17 |
| gwãn-road <br> dan-rocks | $h a-$ in |  |  | $t$ !ülüuilga'є $n$ I follow (it) ( $=\mathrm{I}$ keep following the trail) |
|  | $b a^{a}-u p$ | ei-hand |  | sget $!e^{\prime}$ sgidi:n I lifted (them) ( $=\mathrm{I}$ lifted up the rocks) |
|  | han-across | waya-knife |  | swilswa'thi he tore him ( $=$ he tore himopen with a knife)73.3 |
|  | dak'- above | da-mouth | wala'e $\sin a-\mathrm{trul} y$ | $h \bar{a} a \mid i^{\prime} n d a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I} \text { answering him (=I }$ did answer him) |
|  | xa- between. In two | $i$ - hand | $m \bar{z}^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ wa- probably | sgīibien I cut him (=I'll probably cut him through) 31.13 |

If two adverbial (local) elements are used, the body-part prefix follows that which is primarily adverbial in character; thus:
$b a-i d e^{\prime \varepsilon} d i d i^{\prime} n i k!a t^{\prime}$ did you stretch it out? ( $=b a-i$-out $+d e-$ lip, in front $+d i$ interrogative particle $+d i^{\prime} n i k!a t$ ' you stretched it)
In general it may be said that instances of a body-part prefix preceding a primarily adverbial element (like $b a-i-, b \bar{a}^{a}-, h e^{e \varepsilon}$-, and others) are rare or entirely lacking.

From what has been said it might seem that the connective and modal elements (like yaxa, $m \bar{i}^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{w a}$, and $d i$ ) are more closely associated with the verb form than are the other elements, yet this is only apparently the case. Properly speaking all these modal elements are post-positives that normally attach themselves to the first word of
the sentence, no matter what part the word plays in the sentence. Thus in a form like $m e^{\prime \varepsilon}$-di-giniga ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ did you come ? ( $=m e^{\varepsilon}$ - HITHER + $d i$ - interrogative particle + giniga' $t$ ' you went to), the modal (interrogative) element $d i$ regularly stands nearest the verb; but as soon as another word is introduced before the verb, the interrogative particle shoves back a step, and we have a form of sentence like, e. g., hoida ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} s$ $d i m e^{\prime s} g i n i g a ' t{ }^{\prime}$ did you come as singer, i. e., to sing? From this it becomes fairly evident that the $d i$ in the first example is not properly a verbal prefix at all, but merely a post-positive particle depending upon the preceding $m e^{\prime \varepsilon}$, in the same way that, in the second example, it depends upon the noun hoida ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ s singer. This inference is clinched by a form like giniga't $\imath d i$ did you go (somewhere)? for here the $d i$ is evidently an enclitic element, not a prefix.

In sharp contradistinction to such movability, the body-part and adverbial prefixes occupy rigidly fixed positions before the verb; they therefore belong to a class quite distinct from the modal particles. These latter are verbal prefixes only in so far as their postpositive tendency may force them to become embedded in the verb-complex, in which case they seem to cut loose the incorporated object, adverbial prefix, and instrumental element from the verb. Diagrammatically the last form tabulated may be represented by $x a-\bar{i}-\left[m \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} w a\right]-s g \bar{i}^{\prime} i b i^{\xi} n$. We may then dismiss the modal elements from our consideration of verbal prefixes, to return to them when speaking of connective and adverbial particles.

## § 35. INCORPORATED NOUNS

It may seem strange at first sight to interpret in the examples given above such elements as $b \tilde{e} m$ sticks, gwãn ROAD, and $d a$ ' $n$ rocks as incorporated objects, when they occur as absolute nouns in that form as well, though a faint suggestion of incorporation is given by !fwãn-ha-yaxa-t!ülüülga'є $n$ I keep following the trail, in that the modal post-positive yaxa follows not gwãn, but rather ha-, as though the direct object were not quite felt to be an element independent of the verb. Without laying particular stress on this latter point, there are, it would seem, good reasons for considering the nouns referred to as incorporated, though in any event the incorporation must be called a loose one, and not at all comparable with the Iroquois usage.
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1. In the first place it is evident from such examples as $i-p!\bar{\imath}^{i}-$ $n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I warm my hands and han-waya-swilswa'lhi he tore him open with a knife, that nouns (in these cases $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ fire and waya KNIFE) occur as incorporated instrumentals, for such elements as $\bar{\imath}$ and han- can not possibly be isolated from the verb (han- does not occur as independent adverb, but only as prefix; $\bar{i}$ - is inconceivable as independent noun) ; furthermore, if, in the forms just quoted, $p!\imath^{i}$ and waya be looked upon as absolutely independent nouns, they lose all semblance of grammatical form, there being, indecd, nothing but a definite position in a verb-complex that could here suggest the notion of instrumentality. It is also possible to isolate waya, but that would involve considerable readjustment of the verbal structure. To be stamped as an instrumental, waya must in that case be followed by a postposition wa with, so that the sentence then reads, han-swilswa'lhi wa'ya wa' (the phrase wa'ya wa' may also precede).

If we wish to incorporate the instrumental idea into the verb, and yet keep the noun outside of the verb-structure, we may let the wa, which seems properly to denote with IT, occupy the place of the incorporated waya, which, as an appositive of $w a$, then either precedes or follows the verb-form, wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'lhi, or han-wa-swilswa'lhi waya' He-ACROSS-wITH-IT-TORE-HIM (it, i. e.), THE-KNIFE. This construction is identical with the well-known appositional structure of Nahua or Chinook (e.g., i-it-killed the-dog), except that the incorporated element is here instrumental and not objective in character. The noun and its representative can not both be incorporated in the verb, such a form as han-waya-wa-swilswa'lhi, for instance, being quite impossible.

It becomes clear, therefore, that an incorporated instrumental noun like $w a^{\prime} y a$ is quite analogous to an instrumental bodypart prefix like $\bar{i}$ - hand, with the difference that waya may be isolated in that form, while $\bar{\imath}$ must, when isolated, be provided with a possessive pronominal element. The form han-iswilswa'lhi i tore him open with my hand is strictly analogous to han-waya-swilswa'lhi; the sentence $\bar{\imath} u \overline{x d e}{ }^{\prime} k '$ han-wa-swilswa'lhi myHAND I-ACROSS-WITH-IT-TORE-HIM corresponds to wa'ya han-wa-swilswa'lhi; and, finally, han-swilswa'lhi $\bar{\imath} \bar{u} x d e^{\prime} \hat{k}^{*} w a^{\prime}$ I-ACROss-TORE-Him my-hand with (-It) is parallel to han-suilswa'lhi wa'ya wa'. Whatever is true morphologically of $\bar{i}$ - must be true of $w a^{\prime} y a$; the evident
incorporation of $\bar{\imath}$-involves the incorporation of $w a^{\prime} y a$ in the analogous form.

As the incorporation of the noun as an instrument seems a rather important trait of Takelma, a number of further examples may be given:
> $x \bar{a}^{a}-b e^{e}-n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I warm my back in (really $=$ with) the sun (be e sun) ; cf. 188.20
> $h e^{e \varepsilon}-x i-l e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon} k_{i}^{i} i$ he destroyed them with water ( $x i$ water)
> $h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-p!\bar{\imath}^{i}-l e m e^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime} i$ he destroyed them with fire ( $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ fire) 98.12
> $x a-d a n-t^{\prime} g \bar{i}^{i} l t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} l h i$ he broke it with a rock (dan rock) 24.4
> gwen-waya-sgōout ${ }^{\prime} i$ he cut their necks off with his knife (way $\bar{a}^{\prime a} w a^{\prime}$ with his knife, apart from verb-structure) 144.5, 22
> $x \bar{a}^{a}-b e^{e} m-k!w \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\dagger} k!w i d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I broke it with a stick ( $b e^{e} m$ stick)
> $d \bar{a}^{a}-h e^{\ell} l-y e b e b i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I sing for him, literally, I engage (?) his ears with song (heel song; al-yebeb-i- show to)
> $d \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u}$ gal-lewe ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} l i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I shake my ears with twisted shells (attached to them) ( $t^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u} g a l$ twisted shell) 122.1
> $d \bar{\imath}^{i}-k^{\prime} a l-p^{\prime} i l i^{\prime} p^{i} i l i^{\varepsilon} n$ I squash them with my penis ( $k^{\prime} a l$ penis) 73.14
> de-ye't'-baxamagwana' $k^{\prime}$ we came crying, literally, we came having (our) mouths with tears (yet tears)
> yap!a-dauy $\bar{a}^{a}$-ts!aya' $k ' i$ he shot people with his shaman's spirit (dauy $\bar{a}^{\prime} a{ }^{\prime}{ }^{`} w d a$ his shaman-spirit, apart from verb-structure); cf. 164.14

All these, except the last, begin with elements ( $x \bar{a}^{a_{-}}, h e^{e \varepsilon_{-}}, g w e n-, d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$, $\left.d \bar{i}^{i}-, d e\right)$ that can not be isolated from the verb.

Instrumentals, whether nouns or body-part prefixes, can occur only in transitive verbs. The forms noxwa yana-wa-lobobi' $n$ I POUND ACORNS WITH A PESTLE and noxwa'-i-loboxagwa' $n$ I POUND with a pestle, as compared with $l \bar{o} b \bar{o}^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I pound, will serve to illustrate this. The first sentence reads, when literally translated, Pestle (noxwa') i-ACORNS (yana') -with-it-pound. The logical instrument (noxwa') stands outside the verb-complex and is in apposition with its incorporated instrumental representative ( $w a-$ ), yana' being the direct (incorporated) object. The form lobo'xades I pound is made intransitive by the element -xa- (hence the change in pronominal form from transitive $-{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ to intransitive $-d e^{\varepsilon}$ ), and allows of no instrumental modification; a form like $\bar{i}-l o b o^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ could hardly mean i pound with the hand; at most it could signify i pound in the hand. If we wish, however, to express the logical instrument in some manner, and yet neglect to specify the object, we must get around the difficulty by making a secondary transitive of
the intransitive in $-x a-$. This is done by the suffixed element $-g w-$ having, attended by. The grammatical object of a transitive verb in $-g w$ - is never the logical object of the action, but always dependent upon the comitative idea introduced by this suffix. Hence the second form is not provided with a true instrumental (with a pestle), but takes the logical instrument (noxwa') as a direct object, while the $i$ - is best rendered by in the hand; to translate literally, the form really means i pound having a pestle in the hand.

It sometimes happens that a verb form has two instrumentals, one, generally $i$ - with the hand, expressing indefinite or remote instrumentality, the second, a noun or demonstrative, expressing the actual instrument by means of which the action is accomplished. In such cases the second instrument is expressed outside of the verbcomplex, but may be represented in the verb by the incorporated wa with it following the first instrumental element ( $\bar{\imath}-$ ). Examples of such double instrumentals are:
gwalt $b \bar{a}^{a_{-} \varepsilon} \bar{\imath}-w a-x \bar{o}^{\prime} u t^{\circ} i$ wind he-up-hand-with-it-caused-them-tofall, i. e., he caused them to fall by means of a wind (that he made go up) 168.2
$g a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i}$-wa-molo ${ }^{\varepsilon} m a^{\prime} l h i$ that she-hand-with-it-stirs-it-up, i. e., she stirs it up with that (incidentally, of course, she uses her hand too) 170.16
dan (object) k!ama (instr.) p!ai- ${ }^{\varepsilon}-w a-s g \bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{\prime}$ sgigi ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ rocks tongs down-hand-with-it-pick-up, i. e., I pick up the rocks with the tongs (and put them) down
2. The noun as instrument has been shown to act in a manner entirely analogous to the instrumental body-part prefix. The latter can, without phonetic change, become the direct object of the verb by occupying the proper position:
$s \cdot i n-\bar{i}-l a t s!a g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his nose with my hand ( $s$ in- nose) but, theoretically at least,
$\bar{i}-s \cdot i n-l a t s!a g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his hand with my nose
If we bear in mind that such elements as $s \cdot i n$ - and $\bar{\imath}$ - are really nothing but nouns in their stem form (with possessive pronoun: sin-i-x- $d a$ his nose; $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}-\bar{u}-x-d a$ his hand), the parallelism with such nounobjects as $b \tilde{e} m$ and $g w a \tilde{n}$ (see examples on p.65) becomes complete. The fact that they may occur independently, while $s \cdot i n$ - and $\bar{i}$ never do, is really irrelevant to the argument, as a body-part noun must necessarily be associated with some definite person. Entirely
analogous to the nominal elements $-\bar{i}^{i}-x$ - and $-\bar{u}-x-$ of $s \cdot i n i x d a$ and $\bar{i}^{\prime} \bar{u} x d a$ is, e. g., the -am- of $g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my road. Just as they drop off when the body-part nouns are incorporated, whether as object or instrument, into the verb, so, also, the -am- of $g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a m$ ( $=g w \bar{a}^{a} n$-an-) drops off when the noun is used without pronominal or prepositional modification. That the -am-has nothing per se to do with the pronominal affix, but is really a noun-forming element added to the stem, is proven by forms like $h a-g w \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$ in the road. Thus:
object $b \tilde{e} m$, in $b \tilde{e} m-w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-t!o x o^{\prime} x i^{\varepsilon} n$ I gather sticks, is related to object $s \cdot i n-$, in $s \cdot i n-i$-lats!agi' $n$ I touch his nose, as
instrument $b \bar{e} m$, in $x \bar{a}^{a}-b e^{e} m-k!w \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} k!w i d \dot{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} n$ I broke it with a stick, to
instrument $s \cdot i n$-, in $s \cdot i n-t$ tayagi ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ find it with my nose ( $=\mathrm{I}$ smell it)
In view of the complete parallelism of noun and body-part clement and the transparent incorporation of the noun as instrument, nothing remains but to look upon the simple noun without pronominal affixes, when placed immediately before the local and instrumental prefixes of the verb, as itself a loosely incorporated object. Examples of noun-objects in such form and position are to be found in great number; in fact, the regularity with which the object is put before the verb, as contrasted with the freely movable subject, argues further for the close relation of the noun-object to the verb.

A few further examples of incorporated noun-objects are given by way of illustration:
he el-gel-gulugwa'en I desire to sing (literally, I-song-breast-desire; heel song)
he el-yununa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I sing a song (106.7)
wili-wa-i-t! ! $a^{\prime} n i d a^{\varepsilon}$ you shall keep house (literally, you-house-together-hand-will-hold; wili house) 28.13
abai $x u m a-k!e m n a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ cook (literally, in-the-house food-maker; xuma food) 54.3
wai-s•ügü's $\quad \ddot{x} g q w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I am sleepy (literally, I-sleep-am-confused ?having; wai sleep)
$p!\bar{i}^{i}-d a-t!a g \bar{a} \bar{\imath}$ he built a fire ( $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ fire) 96.17
$p!\grave{\imath}^{i}-b \bar{a}^{a}-y \tilde{a} n k^{*}$ he picked up the fire (literally, he-fire-up-wenthaving) 96.25
$x^{-\varepsilon} \bar{u} g w a^{\prime} n k$ ' he will drink water ( $x i$ water) 162.17
$s \cdot i x$-ligi' $k^{\prime}$ w he brought home venison ( $s \cdot \tau x$ venison) 134.4

In none of these would the placing of the object after the verbform be at all idiomatic; in some (as in he el-gel-gulugwa's $n$ and wai$s \times u ̈ g \ddot{u}^{\prime} s \cdot u ̈ x g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) it would be quite inconceivable. The incorporation must be considered particularly strong in those cases in which the object is what might be called a root-noun identical in form with a verb-stem of corresponding significance:
wai ${ }^{1}$ sleep, to sleep
heel-song, to sing
seel-black paint, to paint
likewise where the object gives special color to the verb, determining the concrete significance of the form, as in xuma-k!emna ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{s}$ and wili-wa-i-t! $!a^{\prime} n i d a^{\varepsilon}$.
3. Besides being used as instrumentals and direct objects, a few incorporated nouns are found employed in set phrases, apparently as subjects. Such are:
$b \bar{a}^{\alpha}-b e^{e}-k!i y \bar{\imath}^{i} k^{*} d a^{\varepsilon}$ forenoon (literally, up-sun-going, or when-itgoes) ( $b \bar{a}^{a}$ - is never used as independent adverb, so that $b e^{e}$ sun must here be considered part of the verb-complex)
$n \bar{o}^{u}-b e^{e}-k!i y \bar{t}^{i} k^{k} d a^{\varepsilon}$ afternoon (literally, down-river [i. e., west]-sun-going)
mot ${ }^{-}-w \bar{o}^{-} \not k^{\prime}$ as son-in-law he visits wife's parents ( $=$ mot ${ }^{\prime}$ - son-inlaw $+w_{\bar{o}}{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$, probably identical with wôk he arrived) 17.13 , in which mot- must be considered an integral part of the verb, because unprovided with pronominal affix (ef. $m o^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ his son-in-law), and, further, because the whole form may be accompanied by a non-incorporated subject (e. g., bo'mxi mot wó $\boldsymbol{o}^{\circ}$ Otter visited his wife's parents, literally, something like: Otter son-in-law-arrived)
4. Several verb-forms seem to show an incorporated noun froming a local phrase with an immediately preceding local prefix; in such cases the whole phrase must be considered an incorporated unit, its lack of independence being evidenced either by the fact that it is itself preceded by a non-independent verbal prefix, or else differs in phonetic form from the corresponding independent local phrase. Examples are:
$d \bar{a} \bar{a}^{a}$-ts $!$ ele $i$-sgalawis $i^{\prime \prime}$ I looked at them out of the corners of my eyes (literally, I-alongside-cye-looked-at-them) ${ }^{2}$; ef. d $\bar{a}^{a}-t s!_{e}$ leidẽ alongside my eyes

[^10]$h a-t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}-g w i d i{ }^{\prime} k^{*}$ he threw it into the open (literally, he-in-earth-threw-it); cf. $h a-t^{\prime} y \bar{a} \bar{u}$ in the earth
$b a-i-d a k^{\prime}-w i l i-t!\bar{a}^{a} d i^{\prime s} n$ I ran out of the house (ba-i- out, adverbial prefix $+d a k^{-}$- on top of + wili house) 24.13 ; cf. $d a k^{*}$-wili on top of the house
$h a-y a u-t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} n e t s!a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put it about my waist (literally, I-in [under?]-rib-put-it-about) ; cf. ha-yawade inside my ribs
Such verbs with incorporated local phrases are naturally not to be confused with cases in which a local prefix is followed by an incorporated (instrumental) noun with which it is not, however, directly connected. Thus the $h a$ - of $h a-\operatorname{tg} \bar{a}^{a}-g w i d i k^{\circ} w$ is not directly comparable to the ha- of a form like:
$h a t-p!i^{i}-t s!\ddot{u}^{\prime} l \ddot{u} k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I set it on fire ( $p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ with fire) 73.9
Here ha- $p!\imath^{i}$ - cannot be rendered in the fire.
Some verb-forms show an evidently incorporated noun that has so thoroughly amalgamated with the stem that it is difficult to make out its exact share in the building up of the material content of the verb. For example:
$s \cdot o m l o h o y a^{\prime} l d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I doctor him as $s \cdot o m l o h o^{\prime} l x a^{\varepsilon} s$
doubtless contains the incorporated noun $s \cdot o m$ mountain; but the implied allusion is not at all evident, except in so far as the protecting spirits of the $s$ omloho ${ }^{\prime} l x a^{s} s$ are largely mountain-spirits. The verb itself is probably a derivative of the verb-stem loho- die (aorist lohoi-).

## § 36. BODY-PART PREFIXES

Having disposed of the modal prefixes, which on analysis turned out to be verbal prefixes only in appearance, and of incorporated nouns, which one would hardly be inclined to term prefixes in the narrower sense of the term, there remain for our consideration two important sets of genuine prefixes, body-part elements and adverbial, chiefly local, prefixes. The former will be taken up first. By "bodypart prefix" is not meant any body-part noun in its incorporated form (many of these, such as ts!elei- eye, t!iba- pancreas, not differing morphologically from ordinary incorporated nouns), but only certain etymologically important monosyllabic elements that are used to indicate in a more general way what body-part is concerned in a particular action, and which may be regarded as in some degree verbal classifiers. With the exception of $\bar{i}$ - hand and $s \cdot i n$ - nose, classed with the rest
because of their very extended use, they differ fundamentally from other body-part nouns in that they have, besides their literal, also a more formal, local value; in this capacity they are regularly employed, also, as the first element of noun and pronoun iocal phrases, and, some of them, as the second element of local postpositions. In the following list the second column gives the literal body-part significance; the third, the generalized local meaning; the fourth, the corresponding independent noun (in a few cases, it will be observed, there is no such corresponding noun); and the fifth column, an example of a local phrase:

| Prefix. | Body. | Local. | Noun. | Phrase. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| dak'- $\int d a-, d \epsilon-$ | head mouth, lips | over, above | $d a^{\prime} g$-ar-dek' my head $d \tilde{e} r-d e k^{\prime}$ | dak゙-will over the house |
| $\int d \epsilon$ - |  | in front |  | $d \bar{c} t^{\prime}$ gwa in tront of himself |
| d $\bar{a}^{\text {a }}$ | ear | alongside | dāa-n-x-de ${ }^{\text {k }}$ | dōa-gela' $m$ along the river |
| s'in- | nose |  | s ${ }^{\text {in-in-i }-x-d e ' k ' ~}$ |  |
| gwen- | neck, nape | in back, behind | [bo'k' dan-x-de'k'] | gwen-l'gāu on east side of the land |
| i- | hand |  | $\bar{i}-\bar{u}-r-d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ |  |
| rāa- | back, waist | between, in two | $x \bar{a} a-h a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | xãa gwelde between my legs |
| dīi. | back | on top of |  | $d \stackrel{\imath}{i-i} \bar{u} d \tilde{e}$ over my hand |
| gel- | breast | facing | $g e l-x-d e k^{\prime},\left[b i l g-a n-x-d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right]$ | geldẽ lacing, in front of me |
| $d i^{\varepsilon}$ | anus | in rear | [delg- $\left.a^{\prime} n-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right]$ | $d \tilde{l}_{-}^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} g \bar{a} \bar{u}$ on west side of the land |
| $h a-$ | woman's private parts | in | haü-x-dek: | ha-riya' in the water |
| gwel- | leg | under | gwe l-x-dek* | gwel-xiya' under water |
| la- | belly |  | ?lăa excrement | La-t'gã̃ Uplands ( $=$ ? front of the country) |
| sal- | Ioot | down, below | sal-I-de'k' | or the country) |
| al- | eye, face | to. at | [ $t s^{\prime}$ ! elei- $t^{\prime} k^{*}$ my eye] | $a l-s^{\cdot} \bar{\sigma}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ to the mountain |
| dī $\overline{\text { i }}$ al | forehead ( $=$ above eye) |  | [ $l i^{\prime} u j w-a x-d e k '$ my lace dīisa'l-t' $k^{\prime}$ | dī ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ lda at his forehead |
| gwenha-u- | nape (=neck under) |  | gwenha-u-x-de ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | gu'enha-udẽ at my nape |

The last two are evidently compounded; the first of $d_{i}^{i-}$. Above and al- eye, face, the second of gwen-xeck and probably adverbial prefix ha- $u$ - under. The noun hau- $x$ - woman's private paits may possibly be connected with this prefix ha-u-, though, in view of the fact that ha- appears as the incorporated form of the noun, it seems more probable that the resemblance in form and meaning is accidental. It is possible that other rarer body-part prefixes occur, but those listed are all that have been found.

In not a few cases, where the body-part prefix evidently has neither objective nor instrumental meaning, it may yet be diffieult to see a clearly local idea involved. This is apt to be the case particularly
with many intransitive verbs, in which the share of meaning contributed by the body-part prefix is apparent enough but where the logical (syntactic) relation of its content to that of the verb proper is hardly capable of precise definition. Thus, from yowo ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ не is are formed by means of body-part prefixes:

> al- yow $^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he-eye-is, i. e., he looks 62.6
> $d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$yowo $^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he-ear-is, i. e., he listens, pays attention 96.9
> $b \bar{a}^{a}$-gel- ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yow ${ }^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}$ he-up-breast-is, i. e., he lies belly up 140.5

In these cases it is obviously impossible, yowo- being an intransitive verb not implying activity, to translate $a l-$, $d \bar{a}^{a}-$, and gel- as instrumentals (witil the eye, ear, breast); nor is there any clear idea of location expressed, though such translations as at the eye, ear, breast would perhaps not be too far fetched. In many verbs the body-part prefix has hardly any recognizable meaning, but seems necessary for idiomatic reasons. In a few cases prefixes seem to interchange without perceptible change of meaning, e. g., al- and dak' in:
ald $\bar{e} m x i g a m$ we shall assemble (186.7)
dak'dẽmxia ${ }^{u s} t^{\prime}$ people (indef.) will assemble (136.11)
Where two body-part prefixes oceur in a verb form, they may either both retain their original concrete significance, the first prefix being generally construed as object, the second as instrument (e. g., $s^{*} a l-\varepsilon$ - $-l a t s!a g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I-FOOT-11AND-TOUCH-HIM, i. e., I TOUCH His FOOT WITh my hand); or the first prefix may have its secondary local significance, while the second is instrumental in force (e. $g ., d e-\varepsilon \bar{\imath}-w \bar{u}^{\prime i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I-Front-hand-spread-it, i. e., i spread it out); or both prefixes may have secondary local or indefinite significance (e. g., gwel-ge'l${ }^{\varepsilon} y o w o^{\varepsilon}$ he-leg-breast-is, i. e., he faces away from him); rarely do we find that two body-part prefixes are concrete in significance and absolutely coordinated at the same time (see footnote to 12 below).

To illustrate the various uses of the body-part prefixes it seems preferable to eite examples under each separate prefix rather than to group them under such morphologic headings as objective, instrumental, and local, as by the former method the range of usage taken up by the various prefixes is more clearly demonstrated. The examples are in each case divided into two groups: (a) literal signification (objective, instrumental, or local) and (b) general adverbial (local) signification.

## 1． $\begin{aligned} & \text { ．} \\ & \text { aだー }\end{aligned}$

（a）head，with head，in ilead：
dak＇ts！ayãp $d e^{\varepsilon}$ I washed my head（literally，I washed in my head
dak＇t＇b $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ gamt＇he tied together（their head hair） 27.1
dak＇ilats！agis ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ touched top of his head
dak＇hagā̃t＇e $e^{\varepsilon}$ I felt thrill in my head（as when sudden cold tremor goes through one）
aldak＇s $\bar{a}^{a} m s a$＇$m$ he bumped（with）his head against it 79.7
dak＇k＇iwi＇k＇auk＇wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I brandish it over my head
（b）on top of，AbOVE：
dak＇$t^{*} g u^{\prime} u b a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put rounded scooped－out object（like hat or canoe）on top（of head）（61．9）
dak＇tek！éxade $e^{\varepsilon}$ I smoke（literally，I raise［sc．，tobacco－ smoke］over［one＇s head］）（96．23）
dak＇limimxywat＇it（i．e．，tree）falls on you（108．12）
dak $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I finish it（literally，I bring it on top）（110．17）
wili dak＇$y \bar{a}^{a} n g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I pass house（？literally，I go with house above me）（150．8）
dak＇dah $\bar{a}^{a} l i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ answer $\operatorname{him}(61.6 ; 180.18)$
dak＇t！emẽxik＇we assembled together（ 43.9 ；136．11）
dak＇hene ${ }^{e} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wait for him
The last three or four examples can hardly be said to show a transparent use of $d a k{ }^{\circ}$－．Evidently the meaning of the prefix has become merged in the general verbal content，becoming unrecognizable as such；cf．under in English understand， undergo．

## 2．da－，de－

It seems possible that we have here two distinct prefixes to begin with，da－inside of moutir（cf．（lats！ayãp ne wasined his mouth）and de－lips（cf．de ${ }^{e} t s!a y a ̃ p^{*}$ he washed his lils and noun $d e^{e}-x$－LIPS），from the second of which developed the general local significance of in front；contrast also hada＇t $t^{-}$ gwa in his own moutir with det ${ }^{\prime} g w a$ in front of himself．The strict delimitation of the two，however，is made difficult by the fact that $d a$－，alone in this respect among non－radical verbal elements，undergoes palatal ablaut（thus becoming de－） whenever the stem shows a palatal vowel，whether primary or itself due to ablaut；observe also the stem－change from $d a$－to de－in hada＇t＇gwa 170.2 and hadedẽ in my moutır．These
apparently sccondary $d e$ - prefixes will be listed together with and immediately following the $d a$ - prefixes, while the true, chiefly local, $d e-$, $(d a)$ - prefixes will be put by themselves.
( $a^{1}$ ) da-, (de-) mouth, in mouth, witil mouth, lips, teeth, tongue:
da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ogoini he gave him to eat (lit., he mouth-gave him) (186.25)
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \ddot{u g} \ddot{u}^{\prime} s i$ he gave me to eat 186.2
dat!ay $a^{\prime \text { is }}$ he went to get something to eat 75.9
dada'k'd $\bar{a}^{a} k^{\prime \prime}$ sharpen your teeth! 126.18; 128.23
dats!ala'ts!ilín I chew it
aldat!ele't!ili $n$ I lick it
dalats!agi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I taste it (literally, I mouth-touch it)
aldap $\tilde{o} p^{\prime} i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I blow at it (194.1)
dadama ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ he was out of wind 26.5
dasmayama ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I smile
$h a d a^{\varepsilon} y o w o^{\prime} u d a^{\varepsilon}$ (creek) going into (river) (literally, in- mouthbeing)
dalõ $\tilde{o}^{u}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ he lied (literally, he mouth-played) $110.23 ; 156.14$
delünhixi he lied to me
dayuwo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ s he suddenly stopped (singing, talking) (literally, he mouth-started, as in fright) 138.23
$\left\{d a k{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{dah} \bar{a}^{a} l i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}\right.$ answer $\operatorname{him}(180.18)$
$\{d a k * \operatorname{dehe} l s i$ he answers me
( $a^{2}$ ):
$h e^{e}{ }^{d e l} e^{\prime} l_{e k}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I finished (story, talking) 50.4
delümü'sgade $e^{\varepsilon}$ I tell truth (1S4.3)
dexebena't' you said it (literally, you mouth-did it) $14.10 ; 15.6$
aldets ${ }^{\cdot}!\ddot{u}^{\prime} l u ̈ k!i^{s} n$ I suck it
dedets $!\ddot{u}^{\prime} l u \ddot{k}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I kiss her (first $d e-$ as object, her lips; second $d e-$ as instrument, with my lips)
dehememi's $n$ I taste it (cf. i-hemem-wrestle)
$b a-i d e h e n e n a$ ' $t$ ' you are through eating (literally, you are out-mouth-done) (136.16)
deligia'lda $a^{\varepsilon} n$ fetch it for him to eat (130.9)
deke'yek! $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I left food over
$d a$ - can not stand before $\bar{\imath}$ - hand, because of the palatal timbre of the latter. Examples of $d e^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}-$ :
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} d a^{\prime} m k!i n k^{*}$ it will get choked
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{l} l a t s!a g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n I$ touched his mouth (de- $=d a$ - as object; $\bar{i}$ - as instrument. Contrast above da-lats!agi's $n$ I tasted it, with $d a$ - as instrument)
Similarly other palatal non-radical elements cause a change of $d a$ - to de-:
de-his-gulu-gwa's $n$ I want it in my mouth ( $=\mathrm{I}$ desire to eat [ $\mathrm{his}=$ trying])
(b) de-, (da-) IN FRONT, AHEAD, AT DOOR OF HOUSE:
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} k!a l a^{\prime} k!i l i n(h o u s e)$ was scratched on door 154.1, 2, 3
$\mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau} e^{\prime e} k^{\circ}$ he opened door of house (cf. alse ${ }^{e} k{ }^{2}$ he bowed to him) 63.12
$\mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} p^{\circ} o w o^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\cdot}$ he bent it
$b \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{de}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ yeweya' ${ }^{\bullet} w$ he started traveling again (literally, he up-ahead-went-again-with it) $22.4 ; 24.9 ; 25.6$
dewiliwa'lsi she is fighting me 27.3
dee ${ }^{\text {g widi }} \mathrm{K}^{*}$ w he stuck (threw) it into (fire) 27.8
dekiow'k'auk'was I brandish it before my face (172.12)
gasa'lhi de'hits! $\bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon_{s}}$ fast stepper (literally, quickly aheadstepper)
$b a-i \mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon} d i^{\prime} n i x i a^{u \varepsilon}$ they marched by in regular order (literally, they out-ahead-stretched) 144.14
$\operatorname{de}^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} w \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I spread it out (120.1)
$t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{de}^{\prime} k i k!i y a^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ if the world goes on (literally, world ahead-goes-if) 146.4
damats! $a^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}$ he put it point foremost (into their eyes) 27.8
As in the case of $d a k^{-}$-, so also here, not a few forms occur in which the meaning of the prefix $d a-$, $d e$ - is far from being clearly in evidence:
dat!agã ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I build a fire ( 96.17 )
\{aldatc! $u^{\prime} l \bar{u}^{u \varepsilon} k^{c}$ he caught fire 98.3
\{aldetc! $\ddot{u}^{\prime} l \bar{u}{ }^{u \varepsilon} x i$ I caught fire
degülü'k!alx it glows (142.1); 188.15
aldat $g u y \bar{u}^{\prime i \varepsilon}{ }^{s i}$ (fire) blisters my face (25.11)
de ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} t^{\prime} a^{\prime} m a k!i^{s} n$ I put out the fire
dat $t^{*} a m a^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ the fire goes out
dat!abaga's $n$ I finish it (176.6)
dasgayana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I lie down
As the first seven of these examples show, $d a$-, de- sometimes imply a (probably secondary) reference to fire.
3. $\boldsymbol{d} \bar{a}^{\prime \prime}-$
(a) EAR, Witil Ear (referring to hearing), in EAR, CHEEK, Sides of head:
d $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} t s!a y \tilde{a} p^{*}$ he washed his ear dā ${ }^{\kappa} \bar{\imath} t s^{\prime}!a m a^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ he squeezed his ears dā ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} l a t s!a g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his ear, cheek d $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{a \varepsilon} a g a n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ heard it (55.3; 108.16)
d $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} d \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ am able to hear it (literally, I can ear-find it) (100.12)
dāa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{e}^{e} 7 a g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ listen to him (55.1; 96.2; 146.5)
dāa$t s:!e ̄ m x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I hear big noise 90.21
$a n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ ge $\mathrm{d}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ yowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he did not listen to it (literally, he not there ear-was) 96.9
dāas sgek!eiha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I kept listening (102.3)
dāaychè he went where he heard (noise of people singing or gambling) 106.10.
d $\overline{\mathfrak{a}}{ }^{\mathrm{a}} d e l e^{\prime} p^{\circ} i$ he stuck it across his ear
d $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ dalaga' $m t^{\prime}$ he made holes in his ears
$d \bar{\imath}^{i} \mathrm{~d} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime e s} k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a g a m s$ they had their hair tied on sides of head
( $d \bar{\imath} \bar{\imath}^{i} d \bar{a}^{a}$ - probably as incorporated phrase, over ears) 142.17
dā ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} b o^{\prime} t^{\prime} b i d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I pull out his hair (from side of head) (194.7)
(b) Along, on side:
wi'laù d $\bar{a}^{a} w a t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a m d i n a^{\varepsilon}$ arrows shall be tied along (their length) with it (i. e., sinew) 28.1
4. $\boldsymbol{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{i}$ - NoSE, in nose, wrth nose:
$\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{in}^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {ig }}$ gile ${ }^{\prime}$ sgawa he scratched his own nose $14.11 ; 15.7$
s•int!ayagi' $n$ I smell it (literally, I nose-find it) (160.20)
$\mathrm{s} \cdot$ indalaga' $m t^{\prime}$ he made holes in septum (cf. under $d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$) 22.1
s inlō'uk' $i$ he stuck it into nose
$\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{in} d e^{e} l e^{\prime} p^{\prime} g w a$ he stuck it up into his own nose
s-ingeya' $n$ he turned away his nose
$\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{in} y u w o^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{S}}$ he dodged with his nose (as when Hy lights; ef.
under $d a$-)
$s^{\cdot} \cdot \mathrm{in} t^{t} \bar{u} w u{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ feel warm in my nose
$\mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{in} x i^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{i} \cdot x a n p^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ sniff
s'inwilíik'a $p^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I blow my nose
als $\operatorname{in} l o^{\prime} u x a^{s} n$ they meet each other (24.12)

## 5. (J"eル-

(a) NECK:
gwens $\sigma^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut his neck ( $144.2,3,5,22$ )
gwents!ayaga's $n$ I washed his neck
ha-ugwenyunu ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} y i_{n i}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I swallow it greedily (ef. 126.10)
gwenlo'uk' $i$ he stuck it in his throat (cf. under $s \cdot i n-$ ) 25.4
gwen ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ilats!agis ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched back of his neek
gwenwayanagãnhi he swung his knife over their necks 144.2
(b) BACK, BEHIND:
gwe'n ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alyowos he looked back
gwenyeweit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I went back ( 152.13 ; 188.19)
gwe'nliwila ${ }^{u s}$ he looks back (on his tracks) 59.14; 94.9
gwenkegw $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ gwanki he related it to him 17.11
In gwena-ia ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon_{s}}$ gOOD SINGER, the part played by the prefix is not clear.
6. $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ - HAND, IN HAND, WITH HAND

No body-part prefix, except perhaps al-, is used with such frequency as $i$-, the scrupulousness with which verbs implying action with the hand incorporate it seeming at times almost pedantic. Only a small selection out of the great number of occurrences need here be given:
its!ayãp ${ }^{\prime}$ he washed his hand
ip $p \bar{i}^{i} n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \mathbb{K}^{i} w a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ warm my hands
wila'u ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ihhoyodagwa'є $n$ I dance with arrow in hand
nãx îhele elagwa' $n$ I sing with pipe in hand
$\overline{\mathrm{i}} g \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} n a$ he took it 15.1; 31.8; 44.8; 47.9

igaxagixi ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{n}$ I scratch him
i ig $s \cdot i g i s \cdot i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I tickle him
ihegwe'hak ${ }^{*} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I am working
$x a^{\xi_{1} t s^{\prime}!i w i}{ }^{\prime} t$ he split it open 26.6
îheme'm he wrestled with him 26.11; 27.10,11
iyon $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon k^{\prime}$ he pulled it
ì $g u y u^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ she pushed her 55.14
sele $\bar{e} k^{*}{ }^{\text {w }} \mathrm{i} l \bar{u} \bar{u}^{\prime}$ pragwank $^{\circ}$ she shall pound with acorn pestle 55.9

ìt! $a^{\prime} u t!i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I caught hold of her (29.12; 140.15)

${ }^{2} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \mathrm{T} y u l u^{\prime} y i l i^{\epsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ rub it
it 'gwanye' egit' you enslaved her 16.14
In some cases one does not easily see the necessity for its use:
$w i \tau^{-} i t^{\top} g e^{\prime} y e^{\epsilon} x i$ they are round about me (48.5)
$a l i ̄ w u l \bar{u}^{\prime \prime s} x b i$ he ran away from you
7. $x \bar{a}^{a}-,(x a-)$
(a) BACK, WAIST:
$x \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} t s!a y \tilde{a} p^{*}$ he washed his back
$p!\bar{i}^{i} \overline{x a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ dat guy $\bar{u}^{\prime i}{ }^{\prime}$ sgwa his back got blistered 25.11
xāā ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lats!agi' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his back
$\mathrm{x} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} p!\bar{\imath}^{i} n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \bar{k}^{\prime} w a$ he warmed his back 188.20
xa $\bar{a}^{a} l \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put (belt) about my waist
(b) BETWEEN, in two (in reference to breaking or cutting):
$x \bar{a}^{\text {a }} p!a-i t s!!i u d i^{\prime} n$ I shall split it by throwing (stone) down on it (140.7)
$x \bar{a}^{a} w i s \bar{a}^{a}$ go-between (in settling feuds) 178.11, 13, 18
$\mathrm{x} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \operatorname{seg}^{\prime} u d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut, saw it $(21.2,4)$

x $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} d a n t^{\prime} g \bar{i} \bar{i}^{i} l t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} l h i$ he broke it with rock 24.4 $\mathrm{x} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} t^{\prime} b e^{\prime e \varepsilon} \mathrm{~K}^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime} b a g a m s$ it is all tied together 27.13
$\mathrm{xa}^{\mathrm{a}}$ salt gwe'lt'gwili he broke it by stepping on it $31.4,5$ x $\bar{a}^{a} b e^{e} m k!\tilde{o}^{u} t^{`} k!i d i^{\epsilon} n$ I broke it with stick

In xahege'hak'na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I BREATHE (79.2) and $\mathrm{xahuk}!u^{\prime} h a k^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I breathe, the $x a$ - may refer to the heaving motion up from the waist.
8. $\boldsymbol{1 \imath}^{i}-$
(a) BACK:

The local uses of $x \bar{a}^{a}$ - and $d i^{i}$ - (in middle, between, and above, respectively) would indicate that, in their more literal signification, they refer respectively to the lower back about the waist and the UPPER BACK, though no direct information was obtained of the distinction.
dīits!ayãp ${ }^{\text { }}$ he washed himself in back of body
di ${ }^{i} h \tilde{a} x$ his back is burning
dīit $t^{\star} b \bar{o}^{u} k!a^{\prime} l x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I have warts on my back 102.20
dīi $d \bar{u}^{u} g w a{ }^{\prime} n k^{\text {' }}$ she will wear it (i. e., skirt) 55.9
(b) Above, on top:
di ihe'liya sleeping on board platform 13.2
dìd $\bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g a m t^{\prime} g w i d e^{\varepsilon}$ I tie my hair on sides of my head (see under d $^{a^{-}}$) ( 140.11 ; 142.17)
dī ${ }^{\text {i }}$ algelegala'mda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.2)
dī ${ }^{\varepsilon} u y u^{\prime} t s!a m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I fool him (aorist uyuts!- laugh)
di'hinxo ${ }^{\prime} u{ }^{i}{ }^{i} n$ I scare him
dī $\bar{i}^{\mathrm{i}} m \tilde{a} s$ (earth) is lit up (78.1)
dīihili ${ }^{i} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I am glad 22.2
$d \bar{u}^{i}$ - is used in quite a number of verbs of mashing or squeezing, the primary idea being probably that of pressing down on top of something:
$\mathrm{dī}^{i} p^{\circ} \dot{i l i^{\prime}} p^{\circ} i i^{\epsilon} n$ I squash (yellow-jackets) (74.3); contrast gel-bém- $p^{`} i l i^{\prime} p^{\bullet} i l i^{\varepsilon} n$ I whip him on his breast (literally, I-breast-stick-whip-him) (cf. 76.1, 2, 3)
dīit! $i y i^{i} i^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I mash them
$b a-i d i ̄ q w i b \imath^{\prime} i k ' w a p{ }^{\prime}$ it popped all around 27.14
dīit ${ }^{\prime} g u m u^{\prime} t^{\prime} g i m i^{\epsilon} n$ I squeezed and cracked many insects (such as fleas)
In many cases, as in some of the forms given above, the primary signification of $d \bar{i}^{i}$ - is greatly obscured. It is not at all certain but that we are at times (as in dīeuyu'ts!amda $n$ ) dealing really with the phonetically similar prefix $d \bar{\tau}^{e}-$ rear.
(a) breast, with breast (mental activities):
gelts!ayãp $p^{\prime}$ he washed his breast
gel ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{l}$ ats!agis ${ }^{\prime s} n$ I touched his breast
$b \bar{a}^{a}{ }^{\text {ge'l }}{ }^{\varepsilon} y o$ lie down with belly up! (lit., up-belly-be!) 140.4
gelgulugwa'є $n \mathrm{I}$ desire, want it 32.5, 6, 7
gelhewe'hau he thought $44.11 ; 124.3 ; 142.20$
gellohoigwa's $n$ I avenge him (apparently $=1$ breast-lic-with him) (146.8; 148.3)
gelt!aya' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' they thought of it (see under $s \cdot i n-$ and $d \bar{a}^{a}$ ) 152.10
gelyalãxaldi ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I forgot him (lit., I breast-lost him) (77.10)
gelts! !aya'mxamk' she hid (certain facts) from us 158.7
geldulu'k'd $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am getting lazy
gelheye ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ he is stingy (literally, he breast-leaves-remaining $=$ keeps surplus to himself) 196.8
(b) facing:
gelt!ana'hi she pushed him (?literally, she held him [away] facing her $)^{1}$ (25.10)
gelwayãn he slept with her (literally, he caused her to sleep facing him) 26.4; (108.3; 190.2)
wa't'gwan gel ${ }^{\text {E }}$ yow ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ they faced each other (literally, to each other they breast-were) 26.15
gelk! $i y i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\circ}$ he turned around so as to face him 170.2
10. $\mathrm{di}^{\mathrm{s}}-$
(a) ANus:
dī $t s!a y \tilde{a} p{ }^{2}$ he washed his anus
ba-idisist gats! $a^{\prime} t^{\circ} g i s i^{\varepsilon} n$ I stick out my anus (164.19; 166.1)
dis $\% \tilde{a} x$ his anus is burning 94.13
 to be kicked) (cf. under $d a k^{\prime}-$ ) 166.1
di ${ }^{\varepsilon} x \bar{o}^{\prime u_{S}}$ (food) is spilling out from his anus, (acorns) spill out from hopper $94.2,4,5$
(b) in rear, behind:
dīesalyomo'hin I shall catch up witl him in running
$b e^{e} \mathrm{di}^{\prime} \varepsilon k!i y i^{\prime} \varepsilon k^{\prime}$ afternoon came (lit., sun went in rear) (124.15)
$d a^{\varepsilon} o^{\prime} l \mathrm{di}^{\varepsilon}$ hiwiliutt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I ran close behind
As happens more or less frequently with all body-part prefixes, the primary meaning, at least in Eng'ish translation, of $d \bar{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon}$ seems lost sight of at times:
abaidīsyowónda coming into house to firght (abai-into house; yow $\bar{o}^{\prime u}$ (a $a^{\varepsilon}$ being) 24.14

[^11]$3045^{\circ}$-Brill. 40 , pt $2 \quad 12 \quad 6$
$p!a-i$ di $^{\varepsilon} h a n a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ it stopped (wind, rain, snow, hail) 152.16
In a number of verbs $d^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ - expresses: felling, digging under, or erecting a tree or stick, the fundamental notion being probably that of activity at the butt end of a long object:
dis sgot!ölha bẽm he was always cutting down trees 108.8
díck!olola'n (tree) was dug under 48.5
dī $\bar{\imath} s g \bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} u l!i n$ (tree) was made to fall by being dug under 4S.7, S, 12
$p!a-i d i^{\varepsilon} l o^{\prime} u g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I make (stick, pestle) stand up (by placing it on its butt end) (116.18; 176.1,2)
$p!(a-i d \bar{d}$ ̌sgimi'sgam they set (house posts) down into ground 11. ha-
(a) woman's private parts:
hats!ayãp ${ }^{\circ}$ she washed her private parts
ha ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ilats!agi $i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ he touched her private parts
ha' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wesga'hak'w she spread apart her legs 26.4
(b) in:
(dãnxdagwa) hats!ayãk he washed inside (of his ear)
(dẽxda) halō'uki $i$ he stuck it into (his mouth)
( $s \cdot i n \bar{x} x d a$ ) hadele' $p^{\prime} i$ he stuck it up into (his nose)
halohon he caught them in trap (literally, he caused them to die in) (100.8)
( $q w a \pi n$ ) hat!ülügwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I follow in (trail) $(96.8,9)$
halō'uki she put on (her dress), they put on (their skins, garments) 160.6
ha ${ }^{\varepsilon} \imath h \ddot{u}^{\prime} l \bar{u}^{u} h a l$ they skinned them 160.5
haya-ut ge'nets! $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put on (my vest)
As the last examples show ha-sometimes conveys the special notion of putting on or taking off a skin or garment.

## 12. !mel-

(a) LEG, iN LEG, WITH LEG:
gwelts!ayãp ${ }^{\circ}$ he washed his legs
gwelle'ye $s d e^{\varepsilon}$ I am lame
gwellō ${ }^{\prime u \epsilon} k^{\cdot w}$ put on (your leggings)!
gwel ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{-}{ }^{i} w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I beat him in running (lit., I-leg-left-him)(184.14)
gwelsalt!eyésna $n^{\varepsilon}{ }^{1} \mathrm{I}$ have no fat in my legs and feet 102.22
(b) UNDER, AWAY FROM VIEW:
gwelmats! $a^{`} k^{\prime}$ they put (food) away (sc., under platforms) 124.22; (132.8)
gwelge'le yow $\bar{o}^{u} d a^{\varepsilon}$ he having his back to him (literally, facing him away from view) 122.7

[^12]13. la-
(a) FRONT OF BODY (probably belly as contrasted with gelbreast) :
lats!ayãp ${ }^{\circ}$ he washed himself in front of body
(b) BURST, RIP OPEN:
lat $t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} x$ it burst 24.17
la ${ }^{\varepsilon} i t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} k!i t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ you ( pl .) shall rip them open (like game after roasting) 118.5
lasalt ${ }^{\circ} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I burst it with my feet (140.22)
la ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wayat $b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I rip it open with knife (waya knife, as incorporated instrument)
14. sal-
(a) FOOT, WITH FOOT:
sallats!agi' $n$ I stepped on it (instrument sal-: I foot-touched it) (196.18)
sal ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} l a t s!a g i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched his foot (object sal-; instrument $\bar{\imath}$-)
salts!ay $\tilde{p} p^{\prime}$ he washed his feet
salxugi they are standing 63.2
$h e^{e \varepsilon}$ salt'gun kick him off! (24.17)
alsalt'b $\bar{a}^{\prime} a k^{*}$ he kicked him $86.16,17,18$
gelbam salgwi't'gwat' kick it way up!
salyuwo ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ he suddenly lifted up his foot (as when frightened)
(cf. under $d a$ - and $s$ in-)
sal $p!\bar{\imath}^{i} n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I warmed my feet
15. (II-face, with eye, to, at

This is in all respects the most difficult prefix in regard to the satisfactory determination of its exact meaning. In a large number of cases it seems to involve the idea of sight, not infrequently adding that concept to a form which does not in itself convey any such implication. In most of the verbforms, however, many of which have already been griven under other prefixes, the al- seems to have no definitely ascertainable signification at all. In some cases it may be considered merely as an empty element serving as a support for a post-positive modal particle. For example:
al-his-gulugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I am desirous of something where his trying can not occupy an initial position
al-di-yok!oya't' did you know him?
Here alyok!oya't in itself hardly differs in content from yok!oya' ${ }^{\prime}$ y YOU KNew mim. The most satisfactory definition
that can be given of al- in its more general and indefinite use is that it conveys the idea of motion out from the sphere of the person concerned, whether the motion be directed toward some definite goal (object) or not; an approximate translation in such cases would be to, at. The correctness of this interpretation is borne out by the fact that al- at times replaces a more definite local phrase, as though it were a substitute for it, of the same general formal but weaker material content.
$w \bar{a}^{a} d a l \bar{o}^{u} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ to-him I-thrust-it, where $w \bar{a}^{a} d a$ definitely expresses a local pronominal idea то, ат нim.
Compare:
alloo ${ }^{\prime} u g w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I stretched it out to him
where the exact local definition of the action is not so clearly expressed; the direct object of the verb being here not the object thrust, but the person aimed at, while the indirectness of the action is interpreted by means of al- as an adverbial or local modification of the verbal content. The change of vowel in the ending, $a-i$, is closely connected, as we shall later see, with this change of "face" in the verb. The first form may be literally translated as тo-him i-it-tineust; the second, as
 mis bodr, the al- is probably best considered as a general directive prefix replacing the more special prefixes (such as sal-, sin-, and so on) that indicate the particular part of the body affected, or, as one might put it, the exact limit of motion. The use of al-in local phrases shows clearly its general local significance: als $\bar{o}^{u} \boldsymbol{m a}^{`} l$ at, to the mountan; gáal $l$ то тнат, as postposition equivalent to to, for. from.
(a) face, eye:
al ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\sigma}^{u} d i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I look around for him (cf. $\bar{o}^{u} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hunt for him) (92.27)
al $x i^{\prime}{ }^{i} g i^{i} n \mathrm{I}$ see, look at him ( $-x i^{i} g$ - never occurs alone) 186.7; 188.11.
algaya' $n$ he turned his face
alyebeli ${ }^{\text {s }} n$ I showed it to him (77.8)
alyowõt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked (ef. yowõt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I was) (64.3)
alts!ayafa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ washed his face (64.5)
$m a ̃ n x$ aln $\bar{u}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w a$ he painted his (own) face
alt!aya'k' he found, discovered it (literally, he eye-found it; cf. under s'in-, d $\bar{a}^{a}-$, and gel-) $47.10 ; 92.27 ; 194.13$
alsgalāaliwis $n$ I looked at them (moving head slightly to side)
alt $b \bar{o}^{u} k!a^{\prime} l x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I have pimples on my face (cf. 102.20)
alt $w a p!a^{\prime} t^{\prime} w a p^{*} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I blink with my eyes 102.20
alwe'k!ala $n$ I shine
$x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l t!a n a h i$ they watched it (literally, they-between-eyeheld it; $x \bar{a}-\varepsilon a l$ as incorporated local phrase[?]) 136.8
(b) TO, AT:

It is at least possible, if not very probable, that al- то, at, and al- eye, face, are two entirely distinct prefixes. As many preceding examples have incidentally illustrated the local use of al-, only a few more need be given:
alp'oйpंauشi he blew on it 15.1
alhūyuxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I go hunting (42.1; 58.14; 70.2; 126.21)
algesegasa'lt' $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ was washing
alheme ${ }^{\prime} k$ ' they met him 24.11
al ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ íxlep! $e^{\prime} x l a p{ }^{\bullet}$ he mashed it up into dough-like mass 94.11
al ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau} t s^{\cdot}!\bar{o}^{\prime} u d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I touch, reach it
alse ${ }^{\prime e} g i^{s} n$ I bowed to him (172.10)
16. $\boldsymbol{d} \bar{\imath}^{i \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{a} \boldsymbol{l}$ - FOREHEAD:
di ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ alts!ay $\tilde{a} p^{*}$ he washed his forchead
dis ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ algelegala' $m s$ he tied his hair up into top-knot 172.2
dī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alk' $\bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\circ} g w a$ he put (dust) on his forehead 136.28
17. gwenha-u- vape:
gwenha'-uts!ayaga ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I shoot off nape of neek
gwenha-ut be ${ }^{\prime}$ gams he has his hair tied in back of his head
It will have been noticed that several of the body-part prefixes have developed special uses that almost entitle them, at times, to being considered verbal in function. Thus $x \bar{a}^{a}-$ back, between has been seen to develop, from its latter local use, the more strictly verbal one of cutting, splitting, breaking, or rending in two; the ideas of between and of division in two are naturally closely associated.
The specialized semiverbal uses of some of the prefixes may be thus listed:
$d a-d e$ - activity in reference to fire (burn, set on fire, glow)
$x \bar{a}^{a}$ - rend in two (cut, split, break)
$d \bar{\imath}^{i}-$ crushing activity (mash, squeeze)
$d \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$ - fell, erect (long object)
$h a$ - dress, undress
la-burst, rip open
al-look, see
The resemblance between this use of the Takelma body-part prefixes and the Siouan use of verb prefixes denoting instrumental activities (e. g., Ponka ba-by pressing with the hand, ma-by cutting, $¢ a-$ with tife mouth, by blowing) is not far to seek, although in Takelma the development seems most plausibly explained from the local, rather than the instrumental, force of the prefixes. Neither the employment of Takelma body-part nor of Siouan instrumental prefixes with verb stems is in any morphologic respect comparable to the peculiar composition of initial and second-position verb stems characteristic of Algonkin and Yana. The same general psychic tendency toward the logical analysis of an apparently simple activity into its component elements, however, seems evident in the former as well as in the latter languages.

## § 37. LOCAL PREFIXES

The purely local prefixes, those that are not in any way associated with parts of the body, are to be divided into two groups:
(1) Such as are used also in the formation of noun and pronoun local phrases or of postpositions, these being in that regard closely allied to the body-part prefixes in their more general local use; and
(2) Such as are employed strictly as verbal prefixes, and are incapable of entering into combination with denominating elements. The following table gives all the common prefixes of both groups, examples of noun or pronoun local phrases being added in the last column:

| l'refix. | Translation. | Local phrase. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| han- <br> ha-u- <br> $h e^{e \varepsilon}$. <br> dal- <br> $h \tilde{a}^{s} y a-$ <br> hāá- <br> mer- <br> $w i-$ <br> hawi- <br> wa- <br> $b \bar{a} a$ <br> $b a-\mathrm{i}-$ <br> $p!a-i-$ <br> $a b a-i-$ <br> bam- <br> ram- | across, through <br> under, down <br> away, off <br> away into brush, among, between <br> on both sides <br> yonder, far off <br> hither <br> around <br> in front, still <br> together <br> up <br> out, out of house <br> down <br> in house, into house <br> up into air <br> In river | hanwarga' $n$ across the creek <br> hawande under me <br> heefs.ōuma'l beyond the mountain <br> dan gada'l among rocks <br> $h \bar{a}^{\prime}$ yade on both sides of, around me |

Of these, the first five belong to the first group, the last nine to the second. The position of $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ - and $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - is somewhat doubtful; but the fairly evident etymological connection of the former with $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon} y a$ and the correlative relation in form and meaning between $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - and $h e^{e \varepsilon}$-, make it probable that they are to be classed with the first group. While some of these prefixes (such as dal- and han-) are inconceivable as separate adverbial elements, others (particularly $a b a-i$, which is apparently composed of demonstrative element $a$ тнIS $+b a-i$ ) are on the border-land between true prefix and independent adverb. $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - and $h e^{e \varepsilon}-$, though they are never used alone, stand in close etymological relation to a number of local adverbs (such as $e m e^{\varepsilon}$ HERE and ge THERE), which also, though not so rigidly as to justify their being termed prefixes, tend to stand before the verb. The difference between local prefix and adverb is one of degree rather than of fundamental morphologic traits; in any case, it is rather artificial to draw the line between $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - in such forms as $m e^{\varepsilon} y e ̀ \tilde{u}$ come back! and $g e$ in, e. g., ge $e^{\varepsilon} y o w o^{\prime \varepsilon}$ There it is. Sometimes, though not frequently, two local prefixes, neither of them a body-part element, occur in a single verb form. See, e. g., p!ai-hau- under 2 below, also $a b a i-b \bar{a}^{a}-62.1$.

1. han- through, across:
hanyada't $e^{\varepsilon}$ I swim across
hangwidi'k'w he threw it across 120.22
han ${ }^{\varepsilon} w a^{\varepsilon} a l x \bar{i}^{\prime} i k^{\circ}$ he looked through it
hanyewe ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he went back across 178.16
$g w a \tilde{n}$-hansgo $\bar{o}^{\prime} u s d e^{\varepsilon}$ I lie stretched across the trail (literally, I-road-across-cut) (148.8)
2. ha-u- UNDER, DOWN:
ha-ugwenyut! $u^{\prime} y i d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I swallow it down greedily, making grunting noise (126.10)
ha-us $\tilde{k} k^{*} w$ he paddled him down river ( $b \bar{a}^{a}$ - up river)
ha-uyowo't $e^{\varepsilon}$ I sweat (literally, I-under-am)
ei $p!a-i \mathrm{ha}^{\prime}-\mathrm{u} t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{u} p x$ canoe upset 60.8
ha-uhana ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ it stopped (raining) 196.8
3. $h e^{e \varepsilon}-\mathrm{OFF}, \mathrm{AWAY}$ :
he ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\boldsymbol{z}}$ leme ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ he killed them off $14.13 ; 110.21 ; 144.6$
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} g \bar{o}^{\prime} u d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut it off (44.4); 72.10; $(92.14,16)$
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon}$ gwidi' $k^{\cdot w}$ he threw it away
he ${ }^{e \epsilon} \bar{\tau} \tilde{u} k \times w a$ he went away from $\operatorname{him}(23.12 ; 146.18)$
he ${ }^{\mathrm{ec}}$ salt ${ }^{\prime} g \tilde{u} n t^{\prime} g i n i^{\epsilon} n$ I kick him off (24.17)
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon} \bar{i} h \bar{u}^{\prime} l u p!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I beat off bark (with stick)
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon}{ }_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}^{\prime} a p!a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I chipped them off (92.3)
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime s} n$ I buy it (literally, I carry it off) (176.17)
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon} t^{\prime} g$ guy $\bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ it is blistered
4. dal- into brusif, anong:
dalyewe ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he ran off into brush $14.6 ; 110.10$
dalgwidi $k^{*} w$ he threw it into brush
dal $p^{\prime} \bar{o}^{\prime} u d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I mix it with it (178.5)
dalxabili'us he jumped between them 106.20
5. $\boldsymbol{h \overline { a } ^ { \varepsilon } y ( \not ) - \text { on botir sides: }}$
ha' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yagini ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon} i^{\prime}$ they passed each other
hā ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yawat!emẽxia ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$ they assemble coming from both sides 144.23
6. $h \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon} \mathrm{FAR}$ OFF:
ha'as ${ }^{\prime 2}$ yewe $^{i \varepsilon}$ they returned going far off 146.22 ; (47.4; 188.1)
$h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} x d \bar{a}^{\prime a} x d a g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I threw something slippery way off
This prefix is evidently identical with the demonstrative stem $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ seen, e. g., in $h \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} g a$ that one yonder.
7. $\boldsymbol{m} \boldsymbol{e}^{\varepsilon}$ - HITHER:
me $^{\varsigma} g i n i^{\prime \epsilon} k^{\circ}$ he came here 146.24 (ge gini $i^{\epsilon} k^{\circ}$ he went there 77.7)
$h a^{\prime} n$ me $^{\varepsilon} g_{i n i^{\varepsilon}} k^{\dot{\prime}}$ they come from across (note two local prefixes; hangini ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ they go across)
me ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yè ù come back! (yè̃ return!) ( $23.11,12,13,14 ; 96.5$ ) ; 59.5
me ${ }^{\varepsilon} h i w i l i^{\prime} u \varepsilon$ he came running this way
Not infrequently $m e^{\varepsilon}$ - conveys the fuller idea of соме то --, as in:
me $^{\varepsilon}$ bép ${ }^{\prime}$ xip ${ }^{\prime}$ come ( pl .) and chop for me! 90.16
8. w̄- AROUND:
$w i^{\varepsilon} i t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} y e^{\varepsilon} x i$ they are surrounding me (48.13; 190.14)
wit ${ }^{\prime} g e^{\prime} y e^{e \varepsilon} k{ }^{\prime} * i$ they put it round about 176.14
9. hawi- in front, still:
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { hawiyant' } e^{\varepsilon} \text { I go in front }\end{array}\right.$
Thawiyana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ s front dancer
hawibaxa's $m$ still they come, they keep coming 146.1
$b \tilde{o}^{u}$ hawidegü'lk!alxd $\bar{a}^{a}$ after a while it will blaze up ( $b \tilde{o}^{u}=$ now)
10. w'儿- TOGETHER:
wak!oyoxinik: we go together
wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} t s^{\prime}!o^{\prime} m^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ squeeze (your legs) together! (26.5)
$b \bar{a}^{a} w a w i l i k{ }^{*} w$ he traveled up along (river) (literally, he went up having it together with him) 21.14
wayãki'w he followed him (literally, he went having him together with him) 23.11
wat!emexia ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$ they are assembling together (110.3); 144.23
wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ it!oxo'xi he gathered them together 112.6
wat!ilik'ni she gave them one each 130.4
wāa ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{imi}{ }^{`}{ }^{\bullet}$ he talked to him 59.16; 63.10
$d a^{\prime}$ gaxdek' wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l t^{\prime} g e y e^{\prime} t t^{\prime} g i y i^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ tied it about my head (literally, my-head I-together-to-surround-it)
$p!\bar{a}^{a} s$ wak! $e^{e} w a^{\prime} l x g w a$ snow is whirling around
Sometimes wa-seems to indicate simultaneity of activity, as in:
wal $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} u h i$ she kept twining basket (while talking) 61.5
In many cases the adverbial meaning of $w a$ - is hardly apparent, and one is sometimes in doubt whether to look upon it as the prefix here discussed or to identify it with the instrumental element wa- with, with it; the two may indeed be at bottom identical.
11. $\boldsymbol{b} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}^{a_{-}}$UP $(55.16 ; 59.10 ; 60.11 ; 63.6,12)$ :
bāa $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} d i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ (clouds) were spread out in long strips (literally, they stretched up) 13.3
bāa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} t!e b e^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I get up 186.14; (196.1)
$\mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} w a d a w a y a^{\prime} k^{*}$ he flies up with it
bāa ${ }^{2} y \tilde{a} n k^{*}$ we picked it up $15.9 ; 24.3 ; 59.15$
$k!i y \bar{\imath}^{i} x$ ba $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} w \tilde{o} k^{*}$ smoke comes out (literally, up-arrives) 29.3
(dãnxda) ba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alywili's he turned up (his ear)
( $\left.d a k^{\circ} w i l i t\right) b \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} g i n i^{\prime} k^{*}$ he went up (on top of house) 30.6
bāas $\bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} s \cdot$ stand up!
$\mathrm{b} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ yewe ${ }^{\prime \text { i } \varepsilon}$ he got better (literally, he-up-returned) (15.2)
$\mathrm{ba} \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} h a w a^{\prime \epsilon} k^{\prime}$ she dipped up (water)
12. ba-i- out, out of house, out of water to land, from plain to mountain:
ba-iyewe ${ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ they went out again
ba-ixodo'xat she took off (her garment) 13.4
ba-isili'xgwa he lands with (boat) 13.5
$\mathrm{ba}-\mathrm{i} s \tilde{a} k^{*}{ }^{w}$ he came to land
ba-is $a^{\prime} l y o w o^{\varepsilon}$ he looked outside
ba-ihimima ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I drive him out
ba-i gwidi'k'w he threw it out 92.15,16; (haxiya'dat') ba-igwidi`k' ${ }^{*}$ he threw it (from in the water) on to land (31.2)
ba-ibiliwa't you jumped out of house 24.15; (46.6)
(hadedè) ba-iyeweyini'є $n$ I took it out (of my mouth) (literally, I-out-caused-it-to-return)
ba-idehenena't' you are through eating (literally, you-out-mouth-are-finished) (132.14)
ba-it!ixi'xi he pulled (guts) out 92.17
(dakis'o${ }^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{ma}^{\prime} \mathrm{l}$ ) ba-iwõki he got up (on the mountain) 124.4; (60.9)

In certain idiomatic turns the primary signification of $b a-i$ - is as good as lost:
(heel-)ba-imats!a' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' he began to sing (lit., he-song-out-put) 102.17
ba-ik!iyís $k^{\prime}$ he comes $92.1,2 ; 156.24 ; 168.13$
13. p!a-i- down:
p !ai ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ it $t a n a^{\prime} h i^{\varepsilon} n$ I held him down
p!a-igwidi $k^{{ }^{c} w}$ he threw it down
p!a-iwaya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he went to lie down, to sleep (lit., he down-slept) 25.9
p !a-ilohoit ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I fell down (literally, I down-died)
p!a-iyewe ${ }^{\prime \text { ic ( }}$ (arrow) fell down back 22.5; 48.14
p !a- $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ lyowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he looked down 26.14
p!aiyowo ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ they sat down (literally, they down-were) 56.2
p!a-isgaya'pxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I lay down
14. aba-i- in house, into house

It would perhaps be best to consider this an independent adverb (demonstrative pronoun $a$ - THIS $+b a-i$-, formed analogously to $e m e^{\varepsilon}$ here $\left[=\right.$ demonstrative adverb $e$ - here $+m e^{\varepsilon}$ ]); its correlative relation to $b a-i$ - makes it seem advisable to give examples of its occurrence here:
abaigini $i^{\prime} k_{k}$ ' he went inside 25.8; 27.7,13; 64.3
abaihiwili'ue he ran inside 16.12
aba-iwõk they went into house 29.6; (44.7); 160.19
aba-iyowott $e^{\varepsilon}$ I stay at home
abaits $!\bar{a}^{a} k^{\prime} t s!a^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ he stepped into house 31.3
15. bam- UP INTO AIR

This prefix occurs often with preposed elements gel- or $d \bar{i}^{i}$ - as gelbam- or dīibam-, which would seem to mean respectively with belly side up and with back side up, or in front of and directly over one:
bamgwidi'k'v he threw it up
gelbamgwid $i^{\prime} k^{*}$ he threw it up
di'bamgwidi'k's he threw it up
gelbamsãk ${ }^{i w}$ he shot it up 22.5
gelbam ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l y$ lyo $^{\varepsilon}$ he looked up
gelba'ms $i^{\varepsilon} u l i$ he was sitting up (in tree) 48.7
16. xam- in river, into water, from mountain to plain:
xamalts!ay $p^{\prime}$ he washed himself in river
xamgwidi'k' ${ }^{w}$ he threw it into river (33.6); 108.5
xamkiwili'us he ran to river 29.13; 94.16
$\mathrm{xa}^{\prime}$ mhilãp ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{iauk}{ }^{\prime}$ they became in river (=were drowned) 166.16 $\operatorname{xam}^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ lyowo ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he looked down from top of mountain 124.4 (contrast $p!a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l y o w o^{\varepsilon}$ he looked down from ground 26.14)

## § 38. INSTRUMENTAL wa-

It is somewhat difficult to elassify this prefix, as it does not belong either to the body-part or the purely local group. Strictly speaking it should be considered the incorporated form of the demonstrative pronoun in its instrumental function. As was seen above, it may represent an instrumental noun, but, while the noun may itself be incorporated to denote the instrument, this is not the case with the demonstrative pronoun. For example:
ga wede yap!a-wa-dõmhiga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ that not I-people-with-shall-kill ( $=$ I shall not kill people therewith)
In other words, it would seem likely that such a form as $g a a l^{\varepsilon} w a$ ts!ayagi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wash inm with that is related to an al wats!ayagi's $n$ I wash him with it as, e. g., xi al ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wats!ayagi' $\varepsilon$ n I wash him with water, to the form alxits!ayagi' $n$ I water-wasil him, i. e., the wain alswats!ayagi' $n$ is to be regarded as an incorporated ga that, it (such forms as *algats!ayagi ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon$ have never been found to occur). It will be noticed that the verb-forms with incorporated wa- are normally characterized by a suffixed -i- or -hi-; as soon, however, as the verb loses its instrumental "face," this - $i$ - is replaced by the normal $-a$-. Thus:
wilau wats!ayagi's $n$ arrow I-shoot ${ }^{1}$-him-with-it (with incorporated wa-, wila`u arrow being outside the verb-structure and in apposition with wa-)
but:
ts!ayaga' $n$ wi'lau wa' I-shoot-him arrow with (in which also wastands outside the verb-complex, acting as an instrumental postposition to wila $u$ )
Examples of instrumental wa- are:
(salxdek')salswalats!agi's $n$ I touched him with my foot (literally, my-foot I-foot-with-it-touched-him)
$\left(x i^{i}\right)$ wa $^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} n h i$ I drink (water) with it
(yap!a)wat!omomi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I kill (people) with it (but yap!a t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I kill people)
alwats!eyêk'wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I washed myself with it
ga his d $\bar{o}^{u}$ mia gelwagulugwi's $n$ try to kill him with that (literally, that trying killing-him I-with-desire-it)
seel-wats!elelamdas $n$ I write with it
( $\bar{u} \bar{u} x d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ ) wagaya-iwi's $n$ I used to eat with (my hands)

[^13]( $p^{\prime}$ im) wasana'hink' they will spear (salmon) with it 28.15 (cf. sana'nk' they will spear it)
Although, as was suggested before, the prefix wa- as instrument may be ultimately identical with the adverbial wa- Together (the concepts of doing something with, by means of it and doing something together with it are not very far removed), the two can not be regarded as convertible elements. This is clearly brought out in such forms as $b \tilde{e} m$ wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \tilde{\imath} w a t!o x o^{\prime} x i^{\varepsilon} n$ I Picked then together witir stick. Literally translated, this sentence reads, stick i-TOGETHER-IIAND-WITH-IT-PICKED-THEM; the first wa- is the adverbial prefix; $\bar{\imath}$-, the general instrumental idea conveyed by the character of the verb (Gather with one's hands); and the second wa-, the incorporated representative of the more specific instrument bém sтick. If preferred, $i$ - may be interpreted, though less probably, as a local clement (-iwa- = with it in hand).

## 2. Formation of Verlb-Stems (§§ 39. 40)

§ 39. GENERAL REMARKS
By a verb-stem will be here understood not so much the simplest possible form in which a verb appears after being stripped of all its prefixes, personal elements, tense-forming elements, and derivative suffixes, but rather the constant portion of the verb in all tense and mode forms except the aorist. The verb-stem thus defined will in the majority of cases coincide with the base or root, i. e., the simplest form at which it is possible to arrive, but not always. Generally speaking, the aorist is characterized by an enlargement of the base that we shall term "aorist stem," the other tense-modes showing this base in clearer form; in a minority of cases, however, it is the aorist stem that seems to coincide with the base, while the verb-stem is an amplification of it. Examples will serve to render these remarks somewhat clearer:

| Aorist stem | Verb-stem | Probable base |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| t!omom- | dōum- | dôum-kill |
| naga- | nāag- | näag-(nag-) say to |
| hāal- | hala- | hâal- answer |
| oud. | odo | $\bar{o} u d$ - hunt for |
| lohoi- | loho- | loh-die |
| yuluyal- | yulyal- | yul-rub |

By far the larger number of verbal bases are monosyllabic. Where the simplest radical element that can be analyzed out remains dissyllabic (as in dawi- Fly, agan- perceive, yimi- lend), the probability is always very great that we have to reckon either with amplifications of the base, or with suffixes that have become so thoroughly amalgamated with the base as to be incapable of separation from it even in formal analysis; in some cases the dissyllabic character of the verb-stem is due to a secondary phonetic reason (thus dawi- is for dawy-, cf. dauy-; while in agan- the second $a$ is inorganic, the real stem thus being *agn-). Most bases end either in a vowel or, more frequently, in a single consonant; such as end in two consonants (as yalg- Dive, s.omd- BOIL, bilw- JUMP) may often be plausibly suspected of contairing a petrified suffixed element.

The few examples of verb and aorist stems already given suffice to indicate the lack of simple, thorough-going regularity in the formation of the aorist stem from the base. Given the verb-stem, it is possible only in the minority of cases to foretell the exact form of the aorist stem. Thus, if $d \bar{o}^{u} m$ - had followed the analogy of the phonetically parallel $n \bar{a}^{a} g$-, we should have in the aorist not t!omom-, but domo-; similarly, the phonetic similarity of odo- and loho- would lead us to expect an aorist stem $l \bar{o}^{u} h$-, and not lohoi-, for the latter. Nor is it safe to guess the form of the verb-stem from a given aorist stem. Thus, while the aorist lohoi-corresponds to a verb-stem loho-, yewei- corresponds to yèu- RETURN; nagai-, to na- SAy, DO; and k!emèi-, to k!emn- do, maкe. Mere phonetic form has, indced, comparatively little to do with determining the relation of the two stems. This is clearly evidenced by the following cases of homonymous but etymologically distinct bases with corresponding aorist stems.

| Verb base | Meaning | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heem- heegw- | $\left\{\begin{array} { l l }  { 1 } & { \text { mock } } \\ { 2 , } & { \text { wrestle } } \end{array} \left\{\begin{array}{ll} 1 . & \text { work } \\ 2 & \text { relate } \end{array}\right.\right.$ | hemeham- <br> hemem- <br> hegwehagu' <br> hegw(h)äagwe, hegwe- <br> hagw- |
| heen-dāag- | 1. be finished | henen- |
|  | 2. wait for | henee- |
|  | 1. find | t'ayag- |
|  | 2. build fire | tlagai- |

The signification of the verb-stem gives almost no information as to the form of the aorist stem, the various types of aorist formation being each exemplified by a heterogeneous array of verbs, as far as any discernible similarity of meaning is concerned. It is true that, in a comparatively few cases, certain types of aorist formation can be shown to be characteristic of intransitive verbs; but in these the formation of the aorist stem involves the addition of a distinct phonetic element that has every appearance of being a worn-down suffix.

Not the least remarkable feature of tense-formation lies in the fact that the most frequently used of the tense-modes, the aorist (equivalent to immediate future, present, and past), generally shows the derived or amplified form of the base; while the far less important tense-modes, the future, inferential, potential, and present and future imperatives employ the generally more fundamental verb-stem. In its naked form the aorist stem appears as the third person subject third person object aorist transitive. For example:
t!omõm he killed him
naga' he said to him
$-h a \tilde{l}$ he answered him
$\bar{o}^{\prime u} t^{\prime}$ he hunted for him
The bare verb-stem appears as the second person singular (third person object) present imperative intransitive and transitive. For example:
$d \tilde{o}^{u} m$ kill him!
odo' hunt for him!
na' say! do!
and as the first element of the periphrastic future, that will later receive treatment.

In striking contrast to the extensive use in Athapascan of distinct and unrelated stems for the singular and plural, only a very few such cases have been discovered in Takelma; and even in these the singular stem may, it seems, also be used in the plural.

| Sing. verb-stem | P1. verb-stem | Sing. form | Pl. form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $s^{*} a s^{*}-\operatorname{stand}$ | sal-rogus- | s as'ini he stands | sal-xogwi they stand |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bía-săasa'sdé ( }=\text { sāas- } \\ & \text { sas-) I come to a } \\ & \text { stand } \end{aligned}$ | bäasal-ro'riginak' ( $=$ rog-rag-) we come to a stand |
| s $u^{\prime}$ al-sit | al-xalīi | $\begin{aligned} & s \cdot u^{\ell} \text { wilit } t^{f} e^{e}\left(=s^{\prime} u^{f} a l i-\right) \\ & \quad \text { I am seated } \end{aligned}$ | al-xalīyana`*' we are seated |

It is interesting to observe that, while stand and sit are intransitive in the singular, the plural stems sal-xog ${ }^{w}$ - and al-xali${ }^{i}$ - make transitive forms with a third personal object (-ana $k^{\prime}$ first person plural aorist transitive, $-i \not k^{\prime}$ intransitive; cf. t!omomana ${ }^{\prime}$ we kill him, but $s^{*} a s^{\prime} i n \imath \imath p^{\prime} i k^{*}$ we stand and $s^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon} w_{i l i} p^{\prime} i k^{\prime}$ we are seated, dwell, stay).

The great majority of verb-stems are either necessarily transitive or intransitive, or are made such by appropriate suffixes. Only a few cases occur of verbs that are both transitive and intransitive, the respective forms being kept distinct only by the varying pronominal suffixes. Such are:
> moyūgw-a'n-t $e^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ am spoiled, and moy $\bar{u} g w-a n-a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I spoil him $\operatorname{lig} \bar{\imath}-n-t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ rest, and $\operatorname{lig} \bar{\imath}^{i}-n-a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ rest him
> $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\varepsilon}$ they ran away in flight, and $k!\bar{u} w \tilde{u}$ he sowed, threw them about

Certain forms are alike for both transitive and intransitive; e. g., second person plural subject: $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u} w a^{\prime} t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$.

## § 40. TYPES OF STEM-FORMATION

In looking over the many examples of verb and corresponding aorist stems obtained, it was found possible to make out sixteen types of stem-relations. Of this large number of types about half are of frequent occurrence, while of each of the rest but few examples have been found. It is not claimed for a moment that all of these types should be regarded as being exactly on a par, but merely that they have the value of forming a convenient systematization of the somewhat bewildering mass of methods of radical or base changes encountered. It is very probable that some of these are ramifications of others, while some types show more or less petrified suffixes that for some reason or other became specialized in certain tenses. As comparative linguistic material is entirely lacking, however, we can not make a genetic classification of types; a purely descriptive classification must suffice.

In the following table of types of stem-formation, $c$ means consonant; $v$, vowel ; $c!$, the fortis correspondent of $c ; c_{1}, c_{2}$, and so on, other consonants; $v^{v}$ denotes pseudo-diphthong; other letters are to be literally interpreted.

Table of Types of Stem-Formation

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Type } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Formula verb-stem | Formula aorist stem | Example verb-stem | Example aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $t+c$ | $v \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{c}$ | ob- dig up | ŏub- |
| 2 | $v+(c)$ | $v+c+v$ | yo-be | yowo |
|  |  |  | fuits!- laugh | üyüts!- |
| 3 | $t+c+c$ | $v+c!+v+c_{1}$ | $\{$ masg-put | mats!ag- |
| $4 a$ | $v e+c$ | $v+c+v+i$ | $t{ }^{\text {coag- cry }}$ | t'agai- |
| $4 b$ | $v+c+v$ | $v+c+v+i$ | loho-die | iohoi- |
| 5 | $v+c+v$ | $v v+c$ | yana-go | yãan- |
| 6 | $v v+c!$ | $v v+c$ | $p^{\prime}{ }^{\text {oft }}$ !- mix | $p^{\text {º}}$ ud- |
| $7 a$ | $c+v^{v}+c_{1}$ | $c!+v+c_{1}+v$ | deeb-arise | t'ebe- |
| 76 | $c+v v+c_{1}$ | $c l+v+c_{1}+\bar{v}+i$ | dūugw-wear | t'ūgūi- |
| 8 | $c+v v+c_{1}$ | $c!+v+c_{1}+v+c_{1}$ | gōul- dlg | k!olol- |
| 9 | $c+v{ }^{\prime}+c_{1}$ | $c!+v+y+v+c_{1}$ | dāag- find | t!ayag- |
| 10a | $c+v\left(+c_{1}\right)$ | $c+v+c\left(+c_{1}\right)$ | lōu play | lōul- |
| $10 b$ | $c+v+c_{1}$ | $c+v+c_{1}+c(+v)$ | sana-fight | saans- |
| 11 | $c+v+c_{1}+c$ | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c$ | yawy-talk | yawai- |
| 12 | $c+v v+c_{1}$ | $c+v v+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$ | t!èu-play shinny | t!èut!au- |
| 13a | $c+v+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$ | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c+a+c_{1}$ | sensan- whoop | senesan- |
| $13 b$ | $c+v+c_{1}+c!+a+c_{1}$ | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c!+a+c_{1}$ | dült!al-stuff with | dülütlal- |
| 13 c |  | $c+v+c_{1}+v+c+c_{1}$ |  | lobolb- be accustomed to pound (also lobolab-) |
| 14 | $v+c$ | $v+c+v+n$ | reeb- do | xeben- |
| (15a | - | -ii | $s \cdot a s \cdot a n-$ stand | $s \cdot a s \cdot i n \bar{i} i-)$ |
| (15b | -as | -ii | dink!as-lie spread out | $\operatorname{dink}(\bar{i} i-)$ |
| (16 | $v+c+c_{1}+i$ | $v+c+v+c_{1}$ | $k!a l s i-b e ~ l e a n ~$ | k!alas-) |

Not all forms find an exact parallel in one of the sixteen types here listed. There is a considerable number of more or less isolated cases left, particularly of frequentative or usitative forms, that it is difficult to classify ; but on closer examination some at least of these are seen to be secondary developments. Verb-stem al-sgalwal(w)Keep looking by turning head slightly to side, as compared to aorist stem $a l-s g a l \bar{a} a l(a w)-$, looks anomalous because of its apparently inserted first $-w$-; but these two forms become explicable as frequentative developments, according to Type 8, of their corresponding simplexes, verb-stem al-sgalw- look by turning head to side and aorist stem al-sgalaw-. It will be convenient to dispose of such anomalous and difficult cases under such headings as allow them to appear as at least comparatively regular formations. It should not be supposed that a particular verb-stem always and necessarily involves a fixed aorist stem in all possible derivations of the verb, though in probably the larger number of cases such a fixed parallelism may be traced. As examples of the occurrence of more than one aorist stem to match a verb-stem may be mentioned:
verb-stem $-x \bar{\imath} k!-$ sec; aorist Type $6-x \imath^{i} g$ - and Type $2-x \bar{\imath} k!i-x a-$ see (without object)
verb-stem yèu-return; aorist intransitive Type 4 yewei-, causative Type 2 yewe $^{e}-n$-, and, according to Type 8, yewew-aldgo back for some one

There are few if any verbs whose verb and aorist stems absolutely coincide. If in nothing else the two differ at least in the quantity of the stem vowel, the aorist stem always tending to show a long vowel. In some cases the two (dissyllabic) stems seem identical in phonetic form because of the persistence of an inorganic $a$ in the second syllable of the verb-stem and the presence of a repeated radical $a$ in the second syllable of the aorist stem. Sometines only certain of the forms built on the verb-stem exhibit the inorganic $a$; in such cases the secondary character of the $a$ is directly proven by the forms that lack it. A case in point is:
aorist stem $t s^{\prime}!a y a m-$ hide; verb-stem $t s^{\prime}!a y[a]^{1} m$ - and $t s^{\prime}!a-i m-$
Other verbs, however, are phonetically so constituted as to require the presence of the inorganic $a$ in all forms derived from the verbstem. Such are:
aorist stem agan- feel, hear; verb-stem $a g[a] n-$
aorist stem $p!a h a n$ - be ripe, done; verb stem p!ah! $[a] n$ -
Under such circumstances ambiguous forms may result; e. g., $w a^{\varepsilon}$ agan $i^{`} t^{\star}$ may be construed either as an aorist (yOU FELT it) or as a potential (you would feel it) derived from the stem ag[a]n-. But evidence is not lacking even in these cases to prove the inorganic character of the second $a$ in the non-aorist forms. One test has been already referred to in another connection-the incapability of a secondary diphthong (a diphthong involving an inorganic a) to have a rising accent. Thus:
aorist $d \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ agan (-aga'n) he heard it; but imperative $d \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon} a g\left[a^{\prime}\right] n$ hear it!

A second test is the failure of inorganic $a$ to become ablauted to $e$. Thus:
aorist p!ehen- $a^{\prime} n x i$ he causes me to be done; but future p!eh[a]n$a^{\prime} n x i n k{ }^{\prime}$ he will cause me to be done

The various types of stem-formation will now be taken up in the order of their occurrence in the table.
${ }^{1}$ Brackets indicate an inorganic element.

Type 1. Verb-stem $v+c$; aorist $v^{v}+c$. In this type are embraced partly monosyllabic and partly dissyllabic verb-stems that either seem to undergo no change at all in the aorist or merely lengthen the stem-vowel. The number of verbs that follow the type does not seem to be very great. Examples:

Verb-stem
wog $a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ he will arrive (196.20)
oba' $n$ I shall dig it up
yi'lt' copulating 86.5
$\overline{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{gw} a^{\prime} n$ I shall drink it (162.17)
hogwana' $n$ I shall make him run (138.2)
$h i n^{\varepsilon} x$-nīwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ coward 76.5 ;
(160.19)
witt $e^{e}$ I shall travel (178.11)
t !ila'mxadee I shall go fishing
yimi'hin I shall lend it to him (98.14)
hüli'nt $e^{e}$ I shall be tired out
hagat e $e^{e}$ I shall have a cold thrill
lohona'n I shall cause him to die

Aorist stem
wõnk' he arrived 47.15
$\bar{o}^{u}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I dug it up (48.7)
$\mathrm{yi}^{-\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ copulated with her 26.3
$\overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{gw} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I drank it 186.3
$\mathrm{ho}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{gwana} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I made him run (79.2)
$h i n^{\varepsilon} x-n \bar{i}^{i} w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I was afraid (17.7)
wît $e^{\varepsilon}$ I traveled (90.1)
tliila'mxade $e^{\varepsilon}$ I went fishing
yimiya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I lend it to him (98.15)
hū" ${ }^{\prime} i^{\prime} n t^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I was tired out (102.1) hagāit $e^{\varepsilon}$ I had a cold thrill 166.1 $\operatorname{loho}^{14} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I caused him to die (100.8)
al-ge'yande $e^{e}$ shall turn my face $a l$-geyan $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I turned my face
As regards the accent of the stem syllable, the examples show that, whenever accented, it takes the rising pitch when long, the raised pitch when short (and final). Compare further:
$\tilde{o}^{u} p^{*}$ he dug it up 124.5, $12 \quad$ hin ${ }^{\varepsilon} x-n \bar{\imath} \bar{u}$ he was afraid
$\tilde{u} k^{\dot{w}}$ he drank it 162.20 al-geya' $n$ he turned his face
Type 2. Verb-stem $v+c$; aorist $v+c+v$. If, as seems probable, the second consonant of verbal bases ending in two consonants is in many cases really a petrified suffix, a very large proportion of those verbs that might be listed under Type 3 really belong here, thus making Type 2 probably the most numerously represented of all types. In some forms it is possible to detect the derivative character of the second consonant by a comparison of etymologically related forms that lack it; e. g., in ts!elm- Rattle (aorist ts !elem-), the -m- is shown to be a suflix, though of no determinable signification, because of its absence in the corresponding frequentative $t s^{!}$!elets! !al-. A corroborative phonetic test lies in the treatment of the first consonant of the cluster, in so far as verbs following Type 3 show a fortis in the aorist as against a media or tenuis in the verb-stem, while those
of Type 2 suffer no change in this respect; e. g., verb-stem wismmove has aorist according to Type 3 , wits! im-, as contrasted with verb-stem $t$ 'gism- GET GREEN with aorist of Type 2 t'gisim- $(t$ 'gismshould therefore be analyzed as base t'gis- + suffix $-m$-). This criterion enables us to pick out an otherwise unsuspected suffix in verbs like t!ap̊g-Finish, aorist t!abag- (not Type 3, *t!ap!ag-), but can be applied only where the first consonant of the verb-stem is $s$, $b, d$, or $g$. A more general phonetic test would seem to be the position occupied by the inorganic vowel -a-. In those eases in which we have most reason to consider the second consonant as part of the base, this - $a$ - follows the cluster as "constant" $a$; while otherwise, and indeed in the majority of cases, it is inserted between the two consonants: wisma'te $e^{e}$ I shall move (base wism-), but $t^{\prime} g i s a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I (As Plant) Shall get green. An application of these various criteria, were suflicient material at hand, would probably show that but a comparatively small number of verbs follow Type 3. Examples of verbs of Type 2 are:

Verb-stem
$\bar{\imath}$-t !ani'n I shall hold him (28.11)
$w a-\mathrm{k}!\bar{o}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{y} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall go with him
$o^{\prime} \operatorname{sbin}(=?$ ok-s-) I shall give it
to you (178.15)
oina'n I shall give it
yãlxaldan I shall lose it (188.18)
$\mathrm{yo}^{\prime} t^{\circ} e^{e}$ I shall be (33.10)
nãk'ink' he will say to him (94.16)
$d a$-sgãī $p x d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall lie down
$\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{u}} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ it will get hot
s.omda' $n$ I shall cook it

Aorist stem
$\bar{\imath}$-t !ana'his $n$ I held him 73.16
wa-k!oyõn I went with him (33.15)
ogu'sbisn I gave it to you 23.3
oyona ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I gave it (180.20)
yalãxalda $n$ I lost it (77.10)
yowõt ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I was (42.1)
naga' he said to him 180.7
da-sgaya'prde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I am lying down
t'ūw $\bar{u}^{\prime s} k^{\prime \prime}$ it got hot 94.15
$\mathrm{s}^{\circ} \mathrm{momod} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cooked it (58.10)

Examples illustrating the intrusive - $a$ - are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a^{\prime} u t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall jump (160.17) | biliut $e^{\varepsilon}$ I jumped ${ }^{1}$ (45.14) |
| mīlada'n I shall love her | millì $d a^{\prime \prime} n$ I love her |
| k !iya' $\mathfrak{k}^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall come 196.1 | -k!iyi'kide ${ }^{\text {e }}$ I came (156.24) |
| gin $a^{\prime} k^{*} d e^{e}$ I shall go somewhere | grini'k'de I went somewhere |
| 14.3 | 21.10 |
| dūw ${ }^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall be good | dūwūk゚de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I was good (146.7) |

[^14]Verb-stem
xum $a^{\prime} k k^{\prime} d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be satiated
wiy $a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall groan
xuda'mt"e I shall whistle
ts !ela' $m t^{*} e^{e}$ I shall rattle
ts'!us.a'mt ${ }^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall make whis-
tling noise by drawing in
breath between teeth and
lower lip
líiga'nt $e^{\prime}$ I shall rest
yala'nt $e^{\prime}$ I shall be lost (cf. 14.3)

Aorist stem
xumü'k'de $\quad$ I was satiated (130.18)
wiyi'k'de $e^{\varepsilon}$ groaned (192.11)
xudumit $e^{\varepsilon}$ I whistled (33.16)
ts ${ }^{\text {! }}$ ele $\pi t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I rattled (102.13)
ts! !us uñt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I made whistling noise (78.9,10,12)

It is to be understood, of course, that this $-a$ - is in no sense a characterizing future or non-aorist element, as, when the phonetic conditions allow, it drops out altogether. This takes place when the consonant following the intrusive $-a$ - is itself followed by a vowel. Thus the second person singular future ( $-a d a^{\prime \epsilon}$ ) of some of the verbs listed has no $-a-:$ bilwada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, gingada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, d $\bar{u}^{u}$ gada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, wī ${ }^{i}$ gada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, yalnada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$. Similarly the simple stem $x$ ud- whistle appears in xut $m a^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{s}$ whistler.

In regard to vocalic quantity it will be observed that the verbs of this type divide themselves into two classes-those with short verbstem vowel (such as t!an-, og-, s.om-d-, gin- $g^{-}$, yal-n-) and those with long verb-stem vowel ( $k!\bar{o}^{u} y-$, yãl-x-ald-, $l \bar{l}^{i} g-[a] n-, t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{u}-g-$, $\left.m \bar{l} l-[a] d-\right)$. The first and second stem vowels of the aorist of verbs of the first class are regularly both short (t!ana-, ogo-, s.omo-d-, gini-g-, yala-n-); the aorists of the second elass seem generally to have a short first but long second vowel ( $k$ !oy $\bar{o}^{u}$, yal $\bar{a}^{a}-x-a l d$, ligīi$-n-, t^{i} \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{u}-g-$, mili $\left.\bar{u}^{i}-d-\right)$. The verb $n \bar{a}^{a} g$ - (aorist naga-) say to and perhaps a few others (sgāi-$p$-x-, aorist sgaya- $p$-x-; al-ts! $!\bar{u}-g$ - wasi aorist al-ts!aya- $g$-; but al-ts! $\bar{a} i-p^{\prime}$ - wasi oneself, aorist al-ts!ay $\bar{a}^{a}-p^{\circ}-$ ) do not follow this rule. Of the verb yo- (aorist yowo-) forms of both accent classes are found (yõt $e^{e}$ as well as yo $0^{\prime} t^{e} e^{e}$, yowo't $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ as well as yowõt $e^{\varepsilon}$ ), and indeed a lengthening of the second vowel of aorists of the first class seems to oecur with considerable frequency. The rising for long and the raised for final short stem vowels seem to be the normal accents for verbs of Type 2, whether the stress falls on the first or second (in aorists) vowel. If, however, the accented vowel is followed by a
glottal catch or fortis consonant the accent, as generally in such a case, is a falling one. Thus:

Such forms as $w a-k!o y \tilde{o}^{\epsilon} n$ are only apparently opposed to the rule (see § 65).

Type 3. Verb-stem $v+c+c_{1}$; aorist $v+c!+v+c_{1}$. The most satisfactory test of a verb of this type is the intervocalic fortis consonant of the aorist stem as contrasted with the corresponding non-fortis consonant of the verb-stem. As only the minority of base-final consonant-clusters begin with a consonant that is capable of being changed to a fortis, there are in the material available only a few verbs to which the test can be applied. Those showing an intervocalic fortis (changed from non-fortis) in the aorist stem are:

| Verb-stem |
| :--- |
| $\bar{\imath}-\operatorname{lasg} i^{\prime} n$ I shall touch it |
| masga'n I shall put it (102.15) |
| wismada's you will move |
| yo ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ yan I shall know it (162.6) |
| lop 'dia'us $t^{\prime}$ it will rain |

Aorist stem
$\bar{\imath}$-lats $!\operatorname{ag} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I touched it
mats $!\operatorname{ag} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I put it 74.13
wits $\lim a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ you moved 148.16
yok $!\mathrm{oy} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I knew it 50.5
lop!od $i a^{\prime u \varepsilon}$ it rained 152.11

In other verbs of this type the only characteristic of the aorist stem is the repetition between the consonants of the cluster of the stem-vowel. The following verb-forms exemplify this group, with the reservation that if in any case the second consonant of the cluster be really a suffix, the form should be assigned to Type 2.

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| t!amyana' $n$ I shall go to get her married $(150.5,19)$ | t!amayana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I went to get her married (148.5) |
| ts !a-uya ${ }^{\prime} s$ fast runner 138.2 | ts lawait $e^{\varepsilon}$ I ran fast |
| $d \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{u}^{\prime}$ its $\cdot$ !amt fool him! | $d \bar{\tau}^{\epsilon} \ddot{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{y} \ddot{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime} \mathrm{ts} \cdot!a m d a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ fooled lim |
| baxma't $e^{e e^{1}}(=b a x m-)$ I shall come | baxañt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I came (114.16) |
| gatiwa'n I shall eat it 128.18 | gayaw ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {gr }}$. I ate it 30.11 |
| moigwana' $n$ I shall spoil it | moyūgwana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I spoiled it (31.12) |
| $\mathrm{yo}^{\prime \prime \varepsilon^{\prime}}$ Snan Ishall scare him (186.10) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { yowo }^{\prime \varepsilon} \operatorname{Sn}^{\varepsilon} n \text { I scared him } \\ & (186.10) \end{aligned}$ |
| malgini $n$ I shall tell him | malaginis $n$ I told him (30.15) |
| $b a-i$-xilgwi'n $I$ shall snateh it out | $b a-i-x i l i g w i^{\prime} n$ I snatched it out (33.4) |


#### Abstract

Verb-stem gwel-leísdee I shall be lame dawit $e^{e}$ I shall fly (166.18) da-uya $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ flyer $b a-i$-hemga'n I shall take (food) $b a-i$-hemeg $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I took (food) out (16.10) han-gīilba'n I shall put (beam) han-giliba's I put (beam) across across ba-i-k! $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{si}^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall take it out $b a-i-k!a l a a^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I took it out (25.4) p'elga'n I shall go to war against p'eleg $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I went to war against them (124.19) yand $a^{\prime} n$ I shall ask him (70.6) yi'ms*aldan I shall dream about him $h a-u-h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s d \bar{a}^{a}$ it will stop (rain- $h a-u$-hana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{s}$ it stopped (raining) (198.9) yō ${ }^{\mathrm{g}} a^{\prime} n$ I shall marry her (192.16) yowog $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I married her (43.3) As long as the first consonant of the cluster is a semivowel $(w, y)$ or a liquid or nasal ( $l, m, n$ ), the question as to whether the verb belongs to Type 2 or Type 3 is a purely etymological or historical one. Descriptively it makes no difference whether a form like pelega' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ i went to war against them is derived from p'eleg- by the insertion of the stem-vowel $-e$ - between $l$ and $g$ (Type 3), or from $p^{\prime} e l-g$ by the addition of the - $\ell$ - to a base pel- (Type 2). From a purely descriptive point of view, then, the most typical aorist formation in Takelma may be said to be characterized by the repetition of the stemvowel immediately after the first consonant following the stem-vowel.

From the point of view of vocalic quantity the verbs of Type 3 fall into the same two classes as those of Type 2-such as have a short vowel in the stem (t!amy-, ts!awy-, malg-, pelg-, hants!-) and such as have a long vowel ( $\bar{u} i t s{ }^{!}!-, g_{i}^{i} l b-, k!\bar{a}^{a} l s$ ), these latter being apparently much less numerous than in Type 2. The quantity of both the stem vowels of the aorist is regularly short, even when the verb-stem vowel is long (gilib-, k!alas-); only rarely is the second vowel of the aorist stem long (leyees-, $\ddot{u} y \ddot{u}^{u} t s^{!}!-$). The accent of stressed stem vowels follows the same rules as in the case of verbs of Type 3 (dowait' $e^{\varepsilon}$, han-gili'p' with rising or raised pitch; but hana's $s$, $h e^{\prime i s} x-d \bar{a}^{a}$ he will be left over, $\ddot{u} y \ddot{u}^{\prime u} \varepsilon s^{s} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I laUghi, with falling accent because of the glottal catch).


Type 4. Verb-stem $v^{v}+c(+v)$; aorist $v+c+v+i$. Verbs of this type are intransitive, the $-i$-, though confined to the aorist, being evidently in some way connected with the intransitive character. That it is really a derivative element characteristic of the aorist is shown by its conduct in transitive forms derived from the intransitive. In the causative in $-n$ - it drops out:
t'aga $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I make him cry
while in certain other transitive derivatives it is preserved:
t'agayagwa's $n$ a cry having it
The contradiction in treatment is here only apparent, as the absence or presence of the $-i$ - would seem to depend not so much on the transitive or intransitive form of the verb as on whether the action expressed by the verb is logically transitive or not (in a causative the action is necessarily directed toward an object, in a comitative the formal object is not concerned in the action of the verb at all). Types $4 a$ and $4 b$ may properly be considered subclasses of Types 2 and 1 respectively, though it should be noted that the -i- occurs nowhere except in one special tense-the aorist. Examples of Type $4 a$ are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| yèntite I shall return (92.24) | yoweît $e^{\varepsilon}$ I returned ( $58.9,13$ ) |
| p!ak*de I shall bathe (58.5; 118.7) | p!agait ${ }^{\text {e }} e^{\text {I }}$ I bathed 58.2 |
| t'ãk'dee I shall cry (29.11) | t'agait' $e^{s}$ I cried (29.13; 62.2) |
| $\mathrm{na}^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}$ (irregular) I shall say, do 196.5 | nagait $e^{\varepsilon}$ Isaid, did 126.3;180.1 |

Even less numerous are the examples of $4 b$ that have been found:

Verb-stem
loho' $t^{\prime}$ dead (98.10; 170.1; 186.21)
lehe' $t$ ' drifting dead to land

Aorist stem
lohoit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I died 184.18
lehe'is he drifted dead to land 75.5

The aorist of verbs of TYype 4 regularly have the rising aceent on the $i$-dipthong formed by the repeated stem vowel and the $i$ - suffix. The stressed stem-vowel of forms built on the verb-stem regularly has the rising (4a) or raised accent (second vowel of 4b). na-, which is irregular also in other respects, has a short vowel in the verb-stem and takes the raised aceent in non-aorist forms under appropriate conditions (na't' saying; na' say it!).

Type 5. Verb-stem $v+c+v$; aorist $v^{v}+c$. This type of verb is morphologically very difficult to understand, as it is in effeet the very opposite of Type 2. Morphologically yana- GO: t!an- hol $\mathbf{D}=y \bar{a}^{a} n-$ :
t!ana-; but phonctically the proportion would gain in symmetry by reversing the positions of its first and third terms. Examples are:

Verb-stem
wagawi'n I shall bring it to him (45.6)
wege' $\operatorname{sink}{ }^{\circ}$ he will bring it to me
Jana't $e^{e}$ I shall go 14.3
haxa' $t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall burn (92.29)
dak'-da-hala'lion I shall answer him
laba' carry it! (70.5) ; 192.8
sagwa' paddle it! 112.3,9
wede' $k$ 'ink' he will take it from him ( $16.10,11 ; 17.10,11$ )
lebe' $n$ I shall pick it up and eat it $\operatorname{sebe}^{\prime} n$ I shall roast it (44.6)
$h e^{e \varepsilon-1}$ iwi'xink' $^{\prime}$ he will go away from me
hawax-xiwi't'e ${ }^{e}$ I shall rot (194.S)
odo' $n$ I shall hunt for it $(16.7,11)$
woo'nk' he will go to get it (162.8)
p'uyumda'n I shall smoke them out
yomo'n I shall catch up with him (16.7; 136.12,13)

Aorist stem
wa $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{gi} \mathrm{i}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I brought it to him (176.17)
we ega'si he brought it to me (194.11)
yinnt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I went 14.7
hãxd $e^{\varepsilon}$ I burnt $(98.1,4)$
dak'-da-h $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} i^{\prime \prime} n$ I answered him (122.4; 146.14; 180.18)
lap' he carried it 160.9
$\operatorname{sia}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{gw} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I paddled it (14.6)
wet'gi he took it from him 16.13; (76.1)
le ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I picked and ate it 94.5,12 se ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{ba}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I roasted it (118.10)
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-i n s \cdot i$ he went away from me $(184.14,15)$
hawax-xiñt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am rotting(100.1)
$\bar{o}^{10} \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hunted for it (13.9)
wo ${ }^{u} l t^{\text {' }}$ he went to get it 160.4
poyamdas $n$ I smoked them out (76.11)
yō ${ }^{u}$ mìy $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I caught up with him
(final $-i^{i}$ - of aorist stem unexplained) (140.14)

The two stem vowels of the verb-stem are always short in quantity, the second regularly having the raised accent (imperatives yana', lebe', $o d o{ }^{\prime}$, woo'). ${ }^{1}$ The long stem vowel of the aorist, when stressed, takes the rising accent. To this latter rule there is one curious exception. The verb odo- nunt for always has the falling accent on the $\bar{o}^{u}$ of the aorist ( $\bar{o}^{\prime}$ ut' ne inuted for it $13.9 ; 88.8$, never * $\tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime}$ ), but the nonaorist forms follow in everything the analogy of other verbs of this type. This anomaly is quite unexplained. Can it be that a leveling out of twooriginally distinct paradigms has taken place ( ${ }^{*} \tilde{o}^{u} d-$, odo'-of Type 5 and $\sigma^{\prime} u d-$, * ${ }^{\prime} u t!$ - of Type 6)?

Type 6. Verb-stem $v^{(v)}+c$ !; aorist $v^{v}+c$. Most of the verbs that follow this type have as second consonant in the aorist one capable of

[^15]becoming a fortis; such as do not, introduce a catch before the second consonant in non-aorist forms. There seem to be no primarily intransitive verbs of this type. Examples of the type are:

| verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| [ $\bar{\imath}-\mathrm{k}^{*} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$ ! win I shall wake him up | $i-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{g}$ gwinn I woke him up 16.4; (75.6) |
| $\mathrm{k}^{*} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime a s} x d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall wake up (190.5) | $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{a} x d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ woke up $(16.3,5)$ |
|  my waist | $x \bar{a}^{a}-1 \bar{a}^{\prime a} \mathrm{~d} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put it about my waist |
|  (118.5) | $l a-^{\varepsilon} \bar{\chi}-\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{ba} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{g} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I burst it (24.17) |
| wa-sgaj p ! in I shall make it tight | $w a-\operatorname{sga}^{\prime 2} \mathrm{~b} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I made it tight (140.6) |
| al-xi'k!in I shall see him (146.21) |  |
| $d e^{\varepsilon}-i$-wi'k! in I shall spread it out $(120.1)$ | $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{l}-w^{\prime} \bar{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{g} g i^{*} n \mathrm{~J}$ spread it out |
| $d a k^{\prime}-t^{\prime} e^{\prime} \mathrm{k} k$ !in I shall give him to smoke (170.13) | dak'-t' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{eg}^{\text {g }}{ }^{\text {¢ }} n$ I gave him to smoke |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}$-xō't!an I shall win over him <br> (170.9) | $b \bar{a}^{a}-\mathrm{xo}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I won over him (168.5) |
| al-lō'k!wan I shall thrust it | $a l-\overline{o s}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{gw}} a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ thrust it (152.19) |
| dal-p`o${ }^{\prime}$ t! in I shall mix it (178.5) | dal-p $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I mixed it |
| $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-\mathrm{nu} \bar{u}^{\prime}$ ! in I shall drown him | $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-n \bar{u}^{\prime} \mathbf{u} d i^{\varepsilon} n$ I drowned him <br> (118.9) |
| de-bü'k! ${ }^{\text {a }}$ I shall fill it | $d e-\mathrm{bu}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{g}} \dot{\varepsilon}^{s} n$ I filled it (140.3) |
| $\bar{i}^{\prime}$-gis ${ }^{\text {c }}$ na take it! (102.14) | $\overline{\text { i gix }}{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{n}$ a he took it $15.1 ; 45.13$ |

Despite the change of the second consonant from fortis to nonfortis, it is not certain that it is always an integral part of the stem; in $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime} \dot{u} g v^{i} n$ the $g(k!)$ seems to be a verbifying suflix (cf. de-bü' $\varepsilon$ FULL as adjective). The accent of the base of verbs of Type 6 differs materially from that of verbs of types heretofore discussed. The normal pitch-accent of most verb-bases is the rising tone for long, the raised for final short, vowels, unless a catch immediately follows. Thus in Type 5 dal:-da-hãl he answerel man; Type 2 nafa' me said to him; but with catch Type 4 naga'is ne said. The verbs, however, of Type 6, as will have been noticed, all have the falling accent in both aorist and non-aorist forms. This variation from the accentual norm becomes intelligible if we remember that a fortis is the equivalent of a catch $+a$ media; e. g., alaith! in I shall see him; aldi $i^{-\varepsilon} k^{*}$ SEE nim! As the catch tends to bring about a falling accent before it, the falling accent peculiar to verbs of Type 6 may plausibly be ascribed to the fortis (i. e., glottal catch) quality of the final consonant of the stem. Compare also, in Type 3 , he'ik!in
i shall leave it over. The retention of the falling accent in the aorist, although the presumable cause of it has been removed, is an example of form-paratlelism, and argues, at least in verbs of this type, for the secondary origin of the aorist stem. The relation between $x \bar{o}^{\prime} t$ tan and $x \bar{o}^{\prime} u d a^{\varepsilon} n$ is, then, the same as that which obtains between youo ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ie was and yow $\bar{o}^{\prime \prime} d^{-} a^{\varepsilon}$ when he was 79.7 .

The organic character of the fortis consonant of verbs of this type is still further evidenced by many derivative forms (iteratives, continuatives, -xa- forms used to imply lack of object) which are regularly derived from the verb-stem, not the aorist stem, even in their aorist forms. Thus from $s g_{0}{ }^{-} u t!-45.10$ (aorist $s g \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} d-72.10$ ) cur are derived the derivative aorists sgot!o'sgade $e^{\varepsilon}$ eut frequentatively (62.1), sgot'ol-hacn I кeep cutting it (108.s), sgüt! $u^{\prime}$ 'xade I cut (without object) (92.2). Parallel forms are derived from most other verbs
 verbs of Type 6 , however, form the aorists of these derivatives from the aorist stems of the simple verbs. Such forms are the frequentatives t'baga't'bag- 14.12 (from t'ba'ak!- 136.20) and sege'sag- 172.10 (from se'ck!- nod to, open door 138.18).

Type 7. Verb-stem $c+v^{v}+c_{1}$; aorist $c!+v+c_{1}+v(+i)$. The second sub-group ( 76 ) of this sparsely represented type of verbs is apparently related to the first ( $7 a$ ) as are verbs of Type $4 a$ to those of Type 2. It is very improbable, however, that the characteristic - $i$ - element of the aorist is morphologieally the same in both Type 4 and Type 76 , as verbs of the latter type are clearly transitive, while in Type 4 the $-i$ - was found to be a clearly intransitivizing element. A further difference between the two types lies in the marked length of the repeated vowel in verbs of Type 76 . This vocalic length is perhaps responsible for the loss of the $-i$ - in certain forms; e. g., di$-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u} \bar{u}$ he wore it, but di-f!ūgǘn I wore it. (See \& 65.)
Of Type Ta only the following examples have been found:

Verb-stem
$b \bar{a}^{a}$-dēp'de ${ }^{\text {I }}$ shall arise 196.3
wa-dilnhin I shall distribute them
dwe'p'dwa'pxdāa they will fly without lighting

Aorist stem
$b \bar{a}^{a}$-t tebe ${ }^{\prime} t \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ arose 186.14
wa-t tiliz'n $n i^{\varepsilon} n$ I have distributed them (130.4)
t!wep!e' t!wapx they flew with out lighting

The last example follows also Types 6 and $13 a$.

To Type $7 b$ belong:

Verb-stem
da-dãk` build a fire!
$d \bar{\imath}-\mathrm{dü}{ }^{\mathrm{ig}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} a{ }^{\prime} n k{ }^{\text {' }}$ she will wear it 55.9
 myself
$\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ he will pick them (116.17)

Aorist stem
$d a-\mathrm{t}$ !agāī he built a fire 96.17
dī-t!ügǖ̄̄ she wore it 96.16
t'gwaxāik'wide I tattooed myself
k !adāi he picked them swadāī he beat him in gambling

The last three verbs happen to have stems beginning with a consonant or consonant-combination that does not allow of development into a fortis, so that there is no initial modification in the aorist. A few other transitive verbs have aorist stems like those of type $7 b$, but form their non-aorist forms according to other models, as the aorists k!emèi- make (only-with third personal object; otherwise k!eme ${ }^{(e)}$ - $n$-, corresponding verb-stem k!em-n- of Type 2) and yehèi- hear singing far away (verb-stem yehi $i^{i}$-). In both aorist and non-aorist forms the stem vowel or long $i$-diphthong, when stressed, bears the rising or raised accent (k!ãt pick them! b $\bar{a}^{a}-t!e b e^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime}$ he arose).
Type 8. Verb-stem $e+v^{v}+e_{1}$; aorist $c!+v+\epsilon_{1}+v+c_{1}$. The aorist stem of this type is characterized by reduplication of Type 1 (see § 30) combined, wherever possibie, with change to fortis of the in:tial consonant. Examples are:

Verb-stem
gãt ${ }^{e} e^{e}$ I shall grow (77.9)
gō ${ }^{10} a^{\prime} n$ I shall bury him (118.3)
$\mathrm{go}^{{ }^{0}}{ }^{4} a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall dig it
$\mathrm{g} \overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{w} a^{\prime} n$ I shall plant it (94.10)
dō ${ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{m} a^{\prime} n$ I shall kill him (178.14)
$w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}$-doxin I shall gather them
ba-i-dixin I shall pull (guts) out
d $\bar{a} a l a^{\prime} n$ I shall crack it
de ${ }^{\text {egwa'ldan }}$ I shall watch for him (116.20; 126.20)
$w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-\mathrm{de}^{\circ} \mathrm{mi}^{\prime} n \quad$ I shall gather them (for war)
bāabla' $n$ I shall chop it (90.16) p'ababa $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I chopped it (90.11)
dī-bü̈̀̆gwa'n I shall start (war, dī-p!ügügw $b^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I started it basket) (110.21; 170.10)
$\mathrm{s} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime} n$ I shall mash it

Aorist stem
k!ayait' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I grew (77.9)
k !ododa' $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{n}$ I buried him (96.16)
k !olola' $a^{\prime \epsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ dug it 73.10,14
k!ūwūwa's ${ }_{n}$ I planted it (132.10)
t!omoma $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I killed him 71.7
wa $a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{z}-\mathrm{t}$ !oxo'xi $\varepsilon^{i} n$ I gathered them (112.6.11; 192.4)
$b a-i-t$ !ixi'x $i^{\xi} n$ I pulled (guts) out (92.17)
t talala $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} n$ I cracked it
t!egwegwa'ldarn I watched for him (118.2; 158.12)
wus-i-t'eme'm he gathered them (for war) 110.3
ts !adad $a^{\prime} n 1$ mashed it(130.23)

| $\mathrm{s} \cdot \tilde{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{m} t^{\prime} a n$ I shall boil it (170.16) |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |
| ${ }^{\text {egwa' } n \text { I shall bite him (88.2) }}$ |
| $\bar{o}^{*} \mathrm{~b} a^{\prime} n$ I shall pound them $(16.6)$ |
| $\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{m} a^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime}$ tree will fall (108.12) |
| hēlt $e^{e}$ I shall sing (106.15) |

In the transitive verbs of this type the repeated consonant of the aorist is found only when the object is of the third person; otherwise it is dropped, with lengthening of the preceding vowel. Thus:
$t!o m o n ̃$ he killed him 16.15; but t!omöxbi $n$ he killed you(ef. 178.12)
Before certain intransitivizing derivative suffixes, particularly $-x$ (see $\S 56$ ) and $-x a$ - (see $\S 53$ ), the same loss of the repeated consonant of the aorist stem is to be noted. Thus:
> $p^{\prime}!a b a^{\prime} p{ }^{*}$ he chopped it 90.11 ; but $p!e b e^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ he chopped 55.6
> $w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!e m e \widetilde{m}$ he gathered them together; but dak'-t!emex they are gathered together $43.9 ; 136.11$

With $-x$ - the preceding vowel is lengthened, with $-x a$ - it remains short. The second consonant of the stems of verbs of Type 8 never involves a radical glottal catch, hence the falling accent is never found on either the first or second stem vowel.

Type 9. Verb-stem $c+v^{v}+c_{1}$; aorist $c!+v+y+v+c_{1}$. This type is not at all a common one. It differs from Type $7 a$ in that the added vowel (in every case a, as far as the material goes) is put before the last consonant of the base, the $y$ serving perhaps merely to connect the stem $-a$ - and added $-a-$.

Of Type 9, examples are:

> verb-stem
> d $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{g} a^{\prime} n$ I shall find it (110.15)
> $\operatorname{sa}^{a^{a}}{ }_{c} a^{\prime} n$ I shall shoot him
> $d a-d \bar{a} i t^{\prime} e^{e}\left(-d \bar{a}^{a} y-\right)$ I shall go to
> get something to eat (33.9)
> $d a-\mathrm{da}^{a} l d i^{\prime} n$ ( $=\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{ild}-$, see § 11) I
> shall go to get it to eat (33.9)

> Aorist stem
> t!ayaga's I found it (27.12) ts! ayaga' $n$ I shot him (45.13) da-t!ayait $e^{\varepsilon}$ I went to get something to eat ${ }^{1}(75.9)$ da-t!ayaldis $n(=$ t!ayaild-, see § 11$)$ I went to get it to eat $(76.9)$

[^16]Type 10. Verb-stem $c+v(+c)\left(+c_{1}\right)$; aorist $c+v+\left\{\begin{array}{c}c\left(+c_{1}\right) \\ c_{1}+c\end{array}\right\}(+v)$. This type embraces the few verbs that form their aorist stem by merely repeating the initial consonant of the verb-stem. Of $10 a$, that is, those that introduce the initial consonant immediately after the stem-vowel, there have been found:

| Verb-stem | Aor |
| :---: | :---: |
| lon ${ }^{\text {x }}$ to play 31.7; ( $31.6,8,9$ ) | lōult ${ }^{\text {e }}$ I played |
| lã $p^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall become (25.2) | lāa $\overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{it}^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ I became (also of Type 15a) 186.19 |
| $\mathrm{la}^{\mathrm{a}}$ wa'n I shall twine basket | $\overline{15}^{\text {a }}$ w $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ Itwined basket (61.7) |
| $h e^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-\mathrm{le}^{\prime}(\mathrm{l}) k!i n \mathrm{I}$ shall let him go <br> (182.20) | $h e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-$-le lek: $!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I let him go (50.4) |

The last verb differs from the others in that it repeats in the aorist both the consonant and the vowel of the verb-stem; it is the only verb known which shows perfect duplication of the verb-stem (assuming the suffixed character of the $-k!-) .{ }^{1} \quad$ Perhaps -lek!-is misheard for -lelk!-.

The only certain example of $10 b$ is:
sana' spear it! (33.9)

## Aorist stem

$s a ̃ n s$ he speared it (110.20)

The verb-stem here is of Type 5. The simple base (san-) is best seen in the fully reduplicated $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n-\operatorname{sinia} a^{u \varepsilon}$ they are fighting each other 23.14. An aorist of Type $10 b$ is probably also:
ha-u-gwen-yut!i'hi (=*yut!y-[h]i) he gobbled it down (cf. frequentative yut!uyad-)
See also aorist $y \bar{o}^{u} m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ - under Type 5. Stems of this type are more frequent among nouns than verbs, e. g., belp swan (see § 86, 5).

Type 11. Verb-stem $c+v+c_{1}+c$; aorist $c+v+c_{1}+v+c$. Verbs belonging to this type differ in the aorist from those of the preceding type in that they introduce before the repeated initial consonant also the vowel of the stem, thus approaching in form the more fully reduplicating Type 13. Only a few examples of the type occur:

```
            Verb-stem Aorist stem
loma'lt'e ( \(a\) is inorganic) I lomõlt \(e^{\varepsilon}\) I choked
        shall choke
xalx \(a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} e^{e} I\) shall urinate (cf. \(\quad x a l a^{\prime} x a m t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}\) I urinated \({ }^{3}\)
    \(x \bar{a}^{a} l\)-am-urine)
```

[^17]| yawi't ee I shall talk (cf. base yiw- talk) (126.2) |
| :---: |
| $b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$-al-mo' $]^{\text {s.man }}$ I shall turn things over (base mol ${ }^{\varepsilon_{-} \text {- }}$ |
| $d \bar{a}^{a}-y^{\prime} e^{\prime} \overline{i n}^{i} n$ I shall go to whe singing is heard |

- -rist stem

Yawait ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I talked (30.4;126.2)
da-bo'k!op'na $n$ I made bubbles (base bōk!-) 102.22
$b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$-al-mólo ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m} a^{\varepsilon} n \quad$ I turned things over
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-yehèì he went where there was singing (see Type $7 b$ ) 106.10
legwela' $m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I suck it out of it (186.18)
láamala's $n$ I quarrel with him (27.2)

It is quite possible that many verbs whose verb-stem ends in a consonant identical with their initial consonant (and that one would be inclined to list under Type 2) really belong to Type 11. In such cases as:

```
ging- go somewhere (aorist giniy-)
k!iy[u]g- go, come (aorist k!iyig-)
gel-gul[a]g- desire (aorist- guluy-)
```

it is not easy to decide whether the final - $y$ - is a suffixed element, as in many verbs of Type 2 , or a repetition of the initial consonant of the base. As to the genesis of the form in verbs of Type 11, it seems clear that it is only a secondary development of the far more richly represented Type 13. This is indicated by the existence of scoond forms of Type 13 alongside those of Type 11:
$d a$-bok!oba'k' na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I make bubbles yiwiya' ut $\epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I talk (148.9)
mo ${ }^{\prime} l_{0}^{\varepsilon} m a l a^{\varepsilon} n$ I turn things over (170.16)

A form like mólómat you torned things over may go back to a *mo'losmlat' (Type 13b), itself a reduced form of the fully reduplieating mo'lo $0^{\varepsilon}$ maluat' ; but see $\$ 65$.

Type 12. Verb-stem $c+v^{c}+c_{1}$; aorist $c+v^{\prime \prime}+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$. Verbs of this type form their aorist by reduplicating the verb-stem according to Type 2 (see §30); the a of the second syllable of the aorist stem is regularly umlauted to $i$ by an $i$ of the following syllable (see $\S 8$, 3a). Morphologically such aorist stems are practically identical with the verb-stems of Type 13a, though no further deductions can be drawn from this fact. Contrary to what one might expect, most verls of the type show no marked iterative or frequentative signifi-
cation. Examples of this rather frequently recurring type are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| sana' $n^{1}$ I shall fight him (28.15) | sa ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{nsa} \mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{n} t e^{\varepsilon}$ I was fighting 1s4.13 |
| $h e^{e \varepsilon}$-sal-t' $\mathrm{gu}^{\prime \prime} n i^{\prime} n$ I shall kick it off | hers-sal-t'ginnt'ginesn I kicked it off (24.17) |
| t!èùt $e^{e}$ I shall play shinny | t!èut!a'ut', ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I played shimy (47.7) |
| 2-t! $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ wi $n$ I shall catch him (33.8) | $\bar{\imath}$-t!ant!iwis ${ }^{\text {c }}$ I caught him 33.4 |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}-\mathrm{di}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g} a^{\prime} n$ I shall make it stand up | $b \bar{a}^{a}$-dik'daga ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I made it stand up (59.10) |
| $h e^{e \varepsilon}$-s wil $x k^{*}$ it is torn | $\bar{\imath}$-s'wils ${ }^{\text {chili }}$ n I tore it (73.3) |
| ts $\bar{a}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{g}^{\prime \prime} t^{\circ}$ he | ts! $\bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{k}^{\circ} \mathrm{ts}!^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ he stepped 32.9 |
| $d \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-\bar{b} \bar{o}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{d} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} n$ I shall pull out his hair | $d \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}$-bõt'bid $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I pulled out his hair (194.7) |
| $b \bar{a}-\bar{\imath}$-sg $\bar{a}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{g} \dot{\prime}^{\prime} n$ I shall pick it up | $b \bar{a}-\bar{i} \text {-sgank'sgigićn I picked him }$ up (32.12) |
| l $\bar{a}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ shall call him by name | lāaw ${ }^{2} i^{\prime \prime} n$ I called him by name (for $\bar{u}^{a}$ - $=$ lāu-sce $\$ 7$ 7) (116.3) |

There is a tendency to prevent a long $u$-diphthong of the first syllable of the aorist stem from standing immediately before a diphthong-forming semivowel or consonant ( $y, w, l, m, n$ ) of the second syllable. In such cases the $u$ is either lost, as in the last example above (dissimilation is also a possible explanation) or a connecting $-i$ - is introduced between the $u$, which now becomes $w$, and the following consonant. Examples are:

```
Verb-stem
Aorist stem
```

lèuxink: he will call me by name le ${ }^{e}$ wila'usi ${ }^{2}$ he calls me by name 59.7
linute $e^{e}$ I shall look (142.18) liwila'ut' $e^{\varepsilon 3}$ I look (59.14)
The stem vowel of verbs of Type 12 is regularly long, and, when stressed, as it generally is in aorist forms, receives the rising accent. The $a$ of the second syllable of the aorist stem is stressed only when forming a secondary diphthong with a following repeated radical element, in which case it receives a falling ( $l \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} u h i$ he callem him) or raised accent (he $e^{\varepsilon-}$-sal-tigu $\bar{u}^{u} n t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} n$ ).

[^18]Type 13. Verb-stem $c+v+c_{1}+c+a+c_{1}$; aorist $c+v+c_{1}+v+c+a$ $+c_{1}$. For $i$ - umlaut of the $a$ see $\S 8,3 \mathrm{a}$. This type embraces a very large number of verbs, chiefly of iterative, usitative, or intensive signification. Of these, some are the iterative or usitative derivatives of simpler verbs; others, again, are hardly found in simpler form, the action they express being of a necessarily repetitive character (e. g., RUB, mattle, Chew) ; in still others the repetitive idea is not strongly marked or is even absent. Of Type $13 a$, which covers practically the whole number of type-cases, examples will be given under the characteristic stem-vowels.

Verb-stem
(1) $a$ :
$i$-gaxgixi' $n$ I shall scratch him da-ts!a'lts!ilin I shall chew it
$h e^{\epsilon \varepsilon-i-k}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\circ} \mathrm{ib}$ in I shall chip them off
(2) $e$ :
$\bar{\tau}$-ts $\cdot$ e'lts $\cdot$ !ilin I shall rattle it
$\bar{\imath}$-he ${ }^{e} \mathrm{gwa}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{{ }^{\text {w }} \text { nan }}$ (see § 19) I shall work
al-gesgasa'lt $e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall be washing
se'nsant'e ${ }^{e}$ I shall whoop
hemhama'nk' he will imitate him
(3) $o(u)$ :
$d^{i}{ }^{i}$-t'gumt'ga'm squeeze and crack (insects)!
$\overline{\text {-yulya'l rub it! }}$
al-p! $\bar{\iota}^{i}$-ts $!$ u'lts $^{\prime}!$ alhip' do ye put it on fire!
(4) $i$ :
$\bar{i}$-smilsmilin I shall swing it
$\bar{\imath}$-s•wi'ls'wilin I shall tear it to pieces
ts ! i'nts !anxde I shall be angry
$\bar{i}$-s $\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \mathrm{al} h i$ distribute it!
de-k'iūk'auk'wan I shall brandish it before my face (172.11)
yiwiyawa ${ }^{\varepsilon_{s}}$ one who talks 148.18

## Aorist stem

$\bar{i}$-gaxagixi $i^{\prime} n$ I scratched him $d a$-ts!ala'ts!ili${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I chewed it $h e^{e \varepsilon-i}-\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{ap}!\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{ib} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I chipped them off (118.11; 120.16)
$\bar{i}$-ts $!$ ele ${ }^{\prime}$ ts $\cdot$ ili $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I rattled it $\bar{\tau}$-hegwe'hak ${ }^{*} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I worked
al-gesegasa'lt $e^{\varepsilon} I$ was washing
sene'sant $e^{e}$ I whooped (180.15) heme'ham he imitated him 24.4, 8
$d \bar{\imath}^{i}-\mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ gumu ${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{tg}^{\prime} \mathrm{im} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I squeezed and cracked (insects)
$\bar{\imath}$-yulu'yili $n$ I rubbed it
al-p! $\bar{i}^{i}$-ts $\cdot!$ ulu'ts $\cdot!\mathrm{il} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I put it on fire (152.20)
$\bar{\imath}$-smili'smili ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ Iswung it (72.10)
$\bar{\imath}$-s•wili's'wili $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I tore it to pieces
ts $!$ !ini ${ }^{1}$ its !an $x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I was angry (24.16; 148.15)
$\bar{\tau}$-s $\cdot \mathrm{ili}^{\prime} \mathrm{s} \cdot \mathrm{al} h i$ he distributed it 31.1 de-k'iwi'k'auk'warn I brandished it before my face (172.12)
yiwiya ${ }^{\prime u \varepsilon}$ he talks, makes a sound 148.9

The verb-stem of the last example seems at first sight identical with the aorist stem, but the second $i$ is to be explained as a connective element similar to the $i$ of le wilau- above (see under Type 12); yiwiyawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ s is thus developed from a theoretical *yiwyawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s$.

The verb $k^{*} a^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime} k^{\prime} a b$ - above illustrates a slightly divergent subtype of Type 13a. If the final consonant of the stem is a fortis, it appears as a non-fortis (voiceless media or aspirated surd according to the phonetic circumstances) when repeated. This phenomenon is best explained as an example of catch dissimilation; *k;ap!ak'ap!-, i. e., $k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} a k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon}$ - is dissimilated to $k^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} b^{\varepsilon} a k^{\circ} a b-$, $k^{\circ} a p!a k^{\prime} a b$ - (see § 22). In non-aorist forms, where the fortis becomes a syllabic final, it naturally gives way to the equivalent catch+aspirated surd. Further examples of this subtype are:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{\imath}$-sgö ${ }^{\prime \epsilon}$ tisgidin I shall cut them one after another (21.2,4) | $\bar{\tau}$-sgot! $\mathrm{o}^{\prime}$ sgidi $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I cut them one after another ( $144.2,3$ ) |
| $h a-u-g w e n-\mathrm{yu}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ yidin I shall gobble them all down | ha-u-gwen-yut!u'yidi $n$ I gobbled them all down (126.10) |
| $x a-\bar{\imath}$-sgi' ${ }^{\prime}$ p'sgibin I shall cut them through (21.2) | $x a^{-\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}$-sgip!i'scibibin I cut them through ( $22.9 ; 138.7$ ) |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\epsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\circ} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime} x d \bar{a}^{a} \quad\left(=-t a^{\prime} g-x-\right)$ they will all bob up | $b \bar{a}^{a}$-t'ek!e't a $x$ they all bobbed up |
| $b a-i-d i^{\varepsilon}-t^{\prime} g a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ st'gà ${ }^{2}$ s stick out your anus! $164.19 ; 166.1,6$ | $b a-i-d i^{\varepsilon}-t^{\prime}$ gats! $a^{\prime}$ t'gis $^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{n}$ I stuck out my anus (166.8) |

In regard to vocalic quantity it will be noticed that both the stem vowel and the repeated vowel are generally short. Comparatively few cases are found with long stem-vowel in non-aorist forms (hee-gwagw-, swī${ }^{i}$ lswal-, sg $\left.\bar{o}^{u \varepsilon} t ' s g a d-\right)$. Indeed the shortness of the vowel of the verb-stem is about the only mark of difference between verbstems of Type 13 and aorist stems of Type 12. Thus:
$\bar{i}-s^{*} w i^{\prime} l s$ wal (non-aorist of Type 13) tear it to pieces! ; but $\bar{\imath}-s^{*} w^{-i} l-$ s.wa'l (aorist of Type 12) he tore it (with one tear)

A few verbs allow the repeated vowel, particularly in third personal forms, to be long; when stressed, as it generally is, it has a falling accent. Besides $t s^{\prime}!i n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t s s^{\prime}!a n x$ - (also $t s^{\prime}!i^{\prime} n \bar{i} t s^{\prime}!a n x-o r^{\prime} t s^{\prime}!i^{\prime} n i t s!a n x-$ 190.19), may be mentioned:
gwen-hegwe'ehagwanhi he related it to him 57.9; cf. 59.6
$p!\ddot{l u \ddot{u}^{\prime}} \mathbf{} \quad$ !alh $i$ they marched in single file 192.3
In non-aorist forms the vowel, if long and stressed, takes the rising accent; before a glottal catch, however, we regularly have the $3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40, pt $2-12-\mathrm{S}$
falling accent ( $s g^{-\quad{ }^{\prime} u \varepsilon t^{\prime}} s g a d-, s g^{\prime \prime} i \varepsilon p^{\circ} s g a b-$ ). In the aorist the stress generally falls on the repeated vowel.

Only two verbs have been found that at first sight conform to Type 13 b. They are:

Verb-stem Aorist stem
 salmon) bowstring-fashion string-fashion (cf. 88.5)
dǖ̀lt!ilin I shall stuff them into it dülï't $!i l i^{\varepsilon} n$ I stuffed them into it (122.19; 138.17)

This curious type of verb is easily explained if we assume that the bases are not gew- and dül-, respectively, but $g e u^{\varepsilon}$ - and dül ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ They are, then, strictly comparable to verbs like sgot!osgad- discussed above; instead of having a fortis consonant, i. e., a stop with glottal closure, as the final consonant of the base, they have a semivowel or diphthong-forming consonant ( $w, y, l, m, n$ ) as the base final. The verb and aorist stems of $g e u^{\varepsilon}$ - and $d \ddot{u} l^{\varepsilon}$-, formed according to Type $13 a$, are theoretically *gew $w^{\varepsilon} g a u^{\varepsilon}-, *^{*}$ gewe $e^{\varepsilon} g a u^{\varepsilon}-$ and $*^{*} d l^{\varepsilon} d a l^{\varepsilon}-,{ }^{*} d \ddot{u} l u \ddot{e}^{\varepsilon} d a l^{\varepsilon}$-, respectively. Allowing, as in the case of the forms like $k^{\circ} a p!a k^{\circ} a b-$ discussed above, for catch dissimilation, these forms are seen to be phonetically equivalent to geuk!au-, gewek!au- and dült!al-, dülüt!al-, respectively (see § 12). If the initial consonant of the verb happens not to be a media, then there is no opportunity for the development of a fortis in the second syllable of the verb-stem. It is clear, then, that the following verbs are further examples of Type $13 b$ :

| Verb-stem | ist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $b \bar{a}^{a} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} a l-\mathrm{mo}^{\prime} 1^{\varepsilon}$ malan I shall turn things over | $b \bar{a}^{a-\varepsilon} a l-\mathrm{mo}^{\prime} \mathrm{lo}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mal} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I tura things over |
| $d \bar{a}^{a}-t{ }^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u} g a l-$ lé $^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon}$ liwin I shal shake shells in my ears | $d \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} m \bar{u}^{u} g a l-$-lewe ${ }^{\prime \epsilon}$ liw $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I shook shells in my ears 122.2 |
| ha-u-gwen-yu'n'yinin I sha gobble them down |  bled them down (cf. yut!uyadabove) |

The stem syllable of verbs of Type $13 b$, when bearing the stress, naturally have the falling accent.

Examples of Type $13 c$ are not common and have also by-forms of Type $13 a$ :

| Verl-stern | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| gwida ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\text {w }} \mathrm{d}$ dan I shall throw it ( $a$ inorganic) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gwidi' }{ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{d} a^{\varepsilon} n \text { I threw it(122.13); } \\ & \text { cf. } \bar{\imath} \text {-gwidigwid } i^{\prime} \varepsilon(108.21) \end{aligned}$ |
|  | lobo'lp'na $n$. I used to pound |
|  | them; cf. lobo'lap ${ }^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon} n(57.14)$ |

It is very probable that the $-a$ - in the second member of reduplicated stems (Types 12 and 13) is the inorganic $-a$ - we have already met with. Its persistence, even in cases where the otherwise resulting phonetic combination is a possible one, may be ascribed to the analogic influence of the probably larger number of cases where its presence is phonetically necessary.

Type 14. Verb-stem $v+c$; aorist $v+c+v+n$. The $-n$ of the few verbs that make up this class is probably a petrified derivative element, yet it must be considered as characteristic of the aorist stem in an even more formal sense than, for example, the aoristic -i- of Type 4. The only examples that have been found are:

## Verb-stem

xẽp'de ${ }^{e} I$ shall do so (110.22)
wait $e^{e}$ I shallsleep $(71.15 ; 142.14)$ wayant $e^{\varepsilon}$ I slept (188.22)
gwen-p!ik'wan (=-p!iy-) I shall gwen-p!iyi'nkwa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I lay on lie on pillow
$p!e^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he will be lying down p!eyẽnt $e^{\varepsilon} I$ was lying down 71.5 146.9

The last verb seems to insert a $-y$ - in the aorist, between the $-e$ - of the verb-stem and that of the aoristic addition, in the manner of verbs of Type $9 b$. In regard to vocalic quantity these verbs differ among themselves. The verb-stem of all but wai- is long in vocalism. The first vowel of the aorist stem is short in every case, the repeated vowel is sometimes short (xeben-, p!iyin-), sometimes long (wayāan-, $p!e y e^{e} n-$ ). The stressed stem vowel bears a rising accent.

The $-n$ of $w a y \bar{a}^{a} n$ - and $p!e y e{ }^{e} n$ - is celipsed before a catch in the third person:
waya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he slept $152.22 ; 154.6$
$p!e y e^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he was lying down 49.5
but:
$x e b e^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ he did it $78.9 ; 118.14$
The loss of the $-n$ takes place also in the third person aorist of $y \bar{a}^{a} n$ go (Type 5). Thus:
$y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he went $15.3,11 ; 59.1 ; 92.26$
subordinate form $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon} 58.8$ and (rarely) $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} n d a^{\varepsilon}$ when me went.
Type 15. Verb-stem $\{--\alpha s\}$; aorist stem $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$. The ending $-\bar{\imath}^{i}-$, found in a considerable number of verbs of position, is not, properly speaking, a stem-forming element at all, as shown by the fact that
suflixed elements may intervene between it and the base; yet, being wanting in the non-aorist forms of many verbs, it has something of the appearance of such. The non-aoristic -as- of a few verbs has absolutely no appreciable derivative force, and may be regarded as a purely formal element characterizing the non-aorist forms of the verb. As examples of Type $15 a$ may be given:

| Verb-stem | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| s-a'seanter I shall stand (cf. $2: 3.6)$ | s'as $\cdot$ init ${ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ stand (34.1; 77.9) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s}^{\cdot \mathrm{u}^{\prime} \varepsilon} \text { alt } t^{\prime} e^{e} \text { I shall sit (55.11; } \\ & \quad 186.21) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{s}^{*} u^{\varepsilon}$ wilit ${ }^{\circ} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ sat (21.1; 178.21) |
| $k^{\prime} e^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ alt $e^{e}$ I shall be long absent | $k^{\prime} e^{\prime} \operatorname{bilit}^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ I was long absent (124.20) |
| lãp ${ }^{\text {d }}$ de ${ }^{\text {e }}$ I shall become (92.11; | $l \bar{a}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{i} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I became (see also |
| 166.14) | Type 10a) 186.19 |

Of examples of 'Type $15 b$ may be mentioned:

> lierb-stem $\begin{aligned} & \text { dink!a's } d \bar{a}^{a} \text { it will lie stretched } \\ & \text { out }\end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} & \text { dink!ī it lies stretched out } \\ & \text { t!obaga's } d \bar{a}^{a} \text { he will lie like one } \\ & \text { dead }(148.8)\end{aligned}$ t!obigĩ he lay like one dead

This non-aoristic -as-seems to occur also in:

$$
d a \text {-sma-ima'sde } e^{e} \text { shall smile } \quad d a \text {-smayañ he smiled }
$$

which otherwise belongs to Type 2 or 3 (if the second $-m$ - is part of the base).

Type 16. Verb-stem $v+c+c_{1}+i$ : aorist $v+c+v+c_{1}$. This type embraces only an inconsiderable number of verbs. They are:
Verb-stem
di-k!a'lside 1 shall be lean in
my rump
gwel-sal-t!éiside I shall be
lean in legs and feet

Aorist stem
$d \bar{\imath}$-k!ala's $n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I am lean in my rump 102.22
gwol-sal-t!eyēsnán I have no flesh on my legs and feet 102.22

Several verbs of position that show an $-i-i$ in the aorist show an $-i-$ in non-awrist forms. Whether this $-i$ - is merely a shortened form of the aoristic $-i^{i}-$, or identical with the non-aoristic $-i$ - of verbs of Type 16 , is doubtful; but, in view of the absence of the $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - in non-aoristic forms of verbs of Type 15 , the latter alternative seems more probable. Such verbs are:

| Ver | Aorist stem |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d a$-sga' ${ }^{1} t^{t} \bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie scattered about | da-sgalî it lies seatter |
|  | p'ildi flat thing lies |
| t'ge'its ! id $\bar{a}^{a}$ round thing will lie | t'geits!i round thing $(13824)$ |
| seeini' $t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie with opening on top (like box) | seini it lies with opening on top |
| $s^{\cdot} u^{\prime} k^{\prime} \mathrm{did} \bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie curled up wi' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{did} \bar{a}^{a}$ it will lie heaped about | sugwidì it lies curled up wîk!idīit lies heaped about |
| f similar appearance, though in form, is: | (not |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Verb-stem } \\ d \bar{a}^{a}-\text { sge }^{\prime} k!i t^{e} e^{e} I \text { shall listen } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Lorist stem } \\ d \bar{a}^{a} \text {-sgek!iy } a^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} n \text { Ilistened (th } \\ \text { person } d \bar{a}^{a} \text {-sgek!i } 102 . \text {.s) } \end{gathered}$ |

In speaking of verbs of Types 15 and 16 , the terms verb-stem and aorist stem are used in a purely relative sense, the portions of the listed forms printed in Roman characters not being really on a par with those similarly marked in the first fourteen classes. These last two types have significance as such only m so far as certain elements of an essentially derivative character ( $-\bar{\imath}^{i}-,-i-,-a s-$ ) are at the same time formal means of distinguishing aorist from non-aorist forms. it is not difficult to show that in several cases these elements are themselves preceded by non-radical elements.

One or two aorists have been found in the material obtained that can not be well classified under any of the sixteen types ilhustrated above. They are:
gwen- xoxog[ $[\mathrm{w}] a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I string (salmon) together ( $=$ fully reduplicated xogxog- ; otherwise to be analyzed as xoxo-g-of Type 10a) 74.14
sal-s' $\bar{a}^{a} x{ }^{\prime} \cdot \bar{x}$ he slid
This latter verb with its mysterious $\hat{i}^{i}$ in the repeated syllable is absolutely without known rallel. Imegular is also the defeetive verb ei-be (see $\$ 60$, fourth footnote).

## 3. Verbul Suffixes of Inoricretion ( $\$ \$+1$-is $)$

## §41. GENERAL REMARKS

Although the absolute number of mon-pronominal sulfixes in the verb is considerable (almost or quite thirty), the number of those that have a well-defined, more or less transparent signification is not large (hardly more than a dozen or so) when compared with what
one is accustomed to in certain other American languages. Of these, barely one or two (a frequentative and a comitative) can be said to convey anything like a material notion, the rest being of the more or less formal or relational character met with in suffixes of inflective lan-guages-intransitivizing elements, causative, reflexive, passive, reciprocal, and others of less easily described signification. Those suffixes that have no clearly defined value may be put in a class by themselves as "petrified" suffixes, the justification for such a classification being purely descriptive; genetically they probably form a heterogencous group.

## § 42. PETRIFIED SUFFIXES

In speaking of verbs of Types 2 and 3, it was pointed out that in a large number of cases certain consonants that one would naturally be inclined to consider part of the verb-stem could be shown by more careful analysis to be really of a suffixal character. The criteria for such a suffix are partly, as was there indicated, the existence of evidently related forms in which the consonant is lacking, partly certain phonetic features. In a considerable number of cases different suffixes are found joined to the same verbal base, yet hardly ever determining so specific a meaning that their primary signification can be detected. The following examples,
$t '$ geits': ì something round lies (138.24)
t'geyeba's $n \mathrm{I}$ roll it
t'ge eya'lxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I run around
al-t'geye't'giya ${ }^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ tic it around (my head) 188.5
$w_{i}^{-\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-t^{\prime}$ geye $e^{\prime} \mathrm{e}$ ! in he is surrounded on all sides 48.13
evidently all contain the same radical element or base ( $($ gey-), which has reference to circular action or position. The suffixes $-t s!-,-b$-, and $-k!-$, however, can not be shown to be directly responsible for the specific meanings of the different forms, these being determined chiefly, it would seem, by the succeeding suffixes, the prefixes, and the general form (transitive or intransitive) of the verb. Similarly, the forms he ${ }^{e \varepsilon}$-sgaya'pxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I lie down, da-sgaya$n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hie down, and possibly also da-sgali it lies scattered about (life grain), contain the same radical element (sga[y]-); but, as in the examples first cited, the abstracted suffixes $-p-,-n-$, and $-l$-, refuse to yield anything tangible. The stems galb- rwist and gelg- twirl fire-dilil are very probably related, though neither
the difference in vowel nor the use of different consonants ean be explained. The same difficulty is met with in $d i^{\prime} n i \mathrm{k}!a^{\varepsilon} n$ I stretcired it out (62.1) and $b \bar{a}^{a}-d i n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} i t!a^{\varepsilon} n$ I hung them on line (59.9). In some cases a difference of suffix is associated with a difference of direction of verbal action, transitive and intransitive. Thus we have:
al-ts!ayag $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wash him (64.5): al-ts!ayãp’de $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ wash myself (not reflexive in form)
p!alaga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I relate a myth to him: p!ala' $\mathrm{p}^{*} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I relate a myth ts!ayam $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hide it (124.23): ts!ayã $p^{\circ} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ hide
The various petrified suffixes found will be listed with examples under each.

