

LIBRARY OF THE
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

510.84
Il63c
no.134-140



ENGINEERING

The person charging this material is responsible for its return to the library from which it was withdrawn on or before the **Latest Date** stamped below.

Theft, mutilation, and underlining of books are reasons for disciplinary action and may result in dismissal from the University.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS LIBRARY AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

ENGINEERING CONFERENCE ROOM

APR 29 1977

MAY 2 REC'D

PHOTO REPRODUCTION

MAY 26 REC'D

PHOTO REPRODUCTION

NOV. 14 REC'D

PHOTO REPRODUCTION

MAR. 1 1 REC'D

PHOTO REPRODUCTION

SEP 26 REC'D

FEB - 3 1981

Feb 10 1981

FEB 14 REC'D

INTERLIBRARY LOAN

OCT 21 REC'D

INTERLIBRARY LOAN

L161—O-1096

10.84
163c
e.134

Engin.

ENGINEERING LIBRARY
UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, ILLINOIS /

CONFERENCE ROOM

Center for Advanced Computation

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN
URBANA, ILLINOIS 61801

CAC Document No. 134


COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES FOR
INPUT-OUTPUT ECONOMETRIC MODELS

by

Killion Noh and Ahmed Sameh

September 1974

The Library of the
MAY 5 1976
University of Illinois
+ Urbana-Champaign



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2012 with funding from
University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign

<http://archive.org/details/computationaltec134nohk>

207
CAC DOCUMENT NO. 134

COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES
FOR
INPUT-OUTPUT ECONOMETRIC MODELS

By
Killion Noh
and
Ahmed Sameh

Center for Advanced Computation
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Urbana, Illinois 61801

September, 1974

This work was supported by a grant from the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission with the cooperation of the National Science Foundation.

510.84
IL63C
no. 134-140

Engineering

ENGINEERING LIBRARY

ABSTRACT

In an input-output econometric model we are often concerned with solving the system of n equations $(I - A)x = y$, repeatedly for various changes in the elements of A . This system of equations expresses gross output requirements (x) as a function of final demand (y) and the technological structure of the economy (A); changes in the elements of A can come about for a variety of reasons. In this paper we present techniques for solving such large systems of equations, and for updating the solution to account for changes in A . The methods presented effect substantial savings in computing time and storage requirements over those conventionally employed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. SQUARE ROOT FREE GIVENS REDUCTION	3
3. COLUMN MODIFICATION	4
4. ROW MODIFICATION	7
5. ELEMENT MODIFICATION	10
REFERENCES	12
FORTRAN IV PROGRAMS	13

1. INTRODUCTION

In an input-output econometric model we are often concerned with solving the system of n equations $(I - A) x = y$, repeatedly for various changes in the elements of A . This system of equations expresses gross output requirements (x) as a function of final demand (y) and the technological structure of the economy, and changes in the elements of A can come about for a variety of reasons. In this paper we present techniques for solving such large systems of equations, and for updating the solution to account for changes in A . The methods presented effect substantial savings in computing time and storage requirements over those conventionally employed.

Several methods [1] can be used in solving the system

$$(I - A) x = y \quad (1.1)$$

Gaussian elimination requires $\frac{1}{3} n^3$ multiplications, Householder triangularization requires $\frac{2}{3} n^3$ multiplications and n square roots, and Givens triangularization requires $\frac{4}{3} n^3$ multiplications and $\frac{1}{2} n^2$ square roots. In this paper we use a modification of Givens method developed by Gentleman [2] that requires only n^3 multiplications and no square roots. There are two reasons for such a choice. The first is that the matrices $B = I - A$ are usually dense and of large size, hence on some computers we may have to resort to secondary storage; and since these input-output matrices are often stored by rows Givens transformations are more suitable than those of Householder. Second, some of the procedures in updating the solution due to changes in B such as removing a row or a column are inherently unstable, so in order to minimize such effects it is desirable to use orthogonal transformations.

The system (1.1) is solved by Givens transformations as follows. We construct an orthogonal matrix Z as the product of Givens transformations such that [2],

$$ZB = D^{\frac{1}{2}}R \quad (1.2)$$

where D is a diagonal matrix, and R is unit upper triangular. From (1.1) and (1.2) we have

$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}Rx = Zy$$

i.e.,

$$Rx = \hat{y} \quad (1.3)$$

which is solved by backsubstitution.

Note that we do not store Z , the Givens transformations are multiplied by the final demand vector y as they are produced. When the matrix B is well-conditioned instead of solving (1.1) we may solve the normal equation

$$B^t Bx = B^t y \quad (1.4)$$

Of course the condition number of the coefficient matrix is the square of the original one; however, this is of no serious consequence since B is very well-conditioned. Using (1.2) we may write (1.4) in the form,

$$R^t DRx = B^t y \quad (1.5)$$

Note that we do not store Z , the only storage required is that for B , R , D , x , and y , i.e. $2n^2 + 3n$ words. Finally x can be obtained by solving sequentially the systems,

$$R^t x_2 = B^t y, \quad Dx_1 = x_2, \quad Rx = x_1 \quad (1.6)$$

When a new right hand side \tilde{y} is given with B unchanged, (1.6) is again used where we replace $B^t y$ by $B^t \tilde{y}$.

