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Clancellor of the Olnibersity of ©ambriage.

Sir,
I esteem it a peculiar happiness that my own humble researches should have been rewarded by a discovery which seems to throw a clearer light upon the writings of one of the companions of the holy Apostles, than the united labors of several of the highest and most distinguished Prelates who have adorned the English Church ;

And in being permitted to inscribe to your Royal Highness these results of my discovery, I enjoy a very rare and high gratification, such as
none of them could ever have experienced,-the honor of dedicating my work to a Prince whose own learning and acquirements have qualified him to estimate the importance and the interest of the task which I have undertaken, and whose personal condescension and kindness have encouraged me under the difficulties with which it has been attended.

I have the honor to be, Sir, Your Royal Highness'

Most obedient and devoted Servant,

WILLIAM CURETON.

March 31st, 1849.

## $8 Q$ 1523 <br> A2 <br> PREFACE.

Ther discorery of the Ancient Syriac Version of the Epistlen of St. Ignatius excited so great and general an interest, that the whole of the impression of the volume in which I made the resultes of that discovery public was exhansted in the comrse of a very few months, and a new edition called for. I felt, however, that something more was due to the subject which I had undertaken than merely to exhibit it again in the same form as that in which it had appeared at first. Although I was fully aware that the very little leisure which I could command must necessarily delay the publication for a considerable period, I resolved to collect together all the documents relating to the Ignatian Epistles, and to exhibit them in such a manner as would enable those who may be desirons of investigating this subject for themselves to form their own judgment respecting the whole question, without being compelled to refer to wther books than that which I might lay before them.

For this purpose I have exhibited at one view a comparison of the text of the Syriac and of that of both the Greek Recensions of the three Epistles to Polycarp, the Ephesians, and the liomans ; and I hare caused the particular variations of each to be printed in a different and distinct type, in order that their sereral peculiarities may be immediately obvious. I hare also given a similar comparison of the text of the Longer and Shorter Recensions of the Epistles to the Magnesians, Trallians, Philadelphians, and Smyrneans. To these I have subjoined the rest of the Ignatian Epistles in Greek; and to all of thom I hare supplied their corresponding ancient Latin versions. I hare likewise appended to the rest the Three Letters attributed to St. Ignatius, of which Latin copies only are known
to exist. This furnishes a complete collection of ait Epistles which have ever been assigned to the rawant: Bishop of Antioch.

Tu these Epistles I hare subjoined all the Testimonies respecting lgnatius himself, and all the extracts from the Ignatian Epistles which have been quoted by various author's in (ircek and Latin down to the tenth century - so far at least as my own knowledge extends, and I have becn able to collect them. I have not thought it necessary to add those of a later period. The Acts of Martyrdom, as exhibited in the Colbert manuscript, follow. I have also diligently collected and supplied all the extracts from the Ignatian Letters, and all the passages respecting Ignatius himself, in Syriac, which I could find among the rich and valuable treasures of the British Museum, or could obtain elsewhere, to make the work more complete. These, as well as the Syriac text of the Three Epistles, I have translated into English, for the use of such as may not have studied the Aramaic tongue.

I have also appended several extracts attributed to St. Ignatius, found among the Ethiopic collection in the British Museum, with a Latin translation. These, 1 believe, constitute the whole of the documents up to this time arailable, upon which any discussion respecting Ignatius and his Epistles can be based.

In the Introduction and Notes I have very freely explained my own views and convictions on the several subjects which presented themselves ; and I have stated the arguments upon which they have been founded. Should these appear to be less uniform and consecutive than the entire tenour of the whole investigation may seem to require, I trust that the realer will kindly grant me his indulgence in this respect, when he is informed that the little leisure which I have at my own diaposal has never permitted me to give more than an hour or two at one time, and that after the fatigues of my daily occuprations. to a sulyeet which. from its interest and importance.
al in. well have demanded my whole and undivided attention. Thie task which I imposed upon myself would perhapss have beon much better executed by some one who is happy enongh to have his time sufficiently at his own command to be able to direct and apply the whole energies of his mind to any subject like this, which he may be desirons to insestigate and illustrate Still, howerer, I have not shrunk from it, with all its difficulties. How far I have been successful others must judge. The only morit which I can venture to claim to myself is that of zeal in attempting, and of perseverance in executing, as the task of my Horce Subsecive, a work of so great extent, requiring so much laborious research and thought, upon a controverted matter, in which I must necessarily expect that thooe to whose particular views or prejudices the results of my inquiries may prove unfaromrable will be ready to catch at every slip, and to expose every error. The conscious determination to seck diligently and impartially for the truth, and to state plainly and unhesitatingly my honest convictions, has given me the courage not to be dismayed or deterred by any such considerations as these.

Indeed, when I first published my volume in 184.5, I felt assured that it would not be allowed to pass without consure ; and I then resolved to aroid entering into any of the controrersy which I could not but foresee it would create. It was, however, received far more farourably than I could renture th anticipate. This I can only attribute to the evident conriction afforded by the facts which it contains. Only one attack, so far as my knowledge goes, has been directed against it. This appeared in the English Reriew.* It is much casier to make a parade of orthodoxy, and thereby excite the fears. and prejudice the sympathy of the well-disposed but uninformed, than to argue logically, especially when the premises require some learning and research. Thus the Reviewer at-

[^0]tempted to decry the work, by representing the Syriac version of the Ignatian Epistles as the production of an Eutychian heretic. I felt, therefore, that it was due to the late Archhishop of Canterbury to abandon the resolution which I had formed, and to shew that the Reviewer was mistaken in representing, as "a miscrable epitome by an Entychian heretic," a work in which the Archbishop expressed great interest, and, after haring read the Epistles, had kindly allowed me to dedicate it to him. The Reviewer's attempt in this respect has been pronounced an unhappy failure by Dr. Jacobson, the present Regius Professor of Divinity at Oxford.* Dr. Lee, late Regius Professor of Hebrew in the University of Cambridge, has ably exposed many of the fallacies and gratuitous assumptions of the Reviewer. $\uparrow$ How far I have succeeded in my own Reply + I must leave to others to determine. §

[^1]In 1847 Dr . Hefele, one of the Professors at Tiilingen, pulslished his third edition of the works of the Apmstorlic Pathers, in which he has made such use of my latours as seemed most appropriate to the object which he had before him. The fiollowing are his own swords, extracted from the Proleyomena, " Curetonus nobis persuadere conatur, versionem illam Syriacam gemuino textui Ignatiano accuratissime respondere, et omnia, qua in nostro Graco textu plura inveniuntur, abl aliis addita esse et introducta. Tantum rero abest, ut ei adstipulemur, ut veraionem Syriacam nom misi eqpitomem Ignatianarums episcolarum a monacho quodam Syriaco in proprios nsus pios confectam (cfr. infra, p. 156, not. 1)*, reputare possimus ; preesertinı cum iiden contices a Tatamo detecti et aliap plura excerpta ex epistolis Ignatianis, necmon alios libellos asecticos, ut Curetomus ipre fatetur, contincant. Praterea sapius inde ex eo, quod nexus sententiarum in versione Syriaca minus est arctus, apparet, mona-

Polemik einen nach dem andern auf, versäumt auch nicht, zur Beruhigung ängstlicher Gemüther sehlicsslich darzuthun, lass der Bestand des EpiscoJalsystems von der Enteheidung der Frage uiber-die Ignatianischen Briefe ganz und gar nicht abhaingig spi. Uelrigens ist diese Vertheidigung, auch wo sie sellist zum Angriff wird, fiast durchgangig ruhig und würderoll gehalten, mud bewegt sich, frei ron Leidenschaftlickeit, wemn auch nicht immer von Empfindlichkeit, die bisweilen doch zu Ungerechtigkeit oder mindestens Kleinlichkeit verleitet hat, in meist ernst und sicher eroiterndem, doch auch nicht selten an Ironie streifendem Tone, wo dann der Vf. die decluctio ad absurdum mit Geschick und Erfolg handhabt, in streng, wir müchten fast sagen pedantisch-kirchlichem Geiste, aber ohne Intoleranz, auf wissenselhaftichem Grund und Boden, so dass dieses Schriftehen die frühern Ansichten des Tfs. in nicht wenigen Stücken erläutert, ergänzt, verstärkt oder uhersichticher zusammenfast, und somit als ein nicht unwillkommener Nachtrag zu dem Hauptwerke zu betrachten ist." Leipziger Repertorium, 13. Nov. 1846. Heft 46, p. 254.

* The following is the note to which he refers: "Totum caput secundum deest in rersione Syriaca: pius enim ille monachus, qui versionem Syriacum elaboravit, omnia omisisse videtur quæ ipsi et usui suo ascetico minus congrua minusse necessaria putabat. Paræneticos vero epistolarum Ignatianarum locos omnesque ad vitam bene institnendam exhortationes sedulo collegit."
(Chum illum non tam interpretis, quam epitomatoris partes egisse."* (p. lriii.). These observations I should not have thought at all deserving of notice upon the present occasion, had not Dr. Jacohson repeated them nearly in the very words of the writer, and likewise inserted, verbatim, in his own work, several of Dr. Hefele's notes which relate to the Syriac version.

It is quite obvious that Dr. Hefele's knowledge on the Ignatian question is too imperfect to allow his voice to have much weight upon this subject. In his first edition of the works of the Apostolic Fathers, which was published in 1839, he has stated that Daillé marle an attack upon Bishop Pearson's celebrated Vindiciet; while the truth of the case is exactly the contrary. Bishop Pearson made the attack in his Vindiciae, which was not published till six years after Daille's work had appeared; the one bearing the date of 1666 , and the other of 1672 . Moreover, Daille had in the meanwhile paid the last debt to nature, having expired on the 15 th of April $1670 .+$ This extraordinary blunder, which no one acquainted with the two most learned and famous works that had appeared during the Ignatian controversy could have made, has been successively repeated in each of the subsequent editions of Hefele's work. In the third, now before me, he gires further proof of his ignorance of the contents of Bishop Pearson's celebrated Vindicic. In the

[^2]Proleyomena, as an additional testimony from the second century, he has now adidued from the Dialogrue of Larcian de Morte Peregrini, a fancied allusion to the Ignatian Epistles, and to some expressions contained therein, which hre has taten from the Dissertation of Disterdicek, published in 1893. This, however, had been already propounded by Bishop Pearson amung lin conjectural testimonies, and spoken of at considerable length. It is quite plain, therefore, that Dr. Hefcle, although he refers to the Vindicie upon several oceasions, conld have hat but a rery slight knowledge of that famous work.

He also mentioms Larroque among those who had replied to the learned Prelate's Vindicie: but it is erident that he could not have read lis work at all, or he would not have fallen into the error abore stated respecting Daillé. Indeed, he appears nerer even to have seen it ; for not only has Larroque delicated his book to Daillés son, and in the dedication, which is but short, spoken of his father, and mentioned the circumstances which led to his own Reply to Bishop Pearson : but he also commences his book with the following words: - Ignatiane eruditissimi Pearsonii vindicix à quibus nostras inchoamus obscrvationes, milhil alitud sunt propriè quàm exceptiones ad Dalleci argumenta." In his Notes on the Epistle to Polycarp Dr. Hefele classes ö óciva among the Latin words used by Ignatius.*

Without adducing any other reasons, these, perhaps, would have been deemed sufficient to justify me in allowing Dr. Hefele's remarks, under other circumstances to have passed without observation. But since they have been copied and adopted by Dr. Jacobson, whose character and learning must at least entitle any thing which he has propounded to consideration, while the important position of Regius Professor of

[^3]Theology in the University of Oxford, which he has been so deservedly and honourably called to fill, will necessarily give weight to any thing which he has sanctioned by publishing, I have felt that I am no longer at liberty to consult solely my own feelings in this matter, but that it is due to the cause which I have undertaken not to allow these remarks to pass altogether unnoticed.

Professor Hefele therefore observes, "that so far from agreeing with me that the passages which the Syriac does not acknowledge have been interpolated into the Greek, he can only consider the Syriac Version as an Epitome made by some Syrian monk for his own pious use ; and especially so, since the same manuscripts discovered by Dr. Tattam contain sereral other extracts from the Ignatian Epistles, and also other ascetic works, as I mrself admit. Moreover, it appears, from the fact of the connection of the sentences in the Syriac being less close, that the monk did not so much act the part of a translator as of an epitomizer."

It is quite certain that the copies of the Syriac Version were found in a monastery ; and it is extremely probable that they were transcribed by monks, as almost all works of every kind were during the ages in which those copies must have been written: but this does not at all prove that the translation was made by a monk, any more than it would prove that the Syriac Version of the writings of Chrysostom, Basil, Gregory of Nazianzum, Cyril of Alexandria, \&cc, which are also found in considerable numbers in the same monastery, was the work of a monk. Some of them, I know, were certainly not translated by monks ; and I have no evidence to prove that any of them were.

The Syriac Version having been discovered in a monastery with several ascetic treatises camot shew it to be the work of a monk; otherwise, if that reasoning were correct, not only would the translations of the writings of the great lathers of the Chureh which I have just specified appear to the monkish,
but also the Treatises of Aristotle and Galen, which have boen found in the same company in the same monastery. Moreover, although the coppies of the Syriac Version of the Epistles of Tgnatins were diseovered in the Nitrian convent, in the possession of monks, there is no reason whatever to conclude that any of them were written there : one of them, we know, was proeured in the neighbourhoot if Hagdad, and deposited in this convent more than nine hundred years ago.

Is there, therefore, any thing in the Three Epistles themselves which would temd to shew that, in their present form, they are an epitome of monkish and ascetic origin? The Epistle to the Romans consists almost entirely of arguments. which Ignatius urges upon those to whom he was writing, in order to induce them to refrain from making any effort to reverse the decree of Trajan, and to sare him from the death to which he was condemned. Now it is a well-known fact that monasticism and asceticism, in their simplest and purest form, chiefly derived their origin from the desire which some converts to Christianity felt to aroid persecution, and consequent martyrdom, for the profession of their faith, by retiring to those wild and sequestered spots, where they might escape the olservation of their Pagan persecutors. Morcover, I am not aware that in any subsequent ages such an earnest desire for the crown of martyrdom, as that evinced by Ignatius in the Epistle to the Romans, has ever been considered so peculiarly appropriate to the aspirations of monkish asceticism, that we should reasonably expect a Syrian monk to retain this Epistle for his own "pious use," in preference to any of the others which he has rejected. Nor would it, indeed, have been a rery pious act to mutilate in so unsparing a mauner the only few remains of so great and holy a man as Ignatius, the disciple and companion of the Apostles.

The Epistle to the Ephesians, contains an acknowledgment on the part of Ignatius for the kind attentions which they had
shewn to him, an exhortation to them to imitate the good example of their Bishop, and advice how they should comport themselves towards their opposers, in returning kindness for injuries, and meekness for railing. The Epistle to Polycarp also gives further advice as to relative duties in common life ; and likewise adds some instructions respecting matrimony, with an admonition to wives to love their husbands, and to husbands to cherish their wives. These surely could not have been peculiarly appropriate to the "pious use" of a monk, whose very name indicates that he had quitted the busy world, renounced the holy tie of matrimony, and, consequently, could not stand in need of any of those instructions which relate peculiarly to a state with which he has no concern.

These considerations do not seem to have suggested themselves to the learned Professor ; nor does it appear to have occurred to him to inquire why the Syrian monk should hare chosen to abridge the Ignatian Epistles, which in themselves are but short, rather than to curtail any of the long Treatises of the Fathers above mentioned, which are found altogether whole and entire in the same library of the Nitrian monks ; and some even in the same volume as the Epistles of Ignatius. The Syriac Version, however, did not contain all that he had previously published as the genuine Epistles of St. Ignatius ; and consequently he was fain to consider it as an epitome, in the same manner as the Reviewer of whom I have spoken above. The one, however, regarded it as "a miscrable epitome," made by some designing Eutychian for heretical purposes ; the other considers it as an abridgement adapted by some religious monk to his own "pious use." Both of these learned men cannot surely be right. It does not contain all that they wish ; and they therefore agree at least in concluding that it must be an epitome. Neither of them, however, appears to have been sufficiently acute and ingenious to discover and state the reason why this epitomizer, whoever he might have
been, monk or Eutyehian, should have selected for the peculiar onds which ho had in view, precisely the very three Epistles, and those three only, for the existence of which there is any evidence in early Christian antiqnity for more tham two centuries after the death of Ignatín, and, in accommodating them to his own purposes, whether of pious asceticism or crafty heresy, why he should have omitted precisely the very passagen which the ablest European critics, withont any knowledge of his prevoius labours, pointed out, a thousand years later, as inconsistent with the character of the times of Ignatius, and designated as spurious.

The next consideration which Professor Hefele advances as an argument to shew that the Syriac is an epitome, is based upon the fact that numerous other extracts from the Ignatian Epistles are found among the manuscripts brought from the Nitrian convent. But if this argument will prove any thing. it must prove rather more than the learned Professor would be willing to admit ; since there are found among these extracts passages from some of the other Ignatian Epistles which he himself rejects as manifestly spurious, such as those to Hero the Deacon and to the Tarsians ; and the latter of these in one of the most ancient manuscripts, certainly transcribed about the sixth century. These Ignatian extracts, however, hare no bearing whatever upon the case before us. With the exception of the passages in the Epistle of John the Monk, which agree almost word for word with the Syriac Version of the Epistles. all these extracts are found quoted in works originally written in Greek, and thence translated into the Syriac. They belong, therefore, in no way to any Syriac Version of a collection of the Ignatian Epistles. Moreorer, they are all taken from authors who wrote subsequently to the time when these Epistles had assumed nearly the form in which they are found in the Medicean text: they exhibit, however, considerable rariations from that text, as the comparison will shew. If,
further, the simple fact of these extracts having been quoted by those authors is to be considered as giving them any authority, we must place upon the same level with them in this respect the works of Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite, and sereral other fabrications, which are quoted by them with the same degree of respect and authority as the Ignatian Epistles.

The last ground for considering the Syriac Version an epitome, and, indeed, the only one which has any pretence to be considered of weight, is the assertion, that after the passages rejected by the Syriac are removed, the tenor of the Epistles is broken, and the several sentences do not closely and naturally follow each other. This is, however, only a matter of opinion ; and others take a very different view of it from the learned Professor, who has adduced no stronger argument in confirmation of his own view of the subject than several bare assertions, such as the following: "Syrus omisitmelius vero cohæret," p. 162. "Arctius vero-cohærent," ibid. "Posteriora hæc verba a Syro male cum antecedentibus conjuncta esse, nemo non videt," p. 169, \&c. \&c. Simple assertions such as these will not generally be accepted as arguments, even when they are alleged by persons who may have far greater claims to be considered of authority upon this question than those to which Professor Hefele seems to be entitled.

I regret that I should have felt myself obliged to make these remarks upon Dr. Hefele's observations, in consequence of several of them haring been adopted by Dr. Jacobson in his last edition of the works of the Apostolic Fathers. It is also necessary for me to say a few words respecting some of his own observations.

He writes in the following terms: "Curetono nobis persuadere conanti Versionem hane Syriacam nunc demum seripta Ignatii gemuina exhibere, et omnia quae in Greec Thextu nostro plura leguntur, ab aliis fuisse addita, adstipulatus est clarissi-
mus Cmrisplanus Cab. Jos. Bunsen, Burussiee Regris lugatus, vir nullo doctrine genere non oxcultus.

Ego quidem, ut quod sentio dicam, non sum is qui pro Recensionis brevioris intogritato ita proprogemem, ut nullas sententias perplexas, cormptas, intorpulatas habere contembam. Neque tamen video cur a Textu ad quem defendendum P'earsonius Vindicias suas conscripsit illico ad eum a Curctono editum nosmet recipiamus necesse sit. Momachns enim syrme, uti mond Hefelins, non tam interpretis jartes egisso sidetur quam epitomen in usus pios confecisse. Lit Codicos Tattamiani alia plura Execopta ex Epistolis Ignatianis, atque alia opmesmla ascetica continent." p. liv.

To these concluding observations, in which Dr. Jacobson has followed the steps of Dr. Hefele, 1 have already replied. In those which precede he admits that the Medicean Recension contains involved, corrupt, and even interpolated passages ; but at the same time he states that he nevertheless does not see why he is immediately to abandon that text in defence of which Bishop Pearson wrote his celebrated Vindicie, and adopt the Epistles which I propose as the only genuine production of Ignatius. According to his own admission, that learned Prelate wrote to defend an involved, corrupt, and interpolated text. However able or clever, therefore, his defence may be, it cannot remedy these defects. Archbishop Usher, a still more learned man and an abler critic, and certainly at least as ingenuous an inquirer after the truth, not only spoke of these defects, but also intimated his hope and expectation that the ancient Syriac Version might at some future time be discorered, and serve as the means of correcting them. Its discorery has placed the whole of the Ignatian question in a rery different light from that in which it was exhibited when Bishop Pearson wrote ; and to abandon this now, and return again to the position which it occupied in the middle of the seventeenth century, would be as preposterous as to neglect
the great discoreries which have been recently made in various branches of science, and revert to the works even of the most distinguished authors who, two hundred years ago, may have written most ably upon any such matters, but whose conclusions subsequent discoveries have proved to be far from correct.

Whenever the means of forming our opinions and judgment are equal, I should certainly think it prudent most cautiously to examine my own conclusions again and again, if I found them to differ from those of a scholar so able, learned, and acute, as Bishop Pearson ; but still, even then I should think it my duty to adopt and state freely, although modestly, my own convictions, rather than follow, against my own persuasion, the authority of any one, however great, or learned, or wise he may have been. In the present state, howerer, of the question before us, we have far better materials to form a judgment respecting the Ignatian Epistles than were accessible to Bishop Pearson ; and I doubt not, had the same degree of evidence been laid before him, that learned Prelate would have come to the same decision. Certainly his celebrated work exhibits more of the character of a very able adrocate of a particular cause than of that of a simple inquirer after the truth. There have been several important objections raised against the Ignatian Epistles, to which he has not attempted any reply in his celebrated Vindicio. And if the answers which he has given with great learning, skill, and plausibility, to one specific objection were placed in contrast and juxtaposition with those which he has applied with not less ingenuity to other specific objections, it will be seen that many of the arguments which he adrances, to say the least, very much weaken, if they do not nullify, one another.*

[^4]Of the two Recensions of Epistlen attributed to Ignatius, which are now presented to us for our decision and choice, even had we no bettor grounds for the prefersmes, wo should surely act wisoly not to select that which we know to contain involved, corropt, and interpolated passages, rather than the other, which is free from such grave objoctions. The latier, even if it were not entire, would not mislead us, but conluet us truly so far as it goes ; but the former, by cansing us to take falschood for truth, may turn us from the right path into the intricacies and difficulties of error. But when the grounds for rejecting as spurious all the rest of the Ignatian Epistles, except the Three in the form in which they are found in the Syriac Version, are so very strong and cogont, as I believe I have proved them to be, it becomes our duty immediately and at once to reject that which is false, however agreeable the testimony which it may seem to bear, or the conclusions to which it may appear to lead, may be to our own riews and our own wishes. Christ came into the world to bear witness to the truth : our highest moral obligation is to fulfil those commands of charity and virtue which he has given us ; our highest intellectual duty is to seek for the truth simply and honestly, and when we are convinced that we have found it, to embrace it at all temporal hazards.

No one can be more sincerely and warmly deroted to our own Church-system than myself ; and I believe it to be based upon far higher and surer grounds than to stand in any need whaterer of the testimony of the Ignatian Epistles ; still less do I think that it can incur any risk by the great mass of that testimony being proved to be spurious. Those who are firmly attached to that system from other convictions would hardly need the additional authority which the Ignatian Epistles were

[^5]supposed by some to give ; and those who have objected to it upon other grounds have always, and I must confess it appears to me justly, rejected the Ignatian testimony, so long as there were such strong and well-grounded reasons to doubt of its authenticity. Surely, therefore, we have rather gained than lost by the rejection of those Epistles and passages which the Syriac Recension shews to be spurious, if, after the remoral of all just grounds of doubt and suspicion, the Epistles still afford us incidental, and consequently unsuspected evidence to the fact of the establishment of a Bishop, Presbyters, and Deacons, in their several capacities in the Church of Smyrna at the period when St. Ignatius wrote, and of their having received in those capacities the commendation of that holy Martyr, the disciple and companion of the disciples and companions of our Lord.

There is another, and, in my opinion, a still more important aspect in which this subject may be viewed. In late years several attacks have been made upon the very charters of our holy faith, even upon the inspired books of the Apostles themselves, which the evidence afforded by Ignatius was in a great measure sufficient to refute, but which could not be successfully urged, so long as the great admixture of spurious matter rendered the whole authority of the Epistles attributed to him doubtful ;-I mean the citations and evident allusions to certain books of the New Testament, which are still found in the genuine Epistles; and which therefore indubitably prove those books to have been written before Ignatius suffered, and not many years later, as the theories of a certain class of critics in Germany have endearoured to estallish. This is not the place for me to make further mention of this matter ; nor should I have aleemed it requisite now to allude to it at all, had not Baur*, heing aware that if the Three Epistles of the Syriac

[^6]Reconsion were reccived as genuine, the grounds of his hypothesis must fail, felt it therefore to be necessary for him to endeavour to prove that they also are spurious, in an answer to the Cher. Bunsen, who had applied the evidener affurded by Ignatius to refute some of the dangerous theories of the 'Tubingen school of Theology. Baur's main argument is hasod upon the assertion that these Three Epistles, even in their preaent form, are so like the rest that they must all hate come from the same hand." There camot be a more complete refutation of this imagined, than that which I had already supplied in my Introduction, before I had any knowledge whaterer of Baur's assortion. I have there shewn that a marked difference, as to matter and manner, between these Three Epistles in their present form and the rest, was seen and pointed out by the ablest critics on the Ignatian question nearly two centuries before the Syriae Version was discovered, and proved the depth of their discernment, and the justice and propriety of their observations.

I have expressed, in another part of this volume, my obligations to the Archdeacon of Bedford, M. Munk, and Dr. Dillman, for the assistance which they have kindly rendered to me. I should be ungrateful to pass without acknowledgment the help of my wife, in executing for me the facsimile of the manuscripts upon the authority of which the Syriac text of the Epistles of Ignatius is based.

* He concludes his argument on this head with these words: "Ich glaube nur, man kann demselben Betrïger auch noch auf eine weitere Spur seines Betruges kommen, woraus sich auch jene Verfälschungen am einfachsten erklären, dass er nämlich bei jenem Briefen nicht blos, um sie zu verfälschen, seine Hand im Spiel gehabt, sondern sie, was freilich arg genug ist, sogar selbst geschrieben hat!" p. 74.
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## INTRODUCTION.

##  DISCOVERY OF THE SYRIAC VERSION.

Fxacruy three centuries and a half intervened between the time when Three Epistles in Latin* attributed to St. Ignatius first. issued from the press, and the publication, in 1815 , of Three Letters in Syriac, bearing the name of the same Apostolic writer. $\dagger$ Very few years passed before the fermer were almost universally regarded as false and spurious; and it seems not improbable that scarcely a longer period will elapse before the latter be almost as generally acknowledged and received as the only true and genuine Lefters of the venerable Bishop of Aatioch that have either come down to our times, or were even known in the earliest ages of the Christian Church.

Of the Three Epistles in Latin, two were addressed to the Apostle St. Jolnn, and the third to the IIoly Mother of our Lord, to which was subjoined a Letter in the name of the Blessed Virgin herself to the Disciple whom her Son the Lord loved. These were annexed to a

[^7]Life of Thomas Becket, Archbishop of Canterbury, printed at Paris in 14.95.*

Three years later, Eleven Epistles, also in Latin, issued from the same press, appended to the works of Pseudo-Dionysius the Areopagite, and followed by the Letter of St. Polycarp to the Philippians. $\dagger$ They were arranged in the following order:-1. To the Trallians, 2. Magnesians, 3. Tarsians, 4. Philippians, 5. Philadelphians, 6. Smyrneans, 7. Polycurp, 8. Antiochians, 9. Hero, the Deacon of Antioch, 10. Liphesians, 11. Romans. This Latin version is of considerable antiquity, having been quoted by Ado Viennensis ${ }_{+}^{+}$, who lived in the ninth century.§ The editor, J. Faber Stapulensis (Le Fcbere d'E'taples), supplied a short preface to these Epistles, but assigned no reason for the omission of the Letter to Maria of Cassobolita, which usually stands at the head of them in this collection.

These Epistles were reprinted several times in the interval extending to the year 1529.||

In 1536 Symphorianus Champerius (Chempier) published an edition of

[^8]the Ignatian Letters, comprising, besides the Eileven above emmerated, that addressed to Maria Cassobolita and the Three which were first mentioned. These also accompanied the works of Psendo-Dionysius the Areopagite, and were printed at Cologne. Several other editions followed in the course of the next twenty years."

The Ignatian Eipistles were first published in (ireek at Dillingen in 1557. 中 They were edited by Valentims Pacens, whose real name was Harlung lrid, from a manuscript in the Lihrary of Angshurg. He gives no description of the condition or probable date of the mannscript.

The Twelve Epistles contained in this edition are arranged in the following order:-1. Прòs Mapíav K $К \sigma \sigma o \beta o \lambda i ́ t \eta \nu$, 2. $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' T \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta-~$




From this William Morel printed two editions at Paris; one in 1558 +, the other in 1562 . He also published a new Latin translation of these Epistles in 1558.§

In 1560 And. Gesner, apparently without any knowledge of the edition by Valentinus Paccus, published these Ignatian Epistles in Greek, from a manuscript in the possession of Caspar von Nydpruck,

[^9]accompanied by a translation, or rather a paraphrase, by J. Brumner.* Three other editions, and another Latin translation by Hieronymus Vairlenius, appeared before the end of the sixteenth century. $\dagger$

Up to this period the editors had done little or nothing in the critical examination of the Ignatian Epistles. At the begimning of the seventeenth century more attention was given to this subject; and Martialis Miestreens, in the notes to his edition ${ }^{+}$, entered slightly upon an examination of the grounds of the pretensions of the Epistles to be considered as authentic. The Three Epistles, of which Latin copies only were found, he thought would be more safely classed among Apocryphal writings ; both because there were no copies of them existing in Greek, and because no mention had been made of them by any ancient writer before the time of St. Bernard. Of the Twelve in Greek he received Nine as genuine, on the authority of the testimony horne to them by Ecclesiastical writers-mamely, the four cited by Theodoretus, which are included in the seren enumerated by Eusebius, the Epistle to the Antiochians, cited by Johames Damascenus, and that to the Philippians,

[^10]which he supposed to be the Letter alluded to in the Eipistle of I'olycarp. The remaining Three, to Hero the Deacon, to the Tarsians, and to Maria Cassobolita, although not mentioned by Eusebins, he felt comstrained to admit with the rest, on account of the conformity of the style, and because Twelve letters are mentioned by Simeon Metaphrastes, and Twelve also are contained in the old Latin version, which is of greater antiquity than the time of Ado.* He acknowledged, however, that the

* "Quod attinet ad duas priores epistolas cjustem ad 13. Ioamem Evangelistatm, aliusque duas sequentes; alteram Ignatii ad Mariam Deiparam, et alteram Marise ad Ignatime: fametsi eas, ut germanas, vindicari seimms aphuribus recentioribus,cum tamer Grace numquam conscripta repertse fuerint, nec illarum ullus veterum patrum ad Bernardum usque meminerit; tutius meo judicio fucrit, casdem in commentationum apocrypharum numero collocure.

Verum cum prater antedictas, aliw duodecim epistolas B. Ignatio assignentur, que non modo Latinis sed etiam Grecis characteribus expressae esse reperiuntur ; est quod illarum certitudinem paucis ad amussim examinemus. - -

Quod autem ad carundem literarum numerum spectat atque seriem, Thendoretus Cyrensis e quatuor tantum piam Ecclesiee doctrinam in dialogis suprà citatis confirmat, ex epistolis nimirum ad Romanos, ad Ephesios et Trallianos dialogo 1, et ex epistola ad Smymaos dialo. 2. et 3. Ruffinus dum lib. histor. Eecles. 3. cap. pridem cit. literas Ignatii Apostolicas refert, quatuor superioribus alias duas, illas scilicet, qua ad Magnesianos et Polycarpum scriptee fuerunt, adjicit. IIieronymus in Catalogo preter has sex antedictas septimam ad Philadelphenos, non secus ac Sophronius ejus interpres, Eusebins atque Nicephorus locis nuper citatis commentiorant. Damascenus $\dot{\eta} 0<\kappa \grave{y} v$ quandam ejusdem martyris sententiam ex epistola ad Antiochenos lib. i. Parall. c. 21. mutuatur: et Polycarpus ipse vir Apostolicus expressum illius quar ad Philippenses legitur, in suis ad illo. literis reddit testimonium. E quibus certè apte inter se compositis Patrum assertionibus, novem nobis e duodecim indubitate fidei (si veteribus credamus) constant Ignatii epistolæ: ad Trallianos videlicet, ad Magnesimos, ad Philippenses, ad Philadelphenos, ad Smyrnenses, ad Polycarpum, ad Antiochenos, ad Ephesios, et demum ad Romanos.

Ex pratibato igitur Ignatii epistolarum numero, tres consequuntur, ad Heronem scilicet, ad Tarsenses, ad Mariam Cassoholiten, quae magis in dubium videntur posse revocari, eo quod illarum antiquissimi Ecclesiæ scriptores nullam fecerunt mentionem. Verum præter id quod sapientissime Baronius tomo 2. Annalium, cum de hisce literis dissereret, observavit: illas videlicet in unum corpus initio simul non fuisse redactas, sed singillatim Asiaticarum Eeclesiarum solicitudine, prout in Epistola Polycarpi ad Philippenses suprà citata videre licet: tres illa duplici potissimum de causa, ad Ignatium auctorem referri debent. Ena est, quod mira styli conformitas et sententiarum similitudo in his tribus cum novem reliquis reperiatur, prout planius atque perspectius animadverteret, quisquis illas invicem кай жаfал入íăcos contulerit. Altera verò quæ illas Ignatio vindicat ratio est, quod Simeon Netaphrastes auctor antiquus duodecim epistolarum tandem in vita illius meminerit totidemque praterea vetus Ignatii interpres, quem ipso Adone antiquiorem infra declarabimus, è Graco in Latinum commutarit.-—Ex tot igitur tamque gravibus patrum antiquorum auctoritatibus, rationumque momentis, satis liquidò constare potest: has esse germanas et verè aureas Ignatii epistolas, quæ licet ab hæreticis et a Græculis posterioribus,

Greek text of the Ignatian Epistles had been in some places interpolated and corrupted by heretics and later Greek writers.

Robert Cardinal Bellarmin also, in his treatise De Sucrumento Eucharistice, remarked that the Greek copies contained many errors*; and in his Catalogue of Ecclesiastical writers he observes that no great trust is to be placed upon the Greek copies whenever they differ from the Latin. $\dagger$

Nicolaus Fedelius (Felel), a Professor at Geneva, was the first to attempt a discrimination between the Epistles bearing the name of Ignatius. In $16: 33$ he published an edition ${ }^{\dagger}$, in which he divided the Greek Epistles
terioribus, alicubi interpolatæ et depravatæ fuerint, ut potissimum in epistola ad Philadelphenses videre licet," \&c. See Notre ad Epistolas S. Ignatii, eorlem Marticuli Mastreo auctore. Paris. 1608, pp. 15-21.
In this criticism Mæstræus has not advanced much further than Baronius, in whose steps he has evidently trod. Baronius writes thus: "Ceterum, quod ad Ignatii epistolas pertinet, de illis agens Eusebius, illarum tantum meminit, fure dater sunt Smyrne primum, ac deinde Troade: sic et Fieronymus casdem recenset, omnes numero septem, videlicet ad Ephesios, ad Magnesianos, ad Trallenses, ad Romanos, postea qued datee sunt Troade ad Philadelphios, ad Emyrnenses, et Polycarpum ; omnes scilicet, quas priusquam ex Asia profisceretur conscripsit. Quæ autem $a b$ eo sunt scriptie Philippis in Macedonia, ad Antiochenos, et ad Heronem ejus ecclesiæ diaconum, quæve ad Tarsenses, eos præteriisse videntur, sicut et quæ novissime seripta ab eo fuit ad Philippenses; quas Ignatii esse germanas, easdempue sincerissimas, nemo jure poterit dubitare: sicut et quae data est ad Mariam Cassobolitem, de qua superius actum est : de quarum fide parum est ut citem vetustissimos Græcos codices, qui non in Urbe tantum in diversis bibliothecis habentur, sed et aliis ubique locorum; vel Photium in testem adducam: quandoquidem judicio omnium eruditorum, stylo, charactere, aliisque compluribus notis verborum, sententiarum, ac rerum, ex septem illis omnium auctoritate probatis epistolis certam sibi vendicant fidem, adeo ut nulla manifestior vel vehementior de carum integritate possit afferri prolatio, quam ex reliquis epistolis ejusdem Iqnatii, contesseratione et connexione quadam sibi invicem omnibus cohærentibus: ut nulla prorsus de impostura possit oriri suspicio." See Ann. Eccles. ad An. 109. Edit. Lucæ. 1738-1757. Vol. ii. p. 50 .

* "Neque multum fidendum est Græcis codicibus quos Kemnitius magni facit: Multi sunt enim in eis errores, ut quivis facilê animadverteret, qui conferret cos codices Grecos, qui nunc extant, cum testimoniis Ignatii, que citantur ab Athanasio in Epist. ad Epictetum, et à Theodoreto in Dialogis contra Eutychianos." Edit. Ingolstad. 1601, Vol. iii. p. 906.
† De Scriptoribus Ecclesiasticis Liber unus; Romæ. м.Dc.xiri. "Unum hoc loco admonendum videtur, nonn ease magnam fidem habendam codicihus Gracis, qui nunc extant, quando discrepant à Latinis: seppe enim emendatiores inveniuntur codices Latini quàm Greeci." p. 36.
 ütavid. S. Ignatii Episcopi Antiocheni et Martyris quæ extant omnia, in duos libros distincta, Quorum pmor continet Epistolas genuinas, alter supposititias. C'am xir. E'vercitationibus in oundem Ignatium pro antiquitate C'atholicâa adversus
into two classes, one of which he considered to be gemmine, and the other spurious. To the former he assigned the seven Epistes 1. To the Trallians, 2. Magnesians, 3. Philadelphians, 4. Smyrneans, 5. Polycarp, 6. Eiphesians, 7. Romans, which had been enumerated by Eusebius ; to the latter he attributed the remaining five which had not been mentioned by him in his Eeclesiastical History. The Three Latin Epistles he passed over, as being too manifestly spurious to need any notice. Besides this division into classes, he also marked several passages which he considered to be interpolated, even in the Epistles which he received as genuine. The Latin version of Vairlenius, as corrected by Mastraeus, with Vedelius' own emendations noted in the margin, is printed in parallel columns with the Greek text. This is accompanied with critical notes, an apology for I gnatius, or I'rolegormenn de cuctoritate lipistolarum Ignatii, and twelve Lixercitationes, in which the authority of these Epistles is turned against the tenets of the Romish Church.

But far greater progress was made in the investigation respecting the claims of the Ignatian Epistles hy James Usher, Archbishop of Armagh, than by any of those who had preceded him in this field of interesting inquiry. He had observed that a passage cited by Theodoretus, Bishop of Cyrus in the fifth century, as from the Epistle of Ignatius to the Smyrneans, was not found to exist in any of the Greek or Latin copies of those Epistles then published, lout that a quotation similar to that made by Theodoretus had been adduced by Robert Grosseteste, Bishop of Lincoln, in his Commentary on Pscudo-Dionysius the Areopagite, about 1850, and by William Wodeford about 1396, and John Tissington, both in their writings against Wickliff. * As all these three authors were Englishmen, the thought suggested itself to the learned Archbishop that the source from which these quotations were derived must once have been current in this country, and might probably be still in existence. Under this impression he instituted an inquiry, and had the gratification of discovering two copies of an ancient Latin translation of the Ignatian Epistles, one in the library of Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge, and the other in the private collection of Richard Montacute (or Mountagu), Bishop of Norwich, in which the passage of

[^11]* See Archbp. Usher's Dissertation, p. xv.
the Epistle to the Smyrneans was found to agree with the quotations made by those three authors, and with the Greek as cited by Theodoretus.

In these copies the Epistle to the Philippians was omitted; and the arrangement of the rest differed from that of the Greek and Latin editions previously made public. They were exhibited in the following order :1. ad Smyrnenses, 2. ad Polycarpum, 3. ad Ephesios, 4. ad Magnesios, 5. ad Philadelphicos, 6. ad Trallesios, 8. Marice, proselyte Chassaobolorum, ad Ignatium, 8. Ignatii ad Mariam proselytam, 9. ad Tarsenses, 10. ad Antiochenos, 11. ad Eronem, 12. ad Romanos.*

Besides this difference in the arrangement of these Epistles, the text itself was found to display considerable variations from the previous editions, to omit altogether many passages, and likewise to amplify and extend, in the mamer of paraphrase, numerous others. A comparison of some other passages which had been cited in the Dialogues of Theodoretus, in a Letter concerning the Synods of Rimini and Seleucia, attributed to Athanasius, and in the Ecclesiastical History of Eusebius, convinced the learned Archbishop that the recension contained in the manuscripts which he had discovered might be considered as a tolerably accurate representation of the text of the Ignatian Epistles in the fourth and fifth centuries, although not precisely corresponding with it in every particular. Furnished with these additional means of criticism, he endeavoured to separate the spurious Epistles attributed to Ignatius from the genuine, and to restore the latter to their pristine condition, by removing the interpolations by which they had been falsified and deformed. Following, therefore, in the steps of Vedelius, he rejected as fictitious all those Letters which had neither been mentioned by Jerome or Eusebius, nor cited, in his Dialogues, by Theodoretus. Moreover, having observed that the style and manner of the Epistle to Polycarp varied considerably from the rest which he was induced to receive as genuine, he was led to reject this also as spurious; and in doing so he believed that he was supported by the authority of Jerome $\dagger$, whose words as they now stand would certainly lead to the conclasion, either that the Epistle to Polycarp was formerly different from what it now is, or that it and the Epistle to the Smyrneans were identical. But it is evident, from comparing the passage with Eusebius, that the obscurity has arisen from a considerable omission having been made by Jerome in transferring the words of Euschius to his own Catalogue of Ecclesiastical Writers. The learned Primate in this place seems to have

[^12]considered the words of Jerome as independent testimony respecting the Epistles of I gmatins, alhough it could scareely have escaped his knowledge that derome had borrowed his information altogether from Ensebins without mentioning the souree from which it was taken, as Vossius* and Bishop P'earson afterwards observed. $\dagger$

Archbishop, Usher published his edition of the Ignatian Eppistles, together with the Epistle of Polycarp to the Philippians, at Oxford, in 1614.+ He divided them, as Vedelins had done before him, into two classes. The former comprehended the Epistles to the Eiphesians, Magnesians, I'rallians, Romuans, Philadelphians, and S'myrneans. The latter, which he regarded as spurious, contained the remaining six Greek Epistles, and the Three which are found ouly in Latin. § To these he subjoined the ancient Latin version of the Shorter Recension of the eleven I gnatian Epistles discovered by himself in the mamer which has been stated above. ||

In this volume the Greek text and the old Latin Version, first edited by J. Faber Stapulensis, are printed in parallel columns. In republishing this Version Archbishop Usher not only consulted the presious

* See his edition of Ignatius, p. 265.
$\dagger$ See Vindicice S. Ignat. Epist., p. 10, and my Vindicia Ignutiana, p. 36.
$\ddagger$ Polycarpi et Ignatii Epistole: Vha cum vetere vulgata interpretatione Latina, ex trium manuscriptorum codicum collatione, integritate suæ restitutâ. Accessit \& Ignatianarum Epistolarum versio antiqua alia, ex duobus Manuscriptis in Angliû repertis, nune primùm in lucem edita. Quibus prefixa est, non de Ignatii solum et Polycarpi scriptis, sed etiam de Apostolicis Constitutionibus et Canonibus Clementi Romano tributis, Jacobi Usserif Archiepiscopi Armuchuni Dissertatio. Oxonie. Excudebat Leonardus Licfield Academiæ Typographus. Ann. Dom. м.ne.xiv.
§ To these is prefixed the following title-page:-Epistole B. Ignatio anscriptie, a medie etatis Grecis, sex: Quæ, simul cum vetere Vulgata Versione Latina, hic habentur editæ. i. Ad Mariam Cassobolitam, ii. ad Polycarpum, Smyrnce Episcopum, iii. ad Tarsenses, iv. ad Antiochenos, v. ad Heronem, Antiochenum Diaconum, vi.ad Philippenses. A recentioribus Latinis additie, alite tres: nempe ad S. Ioannem Apostolum, Due: ad Beatissinam Virginem, Matrem Domini, Vna. Prioribus præmissa est Marice Cassobolite, posterioribus subjuncta B. Marice Deipurce nomine ad Ignatium edita ETistola : unà cum Ignatii Elogio, ipsius in sede Antiochenâ successoris Heronis titulum præferente. p. 125.
|| To these he affixed the following title, from which it appears that they had been already printed two years before the volume was published:-Epistolarum Ignatil vetus Latina Versio; ex duobus Manuscriptis, in Anglia repertis, nune primùm in lucem edita: ubr, Vt in Græcâ editione præcedente minio signata cernuntur quæ ab hâe versione aberant, ita hîc signis [ ] inclusa sunt quæ in Græcis nostris non leguntur: numeris etiam ad marginem appositis. quibus Graci contextús paginæ, huic interpretationi respondentes, indicantur: Oxonie, Excudebat Leovardus Lichfielin, Anno Dom. 1642.
editions, but also compared two ancient mannscripts belonging to the libraries of Baliel and Magdalene Colleges, ()xford; and, further, he procured a collation of a very ancient copy in the possession of Alexander Petau, at Paris.*

It does not, however, appear that the learned Prelate had the opportunity afforded him of consulting any fresh manuscript authority for the Greek text; but by causing all those words and passages which had no equivalent in the Shorter Latin Version to be printed in red letters, he exhibited at once the chief variations between the Greek recension of the Ignatian Epistles which he then edited and another recension hitherto unknown, which that Latin translation must have followed. By such means he felt that he had made considerable progress in detecting that which was spurious and had been interpolated into the text; but inasmuch as he saw that there still remained in that Shorter Latin Version many passages and expressions which could scarcely be received as the genuine words of Ignatius, he declared that he could not venture to promise $\dagger$ that the genuine Ignatius could be recovered without the aid of another Greek text, which he hoped to obtain from a manuscript in the Medicean Library at Florence, of which he had already received permission to have a transcript + ; or at least without the aid of a Syriac copy, which he did not despair of procuring from Rome.