1. -b-. There seem to be two quite distinct -b-suffixes, one characteristic of transitives, the other of a certain group of intransitives. Examples of transitive $-b$ - are:
$t^{\prime}$ geyeba $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I roll it (base $t^{\prime} g e y-$ ), with secondarily intransitive derivative:
al-t`geya $\mathrm{p} x$ it is round (literally, it rolls)
he ${ }^{e \varepsilon}-s g a y a^{\prime} \mathrm{p} x e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ lie down (derived, like al-t'geya'px, from some such transitive as *he $e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-s g a y a b a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I lay it down flat, that, however, does not happen to occur in the material at hand)
$d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-g e n e^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} g w a$ he lay curled up like dog (also -geneũk' $w a$ )
galaba ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I twist it by rolling (cf. gelg- twirl fire-drill)
$\operatorname{sg} \bar{\imath} l \mathrm{p} x$ warm your back! (seems to imply $* s g \bar{i} i l \mathrm{~b} a^{\prime} n$ I shall warm his back) $(25.8,9)$
All intransitives in $-b-\left(-p^{i}-\right)$, whether or not secondarily derived from transitives, belong to that class of verbs to be later discussed as Intransitive Verbs, Class II. Among those with primarily intransitive $-p^{\circ}$ - are:
.al-ts!ayãp ${ }^{\circ} d e^{\varepsilon} I$ washed my face
ts!ayãp"de $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ hid
p!ala' $\mathrm{p}^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ tell a myth
s•in-xinixanp’de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I sniff (cf. xin mucus)
$s \cdot a s \cdot a^{\prime} n h a p^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ stand around (not trying to help anyone) (cf $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} \cdot a n t^{\prime} e^{e}$ I shall stand)
$s \cdot i n-w i^{\prime} l \bar{i}^{i} k^{\prime} a p^{\prime} d e^{\epsilon}$ I blow my nose
$b \bar{a}^{a}-s \cdot o^{\prime} w \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon} k^{\prime} a_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I jump up (48.15; 49.1)
A number of Class II intransitive veris show a suflixed -pi- in all forms but the aorist. It is not possible to say whether this $-p^{\prime}$ - is morphologically identical with the $-p^{\prime}$ - of verbs like
ts!ayãp ${ }^{\text {d }} e^{\varepsilon}$ or not, but such seems likely. Intransitives with non-aoristic - $p^{2}$ - are:
lãp’de ${ }^{e}$ I shall become (92.11) (aorist $\left.l \bar{a}^{a} l i t t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}\right) 186.19$
sana'p'de ${ }^{e}$ I shall fight (aorist $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n t^{*} e^{\varepsilon}$ [184.13])
tgũn ${ }^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall be cold (aorist $t^{\prime} g u n u k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$ [90.3])
Finally, all Class II intransitives have a $-p^{\circ}$ - before the formal elements in the first person plural and impersonal of the aorist and future and in the imperative and inferential modes:

$s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} a n p^{\prime} i a^{u \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ they (indef.) will stand
$s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p^{\prime}$ stand!
$s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p^{\prime} a n p^{\prime}$ do ye stand!
$s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\cdot a n} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} g a^{\varepsilon} m$ stand! (future)
$s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n \mathrm{p} \%^{\prime}$ he stood, it seems
There is small doubt, however, that this $-p^{\circ}$ - is quite distinct from the non-aoristic $-p^{\prime}$ - of verbs like lãp ${ }^{\prime} e^{e}$, which occurs in the entire future. A form like la $p^{\prime}$ весоме! is in that event perhaps to be analyzed as $l \bar{a}^{a}-p^{\circ}-p^{\prime}$, the first $-p^{\circ}$ - being the nonaoristic element found also in lãp"de ${ }^{e}$, while the second $-p^{*}$ - is identical with the imperative-inferential $-p^{\circ}$ - of $s^{\cdot} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot a n p^{\prime}}$. This analysis is purely theoretical, however, as contraction to a single $-p^{\prime}$ - is unavoidable in any case.
2. -p!'. This consonant is evidently a suffixed element in:
$h a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-h \ddot{u}^{\prime} l \ddot{u} \mathrm{p}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I skinned them (cf. $h a^{\varepsilon}-i-h \ddot{u}^{\prime} h i^{i} h a l$ they skinned them all 160.5)
3.     - $m 1$-. Apparently as transitive element -m-appears in:
ts!ayama ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hide it (124.23) (cf. ts!ayãp ${ }^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ hide [24.2])
As intransitive suffix it appears in:
$t^{\prime} g i s i^{\prime \epsilon} \mathrm{m}$ it gets green
$x u d u \bar{m} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I whistle (base $x u d-$; related to $x d e i t t^{\prime}$ flute [?]) (33.16) $t s^{\circ}!u s \cdot u \pi \bar{n} t^{*} e^{\varepsilon}$ I make noise by drawing in breath between teeth and lower lip ( $78.9,10,12 ; 79.1,3,5 ; 96.9,10,12$ )
It may not be altogether accidental that the latter two verbs both express the making of a noise. This idea is found expressed also in:

but the -m- of this verb may be really an older $-n$ - dissimilated to $-m$-because of the preceding $-l$-. The $-m$ - corresponds to an evidently identical suffixed -am- of the related noun $t s$ !ela' $m$ hille 152.12, 16 .
4.     - $\boldsymbol{l}-, \boldsymbol{- t}$ - seems to be found only with transitive verbs:
$w \bar{a}^{a} h i m i d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I speak to him (but with unexpressed object $w \bar{a}^{a} h i m i^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ was talking [to somebody]) (59.16; 63.10)
$d a k^{*}-h e n e^{e} \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ wait for him (cf. hene $x a d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ wait)
$k!\bar{u} y \bar{u} m i d a^{\prime s} n$ I call his name from distance, greet him (198.11) (probably derivative of $k!\bar{u}^{\prime} y a m$ friend! $31.6,8$ )
s.omod $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cook it (58.10) (cf. s•üm $\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I cook)
$t s^{\cdot}!\ddot{u} m \tilde{u} m t^{\circ} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I cook it (170.17,19); future $s \cdot \tilde{u} m t^{\prime} a n^{1}(170.16)$ (cf. $s \cdot \ddot{u m x i}$ stirring paddle 170.14)
$d \bar{a}^{a}-\min \tilde{z} k^{i} \mathrm{~d} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I taught him; future $d \bar{a}^{a}-m i n ̃ t^{\prime} a n$
lawadana's $n$ I hurt him (186.12)
yamad $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ ask him (70.6; 74.10; 120.16)
wiyimad $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I "wish" to him, work supernatural power on him (57.1)
$m i l i{ }^{i} \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I love her
$x a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t s!!i w i^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he split it (26.6) (cf. $\bar{\imath}-t s \cdot!i w i^{-1} t s s^{\prime}!a u$ he split it up)
It will be noticed that most of the verbs listed imply, not direct physical action, but rather the direction of one's thought or words toward another person. It is therefore highly probable that the $-d$ - (except possibly in $s$ oomd- соок) is identical with the $-d$ - implied in the $-s^{-}-(=-t x-)$ of the indirect object ( $(47)$. Unlike the $-d$-here discussed, however, the $-s \cdot$ - of the indirect object can be used only if the indirect object is not of the third person. It is clear that $-d$ - is not really quite in line with the other suffixes that we have termed "petrified," this being shown, among other things, by the fact that it may be preceded by other suffixes, as in $d \bar{a}^{a}-\min \tilde{\imath}-k^{*}-d a^{\varepsilon} n$.
Evidently quite distinct from this indirective $-d$ - suffix is the -(a)d-suffix of a few intransitive class II verbs in which the - $d$ - is followed by $-i^{i}$ - in aorist, $-i$ - in non-aorist forms (see $\$ 40$, 16). This aoristic -ad-appears always umlauted to -id-.
cugwid $\bar{\imath}^{i}$-, non-aorist cuk゚di- lie curled up
wik! id $\bar{\imath}^{i}$, non-aorist $w \bar{\tau}^{-\varepsilon} k i d i$ - lie heaped about
t'gup!idi (box, canoe) lies bottom side up
5. -t!-. This consonant has been found as an evident suflix in:
$b \bar{a}^{a}-d i^{\prime} n \bar{\imath} t!a n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I strung (dentalia) on line (59.9) (cf. dink!streteh out)
tgemẽt!ia ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$ it gets dark 188.14 (ef. $t^{*} g e^{e} m t^{\prime} g a^{\wedge} m x$ it is quite dark [cf. 196.7]; alt'ge'm black 162.4; [196.6])
[^19]6．－！ー，－バー．As in the case of $-b$－，it seems advisable to recognize two distinct－g－suffixes，the one appearing as a transitivizing element，the other as a verb－making element added on to nouns or adjectives．Examples of its transitive use are：
p！alaga＇s $n$ I tell him a myth
al－ts！ayaga＇s $n$ I wash him（64．5）
$p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$－wa－geleg $i^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I drill for fire with it（SS．12）
$\bar{\imath}-k!u s^{\circ} i^{\prime} x i n k{ }^{\prime}$ he will pinch me（116．8，12）（cf． $\bar{\imath}-k!u s^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} k!^{\prime x} a s \cdot i$ he always pinches me）
da－t！abaga＇s $n$ I finish it（61．S；176．6）
$d \bar{a}^{a}$－dalaga＇mda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I put holes in his ear（29．1）（cf．$d \bar{a}^{a}$－dele ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\circ} i$ he stuck it across his ear）
swadãt ${ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I run after him（59．13；75．3；120．19，20）
Examples of its use in adjectival intransitives are：
$t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \epsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ he feels hot，it is hot 94.15 （cf．$t^{\prime} \tilde{u}$ hot 57．15）
$d \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} k^{k}$ it is good，he does right 180.11 （cf．$d \bar{u} \operatorname{good}$ ，beautiful $58.7,5)$
t＇guñ̂k＇de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I feel cold（90．3）（cf．$t^{\prime} g u ̈ n p^{\prime} a^{u s} t^{\prime}$ it will be cold）
xuma＇k＇dee I shall be full，satiated（128．11）（cf．xu＇ma food 54.4 and $s \cdot i x$－xu＇m dried venison $43.12,13$ ）
gel－dulu＇k＇de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I am lazy
Further examples of $-k$－that are difficult to classify are：
de－liimiu＇srade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I tell the truth（184．3）
sin－wilik＇ap＂dam you blow your nose
yala＇k＇de ${ }^{s}$ I dive（connected with yal－lose［？］）$(60.10,11 ; 61.11)$
In wa－t！ilik＇$n i^{\varepsilon} n$ I gave each one（130．4）（future wa－dilnhin）and in the morphologically analogous $d \bar{a}^{a}-m i n i \tilde{k} k^{*} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I TaUght him （future $d^{a} \bar{a}^{a} \tilde{n} \tilde{t}^{\prime} a n$ ），the $-k^{*}$－is confined to the aorist．In wet ${ }^{\prime} g i$ he took it from mam 16．13，the $-g$－is found only in the third personal object of the various tense－modes（wêt gin ir was TAKEX FROM HM 13．11；wedék＇ink＇he whll Take it from man $(17.10,11)$ ．All other forms of the aorist stem weed－（verb－ stem wede－）lack it：
wesi（from＊wit＇si）he took it from me（17．3）
wede＇sbinki＇he will take it from you $(16.10,11)$
 sitives．Examples are：
$w_{i}^{-\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} y e^{\epsilon} k!i n$ he is surrounded on all sides（transitives and passives are closely related）48．5，13；（176．14）
al－p！ $\bar{i}^{i}-t s!!u^{\prime} l u \mathrm{k}!i^{i} n$ I burn it（73．9，12；96．26）（cf．al－p！ $\bar{i}^{i}-t s!u^{\prime} l-$ $t s^{\prime}$ ！alhip＇do ye burn it！198．10）
boas]
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$d \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-s g \ddot{u}^{\prime} y \ddot{u} \mathrm{k}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I make it fall $(48.7,8,12)$
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-d e-l e^{\prime} l e \mathrm{k}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I finish talking 50.4
$d i^{\prime} n i k!a^{\varepsilon} n$ I stretch it out (see under suffix -t!-) (59.9; 62.1)
héyek! $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I left it over ( $61.7 ; 196.8$ )
$p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} u \mathrm{k}!a^{\epsilon} n$ I name him (158.5) (cf. $p!\bar{u}^{\prime} w \bar{u} p!a u s i$ he keeps calling me)
$t s^{*}!$ ini ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} \mathrm{k}^{*}$ he pinched it 31.1 ; (32.7)
$b a-i-y u n u^{\prime} \mathrm{k}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I pull it out forcibly
$h e^{e \varepsilon-}-\overline{-}-l e^{\prime} m e k!i^{\epsilon} n$ I killed them off (14.13; 43.1; 108.20)
$\bar{\imath}$-go $y o \mathrm{k}!!^{\varepsilon} n$ I pushed him(49.2) (cf. $\overline{\text { - }}$-goyogiyi' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I kept pushing him)
$b a-i-s \cdot i n-x i^{\prime} l i \mathrm{k}$ !wis $n$ I blow my nose (cf. xin mucus)
$p!a-i-t^{\prime} g w i l i^{\prime} k!w a n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I spill (water, blood) (58.1; 72.8) (cf. $t^{\prime} g w i \bar{l}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} t^{\prime} g w a l^{\varepsilon}$ it keeps dropping)

- $k$ !- seems to occur also in the perhaps only secondarily intransitive:
$b \bar{a}^{a}-s^{*} o w \bar{o}^{\prime} u \varepsilon \mathrm{k}^{\prime} a p^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}\left(=-s^{*} o w \bar{o}^{\prime \prime} k!-h a p^{\circ}-\right)$ I jump up (48.15; 49.1)
- (cf. $s^{\cdot} o^{\prime} w \bar{o}^{u} s^{\cdot} a^{u \varepsilon}$ he keeps jumping $[112.5,10]$ )

8. -ts:!-. Only in a very few cases is this suflixed consonant met with:
tgeits! $i$ round thing lies (138.24)
$d \bar{i}^{i}-t^{\prime} g u m u^{\prime} \mathrm{tc}!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I squeeze and crack it (cf. $d \bar{i}^{i}-t^{\prime} g u m u^{\prime} t^{\prime} g i m i^{\varepsilon} n$ I squeeze and crack many insects)
yow $\bar{o}^{\prime \mu}$ s he starts 186.10 ; yowósuts!ana $n$ I cause him to start
ha-yau-t ge'nets! $i^{s} n$ I put it about my waist
$h a^{\varepsilon} w-\overline{-}-h a^{\prime} n a t s!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I made it stop (raining) (152.16)
Judging from these few examples. -ts!- is characteristic, like -b-, $-g-,-p!-,-k!-$, and $-t!-$, of transitive verbs; $t^{\prime} g e i t s \cdot!\tau$ is probably related to a transitive $*^{\prime} g e^{\prime} y e t s \cdot!a^{\varepsilon} n$, as is dink! $\bar{\imath}$ it lies STRETCHED OUT to $d i^{\prime} n i k!a^{\varepsilon} n$.
$\boldsymbol{- s}$ - occurs as an evident suffix in:
$d \bar{\imath}^{i}-t!\bar{\imath}^{i} i^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon} n$ I mashed them (cf. $d \bar{\imath}^{i}-t!i y \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t!i y a^{\varepsilon} n$ I mashed them one after another)
9.     - (a)l-. This suffix includes both intransitives and transitives:
al-gesegasa'lt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ was washing
ǩebilìt t $e^{\varepsilon}$ I was long absent (124.20)
$s \cdot u^{\varepsilon}$ wilũt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I sit (21.1); 72.9; (178.21)
yamlit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I look pretty ( $[?]=$ fat, sleek; cf. ya'mx fat, grease 54.5)
al-we'k!ala ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I shine (126.3; 128.14)
$\bar{\imath}-t^{\prime} w \bar{\imath}^{i} y i i^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I make it whirl up
$\bar{i}-k!e^{e} w i i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I whirl it around
$\bar{\imath}-t^{\prime} g e^{e} y i l i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I roll it around
al-t $t^{\prime} g \bar{z}^{2} y$ a ${ }^{`} 1 x$ (tears) roll down his face 138.25
$b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t^{\prime} g w \bar{a}^{a} l a^{`} l x$ (children) run about
$k^{*} e w e^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} a w \mathrm{a}^{\epsilon} \mathrm{l}$ he barks
de-gülü'k!alx it was blazing 188.15

The idea of unbroken continuity is fairly evidently shown by these examples to be connected with the suffix -(a)l-.
10. -( (1) $\boldsymbol{n}$-. Quite a number of intransitives are found that have this element, to which no particular meaning can be assigned. Such are:
$s \cdot a s$ init $t^{*} e^{\varepsilon}$ I stand (34.1; 77.9; 144.14,17)
moyūgwa'nt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I'm spoiled
$h \ddot{u}^{u} \mathrm{l}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}$ nt $t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am tired (102.1) (cf. hüliu'kilint $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I used to be tired [48.11])
ligint' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am resting (100.14)(cf. ligilaga'nt' he kept resting 102.1)
In a large number of transitives a suffixed $-n$ - is also found, without its being clearly possible to identify it either with the causative $-n$ - or the indirect objective $-n(a n)$ - FOR:
lawadana $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hurt him (186.12)
$t s!i b i n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I make a speech to him (146.11; 178.11)
wa-tililik'n $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I gave each one (130.4)
k!emna'n I shall make it (28.2,13,14) (aorist without object k!eme'na $x a^{\varepsilon}$ he makes)
$w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{u}^{u} g w \mathrm{in}^{\prime \varepsilon^{\varepsilon}}{ }_{n}$ I drink it with it ( $\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} \mathrm{n} x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I drink)
he $e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-w a^{\varepsilon}-w \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} i^{\prime} n$ she is bought with it
The last two examples are rather different in character from the others. Sce § 64 .
11. - $\|^{-}$-. Two apparently quite distinct $-w$-suffixes must be taken account of.
(1) A suflixed $-w$ - is found to characterize in all forms a group of intransitives belonging to Type 2; it is only in certain derivative forms that the $-w$ - is lacking, and thereby possibly shown to be a non-radical clement:
hiwilintt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ ran to (24.1), but liwilillt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ used to run to sgeleñt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I shouted (196.1), but sgelèlt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I kept shouting (59.3)
Examples of this group of verbs are:
Aorist
syfléus he shouted $59.4 ; 90.8$
lifwilíus he ran to $47.1 ; 70.7$
bili'us he jumped $48.9 ; 58.3$
de-wiliwa'ldacn I fighthim (de-
rivative of intransitive)(27.3)
hilius he climbed (77.8)

Future (non-aorist)
sgelwa $a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he will shout
hiwilw $a^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime}$ he will run to (136.21)
bilw ' $a^{\prime s} t^{\prime}{ }^{\text {' }}$ he will jump (160.16)
de-wilwa'ldan I shall fight him (33.2,3)
hilw $a^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime}$ he will climb

[^20]In non-aoristic forms the phonetic conditions may, as usual, necessitate an inorganic - $a-$ :
ge wila'u run there! (29.10)
sgela'ut $e^{e}$ I shall shout
bila'ut $e^{e}$ I shall jump (160.17)
In these cases the evidence for the suffixal character of the -w- is rather slim. In one verb, however, it has a clearly intransitivizing influence:
t!emeyana ${ }^{\prime \mu \varepsilon}$ (second $a$ inor- : t!amayana's $n$ I take her to her ganic) he goes with woman husband (148.5) to see her married 148.6
t!emeya'nwia ${ }^{u s}$ they (indef.) go
with her to see her married 178.1
(2) $-w$ - (-aw-after a consonant in the aorist) is characteristic of all tense-modes but, in some cases, the present imperative and inferential (probably for phonetic reasons, see $\S \$ 11$ and 18 ) of a number of transitive verbs, provided the object is of the third person. Such verbs are:
gayawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I eat it 30.11 (gayaũ he ate it 54.5) ; future ga-iwa'n 128.18; noun of agent ga-iwa's $s$ cater (of it) 94.3 ; but imperative gai eat it! 32.4; gaĩk' he ate it (inferential) 142. 19
al-sgalawi's $n$ I turn my head to look at him; future $s g \bar{a}^{a} l w i^{\prime} n$; part. sgala'uk' (-a'- is inorganic) 144.17 ; but sgãlk' $a^{\varepsilon}$ I looked - at him turning my head (inferential)
al-sgal $\bar{a}^{a} l i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (Type 8) I keep turning my head to look at him; future sgalwalwi'n; but sgelēlxi he keeps turning his head to look at me
$b a-i-d e-y e^{e} g i w i d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will drive (sickness) out of (body) 198.4,5; imperative -ye eqa'u $^{\prime}$ u
$w \bar{a}^{a} g i{ }^{2} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I brought it to him (176.17); future wafawi'n; but $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I brought it to you (194.11)
$l \bar{a}^{a}{ }^{\prime} a^{\prime} \mathrm{u} h i$ he caused them to become (la $\bar{a}^{a} l$ - become) 43.1
It is very likely that the absence of the - $w$-is conditioned, at least in certain forms, rather by phonetie than by morphologic motives (gã from * gaĩw; syãlk' $a^{\varepsilon}$ from *s $g a \tilde{l} w^{*} k^{*} a^{\varepsilon}$ ). This is rendered plausible by a form like ga-iwawa'lsbink' THey whl always eat you 26.8 (repetition of -w-in frequentative as in al-sgalwalwi'n), in which the object is not of the third person. The - $w$-seems to have been retained here because of the following vowel. The form $w \bar{a}^{a} I a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I broughit 1 t (110.17) as com-
pared with $w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I brought it to mim (future waga'n: wagawi'n) suggests that the signification of the $-w$ - in transitive verbs is to indicate the indirect object, at least for the third person. It is, however, almost certainly accidental that $w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\prime \epsilon} n$ stands by the side of $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ with $-s$ - to indicate the indirect object. That $-w$ - is not the morphologic equivalent of $-s$ - is evidenced by the fact that it stands also by the side of the transitive connective consonant $-x$ - (cf. al-sgalawi ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} n$ : al-sgala' $x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I turn my head to look at you). It must be confessed that after all no very distinct signification can be attached to either the intransitive or transitive $-w$-.
12. Constant -a. A number of verbs whose stem (including petrified suffix) ends in two consonants add to this stem an $-a$ that appears in all their forms, even though the consonant combination is one that may stand in a final position (cf. footnote, § 10). No reason can be assigned for the retention of the $-a$ in all forms, except the ruling analogy of the aorist; in this tense-mode the $-a$ is in all probability directly due to the consonant-cluster, as the aorist verb-forms to be presently given differ in this very respect from the aorist forms of other stems ending in two consonants (e. g., non-aorist $s \cdot \ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}} m t^{\prime} a$ - boIL with constant $-a-$, though ending in a finally permissible consonant-cluster, because of aorist $t s \cdot!\ddot{u} m \ddot{u}^{u} m t^{\prime} a$-; contrast non-aorist $s \cdot o m d-$ BoIL without $-a$ - because of aorist s.omod-). The following are examples of verbs of the character described:

| Aorist | Non-arist |
| :---: | :---: |
| swadãt'ga he followed him 75.3 | $s w a^{\prime} t^{\prime} g$ a follow him! |
| mats!ãsga he always put it 132.9 | masga' put it! 104.5 |
| $t s$ !üm $\tilde{u} m t^{\prime}$ a he boils it 30.2 | $s \cdot \tilde{u} m t{ }^{\text {a }}$ a boil it! |
| $d \tilde{a}^{a}-$ mincke'da he taught him | $d \bar{a}^{a}-m i \tilde{n} t^{\prime}$ a teach him! (contrast $w \bar{a}^{a} h \tilde{\imath} m t^{e}$ talk to him! with aorist -himid-) |

If the verb is instrumental in vocalism (see § 64), the constant $a$ is replaced by the instrumental $i$. Thus: $\bar{r}-k!o s \cdot \tilde{o} \cdot g i$ he keeps pinching him
That this constant $-a$ is felt to be somewhat different in character from ordinary inorganic or connective -a- (as in ts ! lela'mt $e^{*}$ or $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ ) is shown by the fact that it is changed to $-i$ - when-
ever the object is not of the third person, in reciprocals, in reflexives, and in verbs with non-agentive $-x$-:
swedẽt gixi he followed me
$d \bar{a}^{a}-m i n i k * d i x b i$ he taught you
yow $\bar{o}^{\prime \mu \varepsilon} s n i x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I cause you to start (but parallel yow ${ }^{\prime \prime} t s!a n x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ with connecting $a$ )
wayãnhixbicn I put you to sleep; wainhixigam I was put to sleep
$\bar{\imath}-k!u ̈ s^{\cdot} \tilde{u} s^{*} g i x i$ he keeps pinching me; $\bar{\imath}-k!u \ddot{u} s^{*} g i^{\prime} x i n k{ }^{*}$ he will pinch me
$\bar{\imath}-t!e n e^{\prime} h i s d a m$ you hold me $86.13,14$.
$i-$-lasgi'xant ${ }^{\prime} p$ touch one another!
$\bar{\imath}$-lesgi'k'wit touching himself
$b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} e k!\bar{e} l h i x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I keep bobbing up (60.11,13,14)

## § 43. FREQUENTATIVES AND USITATIVES

Frequentatives, continuatives, and usitatives are formed from simpler verb forms in great part by various methods of repetition of all or part of the phonetic material of the stem, to a somewhat less extent by means of suffixation. In many repetitive forms a distinct tendency to use a long vowel provided with a rising pitch-accent is observable. As it has not been found feasible to draw anything like sharp lines between the exact significations of the various repetitive forms, it seems best to dispose of the material from a purely formal point of view rather than to attempt to classify it rigidly into frequentatives, iteratives, usitatives, and continuatives. The methods of forming repetitives will be taken up in order.

1. Type 13 of Stem-Formation. It was remarked before that most verbs of this type normally employed in that form are such as to imply a repetition of the action they express. The type may, moreover, be freely formed from bases implying non-repetitive action whenever it is desired to convey a general frequentative or usitative meaning. The frequentative idea may have reference to the repetition of the act itself (itcrative or usitative) or to the plurality of the transitive object or intransitive subject affected (distributive); any sharp characterization of the manner of the frequentative action in each case is, however, doubtless artificial apart from the context. The following examples of repetitive with corresponding non-repetitive forms will illustrate the general frequentative force:

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Non-repetitive vert-stem \& Repetitive <br>
\hline lebe-pick up and eat (seeds) \& le ep p'lap' (non-aorist) pick and eat many (seeds)! 34.2 <br>
\hline loho-n-cause to die \& loho'lahanán I used to kill them <br>
\hline wog- arrive \& wogowa's $k^{*}$ many arrived 112.2 <br>
\hline $\int t$ foxox- (aorist) gather \& $$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w a^{\varepsilon}-\overline{-}-t!o x o^{\prime} t!i x i^{\varepsilon} n ~ I ~ u s e d ~ t o ~ \\
\text { gather them }
\end{array}\right.
$$ <br>
\hline disur ${ }^{\text {- }}$ (non-aorist) \& wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-d \bar{o} x d a^{`} x k^{\prime}$ they have been gathering them (inferential) <br>
\hline hen-d-wait for \& hene'handas $n$ I always used to wait for him <br>
\hline odo- hunt for \& odo' ${ }^{\prime}$ at she always hunted for them 116.6 <br>
\hline $o g$-give to \& $o g o^{\prime \varepsilon} a k ' i$ he always gave them
$$
112.17
$$ <br>
\hline $d \bar{y}^{4} m$ - kill \& dō ${ }^{u} m d a^{\circ} m k{ }^{\prime}$ he used to kill them (inferential) 25.1; 27.15 <br>

\hline $w i^{i}$ - qo, travel \& | wiyiwit ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ used to go (there) |
| :--- |
| (96.1) | <br>

\hline $p!\bar{a}^{a} g$ - swim \& $p!a g a^{\prime} p!a^{\text {e }} k^{\prime}$ he used to swim <br>
\hline ts $:$ i $u$ - $d$ - split \& $x a^{\varepsilon-i}-t s!!i w i^{-i} t s!a u$ he split it to pieces <br>
\hline sgip !- cut \&  all cut up (21.2; 138.7) <br>
\hline hül-p!- skin, peel off bark \& $h e^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-i-h i_{i} l i i h a l$ he kept peeling off bark (160.5) <br>
\hline hog-run \& hogo'hak'de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I am always running <br>
\hline heel-sing \& Thele'hal ${ }^{\text {d }}$ he used to sing <br>
\hline al-hüi-x-hunt \& al-h $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} h \bar{i}^{i} x$ he always hunted

$$
\left(-h \bar{\imath}^{i}-=-h a y-, \S 8\right) 86.1
$$ <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

It will be observed that the repetitive form is, on the whole, built up on the verbal base, not the verb or aorist stem. Thus, e. g., the verb-stems lobe- and loho- do not enter into the formation of the frequentatives at all, which are formed, according to Type $13 a$, directly from the simple bases leb- (verb-stem le ${ }^{e}$ plab-, aorist lebelab-) and loh- (verb-stem lohlah-, aorist loholah-). Similarly, a form like p!aga' $p^{\prime}!a^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ shows no trace of the aorist stem p!agaiof the simplex; verbs of Thee 6 generally show the fort is consonant of the base in all forms of the frequentative (see §40, 6) : sgot!o'sgidien I CTT it to pieces ( 144.2 ) (cf. $s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u^{d} d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I CLT it 72.10 , base sgōt!-
45.10). Suffixes with no distinct derivative signification drop off in the frequentative (cf. $t s!i u-d$ - and hull-p!- above, also $\S 42$ passim), but, if they are functional elements, are put after the reduplicated complex (cf. loho- $n$ - and hen- $d$ - above); frequentatives thus become, as was indicated in the treatment of petrified suffixes, criteria for the determination of the simple base. Some verbs, however, retain a petrified suffix in the frequentative without apparent reason: $t s!!\ddot{m} m \bar{u} m t^{\prime} a$ he boils it; $t s^{\prime}!\ddot{u} m \ddot{u}^{\prime} t s!a m t^{\prime} a$ he always boils it.

The only use made of the aorist stem in the formation of frequentatives is in the case of such forms as have an initial fortis in the aorist as against a media in the verb-stem, mainly verbs of Type 8. The aorist of the corresponding frequentative also shows the initial fortis, but is not otherwise influenced by the form of the aorist stem of its simplex; e. g., aorist of simplex, t!oxox-, but of frequentative, t!ox-o-t!ax- with retained t!-. Such verbs as aorist t!oxot!ax, non-aorist $d \bar{o}^{u} x d a x$-, are to be considered as of mixed type (in this case partly 8, partly $13 a$ ).

Verbs like odo $o^{\varepsilon} a d$ - and $o g o^{\varepsilon} a g$ - with a secondarily developed glottal eatch in the aorist (see §6) seem to retain this catch in non-aorist forms, a stop + the catch resulting in a fortis:
aorist $o g o^{\prime \varepsilon} a g$ - always give to; non-aorist $o^{\prime} k![w] a y-$
A small sub-class is formed by those frequentatives that omit the $-a-$ of the repeated base (Type $13 c$ ). Such are:

| Verb-stem | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
| $w a-y a n a g w a^{\prime} n$ I shall run after him | wa-yana-inagwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I used to run after him |
| waîte $e^{e}$ I shall sleep (71.15; 142.14) | wayañhide $e^{\varepsilon}$ I used to sleep (- $h$ - conditioned by accent) |
|  <br> (106.7) | yonoin $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I always sing it |
| waga' $n$ I shall bring it | wagao ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to bring it ( ? = *wagawg-, but see 4, footnote) (45.6) |

A very peculiar type of frequentative formation is illustrated by:
loha'lhik' ( $a^{\prime}$ is inorganic) they used to die (inferential)(16s.9); aorist stem doubtless loholhi-
derived from aorist lohoi- die, non-aorist loho- (contrast aorist loho-lah-an-, non-aorist lohlah-an in the causative). The otherwise purely aoristic $-i$ - of Type 4 is here dragged into the non-aorist forms.
2. Type 4 of Reduplication. This method of forming the frequentative secms to be but a variant of the first (the repeated initial consonant coming last instead of immediately after the connecting vowel, or the initial consonant not being repeated at all if there is a petrified suffix), and is found in only a few verbs, where it takes the place of the first method. A glottal catch generally separates the repeated vowel of the stem from the immediately following $a$. Examples are:
ki!eme $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Aorist stem } \\ -i- \\ -i-\end{array}\right\}$ make
t!omom- kill
k! $\bar{w} w \bar{u} w-$ throw away (pl. obj.)
$p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}-k!-$ call, name
$d e-t s!i n i^{\varepsilon}-x-(=t s!i n i-k!-x-)$ die

Repetitive
$k!e m e^{\prime \varepsilon} a m g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I always make it (instead of *h!eme'$k!a m a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) (77.5) ; k!em $a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} m k{ }^{\circ}$ ( $=-{ }^{\varepsilon} a m g-k^{e}$ he used to make it (inferential) 122.18
$t!o m o^{\prime} a m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to kill them (instead of *t!omo'$\left.t!a m a^{\varepsilon} n\right)(13.10 ; 54.3)$
$k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a u g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to throw them away (instead of *k! $\bar{u}$ $w \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a w a^{\varepsilon} n$ ) (134.6)
$p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a-u g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I keep calling his name (100.21) (instead of *p!ūw $\bar{u}^{\prime} p!a u k!a^{\varepsilon} n$; cf. $p!\bar{u}^{\prime}-$ $w \bar{u} p!a u s \cdot i$ he keeps calling me by name)
de-ts ${ }^{\circ}$ iníanx he always died (instead of *ts!inūts! anx) 74.7
leme'amk' he used to take (everything) (instead of *leme'lamk')

If the initial consonant is a fortis, it becomes a media when repeated, as illustrated in the first three examples. This may be explained by catch dissimilation (see §22)—e. g., a theoretical *k! $\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a u^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ (from $* k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a u$ ) is dissimilated to $k!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a u k^{\prime}$. Similarly a theoretical ${ }^{*} p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a u^{\varepsilon} k^{\circ}$ (from ${ }^{*} p!\bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} p!a u^{\varepsilon} k^{*}$ ) is (lissimilated to $p^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a u k{ }^{\prime}$. The non-aorist frequentative forms of these verbs sometimes follow the first method of formation (cf. $d \bar{o}^{u} m d a^{\prime} m k{ }^{\prime}$ under method 1), sometimes the second (as $k!e m^{\varepsilon} a m g$-).
3. $c+v+c_{1}+v+c$. The few verbs that belong here differ from the preceding in that they repeat only the initial consonant after the repeated stem-vowel ('Type 11). An example is:

Aorist stem
$d \bar{i}-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u} i$ - wear

Repetitive
$d \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u}^{\prime} t^{\circ}$ he keeps wearing
it, used to wear it

As in the first method, so also in the second and third, nonradical functionless elements of the simplex disappear in the frequentative. Thus the suffixed $-i$ - of $k!e m e ̀ t ~ h e ~ m a d e ~ i t ~ a n d ~-n-~ o f ~$ $k!e m e^{\prime} n x a^{\varepsilon}$ He makes, also the aorist characteristic of $d \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} q u \bar{u} \tilde{\imath}$ ne wore IT, are not found in their corresponding frequentative forms.
4. $\boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{c}+\boldsymbol{v}^{v}+\boldsymbol{c}$. The large number of verbs whose frequentatives follow this formula ( $1 a$ of types of reduplication) always have another consonant, whether part of the stem or a petrified suffix, after the nonfortis repeated consonant characterizing the frequentative, so that the appearance at least of infixation is often produced. Externally, frequentatives of this type resemble aorists of verbs of Type 8 , but differ from them in the consistent length of the repeated vowel. In signification these verbs are generally continuative or usitative rather than properly frequentative or iterative. As examples may be given:

| Aorist stem | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
| k!os $0-g$ - pinch | $\bar{i}$-klos $\tilde{o} s \cdot g i$ he is always pinching him |
| himi-d- talk to | $w \bar{a}^{a}$ - $h i m i{ }^{i} m d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I used to talk to him |
| baxam- come | baxãxmiaus they keep coming (194.13) |
| $t$ tülü-g- follow | $h a-t!u ̈ l \ddot{u} \ddot{u} l g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I keep following in (trail) |
| al-sgal-aw- turn head to look at | al-sgalăaliwi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} m \mathrm{I}$ keep turning my head to look at them |
| gaya-w- eat | gayāiwar $n$ I used to eat it |
| hene-d- wait for | hene ${ }^{e} n d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I keep waiting for him |
| p!alag- tell a myth | $p!a l \bar{a}^{a} l g a^{\prime} n$ the myth is always told |
| hem-g- take out | ba-i-heme ${ }^{\rho} m g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \quad$ I always took them out |
| $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} s^{-}$- laugh | $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{i}{ }^{1} s \cdot d e^{\varepsilon}$ (dissimilated from * $\left.\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} \bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} s^{*}-[?]\right)$ I keep laughing |
| ts!ayag-shoot | ts!ayaik' he used to shoot them 154.14 |
| yilim- ask for | yilīinma's $n$ I keep asking for it (see § 21) |


| Aorist stem | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
| ts!aya-m- hide | ts!aya-ima' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ always hide it (134.8) |
| gini-g goto | ginink they went there one after another 46.11 |
| mats!ay- put | mats!ãsga they always put it away 132.9 |
| wits $!$ im- move | wits $!$ ismade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I keep moving |
| sgelew-shout | sgelēlt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ (see § 18) I keep shouting (59.3) |
| hiviliu- run to | hiwililt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ (see § 18) I keep running |

The verb yewei- return seems to form its frequentative according to method 4 , but with added $-g-$ :
yewè'ok' he used to come back 47.4; 116.2; yewèoga't you used to come back; yewèo'k'de $e^{\varepsilon}$, yewèuk'de $e^{\varepsilon 1}$ I used to come back

There is not enough material available to determine in every case the non-aoristic forms of the frequentatives of this group. As a general rule, however, it seems that the non-aoristic stem of the frequentative is formed by repeating a consonant or semi-vowel, but in such a manner as to indicate the non-aoristic simplex back of it. Thus the frequentative of the inferential $t s!a \tilde{\imath} m k^{\prime}$ не HID IT is $t s!a-i m i k{ }^{\prime}$ не was always hiding it; of billà]uk' he jumped 160.17 it is bilwàlk' (? = *bilwàlwk') THEY ALWAYs JUMPEd 160.16. From gaĩk" (inferential) he ate it 142.19 is formed gayaikk (if really inferential in form; perhaps third person subject aorist gayaig- in contrast to -gayäiw of other persons, see above) he used to eat it 54.6 , which, though resembling the aorist in the repetition of the stem-vowel, differs from it, probably for phonetic reasons, in the absence of the $-w$-. The form wits ! $e^{\prime} s-$ made ${ }^{e}$ he will keep moving, given as the future of wits ! ismade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, can not, for want of parallel forms, be accounted for. From $s g \bar{a}^{a} l w-$. non-aorist of sgalaw-, is formed the frequentative sgalw-alw- (perhaps according to Type $8, l w$-being a consonatic unit).
5. Jowel lengthening. Many verbs, particularly such as belong to Type 2, obtain a usitative signification by merely lengthening the short repeated rowel of the stem, this vowel, when stressed, assuming the falling accent. Examples of this simple process are:

[^21]Simplex
yimi's ${ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ he dreams lük! $u^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ he sets traps geyewa'lxdee $d a^{\varepsilon} b a-i k!i y i^{\prime \varepsilon} k$, when I ate he came
$k^{\prime} e w e^{\prime} k^{*} a w a^{\varepsilon} l$ he barks

Repetitive
yimí ${ }^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a^{\varepsilon}$ he is always dreaming lük! $\bar{u}^{\prime} u x a^{\varepsilon}$ he used to set traps geye $w a^{\prime} l x d e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon} \quad b a-i-k!i y i^{-i \epsilon} k^{*}$ whenever I used to cat he came
$k^{*} e w e^{\prime} \mathfrak{k}^{\prime}$ auád he is always barking

As the last example shows, by this method verbs which are already frequentative in form can be made to take on a usitative meaning.
6. $\tilde{\boldsymbol{v}}+(\boldsymbol{c}+)$ hre. The accented vowel ( $\bar{v})$ of frequentatives conforming to this formula is either the second vowel of the stem of the simplex or the repeated vowel of the stem not found in the simplex, and is followed by the last consonant (semi-vowel) of such verb-stems as end in two consonants. The forms that belong to this group seem in some cases to have rather a continuative than iterative force. Examples are:

Simplex
lohõn he caused them to die lohõnha he keeps killing them (100.8)
liwila'ut'e $e^{\varepsilon}$ looked (59.14) liwilhaut'es I keptlooking(144.19)
$w \tilde{o}^{u} l t^{*}$ she went for (wood) (nonaorist woo-) (162.8) ; 186.6
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-sgek! $\tilde{\imath}$ he listened 102.8
$d \bar{a}^{a}-a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I heard it (55.3)
$s \cdot u^{\varepsilon}$ will he sits, stays 21.1
$s^{*} \cdot a s^{\prime} \cdot i n i t t^{*} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ stand (34.1)
wo $\tilde{o}^{u} h a$ she used to go for wood 43.15; 158.1S
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-sgek!eiha he listened around 102.3
$d \tilde{a}^{a}$-agãnhi $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I used to hear about it
[ $s \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ allua ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ they always stayed (together) 112.2
$s \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a l h i b i k{ }^{\prime}$ we always stay together
$s \cdot a s^{\cdot} a^{\prime} n h a p^{\circ} d e^{\epsilon}$ I stand around

The last two examples do not show a rising pitch-accent, because the vowel ( $-a-$ ) preceding the $-l$ - and,$-n$ - respectively is inorganic and therefore incapable of carrying a rising or raised aceent (cf. as parallel bila'ut'e $e^{\text {I shall jump, not }}$ *bilañt ee, because of inorganic $-a-$ ). They also illustrate the loss in the frequentative of a nonradical element $\left(-i^{i}\right)$ of the simplex; in $s \cdot \bar{u}^{\epsilon} a l h a^{\varepsilon}$ the loss of the $-i^{i}-$ involves also the transfer of the verb to the first class of intransitives (second person singular, Class I, $s^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} a l h a t$ you stay around; Class II, $s^{\prime} u^{\varepsilon}$ wiliti'am You sit).
7. $\tilde{i}+$ lha. It is very probable that the verbs that belong here contain the continuative $-l$ - treated under the head of petrified suffixes (see $\S 42,9$ ). The formula may then be considered morphologically identical with that listed as method 5, except that the continuative -7 - is introduced before the -ha. Examples of this group are:
. Aorist (or verb) stem
t!oxox- gather
( $b \bar{a}^{a}-t t^{\prime} e k!-x$ emerge)
(s!n̄p!- cut)
$k$ !ot $k$ !ad-break
(al-xīk!-see)
gwidi $\left(k^{\bullet}{ }^{w} d\right)$ - throw
(lok!- trap)
wa- ${ }^{\varepsilon}-t$ t!oxollhi $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I always gather them
 emerged 60.11
$b \bar{a}^{a}-t \in k!\tilde{e} l h i x d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ keep emerging (60.14)
$x a-{ }^{-} \bar{\imath}$-sgip!ilhi he cut them all through 26.11
$x a^{-} \bar{\imath}-y \bar{a}^{a}-k!o d o l h i$ he always just broke them in two 29.1
al-xik! $\bar{\imath} l h i^{\epsilon} n$ I used to see him gwidilha he kept throwing it (164.11)
lok! ôlha he was always trapping them 78.4; 100.4

The non-aoristic forms of these frequentatives dispense with the repeated vowel (i) characteristic of the aorist, so that the introduction of an inorganic $-a^{\prime}$ - is necessitated:
gwida'lhan I shall keep throwing it
al-xik! a'lhik' I used to see him (inferential)
The remarks made under method 1 in regard to the formation of frequentatives directly from the verb-stem rather than the aorist stem apply also here (sgot!õlha 108.8 from verb-stem sgōt!- cut, aorist $s g \tilde{o}^{u} d-$, like sgot!o'sgat').
8. $v+w+v+l h a$. Only two verbs have been found that follow this very irregular formula for the frequentative:

| Simplex | Repetitive |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | [lawa'lhip' always become! (78.5) |
| $l \bar{a}^{a} \bar{e}^{-}$it became 22.7 | dahõxa lawa'lhida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ whenever it |
| ligiqwa ${ }^{\prime} n$ I fetch (game) | liwi'lhagwa ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} n$ I always come |
| home ( $70.3,5 ; 164.4$ ) | home with (game) (136.2) |

The latter of these shows at the same time an unaccountable loss of the $-g$ - of the stem; the future of the simplex, $l \bar{\imath}^{i} g w a^{\prime} n$, probably does not exhibit an absolute loss of the $-g$-, but rather a contraction of $l \bar{i} g-g w$ - to $l^{i} i g w-$.

## § 44. General Remarks

Under this head may be conveniently listed a number of suffixes that either transitivize intransitives (causative, comitative, indirective -amd-, -ald-) or are characteristic of transitive verbs (indirective $-s-=-t x-$ то, indirective $-a n(a n)-$ For, indirect reflexive). It must be confessed, however, that the various suffixes may be so thoroughly interwoven among themselves and with the purely formal clements that follow, that a certain amount of arbitrariness can hardly be avoided in treating of them. The suffixes will now be taken up in order.

$$
\S 45 . \text { Causative -(a)n- }
$$

Causatives are formed from intransitives by the addition of $-n$ to the intransitive form, minus, of course, its formal pronominal elements. If the final sound preceding the $-n$ - is a vowel, the suffix can be directly appended, the vowel being generally lengthened; a final consonant (or semivowel), however, generally, though not always, requires a connective $-a-(-i$ when umlauted) between it and the suffix; doublets (with and without connective - $a$-) sometimes occur, the combination of consonant $+-n$ - then taking a constant $-a(-i)$ after it. If the accented vowel $(\hat{v})$ of the aorist immediately precedes the $-n$ in all forms, an inorganic $-h$ - must be introduced, the combination $-n h$ - then necessitating a following constant $-a$; doublets, conditioned by the position of the accent, here also occur. Certain sullixed elements ( $-i-,-i^{i}-$ ) characteristic of intransitives drop off before the causative $-n$-, yet in some forms they are retained; intransitivizing elements naturally remain, for without them the verb would itself be transitive and incapable of becoming a causative. The aorist and nonaorist forms of the causative, with the qualification just made, are built up on the corresponding tense-mode forms of the primitive verb. Examples of causative -(a)n- are:

| Intransitive | Causative |
| :---: | :---: |
| yelnada's you will be lost (a palatalized by preceding $y$ to $-e-$ ) 14.3 | yalnanada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will lose it |
| yowo ${ }^{\text {e }}$ he is 21.1 | $b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-y / w_{0} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I woke him up (literally, I caused him to be up with my hand) 16.4 |

$t^{\prime} u \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} k_{i}^{\prime}$ he is hot 94.15
ba-i-biliwa't you ran out 24.15
hãx it burns 94.18
t $^{*}$ aga ${ }^{\prime \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ he cries 62.2
[hoyo'st' he dances 46.12
hoida ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he will dance
$y \bar{a}^{a} n$ - go (aorist)
yana- go (non-aorist)
henc ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ they were used up 184.6
yow ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ he started, was startled 186.10
$y \bar{o}^{\prime} u \varepsilon s d \bar{a}^{a}$ he will start 186.10
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}t \text { tobigi he lies like dead } \\ \text { t!obaga'sd } \bar{a}^{a} \text { he will lie like } \\ \text { dead (148.8) }\end{array}\right.$
s.as'iñ he stands 144.14
$s \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} a n t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ he will stand
de-qülü'k!alx it blazes 188.15
$p^{\prime}+l e^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ he goes to war 126.13
daki-limimxquáa (tree) falls on him (108.12)

Causative
(ba-i-yowon $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I miss him in shooting ( $?=I$ cause him to be out) (138.5)
(ba-i-yowõnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$
$t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u} g a n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I make him hot
ba-i-biliwana't' he ran him out (hãxna he burned it 98.8
hãxankiwa he burned him up 27.16
$\left\{t^{*} a g \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{n}^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right.$ I make him cry
t'egẽnxi he makes me cry
hoyodan $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I make him dance hoidana'n I shall make him dance
[y $\bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime} n$ he made him go; $y \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$ $n a n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I made him go
$y \tilde{a} n h a\left(={ }^{*} y \tilde{a} n-n h a\right)$ he made him go; yãnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I made him go.
yan $\bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{n} a^{\prime} n^{1} \mathrm{I}$ shall cause him to go
$\bar{i}$-henenin $i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I used them up $\int y \bar{o} w \bar{o}^{\prime \prime} t s!$ an $x b i^{\epsilon} n$ I startled you yow $\bar{o}^{\prime u \varepsilon} s$ nix $b i^{\varepsilon} n$ (for change of $a$ to $i$ see § 42, 12)
$\left\{y \bar{o}^{\prime} u t s!\right.$ anan I shall startle him $y \bar{o}^{\bar{u} \varepsilon} \operatorname{sna} n$
$t$ !obigĩnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I make him lie like dead
t!obaga'snan I shall make him lie like dead
(s.as•ininha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I make him stand
$s \cdot a s \cdot \tilde{a} n h a^{\varepsilon} n$
$s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} a n h a n$ I shall make him stand
de-gülü̈'k!alxna ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I make fire blaze
$p^{\bullet} \operatorname{ele}^{\prime} x a n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I make him go to war
dak'-limimxgwadini ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I chop (tree) on to him

[^22]Intransitive
yewe ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he returned 49.10; 88.5

Causative
$b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}$-yewẽn he cured him (literally, he caused him with his hand to return up) 15.2
The causative in -inha-is sometimes usitative in meaning:
lohonnha he used to kill them; lohõn he killed them 142.9
Examples occur of transitives in $-n$ - formed from intransitives in which no causative notion can be detected:
$d a-l o ̃ n h a^{s} n$ I lied to him; de-lünnhixi he lied to me (intransitive da-lõt $e^{e}$ I shall lie [110.23])
gel-way $\bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I slept with her (26.4); gel-wa-ina'n I shall sleep with her (108.3) (intransitive wayãnt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I sleep [188.22]; wait' e I shall sleep [188.20]); but wayãnha ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I cause him to sleep (162.1); wainhan I shall cause him to sleep, wainha put him to sleep! 106.4,8
The connective $a$ of the causative suffix -an-in the aorist is treated differently from the $a$ of the non-aorist forms in so far as in the former case the -an- diphthong, when stressed, receives a raised accent, while in the latter the $a$, as a strictly inorganic element, takes the falling accent. Thus:

| Aorist | Non-aorist |
| :--- | :--- |
| $h \bar{o}^{u} g w a ' n ~ h e ~ m a d e ~ h i m ~ r u n ~$ | hogwa'n make him run! |
| (yewēnhe caused him to return) | $y e^{e} w a^{\prime}$ n make him return! |
| (p!agãn he bathed him [186.25]) | $p!\bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime} n$ bathe him! 186.24 |

In other words, the phonetic relation between aorist and non-aorist illustrated by several verb types (c.g., agan-: ag[a]n-) is reflected also in the causative suffix ( $-a n-:-[a] n-$ ). The same is true of other $-[a] n-$ suffixes not causative in signification (see $\S 42,10$ ):

| Aorist | Non-aorist |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ he fixed it 150.13 | $\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u} m a^{\prime} n$ fix it! |
| $\left(k!e m e ́ n x b i^{\varepsilon} n\right.$ I make you 27.9) | k!ema'n make it! 186.24 |

§ 48. Comitative -(a) gw-
Comitatives, i. e., transitive forms with the general meaning of to do some action (expressed by verb-stem) together witil, attended by, having sometining (expressed by object of verb), may be formed only from intransitives by the suffix $-g w-$ (final $-k^{*}$, rarely $-k w a$ in monosyllables); after a consonant (including semivowel) a connective $-a$ - appears before the $-g w$-, though in a few cases (as in aorist $y \bar{a}^{a} n-$ GO) the $-g w$ - is directly appended. Dissyllabic stems ending in vowel $+-g$-or $-w$ - often add the comitative $-g w$ - directly, in
which case the preceding vowel is generally lengthened; doublets, however, are sometimes found with connecting $a$. The second rowel of aorist stems is apt to be lengthened in comitative forms, yet not as consistently as in the case of causatives. Differing in this respect from the causative - $n$-, the comitative suffix does not require the loss of a final aoristic intransitive element (e. g., $-i$-). From aorist lohoidie are formed lohou-n- cause to die, but lohoy-agw- die together wrrll. The reason seems clear. While the action of a causative verb is logically transitive, that of a comitative is really intransitive, and the verb is only formally transitive. In the former case the subject of the verb does not undergo the action that would be expressed by the intransitive stem (lohoi-); in the latter it does. Examples of the comitative are:

| Intransitive | Comitative |
| :---: | :---: |
| (y $\bar{a}^{a} n$ - go (aorist) | $y \tilde{a} n \mathrm{k}^{\prime \mathrm{w}}$ he takes it along (lit., he goes having it) 17.13 |
| yana- (non-aorist) | yanagwa'nk' he will take it along |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { ligi- come home from hunt } \\ \text { (aorist) }\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { ligi }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{w} \text { he fetched game home } \\ 70.3 \end{array}\right.$ |
| lizig-(non-aorist) | $\left\{\begin{array}{c} l^{i} i \text { gwank } \\ \text { will fetch game home ( } 130.6 \text { ) } \end{array}\right.$ |
| gini $(g)$ - go to | gininigw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I take it to (31.11); also giniyagwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (13.12); future ginagwa'n (=ginag$g w a^{\prime} n$ with inorganic $a$ because of preceding $n$ ) (146.6) |
| dal-yewey-run away | dal-yeweya'k'w he ran away with it |
| $w \bar{i}^{i}$ - travel | wik' wa he travels around with it 14.2 |
| $l \bar{o}^{u} l$ - play | $l \bar{o}^{u} l$ agwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I play with him (124.14) |
| daway-fly | $b \bar{a}^{a}-w a$-dawaya'k'w he flies with it |
| henen- use up, be satiated | henenagw ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I eat it all (43.12) |
| yewey-return | yeweyagw $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I fetch them back <br> (30.1; 47.13) |
| yaway- talk | yawayagwa's $n$ I talk about it (lit., I talk having it) 108.12 |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { e } e l . ~ s i n g ~(n o n-a o r i s t) ~\end{array}\right.$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { nãx-i-he }{ }^{e} \text { lagwa'n I shall sing } \\ \text { with pipe in hand } \end{array}\right.$ |
| \|helel- (aorist) | $\left\{\overline{\text {-heleclagw } a^{\prime \varepsilon} n ~ I ~ s i n g ~ w i t h ~ i t ~ i n ~}\right.$ |
| § 46 | hand |


| Intransitive | Comitative |
| :---: | :---: |
| t!obagas- lie like dead (nonaorist) | $n a ̃ x-d a-t!o b a g a^{\prime} s g w a n k '$ he lies like dead with pipe in moutls |
| $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\varepsilon} s^{-}-$laugh | $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime s} s^{\cdot g w} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I laugh at him |
| baxam- come | da-yawix baxama\%** they came talking (literally, mouth-talking they-camewith) 126.2 |
| biliw- fight, jump | flõu ${ }^{u}$ biliwagwana $k^{\prime}$ we play at fighting (literally, play wo-fight-having) |
|  | wa-bitīigwa's I jump having it ( $=*$ biliugwa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$, see $\S 7$ ) |

If the object of the comitative verb is other than a third person, the suffix $-g w$ - is followed by the indirective $-d-$, which does not ordinarily appear as such, but unites with the immediately following transitive connective $-x$ - to form $-s$-; a connective $-a$ - is inserted between the $-g w$ - and the $-s$-, so that the whole comitative suffix for a first or second personal object is -(a)gwas-. Examples are:
$\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} s^{\cdot}$ gwasi he laughs at me
henenagwa'sam he ate us up (192.15)
$b \bar{a}^{a}-w a$-dawiyagwa'sbink ${ }^{\cdot}$ he will fly up with you
The form -gwad- of the comitative suffix appears as such preceding -in- (umlauted from -an-) in the third personal object of indirect rorforms built up on intransitive verbs derived from transitives:
$l u ̈ k!\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a g w a d i n i^{n} n ~ I ~ t r a p ~ f o r ~ h i m ~(p r o b a b l y=I ~ c a u s e ~[-i n] ~ h i m ~$ to be having [-gwad-] [some one] to trap [lük!!ü-xa-][for him]); but $l u ̈ k!\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a g w a s i$ he traps for me
$p^{\bullet} e l e^{\prime} x a g w a d i n i^{\varepsilon} n$ I go to war for him; but $p^{\prime} e l e^{\prime} x a g w a s i$ he goes to war for me

It is highly probable, however, that in such cases the -qwad- is to be definitely analyzed into a comitative element -gua- + an iudirective element $-d-\left(-t^{2}-\right)$ то, FOR; this seems to be pointed out by the fact that when the FOR-object becomes identical with the subject, i. e., when the verb becomes an indirect reflexive (ror one's self), the -llimmediately precedes the regular reflexive suflix -ywi-, leaving the causative suffix - (a) $n$ - between it and the comitative suffix - $g w$-:
lük! $\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a g w a n t{ }^{\prime}$ !wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I trap for myself (probably = I cause [-an-] myself [-gwi-] to be having [-gw-][some one] to trap [lük!ü-xa-] for [-t $t^{-}$] [me])

Comitatives in -gw- are formed not only from intransitivized transitives in -xa- (e. g., $\bar{i}-l u b b u^{\prime} x a k^{i w}$ she pounds with it in hand [55.10]; 56.1), but also from non-agentive intransitives in $-x$ - (see below, $\S 56$ ). Examples are:

> Non-agentive
> $s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u_{\mathrm{S}} d e^{\varepsilon}\left(=s g \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} d-\mathrm{x}-d e^{\varepsilon}\right)$ I cut (without implied object), am across (148.8)
> $h e^{\varepsilon}-m e^{\varepsilon}-t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a \mathrm{x}$ he lay down with his arms folded, lay rolled up and put away (cf. $h e^{\varepsilon}-m e^{\varepsilon}-t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\top} t^{\prime} b a g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I roll it up and put it away)
> $t^{\prime} g e^{e} y a^{\prime} l \mathrm{x}$ it runs around, rolls

$b a-i-s \cdot i l i x x$ he landed

Comitative
$s g o^{\prime} u_{s g w a}{ }^{\epsilon}$. I got tired ${ }^{1}$ of it (21.6)
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-w a-t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{u} k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a^{\prime} x \mathrm{gwa}$ he lay down with it clasped in his arms 154.6
wa-t'ge $y a^{\prime} l x g$ wa $a^{s} n$ I roll with it $w a-i-s \cdot u ̈ g \ddot{u}^{\prime} s \cdot u ̈ x g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I am sleepy (literally, something like: I am confused having sleep)
$b a-i-s \cdot i l i^{\prime} x g w a$ he landed with (his canoe) 13.5

The obverse, as it were, of these transitive forms in -x-gwa-, is given by certain rather curious Class I intransitive forms in - $x$-gwa- built up on intransitive, not, like normal $-x$ - derivatives, on transitive stems; they may be literally translated as to be with (or having) (something) doing or being. Thus from the intransitive aorist dak'-limim- (tree) falls on top of is formed the intransitive dak'limimxgwade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ it falls on top of me (108.12), in which the logical subject (TREE) becomes an implied object, while the real object or goal of motion ( ME ) is treated as the grammatical subject. The form quoted would have to be literally translated as a am with (or having) (it) falling on top of (me). i (as tree) fall having it, together with it would probably be something like *dak'limíimgwa's $n$. Morphologically similar to daki-limìmxgwade $e^{\varepsilon}$ are doubtless:
hewe'hōxgwade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I yawn (literally, I am having - [ ? ])
yele $e^{\prime s}$ sgwade $e^{\varepsilon}$ (=yelet!-x-gwa-) I am sweating (literally, I am -having it, i. e., perspiration [?])
With such an interpretation, the form dak-limimxgwadinin $n$ I chop it on to him becomes readily intelligible as a causative built

[^23]up on an intransitive in -xgwa-; literally translated it would read i cause ( $-i n$ ) him to be with ( $-g w a d$-) (it) falling ( limĩ $^{i} m$ - - -) on тор of (dak'-) (нім). This chimes in well with the interpretation given above of the really very perplexing "for" forms in -gwadin- and -gwant'gwi.

As will have been noticed from some of the examples already given (yawayagw- talk about, $\bar{u} y \bar{u}{ }^{i} \varepsilon^{s} \cdot g w a-$ laugh at, $s g \bar{o} u^{u} g w a-\mathrm{be}$ tired of, henenagw- consume), the primarily comitative meaning of the $-g w$ - suffix is sometimes greatly obscured, at times practically lost. Other examples illustrating this weakening of the fundamental signification are:

| Intransitive | Comitative |
| :---: | :---: |
| hoyod- dance | hoyod-agw- dance (a particular kind of) dance $100.15 ; 102.9$ |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}-y \bar{a}^{a} n$ - go up | $b \bar{a}^{a}-y \bar{a}^{a} n-g w$ - pick up 24.3; 59.15 |
| $b a-i$-ginig- go out to, come | $b a-i$-gini $i^{i}-g w$ - take out (no leg motion necessarily implied) |
| xeben- do (so) | xebe ${ }^{\text {e }}$-agw ${ }^{-1}$ hurt, destroy 136.23 |

$$
\text { § 47. Indirective }-d-(-s-)
$$

The - $d$ - of the indirect object never appears in its naked form (except, as we have seen, in certain forms in -gwad-; see also under $-d$ - in petrified suffixes), but always combined into -s- with the following element $-x$ - that serves to bind pronominal objects of the first and second persons to the verb-stem with its derivative suffixes (see §64). The indirect object of the third person is not normally expressed by this $-d$-, but, like an ordinary direct third personal object, is left unexpressed, the general character of the verb being impliedly indirective. As a matter of fact, an incorporated pronominal indirect object is used only when the direct object is of the third person, never of the first or second; and, since the pronominal object of the third person is never expressed in the verb, this means that what is translated as the indirect object is in reality morphologically the direct object of the verb. The indirective idea is merely a derivative development;'or, more correctly, certain transitive verbs with indirective " face" require an $-s-(=-d-+-x-)$ instead of $-x$ - with an incorporated object of the first or second person. I give it to him is, then, really rendered in Takelma by i-him-give; i give it to you, by i-

[^24]you-give; i give him food, by I-him-food-give, in which the logically indirect object him must be looked upon as the direct object of the verbal complex food-give (food, not being a pronominal object, is loosely incorporated as a prefix in the verb); i give you rood, by I-YOU-FOOD-GIVE, the pronominal combination 1 you being expressed at the end of the verb-complex in the same form as in a simple transitive like I-You-see, except that it is preceded by $-s$ instead of $-x$-; such combinations as i give you to him, me and he gives me to you, him can not be expressed by one verb-form. In these latter cases the grammatical object of the verb is no longer indirectly affected by the action; hence another, though probably etymologically related, verb-stem is employed, while the indirect object is expressed by a local phrase outside the verb: i give you to him ( $=$ I-YoU-Give [not indirective "face"] him-тo), $-x-$, not $-s$-, preceding the combination y you. The idea of to in intransitives like go, run, and so on, is regularly expressed by such an extra-verbal local phrase. Many verbs that, from our point of view, seem ordinary transitives, are in Takelma provided with the indirective -s-. Examples illustrating the use of this $-s$ - are:

| Aorist | Future |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} o g o y i^{\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}} n^{\mathrm{E}} \text { I give it to him } 180.11 \\ \text { ogu }{ }^{\prime} \text { s } b i^{\varepsilon} n \text { I give it to you } 23.3 \\ \left(\text { oyõo } n b i^{\varepsilon} n \text { I give you }\right) \end{array}\right.$ | $o^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ in (170.13; 180.9,16) |
|  | $o^{\prime}$ sbin (178.15) |
|  | (oĩn x bin I shall give you) |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \text { wẽt'gis } \quad(\text { for }-g \text { - see } \S 42,5) \text { I } \\ \text { took it from him } 76.1 \\ \text { wésbicn I took it from you (17.3) } \end{array}\right.$ | wede ${ }^{\prime} \cdot{ }^{\text {in }}$ (17.10,11) |
|  | wede'sbin (16.10,11) |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { al-da-p} \tilde{o}^{u} p^{\prime} i w i^{\varepsilon} n \text { I blew at it (15.1) } \\ \text { al-da-p } p^{\cdot} u p^{\prime} a u s b i^{\epsilon} n \text { I blew at you }\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l} w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I brought it to him } \\ (\text { for }-w \text {-see } \S 42,11)(176.17) \end{array}\right.$ | wagawi'n I shall bring it to him |
| $w a^{a} g a^{\prime}$ s $a m^{2}$ he brought it to us (194.11) | wege'sink' he will bring it to me |
| feiyis $n$ I hurt him |  |
| \{eis $b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I hurt you |  |
| $\{$ gayau he ate him 54.5 | ga-iwa'nk' 130.5 |
| \{ gayaunsbisn I ate you | gais bink* he will eat you 26.8 |
| \{al-yebebis $n$ I showedit to him(77.8) | al-yecbi' $n$ I shall show it to him |
| al-yebe'ps $b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I showed it to you | al-yépsi show it to me! |

[^25]Some verbs that belong here show the -s- only in the aorist, other forms having only $-x$-. Examples are:

$y \bar{i}^{i} m \tilde{s} b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I lent it to you $98.15 \quad y i m i^{\prime} \times i$ lend it to me! 98.14, 21
$\left\{\overline{-}-t!a \tilde{u} t!i w i^{\epsilon} n\right.$ I catch him $33.4 \quad \bar{\imath}-t!\bar{a}^{a} w i^{\prime} n$ (33.8)
โг$-t!a u t!a^{\prime} u s b i$ he caught you
え-t!āaxbink (140.15)
$\left\{n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right.$ I said to him 72.9
$\left\{n a g a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} b i^{\varepsilon} n\right.$ I said to you 108.4
$n \tilde{a} \mathrm{x} b i n(60.3)$
$\left(d a k^{*}-d a-h \bar{a}^{a} l i^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right.$ I answered him
(61.6)
$\left\{d a k^{i}-d a-h \tilde{a} l s b i^{\varepsilon} n\right.$ I answered you $d a k^{i}-d a-h a l a^{\prime} \times b i n$
(134.20)
$\left\{s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right.$ I fight him (110.20) $\quad \operatorname{sana}^{\prime} n(28.15 ; 33.9)$
$\left\{s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n s b i^{\epsilon} n\right.$ I fight you
sana'xbin

## § 48. Indirective - $\left(a^{\prime}\right) / d-$

This suffix is probably composed of the continuative -l- (see § 42, 9) and the indirective - $d-$, though, unlike the latter suffix, it is always employed to transitivize intransitives, a characteristic intransitive element of the aorist (e.g., $-i-$ ) regularly remaining. After vowels, the suffix appears simply as -ld-; afier consonants and semivowels, a connective $-a$ - is generally introduced, which, when accented, receives a falling pitch. The general idea conveyed by the suffix is that of purposive action toward some person or object, so that it may be conveniently translated by moving at or toward, in order to reacia, going to get. Examples of its use are:
hiliūt' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I climb
yada't $t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I swim (yadad-)
bili ${ }^{\prime \mu \varepsilon}$ he jumped 32.13; 78.11
$\int d a-t!a y a^{\prime i}$ they went to get (something) to eat 75.9
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { ( } \bar{a}^{a} y a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime} \text { (future) (33.9) }\end{array}\right.$
sgele $^{\prime u \varepsilon}$ he shouted 59.4 ; 90.8
hiliwa' $\dot{\mathrm{d}} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I climb for it (77.S)
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { yadad } a^{\prime} l d a^{s} n \text { I swin for him (to } \\ \text { save him from drowning) } \\ \text { yeded } a^{\prime} \text { lsi he swims for me }\end{array}\right.$
biliwa'lsa $a^{\varepsilon} n$ they fought (litorally, they jumped at, for each other) 27.4
da-t!ayaldi' ${ }^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ went to get it to eat; da-t!ayãlt' he went to get it to eat ( $\tilde{a}$ shows by its accent that it is part of stem) 76.9
$d a-d \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{l} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} n$ (future) (33.9)
sqelewa'lt he shouted to, for him 59.4; (94.1)

| wiliw-go, run |
| :---: |
| $x u d u^{\prime} m$ he whistled |
| ligi $\mathrm{F}^{*}$ w he fetched home (game) |
| 70.3; 128.12; ligi' $^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ he came home (with game) 124 の |

${ }^{\text {fuiliwa'l }}{ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ go and show it to him lde-wiliwa'lda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I fight him (27.3) xuduma'ld $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I whistled to him (33.16)
de-ligia'lt he fetched it for him to eat $126.9 ; 130.9$
yonoba'lt they held nets waiting for fish 32.1

In $w \tilde{o}^{u} l t$ he went after it 29.12 the $-l d$ - is confined to the aorist; non-aorist forms have the stem woo- without suffix: $w o o^{\prime} n$ y shall go after in (162.8,10).

## § 49. Indirective - ( $a^{\prime}$ )md-

There hardly seems to be any significant difference between this and the preceding suffix, except that the indirective force of $-\left(a^{\prime}\right) m d-$ seems in many eases to be much less clear and that it may be appended to transitive as well as to intransitive stems. It is quite probable that in some of the examples the $-m$ - of the suffix is really the dissimilated product of an original -l-because of an $-l$ - of the stem (see § 21 ); yet this explanation could not be made to apply to all the cases. Those forms that contain a radical $-l$ - are given first:

Simple form
malagia'us they are jealous (cf.
malag-, malagan- tell)
yala'l: ${ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ dive (61.8) ${ }^{\text {• }}$
(lagag-feed)
legwe'l he sucked it (186.18)
(geleg- twirl)
$\bar{u} y u \bar{t} t!-$ laugh
ya'mt' ask him! 70.6
$k$ !emen- make
$d a k^{*}-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u b a^{\varepsilon} n$ I put (hat-like object) over as covering
-( $a^{\prime}$ ) $m d$ -
$t!\bar{i} i l a^{\prime} m d a^{\varepsilon} n$ I fish for (salmon)
$t s!e l e l a^{\prime} \mathrm{md} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I paint him ( $=\mathrm{I}$
put paint— $s^{\prime} e^{\prime} \ell l-o n$ to him)
s-in-delega'msdam you put holes in my nose 22.2
malaga'ms $b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I am jealous of you
yalaga'mda $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I dive for it (60.10)
lagaga'mda ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I paid him (184.17)
legwela' $\mathrm{md} a^{\varepsilon} n$ I sucked it out of him
dīis-al-gelegala'md $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I tie his hair up into top-knot (172.3)
$d \bar{i}^{\varepsilon}-\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime} t s!$ amd $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I fool him
yamda'mt' (go and) ask of him !74.10
fooyamd $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I smoke them out (76.11)
$b \vec{a}^{a}-k!e m e n a^{\prime} \mathrm{md}^{\varepsilon} n$ I make him ready to go (76.13)
dak'ㅇt $t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime u} b a m{ }^{\prime}$ she covered it (basket) over 61.9
§50. Indirective - (a)n(an)-" for"
From transitives, nẹer from intransitives, are formed verbs in -(a) $n$ or -(a)nan- (the first - $a$ - is the connective vowel already spoken of) signifying to do (the act expressed by the verb-stem) for, in behalf of (the object of the verb). No rule can be given as to when -(a)n- or -(a)nan- is to be used, the two suffixes being frequently found to interchange in the same form. It is not likely that-(a)nanis a mere duplication of the simpler - $(a) n$-, as no other case of suffixreduplication could be shown to exist in Takelma, but rather a compound suffix consisting of two distinct elements that happen to be homonymous. Neither of the - (a)n- elements in-(a)nan-, however, can be identified with either the causative - $(a) n$ - or the petrified - (a)nof certain transitive verbs (see $\S 42,10$ ), for the full -(a)nan-suffix is found suffixed to them (e. g., loh $\bar{o}^{u} n i n i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I killed him for Him [ = I CAUSED him to die for him]). As in the case of the ordinary indirect object-suffix - $s$-, only the third person (and that, as far as the pronoun is concerned, by implication) is tolerated as the lorical object, the grammatical object being always the person in whose behalf the action is done. If the formal (i. e., indirect) object of the verb is of the third person, the - (a)n- or - (a)nan- is nearly always followed by the "instrumental" $i$ (see § 64), an umlaut of the suffix to $-(i) n$ - or $-(i) n i n$ - necessarily resulting (see $\S 8,3 \mathrm{c}$ ). The longer form of the suffix - (a)nan is apt to be limited to the aorist forms with third personal object; non-aorist forms and aorist forms with first or second personal object generally have the shorter form of the suffix, -(a)n-. What was said above of a phonetic character in regard to the causative - (a)n-applies also here. Examples are:

| Transitive | Indirective |
| :---: | :---: |
| $w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!o x \tilde{o} x i^{\varepsilon} n$ I gather them (192.4) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!o x x_{i n} i^{\varepsilon} n \text { I gather } \\ \text { them for him } \\ w a^{\varepsilon-\bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} x u x a n x i} \text { he gathers } \\ \text { them for me } \end{array}\right.$ |
| $\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ he fixed it <br> (150.13; 186.16,18) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^{u} \text { minininin } i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I fixed it for } \\ \text { him } \\ \bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u} m i n i n i n i{ }^{\prime} n k k^{\prime} \text { he will fix } \\ \text { it for him } \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u}^{u} \operatorname{manan}^{\prime} x i \text { he fixed it for } \\ \text { me } \\ \bar{i}-k!\bar{u} m a n a^{\prime} n h i \text { fix it for him! } \end{array}\right.$ |


| Transitive | Indirective |
| :---: | :---: |
| $l \bar{a}^{a} b a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I carry it }(178.4,5,6)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}l \bar{a}^{a} b \operatorname{inini}^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I carry it for him } \\ l \bar{a}^{a} b a^{\prime} n h a^{\varepsilon} n\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $l e^{e} b a^{\prime} \mathrm{n} x i$ he carries it for me |
| $\bar{o}^{\prime} u g a^{\varepsilon} n$ I trap them (78.5) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} l \bar{o}^{u} g \text { ininin }^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I trap them for } \\ \text { him } \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $l \bar{o}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} g$ in $i^{\varepsilon} n$ |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { (p!iyin) } l \bar{u}^{\prime} u g a n x i \\ \text { (deer) for me }\end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}t \bar{o}^{\prime} k!\text { inin } I \text { shall trap them for } \\ \text { him }\end{array}\right.$ |
| (k!adäi- pick (aorist) | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} k!a d a y i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I pick them for } \\ \text { him } \\ k!a d a \bar{a} h i n i^{\varepsilon} n \end{array}\right.$ |
|  | $k!e d e y a^{\prime} n x i$ he picks them for him |
| $k!\bar{a}^{a} d$ - pick (non-aorist) | $k!\bar{a}^{a} d$ inini $i^{\prime} n$ I shall pick them for him |
| $d e^{\varepsilon}-i-w \bar{i}^{\prime i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ I spread it out (120.1) | $d e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-w \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} g a n x i$ he spreads it out for me |
|  | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}k!e m e n i n i^{\prime \epsilon} n \\ \text { him }\end{array}\right.$ |
| k!emen- make | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { k!emnini' } n \text { I shall make it for } \\ \text { him }\end{array}\right.$ |
| $\operatorname{limimana}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I fell tree (cause it to fall) (108.11) | $\operatorname{limiminin} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I fell it for him |
|  | $\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { loh } \bar{o}^{u} n \operatorname{inin} i^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I killed him for } \\ \text { him }\end{array}\right.$ |
| loho $\bar{o}^{u} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I cause him to die (142.9) | loh $\bar{o}^{u} n$ ana'n $h i$ he killed him for him |
|  |  |
| dõ ${ }^{u} m k^{\prime}$ wank' he will kill him (116.18) | 'dō ${ }^{u} m a n a^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime} w a n k '$ he will kill him for him |
| $\begin{aligned} & s \bar{a}^{a} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I paddle it }(60.1 ; \\ & 112.9) \end{aligned}$ | han-se $g w a^{\prime} n \sin \mathrm{I}$ am paddled across (literally, it, i. e., |
|  | canoe, is paddled across for me) |
| p!ahanana'є $n$ I cause it to be cooked, done | $p!a h a y i n i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n^{1} \quad \mathrm{I}$ make it done for him |

A number of transitive verbs in - (a) $n(a n)$ - in which the for (in behalf of) idea is not clearly apparent nevertheless doubtless belong here. Such are:
ale- $\bar{o}^{u} d \mathrm{ini}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I look around for him (92.27)
$i^{\varepsilon}$-odoni'n I shall feel around
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\left(\bar{o}^{u} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \text { I hunt for him }\right. \\ [116.8])\end{array}\right.$ for it
malagana'nhi he told him 30.15 (mala'xbi he told you [16:.6])
It not infrequently happens in verbs where the logical relation existing between the subject and a first or second personal object can hardly be other than an indirect one, that the for idea is expressed by means of the simple transitive form with $-x$ - or $-s$ - instead of the more explicit indirective $-(a) n(a n)-$, as shown in the following examples:
$k!e d e ̀ i s i ~ h e ~ p i c k s ~ t h e m ~ f o r ~ m e ~(l i t e r a l l y, ~ h e ~ p i c k s ~ t o ~ m e, ~ a l o n g-~$ side of $k!$ edeya'n $x i$ he picks them for me $)^{1}$
$m e^{\epsilon} b \tilde{e} p^{\prime} x i p^{\prime}$ come and chop out (a hole) for me (to enable me to get out) (literally, come and chop me!) 90.16
gel-ts!eye'mxi he hid it from me (158.7) ; but gel-ts!ayamini's $n$ I hid it from him
The idea of doing something for some one when the action is an intransitive one can not be expressed in the verb itself, so that periphrases of one kind or another are resorted to; e. g., I GO FOR Him is expressed by i go, he having sent me. In verbs that are intransitive only in form, but logically still transitive, that is, in transitive verbs with unexpressed object, the FOR idea is expressed by the complex suffix -gwa'dan- (with first or second personal object-gwas-), the analysis of which has been attempted above (see $\S 46$ ). Thus we have ( $p$ ! iyin) lō $\bar{o}^{\prime u}$ gin ( $i n$ ) $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I TRAP (DEER) FOR HIM built up on a transitive in both form and meaning (i. e., $l \bar{o}^{\prime} u g a^{\varepsilon} n$ ), but lük! $\ddot{u}^{\prime} x a g w a-$ dinis $n$ I TRAP FOR HIM built up on a formal intransitive ( $l u ̈ k!u^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ ). The idea of for, in behalf of one's self is rendered in transitive verbs by adding to the indirective suffix - (a) $n(a n)$ - the regular reflexive suffix $-k \dot{w} i-(-g w i-)$ :
d $\bar{o}^{u} m a n a^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime} w i d \bar{a}^{a}$ he will kill them for himself
$t!\bar{u} m \tilde{u} k \cdot$ wank: wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I kill them for myself
de $e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-w^{-1}{ }^{\prime}$ gank'wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I spread it out for myself
han-seegwa'nk'wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I paddle myself across, really, l paddle (canoe) across for myself

[^26]In intransitive verbs with implied transitive force a $-t$ - is inserted between the indirective -(a)n(an)- and the reflexive -gwi-:
luk!!u'xagwant'gwit' he traps for himself
Also this form in -gwant gwi- was explained above.

## §51. Indirect Reflexive -gwa-

By indirect reflexive is here meant action in reference to something belonging to one's self, not action in behalf of one's self. From the latter idea (expressed, as we have seen, by -[a]n[an]k'wi- and -[a]n[an]$\left.t^{\prime} g w i-\right)$ the indirect reflexive in -gwa- differs in being always found in a transitive setting; from the comitative - (a)gw(a)- it differs phonetically in being formed only from transitive verbs with expressed object and in the constancy of the final -a- (third person aorist $-k$ ' $w a$, not $\left.-k^{\prime} w\right)$. Examples of its use are:
s'in- $\bar{\tau}-t^{\prime}$ gili's ${ }^{\text {sgwa }}{ }^{1}$ he scratched his own nose 14.11; 15.7
$m a ̃ n x$ al- $n \bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ wa $\left(=g w-k^{\prime} w a\right)$ he painted his own face (cf. $n \bar{o}^{u} g w-$ $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I paint it)
$\bar{i}$-gaxaga'xgwa $n$ I scratch myself, i. e., my own (cf. $\bar{i}$-gaxagixi't $n$ I scratch him)
$\bar{i}-p!\bar{i}^{i}-n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ wa warm your nands! (188.20) (ef. $\bar{i}-p!\bar{i}^{i}-n \bar{o}^{\prime} u k^{\prime} w i^{\epsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ warm his hands)
$s$-in- $d e^{e} l e^{\prime} p$ gwa he stuck it into his own nose (cf. $d \bar{a}^{a}-d e l e^{\prime} p^{\text {'i }}$ he pierced his - another's - ear)
bills ${ }^{\text {alal-giliga'lk'was } n}$ I covered myself with moss (48.14) (cf. bills $\bar{i}$-giligili' $n$ I covered him with moss)
bill $^{\varepsilon_{1}-g i l i g a ' l k^{\top} w a}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I covered my hands with moss
gwen- $p$ !iyi'nk'wa he lies on pillow (probably $=$ he causes his neck to lie) ${ }^{2}$
$k!e d e ̀ z{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{w}}{ }^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ pick them for myself (literally, I pick my own)
de-k'iunk"auk'wak' he brandished it before his face 172.11
$\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\prime} n \mathrm{k}$ wa he prepared himself, got ready 172.2 (cf. $\bar{i}-\mathrm{k}!\bar{u}^{u_{-}}$ $m a^{\wedge} n$ he fixed it, got it ready 114.7)
It will be noticed that whenever what in English we are accustomed to consider a direct reflexive is really such only in form, not in fact, the Takelmaidiom requires the indirect -k'wa-form, not the direct reflexive in -gwi-. Thus, a see or scratch myself is not logically a reflexive in the same sense as i kill, drown, or hang myself, the former involving strictly action on what belongs to the subject, not on the subject itself: x see or scratch my own (flesh). Still such distinctions can

[^27]hardly be insisted upon; much depends on idiomatic usage. The indirect reflexive suffix, it would seem, is employed only when the direct object is incorporated in the verb; if the direct object is taken out of the verb-complex and provided with a possessive pronoun, all ambiguity as to the relation between subject and object is removed and the -gwa-falls out. Thus we have $d \bar{a}^{a}-d e^{e} l e^{\prime} p^{\prime} g w a$ he pierced His own ear with indirect reflexive -gwa- to show the possession of the object ( $d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$EAR) by the subject; $d \bar{a}^{a} d e l e^{\prime} p$ $\quad i$ would mean he pierced another's ear. The former sentence can also be expressed more analytically by dãnxdagwa hadele' $p^{\circ} i$ ins-own (-dagwa) -EAR He-in-pierced-it; dãnxda hadele'p $i$ would then have reference to the piercing of another's ear. In other words, the reflexive idea is expressed in the verb or in the noun according to whether the latter is incorporated or independent.

## INTRANSITIVE SUFFIXES (§§ 52-57)

§ 52. General Remarks
Under this head are included such suffixes as intransitivize a transitive verb by removing the object ( $-x a-$ ), transferring the object from without to within the sphere of the subject (reflexive, reciprocal), or changing the character of the action altogether (non-agentive, positional). The passive intransitivizes by removing, not the object, but the subject, the former remaining in exactly the same form in which we find it in the corresponding transitive; the voice is characterized by peculiar suffixes that differ for the various tense-modes, and which, following as they do the pronominal elements of the verb, will recoive appropriate treatment in discussing the purely formal verbal elements. The normal transitive, its ancillary passive, the active intransitive ( $-x a-$ ), the reflexive, the reciprocal, the non-agentive, and the positional may be looked upon as the seven voices of a transitive vert, of which only the first five (possibly also the sixth), however, can be freely formed from any transitive stem. ()f the seven voices, the first two are provided with a distinct set of pronominal objoct (and transitive subject) suflixes; the third and the fifth, with Class I intransitive subjects; the remaining, with Class II intransitive subjects.

Before giving examples of the intransitive suffixes, it may be useful to rapidly follow out a particular transitive stem (dink!- sterech out [ = base din-+ transitive petrified suffix-k!-]) in its various voices. First.
of all, we may form an ordinary active transitive verb with expressed object by attaching to the verb or aorist stem the appropriate pronominal suffixes: $b a-i-d e-d i^{\prime} n i k!a^{\varepsilon} n$ i Stretch it out (like a rubber band or the like) (62.1). Secondly, from this may be formed a passive by the addition to the stem (dinik!-) of the pronominal object and characteristic passive suffix: ba-i-de-di'nik!an it is or was (actively) stretcied out. Thirdly, the transitive stem may be made intransitive by a failure to specify the object: $b a-i-d e-d i^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon} x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I Stretch (something) out. Fourthly, a direct reflexive is formed by the suffix -qwi-: ba-i-de-di'nit $k^{\prime} w i d e^{\varepsilon}$ I (actually, if such were possible) stretch myself out, in as literal a sense as in, e. g., i kill myself. Fifthly, the transitive form may be made reciprocal by the compound suffix $-x$-(or $-s$-) an-: $b a-i-d e-d i^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon} x a^{\varepsilon} n$ they (actively and literally) stretch one another out. Sixthly, the non-agentive voice is formed by a suffixed $-x$-: $b a-i$-de-dini $i^{\prime} x$ it stretches out (144.14), in the sense in which a sore might be supposed to spread, without volition and without apparent agency; this particular form is idiomatically employed to refer to the stretching out, adrancing, marching, of a single column, the figure here being evidently that of a long stringlike line moving out without distinctly sensed agency. Similarly, $b \bar{a}^{a}-d i n i^{\prime \prime} x$ (clouds) Spread UP in long strips 13.3 are not actively spread out by some one, do not spread out some unexpressed object, are not conceived of as actually spreading themselves out, and are not conceived of as being in the static, purely positional condition of lying extended. Seventhly, the last, positional voice is expressed by an aoristic $-\bar{i}^{i}$-, non-aoristic -as-: dink! $\imath$ it lies spread out, referring to a long string or other elongated body extended on the ground; future dink! $a^{\prime} s d \bar{a}^{a}$. A synopsis for the seeond person singular (and reciprocal plural) of dink!-(dinik!-) spread of the seven voices in the six tense-modes is given in Appendix A. The intransitive suffixes will now be taken up in order.

## § 53. Active Intransitive -xa-

The $-a$ - of this suffix is a constant element except before a personal ending beginning with a vowel: $p^{\prime} e l e^{\prime} x i k '$ we go то fight. Like other non-radical -a- vowels it may be umlauted to $i$ : s.om-lü$h u ̈ x x i y a a^{u \varepsilon}$ they (indef.) operate as somloho'láass (class of medicine men) 172.14. The final consonant of the aorist stem of verbs of Type

8 falls out before the $-x a-$, also an indirective $d$ (including the $-d$ - of $-[a] m d-$, $[a] l d$; a final radical $-d-$, however, unites with $-x a-$ to form $-s a-$ ). Verbs of Type 5 employ not the aorist, but the verb-stem, in the aorist of the -xa- derivative (cf. the parallel phenomenon in the formation of the frequentative, $\S 43,1$ and 6 ; for exceptions see $\S 40,5)$, inserting the repeated stem-vowel between the fortis consonant of the stem and the suffix; - $x a$ - derivatives of Type 5 verbs thus belong to Type 2. For the vocalism of the stem of -xa-forms, see § 31,5 . Verbs in $-x a$ - of Types 2 and 3 regularly have a short second stem vowel, even if the quantity in the primitive verb is long; this short vowel may, however, be secondarily lengthened, with falling accent, to express a frequentative idea. In non-aorist forms the stress tends to fall on the $-x a$-. Verbs in $-x a$ - can be formed, of course, only from transitives, and, although in form they are strictly intransitive, they always logically imply an object. Examples of -xa- are:
$l \bar{u} b \bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ she pounded 16.9 ; $\bar{i}-l \bar{u}^{\prime}$ pxagwank' she will pound having it (pestle) 55.10 (aorist transitive $l o b o{ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ she pounded them 16.9)
$t!\bar{i}^{i} a^{\prime} m \times x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I went fishing ( $t!\tau^{i} l a^{\prime} m d a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ fished for them)
$k!\bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime} n \times \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}$ she sifts 57.15 ( $k!\bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime} n d a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ sift acorn meal [16.10])
$d a k^{\circ}-t^{\prime} e k!e^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ he smokes 96.23 (Type 5 dak'-t'e $e^{\prime} g i^{\epsilon} n$ I give him to smoke [170.13])
$p!e b e^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ he beat off (bark) 55.6 ( $p!a b a b-\mathrm{chop}$ [90.11])
lebe'sade $e^{\varepsilon}$ I sew (lebeda ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I sew it)
$s g \bar{u} t!\bar{u}^{\prime} \times \mathrm{x}^{\varepsilon}$ he is cutting 92.2 (Type 5 aorist $s g \bar{o}^{u} d-72.10$ )
$a l-x i k!i^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ he looked around 102.12 (Type 5 aorist al-xi$i^{i} g$ - 124.8)
$l u ̈ k!\ddot{u}^{\prime} \times a^{\varepsilon}$ he traps (Type 5 aorist $l \bar{o}^{u} g^{w}-78.5$ ) ; future $l \ddot{u}^{\prime \epsilon} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{w}}$ agwadinin I shall trap for him
$w \bar{a}^{\alpha}-h i m i^{\prime} \times \mathrm{xad} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ was talking to somebody ( $w \bar{a}^{\alpha}-$ himid $a^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ I talked to him [59.16])
$d a k^{*}-d a-h e l e^{\prime} h a l x a d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ alwavs answer ( $d a k^{\circ}-d a-h \bar{a}^{a} i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ answer him [146.14])
$d a k^{\prime}-h e n e^{\prime} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon}$ he waits; future $d a k^{\prime}-h e n \times a^{\prime} \mathbf{t}^{\prime} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall wait (dak'hene $e^{e} d a^{{ }^{\varepsilon}} n$ I wait for him)
yimi's $\cdot \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}\left(=-s \cdot-\mathrm{xa} a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ he dreams; future yims $\mathrm{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} e^{e}$; imperative yims ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$
In $k!e m e^{\prime} n$ xad $e^{\varepsilon}$ i was making, working (future $k!e m \times a^{\prime} t^{\prime} e^{e}$ ) the loss of the $-n$ - in the non-aorist forms (cf. $k!e m n a^{\prime} n$ i siiall make it [28.14]) may be due to a purely phonetic cause (see § 11)

## § 64. Reflexive -gwi-

The final consonant of the aorist stem of some verbs of Type 8 is eclipsed, with lengthening of preceding rowel, also before the reflexive -gwi- (see § 40,8 ), in the case of others it is preserved. Where the -gwi- reflexive is derived from indirect transitives in -d- (-amd-, -gwadan-), there is often practically no difference in signification between it and the indirect reflexive -gwa-. Examples of -gwi-are:
t!omõk'wide ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I kill myself (from t!omom-)
al-yebe' $p^{\prime}$ gwit he showed himself (yebeb-)
$a l-x i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ wit ${ }^{\prime}$ he looked at himself
$p!a g \tilde{a} n \mathrm{k} w i d e^{\varepsilon}$ I bathed (literally, I caused myself to bathe; cf. $p!a g \bar{a}^{a} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I bathe him)
$s e^{e} l a^{\prime} m t^{\prime} \mathrm{gwid} e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall paint myself (seela'mdan I shall paint him)
$\int^{\prime} g w a x a \bar{a} k k^{\prime} w i d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ tattooed myself ( $t^{\prime} g w a x a \bar{\imath} \bar{h}$ he tattooed him)
$\left\{t^{\prime} g w \bar{a}^{a} x a^{\prime} n t^{\prime}\right.$ gwide $e^{e}$ I shall tattoo myself (= for myself)
$\bar{\imath}-g i s \cdot i g a^{\prime} s \cdot$ gwide $e^{\varepsilon}$ I tickle myself
al-wa-ts!eyẽk wide $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ washed myself with it
$d \bar{a}^{a}-d e l e g a^{\prime} m t^{\prime}$ gwide $e^{\varepsilon}\left(=d \bar{a}^{a}-d e l e^{\prime} p^{\circ} g w a^{\varepsilon} n\right)$ I pierce my ears
(yũk') k!eménk'wit they made themselves (strong) 27.12
xuma ogoik'wides I give food to myself (=I food-give myself)
$i$-lesgi'k wide ${ }^{e}$ I shall touch myself
Before the imperative endings $-p^{\prime},-p^{\prime} a n p^{\prime}$ the reflexive suffix becomes lengthened to $-g w \bar{\imath}^{i}$-:
$k!e ̀ t{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{gwi}^{-1} p^{\cdot 1}$ pick them for yourself!
deegwa'lt gwīip $p^{\prime} a n p^{\prime}$ take care of yourselves! 126.20; (128.24)
The reflexive of naga-say to is irregular in that is is formed not from the transitive stem, but from the corresponding intransitive nagai- say: nagaîk' wit he said to himself 104.1 (cf. nagaîk'wa, §62).

$$
\text { § 55. Reciprocal }\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-x \\
-s
\end{array}\right\} \text {-an- }
$$

The $-x$ - and - $s$ - preceding the characteristic reciprocal-an- (umlauted -in-) suffix are nothing but the connective consonant of direct and indirect transitive verbs respectively, the choice in the reciprocal form between the two depending entirely upon which is used in the corresponding simple transitive. A difference, however, in the use of this $-x$ - ( $-s-$ ) between the transitive and reciprocal is found in so far as in the latter it appears with a third as well as first and second

[^28]personal object. The phonetic form of what precedes the $-x-(-s-)$ is the same as in the transitive from which the reciprocal is derived. The reciprocal element -an- is the only one of the verbal suffixes that is placed between the connecting $-x$ - and the personal endings, so that it may rightly be looked upon as in a way equivalent to the incorporated objective pronouns. Examples of $-x$-an- are:
$k$ !oyõxinik' we go together, accompany one another (33.15)
t!èũxiniba $n i$ let us play shinny!
$\bar{\imath}$-lats! $a^{\prime}$ xinike we touch one another
al-s $\cdot i n-l \bar{o}^{\prime} u^{x} a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they meet each other (literally, they thrust noses to one another)
t!omõxa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they kill one another (33.10)
gel-wayãnxán they were sleeping together (literally, they caused each other to sleep facing each other) 190.2
$a l-x \bar{i}^{\prime i} \mathrm{xa}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they looked at each other
Examples of $-s-a n-$, i. e., of indirect reciprocals, are:
$n a g a^{\prime} \mathrm{sa}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they said to each other 31.9 (cf. naga' $s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I said to you [100.1]) ; future nãann ${ }^{\varepsilon} t^{\star}$ (cf. nãxbin [60.3])
$s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n s a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they fight one another (23.14;184.13) (cf. $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n s$ $b i^{\varepsilon} n$ ) ; future $\operatorname{sana}^{\prime}$ xan $^{\varepsilon} t^{*}$ (23.15) (cf. sana'xbin)
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-\bar{\imath} \tilde{u} \cdot a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they went away from one another (cf. he ${ }^{e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{u} u} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ [184.14]) ; future $h e^{e \varepsilon-}-\bar{i} w i^{\prime} \operatorname{xan}^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime}\left(c f . h e^{e e_{-}} \bar{i} w i^{\prime} x b i n\right)$
$l \bar{a}^{a} m a^{\prime} l_{\mathrm{s}}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they quarreled with each other $27.2 ; 86.10$
$w \bar{a}^{a}-h i m i^{\prime} \mathrm{sa}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ they talked to one another 124.14(cf. $w \bar{a}^{a}-h i m i^{\prime} s b i^{\varepsilon} n$ )
$l \bar{o}^{u} g w a^{\prime}$ 'iniba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ let us play 32.5 (cf. $l \bar{o}^{u} g w a^{\prime} s b i n$ future)
$t!\ddot{u}^{\prime} l t!a l s \cdot i n i b a^{\varepsilon}$ let us play at gambling-sticks ( $\left.t!\ddot{i o}^{\prime} l\right) 31.9$
al-sege'sak'sinik' we keep nodding to one another; see $k^{\circ} s a^{\prime} k^{\prime}-$ sank they nodded to one another (inferential) 172.10 (but unreduplicated al-seexinik' we nodded to each other) § 56. Non-agentive $-x$ -

The difference in signification between the non-agentive $-x$ - and the intransitive - $x a$ - may be well brought out by a comparison with the distinctly double signification of English intransitively used transitives. If such a transitive word as silit be relieved of its object, it may be employed in two quite distinct senses, either to indicate the same sort of action that is expressed by the transitive, but without explicit direction (as, the carpenter can split, i. e., can split beams, boards) ; or to indicate a spontaneous non-volitional activity resulting in a static condition identical with that induced by the corresponding transitive action (as, the beams, boards, split, i. e., spontaneously
undergo motion resulting in that condition which is brought about by corresponding activity from without: THE CARPENTER SPLITS THE beams, boards). split in the former case is rendered in Takelma by $x \bar{a}^{a}-t s!!w i^{\prime} x a^{\varepsilon}$ (aorist transitive $t s!i w i-d-$ ); in the latter, by $x \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$ $t s!i w i ` s \cdot(=-t s \cdot!i w i d-x)$. It is true that in some cases the use of $-x$ does not seem to be logically justified (e.g., al-hūyuxxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I hunt 136.18; al-ho-yoiya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I hunt them) ; but something must be allowed for idiomatic, not literally translatable usage. Such petrified suffixes as $-d$ - do not drop out before the $-x$-; the repeated consonant of Type 8 verbs falls off as usual (yet cf. forms like limĩm-x-gwa-, §46). Examples of the non-agentive are:

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime a} g u i^{\varepsilon} n$ I awakened him 16.4 (future $\bar{i}-k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime} k!$ win) | I awoke (16.3) (future $\left.d e^{e}[190.5]\right)$ |
| leme ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} k^{\prime}$ they took them along 144.17 | $m e^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{x}$ they all went 136 |
| 19e ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| $t s \cdot i$ | de-ts ! $16 i \times \mathrm{x}$ |
| a-i-ha-u-t'gū ${ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ le | $p!a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u \mathrm{x}$ it upset 60.8 |
| $w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!e m e ' m$ he assembled them $110.3$ | wa-t!eméxia ${ }^{u s}$ people assembled $144.23$ |
| $a^{\varepsilon} w-\bar{z}-7 a^{\prime}$ | $h a-u-h a n a^{\prime \varepsilon_{\mathrm{S}}}\left(=-\dot{a}^{\prime} t s!\mathrm{x}\right)$ it stopped <br> (152.15; 198.9) |
| dī-sgǘyük! $i^{i} n$ I knock it down $(48.7,8)$ | $d \bar{i}-s g i^{\prime \prime}{ }^{i \epsilon} \mathrm{x} k^{\prime}$ it fell (nobody pushing) (59.11; 62.1) |
| $i$-gwidigwa't'i he threw them <br> (108.21; 138.3) | $h \ddot{u} \ddot{u}^{\prime} \ddot{u}^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime} w a$ (tiredness) gwidig$w a$ 's ( $=-a$ 'tx) he was plumb tired out (probably $=$ he tottered with tiredness) 120.12 |
| mil | smili'smalx $d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ swing ${ }^{1}$ (73.2) |
| $b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} e^{\prime e} g i^{s} n$ I lift it up (Type 5) | $b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{*} e k!e^{\prime} t a \mathrm{x}$ it bobs up and down $(60.11,13,14)$ |
| In some verbs -alx- ( = continuative -al- + non-agentive $-x$ - $)$ seems o be quite equivalent to the intransitive $-x a-$ : geyewa'lx $d e^{\varepsilon}$ I am eating (31.3) (but, hortatory, geix $x a b a^{\varepsilon}$ let us eat) $l e^{e} b a^{\prime} \mathrm{nx} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ carry (178.6) ( $l \bar{a}^{a} b a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ carry it $\left.[178.3,4]\right)$ $\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} \mathrm{nx} d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ drink (sce § 21). |  |
|  |  |

The non-agentive character of verbs in $-x$ - may be reflected in transitives (causatives) derived from them, in that in such causatives

[^29]the subject is not thought of as being the direct cause of the state or activity predicated, but is rather considered as indirectly responsible for it. Thus, from the aorist stem t'gwilik! w- ( $t^{\prime} g w i l i^{\prime s}-x$ water, BLOOD DROPS, DRIPS 58.1) are formed:
$p!a-i-t^{\prime} g w i l i{ }^{\prime} k!w a n a^{\varepsilon} n$ I (voluntarily) drop, spill it
$p!a-i-t^{\prime} g w_{i l i^{\prime}} x \mathrm{ma}^{\varepsilon} n$ I have it drop (unavoidably), spill it (72.8,16)

## § 57. Positional-īi-

As we have already seen ( $\S 40,15$ ), this suffix, though of clearly derivational character, is generally, probably always, confined to the aorist. A positional verb in $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - may be defined as expressing the state or condition resulting from the completed action of a transitive or non-agentive; e. g., p!a-i-ha-u-t'gup!idi it (box-LIKE object) lies UPSIDE DOWN is a verb expressing the result of the action defined in $p!a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u b a^{\varepsilon} n$ I UPSET IT and $p!a-i-h a-u-t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{\prime} u p x$ IT UPSET 60.8. From one point of view the suflix $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - serves to mark off a class of purely positional verbs, a different verb-stem being used for each general form-category of the object described. Such verbs of position are:
dink!ĩ long, stretched out object lies (transitive aorist dinik!-)
$t^{\prime}$ geits ! ī round object lies (138.24) (t'geyets ! - )
$p^{`}$ ildī flat object lies
$t$ tobigī corpse, dead-looking body lies
seini box-like object with opening on top lies
$p!a-i-h a-u-t \quad g u p!i d \bar{i}$ box-like object with opening below lies ( $\left.t^{\prime} g \bar{u}^{u} b_{-}\right)$
$s$ uguidĩ curled-up object (like bundle of rope) lies
$d a$-sgalī scattered objects (like grain on floor) lie
$w \bar{\imath} k!i d \bar{i}$ several objects heaped together lie ( $w \bar{\imath}^{i} g$ - )
$s \cdot a s \cdot i n i$ erect object is, he stands $34.1 ; 45.12 ; 77.9$
$s \cdot u^{\varepsilon}$ wili sitting object (person) is, he sits, dwells 21.1; 57.2
$k^{\prime} e b i l i=1$ absent object is, he is long absent 124.20
Not so clearly positional are:
$l \bar{a}^{a} l \overline{1}$ (generally heard as $7 \bar{a}^{\mathrm{a}} / \overline{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{\prime}$ ) it becomes 33.17 ; 45.3
yamlī he looks pretty
Of these verbs those that are directly derived from transitives, it will be observed, use in the aorist the verb-stem, not the aorist stem, of their simplex (thus dink!-, not dinik!-). The derivational -(a)d(see $\S 42,4$ ) that seems to characterize a number of positional verbs can not be explained.

Certain Takelma place-names in $-i$ (or $-\tau-k^{*},-i^{\prime}-k^{*}$ with suffix $-k^{*}$ characteristic of geographical names) can hardly be otherwise explained than as positional verbs in $-i^{i}$-, derived from nouns and provided with local prefixes defining the position of the noun. Such are:
$D i^{\varepsilon}-d a n_{1}{ }^{1}$ Table Rock (probably $=\operatorname{rock}\left[d a^{\prime} n\right]$ is $[-\bar{\imath}]$ west $\left[d i^{\varepsilon}-\right]$ ); west of the rock would be dí-dana' (cf. dana ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my rock)
Dak'-t'gamī-k' (cf. Daki-t gamiya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from D.) ( $=$ place where [ $-k^{\prime}$ ] elks [ $t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} m$ ] are [ $i$ ] above, on top [ $\left.d a k^{\prime}-\right]$ )
Dal-dani ${ }^{*}$ (cf. Dal-daniya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ one from D.) (=place where [- $\left.k^{\prime}-\right]$ in brush, away from creek [dal-] is [- $\bar{\imath}]$ rock [ $\left.d a^{\prime} n\right]$ )
han-xilmíghost land (= across river[han-] are $[-\bar{\imath}]$ ghosts [xila'm]) $d e-d \bar{\imath}^{i} w i \bar{i}$ near the falls of Rogue River ( $=$ in front $[-d e-]$ are $[-\bar{\imath}]$ falls [dīu])
§58. IMPERSONAL -iau-
Verging toward the purely formal (pronominal) elements of the verb is the suffix -iau-. Forms in -iau- are intransitive, and may be formed from all intransitives and all transitives with incorporated pronominal object, the function of the suffix being to give an indefinite, generalized collective, or impersonal, signification (cf. German man, French on) to the always third personal pronominal (Class I intransitive) subject. Examples are:

```
y\overline{a}}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}n\mathrm{ ia'us people go 58.14;152.5 future yanaya'uє }\mp@subsup{t}{}{\prime
wa-\imath-t!emẽxia'u\varepsilon people assem- future wa\mp@subsup{a}{}{\varepsilon}-\overline{\imath}-d\tilde{e}mxia}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime\prime}\mp@subsup{t}{}{*
    ble 144.23
efbia'u\varepsilon people are 192.7 (cf.
    eebik' we are 180.13)
ts!ā\tilde{u}y\mp@subsup{\overline{o}}{}{\mathbf{u}}\mathrm{ ya`uk`` there was (infer-}
    ential)deep water(cf.188.14)
sa}\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{a}ns\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}nsinia\mp@subsup{a}{}{us}\mathrm{ fighting is go-
    ing on 23.14
dõmxbiya\mp@subsup{}{}{4\varepsilon}t\mathrm{ people will kill you}
    (intransitive; but transitive
    with definite third personal
    subject domxbink they will
    kill you) (33.10)
```

In particular, states of the weather or season, necessarily involving indefiniteness of subject, are referred to by forms provided with the indefinite suffix -iau-. Examples are:

[^30]lop!odia' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ it is raining, hailing, or snowing 90.1 ; 152.11 (but definitely $n \tilde{o} x$ lop! $0^{\circ} t{ }^{\prime}$ it rains 90.1 ; (198.9) ; ts $!$ !elam lop! $0^{`} t{ }^{\prime}$ it hails; $p!\bar{a}^{\prime} a_{s}$ lop!o' $t$ it snows 90.2 ; 196.7)
lep ${ }^{\text {n }} n i y a^{\prime} u k{ }^{\prime}$ it has gotten to be winter
samgia ${ }^{\prime 4} t^{\prime}$ it will be summer (92.9)
samgiaugulugwa' $n$ it is about to be summer (literally, it is sum-mer-intended, see § 68) (cf. 48.13)
$t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u} g i a^{\prime ч \varepsilon}$ it is hot (i.e., it is hot weather; but $t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ it, some object, is hot [25.10]; 94.15)
$w e^{\prime e}$ gia-uda $a^{\varepsilon}$ when it is daybreak 73.6; 126.13

## 4. Temporal-Modal and Pronominal Elements (§§ 59-67)

## § 59. INTRODUCTORY

Every Takelma verb except, so far as known, the defective copula evt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I AM, has forms of six tense-modes-aorist, future, potential, inferential, present imperative, and future imperative. Of these, all but the aorist, which is built up on a derived aorist stem, are formed from the verb-stem. A special tense or mode sign, apart from the peculiar stem of the aorist, is found in none of the tense-modes except the inferential, which, in all the voices, is throughout characterized by a $-k-(-g-)$ following the objective, but preceding the subjective, pronominal elements. Each of the tense-modes except the potential, which uses the personal endings of the aorist, is, however, characterized by its own set of pronominal endings. It is for this very reason that it has seemed best to use the term tense-modes for the various modes and tenses, instead of attempting a necessarily artificial classification into tenses (aorist and future) and modes (indicative, potential, imperative, and inferential), the method of distinguishing the latter being fundamentally the same as that employed to form the former, i. e., the use of special pronominal schemes.

The purely temporal idea is only slightly developed in the verb. The aorist does duty for the preterite (including the narrative past), the present, and the immediate future, as in now i shall go; while the future is employed to refer to future time distinctly set off from the present, as in i shall go this evening, to-morrow. A similar distinction between the immediate and more remote future is made in the imperative. The present imperative expresses a command which, it is intended, is to pass into more or less immediate fulfillment, as in go away! while the command expressed by the future
imperative is not to be carried out until some stated or implied point of time definitely removed from the immediate present, as in come to-morrow!, give her to eat (when she recovers). The uses of the potential and inferential will be best illustrated by examples given after the forms themselves have been tabulated. In a general way the potential implies the ability to do a thing, or the possibility of the occurrence of a certain action or condition (i can, could go if I care, cared to), and thus is appropriately used in the apodosis of an unfulfilled or contrary-to-fact condition; it is also regularly employed in the expression of the negative imperative (prohibitive). The peculiar form of the potential (verb-stem with aorist pronoun endings) seems in a measure to reflect its modal signification, the identity of its stem with that of the future indicating apparently the lack of fulfillment of the action, while the aoristic pronominal elements may be interpreted as expressing the certainty of such fulfillment under the expressed or implied circumstances by the person referred to.

The inferential implies that the action expressed by the verb is not directly known or stated on the authority of the speaker, but is only inferred from the circumstances of the case or rests on the authority of one other than the speaker. Thus, if I say the bear killed the man, and wish to state the event as a mere matter of fact, the truth of which is directly known from my own or another's experience, the aorist form would normally be employed:
mena' (bear) yap!a (man) t!omõk'wa (it killed him)
If I wish, however, to imply that it is not definitely known from unmistakable evidence that the event really took place, or that it is inferred from certain facts (such as the finding of the man's corpse or the presence of a bear's footprints in the neighborhood of the house), or that the statement is not made on my own authority, the inferential would be employed:
mena` yap!a dõmk'wak' it seems that the bear killed the man; the bear must have, evidently has, killed the man
Inasmuch as mythical narration is necessarily told on hearsay, one would expect the regular use of the inferential in the myths; yet, in the great majority of cases, the aorist was employed, either because tlie constant use of the relatively uncommon inferential forms would have been felt as intrusive and laborious, or because the events related in the myths are to be looked upon as objectively certain.

The inferential is also regularly employed in expressing the negative future.

Not only do the pronominal elements vary for the different tensemodes, but they change also for the two main classes of intransitive verbs and for the transitive (subject and object), except that in the present imperative and inferential no such class-differences are discernible, though even in these the characteristic -pi- of Class II intransitives brings about a striking formal, if not strictly personal, difference. We thus have the following eleven pronominal sehemes to deal with:

Aorist subject intransitive I.
Aorist subject intransitive II.
Aorist subject transitive.
Future subject intransitive I.
Future subject intransitive II.
Future subject transitive.
Inferential subject.
Present imperative subject.
Future imperative subject intransitive I and transitive.
Future imperative subject intransitive II.
Object transitive (and subject passive).
The transitive objects are alike for all tense-modes, except that the combination of the first person singular object and second person singular or plural subject (i. e., THOU or ye me) always agrees with the corresponding subject form of intransitive II. Not all the personal forms in these schemes stand alone, there being a number of intercrossings between the schemes of the three classes of verbs. The total number of personal endings is furthermore greatly lessened by the absence of a dual and the lack of a distinct plural form for the third person. The third person subject is positively charncterized by a distinct personal ending only in the aorist subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive I, the future subject intransitive II, and the future subject transitive; as object, it is never characterized at all, except in so far as the third person object, when referring to human beings, is optionally indicated by a special suflix -kiwa-(-gwa-). In all other cases the third person is negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending. The second singular subject of the present imperative is similarly negatively characterized by the absence of a personal ending, though the $-p^{*}$ of the present imperative intransitive II superficially contradicts this statement (see $\$ 61$ ).

The pronominal schemes, with illustrative paradigms, will now be taken up according to the verb-classes.

## § 60. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS I

This class embraces most of the intransitives of the language, particularly those of active significance (e. g., COME, GO, RUN, DANCE, play, sing, die, shout, jump, yet also such as be, sleep), verbs in $-x a$-, indefinites in -iau-, and reciprocals. The tense-modes of such verbs have the following characteristic subjective personal endings:

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Present Imperative | Fisture imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SIngular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $-t^{\prime} e^{x},-d e^{e}$ | -t'ee, -dee | $-k^{\prime}-a^{\epsilon 1}$ |  |  |
| Second person | -( $a^{\prime}$ ) $t^{\prime}$ | -(a)da' ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $-k^{\prime} \epsilon^{e} i t^{\prime}$ | -- | $-\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\ell} k^{\prime}$ |
| Third person . | - $\varepsilon$ | - $\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{\ell} t^{\prime}$ | $-{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | -i $k$ | -(i) $\mathrm{Ca}^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ | $-k^{\prime}-a n a^{\prime} k^{*}$ | -(a)ba'c |  |
| Second person | $-\left(a^{\prime}\right) t^{\prime} p$ | -( $a^{\prime}$ ) $t^{\prime} b a^{¢}$ | $-k^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\text {eit }} p$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\left(a^{\prime}\right) n p^{\prime} \\ -{ }^{-} p^{\prime}\end{array}\right.$ | $?$ |

1 It is possible that this suffix is really $-k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} n$; $-n$ after a catch is practically without sonority, and very easily missed by the ear. The first person singular and plural inferential endings are then both transitives in form (cf. -acn and -ana $\mathscr{F}^{c}$ as first person singular and plural subject of transitives); the thlrd person is without ending in both. The ending $-k^{\prime}-a^{t} n$ is made partlcularly likely by the subordinate in $-k^{\prime} \cdot a^{\prime} n-d a^{\xi}$ (see § 70).

The imperative is necessarily lacking in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural in - $(a) b a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ of the present imperative is used as a hortatory: yanaba ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ Let cs go! 158.11; (cf. 168.11). This -(a)ba's is not infrequently followed by emphasizing particles: $-n i^{1}$ (e. g., yubāacan $i^{\prime}$ let us be! [cf. 158.8]) ; -hi (e. g., yeeba'shi let US Return! 63.1 ; see § 114,2 ), or -ha'n (e. g., ya'naba ${ }^{\kappa} h a^{\prime} n$ Let us go 64.1 ), the last of these being clearly identical with the nominal plural element -han (see § 99); -nihan is also found (ya'nabāas niha'n Let us all go, pray! [cf. $150.24 ; 152.6]$ ). No true future hortatory and second person plural imperative seem to exist; for the latter, the ordinary indicative form in $-t^{t} b a^{\varepsilon}\left(-d a b a^{\varepsilon}\right.$ in the other classes) was always given. The connective $-\alpha$ - is used with most of the consonantal endings, as indicated in the table, when the preceding part of the word ends in a consonant, otherwise the ending is directly attached; in the reciprocal $-t^{\prime} p^{\prime},-^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime}$, and $-t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ are directly added to the suffix -an-. Before the only vocalic ending, $-i^{\prime} k^{\prime}$, a glide $-y$ - is introduced if the preceding sound is a vowel (e. g., al-yowoyi ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ we look). In the first person plural of the future $-i g a^{\prime} m$ (-aorist $-i g-+-a^{\prime} m$; cf. $-d a^{\prime} m$ in possessive
pronouns, §§ 91-3) is used after consonants, $-g a^{\prime} m$ after vowels. The first form of the second person plural imperative ( $-a^{\prime} n p^{\circ}$ ) is used to follow most consonants ( $-n p$ " to follow a "constant" $-a$ - of the stem), $-^{-} p^{\prime}$ being found only after vowels and probably $m$ and $n$ (e. g., yu'p' BE YE! ; yana` \(p^{`}\) GO YE!).

In regard to the etymology of the endings, it is clear that the second person plural aorist is derived from the corresponding singular form by the addition of a characteristic $-p^{c}$ (cf. the imperative), that the second persons of the future are differentiated from the aorist forms by an added $-a^{\varepsilon}$, and that the first person singular future is identical with the corresponding form in the aorist, except for the lack of a catch. The second persons of the inferential are periphrastic forms, consisting of the third personal form in $-k^{:}$(modesign, not personal ending) plus eĩt thou art, eît $p^{\prime}$ ye are.

As paradigmatic examples are chosen a stem ending in a vowel (aorist yowo- Be), one ending in a consonant (aorist baxam- Соме), a reciprocal (aorist $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a n-s a n$ - fight with one another), and an indefinite in -iau- (aorist $t^{\prime} \bar{u} w \bar{u}-g$ - $i a u-\mathbf{~ в е ~ н о т ) . ~}$

|  |  | AORIST |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: <br> First person <br> Second person. <br> Third person <br> Plural: <br> First person <br> Second person. | yowo ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\varepsilon}$ I run <br> yowo 't' <br> yowo ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> yowoyi ' k ' <br> yowo 't' p " | baxañt' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ come <br> baxama't' <br> baxa' ${ }^{\prime} m$ <br> baxami 'k' <br> baxama ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}$ ' | ```säansa'nsatn they fight säansa'nsinik săansa'nsant'p```` |  |
| FUTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Singular: <br> First person <br> Second person <br> Third person <br> Plural: <br> First person <br> Socond person | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{e}$ <br> yuda'c <br> $y u^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime}$ <br> yuga'm <br> $y u^{\prime t}{ }^{\text {'b }}$ bas | baxma't'ee ${ }^{\text {e }}$ <br> baxmada' ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> baxn. $a^{\prime \epsilon t}{ }^{\prime}$ <br> barmaga'm <br> baxma't'ba | sana'xantt' <br> sana'xinigam sana'xant ${ }^{\prime}$ ba | $t^{\prime} \bar{u} u g i a^{\prime} u t^{\prime}$ |
| POTENTIAL |  |  |  |  |
| Singular: <br> First person <br> Second person <br> Third person <br> Plural: <br> First person <br> Second person | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$ <br> $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ <br> $y u^{\prime \varepsilon}$ <br> yuwi'k" <br> $\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}$ ' | baxma't'et <br> baxma't' <br> barma't <br> baxmi'k' <br> barma't'p' | sana'xacn <br> sana'xinik' <br> sana'rant' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' | $t^{\prime} \bar{u} u g i a^{\prime} u$ |
| $3045^{\circ}$-Bull. 40, pt $2-12-11$ |  |  |  | § 60 |

INFERENTIAL

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person | - . | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ | barma'k'a' |  |  |
| Second person | - $\cdot$ | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$ !eit' ${ }^{1}$ | barma'k!elt' |  |  |
| Thlrd person |  | $y{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | barma'k' | 8ana'rank' | $t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\text {u }}$ giau'k' |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person |  | $y u^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ ana ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ | barma'k'ana'k' | sana'rank'ana'k' |  |
| Second person |  | $y u^{\prime} \mathrm{k}$ lelt' ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | boxma'kleit'p' | $8 a n a^{\prime} x a n \mathrm{kleīt}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text { }}$ |  |
| $1-k^{\prime}+{ }^{e}=k!$ See § 12. |  |  |  |  |  |
| PRESENT IMPERATIVF. |  |  |  |  |  |


${ }^{1}$ The $-i$ - of -iba evidently corresponds to the $-i$ - in the first person plural borist $-i k^{*}$, future -igam, but appears, so far as known, only in the reciprocal, and, of course, in such cases as require connectlve -iinstcad of $-a$ - (see below, § 64): haf $w-i-k!^{\prime} e m n i b a^{\prime} \varepsilon$ LET U'S SWEAT, with-i-because of instrumental $\bar{i}$-.

FUTURF. IMPERATIVE


A few intransitives of this class add the consonantal pronominal endings directly to the final semi-vowel ( $-y$-) of the stem, instead of employing the connective vowel $-a$-. Such are:
eit ${ }^{\prime}$ ' thou art 108.2, eit $p^{\prime}$ ' ye are 14.10 (contrast yeweya ' $t$ ' thou returnest [58.13], but yeweit $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ return [188.4] like eitt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am 198.2)
nagait' thou sayest 56.5, nagañt $p^{\prime}$ ye say 170.4 (contrast $t^{\prime}$ agaya ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ thou criest, but t'agait t' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I cry [180.5] like nagaĩt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I say 180.1) To this somewhat irregular group of verbs belongs probably also $l \bar{o}^{u_{-}}$ play, though, not ending in a semi-vowel in either the verb or aorist stem, it shows no forms directly comparable to those just given; its third person aorist, however, shows a rising accent before the catch: $l \tilde{o}^{u} l^{\varepsilon} 70.4$ (not ${ }^{2}\left(\bar{o}^{\prime} u l^{s}\right)$, a phenomenon that seems connected (see below, §65) with the lack of a connecting vowel before the personal endings.

A few stray verbs, otherwise following the normal scheme of intransitive Class I endings, seem to lack a catch in the third person aorist:

[^31]lop!o ${ }^{\top} t^{\prime 1}$ it rains 90.1, 2 (yet lop!oda't' you are raining 198.9; lop ${ }^{\prime} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ it will rain; lop ${ }^{\prime} d a^{\prime} x$ to rain, § 74,1 )
$h \tilde{a} x$ it burns 98.1 (yet haxa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\circ}$ it will burn)
Several intransitive Class I usitatives seem to lack the catch of the third person aorist also:
ginink' he always went to 46.11 (from $\operatorname{gini} i^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ he went to)
witc!issma he keeps moving (from witc! $i^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ he moves 148.12)
yewèo $k{ }^{\prime}$ he is wont to return $47.4 ; 116.2$ (yet yewèoga't' you are wont to return)
No explanation can be given of this irregularity.
The inferential endings, as has been already remarked, are identical for all classes of verbs, so that the following applies to Class II intransitives and to transitives as well as to Class I intransitives. The mode-sign $-k$ is added directly to the final vowel or consonant of the verb-stem (or stem with its added derivative and pronominal object suffixes) without connecting $a$. All combinations of consonants are here allowed that are at all possible as syllabically final clusters (see § 16); indeed some of the final consonant clusters, as $-s k^{*},-p^{*} k^{*},-n p^{\circ} k^{*},-l p^{*} k^{\prime}$, hardly occur, if at all, outside the inferential. If the resulting consonant combination would be phonetically impossible an inorganic $a$ is introduced between the two consonants that precede the inferential $-k$; secondary diphthongs with raised accent may thus arise:
$k!e m a{ }^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime}$ he made it (verb-stem $k$ !emn-)
bila'uk' he jumped 160.17 (verb-stem bilw-)
Double diphthongs are often allowed to stand unaltered before $-k^{e}$ (e.g., oink' he gave them; also imperative oin give them!) ; sometimes doublets, with double diphthong or with inorganic $a$, are found (e. g., ts!aimk' or ts!aya'mk' HE HID IT; also passive participle ts!aimhakw HIDDEN, but ts!aya' $m$ HIDE IT! ts!eya' $m x i$ Hide ME! ts!aya' $m x a m k i$ He hid us [158.7]). With a final $-g$ - or $-g w$ - the inferential $-k$ unites to form $-k^{e}$ or $-k^{*}$, but with longthening of the preceding vowel; $-k!-+-k^{\prime}$ becomes $-{ }^{\prime} k k^{\prime}$. Examples are:
$h e^{e} n \tilde{a} k^{\circ}{ }^{\infty}\left(=-a^{\prime} g w-k^{\circ}\right)$ he consumed them (cf. 48.10); but heena $k^{\circ} w$ consume them!
wa-yanãk ${ }^{*}$ (=yana'-gw-k') he ran after them 98.10 ; but wayana` ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{w}$ run after them!

[^32]```
yo}\mp@subsup{\tilde{o}}{}{u}\mp@subsup{k}{}{*}w(=yogw-k) she married him 192.1
he-र-le'me m k' (=lemk!-k') he destroyed them (146.20); 154.11;
    also imperative ( =*lemk!)
```

§ 61. INTRANSITIVES, CLASS II

Most verbs of Class II intransitives, unlike those that are most typical of Class I, are derived from transitives, the majority of examples falling under the heads of non-agentives in $-x$-, reflexives in $-g w i-$, positionals in $-\bar{\imath}^{i}$-, and verbs with intransitivizing $-p^{2}$ - either in all their tense-modes or in all but the aorist (see $\S 42,1$ ). Besides these main groups there are a straggling number of not easily classified verbs that also show the peculiarities of the class; such are:

```
sene'sant \(e^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}\) whoop (110.20; 180.15)
wit \({ }^{\text {e }} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}\) go about ( \(90.1 ; 92.29 ; 122.23\) )
ligint' \(e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}\) rest (48.11; 79.2, 4; 102.1)
\(h \ddot{u}^{u} l i^{\prime} n t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}\) am tired (48.4, 11; 102.1, 8; 120.11)
```

In a rough way the main characteristic of Class II intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, is that they denote conditions and processes, while Class I intransitives are in great part verbs of action. Following is the scheme of subjective pronominal endings characteristic of Class II:

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $-t^{t} e^{\varepsilon},-d e^{\epsilon}$ | $t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon},-d e^{e}$ | $\left(-p^{*}\right)-g a^{\varepsilon}$ |  |  |
| Second person . | -t' $a m,-d a m$ | $-t^{\prime} a^{\epsilon},-d a^{\varepsilon}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-k^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-g a^{\epsilon} m$ |
| Third person . | $\{-\}$ |  | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-k^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Third person . | $\left\{-t^{\prime}\right\}$ | $-t^{*} \bar{a} a,-d \bar{\alpha} a$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-k^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | (- $p^{\prime}$ )-ik' | (-p $p^{*}$ )-igam | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-g-a n a^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-a b a^{\varepsilon}$ |  |
| Second person. | $-t^{\prime} a p^{\prime},-d a p^{\prime}$ | $-t^{\prime} a b a^{\varepsilon},-d a b a^{\epsilon}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-k^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\boldsymbol{e}} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ | $\left(-p^{\prime}\right)-a n p^{\prime}$ |  |

In comparing these endings with those of Class I intransitives, it is seen that the characteristic peculiarities of Class II intransitives are: the -am of the second person singular aorist and future imperative ( $-t^{\prime} a m\left[=-t^{\prime}+-a m\right],-g a^{\varepsilon} m\left[?=-{ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}+-a m\right]$ ); the $-a$ - between the $-t^{\prime}$ - and the $-p^{\circ}-(-b-)$ in the second person plural aorist and future; the lack of a catch in the third person aorist; the ending - $t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ of the third person future; and the presence of a $-p^{\prime}-(-b-)$ in the first person plural aorist and future and in the inferential, present imperative, and future imperative forms. The last feature is, however, absent in the non-agentive $-x$ - verbs and in the future of reflexives. The labial in
the first person plural of the aorist and future is evidently connected with the $-b$ - of $e^{e} b i^{\prime} k k^{\prime}$ we are (see $\S 60$, fourth footnote) ; the parallelism is made complete by the fact that impersonal forms in -iauderived from Class II intransitives (except non-agentives) show a - $p^{\circ}$ before the suffix, analogously to $e^{e} b i a^{\prime \mu \varepsilon}$ :
sene'sanp'ia $a^{u \varepsilon}$ there is whooping, se'nsanp'ia $a^{u \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ there will be whooping
In the third person of the aorist, positionals in $-i^{i}$-, non-agentives, and verbs in $-p^{2}$ - and other consonants (except $n$ and probably $l, m$ ) lack a positive ending, while reflexives and most of the miscellaneous verbs (ending in a vowel or $n, l$, and $m$ ) show a final $-t$. There is every reason to believe that the absence of a $-t^{\prime}$ in the former group of forms is due to phonetic conditions that brought about its loss (see § 18).

As examples of verbs of this class will serve a non-agentive (aorist ha-u-hanás- stop), a reflexive (aorist ì-lets!eki wi- touch one's self), a positional (aorist $s^{\cdot} a s \cdot i n i^{i}-\mathrm{stand}$ ), and one of the miscellaneous verbs ( $w i^{i}{ }^{i}$ - GO about).

AORIST.

| Singular: <br> First person . | hana' $\varepsilon_{s} \mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon}$ I stop | lets!ēk'wide ${ }^{\epsilon}$ I touch myself | $s \cdot a s$ init'e 1 stand | wit'e ${ }^{\text {c }}$ I go about |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Second person | hana' ${ }^{\prime}$ sdam | lets!ek'widam | $s$ sas'init'am | wit'am |
| Third person | hana' ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | lets!êk'wit' | $s \cdot a s \cdot i n t$ | wit' |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $h a n a^{\prime} \varepsilon, s \mathrm{ik}^{\prime}$ | lets! $\bar{e} k^{\prime} w i \mathrm{bik}{ }^{*}$ | $s \cdot a s \cdot i n \bar{i} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{ik}{ }^{*}$ | $w \overline{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ik}^{\prime}$ |
| Second person | hana'esdap' | lets!êk'widap' | s.as ${ }^{\text {init'ap }}{ }^{\prime}$ | wit'ap' |
| FUTURE |  |  |  |  |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $h a^{\prime} n \in s d e e$ | lesgi'k'wide* | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t{ }^{\text {e }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | wit'e ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}{ }^{s} \mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon}$ | lesgi' $k$ ' widas | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ | wit' ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| Third person . | $h a^{\prime} n^{\epsilon} 8 \mathrm{da}^{\text {a }}$ | lesgi' ${ }^{\prime}$ widà ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t^{\prime} \bar{a}{ }^{\wedge}$ | $u \mathrm{it}$ t'ā* |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $h a^{\prime} n{ }^{\varepsilon} s$ igam | lesgi'k'wigam | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s \cdot a n p \prime i g a m$ | wip'igam |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\prime} s d a b a^{\varepsilon}$ | lesgi'k' widabac | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a n t^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{aba}^{\epsilon}$ | uit'aba ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |

POTENTIAL.

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . | $h a^{\prime} n \varepsilon^{\prime}$ des | lesgi'k' wider | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ | witter |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\text {es }}$ dam | lesgi'k widam | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t$ am | wit'am |
| Third person . | $h a^{\prime} n^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}{ }^{\text {s }}$ | lesgi'k'wit' | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t^{\prime}$ (?) | $w \overline{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| Piural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | $h a^{\prime} n^{\epsilon}{ }^{\text {sik }}$ | lesgi'k'wibik' | $s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n p^{\prime} \mathrm{ik}{ }^{\prime}$ | wip'ik' |
| Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\epsilon} s \mathrm{dap}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | lesgi'k'widap ${ }^{\prime}$ | s $a^{\prime}$ s $a^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{ap}{ }^{\prime}$ | wit'ap ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |

INFERENTIAL,

| Singular: <br> First person . <br> Second person <br> Third person . <br> Plural: <br> First person . <br> Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon s}{ }^{s} a^{\varepsilon}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n$ sskleit ${ }^{\prime}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon s}{ }^{\prime}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n^{\text {Esgana }}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} s k l e i ̃ t{ }^{\prime} \mathbf{p}^{\prime}$ | lesgi'k' $w$ ip'ga $^{\varepsilon}$ lesgi'k' wip'kleit' lesgi'k'wip'k' <br> lesgi'k'wip'gana'k' lcsgi'k'wip'kleit'p' | s'a's ${ }^{\prime} \operatorname{anp}^{\prime}{ }^{\text {ga }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ <br> $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n \mathrm{p}{ }^{\prime} k l l^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ <br> $s^{\prime} \cdot a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \cdot a n \mathbf{p}^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}$ <br> $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n p{ }^{\prime} g a n a{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ <br> $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime a n p}{ }^{\prime} k$ left'p' | wip'gac <br> wip'k!elt' <br> wip'k' <br> wīp'gana'k' <br> wip'kleit'p ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRESENT IMPERATIVE |  |  |  |  |
| Singular: <br> Second person Plura!: <br> First person . <br> Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\epsilon_{s}}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}{ }^{s}$ saba $^{\varepsilon}$ <br> $h a^{\prime} n^{\epsilon} s a n{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ |  <br>  <br> lesgi'k'wīip'anp ${ }^{\prime}$ | $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ <br> $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n \mathrm{p}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ab}^{6}$ <br> $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a_{n} \mathrm{p}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{*}$ | $w^{i} p^{\prime}$ <br> wip'aba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ <br> wip'anp* |

FUTURE IMPERATIVE

| Singular: <br> Second person | $h a^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {sga }}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m}$ | lesgi' $k^{\prime}$ wi'ip'gasm | $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} \mathrm{an}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{ga}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}$ | wip'gacm |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Those verbs of this class that are characterized, either throughout their forms or in all non-aorist forms, by a suffixed $p^{\text {c }}$ have this element coalesce with the $-p^{\circ}$ of the first person plural, inferential, and imperative, but with lengthening of an immediately preceding vowel. In the imperative this lengthened vowel seems to take on a falling accent:
$p!a l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ tell a myth! (cf. p!ala' $p^{\prime} d e^{e}$ I shall tell a myth, with inorganic second a)
$\operatorname{sana}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ fight! (cf. sana' $p^{\prime} d e^{e} \mathrm{I}$ shall fight, with radical second $a$ )
The verb wog- arrive is peculiar in that the aorist is formed after the manner of Class II verbs (wõk* he arrives 47.15; wõk dam you arrive), while the non-aorist forms belong to Class I (e. g., woga ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} \epsilon^{*}$ he will arrive). It is further noteworthy that many, perhaps most, Class II intransitives form their usitative and frequentative forms according to Class I. Examples, showing the third person aorist catch, are:
$s^{*} \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ alha $a^{\varepsilon}$ they always dwell 112.2 (from $s^{*} u^{\varepsilon}$ wili 21.1; but first person plural $\left.s^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime \epsilon} a l h i b i k^{\prime}\right)$; contrast Class II $s^{\prime} a s^{\prime} a^{\prime} n h a p^{\prime}$ he
keeps standing (from s.asini 34.1)
wogowa'sk' they keep arriving 112.2 (from wôk')
$s^{\prime} o^{\prime} w \bar{o}^{\bar{u}} s^{\prime} \cdot a^{u \varepsilon}$ they keep jumping (112.5,10) (from $s^{\prime} o w \bar{o}^{-\mu \psi} k^{\prime} a p^{\dot{ }}$ 48.15)

Several non-agentives in $-x$ - drop the $-x$ - and become Class I intransitives in the frequentative:
$p!a-i-t^{\prime} g w i \bar{l}^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime} g w a l^{\varepsilon}$ (water) keeps dripping down (ef. p!a-i$t^{\prime}$ gwili ${ }^{\prime \prime i} x$ it drips down 58.1)
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}x \bar{a}^{a}-\text { sgot! } o^{\prime} s g a^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} \text { it breaks to pieces } 62.1 \text { (cf. } x \bar{a}^{a}-s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u s=-s g \bar{o}^{u} d-x \text { it } \\ \text { breaks [61.13]) } \\ x \bar{a}^{a}-s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u t^{\prime} \text { sgada } \\ \text { break [148.8]) }\end{array}\right.$
TRANSITIVES, CLASS III (§§ 62-66)
§ 62. General Remarks
The subject pronominal elements of the transitive verb combine with the objective elements to form rather closely welded compound endings, yet hardly ever so that the two can not separately be recognized as such; the order of composition is in every case pronominal object + subject. It is only in the combinations thou or ye ME that such composition does not take place; in these the first person singular object is, properly speaking, not expressed at all, except in so far as the stem undergoes palatalization if possible (see § 31, 1), while the second person subject assumes the form in which it is found in Class II of intransitive verbs. The pronominal objects are decidedly a more integral part of the verb-form than the subjects, for not only do they precede these, but in passives, periphrastic futures, nouns of agency, and infinitives they are found unaccompanied by them. For example:
dõmxbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ you will be killed (178.15)
dõmxbigulu'k'w he will kill you
dõmxbi's one who kills you
dõmxbiya to kill you
are analogous, as far as the ineorporated pronominal object (-bi-) is concerned, to:
dõmxbink' he will kill you; t!omõxbi $n$ I kill you
The pronominal objects are found in all the tense-modes, as far as the meaning of these permits, and are entirely distinct from all the subjective elements, except that the ending of the second person plural coincides with one form of the second person singular present imperative of the intransitive, $-a n p^{\prime}$. These elements are:

Singular: First person, $-x i$ (with third subjective); second person, $-b i$; third person, ——; third person (human), -kwa. Plural: First person, -am; second person, -anp (-anb-).

It does not seem that $-k w a-$ ，which is optionally used as the third personal object when reference is distinctly had to a human being（or to a mythical animal conceived of as a human being），can be com－ bined with other than a third personal subject（at least no other examples have been found）；nor can it be used as an indirect ob－ ject if the verb already contains among its prefixes an incorporated indirect object．These restrictions on the use of $-k \cdot w a$ enable us effectually to distinguish it from the indirect reflexive $-k$ wa－which has already been discussed，this element normally requiring an incor－ porated object prefixed to the verb．Examples of the objective －kiwa－are：
t！omõk：wa ${ }^{1}$ it killed him 15．16； 28.11
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-\bar{\imath} \tilde{u} k^{\prime} w a$ he went away from him
hãxank＇$w a$ he burnt him 27.16
$s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n k \cdot w a$ he fought with him 28.10
nagaik＇wa he said to him 152.3 （with very puzzling intransitive $-i-$ ；contrast naga＇he said to him）
wét＇gigwa she took（it）away from him（49．6）
lã⿸户口 wak＇（inferential）he gave him to eat
In several respects this $-k$ wa differs fundamentally from the other object suffixes．It allows no connective $-x$－to stand before it（see § 64 ）；the indirective $-d$－of $-a^{\prime} l d$－（see $\S 48$ ）drops out before it：
gayawa＇ll＇wa he ate him；cf．gayawa＇lsbi he ate you（26．8） and，differing in this respect from the suffixless third person object，it allows no instrumental $i$ to stand before it（see § 64）：
$\bar{i}$－t！ana＇hagwa he held lim（25．10）；cf． $\bar{\imath}$－t！ana＇hi he held it 27.4
$d a k^{*}-d a-h \tilde{a} l k^{*} w a$ he answered him 180．18；cf．$d a k^{\prime}-d a-h \bar{a}^{a} l \imath^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I answered him（146．14）
It is thus evident that forms with suffixed $-k w a$ approximate in－ transitives in form（cf．nagai̋kwa above）．With a stem－final $g, g w$ the suffix unites to form $-k^{*} w a$ ，the preceding vowel being lengthened and receiving a rising accent；with a stem－final $k$ ！it unites to form $-{ }^{-} k^{i} w a$ ， the preceding vowel being lengthened with falling accent．Examples are：
t！ayãk＇wa he found him 71．14；cf．t！aya＇$k{ }^{\prime}$ he found it $43.4 ; 134.17$
malãk＇wa he told him 22．8；（72．14）；cf．malagana＇nhi he told it to him（see § 50） 30.15
$d a-k!o s \cdot \tilde{o}^{u}{ }^{k} w a$ they bit him 74.5 (aorist stem $-k!o s \cdot o g-$ )
 destroyed them (110.2)
mülü̈'ük$k^{\prime} w a$ he swallowed him 72.16; ef. mülü'k! $a^{\varepsilon} n$ I swallowed him (73.1)

Verbs that have a suffixed comitative -(a)gwa- show, in combination with the objective $-k \cdot w a-$, a probably dissimilated suffix -gik' $w a$ (-gigwa), the connecting a preceding this compound suffix being of course umlauted to $i$ :
xebeyigi'k'wa he hurt him (cf. xebeyagwa's $n$ I hurt him [136.23]) $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ sgigwa he laughed at him 27.5 (cf. $\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}{ }^{s} g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I laugh at him [71.7])
It is rather interesting to observe how the objectiv e-kwa-may serve to remove some of the ambiguities that are ap', to arise in Takelma in the use of the third person. he gave it to him is expressed in the inferential by the forms $o^{\prime} k^{\prime} i k^{\prime}$ and $o^{\prime} k^{\prime \prime}$ igwak', the latter of which necessarily refers to a human indirect object. If a noun or independent pronoun be put before these apparently synonymous forms, sentences are framed of quite divergent signification. In the first sentence (noun $+o^{\prime} k^{\prime} i k^{\prime}$ ) the prefixed noun would naturally be taken as the object (direct or indirect) of the verb (e. g., $n e^{\prime} k^{\circ} d i o^{\prime} k^{\circ} i k$, HE WHO-GAVE IT? [ = TO WHOM DID HE GIVE IT?]); in the second (noun $+o^{\prime} k^{\circ} i g w a k^{\circ}$ ), as subject, a doubly expressed object being inadmissible (e. g., ne $e^{\prime} k^{\prime} d i o^{\prime} k^{\prime} i g w a k^{\prime}$ who gave it to him?). то wном DID He bring it? with incorporated object ne $e^{\prime} k d i$ reads $n e^{\prime} k^{\prime} d i$ $m e^{\varepsilon}-w \tilde{a} k^{*}$ literally, HE-who-hither-brought-it? wiIO Brought it то ним? with subject $n e^{\prime} k^{\circ} d i$ reads (as inferential form) $n e^{\prime} k^{\circ} d i$ wagawo' $k{ }^{\prime} w a k k^{\prime}(-o-$ unexplained). He found the ants is expressed by $t!i b i s \cdot \bar{\imath}^{i}$ t!aya'k', but the ants found him by t!ibis $\bar{\imath}^{i} t$ tayãkiwa. The usage illustrated may be stated thus: whenever the third personal object refers to a human being and the subject is expressed as a noun, suffixed $-k$ wa must be used to indicate the object; if it is not used, the expressed noun will most naturally be construed as the object of the verb. An effective means is thus present in Takelna for the distinction of a personal subject and object.
§ 63. Transitive Subject Pronouns
The various tense-modal schemes of subject pronouns in the transitive verb are as follows:


Setting aside the peculiar second personal subject first personal singular object terminations, it will be observed that the subjective forms of the transitive are identical with those of the intransitive (Class I) except in the first person singular and plural aorist and future, and in the third person aorist and future. The loss in the future of the catch of the first person singular aorist ( $-t^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ : $t^{t} e^{e}=$ $-{ }^{\varepsilon} n:-n$ ) and the addition in the future of $-a m$ to the first person plural aorist ( $-i k^{\circ}$ : -igam $=-n a k:$-nagam) are quite parallel phenomena. It will be observed also that the first person plural, probably also singular, aorist of the transitive, is in form identical, except for the mode-sign $-k^{-}$-, with the corresponding form of the inferential, so that one is justified in" suspecting this tense-mode to consist, morphologically speaking, of transitive forms with third personal object (see \& 60, first footnote).

The forms of $d \bar{o}^{u} m$ - (aorist $t$ !omom-) kll will show the method of combining subjective and objective pronominal elements.

|  |  |  | RIST |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subjective | Objective |  |  |  |  |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singulat: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | $t!o m o ̄ x \mathrm{bi}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ | t.omoma'cn |  | t'omōranbán |
| 2d per. | t!ümüxdarn |  | t'omoma't' | t'omorimit* |  |
| 3d per. | t!iumuxi | t'omōrbi | t'omōm | t'omōxam | t'omōranp' ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Jst per. |  | t'omōrbinak* | t'omomana' ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | t'omסxanbana'k' |
| 2 d per. | t/umütdap* |  | t'omoma't'p' | t'omzximit'p* |  |

[^33]future


PRESENT IMPERATIVE


FUTURE IMPERATIVE

| Singular: <br> 2d per. | d $\tilde{u} m \mathrm{rga} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{m}}$ | dōuma/ck' | ? ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

${ }^{1}$ These forms were not actually obtained, but can hardly be considered as doubtful.
, Probably expressed by simple future domzimida .
It is not necessary to give the transitive potential and inferential forms, as the former can be easily constructed by substituting in the future forms the aorist endings for those of the future:
dūmxi he would kill me
$d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ should, could kill him
$d \tilde{o}^{u} m$ he would, could kill him
The inferential forms can be built up from the corresponding future forms by substituting for the subject endings of the latter those given in the table for the inferential mode:
dũ̃mik' he killed me
dõmxamk!eit' you killed us
dõmk' $a^{\varepsilon}$ I killed him
dõmxanp'gana'k' we killed you
The only point to which attention need be called in the aorist and future forms is the use of a connecting vowel $-i$ - instead of $-a$ - when the first personal plural object (-am-) is combined with a second singular or plural subject (-it', -it $p^{\prime},-i d a^{\varepsilon},-i t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ ); this -i- naturally
carries the umlaut of -am- to -im-with it, but -am-reappears when $-i$ - drops out, cf. inferential dõmxamk!eitt'. With the $-i$ - of these forms compare the $-i$ - of the first person plural intransitives $-i k^{\text {e }}$, $-i g a^{\prime} m,-i b a^{\varepsilon}$ (§ 60 and $\S 60$, second footnote).