Various methods have been developed for updating matrix factorization

due to changes in the elements of the matrix. We refer mainly to the papers by Gill and Murray [3] and Golub, et. al. [4]. Throughout this paper we use Gentleman's square root free Givens transformation for the updating of the solution x in (1.1) due to row, column, and a single element changes in B .

2. SQUARE ROOT FREE GIVENS REDUCTION

We describe briefly the factorization (1.2). Let us consider a Givens transformation [2] that rotates two row vectors such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\alpha} & \sqrt{\alpha} u_2 & \dots & \sqrt{\alpha} u_n \\ \sqrt{\beta} v_1 & \sqrt{\beta} v_2 & \dots & \sqrt{\beta} v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} & \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{u}_2 & \dots & \sqrt{\tilde{\alpha}} \tilde{u}_n \\ 0 & \sqrt{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{v}_2 & \dots & \sqrt{\tilde{\beta}} \tilde{v}_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha} &= \alpha + \beta v_1^2 \\ \tilde{\beta} &= \alpha\beta/\tilde{\alpha} \\ c &= \alpha/\tilde{\alpha} \\ s &= \beta v_1/\tilde{\alpha} \\ \left. \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_i &= cu_i + sv_i \\ \tilde{v}_i &= v_i - v_1 u_i \end{aligned} \right\} \quad i = 2, \dots, n \quad (2.2) \end{aligned}$$

Note that no square root evaluations are involved in these formulae and

(2.1) may be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} c & s \\ -s & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \\ & \beta \end{bmatrix}^{1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & u_2 & \dots & u_n \\ v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} & \\ & \tilde{\beta} \end{bmatrix}^{1/2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \tilde{u}_2 & \dots & \tilde{u}_n \\ 0 & \tilde{v}_2 & \dots & \tilde{v}_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.3)$$

in less than n^3 operations, where \tilde{B} is different from B in only one column ([3], [4]).

We first consider deleting a column b_i from B . Let

$$B_1 = [b_1, \dots, b_{i-1}, b_{i+1}, \dots, b_n]$$

be an $n \times (n-1)$ matrix obtained from B by deleting the i -th column, thus

$$B_1^t B_1 = R_0^t D R_0$$

where R_0 is R with the i -th column deleted. If we partition R_0 as

$$R_0 = \begin{bmatrix} & & \vdots & & \\ & H_1 & & H_2 & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & 0 & & H_3 & \\ & & \vdots & & \\ & & & & \vdots & \end{bmatrix}$$

then the $(i-1) \times (i-1)$ submatrix H_1 is unit upper triangular, and H_3 is an upper Hessenberg matrix of order $(n-i)$. We wish to find an orthogonal transformation Z such that

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^t B_1 &= R_0^t D^{\frac{1}{2}} Z^t Z D^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0 \\ &= R_1^t D_1 R_1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} R_1 = Z D^{\frac{1}{2}} R_0$$

in which R_1 is an $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ unit upper triangular matrix. This can be done by defining Z as a product of the following Givens transformations:

$$Z = Z_n^{n-1} \dots Z_{i+2}^{i+1} Z_{i+1}^i$$

That is, Z reduces the upper Hessenberg matrix H_3 to a unit upper triangular matrix. Thus, deleting a column from B and obtaining a modified factorization involve only the temporary storage of the $(n-i) \times (n-i)$ lower principal submatrix of R_0 .

We now consider adding a column b to B_1 . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B} &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & \vdots & b \end{bmatrix} \\ \tilde{R} &= \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & \vdots & r \\ \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and

$$\tilde{D} = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & \vdots & 0 \\ \dots & \vdots & \dots \\ 0 & \vdots & d \end{bmatrix}$$

in which an n -vector r with its n -th component unity and a scalar d are to be determined such that

$$\tilde{B}^t \tilde{B} = \tilde{R}^t \tilde{D} \tilde{R}$$

From (3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}^t \tilde{B} &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1^t \\ \dots \\ b^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B_1 & \vdots & b \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} B_1^t B_1 & \vdots & B_1^t b \\ \dots & \vdots & \dots \\ b^t B_1 & \vdots & b^t b \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}^t \tilde{D} \tilde{R} &= \begin{bmatrix} R_1^t & \vdots & 0 \\ \dots & \vdots & \dots \\ & & r^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & \vdots & 0 \\ \dots & \vdots & \dots \\ 0 & \vdots & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & \vdots \\ \dots & \vdots \\ 0 & \vdots \\ & & r \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} R_1^t D_1 R_1 & \vdots & [R_1^t D_1 & \vdots & 0] r \\ \dots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \dots \\ r^t \tilde{D} \begin{bmatrix} R_1 \\ \dots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} & \vdots & & & r^t \tilde{D} r \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Comparing right hand sides of (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} R_1^t D_1 & \vdots & 0 \\ & & \\ & & r^{tDr} \end{bmatrix} r = B_1^t b \quad (3.7)$$

from which r and d are computed.