To this edition he prefixed a very learned and able Dissertation respecting the writings of Ignatius and Polycarp, and also the Apostolic Constitutions and the Canons attributed to Clemens Romanus, which he sums up with the following passage respecting the Ignation Epistles: " Ct igitur totum hoc negotium tandem aliquando finiamus: quod olim de libro, qui Pradicatio Petri inscriptus est, disquirendum Origenes

[^13]proposuit，sil mésme gemuinus liber，un mollus，un mistus：idem de： （iracis que circomforuntur Ignatii lipisisolis hodic：si quecratur；ommino respondendum esse concludimus，carum sex molhas，totidem alias mixtus，
 volume is appended it body of notes，which，although they were printed in Oxford in the same year as it was published，have a distinct pagina－ tion，and bear a different printer＇s mame in the title－patese．
＇Two years later the learned Isatac Vossins，having obtatned permis－ sion from the Grand Duke of＇Tuscany，puhlished the（ireek text of the Ignatian Ejpistles from the volume in the Medicean Libary to which Archbp．Usher had already called attention．＊This manuscript，which Turrianus（Torrès）§ had described as very ancient and very conrect，is attributed by Bandini $\|$ to the eleventh century，and contains，together with various Epistles by several other author＇s，the eight first，and a part of the ninth，of the Ignatian Epistles，in the same order and form as they are found in the Shorter Latin Version discovered by Usher，which manifestly was made from this recension of the Greek，although ap－ parently from a more correct copy than this Medicean transcript． 9

In his edition Vossius divided the Epistles into three classes：the first containing the Eipistles of Ignatius，to the Smyrneans，Polycarp， Ephesians，Magnesians，Philadelphians，and Trallians，from the Medi－ cean manuscript，and the lipistle to the Romans from the earlier edi－ tions：the second，Epistles falsely attributed to Ignatius，being the Letter of Maria Cassobolita to Ignatius，his Letter to her，from the

[^14]Medicean manuscript, with the Epistles to the Tarsians, Antiochians, Hero the Deacon, and the Philippians, from the former editions, and the Three Latin Epistles: the third class, Interpolated Epistles, comprises the Longer Recension of the Six Epistles of the first class, that to the Romans not being repeated. The Greek text is printed in parallel columns with the Latin. The Shorter Version, first published by Archbp. Usher, accompanies the two first classes, with the exception of the Epistle to the Philippians, which was not included in that Version : and the old Longer Vulgate Translation accompanied the Interpolated Epistles. The Epistle attributed to St. Barnabas is subjoined, followed by annotations upon the whole.

In the following year, 1617 , Archbp. Usher having receired the edition of Tossius, published in his Appendix Ignatiana* the Six Epistles which he attributed to Ignatius, according to the text of the Medicean copy, accompanied with a Latin translation, compiled from the ancient Version which he had discovered, and from that of Hieronymus Vairlenius. In his Address to the Reader he complains of not having found the Medicean text very correct, as Turrianus has described it, observing, that nothing further could be done than to remain satisfied with it till a more correct copy should be discovered. $\dagger$
In 1672, J. B. Cotelerius (Cotelier) published the Ignatian Epistles in his edition of the Apostolic Fathers. He arranged them in the same

* Appendix Ignatiana. In quâ continentur: i. Ignatii Epistolæ genuinæ, à posterioris Interpolatoris assumentis liberæ, ex Græco Mediceo exemplari expressæ ; et nova versione Latinâ explicatæ. ii. Ignatii Martyrium, à Philone Agathopode, et aliis qui passioni illius interfuerant, descriptum; ex duobus antiquis Latinis ejusdem versionibus nunc primùm in lucem editum. iii. Tiberiani, Plinii Secundi \& Trujuni Imp. de constantiâ Martyrum illius temporis, Epistolie. iv. Smyrnensis Eeclesiap de I'olyeurpi martyrio Epistola, cum antiquâ Latinâ ejusdem metaphrasi, integrè nunc primùm edita. v. In Ignatii et Polycarpi Acta, atque in Epistolas etiam Ignatio perperàm adscriptas, Annotationes Jacobi Usserii Armachani. Londini, 1647. 4to.
+ "Id tantùm de quo jam conqueramur, habemus: non reperisse nos Mediceum codicem, qualem cum nobis Turrianus commendaverat, emendatissimum. Quo tamen \& cum vetere nostro Interprete Latino (quem hanc editionem secutum fuisse constat) \& cum vulgatis libris Græcis collato, ita correctionem temperandam censuimus: ut quae ex illis addendæ videbantur voculæ, uncis [ ] includerentur; manifestiora errata è textu tollerentur quidem, sed scriptâ lectione cum notâ $\gamma \rho$. simul appositâ ; meliores vulgatorum codicum lectiones, et de dubiis locis conjectura, ¿̀ D. Patricio Junio, et Isaaco Vossio suppeditata, suis in locis ad marginem apponerentur. Quibus respondens Latina etiam versio addita, ex nostro Interpretis antiquâ, et Hicronymi Vairlenii novâ utcunque conflata: quâ, intereà dum integrius Grecum nobis contingat exemplar, contenti esse poterimus." See Lectori, p. 5.
mamer as Vossins. ${ }^{*}$ I. Eppistlus of Iymatius, comprising the seven mentioned by lonsehins. The text of the six other Epistles is taken firom the Medicean manuscript ; but that of the Bipistle to the Romans from the earlier editions, emended by the aid of the eopy as extribited by Simeon Metaphrastes, and of the old Latin Version of the Shorter Recension. $\dagger$ II. Interpolated L'pistles of' Ignalius, being the Longer Recension of the same Seven Lipisties; and III. Supposititions Lipistles, comprising the rest of the Letters which have been ascribed to Ignatins, in Greek, and that of Maria C'assobolita. To all of these Cotelerius has supplied a new Latin translation. These are followed by the spurious Ignatian Letters, which exist only in Latin; by the ancient Latin Version both of the Longer and Shorter Recensions, and by the Acts of the Martyrdom of Ignatius from Metaphrastes ; and by the Latin Acts of Martyrdom first puiblished by Areliby. Usheer in his Appandix Ignatioma.
In IGS! T . Ruinart printed, from a mannseript belonging to the collection of the famous J. B. Colbert, Aets of Martyrdom of Ignatius $\frac{1}{4}$, in which was inserted the Epistle to the Romans, in a shorter form than that of the previous editions, very closely corresponding with the old Latin Version discovered by Archbp. Usher, and evidently belonging to the same recension of the Ignatian Epistles as that which exists in the Medicean manuscript. These Acts, and the Epistles contained therein, were reprinted by J. E. Grabe § in 1699.
In the same year T. Ittigius (Itig) || first published all the Seven Eipistles of the Shorter Recension together, six from the Medicean text with the translation of Cotelerius, and the Letter to the Romans from the text of the Colbert manuseript, as edited by Ruinart, and with his Latin translation.बा To these he subjoined the old Latin Version of the Shorter Re-

[^15]cension *, the same Epistles in the Longer form, with the corresponding ancient Latin Version f, the remaining I gnatian Epistles with the Vulgate Version ${ }^{+}$, the four Latin Epistles, and the Acts of Martyrdom, from the edition of Ruinart. § In editing these Ignatian Epistles Ittigius thus expresses his opinion respecting them: "Etsi autem septem Ignatii cpistolas ab Eusebio recensitas genuinas dici posse haud inficier, et pleraque in istis epistolis, quales e Florentino codice prodierunt, antorem Ignatium spirare existimem, asserere tamen haud ausim, quod Florentinus ille codex omni ex parte genuinus sit, et Ignatii Epistola per tot secula ab omni corruptione et interpolatione ad nostram usque ætatem permanserint." ||

In 1709 Dr. Thomas Smith published the Seven Epistles of the Shorter Recension, together with the ancient Latin Version of that Recension, entirely omitting all the other Epistles. II

In 1711 W. Whiston edited both the Recensions in parallel columns, the Shorter accompanied by the English Version of Archbishop Wake, and the Longer by a new translation of his own.** To this edition he prefixed a dissertation in which, agreeably to the opinion previously amounced by the very learned J. Morin $\dagger$, he endeavoured to prove that

[^16]the Longer Recension contained the gemme Letters of Ignatims, and that the Shorter was only an ahstract or abridement.* By those, however, who have acknowledged any of these Epistles to be the gemuine work of an Apostolic Jather, the Seven of the Shorter Recension have been most generally received and quoted as the Letters of I gmalius; and several editions of them in that form have appeared in the interval between the end of the seventeenth century 中, and the publication of the ancient Syriac Version. In the meanwhile but little has been done towards any further correction of the text beyond a few
qua hiare videhantur verhis propriis resarsit: Aut quod mihi prohahilius videtur, aliquis sui causâ exemplar exseribens, non putavit sihi necesse esse integrum describere, ideo sparsim multa hie et illic transiliit, et transennas ne nimium hiarent, aliquando verhis suis congunxit. (Quapropter antiqua Ignatianarum Epistolarum editio genuinum textum nohis exhihet. Nova verò mancum et interpolatum. Hine negotium non facessit id quod in Epist. ad Magnesios novae editionis negatur è Silentie Christum processisse. Est enim additamentum quo brevissimè Valentinianorum hatesis prestringitur, ab exscriptore non abs re textui infartum, quo caret antique editionis textus. Neutrum tamen textum omnis mende purum asserucrim. Quedam etiam, sed paucissima, in antiqua deficiunt, in nova vero innumera."

* A Dissertation upon the Epistles of Ignatius. "Proposition: The Larger Epistles of Ignatius, which of late have been stil'd his Interpolated Epistles, are alone the Genuine and Original Epistles of that Futher. And the Smaller are only an Epitome of several of the Larger; made most probably about the middle of the fourth century of the Church." p. 1.
$\dagger 1742$, at Bâsle, by J. L. Frey, in "Epistolæ SS. PP. Apostolicorum Clementis, Ignatii, P'olycarpi, atque duorum posteriorum Martyria, cum variorum adnotationibus et prafatione J. L. Frey." Basiliæ, 1742. 8vo.

1746, at London, by R. Russell, in "SS. Patrum Apostolicorum Barnabæ, Hermar, Clementis, Ignatii, Polyearpi, Opera Genuina; una cum Ignatii et Polycarpi Martyriis: Versionibus antiquis ac recentioribus, Variantibus Lectionibus, selecti-que Variorum Notis illustrata. Accesserunt S. Ignatii Epistolæ, tum Interpolatæ, tum Supposititiæ." Curâ Richardi Russell, M.A. London, m.Dcc.xlvı. 2 Voll. 8vo.

In 1765 at Venice, by Gallandi, in "Bibliotheca Veterum Patrum Antiquorumpue Seriptorum Ecelesiasticorum. Cura et studio Andree Gallandii." Tom. i. Venetii-. लю10cclxv. pp. 243-303.

1821, at Halle, by Thilo, "S. Ignatii Epistolæ. In usum prælectionum Academicarum, edidit Joh. Carolus Thilo." Halæ. 1821. 12mo.

1829, at Copenhagen, by C. 'T. Hornemann, in "Scripta genuina Patrum Apostolicorum : edit. C. F. Horneman." Hauniæ, 1828-29. 4to.

1839, at Tủbingen, by Hefele, in "Patrum Apostolicorum Opera. Textum ex editionibus prestantissimis repetitum recognovit, brevi adnotatione instruxit et in usum Prælectionum Academicarum eddit Car. Jos. Hefele." Tubingæ meccexxix. 8vo.

An edition without date or name of place, but evidently printed in IIolland; with no other title-page than simply "Ignatir Epistolie." 8vo. 46 pages.
conjectural emendations, as no additional Greek manuseript, or other ancient tersion of these Epistles had been brought to light. Aldrich* had indeed printed again in 1708 all the Ignatian Ljpistles contained in the Medicean copy, from a transcript made expressly for that purpose by A. M. Salvini ; and Dr. Jacobson collated afresh, for his edition of the Apostolic Pathers published in $1838 \dagger$, all the manuscript authoritics upon which the previously-existing editions of the Seven Epistles had been grounded.

An Armenian Version of the Ignatian Epistles had also been printed at Constantinople in $1783+$; but its existence seems to have been unknown to the various editors of the writings of the Apostolic Fathers: at any rate it has not been applied by them to the criticism of the text of Ignatius.

## VARIOUS OPINIONS AND CONTROVERSY RESPECTING TIIE IGNATIAN EPISTLES.

From the first appearance of the Greek Epistles bearing the name of Ignatius down to the middle of the seventeenth century, when the publication of the editions of Usher and Vossius formed a new epoch in their history, a great variety of opinion respecting the genumeness and authenticity of the whole or part of these Letters prevailed. Some,

[^17]with the Cardinal Baronius* and the Jesuit Halloixt, meesived hom all as the gemine and unadulterated writings of the disciple of 'St. John; while others, with J. Calvint, did not scruple to denownce the whole as a barefaced and stupid forgery. The Magdebury Centuriators spoke doubufully of the wholess. The opinion, however, which seems most generally to have prevailed among moderate and reflecting persons was, that Ignatius did indeed write Lipistles ; but that those which then bore his name had been much corrupted and interpolated by later hands. Scultetus sums up the arguments respecting them thos: " Rationibus his in omnem partem probe diligenterque excussis, in tertiam nommulli secesserumt sententiam, statueruntque esse quidem epistolas hasce Ignatii: sed adulteratas, sed interpolatas. (Quorum in judicio et nos aequiescimus." *

* See Baronius' words, cited above, note, p. vi.
$\dagger$ See Apologia pro epistolis Ignatii, p. 435 of "Illustrium Ecclesise Orientalis Scriptorum, qui sanctitate juxta et eruditione primo Christi secculo floruerunt et Apostolis convixerunt, vitee et documenta. Auctore R. P. Petro Halloix. Duaci, m.nc.xxxin,"
$\ddagger$ "Nihil neniis illis qua sub Ignatii nomine editae sunt putidius, quo minus tolerabilis est corum impudentia, qui talibus larvis ad fallendum se instruunt." See Institutio Christiance Religionis, Lib. i. c. 13. sec. 19.
§ " Eeclesiastica Historia, integram Eeclesia Christi ideam - secundum singulas Centurias, perspicuo ordine complectens: singulari diligentia et fide ex vetustimimiet optimis historicis, patribus, et aliis scriptoribus congesta : per aliquot studiusis et pios in urbe Magdeburgica. Basiliæ per Ioannem Oporinum, fol."

They wrote thus: "Lectori autem pio et attento considerandum relinquimus, quantum sit illis epistolis tribuendum. Non enim dubitamus, quin in lectione earum cuilibet ista in mentem veniant: Primùm, quòd ferè in omnibus epistolis, licet satis copiosis, occasio scribendi protermittitur: nee vel divinare licet, quare potissimum ad hane vel illam Eeclesiam literas voluerit mittere. Deinde, ipsius peregrinationis ratio non parvum injicit scrupulum considerantibus, quòd multo rectiore et breviori itinere Romam potuerit navigare, ut testatur vel ipsius Pauli exemplam: quord n in fuerit ei integrum longas ambages guarere, quia captivus ducebatur : quòd decem leopardis, ut ipsemet eos nominat, traditus erat: neque fiat mentio, eum exercitum Imperatoris secutum: imò ne historiis quidem proditum sit, Imperatorem per tantos circuitus Romam profectum esse. Expende quàm longum iter sit, Antiochia ad littus Aegei pelagi se recipere, ibique rectil sursum versus septemtrionem ascendere, et prepeipuas civitates in littore sitas usque ad Troadem perlustrare, cum tamen Romanum iter sit destinatum versus occasum. Tertio, res ejusmodi in istas literas inspersæ sunt, ut ad eas propemodum obstupescat lector: ut quòd ex rebus mediis seu ceremoniis res prorsus necessariae constituuntur, ut de Paschate et jejuniis certorum dierum, ah que etiam ipsam conscientiam alligat: et quadam alia, de quilus paulo post dicetur. Denique quòd multo plures hodie Ignatio adscribantur epistolæ, quam tempore Eusebii et Hieronymi factum sit. Hæc cum aliàs non somnolento lectori incidant, non existimavimus nobis vitio vertendum, si huc apponeremus--. Est et hoc annotandum, quòd hoc anno Domini 1558, epistolæ Ignatii Græcè sint impressæ et publicatre, quæ hactenus per aliquot secula latuerunt. Cent. 2. Cap. x. p. 165.

* Medullæ Theologiæ Patrum Syntagma. In quo Theologia Priscorum Primi-

At the period of the publication of the Shorter Recension by Usher, and of the corresponding (ireck text of some of the Epistles by Vossius, and, indeed, even before that time, party feelings with respect to Chureh Gorermment had begun to operate greatly upon mens' minds; and so far to influence their judgment as to cast a great impediment in the way of candid and impartial criticism respecting the Ignatian Epistles. The strong hierarehical tendency of these Letters, their frequent exaltation of the Episcopal office, and the positive declaration contained thereinIVithout these (that is, the Bishop, the Presbyters, and Deacons) there is no Church, with other sentences, such as the following:-Let no man do any thing of what belongs to the Church without the Bishop.Wheresoever the Bishop shall appear, there let the People also be.It is not lawful without the Bishop, neither to Baptize, nor to celebrate the Holy Communion + -while they necessarily caused great offence to such as had adopted the Presbyterian form of Eeclesiastical government, both on the Continent and in Great Britain, gave, on the other hand, a value to these Epistles in the eyes of their opponents far beyond any other intrinsic merit which they might possess. If these writings were indeed, as they professed to be, the genuine production of the disciple and companion of one of the holy Apostles, their authority, although not so imperative upon Christians as that of the Sacred Scriptures themselves, would undoubtedly carry very great weight; nor could it be rejected without much presumption and consequent spiritual danger. The positive and distinct manner, therefore, in which the method of Church Gorernment, and of the administration of the Holy Sacraments by Bishop, Presbyters, and Deacons, is laid down and insisted upon in these Letters, would be decisive at once as to the question at issue between the two parties. We find, therefore, as we might naturally expect, the one party exerting itself to the utmost to disprove the genumeness of the Ignatian Epistles, and the other not lees zealons and strenuous in endeavouring to establish it. Each, in the eagerness to secure its own object, caught only at such points as were favourable to its own views, and thus heedlessly, if not intentionally,
tivae Eeclesire Doctorum, qui ante et post Concilium Nicænum floruerunt, methodo amalyticî et syntheticî expressa, atque a Robertí Bellarmini, Cæsaris Baronii, Gregorii de Valentia, aliorumque Pontificiorum corruptelis ita vindicatur: ut liquido appareat, penes solas Reformatas Licclesias esse doctrina et Veritatis Evangelica Antiquitatem. Authore Abrahamo Sculteto. Anno м.nexxav. Francofurti. 4to. p. 351.

+ Epistle to the Trallians, ch. iii., and to the Smyrneans, ch. viii., Archlop.Wake's V'ersion.
overlooking atl those which had the eontrary aspect, was carried away beyond the bounds of that sober and cantions exiticism which is essemtially necessary in all our inquiries after truth.

The attacks made upon these Epistles by the celehrated Climule De Saumaise, under the assumed nume of Walo Messalinus *, and by David

* In his work entitled Wutonis Messalini de Episeopis et Prestypteris comtra D. Petusium Loiolitam Disserfutio Primu. Laus. Bat, 16ist. He writes concerning these Epistles in the following terms:- "Quod permirum milhi videretur, nisi upud me constaret, omnes illas Ignatii Jpistolas suppositicins esse, vel certe multis locis interpolatas. Stylus tamen, qui nimis rhetoricatur, nee simplicitatem redolet Apostolicam, et praterea multorum ritum mentio, et rerum, morumpue quos in Eeclesia nondum setate Ignatii usus receperat, satis evineunt, non posse illud opus anctori (quem ementitur, adscribi. Kuphakîs observanulas traditio, icpúerv et àpxucéiov de Lepiscopis et Preslyteris appellatio, Altarium mentio pro mensis, Laicorum et Clericorum distinctio, Judieorum odium, quos non aleo func aversabatur Eeclesia Christiana, et alia infinita, qua alibi notabuntur, inaudita Ecclesia eo tempore quo vixit et scripsit Ignatius, id quod dico plane probant. Lit sane бтєхоистрía vetus preefixa est operi Georgii Syncelli et 'Theophanis in antiquissimo

 Herma, Periodis Petri, Pauli, et Johannis, cum Evangelio secundum 'Thomam et Clementis operibus. Epistole illae nate aut suppositar vilentur circa initium aut medium secundi sacculi, ¢uo tempore primus singularis Episcopatus supra presloyteratum introductus fuit. Ideo ut cum commendaret, et confirmaret, in omnibus epistolis septem prioribus usque ad nauseam sepe iterat et inculcat, Episcopo tanquam Christo esse obediendum. Nihil sine Episcopo in Ecclesia agendum ; quem definit,
 si quis Apostolorum genium spirare contendat, na ille hatud uncquam trivit illa Apmstolica scripta. Sed et falsissimum quod in Epistola ad Trallenses scribit, venerandum esse Episeopum sicut Christum, quemadmodum Apostoli praceperunt. A Aöciofe
 Ubinam hoc Apostoli preceperunt? Paulus certe in priore ad Timotheum præ-
 Eeclesiae Presbyteros duplici honore dignos esse. Quod ille de omnilus Preshyteris, sive Episcopis, qui plures unam tunc regere solebant Ecclesiam, non de singulari aliquo et locali Episcopo dixit. Quasi idem esset pendere à Christo et pendere ah Episcopo, et quasi nemo posset Christianus cum Christo sentire rui dissentiret ah Episcopo, utriusque mentem in unum conspirare, Christi et Episcopi, ut qui affirmaret, non posse que Christi sunt sapere qui contra Episcopi sententiam ullo modo faceret. Quæ toties de Episcopi auctoritate repetita, eo sensu, et illa verborum imepoxñ, putida sunt, inepta, sed et falsa, et prene impia. Quero enim, quis unquam talia in commendationem Episcopalis dignitatis scripserit? Quæ, ut dixi apparet de industria et affectatione composita, ad extollendam Episcopi auctoritatem, circa initia introducti Episcopatus, ut populi, qui antiquar et Apostolicer requalitati presbyterorum assueverant, facilius ac lubentius cum reciperent, nee propter novitatem exosi aversarentur. Quo primum tempore, cœptus est Episcopatus superstrui ac superponi presbyteratni, scriptum illud prodiisse, id argumento est, quod ita $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{l}} \mathrm{isco-}$ pum extollit, ut Presbyteros non deprimat." \&c. p. 252.

Blondel* of the Preshyterian party, were answered by Dr. Hammond $\dagger$, the very learned and zealous supporter of the cause of the Church of England against the Puritans. This provoked a rejoinder from the London Ministers in their Jus Dicinum Ministerii Exangelii ${ }_{4}$, and from

[^18]"His argumentis (quæ præstantissimo Salmasio nuper probata gaudeo) priore quam de Ignatianis epistolis imbiberam, opinione ante biennium depulsus, eas omnes suppositicias credere coactus sum: recte ne an secus judicent фıえápXator. Ibid. p. 46.
$\dagger$ Dissertationes quatuor, quibus Episcopatus Jura ex S. Scripturis et Primæva Antiquitate adstruuntur, contra sententiam D. Blondelli et aliorum. Quibus premittitur Dissertatio Proœmialis, de Antichristo, de Mysterio Iniquitatis, de Diotrephe, et év таро́ç, de Gnosticis sub Apostolorum ævo se prodentibus. Authore Henrico Ifammond S. S. Theol. Professore, Preshytero Anglieano. Lond. 1651. 4to. $\ddagger$ Jus Dininum Ministerii Evangelici. Or The Divine Right of the GospelMinistry ; divided into two Parts. The first Part containing a Justification of the Gospel-Ministry in general ; the necessity of ordination thereunto by Imposition of hands; the Unlawfulnesse of private mens assuming to themselves cither the office or work of the Ministry without a lawfull Call and Ordination. The second Part containing a Justification of the present Ministers of England, both such as were ordained during the prevalency of Episcopacy from the foul aspersion of Antichristianism: And those who have been Ordained since its abolition from the unjust imputation of Novelty; Proving that a Bishop and Presbyter are all one Scripture ; and that Ordination ly Presbyters is most agreeable to the Scripture-Pattern. Together with an Appendix, whrrein the . Tulgment and Practice of Antiquity about

Dr. dolm Owen in the preface to his work entitled, The Saints' Persemerance ${ }^{*}$, dedicated to the Protector Cromwell. To the former of these Dr. Hammond replied in his Vindication of the Dissertations concerning lifpiscopucy, from the answers or raxeeptions offered aguinst them byy the Loondon Ministers in their Jus Divinum Ministerii Evangelici; and to the latter in An Answer to the Animadeersioms on the Dissertations louching Ignatius's Lipistles, and the lipiseoppacy in them asserled.

But a far more able and elaborate attack upon the I gnatian Epistles than any which had yet appeared was published by the very learned Frenchman J. Daille, in IGifif ; and England has the homour of having lurnished an antagonist equally learned and not less dexterous in John Pearson, then a Presbyter, and afterwards a Bishop of the Church of England, whose celebrated rejoinder appeared in 1672.F This provoked a comier reply, published anonymously in $1684 \$$, by Mat, de Larroque; and with this the controversy ceased. Pearson was soon alterwards elevated to the sce of Chester, a reward to which he was most justly entitled for his great learning, diligence, and virtues; but which he probably obtained from the fact of his labours being fayourable to the ecelesiastical and political views of those who were then invested with the power of bestowing it. The not less excellent Hammond, who had been deprived of his preferment at Christ Church by the Parliamentary
the Whole matter of Episcopacy, and especially about the Ordination of Ministers, is briefly diseussed. Published by the Provincial Assembly of London. London, 1654. 4to.-The arguments insisted on by the London Ministers are chiefly drawn from Saumaise and Blondel ; and so also are those which are urged by Dr. John Owen.

* 'The doctrine of the Saints' Perseverance explained and confirmed; or the certain permanency of their acceptation with God, and sanctification from God manifested and proved, \&ic. Also a Preface, manifesting the judgment of the Ancients concerning the truth contended for; with a discourse touching the Epistles of I gnatius, the Episcopacy in them asserted, and some animadversions on Dr. H. Hammond's Dissertations on that subject. London, 1654. 4to.
$\dagger$ Joamis Dalleei de Seriptis quae sub Dionysii Areopagitee et Ignatii Antiocheni nominibus circumferuntur libri duo, quibus demonstratur illa suldititia esse; diu post Martyrum, quibus falso tribuuntur, obitum ficta ; idemque de illis judicandum [tue de operibus Christi Cardinalibus inter Cypriani nonumenta habentur. Geneval. mactery.
$\ddagger$ Vindicir Epistolarum S. Ignatii. Autore Joanne Pearson Presbytero. Accesserunt Isaaci Vossii Epistolæ duæ adversus David Blondellum. Cantahrigia, 1672. 4 to.
§ Ohservationes in Ignatianas Pearsonii Vindicias. Et in Annotationes Bercregii in Canones Sanctorum Apostolorum. Rothomagi, 1674. 12mo.

Visitors in 1618, had been designed for the Bishopric of Worcester by Charles the Second after the Restoration; but he died on his way to London in 16if0 before the appointment was confirmed. The danger which had threatened the entire constitution of the Church of England by the abolition of Episcopal authority had passed away with the Commonwealth; and in the year 1661 the Bishops having previously had their spiritual authority restored to them, were again reinstated in their seats in Parliament. No external pressure, therefore, rendered it necessary to defend the cause of Episcopacy in England; and consequently the interest respecting the Ignatian Epistles, which had been supposed to afford such important testimony in its favour, abated and died away. Nor has the discussion respecting them ever been renewed again in this country, except by Whiston, who endeavoured to vindicate the Longer Recension and the whole twelve Epistles as genuine, because he believed them to be favourable to the Arian views which he had adopted, and was anxious to defend.
Many of our theological writers have subsequently quoted the authority of the Ignatian Epistles ; but none of them seems to have entered again into any critical examination of their genuineness or integrity. The weight of Bishop Pearson's name has been generally considered conclusive; and many authors, without either the inclination or the learning requisite to enter into the question themselves, or even to examine the arguments of that learned prelate, and ascertain how far they are valid, have not scrupled to cite the Ignatian Epistles as unquestionable evidence, whenever the passages which they adduced were favourable to their own opinions. The frequency of the occurrence of this, even in the works of some of our most respectable theologians and controversialists, shews how natural a thing it is for men under such circumstances, when the conclusions coincide with their own wishes and ideas, to rely upon and put forward the authority of some great name rather than undergo the labour of investigation for themselves, and incur the responsibility of propounding conclusions of their own.

Various authors on the Continent, subsequently to the reply of De Larroque to Pearson's Vindicice, have expressed, in different works, a judgment more or less favourable to the Ignatian Epistles*; but almost all of them have concurred in the opinion, that even in their Shorter

[^19]form they exhibit manifest indications of interpolation and corruption. Within the last few years the subject of the Ignatian Epistles has been again brought more prominently forward; and the question touching their genuineness and the two different Recensions has been renewed and discussed with various grades of opinion in Germany. The Longer Recension has again found an advocate*: the Shorter has gained new supporters $\%$. Both have been denied to be perfectly genuine, lut still have leeci acknowledged, alihough much corrupted and changed from their original condition, to contain in them part, if not the whole, of the gemmine text ; ; and further, their uuthenticity has been altogether denied §s. All this discussion, however, has been carried on, and this variety of opinion been propeomded, without the introduction of any new element of criticism, either from the discovery of additional copies in Greek, or of any unknown ancient version, or from any passages having being found to be cited by early authors which had not been previously known and applied.

## discovery of the syriac version.

1 have already observed that so early as the year 1644 Archlop. Lsher had hinted at the probability of additional light, at some future period, being thrown upon the obscure and difficult subject of the Ignatian Epistles by means of a Syriac Version. He further drew attention to the fact of the existence of such a version from its having been mentioned in a catalogue of manuscripts belonging to a recent Patriach of Antioch, also bearing the name of Ignatius, which had been brought to England by the learned Henry Saville.||

[^20]Ebed Jesu, Metropolitan of Soba, in his catalogue of works in the Chaldee or Syriac language, translated into Latin by Abraham Echellensis, and published in the year 1653 , had also spoken distinctly of the writings of St. Ignatius, Bishop and Martyr. In the midst, therefore, of the discussion respecting the Ignatian Eipistles, which the publication of the Medicean copy had rather aggravated than allayed, Dr. Fell, Dean of Christ Church, afterwards Bishop of Oxford *, began to cherish the hope, formerly expressed by the Primate of Armagh, of solving this difficult question by obtaining a copy of the ancient Syriac or Chaldee version of the Letters of St. Ignatius. He conceived that a favourable opportunity of endeavouring to procure a copy of that translation was now opened to him through the assistance of Robert Huntington, then Chaplain to the British merchants at Aleppo, and afterwards successively Provost of Trinity College, Dublin, and Bishop of Raphoe. Huntington undertook this commission of Dr. Fell with the greatest zeal, as his correspondence with different dignitaries of the Oriental Churches sufficiently proves. In a Letter to Stephen Peter, Maronite Patriarch of Antioch, dated Feb. 24, 1680, he writes: "Majora insuper a tanto Viro speramus beneficia: vel catera ejusdem Patris (Ephremi Syri) opera, vel beati Ignatii Epistolas, in Syriacam, uti aiunt, linguam versas. Hujus succedis throno, succedis pietati ; ideoque tuum est a squalore et vermibus Antiochena sedis gloriosum Pressulem vindicare, et tenebris hucusque obrutum Maronitis Tuis et Christiano orbi luce donare et immortalitate. Si inveneris ubicumque Monasteriorum tuorum, Librum hunc, vestrâ dialecto exscriptum, quocunque pretio redimere vellem, ut in Europa jugis Libani liberiore frueretur aurâ, et privilegiis tanto Authore dignis." $\dagger$ In another to the same Patriarch, dated July 1, 1681, he writes: "Sancti Ignatii Epistolas non alibi reperio, Syriace versas, licet ab Ebed Jesu in Catalogo suo, ut probre notar, recensentur : ideoque in te spes mea est, et quod liber cedro dignus Libani jugis debebit resurrectionem suam et immortalitatem." $\ddagger$ In a Letter addressed to John Lascaris, Archbishop of Mount Sinai, dated Feb. 10, 1680, he urges the same inquiry: "Si D. Ignatii Epistola, Syro, Graeco, vel aliquo alió idiomate, apud vos scripter, retineantur, vellem eas quocungue licet pretio redimere." \& And writing again to the same Arechbishop on the following day, he says: " Rogari insuper Catalogum Codicum

[^21]omnium, qui in inclyta celeherrimi monasterii Bibliotheca fatitant, Manmseriptorum; denique Epistolas heatissimi Martyris Ignatii, Prassulis Antiocheni, quocumique idiomate, prasertim Syriaco, exaratas." " And in another Letter to the same Archbishop, dated Caïro, Dec. I0, I681: "Insuper, pluribus literis, et modo appulerint, ad nauscam usque repetitis, alios etian desideravi Codices, tho, hoe est aeguissimo, praetion redimendos: quales smut, D. Ignatii Epistola, vel Graceo, vel Arabico, et prasertim Syriaco, idiomate conseripte." $\dagger$

Nor did he in his zeal limit his researches to inguiry by Letter only: he also made several journeys in quest of books, and twice undertook a voyage to Egypt for this purpose. On one of these occasions he even proceeded as far as the monasteries in the Desert of Nitria, and visited the Syrian convent dedicated to St. Mary Deipara, which at that time was in possession of two copies of the Three Syriac Epistles, and of a third of that to St. Polycarp. It is evident, however, that the monks did not shew him their collection of manuseripts, as the only book which he partieularly speaks of having seen there was a copy of the ()hd Testament in the Estrangelo character, in two large volumes. $\ddagger$ Although all the endeavours of Ifuntington to obtain the Syriac version of the Epistles of St. Ignatius were unsuccessful, his inquiries tended nevertheless to throw some further light upon the subject of their existence. as the following passage from a Letter of Stephen Peter, the Maronite Patriarch of Antioch, shews: " Ci accenna per causa delle lettere di Santo Ignatio, Patriarcha di questa Antiochena sede, se si ponno trovare nella lingua Sira ; è certo che quelli antichi Padri lhavessero tradotte in questa lingua, mà in quanto a noi, l'habbiamo mai viste ; e per aggradire alla sua pia domanda, non mancaremo di far tutto il possibile in cercare, se si ponno trovare in questi paesi." §

The learned Eusebius Renaudot, in his work on the Oriental Liturgies $\|$, published in 1716, had also called attention to the existence of an ancient Syriac version, from the fact of his having found various passages from the Ignatian Epistles cited in an ancient collection of Canons in that language. I have given the extracts to which he alludes in this volume, pp. 197-201, 232-235. I have also quoted Renaudot's words relating to them, and made some observations thereon in my notes, p. 344, to which the reader is referred.

[^22]|| Liturgiarum Orientalium collectio. 2 Voll. Paris, 1716.

In 170.5 Jos. Sim. Assemani printed the catalogue of Ebed Jesu, above mentioned, in the original language, and at the same time indicated the existence of a Syriac copy of the Acts of Ignatius' Martyrdom among the treasures of the Vatican.*

In the valuable collection of Syriac manuscripts procured by the late Claudius James Rich during his Residency at Bagdad, and purchased after his decease by the Trustees of the British Museum, is an imperfect volume, containing lives of Saints and Martyrs: among them is found the Fragment of the Martyrdom of St. Ignatius, and of his Epistle to the Romans usually inserted therein, which is printed at pp. $202-225,252-255$ of this work. So early as the year 1839 I had transcribed this fragment; and I further entertained great hopes of being able to procure a complete copy of the Martyrdom of St. Ignatius, in which I concluded the Epistle to the Romans would be comprised, from a very ancient manuscript containing numerous Acts of Martyrs, and among them those of St. Ignatius, which had been obtained from the monastery of St. Mary Deipara of the Syrians, in the Desert of Nitria, by J. S. Assemani, in 1715 , and deposited in the Vatican. $\uparrow$ I trusted to be able to procure from Rome a copy of one at least of these Epistles; and thus to have some grounds for forming an opinion as to what value we might reasonably expect should be attributed to the Syriac version of the whole collection, should it ever come to light. I was, however, sadly disappointed in this my expectation; for although my application was made and repeated through a channel which I had every ground to suppose would prove successful, the only reply which I could obtain was, that no such book existed. It is, nevertheless, distinctly stated to be in the collection of Syriac Manuscripts in the Vatican both by J.S. Assemani ${ }_{\dagger}$, and also by his cousin, Step. Evod. Assemani. §

But fuller means of investigating this subject, than I had even ventured to hope for, were unexpectedly thrown in my way by the acquisition of several very ancient Syriac manuscripts, procured from the same monastery in the Desert of Nitria, called also the Valley of Scete, or of the Ascetics, by the Rev. Henry Tattam, now Archdeacon of Bedford, during his visit to Egypt in the years 1838 and 1839.||

[^23]No sooner was this collection deposited in the British Museum than I anxionsly examined the contents of every volume，to ascertain if any of the Eipistles of St．I gnatius were among them；and I was rejoiced to discover，not only several extracts from these Epistles，cited by diffe－－ rent ceclesiastical writers，but also the entire Epistle to St．Polycarp，in a volume of great antiquity．

Several of these manuseripts contained notices of the donors by whom they had been presented to the monks of St．Mary Deipara．Among them were some in the handwriting of Moses of Nisibis，some time Superior of the convent；in which he stated，that in the year of the Grecks 1213，or 931 of our cra，he had added to the litrary no less than two hundred and fifty volumes，which he had procured by donation and purchase during a recent visit to Bagdad．$\Lambda$ few of these I was aware had been obtained and transported to the Vatican by Elias Assemani in 1707 ，and by J．S．Assemani in 1715；but from the accounts given to me by Dr．Tattam，by Lord Prudhoe，now Duke of Nordhumberland，who had visited this convent in 18：88，and by the Hon．Robert Curzon，who had also been a guest of the monks of the Nitrian Desert about nine years later，I had every reason to conclude that there were still lying in obscurity in the Valley of the Ascetics at least two hundred volumes，of an antiquity anterior to the close of the ninth century．Encouraged by finding one Syriac Epistle of St．Ignatius to hope for the discovery of others，and extremely desirous of exploring the remainder of those rolumes of such renerable antiquity，and of rescuing them from the obscurity in which they were lying，and from the destruction with which they were threatened，I naturally felt a most intense anxiety that some measures should be speedily taken to endeavour to obtain for the library of the British Museum the rest of the manuscripts belonging to the Nitrian convent．Archdeacon Tattam，equally zealous with myself in the same cause，voluntarily offered his services to undertake another royage into Egypt，and to endeavour to negociate for the purchase of them．The present Duke of Northumberland most cordially approved and effectually aided our endeavours；and in the year 1819，the Trustees of the British Museum haring applied for and obtained a special grant from the Lords of the Treasury for this purpose，Archdeacon Tattam

[^24]shortly afterwards started upon his second expedition into Egypt, in quest of manuscripts. This undertaking was crowned with very great sticeess; and on the 1st of March, in the year 1818, between three and four hundred additional volumes, from the monastery of the Valley of the Ascetics, arrived in the British Muscum. I immediately began to examine their contents, and had the rare satisfaction of having my hopes realized by finding among them, not only several additional passages from St. Ignatius, quoted by various authors, but also three entire Epistles-to St. Polycarp, to the Ephesians, and to the Romans-in a volume of very considerable antiquity.

Although Archdeacon Tattam's second journey to Egypt had been attended with such eminent success, and had added so many invaluable stores to the British Museum, he had not exhausted the whole of the rich mine of the Valley of the Ascetics, nor transferred all the literary treasures of the Egyptian Desert to the library of the British metropolis. At the same time as they professed to dispose of the whole of the collection, nearly half of their volumes were concealed and withheld by the monks for some future occasion. In the year 1817 M . Auguste Pacho* was fortunate enough to become the possessor of the remainder of the manuscripts belonging to the Brethren of St. Mary Deipara; and the Lords Commissioners of Her Majesty's Treasury having most liberally purchased them from him to complete the collection already deposited in the British Museum, I had the happiness of discovering among them another copy of the Three Epistles of St. Ignatius in one of those volumes which had been presented to the Monastery in the year 931 by the Superior, Moses of Nisibis.

## NOTICE OF THE MANUSCRIPTS FROM WHICH THE SYRIAC TEXT IS TAKEN.

1. A thick manuscript in quarto, consisting of two volumes bound together. The first is made up of two distinct manuscripts, of which the former, written in a large hand, contains, 1. The Asceticon of Father Pachomizus; and ‥ A Narrative relating to an aged Cœnobite, whose name was Malcus. The latter, written in a small hand in two columns, comprises, 1. Questions and Answers of the E'gyptian Fathers; 2. The
[^25]L'pistles of Livagrius to Meluniu; and 3. The lipistle of my Lorrd Ignatius the Bish(oy). 'This is written on the last leaf of the manuscript, and seems to have been added to the rest of the contents of the volume on account of its brevity, being sufficient to fill up the vacant space of vellum, which was too precions to be left unemployed. It is the: Epistle to Polycarp, the first in the order of the Three Syriac Eqpistles ; and the text of this manuscript has been followed in this work: it is indicated in the notes by the letter $\alpha$.