```
§64. Connecting -x- and -i-
```

It will have been observed that in all forms but those provided with a third personal object the endings are not directly added to the stem, but are joined to it by a connecting consonant $-x$ - (amalgamating with preceding $-t-$ to $-s-$ ). This element we have seen to be identical with the $-x-(-s-)$ of reciprocal forms; and there is a possibility of its being related to the $-x a$ - of active intransitive verbs, hardly, however, to the non-agentive $-x$-. Though it appears as a purely formal, apparently meaningless element, its original function must have been to indicate the objective relation in which the immediately following pronominal suffix stands to the verb. From this point of view it is absent in a third personal object form simply because there is no expressed pronominal element for it to objectivize, as it were. The final aoristic consonant of Type 8 verbs regularly disappears before the connecting $-x$-, so that its retention becomes a probably secondary mark of a third personal pronominal object. The fact that the third personal objective element -kiwa- (-gwa-) does not tolerate a preceding connective $-x$ - puts it in a class by itself, affiliating it to some extent with the derivational suffixes of the verb.

There are, comparatively speaking, few transitive stems ending in a vowel, so that it does not often happen that the subjective personal endings, the third personal object being unexpressed, are directly attached to the verb or aorist stem, as in:

```
\(n a g a^{\prime \epsilon} n\) I say to him 72.9, cf. naga' he said to him 92.24
```

sebe' $n$ I shall roast it (44.6); future imperative $\dot{o} d o^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime}$ hunt for him! (116.7)
Ordinarily forms involving the third personal object require a connecting vowel between the stem and the pronominal suffix. Not all verbs, however, show the purely non-significant $-a$ - of, e. g., t!omoma' ${ }^{\prime}$, but have a to a large extent probably functional - $i$-. This $-i$ - occurs first of all in all third personal object forms of verbs that have an instrumental prefix:

```
ts!ayaga \({ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n\) I shoot him (192.10), but wa-ts!ayagis \(n\) I shoot (him)
    with it
\(\bar{\imath}\)-lats!agi't you touched it
```

The greater number of cases will probably be found to come under this head, so that the $-i$ - may be conveniently termed instrumental $-i$. Not all forms with $-i$-, by any means, can be explained, however, as instrumental in force. A great many verbs, many of them characterized by the directive prefix al- (see $\S 36,15$ ), require an $-i$ as their regular connecting vowel:
lagagi's $n$ gave him to eat (30.12)
$l \bar{a}^{a} l i w i^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I call him by name (116.17)
$\bar{l}^{u}{ }^{u}$ ginini $^{\prime \epsilon} n$ I trap them for him (and most other for-indirectives in -anan-)
Examples of $-i$-verbs with indirect object are:
ogoyi's $n$ give it to him 180.11 (contrast oyona $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I gave it [180.20]) $w \bar{a}^{a} g i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I brought it to him (176.17) (contrast $w \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I brought it [162.13])
A number of verbs have $-a$ - in the aorist, but $-i$ - in all other tensemodes:
$y \bar{i}^{i} m i y a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ lend it to him, but yimi'hin I shall lend it to him
$n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I said to him (second $-a$ - part of stem) 72.9, but $n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} n$
I shall say to him; $n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime \epsilon} k^{\prime}$ say to him! (future) 196.20; nãk $k^{\prime} i k^{*}$
he said to him (inferential) 94.16; 170.9; 172.12
The general significance of $-i$ - seems not unlike that of the prefixed directive al-, though the application of the former element is very much wider; i. e., it refers to action directed toward some person or object distinctly outside the sphere of the subject. Hence the -i- is never found used together with the indirect reflexive -k'wa-, even though this suffix is accompanied by an instrumental prefix:
$x \bar{a}^{a}-p t^{i} i^{-} n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \mathbb{k}^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} n \mathrm{I}$ warm my own back (188.20)
In a few cases the applicability of the action of the verb can be shifted from the sphere of the subject to that of another person or thing by a mere change of the connective $-a-$ to $-i-$, without the added use of prefix or suffix:
$x \bar{a}^{a}-l \bar{a}^{\prime a} t!a n$ I shall put it about my waist, but $x \bar{a}^{a}-l \bar{a}^{\prime a} t!$ in I shall put it about his waist
In the form of the third personal subject with third personal object of the aorist, the imperative with third personal object, and the inferential with third personal object, the -i-generally appears as a suffixed -hi-(-i-), incapable of causing umlaut:
malagana'nhi he told him 30.15, but malagini's $n$ I told him (172.1) wa-t!omõmhi he killed him with it
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}{ }^{\prime} \text { manana }^{\prime} n h i \text { he fixed it for him } \\ \bar{i}-k!\bar{u} m a n a^{\prime} n h i \text { fix it for him! } \\ \bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u} m a n a^{\prime} n h i k^{\prime} \text { he fixed it for him (infer.), but } \bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u} m i n i n i n i n k{ }^{\prime} \\ \text { he will fix it for him }\end{array}\right.$
It should be noted, however, that many verbs with characteristic $-i$ - either may or regularly do leave out the final - $i$ :
$a l x \bar{i}^{i} k^{*}$ he saw him 124.6, 8 (cf. $a l-x \hat{u}^{-i} g q i^{i} n \mathrm{I}$ saw him, 188.11) ${ }^{1}$
$\bar{i}$-lats! $a{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ' he touched him (cf. $\bar{i}$-lats! $a_{i j}{ }^{\prime} n$ I touched him)

> [he $e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-$-lele $^{\prime \epsilon} \mathrm{k}^{c}$ he let him go (13.6) (cf. he $e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-l e^{\prime} l e k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ I let him go [50.4])
> he $e^{\epsilon-\bar{i}-\overline{-}-e^{\prime} l^{\prime} k^{\prime} k^{\prime} \text { let him go! } 182.15 \text { ( } \mathrm{cf} \text {. he } e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-l e^{\prime} l k!i n ~ I ~ s h a l l}$ let him go) $b a-i-d i-t^{\prime} g a^{\kappa}{ }^{\kappa} t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a} s$ stick out your anus! 164.19; 166.6 (cf.
> ba-i-di-t'gats! $a^{\prime}$ t gisi ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I stuck out my anus [166.8])
> $\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m a^{\wedge} n$ he prepared it 190.22 (cf. $\bar{i}-k!\bar{u}^{u} m_{m i n i s} n$ I prepared it)

It must be confessed that it has not been found possible to find a simple rule that would enable one to tell whether an $i$-verb does or does not keep a final -hi (-i $)$. Certain verbs, even though without instrumental signification, show an $-i$ (or $-h i$-) in all forms with third personal object. Such are:
aorist ogoy-give to (ogoihi he gave it to him 156.20)
aorist $w e^{e} t t^{\prime}-g$ - take away from (wẽt'gi he took it from him, 16.13) aorist lagag-feed (laga' $k^{\circ} i$ he gave him to eat 30.12 ; lã $k^{\prime} i$ give him to eat! lãk'igana'k' we seem to have given him to eat)
and indirective verbs in -anan-. Irregularities of an unaccountable character occur. Thus we have:
$h e^{\epsilon \epsilon}-\bar{u} \tilde{u}$ he left him (cf. $h e^{e \epsilon-\bar{i}^{i} w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n}$ I left him); but imperative $h e^{e \epsilon}-i w i \neq h i$ leave him! (not *-iwi', as we might expect)
In many cases the loss or retention of the final $-h i$ seems directly connected with syntactic considerations. A large class of verbs with instrumental prefix (generally $i-$ ) drop the final $-h i$, presumably because the instrumentality is only indefinitely referred to (cf. § 35, 1). Examples of such have been given above. As soon, however, as the instrument is explicitly referred to, as when an instrumental noun is incorporated in or precedes the verb, the $-h i$ is restored. Thus:

[^34]$l a-^{\epsilon} \bar{\eta}-t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{\prime}$ he burst it (cf. $-t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} g i^{\epsilon} n$ I burst it)
 pieces)
$\bar{\imath}-s^{\prime} w i^{\prime} l s^{\prime}$ wal tear it to pieces!
$\bar{i}-s^{\cdot} w \bar{i}^{i} l s \cdot w a^{\prime} l$ he tore it (once)
$\bar{i}$-heme'm he wrestled with him 22.10 (cf. -hememis $n$ I wrestled with him
despite the prefixed $-\bar{\imath}-$; but:
la-waya-t'b $\bar{a}^{\prime a}{ }^{\prime} i$ he burst it with a knife
han-waya-s wils wa'lhi tear it through in pieces with a knife! (73.3)

Similarly:
$b \bar{a}-{ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}-s g \bar{a}^{a} k^{\prime} s g a^{\prime} k^{c}$ he picked him up 31.11 (cf. -sgãk'sgigi${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I picked him up)
but:
$k!a^{\prime} m \bar{a}^{a} d a n b \bar{a}^{a}-s g \bar{a}^{a} k i s g a^{\prime} k i i$ tongs rocks he-picked-them-up-with ( $=$ he picked up rocks with tongs) 170.17
despite the lack of an instrumental prefix in the verb. Explicit instrumentality, however, can hardly be the most fundamental function of the -hi. It seems that whenever a transitive verb that primarily takes but one object is made to take a second (generally instrumental or indirective in character) the instrumental $-i$ - (with retained $-h i$ ) is employed. Thus:
$m a^{\prime} x l a k!\bar{u} w u ̈$ he threw dust
but:
$m a^{\prime} x l a{ }^{\varepsilon} a l k!\bar{u} u \tilde{u} h i$ dust he-threw-it-at-him (perhaps best translated as he-bethrew-him-with-dust) cf. 184.5
where the logically direct object is $m a^{\prime} x l a$, while the logically indirect, perhaps grammatically direct, object is implied by the final -hi and the prefix al-. Similarly, in:
$k^{\circ} o^{\epsilon} p x b a b a b a^{\prime} t^{i} i w \bar{a}^{a} d i^{\prime} x d a$ ashes he-clapped-them-over his-body (perhaps best rendered by: he-beclapped-his-body-with-ashes) 182.9
the logically direct obiect is $k^{\circ} o^{\varepsilon} p x$, the logically indirect object, hisbody, seems to be implied by the - $\because$. This interpretation of the $-h i$ as being dependent upon the presence of two explicit objects is confirmed by the fact that most, if not all, simple verbs that regularly retain it (such as give to, say to in non-aorist forms, bring to, verbs in -anan-) logically demand two objects.

As soon as the verb ceases to be transitive (or passive) in form or when the third personal object is the personal $-k w a$, the instrumental -i- disappears:
gel-yalāáaxalt'gwit he forgot himself 77.10 (cf. gel-yalāa ${ }^{\prime a} x a l d i{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I forgot him)
ogoik' wa he gave it to him 96.18 (cf.ogoihi he gave it to him 188.12) It is possible that in wet gigwa he took it from him the -gi- is a peculiar suffix not compounded of petrified $-g$ - (see $\S 42,6$ ) and instrumental - $i$-; contrast $\bar{\imath}$-t!ana'hi he held it with $\bar{\imath}$-t!ana'hagwa he held him. Any ordinary transitive verb may lose its object and take a new instrumental object, whereupon the instrumental $-i$ becomes necessary. Examples of such instrumentalized transitives are:
ga'l₹ wa-ts!ayagi's $n$ bow I-with-shoot-it (cf. ts!ayaga's $n$ I shoot him) $w a-{ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{u} g w i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I drink with it (ef. $\bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I drink it)
If, however, it is desired to keep the old object as well as the new instrumental object, a suffix -an- seems necessary. Thus:
yap!a wa-s $\bar{a}^{a} g$ inina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ people they-will-be-shot-with-it
$x \bar{i}^{\prime i}$ wa- ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{u} g w i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ water I-drink-it-with-it
It is not clear whether or not this -an-is related to either of the -anelements of -anan- (\$50).

A final $-i$ is kept phonetically distinet in that it does not unite with a preceding fortis, but allows the fortis to be treated as a syllabic final, i. e., to become ${ }^{\varepsilon}+$ aspirated surd:
$h e^{e \varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-l e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} i$ he killed them off, but-le'mek! $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I killed them off
Forms without connective vowel whose stem ends in a vowel, and yet (as instrumentals or otherwise) require an $-i$-, simply insert this element (under proper phonetic conditions as -hi-) before the modal and personal suffixes:
wa-woo'hin I shall go to get it with it (contrast woo' $n$ I shall go to get it)
$\bar{\imath}$-t!ana'his $n$ I hold it; $\bar{i}$-t!ana'hi he holds it 27.4
di-s*al-yomo'hin I shall run behind and catch up with him; di-s'al-yomo'hi catch up with him! (contrast yomo'n I shall catch up with him)
wa-sana'hink' they will spear them with them 28.15 (verb-stem sana-)
A constant -a-used to support a preceding consonant combination is, in $-i$ - verbs, colored to - $i$-:
$\bar{\imath}$-lasgi' touch him! (ef. masga' put it! [104.8])
§ 65

It is remarkable that several verbs with instrumental vocalism lose the $-i$ - and substitute the ordinary connective $-a$ - in the frequentative. Such are:
$\bar{\imath}$-go'yok! $i^{\varepsilon} n$ I nudge him; $\bar{i}$-goyogiya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I keep pushing him $d \bar{\tau}^{i}-t \cdot \bar{\tau}^{i} i 1^{\prime}{ }^{\epsilon} n$ I crush it; $d \bar{\tau}^{i}-t!i y \bar{i} t{ }^{\prime} t!i y a^{\varepsilon} n$ I keep crushing them
It can hardly be accidental that in both these cases the loss of the $-i$ - is accompanied by the loss of a petrified consonant ( $-k!-,-s-$ ).

The following scheme of the instrumental forms of $d \bar{o}^{u} m$ - кill (third personal object) will best illustrate the phonetic behavior of $-i$ :

|  | Aorist | Future | Potential | Inferential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . . | t'omomi'en | dōumi'n | dōu $m \mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{I}^{\mathrm{n}}$ | dxmhiga |  |  |
| Second person . | t'omomi't | dōumida'e | dōumi't' | domhik!eit ${ }^{\text {* }}$ | dōmhi | dōmhis ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Third person . . | t!omomhi | dōumi'nk' | $d z m \mathrm{hl}$ | d ${ }^{\text {mhik* }}$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person . . | t'omomina'k' | đōuminaga'm | dōumina' ${ }^{\prime}$ | dtmhigana ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ | dōmhiba' |  |
| Second person . . | t'omomi't'p' | dōumi't'ba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | dōumi't'p' | đठmhik!eit'p' | đōmhip |  |

§ 65. Forms Without Connecting Vowel
A considerable number of transitive verbs whose aorist stem ends in a long diphthong with rising pitch (long vowel + semivowel, nasal, or liquid) treat this diphthong as a vocalic unit, i. e., do not allow the second element of the diphthong to become semivocalic and thus capable of being followed by a connective - $a$ - before the personal endings (cf. intransitive forms like eĩ-t', $\S 60$ ). If such a long diphthong is final, or precedes a consonant (like - $t^{\prime}$ ) that is itself incapable of entering into diphthongal combination with a preceding vowel, no difficulty arises. If, however, the long diphthong precedes an $-n$ (in such endings as $-\varepsilon n,-n,-n a k^{\prime}$ ), which, as has been seen, is phonetically on a line with the semivowels $y$ (i) and $w(u)$, a long double diphthong (long vowel + semivowel, nasal, or liquid $+n$ of time-value 4) results. Such a diphthong can not be tolerated, but must be reduced to an ordinary long diphthong of time-value 3 by the loss of the second element (semivowel, masal, or liquid) of the diphthong of the stem (see § 11). Thus the coexistence of such apparently contradictory forms as dāa ${ }^{a}$-yehèt $t^{\prime}$ you go where there is singing and $d \bar{a}^{a}-y e h e ́ n$ (with passive - $n$ ) it was gone where there was singing (from *!eheìn) can be explained by a simple consideration of syllabic
weight. The rising pitch-accent, it should be noted, is always preserved as an integral element of the diphthong, even though a - ${ }^{-} n$ follow, so that the first personal singular subject third personal object of such verbs ( $-\tilde{v}^{\varepsilon} n$ ) stands in sharp contrast to the corresponding form of the great mass of transitive verbs $\left(-v^{\prime \varepsilon} n\right) .{ }^{1}$ The first person plural subject third person object and the third personal passive are always parallel in form to the first person singular subject third person object in $-{ }^{\varepsilon} n\left(k!a d \bar{a}^{a} n a{ }^{`} k^{*}\right.$ and $k!a d a \tilde{a} n$ like $\left.k!a d \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n\right)$. Examples of transitives with aorist stems ending in long diphthongs not followed by connective -a- are:

| $t^{\prime} g w a x \tilde{a}^{\text {E }} n$ I tattoo him | $t^{\prime} g w a x a \bar{i} t^{\prime}$ you tattoo him |
| :---: | :---: |
| $d \bar{\imath}-t!u ̈ g \tilde{u}^{\varepsilon} n$ I wear it | $d \bar{\imath}-t!u ̈ g \bar{u} i ̄ 1 ~ h e ~ w e a r s ~ i t ~ 96.16 ~$ |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}d \bar{a}^{a}-y e h \bar{e}^{\varepsilon} n I \text { go where there is } \\ \text { singing }\end{array}\right.$ | : dāáachèìt' you go where there is singing (106.10) |
| $\left\{\begin{array}{l}d \bar{a}^{a}-y e h e \bar{n} \text { (third person pas- } \\ \text { sive) }\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| $d \bar{a}^{a}-y e h e^{\mathrm{e}} n a^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ plural) (first person |  |
| $k!a d \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ I picked them up | : k!adāi he picked them up |
| $d a-t!a g \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ I built a fire | : da-t!agāĩ he built a fire 88.12; 96.17 |
| swadãn (passive) they got beaten in gambling | : swadāis $a^{\varepsilon} n$ they are gambling with one another |
| oyõ ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I give it $\left(=* o y o n^{\varepsilon} n\right)$ but also oyona ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ with connecting -a- |  |
| $k!e m \bar{e}^{\text {E }} n \mathrm{I}$ did it 74.13 | : k!emè̀ he did it 92.22 ; 144.6; $176.1,4,5,7,8,9,14$ |

In aorist $k!e m e ̀ i-$ make the $-i$-, actually or impliedly, appears only when the object is of the third person (singular first, $k!e m \tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n$; second, $k!e m e ̀ t t t^{\prime}$; third, $k$ !emè̀ ; plural first, $k!e m e e^{e} n a^{\prime} k^{\prime}$; second, $k$ !emètt $p^{\prime}$ ); all other aoristic and all non-aoristic forms replace the $-i$ by a $-n$-:
$k!e m e ̃ n x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ I make you 27.9
$k!e m e ̃ n x a^{\varepsilon} n$ they make one another; future $k!e m n a^{\prime} n k^{\text { }}$ he will make it 28.14
A few reduplicated transitives ending, in both aorist and verb-stems, in a short diphthong (-al-, -am-, -an-, -aw-), lack a connective -a-

[^35]before the personal endings, so that a loss of the final consonant $(-l-,-m-,-n-,-w-)$ takes place in third personal objective forms before a consonantal personal ending. Such verbs are:
heme $e^{\prime} h a^{\varepsilon} n$ I mocked him $(=$ : heme'ham he mocked him -ham ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ ) 24.4, 5,$8 ; 182.6,7$


$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { gel-hewe } h a^{\varepsilon} n^{1} \text { I think }\left(=-a u^{\varepsilon} n\right): \quad \text { gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11; } \\ \text { gel-hewe } h a t t^{*} \text { you think } \\ 1+2.20\end{array}\right.$ $\{$ gel-hewe'hat you think 142.20
$p!a-i-d i^{\varepsilon}-$ sgimi'sga ${ }^{\varepsilon} n^{2}$ I set them : p!a-i-di$i^{\varepsilon}$-sgimi'sgam he set in ground ( $=-a m^{\varepsilon} n$ )
them in ground
( $b \bar{a}-\varepsilon a l-m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon} m a^{\varepsilon} n$ I turned them : $b \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} a l-m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon} m a l$ he turned
$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text { over }\left(=-a l^{\varepsilon} n\right) \\ b \bar{a}-\varepsilon a l-m o^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{man} \text { I shall turn }\end{array}\right.$ them over (=-aln)
$s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I fight him (=-an $n$ ) : $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n$ he fights him (28.10) (but also sãns, see § 40, 10b)
$m \bar{a}^{a} n m a^{\prime \varepsilon} n \quad \mathrm{I}$ count them : da-m $\bar{a}^{a} n m i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I count them (=-an $n) \quad$ up (156.14) (but also $m \tilde{a} n=$ *mãnm he counted them 78.8 ; 100.8)

How explain the genesis of these two sets of contract verb forms, and how explain the existence of doublets like $m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon} m a^{\varepsilon} n$ and $m o^{\prime}$ -
 and sãns? The most plausible explanation that can be offered is that originally the personal endings were added directly to the stem and that later a connecting $-a$ - developed whenever the preceding consonant or the personal ending was not of a character to form a diphthong. Hence the original paradigms may have been:

which were then leveled out to:

| oyona ${ }^{\prime \prime} n$ | mo' $^{\prime} 0^{\varepsilon}$ mala ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| oyona't' | $\mathrm{mo}^{\prime} 1 o^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mala} \mathrm{t}^{\circ}$ |
| oyön. | mo'lo ${ }^{\text {mal }}$ |

because of the analogy of a vast number of verbs with connecting - $a$ - in both first and second persons, e. s., ts!ayaga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$, ts!ayaga't'. Forms like $m o^{\prime} l o^{\varepsilon} m a t^{\prime}, s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{`} t^{\prime}$, would arise from leveling to the first

[^36]person by the analogy of such forms as t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$, t!omoma't'. The third person generally brings out the original diphthong, yet sometimes the analogy set by the first person seems to be carried over to the third person (e. g., sãns beside $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime} n$ ), as well as to the third person passive and first person plural subject transitive. Such forms as $o y \delta^{\varepsilon} n$ are best considered as survivals of an older "athematic" type of forms, later put on the wane by the spread of the "thematic" type with connecting -a- (e. g., gayawa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$, not ${ }^{*}$ gayã $\tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ from *gayaũ̃ $n$ ). Owing to the fact that the operation of phonetic laws gave rise to various paradigmatic irregularities in the "athematic" forms, these sank into the background. They are now represented by aorists of Type 2 verbs like naga' ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I SAY то him and wa-k!oyõ- $n$ I GO with mim, ${ }^{1}$ non-aorist forms of Type 5 verbs (c. g., odo'-n), and such isolated irregularities as intransitive e $\tilde{\tau}-t^{\prime}$ and nagai- $t^{\prime}$ (contrast yewey-a' $t^{\circ}$ and $t^{\prime}$ agaya't') and transitive contract verbs like $k!a d \tilde{a}^{\varepsilon} n$ and $s \bar{a}^{a} n s a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$.

## § 66. Passives

Passives, which occur in Takelma texts with great frequency, must be looked upon as amplifications of transitive forms with third personal subject. Every such transitive form may be converted into a passive by the omission of the transitive subject and the addition of elements characteristic of that voice; the pronominal object of the transitive becomes the logical, not formal, subject of the passive (passives, properly speaking, have no subject). The passive suffixes referred to are $-(a) n$ for the aorist, - (a) $n a^{\varepsilon}$ for the future, and -am for the inferential. Imperatives were not obtained, nor is it certain that they exist. Following are the passive forms of $d \bar{o}^{u} m$-, instrumental forms being put in parentheses:


[^37]The connective -a-, it will be observed, is replaced by $-i$ - when the formal object is the first person plural (-am-); compare the entirely analogous phenomenon in the second personal subjective first personal plural objective forms of the transitive ( $\S 63$ ). It is curious that the third person aorist of the passive can in every single case be mechanically formed with perfeet safety by simply removing the catch from the first personal singular subjective third personal objective of the transitive; the falling accent (rising accent for verbs like $k!e m \tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n$ ) remains unchanged:
$\bar{\imath}-t!a^{\prime} u t!i w i^{\varepsilon} n$ I caught him : $\bar{i}$-t!a'ut!iwin he was caught 29.12
naga's $n$ I said to him72.7, $9: n a g a^{\prime} n$ he was spoken to 102.16
$k!e m e e^{\varepsilon} n$ I made it $74.13:$ : k!emẽn it was made 13.12178 .12
It is hardly possible that a genetic relation exists between the two forms, though a mechanical association is not psychologically incredible.

Not only morphologically, but also syntactically, are passives closely related to transitive forms. It is the logical unexpressed subject of a passive sentence, not the grammatical subject (logieal and formal object), that is referred to by the reflexive possessive in -gua (see §§ 91, 92). Thus:
dikk!olola'n t' $g \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ pa $^{\prime}$ dagwan wa' he-was-dug-up their-own-horns (not his-own-horns) with (in other words, they dug him up with their own horns) 48.5
There is no real way of expressing the agent of a passive construction. The commonest method is to use a periphrasis with xebe's $n$ he did so. Thus:
eã salk!omo'k!imin p!iyin xebe ${ }^{\prime s} n$ canoe it-was-kicked-to-pieces deer they-did-so (in other words, the canoe was kicked to pieces by the deer) 114.5

## § 67. VERBS OF MIXED CLASS, CLASS IV

A fairly considerable number of verbs are made up of forms that belong partly to Class I or Class II intransitives, partly to the transitives. These may be conveniently grouped together as Class IV, but are again to be subdivided into three groups. A few instransitive verbs showing forms of both Class I and II have been already spoken of (pp. 162-3, 166).

1. Probably the larger number is taken up by Type 13 verbs in $-n$-, all the forms of which are transitives except those with second person singular or plural subject. These latter are forms of Class II (i.e., aorist singular-dam, plural -dap; future singular -dac , plural
-daba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ). The $-n$ - appears only in the first person singular and plural (aorist $-n a^{\varepsilon} n$ and -nana ${ }^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ ), yet its absence in the other persons may, though not probably, be due to a secondary loss induced by the phonetic conditions. The forms, though in part morphologically transitive (and, for some of the verbs, apparently so in meaning), are in effect intransitive. The object, as far as the signification of the verb allows one to grant its existence, is always a pronominally unexpressed third person, and the instrumental $-i$ - can not be used before the personal endings. Among these semitransitives in $-n$ - are:
$\int$ gwen-sgut! $u^{\prime}$ sgat na ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ I cut necks
gwen-sgut! $u^{\prime}$ sgat' he cut necks 144.2 (cf.transitive instrumentals gwen-waya-sgut! $u^{\prime}$ sgidi $i^{\xi} n$, gwen-waya-sgut! $u^{\prime}$ sgat'i 144.3)
$\left\{d a-b o k!o b a^{\prime} k^{\prime} \mathrm{na}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right.$ I make bubbles (or $d a-b o k!o^{\prime} p^{*} n a^{\varepsilon} n$ 102.22)
$\left\{d a-b o k!o^{\prime} p^{\prime}\right.$ dam you make bubbles
$b \bar{a}^{a}-x a d a^{\prime} x a t{ }^{\prime}$ na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ n I hang them up in row
$\left\{l o b o l a^{\prime} p^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right.$ I used to pound them (57.14) (or lobo'lpinas)
lobo'lp'dam you used to pound them
$\left\{\bar{\imath}-l a y \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{k}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{I}\right.$ coil a basket 122.2
ī-layáak' she coils a basket
$k!a d a^{\prime} k!a t$ na $^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ I used to pick them up (116.11)
da-dagada'k'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ n I sharpen my teeth (126.18)
$\bar{u} g \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a k^{\prime}{ }^{n}{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{11}$ I always drink it
wagao'k ${ }^{\prime}$ na $^{\varepsilon}$ n I always bring it 43.16 ; 45.6)
Morphologically identical with these, yet with no trace of transitive signification, are:
$\bar{i}$-hegwe'haki ${ }^{n} \mathrm{na}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ I am working
$\left\{x a-h e g e^{\prime} h a k{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{na}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right.$ I breathe (78.12; 79.1, 2, 4)
$\left\{x a-h u k!u^{\prime} h a k{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{na}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right.$ (third person $\left.x a-h u k!u^{\prime} h a k^{\prime}\right)$
$\left\{a l-t{ }^{\prime} w a p!a^{\prime} t{ }^{t} w a p^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\right.$ I blink with my eyes 102.20
lal-t'wap! a't'wa ${ }^{\prime}$ dam you blink with your eyes
The following forms of $\bar{\imath}-h e g w e h a g w-\left(v e r b-s t e m ~ \bar{\imath}-h e e^{e}\right.$ gwagw- $[=$ -he egwhagw-]) work will serve to illustrate the $-n$ - formation:

|  | Aorist | Future | - Inferential | Presentimperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. | heguc'hak'unarn | he egua'k'unan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { heegua' } \mathrm{k}^{*} \mathrm{wa}^{\ell} \quad\left(=-k w^{\prime}=\right. \\ & \left.\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 2 d per. | hegue'hak'vdam | he egua' $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} u^{\prime} \mathrm{da}^{\text {e }}$ | heegua'k! wei't' | $h e^{\prime} k^{\prime} w \bar{a} a k^{*} w$ |
| 3d per. | hegue'hak'v | [?] | heegua ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ w |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. | hegu'e'hak'tnana'k' | heegwa'k rnanagam | heegua'k'wana' $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ | hegwa'k'waba ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 2 d per. | hegue'hak'rdap' | heegwa'k'v daba ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | heegwa'k!wellt ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | $h^{\prime} k$ 'wāagwa'np' |

2. Practically a sub-group of the preceding set of verbs is formed by a very few verbs that have their aorist like $\bar{\imath}-h e g w e^{\prime} h a k^{*} n a^{\epsilon} n$,
§ 67
but their non-aorist forms like Class II intransitives. They evidently waver between Class II, to which they seem properly to belong, and the semi-transitive $-n$ - forms. Such are:

| $d \bar{i}-k!a l a^{\prime} s \mathrm{na}$¢ n (but also$d \overline{-}-k!a l a^{\prime} s \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$ ) I am lean |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| $d \bar{\imath}-k!a l a^{\prime}$ sdam (second person) | future di-k! $a^{\prime} l$ sida ${ }^{\text {E }}$ |
| gwel-sal-t!eyẽsna ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I have no flesh on my legs and feet | future-t!eiside ${ }^{e}$ |

It may be observed that the existence of a form like *gwel-sal-t!e $\overline{\text { o }}$ sinan was denied, so that we are not here dealing with a mere mistaken mixture of distinct, though in meaning identical, verbs.
3. The most curious set of verbs belonging to Class IV is formed by a small number of intransitives, as far as signification is concerned, with a thoroughly transitive aorist, but with non-aorist forms belonging entirely to Class II. This is the only group of verbs in which a difference in tense is associated with a radical difference in class. Examples are:


```
(al-we \({ }^{\prime} k!a l a^{\varepsilon} n\) I shine \(\quad:\) future al-we \(k!a l t^{\prime} e^{e}\)
\(\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { al-we'k!alat you shine } \\ \text { al-we'k!alana'k' we shi }\end{array}\right.\)
al-we'k!alana'k' we shine : future al-we'k!alp'igam (third
                                    person inferential al-we'-
                                    \(k!a l \mathbf{p}^{*}{ }^{*}\) )
    al-geyana \({ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\) I turn away : future al-géyande \({ }^{e}\)
        my face
\(\left\{\begin{array}{l}d a-s m a y a m \mathbf{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{n} \\ d a-s m a y a \tilde{m} h \mathbf{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}\end{array}\right\}\) I smile \(\quad: \quad\) future \(d a-s m a-i m a^{\prime} s d \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}\)
\(\{d a-s m a y a \tilde{m}\) he smiles
da-smayamana 'k' we smile
```

To these should probably be added also da-sgayana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ I lie down (3d da-sgaya $\tilde{n}$ ), though no future was obtained. Here again it may be noted that the existence of *da-sma-ima'n as a possible (and indeed to be expected) future of da-smayama ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ was denied. ${ }^{1}$

[^38]5. Luxiliary and Subordinating Forms (§§ 68-72)

## § 68. PERIPHRASTIC FUTURES

Periphrastic future forms are brought about by prefixing to the third personal (unexpressed) objective forms of the aorist stem -guluy ${ }^{w}$ - desire, intend the verb-stem (if transitive, with its appended pronominal object) of the verb whose future tense is desired. The pronominal subject of such a form is given by the transitive subject pronoun of the second element (-gulug ${ }^{w_{-}}$) of the compound; while the object of the whole form, if the verb is transitive, is coincident with the incorporated pronominal object of the first element. The form of the verb-stem preceding the -gulug ${ }^{w_{-}}$suffix is identical with the form it takes in the inferential. Thus:
$b a-i-h e m a^{\prime} k^{*} u l u{ }^{\prime} k^{*} w$ he will take it out (cf. inferential ba-i-he$\left.m a^{\wedge} k^{\prime}=-h e m g-k^{*}\right)$, but imperative $b a-i-h e^{\prime} m k^{\prime} 16.10$
but, without inorganic $a$ :
$i-h e ́ m g u l u ' k^{\prime} w$ he will wrestle with him (cf. inferential hémk')
Indeed, it is quite likely that the main verb is used in the inferential form, the $-k^{*}$ of the inferential amalgamating with the $g$ - of $-g u i u g^{w_{-}}$ to form $g$ or $k^{*}$. This seems to be proved by the form:
$l o h o ' k{ }^{\prime}$-di-gulugwa't' do you intend to die? ( $d i=$ interrogative particle)
Morphologically the verb-stem with its incorporated object must itself be considered as a verb-noun incorporated as a prefix in the verb -gulug ${ }^{*}$ - and replacing the prefix gel- Breast of gel-gulugwa' $n$ I desire it 32.5, 6, 7. Alongside, e. g., of the ordinary future form $d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ I shall kill him may be used the periphrastic $d \bar{o}^{u} m$-gulugwa ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ literally, i kill (him)-desire, intend. This latter form is not by any means a mere desiderative (i desire to kill nim would be expressed by dō ${ }^{u}$ mia' gel-gulugwa's $n$ [ $=$ то-кıle-him I-IT-DESHE]), but a purely formal future. Similarly, dümxi-gulu'k'w is used alongside of the simpler dümxink he will kill me. As a matter of fact the third personal subjective future in -gulu' $k^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ is used about as frequently as the regular paradigmatic forms heretofore given:
yana'-k"ulu'k'u he will go (128.9)
sana' ${ }^{\circ}$-gulu' ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{*}$ he will fight (cf. 48.10)
yomo' $k$ ' wagulu'k'w she was about to catch up with him 140.18

§ 68

The reason is obvious. The normal futures (yana'st he whll go; sana' $p^{\prime} d \bar{a}^{a}$; alxís ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} x b i n k$ ) imply a bald certainty, as it were, of the future action of a third person, a certainty that is not in ordinary life generally justifiable. The periphrastic forms, on the other hand, have a less rigid tone about them, and seem often to have a slight intentive force: ime intends, is about to go. The difference between the two futures may perhaps be brought out by a comparison with the English y shall kill him ( $=d \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n$ ) and I'm going to kill him ( $\bar{o}^{u} m-g u l u g w a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ ).

Though a form like dümxi-gulu' $\mathfrak{k}^{\bullet w}$ HE will Kill ME is in a way analogous to $s \cdot i n-\overline{-}-l e t s!e ' x i$ he touches my nose, the incorporated object dümxi- kill-me of the former being parallel to s.in- nose of the latter, there is an important difference between the two in that the object of the periphrastic future is always associated with the logically ( $\left(\bar{o}^{u} m_{-}\right.$), not formally ( $-g u l u g^{w}-$ ), main verb. This difference may be graphically expressed as follows: he-[ kill-ME]-INTENDS-IT, but he-[nOSE-HAND]-TOUCHES-ME; strict analogy with the latter form would require ${ }^{*} d \bar{o}^{u} m$-g $\ddot{u l} \ddot{u}^{\prime} x i$ he-[ Kill $]-$ intendsme, a type of form that is not found. It is not necessary to give a paradigm of periphrastic future forms, as any desired form can be readily constructed from what has already been said. The incorporated pronominal object is always independent of the subject-suffix, so that you will kill me, for example, is rendered by d $\tilde{u} m x i-g u l u g w a ' t '$, the ordinary you-me forms (singular -dam, plural -dap") finding no place here.

Inasmuch as all active periphrastic futures are transitive in form, passive futures of the same type (all ending in -gulugwa'n) can be formed from all verbs, whether transitive or intransitive. When formed from transitive stems, these forms are equivalent to the normal future passives in -(a) $n a^{\epsilon}$ :
d $\bar{o}^{u} m$-gulugwa' $n$ he will, is about to, is going to be killed
$d \bar{u} m x i-g u l u g w a^{\prime} n \mathrm{I}$ am to be killed, it is intended to kill me
As the intransitive stem in the periphrastic future is never accompanied by pronominal aflixes, there is only one passive future form that can be constructed from an intransitive verb. This form always refers to the third person, generally to the intended or imminent action of a group of people:
hoida-gulugwa'n (verb-stem hoid- + inorganic -a-) there will be dancing
$l \bar{o}^{u}$-gulugwa'n people are going to play (literally, it is playintended)

The passive future in -gulugwa' $n$ can also be used with the indefinite form in -iau-:
sana'xiniau-gulugwa'n it is intended, about to be that people fight one another; there will be fighting
The extreme of abstract expression seems to be reached in such not uncommon forms as:
we ${ }^{\prime e}$ giau-gulugwa'n it was going to be daylight (literally, it was being-daylight intended) 48.13
As the suffixed pronominal objects of reciprocal forms are intransitive in character, the first element of a periphrastic future of the reciprocal must show an incorporated intransitive pronoun, but of aorist, not future form:
$\bar{i}-d i$-lasgi' $x a n t{ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$-gulugwa' $t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ are you going to touch one another? (aorist $\bar{z}$-lats! $a^{\prime}$ xant ${ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$; future $\bar{i}$-lasgi'xant ${ }^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ )
§ 69. PERIPHRASTIC PHRASES IN $n a(g)$ - DO, ACT
The verbal base $n a(g)^{1}$ (intransitive $n a-$; transitive $n \bar{a}^{a} g$-) has hitherto been translated as SAY (intransitive), SAY TO (transitive). This, however, is only a specialized meaning of the constantly recurring base, its more general signification being Do, ACT, BE in motion indefinitely. It is really never used alone, but is regularly accompanied by some preceding word or phrase with which it is connected in a periphrastic construction; the na $g$ ) - form playing the part of an auxiliary. As a verb of saying, $n a(g)$ - is regularly preceded by a quotation, or else some word or phrase, generally a demonstrative pronoun, grammatically summarizing the quotation. Properly speaking, then, a sentence like i shall go, he said (to me) (=yana't $e^{e}[g a] n a g a^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ [or nege's $\left.{ }^{\prime} i\right]$ ) is rendered in Takelma by shall go (that) he did (or he did to me), in which the quotation yana'te e $e^{e}$ shall go, or else its representative ga that, is incorporated as prefix in the general verb of action.

The most interesting point in connection with periphrastic phrases in $n a(g)$ - is the use of a number of invariable, generally monosyllabic, verbal bases as incorporated prefixes. The main idea, logically speaking, of the phrase is expressed in the prefix, the $n a(g)$ -

[^39]element serving merely to give it grammatical form. This usage is identical with that so frequently employed in Chinookan dialects, where significant uninflected particles are joined into periphrastic constructions with some form of the verb-stem - $x$ - Do, make, become (e. g., Wasco Zq! $u^{\prime}$ b itciux he cut it [literally, cut he-itmadel), except that in Takelma the particles are identical with the bases of normally formed verbs. It is not known how many such verb-particles there are, or even whether they are at all numerous. The few examples obtained are:

```
na}\mp@subsup{a}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ do (cf. na't'e I I shall say, do)
```

$s^{\circ}$ as come to a stand (cf. sas'iñ he stands 144.14)
sil paddle canoe (cf. ei-ba-i-s ${ }^{i l l^{\prime} x y w a}$ he landed with his canoe 13.5)
$t^{\prime}$ get ${ }^{s}$ fall, drop
$t s!e l$ rattle (cf. $t s^{\circ} e l e^{\prime s} m$ it rattles 102.13)
$t^{t} b \bar{o}^{\prime} u^{x}$ make a racket (cf. $t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{\prime} u x d e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ make a noise)
liwāáa look (cf. liwila'ut' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked [60.7])
le'yas lame (cf. gwel-le'ye ${ }^{e} s d e^{\varepsilon}$ I am lame)
$p^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ was jumping lightly (cf. $p^{\prime}$ iwits!ana ${ }^{\prime} n$ I make it bounce)
we'k!alk' shining (cf. al-we'k!ala $n$ I shine)
sgala'uk' look moving one's head to side (ef. al-sgalawi'n I shall look at him moving my head to side)
The last two are evidently representatives of a whole elass of quasiadverbial - $k$ '-derivatives from verb-stems, and, though syntactically similar to the rest, hardly belong to them morphologically. The $-k^{*}$ of these invariable verb-derivatives can hardly be identified with the inferential $-k^{\prime}$, as it is treated differently. Thus:
we'k!al-k' shining 126.3 ; 128.14, but inferential al-we'k!al-p'-k' (Class IV, 3) he shone
Most frequently employed of those listed is $n a^{\varepsilon}$, which is in all probability nothing but the base na- Do, to forms of which it is itself prefixed; its function is to make of the base na(g)-a pure verb of action or motion in contradistinction to the use of the latter as a verb of saying:
ga-nãk'i say that to him! 55.8, but ga-nánãk'i to that to him! 182.4; 184.4
ga-naga'ie he said that 72.12 , but ga-na $n a g a^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he did that 58.3 gwalt' $a$-na $a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ the wind will blow as it is blowing now (literally, wind [gwalt'] this [ $a-$-]-do [ $\left.n a^{\varepsilon}\right]$-act-will [ $\left.n a^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime}\right]$ ) (152.s)
ga-na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} x$ thus, in that way (literally, that do-acting, doing) 71.6; 110.21; but ga-ne'x that saying, to say that 184.10

Examples of the other elements are:
$e i-s \cdot i^{\prime} l-n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon_{1}}$ he paddled his canoe (literally, he canoe-paddle(id) 13.5
$s^{*} a s^{*}$-naga ${ }^{\prime \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \varepsilon}$ he came to a stand $22.6 ; 31.14,15 ; 55.12 ; 96.23$
$s^{*} a s^{*}-n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime} n$ I shall bring hịm to a halt (literally, I shall $s^{*} a s^{*}-$ do to him)
liwíáa-nagaĩt $e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ looked (55.6; 78.10, 13; 79.5)
$t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon}$-nagait' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I fell, dropped down
t'ge $l^{\varepsilon}$ naga $n \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} k^{*}$ he always fell down 62.8
$t s!e^{\prime} l$ naga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (bones) rattled (literally, they did ts!el) 79.8
$t^{\prime} b \bar{o}^{\prime} x^{x}$ naga' they made a racket so as to be heard by them 192.9 we'k!alk'-naga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon \varepsilon}$ he shines
sgala'uk'-nagan $\bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ he looked continually moving his head from
side to side 144.14, 17
gwēlxd $\bar{a}^{a} l e^{\prime} y a s-n a{ }_{i}^{\prime}$ his leg was laming 160.17
$p^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ was-naga'ie he jumped up lightly 48.8
Syntactically analogous to these are the frequent examples of postpositions (see § 96), adverbs, and local phrases prefixed to forms of the undefined verb of action $n a(g)$-, the exact sense in which the latter is to be taken being determined by the particular circumstances of the locution. Examples are:
gada'k'-naga'ie they passed over it (literally, thereon they did) 190.21
ganau-nagana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ he went from one (trap) to another (literally, therein he kept doing) 78.5
hawi-nazk'i tell him to wait! (literally, still do to him!)
$h a g w \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$ (in the road) -naga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (he did) ( $=$ he traveled in the road)
haxiya' (in the water) -naga ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ ( $=$ he went by water)
$d a k^{\prime}-s \cdot i n \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} d a$ (over his nose) $-n a b \bar{a}^{\prime} a \xi h a^{\prime} n$ (let us do) ( $=$ let us [flock of crows] pass over him!) 144.11
$d a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ (over him) -na" (do!) ( = pass over him!)
dak'-yawadẽ (over my ribs) -naga'is ( =he passed by me)
ge (there) -naga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ( $=$ they passed there) 144.18
$h e^{e \varepsilon}$-wila'mxa-hi (beyond Mount Wila'mxa) -nãliw (do having it!) ( = proceed with it to beyond Mount Wila'mxa!) 196.14
These examples serve to indicate, at the same time, that the particles above mentioned stand in an adverbial relation to the $n a(g)$-form:
$s^{*} a s^{\cdot}-n a g a^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ he come-to-a-stand-did, like ge naga'is he there-did Compare the similar parallelism in Wasco of:

[^40]$k!w a^{\prime} c$ gali'xux afraid he-made-himself ( $=$ he became afraid) (see "Wishram Texts," 152.9)
$k w \hat{o}^{\prime} b a$ gali'xux there he-made-himself ( $=$ he got to be there, came there)
Here may also be mentioned the use of verb-stems prefixed to the forms of $k!e m n-$ maKe and $n \bar{a}^{a} g$ - SAY TO. Such locutions are causative in signification, but probably differ from formal causatives in that the activity of the subject is more clearly defined. Examples are:
wede wo'k' k!emna't do not let him arrive! (literally, not arrive make-him!)
wo'k' k!emana'nxi let me come! (literally, arrive make-me!)
gwel-leis k!emna'n I shall make him lame (literally, be-lame I-shall-make-him)
yana nãki $i$ let him go (literally, go say-to-him)
The forms involving k!emen- are quite similar morphologically to periphrastic futures in -gulug ${ }^{w_{-}}$, the main point of difference being that, while k!emen- occurs as independent verb, -gulugw- is never found without a prefix. The forms involving $n \bar{a}^{a} g$ - are probably best considered as consisting of an imperative followed by a quotative verb form. 'Thus yana nãk' $i$ is perhaps best rendered as "Go!" say it то нim! The form hoida-yo'k'yás (hoid- dance + connective -a-) one who knows how to dance suggests that similar compound verbs can be formed from yok゚y- know.

## §70. SUBORDINATING FORMS

A number of syntactic suffixes are found in Takelma, which, when appended to a verbal form, serve to give it a subordinate or dependent value. Such subordinate forms bear a temporal, causal, conditional, or relative relation to the main verb of the sentence, but are often best translated simply as participles. Four such subordinating suffixes have been found:
$-d a^{\varepsilon}\left(-t a^{s}\right)$, serving to subordinate the active forms of the aorist.
$-m a^{\varepsilon}$, subordinating those of the passive aorist.
$-n a^{\varepsilon}$, subordinating all inferential forms in $-k^{*}$. Periphrastic inferential forms in eit and ent $t^{\prime}$ are treated like aorists, the form-giving elements of such periphrases being indeed nothing but the second person singular and plural aorist of $e i$ - Be.
$-k^{i} i^{\varepsilon}\left(-g i^{\varepsilon}\right)$, appended directly to the non-aorist stem, forming dependent clauses of unfulfilled action, its most frequent use being
the formation of conditions. Before examples are given of subordinate constructions, a few remarks on the subordinate forms themselves will be in place.

The aoristic - $d a^{\varepsilon}$ - forms of an intransitive verb like $h \bar{o} g^{*}$ - run are: Singular:

| - | Independent | Subordinate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . | $h \bar{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I run | $h \bar{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when I ran, I running |
| Second person | $h \bar{o} g w a{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | $h \bar{o} q w a d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ |
| Third person | $h \bar{o}^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ | $h \bar{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon}$ |
| ral: |  |  |
| First person | hōgwi`k' | $h \bar{o} g w i g a^{\prime} m$ |
| Second person | hōgwa't ${ }^{\text {p }}$ | $h \bar{o} g w a^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ |
| personal . . | hōgwia ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | $h o ̄ q w i a^{\prime}-u d a^{\varepsilon}$ |

Of these forms, that of the first person plural in $-a^{\prime} m$ is identical, as far as the suffix is concerned, with the future form of the corresponding person and number. The example given above ( $h \bar{o}$ gwiga'm) was found used quite analogously to the more transparently subordinate forms of the other persons (alxīixam hōgwiga'm he saw us run, like $a l x \imath^{\prime \prime} x i h \bar{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ he saw me run); the form of the stem is all that keeps apart the future and the subordinate aorist of the first person plural (thus hogwiga' $m$ we shall run with short $o$ ). No form in $-i^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon}$, such as might perhaps be expected, was found. The catch of the first and third person singular of class I verbs disappears before the $-d a^{\varepsilon}$ (see § 22). The falling accent of the stem, however, remains, and the quantity of the stressed vowel is lengthened unless followed by a diphthong-forming element. Thus:
$y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he went 58.8 ( $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he went 96.8 ) ; cf. 188.17
$b a-i-k!i y \bar{\imath}^{\prime} k^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he came ( $b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime \epsilon} k^{\circ}$ he came 156.24)
yawa'ida as they were talking 130.13 (yawa ${ }^{\prime s}$ they talked)
$x e b e^{\prime} n d a^{\varepsilon}$ when he did so 142.10 ( $x e b e^{/ \varepsilon} n$ he did so 118.14)
The subordinate form of the third person aorist of class II intransitives ends in $-t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ if the immediately preceding vowel has a rising accent. Thus:
$s \cdot a s \cdot{ }^{\prime}$ init $^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ when he stood ( $s \cdot a s \cdot i n \bar{\imath}$ he stood 120.12)
lop! 0 t $a^{\varepsilon}$ when it rained (lop!o $t^{\prime}$ ' it rained 90.1)
In the second person singular the personal $-t^{\circ}$ and the $-d$ - of the subordinating suffix amalgamate to $-d$-. The subordinate second person plural in $-t^{t} b a^{\varepsilon}$ is not improbably simply formed on the analogy of the corresponding singular form in $-d a^{\varepsilon}$, the normal difference
between the singular and plural of the second person consisting simply of the added $-b-\left(-p^{\prime}\right)$ of the latter; similarly, e-ida ${ }^{\prime 8}$ when thou art and eit' $b a^{\varepsilon}$ when ye are. Judging by the analogy of the subordinates of transitive forms in $-d a m$ and $-d a p^{\circ}$ the subordinate forms of the second persons of class II intransitives end in $-t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}\left(-d a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ and $-t^{t} a b a^{\varepsilon}\left(-d a b a^{\varepsilon}\right)$ :
$s^{*} a s^{\prime}$ inint $a^{\varepsilon}$ when you stood (s.as'initt'am you stood)
$s^{\prime} a s^{\prime}$ init $^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ when ye stood ( $s^{\prime} \cdot a s^{\prime} \cdot$ init $^{\prime} a p$ ' ye stood)
Note the ambiguity of the form $s^{\circ} a s^{\circ}$ initit $a^{\varepsilon}$ when he or you stood; compare the similar ambiguity in naga'-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he said and naga-ida's when you said 130.14; 132.23.

The transitive subordinates of the aorist are also characterized by a suffixed - $d a^{\varepsilon}$, except that forms with a third personal subject invariably substitute $-(a) n a^{\prime \varepsilon}\left(-\right.$ ina $^{\prime \varepsilon}$ with first person plural object), and that the personal endings $-d a m$ (тнои-me) and $-d a p^{\circ}(\mathrm{ye}-\mathrm{me})$ become simply $-d a^{\varepsilon}$ and $-d a b a^{\varepsilon}$ respectively. The latter forms are thus distinguished from non-subordinate futures merely by the aoristic stem (al-xíi$x d a^{\varepsilon}$ when you SAW me, but al-xit $x d a^{\varepsilon}$ you will see me). Analogously to what we have seen to take place in the intransitive, $-t^{t} p^{e}$ becomes $-t^{t} b a^{\varepsilon}$. The subordinate aorists of t!omom- kill are: ${ }^{1}$

| Subjective | Objective |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ist per. |  | t!ombrbinda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (t!omərxbis $n$ ) | t!omoma'ndae (t!omoma' ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} n$ ) |  | t'ombxanbandae <br> (t!omõxanbatn) |
| 2d per. | $\therefore!$ ümüud $a^{\varepsilon}$ <br> (t!ümũxdam) |  | t'omomada' $\varepsilon$ (t!omoma't') | t'ombximida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ <br> (t!omoximit') |  |
| 3d per. Plural: | t!1imưrina ${ }^{\text {E }}$ <br> ( $!$ !umü̃i) | t!ombxbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ <br> (t!omoxbi) | t'omomana'ع (t'omozm) | t'omбximina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (t!ombxam) | t'omoranbaná (t'omoranp') |
| Plural: 1st per. |  | t'omõxbiuagam (t'omzxbinak') | t'omomanaga'm (t'omomano 'k') |  | t'omסranbanagam (t!omoranbanak') |
| 2 d per. | t'ilmũxdaba ${ }^{s}$ <br> (t!umũxdap') |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { t!omoma't }{ }^{\prime} a^{8} \\ & \left(\text { t!omoma' } t \cdot p^{0}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\left(\text { t'omठrimit } p^{\prime}\right)}{\substack{\text { t'om } \\ \text { t'onimit }}}$ |  |

The forms with first personal plural subject (-na'k') and second personal object were not obtained, but the corresponding forms in -iga'm (first person plural intransitive) and -anaga'm (first person plural subject third person object) leave no doubt as to their correctness. These forms differ from ordinary futures of the same
number and person only in the use of the aorist stem. Only very few examples of subordinate -anaga' $m$ have been found:
aga'hi ligigwanaga'm just-these which-we-brought-home 134.18;
contrast $l \imath^{i}$ gwanaga' $m$ we shall bring them home
yewè xebécyagwanaga' $m$ if we should slay him (literally, perhaps that-we-slay-him) 136.23; contrast xe ${ }^{e}$ bagwanaga' $m$ we shall slay him The use of the aorist stem in the subordinate, it will be observed, is also the only characteristic that serves to keep distinct the third personal subjective subordinates and the future forms of the passive:
$a l-x \bar{i}^{\prime i} x b i n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he saw you, but al-xisis $x b i n a^{\varepsilon}$ you will be seen It may be noted that the third personal subjective aorist forms of the transitive may be mechanically formed, like the passives of the same tense, from the first person singular subject third person object aorist by merely dropping the glottal catch of the latter form and adding $-a^{\varepsilon}$. Thus:
gel-hewe'hana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he thought $45.2 ; 142.10,13,16$ (cf. gelhewe'has $n$ I thought); but gel-hewe'hau he thought 44.11
The subordinate of the form with personal object $-k \cdot v a$ is formed by adding -na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ :
malãk' wana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when he told him 72.14 (malãk' wa he told him 142.4)
The aorist passive subordinates cause no trouble whatever, the characteristic $-m a^{\varepsilon}$ being in every ease simply appended to the final $-n$ of the passive form:
> $t$ !omoma'nma $a^{\varepsilon}$ when he was killed 146.22 (from t!omoma' $n$ he was killed 148.3)
> t!omõxanbanma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when you (plural) were killed

The complete subordinate inferential paradigm is rather motley in appearance; $-n a^{\varepsilon}$ is suffixed to the third personal subject in $-k^{*}$ :
$p^{\prime} \cdot \tilde{a} k^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he bathed
laba'k $n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he carried it 126.5
gaik $n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he ate it
dümxik' $n a^{\varepsilon}$ when he killed me
The first person singular in $-k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}(n)$ becomes $-k^{\prime}$ anda $a^{\varepsilon}$; the first person plural subordinate was not obtained, but doubtless has $-k^{\prime}$ anaga' $m$ as ending. The subordinate of the passive in $-k^{\circ} a m$ is regularly formed by the addition of $-n a^{\varepsilon}$ :
gaiki $a m n a^{\varepsilon}$ when it was eaten
domxamk' ${ }^{\prime}$ ma $^{\varepsilon}$ when we were killed

The periphrastic forms in eit and eit $p^{\prime}$ become $-k^{*}+e i d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ and eit $t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ in the subordinate; e. g., wa ${ }^{a} h \bar{\imath}^{i} m t^{\prime} k!e i d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ when you answered imm. The active inferential subordinates of $d \bar{o}^{u} m$ - with third personal object thus are:

Singular:
First person, dõmk'anda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
Second person, $d o^{u} m k!$ eida ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$.
Plural:
First person, dõmk'anaga'm
Second person, dō̃ ${ }^{u} k!!e \bar{t} t^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$
Third person, dõmk'nas ; personal, dõmk' wak'na
Impersonal $\bar{o}^{u}{ }^{n}$ miaūk' $n a^{\varepsilon}$
The subordinating element $-n a^{\varepsilon}$ also makes a subordinate clause out of a $-t^{\prime}$ participle (see $\S 76$ ):
gwina't $n a^{\varepsilon} g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l d i$ naga'n how-he-looked (gwi na't' how-looking) that all he-was-ealled 60.5 ; (cf. 78.3)
yap!a ga na't'nas that number of people 110.15
Also adjectives and local phrases may be turned into subordinate clauses by the suffixing of $-n a^{\varepsilon}$ :
xilam-na's when she was sick 188.10
aga dõouk' gwelda-na's this log under-it when (= while he was under this $\log$ ) 190.20
Examples will now be given of constructions illustrating the use of subordinate forms. It is artificial, from a rigidly native point of view, to speak of causal, temporal, relative, and other uses of the subordinate; yet an arrangement of Takelma examples from the view-point of English syntax has the advantage of bringing out more clearly the range of possibility in the use of subordinates. The subordinate clause may be directly attached to the rest of the sentence, or, if its temporal, eausal, or other significance needs to be clearly brought out, it may be introduced by a relative adserb or pronoun (where, wien, how, who). Both constructions are sometimes possible; e. g., a sentence like a do not know who killed him may be rendered either by not i-t-кnow who he-min-killing or not i-whom-know he-him-killing. Subordinate constructions with causal signification are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t s \text { !olx (1) } \ddot{u}^{\prime} s i \text { (2) t!imũxdas (3) give me (2) dentalia (1), for you } \\
& \text { have struck me (3) (ef. 15.8) } \\
& a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon} \text { (1) gel-gülü'xi (2) gayawa'ndá (3) he does not (1) like me } \\
& \text { (2), because I ate it (3) } \\
& 3045^{\circ}-\text { Bull. } 40 \text {, pt } 2-12-13
\end{aligned}
$$

guaxde $e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) gayawana' (2) goyo' (3) yap!a (4) aldĩ (5) he ${ }^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-$ leme'k! it' (6) you killed off (6) all (5) the people (4), because shamans (3) ate (2) your wife (1) 146.11
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (2) $g \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (3) $m e^{\varepsilon}-w \tilde{o}^{u} k^{c} d e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{`} l$ (5) he did not (1) go (2), because I (3) came (4); $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{`} l$ (on account of, for) is employed to render preceding subordinate unambiguously causal
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) $s \cdot i n-h o^{\prime} k^{\prime} w a l$ (2) $\dot{y} u^{\prime} k{ }^{\circ} n a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) $g a$ (4) $g a^{\varepsilon} a l$ (5) $s b i n^{\varepsilon} a$ (6) $x a^{\prime} m-h i$ (7) $^{\prime}$ lãp $k^{\prime}$ (8) not (1) being (3) nose-holed (2), for (5) that (4) (reason) Beaver (6) got to be (8) under water (7) 166.18

A temporal signification is found in:
$h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}-$ yewe $^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ (1) aldīl (2) t!omoma'nma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (3) they all (2) returned far off (1), after (many of them) had been slain (3) 146.22
goyo (1) gel-lohoigwa'nma (2) when shamans (1) are avenged (2) 148.2
$b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ (1) $p^{\prime} i m$ (2) gayawa'nda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (3) he came (1) when I was eating (3) salmon (2)
$a l-x i^{\prime i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ (1) $g w i^{\varepsilon} n e$ (2) $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) I saw him (1) when (2) he went (3)
Relative clauses of one kind and another, including indirect questions, are illustrated in:
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) nek. (2) yok!oya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (3) legéxina (4) I do not (1) know (3) who (2) gave me to eat (4) (literally, not I-whomknow he-giving-me-to-eat)
yok!oya' ${ }^{\prime} n$ (1) nek (2) laga'ximina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (3) I know (1) who (2) gave us to eat (3)
$m \tilde{a} n$ (1) $m i^{\prime} x a l$ (2) $h a-l o h \bar{o}^{u} n a n a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (3) he counted (1) how many (2) he had trapped (3) 100.8
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) yok!õ̃ (2) gwi (3) giniyagwa'nma (4) he did not (1)
know (2) where (3) she had been taken to (4) 13.12
$g a^{\prime} h i$ (1) $d \tilde{u} k^{*}$ (2) d $\bar{\imath}-t!\bar{u} g \bar{u} \bar{\imath}$ (3) wa-k!ododi'nma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (4) they wore (3) the same (1) garments (2) with which they had been buried (4) 96.16
$g \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (1) $n a^{\varepsilon} n a g a \imath \imath t^{\prime} e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $n a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} k_{i}^{*}$ (3) do (future imperative) (3) what I (1) am doing (2)
$\bar{\imath}-k^{\prime} w e^{\prime e} x i$ (1) ulum (2) waik'anda (3) they awoke me (1) who (or while, when I) before (2) was sleeping (3) $74.5 ; 75.6$
Purpose may be implied by the subordinate in:
$p^{\prime} i m$ (1) gayawana' (2) laga'ki $i$ (3) he gave them (3) salmon (1) to eat (2) 30.11

The subordinate serves very frequently as a clause of indirect discourse after such verbs as know, see, discover. With a regular
verb of saying, such as $n a(g)-$, it is nearly always necessary to report the exact words of the speaker.

> al-x $\bar{i}^{\prime}{ }^{i} g i^{\varepsilon} n$ (1) xebeyigi'k'wana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (2) I saw him (1) hurt him (2)
> yok!oya's $n$ (1) pion (2) gaĩk $n a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) I know (1) that he has been eating (3) salmon (2) (literally, I-know-him salmon hehaving-eaten)
> al-xítixi (1) t!omõxanbanda (2) he saw me (1) strike you (pl.) (2)
> $a l-x \bar{i}^{\prime} i g i^{\varepsilon} n$ (1) dal-yewé $i d a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) I saw him (1) run away (2)

Not infrequently an adverb is to be considered the main predicate, particularly when supported by the unanalyzable but probably verbal form wala ${ }^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{si}\left(n a^{\varepsilon}\right)$, while the main verb follows as a subordinate clause. Compare such English turns as it is here that i saw him, instead of here i saw him:
$e m e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s i$ (2) eit $e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) I am (3) right (2) here (1) (literally, here it-is really [?] that-I-am)
$e m e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s i$ (2) eida' (3) you are (3) right (2) here (1)
$m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (1) wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s i$ (2) $\bar{\imath}-k!\bar{u} m a n a n a^{\prime} n h i k ' n a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) he had already fixed it for him (literally, already (1) it-was-really (2) that-he-had-fixed-it-for-him (3))
Examples of subordidates depending on predicatively used adverbs without wala's $s i$ are:
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ (1) wanã (2)eme $e^{\varepsilon}$ (3) nè ${ }^{\prime} i d a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) [it is] not (1) even (2) here (3) that they did (4) (probably=even they did not get here) 61.3
hop! $\grave{e}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (1) $p!\bar{a}^{\prime a} s$ (2) hi's (3) lop!ot'a $a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) it used to snow long ago (long ago [1] that snow [2] almost [3] stormed [4])
ali (1) he $e^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}$-leme $e^{\prime} k!i n d a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) [it is] right here (1) that I destroy them (2) 108.20
An example of a subordinate depending on a demonstrative pronoun is:
$\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ daga (1) yap!a (2) $s \cdot a s^{\circ} \cdot$ init $^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}(3)$ that man is standing (literally, [it is] that [1] man [2] that is standing [3])
The form wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \sin a^{\varepsilon}$ is in all probability a third personal aorist transitive subordinate form in $-n a^{\varepsilon}$, as is shown by its use as a sub)stantive verb for the third person when following an adverb, apparently to supply the lack of a third person in the regular substantive verb ei-:
$e m e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) wala $a^{\varepsilon} \sin a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $\bar{a}^{\prime} k!a$ (3) he (3) is right (2) here (1) (literally, something like: [it is] here that-it-really-is he)
$g e$ (1) wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s \cdot i n a^{\varepsilon}(2)$ he is over there (literally, [it is] there [1] that-he-really-is [2])

Most astonishing is the use of $w a l a^{\prime \varepsilon} s \cdot i n a^{\varepsilon}$ as a modal prefix of a subordinate verb (of the movable class treated above, see § 34) to assert the truth of an action in the manner of our English did in sentences like he did go. Thus, from $d a k^{\circ}-d a-h a \tilde{a} l s b i$ he answered you, is formed the emphatic daki-da-wala $a^{\prime \varepsilon} \sin a^{\varepsilon}-h \tilde{a} l s b i n a^{\varepsilon}$ HE DID answer you. The only analysis of this form that seems possible is to consider the verbal prefixes $d a k^{\circ}-d a$ - as a predicative adverb upon which wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \sin a^{\varepsilon}$ is syntactically dependent, the main verb -hãlsbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ itself depending as a subordinate clause on its modal prefix. The fact that $d a k^{\circ}$ - $d a$ - has as good as no concrete independent existence as adverb, but is idiomatically used with the verbal base hal- to make up the idea of answer, is really no reason for rejecting this analysis, strange as it may appear, for the mere grammatical form of a sentence need have no immediate connection with its logical dismemberment. The above form might be literally translated as (IT Is) above ( $d a k^{\circ}$-) with-his-moUth ( $d a-$ ) THAT-IT-REALLY-IS THAT-HE-ANSWERED-YOU.

## § 71. CONDITIONALS

Conditionals differ from other subordinate forms in that they are derived, not from the full verb-form with its subject-affix, but, if intransitive, directly from the verb-stem; if transitive, from the verbstem with incorporated pronominal object. In other words, the conditional suffix $-k^{*} i^{\varepsilon}\left(-g i^{\varepsilon}\right)$ is added to the same phonetic verbal units as appear in the inferential before the characteristic $-k$, and in the periphrastic future before the second element -gulug ${ }^{w_{-}}$. The phonetic and to some extent psychologic similarity between the inferential (e. g., düuxiki he evidently struck me) and the conditional (e. g., $d \tilde{u} m x i g i^{\varepsilon}$ IF He STRIKES, HAD STRUCK me) makes it not improbable that the latter is a derivative in $-i^{\varepsilon}$ of the third personal subjective form in $-k$ of the latter. The conditional, differing again from other subordinates in this respect, shows no variation for pronominal subjects, the first and second personal subjective forms being periphrastically expressed by the addition to the conditional of the third personal subjective of the appropriate forms of $e i-\operatorname{BE}$. From verb-stem yana- go, for example, are derived:

Singular:
First person, yana' $k^{\bullet} i^{\varepsilon}$ eit $e^{\epsilon}$
Second person, yana'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$ e $\bar{t} t^{*}$
Third person, yana'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$

Plural:
First person, yana'k' $i^{\varepsilon} e^{e} b i^{\prime} k$
Second person, yana'ki $i^{\varepsilon}$ e $t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$
Impersonal: yanayaūki $i^{\varepsilon}$
The conditional is used not merely, as its name implies, to express the protasis of a condition, but as the general subordinate form of unrealized activity; as such it may often be translated as a temporal or relative clause, an introductory adverb or relative pronoun serving to give it the desired shade of meaning. Examples of its use other than as a conditional, in the strict sense of the word, are:

```
yok!oya'\varepsilonn (1) nek' (2) lãxbigi\varepsilon (3) I know (1) who (2) will give
    you to eat (3)
dewe'nxa (1)al-x\mp@subsup{i}{}{\prime}k!in (2) gwi`ne (3) yana'k`\mp@subsup{i}{}{\varepsilon}}\mathrm{ (4) I shall see him (2)
    to-morrow (1), when (3) he goes (4)
al-xí\varepsilon}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}xink\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ (1) gwis}ne (2) yana'k' 'í eṽt' \mp@subsup{e}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ (3) he will see me (1)
    when (2) I go (3)
gwen-t'g\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{a}-b\mp@subsup{o}{}{\prime}k\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}danda (1) ts`!\mp@subsup{\overline{o}}{}{\prime}ut!igi\mp@subsup{i}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ (2) y }\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\primea}\mathrm{ (3) he ह}ne (4) y\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\primea}\mathrm{ (5)}
    xe ebagwa'n (6) just (3) '1}\mathrm{ when they touch (2) the eastern
    extremity of the earth (1), just (5) then (4) I shall destroy
    them (6) 144.15
```

It has a comparative signification (AS THOUGH) in:
$p!\bar{i}^{i}$ (1) $d e-g \ddot{u}^{\prime} k!a l x g i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $n a^{\varepsilon} n a g a^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ (3) it was (3) as though fire (1) were glowing (2) 142.1

Conditional sentences are of two types:
(1) Simple, referring to action of which, though unfulfilled, there yet remains the possibility of fulfillment.
(2) Contrary to fact, the hypothetical activity being beyond the possibility of fulfillment.

Both types of condition require the conditional form in the protasis, but differ in the apodosis. The apodosis of a simple conditional sentence contains always a future form (or inferential, if the apodosis is negative), that of a contrary-to-fact condition, a potential. Examples of simple conditions are:
$g a$ (1) $n a^{\varepsilon} n \tilde{a} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ e $\bar{\imath} t^{\prime}$ (2) haxada $a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (3) if you do (2) that (1), you'll get burnt (3)
$\bar{a} k^{*}$ (1) yana $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) g $\bar{\imath}^{i}$ (3) hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (4) yana'te $e^{e}$ (5) if he (1) goes (2), I (3) go (5) too (4)
wede (1) yana'k' $i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $g \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (3) hono (4) wede (5) yana'k'a (6) if he does not (1) go (2), I (3) won't (5) go (6) either (4)
gwailt (1) mahai (2) $w o^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (3) $g a$ (4) $n \bar{a}^{a} g i^{\prime \varepsilon} k k^{\prime}$ (5) if a great (2) wind (1) arrives (3), say (5) that! (4) 196.19

The apodosis of such conditions is sometimes introduced by the demonstrative pronoun ga that, which may be rendered in such cases by then, in that case:

> aga (1) $x \bar{a}^{a}-s g \bar{o}^{\prime} u_{s g} g j^{\varepsilon}$ (2) $g a$ (3) loho ${ }^{\prime} t e^{e}$ (4) if this (1) string parts (2), in that case (3) I shall be dead (4) 59.10 , (11)

Of this type are also all general conditions referring to customary action that is to take place in time to come, such as are often introduced in English by words like whenever, wherever, and so on. ${ }^{1}$ Examples of such general conditions are:
wi'lau (1) k!emniyaūk' $i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) wa-t ${ }^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{\prime a} a g a m d i n a^{\varepsilon}$ (3) whenever people will make (2) arrows (1), they (arrows) will be backed (literally, tied) with it (3) (with sinew) 28.2
$w \bar{a}^{a} d \bar{\imath}^{\prime}{ }^{i}$ (1) $d \bar{u}$ (2) ba-i-ginãk $w i^{\varepsilon^{2}}$ (3) goyo (4) he $e^{\varepsilon} n e$ (5) $d \bar{o}^{u_{-}}$ mana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ (6) whenever a shaman (4) goes out with ${ }^{3}$ (3) one whose body (1) is good (2), then (5) he shall be slain (6) 146.6
goyo (1) gel-lohogwiaũk $i^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) he $e^{\varepsilon} n e$ (3) $y \bar{a}^{\prime a} a^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (4) yap!a (5) gama'xdi (6) p! $e^{\prime} t^{\prime}(7)$ whenever one takes vengeance for (2) a shaman (1), just (4) then (3) ordinary (6) people (5) will lie (7) (i. e., be slain) 146.8
 no (1) one (3) will see him (4) again (2), when a person (5) dies (6) 98.10
gana $a^{\varepsilon} n c^{\prime} x$ (1) yo ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ (2) yap!a (3) gā̃ $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (4) thus (1) it shall be (2) as people (3) grow, multiply (4) 146.15
Examples of contrary-to-fact conditions are:
aldī (1) $y u k^{\prime} y a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ eiti $e^{\varepsilon}$ (2) mala' $x b i^{\varepsilon} n$ (3) if I knew (2) all (1), I should tell it to you (3) 162.5
$n e k^{*}$ (1) yo $k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (2) dak'-limxywa (3) if it were (2) anyone else (1), it (tree) would have fallen on him (3) 108.11, 13
$\bar{i}^{\prime} d a y a$ (1) ge (2) $y u^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ (3) wede (4) $d \bar{\partial}^{u} m a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ (5) if that one (1) had been (3) there (2), I should not (4) have killed him (5)
$g \imath^{i}$ (1) ge (2) $y u^{\prime} k^{-} i^{\varepsilon}$ eit $e^{\varepsilon} e^{(3)} b \tilde{o}^{u}$ (4) yana's (5) haga' (6) if I (1) were (3) there (2), he would have gone (5) in that event (4)
In the last example, haga is a demonstrative adverb serving to summarize the protasis, being about equivalent to our in that event, under those cincumstances. This word may be the adverbialized

[^41]form of the demonstrative pronoun $h \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} g a$ that one; it is used also with persons other than the third:
yana't' $e^{\varepsilon}$ haga` I should have gone in that event
§ 72. USES OF POTENTIAL AND INFERENTIAL
The potential and inferential modes differ from the aorist in the negative particle with which they may be combined. An indicative non-future statement, such as is expressed by the aorist, is negatived, without change of the verb-form, by means of the negative adverb $a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$ :
$y \tilde{a} n t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ went; $a^{\prime} n \tilde{z}^{\varepsilon}$ yãnt $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ did not go
An imperative or future form, however, can not be directly negatived, but must be expressed by the potential and inferential respectively, the non-aoristic negative adverb wede being prefixed. Thus we have:
Negative future:
yana ${ }^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime}$ he will go : wede yana' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' he will not go
yanada ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will go : wede yana'k!eit' you will not go
yana't $t^{\prime} e^{c}$ I shall go : wede yana' $k^{\prime} a^{\epsilon}$ I shall not go
dõmxbin I shall kill you : wede dõmxbiga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ I shall not kill
178.15
you (cf. 178.15)
d $\bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime}$ he will kill him : wede (1) ne'k' (2) yap!a (3)
gama'xdi (4) d $\tilde{o}^{u} m k$ (5) no
(1) one (2) will slay (5) a person (3) who is no shaman
(4) 146.16

Negative imperative:
yana` go! (sing.) : wede yana't do not go!
yana'n $p^{\prime}$ go! (pl.) : wede yana't' $p^{\prime}$ do not go! (156.9)
dỗ ${ }^{u} m$ kill him! : wede d $\bar{o}^{u}$ ma' ${ }^{\prime}$ do not kill him!
ga nas na do that! : wede ga na na't do not do that!
The particle wede is used with the inferential and potential, not only to form the negative future and imperative, but in all cases in which these modes are negatived, e. g., wede dō $\bar{m}^{\prime} a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I shoulid not have killed him, i would not kill him. There is thus no morphologic distinction between a prohibitive do not go! and a second person subject negative apodosis of a contrary-to-fact condition, you wouls not have gone. It is probably not a mere accident that the negrative particle wede is phonetically identical with the verb-stem wede- take $\Delta$ Way. This plausible etymology of wede suggests that the origin of
the negative future and imperative constructions lies in such periphrastic sentences as:

Remove (all thought from your mind) that I (inferentially) go (i. e., I shall not go)

Remove (all thought from your mind) that you might, would go (i. e., do not go!)

The inferential, as we have seen above (see §59), is used primarily to indicate that the action is not directly known through personal experience. An excellent example of how such a shade of meaning can be imparted even to a form of the first person singular was given
 was sleeping! 74.5 In the myth from which this sentence is taken, Coyote is represented as suffering death in the attempt to carry out one of his foolish pranks. Ants, however, sting him back into life; whereupon Coyote, instead of being duly grateful, angrily exclaims as above, assuming, to save his self-esteem, that he has really only been taking an intentional nap. The inferential form waik ${ }^{\circ}$ anda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ is used in preference to the matter-of-fact aorist wayant $e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ I sleeping, because of the implied inference, i wasn't dead, after all, else how could they wake me? I was really sleeping, must have been sleeping. Closely akin to this primary use of the inferential is its frequent use in rhetorical questions of anger, surprise, wonder, and discovery of fact after ignorance of it for some time. Examples from the myths, where the context gives them the necessary psychological setting, are:

```
geme'\varepsilon}di (1) gǐi (2) wayaüxagwat* (3) yu'k'a', (4) how (1) should
    I (2) be (4) daughter-in-lawed (3) (i. e., how do I come to have
    any (laugher-in-law?) 56.10 I didn't know that you, my son,
    were married!
```



```
    father (3) ? (2) 158.2
s-gui di'' (1) le'mk!iauk' (2) where (1) have they all gone (2),
    any way? 90.25, 27 says Coyote, looking in vain for help
o}+\mathrm{ (1) mi}\mp@subsup{\overline{\imath}}{}{i}\mathrm{ (2) di' (3) s'amgia`ul' (4) Oh! (1) has it gotten to
        be summer (4) already (2) ? (3) says Coyote, after a winter's
        slecp in a trec-trunk 92.9
ga (1) di' (2) xip 'k' (3) ga (4) di' (5) g\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{u}xd\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ (6) gaik' (7)}
        so it is those (1) that did it (3) ? (2) those (4) that ate (7)
        my wife (6) ? (5) 142.18
```

$e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon}$ (1) $d a b a^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ (2) $d i$ (3) ${ }^{\varepsilon} e \tilde{\tau}^{\varepsilon} a$ (4) $y u^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ (5) are (5) canoes (4) (to be found) only (2) here (1) ? (3) 114.7 (i. e., why do you bother me about ferrying you across, when there are plenty of canoes elsewhere?)
$g a$ (1) $d \dot{i}^{\prime}$ (2) $p!\bar{a}^{\prime a} n t^{\prime}$ (3) $g a \tilde{a} \dot{k}^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ (4) so that (1) was their livers (3) that I ate (4) ? (2) 120.14 says Grizzly Bear, who imagined she had eaten not her children's, but Black Bear's children's, livers, on discovering her mistake
A peculiar Takelma idiom is the interrogative use of $g w^{-\varepsilon} n e$ when, how long followed by wede and the inferential, to denote a series of repetitions or an unbroken continuity of action. Examples are:
$g w \tilde{\imath}^{\varepsilon} n e$ (1) $d i^{\prime}(2)$ wede (3) waik (4) he kept on sleeping
(literally, when [1] did he not [3] slcep [4] ? [2]) $142.11 ; 152.24$
$g w^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime}(1) d i$ (2) wede (3) ho $k^{\prime}$ (4) he ran and ran (literally,
how long [1] did he not [3] run [4] ? [2]) 78.14 .
$g w \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon} n e$ (1) $d i^{\prime}$ (2) wede (3) dãk am (4) he kept on being found,
they always stumbled upon him again (literally, when [1] was
he not [3] found [4] ? [2]) 110.15

Similar psychologically is the non-negative future in:

```
ge'me}\mp@subsup{e}{}{\varepsilon}di (1) hono\varepsilon (2) al-d\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{a}gi`nl** (3) they never found him again (lit., when [1] will they find him [3] again? [2]) 190.25
```


## 6. Nominal and Adjectival Derivatives (§§ \%3-8; )

§ 73. INTRODUCTORY
Although such derivatives from the verb-stem as infinitives and nouns of agency should logically be treated under the denominating rather than the predicative forms of speech, they are in Takelma, as in most other languages, so closely connected as regards morphology with the latter, that it is much more convenient to treat them immediately after the predicative verb-forms. The number of nominal and adjectival forms derived from the Takelma verb-stem is not very large, comprising infinitives or verbal nouns of action, active and passive participles, nouns of agency, and a few other forms whose function is somewhat less transparent. The use made of them, however, is rather considerable, and they not infrequently play an important part in the expression of subordinate verbal ideas.

## § 74. INFINITIVES

Infinitives, or, as they are perhaps better termed, verbal nouns, may be formed from all verbs by the addition of certain suflixes to the stem or stem + pronominal object, if the verb form is transitive.

Inasmuch as infinitives, being nothing but nouns in form, may take possessive affixes, forms may easily result that combine a transitive object and a possessive pronoun; e. g., d $\tilde{o} m x b i y a t^{\prime} k^{*}$ my ( $-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ seheme III § 92 ) Khlling you ( $-b i$-), fOR ME TO KILL you (cf. yeexbiyaxdek' MY biting you $116.9 ;-x$-dek scheme in $\S 92$ ). The classification of verbs into classes is reflected also in the infinitive forms, each of the three main classes being distinguished by a special infinitive suffix. The sulfixes are:

Intransitive I - $\left(a^{`}\right) x$.
Intransitive II $-k ; w a$ ( $-g w a$ ).
Transitive -ia (-ya).
The peculiar sub-classes that were grouped together as Class IV all form their infinitives in -k: wa (-gwa). Besides these three main suffixes, $-(d) e p x$ - (-apx-) with possessive suffixes is employed to form infinitives from reflexives in -gwi-, while active intransitives in -xaform their infinitives by employing the bare stem-form with verbal derivative $-x a$. Infinitives in $-x a^{\prime} k^{\circ} w a$ also occur. The infinitive often shows the stem in a purer form than the non-aorist finite forms; in particular the non-aoristic -p - of Class II intransitive verbs regularly disappears before the -gwa of the infinitive.

Examples of infinitives are:

1. From Class I intransitives:
waĩxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ your sleeping yana'x to go
$b \bar{a}^{a}$-dawix to fly up
hogwa'x to run
t!eewa'x to play shinny $\quad n a^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime} x$ doing $94.10 ; 72.4$;
$n e$ 'x saying $108.16 ; 184.10$
148.13
hoida' $x$ to dance
lõ ${ }^{u} x$ to płay 31.7
gina'x to go (176.8) (from simple base $g i n$-; contrast third person future ging-a's $t^{\prime}$ )

Stems ending in long diphthongs either take $-x$ or $-a x$. Thus we have either ha-yè $\bar{u}-x-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ or $h a-y e^{e} w-a^{\prime} x-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ in their RETURNING 124.15.
2. From Class II intransitives:
$k^{\prime} w^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime a} x g w a$ to wake up (in- tgêlxgwa to run around, roll transitive)
geiwa'lxgwa to eat ba-i-di'n ${ }^{\epsilon} x g w a$ to march
lãk' $w a$ to become
p!ala'kiwa to tell a myth
$s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s^{\prime} a n k \cdot w a$ to stand sana'k'wa to fight
3. From Class IV verbs:
$\bar{\imath}-h e^{e} g w a^{\prime} k^{i} w a \quad\left(=-h e^{e} g^{w} h a g^{w}-\right.$ $k \cdot w a)$ to work
al-we'k!alk' wa to shine
$d a-b o^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} b a^{\prime} x g w a$ to bubble under water (observe verbsuffix - $x$ - of infinitive; but da-bok!oba'k'nán I make bubbles)
4. From -xa-verbs:
$l \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} x w a^{\prime}\left(=l \bar{u} k!-x a^{\prime}\right)$ to trap $\quad p^{\prime} e^{\prime} l x a$ to go to war (but also $\left.p^{\prime} e l x a^{\prime} k^{\prime} w a^{1}\right)$
5. From reflexives:
t'gwa $\bar{a}^{a} x a^{\prime} n t^{\prime}$ gwidepxdagwa to sela'mt'gwidepxdek' to paint tattoo himself myself
$l \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} x a g w a n t ' g w i a p x d e{ }^{\prime} k '$ to trap for myself han-se ${ }^{e}$ gwa'nt'qwiapadek' to paddle myself across

From non-reflexive verbs are derived:
ga-iwiapxde'k' my eating wuxiapxd $\bar{a}^{a}$ his coming to get me
6. From transitives:
p!ala'xbiya to tell you a myth $\bar{i}$-gaxga'xgwia to scratch one's self
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\bar{\imath}-k^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{\prime a} k!w i a \text { to wake him } \\ \bar{\imath}-k^{\prime} w e^{\prime \epsilon \varepsilon} x i y a \text { to wake me (164.20) }\end{array}\right.$
$\bar{\imath}-g i^{\prime} s \cdot g i s \cdot i a^{2}$ to tickle him wayanagwia' to run after him d $\bar{a}^{a}$-agania' to hear about it lōugwia' to play with it $w a^{\varepsilon}$ - $\overline{-}$-d $\tilde{x} x i a$ to gather them d $\quad$ mkiwia ${ }^{3}$ to kill him

The syntactical usage of verbal nouns of action is illustrated in the following examples:
$\hbar \tilde{u} l^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime}$ wat $k^{\prime} k!e m n a{ }^{\prime} n k{ }^{*}$ he will make me tired (literally, mytiredness he-will-make-it)
t!omõxăa ${ }^{a}$ a wiyina ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I help him kill (literally, his-killing [no object] I-aid-it)
ho'gwax gel-gulugwa's $n$ I like to run (lit., rumning I-like-it)(196.S) $a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ yok! $\bar{\sigma} \tilde{\imath}$ nexde $k^{\prime}$ he does not know what I said (literally, not he-knows-it my-saying)
$x i^{-} \bar{u} g$ wia $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ in order to drink water (literally, water-trinking for)
$b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime \varepsilon} k \quad$ al-xī $\bar{i}^{\varepsilon} x b i y a g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ he came to see you (literally, hecame seeing-you for)

[^42]The normal method of expressing purpose, as the last two examples show, is by the use of an infinitive followed by the general locative post position $g a^{\star} a^{\prime} l$ to, at. ror. The infinitive, as its inclusion of the object shows, preserves its verbal character almost completely, and may itself govern another infinitive:
> $k!e m n i a{ }^{\prime}$ al-we'k!alk'w $\bar{a}^{a}$ to make it shine (literally, to-make-it its-shining)

Not a few infinitives have become more or less specialized as regular nouns, though it is extremely doubtful if the transparently verbal origin of such nouns is ever lost sight of. Such nouns are:
p!ala'k'wa myth 50.4; 172.17 ts'!ip'na`x speech, oration (cf. $t s^{\prime}!i^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ nan I shall make a speech to them [146.11])
$t^{\prime} g e^{e} m t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} m x y w a$ darkness
(ina'x passage-way 176.9
$y e^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon}$ sqwix sweat (ef. ye' $l^{\varepsilon}$ s!pwade $e^{e}$
I shall sweat [140.1])
sana'k' wa fight, battle
$t s^{*}!e^{e} m a^{\prime} x$ noise (cf. d $\bar{a}^{a}-t s!\bar{e} m-$ $x d e^{\varepsilon}$ I hear a big noise 90.21)

PARTICIPLES (§§75-78)

## §75. General Remarks

Participles are either active or passive, and may be formed with considerable freedom from ali verbs. They have not been found with incorporated pronominal objects, the active participles being more adjectival than verbal in character, while the passives naturally hardly allow of their incorporation. The passive participle is often provided with possessive affixes that correspond to the transitive subjects of the finite verb; the active participle, on the other hand, undergoes no modification for person, but, like any adjective, is brought in eonnection with a particular person by the forms of the copula ei- be.

## § 78. Active Participle in - $t^{\prime}$

This participle is formed by simply appending a $-t$ ', one of the characteristic adjectival suflixes, to the verb-stem. Inferential and imperative - $p^{\prime}$ '- of Class II intransitives disappears before this element (c. g., sc'nsant' whooping), but not the non-aoristic - $p^{\prime}$-, which is characteristic (sce $\S 42,1$ ) of some of the verbs of the same class; e. g., sana'p' fighting (from *sana' ${ }^{\prime} t$ '). Participles in - $t$ ' never denote particular action, but regularly indicate that the action predi-
cated of a person is one that in a way marks him off from others, and that may serve as a characteristic attribute. Not infrequently, therefore, a - $t$-participle has the value of a noun of agency; the fact, however, that it never appears with pronominal elements, but is always treated as an adjective, demonstrates its attributive, non-substantival character. It is possible to use it with a preceding nominal object, so that sentences may result that seem to predicate a single act definitely placed in time; yet an attributive shade of meaning always remains. For example, wihin domte eite $e^{\varepsilon}$ (literally, my-motiler hav-ing-killed i-am) and wihin t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ both mean i killed my mother, but with a difference. The latter sentence simply states the fact, the emphasis being on the act itself; the former sentence, on the other hand, centers in the description of the subject as a matricide, i am one who has killed his mother. The latter sentence might be a reply to a query like what did you do? the former, to who are you?

Examples of $-t^{*}$ participles are:
 at one time burned) 92.29
hêlt eit ${ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ know how to sing (literally, singing I am)
yap!a lohõnt' eitte $e^{\varepsilon}$ I have killed (many) people (literally, people causing [ or having caused]-to-die I am)
loho't having died, dead 148.13
hawa'x-xiwi't' (it is) rotting
xuda'mt eit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I am whistler
$n i^{\prime} x a y i^{\prime} l t$ ' having copulated with his mother (insulting epithet applied to Coyote) 86.5, 6, 16
Examples of participles with lost $-t$ have been given above (see § 18).

$$
\text { § 77. Passive Participle in }-(a) k^{\prime} w^{\prime},-i^{\prime} k^{\prime} w
$$

Nominal participial forms in - $k^{*}$ of passive signification can be frcely formed from all transitive verb-stems, the stem invariably undergoing palatalization (sce § 31 ). The suflix $-k^{*}$ o ordinarily requires a preceding connective - $a$ - replaced, as usual, by an instrumental $-i$ - in such passive participles as are derived from verb-forms themselves provided with $-i-$. Participles in $-a k^{\circ}$ o tend to be accented on the
syllable immediately preceding the suffix, in which case an inorganic $-h$ - generally appears before the $-a-$; $-h a k^{*} w$ is also regularly used with preceding fortis (see § 19). It is not unlikely that the suffix is organically -hak'w, the -ha-implying continuity (see §43,5). Instrumental passives in $-i i^{\bullet}{ }^{w}$, on the other hand, are generally accented, with raised pitch, on the $-i$ of the suffix. For example, dumhak'w (always) Kilied or struck person, but wa- $d \bar{u}^{u} m i \not{ }^{\prime} k^{*}$ whing with which one kills (literally, killed-with thing). Inasmuch as $-k^{{ }^{2}}$ - participles, differing in this respect from active participles in $-t$, are distinctly nominal in character, they may be provided with possessive suffixes; e. g.,
 ticiples supplemented by forms of $e i$ - be, have independent predicative force. What we have seen to apply to -t -participles, however, in regard to particularity of action, applies with equal if not greater force to predicatively used passives in $-k^{\bullet w}$. While a sentence like $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a$ t!omoma'n (domk'am) that one was slain, with finite passive, implies the fulfilment of a single act, a sentence whose predicate is supplied by a passive participle (like $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a ~ d u \tilde{m} h a k^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ that one is [regularly ]lain, struch) necessarily refers to habitual or regularly continued activity: $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a \quad d u \bar{m} h a k^{*}{ }^{w} d e^{`} k^{\star}$ that one is my (Regularly) struck one thus approaches in signification the finite frequentative $i^{\prime} d a g a$ t!omóamda $n$ that one i (always) strike, but differs radically in signification from both $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ daga t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ i killed that one and $i^{\prime} d a g a d \tilde{o n} t^{e}$ eit $t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I am one that has khled that one.

Examples of $-k^{*}{ }^{*}$ - participles are:
gwen-sg $\bar{u}^{\prime} u s t^{\prime} \hat{o} \mathrm{k}^{\prime w}$ (those) with their neeks cut off (21.2, 4, 5)
$x a-\bar{i}-s g^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon} p{ }^{\prime} s g i b i k^{*}{ }^{w}$ (bodies) cut in two $21.2 ; 22.3$
( $m i^{i}$ ) gela $a^{\prime} p^{\prime} a \mathrm{k}^{* w 1}$ something which is (already) twisted
$g u \not{ }^{\prime} h \mathrm{k}^{-\mathrm{w}} n a^{\varepsilon} n e^{`} x$ like something planted, sown
$w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-d \tilde{u} x i \mathrm{k}^{*}{ }^{w} d e i_{i}^{*}$ I have been gathering them (literally, my grathered ones)
$d u l^{\varepsilon}-w a-p^{\prime} \overline{" i}^{\prime} t!i \mathrm{k}^{* w}$ (manzanita) mixed with (sugar-pine nuts) 178.5 t'ãn t'gwil güt ôk'w $d \bar{a}^{a}$ squirrel has been burying ( $g \bar{o}^{u} d-$ ) hazelmuts (literally, squirrel hazel-muts [are] his-buried-ones) ${ }^{2}$
sefl' ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{*}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} d e^{\prime} l^{*} \mathrm{I}$ (always) shoot ( $s \bar{a}^{a} g$-) him (literally, my shot one)
mēla'shak'w $d e h$ ' I love her (literally, my loved one)

[^43]As the last example shows, the indirective -s- of verbs with indirect object is preserved in $-h a k^{' w}$ participles (contrast mila $t^{\prime}-k^{*}$ HE LOVED HER [inferential]).

Participles of instrumental signification in -i $k^{w}$ are freely employed to make up instrumental nouns, such as names of implements. Examples are:

```
d\tilde{o}u\mp@subsup{k}{}{*}-sg\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\prime}ut!ik\mp@subsup{k}{}{*}=\operatorname{log}-cut-with (=saw)
sel-wa-seela'mdik're black paint (writing)-therewith-painted
    (written) (=pencil)
\imath}\mathrm{ -smi'lsmilik'w (thing) swung (= swing)
d\tilde{u}\mp@subsup{k}{}{*}\mp@subsup{w}{}{*}-wa-sg\mp@subsup{\tilde{u}}{}{\prime}ut!iki** dress-therewith-cut (= scissors)
```



```
yap!a-wa-d\overline{o}}\mp@subsup{}{}{u}m\mp@subsup{`}{}{`}\mp@subsup{k}{}{*}w people-therewith-killed, e. g., arrow, gun
da}\mp@subsup{a}{}{s}m\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}xau a\mp@subsup{l}{}{\varepsilon}-wa-x\mp@subsup{\imath}{}{\prime}ik!ik'w far therewith-seen, e. g., telescope
mülmili`'w something to stir (mush) up with
```

It is interesting to note that forms in $-k^{\bullet w}$ may be formed from the third person possessive of nouns, chiefly terms of relationship. These are shown by the palatalized form of the stem to be morphologically identical with passive participles in $-k^{*}$. Examples are:

Noun Participle
$t s^{\prime}!e l e^{\prime} i$ his eye 86.7, $9 \quad t s^{\prime}!e^{\prime} e^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime \mathrm{w}}$ eye-having 27.9
$n i^{\prime} x a$ his mother $17.11 ; 126.7 n i^{\prime} x a \mathrm{k}^{\prime w}$ he has a mother
$m a^{\prime} x a$ his father $17.12 ; 126.6 \quad m e^{\prime} x a \mathrm{k}^{\prime w}$ he has a father
$k^{*} a^{i \varepsilon} l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!\bar{\imath}^{i} h i s$ woman (178.8) $k^{*} e^{i \varepsilon} l \bar{e}^{\prime} p^{\circ} i k!i k^{* w}$ he has a wife 112.6
$t!\imath^{i \varepsilon} l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!\imath^{i}$ her husband $46.1 \quad t!^{i \varepsilon} \mid i^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!i \mathrm{k}^{*}{ }^{m}$ she has a husband

Such forms in $-k^{* w}$ may well be compared to English adjectives of participial form in -ed; e. g., left-handed, fouk-CORNERED. They may be further adjectivalized by the addition of -at (see below, § 108) ; e. g., méxagwat pather-ilaving.
§ 78. Passive Participles in -xa $\rho^{\prime}\left(-s a \rho^{\circ}\right)$
Less common than passive participles in $-(a) k$ w are certain forms in -xap ( $-s a p^{\circ}$ ), which, like the former, show a palatalized form of the stem, and seem to be identical in function with them. like $-k^{*} w_{-}$participles, again, they may be provided with possessive pronominal suffixes, though these belong to another seheme of endings:
gel-güla' $k^{\prime} a k^{\prime}{ }^{*}-d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my liked one, I like him ( $=$ gel-guila'xab-at $k^{\prime}$ ) gel-güla'k'ak'w-da they like him (=gel-güla'xap')

Forms in -xap are in particular use as names of articles of clothing. Examples are:

```
qwen-wít \({ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{xap}{ }^{\prime}\) handkerchief, neckerchief 188.5 (cf. gwen-w \(\bar{\imath}^{\prime i} k!a n\)
    I shall wind it about my neek)
dak'- \(-w i^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime} \times \mathrm{xap}\) ' something wound about one's head
\(x \bar{u}^{a}-l e^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{s a p}^{\prime \prime}\left(=-t!-x a p^{\prime}\right)\) belt (cf. \(x \bar{a}^{a}-l \bar{a}^{\prime a} t!a n ~ I ~ s h a l l ~ p u t ~ i t ~ a b o u t ~\)
    my waist)
gwen-p! Ixap’ pillow (cf. gwen-p!it'wan I shall lie on pillow)
```



```
\(\left.h a-y a-u-t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} n^{〔} \mathrm{sap}^{\prime}(=-t s!-x a p)^{\prime}\right)\) vest (cf. ha-ya-u-t'ge'nts!an I shall
    put it about my middle, ribs)
```


NOUNS OF AGENCY (§§ 79-82)
§79. Introductory

Four suffixes have been found that are employed to form nouns of agency from verb-stems, $-s s,-s \bar{a}^{a}$, $-s \bar{i}^{i}$, and $-x i$. The first of these is more strictly verbal in character than the other three, being capable, unlike these, of incorporating the pronominal object. $-s \bar{a}^{a}$ and $-s \bar{i}^{i}$, probably genetically related suffixes, are used apparently only with intransitive stems (including, however, such as are partly transitive in form, i. e., that belong to Class IV). $-\varepsilon_{s}$ and $-x i$ are used with both transitive and intransitive stems.
§80. Nouns of Agency in $-\left(\alpha^{\prime}\right)^{\varepsilon_{s}}$
This suffix is used to form agentives with more freedom than the others seem to be. The ending $-\varepsilon_{s}$ is added directly to the verb-stem, with connective - $a^{\prime}$ - (instrumental $-i$-) if phonetically necessary. No examples have been found of agentives in - $\varepsilon_{s}$ from intransitives of Class II. Examples are ( $49.4 ; 60.10$ ) :

| hoida's dancer | $h \bar{a} p x i-t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{s}$ child-crier ( $=$ cry-baby) |
| :---: | :---: |
| herla ${ }^{\prime} s_{s}$ singer | xut ma's ${ }^{\text {s }}$ whistler |
| $p!\bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} \varepsilon_{s}$ bather | $k^{\prime a} a i w i^{\prime s} w a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{i}-d \tilde{o} x i^{\varepsilon}$ s one who gathers everything |
| $y a^{a} d a^{\prime \prime} s$ swimmer | xuma-k!emna'ss food-maker $(=$ cook) 54.4 |
| ts!a-uya'ss fast runner 138.2 <br> ei-sāā $y w a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ canoe paddler | dõmxbiॄs one who kills you mala'ximi $i^{\text {s }}$ one who tells us |

The last two examples show incorporated pronominal objects; the first personal plural object -am- is, as usual, followed by the connec-
§ $79-80$
tive $-i$-. The strongly verbal coloring of the agentive in $-\varepsilon s$ is perhaps best indicated by its employment as a final clause. Examples of this use are:
$b a-i-k!i y i^{\prime} k^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} a l-x \bar{i}^{\prime \prime} x b i^{\varepsilon} s$ I came to see you (literally, as one-seeing-you)
$m e^{\varepsilon}$-gini $i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ al- $x i^{\prime i s} x i^{\varepsilon} s$ he came to see me
hoida ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon_{s}}$ di me $e^{\varepsilon}$-giniga't did you come to dance? (i. e., as dancer)
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} m e^{\varepsilon}$-gini $i^{\prime} \mathfrak{F}^{*} d e^{\varepsilon} l \tilde{o}^{u \varepsilon} \mathcal{s}^{\text {. }}$ I did not come to play, as player 31.6 (ef. § 74 for another method of expressing this idea)
§ 81. Nouns of Agency in $-\overline{s i}_{i}{ }^{i}$, $-s \bar{a}^{a}$
These, as already observed, are less distinctly verbal in force than the preceding. Some verbs have agentives in both $-{ }^{\varepsilon} s$ and $-s \bar{u}^{a}$; e. g., $h e^{e} l a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ and hẽls $\bar{a}^{a}$ singer. Not infrequently there is a distinct feeling of disparagement in a $-s \bar{a}^{a}$ - agentive as compared with one in $-\varepsilon_{s}$; e. g., $h o g^{w} a^{\prime \prime} s$ good runner, but $h o^{\prime} l{ }_{i} s \bar{a}^{a}$ one who always runs' (because of fear). Both of these suffixes are added directly to the stem without connecting vowel. If stressed, they have the falling accent. $-s \bar{a}^{a}$ is the regular agentive ending of Class II intransitives; $-p^{2}$ - is or is not retained before it under the same conditions as in the case of the participial - $t^{\prime}$ (see § 76).

Further examples of agentives in $-s \bar{i}^{i}$ and $-s \bar{u}^{a}$ are:
$\bar{\imath}-h e^{e} g w a^{\prime} k^{*}{ }^{*} \mathrm{~S}^{i}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ worker
da-lõsi liar (but non-disparaging $l \tilde{o}^{u \varepsilon} \mathrm{~S}$ player)

$\left\{\begin{array}{l}a l-t^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{a} p^{\prime} t^{\prime} w a^{\prime} p{ }^{\prime} s^{-i} \text { blinker } \\ a l-t^{\prime} w \bar{a}^{a} p^{\prime} t^{\prime} w a^{\prime} p \bar{p}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}\end{array}\right.$
$x \bar{a}^{a}-w i s \bar{a}^{a}$ go-between (settler of feud) 178.11
$d \bar{a}^{a}-p!i y a w_{i} s \bar{a}^{a}$ one going, dancing by side of fire (=medicineman)
yims $\cdot \bar{a}^{\prime a}\left(=\right.$ yims $\left.^{\cdot}-s \cdot \bar{a}^{\prime a}\right)$ dreamer ( $=$ medicine-man)
waĩsāa big sleeper
eseūs $\bar{a}^{a}$ big sneezer
se'nsans $\bar{a}^{a}$ one knowing how to whoop
sana' $p$ s $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ one knowing how to fight
$s^{*} a^{\prime} s^{*} a n s \bar{a}^{a}$ one always standing
$s \cdot \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} a l s \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$ one always sitting
nōts!adam yu'sūa ${ }^{\text {a }} e^{e}$ bik' we are neighbors (literally, neighboring-to-us being [stem $y u$-] we-are)
t!obaga's $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{a}\left(=-a^{\prime} s-s \bar{u}^{a}\right)$ eit' you are always lying like dead
A few nouns in $-s \bar{\imath}^{i}$, in which an agentive meaning can not well be detected, nevertheless doubtless belong here: lō ${ }^{u} s i^{\prime}$ playtung $3045^{\circ}$-Bull. $40, \mathrm{pt} 2-12 \quad 14$
(110.6,11) (cf. verb-stem lō ${ }^{u}$ - play) ; less evidently, le ${ }^{e} p s i^{`}$ feather 28.2; ala'ksī ${ }^{i}$ ifis Tail ( $86.21,23$ )
§82. Nouns of Agency in $-x i$
Only a few verbal derivatives in $-x i$ have been obtained. They are: al-hūyüxi ( $=-x-x i$ ) hunter
ye ${ }^{e} \mathrm{xi}^{\prime}$ needle, awl (literally [?], biter [cf. verb-stem ye $e^{e} g^{w}$ - bite])
122.8
gel-dula' $\mathrm{xi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ eit ${ }^{t} e^{\varepsilon}$ I am lazy, one who is lazy
gel-he ${ }^{\prime i}$ xi stingy (cf. verb-stem $h e^{i \varepsilon} x$ - be left over)
$s \cdot u ̈ m x i ` ~ p a d d l e ~ s t i r r e r ~\left(c f . ~ s \cdot \ddot{u}^{u} m-t ' a\right.$ - boil) (170.16)
ei t'gēl $x \bar{i}^{i}$ wagon (literally, canoe one-that-rolls)

## § 83. FORMS IN - -i'ya

Two or three isolated verb-forms in -i'ya have been found that appear to be of a passive participial character. There are not enough such forms available, however, to enable one to form 'an idea of their function. The few examples are:
$t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}$ (1) haxani'ya (2) $m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ (3) al-t!aya $\mathcal{F}^{*}$ (4) then (3) he discovered (4) a burnt-down (2) field (1) 92.26
yap!a (1) dṑmi'ya (2) ${ }^{\text {eal-t!aya }}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ (3) he discovered (3) killed (2) people (1)

Both of these forms in $-i^{\prime} y a$, it will be observed, are derived from transitive stems (haxani'ya from causative haxa- $n$ - CaUse to burn, burn), and would seem to be best interpreted as attributive passives corresponding to the attributive actives in $-t^{\prime}$. To these forms belongs probably also:
> $d \bar{\imath}^{i}-h e^{\prime} l \mathrm{liya}$ (1) $w a-i w^{-\bar{\imath}^{i}}$ (2) girl (2) who sleeps on a raised board platform (1) (literally, perhaps, up-boarded girl [cf. he ela'm board]) 13.2

## II. The Noun (§§84-102)

## § 84. Introductory

Despite the double-faced character of some of the nominal derivatives of the verb-stem (e.g., the passive participles), there is formally in Takelma a sharp line of demareation between denominating and predicative elements of speech. This is evidenced partly by the distinct sets of pronominal suffixes peculiar to noun and verb, partly by certain nominal elements appearing before the possessive affixes and serving, perhaps, to distinctly substantivize the stem. Only a
small number of stems have been found that can, without the aid of nominal (or verbal) derivative elements, be used as both nouns and verbs. Such are:

| Noun | er |
| :---: | :---: |
| $s e^{\prime} e l$ black paint, writing | se $e^{e}-a^{\prime} m d-a^{\varepsilon} n$ I paint it |
| he' ${ }^{\prime}$ l song 106.7; (164.16) | hel sing! (170.12) |
| liw- $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ naga ${ }^{\prime i s}$ he looked (perhaps $=$ his-look he-did) 55.6 | liwila' $u$ - $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I looked (152.15) (imperative l̄̄̃u $14.11 ;[60.2]$ ) |
| $d \tilde{u} \mathfrak{i}^{\bullet}{ }^{\text {w }}$ shirt 96.16 | di$-d \bar{u} \vec{i}^{*}{ }^{\text {w }}$ wear it! ( $55.9 ; 96.16$ ) |
| $t!\ddot{u} l$ gambling-sticks in grassgame | $t!\ddot{u}^{\prime} l t!a l-s i n i b a^{\varepsilon}$ let us gamble at grass-game 31.9 |
| $x l e^{\prime e \varepsilon} p^{-}$dough-like mass of camass or fat | $\bar{\imath}$-xlep! $e^{\prime} x l i b-\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} n$ I mash it into dough (94.11) |
| $x a ̃ n$ urine | xala'xam-t' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I urinate |

A number of cases have been found of stem + suffix serving as noun and verb (e. g., wiülha'm menstrual "round" dance 100.10, 16: wïulha'mt'e $e^{e}$ I shall have first courses $162.7,8$ ); but in these it is probable that the verb is a secondary derivative of the noun. Even in the first two examples given above, a difference in pitchaccent serves to distinguish the noun from the verb-stem: hel-gulu'k ${ }^{\circ}$ he will sing, but he' $l$ gel-gulu' $\Re^{\circ}$ w he likes, desires, a song. The use of a stem as both noun and verb in the same sentence may lead to such cognate accusative constructions as the English to inve a life, dream a dream:
$s e^{\prime e} l$-se $l a^{\prime} m s i$ write to me!
$d \bar{u}^{u} g w \bar{\iota}^{\prime i} d \bar{\imath}-d \bar{u}^{u} g w a^{\prime} n k{ }^{\prime}$ she shall wear her skirt 55.9
If we analyze noun forms like t!ibagwa'nt' $k^{\prime}$ my paxcreas and $d \bar{a}^{a} n x d e^{`} \mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ my ear, we find it necessary to consider five more or less distinct elements that go to make up a noun with possessive suflix, though all of these but the radical portion of the word may be absent.

First of all we have the stem (t!iba-; $d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$) which may or may not be similar in form to a verbal base, and which oceurs either as an absolute noun unprovided with a pronominal suflix (body-part nouns and terms of relationship, however, do not ordinarily appear in their naked stem-form), or as an incorporated noun; c. L., t!iba-wisin I AM PANCREAS-DEPRIVED, MY PANCREAS HAS BEEN TAKEN FROM ME.

Appended to the stem are the purely derivational or formative elements of the noun. Takelma is characterized rather by a paucity than an abundance of such elements, a very large proportion of its nouns being primitive, i. e., non-derivative, in character. Of the
two nouns that we have chosen as types $d \bar{a}^{a} n x d e^{`} \not{ }^{\prime}$ : shows no formative element in the proper sense of the word, while the $-g w$ - of $t!i b a$ gwa'nt $k^{\prime}$ is such an element (cf. from stem līu- Lоок liu-gw-ax-de' $k^{\prime}$ my face).

More characteristic of the Takelma noun than derivational suffixes is a group of elements that are never found in the absolute form of the noun, but attach themselves to it on the addition of a pronominal suffix or local pre-positive. The $-n$ - and $-(a) n$ - of $d \bar{a}^{a} n x d e^{`} k^{*}$ and t!ibagwa'nt' $k$ ', respectively, are elements of this kind (cf. ha-da-n-dẽ in my ear; ha-t!ibagw-an-dẽ in my pancreas), also the $-a$ - of $d a n a{ }^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} k$ ' му воск (cf. ha-dan-a' in тне поск (from $d a^{\prime} n$ rock]), and the $-u$ of $h a-t^{\prime} g \bar{a} \tilde{u}$ in the earth 33.7 (from $t^{\prime} g \tilde{a}$ eartir). The function of these elements, if they have any and are not merely older formative suffixes that have become crystallized in definite forms of the noun, is not at all clear. They are certainly not mere connective elements serving as supports for the grammatical suffixes following, as in that event it would be difficult to understand their occurrence as absolute finals in nouns provided with pre-positives; nor can they be plausibly explained as old case-endings whose former existence as such was conditioned by the preceding pre-positive, but which now have entirely lost their original significance, for they are never dependent on the pre-positive itself, but vary solely with the noun-stem:
$h a-d a n-a^{\prime}$ in the rock; $d \bar{a}^{a}-d a n-a^{\prime}$ beside the rock; dal-dan- $a^{\prime}$ among the rocks; dan-à-t $k^{*}$ my rock; dal*-dan-a-dẽ over my rock (with constant $-a$ - from da'n rock 16.12)
$h a-g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\wedge} m$ in the road 62.6; d $\bar{a}^{a}-q w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\wedge} m$ along the road; $g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{*} \mathrm{my}$ road (96.8) ; daki-gw $\bar{a}^{a} l$-am-dẽ over my road $(48.6,8)$ (with constant -am-from gwãn road 148.7)

For want of a better term to describe them, these apparently nonsignificant elements will be referred to as noun-characteristics. Not all nouns have such characteristics:
$h a-g e l a ' m$ in the river (from gela'm river 21.14) as opposed to $x \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$ gulm-a`n among oaks (from gulu'm oak 22.10, 11)

Whether such nouns were always without them, or really preserve them, but in a phonetically amalgamated form, it is, of course, impossible to decide without other than internal evidence.

A fourth nominal element, the pre-pronominal $-x$-, is found in a larere number of nouns, including such as possess also a characteristic

[^44](e. g., $d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x-d e^{`} k^{\prime}$ ) and such as are not provided with that element (e. g., sal-x-de' $k^{\star}$ му Fоот) ; a large number, on the other hand, both of those that have a characteristic (e. g., t!ibagw-a'n-t' $k^{\circ}$ ) and of those that lack it (e. g., bẽm-t' $\bar{a}^{a}$ His stick) do without the $-x$-. A considerable number of nouns may either have it between the characteristic and the pronominal ending or append the personal endings directly to the characteristic, no difference in signification resulting. In such doublets, however, the pronominal suflixes belong to different schemes:
bilg-an-x-de $k^{\prime}$ and bilg-a'n-t' $k^{\circ}$ my breast
$s e^{e} n s-i-x-d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ and $s e^{e} n s-i^{\prime}-\varepsilon t^{i}$ your hair
$w \bar{a}^{a} d-i^{\prime}-x-d a$ (92.24) and $w \bar{a}^{a} d-i^{\prime} i$ his body 146.6
The characteristic - $a$ - never tolerates a following $-x-$. Where doublets occur, these two elements seem to be mutually equivalent: cy-a'-t' $k^{*}$ (112.6) and $e i-x$-de' $k^{\prime}$ my canoe (from eí canoe 114.3). Such doublets, together with the fact that nothing ever intervenes between it and the personal suffix, make it possible that this $-x$ - is a connective element somewhat similar in function to, and perhaps ultimately identical with, the connective $-x$ - of transitive verbs. This, however, is confessedly mere speculation. What chiefly militates against its interpretation as a merely connective element is the fact of its occurrence as a word-final in phrases in which no possessive element is found:
dagax $w \hat{o}^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ head without
$h a-d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x$ molhi't' in-ear red (i. e., red-eared) 14.4 ; 15.13
If the local phrase involves a personal pronominal element, the $-x$ disappears:
$d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x-d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my ear, but ha-da-n-dẽ in my ear
This treatment marks it off sharply from the noun-characteristics.
Fifthly and lastly, in the integral structure of the noun, comes the possessive pronominal suflix (the first person singular of terms of relationship, however, is a prefixed wi-). The following tabulated summary shows the range of occurrence of the various elements of the noun:

1. Stem. Occurs as absolute noun (gwãn), or incorporated in verb ( $d \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$).
2. Derirative element. Occurs as ending of absolute form of noun whose stem appears only in incorporation: t!iba'-k+ pancreas.
3. Foum charocteristic. Occurs with all increments of absolute form of noun; i. e., with pronominal suffix ( $g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m-t^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ ), with pre-positive ( $h a-g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a^{\prime} m$ ), and with pre-positive and pronominal element (ha-gw $\left.\bar{a}^{a} l-a m-d \tilde{e}\right)$.
4. Pre-pronominal-x-. Occurs with pronominal suffix ( $d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x-$ $\left.d e V_{i}\right)$ and pre-positive ( $h a-d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x$ ), but never with pre-positive and pronominal element.
5. Pronominul suffix. Occurs in two distinct forms: one for nouns without pre-positives ( $d \bar{a}^{a}-n-x-d e^{\top} k^{\circ}$ ), and one for nouns accompanied by pre-positive ( $h a-d a-n-d \tilde{e}$ ).
A tabulated analysis of a few typical words follows:

| Stem | Derivative | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Character- } \\ & \text { istic } \end{aligned}$ | Pre-pronominal | Pronominal | Meaning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ha-) wax.- ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $g-a^{\prime} n$ |  |  | in the creek |
| $l c^{\prime}$ - | $k^{\prime \prime} \cdot$ | an- |  | $t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | my anus |
| da-uyä'a- | $k ' w$ - |  |  | $d e^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | my medicine-spirit |
| $d \bar{a} 2$ |  | $n$ - | - | de $k^{\prime}$ | my ear |
| $b o^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{d}$ - | an.- |  | $x$ - | de ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | my neck |
| k'aic. | $l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a .-k!-$ | i- |  | $t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | my woman |
| lōu. | si'- | - |  | $t{ }^{\prime}$ | my plaything |
| sge'ce | zab.- | $a-$ |  | $t^{\prime} k^{*}$ | my hat |
| li'u- | $g u-$ |  | ax- | $d e^{\prime} k^{*}$ | my face |
| xãa- |  | ha'm- |  | da | on his hack |
|  |  | $i$ - | $x$ - | $d \epsilon \%$ - | my backhone |
| (ha-) yau' |  | $a-$ |  | $d e$ | in my ribs |
| dōum.* |  | $a^{1}$ l- |  | $t{ }^{\prime}$ | my testicles |
| $x \operatorname{un}^{a} l$-(xãn.) |  | $a^{\prime} m$ - |  | $t{ }^{\prime}$ | my urine |
| $\overline{\boldsymbol{i}}$ - |  | $\bar{u}$ - | $\underline{-}$ | de ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | my hand |
| (has-) ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  | $\bar{u}-$ |  | $d \hat{e}$ | in my hand |

I A point (.) shows the absolute form of the word.

1. Nominal Stems ( $\$ 885,86$ ) § 85. GENERAL REMARKS
The stem is in a very large number of cases parallel in form to that of a verbal base (e. g., with da'n rock, som mountan, méx crane, (f. t!an-hold, $s^{\prime}$ om- boil, he ${ }^{c} m$ - wrestle). An extensive number of noun-stems, however, are apparently amplifications of a simpler monosyllabic base, and have all the outward appearance of an aorist stem in the verb. It becomes, then, not only possible, but fundamentally important, to classify noun-stems into types that seem, and ultimately doubtless are, entirely analogous in form to corresponding verbal types. The noun-stem wili- house, for example, can be conceived of as formed from a base wil-in the same manner
as the aorist naga-is formed from the verb-stem $n \bar{a}^{a} y$ - say to some one. Similarly, the noun yele'x burden-basket is phonetically related to a hypothetical base *yelx-, as is the aorist leme-k!- to the non-aorist lem-k!-. A small number of nouns appear in two forms, one corresponding to the aorist stem, the other to the verb-stem of a verb: gulu'm ОАк, but with characteristic -(a)n-:gulm-an-(the nonaorist gula'm with inorganic $-a$ - also occurs). Similarly, yulu'm and yula'm eagle. In such variable nouns we have a complete morphologic analogy to Type 2 (or 3)) verbs like aorist xudum- winstle, verb-stem xut $m$ - (with inorganic $-a-$ : xulam-). In both gulu'm and xudum- the $-m$ - is almost certainly a suffixed element. It must be carefully noted, however, that, while in the verb we very often have both the aorist stem and the base (as verb-stem) in actual existence, in the case of nouns we rarely can go beyond the stem as revealed in an absolute or incorporated form. It is true that sometimes a hypothetical noun-base phonetically coineides with a verbal base, but only in the minority of cases can the two be satisfactorily connected. Thus, yut!-, abstracted from $y \bar{u} t!u$ ' $n$ DUCк, is very probably identical with the yut!- of aorist yut!uyad-swallow greedily like hog or DUCK. On the other hand, little is gained by comparing the yul- of yulu'm eagle with the yul- of aorist yuluyal- RUB; the p!iy- of $p!i^{\prime} y i n$ DEER and $p!i^{\prime} y a x$ FAWN with the aorist -p!iyin-(k'wa-) LIE ON Pillow (ef. gwen-p!ĩxap Pillow), unless the deer was so called, for reasons of name-taboo, because its skin was used for the making of pillows (or, more naturally, the reverse); the way- of waya' knife with way-sleep; or the noun-stem yaw- RIB (occurring as ya-u-when incorporated) with the verb-stem yaw-(yiw-) тalk. It is not justifiable to say that noun-stems of apparently non-primitive form are necessarily amplified from the bases that seem to lie back of them (e. g., wili- from wil-; yulu-m from yul-), but merely that there is a strong tendency in Takelma for the formation in the noun of certain typical sound-groups analogous to those found in the verb.

## § 86. TYPES OF STEM FORMATION

Though it is probably impossible to chplicate all the various types of aorist and verb stem found in the verb, most of those that are at all frequent occur also in the noun.

[^45]1. The most characteristic type of noun-stem in Takelma is the monosyllabic group of consonant (less frequently consonant-cluster) + vowel (or diphthong) + consonant (less frequently cluster). This type may be considered as corresponding to the normal monosyllabic verb-stem. Out of a very large number of such primitive, underived noun-stems are taken a selection of examples.

Qccurring as naked stems only when incorporated:

```
s.in-nose gwel-leg
d\overline{a}}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}\mathrm{ - car
gel- breast
gwen-neck
dag-head
s.al-foot
yaw-rib
\(\bar{\imath}\) - hand
\(x \bar{a}^{a}\) - back
\(d e^{e}-\) lips, mouth
ha-woman's private parts
```

Occurring as absolute nouns:

```
nox rain 90.1
p!i fire 62.10;7S.13
be sun 54.3; 122.15; 160.20
bèm tree, stick 25.5;44.7
x` water 15.1; 57.14
t'y\tilde{a}land 49.12; 73.9
t'ywa' thunder 55.S
p!\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\primea}S\mathrm{ snow 90.2, 3; 152.16}
p`i`m}\mathrm{ salmon 17.12; 30.10
lãn salmon-net 31.2; 33.4
mãl salmon-spear shaft 28.7
t'gwa'n slave 13.12
gwãn trail 14S.7
bus fly
deel yellow-jacket 73.7, 10
mex crane 13.1
xe'm raven 162.S, 12
seem duck 55.2; 166.10
sël kingfisher
mēl crow 144.9; 162.7
yãk* wo wildcat 42.1; 46.9
xa'mk' grizzly bear 106.14
dip' camass 108.1S; 124.12
k!wãi grass 31.8
hix roasted camass 17S.4
o
k!wal pitch S8.13; 15S.9
yüp' woman's basket-cap 178.3 t'gwil hazelnut 116.5, 11, 14
§ 86
```

mo'x grouse
$t^{\prime} g w e^{\prime} l k^{\prime}$ rat (sp.?)
$t^{\prime} \imath^{\prime \prime} s$ gopher 78.4, 7
sbin beaver $112.1 ; 166.12$
$s$. ux bird 22.4; 166.10
da'n rock 13.6; 16.12
$l^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} p^{*}$ leaves
$s \cdot \tau . x$ venison 16.6; 55.1
xin mucus
la" excrement 122.2
t'ga'm elk 15S.4; 196.6
$t!a \tilde{a} k{ }^{*}$ mussel 26.7
$b \tilde{o}^{u} n$ acorn-hopper
xo' fir 24.10; 54.6
hulk' panther 42.1
$b i k^{* w}$ skunk 164.2
tiăn squirrel 94.2, 4
s.oाँ mountain 43.6
$x a ̃ n$ urine
d $\tilde{o}^{u} n$ testicles 130.20
$d \tilde{o}^{u} m$ spider
hō̃ jack-rabbit 108.8
ga' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bow
hā̃ cloud 13.3
bīũ grasshopper 92.2S, 29
xni`k' acorn dough 16.12
$g \bar{u} \check{\imath}$ thick brush 71.1
$t^{\prime}$ gwil hazelnut 116.5, 11, 14
17.

```
§ 86
```

Occurring generally with possessive suffix:

| $\mathrm{ma-1}^{-1}$ \}father 17.12; 70.7; 158.3 | $\begin{aligned} & w \bar{a}^{a} d-\text { body } 9224 ; \quad 130.24 ; \\ & \quad 146.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| - | $x \bar{u}^{u} l-\text { brains }$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & n i- \\ & \text { hin- } \end{aligned} \text { mother } 17.9 ; 76.10$ | sein-skin |
| $\text { hin- } \int_{172.17}^{\text {motner }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { delg- buttocks } 45.9 ; 72.10 ; \\ & 94.15 \end{aligned}$ |
| $g \bar{u}^{u} x$-wife 13.2; 45.3; 64.5; 142.12 | bilg-breast |
| $t{ }^{1}{ }^{i}$ - male, husband $45.14 ; 126.14$ | に゙ $\bar{u}^{u} b$ - hair 24.8; 162.4 |
| $n i^{i}$ - teats 30.14 ( $n i$ i found as absolute form 130.9) | $a-i s \cdot$ property $23.2 ; 154.13$ |
| $p!\bar{a}^{a} n$ - liver 120.15 ( $p!\pi \bar{a} n$ found as absolute form $57.9,13$ ) |  |

These lists might be very greatly increased if desired. It will be noticed that a considerable number of the nouns given are such as are generally apt to be derivative or non-primitive in morphology.

In regard to accent monosyllabic nouns naturally divide themselves into two classes:-those with rising or raised accent, embracing the great majority of examples, and those with falling accent. Of the latter type a certain number owe their accent to a glottal catch of the stem. Besides $g a^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon}$, already given above, may be cited:

```
t'go'i\varepsilon leggings
k!a'l}\mp@subsup{l}{}{\varepsilon}s\mathrm{ sinew 27.13; (28.1)
p!}\mp@subsup{e}{}{\prime}e\mp@subsup{l}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ basket-plate 168.15
k*o'\varepsilon}x\mathrm{ tar-weed seeds 26.15
```

These offer no special difficulty. There is a fairly considerable number of monosyllabic nouns, however, in which the falling accent can not be so explained, but appears to be inherently characteristic of the nouns. Besides $\bar{\sigma}^{\prime u} p^{\prime}, p!\bar{a}^{\prime a} s, t^{\prime} \bar{u}^{\prime} s$, and $l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\circ}$, may be mentioned:
$n e^{\prime} \ell$ song $106.7 \quad t!e^{\prime c} l:^{*} w$ yellowhammer $90.18 ; 194.15$
$s e^{\prime e} l$ black paint, writing $\quad t^{\prime} b e^{\prime} c k^{\prime} w$ shinny-ball
$g e^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$ xerophyllum tenax $a^{\prime} l l^{\prime}$ silver-side salmon
$y e^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ tears
$w \bar{a}^{\prime a} s$ bush (sp.?) 25.12
$p!e^{\prime e} s$ (with derivative $-s$ ? sce $\$ 87$, 8) flat rock on which acorns are pounded $74.13 ; 75.2 ; 118.17$

For two of these nouns ( $h e^{\prime} c l$ and $s e^{\prime} f l$ ) the etymology is obvious. They are derived from the verb-stems hecl- sing and sel-(amd-) paint; it may well be that the falling accent here characterizes substantives of passive force (that whicu is sung, painter). Possibly $l \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ and $\bar{o}^{\prime} u p^{\prime}$ are to be similarly explained as meaning those that

[^46]are carried (by branches) and that which is dug Up ${ }^{1}$ (cf. aorist stems la $\bar{a}^{a} b$ - carry and $\bar{o}^{u} b$ - dig up).
2. A very considerable number of noun-stems repeat the vowel of the base, corresponding to aorist stems of Type 2 verbs. Such are:
wi'li house $13.1 ; 14.8 ; 192.6 \quad g w i t!\mathrm{i}-(n)$-wrist
$t s^{\cdot}!\mathrm{i}^{\prime} x \mathrm{i} \log \quad k ' a b a-$ son $23.2 ; 128.5 ; 138.14$
moxo' buzzard 105.23
sgi'si coyote 13.1; 70.1; 108.1
xaga- maternal aunt
$x l^{\prime}$ wi war-feathers 110.18
sywini' raccoon
$k!a^{\prime} m a \operatorname{spit}$ for roasting 170.17
waya'knife $73.3 ; 144.20 ; 172.12$
goyo'shaman $47.11 ; 142.7 ; 188.7$
yap!a` person 14.12; 96.2; 128.2
$w \bar{o}^{u} p!$ u- $(n)$ - eyebrows
yana' acorn $15.16 ; 16.9 ; 58.9$
With probably derivative final consonant are:
lege'm- kidney
daga' $n$ turtle
lap $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a} m}$ frog 102.10; $196.3 \quad t s^{\prime}!\mathrm{axax}^{\prime}{ }^{\text {a }} n$ blue-striped lizard
yulu'm eagle 77.2 ; 122.15;164.8 wigin red lizard
gulu'm oak 22.10
$k^{\prime} u ̈ l \tilde{u} m$ fish (sp.?)
loxo'm manzanita 126.17; 178.5 ts'!amãl mouse 102.10; 104.9; 142.4
$y$ ūt!u' $n$ white duck $55.5 \quad s$ imi'l dew
$p!\mathrm{i}^{\prime} y \mathrm{in}$ deer $17.1 ; 42.2 ; 54.2 \quad(k!e l) m \mathrm{ehel}-\imath^{\prime}{ }^{i}$ basket for cookga'k!an ladder 176.8 ing 178.4

Here again it will be observed that the rising or raised accent is the normal one for the second syllable of the stem. But here also a well-defined, if less numerous, group of noun-stems is found in which the repeated long vowel bears a falling accent. Examples are:
$t^{\prime} g w_{\mathrm{a}} 7 \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime a}$ hooting owl $194.9 \quad t!\mathrm{i}$ ibis $\overline{\mathrm{I}}^{\prime \mathrm{i}}$ ant 74.4;75.5
$h \overline{\mathrm{u}} \bar{u}^{\mathrm{s}} \cdot \overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\prime \prime}$ chicken-hawk $142.6 \quad d \mathrm{a}-u y \overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\prime a}$ shaman'sspirit (? from dawy-fly) 164.14 maya $\bar{a}^{\prime a}-k^{*} w_{-}$orphan 154.5
Compare also t!ono ${ }^{\prime} u s^{\cdot}$ below (Type 3); ts $!i l i^{\prime} i k!-$ and $t^{\prime}$ bele $e^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ (Type 3) owe their falling accent to the presence of a glottal catch.

Very remarkable is the stem formation of the noun $t!\ddot{u} x \bar{u}^{\prime} i$ Driftwoon 75.5. It is evidently formed from the verb-stem $d \bar{o}^{u} x$ - (aorist stem t!oxox-) Gather (wood) according to aorists of Type 7b, at the same time with vowel ablaut (cf. theoretic t!üxü-xi he gathers me) and falling accent, perhaps to give passive signification (see $\S 86,1$ ); its etymologic meaning would then be that which is gathered. No other noun of similar stem formation has been found.

[^47]3. It is not strictly possible to separate noun-stems corresponding to aorists of verbal Type 2 from those that are to be compared with aorists of Type 3. The doubt that we found to exist in the verb as to the radical or suffixal character of certain consonants is present also in regard to the final consonant of many dissyllabic nouns. The following nouns with repeated vowel show final consonants that are not thought to be elements of derivation. If this view is correct, they are to be compared with Type 3 aorist stems.
libis crawfish 30.2
$n \mathrm{i} h w \mathrm{i} \not{ }^{\prime}{ }^{w}$ black bear 116.1;
118.1
$t s \cdot!i \bar{i}^{\prime} i k!-$ elbow
$s \cdot \mathrm{i} d \mathrm{i} b-i$ - (house) wall $176.4,9$
lep!és cat-tail rushes
$t^{\prime} b e e^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ pine-nuts
$t$ !ewẽ fl ea
$s$ elēkํw pestle 56.1
$s$ *ülüuk cricket
$t!{ }^{\prime} \bar{o}^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\text {us }}$ 'humming-bird (perhaps with derivative $-s$ )
4. Analogous to aorist stems of Type 4 verbs (e. g., yewei-) are a few nouns with repeated vowel and following $-i$ - to form a diphthong. Of such nouns have been found:
$t s^{*}$ !elei- eye $27.8 ; 86.7 ; 92.20$
$k$ 'wedei- name 100.21
$k$ !elei- bark 54.6
$k$ !oloĩ storage basket 61.5 ; 138.17

That the final $-i$ - of these nouns is not an added characteristic, but an integral part of the noun-stem, is proven by the facts that no examples have been found of vowels followed by noun-characteristic $-i$ - (ordinarily $-n$ - or $-m$ - is employed), and that $t s^{\prime}!e l e i$ - has been found incorporated in that form.
5. A few nouns are found that show a repeated initial consonant; they may be compared to Type 10 aorist stems. Examples are:

```
see}n\textrm{s}\mathrm{ - hair 136.28 (cf. seen- bo`p`alder (94.17)
    skin)
lüüu
    lomol choke)
```

> $d a-k!o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}-d a-x$ - cheek
> maha`i (adjective) large 196.10
> (cf. plural mahmi 130.4 for base)
ü'lük!- hair $27.1 ; 140.6 ; 158.1$
deges ${ }^{1}$ - sifting basket-pan 196.13
$k!a b a$ 's porcupine-quills
t'gwaya'm lark 22.1; 160.3
hülün ocean 60.S; 154.14
oho'p' black shells (sp.?) 55.9
mot!o'p' seed-beater
yuk!um-salmon-tail 198.9
dugu'm baby 126.9

| suñs thick, deep (of snow) 90.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{ts} \cdot e^{\prime} n^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{s}^{\cdot}\left(t s^{\prime}!e n t s^{\bullet}!-\right) \text { wild-rose } \\ & \text { berry } 92.23 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| bebe'- $n$ rushes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bãp }{ }^{\prime} \text { seeds (sp.?) (34.1; 79.9; } \\ & 94.19) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{b} \bar{u}^{u} \mathrm{~b}-a^{`} n \operatorname{arm} 23.2,4 ;(172.4)$ | ts $!a^{\prime i \varepsilon_{s}}{ }^{1}$ bluejay (onomatopoetic) $22.14 ; 102.10 ; 166.11$ |
| sẽns bug (sp. ?) | belp ${ }^{\prime 2}$ swan 102.10; 104.14 |

Here may also be mentioned $k!a^{\prime} m a k!\bar{a}^{a}$ his tongs (also $k!a^{\prime} m \bar{a}^{a}$ ).
6. Reduplicated nouns are not frequent in Takelma, particularly when one considers the great importance of reduplication as a grammatical device in the verb. Examples corresponding in form to Type 12 aorists (i. e., with $-a$ - [umlauted to $-i-$ ] in second member) are:
$t^{\prime} y w i^{\prime} n t^{\prime}!w i n-i$ - shoulder (also $\quad t s!e^{\prime} k^{\prime} t s^{\prime}!i g-i$ - backbone 112.4;
$\left.t^{\prime} g w^{-i} n t^{\prime} g w-i-\right)$
gelgal fabulous serpent (cf. aorist gelegal-amd- tie hair into top-knot 172.3)
$s^{i}{ }^{i} n s a^{\prime} n$ decrepit old woman
$y \bar{u} \kappa^{\prime} y a^{\prime} k^{*} w-a$ (place name) 188.13
$t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} l t^{\prime} y \dot{l}-i-$ belly
198.6
gi'xgap medicine, poison (irreg.) 188.12
gwi'sgwas chipmunk
$p^{‘} \bar{a}^{s} t^{\prime} p^{\prime} i d-i$-salmon-liver (with dissimilated catch) $120.19,20$ $b \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} b i d-i$-orphans (also $b \tilde{o} t^{\prime} b a$ )

Also $w a-i w \iota^{-i}$ Girl 55.7; 96.23 doubtless belongs here; the $-w{ }^{-1 i}$ of the second syllable represents a theoretic $-w i^{\prime} y$, umlauted from $-w a^{\prime} y$, the falling accent being due to the inorganic character of the repeated $a$. A very few nouns repeat only the first consonant and add $a$, leaving the final consonant unreduplicated. Such are:
$b a^{\prime} k^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{a}$ red-headed woodpecker (onomatopoetic) 92.2, 6
$h a^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*} \bar{a}^{a}\left(=\right.$ *hak!-h $\left.\bar{a}^{a}\right)$ goose $102.10 ; 106.2,5$
bõt $b \bar{a}^{a}$ orphan 122.1, 5
A few nouns, chiefly names of animals, show complete duplication of the radical clement without change of the stem-vowel to $-a$ - in the second member. This type of reduplication is practically entirely absent in the verb. Examples are:
$t s^{\prime}!e^{\prime s} t s^{\circ}!\epsilon^{s}$ small bird (sp.?) al-k!ok!o $k^{\prime}$ (adj.) ugly-faced 60.5
dalda` dragon-fly -21.1; 28.6
$p^{\prime} a b \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ manzanita-flour
Even all of these are not certain. Those with radical -a-might just as well have been classified with the preceding group (thus

[^48]dalda'l may be very plausibly connected with aorist t!alat!al- from t!alal-, non-aorist dāaldal from d $\bar{a}^{a} l$ - crack); while $p^{\prime} a b \bar{a}^{\prime a} p^{\prime}$ and bobo' $p$ ' may, though improbably, show Type 1 reduplication ( $p^{\circ} a b-\bar{a}^{a} b$ - like $p!a b-a b-$ снор). This latter type of reduplication seems, however, to be as good as absent in the noun (but cf. sgwôgwô $k^{\prime}{ }^{\text {w }}$ Robin ; mele'lx burnt-down field 92.27 may be morphologically verbal, as shown by its probably non-agentive $-x$ ). The fullest type of reduplieation, that found exemplified in the aorists of Type 13 verbs, has not been met with in a single noun.

## 2. Noun Derivation (§§ 8\%, SS)

## §87. DERIVATIVE SUFFIXES

The number of derivative suffixes found in the noun, excluding those more or less freely employed to form nominal derivatives from the verb-stem, are remarkably few in number, and, for the most part, limited in their range of application. This paucity of live wordforming suffixes is, of course, due to a great extent, to the large number of nominal stems in the language. The necessity of using such suffixes is thus greatly reduced. The various derivational affixes found in the Takelma noun will be listed below with illustrative examples.

1. $\boldsymbol{t}^{\prime}(\boldsymbol{(})$ ). This is the only derivational prefix, excluding of course such considerably individualized elements as the body-part prefixes of the verb, found in Takelma. It is employed to form the words for the female relationships corresponding to elder brotier and YOUNGER BROTHER.
wãxa his younger brother 54.1, 5 t'awãxa his younger sister 55.2 $w i-{ }^{\varepsilon} o b \tau$ my elder brother 46.10 wi-t'ob $m y$ elder sister (55.14)
2. $-\boldsymbol{l} \overline{\boldsymbol{a}}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{p}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{a}(\boldsymbol{k}!-)$. This suffix is found only in a number of nouns denoting ranks or conditions of persons; hence it is not improbable that it was originally a separate word meaning something like person, people. That it is itself a stem, not a mere suflix, is shown by its ability to undergo ablaut (for- $l e^{\prime} p p^{i} i$ - see $\S 77$ ). - $k!$ !- is added to it in forms with possessive or plural affix. For example, from $t!i^{i \varepsilon}!\bar{u}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ 178.7 male, husband are formed $t!\bar{i}^{i \epsilon}\left(\bar{u}^{\prime} p^{\prime} i k!i t^{\prime} k k^{\prime}\right.$ my husband (142.7) and $t!\bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a k!a n$ musbands, men $(130.1,7)$. The fact that the stem preceding -lá $p^{\prime}$ a appears also as a separate word or with other clements indicates that words containing -láa pa may be best considered as compounds.

Examples are:
$t!\bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} \mid \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ a male, husband 178.7 (cf. $t!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ - husband, male)
$k^{\circ} a^{i \xi} \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ woman 259,$12 ; 108.4,5$ (cf. $k^{\circ} a^{i c} s^{\prime} o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a \operatorname{girl}$ who has already had courses)
mologolā'p'a old woman 26.14, 16; 56.3 (cf. mologo'l old woman 16S.12; 170.10)
$b \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{a} l \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} a k!a n$ orphans (cf. bõ $t^{\prime} b a$ orphan and $b \tilde{o}^{u} t^{\prime} b i d-i-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my orphaned children)
lomt! $\imath^{i} \overline{a^{\prime}} \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ ak!an old men 128.11; 130.1 (cf. lomt! $\bar{\iota}^{\prime i}$ old man 24.11; 126.19)
os ${ }^{\prime} \bar{o}^{u} \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}$ poor people
3. - $\because$. A number of place-names with suffixed $-k^{\circ}$ have been found:

La'mhik' Klamath river
Sbink' Applegate creek (cf. sbin beaver)
Gwen-p’uñk' village name 114.14 (cf. p’u'n rotten 140.21)
$H a-t!\tilde{o} n \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ village name
Dak'-t'gamik $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ village name (cf. $t^{\prime} g a^{\prime} m$ elk)
Gel-yãlk' village name 112:13; 114.S (ef. yãl pine)
Somolu'k' ${ }^{1}$ village name
Dal-dani'k' village name (cf. da'n rock)
4. $-\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime \varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{u})$. Nouns denoting person coming from are formed by adding this suffix to the place-name, with loss of derivative $-k^{\circ}$. Examples are:

Ha-gw $\bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Ha-gwãl, Cow creek
Lamh $i^{i} y \mathrm{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from La'mhik', Klamath river
Sbīina ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Sbink ${ }^{\prime}$, Applegate creek
Dal-sa'lsana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ person from Dal-salsañ, Illinois river
$D \bar{i}^{\varepsilon}-l \bar{o} m i y \mathrm{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from $\mathrm{Di}^{\varepsilon}-1$ lomí
Gwen- $p^{`} u^{\prime} n \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}$ person from Gwen- $\mathrm{p}^{`} \mathrm{unn}^{\text { }}$
Dal-daniya ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ person from Dal-dani'k'
S.omola ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from $S \cdot$ omolu'k' $^{\prime}$ (see footnote)

Ha-t! $\bar{o}^{u} n \mathrm{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Ha-t!õnk
$L a-t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a} w a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from La-t'gā $\bar{a}$, uplands 192.14
Dak'-t'gamiya ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Dak'-t'gamik ${ }^{*}$
$H a-t^{*} \imath^{i} a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Ha-t $\bar{i} l$
Gel-y $\bar{a}^{a} l^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ person from Gel-yãlk'
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { Dak'-ts! } \bar{a}^{a} \text { wana }{ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \text { person from dak }{ }^{\prime} \text {-ts }!^{a} \bar{a}^{a} w a ' n, \text { i. e., above the } \\ \text { lakes }(=\text { Klamath Indian) } \\ D_{a} k^{\prime}-t s!^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} \text { mala }^{\prime \varepsilon}\end{array}\right.$

[^49] Indian)
Dī-dalama ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathbf{n}$ person from Dīlalañ, Grant's Pass
Judging from the material at hand, it seems that $-a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ is used only when the place-name ends in $-m$, though the ease with which $-a^{\prime \varepsilon} / 1$ may be heard as $-a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (see first footnote § 60) detracts from the certainty of this generalization.
5. -gu-. This element occurs as a suflix in a number of terms relating to parts of the body. Examples are:
$t!i b a{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime \mathrm{w}}$ pancreas 47.17 ; t!ibagw-a'n-t' $k^{\prime}$ my pancreas (47.5, 6, 7, 13) (incorporated t!iba-46.1, 9)
$l i^{\prime} u g w-a x-d e k{ }^{\prime}$ my face (cf. verb-stem $l \bar{\imath} u$ - look)
$d a^{\epsilon}$ madagw- $a^{\wedge} n-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my shoulder
$d a-u y \bar{a}^{\prime} a{ }^{\bullet}{ }^{+}{ }^{-}-d e k^{\bullet}$ my medicine-spirit (incorporated da-uy $\bar{a}^{a_{-}}$ 164.14)
$l e^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{w}-a n-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my rectum (cf. la" excrement 122.2)
$m a^{\prime} p!a g w-a-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my shoulder-blade
6. -(a) $\boldsymbol{u}$ - (or -m-, -l-). There are so many nouns which in their absolute form end in -(a) $n$ or its phonetic derivatives -(a)m-and - (a) $l$ (see § 21) that there is absolutely no doubt of its suffixal character, despite the impossibility of ascribing to it any definite functional value and the small number of cases in which the stem occurs without it. The examples that most clearly indicate its non-radical character will be conveniently listed here:
$\hbar e^{e} l a^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ board 176.5 (cf. $d \bar{\imath} i=h e^{\prime} l i y a$ sleeping on board platform 13.2)
ts' !ela'm hail 152.12, 16 (ef. verb-stem ts' !el- rattle)
$p!i^{\prime} y i n$ deer $13.10 ; 42.2$ (cf. $p!i^{\prime} y a x$ fawn 13.11; 49.11)
$y i^{\prime} w i n$ speech $126.10 ; 138.4$ (cf. verb-stem yiw- talk)
li'bin news 194.9 (? cf. verb-stem laba- carry)
$y u \bar{t}!u$ 'n white duck 55.5 (cf. verb-stem yut!- eat greedily)
do'lk'am-a-anus (also do'lk'-i- as myth form $106.4, s$ )
\{ do'lk'im-i-
do'lk' in-i- 106.6, 9
$x d \tilde{a} \mathrm{n}$ eel (cf. reduplicated $h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}-x d \bar{a}^{\prime a} x d a g w a^{\varepsilon} n$ I throw away something slippery, nastily wet [49.7])
$s \cdot u g w a$ 'n root basket 124.5 (cf. $s \cdot u g w i d \bar{\imath}$ it lies curled up like bundled roots or strings)
dan $y e^{\prime e}$ wald-in- $\bar{\imath}^{i}$ rocks returning-to- them, myth name of Otter 160.10, 13 (cf. verb-stem yeew-ald-return to)

Other examples, etymologically untransparent, will be found listed in § 21. The difference between this derivational $-n(-m)$ and
noun-characteristic $-n-(-m)$ lies in the fact that the former is a necessary part of the absolute form of the word, while the latter appears only with grammatical increments. Thus the -am of heela'm Board can not be identified with the -am of ha-gw $\bar{a}^{a} l a a^{\prime} m$ in the road, as $g w \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$ has no independent existence. The exact morphologic correspondent of $g w \bar{a}^{a} l-a m$ - is he $l a m-a-$ (e. g., he $e^{e} l a m-a^{\prime}-t^{*} k^{*}$ MY BOARD). A doubt as to the character of the $-n$ - can be had only in words that never, or at least not normally, occur without possessive suffix:
lege'm-t'k' my kidneys
$w \bar{o}^{u} p!u^{\prime} n-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my eyebrows ${ }^{1}$
7. -a. There are a rather large number of dissyllabic nouns or noun-stems with final $-a$, in which this element is to outward appearance an integral part of the radical portion of the word. The number of instances in which it occurs, however, is considerable enough to lead one to suspect its derivational character, though it can be analyzed out in an even smaller number of cases than the suflix $-n$ above discussed. The most convincing proof of the existence of a sulfix - $a$ is given by the word $x u^{\prime} m a$ FOOD, DRY FOOD, 54.4; 1SS.1, a derivative of the adjective xu'm DRY 168.15 (e.g., p'im xu'm dried salmon; cf. also xümü'k'dé i am sated [132.1]). Other possible examples of its occurrence are:
yola' fox (? cf. verb-stem yul- rub) $70.1,4,5 ; 78.2,3,9$
mena' bear $72.3 ; 73.2,3,4,5 ; 106.7,10$
p!elda` slug 105.25
noxwa' small pestle
$t^{\prime} e^{\prime}$ lma small pestle $62.1 ; 116.18,19 ; 118.2$
ma'x $l a$ dust 172.3; 184.5, 9
$k!e d a^{\prime}$ grass for string (sp.?)
t!cla' shinny-stick (? cf. verb-stem t!èu-play shinny)
$t!e l a$ ' louse (? cf. verb base t!el-lick) $116.3,6,7,8,11$
$t!i b a-$ pancreas 46.1, $9 ; 49.7$
ela- tongue (characteristic - $a-$ ? )
dola' old tree 24.1
yana' oak $22.11 ; 168.1,2,3,6,7$ (cf. yangwa's oak sp.; with -gwas cf. perhaps al-gwa's-i- yellow)
It is of course possible that some of the dissyllabic nouns in $-a$ listed above ( $(86,2$ ) as showing a repeated vowel (e. g., ya'p!a) really belong here.

[^50]8. -s. This element is in all probability a derivational suflix in a fairly considerable number of words, as inclicated particularly by the fact of its frequent occurrence after a consonant. Examples are: $p!e^{\prime e}{ }^{s}$ mortar-stone fastened in ground (cf. verb-stem p! 74.13; 120.17
la'ps blanket (? cf. base lab-carry on shoulder) $98.14,15,19,21$ $p!e^{\prime} n \mathrm{~s}$ squirrel
güms (adj.) blind 26.14 (? cf. gomha'k' ${ }^{\text {w }}$ rabbit)
bëls moccasin
$k!u ’ / s$ worm (? cf. verb-stem $q \bar{o}^{u l} l$-, aorist $k!o l o l-$ dig)
$y \tilde{o l s}$ steel-head salmon (? cf. yola' fox)
büls moss 43.16; 44.1; 47.15
bami's sky 79.7 (cf. verb-prefix bam-up)
bãls (adj.) long 14.5; 15.12, 15 (? ef. da-balni'-xa [adv.] long time)
Also some of the dissyllabic nouns in $-s$ with repeated rowel listed above ( $\$ 86,3$ ) may belong to this set.

A few other stray elements of a derivational aspect have been found. Such are:
-ax in $p!i^{\prime} y a x$ fawn $13.11 ; 16.8 ; 17.1,2$ (cf. $p!i^{\prime} y i n$ deer)
$-x i^{1}$ in bomxi otter $13.5 ; 17.13 ; 154.13 ; 156.14 ; \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} x i$ seed-pouch; $h \bar{a}^{a} p x i^{\prime}$ child $13.8,13$ (cf. hãp ${ }^{\circ} d a$ his child 98.13 and $h \bar{a}^{a} p^{\prime-}$ incorporated in $h \bar{a}^{a} p^{\prime}-k!e m n a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ Children-maker 172.15)
pluralic $-x$ - in ha apxda his children 16.3; 113.1, 14
$-x$ - varies with -s- in adjective hapsdi small; hāa pxi' hapsdi little children 30.12
A large number of dissyllabic and polysyllabic nouns still remain that are not capable of being grouped under any of the preceding heads, and whose analysis is altogether obscure:
bãxdis wolf $13.1 ; 16.10 ; 17.10$
domxa'u Chinook salmon
$y=k^{*} a^{`} t^{\prime}$ red deer
yiba'xam small skunk
bixa'l moon 196.1
k!a'nak!as basket cup (probably reduplicated amd with derivative $-s$ )
§ 88. COMPOUNDS
Of compounds in the narrower sense of the word there are very few in Takelma. Outside of personal words in $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$, which we lave suspected of being such, there have been found:
lomt $!^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime i}$ old man 24.11, 12; 126.19 (cf. $t!\bar{i}^{i}$ - male)
$k^{\prime} a^{i s} s^{\prime} o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a$ girl who has had courses (cf. $k^{\prime} a^{i s} l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime a}$ woman)

Independent nouns may, however, be juxtaposed without change of form to make up a descriptive term, the qualifying noun preceding:
hapxi-t! $\bar{i}^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ child male-person ( $=$ boy) 14.1, $6 ; 17.3,6 ; 156.10$
hapxi-wa-iwíi child female-person (=girl) 29.7; 30.1; 71.3
hapxi-t $\bar{a}^{a} g a^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ child crier ( $=$ cry-baby)
da'n mologo' $l$ rock old-woman $170.10,15,20 ; 172.1$
dan hapxi-t! $\bar{i}^{\prime \prime} t \bar{a}^{a}$ rock boy 17.8
dan $w^{-i} l \bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$ his rock knife 142.20
gra's ${ }^{*}$ wili brush house (for summer use) 176.14
yãx wili graveyard house $14.8,9 ; 15.5,6$
wilī${ }^{i}$ he ${ }^{e} l a^{\prime} m$ house boards 176.5
xamk ${ }^{*}$ wa-iw $\bar{\imath}^{\prime i}$ grizzly-bear girl $124.10 ; 130.6,7,26$
mena dap! $\bar{a}^{\prime} l a-u t{ }^{\prime}$ an bear youths 130.11
yap! a goyo Indian doctor 188.12
Examples of compounds in which the first element is modified by a numeral or adjective are:
wili há ${ }^{\varepsilon} g_{o}^{\prime}$ yap! $a^{\prime}$ house nine people (= people of nine houses) 150.16
yap! a ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l t^{\prime} g u^{\prime i \varepsilon} s^{\prime}$ goyo' person white doctor ( $=$ white doctor) 188.11
A certain number of objects are described, not by a single word, but by a descriptive phrase consisting of a noun followed by an adjective, participle, or another noun provided with a third personal possessive suffix. In the latter case the suffix does not properly indicate a possessive relation, but generally a part of the whole or the fabric made of the material referred to by the first noun. Such are:
lasgu'm-īūxgwa't snake handed (= lizard) 196.4
$t^{*}$ gwil $t s^{\cdot} \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} k^{\prime} d a$ hazel its-meat ( = hazel-nut)
$t^{\prime}$ gwa he elamáa thunder its-board (=lumber) 55.8, 10
$p$ !iyin sge ${ }^{\prime \epsilon \varepsilon} x a b \bar{a}^{a}$ deer its-hat (not deer's hat, but hat of deerskin)
p!iyin $t s!u^{\prime} n t s^{\cdot}!\bar{i}^{i}$ deer its-cap-embroidered-with woodpeckerscalps
$k^{\prime} a i$ mologol $\bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a x d \bar{a}^{a}$ what its-woman (=what kind of woman?) 122.3
wi'li gwala' houses many (= village)
$t s^{*}!i^{\prime} x i$ maha' $i \operatorname{dog}$ big (=horse)
piom sinixde salmon its-nose (=swallow) (perhaps so called because the spring run of salmon is heralded by the coming of swallows)
mena ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ali'guna'px bear + ? ( $=$ dormouse [?])
$x i^{\prime} l a m$ sebe't' dead-people roasting ( $=$ bug [sp.?]) ${ }^{1} 98.13,15$
$p^{\prime} u n-y i^{i} l t^{\prime}$ rotten copulating-with (=Oregon pheasant)

## §89. 3. Noun-Characteristics and Pre-Promominal -.r-

As noun-characteristics are used four elements: -(a) $n$ (including $-a m$ and $-a l$ ), $-a-,-i$-, and $-u$-. Although each noun, in so far as it has any noun-characteristic, is found, as a rule, to use only one of these elements, no rule can be given as to which of them is to be appended to any given noun. Nouns in suffixed $-(a) n$, or $-(a) m$, for example, are found with characteristic $-i-\left(b \bar{u}^{u} b i n-i-\left[\right.\right.$ from $b \bar{u}^{u}-b a^{`} n$
 gula'm оак]), and without characteristic ( $b o^{\prime} k^{\circ}$ dan- $x$-dek м м хеск [from $\left.\left.b o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a n 15.12,15\right]\right)$.

1. -(a) $\boldsymbol{n}$. Examples of this characteristic eiement are:
gwit: $i$-n- wrist (cf. variant gwit $!\bar{\imath}-\bar{u}-$-)
t!ibagw-an- pancreas 45.15; 46.5 (absolute t!iba'k ${ }^{w} 47.17$ )
dasmadagw-an- shoulder
lek' $w$-an- rectum
$d \bar{a}^{a}-\mathrm{n}-x$ - ear 14.4; 15.13 (incorporated $d \bar{a}^{a}$-)
ts! $\bar{a}^{a} w$-an- lake, deep water 59.16 (absolute ts! $\left.!\bar{u} 162.9 ; 166.1 .5\right)$
gulm-an- oak (absolute gula'm)
$b o b-$-in- ${ }^{1}$ alder 94.17 (absolute $b o^{\prime} p{ }^{\prime}$ )
Its phonetic reflexes -al and -am occur in:
$s \cdot \bar{o}^{u} m$-al-mountain 124.2; 152.2 (absolute $s \cdot o \pi m 43.6 ; 122.16$ )
$d \bar{o}^{u} m$-al- testicles 130.8 (absolute d $\tilde{o}^{u} m 130.20$ )
ts! $\bar{a}^{a} m$-al- (in Dak'-ts! $\bar{a}^{a} m_{a l a^{\prime}}$ Klamath Indian, parallel to
Dak'-ts! ${ }^{a}{ }^{a}$ wana ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ )
gwãal-am- trail 48.6, 8; 96.8, 9 (absolute gwãn 148.7)
$x \bar{a}^{a} l$-am- urine (absolute $x \tilde{a} n$ )
-am- is also found, though without apparent phonetic reason, in $x \bar{a}^{a_{-}}$ ham- васк (incorporated $x \bar{a}^{a}-$ ). Certain nouns add $-g$ - before taking -an- as their characteristic:
wax-gan- creek (absolute wa'x)
del-gan-(x-) anus 45.9; 72.10; 94.15
bil-gan-( $x$-) breast
gel-gan- breast (cf. variant gel-x-)
2. -a-. More frequently occurring than -(a) $n$ - is - $a$-, examples of which are:
dana- rock (absolute da'n 17.8; dal-am- as possible variant in place-name $D \bar{\imath}$-dala' $m$ over the rocks [?])
ey-a- canoe $112.6 ; 114.5,13 ; 156.2$ (cf. variant ei-x-)
t'gwan-a- slave (absolute t'ywa'n 13.12)
heelam-a- board 55.8, 10 (absolute he fla'm 176.5)
$y \bar{o}^{u} k!w$-a- bone $186.1 ; 196.17$ (absolute $y \tilde{o}^{u \varepsilon} k^{* w}$ )

[^51]p’im-a-salmon $31.1 ; 32.4$ (absolute $p^{\circ} i^{\prime} m 30.10,11 ; 31.3$.)
do'lk'am-a- rectum (cf. variant do'lk'im-i-)
$m a^{\prime} p!a t w-a-$ shoulder blade (absolute $m a^{\prime} p!a k{ }^{{ }^{\prime} w}$ )
yaw-a- rib 194.10 (incorporated $y a-u$-)
xiy-a-water $58.6 ; 156.19 ; 162.13$ (absolute $x i^{`} 162.7,8,14$ )
$p^{!}!!$-a- fire 118.4; 168.19 (absolute $p!\tilde{\imath} 88.12,13 ; 96.17$ )
All nouns in -xab-take $-a$ - as their characteristic, e. g., sge $e^{\prime \varepsilon} x a b-a-t^{\prime} k^{*}$ my hat (from sge ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ xap ${ }^{\prime}$ hat)
3. -i-. Examples of nouns with $-i$ - as their characteristic are:
$d \bar{u}^{u} g w$-i- shirt $13.4 ; 96.26 ; 192.4$ (absolute $d \tilde{u} k^{\bullet}{ }^{v e} 96.16$ )
bưubin-i- arm 31.4; 172.4, 5, 6 (absolute būubån 23.2, 4, 9)
$t^{\prime}$ gwi'nt'gwin-i- shoulder
$t s!$ ugul-i- rope (cf. absolute $t s^{\prime}!\bar{u} k{ }^{\circ}$ )
$k^{\circ} \ddot{u}^{u} b$-i- hair, skin $24.8 ; 160.6$
ülük!-i- hair $27.1,4 ; 126.11 ; 136.20 ; 158.1 ; 188.4,5 ; 194.7$.
$k!$ alts!-i- sinew 28.1 (absolute $k!a^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} S$ 27.13)
$b \bar{a}^{a} b$-i- seeds (sp. ?) $34.1 ; 79.9 ; 94.19$ (absolute $b \tilde{a} p^{\prime}$ )
$k!e l w$-i- basket bucket $170.14,16,1 S, 19$ (absolute $k!e l$ 186.17)
$m \bar{a}^{a} l-\mathrm{i}$ - spear-shaft 156.1 (absolute $m \tilde{a} l 28.7,9,10$ )
$d \bar{u}^{u} l$-i- spear-point (absolute $d \tilde{u} l 28.8,9 ; 156.19,20$ )
lüü-i-(x-) throat 25.2
$m \bar{u}^{u} l-\mathrm{i}-$ lungs
t!egilix-i- skull 174.3
t'galt'gil-i-( $x-$ ) belly
ts'!ek'ts'!ig-i-(x-) backbone 112.4
ham-i- father 158.3 (e. g., ham-i'- $\varepsilon t^{\circ}$ your father, but wi-ha'm my father 138.19)
A number of terms of relationship show an -i- not only in the second person singular and plural and first person plural but also, unlike ham-i- Fatirer, in the first person singular, while the third person in $-x a(-a)$ and the vocative (nearly always in $-\tilde{a})$ lackit. They are:
wi-k'abaí my son (23.2,3) : $k^{\prime} a b a^{\prime}-\mathrm{xa}$ his son 138.16
(wit-obi my elder brother : $o^{\prime} p$-xahis elderbrother 48.3; 62.2 (46.10)
wi-t'obī my elder sister $\quad: \quad t^{\prime} o^{\prime} p$-xa his eldersister $55.14 ; 56.6$
wi-k!a'si my maternal : $k!a^{\prime} s$-a his maternal grandparent grandparent 14.2; (15.12)
wi-xdā̄ my paternal uncle
wi-hasi' my maternal uncle
wi-t'adi' my paternal aunt 22.14
wi-xagaì my maternal aunt : xaga'-xa his maternal aunt
wi-ts!ā̄ my (woman's) : ts! $a^{\prime}$-xa her brother's child; his brother's child 22.1;23.S, sister's child 10; my (man's) sister's child 14s.19; 150.4

Still other terms of relationship have an $-i$ - in all forms but the vocative. It is probable, though not quite so certain for these nouns, that the $-i$ - is not a part of the stem, but, as in the preceding group, an added characteristic element. Such nouns are:
> gamdi'-xa his paternal grandparent (170.21; 188.13)
> siwi'-xa her sister's child; his brother's child
> wak' $d \mathrm{i}^{\prime}-x a$ his mother's broth-
> vocative gamdã
> $\operatorname{siwa}$
> wak'dã 77.4
> er's son 77.6 ; 88.14 ; (188.9)
> t!omxi'-xa ${ }^{1}$ his wife's parent t!omxã
> lamts! $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}-x a$ her brother's wife lamts! $\tilde{a}$
> $y i d \mathrm{i}^{\prime}-x a$ her husband's sister $\quad y i d \tilde{a}$
> nanbi'-xa his brother's wife; nanbã
> his wife's sister
> ximni'-xa his relative by mar- ximn $\tilde{a}$ riage after linking member has died

The - $i$ - has been found in the vocative before the $-\tilde{a}$ (but only as a myth-form) in obiyã o ELDER BROTHER! 59.3; 62.4 (alongside of obã), so that it is probable that the vocative $-\tilde{a}$ is not a mere transformation of a characteristic vowel, but a distinct element that is normally directly appended to the stem. Other examples of myth vocatives in - $\tilde{a}$ appended to characteristic - $i$ - are ts!ayã o Nephew! 23.1 (beside $t s!\tilde{a})$ and $w \hat{o}^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} d i a^{\prime}$ o cousin! SS.14, 15 (beside wak'dã). The stem ham- with its characteristic $-i$ - is used as the vocative: hami o father! 70.5; 71.7; also o son! Quite unexplained is the not otherwise occurring $-i$ - in the vocative of mot - SON-IN-LAW: mot "ia' 166.6, 7. As already noted (see $\S S 8,2$ ), nouns in $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ regularly take an $-i$ - after the added- $k!-$ of possessive forms: $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p \cdot i k!-i-$.
4. -u-. Only a few nouns have been found to contain this element as their characteristic. They arc:
> $\bar{\imath}$ - $\overline{\mathrm{u}}-x$ - hand 58.2 ; 86.13 (incorporated $\bar{\imath}$-)
> gwit! $\bar{\imath}$-ū-x- wrist ${ }^{2}$ (cf. variant gwit!i-n-)
> ha-u-x-woman's private parts 10s.4; 130.8 (incorporated ha-)
> $t^{\prime} g \bar{a}$-u- earth, land $55.3,4 ; 56.4$ (absolute $t^{*} g \tilde{a} 73.9,11,13$ )
> -t!omxa`u wife's parent (cf. t!omxi'xa his wife's parent 154.16; 164.19; see footnote, sub 3).

[^52]The pre-pronominal element $-x$ - is in some words appended directly to the stem or stem + derivational suffix; in others, to one of the noun-characteristics -(a) $n,-i$, and $-u$ (never - $a$ ). A considerable number of words may or may not have the $-x$ - after their characteristic; a few show variation between $-a$ - and $-x$-; and but a very small number have $-x$ - with or without preceding characteristic (e. g., gel-x-, gel-gan-, and gel-gan- $x$ - breast). Examples of $-x$ - without preceding characteristic are:

```
dag-ax-head \({ }^{1} 90.12,13\); 116.8; 188.4, 5 (incorporated dak*-)
sal-x- foot 120.18 (incorporat \({ }^{\text {ed }}\) sal-)
gwel-x- leg 15.15; 86.18; 122.10; 160.17 (incorporated form
    gwel-)
\(d e^{e}-\mathrm{x}\) - lips (incorporated \(d e^{e}\)-) 186.18
gwen-ha- \(u\)-x- nape (incorporated gwen-ha-u-)
ei-x- canoe (absolute e \(e \bar{\tau}\) )
\(d \bar{\imath} \bar{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} m o-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{hips}\) (incorporated \(d \bar{\tau} \tau^{\varepsilon} m o\)-)
liugw-ax- face
bok'dan-x- neck (absolute bo'k'dan)
\(h \bar{a}^{a} n-\mathrm{x}-{ }^{2}\) brothers 136.7
```

Rather more common than nouns of this type seem to be examples of $-x$ - with preceding characteristic, such as have been already given in treating of the noun-characteristics. A few bodypart nouns in $-x$ - seem to be formed from local third personal possessive forms ( $-d a$ ) ; e. g., $d \bar{\imath}^{\epsilon} \varepsilon l d a-x-d e k^{\circ}$ my forehead from $d \bar{\imath}^{\prime \epsilon} a l d a$ at his forehead (but also $d i^{i}{ }^{i} a^{l} l-t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ with first personal singular possessive ending directly added to stem or incorporated form $d \bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} a l-$ ); da-k!olo'ida-x-dek' му снеeк is evidently quite parallel in formation. Body-part nouns with pre-pronominal $-x$ - end in this element when, as sometimes happens, they occur absolutely (neither incorporated nor provided with personal endings). Examples of such forms follow:
haũx woman's private parts 130.19
da'gax head
$y \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a l x$ teeth 57.4
dayawa'nt!ixi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} \bar{u} x$ other hand 86.13
gwelx dayawa'nt!ixi other leg 86.18

[^53]
## 4. Possessive Suffixes (§§ 90-93)

## § 90. GENERAL REMARKS

The possessive suffixes appended to the noun embrace elements for the first and second persons singular and plural and for the third person; the form expressing the latter is capable of further amplifieation by the addition of an element indicating the identity of the possessor with the subject of the elause (corresponding to Latin suus as contrasted with $\bar{e} i u s)$. This element may be further extended to express plurality. Altogether four distinct though genetically related series of possessive pronominal affixes are found, of which three are used to express simple ownership of the noun modified; the fourth is used only with nouns preceded by pre-positives and with local adverbial stems. The former set includes a special scheme for most terms of relationship, and two other schemes for the great mass of nouns, that seem to be fundamentally identical and to have become differentiated for phonetic reasons. None of these four pronominal schemes is identical with either the objective or any of the subjective series found in the verb, though the pronominal forms used with prepositives are very nearly coincident with the subjective forms found in the future of Class II intransitives:
ha-wilidẽ in my house, like $s \cdot a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t e^{e}$ I shall stand $h a-w i l \bar{u}^{\prime \prime} d a$ in his house, like $s^{\prime} a^{\prime} s \cdot a n t t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ he will stand

The following table gives the four possessive schemes, together with the suffixes of Class II future intransitives, for comparison: ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | Terms of relationship | Scheme II | Scheme III | With prepositives | Future intransitives II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | - | ui- | $-d k^{\prime}$ | $-{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | $-d t^{2}$ | -dec |
| Second person | . . | ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon t^{*}$ | $-d c^{6}$ | $-^{\prime} \varepsilon \ell^{\prime}$ | $-d a^{\varepsilon}$ | $-d a^{2}$ |
| Third person | - . . | $-1 a,-a$ | $-d a$ | $=^{\prime},-l^{\prime}$ | $-{ }^{\prime} d a$ | -da |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| First person | - . - | $-d a^{\prime} m$ | $-d a^{\prime} m$ | $-d u^{\prime} m$ | $-d a^{\prime} m$ | -( $p^{\prime}$ )igam |
| Second person | - • - | st'ban | $-d a b a^{\ell} n$ | -'t'ban | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-d a b a t n \\ -{ }^{+} \ell \ell^{\prime} b a n\end{array}\right.$ | $\left.\right\|^{-d a b a t}$ |
| Singular reflexive: Third person | - . | -ragwa, -agua | -dagu'a | -towa | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-{ }^{\prime} \text { dagua } \\ -{ }^{\prime} t^{\prime} \text { groa }\end{array}\right.$ |  |
| Plural reflexive: <br> Third person | - . | -ragu'an, -aguan | -daguan | -'t'guan | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-^{\prime} \text { dagu'an } \\ -^{\prime} \text { 'tgu'an }\end{array}\right.$ |  |

${ }^{1}$ A complete comparative table of all pronominal forms is given in Appendix $A$.

It will be observed that the main difference between the last two schemes lies in the first person plural; the first scheme is entirely peculiar in the first person singular and third person. The first person plural possessive suflix ( $-d a^{\prime} m$ ) resembles the endings of the subjective future of the same person (-iga'm, -anaga'm) in the falling accent; evidently there is a primary element $-a^{\prime} m$ back of these various endings which has amalgamated with other suffixes. As seen from the table, reflexive suffixes exist only for the third person. The plural reflexive in -gwan has often reciprocal significance:
$w \cdot u^{\prime} l x d a g w a n$ their own enemies ( $=$ they are enemies)
The suflixes of the first and second person plural may also have reciprocal significance:
wulxda'm ébi'k' we are enemies (lit., our enemies we are) ef. 180.13

## §91. TERMS OF RELATIONSHIP

ham- (ma-) father, hin- (ni-) mother, k!as- maternal grandparent, and beyan- daughter may be taken as types of the nouns that form this group. ${ }^{1}$

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . | u'ha'm | wihi'n | uik!asi' | wibeya'n |
| Second person. | hami's $\ell^{\prime}$ | $h i^{\prime} n^{\prime \prime} t^{*}$ | k!asi't ${ }^{\prime}$ | beya'nte' |
| Third jerson | $m a^{\prime} \mathrm{ra}$ | $n i^{\prime} \times 1$ | $k \cdot a^{\prime} s a$ | $b c y a^{\prime} n$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . | hamida'm | hinda'm | k!asida'm | beyanda'm |
| Second person. | hami'tt'ban | hi'nt' ban | k!asi'\& ${ }^{\prime}$ ban | beya'nst'ban |
| Singular reflexive: <br> Third person. | ma'zagua | ni'sagwa | k!a'sagwa | beya'nt'gua |
| 'lural reflexive: <br> Third person. | ma'sqguan | ni'raguan | k:a'saguan | beya'nt'guan |
| Voeative . . . . . | hami | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} h_{i n d}(\tilde{e} \\ {[s \cdot n \tilde{a}]} \end{array}\right\}$ | k!asã | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \{\operatorname{lin} d \tilde{\ell} \\ s \cdot n \tilde{a}\} \end{array}\right.$ |

The first two of these are peculiar in that they each show a double stem; the first form (ham-, 7in-) is used in the first and second persons, the second (ma-, ni-) in the third person. Despite the phonetically symmetrical proportion ham-: ma-=hin-: ni-, the two words are not quite parallel in form throughout, in that hin- does not show the characteristic - $i$ - found in certain of the forms of ham-.

[^54]Of the other words belonging to this group, only that for friend shows, or seems to show, a double stem: wik! $\bar{u}^{u} y a^{\prime} m$ my friend and $k!\bar{u}^{\prime} y a m$ o friend! $31.6,8 ; 32.4,6$ but $k!\bar{u}^{u} y a^{\prime} p x a$ his friend 190.2, 4 and $k!\bar{u} y a b a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{2}$ (with inorganic rather than characteristic $a$ ) your friend 198.2. Irregular is also wi-k! $\bar{o}^{u} x a^{\prime}$ my son's wife's Parents: $k!\bar{o}^{u} x a^{\prime} m$-xa his son's wife's parents 178.9 , in which we have either to reckon with a double stem, or else to consider the $-m$ - of the latter form a noun-characteristic. Other terms of relationship which, like hin-, append all the personal endings without at the same time employing a characteristic are:
$w \bar{a}^{a}$ - younger brother $42.1 ; 64.4$ (also $t^{\prime} a w \bar{a}^{a}$ - younger sister 58.1, 5; 188.10)
$k!e^{e} b$ - husband's parent
wayau-daughter-in-law ([ ?] formed according to verb-type 11 from way-sleep) 56.8, 9
$s \cdot i y \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime}$ - woman's sister's husband or husband's brother
hasd- ${ }^{1}$ man's sister's husband or wife's brother 152.22
$k!\bar{u} y a\left\{\begin{array}{c}m- \\ b-\end{array}\right\}$ friend $180.13 ; 196.19 ; 198.2$
beyan- daughter 13.2; 70.1, 4; 118.1, 4 belongs, morphologically speaking, to the terms of relationship only because of its first personal singular form; all its other forms (the vocatives really belong to hin-) are built up according to Scheme III.

As far as known, only terms of relationship possess vocative forms, though their absence can not be positively asserted for other types of nouns. The great majority of these vocatives end in- $\tilde{a}$, which, as in $w \tilde{a}$ o younger brother! may be the lengthened form with rising accent of the final vowel of the stem, or, as in $k!a s a ̃$ o grandmother! $16.3,5,6 ; 17.2 ; 154.18$ added to the stem, generally with loss of the characteristic $-i$-, wherever found. wayau-and $s i y \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime}-$, both of which lack a characteristic element, employ as vocative the stem with rising accent on the $a$ - vowel: wayaũ o daughter-in-haw! and s iyás $p^{\text {a }}$ o brother-in-law! (said by woman). This method of forming the vocative is in form practically equivalent to the addition of $-\tilde{a} . \quad s \cdot n \tilde{a}^{2}$ mamma! and haik! a o wife! ifusband! are vocatives without corresponding noun-stems provided with pronominal suflixes. beyandaUGiter and $k^{\prime} a b a$ - son, on the other hand, have no vocative

[^55]derived from the same stem, but employ the vocative form of mother and father respectively. Of other vocatives, $k!\bar{u}^{\prime} y \mathrm{yam}^{1}$ o friend! $31.6,8 ; 32.4,6$ is the bare stem; hami $70.5 ; 71.7$, the stem with added characteristic-i-; hindé o mother! daughter! 56.7; 76.10, $13 ; 186.14$ is quite peculiar in that it makes use of the first personal singular ending ( $-d \bar{e}$ ) peculiar to nouns with possessive suffix and preceding pre-positive. Only two other instances of a nominal use of -dé without pre-positive or local adverb have been found: mo't $t^{\prime} e^{e} \mathrm{MY}$ son-in-law! (as vocative) 164.19; and $k^{\prime} w i^{\prime} n a x d e ̀$ my folks, relathons, which otherwise follows Scheme II (e. g., third person $k^{\prime}$ wi'naxd $\bar{a}^{a}$ ).

The normal pronominal suffix of the third person is $-x a ;-a$ is found in only four cases, $k!a^{\prime} s a$ mes maternal grandparent, $h a a^{\prime} s a$ his maternal uncle, $t^{\prime} a^{\prime} d a$ his paternal aunt, and $h a^{\prime}$ s $d a$ mis brother-in-Law. The first two of these can be readily explained as assimilated from ${ }^{*} k!a^{\prime}$ sxa and ${ }^{*} h a^{\prime}$ sxa (see § 20, 3): *t'adxa and *hasdxa, however, should have become ${ }^{*} t^{\prime} a^{\prime} s a$ and $* h a^{\prime} s a$ respectively. The analogy of the first two, which were felt to be equivalent to stem $+-a$, on the one side, and that of the related forms in $-d-$ (e. g., t'ad $\tilde{a}$ and hasd $\tilde{a}$ ) on the other, made it possible for $t^{\prime} a^{\prime} d a$ and $h a^{\prime} s d a$ to replace ${ }^{*} t a^{\prime} s a$ and ${ }^{*} h a^{\prime} s a$, the more so that a necessary distinction in form was thus preserved between ha'sa his maternal uncle and $h a^{\prime} s d a$ (instead of $* h a^{\prime} s a$ ) mis brother-in-law.
The difference in signification between the third personal forms in -xa and -xagwa (similarly for the other pronominal sehemes) will be readily understood from what has already been said, and need not be enlarged upon:
$m a^{\prime} x a \bar{w}^{a}-h i m i^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he spoke to his (some one else's) father
ma'xagwa w $\bar{a}^{\alpha}$-himi't' he spoke to his own father
There is small doubt that this $-g w a$ is identical with the indirect reflexive -gwa of transitive verbs with incorporated object. Forms in -gwan seem to refer to the plurality of either possessor or object possessed:
k'aba'xaywan their own son or his (her) own sons
eĩxdagwan their own canoe or his own canoes
The final $-n$ of these forms is the indefinite plural -an discussed below ( $\$ 99$ ). Plural (?) -gwan is found also in verb forms (144.12; 150.24).

[^56]§ 92. SCHEMES II AND III
As examples may be taken dagax- head, which follows Scheme II, and wili- house, dana- rock, t!ibagwan- liver, and $x \bar{a}^{a} h a m-$ back, which follow Scheme III.


A third person plural -dan also occurs, as in dümhak' ${ }^{\text {w }}$ dan mis slain ones or their slain one 180.2.

Scheme II is followed by the large class of nouns that have a prepronominal $-x$-, besides a considerable number of nouns that add the endings directly to the stem. Noun-characteristics may not take the endings of Scheme II unless followed by a $-x$ - (thus $-a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} k$ and -anxde $k^{\prime}$ '; - $i^{\prime} t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ and -ixde ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ ). Examples of Scheme II nouns without preceding - $x$ - are:
$a$-is $\cdot d e^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ my property (though $-s^{\prime}$ - may be secondarily derived from $-s \cdot x$ - or $-t x$-) $23.2,3 ; 154.18,19,20 ; 158.4$
$m^{\prime} t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ my son-in-law (152.9) (incorporated mot -)
$s e^{\prime} l l^{\prime} e k k^{\prime}$ my writing, paint (absolute $s e^{\prime e} l$ )
he' ${ }^{\prime} l$ lt' $^{\prime} e k^{\prime}$ my song ( 164.16 ; 182.6) (absolute $h e^{\prime e l} 106.7$ )
$t s s^{\prime} i^{\prime} k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} d e k$ my meat (44.3, $6 ; 170.6$ )
wila'ut'ek' my arrow ( $45.13 ; 154.18$ ) (absolute wila $u 22.5 ; 2$. 2,2 ; 77.5)
ga'lt ek' my bow ( $154.19 ; 190.22$ ) (absolute $\left.g a^{\prime} l^{s}\right)$
la'psdek' my blanket (absolute la'ps 98.14, 15, 19, 21)
ts ! ixi-maha'it ek' my horse (absolute ts ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $i^{\prime} x i$ i-maha ${ }^{\prime}$ i)
Scheme III is followed by all nouns that have a characteristic immediately preceding the personal suflix or, in nearly all cases, whose stem, or stem + derivative suffix, ends in -a- (e. g., t!ela't' $k$ ' my shinny-stick [from tela']), - - -, -ei- (e. g., ts teleitt' $h^{\prime}$ my eye


[^57]my forehead [from die ${ }^{i \varepsilon} a l-$ ]). The third person is, at least superficially, without ending in all nouns of this group whose pre-pronominal form is not monosyllabic. The third personal form is characterized by a falling accent on the final syllable, $-a$ - and $-i$ being lengthened to $-\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ and $-\bar{i}^{\prime i}$ respectively. Other forms are:
ts ${ }^{\prime}$ !ele' $i$ his eye 27.8 ; 86.7, 9 ; (cf. 54.6)
d $\bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ his testicles $130.8 ; 136.5$
$x \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} m$ his urine
gwit $i^{\prime} n$ his wrist
There is no doubt, however, that these forms without ending originally had a final $-t^{\circ}$, as indicated by the analogy of third personal forms in $-d a$ in Scheme II, and as proved by the preservation of the $-t t^{\prime}$ - before the reflexive suffix -gwa and in monosyllabic forms:
$p!\bar{a}^{\prime a}{ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ his liver 120.2, 15
$n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ her teats $30.14 ; 32.7$
$t . i^{\prime} i^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ her husband (17.13)
$s \bar{a}^{\prime a} t^{\prime}$ his discharge of wind 166.8
Though the conditions for the loss of a final $-t^{\prime}$ are not fully understood, purely phonetic processes having been evidently largely intercrossed by analogic leveling, it is evident that the proportion wilīi his house: $n \bar{\imath}^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ her teats $=s \cdot a s \cdot i n i t$ he stands: $w i t{ }^{\prime}$ he travels about represents a by no means aecidental phonctic and morphologic correspondence between noun and verb (Class II intransitives). The falling pitch is peculiar to the noun as contrasted with the verbform (cf. he'el song, but hél sing!). Monosyllabic stems of Scheme III seem to have a rising accent before - $t$ gwa as well as in the first person. Thus:
lãt'gwa his own excrement 77.1
t!it' $g w a$ her own husband (despite $t \cdot \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ ) 45.14; (59.16; 60.2); 128.22

Nouns with characteristic $-i$ - prefer the parallel form in $-i^{\prime}-x-d a g w a$ to that in $-i^{\prime}-t^{\prime}$ gwa. Thus:
$b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i^{\prime} x l a g w a$ his own arm, rather than $b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i^{\prime} t ' g w a$, despite $b \bar{u}^{u} b i n i t^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ My ARM
The limitation of each of the two schemes to certain definite phonetically determined groups of nouns (though some probably merely apparent contradictions, such as $g a^{\prime} l-t^{1} t^{i} e k^{\prime}$ my bow and $d \bar{i}^{i \varepsilon} a l-t^{\prime} k^{\circ}$

[^58]my forehead, occur), together with the evident if not entirely symmetrical parallelism between the, suffixes of both, make it practically certain that they are differentiated, owing to phonetic causes, from a single scheme. The $-a$ - of $-d a$ (-daywa) and $-d a b a^{\varepsilon} n$ (as contrasted with $-t^{\prime}$ and $-^{-} t^{\prime} b a n$ ) may be inorganic in origin, and intended to support phonetically difficult consonant combinations:
gũxda his wife (from *gũx-t') 13.2; 43.15; 49.6, like $\bar{\imath}$-lasga' touch it (from stem lasg-)
The $-e-$, however, of $-d e k^{*} 32.6$ and $-d e^{\varepsilon} 31.1 ; 59.3$ can not be thus explained. It is not improbable that part of the endings of Scheme III are due to a loss of an originally present vowel, so that the primary scheme of pronominal suffixes may have been something like:

Singular: First person, $-d-e k^{\prime}$; second person, $-d-e^{\epsilon}$; third person, $-t^{\circ}$. Reflexive: Third person, $-t^{\circ}-g w a$. Plural: First person, $-d-a^{\prime} m$; second person, $-t^{\prime}-b a^{\varepsilon} n$.

It can hardly be entirely accidental that all the suffixes are characterized by a dental stop; perhaps an amalgamation has taken place between the original pronominal elements and an old, formerly significant nominal element $-d-$.

## § 93. POSSESSIVES WITH PRE-POSITIVES

As examples of possessive affixes attached to nouns with prepositives and to local elements may be taken $d a k^{\prime}-$ over, $w a{ }^{1}$ тo, haw-an- under, and ha- ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} \bar{u}-$ In hand.

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First person . . . . | $d a k^{\prime} d \bar{e}$ over me | wade to me | hawandẽ under me | hasīude in my hand |
| Second person . . | dãk'daE | wada's | hawanda' $\frac{1}{}$ | $h a^{\varepsilon} i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon}$ |
| Third person . . | $d a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d \bar{a} a d a$ | $w \vec{a}^{\prime} a d a$ | hawa'nda | $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i}^{\prime} u \bar{d} d a$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |
| First person . . . . | $d a k^{\prime} d a^{\prime} m$ | wada'm | hawanda'm | hasiūda'm |
| Sccond person . . | $d a^{\prime} k^{*} d a b a^{\varepsilon} n$ | $w a^{\prime} a \varepsilon t^{\prime}$ ban | hawa'net'ban | hasti'xt $t^{\prime}$ ban |
| Singular reflexive: <br> Third person | da'k'dagwa | wa't'gu'a | hawa'nt'gu'a | hati'uttguca |
| Plural reflexive: <br> Third person | $d a^{\prime} k^{*}$ dagwan | wa't'gwan | hawa'nt'guan | hati'üt'gwan |

The apparently double ending -d $\bar{a}^{a} d d$ of the third person of $d a k{ }^{\prime}-$ is not entirely isolated (cf. $7 a-y e^{c} w a^{\prime} x-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ in thenir time of returning; $h e^{\prime \varepsilon}-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ beyond him), but can not be explained. The use of

[^59]-dagwa and $-d a b a^{\varepsilon} n$ on the one hand, and of $-t^{\prime} g w a$ and $\varsigma^{\varepsilon} t^{t} b a n$ on the other, is determined by the same phonetic conditions as differentiate Schemes II and III. A third personal plural in $-t^{\prime}$ an (apparently $=-d-$ $+-h a n$ ) is also found: $d e^{\prime} t^{\prime} t^{\prime} a n$ in front of tiem 190.13 (but $d e^{\prime} e d a$ before him 59.14); xīa -s ogw $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ an between them (see below, p. 240 ) ; wáat'an то тнем 160.15. A form in $-x a$ seems also to occur with third personal plural signification: wa'xa ts ! ini ${ }^{-1} t s s^{\prime}$ !anx He cot angry at them; dihaũxa after them, behind their backs 132.13.
The number of local elements that directly take on possessive suffixes seems fairly considerable, and includes both such as are bodypart and local prefixes in the verb (e. g., dak -) and such as are used in the verb only as local prefixes (e. g., wa-, dal-); a few seem not to be found as verbal prefixes. Not all adverbially used verbal prefixes, however, can be inflected in the manner of dak'dé and wadẽ (e. g., no *hade can be formed from ha-). A number of body-part and local stems take on a noun-characteristie:
haw-an- under (from ha-u-)
$x \bar{a}^{a}$-ham-dē ${ }^{1}$ about my waist (from $x \bar{a}^{a}$-)
The local elements that have been found capable of being followed by pronominal affixes are:
dak'dé over me (56.9; 110.18); 186.4, 5
wadè to me (56.15; $60.1 ; 63.14 ; 88.13 ; 150.18 ; 194.1)$

gwelda' under it 190.17
$y w e^{\prime} n d a$ (in Gwenda $y u^{\prime} s \bar{s}^{a}=$ being at its nape, i. e., east of it)
ditido close in back of him, at his anus 138.2
dindé behind me ( $?=$ verb-prefix $d \bar{\varepsilon}$ - anus, behind + noun-characteristic $-n$-) ( $86.9 ; 138.3 ; 170.1$ )
hawandè under me ( $71.1,5,12$ )
geldẽ in front of me, for (in behalf of) me
dedee in front of me (59.14; 124.20)
$h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ yadè around me
$h e^{\prime} \varepsilon \varepsilon d \vec{a}^{a} d a$ beyond him 148.9
ha'nda across, through it
da'tt'gwan among themselves 98.2
ywen-ha-udē at my nape; gwen-haũt gwa in back of his own neck 75.2
di-ha-ude after I went away, behind my back (132.10; 186.8; 192.4)
$d \bar{i}^{i \varepsilon}-a^{\prime} l d a$ over his eyes, on his forehead (172.3)
$n \bar{o} t s!a d a m$ neighboring us ( $=$ stem nōts!- next door + nouncharacteristic -a-) (98.13)
When used as local pre-positives with nouns, these local stems drop their characteristic aflixes, and thus appear in the same form in which they are found in the verb (e. g., $x \tilde{a}^{a}$-gwelde between my legs), except that ha-u- UNDER as pre-positive adds an -a-: hawa- (a. r., hawa-salde under my feet). The various pre-positives found prefixed to nouns with possessive suflixes are:

```
ha- in
hawa- under
dak*- over
d\overline{i}}\mp@subsup{}{}{i}\mathrm{ - above
d\overline{a}}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}\mathrm{ - alongside
al- to, at
de-, da- in front of
x\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{a}\mathrm{ - between, in middle of}
gwen- at nape, east of
di}\mp@subsup{\tau}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ - at rear end, west of
dal-away from
han- across (?)
gel-facing
gwel- under, down from
```

The noun itself, as has already been seen, appears with its characteristic. t'gã earth, however, perhaps for some unknown phonetic reason, does not retain its characteristic $-u$ - before the possessive
 194.4) Examples of forms of the type haciūde in my hand are:
$h a-d \bar{\imath}^{\prime} t^{\prime} y w a$ in back of him, in his anus (incorporated $d \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$-) 94.11
d $\bar{a}^{\alpha}$-yawadé ${ }^{1}$ aside from me (literally, alongside my ribs)
dak'-s'aldẽ on top of my feet 198.6; (cf. 44.8)
hawa-lüülidẽ under my throat
daki-s-in $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ d $d a$ over his nose 144.11
al-guxwida'm wõk' we have enough of it (literally, to-our-hearts it-has-arrived) 128.1
$h a-w i l i d e \bar{e}$ in my house (64.2; 88.18; 120.14)
$h a-y e^{e}$ waxdẽ in my returning ( $=$ when I return) (124.15)
d $\bar{\imath}$-delya'nt'ywa behind himself, at his own anus ( $\overline{7} 2.10$ )
al-wa $\bar{a}^{a} d i^{\prime} t t^{\prime} y w a n$ at one another (literally, to each other's bodies; $w_{\bar{a}}{ }^{a} d-i$ - body) ( $\left.96.22 ; 146.2 ; 190.19\right)$

[^60]ha-sa'lda (thinking) of her (literally, in her footsteps) 142.13
$d \bar{\imath}{ }^{i}$-dandé over my ear
dī̀-ts !eleidé over my eyes
ha-dede in my mouth (170.2; 182.17)
guen-bolidandé at my nape
$x \bar{a}^{a}-s \cdot i n i d e \tilde{e}$ resting on my nose (like spectacles)
gwel- ${ }^{\varepsilon} w \bar{a}^{a} d i d e ́ d$ down from my body 198.4
Several such forms with apparentlysimple local signification contain after the pre-positive a noun stem not otherwise found:
$x \bar{a}^{a}-s \cdot o g w i d a^{\prime} m$ between us
ha- ${ }^{\text {® }}$ winidè inside of me (73.1; 92.17)
di-b $\bar{o}^{u} w i d e$ at my side
$d a^{\varepsilon}$ oldidẽ close to me (124.9) (cf. adverb $d a^{\varepsilon} o^{\prime} l$ near by 102.6)
Such a non-independent noun is probably also $h a-u$ - in gwen-ha-uand di-ha-u-, both of which were listed above as simple local elements.

Instances also occur, though far less frequently, of pre-positives with two nouns or noun and adjective; the first noun generally stands in a genitive relation to the second (cf., § 88, the order in juxtaposed nouns), while the second noun is followed by the third personal possessive - $d a$. Such are:
!wen-t $g \bar{a}^{a}-b o^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime} d a n-d a$ at nape of earth's neck ( $=$ east) 79.6; 102.4
d $\bar{\imath}-t^{\prime} \fallingdotseq \bar{a}^{a}-y u^{\prime} k!u m \bar{a}^{a}-d a$ at rear of earth's tail ( $=$ west) $146.1 ; 198.9$
ha-t $!\bar{a}^{a}-y a w \bar{a}^{\prime a}-d a$ in earth's rib ( $=$ north) (cf. 194.9)
d $\bar{a}^{a}-x i-t s!e k ' t s!i g \bar{\imath}^{\prime \prime} \cdots \neq l a$ alongside water's backbone ( $=$ not far from shore)
$x \bar{u}^{a}-x i-t s!e k \cdot t s!i g \bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a$ in middle of water's backbone ( $=$ equally distant from either shore) 112.4
Ha-y $\bar{a}^{a} l-b \bar{a}^{\prime} l s-d a^{1}$ in its long (i. e., tall) (bãls) pines (yãl) (= placename) 114.9
$D \bar{i}-p!o l-t s!i^{\prime} l-d a$ over ( $d \bar{\imath}^{i}$ ) its red (ts!il) bed (p!ol ditch) ( = Jump-off Joe creek)
Al-dan-k!olo'i-da ${ }^{1}$ to its rock (da'n) basket ( $k!o l o \tilde{\imath}$ ) (= name of mountain)
Rather difficult of explanation is de-de-wilit ${ }^{\prime 2}-d a$ DOOR, AT DOOR OF hotse $63.11 ; 77.15 ; 176.6$, which is perhaps to be literally rendered in rront of (first de-) house (wili) its ( $-d a$ ) moutif (second de-) (i. e., in front of doorway). The diflieulty with this explanation is that it necessitates the interpretation of the second noun as a genitive in relation to the first.

[^61]
## 5. Local Phroses (\$§ 9-4-06)

§ 94. GENERAL REMARKS
Local phrases without possessive pronouns (i. e., of the type in the house, across the river) may be constructed in three ways.

A local element with third personal possessive suflix may be used to define the position, the noun itself appearing in its absolute form as an appositive of the incorporated pronominal suffix:
> $d a^{\prime} n$ gwelda' rock under-it (i. e., under the rock)
> $d a^{\prime} n$ handa through the rock
> $d a n h \bar{a}^{\prime a s} y \bar{a}^{a} d a$ around the rock
> dan da $a^{\varepsilon}$ old $\bar{\imath}^{\prime i} d a$ near the rock
> dan ge'lda in front of the rock
> dan $d i^{\prime} n d a$ behind the rock

There is observable here, as also in the method nearly always employed to express the objective and genitive relations, the strong tendency characteristic of Takelma and other American languages to make the personal pronominal affixes serve a purely formal purpose as substitutes for syntactic and local cases.

The second and perhaps somewhat more common method used to build up a local phrase is to prefix to the noun a pre-positive, the noun itself appearing in the form it assumes before the addition of the normal pronominal suffixes (Schemes II and III). Thus some of the preceding local phrases might have been expressed as:
gwel-dana' under the rock
han-dana' through the rock
$h \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} y a-d a n a^{\prime}$ around the rock
gel-dana' in front of the rock
$d \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon}$-dana' behind the rock
These forms have at first blush the appearance of prepositions followed by a local case of the noun, but we have already seen this explanation to be inadmissible.

A third and very frequent form of local phrase is the absolute noun followed by a postposition. The chief difference between this and the preceding method is the very considerable amount of individual freedom that the postposition possesses as contrasted with the rigidly incorporated pre-positive. The majority of the postpositions consist of a pre-positive preceded by the general demonstrative ga- тhat. da'n gada' $k{ }^{\prime}$ over the rock is thus really to be analyzed as rock that-over, an appositional type of local $3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40, pt 2-12-16
phrase closely akin in spirit to that first mentioned: $d a n d a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ rock over-it. daki-dana', according to the second method, is also possible.
§ 95. PRE-POSITIVES
The pre-positives employed before nouns without possessive suffixes are identical with those already enumerated (§ 94) as occurring with nouns with possessives, except that hawa- under seems to be replaced by gwel-. It is doubtful also if hees beyond (also hanAcross ?) can occur with nouns followed by possessive affixes. Examples of pre-positives in local phrases are:
han-yela'm across the river
han-waxya' $n$ across the creek
han-p!iya` across the fire 168.19 \(h a^{\prime}\)-waxga' \(n\) in the creek ha-xiya' in the water \(58.6 ; 60.3 ; 61.11 ; 63.16\) ha-bini in the middle 176.15 (cf. de-bi' \(n\) first, last 150.15) ha-p!ola' in the ditch ha-gwāa \({ }^{a} l a^{\prime} m\) in the road \(62.6 ; 15 S .19\) ha-s•ugwan in the basket (cf. 124.18) \(x a^{\prime}-s \cdot \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l\) halfway up the mountain \(x \bar{a}^{a}\)-gulma' \(n\) among oaks \(x \bar{a}^{a}-x o\) ( \(y \bar{a}^{\prime a}\) ) (right) among firs (cf. 94.17) gwel-xi'ya under water 156.19 gwel-t'gā \(\hat{u}\) down to the ground 176.8 \(d \bar{a}^{a}-t s!\bar{a}^{a} w a^{`} n\) by the ocean 59.16
$d \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} g \bar{a} \bar{u}$ alongside the field
gwen-t'gāa east of the field $55.4 ; 56.4$
gwen-waxga' $n$ east along the creek
Gwen- $p^{\prime} u \tilde{n} k^{\prime \prime}$ place-name ( $=$ cast of rotten [ $\left.p^{\prime} u^{\prime} n\right]$ ) 114.14
de-wili in front of the house ( $=$ out of doors) 70.4
$d a k^{\prime}-s^{\cdot} \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ on top of the mountain 188.15
dak'-wili over the house $59.2 ; 140.5$
dak'-p!iya' over the fire 24.6, 7
he ${ }^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}-s^{\cdot} \cdot \bar{o}^{u} \mathrm{ma}{ }^{\prime} l$ beyond the mountain $124.2 ; 196.13$
al-s $\bar{o}^{u}$ mal at, to the mountain $136.22 ; 152.8 ; 192.5,7,8$
$h \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} y a-p!i y a^{\prime}$ on both sides of the fire 176.12
$h \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} y a-s \cdot \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ on both sides of the mountain 152.2
$d i-t^{\prime} g \bar{a} \bar{u}$ west of the field 55.3
di-waxga'n some distance west along the creek
$d \bar{i}-s \cdot \bar{o}^{u} m a^{\prime} l$ at foot ([ ? ] = in rear) of the mountain
$D^{-i}{ }^{1}$-dala'm place-name ( $=$ over the rock [?])
Gel-yălk" place-name ( $=$ abreast of pines) 112.13

[^62]A few cases of compound pre-positives occur:
ha-gwel-p!iya' under the ashes (literally, in-under-the-fire) 118.4
ha-gwel-xiya` at bottom of the water $60.12,14$
ha-gwel-t ${ }^{\prime} e^{\prime e}$ mt $t^{\prime} g a m$ down in dark places 196.7
An example of a pre-positive with a noun ending in pre-pronominal $-x$ is afforded by $h a-d \bar{a}^{a} n x$ molhi't' IN-EAR RED $14.4 ; 15.13 ;$ ss.2 (alongside of $d \bar{a}^{a}$ molhi't red-eared $15.12 ; 86.6$ ). It is somewhat doubtful, because of a paucity of illustrative material, whether lucal phrases with final pre-pronominal $-x$ can be freely used.

## § 96. POSTPOSITIONS

Not all pre-positives can be suffixed to the demonstrative ga- to form postpositions; e. g., no *gaha', *gaha'n, *gagwe'l are found in Takelma. Very few other words (adverbs) are found in which what are normally pre-positives occupy the second place: mé ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ al toward THIS DIRECTION 58.9; ye' $k^{*} d a l$ in the brush 71.3. Instead of -ha in, -na`u is used, an element that seems restricted to the postposition gana'u in. The ga-postpositions that have been found are:

```
gada' \({ }^{\prime}\) on 48.15; 49.1
\(g i d \bar{\imath}^{i}\left(=g a-d \bar{\imath}^{i}\right)\) on, over 49.12
\(g i d i^{-\varepsilon}\left(=g a-d i^{-\varepsilon}\right)\) in back
gana'u in 47.2; 61.13; 64.4; 110.9
gada'l among 94.12
\(g a^{\varepsilon} a^{`} l\) to, for, at, from 43.6; 44.4; 55.6; 58.11
gad \(\bar{a}^{a}\) by, along 60.1
gaxāa between
gede in front (?) 28.8, 9
```

and possibly:
gasal in adverb gasa'lhi quickly 28.10; 29.14; 160.1
Examples of their use are:
wi'li gada' $k^{\prime}$ on top of the house $14.9 ; 15.5$
da'n gada'k' on the rock
$t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}$ gid $\bar{\imath}$ upon the land 49.12
$p!\bar{\imath}^{i}$ gada` in between the fire 94.12 da'n gada'l among rocks \(d a^{\prime} n\) gada alongside the rocks (cf. 60.1) wïulham-hoidigwio gad \(\bar{a}^{a}\). \(g i n i^{\prime} \varepsilon k^{*}\) he went right by where there was round-dancing (literally, menstruation-dancing-with by he-went) 106.13 ef gana' \(u\) in the canoe \(96.24 ; 112.3\) dola' gana' \(u\) in the old tree 24.1 \(w a-i w^{-\prime} t^{\prime} a^{\bullet} g a^{s} a^{\prime} l\) to the female 15.14 \(g a^{\prime}\) ga \(a^{\varepsilon} a^{\square} 7\) for that reason \(50.2 ; 124.6 ; 146.20,21 ; 188.6 ; 194.11\) bixal wisin-wis gáal ya's he goes every month (literally, month different-every at he-goes) \(d a^{\prime} n\) gaxa \(\bar{a}^{a}\) between the rocks diù gede' right at the falls 33.13 Y'ük' ya'k' wa gede \({ }^{1}\) right by Yūk'ya'k'wa 188.17 Postpositions may be freely used with nouns provided with a pos-  his nouse, cf. 194.7. There is no ascertainable difference in signification between such phrases and the corresponding pre-positive forms, dak'-elade and ha-wilīída. Sometimes a postposition takes in a group of words, in which case it may be enclitically appended to the first: \(k!i y \bar{\imath}^{\prime} x\) gan'au ba-igina'xd \(\bar{a}^{a}\) smoke in its-going-out ( \(=\) [hole] in which smoke is to go out) 176.7 Although local phrases involving a postposition are always pronounced as one phonetic unit, and the postpositions have become, psychologically speaking, so obscured in etymology as to allow of their being preceded by the demonstrative with which they are themselves compounded (cf. ga \(g a^{\varepsilon} a^{`} l\) above), they have enough individuality to render them capable of being used quasi-adverbially without a preceding noun:
gada' $k^{*} s \cdot u^{\varepsilon} w i l i t t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ I sat on him
! gadak' ts! $\bar{a}^{a} k^{\prime} t s!a^{\prime} k^{*} d e^{\varepsilon}$ I step on top of it (148.17)
gidī ${ }^{i}$ gaixywa thereon eating ( $=$ table)
gidis-hi closer and closer (literally, right in back)
 returned-having-him) 17.5
mãl yaxa aba`i dül gede'salmon-spear-shaft only in-house, spearpoint thereby 2s.7, 9
$g^{-i}$ gana' $u$ I am inside
ga'nau naga'is wili't $k^{\prime}$ he went through my house (literally, in he-did my-house [for naga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ see §69]) ef. 78.5

Other postpositions than those compounded with ga- are:
das ${ }^{\varepsilon} \downarrow$ near (ef. $d a^{\varepsilon} 0 l-$ as pre-positive in $\left.d a^{\varepsilon} o l d i d e ̃ ~ n e a r ~ m e\right): ~$ wili't $k^{\prime \prime} d a^{\varepsilon} o^{\prime} 7$ near my house
wo with (also as incorporated instrumental wa-, § 38) $25.5 ; 47.5$

[^63]ha-bini' in the middle: wili ha'-bini's in the middle of the house; $h a-b e^{e}$-bini noon (literally, in-sun $[=$ day $]$-middle) 126.21; 186.8
-di's away: eme ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} d i s$ away from here; dedewilī̀idadi's (? outside of) the door 176.6
It is peculiar that mountain-names generally have a prefix al-and a suffix -dis.

```
al-dauya\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\prime}\not=~wa-dis (cf. dauy\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\primea}\mp@subsup{k}{}{*w}}\mathrm{ supernatural helper) 172.1
al-wila'mxa-dis
al-sawēnt'a-dis
```

That both al- and -dis are felt not to be integral parts of these mountain-names is shown by such forms as hess-wila'mxa beyond Alwila'mxadis 196.14 and al-dauy $\bar{a}^{\prime a} \vec{i}^{\prime *}$. In all probability they are to be explained as local phrases, at, to (al-) . . . distant (-dis), descriptive of some natural peculiarity or resident supernatural being.

Differing apparently from other postpositions in that it requires the preceding noun to appear in its pre-pronominal form (i. e., with final $-x$ if it is provided with it in Scheme II forms) is $w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ WITHout, which would thus seem to occupy a position intermediate between the other postpositions and the pre-positives. Examples are:
ts ! !elei wa'k $\mathfrak{i}^{\varepsilon}$ without eyes 26.14; 27.6
dagax $w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ without head
$y \bar{u} k!a l x w a^{\prime} k^{*} i^{\varepsilon}$ without teeth 57.4
nixa $w a^{\prime} k^{\circ} i^{\varepsilon}$ motherless
As shown by the last example, terms of relationship whose third personal possessive suffix is $-x a(-a)$ use the third personal form as the equivalent of the pre-pronominal form of other nouns (ef. also $\S 108,6)$, a fact that casts a doubt on the strictly personal character of the -xa suffix. No third personal idea is possible, e. g., in maxa $w a^{\prime} k^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} \varepsilon e^{e} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$ I am fatherless. $w a k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ is undoubtedly related to wa Witu; the $-k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ may be identical with the conditional particle (see $\$ 71$ ).

On the border-line between loosely used preposition and independent adverb are nogwa' below, down hiver from (? = no ${ }^{u}$ down river + demonstrative ga that) : nogwa wili below the house 76.7 ; and hinwa` above, UP RIVER FROM (cf. hina`u UP RIVER) : hi'nwa wiľ above the house 77.1.

[^64]
## 6. Post-mominal Elements (§§ 9\%-10\%)

## § 97. GENERAL REMARKS

Under the head of post-nominal elements are included a small group of suffixes which, though altogether without the distinct individuality characteristic of local postpositions, are appended to the fully formed noun, pronoun, or adjective, in some cases also adverb, serving in one way or another to limit or extend the range of application of one of these denominating or qualifying terms. The line of demarcation between these post-nominal elements and the more freely movable modal particles discussed below (§114) is not very easy to draw; the most convenient criterion of classification is the inability of what we have termed post-nominal elements to attach themselves to verb-forms.

## § 98. EXCLUSIVE - $t^{\prime} a$

The suffix $-t^{\prime} a$ is freely appended to nouns and adjectives, less frequently to pronouns, in order to specify which one out of a number is meant; the implication is always that the particular person, object, or quality mentioned is selected out of a number of alternative and mutually exclusive possibilities. When used with adjectives $-t^{\circ} a$ has sometimes the appearance of forming the comparative or superlative; e. g., aga (1) t!os $\bar{o}^{\prime} u^{\prime} a$ (2) this (1) is smaller (2), but such an interpretation hardly hits the truth of the matter. The sentence just quoted really signifies this is small (not large like that). As a matter of fact, $-t^{\prime} a$ is rather idiomatic in its use, and not susceptible of adequate translation into English, the closest rendering being generally a dwelling of the voice on the corresponding English word. The following examples illustrate its range of usage:
haprit! $\bar{i}^{\prime i} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ a child male (not female) (i. e., boy) $14.1 ; 156.8$
$w a-i w \imath^{\prime i} t^{\prime}$ a gá ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ yewe ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ the-woman to he-turned (i. e., he now proceeded to look at the woman, after having examined her husband) 15.14
maha'it'a $a^{\prime} n^{-\varepsilon} g w^{\bar{\imath}} n a^{\varepsilon} n a g a^{\prime i}$ the-big (brother) not in-any-way he-did (i. e., the older brother did nothing at all, while his younger brother got into trouble) 23.6; (58.3)
aga wãxat'a xebe's $n$ this his-younger-brother did-it (not he himself)
$k!w a^{\prime} l$ t a younger one $24.1 ; 58.6$
$\bar{u}^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ da dutt'a $g \bar{\imath}^{i}-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} \bar{i}^{\prime} l t s!a k^{\prime v}$ eit $e^{\varepsilon}$ he ( $\bar{a} k^{*}$ ) (is) handsome ( $\left.d \bar{u}\right)$ I-but ugly I-am
$\ddot{u}^{\prime} s^{\prime} i$ nãxdek' al-ts! $i^{\prime} l t^{\top} \bar{a}^{\text {a }}$ give-me my-pipe red-one (implying others of different color)
waga't $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} d i$ which one?
aga t!os $\bar{o}^{\prime u} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{a} \bar{i}^{\prime}$ daga yaxa maha'it'a this (is) small, that but large (cf 128.7)
$\bar{v}^{\prime}$ daga $s \cdot \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon}$ maha'it'a that-one (is) altogether-big ( $=$ that one is biggest)

It seems that, wherever possible, $-t^{\prime} a$ keeps its $t$ intact. To prevent its becoming - $d a$ (as in $\bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a$ above) an inorganic a seems to be added in:
$k!u l s a^{\prime} t \bar{a}^{a}$ soft 57.9 (cf. $k!u^{\prime} l s$ worm; more probably directly from $k!u l s a ` t$ 130.22)
§ 99. PLURAL (-t'an, -han, -k!an)
As a rule, it is not considered necessary in Takelma to specify the singularity or plurality of an object, the context generally serving to remove the resulting ambiguity. In this respect Takelma resembles many other American languages. The element -(a)n, however, is not infrequently employed to form a plural, but this plural is of rather indefinite application when the noun is supplied with a third personal possessive suffix (compare what was said above, $\S 91$, in regard to -gwan). The fact that the plurality implied by the sullix may have reference to either the object possessed or to the possessor or to both (e. g., beya'nhan his daughters or their daughter, their daugirers) makes it very probable that we are here dealing, not with the simple idea of plurality, but rather with that of reciprocity. It is probably not accidental that the plural - (a) $n$ agrees phonetically with the reciprocal element -an-found in the verb. In no case is the plural suffix necessary in order to give a word its full syntactic form; it is always appended to the absolute noun or to the noun with its full complement of characteristic and pronominal aflix.

The simple form - (a) $n$ of the suffix appears only in the third personal reflexive possessive -gwa-n (see §91) and, apparently, the third personal possessive $-t^{t}$ an of pre-positive local phrases (see p. 23s). Many absolute nouns ending in a vowel, or in $l, m$, or $n$, also nouns with personal aflixes (including pre-positives with possessive suflixes) other than that of the third person, take the form -han of the plural
suffix; the $-h$ - may be a phonetically conditioned rather than morphologically significant clement. Examples are:

Noun
sinsan decrepit old woman
ts ! $i^{\prime} x i$ dogr
ya' $p^{\prime!}$ person 176.1, 12
ei canoe 13.5; 112.3, 5
wik! ! $\bar{u}^{u} y a^{\prime} m$ my friend wits"!ã my nephew 22.1
bout ${ }^{\prime}$ bidit $k$ my orphan child nō'ts!ade neighboring to me hindé O mother! 186.14

Plural
sinsanhan
ts !ixi'han
yap! 'a'han 32.4
eihan
wik! $\bar{u}^{u} y \hat{u}^{\prime} m h a n$
wits! aîhan 23.8, 10; 150.4
bõ" t'bidit $^{\prime} \mathrm{h}$ han
no'ts!ade han
hindhan Omothers! 76.10, 13

A large number of chiefly personal words and all nouns provided with a possessive suflix of the third person take $-t^{\circ} a n$ as the plural sullix; the -t'an of loeal adverbs or nouns with pre-positives has been explained as composed of the third personal suffix $-t^{\circ}$ and the pluralizing element -han: $n \bar{o}^{\prime} t s!\bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} a n$ his neighbors. In some cases, as in wa-w ${ }^{-1} t^{\prime}$ an girls 55.16 ; 106.17, -t'an may be explained as composed of the exclusive $-t^{\prime} a$ discussed above and the plural $-n$. The fact, however, that $-t^{\prime}$ an may itself be appended both to this exclusive $-t^{\prime} a$ and to the full third personal form of nouns not provided with a pre-positive makes it evident that the $-t^{\prime} a$ - of the plural suflix $-t^{\prime} a n$ is an element distinct from either the exclusive $-t^{\prime} a$ or third personal $-t^{\prime}$. $-t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} a-n$ is perhaps etymologically as well as phonetically parallel to the unexplained $-d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ of $d a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d \bar{a}^{a} d a$ over IIM (see §93). Examples of $-t^{\prime}$ an are:

| Noun | Plural |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { lomt!i'i old man } 112.3,9 ; 114.10 ; \\ & 126.19 \end{aligned}$ | lomt $1^{\prime \prime}{ }^{i} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{an}$ |
| mologol old woman 16s.11; $170.10$ | $m o l o g o^{\prime} 7 \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ an |
| wa-iwis girl 124.5, 10 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wa-iwiw }{ }^{-\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \text { an } \quad 55.16 ; \quad 60.2 ; \\ & 106.17 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{hi}$ ' just they (cf.49.11; 138.11) | $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ it'an they |
| $t s$ ! ixi-mata $i$ horse | $t s$ ! ixi-maha'it'an |
| $l \bar{o}^{u} s^{-\prime i}$ his plaything 110.6, 11 | $l \bar{o}^{u} s^{-\bar{l}^{\prime}} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}$ an |
| $m \bar{o}^{\prime} u t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ his son-in-law | $\begin{array}{rrr} m \bar{o}^{\prime u} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a} \text { t'an } & \text { their } & \text { sister's } \\ \text { husband }{ }^{1} & 150.22 ; & 152.4,9 \end{array}$ |
| t!ela' louse (116.3, 6) | t!ela $\vec{a}^{\prime a} \mathrm{t}^{\text {a }}$ an |
| hapri-t! ${ }^{\prime} i^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ boy 14.6; 156.8, 10 | hapxi-t! ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime} \bar{a}^{a}$ t'an 160.14 |
| $\int d a p!\bar{a}^{\prime} l a-u$ youth 132.13; 190.2 | dap! $\vec{a}^{\prime} 7 a-u t^{*}$ an 132.12 |
| lbala'u voung | bala'utian |
| $w^{-1} n \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\circ} w$ old 57.1: 168.2 | $w \bar{o}^{u} n \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\cdot} u^{\prime} \operatorname{lan}$ |

1 mot - seems to indicate not only the daughter's husband, but also, in perhaps a looser sense, the relatives gained by marriage of the sister.
§ 99

The plural form $-k!a n$ is appended to nouns in $-7 \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ and to the third personal $-x a(-a)$ of terms of relationship. As $-k!t^{1}$ is appended to nouns in $-l \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a$ also before the characteristic $-i$ - followed by a possessive suffix, it is clear that $-k$ !an is a compound suffix consisting of an unexplained $-k!-$ and the plural element $-(a) n$. Examples of -k! ${ }^{\prime}$ an are:
t!īila'p'ak!an men 12S.11; 130.1, 7, 25; 132.17
$k^{\circ} a^{i \varepsilon} \bar{q}^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ ak!an women 184.13
mologoláa'pak!an old women $57.14 ; 128.3,10$ (also mologo'lt'an)
$o^{\prime}$ pxak!an her elder brothers 124.16, 20; 134.8; 138.7
$k^{*} a b a^{\prime} x a \mathrm{k}$ !an his, their sons $132.10 ; 156.14$
$m a^{\prime} x a k$ !an their father $130.19,21 ; 132.12$
t'awãxak!an their younger sister 148.5
$k!a^{\prime}$ sak!an their maternal grandmother $154.13 ; 156.8,15,18,21$

## § 100. DUAL -dil

The suffix $-d i l(-d i l)$ is appended to a noun or pronoun to indicate the duality of its occurrence, or to restrict its naturally indefinite or plural application to two. It is not a true dual in the ordinary sense of the word, but indicates rather that the person or object indicated by the noun to which it is suffixed is accompanied by another person or object of the same kind, or by a person or object mentioned before or after; in the latter case it is equivalent to and connecting two denominating terms. Examples illustrating its use are:
$g \bar{o}^{u} \mathrm{mdin}^{-}$l we two (restricted from $g \bar{o}^{u} m$ we)
gadīl $g \bar{o}^{u} m$ īhẽmxinigam we two, that one and I, will wrestle
(literally, that-one-and-another [namely, I] we we-shallwrestle) 30.5
sgi'sidīl two coyotes (literally, coyote-and-another [coyote])
waxadīl two brothers (lit., [he] and his younger brother) 26.12 sgisi $n i^{\prime} x a \mathrm{di}^{-1}$ Coyote and his mother 54.2
The element -dīl doubtless occurs as an adjective stem meaning all, every, in aldïl all 134.4 (often heard also as aldi 47.9; 110.16; 188.1); hadedīlt'a everywhere 43.6; 92.29; and hat'gāadilt'a in every land 122.20.
§ 101. - wi'\& every

This element is freely appended to nouns, adjectives, and adverbs, but has no independent existence of its own. Examples are:
$b e^{e}{ }^{\text {wi }}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ every day (literally, every sum) 42.1; 158.17
$x \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ every night ( $x \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} n, x \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} \not r^{\prime}$ night, at night)

[^65]bixal wisinwi' $b a-i-w i i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ month comes after month (literally, moon different-each out-goes)
gwel- ${ }^{\varepsilon} w a \tilde{a} l^{*} w i{ }^{*}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ every morning ( $g w e l-{ }^{\varepsilon} w a ̃ k i w i^{\varepsilon}$ morning 44.1)
$d a-h_{l} \bar{o}^{u}$ rawi' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ every evening
ha-bee-biniwis every noon
$k^{\prime a} a i^{\prime} i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ everything, something ( $k^{\prime} a-, k^{\circ} a i$ - what, thing) $180.5,6$
$a d a^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{wi}^{\varepsilon}$ everywhere, to each $30.12 ; 74.2 ; 120.13$
As illustrated by $k^{*}$ aiwi $i^{\varepsilon}$, the primary meaning of $-w i^{\varepsilon}$ is not so much every as that it refers the preceding noun or adverb to a series. It thus conveys the idea of some in:
$d a l^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{Wi}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ sometimes, in regard to some 57.12
$x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} n e \mathrm{wi}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ sometimes 132.25
With pronouns it means too, as well as others:
$g_{i}^{-i} \mathrm{wi}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ I too
$m \bar{a}^{a} \mathrm{wi}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you too 58.5
Like -dil, $-w i^{\varepsilon}$ may be explained as a stereotyped adjectival stem that has developed into a quasi-formal element. This seems to be indicated by the derivative $w i^{\varepsilon^{i}}{ }^{\prime} n$ every, different 49.1; 160.20; 188.12.

## § 102. DEICTIC $-\varepsilon a^{\prime}$

It is quite likely that the deictic $-^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ is etymologically identical with the demonstrative stem $a$-THis, though no other ease has been found in which this stem follows the main noun or other word it qualifies. It differs from the exelusive $-t^{*} a$ in being less distinctly a part of the whole word and in having a considerably stronger contrastive force. Unlike -t'a, it may be suffixed to adverbs as well as to words of a more strictly denominative character. Examples of its oecurrence are extremely numerous, but only a very few of these need be given to illustrate its deictic character:
$m a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ you ([I am ——, ] but you ——) 26.3; 56.5; (cf. 49.8, 13) maha' $i^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ big indeed
$g a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ge wili ${ }^{\prime i}$ that one's house is there (literally, that-one there his-house [ that house yonder belongs to that fellow Coyote, not to Panther, whom we are seeking]) 55.4 ; cf. 196.19
$b \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon} \mathfrak{a}$ ' but nowadays (so it was in former days, but now things have changed) 50.1 ; 194.5
$g e^{\prime}-h i g^{-i \varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ yok! !oy $a^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ that-far I-for-my-part know-it (others may know more) 49.13; 154.7
$p^{\prime} i^{\prime} m^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ gayaū he ate salmon (nothing else.

## III. The Pronoun (§§ 103-105)

## § 103. Independent Persomal Promomus

The independent personal pronouns of Takelma, differing in this respect from what is found to be true of most American languages, show not the slightest etymological relationship to any of the various pronominal series found incorporated in noun and verb, except in so far as the second person plural is formed from the second person singular by the addition of the element $-p^{\prime}$ that we have found to be characteristic of every second person plural in the language. The forms, which may be used both as subjects and objects, are as follows:

Singular: First person, $g \tilde{\imath} 56.10 ; 122.8$; second person, $m a^{\wedge}\left(m \bar{a}^{a}\right)$ 26.7; 98.8; third person, $\tilde{a} k^{*} 27.5$; 156.12. Plural: First person, $g \tilde{o}^{u} m 30.5$; 150.16; second person, $m \tilde{a} p^{2}$; third person $\bar{a} i ~ 49.11$; xilamana` 27.10; 56.1
Of the two third personal plural pronouns, $\bar{a} i$ is found most frequently used with post-positive elements; e. g., $\bar{a} y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ JUST they ( $=\bar{a} i \quad y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ ) $160.6 ; \bar{a}^{\prime \epsilon} y a^{\prime}$ they $\left(=\bar{a} i i^{s} a\right)$ 49.11. When unaccompanied by one of these, it is generally pluralized: $\bar{a}^{\prime} i t t^{\prime} a n$ (see § 99 ). The second, xilamana', despite its four syllables, has not in the slightest yielded to analysis. It seems to be but little used in normal speech or narrative.

All the pronouns may be emphasized by the addition of $-w i^{\epsilon}$ (sce $\S 101$ ), the deictic $-{ }^{-} a^{\prime}$ (see $\S 102$ ), or the post-positive particles $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ and enclitic $-h i$ and $-s^{s} i^{\text {s }}$ (see § 114, 1, 2, 4):
may $\bar{a}^{\prime a}$ just you 196.2
$m a^{\prime} h i$ you yourself
$\bar{a} i h i$ they themselves 104.13 (cf. 152.20)
$g \imath^{i} s^{\prime} \cdot i^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ in my turn $47.14 ; 188 . S$; (cf. 61.9)
A series of pronouns denoting the isolation of the person is formed by the addition of $-d a^{\epsilon} x$ or $-d a^{\varepsilon} x i\left(=-d a^{\varepsilon} x+-h i\right)$ to the forms given above:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g \bar{\imath}^{i} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} x(i) \text { only I } \\
& m \bar{a}^{a} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} x(i) \text { you alone } \\
& \tilde{a} k^{i} d a^{\varepsilon} x(i) \text { all by himself } 61.7 ; 90.1 ; 142.20 ; 144.6 \\
& g \bar{o}^{u} m d a^{\varepsilon \varepsilon} x(i) \text { we alone } \\
& m \tilde{a} p^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon} x(i) \text { you people alone } \\
& \bar{a} i d a^{\prime \varepsilon} x(i) \text { they alone } 138.11
\end{aligned}
$$

The third personal pronouns are not infrequently used with preceding demonstratives:
$h \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} y a$ (or $i^{\prime}$ daga) $\tilde{a} k^{\prime} d a^{\varepsilon} x$ that one by himself ( $\tilde{a} k^{\prime}$ used here
apparently as a per for the suffixed element $-d a^{\varepsilon} x$ by one's self) $h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t{ }^{\prime}$ an and $\bar{i} d a^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t^{\prime} a n$ those people
$\hbar \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ - and $\bar{i} d a-$, it should be noted, are demonstrative stems that oceur only when compounded with other elements.

The independent possessive pronouns (it is) mine, thine, his, ours, youns, are expressed by the possessive forms of the substantival stem ais- ilaving, belonging, property: a-is de`k' it is mine $23.2 ; 154.18,19,20 ; a-i s \cdot d e^{\prime \varepsilon}$ yours; $a^{\prime}-i s^{\cdot} d a$ mis 23.2, 3 ; (156.7) and so on. These forms, though strictly nominal in morphology, have really no greater concreteness of foree than the English translations mine, tirine, and so on.

## § 104. Demonstrative Promoums amd Adverbs

Four demonstrative stems, used both attributively and substantively, are found: $a-, g a, \bar{u} d a-$, and $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}-$. Of these only $g a$ tinat occurs commonly as an independent word; the rest, as the first elements of composite forms. The demonstratives as actually found are:

Indefinite. ga that $60.5 ; 61.2 ; 110.4 ; 194.4,5$
Near first. $a^{\prime} g a$ this $44.9 ; 186.4$; ali this here $110.2 ; 188.20$
Near second. $\bar{\imath}^{\prime}$ daga that 116.22 ; $\bar{\imath} d a l \imath$ that there 55.16
Near third. $k \bar{a}^{\prime a s} g a$ that yonder 186.5; $h \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} l \bar{l}$ that over there
$a$ - has been found also as correlative to $g a$ - with the forms of $n a(g)-$ do, SAY:
ana $n e^{\wedge} x$ like this 176.13 (fa-na $n e^{`} x$ that way, thus 114.17; 122.20)
ana $n a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ it will be as it is now cf. 152.8 ( $g a-n a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ it will be that way)
perhaps also in:
$a d a^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime} w i^{\varepsilon}$ everywhere $\left(=a d a^{\prime} t{ }^{\prime}\right.$ this way, hither $[$ see $\S 112,1]+-w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ every) $30.12 ; 74.2 ; 120.13$
$\bar{\imath} d a$-(independently $46.5 ; 47.5 ; 192.6$ ) seems to be itself a compound element, its first syllable being perhaps identifiable with $\bar{\imath}$ - hand. $\bar{i} d a^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t^{\prime} a n$ and $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} i t \quad a n$, referred to above, are in effect the substantive plurals of $\bar{i}^{\prime} d a g a$ and $h \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} g a$. $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ - as demonstrative pronoun is doubtless identical with the local $h \bar{a}^{a \varepsilon}$ - yonder, beyond, found as a prefix in the verb.

By far the most commonly used of the demonstratives is that of indefinite reference, $g a$. It is used as an anaphorie pronoun to refer to both things and persons of either number, also to summarize a preceding phrase or statement. Not infrequently the translation that or those is too definite; a word of weaker force, like it, better serves the purpose. The association of $i^{\prime} d a g a$ and $h \bar{a}^{\prime a s} g a$ with spacial positions corresponding to the second and third persons respectively does not seem to be at all strong, and it is perhaps more accurate to render them as that right around there and that yonder. Differing fundamentally in this respect from adjectives, demonstritive pronouns regularly precede the noun or other substantive element they modify:
$a^{\prime} g a \operatorname{sgi} s i$ this coyote 10 S .1
$\bar{i}^{\prime} d a g a$ yap! $a^{\prime}$ that person
ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aldil all that, all of those 47.12
A demonstrative pronoun may modify a noun that is part of a local phrase:
$\bar{\imath}^{\prime} d a g a h e^{e \varepsilon} s^{\prime} \cdot \bar{o}^{u}$ ma'l beyond that mountain 122.22; 124.1
Corresponding to the four demonstrative pronoun-stems are four demonstrative adverb-stems, derived from the former by a change of the vowel -a- to $-e-: e-$, ge, $\bar{\imath} d e-$, and $h e^{e \varepsilon}$. Just as ga tilat was found to be the only demonstrative freely used as an independent pronoun, so $g e$ TIIERE, alone of the four adverbial stems, occurs outside of compounds. $e-, \bar{\imath} d e-$, and $h e^{e \varepsilon}-$, however, are never compounded with $g e$, as are $a-, \bar{\imath} d a-$, and $h \bar{a}^{a \epsilon}$ - with its pronominal correspondent $g a$; a fifth adverbial stem of demonstrative force, me (nither as verbal prefix), takes its place. The actual demonstrative adverbs thus are:

Indefinite. ge there $64.6 ; 77.9 ; 194.11$
Near first. $\mathrm{cme}^{\ell \varepsilon}$ here 112.12, 13; 194.4; me ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ - hither
Near second. $\bar{i}^{\prime}$ deme right around there 46.15
Near third. $h e^{\prime \epsilon \varepsilon} m e^{\varepsilon}$ yonder 31.13
Of these, $m e^{\varepsilon}$-, the correlative of $h e^{c \varepsilon}$-, can be used independently when followed by the local -al: mésal on this side, himerwands 58.9 ; 160.4. he ${ }^{e \varepsilon}$ - AWAY, besides frequently occurring as a verbal prefix, is found as a component of various adverbs:
he $d a d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$, he $e^{e} d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ over there, away from here, off $46.8 ; 194.10$
$h e^{\prime s} n e^{\prime}$ then, at that time $120.2 ; 146.6 ; 162.3$
$h e^{\prime \varepsilon} d a^{`} t^{\prime}$ on that side, toward yonder $\$ 104$
$m e^{\varepsilon}$ - can be used also with the adverb $g e$ of indefinite reference preceding; the compound, followed by $d i$, is employed in an interrogative sense: geme ${ }^{\prime s} d i$ where? when? $56.10 ; 100.16 ; 190.25$. The idea of direction in the demonstrative adverbs seems less strong than that of position: $h e^{\prime \varepsilon \varepsilon} m e^{\varepsilon} b a x a^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ he comes from over there, as well as $h e^{\prime \varepsilon \varepsilon} m e^{\varepsilon} y i n i^{\prime \varepsilon} k^{*}$ he goes overthere. $m e^{\varepsilon}-$ and $h e^{e \varepsilon}-\left(h \bar{a}^{a \epsilon}-\right)$, however, often necessarily convey the notions of toward and away from the speaker: $m e^{\prime \varepsilon}-y c w e^{i s} h \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon}-y e w e^{i \varepsilon}$ he came and went back AND FORTH.

Demonstrative adverbs may take the restrictive suffix $-d a^{\varepsilon} x$ or $-d a b a^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ (cf. $-d a^{\varepsilon} x$ witli personal pronouns, §103):
$\left.\begin{array}{l}e m e^{\varepsilon} d a^{\prime \varepsilon} x \\ e m e^{\varepsilon} d a b a^{\prime \varepsilon} x \\ 1114.4,5\end{array}\right\}$ here alone
§ 105. Interrogative culd Indefimite Pronouns
As independent words, the interrogative and indefinite stems occur with adverbs or adverbial particles, being found in their bare form only when incorporated. The same stems are used for both interrogrative and indefinite purposes, a distinction being made between persons and things:
neki who? some one $86.2,23 ; 108.11$
$k^{\circ} a i$ what? something $86.5 ; 122.3 ; 128.8$
As independent adverb also perhaps:
k'ai t!üm
As interrogatives, these stems are always followed by the interroga tive enclitic particle $d i, k^{\circ} a i$ always appearing as $k^{\circ} a$ - when $d i$ immediately follows:
$n e^{\prime} k^{\prime}-d i$ who? $46.15 ; 86.4 ; 142.9$
$k^{\circ} a^{\prime}$ - $d i$ what? $47.9 ; 60.11 ; 86.8$
$k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i \quad . \quad . d i$ occurs with post-positive $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ :
$k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i$ gasal di' what for? why? 71.15; S6.14; 98.8
As indefinites, they are often followed by the composite particle $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} w a^{\prime} k^{*} d i$ :
neki- $-s^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon} w a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d i$ I don't know who, somebody 22.8
$k \dot{k} a i-s^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon} w a^{\prime} k k^{\prime} d i$ I don't know what, something 96.10
As negative indefinites, nek' and $k^{\prime}$ ai are preceded by the negative adverb $a^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon}$ or wede, according to the tense-mode of the verb (see §72):
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} n e^{`} k^{\prime}$ nobody $63.4 ; 90.8,25$
$a^{\prime} n \tau^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a^{`} i$ nothing $58.14 ; 61.6 ; 125.23$
we'de nek' $\ddot{u}^{\prime} s^{\prime} i k^{\prime}$ nobody will give it to me (cf. 98.10)
$w e^{\prime} d e k^{\circ} a i u^{\prime} s^{*} d a m$ do not give me anything
With the post-nominal -wís every, $k^{\prime}$ ai forms $k^{\prime \prime}$ aiwi ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ everytinng, something. No such form as *nek'wis, however, occurs, its place being taken by aldĩl, aldi all, everybony. In general, it may be said that $k$ ai has more of an independent substantival character than nek'; it corresponds to the English thing in its more indefinite sense, e. g., $k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i$ gwala many things, everything 96.15 ; 102.11; 108.8

The adverbial correspondent of $k$ ai is gwi how? where? 46.2; 78.5. In itself $g w i$ is quite indefinite in signification and is as such often used with the forms of $n a(g)-$ DO, ACT $47.11 ; 55.7$ :
gwi'di nagañt how are you doing? (e. g., where are you going?) S6.17; (138.25)
As interrogative, it is followed by $d i$ :
gwi'di how? where? $44.5 ; 70.6 ; 73.9 ; 190.10$
as indefinite, by $-s^{i} i^{s} w a^{\prime} k^{\cdot} d i$ (cf. 190.4):
$g w i s \cdot i^{\xi} w a^{\prime} k k^{*} d i$ in some way, somewhere $54.7 ; 96.8 ; 120.21$ (also gwi'hap' somewhere)
as negative indefinite, it is preceded by $a^{\prime} n \tau^{\varepsilon}$ or wede:
$a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon} g w \bar{\imath}^{i}$ in no way, nowhere $23.6 ; 62.11 ; 192.14$
we'de gwi na't do not go anywhere!
As indefinite relative is used $g w^{\prime} h a$ wheresoever $140.9,13,15,19$.

## IV. The Adjective (§ 106-109) <br> § 106. General Remarlis

Adjectives can not in Takelma without further ado be classed as nouns or verbs, as they have certain characteristics that mark them off more or less clearly from both; such are their distinctly adjectival suffixes and their peculiar method of forming the plural. In some respects they closely approach the verb, as in the fact that they are frequently preceded by body-part prefixes, also in the amplification of the stem in the phural in ways analogous to what we have found in the verb. They differ, however, from verbal forms in that they can not be predicatively used (except that the simple form of the adjective may be predicatively understood for an implied third person), nor provided with the pronominal suflixes peculiar to the verb;
a first or second personal relation is brought about by the use of appropriate forms of the copula ei-BE. They agree with the noun and pronoun in being frequently followed by the distinctly denominative exclusive suffix -t'a (see $\S 98$ ) and in the fact that, when forming part of a descriptive noun, they may take the personal endings peculiar to the noun:
ts'!ixi-maha'it'ek' dog-big-my (=my horse)
As adjectives pure and simple, however, they are never found with the possessive suffixes peeuliar to the noun; e. g., no such form as *maha'it'el' alone ever oceurs. It thus appears that the adjective occupies a position midway between the noun and the verb, yet with characteristics peculiar to itself. The most marked syntactic feature of the adjective is that, unlike a qualifying noun, it always follows the modified noun, even when incorporated with it (see § 93). Examples are:

```
wa-iw\mp@subsup{\imath}{}{\primei}}d\overline{u}\mathrm{ girl pretty 55.7; 124.5
yap!a daldi` person wild 22.14
sgi'si da-sga'xit' Coyote sharp-snouted 86.3, 20; 88.1, 11
```

$p^{\prime} i m x u^{\prime} m$ yele' $x$ deb $\overrightarrow{u ̈}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ salmon dry burden-basket full ( $=$ burdenbasket full of dry salmon) 75.10
Rarely does it happen that the adjective precedes, in which case it is to be predieatively understood:
gwa'la yap! a' many (were) the people 180.16 (but yáp!a gwala' people many 194.10)
Even when predicatively used, however, the adjective regularly follows the noun it qualifies. Other denominating words or phrases than adjectives are now and then used to predicate a statement or command:

```
yu}\mp@subsup{\overline{\prime}}{}{\prime}k!alx (1) wa'k'i'\varepsilon (2), ga (3) ga\varepsilonal (4) deligia'lt`i (5) gwãs (6) [as
    they were] without (2) teeth (1), for (4) that (3) [reason] they
    brought them as food (5) intestines (6) 130.22
masi'\varepsilon (1) al-n\mp@subsup{\overline{a}}{}{\prime\prime}n\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime\varepsilon}n (2) naga-ida'\varepsilon (3) [do] you in your turn (1)
    [dive], since you said (3) " I can get close to him" (2) 61.9
```


## § 10\%. Adjectical Prefixes

Probably all the body-part prefixes and also a number of the purely local elements are found as prefixes in the adjective. The material at hand is not large enough to enable one to follow out the prefixes of the adjective as satisfactorily as those of the verb; but
there is no reason to believe that there is any tangible difference of usage between the two sets. Examples of prefixes in the adjective are:

1. AnK゙ー.
dak'-maha`i big on top
dak'-d $\bar{u}^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ big-headed
2. $\boldsymbol{d} \bar{a}^{\prime \prime}-$.

dāa ${ }^{\text {a }}-1 o^{\prime} \mathfrak{l}_{\cdot}^{\cdot}$ wal with holes in ear 166.13, 19
d $\bar{a}^{2}$-maha` ${ }^{\imath}$ big-cheeked
3. $\boldsymbol{s} \cdot \boldsymbol{i n}-$
s.in-ho'k' wal with holes in nose $166.13,18$
s•in-hü's.gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6
s-in- $p^{\prime} i^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ flat-nosed
4. de-.
de-ts'!ügü't', de-ts'!üg $\bar{u}^{\prime u}$ sharp-pointed $74.13 ; 126.18$
de-t $t^{\circ} \ddot{l} \ddot{u}^{\prime s} p{ }^{\prime}$ dull
$d e-{ }^{\varepsilon}$ wini't proceeding, reaching to 50.4
5. Art-
da-sga'xi(t') long-mouthed $15.13 ; 86.3$; 88.1, 11
da-sguli' short 33.17
da-ho' $k$ wal holed 176.7
da-maha` $i$ big-holed 92.4
da-t!os. $\bar{o}^{\prime u}$ small-holed
6. gwen-.
gwen- $x d i^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ slim-necked
gwen- $t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} m$ black-necked 196.6
7. $\overline{\boldsymbol{\imath}}-$.
$\overline{1}-t s^{\cdot}!o^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ al sharp-clawed $14.4 ; 15.13 ; 86.3$
i-ge' $w a^{\varepsilon} x$ crooked-handed
$\bar{i}-k!o k!o^{\circ}$ ugly-handed
8. $x \bar{a}^{n}-$.
x $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}}$-maha` $i$ big-waisted, wide
x $\overline{\mathbf{a}}^{a}-x d i^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} s$ slim-waisted, notched $71.15 ; 75.6$
9. $\mathrm{Al}^{i}$-.
dii-k!ēlix conceited
10. $\boldsymbol{d} \overline{\boldsymbol{t}}^{\varepsilon}-$.
dis -maha` $i$ big below, big behind
$3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40, pt $2-12-17$
$d i^{\varepsilon}-k!a^{\prime} l s$ lean in rump
11. gwel-.
ha-gwel-bila'm empty underneath, like table (cf. ha-bila'm empty)
gwel-ho'k wal holed underneath 43, 9.
12. ha-.
ha-bila'm empty (literally, having nothing inside, cf. bila`m having nothing 43.6, 8, 14)
13. sol-.
sal-t!a'i narrow
sal-ts'!una`px straight
14. al-. (Referring to colors and appearances)
al-t'ge'm black 13.3; 162. 4
al-ts $!i^{\prime} l$ red
al-t $g u^{\prime i \varepsilon} s^{\text {. }}$ white 55.2; 188.11
al-sgenhi't black 92.19
al-gwa'si yellow
al- $t^{\prime}$ gisa'mt green (participle of $t^{\prime} g i s i^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} m$ it gets green)
al-k!iyíx-nat' blue (literally, smoke-doing or being)
al-k!ok!o`k" ugly-faced 47.2; 60.5
al-t!ees.itt little-eyed 94.3; (94.6, 14)
al-t'geya' $p x$ round
al-t'mila'p $x$ smooth

## 15. han-.

han-hogwa'l with hole running through 56.9, 10
A few cases have been found of adjectives with preceding nouns in such form as they assume with pre-positive and possessive suffix:
$d a^{\prime} k!o l o i-t s!i l$ red-cheeked
gwit! $\bar{\imath} \bar{u}-t!a^{\prime} i$ slim-wristed
An example of an adjective preceded by two body-part prefixes has already been given (ha-gwel-bila'm). Here both prefixes are coordinate in function (ef. ha-gwel-p!iya', § 95). In:
$x \bar{a}^{a}$-sal-gwa'si between-claws-yellow (myth name of SparrowHawk) 166.2
the two body-part prefixes are equivalent to an incorporated local phrase (cf. § 35, 4)

## § 108. Adjectival Derivative Suffixcs

A considerable number of adjectives are primitive in form, i. e., not capable of being derived from simpler nominal or verbal stems. Such are:
$h o^{\prime}$ s au getting older
maha ${ }^{\text {亿 }}$ big 23.1; 74.15; 146.3
bus ${ }^{*}$ wiped out, destroyed, used up 42.2; 140.19
dū good, beautiful 55.7; 58.7; 124.4; 146.6
$t \cdot u$ hot $57.15 ; 186.25$
$p^{\prime} u^{\prime} n$ rotten 140.21
yo't'i alive ([?] yo' $t$ ' being + enclitic -hi) (128.16)
and many others. A very large number, however, are provided with derivative suffixes, some of which are characteristic of adjectives per se, ${ }^{1}$ while others serve to convert nouns and pre-positive phrases into adjectives. Some adjectival stems seem capable of being used either with or without a suffix (cf. $d a-s g a^{\prime} x i$ and de-ts'!üg̈̈̈' $t^{\prime}$ above, § 107):
maha`i and maha` ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ big
al-gwa'si and al-gwa'sit' yellow

1. -(i) $\boldsymbol{t}$. Probably the most charaeteristic of all adjectival suffixes is $-(i) t^{\prime}$, all $-t^{\prime}$ participles (see $\$ 76$ ) properly belonging here. Non-participial examples are:
al-gwa'sit' yellow
al-sgenhi't' black 92.19
al-tte ${ }^{e} s$ ' ${ }^{\prime}$ 't' little-eyed 94.3
(?) ha'nt' half ([ ?] cf. han- through) $146.22 ; 154.9 ; 192.7$
t!oit' one-horned 46.7; 47.7; 49.3.
$d \bar{a}^{a}$-molhi't' red-eared 14.4; 15.12; 88.2; 96.13
de-ts'!ügü't' sharp-pointed 126.18
$k!u l s a^{1}$ 't soft (food) (ef. $k!l^{\prime} \backslash l s$ worm) 130.22
p!ala'k'wa-goy $\bar{o}^{\prime} \mathbf{t}^{\prime}$ eit ${ }^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{I}$ am story-doctor (cf. yoyo' shaman)
2. -al. Examples of adjectives with this suffix are:
$\bar{\imath}$-ts $\cdot!o^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ al sharp-clawed $14.4 ; 86.3$ (cf.de-ts ${ }^{\prime}!\ddot{u} g u ̈ \not{ }^{\prime} t$ sharp-pointed; for $-p^{\circ}-:-g-$ cf. $\left.\S 42,1,6\right)$
$t!\bar{i}^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ al thin
(?) dēhal five ([ ?] = being in front ${ }^{2}$ ) $150.19,20$; 182.21
$s^{*} \cdot i n-h o^{\prime} k \cdot w a l$ with holes in nose $166.13,18$; ( $56.9 ; 166.19 ; 176.7$ )
sin-hü's.gal big-nosed 25.1; 27.5, 13; 28.6
$h \bar{\imath}^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ al flat
( mi'xal how much, how many (used interrogatively and relatively) 100.8; 182.13
mixa'lha numerous, in great numbers $92.28 ; 94.1$

[^66]3. -rli. A few adjectives have been found with this suffixed element:
hapsdi' little 192.6; $h^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ di $24.12 ; 60.15 ; 61.5$ (cf. $h \bar{a}^{a} p x i^{`}$ child 128.16)
yap!a daldi' wild man (cf. dal- in the brush) 22.14
gama'x li raw $94.3,6 ; 144.5 ; 182.4$
gweldi' finished (ef. gwel-leg) 34.1; 79.8; 94.18
4. -ts:'-(-ss). In a small number of adjectives this element is doubtless to be considered a suffix:
$\bar{z}^{\prime} l \mathrm{ts}!a k^{*}$ w bad, ugly 182.1; 186.22; 198.4 (cf. pl. $\bar{\imath} l^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} / \mathrm{ls} a k^{\prime}{ }^{w}$ )
s•in-p $i^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ s flat-nosed
$x \bar{a}^{a}-x d \bar{l}^{\prime} l^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{s}$ slim-waisted $71.15 ; 75.6$ (cf. inferential passive $x \bar{a}-\bar{\imath}-$ $x d i^{\prime} l x d a l k: a m$ they have been notched in several places)
A few adjectives in $-s$, evidently morphologically connected with the seattering nouns in $-s$, also occur:
gũms blind 26.14
bâls long 14.5; 33.16; 15S.1
$\delta^{\prime} \cdot \mathrm{n}$ s' thick 90.3
5. -( $\boldsymbol{f}) \boldsymbol{x}$. This suflix disappears in the plural (see below, § 109), so that no room is left for doubt as to its non-radical character. Whether it is to be identified with the non-agentive $-x$ of the verb is somewhat uncertam, but that such is the case is by no means improbable; in some cases, indeed, the adjective in $-x$ is connected with a verb in $-x$. The -a'px of some of the examples is without doubt composed of the petrified $-b$ - found in a number of verbs (see $\S 42,1$ ) and the adjectival (or nonagentive) $-x$.
al-t'geya'px round (ef. al-t'geye' $p x$ it rolls)
sul-ts!!una'px straight
da-ts! ${ }^{2} m \mathrm{x}$ sick $90.12,13,21 ; 92.5 ; 150.16$
al-t mila'px smooth
$d a-p^{\prime} o^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} \times$ crooked (cf. $p^{\prime} o w o^{\prime \varepsilon} x$ it bends)
$\bar{i}-y e^{\prime} w a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{x}$ crooked-handed
More transparcntly derivational in character than any of those listed above are the following adjectival suffixes:
6. -fu'rt' having. Adjectival forms in -gwa't are derived partly by the addition of the adjectival suffix - (a)t to third personal reflexive possessive forms in -'t'gwa (-xagwa), or to palatalized passive participial forms in $-\Vdash^{\bullet w}$, themselves derived from nouns (see § 77), partly by the addition of $-g w a^{`} t^{\prime}$ to nouns in
their pre-pronominal form $(-x)$. The fact that these various -gwa't' forms, despite their at least apparent diversity of orizin, clearly form a unit as regards signification, suggests an ultimate identity of the noun reflexive -gwa (and therefore verbal indirect reflexive-gwa-) with the passive participial $-k^{*}{ }^{w}$. The -gwa- of forms in $-x$-qwat is not quite clear, but is perhaps to be identified with the comitative -ywa- of the verb. An adjective like $y \bar{u}^{\prime} k$ !al-x-gwat teeth-having presents a parallelism to a verbal participle like dal: -lim-x-f wat wimn (trees) falling over one (from aorist dak*-limim-x-qwa-d $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ I am Witir it falling over me, see $\S 46$ ) that is suggestive of morphologic identity. Examples of -!pwa't' adjectives are:
waya'uxagwat having daughter-in-law 56.10 (cf. waya'uxagwa her own daughter-in-law)
t'gwana't gwat' slave-having (ef. tywana't'gwa his own slave)
Da-t $\bar{a} n$-eläat ${ }^{\prime a} t{ }^{\prime}$ gwat ${ }^{1}$ Squirrel-Tongued(literally, in-mouth squirrel his-tongue having [name of ('oyote's daughter]) 70.6; 72.4; 75.11
$n i^{\prime} x a g w a t{ }^{\prime}$ mother-having (cf. $n i^{\prime} x a k^{*} w$ mothered)
$m e^{\prime} x a g w a t{ }^{\prime}$ father-having (ef. $m e^{\prime} x a k^{\cdot}{ }^{w}$ fathered)

$g \bar{u}^{u} x \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{g}}$ wa't' wife-having 128.4 (cf. g $\bar{u}^{u}-x-d e^{`} \mathrm{l}^{*}$ my wife 142.9 )
dagaxgwa't' head-having (cf. da'g-ax-lek' my head 90.13)
$t s^{\prime}!u^{\prime} l x \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{\prime}$ hat having Indian money (cf. $t s^{\prime}!u^{\prime} l x$ Indian money 14.13)

A form with -gwat' and the copula ei- (for persons other than the third) takes the place in Takelma of the verb mave:
$t s^{\prime}!u^{\prime} l x g w a t$ eãt $e^{\varepsilon}$ I have money (literally money-having or moneyed I-am
$t s^{\prime}!u l x-y w a{ }^{\prime} t$ he has money
Aside from the fact that it has greater individuality as a distinct phonetic unit, the post-positive $w a^{\prime} k^{\cdot} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{}$ witnout is the morphologic correlative of -gwat having:
dagax wa'l't $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ eit head without you-are
da'gaxgwat citt head-having you-are
Similarly:
nixa wa' $k^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ eit $t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$ mother without I-am
ni'xaywat' eit $e^{\varepsilon}$ mother-having I-am

[^67]7. -imik:i. A few adjectives have been found ending in this suffix formed from temporal adverbs:
hop! $\bar{e}^{\varepsilon} n$ imik! (men) of long ago 168.1 (hop $!\bar{e}^{\varepsilon} n$ long ago 58.4, 7, 11) $b \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon i^{\prime}}$ mik!i (people) of nowadays (bõ ${ }^{u}$ now 188.8 ; 194.5)
8. -(i) $k:!i$. This suffix, evidently closely related to the preceding one, forms adjectives (with the signification of belonging to, always being) from local phrases. Examples are:
$h a-w i l l^{\prime} y \mathrm{ik}$ ! belonging to good folks, not "common" (from ha-wilt in the house)
$x \bar{a}^{a}-b e \tilde{m i k}!i^{\varepsilon}$ being between sticks
$h a-b a m i^{\prime} s i k!!^{\varepsilon}$ dwelling in air $x \bar{a}^{a}-d a^{\prime} n \mathrm{ik}!\mathrm{i}$ belonging between rocks (e. g., crawfish)
$d a k^{\circ}-p!i^{\prime} y a \mathrm{k}!^{\varepsilon}$ staying always over the fire
ha-p! $i^{\prime} y a \mathrm{k}!i^{\varepsilon}$ belonging to fire
9. - ${ }^{\varepsilon} x i$. A few adjectival forms in ${ }^{\varepsilon} x i$, formed from local phrases, seem to have a force entirely coincident with adjectives in-(i)k! $!$ :
$h a-p!i^{\prime} y a^{\varepsilon} \times i$ belonging to fire
$h a-x i^{\prime} y a^{\varepsilon} \times i$ mink (literally, always staying in the water [from ha-xiya' in the water 33.4])
10. - ${ }^{\varepsilon} \ell^{\prime} x$. This suffix seems to be used interchangeably with $-(i) k!i$ and $-\varepsilon^{\xi} x i$. Examples are:
ha-bami'sa $a^{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ belonging to the air, sky
$x \bar{a}^{a}-d a^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon^{\prime} \overline{1}^{\prime}} \mathrm{xi}^{\varepsilon}$ belonging between rocks
$h a-w i l i^{\xi} \bar{i}^{\prime} i x i$ belonging to the house
$h a-x i^{\prime} y a^{\varepsilon \mathbf{I}^{\prime}} \mathrm{xi}$ belonging to the water
ha-p!iya ${ }^{\varepsilon \mathbf{1}^{\prime}} \mathbf{x i}$ belonging to fire
The following forms in $-{ }^{\varepsilon} i^{i} x i$, not derived from local phrases, doubtless belong with these:
$g e^{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}} \mathrm{xi}$ belonging there 160.24
goyo ${ }^{\varepsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}} \mathbf{x i}$ belonging to shamans (used to mean: capable of wishing ill, supernaturally doing harm, to shamans) 170.11

## § 109. Plural Formations

A few adjectives form their plural or frequentative by reduplication:

| $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime \prime \varepsilon}$ full $49.14 ; 116.5$ |
| :---: |
| $\bar{\imath}^{\prime} \mathrm{lts}!a k^{\prime}$ w bad 182.1; 198.4 |
| maha'i large 23.1; 74.15 |
| 109 |


|  |
| :---: |
| ```de-bǘcba\(x\) (dissimilated fr \(\left.-b \ddot{u}^{\varepsilon} b a^{\varepsilon} x\right) 122.17\) \(\bar{\imath} l^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l_{s a k}{ }^{*}\) (dissimilated fr \(i l^{\varepsilon}\) alts!-) mahmĩ 32.15 ; 49.10; 130.4``` |
|  |  |
|  |  |

§ 109

Of these, the first two are cleariy verbal in type. The probably nonagentive $-x$ of $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\varepsilon} b a^{\wedge} x$ (also singular $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime \mu} \varepsilon x$ from * $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} k!-x[c \mathrm{f}$. $d e-b \ddot{u}^{\prime} \ddot{ } k!$ !in $\operatorname{I}$ Shall fill it]) and the apparently passive participial $-a k^{*}$ of $i^{\prime} l t s!a k^{*}{ }^{w}$ strongly suggest that the first two of these adjectives are really adjectivally specialized verb-forms. mahmi is altogether irregular in type of reduplication. t!os. $\bar{o}^{\prime u}$ LItTLE $56.15 ; 74.16$ forms its plural by the repetition of the second consonant after the repeated vowel of the singular: dak!oloi-t!os $\bar{u}^{\prime} s^{\prime} g w a t{ }^{\prime}$ he has smali CHEEKs. In regard to $t^{\prime} u t^{\prime} 170.18$, the plural of $t^{\prime} \hat{u}$ нот 57.15 , it is not certain whether the $-t^{\prime}$ is the repeated initial consonant, or the $-t^{\prime}$ characteristic of other adjective plurals.

Most adjectives form their plural by repeating after the medial consonant the vowel of the stem, where possible, and adding to the amplified stem the element -it' (probably from -hit', as shown by its treatment with preceding fortis), or, after vowels, $-t^{\circ} i t^{\circ}$; a final non-radical - (a)x disappears in the plural. ho's au getting bigger (with inorganic -a-) forms its plural by the repetition of the stemvowel alone, hos $\tilde{o}^{u} 156.11 ; 158.11$; similar is $d u^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{\prime} 58.10$ which seems to be the plural of du pretty 58.8. yo't $i$ ([?] yot ${ }^{\circ}-h i$ ) alive forms the plural yot'i'hi ([?] yot i-hi) 128.16. Examples of the peculiarly adjectival plural in $-\left(t^{\prime}\right) i t^{t}$ are:

| Singuar | Plural |
| :---: | :---: |
| al-t'geya`px round | al-t'geye'p ${ }^{\text {'it }}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| al-t'mila'px smooth | al-t'mili'p ${ }^{\text {'it }}$ |
| sal-ts ! una $p x$ straight | sal-ts ! $u^{\prime} n \mathrm{u} p^{\prime} \mathrm{it}^{\prime}$ |
| sal-t! $a^{\prime} i$ narrow | sal-t!a'yat'it' |
| $d a-p^{\prime} o^{\prime} a^{\epsilon} x$ crooked ( $\left.=-a k!-x\right)$ | gwit'- $p^{\prime} o^{\prime} o^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime i t}$ crookedarmed |
| $\bar{i}-g e^{\prime} w a^{5} x$ crooked-handed | $\bar{i}-g e^{\prime} w{ }^{e \varepsilon} k^{\prime} \mathrm{it}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| ( $=-a k!-x$; cf. aorist gewe- |  |
| k!aw- carry [salmon] bowfashion) |  |
| de-ts ${ }^{\prime} \ddot{u} g \ddot{u} ' t '$ sharp-pointed 126.18 | de-ts $!$ ügunhit |
| de-t ${ }^{\prime} \ddot{u} \ddot{u}^{\prime \varepsilon} p^{\prime}$ dull | de-t'ulu $\ddot{u}^{\prime} p p^{\prime} \mathrm{it}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| al-ts!i ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{l}$ red | $d a^{\prime} k!o l o i-t s^{\prime}!i^{\prime} l i t ' i t '$ he has red cheeks |
| al-t'gu ${ }^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}$. white $55.2 ; 188.11$ | $d a^{\prime} k$ !oloi-t'guyuss ${ }^{\text {s }}$ it he has white cheeks |
| al-ṫge'm black 13.3; 162.4 | $d a^{\prime} k!o l o i-t^{\prime} g e^{\prime} m e t ' i t{ }^{\prime}$ he has black cheeks |
| bâls long 14.5; 15.12, 15 | $s$ intxd $\bar{a}^{a} t^{\prime} a n \quad b \bar{a}^{a} l a^{\prime} s i t{ }^{\prime}$ their noses are long |

That these plurals are really frequentative or distributive in force is illustrated by such forms as $d a^{\prime} k!o l o i-t s^{\prime}!i^{\prime} l i t^{\prime} i t^{\prime}$ red－cheeked， which has reference not necessarily to a plurality of persons affected， but to the frequency of occurrence of the quality predicated，i．e．，to the redness of both checks．

V．Numerals（§§ 110，111）

## § 110．Cardimals

Cardinals Adverbs
1．$m \bar{\imath}^{\prime i \varepsilon} s q a^{\varepsilon} 13.2 ; 192 . \Omega ; m \bar{\imath}^{\prime \varepsilon} s \quad m \ddot{u}^{\ddot{u} \varepsilon} x d a^{\prime} n$ once $182.20 ; 188.13$ 188.9

2．$\left\{\begin{array}{l}9 \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m 22.7 ; 110.11 \\ g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i^{1} 55.7,12 ; 116.1\end{array}\right\} \quad g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m \hat{u} n$ twice
3．xi＇bini＇ 150.8 xi｀nt
4．gamga＇m 148．5；184．17 gamya＇man
5．dèhal $150.19,20 ; 182.21$ dèhaldan
6．$h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{\tau} m \bar{i}^{\prime s} s 150.12 \quad h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} m i^{\prime} t s!a d a^{\prime} n$
7．$h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m$
8．$h a^{\varepsilon} x i^{\natural} n$
9．$h a^{\varepsilon}$ igo 150.14
$h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath} g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m a d a^{\prime} n$
$h a^{\varepsilon}$ ixinda＇$n$
$h a^{\varepsilon}{ }^{〔} q \bar{o}^{u} g a d a^{\wedge} n$
10．$i^{\prime} x d \bar{\imath} l 13.1 ; 150.5 ; 182.22$
ixdilda＇n
11．$i^{\prime} x d \bar{\imath} l m^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon_{!} a^{\varepsilon}$ gada $k^{*}$ ten one on－top－of
12．$i^{\prime} x d \bar{l}{ }^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ gada ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$
20．yap！ami＇s 182.23
30．$x i^{\prime} n$ ixdīl
40．yamga＇mun ixdīl
50．dêhaldan ixdごl
60．$\left.h a^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} m i^{\prime} t s!a d a n ~ i x d \imath ̄\right\urcorner$
70．$\left.h a^{\varepsilon}\right\urcorner g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ madan $i x d \grave{\imath} \downarrow$
80．$h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{x} i^{\prime} n d a n ~ i x d \bar{\imath}^{\top} l$

100．t！eimi＇s $23.2,4,9,12,13$
200．$g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ mun t！eimi＇s $s$
300．xin t！eimis ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$
400．gam！$a^{\prime}$ mûn t！cimi＇cs
1，000．$i^{\prime} x d \bar{l}$ ldan t！cimis ${ }^{\prime} s$
2，000．！ap！ami＇ts！adan t！eimi＇es
$m^{-\prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{sff} \mu^{\varepsilon}$ is the usual uncompounded form of one．In compounds the simpler form $m \bar{\imath}^{-\varepsilon} s$（stem mīts！－）oceurs as the second element：
$h a^{\varepsilon}{ }_{i} m i^{\prime \varepsilon} s$ six（ $=$ one［finger］in the hand）
yap！ami ${ }^{\varepsilon_{s}}$ twenty（ $=$ one man）