Thus, the modified factorization (3.1) is completely determined and the modified solution \tilde{x} is obtained from

$$\tilde{R}^t \tilde{D} \tilde{R} \tilde{x} = \tilde{B}^t y \quad (3.8)$$

by forward and backward substitution as before.

The maximum number of operations necessary for a column modification is only $5n^2$ multiplications. Note that only $3n$ extra storages for x , y , and a new column in addition to those for B , D , and R are required for deleting and adding a column, and updating D and R .

4. ROW MODIFICATION

Let us first consider deleting a row b_i^t from B ,

$$B_1 = B - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & b_i^t & \\ \dots & i & \dots \\ & 0 & \dots \end{bmatrix}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} B_1^t B_1 &= B^t B - b_i^t b_i \\ &= R^t D R - b_i^t b_i \end{aligned}$$

where R and D are the original factors of B and we assume that they are available. We wish to determine a unit upper triangular matrix R_1 and a diagonal matrix D_1 such that

$$R_1^t D_1 R_1 = R^t D R - b_i^t b_i \quad (4.1)$$

To do this ([3], [4]), solve

$$R^t D v = b_i \quad (4.2)$$

for v and let

$$s^2 = 1 - \|v\|_2^2$$

Now we construct an $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix

$$\begin{aligned} R_0 &= \begin{bmatrix} s & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ & D^{\frac{1}{2}}v & D^{\frac{1}{2}}R \\ & \vdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & D^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ v & \vdots & R \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and reduce it to a unit upper triangular matrix,

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & D^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} s & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ v & \vdots & R \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \vdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & D_1^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \vdots & r^t \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ 0 & \vdots & R_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$Z = Z_2^1 \cdots Z_n^1 Z_{n+1}^1$$

and, R_1 and D_1 are the desired factorization of B_1 . In order to show

that, we have from (4.3)

$$\begin{bmatrix} s^2 + v^t Dv & \vdots & v^t DR \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ R^t Dv & \vdots & R^t DR \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \vdots & r^t \\ \cdots & \vdots & \cdots \\ r & \vdots & rr^t + R_1^t D_1 R_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

comparing both sides, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v^t Dv + s^2 &= 1 \\ R^t Dv &= r \\ R^t DR &= rr^t + R_1^t D_1 R_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$r = b_i$$

and, the relation (4.1) is satisfied.

For adding a row g_i^t to B_1 , let

$$\tilde{B} = B_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \cdots \\ g_i^t \\ \cdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\tilde{B}^t \tilde{B} = B_1^t B_1 + \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i^t \quad (4.4)$$

Now construct an $(n + 1) \times n$ matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} D_1 & \frac{1}{2} R_1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ & \varepsilon_i^t \\ & \varepsilon_i \end{bmatrix}$$

and reduce it to a unit upper triangular matrix,

$$Z \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & \frac{1}{2} R_1 \\ \dots & \dots \\ & \varepsilon_i^t \\ & \varepsilon_i \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{D} & \frac{1}{2} \tilde{R} \\ \dots & \dots \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$Z = Z_{n+1}^n \quad \dots \quad Z_{n+1}^2 \quad Z_{n+1}^1$$

From (4.5) we obtain

$$R_1^t D_1 R_1 + \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_i^t = \tilde{R}^t \tilde{D} \tilde{R} \quad (4.6)$$

Therefore, from (4.4) and (4.6)

$$\tilde{B}^t \tilde{B} = \tilde{R}^t \tilde{D} \tilde{R}$$

Thus the modified factorization is completely determined and the modified solution is obtained from

$$\tilde{R}^t \tilde{D} \tilde{R} x = \tilde{B}^t y$$

as before.

The number of operations required for the row modification is $5\frac{1}{2}n^2$ multiplications. Again, only $4n$ extra storage locations are necessary in addition to those for B , D , and R .

For an alternative method for row modification see Sameh and Bezdek [5].

5. ELEMENT MODIFICATION

When only one element of the matrix B is changed and we wish to update the solution, the Sherman-Morrison formula [6] may be utilized since only n^2 multiplications are required in the process.

Let us consider the problem in which we wish to solve

$$(B + \alpha e_i e_j^t) \tilde{x} = y \quad (5.1)$$

where α is a scalar, and e_i and e_j are the i -th and the j -th column vectors of an identity matrix, respectively.