This volume is not dated; but the other, which is bound up with it, and likewise contains various Aseetic worlis of Evagrius cund Marcus the Monk-Lives of the Egyptian I'athers-the Peshito Version of the P'ropheey of Iscaiah, and a Lelter from Basib to Gregory of Nusianzum, bears a subscription at the end, in which the date was noted, but it has been partly erased. Enough however remains to shew that it was transcribed between the year of the Grecks 810 and 850 , which will give the date of the maruseript between A.D. 530 and .,510. The other volume bound up with it, on the last leaf of which the Epistle of Ignatius to Polycarp is found, was undoubtedly written ahout the same period; and indeed the hand-writing seems to be identical. We may therefore safely conclude that this copy of St. Ignatius' Epistle was transcribed in the first half of the sixth century, or before A.D. 550 .

On the first page of the manuscript, as it now stands, is written



 of the Desert of Scete, of the Syrians. Whosocver taketh this book and maketh any fraudulent use of it, or taketh it out of the convent, or cutteth away any thing from it, or eraseth this memorial, may he be accursed and estranged from the Holy Church of God. Amen." Under this is noted G. PP., which is also found in many other volumes of this collection, probably by J. S. Assemani when he visited this monastery and inspected the books, in the year 1715.*

On the first page of the second leaf we read this inscription, waro i.al



[^26]

 © " This book belongs to the convent of Deipara of the Syrians, in the Desert of the Asceties, which Mathew, Abraham, Theodorus, and Joseph, of Tecrit, acquired from that with which the grace of God supplied them. Whosoever readeth in this book, let him pray for them on our Lord's account; but whosoever wipeth out this memorial, may his name be wiped out of the book of life; and may there come upon him all those curses which are written in the law of Moses, and may he be accursed from the Holy Ghost, to eternity. Amen." 'Then follows,
 "Ephraem Xenaias arranged and bound it: may the Lord pardon him. Amen." This manuscript was obtained by Dr. Tattam when he first visited Egypt in 1839. British Museum Additional MS., 12,175.
II. A manuscript on vellum, in 4 to., written in a peculiar hand. The date appears to me to be certainly not later than the seventh or eighth century. It is imperfect in the beginning and at the end. In the first part is a fragment of a Letter of consolation on the death of a child; but as there is no subscription at the end, the author's name does not appear. Then follow the three Epistles of St. Ignatius. 1. 12 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbf{f}}$ !

 the Eiphesians. At the end, Lisc s "It is ended." 3. : $2 . \leq 2,: 1 \angle \mathrm{id}$
 the end, :? ? $\sim \infty 0$ : ! "Here end the Three Epistles of Ignatius, Bishop and Martyr." The text of the Epistles to the Ephesians and Romans has been copied accurately from this manuscript; and the various readings of that to St. Polycarp are also given in the notes to that Epistle, where it is designated by the letter $\beta$. The rest of the contents of this volume is miscellanenus, comprising An Épislle of Gregory Theologus to Eeragrius.Sermons of Mar Jacob, Bishop of Serug, or Batnce.-Sermons of Mar 1saac.-Extracts from the Philosophers concerning the sonl.-Churge of Plato to his disciple.-Admonition of one of the Seniors to monks commencing their exercises. - Sermons of St. Basil and Gregory of Nyssa on the Trinity.-Various questions respecting the soul, g'c., put to John the Monlo by Eusebius and Eutropius. This is one of the
volumes procured by Dr. Tattam during his second visit to ligypt in 1842. British Museum Additional MS., 14,6188.
III. A manuscript on vellum, in 12mo. It has no date; but belonged to the collection acquired by Moses of Nisibis in A.1).931, and was written apparently about three or four centuries earlier. (On the second










 "To the honour, glory, and magnificence of the holy Monastery of Deepara of the Desert of Scetis, Moses, mean and a simmer, Superior of the monastery, who is called of Nisibis, gave diligence, and acquired this book, together with many others, being two hundred and fifty, many of which he purchased, and others were given to him by some persons as a blessing, when he went to Bagdad $\uparrow$ on the account of this holy Desert and the monks who are in it. May God, for the glory of whose name and the profit of those who read them [he acquired these books], pardon him and the dead belonging to him, and every one who is in communion with them, and may he recompense them in the kingdom of heaven. And it is not lawful for any one, by the living word of God, to use any fraud with respect to any one of them in any manner whatever ; either that he should appropriate them to himself, or wipe out this notice, or tear or cut, or . . . . others. He who presumes and dares to do this, may the curse [be upon him]. These books came into the monastery in the year one thousand two hundred and forty-three of the Greeks," A.D. 931.
The volume consists of thirty-one quires, each of ten leaves, numbered

[^27]from I to is respectively. On the reverse of the second leaf is the following general title of the contents, in red letters:- $; 5$, $0 . S_{\Delta \Delta}$ US -n-rivol "In the strength of our Lord Jesus Christ we begin to transcribe a Collection from the Holy Futhers : first from Evagrius." At
 blessed Evagrius." Then follows a piece without any name, commencing thus: !? ? : |nsiaso |;at: ounaso urdiso.

On the second leaf of the 8th quire the Epistles of Ignatius
 Epistle of Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch. At the end, $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll}10.0 p & 1 \leq 0 \leq \Delta ~\end{array}\right.$

 endeth the Second Epistle." 3. $4 . \Delta 2$ ? $\mid Z_{\mathfrak{t}} \backslash$ The Third Epistle. At the end, : $\Delta S_{2}, ~ a s c \Delta_{\&}$ "Here ends the Third." Then follows a Let-

 without any rubric or heading, commencing thus: : ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ? . . F=nso ? $\times$ ! 5 ? | "Here endeth what is of Ignatius." (These two last Letters, thus appended to the Three of Ignatius, are the work of John the Monk, as I find upon comparing them with a very ancient MS. 17,167, in which
 Epistle of John the Monk on Lore.) Then follows wise ! Lorsceon $n_{\mathrm{sin}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ ol Faith of my Lord Livagrius; at the end of which is written

 transcription of the Failh of Evagrius. Here ends the transcription of the Discourse of my Lord the Blessed Ecagrius, and of the Epistles of Saint Ignatius.

The remainder of the volume comprises 1 Discourse of Saint Marcus the Monk on Baptism, by way of Question and Answer.- $A$ Sermon by the same, on Repentance. -4 Sermon of Scholasticus by way of arymment with Marcus the Monk.-The same Marcus the Monk: on the Spiritual Lave: Luo Sermons.- A Sermon of Gregorius the ATonk on the culture of Virtue, in Question and Answer, which he wrote to the

Bishop) Theodorns, and to Viator Eipiphunius, hiss friemuls, what had requested it of him.-Leller of is\%. Basil, Bishop) of Caesarea of Cuppadacia, which he wrote to his brother Gregory, Bishop p of Nasa." - The seventeenth Sermon of S\%. Cyril, on the Festivals of the Saints. t-A Sermon by Mar Istace Malfono on Spiritual Beinys.-Eatract from the Sermon of the Blessed Proclus, Bishop) of Constantinople, on the Birth of Christ. -Life of my Lord the Blessed E'piphamius, who was Bishop) of Constantia in the Island of Cyprus, written by John, who was a disciple of Epiphanius.-Admontion of the Holy liathers.-Life of the Blessed John, who was of Rome. - A Sermon on Privation, by (iregory, Bishop of Nyssen.-A Sermon by the Blessed Alexander on the Incurnalion of our Lord, and on Soul and Body. - The Life of the holy and beloved, my Lord John the Evangelist, who preached and made disciples, and baptized with the Baptism of our Lord Jesus Christ in the city of Ephesus. The titles and subscription to each of these works are written in red letters.

At the end of the volume is the following subscription:- wool.




 "This book belongs to . . . ., who gave diligence and acquired this treasure for the profit of his own soul, and of every one who meets witt it. May God deem him worthy of His kingdom which passeth not away, and of his chamber which faileth not, together with all those who have gone before him, for ever. May every one who readeth in this book pray for the simmer and unprofitable and needful of mercy, Jonas Xenaias who wrote it, for our Lord's sake, that he may find favour in the day of judgment, like the thief on the right hand."

Two quires, the 15 th and 16 th, and two leaves, the first and the last of the 14th quire, were obtained by Dr. Tattam in the year 1813: the rest of the volume followed them to England among those which M. Pacho procured in 184\%. Brit. Mus. Add. MSS., 17,192.

[^28]
## DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE SYRIAC AND TIE SIIORTER GREEK RECENSION AS TO EXTENT AND ARRANGEMENT.

In viewing the Three Epistles of St. Ignatius now before us as they correspond with the Syriac version, the first thing which strikes our notice is their comparative brevity, even with respect to the Shorter Recension published from the Medicean and Colbert manuscripts. This arises from the omission of words, sentences, and even long passages, found in that Recension, which are not recognised in the Syriac. The first question, therefore, which we are naturally led to consider, is, whether these passages have been omitted by the Syriac translator, or whether they have been introduced into the Greek text subsequently to the period of the Syriac translation, or at least of the transcription of the copy from which it was made. In either case, their nature and extent preclude the possibility either of the omission or addition being the effect of accident, or the result of mere carelessness or wantonness on the part of any transcriber. We can hardly suppose that the Syriac translator, in dealing with a work entitled to such veneration as the Epistles of this holy Martyr, and secondary in importance only to the inspired writings of the Apostles themselves, should have made such omissions simply for the sake of brevity, more especially when these Epistles in themselves are so short. If, therefore, he did presume to omit any thing, he must have done so with some particular object, with the design of promoting some end which he had in view, or of supporting more effectually some doctrine or opinion which the authority of these passages in the Epistles of St. Ignatius seemed to discountenance or disprove. On the other hand, if at any period subsequent to their original composition such passages were inserted into the genuine text of these Epistles, it is altogether unreasonable to suppose that this could have been done without design. It frequently indeed happens that words, or even sentences, added in the margin of a manuscript for the purpose of explanation or illustration, find their way into the text through the ignorance or carelessness of subsequent transcribers, instances of which may perhaps be pointed out in these Epistles; but it is altogether contrary, both to experience and reason, to suppose that such passages as those, which are not reeognised in the Syriac translation, could have been introduced into the Greek text otherwise than designedly, and for purposes similar to those for which such omissions would have been made, although with the opposite
intention of giving them that additional weight which the authority of St. Ignatius must bring with it.

The examination of one or two of those passages not found in the Syriae translation, which have direct reference to a particular suljeect, may enable us to draw some probable conclusions from which we may form a tolerably accurate judgment respecting this matter. Taking, therefore, the long passage omitted from the Epistle to the Ephesians, which comprises nearly the whole of chapters 11.-vin., pp. 17-94, we find that this bears immediately upon several very important questions relating both to doctrine and discipline. The leading feature, however, which pervades the whole, is the matter respecting the Clergy. The three orders of the ministry of Christ's Church are distinctly mentioned, the necessity of Episcopacy is maintained, and the duty of union with, and of submission to, the Bishop and Presbytery strongly insisted upon. Again, this subject is referred to in the twentieth chapter of this P:pistle, and likewise in the seventh and eighth of that to St. Polycarp, and also in the ninth of that to the Romans, none of which are found in the Syriac version. It seems certain, then, that either it must have been the design of the Syrian translator to omit these passages, which speak in such distinct terms of Episcopacy and the Clergy, because they militated against his own notions, and were repugnant to his own opinions; or, on the other hand, that it has been the object of some interpolator to insert and mingle them with the genuine writings of St . Ignatius, in order that he might give more authority to his own views by alledging them as the testimony of that holy Martyr and disciple of the Apostles.

That they have been omitted by the Syriac translator for any such purpose as that which we have mentioned seems to be highly improbable, from the fact of his having retained the following words in the Epistle to St. Polycarp, in which the same things are also expressly





 retention of this one passage, speaking with so much precision and distinctness on this subject, would render all the other omissions, which might have been made with the intention of invalidating it, futile. If
the design had been to raise any doubts respecting it, or to weaken the arguments in its favour by alledging the silence of St. Ignatius on this head, it would have been necessary, not to omit part, but all of the passages which refer to it; for even one, only incidentally mentioned, would afford sufficient testimony to establish the existence of the fact, which the omission of all the others could not invalidate, although, if adduced, they may serve to exhibit it with more distinctness and precision.

But the question assumes a different aspect when any particular object is to be enforced and substantiated. A skilful adrocate, to make good his cause, will naturally bring forward all the evidence that he can collect, and will summon to his aid every available authority which seems likely to strengthen his cause. Nor will he hesitate, if he be deficient in candour and integrity, to adduce such fictitious documents as appear likely to strengthen his argument, and thereby to effect his purpose, whenever he can do so without fear of detection. That the cause of Episcopacy is strongly advocated, not only in the places now before us, but also in almost all the Epistles to which the name of St. Ignatius has been attached, is acknowledged on all hands. It is therefore plain that these passages, whether they be the genuine words of that holy Martyr, or whether they be the subsequent addition of an interpolator, have been written in a great measure with this object in view. But it has been shewn already that it is highly improbable that they should have been omitted by the Syrian translator, with the design of favouring any purposes or opinions of the opposite tendency; while, on the other hand, the circumstance of St. Ignatius himself haring mentioned the three orders of the clergy, and recommended the duty of obedience to them in the passage of the Epistle to St. Polycarp quoted above, would have afforded an interpolator an occasion for urging in stronger terms, and more distinetly and frequently, a subject which really had been adverted to by the author whose name and character he had ventured to assume. Moreover, it is but just to state, that these passages, speaking in such positive terms on this subject, and so constantly and directly referring to it, are the very passages which, of all others, have tended to throw the greatest doubts upon the Epistles of St. Ignatius, and which, independently of their onission from the Syriac, have otherwise afforded to the impugners of the authority of these Epistles very strong arguments against their genuineness and authenticity. Daillé felt so much confidence in the argument drawn from this consideration,
that he styles it Argumentum Palmarium ** and 1 mast confess that the answer of Bishop Pearson to this seems to me to be very far from full and satisfactory.

Further, in the same chapters from the Epistle to the Ephessians which are not recognised by the Syriac, we find sentences bearing upon a matter of no less importance than one of the most essential articles of our faith, the Godhead ot' our blessed Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ.


 further, in the Epistle to the Romans, in the Inscription: 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u} \mathrm{X} \rho \imath \sigma-$

 т́́Oous тои̂ Өcô̂ $\mu$ ou (ch. 6. p. 49); in all of which this doctrine is expressly mentioned. And not only is it asserted in places like these directly, but also in others, by the mere addition or change of a single word; as, fore example, in the inscription of the Epistle to the Ephesians, the simple insertion of the conjunction кai, omitted in the Syriac, in the
 firms the doctrine of the Godhead of our Lord by limiting toû Gcou ifmê' io the latter member of the sentence, 'Iyซoû X'protoû $\dagger+$ and again, the substitution of $\Theta c o u$ for vioù in the mineteenth chapter of the same Epistle (p.3.5) contains a declaration of the same truth. It is quite evident, therefure, that in all these places this object has been kept distinctly in riew ; and that either these words must have been omitted and altered by the Syriac translator for the purpose of undermining and weakening this article of our belief, or that they must have been inserted and changed with the design of giving additional force to the arguments upon which this most vital doctrine is based.

But it is most clear that the Syriac translator could not have omitted or altered these passages for the purpose of denying the divinity of our blessed Lord by suppressing the testimony of St. Ignatius to this essential doctrine, or he would not have retained others in which it is as fully intimated, and even directly asserted. Thus, for example, in the



[^29] the same Epistle, cis tò $\Theta$ coù ètituхciu (p.5.1.3); and in the Epistle


 Xpıбтoú èmıтú $\chi \omega$ (p. 4.9.1.3, 6). Again, in the Epistle to the Ephesians: $\mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha \grave{\imath}$ öntєऽ $\Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{u}($ p. 15. 1. 10), compared with $\mu \mu \mu \tau \alpha i$ ò̀ toû Kupiou in the same Epistle (p.29. 1.1). Also, in the Epistle to the Ephe-

 in the Epistle to the Romans: K $\alpha \lambda$ òv $\tau o ̀ ~ \delta u ̂ v a \iota ~ \alpha ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau о и ~ к o ́ \sigma \mu o v ~ \epsilon i s ~$ Єcòv, ïva cis aútòv àvatcín (p. 43. 1. 3) compared with $A \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ éàv $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega$,
 $\theta c \rho o s(p .4 \% .1 .3)$, and with iva креítтovos è $\lambda c u \theta c \rho i ́ a s ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \Theta c o u ̂ ~ т u ́ \chi \omega-~$ $\sigma \iota v$ of the Epistle to St. Polycarp (p. 7. 1.9). Again, in the Epistle to

 Moreover, in the Epistle to the Ephesians we have directly, $\dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha i \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ (p.15. 1. 10); and at the close of that to the Romans we find col hasaso voas "Jesus Christ our God."

We see, therefore, that this great doctrine is frequently stated and maintained in these Epistles of St. Ignatius, even as they are exhibited in the Syriac translation; not, indeed, in so direct and formal a manner as in those passages which have been omitted, but equally plainly and fully, and more in accordance with the style of the inspired writings themselves, and the simplicity of the Apostolic times*; just as we might naturally expect that holy Martyr, with this conviction always present in his mind, to write, sometimes calling the author and finisher of his salvation his Saviour ; sometimes his Lord; at others, Jesus the Christ, and at others, God. Each and all of these appellations conveyed

[^30]equally to his mind that great truth which was the foundation of all his hopes-God in the flesh. It would not, therefore, be natural for him to state this truth so frequently in its direct and complex form, unless with the design of opposing some who denied it; nor, indeed, would it be necessary for him to do so in writing to one who had been, as well as himself, a disciple of St. John the Evangelist, or hardly to those congregations of Christians to whom it must have been familiar. The hypothesis, therefore, that the passages levaring upmen this snilject might have been omitted by the Syrian translator for the purpose of invalidating this great doctrine, which could have been the only probable design of their omission, seems to be altogether untenable.

But, on the other hand, there is no improbability in the -supposition that any one wishing to support this doctrine by the authority of St. Ignatius should put this truth into a more direct and positive form, and insert it among his genuine writings, which he might venture to do with less fear of detection, from the fact of its having been so manifestly inculcated by that Apostolic Father. Indeed, the circumstance of this direct and formal affirmation of the Godhead of our blessed Lord, so frequently repeated in the Shorter Recension of these Epistles, has been used as an argument against the authenticity of that Recension; not by any of the opponents of the writings of St. Ignatius, but by adroeates of the Longer Edition, because these passages contain that direct and positive assertion of this truth, which does not appear to have been generally made use of till after the Arian heresy and the sulsecquent decisions of the Council of Nice.*

It would be easy to bring forward many other instances of a similar nature to those which have been already adranced, from such parts of the Greek as are not found in the Syriac translation.

But besides those passages, which have reference to some particular

[^31]point of doctrine or discipline, there are various others, for the omission of which it seems hardly possible to assign any plausible reason, although it is very easy to explain why they might have been inserted into the text. Thus, for example, in the Epistle to St. Polycarp, the omission of the word $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} ı \alpha \lambda$ cítттoıs after $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in u \chi \alpha i 今 s ~ \sigma \chi o ́ \lambda \alpha \zeta_{\epsilon}(\mathrm{ch} .1 . \mathrm{p} .3)$; and

 this omission occurred in one instance only, we might readily have supposed it to have been an oversight of the transcriber; but the repetition of the same thing precludes that supposition : and we can hardly avoid the inference that it must have been either inserted or omitted designedly. It is difficult to imagine any ground which the Syriac translator could have had for making such an omission ; but it is easy to see how any one, bearing in mind the corresponding passage of St. Paul, $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \downarrow \alpha \lambda c i \pi \pi \tau \omega s$ $\pi \rho o \sigma \varepsilon u ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon(1 \text { Thes. v. } 17)^{*}$, might have noted this in both instances in the margin, and that in this manner it might have found its way into the text. And not only may we thus account for these words having been introduced into the text, but also they might have been inserted with the intention of more effectually opposing the very heretics which in this same Recension of the Ignatian Epistles are
 (ch. 6.), $\dagger$ and to whom reference is again made in the fifth chapter of that to the Ephesians, which is not found in the Syriac, M $\eta \delta \bar{\sigma}$ is $\pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{-}$





 p. 438 ; from St. Paul to the Corinthians (i Cor. iv. 18.); and in like manner, $\nu \eta \pi i ́ o t s ~ o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$ (pp. 53 and 77), from 1 Cor iii. 1.

Again, there are others, for the omission of which it seems difficult to give any satisfactory reason; although we may easily pereeive how they might have been introduced into the text, by way of explanation or illustration. Such are $\tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$ 'A $\sigma$ ias, in the Inscription of the Epistle to the Ephesians; кобнккòv $\eta^{\eta} \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha o v$, in that to the Romans (ch. 4.

[^32]

 Ephesians (ch. 19. p. 35). It would not be diflicult to assign also a plausible reason for the addition of the two sentences last mentioned, upon the same ground of opposition to heresy, to which allusion has been already made.

But besides words and sentences like these, there are others which appear to be almost attogether indifferent in themselves, and which, unless we admit that the Syriac translator, thinking these Epistles too long, undertook the task of abridging them-which scems too improbable a supposition to be tenable-it is impossible to assign any cause for their omission, but which, on the other liypethesis, that interpelations have been subsequently inserted into the text, we should naturally expect to find. A cautious interpolator would introduce not merely such things as were directly adapted to uphold his own views on any specific snbject, and which for that reason might be the more readily pointed out and detected, but he would further add, as a blind and covering for the rest, other indifferent matter, to which no particular suspicion respecting himself individually could be attached. The production of another copy, to compare with his own in which those particular passages only had been inserted-if any good reason could be shewn, from a knowledge of his peculiar sentiments and opinions, why he might be supposed to have added them-would doubtless render the detection of his fraud much more easy than if these copies were found to differ, not only by the addition of this particular matter, but also by the insertion of various other subjects for which no such direct cause could be alleged. Moreover, in the case of the introduction of spurious passages into the writings of any author, a skilful interpolator would endeavour, as much as possible, to conceal the additions which he made, by using the phraseology of the author, and, so far as it was practicable, even borrowing his very words. This is strikingly apparent through all those parts of the Greek which the authority of the Syriac translator would pronounce spurious. Several peculiar and idiomatic expressions of St. Ignatius are repeated again and again in sentences which otherwise have but little resemblance to his genuine style, as we shall see below||: and indeed the interpolator seems to have gone beyond his mark; so that

[^33]this has been used by Daille, in his thirty-first chapter, as one strong argument against the genuineness of the Epistles of St. Ignatius: " Quod scriptor eadem omnilus Epistolis, et quidem interdum iisdem, perpetuo $\beta \alpha \tau \tau 0 \lambda$ оує $\ell$ : neque fere quicquam semel dicat, quod a sanctissimi Martyris sapientia, et gravitate alienum videtur."*

The argument from the consideration of design respecting the introduction of these passages into the Greek text seems to be conclusive in itself; for many reasons, and those, too, highly probable, may be alleged why, and how, they might have been inserted; but it seems almost impossible to assign any other why they should have been omitted in the Syriac, than that this was done for the sake of abbreviation, which appears to be altogether unfeasible. To this we should add another consideration-that we know of no instances of such abridgment as this in any other early Christian writer; while examples of fabrication, additions, and interpolations, are most numerous, and that especially in the interval between the death of Ignatius and the publication of the Ecclesiastical History of Eusebius, in which we find the first mention of Seven Epistles ascribed to the venerable Bishop of Antioch.

Again, there is another internal argument to be adduced, from the structure of these Epistles. In arranging a Greek text from the two Recensions to correspond with the Syriac, the passages which have been removed, even from the Shortcr, are very numerous; and in the Epistle to the Ephesians at least two-thirds of the matter has been omitted. Now had these passages so omitted belonged to the original Epistle it seems hardly possible that they could have been taken away in the manner in which they have been, sometimes entire chapters, at others considerable parts, sometimes whole sentences, and at others half sentences, or single words, without interrupting the general tenor of the Epistle, or causing any hiatus, and producing obscurity. But what is now the state of the case? Not only is no obscurity caused, nor the tenor of the Epistle broken, but, on the contrary, several places, which before were unintelligible, become now clear; the whole Epistle runs on uninterruptedly; each sentence adheres closely to that which precedes it; and, what is still more remarkable, all this almost without the necessity of making any grammatical change in the order or construction of the sentences; and further, one passage omitted in the Greek, which Bishop Pearson had previously pointed out as necessary to complete the context,

[^34]is restored and supplied by the Syriac.* This seems hardly possible to be otherwise accounted for, than by the hypothesis that the interpolator was anxious to add without changing, and to insert such passages and phrases as would seem to promote the object which he had in view, and still to allow the original words of Ignatins to remain, as far as possible, unaltered. Consequently, in some instances this has produced obscurity, and interrupted the natural course of the Epistle. That numerous passages might have been added in this way, without much subsequent violation of the general sense, is not unlikely; we have seen abundant instances of this in various paraphrastical expositions: but that an abridgment upon so extensive a scale, and in so varied a manner, could have been made so successfully as not to interfere with the original grammatical construction, and to leave the whole order of the Epistle thus abbreviated clear, simple, and uninterrupted, seems hardly probable. Moreover, this Epistle, as it now stands, is a short, simple, earnest Letter, such as we may well suppose St. Ignatius to have written under the circumstances in which he was then placed, and further it is freed from many very grave objections against its authenticity, which of themselves alone are sufficient to shake the credit of the Epistle as it stands in either of the Greek Recensions. $\dagger$

Having said thus much, generally, respecting the passages in the Greek which are not recognised by the Syriac version, it may be necessary to make one or two remarks with reference to others found in the Syriac which do not now exist in the Greek. The first of these is at



 тoû eis 'Avtioxeíav ropeúerӨat. (p. 13). Instead of these two sentences, we have in the Greek two whole chapters, abounding in terms and expressions very different from any thing that had preceded, in which, indeed, the first, and the former part of the latter of these sentences are included. In these we find, besides other things, instructions given to St. Polycarp by St. Ignatius as to the manner in which

[^35]he was to choose one "who shall be called a messenger of God ( $\theta$ cóopo$\mu o s)$, that going into Syria he may glorify your incessant love to the praise of God." *

That this holy Bishop, when carried away from his own flock to reccive the crown of martyrdom, should have been solicitous to send another shepherd to watch over and feed the sheep once entrusted to his care, and that, next to his desire to depart and be with the Lord, the wish nearest his heart should have been to provide for their spiritual wants, is most natural, and altogether consistent with his character. We therefore should reasonably expect that he would take all the means in his power to procure such a successor, which, from the fact of his having been put into chains immediately upon his confession before Trajan, he could not do before he left Antioch; and that, being himself a close prisoner, and therefore unable to appoint and duly constitute a suitable person to fill that sacred office, he should seek the help of St. Polycarp, his friend and fellow disciple of St. John, in this necessity. This, therefore, so far from being neglected by him when they met at Smyrna, doubtless occupied much of his attention. He would naturally explain all his own feelings and wishes, and ask the advice and assistance of Polycarp in his endeavours to carry them into effect. Nay, more than this, it seems highly probable that the merits and character of some individual, who might be qualified to fill that important office, should have been duly considered by them; and in this manner his successor might even in some measure have been fixed upon then, and this important matter, so far as it was possible, have been decided upon before these two faithful pastors and affectionate brothers in Christ separated, in the conviction that they should see each other's faces no more till they met in the kingdom of God. What, then, could be more natural and simple than the allusion to this circumstance in the Epistle to St. Polycarp, as it is represented to us in the Syriac? St. Ignatius sends an especial salutation to him who should be deemed worthy to go as his successor to preside over the Church in Antioch, intimating, at the same time, the duty of his obedience to the call, should he from any cause manifest a reluctance to undertake so responsible an office:-"The Christian has no power over himself, but must be ready to be subject to God. I salute him who is deemed worthy to go to Syria in my stead, as I charged thee." Such an allusion as this to

[^36]a subjeet which could hardly have failed to oceupy their attention when they had met at Smyrma only a very short time before, seems in itself much more probable than that Ignatius, under the circumstances in which he was placed, should have deemed it necessary to give express directions to St. Polyearp as to the manner in which he was to proceed in this matter, such as we find in the seventh and eighth chapters of the Greek, which in themselves seem more consonant to the practice of rather later times: and further, these two chapters contain other matter which appears to carry great improbability, if not contradiction, upon the face of it. Respecting this I refer the reader to what I have stated at pp. 311, 312.

Moreover, we are at a loss to imagine any reason why the Syriac translator should omit such positive directions relative to the appointment of a person to go to Syria, if they really had been written ly St. Ignatius, and simply insert this allusion to some previous instractions. But the allusion having been made, we may casily see how an interpolator might make this a handle for introducing spurious matter favonrable to his own views.

Another passage in the Syriac, not now found in the Greck, is
 in the Epistle to the Ephesians, p. 17. The insertion of this is necessary for completing the sense of the passage, as Bishop I'earson observed more than a century and a half hefore the Syriac version came to light *; and the ancient Latin version of the Shorter Recension, which has ridere festinastis, shews that it existed in that recension anterior to the later corruptions of the text in the Medicean manuscript.
 being about to arrive shortly at Rome," in the Epistle to the Romans, p. 53 , which forms a sort of introduction to the remaining part of that Epistle. Of these words we find still traces in $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{i} \tau \hat{\omega} v \pi \rho \circ \in \lambda \theta$ óv-
 it now stands in the Greek. The passages which follow next in the Syriac do not belong to the Epistle to the Romans according to the Greek Recensions, but constitute the fourth and fifth chapters of that to the Trallians. The question, then, to be considered, is, whether these chapters have been displaced by the Syriac translator, or by some editor of the Greek Epistles who arranged them in their present form.

[^37]In viewing the case simply, they certainly seem to occupy a more appropriate position in the Epistle to the Romans than in that to the Trallians. St. Ignatius, as he drew near to Rome to be devoured by the beasts, according to the ediet of Trajan, writes to the Christians there, to tell them that now at length the desire which he had long ago cherished of seeing their faces was fulfilled; that according to all appearances he should soon terminate his earthly course, by martyrdom; that he was most anxious to seal his testimony for Christ with his blood, and to obtain the privilege of many of his Lord's own immediate Disciplesthat of suffering for His sake. It seems to be quite evident from the whole tenor of the Epistle that some intimation had been conveyed to him from the Christians at Rome who had influence, that they were anxious to exert it in reversing the decree of the Emperor, and to save him from suffering.* He begs them, therefore, in an carnest and impassioned manner, not to use any such influence which they might possess, but rather to pray that strength might be given him from within and without, to enable him to receive his crown without dread of the ordeal which he must go through to obtain it; and to enforce his request, he insists upon his desire to undergo the trial, and urges the advantage which he should obtain when it was overcome. Moreover, the Romans seem to have spoken of his great spiritual knowledge, and to have pressed it as an argument why he should desire to have his life spared for the benefit of the Church. In the latter part of this Epistle, therefore, as it stands in the Syriac, Ignatius still continues in the same tenor: he allows, indeed, that he has been favoured with much spiritual knowledge, but this also he seems to put forward as an argument on his side why they should consent to his request not to interfere to save him nor attempt to hinder his sufferings, because he was better acquainted with God's providence, and able to see further into His designs, with respect to himself, than they; at the same time adding, that the danger of being puffed up by his greater knowledge required greater humility and circumspection on his part; and finally, he urges this, as well as the danger which it may also throw in their way, as a reason why he should not write to them on heavenly things. And this he seems to have done by way of caution beforehand, lest, upon his arrival at Rome, they should be anxions to exercise too great a curiosity with respect to divine things, which they might have reason to suppose

[^38]a disciple of the beloved Apostle St. John, then on the point of receiving the crown of martyrdom, might be able to disclose to them. Such seems to be the bearing of this passage of St. I gmatius, than which nothing can be more natural in the place which it occupies in the lipistle to the Romans, as it is found in the Syriac version.

On the other hand, not only do the circumstances under which the journey of St. Ignatius is said to have taken place present so many difficulties as to time and distance as to render if estremely improbable that he should have been able to write any Letter at all to the Trallians from smyrna*; but, also, it is diflicult to understand for what especial purpose these chapters should have been introduced inte an Epistle to the Trallians: and they seem to have little or no reference to what precedes or follows. We know of no reason why he should make any allusion to his knowledge of hearenly things when writing to the Trallians; nor even is there any apparent purpose to be gathered from that Epistle for his doing so as it now stands. More than two centuries before the discovery of the Syriac version shewed that these twe chapters originally belonged to the Epistle to the Romans: the sagacity of Vedelius pointed out the incongruity of the place which they occupy in that to the Trallians. $\dagger$

There are also two other places in these chapters themselves in which two or three words are found that have no equivalent in the Greek: one, एar mol "such things as these," to be added after oi jùp $\lambda$ érov-
 oú $\delta u r \eta \theta^{\prime} v \tau e s, ~ k . \tau . \lambda$., ibid. In both instances the addition of these words makes the sense more clear; and in the former it is necessary to render the passage intelligible. ${ }^{\dagger}$ 'There are also a few other very slight alterations in the text of these Epistles, such as $v \hat{v} v$, \& at the begiming of that to the Romans; and transpositions, such as by Sea and by Land, in the Syriac, for $\delta i \alpha \gamma_{\hat{\eta}} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \hat{\eta} s$ of the Greek in the same Epistle (p. 47), which, although apparently of little moment, are nevertheless of great critical importance in enabling us to form a correct judgment as to the whole question of the Ignatian Epistles. Respecting these the reader is referred to the notes in their several appropriate places.

* Sce Notes, p. 330.
$\ddagger$ Ibid., pp. 304, 305.
$\dagger$ Ibid., pp. 331 and 304.
§ Ibid., pp. 290, 200, 304, 323.


## DIFFERENCE AS TO STYLE AND MATTER.

A further comparison of the Greek text of the Three Epistles, after the removal and addition of such passages as were requisite to make it conformable to the Syriac, with the Shorter Recension as exhibited in the Medicean and Colbert manuscripts, will supply us with several very important data upon which to found some additional arguments that may enable us to draw still more certain conclusions respecting the whole question of the Ignatian Epistles. If the passages that have been so removed present a difference as to style and matter, from those which have been retained, so remarkable as to have been observed upon by critics about two centuries before the Syriac version came to light and confirmed their observations, we may very reasonably conclude that both of them cannot be by the same hand. If, further, the same writers have urged as objections against these passages so omitted, that they contain references and allusions, which they have pointed out, to facts and circumstances belonging to times subsequent to the latest period at which Ignatius could have written, and these do not exist in what has been retained, we can scarcely be wrong in drawing the inference in favour of the superior claims to antiquity and genuineness of the Syriac Recension, which is free from such objections; nor can we fail to be struck by the sagacity of those critics in making such observations, which other copies of the Letters of Ignatius, at that time unknown and lying in obscurity in the African desert, but transcribed about a thousand years before, have now appeared, nearly two centuries afterwards, to confirm. Moreover, those parts which are common to both of the Greek Recensions and to the Syriac will necessarily have a far better claim to be considered as belonging to the original Letters than such as are only peculiar to any one of these three.

To begin, then, with the Epistle to Polycarp, we find, upon comparing the Three Recensions together, but very little difference between them. In the Shorter Recension of the Greek the six first chapters exhibit scarcely any variation, in no place exceeding the alteration or insertion of a single word; and in the Longer Recension only a few very unimportant insertions have been made. The united testimony, therefore, of all the Three Recensions shews that these six chapters must remain most nearly in their original state, and consequently that they exhibit the style of the author in its purest form, not modified and obscured by the admixture of interpolated matter by another hand.

If we turn next to the Epistle to the Romans, we find that the Shorter Recension of the Gireek, compared with the Syriac, is almost free from insertions in the five first chapters, although it has received very considerable additions in the inscription of the Letter as well as towards the end. The difference, also, between the Longer and Shorter Recensions in these five chapters is comparatively slight, amounting to mo more than three short insertions in the whole of the three first chapters, one of two words, another of three, and the third of five. The original style, therefore, of this Epistle also has not been altogether obscured. Near the end of this Letter, as it stands corrected and arranged according to the authority of the Syriac, we have two entire chapters transferred from the Epistle to the Trallians as it is found in the Shorter Recension; and the Greek text of these chapters so transferred corresponds almost accurately with the Syriac. These also will serve to illustrate the style, because they supply a considerable passage in which the teas of the Syriac and that of the Shorter Recension of the Greek corresponded with each other at a period anterior to the additions and changes in these Epistles which constituted the Longer, generally known as the Interpolated edition of the Greek. These additions, howerer, are comparatively slight in this place, consisting chiefly of insertions towards the end of the fifth chapter.

If we proceed next to compare the three Recensions of the Epistle to the liphesians, and assume the Syriac to represent the most ancient text, which the far greater antiquity of the copies in which it is contained might alone justify us in doing, we find the additions and admixture, even in the Shorter Greek, to be so great, that it has been almost entirely changed from its primitive form, and that the original words of the Epistle have been so broken up and mingled with additional matter, that it would have been impossible to recognise and separate them without such aid as that which is supplied by the Syriac copies. The Longer Recension adds still more foreign matter, and further increases the difficulty. We have not, therefore, the same indications, as to the manner and matter of the original Letter of Ignatius, from the combined testimony of the three Recensions in this Epistle as we have in the other two. On the contrary, after the first chapter the insertions have been so many, and the additions so great, as to have amplified it to about four times its original size; and thereby to have completely obscured the primitive form and character of the Epistle, and to have substituted in its place the style of the interpolator by whom these additions were made.

In my observations upon the Epistle to the Magnesians at page 326,
although the fact, so far as my knowledge goes, has never been gainsaid, I have been at some pains to prove the identity of its authorship with that of the Epistle to the Ephesians as it is now exhibited in the Medicean text; and I believe that I have fully and satisfactorily established that point. This being done, I have not deemed it necessary to go through the same process with respect to the Epistles to the Trallians, Philadelphians, and Smyrneans, because they have been universally acknowledged to be the work of the same person as the Epistles to the Magnesians and the Ephesians, as they are all found together in the Medicean manuscript. The same arguments, therefore, as to style and matter, which relate to any one of these five Epistles will be applicable to them all.

From what I have stated above, it is evident that all the three Recensions concur in affording their united testimony in favour of the superior antiquity of the text of the Epistle to Polycarp over the rest of the Letters attributed to Ignatius; and that, if any part of his writings remain at all in their original state, it must be the six first chapters of this, which constitute nearly the entire Epistle. Even before proceeding further, I think I may here renture to express my conviction, that no person with a competent knowledge of the Greek language can read the six first chapters of this, and compare them with the Epistles to the Ephesians, Magnesians, Trallians, \&c., without being struck by a difference as to style and matter between it and them, so remarkable as to lead him to infer that they camnot be the production of the same hand. This observation will equally apply to the Greek text of the Epistles to the Ephesians and Romans as I have restored it in conformity with the Syriac version.

But the evidence of this fact will become much stronger, and be apparent even to those who may not be sufficiently acquainted with the language to judge for themselves, if we consider what has been said on this head by learned and able critics many years, nay, even centuries, before the Syriac version came to light. I have already stated* that the difference as to style and matter between the Epistle to Polycarp and the rest-which indeed, as to some parts of it, had been previously ()bserved by Scultetus $\dagger$, Halloix ${ }_{\dagger}$, and Vedelius $\S$-was considered so

[^39]
marked and decisive as to lead the aente and learned Archbishop Usher to reject it as spurions. Liven the Cardinal Bona* acquiesced in his con-

Omnia hase supposititia sunt. I'rimi chim Igmatius ad Episeopum scrihens cur diceret Eipiseopmohedite? Ame (ut recte Scultetus nit) oblitus est ne ad Episeopmon seribere? Secundi, Omuin have non Polycurpum, sed alia, ad guns orationem
 ret Polycarpo, ut haec referret Smyrnensibus. In matecedentibus verlis id factum erat, nam cim Igmatius mulieres Smyrnenses officii monere vellet, monet Polycarpum, ut ea precepta ipsis exponeret: Sororibus meis diciln, ut Dominum ammen. Hie autem nihil tale fit. Tertio, inter alia Smyrnenses seilicet hie monentur, ut simul et cubitum eant et surgant ; quod sive de quiete mortis et resurrectione spirituali, sive de corporali quiete et resurrectione intelligatur, perinde ridiculum est. Quartò, Ratio quam addit ibi etiam inepta est, inquit: simul cabithm ite et simul surgite, tenquam Dei (Economi et assessores et ministri. Uhi quinti, hoc ineptum est, quod cùm nominet Smyrnenses Dei (Economos et ministros, eos etiam assessores illius nominet. Dei adsessores dici fortasse aliquomodo possunt fideles in altera vita per allusionem ad locum Evangelii, ubi dicuntur Apostoli insessuri duodecim thronis, ut judicent tribus duodecim. Sed in hac Fideles Dei assessores rectè dici posse quis putet? Meliùs Ignatius ó ruj́cos, qui in Epistola ad Ephesios dicit Episcopum, (nedum alios) $\tau \hat{\text { ©̂ }}$ кирị́ $\pi$ ) astare. Sexto, corruptor voluit imitari Paulum Eph. vi. 15. de armis spiritualibus loquentem, sed quomodo? Paulus volens fidelem virtutum et domorum spiritualium omnium armis simul induere, vocat ea dona omnia simul mavoriíav ; òià tov̂тo ảva入á-
 ibi Paulus enumerat. At noster ineptus depravator tantum Patientiam vocat mavo$\pi \lambda$ íav。 Quid? anne Patientia est tota armatura spiritualis? aut anne Patientia est genus virtutum, omnes eas sub se tanquam species continens? Ergóne Fides, Veritas, Justitia, verbum Dei sunt species Patientiæ? Rursus Paulus galeæ appellationem tribuit $\tau \bar{\varrho} \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho^{\prime} \varphi$, ac corruptor eam tribuit fidei, cum tamen Paulus Clypei appellationem filei tribuat. Septimò, Ineptia hominis se produnt in affectatione Barbarismorum seu vocum Latinarum, (quas manifestum est, hic studio infertas esse
 \&c. Itane, ut cum Sculteto loquar, Græcæ linguæ rudis fuit scriptor Græcus, ut nesciret, quid Depositum, quid accipere, quid desertorem sermo Græcus vocet. See Appendix Notarum Criticarum. p. 138.