```
    t!eimi \({ }^{\prime \varepsilon} s\) one hundred (probably \(=\) one male [ \(\left.t!i^{i}-\right]\) )
    \(m e^{e} l t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}-m i^{\prime} s\) crows earth-one (= land packed full of crows)
    \(144.9,11,12,13\)
    \(d e^{e} m \bar{\imath}^{\prime \varepsilon} s\) in-front-one ( \(=\) marching in single file)
    almi \(i^{\prime \epsilon} s\) all together 92.23, 24; 190.17
```

Of the two forms for Two, g(a'p!ini'seems to be the more frequently used, though no difference of signification or usage can be traced. $g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!i n i{ }^{\prime}$ Two and $x i^{\prime} b i n i{ }^{\prime}$ three are evident compounds of the simpler $g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ and $x i^{\prime} n$ (seen in $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} x i^{\prime} n$ eight) and an element -bini that is perhaps identical with -bini of $h a^{\prime}-b i n i$ in the middee. gamga'm FOUR is evidently reduplicated from $g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ тwo, the falling accent of the second syllable being probably due to the former presence of the eatch of the simplex. An attempt has been made ${ }^{1}$ to explain dēhal five as an adjectival form in -al derived from de $e^{e}$ in front. The numerals sin, seven, hight, and nine are best considered as morphologically verbs provided with the compound prefix $h a^{\varepsilon} i$ - in the hand (see § 35, 4), and thus strictly signifying one (finger) is in the hand; two, three, four (fingers) are in the HAND. No explanation can be given of $-g o^{\wedge}$ in $\hbar a^{\varepsilon}$ igo none, except that it may be an older stem for four, later replaced, for one reason or another, by the composite gamga'm Two + Two. $i^{\prime} x d \bar{\imath} l$ TEN is best explained as compounded of $\bar{i}-x$ - hand (but why not $\bar{i} \bar{u} x-$ as in $\bar{\imath} \bar{u} x-d e^{`} k^{\prime}$ my hand?) and the dual $-d \bar{\imath} l$, and as being thus equivalent to two hands.

It thus seems probable that there are only three simple numeral stems in Takelma, $m \bar{z}^{\prime i} \varepsilon_{s}$ one, $g \bar{a}^{\prime \delta} m$ two, and $x i^{\prime} n$ three. All the rest are either evident derivations from these, or else (dehal probably and $i^{\prime} x d \bar{l} l$ certainly) descriptive of certain finger-positions. While the origin of the Takelma system may be tertiary or quinary (if -! $f o^{\prime}$ is the original stem for fork and dēhal is a primary element), the decimal feeling that runs through it is evidenced both by the break at ten and by the arrangement of the numerals beyond ten.

The teens are expressed by tex one above (i. e., ten over one), ten Two above; and so on. $\quad g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\curlyvee} l$ thereto may be used instead of gada'k. over. Twenty is one man, i. e., both hands and feet. One hundred can be plausibly explained as equivalent to one male person. ${ }^{2}$ The other tens, i. e., thirty to ninety inclusive, are expressed by

[^68]multiplication, the appropriate numeral adverb preceding the word for ten. $x i^{\prime} n i x d i l$ Turry, however, uses the original cardinal xin, instead of the numeral adverb xint'. The hundreds (including two hundred and one thousand) are similarly expressed as multiplications of one hundred (t!eimi's ), the numeral adverbs (xin instead of $x i^{\prime} n t^{\prime}$ in three hundred) preceding t!eimi $i^{\prime \varepsilon} s$. Numerals above one thousand $(=10 \times 100)$ can hardly have been in much use among the Takelma, but can be expressed, if desired, by prefixing the numeral adverbs derivedi from the tens to t!eimi's ; e. g., dêhaldan ixdīldan t!eimi's $5 \times 10 \times 100=5,000$.

As far as the syntactic treatment of cardinal numerals is concerned, it should be noted that the plural of the noun modified is never employed with any of them:
$w a-i w \bar{i}^{\prime i} g a \bar{a} p!i n i$ girl two (i. e., two girls) 55.2, 5, 7, 12 (wa-iwínt'an girls 56.11)
mologolā' $p^{\prime} a$ gä' p!ini old-woman two 26.14 (mologolā' $p^{\prime} a k!a n$ old women 13S.10)
$h \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} d a g \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ ini his child two 154.17 ( $h \bar{a}^{\prime} p x d a$ his children)
Like adjectives, attributive numerals regularly follow the noun.

## § 111. Numeral Adverbs

The numeral adverbs denoting so and so many times are derived from the corresponding cardinals by suffixing -an (often weakened to $-\hat{u} n$ ) to $g \bar{a}^{\prime \epsilon} m$. Two and its derivative $g a m g a^{\prime} m$ FOUR; $-t^{\prime}$, to xin tirree; - $d a^{\wedge} n$, to other numerals ( $-a d a^{\wedge} n$, to those ending in $~^{\varepsilon} m$ and -ts!- $=-^{\varepsilon} s$ ). $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} g \bar{a}^{\prime \varepsilon} m$ SEven and $h a^{\varepsilon} i x i{ }^{\prime} n$ eight, it will be observed, do not follow $g \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} m$ and $\sin$ in the formation of their numeral adverbs, but add -(a)da'n.

It is not impossible that $m \ddot{u}^{\ddot{u}} x$ - in $m \ddot{u}^{\ddot{u} \varepsilon} x d a^{\wedge} n$ once is genetically related and perhaps dialectically equivalent to $m i^{i s} s-$, but no known grammatic or phonetic process of Takelma enables one to connect them. $h a^{\varepsilon} \bar{i} g \bar{o}^{u} g a d a^{\prime} n$ nine times seems to insert a -ga-between the cardinal and the adverbial suflix -dan. The most plausible explanation of the form is its interpretation as nine (haq $q o^{\prime}$ ) that ( $g a$ ) nUmber-of-times ( $-d a^{\prime} n$ ), the demonstrative serving as a peg to hang the suffix on.

From the numeral adverbs are derived, by prefixing ha- in, a further series with the signification of in so and so many places:
$h a-g a^{\prime s} m$ an in two places
ha-gamgama'n 176.2, 3 in four places
$h a-h a^{\varepsilon} \imath \bar{o}^{u} g a d a{ }^{\prime} n$ in nine places

Cardinals with prefixed ha- are also found, apparently with an approximative force, e. g., ha-dēhal about five 194.2.

No series of ordinal numerals could be obtained, and the probability is strong that such a series does not exist. debin occurs as first (e. g., wili debi'n-hi first house), but may also mean last 49.2; 150.15, a contradiction that, in view of the probable etymology of the word, is only apparent. debi $n$ is evidently related to ha-bini in the midief, and therefore signifies something like in front of the middle; i. e., at either end of a series, a meaning that comports very well with the renderings of both first and last. It is thus evident that no true ordinal exists for even the first numeral.

## VI. Adverbs and Particles (§§ 112-114)

A very large number of adverbs and particles (some of them simple stems, others transparent derivatives, while a great many others still are quite impervious to analysis) are found in Takelma, and, particularly the particles, seem to be of considerable importance in an idiomatically constructed sentence. A few specifically adverbial suffixes are discernible, but a large number of unanalyzable though clearly non-primitive adverbs remain; it is probable that many of these are erystallized noun or verb forms now used in a specialized adverbial sense.

## § 112. Adverbial Suffixes

Perhaps the most transparent of all is:

1. -dre't'. This element is freely added to personal and demonstrative pronouns, adverbs or verbal prefixes, and local phrases, to impart the idea of direction from or to, more frequently the former. Examples of its occurrence are:
```
\(g \bar{i}{ }^{i} \mathrm{~d} a^{\circ} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}\) in my direction ( \(g \bar{\imath} \mathrm{I}\) )
wadêdat' from my side (wadē to me)
ada't' on, to this side 112.17; 144.2
\(\bar{u}^{\prime} d a d a\) ' \(t\) ' in that direction, from that side ( \(\bar{d} d a-\) that)
\(h \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} t\) ' from yonder (h \(\bar{a}^{\varepsilon \varepsilon}\) - that yonder)
gwi'dat' in which direction? 190.18 (gwi how? where?)
geda't from there 144.8
eme' dat' from here
\(m e^{\prime \varepsilon} \mathrm{d}\) d't' hitherwards \(32.10,11\); 55.3 ( \(m e^{\varepsilon}\) - hither)
\(h e^{\prime \varepsilon}\) dat' thitherwards (he \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) - away)
\(n \bar{o}^{u}\) da't' from down river 23.9 ( \(n \tilde{o}^{u}\) down river)
```

handa't' (going) across (han- across) 30.4; 31.16
$h \bar{a}^{a} n d a d a^{\prime} t$ ' from across (the river) (ha'nda across it) $112.17 ; 114.17$
habamda't' from above ( $h a-$ in $+b a m-u p$ )
haxiya'dat from water on to land (hu-xiya' in the water)
dak'-wilitidat' from on top of the house ( $d a k^{\prime}$-wili over the house)
27.5; 62.5
$g w e n-t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}-b o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a n d a d a^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ from the east (gwen-t $t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a}-b o^{\prime} k^{\prime} d a n d a$ east) 144.23 ; (cf. 146.1)
More special in use of $-d a t{ }^{*}$ are:
honox dat last year (honõx some time ago)
dewe'nxada't' day after to-morrow (dewe'nxa to-morrow)
$d e^{\epsilon} d a^{\prime} t$ first, before others 110.5
2. -xa. A fairly considerable number of adverbs, chiefly temporal in signification, are found to end in this element. Such are:
$\hbar \bar{o}^{u}$ Xa' yesterday $76.9 ; 98.21$
$d a-h \bar{o}^{u} \times \mathrm{xa}^{\prime}$ this evening $13.3 ; 16.15 ; 63.8 ; 78.4$
dabalni'xa for a long time (cf. bãl-s long and lep'ni'xa in winter) 54.4; 108.16
$y a^{\prime}$ xa continually, only, indeed (cf. post-positive $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ just) 54.5 ; $63.3 ; 78.10$
dewe'nxa to-morrow $77.14 ; 112.15 ; 130.17 ; 194.1$
dap! a'xa toward daylight, dawn 45.4
$d e^{\prime e} e_{\mathrm{Xa}}$ henceforth (cf. de-in front of) 196.5
sama'xa in summer (cf. sa'ma summer 188.13 ; verb-stem sam-gbe summer 92.9 ) 162.16; 176.13, 15
lep'ni'xa in winter $162.20 ; 176.15$
de-bixi'msa ([?]=-t-xa) in spring ([?] cf. bi'xal moon)
$d a-y \bar{o}^{u} g a^{\prime} m \mathrm{xa}$ in autumn 186.3
$t s^{\cdot}!i^{\prime} s^{*} \cdot a([?]=-t-x a)$ at night 182.20
$x a m \bar{u}^{\prime i} \mathrm{xa}$ by the ocean (cf. xam-into water) 21.1; 55.1
(?) $b \bar{o}^{u}$-nex xa-da $a^{\varepsilon}$ soon, immediately (cf. $b \tilde{o}^{u}$ now and $n e^{e}$ well! or $n a^{-1}$ do) $90.10 ; 108.2$
(?) $d a^{\varepsilon} m a^{\prime}$ xaufar away (for $d a^{\varepsilon}-$ cf. $d a^{\varepsilon}-o^{`} l$ near) $14.3 ; 188.21 ; 190.6$
In lep $n i x 90.6$, a doublet of lepini'xa, -xa appears shortened to $-x$; this $-x$ may be found also in hondx some time ago (cf. hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ again). Here perhaps belongs also da-yawa'nt!i-xi (adjectival?) in half, on one side (of two) 94.3.

It will be noticed that a number of these adverbs are provided with the prefix da- (de-before palatal vowels, cf. $\S 36,2$ ), the application of which, however, in their case, can not be explained.
3. - 1 e'. A number of adverbs, chiefly those of demonstrative signification, assume a temporal meaning on the addition of $-\pi c^{\prime}$, a
catch intervening between the suffix and the stem. Etymologically -ne may be identical with the hortatory particle ne well, let (Us) -
$h e^{e \varepsilon}$ - there yonder
ge there $14.3 ; 15.5,12$
$m e^{\varepsilon}$ - hither
$e^{\prime} m e^{\varepsilon}$ here $31.3 ; 192.9$
gwi how? 46.2; 78.5
To this set probably belong also:
$h e^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ne ${ }^{\prime}$ then, at that time 45.6; 49.14
ge $e^{\varepsilon}$ ne' so long $92.10 ; 198.9$
$m e^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{ne}^{e}$ at this time 24.14 (cf. also $m a^{\varepsilon} n a i$ around this time 178.4) $e m e^{\varepsilon}$ ne $\left(y \bar{a}^{\prime a}-h_{i} i\right)($ right $)$ here ([?] $=$ now) 190.23
$g w i^{\prime}$ ne some time (elapsed), how long? 44.2; 48.9; 148.7
$x \tilde{u}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}, x \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ne ${ }^{`}$ at night, night $45.3 ; 46.12 ; 48.10 ; 160.22$
$b \tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ by day 166.2 (cf. be sun, day)
hop! $\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon}$ n long ago 58.4; 86.7, 9; 192.15; 194.4
$x \bar{a}^{\varepsilon}$ newi' ${ }^{\prime}$ sometimes 132.25
$b \bar{o}^{u}$ nē now, yet 130.23 (cf. $b \tilde{o}^{u}$ now)
$\tau^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime}$, which the parallclism of the other forms in -ne with demonstrative stems leads one to expect, does not happen to oceur, but probably exists. Curiously enough, he $e^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ne not infrequently may be translated as like, particularly with preceding $k^{\prime} a i(\$ 105)$ :
$k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i$ héne bém something like wood 186.11
k'ai gwala he's ne like various things 196.3
A number of other adverbial suffixes probably occur, but the examples are not numerous enough for their certain determination. Among them is -ada':
$n \bar{o}^{u}$ gwada' some distance down river 54.2 (cf. $n \tilde{o}^{u}$ down river and $n \bar{o}^{u} g w^{\prime}$ down river from 75.14)
hinwada' some distance up river $56.4 ; 100.18 ; 102.4$ (ef. hina`u up river and hinwa' up river from 77.1)
$h a^{\prime} n t^{\prime} a d a$ across the river 98.5 ; 192.3; (cf. ha'nt' across, in half)
Several adverbs are found to end in-(lda)da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, perhaps to be identified with the -da $a^{\varepsilon}$ of subordinate verb-forms:
$b \bar{o}^{u}$-néxada immediately $90.10,12 ; 108.2$
he $e^{e}(d a) d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ away from here $92.5 ; 172.5 ; 194.10 ; 196.11$
gwel- ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wãl $w i^{\varepsilon}$ early in the morning 44.1; 63.9; 77.14; 190.1 seems to be a specialized verb-form in $-l^{\prime} \dot{z}^{\varepsilon} 1 F$, whenever. It is possible that there is an adverbial $-t$ suffix:
gwe'nt' in back, behind 94.15
ha'nt across, in half $146.22 ; 154.9 ; 192.7$

It may be that this $-t$ has regularly dropped off when final in polysyllables:

$$
d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} l \text { near } 100.15 \text {; but } d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} l t^{\prime} i\left(=d a^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} \eta\left[t^{\prime}\right]+-h i\right) 136.7
$$

## § 113. Simple Adverbs

The simple adverbs that are closely associated with demonstrative stems have been already discussed ( $\$ 104$ ). A number of others, partly simple stems and partly unanalyzable derivatives, are listed here, such as have been already listed under adverbial suffixes not being repeated.

1. Local adverbs:
```
"\tilde{o}}\mathrm{ down river 17.9;63.1;124.15
n\mp@subsup{\overline{o}}{}{\prime}u\varepsilon}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}\mathrm{ next door ([?] related to n (o}\mp@subsup{}{}{u}\mathrm{ ) 17.4; 188.2
Hina`'u up river ([?] compounded with nõ}\mp@subsup{}{}{u}\mathrm{ ) 22.7; 23.1; 61.13;
    192.14
dac*o`l near (cf. -t', § 112, and see § 93) 100.15; 102.6; 126.2
dihau(y\overline{a}}\mp@subsup{}{}{\primea})\mathrm{ last of all (see § 93) 120.1S
g\mp@subsup{\imath}{}{\prime\prime}\mp@subsup{\varepsilon}{}{\prime\varepsilon}}\mathrm{ wa far off 48.8; 192.1
aba` i in the house (cf. § 37, 14) 28.8; 43.13; 140.5
ha'gasya` on both sides, mutually (cf. § 37, 5) 172.10; 176.6
```

2. Temporal adverbs:
```
bóu now, to-day 49.13; 50.1; 56.11; 61.11
ha'wi still, yet (cf. & 37, 9) 78.1; 126.21; 192.8; 198.11
lo\overline{u}nez hawi
olo'm (ulu'm) formerly, up to now 43.11; 63.1; 71.15; 166.2
hemdi' when? 132.24; a'nĩ 'hem never
míi now, already (often proclitic to following word) 22.4; 63.1;
    190.9
gane then, and then (often used merely to introduce new state-
    ment) 47.14; 63.1, 2, 16
```

A noteworthy idiomatic construction of adverbs or phrases of temporal signification is their use as quasi-substantives with forms of $l \bar{a}^{a} l_{i} i^{i}$ become. Compare such English substantivized temporal phrases as Afternoon. Examples are:
sama'xa lãp' $\dot{\prime}^{\prime}$ in-summer it-has-become 92.11
haye ${ }^{\epsilon} u a^{\prime} x \overline{d a}^{\prime \prime} d a l \bar{a}^{a} l \bar{e}^{-}$in-their-returning it-became (=it became time for them to return) 124.15
habēbini diha'-uda lāalit' a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ noon after-it when-it-became ( $=$ when it was afternoon) 186.8
3. Negative and affirmative adverbs:
hüt no 134.19, 21
$h a^{\prime}-u$ yes $24.13 ; 64.1 ; 170.12$
$a^{\prime} n \bar{i}^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{not}$ (with aorist) 23.3, 6; 64.3; 78.1
$a^{\prime} n d i$ not? $56.10 ; 90.26$ (e. g., $a^{\prime} n d i k k^{\prime} a i$ are there not any ?) 56.8 $n \bar{i}^{i}$ not? (with following subordinate): $s^{s}-n i^{\prime} i n^{\prime} g a^{\prime}$ sbinda $a^{\varepsilon}$ didn't

I tell you? 136.10
naga-di' do (you) not? 116.12
wede not (with inferential and potential) 25.13; 122.22, 23
4. Modal adverbs:
hono ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (rarely heard as honõ ${ }^{\varepsilon} n 74.8$; this is very likely its original form, $\mathrm{cf} .{ }^{\epsilon} n$ for $-^{\varepsilon} n e, \S 112,3$ ) again, too, also 22.4; 5S.5; 134.1
ganga only 54.4; 94.5; ganga'-hi anyhow 94.8; 142.13; ganga-s•i's just so, for fun
wana' even $47.10 ; 61.3 ; 71.8 ; 76.4 ; 186.2$
yaxáa ${ }^{\prime a} w a$ however (cf. yaxa, § 114, 9; for $-w a \mathrm{cf} . ~ g \imath^{\prime \prime i \varepsilon} w a, \S 113,1$ ) $72.11 ; 74.15$
ha'ga explanatory particle used with inferential $28.10 ; 45.11$ (e. g., ga haga wa'la ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yu'k' so that one was really he 170.8)
$n a k!a^{\prime}$ in every way, of all sorts (e. g., $k^{\prime} a d i^{\prime} n a k!a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} \bar{q} g \imath^{\prime \prime} n a n$
what kind was not taken?, i. e., every kind was taken 60.11)
yewe perhaps $136.23 ; 180.8 ; 196.18$
$s \cdot o^{\varepsilon}, s^{\cdot} \bar{o}^{u \varepsilon}$ perfectly, well $136.20 ; 166.1$ (e. g., $s \cdot o^{\prime \varepsilon}$ de $g w a^{\prime} l t^{\prime} g w^{i} p^{e}$ take good care of yourself! 12S.24)
amadi' $\left(s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}\right)$ would that! 142.10 (e. \&., amadi's $i^{\varepsilon}$ t!omoma ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} n$ I wish I could kill him; amadi loho ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ would that he died! 196.2)
$w i^{\prime} s a^{\varepsilon} m$ (cf. wis, § 114,8 ) I wonder if 150.2, 3 (e. g., $m \bar{\imath}^{i} w i^{\prime} s a^{\varepsilon} m$ $y a^{\prime s}$ I wonder if he went already)
It is a characteristic trait of Takelma, as of many other American languages, that such purely modal ideas as the optative (wocind that!) and dubitative ( I wonder If) are expressed by independent adverbs without modification of the indicative verb-form (cf. further $w i^{\varepsilon} o b i h a^{`} n y e^{e} w a^{\prime \varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ wi'sa ${ }^{\epsilon} m$ mY-ELDER-bROTHERS THEY-WILL-RETURN i-WONDER-IF 150.2, 3).

Several of the adverbs listed above can be used relatively with subordinates, in which use they may be looked upon as conjunctive adverbs:
$b \bar{o}^{u}-g w a n^{1}$ (1) y $\bar{a}^{a} n i a^{\prime}-u d a^{\varepsilon}$ (2) bai-yeweya ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime w}$ (3) as soon as (1) they went (2), she took him out again (3) 128.20
yewé (1) xebeeyagwanaga'm (2) yечẽ (3) w'्áada (4) hiwili'uє (5) perhaps (1) that we destroy him (2), perhaps (3) he runs (5)

[^69]to her (4) (=should we destroy him, perhaps he would run to her)
waya' (1) he $e^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime}$ (2) de-ki iwi'k'auk' wanma ${ }^{s}$ (3) ga (4) $n a^{\varepsilon} n \tilde{a} k^{\prime} i k^{*}$ (5) just as (2) a knife (1) is brandished (3), that (4) he did with it (5) 172.12 (cf. he $e^{\varepsilon} n e^{\prime}$ in its meaning of like, $\S 112,3$ )

## § 114. Particles

By particles are nere meant certain uninflected elements that have little or no meaning of their own, but that serve either to connect clauses or to color by some modal modification the word to which they are attached. They are never met with at the beginning of a clause or sentence, but occur only postpositively, generally as enclitics. Some of the elements listed above as modal adverbs ( $\$ 113,4$ ) might also be considered as syntactic particles (c. g., wana, ha'ga, nak! a', which never stand at the beginning of a clause); these, however, show no tendency to be drawn into the verb-complex. Whenever particles qualify the clause as a whole, rather than any particular word in the clause, they tend to occupy the second place in the sentence, a tendency that, as we have seen (p.65), causes them often to be inserted, but not organically incorporated, into the verbcomplex. The most frequently occurring particles are those listed below:

1. $y \bar{a}^{\prime \prime \prime}$ just. This element is not dissimilar in meaning to the post-nominal emphasizing - $-^{-} a^{\prime}(\$ 102)$, but differs from it in that it may be embedded in the verb-form:
$\bar{i}-y \bar{a}^{\prime} a_{-s g e}{ }^{\circ} t^{\prime}$ sga ${ }^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ he just t wisted it to one side 31.5
It only rarely follows a verb-form, however, showing a strong tendency to attach itself to denominating terms. Though serving generally to emphasize the preceding word, it does not seem to involve, like ${ }^{-\varepsilon} a$, the idea of a contrast:
$x \bar{a}^{a}$-xo $y \bar{a}^{\prime} a$ right among firs (cf. 94.17)
he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne $y \bar{u}^{\prime a}$ just then, then indeed $63.13 ; 128.22 ; 188.1,18$
$d \tilde{o}^{u} m x b$ in $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ I shall just kill you 178.15
It has at times a comparative force:
$g \bar{i}^{i} y \bar{a}^{\prime a} n a^{\varepsilon} n a d a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ you will be, act, just like me (cf. 196.2)
?. hi. This constantly occurring enclitic is somewhat difficult to define. With personal pronouns it is used as an emphatic particle:
$m a^{\prime} h i$ you yourself (cf. 104.13; 159.20
§ 114

Similarly with demonstratives:
$g a^{\prime}$ hi just that, the same $64.6 ; 96.16 ; 144.3 ; 190.21$
In such cases it is rather difficult to draw the line between it and $y \bar{a}^{\prime a},{ }^{1}$ to which it may be appended:
ga $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}$ hi gwelda' just under that 190.17
han-y $\bar{a}^{\prime a}-h i b \bar{a}^{a}-t^{\prime} e^{\prime} e_{x}$ just across the river she emerged 58.3
As emphasizing particle it may even be appended to subordinate verb forms and to local phrases:
$y \tilde{a} n t^{\prime} e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon} h i^{\prime}$ just as I went (cf. 138.23; 152.5, 7)
diha-udẽ $h i$ right behind me, as soon as I had gone
It may be enclitically attached to other particles, $y \bar{a}^{\prime a}-h i$ 192.1 being a particularly frequent combination:
$g_{\bar{i}}{ }^{i} y a x a^{\prime}-h i \mathrm{I}$, however, indeed 71.8
Its signification is not always, however, so specific nor its force so strong. All that can be said of it in many cases is that it mildly calls attention to the preceding word without, however, specially emphasizing it; often its force is practically nil. This lack of definite signification is well illustrated in the following lullaby, in the second line of which it serves merely to preserve the rhythm - $v$ :
$m o^{\prime}$ xo wa'inh $\bar{a}$ buzzard, put him to sleep!
$s \cdot \bar{v}^{\prime} m h i$ wa'inh $\bar{a}$ (?) put him to sleep!
$p^{\prime} e^{\prime} l d a$ wa'inhā slug, put him to sleep!
The most important syntactic function of $h i$ is to make a verbal prefix an independent word, and thus take it out of its proper place in the verb:
$d e^{\prime}-7 i$ anead (from $d e$ - in front) 33.15; 64.3; 196.1; 195.12
$h a^{\prime} n-h i e i-s \tilde{a} k^{\cdot}{ }^{w}$ across he-canoe-paddled
but:
$e i-h a n-s \tilde{a} k^{*}{ }^{w}$ he-canoe-across-paddled 112.9, 18; 114.11
where han-, as an incorporated local prefix, takes its place after the object $e \tilde{\imath}$. A number of adverbs always appear with suffixed $h i$; е. g., gasa'lhiquickıy 16.10. Like - ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$, from which it differs, however, in its far greater mobility, hi is never found appended to non-subordinate predicative forms. With hi must not be confused:

[^70]3. - $h i^{\varepsilon}$. This particle is found appended most frequently to intro-
ductory words in the sentence, such as $m \bar{i}^{i}$, gan $\bar{e}$, and other adverbs, and to verb-forms:
$m i^{i}-7 i^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} a g a^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ then he returned 62.2; (cf. 188.15)
gane-his aba-i-ginis $k^{\prime} i^{*}$ and then he went into the house 55.16
$n a g a^{\prime}-i-h i^{\varepsilon}=n a g a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ he said $+-h i^{\varepsilon}($ see § 22) 22.6; 57.1; 128.15; 192.9

As no definite meaning can be assigned to it, and as it is found only in myth narration, it is highly probable that it is to be interpreted as a quotative:
ga naga'sa $n$ - $h i^{\varepsilon}$ that they said to each other, it is said $27.1,3 ; 31.9$ $-h i^{\varepsilon}$ is also found attached to a verbal prefix (22.1; 140.8, 22, 23).
4. $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ and, but. This is one of the most frequently occurring particles in Takelma narration, its main function being to bind together two clauses or sentences, particularly when a contrast is involved. It is found appended to nouns or pronouns as deictic or connective suffix:
$\tilde{a} k \cdot s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ he in his turn 61.11 ; (cf. $47.14 ; 104.8,13$ )
hülk' sgi'sidiך mexss $i^{\varepsilon}$ Panther and Coyote, also Crane
An example of its use as sentence connector is:
ga naga $\tilde{n} h a n h a-t^{\prime} g \bar{a}^{a} d \bar{e} h o p!\tilde{e}^{\varepsilon} n, b \bar{o}^{u}-s \cdot i^{\prime s} e m e^{\prime \varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon} g a n a g a^{\prime} n$ that used-to-be-said in-my-country long-ago, now-but here not that is-said 194.4; (cf. 60.9; 118.3; 122.17)
$-s^{s} i^{\varepsilon}$ is particularly frequently suffixed to the demonstratives ga that and aga this, gas $i^{\varepsilon}$ and agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ serving to connect two sentences, the second of which is the temporal or logical resultant or antithesis of the second. Both of the connected or contrasted sentences may be introduced by gas $\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$, agas $\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$, or by a word with enclitically attached $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$. In an antithesis agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ seems to introduce the nearer, while gas $\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ is used to refer to the remoter act. Examples showing the usage of gas $i^{\varepsilon}$ and agas $\imath^{\varepsilon}$ are:
gas $i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ de $e^{e} l$ ha-de-dīlt $a$ d $\bar{i}-b \bar{u} m \bar{a}^{\prime a} k^{\prime}$ (I smoked them out), andthen (or so-that) yellow-jackets everywhere swarmed 73.10
$k^{\prime} a i w i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ t!omoma'nda gas $i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ gayawa ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ something I-having-killed-it, thereupon you-ate-it 90.8
gas $\cdot i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ gūxda hülüün wa-iwīí t!omxi'xas $i^{\varepsilon}$ aba ${ }^{\prime}$ on-one-hand hiswife (was a) sea woman, her-mother-in-law-but (lived) in-thehouse 154.15
agas $\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ yõ $u k!^{w} a t^{\prime} k^{\prime} y \bar{a}^{\prime a} x u^{\prime} m a-s^{\varepsilon} i^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\tau}^{\varepsilon} d e^{\varepsilon} \ddot{u} g \ddot{u}^{\prime} s^{\cdot i}$ now my-bones just (I was) (i. e., I was reduced to a skeleton), food-and not she-gave-me-to-eat 186.1
agas $i^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} m i^{\prime \varepsilon}$ wa al-t!eye'xi naga ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$ yulum ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$ aga's $i^{\varepsilon}$ xamki wa$i w \bar{\imath}^{-i} m \bar{\imath}^{i}$ al-t!ayãk' $w a$ on-one-hand " Not probably she-has-dis-covered-me," he-said Eagle-for-his-part, but Grizzly-Bear girl now she-had-discovered him 124.9
gas $\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ and agas $\cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ as syntactic elements are not to be confused with the demonstratives $g a$ and $a g a$ to which a connective $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ happens to be attached. This is shown by:
$g a-s \cdot i^{\epsilon} g a^{\varepsilon} a l$ that-so for ( $=$ so for that reason)
where $g a^{\varepsilon} a l$ is a postposition to $g a$. There is nothing to prevent post-nominal $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ from appearing in the same clause:
$a g a^{\prime} s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon} m e ̄ l s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ but Crow-in-her-turn 162.14
When suffixed to the otherwise non-occurring demonstrative ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}$ (perhaps contained in $\bar{i} d a$ - тнat) it has a concessive force, despite, although, even if 60.1:
 although-indeed mountain to everywhere he-went, not hefound deer 43.6
$i^{\prime} s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ ts!aya' $k^{\prime} a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon}$ t!omõm gũxdagwa although he-shot-at-her, not he-killed-her his-own-wife 140.17
$-h i^{\varepsilon}$ (see no. 3) or connective $-s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon}$ may be added to ${ }^{\varepsilon} \imath^{\prime} s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$, the resulting forms, with eatch dissimilation (see § 22), being ${ }^{\varepsilon} \varepsilon^{\prime} s^{*} i h i^{\varepsilon}$ and ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} s \cdot i s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} 47.11 ; 148.12$. When combined with the itlea of unfulfilled action, the concessive ${ }^{\varepsilon} \tau \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ is supplemented by the conditional form in $-k^{*} i^{\varepsilon}$ of the verb:
${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} s \cdot i^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i$ gwala nãxbiyauk' $i^{\varepsilon}$, wede ge $\bar{\imath}^{i}$ wa't even-though things many they-should-say-to-you (i. e., even though they call you names), not there look! 60.3
Compounded with $-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}$ is the indefinite particle:
5. $-\boldsymbol{s}^{\bullet} \boldsymbol{i}^{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{c} \boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{l}^{\bullet} \boldsymbol{d i}$ 64.5. When appended to interrogatives, this particle brings about the corresponding indefinite meaning (see § 105), but it has also a more general syntactic usage, in which capacity it may be translated as perchance, it seems, probably:
$m a^{\prime} s i^{\varepsilon} w a k{ }^{\prime} d i$ henenagwa't perhaps (or probably) you ate it all up 26.17

The uncompounded wakd also occurs:
ulu'm wô'k'dik゙ai nãk'am formerly I-guess something it-was said to him 166.1
ga wa'lidi hogwa's $s d \bar{a}^{a}$ that-one, it-seems, (was) their-runner 49.3
Similar in signification is:
6. $\quad \boldsymbol{m}^{-i s} \| \cdot \boldsymbol{\prime}$ probably, perhaps $45.8 ; 63.15$. This enclitic has a considerable tendency to apparently be incorporated in the verb:
$\bar{\imath}-m \bar{\imath}^{\prime i \varepsilon} w a-t!\bar{a} \tilde{u} t!i w i n$ maybe he was caught ( $\bar{\imath}-t!\bar{a} \tilde{u} t!i w i n ~ h e ~ w a s ~$ caught)
$x a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-m i^{\prime i} w a-s g \imath^{\prime i} b i^{\varepsilon} n \quad m \ddot{u}^{\ddot{\varepsilon}} x d a^{\prime} n h i$ I'll-probably-cut-him-in-two once just 31.13
7. $h i$ is, $h^{i}{ }^{i}$ s nearly, almost, trying 44.7 ; 56.14. This element implies that the action which was done or attempted failed of success:
$m_{i}{ }^{i} h o n o^{\varepsilon}$ t!omonk'wa-his mãl then also he-killed-him nearly spearshaft (personified), i. e., spear-shaft almost managed to kill him, as he had killed others 28.11; (cf. 185.20)

A frequent Takelma idiom is the use of $h i$ 's with a form of the verb of saying $n a(g)$ - to imply a thought or intention on the part of the subject of the $n a(g)$-form that fails to be realized:
"ha-xiya' mī̃ wa sga $\bar{a}^{\prime} t^{\prime} a p^{\prime} d e^{\varepsilon} " n a g a^{\prime i \varepsilon}-h i ' s$ "in-the-water probably I-shall-jump," he thought (but he really fell among alderbushes and was killed) 94.17
Sometimes his seems to have a usitative signification; probably the main point implied is that an act once habitual has ceased to be so:
$d a k-h i s-t^{\prime} c k!e^{\prime} e x a d e^{\varepsilon}$ I used to smoke (but no longer do)
8. wis, wis it seems, moubtless. This particle is used to indicate a likely inference. Examples are:
$m \bar{\imath}^{i}$-wis dap ${ }^{s} \bar{a}^{\prime} l a-u$ moyūgwana'n now-it-seems youth he's-to-bespoiled (sceing that he's to wrestle with a hitherto invincible one) 31.12
$m \bar{\imath}^{i} w \bar{\imath}^{\prime i} s \tilde{a} k!a$ t!omoma'n now apparently he-for-his-part he-has-been-killed (seeing that he does not return) SS.9,(6)
9. yaxa continually, only. The translation given for yaxa is really somewhat too strong and definite, its force being often so weak as hardly to allow of an adequate rendering into English. It
often does not seem to imply more than simple existence or action unaccompanied and undisturbed. It is found often with the searcely translatable adverb ganga oxly, in which case the idea of unvaried continuance comes out rather strongly, e. g.:
ga'-hi yaxa ganga naga'is that-indeed continually only he-said (i. e., he always kept saying that) 24.15

From ganga it differs in the fact that it is often attracted into the verb-complex:
ganga ge'l-yaxa-hewe'hau only he-is-continually-thinking (i. e., he is always thinking) (ef. 128.18; 146.15)
10. wrlal $^{\prime \varepsilon}\left(\right.$ sinas $\left.^{\varepsilon}\right)$ REALLY, COME TO FIND OUT 45.11 ; 170.s. As indicated in the translation, wala's indicates the more or less unexpected resoluti 1 of a doubt or state of ignorance:
ga ha!fa wala's wil: wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!a^{\prime} n i k^{\prime}$ that-one so really house he-keptit (i. e., it was Spear-shaft himself who kept house, no one else) 28.10

Certain usages of wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon} \operatorname{si}\left(n a^{\varepsilon}\right)$, evidently an amplification of wala ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$, have been already discussed (§70).
11. di interrogative. The interrogative enclitic is consistently used in all cases where an interrogative shade of meaning is present, whether as applying to a particular word, such as an interrogative pronoun or adverb, or to the whole sentence. Its use in indirect questions is frequent:
mãu $t^{\prime} \bar{\imath}^{\prime} s$ mixal di' t!omomana's he-counted gophers how-many nad-been-killed

The use of the interrogative is often merely rhetorical, implying an emphatic negative:
$k^{\circ} a-d i^{\prime}$ ma wili wa $a^{\varepsilon}-\bar{\imath}-t!a^{\prime} n i d a^{\varepsilon}$ literally, what you house you-willkeep? (=you shall not keep house) 27.16 ; (cf. 33.1; 47.9)
Ordinarily $d i$ occupies the second place in the sentence, less frequently the third:
$y \bar{u}^{\prime} k!a l x d e^{\varepsilon} m \bar{\imath}^{i} d i^{`}{ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n \bar{\imath}^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a^{\prime} i$ your-tecth now (inter.) not any (i. e., have you no teeth?) 128.23

Besides these syntactically and modally important enclitic particles, there are a few proclities of lesser significance. Among these are to be included $m \bar{i}^{i}$ now and game then, AND THEN, which, though they have been included among the temporal adverbs and may
indeed, at times, convey a definite temporal idea, are generally weak unaccented introducers of a clause, and have little determinable force:
gane $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$ then he went $92.26 ; 118.19 ; 152.7$
$m \bar{\imath}^{i}{ }^{\text {lohon }}{ }^{\prime i \varepsilon}$ then he died $71.13 ; 98.19 ; 122.13$
The proclitic ne well! is used chiefly as introductory to a hortatory statement:
> $n e^{c} g \bar{o}^{u} m-s \cdot i^{\prime \varepsilon} d a k^{*}-s \cdot i n \bar{i}^{\prime i} d a n a b \bar{a}^{\prime a \varepsilon} h a^{\prime} n$ let us-in-our-turn over-
> his-nose let-us-do (i. e., let us pass over him!) 144.11
> $n e^{e}$ t!omoma's $n$ let me kill him! (cf. 96.4)

## § 115. VII. Interjections

Of interjections and other words of an emotional character there are quite a number in Takelma. Some of them, while in no sense of definite grammatical form, are based on noun or verb stems. Not a few involve sounds otherwise foreign to the language (e. g., nasalized vowels [expressed by ${ }^{n}$ ], $\ddot{a}$ as in English bat, $\hat{a}$ as in saw, $d j$ as in JUdGe, voiceless palatal $l$ [written $l$ ], final fortis consonant); prolongation of vowels and consonants (expressed by + ) and repetition of elements are frequently used.

The material obtained may be classified as follows:

1. Particles of Address:
$a m a^{\prime \prime}$ come on! 96.24
hene ${ }^{\prime}$ away from here! get away! $148.8,10,11,13,14$
dit'gwãlam O yes! (with idea of pity) 29.13; dit'gwa'as ${ }^{\prime a} l a m$ wis $w \tilde{a}$ my poor younger brother! 64.4
$h a-i$ used by men in talking to each other
$h a^{\prime} i k!\bar{a}^{\prime}$ used by women in talking to each other (cf. ha-ik! $\tilde{a}$ wife! husband!)
2. Simple Interjections (expressing fundamental emotions):
$\bar{a}+$ surprise, generally joyful; weeping $28.5 ; 58.2 ; 150.2$
$\breve{a} ; \breve{a}^{\bullet} ;^{\varepsilon} \breve{a} ;^{\varepsilon} \breve{a}{ }^{\circ}$ sudden surprise at new turn; sudden resolve $28.6 ; 29.7$; 55.7; 78.9
$a^{\cdot \varepsilon}$ sudden halt at perceiving something not noticed before 26.12
$o^{`}$ doubt, caution 136.23
$\bar{o}+$ sudden recollection; admiration, wonderment; call 92.9 ; $138.19 ; 188.17,19$
$\hat{a}+$ fear, wonder 17.3
${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{e}$; ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{e}$ displeasure $27.16 ; 32.9 ; 33.6 ; 122.12$
$\varepsilon_{\grave{e}}$; hè + (both hoarsely whispered) used by mythological characters (crane, snake) on being roused to attention 122.10; 148.17, 18
$h \bar{e}+; \bar{e}+$ call $59.2 ; 73.7 ; 75.10 ; 76.8$
${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{n^{2}} ;{ }^{\varepsilon} e^{n}$ disapproval, "what's up?", sarcasm 28.11; 32.10
 198.2
$h e^{n}$ scorn, threat $140.9 ; 152.14$
$e^{n^{2}}$ sniffing suspiciously 160.20
$E^{n^{2}} E^{n^{2}} E^{n^{2}} E^{n^{+}}$smelling suspiciously 124.23
djá disapproval, warning 156.18
$m+m+$ gentle warning, pity 29.8; 31.11, 14
$h m+h m+$ reviving hope (?) 32.3
$w \ddot{a}+w \ddot{a}+$ (loudly whispered) ery for help 29.12
$h a-i$ alas! 62.4, 7
$A^{n}+$ groan 182.11
$h o^{\prime \varepsilon}$ (hoarsely whispered) on being wounded 190.24
$h \hat{a}^{\prime} h \hat{a} h \hat{a}$ groans on being wounded 192.10
he the he he laughter 118.22; 120.6
Those that follow have a prefixed $s$ - frequently used by Coyote.
They are probably characteristic of this character (see also 71.14; 90.12).
$s^{\bullet \varepsilon} e^{\prime}$ hehehe derisive laughter 71.7; 72.11; 73.15; 74.15
$s^{*} b e^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ sharp anger $86.6,22,24$
$s \cdot b e^{\prime}+{ }^{u}$ call for some one to come 92.1
$c^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} i$ say there, you! $92.18,21$
$s^{\cdot} g \bar{a}+$ sorrow 100.3
3. Set Calls (including cries in formulas and myths):
$p^{\circ} \ddot{a}+$ (loudly whispered) war-whoop 190.15
$b \ddot{a}+b \ddot{a}+$ (loudly whispered and held out long) war-whoop $136.26 b \ddot{a} w \ddot{a}^{\prime} \ddot{a} u$ wä́ $\ddot{a} u$ : . . . . (loudly whispered) war-whoop 110.19 gwä́ lä lä lä lä (loudly whispered) war-whoop on slaying one of enemy
$w \hat{a} w \hat{a} w \hat{a}$ ery to urge on deer to corral
$b \bar{o}+$ yelling at appearance of new moon 196.5
$h \ddot{a}+$; $b \ddot{a}+$ (both loudly whispered) urging on to run 46.5, 7; 47.6; 48.1, 3, $9 ; 49.3$
$h^{w}+$ blowing before exereising supernatural power 96.19, 20, 22; 198.7
$p^{*}+$ blowing in exercising supernatural power 77.9
$p^{*}+$ blowing water on person to resuscitate him 170.3
hě blowing preparatory to medicine-formula addressed to wind 198.4
do do do do do do ery (of ghosts) on catching fire 98.4 (cf. Yana $d u^{\prime}$ $\left.d u d u d u^{\prime} d u d u\right)$
$x i m \bar{\imath}^{\prime}+x i m i$ ery of rolling skull $174.5,6$
$\bar{o}^{\prime}+d a d a d a d a d a$ cry of peopie running away from rolling skull 174.9, 10
do'lhi dolhi taunt (of Pitch to Coyote) 86.2, 8, 10, 17, 21, 23; 88. 1, 2
da'ldalwaya da ldalwaya da'ldalwaya formula for catching crawfish (explained in myth as derived from daldal dragon-fly) 29.14, 16
wi'lik!isi "cut off!" (cf. wīi ${ }^{\prime} \bar{l}^{\prime i}$ his stone knife 142.21) ChickenHawk's cry for revenge 144.1
sgilbibī' ${ }^{i} x$ "come warm yourself!" 25.7 (cf. sgili' pxde $e^{\varepsilon}$ I warm myself 25.8)
gewe ${ }^{\prime \epsilon} k!$ !ewe ${ }^{e}$ (cf. gewe $k!i w i^{\epsilon} n$ I hold [salmon] bow-fashion) said by Pitch when Coyote is stuck to him $88.5,9,11,12$
$p!i d i-l-p^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} t^{\prime} p^{\prime} i d i t^{\prime} k^{*}$ "O my liver!" (cf. $p^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\varepsilon} t^{\top} p^{\circ} i d-i-$ salmon liver $)$ cry of Grizzly Bear on finding she has eaten her children's livers $120.19,20$
The last three show very irregular types of reduplication, not otherwise found.
4. Animal Cries and Imitative Sounds:
wa'yañ cry of Jack-Rabbit 108.9, 14, 17
$\left(s^{*}\right) h a^{\prime} u, h a^{\prime} u$ cry of Grizzly Bear 106.12, 19; 140.12
$w \bar{a}^{\prime}+{ }^{u}$ (hoarse) death-cry of Grizzly Bear woman 142.3
$h \hat{a}^{u}$ Bear's cry 72.15
$p!\tilde{a} k{ }^{*} p!\tilde{a} k{ }^{*}$ "bathe! bathe!" supposed cry of crow
bak" bak' bak' bak* bak' bak' sound made by Woodpecker $90.11 ; 92.2$ (cf. $b a^{\prime} k^{\prime} b \bar{a}^{a}$ red-headed woodpecker 92.2 )
p!au p!au p!au p!aup!au p!au sound made by Yellowhammer 90.19
$b u m+b u m+$ noise made by rolling skull 174.4
$t c!e^{\prime}$ lelelele (whispered) sound of rattling dentalia 156.24 (cf. aorist stem tc!elem-rattle)
tiul t'ul tiul noise made by Rock Boy in walking over graveyard house 14.8
$d_{E m}+d_{E m}+d_{E m}+$ noise of men fighting 24.1
$x a^{\prime}-u$ (whispered) noise of crackling hair as it burns 24.8
$t^{\prime} g i^{\prime} l$ imitating sound of something breaking 24.4 (cf. $x a-d \bar{a}^{a} n-t^{\prime} g i l-$
$t$ 'ga'lhi he broke it in two with rock 24.4)
$t^{\prime} u t^{\prime} t^{\prime} u t^{*} t^{\prime} u t^{*}$ noise of pounding acorns 26.12
$b_{A} k$ ! "pop!" stick stuck into eye 27.8
$h u^{n}+$ confused noise of people talking far off 190.7
$k!i^{\prime}$ didididi sound of men wrestling 32.14
5. Song Burdens:
wa'yawene tō'u wana medicine-man's dance 46.14
wainhā round dance; lullaby (cf. wainha put him to sleep!) 104.15; 106.4, 8; 105 note
$k!i^{\prime} x i n h i$ round dance (said by Frog) 102.18
${ }^{\varepsilon_{0}}{ }^{\prime} c u^{\varepsilon} 0^{\prime} c u$ round dance (said by Frog) 102.23
gwa'tca gwatca round dance (suid by Bluejay) 104.:-
$t c!a^{\prime} i t c!\bar{\imath} \bar{a}$ round dance (play on tc! $a^{\prime i s} c$ bluejay) $10+.7$
$b e^{\prime} b e b i n i b z^{\prime} a$ round dance (said by Mouse; play on bebe'n rushes) 104.10
beleldō round dance (play on belp' swan) 104.15
$b i^{\prime} g i b i^{\prime} g i b i^{\prime} g \bar{\imath}+$ Skunk's medicine-man's dance ([?] play on bik'w skunk) 164.18, 22; 166.5
$h \hat{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ gwatci h $\hat{a}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ gwatci said by somloho'lxa's in doctoring

## § 116. CONCLUSION

The salient morphologic characteristics of Takelma may be summed up in the words inflective and incorporating, the chief stress being laid on either epithet according as one attaches greater importance to the general method employed in the formation of words and forms and their resulting inner coherence and unity, or to the particular grammatical treatment of a special, though for many American languages important, syntactic relation, the object. Outside of most prefixed elements and a small number of the post-nominal suffixes, neither of which enter organically into the inner structure of the word-form, the Takelma word is a firmly knit morphologic unit built up of a radical base or stem and one or more affixed (generally suffixed) elements of almost entirely formal, not material, signification.

It would be interesting to compare the structure of Takelma with that of the neighboring languages; but a lack, at the time of writing, of published material on the Kalapuya, Coos, Shasta, Achomawi, and Karok makes it necessary to dispense with such comparison. With the Athapascan dialects of southwest Oregon, the speakers of which were in close cultural contact with the Takelmas, practically no agreements of detail are traceable. Both Takelma and Athapascan make a very extended idiomatic use of a rather large number of verbal prefixes, but the resemblance is probably not a farreaching one. While the Athapascan prefixes are etymologically distinct from the main body of lexical material and have reference chiefly to position and modes of motion, a very considerable number of the Takelma prefixes are intimately associated, etymologically and functionally, with parts of the body. In the verb the two languages agree in the incorporation of the pronominal subject and
object, but here again the resemblance is only superficial. In Athapascan the pronominal elements are phonetically closely combined with the verbal prefixes and stand apart from the following verb-stem, which never, or very rarely, loses its monosyllabic individuality. In Takelma the pronominal elements, together with the derivative affixes, enter into very close combination with the preceding verb-stem, but stand severely aloof from the verbal prefixes. The radical phonetic changes which the verb-stem undergoes for tense in both languages is perhaps the most striking resemblance between the two; but even in this regard they differ widely as to the methods employed. Neither the very extended use of reduplication in Takelma, nor the frequent use in Athapasean of distinct verb-stems for the singular and plural, is shared by the other. Add to this the fact that the phonetic systems of Athapascan and Takelma are more greatly divergent than would naturally be expected of neighboring languages, and it becomes clear that the opinion that has generally been held, though based on practically no evidence, in regard to the entirely distinct characteristics of the two linguistic stocks, is thoroughly justified.

The entire lack of nominal cases in Takelma and the lack of pronominal incorporation in Klamath indicate at the outset the fundamental morphologic difference between these stocks. In so far as nominal cases and lack of pronominal incorporation are made the chief morphologic criteria of the central Californian group of linguistic families, as represented, say, by Maidu and Yokuts, absolutely no resemblance is discernible between those languages and Takelma. As far, then, as available linguistic material gives opportunity for judgment, Takelma stands entirely isolated among its neighbors.

In some respects Takelma is typically American, in so far as it is possible at all to speak of typical American linguistic characteristics. Some of the more important of these typical or at any rate widespread American traits, that are found in Takelma, are: the incorporation of the pronominal (and nominal) object in the verb; the incorporation of the possessive pronouns in the noun; the closer association with the verb-form of the object than the subject; the inclusion of a considerable number of instrumental and local modifications in the verb-complex; the weak development of differences of tense in the verb and of number in the verb and noun; and the impossibility of drawing a sharp line between mode and tense.

Of the more special grammatical characteristics, some of which are nearly unparalleled in those languages of North America that have been adequately studied, are: a system of pitch-accent of fairly constderable, though probably etymologieally secondary, formal significance; a strong tendency in the verb, noun, adjective, and adverb toward the formation of dissyllabic stems with repeated vowel (e.g., aorist stem yowo- be; verb-stem loho- die; noun moxo buzzard; adjective hos $\tilde{o}^{u}$ [plural] getting big; adverb olo'm formerly); a very considerable use of end reduplication, initial reduplication being entirely absent; the employment of consonant and vowel changes as a grammatical process; the use in verbs, nouns, and adjectives of prefixed elements, identical with body-part noun stems, that have reference now to parts of the body, now to purely local relations; the complicated and often irregular modifications of a verbal base for the formation of the most generalized tense, the aorist; the great differentiation of pronominal schemes according to syntactic relation, class of verb or noun, and tense-mode, despite the comparatively small number of persons (only five-two singular, two plural, and one indifferent); the entire lack in the noun and pronoun of cases (the subjective and objective are made unnecessary by the pronominal and nominal incorporation characteristic of the verb; the possessive, by the formal use of possessive pronoun affixes; and the local cases, by the extended use of pre-positives and postpositions); the existence in the noun of characteristic suffixes that appear only with prepositives and possessive affixes; the fair amount of distinctness that the adjective possesses as contrasted with both verb and noun; the use of a decimal system of numeration, tertiary or quinary in origin; and a rather efficient though simple syntactic apparatus of subordinating elements and well-modulated enclitio particles. Altogether Takelma has a great deal that is distinct and apparently even isolated about it. Though typical in its most fundamental features, it may, when more is known of Amcrican languages as a whole, have to be considered a very specialized type.
APPENDIX A

1. Comparative Table of Pronominal Forms


| Future imperative |
| :--- |
| di'nk! $a^{\ell} k^{\prime}$ |
| di'ntratk' |
| di'nt $k^{\prime} w i p p^{\prime} a^{\prime} \epsilon_{m}$ |
| di'ntrgatm |

2. Scheme of 7 Voices in 6 Tense-Modes (2d per. sing. of dink!- spread)

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Potential | Present imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trans. (2d per. subj.) . | di'nik!at' | dink!ada'e | $d^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} n^{\epsilon} k^{\prime} \epsilon_{e}{ }^{\prime} l^{\prime}$ | di'nk!at ${ }^{\prime}$ | di'nti' ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| Passive . | di'nicrbin | dinerbina't | di'ntrbigam | di'ntrbin |  |
| Act. intr. | di'nitrat' | $\operatorname{din}^{\text {f }}$ Iada't | di'n'rak' 'seit' | di'ntrat' | $d^{\prime} n^{\prime} \mathrm{ra}$ |
| Reflexlve | di'nick'widam | di'n $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ 'widat | di'nck'wip'k'seil ${ }^{\prime}$ | di'nck widam | ${ }^{\text {di }}{ }^{\prime} k^{\prime} w^{\prime} \bar{i} p^{\prime}$ |
| Recipr. (pl.) . | di'nitrant $p^{\prime}$ | di'ntrant bat | di'nerank' eit $^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ | di'nerant ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Non-agentive | di'nitrdam | $d i^{\prime} n^{t} \mathrm{I} d a^{t}$ | di'ntrk' 'eilt | di'n'rdam | $d i^{\prime} n^{t} \mathrm{I}$ |
| Positional | dink!it'am | dink!a'sdat | di'nk!ask' cil' $^{\prime}$ | dink! $a^{\prime}$ sdum |  |

3. Forms of $n a(g)-s A Y$, DO
A. Intransitive

|  | Aorist | Future | Potential | Inferential | Present imperative | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. | nagait ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ¢ | na't'ce | $n a^{\prime} t^{\prime} \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ | $n a^{\prime} k^{\prime} a^{\epsilon}$ |  |  |
| 2 d per. | nagait | nada' | $n{ }^{\text {' }}{ }^{\text { }}$ | na'k!eit | $n a^{\prime}$ | $n a^{\prime} \epsilon k^{\prime}$ |
| 3 d per. | naga't | $n a^{\prime} \epsilon t^{\prime}$ | $n a^{\prime} \varepsilon$ | $n a^{\circ}{ }^{\prime}$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. | nagayi'k' | naga'm | (?)nayi'k' | $n a^{\prime} k^{\prime} a n a^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | $n a b \bar{a}^{\prime} a \varepsilon\left(h a^{\prime} n\right)$ |  |
| 2 d per. | nagaìt $p^{\prime}$ | $n a^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a^{\prime}$ | $n a^{\prime} t^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ | $n a^{\prime} k$ 'eit $p^{\prime}$ | $n a^{\prime} n p{ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| Imper. | neeye's (sub- | neeyank'is |  |  |  |  |
|  | ordinate | (conditional) |  |  |  |  |
|  | neycedat or |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $n e^{\prime}\left(1 r^{\prime}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |

FREQIENTATIVE

|  | Aorist | Future | Inferential | Present imperatuve | Future imperative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. | $n a g a^{\varepsilon} n a^{\prime} k^{\prime} d \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ | nant'ce | nank ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| 2 d per. . . . | nagánigi't | nanada' : | nañk!eit' | nañha | nañhatk' |
| 3 d per. | nagánä'ack' | $n a n a^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}{ }^{1}$ | nañk* ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. . . . . . | nagánigi` ${ }^{\text {. }}$ | nanaga'm ${ }^{1}$ | nañk'ana ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text { }}$ | nanaba'ع |  |
| 2 d per. . . | nagatnigi't'p' | $n a n a^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a^{¢ 1}$ | nañk!eit $p^{\prime}$ | nañhanp ${ }^{\text {' }}$ |  |
| Imper. | neenia'us |  |  |  |  |
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## B. Transitive

Aorist

| Subject | Objeet |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | $n a g a^{\prime} \operatorname{sbi}^{\text {a }} n$ | naga'є ${ }^{\prime}$ |  | $n a g a^{\prime} \operatorname{sanba}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ |
| 2d per. | ncge's dam |  | naga' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' | naga'simit' |  |
| 3d per. | nege's i | $n a g a ' s b i$ | naga' | naga'sam | naga'sanp ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nagasbina ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | nagana`k' |  | naga'sanbana ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ |
| 2d per. | $n e g \epsilon^{\prime} s^{\prime} d o p{ }^{\prime}$ |  | naga ' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | naga'simit' $p^{\prime}$ |  |

## 3. Forms of $n a(g)-\mathrm{SAY}$, DO

B. Transitive-Continued

Future

| Subject | Object |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | $n a ̃ \mathrm{r}$ in | $n \overline{\mathrm{a}} a g i^{\prime} n$ |  | nãranban |
| 2d per. | $n e x^{\text {a }}$ da |  | nãk'idas | nãrimidat |  |
| 3d per. | nêrink ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | nãrbink | $n a k^{\prime} i n k{ }^{\prime}$ | nãamank |  |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nãxbinagam | näaginaga'm |  | nãranbanagam |
| 2d per. | $n e{ }^{\text {r }}$ daba ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | nãagi't ${ }^{\prime} b a^{\varepsilon}$ | $n a ̃ \mathrm{rimit}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{ba}^{\varepsilon}$ |  |
| Imper. condit. |  | nãabiauk'is |  |  |  |

Inferential

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st per. | nẽrik!eit" <br> nērik' | $n a ̄ \mathrm{rbiga}{ }^{\text {e }}$ | näk'igas <br> näk'ik!eat' | nãxamk!eīt' | $n a ̃ \mathrm{ran} p^{\prime} g a^{\varepsilon}$ |
| 2d per. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3d per. |  | $n a ̃ x b i k '$ | $n a k^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ | $n a ̃ x a m k^{*}$ | $n$ āranp ${ }^{*}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nãrbigana ${ }^{\prime}$ | nãk'igana'k' |  |  |
| 2d per. | nẽrik!eil' ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |  | nàk'ik!eit ${ }^{\prime} p^{\prime}$ | nâramk!eit'p ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |

Sotentirl

| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st per. |  | $n a \tilde{r} b i^{\varepsilon} n$ | $n \overline{a g g i ' c} n$ |  | $n a ̃ \mathrm{ranba} a^{\epsilon} n$ |
| 2d per. | $n e{ }_{\text {r }}$ dam |  | $n a ̃ k^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ | nãrimit ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| 3d per. | $n E_{1} i$ | $n a \tilde{x} b i$ | $n \bar{a} k^{\prime} i$ | nãıam | nãxanp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nãxbinak' | nãk'inak' |  | nãranbana'k' |
| 2d per. |  |  | $n \bar{\alpha} k^{\prime} i^{\prime} p^{*}$ | nãrimit $p^{\prime}$ |  |

Presemt Imperatior

| Singular: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2d per. | $n e{ }_{\text {en }} \mathrm{i}$ | $n a ̄ k i$ | mixam |
| Plural: |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | nãk'ibat |  |
| 2d per. | nërip ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $n \bar{a} k^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime}$ | nãxamp. |

Ficture Imperation

| Singular: 2d per. | $n e ̃ x g c^{\ell} m$ | $n \bar{a} a g i^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 3. Forms of $n a(g)-$ say, io

## B. Transitive-Continued

Passive


FREQUENTITIFE
Aorist

| Subject | Object |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third yerson | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. |  | $n a g a n ̃ s b i \varepsilon n$ | nagañhán |  | nagañsanha¢n |
| 2d per. . . | negeñs•dam |  | naganhat' | nagañsimit ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| 3 d per. . . | negeñs $\boldsymbol{i}$ | nagañsbi | nagañha | nagañsam | nagansanp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. . . |  | nagañsbinak. | nagañhanak' |  | nagañsanbana`*' |
| 2d per. . | negeñs ${ }^{\text {dap }}$ |  | nagañhat $p^{*}$ | nagañsimit' ${ }^{\prime}$ ' |  |

Future


Passiew


## 3. Forms of $n a(g)-$ SAY, Do

C. Causative in -n- ${ }^{1}$

|  |  | Aor |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subject | Object |  |  |  |  |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Singular: |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1st per. . . . |  | nagānxbi¢ $n$ | nagāana'єn <br> $\left(n a g a ̄ a n i^{\prime} \varepsilon n\right)^{2}$ |  | nagānxanbán |
| 2d per. . . . | negẽnxdam |  | nagāana'ı' <br> (nagāani't') | nagãnrimit' |  |
| 3d per. . . . | negẽnxi | $n a g a ̃ n z b i$ | nagãn <br> (nagãnhi) | nagãnxam | nagãnzanp ${ }^{\text { }}$ |
| Plural: |  |  |  |  |  |
| lst per. . . . |  | nagãnxbinak ${ }^{\text { }}$ | nagāanana ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ <br> (nagãanina'k') |  | nagãnxanbana'k' |
| 2d per. . . | negènrdap ${ }^{\text {- }}$ |  | nagāana't'p ${ }^{\text {' }}$ <br> (nagāani't'p') | nagãnzimit' $p^{\prime}$ |  |

Future


Passive
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3. Forms of $n a(g)-$ SAY, DO
D. Reciprocal Forms

E. Nominal Derivatives
infinitites
Intransitive: $n e^{`}$ I

|  | Object |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | First person singular | Second person singular | Third person | First person plural | Second person plural |
| Transitive | nexiya | näxbiya | $n \bar{a} a g i a, ~$ | nãximia | nãa ${ }^{\text {anbia }}$ |

PARTICIPLE
Active: $n a^{\prime} \not \subset$
Other forms derived from verb-stem $n a(g)$ - than those given above are of course found, but are easily formed on evident analogies. Observe, however, intransitive aorist stem nagai- in transitive derivatives nagaîk'wa he said to him (personal) and nagaîk'wit he said то himself. Comitatives in -(a)gw-are not listed because their formation offers no difficulty; e. g., second person singular present imperative $n \tilde{a} k^{\prime \prime}$ do so and so having It! It is possible that $b \bar{o}^{u}{ }_{-}$ néxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ immediately is nothing but adverb $b \tilde{o}^{u}$ now + subordinating form ${ }^{*} n e \overline{x a d} a^{\varepsilon}$ of -xa-derivative from $n \bar{a}^{a} g$ - with regular palatal ablaut (see $\S 31,5$ ); literally it would then mean something like when it is becoming (doing) now.

## APPENDIX B

## THE ORIGIN OF DEATH

<br>Roasting-Dead-People his child it died. He and Coyote neighboring each


they were. And that he said to "Blanket lend it tome mychild since it died,

| laps ${ }^{10}$ | yimi'xi, ${ }^{\prime \prime 1}$ | naga ${ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{ihi}{ }^{\text {E } 14}$ | xilam ${ }^{1}$ se | ${ }^{6} \cdot \mathrm{an} \overline{\mathrm{I}}^{\varepsilon 15}$ | lapss ${ }^{10}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| blanket | lend it to me," | he said, it is said. | Roasting-Dead-People. | "Not | blanket |

${ }^{1}$ xi'lam. Used indifferently for SICK, DEAD (as noun), and GHOST. -am ( $=-a n$ ) is probably noun-forming suffix with inorganic -a- (cf. han-xilmi abode of ghosts, literaliy, across-river are gitosts as verb with positional-i). As base is left xil-or xin- (-n- of radicalsyllable dissimilates to - $l$ - before nasal suffix); ri'lam from* xin-an or * xil-an. This $x i n$-is perhaps etymologically identical with $x i n$ mucus (verb-base $x i n$-sniff).
${ }^{2}$ sebe't'. Participle in $t^{\prime}$ of verb seeba's $n$ Type 51 Roast IT; aorist stem seeb-, verb-stem sebe-. roast-ing-dead-people is Takelma name for species of black long-legged bug. He is supposed to be so called because responsible for death, as told in this myth.
${ }^{3} h a p^{\prime} d a$. Base $h \bar{a}^{a} p^{\prime}-$ small, cilld (ef. hap-s-di' small). This is one of those comparatively few nouns that add possessive pronominal suffixes of Scheme II direetly to stem, With suffixed ([?] pre-pronominal) - $x$ - it becomes plural in signification: hãprdo his children. This sort of plural formation stands, as far as known, entirely isolated in Takelma. In its absolute form hāap'- takes on derivative suffix -ri, häpri CHILD.
$\left.{ }^{4} l\right) h \gamma^{\prime} \mathcal{K}^{\prime}$. Third personal inferential of verb lohoit'es Type 4 b j die; aorist stem lohoi-, verb-stem loho-. - $k$ ' inferential element. Inferential mode used beeause statement is here not made on personal authority, but only as tradition or hearsay. Aecording to this, all myth narrative should employ inferential forms instead of aorist. This myth employs partly inferentials and partly aorists; but in most other myths aorists are regularly employed, probably because they are more familiar forms, and perhaps, also, because myths may be looked upon as well-authentieated fact.
$\sigma_{s g i} i^{\prime}$ sidill. sgi'si coyote, formed by repetition of base-vowel aceording to Type 2. -dīl is dual suffix sgi'sidi'l by itself might mean two coyotes, but -di'l is never properly dual in signification, meaning rather HE (indicated by preceding noun) AND SOME ONE ELSE (indicated by context).
6 nō'ts!at'gwan. From local adverbial stem nōts!- next door, neighboring; it is formed by addition of characteristic $-a$ - and third personal plural reflexive pronominal suffix - $t^{\prime}$ gwan $\left(=-t^{\prime}-[\right.$ third person] + -gwa[reflexive] $+-n$ [plural]). First person singular nōts!adé; second person singular nōts!ada'c.
${ }^{7} y u{ }^{\prime} k$. Third personal inferential of verb yowo't' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ Type 21 AM; aorist stem yowo-, verb-stem yo( $y u-$ ). $-k^{\prime}$ inferential element as in loho ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$. Corresponding aorist, yowo ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon$.
${ }^{8} g a s \cdot i^{\epsilon}$. $g a$ is general demonstrative that, here serving to anticipate quotation: 'slaps (2) . . . yimi'xi(3)." - $s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ as general connective indieates sequence of $n a k^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ upon $l o h r^{\prime} k^{\prime}(1)$.

- nãk'ik'. Third personal inferential of verb naga's $n$ Type 2 I say to mis; aorist stem naga-, verh-stem nāag. Corresponding aorist, naga'. Non-aoristic forms of this transitive verb show instrumental-i- (see 864).
${ }^{10}$ laps. Noun of mncertain etymology, perhaps from base lab-CARRy on one's back. -s nominal derivative suffix of no known definite signifieation.
${ }^{11}$ yimi'xi. Present imperative second person singular subject, first person singular object (-xi) of verb
 mental -i- as in nák'ik'; e. g., yimi'hin I Silall lend it to illm,
${ }^{12} h \tilde{a} p^{\prime} d e k^{\prime}$. See $h a ̃ p^{\prime} d a(1)$, -de'k' first personsingular possesslve pronominalsuffix aceording to Scheme II.
${ }^{13}$ loho'idat. Subordinate form, with causal signification, of loho't ne neb. Aorist stem lohoi- = verbstem loho- + intransitive element-i-characteristie of aorist of Type $t ;-\epsilon$, third personal aorist subjeet intransitive Class I, dissimilated because of eateh in subordinating suffix -dat. Syntactieally loho'idas is subordinated to yimi'xi.
 nagait $c^{\varepsilon}$ Type 4 i say; aorist stem nagai-, verb-stem na-. Both transitive and Intransitive forms of na(g)sAy incorporate object of thlag said; $g a$ in $g a s^{*} i^{\varepsilon}(2)$ is Incorporated as direct object in nök'ik' (it wonld be theoretically more correct to write ga $\left[-s \cdot i^{\varepsilon}\right]-n a \tilde{k} \cdot i k$ "); while quotation "laps . . yimi'ri"' Is syntactieally direct object of naga'-ihic whleh, as such, it precedes. ga-näk'ik' anticipates "laps. . . yimi'ri"' naga'ihit. Observe use of aorist instead of lnferential from naga'-ihit on.
${ }^{15} a^{\prime} n \boldsymbol{z}^{\epsilon}$. Negative particle with following aorist. True negative future would be uede yimi'hixbigae.


16 yī̀misbis $n$. First person singular subject ( $-\varepsilon n$ ) second personal singular object (-bi-) of verb yímig' $\varepsilon n$的 (see yimi'ri above). $s$ - indirect object used only in aorist of this verb, elsewhere-r-; e. g., future yimi'rbin I Shall lendit to you. Aorist is used because idea of futurity is here immediate; i. e., time of action is not put definitely forward.
17 gwidi'-s $i^{\varepsilon}$. gwi-general interrogative and indefinite adverb where? SOMEWIERE. di interrogative enclitic serving to give gwi-distinct interrogative signification. - $s^{\cdot} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}$ has hereslightcausaltinge: FOR where would tiley all be, if tiley returned?
${ }^{18} y 0^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$. Third personal future of verb yowo't' $e^{\varepsilon}$ I AM (see $y u^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ above). st third personal subject future intransitive Class I.
${ }^{19} y e ̀ u u^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$. Third personal conditional ( $-k^{\prime} i^{\epsilon}$ ) of verb yeweit'é Type 4 I I Return; aorist stem yewei-, verb-stem yèu- (yeew-).
 adverbial prefix to yewe'is.

$22 k$ !odo' $t$ '. Third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb $k$ fododa' $n$ Type 8 I bury him aorist stem $k!o d o d-$, verb-stem göud-.
 Dead-People) buried ins (Coyote's) cinlld.
${ }^{21}$ loho'idas. In this case subordinate form serves merely to explain häp'dagwa, and may thus be rendered as relative, who had died.
${ }^{2 s}$ ganēhis. =ganē and Then (compound of demonstrative ga), used to introduce new turn in narrative, + quotative $-h i^{\varepsilon}$.
${ }^{26}$ dabalni'za. Temporal adverb LONG time. Like many other adverbs, it is difficnlt of satisfactory analysis. da-is local body-part prefix, as in several other temporal adverbs; but its application here is quite obscure. bal- radical element, cf. adjective bäl-s Long. -ra adverbial (chiefly temporal) snffix--ni- = ? (cf. lep.ni'xa winter).
${ }^{27}$ lāalē'. Third person aorist intransitive Class II of verb lãatit'e Types 10a and 15a i become; aorist stem $l \bar{a} a l \bar{e}-$, verb-stem $\operatorname{li} a-p^{\prime}-. \quad-\bar{e}-=\bar{i} i$ - of positional verbs. Corresponding inferential $l \bar{a} p^{\prime} k^{\prime}$.
${ }^{28} m_{i} i i^{\varepsilon} .=m i \bar{i}$ weak temporal adverb now, then, serving generally to introduce new statement, + quotative $h i^{\varepsilon}$.
29 toho'rs. Sec loho'idas (2).
${ }^{20}$ gini'є $k^{\prime}$. Third person aorist of verb gini'k'dé Type 2 I Go (somewhere); aorist stem ginig-, verb-stem ging-, ginag- (present imperative gink'; future gina' $k^{\prime} d e e$ ). $\quad \varepsilon$ third person aorist intransitive Class I. Inasmueh as forms oceun derived from base gin- (e. g., reduplicated giniginia'u $)^{\prime},-g$ - must be considered as either petrified suffix, or as trace of older reduplication with vanished vowel in second member: gin-ig- from (?) gin-i-gn-, ginig-can be used only with expressed goal of motion (in thiscase $n \bar{o}^{\prime} u \varepsilon_{\varepsilon^{*}}$ and $w \bar{a}^{\prime} a d a$ ). He WENT without expressed goal would have been $y a^{\prime \varepsilon}$. Similarly: baram- come, méginig- Come here; högw- RUN, hiwiliu- RUN (Somewhere); sou'o ${ }^{\prime} \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime} k^{*} a p^{\prime}$ - JUMP, biliw- JUMP AT.
${ }^{31} w \bar{a}^{\prime} a d a$. Formed, like nö'ts!at'gwan (1), by addition of third personal pronominal suffix -'da to local stem $w a$-; first person wade. These forms are regularly used when motion to some person or persons is meant: If goal of motlon is non-persona, postposition gasa`l to, at is employed.
${ }^{32} k^{\prime} a d i^{\prime}$. $k^{\prime} a$ (before $d i$, otherwise $k^{\prime} a i$ ) is substantival Indefinite and interrogative stem (thing), what, corresponding to arlverblal gwi- (4). di serves also here to give $k^{\prime} a$ distinet interrogative foree.
${ }^{* 3}$ nagaitt. Second person singular aorist of verb nagait' $e^{\epsilon}$ (see naga'-ihí above). This is one of those few intransitives that take personal endings directly after stem ending in semi-vowel (nagay-), without connective $-a$ - (see $\S 65$ end).
 adverbial (temporal) suffix (cf. dabalni'za above). $\varepsilon^{〔} a^{\prime}$ serves to contrast Last time with now.
$\boldsymbol{m} a^{\ell} a$. = $m a$ second person singular independent personal pronoun + deictic $-\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} a^{\prime}$, which here contrasts rou (as former object of supplication) with I (as present object of supplication).

 where they will be if they return?' Now it is rotting my child," hesaid, it is said,
 Roasting-Dead-People. Andnext Coyote hercturned. "Sgā+" hecricd. That
 because of nowadays not people they return when they die.

[^73]
## [Translation]

The child of Roasting-dead-people died. He and Coyote were neighbors to each other. Thereupon he said to him, "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died. Lend me a blanket," said Roasting-dead-people. "I'll not lend you a blanket, for where are they going to be, if dead people come back?" said Coyote. And next door returned Roasting-dead-people, and buried his child that had died.
Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now Coyote's child became sick and died. Now next door he went to Roasting-dead-people. "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died."-"What did you say!" Roasting-dead-people said that. "Yesterday indeed when I did say to you, 'Lend me a blanket,' you, for your part, did say that to me, 'Where will the people be, if they return?' Now my child is rotting," said Roasting-dead-people. So next door Coyote returned. "Sgā + !" he cried. For that reason people do not nowadays return when they die.

## HOW A TAKELMA HOUSE WAS BUILT


 they set it down, yonder again tbey set it down. in fourplares they set them down. $h^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ne $^{10}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ hangili'p ${ }^{11}$ gada'k ${ }^{\text {'12 }}$ hagamgama'n, gada'k's $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}{ }^{13}$ Then also theyplace (beams) on topthereof in four places, and on top thereo! aleross


k!emèì. ganē ${ }^{21}$ dak'da't ${ }^{\prime 22}$ dat'aba'k', ${ }^{23}$ hā $^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ya $^{24}$ dat!aba'k'. ganē
theymake And then from on top they finish it, on bothsides they finish it. And then them.

door they make it, and from on top holed they make it smoke gana'u ${ }^{29}$ ba-i-gina'xd $\bar{a}^{3} .{ }^{30}$ ganess $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}{ }^{31}$ ga'k!an ${ }^{32}$ k!emèì, xāsissgip!i'therein its going out. And then ladder they make it, they notch it in several

[^74]

[^75]The people are making a house. A post they set in the ground, and here again they set one in the ground, yonder again they set one in the ground, in four places they set them in the ground. Then also they place beams across on top in four places, and above (these) they put one across just once. And just then they make the house wall; and then on top they place the house boards, those they make out of sugar-pine lumber. Then they finish it on top, on either side they finish it. Then they make the door, and on top they make a hole for the going out of the smoke. And then they make a ladder, they notch out (a pole), for going down to the floor they make it; and the house wall they make.
Then they finish it, all cleaned inside. Now rush mats they spread out inside, on such the people sit. The fireplace is in the center, so that they are seated on either side of the fire. In that way, indeed, was the house of the people long ago; in winter their house was such. But in summer they were sitting like now, ${ }^{1}$ not in the house. Just a brush shelter they placed around, so that the fireplace they made in the middle. Thus they dwelt in summer, not as in winter in a house.

1 We were sitting out in the open when this text was dictated.

## Errata to The Takelma Language

Edward Sapir marked a number of corrigenda in his own copy of The Takelma Language. In the present edition, the erroneous forms have been corrected using a photographic process, following Sapir's manuscript corrigenda. The list below is a record of all changes that were made for this edition.

| Original |  | Present | Original | Corrected |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page | Line | Page | Form | Form |
| 37 | fn. | 53 | This | ${ }^{1}$ This |
| 41 | 17 | 57 | gwidik'dagwa | gwidik ${ }^{\text {w }}$ dagwa |
| 60 | 36 | 76 | founp | found |
| 99 | 30 | 115 | tūw ${ }^{\prime} \in k^{\text {c }}$ | tūwu-' $k$ ' ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 101 | 29 | 117 | $d \bar{i}^{\epsilon}-\mathrm{u} \bar{u}^{\text {its }} \cdot!a m t{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| 101 | 29 | 117 | $d i ́ \epsilon$ üyü ts $\cdot \ a m d a^{\epsilon}{ }^{\nu}$ |  |
| 113 | 13 | 129 | catch aspirated | catch + aspirated |
| 115 | 24-5 | 131 | ( wayä ${ }^{\text {a }}$-) p!eyeen-. | (wayäan-, p!eye ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ) . |
| 169 | 4 | 185 | mül'ü ${ }^{\text {ut }} \mathrm{k} \times$ wa | mül'ü' ${ }^{\prime} \in \mathrm{k}^{\text {¢ }}$ wa |
| 269 | 20 | 285 | de, | de- |
| 269 | 21 | 285 | occur- | occur, |
| 285 | 7 | 301 |  | di'n' ${ }^{\text {xanank }}$ 'eītp ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 286 | 13 | 302 | neye'edá | neye ${ }^{\text {e }}$ da ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 286 | fn. 2 | 302 | nañkak | nañhak* |
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## TAKELMA TEXTS.

## INTRODUCTION.

The material presented in this volume was collected during the latter part of July and during August, 1906, in Siletz Reservation, western Oregon. The work was done under the direction of the Bureau of American Ethnology and by the recommendation of Prof. Franz Boas; thanks are due to the Chief of the Bureau for permission to publish the texts in this series. As holder of a Harrison Research Fellowship in Anthropology at the University of Pennsylvania in 1908-09 I was enabled to prepare the texts for publication in a manner that, it is hoped, will be found sufficiently critical. It is a pleasure to thank the authorities of this University for the facilities afforded in this work.

Though the Takelma language represents one of the distinct linguistic stocks of North America, the number of individuals that can be said to have anything like a fluent speaking knowledge of it is quite inconsiderable, barely more than a handful in fact. Under the circumstances it is therefore a source of congratulation that enough of the folk-lore of the Takelmas could be obtained to enable one to assign these Indians a definite place in American mythology. Of both the texts and complementary linguistic material the sole informant was Frances Johnson (Indian name Gwísgwashãn), a full-blood Takelma woman past the prime of life. It is largely to her patience and intelligence that whatever merit this volume may be thought to have is due. The grammatical material obtained has been worked up into a somewhat detailed study now in press as part of the Handbook of American Indian Languages edited by Prof. Boas. The few items of an ethnological character that were obtained incidentally to the linguistics and mytho-
logy have been incorporated in two short articles, "Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon" (American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, pp. 251-275) and "Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon" (Fournal of American Folk-lore, Vol. XX, pp. 33-49).

A special effort has been made to give an adequate idea of the phonetic character of the language and, barring evident inaccuracies of perception, to render the sounds exactly as heard. Hence the rather frequent occurrence of phonetic variants from the forms considered normal. The orthography employed here is the same as that used in the grammatical study referred to, except that in the pseudo-diphthongs the mark of length has been omitted as unnecessary (thus $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ is used for $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{a}$ and correspondingly for the other pseudo-diphthongs); for typographical reasons 1 and $m$ with circumflex accent have had to be replaced by $l^{\prime}, m^{\prime}$ (these are meant to correspond to $\tilde{n}$ ). The translation is as literal as is consistent with intelligible English. It is hoped that this, together with the interlinear version of the first five myths and the vocabulary of stems at the end of the volume, will enable anyone that has read the grammar to analyze satisfactorily any of the texts.

Owing to the comparative dearth of published mythologic material from Oregon it is premature to discuss the relations of Takelma mythology. A few of the more important facts are clear, however. Despite the Californian character of Takelma culture the mythology differs strikingly from the typical mythology of central California in at least two important respectsthe absence of a creation myth and the presence of a welldefined culture-hero myth; in these respects it agrees with the mythology of northwestern California. On the other hand, the mythology differs from that of northern Oregon in its failure to identify the culture-hero with Coyote. Coyote occurs frequently enough in the myths, but never as culture-hero, though sometimes as transformer; as in California his primary rôle is that of trickster. Not a few of the myths and myth motives found distributed in northern California, Oregon, Washington,
and adjoining sections of the Plateau area are, naturally enough, also represented among the Takelmas. Such are the Bear and Deer story (Grizzly Bear and Black Bear in Takelma), the tale of two sisters sent to marry a chief but deceived by Coyote, the rolling skull, the asking of advice of one's own excrement, and the growing tree with the eagle's nest. ${ }^{1}$ On the whole, however, the myths differ rather more from what little comparative material is available (Coos, Klamath, Tillamook, Chinook, Kathlamet, Wasco, Hupa, Achomawi, Atsugewi) than might have been expected. Yet too much stress should not be laid on this, as the published Klamath material is inconsiderable in extent, while the mythologies of the Kalapuya, Shasta, and the various Athabascan tribes of Oregon are still unpublished. It seems clear, however, that not only linguistically but also in respect to mythology the region south of the Columbia and extending into northern California was greatly differentiated.

Edward Sapir.
Philadelphia, June 23, 1909.

[^76]
## KEY TO THE PHONETIC SYSTEM EMPLOYED.

Vowels.

1. Monophthongs.
a as in German Mann.
e open as in English men.
i open as in English bit.
o close as in German Sohn but short in quantity. Apt to be heard as $u$.
u as in English put. Probably no true Takelma vowel, but heard variant of o or ü.
ü approximately midway between $u$ and German short $\ddot{u}$ in Mütze, probably high-mixed-rounded. Apt to be heard as u.
$\bar{a} \quad$ long as in German Kahn.
è long and open as in French fête, scène.
$\overline{1} \quad$ long and close as in German viel. Sometimes used as short and close variant of $i$.
$\bar{O} \quad$ long and close as in German Sohn.
$\overline{\mathrm{u}} \quad$ close as in English rule. Probably always heard variant of $\ddot{u}$ or $\tilde{u}$.
ü long ü; very nearly Swedish $u$ in hus. Apt to be heard as $\bar{u}$.
$\overline{\mathrm{e}} \quad$ close and short as in French été. Occurs only as heard variant of $i$.
$\hat{O}$ open as in German voll, though with less distinct lip-rounding. Arises from labialization of a.
$\hat{a}$ long as in English law. Occurs very rarely, chiefly in interjections.
ä as in English fat. Occurs only in interjections.
A as in English but. Occurs rarely, either as variant of a or in interjections.
E obscure vowel as in unaccented English the. Occurs very rarely, chiefly as glide between consonants.
2. Pseudo-diphthongs.
$a^{a} \quad$ like à but with rearticulated short a. Approximately like English far when pronounced with vocalic substitute of $r$ ( $f a^{a}$ ), but with clear a-quality held throughout.
$e^{e} \quad$ like è but with rearticulated short e. Approximately like English there (with qualifications analogous to those made under $a^{a}$ ).
3. Pseudo-diphthongs, continued.
$i^{i} \quad$ like $i$ but with rearticulated short $i$.
$\mathrm{o}^{u} \quad$ like $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ but with final $u$-vanish. Sometimes, though less frequently, heard as variant of organic diphthongs ou or ou.
$u^{u} \quad$ like $\bar{u}$ tut with rearticulated short $u$. Heard variant of $\ddot{u}^{u}$.
$\ddot{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{u}} \quad$ like $\overline{\mathrm{u}}$ but with rearticulated short $\ddot{\mathrm{u}}$.
Note: $\overline{\mathrm{a}}, \tilde{\mathrm{e}}, \tilde{\mathrm{i}}, \tilde{o}^{u}, \overline{\mathrm{u}}, \tilde{\tilde{u}}$ are necessarily pseudo-diphthongs (see below for meaning of circumflex accent).
4. Diphthongs.
ai, ei, oi, ui (variant of oi or üi), üi i-diphthongs with short vowel as first element. Quality of vowels as described above, thus $\mathrm{oi}=$ short close $\mathrm{o}+\mathrm{i}$, not oi in English boil.
au, eu, iu, ou
u-diphthongs with short vowel as first element.
$\bar{a} i$, èi, $\bar{o} i, \bar{u} i$ (variant of üi or ūi), ūi
āu, èu, $\overline{1} u, ~ o ̄ u$ $\qquad$ u-diphthongs with distinctly long vcwel as first element. Thus au differs from āu as does a.u in Lithuanian ausìs from āu in ráudmi.
$a^{i \varepsilon}, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}, \mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}, \mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ (variant of $\mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ or $\ddot{u}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ ), $\overline{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ (variant of $\ddot{u}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ or $\bar{u}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ ), $\ddot{u}^{\text {i\& }}$, $\bar{u}^{\text {i\& }} \quad$ shortened i-diphthongs followed by glottal catch (see below for explanation of ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ). i is extremely short in quantity, being swallowed up, as it were, in ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
$a^{u \varepsilon}, e^{u \varepsilon}, i^{u \varepsilon}, \overline{1}^{u \varepsilon}, o^{u \varepsilon}$ shortened $u$-diphthongs followed by glottal catch. $u$ analogous to i above.

## Consonants.

b, d, g voiceless mediae, acoustically intermediate between voiced (sonant) and unvoiced (surd) stops. Probably identical with Upper German b, d, g. Whispered b, d, g seem difficult to distinguish from these intermediate stops.

denotes aspiration of preceding consonant or, less frequently, vowel.
denotes nasalization of preceding vowel. Occurs only in interjections.

Accents and other Diacritical Marks.
, falling accent. Denotes fall in pitch of stressed vowel. Vowel starts with higher pitch than that of preceding syllable but falls during its production. This pitch accent comes out most clearly in long vowels and diphthongs. When found on short vowels, fall of pitch strikes following syllable.
1 raised accent. Found on short vowels or unitonal long vowels and diphthongs (generally in last syllable of word). Denotes higher pitch than in preceding syllable but without immediately following fall as in case of '. It is best considered as abbreviated form of $\sim$, i.e., vowel or diphthong reaches its higher tone immediately instead of sliding up to it. When è occurs in word that has no other accent mark, it denotes short e with raised accent, not long vowel è.
~ rising accent. Found only on long vowels and diphthongs. Denotes gradual rise in pitch. With ' first part of long vowel or diphthong is higher than second, with ~ first part is lower than second. When 1 or m is second element of diphthong, following ' is substituted (thus aĩ, aũ, añ, butal', am').

+ denotes more than normal length of preceding vowel or consonant.
- denotes marked separation between diphthong-forming vowels.
( ) enclose words in English translation not found in Indian original.


## I. MYTHS.

## i. Coyote and his Rock Grandson.



[^77]

Gwinát'ĕdi? Daªmolhē't' itc!óp‘al hadanxmolē't nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
How in appear- "Red-eared, sharp-handed," in ear red," she said to him, ance?"
it is said.
K !asî̂t $\mathrm{t}^{\text {‘ }}$ wa-iwít'a bók'dan bãls.
"Yourmaternal female ${ }^{4}$ neck long.
grandparent


nagá-ihí. Wíli gadak' nagái\& t'ul t'ul t'ul. Nek'di yãx he said, it is House on top of he made: t'ul t'ul t'ul. "Who graveyard said.
wili gadàk', nagáí. Ge yãx wili nagáit'ĕdi? Gwinát'ĕdi house on top of?" (some one) "There grave- house did you say?" How in appearsaid. yard ance dexebenàt'? Māp ${ }^{\varepsilon} a \quad$ gwinát'ĕdi eit' $p^{\prime}$ ganát'si $i^{\varepsilon}$ eit' $e^{\varepsilon}$. you spoke?" "You (pl.) how in appear- you are? just so in I am." just ance appearance
Ne abailíu. Abailiwilíus alīt'bā'gin sinīt'gilé ${ }^{\text {e }}$ sgwa yõm "Well, look inside!" He looked he was hit; he scratched his blood inside, nose,
mengē yáai $1 a^{a} \overline{l e}^{\prime} . \quad$ Abaiginíqk' alīt'bágat'bak' yáp ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ full just he became. He went inside; he hit them all, people he $^{\varepsilon}$ īlemé ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ yáp ${ }^{\varepsilon} a \quad t$ !omõm aldìl. Tc!olx o-ós'ip'. Tc!olx he did away people he killed all. "Indian do you (pl.) Indian with them, them money ${ }^{0}$ give me!' money

[^78]o-ogoyín dák'dagwa k!owũ. Ganē xi igína alp’oũp’auhi. he was given; over himself he put it. Then water he took it, he blew on it. Ganē báqiyewe ${ }^{e} n$ aldìl tc!olx ogovín.

Then he made them all; $\begin{gathered}\text { Indian } \\ \text { recover } \\ \text { money }\end{gathered}$ he was given. recover money
Ganē yá ${ }^{\text {. }}$
Then he went.

nagáí. Ganē nék'da ${ }^{\text {í }}$ yãx wili gadàk' nagái\&. Ge he said. Then "Who graveyard house on top of?" (some one) "There said.
yãx wili nagáit'ĕdi? Gwinát'ĕdi dexebenàt'? Ne graveyard house did you say?" "How in appearance you spoke? Well,
abailíu. Abailiwilô'k'w alīt'bā'gin $\sin ^{〔} \bar{\varepsilon}^{\text {it' }}$ 'gilé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgwa yõm look inside!" He looked inside, he was hit; he scratched his nose, blood

full just. He went inside, he hit them all, he did away Indian with them. money
o-ós'ip‘ t!ümüü’xdaba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Tc!olx o-ogoyín. Xi ba ${ }^{\text {a }}$ yãnk ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$ $\begin{array}{cc}\begin{array}{c}\text { do you (pl.) as you have } \\ \text { give me, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Indian } \\ \text { hit me." }\end{array} \\ \text { money was given. Water he took } \\ \text { it up, }\end{array}$
give me, hit me." money it up,
xi igína baayewéí.
water he took; they recovered. ${ }^{2}$

Then he went. Night it became; canoe inside of he paddled to land.
Malák'i k'abáxa ge k!asī ${ }^{1 / \varepsilon}$ t' bók'dan bãls dáa molhēt ${ }^{\text {º }}$ She had her son, "There your maternal neck long, red-eared, told him
ītc!óp‘al. Aba-iginíqk'. Alxík' dasgáxi hadā'nxmolhēt' sharp-handed." He went inside. He saw him long- in ear red,

t !ayàk‘ k!eleū. Alxík‘ k!ása. Wik!ási wihin meléxina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he found it, he supped He looked his maternal "My maternal my since she it up. at them grandparents. grandfather, mother told me, ītc!óp'al nagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k!asa bók'dan bãls nagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, Míhí 'sharp- she saying; 'maternal neck long,' she saying.' Now, it is handed,' grandmother said,
t!ayàk'. K'wá ${ }^{\mathbf{x} x}$. Gii eīt'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k!asã. Bãxdis hápxda he had She woke up. "I I am," maternal "Wolf hischildren found them.
$\mathrm{min}^{-\ell}$ wa probably,"
$k^{-6} w a^{8} x$.
 she had "I'll arouse him, I'll wake Coyote now thought. him up."
he awoke. "Maternal $\underset{I}{\text { I }} \quad \underset{\text { I am. }}{\text { Eit } e^{\varepsilon}} \quad \underset{\text { Get up, }}{\text { Badẽp maternal }} \quad \underset{\text { Hunger }}{\text { B!asã }}$ grandfather! grandfather!
t!ümüü'xi. Yana lō'p'. Alhūis ${ }^{\prime i} \mathrm{X}$ k!asã $\mathrm{s}^{\prime i x}$ yámxda it is killing me. Acorns pound them!
 gelgulugwá ${ }^{\text {n. }}$

I desire it."
$\underset{\text { Coyote }}{\text { Sgisi }} \underset{\text { deer }}{\text { plíyin }} \underset{\text { large }}{\text { mahái }} \underset{\text { he killed }}{\text { t !omõ }} \underset{\text { he was de- }}{\text { weat'gin }} \underset{\text { fawns }}{\text { plíyax }} \underset{\text { that }}{\text { ga }}$ yast them, prived of them;
 gũxda wedésink'. Gii eme ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ eit' $e^{\varepsilon}$ wede wedésbigam. his wife $\begin{aligned} & \text { she will take, "I here I am, not } \\ & \text { it from me." }\end{aligned} \begin{gathered}\text { you will be } \\ \text { deprived of it." }\end{gathered}$
Xni(k') k!emèi abaihiwilíus dan gadák' mats!àk'. Mihihi Acorn she made it; she ran into rock on top of she put it. Then, it dough house, is said,
bãxdis gũxda mi wēt'gi yana mi wẽt'gi. Géhi yewéi\& Wolf his wife then she took it acorns then she took There he returned, from her, them from her.
alīt'bagát'bôk'. Gii eme ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ eit' $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$ wik!ási it'gwanyéegit'. ${ }^{\text { }}$ he hit them all. "I here I am. My maternal you have enslaved
 All he killed all women he killed In evening they returned them, them.

[^79] back, it turned out.
mahái t!omomaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wẽt gin p!íyax ga ya ogoyín. K!asã large although he had it was taken fawn that just he was "Maternal killed it, from him, given. grandfather! gwidí p!iyín maháíà? Wẽsin. A A 4 sgisi wáa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ? hapxit! $1^{\prime}$ t'a where deer big one?" "I was de-,"Oh! Coyote to him boy prived of it."
 he did away

with us, he killed us." Then, it their husbands $\begin{gathered}\text { next } \\ \text { is said, } \\ \text { house }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { they came } \\ \text { together. }\end{gathered}$
T!omõm hapxit!īt'a alī’hit'bagát'bôk' gada yeweyàk'w. They beat boy; but he struck them all, alongside he returned him
Aldi ${ }^{i}$ t!omõm yáp ${ }^{\varepsilon} a \quad h a p x i t!i^{\prime} t^{\prime} a \quad$ xebé ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ hapxit!ít'a All he killed them people, boy, he did so; boy t!omúxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Dan hapxit!īt'a gasi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga $a^{\varepsilon} a l$ ni $i^{i} w A^{\prime} n$ yapa he killed. Rock boy, so that because of he was people feared;

 Then down he returned his to her he returned. "I have wik!ási bãxdis it'gwanyée'k'ôk'2 xúma áldi wedék'igam ${ }^{2}$ my maternal Wolf he seems to have food all they seem to have grandparents; enslaved them, been deprived of, $p!i^{i} \quad w e d e ́ k ' i g a m{ }^{2}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nixa gwenhegwáa ${ }^{a}$ wanhi. firewood they seemed to have he said, his mother he related it to her. been deprived of," it is said,
Sgisi beyán ganī yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ maxa wáa da p’im édebü ${ }^{\text {³ }}$ Coyote his daughter now she went her father to him; salmon full in t! ! ${ }^{i}$ t'wi yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Mot'wòk' bómxi p'im ē'debü ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yãnk ${ }^{\iota w}$. her husband he went. He visited his Otter, salmon full in he took it father-in-law canoe with him.
Búmxi gũxdagwadī'l p'im é ${ }^{\prime}$ debür $^{\varepsilon}$ yãnk ${ }^{\text {'w }}$ maxa wáda Otter together with his salmon full in canoe he took it her father to him own wife with him,
 they arrived
in house. Coyote together with his they were $\begin{gathered}\text { their } \\ \text { own wife }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { ghen she } \\ \text { glaughter }\end{gathered}$ Ganī nõ ${ }^{u}$ yewéi .
Then down river they returned.

[^80]
## Translation. ${ }^{1}$

There were Wolf and Panther in ten houses; ${ }^{2}$ there were Coyote, Crane, Coyote's wife, and one daughter of his, a girl sleeping on a board platform, Coyote's daughter. And then black clouds spread out in long strips as the girl was bathing in the evening time. Her skirt she took off, and bathed. One Otter youth arrived in the river with his canoe, with his canoe he landed. Then the girl he stole, he took her with him. Then, 'tis said, a stone he took up and put into her, and into his own house he came with her.

The girl was pregnant, gave birth to a child. And then Coyote did miss the girl; he looked for her, found only her skirt by the water. Then, 'tis said, he became a mourner. Before Coyote had been wont to kill big deer; now Coyote was deprived of the deer, only fawns were always given to him, a slave was Coyote made. Coyote did not know where his daughter had been taken to. Now the child was born, up it grew. Now big became the boy that she had given birth to. She told him, "Your maternal grandparents are living up the river." And then, 'tis said, he traveled about in his canoe. "Mother! to my maternal grandparents shall I go." -"'Tis far away."-"There shall I go."-"You will be lost." -"I shall go. What is their appearance?"-""He is redeared, sharp-clawed, red in his ears," she said to him. "Your maternal grandmother has a long neck."

Big had the boy become. Then, 'tis said, he went off, a canoe he paddled up stream. "As Otter's child I wander about," he sang. Over a house he walked, "t'ul, t'ul, t'ul.""Who's on top of the graveyard house?" someone said. "Is that a graveyard house there, did you say?"-" How do you look, you who spoke?" "As you people, for your part,

[^81]look, just so am I in appearance."-"Well, look inside!" Inside he looked, and was hit; his nose he scratched, just full of blood it became. He went inside and hit them all, the people he did away with, all the people did he whip. "Dentalia do you give me!" Dentalia he was given, about himself he strung them. Then water he took and blew it upon them. Then he caused them all to recover, and dentalia was he given.

Then on he went. "As Otter's child I wander about," he sang. Then someone said, "Who's on top of the graveyard house?"-"Is that a graveyard house there, did you say?" - "How do you look, you who spoke? Well, look inside!" He looked inside, and was hit; he scratched his nose, just full of blood it was. Inside he went and hit them all, away with them he did. "Dentalia do you give me, as you have struck me." Dentalia he was given. He lifted up water, water he took (and blew it upon them). They recovered.

Then on he went. Night came on, and in his canoe he paddled to land. She had told her son, "There are your maternal grandparents, if long is her neck, and he is red-eared, sharp-clawed." He went inside. He saw that he was longmouthed, red in his ear, he saw that he was sharp-clawed. He turned to the woman, and saw that her neck was long and her legs were long. "So those are my maternal grandparents of whom my mother, indeed, did speak?" He was hungry. Then he looked for food, and acorn mush he found, he supped it up. He looked at his maternal grandparents. "It is my maternal grandfather, since my mother did tell me, 'He is sharp-clawed,' she said. 'A long neck has your maternal grandmother,' she said." Now, 'tis said, he had found them. She awoke. "It is I, maternal grandmother!"-"It must be Wolf's children," she had thought. "I'll arouse him, I'll wake him up." Now Coyote awoke. "Maternal grandfather, it is I. Get up, maternal grandfather! I'm hungry. Pound acorns!' Go out to hunt, maternal grandfather! venison fat I desire."

[^82]Coyote killed big deer, but was deprived of them; fawns only were wont to be given to him, big deer he was deprived of. She pounded, acorns she pounded, and put them into the sifting basket-pan. "Take it out quickly, soon it will be taken from me. Wolf's wife will take it from me."-_I am here, you shall not be deprived of it." Acorn dough she made; she ran into the house, and put it on the stone. Then, 'tis said, Wolf's wife now took it from her, acorns now she took from her. Right there he returned, and hit them all. "It is I that am here. My maternal grandmother you have enslaved." He killed them all, all the women did he kill. In the evening they all returned, Coyote returned; merely a fawn did Coyote carry home. Though a big deer he had killed, it was taken from him; just a fawn he was given. "Maternal grandfather! where is the big deer?"-"It has been taken from me."- "Oh! With Coyote is a boy that has done away with us, he has whipped us," said the women. Then, 'tis said, their husbands all went to the neighboring house. They beat the boy, but he just struck them all, revenged that upon them. All the people did he kill; thus the boy did, the boy did kill. Of rock was the boy, so because of that was he feared; big people did Rock Boy kill.

Then down river he went back, to his mother he returned. "I have seen my maternal grandparents. It seems that Wolf has enslaved them, of all their food they seem to have been deprived, of firewood they seem to have been deprived," he said, to his mother he recounted it. Then Coyote's daughter went to her father. Also her husband did go with his canoe full of salmon. Otter visited his father-in-law; salmon, filled in his canoe, he took with him. Otter, together with his wife, did take with him salmon, a canoeful; in her father's house they arrived. Coyote and his wife were glad when their daughter returned. Then they went back down river.

## 2. Daldal as Transformer.

Daldál wilíi yowó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xamíxa $\mathrm{cu}^{\varepsilon}$ wilĩ. Yap ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ a Dragon-fly hishouse it was, by the sea he was dwelling. People

 He got tired of it, "Whence come they? How there is doing? Whence it is said.
baxàm yap!a xa-isgú" ${ }^{\text {t'sgidik'w? Gwidī }}{ }^{\text {? }}$ baxàm? Ganat' come they people with bodies cut through? Whence come they?" So in appearance
yaxa ba-ik!iyísk' xa-isgú" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ 'sgidik'w. Gwidī baxàm? continually they came with bodies all cut through. "Whence come they?" Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ sgónsgwa. Dabalníxa laa ${ }^{a} e^{-1}$ yap!a xa-isgứut'sgidik'w Then, it is he became Long time it became people with bodies all cut said, tired of it. through

| 'ulúu'k'wa | aga | gwēlxda | eme ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | xa-isgí ${ }^{\text {i }}$ bik ${ }^{\text {'w }}$ | ganát |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| they came floating | these | their legs | here ${ }^{3}$ | cut right through | so |

yaxa ba-ik'ulúuk'wa. Ganē'hi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwíne $1 a^{a}{ }^{〔} \bar{e}^{\prime} . \quad N e^{e}$ continually they came floating Then, it is how long it became. "Well, down river. said,
yãnt' $e^{\varepsilon}$. Gwidí baxàm yap!a xa-isgúut'sgidik'w ne ge I'll go. Whence come they people with bodies all cut well, there giník'de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
I'll go," he said, it is said.
$B a^{2} k$ !emenáms. Ganē yá ${ }^{8}$ hinaũ ginî ${ }^{1} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$. $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{ni}^{8}$ hawi He made ready Then he upriver he went. Not yet to go.
ga yuk!wōĩ gwīi baxámda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a xa-isgúut'sgidik'w áni ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ that he knew it where that they people with bodies all cut not from came through,
yok!wōĩ. K'ái gáal dì yap!a xa-isgúut'sgidik'w? Gwidī he knew it. "What for (inter.) people with bodies all cut Whence through?
baxam nagá-ihig. Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gelam bá wawilik' ${ }^{\text {w }}$. come they?" he said, it Then he went. River he traveled up is said. along it.

[^83]
Then, it is said,
di ${ }^{\text {i } h i l i u g w a ́ ~}{ }^{8} \mathrm{n}^{2}$ sindelegámesdam
I am glad of it you pierced my nose,"
nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$. Gwidí
it said, it is "Where said.

Wītc!aĩ
"My nephew, ${ }^{1}$ ginigàt'? are you going to?" gáhi gwidí baxàm. those same whence they come." ones
 Then, it is he continued traveling. ${ }^{3}$ Now again bird he shot
said,
Gelbâ'mı sãk'w dak'awalák'i'ida
Way up he shot it, on crown of his head
sãk ${ }^{\text {'w }}$. Sás nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wãxa. Wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wã nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. $M i^{i}$ he shot it. Coming to he did, it his younger "My younger he said, it Now a standstill is said,
brother. brother," is said.
 two they became he and his Then they went, upriver they went.

Neks'iwô'k'di malãk'wa yáp!a henenagwán di ${ }^{\varepsilon} l^{\text {u }} \mathrm{me}^{-1}$ yap!a I know not who he told him, "People they are annihi- at $\mathrm{Di}^{\varepsilon}$ lou mi" people lated,
henenagwán $\quad x a-i s g i p!i ́ s g i b i n . ~ M_{i}^{i} \quad$ ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k‘ai gwalahi
they are annihilated
they are always cut through.
 he wrestled oaks with he wrestled he always just cut them he and his that with them, white acorns with them, in two, it is said; younger brother na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagáa $^{i \ell}$. Aga wo ihemèm yank inemèm golom they did. These firs they wrestled oaks with they wrestled oaks with with them, black acorns with them, white acorns īhemèm tc!ā’sap" íhemèm k'ái gala ihemèm. they wrestled tc!asap'-berry they wrestled things many they wrestled with them, bushes with them, with them.
 Then strong they One person to him they old woman became.
 Bluejay person wild in K'uk'u his mother, she was "A'! my aunt!" woods sitting.

[^84]Gwidí ginigàt' ts!ayã? Hinàu. A' t'adã goc ${ }^{1}$ mahai
"Where are you nephew?" "Upriver. A'! aunt, gos"- big
ús'i. $A^{\prime} n i^{8} \quad$ gi $i^{i}$ a-icdèk' wik'aba á-icda. Buban tlimír $\begin{array}{llll}\text { give, "Not I my property, my son } & \text { his } \\ \text { me!" }\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Strings of } \\ & \text { dentalia }\end{aligned} \begin{gathered}\text { one } \\ \text { hundred }\end{gathered}$

I'll give you." "Not I my property, my son his property. Perhaps t!umũxi. K’ái ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ di? Aga būban $t!e^{i} \mathrm{~m}^{\ell}{ }^{8} \mathrm{~s}$ ogúcbin. he'll kill me." "What for (inter.)? These strings of one hundred I'll give,
Tc!olx gangáhi guc mahài igína tc!olx ogōîhi. Daldal Indian anyhow gos'- big he took it, dentalia he gave her. Daldal money
 his younger he did elder one not in any he did. He kept standing, brother so, way it is said,
yaxa aga maháit'a aga wãxat'a xebén ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Yá ${ }^{\text {. }}$ continually this elder one, this his younger brother, he did for his part, They
Mī yewéis K'ūk'ũ. Gwidí guc mahait'ék ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ à? Witc!aîhan Now he returned K'uk'u. "Where gos'- my big one, "My nephews nōdát' baxám ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ īdága bu uban $t!^{-1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}^{8} \mathrm{~S}$ ogús•bi. Gus from down they came, those strings of one hundred they gave "Gos"river
mahái ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ a gwidí? Witc!aĩhan igíina. Mii t!omõm níxa. big indeed where?" "My nephews they took Now he killed his
 Now people he followed Now he caught up "Gos" big fetch it them. with them. shell back hither!'"
 "Strings of one hundred fetch them Just now my aunt I left them dentalia back hither! with her
 strings of one " "Gos'- big fetch it "One hundred Indian dentalia hundred." shell back hither!" rope
 fathoms fetch them ", "Gos'- big fetch it Let there be Duwúu ${ }^{\text {q }} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{ci}^{\varepsilon}$ canáxiniba ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{S}^{\circ} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$. Ganē $\mathrm{hi}^{\varepsilon}$ sansánsa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ daldál "So it is good, so let us fight!" Then, it is said, they fought Daldál

[^85]
 he threw "Xáu,"' his hair as it burned he echoed it, his own hair. him.

| Ganē | yá ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | ba déyeweyak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Then | they | they continued to |
|  | went, | travel. | it is said,

Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. K'ai gwalà Then they Things many
ihemèm yana ihemèm xo ihemèm tc!ā'cap īhemèm they wrestled oaks they wrestled firs they wrestled tc!acap'- they wrestled with them, with them, with them, berry bushes with them, xa-iya ${ }^{a} k!$ odõlhi. Alhemèk' mi ${ }^{i \varepsilon_{S}}$ lomt!ē. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i \varepsilon_{S}}$ baxá ${ }^{\varepsilon} m$ they always just broke They met one old man. "One he comes," them in two. him
ópxa malaganánhi. Alsinlouk' mise lomt!è hā'p‘di. his elder he told him. Theymethim one oldman small. brother
Gwenhék‘waªk'w lomt!è. Ba-idak‘wilit! $a^{a}+$ dî̊n $^{\text {n }}$. Há-u. Gwidi
"Relate it, old man!" "I ran out of the house." "Yes! How
mene $^{\mathrm{e}}$ na ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nàt' baidàk'wilit!ā+ dìt'? Wūlx ${ }^{3}$ abaidi ${ }^{\varepsilon} y o w \delta^{u}{ }^{d}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ in this you could do, you ran out of the Enemies since they have come way house? into house to fight, gasi $^{\varepsilon}$ ba-ibiliwàt'. Ba-idak'wilit!ā+dín. Gahē yaxa so that you ran out.". "I ran out of the house." Just that continually ganga nagáis. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ts!iníits!anx daldál. K’a-iná ga di' only he said. Now he became angry Daldál. "What that (inter.) nagait'? He ${ }^{e}$ salt'gunt'gàn lat'bán ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}$ y $\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{m}$ yáa lālē'. you say?" He kicked him over, he burst, blood just he became.

[^86]Gananèx yap!a doumdàmk‘. Daldál sinhúsgal cdoicdagwána In that way people he used to kill "Daldál big-nosed! Putting on style them, it seemed.
lãp‘ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Wãxa mi it gayaũ yũm. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ lūli ${ }^{i}$ become!" he said, it is His younger now he ate it blood. Now his throat said.
brother
da-idamák'. Obiyá. K’adī ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xaª́lk!walagwìt' nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ it choked it. "O elder, "What not you had better let he said, it is brother!" it alone," said.
Witc!amàk ${ }^{\text {sw }}$ igína gwen lơk'i ba-iwak!alási yũm witc!amák' Flint flaker he took he stuck it into with it he took blood flint flaker it, his throat, it out
wa bẽm wà. $X a^{\varepsilon}$ álsi $i^{\varepsilon 1}$ ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ! walà ${ }^{〔 w}$.
with stick with. "Not he let it alone."
 Then they continued traveling. Now again house they found it. $K^{\prime} a-1 l a^{a} p^{\prime} a \operatorname{sgilbib} i^{i}+x$ sgilbibíi $+x$ sgilbibíi $+x^{2}$ nagari . Daldá Woman "Warm your warm your warm your she said. "Daldál back! back! back!'
 big-nosed, putting on style become! Ill warm my He went inside. One back."
 continually woman she was warm- Now he went to lie "War myour ing her back. down. back!'
Hap!ēyá gelt!anáhagwa. ${ }^{4}$ Gwelhí t'uwúk 'de ${ }^{\varepsilon} . \mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{p}!\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}}$ Into the fire she pushed him. "Keep away! I feel hot." Now fire
 it had blistered his "O elder "Not indeed he let things He kicked back. brother!" alone."
 her off. "What you woman you will Was- you will always k!umoi gáàl yoda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Wede ma k‘a-ilā’p‘a yukleīt‘ puma swamps at you will be. Not you woman you will be, food yudá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. you will he said to her, be," it is said.

[^87]Then they they continued
traveling.
"Come hither come hither
and copulate! and copulate!' nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$. A'! k'adí neyé ${ }^{\ell}$ ? Daldal s inhúsgal s'dois dagwaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ she said, it "A'! what they say? Daldal big-nosed, putting on style it is said.
 become you, for I'll copulate," he said to him, his elder There he went. your part; it is said, brother.
Gwélxdagwa ha-iwesgáhak ${ }^{〔 w}$. Ganē'hi ${ }^{8}$ gelwayãn. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ Her own legs she spread them apart. Then, it is he slept with Now said, her.
 she squeezed (her legs) "Not that do to me!" Now he was nearly together.
 "O elder There he went; flint flaker he used her legs he split them brother!" ${ }^{\text {it, }}$ is open.
 gwidik"w. Yap!a ga-iwawálsbink‘ yap!a gaĩsbink‘ xuma he threw "People they shall always people they shall food
them.
eat you, eat you, eat you;
yudá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
you shall he said to her, be," it is said.
$\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ bāyewéis. Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon} \quad \mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon}$ yeweyàk ${ }^{〔 w}$. Ganē Now they arose and Then they they continued Then went again. went, traveling.
ánĩ $^{8}$ wili t!ayaganá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ai gwala îhemèm xa-iyā'sgip!īhi. not house they having things many they wrestled they always just cut found it,
with them, them in two.

wak'di henenagwàt', perhaps you ate it all up,"
nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{n h i} i^{\varepsilon}$. Dakt'báagamt'
they said to each He tied together other, it is said. above
$\bar{u}$ 'luk!
their hair

he tied them Now they quarreled
together above.
$\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ dewiliwálsi ${ }^{1}$ nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{nhi}^{\varepsilon}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ lãmalsa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$
"Now she is fighting me," they said to Now they quarreled Now each other. with each other.
úluk!it'gwan it!anáhi. Mī lamálsa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ biliwálsa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ each other's hair they took hold Now they quarreled they jumped at Now of it. with each other, each other.
daldál dak'wilíídat' uyū ${ }^{\prime i}$ sggigwa. Daldál cinhúsgal ãk' Daldál from on top of he laughed at "Daldál big-nosed he the house them.
di haga xẽp'k'? Dit'gwáalam wit'adì tc!elei wô'k'i ${ }^{\text { }}$ (inter.) that one so he did "O yes! myaunts eyes without di yũk'? Ganē aba-iginî́k'. T'gwe ${ }^{\text {e }}{ }^{\text {lámx }}$ wũlt' hap!ēya (inter.) they seem Then he went inside. Scouring rush he went into the to be?"
de ${ }^{\text {e }}$ gwidik ${ }^{\text {©w }}$. Ganē tc!eléi ganau damats!àk'. BAk! Mi ${ }^{i}$ he put it point Then their eyes in he placed it point Pop! "Now foremost.
foremost.
tc!eléik ${ }^{\text {'w }}$ k!emẽnxbin nagáaihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
having eyes I have made you," he said, it is said.

| Báa ${ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ yeweyà ${ }^{\prime}$ | xilamanà. | İhemem | k'ai |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| They continued to travel | they. | They wrestled with them | things | many |


 big-nosed, putting on style become!'" He went inside. Sinew it was t'bagams wili debúuq. Mii sẽp'. P!úl ba-idigwibíik‘ôp’. all tied house full. Now he Ashes they popped out together cooked it. all over.
Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nèx yap!a do ${ }^{\text {u m màmk }}$. $\overline{\mathrm{A}}$ ! Grwidi na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagait ${ }^{〔}$ In that way people he evidently used "A! How are you doing?" to kill them.
nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Hãxank'wahī's. Obiyá. ${ }^{\varepsilon} e^{e}$ k‘ádi ma wili he said, it He almost burned "O eilder " $\varepsilon e^{e}$ ! What you house is said. him. brother!'"

[^88]| nida ${ }^{\text {e }}$ ? | P!iyin | k!álts! ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $a^{\varepsilon}$ | wílaũ | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| you will keep it? | Deer | its sinew | you will always | arrows | alo |


wat'báagamdina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ they shall be tied therewith,"
nagáhi. Mi k!emèi.
he said to him, Now he made it. it is said.
$\mathrm{Ba}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon}$ yeweyà $\mathrm{k}^{\text {‘w }}$.
They continued traveling. $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { Ganēhi }{ }^{\varepsilon} \\ \text { Then, it is } \\ \text { said, }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{gathered}\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \text { 'ái } \\ \text { things }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { gwala } \\ \text { many }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { i'hemem. } \\ \text { they wrestled } \\ \text { with them. }\end{gathered}$
$\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ abaiwõk' ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ai yap!à. $\overline{\mathrm{A}}+!$ p‘im Now again they arrived not any person. " $\mathrm{A}+1$ salmon baxnéet'ôk'. Ǎ! Daldál sinhúsgal cdóisdagwana lãp'. roasted by fire. " $A$ ! Daldál big-nosed, putting on style become!
 lly salmon I'll eat it." Not any person; salmon- just
abai dũl gedè. P'im báihemèk' gayaũ. Gwiná ga inside, spear- at its Salmon he took it out, he ate it. "How that point point.
na ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{n e y e ̀ ~}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ anī $\overline{1}^{\varepsilon}$ k'ai yáp!a māl yaxa abai dūl gede? they do, not any people, salmon- just inside spear- at its Mi gasáa $1 h i$ māl sansánk'wa. Ga haga walá ${ }^{\text {º }}$ wili Now quickly salmon- it fought with That that one indeed house spear shaft him. yonder
wa-it!ánik'. Mi hono ${ }^{\text {i }}$ t!omõk'wahis māl. Obiyá. ${ }^{\text {e }}{ }^{\text {n }}$ ! he evidently Now again he almost killed salmon- "O elder "Een! kept it. him spear shaft. brother!"
K'adí anī ${ }^{\varepsilon} \quad x a^{\varepsilon} a l k!w a l h a ̀ k ? ~ I g i n a ~ m a ̃ l ~ x a-i k!o t ' k!a ̀ t ' . ~$ What not he left it alone?" He took it salmon- he broke it in two. spear shaft,
K'adí ma wili wa-it!ánida ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ? Yap!a k!emánxbink‘ "What you house you will keep it? People they will make you, māl k!emnaná.$\quad Y a p!a ~ k!e m n a ̀ n k ' ~ m a ̄ l ~ p ' i m ~$ salmon- they will be People they will make salmon- salmon spear shafts made. them spear shafts,
wasanáhink‘. Wédesi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ma wili wa-it!anik ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ eit' $^{\prime}$ nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. they will spear ${ }^{2}$ So not you house you will keep it," he said to him, with them.
 Now again Now again things many

[^89]īhemèm xa-iyāk!odõlhi. Miihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wili alt!ayák، íxdīl they wrestled they always just broke Now, it is houses they found ten; with them, them in two. said, wili míis sga $^{\varepsilon}$ k!iyíx ganau wili mís $\operatorname{sga}^{\varepsilon}$ k!iyix house one smoke in it house one, smoke
 it was coming house one. They looked not any person, up out of it
doláx yaxa. Mir hono ${ }^{\text {e }}$ abáiliwila ${ }^{\text {ues }}$ ánī k'ai yā’p!a
household
implements just. Now again they looked not any person, doláx yaxa. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{S}} h o n o^{\varepsilon}$ abailiwiláu\& yap!a $\bar{a}^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon}$ k'a-i household just. One again they looked person not any, implements inside, doláx yaxa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ abaiwõk ${ }^{\prime}$ mologolā’p‘a mir ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ sga $^{\varepsilon}$ household just. Then, it is they arrived old woman one implements said, inside
 "A"! Water go and am thirsty for it.
$X i$ woò nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon} . \quad \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{m}+!\quad \mathrm{K}$ 'á-iwa haxwiya
Water go and he said, it is " $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{m}+$ ! Some evil in the get it," said.
 she said, it old woman. "Quickly water go and water I am thirsty is said, get it, for it."
K'a-iwa háxiya nagá-ihí mologolā'p'a. Ge hiwiláut'e ${ }^{e}$. "Some evil in the she said, it old woman. "There I shall run." being water," is said,
T'a ${ }^{2} g a^{\varepsilon} k^{‘}{ }^{1}$ hene $t^{\prime} a^{a} g a^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ hene nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Hapxi wa-iw $\bar{i}^{\prime}$ "You shall then! you shall then!" she said, it Little girl cry cry is said.
xi wõlt' bahawáعk' xi. Mi it!á-ut!iwin. Wä+ wä $+^{2}$ water she went she dipped water. Now she was caught. "Wä + wä + ," for it, it up
t'agáí. Dit'gwãlam. Mi ${ }^{i}$ xamhiwilíue. Kxádi? ā + she cried. "O yes!" Now to river he ran. "What (is it)? A+! k!el' wuù k!el' wuù gasalhí gasalhí. DA'ldAlwaya basket- go and
bucket get it, basket- go and quickly, quickly!
bet it Dáldalwaya,
 dáldalwaya, daldalwaya!
that always say then!" he himself (fut.)
p!uwúuk'wit'. Ga nánha ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{‘}$ dáldalwaya dáldalwaya dáldalhe named "That alwayssay dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya, dáldalhimself.
(fut.);

[^90]waya nánha ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{‘}$ nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xapxwi ${ }^{1}$ wa-iwi'. Abaiyeweyàk ${ }^{〔}{ }^{\prime \mathrm{m}}$. waya, always say he said to her, little girl. He returned into the (fut.)!" it is said, house with her.
Ganē tc!ümũmt'a libĩs gayaũ.
Then he boiled it crawfish, they ate it.
Ganē báadeyeweyàk'w nogò wilī wō'k'. Ganē'hi ${ }^{\text {ºw }}$
Then they continued down river house they Then, it is traveling, from arrived. . said,
 talked younger brother. here
 you, younger one to go! "Those two we we are to wrestle," however, nagá-ihí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Géhi giníqk' maháit'ā dak'wilĩ bas ${ }^{\text {g }} \mathrm{gin}^{\ell} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ he said, it is There he went the bigone, on top of he went up, said.
suwili ${ }^{i}$ maháit'a dak'wilĩ. Abá-ihi giníqk'. Dáldal he sat on top of the Inside he went. Dáldal

$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { wãxa } & \text { k!wált'a } \\ \text { his younger younger one }\end{array} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { aba-iwõk. } \\ \text { he arrived at }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { Yap!a } \\ & \text { Person }\end{aligned} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { ílts!ak'w } \\ \text { wicked }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { gũxda } \\ \text { his wife }\end{gathered}$ brounger youngerone hived brother his house.
 she was children small they were Justone boy person sitting,

$\underset{\text { I'll eat it, }}{\text { gayawá }} \quad \underset{\text { salmon }}{\text { p'im }} \underset{\substack{\text { give it me } \\ \text { to eat, }}}{\text { lexi }} \underset{\text { hunger }}{\text { bãnx }}$
t!umũxi
it is killing
nagá-ihi ${ }^{\text {® }}$.
P'im

he said, it is Salmon said.
gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ adát'wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lagák'i hapxwì hapsdi. $\mathrm{He}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$ when he had to every one he gave it children little. Yonder eaten it of these to eat
 one he was at the door. Person male his wife she was
ít!aut!au nít'. Xapxit! !ít'a ba-iginíqk' haxiva ginísk'. he fiddled her Boy he went out, to the he went. with them nipples.

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\begin{array}{c}
\text { obiyà } \\
\text { "OD elder } \\
\text { brother, }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{mi}^{\text {is }} \mathrm{c} \\
\text { one }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\text { aba-iwō'k' } \\
\text { he has arrived } \\
\text { at the house }
\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}
\text { yap!a } \\
\text { person }
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

[^91]

| ts $!\bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{ts}!\mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ | xãsalt'gwélt'gwili. | Iyás ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ge ${ }^{\text {et'sgàt }}$ | p'im |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| he stepped, | he broke it in two by | He just twisted his | salmon |

 in he stepped. "Friend, let us, "Not hither I came
 (as) player. 'Just I'lleat it,' I said. Not playing for


|  | t ! ${ }^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{lt}$ !als ${ }^{\text {iniba }}{ }^{\text {e }}$. | Nagásanhi ${ }^{\text {® }}$. | Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| et us play with each other, | let us play grass game!' | They said to each other, it is said. | Then, it is said, |


| linits!anx | daldál. | Duwúusk' | 100 gWa 'siniba ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{Si}^{\text {® }}$ | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| he became | Daldál | "It is well! | let us play with, each | said, it is |

 They went out, ? he picked him up, to the water he went "M+ with him.
$m+!$ Mi ${ }^{i} w i s$ dap ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} l a-u$ dũ moyūgwanán ${ }^{1}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ $\mathrm{m}+!\begin{gathered}\text { Now, it youth handsome he's to be spoiled," } \\ \text { seems, }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{aligned} & \text { they said, } \\ & \text { it is said, }\end{aligned}$ $h e^{e \varepsilon} m^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!à gwalà wilíi. Mi īhemẽxa ${ }^{i} n$. Xa-imíi ${ }^{i}$ wasgíibi ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ yonder people many their Now they wrestled "I'll probably cut him houses. with each other. through
 once indeed," he nearly holding his he did, it " $M+m+$ ! Yet said; ground is said.
sas nagái\& yapla dap ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{l}{ }^{i ́}$-u dũ. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ îhemẽxa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$. holding his he does person youth hand-, Thien, it they wrestled with ground some." is said, each other.
Handat' õ ${ }^{u} p x a$ alxík'wa. Ma'mit'a yap!a handàt' míis sì Across from
there $\begin{gathered}\text { his elder } \\ \text { brother }\end{gathered}$ he saw him. The elder people $\begin{gathered}\text { across the } \\ \text { (plur.) }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { just } \\ \text { one }\end{gathered}$

[^92]$\underset{\text { salmon }}{\text { p'im }}$| yunobált'. |
| :---: |
| he was holding his |
| net for them. |$\quad$| Daldál |
| :---: |
| Daldál | | maháit'a |
| :---: |
| the elder | | dák'wilī |
| :---: |
| on top of the |
| house | | ciulĩ. |
| :---: |
| he was |
| sitting. |


| Agasi ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | dap $^{\varepsilon}$ ālaũ | k!wált'a | īhemẽxa ${ }^{\text {¢ }} \mathrm{n}$ | wa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ díxda | k!ídididi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| So these | youths | younger ones | they wrestled | their bodies | "K!ídididi.' |


| $\underset{" \mathrm{Hm}+}{\mathrm{Hm}+}$ | $\underset{h m+!}{h m+!}$ | $\underset{\text { Yet }}{\text { Hawi }}$ | ba-idísgadasgat'. they have strength. |  | Ani ${ }^{8}$ his <br> Never yet ${ }^{1}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a^{\varepsilon}$ ne <br> hey al | $\begin{aligned} & \hat{o}^{\prime u \varepsilon} \\ & \text { do," } \end{aligned}$ | -ihi ${ }^{8}$ aid, it aid, | yap!áhan people together. | $\mathrm{K}!\bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{y} \text { am }$ "Friend, | $\underset{\substack{\text { your } \\ \text { salmon }}}{\text { p'ima }^{\varepsilon}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { gaĩ. } \\ & \text { eat it!" } \end{aligned}$ |

 each other.
 I wanted it, now playing I wish it." "Friend, my wife nít' tc!ín ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$. $A^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon}$ gelgulugwá ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ ihẽmxiniba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. her pinch them!" "Not I wish it, let us wrestle he said, it is nipples with each other!" said.

daldál maháit'ā dak'wili cuwilĩ ga dexebé ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ mée ${ }^{i}$ dàt'. Daldál the elder on top of he was that he said, "This way!"

Gwendák‘alyewéi ${ }^{\text {® }}$.
He turned back on top.
 He picked him up and people he destroyed set him down; them.

| Wát'gwan | bilî̃ ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | ihemẽxa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. |  |  |  | wādíxda <br> their bodies |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At one another | they jumped. | Then, it is said, |  | estled anothe |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{de}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{y} \mathrm{u}^{\varepsilon} \quad 1$ | k!ídidididi | Hán |  | m |  |  |  | ! |
| sounded, | didididi | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Acro } \\ \text { riv } \end{array}$ |  | now |  |  |  | younge one, |
| ma'mint'a <br> the elder ones | ihemex they wre with each | $a^{\varepsilon} n$ stled other. | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{1}^{\varepsilon} \\ & \text { ot } \end{aligned}$ | daba 1 | líxa |  |  | $\mathbf{1}^{1}$ |

xa-isgót'. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}$ !omomán yap ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{a}$ ílts!ak ${ }^{‘ w}$ gā̃ m wãxadil. he cuthim Now theywere people evil two he and his through. killed younger brother.

[^93]

| $B \mathrm{o}^{\text {u }}$ | aga | ge | sasinĩ | sum ${ }^{\prime}$ | $1 a^{\text {a }}{ }^{-1}$. | Gweldi. | Bābict' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now | these | there | they stand, | mountains | they became. | Finished! | Your baap'seeds |

## Translation. ${ }^{1}$

Daldal's house there was, by the sea he was dwelling. There came floating down the river people with bodies all cut through, people with limbs all lopped off. He became tired of it, 'tis said. "Where do they come from? What is the matter? Whence come the people with bodies cut through? Where do they come from?" Such they came continually, with bodies all cut through. "Where do they come from?" Then, 'tis said, he became tired of it. A long time elapsed and people kept coming floating down the river; with their legs here cut right through, such continually came floating down the river. Then a long time did pass. "Well, I shall go. Whence come the people with bodies all cut through, well, there I shall go," he said.

He prepared himself to go. Then he went, up river he

[^94]proceeded. He did not yet know whence came the people with bodies all cut through, he did not know. "For what reason are there people with bodies cut through? Where do they come from?" he said. Then he went, up along the river he traveled. Then, 'tis said, he shot at a lark, just its nose he pierced. "My nephew, I am glad that you pierced my nose," it said. "Where are you going to?"-"To whence these very people come, all cut through."

Then he proceeded on his way. Now again he shot at a bird. Way up he shot the arrow, back on the crown of his head it came down. His younger brother, 'tis said, took his stand. "Itt is my younger brother," he said. Now they had become two, he and his younger brother. Then on they went, up river they proceeded. Someone or other told him, "People are being destroyed, at $\mathrm{Di}^{〔}{ }^{\mathrm{l}}{ }^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{mi}$ people are destroyed, they are cut through." Now then, 'tis said, with all sorts of things they wrestled, they wrestled with oaks bearing white acorns; they always just cut them in two, he and his younger brother did that. With these firs they wrestled, with oaks bearing black acorns they wrestled, with oaks bearing white acorns they wrestled, with tc!a'sap'-berry bushes ${ }^{1}$ they wrestled, with all sorts of things they wrestled. Then they became strong. They came to a certain person, old woman Bluejay, mother of $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} \mathrm{uk}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}$, a wild man of the woods; there she sat. "Ah! my aunt!""Whither are you going, O nephews?"-"Up river. Ah! aunt, give me the big gos'-shell." ${ }^{2}$-" "It does not belong to me, it is my son's."-"I shall give you a hundred strings of dentalia.""It does not belong to me, it is my son's. Perhaps he would kill me."-"For what reason? These hundred strings of dentalia I shall give you." Dentalia, to be sure, (he gave her and) the big gos'-shell he took, dentalia he gave her. Daldal's younger brother did so, the elder one did nothing. This elder one, 'tis said, just kept standing, but this younger brother of his was active. On they went. Now K'uk'ū returned.

[^95]"Where is my big gos'-shell?"-"My nephews from down river did come, those hundred strings of dentalia they gave you.""Where is my big gos-shell?"-"My nephews have taken it." Now he killed his mother, and followed up the people. Now he caught up with them. "Come back with the big gos'-shell." -"Come back with the hundred strings of dentalia! Just now I left a hundred strings of dentalia with my aunt."-"Come back with the big gos'-shell."-"Come back with the hundred rope-lengths!"'-"Come back with the big gos'-shell! There'll be fighting."-"Then it's well, so let us fight!"' Then, 'tis said, they fought, he and the younger Daldal. Dem+,dem+, dem + ! Inside of a hollow tree trunk he ran, and hid himself. "O elder brother!" he said. Then Daldal the elder looked around and picked up a rock; he threw it at him, broke his leg in two with the rock. "Break!" he echoed his own leg as it broke in two, "Break!" he echoed it. "He's echoing his own leg."-"He's echoing his own leg" (K'uk'ū repeated in a whisper). "Throw him on the fire!"-"Throw him on the fire!" (K'uk'ü repeated in a whisper). "He is about to die.""He is about to die" ( $\mathrm{K}^{\prime} u \mathrm{u}^{\prime} \overline{\mathrm{u}}$ repeated in a whisper). On the fire he threw him. "Xa-u," he echoed his own hair as it burned. ${ }^{2}$

Then they went on, they proceeded on their way. On they went. They wrestled with all sorts of things, oaks they wrestled with, firs they wrestled with, tc!ā'cap'-berry bushes they wrestled with, they always just broke them in two. ${ }^{3}$ They met a certain old man. "Someone is coming," he told his elder brother. They met a certain small old man. "Tell it, old man!"-"I ran cut on top of the house."-"'Yes! why should you act in this way, that you run out of the house? Since enemies have come into the house to fight, that is why

[^96]you ran out."-"I ran out on top of the house." Just that only he kept saying. Now Daldal became angry. "What sort of thing did you say?" He kicked him over; he burst, just blood he became. In that way, as it seemed, was he ${ }^{1}$ wont to kill people. "Big-nosed Daldal! Put on style!"' he said (to his elder brother). Now his younger brother ate up the blood, and it choked him. "O elder brother!"-"Why did you not better let it alone?" he said. He took a flint-flaker and stuck it into his throat; with the flint-flaker he took out the blood, with the stick. "So he did not let it alone." ${ }^{3}$

Then they continued on their way. Now again they found a house. "Warrrm your back! warrrm your back! warrm your back!" a woman did say. "Big-nosed Daldal! put on style! I'll warm my back." He went inside. A certain woman was continually warming her back. Now he went to lie down. "Warm your back!" (she said). Into the fire she pushed him. "Keep away! I feel hot." Now the fire had blistered his back. "O elder brother!"-"'So he doesn't let things alone." He kicked her off. "Do you think you will be a woman? People will always call you a wáss-bush, ${ }^{4}$ in the swamps you will be. You will not be a woman, food you will be," he said to her.

Then on they went, continued on their way. "Veni et copula + ! veni et copula + !" inquit (quaedam). "Ah! what are they saying? Big-nosed Daldal! do you, for your part, put on style! ego copulabo," he said to his elder brother. There he went. Crura sua distendit. Tunc, aiunt, cum ea dormivit. Tunc (crura sua) compressit. "Noli mihi id facere!" (inquit Daldal). Nunc prope exanimatus fuit. "O frater senior!" Ibi iit (Daldal senior); 'flint-flaker' usus est, crura eius diffidit.

[^97]"Do you think you will be a woman? Fresh-water Mussel you will always be called." Into the water he threw her. "People shall be wont to eat you; people will eat you, food you shall be," he said to her.

Now they arose and went on again. Then on they went, continued on their way. Then, not finding a house, they wrestled with all sorts of things, always just cut them in two. He and his younger brother did that. Ah! Now they heard something, "t'ut', t'ut', t'ut"."-"Ah! Big-nosed Daldal!" (said the younger brother and) went on top of the house. Now down he looked; two old women without eyes, blind, were pounding tar-weed seeds, and were facing each other. Now, 'tis said, Daldal's younger brother stole it, the old woman's food he stole; from on top of the house Daldal did so. "How, did you eat it all up?" (said one old woman). "How so? Perhaps it was you that ate it up," they said to each other. The old women's long hair he tied together above them. Now he had tied it above them, and they quarreled with each other. "Now she is fighting me," they said to each other. Now they quarreled with each other, took hold of each other's hair; they quarreled and jumped at each other. And Daldal from on top of the house laughed at them. "Big-nosed Daldal! So it was he that did it?" (they said). "O yes! so my aunts are without eyes, are they?" Then inside he went. A scouringrush he went for, and into the fire he put its point. Then into their eyes he placed its point. Pop! "Now I have provided you with eyes," he said.

They continued on their way. With all sorts of things they wrestled as they traveled, firs they wrestled with, oaks they wrestled with, and always cut them in two. Strong they made themselves. Now again they found a house. "À! Big-nosed Daldal! put on style!" He went inside; the house was full of sinew all tied together. Now he roasted it. Ashes popped all about. In that way, as it seemed, was he ${ }^{1}$ wont to kill people. "A! What are you doing?" he said. He almost burned him.

[^98]"O elder brother!"-_' " $E^{e}$ ! do you think that you are going to keep house? Deer's sinew shall you always be called; feathers shall be tied onto arrows therewith, whenever people make arrows they shall be tied therewith," he said to him. Now he had made it.

They continued on their way. Then, 'tis said, with all sorts of things they wrestled. Now again they arrived at a house, but there was no person there. A+! there was salmon roasted by the fire. "Á! Big-nosed Daldal! put on style! I'm going to eat my salmon." There was no person there; there was just a salmon-spear shaft in the house, with the spear-point at its point. Out he took the salmon and ate it. "How is it that they do that way, that there are no people, but just a salmon spear-shaft in the house with a spear-point at its point?" Now the salmon-spear shaft fought with him. So it was that one indeed that kept house. Now again the salmon-spear shaft had almost killed him. "O elder brother!" -_"En! Why didn't he leave it alone?" He took the salmonspear shaft and broke it in two. "Do you think that you are going to keep house? People shall make you, salmon-spear shafts shall be made. People will make salmon-spear shafts, and shall spear salmon with them. So you are not going to keep house," he said to him.

Now again they continued on their way. And again with all sorts of things they wrestled, they always just broke them in two. Now, 'tis said, ten houses they found. In one house there was smoke, one house-smoke was coming up out of one house. They looked inside, but there was no person, just household implements. Now they looked into another house, but there was no person, just household implements. Now they looked into another house, but there was no person, just household implements. Then, 'tis said, they arrived at a house where were one old woman and one little girl. "Ah! Go and get water, I am thirsty. Go and get water," he said. "M+, $\mathrm{m}+$ ! There is some evil being in the water," said the old woman. "Go quickly and get water, I am thirsty."-"There is
some evil being in the water," said the old woman. "There I shall run," (said the little girl). "In that case you shall cry! In that case you shall cry!" she said. The little girl went for water, dipped up the water. Now she was seized. "Wä+, wä+," she cried. "O yes!" (said Daldal) and ran to the river. "What is it? $\mathrm{A}+$ ! go and get a basket-bucket, go and get a basket-bucket quickly, quickly! Dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya! Like that shall you always say!" He himself did name himself. "That shall you always say. Always say dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya, dáldalwaya!" he said to the little girl. Back to the house he returned with her. Then they boiled the Crawfish and they ate it.

Then they proceeded on their way, and arrived down river from a house. Then, 'tis said, he and his younger brother talked. "Across from here I shall go to the elder one, but do you go to the younger one. With those two we are to wrestle," he said. There the elder one went, and went up on top of the house; on top of the house the elder one sat. Inside he went. Daldal's younger brother arrived at the house of the younger one. The wicked person's wife was sitting there, and there little children were sitting. Just one boy, younger brother of the wicked person, was sitting at the door. Daldal's younger brother said, "I'm going to eat salmon. Give me salmon to eat, I'm hungry." When he had eaten the salmon, he gave every one of the little children to eat. Yonder was one sitting by the door. The man's wife was sitting, and he fiddled with her nipples. The boy went out of the house, went to the water. He told his elder brother, "O elder brother, a certain person has arrived at the house and has eaten your salmon, your wife's nipples he has pinched, your salmon he has distributed to the children," he said to him. The fish-net he threw out to shore and went into the house. Daldal's younger brother was eating, salmon he ate. He stepped into the house and almost broke (Daldal's) arm in two; here on his arm he stepped and (nearly) broke it in two. (Daldal) just twisted his arm to one side and stepped right into the salmon. "O friend, let us play!" (said
the wicked man). "I did not come here to play. 'I shall just eat salmon,' I said to myself. Not for play did I come here." -"O friend, let us play with each other!" and he took grass. "Let us play with each other, let us play the grass game!" Thus, 'tis said, they spoke to each other. And now then Daldal became angry. "It is well! let us, then, play with each other," he said. Out of the house they went; he picked him up and went to the water with him. " $\mathrm{M}+, \mathrm{m}+$ ! Now, it seems, the handsome youth is to be spoiled," ${ }^{1}$ they saidyonder were the houses of many people. Now they wrestled with each other. "I think I'll cut him through the first time," he thought to himself, but (Daldal) held his ground. "M+, $\mathrm{m}+$ ! Still the person holds his ground, the handsome youth." Then, 'tis said, they wrestled with each other. From across the river his elder brother saw him. The elder people were on the other side of the river, and one was holding his net for salmon. Daldal the elder was sitting on top of the house. So these youths, the younger ones, did wrestle with each other, k!ídididi went their bodies. " $\mathrm{Hm}+\mathrm{hm}+$ ! Still they have strength. Never before have they done that," said the people collected together. "O friend, eat your salmon!"-"I do not wish it, let us play with each other. Before I just wanted salmon, now I desire to play."-"O friend, pinch my wife's nipples!"-"I do not wish it, let us wrestle with each other," he said. That one yonder across the river, the elder one, knew that his younger brother was not strong. "Eh!" he said, and threw his fishing-net out to shore. He was about to step across the river. " $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ! where are you going? Come this way!" (Daldal) said. This Daldal the elder was sitting on top of the house, he it was that said "This way!" He turned back, picked him up, and set him down; people he used to destroy. At one another they jumped, and then, 'tis said, they wrestled; then their bodies sounded k!ídididi. On the other side of the river he had already cut through the younger one, while the elder ones wrestled. It did not last long before he had cut him

[^99]through. Now the two wicked people, he and his younger brother, were slain. "Do you think that you will be a person?" and to the west he threw him. "The Evening Star you shall always be called, you shall always be called he that comes up in the evening." (To the younger one he said, "You will be) he that comes up in the east early in the morning."

Now Coyote snatched up the fishing-net. "In the water I shall catch salmon," Coyote thought to himself, but he caught only mice in the fishing-net. Again he threw it forth into the water, but caught only gophers. "Eh! you shall not catch salmon," he was told. "In the earth you shall hunt for gophers, mice shall you, for your part, catch," did Daldal say. Then he said, "People shall spear salmon, they will go to get food, to one another will they go to get food; one another they will feed, and they shall not kill one another. In that way shall the world be, as long as the world goes on."

Then, 'tis said, they continued on their way. These things he had said at $\mathrm{Di}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{lo}{ }^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{mi}$, in front of the falls he had said so. Right there salmon are always caught in fishing-nets. Then they continued on their way, on they went. Then, 'tis said, they arrived there, they accompanied each other. Now his elder brother went on ahead. Now the elder brother whistled to him; now the elder brother shrunk, while the younger one grew tall. The elder one became short, the younger one became tall. Nowadays these are standing there, mountains they have become. 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat ba ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ' -seeds. ${ }^{1}$

## 3. Panther and his Deer-Wife.

Wíli ${ }^{i}$ yowó ${ }^{8}$ hũlk’ wãxadil yãk'w. $\mathrm{Be}^{e}$ wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyũx House there was, Panther he and his Wildcat. Every day he went out younger brother hunting,
 deer he killed them Then, it is long time it became, deer all gone off. said.

[^100] he caused Deer them- they were "Panther he has killed Just one them to become. selves talking,
us off."
 deer girl there they senther Panther to him. Now Panther
pliyin wa-iwí yowòk'. Ga p!íyin wa-iwí yowogwaná ${ }^{\prime}$ deer girl he married That deer girl when he had her. married her,
 then not deer he found Then, it is again he went out them. said, hunting, ánī $^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ ai t!omõm. Honó ${ }^{\ell}$ hi wéegia-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyũx dahõxa not any he killed Again when it was he went out in the them. indeed dawn hunting, evening yewéis bílam yewéí. ${ }^{\text {És }}$ •ihi s'om ga $^{\varepsilon} a l$ hadedilt'a he returned, empty- he returned. Even mountains to everywhere wît' ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alt!ayak' p!iyìn. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hu ${ }^{4}{ }^{1 i n}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ya hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he went not he found deer. Then, it is he became just, again about, them said, tired dahōxa yewéi ${ }^{\text {i }}$ bílam yewéi ${ }^{\text {. }}$. P!iyin yawá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i \varepsilon}$ sga $^{\varepsilon}$ in the he returned, empty- he returned. Deer they talking, one evening handed $\underset{\text { wouse }}{\text { wilì }} \underset{\text { in }}{\text { ganau }} \underset{\text { they assembled, }}{\text { dákt!emex }} \underset{\text { mountain }}{\text { soled underneath }} \underset{\text { that }}{\text { gwelhók'wal }} \underset{\text { in }}{\text { ga }}$ dákt!emêx. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi ${ }^{i}$ bānx lohóis dabalníxa la ${ }^{a} 1$ it ${ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ they assembled. Then, it is now hunger he was long time when it said, dead; became ánī ${ }^{8} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{ai}$ t!omòm. Olóm hen ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}$ p!iyin ganàt' t!omomaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ not any he killed Formerly then deer so in when he had them. appearance killed them, wili debǘ\& cĩxum². $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ ánī $^{〔} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ ai henenák'w wãxadil house full dried Now not any they con- he and his abài cixum. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyūx hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ be ${ }^{e}$ wí $^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyũx inside dried Then, it is he went out again, every day he wentout venison. said, hunting hunting,
bílam yewé ${ }^{\text {i }}$.
empty- he returned.
handed
Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ gũxda p! $i^{i}$ wo ${ }^{\varepsilon} \tilde{o}^{u} h a . ~ G a n e ̄ h i^{\varepsilon}$ aga Then, it is this, for his wife firewood she used to Then, it is this said, her part go for it. said,

| p.1 | b | mengí ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | wagáwòk' | Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | dewénxa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| firewood | moss | full of | she used to bring it. | Then, it is said, | to-morrow |

[^101]| gwel ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wãk'wi ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | lawálhida ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | $\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{i}^{\text {i }}$ | bils | áni ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | k'ai | honó ${ }^{\text {. }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| arly in the | whenever it | firewood | moss | not | any | again. |

Alhūyũx bílam yewéi६. Gwinédi wede bilam yèũk'. He went out empty- he returned. When not empty-he returned? hunting, handed handed
 Then, it is said, evening when it became woman her own flesh $h^{e \varepsilon}$ sgó $^{u \varepsilon} t^{\prime} k^{‘ 2}$ gwélxdagwa ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ al. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dahōxa yewéis she cut it off her own legs at. Then, it is in the he returned (it would seem) said, evening
hūlk ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ bãnx mengíi. Gwidí p!iyín ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ lemé ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ? K'a-ilā’p'a Panther, hunger full of. "Where deer, for they have Woman on his part,
ánī yiwiyáú. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi ${ }^{i}$ sebék' ts!ík'dagwa cĩx. not she spoke. Then, it is now $\begin{gathered}\text { she } \\ \text { said, }\end{gathered}$ hoasted it own flesh venison.
Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hūlk' yewéis daho ${ }^{\text {xà }}$. Bãnx ánīi hìs aba-iwõk‘de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ Then, it is Panther he returned in the "Hunger not nearly I arrived said, evening. home," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xuma igína k'a-ilā’p’a dasálda mats!àk' he said, it is Then, it is food she woman, on the she said. said, took it ground ${ }^{3}$ placed it cix. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gavaũ cĩx xigwàlt‘‘ yok!wōĩ aga cĩx venison. Then, it is he ate it venison fresh; he knows it this venison said,

gavaũ gelhewéhau hūlk'. Gwidi báxamàk‘w nagá-ihig he ate it, he was thinking Panther. "Whence does she get it?"'s he said, it is said,
gelhewéhana $^{\varepsilon}$ hũlk'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyũx wégia-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. as he thought Panther. Then, it is again he went out when it was said, hunting dawn.

| Ganēhis | hono ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | dahõxa | bílam | . | Gwine ${ }^{\text {did }}$ | wede |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Then, it is | again | in the evening | empty- | he returned. | When | not |

i i. e., he kept returning empty-handed.
${ }^{2}$ To be analyzed as hee $e^{8}$-sgóut! $-k^{4}$. This form is inferential, not aorist (hee ${ }^{8}$ sgout ${ }^{4}$ ), in tense, because the act was done secretly, without direct knowledge on Panther's part. She "must have cut it off," because her own flesh was offered as food. Sebèk" (1. 6) is also an inferential form, for s'milar reasons; the aorist is seep'.
${ }^{3}$ Lit., " in front of his feet."
"Probably derived from $x i$, "water." Its literal meaning would then be "having water, juicy."
${ }^{5}$ Lit., "she comes having it."
bílam yèūk‘? Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hené $^{\varepsilon}$ dahōxà née gwidí baxamàk ${ }^{〔}$ empty- he returned? Then, it is then in the "Well, whence does she
handed
said,
nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
he said, it is said, as he thought.

|  |  |  | ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | night | became. |  |  |  |  | said, said,


she slept. Then, it is before day- it became; Panther, not he slept, said, break for his part,
gelhewéhau gwidí aga cĩx ${ }^{8}$ baxamàk ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$ ? Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba ${ }^{a} t$ !ebèt he was "Whence this venison she gets it?" Then, it is she arose thinking, indeed said,
$k^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}-1 \overline{i a}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}$ ulúm hen ${ }^{8} \mathrm{e}$ p! $\mathrm{i}^{i}$ wagaók'nana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bīls mengíi. woman before then firewood when she was wont moss full of. to bring it
Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'a-ilā’p‘a ba ${ }^{a} t{ }^{\prime}$ !ebèt' agasi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hūlk ánī $^{8}$ wayà ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
Then, it is woman she arose and so Panther not he slept; said,
 but indeed his wife "Panther he is probably," she almost woman. sleeping said
 She arose, moss she ate it. Right here he saw her her hams cut away,
 her own legs at venison she cut it off, so she gave her own flesh. it turned out; him as food
Bīls gayaũ ga haga walá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n^{\prime} n{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ bills $p!i^{i}$ Moss she ate it, that that in truth that she always did, moss firewood ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ ánī $^{\varepsilon}$ k'ài. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bĩls gayaũ p!ĩ ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ àl sasinĩ. at not any. Then, it is moss she ate it firewood at she was said,
Ganēhí alxíik' mi wiláut'agwa īgína. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ ts!ayák' Then, it is he saw her, now his own arrow he took it. Now he shot said,
bayuwùn. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ gũxda t!ït'gwa wáa da bilíue. Mi ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ t!it'gwa he missed her. Now his wife her own to him she Now her own $w a ́ a^{a}$ da bilíuda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t!ibagwán mi wẽt'gi. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ baiībilīk ${ }^{\text {w }}$ to him as she hispancreas now she took Now she ran out with jumped, from him. it in her hand,

[^102]t!ílā’p’agit'gwa t!iba wẽt'gi. Mi bai ${ }^{\ell}$ ī̄ilik' ${ }^{〔 w}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ her own husband pancreas she took Now she ran out with Then, it is from him. it in her hand. said,
háagga gwi pliyin dakt!emēxda ${ }^{\text {a }}$
that one where deer that they were yonder assembled, ge ${ }^{\varepsilon} y^{a} a^{a} \mathrm{hi}^{\varepsilon}$ wãk'. just there, she it is said, fetched it.
 Then, it is said, different whenever it is daylight, so then
 ball was played with it every day. Then, it is said, they played ball deer, Panther t!!ibagwán ga عīwat!éut!awak ${ }^{\iota w}$. Be $^{e} w i^{\varepsilon} \quad$ hä $+^{2} \quad \bar{i}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ da his pancreas that they played ball with it Every day "Hä+! That in their hands.
 Panther his pancreas!" as they one fast he ran out. "Catch up shouted, runner with him,
 one-horned $\mathrm{Hä}+$ ! Catch up catch up, they used to say to Then, it is night deer! with him, with him!" each other, it is said. said,
$1 a^{a}{ }^{2}$ it' $^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ ganē hoyó ${ }^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime}$ pliyáx ga goyò he ${ }^{e}$ dadá $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{si}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ when it then she danced fawn that medicine- but off now became,
hitt lãp‘gulùk'w hũlk' t!iba wēt'ginma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yãk'w with spirit he was about Panther, pan- as he had been Then, it is Wildcat gone to become creas deprived of. said,
 now people he took them. "Return you (pl.) my elder his pancreas," hither with it brother
 he said, it is Wildcat. Then, it is one person there they went one said, said,
 at night, but this medicine- she danced, all she discovered Then, it is woman them. said,

## heléelda ${ }^{\text {s }}$

as she sang:


[^103]
Then, it is said, it dawned, Panther to him she ran, but Wildcat
 sweat-house in. "Wildcat ugly-faced, your elder 'Bones crack!"
 he says your elder she kept saying, Early in the there she ran to you brother," it is said. morning
 Panther to him. Then, it is she always re- Then, it is they played said, turned yonder. said, ball
hūlk‘ t!ibagwán wa. $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime}$ da hūlk' t!ibagwán. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
Panther his pancreas with. "That Panther his pancreas." Then, it is said, míis $^{\text {s }} \mathrm{ga}^{\varepsilon}$ īgína hūlk' t!ibagwán bä $+{ }^{2}$ yúmoi yomo one he took it Panther his pancreas. " $\mathrm{Bä}+$ ! Catch up catch up t!óit' nagánsa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n h i^{\varepsilon}$. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e x ~ t$ !eut!áa ${ }^{u}$ hūlk' t!ibagwán one-horned they always said to Thus they played Panther his pancreas deer!" one another, it is said.
wà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{x}^{\prime \varepsilon}$ ne lawálhēt' ganē $\mathrm{mi}^{i}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ hoyó ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{‘}$ with. Then, it is night it used to then now again she danced said, become,
p!iyàx. Yãk'w k'adí nak!à ánī ${ }^{〔}$ igína yap!a aldí fawn. Wildcat what of all kinds not he took them people? all
 them, him. them
 medicine- even if any- that they Smoke in they came down woman, where did. along with it, ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aldī ${ }^{\prime}$ ªlt!ayàk'. Gwín ${ }^{\varepsilon} e$ la ${ }^{a} l \bar{e}$ yap!a hené ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a ́ n i ̄^{\varepsilon}$ those all she discovered Long time it became, people they were not them. used up,
nek hūlk، t!ibagwán yeweyàk‘w.
any one Panther his pancreas he returned with it.

| Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | yãk'w | ganē ${ }^{-1}$ | gis ${ }^{\text {c }}{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | nagá-ihi ${ }^{\text { }}$. | Ganē | . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Then, it is said | Wildcat | "Then | I in my | he said, it is | Then | he went. |

Ganē ge wõk' ge t!éut!iwia-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bīls Then there he arrived there (where) they were Then, it is moss playing ball. said, ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ algiligálk'wa $\overline{1} \bar{u}^{\prime} x d a g w a{ }^{\varepsilon}$ algiligálhi. Gwi hen ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{e}$ k!iy'ík'da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he daubed it over his own hands he bedaubed Where then that it fell himself, them.
t!ibàk'w ha ${ }^{a \varepsilon}$ ya gwidík'wdanma ${ }^{\text {w }}$ géhi it'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ àl. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ pancreas from side as it was thrown, right he held out his Then, it is to side
there hand palm up. said,

[^104] īhougwàk'w ópxa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ t!ibagwán mi îho ${ }^{\text {u }}{ }^{\text {gwà }}{ }^{\text {‘w }}$ Bä + yómoi he ran with it his elder his pancreas now he ran with it "Bä+! Catch up in his hand, brother in his hand. with him, yomo t!óit' yómoi yomò. Mi hü̈ülínt'a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba ${ }^{a} n a w \bar{a}^{\prime}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$. catch up one-horned catch up catch up, Now as he was he climbed up with him, deer! with him, with him!" tired a tree.
Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wī̊it'géyek!in. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} m i^{i}$ dīk!ololán t'gáap‘dagwan
Then, it is he was surrounded. Then, it is now he was dug their own horns said, said, under
wà. Ganē hagwaª́mde dek!igadá ${ }^{\text {º }}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yãk‘w.
with. "Now in my trail you shall fall he said, it is Wildcat. ahead," said,

| Bẽm |  | oubán | aks | gelbám | $S^{*} 1^{\varepsilon}$ ulĩ. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tree | it was made to fall | it was | he, however, | up above | he was |
|  | by being uprooted, | dug up; |  |  | ting. |


nagái ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$. Ganē héebili ${ }^{\text {uq. }}$. Bä + yómoi yomo t!oit'. Gwi ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e d i$ he did. Then away he "Bä+! Catch up catch up one-horned When
 not he ran with it Then night it was about evening it became; in his hand? to become,
honó ${ }^{\varepsilon} h i \quad b a^{a} n a w \bar{a}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime} k^{\prime}$ hüüliñt'a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ligilagànt' hulū'hilint'a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. again indeed he climbed up as he was he always whenever he was a tree, tired; rested tired.

 Then he was surrounded, Wildcat, up above. Now it was about to be however,

| Ganė | bills | ¢, algiligálk'wa. | Ganēhis | p!ayewé ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Then | moss | 'he daubed it over | Then, it is | he returned |  |

 his horns on top of he came down, another again on top of he jumped, one

[^105]
hogwásda ${ }^{\text {a }}$ yùk'.
their runner he evidently
was.
 Then his elder he lay belly up. Now he was about his pancreas brother to die
ánī k'ai gũxda wẽt'gigwana ga wat!éut!awagwan. not any, his wife since she had taken that ball had been played it from him; with it.

Then, it is now he arrived his elder pancreas into his ribs he threw it. said, home; brother
Ganē ā’k!a mủlápx ganau hiwilíus. Mi sgísi ge yùk‘ Then he, for sweat-house in he ran. Now Coyote there he turned his part,
mülápx ganau. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ p!iyínª wõk‘. Ganē hūlk‘ sweat-house in. Then, it is now deer, for they Then Panther said, their part, arrived.
baª $^{a} y e w e^{i \varepsilon}$. Ganē ts!ayák‘ mahmít'a ${ }^{\text {a }}$. Ganē yãk!wa ${ }^{2}$ p!iyax he revived. Then he shot at the big ones. Then Wildcat, for fawns ts!ayàk، sgísidil $a^{a \varepsilon} y^{\text {à }}{ }^{3}$ p!iyáx ts!ayàk، háąga hūlk،
he shot at he and they, for fawns they shot at that one Panther them, Coyote their part, them, yonder

p!iyin maháit'a tslayàk. Mi pliyin t'ga ${ }^{a}$ gidĩ yewé ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$. deer big ones he shot at Now deer land upon they $\begin{gathered}\text { them. } \\ \text { returned. }\end{gathered}$

| Gehi | yáxa | gi ${ }^{\text {i8 }} \mathrm{a}$ | yok!woyágn. | Ganē | aga | $\mathrm{bo}^{4}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Just } \\ & \text { there } \end{aligned}$ | only | 1, for | 1 know it. | Now | this | today |  |  |

 land full they have then deer, for not any it turned out but become, their part, that they became,

[^106]

| ūlk' |  | ga | ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ al | wa-iwíi | ók'igam ${ }^{3}$ | do ${ }^{\text {u miá }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Panther | because he was | that | for | girl | he was | killing |

gåàl. Bōu wede yãk‘w ópxa t!ibagwán woók‘i ${ }^{〔}$ hūlk'凤a for. To-day, not Wildcat his elder his pancreas if he had Panther, for brother gone for it, his part,
 today he would Now I have finished it myth, just going so far gííà yok!oyán $n$. I, for my part, I know it.

## Translation.

A house there was, Panther and his younger brother Wildcat. Every day he went out hunting, the deer he killed off. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed; he had caused the deer to disappear. The deer were talking among themselves, "Panther has killed us off." A certain deer-girl they sent there to Panther. Panther married the deer-girl. When he had married that deergirl, then he found no more deer. Then he went out hunting again, but did not kill any. Again, when it was dawn, he went out hunting; in the evening he returned, returned emptyhanded. Even though he went about everywhere in the mountains, he found no deer. Then did he become tired, returned again in the evening, returned empty-handed. To talk among themselves did the deer assemble in a certain house; in a mountain cave, therein did they assemble. Then, 'tis said, he was dying of hunger; a long time had elapsed and he had not killed any. Formerly so many deer had he killed that the house was full of dried venison. Now he and his younger brother consumed no dried venison in the house. Then, 'tis said, he went out hunting again; every day he went out hunting, but returned empty-handed.

Now this wife of his, for her part, used to go for firewood.

[^107]And she was wont to bring firewood covered with moss. Then, whenever the morrow came early in the morning, the firewood no longer was covered with moss. He went out hunting, but empty-handed he returned. How long did he not keep returning empty-handed? Then, 'tis said, when the evening came, the woman cut off her own flesh from her legs. Then Panther, for his part, returned in the evening, full of hunger. "Where have the deer all gone?" (said Panther). The woman did not speak. Now then, 'tis said, she roasted her own flesh as venison. Then Panther returned in the evening. "Because of hunger I nearly did not arrive home," he said. Then the woman took the food and placed the venison down on the ground in front of him. Then he ate the fresh venison. He knew that this venison had all been consumed in the house, but now when he returns, there is fresh venison. Then he ate it; Panther kept thinking about it. "Where did she get it from?" said Panther, as he thought about it. Then, when it was dawn, he went out hunting again. Then again he returned empty-handed in the evening. How long did he not keep returning empty-handed? Then, 'tis said, that evening, as he thought about it, he said to himself, "Well, where did she get it from?"

Then night came on. And then he slept, also his wife did sleep. Then, as the morning twilight came, Panther, for his part, did not sleep, but kept thinking, "Whence, now, did she get this venison?" Then the woman arose at the time when she was wont to bring firewood, covered with moss. Now the woman arose, and Panther was not sleeping; but his wife, "Panther must be sleeping," said the woman. She arose, ate the moss. Right here he saw her hams cut away, from her own legs had she cut off venison; as food, it turned out, did she give him her own flesh. Moss she ate, and that indeed was why it always happened that there was no moss on the firewood. Then, 'tis said, she ate the moss as she stood by the firewood. Now he saw her and seized his arrow. Now he shot at her, but missed her. And his wife jumped at her husband, and as she jumped at her husband, she took away
from him his pancreas. Now she ran out with it in her hand, her own husband she had deprived of his pancreas. Now away did she run, having it in her hand. Then, 'tis said, yonder where the deer were assembled together, just there did she bring it.

Then, every time it dawned, then every day shinny-ball was played with it. Now the deer played ball; Panther's pancreas, therewith did they play shinny-ball. Every day, as they shouted, "Hä+! That is Panther's pancreas!" a certain fast runner rushed out. "Catch up with him, one-horned deer! Hä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him!'" they used to say to each other. Then, as night came on, a fawn, a medi-cine-woman that one, danced, but off yonder Panther now was about to lose his spirit, for of his pancreas he had been deprived. Then Wildcat now did take various people. "Do you all come back with my elder brother's pancreas," said Wildcat. Then one person after another went there in the night, but this medicine-woman danced, discovered them all. She sang, tis said:

Who goes about right over there, who goes about right over there, who goes about right over there?

Then it dawned and to Panther she ran, but Wildcat was in the sweat-house. "Ugly-faced Wildcat, your elder brother, 'Crack bones!' says to you your elder brother," she kept saying. Early in the morning there she ran to Panther. Then yonder she always returned. And then with Panther's pancreas shinnyball they played. "That there is Panther's pancreas," (they shouted). Then a certain one took Panther's pancreas. "Bä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer!" they kept saying to one another. In that way they played shinny-ball with Panther's pancreas. Then night used to come on, and now again the fawn danced. What sort of people did not Wildcat take? All the people he took, even the mouse he took. All of them the medicine-woman discovered, no matter
what they did. Down in the smoke they came, but all of those she discovered. A long time elapsed, the people had all been tried, but no one returned with Panther's pancreas.

Then Wildcat said, "Now I in my turn!" Then off he went. Now there he arrived, there where they were playing shinny-ball. Then he daubed moss all over himself, his hands he bedaubed. Wherever the pancreas fell as it was thrown from side to side, right there he held out his hand palm up. Now the deer said, "Bä+! That there is Panther's pancreas," shouting. Then right into his hand was it thrown. Off he scampered with it, ran with it now in his hand, ran off with his elder brother's pancreas in his hand. "Bä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer! Catch up with him, catch up with him!' Now as he was tired he climbed up a tree, and then on all sides was he surrounded. Now then it was dug under with their own horns. "Now in my own trail shall you fall ahead," said Wildcat (to the tree). The tree was made to fall by being uprooted, it was dug up, but he was sitting up above. Down in his trail it fell, it had been made to fall by uprooting. Fár off he just lightly bounded, and away he leaped. " $\mathrm{Bä}+$ ! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer!" How long did he not run with it in his hand? Now night was about to come, evening it became, and again he climbed up a tree, for he was tired. Always he rested whenever he was tired. And not again was the tree made to fall by being uprooted. Then all did sleep; now he was surrounded on all sides, while Wildcat was up above. Now it was about to dawn, and moss he daubed all over himself. Then down he went back; down on the horns of one he came down, again on another one he jumped, continued on his way, again on another one he jumped. Then just as he came to the last one, he touched him, now as he was running along with (the pancreas). Then all awoke. "Bä+! Catch up with him, catch up with him, one-horned deer! Catch up with him!" That one, it seemed, was their runner.

Now his elder brother lay belly up. Now he was about to
die, for he had no pancreas, his wife having taking it from him; therewith shinny-ball had been played. Now then (Wildcat) arrived at home; his elder brother's pancreas he threw within his ribs. Then he, for his part, did run into the sweathouse, and Coyote there turned out to be in the sweat-house. Then now, 'tis said, the deer, for their part, did arrive. Now Panther revived, then shot at the big ones. And Wildcat, for his part, shot at the fawns; he and Coyote, for their part, did shoot at the fawns, but that Panther yonder shot at the big deer. Now the deer had returned upon the land

Just so far do I, for my part, know. Now this day the land has become full of deer; at that time the deer ceased to be, but nowadays the deer have become many. Then the deer all hid themselves, for Panther was destroying them; for that reason was the girl given to him, in order to kill him. Had not Wildcat gone to get his elder brother's pancreas, Panther, for his part, would be dead today. Now I have finished this story; proceeding just so far do I, for my part, know.
4. Panther and Coyote.


[^108]
by the sea he was heard about chief

$\begin{array}{lccc}\begin{array}{c}\text { Ganēhi } \\ \text { Then, it is }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { wá-iwī } \\ \text { girls }\end{array} & g \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\varepsilon} i n i & \begin{array}{c}\text { s ẽm } \\ \text { two }\end{array} \\ \text { ducks }\end{array}$ said,
 He was heard about, Coyote on thi it is said,
gwent'gāũ gáa ge wilí east of the that one, there his land for his part, hous
 Then, it is white girls two she and her there they
said
ducks sgísi ga à̀l. Ganē p!ebéxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ liwáa Coyote at. Then he peeled bark Coyote. Then, it is said, now looking nagái ${ }^{\text {® }}$ wa-iwi $i^{i}$ dũ gā'p!ini baxá ${ }^{\varepsilon} m$. ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ ! gwidí na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagait' $e^{\varepsilon}$ ? he did; girls pretty two they come. " $\varepsilon$ A! How am I going to do?"
T'gwa heelamáa nãk'i t'gwa he lamáa k!emán. Wihin "'Thunder its board,' ${ }^{2}$ say to it! thunder its board make it!" "My mother ohóp" du"gwíi dīdu"gwànk', nagá-ihi ${ }^{\text { }}$ sgísi. S'elēk'w ohop ${ }^{2}$ - her skirt she shall wear it," he said, Coyote. "Acorn
shells ${ }^{3}$ īlū'pxagwank' wihìn nagáá ${ }^{\text {ig }}$. T'gwa helamáa wihin wili ${ }^{i}$ she shall pound my he said. "Thunder its board my house having it in her hands mother," mother ganàu $\mathrm{cu}^{\prime \ell}$ alt $^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{a}$, nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
in she shall sit," he said, it is said.

wilíi. Mi yamadán sgísi sendi wilíi. Gi ${ }^{i}$ séndía eit'e ${ }^{\ell}$. his Now he was asked Coyote Panther his "I Panther, I am." house?" house. formy part,
 Now they nudged girl younger one her elder she nudged "He lies,"
 Coyote indeed." The elder "Not Coyote, that Panther," she said, it
 "Girls, right there $m y$ house." They continued on Then, it is their way.

[^109]

Gwent'gāũ hinwadà ge wilíi nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā'p'a.
"East side of towards up there his house," she said,
the land
stream it is said,
$\mathrm{Ma}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{a}$ nagásbinda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo $^{u}$ séendi nagait' sgísi nagásbi$i^{\varepsilon} n$ "You, for though I said just
your part,
to you now, Panther you said, Coyote I said to naga t'ópxa. Ganēhi $i^{\varepsilon}$ ba-iyewéiq. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ déyeweyàk‘w. she said her elder Then, it is they went Now they they started again
to her sister.
said, to her sister. said, out again. went on their journey. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dabalníxa $l^{2}{ }^{2} \overline{e ̄}^{-1} \mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ yewé ${ }^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$ sgísi. Hindẽ Then, it is said, long time it became, now he returned Coyote. "Mother! gwidi wayá ${ }^{\text {et }}$ ‘ $k$ !wált' ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ andi k‘ai dák'da ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{da}$ wíli where your daughter- the younger Not any over her house in-law one?
(inter.)
hanhogwàl? K'ái nagait'? Wayáugt' k!wált'a ${ }^{a}$ dák'da ${ }^{\text {a }}$ da holed through?" "What did you "Your daughter- the younger over her ándi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wili hánhogwàl? Gemé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ di gi wayáuxagwat' yúk'a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ? not house holed through?" "How I having daughter- do I come (inter.) in-law to be?

| $\mathrm{Bo}^{\text {uga }}$ | wa-iwít'an | aba-inaga ${ }^{\text {is }}$ | séendi | wáa ${ }^{\text {da }}$ | ginigiyáu |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Just now, indeed, | girls | they were in the house: | Panther | to him | they have gone," |

nagá-ihí mologuláp'a ga nagái\&. Sk'á ${ }^{2}$ nagaĩt'? Mi she said, old woman that she said. "What did you Now it is said,
abaiginíq $k^{\prime}$ mi $i^{i}$ !omõm níxa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba-iyewéiq mi ${ }^{i}$ he went into now he killed his Then, it is he went out now the house,
 he ran off. Now he ran, now he pursued Now very they arrived in
se ${ }^{e} n d i \quad$ wáa da. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i} \quad \mathrm{t}$ !os ${ }^{\circ}$ ó $^{u} \quad$ hā'p'da alt!ayàk' mi ${ }^{i}$ Panther to him. Now slightly a little he discovered now them,

[^110]
he exercised his girls two. "Old!" he said, it old supernatural power upon them
 they became. Now Panther to him as they arrived Wildcat he was
 in the house basket-caps
desgwôgwènt‘ yeléxda desgwôgwènt' mologolā'p'agan yū'k!alx worn out, their burden worn out, old women teeth baskets


Panther not any; he was out hunting.


брха gwenhegwéehagwanhi. K!ulsát‘a ${ }^{a 2}$ ók‘i p!ãn his elder he related it to him. "Soft (food) give them, liver brother
ók'i nagáis séenda. Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ plān ogórak'i. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ give he said Panther. Then, it is liver he always Then, it is them,' said, gave to them. said,
 when it was he was wont to again Panther, every day he was wont to dawn, go out hunting go out hunting; dale ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wis $^{\varepsilon}$ p!iyáx ligìk‘w. Khasi st' ók‘i k!ulsát‘a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nagánhahi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sometimes fawn he brought "Your give it to soft (food)," he used to say it home. maternal them to him, grandmothers it is said,
 his younger and that liver he used to Then, it is long time it became. brother; one give to them. said,
Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ yank lobolàp' mologolā'p'ak!an. Ganēhí ${ }^{\prime}$ xi Then, it is now acorns they kept old women. Then, it is water said,
 hot they took they sifted in acorns they sifted them Then water hot with them, basket-pan, in basket-pan.

[^111]| di ${ }^{\text {i }} \overline{1}^{\prime} \overline{\text { unda }}$ | p!a-it'gwilis ${ }^{\text {is }}$. | Mi ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { inspitatory } \\ \text { breath) }}}{\text { ( }}$ | nagáis. | $\mathrm{Mi}^{\text {i }}$ |  | vã |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| on top of her hand | it dropped down. | Now |  | she did. |  |  | nger |  |

 see! Oh, my hand white it has Well, I'll bathe," she said, become. it is said,
 the elder one that she did. Now she jumped just on the she into the water, other side emerged.
 Then, it is oh! long before then as being, $\begin{gathered}\text { being in just } \\ \text { said, } \\ \text { that way }\end{gathered} \begin{gathered}\text { she } \\ \text { emerged }\end{gathered}$
 on the "You too bathe!" she said to her younger Now also she other side. her, it is said, sister. bathed
haxiyà k!wált'a. Ganēhi mi hánya almi ${ }^{i}{ }^{i} \varepsilon_{S}$ ba ${ }^{a}{ }^{1}$ 'ée $^{e} \mathrm{x}$. in the the younger Then, it is now just
one.
said, $\quad$ together $\begin{gathered}\text { they } \\ \text { across }\end{gathered}$
 Now being in the they long ago Panther to him pretty then

 your maternal he's been calling long ago, your elder to marry for grandmothers us;
$m^{\varepsilon}$ ginigìk' gas' $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi wiyimásam. Ganē yan nilk' no ${ }^{\text {u }}$ here we came, but that Coyote he 'poisoned'us. Now we are down yeweyì' nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wa-iwíit'an. we return," they said, girls. it is said,

| $\mathrm{Mi}^{\text {i }}$ | ya ${ }^{\text {a }}$ niy $a^{4 \varepsilon}$ | hūlk's $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ | áni ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | k'ai | alhūyūx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now | they are gone away | but Panther | not | any; | he was out |

[^112]| gwel ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wãk'wihì | al | Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | iwíl'an | $i^{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| early in the morning indeed | he used to go to hunt. | Then it is said, | girls | now |


|  |  |  |  | yãk!wa ${ }^{2}$ | lĩ | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { iník }_{\text {int. }} & \text { "He } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | now. | Then | Wi | on top of |  |  |  |  |  | for his part, the house

obēyā ${ }^{\prime}+\quad g \bar{u} x d e e^{\varepsilon} \quad \mathrm{ya}^{\varepsilon} \quad \mathrm{mi}+\quad$ obēvā't. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ sgelē1 ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ elder your wives they have now, elder Now he kept brother! gone away brother!' shouting.
sgelewált' о́pxa obiya gũxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. sgelée ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$. $\overline{+}+$ he shouted his elder "Elder your they have he said to him, he "O! to him brother, brother, wives gone," it is said, shouted.
bä $+^{1}$ obiya me ${ }^{\varepsilon} y e ̀ u ~ g \bar{u}^{\prime} x d e^{\varepsilon}$ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon} . \mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ yewéis bab+! elder come Your they have he said, it Now he brother, back! wives gone," is said. returned hũlk' ópxa gwenhegwéhagwanhi gwenhegwéhôk'w wa-iwi’ Panther; his elder he related it to him, he told him about "Girls brother
du $u^{\varepsilon} \bar{u}^{\prime}$. K!asíiqt' le $e^{e} w i l a ́-u s i ~ n e g e ́ s ं i . ~ G a n a ~ i n e ̀ x ~ g w e n h e g w e ́-~$ pretty. 'Your maternal he has been they said Thus he related hagwanhi ópxa. Ganē yãnt'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagái\& hũlk'. Ganē it to him hiselder "Now I am he said Panther. Then brother. going,"

| tc!ulx | īgína | $\mathrm{ba}^{\text {a }} \mathrm{din}^{\text {® }} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ | wili | hadínit!anhi | w |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| strings of | he took | he strung | house | he strung them | acorn |
| dentalia | them, | them up, |  | out in it, | pestl |

 he stood it up. "Now this if it breaks ${ }^{2}$ (in) that I shall be he said to him, (string) asunder, (case) dead," it is said,
 his younger "Acorn if it falls down, if it breaks, (in) that I shall, be brother. pestle nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
he said to him, it is said.

Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gũxdagwa swadàk'. Ganē mi ${ }^{i}$ youmĩ Then he went his own he followed Then now he caught up off, wives them. with them; sméla ${ }^{\mathrm{u} \varepsilon} \mathrm{X}$ déeda sãk'w wá-iwit'an án $\overline{1}^{\varepsilon}$ gwénliwila ${ }^{\mathrm{uq}}$ sméla ${ }^{\mathrm{us}} \mathrm{X}$ arrow in front he shot girls not they looked arrow shafts of them them, behind; shafts
 them up,
 by the ocean $\begin{gathered}\text { they } \\ \text { arrived, }\end{gathered}$ then just they talked $\begin{gathered}\text { their own } \\ \text { to him } \\ \text { husband. }\end{gathered} \quad$ Then

[^113]| $\begin{gathered} \text { ei } \\ \text { canoe } \end{gathered}$ | wáa ${ }^{\text {da }}$ to him | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{sa}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{y} \\ & \text { it wa } \\ & \text { paddl } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Ei } \\ \text { Eanoe } \end{gathered}$ | gadã <br> e alongside of | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} \text { e }} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon} \\ & \text { even } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { k'ái } \\ \text { things } \end{gathered}$ | gwala many | $\begin{gathered} \text { ne } \\ \text { if } \end{gathered}$ | ne ${ }^{e} y$ áuk' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ <br> if they say, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| wede not | ge there | li'iwàt ${ }^{\text {t }}$ look,' | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nag } \\ & \text { they } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { wa-iwí } \\ \text { girls } \end{gathered}$ |  | $t$ ! ít ${ }^{\prime}$ their $h$ | wan <br> sband | $\underset{\text { that }}{\text { ga }}$ | nagà. <br> $t$ they said to him. |


| Wede | haxiyá | li'wàt' | ísi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ | k'ai | gwala | nãxbiyauk' ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | wede |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ' Not | in the | look | even though | things |  | if they should | not |

ge líwàt'. Ganē hansaªgwán. Ganē k‘ái gwala nagàn there look." Then he was paddled Then things many he was across.
said to
hũlk' alk!ok!òk' gwinát'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga ${ }^{\text {gáldi }}{ }^{\prime}$ ‘ái gwala nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Panther, ugly-faced; in what way that all things many he was said to,

| Oloms $\mathrm{i}^{\text {8 }}$ | gũxda | g | nagaik'wa ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | wede | haxiyá | li'wat', |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Though | his wives | that | they had said | 'Not | in the | look!'' |

 they had said now he became angry, in the he looked. Now canoe to him,

mülúuk!an
hülũn mülü ${ }^{\prime \mu ̈} k^{\prime}$ 'wa
it upset. Now
he was sea monster he swallowed swallowed. him,

## gūxdas'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba-iwõk'.

but his wives they arrived to shore.

 What indeed not it was When- he was dived they always just floated up. (kind) taken? ever for,
 not anyone he reached at the bottom Beings many although
 they were not anyone he reached they always just floated up; whenever taken, bottom,

| 崖 | yalák'da ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | ánir ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | hagwelxiá | w | $\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{y} \mathrm{a}^{\text {at't'ek }}$ !élhix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ple | that they dived, | not | at the bottom of the water | arrived, | ey always $j$ foated up. |

 But now woman Mud-cat basket small $\begin{gathered}\text { she was } \\ \text { twining it. }\end{gathered}$

[^114]
 she People they were she alone she was Now people all
twined it．used up，
yalá ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ gasi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \quad \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{da}^{〔} \mathrm{xi}$
heyééx．Mi＇hi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dat！abák＇k！oloî
they had but that she alone she was Now，she finished it basket， dived，one
dakt＇gúubamt＇． $\mathrm{Ne}^{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\text {sid }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$
she covered it over．＂But now
Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {® }}$
Then，it is said， the water
haxiyà ${ }^{\prime}$＇ksi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yalá in the she too she dived，people gone they had she too now then water；
yalák ${ }^{〔}$ ．
she dived．

| Mi ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | hinau | x | sgós ${ }^{\text {s }}{ }^{7}$ | hūlk ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | wili ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | ganàu |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now | up river | （string of） | it parted | Panther | his | in， |  |

[^115]
then he having stretched it Now aloft in the house. several places; pestle down,
 brother died. is said,
dák‘wili ${ }^{i}$ ginîík'.
on top of he went. the house
O

he fell down from on top of Then again, it he went up on top of the house. is said, again the house,
 again in the road he looked.


Ha-i o-bē-yā $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ ha-i o-bée-yā ha-i o-bē-yā $\bar{a}^{\prime}$ ó-bé-ya ó-bē-ya ó-bē-ya. ${ }^{2}$

 Dropping he always did, he fell down. Then exhausted he he was down it is said, became, tired out
 as he cried. Then, it is he returned in Then fire its place he dug into it, said, the house putting ashes aside ;

 not again anywhere he went, not again he cried.

[^116]| Ganē |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now | no <br> down <br> river | let us, pray, <br> return. |

 they were she was woman small she went into Then not standing; seen the water.
yewéi ${ }^{i}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ sgísi tc!iníitc!anx. Olom cgi ${ }^{i}$ yaxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alma ${ }^{a} n{ }^{2}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ she Now Coyote he was angry. "Before it indeed I can get returned.
nagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xamhí la ${ }^{a} \overline{l e}^{-}$nagá-ihi $i^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi. $A^{\prime} n i^{\varepsilon}$ ne' alxíik'wa when she right into she he said, it Coyote. Not anyone he saw her said, the water, became," is said,
k'a-ilā'p’a hā'p‘di. Ganē hulũn dedewilít'a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ da s‘ink'wôk!wáa woman small. Then sea- at his door Mud-cat
wonk hũlk yõk!a ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ ba ${ }^{\text {a } k!o l o ̀ l ~ k!o l o i ~ s b e d e ́ s b a t ' i . ~ G a n e ̄ ~}$ she Panther his bones she gathered basket she filled it tight Then arrived; them up, with them.
k !oloi deb ū ${ }^{\prime \ell}$ k!emèĩ. Ganē yá\& ánī ${ }^{8}$ nev $^{\prime}$ alxík'wa basket full she made it. Then she went, not anyone he saw her
 returned.
became, with them,
müülápx ganau mats!àk'. Dewénxa gwel${ }^{\varepsilon} w a ̃{ }^{\prime} \times w i^{\varepsilon} \quad$ t'adã sweat-house in she put them. "Next day early in the 'Paternal morning aunt,
 open the door say to she said, thus Panther his she talked for me!' me," it is said; bones to them.
Dewénxa gel ${ }^{8}$ wãk 'wi $i^{\varepsilon}$ la ${ }^{2} l^{-1}$ dedewilíida ciiqlĩ. T'adã
Next day early in the it became at the door she was "Paternal
 open the door for me!' ganē hen ${ }^{8} e$ yáa altlayagín.
now then just he was found.
 Next day it became early in the now his own wives to them
 he "Now let us all go off!" he said his own wives. "Now perhaps returned.
haxiya gwidísgwit' wi̊wwā nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hūlk'. Ganē gūxda in the he has thrown my younger he said, it Panther. Then his wives water himself brother," is said,

[^117]

## Translation. ${ }^{1}$

There was the house of Panther and his younger brother, his younger brother Wildcat, while down below from them were Coyote and his mother. Panther used to go out hunting, many deer he used to kill. Now every day he killed deer, while his younger brother was in the house, a maker of food. Only that he did. For a long time the house was full of venison; but the younger brother ate nothing but fat, he was not wont to eat the flesh of deer. Down below from them Coyote and his mother had a house of fir bark. ${ }^{2}$

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Then somewheres or other by the sea Panther the chief was heard about, how he destroyed deer. Then two girls, the White Duck sisters, went off. Coyote was heard about, that Coyote's house was on this side, the west side of the land; but as for Panther, that one's

[^118]house was said to be on the east side of the land. Thus they heard about them. Then the two White Duck girls, the two sisters, arrived there to Coyote. Now Coyote was beating bark from a tree. Now then, 'tis said, Coyote looked up--two pretty girls were coming. (Coyote did not know what to do. He defecated, and asked his excrements,) " $\mathrm{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{A}}$ ! What am I going to do?"-." 'Thunder's board,' say to it! Make lumber out of it!"-" My mother shall wear the ohòp'-shells ${ }^{2}$ on her skirt," said Coyote. "My mother shall have in her hands an acorn pestle wherewith to pound," he said. "In a house of lumber shall my mother be sitting," he said.

Then the two girls came to a standstill. "Where is Panther's house?" Now was Coyote asked for Panther's house. "It is I, indeed, that am Panther." Now they nudged each other; the younger girl nudged her elder sister, (saying), "He lies, it is Coyote indeed." The elder one said, "It is not Coyote, that one is Panther."-"Girls, right there, indeed, is my house." They continued on their way. Then, 'tis said, they came to the house; Coyote's mother was pounding with an acorn pestle. Then they sat down, but not for a long time were they seated. "Where is Panther's house?" the old woman, Coyote's mother, now was asked. "Up stream on the east side of the land, there is his house," said the old woman. "Though I told you so just now, you said it was Panther, but I told you it was Coyote," she said to her elder sister. Then they went out again; now they went off, started again on their journey.

Then a long time elapsed and Coyote returned. " Mother! Where is your younger daughter-in-law? Has not perchance the roof above her head a hole?"-"What did you say?""Has not the roof above your younger daughter-in-law's head a hole?"- "How do I come to have a daughter-in-law? Just now there were girls in the house; to Panther have they gone,"

[^119]she said, the old woman said that. "S-what' did you say?" Now he went into the house and killed his mother. Then he returned out of the house, ran off now. Now he ran and pursued them. Now they had nearly arrived at Panther's house. Now (Coyote) just barely caught sight of them and exercised his supernatural power upon the two girls. "Old!" he said, and old they became. Now they came to Panther in his house. Wildcat was sitting there; two old women came to Panther in his house. Their basket-caps were worn out, their burden baskets were worn out, they were old women without teeth, the sisters, two old women (now), held staffs in their hands. Panther was not there, he was out hunting.

Now evening came on and Panther brought home venison. Then Wildcat said, "Now my maternal grandmothers have arrived here," recounted Wildcat to his elder brother. "Give them soft food, give them liver," said Panther. Then, 'tis said, he always gave them liver. Then, when it was dawn, Panther would go out hunting again, every day he was wont to go out hunting. Sometimes he brought home a fawn. "Give your maternal grandmothers soft food," he used to say to his younger brother, and that one would give them liver. Then a long time elapsed. Now the old women were always pounding acorns. Then, 'tis said, they took hot water with them; they sifted in the basket-pan, the acorns they sifted in the basket-pan. Now the hot water dripped down on the back of her hand. Now she caught her breath and said, "O younger sister! now see! Oh, my hand has become white. Well, I'm going to bathe," the elder one did that. Now she jumped into the water and emerged right on the other side of the river. Then, 'tis said, oh! as she had been long before, being just so she emerged on the other side. "Do you too bathe!" she said to her younger sister. Now also the younger one bathed in the water, and together they emerged just across the river. And of the same appearance they became as when long ago, being

[^120]pretty, they had gone to Panther; of the same appearance the sisters became, pretty girls. Then they returned to this side of the river. Then they took out the acorns and into the house they returned, pretty girls. Now the acorns they cooked. And the girls said, "O Wildcat, your maternal grandmothers he's been calling us; long ago, however, we came here in order to marry your elder brother, but Coyote did exercise his supernatural power upon us. Now we are going away, down river we go back."

Now they went off, but Panther was not there; he was out hunting, early in the morning he was wont to go out to hunt. Now, 'tis said, off went the girls, no longer were they there. Then Wildcat, for his part, did go on top of the house. "Hē+, elder brother! Your wives now have gone, O elder brother!" Now he kept shouting, shouted to his elder brother. "Elder brother, your wives have gone," he said to him, shouted. "O! Bä+! elder brother, come back! Your wives have gone," he said. Now Panther returned and (Wildcat) recounted it to his elder brother, told him about them. "They are pretty girls. 'Your maternal grandmother he's been calling me,' they said to me." Thus he recounted it to his elder brother. "Now I am going," said Panther. Then strings of dentalia he took, and strung them up, strung them out in the house; an acorn pestle he stood up. "Now should this (string) part, in that case I shall be dead," he said to his younger brother. "Should the acorn pestle fall down, should it break, in that case I shall be dead. " he said to him.

Then off he went, followed his wives. And now he caught up with them. Arrow shafts he shot in front of them, but the girls did not look back; the arrow shafts they picked up and put them into their burden baskets. And now by the ocean they arrived; just then they talked with their husband. Then a canoe was paddled to them. "Even though they should say all sorts of things alongside the canoe, do not look there, " said the girls, to their husband that they said. "Do not look into the water,
even though they should say all sorts of things to you. Do not look there." Then he was paddled across. Now all sorts of things was Panther called, ugly-faced; in whatever way he looked, all that was he called. Though his wives had told him that before, had told him, "Do not look into the water!" now he became angry and looked into the water. Now the canoe upset and he was swallowed, the sea-monster swallowed him; but his wives arrived to shore.

Now all the people were taken as divers. "Dive for him!" they were told. What sort of (person) was not taken? Whenever they dived for him they always just floated up, no one reached to the bottom of the water. Even though many beings were taken, no one reached to the bottom, they always just floated up; whenever the people dived, they did not reach to the bottom of the water, but always just floated up. But now the Mudcat woman was twining a small basket. "It is I indeed who can get close to him," she said. Then Coyote said, "S-she indeed can get close to him!'" To the woman did he say that. "Though these so many people did dive, they did not even get close thereto," he said, "though so many people dived." Coyote said that, with the woman he quarreled. "I indeed can go off and get close to him," she kept twining the small basket while talking. "S-she indeed can get close to him!'" She said nothing, answered him not, but twined the small basket. The people had all been tried, she alone was left. All the people had dived, but that one still was left, she alone. Now, 'tis said, she finished the basket, covered it over. "Well, now, you in your turn! since you did say, ' I can get close to him,' " she was told. Then, 'tis said, she went to the water, ahead to the water she proceeded. Now into the water she went, she too did dive; the people had all been tried, so she too now did dive.

Now up river the string of dentalia parted in Panther's house, where formerly he had stretched it aloft in the house. Now it parted in several places, and the acorn pestle dropped down,
broke to pieces. Now Wildcat's elder brother had died. Then, 'tis said, he wept, on top of the house he went.