From (5.1) and the Sherman-Morrison formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x} &= (B + \alpha e_i e_j^t)^{-1} y \\ &= (B^{-1} - \beta B^{-1} e_i e_j^t B^{-1}) y \\ &= B^{-1} y - \beta B^{-1} e_i e_j^t B^{-1} y \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where
$$\beta = (\alpha^{-1} + e_j^t B^{-1} e_i)^{-1} \quad (5.3)$$

Assuming the original solution is known, $x = B^{-1} y$, (5.2) becomes

$$\tilde{x} = x - \beta B^{-1} e_i e_j^t x \quad (5.4)$$

If we solve for w in

$$B w = e_i \quad (5.5)$$

using the factorization (1.5), then

$$\beta = (\alpha^{-1} + w_j)^{-1}$$

and

$$\tilde{x} = x - \beta x_j w \quad (5.6)$$

Although n^2 multiplications are necessary for the element modifications, if the i -th column of B^{-1} is available, the number of multiplications is reduced to n .

Only $2n$ extra storage locations are necessary in addition to those for B , D , and R .

Until now, we have assumed that the computer core memory is large enough so that the matrices B, R, and D can simultaneously be contained in it. However, since we are dealing with matrices of large size, it may happen that the core memory cannot accommodate all or part of them simultaneously. In this case, the matrices can be stored by rows in the secondary storage, and several rows of B or R are brought into the core whenever necessary.

For applying Givens transformation for triangularization of B or updating R, only as many rows of B or R that the core memory can accommodate are brought in since only two rows of B or R are necessary for a single Givens transformation.

In order to compute $B^t y$, which appears in (3.7), (3.8), and Section 4, each row vector of the matrix, B_i in the core is multiplied by y_i , i-th component of y , i.e.

$$B^t y = \sum_{i=1}^n B_i y_i$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. H. Wilkinson, *The Algebraic Eigenvalue Problem*, Oxford, 1965.
- [2] W. M. Gentleman, "Least Squares Computations by Givens Transformations Without Square Roots", *J. Inst. Maths. Applics.*, Vol. 12 (1973), pp. 329-336.
- [3] P. E. Gill and W. Murray, "A Numerically Stable Form of the Simplex Algorithm", National Physical Laboratory, DNAM Report No. 87, Teddington, England, 1972.
- [4] P. E. Gill, G. H. Golub, W. Murray, and M. A. Saunders, "Methods for Modifying Matrix Factorizations", *Math. Comp.*, Vol. 28, (1974), pp. 505-535.
- [5] A. E. Sameh and R. H. Bezdek, "Methods for Increasing the Computational Efficiency of Input-Output and Related Large Scale Matrix Operations", CAC Document No. 66, Center for Advanced Computation, University of Illinois, 1973.
- [6] A. S. Householder, *The Theory of Matrices in Numerical Analysis*, New York, 1964.


```

SUBROUTINE GIVGEN(NN,N,A,B,D,R,X,EPS)
C
C THIS SUBROUTINE SOLVES A SYSTEM OF LINEAR EQUATIONS A*X=B BY
C GIVENS TRANSFORMATIONS WITHOUT SQUARE ROOT EVALUATIONS.
C IT DETERMINES THE FACTORIZATION Z*A=D**((1/2))*R, WHERE Z IS AN
C ORTHOGONAL MATRIX, D IS A DIAGONAL MATRIX, AND R IS A UNIT UPPER
C TRIANGULAR MATRIX. Z IS NOT STORED. SOLUTION IS THEN OBTAINED
C BY A BACKWARD SUBSTITUTION. IF ANY ELEMENT OF A IS LESS THAN EPS,
C IT IS REPLACED BY ZERO.
C
C INPUT
C NN DECLARED DIMENSION OF MATRIX A
C N ORDER OF MATRIX A
C A MATRIX OF ORDER N
C B RIGHT HAND SIDE VECTOR
C
C OUTPUT
C X SOLUTION VECTOR
C D DIAGONAL VECTOR
C R UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX OF ORDER N
C EPS MACHEPS*(NORM OF A)
C
REAL*8 AC(NN,N), BC(N), DC(N), RC(NN,N), X(N)
REAL*8 ALPHA, BETA, UI, VI, CBAR, SBAR, TEMP, ANORM, MACHEP, DMAX1,
X DABS, EPS
DATA MACHEP/234100000000000000/
DO 5 J=1,N
D(J)=1.0D0
X(J)=B(J)
DO 5 I=1,N
5 R(I,J)=A(I,J)
C
C COMPUTE INFINITE NORM OF A AND EPS=MACHEPS*ANORM
ANORM=0.0D0
DO 15 I=1,N
TEMP=0.0D0
DO 10 J=1,N
10 TEMP=TEMP+DABS(R(I,J))
15 ANORM=DMAX1(ANORM,TEMP)
EPS=MACHEP*ANORM
C
NM1=N-1
DO 100 J=1,NM1
JP1=J+1
NJ=N+JP1
I=J
UI=R(I,J)
C
C SPECIAL CASES FOR GIVENS TRANSFORMATIONS
IF (DABS(UI).LT.EPS) GO TO 25
DO 20 M=JP1,N
20 R(I,M)=R(I,M)/UI
X(I)=X(I)/UI
R(I,J)=1.0D0
D(I)=UI*UI*D(I)
GO TO 30
25 R(I,J)=0.0D0
30 CONTINUE
DO 100 L=JP1,N
K=NJ-L