* Ut autem probet hanc opinionem, nullum illorum temporum testimonium profert, prater Ignatii Epistolam ad Polycarpum ita scribentis: Decet, Beatissime Polycarpe, concilium cogere sacrosanctum, et eligere si quem vehementer dilectum habetis, et impiyrum, ut possit divinus appellari cursor, et hujusmodi creare, ut in Syriam profectus laudibus celebret impigram charitatem vestram ad gloriam Dei. Hac sola auctoritate fretus id adstruit Baronius, cui ne assentiar, ipsamet cogit epistola, que si attente legatur, non de Cursore loquitur, qui Synaxim indiceret, sed de Legato, qui mittendus erat in Syriam ad Antiochenos: unde circa finem ait, Saluto cum, qui designandus est, ut mittatur in Syriam. Adde quod hanc epistolam non genuinum Ignatii fetum esse, sed supposititium viri eruditi dudum observarunt, et ad id probandum multa afferunt non parvi ponderis argumenta. See Rerum Liturgicarum. Lib. i. cap. xxii.
clusions. Vossius*, in his notes upon this Epistle, admitted that these grounds for suspicion were well founded, but at the same time he considered the external testimony in favour of this Epistle, commencing eren with that of St. Polycarp, to be so strong as to prevent its being shaken by them. And Tillemont $\uparrow$ defended it against the condemnation of Archbishop Usher, simply upon the external evidence given in its favour. Daillé observed upon the difference as to style between this and the rest of the Epistles in the following terms:-"Idem est de ea judicium quax ad Polycarpum scripta est. Ipsa inscriptio, non ut aliarum, spinosa, et intricata, sed clara ac nitida, ab ipso statim limine orationem qualis sequitur, promittit, tersam, et cultam et facilem." ${ }_{+}$ Mosheim writes respecting it: "I cannot help looking upon the authenticity of the Epistle to Polycarp as extremely dubious, on account of the difference of the style." \& Schroeckh also expressed a similar opinion.|| The following passage from Whiston relates equally to the Epistle to the Romans and to that to Polycarp:-"I observe, in confirmation of the former assertion, that those Tre Epistles, and those only, which were sent on quite different designs from the rest - have the least alterations or omissions of any, I mean that to the Romans, and that to Polycarp, as is very easy to note upon the comparison. This observation at once proves that the Smaller Epistles are no other than an abridgment, and no other than an orthodox abridgment also. For surely these two Epistles were capable enough of Addition and $I_{n}$ terpolation, had that been the case here ; but because there is no sign that it was so, and because these Epistles alone, by their very nature and occasions, had little that offended the orthodox, our abridger had

[^40]litule canse to make alterations therein. I desire the admirecss of the Smaller Epistles to give a clear necome of the visible diflerence there is in this matter between those Two Epistles and the other Five." *

Although, as I have observed above, the five first chapters of the Epistle to the Romans have not suffered from interpolation in the same mamer as the entire Letter to the Ephesians, mumerons additions have: been made to its Inseripticn, sufficient to expand it to three times the extent of the Syriac; and in the five last chapters of the Greek, even of the Shorter Recension, not more than one sixth part belongs to the original text which the Syriac translation followed. This will shew us the reason why the difference of style in the five first chapters of this Epistle has not been so distinctly noticed and observed upon as in the case of the Epistle to Polycarp. Still, however, Dr. Hammond + has remarked that this Epistle differed in matter from the rest; and Bishop Pearson also has observed that it is couched in terms abounding with pious fervour beyond any of the rest. $\#$ Neander likewise has stated that this "Epistle to the Roman Church possesses more decided marks of originality than the others." Of the others he had previonsly obscrved, "that they do not wear at all the stamp of a distinct individuality of character, and of a man of those times addressing his last words to the Churches. A hierarchical purpose is not to be mistaken." $\S$ Moreover, with respect to the two chapters which, as the Syriac copies shew, have been transferred from the Epistle to the Romans to that to the 'Trallians, with little or no admixture, and which consequently exhibit a considerable passage of the original and unadulterated text, the difference of style between them and the rest of the Epistle to the Trallians was observed and pointed out by Vedelius more than 220 years before the Syriac text was brought to light. ||
Having adduced these testimonies to the fact that a difference in manner and matter between those parts of the Greek text which the Syriae

[^41]rejects and those which it confirms had been observed by various writers long before the Three Genuine Epistles were discovered and published, I will now point out very briefly some of the characteristics of that difference as they appear upon the comparison of the restored text with that of the Medicean Recension.

But it may be necessary first of all to make a very few general observations upon this restored text. If we examine closely the Three Epistles, we find that they are written in a plain, simple, and easy manner, without any affectation of studied phraseology; in short, nervous and detached sentences, with the single exception of the beginning of the Epistle to the Ephesians, where the first two or three sentences are a little involved, and do not run so easily, but seem to indicate that they were written in haste. This indeed appears to be the character of the whole Epistle. They also contain several manifest Aramaisms.* This, which is the case in the style of the writers of the New Testament, we might also reasonably expect in that of one of their successors, who was Bishop of Antioch, where Syriac was the vernacular language. Epithets are but very sparingly used, and those are of a simple form, except in the Inscription of the Epistle to the Romans, where not less than six

 $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota o \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau \varphi$, occurs also in the Inscription of the Epistle to the Ephesians, and another, $\dot{\alpha}^{\xi} \xi$ เó $\theta \in \alpha$, in the first chapter of that to the Romans; and in that to Polycarp we find $\dot{\alpha} \xi เ o \pi i \sigma \tau o t \cdot \dagger$ The initial element of all of these is the word $\alpha \xi$ ros; the origin of which seems to be in the very common employment of the root las in the Syriac; and to this we may probably trace the frequent use of $\alpha \xi \neq 0$ and its derivatives in the writings of the New Testament.

There is not, however, in the whole of the Three Epistles, a single instance of any other compound epithet of which either of its elements is one of the words which constitute the latter part of any of the seven above mentioned commencing with $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha}$ ros. All the Three Letters begin in the same manner, 'I $\gamma$ rátios, ó kai ©eoфópos. This last appellation seems to be nothing more than another name by which Ignatius was generally known. But whatever may be its meaning or purport, it is quite certain that

[^42]this word occurs nowhere ngain in the whole of the 'Three Epinstes, nor any other epithet whatever compounded of either of its clements. The Aramaic dialect, although it admits of some compound words, is generally averse to them *: we should not, therefore, expect the employment of many such epithets in the writings of one whose circumstances and position would lead us to look for a colouring of that dialect in any thing which proceeded from his pen. The formation of privatives by prefixing the negative $\mu$, in the same mamer as the $\%$ privative of the Greeks, is, however, very general in Syriac ; and it is remarkable that we find in the Three Epistles very frequent instances of such words.




 $\$ 150050$ if adopted into the Greek. $\dagger$

If we presume the restored text to represent the genuine words of Ignatius in the Three Epistles, and the additions which are fonnd in the text of the Medicean and Colbert manuscripts to be interpolations introduced by another hand at a later period, unless the interpolator should have been most cautious in the application of every word which he employed, it is by no means improbable that he might have made use of terms and phrases which occur nowhere in the gemuine text, even while he was endeavouring to imitate it by borrowing several of the author's peculiar expressions and mixing them up with his own. On the other hand, if we assume the restored text to be an abridgment, it is most highly improbable that not one of those terms peculiar to the passages omitted should occur in the whole extent of the Three Epistles, especially if they relate in no way to doctrine and opinion, and are merely linguistic. If we turn now to the seventh chapter of the Epistle to Polycarp, where the additions begin, we find that the first sentence not only presents a remarkable difference in length and construction from any which precede it, but that it also contains not less than seven words, none of which occur in the whole of the Three Epistles. In the second sentence we find 9 еолакарьбтóтатє and $9 є о \pi \rho \in \pi \in ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \prime$; which epithets, although they occur again in the other Ignatian Epistles, and the second of them frequently, are

[^43]not once found in the restored text, nor any thing resembling them. It is plain that these compounds, like numerous others in the Ignatian Epistles, have been formed, by taking the first element from 9 coфópos*,
 ther in the Inscription of the Epistle to the Romans. Thus, in the ninth chapter of the Medicean text of the Epistle to the Ephesians
 employment of such epithets as these has been urged by several able critics as a grave objection against the Ignatian Epistles, because they seem to be unsuited to the simplicity of an Apostolic writer; incongruous with the martyr's character, so far as there are means of judging of it; ill adapted to the circumstances in which he was placed; and altogether dissimilar to what we find in the Epistles of Clement or Polycarp, or any other ancient writer till the fourth century, of whom any fragments have come down to us. $\dagger$ Whatever weight such objections may have, they are certainly not applicable to the Three Epistles. Indeed they rather tend to confirm them, because we can trace the origin of all these particular compounds in an attempt to imitate other expressions in the Three Letters against which the same objections could not be urged, but for which a rational and probable account can be rendered from the idiomatic peculiarity of the writer. This endeavour to imitate on the part of an interpolatcr, while it proves incontestably the superior antiquity of that which he is trying to copy, at the same time furnishes us with his testimony to the genuineness of the original document which he had taken upon himself to counterfeit.

With respect to the employment of the verb $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \iota \omega \sigma \alpha \iota$ in this seventh chapter I refer the reader to what I have said at p. 309 ; and I also request him to peruse what I have written at p. 317 respecting the use of the particle oiv, which also occurs in this chapter; and I must leave him to draw his own conclusions from the absence of this latter word from the restored text of the Three Letters of Ignatius, and the frequency of its use throughout the rest of the Ignatian Epistles. It

[^44]appears to me that it would have required a far more camtions interpe－ lator than he who has thus dealt with the Epistles of Ignatims seems to have been，who could have been always sufficiently upon his guard to prevent any chance of detection by avoiding，in his own additions，the use of so small a particle as this，which evidently was very familiar to him． It would be tedious to the general reader to enter further into such verbal comparisons in this place．Every one who wishes to investigate this subject thoroughly must examine for himself；and by so doing he will doubtless arrive at results that will be more satisfactory to his own mind than any which I could state here at greater length．

I have already observed，as Vedelius＊and Usher have done before me，that an interpolator，in order to give the colour and appearance of truth to his own additions，would naturally borrow certain expressions peculiar to the genuine writings which he had taken upon himself to imitate．Thus we find immediately，in the seventh chapter of the Epistle to Polycarp， $2 c o u$ intrí $\chi \omega$ borrowed from $\mathcal{P c o u}$ imizux iur in the genuine text above，p．5．1．3，and from the Epistle to the Romans， p．41．1．6，and p．45．1．4．In the same manner this peculiar expression is twice borrowed in the interpolated parts of the Epistle to the Ephe－ sians，ch．xii．p．29，and of that to the Romans，ch．ix．p． 53 ；and so likewise in those to the Trallians，ch．xii．and xiii，to the Magnesians， ch．xiv．，to the Smyrneans，ch．xi．；and thus，also，evpe日invat，which is borrowed here，is repeated over again and again in the interpolated parts of the genuine and in the spurious Epistles．The phrase ofs ourri－ $\sigma \in \tau \alpha l$ शeóopouos $\kappa \alpha \lambda \in i \sigma \partial \alpha_{t}$ in this seventh chapter is copied from $\mu \nu \bar{\jmath}$
 $\gamma \in \sigma \theta<l$ d́v $\quad \alpha \mu \alpha l$ of the genuine text to the Romans，p．43．1．6；and in the same manner in the Epistle to the Magnesians，ch．iv．，$\mu \grave{\eta}$ رóvov $\kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \nu o ̀ s, \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda \alpha \grave{\alpha} \kappa \grave{\imath} \epsilon i v \alpha \iota$.

It seems unnecessary that I should carry these remarks further；for this endeavour on the part of the interpolator to throw a cloke over his own additions by imitating some of the genuine phraseology of Igna－ tius is very strikingly apparent through the whole of the Ignatian Epistles．We have only，therefore，to adopt the arguments of Arch－ bishop Ushert on this head，which he drew from the Six Epistles that

[^45]he received and turned against the others which he rejected, and apply them in the case of the Three which we receive and the four which we reject, and we shall find them even still more apposite and forcible.

The frequency with which expressions are taken from the restored text of the Recension exhibited in the Syriac, and introduced among those passages which it does not admit, and the other Epistles which it does not acknowledge, while, on the other hand, both the latter abound in terms and constructions which do not occur in the whole of the Three Epistles so restored, seems to me to be quite conclusive as to the fact of their being the production of different hands, and of the greater antiquity of the Three Epistles.

I have observed above that many objections have been raised against the Ignatian Epistles from the inflated and artificial, and apparently affected style in which they are written. I will briefly state some of them in this place. De Saumaise expressed his opinion respecting them in the following terms: "The style, which is too rhetorical, and has no savour of Apostolic simplicity . . . . shews that they cannot be the work of that author whose name they counterfeit."* Blondel writes: "Who could believe that a disciple of the Apostles, in bonds, and aspiring to martyrdom, would have been thus pleased with an affected kind of diction, abounding in turgid and pompous epithets, such as do not once occur in any of the writings of the most ancient Fathers which have come down to us, or of which Eusebius has preserved any fragment in his history of the Church?" $\dagger$ I have already mentioned that Daillé characterizes the Epistle to Polycarp as differing from the rest by its terse and easy style. I quote here some of his observations with respect to the Epistles generally: "Multa nos alia hunc scriptorem vocabula diu post Ignatium vixisse docerent si, quis fuerit singulorum sermo, quis verborum usus, perspectum æque haberemus, ac istum duorum nominum, episcopi et presbyteri illis temporibus sensum.-Illa Latina non urgeo, qua Græcus scriptor parum probabiliter suis epistolis
 plari, desertore, acceptis, deposilis, quae doctissimus Hammondus et divinorum et aliorum scriptorum exemplo tuetur, apud quos non pauce extant ejusdem census voces Latine. Sed alia, eidem si quid judico, non satis purgata, me, fateor, movent; ut illa verbi gratia, tam multa, quibus epistole ad fastidium scatent, nomina varie composita, quedam

[^46][^47] duntaxit volui, nequaguam esse verisimile Ignatium, virum et graven et sanctum, adhuc in martyrii, ad (guod se accingelat, meditatione versantem, eo animo, ea atate, ea dignitate, in hoo denique rermm suarmm statu, in his concquirendis, et construendis lusisse, et hane inamem at puerilem pompan lam intempestive, ut cum Blondello nostre loquar, affectasse. Risse enim haec affectata assiduus cormun usus arguit. Velim mihi vel apud Paulum, vel apud Petrum aliquid horum, quee in epistolarum scriptore extant, simile ostendi. Sic ille ad Ephesios loguitur:
 Vetus Usserii interpres Latinus ita reddidit: Eistis igilur convialores, Deiferi, et templiferi, et Christiferi, Sanctiferi. Ista vero adeo sunt putida, ut non possim mihi persuadere quin Hammondo, viro in paucis erudito, excitura fuerint nauseam ; nisi precepta animo de scriptoris sanctitate opinio sensus ejus, in aliis acerrimos, in hoc uno religione quadam defixos tenuisset." *

Christ. Aug. Heumann writes thus: "Mihi quidem hæe potissima videntur ac certissima $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ vo日cías indicia _ . vi. Ipsa styli facies. Certe quotiescunque has legi epistolas, (legi autem saepius) depreliendi omnia in illis esse frigida, jejuna, inepti tumoris affectatarque grandiJoquentiae plena, et nimis vivide sperantia vanum ac planum Gratculum." $\dagger$

It will be seen at once, upon comparing the Recensions together, that these objections apply only to the passages and the Epistles which the Syriac copies reject. While, therefore, the Syriac version, discovered many years subsequently, tends to shew the sagacity and critical acumen of those who stated them, and to prove the justice and truth of their observations, the text which it exhibits, on the other hand, has also obtained reflectively a very strong argument in its own favour, from the fact of its being found to have been free, at least a thousand years before the Ignatian controversy was mooted, from those objections which have been so skilfully and acutely urged by the critical impugners of these Epistles.

Having made these remarks upon the formal difference between the Syriac and the Shorter Greek Recension of these Epistles, I come now to consider the material difference. I have made some observations respecting the apparent scope and tendency of these Epistles in

[^48]my notes, pp. 308-336. I shall proceed here to shew that the very grave objections which have been raised against the Ignatian Epistles, from the apparent references and allusions in them to circumstances and events subsequent to the date of the death of Ignatius, are applicable to those passages and Epistles only which do not exist in the Recension which the Syriac version represents. I have already adverted to the fact of objections having been made against these Epistles from the strong hierarchical tendency, more consonant with the age of Cyprian* than that of Ignatius, which runs through both the Shorter and Longer Recensions of the Greek; and that Daille, whose arguments on this point include all those of such as had preceded him, felt such confidence in the conclusions which he derived from this head against the Ignatian Epistles as to induce him to consider them decisive of the question. $\dagger$

A still further objection has been urged against the Ignatian Letters, from the apparently manifest reference which they make to the opinions of certain heretics, who were not known till after the martyrdom of Ignatius, such as Saturninus, Theodotus, and Valentinus. No one can read these Epistles without seeing that certain tenets of the Docetr and of the Phantasiastæ are most distinctly and directly opposed in numerous passages of these Epistles, whether it be that they refer to Saturninus or some other earlier hereties who had promulgated the same opinions. It is also equally certain that the dogmas held by Theodotus are condemned in them, whether they be considered as emanating from himself, or as having been propounded by some heretic still more ancient. These facts Bishop Pearson ${ }_{+}^{+}$allows, even while he is combatting the

[^49]objections which have been urged from them against the Ignatian Eipistles. The allusion to the peculiar tenets of Valentinus in the following words of the Eipistle to the Magnesians, cho viii., ös ioवTov aúroú
 direct, that not only have those who impugned the I gnatian Epistles atogether, such as Blondel $\uparrow$, De Saumaise +, Daille §s, Oudin ||, Aubertin IT,

* Dr. Jucolson, in his note upon these words, after stating that Petau's solution of this difficulty, which is cited hy Aubertin in the note below, vizo that oraij does not signify the Sige of Valentinus, but simply 'silence,' was upproved by Cotelerius and Pearson, and that, necording to the opinion of the latter, Igmatius refers in this place to the heresy of the Ebionites, adds, "Hunc locum adversus Valcntinum urgent non Irenaus, non Eusehius, non Athanasius, nom Basilius: quamquam ad ejus heresim refutandam verba illa ös ìवтい aùrov $\lambda$ óros àtotos crant opportunissima." Doubtless they are most admirably suited to refute the heresy of Valentinus. Why, then, should lrenaens, Eusebius, Athanasius, and Basil, have neglected to avail themselves of these words of such weight and authority, bearing the mame of a disciple of the Apostles? Surely the reason that will most readily suggest itself is, either that they were ignorant of their existence, or did not believe them to be genuine. Dr. $J$ acobson has borrowed this idea from Bishop Pearson, and indeed expressed it in great part in that Prelate's own words. See Vindicice, part ii. p. e0. Nothing can be more weak and defective than the learned Bishop's argument in this place. He begs the whole question, and assumes that Irenæus was acrquainted with this Epistle to the MIagnesians, and that the words above mentioned camnot therefore refer to the hesesy of Valentinus, because he has not so applied them.
$\dagger$ See Apologia pro sententia Hieronymi, Prefatio ad Ecelesiarum Rectores, p. 43.
$\ddagger$ See Apparatus ad Libros de Primatu, p. 59.
§ See cap. xii. p. 293.
|| See cap. vii. vol. i. p. 103.
बा I quote here the words of Aubertin at length for two reasons; one, because they contain a very lucid statement of this objection; and the other, because the following olservation of Bishop Pearson may lead any one ignorant of them to form a wrong judgment of what Aubertin had written:-"Non ita disputavit Salmasius, non Albertinus, qui seriptas fuisse has Epistolas ante Irenrei libros ultro fatebantur, neque eas Irenæo incognitas fuisse vel somniabant." See Vindicia, part ii. p. 30. Aubertin, it will be seen, although he did not deny that Ignatius had written some Epistles, pronounced these, respecting which the Bishop is writing, to be supposititious. "Antiquitati tamen ab aliquo in Episcopatus gratiam, cui commendando totee sunt, fucum factum fuisse, satis apertè colligitur ex epistola ad Magnesianos in illo codice Florentino, Latinaque Versione supra memorata. In ea enim habentur haec

 festarit seipsum per Jesum Christum filium ipsius, qui est ipsius Verbum cetermum, non a silentio progrediens. Et paulò ante rursum de Christo, eum esse, á $\phi^{\circ}$ évòs $\pi \alpha-$ трòs трое 0 Óv $\tau \alpha$, ab uno Patre exeuntem. Quibus patet illam scriptam fuisse post exortam Valentini hæresin ibi notatam. Nemo enim antè Valentinum asseruisse legitur Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum processisse ex Patre et Silentio. Pri-

[^50]and others, most clearly pointed it out; but even the rery learned Morin, who goes so far as to receive the Longer Recension as the

mus Author ille fuit hujus delirii. Ceppit autem ${ }^{1}$ IIreresim summ constituere et propalare sub) Antonino Pio, et IIygino Episcopo Romano, et quidem ${ }^{2}$ amo utriusque sceundo qui incidit in amum Domini centesimum trigesimum nonum, triginta et amplius amnis post defunctum Ignatium: Ignatius enim passus est ${ }^{3}$ Trajani undecimo, qui est Domini centesimus octavus. Quomodò igitur potuit author esse harum epistolarum? Excipit tamen Doctissimus Usserius, cui et similia propemodum quoque dixerat supranominatus Vossius, ${ }^{4}$ probandum adhuc remanere, EEnum et Verli portenta illa a Valentino primum fuisse excogitata, non ex antiquiorum IIareticorum lacunis derivata. Posterius enim hoc, inquit, nobis suadent Irenœi illa ${ }^{5}$ : qui est primus, ab ea quae dicitur Gnostica hæresis antiquas in suum Characterem doctrinas transferens ( $\mu \mathrm{c} 0$ apuóras, melius transformans) sic definivit: Dualitatem quamdan innominabilem, cujus quidem aliud vocare inenarrabile, aliud autem Sigen. Post deinde ex hac dualitate secundam dualitatem emissam, cujus aliud quidem Patrem vocat, aliud veritatem: Ex hac autem quaternitate fructificari Logon et Zoen, Anthropon et Ecclesiam. Valentinus enim, cujusdam veteris opinionis seminia nactus (ut in libri adversus Talentinianos capite iv. habet Tertullianus) veteribus illis doctrinis propriam suam methodum aduptavit. De codem in Hæresi. 31. Epiphanius: fabulosa Gentium poesi in animo suo concepta, et ab iis qui cum ipso et ante ipsum a veritate exciderunt sententiam mutuatus, cadem et ipse quæ Hesiodus, mutatis tantum nominibus, ad mundum decipiendum inducere voluit. Per cos autem qui ante ipsum ex fubulosa Hesiodi Theogonia NEones suos effinxerunt, non alios quam Gnosticos Inareticos eum intellexisse, ex precedentibus satis significuverat, \&c. Verum, pace illorum dixerim, hæc eos minime juvant. Nam quamvis Gnostici post Hesiodum, et antè Valentinum, combinationes quasdam, Eonesque confinxerint, id tamen non satisfacit argumento proposito, triplici de causa. Prima est, quia ostendendum foret Gnosticos docuisse $\lambda$ ó $\begin{gathered}\text { ov ex Sige processisse, de qua altissimum }\end{gathered}$ apud veteres silentium. Secunda, quoniam Irenæus in ipso loco citato docet, octonarium illum in ruo sit Siges mentio proprium esse Valentini characterem ex Gnosticorum figmentis, et seminiis, ut lorquitur Tertullianus, ab co sic transformatum, accommodatum et nominatum. Tertia denique, quia expresse notat Epiphanius ex ipsamet Valentini epistola quam refert, eum docuisse Verbum processisse є̀к той $\pi a \tau \rho o ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ิ \varsigma ~ \sigma \tau \gamma \eta s . ~ C u m ~ i g i t u r ~ i d ~ s i t ~ p r o p r i u m ~ V a l e n t i n i ~ d o g m a, ~ p r o p r i u s q u e ~$ Character, manifestè constare videtur Authorem nostrum dicentem de Domino, oùk
 doctrinam: ac proinde, ut dicehamus, post Valentinum scripsisse, nee cum Ignatium esse posse. At vero Dionysius Petavius aliter ab argumento nostro se conatur extricare; Verbum hujusmodi, ${ }^{6}$ inquit, sive sermonem (ita enim Veteres quidam 入órov interpretati sunt) Ignatius asserit esse Jesum Christum Dei filium, non qualis cst creatus et humanus sermo, qui in tempore incipit ac desinit, hoc est, post silentium oritur, et in silentium desinit. Non enim est aternus. Hoc unum, nec aliud quicquam roluit. Audiumus Augustinum ad eum sensum nobis praeuntem: Quanam est, inquit, 7 illa generatio qua in principio erat Verbum, et Verbum erat apud Deum et Deus erut Verbum? Vel quod est hoc Verbum quod dicturus antea non silebat, quo
dicto

[^51]more ancient, urges this fact as undoubtable, and draws from thence the inference in favour of the Longer Recension, because it does not contain this passage which manifestly refers to a heresy subsequent to the age of Ignatins. Whiston $\dagger$ also has taken the same view of this matter.
dieto non siluit qui diceltut, quent isst Verthum sine tempure, per quod fucta sunt tompera, verbum qued lubia mullius aperuit erphtum, chansitse finitum? Verum hae solutio pracedenti non est potior: Quid cnim nos vetat dicere Augustinum in hisce verhis ad Valentiniamorum nugns allusisse? Amon ipsemet alibi de Valentino olservat illum assernisse, "triginta aiôvas, id est, secula extitisse, quorum principium sit profiudum et silentium, quod profindum etiam P'utrem appellant? Valentinus certè doenit, Christum ex Sige sea silentio processisse, tum velut è termino quodura temporis, tum velut ex vero gencrationis principio, id est, tanguam ex matre. Fieri ergo potuit Augustinum loco citato ad aliçum dramatis istius partem respexisse. Sed quiequid sit de Augustino, Authorem de quo litigamns utramgue delirii hujus Valentiniani partem expresse spectasse, videtur apertissimum. Non cnim tantum-

 Quibus in verbis, cum mens ipsius sit Christum ab eterno ex Putre tanguan ex principio vere gencranti processisse, liquidum est illum quopue intelligere, Clıri-tum non ex silentio tanquam ex principio vere generanti, hoc est, velut ex matre prodizsse. Qui autem ei in mentem venire tum potuisset contendere ac decidere, Christum ex solo Patre processisse tanquam ex principio verè generanti, non autem ex sige seu silentio tanquam ex matre, ne aliqui antea fuissent, aut tune essent, utrumque generationis illud principium, infrunitum adeò, Domino assignantes? Nemo autem antè Valentinum binum illud principium ei legitur tribuisse. Proprius ejus Character est, ut suprà observabamus. Unde redit argumentum, Authorem hujus Epistolae Valentino case posteriorem, ac proinde non Ignatium Antiochenum prac-nlem. Non negaverim Ignatium epistolas aliquas scripsisse ; id enim indicat Polycarpus in epistola ad Philippenses, si tamen genuina est et sincera prorsus, quandoquidem in veteribus Ignatii actis mulla est Epistolarum ipsius mentio, ut idem Cisserius agnoscit: Sed, si quas scripsit, illæ perierunt, et plures aliæ sub ipsius nomine circa medium secundi seculi compositæ sunt, ac incautè et absque examine a veteribus receptæ. Id autem solius amore veritatis observamus. Cujuscumque enim sint Epistola qua jam habentur, in controversia de qua agimus, ex nobis suffragantur, ut jam sumus ostensuri. De Eucharistic, sive Cœna Dominica Sacramento: authore E'dmondo Albertino. Daventric. 1655, fol., p. 283.
$\dagger$ In the same Smaller Epistle to the Magnesians, in the very same place, these words are added: oủk ảđò $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o \in \lambda 0 \dot{\omega}$ " non a silentio progrediens"; that Christ is the Eternal Word, not proceeding from $\sigma \imath_{i} \dot{\eta}$, that famous female origin of things so much alluded to by Marcellus, the heretic of the fourth century, but taken at first from the old heretic Valentinus of the second. This allusion, at the highest, to the Valentinian $\sigma_{1}(\gamma)$, is so plain at the first sight, that the greatest patrons of these Smaller Epistles are ashamed directly to deny it, though it be so very strong, and, indeed, almost an undeniable argument against them. One cannot but pity the mistakes and prejudices of the greatest men, when one sees no less a man than Bishop Pearson himself labouring, in four several most learned chapters, to assoil

One conclusion I think we may assuredly draw from this fact, that the person, whoever he might have been, to whom the arrangement of the Longer Recension in its present form is due, felt this to be a solid objection against the genuineness of these Epistles, and therefore cautiously endeavoured to obviate it by removing this passage and substituting another. I have pointed out several similar instances in my notes.*

It would exceed the limits which I have felt it necessary to prescribe to myself were I to enter at greater length upon the question before us in this place. I must leave it to those who have the leisure and the inclination to examine and judge for themselves how far the answers of Bishop Pearson to these specific charges are complete and satisfactory. I only observe, that if the objections be maintained and stand good, they are decisive as to the spuriousness of those passages at least to which they apply.

The Syriac version, however, cuts the knot, and solves the difficulty at once, by presenting to us a collection of Epistles attributed to Ignatius, in which none of these passages occur that have tended to throw such strong doubts and suspicions upon the other bodies of Letters which had previously borne his name. Whatever age is to be attributed to the Syriac version, the antiquity of the manuscripts in which it is found proves that it was in existence in the East at least about eleven hundred
this grand objection, and yet with so little success; for the only valuable answer which he is able to betake himself to at the last is this, that the $\sigma$ гो̀ of Valentinus might be known in the world before the death of Ignatius; whereas it is certain that Hyginus, in whose Pontificate Irenæus assures us Valentinus first came to Rome, began not till A.D. 126, ten years after the lowest date for the death of Ignatius; and it is almost equally certain, from 'lertullian, a contemporary author, and very near the place also, that Valentinus was alive, and at Rome, in the Pontificate of Eleutherus, or between A.D. 170 and 185, and so could not be a noted heretic before A.D.116. Nay, the same Tertullian elsewhere assures us that Valentinus was Marcion's scholar for some little time; which Marcion yet came not to Rome till A.D. 130, and then learned of Cerdon for some time himself, before he set up for a master ; so that Valentinus could not be a famous heretic, at the soonest, till about twenty years after the death of Ignatius; no, not even at Rome, the seat of his fame for heresy; much less at Smyrna and Magnesia in Asia, where alone this Epistle of Ignatius was concerned with him. Nay, it was in probability still somewhat longer ere his fame was spread abroad, since Justin Martyr, about twenty two years after the death of Ignatius, does not vouchsafe to name him among those noted hereties which are enmmerated by him, though he does it a few years afterward. Dissertation upon the Epistles of Ignatius, p. 15.

* See pp. 316, 317, 318, 329, 330, 336, 337, below.
years before the I gnatian controversy was agitated by the learned of the West. Probably its real age may be considerably greater. Its discovery about two hundred years subsequently has afforded a very forcible confirmation to the propriety and justice of the critical observations of the scholars of the seventeenth century. This cammot surely be a mere accident or fortuitons conincidence. It must have its fommdation in the truth of the facts, or in the reason of the case.

If we assume the Recension of which the Syriac version is the re-presentation to be the genuine and anthentic lipistles of Ignatins, it will follow of necessity that the passages not contained in them, and against which these objections have been urged, are the additions of a later hand, and that the verbal and material criticism was just and true which pointed them out as spurious. This is obviously the most reasonable account which can be given for this striking coincidence.

If, on the other hand, we assume the manifestly untenable lyypotiesis, that the Recension exhibited in the Syriac collection of the Three Epistles is only an abridgment of another, comprising the Seven of the shorter Recension, the argument against the passages and Epistles rejected by it will be nearly tantamount, as in the other case. For since this peculiar omission could not have been the effect of accident or inadvertency, it will shew at least that the person who, only a few centuries after the death of Ignatius, undertook the task of abridgment in the East, must have felt the force of these objections, and in rejecting those particular passages and expressions must have been influenced by the same critical spirit and feeling as led the learned of the West, without any knowledge of his previous opinions or labours, to condemn them as spurious more than a thousand years after he had completed his task.

## EATERNAL TESTIMONIES TO THE EPISTLES OF IGNATIUS.

The arguments which have been hitherto adduced respecting the comparative claims of the Three Epistles exhibited in the Syriac version and the Seven of the Shorter Recension have had reference only to their contents; and are therefore of the kind called internal. I come now to consider the external evidence furnished by the testimony afforded to these Epistles by ecclesiastical writers who have either spoken of them or cited them.

In another part of this volume I have given the Testimonies respecting Ignatius, and the quotations from the Ignatian Epistles, as cited by various authors, in Greek and Latin, down to the tenth cen-
tury. These, with the addition of the Syriac extracts which follow, are all that at present exist, so far, at least, as my own knowledge extends. It appears to be needless that I should make any reference to those which are of more recent date than the fifth century*; because it is evident, from the passages cited by Theodoretus $\dagger$, that the Ignatian Epistles at that period had assumed a form sufficiently near to that in which we find them in the Medicean manuscript to justify us in considering both as belonging to the same Recension. It is manifest, however, from the comparison of the quotations made by Theodoretus, that the copy which he followed differed very considerably from the Medicean text; for we find more than twenty-five variations, and some of them very important, in the space of about twenty-five lines of an ordinary printed edition of these Epistles. ${ }_{+}^{+}$

About the close of the fourth century we have a Homily of John Chrysostom in commemoration of St. Ignatius §, his predecessor on the episcopal throne of Antioch. In this frequent allusion is made to the Epistle to the Romans; and a few words of it are also directly quoted. In the Homily, De Uno Legislatore, attributed to the same author, a passage from the Epistle to Polycarp is likewise cited.|| Both of these quotations are from the Greek text as it corresponds with the Syriac version. In the whole of his very extensive writings which have come down to us Chrysostom has not cited one word from any other of the Ignatian Epistles, nor from any of those parts of the two above mentioned, which the Syriac rejects. 9 His testimony, therefore, so far as it goes, applies solely to the Recension exhibited in the Three Syriac Epistles.

Of precisely the same kind is the testimony afforded by Basil. In the extract from his Homily, In Sanctum Christi Generationem**, there is a manifest allusion to a passage of the Epistle to the Ephesians.

[^52]Whether this was suggested to him from his own knowledge of that Epistle, or whether he borrowed it from Urigen, who had quoted the same words before him, the positive evidence supplied therehy relates only to the Syriac Recension in which those words occur. In all the rest of his works which have come down to us Basil has never made any mention of Ignatius, nor cited, nor even alluded to any other of those Epistles which have been attributed to him.
derome mentions Ignatius upon several occasions; but it seems to be extremely probable that he never saw any of those Letters which bear his name. In his catalogue of ecelesiastical writers, which, aceording to the authority of Bishop Pearson, was compiled about A.D. $3993^{*}$, he has copied the account relative to the Bishop and Martyr of Antioch which Eusebius had given about sixty years before. In doing this he has blundered in omitting one sentence; but the rest of the narrative he has taken almost word for word from the history of Eusebius, as Vossius and the learned Prelate above mentioned have observed: "Et reliqua Ensebiana fere omnia, tacito Eusebii nomine transecripsit." 4 That Jerome's knowledge of the Ignatian Epistles was but inaceurate is evident; for in his third book against the Pelagians ${ }_{4}^{4}$ he cites words from the Epistle attributed to St. Barnabas, and says that they belonged to Ignatius. § He likewise refers to one passage from the Epistle to the Ephesians in his Commentary on the Gospel of St. Matthew ||; but this same passage had been cited before by Origen in his sixth Homily on St. Luke It: and this very Homily was translated into Latin by Jerome.** This will furnish a sufficient account of the source whence:

[^53]he probably obtained his knowledge of these words of St. Ignatins. In like manner he appears to have become acquainted with the words of the Epistle of St. Barnabas, above mentioned, because they also have been cited by Origen* at the end of his first book against Celsus; and Jerome was well versed in Origen's works, and translated several of them into Latin. $\dagger$ He also once again mentions the name of Ignatius $\ddagger$; but if any thing can be gathered from that passage as to any acquaintance on his part with the Ignatian Epistles, it will certainly better apply to the Longer Recension of the Greek than the Shorter. §

The account given by Rufinus does not profess to be any thing more than a translation of that of Eusebius ; and therefore adds nothing to the testimony of the latter.

About the middle of the fourth century we find, in a treatise attributed to Athanasius, De Synodis Arimini et Seleucice, a passage cited from the seventeenth chapter of the Epistle to the Ephesians, which is not recognised by the Syriac.\| Whether that great champion of orthodoxy did or did not cite this passage is of very little importance for the argument hefore us. There seem, however, to be many grounds to induce us to draw the negative inference.

In the first place, the treatise in which it is found presents certain difficulties on account of incongruity of time, the only solution of which proposed by Montfaucon, the editor of Athanasius' works, is, that a long passage relating to subsequent events must have been inserted after its original composition. $\boldsymbol{\Pi}$ The learned

[^54]Cave * also finds much reason to guestion the truth of a statement respecting Einsehius, which Athanasius must have made, if the above-menfioned treatise, as it now stands, be certainly the work of that author. But what appears to me to throw the greatest doubt upon the matter is, that Athanasius, in the passage to which we are referring, is represented as adducing the testimony of no less a person than St. Ignatius, the disciple of the Apostle, to the fact of our Lord being called áyimptos; while in several other parts of his works he repudiates this expression, stating at one time that it was borrowed from the Heathen Philosophy, and at another that it was an invention of the Arians to enable them more cumningly to disseminate their pernicions doctrine with respect to the person of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ. $\dagger$ Indeed, the very passage from the Ignatian Epistles which we are discussing bears so directly upon the question that was often urged by the Arians, "̈v tò
 suspicion of its having been introduced into the Epistle to the Eplicsians subserquently to the time when that question began to be urged by the Arians. Nor should it be forgotten, that in the Longer Recension, which is supposed to have an Arian tendency, this passage has been so modified as to give it quite a different bearing.

 stantinopolitanam adfert, Antiochenam memorat, de Constantii obitu agit; quæ ommia pust ammun haud duhie 3.59 contigere ; haec, inquan, Epistola jam pridem a se seriptie A thanasium inseruisse nullus superest ambigendi locus. See In Eipistolum de Synodis monitum, Vol. i. p. 714.

* "All which considered makes me the more wonder at what Athanasius tells us (De Syn. Arim. et Seleuc. s. 17) our Eusebius expressly affirmed in a Letter to Euphration, that Christ is not true God. Pity it is, that the Epistle itself is not now extant, that we might have viewed his genuine sense. Sure I am, the proposition, as it is represented by Athanasius, is plainly contrary to, and inconsistent with, the most mature and deliberate declarations of his mind in all his writings extant at this day." See Life of Eusebius, § xxii.



 Bendict. tom. i. p. 233.







Further, it is to be observed, that in the words as they appear to be (ited l)y Athanasius, we read $\gamma$ (ir $\eta$ tòs and á $\gamma$ '́vptos, made and unmade; while in the Melicean text and in Theodoretus we find $\gamma$ (evvntos and $\dot{\alpha} \gamma$ érentos, beqoutten and unbegolten. I may also remark here, that none of the authors who have cited this passage entirely coincide with the Medicean text; and that no two of them agree with each other.

It is also certain that Athanasius has nowhere else cited any of the Ignatian Letters, although in his controversies he might have found in them much matter to strengthen and support his several arguments, had these epistles been familiar to him.

He was not, however, a man of any extensive learning and research, as Philostorgius has objected against him, and as even Gregory of Nazianzum in his panegyric of him allows.* No one perhaps was better versed in the Holy Scriptures themselves; and on several occasions he cites, but with a reservation $\dagger$, the Pastor of Hermas; I do not, however, find that he has quoted upon any occasion the authority of Clemens Romanus, or of Polycarp, or of Justin Martyr, Irenæus, Origen, \&c. All these considerations taken together render it far from improbable that the passage from the Ignatian Epistles inserted in the treatise $D_{e}$ Synodis is due to another hand than that of the celebrated Athanasius.

We come now to the evidence of Eusebius. He speaks distinctly of seven Epistles, and the testimony thus afforded by him has chiefly influenced the writers on the Ignatian question to select that number from the eleven attributed to Ignatius in the Recension exhibited in the Medicean manuscript, and in the two copies of the old Latin version corresponding with it, which was discovered and first published by Archbishop Usher. It is not at all my desire, nor is it indeed necessary for my present purpose, to state here any of those objections which have been urged against the authority of Eusebius on this matter, from the alleged fact of his having received other documents as genuine, without due and sufficient examination. I will only take his testimony re-

[^55]speeting the Ignatian Epistles as it stands, and examine how far it applies to the subject which we are now considering.

In commencing his accome of the martyrdom of Ignatins, and of the Letters which he is said to have written during his journey to Rome, Ensebius does not venture to make a positive assertion, but preflaces his notice with the guarded expression Aózos ơ" " $\chi$ c. Further, it seems to be quite evident, from the following passages, that he did not esteem the genmineness and authenticity of the Epistles of SL. Ignatius and st. Polycarp to be equally established with that of the first Epistle of St. Clement to the Corinthians, which was universally acknowledged:





 ch. 38.)

It appears, however, to be manifest that Eusebius was desirous of establishing, as far as he could, the authority of these Epistles, probably because they seemed to afford evidence to the Apostolical suceession in several churches, an account of which he professes to be one of the chief objects of his history. Thus, immediately afier the notice which he gives of the Epistles of Ignatius, he adduces, in confirmation of them, the fact that a passage from the Epistle to the Romans had been cited by Irenacus, and that Polycarp, the master of Irenacus and the friend of Ignatius, had spoken of the latter having written to him, in his own Letter to the Philippians. In a later part of his history * he refers again to the circumstance of Irenæus having made mention of Ignatius, and having cited his words.

Whether Eusebius was cognizant of the fact that two passages from the Epistles of Ignatius had been quoted by Origen, one from the Epistle to the Ephesians, which he himself also adduces in his Qucestiones ad Stephunumt, and the other from the Epistle to the Romans; or whether he considered Origen too remote from the age of Ignatius, and too near to his own to be considered one of the Ancients ( (oùs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \alpha i o u s$ ) ${ }_{+}$; I have no means of determining. I can only observe, that although Origen

[^56]has cited the first Epistle of Clement to the Corinthians more than once, this circumstance is not brought forward by the author of the Ecclesiastical History in evidence of the genuineness and authenticity of that Letter.

It is certain, however, that Eusebius does not adduce the testimony of any other ancient writer than that of Polycarp and Irenexus in support of the Ignatian Epistles; and it is also manifest that their evidence, so adduced, applies only to two Epistles, those to the Romans and Polycarp.

Among the records assigned to the age immediately subsequent to the time of the Apostles are two Epistles, attributed to St. Clement of Rome, both of which are addressed to the Corinthians. Respecting the former of these, in addition to the passages which I have cited above,






 History he brings forward the testimony of Irenæus $\uparrow$ to the first Epistle of Clement, in the same manner as he has done in the case of the Epistles of Ignatius.

Of the second Epistle he writes in the following terms:-'I $\sigma$ téov


 plain, if he does not positively reject the second Epistle, that he speaks doubtfully of it, because it had not been used and cited by such ancient writers as Irenaeus, Hegesippus $\S$, and Dionysius of Corinth $\|$, who had mentioned the first Epistle of St. Clement. But the same arguments which apply in the case of the Epistles assigned to St. Clement ought surely to hold equally good with respect to those attributed to St. Ignatius. Of the Seven which Eusebius mentions as bearing the name of the latter of these two Apostolic Bishops none are supported by any authority that he brings forward from ancient
$\ddagger$ See Book iii. c. 38.
|| Ibid., c. 23.

+ See Book v. c. (i.
§ See Book iv. c. 22.
writers but two, the one to the Romans, and the other to Polycarp. The testimony of Origen might also have been alledged in support of the Epistle to the Ephesians, and also as an additional confirmation of that to the Romans. But. Eusebius has not cited, and apparently be could not cite, the testimony of any ancient anthor respecting any other of the Ignatian Letters than these three, which are precisely the lepistles, and they only, which constitute the Syriac collection. If he, therefore, be consistent with himself, the other four Ignatian Epistles must be considered by him in the same light, and be placed in the same category as the second Letter attributed to St. Clement. Indeed it seems to have mueh higher claims to attention than those four Ignatian Epistles. Dionnysius of Corinth, before the end of the second century, in a Letter to the Romans, aldressed to Soter, their Bishop, writes in the following



 repoe, also referring to the very same Epistle in the passage that I have just quoted, which is certainly its most natural and obvious signification, these words of Dionysius eridently imply the existence at his time of another Epistle of Clement addressed to the Corinthians; and therefore furnish, by way of inference, very ancient testimony to the second Epistle attributed to St. Clement. This eviderce Euschius might either have overlooked, or not have thought it prudent to advance, because it was inferential, and not direct. $\dagger$ In the last of the so-called Apostolic Canons two Epistles of Clement are mentioned immediately after the Epistles of St. James and St. Jude; and in the celebrated Codex Alexandrinus, one of the most ancient copies of the Holy Scrip-

[^57]tures in existence, both of the Epistles of St. Clement are appended to the inspired writings of the Apostles.

It is also worthy of obscrvation, that the passages from the Ignatian Epistles cited by Eusebius present several variations from the text of the Medicean and Colbert manuscripts.*

Passing from Eusebius we come to Origen $\dagger$, in the third century. As I have already observed, he makes two quotations from Ignatius; one from his Epistle to the Ephesians, and the other from that to the Romans, both as they are found in the Syriac Recension.

I hare given, among the testimonies of the second century, a passage from a Commentary attributed to Theophilus of Antioch, in which allusion is made to some words in the Epistle to the Ephesians. I have adduced this testimony, because it is so alleged by Bishop Pearson. It seems, however, to be fully established, that this Commentary is not the production of the Bishop of Antioch who was the fourth in succession after Ignatius ${ }_{+}^{+}$, to whom the learned Bishop of Chester would fain have ascribed it. § If, however, it were of any authority on the subject before us, it would serve to afford additional evidence in confirmation of the Syriac Epistles, and of them only.