```
"Alas, O elder brother! alas, O elder brother! alas,
    O elder brother!
    O elder brother! O elder brother! O elder brother!'"
```

Down he rolled from on top of the house. Then again, 'tis said, he went up on top of the house. Again he looked along the trail.
"Alas, O elder brother! alas, O elder brother! alas,
O elder brother!
O elder brother! O elder brother! O elder brother!"
He always dropped down, down he rolled. Then exhausted he became, he was tired out as he wept. Then he went back into the house. Then he dug into the fire-place and put the ashes aside; not again he built the fire. And therein dog-fashion he lay curled up. No more did he go anywhere, no longer he wept.

Now, pray, let us return down river. Now, when formerly she had gone into the water, the people there were standing; the little woman was seen as she went into the water. But she did not return. Now Coyote was angry. "S-when formerly she said, 'I indeed can get close to him,' right into the water she proceeded," said Coyote. No one did see the little woman. Then Mudcat did arrive at the sea-monster's door; Panther's bones she gathered up, the basket tight she filled with them. Then full she made the basket. And off she went, and no one saw her as she returned. Then as evening came on, into the sweat-house she went with them, in the sweat-house she put them. "Next day, early in the morning, say to me, 'Paternal aunt, open the door for me!' '" she said, thus to Panther's bones she talked. Next day came on early in the morning, and at the door she was seated. "Paternal aunt, open the door for me!" Up she jumped and opened the door. As long before he had been, just so indeed was he then found.

Next day came on early in the morning, and to his wives he
returned. "Now let us all go off!" he said to his wives. "Now perhaps my younger brother has thrown himself into the water," said Panther. Then his wives said, "Yes, let us all go off!" they said. Then the two women prepared themselves, and away they went, returned to Panther's house. Ahead he looked, but there was no smoke. They went into the house; (Wildcat) lay in the fire-place curled up dog-fashion. "O my poor younger brother!" he said. Then to his wives "Do you wash him!" he said, and he was washed. As was his wont, it may be, he always went out hunting again. I, for my part, know just that, indeed; proceeding just so far I know.

## 5. Coyote and Fox.

| Wilí | yuwo ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | sgísi | yolà | wak'díxadil | beán | míis ${ }^{\text {s }}$ ga ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Their | they were | Coyote | Fox | he and his | his | one |
| houses |  |  |  | cousin, ${ }^{1}$ | daughter |  |

 Coyote. He went out Fox; quails they flew up woods at; he shot at to hunt and lit them,
gwala t tomõm. Dahõuxa yewéiq cuhū ${ }^{\prime}$ ligìk'w. Sgísi many he killed In the he returned, quails he brought Coyote béan dewilī lōul ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēh1 ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yola yewéi ${ }^{\text {is }}$ cuhū $^{\prime}$ gwala his in front of she was Then, it Fox he returned, quails many daughter the house playing. is said,
labàk'. $\overline{\text { a }}+$ hamī' yola cuhū gwala ligik'w. he evidently carried " O , father! Fox quails many he has brought them on his back.
Dat'ān-eláat'gwàt' yàmt' ne ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ gwidì na ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{n}{ }^{\text {agánha }}{ }^{\varepsilon 2}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
"Squirrel-tongued, askhim, well, in what that he did to he said, it way them," is said,
sgísi. Nóc hiwilíų. Wihám gwidi na ${ }^{\text {n nagàt' }}{ }^{\text {n }}$ nagásbi Coyote. Next door she ran. "My father 'In what did you do he says, to $\begin{gathered}\text { way } \\ \text { to them?' }\end{gathered}$

| nagá-ihis. | Gwidi | na ${ }^{\text {n }}$ agá ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ ? | Gūi | gåàl |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| she said, it is said. | "In what | did I do to them? | Woods | to | they flew up |

[^121]hawap!iitc!úluk! $i^{i n}$ n gūī. Ganē ba ${ }^{\text {a }}$ gèlyuwút' $e^{\varepsilon}$ hawánda. underneath I set fire to woods. Then I lay down belly up under them. them
Ganē p!a-ik'ulú ural deguxhidẽ. Gand ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mex t!omomá ${ }^{\ell} n$ Then they dropped down dead in front of my heart. ${ }^{1}$

 I was walking about Then quails they flew up there- I set fire to at random.
tc!úluk! ${ }^{[1 \varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$
(woods) underneath,'"
nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
 nagá-ihi ${ }^{\text {E }}$. she said, it is said.
"، Then ${ }^{\text {Gas in }} \underset{\text { in front of }}{\text { deguxhidẽ }}$
p!a-ik'ulúu${ }^{u \varepsilon} k^{\prime}$ al. Gand ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nèx they dropped down dead

Thus
t!omomán.
I killed them.'
 nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
he said, it is said.

 the same, he did. Quails they flew up he set fire to (woods) then it is said, together; underneath;


$x a^{a} x d_{1} l^{\varepsilon} S$ olom waĩk'anda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ái ga cal di ik'wé exit
slim-waisted! short when I, as it seems, what for (inter.) did they wake while ago was sleeping me up?"


[^122]| Ganĩ | dewénxa | mi $^{i}$ | hono $^{\varepsilon}$ | alhūyũx | yolà. | Ganī |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Then | next day <br> now <br> again he went to hunt |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fox. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Then |  |  |  |  |  |  |

mena ligìk nagá-ihí hapxwi wa-iwī sgísi bean. brown he has brought she said, it little girl, Coyote his brown it home," is said,
bear Dat'ānéla ${ }^{a}$ t'gwàt' yamdàmt' gwi na ${ }^{\text {n }}$ nex di t!omõm. "Squirrel-tongued, go and ask how doing (inter.) he has Nóns ${ }^{\text {. }}$ hiwilín. Wihàm gwi na ${ }^{\text {néx }}$ di t!omomàt ${ }^{4}$ Next door she ran. "My father 'How doing (inter.) did you kill it?" nagásbi. Gwi na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nex di t!omomán n? K!ą̄t dalsalhe says to "How doing (inter.) did I kill it? 'K!ā ${ }^{\text {ft' }}$ ' I was you."




I said to There- he swallowed merely. Then I was sitting inside of him. him. upon me
Ganī guxíi smilísmalx guxí he ${ }^{e} s g o^{u}{ }^{\text {da }}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. Ganī didelgándadat, Then his it was swinging; his I cut it off. Then out from his anus heart heart
 I went out he said, it is " $\mathrm{Se}^{\ell}$ hehehehe! he is cowardly; I , however, again," said.

my heart brave, man I am," Coyote that he said. indeed
 Next day it became, now he went out he in Then, it is he went out to hunt his turn. said, to hunt
 Coyote; the same, he did Fox in that way as he had told Then it is said,
 now, it he jumped out of his "Hâu!" "Swallow me merely, swallow me is said, house,

|  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ x | Mi ${ }^{\text {i }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not | my blood |  | Now |  |

[^123]
ména guxíi alxík＇smilísmalx mi he ${ }^{e} s{ }^{i} \delta^{u} t^{4}$ guxíi mihi ${ }^{i}$
Brown his he saw it，it was dangling；now he cut it his now，it Bear heart off heart，is said，
t！omõm mena sgísi．Ganē mihi ${ }^{\text {i }}$ hanwayaswilswálhi he killed Brown Coyote．Then now，it he tore through them him Bear is said， with his knife
 his ribs．Now he killed Brown now he returned now Coyote mena ligìk＇w dahõxà．
Brown he brought in the
Bear him home evening．
Ganēhi $^{\varepsilon}$ wéegia－uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ yola alhūyũx dáhōxa Then，it when it was now again Fox he went to in the is said，daybreak hunt，evening
 he＂Hē＋！father，Fox yellow－many he brought，＂＂Squirrel－tongued， returned．
jackets
gwidi na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagàt＇nãnha．$N^{4}{ }^{u}{ }^{\circ}$ hiwilíu®．Gwidí na ${ }^{\text {n nagàt }}$
＇How did you do ask him．＂＇Next she ran．＂＇How did you do to them？＇door
nagásbi wihàm．Gwidi na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a g a^{\varepsilon} n$ ？$T$＇ga $a^{a}$ hap！îtc！úluk！${ }^{〔} n$ ．
he says to my father．＂＂How did I do to＇Earth I set them on fire you
Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ de ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} 1$ hadediilt＇a dībūmáak ${ }^{〔}$ ba－ik！ololá ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{n}$ ．Ganē
There－yellow－everywhere they swarmed I dug them out．Then upon jackets
nagá－ihi ${ }^{\text {r }}$ ．Nó＂s ${ }^{\text {º }}$ yewéis．Hamĩ t＇ga ${ }^{\text {a }}$
dik＇alp＇ilíp＇iliín
I squashed them all with my penis，＂
he said，it is Next door she＂Father，＇Earth said． returned．
 I set them on fire in it，＇he said，＂Squirrel－tongued she related it to him máxa．Hamĩ t＇ga ${ }^{a}$ hap！ítc！úlu ${ }^{u} k{ }^{m w i} i^{8} n$ nagáis．Gasi ${ }^{8}$ de ${ }^{e 1}$ her＂Father，＇Earth I set them on fire in it，＇he said．＇There－yellow－ father．
dībūmáak ${ }^{〔}$ gasi $^{\varepsilon}$ ba－ik！ululá ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ gasi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dik＇alp＇ilíp＇ili ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ nagá－ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ．
they swarmed up，
then I dug them out，

I squashed them all with my penis，＂
she said，it is said．

C＇éhehehe ãk！a ${ }^{2}$ dik＇alt！ucu＂t＇gwàt gii yaxa maháit＇a
＂C＇éhehehe！he，for his small－penised，I however bigger one part，
wa ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{i t}$ ！anáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{8} \quad$ nagá－ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
I hold it with me，＂he said，it is said．

[^124]
t'ga haplitctulouk'i Ganēhí dee ádat'wis dībūmáak'

ba-ik!olõl dee aldì dik'alp'ilíp'alhi mi p!owõuk'wa. he dug yellow- all he squashed them now they stung him. them out, jackets with his penis;

One house ${ }^{1}$ he dug it out. Now he died; now again ants
 now 'they bit him. " $\mathrm{S} \cdot \varepsilon$ á! they have before when I was evi-, he said,
 dets!iníanx.
he always died.

| $\mathrm{Mi}^{\text {i }}$ | i dewénxa | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hoñõ } \\ & \text { again } \end{aligned}$ | n alhūyũx he went out to hunt |  | $\underset{\text { Fox. }}{\substack{\text { yolà. }}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ganī } \\ & \text { Then } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{\text { silimhon } \\ \text { indeed }}}{ }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now | next day |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ligik ${ }^{\text {cw }}$ | daho ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | $\mathrm{Mi}^{\text {i }}$ | hono ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\overline{\mathrm{a}}+$ | hami | p'im | gwala |
| he brought | in the | Now | again | +! | father, | salmon | many | them home evening. ba-iligìk'w nagá-ihii. Dat'āneláa ${ }^{\text {t'g }}$ gwàt' yamdámt' gwidi he has brought she said, it "Squirrel-tongued, go and ask 'How home out of the water,"

na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagàt ${ }^{\prime}$ nãnha. Mi ${ }^{i}$ nóuc hiwilíǔ. Wiham gwidí na ${ }^{\text {nnagàt' }}$ did you do ask him." Now next she ran. "My father 'How did you do to them?' door
to them?'

ganī dets !ügúu $k$ !emẽ ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$. Ganī p!ées gwenha-udẽ mats!agá ${ }^{\ell} n$ then sharp at one I made it. Then rock acorn- in back of I put it, end mortar my neck
 into the water deep in, salmon I strung them," he said, it is
 Shehehe! 1 truly my heart big he, however, his heart
t!os'ó" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\text {® }}$. little," he said, it is said.

[^125] Next day it now in the he went; hazel he cut it off, became, water switch
 dead to shore
 "S•\&! ants slim-waisted! Just when I was evi- they woke he said, it
$M i i^{i}$ aba-iyewéi ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ dahōxà mi $\operatorname{ligik}^{i w}$ prim mini ${ }^{i} g a^{\varepsilon}$. Now he returned into in the

the house $\begin{gathered}\text { in } \\ \text { evening, }\end{gathered}$ now brought salmon one. the house evening, it home
Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ honor ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wéegia ${ }^{\text {us }}$ dewénxa $a^{a}{ }^{\text {litit'a }}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ honor ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ Then, it is again it was dawn; next day when it now again said,
 he went Fox. Now he went to people Then, it evening it became. Now $\underset{\text { Fox }}{\text { yola }} \underset{\text { he }}{ }$ yew $^{i \varepsilon} \underset{\text { salmon }}{\text { prim }} \underset{\text { rum }}{ }$ yelèx deb ū ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ labàk'. $\overline{\mathrm{e}}+$ hamĩ Fox $\begin{gathered}\text { he } \\ \text { returned, }\end{gathered}$ salmon dry $\begin{gathered}\text { burden full it turned out " } \mathrm{e}+\text { ! father, } \\ \text { basket } \\ \text { that he carried }\end{gathered}$
 Fox salmon burden full he evidently she said, it "Squirrel-tongued, carries it on is said.
his back,"
gwidí na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagàt' nãnha. Nó ${ }^{u} \mathrm{c}$ hiwilíų hapxwi wá-iwī 'How did you do ask him." Next door that he carried it on his back.

Fox salmon burden full \begin{tabular}{c}
he evidently <br>
basket <br>
carries it on

 

she said, it <br>
is said.
\end{tabular} "Squirrel-tongued, to them?'

sgísi bean. Wíham gwidí na ${ }^{\text {n nagàt }}{ }^{\text {ºgásbi. Gwidí }}$ Coyote $\begin{gathered}\text { his } \\ \text { daughter. }\end{gathered}$ "My father "How $\begin{gathered}\text { did you do he says, to "How } \\ \text { to them? }\end{gathered}$
 did I do to Down the house children salmon- they carried them to them? stream from about in basketplates;

[^126]
 I whipped them he said, it Then, it is said. is said,
 'Children all salmon- they were carrying I whipped them he said," .malák'i máxa Dat'ānéla ${ }^{a}$ t'gwàt'. S•éhehehe ãk' wanà she told her father Squirrel-tongued. "S'éhehehe! he even him
 cowardly, I however man I am," he said, it is said.

| Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | dewénxa | $1 a^{1} 1$ it $^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\text {e }}$ | ganē | āks $\mathrm{i}^{\text {® }}$ | yá ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Ganēhi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Then, it is said | next day | when it became | then | he in his | he | Then, it is said, |

 down strean the children salmon- they carried them he whipped them from house heads in basket-plates, with stick,
aba-iwayewēnhi k'a-ilā’p’a ga $a^{\varepsilon} a l$. Hē $+m a^{\varepsilon} a$ gwidí na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a g a i ̂ t ‘ ~$ he made them return women to. "Hē + ! you, for how $\begin{gathered}\text { are you } \\ \text { into the house with it }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { your part, }\end{gathered}$ doing?
 hindéhan k'ái nagait'p'? K’ái gwala ${ }^{\varepsilon} a \quad y o l a^{\varepsilon} a \quad$ wilau O mothers? what do you (pl.) "Things many Fox, for arrows ts!ayák‘i mena ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ t!omõm. He ${ }^{e}$ wiliigowásbi. De ${ }^{e} l$ p’úyamt' he shot them brown bear he killed it. He wishes you Yellow- he smoked with them, indeed to die. ${ }^{2}$ jackets them out, p‘ims'i ts!ayàk‘ nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ malaginín. Sga na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagait' $e^{\varepsilon}$ salmon he speared he was said to, now he was told. "'That I did,' moreover them," it is said,
negési hindēhan nagá-ihi. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}{ }^{\text { }}$ p'im ba-ik!emenámdan he said O mothers!' he said, it Then, it now salmon he was equipped to me, is said. ir said, with them,
yeléx debúus ìmi'himin. Mii yáq.
burden full he was sent Now he went.
basket away.

[^127]Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hínwa wilī la ${ }^{a}{ }^{1}$ en $^{1}$. Mi'hi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lãt'gwa yamàt' Then, it is upstream the he became. Now, it his own he asked said, from house is said, excrement it,
 "How I'll do?" Now it said. $\begin{gathered}\left.\text { Now, it eagle } \begin{array}{c}\text { is said, }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { his its young } \\ \text { house }\end{array}\right) \text { ones }\end{gathered}$
 he made they looked out then eagle eyrie its young Then, it it,
 burden he put it down, next door he went. "O cousin! right eyrie basket
$h \bar{a}^{\prime} p x d a \quad m a^{\varepsilon} a$ wilàu $k$ !eméamgada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ its young you, for arrows since you are always he said, it is they said to ones, your part, making them," said, each other wôk'díxadil. Gemę́ di? Alĩ hinwadá p!ulhi hápxda he and his cousin. "Where?" "Right up stream eyrie its young bayalxanaũ. Ge giníqk' yolà sgísi hono ge ginîqk' they are looking There he went Fox, Coyote also there he went, out."
alyebép'i. Mi'hi hiliwáalt' yolà yulum hā'p'da. Ganē
he showed Now, it he climbed Fox eagle his young Then it to him. is said, for them ones.
 now, it Coyote there he was
is said, $\quad \begin{gathered}\text { he } \\ \text { standing }\end{gathered} \quad \begin{gathered}\text { Grow with } \\ \text { him!" }\end{gathered}$ Now it grew fir $\begin{gathered}\text { free. }\end{gathered}$
$\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ gelyaláa${ }^{\text {ºxalt'gwit' }}$ 'yolà bámìs hadák'ts!ónt'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\text { }}$ Now he forgot himself ${ }^{\text {t Fox, sky it struck above Then, it }}$ against it. is said,
 fir it bent, now he returned down to on, now, ocean he fell down in tree earth with it, front;


Fox his bones she picked Mud-cat. Then, it sweatthem up is said, house
ganau mats!àk'. Dewénxa gwele wãk'wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ de ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ séé $^{\ell} x i \quad$ t'adã in she put them. "To-morrow early in the 'Open the door paternal morning for me, aunt!'
ga nēxga ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dedewilíida $s \times i n k ‘ w o ̂ k!w a ́ a ~ s * i^{\varepsilon} u l i ̃$
that do you (fut.) Then, it at the door Mud-cat she was

[^128]gwel ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wãk'wihi hawi ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon} t^{\prime} g a^{3}$ dímáa ${ }^{2} d a^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t'adã early in the morn- yet not earth when it was Then, it "Paternal ing indeed lit up. is said, aunt,
 open the door he said to Fox. Now she opened he wentout; again person for me!" her, it is said, the door,
la $a^{a}{ }^{-1}$ hop!è $e^{\ell} n$ hen ${ }^{\varepsilon} e$ nát'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. $M i n^{i}$ ba ${ }^{a} y e w e^{i \varepsilon}$ yolà. he became long before then as being. Now he was Fox.

 Coyote off yonder at home $\quad \begin{aligned} & \text { he by } \\ & \text { himself }\end{aligned}$ gophers he used to set Evening
 become, said, do ${ }^{1}$ traps for them,

| lawálhēda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. | Ganēhi ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | dabalníxa | $1 a^{a} 1{ }^{-1}$. | Ganëhi ${ }^{\text { }}$ | gwisne |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| whenever it | Then, it is | long time | it became. | Then, it is | how long |

$1 a^{a}$ lit' $^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}$ mi'hi hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mãn t'ís mixaldi t!omomaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ when it now indeed again he counted gophers, how many that he had had become, killed them
 he counted Now, it evening it became, he was he counted now, it Coyote them. is said,
 he was chirped ${ }^{2}$ to, Fox he did so. "£a'! what it said it?" he was said to, it is said.
$H^{H o n o}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ tc!ucumáldan liwáa nagáis k'ai yaxa dets!idák ${ }^{\text {w }}$ Again he was chirped to; looking he did, some- merely reddish around thing
 fire blaze like. Now gophers he threw them now he rushed all away, off.
 Now he ran, he rested, he took breath. Again, it is he was chirped to,
 looking he did; again, it is fire it did. Now again he rushed
around
off,
 he ran; how long not he ran? Now again he rested; then, it
i. i.e., he used to follow about, make the rounds.
${ }^{2}$ The sound referred to in the verb stem tclucum-is produced by drawing in the breath between pressed lips. It is similar to a familiar animal call. When heard at night, it was generally ascribed to ghosts.
siterally, "doing."

| hono ${ }^{\text {E }}$ | xanegeh | Honóhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ | tc!ucumáldan | hono ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| again | he took breath. | Again, it | he was chirped to, | again | he |

hósk'. Gwinédi wede hòk'. Mi hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ligint' $\mathrm{Xa}^{\text {a }}$ hegéhak'. he ran. How long not he ran? Now again he rested, he took breath.

 off, said,

| $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ | hono ${ }^{\text {® }}$ | áldan | liwáa | nagá ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | hono ${ }^{\text {¢ }}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | $a^{8} \mathrm{n}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Now | again | he was chirped to; | looking | he did, | again, it is | thus |



earth where it sky where it is set down, sky he bumped his head
earth where it sky where it is set down, sky he bumped his head

Now he rushed he ran. In back of the earth's neck ${ }^{1}$ $\underset{\text { that }}{\text { ga }} \underset{\text { in }}{\text { ganàu }} \underset{\text { his bones }}{y \tilde{o}^{u} k!a^{a}} \underset{\text { just }}{\text { yáa }^{a}} \underset{\text { rattling }}{\text { ts!él }} \underset{\text { they did. }}{\text { nagáiq }} . \underset{\text { Up river }}{\text { Hinwadà }} \underset{\text { finished. }}{\text { gwéldi }}$
$\mathrm{Ba}^{\text {abi }} \mathrm{bit}^{4}$ lée ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{lap}^{\prime}$.
Your gather them.
baap'-seeds

## Translation. ${ }^{2}$

Houses there were, Coyote and his cousin Fox, and one daughter of Coyote. Fox went out to hunt; quails flew up and lit in the woods, he shot at them, and many he killed. In the evening he returned, brought the quails home. Coyote's

[^129]daughter was playing in front of the house. Now, 'tis said, Fox returned, carried many quails on his back. "O father, Fox has brought many quails home."-" Squirrel-tongued, ask him, well, in what way he did get them," said Coyote. Next door she ran. "My father says to you, 'In what way did you get them?'" she said. "In what way did I get them? They flew up together into the woods, and underneath them I set fire to the woods. Then I lay down under them belly up, and on my breast they dropped down dead one after another. In that way I killed them," Fox said. Next door returned the little girl. "' In the brush I was walking about at random, and quails flew up and lit, and thereupon I set fire to the woods underneath,'" she said. "'Then I lay down under them belly up,'" she said. "'And on my breast they dropped down dead one after another. In that way I killed them.' That, father, did Fox, for his part, say."- "S'éhehehe!" he laughed at him. "He even has a little heart, but as for me, my heart is big," he said.

Then the next day came. Then, 'tis said, Coyote went out to hunt, and just the same he did. The quails all flew up together; to the woods he set fire underneath, then under them he lay down belly up, and fragments of fire dropped down on his breast one after another. And one (quail) dropped down on his breast. Coyote now was dead. Then the ants indeed did find him now, and bit him. " $\mathrm{C}^{\text {ª }}$ ! slim-waisted ants! When I, as it seems, was sleeping a short while ago, why did they wake me up?" he said. Now he was restored to life. In the evening he returned, one (quail) he brought home.

Then the next day now Fox went out to hunt again. And then in the evening he came back again, brought home a bear. "Father, Fox has brought home a bear," said the little girl, Coyote's daughter. "Squirrel-tongued, go and ask him by doing what he killed him." Next door she ran. "My father says to you, 'By doing what did you kill him?'"-"By
doing what did $I$ kill him? In the $k!\bar{a}^{8} t t^{\prime}$-bushes I was walking about at random. Then ' $\mathrm{O}+$,' he said to me. 'Go ahead and swallow me!' I said to him, 'go ahead and swallow me! Do not spill even a drop of my blood!' I said to him. Thereupon he just swallowed me. Then I was sitting inside of him; now his heart was swinging, off I cut his heart. Then out through his anus I went out again," he said. "Sehehehehe! He's a coward, but as for me, my heart is brave, I am a man," Coyote indeed said that.

The next day came and now he, in his turn, went off to hunt. Then Coyote, 'tis said, was out hunting, and just that did he do, in what way Fox had told him. Then, 'tis said, (the bear) jumped out of his house, "Hâ"!'"-" Go ahead and swallow me! go ahead and swallow me! Do not spill my blood!" Now he swallowed him; Coyote was swallowed, Grizzly Bear did so. Inside of him he was sitting. Now the bear's heart he saw, dangling; now Coyote cut off his heart and killed the bear, 'tis said. And then he tore through his ribs with his knife. Now he had killed the bear, and home he returned, and in the evening Coyote brought the bear home.

And when it dawned, then again Fox went out to hunt, and in the evening he returned. "Hē+! father, Fox has brought home many yellow-jackets. " ${ }^{1}$-"Squirrel-tongued, ask of him, 'How did you get them?"' Next door she ran. "'How did you get them?' says my father to you. "-"How did I get them? I set fire to them in the earth. Thereupon the yellow-jackets everywhere swarmed up, I dug them out. Then with my penis I squashed them all," he said. Next door she went back. "Father, 'I set fire to them in the earth,' he said," Squirreltongued related to her father. "Father, 'I set them on fire in the earth,' he said. 'Thereupon the yellow-jackets swarmed up, then I dug them out, and then I squashed them all with my penis,"" she said. "C'éhehehe! He, for his part, has

[^130]a small penis, but as for me, I have a big one with me," he said.

The next day came, and just then Coyote again in his turn set fire to them in the earth. Then, 'tis said, the yellow-jackets swarmed up from every side; he dug them out, and all the yellow-jackets he squashed with his penis; now they stung him. One nest he dug out. And he died, and again now the ants bit him. " $\mathrm{S} \cdot \varepsilon$ á! they have waked me up, when, as it seems, I was sleeping a little while ago," he said. One (nest) he brought home. Just in this way he always killed one, then always died.

Now next day again Fox went out to hunt. Then salmon indeed he brought home in the evening. And again "Ah! father, many salmon has he brought home out of the water," said (Coyote's daughter). "Squirrel-tongued, go and ask him, 'How did you get them?' find out from him.' And next door she ran. "My father says to you, 'How did you get them?'""How did I get them? I twisted a hazel switch, and then made it sharp at one end. Then a rock acorn-mortar I placed in back of my neck. Into the deep water I jumped, and salmon I strung," he said. "S'éhehehe! Truly my heart is big, but his heart is little," he said.

The next day came and to the water he went. A hazel switch he cut off, then twisted it. Then a rock acorn-mortar he took, and in back of his own neck he placed it. Into the water he jumped, followed the salmon, pursued them, caught one. Now he died and floated; now Coyote was dead, and just drifted dead to shore among the driftwood. Now the ants bit him. " $\mathrm{S}^{\curvearrowright}$ á! slim-waisted ants! Wher I was sleeping, as it seems, just a little while ago, s-they woke me up!' he said. Now he returned home in the evening, and brought home a single salmon.

Then again it dawned; when the next day came, then again Fox went off, went now to people to get food. Then, 'tis said, the evening came, and Fox returned, a burden basket
full of dried salmon he carried on his back. " $\bar{e}+$ ! father, Fox is carring on his back a burden basket full of salmon, " said (Coyote's daughter). "Squirrel-tongued, 'How did you get them?' ask of him." Next door ran the little girl, Coyote's daughter. "My father says to you, 'How did you get them?', "How did I get them? Down stream from the house children were carring about salmon-heads in basket-plates. I took them away from them, whipped them with a stick," he said. "When I had gone into the house, I whipped the women with the stick," he said. Then Squirrel-tongued, "Father, he said, 'All the children were carring about salmon-heads on basket-plates, and I whipped them with a stick,'"' did Squirrel-tongued tell her father. "S'éhehehe! he is even a coward, but as for me, I am a man," he said.

Then, when the next day came, then he did go in his turn. And down stream from the house children were carring about salmon-heads in basket-plates; he whipped them with a stick, and entered the house with them to the women. "Hē+! you there, what are you doing? Only yesterday Fox came to beg for salmon indeed, and we sent him away with some," (said the women). "S-what are you saying, O mothers? What are you saying?"-"Many things indeed did Fox, for his part, shoot with arrows, and the bear he killed. He wishes you to die. The yellow-jackets he smoked out, and the salmon he speared," they said to him, now he was told. "'S-that's what I did,' he said to me, O mothers!' he said. And then salmon he was provided with, with a full burden basket he was sent away. Now off he went.

Then up stream from the house he proceeded. Now, 'tis said, his own excrements he asked, "S-what shall I do?" and they told him. Now, 'tis said, an eagle's nest with its young ones he made, and the eagle's young ones looked out from the eyrie. Then down he put the burden basket and went next door. "O s-cousin! right near by here is an eyrie with young ones, as you, for your part, are always making arrows,"
he said; cousin they called each other. "Where?"-"Right around here up stream is an eyrie, and its young ones are looking out." There Fox went, and also Coyote went there, showed it to him. Now, 'tis said, Fox climbed for the eagle's young ones. Now then Coyote was standing there, (and said to the tree,) " $P$ " + ! grow up with him!" and up the fir tree grew. Now Fox forgot himself and it struck against the sky. Then, 'tis said, the fir tree bent, and down to earth he returned with it, and in the ocean down he fell. Therein his bones did rattle, just that became of Fox.

Mudcat picked up the bones of Fox. Then, 'tis said, she placed them in the sweat-house. "Tomorrow early in the morning 'Open the door for me, paternal aunt!' that shall you say to me." Then at the door Mudcat was sitting early in the morning, when not yet was the earth lit up. Then Fox did say to her, "Paternal aunt, open the door for me!" Now she opened the door, and out he went; again a person he became, as long before he had been. Now Fox was restored to life.

Off yonder at home Coyote used to set traps for gophers, all by himself. The evening always came, then he used to make the rounds of them where he had set his traps, whenever the evening came. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Then, when some time had passed, again indeed he counted the gophers, counted how many he had killed. Now, 'tis said, the evening came, and he was sitting, was counting them. Then Coyote heard a chirping noise, it was Fox that did so. "Ah! what said that?" he said. Again he heard a chirping noise; he looked around, there was something just reddish like a glow of fire. ${ }^{1}$ Now all the gophers he threw away, and off he rushed.

Now he ran, rested, took breath. Again, 'tis said, he heard a chirping noise; he looked around, again there was something like a fire. And again he rushed off, he ran. How long did he not run? And again he rested, then again he took breath.

[^131]Again, 'tis said, he heard a chirping noise; again he rushed off, he ran. How long did he not run? Now again he rested, he took breath. And again he heard a chirping noise. How often did he not hear a chirping noise? Now he rushed off, he ran. Then, 'tis said, he rested again, he took breath. And again he heard a chirping noise; he looked around, again there was something like a glow of fire. Now he rushed off, he ran. Way off to the east where the earth is set, where the sky comes down to meet it, there against the sky he bumped his head. In that place his bones just rattled. Up river 'tis finished. Go gather and eat your ba ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {'s-seeds. }}$

## 6. Coyote and Pitch. ${ }^{1}$


 neyée ${ }^{\text {in }}$. "Sgisi dasgáxit' da²molhìt' itc!óp'al," nagánhi ${ }^{8}$. $D a^{a}$ sgek!ĩ. "Nék'di dexebén?" nagá-ihii sgísi. "Dasgáxit' itc!óp'al snixayilt',"'4 nagánhi. "Sk'ai naga-ìt'?"-"Sgisi dasgáxit' da ${ }^{\text {a molhē't' }}$ sníxayilt'."—" S'bèp'! sk'ái naga-itt?



 "Sk'ái nagait'?"

 s'níxayilt'?" nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. La ${ }^{a}$ malán. "S•k'ái ga${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ di is't!ené-
 dolhì, s‘níxayìlt'," nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Mi hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alsalt'báak'. "Dolhi dolhì."-_"S'gwidí nånagait'?" Als'alt'báak'. "Dólhi dolhì, nek gwelx dayawánt!ixi als'alwat'béesxink'?" Hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ als'alt'báak'.
"'Dólhi dolhì, sgísi dasgáxit' da ${ }^{\text {am }}$ molhìt' itc!óp‘al s'níxayilt","
 —"S•bèp‘! s‘k‘ádi naga-it‘? S•mi di lohógulugwàt', gas'î ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gaªl ga naga-it'?" nagánhi. "Dólhi dolhì, mi ${ }^{i}$ nek' aláks'ixdagwa wà $x^{a}{ }^{a}$ sgúus ${ }^{u s}$ sink'?"-_"S'bèp'! s'k'adí s'nagulugwàt',

[^132]
## 6. Coyote and Pitch. ${ }^{1}$

There was a house; Coyote and his younger brother, 'tis said, for a long time were wont to hunt. Then once when a certain day came, then, 'tis said, some one said, "Dólhi ${ }^{2}$ dolhi, who's going to hit me?s Sharp-mouthed Coyote, red-eared, sharp-clawed!" he was called. He listened. "Who's saying that?" said Coyote. "Sharp-mouthed, red-eared, s-cum" matre copulans!"-"'S'bèp'! s-what are you saying? Long ago, indeed, when I was hitting people by the ocean, his eye landed right behind him."'_-"Dolhi dolhì, who's going to hit me?"-"S-what s-do you say? Long ago when I was hitting people by the ocean, his eye landed behind him." Thus they spoke to each other, quarreled with each other. "Dolhi dolhì, who's going to hit me?"-_"S-what are you saying?"

Now he hit (Pitch), and Coyote's hand was held fast. "S-what are you doing? S-you have held my hand fast." "Who's going to hit me with his left hand, s-cum matre copulans?" (Coyote) was told, was quarreled with. "S-what are you s-holding my hand fast for?"-"S-you're stuck!" S-who's going to kick me? S-dólhi dolhì, s-cum matre copulans!' he was told. And this time (Coyote) kicked him. "Dolhi dolhì."-"S-what are you doing?" He kicked him. "Dólhi dolhì, somebody is going to kick me with his left leg!" Again he kicked him.
"Dólhi dolhì, sharp-mouthed .Coyote, red-eared, sharpclawed, s-cum matre copulans!" he was called. "Dólhi dolhì, somebody's going to cut me with his tail."-"S'bèp"! s-what are you saying? S-do you expect to die now, so that for that reason you say that?" (Pitch) was told. "Dólhi dolhì, now somebody's going to cut me with his tail!"-"S'bèp'! s-what

[^133]s'lohók'diguluwàt'?" nagánhi. "Dólhi dolhì, sgísi dasgáxit' hadānxmolhìt'," nagánhí. "Dólhi dolhì, nek' yẽxda ${ }^{\text {déxdagwa }}$ wà?"-"S'k'adís'naga-it‘? Hop!è'sn xamíixa yap!a yegwe-

"Gewé+ ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{k}$ !ewe $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}!"}{ }^{\prime 1}$ wãxas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ abài. Mi ópxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ani ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yewé ${ }^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}$.


 "Gewéek!ewe"!"- "Ga di haga nãk'wôk? Mi wís ãk!a t!omomán," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi k!wált‘a ${ }^{\text {a }}$.
"Gewéek!ewe! sgísi dasgáxit'."-"Ga dí haga nãk'wôk'?" —"Gewéek!ewe!"" Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $i^{i}$ p! $i^{i}$ gelèk', mi ${ }^{i}$ p! $i^{i}$ dat!agāi
 "Wôk‘día!"-" Wô’k‘dixa yúk'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gáal dí haga dō"mk‘?"-
 $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ t!omō'm.


 k!wal!.

[^134]s-do you intend to do, s-do you intend to die?" he was told. (Coyote lashed Pitch with his tail; it stuck.) "Dolhi dolhì, sharp-mouthed Coyote, red in his ear!" (Coyote) was called. "Dolhi dolhì, who's going to bite me with his mouth?"-"S-what s-are you saying? Long ago by the ocean when I bit a person, he died," (Pitch) was told. Now he bit him; now Coyote was killed.
 younger brother was in the house. Now his elder brother did not return. "What's happening to him, that he does not return? He must have been killed. For what reason does he not return?" said his younger brother. Now when the next dawn came, off he went. Now he went to look for him, hunted now for his elder brother. When he came to yet some distance off, then he heard him, "Gewéek!ewe ${ }^{e}$ !"'.." So then it is that one that did so to him? Now indeed he has been killed, I guess," said Coyote the younger.
"Gewék!ewe! sharp-mouthed Coyote!"-" So then it is that one that did so to him?"-"Gewéek!ewe e!" Now then he drilled for fire, Coyote the younger now did build a fire. And the fire he took with him to Pitch, and his elder brother he took hold of. "O cousin!" said (Pitch). "Being his cousin, it seems, therefore you killed him?"-"O cousin!" Now his elder brother he threw to one side, and he set fire to Pitch. Now he killed him.

Then, 'tis said, ashes over his elder brother he rolled. Now he restored him to life, and again they returned home into their house. Now again had Coyote become a person, now he had revived, but before he had been killed. It is in that way that Pitch was wont to kill people.

## 7. Coyote in a Hollow Tree. ${ }^{1}$

Wílii yowò ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, sgísihi wīt ${ }^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{‘}{ }^{\text {da }}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{x}$; lop!odiáų, nõx lop!ot'. Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p!ā’shi lop!òt'; ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ áni $i^{8}$ déhi wõk'. Mi ${ }^{i}$
 giní̊k'. "Des'ī'gwiip‘," nagáhí. Ganē hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Decīp'gwip'p'," nagáhii, déhistc!ibíp'gwit".

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lep'níx ga ganàu yowó ${ }^{\text {. }}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ bãnx lohóí ;s ganē anī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yok!wōĩ gwînè. Ganē bo ${ }^{\text {u }}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ganē mi ${ }^{i}$ yap!a

 Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bou $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ la $a^{a} 1 i t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ ganē míis ge giní ${ }^{i} k^{\prime}$ k!elées. "Bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' bak'!" Ganē p!abàp‘ sgó"t'hi. Ganē bo" nēxada ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ dágaxda ${ }^{a}$ dats'!ā'mx, ganē " $\mathrm{C}^{\varepsilon}$ á! s'dágaxdek' dats !àmx." Mi' he ${ }^{e \varepsilon_{1}{ }^{\prime} w a n . ~}$
 gwidi lemé ${ }^{\varepsilon} x d a p{ }^{\prime}$ ? Bo ${ }^{u}$ wís k'a-iwi ${ }^{\prime \ell}$ dõmk ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ eitt $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$ ga-iwát'ba ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

 gayawàt'p"," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. T!éek'w hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ge giníík'; ganē mi ${ }^{i}$ sgó"t'. "P!au p!au p!au p!au p!au p!au!" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganëhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dabalníxa la $a^{a} 1 i t{ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$, guxwít'gwa ts’!ámx k!emèi. Ganē " $C^{\ell}$ á! da ${ }^{a}{ }^{\prime}$ tc !è'mxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, dagáxdek' datc!àmx." Mii hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ts ! !iníts’ !anx, $m i^{i}$ ha ${ }^{a \varepsilon} y e w e e^{i}{ }^{i}$.

 ihí. Anī ${ }^{8}$ nék' dak‘dahãlk'wa. "S'gwidī s 'lémk!iauk‘?" Ganē "S'gwidī lemééxdap'? Cª́ndi míss ge eitt'p"?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganē áni̊ ${ }^{\text {k }}$ 'ai yap!à. "S’gwidī lemk!iauk'?" ākhi wahimít'-

[^135]7. Coyote in a Hollow Tree. ${ }^{1}$

A house there was; Coyote, indeed, was traveling about all by himself. It was storming, rain was falling; and then also snow, indeed, was falling. Then no further he got, now the snow had become deep. Then he became cold, and into a hollow pine he went. "Close up!" he said to it. Then again "Close up!' he said to it, and, 'tis said, it closed up.

Then, 'tis said, all winter he was therein. Then, 'tis said, he was hungry; ${ }^{3}$ now he did not know how long (he had been there). Now after some little time then he called upon the people, now he shouted, "Do you open up for me! Is not someone going about over there? Whenever I killed anything, then you did eat of it. Do you open up for me!' he said. Then, 'tis said, after some little time had elapsed, then a certain Woodpecker came there. "Bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' bak‘!" Now he chopped, cut out (a hole). Now after some little time then (Coyote's) head ached, and " $\mathrm{C}^{8}$ á! s-my head is aching," (he said). Now he was left there.

Now he listened. A long time elapsed and again he shouted, "Oh, whither have you all gone? If perchance I should kill anything after a little while, you shall eat of it. Come here and chop for me! Open up for me!' said Coyote. No one came. Then "Whither have you all gone? Whenever I kill anything, then you eat of it," he said. This time Yellowhammer went there, and now cut out (a hole). "P!au p!au p!au p!au p!au p!au!" he said. Then for a long time he made his heart strong. Then " $C^{\varepsilon}$ á! s-my ears are deafened, my head is aching!" (said Coyote). Now he also was angry and flew off again.

He listened. Then, when a long time had elapsed, then again he shouted, "S-whither have you all gone? Whenever I kill anything, then you eat of it," he said. No one answered him. "S-whither s-can they all have gone?" Then "S-whither have you all gone? S-is not one of you there?" he said. And

[^136]



 ts' !inîits’ !anx bák'ba sgísi gaª̀l.

Ganëhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo ${ }^{\text {u }}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hawi ciiulĩ bẽm ganàu. Mi hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 nek' ba-ik!iyílk". Mii baíályowó ${ }^{\ell}$. "ōt mi dí s'amgiàuk'?"
 wéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. "Mii di samáxa lãp"k'?" $M i i^{i} h^{i}{ }^{\text {ºn }}$ sgeléué, mi ${ }^{i}$


 dayawant! ixi, mi ${ }^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba-igwidik'w. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hono}^{\varepsilon}$ gwélxdagwa



 Dágaxdagwa ba-igwidik'"; mi hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ts’!elei wēt'gigwa mel'. " $\mathrm{C}^{\varepsilon}$ ai ts' !éleit' $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ me ${ }^{\varepsilon} y \mathrm{en}^{\text {'w,", }}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi; ts’!élei wẽt'gin, mẽl xebé ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. Ganē mi $\mathrm{i}^{i}$ ts’!è ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{ns}$ igíina, ts’!eléit'gwa k!emèr.

 nánagà.

Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, bãnx t!omõk'wa. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{t}^{\text {tga }} \mathrm{ga}^{\mathrm{a}}$ haxaníya mi ${ }^{\mathrm{i}}$
 bĩu mixálha p!eyé ${ }^{8}$. $A^{\prime} i^{8}{ }^{8}$ lẽp'; gayaũ, gayaũ, gayaũ, gayaũ, biũ gayaũ; hadedîlt'a witt'. Ganēhí t'ga $^{\text {a }}$ haxát' melèlx bīu

[^137]there was no person at all. "S-whither can they all have gone?" He himself did speak to himself. "S"bé +"! Whither have they all gone?" Now one did come, now big Woodpecker ${ }^{1}$ came. Now then, 'tis said, he cut out (a hole). "Bak' bak' bak' bak' bak' bak'!" Big pieces he chipped off. His heart (Coyote) made strong. Now the hole became large, just then he became angry. " $S^{\bullet} \varepsilon$ a! s-my head is aching!" he said. Now way off he flew back, big Woodpecker was angry with Coyote.

And again now he was still sitting in the tree, now again he shouted, "S-whither have you all gone? s-open up for me!" he said. No one came. Now he looked out. "Oh, has summer come already?" and his heart was sick. "Can I have been here so long?" said he now, thinking. "Can it have become summer already?" Again now he shouted, and again no one came.
"Now I am going to cut myself up, I'll cut myself to pieces," he said. Now he cut off his arm and threw it out. And again he cut off the left (arm) and threw it out again. Now again his leg he cut off and threw it out; again the left (leg) he cut off and threw it out. And now also his intestines he pulled out from inside of himself and threw them out. Now, 'tis said, Crow took away from Coyote his intestines. " $\mathrm{C}^{\varepsilon}$ ai! come back with my intestines, s-black thing! Come back with my intestines!" He threw out his own head; now also his eyes Crow took away from him. " $\mathrm{C}^{\varepsilon}$ ai! come back with my eyes!" said Coyote. Of his eyes he was deprived, 'twas Crow that did it. And now wild-rose berries he took and made them his eyes. And then he caused the wild-rose berries to come together in his eyes. And then "Come back together!" that to his own body he said. His body did that.

Then on he went and was hungry. Now he discovered a field that had been burnt down, into a burnt-down field he went. Then, 'tis said, he looked for grasshoppers', and nume-

[^138]mixálha p!eyée. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ sgelewā’ldan, "Sgisi
 عalt!ees'ít", lámx gamaxdi dayawánt!ixi ga-iwás!"—"Sgisi dixó ${ }^{\text {us }}$ ! sgisi dixó+ "us!" nagánhí, ga nagaîk'wa t'ãn. Sgisi yá ${ }^{\text {}}$, bīu lẽp'. "Sgisi dixó+" s ! sgisi dixó+ "us!" gánga ga
 dayawánt!ixi ga-iwås!"' nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hawitt. "Sgisi dixó + ${ }^{\text {is }}$ !" gangáhi ga yaxa nagái ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ t'ãn.

Ganēhii bo ${ }^{\text {u }}{ }^{\text {nẽxada }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Sk'ádi naga?" gwénliwila ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$. "ā+ gū’hôk"*2 na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nèx sgá di nãk‘ik'?"' nagá-ihis. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi ${ }^{i} \mathrm{k}$ !wal $\bar{o}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}, \mathrm{mi}^{i} \mathrm{k}$ !wal t!ayàk‘. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ alī̄xlep!éxlap', mi hadí't'gwa mats!àk'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lẽp‘ bīũ; 'ganē lēp’ p!ī gadal wīt". Mi hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Sgisi dīhā+x! sgisi dīhā+x!"-"T'ān

 haxàk'. "Sgadí nãk'ik'?" Mi xamhiwilíu®. "Haxiyà sgaªt'áp‘de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-i ${ }^{\text {® }}$ hìs. Xaªbobin yáa sgáat'ap". "Haxiyá
 babírt' lée plap.

[^139]rous grasshoppers were lying about. He did nothing but pick them up and eat, eat, eat, eat, eat grasshoppers; everywhere he went about. Then, 'tis said, there was a burnt-down field and numerous grasshoppers were lying about. Then after a little while someone shouted to him, "Coyote's anus is spilling!' Coyote's anus is spilling!" he was told, Squirrel it was that said that to him. "S-little-eyed Squirrel! half-eater of raw sunflower seeds!"-"Coyote's anus is spilling! Coyote's anus is spilling!" he was told, Squirrel it was that said that to him. Coyote went on, gathered and ate grasshoppers. "Coyote's anus is spilling! Coyote's anus is spilling!'" only that he kept saying to him. "S-tiny-eyed Squirrel! half-eater, you for your part, of raw sunflower seeds!" he said to him by way of rejoinder. "Coyote's anus is spilling!" just only that Squirrel kept saying.

Then, 'tis said, after a little while "S-what's he saying about it?" (said Coyote and) looked behind him. "Ah! just like something planted, ${ }^{2}$ s-is that what he means?" he said. Now then, 'tis said, he hunted for pitch, and pitch he found. Now he kneaded it up into a cake and put it into his anus. And then again, 'tis said, he gathered and ate grasshoppers, gathered them and walked about among the fragments of fire. Now again "Coyote's anus is burning! Coyote's anus is burning!"-"Tiny-eyed Squirrel! s-what's he saying?" Coyote was angry. Now then he felt hot in his anus, back he looked behind his buttocks. Now indeed his buttocks were burning. "S-is that what he meant?" Now he ran to the water. "Into the water I shall jump," he thought. Right among alder bushes he jumped. "I intend to jump into the water," he had thought. Now he burned up, he died. 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat your $\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}^{\text {c }}$-seeds.

[^140]
## 8. Coyote Visits the Land of the Dead.


 xílam yap!a yãnk"w. Dabalníxa laªē'. "K'adí nagàn, 'Xilam yap!a yãnk'w,' neyée ${ }^{e} \mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon}$ ? $\mathrm{Ne}^{e}$ ge giník' $\mathrm{de}^{\varepsilon}$. Yap!a lohóida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$

 nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi.

 tc!ucumáldanma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, ganga yá̊. Xilam tc!ucumált'gwa, k'ai$s^{`} i^{\varepsilon}$ wô'k'di, yãl k!egelá-us'ixda ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 'wedéi, wáåda gwidílha. Ganga xílam gwaª́lám ganàu yá ${ }^{\text {® }}$; tc!ucumáldan yaxa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xilam hat'gáa wõk'. "Mi baxá ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{m}$ sgísi da ${ }^{a} m o l h e ̄ t "$. Gasálhi, ${ }^{\text {éei }}$ ok'i! sgisi mi ${ }^{i}$ ba-ik!iyí $\mathrm{k}^{‘}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xilàm. Wü"lhám hoyodàk ${ }^{\prime \mathrm{w}}$ xilàm; agá he ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ~ k ' a ́ i ~ g w a l a ~ w a k!o d o-~$ dínma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, gáhi dũk' dĩt!ūgũĩ wak!ododínma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hop! és $^{\prime ̊}$ lohóida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. $M_{i}{ }^{i}$ p!ĩ dat!agãĩ sgísi. "Gasálhi ${ }^{\text {éei }}$ ók'i sgísi damolhē't'," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xilàm. Mi wa-iwíi ei ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ogoîk'wa.
" $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{m}}+$, mi ${ }^{i}$ ba-igingadå ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ al ${ }^{\circledR}$ wa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ didé," ${ }^{2}$ nagá-ihi sgísi wiyimát' mĩ. "Gasálhi, gasálhi, sgisi! eĩ ganau gìnk'!"-" $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{w}}+$, ba-igingadá ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\circledR}{ }^{\circledR}$ wa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ didē," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi. "Ganau gìnk' gasálhi eĩ!"-" $H^{w}+$, ba-igingadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {al }}{ }^{\varepsilon} w a^{a} d i d e ̄, " ~ n a g a ́-i h i^{\varepsilon} ~ s g i ́ s i . ~$ $M_{i}^{i}$ ba-iginíck' wa-iwíi. Dak't'ek!éxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi, eme ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yáahi s’ás naga ${ }^{i \ell}$ dībouwíída. "Gasálhi, amá"! gasálhi, eĩ ganau gìnk',"



[^141]
## 8. Coyote Visits the Land of the Dead.

A house there was; Coyote kept going about all by himself. Then, 'tis said, "Ghosts are taking away people," they said, thus he always heard. Just ghosts kept taking away people. A long time elapsed. "What is meant when people say, 'Ghosts are taking away people?' Well, I will go there. When people die they are not again to return here, yet now people are saying, 'Ghosts are taking away people.' I, however, say, 'They are dead.' Not again now are they to come and travel about when they have died," said Coyote.

Now off he went, the trail of the ghosts he followed; he went I don't know where, followed in the trail of the ghosts. Now someone made a chirping sound; ${ }^{1}$ he did not give ear to that when the chirping noise was made to him, but just went on. The ghosts made a chirping noise to him, but something or other he kept throwing at them, the fungus (?) of pine is its name. In the trail of the ghosts he just went along, and a chirping noise they kept making to him. Then, 'tis said, he arrived in the land of the ghosts. "Now red-eared Coyote has come. Quick, give him a canoe! Coyote now has come," said the ghosts. The ghosts were dancing the menstrual dance. These, with whatever things they had then been buried, just those garments they wore, wherewith, when long ago they had died, they had been buried. Now Coyote built a fire. "Quickly, give red-eared Coyote a canoe," said the ghosts. Now a girl did give to him a canoe.
" $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{w}}+$, you shall come to shore to where I am," ${ }^{2}$ said Coyote, he now exercised his supernatural power upon her. "Quick, quick, Coyote! come into the canoe!"-" ${ }^{\mathrm{H}}+\mathrm{+}$, you shall come to shore to where I am," said Coyote. "Into the canoe quickly come!"--" $\mathrm{H}^{w}+$, you shall come to shore to where I am," said Coyote. Now the girl came to shore. Coyote was smoking; right here she took her stand alongside of him. "Quick, come on! quick, come into the canoe," Coyote was told, 'twas the

[^142] wu $^{4}$ lham hoyodák ${ }^{\text {w }}$ xílamª̀ dált'gwan wôbilīk ${ }^{\text {w }} \mathrm{p}$ !ĩ. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$
 aldatc!ulúqk". Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xilam hãx ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aldī1. "Dó do do do do do!'" ${ }^{1}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xilàm; sgísis' $i^{\varepsilon}$ hánt'ada cíqulī, álxíik' xilam hãxda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
 ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a g a ̀, ~ h a ̄ x n a . ~ G a n e ̄ h i ~ " ~ " S m a ́ ~ d i ~ k ' a ́ i ~ g a ́ a l ~ y a p!a ~ y a n a-~$

 yap!a lohók‘‘̨́," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi. Mii hínau yewé ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$; xílam he p !ilemé ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}$.

## 9. Coyote and the Origin of Death.

Xílam sebèt ${ }^{2}$ hā’p'da lohòk'. Sgísidī'l nō'ts!at'gwan yùk'. Gas'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nãk‘ik', "Laps yimíxi hā’p‘dek' lohóida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, laps yimíxi,"

 k!odòt’ hā’p‘dagwa lohóida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

 yimíxi ha ${ }^{a} p^{\prime} d e ̀ k^{‘}$ lohóida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$."-_" K‘adí naga-it'?" xilam sebét‘ ga nagáí. "Houxaª̀ måa ga negés'dam 'Laps yimíxi"

[^143]girl that said so. Now then, 'tis said, he picked up a fragment of fire; now he set fire to the skirt of the ghost girl, no person she. Now her skirt burned. Then to the water she ran into the canoe and paddled it across. Now these ghosts were still dancing the menstrual dance, and among them she rushed with the fire. Now she set fire to the garments of the ghosts; to every one she rushed with (the fire), and again set fire to them. Then, 'tis said, all the ghosts were burning. "Do do do do do do!"' said the ghosts, while Coyote was sitting on the other side of the water, was looking at the ghosts as they burned.

Some time elapsed and the fire ceased. The ghosts were exterminated; Coyote did that, burned them. Then, 'tis said, "S-for what reason are you going to take away people? Now you have died. Not thus will it be when people die, they will not take others with them; they will die for good. Not again will any one see them, when people die," said Coyote. Now up river he returned. The ghosts he had annihilated with fire.

## 9. Coyote and the Origin of Death.

The child of Roasting-dead-people ${ }^{2}$ died. He and Coyote were neighbors to each other. Thereupon he said to him, "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died. Lend me a blanket," said Roasting-dead-people. "I'll not lend you a blanket, for where are they going to be, if dead people come back?" said Coyote. And next door returned Roasting-dead-people, and buried his child that had died.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now Coyote's child became sick and died. Now next door he went to Roasting-dead-people. "Lend me a blanket, for my child has died.""What did you say?" Roasting-dead-people said that. "Yesterday indeed when I did say to you, 'Lend me a blanket,'

[^144]



## io. Coyote Goes Courting.



 gwi̊né dí wede t'ís lós ${ }^{u s} k^{\prime}$ be ${ }^{e} w i ̂ i$. Dewénxa $1 a^{a} 1 i t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ honó t'îis $16^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ dahõxa $1 a^{a} 1 e^{1}$, t'ís mãn míxal haloho ${ }^{\text {n }}$ naná ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{1}$.
 Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ " $S^{\bullet}$ ®á! gwídi wü'ilham hoyodagwàn?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgísi. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}{ }^{1} a^{a} t$ !ayák' wü"lham hoyodagwánma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. "Cª́! ge giník'de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$."











[^145]you, for your part, did say that to me, 'Where will the people be, if they return?' Now my child is rotting,' said Roasting-dead-people. So next door Coyote returned. "Sgā+!" he cried. For that reason people do not nowadays return when they die.

## io. Coyote Goes Courting.

A house there was; every day Coyote used to set traps for gophers all by himself. When the next day came, again he set traps for gophers. There were no people there, he was all alone; in the evening he always brought home (the gophers). Then again, when the next dawn came, he always set his traps for gophers. How long did he not set his traps for gophers every day? When the next day came, again he set his traps for gophers. Then the evening came, and how many gophers he had trapped ${ }^{1}$ he counted.

Now something he heard, the menstrual dance was being danced. Now he listened. Then, 'tis said, " $S \cdot{ }^{\bullet}$ á! where is the menstrual dance being danced?" said Coyote. Now he heard the menstrual dance being danced. "Ćá! there I'll go." Now off he went, threw away the gophers. Now he ran, was tired, stood still, listened. Now then again he rushed off, he ran. Now then, 'tis said, again he rested, still the menstrual dance was danced (as though) near at hand. Then, 'tis said, "A"! probably here the menstrual dance is being danced." There he arrived, but there were no people. "S-where can these be dancing?" he said, he himself did speak to himself. Right here near by it was as though they were dancing the menstrual dance. "Here up river it probably is." Now again he ran. How long did he not run? As though they were dancing near by it was. Then off again he rushed, he ran.

The name of the land he always named, "There they must be dancing," said Coyote. Then off again he rushed. How long did he not run? He was tired, and always rested. Whenever they sang, it was as though right at hand. Then again he





 wülham hoyodagwánma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Mii honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hó ${ }^{\ell} k^{〔}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ s as inī, hu ${ }^{u}$ línt', da ${ }^{a}$ sgék!ĩ. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ gé wõk'.

A+ wa-iwíi neye ${ }^{e} \mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon 1}$ wü̈lham hoyodàk ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$, k'ai gwala

 wōk'; alxik!íxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wü̈̄ham hoyodagwánma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mĩ}^{\prime \varsigma} \mathrm{sga}^{\varepsilon}$ wa-iwíi da ${ }^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} n a-u k^{\prime}$ ái gwala dǖ$g w i ́ i ~ d i ̄ t!u ̄ g u ̄ i ̄, ~ t c!e l e ́ ध m . ~ " S a ́!~$
 $\varepsilon_{1}^{\prime} t!a u t!a u \bar{u}^{\prime} \times x d a d a^{\varepsilon} \overline{a n}^{\prime} n a-u$ wa-iwíi. "Ganē ba-imásga héle, ba-imásga!" daª́na-u wa-iwíi ga nagán.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\circledR}$ bá-imats!àk‘,

"K!i-xin-hi ${ }^{2}$ gel-wi-liu-t'e $+^{3}$, k!i-xin-hi gel${ }^{\text {® }}$-wi-liu-t' $\mathrm{e}+$,"
nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$

nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lap‘ām helélda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

went, rushed off. How long did he not go? "S-where is this menstrual dance being danced?" he said. He kept listening. Then, 'tis said, " $\mathrm{S}^{-\varepsilon}$ á! probably here up river it is,' (he thought), and indeed the menstrual dance was being danced in the east. Now again he ran there. How long did he not run? Then some time elapsed, and he was tired. Right close to that place he got where the dance was being danced. Now again he ran. Then, 'tis said, he stood still, was tired, listened. Now then there he arrived.

Ah, girls in great number were dancing the menstrual dance, many kinds of girls-Swan, Goose, Bluejay, Mouse, Frog. What kind did not dance the menstrual dance? Many kinds were standing there. Now Coyote did arrive; he looked on while the menstrual dance was being danced. Then, 'tis said, one girl, a chieftainess, did wear many sorts of garments, (her shells) did rattle. "Sá! s-that one there I'll take," he said. Then among them he went, the hand of just that one he seized, the chieftainess girl. "Now begin the song, begin it!" That the chieftainess girl was told.

Then, 'tis said, she began it,
" K! !́xinhi, I walk about strutting out my breast! K!íxinhi, I walk about strutting out my breast!'
she said.
" Many warts I have on my back, with my eyes I blink," said Frog as she sang.
> " I bubble under the water, in my rump I am lean, no fat have I in my legs and feet, Frog indeed, ${ }^{\varepsilon_{O C u}}{ }^{\varepsilon_{0}} \mathbf{o c u},{ }^{\prime}$

[^146]nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lap‘ām; āk'i ga nagaîk'wit'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\varepsilon} a$ gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ́ x$ heléle,
dayawánt!ixihì yonõn.
 ga nagàn. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ helél ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ts ${ }^{\cdot}$ 'á $^{i 8} s^{\prime}$,

"Tc!ai-tc! 1 - $\overline{\mathrm{a}}^{3}$ g.wa-tca gwa-tca, tc!ai-tc!ī-ā gwa-tca gwa-tca."
 wa-iwíi ts'!amãl. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi ${ }^{i}$ bá-imats!ak',

" Be-be-bi-ni-bī-a" be-be-bi-ni-bī-a."
Gana ${ }^{\circledR}$ néx helél ${ }^{\circledR}$ ts!amãl; sgísi ā’k!a dayawánt!ixi heléle,

"S"be-be-bi-ni s be-be-bi-ni s*be-be-bi-ni s"be-be-bi-ni."
Ganēhí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Más'í ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba-imásga!" ga nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon} n \bar{a}^{\prime}$ 'ihì. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba-imats!àk' bel'p',

"Be-lel-dō ${ }^{5}$ wain-ha, ${ }^{6}$ be-lel - dō wain-ha, be-lel-dō wain-ha, be-lel-dō wain-ha,"

[^147]said Frog; she herself did call herself that. Then, 'tis said, he, for his part, did sing thus,
only half ${ }^{2}$ of it he sang.
Then, 'tis said, many kinds did sing. "Do you in your turn begin singing!'" Bluejay that was told. Then Bluejay sang,
"Tc!áitc!īā gwátca gwatca, tc!áitc!īā gwátca gwatca!"
Now then, 'tis said, again, "Do you in your turn begin singing," one girl again was told, Mouse. Now then she started in to sing,

> "Bebébinibīa, bebébinibīa."

Thus did sing Mouse, but Coyote, for his part, did sing only half ${ }^{1}$ of $i t$,
" S 'bébebini, s 'bébebini, s •bébebini, s "bébebini."

Then, 'tis said, " Do you in your turn begin singing!" that did they themselves say to one another. Then Swan started in to sing,
"Béleldō wáinha, beleldō wainha, Béleldō wáinha, beleldō wainha,"
the word was originally used in its literal sense in lullabys, then transfered to other songs as a mere burden. Cf. the following lullaby:


[^148]nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bel'p', helél ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nèx $\bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\varepsilon} a$. Ganēhi $i^{\varepsilon}$ "Mas ${ }^{\wedge}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ baimásga," nagásan wa-iwíit'an, hą́k'a ga nagàn. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bá-imats!ak",

"Wain-hā me-na dol-k'i, ${ }^{1}$ wain-hā $\bar{i}$-dol-k' $\mathfrak{i},{ }^{1}$ wain-hā me-na dol-k'i, wain-hī $\bar{i}$-dol-k' $\mathbf{i}, "$ há ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nex helél ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
 menà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ honó ${ }^{〔}$ i gahi neyé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, ga héel yononán,

 Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ mi $^{i}$ da $^{\text {ąagàn. " Gwidí dólk'init'k' yawayagwán?" }}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i} h i^{\varepsilon}$ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ menà; mi da ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ yehèi wü ${ }^{\text {ul }}$ lham hoyodagwánma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gada $^{2}$ giní ${ }^{〔} k^{6}$. Mi

wü̈lham hóidigwia gada ${ }^{a}$ giníi ${ }^{\text {k' }}{ }^{\prime}$ menà.


 nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon} n h i^{8}$ wa-iwít'an. Gangáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wülham hoyodagwán. Ganēhí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Háu, háu, háu, hau." $B a^{a}{ }^{a}$ 'alxóxigin; mi yaxa ${ }^{\text {®alī }} 1 \mathrm{la}^{\text {a }}$ lē xàmk". Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ " Háu, háu, háu, háu," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

 xàmk' yap!a daxoyóxi.

[^149]said Swan, thus did she, for her part, sing. Then, 'tis said, "Do you in your turn begin singing!" said the girls to one another, Goose was told that. Then she started in to sing,
> "Wainhā ména dólk‘i, wainhā $i^{\prime}$ dólk‘i, Wainhā ména dólk‘i, wainhā $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ dólk'i,"

thus did Goose sing.
Then, 'tis said, " $S^{\ell}$ é! where are they talking about my anus?" said Bear. Then again, 'tis said, just that they said, that song was sung,
"Wainhā ména dólk'i, wainhā $\overline{1}$ 'dolk'i, Wainhā ména dólk'i, wainhā ī'dólk'i."

Now then, 'tis said, he heard it. "Where are they talking about my anus?" he said. Now Bear did go; now he went to where he heard the menstrual dance being danced, right by them he went. Now
"S•hau, hau, hau, hau,"
(thus saying) Bear did go alongside of where the menstrual dance was being danced.

Then, 'tis said, some of the girls heard how Grizzly Bear now was coming. "Sing no more," they said to one another. Grizzly Bear, 'tis said, was heard coming, yet they went on dancing; but some of the girls " Do not dance, a monster comes," did say to one another. Still the menstrual dance kept being danced. Then, 'tis said, "Hau, hau, hau, hau," (said Grizzly Bear). They suddenly stopped dancing, now Grizzly Bear had got to be right there. Then "Hau, hau, hau, hau," he said. Now he jumped among them; they flew right up, no one he killed. But Coyote did run away with thi's chieftainess girl.

[^150]Mii aga sgísi ā ${ }^{\prime} k!\grave{a}$ dåána-u wa-iwi ${ }^{i}$ dálhiwilīk‘w. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Wa-iwíi di eît'? Wa-iwí míধqa," nagá-ihìs;


 lap‘a ${ }^{a} m$ nánsbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lap‘ãm. Gé de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ winít'hì. Gweldi; babist' lé ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\prime} l a p^{\prime}$.

## if. Jack Rabbit is Calumniated by Coyote.

 bēm, bẽm k!emèi t'bàl. Ganēhí " Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! ${ }^{\text { }}$ gwidã ${ }^{3}$ lemék!ia ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$, k'ái gwala p!ahánda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hõũ. Míhi $^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ disgut!úxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ limimán, héebiliue. "Nek" yók' $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ dak'limxgwa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. K’adí yawayagwán ${ }^{\ell}$ ?'" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon} . \mathrm{Mi}^{i}$
 līmxgwa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gahíhi ${ }^{\circledR}$ nagáa ${ }^{\text {ie, "Wáyanī, wáyanī, }}$ w'áyanī! gwidã lemék!ia ${ }^{\text {ų }}$, k‘ái gwala p!ahánda?"
$M i^{i}$ dabalníxa $1 a^{a}{ }^{\text {le }}{ }^{-1}$. Mi ${ }^{i}$ sgísi da ${ }^{\text {as }}$ agàn ga nèx, hōũ ga
 wáyan̄̄, wáyanī! gwidã lemék! !ia ${ }^{\mathrm{u}}$, dĩp ${ }^{\text {p }}$ !ahánda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hōũ. "K'adí yawayagwán $n$ ? dīsgut!úxade ${ }^{\varepsilon}$." Ganēhi ${ }^{8} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$
 k!inda ${ }^{\text {², }}{ }^{\prime}$ nagásanp'," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sgisi; "'haxiyá wågwidi-

[^151]Now those just scattered off, Grizzly Bear did chase the people around.

Now this Coyote, for his part, did run off with the chieftainess girl. Then, 'tis said, after a little while, "Are you a female? It must be a female," he thought; Coyote now, for his part, did wish to sleep with her. Tunc nihil vulvae repperit. "What did I, for my part, (take)? That you were a woman I thought," he said to her. Coyote threw Frog into the water. "Do you think you will be a woman? Frog you will always be called," he said to Frog. Proceeding just up to there (it goes). 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat your ba ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{p}$ '-seeds.

## if. Jack-Rabbit is Calumniated by Coyote. ${ }^{1}$

A house there was, Jack-Rabbit was dwelling all by himself. All sorts of trees he used to cut down; t'bal-bushes he regarded as trees. Then, 'tis said, "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! where now have they all gone to, now that everything is ripe?" said Jack-Rabbit. Now he was a-cutting. Now then, 'tis said, he felled them, and off he rushed. "Had it been anyone else, he would have had it falling on top of him. But what am I talking about?'" he said. Now again he cut one down, and off he rushed. That same thing he said. "Had it been anyone else, he would have had it falling on top of him," he said. That same thing he said, "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! where now have they all gone to, now that everything is ripe?"

Now a long time elapsed. And Coyote did hear that speech, that which Jack-Rabbit was saying. " $\mathrm{S}^{\bullet}$ घ́! s-what are they saying?" Now Coyote was listening. "Wáyanī, wáyanī, wáyanī! where now have they all gone to, now that the camass is ripe?" said Jack-Rabbit. "But what am I talking about? I'll be a-cutting." Now then, 'tis said, Coyote

[^152]gwidínda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$,' nagásanp"." Mi yap!a gux ${ }^{w i}{ }^{i}$ xilam $1 a^{a}{ }^{\text {¹ē }}{ }^{1}$. "' $\mathrm{Gi}^{i}$

 libin wa $^{a}$ ganá $^{\varepsilon}$, ga ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ al hōũ p'elegán. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Géme ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ di dexebéfn?"—"Emé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, emé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dexebé $n$." Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ de ${ }^{e}$ dát'hì yap!a
 t!ayãk'wana. Ganēhii "Sgá! sgá!" nagáí sgísi. "A'ni̊̊ gà," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!à míis ${ }^{\text {sga }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo ${ }^{u}$ t!ayãk'wana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. "Ga dexebén," sgísi ga nagáí. Bíl ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ganau mats!àk'; ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bī $^{\prime} 1^{\varepsilon}$ ganàu dályewé ${ }^{\text {if }}$ hōū. Ganēhi ${ }^{8} o^{u}$ dán. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mir}^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{2}$ honó ${ }^{8}$ t!ayãk'wa;
 yap!à. Sgísi " Ga ga ga!" nagá ${ }^{\text {ir } ; ~ " g a ~ d e x e b e ́ e n, " ~ n a g a ́-i h i ~}{ }^{\varepsilon}$



Gwîne dí wede dãk'am? Yap!a ga nát'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p'elēk'wana $^{\varepsilon}$,
 da ${ }^{a} h o^{\prime} x$ xgwan. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a dák'wãk'; ${ }^{\text {Ealdī }}{ }^{\prime}+1$ yap!a t!ayã-
 mats!àk' hōũ, hée dada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yáa " $^{a}$ Bä wä ${ }^{\prime}$ àu wä' àu wä'1 (etc.)" senésant'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ sa ${ }^{a} n s a ́ n$. Sgísi ${ }^{\text {E }}$ Oyáa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ hi t!omõm hōũ,




[^153]heard him. Now everywhere he carried the news. "S-he says about you, 'It is right around here that I've been killing people,'" said Coyote; "he says about you, 'In the water it is that I always throw them.'" Now the hearts of the people became sick. "He says about you, 'It is I that have been killing people,' right around here he says so," said Coyote.

Now the warriors assembled together. Then, 'tis said, the warriors went out to wage war against him; since Coyote had brought the news, for that reason was Jack-Rabbit warred against. Then (they said), "Where did he say that?""Here, here he said that." Then, 'tis said, one man found him first. "'Tis a plaything for my child," said the one man that had found him. Then, 'tis said, "S-that one it is! s-that one it is!" said Coyote. "It is not that one," said the one man that had just found him. "It is that one that said so," that did Coyote say. In his quiver (the man) put him. Then, 'tis said, Jack-Rabbit ran off out of the quiver into the woods. Then he was hunted for. Then, 'tis said, one found him again; now two persons had found Jack-Rabbit. "' Tis a plaything for my child," said the person. Coyote "That one, that one, that one!" did say; "it's that one that said so," said Coyote. But the person, for his part, "It is not that one that said so," (did say); but Coyote "It's that one that said so," said Coyote, for his part. Coyote was not believed.

How often was he not found? That number of people that went to war against him, all of those did find him. Coyote said, "S-that one it is that said so," but he was not believed. Then, 'tis said, the people finished; when all the people had found him, they finished. Just when they finished, then did Jack-Rabbit put war feathers upon his head, and afar off "Bä wä' äu wä' äu wä' (etc.)" he whooped. Now then, 'tis said, they were fought with. Coyote did Jack-Rabbit kill first of all; the people he annihilated. Thus it was that he did that, arrows they started ${ }^{3}$

[^154]i2. Beaver Ferries the Deer Across Rogue River.





 ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a g a^{\text {i }}$.


 p!íyin ei s'alk!omók!a ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{it}$ !oxóxi. " $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}}$
 eį́à. Gelyãlk‘ eĩ, ánir emé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yaxa eĩ," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Mi ${ }^{i}$ sbīn ts' !iníts' !anx.
 hansãk‘", gánau ginigiáue. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p!iyínhi xebén agà, ga
 hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Lomt!ē', éme ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ei s'agwā’!" nagánhi̊. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ eī

[^155]at Lat'gāũ. ${ }^{1}$ So that the people he annihilated, Jack-Rabbit it was that did so. Coyote indeed got the people into trouble, he lied; but Jack-Rabbit did not really do that (which Coyote said he did).

## 12. Beaver Ferries the Deer Across Rogue River.

A house there was, Coyote, and his cousin Beaver. Then, 'tis said, they always lived together. Then a long time elapsed; deer kept arriving at the other side of the river. "Paddle a canoe over here, old man!" Beaver gave them a canoe; the deer all jumped into the canoe, many deer. When it got to be in the middle of the river, then, 'tis said, the canoe was rent to pieces because of their kicking about in it. Then, 'tis said, when the deer, for their part, did all jump out of it, the canoe was rent to pieces. Now (Beaver) gathered up the pieces. " $\varepsilon^{E^{n}},{ }^{\varepsilon_{E}}{ }^{n}$ (etc.)," that did Beaver's own canoe do.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed; now again the next day arrived. "Paddle a canoe over here, old man!" Now Beaver paddled the canoe over the river. Then, 'tis said, they all went therein, and he paddled them across the river. Now again they all jumped out, and again the deer kicked the canoe to pieces. Now again he gathered the pieces together. " $\varepsilon_{E^{n}}$, ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (etc.)," the canoe again now groaned. "Right at Hat'il is there a canoe indeed, not only here is there a canoe. At. Gelyãlk' is there a canoe, not only here is there a canoe," he said. Now Beaver was angry.

Now again the next day came. "Paddle a canoe over here!" Now again he paddled it across, and therein they all went. The deer indeed did do this, and that canoe he always gave to them. From across the river they came, over to

[^156]hansāk'w honó ${ }^{\text {; }}$ ganē hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gánau ginigiáų eĩ, ganē hánsāk'w
 Ganēhis mi ${ }^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}$ !omók! $\mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{m}$ ei. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{~ " ~} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (etc.);"
 eme ${ }^{8}$ dá ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{X}$ ei̊̀à," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sbĩn; eiyáa k!omók!a ${ }^{8} m$, salk!umúk !imim p!iyin xebé ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ " $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}} \varepsilon_{\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}}$ (etc.)," nagá-ihi${ }^{\varepsilon}$; mi ${ }^{i}$
 bá ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{x}$ di eîa yùk'? Gelyãlk!a² eīhi, ánir ${ }^{2}$ emé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yaxa eîà.




 Ganēhí "Emé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dába $^{\varepsilon} x$ di ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ eî $^{8} a$ yùk'?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$ sbĩn. "Gwen-

 hénè, ha ${ }^{a}$ ndadát' yaxa $p$ líyin ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ yùk‘. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nèx géhi yaxa yok!oyán.

[^157]this side did come the deer. Now again "Old man, paddle a canoe over here!" he was told. Then again he paddled the canoe across the river. Then again they all went into the canoe, and again he paddled it across. Now then, 'tis said, they did that same thing, they all jumped out. And then again the canoe was rent to pieces. Now again " $\varepsilon_{E^{n}},{ }^{\varepsilon} E^{\mathrm{n}}$ (etc.)," (it groaned). He gathered the pieces together. "Is it only here that there is a canoe? Right at $\mathrm{Di}^{8} \mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{mi}$ is there a canoe, not only here is there a canoe indeed," said Beaver. His canoe was rent to pieces; it was rent by being kicked to pieces, 'twas the deer that did so. Then " $\varepsilon^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}},{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{n}}$ (etc.)," it said. Now again he gathered the pieces together, and again he fixed his canoe. "Is it only here that there is a canoe indeed? Right at Gelyãlk' there is a canoe indeed, not only here is there a canoe. At Haya ${ }^{a} 1 b{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} 1$ sda, there also is there a canoe," said Beaver, he was angry.

Again the next day came. "Paddle a canoe over here, old man!" Beaver was told. Then the canoe he paddled across. Now again they all went therein, and he paddled them across. Now again that same thing they did, they all jumped out, and again it was kicked to pieces. Now again " ${ }^{8} E^{n},{ }^{\varepsilon} E^{n}$ (etc.)," (it groaned). The pieces of his canoe he gathered together. Then "Is it only here that there is a canoe?" said Beaver. "At Gwenp'uñk' there is a canoe indeed, at Lat'gãũ, also there is there a canoe, not only here is there a canoe," he said. The deer came from across the river. Now at that time there were no deer on this side ${ }^{6}$ of the river, only on the other side were there deer. Just that far thus I know.

[^158]
## i3. Grizzly Bear and Black Bear. ${ }^{1}$

Wílii yowó ${ }^{\text { }}$ xàmk', nihwik'w, hā’p‘da gā'p!inì xàmk', nihwìk'w hã'p‘da gã'p!ini. T'gwîl k!adák!at' be ${ }^{e} w i{ }^{〔}$, yewè'uk';
 odobá ${ }^{\varepsilon},{ }^{\prime \prime}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xàmk', nihwík ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$ nagà. Dahõxa lawálhida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$


 dak!ocòk' dágaxda nihwìk'w, t!eláa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ dán. "Yegwẽxdam."" $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{ni}^{8}$ yok!oyá̊ n yẽxbiaxdèk'" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xàmk'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 t'gwi'l k!adák!at'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ " $T$ !éla ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{t}^{\text {" }}$ odobá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$." $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dak!ocòk'. "Yegwẽxdam nagadì," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nihwìk'w.
 T'gwîl liwílhôk'w yelex debü ${ }^{\ell \varepsilon}$. "A'nin ${ }^{\ell}$ yok!oyá $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} n$ yegwẽxbinda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, t'awã." Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yok!oĩ dōmk'wia gél ${ }^{\circledR}$ wagulõk'wa xàmk'. Ganēhir abaiyewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ daho "xà, "Ganē dewénxa la $a^{a} 1$ ît' $a^{\varepsilon}$


Ganē míihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t'élma p!a-idi̊loók'; yok!oĩ dõmk'wôgulùk'. Ganēhii beyánt'gwa " $\varepsilon$ agà t'élma dīsgū ${ }^{\prime i}{ }^{i \varepsilon} x g i^{\varepsilon}$ he ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ̀ ~ d u ̃ m x i n k ', " ~$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nihwì ${ }^{\text {'w }}$, beyánt'gwa ga nagà. "Ga de ${ }^{e}$ gwálda ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$;



[^159]
## 13. Grizzly Bear and Black Bear. ${ }^{1}$

A house there was, Grizzly Bear, Black Bear, Grizzly Bear's two children, and Black Bear's two children. Every day they used to pick hazel nuts, and were wont to return; sisters they called each other. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. "Let us hunt for your lice," said Grizzly Bear, to Black Bear she said it. Whenever the evening came, they always brought home burden baskets full of hazel nuts, every day they did that in the mountains. "For your lice let us hunt," said the Grizzly Bear female, and for her lice indeed she always hunted.

Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. "Let us hunt for your lice," (said Grizzly Bear). Now, 'tis said, she bit Black Bear's head a little (while) her lice were hunted for. "You've bit me." -"I did not know that I was biting you," said Grizzly Bear. Then, 'tis said, when the evening came, they returned home, each other's neighbors they were. Now they used to pick hazel nuts. Then again, 'tis said, "Let us hunt for your lice," (said Grizzly Bear). Now again she bit her a little. "You've bit me, have you not?" said Black Bear. Now for a long time she did that to her. Then again they returned home, and burden baskets full of hazel nuts they brought home. "I did not know that I was biting you, sister." Then, 'tis said, she knew that Grizzly Bear was intending to kill her. Then, 'tis said, when they returned home in the evening, "Now when the next day comes, then let us again pick hazel nuts," (Grizzly Bear) said to her, sister she called her.

Now then, 'tis said, an acorn pestle she stood up, she knew that (Grizzly Bear) was intending to kill her. Then to her daughters, "Should this acorn pestle fall, then she will have killed me," said Black Bear, to her daughters that she said. "You shall watch that. Should it fall, then she will have killed

[^160] Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aga t'élma t!egwegwált". "He ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ~ d i s g u^{\prime i}{ }^{\prime 8} x i^{\varepsilon}$,
 hagwelp!iyà," nagáhi ${ }^{8}$ nihwìk‘" beyánt'gwa. "P!ahánk'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$


Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ mi $^{i}$ habēbini $1 a^{a} l \overline{e ́}^{\prime}$, mi ${ }^{i}$ t'elma dīsgūy $\bar{u}^{\prime \delta} \mathrm{x}$. Nón ${ }^{u}$ ginî́k' xamk' hap‘da wáa ${ }^{\text {da }}$. "P!ãgabahàn, xamlõ"bahàn," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nihwìk'w beyán. "Há-u," nagá í. "Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xam-

 ganàu, gwelt'gāū k'ap!ák'ap' hap!iyà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p!ahấn, mi ${ }^{i}$ ba-ihemèk'; ulúm héne níxa ga nagaĩk‘wana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a g a ̀ ~$
 xebé ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$, he $^{\varepsilon}$ ne sēp $\operatorname{aga}^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} a$ xàmk ${ }^{\prime}$ hápxda. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ úlumª̀ níxa
 nihwík'wèa, ga nagà beyánt'gwa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hawilít'gwan yewéig

 t!omõm. Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

 wá-iwit't'an, "Hé he he he! hé he he he!" T'gwĩl yeléx


[^161]me," said Black Bear. "In that case to those children next door of Grizzly Bear shall you say 'Let us bathe!' and then you shall drown Grizzly Bear's children," said Black Bear to her daughters. Then, 'tis said, they watched this acorn pestle. "If it should fall, in that case you shall say to them, 'Let us play in the water!' " she said; "and then you shall bury them down in the fire-place," said Black Bear to her daughters. "When they are done, you will take them out, and you will slit them open," said Black Bear to them.

Now then, 'tis said, noon came, and the acorn pestle fell, Next door they went to Grizzly Bear's children. "Let us all bathe, let us all play in the water," said the daughters of Black Bear. "Yes," they said. Then, 'tis said, they bathed in the water. Now they drowned them in the water, and the two daughters of Grizzly Bear died. Then into the house of Grizzly Bear they took her children indeed; now they roasted them in the ashes, down under the ground they threw them in the fire. Then, 'tis said, they were done, and they took them out; as before their mother had told them, that they did to them (till they were) done. Then they ripped them open. In the afternoon, just then they did so, then they roasted just these children of Grizzly Bear. Now formerly, indeed, their mother had told them that, "You will lift up the rock acorn-mortar, there you will go," said Black Bear, for her part, that she had said to her daughters. Then into their own house returned the children of Black Bear. Then the rock acorn-mortar they lifted up, and went off; therein they passed, off they went. ${ }^{1}$ Now Black Bear's children ran away, Grizzly Bear's children they had killed. Then off they went.

When evening came Grizzly Bear returned. Now her children were not there; she listened. "Where L -are you?" In the water there was laughter (as of) little girls, " Hé he he he! hé he he he!" A burden basket full of hazel nuts she carried

[^162]
 me ${ }^{\varepsilon} y e^{p}{ }^{\prime}, "$ ba-ibilíuda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwī ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{u} y u^{u \varepsilon} s^{\prime}{ }^{d} a^{\varepsilon}$ hapxwi wa-iwít'an;



 hapxwi wá-iwi gáp!inì. Mi honó ${ }^{\ell}$ hinaũ hiwilíuళ. "S méyēp",


 gwidigwàs. $\mathrm{Ge}^{\varepsilon}$ yáahi ganē t!ayàk', s'as inī. "Gwidí Lna $^{\varepsilon}$ -


 bā̃álk'ap!ak‘ap"; t'ga yamàt', k‘ái gwala yamàt', "Gwidí



 p'idit'k'!" nagái . Gwicíwôk'di wõk', agás $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ nihwík'w hápxda ${ }^{3}$ hanxiyà; gas‘í $\AA$ hangwidik ${ }^{〔 w}$ mẽx, gwélxda ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ei k!emèi, hapxwi wa-iwít'an gadák' nagáa.

Là mologolā’p‘a wáada aba-iwōk‘ xàmk', abaiginî́k‘.

[^163]on her back. Not yet had she entered the house. After a little while she went inside. Now then (they lay there) all done, spread out, ripped open. Now then, 'tis said, she ate their livers. Now just then "S-come back, come back!" (she said), as she rushed out to where there was laughter (as of) little children; now there she came. "S-come back, s-come back!" Now into the water she went. Then, 'tis said, where there was laughter, there she went; there she arrived, but they were not there. Just down river "Hé he he!" (it sounded). Now again there she ran. "S-come back, s-come back!" There she arrived, but they were not there. Now again up river there was laughter (as of) two little children. Now again up river she ran. "S-come back, s-come back!" Now again there she arrived, but they were not there. Now then just down river there was laughter again; again there she ran. "Come back!" said Grizzly Bear. Now again just up river there was laughter once more; she was plumb tired out. ${ }^{1}$ Right there she then found it out, she stood still. "What l-is the matter?" she kept shouting. Now she was tired, to every place had she run.

Now she went home into her own house. "L-so it is l-my children? So that was their livers that I ate?" she said. Next door she went. Then everything she turned over; the earth she asked, everything she asked, "Where did my children go?" Some time elapsed, and then she lifted up the rock acorn-mortar, last of all she discovered their footprints right there. Now then, 'tis said, she pursued them. "O l-my liver! O l-my liver!" now thus she cried. She pursued them, and "O l-my liver! O l-my liver!" she said. Somewheres or other they had arrived, and now Black Bear's children were on the other side of the water. Indeed Crane had thrown his leg across the river and made a canoe of it, and the little girls passed over on it.

Grizzly Bear arrived at the house of old woman Excrement,

[^164]"Gwidí Lbõ"t'baªā'p‘ak!an?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xàmk'. " $\mathrm{Da}^{\mathrm{a}}$ -
 golā’p’a, ${ }^{〔}$ án $\bar{r}^{8}$ yok!oyá ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ k‘ai mologolā’p‘axda ${ }^{2}$. "Das

 nagásbinda ${ }^{\text {? }}$ " nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$ xàmk'. Bo ${ }^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ts ! !iníts'!anx mologolā'p‘a yamàt' gwelgélyowo ${ }^{\mathbf{u}} \mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon}$, hap!iyà gelk!iyí ${ }^{\text {k }}{ }^{\prime}$,
 xamk ${ }^{\ell 8}$ a ba-ibilį̣̌, ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ háxiyá hiwilíų. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ ei yilìm, "Ei més's agwà!" nagá-ihi. Mi mẽx yáahi "'è';"' gwélxdagwa

 mẽx; mi ${ }^{i}$ lohốis xàmk', xamgwidík ${ }^{\text {w }}$ dagwa mẽx. Agás'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ulum $\mathrm{k}!\overline{\mathrm{u}} w \bar{u}^{\prime 8}$ yaxa gadàk' nihwik'w hā ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{pxda}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{me}^{e} \mathrm{x}$ gwélxda.

## 14. Eagle and the Grizzly Bears.

 cĩx do "mdàmk' p!iyìn. Gas'î́ dabalníxa lãp'k'; aldĩ s'om

 súm gåàl alhü'ihi'ixk', máxas‘i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yàmx k!oloī dülứt!alhi. ${ }^{2}$


 wede ge wit'am," nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Alhuyūx hadedīlt'a. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$

[^165]and went inside. "Where are the L-orphans?" said Grizzly Bear. "I swing about the shells in my ears, I coil my basket tight," said a certain Excrement woman, I know not what sort of woman. "I swing about the shells in my ears," said the old woman, she answered not Grizzly Bear. "Where are the L-orphans? Did you not hear what I said to you?" said Grizzly Bear. After a little while the old woman became angry, (whom) she had asked as she had her back towards her; towards the fire-place she turned around, her awl she seized. "Wherefore do you ask me?" Now Grizzly Bear, for her part, jumped out of the house, then ran to the water. Now she called for a canoe, "Paddle a canoe over here!" she said. Now Crane, indeed, (said), "抆!" and he stretched his own leg across, his own leg he gave her. Now she walked on top of it. And she scratched his leg with her claws, got to be in the middle of the water. " $\mathrm{e}^{\prime}$ !" (exclaimed Crane). Now Crane turned his leg to one side, and Grizzly Bear died, Crane threw her into the water. But formerly Black Bear's children had escaped by just passing over Crane's leg.

## 14. Eagle and the Grizzly Bears.

There were Crane and his son Eagle. Every day Eagle was wont to go out hunting, much venison (he brought home), deer he used to kill. Now a long time elapsed; in all the mountains he went out hunting, and the house was brimful of venison, and pan-like cakes of fat Crane used to make. Thus he was ever wont to hunt. Everywhere in the mountains he used to hunt, while his father stuffed the baskets with fat. Thus indeed he and his father dwelt, but mother there was none. In every land among the mountains he procured venison, every day he filled the house with fat.

Some time elapsed, and Crane said to his son, " Do not (go) beyond yonder mountain, do not go there." Everywhere he

[^166]dabalníxa $1 a^{a} 1 e^{-}$. "K’adí naga, k‘ái gasal di 'Wede ī'daga he ${ }^{e} s^{\prime} o^{u}$ màl witt'am' negés'i?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yulùm, máxa nagà. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ gelhewéhau ci ${ }^{8} u l i ̄ ;$ bo ${ }^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba ${ }^{a} t$ !ebèt ${ }^{4}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, géhi

 dũ, yu"bíi dũ, ganát'hi alxíik'. "Ga dí nãk'ik' wíhamª̀? ga dí gaªl 'Wede ge gingàt' nēxik'?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yulùm. Dabalníxahi gé s’as'inī, alxík' wa-iwíi. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo ${ }^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ la $a^{a} 1 i t{ }^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$
 nagá ${ }^{\text {í }}$ yulumª̀, agás'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xamk‘ wa-iwíi mi altlayãk'wa.




 xàmk‘ wa-iwíi ópxak!an. Agas $i^{\varepsilon}$ p'eléxa $^{\varepsilon}$ wili ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ixdī̄ $^{\top} l$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 "Ganē has'ugwindẽ di mats!agá ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ ? A'lhida ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {giná }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gwidí




 t!ayàk'; ánīi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ai nagá ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ wa-iwíi.

[^167]hunted. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. "What did he mean by it, for what reason 'Do not go beyond yonder mountain' did he say to me?" said Eagle, of his father he said it. Now he thought about it, was seated; after a little while he arose. Now he went, right there he proceeded. On top of the mountain he arrived, looked down into the plain. Oh, 'twas a pretty land, and just one girl was digging camass and a burden basket of roots she carried on her back. Pretty was the girl, pretty was her basket-cap, just that kind of (girl) he saw. "So is that what my father meant, for his part? Is it for that reason that he said to me, 'Do not go there'?' said Eagle. For a long time indeed he stood there, looked at the girl. Then when a little while had passed, he went there, close to her he came. Now Eagle for his part, said, "She has not discovered me probably, ' 'but the Grizzly Bear girl had already discovered him. Then, 'tis said, arrow shafts he shot before her. She just picked them up, threw the arrow shafts into her basket; but she did not look at him, went ahead digging camass. Closer and closer hastened Eagle to the girl, after a little while he came up to her. Then, 'tis said, they enjoyed themselves, played with each other, talked to each other.

Now the sun was falling down river, ${ }^{3}$ and now time it became for the elder brothers of the Grizzly Bear girl to return; indeed they went out to war, (lived in) ten houses. Then, 'tis said, "Where am I going to put him?" said the Grizzly Bear girl, just one girl. "Now shall I put him in my basket? He might be discovered. Where am I going to put him?" she said, thinking. Now those that had gone out to war were still absent; before her elder brothers, indeed, she desired to return home. Then the sun was falling down river in the evening. Then, 'tis said, in her own hair she put him, then returned home. Now she came home with her burden, camass she brought home. Then, 'tis said, she put him away in the back of the house, she

[^168]
 sbéxalt'a mīs wa nagaĩt $\mathrm{e}^{\ell}$, wè’ $k$ !alk', wè'k!alk'. Yómò, yómò,
 yewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; dugums ${ }^{\wedge} \hat{1}^{\varepsilon}$ lãp', t'agáa ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ ha ${ }^{a}$ pxi labák'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Mi ${ }^{i}$ abaiginî́k". "īda dahauxt'gít' $\varepsilon_{i}{ }^{i} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$," ga máxa ${ }^{a}$ nagà, haũx

 dugùm deligiált' máxa. Mi (noise of greedy swallowing) gayaū, ha-ugwenyut!uyàt' yap!a gwa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ yiwin ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{w}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ t’ópxa wáada gé yaxa nagá ${ }^{\text {is }}$, ü’lük! $i^{i}$ gadal yegwèk ${ }^{\text {w }}$; $a^{8}{ }^{8} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} b \mathfrak{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{k}^{4}$.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dewénxa $1 a^{\wedge}{ }^{\wedge} e^{-1}$, hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p'eléxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wéegia-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ lemék!ia-uda he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nehi baiyeweyà ${ }^{〔 w}$ t!it'gwa xamk' wa-iwíi. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p!agá ${ }^{\text {is }}$ yulùm dap!ā’la-u du.
 $\bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\star \varepsilon} \mathrm{a}$ dīp' gayaũ luxùm, ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\star \varepsilon} \mathrm{a}$ gayaũ. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ " $A$ 'ndi
 lomt!íi gũxdagwadī'l. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ beyán "K'ai nagaittp'? soo ${ }^{\text {ug }}$ de ${ }^{e} g$ wált'gwip‘anp‘," nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$ xamk‘ wa-iwíi, máxa ${ }^{a}$ níxa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nagà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ alhũyũx yulùm, hawi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ání $^{\varepsilon}$ habe ${ }^{e}$ bini la $a^{a} \overline{l e}^{-1}$.

 gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{-/}{ }^{\text {s }}$ gawì ${ }^{8}$ ogoîhi. "Wede hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a ga-iwàt"p",

[^169]hid him. Now her father, for his part, " $E^{n^{c}}, E^{n^{d}}, E^{n^{d}}, E^{n^{c}}$, " did smell him, but nothing said the girl.

Then it became evening and the sun went under. Now they came, talking to one another they came, close they came talking to one another. "I, for my part, did think it was Eagle sbéxalt'a, ${ }^{3}$ shining, shining. 'Catch up with him, catch up with him, Kinsman!' '' said the Grizzly Bears of ten houses talking with each other as now, having gone out to war, they returned. And babies they carried, and the children cried as they carried them. Now they went into the houses. "Ecce tibi vulvam," id patri suo dixerunt, vulvam ei dederunt. At matri suae "Ecce tibi penem, ecce tibi testes," dixerunt. "Ecce tibi intestina," patri suo dixerunt; infantes patri suo ut ederet dederunt. Now they ate them swallowing them down greedily, the intestines of people they gobbled down. Now then, 'tis said, he who was without speech to his elder sister, right there did proceed, and in her hair he bit, but she struck him.

Then, 'tis said, the next day came, and again, when it dawned, they went out to war. Now then, when they had all departed, just then the Grizzly Bear girl took out her husband. Then Eagle, the handsome youth, did bathe. Then food the Grizzly Bear girl gave to him; she, for her part, did not eat people-camass she ate and manzanita, that did she, for her part, eat. Then, 'tis said, "Are not L-your teeth sharp? Sharpen them!'" said old man Grizzly Bear and his wife to each other. Now their daughter, "What did you say? Take care of yourselves!" said the Grizzly Bear girl, to her father and mother she said it. Now then, 'tis said, Eagle went out to hunt. Not yet had it become noon, and he returned with venison; there were ten houses, so ten deer he had killed. One he gave to the Grizzly

[^170]īlts!ak'w. A'ga yaxa gàip' cĩx. 'Mi' alguxwidám wõk','1 nát'ba. Wede honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a gwa ${ }^{a}$ sí $^{i}$ ga-iwàt'p‘," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xamk' wa-iwí, níxa ga nagà; no ${ }^{u}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ aldîl ga nagà molo-

 yowóu $\mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon}$ deyéhal wili $\mathrm{mis}^{-{ }^{\ell}} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}$ ganàu, lomt! $1^{i}$ gũxdagwadī'l,
 Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ái na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a g a^{\text {i }}$, cĩx gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bẽ. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ be ${ }^{e}$ ha-uyaná ${ }^{\ell} g u l u g w a n a^{\varepsilon}$ ts!ayàm t!ït'gwa xamk' wa-iwí, mi ${ }^{i}$
 p’ak!an lomt!iliáp’ak!an xumúqk' p!iyin yámxda gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$




 níxa ga nagà. "i'da hahaux ${ }^{5}$ denit'gít' ${ }^{\prime} i^{i} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}$. $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ da dahapxīt'gít' $i^{i t} t^{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon}$."-_" Háwi bou ne ga-iwán dewénxa." Gwél-yaxamats!àk', agás ' $i^{\varepsilon}$ be $^{e \ell}$ wa $^{a}$ díi $^{i}$ yàmx gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dewénxa

 yulùm dap!ālá-u. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne yáahi xuma ogoīhi t!it'gwa.
 mologol t!it'gwadīl. "K'ái naga-it'p'? có ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ deegwált'gwit ${ }^{i}{ }^{\prime},{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ nagáhi ${ }^{\text {® }}$ níxa máxa xamk" wa-iwíi. "Haxiyá gũp" gwãs,

[^171]Bear girl, one also he gave next door; there were ten houses, so that one to each he gave. "Do not again eat people, it is bad. Just eat this venison. 'Now we are satiated,' shall you say. ${ }^{2}$ Do not again eat the intestines of people,' said the Grizzly Bear girl, to her mother that she said; in every neighboring house to all the old women that she said, the old men in all the ten houses being wived.

Now these sons of theirs, for their part, those did go out to war every day; and where the girl was there were five,the old man and his wife, then two youths, of those one being without speech, the smallest one. Then, 'tis said, they enjoyed themselves, eating venison all day. Now then, when the sun was about to go under, the Grizzly Bear girl hid her husband, and those that had gone out to war, for their part, were about to return. Now it became evening. Then, 'tis said, the old women and the old men were full, having eaten the fat of deer the livelong day, (for) at noon Eagle had brought home venison indeed.

Then returned those that had gone out to war. They talked to one another, saying, "I, for my part, did think it must be that one, shining, shining. Thereupon 'Kinsman, catch up with him!' I said to him, but it turned out to be a different one," said they, talking to one another. They went into the houses, and live children they brought home. "Ecce tibi testes," id matri suae dixerunt. "Ecce tibi vulvam, mammas. Ecce tibi infantes," (id patri suo dixerunt). "Well, in yet a little while I'1l eat it tomorrow." They just put them down in the back of the house, as they had been eating fat the livelong day. Then, 'tis said, the next day came, and again they went out to war. Then people they destroyed. Just as soon as they had gone away, after that she took out her husband.

[^172]wede honó ${ }^{8}$ ga-iwàt'p‘," nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!iilā'p’ak!an.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyūx yulùm. Habe ${ }^{e}$ bini $l a^{a} l \bar{e}, \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ honó $^{\varepsilon} \operatorname{ligik}^{\text {'w }}$ ixdī cīx mahmĩ. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wat!ilik'ni
 xamk' wa-iwíi. "Wede honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a ga-iwàt'p" lîk'wie," ${ }^{1}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$ xamk' wa-iwí, mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!i ${ }^{i}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} p^{\prime} a k!a n$
 gwãs nì, ga k!ulsát'a deligiált' $y \bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathfrak{a l x}$ wák' $\mathrm{i}^{8}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 t!ït'gwa. Ganē yewéis p'elxá $^{\ell}$ s mena dap!ā’la-ut'an.
"Gíiqà yulum sbéxalt'a ge mī ${ }^{-\varepsilon}$ wa nagáit' $e^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yawá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Yo"mo k‘únax," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yawá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$,

 dadoumt'gít' $i^{i} t^{\prime} e^{\varepsilon}, i^{\prime}$ da dak‘ált'gít' $i^{i} t^{‘} e^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, níxa gwãs ogoîhi. "Dewénxa ga-iwán, be ${ }^{e \varepsilon_{W}} \mathrm{wa}^{2}$ dĩ yõk!a" ts'!adadánda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga xumü"gwán," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā’p‘a t!ît'gwadīll; gwãshi
 deligiált'hi, níxak!ans ${ }^{\text {i }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'al deligiált'hi dõ"m gwãs p!ãn, ga deligiált'hi. Gwi̊ne dí wede deliigált'k' máxak!an níxak!an;


 lomt!ilā'p'ak!an. A'nī hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gayaû gwãs k'àl haũx; xamk' wa-iwíi "Wede honó ${ }^{8}$ ga-iwát'p"," nagás ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ " "k'aíiwa

[^173]Then, 'tis said, the Eagle youth bathed. Now just then she gave food to her husband. "Now have you no teeth? Sharpen them!" said the old woman and her husband to each other. " What did you say? Take care of yourselves!" did the Grizzly Bear girl say to her mother and father. "Into the water throw away the intestines, do not again eat them," said she to the old women and old men.

Now then again Eagle went out to hunt. Noon came, and again he brought home ten big deer. Then again he distributed them, one to each he gave. "That is what people will eat, venison," said the Grizzly Bear girl. "Do not again eat people when they bring them home," said the Grizzly Bear girl, to the old women and old men that she said. But the day before, when they had brought home the testicles and vulvae of people, intestines, and nipples, that soft food had they brought home for them to eat, being without teeth. Then again they returned, and when the evening came, then did the girl hide her husband. Now did return those that had gone out to war, the Bear youths.
"I, for my part, did think it was Eagle sbéxalt'a there," said they, talking to one another. Then, 'tis said, "' Catch up with him, Kinsman!'"' said they, talking to one another. "' Shining, shining,' though you said, a different one it turned out to be," they said. Then they went into the houses. "Ecce, pater, tibi vulvam. Ecce, mater, tibi testes, ecce tibi penem," dixerunt; matri suae intestina dederunt. "Tomorrow I shall eat it; since I munched their bones the livelong day, therefore I am satiated," said the old women and their husbands; the intestines, indeed, they just put down in the back of the house. In the neighboring houses also they thus brought vulvae to their fathers for food, but to their mothers they brought penises as food, testicles, intestines, and livers, that did they bring them as food. How long did they not bring them home for their fathers and mothers to eat? They were without teeth, for that

[^174] wa-iwíi.

Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ mi $^{i}$ hónó ${ }^{8}$ yewe ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ pelxárs, yawáí, agás $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ ts'layàm t!ït'gwa yulùm. "Gíga yulum sbéxalt'a ga míswa nagáit'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yawá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xamk' dap!ā’la-ut'an yewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

 "Ganē bou ne dewénxa ga-iwán," naganáa ${ }^{\text {ag }}{ }^{\text {c }} \mathrm{i}$ gwelyáa mats!ãsga. Ganēhi dewénxa $1 a^{a} 1^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{T}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p'eléxa $^{\varepsilon}$ k'abáxak!an. Dīhá-uda mi ${ }^{i}$ gwãs haxiyá $k!\bar{u} w u ̃, ~ w i l i ~{ }_{i x d i} l^{1}$ yap!a gwa ${ }^{a}$ íi $^{i}$ haxiyá k!ūwũ; agás $i^{\varepsilon}$ mena "Gayaū mī ${ }^{\ell}$ wa," nagá-ihìs, xamk' dap!ā’la-ut'an máxak!an gayaũ $\mathrm{mi}^{-{ }^{\ell}}$ wa. Ganēhi $\mathrm{mi}^{i}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p!agá ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ yulum dap!ālá-u dīhaūxa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xuma ogoîhi, ba-idéhene ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$.

Ganēhir ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ honó ${ }^{8}$ alhūyūx; ixdīl honó ${ }^{8}$ t!omõm cĩx,

 nagá ${ }^{\text {í }}$, cĩx gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, yàmx gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; ánir ${ }^{8}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a gayaū. Wili $\mathrm{min}^{\prime \ell} \mathrm{s}^{2} a^{\varepsilon}$ ganàu dēhal, nóns hì gā'p!inì lomt!í gūxdagwadī'l, wili ${ }^{\text {eixdinl }}$ gã $^{\prime \ell} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ ganàu; gá yulum doumia gelgulugwán $p^{\text {'eléxia-uda }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gas'i $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ yewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Yulum sbéxalt'a $\mathrm{mi}^{\prime \S}$ wa nagáit'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx yawái . "'Wék!alk',




reason did they bring home for them soft food to eat, intestines. Then, 'tis said, "Well, soon I shall eat it tomorrow, for I have been munching bones. Just soup having made, that did I drink the livelong day," said the old women and old men. No longer did they eat intestines, penises, vulvae. The Grizzly Bear girl had said, "Do not eat them again, it is evil, bad. ' Now we are satiated,' shall you say," said the Grizzly Bear girl.

Now then again, 'tis said, did return those that had gone out to war, and now she hid her husband Eagle. "I, for my part, did think that was Eagle sbéxalt'a,'said the Grizzly Bear youths, talking to one another as they returned. "Thereupon ' Kinsman, catch up with him!' was he told, but a different one it turned out to be," they said, while the Grizzly Bear girl did hear her elder brothers as they talked to one another. Now "Well, soon now shall I eat it tomorrow, " were (the old people) wont to say, down in the back of the house they always just put them. Then, when the next day came, now again did their sons go out to war. And behind their backs they threw the intestines into the water, the ten houses ${ }^{1}$ did throw the intestines of the people into the water, but the bears did think, "They're probably eating them;'" the Grizzly Bear youths (did think about) their fathers that probably they were eating them. Now then again, 'tis said, the Eagle youth bathed after they had left. Then she gave him food, and he finished eating.

Now then again he went out to hunt; again ten deer he killed, and brought them home at noon. Then he distributed them to all the neighboring houses, one to each house. Then the old men and the old women enjoyed themselves, eating venison, eating fat; no longer they ate people. In one house there were five, but next door there were two and the old man and his wife, in the ten houses there were two each; that Eagle was it intended to kill when they went out to war. And then, when they returned, "Eagle sbéxalt'a I thought it was," they said, thus they talked to one another. "'Shining, shining,' since you

[^175] yap!à.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ alhūyũx yulùm, honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ habe ${ }^{e}$ bini yewe ${ }^{\text {is }}$; ixdīl cīx p!iyin ligìk ${ }^{\bullet w}$, íxdīl t!omomaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aldîl lãp‘. Gas'í ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aga mologolā'p'ak!an lomt!ílā'p'ak!an yap!a gwa ${ }^{a} c^{\prime} i^{i}$ haxiya yá ${ }^{a}$ k!ūwu ${ }^{\ell \varepsilon}$ auk'; ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gayaũ k'àl haūx nì gwãs hāpxì p!ãn, cĩx gayaũ, yámx gayaũ. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dahõ"xa laª̄̄
 Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dahouxà yewéí. "Giía yulum sbéxalt'a
 nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yawá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. "'Wék!alk', wék!alk',’ nagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, yap!a

 nagáhi ${ }^{8}$ maxa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwelmats!ák' yaxà. "Dewénxa ga-iwán," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā'p‘ak!an lomt!iilā’p‘ak!an, nó"s'wi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga nagá ${ }^{\text {iq }}$, aldîl wili $\varepsilon_{i x d i l . ~}^{\text {in }}$
 eme ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ? ánī gayaũ; ge ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ a gaya-u dì? Agáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ligigwanagám ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 dahãlsa ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wa-iwíi wíli ${ }^{i}$ ganàu ge hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ de $^{\varepsilon}$ wiliwiáue, "Géqa gaya-u di?"-"Hit'. Agáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ honōx k'ü'inax t'ópxaa

[^176]said, for that reason was Kinsman told, 'Catch up with him!' but a different person it turned out to be." Just that the Grizzly Bears said. A long time elapsed. When did they not go out to war? and sometimes they brought home children. And then intestines they brought home every day. How often did they not bring them home? Now, 'tis said, a long time elapsed, and again they who went out to war did go off when it dawned, and in the evening the Grizzly Bears were wont to bring home people.

Now then again did Eagle go out to hunt, again at noon he returned; ten deer he brought home-having killed ten, all of those he carried on his back. Now these old women and old men always threw away the intestines right into the water, not again did they eat penises, vulvae, nipples, intestines, children, livers, but venison they ate, fat they ate. Then in the evening came the time of the returning of the elder brothers, then the Grizzly Bear girl always hid her husband. Now then again, 'tis said, in the evening they returned. "I, for my part, did think it must be Eagle sbéxalt'a, so for that reason to Kinsman 'Catch up with him!' I said," said they, talking to one another. "'Shining, shining,' since you said, but a different person it turned out to be. "-." Ecce tibi testes, ecce tibi penem," (matri suae dixerunt). "Ecce tibi vulvam, pater, ecce tibi mammas." Then, 'tis said, they just put them down in the back of the house. "Tomorrow I shall eat it," said the old women and old men, in every neighboring house they said that - all the ten houses.

Now then, 'tis said, they found it out, now they were about to find it out. "What's happening here? They do not eat it. Have they been eating it over there? These that we brought home they did not eat. Have they been eating it over there?" - "No, they have not eaten it," they answered one another from house to house. Then into the girl's house, there also they shouted, "Have they been eating it over there?"- " No. The other day this Kinsman to his elder sister, right there he went and in her hair he bit," they said. "And Eagle is always bring-
 "Ganē yulúms'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ligilå $\mathrm{k}^{\prime}$ cĩx liwílhôk", gas'î gayawaná ${ }^{\ell}$ anī ${ }^{\ell}$




Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dewénxa la ${ }^{a}$ lit' $^{〔} a^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p'eléxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 dagwan guxwíi, wílihi xa ${ }^{\text {ą }}$ alt!anáhi. ${ }^{2}$ Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ lemék!ia-uda ${ }^{8} h i ̀$

 gayaū nagásbindá," $m i^{i}$ yawái $\varepsilon$, gá ganau gehi dák't!emẽx. "Yumúqk' he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nè," nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yiwin wô’k'íq, gáhi hogwá ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{s} d a^{a}$;
 nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ abaiyewé ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ aga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ yulum plagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xuma ogoïhi xamk' wa-iwí, geyewè $x^{3}$ t!it'gwadīl; aga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ a xamk' wa-iwíi ánī ${ }^{8}$ yap!a gayaū, dīp‘ gaya-u ā’k ${ }^{〔}$ à. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ baidehene $e^{\varepsilon} n$.
"Ganē alhūyũxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá ${ }^{i \ell}$, agás $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ xamk' wa-iwíi yok!oĩ

 wa-iwíi. "Me $\mathrm{Me}^{\varepsilon} y e^{e} w \mathfrak{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} h \mathrm{~h}^{\varepsilon}$ nè, wede gwidát" hiwilwàt'," nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t!ît'gwa. Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ als’oumal yulùm; agásí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xámk‘ ga nagáie,
 dagwa yewẽ wáa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ da hiwilíų," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xàmk'. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 Ganēhi ${ }^{8} \mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ sgelewált", "Bä+ bä+."s Gwendák'alyewéis
 sénsíxdagwa t‘báagamt', nıáxla dǐ̊alk‘ááp'gwa. Dák'wili ${ }^{i}$

[^177]ing home game, deer he is always bringing home, so that eating that they no longer eat people;' and next door also they said that. But the girl did not speak. "So Eagle sbéxalt'a is always bringing home game, and venison they always eat, so that for that reason they eat not the testicles of people," they said to one another.

Then, when the next day came, now again they went out to war. Then they all departed; now near by, not far away, they departed. Now her brothers' hearts she knew, the house indeed they watched. ${ }^{2}$ Then, just when they had departed, then her husband she took out. Now into the water he went, Eagle bathed. Now they discovered him. "S-didn't I tell you, for that reason they have not been eating people, I told you?" Now they talked to one another; for that reason right there they were assembled together. "You shall catch up with him then,' ' he who was without speech was told, just that one was their runner. "Do not let him come to his wife, catch up with him half way," he was told. Then, 'tis said, this Eagle, for his part, returned to the house when he had bathed. Then food the Grizzly Bear girl gave him, she and her husband ate; this Grizzly Bear girl, for her part, did not eat people, camass did she, for her part, eat. Then, 'tis said, they finished eating.
"Now I'll go out hunting," he said, but the Grizzly Bear girl knew that yesterday her elder brothers "So Eagle has been bringing home venison," that were saying. "Now tie your hair tight," " said the Grizzly Bear girl to her husband. "Then back you shall come, do not run off anywhere," she said to her husband. Then to the mountains went Eagle. But the Grizzly Bears that did say, "Far off let him go. Oh, should we perchance do away with him near by, to his wife perchance he runs,'" said the Grizzly Bears. Then, 'tis said, when far away he had gone, then "Kinsman, catch up with him!" then they said to him. Now then, 'tis said, they shouted to him,

[^178] ga $\operatorname{hog}^{w} \mathfrak{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{S}$, ts!a-uyás. Ganēhí dīhá-uda ganga díida t!anáhi.
 Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ yiwin wô' $\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{a}$ wõk'. "Gwendesgíibin," nagá-i̊hìs xamk' wa-iwíi ; wãxa ba-iyowòn, albe ${ }^{e} y^{a} a^{a}$ t!eyéss.

 wẽnhi, máxa níxa gwendesgip!ísgap'; nós' ginîk', hono ${ }^{\text {ºn }}$ gés $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwendesgip!ísgap', he ${ }^{e}$ delemé ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$; wili $\varepsilon_{\text {ixdī }}{ }^{〔}$

 ganē alxalī t!ìt'gwadī'l.

Ganēhí ${ }^{8}$ dabalníxa la $^{2} 1 \overline{l e}^{1}$, áni̊ ${ }^{8}$ honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyũx yulùm, wiláu yaxa k!emèì. He ${ }^{e}$ dadáa yulum máxáa yok!oĩ gwi k'abáxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$
 mẽx, k'abáxa nagà. Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ dabalníxa $1 a^{2} 1 \mathrm{e}^{-1}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ yàmx

 dīl. "ō+ wihàm," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yulùm. "K'ai naga-it'?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mena wa-iwíi. "'Wíham,' nagaitt' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 wî̊obíhanª̀ ?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$ xamk' wa-iwíi. "Gwidí wihínèà? gwidí wihámhanª̀?" Dayowóvesdåhi ba-igini̊̊k', gwendesgíp';



[^179]"Bä+ bä+!" Back towards his wife he returned, and the Grizzly Bear girl now was ready for them inside, tied her hair up, dust on her forehead she clapped. ${ }^{1}$ Up on top of the house she went, they shouted to him, "Catch up with him, catch up with him, Kinsman!" He who was without speech, that one was the runner, the fast runner. Then, 'tis said, right behind him he almost caught up with him. Then to his wife he came, behind her she pushed her husband. Then he who was without speech, for his part, did arrive. "His neck I'll cut," thought the Grizzly Bear girl; she missed her younger brother, right up to the sun he flew.

Then, 'tis said, another one arrived, his neck she cut; one again did arrive, his neck she cut; she cut all their necks, her elder brothers she annihilated. She went back into the house to her father and mother, and cut their necks; next door she went and also there again cut their necks, annihilated them; the old women and the old men of the ten houses she did away with. Then, 'tis said, just they alone were left, she and her husband. Then, 'tis said, she finished, she had annihilated them. Now they dwelt, she and her husband.

Then a long time elapsed. Not again did Eagle go out hunting, only arrows he made. Way off yonder Eagle's father, for his part, did know where his son was dwelling. "Now long ago I said to him, ' Do not go there,'" said Crane, of his son he said it. Then a long time elapsed. Now a basket tight with fat he filled, in he stuffed it. Now off he went; there to his son did Crane go. In the house with open door was sitting Eagle and his wife. "Oh, my father!" said Eagle. "What did you say?" said the Bear girl. "' My father,' I said," said Eagle. "But where is my father, for my part? Where is my younger brother, for my part? Where are my elder brothers, for my part?" said the Grizzly Bear girl. "Where is my mother, for my part? Where are my fathers, for my part?" Just when she had ceased from her talking, she went out of the house, and

[^180]t!itt'gwa. "Yelés ${ }^{\text {sgwade }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagà, yulum dexebé ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{n}$; yok!oĩ wala ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t'agá-ida $^{\varepsilon}$.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alxalī honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, wilau bílt‘agwa debü'̈̈'k'i yulùn.
 naga gũxdagwa. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ ba $^{a}$ gélé $^{\varepsilon} y o w{ }^{\varepsilon}$ abài, yulums ${ }^{`} \mathfrak{i}^{\varepsilon}$ dák'wilĩ s'ú ${ }^{8}$ ülúk!ixdagwa t'báagant', wasgáa ${ }^{a}$ 'hi. $M i^{i}$ yãxa dàn deguxwít'gwa gwidik'". "Guxwíi xap!a-itc!iwidîn,"" nagá-

 gwásbin," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ xamk' wa-iwíi, t!īt'gwa nagà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 "Háu háu háu háu háu," ganąnéx yiwiyáų xamk" wa-iwíi. "Wiºbíhan he ${ }^{\varepsilon} 1{ }^{8} l e m e ́ k!i n d a^{\varepsilon} ~ a l^{8} w a^{a}$ didá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwīha gingadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. A'nin ${ }^{8}$ dabalníxa la ${ }^{a} l i t t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ youmí; mi ts!ayàk', baxá̊m ganga wáda. "Gwī̊ha gingadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ganga it!aũxbin,"
 yaxa; ís ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ ts!ayàk', ánīi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t!omõm gũxdagwa. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}{ }^{i}$ wiláut' $\mathrm{a}^{a}$ hẽngulùk'; mi ${ }^{i}$ yomók'wagulùk' xamk' wa-iwí yiwiyá-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$,


 Lasálhi ${ }^{8}$ t'báak. "Tc! ī'yàt'k', tc!ī'yàt k', tc!ī'yàt'k"! ${ }^{\prime}$ xa ${ }^{a}$ sálda


[^181]cut his neck; right next to the basket lay his head, Crane's neck having been cut. She returned into the house; Eagle, for his part, had tears running down his face. "What are you doing?" she said to her husband. "I am sweating," he said to her, Eagle said so, but she knew really that he was weeping.

Then, 'tis said, again they dwelt together, and Eagle did fill his quiver with arrows. Then a long time elapsed, up on top of the house he went. "Well, lie down belly up!" he said to his wife. Now she lay down belly up in the house, but Eagle or top of the house did tie his hair up tight, tight he made it. Now a flat water-worn rock she thrust on her breast. "Her heart I shall split by shooting down," he thought. Now then he shot at his wife, but it just bounced from her. Then away he rushed. " $\mathrm{He}^{\mathrm{n}}$ ! Wherever you will go, I shall just follow you," now said the Grizzly Bear girl, to her husband she said it. Then on the sides of her head she tied her hair. Then out of the house she went, now followed her husband. "Háu, háu, háu, háu, háu, háu," thus talked the Grizzly Bear girl. "Since my elder brothers I did annihilate for your sake, wherever you will go, (I shall follow you)," she said. When not a long time had elapsed, then she caught up with him. Now he shot at her, she kept coming towards him. "No matter where you will go, I will just seize you,' the Grizzly Bear girl kept talking, but Eagle did not speak, he kept shooting; no matter how much he shot at her, he did not kill his wife. Now his arrows were about to give out, and the Grizzly Bear girl was about to catch up with him as she kept saying, " No matter where you will go!" Now his arrows were all used up. Just one remained; and now Fagle's hair, for his part, was coming loose.

Now she was about to seize him; up on top of a rotten $\log$ did Eagle climb, he burst it with his feet. "My nephew, my nephew, my nephew! between her toes is her heart, indeed."

[^182]
 guxwíi. "Wā'+ ${ }^{\text {u," }}{ }^{1}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xamk' wa-iwíi; mi ${ }^{i}$ t!omõm gũxdagwa. Agas'í ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ts’!amãl baiyugwáa la $^{a} 1 \overline{l e}^{-1}$, ga malãk'wa "Xa ${ }^{a}$ sálda gux ${ }^{\prime \prime} i^{i}$," nagaîk'wana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gwéldi; báabist' léep'lap’.

## 15. Chicken-Hawk Revenges Himself upon MedicineMen. ${ }^{2}$




 xebé ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. Amadí yok!oyá ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ nek xebénda, ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Wayá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; gwî̊ne dí wede waik? "Amadí yok!oyá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ n nek xebénda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; guxwíi xilam la ${ }^{a}{ }^{1} \overline{e l}^{\prime}$, gũxdagwa hasálda" gangáhi gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. "Amadí yok!oyán nek

 lohóre"' nagá-ihi ${ }^{\text {í }}$ gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

Ba-iginíqk'; hā̌ya sòm, liwilà ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$, mixálha goyóà dīda ${ }^{\text {a }}$
 xẽp "k', ga dí gu"xdék gaîk‘?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$ gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; ánī ${ }^{8}$ nek‘ wahimit', $\bar{a}^{\prime} k^{‘}{ }^{\text {da }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ xi gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ́ x$ gelhewéhau. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dan wilíi ī ìína aba-iyewéida. "Ga dí xẽp‘k' agąa gũxdek"

[^183]Back to her he turned. "Between her toes is her heart, indeed," was Eagle told. Between her toes he looked, right there was her heart, as though a fire were glowing. Now there between her toes he shot at her, her heart he burst. "Wa' ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{u}$,', ${ }^{1}$ said the Grizzly Bear girl; now his wife he had killed. So that the mouse had become his rescuer, that one had told him, "Between her toes is her heart," she telling him. 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat your $\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}^{\text {'seeds. }}$

## 15. Chicken-Hawk Revenges Himself upon MedicineMen. ${ }^{2}$

A house there was; Chicken-Hawk did have a woman, a wife he had. For a long time he did not know about medicinemen. Then, when a long time had elapsed, his wife did die, and all alone he became. Then, 'tis said, he slept, sick had his heart become. "Who did it? Who caused my wife to die? Somebody indeed did do it. Would that I knew who did it!" he said, thinking. He slept, how long did he not sleep? "Would that I knew who did it!" he said; sick had his heart become, ever thinking of ${ }^{5}$ his wife. "Would that I knew who did it!' he said. A long time elapsed. How long did he not sleep? Then, 'tis said, a certain time came and he arose. "For what reason did my wife die?' he said, thinking.

Out of the house he went. On either side was a mountain; he looked, medicine-men, indeed, in great numbers had their hair tied on both sides of their heads. Now again on the other side did he look, on top of the mountain. "So those it was that did it, those did eat up my wife?" he said, thinking; to no one he talked, all by himself thus he thought. Then, 'tis

[^184]lohóida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ?" nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Wílik!isi!" ${ }^{1}$ gwenwayanagãnhi, ${ }^{2}$ gwensgut!úsgat. Ganē hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ adát's‘i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gahí na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a g a ̀$, gwenwayasgut!úsgathi.
 gwenweyesgó"thi ${ }^{3}$ aldí yap!a gamáxdi4 gá na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$



 k’ái ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ al dī` yap!a gamáxdi bús k!emèì?" nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, me ${ }^{e} 1$




 nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

 Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dák'dagwa liwîlha ${ }^{4 \varepsilon}$ ge neyẽda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gwī ${ }^{\prime \varsigma} n e ~ l a a^{a} l i t t^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}$ gwent'ga ${ }^{a} b o ́ k$ 'danda tc! $6^{u s} t h i$; aga yap!a ge nagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wayá ${ }^{a}$ si $^{8}$ emé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p!eyè ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dasálda. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ báanãnk $^{\text {w }}$, hé ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e$ yáa "Wílik!isi," dák'dagwahì gwenwayasgónt'i, yap!a ne ${ }^{\varepsilon} y e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon}$ p!a-ik!iyí̊k'.


[^185]said, a stone knife he took as he returned into the house. "So those it was that did bring it about that this wife of mine, indeed, did die?" he said, thinking. Then "Wílik!isi!"' (saying this), over their necks he swung his knife, " their necks he cut. Then again on the other side that same thing he did to them, with his knife he cut their necks.

Then, 'tis said, on both sides he looked. Wherever he found people, now also their necks he cut with his knife, that to all raw ${ }^{4}$ people he did. Now the people he annihilated, exterminated he made them. Then, 'tis said, just all by himself he was. Then on either side he looked, for people he looked; there were none, nowhere did people come. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed; off to the west, right over there were seen the Crows, covering the land." "For what reason did ChickenHawk, for his part, do that? For what reason did he annihilate raw people?" He was spoken of, the Crows covering the land said so. "Well, let us in our turn pass over him," ${ }^{6}$ said the Crows covering the land, and they prepared themselves. "Over his head let us pass," said the Crows covering the land. Then there, 'tis said, they proceeded, in long rows they flew by. Moving his head slightly from side to side did Chicken-Hawk keep looking, there he stood. "Just when they touch the nape of the earth's neck," just then shall I put an end to them," said he, thinking.

Not yet did he annihilate the people. All by himself he stood, moving his head slightly from side to side he looked. Still the people were coming, in great number the people passed there. Then, 'tis said, he kept looking above himself as there they passed. When a long time had elapsed, they struck against the nape of the earth's neck; while these people were passing there, his knife lay here at his feet. Then, 'tis said,

[^186]dàt', dīt'ga ${ }^{a} y o ́ k!u m a^{a} d a d a ̀ t ' ~ h a w i ~ b a x a ́ ~ © ~ m . ~ G a n e ̄ h i ~ i ~ w a t!e-~$ mẽxia ${ }^{\text {u® }}$ alwa ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {dída }}$.

Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ wa $^{a} h i m i d a ́ n ~ h u " c u ́ u ~ m a h a ̀ i . ~ " K ’ a ́ i ~ g a ~ a l ~ d i ̄ ~ g a ́ ~$ na $a^{\varepsilon}$ naga-it'? Wede gána ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx yùk' t'ga ${ }^{a}$ déhi k!iyák' $i$. . Wede

 do"maná ${ }^{\ell}$, bo" ${ }^{4}$ ' $^{\varepsilon}$ ann $^{8}$ dūwūgàt," nagán. "Yap!a gamáxdi





 "Wede hon6 ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nàt'," nagánhi $^{\varepsilon}$; ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dak'dahãl, yap!a
 gāīk' $i^{\varepsilon}$. Wedes' $i^{\varepsilon}$ nék' yap!a gamáxdi dõ"mk', góyohi yaxa do"maną́," nagánhi.

 he ${ }^{\varepsilon} \overline{1} l^{\prime} \mathrm{m}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{‘} \mathrm{na}^{\varepsilon,}{ }^{5}$ gas'î ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aga dīha-u yáa me ${ }^{e} 1$ bá-iginàk ${ }^{{ }^{5}}$, ga ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ al





[^187]he took it up; just then "Wílik!isi!" (saying this), right over himself he cut their necks with his knife, and the people fell down in great numbers. Then, 'tis said, from either side they were coming crowded together; hither they were returning from the east, still they were coming from the west. Then, 'tis said, they were assembled together all about him.

Then great Chicken-Hawk was spoken to. "For what reason did you do that? Not thus shall it be when the world goes on. Not thus will it be," he was told; he stood, listened. On all sides was he surrounded, right in the middle he stood. "Should he do away with ${ }^{1}$ one whose body is good, ${ }^{2}$ then the medicine-man shall be killed, but now you did not do well," he was told. "Raw people you have destroyed. Should they take revenge for ${ }^{3}$ a medicine-man, then indeed shall raw people lie down," " he was told. "Thus shall it be when the world goes on," he was told. He listened to them, the Crows covering the land said so, that speech they addressed to him. Then he was told, "But now since the medicine-men did eat up just this wife of yours, all the people did you destroy. Just the medicinemen alone are to be killed." Then thus the world was fixed, the Crows covering the land did so. "Do not again do that," he was told; he did not answer them, to the people he kept listening. "Thus will it be when the world goes on, when people grow up. And no one shall slay raw people, just medicine-men only shall be slain," was he told.

Then, 'tis said, they all went off, now back to their land they returned, and he was left behind. For a long time had ChickenHawk done that, so that for that reason the Crows did come; as he had been destroying the people, therefore did these Crows come last of all, just for that reason the Crows did see him; as the people he had been destroying, thereupon these for that reason did come. Now yonder they all returned, after they had

[^188] gamáxdi t!omóamdan, góyo yaxa t!omomán; gas $\mathfrak{i n}^{8}$ goyo gellohoigwánma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga gåal yap!a gamáxdi t!omomán. Gweldì, barbist' le ${ }^{e}{ }^{\text {p }}$ 'lap'.

## i6. The Four Otter Brothers and Chicken-Hawk.

Búmxi gamgám t'awãxagan $\mathrm{mi}^{\prime \ell}$ sga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, ga t!amayán hu ${ }^{u}$ cúu $^{u}$
 Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwîne la $a^{a} 1 \overline{e n}^{-}$, yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ y $a^{\varepsilon}$ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Géhi lap‘õ gwān ganàu




 "Hené! ge nagáit'e $e^{\varepsilon}$." Lohót' na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nex pleyé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; áni ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{wi}^{\prime} t c!\mathrm{im}^{\varepsilon}$.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{-\ell} \mathrm{s}^{\sin }{ }^{\varepsilon}$ heyé ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$. "Héne! ge nagáit $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$," ${ }^{\varepsilon_{1} ’} \mathrm{~s}^{-\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}}$ ga
 ganē ts’!iníts’!anx. "Ganī k'ádi ánī̊ wítc!imàt'?" Mi
 yiwiyawás yùk'; ge nagái. " $\mathrm{He}+{ }^{\text {² }}{ }^{3}$ gwent'gabók'danda
 nagái ${ }^{i}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga nagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wa-iwī guxwíi xilam la ${ }^{a} l \overline{l e}^{\prime}$.

[^189]been slain; half the Crows had been destroyed, therefore these for that reason did address him. From off yonder they had returned hither, while from down river they were coming, so that these were then crowded together; at that time was he told that, when they here were crowded together. Now for that reason are raw people never slain, only medicine-men are slain; but when medicine-men are avenged, for that reason are raw people slain. 'Tis finished. Go gather and eat your $b a^{a}{ }^{\text {p }}$-seeds.

## 16. The Four Otter Brothers and Chicken-Hawk.

There were four Otters and one younger sister of theirs; that one to get married they took to Chicken-Hawk. A chieftain, I guess, he was, so that to him they went, with her they went to get her married. Then a long time elapsed. They went, they went, they went. Right there in the trail a snake lay across, lay as though dead. "Away!" He did not stir. The oldest jumped over him, there ahead of him was the snake. And one again did say, "Away!" He did not stir. Then again the girl jumped over him. Then one again (did say), "Away! I'm going there." He did not move, no matter how many times he was told that. Then one again did say, "Away! I'm going there." Like dead he lay, he did not move.

Then one was left. "Away! I'm going there," over and over again he said that. Now the youngest person became angry, that one did say that, and angry he became. "Now why do you not move?" Now on top of him he stepped; just then " $\mathrm{He}+$ !" ${ }^{3}$ said the snake; he was capable of speech, as it seemed. There he passed. " $\mathrm{He}+!^{3}$ To the east when you go, my nephews, they will destroy you," he said, the snake said that. Then, when he had said that, the heart of the girl became sick.

[^190]Ganē yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, ba ${ }^{2}$ dé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yeweyagwán, ya ${ }^{2}$ niyá ${ }^{u 8}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wa-iwíi

 'Wits!aîhan, he ${ }^{\varepsilon} 1$ léfémxbink','1 $^{1}$ nagánma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$." Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$,



 wili ha ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{i} k!u^{u}$ minín, dák'yãnk ${ }^{\prime w}$; mi ${ }^{i}$ wili gamgám dák'yãnk ${ }^{\text {'w }}$. $\mathrm{Mi}^{-\ell} \varepsilon_{S}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ ha ${ }^{\varepsilon \bar{i} k!u^{u} m i n i ́ n ; ~ m i ~}{ }^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dák'yãnk ${ }^{\iota w}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$

 hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ha ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} k!u^{u}$ màn; mi hono $^{\varepsilon}$ dák'yãnk ${ }^{\prime \mathrm{w}}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{min}^{-\varepsilon_{S}}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$


Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wíli aga debìn ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yáa ${ }^{\text {h }}$ i ganau abaiginigiáų. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$

 "Go"m mī ${ }^{/ \ell}$ wa t!emeyánwia ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$ wadám," nagá-ihìs. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alxalī t!emyánwås; ganē be ${ }^{e}$ dẽhal alxalī bomxì mót'agwan ${ }^{2}$ $w^{\text {a }}$ da. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ be dēhal alxalīyaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, he $e^{\varepsilon}$ ne "Ganī ya ${ }^{a} n i ̀ k$ ", ganē no ${ }^{u}$ yeweyik'," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
 yok!"oī dõumgulugwán. "Mii bómxi nou yèùgulùk'," neyée ${ }^{\text {in }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$.
 ba ${ }^{a \varepsilon}$ nihàn," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aga nó"s' yap!à. "Dewénxa yanágulùk"

[^191]Then they went, their journey was resumed, on they went. Then the girl did cry, crying, "Ah, I wonder whether my elder brothers will return!" She cried, thus crying, "I wonder whether my elder brothers will return, since that they were told, 'My nephews, they will destroy you,' since they were told." Then they went, I don't know where they arrived at the ten houses. Now the Otters did come, taking their sister to get married. The first house was prepared for them; there they passed. Again one house was prepared for them; there they passed. Now again a house was prepared for them; there they passed. Now three houses they had gone by. Again one house was prepared for them, they passed it by. Now four houses had they passed by. One again was prepared for them; now again they passed it by. Then again one house was prepared for them; now again they passed it by. Now six houses they had passed by. Now again they passed one by. Again one they had prepared; now again they passed it by. Then one again was prepared for them; now nine houses they passed by.

Then this last house, just therein did they enter. Now of the people of the nine houses the hearts were sore, for before they had said, "It is to us probably that they are bringing her to be married," so that for that reason had the houses been prepared. "It is to us probably that they are bringing her to be married," they had said. Then they who had brought her to be married remained; now for five days did the Otters remain with their brother-in-law. Then, 'tis said, when they had dwelt there five days, then "Now we are going, now down river we return," they said.

But their brother-in-law Chicken-Hawk saw what they were doing in the neighboring houses, he knew that it was intended to kill him. "Now the Otters are about to return down river," they were saying, and so in the neighboring houses they

[^192]bumxì," ga neyée nóučà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ ba-ileméfx, als ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{u} m a ́ l$






Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aga yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. $\mathrm{Da}^{\varepsilon}$ máxau wōk ${ }^{\text {da }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ yáa $^{a}$, ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagá ${ }^{\text {iq }}$

 hu $^{u}$ cún $^{4}$, ánī ${ }^{8}$ gelt!ayàk‘. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwalt' wõk ${ }^{4}$

 ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ p!áa ${ }^{a}$ shi wōk'. Gwénhísyewé ${ }^{\text {iq }}$, $\mathrm{xa}^{\text {ą }}$ wínhi bomxi





 yap!à $\bar{a}^{\prime} k h i$ gwī̊neĩxdagwa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ abaiwayewẽnhi, ${ }^{\text {Ealpli }}{ }^{i}$ tc!ulútc!alhi. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hawilít'gwa yewéis, p!a-iwayá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; mi ${ }^{i}$ wayá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, guxwíi dats!ā'mx hásda ${ }^{a}$ he ${ }^{\varepsilon} \overline{1} l e m e ́ k!i n m a ~{ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganẽhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \bar{a}^{\prime} k^{6}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gwī $^{\varepsilon}$ neĩxdagwa he ${ }^{\varepsilon} \overline{1} l e m e ́ k!i n a^{\varepsilon}$, ga ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ al guxwíi dats!ā̀mx.


[^193]prepared themselves. "Let us kill them, let us destroy them!" said these people in the neighboring houses. "Tomorrow the Otters intend to go," that did they say, for their part, in the neighboring houses. Now then, 'tis said, they all went out, to the mountains proceeded all the people in the neighboring houses, on both sides of the mountains. And then, 'tis said, (the Otters) went off; as they went, "Here you shall return, should a slight wind come," said their brother-in-law to them. "In that case you shall not go on, you shall return here," he said to them. Then off they went, but the people of the neighboring houses "Just when they reach afar off, let us do away with them," they said to each other.

Then these (Otters) did go. Just when they reached afar off, then the people of the neighboring houses did all proceed to the mountains; just then a wind blew like now, ${ }^{1}$ a little bit. But though their brother-in-law Chicken-Hawk "You shall return here" had said to them, they did not think of it. Then in a little while a wind came, just a little bit like now. Now then it also rained; then hail, in its turn, did come; then did the wind break everything, firs, to pieces; then snow, indeed, did come. They had almost returned back, just half way the Otters were destroyed. But their brother-in-law did know of it. "Hĕn! Although before 'Y'ou shall return here,' I said to them," he said. Then, 'tis said, the wind did cease, and the snow and rain and hail, now they did cease.

Then, when a long time had elapsed, he went out of the house. On either side he looked, now on both sides of the mountain they were seated. Then his knife did ChickenHawk take up; then to either side of the mountain his knife he thrust, and he himself did destroy the people, his own kin. Then into their houses he returned and set fire to them all. Then, 'tis said, into his own house he returned, lay down to sleep. Now he slept; his heart was sore, for his wife's brothers had been destroyed. Then, 'tis said, he himself having also

[^194]


 yaxa la ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{e}^{-1}$, hánt' haxàt'. "Mayáak'wdèk'!" ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Mi}^{i}{ }^{i}$ héewa$t^{\prime} b o^{\prime \prime} k^{\prime} t^{\prime} b a ́ x g w a ; ~ m i i^{i} h o n{ }^{\varepsilon}$ wayá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.


 de $\epsilon^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{i} k!a ́ l k!a l k^{\prime} n a^{\varepsilon},{ }^{2}$ ga ga $a^{\varepsilon} a l$ ga nãk'ik ${ }^{\prime 2}-\bar{a}^{\prime} k^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ gwīneĩxdagwa
 lée ${ }^{\prime}$ 'lap'.

## if. The Otter Brothers Recover their Father’s Heart. ${ }^{3}$

Wíli yowò ${ }^{\text { }}$; bumxì hapxit! $1^{\prime 8} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ gā’p!inì á-icda, k!ása$k!a n s \mathrm{C}^{\varepsilon}$ hưlũn níxa. P'im gwala ts!ayaîk'. Hūlūn wa-iwíi gūxda bumxì; dō"mk'am" bumxì. Gas'į́ gũxda hűlǖn wa-iwíi, t!omxíxas $i^{\varepsilon}$ abài hưlũ̃n wa-iwíi níxa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 alxík' abài. "Nek' wiláut'a ${ }^{a}$ di, k!asã?"-" Gíi á-is'dèk'.""Nek' gált'a di?"——"Gíi á-is'dèk', k!átsdek'." ${ }^{\text {º__ }}$ "Nek' t'gamáa di?"—" Grí á-is’dek'," nagá-ihí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā’p‘a. "Nék'

[^195]destroyed his own kin, for that reason was his heart sore. He slept. Then how long did he not sleep? Now early in the morning the door of the house was scratched against. Then "What's doing it?" he said, thinking; continuously indeed the door of the house was being scratched against. "Its probably a mouse that's doing it," he thought. Then some time elapsed, continuously the door of the house was being scratched against. Then, 'tis said, some time did pass, and he arose, opened the door of the house. Just a child it turned out to be, half burnt. "My orphan!"' Now he lay down with it clasped in his arms, and again he slept.

Just that far indeed do I, for my part, know it; no further still is it told. For that reason is there a wind nowadays. The winds he had destroyed, but one child did grow up full of fire, half burnt. Now as the door of his house was scratched against, for that reason did he do that-'tis true he himself had destroyed his own kin-,therefore "My orphan!" he said. Now go gather and eat your $\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}^{\text {'s-seeds. }}$

## 17. The Otter Brothers Recover their Father's Heart. ${ }^{3}$

A house there was, two boys belonging to Otter, and their maternal grandmother, mother of the mermaid. Many salmon he had been wont to spear. The mermaid was Otter's wife, and Otter had been slain. Now his wife was the mermaid, but his mother-in-law was in the house, mother of the mermaid. Now his two children were boys, and bigger they became, up they grew. Arrows indeed they saw in the house. "Whose arrows are they, maternal grandmother?"-"They belong to

[^196] k!átsdek'," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā’p‘a. "Nek' eyáa di?"-" Gí, k!átsdek'." Aldĩ k'ai gwala yamàt', gas'î $k$ !ása ga nagáie, "Gíi, k!atsdèk"."

Ganēhí ${ }^{8}$ bo $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Wede haxiyá wit'ap"."-"Nek" du ${ }^{u} 11^{i}$ di, k!atsdek'?"-" Gíi, k!atsdek'," nagá-ihí mologolāp'a; aldī $\varepsilon^{2} \mathrm{ank}^{\prime}$ áicdagwa laª́áuhi. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "K!atsdèk', p"ím sananagám," nagá-ihi hapxit! $1^{\prime} t^{\prime} a^{a}$, k!ásak!an ga nagà. "Wede p'im sanàt'p"." Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon} 1 a^{a} 1 e^{-1}$, "Wede haxiyá wît'ap"," nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gangáhi haxiyá wit' hapxit! $i^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}$ gā’p!inì bumxì k'abáxa ${ }^{\text {a }}$, be ${ }^{e} w i ̂{ }^{\ell}$ haxiyá witt. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hocõ ${ }^{u}$

 bomxi k'abáxak!an. "K‘ai gwala dama ${ }^{a} n m i n i n a^{\varepsilon 1}$ dalôl $^{\varepsilon}$," nagáhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k!ásak!an.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ haxiyá wiyiwī1't', p'im alhūyū’hi. Dabalníxa $1 a^{a}{ }^{2} \overline{e n}^{\prime}$. "K!átsdek', mãl ús'am, p'im ts!ayaginàk'; dūl ús am."-_" Dja'! k'áiwa haxiyà," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k!ásak!an. Mihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 k'a-ilā'p’a alxík' haxiyà hừũ̃ wa-iwíi. Dũl ánī̊ ogoīhi k!ásak!an mologolā’p'a. "K‘áíwa haxiyà, wede ge wit'ap"," nagáhí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Bo nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dũl hoyōĩ, haxiyà giníqk' xilamanà wãxadī'l. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alxalī da ${ }^{a} x i y a ̀$, he ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ~ y a^{a} h^{i}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ba-ik!iyîík' hưlũ̃n wa-iwíi, tc!élelelele ${ }^{2}$ du "gíí. Mii ts!ayàk', $\mathrm{mi}^{i} \mathrm{t}$ !omõm. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ abaiyewé ${ }^{\text {iq. }}$.

[^197]me."-"Whose bow is it?"-" It belongs to me, my grand-children."-"Whose elk-skin armor is it?"-"It belongs to me," said the old woman. "Whose blanket is it?"-"Mine, my grandchildren."-"Whose salmon-spear shaft is it?"-"Mine, my grandchildren," said the old woman. "Whose canoe is it?"" Mine, my grandchildren." All things they asked about, to that their maternal grandmother that did say, "Mine, my grandchildren."

Then, 'tis said, after a little while " Do not go about to the water," (she said). "Whose salmon-spear point is it, my maternal grandmother?"-" Mine, my grandchildren," said the old woman, everything did she call her own property. Then,'tis said, "My maternal grandmother, we shall spear salmon," said the boys, to their maternal grandmother that they said. "Do not spear salmon." Then a little while elapsed, and "Do not go about to the water," she said to them. Nevertheless the two boys, Otter's sons, did go about by the water, every day they went about by the water. Now they had become bigger. "It is not her bow, our maternal grandmother's," they said. "They are not her arrows, though 'It belongs to me,' she said. It is not her elk-skin armor," said the sons of Otter. "As many things as she did count up, ${ }^{\text {' }}$ she lied," did they say about their maternal grandmother.

Then, 'tis said, by the water they were accustomed to go about, salmon they used to hunt. A long time elapsed. "My maternal grandmother, give us the salmon-spear shaft, we are going to spear salmon. Give us the salmon-spear point."--"Dja'! there's a monster in the water," said their maternal grandmother. Now these, for their part, did see a woman down in the water, for that reason indeed, they asked for a salmon-spear point; now a woman had they seen in the water, the mermaid. The salmon-spear point their maternal grandmother, the old woman, did not give them.

[^198]"K!asã, k'adí t!omomanàk' haxiyà, ülük!ii bãls du"gíi

 nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā’p'a. "Ulums'î́ t'gam ' Gii a-icdék','
 mahmĩ la ${ }^{a}{ }^{1} \overline{e n}^{\prime}$. "Hamíitt'ban hinaũ t!omomán," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā’p‘a k!ásak!an. " Mi gelts'layámxamk'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," ${ }^{1}$ nagáis hapxit!ī't'a. "Mi yanabáą ${ }^{\text {nin," }}$ nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$. "Hámist'ban hinaū k!wàl hawa $k$ !áxak!ixin gux"íi," nagá-ihí ${ }^{\text {e }}$ mologolā'p'a, t'agáiq; aga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ hapxit! ${ }^{\text {ígt'a níxak!an yùk' mologòl beyán. }}$

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hocō $^{u}$ la $^{a} 1 \overline{1 e}^{1}$. "Ganē yanabá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$," nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yáą xilamanà, hinaus'í ${ }^{\ell}$ t!egwegwáldan. "Dan yéwaldini ${ }^{i{ }^{2}}$ hápxda $a^{a}$ āplinì, ne ${ }^{e} y e^{\varepsilon}$," da ${ }^{a q}$ aganín, hinaūs $i^{\varepsilon}$ ga neyéé. "Ei
 wa-iwíi gā’p!inì, k!wàl woōha méfal. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hinaũ yá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, máxak!an guxwíi wõlt". Ganē "Tc!ixik!ō'+ltc!am, gasálhi ei méss'agwà," nagána ${ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} i$ wa-iwíi gā́p!inì; be ${ }^{e} w 1^{i} m^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ k!wal wõlt', búmxi guxwí hawak!áxk!ixiya ga ga ${ }^{\text {ªl }}$ woōha k!wal méfal. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hagwa ${ }^{\text {alàm }}$ malaginín, "'Ei mé ${ }^{〔}$ 'agwà, tc!ixik!ō’ltc!am̌, ga naganá̊k‘," nagánhíq, gwenhegwéhigwin;


[^199]"There's a monster in the water, do not go there," she said to them. After a little while they stole the salmon-spear point, to the water did they go, the two brothers. Then, 'tis said, by the water were they seated, just then after a little while did come the mermaid, and tc!élelelele (rattled) her skirt. Now they shot at her, and killed her. Then, 'tis said, they returned into the house.
"Maternal grandmother, what did we kill in the waterlong was its hair and its garment rattled?" they said. Now just thereat did cry the old woman. "Was it I that killed your father? I did not kill your father," said the old woman. "But formerly (of) the elk-skin armor 'It belongs to me,' did she say," (they said), the boys naming everything. Now grown up and big they had become. "Your father has been slain up river," said the old woman, their maternal grandmother. "Now she has evidently been hiding it from us," ${ }^{1}$ said the boys. "Now let us go away," they said to each other. "Up river under your father's heart pitch is made to smoulder," said the old woman and wept; of just these boys was the old woman's daughter the mother.

Now grown up had they become. "Now let us go away," they said to each other. Then off they went, but up river they were being watched. "Otter ${ }^{2}$ has two children, they say," were they heard about, so that up river they said that. "Paddle a canoe over here, Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am, ${ }^{3}$ we have fear of them," ${ }^{5}$ were wont to say two girls, on this side of the river were they wont to go for pitch. Then up river went (the boys), to get their father's heart they went. Now "Tc !ixik! ${ }^{\prime}+1$ tc !am ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, paddle a canoe over here quickly," were wont to say the two girls; every day they came to this side of the river to get pitch, Otter's heart to set a-smouldering underneath, for that reason were they wont to go for pitch on this side of the river. Then, 'tis said, in the trail were (the boys) told, "'Paddle a canoe over

[^200]nīya hā'pxda ${ }^{a}$ hínxda ${ }^{a}$ dats !ãmx. Gasálhi ${ }^{\text {E }}$ ei més ${ }^{\prime}$ agwà,' ga naganáqk' wa-iwíi gāp!inì," gana ${ }^{〔}$ néxhi gwenhegwéhigwin, t'gwayàm dexebén.

Ganēhi ${ }^{8}$ méqalhi wa-iwi ${ }^{i}$ gā’p!inì k!wal wõlt', t'gohòx

 tc!am ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, ei méfs'agwà." Wa-iwít'an k!wal wõlt' yaxà;
 yaxà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ abaiyewéi\& xilamanà, k!wal lãp'. "Dan yée ${ }^{e}$ waldinīya hápxda ${ }^{2}$ hínxda ${ }^{a}$ dats !āmx; tc!ixik!ōltc!am², ei mérs agwà," nagána ${ }^{\varepsilon} k h i$ wa-iwíit'an. Agás $i^{\varepsilon}$ t'gohox lomt! $i^{i}$ t!omomán. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gáhi nagáa wa-iwíit’an naganá ${ }^{〔} k^{‘} \mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon}$, "Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am, ei mérs'agwà, dan yée waldinīya hápxdáa

 t'an ei ganau bilwàlk' da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ máxauhì. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} \min ^{-\varepsilon} \operatorname{sga}^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} h^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ ánī $^{\varepsilon}$ dedūlápx ganau bilàuk', gwélxda ${ }^{a}$ léyas nàk'; ágas' ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ts'!ixik ! ${ }^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{ltcam}^{\varepsilon}$ " $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga wa-iwíit'an," nagá ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ gelhewéhana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; hinx niūk' $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon},{ }^{2}$ ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{2}$ aná $^{\text {iq }}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aba-iwōk' wa-iwíit'an. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$
 nagánhí, "k'adí s int!ayagit"," nagán máxak!an stiwôk‘di.
 p ! $\mathrm{i}^{i} \mathrm{k}$ !wàl k !áxak!ixin; agás $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ bõ ${ }^{u}$ yewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bumxi hápxda ${ }^{a}$,
 yáp!a wiein.

[^201]here, Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am${ }^{\text { }}$, that are they wont to say," they were told, was it related to them. "That shall you say, 'Tc!ixik!$\bar{o}^{\prime} 1$ tc ! $\mathrm{am}^{\varepsilon}$, paddle a canoe over here, of Otter's children have we fear. Quickly paddle a canoe over here,' that are wont to say the two girls," thus indeed was it related to them, Lark did say so.

Then on this side, indeed, of the river the two girls came to get pitch, and Quail did cut the pitch. Now then to them they went; then they killed them, skinned them, then themselves put on their skins. Then "Tc!ixik!ō' +1 tc $!a m^{8}$, paddle a canoe over here" (they shouted). The girls did always go to get pitch; while Quail, the old man, cut the pitch indeed, the girls just went to get it. Then they returned home, carried the pitch on their backs. "Of Otter's children we have fear. Tc!ixik! $\bar{o}$ ' 1 tc !am, paddle a canoe over here," were wont to say the girls. And now the old man Quail was slain. Then just what the girls were wont to say, "Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am², paddle a canoe over here. Of Otter's children we have fear," did say the boys, those said so.

Then the canoe was paddled towards them right in the middle of the water; it was thus that the girls were wont to jump into the canoe from afar off, indeed. Then just one of them would not jump into it straight, she would stumble with one of her legs; so that Tc!ixik!ō'ltc!am ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ said, "Those are not the girls," thinking; as though he were afraid of them, that he did. Then the (pretended) girls arrived in the house. Now "e ${ }^{n}$,," ${ }^{3}$ Sun" smelt them as different people. "What are you doing?" he was told. "What are you smelling?" was told their would-be-father. Now night came. Then, 'tis said, a pitch fire was set a-smouldering under the heart of the father of the Otters; but this time when they returned it was the children of Otter, not the girls belonging there, for that reason did he do that, having smelt them as different people.

[^202] máxak!an guxwí igína. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ máxa guxwíi nõ yeweyàk‘ ${ }^{\text {; }}$; agás $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ t!omomán, he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne máxa guxwíi no ${ }^{u}$ yeweyàk ${ }^{〔 w}$. Ga ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l k^{*} u^{u}$ bíi $^{i}$ bumxì alt'gém lãp', k!wàl hawa ${ }^{a} k$ !áxak!ixinma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 yuk'yák' $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ eit $\mathrm{t}^{\ell} \mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$, maláxbiin.

## 18. Crow and Raven Go for Water.

 xèm wu"lhàmk' ${ }^{1}$ wa-iwi ${ }^{i}$ gā'p!ini. Ganēhí ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Xí woòp","
 yanà ${ }^{\prime 1}{ }^{1}$ wa-iwíi gāp ${ }^{\prime}$ inì wu ${ }^{4} 1$ hàm, xi woòk ${ }^{6}{ }^{1}$ Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xém ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ a hawi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ánỉ $^{\varepsilon}$ xí $\operatorname{ga}^{\varepsilon} a l$ wõk'da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, mi $i^{i}$ aga k!elwít'gwa ganàu










[^203]Night came, and they put to sleep the slayer of Otter. Then, 'tis said, they took their father's heart. Then with their father's heart down river they returned; first (Sun) was slain, then with their father's heart they returned down river. For that reason does Otter wear a black skin, his heart having been set a-smouldering with pitch underneath. Thus do I, for my part, know; perchance there is much more. Did I know all, I should tell it to you.

## 18. Crow and Raven Go for Water.

There was no water among the people. Now Crow was having her first menstrual courses and Raven was having her first menstrual courses, the two girls. Then, 'tis said, "Go to get water," they were told. Only the ocean was left," thus it was heard. Then did go the two girls menstruating for the first time, for water they went. Then Raven, for her part, when she had not yet arrived at the water, now into this basketbucket of hers did urinate, but Crow went on. Now Raven, for her part, turned back, now brought the water. "For what reason did you not bring water?" (they said). It was known that it was her own water. Now Crow, in her turn, just a long time thereafter did return, water did Crow, for her part, bring.
"Then you, for your part, shall not drink water," was Raven told. "Whenever it is summer, you shall not find water," she was told. "But Crow-she, for her part, shall drink water," was she told. "But you-only in winter shall you drink water," was Raven told. So for that reason it is that Raven, for her part, does not drink water in summer, and for that reason does she, indeed, talk thus,-dry is her throat. Only when the winter comes does Raven, for her part, drink water, that they say.