```

```

VI=R(K,J)
IF (DABS(VI).GE.EPS) GO TO 35
R(K,J)=0.000
GO TO 90
35 CONTINUE
IF (DABS(UI).GE.EPS) GO TO 50
DO 40 M=J,N
TEMP=-R(I,M)
R(I,M)=R(K,M)
40 R(K,M)=TEMP
TEMP=-X(I)
X(I)=X(K)
X(K)=TEMP
TEMP=D(I)
D(I)=D(K)
D(K)=TEMP
DO 45 M=JP1,N
45 R(I,M)=R(I,M)/VI
X(I)=X(I)/VI
D(I)=VI*VI*D(I)
R(I,J)=1.000
GO TO 90
50 CONTINUE
C
C GIVENS TRANSFORMATIONS
ALPHA=D(I)
BETA=D(K)
D(I)=ALPHA+BETA*VI*VI
D(K)=ALPHA+BETA/D(I)
R(I,J)=1.000
R(K,J)=0.000
CBAR=ALPHA/D(I)
SBAR=BETA*VI/D(I)
DO 55 M=JP1,N
TEMP=R(K,M)-VI*R(I,M)
R(I,M)=CBAR*R(I,M)+SBAR*R(K,M)
55 R(K,M)=TEMP
TEMP=X(K)-VI*X(I)
X(I)=CBAR*X(I)+SBAR*X(K)
X(K)=TEMP
90 CONTINUE
100 CONTINUE
TEMP=R(N,N)
X(N)=X(N)/TEMP
D(N)=TEMP*TEMP*D(N)
R(N,N)=1.000
C
C BACKWARD SUBSTITUTION
DO 130 I=1,N
J=N-I+1
JP1=J+1
TEMP=0.000
IF (JP1.GT.N) GO TO 120
DO 110 M=JP1,N
110 TEMP=TEMP+R(J,M)*X(M)
120 X(J)=X(J)-TEMP
130 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE COLMOD(NN,N,A,B,COL,ICOL,D,R,X,EPS)

```

```

C
C THIS SUBROUTINE UPDATES THE SOLUTION X, DIAGONAL MATRIX D, AND
C UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX R WHEN A COLUMN OF A IS CHANGED,
C PROVIDED THAT THE FACTORS R AND D, OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN',
C ARE KNOWN.
C
C INPUT
C NN DECLARED DIMENSION OF MATRIX A
C N ORDER OF MATRIX A
C A MATRIX OF ORDER N
C B RIGHT HAND SIDE VECTOR
C COL NEW COLUMN VECTOR
C ICOL THE ICOL-TH COLUMN OF A IS CHANGED
C D DIAGONAL VECTOR OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C R UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C EPS MACHEPS*(NORM OF A) OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C
C OUTPUT
C X UPDATED SOLUTION VECTOR
C D UPDATED DIAGONAL VECTOR
C R UPDATED UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX
C
C REAL*8 A(NN,N), B(N), COL(N), D(N), R(NN,N), X(N)
C REAL*8 ALPHA, BETA, UI, VI, CBAR, SBAR, TEMP, EPS, DABS
C
C REMOVE ICOL-TH COLUMN OF R TO OBTAIN R0
C NM1=N-1
C IF (ICOL.EQ.N) GO TO 210
C DO 20 J=ICOL, NM1
C JP1=J+1
C DO 20 I=1, JP1
C 20 R(I,J)=R(I,JP1)
C
C REDUCTION OF R0 TO UPPER TRIANGULAR FORM R1
C DO 200 I=ICOL, NM1
C K=I+1
C UI=R(I,I)
C VI=R(K,I)
C IF (DABS(UI).LT.EPS) GO TO 120
C IF (I.EQ.NM1) GO TO 115
C DO 110 J=K, NM1
C 110 R(I,J)=R(I,J)/UI
C 115 R(I,I)=1.0D0
C D(I)=UI*UI*D(I)
C GO TO 125
C 120 R(I,I)=0.0D0
C 125 CONTINUE
C IF (DABS(VI).GE.EPS) GO TO 130
C R(K,I)=0.0D0
C GO TO 190
C 130 CONTINUE
C IF (DABS(UI).GE.EPS) GO TO 150
C DO 135 J=I, NM1
C TEMP=-R(I,J)
C R(I,J)=R(K,J)
C 135 R(K,J)=TEMP
C TEMP=D(I)
C D(I)=D(K)
C D(K)=TEMP
C IF (I.EQ.NM1) GO TO 145