In this century we have the testimony of Irenæus, who cites the Letter of Ignatius to the Romans; and we have also the evidence of the Epistle attributed to Polycarp, in which mention is made of Ignatius having written to him.

To these I would add, that the Apostolic Constitutions, be their age what it may, evidently seem to have followed in many things the Epistle of Ignatius to Polycarp, and to have expanded and amplified several ideas which are found in it. \|

All the external evidence, therefore, which can be adduced respecting the Epistles of Ignatius, either from citations drawn from them, or reference made to them by any ancient writer for more than two centuries after the death of that holy Martyr, applies directly to the Three Epistles contained in the Syriac Recension, and to those three only.

[^58]But it may be asked, onght not this testimony to Three Epistles of the number mentioned by Euselius to be considered sufficient to establish the anthenticity of all the Seven which he enumerates, since these are all found together in the Medicean manuscript, and in all the other copies in existence, with the exception of the Syriac? To this I reply, that if the arguments which I have already advanced with respect to a difference in style and matter between the restored text of the Three Epistles and the rest be valid, they are decisive at once as to this question, because they prove these Three Epistles, to which alone the testimony of the most ancient writers directly applies, to be both by a different hand, and to be more ancient than the others, independently of any external testimony to this fact afforded by those authors who lived most near to Ignatius' own time.

And further, the negative arguments which several learned critics have long ago urged against the Ignatian Epistles, seem to be sufficient to shew that the Seven of the Shorter Greek Recension were not known either to Irenæus or to Origen, while at the same time it is proved that these writers were acquainted with the Syriac Recension by the prositive argument which is supplied from the fact of their having quoted it.

In his treatise against heresies, which is chiefly directed against Valentinus*, Irenæus combats at the same time the errors of the Docetæ, of the Ebionites, of Menander, of Saturninus, \&cc. And in conducting his argument, he not only alleges the suthority of the Holy Seriptures ; but also frequently cites the testimony of earlier Christian writers $\dagger$, without, however, indicating their names, an instance of which is afforded us in the very passage which he has cited from the Epistle of St. Ignatius to the Romans. Now, the well-known words of the Epistle to the Magnesians quoted abore are most admirably adapted to refute some particular tenets of the heress held by Valentinus, as Dr. Jacobson has remarked. $\ddagger$ Moreover, we have already seen that Bishop

[^59]Pearson has declared that the Ignatian Epistles contain numerous passages directed expressly against the Docetre and the Ebionites; and he allows, also, that they combat the same crrors as were held by Saturninus and Theodotus, although he maintains that these were not the first promulgators of them; but that they originated in some other heretics still more ancient.* Should we not, then, most reasonably expect that Irenæus, who was accustomed to cite the authority of earlier Christian writers, would not have neglected to apply this most apposite and forcible testimony of St. Ignatius, the noble martyr for Christ, the renowned Bishop of the famous city of Antioch, the disciple of the Beloved Apostle, and the affectionate friend of his own master, St. Polycarp? Irenæus' own position and circumstances would almost preclude the chance of his being ignorant of these Epistles, if they really existed; the fact, therefore, of his never having cited or alluded to any of those Epistles or passages which are peculiar to the Medicean Recension seems manifestly to prove that he was not acquainted with them. On the other hand, the quotation which he has made from the Letter to the Romans according to the Syriac Recension, and the circumstance of that Recension consisting of only three simple Epistles, which furnish no direct arguments against the heresies that he was refuting, appear to render his testimony to the collection embracing only those three Epistles unexceptionable.

At page 335 I have stated what appear to me to be very strong grounds to prove that the Epistle to the Smyrneans was either unknown to Origen, or rejected by him as spurious; and consequently to draw the same inference respecting the rest of the Medicean text. It does not seem to be necessary that I should carry this negative argument against the Shorter Greek Recension further, by alleging various considerations tending to shew that most probably it was unknown to Justin Martyr, Clemens Alexandrinus, Tertullian, \&c.: this has been done with very great learning and acuteness by Daillé $\uparrow$, who has adapted and directed his arguments against both the Shorter and the Longer Recension of the Greck. The discovery of the Syriac Epistles confirms the correctness of the principles upon which he reasoned up to a certain point; but it also shews that he extended his premises too far to embrace conclusions to which they could not apply. The same may be said of his great antagonist, Bishop Pearson,
$\dagger$ Sce Lib. ii. c. v.-ix.
who also pressed the positive arguments which he adduced beyond the limits to which they could justly reach. The one exhibits very cogent reasons to shew that the Ignatian Epistles, atcoording to either of the Recensions of the Greek, could not have been known to those writers of the second and third centuries of the Christian era, of whom any remains are come down to us ; and he therefore drew the overstrained conclusion, that they were altogether a forgery and had in them no foundation of truth. The other adduced sufficient testimony to prove that some Epistles of that Holy Martyr were in the hands of a few of those ancient authors; but he also urged his arguments too far, when he maintained that such testimony was sufficient to establish the authenticity of all the Seren subsequently enumerated by Eusebius, and exhilited in the Medicean text.

So far as the arguments of each of these able scholars seem to be strictly valid and legitimate, they exactly apply to the Thiree Epistles of the Syriac Recension. These do not contain certain passages which. as Daillé most skilfully argued, conld not have been known to those early writers who even had cited some words of St. Ignatius ; and they do contain those passages which, as Bishop Pearson ably urged in their defence, had been quoted and attributed to him by authors who lived very near to the period when the recollection of his suffering was most recent.

The notice that I have given in the preceding pages of the manuscripts in which the Three Syriac Epistles of St. Ignatius are fonind will shew that the external testimony supplied by them is entitled, on the ground of antiquity, to far greater attention than that of the manuscripts in which the Shorter Recension of the Greek, and its corresponding Latin rersion, are contained. There is also another consideration which should not be omitted. The copies of the Shorter Recension contain, in addition to the Seven enumerated by Eusebius, five other Epistles, four attributed to St. Ignatius, and one addressed to him, which almost all the adrocates of the Seven Letters are unanimous in condemning as spurious.

Although I entirely dissent from the principles of criticism which have led them to reject those Epistles, while the others were received, I am quite satisfied that they have judged rightly in condemning them as supposititious. There is, however, no evidence whatever to shew that the author or collector of the Ignatian Epistles, whoever he might have been, drew any distinction between them as to authenticity, or esteemed one better or more genuine than another. findeed, if we are
to take the copies of the Longer Recension into account, the additional evidence afforded by the manuscripts in which they are comprised, is certainly stronger in favour of the Epistles which have been rejected, than of those which have been accepted.* If the copies in which the Seven Epistles are found contain others which are spurious, the collector of the Ignatian Letters, who has admitted these spurious Epistles, without any distinction, into his collection, must either have been ignorant or dishonest. If he were incompetent to judge and determine which were genuine and which were supposititious, why might not some of the Seven admitted by him be spurious as well as the rest? and if he were dishonest, why might not his falsifications extend even to some of them also as well as to the other Four? It has been assumed that these Four Letters were not in existence at the time of Eusebius, because he has not spoken of them: but one obvious reason why he should have omitted to mention them is the fact, that they contain no information respecting the Episcopal succession, which, as I have remarked, was one of the chief objects of his history; and surely there is as much tact displayed by the collector and arranger of the Ignatian Epistles in selecting the name of the Antiochians and Hero $\dagger$, to whom there was an antecedent probability, from his intimate connection with them, that Ignatius would be anxious to write, as in choosing the Trallians, Magnesians, and Philadelphians, whose acquaintance with that holy Martyr is but clumsily accounted for in the Letters addressed to them bearing his name, and indeed, as I have shewn elsewhere, is highly improbable. + Although Eusebius has spoken of Letters sent to these parties, he has supplied no means of forming an estimate of their contents sufficient for us to decide whether all the Epistles which he mentions were identical with the Medicean text.§

No such objection as this can apply to the Syriac collection. It contains but Three Epistles, and those Three are precisely the only Letters concerning which any evidence exists in antiquity for more than two centuries after the death of the author to whom they are assigned.

[^60]
## GENUINENESS OF THE THIREE EPISTLES EXIHBITED IN THE SYRIAC VERSION.

If the arguments which I have hitherto advameed with respect to the Ignatian lipistles have appeared as forcible to my readers upon the perusal as they have dome to myself in the inguiry, there can remain little doubt upon their minds as to the spuriousness of those passages and Epistles which are not acknowledged by the Syriac version. If, therefore, so much of that which has been attributed to St. Ignatius be proved to be false, it will certainly not be an unreasonable desire to carry the investigation still further, and to inquire what grounds there may be to receite the Three Epistles which still remain as the gemmine and authentic Letters of the celebrated Bishop and Martyr of Antioch; inasmuch as there have been other objections raised during the Ignatian controversy which apply in the whole or in part especially to these.

We have seen above that the chief objection alleged against the gemuineness of the Epistle to St. Polycarp has been grounded upon the fact of its presenting a remarkable difference in style from the rest of the Ignatian Letters, and the consequent inference that it must be spurious if they were authentic and uncorrupted. This, however, hecomes, on the contrary, a strong argument in its favour, if the rest, from which it differs, be proved to be spurious or adulterated, while all the other external evidence for this Epistle continues unshaken, and the united testimony of the Three Recensions, and of all the existing manuscript copies, shews that if any thing of the Ignatian Epistles remains in its primitive and simple state, it must be that portion of the Letter to Polycarp in which this difference of style is observable.

Another objection has been urged against this Epistle, from the circumstance of its containing admonitions addressed directly to the Smiyrneans, while it bears only the inscription of a private Letter to their Bishop.* This, it has been stated, is altogether improbable ; for Ignatius, at the very same time as he wrote privately to Polycarp, sent also another public Epistle to the Church at Smyrna. This objection likewise falls to the ground, when it is proved that the Epistle to the Smyrneans is supposititious. The Letter, although indeed inscribed with the name of Polycarp, was evidently intended not less for the cdification of his flock than for himself. That it was not an unusual practice to write an Epistle, common both to the Bishop and the Chiurch under
his charge, we learn from the instances supplied to us by Eusebius in the Epistles of Dionysius of Corinth. In one, which he directed to the Gnossians*, he gives advice to their Bishop Pinytus; and another, which he sent to the Romans, was addressed personally to Soter, their Bishop. $\dagger$

As I read the Letter to Polycarp it suggests to my mind at once the impression that it must have been written at his own request, which he probably made when Ignatius touched at Smyrma. The age ${ }_{+}^{+}$and character of the holy Bishop of Antioch, and the circumstance of his being then on his way to Rome to receive the crown of martyrdom, after the good confession of faith which he had testified before the Emperor Trajan, would necessarily create a great veneration for him in the minds of all the Christians at Smyrna; and consequently dispose them to give the deepest and most serious attention to any word of exhortation and advice which he might offer to them. The close state of restraint under which he was held by the soldiers who had the custody of him, would probably have prevented him from being able to give, personally or by word of mouth, any admonition or instruction to the Church at Smyrna; and Polycarp, who at that time could only have been a young man §, anxious that both himself and his flock should have the benefit of his parting advice, and perhaps, also, desirious that his own teaching might be upheld by the authority of so venerable and holy a servant of Christ, might have urged a request to Ignatius, the result of which was the Letter before us. Another reason for supposing it probable that this

[^61]Letter was written at the desire of Polycarp seems 10 smengest itself fiom the fact of Ignatius alleging no apology for offering his admonition and exhortation in this Epistle as he does in the Letter to the Ephe－ sians．＊

Let us now turn to the Epistle itself，and see how it bears out this hypothesis．At the begimning of the Letter，after commending Poly－ carp，and expressing his joy at having had the satisfaction of meeting him，he at once exhorts him to use still greater diligence in his Chris－ tian course，to ask for more wisdom，to be vigilant，to be firm，to be more earnest than he was，with a degree of freedom which the probable difference of their ages seems to render quite consistent．He proceeds also to offer him additional advice with respect to his conduct towards those who were under his charge；he exhorts him to maintain his own position，to resist the propagators of false doctrine；to accommodate himself to different characters and circumstances．He bids him propound to his flock certain instructions as to their separate and relative duties； and then，turning his discourse，and addressing the people direetly，he admonishes them to attend to their Bishop，through whom their in－ structions must come，that God also may look upon them；and after a few further words of Christian advice，which he closes with an ex－ hortation to them to be long－suffering towards one another in meek－ ness，and with his salutation，óvaípŋv $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ồà $\pi \alpha v \tau o ́ s$, he reverts to Polycarp，and finishes his Letter with an allusion to certain instructions which he had given to him upon some previons occasion，doubtless when they met at Smyrna．

Others may view this matter in a different light；but to me all this appears to fall in so easily，and to accord so well with all the circum－ stances taken together，so far as we have the means of knowing or judging of them，that I camnot look upon it otherwise than as a strong internal confirmation of the genuineness of this Epistle，and of the general tradition respecting the fact of Ignatius＇journey towards

## Rome．

Another objection urged against this Epistle has been drawn from the Latin words which are found in it．$\dagger$ To this Dr．Hammond + has replied，by shewing that such terms are not unfrequent in the writers

[^62]of the New Testament; and that they would necessarily become current in those countries which were under the Roman Government, as Syria and Palestine were at that period. In the justness of this reply Daillé* acquiesces. But so far as I am able to judge, the very employment of these Latin words, desertor, accepta, deposita $\dagger$, affords a strong incidental evidence to the truth of Ignatius' journey to Rome, and to the genuineness of this Letter in which they are found. It will be seen that all these are military terms; and what could be more probable or natural, under such circumstances, than that they should have readily occurred to one who had now travelled for several days in the company, and under the strict guard, of the ten Roman soldiers whom he speaks of in his Epistle to the Romans. Our thoughts and expressions are frequently suggested to us by the objects with which we are surrounded, or by the scenes through which we have lately passed; and thus, also, in the former part of the Letter, we find Ignatius speaking of a ship, the pilot, the tempest, and the haven, all of which ideas would easily and naturally present themselves to one who had just made a voyage by sea from Seleucia. In the Epistle to the Ephesians he expressly mentions his bonds, and speaks of the wild beasts which he expected to encounter at Rome. In that to the Romans he refers more than once to the beasts to whose merciless rage he had been condemned, and compares the harsh conduct of the ten soldiers, under whose custody he was in bonds, to the savage attack of the fierce brutes which awaited him: he also alludes to his having travelled by sea and by land. All these things afford indirect testimony to the truth of the circumstances under which it is stated that he made his journey to Rome. They are the internal evidence supplied by these Three Epistles themselves to the narrative which we receive from other and external sources; and their mutual coincidence and correspondence tends reflectively to confirm both the general truth of the narrative and the authenticity of the Epistles themselves.

The removal of those passages from the Epistle to the Ephesians which do not exist in the Syriac, has at the same time removed numerous objections which have been raised against it. The one urged by the Magdeburg Centuriators generally against the Ignatian Epistles, from the want of any apparent occasion which could have led Ignatius to write them, no longer applies to this addressed to the Ephesians.

It is phain that the Christians of that city had semt a message of kindness to the condemned Bishop of Antioch when he arrived at their port, through their own Bishop, Onesimus; and that this, while it called fior an acknowledgment of the same on his part, afforded him an opportunity und occasion of writing to them.*

The same Centuriators, Blondel, Scaligert, and, more recently, Baur *, have seen cause to doubt respecting the narrative of the journey of Ignatius to Rome, and conseguently to suspect the whole collection of Letters which he is said to have written during that journey, chiefly from the ronte which he is stated to have taken; because they did not conceive it probable that he should have been sent by such a circuitous way, but rather that he would have been despatehed by a shorter and more direct course, as in the case of St. Paul. It is evident, however, that such an objection as this has little or no weight, if it be certain that it was usual to make the journey by both routes, and that the one which Ignatius is stated to have taken was not much less frequented than the other. § Whatever might have been the reason for his travelling by this way - whether it might have been inconvenient to send him at that time directly by sea, or whether, as Chrysostom|| suggests, this route was fixed upon in order that the Martyr's constaney might be the more effectually tested by the length of the journey, and lis firmness might be shaken by the protracted expectation of the ordeal which awaited him, it is quite needless and unimportant to speculate upon. All that I think it requisite to observe here is, that if it were ordered that he should travel by the route which he is stated to have taken, he would necessarily have touched at Ephesus ${ }^{\text {If }}$ or Smyrna, or at both of these cities, as it is apparent was the case here. This circumstance, while it affords an answer to the general objection of the Centuriators, presents us at the same time with a most satisfactory reason why an occasion should have occurred for him to write an Epistle to the Bishop of one of these two cities, and to the Church of the other. This is certainly not the case with respect to the Epistles addressed to the Magnesians, Trallians, and Philadelphians, whose

[^63]locality was far removed from the way by which Ignatius must have passed.* And, as I have observed above, the Epistle to I'olycarp being intended not less for the Smyrneans than for their Bishop, a separate Letter addressed to them would have been unnecessary; and consequently in the circumstances of restraint under which Ignatius represents himself to have been held, it is highly improbable that he should have written one.

The chicf objection which has been urged against the Epistle to the Ronians has been grounded upon the great earnestness and warmth with which Ignatius is there represented as desiring and striving after martyrdom. This has been supposed to be inconsistent with the character of one of the immediate disciples of the Apostles, $\dagger$ It will be apparent, however, at once, that this objection has no other force than in the standard of propriety as to the character and conduct of such a man, which the author of this objection might choose to set up in his own mind. To this, however, I trust that I have given a sufficient reply at p. 321, to which I must refer the reader. I may also remark, that this, which has been accounted as a defect by some, has, in the estimation of others, given a vigour and personality + to this Epistle which the rest, according to the Medicean text, did not appear to possess.

The two chapters which have been transferred from the Epistle to the Trallians of the Shorter Greek Recension, and restored to their true position in that to the Romans, in conformity with the Syriac, have also been objected against as being inconsistent with the modesty which we should expect to meet with in a Bishop of the Apostolic times. § I may offer the same reply here as in the alleged case of too great a desire for martyrdom displayed in the same Letter. It will be seen, however, that these two chapters have a very different aspect in their present position from that which they bore in the place which they occupied in the Epistle to the Trallians.

I believe that I have now adverted to the chief objections which have been raised against the Three Epistles as they stand in the Syriac. Several of them, it will have been observed, in the present altered state of these Epistles become a confirmation of their genuineness instead of

[^64]impugning it; others have no weight but in the imagination of those who have alleged them ; and none of them, it is probable, would ever have been raised, had there not been really found to exist in the seven Letters of the Shorter Greek Recension so many solid and palpable grounds for doubting their genuineness, that those who undertook to disprove the authenticity of the Ignatian Epistles were led on by the heat of controversy to push their attacks to the utmost, and to see objections in expressions and circumstances which otherwise would never have suggested themselves.

Upon the whole, therefore, the Three Epistles, as they are now restored by the aid of the ancient Syriac Version, appear to have as strong and substantial claims to be considered and received as genuine and authentic as any writings whatever of Christian antiquity. The grounds of their credibility are not at all affected by any of the forcible negative arguments which have been urged against the Ignatian Epistles generally; and they remain uninjured by any of the attacks which have been directed against the two Recensions of the Greek. This of itself affords a very strong presumption in their favour. The chain of external evidence likewise dates from the very period at which they were written. They are mentioned by Polycarp himself: they have been also cited by Trenzus, his disciple, in the second century, and quoted by Origen, the most learned, and one of the most inquisitive of Ecclesiastical writers of the third, if not indeed of any age of the Fathers of the Church. They are found in the vernacular tongue of the holy Martyr who wrote them, bearing the impress of having been translated into that language during the carliest ages of Christianity, immediately touching upon the time of the death of Ignatius*;

[^65]and they are contained in three manuscripts of very great antiquity, far exceeding the age of those upon the authority of which any other Patristic writings have been made public, with the single exception of the Epistles of Clement from the famous Codex Alexandrinus.

There is also another consideration which I ought not perhaps to omit in this place, because it affords incidental evidence of no inconsiderable moment to the genuineness of these Epistles; which is, that the discovery of this Syriac version fulfils in a manner various predictions which the acuteness of several critics had amounced respecting the genuine Epistles, should they ever be brought to light. Archbishop Usher*, as I have stated above, looked forward to the recovery of the Syriac version as a means in all probability calculated to throw much light upon the very difficult and intricate question of the Ignatian Epistles. Tentzel $\uparrow$ expressed his conviction, that unless a fresh and genuine copy should be discovered-intimating at the same time his expectation that it must come from Asia-all hope of restoring the Epistles of Ignatius to their original and genuine state must be abandoned. Griesbach $\ddagger$
any thing beyond this was sought after. This latter version was therefore probably made as late as the sixth century ; the former, not later perhaps than the close of the second, or begimning of the third, and before any extensive interpolations had found their way into the Greek copies." See Dr. Lee's Letter to the Editor of the British Magazine, Vol. xxxi. p. 280.

* See p. xxiii.
$\dagger$ "Optimus dijudicandi modus consistit in diligenti Manuscriptorum Codicum antiquorum bonærque note collatione, quorum ope interpolata et corrupta facile agnosci et ab auctoris verbis distingui possunt. At in Ignatianis hoc artificium locum non habet. Per universam quippe Europam, quantum constat, non reperitur codex melior Florentino sive Mediceo. Cujus defectus cum supra monstrati sint, tum nisi ex Asia, aut aliunde novus ac genuinus emergat, de restituendis in integrum lgnatii Epistolis plane desperandum erit." See Exercitationes Selecter. 4to. Lips. 1692, p. 61.
$\ddagger$ "Forsitan etiam duplex illa Ignatianarum Epistolarum recensio invenustis hujusmodi studiis suam debet originem. Quid? si utraque, 'fua nobis superest, recensio dicatur paraphrasis esse Epistolarum Ignatii genuinarum, plane deperditarum? Non desunt enim in utraque interpolationum ac immutationum vestigia. A diversis Christianorum sectis, quarum altera tamen multo audacior fuit altera, concinnatæ videntur editiones. Opuscula Academica. 8vo. Jenæ, 1824. Vol. i. p. 26. It is a remarkable fact, that a great number of spurious or interpolated works of the carly ages of Christianity are found in two Recensions, a Shorter and a Longer, as in the instance of the Ignatian Epistles. Thus we find the two Recensions of the Clementines, the two Recensions of the Acts of St. Andrew, mentioned by Griesbach (loco cito). In the same manner we have the Acts of St. Thomas (see Thilo's Notitia uberior nove Colicis Apocryphi Fabriciani editionis, p. lxxi.), The Journeying of St. John, The Letter of l'ilate to 'Tiberius, \&̌c. See Birch's Auctarium Codicis Apocryphi. Bvo. Havnia, p. 1804.
pointed out the probability that both the Greck Recensions might be a paraphase or expansion of the gennine Letters of Ignatios which once existed in a shorter form, made by different sects of Christians for their own peculiar purposes. Semler * observed that the Epistles of Ignatins were certainly known to Irenaus; but that they could not at that period have contained any of those sentences directed against the Valentinian heresy, and that these must have been added subsequently. Ziegler $\dagger$ expressed his belief that when all the spurious and interpolated parts should be removed from the Ignatian Epistles, the original matter remaining would be hut smatl. And Baumgarten-Crusins \& propombed an opinion, that it was not improbable that another and different lecension from the two hitherto known might yet come to light.

How, then, are all these anticipations fulfilled by the discovery of the Ancient Syriac Version, of which this present volume gives the result? Another Recension of the Ignatian Epistles, hitherto unknown, is now brought to light-less both in number and in quantity than those previously known-exhibiting the basis or foundation of an original work, which had been amplified and augmented into the two collections of the Greek Recensions-known to Irenreus, but not containing any reference whatever to the heresy of Valentinus - found, indeed, in a monastery of the African desert, but carried from $A$ sia, and deposited there nearly a thousand years ago; and this in a Syriac version, which has indeed thrown a new and full light upon the whole of the difficult subject of the Ignatian Epistles.

To regard all these coincidences as a mere matter of chance is utterly opposed to all the most certain rules and calculations of probabilities. They can, therefore, only have their origin in the propriety and accuracy of the criticism which foretold them, and in the truth and certainty of the facts by which the prediction is fulfilled.

[^66]
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## AD POLYCARPUM

Episcopum Smyrnensium, De illuminatis.
Ignatius Episcopus Antiochire, qui et martyr Jesu Christi, Polycarpo Episcopo Smyrnensium.
I. Suscipiens in Deo sententiam tuam tanquam supra immobilem petram firmatam ; quoniam desidero promereri faciem tuam immaculatam, quam acquisivi in Domino. Rogo te in gratia Dei, qua indutus es, adjicere ad cursum tuum, et rogare omnes, ut salventur in Christo. Defende locum tuum in omni diligentia spirituali. Unitatis curam habeto, qua nihil melius est. Omnes bajula, quo modo et te Dominus. Omnes suffer in dilectione.

## SHORTER。

## AD POLYCARPUM.

Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, Polycarpo Episcopo Ecclesiæ Smyrnæorum, magis autem visitato a Deo Patre et Jesu Christo, plurimum gaudere.
I. Acceptans tuam in Deo sententiam, firmatam ut supra petran immobilem; superglorifico, dignificatus tua facie immaculata, qua fruar in Deo. Deprecor te in Dei gratia, qua indutus es, apponere cursui tuo, et omnes deprecari ut salventur. Justifica locum tuum, in omni cura, carnali et spirituali. Unionem cura, qua nihil melius. Omnes supporta; ut et te Dominus. Omnes sustine in charitate; quemadmodum facis.











## SHORTER.
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LONCER.
Orationibus indesinenter vaca: Orationibus vaca indesinenti-1 Thes, v. 17 . ampliorem prudentiam, quam habes, postula. Vigila, inobdormibilem spiritum possidens: secundum adjutorium Dei loquere. Omnium infirmitates bajula, ut perfectus athleta: quomodo et Domi-
Fsai. liii.4. nus omnium. Ipse enim, inquit, infirmitates Matt.viii.17. nostras portavit, et languores nostros abstulit. Ubi enim magnus fuerit labor; ibi etiam majus lucrum est.
II. Si bonos discipulos amaveris, non est tibi gratia: magis autem pestiferos subjuga in mansuetudine. Non omne vulnus uno emplastro curatur. Acredines enbroche compesce. AsMatt. x.16. tutus esto ut serpens, et simplex ut columba. Propterea enim, ex anima et corpore, carnaliter et spiritualiter extas; ut omnia quæ tibi ostensa fuerint in facie corrigas: quæ autem invisibilia fuerint, pete ut manifestentur tibi ;
bus: pete intellectum ampliorem eo quem habes. Vigila, non dormientem spiritum possidens: singulis secundum consuetudinem Dei loquere. Omnium Gal. vi. 2. ægritudines porta, ut perfectus athleta: ubi major labor, multum lucrum.
II. Bonos discipulos si diligas, gratia tibi non est : magis deteriores in mansuetudine subjice. Non omne vulnus eodem emplastro curatur. Exacerbationes impluviis quieta. Prudens fias, ut serpens, in Matt. x. 16. omnibus; et simplex, ut columba. Propter hoc carnalis es et spiritualis; ut manifesta in tuam faciem blandiaris: invisibilia autem petas ut tibi manifestentur ;
$\mu \eta \partial \varepsilon v i s$










## SHORTER.








 жаі $\sigma \stackrel{\tau}{\pi \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha 6 . ~ К а \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \alpha \nu-~}$

 $\pi \eta \sigma a \xi$.

## 

 $\lambda .0 \tilde{\nu} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma, \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon$ ж $\alpha \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma^{\prime}-$





 Плદ́on $\sigma \pi$ oudaĩos givou oũ eर̃.

LONGER.










 $\pi \eta \sigma \omega$.

 $\sigma \varepsilon$ थ $\alpha \tau \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \sigma^{\prime} \varepsilon \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu^{\circ} \sigma \pi \tilde{\eta} \theta_{6}$ dे $^{2} \varepsilon_{-}$



 "va zà ávò̀s infuas àvacévy eis








 $S$ 肬


## 1, ONCERR

ut nihil tibi restet, sed totius gratire abundans sis. Tempus deposcit te, tanquam gubernatorem, prosperum ventum petere; et sicut navem periclitantem portum aptum ad salutem requirere. Sic et te, Dei athleta, ut nubem incorruptionis et vitam æternam percipias: pro qua et tu confidis. In omnibus pro anima tua ego efficiar, et vincula mea quæ dilexisti.
III. Qui se arbitrantur fide dignos esse et aliter docent, non te circumveniant: sed sta firmus, sicut incus quæ percutitur. Magni enim athletæ est, vapulare et vincere. Maxime autem pro Deo oportet nos omnia sustinere: ut et ipse sustineat nos in regno. Plurimum adde ad festinationem; continuanter curre:

SHORTER
ut nullo deficias, et omni 1 Cor. i. 7 . charismate abundes. Tempus expetit te, ut gubernatores ventos, et ut qui in procella est portum a Deo po tiendum. Vigila, ut Dei athleta: thema incorruptio, vita æterna; de qua et tu confisus es. Secundum omnia tui refrigerium ego, et vincula mea quæ dilexisti.
III. Qui videntur fide digni esse et altera docent, non te stupefaciant: sta firmus, ut incus percussa. Magni est athletæ discerpi et vincere. Maxime autem propter Deum omnia sustinere nos oportet: ut et ipse nos sustineat. Plus studiosus fias, quam es.
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SHORTER．
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tempora cognosce, ut in ipssis semper vincas. Hic enim est stadium, illic coroma. Expecta Cliristum filinu Dei, intemporalem in tempore, invisibilem natura, visibilem in carne, impalpabilem et intactum, ut incorporemm; corporeum vero propter nos et contrectabilem: impassibilem, ut Deum; passibilem vero propter nos, ut hominem. Secundum omnem modum propter nos sustinentem.

> This version ends here.

SHORTER.
'Tempora considera: eum qui supra tenipus expecta, intemporalem, invisibilem, propter nos visibilem ; impalpabilem, impassibilem, propter nos passibilem, sccundum omnem modum propter nos sustinentem.
IV. Viduæ non negligantur : post Dominum tu ipsarum curator esto. Nihil sine sententia tua fiat; neque tu sine Deo quid operare: quod autem operaris, sit bene stabile. Sæpe congregationes fiant: ex nomine omnes quære. Servos et ancillas ne despicias: sed neque ipsi inflentur ; sed in gloriam Dei plus serviant, ut 1 Cor.vii. 22. meliori libertate a Deo potiantur. Non desiderent a communi liberi fieri ; ne servi inveniantur concupiscentiæ.
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$\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ ．Tàs razoтє $\chi^{\text {vías }} \varphi \varepsilon \tilde{\sim} \gamma \varepsilon$,
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LONGER．
$\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ ．Tàs ж $\alpha \approx 0 \tau \varepsilon \chi$ vías $\varphi \varepsilon \tilde{v} \gamma \varepsilon$,
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V．Malas artes fuge：ma－ gis autem de his homeliam fac．Sorores meas alloquere， diligere Dominum，et viris sufficere carne et spiritu．Si－ militer et fratribus meis an－ nuncia，in nomine Jesu Christi， diligere uxores，ut Dominus Ecclesiam．Si quis potest in castitate manere，in honorem carnis Domini，in ingloriatione Domini maneat．Si glorietur， perditur：et si videri velit plus Episcopo，corruptus est． Decet autem ducentes et duc－ tas，cum sententia Episcopi unionem facere；ut sit secun－ dum Dominum，et non secun－ dum concupiscentiam．Om－ nia in honorem Dei fiant．
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VI．Episcopo attendite，ut et Deus vobis．Unanimis ego cum subjectis Episcopo，Pres－ byteris，Diaconis ：et cum ip－ sis mihi pars fiat capere in Deo．Collaborate adinvicem， concertate，concurrite，com－ patimini，condormite，consur－ gite，ut Dei dispensatores et assessores et ministri．Pla－ cete cui militatis；a quo et stipendia fertis．Nullus ves－ trum otiosus inveniatur．Bap－ tisma vestrum maneat，ut scu－ tum；fides，ut galea；charitas， ut lancea；sustinentia，ut om－ nis armatura．Deposita ves－ tra，opera；ut accepta vestra digna feratis．Longanimiter ferte igitur vos ad invicem in mansuetudine，ut Deus vos． Fruar vobis semper．
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 Поли́картє өєоцакарьбто́татє, бvщßой-入ıov ä $\gamma \alpha \gamma \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu \quad \theta є о \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu$, каì $\chi є \iota-$ ротоvทิбаí $\tau เ \nu \alpha$, òv ả $\gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau o ̀ \nu \lambda i ́ \alpha \nu$ є́ $\chi \in \tau \epsilon$




 है $\chi \varepsilon 6, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \Theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}$ б $\quad 0 \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon_{6}$.




 $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \alpha$.















 кג̀ то仑 тє́ $\mu \pi о \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \alpha v i \tau o ̀ v ~ П о \lambda v к и ́ \rho \pi т о v . ~$






## LONGER.


 $\mu o t, \delta i \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta}, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon v \chi \eta \eta_{S}, \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega \bar{\omega} \epsilon \dot{v}-$


 маӨŋтйv. Пре́тєє, Поли́картєє Өєоца-



 тov $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \epsilon \omega \sigma \alpha \iota$ тореvө̂̄vaı eis $\Sigma v p i ́ a v$, iva торєvӨeis єiş $\Sigma v p i ́ a v ~ \delta o \xi a ́ \sigma \eta ~ v i \mu \hat{\omega} v$ тทेv ๙ैoкvov ả $\gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta \nu$, єis ठókav Єєov̂.






 үрании́тьข тарєки́лєєа.

 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i v \mu \epsilon \dot{a} \pi o ̀$ T$\rho \omega a ́ d o s ~ \epsilon i \varsigma ~ N \epsilon \alpha ́ \pi т o \lambda \iota v, \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ тò $\theta$ é $\eta \eta \mu a ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota, ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \psi \epsilon \iota \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha i s ~$




















## ムHOルTEに．

VII．Quia Bectesia quas in An－ tiochia Syrise pacem habet，ut osten－ sum est milii，per orationem vestram， et ego letior factus sum in sollicitn－ dine Dei；siquidem，per pati，Den potiar，in inveniri me in oratione vestri diseipulum．Decet，Polycarpe Deo beatissime，concilium congre－ gare Deo decentissimum，et ordinare aliguem，quem dilectum valde habe－ tis et impigrum，qui poterit Dei cur－ sor vocari：et hunc dignificari，ut vadens in Syriam，glorificet vestram impigram charitatem，in gloriam Dei． Christianus sui ipsius potesta－ tem non habet，sed Deo vacat． Hoc opus Dei est et vestri，quoniam ipsi perfecti estis．Credo enim gratiæ， quoniam parati estis ad beneficentiam Deo decentem．Sciens vestrum com－ pendium veritatis，per paucas vos literas consolatus sum．

VIII．Quia rgitur omnibus Eccle－ siis non potui scribere，propter re－ pente navigare me a Troade in Nea－ polim，ut voluntas præcipit：scribes aliis．Ecclesiis，ut Dei sententiam possidens，idem et ipsos facere；hi quidem potentes pedites mittere，hi autem Epistolas per a te missos，ut glorificeris æterno opere，ut dignus existens．Saluto omnes ex nomine；et eam quæ Epitropi，cum domo tota ipsius，et filiorum．Saluto Attalum dilectum meum．Saluto futurum dignificari ad eundum in Sy－ riam：erit gratia cum ipso semper， et mittente ipsum Polycarpo．Va－ lere vos semper in Deo nostro Jesu Christo oro；in quo permaneatis in unitate Dei et visitatione．Saluto Alken，desideratum mihi nomen． Valete in Domino．

## ПРO乏 EФE












## SHORTER．

## IIPOこ EФEこIONこ

 $\lambda о \gamma \eta \mu$ в́vn हेv $\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \theta_{\varepsilon 6} \Theta \varepsilon о \tilde{u}$

 таvròs єis dógav тaéáноvov，
 $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \mu \varepsilon \nu \eta \nu,{ }_{\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \nu \pi_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \theta_{\varepsilon 6} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta เ \nu \tilde{\omega}$,



 $\tau \hat{\eta}$＇А＇ías，$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha$ है।＇I $\eta \sigma o \tilde{u}$
 хаіреш．







LONGER．
IPOS EФEミIONE．
 $\lambda о \gamma \eta \mu \varepsilon \in \cup \eta$ ह̀v $\mu \varepsilon \gamma^{\prime} \theta_{\varepsilon 6} \Theta \varepsilon о \tilde{u}$

 dià ravrós zis dózav $\pi \alpha \rho_{\rho} \alpha^{-}$

















## 






ori : च.ニn w



## LONGER

AD EPHESIOS.
De unitate, scripta ex Sinyrna.
Ignatius, qui et 'Theophorus, benedictæ, magnitudine Dei Patris repletæ, et prædestinatæ ante secula, ut sit semper in singulari gloria, inconvertibilis, et adunata, et electa, in passione vera, in voluntate Dei Patris et Domini nostri Jesu Christi Salvatoris: Ecclesir beatitudine dignæ, quæ est Ephesiæ Asiæ, plurimam in Jesu Christo et immaculato gaudio salutem.
I. Suscipiens vestrum in Deo multum desiderabile nomen, quod possedistis natura justa, secundum fidem et dilectionem in Christo Jesu Salvatore nostro; cum sitis imitatores humanitatis Dei, ut vivificati in sanguine Clristi,

SHORTES.
ADEPHESIOS.
Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, benedictæ in magnitudine Dei Patris et plenitudine, prædestinatæ ante sæcula esse semper in gloriam permanentem, invertibilem, unitam, et electam, in passione vera, in voluntate Patris et Jesu Christi Dei nostri, Ecclesiæ digne beatæ, existenti in Epheso Asiæ, plurimum in Jesu Christo, et in immaculata gratia gaudere.
I. Acceptans in Deo multum dilectum tuum nomen, quod possedistis natura justa, secundum fidem et charitatem in Christo Eph. i. 15. Jesu Salvatore nostro, glorificato Jesum Christum Deum: quia imita- Eph.v. 1. tores existentes Dei, et reaccendentes in sanguine Christi










## SHORTER. LONGER.





















B'. Пepì dè тov̂ avvdoú入ov $\mu$ ov Boúp’-



rò $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \varepsilon v i x i o v ~ " \varepsilon ́ g \gamma o v ~ \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \omega s ~$






 $\operatorname{ros} \Theta \varepsilon \tilde{\omega} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \phi о \rho \alpha ̀ \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\theta} \theta v \sigma i ́ a \nu . \mathrm{E} \pi \varepsilon \iota$






 ros үàg ò Oès, ó خagroáuevos








 $\mid 1$ _a






LONGER.
congenuinum opus perfecte consummate. Audientes enim me vinctum de Syria pro Christo, spe communi, confidentem orationibus vestris, ut merear Romæ cum bestiis dimicare: ut per martyrium possim discipulus Eph.v.2. ejus esse, qui pro nobis seipsum obtulit Deo hostiam, et oblationem in odorem bone suavitatis. Quoniam ergo suscepi multitudinem vestram in nomine Dei in Onesimo, dilecto preceptore nostro, vestro autem Episcopo: obsecro eum secundum Jesum Christum diligere vos; et vos omnes in concordia ejus in ipso esse. Benedictus enim Deus, qui vobis talibus talem Episcopum donavit habere in Christo.
II. Pro conservo autem nostro Burro, secundum Deum diacono vestro, et in omnibus benedicto; deprecor permanere eum immaculatum in honore Ecclesix et beatissimi Episcopi vestri.

SHORTFに,
Dei, cognatum opus integre perfecistis. Audientes enim ligatum a Syria pro communi nomine et spe, sperantem oratione vestra potiri in Roma cum bestiis pugnare, ut potiri possim discipulus esse, videre festinastis. Plurimam enim multitudinem vestram in nomine Dei suscepi in Onesimo, qui in charitate inenarrabilis est, vester autem in carne Episcopus: quem oro secundum Jesum Christum vos diligere, et omnes vos ipsi in similitudine esse. Benedictus enim qui tribuit vobis dignis existentibus talem Episcopum possidere.
II. De conservo autem meo Bor- coli.i.i.iv.7. ro, secundum Deum diacono vestro in omnibus benedicto; oro permanere ipsum in honorem vestri et Episcopi.



## SHORTER.












 aỉтò $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \eta \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ тov̂ av̉тôv, ìva

 $\sigma \mu$ е́vol.

 $\pi \omega \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \iota \dot{\epsilon} v{ }^{3} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$. $\mathrm{N} \hat{v} v$
 $\pi \rho o \sigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ í $\mu \hat{\imath}$ ஸs $\sigma v \nu \delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$
 $\nu \alpha \iota \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$, vov $\theta \in \sigma i \alpha$, , vंтоноvท̂, $\mu \alpha к \rho о \theta v-$
 $\mu \varepsilon \sigma \omega \omega \pi \tilde{c} \nu \pi \varepsilon \rho \dot{\jmath}$ ข $\mu \tilde{\omega \nu}$, गेवे тоथ̃то

 $\Theta \varepsilon \sigma \tilde{u}$. Kà̀ $\gamma \grave{\rho} \rho \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o u ̂ \varsigma ~ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s, ~ \tau o ̀ ~$










## LONGER.


 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \beta о \mu \epsilon \nu$, ката̀ $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \dot{\alpha}-$
 ©s каì aviтòv ó Пaтท̀) 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \sigma \hat{v}$




 ఢєıv 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau \grave{v}$ do६áa $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha$



 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \varphi$ кат⿳亠 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \tau \epsilon$


 $\pi \omega \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\eta} \rho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \iota \stackrel{\dot{E}}{ } \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{v} \mathbf{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi} . \quad \mathbf{N} v \hat{v}$














$\Delta^{\prime} .{ }^{~ " O} 0 \varepsilon \nu$ каì ข́pìv $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \tau \rho \epsilon ́-~$








## I،ONC:NR.

Crocus vero Deo dignas, fuem, damum exemplar dilectionis vestre: suscepi, in ommibus me refecit, at Time. 1. 16. eatemam menm non erubuit. Simul cum Onesiphoro, et Burro, et Eunplo, et Frontone: quibus refirigeret Pater Domini nostri Jesu Christi; per guem ommes vos in dilectione habui. Adquisivi vos in omnibus; si quidem dignus fuero. Dignum est enim omnimodis vos glorificare Jesum Christum, qui et vos glorificavit: ut in
(1.rr i. 10. una perfectione perfecti sitis, in codem sensu, et in eadem scientia, ut idlipsum dicatis ommes de eo ipso; ut subjecti Episcopo et Presbyterio, seemidum omnia sanctificati.
III. Non præcipio vobis, quasi aliquid sim. Et siquidem vinetus sum pro nomine Jesu Christi ; tamen necdum consummavi. Nunc enim initium habeo eruditionis, et alloquor vos tanquam conservos. Me autem oportebat a vobis commoneri, fide, monitione, patientia, longanimitate. Quoniam dilectio non permittit me tacere de vobis: propterea præveni rogare vos ut concurratis dispositioni Dei; quia et Jesus Christus omnia secundum dispositionem Dei Patris agit: 1.4. viii. 29. sicut et ipse in quodam loco dicit; Ego quæ placita sunt ei facio semper. Ergo et nos oportet secundum dispositionem Dei vivere in Christo, et æmulari secundum quod Pau-
Cur. vi. 1. Ius ait; Imitatores mei estote, sicut et ego Christi.
IV. Unde et vos decet Pastorum dispositionem sequi, qui secundum Deum vos pascunt: quod et ipsi facitis, sapienter a Spiritu Sancto instructi. Illud vero digne nominandum et Deo dig-

Sal et Croms Deo dignus at vohis, quem exemplarium ejus quae a volhis charitatis suscepi, socundum ommia me quicsecre feceit; ut et ipsum Pater Jesu Christi refirigeret: cum Oncsimo, et Borro, et Euplo, et Frontone; per (quos vos omnes secumdum charitatem vidi. Fruar vohis semper; siquidem dignus existam. Decens igitur est secundum omnem modum glorificare Jesum Christum, qui glorificavit vos; ut in una subjectione perfecti, subjecti Episcopo et Presbyterio, secundum omnia sitis sanctificati.
III. Non dispono vobis, ut existens aliquis. Si enim et ligor in nomine Christi ; nequaquam perfectus sum in Jesu Christo. Nunc autem principium habeo addiscendi; et alloquor vos, ut doctores mei. Me enim oportuit a vobis suscipi, fide, admonitione, sustinentia, longanimitate. Sed quia charitas non sinit me silere pro vobis; propter hoc præoccupavi rogare vos, ut concurratis sententiæ Dei. Etenim Jesus Christus, incomparabile nostrum vivere, Patris sententia, ut et ipsi secundum terræ fines determinati Jesu Christi sententia sunt.
IV. Unde decet vos concurrere Episcopi sententiæ: quod et facitis. Digne nominabile enim restrum Presbyterium, Deo dignum, sic concordatum est Episcopo, ut

## SHORTER.


 $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s \stackrel{\ddot{\alpha}}{\mathrm{\alpha}} \mathrm{\epsilon} \tau \tau \alpha$. Kaì oi кат’

 $\chi \rho \bar{\omega} \mu \alpha \Theta \epsilon ๐ \hat{\imath} \lambda a \beta o ̋ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, év évó-


 $\nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \omega \nu \quad \delta i \hat{\omega} \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$,

 ả $\mu \dot{\mu} \mu \varphi$ èvóтทть єìvat, ìva каì $\Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v} \pi a ́ \nu \tau о \tau \varepsilon \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \chi \eta \tau \epsilon$.