```
'=uuk' & eit'.
s=aldaak' }\mp@subsup{}{}{8}\mathrm{ eit'.
```

ig. Skunk, the Disappointed Lover.
Wíli ${ }^{i}$ yowò ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. $A^{\prime} n^{8}{ }^{8}$ yok!oyá ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$ nek wa-iwíit'a gā’p!ini
 bîk'w. Bo ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}$ î $^{\varepsilon}$ yulàm hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mót ${ }^{\prime}$ lãp ${ }^{\prime} k^{〔} .^{1}$
 ganau gwidík'wdan bîk ${ }^{\text {'w }}$ cīx ligigwaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganëhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yulùm alhūyũx; cĩx ligìk'w, ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ a gayawánhi. Gangáhi alhū-
 ganau gwidík ${ }^{\text {'wd }}$ dan. Bo ${ }^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ganē yulùm honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alhūyũx;
 cīx ligìk'w, hóspx yáa ganau gwidík ${ }^{\text {'w }} \mathrm{dan}$; $\bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{a}$ cĩx ligigwaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hó ${ }^{\text {Ep }} \mathrm{px}$ ganau gwidíhan.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dabalníxa $1 a^{a}{ }^{1} \mathrm{e}^{-1}$, mi ${ }^{i}$ t!ayàk'. "Gííà k'ái ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$
 da-uyáa ts!ayákhi. ${ }^{2}$ Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yulumna xílam la¹ē'. "Ganē gadák" hōīt'," ${ }^{3}$ nagánhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bỉk ${ }^{〔 w}$, t!omxíxa dexebé ${ }^{\ell} n$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$
 Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne

$$
\text { " Bígi }{ }^{5} \text { bígi bígī+, dán }+ \text { bon, dán bon." }
$$

 "Bo ${ }^{u}$ yá ${ }^{a}$ di 'mot'ē' nẽxiya?" ${ }^{8}$ nagá-ihi ${ }^{8}$ bĩk'w. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ ba-imats!àk',

> "Bígi bígi bígī+, dán+ bon, dán bon."

[^204]
## ig. Skunk, the Disappointed Lover.

A house there was. I do not know whose two girls they were; Skunk did like the girls, being two, a suitor did Skunk become. But after a little while also Eagle became a suitor.

Then, 'tis said, Skunk hunted deer. Now venison he brought home; right in the lake was thrown the venison that Skunk had brought home. Then after a little while Eagle went out to hunt. Venison he brought home, that indeed was eaten. Skunk just kept on hunting, venison he brought home, but his game, indeed, was just thrown into the lake. Then after a little while Eagle again went out to hunt; venison he brought home, that indeed was eaten. Then again Skunk went out to hunt. Venison he brought home, just into the lake was it thrown; what venison he did bring home was always thrown into the lake.

Then a long time elapsed, and he found it out. "When I, for my part, bring home venison, for what reason is it not eaten?'' said Skunk. Now, 'tis said, he shot with his medicineman's spirit, ${ }^{2}$ and Eagle, for his part, became sick. "Now dance for him,"'4 was Skunk told, his mother-in-law said so. Then, 'tis said, Skunk danced for him. Then he started in with his medicine-man's song. Now then (he sang),

$$
\text { " Bígi }{ }^{\text {º }} \text { bígi bígī+, dán }+ \text { bon, dán bon." }
$$

"My son-in-law, stick your anus straight out," he was told, his mother-in-law said so. "Did you say to me ' My son-inlaw' just now?". ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ said Skunk. Then again he started in to sing,
" Bígi bígi bígī+, dán+ bon, dán bon."
means "acorn-hopper of basketry." Mrs. Johnson could give no explanation of Skunk's song, but it is probable that there is a reference to the supernatural power of stone mortars, a belief widely spread in northern California. Skunk's song is delivered in an unrhythmical staccato; it is meant to be ungraceful and ridiculous.
" Literally, " to say to me."
${ }^{7} \mathrm{He}$ is flattered to be called "son-in-law," for that means that he has won his suit.



Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo $^{u}$ nẽxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ honó ${ }^{〔} h i$ ba-imats!àk', hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gáhi nagá ${ }^{\text {iq }}$,
" Bígi bígi bígī, dán+ bon, dán bon, dán bon, dán bon." "Ba-idit‘gárst'ga ${ }^{2} s$, mót'ià," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t!omxíxa. Gahíhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$

 $M_{i}{ }^{i h} i^{\varepsilon}$ t!omomán, mi ${ }^{i}$ bîk $^{\text {'w }}$ lohóig. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx yok!oyán yaxà.

## 20. The Flood. ${ }^{2}$

Hop!è ${ }^{\ell \ell} \mathrm{n}$ yap!a yùk‘, k‘ái gwala yap!a yùk‘, cūx cẽm p!iyìn; ts’!á-is' ${ }^{\text {Ealdī }}$ yap!a yùk', k‘ái gwala, moxò ga ${ }^{\text {Ealdī }}{ }^{\prime}$
 da ${ }^{\text {hók'wal }}$ yùk', s'ēms'í ${ }^{\ell}$ s'inhók'wal yùk', ga ga ${ }^{〔} a l$ sbĩn lāp'k'.
$H^{\varepsilon}$ ne ts'!āũ ba-ihîlxk', aga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aldī t'ga ${ }^{a}$ ts!āũ lāp'k'. Ganēhí he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne xámhi lãp'iauk', k'ái gwala xámhi lãp'k'. Héfne sbĩn lãp'k' gwelxíya á’k!a yowó̊. ${ }^{3}$ He ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ aldī cũx

 lãp'k'. Gana ${ }^{\text {® }}$ nex.

[^205]"Stick your anus straight out." -" I feel ticklish in my anus. Some time ago, I guess, something was told to SparrowHawk ${ }^{1}$ some time ago in the day," said Skunk, and danced. Then, after a little while, again he started in to sing, that same thing again he said,
"Bígi bígi bígī, dán + bon, dán bon, dán bon, dán bon."
"Stick out your anus, O son-in-law," said his mother-in-law. That same thing he said, "Did you say to me 'O son-in-law!' just now?" said Skunk. Then, after a little while, he stuck out his anus. Now Sparrow-Hawk did pull out Skunk's discharge of wind. Now, 'tis said, he was killed, now Skunk did die. Just this much I know.

## 20. The Flood. ${ }^{2}$

Long ago there were people, all beings were people,-birds, ducks, deer; bluejays were all people; all sorts of beings,buzzards, those were all people, crows were all people. Now then beavers were not ear-holed, while ducks were nose-holed,for that reason did they become beavers.

Then a flood did come and cover all, all this world became a mass of water. And then, 'tis said, they were submerged, all beings were submerged. Then Beaver got to be at the bottom of the water, up to this day he is there. ${ }^{3}$ Then all the birds flew up, and for that reason they all fly today. Since Beaver was not nose-holed, since he was not ear-holed, for that reason did Beaver, for his part, get to be in the water, indeed. Thus it is.

[^206]
## 21. Acorn Woman Revenges Herself upon a Medicine-Man. ${ }^{1}$

"Goyo bāīxóns ${ }^{4}$ bik'," nagánhan yanà, hop!è's nimik!i yap!à;
 xó"t'i goyo yanà, goyo bā̃ ${ }^{\varepsilon} \overline{1}^{\prime} 0^{\text {us }} t^{\prime}$ gwôk' yanà. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yana

 Gasi ${ }^{8}$ goyo t!omománma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, aga mologolā’p‘a yana da ${ }^{\varepsilon} a n{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} k^{‘} d a$
 dina ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga ga $a^{\varepsilon} a l$ xoumàn. Cĩx xúm héne gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ́ x ~ x o^{u} m a ̀ n$.

Dalbalníxa ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nàk'. $^{3}$ Gas'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ goyo lohálhik‘na ${ }^{83}$ xómxamank ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}$ mologolā’p‘a xebé ${ }^{\ell} n$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dabalníxa la¹ē.
 gwala wáa ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ne ${ }^{e}$ yé $^{\varepsilon}$," nagásanhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologol wá ${ }^{a}$ da


 p!è' $1^{\varepsilon}$ ganau mats!àk‘. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dasálda mats!àk', ganē he ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e$ hap!iyá xāeyowò ${ }^{\prime}$. $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{nir}^{8}$ alxíik‘ yap!à aga s'īx xum dasálda mats!aganá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. "Agas'î xúma $\mathrm{min}^{〔}{ }^{\ell}$ wa gayawán," naga-ihìs.

Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo ${ }^{u}$ nēxada ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ la $a^{a} 1 \overline{1} t^{\dagger} a^{\varepsilon}$, he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ne yáa ${ }^{a} i^{\varepsilon}$ hanp!iyá

[^207]
## 2I. Acorn Woman Revenges Herself upon a Medicine-Man. ${ }^{1}$

"A medicine-man has blown thee off," the Acorn used to be told (by) men of long ago. That the Acorn was wont to be told, old men did say it. By means of a wind did the medicineman blow off the acorns, a medicine-man it was that blew off the acorns. Now, 'tis said, the Acorn Chieftainess, ${ }^{2}$ that one was sitting in her house and saw how they were being blown down. She had sent herself there to the tree. Now just the medicine-man had blown her off. Thereupon the medicineman having been slain, this old woman, the Acorn Chieftainess, then dried him, the medicine-man having died; since this old Acorn Woman had he blown off, for that reason she diried him. Like dried venison, thus she dried him.

For a long time that she did. Now whenever a medicineman died, she used to dry him; the old woman did so. Then, 'tis said, a long time elapsed. Now then two persons "To the old woman let us journey. Much venison there is with her, people say," said to each other. Then, 'tis said, to the old woman came the two persons. She did not look at them as they came into the house, with her back towards the fire she sat. ${ }^{4}$ There sat the two persons; to them she did not speak. A long time elapsed, just then she took up a basket-pan. Then dried venison she took and into the basket-pan she put it. Then, 'tis said, she placed it down at their feet, and then with her back to the fire she sat. She did not look at the persons when this dried venison she had put down at their feet. "Now the food is probably being eaten," she thought.

Then, 'tis said, when a little while had elapsed, just then

[^208]


 wåit!anáhi,' negésdap' di? Cĩx xum nagaīt'p' di? Agåà
 maná $\varepsilon^{n}$," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mologolā’p'a, yana mologolā’p'a dexebé ${ }^{\prime} n$.


22. Rock-Woman and a Mountain are a MedicineMan's Bane. ${ }^{3}$

 ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ àl he ${ }^{e}$ lá $^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime}$," nãk‘am. ${ }^{4}$ Gasi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ "Há-u" nàk'. " Gasi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nãxde ${ }^{\varepsilon}$

 k !ámak! $\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx ók'igam dán mologòl. Goyo guxwíi

 t'ūt'. Gas'i ${ }^{\text {® }}$ bok!obáxna dan $k$ !elwíi ganàu, goyo guxwíi
 goyó gaª̀l heléle, gas $\dot{i}^{\varepsilon}$ ganē goyo dõmk'amna ${ }^{\varepsilon} ;^{4}$ ganē dan mologól xebé ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{n}$ wigamdi. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

[^209]in back of her across the fire she looked. Now the two persons just had died. Just then she turned towards the fire, then took up water. Then, 'tis said, the water she put in her mouth, and $p^{\text {'w }}+$, she blew it over their cheeks. The two persons arose, had recovered now. Then, 'tis said, "What did you think? 'Dried venison she keeps,' did you say about me? Dried venison did you think it was? This, for its part, is the flesh of medicinemen, not dried venison. Since they blew me off, for that reason did I dry them,' ' said the old woman, Old Acorn Woman did say so. Indeed that really was the Acorn Chieftainess. Just up to there it proceeds. ${ }^{2}$ Since the medicine-men did blow her off, for that reason did she do it to them.
22. Rock-Woman and a Mountain are a Medicine-Man's Bane. ${ }^{3}$

When this set world was first begun, then was that told to the Old Rock Woman, "Thou, for thy part, (shalt be) a medicine-man poisoner. ${ }^{5}$ If an evil-minded medicine-man devours a person, thou, for thy part, shalt sing for that," was she told. Thereupon "Yes" she said. "Then thy pipe shalt thou put in the medicine-man's mouth, thou shalt give him to smoke," was she told. Thereupon that she did to him, here being her rock bucket, and in her bucket her stirring paddle, and her tongs. Thus was it given to the Old Rock Woman. The medicine-man's heart to boil, for that purpose her bucket; and her stirring paddle, with that she stirs around the medicineman's heart and boils it; and her tongs, with that she picks up rocks, hot rocks. Then she causes the stones to steam in her bucket, the medicine-man's heart she boils. The medicineman's heart, for that is her rock bucket medicine. ${ }^{8}$ Now then

[^210]Ganēhí ${ }^{8}$ Aldauyáak'wadìs ${ }^{1}$ malaginín. "Ganē mi dán
 gelegaláms. ${ }^{2}$ Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ máxla di ${ }^{i}$ ált'gwa mats!àk‘. ${ }^{3}$ Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ge
 goyo bu"biníi. He dadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mók ganàu wabilīk ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$ goyo bu ${ }^{u}$ biníi. Ganēhí ${ }^{8}$ hoyóst', dī't'giliu wala ${ }^{a} 1 i k k^{\prime}$ wa goyo bubbiníi; ganē hélel ${ }^{\circledR}$, wahoyodàk ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$.

 wãxa. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alse ${ }^{e} k^{\prime}$ sák'sank' $^{8}$ háa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ yà. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx goyo
 wayá he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nè dek'iwík'auk'wanma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nãk'ik'. $^{\circ}$ Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nex $t^{\prime} g a^{a}$ sigit'a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, p!a-imasgák'amna ${ }^{\varepsilon},{ }^{8}$ gas $i^{8}$ gana ${ }^{8} n e ́ x ~ l a a^{a} \overline{l e}^{1}$.

 k!emná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ s, bo ${ }^{\text {u }}$ gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx $p!u w u^{u s k} k^{‘}$ yap!à. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ́ x$ yaxa meléxi wihìn, $a^{a} k^{\prime} s^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ ánī ${ }^{8}$ alxík' honò ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. P!alák'wahi ${ }^{\varepsilon} a g a^{〔}$ à.

[^211]for the medicine-man she sang, whereat then did die the medi-cine-man. Now my paternal grandmother, the Old Rock Woman, has done so.

Then, 'tis said, (the mountain) Aldauyáa ${ }^{a} k^{\prime}$ wadis ${ }^{1}$ was told of it. "Now the Old Rock Woman has killed the medicineman," was he told. Just then did he prepare himself, and his hair he tied up into a top-knot. ${ }^{2}$ Then dust, 'tis said, on his forehead he put. ${ }^{3}$ Then there when he came, now dead lay the medicine-man. His arm he picked up, now wrenched loose the medicine-man's arm. Off yonder into a pit he jumped with the medicine-man's arm. Then, 'tis said, he danced, with the medicine-man's arm he danced rapidly around brandishing it. Now he sang, danced with it.

Then, 'tis said, some time elapsed. Up he looked, across to his younger brother he looked; now his younger brother, for his part, that same thing did do, now again that same thing did do his younger brother. Then, 'tis said, they on either side did nod to each other. Thus they slew the medicine-man, the evil-minded medicine-man. The medicine-man's arm he brandished before him; just as a knife is brandished before one, that he did with it. Thus when the world was set, when down it was placed, then thus it happened. (Thus) the s'omlohólxa ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{7}$ makes medicine, my paternal grandfather did make medicine with (this song and dance). Someone, I believe the Children Creator, made things thus. Thus, Children Creator, they call him, nowadays people call him thus. Thus much did my mother tell me, but she did not see it either. This, for its part, is a myth indeed.

[^212]
## 23. The Rolling Skull. ${ }^{1}$

The Takelmas believed in people who consisted of nothing but a skull; they were called Xilam da'gaxda, "dead-person his-head," or Xilam tlegili'xi, "dead-person his-skull," and rolled around killing people. They made a noise like bum + , bum + . and cried out constantly Ximi' $+x i m i$. Children were threatened with the skull's cry Ximi' + ximi if they did not mind.

Once the people heard a skull come rolling along. They were terribly afraid and ran off, crying, " $\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \div$ da da da da da! $\mathrm{O}^{\prime}+$ da da da da da!" Hot rocks were placed in a ditch and covered up so that the rolling skull could not see them. As the people ran away he rolled after them, until he rolled into the ditch, where he was killed. Had it not been for that, he would have killed everybody.

[^213]e. Sapir-takelima texts.

## 24. Eel the Singer. ${ }^{1}$

Eel was said to have sung through the holes ${ }^{2}$ of his uwn body like a flute. He was called the best singer of all.
${ }^{2}$ The markings on the lamprey eel are thought of as holes.

## II. CUSTOMS AND PERSONAL NARRATIVES. ${ }^{1}$

r. How a Takelma House was Built. ${ }^{2}$

 ló"k'. Héfne hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hangilíp‘ gadàk' hagamgamàn, gadák's‘i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$

 Ganē dak'dát' dat!abàk', hā'\& ya ${ }^{3}$ dat!abàk'. Ganē dedewilíídadís $k$ !emèi dak'dat's'í ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dahók'wal $k$ !emèi $k$ !iyíx ganàu



Ganē dat!abàk' ha ${ }^{\text {¢īt }}{ }^{\prime}$ bü'xt'bixik'w. Ganē lep!ēs hahūwúnék'i, ganát' gidĩ alxalī yap!à; $p!i^{i}$ yogáa has ${ }^{\text {s }} \tilde{o}^{u}$, gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$


 habinì. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e x$ samáxa alxalĩ, anī̊ lep'níxa nat' wíli ganàu.

## 2. Marriage.




[^214]
## II. CUSTOMS AND PERSONAL NARRATIVES. ${ }^{1}$

## i. How a Takelma House was Built. ${ }^{2}$

The people are making a house. A post they set in the ground, and here again they set one in the ground, yonder again they set one in the ground, in four places they set them in the ground. Then also they place beams across on top in four places, and above (these) they put one across just once. And just then they make the house wall; and then on top they place the house boards, those they make out of sugar-pine lumber. Then they finish it on top, on either side ${ }^{3}$ they finish it. Then they make the door, and on top they make a hole for the going out of the smoke. And then they make a ladder, they notch out (a pole), for going down to the floor they make it; and the house wall they make.

Then they finish it, all cleaned inside. Now rush mats they spread out inside, on such the people sit. The fireplace is in the center, so that they are seated on either side of the fire. In that way, indeed, was the house of the people long ago; in winter their house was such. But in summer they were sitting like now, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ not in the house. Just a brush shelter they placed around, so that the fireplace they made in the middle. Thus they dwelt in summer, not as in winter in a house.

## 2. Marriage.

A girl was purchased, with dentalia she was purchased. Now the people liked each other, the father of the girl and the

[^215] wia ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$, wa-iwíi ya ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ngwán dap!ālá-u wáa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ da.

K‘ái gwala labán, tc!úlx, xúma, yeléx, k!él, dũk', yũp‘.
 laabán, luxùm t'gal dalwap'ưt!ik'w ga labán, p'ím xum 1a $a^{a}$ bán. Yáp!a mixal yáa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon}$ aldīl l $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}$ bànx. Hop!és nà wá-iwī



## 3. How a Feud was Settled. ${ }^{1}$

 yõk!"at'gwan yilìm, xilam yõuk! $a^{a}$ yilìm. Tc!olx ga xilam

 yap!à. Aga t!omománma ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ga xa $^{a} w i ̃ s a^{a}$ k!emèì. "Ganat'
 gulùk". "Wede k'ai úsbiga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dõumxbin yáa," nagá ${ }^{\text {i }}$ yap!a do"má ${ }^{\ell}$ s. Ganē $x^{2}$ wĩsa ${ }^{2}$ hanyewé ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$, ganē gwenhegwé-


 na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagásbinda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganga t!ümũxdam yaxà, wa-iwit't'èk' gè


father of the youth, so for that reason they purchased the girl. That long ago people did to one another. Thereupon they went with her to see her married, the girl was taken to the youth.

Many things were carried (as presents)-dentalia, food, burden-baskets, basket-buckets, skirts, basket-caps, sifting basket-pans, cooking baskets, that sort of things was carried along; but at this season, summer, camass was taken along, manzanita berries mixed up with sugar-pine nuts,-those were carried along, dried salmon was carried along. As many people as did go, all carried things along. Long ago, indeed, the girl did not know the husband, sometimes she did not like the husband; thus also the youth sometimes did not like the woman.

## 3. How a Feud was Settled. ${ }^{1}$

(How) one acts as go-between. (Let us suppose) people who are related to each other by their children's marriage slay one another, on either side they call for each other's bones, dead men's bones they call for. Dentalia, those used to be termed dead men's bones. So then they make speeches to one another, and one is made a go-between, so that he may go between (both parties). "Give me blood-money, since you have slain me!' people said to each other. Now he (whose kinsman) has been slain, that one makes use of the go-between. "Give me of that kind, give me one hundred," the slayer of the person is told. But he does not wish it. "I will not give you anything, I shall even kill some more of yours," says the slayer of the person. Then the go-between returns across, then recounts what he has been told. "' I'll give you no blood-money!' he says to you," says he. Then the go-between (adds), "' Not in that fashion!' no matter how often I told him."
"Do not tell me that, since you have slain mine just for nothing, though I did nothing to you. For just no reason have

[^216] gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx malàk' $x^{2}{ }^{a}$ wīsa ${ }^{3}$, "Ganē aga dūmhak'wdan guxwíi xilam la $a^{a} \overline{1 e}^{-}$:" $\quad \mathrm{Ga}$ nagása ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ yap!a hop! $\mathrm{e}^{\prime \varepsilon_{n}}$ t!omõxanda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ ganē hon $\delta^{\varepsilon}$ hanyewé ${ }^{i \varepsilon} \mathrm{xa}^{2}$ wĩsa ${ }^{a}$. Emé ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{dat}^{\prime}$ dümhôk'w
 Gas'i $i^{\varepsilon}$ hányewe ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$. "'Ganga k'áiwi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ u's' $\bar{i}$,' nagásbi," nagá ${ }^{i 8}$
 "Yewe déhi k!iyí̊k". Honó yap!a do"maná̊, gedē ye gwásbina ${ }^{\varepsilon}{ }^{1}$ Yap!a gwala do"maná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, gas ${ }^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ k'aiwi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ok'i," nagái ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ xa $^{2}$ wīsa ${ }^{a}$.
 nagáis yap!a do"más. "Wéde gede yeegwásdam, k'áiwi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ogúsbi̊n. K!úuyabadam eebìk‘," nagáa yap!a do"mås.

 $k^{\prime}$ áiwi ${ }^{8}$ ok'igulugwán. Gwála yap!à. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ senésant". "'ībī ${ }^{\text {l }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$



 hā'p'di'hì ogoyín. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ́ x ~ h o p!e^{\prime \varepsilon} n a ̀ ~ y a p!a ~ t!o m o ̃ x a n d a ~, ~$
 hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ai ogoyín, tc!úlx ogoyín; adat' dūmhôk'wda ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ga xebé ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{n}$, ga tc!olx ogoîk'wa. Yap!a do ${ }^{u} \mathrm{má}^{\ell} \mathrm{s}$ ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ai ogoîk'wa.
you slain one of mine, though yonder my girl is dwelling," (thus) people spoke to one another in times long past. Then he returns across. "'Just you give me blood-money!' he says to you. 'Too far will it go! People will yet be slain,' say I," says the go-between. Then, recounting what he has been entrusted to say, the go-between tells him thus, "Now these whose (kinsman) has been slain, their heart has become sick." That did people of long ago say to one another when they killed each other. So then once more the go-between turns across. On this side he whose (kinsman) has been slain cries. "Keep on going across! Many things he must give me," says he whose (kinsman) has been slain. So he returns across. "'Just you give me something!' he says to you," says the go-between. "Give him something!" says the go-between, to the slayer of the person he says it. "Perhaps too far it goes. Yet shall people be slain; they will get even with you. Many people will be killed, so for that reason give him something!" says the go-between.

Then "Yes" he says. "I'll give him something. It is well," says the slayer of the person. "You shall not get even with me, I'll give you something. Friends to each other we are," says the slayer of the person. "Some little thing do you also give me in return!" Now the go-between returns again; now he whoops, his heart has become glad. Now it is known that it is intended to give him something. Many are the people. Now he whoops. "' I give you blood-money,' he says to you. ' Do you too give me a little bit,' he says to you." Then he relates to them what he has heard. A certain one answers him, "Just that he says." Then they give each other blood-money. Now on either side they proceed to each other and give each other (presents). The slayer of the person gives most of all, to him, in his turn, is given just a little bit. Thus in time long past, indeed, people (acted) when they slew one another. And also the women on both sides give each other many things. And the go-between also is given something, dentalia are given to him. On this side he whose (kinsman) has been slain, that
4. How a Bad-Hearted Medicine-Man has his Guardian Spirits Driven out of him. ${ }^{1}$

Goyo ílts!ak ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$ ganàt' bayeweyagwán ${ }^{2}$ youlápxda ${ }^{\text {a }}$ yap!a gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ ániri ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ dõ"mia gelgulugwán, gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ yo ${ }^{4}$ lápxda $^{a}$ ba-ihimimán. Sumlohólxa ${ }^{\circledR} \mathrm{S}$ xebén, án $\mathrm{r}^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a


 geleláaxaldis ${ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{5}$ wihin heméham somlohólxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ s héelt'a. Goyo bayeweyàk ${ }^{\text {"W }}$ youlápxda ${ }^{\text {a }}$, himimán.

Ganē da ${ }^{a} \mathrm{p}$ !íya mats!agán goyò lap's wô'k'i ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganē k'o ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{px}$
 bayewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon} A^{n}+$ youm $^{u} m$ hadéeda nagáiq goyò. Ganē hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gahi ná ${ }^{\text {nnagà }}$ gani p!ul' badabát'i. Ganē hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ bayewéida $^{\varepsilon}$ youlápxda ganē youm hadéeda nagáis. Ganē goyo mãn mixál bayewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ youlápxda. $\mathrm{Mi}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{ga}^{\prime}{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{m}$ bayewéí. Ganē wahimidán goyò, "Wede ts!a-imát', aldĩ hè'silél ${ }^{\ell} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$," nagàn, goyo wahi-
 youlápxda ${ }^{\text {a }}$, ganē yõ ${ }^{u} m$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hadéeda naga ${ }^{\text {iq }}$. . Mãn mixal bayewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$; mi $i^{i}$ xíbini bayewéi ${ }^{\text {. }}$ Ganē honó ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gahí $^{\varepsilon}$ na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagà, hono $^{\varepsilon}$ yewé ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ youlápxda. Mãn mixal bayewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$
 ga na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagàn. Gas $i^{\varepsilon}$ mãn bayewéida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ youlápxda; mi dẽhal bayewéé ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$, mi ${ }^{i}$ íxdīl bayewéi ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néx mãn; gani yap!ami ${ }^{-1} \varepsilon_{S}$


[^217]one does so, that one gives him dentalia. The slayer of the person does not give him anything.
4. How a Bad-Hearted Medicine-Man has his Guardian
Spirits Driven out of him. ${ }^{1}$.

A bad-hearted medicine-man-of such a one the guardian spirits are driven out, since he eats up people. Now it is not desired to kill him, so for that reason his guardian spirits are driven out. A somlohólxa's does it, raw ${ }^{3}$ people do not do it. "Do that to him," he is told; he, (the medicine-man), does not do it of his own free will." So now night has come, now the people have assembled together in the house. His song I do not know. My mother used to imitate it, now I have forgotten it; ${ }^{5}$ my mother used to imitate the song of the somlohólxa ${ }^{\text {s }}$. The medicine-man's guardian spirits he causes to go out, they are driven out.

Then the medicine-man is placed alongside of the fire without a blanket. Then ashes are clapped all over his body, and one of his guardian spirits goes out. Now as it goes out (the medicine-man groans) $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}}+$, and there is blood in the medi-cine-man's mouth. Then he does that same thing to him again, now claps ashes over him. Now when his guardian spirit goes out again, then there is blood in his mouth. Now the medicineman counts how many of his guardian spirits go out. Now two have gone out. Then the medicine-man is addressed, "Do not hide them! Let them all go!" he is told, the medicineman is addressed. Then again that same thing he says to him; now again his guardian spirit goes out, and again blood is in his mouth. He counts how many go out; now three have gone out. Then again he does that same thing to him, again his guardian spirit goes. He counts how many go out. Thereupon

[^218]"Gani mi dí henéén?" nagán goyò. Gwála yap!a wílī
 "Dedīlümü'sgat'? Mi di $^{i}$ bús $1 a^{a} 1 \overline{l e}^{\prime}$ ?" Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ " Há-u," naga ${ }^{\text {iq. }}$. " $\mathrm{Ne}^{e}$ hono $^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{ga}^{\varepsilon}$ hi na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a ̄ k^{‘} \mathrm{i}$," nagàn $\mathrm{s}^{\prime}$ omlohólxa${ }^{\varepsilon}$ s. Gas' $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$
 bayewéf ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$ youlápxda, mi ${ }^{i}$ hené ${ }^{\ell} n$. Somlohólxa $a^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\text {s }}$ gá na nagà; goyò ílts!ak ${ }^{\text {‘w }}$ yap!a gayawaná ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, gá ga ${ }^{\varepsilon} a l$ gáa nagàn. Gas $i^{\varepsilon}$ wihin ga $^{a}$ nèx ${ }^{1}$ meléxi, aldī wihin yiwín ga ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ meléxina $^{\varepsilon}$. Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$
 Gá na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagàn goyo $i^{\prime} 1$ ts !ak ${ }^{\text {w }}$. Wihín ga ${ }^{3}$ nex meléxi, gíis $i^{\varepsilon}$ ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alxígíín.

## 5. Frances Johnson is Cured by a Medicine-Woman. ${ }^{2}$





 ganē goyo lagagámdan, wiham goyo lagagámt'; goyo gamgám dak'dẽ hoyóft'.‘ Gas' $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ mi'his lohoit' $\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon}$. Gas'i $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ goyo yimís'al-

[^219]" Do not hide them!" he is told, " let them go!" In one night that is done to him. Now he counts them as his guardian spirits go out; now five have gone out. Now ten have gone out. In that way he counts them. Then when it has come to twenty, now twenty have gone out, he says so.
"Are they all gone now?" is asked the medicine-man. Many are the people, the house is full. Thereupon "Yes" he says. "Now they are all gone, there are none now."-_" Do you tell the truth? Have they all disappeared now?" Thereupon "Yes" he says. "Well, do that same thing to him again," is told the somlohólxa ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s. So that same thing he does to him, dust he rubs over him, claps it upon him. No more do his guardian spirits go out, they are all gone now. That has the somlohólxa ${ }^{{ }^{\circ}}$ s done to him. Since the bad-hearted medicineman ate up people, for that reason was that done to him. Now my mother did tell me that account; ${ }^{1}$ they are all my mother's words, that which she did tell me. Now when the medicineman has recovered, just like one that has had ashes thrown in his face has he become. That is done to evil-minded medicinemen. My mother did tell me that account, but I did not see it.

## 5. Frances Johnson is Cured by a Medicine-Woman. ${ }^{2}$

Now while they were playing woman's shinny-ball, ${ }^{3}$ right there a great medicine-woman was playing shinny-ball. Then they were fighting with one another; the women (of one side) were beaten, for that reason they fought with one another. Now at that time I was a fast runner, no one beat me in running. But today I hold a staff in my hand, while long ago, when I was a girl, no one beat me in running,

Now when the shinny-billet was played with, at that time I became sick. Now then a medicine-man was paid, my father did pay a medicine-man. Four medicine-men danced for me."

[^220]
 $u^{u}{ }^{\mathrm{g} w} \mathrm{a}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. Dayou gámxa gás $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ ganē yimís alda ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$ goyo ga hawi ánir ${ }^{\circledR}$ dak‘dẽ hoyót'a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Aga goyo gamgám yaxa dak'dẽ hoyó ${ }^{\text {t }}{ }^{\prime}$,
 Yimís aldanda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wihín goyo wõlt', he ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e ~ y a^{a}$ ganē ba-ik!iyī ${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$.

Ganē yap!a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alt!emẽx; ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gi $^{i}$ alxíigi $^{i}{ }^{\varepsilon} n$ yap!a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ alt!emẽxda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$,
 Ganē "it!áni, gwélxda i'ūxda ît!ánip"," nagáiq goyofà. Gas ${ }^{\text {í }}$
 Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ biliwáldana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ tc!idáxgwa, k'ái he ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e$ bẽm ba-ix $0^{\text {u }} \mathrm{dinma}^{\varepsilon}$,
 ganit'? Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nèx $b a-i x^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime}$, wa ${ }^{\varepsilon} a g a n i ̂ n ~ b a-i x o^{\prime}{ }^{\text {dinna }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$. Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nehi ba ${ }^{\circledR} t$ lebét'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Xuma ${ }^{\varepsilon} \ddot{u}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{i}$, hindẽ," nagaint' $e^{\varepsilon}$. Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$
 wa ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{díxda}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{i} k!u^{u} \mathrm{minin}^{\ell} \mathrm{n}$." Ganē hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ he ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{lél}^{\varepsilon}$, ganē aldī $\varepsilon_{1} \mathrm{i} k!u^{u}$ mán wa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ díxdèk'; ganē yõ̃ ${ }^{u} \mathrm{~m}$ k!él ganau mats!àk'. Ganē aldíi عik! !u ${ }^{u}$ mán; legwélsi dẽxdagwa wà, yũm ba-iginĩk'w, $k$ !él ganau


Ganē ga nagáiq, "Wede honó ${ }^{\text {iq }}$ xilam lãp'k!eit', gii
 yáa hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xilam lãp ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon}$," negés ${ }^{\text {i. }}$. "Wa-iwíi dū, ánī īlts!ak'w
 goyò. "Ganē p!aagán, xi t'ũ k!emán, p!aª́gán; héne ya ${ }^{\text {a }}$


[^221]Now then I almost died. Thereupon I dreamt of a medicinewoman. And now I was nothing but bones; and my food was half a spoonful, not even a full spoonful, not that much did my mother give me to eat, nor did I drink any water. And now in the fall I dreamt of that medicine-woman who had not yet danced for me. These four medicine-men had been dancing for me, but yonder medicine-woman I had dreamt of-that one had not yet danced for me. My mother went to fetch the medicine-woman I dreamt of, and just then she came.

Then the people assembled together. I did not see the people as they came together, I was dead now. Then she danced just when it had come to be after the middle of the day. Then "Hold her! Do you people hold her legs and hands," said the medicine-woman, for her part. Now "She here might start up, '" she said concerning me. Now I was dead; who starts up (when he is dead)? Then jumping upon the disease spirit, something like a splinter of wood being pulled out, thus she did. If nowadays a splinter of wood should hurt you, would you not feel it? In that way she pulled it out; I felt it when she pulled it out. And just then I arose. "Give me food, mother," I said. Thereupon the medicine-woman laughed (from joy). Now thereupon that she said, "Tell her to wait until now I set right her body." Then again she sang, then set my body completely right. Then the blood she put into a basketbucket. Now everything she set right; with her lips she sucked it from me, took out the blood, and put it into the basketbucket. Not again did I become sick.

Then that she said, "Not again will you become sick as long as I remain alive, as long as I do not die. Just when I should die, just then will you again become sick," she said to me. "She is a good girl, not badly she talks to people, ever good her heart, ever she laughs," then said the medicinewoman. "Now let her bathe. Prepare hot water, let her

[^222] mi ${ }^{i}$ nó ${ }^{u \varepsilon}$ S yewé ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$.
 $b^{a} y e w e i t ' e^{e} d a^{\varepsilon} \tilde{u}^{\prime} l u ̈ k!i t ' k$ ' he'emé ${ }^{\varepsilon} x$, ánat' $1 a^{a} 1 e^{-1}$ dagáxdek', áni ${ }^{8}$ k'ai ü’ük!it'k'. Gwenwíisxap' ga yáa dágaxdek' alt'géye-
 goyò. Gas $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ aldi $\mathrm{i}^{i} \mathrm{bo}^{u}$ yap!a ga nagá ${ }^{\text {i }}$, " $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{ni}^{8} \mathrm{k}^{\text {'ai goyò, }}$



 wi íin, gíxgap' ogoīhi, agas' $\mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ gõm ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ gana ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nèx yap!a ${ }^{2}$ goyò.

## 6. A Raid of the Upper Takelma. ${ }^{3}$



 k!ūwūwiáų nõ". Ganē he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ néhi wigámdi wa-iwít'a he ${ }^{\ell}$ néhi
 yálxalt'k!eit‘?" nagáis wigamdi wa-iwíit'a. He ${ }^{\text {is }}$ ne yáahi ga nagáį, "ō+," wihàm. Gwényewéí, máxa yewewált'. Wígamdi

 yáahi waya ${ }^{a}{ }^{\text {nia }}{ }^{\text {ang }}$.

[^223]bathe, just then you shall give her food to eat." Then my mother prepared warm water. Then she made me bathe, just then she gave me food. Thereupon they all now returned home yonder, and now the medicine-woman returned next door.

She cured me; not again did I become sick as at that time. Then, when I recovered, my hair all came out; in this way did my head become-no hair of mine at all. A neckerchief, just that I tied about my head. Thus she cured me; for that reason, I, for my part, believe in medicine-men. But nowadays all people say that, "Nothing the medicine-men, nothing they know," say nowadays these (people) growing up. But I have seen many. Two of my cousins are medicine-men, and also another one (who) calls my mother aunt. Here he came when my elder sister was sick, and danced for her. I, for my part, have thus seen medicine-men. White people's doctors are different, they give people medicine; but we Indian medicinemen are not thus.

## 6. A Raid of the Upper Takelma. ${ }^{3}$

One summer my paternal grandfather was trapping at Yūk'yák'wa,' right there he slept. The evening came, it was getting dark; then up river they looked, a fire was just blazing on top of the mountains. Now the Shastas ${ }^{3}$ were coming hither, and people ran off down river. And just then my paternal grandmother bethought herself, "Oh, it is right there at Yūk'yák'wa that your father is sleeping. Did you forget him?" said my paternal grandmother. Just then that said my father, "Oh!" He turned back, went back for his father. My paternal grandfather was warming his back, now my paternal grandfather had nearly gone to sleep. "Get up! Now right here

[^224]




 ${ }^{\ell}$ alxígin, gási ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{xu}^{\prime}+{ }^{\varepsilon}$ nehì yanàk' wúlx ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ a. Ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon} h u^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{wúlx}$ $\mathrm{mi}^{i}$ yawáí. $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ younĩ yap!à; agási ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a k! ${ }^{\text {unwúu }}{ }^{u} \mathrm{da}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}$ da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ máxau, hérne yáa hi īguyún ${ }^{4 \varepsilon} \mathrm{Xa}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. "Wúlx mi ${ }^{i} \mathrm{me}^{\varepsilon}$ wôk',"

 hogwás yùk'.
"Baabilwabá ${ }^{\text {. }}$." $\mathrm{Mi}^{i}$ wúlx ${ }^{\varepsilon} a$ déet'an, $\mathrm{mi}^{i}$ hono ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ déet'an

 wùlx, " Ge wilíų nõ"," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wùlx. Dõuk' gā’p!inì ána ${ }^{\varepsilon} n a ̀ k ' ~$

 ts !!ínits’anx wùlx ${ }^{\text {Ealwa }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ dít'gwan. "Háwi baªbe $^{\mathrm{e}}$ maháit'a

 dōuk' gadak' nagá-ida ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Ganēhii wiham gált'agwa īk!u"màn,




[^225]are the Shastas." Up he jumped, then down river his father and he ran off. Far off indeed were they all sleeping.

Then, when the early morning came, their journey was started again, but my father indeed and his friend, two youths, were sleeping together. Now then again they all ran off, their journey was started, but my father and his friend were sleeping. I do not know how long they slept, they did not wake up; but now there were no more people, just the two indeed did still sleep-my father and his friend. But only a little while before a fire had been seen afar off, and all night long the Shastas, indeed, were going on. Then $h u^{n}+$ the Shastas now were talking. Now they caught up with the people; but when now the people had run off far away, just then (my father and his friend) nudged each other. "The Shastas have now arrived here," said he and his friend to each other. "What are we going to do?" said the friends to each other. My father was a runner, and also his friend was a runner.
"Let us jump up!" Now the Shastas, for their part, were in front of them, and they also were surrounded on all sides. Just in the middle they seemed to be sleeping; then they jumped up, and scampered off. "There they run, down river there they run!’" ${ }^{2}$ Now p‘a $+{ }^{3}$ shouted the Shastas. "There they run down river, " said the Shastas. Two logs were like this, two logs were together; right under those ran my father, but he did not know which way his friend had run. Now then the Shastas were angry with one another. "Still sleep when the sun is way up!" And just now they were going out to war, (yet) still they sleep when the sun's way up," ${ }^{6}$ they said to one another. While my father was under these logs, one passed right over them; that same thing he said, as he passed over the logs. Then my father got ready his bow, while the Shasta was talking, was

[^226]
 hawi t'gemét!ia-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, mi ${ }^{i}$ yaxa hánt'ada mi $\mathrm{p}^{i}$ !ülü't p !alhi,



 agási $i^{\varepsilon}$ wili $\mathrm{min}^{\ell 8} \mathrm{sga}^{\varepsilon}$ hawi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ánī ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ also"mal yap!à. " ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{Alĩ} \mathrm{labà}, \mathrm{alĩ}$ labà," nagá-ihi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, nak!à t'bó ${ }^{4}$ xi $^{82}$ nagà, wúlxsi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ mi $^{i}$ emé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ la ${ }^{a} 1$ ē'. Ganēhí ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ dak'youmîkwa, mi ts!ayagán. "Hâ' hâ hâ,"

 k‘abáxa, gũxda ${ }^{a}$, t!omxíxa, bús k!emẽn wili $m^{-1}{ }^{\wedge} s^{s} a^{\varepsilon}$ yap!à. $\mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{ni}^{\varepsilon}$ hono $^{\varepsilon}$ gwi giníqk' yaxà, ganēhi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hínau yewe ${ }^{i \varepsilon}$. Lat'ga ${ }^{2} w a^{\varepsilon}$ xebé ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{n}$. Gana $\varepsilon^{\varepsilon} n e ́ x h i ~ y a p!a ~ h o p!e^{\ell \varepsilon} n$ henenagwása ${ }^{\varepsilon} n$. Gana ${ }^{\varepsilon} n e x$ meléxi wihìn, hawi ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ anir ${ }^{\imath}$ wiham yõ ${ }^{u} k^{\iota w}$.

[^227]angry; when he was right close to him now, he shot at him. " $\mathrm{Ho}^{\text { }}$ !" now he said; my father, for his part, jumped up and ran. "There he runs, there he runs down river, there he runs!" they said to one another. They never found him again.

Just way off down river, there again they were camping. Then the next day came. Right early in the morning my paternal grandmother was bathing when yet it was dark; now just on the other side of the river (the Shastas) now were marching, one after another they passed on. Then my paternal grandmother, for her part, snatched together her clothes. Then she said, "Now right here on the other side of the river are the Shastas," and to the mountains they ran off. Then one house was left (with) a person's sons just like those little boys; ${ }^{1}$ while now they were all half up the mountain the people of the one house were not yet in the mountains. "Take this along, take this along," they said, all kinds of noise they made, but the Shastas had already got to be here. Now then they caught up with them, and they were shot. "Hâ' hâ hâ," now they groaned as they were shot. "It is I. Do not kill me. I am one who married at $\mathrm{Di}_{1} \mathrm{l} 1{ }^{4} \mathrm{~min},{ }^{\prime \prime}$ he said. That one house was cleaned out-his sons, his wife, his mother-in-law-exterminated were the people of the one house. No further did they still go, then returned up river. The people of Lat'gāu did so. Just in that way did the people of long ago destroy each other. Thus did my mother tell me; not yet had she married my father.

[^228]
## III. MEDICINE FORMULAS. ${ }^{1}$

## i. When Screech-Owl Talks.

Wáada dap'oup'aũ óp ${ }^{\text {p }}$ b bobòp'. "Xemelát'ĕdi? Dewénxa
 ga-iwadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Xemelàt'," nagàn. Gasi ${ }^{8}$ dewénxa harixdī1
 ga nagàn. "Yap!à lohógwulùk'," ne ${ }^{e} y e^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ bo ${ }^{\text {uqá }}$ bobop' yiwi-yá-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
2. When Hummingbird is Seen.
 wahawaxxiwigwadá ${ }^{\text {." }}$

## 3. When Hooting-Owl Talks.

T'gwaláa ga nagàn, "Libín di we ${ }^{\text {egás }}$ dam? Háą dat'gayawáa ${ }^{\text {da }}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ al¹º̀. Nék'di t!omomán? He ${ }^{e}$ dadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a gwalà. Gé di alxíigit', ge dí lohoyáuq?4 Ga dí gaªl libín we ${ }^{\text {eqásdam?" }}$ nagán t'gwaláa yiwiyá-uda ${ }^{〔}$.
4. When Yellowhammer Talks.
 mia-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ yap!a ma dí Ealt!ayagit?" ga nagàn yiwiyá-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ t ! $\mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime \mathrm{w}}$.

[^229]
## III. MEDICINE FORMULAS. ${ }^{1}$

I. When Screech-Owl Talks.

One blows tobacco (smoke) towards the screech-owl. " Dost thou wish to eat? Tomorrow I shall obtain" five or ten (deer), so that thou shalt eat fat, blood shalt thou eat. Thou wishest to eat," he is told. And then, on the morrow, about ten (deer) are obtained. ${ }^{3}$ That used to be done in my land long ago, but nowadays here that is not said to them. "People are about to die," they say nowadays, indeed, when a screech-owl talks.

## 2. When Hummingbird is Seen.

"Thou shalt die with my hair which thou pullest out of the side of my head! In thy house thou shalt rot with it!"
3. When Hooting-Owl Talks.

To a hooting-owl that is said, " Dost thou bring me news? Off yonder towards the north look thou! Who has been killed? There far away are many people. Didst thou see them there, did people die there? Didst thou for that reason bring me news?" is told a hooting-owl when he talks.
4. When Yellowhammer Talks.

When people come he discovers them, " People are coming!'" - "Didst thou discover people as they kept coming?" that is said to a yellowhammer when he talks.

[^230]
## 5. When the New Moon Appears.



 gaissbik'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, k'ai gwala lasgúm iūxgwàt' $\varepsilon^{\prime}$ is $^{\circ} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$ ga gaĩsbik'na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, gas $\dot{i}^{\varepsilon}$ hawi bastlebét'am. Ma yáa na ${ }^{\varepsilon} n^{a} t^{\prime} e^{e}$ dée $x a$. Bō+." ${ }^{3}$

## 6. When there is a Heavy Fall of Snow.

"T'gam ${ }^{5}$ mé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ degingán gwens"oumàl s'iulit'a ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, gwent'gém ${ }^{\text {º }}$ hagwelt'géemt'gam," ${ }^{2}$ nagánhan $p!a^{a} s$. Gas $i^{\varepsilon}$ an $i^{\varepsilon}$ lop!ót ${ }^{\prime}$,
 gelgulùk'.
7. When it Storms in Winter.

Gwal't' mahai wõk‘da ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, gas ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}$
"He ${ }^{e}$ dadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hi nà. T'gap'xínt'ute ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ He ${ }^{e}$ dadá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ hi nãk ${ }^{‘ w}$, He ${ }^{e} s^{*} o^{\text {u }}$ mál hi nãk ${ }^{\text {'w }}$ degesîit ${ }^{\text {t }}$, $H^{e^{\ell}}$ wilámxa hi nãk'w t'gap'xī'ūt'e ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, Wede mé ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ginagwàt ${ }^{〔}$, Wede mé ${ }^{\text {gingàt'. }}$ Hãp'de ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ xilam yõ ${ }^{u} k!a^{a}$ Yewẽ sallats!àk',"
nagán gąà. Wihin k!u"yápxa ${ }^{a}$ malák'wôk", "Gwal't‘ mahai wók'í, ga na ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{ifi}^{i} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}$."

[^231]5. When the New Moon Appears.

When the new moon appears, ${ }^{1}$ it is shouted to, "I shall prosper, I shall yet remain alive. ${ }^{2}$ Even if people 'Would that he died!' do say of me, just like thee shall I do, again shall I arise. Even if all sorts of evil beings devour thee, when frogs eat thee up, many evil beings-lizards, even when those eat thee up, still dost thou rise again. Just like thee shall I do in time to come. Bō +!"'
6. When there is a Heavy Fall of Snow.
"Hither ${ }^{5}$ drive on the elks that dwell in back of the mountain, the black necked ones down in dark places," Snow used to be told. Thereupon it did not snow, he became quiet again. Snow is stingy; he does not desire to drive down elks.

## 7. When it Storms in Winter.

When a great wind arrives, thereupon
" Pass thou away from here. With thy digging-stick
Pass thou away from here.
Beyond the mountain pass thou with thy sifting basket-pan,
Beyond Wilámxa ${ }^{7}$ pass thou with thy digging-stick.
Come thou not hither with it.
Come thou not hither!
Thy children dead people's bones
Perchance with their feet do touch,"
just that was said to her. A friend of my mother's told her, "Should a great wind arrive, that shall you say to it."

[^232]8. When a Whirlwind Comes.

 nagàn.

## 9. A Prayer to the Wind.

"Hĕ! Gwel ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ wa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ didẽ ba-ideye ${ }^{e} g i w i d a^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ k'ai $^{\varepsilon}$ wa ${ }^{\varepsilon_{1}} 1$ lts!ak'w, dák'hawalák'idẽ ba-ideye ${ }^{e}$ giwidá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, dak'iūdẽ ba-ideye ${ }^{e}$ giwidá ${ }^{\varepsilon}$,

 nagàn.
io. When there is a Heavy Rain.
"Gwīné ${ }^{\ell}$ di ha-uhán ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ sda $^{\varepsilon}$ ? ge ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nè lop!odàt'. Dīt'gāyúk!u-

if. When One Sneezes.
"Nék‘di k!ūyūmísi? 'Dap‘óit'a,', nēxdaba, 'hawì bẽ


[^233]
## 8. When a Whirlwind Comes.

Now a whirlwind whirls up past the house, the earth is kicked by the door. " $\varepsilon_{E^{n}},{ }^{\varepsilon} E^{n}$, thy friend I am, thy kinsman I am, '" is said to it.

> 9. A Prayer to the Wind.
"Hĕ! From down my body shalt thou drive out evil things, from the crown of my head shalt thou drive them out, from over my hands shalt thou drive them out, from within my backbone shalt thou drive out evil things." Then they blow, $h^{\mathrm{w}}+$ is said to it. ${ }^{1}$
io. When there is a Heavy Rain.
"How long before thou wilt cease? So long hast thou been raining!" (To those in the house:) "Do ye burn cat-tail rushes towards the west."
if. When One Sneezes.
"Who calls my name? 'Thou shalt prosper,' shall ye say of me, 'yet another day ${ }^{2}$ shalt thou still go ahead.'" Ye shall blow to me.'s

[^234]

## VOCABULARY.

This does not pretend to be more than a list of the Takelma verb, noun, and adjective stems obtained either in texts or otherwise. Only such derivatives, in the main, are given as either offer some difficulty in regard to formation or whose significance is not immediately obvious from the etymology. An almost unlimited number of other derivatives, particularly from verbs, may be formed by means of the various prefixes and suffixes discussed in The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon ${ }^{1}$ (referred to as T. L.). Derivative forms are printed indented under the stems. The independent pronominal, demonstrative, and adverbial stems, particles, and interjections are listed in the grammar and need not be repeated here. In constructing forms from the materials presented in this vocabulary it should be remembered that the various phonetic processes described in the grammar operate; in particular, $i$ - umlaut is to be made allowance for. The alphabetic order followed is as in English. $k!$, $p$ !, and $t$ ! follow $k^{\prime}, p^{\prime}$, and $t^{\prime}$ respectively; $t s^{\prime}$ ! follows $t$ ! : $c$ is to be sought under $s^{\prime}: u$, when variant of $o$, is found with $o$, when variant of $\bar{u}$, with $u$, which follows $t s$ ! References for forms are to page and line of this volume.

## List of Abbreviations and Symbols.

| $a b l .=$ ablaut vocalism (T. L., §3r) | $(i-)=$ instrumental $-i$ - is dropped in |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

$a c c .=\mathrm{accent}$
act. $=$ active
adj. = adjective
$a d v .=$ adverb
caus. $=$ causative $(T: L ., \S 45)$
comit. = comitative (T.L., §46)
cont. $=$ continuative $(T . L ., \S 43)$
contr. $=$ contract verb (T.I.., §65)
frequ. $=$ frequentative $(T, L ., \S 43)$ 3 d per. subj. 3 d per. obj. aorist and in 3 d per. obj. imperative (T.L.. §64)
indir. $=$ indirect object, i. e., transitive verbs so designated use suffix -s- when object is ist or ${ }_{2} \mathrm{~d}$ per. unless, in non-aorist stems, marked indir. $-x$ - (T. L., §47)

[^235]inf. = infinitive (T. I.., §74)
intr. $=$ intransitive
irr. $=$ irregular
iter. $=$ iterative ( $T . L ., \S 43$ )
$n$. ag. $=$ noun of agency (T.L., §§79 -82)
$o b j=$ object
pass. ptc. = passive participle (T.L., §77)
per. $=$ person
pl. = plural
recipr $=$ reciprocal (T.L., §55)
sing. $=$ singular
subj. = subject
subor. $=$ subordinate form ( $T$. L., §70)
T. L. $=$ " The Takelma Language of Southwestern Oregon" (Bulle$\operatorname{tin} 40$, Bureau of American Ethnology)
tr. $=$ transitive
uncontr. = uncontracted
usit. = usitative
voc. $=$ vocative
? = doubtful
[ ] = inorganic element, generally $h$, "inorganic $a$," or "constant $a^{\prime \prime}(T . L ., \S \S 10,24,42)$
() in verbs, enclose stem forms not actually found in material obtained but constructed with practical certainty from evident analogies; in nouns, enclose pronominal elements

- separates stems, prefixes, and suffixes; forms preceded by hyphen were not obtained except as compounded with prefix or prefixes given above or below
: separates aorist stem or stems from verb stem or stems, aorist stems always preceding, verb stems following colon. Prefixes and suffixes given with aorist stems will be understood to apply also to verb stems, unless replaced by other elements. Verb prefixes (followed by hyphen) or stem forms that are listed as derivatives will be understood to be compounded with stems and suffixes given in first (unindented) line, unless other elements replace these
Roman numbers (I, II, III, IVi, IV2, and $\mathrm{IV}_{3}$ ) refer to classes of conjugation; I and II indicate intransitive verbs. III transitive verbs, and IV verbs of mixed conjugation ( $T$. L.. §§60-63,67)
Arabic numbers (i-16) refer to types of stem-formation ( $T$. L., §40). $3^{*}$ indicates those verbs of type 3 that, like ma-ts!ag-, change intervocalic consonant of aorist to fortis. Derivative verb forms without colon belong to same class and type as forms given in first (unindented) line. When either class or type number is lacking with forms separated by colon, it is to be inferred that satisfactory data for their determination are lacking


## Verbs.



$$
\text { -dala-g-ámd-:-dal-g- } 2 \text { III }
$$

s.in-, da ${ }^{2}$ pierce nose, ears
-damak!-(i-) :-damk!- 3 III
de- $\overline{1}-$
da-dama ${ }^{\varepsilon}$-x- 3 II
-daway-:-dauy-,-dawi- 3 I
$\mathrm{ba}^{2}-$
$h e^{e \varepsilon_{-}}$
-daxag- : -daxg-
bai-
3 III
-dele-b-i- : (-del-b-)
ha-
s'in-de ${ }^{e}{ }^{\prime}$ é-p’-gwa-
-dik'dag-: diig-
$\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}$ -
-dini-k!- : -din-k!-
$\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}$ -
bai-de-
ba ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$-dini ${ }^{\varepsilon}$-x- 2 II
bai-de-dini ${ }^{\varepsilon}$-x- $\quad 2$ II
dink!-i-: dink!-as- $\quad 15$ b II
-diníi-t!- ${ }^{1}$ :-din-t-!
$b a^{a}-$
ha-dini-t!-an-(i-)
-dolog-: -dolg-, -dol[a]g- 3 I gel-

- domo $^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{s}$ - : - $\mathrm{dom}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{s}$ -
$\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}$ -
-d $\sigma^{\varepsilon} S$ :
hawax-ba²-
-duyuk!-i- : (-duikl-) 3 III
$h^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon}-\overline{1}-$
dülü'ut!al-i : dült!al- $\quad$ з b III
dūwu ${ }^{u}-$ g $^{2}$ : du ${ }^{u}-\mathrm{g}-$, $\quad$ I be good, do right dūw[a]-g-
ei-, $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{b}-$ : (replaced by yo-) I contr. be

[^236]| ei[h]-i- : | III |
| :---: | :---: |
| ei[y]-i-, indir. -s- : | III |
| eseu- : (esw-) | 3 I |
| gala-b- : gal-b- | 2 III |
| $\underset{\overline{1}-}{-g a x a g a x-i-} \text { : -gaxgax- }$ | 13 a III |
| 1-gaxagax-gwa- |  |
| gayaw-, indir. -al-s-: gaiw-, indir. gai-s- | 3 III |
| ```geyew-al-x: geiw-al-x-, gei-x-``` | 3 II |
| geye ${ }^{e}$ w-al-x : | II |
| gayaig-, indir. gai-waw-al-s- : |  |
| gele-g-: gel-g- | 2 III |
| di-£al-gelegal-ámd- | 13 a III |
| -gelgal- : |  |
| dií\&al-gelegal-ám-s- | 13 a II |

-genep'-gwa-:-gẽnp’- 3 III de- ${ }^{\varepsilon} 1-$
-geneu- ${ }^{1}$ : -gen[a]w- 3
de- $\varepsilon_{1}^{1}-g e n e u ̃-k ' w a:$ (-gen[a]u-)
: de-gen[a]w-
-gesegas-al-:-gesgas- 13 a I al-
-gewek!aw-(i-), indir. -s-: 13 b III -geuk!aw-de- $\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}-$
-geyan- : -gey[a]n-
al-
-gilib- : gi ${ }^{i} 1 \mathrm{~b}-$
han-
-giligal-i- : (-gilgal-)
al-
al-giligal-k'wa-
use
hurt
sneeze
twist (thread) by rolling
scratch
scratch oneself, one's own
eat
eat (without obj.)
be in habit of eating usit.
drill (for fire)
tie (hair) up into top-knot
tie one's own (hair) up into top-knot
lie curled up dog-fashion
lie curled up dog-fashion
dit.
wash (intr.)
tie (salmon) bow-fashion
turn one's face away
put (beams) across (main posts of house)
bedaub
daub over oneself

[^237]```
-gína- :-giina- 6 III
    1-
gini-g-: gin-g-, gin[a]-g- 2 I
    giniy-agw-, gini \({ }^{i}-g w-\) : 2 III
        gin[a]-gw-
    (de-ginig-an-) : de- 2 III
        ging-an-
    gining-: I
-gis*igas*-(i-) : -gis'gas- \(\quad\) з a III
    i-
-gulug[w]-:-gul[a]g- 3 III
    gel-
-goyok!-(i-) : -goik!- 3 III
    1-
    1-goyogiy-a-, indir. 13 a III
    -goyogíi-s- : goigiy-
-gülük!-al-x-: -gülk!- 3 II
    de-
-gwá \({ }^{a}\)-i- : (-gwáat!-) 6 III
    bai- \(\varepsilon\) -
    bai-gwá \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-s- : -gwáa \({ }^{(\varepsilon)}\)-s-
:gwenai-á \({ }^{\epsilon} \mathrm{S}(n . a g) \quad\).
gwidik'w \({ }^{\text {d }}\), gwidigw-: 13 c IVI
    gwid[a]k \({ }^{\prime \mathrm{w}} \mathrm{d}-, \quad\) gwi-
    d[a]t'-
    \(h e^{e \varepsilon}\) -
    xam-gwidis-gwi-: II
    gwidi-lha-: gwid[á]- 2 III
    lha-
    i-gwidigwad-(i-): \(\quad 13\) a III
    -gwit'gwad-
    ī-gwidigwad-i- : \(\quad 13\) a III
        -gwit'gwad-
    sal-gwidigwad-(i-): \(\quad\) з 3 a III
        -gwit'gwad-
    wa \({ }^{\varepsilon}\)-gwidigwad-i-: 13 a III (kill and) throw several away
        -gwit'gwad-
    gwidigwa-s- : I3 a II give out (from weariness)
        -gwit'gwa-s-
        throw away, lose
        throw oneself into water
        keep throwing
        push
    throw into one's hand
    kick
```

```
gwilis- : (gwils-)
    ba-&al-
-hagăi- : -hagai-
    di}\mp@subsup{}{}{8
    dak'-
        sin-
-ha'1-(i-), indir. -s-:
5 III
-hala[h]-, indir. -x-
    dak'-da-
    -halahal-(i-) :
    (-halhal-)
    -helehal-xa-:(helhal-) 13 a I frequ. (without obj.)
-hanats!-(i-) : -hants!- 3 III
    ha\varepsilonw-\overline{1}
    hau-hana }\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ -s-:-han }\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ -s- 3 II
    p!ai-di&-hana }\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ -s- : }3\mathrm{ II
        han }\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ -s-
-hawak!- : (-hauk!-) 3 III
    ba}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}
ha'x- : haxa-
    ha'x-an-, ha'x-n[a]- : }5\mathrm{ III
        haxa-n-
-hegehag-,-hegehak'-na-: ı3 a III or IV m
        (-hek'hag-, -hek'-
        hak'-na-)
    Xa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}
    -hegwehagw-(i-), indir. I3 a III
        -s- : -he'gwagw-
        gwen- tell, relate
        gwen-hegwe\mp@subsup{}{}{e}hagw-
    tell to
        an-i-:
    gwen-hegwáagw-an-i-: 12 III relate
    gwen-hék'wa'gw-: I2 III
    -hegwehak'*w-na- : I3 a IV I
        -heegwák'w-,
        -hék`wa'-k'w
    1-
helel-: heel-
    8I
    helehal-:(helhal-)
    I3 a I
    work
        sing
    frcqu.
```

```
-hemeg-:(-hemg-) 3 III
    al-
    ha-t'ga}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}-hẽm-s-gi\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon
-hemeg- : -hemg-, 3 III
        -hem[a]g-
    -hemermg- : III
    bai-
hemeham-, indir. -s-: I3 a III contr. imitate
        hemham-
    hemeĩ-k'wa- :
```III

8 III
hemem-(i-) :-he \({ }^{e} m-\) 1-de-
-hene \({ }^{e}-d-\) : \(-h e^{e} n-d-\) dak'-
-hene \({ }^{e} n-d-\) :
-henehan-d : (-hen-han-d-)
-hene-xa: -hen-
henen- : he \({ }^{e}\) -
bai-de-henen-agw-i-henen-an-(i-)
-hewehaw- : -heuhaw-gel-
-hewehaw-(i-), indir. -s-
hewehō-x-gwa- : (heu- i3 a I yawn hau-)
-heyek!-i-: -heik!- 3 III
de-
heye \({ }^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-:\) hei \(^{\varepsilon}\)-x- \(\quad 3\) II
gel-heye \({ }^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-:-h \mathrm{i}^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-3\) II
-hili \({ }^{i}\) gw- : -hil[a]gw-
di \({ }^{i}\) -
hiliw- : hilw- 3 I
hiliw-ald-
: -hill-x-bai-
2 III wait8 I8 III

8 III 13a III contr.
wait
hemeĩ-k'wa- : III act like
(i-):-1em
III

taste
2 III

III
I3 a III
cont.
usit.

3 III

II bai-
climb
meet (person)
in middle of field
usit.
take out, off
```

indir. -s-. I3 a III contr. imitate hemham-
wrestle with
be used up, consumed; have no living relative
be through eating
eat all up, annihilate
use all up
think (intr.)
think of
leave over
be left over
be stingy
be glad
climb for
(flood) covers (world)

```


\footnotetext{
' Possibly to be analyzed as -hi wiliw-.
}
```

-hūwu^k!- : -hu"k!-
p!ai-
ha-
-hoyoiy- : -hōiy-
al-
-hūyūi-x-, -hūyū-x-: 8 II
-hūi-x-
-hūyūhi-:(-hūihi-) rз а III
hūyūhi'-x-: -hüihi'-x, 13 a II
-hūyüu-x-
imiam-d-i-: imíam-d- 13 b III pile up
imi[h]am-: im[h]am- 13 a III contr. send
imi[h]am-(i-), indir.-s- 13 a III send
-i'w-, indir. -s-:-īwi-, in- 5 III
dir. -x-
he e\varepsilon_
he e\varepsilon_wa-i'w-i-
gwel- \&i'w-i-
k'alak'al-i-, indir. -s-: 13 a III
(k'alk'al-)
-k`áp}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{p}}{}{\prime}-gwa-:(-k`\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}\varepsilon\mp@subsup{p}{}{\prime}-)6 II
di-\&al-

```

```

        k'ap'-
    bā-\varepsilonal-
    hes-i-k'ap!ak'ab-i- iз a III
    k`ebal-i'- : k'ep`al-: I5 a II
k'awak'au-, indir. -s-: 13 a III
(k'auk`au-)     k'ewek'aw-al- : (k'eu- ıз a I         k'aw-)     k'eweek'aw-al- : I -k'iwik'au-k'wa- : -k'iu- 13 a III         k'au-     de-     dak'- -k`ulúu\varepsilon-k'wa- :
bai-
p!ai-k'ulúu}k'al-: I3 a
(-k'u}\mp@subsup{u}{}{u}l\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}al-

```

3 III

8 III
al-
-hūyūi-x-, -hūyū-x-: 8 II -hūi-x-
-hūyūhi-: (-hūihi-) \(\quad\) з 3 a III
hūyūhi \({ }^{i}-x-\) : - hüihi \(i^{i}-x\), 13 a II -hūyũ \(-x-\)
imiam-d-i-: ini \({ }^{\text {amam-d- } \quad 13 \text { b III pile up }}\)
imi[h]am-: im[h]am- 13 a III contr. send
imi[h]am-(i-), indir.-s- 13 a III send
-i'w-, indir. -s-:-īwi-, in- 5 III dir. - \(\mathrm{x}-\)
\(h e^{e \varepsilon}\)
he \({ }^{e \varepsilon}\)-wa- \(i^{i} w-i-\)
gwel- \(\varepsilon_{1}^{i} w-i-\)
k'alak'al-i-, indir. -s-: 13 a III (k'alk'al-)
\(-k^{\prime} a^{a} p^{\prime}-g w a-:\left(-k^{\prime} a^{a} \varepsilon p^{\prime}-\right) 6\) III
dī-£al-
\(k^{\prime} a p!a k^{\prime} a p^{\prime}-n a-: k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} p^{\prime}-13\) a IV k'ap'-
bā- \({ }^{\text {al- }}\)
he \({ }^{\text {é }-1-k ' a p!a k ' a b-i-~} \quad\) з a III
k'ebal-i'- : k'ep‘al-: \(\quad 15\) a II
k'awak'au-, indir. -s-: 13 a III (k'auk'au-)
k'ewek'aw-al-: (k'eu- ı3 a I k'aw-)
k'eweek'aw-al- : I k'au-
de-
dak'-
\(-k^{\prime} u l u^{u \varepsilon}-k^{\prime} w a-\) :
bai-
\(\underset{\substack{\text { p!ai-k'ulúu} k^{\prime} \\\left(-k^{\prime} u^{u} l k^{\prime} \\ \text { al }-\right)}}{ } \quad\) ı 3 а (-k' \(\left.u^{u} l k^{\prime} a l-\right)\)
leave
leave behind with
beat in running
roll (dust, ashes) over put dust on one's own frorethrow (objects into)
turn (things) over
chip off (pieces of wood)
remain absent
bark at
bark
usit. (intr.)
brandish before one's face brandish over one's head
come floating down stream
drop down dead one after another
-k'wáagw-i- : -k'wáa k!w- 6 III
\(\overline{1}-{ }^{\prime}\) káa \(^{a}-x-: k^{\prime} w a^{a \varepsilon}-x-\quad 6\) II
k !adāi-, indir. -s-: \(\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{d}-7\) b III contr. pick, pluck
k!adāi[h]-an-i-, k!aday-an-i-
k!adak!at'-na- : \(\quad\) за a IV \(\quad\) usit. (k!at'k!at'-)
k!edèī-xa- : (k!ẽ-sa-) 7 b I be out picking
k!edèĩ-k'wa- : k!ēt'- 7 bIII:II gwi-
-k!alak!al-(i-) : k!alk!al- ı3 a III
sal-1-
de- \(\overline{1}-\)
\(-\mathrm{k}!\) alas-(i-) : \(-\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}} 1 \mathrm{~s}-\quad 3\) III
bai-
-k!alas-na-, -k!alas- : 16 IV 2 or II -k!alsi-
di-
i-
di-k!àls
-k!anak!an-(i-) : (-k!an- 13 a III k!an-)
i-
\(\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{an}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{a}\) : a aw-an-d- I III
-k!axak!ax-i-: -k!axk!ax- I3 a III
k!wal-hawa \({ }^{\text {a }}\)
k!ayay-: ga²y-
8 I
: dii-k!e \(1-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{x}\)
k!elew- : (k!elw-)
3 III
k !emèi-, k !eme \(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{n}-\) : k!emn-, k!em[a]n-
k!emen-xa-: k!em-xá- 3 I
bā- \(\overline{1}-\mathrm{k}\) !enten-amd-: 3 III
-k!emn-
\(\mathrm{ba}^{\text {a }}\)-k!emen-am-s- : 3 II
-k!emn-
k !eméamg-: k!em- iз a irr. III frequ. \&amg
be lean in rump
be lean in hand
lean in rump (adj.)
twist (hazel switch)
put acorn meal in sifting pan
besmoulder by burning pitch under
grow
putting on style
sup up (acorn mush)
make; treat as, use as
work (intr.)
equip with
prepare to go 
```

-k!eew-al-i-:-(k!ew-) r III

```
i-wa-k!e \({ }^{e} w-a l-x-g w a-\)
k!ixix-: gi'x-
k!iyig-: k!ig-, k!iy[a]g-
k!iyi'g-:
bai-
p!ai-
de-
\(\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}\)-gel-
k !odod- : go \({ }^{\mathrm{u}}\) 8 III
k!olol- : go \({ }^{u} 1-\) 8 III
\(\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}\) -
k!ülü-xa-: (-güül-) 8 I
-k!ornok!am-(i-) : (-k!om- 13 a III k!am-)
s.al-

1-
k!omom-: (goum-)
8 I
\(-\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{os}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{g}-(\mathrm{i}-):-\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{os} \cdot-\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{a}]-2\) III
da-
1-
-k!os*ok!as*-: (-k!os*- 13 a III k!as-)
-k !os•õs \(-\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{a}]\) : III
\(-k!o t ' k!a d-:-k!o^{u} d-\)
xa-1-
xa-1-k!odõ-lh-i- :
III
xa-i-k!odok!at'-na-: 13 a IV
( \(-k\) !ot'k!at'-)
xa \({ }^{\text {a }}-\mathrm{k}\) !ot' k !a-s- : k !o-s- 12 II
k !oyo \({ }^{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{k}\) ! \(\mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{y}-\quad 2\) III
k !oyō \({ }^{\text {a }}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{an}\) - \(\quad 2\) I
-k!u"m-an-(i-) : \(k!u \bar{m}-a n-1\) III
1.
i-k!u"m-an-k'wa-
ha- \(\overline{1}\) -
\(\bar{i}-k!u^{u} m-a n-a n a n-i-\)
whirl around (tr.)
whirl around (intr.)
finish (tr.)
fall
usit.
come
fall down
live on, continue to exist
lie down belly up
bury
dig
gather up (bones)
dig (without obj.)
kick to pieces
break to pieces
fish (intr.)
bite slightly
pinch
frequ.
usit.
break in two
cont.
break to pieces
break (intr.), become broken
go with
go with one another
fix, prepare
prepare oneself, get ready
prepare (house) by sweeping it clean
prepare for, get ready for
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline  & - 8 III & throw mass of small objects (e.g., intestines, gophers); sow, plant (tobacco); put (dentalia) on (neck) \\
\hline he \({ }^{\text {eq }}\) - & & throw away \\
\hline bai- & & throw out \\
\hline al-k! \({ }^{\text {unw }}{ }^{\text {u }}{ }^{\text {w }}\)-i- & & throw (dust) on one's face \\
\hline \(\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{u} w \bar{u}^{\varepsilon}\) aug- : güügaw- & 13 a irr. III & frequ. \\
\hline k!ūwūw- \({ }^{1}: g u^{4}\) w- & 8 I & (people, animals) run away in one mass, (birds) fly off \\
\hline \(h e^{e \varepsilon}\) - & & (animals) run away \\
\hline \(\mathrm{ba}^{\text {a }}\) & & (birds) fly up all together \\
\hline k!ūwūw-an- & 8 III & scare away (group of animals) \\
\hline k!ūyūm-id- : k !ōim-id- & 3 III & call one's name, speak of one who is out of ear-shot \\
\hline \[
\begin{gathered}
-k \text { !walagw-(i-) } \vdots \\
-k!w a l g w[i]-
\end{gathered}
\] & 3 III & \\
\hline xa- \({ }^{\text {al- }}\) & & let alone \\
\hline (k!walag-) : k !wa \({ }^{\text {a }}\) lg- & 3 III & throw (on fire) \\
\hline -k!wene-[h]i- : -k!wen-[h]i- & 2 III & \\
\hline 1- & & hold (staff) in one's hands \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
la \(a^{a}\)-: laba- \\
\(1 a^{a} b-a n[h] a-, \quad 1 a^{a} b-\) anan-1-
\end{tabular} & 5 III & carry on one's back carry for \\
\hline \(1 e^{e} b-a n-x-:(1 e b e-n-x-)\) & 5 II & be always carrying \\
\hline -1ád- : \(1 a^{a} \mathrm{t}\) ! & 6 III & \\
\hline xa \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & & put (belt) about one's (own) waist \\
\hline \(x a^{a}-1 a^{a} d-1-\) & & put (belt) about (another's) waist \\
\hline xa'-lées-sap \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & & belt \\
\hline lagag-i-: \(1 a^{a} g-\) lagag-ámd- & 8 III & give to eat pay \\
\hline \(1 a^{\text {a }} 1-\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}}-: 1 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}-, 1 \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}-\mathrm{p}^{\text {d }}\), & \[
10 \mathrm{a} \text { and } \mathrm{I}_{5} \mathrm{a}
\]
II & become \\
\hline lawalh-i- : & II & iter. \\
\hline \(1 a^{\text {a }} 1-\mathrm{aw}-1-:\left(1 a^{2}-w-i-\right)\) & Io a III & cause to become \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Evidently same as preceding stem, but used intransitively.
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { la } a^{3} 1 w-: l^{2} w- \\
& \text { wa-la } a^{2} l a w-i-:
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ro a III } \\
& 12 \text { III }
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
twine (basket) \\
keep twining while (doing something else)
\end{tabular} \\
\hline 1a'mal- & III & get angry with, quarrel with \\
\hline ```
-lats!ag-(i-) : lasg[i]-
    1-
    sal-
    da-
``` & 3* III & touch touch with one's foot taste \\
\hline  indir. -xla \({ }^{a}\) walaw-, \(1 e^{e}\) wilau(abl.) : & 12 III
13 a (?) III & name, call
iter. (?) \\
\hline lawad-an-: lāud-an-p!ai-lawáat \({ }^{\text { }}\) & 3 III & hurt (tr.) (birds) light \\
\hline \[
-{ }_{\overline{1}-}{ }^{-l a y a^{a} k^{\prime}-n a-:\left(-1 a ̄ i k^{\prime}-\right)}
\] & 3 IV I & coil (basket) \\
\hline \(1 e^{c} \mathrm{~b}-\) : lebe & 5 III & gather and eat (seeds, grasshoppers) \\
\hline (lebelab-) : \(1 e^{e} \mathrm{p}\) 'lab- & 13 a III & frequ. \\
\hline lebed-: (lep’d-) & 3 III & sew (tr.) \\
\hline lebe-sa- & 3 I & sew (without obj.) \\
\hline legwel-, indir. -s- : legwel-ámd- & III & suck suck out of \\
\hline -lehei- : -lehe-bai- & 4 b I & drift dead to shore \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& -1 e^{\mathrm{e}} 1-\mathrm{agw}-:-\mathrm{lel}- \\
& \mathrm{da}^{\mathrm{a}}-
\end{aligned}
\] & I III & listen to, hear about \\
\hline lelek!- : lelk!- & 10 a III & put \\
\hline he \({ }^{\text {e }-1-1 e l e k!-(i-) ~}\) & & let go \\
\hline he \({ }^{\text {equ }}\)-de-lelek!-(i-) & & finish talking \\
\hline he \({ }^{\text {eq-s.sal-lelek!-(i-) }}\) & & stop dancing \\
\hline lem-1 \({ }^{\text {i }}\) [ \(\left.\overline{\mathrm{I}}^{\text {h }} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{gwo} \hat{\prime}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\text {cw }} \mathrm{Si}\right]\) & 15 a (?) & he is good [worker] \\
\hline lemek!- : lemk!- & 3 III & take along (pl. obj.) \\
\hline he \({ }^{\text {é-i-1-lemek!-(i-) }}\) & & do away with, annihilate \\
\hline leme \({ }^{\text {amg - : (lemramg-) }}\) & I3 a irr. III & always take along \\
\hline lemek!-iau- & 3 I & (people) move, go \\
\hline leme \({ }^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-: \mathrm{lem}^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-\) & 3 II & (people) go, come together; (wind) comes \\
\hline \(h e^{\text {eq }}\)-leme \({ }^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-\) & 3 II & (hair) comes out \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline lep'ni-yau-lep'ní-xa & I & be winter winter ( \(a d v\).) \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-lewe } 1 \text { law-(i-) : (-leu} l a u-) ~ \\
& \text { da }^{2} \text { - }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
13 \mathrm{bIII}
\] & swing (shells) in one's ear \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
-leyees.- : -leis-gwel- \\
léyas nagai-
\end{tabular} & 3 II & be lame stumble \\
\hline ligi \({ }^{\text {i }}\) : \(1 i^{\text {i }}\) g- & 2 I & return home with game, food that has been obtained \\
\hline ligi-gw- : li'-gw & 2 III & fetch home (game) \\
\hline ligilag-: (lik'lag-) & 13 a I & always return home with game \\
\hline liwilha-gw-: & III & always fetch home (game) \\
\hline de-ligi-ald-: -li'g- & 2 III & fetch home for eating \\
\hline  & 2 II & rest (intr.) \\
\hline ligi \({ }^{\text {i }}\)-n- : li'ig-an- & 2 III & rest (tr.) \\
\hline ligilag-an- : (lik'lag-an-) & 13 a II & always rest (intr.) \\
\hline limim- : \(1 i^{\text {i }} \mathrm{m}\) - & 8 I & (tree) falls down \\
\hline limilam-: (limlam-) & 13 a I & frequ. \\
\hline limim-an- & 8 III & fell, chop (tree) \\
\hline dak'-limim-x-gwa-: -līm- & 8 I & have (tree) fall on oneself \\
\hline (liwid-) : liud- & 3 I & burn (intr.) \\
\hline liw[i]lau- : \(\mathrm{li}^{\text {i }} \mathrm{w}\) - & 12 I & look \\
\hline gwen- & & look behind \\
\hline liwilhau-: & 13 a & keep looking \\
\hline liwáa nagai- & & give a look \\
\hline lobob-: \(10{ }^{\text {u }} \mathrm{b}-\) & 8 III & pound (acorns, seeds) \\
\hline lübü-xa- : \(1 u^{u} \mathrm{p}^{\text {c- }}\) & 8 I & pound (without obj.) \\
\hline lobolap'-na-, lobolp'-na-: (lop'lap'-) & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { I3 } 3 \text { or i } 3 \\
\text { IV I }
\end{gathered}
\] & c frequ. \\
\hline \(16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{g}[\mathrm{w}]-\mathrm{l} 6^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{k}![\mathrm{w}]\) - & 6 III & set trap for (animal) \\
\hline lok!õlha- : & III & usit. \\
\hline lük!ü-xa- : \(1 \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}-x[w] a-\) & 2 I & trap (without obj.) \\
\hline lük! \({ }^{\text {u }}\)-xa- : & I & usit. (without obj.) \\
\hline lük!ü-xa-gwa-d-an-i- & 2 III & trap (without obj.) for (person) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

long[w]-1 : ló"k![w]-
6 ~ I I I ~
al-1ó"g[w]-(i-)
han-10'g[w]-(i-)
gwen-10"g[w]-(i-)
ha-1ógg[w]-(i-)
al-sin-lóg[w]-(i-)
p!ai-di`-lógg[w]-(i-)     ha-     sal-     gwel- lohoi-: loho- 4 b I     p!ai-     gel-lohoi-gw- 4 b III     (loholhi-): loh[á]lhi-     loho"-n-: loho-     lohö-nha :     loholah-an- : (lohlah-) 13 a III     ha-lohou-n- :-loho-n- r III lohoy-ald-2 : loho-ld- 4 b III     s'om-     lohoyi-xa- : lohoĩ- I I     s`om-lühüī-xa- :
s.om-lohol'-xa-^s
I3 a irr. I
I III
I III
loul-: 10"-
10 a I
lo"l-agw
10"-s`ì
lomol-: lom[a]l- ir I
lop!od- : lop'd- }\quad\mp@subsup{3}{}{*}\textrm{I}\mathrm{ irr.
-lümüsg[a]-:-lümsg[a]- 3 I
de-
de-lümüsg-an- }3\mathrm{ III
malag-i-:malg-,mal[a]g- 3 III
malag-anan-i-4
Io a III

```

4 b I
4 b III
13 a irr. I
I III
I III

I III
4 b III

II
I
s'om-lohol'-xa- \({ }^{-8}\)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline lo \({ }^{\text {u }}\) - : \(10^{\text {u }}\) - & 10 a I \\
\hline loul-agw & Io a III \\
\hline \(10{ }^{\text {u }}\) - \({ }^{\text {ci }}\) & \\
\hline lomol- : \(\operatorname{lom}[\mathrm{a}] 1-\) & 11 \\
\hline lop!od- : lop'd- & 3*I irr. \\
\hline -lümüsg[a]- : -lümsg[a]- & 3 I \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps identical with preceding stem.
\({ }^{2}\) Perhaps related to preceding stem.
\({ }^{3}\) When preceded in 3 d pers. form by noux or p!aas.
'With ist or 2d per. obj. mala- \(x\) - : mal \([a]-x\)-.
thrust, stick out
thrust out to
stretch out across
stick into one's throat
stick into
meet (person)
make (stick) stand up, erect (house-post)
put on (one's garment)
put on (one's moccasins)
put on (one's leggings)
die
fall by stumbling
avenge
frequ.
cause to die, kill
caus. iter.
caus. usit.
trap (small animals)
hire
doctor (tr.) as \(s^{\circ}\) omloholxa\&s
hire (without obj.)
practice medicine-rites of s.omloholxas
medicine-man (opposed to goyo)
play
play with
plaything
choke (intr.)
storm, (rain, snow \({ }^{3}\) )
tell the truth
tell the truth to
tell, speak to
tell to


\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Probably identical with preceding stem.
\({ }^{2}\) Intransitive form of preceding stem. For paradigms of both naga. and nagai-, together with their most important derivatives, see \(T . L\)., Appendix A.
}
```

ni'w-, indir. -[a]s-: niw- I III fear, be afraid of
hin}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\textrm{x}-n\mp@subsup{i}{}{i}w

```

```

    xa}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-\textrm{p!i-
    ```




```

    al-núu
    nó-k'wi- 6 II
    -nưad-i-:-núut!- 6 III
de-徝
oub-: ob- I III
oud- : odo-
al-o u}d-an-(i-
i-8}\mp@subsup{O}{}{u}d-an-(i-
s`al-o u}d-an-(i
odo\varepsilonad-: I3 b III
I III dig up
5 III irr. acc. hunt for, look for
look around for
feel around for
go to look for
frequ.
be afraid
warm one's own back
warm one's own back in sun
paint (part of body)
paint face6 II
paint one's own face
paint oneself
drown (tr.)

```
ogoy-i-, indir. ogo-s- : 2 III
        ok'i-, indir. o-s-

    da-
    give to eat
oyon-: oin- 3 III contr. or give (something)
        uncontr.
p’eleg-: p‘elg- 3 III go to war against
    p’ele-xa-: p‘el-xa-
p'ild-i \({ }^{i}\) - p'ildi-
3 I
    go to war
    I5 a and I6 II flat object lies
    p!ai-gel- lie belly down
p‘ilip‘al-i-: (-p’ilp‘al-) ıз a III
        di \({ }^{i}\)
        gel-bẽm-
    squash (insects), whip (child-
        ren)
    whip (children) on breast
        with stick
p‘iwits!-an-: (p‘iuts!-) 3 III
cause to bounce
    p'íwas nagai-
    6 III
-p‘o \({ }^{u} d-i-\) : -p \(o^{u} t\) !-
        dal-
    bound off (intr.)
                                give to
oyon- : oin-
p'eleg- : p‘elg- 3 III go to war against p’ele-xa-: p'el-xa-plai-gel-
    mix with
```

-p`ōup`aw-(i-), indir. -s-: 12 III
(-p`onw-)     al-, al-da-     da-     da}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-da     (da-p`owop`aw-): p`ou- 13 а III
p'aw-
-p`owok!-(i-) : (p`ouk!-, 3 III
-p`ow[a]k!-)     de-     p'owo -x- }3\mathrm{ II (-p`oyo-?) : -p'oi- 2(?) II
p`oy-amd-: p`oyo-md- }5\mathrm{ III
p'uyup'i-emd- I3 a III
: p`u}\mp@subsup{|}{}{u}d-i\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime*}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{1}}\mathrm{ (pass. ptc.) -p`ülüüp`al-i-:(-p`ülp`al-) ェз а III     sal- -p`u"t`p`ad-i-:(-p`u"d-) 12 III     waya-     han-waya- p!abab-:baab- 8 III     p!ebe-xa-:(beep`-xa-) 8I
p!agai-:p!a}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}\mathrm{ - 4 a I
p!aga }\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-\textrm{n}-:\textrm{p}!\mp@subsup{\textrm{a}}{}{\textrm{a}}\textrm{g}-\textrm{an}-\quad2 II
p!agap!ag-:(p!ak`- I3 a I
p!ag-)
p!ahan- : p!ah[a]n- 3I be ripe, done (in cooking)
plahan-an- 3 III
p!ahay-an-an-i- 3 III
p!ala-g-i-:p!al-g- }2\mathrm{ III

- p!ala}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}1-g-: II
p!ala}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}-\textrm{p}\mp@subsup{}{}{6}-: p!al[a]-\mp@subsup{p}{}{\prime}-2 II
p!eyeen-, 3d per. p!eyé\varepsilon: 14 I
p!è-
-p!iyin-k`wa-:-p!ĩ- I4 III   gwen-   gwen-p!ī-xap`

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps belonging to \(p^{\prime} u u^{\prime} p^{\prime} a d\).
}
```

p!owow-: bouw- 8 \& III sting
-p!ü"}\textrm{u}üg[w]- : -büūg[w]- 8 III
di'-
p!ülüūp!al-(i-) : p!ülp!al- ıз а III
p!ūwu"-k![w]-, indir.-s- : 2 III
(p!u
p!ūwu"_\varepsilon-k'wi- 2 II name onself
p!ūwup!aw- : p!u"- 13 a III iter.
p!aw-
p!ūwuau-g-: r3 a irr. III usit.
sa}\mp@subsup{}{}{3}gw-: sagwa- 5 III
sa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}\mp@subsup{\textrm{gw-}}{}{1}\mathrm{ : sagwa- 5 III
ba}\mp@subsup{a}{}{\textrm{a}
hau-
bai-
han-
sa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{g}gw-an-, indir. -s-
seegw-an-k`wi- 5II -sa'msam-(i-) :(-sa3m-) 12 III     al-dak'- (samag-iau-) : samg- 3 I     samá-xa saansan-: sana-p'- i2 and 5 II fight (intr.)     sa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}\mathrm{ nsan-, sa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}\textrm{ns}-,\mathrm{ , indir. I2 and 5 III fight with, kill, spear (sal-         -s-: sana-, indir. x contr. or mon)             ro b III s*as`-an-i'-:s*as`an- ry a II stand (sing.)     s`as`-an-hap'-: II stand around     s`as`ans`as`an-i     12 and I5 a II         iter.     s'as`-an-ïnh[a]-, s`as`- 15 a or i III caus.
ãnh[a]:s'as'anh[a]-
-s a a S as*- ' : -sa's- I2 II
ba}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}\mathrm{ - come to a stand, stand up
s`as` nagai-
-s`a}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}xs`in- : I2 irr. II
sal-
-sayaan-gw- : III
di}\mp@subsup{}{}{8
break wind

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps identical with preceding stem.
\({ }^{2}\) Identical base with preceding.
}
sbedesbad-i-: sbet'sbad- 13 a III (-sbowosbaw-?), indir.
-s- : (-stosbaw-?)
st per. obj. -sbū-
sbau-
de-
: waya-wa- \(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}\)-sdémk!-ik'w 3 III (pass. pic.)
(s•doyos•da-gwa-):s•doi- ru III soda-
se \({ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{b}-\) : sebe-
-séeg-(i-) : -sée \(k\) !
al-
de- \(\bar{\varepsilon}_{1}-\)
-segesag-i-, indir. -s- : 13 a III
-se \({ }^{\text {e }}\) 'sag-
stein- \(\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}-}\) : s'eini-
senesan-: sensan-
-sgáab-i-: -sgáa \({ }^{a}\) !-
wa-
-sgadasgad- : (-scat'- 13 a III gad-)
bai-di \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) -
-spa \({ }^{a}{ }^{2}\) 'sgag-(i-) : -scag- 12 III
bā-反̄1-
p !ai- \(\varepsilon_{1-}^{1-}\)
:k!wãĩ baª-sgék،sgig- 13 a III ik'w (pass. pic.)
-sgalaw-i-: -sga \({ }^{\text {a }}\) w-
al-
-sgalaalaw-, st per. obj. or. III -sglelēl- : -sgalwalw-
-sgal-i- : -sgali- I5 a and 16 II
da-

-sgayan-:
da-
stuff (basket) up tight
blow to (for prosperity) knife-blade put on style
roast
bow to
open the door to ster.

I5 a and I6 II box-like object lies with opening

\begin{abstract}
up
\end{abstract}

I3 a II whoop
6 III
\(\square\) have strength
pick up, lift up pick up and set down pitchfork
make (hair) tight
look at by moving head slightly to side
frequ:
(grain) lies scattered about jump in
lie down, be lying down
```

-sgayap-x-1 :-sgāip- 3 II
p!ai-
hef_
p!ai-gel-
-sgek!i- :-sgek!i- I IV 3
da}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}
-sgek!eĩ-ha-: III
sgelew-: sgelu-, sgel[a]u- 3 I
sgelel-: sgelwal- I
sgelew-ald- }3\mathrm{ III
-sgéed-(i-):-sgéet!- 6 III
bā-
-sget!esgad-(i-): I3 a III
(-sge\varepsilont'sgad-)
-sgeet'sgad-(i-):(-sgeed-) 12 III
\overline{1}
sgíb-:(sgíp!- 6 III
sgip!isgab-(i-): 13 a III
sgí8p`sgab-
sgip!i-1'h-i-: 2 III
sgili}\mp@subsup{i}{}{i}-\textrm{p}-\textrm{x}-- sgi11-p-x- 2 II
-sgimisgam-:-sgimsgam-.I3 a III contr.
p!ai-di'_
sg\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{u}d-: sg\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{u}t!- 6 III
sgot!osgad-: I3 a III
sgo "\&t'sgad-
xa-\varepsilon\overline{1}-al-sgot!osgad-(i-) 13 a III whip, beat
: sgo\&t'sgad-
gwen-sgot!osgat'-na- : 13 a IV r
-sgo\varepsilont'sgat'-
sgot!ö-1h[a]- :
sgóu-S- : Sgóuc-S-
6 ~ I I ~
xa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}-\textrm{sg}\mp@subsup{\delta}{}{u}-\textrm{s}
han-sgó"-s-
sgot!osgad- : I3 a I
sgónEt'sgad-
sgüt!üu
6 ~ I I ~
6 ~ I I ~
cut off necks
usit.
part (intr.), fall apart
break in two (intr.)
lie across (trail)
break apart (intr.) in several
places
cut (without obj.)

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Evidently related to preceding stem.
}
-sgó \({ }^{\text {- }}{ }^{-s}{ }^{1}\) (with subordinate 6 II clause) : (-sgo \(\left.{ }^{\mathrm{u} \varepsilon_{-S}-}\right)\)
sgóu-s-gwa- 6 III
-sgüyük!-(i-) : -sgủik!-
di \({ }^{\varepsilon}-\overline{1}-\)
di \({ }^{\varepsilon}\)-sgüyü \({ }^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-:-\) sgūi \({ }^{\text {i }}-\mathrm{x}-3\) II

-sili-x-gwa-:
bai-
sil nagai-
-s’ilis•al-i-: -s‘ils’al-
1-
-smayam-,-smayam[ha]-: 3 and \(\mathrm{I}_{5}\) b IV 3
-smaimas-
da-
-smilismal-(i-) :
-smilsmal-
i-
smilismal-x-
s.omo-d- \({ }^{2}\) : s.om-d-
s’ümü-xa-
 \({ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}-[\mathrm{w}] \mathrm{ap}{ }^{\prime}-\)
sowo-k!-an-: : \({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{u}}-\mathrm{k}\) !- 2 III
sowous.aw-: (s.ou- 13aI
be tired (...-ing)
be tired of
3 III

2 III

13 a III
. 3 13 a III
\begin{tabular}{ll} 
& \\
13 a II \(\quad\)\begin{tabular}{l} 
swing (tr.) \\
swing (intr.)
\end{tabular}
\end{tabular}

2 III cook (acorn mush)
2 I cook (without obj.)
jump (without expressed goal of motion)
caus.
hop along
-s‘ügüs "ü-x-gwa-: \(\quad\) з 3 a irr . III
(-s ük's ü-)
wai- feel sleepy
sug[w]-id-i \(: ~ s ' u k '-d-i-\quad 15\) a and 16 II (string) lies curled up

s'ūªl-ha-:
coni.
swadāi-, indir. -s- : 7 b III contr. beat (in gambling, shinny (swa \({ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{d}-\) )
swadāi-s-an- 7 b I gamble (at guessing-game, shinny) (recipr.)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Probably identical with preceding stem.
\({ }^{2}\) See also \(t s^{\prime}!\bar{u} m u u^{u} m-t^{\prime} a\) -
}
```

swadag-: swat'g[a]- 3 III
swadãt'g[a]- :
: swẽn-x-gwa (inf.)
-s*wi}\mp@subsup{}{}{i}1\textrm{l}*wal-(i-):-s*wil- 12 III
1-
han-waya-s wils wal-i-
he }\mp@subsup{}{}{e\varepsilon}\mathrm{ -s'wils'wal-x- re II
\overline{1}-S*wilis'wal-(i-) : 13 а III
-s'wils'wal-
t'agai-: t'a}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}\mathrm{ - 4 a I
t'agat'ag-:(t'ak't'ag-) >3 a I
-t'amak!-(i-):(-t`amk!-) 3 III     de-8\overline{1}     da-t'ama}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}-\textrm{x}-:(\mp@subsup{t}{}{\prime}a\mp@subsup{m}{}{\varepsilon}-3\mathrm{ II         x-) -t`báag-(i-): -t`báa}k!- 6 III     -t'bagat'bag- : 13 a III         -t'baak't'bag-     al- }\mp@subsup{\varepsilon}{\overline{1}}{-     al-sal-     al-&\mp@code{1-t'bege-xa- : 2 I}         (-t'bee-xa-)     1a-&     la-waya-     la-t`báa}-x-:-t`ba\mp@subsup{a}{}{a\varepsilon}-x-6 II t'báag-amd-1 : t'báak!- 6 III     dak'-     dak'-t'béeg-amı-s- 6 II     dī-daa     di-daa}-t'béeg-am-s- 6 II     dī-da't'bée&k't`bag- I2 II
am-s- : (-t`béek!-)
gwen-hau-t'béeg-am-s-6 II
xa'-t'bée\&k't'bag-am-s-12 II

```
    tear through with knife
    tear (intr.)
    tear to pieces
cry
    iter.
    put out (fire)
    (fire) goes out
    frequ.
    hit, strike
    kick
    hit (without obj.)
    burst open (tr.), rip open
    rip open with knife
    burst (intr.)
tie up (hair, sinew)
    tie (somebody's hair) up into
        top-knot
    have one's own (hair) tied up
        into top-knot
    tie (somebody's hair) up on
        side of head
    have one's own (hair) tied
        up on side of head
    have one's own (hair) tied
        up into two bunches on
        sides of head
    have one's own (hair) tied
        up in back of head
    (sinew) be all tied together
```

pursue
keep following up evening star

```
```

    tear (tr.)
    ```
```

```
    tear (tr.)
```

${ }^{1}$ Perhaps connected with preceding stem.

```
-t'bouk't'bag-:-t'boug- 12 III
        he }\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ eq}}-m\mp@subsup{e}{}{\varepsilon
        he }\mp@subsup{}{-}{e}\mathrm{ -wa-t'bou'k't'ba-x-
            gwa-
-t'bouk!-al-x-:(-t`bok!-?) ェ (?) II
    al-
    di-
t'bó"-x-: t'bóu(\xi)}-x-\quad6 II
    t'bóu}x naga
-t'boxot'bax-i- : -t'box- I3 a III
        t'bax-
    ha-\varepsilon\overline{1-}
-t'e\varepsilonal- III
    I-
-t'éeg-:-t`éek!- 6 III
    baa}-t`\mp@subsup{e}{}{e}-x-:-t`\mp@subsup{e}{}{e\ell}-x 6 II
    baa't`ek!et`a-x-: I3 a II
        (-t'e'k't'a-x-)
    ba}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}-\textrm{t}'\textrm{ek}!\mp@subsup{e}{}{e}-1h[i]-x-: 2 II keep floating u
-t'éeg-i-1}:-t`éek!- 6 III
    dak
    dak'-t'ek!e-xa-:-t'ee\varepsilon-2 I
        xa-
    dak'-t'ek!ée-xa-: 2 I usit.(intr.)
-t'gaalt'gal-, indir. -s- : m2 III
        (-t`ga}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}1-
    al-da- bounce away from
-t'gats!at'gas-(i-): I3 a III
        -t'ga\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\mp@subsup{s}{}{\prime}gas-
    bai-di&
    ba}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}-d\mp@subsup{i}{}{8
    stick out one's anus
    stick one's anus up
t'gei-ts'!-1-2}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{'}}{}{2}\mathrm{ : t'gei-ts'!-i- 15 a and I6 II round object lies
    gwen-^wa-t'gei-ts`!-\tilde{1}- I5 a and i6 have one's head lie next to
        k'wa-:-t'gei-ts!-i- III
        gwa-
t'geme-t!-iau-:(t'gem-) 2 I get dark
    : t'geemt'gám-x-gwa iз a II darkness
```

[^238]```
-t'genets!- :-t'gents!- 3 III
    ha-yau-
t'geye-b- : t'gei-b- 2 III
    t'geye-p-x- 2 II
    i-t'geey-al-i-: t'gee-1- I III
    t'ge'y-al-x-: t'ge'l-x- I II
    wi-t'geye-k!-(i-): 2 III
        -t'gei-k!
    wi-\varepsilon\overline{1}-t'geye-k!-(i-) 2 III
    al-t'geyet'gay-:-t'gei- mз a III
        t'gay-
    al-&1-t'geyet'gay-(i-) I3 a III
-t'gili}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}-s-gwa-:(-t'gil`\mp@code{s- }3\mathrm{ III
        gwa-)
    s in- ह⿳亠二口
-t'gi'lt'gal-(i-):(-t'gill-) I2 III
    xa-的-
t'gis'im-: t'gis'm-, 
-t'gumu-ts`!-i-:(-t'gum-) 2 III
    di-
    di't'gumut'gam-i- : I3 a III
        t'gumt'gam-
-t'gúub-:-t`gúu}p!
    dak'-
    dak'-t'gúu}b-amd
    p!ai-hau-
    p!ai-hau-t'gúu}p-x-: 6 II
        -t'g\mp@subsup{u}{}{u}}\textrm{p}-\textrm{x}
    p!ai-hau-t'gup!-id-i : i5 a and I6 II
        (-t'gu}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{p}}{}{\varepsilon}-\textrm{d}-1-
-t'gu"nt'gan-(i-):-t'gu"n-
    he es-sal-
t'gunu"
```

put about one＇s middle roll（ $t r$ ．）
roll（intr．）
roll（tr．）
roll（intr．），run around
put around
surround
tie（kerchief）around（head， neck）
roll up
scratch，rub one＇s nose
break（leg）by throwing（rock） at
get green
green（adj．）
tears roll down one＇s face
squeeze and crack（insect）
iter．
put on hat，box－like object bottom up
cover（basket）over，put lid on
upset（canoe）
（canoe）upsets
box－like object lies upside down，with bottom up
kick off be cold


```
    he e\mp@subsup{e}{-}{}}\mathrm{ (body) is blistered
    al-da- face is blistered, (fire) blisters
        face
    back is blistered
-t'gwa`l-al-x- : (-t'gwal-) ェ II
    bä-厄्\-
    bā-\varepsilon\overline{1}-t'gwal-agw- I III
（body）is blistered
face is blistered，（fire）blisters face
back is blistered
（children）run about in short， quick runs
（whirlwind）whirls up past （house）
t＇gwaxāi－：t＇gwa²x－an－
t＇gwaxāī－k‘wi－：
t＇gwa²x－an－t＇－gwi－
－t＇gwelt＇gwal－i－： 12 III （－t＇gwe \(1-\) ）
\(\mathrm{Xa}^{\mathrm{a}}\)－sal－break in two by stepping on
－t＇gwili－k！w－an－：t＇gwil－ 2 III k ！w－
p！ai－
t＇gwili \({ }^{i}\)－\(-x-: t^{\prime}\) gwil－\(\varepsilon\)－x－ 2 III
p！ai－t＇gwili－\({ }^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{n}[\mathrm{a}]-\mathrm{a}\) III －t＇gwil－\({ }^{-}\)－x－n［a］－
p！ai－t＇gwili＇t＇gwal－： 13 a I （－t＇gwiilt＇gwal－）
t＇iyi \({ }^{i}-t^{\prime} i^{i}-\)
\(t^{\prime} \bar{u}_{w u}{ }^{u}-g^{-1}: t^{\prime} u^{u}-g-\) ， t＇ūw［a］－g－
\(t^{\prime}\) ūwū－g－iau－
8 （2 ？）I
2 I
7 b III contr．tattoo
7 b II
tattoo oneself
III
```

```
IIIIII
III be hot
weather is warm
```

```
-t`wap!at'wap'-na-: Iз а IV r
```

-t`wap!at'wap'-na-: Iз а IV r         t'wa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathfrak{a}(\varepsilon)}\mp@subsup{p}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{t}{}{\prime}wap`
al-
-t'wi'y-al-(i-) : (-t'wiy-) I III
i-
t'wi'y-al-x- I II
-t!aba}\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}-gw-:(-t!\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}b-agw-) I III
xi-
-t!abag-: -t!ap'g- }3\mathrm{ III
da-

```
al－
t＇wi＇y－al－（i－）：（－t＇wiy－）\(\quad\) III
i－
t＇wi＇y－al－x－I II
\(-t!a b a^{a}-g w-:\left(-t!a^{a} b-a g w-\right)\) III xi－
－t！abag－：－t！ap＇g． 3 III da－
blink with one＇s eyes
make whirl up
whirl（intr．）
be thirsty finish

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf．adj．\(t^{\prime} u^{u}\).
}
```

-t!a}\mp@subsup{a}{}{\textrm{d}
bai-dak'-wili-
-t!agāi- : -da ag-
da-
t!alal-: da }\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}
(t!alat!al-) : daldal-
t!amai- : t!amī-
t!amay-an-:t!amy-an- 3 III
t!amay-an-w-, t!amay-3 I
an-[a]u-
-t!ana[h]-i-, indir. -s- : 2 III
-t!an-, -t!an[h]-
1-
wa-1-
gel-
xa}\mp@subsup{a}{}{2}-\varepsilonal
-t!aut!aw-(i-) : -t!a}\mp@subsup{\textrm{a}}{}{\textrm{a}}\textrm{w}-\quad12\mathrm{ III
1-
-t!awat!aw- : (-t!au- rз a III
t!aw-)
-t!ayai-:-dāi- 9 I
da-
-t!aya}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}-1d-(i-):-d\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}-ld- 9 III
t!ayag- : da ag-
al-t!ayag-(i-)
s'in-t!ayag-(i-)
da}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}\mathrm{ -t!ayag-(i-)
gel-t!ayag-(i-)
-t!ayaig-:
-t!ebe- : deeb- 7 a II
ba\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{a}}-
loa
ba\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{a}}-
ba\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}-
baa
9 III
III
hold
keep house
push against while facing
watch
catch hold of, fiddle with
frequ.
go to get something to eat
(intr.)
go to get (food) to eat (tr.)
find
find, discover, get sight of
smell (tr.)
discover by hearing, hear all
of a sudden
think about, recall to mind
usit
get up; (new moon) appears
watch
take care, look out for oneself
lick

```
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-t !emem-(i-):-de } \begin{array}{l}
\text { wa }^{\varepsilon}-\overline{1}-1
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
\] & 8 III & gather (people) together (tr.) \\
\hline wa-t!eme \({ }^{e}-\mathrm{x}-:-\mathrm{de}^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{m}\) - & 8 II & (people) come together, assemble \\
\hline dak'-t!eme \({ }^{\text {e }}\)-x- & 8 II & assemble (intr.) \\
\hline t!èut!aw- : t!èu- & 12 I & play shinny \\
\hline t!èut!aw-agw- & 12 III & play shinny with \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-t !eyes-na- : -t!eisi- } \\
& \text { gwel-sal- }
\end{aligned}
\] & \(16 \mathrm{IV}_{2}\) & have no flesh on legs and feet \\
\hline  & 3 II & go up, fly up (to sky) \\
\hline t!i'l-ámd-: t! ii]- & I III & fish for \\
\hline t!il-am & I I & go fishing \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-t!ilī-k'-n-i- : -dĩl-n[h]- } \\
& \text { wa- }
\end{aligned}
\] & 7 a III & distribute to, give one to each \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& -t \text { !ixix-i- : -dix- } \\
& \text { bai- }
\end{aligned}
\] & 8 III & force something out that sticks inside (like entrails) \\
\hline -t!iyi \({ }^{\text {i }}\)-s- : -t! \({ }^{\text {i }}\)-s- & 2 III & \\
\hline di't!iyi \({ }^{\text {i }}\)-s-(i-) & & mash \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { dii-t!iyit!ay-: (-t!ii- } \\
& \text { t!ay-) }
\end{aligned}
\] & I3 a III & iter. \\
\hline ```
t!obag-i}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{i}-
    t!obag-i}-\textrm{i}-\textrm{n}[ha]-
        t!obag-as-n[a]-
``` & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i } 5 \text { b II } \\
& \text { i } 5 \text { b III }
\end{aligned}
\] & lie like dead caus. \\
\hline ```
t!omom- : doum-
    t!omoand- : douum-
        dam-
    t!ümü-xa-:(-düm-xa-)
``` & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \text { III } \\
& \text { I3 a irr. and } \\
& 8 \text { III } \\
& 2 \text { and } 8 \mathrm{I}
\end{aligned}
\] & kill
usit.
kill (without obj.) \\
\hline ```
-t!os`ot!as-(i-) : (-t!os*-
    t!as*-)
    s`al-
``` & 13 a III & walk about at random \\
\hline ```
-t!oxox-i-:-dou}x
    wa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}-1
    -t!oxõ-1h-:
    -t!oxot!ax- : -do }\mp@subsup{}{}{u}xdax
``` & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \text { III } \\
& 2 \text { III } \\
& \text { 13 a and } 8 \text { III }
\end{aligned}
\] & ```
gather (pieces) together
iter.
usit.
``` \\
\hline ```
-t!ūgūi- : -dü"g[w]-
    di-
    -t!ūgūq}\mp@subsup{}{}{8}\mp@subsup{t}{}{\prime}-na-
``` & 7 b III contr.
\(\mathrm{II}_{1} \mathrm{IV} \mathrm{I}^{\text {I }}\) & wear (garment) usit. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline ```
-t!\ddot{u}}\mp@subsup{}{}{4}lüg[w]-:-t!üülg-
    ha-
    -t!ülu^́lg- :
``` & 3 III
III & follow along in (trail) usit. \\
\hline t!ülüt!al-, indir. -s : t!ült!al- & 13 a III & play hand guessing-game (recipr.) \\
\hline t!ülüt!al-p'-iau- & 13 a II & hand guessing-game is going on \\
\hline t!wep'et!wap-x-: dweep‘dwap- & 13 a and 8 II & (birds) fly around without lighting \\
\hline ```
ts`!adad- : sa ad-
    ts!adats!at'-na- :
        (sa't'sat'-)
``` & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \text { III } \\
& 13 \text { a (and } 8) \\
& \text { IV I }
\end{aligned}
\] & mash iter. \\
\hline ```
-ts!agag- : (-sag-)
    p!ai-
    wili'i
``` & 8 I & \begin{tabular}{l}
(water) drops \\
(water) drips in house
\end{tabular} \\
\hline ts ! \({ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{ts}\) !ag- : ts \(\mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}\) g- & 12 I & step \\
\hline -ts!alats!al-i- :-ts!alts!al-da- & I 3 a III & chew \\
\hline ```
-ts}\cdot!\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}m-x-:(-ts`!am-)
    da-
    ha}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}wi-gel
``` & I II & be sick bealive yet, "stagger around " \\
\hline ```
-ts`!amag- : (-ts`!amg-)
    dā-厄`\
``` & 3 III & squeeze (somebody's) ears \\
\hline ts!away-: ts!awi-, ts!auy- & 3 I & run fast \\
\hline ts!ayag-: sa \({ }^{\text {a }}\) -ts!ayaig-: & \[
\begin{array}{r}
9 \text { III } \\
\text { III }
\end{array}
\] & shoot at, spear (salmon) usit. \\
\hline -ts!aya-g- : -ts!āi-g- & 2 III & \\
\hline & & wash (tr.) \\
\hline ```
al-ts!aya}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}-\textrm{p}\mp@subsup{)}{}{4}-:-ts!āi
    p'
``` & 2 II & wash oneself \\
\hline 1-ts!aya \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-p \({ }^{\text {- }}\) & & wash one's hands \\
\hline ```
ts`!aya-m- : ts`!ai-m-,
    ts'lay[a]-m-
``` & 2 III & hide (tr.) \\
\hline ts'!ayai-m- : ts* !aimī- & irr. III & usit. \\
\hline gel-ts !aya-m-an-i & & hide (fact) from \\
\hline ts!eye-m-xa- & 2 I & hide (without obj.) \\
\hline ts!aya \({ }^{\text {a }}\) - \({ }^{\text {c }}\) - \({ }^{\text {ts }}\) !āi-p \({ }^{\text {- }}\) & 2 II & hide (intr.) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

ts`!ele-m-: ts`!el[a]-m- 2 I rattle (intr.)
i-ts`!elets`!al-(i-) : 3 a III rattle (tr.)
(-ts`!elts`!al-)
ts`!el nagai- make a rattling sound (intr.) ts!elel-ámd-: seel- 8 III paint, write -ts`!e}\mp@subsup{e}{}{e}mx-:-ts`!emx[a]- i I     da}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}\mathrm{ - hear big noise, din     da a}-ts=!\mp@subsup{e}{}{e}mx-n[a]- : I III         -ts`!emx-n[a]
ts'!eemax k!emen- make a noise
-ts!ibib-:-s`ib- 8 III     de-     de-ts!ibi-x-:-s*i     de-ts`!ibits`!ap-x- : 13 a and 8 II         (-s'i}\mp@subsup{\textrm{i}}{}{\textrm{p} ts`!ibin-: ts`!ip'n- m III make a speech to, address for-         mally ts`!inik!- : ts`!ink!- 3 III pinch (tr.)

```

```

    de-
    de-ts`!inīan-x-: I3 a irr. II
    ts`!inits`!an-x- : ts`!in- i3 a II get angry         ts!an- -ts`!iwi-d-(i-) : ts`!iu-d- 2 III     xa-8     xa     \overline{1-ts`!iwits`!aw-:}     13 a III         (-ts`!iuts`!aw-) ts`!óud-i- : ts`!óut!- 6 III touch, reach (point) as limit to         course     touch against     (tree) strikes against (sky) -ts!olol- : (-so'l-)     8 III     ha- -ts`!omouk!-i- : -ts`!omk!- 3 III     wa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}-1-     -ts`!omo u\varepsilon-k'wa-

```

6 III
al- \({ }^{-} 1-\)
ha-dak'-
-ts!olol-: (-so \({ }^{\mathrm{u}} 1-\) )
ha-
-ts' !omo \({ }^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}\) !-i-: - ts’!omk!- 3 III
wa \({ }^{\varepsilon}-1-\)
-ts' !omo \({ }^{\mathrm{uq}}\)-k'wa-
```

3 III
3 III 3 II
de-
I3 a irr. II
ts• !inits•!an-x- : ts•!in- 13 a II ts!an-

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps identical with preceding stem.
}
```

ts`!us`um- : ts`!us'm-, 3I         ts`!us`[a]m-     ts'!us`um-ald- 3 III
-ts`!ügü- : 2 I     de- : al-ts`!ülm-ik`"w (pass. 3 III         ptc.) -ts`!ülu}\mp@subsup{}{}{u}-k!-i- :-ts`!ül-k!- 2 III     al-p!ii-     al-p!i-ts`!uluts`!al-i-: I3 a III         -ts !olts`al-
al-da-
-ts!!ülük![w]-i-: }\quad3\mathrm{ III
(-ts`!ülk![w]-)     al-de-     de-de- ts`!ümü"m-t'a-1 : s`üu}\mp@subsup{}{}{\top}m-8 III boil (tr.         t'a-     ts`!ümüts`!am-t`a: I3 a and 8 III usit.
(s`\mp@subsup{`}{}{\prime}
u}\mp@subsup{}{}{u}g[w]-: \overline{ug}[w]
I III
ūgũ`ak'-na-:
i3 b IV I
(ūk![w]ak'-)
u}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{u}g}[w]-an-x
I II
wa}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}-\mp@subsup{u}{}{u}g[w]\cdotan-i
ūyu}\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\mathbf{i}}\mp@subsup{\varepsilon}{}{\prime}-:=\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\mathbf{i}\mp@subsup{\varepsilon}{8}{\prime}
II
uy\mp@code{ums}\mp@code{iggwa-}
8 III
ūyūu
13 a II
di्-quyüts!-amd-:
3 III
-ūits!!
wa2g.: waga-
5 III
wa'g-aw-i-, indir. wa'g-5 III
as- : waga-w-i-
waga-ok'-na-:
IV I
dak'-
he er

```

I II
wa \({ }^{8}-\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{g}[\mathrm{w}] \cdot \mathrm{an}-\mathrm{i}-\)
\(\bar{u} y \bar{u}^{i}{ }^{i} s^{\circ}-: \bar{u}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\prime}} S^{\prime}-\)
uyūis \({ }^{\text {in }}\)-gwa-
\(\bar{u} y \bar{u} \bar{q}^{i}{ }^{i} s-:\left(\bar{u}^{-i} \varepsilon^{i}{ }^{i}{ }^{\circ}-\right)\) 3 III

5 III
wa \({ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{g}-\mathrm{aw-i}-\), indir. \(\mathrm{wa}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{g}-5\) III as- : waga-w-i-
waga-ok'-na-:
IV
\(\mathrm{e}^{e \varepsilon_{-}}\)
make a chirping sound ( 78 , note 2)
chirp to
be sharp having warts on his face
set fire to
iter.
catch fire (intr.; logical subj. is grammatical obj.)
suck
kiss boil (tr.)
usit.
drink
usit.
drink (without obj.,
drink (water) with
laugh
laugh at
keep on laughing
fool (tr.)
carry, bring, fetch
bring to, fetch for
bring (usit.)
finish
buy

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See also s'omo-d-
}
```

    he e\varepsilon_wa }\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}-w\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}g-aw-i
    me }\mp@subsup{}{-}{-
    -wage-xa- : wa-xa-
    ba}\mp@subsup{}{}{2
    -wahei-:-wahei- I I
    bai-
    wala}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\mathrm{ si, wala}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}\mp@subsup{\mathrm{ si-na }}{}{\varepsilon}(T.L
§70 end)
wayaln-, 3d per. wayá\& :
wai-
wayaũhi : I3 a I
waya}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}-n-,way\mp@subsup{a}{}{\textrm{a}}-\textrm{n}[ha]-:2 II
wai-n-, wai-n[ha]-
p!ai- 右-waya }\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-n-1
2 III
gel-waya}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-n
gel-waya}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-n-x-an

```

2 III
2 I
wéeg-iau-: (wéek!-) wek!ē-lh-iau- :
-wek!al- : -wek!al-
al-
wek!al-k' nagai-
we \({ }^{e} t^{\prime}-g-1-\), indir. we \({ }^{e}-\) s- : 5 III wede-k'-i-, indir. wede-s-
-wesgah-agw- :
ha-1-
wi-: wī- I II
wiyiwi \({ }^{i}-\left(\right.\) wi \(\left.^{i} w i^{i}-\right) \quad\) ı 3 a II
\(\mathrm{Xa}^{\mathrm{a}}\) -
\(d a^{a}-p!i y a \quad w i ̄-s a^{a}\)
-wíig-(i-) :-wík!-
6 III
de- \({ }^{-1}-\)
wik!-ad-i \(i^{i}\) : wi \(i^{8} k^{\prime}-\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{i}-\quad 15\) a and 16 II
-wili'k'-ap'- : wilk'ap'-3 II
s-in-
buy with
come with
climb up
urinate
indeed, really sleep
usit.
put to sleep
cause to lie down
sleep with
sleep next to each other (recipr.)
it dawns
frequ.
shine (intr.)
be of shiny appearance take away from, deprive of
spread apart one's legs go about, travel iter.
go between, act as go-between in feud
medicine-man, " alongside-offire going about"
spread out (mat)
objects lic heaped about
blow one's nose
\(\begin{array}{ll}\text { wiliw-: wilw-, wil[a]u- } & 3 \text { I } \\ \text { wiliw-áld- } & 3 \text { III }\end{array}\)
p!ai-
plai-die-
bai-
de-
de-wiliw-ald- 3 III
gel-
p!ai-wa \({ }^{\varepsilon}\)-wili \({ }^{i}-g w: 3\) III
ba \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-wa \(a^{\varepsilon}\)-willi - gw-: 3 III
he \({ }^{e \varepsilon}\)-wili \(-g w-: \quad 3\) III
wits'!im-: wism[a]- \(3^{*}\) I
wits ! īsm[a]: 1 I wits !esm[a]-
wiyig-: wi'g-, wiy[a]g- 3 I
-wiyik!-: -wik!-
3 III
gwen-
dak'-
gwen-wís-xap'
wiyim-ad-: wi \({ }^{i}\) m- 3 III
wiyin-: (winn-) 3 III
wo \({ }^{u}\)-ld-: woo-(without-ld-) 5 III
wo \({ }^{\varepsilon} \tilde{o}^{u} h a-\) III
wo \({ }^{\text {ug }}\) - : wog- \(\quad\) II irr.
wogowag-: (wok'wag-) 13 a I
\(\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}\) -
wūlü[h]-am-: wüū1[h]- 2 I am-
-wülu \({ }^{\mathrm{u} k}\) !-(i-) : (-wǖ1k!-) 3 III al- \({ }^{\varepsilon_{1}^{-}}\)
wunu"n-: wu \(n\) n- 8 I
-xadaxat'-na- : -xat'- 13 a IV xat'-
\(\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}-\)
xalaxam-: xalxam-
go, proceed, run
go and show to
walk down (mountain)
camp
(star) comes up
shout(in order to find out)
fight with, "go for"
walk about with strutting breast
come down with, in
travel up along (river)
wish one to die
move (intr.)
keep moving
groan
put around neck
put around head
neckerchief
exercise supernatural power upon
help
go for, go to get
usit.
arrive
frequ.
(smoke) comes up (out of house)
have first menstrual courses
run away from
be, grow old
hang up in row
urinate
```

-xal-i- :(-xal-i-) r III
al-
-xanan-:(xanw-)
3 III
6 ~ I I ~
s.in-
xda}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}xda-gw-:(xda\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}-)\quad12 III
(-xdili\&xdal-i-) : -xdil
xdal-
xā-\&\overline{1}
xeben-:xe b- r4 I
de- I4 III
xebe y-agw-: xe b- 3I
xemel-:(xeml-)
-xíg-(i-) : -xíi}k!- 6 III
al-
-xik!i-lh-i-:-xik![a]- 2 III
*xik!i-xa-:(-xi\varepsilon-xa-) 2I
-xiligw-(i-):-xilgw- 3 III
ba-i-
-xilik!w-(i-) : (-xilk!w-) 3 III
bai-s in-
xili}\mp@subsup{}{}{4\varepsilon}\mathrm{ -xwa- :(xil`-xwa-) 3 II     xili=\varepsilon-x[w]-an- 3I -xini'xan-p`-:(-xi'nxan- 13 a II
p'-)
s'in-
-xiu-:-xiwi- }5\mathrm{ I
hawax-
-xi'w-an- }5\mathrm{ III
-xi'-gw-:-xiwi- }5\mathrm{ III
-xleden[h]-agw- : }3\mathrm{ III
-xled[a]n[h]-
i-
-xlep!exlab-(i ) : rз а III
(-xlee}\mp@subsup{p}{}{\prime}xlab
al-\&\overline{1}-

```
sit (pl.) (forms are tr. with constant 3d per obj.)
look out (pl.) (3d per. obj.)
be tickled in one's nose
throw soft, nasty object
notch in several places
do (intr.), do so
say (intr.), say so
slay, destroy, hurt
desire to eat
see
usit.
look around
snatch up
blow one's nose
play woman's shinny-game
recipr.
sniffle, hawk
rot
make rot
rot with
carry in flat basket-tray
knead (dough-like mass) into roundish cake
```

:ba-xnéet`-ôk`v (pass. 6 III roasted by fire
ptc.)
-x\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{u}d-:x\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{u}t!-\quad6 III
ba
ba}\mp@subsup{}{}{2
bai-\varepsilon
bai-
di\varepsilon-x\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{u}-s-:-xóu\varepsilon-s- 6 II
di\varepsilon-x\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{u}-s-n[aj- 6 III
-xodoxad- : -xot'xad- i3 a III
bai-
-xog[w]-i}\mp@subsup{i}{}{i}:(-xog[w]-i-) I III
s`al-
ba
-x\cup"g-
-x\mp@subsup{\delta}{}{u}g i-:-x\mp@subsup{\sigma}{}{u}k!- 6 III
di'-hin( }\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}x)
xoum-an- : xom-
r III
(xomoxam-an-): xom-
xam-
-xoxog[w]-: I2 irr. III
gwen-
wa-, da-xoxog[w]-i-
-xoyoxay-(i-) : -xoixay- 13 a III
da-
sal-
1-
xudum- : xut'm-, 3I
xud[a]m-
xudum-áld- }3\mathrm{ III
-xulūp!-an-: (-xulp!-) 3 III
han-

```
roasted by fire
blow off (acorns from tree supernaturally)
beat in game
pull out forcibly (from inside)
wrench away
have hole at posterior extremity allowing things to spill (focd from anus, acorns from hopper)
spill (acorns) (tr.)
take off (skirt)
stand (pl.) (forms are tr. with constant 3d per. obj.)
stand up, come to a stand ( \(p l\).) (3d per. obj.)
scare
dry (food)
frequ.
string (salmon)
string (salmon) with (stick)
scare around by pursuing with open mouth
scare away by jumping around
throw around in all directions
whistle
whistle to
shoot (object) through
```

xumü-g- }\mp@subsup{}{}{1}:xum[a]-g- 2 I
xumüü-gw- 2 III
yadad-: ya d- 8 I
han-
yadad-áld-
yala-:(yal-) 2 III
gel-yala-n- : -yal-n- I I
yala-l-an-: yal-n-an- 2 III
yala}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-x-ald-: ya a1- 2 III
gel-yala}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-x-ald-i-:-yal- 2 III
gel-yala}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}-\textrm{x}-\textrm{alt'-gwi- : 2 II
-ya}\mp@subsup{}{}{\textrm{a}}1
yalag-: yalg-, yal[a]g- 3 I
yalag-ámd- }3\mathrm{ III
yamad-:yamd-, yam[a]d- 3 III
yamad-amd-
yama }\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon}-\textrm{s}-:(\mp@subsup{yamm}{}{\varepsilon}-s-) 3 II
yaml-i-: I I5 a II
yån-, 3d per. yá
yaan-an-, yã-n[ha]- : }5\mathrm{ III
yana}\mp@subsup{}{}{2}-n
ya}\mp@subsup{}{}{8}n-gw- 5 III
ba
dak'-yaan-gw- }5\mathrm{ III
wa-ya}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathrm{ an}
wa-yanain-agw-: I3 c III
hawi-ya\mp@subsup{a}{}{a}n-
yaway-: yawi- Ir I
yaway-agw- : yawiy- rr III
agw-
yiwiyaw-: yiw[i]yaw- ı3 a I
-vebeb-(i-), indir. -s-: 8 III
-yeeb-
al-
da}\mp@subsup{}{}{a}-h\mp@subsup{e}{}{e}1
show to
sing for

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. noun xumà, " food."
}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{4}{*}{yi'w-: yiw\(y i^{i} w-a n-\) \(\mathrm{de}^{e}\) -} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{1 I} \\
\hline & 1 III & play (musical instrument) \\
\hline & & sound (intr.), give forth a \\
\hline & & sound \\
\hline \(d e^{e}-y i^{i} \mathrm{w}-\mathrm{an}-\) & I III & cause to sound \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{yiwiyaw- \({ }^{1}\) (see yaway-)} \\
\hline yok![w]oy- : yok'y-, yok'y[a]- & 3* III & know (tr.) \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{yo \({ }^{4} \mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}\)-, indir. -s-: yomo-\(\mathrm{di}^{\mathrm{E}}\)-s \({ }^{\text {al }}\) - \(\mathrm{yo}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{mi}^{\mathrm{i}}\) - :} & 11 and 5 III & catch up with \\
\hline & II and 5 III & catch up with \\
\hline & & catch up with \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text {-yuluyal-(i-) : -yulyal- } \\
& \text { al- }-\bar{\varepsilon}_{1-}
\end{aligned}
\] & 13 a III & rub \\
\hline yunob-áld- : (yunb-) & 3 III & hold out net to catch (fish) \\
\hline -yonouk!-(i-) : yonk!- & 3 III & \\
\hline 1- & & pull away from \\
\hline bai-yunuk!- & & pull out forcibly \\
\hline yonon-: youn- & 8 III & sing (a song) (tr.) \\
\hline hél-yunun-(i-) & & sing a song \\
\hline yonoin- & 13 c III & usit. \\
\hline -yunu \({ }^{\text {y }}\) yan-(i-) :-yun \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) -yan- & 13 b III & \\
\hline hau-gwen- & & swallow down greedily \\
\hline -yut!i-[h]i- : & 10 b (?) III & \\
\hline hau-gwen- & & swallow down greedily (sing. obj.) \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
hau-gwen-yut!uyad- \\
(i-) \({ }^{2}:-y u^{8} t^{\prime} y a d-\)
\end{tabular} & 13 a III & swallow down greedily \\
\hline yowo-: yo \(^{\text {u }}\)-, yo- & \multirow[t]{6}{*}{2 I} & be \\
\hline al- & & look \\
\hline p!ai & & sit down (from standing position) ; be born \\
\hline abai-di \({ }^{\text {e }}\) & & go into house to fight \\
\hline \(\mathrm{ba}^{\mathrm{a}}\)-gel- & & lie belly up \\
\hline p!ai-di \({ }^{\text {e }}\) & & (sky) is set on (earth) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps better explained as derivative of yiiw- than of yaway-. \({ }^{2}\) Cf. preceding stem.
}
\(\mathrm{da}^{\mathrm{a}}\) -
hau-
ha \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) w-i-yuwu-n[ha]-: 2 III yu-
bai-yowo-n-: yo- 2 III
yowog[w] \({ }^{1-}\) : yu \({ }^{u} g[w]-\quad 3\) III
yūwūg[w]-am-: yu- 3 I \(\mathrm{g}[\mathrm{a}]-\mathrm{m}-\)
yūwūg[w]-am-an- 3 III
yowo \({ }^{8}\) S- : yo \(^{\text {u8 } S-\quad 3}\) II
da-
s'in-
sal-
yowo \({ }^{\text {uts! }}\)-an-, yowo \({ }^{\text {uss- }} 3\) III \(\mathrm{n}[\mathrm{a}]-\) : youts!-, yo \({ }^{\mathrm{ur}} \mathrm{s}\) -
\(:\) yu \({ }^{u}{ }^{\text {g-, }}{ }^{\text {youg }}{ }^{\text {g }} \quad 3\) (?) I be strong

Nouns and Adjectives.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline ais'-(dèk') & (my) property \\
\hline ```
alák-s'i-(t'k')
    alák-s`i-x-(da-gwa)
``` & (my) tail (his own) tail \\
\hline álk' & silver-side salmon \\
\hline bák'ba \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & big woodpecker \\
\hline balàu baláu-t‘an & young \\
\hline bãls baª́ás-it & long pl. \\
\hline bam-ìs & sky \\
\hline bãnx & hunger \\
\hline bãp‘
baªbi-(t'k') & seeds (sp. ?) (my) seeds \\
\hline bãxdis & wolf \\
\hline bẽ al-be \({ }^{e}\) & sun, day to sun \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps best analyzed as yowo-gw-, " be with," comitative of yowc-.
}
bebè-n
bel'p'
bêlp‘
bêls.
bêls-i-(t'k')
berm
bẽm-(t'ek')
be \({ }^{e}\) wĩ
beyàn-(t'k')
bik'w
bíl-am
ha-bilàm
ha-gwel-bilàm

bíl-(t'ek')
bilg-an-x-(dèk')
bils
de-bìn
-bin-
(wili) há-bin-ì
ha-bē-bin-i
xā-bin-winì
al-binì-x
bīũ
bixàl
de-bixím-sa (adv.)
bō \({ }^{\text {u }}\)
bobдp،
bóik'
bók'd-an
bók'd-an-x-(dèk')
gwen-t'gaa'-bók'dan-da
bom-xì
bõ"n
bдр \({ }^{\prime}\)
\(\mathrm{xa}^{\mathrm{a}}\)-bob-in
rushes
whistling swan
string of camass roots used as plaything by children
moccasin
(my) moccasin
wood, stick, tree (my) stick
chinook (?) salmon
(my) daughter
skunk
having nothing, unprovided
empty
empty underneath (like table)
quiver
(my) quiver
(my) breast
moss
first, last
in middle of (house)
noon
half full
bereft of child, widow, widower
grasshopper
moon
spring
goal in shinny-game
screech-owl
"big chipmunk with yellow breast"
neck
(my) neck
"at-nape-of-earth-its-neck," east
otter
basket acorn-hopper
alder bush
among alder bushes
bót'ba \({ }^{\text {a }}\)
bõ"t'bad-i-(t'k')
bõut'ba'-1ā'p'a-k!-an
\(-b o^{u} w-\)
diébo \({ }^{u} w-i-(d e ̄)\)
bóxd-an
boxuma \({ }^{a}\)
de-bü'üq, -bü \({ }^{\prime \mu \varepsilon}\)-x

bu"b-àn
bu"b-an-ì-(t'k')
buu-an-í-x-(da-gwa)
bùs
būs (upper Tak.)
da-
dá-k!oloi da-k!olói-da-x-(dèk')
\(\mathrm{da}^{a}-\)
da \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-n-x-(dèk \({ }^{\text {c }}\) )
 da-\&ána \({ }^{a} k^{\prime w}\)-(dek')
dag-àn
dásizwadagalài
dak'-
dág-ax-(dek')
dak'-(dē)
Dī-dal-am \({ }^{\prime}\)
daldàl \({ }^{1}\)
dal'-t'
dal-dì
da \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) mada-gw-an-x-(dèk')
dàn
dan-à-t'k'
Dal-dan-ì-k'
Al-dan-k!olói-da
orphan
(my) orphan child orphan children
alongside of (me), (my) wife
salt mud
mud
full
\(p l\).
arm, string of dentalia from shoulder to wrist
(my) arm
(his own) arm
all gone, annihilated, used up
fly
see de-
cheek (? = mouth-basket)
(my) cheek
ear
(my) ear
chief
(my) chief
turtle
" water-dog," water-salamander(?)
head
(my) head
over (me)
(village name)
dragon fly
low brush
wild
(my) shoulder
rock
(my) rock
"Away-from-which-are-rocks" (village name)
"To-its-rock-basket" (mountain name)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb t'alal. .
}
dauyáa dauyáa \({ }^{\text {a }}\) " \({ }^{\text {w }}\)-(dèk')
Al-dauyáa \({ }^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {'w }}{ }^{\text {w }}\)-dis
dayú-t'a \({ }^{\text {a }}\)
de \({ }^{e}\), dade \({ }^{e}\)-x-(dèk \({ }^{\text {c }}\) )
ha-dá-(t'-gwa)
degàs deges-ì-(t'k')
dêhal
dẽl
delg-àn
delg-àn-(t'k'), delg-an-x-(dèk')
\(\mathrm{di}^{\varepsilon_{-}}\) ha-di'-(t'-gwa)
dii-६al-(t'k'), di'i-\&al-da-x-(dek')
al-dī, -dĩ
di̊́mò
dī̊mo-x-(dèk')
din-(dē)
dip \({ }^{\prime}\)
dīū
dug[w]àl
dugul-ì-(t'k')
dugùm
döuk'
dolà
dolàx
dolk'-am-a-(t'k'), dolk'-im-i(t'k'), dólk'-in-i-(t'k')
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline dõu \({ }^{\prime}\) dõ" m \\
\hline doum-àl-(t \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
domxàu
duyùm
dū
medicine-man's guardian spirit
my guardian spirit (mountain name)
eldest
lips, mouth
(my) mouth
in (his own) mouth
basket pan for sifting acorn meal (my) basket pan
five
yellow-jacket
buttocks, basket bottom
(my) buttocks
anus
in back of (himself)
(my) forehead
all
hips
(my) hips
behind (me)
camass
falls
rope
(my) rope
baby
\(\log\), tree trunk
hollow tree
things, utensils
(my) anus
spider
testicles
(my) testicles
"big crooked-nosed salmon"
cat-tail rushes
good, beautiful
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps \(=d a-y \dot{u}-t{ }^{t} a a, ~ " b e i n g\) in front. \({ }^{\prime}\)
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\(\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}}\)-dügùm
dũk \({ }^{\text {w } ~} 1\)
\(d u^{u} g[w]-i-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\)
dũ
du \({ }^{u} 1-i-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\)
de-dūl-àpx
de-dūl-ápx-da \({ }^{a}\)
dak'-dü \({ }^{\prime \mu} 1^{\varepsilon} S\)
eĩ
ei-x-(dèk'), ey-à-(t'k')
eĩ-han
el-à-(t'k')
gák!an
gál \({ }^{\varepsilon}\)
gál-(t'ek')
gā \(\bar{x}^{\prime \varepsilon} m, ~ g \bar{a}^{\prime} p!-i n i\)
gamáx-di
gamd-1-(xa)
gamgám \({ }^{2}\)
gel-
gel-(dẽ)
gel-àm
Da \({ }^{\text {a }}\) gelàm
gelgà \({ }^{3}\)
gelg-an- \({ }^{4}\)
dii-gelgan-(dẽ)
géet'
-gew \([a]^{\varepsilon} \cdot x^{5}\)
-géwe \({ }^{e \varepsilon} k^{\prime}-i t{ }^{\prime}\)
1-géwa \({ }^{\varepsilon}-x\)
\(x a^{2}-g e ́ w a^{\varepsilon}-x\)
big fire, blaze
woman's shirt
(my) shirt
salmon-spear point
(my) spear point
straight
right (hand, foot)
big-headed
canoe
(my) canoe
pl.
(my) tongue
house ladder
bow; gun
(my) bow
two
raw; having no supernatural power
(his) paternal grandparent, (his) son's child
four
breast
in front of (me)
river
"Along the river," Rogue river
fabulous serpent who squeezes people to death
at (my) anus
white overlay in basketry (xerophyllum tenax)
crooked
pl.
crooked-handed
crooked-backed

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb -t'ugui-.
\({ }^{2}\) See \(g a^{\varepsilon} m\).
\({ }^{3}\) Cf. verb -geleg-.
- Perhaps misheard for delg-an-
\({ }^{5}\) Cf. verb -gewek!aw .
}
gíxgap،
gók'-(dek')
gũī
gũms
gold-m
xa \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-gulm-àn
gomhàk' \({ }^{\text {w }}\)
gungun
gòs•
goyò
gũx-(dek')
Ha-gwãl
gwalà
gwal't'
gwãn
gwa \({ }^{a} l\)-àm-(t'k')
gwás wili
gwãs
gwa \({ }^{a}{ }^{\prime}-i-x-(d e ̀ k '), \quad g w a^{a} S^{\prime}-1-\) ( \(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\) )
-gwási \({ }^{1}\)
al-gwási, -gwási-t‘
xa \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-sal-gwási
gwel-
gwẽl-x-(dèk')
gwél-(da)
gwen-
gwen-hau-(dẽ)
gwen-hau-x-(dèk')
gwi \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) neĩ-x-(dèk')
gwi Enéi \(^{\text {n }}\)
gwísgwas
Gwísgwas-hãn
gwit \({ }^{\varepsilon}-\overline{1} \bar{u}-\mathrm{x}-\left(\mathrm{dè} k^{\prime}\right)\), gwit!ì-n-(t'k') (my ) wrist
poison, medicine
(my) knee
thick brush
blind
oak with white acorns among oaks
rabbit
otter (myth name)
"big rainbow-colored shell" (clam shell ?)
medicine-man
(my) wife
Cow creek
many
wind
trail
(my) trail
brush house
entrails
(my) entrails
yellow
"yellow between his claws" (myth name of sparrow-hawk)
leg
(my) leg
under it
neck, nape of neck
in back of ( my ) neck
(my) nape
(my) relative
(her) thing (?) ( 108.3 )
chipmunk
(woman's name)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. yan-guàs.
}
hāĩ
há \({ }^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a^{8}\)
haik!-ā
-ham
ha \({ }^{\text {an }} \mathrm{n}\)-x-(dèk \({ }^{\prime}\) )
hàn-t'
ha"p'-
hāp-xì
ha \({ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{p}^{\text {' }}\)-(dèk')
ha \({ }^{\text {ap }}\)-x- -(dèk')
hä'p'-di, hap-s-dì
ha \(a^{a} p^{\prime}-k!e m n a^{8} s\)
hás-(a)
(wi-)has-ì
hásd-(a)
hau-
haw-an-(dē)
-hau- \({ }^{1}\)
die-hau-(dẽ)
haū-x
haũ-x-(dek')
dak-hawalák'-i-(t'k')
hawà \({ }^{2}\)
hā'sya-(dē)
hé \({ }^{\text {e }}{ }^{3}\)
héel-(t'ek')
he \({ }^{\text {el-àm }}\)
helam-à-(t'k')
-hin
\(\operatorname{hin}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{X}^{4}\)
híp'-al
hit'
hix
cloud
goose
husband! wife! (voc.)
see ma-
(my) brothers
half
small, child
child
(my) child
(my) children
small
"children maker" (name of creator)
(his) mother's brother (my) mother's brother
(his) sister's husband, wife's brother under under (me)
behind (me), after (I) left
woman's private parts (my) private parts
(my) crown of head
rottenness, pus, foul odor
around (me)
song
(my) song
board, lumber, (my) lumber
see ni-
fear
flat
out of wind, nearly dead
roasted camass

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) See also gwen-hau-. Perhaps identical with preceding.
\({ }^{2}\) See verbs -xiu- and \(\cdot d o^{\varepsilon} s\)-.
\({ }^{3}\) Cf. verb helel.
'See verbs niiw- and da-ts'!aam-x.
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|}
\hline -hok'w-al, -hogw-àl da-hók‘wal \\
\hline han-hók'wal \\
\hline gwel-hok'wal \\
\hline da \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-hok'wal \\
\hline s'in-hok'wal \\
\hline xo-hok'wal \\
\hline \(\mathrm{h} 6^{¢} \mathrm{px}\) \\
\hline hōu \\
\hline hos au \\
\hline hos \({ }^{\text {ou }}\) \\
\hline halk \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline hülũ-n \\
\hline \(s\) in-hü's'g-al \\
\hline \(h u^{\text {u }}{ }^{\text {cu }}{ }^{\text {u }}\) \\
\hline \(\overline{1}\) - \\
\hline ī-ū-x-(dek') \\
\hline ¢f, \(1^{1} 1^{\varepsilon}\) \\
\hline 亿ilts!-ak \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) \\
\hline ī18als-ak \({ }^{\text {cw }}\) \\
\hline k'abá-(xa) \\
\hline \(k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}-1 \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime}{ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline \(k^{\prime} \times i^{8} \bar{l}^{\prime} p^{\prime} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}!-\mathrm{i}-\left(\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right)\) \\
\hline \(k^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 1} 1 \mathrm{e}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{k}\) !-i-k'w \\
\hline \(k^{\prime} \mathrm{ai}^{\varepsilon}-s^{\prime} 6 \mathrm{k}^{\prime}-\mathrm{da}\) \\
\hline k'àl \\
\hline k'alw-ì-(t'k') \\
\hline \(k^{\prime} \delta^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{px}\) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \delta^{8} \mathrm{x}\) \\
\hline \(k^{\prime} u^{u} \mathrm{~b}-i-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\) \\
\hline \(k^{\prime}\) ülũ-m \\
\hline \(\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\) wedein-( \(\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\) ) \\
\hline k'wínax-(dẽ) \\
\hline \(\mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{u}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{nax}\). \\
\hline s in-k'wôk!wá \\
\hline k !abàs \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
hok'w-al, -hogw-à
da-hók‘wal
han-hók'wal
gwel-hok'wal
da \(^{\text {a }}-\mathrm{h} 6 \mathrm{k}^{\text {'wal }}\)
s'in-hok'wal
xo-hok'wal
\(\mathrm{h} 6^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{px}\)
hōa
hos:au
hos:õ \({ }^{u}\)
hâlk'
hülüun
s'in-hü's'g-al
\(h u^{u}{ }^{\prime} u^{u}\)
1-
\(\overline{1}-\bar{u}-\mathrm{x}\)-(dèk')
iffíl \({ }^{\varepsilon}\)
ílts!-ak \({ }^{\text {© }}\)
ilªls-ak \({ }^{\text {w }}\)
k'abá-(xa)
\(k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}-1 \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime}{ }^{2}\)
\(k^{\prime} a i^{\varepsilon} 1 \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a-k!-i-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\)
\(k^{\prime} e^{i \varepsilon} 1 e^{\prime} p^{\prime} a-k!-1-k^{\prime *}\)
\(k^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon}-{ }^{\varepsilon} \cdot 6 k^{\prime}-d a\)
k'àl
k'alw-ì-(t'k')
\(k^{\prime} \delta^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{px}\)
\(k^{\prime} 6^{6} \mathrm{x}\)
\(k^{\prime} u^{u} b-i ̀-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\)
\(k^{\prime}\) ülũ̃-m
\(k^{\prime}\) wedei-( \(t^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\) )
k'wínax-(dē)
\(k^{\prime} \ddot{u}^{\prime \prime}\) nax.
s'in-k'wôk!wá
k!abàs
holed
holed (as for smoke)
holed through
holed underground, caved
ear-holed
nose-holed
holed (fir)
lake
jack-rabbit
somewhat bigger, growing up pl.
panther
ocean, sea
long-nosed
chicken-hawk
hand
(my) hand
blood money for settlement of feud
bad
\(p l\).
(his) son
woman
(my) woman
woman-having
young woman (who has already had courses)
penis
(my) penis
dust, ashes
tar-weed seeds
(my) body-hair, skin
"fish having turned-up hog-mouth,'" sucker (?)
(my) name
(my) kinsman, relative
kinsman (myth form)
mudcat
porcupine quills used in embroidery
die-k!àls \({ }^{1}\)
k !ál \({ }^{\text {f }}\) s
k!alts!-ì-(t'k')
k!áma
k !amà-(t'k \(\left.\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right)\), k !ámak!a-(t'k') (my) tongs
k!ának!as
k!ás-(a)
(wi-)k!as-ì
k !ą̄t \({ }^{\text { }}\)
k!éep-(xa)
k!éda
yãl k!egeláu-s \(\cdot \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{da} \mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}\)
k !e1 \({ }^{12}\)
k!elw-ì-(t'k')
k !eleĩ
k!eleĩ-(t'k')
k!elés
k! !yíix
al-k!iyíix-nàt
\(-k\) !ok!òk'
al-k!ok!òk‘
i-k!ok!òk
k !oloî \({ }^{3}\)
k!ol \({ }^{\text {xì }}\)
k !ùls
k !uls[à]-t'
k!umoi
k ! \({ }^{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\text {xa- }}\)
(wi-) \(\mathrm{k}{ }^{\mathrm{u}}{ }^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{a}\)
k! \({ }^{\text {u }} \times\) xá-m-(xa)
k! \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{4}\)
(wi-)k!u"yàp', -k!u"yàm
k!ūyab-á-( \({ }^{\text {t }}{ }^{\prime}\) )
\(\mathrm{k}!\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{y}\) áp-(xa)
lean in rump
sinew (my) sinew
tongs, split stick for putting hot rocks into basket-bucket
small basket-cup for drinking
(his) maternal grandparent, daughter's child
(my) maternal grandparent
"thick, low, blue-looking bushes"
(her) husband's parent
grass from which string was made
pine-fungus (?)
basket-bucket (my) basket-bucket
bark
(my) bark
bird (sp. ?)
smoke
"smoke-looking," blue
ugly
ugly-faced
ugly-handed
small basket
salmon-head
worm soft (to eat)
swamp
relatives by marriage of their children
(my) relative
(his) relative
friend (voc.)
(my) friend
(your) friend
(his) friend

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}\). verb di\({ }^{\varepsilon}\)-k!alas-na-.
\({ }^{2} \mathrm{Cf}\). verb k!eleu-.
}
\(k\) !wāī
k !wal'
k !wál-t'a \({ }^{\mathrm{a}}\)
là'
\(\quad\) lã-(t'k')

Lámhi-k'
lamts!-1-(xa)
làmx
lān
lááp
- \(1 \bar{a}^{\prime} \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \mathrm{a}\)
-lā'p’a-k!-an
\(-1 \bar{a}^{\prime} p^{\prime} a-k!-1-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\)
lap‘ã-m
lap'ō \({ }^{\text {u }}\)
làp-s
laps-(dèk')
lasgùm
lasgúm īūxgwàt'
legè-m-(t'k')
lé-k'w-an-(t'k')
lep'ní-xa (adv.)
le \({ }^{e} p\)-sì
lep!ès
libì-n
libis
līu-gw-ax-(dèk' \({ }^{1}{ }^{1}\)
lōm
Dis-lo"m-ĩ
lom-t! \(i^{i}\)
loxò-m
lu"li-x-(dèk'), lu"li-i-(t'k')
má-(xa)
(wi-)hàm
mé-xa-k'w
grass
pitch
youngest (of two or more)
excrement
(my) excrement
Klamath river
(her) brother's wife
sunflower seeds
fishing-net
leaves
person (found only as second member of compounds)
pl.
(my) person
frog
"red-striped snake"
blanket
(my) blanket
little snake
"handed snake," lizard
(my) kidneys
(my) anus
winter
feather
cat-tail rushes, mat
news
crawfish
(my) face
cedar
"West of which are cedars" (village name)
old man
manzanita
(my) throat
(his) father
(my) father
having father

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb liwilau-
}
mahài, mahài-t \({ }{ }^{\prime}\)
mahmi
\(\mathrm{xa}^{\mathrm{a}}\)-mahài
mahái-t'a \({ }^{a}\)
māl
\(m a^{\mathrm{a}} 1-\mathrm{i}-\left(\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right)\)
mānx
máp!a-gw-a-(t'k')
mát'al
máxla
mayáa \({ }^{\mathrm{a}}\) - \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {w }}\)-(dèk')
k !el mehel- \(\mathrm{i}^{i}\)
mẽl
melèl-x \({ }^{1}\)
ména
meng \(1^{i}\) mengì-( \(\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\text {c }}\) )
mẽx
\(m i^{\prime \delta} \mathrm{ax}\)
min- \({ }^{2}\)
mís \({ }^{\text {ig }}\)
\(\mathrm{mí}^{\text {i }} \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{ga}{ }^{\varepsilon}\)
al-mi \({ }^{\prime \ell}{ }^{\text {s }}\)
ha- \(\mathrm{i}_{1-m i ́ s} \mathrm{~s}\)
ha- \({ }^{-1}-\mathrm{g} \bar{a}^{\prime \ell} \mathrm{m}\)
ha- \(\varepsilon\) - - xin
ha--ī-gò
mix-al
mixál-ha
mòk'
mologòl
mologo-1āp‘a
k'ai mologo-lā’p‘a-x-(da)
da \({ }^{\text {a }}\)-molh-it \({ }^{\text {c }}\)

\section*{big}
\(p l\).
big-backed, wide
eldest (of two or more)
salmon-spear shaft
(my) shaft
white paint
(my) shoulder-blade
pigeon
dust, ashes
orphan child related to (me)
basket for cooking
crow
burnt-down field
bear, brown bear
full of, covered with
(my) game, what (I) come home provided with
crane
red paint
vagina (?)
one
one
together
six
seven
eight
nine
how many, as many a
in great numbers
pit, ditch
old woman old woman
what kind of old woman
red-eared

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb melel.
\({ }^{2}\) See verb yiil-.
}
```

mómhi
mot ${ }^{\prime}$
món-(t'ek')
mot! ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$
mòx
moxò
mü" ${ }^{\text {q }}$ là $p-x$
$m u^{u l-i ̀-(t ' k ')}$
müne ${ }^{\text {x }}$-dàn ${ }^{1}$
nanb-í-(xa)
nãx
nãx-(dek')
ní-(xa)
(wi-)hìn
ní-xa-k‘w
nì
ni-(t'k')
nihwìk' ${ }^{\text {w }}$
n $6^{48}$ s.
nō'ts!-a-(dē)
nõx
õp-(xa)
(wi-) ${ }^{\text {ºb }}$ -
$\mathrm{t}^{\prime}-6 \mathrm{p}-(\mathrm{xa})$
ohòp ${ }^{\prime}$
-ol-
da-£ol
da-\&ol-(dē)
da-8ol-di-(dẽ)
os'ou-láp‘a
$p^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} a^{\text {a }}{ }^{2} p^{\prime}$
$p^{\prime} \bar{a}^{\prime s} t^{\prime} p^{\prime} a d-i-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)$
p‘ìm
$p^{\prime} i m-a ̀-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)$
s'in-p'inns, -p'ills
mourning dove
son-in-law, suitor
(my) son-in-law
stick for beating seeds into receptacle
grouse
buzzard
sweat-house
(my) lungs
once
(his) brother's wife, wife's sister
pipe
(my) pipe
(his) mother
(my) mother
having mother
teats, nipples
(my) nipples
black bear
next door
neighboring to (me)
rain
(his) elder brother
(my) elder brother
(his) elder sister
"bean-like half-black shells"
near by
near (me)
near, close to (me)
poor people
manzanita flour
(my) salmon-liver
salmon
(my) salmon
flat-nosed