```

```

      DO 140 J=K, NM1
140  R(I, J)=R(I, J)/VI
145  CONTINUE
      D(I)=VI*VI*D(I)
      R(I, I)=1.000
      GO TO 150
150  CONTINUE
      ALPHA=D(I)
      BETA=D(K)
      D(I)=ALPHA+BETA+VI*VI
      D(K)=ALPHA+BETA/D(I)
      R(I, I)=1.000
      R(K, I)=0.000
      CBAR=ALPHA/D(I)
      SBAR=BETA*VI/D(I)
      IF (I.EQ.NM1) GO TO 190
      DO 155 J=K, NM1
      TEMP=R(K, J)-VI*R(I, J)
      R(I, J)=CBAR*R(I, J)+SBAR*R(K, J)
155  R(K, J)=TEMP
190  CONTINUE
200  CONTINUE
C
C      (TRANSPPOSE OF A1)*COL=X
210  CONTINUE
      ICOLM1=ICOL-1
      IF (ICOL.EQ.1) GO TO 235
      DO 230 J=1, ICOLM1
      TEMP=0.000
      DO 220 I=1, N
220  TEMP=TEMP+A(I, J)*COL(I)
230  X(J)=TEMP
      IF (ICOL.EQ.N) GO TO 260
235  CONTINUE
      DO 250 J=ICOL, NM1
      JF1=J+1
      TEMP=0.000
      DO 240 I=1, N
240  TEMP=TEMP+A(I, JF1)*COL(I)
250  X(J)=TEMP
260  CONTINUE
C
C      COMPUTE THE LAST COLUMN OF RTILDE AND THE LAST COMPONENT OF DTILDE
      DO 320 J=1, NM1
      JM1=J-1
      TEMP=0.000
      IF (J.EQ.1) GO TO 320
      DO 310 I=1, JM1
310  TEMP=TEMP+R(I, J)+R(I, N)
320  R(J, N)=X(J)-TEMP
      DO 330 I=1, NM1
330  R(I, N)=R(I, N)/D(I)
      TEMP=0.000
      DO 340 I=1, N
340  TEMP=TEMP+COL(I)*COL(I)
      BETA=0.000
      DO 350 I=1, NM1
350  BETA=BETA+R(I, N)*R(I, N)*D(I)
      D(N)=TEMP-BETA
      R(N, N)=1.000

```

```

C
C   COMPUTE RHS=(A TILDE)T*B
   IF (ICOL.EQ.1) GO TO 385
   DO 380 J=1,ICOLM1
   TEMP=0.000
   DO 370 I=1,N
370  TEMP=TEMP+A(I,J)*B(I)
380  X(J)=TEMP
   IF (ICOL.EQ.N) GO TO 405
385  CONTINUE
   DO 400 J=ICOL,NM1
   JP1=J+1
   TEMP=0.000
   DO 390 I=1,N
390  TEMP=TEMP+A(I,JP1)*B(I)
400  X(J)=TEMP
405  TEMP=0.000
   DO 410 I=1,N
410  TEMP=TEMP+COL(I)*B(I)
   X(N)=TEMP

C
C   FORWARD AND BACKWARD SUBSTITUTIONS
   DO 430 J=1,N
   JM1=J-1
   TEMP=0.000
   IF (J.EQ.1) GO TO 430
   DO 420 I=1,JM1
420  TEMP=TEMP+R(I,J)*X(I)
430  X(J)=X(J)-TEMP
   DO 440 I=1,N
440  X(I)=X(I)/D(I)
   DO 460 I=1,N
   J=N-I+1
   JP1=J+1
   TEMP=0.000
   IF (JP1.GT.N) GO TO 460
   DO 450 K=JP1,N
450  TEMP=TEMP+R(J,K)*X(K)
460  X(J)=X(J)-TEMP

C
C   REORDERING OF X(1),...,X(N)
   IF (ICOL.EQ.N) GO TO 480
   TEMP=X(N)
   DO 470 I=ICOL,NM1
   J=N-I+ICOL
470  X(J)=X(J-1)
   X(ICOL)=TEMP
480  CONTINUE
   RETURN
   END
SUBROUTINE ROWMOD(NN,N,A,B,ROW,IROW,D,R,X,EPS,V)

C
C   THIS SUBROUTINE UPDATES THE SOLUTION X, DIAGONAL MATRIX D, AND
C   UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX R WHEN A ROW OF MATRIX A IS CHANGED,
C   PROVIDED THAT THE FACTORS R AND D, OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN',
C   ARE KNOWN. V IS A TEMPORARY STORAGE VECTOR.
C
C   INPUT
C   NN   DECLARED DIMENSION OF MATRIX A
C   N    ORDER OF MATRIX A