 $\dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu$, ov่к $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi i \nu \eta \nu$ ồ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a \tau \iota \grave{̀ ̀} r, \pi \bar{\sigma} \sigma \omega \mu \bar{a} \lambda$ -





 לु évरòs тồ Өvo兀a










 бко́т $\omega$, ǐvx $\widehat{\omega} \mu \eta \nu$ Өєоरे $\dot{\imath \pi о-}$ титло́мето.

 aùvòv фоßєíণ $\theta \omega$. Пávтa $\gamma$ रà



## LONGER.



 o้vтєs év ópovoía, бuváфєıav Єєov̂ $\lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma, ~ \dot{\epsilon} v$





 $\chi \in \tau \epsilon$.




























 $\dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \tau \subseteq \hat{\imath} \tau \grave{\imath} \nu \pi \in ́ \mu \psi a \nu \tau \alpha ́ \mu$.




## 1, NNI:1た!

num Presloyterinm ita coaptatum sit Episcopo, quomodo chorda: in cithara colligate. Ita ct ipsi in concordia et consoma dilectione, eијия dux et custos est Jesus Christus. Let qui smat sigillatim, ommes mus chorus fiment: ut consonantes facti in unanimitate, conjunctionem Doi in similitudinem morum recipientes, in mitate unum effecti consensu, Deo Patri et dilectissimo filio cjus Jesu Christo Domino mostro, secmulum h. xom. 11. quoud ipse Patrem rogans dicit: Da illis, Pater sancle, 21. ut sicut ego et tu unum sumus, ita et hi in nolis untm sint. Utile est enim vos sine macula in unitate conjunctos Deo, imitatores esse Christi; cujus et membra estis.
V. Si enim ego in parvo tempore talem consuetudinem habui circa Episcopum vestrum, quæ non humana sed spiritalis fuit; quanto magis vos beatifico, qui pendetis ad eum, quomodo Ecclesia ad Dominum Jesum, et ipse Dominus ad Dominum sum et Patrem: ut omnia in unitate sihi consonantia sint? Nemo oberret. Si quis non fuerit intra altare, fraudabitur pane Dei. Si enim unius aut duorum oratio tantam habet virtutem, ut Christus in eis consistat: quanto magis immaculati sacerdotis, et sancti populi supplicatio, consonanter adscendens ad Deum, persuadet prestare eis omnes petitiones in Christo? Qui ergo a talibus separatur, et non ib. vii. 23. convenerit in congregationem sacrificiorum, Ecclesiam primogenitorum descriptorum in coclo; lupus est in grege ovium, mansuetam demonstrans figuram. Festinate ergo, dilectissimi, subditi esse Episcopo, et Presbyteris, et Diaconis. Qui enim istis subditus fuerit, Christo obedit qui eis hoc cuncessit. Qui vero eis non consenserit, Jesu Christo non consentit. Qui h. iii. 36. autem Filio non consenserit, non videbit vitam; sed ira Dei manebit super eum. Hujusmodi ergo temerarius est, horribilis et superbus, qui non est subdiPet. r. 5. \& tus potioribus. Superbis enim, inquit, Deus reJac.iv.6. sistit; humilibus autem dat gratiam. Et itesalm 118 , rum, Superbi inique gesserunt usque valde. Dicit
51. etiam Dominus sacerdotibus; Qui enim vos audierit, me audit; et qui me audierit, audiet illum qui me
Luc. x . 16. misit: et qui vos spernit, me spernit; qui autem me spernit, spernit eum qui me misit.
VI. Quando ergo videtis tacere Episcopum, plus eum timete. Omnem itaque quem mittit paterfamilias pro sua dispensatione, sic nos oportet suscipere, quemadmodum ipsum qui mittit.

## 

chordes cithuras. Propter hoe: in consemsu vestro at consonat charitate Jesus Christus canitur. Fied a singuli chorrus facti estis: ut consoni existentes in censensu, melos Dei accepientes in unitate, cantetis in voce una per Jesum Christum Patri; itt it fus audiat, of cognoscal per quem bonum operamini, membra existentes Filii ipsius. Utile igitur est vos in immacenlata unitate esse; ut et Deo semper participetis.
$V$. Si enim ego in parvo tempore talem consuctudinem tenui ad Episcopum vestrum, non humanam existentem sed spiritualem : quanto magis vos beatifico, conjunctos sic ut Ecclesia Jesu Christo, et ut Jesus Christus Patri; ut omnia in unitate consona sint? Nullus erret; si quis non sit intra altare, privatur pane Dei. Si enim unius et alterius oratio tantam vim habet: quanto magis illa que Episcopi et omnis Ecclesie? Qui igiturnon renit in idem, hic jam superbit et seipsum condemnarit. Scriptum est Pror. iii. 34. enim : Superbis Deus resis- Jac. iv. 6. tit. Festinemus igitur non ${ }^{1 \text { Pet. w. } 5 .}$ resistere Episcopo; ut simus Deo subjecti.
VI. Et quantum videt quis tacentem Episcopum, plus ipsum timeat. Omnem enim quem mittitDominusdomus in propriam dispensationem, sic oportet nos ipsum recipere, ut ipsum mittentem.




## SHORTER．

 тòv тòv $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ．T＇òv oûv є́ $\pi i ́ \sigma \kappa о \pi \frac{}{}$ тòv tòv Kúpıov $\delta \in \hat{\imath}$ т $\quad$ роб $\beta \lambda \epsilon$ é－


 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \zeta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ，ка؛ öт $\begin{gathered}\text { ćv }\end{gathered}$

认ै $\pi \epsilon \rho \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \lambda \alpha \lambda 0 \hat{\nu} \nu-$


Z＇．Eić $\theta \alpha \sigma \iota$ خá $\rho \iota \nu \in \varsigma ~ \delta o ́ \lambda \omega$ $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \varrho ̨ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ o ้ \nu о \mu а ~ \pi є \rho เ ф є ́ \rho є є \nu$,



 $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ vjuâs $\phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \theta a \iota ~ o ้ \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ঠvб日eратєи́тovs．Eís iaтрós є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu, \sigma а \rho к \iota \kappa o ́ s ~ \tau є ~ к а і ̀ ~ \pi \nu є v$－

 $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha \nu a ́ \tau \omega \zeta \omega \grave{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \eta$ ，каì є́к Марі́аs каі є̇к Єєои，тр⿳⺈－

 $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \tau \omega, \quad \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ov̉dè $\grave{\epsilon} \xi \alpha-$ $\pi \mu \tau \hat{\sigma} \theta \epsilon$ ，ò $\lambda о \iota$ oै oैтєs $\Theta є o \hat{v}$ ． ＂Oта⿱⿱亠䒑日儿





 Boи́rou тойs aíãer．

## LONGER．














































I,ONGEK.
Ergo Episcopum manifeste sicut ipsum rov.xxii.29. Dominum oportet contemplari, Domino assistentem. Prospectorem onim * verum, et acutum in operibus suis, regibus oportet assistere, et non assistere hominibus infirmis Ipse altem Onesimus laudat vestram in Deo bonam dispensationem: quia omnes secundum veritatem vivitis; et quia mulla haeresis inter vos hahitat. Sed nee obeditis alieni, nisi soli Jesu Christo vero pastori et doctori : et estis, quemadmodum PauInime is 4 , lus vobis scripsit, unum corpus, et unus spiritus; quoniam in una spe fidei conclusi estis. Unus enim Dominus, una fides, umum baptisma, unus Deus et Pater ommium, qui super omnes, et per omnes, et in omnibus. Vos ergo estote tales, qui a tali cruditi estis; hoc est, a Paulo Christifero, et 'limotheo fidelissimo.
VII. Quidam autem fallaces consueverunt dolo maligno nomen Christi circumportare; sed aliquanta indigna Deo agentes, et contraria doctrine Christi sapientes, ad interitum summ sibimetipsis consentiunt: quos oportet vos tanquam rov. x. 25. bestias declinare. Justus, inquit, declinans evadet d xi. 3 . sai. Ivi. 10. impiorum perditione. Sunt igitur canes muti, non valentes latrare, rabidi occulte: quos observare oportet, insanabilem languorem habentes. Medicus autem noster est solus verus Deus, ingenitus et invisibilis, Dominus omnium, Unigeniti vero pater et genitor. Habemus autem medicum etiam Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum, ante secula Filium unigenitum, et in principio Verbum, postea vero et
Joh. i. 14. hominem ex Maria Virgine: Verbum enim, inquit, caro factum est. Incorporalis in corpore, impassibilis in corpore passibili immortalis in corpore mortali, vita in corruptione; ut de morte et corruptione liberet et curet animas nostras, et sanet eas infusas morbo impietatis et iniquis desideriis.
VIII. Nullus exgo vestrum seducatur: quod et de vobis confido: unum etenim omnes estis. Quando enim nihil in vobis fuerit, quod vos potuerit coinquinare, et tormentum superinducere; secundum Deum vivitis: et erit Christi subjectio vestra, et Ecclesiæ Ephesiorum quæ est auxiliatrix et multum laudabilis.

SHOHTV:
Ejpise:ории igritur manifesLım чионiam иt iןsum Dominum oportet respicere. Ipse igritur quidem Onesi--mus superlatalat vestram divinam ordinationem: (quoniam ommes securdum veritatem vivitis, et rutoniam in vohis neque wha haresis hahitat; sed neque auditis aliquem amplius quam Jesum Christum loquentem in veritate.
VII. Consueverunt enim quidam dolo malo nomen circumferre, sed quædam operantes indigna Deo: quos oportet vos ut bestias declinare. Sunt enim canes rabidi, latenter mordentes: quos oportet vos observare, existentes difficile curabiles. Unus medicus est, carnalis et spiritualis, genitus et ingenitus, in carne factusDcus, in immortali vita vera, et ex Maria et ex Deo, primo passibilis et tunc impassibilis, Dominus Christus noster.
VIII. Non igitur quis vos seducat; quemadmodum neque seducemini, toti existentes Dei. Quum enim neque una lis complexa est in vobis, potens vos torquere; tunc secundum Deum vivitis. Peripsima vestri et castificer a vestra Ephesiorum Ecclesia, famosa in sæculis.








## SHORTER.

Oí $\sigma$ çurzoi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \nu \varepsilon \nu-$
 ข $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha$, où̀̀s oi $\pi \nu \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha-$

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi / \sigma \tau i \alpha$, $\sigma \tau i \alpha$ च̀̀ $\tau \tilde{n} \varsigma ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \varepsilon \omega \xi$.
 $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, \tau \alpha \tilde{u} \tau \alpha$ тvधu-

 $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon$.



 Tes $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ஸ̂ $\tau \alpha$, eis $\tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$











## LONGER.




 $\pi i \sigma \pi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$. 'Y $\mu e i s \rho$ ò̀, $\pi \lambda \grave{p} p e t s$ oैves тoû Ayiou Пvev́-






































## LONGER.

Carnales enim spiritualia non possunt agere; neque spirituales carnalia: sicut nee fides ea quee sunt infidelitatis, neque infidelitas ea quæ sunt fidei. vos vero repleti Spiritu Sancto, nilitil carnale, sed omnia spiritualia agite. Unusquisque vestrum Tim. iv.10. perfeetus sit in Christo Jesu; qui est salvator omnium hominum, maxime fidelium.
IX. Agnovi enim aliquos ex vobis, a via veritatis discedentes, malam doctrinam habere alieni et nequissimi spiritus. Quibus non tribuistis secus viam seminare zizania: obturantes aures ad non suscipiendum errorem qui ab ipsis preedicatur; scientes quia hujusmodi spiritus est erroris, non ea quee sunt Christi, sed sua loquens. Falsiloquus etenim est. Sanctus autem Spiritus non sua propria loquitur, sed ea que sunt Christi : nee a semetipso, sed a Domino; sicut et Dominus ea quee a oh. xiv. 24. Patre audivit nobis amnunciat. Sermo, inquit, quem audistis non est meus, sed ejus qui me misit. De
oh. xvi. 13. Spiritu Sancto dicit: Non enim loquetur a se; sed qurecunque audierit a me. De se autem ait Patri:
oh. xTii. 4. Ego te glorificavi super terram, opus perfeci quod dedisti mihi ut facerem; manifestavi nomen tuum
oh. xvi. 14. hominibus. De Spiritu Sancto ait: Ille me glorificabit; quia de meo accipiet, [et annunciabit vobis. Ergo uterque corum ipsum glorificat, a quo et accepit quod faceret; et ipsum predicat, atque ejus verba pronunciat.] Erroris autem spiritus seipsum predicat, sua propria dicens: sibi placens etenim est, et seipsum glorificat. Acerbus namque est, plenus extans falsiloquio, seductor, lubricus, superbus, arrogans, verbosus, dissonus, immensuratus, nigriformis, tumidus. De cujus operationibus liberavit nos Jesus Christus, fundans nos super petram, tanquam lapides electos, qui apti sunt ad ædificium Dei Patris, elevati in altum a Christo, qui pro nobis crucifixus est; confortatos Spiritu Sancto, in fide introductos, et

## SHORTER.

## Carnales spiritualia

 operari non possunt, neque spirituales carnalia: quemadmodum neque fides quae infidelitatis, neque infidelitas quie fidelitatis et fidei. Quæ autem et secundum carnem operata sunt, hæc spiritualia sunt: in Jesu enim Christo omnia operata sunt.IX. Cognovi autem transeuntes quosdam inde, habentes malam doctrinam. Quos non dimisistis seminare in vos ; obstruentes aures, ad non recipere seminata ab ipsis; ut existentes lapides templi Patris, parati in ædificatione Dei Patris,relati in excelsa per machinam Jesu Christi, quæ est crux, fune utentes Spiritu Sancto. Fides autem vestra









## shorter.


 'Е $\sigma \tau$ è oûv каì $\sigma$ v́vodou $\pi a ́ v$ тєऽ, Өєофо́роt, каі̀ vаофо́рои, Xрוбтофо́рои, à $\gamma$ เофо́роt, $\kappa \alpha$ -〒̀̀ $\pi$ а́vта кеког $\mu \eta \mu$ évot évто-
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \omega \mu \alpha \iota \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \tau \iota \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\eta} \xi \iota \omega \in \theta \eta \nu, \delta{ }^{\prime}$

 $\lambda_{\text {ov }}$ Biov oủdèv à ä $\alpha \pi a ̂ \tau e, ~ \epsilon i ̉ ~ \mu \bar{\eta}$ но́vov тòv $\Theta$ єòv.



 voías, iva $\Theta \varepsilon o \tilde{u}$ тúqu-















## LONGER.














 $\Theta$ єóv.


























## 1, ()N(ibik。

dilectione elevatos a terra ad colum, ambu-
Ps.cexis. I. hanles immaculate. Beati enim, inquit, immaculati in via; qui ambulant in lege Domini. Via enim sine Joh. xiv, 6. errore est Jesus Christus ; sicut ipse ait: Ego sum via, veritas et vita. Deducit autem haee via ad Patrem: Nemo enim, inquit, venit ad Patrem, nisi per me. Beati crgo estis vos Deiferi, Spiritiferi, sanctiferi, templiferi, in omnibus ornati in manda-
1 Pet. ii. 9. tis Jesu Christi; regnum sacerdorale, et gens sancta, populus in adquisitionem : in quibus exsultans dignus effectus sum, per quos scribo, alloqui Ephes. i. 1. sanctos, qui sunt Ephesi, fideles in Christo Jesu. Gaudeo enim in vobis, quia vanitati non intenditis; neque ea que secundum carnem sunt diligitis, sed ea que sunt secundum Deum.

* al. Sed. X. *Et pro aliis hominibus orate indesinenter: est enim in illis spes, ut Deum
Jer.siii.4. mereantur adipisci. Nunquid qui cadit, inquit, non adjiciet ut resurgat? aut qui avertitur, non convertitur? Convertimini ergo ad doctrinam. Estote ministri Dei, et os Christi. Dicit enim
Jer. xv. 19. Dominus: Si abduxeritis pretiosum ab indigno, sicut os meum eritis. Estote ad iracundias eorum humiles: renunciate blasphemiis eorum. Vos vero orationi instate : illis errantibus, vos stabiles estote, radicati in fide. Vincite eorum ferocem consuetudinem per vestram mansuetudinem, et iracundiam, per le-
Mat. v. 5. nitatem. Beati enim, inquit, mites. Nam et Moyses Num. xii. 3. 1 Sam. xxir. mansuetus erat supra omnes homines : et David mitis 2'Tim. ii. 24, valde. Propter quod Paulus monet dicens: Servum

25. autem Domini non oportet litigare; sed mitem esse ad omnes; docibilem, patientem, cum omni modestia corripientem eos qui resistunt. Nolite festiPs, rii. 4. nale ulcisci nocentes vos. Si reddidi enim, inquit,

## SHOんTER.

dux vester, charitas vero via referens in
Deum. Estis igitur conviatores, Deiferi et templiferi et Christiferi, sanctiferi, secundum omnia ornati in mandatis Jesu Christi : quibus et exultans dignificatus sum, per quæ scribo, alloqui vobis, et congaudere; quoniam secundum aliam vitam nihil diligitis nisi solum Deum.
X. Sed et pro aliis hominibus indesinenter Deum oratis. Est enim in ipsis spes pœnitentiæ, ut Deo potiantur. Monete igitur ipsos saltem ex operibus a vobis erudiri. Ad iras ipsorum vos mansueti, ad magniloquia eorum vos humilia sapientes, ad blasphemias ipsorum vos orationes, ad errorem ipsorum vos firmi fide,ad agreste ipsorum vos mansueti. Non festinantes imitari ipsos;

## 



## SHORTER.




 $\dot{\alpha} \theta \varepsilon \tau \eta \theta \tilde{\eta}$; ǐva $\mu \eta ̀$ тồ $\Delta \iota \alpha$ ßó ${ }^{\prime}$ ov
 $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta$ à $\gamma \nu \epsilon i ́ a ̣$ каì $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \sigma$ v́vŋŋ $\mu \in ́ v \in \tau \epsilon$, $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \quad{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}, \quad \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} \varsigma ~ к \alpha \grave{~}$ $\pi \nu \in \nu \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \bar{\omega} s$.

IA'. "E $\sigma \chi$ атоь кацроі̀ 入оьтóv. аı$\sigma \chi \nu \nu \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu, \phi o \beta \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ т $\tau_{\nu} \mu \alpha \kappa \rho о-$

 $\lambda o v \sigma \alpha \nu$ ó $\rho \gamma \eta ̀ \nu \quad \phi \circ ß \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$, $\ddot{\eta} \tau \grave{\tau} v$ $\dot{\epsilon} v \epsilon \sigma \tau \omega \bar{\omega} \alpha \nu \chi^{\alpha} \rho \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon v^{*}$ हैv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ dúo $\mu$ о́vov $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \widehat{̣} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{v} \epsilon \dot{v}-$

 $\delta \in \sigma \mu \alpha ̀ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \phi \in ́ \rho \omega$, тоv̀s $\pi \nu \in v \mu с i \tau \iota \kappa o v ̀ s$



 $\sigma \tau \iota \alpha \nu \omega \hat{\nu}$, oì каì тoîs ảтобтó入ols $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau о \tau \epsilon \sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \nu$ є่v $\delta v \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \iota{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta$ бov̂ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \hat{v}$.





 $\tau \nu \rho \eta \mu \in ́ v o v, ~ \dot{a} \xi ь о \mu \alpha к а \rho і б \tau о v, ~ о \hat{v} ~ \gamma є ́-~$


 ${ }^{2} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma 0$ रे.

[^67]
## LONGER.












 $\pi \epsilon \tau \varepsilon \mu \eta$ тov̂ $\Delta \iota a \beta o ́ \lambda o v ~ \beta o \tau a ́ v \eta ~ \epsilon र ं \rho \epsilon \theta \hat{\eta}$ év


 $\theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu, \phi \circ \beta \eta \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ т $\eta \nu \quad \mu \alpha \kappa \rho \theta \theta \nu \mu i ́ a v ~ \tau о \hat{v}$

































retribuentibus mihi mula. Fratres eos faciamus jer mansuctudinem. Dicite itaque his, qui vos oderunt; Fratres nostri estis: ut nomenDomini glorificetur.
Petr.ii.23. Imitemur scilicet Dominum ; quí cum malediceretur nou maledicebat, cum crncifigeretur nom contradicebat, com pateretur non comminabatur: sed ale.xxiii.34. pro inimicis suis orabat, dicens; Pater dimitte illis, quia nesciunt quid faciunt. Cui plus nocetur,
Mat. v.11. ampliora sustineat. Beatus qui abjectus et reprobatus fuerit propter nomen Domini: ipse etenim vere est Christi. Videte ne herba Diaboli inve-
IPet.iv. 7 . niatur in vobis: umara etenim est, et sulsa. Ergo sobrii estote et casti in Christo Jesu.
XI. Jam enim novissima tempora sunt. ReRom.ii. 4. vercamur et timeamus longanimitatem Dei: et non simus divitiarum aut utilitatum corum appetitores. Futuram iraciundiam plus timeamus, quam prasentem gratiam diligamus. Prasens enim gratia est, ut inveniamur in Christo Jesu; et in veritate vivamus. Extra hoc autem, nec respirare oportet aliquando. Hrec enim est spes mea, hee exultatio, hre denique indeficientes divitie. In quo et vincula mea a Syria usque ad Romam suffero, spirituales margaritas: in quibus mihi contingat consummari, orationibus vestris, participem passionum Christi, et communem morti ejus fieri, et a mortuis resurrectioni et vite sempiternæ, quam mihi contingat percipere: ut in sorte Ephesiorum Christianorum inveniar; qui et cum Apostolis semper conversati sunt in virtute Jesu Christi, Paulo, Joanne, et Timotheo fidelissimo.
XII. Scio qui sum, et quibus scribo. Ego itaque minimissimus sum; et supplex, sub periculis, et judiciis, et lege constitutus. Vos vero consecuti estis misericordiam; firmi in Christo. Traditus sum autem, propter Deum, generi interMat, xxiii. fectorum a sanguine Abel justi usque ad sanguinem
35. Ignatii minimissimi. Pauli enim sancti estis conministri, et martyrium consummantis, qui est vas electionis: sub cujus vestigia merear inveniri, et creterorum sanctorum, quando Jesum Christum merear adipisci: qui semper in suis orationibus memor est vestri.
XIII. Festinate ergo frequenter convenire
fratres ipsorum inveniamme in mansuctudine: imitatores autem Dei studeamusesse. (Quisplus I Cor. vi.7. injustum patiatur, quis fraudetur, quis contemnatur. Ut non Diaboli herha quis inveniatur in vobis: sed in omni castitate et temperantia mancatis, in Jesu Christo, carnaliter et spiritualiter.
XI. Extrema tempora de 1 Joh. ii. 18. cetero. Verecundemur et 2 Tim.iii. 1 . timeamus longanimitatem Dei; ut non nobis in judicium fiat. Vel enim futuram iram timeamus, vel præsentem gratiam diligamus. Unum duorum solum in Christo Jesu invenitur, in verum vivere. Sine ipso nihil vos deceat: in quo vincula circumfero, spirituales margaritas; in quibus fiat mihi resurgere, oratione vestra. Qua fiat mihi semper participem esse: ut in sorte Ephesiorum inveniar Christianorum ; qui et Apostolis semper consenserunt, in virtute Jesu Christi.
XII. Novi quis sum, et quibus scribo. Ego condemnatus, vos propitiationem habentes; ego sub periculo, vos firmati. Transitus estis corum qui in Deum interficiuntur: Pauli condiscipuli (sanctificati, martyrizati, digne beati) cujus fiat mihi sub vestigiis inveniri, quando Deo fruar'; qui in omni Epistola memoriam facit vestri in Jesu Christo.
XIII. Festinate igitur




## SHORTER．


 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ тò $\alpha v ๋ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma i ́ v \in \sigma \theta \epsilon, ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \iota \rho o v ̂ \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~$
 $\tau \alpha \iota$ ò oै $\lambda \in \theta \rho o s ~ \alpha v ่ \tau o v$ ยैv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ó ó $\mu$ ovoía i乡 $\omega \hat{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ．Oúdév єं $\sigma \tau \iota v$

子白 $\omega$ ．
 Є̉àv $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega s$ єis ’ $\mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{̀} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu \pi i \sigma \tau t s, \tau \epsilon ́ \lambda o s ~ \delta e ̀ ~ a ̉ \gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta$ ．


 Oídeis $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota v$ é $\pi a \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$
 $\mu$ évos $\mu \tau \sigma \epsilon$ 亿̂．Фavepòv tò dév $\delta \rho o \nu$
 є́ $\pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda \lambda$ о́ $\mu \in \nu$ оı $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha \nu$ ò єîvaı， $\delta_{i} \hat{\omega} v \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\phi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \tau \alpha l$. Oí $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ vv̂v हं $\pi \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda i ́ a \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~$

 $\tau$ т $\lambda$ 人я．

IE＇．＂A $\mu \varepsilon เ \nu$ о́v＇$\varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ бьш－

 éàv ó $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi$ тotท̂．Eîs oûv $\delta \iota-$

 тov̂ Патрós є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota v$ ．＇O 入órov＇I $\eta$－


 $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta, ~ ж a ̀ ~ \delta i ́ ~ \tilde{\omega} v$ бь $\gamma \tilde{a}$


## LONGER．













 тoû $\Delta ı a \beta o ́ \lambda o v, ~ e ́ a ̀ v, ~ \dot{~} \varsigma ~ \Pi a v ̂ \lambda o s, ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega s ~ \epsilon i ́ s ~ X ~ \rho ı \sigma-~$













## 






 Өєòs＇Iləoûs X




#    

1,0N(iFilt.
ad encharistiam et gloriam Dei. (Quande enim assidue hoe ipsum ugitur, expelluntur virtutes Satana, qui actus suos convertit
phese ri. 16 . in sugitus ignitas ad peecatum. Vestra autem concordia, et consonnus fides ipsius est interitus; et consentancis ejus tormentum. Nihil enim melius est, quam pacem habere in Christo: in qua omne telum cevacuatur aëriorum et terphes.vi.12. restrium spiritum. Non est enim nobis colluctatio adversus carnem et sanguinem ; sed adversus principatus et potestates, et adversus mundi rectores tenebrarum harum, adversus spiritualia nequitio in celestibus.
XIV. Ergo nolite vos vulnerare in aliqua contagione Diaboli; sicuti et Paulus dicit; habentes
Tim. i. 14. in Christum fidem et dilectionem : quæ est principium vitæ et finis. Principium quidem vite est fides, finis vero ejus est dilectio: ambæ vero simul junctæ, in unitate factæ, hominem Dei perficiunt: sed et cætera omnia, quæ in benevolentia sequuntur, consummant. Nemo fidem annuncians debet peccare: neque dilectionem possidens debet 1.ue. x. 27. fratrem sum odire. Qui enim dixit, Diliges Dominum Deum tuum ex toto corde tuo; ipse iterum ait, Et proximum tuum sicut teipsum. Qui enim annunciant esse se Christi, non ex quibus dicunt tantummodo, sed ex quibus agunt, lat. xii. 33. agnoscuntur. Ex fructu enim arbor cognoscitur.
XV. Melius est igitur tacere et esse; quam dicere et non esse :
Cor. iv. 20. quia non in verbo est regnum Dei, sed in virtute. um. x. 10. Corde enim creditur ad justitiam; ore autem confessio fit ad salutem. Bonum est igitur do-
Mat.v. 19. cere ; sed si fecerit ea quæ dixerit. Qui enim docuerit et fecerit; hic magnus vocabitur in regno cœlorum. Dominus autem noster et Deus Jesus
Act. i. 1. Christus, qui est filius Dei vivi, primo videlicet fecit, Cor.siii.18. et sic docuit: sicut testificatur Lucas ; cujus laus est in Evangelio per omnes Ecclesias Nihil enim latet

## SHいたT:

arebrius convenire in gratiarum actionem Dei, et in gloriam. Quam enin crebro in idipsum convenitis, destrumetur potentia Satane; et solvitur proditio ipsius in concordia vestrae fidei. Nihil est melius pace; in qua omne bellum evacuatur colestium et terrestrium.
XIV. Quorum nullum latet vos: si perfecte in J csum Christum habeatis fidem et charitatem ; quæ sunt principium vitæ et finis. Principium quidem fides; finis autem charitas. Hæe autem duo, in unitate facta, Deus est: alia autem omnia in bonitate sequenda sunt. Nullus fidem repromittens peccat, neque charitatem possidens odit. Mani- Mat. aii \%, festa est arbor a fiructı ipsius : sic repromittentes Christiani esse, per quæ operantur manifesti erunt. Non enim nune repromissionis opus, sed in virtute fidei, si quis inveniatur in finem.
XV. Melius est silere et esse; quam loquentem non esse. Bonum docere, si dicens facit. Unus igitur Doctor, qui dixit, et factum est: sed et quæ silens fecit, digna Patre sunt. Qui verbum Jesu possidet, vere potest et silentium ipsius audire, ut perfectus sit: ut per quæ loquitur operetur, et per quæ silet cognoscatur. Nihil latet



## SHORTER

Tòv Kúpıov, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \rho v \pi \tau \grave{\alpha}$

 катоєкоขิvтоя, ǐva ढิแยข aủтov


 ঠıкаíms ả $\gamma a \pi \hat{\omega} \mu \in v$ aủróv.
 oi оiкофӨópo九 $\beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ a v ~ \Theta \epsilon o v ̂ ~ o u ̉ ~$ $\kappa \lambda \eta \rho o \nu о \mu \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota \nu$. Eỉ oûv oi кגт $\alpha$ ба́ $\kappa к \alpha ~ \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \sigma \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́-$
 Єєov̂ $\in ้$ какท̂ $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i ́ \alpha ~ \phi \theta \epsilon i ́ \rho \eta$,

 $\mu \in v o s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \grave{\alpha} \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \tau o v$
 แห่тоขิ.

IZ'. $\Delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \mu \hat{v} \rho o v ~ \epsilon ’ \lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon v$

 $\sigma^{\prime} \alpha \nu^{*} \mu \grave{\eta} \alpha{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \epsilon i \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon \delta \nu \sigma \omega \delta i ́ a v \tau \hat{\eta} S$ дıдабка入ías тои̂ äpðovтоs той $\alpha i \omega \nu$ оs тои́тov $\mu \grave{\eta} \alpha i \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \tau i \sigma \eta$

 ขó $\mu \in \theta a \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \Theta \epsilon o v$ रे $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$, ö $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o v{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ s ; ~ \tau i ́ \mu \omega \rho \omega \hat{\varsigma}$

 plos;

## 



 aiávoos. Hov̂ бoфós; $\pi$ ô̂ $\sigma$ v-



LONGER.





 aủ่ธิ.

Is'. Mì $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta \epsilon ~ a ́ d e \lambda \phi o i ́ ~ \mu o v, ~ o i ~ o i k n-~$



















 тıs $\delta v \sigma \omega \delta i ́ a \nu ~ \delta \iota \delta a \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda i ́ a s ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ a i ̂ \omega \nu o s ~ \tau o u ́ \tau o v . ~$





 $\dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \lambda \dot{\imath} \mu \in \theta \alpha$;







## LONEBER

Dominum: sed etiam oeenltu nostra coram ipso sunt. Omnia ergo faciamus tanguam ipso in nohis hahitante: ut simus ejus templa, et ipse habitet in nolis. Dombus itaque Christus in nobis loquatur, sient et in Paulo: Spiritus Sinctus mus doceat quae sumf Christi respondere, adjuncti illi.
XVI. Nolite errare, fratres moi; el ne I Cor, vi.9, sitis masculorum concubitores: quia hujusmodi 10. regnum Dei non possidebunt. Qui enim habitacula humana corrumpunt morti comdemmabuntur. Quomodo non magis qui Christi doctrinam corrmmpere conantur, sempiternam dammationem percipient; propter crucem et mortem, quam sustimuit dominus Jesus unigenitus Dei filius? cujus doctrinam quicunque depravaverit, abjectus et pollutus in gehemnam projicietur. Similiter autem et omnis homo, qui spernit quod a Deo accepit, damnabitur. Qui imperitum pastorem secutus fuerit, et falsam gloriam tanquam veram susceperit, particeps Christi esse 2 Cor.vi. 14, non poterit. Qure enim societas luci cum tene-
15, 16. bris? aut Christo cum Belial? Aut que pars fideli cum infideli? aut templo Dei cum idolis? Dico autem et ego: Que communicatio veritati ad mendacium? aut quæ societas justitix cum injustitia? aut veree glorix cum falsa gloria?
isal.45,8.\& XVII. Propterea unguentum accepit 133, 2. Dominus in capite; ut Ecclesia fragret inCant. i. 3,4 . corruptione. Unguentum enim exinanitum est juxta lxx.) nomen tuum ; propterea adolescentule dilexerunt te, attraxerunt te naribus: post te in odorem unguentorum tuorum curremus. Ne ungatur quis fæotore doctrinæ hujus sæculi: sancta Dei Ecclesia non captivetur ab astutia illius, sicut 2 Cor. xi. 3. prima mulier Eva. Quapropter cum simus rationabiles, non efficiamur stulti. Quare plantationem, quam a Deo per Christum accepimus, non custodimus; sed in judicium per ignorantiam ex negligentia incidimus? ignorantes gratiam quam accepimus, et nescienter perimus?
XVIII. Quia crux Christi infidelicor. i. is bus quidem scandalum est; fideli-
23. bus autem salus et vita eterna.
-2. Ubi sapiens? ubi scriba? ubi conquisitor? ubi exultatio dictorum? Filius autem Dei

shoाtTER
Dominum: sed et abseondita nostrat prope ijsimus sumt. Omnia igitur faccumas, sic ipso in nobis habitante: ut simms ip) 1 (cere iii. If sius templa, et ipse in mohis Deus noster: quomodo et est, et apparchit ante faciem no-1 1d.biiio ? stram ; ex quibus juste diligithus ipsime.
XVI. Non erretis, fratres mei. Domus corruptores reg- 1/ r.vi.! ! num Dei non hereditabunt. Si igitur qui secundum carnem haec operantur mortui sunt: quanto magis, si quis fidem Dei in mala doctrina corrumpat, pro qua Jesus Clnistus crucifixus est? Talis, inquinatus factus, in ignem inextinguibilem ibit: similiter et qui audit ipsum.
XVII. Propter hoc unguentum in capite suo recepit Dominus; ut spiret Ecclesiæ incorruptionem. Non ungamini fæotore doctrinæ principis seculi hujus: non captivet vos ex præsenti unire.* Propter quod au- *.1. rivere. tem non omnes prudentes sumus; accipientes Dei cognitionem, qui est Jesus Christus? Quid fatue perdimur; ignorantes charisma, quod vere misit Dominus?
XVIII. Peripsima meus spiritus Crucis; quæ 1 cor.i. 18, est scandalum non credentibus, nobis autem salus et vita æterna: Ubi sa- $\quad 20$. piens,ubi conquisitor,ubi gloriatio dictorum sapientum? Deus
 zaì ì roxeròs roũ Kugiov，zai ręia puaringice zgavzũs，ärtua






## SHORTER．

 Марías，кат＇оікоуоці́ал Єєой，є́к бтє́р－
 òs є́ $\gamma \epsilon v \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \theta \eta$ ，каіे $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \pi \tau i ́ \sigma \theta \eta$ ，$i v \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \dot{u}-$

 то⿱丷 aiwnos тои́тоv in тagӨzvíce Magías，жaì ò тожєтòs avitท̂s，




 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s, \kappa a i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ̂ ~ a ̉ \nu є \kappa \lambda \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \tau o v$




 тóधєv $\dot{\eta}$ каเvóтทs $\dot{\eta}$ ävómoıos avirôs．










## LONGER．

viòs，ò $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \alpha i \omega ̂ \nu \omega \nu ~ \gamma e v v \eta \theta e i s, ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ v \tau a ~$
 є́кvoфорй $\theta \eta$ ѐк Mapías，кат оiкоуомíav Єєồ，ék $\sigma \pi$ ép $\mu a \tau o s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~ \Delta a ß i \delta, ~ o ̀ r a ̀ ~$






 aiw̃vos roútov ทi tag日zvía Magías，







 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \ddot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha, \stackrel{\alpha}{\mu} \mu \alpha$ ท̀ $\lambda i \omega \omega$ ка̀ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \nu \eta$ ，







 Opétou ẃs Өєoû èvepyoûvtos＇à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oữte тò












1.ONGER.
qui ante secula genitus est, et omnia dispositione Patris constituit; ipse in utero portatus est a Maria, secundum Dei dispositionem, ex semine David et att i. 23. Spiritu Sancto. Ecce enim, inquit, Virgo in utero concipiet, et pariet filium: et vo-
sal vii. 4. cabitur nomen ejus Emannel. Ipse baptizatus est a Johame; ut fidelem faceret ordinationem, que tradita est Prophetis a Den.
XIX. Et latuit principem hujus seculi virginitas Marier, et partus ejus; similiter et mors Domini. Tria mysteria clamavit in silentio. Dei enim opera nobis it.ii. 2,9. manifestata sunt. Stella in cœelo fulsit super omnes, que ante ipsam erant: et lux ejus inæstimabilis, et nova atque peregrina apparuit videntibus eam. Cætera autem sidera simul cum sole et luna corusea facta sunt illi stellæ; illa vero superabat omnes splendore suo: et facta est perturbatio, unde fuisset illa novitas que apparuerat. Hinc hebetata est sapientia hujus mundi, maleficia adnullabantur, magica deridebantur, iniquitas et malitia exterminabantur, tenebre et ignorantia dissipabantur, et tyranni principatus abolebantur: Deo ut homine apparente, et homine sicut Deo operante. Sed neque primo gloria, neque secundo puritas: sed illa veritas, et illa conversatio, principatum accepit a Deo. Perfecta omnia in illo constabant: propter quod meditatus est mortis solutionem.

## sHOाTTER

enim noster Jesus Christus conceptus est ex Maria, secundum dispensationem Dei, ex semine quidem David, Spiritu autem Sancto: qui natus est, et baptizatus est, ut passione aquam purificaret.
XIX. Et latuit principem seculi hujus virginitas Mariæ, et partus ipsius; similiter et mors Domini. Tria mysteria clamoris; quæ in silentio Dei operata sunt. Qualiter igitur manifestatus est seculis? Astrum in colo resplenduit super omnia astra, et lumen ipsius ineffabile erat: et stuporem tribuit novitas ipsius. Reliqua vero omnia astra, simul cum sole et luna, chorus facta sunt illi astro: ipsum autem erat superferens lumen ipsius super omnia. Turbatio autem erat, unde novitas, quæ dissimilis ipsis: ex qua solvebatur omnis magia, et omne vinculum disparuit malitiæ,ignorantia omnis ablata est, vetus regnum corruptum est; Deo humanitus apparente, in novitatem vitæ æternæ. Principium autem assumpsit, quod apud Deum perfectum. Inde omnia commota erant, propter meditari mortis dissolutionem.

## SHORTER.




 $\dot{\eta}_{\rho} \xi a ́ \mu \eta \nu$ oiкоvoмías єis тòv каєvòv äv$\theta \rho \omega \pi o v$ 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ v$, év $\tau \hat{\eta}$ av̉тô̂







 $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \nu \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \omega \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \varphi$ dıavoía, ধ̌va
 $\nu a \sigma i ́ a s, ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ́ \delta o \tau o s ~ \tau o v ̂ \mu \eta े ~ a ̉ \pi o \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon i v ~ a ̉ \lambda-~$


KA'. 'A $\nu \tau i \not \psi^{v} \chi{ }^{\circ} \nu$ i $\mu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu$ є̉ $\gamma \omega$, каì oेv
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KA'. "Еוףv $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ́ \psi v \chi จ \nu$, каì $\hat{\omega} \nu$







 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ő $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dot{\eta} \xi \iota \omega \dot{\theta} \eta \nu$ єis $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \tau \iota \mu \eta े \nu$



 oíots.

## 1.ONCII:R。

XX. State ergo, frutres, firmi in fide Jesu Christi, et in dilectione cjus, atque resurrectione. Ommes in omnilos, in gratia, in ejus nomine congregamini communiter ; in una fide Dei Patris, et Christi Jesu, Filii ejus unigeniti, et primogeniti totius creationis, secundum carnem quidem ex genere David: eruditi a Paracleto: obedientes Episcopo et Preshyterio impravaricabili sensu: frangentes panem, qui est medicamentum immortalitatis, antidotum non moriendi, sed vivendi in Deo per Jesum Christum ; catharticum expellens malum, sit in nobis.
XXI. Pro animabus vestris ego efficiar, et quem misistis in honorem Dei ad Smyrnam: unde et scribo vobis, gratias agens Domino, diligens Polycarpum, quomodo et vos. Memores mei estote, sicut et vestri Jesus Christus, qui est benedictus in secula. Orate pro Ecclesia Antiochensium, quæ est in Syria: unde et vinctus ducor ad Romam, qui sum ultimus illorum fidelium; unde dignus effectus sum in honorem Dei has sufferre catenas. Incolumes estote in Deo Patre, et Domino Jesu Christo, communi spe, in Spiritu Sancto. Amen.