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps related to \(m i i^{\varepsilon} s\). For \(\bar{u}^{\bar{u}}\) and \(i i\) in related words cf. \(k^{\prime} w i n a x\) - and \(\boldsymbol{k}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{u}^{\prime \prime} \boldsymbol{n a x}\).
}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { - }{ }^{\text {póáa }}{ }^{\varepsilon}-x^{1} \\
& \text { - } \mathrm{p}^{\prime} \text { ó }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}-\mathrm{it} \mathrm{t}^{\prime} \\
& \text { da-p’óáx } \\
& \text { 1-p"óa }{ }^{\varepsilon} x \\
& \text { gwit-p'óa }{ }^{\varepsilon} x \\
& \text { p'ùn } \\
& \text { p'un-yilt' } \\
& \text { Gwen-p'uñ-k' }
\end{aligned}
\]
p'ouyàmx
da-p!ālau \({ }^{2}\)
p!ān
p!ãn-(t'k')
p!ás
\(p!e^{e} 1^{\varepsilon}\)
p!eldà
p!èns
\(p!e^{e} s\)
p !ī
p!iy-à-(t'k')
\(\mathrm{p}!^{i}{ }^{i} w a l^{\ell} \mathrm{s}\)
p!iyì-n
p!íy-ax
p !ol'
Dī-p!ol-ts!íl-da
\(\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{lhi}\)
p!oxom
sã-(t'k')
s’ag-àlx
s’al-s’agálx-a
sàk'
s.al-
s‘al-x-(dèk')
bent
\(p l\).
crooked
crooked-handed
crooked-armed
rotten
Oregon pheasant
"East of rotten (trees)" (village name)
whirlwind
youth
liver
(my) liver
snow
basket-plate
slug
squirrel's bushy tail for eating manzanita
rock serving as support for acornhopper
fire, firewoud
(my) fire
bat
deer
fawn
dust, soil
"On its red soil," Jump-off-Joe creek
eyrie
flint
(my) discharge of wind
cascades, rapids
shallow (below cascades ?)
big rush basket
foot
(my) foot

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb powok!
\({ }^{2}\) Cf. balàu.
}
```

salail-(t'k')
t'gam sa`l-í Dal-salsañ sáma     samá-xa (adv.) Al-sawēn-t'a-dìs sbéxal-t'a sbinn     Sbin-k`
séel}\mp@subsup{}{}{1
s`elěk'w s`ẽn
séendi
sẽn-(t'k')
sẽns
seens-i-x-(dèk'), seens-ì-(t'k')}\mp@subsup{}{}{\mathbf{e}
seeyán
da-sgáxi, -sgáxi-t` al-sgenh-it`
de esgè-t'
de-sgé-t'a}\mp@subsup{}{}{a
sgée\varepsilon
sgéeq-xab-a-(t'k`) sgísi da-sgulì de-sgwegwèk، sgwinì de-sgwôgw-èn-t', -sgwôgw-ô'k', worn out, half gone     -sgwegwè -t`
sgwôgwô'k ${ }^{\prime}{ }^{\text {w }}$
wili s 'idib-íi
sim

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}\). verb ts ' el ele. .
\({ }^{2}\) Used for headache by putting next to nostrils to let out blood by scratching.
\({ }^{3}\) Cf. seen-
}
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { simì-1 } \\
& \text { sin- } \\
& \text { sin- } \\
& \text { p'im } \\
& \text { sin } \\
& \text { singàn } \\
& \text { siw-í-( } \\
& \text { sin }
\end{aligned}
\]
s'in- \(\mathrm{i}^{i}-\mathrm{x}\)-(dèk')
p’im s’inixda
sínsàn
siw-1́-(xa)
s'iya \({ }^{a \varepsilon} p-(x a)\)
smãk'
sméla \({ }^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{x}\)
ha-s \({ }^{\text {u }}\)
-s.ogw-
xa \({ }^{\text {a }}-\mathrm{s} \cdot o g w-1\)-(dám)
s ugw-àn
s'ugw-àn-(t'k'), s'ugu-n-ì( \(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\) )
s.onl
\(\mathrm{s}^{\circ} \mathrm{o}^{\mathrm{u}} \mathrm{m}-\mathrm{a} \mathrm{l}-\left(\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\right)\)
S.omõl-k'
s'om-lohólxa \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) s
s'uñs.
suhúu
s'ülük'
s \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} m-x{ }^{1}\)
s‘üm-xì-(t'k')
sux
swayàu
t'ád-(a)
(wi-)t'ad-ì
t'ãn
Da-t'ān-eláát'gwat'
t'a-wã-(xa)
t'bàl
dew
nose
(my) nose
"salmon its-nose," swallow
wood-coals
very old decrepit woman
(his) sister's child, (his) brother's child
venison
(her) sister's husband, hushand's brother
twins
arrow shaft
in middle (of house)
between (us)
basket made of roots
(my) basket
mountain
(my) mountain
(village name)
see verb lohoy-ald-
thick, deep
quail
cricket
paddle, mush stirrer
(my) paddle
bird
hermaphrodite
(his) father's sister
(my) father's sister
squirrel
"Squirrel-tongued" (girl's name)
see wã-(xa)
brush used for medical purposes (sp.?)
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}\). verb \(t s!\backslash m \bar{u}^{\bar{u}} m-t^{\prime} a-\).
t'bãlt'
t'béek" \({ }^{\text {w }}\)
t'belées
t'élma
t'gã
t'gā-ū-(t'k')
La-t'gāū
t'gà
t'gált'gal-i-(t'k')
t'gált'gal-i-x-(da-gwa)
t'gàm
Dak'-t'gam-ī-k'
t'gánt'gan
t'gáp’

\(t^{\prime}\) gebe-si \({ }^{i}\)
\(t^{\prime}\) gel \({ }^{\varepsilon}\) nagai-
-t'gem \({ }^{1}\)
t'géme-t'-it'
al-t'gèm
gwen-t'gèm
ha-gwel-t'gémt'gam
dák!oloi-t'gémet'it'
al-t'gey-àp-x
al-t'geyé-p‘-it‘
di'-t'giliu \(1 a^{a} l_{i} i^{i}\)
t'gohò \(x\)
t'góis
t'goi-i-(t'k')
al-t'gúis.
al-t'gúyu \({ }^{i \varepsilon^{\prime}}{ }^{\circ}-i t^{\prime}\)
t'gū'm
al-t'gun-àp-x
ménà \({ }^{\text {Eal-t'gunàpx }}\)
t'gwà
\(t^{t}\) gwaláa
snail
shinny ball
pine-nut
acorn-pestle
earth, land
(my) land
(village name)
sugar-pine, sugar-pine nuts
(my) stomach
(his own) stomach
elk, armor of elk hide
"Above which are elks" (village name)
fly
horn
(my) horn
gall
drop down, fall
black
pl.
black
black-necked
down in dark places
black-cheeked
round
pl.
jump around in war-dance
quail (?)
leggings
(my) leggings
white pl.
rattlesnake
rolled-up
"bear rolled-up," doormouse (?)
thunder
hooting owl
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{Cf}\). verb t'geme-t! .
t'gwàn
Ha-t'gwáa \({ }^{a}\) xi
t'gwayàm
t'gwe 1 -àm-x
t'gwèlk'w
t'gwil
t'gwínt'gw-i-(t'k'), t'gwínt'gwan-i-(t'k')
Ha-t'il
t'is
\(\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{t}^{\prime}-\mathrm{al}\)
al-t'mil-àp-x
al-t'míli-p'-it'
t'mu ugàl
t'ōp-(xa)
de-t'ulúq \(p^{\prime}\)
de-t'ulú \({ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{p}^{\prime}-\mathrm{it}^{\prime}\)
t!agam'
-t!ai
-t!áya-t‘-it‘
s•al-t!ái
gwiť̄̄̄-t!ái
t!ãk'
t!ā'k'1
be \({ }^{e}\)-t!awà \({ }^{-1}\)
xilam t!egal-íx-i
t!eimís \({ }^{2}\)
\(t!e^{e} k^{\prime w}\)
t!e \(e^{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{\prime}\) wi
t!elà
t !elà
t!elà-(t'k')
t!eláa-t'an
al-t! \(e^{e} s^{\prime}-i t^{\prime}\)
slave
(Umpqua village)
lark
scouring-rush
"rat" (sp. ?)
hazel brush, hazel nut
(my) upper arm
(village name)
gopher
thin
smooth
pl.
twisted shells (sp. ?)
see õp-(xa)
dull, not sharp
pl.
lake
narrow
pl.
slim, narrow
slim-wristed
fresh-water mussel
spoon
spring month when there is much wind (? April)
skull
one hundred
yellowhammer
big trout
shinny stick
louse
(my) louse
pl.
little-eyed (epithet of squirrel)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Perhaps same word as preceding.
\({ }^{2}\) Perhaps \(t!i i-m i^{\varepsilon} s\), " one male."
}
t!ewẽx
t! \(1^{i}\) -
t !ī-(t'k')

t!iba-, t!ibà-k'w
t!iba-gw-àn-(t'k')
t !ibis \(\mathrm{i}^{\text {í }}\)
t!oit'
t!onix-1́-(xa)
(wi-)t lomx-àu
Ha-t!õun-k'
t!ono \({ }^{u}\) s
t!os' \(\mathbf{\sigma}^{4}\)
dák!oloi-t!us \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}-\) gwat \(^{\prime}\)
al-t! !u \({ }^{\text {is }} s^{\circ}-\) it \(^{\prime}\)
t ! \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}\)
t!uxū \({ }^{\prime}{ }^{2}\)
ts!á-(xa)
(wi-)ts!a-i
ts' lī'y-à-(t'k')
ts ! !ás.
ts!ákix
ts !am-x
da-ts' !àmx \({ }^{3}\)
Dak'ts!am-al- \(a^{\varepsilon}\)
ts!amã1
ts!ãn
da-ts' !anā'-t'
ts'!ā'sap \({ }^{\prime}\)
Dak'-ts!asin
ts!āū
ts! \(a^{a} w-a ̀ n-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\)
Dak'-ts!aºw-an- \({ }^{\text { }}\)
ts \({ }^{\cdot}\) axáa \({ }^{a} n\)
flea
male, husband
(my) husband
husband, man
pancreas
(my) pancreas
ants
one-horned deer
(her) parent-in-law
(my) parent-in-law
(village name)
humming-bird
small, a little
small-cheeked
little-eyed (epithet of squirrel)
gambling bones
driftwood
(her) brother's child, (his) sister's child
(my) nephew (my) nephew (myth form)
bluejay
hill
strong
sick
Klamath Indian
mouse
porcupine (?)
about to die
berry-bush (sp. ?)
(village name)
large body of water, ocean flood
(my) ocean
Klamath Indian
lizard (sp. ?)

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb t'ülut'al-.
\({ }^{2}\) Cf. verb -t'oxox-
\({ }^{3} \mathrm{Cf}\). verb da-ts!aam-x-
}
```

ts!ayallt
ts!ayàl-x
ts`!ék'ts`!ag-i-(t'k')
ts*!elàm }\mp@subsup{}{}{1
ts*!elei
ts'!eleĩ-(t'k')
ts`!én}\mp@subsup{n}{}{\varepsilon ts}!\mp@subsup{!}{}{\varepsilon}ts\cdot!\mp@subsup{e}{}{\varepsilon de-ts!id-àk'w2 ts`!idáx-gwa
ts`!íi}\mp@subsup{k}{}{\prime}-(\mp@subsup{\textrm{dek}}{}{\prime}     ts'!i'(t'gwa) al-ts"!il     al-ts`!íli-t'-it'
dák!oloi-ts`!il ts`!ilík!-i-(t'k')
ts`!\́xi     ts*!íxi mahài ts'!ixi-k!ō'lts !am}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon ts'!olx s`al-ts`!un-àp-x     s'al-ts`!únu-p`-it`
ts`!ún}\mp@subsup{}{}{\varepsilon 1-ts'!6-p`-al
de-ts`!ügúus     de-ts*!ugù-t'     de-ts'!ug\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\prime}[h]-it' ts`!ũk'
gál ts ug[w]-áa
di-ts`!ùk`
ts`!ül'm
ts'!ülm-ì-(t'k')
ülük!-i-(t'k')
ü'lük!-i-x-(da-gwa)
\mp@subsup{\overline{u}}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{\varepsilon}{}{x}
pinon jay
wet
(my) backbone
hail
eye
(my) eve
wild-rose berry
small bird (sp. ?)
reddish
disease-spirit, "pain"
(my) flesh
(his own) flesh
red
pl.
red-cheeked
(my) elbow
dog
"dog big," horse
(name of Sun's servant)
dentalia
straight
pl.
deer-skin cap with woodpecker tails
sharp-clawed
sharp-pointed
sharp-pointed
pl.
Indian rope
bowstring
Indian rope
wart
(my) wart
(my) head-hair
(his own) hair
deer-skin pouch for receiving seeds
when beaten from stalk
lle. verb ts:!ele-m-.

```
```

wa-(dẽ)
wán-(da)
wã-(xa)
t'a-wã-(xa)
wa ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{x}$-(dèk)
al- ${ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{wa}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{d}-\mathrm{i}-(\mathrm{de})$
$b e^{e}{ }^{\varepsilon} w a^{\text {a }}{ }^{1}-1^{i}$
wagá-t'a ${ }^{\text {a }}$
waiwí ${ }^{i}$
waiwi-(t'èk')
wak'd-1́-(xa)
wa ${ }^{\text {a }}$ s
wàx
han-wax-g-àn
wayà
wayaũ-(xa)
wigĩ-n
wi ${ }^{\text {E }} 1-n$
Al-wilám-xa-dis
he ${ }^{\text {eq.wilámxa }}$
wilàu
wiláu-(t'ek')
wíli, wilĩ
wilì-(t'k')
de-de-wilíi-da
wili-hau-(t'ek')
dan wili $i^{i}$
-win-i-
ha- ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ win-i-(dẽ)
$x a^{a}-\varepsilon^{8}$ wín-hi
xā-bin-win-ì
winì-t'
ge winit'
de- ${ }^{-}$winit ${ }^{\prime}$
hã ${ }^{\varepsilon}$-wit'
wits’!am-àk'w, wits’!am-à
to, at (me)
to, at (him)
(his) younger brother
(his) younger sister
(my) body
towards (me)
"sun its-body," all day long
which one?
girl, female
(my) girl
(his) mother's brother's son
bush with edible root (sp. ?)
creek
across the creek
knife
(his) daughter-in-law
small red lizard
different
(mountain name)
beyond Alwilámxa-dis
arrow
(my) arrow
house
(my) house
door
(my) friend (used as term of greet-
ing
big stone knife
inside of (me)
half-way
half full
tired out, exhausted
proceeding that far
proceeding, going ahead, reaching
to
getting even (in reply)
flint flaker, fire-driller

```
```

wogit"
wul'x
wonnāk'w1
wonnā'k'w
wo'p!ù-n-(t'k')
wüül}[h]-àm ' 2
Xa
xa[h]-àm-(t'k')
xa
xagá-(xa)
(wi-)xaga-1
xam'k'
xãn }\mp@subsup{}{}{3
xa'l-àm-(t'k')
xdã-(xa)
(wi-)xda-i
xdã-n"
xdeit'
-xdíls
xa a}-xdíl\mp@code{\&
gwen-xdíl\&
xèm
xì
xiy-à-(t'k`)     ha-xíya-\varepsilonxi hā'p`di
xí-binì
xin-t'
xi-gwàl-t'
xil-àm
han-xilm-i
xíl\&}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{}{}{\prime}\mp@subsup{w}{}{\prime
ximn-1-(xa)
xin *

```

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb wunuun-
\({ }^{2} \mathrm{Cf}\). verb wülüh-am-.
\({ }^{3}\) Cf. verb xalaxam-
}
frog
enemy, Shasta Indian
old
pl.
(my) eyebrows
menstrual round-dance
back, waist
(my) back
on (my) back
(his) mother's sister
(my) mother's sister
grizzly bear
urine
(my) urine
(his) father's brother (my) father's brother
eel
flute of wild parsnip
slim
slim-waisted
slim-necked
raven
water
(my) water
"being-in-the-water small," mink
three
three times
fresh (of meat)
sick, dead person, ghost
"Across where ghosts are," land of ghosts
billet in woman's shinny-game
(his) relative by marriage intermediate relative having died mucus

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{4}\) Cf. verb \(x d a a x d a-g w a-\)
\({ }^{3}\) Cf. verb xiliu \({ }^{\varepsilon}\)-xa-.
\({ }^{-}\)Cf. verb xiniixan-p'-.
}

Xīũ
\(t^{\prime} g a p '-x \bar{i}^{\prime} \bar{u}-t^{\prime}\)
t'gap'-xi'u-(t'ek')
xlé \({ }^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{p}-\mathrm{x}^{1}\)
xlíwi
xnik،
xò
\(x a^{a}-x \partial\)
xùm \({ }^{2}\)
cĩx-xùm
xum-à
xúma-x-(dek')
xum '-t'
ha-xo \({ }^{u} n-h i ̀\)
\(x u^{u} 1-i-\left(t^{\prime} k^{\prime}\right)\)
\(\mathrm{x} \bar{u}^{\prime \varepsilon}-n e ̀, \mathrm{xu}^{\varepsilon}-\mathrm{n}(a d v\).
Ya \({ }^{a} g a l-a^{8} S\)
yana yáhals
yãk \({ }^{\text {w }}\)
yãl
Ha-ya \({ }^{\mathrm{a}} 1-\mathrm{b} \bar{a}^{\prime} 1 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{da}\)
Gel-yãl-k'
yàmx
yamx-(dèk')
yan(?) \(1 a^{a} 1 i^{i}-\)
yanà
yan-gwàs \({ }^{3}\)
yànx
yap!à
yau-

bush from hard wood of which ca-mass-stick is made camass-stick (my) camass-stick
roundish dough-like cake of deerfat or camass
feathers worn in war-dance
acorn dough
fir
among firs
dry
dried venison
food
(my) food
lean
just for nothing, with no reason
(nly) brains
night
Umpqua Indian
black acorn, chief acorn
wildcat
pine
"In its tall pines" (village name)
"Abreast of pines" (village name)
fat, grease
(my) fat
become stuck (?) (86, 15)
acorn, oak
"white-barked oak"
"tall tree with rough reddish bark" person, people
ribs
(my) ribs
at (my) side
"beside-earth-its-rib," north

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb -xlep!exlab-.
\({ }^{2}\) Cf. verb xoum-an-
'Perhaps compounded of yanà and -gwási, " yellow."
}
da-yawánt!i-xi
yãx
yãxa dàn
yék'-dal
yée \({ }^{\text {k }}{ }^{\text {c }}\)
ye \({ }^{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{l}\) !iyée \({ }^{e}\)
yêl
yelèx
yeléx-(dek')
yèt'
\(y^{e}-\) xi \(^{1}\)
dan \(y e^{e} w-a l d-a n-i^{i 2}\)
yibáxam
yid-1́-(xa)
yik'àt'
yílwass
yiwì-n \({ }^{3}\)
yiwin-(dèk')
p ! \({ }^{i} \mathrm{yog}[\mathrm{w}]-\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{a}}\)
da-yougám-xa (adv.)
bai-yugw-à-(t'k')
yōk'm
yõk![w]-a-(t'k'), yõ \({ }^{〔} k^{\prime}[w]-a-\) (t'k')
yõ̌k'au
yúqk'ama


yola
youláp-x-(dek')
yõls
yulù-m, yulà-m
yōm
yo \({ }^{\text {u }} \mathrm{m}\) - a -( \(\mathrm{t}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime}\) )
on one side, on the other side, half graveyard
water-worn flat rock
in the brush
cinders
sparrow-hawk
whip
burden-basket
(my) burden basket
tears
needle, awl
"always returning to rocks," otter (myth name)
small skunk
(her) husband's sister
long-tailed red deer
hazel switch
speech (my) speech
fireplace
fall, antumn
(my) rescuer
bone (my) bone

\section*{marrow}
salmon-tail
(his) salmon-tail
" at-rear-end-of-earth-its-tail," west
fox
(my) guardian spirit
steel-head salmon
eagle
blood
(my) blood

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{1}\) Cf. verb yegwegw.
\({ }^{2}\) Cf. verb yewew-ald.
'Cf. verbs yaway- and yiwiyatr-
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Yūk'yák'wa & (name of salt lick where deer were caught) \\
\hline \(y \bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}\) !al-x, y \(\bar{u}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{k}^{\prime} \mathrm{al}\)-x & teeth \\
\hline y \(\bar{u}^{\prime} \mathrm{k}\) !alx-(dèk') & (my) teeth \\
\hline yũp' & woman's basket-cap \\
\hline yu'b-ì-(t'k') & (my) basket cap \\
\hline yót' \(\mathrm{i}^{1}\) & alive \\
\hline yut'íhi & pl. \\
\hline yut \({ }^{\text {a }}\) ( \(\mathrm{n}^{2}\) & white duck \\
\hline \(y \bar{u}^{\prime} x g-a n\) & trout \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\footnotetext{
\({ }^{\prime}\) Pernaps \(=y \delta-t^{\prime}\) hi. Cf. verb youo.
'Cf. verb -yut!uyad-.
}

\section*{Editorial Notes}

Originally published as University of Pennsylvania Anthropological Publications 2(1) (1909). Reprinted by permission of the University Museum, University of Pennsylvania.

The following notes correspond to numbers placed in the margins of the Texts.
1. In addition, an asterisk ( \({ }^{*}\) ) denotes theoretical forms. (p. 325)
2. "Come and copulate! Come and copulate!" she said (they say).(p. 351)
3. "I'll copulate." (p. 351)
4. She stretched her legs apart. Then, they say, he slept with her. Then she squeezed her legs together. "I don't want her to do that to me!" (said Daldal). Now he was nearly breathless. "O elder brother!" There he went (Daldal the elder); he used a 'flint-flaker' and split her legs apart. (p. 351)
5. More correctly, the aorist stem tc!ucum-. (p. 392)
6. Sapir inadvertently omitted the translation of two Takelma sentences here: "Sharp-mouthed, sharp-clawed copulator with your mother," he was called. "What are you saying?" (p. 401)
7. S-copulator with your mother.(p. 401)
8. Then he discovered she had nothing for a vagina. (p. 423)
9. For "the warriors assembled together" read "he assembled the warriors together" (wáit!emém, from the stem t!emem "to gather (people) together.")(p. 4:
10. "Here is a vagina for you," they said to their father, and gave him a vagina. And they said to their mother, "Here is a penis for you, here are testicles for you." "Here are intestines for you," they said to their father; they gave the children to their father to eat. (p. 441)
11. See The Takelma Language, p. 261, note 1.(p. 441)
12. "Here are testicles for you," they said to their mother. "Here is a vagina for you, and breasts. Here are children for you," (they said to their father).(p. 443;
13. "Here, father, is a vagina for you. Here, mother, are testicles for you, here is a penis for you," they said; they gave the intestines to their mother. (p. 445)
14. "Here are testicles for you, here is a penis for you," (they said to their mother). "Here is a vagina for you, father, here are breasts for you." ( \(\mathbf{p}\). 449)

15 . The suffix - \(k\) wan in \(i k!u^{u}\) mánkwan "they prepared themselves" is probably the plural form of the indirect relative - \(k w a\). See The Takelma Language, pp. 148-149 and 247-249.(p. 458)
16. For "one child did grow up" read "he caused one child to grow up." (p. 469)
17. For "Not in that fashion!" read "Do not say that!" (p. 493)
18. Add an entry: sēl "kingfisher." (p. 535)

\section*{Errata to Takelma Texts}

Edward Sapir marked a number of corrigenda in his own copy of Takelma Texts, now in the library of the Institute of Foreign Studies in Tokyo. Many of these were published in Notes on Chasta Costa Phonology and Morphology (Sapir 1914c, 265-267). In the present edition, the erroneous forms have been corrected using a photographic process, following Sapir's manuscript corrigenda. The list below is a record of all changes that were made for this edition.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Original & & Present & Original & Corrected \\
\hline Page & Line & Page & Form & Form \\
\hline 24 & 8 & 338 & hä \({ }^{\prime}\) ¢da \({ }^{\text {c }}\) & hãxda \({ }^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline 24 & 11 & 338 & \(\mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{i} \text { ¢ }} \mathrm{S}\) & \(\mathrm{mi}^{\mathbf{i} \epsilon_{\text {S }}}\) \\
\hline 25 & 3 & 339 & da-it!amák & da-idamak \\
\hline 25 & 8 & 339 & Abaigini \({ }^{\text {k }}\). & Abaiginí \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {¢ }}\). \\
\hline 26 & 12 & 340 & ginít k . & ginít \(\mathrm{k}^{\prime}\). \\
\hline 30 & 11 & 344 & give it me to it to eat & give it me to eat \\
\hline 31 & 14 & 345 & mu \({ }^{\text {u }}\) dánhi & mü \({ }^{\text {Uf }} \mathrm{x}\) ¢ánhi \\
\hline 32 & 1 & 346 & holdidg & holding \\
\hline 41 & fn. & 355 & note 1, p. 3. & note 1, p. 31. \\
\hline 50 & 1 & 364 & ts!āip \({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{k}^{2}\) & ts!āipk \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline 50 & 2 & 364 & ók'igam \({ }^{2}\) & ók'igam \({ }^{3}\) \\
\hline 50 & fn. & 364 & [not given] & \({ }^{3}\) Inferentials. \\
\hline 57 & 4 & 371 & desgwogwènt \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) & desgwôgwènt \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline 61 & 12 & 375 & yalá \({ }^{\text {k }}\) & yalá \(\mathrm{k}^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline 71 & 4 & 385 & hawa \({ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{pi}^{\text {i- }}\) & ha'wap!i- \\
\hline 71 & 15 & 385 & ìkwée \({ }^{\text {ex }}\) & ìk'wée \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline 71 & 16 & 385 & bayewéi & bayewéí \\
\hline 75 & 3 & 389 & xambilíu & xambilíú \\
\hline 79 & fn. 1 & 393 & Sapir & Sapir, \\
\hline 85 & 10 & 399 & finished & finished. \\
\hline 88 & 9 & 402 & wíis & wí's \\
\hline 92 & 4 & 406 & k!eméi; & k!emèi; \\
\hline 94 & 2 & 408 & nāk'wōk'. & nāk'wôk' \\
\hline 95 & 24 & 409 & whats & what's \\
\hline 100 & 1 & 414 &  & yèūk'ie? \({ }^{\text {e }}\) \\
\hline 101 & 15 & 415 & trapped \({ }^{2}\) & trapped \({ }^{1}\) \\
\hline 108 & 9 & 422 & disgot'ōlha & disgot!ōlha \\
\hline 109 & 11 & 423 & ba \({ }^{\text {a }}\) '-seeds. & ba \({ }^{\text {p }}\) '-seeds. \\
\hline 110 & 3 & 424 & p'elēkwa; & p'elēk'wa; \\
\hline 117 & fn. 2 & 431 & didhthong & diphthong \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Original \\
Page
\end{tabular} & Line & \begin{tabular}{l}
Present \\
Page
\end{tabular} & Original Form & Corrected Form \\
\hline 119 & fn. & 433 & Atbabascan & Athabascan \\
\hline 120 & 16 & 434 & t'ga \({ }^{\text {a }}\) & \(t^{\text {¢ }} \mathrm{ga}^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline 120 & 17 & 435 & Gwī' \({ }^{\text {ne }}\) & Gwī'¢ \({ }^{\text {ne }}\) \\
\hline 124 & 7 & 438 & gingàt' & gingàt \({ }^{\text {c }}\) \\
\hline 133 & 10 & 447 & sbéxalt'a, & sbéxalt'a," \\
\hline 134 & 8 & 448 & ópxakan, & ópxak!an, \\
\hline 146 & 3 & 460 & ág & gá \\
\hline 147 & 15 & 461 & Thus & "Thus \\
\hline 154 & 11 & 468 & Ganē & Ganēhi \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) \\
\hline 156 & 21 & 470 & "Kái \({ }^{\text {w }}\) a & "K'áí \({ }^{\text {wa }}\) a \\
\hline 171 & fn. 9 & 485 & grandfather & grandmother \\
\hline 172 & fn. 2 & 486 & war-dance, & war-dance. \\
\hline 173 & 22 & 487 & s -omlóholxa \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) S & s-omlohólxa \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\) S \\
\hline 178 & 19 & 492 & ganàt \({ }^{\text {¢ }}\), & ga nàt', \\
\hline 182 & 17 & 496 & ts!a-imàt, & ts!a-imát', \\
\hline 184 & 16 & 498 & gweliūs•i &  \\
\hline 189 & fn. 4 & 503 & Yūk'yák'wa & Yūk'yák'wa \\
\hline 191 & fn. 8 & 505 & when did & when will \\
\hline 194 & 14 & 508 & t'omomán? & t!omomán? \\
\hline 195 & 5 & 509 & shall & shalt \\
\hline 195 & 13 & 509 & shall & shalt \\
\hline 196 & 21 & 510 & sallatsàk'," & sallats!àk‘," \\
\hline 206 & 22 & 520 & :gwenai-áts & :gwenai-iáts \\
\hline 209 & 33 & 523 & beat off back & beat off bark \\
\hline 218 & 25 & 532 & p[] -xa- & p'el-xa- \\
\hline 222 & 6 & 536 & \(\mathrm{da}^{\text {a }}\) & \(\mathrm{da}^{\text {a }}\) \\
\hline 230 & 31 & 544 & ts \(\cdot \mathrm{ai}-\mathrm{m}\)-, & ts \(!\) ai-m-, \\
\hline 231 & 30 & 545 & ha-dak & ha-dak'- \\
\hline 233 & 17 & 547 & drawns & dawns \\
\hline 234 & 27 & 548 & mensrtaul & menstrual \\
\hline 236 & 4 & 550 & supernaturally & supernaturally) \\
\hline 239 & 30 & 553 & tion) & tion); be born \\
\hline 243 & 13 & 557 & delg-án-x-(dèk`) & delg-an-x-(dèk') \\
\hline 260 & 8 & 574 & \(\mathrm{xan}^{\text {n }}\) [h]-àm-(t'k') & \(\mathrm{xa}^{\text {a }}\) [h]-àm-(t'k \({ }^{\text {c }}\) ) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


Mrs. Frances Johnson (Gwísgwashàn), consultant to Edward Sapir, Siletz, Oregon, 1906.
(Photograph by Edward Sapir. Courtesy of the Library of the American Philosophical Society, Philadelphia.)

\title{
The Discourse Patterning of a Takelma Text: "Coyote and His Rock Grandson"
}

\author{
Dell Hymes
}

\section*{Introduction}

The texts of many American Indian languages have been found in recent years to be patterned in terms of lines and groups of lines. Takelma is one of these. The first text of Sapir's collection, "Coyote and His Rock Grandson," is presented below in a line and line-group format to show that this is the case, and to show the importance of working with the entire collection in this fashion to discover as much as possible about the use to which such patterning was put by the narrator, Mrs. Frances Johnson.

We do not know what intonation contours Mrs. Johnson used in telling these stories, or where she paused. To know such things might make a difference to the patterning one finds in the stories, and it would certainly help to bring the stories alive. Yet the words and phrases themselves show so much parallelism and selective repetition that we can still discover an organization which makes the stories more readable and more meaningful.

Takelma is one of the many American Indian languages in which four is a significant number for patterning. Along with four goes pairing. We see this in the first lines of the text presented here. On one side are two (Wolf, Panther); on the other side, to be sure, three (Coyote, his wife Crane, and his daughter), but they are presented in wording that gives four phrases for persons (Coyote, Crane, Coyote's wife, his daughter), then adds two lines about the daughter to make four introductory lines altogether, including a closing repetition of 'daughter' in lines 2 and 4. Throughout the story actions are expressed in doubled form, recalling perhaps the doubling of expression in the Hebrew Psalms: she took off her skirt, she bathed; Otter came paddling with his canoe, he landed with his canoe; he stole the girl, he took her with him; he put a stone in her, he took her to his house; the girl was pregnant, she gave birth to a child. Repetitions sometimes make successive pairs partly parallel: daughter, as mentioned for lines 2 and 4 ; bathe ( 6,8 ); took her ( 12,14 ).

Such patterning is never mechanical. A story teller has more than one option in mapping the sequence of incident into the patterning of groups of lines, and sometimes may make use of an alternative principle for the sake of intensity. Mrs. Johnson may do this in colloquies in this story, where one finds not two or four, but three pairs of exchanges (34-45, 51-58).

Turns of talk are always relevant units in such patterning. So are occurrences of two initial particles, gane (hi?) and \(m i \cdot\left(h i^{P}\right)\). Indeed, their recurrence is so much a part of the structure that it must be shown in the English translation, by always translating each the same: 'then' and 'now' respectively. Notice that in this text \(m i \cdot\left(h i^{\text {P }}\right)\) 'now' sometimes marks the first of two groups of lines linked in a verse (13-16; 116-119), and sometimes the second (9-12, 21-24). Study of other texts may show a stylistic significance.

The two particles are sometimes followed by -hi?. This is shown in the translation by a comma following the 'then' or 'now'. The form -hi' has no lexical meaning of its own. Because of this, and because it is found only in myths, Sapir conjectured that it is a quotative element (The Takelma Language, p. 274) and gives it the translation 'it is said'. But \(-h i\) ' is unlike any verb of saying in Takelma, and very like the emphatic particle hi (The Takelma Language, p. 272), which like -hi? is commonly postposed, following pronouns and demonstratives. \(-h i^{p}\) and \(h i\) seem to be variants, both having an emphatic force. Since Sapir in his field notebooks sometimes abbreviates gane. (hip) so that one cannot always be sure whether or not it was followed by \(-h i\), it seems all the better to avoid a lexical translation, except where strongly indicated, as in the contrast between 'he said' with and without \(-h i\) ? in lines 50 and 57.

There is much still to be learned about such patterning, both in general and in Takelma. Enough is known to show here what it is like, but almost all of Mrs. Johnson's stories remain to be analyzed in this way. (In addition to this text, I have worked out no. 10, "Coyote Goes Courting"; no. 17, "The Otter Brothers Recover their Father's Heart"; and passages of no. 5, "Coyote and Fox," and no. 14, "Eagle and Grizzly Bears".) When all of the stories have been analyzed, we will be able to have more confidence that the form we find in a particular story is as true as possible to the original telling. We will be able to appreciate more fully what is specific to these texts, and gain a better understanding of the universal principles from which their patterning emerges.

\section*{Notes on format}

The lines are given numbers (1-201) for ease of reference. The line numbers make it possible to say precisely where something occurs.

Roman numerals indicate the major acts, or scenes, of the story. Headings are supplied to indicate their focus and unity. There are four major acts, or scenes, in this story: Otter takes Coyote's daughter; Otter's child seeks its grandparents; he rights their wrongs; he and his family bring them salmon.

Lower case letters in parentheses ((a), (b), etc.) indicate groups of lines that constitute a verse. Capital letters in parentheses ((A), (B), etc.) indicate groups of verses that constitute a stanza.

The original Takelma text, rearranged in lines to match the analytic translation, faces the latter. The orthography is a phonemic revision of that used by Sapir, as explained in note 1 following the text.

\section*{Coyote and His Rock Grandson \({ }^{1}\)}

\section*{I}

1 Bá•xdis hu•lk wili ìxdi•l;
2 Sgìsi mé \(\cdot x\) sgìsi gú \(\cdot x d a\) beyàn,
3 mì \(\cdot\) s \(_{\text {sga }}{ }^{\text {P }}\) di \(\cdot\) hèlé \(\cdot\) ya \({ }^{\text {P }}\) wà-iwi.
4 Sgisi beyàn.
5 Ganè•hip ha•í altgèm ba•dinìpx,
6 dahó•xa wa-iwì pagà-ida?
7 Du-gwitgwa ba-ixodòxat,
8 pagài?.
9 Ey silnagài? mì sgap \(^{\text {P }}\) bùmxi dap?a•là-u,
10 ey ba-isilixgwa.
\(11 \mathrm{Mi} \cdot\) hoyo'í wa-iwì,
12 yá•nk \({ }^{\mathrm{w}}\).
13 Mi hip dàn bapilelè \({ }^{\text {P }}\) k,
14 hawilitgwa ginìk \({ }^{w}\).
15 Wa-iwi mahwì?
16 hà -pxwi p pa-imačàk.
17 Ganì•hi? Sgisi wà-iwi hačolol,
18 òt,
19 du•gì - ya t'ayàk haxiyà.
\(20 \mathrm{Mi} \cdot \mathrm{hi}\) ªlbinix la•lé.
21 Ulum pìyin mahài t'omò \(\cdot \mathrm{mt}\) Sgìsi,
\(22 \mathrm{mi} \cdot\) Sgisi pìyin wé \(\cdot \mathrm{tgin}\),
23 piyax ya ogòigin,
24 tgwan Kemé•n Sgìsi.
25 Àni•? yok \({ }^{\text {w }}\) O \(\cdot 1\) -
26 gwi giniyagwànma?
27

Mi paiyuwò \({ }^{\text {P }}\) hapxí, Kayài?.
31 Mi mahài la lé hapxitĩ•ta pa-imacák.
32 Malàke•hi,

\section*{Coyote and His Rock Grandson}
I. [Coyote's daughter is taken by Otter.]
(A)(a) Wolf, Panther, with ten houses; ..... 1
Coyote, Coyote's wife Crane, his daughter, ..... 2
one girl sleeping on a platform, ..... 3
Coyote's daughter. ..... 4
(b) Then black clouds spread out in long strips, ..... 5
at evening as the girl was bathing. ..... 6
She took off her skirt, ..... 7
she bathed. ..... 8
(B)(c) One young Otter came paddling in his canoe, ..... 9
he landed with his canoe. ..... 10
Now he stole the girl, ..... 11
he took her with him. ..... 12
(d) Now he put a stone up in her, ..... 13
he took her into his house. ..... 14
The girl was. pregnant, ..... 15
she gave birth to a child. ..... 16
(C)(e) Then, Coyote missed the girl, ..... 17
he looked for her, ..... 18
he found just her skirt in the water. ..... 19
(f) Now, he went into mourning.
(D)(g) Before Coyote used to kill large deer, ..... 21
Now Coyote was deprived of deer, ..... 22
he was given just fawns, ..... 23
Coyote was made into a slave. ..... 24
(h) Where she had been taken, ..... 25
his daughter, ..... 26
he did not know, ..... 27
Coyote. ..... 28
II. [The child grows up and seeks its grandparents./
(i) /The child insists on going./
(E)(i) Now the child was born, ..... 29
it grew up. ..... 30
(j) Now the boy she had given birth to became big. ..... 31
She told him, ..... 32
```

    "kasi·Pt hinau·."
    Ganè-hiP ei wi/kwa.
    "Hinde
        "DaPmàxau."
    "Ge ginàkde.."
        "Yelnadà."
    "Yanàte.
    Gwinàtedi?"
        "Da·molhè·t,
        i c č'òpal,
        hadanxmolè·t,"
        nagàhi?.
        "Kasi``t wa-iwi`ta bòkdan bá·ls."
    Mahài lá\cdotle ha-pxit`èta.

```
    Mi \(\cdot\) hi \({ }^{\text {P }}\) dalyewèi?,
        ei ba•sá• \({ }^{w}\).
    "Gun-gun hàp-da yá \(\cdot n-t e\) ?,"
        nagà-ihi?
    Wili gadak nagài?:
        t uł tuł tuł.
        "Nèkdi yá•x wili gadàk?"
        nagài?.
    "Ge yá \(\cdot x\) wili nagàitədi?" \({ }^{2}\)
        "Gwinàtədi dexebenàt?"
    "Ma•pª gwinàtədi eí•tp,
    ganàtsiP eí•te?."
        "Ne abailìu."
    Abailiwilìu?
        ali•tbà-gin;
        sin?i•tgilè \({ }^{\text {sgwa }}{ }^{3}\),
        yó•m menge yà hi la lálé.
    Abaiginì?k,
        ali-tbàgatbak,
        yàp?a he? i •lemè? k ,
        yàpª t'omó \(\cdot \mathrm{m}\) aldíl.
    "č'olx o-òs • ip!"
    čolx o-ogoyin,
        dàkdagwa kowú.
        Gane• xi igi•na
        alpoú pauhi.
        Gane bà?iyewe•n aldil,
        č'olx ogoyin.
"Your mother's parents are upriver." ..... 33
(F)(k) Then, he traveled about in his canoe. ..... 34
"Mother! I shall go to my grandparents." ..... 35
- "Far away." ..... 36
(1) "There I shall go." ..... 37
- "You will get lost." ..... 38
(m) "I shall go. ..... 39
"What do they look like?" ..... 40
"Red-eared, ..... 41
sharp-handed, ..... 42
red in the ear," ..... 43
she told him, ..... 44
"your mother's mother has a long neck." ..... 45
(n) The boy had become big. ..... 46
(ii) [First house.]
(G)(o) Now, he went off, ..... 47
he paddled his canoe upstream. ..... 48
(p) "As Otter's child I go," ..... 49
he said, indeed. ..... 50
\((\mathrm{H})(\mathrm{q})\) On top of a house he made the noise, ..... 51
"Tuł tuł tuł." ..... 52
- "Who is on top of the graveyard house?" ..... 53
someone said. ..... 54
(r) "There is a graveyard house, you say?" ..... 55
- "What do you look like, you who spoke?" ..... 56
(s) "What do you people look like? ..... 57
I look just the same." ..... 58
- "Well, look inside!" ..... 59
(I)(t) He looked inside, ..... 60
he was hit; ..... 61
he scratched his nose, ..... 62
it was full of blood. ..... 63
(u) He went inside, ..... 64
he hit them all, ..... 65
he did away with the people, ..... 66
he killed all the people. ..... 67
(J)(v) "Give me dentalia!" ..... 68
(w) He was given dentalia, ..... 69
he put it about himself. ..... 70
(x) Then he took water, ..... 71
he blew on it. ..... 72
(y) Then he made them all recover; ..... 73
he was given dentalia. ..... 74

76 "Gun-gun hàp-da yá•n-te?,"
(iii) [Second house.]\((\mathrm{K})(\mathrm{z})\) Then he went.75
(aa) "As Otter's child I go," ..... 76
he said. ..... 77
(L)(bb) Then, ..... 78
- "Who is on top of the graveyard house?" ..... 79
someone said. ..... 80
(cc) "There is a graveyard house, you say?" ..... 81
(dd) - "What do you look like, you who spoke? ..... 82
"Well, look inside." ..... 83
(M)(ee) He looked inside, ..... 84
he was hit; ..... 85
he scratched his nose, ..... 86
just full of blood. ..... 87
(ff) He went inside; ..... 88
he hit them all, ..... 89
he did away with them. ..... 90
(N)(gg) "Give me dentalia, ..... 91
because you hit me." ..... 92
He was given dentalia. ..... 93
(hh) He took up water, ..... 94
he took water; ..... 95
they recovered. ..... 96
(iv) [Third house.]
(O)(ii) Then he went. ..... 97
It became night. ..... 98
(jj) In his canoe he paddled to land. ..... 99
She had told her son: ..... 100
"There your mother's parents have a long neck, ..... 101
red ears, ..... 102
sharp hands." ..... 103
(kk) He went inside, ..... 104
he saw him, ..... 105
long-mouthed, ..... 106
red in the ear. ..... 107
He saw him, ..... 108
sharp-handed. ..... 109
(II) He turned to the woman, ..... 110
he saw her, ..... 111
long-necked, ..... 112
long-legged. ..... 113
"These are the ones my mother spoke of, ..... 114
my mother's parents?" ..... 115

116 Bá•nx t’omó•kwa.
\(117 \mathrm{Mi} \cdot x u m \mathrm{o} \cdot \mathrm{t}\),
118 yana t’ayák,
119 Keleú.
120 Alxì•k Kàsa.
121 "Wikàsi wihin
122 melèxina?
123 'i \(\cdot\) č’òpal,'
124 nagà-ida?
125 'Rasa bòkdan bá•Is,'
126 nagà-ida?."
127 Mì•hi t’ayák.

128 kwà \(\cdot x\).
129 "Gi• eí•te? Rasá•."
130 "Bá•xdis hàpxda mì•?wa,"
131 nagài?.
132 " \(\mathrm{Ba} \cdot \mathrm{P} \cdot\) •-yuwunìn,
133 i.kwà•gwi?n."
134 Sgisi mi kwà \(\cdot x\).
135 "Kasá., gi eí•te?.
136 Ba•dé•p, Kasá•!
137 Bá•nx t'umù \(\times\) xi.
138 Yana ló \(\cdot \mathrm{p}\) !
139 Alhu•ipx Rasá \(\cdot\),
140 s•í•x yàmxda gelgulugwà?n."

141 Sgisi pìyin mahài t'omó•m
142 wé•tgin.
143 pìyax ga ya ogó•ygin,
144 piyìn mahày wé•tgin.
145 Lobòxa?
146 yana lobóp,
147 Kà•want.
148 "Ba-ihèmk gasàlhi,
149 bo wedèsina?
150 Bá•xdis gú•xda wedèsink."
151 " \(\mathrm{Gi} \cdot \mathrm{eme}^{\text {? }}\) eí•te?
152 wede wedèsbigam."
1II. [He rights their wrongs.]
(i) [Hungry, he is sure he has found them.]
(P)(mm) He was dying of hunger. ..... 116
Now he looked for food, ..... 117
he found acorn mush, ..... 118
he gulped it down. ..... 119
(nn) He looked at his mother's parents: ..... 120
"It is my grandfather, ..... 121
since she told me, ..... 122
'sharp-handed', ..... 123
she said; ..... 124
'Grandmother has a long neck,' ..... 124
she said." ..... 126
Now, he had found them. ..... 127
(ii) [They wake, and he asks for food.]
(Q)(oo) She woke up. ..... 128
"It is I, grandmother!" ..... 129
(pp) "It must be Wolf's children," ..... 130
she had thought, ..... 131
"I'll arouse him, ..... 132
I'll wake him up." ..... 133
(R)(qq) Coyote now woke up. ..... 134
(rr) "Grandfather! it is I. ..... 135
Get up, grandfather! ..... 136
I'm dying of hunger. ..... 137
Pound acorns! (grandmother) ..... 138
Go out hunting, grandfather! ..... 139
I want deer fat." ..... 140
(iii) [He frees his grandmother.]
(S)(ss) Coyote killed a large deer, ..... 141
he was deprived of it. ..... 142
Just a fawn he was given, ..... 143
he was deprived of large deer. ..... 144
(tt) She pounded, ..... 145
she pounded acorns, ..... 146
she put them in a sifting basket.
(T)(uu) "Take it out quickly, ..... 148
soon it will be taken from me. ..... 149
Wolf's wife will take it from me." ..... 150
(vv) "I am here, ..... 151
It will not be taken from you." ..... 152

Xni(k) Reméí . abaihiwilìu? dan gadàk mačák.
Mi•hi \({ }^{2}\) bá \(\cdot x\) dis gú \(\cdot x d a\) mi wé \(\cdot\) tgi, yana mi wétgi.
Gèhi yewèi?
ali-tbagàtbak.
"Gi eme? eí•te? wikàsi i•tgwanyè-git."
Aldi• t'omó•m, aldi ka-ila•pa t'omó•m.

Dahó•xa yewèi i aldíl, Sgìsi yewèi?
pìyax ya•hi labák Sgìsi.
p’iyin mahài t'omomanà? wétgin, pìyax ga ya ogoyìn.
"R’asá gwidì piyìn mahài?á?"
"Wé•sin."
"A.. Sgisi wà•da hapxit'i•ta he? \({ }^{\text {•lème }}\) Pxam, t'omóxam."
Mi•hi? t'e•là pagan no•s lemè?x.
t' omó \(\cdot \mathrm{m}\) hapxitìta,
alì•hitbagàtbak, gada yeweyákw.
Aldi• t'omó•m yàp? \(a\),
hapxit"ìta xebèpn, hapxitìtta t'omùxa?
Dan hapxitı̈•ta
gasi gapál ni•wàn yap \({ }^{2}\) a mahài t'omó \(\cdot \mathrm{m}\) dan hapxitìıta.

\section*{IV}

He?ne no yewèi? nixa wà \(\cdot\) da yewèi? "Alxìgi?n wikàsi, bá•xdis i•tgwanyè•kak. Xùma àldi wedèkigam, pì wedèkigam," nagà-ihi? nixa gwenhegwà gwanhi.
(U)(ww) She made acorn dough, ..... 153
she ran into the house, ..... 154
she put a rock on top of it. ..... 155
(xx) Now, Wolf's wife, ..... 156
now she took it from her, ..... 157
acorns now she took from her. ..... 158
(V)(yy) There he returned, ..... 159
he hit them all. ..... 160
"I am here, ..... 161
You have enslaved my grandmother." ..... 162
(zz) He killed them all, ..... 163
he killed all the women. ..... 164
(iv) [He frees his grandfather.]
(W)(aaa) In the evening they all came back, ..... 165
Coyote came back. ..... 166
(bbb) He had packed just a fawn, Coyote. ..... 167
He had killed a large deer, ..... 168
but it was taken away from him, ..... 169
he was given just a fawn. ..... 170
\((\mathrm{X})(\mathrm{ccc})\) "Grandfather! Where is the large deer?" ..... 171
(ddd) "I was deprived of it." ..... 172
(Y)(eee) "Ohhh! With Coyote is a boy who did away with us, ..... 173
he killed us." ..... 174
(fff) Now, their husbands met at the next house, ..... 175
they beat the boy, ..... 176
but he struck them all, ..... 177
he got even with them for that. ..... 178
(Z) (ggg) He killed all the people, ..... 179
the boy did that, ..... 180
the boy killed. ..... 181
(hhh) The boy was rock, ..... 182
because of that he was feared. ..... 183
The rock boy killed big people. ..... 184
IV [He and his family bring salmon.]
(AA)(iii) And then he returned downriver, ..... 185
he returned to his mother. ..... 186
(jjj) "I have seen my grandparents; ..... 187
Wolf seems to have enslaved them. ..... 188
They seem to have been deprived of all food, ..... 189
They seem to have been deprived of all firewood," ..... 190
he said, ..... 191
he related it to his mother. ..... 192
```

Sgisi beyàn gani• yà? maxa wà $\cdot$ da,
pim è debu? t'i•twi yà?
Motwók bòmxi,
pim è•debu? yá•nkw.
Bùmxi gú•xdagwadì•l pim è $\cdot$ debu ${ }^{\text {P }}$ yá $\cdot \mathrm{nk}^{w}$,
maxa wà $\cdot \mathrm{da}$ aba-iwó $\cdot \mathrm{k}$.
Sgìsi gú•xdagwadíl di $\cdot$ hilí $\cdot \mathrm{k}^{w}$
bean yewè-ida?
Gani $\cdot$ nó yewèi?

```

Notes on Text
1. The orthography used in this rearranged text follows a phonemicization proposed by Sapir in lectures to his students at Yale in 1936. William Shipley in his article "Proto-Takelman" (1969: 227) presents a table of equivalencies between the phonetic orthography in which the original texts appeared and the phonemic orthography as recorded by Mary Haas from Sapir. In general, consonants now appear in the usual Amerindianist representations; vowel allophones have been regularized to long and short \(a, e, i, o, u\) (with length represented by \(\cdot\) ); and pitch accent marking now follows the usual pattern of acute accent for high and grave for low.
2. In the published text the character ě appears only in this interrogative construction and is not described in Sapir's phonetic table. Based on forms in Sapir's grammar, Hymes has interpreted this phoneme as schwa, perhaps epenthetic, and we use \(\partial\) in this retranscription.
3. In the published text the first occurrence of 'he scratched his nose' is sini•tgilè \({ }^{\text {s }}\) gwa and the second (line 86 here) is \(\sin\) ? \(\cdot\) tgilè \(\operatorname{sgwa}\). Following Sapir's grammar (p. 78) we here restore the glottal stop omitted in the first occurrence. Variant vowels and pitch accents in the two nearly identical passages have not been edited, however.
( BB )(kkk) Coyote's daughter then went to her father. ..... 193
Her husband went with a canoe full of salmon. ..... 194
Otter visited his father-in-law, ..... 195
he took a canoe full of salmon. ..... 196
(CC)(111) Otter and his wife took a canoe full of salmon, ..... 197
they arrived at her father's house. ..... 198
Coyote and his wife were glad ..... 199
that their daughter returned. ..... 200
(DD)(mmm) Then they went back down river. ..... 201

\section*{Notes on Translation}

\section*{Line(s)}

1: Ten houses implies five each; 'five' suggests a force alien to grouping in terms of two and four, as the plot shows.
5: Such scene painting is rare.
17-19: The trio of lines may express intensity.
25-28: The Takelma has literally: 'not he knew, where she had been taken, Coyote, his daughter.' The order seems stylistically striking, and I attempt to emulate it in English.
31, 46: The second element of each group is parallel: 'become big'.
33-45: Although divided by the emphatic initial, 'Then,' (34) the mother has four turns at talk in all. Her account of her parents again has four elements, three for the father, one for the mother, separated by a verb of speaking.
51-52: The noise initiates the interaction (like the summons of a telephone ring).
60-3, 64-7: Notice the two successive accounts of action, each in four lines.
78-83: The interaction is modeled in terms of a subset of its sounds and speech, framed in three turns instead of six.
\(84-87,88-90\) : The first four lines parallel those of \(60-63\), but the second set appears to lose a fourth line, probably accidentally.
104-115: Twelve lines apportioned among four sets of action: he went inside, he saw; he saw; he turned, he saw; (speech), of which the first and third (104-5, 110-1) are doubled. Note the recurrence of mother's parents' at the end of each half \((101,115)\). The stanza may have two main parts, not four.
128-140: The two parts are parallel: she woke up, Coyote now woke up (128, 134 ); each is greeted, "It is I." The grandmother provides a second group that is a transition to the third, and the renewal of the waking. The child extends the renewal of "lt is I" into a four-part directive. "Pound acorns" (138) must be addressed to the grandmother, as the following action shows.

141-4: I take these lines not to be generic, but to be an anticipatory report of Coyote, as grandfather, having carried out the boy's command. The Takelma permits either interpretation ('deer' in general, 'a deer').
141-164, 165-184: The freeing of the grandparents expands into eight parts in each case (four parts of paired verses).
190: Dutiful kin would provide grandparents with food.
193-197: Otters are great getters of salmon, and salmon, for the Takelma on the Rogue River, was a major food. Perhaps the grandparents live where deer and acorns are available, but not salmon. If so, the alliance with Otter not only has led to their liberation, but established a desirable relationship of exchange.
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\section*{Index}

Ablaut, vowel, 75-78; consonant, \(78-79\).
Accent, see Pitch accent.
Acorn Woman, 482.
Address, particles of, 294.
Adjectival derivative suffixes, 278-278.
Adjectival prefixes, 272-274.
Adjectives, 271-280; particles as, 220.
Adverbs, 283-288; demonstrative, \(269-270\); numeral, 282-283.
Affricates, 50, 53 n. 1.
Agentives, 224-226.
Alliteration, 411.
Alsea (language), 15.
Aorist (tense), 173-199.
Aorist stem, 108-110.
Athabaskan (languages), 24 n. 2, 25, 297-298, 432 n. 2.
Auxiliaries, 200-205; table, 302-306.
Bear, Black, 431; see also Grizzly Bear.
Bear speech, 24 n. 2, 324. 432 n. 2, 434 n. 3, 441.

Beaver, 398, 427, 480.
Bluejay. 236, 336.
Boas, Franz, 13, 15, 24, 319.
Body-part prefixes, \(83-102\).
Brothers, in myth, 348 n. 1.
Calls and cries, 295-296.
Cardinal numbers, 280-282.
Cases, lack of, 298; personal pronominal affixes as substitution for, 257.
Causative, 151-153.
Cayuse-Molale (languages), 15.
Chasta Costa (language), 25, 48 n .1.
Chicken-Hawk, 456, 462.
Children-Creator, 487.

Chinook (language), 15, 24, 43, 203205.

Clauses, subordinate, 205-212.
Compounds, nominal, 241-242.
Conditionals, 212-215.
Conjunctive adverbs, 287-288.
Consonants, 47-67.
Consonant clusters, \(52-57\); simplification of, 58-61.
Consonant processes (morphophonemics), 57-67.
Coos (language), 15 .
Copula, use of, 220.
Cow Creek, 24.
Coyote, 307, 320, 327, 363, 368, 384 , \(400,404,411,412,414,423\); distinctive speech of, 24 n. 2, 216 n. 1295 , 309 n. 41, 370, 375, 401.
Crane, 437.
Crow, 476.
Culture hero, 332, 334 n. 1.
Daldal, 334 n. 1, 335.
Dead, land of, 411, 413.
Death, 307, 412.
Deer, 356, 426.
Deictic suffix, 266.
Demonstrative pronouns, 268-270.
Derivational suffixes, in nouns, \(227-\) 230, 237-241; in verbs, 133-173.
Diphthongs, 27-28, 33-35, 40, 45-47, 193-196.
Direct object. suffixes. 183-185; incorporated nouns as, 85-87.
Dorsey, J. Owen, 13.
Drucker, Philip. 14.
Dual suffix. 265.
Dubitative, 287.

Eagle, 436.
Eel. 489.
Ejective stops, 49-50. 52.
Enclitics, 288-294.
Evidential, see Inferential.
Exclamatory verbs, 402.
Exclusive suffix, 262-263.
Falling pitch, 32-38; in stems with glottal catch, 233; characteristic of substantives of passive force, 233 234.

Feuds, 492.
Final consonants, \(51-52\); dropping of, 57-58.
Flood motif. 480.
Formula, myth-ending, 356.
Fortes, see Ejective stops.
Fox. 374.
Frequentatives, \(143-151\) : in adjectives, 278-280.
Future (tense), 173-199; periphrastic, 200-202: imperative. 343.

Glottalized consonants, 49-50.
Grammatical processes, 71-79.
Grizzly Bear, 431, 437: distinctive speech of. 24 n. 1, 324, 432 n. 2, 434 n. 3.

Guardian spirits, 496.
Harrington, John P., 14.
Hiatus (intervocalic glottal stop). 38-40.
Hooting owl. 408.
Houses, building of, 310, 490.
Huave (language). 15.
Hummingbird. 508.
Hupa (1ndians). 348 n. 1.
Hymes, Dell. 15.
i-timlatut. 40-43.
Imperative (mode), 172-199.
Impersonal suffix. 172-173.
Incorporated nouns. 82-88.

Indirect object, 157-164.
Indirective, 157-164.
Inferential (mode), 173-199; use of, 215-217; in narrative, 174, 216\(217,331,358,363,425,437,457,461\) n. 5, 462-463, 468, 478, 484, 487.

Infinitives, 217-220.
Infixation, 72-73.
Inflexional languages, 68-70.
Inorganic h, 67.
Inorganic vowel, 44-45.
Instrumental prefix, 107-108.
Instrumentals, incorporated, 107-111.
Interjections, 294-297.
Interrogative pronouns, 270-271; enclitic, 270, 293.
Intransitive suffixes, \(165-172\).
Intransitive verbs, \(165-172,176-183\), 197-199.
Intransitivizing suffix, 166-167.
Jackrabbit, 423.
Johnson, Frances, 14, 24, 498, 581 582.

Jump-off-Joe Creek, 14, 24.
Kalapuya (language), 15.
Kin terms, 248-250.
Klamath (language), 15, 298.
Kuku (myth character), 336, 349.
Local adverbs, 286.
Local elements (locative stems), 253 262.

Local phrases, 257-258; with postpositions, 260-261; as incorporated nouns, \(87-88\).
Local prefixes, 102-106.
Locatives, see Local elements; Pre-positives.

Marriage, 490.
Medicine formulas, 482, 484, 487, 508-513.
Medicine men. 456, 482, 484, 496.

Medicine women, 498.
Menstrual dance, 415.
Mixe-Zoque, 15.
Modal adverbs, 287.
Mode, see Tense-Mode.
Moon, new, 510.
Morphology, 68-296.
Morphophonemics, see Consonant processes; Vocalic processes.
Musical contest motif, 489.
Myths, special terms used in, 469 ; use of tense-mode in, 174; formula for ending, 356.

Negative adverbial particles, 215-217, 287.

Nominal derivatives of verbs, 217 226.

Non-agentive forms, 169-171.
Nouns, 226-266; incorporated, 82-88; verbal, 217-220; of agency, 224-226.
Noun-characteristics, 228, 243-245.
Noun phrases, 242.
Noun stems, 231-237.
Numeral adverbs, 282-283.
Numerals, 280-283.

Object, see Direct object; Indirect object.
Object suffixes, 183-185.
Optative, 287.
Otter, 462, 468.

Panther, 327, 356, 368.
Participles, 220-224.
Particles, 288-294.
Passives, 176-177.
Penutian, 15.
Periphrastic constructions, 200-205.
Personal pronouns, independent, 267268.

Petrified suffixes, in verbs, \(134-143\).
Pit River (language), 234 n. 2.

Pitch accent, \(31-38\); in noun stems, 233-234: symbols used for, 38 n. 1.
Plural formations, 263-265; in adjectives, \(278-290\).
Polysynthesis, 68-70.
Positional verbs, 171-172.
Possessive suffixes, 229-231, 247-256.
Post-nominal elements, 262-266.
Postpositions, 259-261.
Potential (mode), 172-199; use of. 215-217.
Prefixation, 71-72.
Prefixes, verb, \(80-172\); adjective. 272-274.
Pre-positives, 253-261.
Pre-pronominal suffix, 228-229, 246.
Pronominal suffixes, verb, 175-199; table of, 300 ; noun, \(229-230,247-\) 256.

Pronouns, independent, 267-268: demonstrative, 268-270; interrogative and indefinite, 270-271.
Puns, 423.

Rain, 512.
Raised pitch, 32-38.
Raven, 476.
Reciprocal suffix, 168-169.
Reduplication, 73-75; expressive, 339;
in stem formation, \(126-127,236-\)
237; in plural adjectives, \(278-280\).
Reflexive, 168; indirect. 164-165.
Repetitive stem, 143-150.
Rising pitch, 32-38.
Roasting-dead-people (myth character), 309, 412.
Rock-Boy, 331-332.
Rock-Woman, 484.
Rogue River, 24, 327, 426.
Rolling Skull motif, 488.

Sahaptian (languages). 15.
Salish (languages). 24, 73.
Screech-Owl, 408.
Sentence connector, 290-291.

Shasta (Indians), 338, 502; (language). 591.

Shinny, 499.
Siletz. 13.
Siuslaw (language), 15.
Skunk, 478.
Sneezing, 512.
Snow. 510.
Sonant, see Voiced stops.
Song burdens. 296-297.
Sparrow-Hawk. 480.
St. Clair. H. H., 2nd, 13-14, 23.
Stems. 108-111, 226-227, 230-231; verb, 111-122; noun, 231-237; aorist, 108-110; repetitive, 143-150.
Storms, 510 .
Stress, 31-32.
Subject, suffixes, 175-199; incorporated nouns as, 87.
Subordinate clauses, 205-212, 287288.

Subordinating suffixes, 205-212.
Suffixation, 72.
Suffixes, derivational, 133-173; tensemode, 173-199; pronominal, 175199; subordinating. 205-212; noun, 227-230, 237-241, 247-256; adjectival, 274-278.
Surd. see Voiceless unaspirated stops.
Syntax. 200-217, 272, 287-294.
Tar-Baby motil, 400.
Temporal adverbs, 286.
Tense-mode. 173-199; in mythic narrative, 174: table of forms, 301.

Terms of relationship, 248-250.
Tobaceo (in myth), 409.
Transformer motif, 335, 348.
Transitive suffixes, \(151-165\).
Transitive verbs, 151-165, 183-199.
Tsimshian (language), 15.

Upper Takelma, 14, 426, 502.
Usitatives, 143-151.

Verbs, \(79-226\); prefixes, \(80-108\); stems, 108-133; suffixes, 133-199.
Villages, 426.
Vocalic processes (morphophonemics), 38-47.
Vocative forms, 249-250.
Voiced stops, 48.
Voiceless unaspirated stops, 48.
Vowels, 26-47.

Warfare. 402.
Wasco (language), 203-205; see also Chinook.
Whirlwind, 512.
Wildcat, 356, 368.
Wind, 512.
Wolf, 327.
Woodpecker, 405.
Wrestling-with-tree motif, 350.

Yana (Indians), 412 n. 1; (language), 48 n. 1.

Yellowhammer, 508.
er
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This book is DUE on the last date stamped below.
```


[^0]:    1. This was a lengthy list of "words, phrases and sentences to be collected" from Indian informants, published by Major John W. Powell in 1877, widely used by the field workers of the Bureau of American Ethnology (Powell 1877; second edition 1880). Dorsey's Takelma vocabulary is preserved in the National Anthropological Archives, Smithsonian Institution, catalogued as manuscript no. 4800 (397).
[^1]:    1 What little has been learned of the ethnology of the Takelma Indians will be found Incorporated in two articles written by the author and entitled Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in American A nthropologist, n. s., 1x, 251-275; and leligious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, in Journal of A merican Folk-Lore, xx, 33-49.
    ${ }^{2}$ In the myths, $l$ is freely prefixed to any word spoken by the bear. Its uneuphonlous character is evidently intended to matel the coarseness of the bear, and for thls quasi-rhetorical purpose it was doubtless derisively borrowed from the neightoring A thapascan languages, in which it occurs with great frequency. The prefixed sibilant $s^{\circ}$ serves $\ln$ a similar way as a sort of sneezing adjunct to indicate the speech of the coyote. $G_{w i} i^{\prime} d i$ where? says the ordinary mortal; lgwi'di, the bear; $s^{\prime} g w i{ }^{\prime} d i$, the coyote.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ The word yewe ${ }^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\varepsilon}$ he returned, e. g., was long heard as yaw $\epsilon^{\prime} i \varepsilon$, but such forms as yèu Return! show this to have been an auditory error.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is curious that the effect to our ears of the Takelma declarative helelat $t$ is of an interrogative DID you bing? while conversely the effect of an interrogative helela't'tdi is that of a declarative you did sing. This is entirely accidental in so far as a rise in pitch has nothing to do in Takclma with an interrogation. ${ }^{2}$ A vowel marked with the accent $\simeq$ Is necessarily long, so that the mark of length and the parasitic vowel can be conventently omitted.

[^4]:    1 Those familiar with Indofermanic phonology will have noticed that my use of the symbols (:), ( $=$ ), and $(\simeq)$ has luen largely determined by the method adopted in linguistic works for the representation of the syllabic putch-accents of Lithuanian; the main departures being the use of the (a) on short as well as on long vowels ant the assignment of a ditierent meaning to the (*).

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Such an -a may stand as an absolute final; e. g., ba-imasga' start in singing! (stem masg-), aorist third pcrson, -mats!'a` $k^{\prime}$. The form masga' well illustrates the inherent difficulty of delimiting the range of a phonetic law without comparative or oller historical material to aid in determining what is due to regular phonetic development, and what is formed on the analogy of other forms. The final cluster -sk' does occur in Takelma; e. $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, dink!a'sk' (long object) lay stretched out; so that a phonetic irregularity must exist in one of tho two forms. Fither we should have ${ }^{*} m a^{\wedge} k^{\wedge}$, or else ${ }^{*} d i n k!a s a^{\wedge} k^{\circ}$ or ${ }^{*} d i n k!a s g a^{\wedge}$ is to be expected. On closer examination it is found that the $-k$ ' in forms like dink! $a^{\prime} s k$ ' is a grammatical element added on to the future stem dink!as-; whereas in masga' the -g-belongs in all probability to the stem, and is no added suflix; at least is not fcit as such. It seems evident, then, that the quasi-mechanical juxtaposltion of grammatical elements does not entirely follow the same phonetlc lines as organic sound-complexes.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ These two series of stops are not at all peculiar to Takelma. As far as could be ascertained, the same division is found also in the neightoring Chasta Costa, a good example of how a fundamental method of phonetic attack may be uniformly spread over an area in which far-reaching phonetic differences of detail are found and morphologic traits vary wldely. The same series of stops are found also in Yana, in northern Caiifornia. Farther to the east the two series are apparently found, besides a series of true sonant stops, in Ponca and Omaha (J. O. Dorsey's $p, t, k$, and $d, z, y$ ). The Iroquois also (as could be tested by an opportunity to hear Mohawk) are, as regards the manner of articulating the two series, absolutely in accord with the Takelma. A more accurate phonetic knowledge of other languages would doubtless show a wide distribution in America of the volceless media.

[^7]:    1 Doctor Goddard writes me that an examination of tracings made on the Rousselot machine leads to substantiaily the same phonetic interpretation of the fortes as has been given above.
    a See Notes on the Takeima Indians of Southwestern Oregon, A merican A nthropologist, n. s., ix, 257.
    $\$ 12$

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ This may possibly serve to explain why the affricative $t^{\prime}$ ( (o correspond to $t^{*}$ !) is not found in Takelma.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Many of the doubtful cases would perbaps be cleared up if materlal were avallable from the upper dialect, as it shows final clusters that would not be tolerated in the dialect treated in this paper; e. g. $k^{\prime} \ddot{u}^{\prime} u_{n}{ }^{\prime} k s t^{\prime}$ relatives (cf. Takelma $k^{\prime} w i n a r d d$ my kin).

[^10]:    1 wai-indeed could not be obtained as an independent noun, its existence as substantive being inferred from forms such as that cited above.
    ${ }^{2}$ lt may be, however, that this form is to be interpreted as I-Aside- (with-the-) eye-looked-at-them, ts.felei-being in that case an incorporated instrumental noun.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Though perhaps better suf hili mim with Her breast, taking gel- as instrument.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ This form is an excellent example of the rather uncommon coordinate use of two body-part prefixes (guel- leg and sal-FOot).

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Aorist ts!ayag-shoot and aorist ts!ayag-wasH are only apparently identical, belag respectively formed from stems säag- and ts/āig.

[^14]:    - Perhaps best considered as beionging to Type 3 (verb-stem bilu-).

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Such forms as lebe'n, with Falling aceent on the second vowel, are only apparently opposed to this rule, as in these cases the falling accent regularly goes with the personal ending - $n$. Practically all violations of the accent rules found in the examples are of this merely apparent eharacter and will be readily explained away when the subjeet of personal endings is considered.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thls verb might be considered as entirely parallel to gāay-(aorist klayai-) of Type 8 . The derivative in -ld-, however, seems to prove it to be of Type 9; the -ld-forms, if belonging to Type 8, would probably appear as *da-dāaya ${ }^{\prime} l d i n,{ }^{* d a-t l a y a y a}{ }^{\prime} l d i^{\varepsilon} n$.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are many apparently perfcet duplications of verb-stems in $-a$-, but the $-a$ - of the sccond member is never a repetition of the stem-vowel. See Type 12.
    ${ }^{2}$ This verb is better considered as belonging to Type $13 a$, xalxam-and xalaxam-belng respectively dissimilated from * xanxan-and *xanaran- (see §21).

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ The various forms of this verb scem to be mate up of three dist het stems. The non-aorist forms of both transitive and intransitive (sana'podee I suall fight) employ a stem (sana-) of Type.5. Most aorist forms, including the reciprocal aorisl, use the stem sãansan- of Type 12 (seensa'nsi he fights me; säansa'nsinik' We fight eacit other). The stem saans- of Type 106 is probably limited to such transitive furms of the aorist as have a third person object (sāansa't $n$ l FIGHT HMM; sâns HE FOUGHT IHM).
    ${ }^{2}$ l'arallel form, perhaps with iterative signifieanee, to le ela'usi, \& 7.
    ${ }^{3}$ This verb has a short $i$ in the first syllable of the aorist, so that, as far as the aorlst stem is concerned, it seems to belong to Type 13a. l'erhaps it is best consldered a verb of mixed type (13a ia aorlst, 12 In non-aorist).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ som-d-and $s$ uitm-t'a- are parallel forms of one verb that seem to be used with no difference in meanIng, though their aorist stems are formed according to different types.

[^20]:    'Still, in these frequentative (usitative) forms the absence of the $-w$-may be accounted for by supposing that it dropped off as a syllabic final after a consonant (see § 18). Then sgelëlt e $e^{e}$ is for anolder*sgelëlwt'é. This supposition is greally strengthened by the future sgelwa'lt'ee i'Ll KeEp shouting (ef. sgelwada'e you WILL SHOUT).

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is not at all certain that the $-0-\left(-u^{-}\right)$of these forms really represents the $-u^{-}$of the stem. It is quite probable that there is a distinct type of frequentative in repeated rowel $+-o g-$, in which case wagao'linafn I USED To bRANG IT (see above under 1) would be another example.

[^22]:    ${ }^{1}$ Also yana'k' nan i shall make him go, with inserted and unexplained suffix - $k^{\prime}$-.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ soó usd $\epsilon^{\epsilon}$ and sgóusgwar $n$ are morphologically quite clearly related, though in signification the latter form has widely departed from what must have been its primary meaning.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ For the change of non-causative $-n$ - to $-y-(-i-)$ ct. $k!e m e i-$ and $k!e m e e n-$ make

[^25]:    1 The $-y$ - is peculiar to aorist forms of this verb with a third personal object (ogoyit' you to mm; ogoihi He to mis 122.11) and to the third personal passive aorist (ogoyi'n he was given it 15.2)

    2 With connceting a befores. In o'sbin abovo $-g-+-s$ gives $-s-$, but * wersdam ( $=w e<g-s d a m$ ) would lecome confused with $w e$ esdam $(=w e d-s d a m)$ YOU TOOK IT FROM ME.

[^26]:    ${ }^{1}$ There must be a difference in slgalfication, however, between $k!c d d s i$ and $k!e d c y a a^{\prime} n r i$ The formes probably means "he pieks them for me, l. e., in order to give them to me;" the latter "he pleks them in my behalf (perhaps because I amsick and can not do so myself.)" Compare also déise'cyi he opened tee door for me (i.e., In order to let me in) (63.12) with deise'eganxi he ofened the door on my REHALF (perhaps beeause I was unable to do so myself).

[^27]:    1 The object, generally a body-part, to which the action refers is printed in Roman characters. ${ }^{2} p$ 'iyin-connected with -p!eyen- LIE?

[^28]:    ${ }^{1}$ Indirect reflexive (for oneself) in signification, though without indirective suffix of any kind. The form is thus analogous to such as kiedeisi mentioned above (see §59). That the reflexive action is thought of as indirective in character seems to be indicated by the ablaut of the stem ( $k!\bar{a} a d-)$; see $\S 31,6$.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ It may not be uninteresting to note, as throwing light on the native feeling for $-x-$, that this form sounded somewhat queer to Mrs. Johnson, for, as she intimated, one can't very well be swinging without either actively swinging one's self or being swung by some one.

[^30]:    ${ }^{1}$ This example is due to Mr. M. H. St. Clair 2d, from whose Manuscript Notes on Takelma it was taken. It is there written $D i^{\prime} \tan \bar{i}$.

[^31]:    ${ }_{1}^{1}$ This verb is defective, having only the three forms given above, the first person plural eebi'k' 180.13 , and the (cf. class II) Indefinite eebia'ú 192.7, the latter two with loss of $i$ and intrusive $-b$. The third person and the non-aorist forms are supplied by yo- BE.
    ${ }^{2} \simeq l^{\ell}$ appears also In certaln usltatlves: hiwitile he USED to RUN, sgelél ${ }^{\ell}$ He KEPT Shouting, In which the rising accent Is probably radical (see § 43, 4); these forms, furthermore, have lost a $w, \S 18$ (cf. hiwiliat' $e^{e}$ I RUN, sgeleût é I SHOUT).
    $\S 60$

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ This form can not possibly have been misheard for *lop! $o^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$, the form to be expected, as the sulordinate is lop! $\partial t^{\prime} a^{\prime}$, not ${ }^{*} l o p!\delta^{\prime} u d a^{s}$, which would he required by a *lop! $0^{\prime \prime} t^{\prime}$ (sce § 70 ).

[^33]:    1 Not to be confused with tomozant'p' ye are killing each other!

[^34]:    t The -i- of these verbs regularly disappears, not only here but in every form in which the normal connectlag rowel -a- fails to appear in other verbs: al-ri'^k' (inferentlal) He SAW him (*al-rīk!-k' like domk' He KILLED HIM), homonymous with al-fi'sk' (lmperatlve) SEE HIM! ( $=$ *alri'k!). As soon, however, as the verb becomes distinctly instrumental in force, the $-\boldsymbol{f}$ - is a constant element: al-wa-tík!ik' (inferential) HE SAW IT WITH IT.

[^35]:    IIt may be noted in passing that the Takelma reduction of an over-long diphthong ( $(\mathrm{i} i n$ to een) offers in some respects a remarkable parailel to the reduction of an Indo-Germanic longdiphthong to a simple long vowel before certain consonants, chicfly -m (e.g., Indo-Germanic *djēus = Skr. dyāu's, Gk. Zeús, with preserved $-l($ because followed by $-s$, a consonant not capable of entering into diphthongal comoination; but Indo-Germanic acc. *dè $m=$ Ved. Skr. dyôm, IIom. Gk. $Z_{\dot{\eta} \nu}$ withlost, -u-because followed by - $m$, a consonant capable of entering into diphthoncal combination). I do not wish to imply, however, that the accent of forms like yehet $n$ is, as in diém, the compensating result of contraction.

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ This verb is transitive only in form, intransitive in meaning. The true transitive ( tunk of) employs the full stem hewehaw- witl connective - $i$ - for third personal object, and -s-for other ohjects: grl-heve'hinitn I THink of him; gel-hewe'hausdam you think of me.
    ${ }^{2}$ The form sgimi'sgat $n$ is interesting as a test case of these contract verb forms. The stem must bo sgimisgam-; it can not be sgimisg-, as sg-could hardly he treated as a repeated initial consonant. No cases are knowu of lnitial consonant clusters treated as phonetic units.

[^37]:    ${ }^{1}$ Some verbs whose aorist stem ends in a vowel take a constant $-a$ - with preceding inorganic $h$ instead of adling the personal endings directly. Such a verb is $\bar{i}$-t'ana- hold; the constant $-a$ - or $-i$ - of forms like $\bar{i}$-t'ana'hagwa, $\bar{i}$-t'ene'hi-s'dam is perhaps due to the analogy of the instrumental i- of forms like i-t'ana'lien.

[^38]:    1 There are In Takelma also a number of logically intransitive verbs with transitive forms throughout all the tense-modes: al-maliyana'k' We are seated ( $51.2 ; 150.20$ ) ; passive al-xaliya' $n$ people are seated 152.18. Similar is sal-rogui they stand; ef. also gel-hewc'hau ine thinks, p. 179, note 1. As these, however, have nothing to mark them off morphologically from ordinary transitives, they give no oceasion for special treatment. It is probable that in them the action is conceived of as directed toward some Implied third personal object.

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Most of its formis, as far as known, are listed, for convenience of reference, in Appendix A, pp. 286-90. It will be seen to be irregular in several respects. Examples of its forms are to be found in great number in "Takelma Texts."

[^40]:    ${ }^{1}$ s'il has been found as a prefix also in the comitative ei-s $\dot{l l}$-yāangwa'e $n \mathrm{I} \operatorname{come~in~a~canoe~(literally,~}$ i-Canoe-paddling-go-having).

[^41]:    1 General conditions, however, that apply to past time, or that have application without reference to time-limit, are constructed by the use of the subordinate for the protasis, and aorist for the apodosis, both verbs being, if possible, frequentative or continuative in form: ts'ini (1) $k^{\prime} c w e^{\prime} \epsilon k^{\prime}$ aualdá (2) héne (3) yap!a (4) al-t!ayaik' (5) WHENEVER THE DOG (1) BARKED (2), THEN (3) HE FOUND (5) A PERSON (4).
    $\quad=-g i n a ̄ k^{\prime} w+k^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Causes the death of.

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Infinitives in -l' wa seem sometimes to he formed from other Class 1 intransitlves, e. R., wisma'l:' wa to move; hara'k'wāa to burn (also hara'rgwāa).

    * Vmlauted from *ī-gi's'gasia.
    ${ }^{8}-k^{\prime}$ wi-here represents objective $-k^{\prime} u a$ - umlauted by infinltiveending - $(y) a$ (seo $\S s$ ). Similarly $s$ umita то BOIL IT 170.16 from et'aya.

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cf. galaba's $n$ I TWIST IT; - $a^{\prime}$ - above is inorganic, hence unpalatalized to -c-.
     outside the main core of the sentence, being merely in apposition with the incorporated $-d \bar{a} a$ (uis) of the nominal predicate.

[^44]:    § 84

[^45]:    IImprobable, however, if aor ist p! $\epsilon$ yon- LIE and $p$ ! iyin-k' wa-LIE on PILLow are radically connected (see §31).

[^46]:    1 Most nouns of relationship show monosyllabic stems; none can be shown to be derivative in character.

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ If this etymology of $\bar{o}^{\prime} u p$ is correct, Pit River $\bar{o} p{ }^{\prime}$ tobacco must be borrowed from Takelma.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ That $\mathcal{L}^{*}$ is felt to be equivalent to $-t s^{\prime}$ ! is shown by Bluejay's song: $t s^{\prime}$ ! $a^{\prime}$ it $s^{*} . \hat{i}-\bar{a}$ gwa'tca gwatca 104.7 .
    2 bel-is felt as the base of this word, ef. Swan's song bcleldō+wa'inha 104.15 , which shows reduplication of bel-like aorist helel-of hel-sing.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ The $-u$ - of this word is doubtless merely the pitch-accentual peak of the $-l$-, the $-u$ - resonance of the liquid being due to the preceding -o-. The word is thus to be more correctly written as Somolk' (similarly, wuir enemy was often heard as wulu'r), as implied by $S$ omola' one from Somoík'. In that event $s$ omol- is very probably a frequentative in $v+l$ (see $\S 43,6$ ) from $s \cdot o \tilde{m}$ mountaln, and the place-name means very mountainous fegion.

[^50]:    1 These seen to be jarallel to guit $i^{\prime} n-t^{\prime} k$ my wrist, in which-n-, inasmuch as it acts as the equivalent
     characieristic elewent.

[^51]:    ${ }^{1}$ This word happened to occur with following emphatic $y \bar{a} a$, so that lt is probably umlauted from bob-an-.

[^52]:    ${ }^{1}$ The first person singular shows $-u$ as characteristie: wi-t'omra'u.
    ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ It is highly probable that this word has been influenced in its form by $\bar{u} u \bar{u}$ - HaND, which it resembles in meaning, if it is not indeed a compound of it.

[^53]:    ${ }^{1}-a r$ - contains inorganic $-a-$, and is not to be analyzed as characteristic $-a-+r$ - (parallel to $-i-+r-$ ). This is shown by forms in which-x-regularly disappears; e.g., dak'-de over me (not *dag-a-de as parallel to $\left.-s^{-} i n-i-d \bar{e}\right)$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Perhaps with pluralic $-x$ - as in häap-x-CHILDREN, p. 225.

[^54]:    1 Out of thirty-two terms of relationship (talulated with first person sincular, third person, and vocative in American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, pp. 2ts, 269) that were obtained, twenty-eight belong here.

[^55]:    ${ }^{1}$ wiha'st' My wife's brother is the only Takelma word known that terminates in -st'.
    ${ }^{2}$ Inasmuch as there is hardly another oecurrence of $s^{*} n$ - in Takelma, it is perhaps not too far-fetched to analyze $s^{\circ} n a \tilde{a}$ into $s^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{cf}\right.$. second footnote, p. 8) $+n a ̆$ (vocative of $n i$ - in $n i^{\prime} z a$ His Mother).

[^56]:    ${ }^{1} k!$ 'ūya $m$-is perhaps derived, by derivational suifix-(a)m, from verb-stem $k / \bar{u} u-$ oo together with one. § 91

[^57]:    ${ }^{1}$ In most, if not all, cases the $-n,-m$, or $-l$ is a non-radieal element. It is not quiteclear in how far stems ending in these vowels and consonants follow Scheme 11 or Scheme 111.

[^58]:    $1-t^{\prime} k$ always requires preceding rising or raised accent. As gal- Bow seems to be inseparably connected with a falling accent (very likely because of the cateh in its absolute form), it is, after all, probably a phonetic reason that causes it to follow Seheme II rather than III.

[^59]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is possible that this wa-is etymologically identical with the verbal prefix wa- together. The forms of wa- given above are regularly used when reference is had to persons, the postposition ga:al being
     he went to the mountain (43.6).

[^60]:    1 Also dal-yawadé asIDE FROM ME (with verl) of throwing) (=literally, away from my hiss).

[^61]:    1 Oliserve falling accent despite rising accent (bills, lifoloi) o! independent noun. -da with pre-positives, whether with intervening noun or noun and adjective, consistently demands a falling accent before it .

[^62]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps really $D i i^{\prime}$-dala' $m$ West of the roce (?).

[^63]:    ${ }^{1}$ Yuk' ya'k' wa gada was said to be preferable, whence it seems possible that gode is not really equivalent to $g 1$ THITt + de-IN Front, hut is palatalized as adverb (see below, § 104) from gadãa.

    S 96

[^64]:    ${ }^{1}$ Properly speaking, ha-bini' is a pre-jositive phrase from noun-stem bin- (cf. de-lin first, last, and [?] bilgan-x- breast (? = middle part of body-front]) with characteilstic -i-. bce-bin- sun's munt.f: is compounded like, e. g., t'gda-bok'dan-EARTH's Neck above ( $\$ 93$ ).

[^65]:    ${ }^{1}$ It was lound extremely difficult, despite repeated trials, for some reason or other, to decide as to whether $-k!-$ or $-g$ - was pronounced. -k!i-and -k!an may thus hr really -gi- and -gan.

[^66]:    ${ }^{1}$ A few adjectlves $\ln -a m(=-a n)$ are distinctly nominal In appearance; bila'm inaving Notirng; rila'm sick (but also as noun, dead person, ghost). It hardly seems posslble to separate these from nouns like heela'm BOARD; ts!ela`m Hail.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. American Anthropologist, n. s., vol. 9, p. 266.

[^67]:    ${ }^{1}$ The fact that this form has a body-part profix (da-mouth) Serms to imply its verbal (participial)
     as - $t^{\prime}$ mist-gwa-maving $+t^{\prime}$. In other words, from a noun-phrase $t^{\prime}$ an cha'a (older clíat ) squmbel mas.
     having squirrel'stongue in my moutir, of which the text-form is the participle. This explanation has the edrantage over the one given above of putting lorms to -'towat' and -Igwat' on one line; cf. also 73.15.

[^68]:    ${ }^{1}$ American Anthropologist, loc. cit., where five is explained as being in front, on the basis of the method of fingering used by the Takelma in counting.
    ${ }^{2}$ Loc. cit.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably compounded of $b \delta^{\circ}$ Now and $g a n(i)$ NOW, THEN, AND THEN.

[^70]:    ${ }^{1}$ The various shades of emphasis contributed by $-a^{\prime}, y \bar{a}^{\prime} a, h i$, and $-s \cdot i^{i}$, respectively, are well illustrated in máa' you, but you (as contrasted with others); ma yía aust you, you indeed (simple emphasis without necessary contrast); ma' hi you yourself; mas ' $i^{\prime \prime}$ and you, you in your turn (10ヶ.13)
    $3045^{\circ}-$ Bull. 40 , pt $2-12-18$

[^71]:    ${ }^{1}$ These forms are to be carefully distinguished from $n a^{\varepsilon}$-nada's, $n a^{\varepsilon}-n a^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime} t^{\prime}$, and so forth (see §69). It is of course possible to have also nat-nañtce, nat-nanada'e, and so forth.
    " Also nañal' is found, so that it is probable that doublets exist for other non-aorist forms, e. g., nañhadá, nañhaba!.

[^72]:    ${ }^{1}$ Though these forms are simply derivatives of intransitive aorist naga(i)-, verb-stem na-, they have been listed here because of their great similarity to transitive frequentatives, with which they might be easily confused. In the aorist, the two sets of forms differ in the length of the second (repeated) vowel, in the connecting consonant, and to some extent in the place of the accent, though this is probably a minor consideration. In the future, they differ in the connecting consonant and partly again in the place of the accent. 2Forms in parentheses are instrumental.
    ${ }^{3}$ Imperative (sing. subj. and third person object): nãnha.

[^73]:    ${ }^{86} g a$. Anticipates quotation " yap!a (10) . . yè $\bar{u} k^{\prime} i^{\epsilon}$ (11)."
    ${ }^{37}$ nege's-dam. Second personal singular subject, first personal singular object (-dam) of verb naga'en (see näk ik' above). nege- shows palatal ablaut characteristic of forms with first person singular object. $\&-$ indirect object in aorist only, elsewhere -x-; e. g., néxdá you will say to me. Direct object is $g a$.
    ${ }^{\text {so }}$ naga'sbinda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$. Subordinate form, with temporal force, of naga'sbien $\boldsymbol{1}$ SAY то you. naga'sbie $n=$ aorist stem naga- + indirect object -s- + second personal singular object $\quad$ bi- + first personal singular subject \&n. naga'sbindas is subordinated to main verb nege's'dam; its direct object is quotation "laps yimi'xi" (10).
    ${ }^{39}$ yap!a. Noun formed apparently by repetition of base vowel according to Type 2. It is employed for people in general without regard to sex.
    ${ }^{40}$ hawa'xius. Third person aorist intransitive Class I of verb hawaxiüt'es Type 5 I am roting; aorist stem xiu-, verb-stem xiwi-. This verb is evidently compounded of hawa'x matter, pus and verbal base xiu-, whose exact meaning can not be determined, as it has not been found alone.
    ${ }^{11}$ sgāt. Words spoken by Coyote often begin with $s$-, which has in itself no grammatical significance.
    ${ }^{42}$ taga'ie Third person aorist intransitive Class I of verb $t^{\prime a g a i t} \epsilon^{\varepsilon}$ Type 4 a CRY ; aorist stem t'agai-, verb-stem $t^{\prime} \bar{a} a g$. $-i \varepsilon$ as in yewe $e^{\prime} i \varepsilon$, loho' ${ }^{\prime \varepsilon}$, and naga'is above.
    ${ }^{43} g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$. Postposition to, at, on account of, used with preceding demonstrative $g a ; g a g a^{\varepsilon} a l=$ there fore. $g a^{\varepsilon} a^{\prime} l$ is itself compounded of demonstrative $g a$ and local element $a l$ at, то.
    ${ }^{44} b \delta u$. Temporal adverb now, to-day. First $\varepsilon$ of $a^{\prime} a^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}{ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}$ not intended merely to keep up distinct hiatus between final $-\tilde{o}^{u}$ and initial $a$-.

[^74]:    1 See note 39 of first text; $\S 86,2$. yapla is to be understood as subiect of all following finite verb forms.
    ${ }^{2} \hat{\S} 86,2$; quantlty of final rowe! varies between $i$ and $-\bar{i} i$. Directly precedes verb as object.
    ${ }^{8}$ Third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb $k$ !eméє $n$ Type 3 I make it; §§ 63 ; 65.
    © § 86,1 ; object ol following verb.
    ${ }^{6} p!a-i$ - Down $\& 37,13$; dien $\S 36,10$. $l \bar{o}^{\prime} u h^{\prime}$ third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb lö'ugwa $^{\varepsilon} n$ Type 6 I Set IT; §§ 63; 40, 6.
    ${ }^{6} e m e^{\prime \varepsilon}$ Here § 104; $-s^{\prime} j^{\varepsilon}$ cnclitic particle § 114, 4.
    7 Modal adverb § $113,4$.
    8 § 104.

    - Numeral adverb from gamga'm FOUR § 111.

    10 Temporal adverb § $113,3$.
    ${ }^{11}$ han- across $\$ 37$, 1. -gili' $p^{*}$ third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb -giliba'e $n$ Type 3; 8§ 63; 40, 3.
    ${ }^{12}$ Post position with force of independent local adverb § 96 .
    ${ }^{13}$ See note 12 ; -s-is § $114,4$.
    14 müusxda' $n$ numeral adverb ONCE § 111; -hi enclitic particle § 114, 2.
    ${ }^{15} y \bar{a}^{\prime} a$ post-positive particle Just § 114, $1 ;-s^{\cdot} \boldsymbol{i}^{\varepsilon} \S 114,4$.
    16 sidib- (IIOUSE) WALL $\S 86,3 ;-i^{\prime} i$ third personal possessive form of noun-characteristic $-i-8 \S 89,3$; 92 III. house its-wall is regular periphrasis for house's wall.
    ${ }^{17}$ Third personal subjeet, third jersonal objeet aorist of verb mats!aga'c $n$ Type 3 I PUT IT; §§ 63; 40, 3.
    ${ }^{18}$ Noun stem heel- with nominal suffix -am dissimlated from -an $\S \S 87,6 ; 21$. wilii hefla'm is compound noun § 88 .
    ${ }^{19} \S 86$, 1. I'redicate appostive to he elu' $m$ : they make those boards out of sugar-pine.
    ${ }^{20}$ Demonstrative pronoun of indifferent number modilying heela' $m$ § 104.
    ${ }^{21}$ Temporal or connective adverb compounded of demonstrative ga and element -ni(?=nec) of unknown meaning §§ 113,$2 ; 114$ end.
    ${ }^{22}$ Adverb in -dat' from local element dak'- ABOVE § 112, 1.
    ${ }^{23} \mathrm{da}-\S 36,2$ end; $-t!a b a^{\prime} k{ }^{\prime}$ third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb -t!abaga'ع $n$ Type 3 I FINTSI $1 \mathrm{~T} ;$ §§ 63; 40,3 .
    ${ }^{24}$ Local alverls § 1 t3, 1.
    as dch wili'd $d$ noor, loeat phrase with pre-positive de-in front of and third personal possessive suffix $-d a \S 93$ end. $-d z^{\prime} s$ post position $\S 96$ of unclear meaning here.
    ${ }^{26}$ see note $22 ;-8^{\prime} i^{\varepsilon}$ § $114,4$.
    ${ }^{27}$ la- § 107,5 ; $-h_{0}$ 'k' wal adjective with suifix -al § $108,2$.
    2n $\$ 166,3$.
    ${ }^{29}$ P'ost position with k'iyı̄'x ba-igina'xdāa § 96 .
    ${ }^{30}$ Third personal prossessive form in -dāa of infinitive ba-igina'x. ba-i- out § 37 , 12 ; gin- verb stem Type 2 or $11 \mathrm{GO} \mathrm{TO} \S 40,2,11$; $-a x$ infinitive sulfix of intransitive verbs of class $1 \S 74,1$.
    ${ }^{31}$ See note 21; -s' $i^{s}$ § 114, 4.
    32 § 86, 2; suffix $-n$, §§ $21 ; 87,6$.

[^75]:    ${ }^{33} x \bar{a}-\S 36,7 \mathrm{~b}$; $-\bar{i}$ - instrumental $\S 36,6 ; x \bar{a}^{\epsilon} \bar{i}$ - wlth $\varepsilon$ to mark hiatus $\S 6$. -sgip! isgap' third personal subject, third personal object aorist of verb -sgip!isgibign Type $13 a 1$ cUT it UP to pleces iterative of verb $-s g^{\prime} i^{\prime} b i^{\varepsilon} n$ Type $6 ; \$ \S 63 ; 40,13 ; 43,1$.
    ${ }^{34}$ Local phrase with pre-positive gu'el Down to § 95 and noun-characteristic $-u \S 89,4 ; t^{\prime} g a \S 86,1$.
    ${ }^{85}$ See note 30 ; infinitive used as noun $\$ 74$ end.
    ${ }^{36}$ See note $16 ;-s^{\cdot} i^{\varepsilon} \S 114,4$. $s^{\cdot} i^{s}$ is appended to $s \cdot i d i b i^{\prime} i$ rather than wili, as wili $s \cdot i d i b i^{\prime} i$ is taken as unlt.
     mental $-i$ - in $-i k^{\prime} w \S 77$ from verb - $t^{\prime}$ boxol'bax- Type 13a, verb stem - $t^{\prime} b o x l^{\prime} b a x-;-t^{\prime} b o x-$ ablauted to - $l^{\prime} b u ̈ x-$ § 31,2 ; -t'bax- umlauted to $-t^{\prime} b i x-\S 8$, 3 a .
    39 §886, 3.
    ${ }^{39} h a-1 N § 36,11 \mathrm{~b}$. $-h \bar{u} w \bar{u}^{\prime} \iota^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} i=-h \bar{u} w \bar{u} u k t-h i \S 19 \mathrm{end}$; third personal subiect, third personal object aerist of instrumental verb -huw $\bar{u}^{\prime} u k!i^{\varepsilon} n$ Type 3 I SPREAD (MAT) OUT § 64.
    ${ }^{40}$ Compounded of demonstrative ga tEat and $n a^{\prime} t t^{\prime}$ participle in $-t$ § 76 of verb nagai- Type 4 a DO, BE, verb stem na-; see Appendix A.
    ${ }^{41}$ Post position § 96; gi- umlauted from ga-§ $8,4$.
    ${ }^{42} \mathrm{al}-\S 36,15 \mathrm{~b}$, here with uncertain force; - xali third personal subject, third personal object aorist Type 1 in form, though intransitive in meaning $\S 67$ footnote.
    ${ }^{43}$ § 86,1 .
    44 Third personal possessive of noun $y o g-(?) \S 86,1$ with noun-characteristic $-a \S 92$ III. FIRE its-place is regular pariphrasis for Fire's place.
    ${ }^{45}$ Local phrase with pre-positive $h a-\mathrm{IN} ;-8 \cdot \overline{0} u \S 86,1$ does not seem otherwise to occul
    ${ }^{16}$ Connective compounded of demonstrative ga тнат and enclitic particle $-\varepsilon^{\circ} i^{\ell} \S 114,1$
    ${ }^{47}$ Subordinate form of alxali, note $42 ; 870$ (see transitive paradigm).
    ${ }^{48}$ Local phrase with pre-positive $h \bar{a}^{s} y a-$ ON BOTH SIDES OF and noun-characteristic $-a$ § 95 ; -p!iy-a`from $p \cdot \boldsymbol{i}$ fire.
    ${ }^{49}$ Modal adverb compounded of demonstrative ga тhat and na $n e^{\boldsymbol{e} x}$ infinitive of verb naenagai-, verb stem $n a^{\varepsilon} n a-\S \S 69 ; 74,1 ;$ Appendix A.
    ${ }^{50}$ Temporal adverb in $-n \S 112,3$.
    51 yap!a see note $1 ;-{ }^{\varepsilon} a$ deictic post-nominal element $\S 102$ (peoplo of long ago contrasted with those of t0-day).
    ${ }^{62} w^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \bar{i} i$ or $w i l{ }^{\prime} ' i$ third personal pronominal form $\S 92$ III of noun $u^{\prime} i^{\prime} l i$ House see note 2. PEOPLE THEIRHouse regular periphrasis for people's house. Observe that predicate verb (third personal aorist of TO $B E$ ) is not expressed in this sentence.

    63 Temporal adverb in $-x a \S 112,2$.
    ${ }^{54}$ sama'xa cf. notc $53 ;-s^{\prime} i^{\epsilon} \S 114,4$.
    ${ }^{6}$ Modal adverb compounded of demonstrative stem $a$ - THIS § 104 and nat ne $x$ sec note 49.
    ${ }_{5}^{5}$ Ncgative adverb of aorist $\$ 113,3$.
    ${ }^{57}$ Postposition with $w^{\prime} l i \S 96$.
    58 § 86 , 1. gwa's' wili BRUSII hoUSE form compound noun $\S 88$.
    ${ }^{69}$ Particle in $-x a \S \S 112,2 ; 114,9$.
    ${ }^{60}$ wi- $\S 37,8$. -t ge'yecf $k^{\prime} i=-t^{\prime}$ geye $k!-h i \S 19 \mathrm{cnd}$; third personal subject, third personal object aorist of instrumental verb -t'ge'yeek! $i^{\ell} n$ Type 2 I put it around \& 64 ; -k!- petrified suffix \& $42,7$.
    ${ }^{61}$ Local adverb with pre-positive ha- in $\S 95$, noun stem -bin- not frcely occurring $\S 86,1$, and noun. characteristic $-i \& 89,3$.
    ${ }^{62}$ Participle in $-t^{\prime} \S 76$; see note 40.

[^76]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are special relationships with northern California, as evidenced by the story of the contest of Fox and Coyote, the story of Coyote stuck to pitch or a stump, and that of Coyote locked up in a hollow tree.

[^77]:    ${ }^{1}$ In these myths all river references are to Rogue River in southwestern Oregon.

[^78]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lit., " child-male."
    ${ }^{2}$ So heard for yalnadá .
    ${ }^{3} i . e .$, having sharp claws.
    i. $i$, , your maternal grandmother.

    - Mrs. Johnson was uncertain about the meaning of this word, but thought it must have been the myth name of otter (ordinarily bumxi).
    - Dentalia were regularly used as money by many of the tribes of Oregon and northern California.

[^79]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e., it is $I$. "I am" would generally be rendered by $e i t t^{\varepsilon}$ alone, without independent pronoun gii. Non-incorporated pronouns are hardly ever used except for emphasis.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lit., "I cause him with my hand to be up."
    ${ }^{3}$ Formed from $t^{t} g w a ̀ n$, "slave."

[^80]:    ${ }^{1}$ Takelma idiom for "he got even with them for that, revenged that upon them."
    ${ }^{2}$ Inferentials are used instead of aorists, because Rock Boy is quoting the authority of his maternal grandmother.
    ${ }^{3}$ So heard for $e i-d e b \tilde{u}^{\text {E }}$, "canoe-full."

[^81]:    ${ }^{1}$ The supernatural birth and invincible prowess of Rock Boy would seem to make of him a sort of culture hero, yet the true culture hero of the Takelmas is Daldal, the dragon-fly, or rather he and his younger brother (see the following myth). According to Gatschet the culture hero of the Kalapuyas is Flint Boy (Contributions to North American Ethnology, Vol. II, Part I, p. 1xxxi).
    ${ }^{2}$ That is, there were ten houses occupied by the Wolf and Panther people.

[^82]:    ${ }^{1}$ This command is addressed to Rock Boy's maternal grandmother.

[^83]:    ${ }^{1}$ Daldal was said to be the name of a blue insect flying about in the swamps, somewhat like a butterfly in appearance, and looking as if it had two heads joined together. Very likely the dragon-fly was meant.
    ${ }^{2} i . e$. , What is the matter?
    ${ }^{3}$ Accompanied by gesture.

[^84]:    1Witc!ai means properly "my brother's child" or "my sister's child," according to whether a woman or a man is speaking, in other words, "nephew" or "niece," provided the speaker and parent of the child are related as brother and sister.
    ${ }^{2}$ So heard for diihiliigwas $n$.
    ${ }^{3}$ Lit., " he up (and) went again having it in front."

    - Described as a tree growing in the mountains with smooth red bark and bunches of berries hanging like grapes.
    "Properly, "my father's sister."

[^85]:    ${ }^{1}$ Described as a rainbow-colored shell of the size of two hands.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ten strings reaching from wrist to shoulder, each containing ten dentalia, are meant.
    ${ }^{3}$ A rope made of the twisted fibres of a grass growing to a height of a foot and a half and with a broader blade than the ordinary variety. Probably Indian hemp (Apocynum cannabinum) is referred to.

    - A term used of a unit string of dentalia.

[^86]:    ${ }^{1}$ These echoing words are pronounced by K'uk'u in a heavy whisper.
    ${ }^{2}$ This word is supposed to represent the crackling of the burning hair.
    ${ }^{3}$ Used generally to refer to Shasta Indians.

[^87]:    ${ }^{1} X a^{\varepsilon}$ al-sis ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ seems to go with $k / w a l d k^{\prime} w$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pronounced very shrilly. The type of reduplication exhibited here is not normally employed for grammatical purposes. The normal form of the word is sgilpx.
    ' So heard for miirs taxa.
    "Equivalent to gelt!anâhi (lit., "she held him with her breast").
    
    ' = $K^{\prime} d d i . \quad K^{\prime}$ is here so strongly aspirated as sometimes to be heard as $k x$.
    ${ }^{\prime}$ Described as a bush of about three feet in height, with white leaves and crooked yellowish-red flowers of the length of a hand. The root was used for food.

[^88]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lit., "she goes ahead at me."

[^89]:    'Lit., " you will hold it together."
    ${ }^{2}$ Lit., " fight."

[^90]:    ${ }^{1}$ A good example of the use of the future imperative. The idea is, "(If you insist on going), then cry (later on, when you will have found out that I am right)." ${ }_{2}^{2}$ Pronounced in a loud whisper.

[^91]:    ${ }^{1}$ So heard for hapx $(w) i$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Aorist in tense, because referring to an act in the immediate future. One might also use the future iheemxinigam, "we shall wrestle."
    ${ }^{3}$ Probably equivalent to $m i^{i}{ }^{\varepsilon} s-h i$.

    - Equivalent to $c u^{\varepsilon} w i l i i, c i^{\varepsilon} u l i i$.

[^92]:    ${ }^{1}$ This sentence is pronounced in a slow, subdued, pitying tone. $\mathrm{M}+$ expresses fear and foreboding; cf. above, p. 29, 1. 8.

[^93]:    ${ }^{1}$ Lit., " almost not."

[^94]:    ${ }^{1}$ Daldal, the dragon-fly, is a typical American culture hero and transformer. Traveling east up Rogue river, he overcomes and transforms the various wicked beings that threaten continual harm to mankind, sets precedents for the life of the Indians, and, after his work is accomplished, transforms himself into a mountain. Very noticeable is the consistent dignity and benevolence of Daldal. The trickster element often found in the American culture hero, as in those cases in which the rôle is played by Coyote, is here incorporated in Daldal's younger brother. The Daldal pair is quite analogous to such typical "Hero Brothers" as the Kathlamet Panther and Mink, the Wishram Eagle and Weasel, and the Klamath Old Marten and Weaslet; the latter, the younger brother, persists in getting into all sorts of trouble, from which his wiser elder brother has to extricate him. It seems plausible to consider the Takelma conception of the dual culture hero as an amalgamation of the conception of the typical single culture hero, who is at the same time transformer and trickster (e.g., Raven of the Northwest Pacific coast and Coyote of the Columbia valley), with that of thel"Hero Brothers." The single culture hero Daldal becomes split in two. Under the circumstances the identification of the culture hero or heroes with the dragon-fly is not difficult to understand. The incidents of the myth are very similar in character to those told by the Hupa of Yimantūwinyai (see Goddard, Hupa Texts, University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. I, pp. 123-34).

[^95]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note 4, p. 22.
    ${ }^{2}$ See note I, p. 23.

[^96]:    ${ }^{1}$ See notes 3 and 4, p. 23.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is quite likely that a transformation of Bluejay's son into the Echo is here referred to.
    ${ }^{3}$ For the myth motive of wrestling with a tree, compare Curtin's Wasco myth of "Eagle has Tobacco-Man and Willow wrestle with Abumat" (Sapir, Wishram Texts, Publications of the American Ethnological Society, Vol. II, p. 290).

[^97]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, the old man. He was accustomed to transform himself into blood, so that the people, on swallowing him, might choke to death.
    ${ }^{2}$ This is the translation given by Frances Johnson. The meaning seems to be: "You, for your part, just stand there, too stuck up to move. I, however, am going to fall to."
    ${ }^{3}$ Said, with vexed sarcasm, by the elder Daldal.

    - See note 7, p. 25.

[^98]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, the man that had taken the form of sinew.

[^99]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, killed. See note 1, p. 3I.

[^100]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is the conventional method of winding up a Takelma myth. The command is addressed to the children who have gathered around to listen to its recital. They are to go off and gather seeds in order to become active. Too much sitting around listening to stories makes one lazy.

[^101]:    ${ }^{1}$ i.e., so many-(that).
    ${ }^{2}=c i i x$ xum, " venison dry."

[^102]:    ${ }^{1}=b a-i y u w i n$. This word is probably a causative formation from yowo-, "to be;" its literal meaning would then be "he caused it to be out."

[^103]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably misheard for wek!eelhia-uda ${ }^{2}$, morphologically related as iterative to weegia-uda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, "when it is daylight, next day," as sgot!olh-, "to cut frequentatively," is related to sgoud-, "to cut."
    ${ }^{2}$ A loud, prolonged whisper.
    ${ }^{3}$ Each word in this sentence is pronounced distinctly and pompously.

    - = yomò; -oi because of following $y$.

[^104]:    $1=n a g a n a ́ a^{\varepsilon} k^{*}-h^{\varepsilon}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ A loud, prolonged whisper.

[^105]:    ${ }^{1}$ This word is the periphrastic future of the impersonal and is passive in form. An approximately literal translation would be "it was intended to dawn."
    ${ }^{2}=p^{\prime} a-i y+w e e^{\varepsilon}$.

[^106]:    ${ }^{1}$ This word is used of the throwing of a soft, nasty object. Cf. xdaan, "eel."
    ${ }^{2}=y a a^{\prime}{ }^{w}{ }^{\varepsilon}$.
    ${ }^{3}=a^{a} i^{\mathbb{8}}$ d.
    'anis, "not," does not go with laap' $k$ ', which, as an inferential form, would require wede, but merely with $k^{\prime} a i$; $a n i^{\varepsilon} k^{\prime} a i$ is equivalent to "none."
    ${ }^{s}$ These forms are inferentials. Though the verbs briefly recapitulate some of the points of the preceding myth, they are not employed for the purpose of narrating a story, but rather of accounting for present-day conditions; hence the inferential, not the aorist, mode.

[^107]:    ${ }^{1}$ The -k'wa-implies that the deer were then conceived of as persons.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lit., " I have put it off in front."
    ${ }^{3}$ Inferentials.

[^108]:    ${ }^{1}$ So heard for $x 0$.

[^109]:    ${ }^{1}$ Properly speaking, this form is impersonal. An expressed subject, as here t'awaaxadil, more correctly requires the form wouk'.

    2"Thunder's board" is the Takelma term for "lumber."
    ${ }^{3}$ These shell ornaments are described as half black and bean-like in shape.

    - A myth name of Panther.
    ${ }^{5}$ Lit., "mouth-plays."

[^110]:    ${ }^{1}$ This form also is impersonal, though the logical reference is to wa-iwit'an, "girls."
    : Coyote is now greatly excited, hence uses the meaningless but characteristic "coyote prefix" $s$-.

[^111]:    'This "wish" is preceded by a whiff of air blown by Coyote.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lit., "wormy." Cf. k!ùls, "worm."

[^112]:    ${ }^{1}=$ ganat' $h i$; cf. gahi, "the same."
    ${ }^{2}$ So heard for yana. The first $a$ is palatalized to $e$ by the preceding $y$; the second $u$ is made to correspond to it, owing to the feeling that Takelma has for repeated vowels in dissyllabic stems.
    ${ }^{3}=y a^{a} k^{i} w_{-}{ }^{8}$.

    - So heard for yogwid.

[^113]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pronounced in a loud whisper.
    ${ }^{2}$ Lit., " if it 'cuts' (intr.) apart, if it parts."

[^114]:    ${ }^{4}$ Lit., "(scooped-out object) set (itself) down under." Cf. dakt'gúuban, "I put on a hat," lit., " I set (scooped-out object) on top."

[^115]:    ${ }^{1}$ Potential causative of nagai－：na－with prefixes $w a^{\varepsilon}$ ，＂together，＂or he $e^{\varepsilon}$ ，＂away，＂ and al．
    ${ }^{2}$ Coyote speaks with contemptuous irony，hence the＂coyote prefix＂$s$＂．
    ${ }^{2}$ Lit．，＂this being or acting．＂The verb stem $n a-$ ，of rather indefinite meaning， is often used to signify＂to be many．＂
    －So heard for yala ${ }^{6}{ }^{6} d a^{8}$ ．
     the aorist impersonal of the verb nagai－：na－．
    －Probably for gani．
    ${ }^{7}$ It is worthy of note that the verb sgoud－：sgout！－is a second class intransitive with $-x$ suffix when a single spontaneous cut or break is referred to，but a first class intransitive when the activity is repeated．Hence 3 rd per．aorist sgous（ $={ }^{2} \operatorname{sg} \sigma u d-x$ ） but sgot／osgå $t^{4}$（with the ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ characteristic of first class intransitives），not ${ }^{*}$ sgot／osgas， as might perhaps have been expected．

[^116]:    ${ }^{1}$ See note 7, p. 61.
    ${ }^{2}$ The last syllable of each obiyáa starts at the high pitch of the preceding syllables but falls during its duration gradually to a low pitch. The pitch of each obiya is higher than of the following, so that a low pitch is reached at the end of the lament. These falls of pitch are evidently intended to produce a dolorous effect.
    ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ de ${ }^{\text {® }}$ igeneuk'wa was said to be a preferable form.

[^117]:    ${ }^{1}$ Future imperative with mst per. sing. object of naga-: naag-i-, "to say to."
    ${ }^{2}=y$ a $^{2}$.

[^118]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 129-41; St. Clair, Traditions of the Coos Indians, Journal of American Folk-Lore, Vol. 22, pp. 35, 36; Dixon, Achomawi and Atsugewi Tales, ibid., Vol. 21, pp. $163-65$. The Yana have a version closely similar to that of the Achomawi.
    ${ }^{2}$ The house of bark instead of lumber marks the poor man.

[^119]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, lumber.
    ${ }^{2}$ See note 3, p. 55.

[^120]:    ${ }^{1}$ The s-, here as often, is quite meaningless. It is characteristic of the speech of Coyote.

[^121]:    ${ }^{2}$ More exactly, "his mother's brother's son."
    ${ }^{2}$ Subordinate form of na ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ nagà.

[^122]:    ie., on my breast.

[^123]:    ${ }^{1}$ So heard for yaxa.

[^124]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally，＂cause him to do or say．＂
    ${ }^{2}=a a k^{\prime}-\varepsilon \dot{d}$ ．

[^125]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. e., nest.

[^126]:    

[^127]:    $1=$ nougwa.
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, "he moves off with you."

[^128]:    'Literally, "he breast-lost himself."
    ${ }^{2}$ So heard for $x$.

[^129]:    ${ }^{1}$ i. e., in the east. See Sapir, " Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians" (Journal of American Folk-Lore, Vol. xx, No. 76), p. 36, footnote.
    ${ }^{2}$ The first part of this myth, the story of the unsuccessful imitation of Fox by Coyote, is probably Californian in origin. In the cognate Hat Creek myth the incidents are brought into loose connection with the conflict between the creator SilverFox and Coyote at the time of the creation. Compare Dixon, Achomawi and Atsugewi Tales, Journal of American Folk-Lore, Vol. 21, pp. 171-74. The incidents in connection with the quails (or grouse) and yellow-jackets occur also in a Yana myth obtained by Dr. Dixon. The second part of the Takelma myth, the revenge of Coyote in causing his rival Fox to grow up with a fir while climbing for an eyrie, is found, e. g., in Klamath (see Gatschet in Contributions to North American Ethnology, Vol. II, Part I, pp. 94-5, 100) and Wasco (see Curtin in Sapir, Wishram Texts, pp. 264-66).

[^130]:    ${ }^{1}$ The round plate-like masses of larvae are referred to. They were considered a particularly great delicacy.

[^131]:    ${ }^{1}$ The glow was caused by the glare of Fox's reddish eyes.

[^132]:    ${ }^{1}$ This version of the "tar-baby" story is strangely like an African tale given by Ellis (The E'we-speaking Peoples of the Slave Coast of West Africa, p. 277), but the decidedly idiomatic and allusive character of the Indian text proves it beyond doubt to be entirely aboriginal. A rather close parallel is found in the Yana tale of Coyote and the Stump obtained by Dr Dixon. The "tar-baby" story is also found widely distributed in the Southeast of the United States.
    ${ }^{2}$ This word seems to have no particular significance. It is used in mocking.
    "The literal translation would be "who you-will-hit-me?"

[^133]:    "The $s$ - is not an integral part of the word, but is the familiar "Coyote prefix."
    ${ }^{5}$ In other words, "I gave him such a blow in the face that I pushed his eye clear through his head." Coyote boasts of his prowess.
    "Observe that the meaningless "Coyote prefix" $s$ "- is here prefixed directly to the verb stem, not to the instrumental prefix $i$. There seems to be no definite rule in the matter. Contrast $s^{-8} i^{\prime}$ 'wéexi (p. 74, 1. 5).
    ${ }^{7}$ Uncertain. (s')yan does not otherwise occur; perhaps it is a mishearing.

[^134]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is no normal verb form, but an exclamatory formation on the aorist stem gewek!aw-, "to tie (a salmon) in bowstring fashion" (see Sapir, "Notes on the Takelma Indians," American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, p. 272, footnote 2). The idea implied by Pitch is that Coyote is stuck to him as is a salmon to the string by which it is carried. For another exclamatory verb form showing abnormal reduplication, see p. 25, 1. 7 (sgilbibi $+i x$ ).

[^135]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Dixon, Maidu Myths, Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural Hestory, Vol. XVII, pp. 90, 9 r.
    ${ }^{2}=t^{\prime} g и п и и k^{\prime}-i^{i}$.

[^136]:    ${ }^{3}$ Literally, "he hunger-died." Cf. baanx t!omouk'wa, "hunger killed him," i.e., "he was hungry."

[^137]:    ${ }^{1}$ Another species of woodpecker is referred to.

[^138]:    ${ }^{2}$ Fields were sometimes burnt down in order to get the grasshoppers, a favorite food.

[^139]:    ${ }^{1}$ Coyote's intestines had been taken from him, hence the grasshoppers went right through him. The word used in the text might also refer to the spilling of acorns out of a hopper.

[^140]:    ${ }^{2}$ A row of tobacco plants is meant. Tobacco was the only plant cultivated by the Indians of Oregon.

[^141]:    ${ }^{2}$ The sound characteristic of ghosts. See p. 78, note 2.

[^142]:    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, "to my body."

[^143]:    ${ }^{1}$ In a Yana theft of fire myth collected by the writer the practically identical du $d u d u d u d u d u$ occurs to indicate pain from contact with fire (see Sapir, Yana Texts, University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, note 50). Compare also the evidently identical Klamath tí $t u$ $u$ (see Gatschet, op. cit.. P. II2), though here it indicates on the contrary pain from tingling cold.

[^144]:    ${ }^{2}$ This is the name of a bug that could not be further identified. It was described as all black, long-legged, and of about half an inch in length. The name is due, or supposed to be due, to the fact that this insect was held responsible for the origin of death.

[^145]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, "that he had caused them to die-in."

[^146]:    ${ }^{1}$ neyéeda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ is morphologically the subordinate form of neeye ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, the impersonal aorist of nagai- : na- "to say, do." It is frequently idiomatically used to mean "in great number, many."
    ${ }^{2}$ No definite meaning could be assigned to this word.
    ${ }^{3}$ The normal form of this word is gel ${ }^{5}$ wiliut ${ }^{〔} e^{\varepsilon}$, but by a song license the grammatically important glottal catch of the last syllable is here eliminated.

    - So heard for gwelsalt!eyesna ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} n$.

[^147]:    ${ }^{1}$ The accented vowel of the second ${ }^{\varepsilon} \hat{u}^{\prime} \cdot i$ in each pair is always held out a trifle longer than that of the first. There is perhaps a play upon words involved. Coyote evidently means to repeat the ${ }^{\varepsilon} \sigma c u^{\varepsilon} \sigma c u$ of Frog, but perverts her burden into the verb form us $i$, "give it to me."
    ${ }^{2}$ By "half" is meant "only a part" or "incorrectly." Indians commonly speak of people that have but an imperfect command of a language as talking half of it.
    ${ }^{*}$ A play upon Bluejay's own name, tc!ai ${ }^{\varepsilon} c$ ( $=$ tc!aitc $/-$ ).
    -The implied reference in the mind of an Indian is here to the word beben, "rushes." The mouse is often found among rushes.
    s This word is a play upon the word for "swan," bel" $p$ ".

    - Swan's round-dance song, as here given, was in ordinary use as such among the Takelma. wainha literally means "put him to sleep." It seems very probable that

[^148]:    " Buzzard, put him to sleep! Sim [meaning unknown], indeed, put him to sleep! Snail, put him to sleep!"

[^149]:    ${ }^{1}$ Though these three words are here probably felt to be mere burdens, each of them can be translated as a regular Takelma word: " Put-him-to-sleep, brown-bear his-anus," though the normal form for "his anus" would be dolk'inii or dolk'amaa. $i$ - in idólk' $i$ must be explained either as a mere change in burden, pairing off with

[^150]:    mena, or else as a demonstrative stem not ordinarily used in its bare form (cf. ida"that there" and ideme ${ }^{\text {e }}$ " "right there"); ;idolk' $i$ would then be an archaic song-form of idaga dolk"inii, "that-one his-anus."

[^151]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 72-78.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pronounced in a high pitch.
    ${ }^{3}$ A rhetorical form of gwidi, "where?" A mock-heroic effect is intended.
    'As much as to say, "I have more important things to do than to talk. I must cut down trees!'"

[^152]:    ${ }^{5}$ Coyote is guilty of a malicious pun. Jack Rabbit's lemék!iau, " (people) have moved away," and Coyote's he ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ ilemek!inda ${ }^{\varepsilon}$, "that I have done away with, annihilated, them," are forms of the same verb stem lemek!-.

[^153]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pronounced in a hoarse, loud whisper. Another such loudly whispered whoop is gwa'lalalala, yelled by the slayer of a man.

[^154]:    ${ }^{2}$ Observe the inferentials. These verb forms do not primarily narrate, but explain or infer the origin of war.
    ${ }^{3}$ That is, they started the first war, set the precedent for warfare.

[^155]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hence the warlike character of the people of this place, the Upper Takelma.
    ${ }^{2}$ Xaa-xi-ts'! $k^{\prime}$ 'ts! $\mathrm{igiida}=$ "in-middle-of water its-backbone," in other words, equally distant from either shore. Cf. daa-xi-ts'!ek'ts'!igiida $=$ "alongside-of water its-backbone," i.e., not far from one of the banks.

[^156]:    ${ }^{3}$ Hat'ill was a Takelma village situated on Rogue river some distance above (east of) Table Rock.

    - Gelya^lk' was another Takelma village. It was situated on Rogue river below Table Rock. The name means "facing pine trees;" cf. yaal, "pine."

[^157]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{Di}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{lon} \mathrm{mi}^{i}$ was one of the largest villages of the Takelma; it was situated at the falls (diu) of Rogue river. The name means "west (of which) are cedars;" cf. loum, "cedar."
    ${ }^{2}=$ Gelya$^{2} 1 k^{\prime}-{ }^{8}$ a.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Another Takelma village. The name means "in its high pines;" cf. baals, " long."

[^158]:    ${ }^{3}$ A Takelma village on Rogue river. The name seems to mean "east of rotten (trees);" cf. piun, "rotten."
    -The Takelma village farthest to the east. A divergent dialect was there spoken. See Sapir's "Notes on the Takelma Indians" (American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9), pp. 252, 253, 255.

    - That is, the northern side.

[^159]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 118-28; Gatschet, op. cit., pp. 118-23; and the Yana myth of Grizzly Bear and Deer obtained by Dr. Dixon (see Sapir, Yana Texts, note 3 19).

[^160]:    ${ }^{2}$ So heard for $\operatorname{disgiu}^{\prime} i^{8} x g i^{8}$. It is very difficult sometimes to hear the second element of the $\bar{u} i$ diphthong of this and related forms, partly because of the palatal character of the first element and partly because the glottal catch succeeding the diphthong makes it of less than normal duration.

[^161]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, they escaped by an underground passage through the ground.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~L}$ - is a characteristic, intrinsically meaningless "grizzly-bear prefix" in the same

[^162]:    sense in which $s^{\circ}$ - is a "coyote prefix." L - does not occur as a normal Takelma sound, though its use as such in the neighboring Athabascan dialects is very frequent.

[^163]:    'The children of Black Bear had left behind an image of their own laughter in ordet to delay the pursuer.
    ${ }^{2}$ baa $^{8}$ isgéet', "he lifted and turned it over," was said to be more correct.
    ${ }^{3}$ The word in its normal form is $p^{\prime} a^{\varepsilon} t^{4} p^{\prime} i d i t^{\prime} k^{\prime}$, "my liver," the reference being

[^164]:    generally to a salmon-liver. The form in the text is exclamatory; it shows a very unusual type of reduplication and is further augmented by the L- characteristic of the grizzly-bear. It is doubtful whether the word is in any way related to piaan, the ordinary word for "liver."

[^165]:    ${ }^{1}$ Whispered.

[^166]:    ${ }^{2}$ All the verb forms up to this point have been inferentials; from here on the narrative makes use of aorists.

[^167]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, " in front of her nose."
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, " something they-did."

[^168]:    ${ }^{3}$ Rogue river flows west. Hence "up river" (hinau) is often used in Takelma as synonymous with east, "down river" (nou) as synonymous with west.
    'Literally, "in-their-returning it-became."

[^169]:    ' Probably for ha-uyá ${ }^{2}$, " under-went."
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, " mouth-talking they-came-with-it."
    ${ }^{3}$ It is not at all clear what is meant by this word. It is evidently some epithet of Eagle, as indicated by the "exclusive" suffix - $t$ " $a$. The Grizzly Bears mean that they saw some one shine afar off and took him for Eagle, but then discovered their mistake.
    "This is a "story-form," the normal form being k'winax-. Compare with the form given in the text the Upper Takelma $k^{\prime} i^{\prime} u^{\prime} u a k s s^{\prime} t$, " his kin."

[^170]:    ${ }^{5}$ Each syllable in this sentence is pronounced heavily and by itself. It is evidently desired to convey an idea of the lumbering ungainliness of the grizzly bears.
    ${ }^{6}$ It was not found possible to ascertain just what $-t^{\prime} g^{i} t^{\varepsilon}{ }^{\varepsilon} i i t^{4} e^{\varepsilon}$ means. The $d a-$ in dahaux- (, -k'al-, -doum-, -gwas-) $t^{\prime}$ git means probably "in mouth, for eating." These sentences are pronounced with the clumsiness noted above.

[^171]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, " now to-our-heart it-has-arrived."
    ${ }^{2}$ That is, when given the disgusting food as customarily.
    ${ }^{3}$ So heard, perhaps incorrectly, for miiq $\mathrm{sga}^{\varepsilon}$.
    'Literally translated, this word seems to mean "day its-body, i.e., whole extent."

[^172]:    ${ }^{5}$ Why ha- is here used instead of $d a$ - it is not quite easy to say; ha-, "in," and haux may well be etymologically connected. -t'git seems to be understood with hahaux.

    - de-, not da-, because of following palatal vowel.
    ${ }^{7}$ Presumably compounded of bou and ganr.
    : Singular imperative in form, though logically plural.

[^173]:    ${ }^{\prime}=l i i{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} w_{-g} \mathrm{~g}^{2}$, conditional of ligi-gw-: lii-gw-.

[^174]:    ${ }^{2} X i$, "water," i. $e .$, soup.

[^175]:    ${ }^{1}$ That is, the old people of the ten houses.

[^176]:    ${ }^{1}$ Observe that the usitative or frequentative form of the intransitive verb ligi"come home (with game)" is ligilag-, while the corresponding form of its comitative derivative ligigw- "fetch home (game)" is liwilhagw-.

[^177]:    ${ }^{1}$ For yaxa.
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, "they between-eye-held it."
    ${ }^{3}$ So heard for geyewalx, intransitive form of gayau.

[^178]:    'This is a sign of preparation for combat.
    ${ }^{3}$ Held out long in a loud whisper.

[^179]:    ${ }^{1}$ White war paint. Hence the spot of white nowadays on the foreheads of grizzly bears.

[^180]:    ${ }^{2}$ Passive participle of $d e^{〔} i s e^{2} g-$ : -seek!-, "open the door."

[^181]:    ${ }^{1}=$ douk $^{\prime}-h i^{\prime}$.
    ${ }^{2}$ High-pitched. Note that the form tchiyat' $k$ ' is not the normal one; witc'ai

[^182]:    would be the form of ordinary speech, the 1 st per. sing. poss. $-t^{t} k^{\prime}$ not being ordinarily employed in terms of relationship.

[^183]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}$ hoarse cry.
    ${ }^{2}$ As is shown by this and the following myth Chicken-Hawk plays a rather distinctive part in Takelma mythology. In both he swings aloft his stone knife and cuts the necks of multitudes of his enemies. Against medicine-men (goyd) in particular is he supposed to be incensed, so that he is one of the favorite guardian spirits of the somloholxas. Like Nos. 21 and 22 below it is probable that this myth was recited by the $s$ omloholxa ${ }^{\natural} s$ as a medicine-formula against the supernatural workings of the goyd.

[^184]:    ${ }^{3}$ So heard for $k^{\prime} e^{i} l l^{\prime} p^{‘} i k!i k^{\prime} w$, "woman-having, 'bewomaned,'" formed from $k^{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {i }}$ láap"a-k:i-, "woman," by means of suffix $-k^{\prime} w$ with attendant ablaut of $a$ to $e$.
    "Probably to be explained as nék'ઘ $a$, "somebody, for his part," with contrasting connective -si ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.
    ${ }^{\text {s }}$ Literally, " in her foot(steps)."

    - Inferential in form, despite its use in simple narrative.

[^185]:    ${ }^{1}$ Exact meaning and analysis of form not clear. Presumably connected with wilii, "(stone) knife."
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, "he did to all their necks with his knife."
    ${ }^{3}$ weye heard for waya.

[^186]:    "That is, such as were not medicine-men, "laymen."
    "Literally, " one earth."
    "Literally, "let us all do (or be) over his nose."
    ${ }^{7}$ That is, the extreme east.

[^187]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, "if he should go out having him." The text form is the conditional comitative of ginig-: $\operatorname{gin}(a) g$-.
    ${ }^{2}$ In other words, " with one of good conduct, one that has done no ill."

[^188]:    ${ }^{3}$ Literally, "if they should breast-die having him."
    ""They shall lie down," euphemistic for "they shall lie slain."
    ${ }^{\circ}$ Observe the explanatory inferentials.

[^189]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably misheard for hansgousk', inferential of hansgous- = han-sgoud-x-. Literally translated it means "he cut (intr.) across."

[^190]:    ${ }^{2}$ Inferential forms.
    ${ }^{3}$ Pronounced in a hoarse whisper.

[^191]:    ${ }^{1}$ Second per. sing. obj., though the reference is to several persons.
    ${ }^{2}$ "Their own brother-in-law" is more properly hásdagwan in Takelma, mot'agwan meaning ordinarily "their own son-in-law." It seems that mot'- is sometimes

[^192]:    used as general term for people related to one through marriage with his near female kin (such as daughter or sister).

[^193]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, " it this-did," in other words, "it blew as it is blowing now," when the myth was being narrated.

[^194]:    ${ }^{2}$ So heard for $m e^{\varepsilon} y e e w a^{8} k$.

[^195]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}$ whispered yell, intended to express intense emotion.
    ${ }^{2}$ These forms are inferentials, because they serve the purpose of explanatory recapitulation rather than of simple narrative.
    ${ }^{3}$ For a fairly close parallel compare St. Clair, Traditions of the Coos Indians of Oregon, Journal of A merican Folk-Lore, Vol. xxii, pp. 32-34.

    - Inferential in form, because the fact it discloses is not part of the actual narrative but is told in order to explain the circumstances under which the story begins.

[^196]:    ${ }^{5}$ This is a myth-form, the form in ordinary use being either the vocative klasaa, "O grandchildren," or wik!asi, " my grandchildren." K!atsdek' is peculiar in two respects:-first of all, $t s$ is an impossible Takelma consonant combination, but occurs in the Upper Takelma dialect, so that the word may really be borrowed as a mythform from that dialect; secondly, suffixed -dek' takes the place of the wi-regularly prefixed as rst per. possessive pronominal element to terms of relationship. Cf. tclizydt ${ }^{\prime} k^{\prime}$, p. 140, 1. 22 .

[^197]:    'Literally, " that she mouth-counted."

[^198]:    ${ }^{2}$ To be pronounced in a whisper. It is formed from the verb base $t c!e l$ "rattle," and imitates the sound of rattling dentalia.

[^199]:    'Literally, "(it is) now that she has evidently been breast-hiding us."
    ${ }^{2}$ dan yéewaldinii is a myth name of Otter. It may be literally translated as "rocks always-returning-to-them."
    ${ }^{3}$ This is the name of Sun's servant, the canoe-paddler. The meaning of the name is not clear; tclíxi means " dog."

[^200]:    'An Upper Takelma form of hinxdaa, "fear of them.'"
    ${ }^{5}$ Literally translated these last two words mean "their-fear (i.e., fear of them) hurts;" in other words, "(we) are afraid, apprehensive."

[^201]:    ${ }^{1}=a a i y a ́ a$.
    ${ }^{2}=n i u k^{\prime}-h i^{\varepsilon}$; niuk' is the inferential of niw- : niw-, " be afraid (of)."
    ${ }^{3}$ This represents a sniff of suspicion.

[^202]:    ${ }^{4}$ Frances Johnson was not certain who the slayer of Otter was, but rather thought it was Sun.

[^203]:    ${ }^{1}$ These forms are all inferentials.
    ${ }^{2}$ That is, everything had dried up except the ocean to the west.
    "Said to sound less coarse than the ordinary word for "urinate," xalaxam-.

[^204]:    ${ }^{1}$ Inferentials, probably by way of preliminary explanation to the narrative proper.
    ${ }^{2}$ Skunk's foul discharge of wind is his "medicine" or supernatural power wherewith he "shoots" people.

    3"Dance for him!" Literally, "on-top-of-(him) dance."
    "That is, "dance in order to cure him."
    ${ }^{3}$ bigi has no known meaning; it is very probably a play on Skunk's own name, biik'w. dan bon (=dan boun) can be translated as "stone acorn-mortar;" boun

[^205]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, "Yellow-between-his-claws," a myth-name of Sparrow-Hawk.
    ${ }^{2}$ It is difficult to make much out of this myth, if it may be dignified by that name. Why the insistence on Beaver? Is the whole account an ill-remembered version of the flood and diving (by Beaver or Muskrat) for mud? That this favorite eastern myth motive did travel as far west as Oregon is shown by the Kathlamet Myth of Nikciamtcā'c (see Boas, Kathlamet Texts, pp. 23, 24).

[^206]:    ${ }^{3}$ That is, beavers still lead a semi-aquatic life.

    - Probably misheard for baadawiik'.
    ${ }^{5}$ Aorist in tense, because referring to present time. All other verb forms in this text are inferentials.

[^207]:    ${ }^{1}$ The translation here given differs but little, chiefly in the direction of greater literalness, from that already published in Sapir's " Religious Ideas of the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon," Journal of American Folk-lore, Vol. xx, pp. 46, 47. This applies also to some of the translations that follow, which have already been published elsewhere (Part I, No. 22; Part II, Nos. 3, 4, 5; and Part III, Nos. 1-11). The myth of the Acorn Woman, like the one that follows it and probably also No. 15,

[^208]:    is a medicine-formula recited by the somloholxa ${ }^{\mathfrak{\varepsilon}} s$ against the goyo. For this type of myth compare Goddard, Hupa Texts, University of California Publications in American Archaeology and Ethnology, Vol. 1, pp. 202-368.
    ${ }^{2}$ " Acorn Chieftainess," literally, "acorn its-chief."
    ${ }^{3}$ Inferentials.
    "Literally, " in-the-fire she-back-was."

[^209]:    ' Impersonal inferential. With expressed subject yap.'a it would be more correct to say lohòk'.
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, "right-there it-is-in-front, it-is-forth."
    ${ }^{3}$ For this medicine-formula compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 45, 46.
    -These verb forms are inferentials.
    ${ }^{5}$ Frances Johnson regularly used the word "to poison" in a metaphorical sense as meaning "to exercise one's magic power in order to do some person ill."

[^210]:    ${ }^{6}=h e^{e n}-a^{2} k^{\prime} w$ - with conditional -gig.
    ${ }^{1}$ Rather unusual order. We should expect k!elwí ganàu.
    ${ }^{8}$ That is, it is supernaturally harmful to it.
    'wigamdi, "my paternal grandmother," is an epithet of Old Rock Woman.

[^211]:    'Evidently contains the word da-uyáa, " medicine-spirit." Old Rock Woman was said to be the mountain's "boss."
    ${ }^{2}$ A sign of preparation for war or for a war-dance.
    ${ }^{3}$ As white war-paint.

    - Perhaps misheard for giniik $d a^{\varepsilon}$.
    'This word was said not to be in ordinary use, but to be limited to myth texts.

[^212]:    - These forms are inferentials again. It seems plausible to assume that the text, being a medicine formula rather than an ordinary myth narrative, should have inferential verb forms throughout for narrative, but that Mrs. Johnson now and then slipped into the more easy-going aorists.
    ${ }^{7}$ For the differences between the somloholxa ${ }^{\text {s }}$ s and goyd compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 40-45.

[^213]:    ${ }^{1}$ This and the following fragments were elicited by a question as to whether the Takelmas were acquainted with the myths of the rolling skull and the musical contest in which the lamprey eel comes off victor. Frances Johnson did not remember them well enough to tell them as myth texts. For the former of these myths compare Curtin's Yana tale in his "Creation Myths of Primitive America," pp. 325-35.

[^214]:    ${ }^{1}$ An attempt was made to secure a series of texts dealing with the life of the Indians. The six short texts that make up this part represent the indifferent success obtained. Indians generally find it far more difficult to dictate an account of a custom, which requires a certain amount of originality, than to tell a myth which they have already told or heard tell doubtless more than once.

[^215]:    ${ }^{2}$ For further details see Sapir, Notes on the Takelma Indians of Southwestern Oregon, American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, pp. 262, 63.
    ${ }^{3}$ That is, they put on the boards reaching from the ridge-pole to the sides of the house.

    - We were sitting out in the open when this text was dictated.

[^216]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 270-72.

[^217]:    ${ }^{1}$ Compare Sapir, Journal of American Folk-lore, Vol. xx, p. 48.
    ${ }^{2}=b a-i$ yewe yagwán.
    ${ }^{3}$ Literally, "raw," i.e., such as are not medicine-men.

[^218]:    "Literally, " in his own heart."
    ${ }^{s}=$ gel-yaldáaxaldi ${ }^{\mathrm{E}} n$, literally, " I breast-lost it."

    - Literally, "at-night once," i.e., " in one night."

[^219]:    'Literally, " that speaking."
    ${ }^{2}$ Compare Sapir, op. cit., pp. 43, 44.

[^220]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Sapir, American Anthropologist, N. S., Vol. 9, pp. $261,62$.
    "Literally, " over-me he-danced."

[^221]:    ${ }^{1}$ Either andi $\left(=a n i^{\varepsilon} d i\right)$ or wede di may here be used as negative interrogative particle, according to whether wagaganit' is taken as aorist (" you feel it;" aorist

[^222]:    stem agan- with organic second $a$ ) or potential (" you would feel it;" non-aorist stem $a g[a] n$ - with inorganic second $a$ ).

[^223]:    $1=m i i^{8} s^{s}-s^{\prime} i^{x}$.
     simply " person, people," by contrast here means " Indian."
    ${ }^{3}$ In speaking of the Upper Takelma the word wul'x is here used, a term ordinarily

[^224]:    referring to the Shastas. Indeed Frances Johnson used the English name Saste to translate the Indian $w u l l^{\prime} x$, though, when asked, she definitely declared that she had reference to the Lat $g a^{a} w a^{\varepsilon}$ or Upper Takelma.

    - Yūk'yák'wa was a well-known salt-marsh where many deer were caught.

[^225]:    ${ }^{1}$ So heard for gelwaya ${ }^{n} x a^{8} n$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Pronounced in a violent whisper.
    ${ }^{3}$ A loud and prolonged whisper.
    "Literally, " still up-sun-big sleep!"
    ${ }^{5}$ U'pper Takelma form of peléxa ${ }^{\varepsilon}$.

[^226]:    - Bitter sarcasm. The Shastas are finding fault with one another for allowing the men to escape.
    ${ }^{7}$ A hoarse whisper.
    ${ }^{8}$ Literally, "when will they find him again?" i.e., " they never found him again."

[^227]:    ${ }^{1}$ With gesture towards some Indian lads that happened to be about. ${ }^{2}=t \cdot b o u r-h i^{\varepsilon}$.

[^228]:    ${ }^{3}$ He thinks to be shown mercy by representing himself as related to some people that live further up the river.

[^229]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Sapir, Journal of American Folk-lore, pp. 35-40, for interlinear translations and explanations of the significance of the charms.
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, "I shall cause to be or do." naan-is causative of non-aorist intr. na-.

[^230]:    ${ }^{3}$ Literally, " they were caused to be or do." nagaan-is causative of aorist intr. nagai-.
    ${ }^{4}=l$ hoiyan ${ }^{8}$.

[^231]:    ${ }^{1}$ Literally, "when it arises."
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, "ahead I shall go."
    ${ }^{3}$ This word is intended to represent a prolonged yelling.

    - Probably intended to frighten away the frogs and lizards that eat up the moon.

[^232]:    ${ }^{5}$ Each syllable of this formula is recited pompously by itself.

    - -t'gem and -t'geemt'gam are probably intentionally used to alliterate with t'gam, "elk." There may be a folk-etymology involved.
    ${ }^{1}$ Or Alwilamxadis, a mountain.

[^233]:    ' $2 . e .$, the wind.
    ${ }^{2}$ Literally, " yet day once."
    ${ }^{\text {' Doubtless misheard for } k!i i g a d a ́ n . ~}$

[^234]:    "That is, "mayest thou continue to live."
    ${ }^{5}$ That is, "blow a whiff of tobacco smoke for my prosperity "

[^235]:    ${ }^{1}$ Bulletin 40, Bureau of American Ethnology.

[^236]:    ${ }^{1}$ Radically identical with preceding verb.
    ${ }^{2}$ Cf. adj. duu.

[^237]:    ${ }^{1}$ Related to preceding stem.

[^238]:    ${ }^{1}$ Probably identical with preceding stem.
    ${ }^{2}$ See t'geye-below.