```

```

C      A   MATRIX OF ORDER N
C      B   RIGHT HAND SIDE VECTOR
C      ROW NEW ROW VECTOR
C      IROW THE IROW-TH ROW OF A IS CHANGED
C      D   DIAGONAL VECTOR OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C      R   UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C      EPS MACHEPS*(NORM OF A) OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C
C      OUTPUT
C      X   UPDATED SOLUTION VECTOR
C      D   UPDATED DIAGONAL VECTOR
C      R   UPDATED UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX
C
C      REAL*8 A(NN,N), B(N), ROW(N), D(N), R(NN,N), X(N), V(N)
C      REAL*8 ALPHA, BETA, UI, VI, CBAR, SBAR, TEMP, DI, DK, S, EPS, DABS
C
C      SOLVE (RT)*(D)*V=BI FOR V
C
C      DO 10 J=1,N
10  X(J)=A(IROW, J)
C      DO 30 J=1,N
C      JM1=J-1
C      TEMP=0.000
C      IF (J.EQ.1) GO TO 30
C      DO 20 I=1, JM1
20  TEMP=TEMP+R(I, J)*V(I)
30  V(J)=X(J)-TEMP
C      DO 40 I=1,N
40  V(I)=V(I)/D(I)
C
C      REDUCTION OF R0 TO UNIT UPPER TRANGULAR MATRIX R1
C      DO 45 I=1,N
45  X(I)=0.000
C      DI=1.000
C      S=0.000
C      DO 200 I=1,N
C      K=N-I+1
C      UI=S
C      VI=V(K)
C      IF (DABS(VI).GE.EPS) GO TO 135
C      V(K)=0.000
C      GO TO 190
135  CONTINUE
C      IF (DABS(UI).GE.EPS) GO TO 150
C      DO 140 J=K,N
C      TEMP=-X(J)
C      X(J)=R(K, J)
140  R(K, J)=TEMP
C      TEMP=DI
C      DI=D(K)
C      D(K)=TEMP
C      DO 145 J=K,N
145  X(J)=X(J)/VI
C      DI=VI*VI+DI
C      S=1.000
C      V(K)=0.000
C      GO TO 190
150  CONTINUE
C      ALPHA=DI
C      BETA=D(K)

```

```

DI=ALPHA+BETA*VI*VI
D(K)=ALPHA+BETA/DI
S=1.000
V(K)=0.000
CBAR=ALPHA/DI
SBAR=BETA*VI/DI
DO 160 J=K,N
TEMP=R(K,J)-VI*X(J)
X(J)=CBAR*X(J)+SBAR*R(K,J)
160 R(K,J)=TEMP
190 CONTINUE
200 CONTINUE
C
C COMPUTE RHS=(ATILDE)T*B
DO 220 J=1,N
TEMP=0.000
DO 210 I=1,N
S=A(I,J)
IF (I.EQ. IROW) S=ROW(J)
210 TEMP=TEMP+S*B(I)
220 X(J)=TEMP
C
C REDUCTION OF R2 TO UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX RTILDE
DK=1.000
DO 400 I=1,N
IP1=I+1
UI=R(I,I)
IF (DABS(UI).LT.EPS) GO TO 325
IF (I.EQ.N) GO TO 322
DO 320 J=IP1,N
320 R(I,J)=R(I,J)/UI
322 CONTINUE
R(I,I)=1.000
D(I)=UI*UI*D(I)
GO TO 330
325 R(I,I)=0.000
330 CONTINUE
VI=ROW(I)
IF (DABS(VI).GE.EPS) GO TO 335
ROW(I)=0.000
GO TO 390
335 CONTINUE
IF (DABS(UI).GE.EPS) GO TO 350
IF (I.EQ.N) GO TO 342
DO 340 J=IP1,N
TEMP=-R(I,J)
R(I,J)=ROW(J)
340 ROW(J)=TEMP
342 CONTINUE
TEMP=D(I)
D(I)=DK
DK=TEMP
IF (I.EQ.N) GO TO 347
DO 345 J=IP1,N
345 R(I,J)=R(I,J)/VI
347 CONTINUE
D(I)=VI*VI*D(I)
R(I,I)=1.000
GO TO 390
350 CONTINUE

```

```

ALPHA=D(I)
BETA=BK
D(I)=ALPHA+BETA*VI*VI
DK=ALPHA*BETA/D(I)
CBAR=ALPHA/D(I)
SBAR=BETA*VI/D(I)
R(I,I)=1.000
ROW(I)=0.000
IF (I.EQ.N) GO TO 390
DO 360 J=I+1,N
TEMP=ROW(J)-VI*R(I,J)
R(I,J)=CBAR*R(I,J)+SBAR*ROW(J)
360 ROW(J)=TEMP
390 CONTINUE
400 CONTINUE