## SHO1l! Eill.

XX. Si modignificet Jemus Christus in oratone vestra, c:t volumas sit ; in secuudo libello, quem seripturus sum vobis, manifestabo vobis quam inceperam dispensationem in novem hominem Jesum Christum, in ipsins fide et in ipsins dilectione, in passione ipsius et resurrectione: maxime, si Dominus revelet mihi. Quoniam qui secundum virum communiter omnes in gratia ex nomine convenitis in una fide, et in Jesu Christo (secundum carnem ex genere David, filio hominis et filio Dei) in obedire vos Episcopo et Presbyterio indiscerpta mente; unum panem frangentes, quod est pharmacum immortalitatis, antidotum ejus quod est non mori sed vivere in Jesu Christo semper.
XXI. Unanimis vobiscum ego, et quos misistis in Dei honorem in Smyrnam: unde et scribo vobis, gratias agens Domino, diligens Polycarpum ut et vos. Mementote mei, ut vestri Jesus Christus. Orate pro Ecclesia quæ iıs Syria: unde ligatus in Romam abducor, extremus existens eorum qui ibi fidelium; quemadmodum dignificatus sum in honorem Dei inveniri. Valete in Deo Patre, et in Jesu Christo communi spe nostra. Amen.
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## SHORTER． <br> ПPOE PRMAIOYE．
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 $\omega \pi \alpha$ ，ìs каì $\pi \lambda$ éov hirov́мךv $\lambda \alpha$－



LONGER．

## חPOE PQMAIOTE．



 X $\rho ⿺ \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ тô̂ Movorevoûs av่тồ vioû，inclosed غжะ入 $\eta \sigma_{i}^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\imath} \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu \in \nu \eta, \kappa \alpha i \pi \epsilon \phi \omega \tau \iota$－are not fou
 баvтоৎ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{a} \nu \tau \alpha, \stackrel{a}{a} \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{a}[\pi i \sigma \tau \iota v$ stle inser







 тофópos，］ìv kaì ảбтá̌úSout év ỏvó－





入отрі́оv хрю́матоя，$\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha]$ èv



 $\omega \pi \alpha,[$ oìs кaì $\pi \lambda$ éow 引̀ ітои́ $\mu \eta \nu \quad \lambda \alpha \beta \in i v \cdot]$



 1DRo calys




1,ON(iにR.
AD ROMANOS
De Smyrma per Ephesios scripta. Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, misericordiam consecutæ in magnitudine Dei altissimi, Patris Jesu Christi unigeniti ejus filii, Ecclesiæ sanctificate, et illuminate in voluntate Dei, qui feeit omnia, que sumt seenudum fidem et dilectionem Jesu Christi, Dei et Salvatoris nostri : quæ et præsidet in loco regionis Romanorum; Deo dignæ,eminentia dignæ, beatudine dignæ, laude dignæ, fide dignne, castitate dignæ, fundatæ in dilectione, et fide Christi, paternum nomen habenti, spiritifere : quam et saluto in nomine Dei omnipotentis, et Jesu Christi filii ejus, qui est secundum carnem et spiritum: adunatis in mandato ejus, repletis gratia Dei inseparabiliter, et ablutis ab omni alieno colore, atque immaculatis ; plurimam in Deo Patre et Domino Jesu Christo, salutem.
I. Deprecans Deum merui videre dignos vultus vestros; sicuti plurimum optabam promereri. Vinctus enim in Christo Jesu, spero vos salutare;

## 

A1) 1 ( $) \mathrm{M}$ ANOS.
Ignatius, qui et 'Theophorus, habenti propitiationem in magnitudine Patris altissimi, et Jesu Christi solius filii ipsius, Eeclesiæ dilectæ et illuminate in voluntate volentis omnia quae sunt secundum dilectionem Jesu Christi Dei nostri, quee et præsidet in loco chori Romanorum, digna Deo, digna decentia, digna beatitudine, digna laude, dignæ ordinata, digne casta, et præsidens in charitate, Christi habens legem, Patris nomen: quam et saluto in nomine Jesu Christi, filii Patris: secundum carnem et spiritum unitis in omni mandato ipsius, impletis gratia Dei indivisim, et abstractis ab omni alieno colore; plurimum in Domino Jesu Christo Deo nostro immaculate gaudere.
I. Deprecans Deum, attigi videre vestras dignas visione facies; ut et amplius petebam accipere. Ligatus enim in Christo Jesu, spero vos salutare;











## SHORTER.
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## LON(il:R。

siquidem fuerit voluntas illius, qui dignum me faciat usque in finem propositum meum consummare. Illa vero principia bonæ conversationis sunt: siquidem per gratiam meruero sortem meam usque in finem sine impedimento percipere. Timeo enim dilectionem vestram, ne ipsa me lædat. Vobis enim facile est quod vultis facere: mihi autem difficile est Deum promereri: tamen potero; si vos peperceritis mihi, occasione amicitire carnalis.
II. Nolo igitur vos homini placere, sed Deo: sicuti et complacuistis. Nec enim ego habui aliquando tale tempus, ut Deum promeruissem. Ergo et si vos tacueritis, meliori operi conscribemini. Si enim tacueritis de me; ego efficior Dei: si autem dilexeritis carnem meam ; iterum ero currens. Multum enim mihi præstatis, si me offeratis Deo, cum jam altare paratum est:

## sillolle:le

siquidem voluntas sit, ut dignificer in finem esse. Principium quidem enim bene dispensatum est ; siquidem gratia mea potiar, ad hæreditatem meam sine impedimento lucrari. Timeo enim charitatem vestram, ne ipsa me lædat. Vobis enim facile est, quod vultis facere : mihi autem difficile est Deo potiri ; siquidem vos non parcitis mihi.
II. Non enim volo vos hominibus placere, sed Deo placere: quemadmodum et placetis. Neque enim ego habebo aliquando tempus tale Deo potiendi: neque vos, si taceatis, meliori operi habetis inscribi. Si enim taceatis a me, ego verbum Dei : si autem desideretis carnem meam, rursus factus sum vox. Plus autem mihi non tribuetis, quam sacrificari Deo, dum adhuc sacrificatorium paratum est:











## SHORTER.
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 ivee $\mu$ ì̀ $\mu$ úvon $[\lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$ zà
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IONGBRI.
ut, in dilectione chorus effecti, cantetis Deo Patri in Christo Jesu, quoniam episcopum Syris dignum fecit Deus inveniri in Occidente, ab Oriente precmittens suam passionem, martyrem bonum, proficiscentem de mundo ad Deum, ut in ipso oriar.
III. Nunquam fascinâstis aliquem: alios docuistis. Ego autem rogo, ut secundum illa firma, que docti estis, ex omni virtute optetis mihi, ut non solum dicar, sed etiam inveniar Christianus. Si autem inventus fuero, et dici possum ; et tunc esse fidelis, quando mundo non comparuero. Nihil enim de his quæ videntur æternum est. Quæ enim videntur, inquit, temporalia sunt: quæ autem non videntur, æterna sunt: non solum in opere, sed etiam in magnitudine. Christianitas enim est quæ oditur a mundo, amatur a Deo: [quia non est wh. xv. 19. ab hominibus, sed ex Deo.] Si enim essetis, inquit, de mundo, mundus quod suum est diligeret: munc autem quia non estis de mundo, propterea odit vos mundus; sed -4. ego elegi vos: manete in me.

SHOR'IER.
ut, in charitate chorus effecti, cantetis Patri in Jesu Christo, quoniam Episcopum Syrix dignificavit Deus inveniri, in Occidentem ab Oriente transmittens. Bonum occidere a mundo in Deum, ut in ipso oriar.
III. Nunquam invidistis in aliquo : alios edocuistis. Ego autem volo, ut et illa firma sint, quæ docentes præcepistis. Solum mihi potentiam petatis ab intra et ab extra, ut non solum dicam, sed et velim; non ut solum dicar Christianus, sed et inveniar. Si enim inveniar, et dici possum ; et tunc fidelis esse, quando utique mundo non appareo. Nihil apparentia bonum est. Deus enim noster Jesus Christus in Patre existens magis apparet. Non suasionis opus sed magnitudinis est Christianus ; quando utique oditur a mundo.
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 ả $\lambda$ ńtopar，＂va zatagòs «̈gros $\Theta \varepsilon о \tilde{u} \varepsilon \dot{\cup} \varepsilon \varepsilon \theta \tilde{\omega} . \mathrm{M} \tilde{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov zo $\lambda \alpha \approx \varepsilon u ́-$ батє т立 Ingía，íva pob тá $\varphi$ os

 $\mu_{n}$ zorpentsis，$\beta$ agús tivs eipe $0 \dot{\eta}-$


 тая．ムıгаvョv́бate тòn Kúgrov
 тои́тar $\left[\Theta_{\varepsilon \tilde{\sim}}\right]$ Nuбía $\varepsilon \dot{\rho} \varepsilon \theta \tilde{\omega}$ ．










## 1.ON(IER.

IV. Ego scribo omnibus Ecclesiis, et omnibus mando: quia voluntarie pro Deo morior, si vos non præpedieritis. Rogo itaque vos, ut non frustra sit in me dilectio vestra. Sinite me ut bestiarum esca sim; per quam possum Deum promereri. Triticum Dei sum: dentibus bestiarum molar, ut mundus panis Dei inveniar. Magis autem blandimini bestiis, ut mihi sepulchrum sint, et nihil relinquant de meo corpore: ut non obdormiens, gravis alicui inveniar. Tunc vero ero discipulus Jesu Christi, cum corpus meum mundus non videat. Deprecamini Dominum pro me, ut per has operationes sacrificium inveniar.

SHORTER.
IV. Scribo Ecelesiis, et præcipio omnibus, quoniam volens pro Deo morior; siquidem vos non prohibeatis. Deprecor vos, non concordia intempestiva fiatis mihi. Dimittite me bestiarum esse cibum; per quas est Deo potiri. Frumentum sum Dei ; et per dentes bestiarum molar, ut mundus panis inveniar Christi. Magis blandite bestiis, ut mihi sepulchrum fiant, et nihil derelinquant eorum quæ corporis mei; ut non dormiens gravis alicui inveniar. Tunc ero discipulus verus Jesu Christi, quando neque corpus meum mundus videbit. Orate Christum pro me, ut per organa ista Dei sacrificium inveniar.
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> LONGER.

Non sicut Petrus et Paulus precipio vobis. Illi enim ApostoliJesu Christi sunt; ego autem minimissimus: illi vero liberi sicut servi Dei; ego autem usque nunc servus. Sed si passus fuero, libertus ero Jesu Christi ; et resurgam in eo liber. Nunc disco, vinctus, nihil mundi concupiscere, aut aliquid vanum.
V. A Syria usque ad Romam cum bestiis depugno, per terram et mare, nocte et die; vinctus cum decem leopardis, hoc est, cum militari custodia : qui ex beneficiis pejores fiunt. In injustitiis autem eorum magis edoceor: sed nec in hoc quidem justificor. Utinam fruar bestiis, quæ mihi paratæ sunt: de quibus opto compendium mihi inveniri; et illiciam ut celerius me commedant: ne sicut in aliis territæ sunt, et non eos tetigerunt:

## SHORTER.

Non ut Petrus et Paulus præcipio vobis. Illi Apostoli, ego condemnatus ; illi liberi, ego usque nunc servus. Sed si patiar, manumissus fiam Jesu Christi; ut resurgam liber. Et nunc disco, vinctus, nihil concupiscere.
V. A Syria usque Romam cum bestiis pugno, per terram et per mare, nocte et die; vinctus decem leopardis, quod est militaris ordo: qui et beneficiati deteriores fiunt. In injustificationibus autem ipsorum magis erudior: sed non propter hoc justifica- 1 cor. iv. 4. tus sum. Sortiar bestiis mihi esse paratis; et oro promptas mihi inveniri: quibus et blandiar, cito me devorare; non quemadmodum quos dam timentes non tetigerunt:







## SHORTER.
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 ঠè $\psi v \chi \grave{̀} \nu$ aủroû à ào入érn; [Tòv Kúpıov







 $\lambda$ дутá $\mu e$ eìvat, ко́ $\mu \omega \varphi$ мो̀ $\chi \alpha \rho i \sigma \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$.]





## 1,ONGER.

et si ipsee noluerint, ego eis vim faciam. Ignoscite mihi : ego scio quod mihi expediat. Nune incipio esse discipulus. Nulli xmulor visibilium et invisibilium, ut Jesum Christum merear adipisci. Ignis, crux, bestiarumque constantia, abscissio, separatio, confractio ossium, dissipatio membrorum, interitus totius corporis, et damnatio Diaboli; omnia in me veniant, ut Jesum Christum merear adipisci.
VI. Nihil me juvant quæ sunt mundi hujus: neque regnum temporale. Bonum est mihi mori in Jesu Christo, quam regnare in finibus terre. Quid enim prodest homini, 1t. xvi. 26. si totum mundum lucretur, animam uc. ix. 25. vero suam perdat? Dominum namque desidero Filium veri Dei, et Patrem Jesu Christi. Ipsum utique quæro, et illum qui pro nobis mortuus est et resurrexit. Ignoscite milhi fratres, ne mili impediatis ad vitam : Jesus enim est vita fidelium. Et ne velitis me mori : mors enim est vita sine Cliristo. Dei volens esse mundo non placeam. Sinite me puram lucem percipere. Lllue perveniens, homo Dei ero. Concedite mihi, ut sim imitator
sed et, si ipsae volentem non velint, ego vim faciam. Veniam mihi habete: quid mihi confert, ego cognosco. Nune incipio discipulus esse; nihil me zelare visibilium et invisibilium, ut Jesu Christo fruar. Ignis et crux, bestiarumque congregationes, dispersiones ossium, concisio membrorum, molitiones totius corporis, malæ punitiones Diaboli in me veniant ; solum ut Jesu Christo fruar.
VI. Nihil mihi proderunt termini mundi, neque regna seculi hujus. Bonum mihi mori propter Jesum Christum, quam regnare super terminos terræ. Illum quæro, qui pro nobis mortuus est ; illum volo, qui propter nos resurrexit. Ille lucrum mihi adjacet. Ignoscite mihi, fratres: non impediatis me vivere, non velitis me mori, Dei volentem esse; per mundum non separetis me, neque per materiam seducatis. Dimittite me purum lumen accipere: illuc adveniens, homo ero. Sinite me imitatorem





## SHORTER.


 $\theta e ́ \lambda \omega$, каì $\sigma \nu \mu \pi u \theta \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega \mu$, $\mu$, $i \partial \grave{\omega} s ~ \tau \grave{a}$ бvvé $\chi \nu \tau$ á $\mu \varepsilon$.

Z'. 'O ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \chi \omega \nu$ то̂̂ aîwoos тoútov

 ô̂v $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ i ́ \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ ß o \eta \theta e i ́ \tau \omega ~ a v i \tau \omega ิ . ~$











 vaîs roũ ßíou roútou. "Agrov

 $\sigma \tau 0 \tilde{u}$ тov̂ vioû тoû ఆєồ, тov̂ revo-











## LONGER.



 бvขé $\chi$ оขт $\alpha$ $\mu \epsilon$.

Z'. 'О ă $\rho \chi \omega \nu$ той aiĉvos тои́тоข $\delta \iota-$ $\alpha \rho \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon \beta о и ́ \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha i$ тท̀v єis [тòv]
 ov̂v $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu[\hat{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ ßоך $\theta \in i ́ \tau \omega \alpha u$ v-
 $\boldsymbol{\tau} \hat{\omega} \Theta \epsilon \widehat{\iota} . \quad$ M̀̀ $\lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon{ }^{\prime} 1 \eta \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu \mathbf{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$,

 $\pi \alpha \rho \omega ̀ \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}, \pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon^{\bullet}$ тои́тоוऽ $\delta є ̀$ $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu \pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma a \tau \epsilon$, ois $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \omega$ í $\mu \hat{\iota}{ }^{2}$. Z $\omega$ v $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ र $\rho a ́ \phi \omega$ vi $\mu \hat{\imath}, ~ \dot{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ тov [ $\delta i \alpha ̀$












 vaos ${ }^{2} \omega \eta$.












#  




1, (O) (il:R.
passionis Dei mei. Qui eura vult in seipso hatere, intelligat quod volo; et compatiatur mihi, sciens quid sit in me.
VII. Princeps mundi hujus diripere me vult, et in judicio Dei mei dirumpere. Nemo ergo de prasentibus vobis auxilictur ei: magis antem mei ardutores estote, hoe est, Dei. Nolite dicere Jesum Christum, mundun honorantes. Fascinus in vobis non habitet: neque, si vos presens rogavero, consentiatis mihi. His autem magis consentite quæ seribo vobis. Vivus enim vohis seribo, desiderans mori pro Christo. Meus enim amor crucifixus est, et non est in me: aqua autem alia viva manet in me intrinsecus mihi dicens: Veni ad Patrem. Non comedo escam corruptionis; neque voluptates vitæe hujus desidero. Panem Dei volo, panem coelestem, panem vitæ; quæ est caro Jesu Christi Filii Dei, qui natus est in novissimo ex semine David et Abrahæ: et potum volo sanguinem ejus; qui est dilectio incorruptibilis et vita æterna.
VIII. Jam nolo secundum homines vivere: hoc autem erit, si vos ii. 19. volueritis. Christo crucifigor: quaia jam ego non vivo; vivit vero in me Christus. Per modica seripta rogo vos; ne milhi obsistatis. Credite mihi quia Jesum diligo: quoniam et ipse dilexit
xr. 12. me, et seipsum tradidit pro me. Quid-retribuam ei, pro omnibus que retribuit milhi? Ipse autem Deus Pater et Dominus Jesus Christus manifestabit vobis hæc omnia; quia vero dico.

## - HOルTEK.

case passionis Dei mei. Si quis ipsum in scipso hahet, intelligat guod volo; et compatiatur mihi, sciens que continent me.
VII. Princepps seculi hujus rapere me vult, et cam que in Deum meum sententiam corrumpere. Nullus igitur presentium de vobis adjuvet: ipsi autem magis mei fiatis, hoc est, Dei mei. Non loquimini Jesum Christum, et mundum concupiscatis. Invidia in vobis non inhabitet: neque utique ego vos presens deprecor, credere milhi. His autem magis credite quæ scribo vobis. Vivens enim scribo vobis, desiderans mori. Meum desiderium crucifixumest; et non est in me ignis amans aliquam aquam: sed vivens et loquens est in me, intus me dicit; Veni ad Patrem. Non delector cibo corruptionis, neque delectationibus vitæ hujus. Panem Dei volo ; quod est caro Christi, ejus qui ex genere David: et potum volo sanguinem ipsius; quod est charitas incorruptibilis.
VIII. Non amplius volo secundum homines vivere: hoc autem erit, si vos velitis. Velite autem, ut et vos acceptemini. Per paucas literas deprecor vos: credite mihi. Jesus autem Christus vobis manifestabit hæc, quoniam vere dico;













## SHORTER．



 à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \Theta \epsilon o v . ~ ' ~ E a ̀ \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta \omega, ~$ $\dot{\eta} \theta \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon^{\circ} \quad \dot{\epsilon} \alpha \nu \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta о к \iota \mu \alpha \sigma \theta \hat{\omega}, \quad \dot{\epsilon} \mu \iota-$ $\sigma \dot{\eta} \sigma u \tau \epsilon$ ．















 $\nu \eta \delta \delta \iota^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \phi \in \sigma^{\prime} \omega \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu$ ả $\xi_{\imath} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha \kappa \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ ．



## LONGER．

 $\tau v ́ \chi \omega$ ，［ $\varepsilon \nu \Pi \nu \in v ́ \mu a \tau \iota ' A \gamma^{\prime}(\omega]$ ．Ov̉ катà $\sigma \alpha ́ \rho-$

 бокццабөิ，$\dot{\epsilon} \mu \iota \sigma \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon$.













 жата̀ то́خ．，$\mu \varepsilon$ тgońnaみov．

I＇．Грá $\phi \omega$ dè $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ảmò $\Sigma \mu i ́ \rho-$

 ひ̈入入оוऽ Кро́коя，тò тоөทтòv oैvоца．







 H $\Rightarrow$ I


LONGER.
Et vos simul condeprecamini mecum, ut laborem consequar in Spiritu Sancto Non secundum carnem vobis scripsi sed secundum dispositionem Dei. Si autem passus fuero, dilexistis me: sed si reprobatus fuero, odio me habuistis.
IX. Mementote in orationibus vestris illius qui pro me recturus est Ecclesiam quæ est in Syria. Credite
h. x. 11. Domino dicenti. Ego sum pastor bonus; et solus eam visitabit: et sit vestra in eo dilectio. Ego autem et erubesco ex ipsis dici. Non enim sum dignus esse ultimus eorum aut purgamentum : sed miserabilis sum nunc, donec Deum merear adipisci. Salutat vos spiritus meus, et dilectio ecclesiarum quæ me susceperunt, sicut nomen Jesu Christi. Habentes enim me, non quasi transeuntem, aspiciebant viam meam et per civitatem me deducebant.
X. Hæc igitur scribo vobis de Smyrna, per Ephesios beatudine dignos. Est autem mecum simul cum aliisCrocus, desiderabile mihi nomen:

## SHORTER

non mendax os, in quo Pater vere locutus est. Petite pro me, ut attingam. Non secundum carnem vobis scripsi; sed secundum sententiam Dei. Si patiar, voluistis; si reprobus efficiar, odivistis.
IX. Mementote in oratione vestra ejus quæ in Syria Ecclesiæ, quæ pro me pastore Deo utitur. Solus ipsi Jesus Christus vice Episcopi sit, et vestra charitas. Ego autem erubesco ex ipsis dici. Non enim sum dignus, existens extremus ipsorum, et abortivum: sed misericordiam consecutus sum aliquis esse, si Deo fruar. Salutat vos meus spiritus, et charitas Ecclesiarum quæ receperunt me in nomine Jesu Christi, ut non transeuntem. Etenim non advenientes mihi in via quæ secundum carnem, secundum civitatem me præcesserunt.
X. Scribo autem vobis hæc a Smyrna, per Ephesios digne beatos. Est autem et simul mecum cum aliis multis et Crocus, desideratum mihi nomen:




 $\left.\Theta_{\varepsilon \sigma \tilde{\nu}}^{n} \dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\nu} \nu\right]$.

## SHORTER.

## LONGER.





 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \alpha v \bar{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ 。 ${ }^{3}$ E $\gamma \rho \alpha-$









 ข้นิข $\tau \alpha ข ิ \tau \alpha ~ \tau ท ̣ ̂ ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \grave{\epsilon} v \nu \epsilon ́ \alpha ~ к \alpha \lambda \alpha \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$



#     (3) (2) 可 (ril fuasco 

## 1.ON(IRM.

ex his qui advenerunt de Syria ad Romam in gloria Dei. Credo vos agnoscere quee mihi mandâstis, adhue proxime constituto. Ommes enim digni sumt Deo: et apud vos me oportet in ommibus requiescere. Seripsi vobis haee, nono Calendas Septembris. Incolumes estote usque in finem, in patientia Jesu Christi. Amen.
shortwis.
de advenientibus mecum a Syria in Romam, ad gloriam Dei, eredo vos cogrovisse; quibus et manifestatis prope me existentem. ()mmess enim sunt digni Deo et vobis: funs decens est vos secundum ommiat quictare. Scripsi antem vohis haec, in ea quæ ante ix. Kalendas Septembris. Valete in finem, in sustinentia Jesu Christi.


## OTHER EPISTLLES

## MENTIONED BY EUSEBIUS

AS ATTREBURED TO

## ST. I G N A TIUS.

## EPISTLE TO THE MAgNESIANS．

The words and sentences enclosed thus［ ］in the Shorter Recension have no equivalent in the Longer．

## SHORTER．

## MATVHEHEYEIN．


 Пatрòs év＇I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho ı \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma \omega$－


 $\delta \rho \omega, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \epsilon \cup ้ \chi о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \epsilon ้ v \Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \Pi \alpha-$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ．Гvoùs vín̂v tò $\pi o \lambda u \epsilon u ́-$
 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \dot{\omega} \mu \in \nu$ оs $\pi \rho о є ı \lambda о ́ \mu \eta \nu$ év $\pi i$ i－ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$＇I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \lambda \alpha-$



 барко̀s каı $\pi \nu \epsilon$ и́ $\mu \alpha т о s ~ ' I \eta \sigma о и ̆ ~$ Xрıбтой，［тои̂ ठıんтаvтòs र̀ $\mu \omega \hat{\nu}$




 vos tои́tou［каi］סıaфujóvtes





## LONGER．

## TOY AYTOX EIIITOAH חPO乏 MAГNHEIO）$\Sigma$ ．



 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta े \nu$ є́кк $\lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime} \alpha \nu$ тク̀ $\nu$ oû－
 $\delta \rho \omega$ ，ка亢 єü $\chi о \mu \alpha \iota$ èv Єєஸ̣ Патрі，


$\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ ．Гvoùs $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ тò $\pi о \lambda \nu \epsilon$ и́т $\alpha к т о \nu$

 $\sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \hat{\sigma} \alpha \iota$ ن́ $\mu \imath \nu .{ }^{\prime} A \xi \iota \omega \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$

 бías，èv גîs év
 $\sigma \omega \tau \grave{j} \rho \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu, \mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ dè $\pi \iota-$


 èmи́peıav тoû aîêvos toútou סıa申єú－



 $\pi о v, ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \cup \tau \in ́ \rho \omega \nu ~ \Theta є о र ी ~ \alpha ̉ \xi i ́ \omega \nu$,

## EPISTLE TO THE MAGNESIANS．



## I．ON（：ERA

RIUSDEM EPISTOLA AD<br>MAGNESIANOS．

Seripta ex Smyrma．

Ignatius，qui et Theophorus，bene－ dictae［Ecelesie］gratia Dei Patris in Christo Jesu salvatore nostro ； in quo saluto Eeclesiam que est in Magnesia juxta Mrandrum，et oro in Deo Patre，et Jesu Christo Domino nostro：in quo plurimum vos gaudere opto．

I．Cognoscens vestram secundum
Deum bene dispositam dilectionem： exsultans assumpsi in fide Jesu Chris－ ti alloqui vos．Dignus effectus sancti atque desideratissimi nominis，in his que gesto vinculis ；cano Ecclesias， in quibus laudare opto carne et spi－ m．iv．10．ritu Jesu Christi ；qui est salvator om－ nium hominum，maxime fidelium ：cu－ jus sanguine redempti estis，per quem al．iv．9．cognovistis Deum，immo cogniti estis ab eo；in quo sustinentes，seculi hu－ or．x．13．jus tentationem effugite．Fidelis est autem，qui non permittet tentari vos super id quod potestis sufferre．

II．Quoniam ergo merui videre vos per Deo dignum Episcopum vestrum Damam，et Deo dignos

SHOルIVにル。
AD MAGNLSSIOS．
Ignatius，qui et Theophorus， benedictas in gratia Dei Pa－ tris in Christo Jesu salvatore nostro；in quo saluto Eecle－ siam existentem in Magnesia ea quæ juxta Mæandrum，et oro in Deo Patre et Jesu Christo plurimum gaudere．
I．Cognoscens vestram mul－ tibonam ordinationem ejus quar secundum Deum charitatis； exultans preelegi in fide Jesu Christi alloqui vos．Dignifi－ catus enim nomine Deo－decen－ tissimo in quibus circumfero vinculis，canto Ecclesias，in qui－ bus unionem oro carnis et spi－ ritus Jesu Christi；ad nos sem－ per vivere fidei quod et chari－ tatis，cui nihil præfertur，prin－ cipalius autem Jesu et Patris， in quo sustinentes omne nocu－ mentum principis seculi hu－ jus et perfugientes Deo poti－ mur．

II．Quia igitur dignifica－ tus sum videre vos per Dama dignum Deo vestrum Episco－ pum，et Presbyteros dignos

SHORTER．
В За́ббоикаї＇Ато入． $\lambda \omega v i ́ o v$, каı той бviסoúخov $\mu$ ио סıкко́voи $\Sigma \omega$ тíw－ vos，ô̂ è $\gamma$ © ob ó $v \alpha i ́ \mu \eta v$ ，ӧть іто－ $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega}$ è $\pi \iota-$ $\sigma \kappa о ́ \pi \omega[\dot{\omega}$ ］$\chi$ х́рıть $\Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}, \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \in \sigma-$ Butєрị́ ìs vópu ＇I In oov Xpıбтой．
$\Gamma^{\prime}$ K $\alpha$ î úpiv ס $\grave{~}$ $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \ell ~ \mu \grave{~} \quad \sigma u \gamma-$ $\chi \rho \alpha \hat{\alpha} \theta \alpha \iota$ т кі́ą той є̇тıбко́тои， ${ }^{3} \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \dot{́} \nu \alpha-$ $\mu ı \nu$ Єєov̂ Патрòs $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ єेvтро $\quad$ ทेv
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\omega}$ eै $\gamma \nu \omega \nu$ к $\alpha i$ тoùs $\dot{\alpha}$ jíous $\pi \rho \in \sigma-$ ßutépous，ov̉ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$－ єi入ŋфо́таs тウ̀v ф $\alpha \ell-$ vо習v $\nu v$ vє $\omega \tau \epsilon-$ рıкウ̀ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \xi_{\iota} \ell \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ［ $\dot{\omega}$ ］ф $\quad$ ооíuous $\hat{c}^{\nu} \nu \Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}[\sigma v \gamma \chi \omega-$
 $\alpha u ̋ T \hat{\omega}$ ठє̀，$\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ $\tau \hat{\varphi} \Pi \alpha \tau \rho i ̀ ~ ' I \eta \sigma o \hat{\imath}$ X $\tau \omega \nu$ є่ $\pi เ \sigma \kappa$ о́тఢ．
Eis $\tau \iota \mu \eta \nu]$ ỗv［ $\epsilon-$ кeívou тô̂ $9 \in \lambda$ ク́－ $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau o s$ ท̂ $\mu \hat{\alpha} \varsigma] \pi \rho \varepsilon ́-$ mov＇̇ $\sigma \tau i \nu$ è $\pi \alpha-$ кои́єıข кат $\dot{\alpha} \mu \eta^{-}$ бєцíav viтókpıбıv． с̇ $\pi \epsilon$ i oủ $\chi$ öтt тòv є̇ті́бкотоン той－ тov tòv $\beta \lambda$ стó－ $\mu \in v o v \pi \lambda \alpha v \hat{Q} \tau I S$ ，
 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda о \gamma і$＇єєт $\alpha \cdot \tau$ о̀

## l．ONGER．


 $\sigma є \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega}$ є̀ $\pi \iota \sigma к о ́ \pi \omega ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho є \sigma \beta и т є \rho i ́ \varphi, ~ \chi \alpha ́ \rho \iota т \iota ~$
































## I, ()NはFIR.

Preshyteros, Bassum seilicea et Apollonimm, at convivam meum Zotionem quem ego mutrivi ; queniam subditus est Episcopo et Preshyteris in gratia Dei, et Iegre Jesu Christi.
111. Et vos oportet non contemmere atatem Eppiscopi; sed secundum ordinationem Dei Patris omnem vencrationem ei exhibere; secundum quod cognovi etiam sanctos Presbyteros ei deferre; non propter juventutem, quae in eo videtur, arbitrantes cum contemnendum, sed in sapientia Dei ei obedire. ah. xxxii. Quoniam quidem non longi temporis sunt sapientes; neque senes sciunt prulentiam: sed spiritus est in hoDan. siii. minibus. Denique Daniel sapientissimus, duodecim amorum effectus, Spiritu Sancto repletus est; et illos seniores, canitiem vanam halentes, calumniatores et aliene pulchritudinis appetitores esse manifestavit. isiminiii. Samuel ctiam, cum esset puer pusillus, nonagenarium Heli sacerdotem per increpationem redarguit, quod filios suos honorificaret super Deum. Similiter et crem. i. 7. Hieremias audit a Domino: Noli dicere quia juvenis sum ego. Salomon quoque et Josias [in juventute mirifici et sapientes in operibus suis apparuerunt.] : Reg. iii. Salomon quidem, duodecimo anno ætatis suæ regnare incipiens, arduam et interpretabilem mulierum pro parReg. xxiii. vulis quæestionem terribili judicio dissolvit. Josias vero, octo amnorum existens, aras et monumenta subvertere cœpit, lucos excidere, et templa dejicere; quæ non Deo, sed dæmonibus fuerant consecrata. Nam et pseudo-sacerdotes interfecit, ut corruptores et seductores hominum; non divinitati, [sed diabolo] servientes. Propter quod noin est contemnenda juventus, cum Deo adjacuerit: sed illa ætas quæ sententiæ nocet, etiam si inveterata fuerit dierum malorum. Juvenis fuit et Christoferus Timotheus: sed audite, inn. iv. is. qualia ei scripsit magister. Nemo, inquit, juventutem tuam contemnat: sed forma esto fidelium, in verbo, in conversatione. Dignum est ergo vos obedire Episcopo vestro, et in nullo ei contradicere. Terribile est enim tali contradicere. Non enim istum visibilem quis spernit; sed illum invisibilem in eo contemnit,

## 

Bussum ct Apol- $^{\text {pol }}$ loninm, et conservim meum Diaconum Zotionem, que ego fruar; quoniam subjectus est Episeopo ut graties Dei, c.t Presbyterio ut legi Jesu Christi. [Glorificato Deum patrem Domini Jesu Christi.]
III. Sed et vos decet non couti atate Episcopi, sed seciman virtutem Dei Patris omnem reverentiam ei tribuere,sicut agnovi etsanctosPresbyteros,non assumentes apparentem juniorem ordinem, sed ut prudentes in Deo concedentes ipsi; non ipsi autem, sed Patri Jesu Christi omnium Episcopo. In honorem igitur illius volentis nos decens est obedire, secundum nullam hypocrisim: quia nequaquam Episcopum hune conspectum seducit quis, sed invisibilem paralogizat.

## SHORTER。

ס̀̀ totoûtor，oủ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \alpha ́ \rho к \alpha ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́-~$ ros，$\alpha_{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho$ òs Өcòv，［Tò̀ т $\tau \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \rho^{\prime}-$ $\phi \iota \alpha$ cióór $\alpha$ ．］
$\Delta^{\prime}$ ．Пре́тоข oûv è $\sigma \tau \iota v$ ù $\mu$ úvov $\kappa \alpha \lambda \in i \hat{\sigma} \theta \alpha \iota \quad \mathrm{X} \rho \iota^{-}$ $\sigma \tau \iota \alpha \nu o u ̀ s, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ． каi єîval ढ̈ठтєр каі́ тıєє ѐтітко－ $\pi o \nu \mu e ̀ v ~ \kappa \alpha \lambda o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \tau$ ， $\chi$ wpis ס̀є аủtoû $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \alpha \quad \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma o u-$ бıv．Oí тоoûtol
 тoí Mor eival фaí－
 $\beta \in \beta \alpha i ́ \omega s$ кат ${ }^{\prime}$ èv－ то入ウ̀v $\sigma u v \alpha \theta \rho o i ́-$ $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．］
E＇．＇E $\pi \in \grave{o}$ ởv $\tau$ é－ خos $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ Є́ $\chi є$ ，каї ѐті́кєь－ $\tau \alpha!\left[\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{v} o \delta^{\delta} \mu o \hat{v}\right.$ ，

 tos eis tòv iólov тótov $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \quad \chi^{\omega-}$ $\rho \in i v^{*}$ ढ̈бтєן $\gamma \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \rho$ ѐбтии vоці $\sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$

 кабтоv $\alpha u ̉ \tau \omega ิ v$ l＇－$^{\prime}$ ôov $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha к т \eta \rho р$
 оі äтıбтоı той ко́б－ pou toútou，oi öè


## LONGER．























 фaivovial．
















### 1.0N(ik:ls.

qui non potest als aligue comommi. Hie mutem nom Som. viii.i. ab homine, sed a Deo habet promotionom. Dicit enim Dens Sammeli [de comtemptoribus ejus:] Non 10 spreverunt, sed me. Nam at Moysers (populo ado-
 murmurn̂stis, sed adversus Domimum Deum. Nemu enim imultus remansit, qui se contra potiores extulit.
Nom. sni Denigue in lege, Dathan of Abiron Moysi resistentes, vivi ad inferos depositi sunt. Sed et Chore, et qui conspiraverunt cum co adversus Aaron, ducenti quinSm, somi quaginta igne consumti sunt. Absalon ctiam, parricida existens, [divino judicio] arbori appensus est: sed et cor ejus, quod mala cogitaverat, sagittis infixum est. Nam et Achab et Dadan, nihilomimus propter similem Chon sxri causam capite plexus est. Ozias quoque leprosus factus est ; qui contra sacerdotes sacerdotium arripere auI mum siiu. sus est. Saul [etiam sacrifieare presumens, regali dignitate] dehonoratus est; non expectans principem sacerdotum Samuelem. Oportet ergo et nos revercri potiores.
IV. Et dignum est, non solum vocari [nos] Christianos, sed etiam esse. Non enim dicere, sed esse facit beatum. Quidam autem Episcopum quidem vocant; et practer ipsum omnia faciunt. 'Talibus ergo etiam ipse [Christus] dicit, qui et verus et primus est Episcopus et solus natura Pontifex: Quid me vocatis, Domine, Domine; et non facitis quæ dico? tales enim non bonæ scientiæ, sed derisores et simulatores milhi esse videntur.
V. Quoniam vero negotia finem habent, et adjacet quidem vita ex observatione, mors vero ex inobedientia; et [necesse est ut] unusquisque in locum, quem sibi de prædictis elegerit, in futuro esse præcipiatur : fugiamus itaque mortem, et eligamus vitam. Duos enim characteres dico in hominibus inveniri: unum quidem verum monetæ signaculum, alium vero adulterinum. Pius enim homo et religiosus vera moneta est, quæ a Deo formata vel expressa est. Impius vero et irreligiosus falsa moneta est, noxia, adultera, prava; quæ non a Deo, sed a Diabolo facta est. [Hæc autem asserens, ] non duas naturas hominum esse dico: sed unum eundemque hominem; qui aliquando quidem Dei, aliquando autem Diaboli efficitur. Si quis [igitur] pius fuerit, homo Dei est: si quis vero impius extiterit, Diaboli est; non ex natura, sed ex sua sententia factus. Infideles enim imaginem habent Principis nequitiæ: fideles autem formam habent auctoris omnium

SIIO115EIL.
Tale: sutem Hon adenrmemsermo, sed ad Docum ab)secondita sejente.in.
IV. Decens igitar est, non sofum vocariChristianos, sed et esse : quemadmodum quidam E:piscopumquidem vocant; sine ipso autem omnia operantur. Tales autem non bonas conscientiax mihi esse videntur, propter non firmiter secundum preceptum congregari.
V. Quia igitur finem res habent, et proponuntur duo simul, mors et vita; et unusquisque in proprium locum iturus est. Quemadmodum enim sunt numismata duo, hoc quidem Dei, hoc autem mundi: et unumquodqueipsorum proprium characterem superpositum habet; infideles, mundi hiljus, fideles autem, in charitate characterem

SHORTER．
$\chi \alpha \rho \alpha к т \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha$ Өєoû Патро̀s סıà＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u}$


 $\dot{\eta} \mu i v$.
s＇．＇Eாeì oûv èv toîs $\pi \rho 0 \gamma \in$－

 $\pi \eta \sigma \alpha, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \omega ̂$ èv ó óovoía $\Theta \in o v ̂$ $\sigma \pi o u \delta \dot{\alpha} \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \nu, \pi \rho 0-$
 $\pi о \nu$ Єєои̂，к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$

 $\gamma \lambda \cup к и т \alpha ́ т \epsilon \nu, \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \sigma т є \nu \mu \in ́ v \omega \nu$ дıако－ víav＇I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ ~ X \rho \iota \sigma \tau o u ̂, ~ o ̀ ̀ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \alpha i \omega ́ \omega v \omega ~$
 \＃ávтes oûv ó $\mu \circ \hat{\prime} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{\imath} \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v-$


 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda o u s \quad[\delta \iota \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{s}$ ］$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \alpha \hat{\alpha} \tau \epsilon$.

 ѐтьбко́тю［каі тоі̂ऽ трокаӨпне́－ vors，eis tútov каì סıठахク̆̀ $\dot{\alpha} \phi-$ $\theta$ aprias．］

Z＇．＂$\Omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oûv ó Kर́pıos ảvєu



 Buтép $\omega v, \mu \eta \delta ̀ ̀ v \nu$［ $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \in \tau \epsilon^{*} \quad \mu \eta-$ סè $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon]$ eủno óv $\tau \iota$ фaívc－








 $\kappa \alpha \grave{ } \chi^{\omega \rho \eta \eta} \eta \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ ．］

LONGER．
Єcoû Патро̀s，киù＇Iクбoû Xрıбтой．
 тò $\dot{v \pi} \pi \grave{\rho} \rho \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \theta$ éas $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \theta \alpha \nu \in i ̂ \nu$ eis tò
 èv $\dot{\eta} \mu i v$.
s＇．＇E $\pi \epsilon \iota$ oûv ẻv тoîs $\pi \rho \circ \gamma \in \gamma \rho \alpha \mu$－ Mévols $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ ćmoıs tò $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \quad \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os è $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \eta \sigma \alpha$ ，èv $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota ~ \kappa \alpha i \grave{a} \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta, \pi \alpha-$
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \rho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau \epsilon \ell \nu, \pi \rho о к \alpha \theta \eta \mu$ évov то仑̂
 $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau$ е́ $\rho \omega$ н єis тótov $\sigma u v \in \delta$ рíou $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \kappa o ́ v \omega \nu$ ，







 $\lambda$ ous $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu^{*}$ к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ is к $\alpha \tau \alpha ̀$ $\sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \in ́ \tau \omega$ тòv $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma$ iov，$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$




$Z^{\prime}$ ．＂$\Omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ô̂v ó K Kúplos ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \in v$ той Патрòs oủòèv тoteî Oủ dívauat





 Өрóv．Пávтes émi тò aủtò év тì̀ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma-$





 बтท́pıov，èmì éva＇I $\eta \sigma \circ$ ồv Xpıбтòv，


### 1.0N(ifill.

Dei Patris, et Christi Jessu filii cjus. Propter quod si nom elegerimus pro veritate mori in passione ipsius ; vita ejus nom est in nobis.
VI. Et quoniam in praseriptis personis multitudinem [vestri]contemplatus sum, in fide et dilectione: moneo ut manimes in Deo ommin facere festinetis; assidente Episcopo in loco Dei, et Presbyteris in loco consistorii Apostolortum, et Diaconis dulcissimis mihi, quibus creditum est ministerium Christi Jesu; qui ante sceula genitus est a Patre, Deus Verbum, unigenitus Filius: et in consummatione seculorum ipse permanet. Regni enim cjus non erit finis ; inquit Daniel proplicta. Omnes in concordia invicem diligamus: et secundum carnem nemo consideret proximum suum, sed secundum Christum Jesum. Nihil sit in vobis, quod vos possit separare: sed adunamini Episcopo; subjecti per ipsum Deo in Christo.
VII. Sicuti ergo Dominus sine

Joll r. 30. Patre nihil facit: non enim possum, inquit, a me ipso facere quicquam: sic etiam et vos sine Episcopo; sive Presbyter, sive Diaconus, sive Laicus. Non [ergo] aliquid rationabile vobis videatur extra ipsius sententiam : tale etenim iniquum est, et Deo inimicum. Omnes in idipsum ad orationem simul convenite. Una deprecatio sit communis, unus animus, una spes, dilectio immaculata, in fide Christi Jesu; qua nihil [aliud] melius est. Omnes adunati ad templum Dei concurrite, sicut ad unum altare; sicut ad unum Jesum Christum, Pontificem ingeniti Dei Patris.

SHONTV:
Dei Patris per Jesum Christum; per quem nisi voluntaric habrat mus mori in ipsins pressionem, vivere ipsius non est in mobis.
VI. Quia igitur in praseriptis personis omnem multitudinem spectulatus sums in fide et dilectione, moneo, in concordia Dei studete omnia operari; praesidente Episcopo in loco Dei, et Presbyteris in loco consessionis Apostolorum, et Diaconis mili dulcissimis hal)entibus creditam ministrationem Jesu Christi ; qui ante secula apud Patrem crat, et in fine apparuit. Omnes igitur eandem consuetudinem Dei accipientes, veneremur adinvicem; et nullus secundum carnem adspiciat proximum, sed in Jesu Christo adinvicem semper diligite. Nihil sit in vobis, quod possit vos partiri; sed uniamini Episcopo et prosidentibus, in typum et doctrinam incerruptionis.
VII. Quemadmodum igitur Dominus sine Patre nihil fecit, unitus existens, neque per seipsum neque per Apostolos; sic neque vos sine Episcopo et Presbyteris aliquid operemini. Neque tentetis rationabile aliquid apparere proprie vobis: sed in idipsum una oratio, una deprecatio, unus intellectus, una spes, in charitate, in gaudio incoinquinato; quod est Christus Jesus, quo melius nihil est. Omnes ut in unum templum concurrite Dei, ut in unum altare; in unum Jesum Christum, ab uno Patre exeuntem, et in unum existentem et revertentem.