```

```

C
C FORWARD AND BACKWARD SUBSTITUTION
DO 430 J=1,N
JM1=J-1
TEMP=0.000
IF (JM1.LT.1) GO TO 430
DO 420 I=1,JM1
420 TEMP=TEMP+R(I,J)*V(I)
430 V(J)=X(J)-TEMP
DO 440 I=1,N
440 V(I)=V(I)/D(I)
DO 460 I=1,N
J=N-I+1
JP1=J+1
TEMP=0.000
IF (JP1.GT.N) GO TO 460
DO 450 K=JP1,N
450 TEMP=TEMP+R(J,K)*X(K)
460 X(J)=V(J)-TEMP
RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE EMTMOD(NN,N,A,X,D,R,EMT,ITH,JTH,V)

```

```

C
C THIS SUBROUTINE UPDATES THE SOLUTION X OF A*X=B WHEN AN ELEMENT
C OF A IS CHANGED, PROVIDED THAT THE FACTORS R, D AND THE SOLUTION
C X, OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN', ARE KNOWN. V IS A TEMPORARY STORAGE
C VECTOR.

```

INPUT

```

C NM DECLARED DIMENSION OF MATRIX A
C N ORDER OF MATRIX A
C A MATRIX OF ORDER N
C X SOLUTION VECTOR OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C D DIAGONAL VECTOR OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C R UNIT UPPER TRIANGULAR MATRIX OBTAINED FROM 'GIVGEN'
C EMT NEW ELEMENT
C ITH,JTH THE (ITH,JTH)-ELEMENT OF A IS CHANGED

```

OUTPUT

```

C X UPDATED SOLUTION VECTOR

```

```

REAL*8 A(NN,N),X(N),D(N),R(NN,N),V(N),SIGMA,TAU,EMT,TEMP

```

```

C SOLVE AT*D+R*V=AT+E(I)
C DO 30 J=1,N

```



```

JM1=J-1
TEMP=0.000
IF (J.EQ.1) GO TO 30
DO 20 I=1,JM1
20 TEMP=TEMP+R(I,J)*V(I)
30 V(J)=A(ITH,J)-TEMP
DO 40 I=1,N
40 V(I)=V(I)/D(I)
DO 60 I=1,N
J=N-I+1
JP1=J+1
TEMP=0.000
IF (J.EQ.N) GO TO 60
DO 50 K=JP1,N
50 TEMP=TEMP+R(J,K)*V(K)
60 V(J)=V(J)-TEMP

```

C

```

C COMPUTE SIGMA AND TAU
SIGMA=EMT-A(ITH,JTH)
TAU=1.000+SIGMA*V(JTH)
TAU=SIGMA/TAU

```

C

```

C COMPUTE THE UPDATED SOLUTION
TEMP=TAU*X(JTH)
DO 70 I=1,N
70 X(I)=X(I)-TEMP*V(I)
RETURN
END

```


BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET		1. Report No. UIUC-CAC-DN-74-134	2.	3. Recipient's Accession No.
4. Title and Subtitle COMPUTATIONAL TECHNIQUES FOR INPUT-OUTPUT ECONOMETRIC MODELS				5. Report Date September, 1974
7. Author(s) Killion Noh and Ahmed Sameh				6.
9. Performing Organization Name and Address Center for Advanced Computation University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign Urbana, Illinois 61801				8. Performing Organization Rept. No. CAC 134
12. Sponsoring Organization Name and Address National Science Foundation 1800 G Street Washington, D. C. 20301				10. Project/Task/Work Unit No.
15. Supplementary Notes				11. Contract/Grant No. NSF GI-35179X
16. Abstracts In an input-output econometric model we are often concerned with solving the system of n equations $(I - A)x = y$, repeatedly for various changes in the elements of A . This system of equations expresses gross output requirements (x) as a function of final demand (y) and the technological structure of the economy (A); changes in the elements of A can come about for a variety of reasons. In this paper we present techniques for solving such large systems of equations, and for updating the solution to account for changes in A . The methods presented effect substantial savings in computing time and storage requirements over those conventionally employed.				13. Type of Report & Period Covered Research
17. Key Words and Document Analysis. 17a. Descriptors Computational Techniques Econometric Model Input/Output Output requirements Column modification. Row modification Element modification				14.
7b. Identifiers/Open-Ended Terms				
7c. COSATI Field/Group				
8. Availability Statement No restriction on distribution. Available from National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22151		19. Security Class (This Report) UNCLASSIFIED		21. No. of Pages 21
		20. Security Class (This Page) UNCLASSIFIED		22. Price



UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS-URBANA

510.84/L63C C001
CAC DOCUMENT\$URBANA
134-140 1974



3 0112 007263848