## SHORTEK。

II＇．Mì $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta e ~ \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~$ е́тєродо६íats，$\mu \eta \delta$ ѐ $\mu \nu \theta \epsilon u ́-$

 रрt ขv̂v кат $\dot{\alpha}$ vópov＇Iov－


 ката̀ Xрıттòv＇I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ \nu$ é＂$\zeta$ П－

 тท̂s $\chi$ 人́pitos［ $\alpha \dot{u} \tau о \hat{u}$ ， eis тò $\pi \lambda \eta$ рофор $\theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ тoùs $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota-$
 ó фаvepம́бas éautòv dıà ＇Iクбoû Xpiбtoû toû vioû $\alpha u ̉ \tau o u ̂$ ，ơs è ètiv aủtoû خó－
 $\pi \rho \rho \in \lambda \theta \grave{\omega} \nu$ ，òs $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$
 aủtóv．
$\Theta^{\prime}$ ．Eỉ oûv oi êv $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \ldots o i ̂ s$ $\pi \rho \alpha \dot{\gamma \mu \mu \sigma \sigma \iota \nu ~ \alpha ̀ v \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \alpha ф e ́ v-~}$
 そँ $\lambda \theta о \nu, \quad$ иךке́ти $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \tau i-$
 $\kappa \grave{\eta} \nu[\zeta \omega \grave{\nu} \nu \zeta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$,$] èv \hat{\eta}$ к $\kappa \alpha$ $\dot{\eta} \zeta \omega \eta$ خ̀ $\grave{\eta} \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} v \in ́ \tau \epsilon 1 \lambda \in \nu \delta i$
 тov̂，ơv $\tau \iota v \in s \dot{\alpha} \rho v o v ̂ v \tau \alpha \iota$－$[\hat{i}$＇
 тıбтєи́єเv，каі̀ дıà тоиิто
 $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i \quad$＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u}$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{\imath}$ то仑̂ $\mu$ óvou $\delta i \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda$ ov $\hat{\eta}_{-}^{-}$ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ •］$\pi \hat{\omega} s$ रे $\mu \in i ̂ s ~ \delta े v \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon-$


 к $\alpha$ 入ov $\alpha$ u̇тòv $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \delta$ óкоиv． ［кגì ö̀ тоѝто öv ס̀ıкаíws］
 aủtoùs èк vекрю̂̀．］

## LONGER．




 т̀̀ vóभov＇Tovддаіко̀̀ каі терьтоні̀ барко̀s









 $\tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \epsilon \in \mu \psi \alpha \nu \tau \iota \alpha \cup ๋ \tau o ́ v$.
$\Theta^{\prime}$ ．Ei oûv oi èv $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega o i ̂ s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̉ v \alpha-$
































 Хріттои．

## I.ONGE:IR.

VIII. Nolite errare in aliema gloria; Tini i. 4. neque intendatis fabulis, et penealogiis intermimatis, of Judacis inflationibus; Vetera or v. 17. enim transierumt; et cece facta sumt ommia nova. Si enim nune usque secundum legem Judaicam et cirenmeisionen carnis vivamus; negamus nos gratiam aceepisse. Sancti enim Propheta secandum Jesum Christum vixerunt; ideoque et persecutionem passi sunt, inspirati gratia ad manifestationem incredulis, quia unus est Deus omnipotens, qui manifestavit seipsum per Jesum Christum Filium suum, qui est Verbum ipsius, non prolativum scilicet, sed substantiale, non locutio articulatee vocis, sed operatio Deitatis; substantia scilicet genita, in omnibus bene complacens substitutori.
IX. Si ergo ex antiquis Scripturis conversi ad vacuam spem venerunt, expectantes Christum : sicuti ipse Dominus docet, tol. v. 46. dicens: Si crederetis Moysi, crederetis utique et mihi; de me enim ille scripsit. Nam et videret diem meum ; et vidit, et gavisus est : Ante Abraham enim, [inquit,] ego sum : Quomodo nos poterimus vivere sine ipso? cui et Prophetæ servi fuerunt, spiritu prævidentes eum, et tanquam magistrum expectabant, et sperabant ut Dominum et Salvatorem, dicentes; Ipse veniet, et salvabit nos. Non ergo sabbatizemus Judaice, ut feriis gaudentes. Qui enim non operatur, [inquit,]non manducet. [Et iterum :] In sudore vultus tui manducabis panem tuum; dicunt Eloquia. Sed unusquisque vestrum sabbatizet spiritualiter, meditationi Legis congaudens, non corporis dimissione, fabricationem Dei admirans, [velut Judæus;] non omnia comedens, et tepidum bibens, et mensurate ambulans, et saltationes atque plausum manuum nunc habens. Sed pro sabbatizatione diem festum celebret omnis Christianus Dominicam resurrectionem, regalem, eminentissimam omnium dierum: de qua Propheta expectans dicebat; In finem, pro octava: in qua die, et vita

## sHOाTE:IC.

VIII. Non erretis extraneis opintonibus, neque fabulis veteribus, inntili-1 Tim. 1.4 bus existentibus. Si enim usque nune secundum Judaismum vivimus ; confitemur gratiam non recepisse. Divinissimi enim Prophetas secundum Chiristum Jesum vixerunt. Propter hoe et persecutionem passi sumt, inspirati a gratia ipsius, ad certificari impersuasos, quoniam unus Deus est, qui manifestavit seipsum jer Jesum Christum filium ipsius; qui est ipsius Verbum aternum, non a silentio progrediens; qui secundum omnia beneplacuit mittenti ipsum.
IX. Si igitur in veteribus rebus conversati, in novitatem spei venerunt, non amplius sabbatizantes, sed secundum Dominicam viventes, in qua et vita nostra orta est per ipsum et mortem ipsius, quod quidam negant; per quod mysterium accepimus credere, et propter hoc sustinemus, utinveniamur discipuli JesuChristi, solius Doctoris nostri, quomodo nos poterimus rivere sine ipso? cujus et Prophetæ discipuli existentes, spiritu ipsum ut Doctorem expectabant; et propter hoc quod juste expectabant, præsens suscitavit ipsos ex mortuis.

Matth. xxrii. 52. nostra exorta est, et mortis in Christo facta rictoria, quem filii perditionis . negant, inimici scilicet Salvatoris, quorum deus venter est; qui terrena sapiunt; amatores voluptatum, et non Dei ; speciem quidem pietatis habentes, virthtem antem ejus ahmegantes: Christum mercantes rerhum, Dei in tabernis predicantes, et [Dominum] Jesum [Christim] vendentes, mulierum corruptores, aliena concupiscentes, et pecuniarum amatores: a quibus cruamini, misericordia Dei faciente, per Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum.

## SHOR'TER.

I'. Mì oûv $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha, \sigma \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \mu \in v$ тท̂s хрŋбтótทтоs au̇тoû. "Av


 ขо́мєvol, $\mu \dot{\alpha} \theta \omega \mu \in v$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ X \rho t-~$
 $\lambda \omega$ övóлать калеіттаı $\pi \lambda$ éov тои́tov, oűk è єтtv tồ $\Theta \in o \hat{v}$.
 $\mu \eta \nu \quad \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \omega \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \alpha \nu$ к $\alpha \grave{ }$




 бєтє.] "Атото́v é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \mathrm{X}$ рıбтòv



 $\sigma \tau \iota \alpha \nu \iota \sigma \mu \grave{o} \nu,] \dot{\omega} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \gamma \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha$ eis $\Theta \epsilon o ̀ v \sigma v v^{\prime} \chi \not \partial \eta$.

IA'. Tầт $\alpha$ ס̀̀, $\alpha \not \gamma \alpha \pi \eta т о i ́ ~$


 $\phi \nu \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \dot{~} \mu \alpha \hat{s}, \mu \grave{\eta}$ є̀ $\mu \pi \epsilon-$


 $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \ell, \kappa \alpha \hat{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \ell$ т $\hat{n}$
 vías Movtiou Пìд́átou. [ $\pi \rho \alpha-$


 ঠеvì úpêv ү́́vo九то.

## LONGER.















 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta \epsilon$ बis vé $\alpha \nu$ ऍ́v́ $\mu \eta \nu$ र́ápıтоs.

































## 1.1)N1:1:1t

X. Non enim sentimus utilitatem ejus, nisi nos tentaverit. Secundum autem quod agimus, jam non erimus, [nisi P- cans ipse nos miscratus fierit.] Si enim iniquitates observaverit; quis sustinebit? Efficiamur ergo digni engnomento gmod acsepinns. Qui enimalio nomine prater istud vocatur, hie mon est Dei. Non enim suscepit prophetian dicentem de noLis: Quonam vocabitur nomine novo, quo
Dominus vocabit eum; at erit pepmlus sanctus. Quod et completum est primo in Autiochia Syrie: ubi adquisierunt disci-
Ans an \%. puli nomen Christinmm, Petro et Paulo fundantibus Ecelesiam. Abjicite ergo
Gis r. i. malum vetus fermentum, quod corrumpit et putrefacit; et percipite novum gratie fermentum. Exultate in Christo; ut non aliemus dominetur vestri. Ineptum est [enim] Jesum Christum lingua [tantum] proferre; et Judaismum in anime habere. Non enim Christianitas in Judaismum [credidit; sed Jshaismus in Christianitatem.] Omnes denique gentiles Plum. ii.11. æqualiter crediderunt, et omnis lingua Christum confessa, ad Dominum collecta Mat. iii. 9. est : et facti sunt lapidei corde filii amici Jan iii.2.3. Dei Abrahæ; et in semine ejus benedicti Gal, iii. 16 . sunt omnes, qui in vitam æternam deputati sunt in Christo.
XI. Hæc antem, dilectissimi mihi, [taliter scribens,] non agnovi aliquos ex vobis sic habere: sed sicut minimus vestrum, volo vos conservatos esse, ut non incidatis in hamos inanis gloriæ; sed ut ad plenum instructi sitis in Christo: qui ante secula genitus est a Patre, postea autem factus ex Maria virgine, sine collocitione viri; et inhabitans sancte [et

## 116104 1 11

## X. Non igitur non semtia-

 mus benignitatem ipsins. Si onim nos persequatur sectundum quod opremamur; nem tumplins sumns. Propter hoe, diseipuli ejus efliecti, disramus seemadum Christianismum vivere. Qui enim alio nomine vocatur amplius ab hoc, non est Dei. Depo- 1 (ior. 8.7 . nite igitur malum fermentum inveteratum et exacnens ; et transponite in novum fermentum, qui est Jesus Christus. Salvificemini in ipso, ut non corrumpatur aliquis in vobis, qui ab odore redarguemini. Inconveniens est Jesum Christum perfari, et Judaizare. Christianismus enim non in Judaismum credidit, sed Judaismus in Christianismum : ut omnis lingua credens in Philip.iii. 11 . Deum congregaretur.XI. Hæc autem, dilecti mei, non quia cognovi aliquos ex vobis sic habentes: sed, ut minor vohis, volo preservari vos, ut non incidatis in hamos vanæ gloriæ: sed certificemini in nativitate et passione et resurrectione facta in tempore ducatus Pontii Pilati; quæ facta sunt vere et firmiter a Jesu Christo spe nostra, a qua averti nulli vestrum fiat. sine querela, $j$ omnem infirmitatem omnemque languorem curarit in populo, et signa atque prodigia pro beneficiis hominum fecit, et illis qui longe erant, et eis qui prope in multitudinem, unum et solum verum Deum Patrem sum amunciarit: et passionem pertulit, et ab interfectoribus suis Judeis sub Pontio Pilato Preside, et Herode Rege erucem sustinuit ; et mortuns est, atque resurrexit; et in ceelos ascendit ad illum qui misit cum, et selet ad dexteram ejus, venturusque est in consummatione seculi in gloria paterna judicare vivos et mortuos, et reddere unicuique secundum opera sua. Нæc qui in pleno cognoverit et crediderit, beatus est : quomodo et vos amici Dei, et amatores Christi estis, in plenitudine spei nostre; a qua nulli restrum averti contingat.

## SHORTER．

IB＇．＇Ovaí $\mu \nu \nu \dot{\nu} \mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha-$

 éva tôv $\lambda \in \lambda u \mu \in ́ v \omega v ~ ن ̂ \mu \hat{\omega} v$ oủk єípi．Oîठ $\alpha$ öтt oủ фu－
 $\sigma \tau o ̀ v]$ é $\chi \in \tau \epsilon \in \in ้$ éavtoîs．K $\alpha \grave{ }$


 є́ $\alpha \cup \tau о \hat{u}$ катท́ $\gamma о \rho о s$.

II＇．$\Sigma \pi$ оvס́á $\zeta$ ete oủv $\beta_{\epsilon-}$ ßaıw日ñvaı èv тois סó $\gamma \mu \alpha-$ $\sigma \iota v$ тои̂ Kupiou каi т $\omega$ ט $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ ，ív $\alpha$ ．$\pi \alpha \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ ö $\sigma \alpha$
 кі ка̀ $\pi \nu \epsilon \cup ́ \mu \alpha т \iota, \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$
 Патрі，каі èv Пvєúpatı， év $\alpha \rho \chi \hat{n}$ к $\alpha \grave{\prime}$ èv тé $\lambda \epsilon \iota$ ，］ $\mu \in \tau \dot{\alpha}$ то仑 $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \not ะ \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́-$ точ Є่тıбко́тои $\dot{\imath} \mu \omega ิ \nu$ ，каі גेछıот入о́кои $\pi \nu \in \cup \mu \alpha т ı к о \hat{v}$ бтєф́́vou то仑 $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta$ тє－ píov $\dot{\cup} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ кат $\alpha$ Єсо̀ бıако́vшv．＇Үтота́－
 $\lambda \eta ́ \lambda o t s$ ，$\omega s$［＇I $\eta \sigma 0 \hat{v}$ ］］X $\rho \iota-$ $\sigma \tau o ̀ s \tau \hat{\omega} \Pi \alpha \tau \rho \grave{i}[\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha}-$


 $\hat{\eta}^{\dagger}[\sigma \alpha \rho к ⿺ к \eta \dot{\eta} \tau є к \alpha i \quad \pi v \in v-$ $\mu \alpha т \iota K \eta$ ．］

I $\Delta^{\prime}$ ．Eiò̀̀s oٌtı $\Theta \in o \hat{v} \gamma^{\prime}-$

 тє́ $\mu \circ u$ Є่ $\nu \tau \alpha i s ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon v \chi \alpha i s$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，ǐva Єeov̂ èmıтú $\omega$ ，



 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi \hat{\eta}$ к каi $\alpha$ 人 $\gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta$ s，cís




LONGER．














 тоv；каі̀ $\mathrm{M} \omega \sigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, ò $\pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ a ̀ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v s ~ \pi \rho a ́ o ́-~$
 $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma$ ós
 $\theta \dot{j} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota}$ ó $\hat{\imath} \psi \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \in \alpha v \tau o ̀ v, \tau \alpha \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \tau \alpha \downarrow$ ．











1 $\Delta^{\prime}$ ．Eiò̀̀s vi $\mu a ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \rho \omega \mu$ évovs $\pi \alpha \nu \tau$ òs ả $\gamma \alpha-$








1.0N(IER.
XII. Adquisivi vas in ommibus: siquidem dignus ero. Si enim vinetus sum, sed ad solutionem [omminm] vestrum monsum [vinctus.] Seio [enim] quia non inflamini: quia Jesum habetis in vobis. Et magis cum laudavero vos, scio quia reveremini: sicut seriptum est, quoniam Justus sui accusator [est in primordio sermonis.] Ei, Dic tn, [inquit,] primus peceata tua ut justificeris. Et, Cum feceritis, [inguit,] omnia quae mandata sunt vobis, dicite, Servi inutiles summs. Quoniam in hominibus qui se exaltaverit, delinguit ante Deum. Dicit enim Deus: Propitius factus sum peccatori. Propter quod magni illi, Abraham et Joh, terram ram te Domine? [Nam] et Moyses, supra omnes homines mansuetissimus, dicit ad Deum: Gracilis voce, et tardus linọa sum exo. Humiles ergo estnle et vonjinsi, ut exaltemini. Qui enim se humiliavurit, exaltabitur; et qui se exaltaverit, humiliabitur.
XIII. Studete itaque sonfirmari in dogmatibus Domini et Apostolorum: ut ex omníus quæ facitis dirigamini i!! viam rectam, carne et spiritu, fide et dilectione ; cum honore digno Episcopo vestro, et corona digno atque spirituali Stephano Presbytero vestro, et secundum Deum Diaconis. Subjecti estote Episcopo, et invicem, quomodo Jesus Patri: ut laus sit in vobis secundum Deum.
XIV. Videns vos repletos omnibus bonis; compendiose rogavi vos in dilectione Jesu Christi. Mementote mei in orationibus vestris, ut Deum merear adipisci; et Ecclesiæ quæ est in Syria, cujus non sum dignus vocari Episcopus. Indigeo enim vestra illa laudabili in Deo oratione et dilectione; ut dignus sim illam, quæ in Syria est, Ecclesiam per bonam opinationem vestram pascere in Christo.

## SHOルTE:

XIJ. Fiphat vobis secundums ommin; siguidem dignus sim. Itsis cuim ligatus sume ; ad unum solatorum de vobis non sum. Novi quoniam non inflamini: Jesum cuin Christum habetis in vobismetipsis. lit magis quando utique laudo vos, novi quoniam verecundamini : sicut scriptum est; quoniam Prov, xviii. justus sui ipsius accusator.
XIII. Studete igitur firmari in dogmatibus Domini et Apostolorum, ut omnia quaccunque facitis prosperentur, carne et spiritu, fide et charitate, in Filio et Patre et Spiritu, in principio et in fine, cum digne decentissimo Episcopo vestro, et digne complexa spirituali corona Presbyterii vestri, et eorum qui secundum Deum Diaconorum. Subjicimini Episcopo et adinvicem, ut Jesus Christus Patri secundum carnem, et Apostoli Christo et Patri et Spiritui; ut unio sit carnalis et spiritualis.
XIV. Sciens quoniam Deo pleni estis, compendiose deprecatus sum vos. Mementote mei in orationibus vestris, ut Deo fruar; et ejus quæ in Syria Ecclesiæ, unde non dignus sum vocari. Superindigeo enim unita vestra in Deo oratione et charitate; in dignificari eam quæ in Syria Ecclesiam per Ecclesiam vestram irrorari.

## SHORTER．

 $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{~} \Sigma \mu \dot{v} \rho \nu \eta s$ ，ő $\theta \in v$ каi $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\phi} \phi \omega$ v́ $\mu i v$ ， $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v t \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon i s ~ \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \nu ~ \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ，$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$






 $\sigma$ тòs．

## THE EPISTLE TO THE TRALLLANS．

LONGER．
IE＇．＇A $\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha l$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$＇$E \phi \in ́ \sigma t o \iota$
 $\pi \alpha \rho o ́ v t \in S$ єis סózav $\Theta \in 0 \hat{v}$ ，$\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ каѝ ن́ $\mu \in i \varsigma$ ，oil к $\kappa \tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \epsilon-$ $\pi \alpha \cup ́ \sigma \alpha т \epsilon, \stackrel{\alpha}{\mu} \alpha$ Полика́ $\rho \pi$ ч）．К $\alpha \grave{\imath}$






## SHORTER．

## TlAAAIANOIE．

＇I $\gamma$ v＇́́тlos，ó каi Єеофо́роs，ク̀ $\gamma \alpha \pi \eta$－






 ऍо $\mu \iota \iota$ év $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ，év $\dot{\alpha} \pi о-$ бто入ıк仓̂ Х $\alpha \rho \alpha к т \hat{\eta} \rho \iota$, каı єü $\chi \circ \mu \alpha \iota$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \in \iota \nu$.





 Xpıбтoû év $\Sigma \mu u ́ \rho \nu \eta ุ, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ o บ ̃ t \omega s ~ \mu o \imath ~$
 $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega}, \omega ̈ \sigma \tau \in \mu \subset \tau \grave{o} \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta$ os $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$


 $\mu \mu \mu \eta \tau \alpha ̀ s$ örtas＠єoû．

## LONGER．

TOY AYTOX EHISTOAH MPOE TPAAAIEIOY
＇I $\gamma v \alpha ́ т \iota o s$, ó каi Єєофо́pos，т $\mathfrak{n} \eta \gamma \alpha-$ тпие́v．．toà Єeov̂ Патрòs каѝ




 бтаvрои̂ каı̀ $\theta \star เ \frac{1}{\tau} \tau v$, каı̀ $\alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́-$
 $\rho \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau \iota, \epsilon ่ \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \tau о \lambda ı \kappa \hat{\varphi} \chi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \tau \hat{\eta}-$ $\rho!$, каi єú $\chi о \mu \alpha \iota \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha \chi \alpha i \rho \in เ v$.
A＇．＇A $\mu \omega \mu$ оv ठıóvoı $\alpha v$ ，каі à àvтó－
 $\tau \alpha \varsigma$, ои кат $\dot{\alpha} \chi \rho \eta \bar{\eta} \imath v, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$

 $\lambda \eta ́ \mu \alpha т \iota ~ \Theta є o u ̂ ~ \Pi a t \rho o ̀ s ~ к а \grave{\imath} \mathrm{~K} v \rho i ́ o v ~ ' I \eta-$ бо仑̂ Xpıбто̂̂，тov̂ viov̂ aitov̂，бขvєค－

 $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega}{ }^{\prime} I \eta \sigma o \hat{v}, \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \tau$ тo $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \circ$ os





## 1, 1)N(ilill.

XV. Salutant vos Eiphesii de Smyrna, unde serilo volis, prassentes in ghoria Dei ; yuomodo in ommibus refecistis me, simul cum Polycarpo. Dit caterae Eectesien in honore Jesu Christi salutant vos. Incolumes estote in unnmimitate, possidentes inseparabilem spiritum, in Christo Jesu; per voluntatem Dei.

## : $110 \|$ TI:I.

XV. Satutant ross Ephesii a Smyrna, unde et seribo volvis, prasentes in gloriam Dei, quemadmodum et vos: qui secundum ommia me quiescere feceptmt, simul cum Polyearpo Episeopo Smyphaeormm. Sed et religuas Ecelesiae in homore Jesu Christi salutant vens. Valete in comenedia Dei; possidentes inseparabilem Spiritum, qui est Jesus Christus.

# THE EPISTLE TO THE TRALLIANS. 

LON(iE:R.

## EJUSIDEM EPISTOLA AD

 TRALLIANOS.Scripta ex Smyma.
Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, dilectee a Deo Patre et [Domino] Jesu Christo Ecclesix sancta, quac est in Trallis, electax et Deo digne, pacilicate in carne et Spiritu Jesu Christi, spei nostre, in passione per crucem et mortem atque resurrectionem : quam et saluto in plenitudine Apostolieæ formæ, et opto plurimum [in Deo] gaudere.
I. Immaculatum animum et sine dolo in perseverantia, cognovi vos habere, non in usitationem, sed in possessionem : secundum quod significavit [mihi] Polybius Episcopus vester, qui pervenit, voluntate Dei Patris etJesu Christi Filii ejus, cooperatione Spiritus, ad Smyrnam; et sic mihi congratulatus est vincto in Christo Jesu, ita ut omnem plenitudinem vestram in ipso conspicerem. Suscipiens ergo [eum] secundum Deum, vestram benevolentiam per ipsum agnovi: inveniens vos imitatores esse Jesu Christi Salvatoris [nostri.]

SHORTER.
AD TRALLESIOS.
Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, dilectæ Deo Patri Jesu Christi Ecelesix sancte, existenti in Trallesiis Asix, electer et Deo digne, pacem habenti in carne et sanguine [et] passione Jesu Christi, spei nostræ, in ea quæ in ipsum resurrectione: quam et saluto in plenitudine, in Apostolico charactere, et oro plurimum gaudere.
I. Incoinquinatanı mentem et inseparabilem in sustinentia, cognovi vos habentes, non secundum usum, sed secundum naturam: quemadmodum ostendit mihi Polybius Episcopus vester, qui advenit, voluntate Dei et Jesu Christi, in Smyrna; et sic mili congavisus est vincto in Christo Jesu, ut ego omnem multitudinem restram in ipso specularer. Recipiens igitur eam quæ secundum Deum æquanimitatem per ipsum, gloriatus sum iuveniens vos, ut cognovi, imitatores Dei.

## SHORTER．


 Xpıттê，фаíve $\theta$ Ө́ $\mu$ оı oủ к $\alpha-$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \nu \quad \zeta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ ката̀＇I $\eta \sigma \circ$ ûv X рוбтòv，тòv $\delta i$
 баvтєड єis тòv Мávatov aủtoû，


 $\sigma \epsilon 1 \nu \dot{v} \mu \hat{\alpha} s^{\circ} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇ن́тот́́á $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\kappa \alpha i ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \varphi$ ，$\omega$ s тоîs

 $\epsilon \dot{v} \rho \in \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ．$\Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ठє̀ каì тоùs סוако́vous，o้vтаs $\mu$ ขттйрiov＇I $\eta$－
 $\pi o v[\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu]$ 人े $\rho \in ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon เ \nu{ }^{\circ}$ oủ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$

 ре́таl סéov oûv aủtoùs фviá $\sigma$－ $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ย̇үк $\lambda^{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ©̀s
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ тоѝs dıaкóvous，©̀s＂1 бои̂v X бкотоv，［övта viòv］тои̂ Патро̀s． тoùs dè $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau \in ́ \rho o u s ~ \omega ̀ s ~ \sigma u v e ́-~$

 $\kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha$ ồ ка入є $\hat{\imath} \tau \alpha \cdot[\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\omega} \hat{\omega} \nu]$
 Tò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ é $\xi \in \mu \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho ı o v ~ \tau \hat{\eta} S ~ \alpha ̉ \gamma \alpha ́-$ $\pi \eta s$［ $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu]$ é $\lambda \alpha \beta$ ov，к $\alpha \grave{\iota}$ eै $\chi \omega$
 $\hat{v} \mu \hat{\omega} v$ ，о仑̂ $\alpha \cup ๋ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$ $\mu \in \gamma^{\alpha} \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \subset i ́ \alpha$ ，$\dot{\eta}$ ठè $\pi \rho \alpha o ́ T \eta s$

 $\pi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha s$ ，［ $\dot{s}$ oủ］фєídopaı［غंav－

 ìv ката́крітоs］©̀s ả $\pi$ о́бто入оs іий ठेата́тлор：и．

LONGER．




 $\sigma \tau o ̀ v, ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \delta i ~ i ́ p \mu \alpha s ~ \alpha ̉ \pi o \theta \alpha \nu o ́ v \tau \alpha, ~ i ̈ v a ~$




 $\tau \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ каі т $\hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta \cup \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ \omega$ ，$\dot{\omega}^{s}$

 $\rho \in \theta \eta \sigma o ́ \mu \in \theta \alpha$ ．$\Delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ ঠ̀̀ каı тоѝs ठıакó－


 éкклクбíc：s Єeoû útinpétal óćov oưv

 тоцойто！．



 тepoı，ìs бuvédpiov ఆeov̂，каì бúv－

 ov̉ $\sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \theta \rho o \iota \sigma \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma^{\prime}(\omega v$, ov̉ $\sigma v \nu \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma \dot{\eta}$ ó óí $\omega v$ ．


 $\sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \omega$ ن́ $\mu \omega \nu^{*}$ oî $\alpha$ v̉тò тò ката́ $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$









## RONGIFII.

II. R'piseopo suljecti estote, sieh. xiii. 17. cut Domino. Ipse enim vigilat pro animabus vestris ; tanquam rationem redditurns Deo. Prepter ymod vidomini mihi non secundum hominem vivere, sed secundum Christum Jesum, qui pro nobis mortuas est; ut credentes in morte ejus, per haptismmm commmicantes resurrectionis ejus efficiamur. Necessarium est enim, vos nihil preter Episcopum facere, [neque aliquid agere.] Sed et Presbyteris subditi estote, ut Apostolis Jesu Christi, spei nostre: ; in quo perseverantes, in ipso inveniamur. Oportet ergo et Diaconis, qui sunt in ministerium. Jesu Christi, in omni modo placere. Non enim in cibo et potu sunt ministri, sed ministri Ecclesix Dei. Oportet ergo procepta eorum oliserare, sicut ignem ardentem. Ipsi revo sint thics.
iII. Vos autem reveremini cos fanquam [Dominum] Jesum Christhen: quoniam custodes sunt loci ejus; went lipiseopus forma est Patris omnium : Presbyteri vero sicut consessus Dei, et conjunctio Apostolorum Christi. Sine ipsis [enim] ecclesia electa non est, neque collectio sanctorum, neque congregatio sancta. Confido enim et vos sic consistere. Exempla autem charitatis [vestræ] accepi, et habeo penes meipsum in Episcopo vestro: quoniam ipsius institutio magna doctrina est. Nam et mansuetudo ejus virtus est, quam arbitror etiam illos, qui sine Deo sunt, revereri. Quia autem diligo vos, parco frequentius vobis scribere; ne videar aliquibus contrarius aut tædiosus esse. Vinctus sum enim pro Christo: sed nondum Christo dignus sum. Si autem consummavero; forsitan ero.

## SH()ITTEH。

II. (2tum emim Episeopo subjecti estis ut Jesu Christo, videmini míhi non secundum homines viventes, sed secmudum Jesum Christum, propter vos mortuum ; ut credentes in mortem ipsius, mori eflugratis. Necessarium igitur est, quemadmodum facitis, sine Episcopo nihil operari vos: sed subjici et Presbyterio ut $\Lambda$ postolis Jesu Christi, spei nostree; in quo conversantes inveniamur. Oportet autem et Diaconos, [ministros] existentes mysteriorum Jesu Christi, secundum omnem modum omnibus placere. Non enim ciborum et potuum sunt ministri ; sed Ecelesiæ Dei ministri. Opportunum igitur vos observare accusationes, ut ignem.
III. Similiter [et] omnes revereantur Diaconos, [ut mandatum Jesu Christi; et Episcopum, ut Jesum Christum, existentem filium Patris; Presbyteros autem, ut concilium Dei et conjunctionem A postolorum. Sine his Ecclesia non vocatur : de quibus suadeo vos sic habere. Exemplarium enim charitatis vestræ accepi, et habeo cum meipso in Episcopo vestro; cujus ipsa compositio magna est disciplinatio, mansuetudo autem ipsius potentia: quem existimo et impios revereri. Diligentes quod non parco ipsum aliqualem, potens scribere pro illo; in hoc existimet, ut existens condemnatus, velut Apostolus vobis precipiam.

## SRORTER．

$\Delta^{\prime}$ ．［Шол入̀ $\phi \rho o \nu \hat{\omega} \epsilon^{\epsilon} \nu$ $\left.\Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}^{\circ}\right] \stackrel{\beta}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$＇є $\mu \alpha \cup \tau o ̀ v \mu \epsilon \tau \rho \hat{\omega}$ ，íva $\mu \eta$ ढ’v каvұク́бєє $\alpha \pi o ́ \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \iota^{\circ}$



 $\pi \hat{\omega} \mu \grave{\epsilon} v \gamma \alpha \bar{\alpha} \rho$ тò $\pi \alpha \theta \in \hat{\epsilon} \nu,] \alpha \alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{2}$



 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\epsilon} \in \tau \alpha \iota$ ó $\alpha \rho \chi \omega \nu$ тov̂ $\alpha i \hat{\omega}-$ vos toútou．
$\mathbf{E}^{\prime}$ ．M $\eta$ oủ סúvapal $\tau \alpha ̀$ é－ тоиро́vıа $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \psi \alpha \iota ; ~ \alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda \alpha ̀ \phi о-$
 $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \omega^{-}$каі $\sigma \cup \gamma-$





 $\tau \alpha \dot{s} \sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ s ~ \alpha ́ \rho \chi o v \tau \ell-$


 © $\nu \alpha \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \mu \eta$ خ̀ $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ．
s＇：$^{\prime}$ Парак $\alpha \lambda \hat{\omega}$ oûv ư $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$ ，



 $\alpha i \rho \in \sigma i s$ ．Oí каเроі̀ тарє $\mu-$


 oóvtes uetà oivopénitos，ö́tep



LONGER．









 ó $\alpha \rho \chi \chi \omega \nu$ тои̂ aî̀nvos toútou＇ó dıáßo入os．

 $\pi i ́ o t s ~ o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota \nu$ ن́ $\mu \hat{\imath} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \iota^{\circ}$ к $\alpha \grave{\imath}$
 т̀ेv є́＇є́ $\rho \gamma \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu, \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ．K $\alpha i \gamma \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho$
 voeiv $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ èmovpóvla，каi $\tau \alpha ̀ s ~ a ̀ \gamma \gamma \in \lambda ı \alpha \grave{S}$


 ai $\omega \dot{\nu} \omega \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha \varsigma, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{X} \epsilon \rho о v \beta \in i \mu$

 каì è $\pi i ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi a \nu \tau о к р а ́ т о р о s ~ \Theta є o v ̂ ~$


 iva $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon \iota \emptyset \theta \hat{\omega}$ ．







 каì тòv iòv $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \pi \lambda$ éкоขтєऽ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi \lambda a ́ v \eta s ~ \tau \hat{\imath}$















## 

IV. Non sicut Apostolus precipio: sed metior meipsum; we in glorintione [mea] peream. Bomme est enim in Dommino mheviari. Dit si salvatus fierore apod Demin; plus me oprorte: timere, et non attendere ad eos qui magnificant me. Hi vero qui me landant, [potius] Alagellant. [Eligo cnim pati:] sed nescios si digmus stm. Yelus conim inimici multis non videtur: me autem impugmat. Debeo ergo esse mansuetus; ut dissolvatur prineeps hutjus seculi Diaholus.
V. Nunquid non poteram vobis secretiorascribere? Sed timeo ne parvulis volis constitulis lesionemimponam. Et ignoscite mihi: quia non valetis ferre [oncra vinculorum,] quemadmodun ego vinctus sum. Et possum quidem intelligere coelestia: Angelorum seilicet atque Arehangelorum ordines, Militiarum diversitates, Virtutum et Domiuationum differentias, Sedium atque? ©otedntum distantias, Eternernm mamnifieminas. (herubin et Serawhin mecellemis: titus sublimitatem, Boinini reymem, at streer heme obuia omnitucmis Dei incumparalilitatem. Hec [igituid cgo cognoscens, non omnino perfectus sum aut discipulus esse possum qualis Paulus, aut Petrus. Multum enim mihi restat, ne a Deo derelinquar.
VI. Rogo autem vos, non ego, sed
(im. i. il. dilectio Christi Jesu, ut idipsum dicatis omnes, et non sint in vobis schismata; sitis autem perfecti in eodem sensu, et in eadem scientia. Sunt enim quidam vaniloqui et mentis seductores, non Christiani sed Christum mereantes, seductione circumferentes nomen Christi, et cauponantes verbum Evangelii, et venenum erroris commiscentes dulci blandimento, sicut œnomelli virus admiscentes; ut qui bikerit illius potus gustabilem sensum, dulcedine

## sil!)lt'I:,

IV. Multa sapio in Dero: sed meipsum mensuro, ut non in gloriatione perdar: Nunc enim me oportit plas timere, et now attendere inflantibnsme. Dicentes enim mihi, flagellant me. Diligo quidem enim pati: sed non hovi si dignus sum. Zelus enim multis quidem non apparet, me autem plus oppugnat. Indigeo igitur mansuctudine; in qua dissolvitur princeps seculi hujus.
V. Nome possum vobis supercolestia scribere? Sed timeo ne parvulis existentibus vobis dammumapponam. Et condonate milii: ne forte, non potentes capere, strangulemini. Etenim ego, non secundum quodeunque ligatus sum, sed potens scire cœlestia, et loci positiones Angelicas, et constitutiones, principationes, visibiliaque et invisibilia; preter hoc, jam et discipulus sum. Multa enim vobis deficiunt, ut Deo non deficiamus.
VI. Deprecor igitur vos; non ego, sed charitas Jesu Christi; solo Christiano alimento utamini; ab aliena autem herba recedite, quæ est hæresis, [quæ et inquinatis] implicat Jesum Christum : quemadmodum mortiferum pharmacum dantes cum vino mellito; quod qui ignorat, delectabiliter accipit, et in delectatione mala mori. captus, inobservanter morti addicatur. Monet autem quidam antiquorum ; ut nemo boms dieatur, qui malum bono admiscuerit. Nominant enim Chri-tum; non ut Christum preedicent, sed ut Christum spernant; et [legem proferunt; ; non ut legem statuant, sed ut legi contraria amnuncient. Christum enim alienant a Patre : legem vero Christi, et nativitatem ex Virgine abjiciunt: crucem erubescentes, et passionem negantes, et resurrectioni non credentes. Deum incognitum profitentur; Christum ingenitum putant; et neque quia est Spiritus [Ganctus,] confitentur. Quidam rero corum Filium hominem purum esse dicunt: alii autem ipsum dicunt esse Patrem, ipsum Filium, ipsumque Spiritum [Sanctum:] et creaturam non opera Dei esse per Christumn [dicunt,] sed alterius cujusdam extraneæ virtutis.

## SHORTER．

$Z^{\prime}$ ．Фu入átтєб $\theta \in$ oûv тоîs toloútols．Toûto ס̀ ยैбт
 píवтois Єcoû［＇I $\eta \sigma o u ̄$ ］ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{v}, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau о 仑 ̂ ~ є ̇ \pi \iota \sigma к о ́-~$ $\pi о \nu, \kappa \alpha i \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha \mu \alpha{ }_{-}-$ $\tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ 。＇ O
 $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau เ v * ~ т о \tilde{\tau}{ }^{\prime}$ é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ，ó $\chi \omega \rho і$ е́s éтьбко́－ тои каі $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u \tau \epsilon \rho i ́ o u$ каı̀ ठıакóvov $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ тו，oûtos ov̉ $k \alpha \theta \alpha$ рós ย̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma u v \in \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota$ ．
 тоเоиิтóv $\tau \iota$ év v́ $\mu i v, \dot{\alpha} \lambda$－ $\lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho \circ \phi u \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega$ vi $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$ övtas $\mu$ ои $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau o u ̀ s$,
 סıaßónov．＇Y $\quad$ еєis oûv $\pi \rho \alpha \ddot{\ddot{u} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \alpha{ }_{\alpha}^{\nu} \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v-~}$ $\tau \epsilon \varsigma,[\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \kappa \tau i \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon$ є́ $\alpha u$－
 $\sigma \alpha ́ \rho \xi$ тoû K vpíou，каı є̀v］

 ย $\mu \hat{\nu} \nu$ кат $\alpha$ то仑̂ $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ o \nu$
 дотє тоís eै $\theta v \in \sigma u$ ，ì $\alpha \mu$ ク̀ dí ỏ $\lambda$ írous äфpovas тò ［ $\epsilon \nu \Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \theta \circ s]$ ］$\lambda \alpha \sigma-$ $\phi \eta \mu \eta \hat{\tau} \alpha \iota^{\circ}$ Ov̉ $\dot{\imath}{ }^{i} \gamma^{\alpha} \rho \delta i$

 $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \in і т \alpha$ ．

## LONGER．

Z＇．＇A $\sigma \phi \alpha \lambda i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ oûv toùs toioútous，ìva $\mu \eta$



 є́avtov̂，ảde入фós é $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ \lambda v \mu a t v o \mu e ́ v o v ~ e ́ a v t o ́ v . ~$











































## I, O N NiE:IR.

VII. Cavete orgo a talibus; ne numuti laguens animalus vestris: et vitam vestram inex. phorahilem apponite omnibus hominibus; ne ceflicinmini muscipula explorationis, el sicul rectia extensa. Qui cuim sibi non parcit in operibus suis, frater est polluentis semetipsum. 'Siergo et vos deponatis maturalem superbian, inflatilem et tumidam extollentiam; possihile erit volbis - rwve. inseparabiles esse a Deo. Prope est enim [Do--i. Isvi.2. minus] timentibus cum: ef, In quem, inquit, respieiam, nisi in hamilem, et quietum, et trementem verha mea? Veneramini autem et Episcopum vestrum, sicut Christum, secundum quod volis beati Apostoli preceperunt. (2ui [enim] intra altare est [constitutus,] mundus est: propter quod obedite Episcopo [vestro, ]et Presbyteris. Qui vero extra [altare] est [constitutus,] extra Episcopum est et Presbyteros et Diaconos aliquid agens: qui talis finerit, pollutus cst conTime v.8. seientia, et est deterior intideli. Quid est enim Episcopus, nisi omnom principatum et potestatem illorum omnium tenens; quemadmodum deceat hominem tenere, imitatorem Dei factum secundum virtutem? Quid est Preshyterium, nisi constitutio saneta, consiliarii et confessores Episeopi? Quid etiam Diaconi, nisi imitatores Christi, [ministrantes Episcopo sicut Christus Patri, et] operautes illi operationem mundam et immaculatam? quomodo sanctus Stephanus beatissimo Jacobo, et Timotheus et Linus Paulo, et Anacletus et Clemens Petro. Qui igitur istis inobediens fuerit, hic sine Deo omnino erit, et impius, et contemnens Christum, et ordinationem ipsius minorans.
VIII. Ego vero hæec vobis mando, non quod aliquos inter vos tales agnoverim: nee permittat Deus aliquando aliquid hujusmodi in auribus mi. iii.32. meis introire, qui Filio suo non pepercit propter sanctam Ecelesiam: sed previdens seditiones Iniqui,premonitione conforto vos,sicut filios meos charissimos, et fideles in Domino : præpotans vos medicamine premuniente contra imminenvos medicamine premuniente contra imminen- phematur.
tem pestilentiam; quam [etiam] vos [tanquam] morbum fugite, beneplaciti in Christo Domino nostro. Vos ergo succincti mansuetudine, imitatores estote passionum [Christi,] et dilectionis ejus, qua dilexit nos, dans semetipsum pro nobis redemptionem; ut nos sanguine suo mundaret antiquæ impietatic, et vitam nobis prestaret, incipientibus nol is jam perire pro malitia quæ erat in nobis. Nemo ergo vestrum adversus proximum aliquid habeat: dicente Domino; Dimittite, et dimittetur vobis. Nolite occasionem dare gentibus; ne propter aliquos paucos insipientes verbum [Dumini] et doctrina blasphemetur; [ne in robis compleatur quoll propheta ex rersona Dei [ad hujusmodi] dieit; Quoniam per vos nomen meum blasphematur in gentibus.

## SHORTER.

$\Theta^{\prime}$. K $\omega \phi \dot{\jmath} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$ ồv,
 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \hat{\imath} \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\imath ิ} \tau \iota \varsigma, \tau 0 \hat{u}$ èк $\gamma$ '́vous $\Delta \alpha \beta i \delta$, тои̂ є́к Mapías, ôs à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ é $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \eta^{\theta} \eta$, ${ }^{\text {é } \phi \alpha \gamma ย ́ \nu ~[\tau \epsilon] ~}$

 $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s \stackrel{\ominus}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega \prime \theta \eta$ ка» $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \in \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon \nu, \quad \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \sigma^{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} v$ èmoup $\alpha v i ́ \omega v, \kappa \alpha \iota$ è $\pi \iota-$ $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha i$ i $\pi \sigma \chi$ Өoví $\omega v$. òs каi à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ ท่ $\gamma \in ́ \rho \theta \eta$ ảтò vєкрิิv, é $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \rho \alpha \nu \tau о \varsigma$ $\alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \Pi \alpha т \rho o ̀ s ~[\alpha u ̉-~$ $\tau 0 \hat{\nu}, \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тò ó $\mu \circ i ́ \omega \mu \alpha$,
ô's каѝ $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} S$ тоѝs $\pi t-$
See the lat- $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ v́ovtas $\alpha \cup ̛ T \hat{y}$ ou゙tws ter part of the xth chapter in the Longer Recension.
 e่v $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ ' $\mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o v$, ồ



## LONGER.

$\Theta^{\prime}$. K $\omega \phi \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \tau \epsilon$ ô̂v, ǒт $\alpha v$ ú $\mu \hat{\iota} \nu \chi \omega \rho$ is 'I $\eta \sigma \circ \hat{v}$ Xpiotoû $\lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta}$ tis, tov̂ vioû tov̂ Єeov̂, toû revo-



















 $\psi$ аs тoís ’A












 кขрıакі, ті̀v $\dot{\alpha} \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$.

1．ONEIFIR
IX．Obturate ergo aures vestras，quando vobis quis sine JesuChristo Fïlio Dei loquitur： yni fuchus est ex［semine］David，per Mariam； qui vere matus est ex Deo et ex Virgine ：quia hoe ipsum est quod et Dens．Suscepit enim Aoli．i．it．vere corpus：Verhm，［impuit］caro factum est ；© hut．viii．A6．hahitavit sine precato［in nolis．］Quis cmim，in－ tuit，ex vohis arguet me de pecento？Mandu－ （avit［enim］vere et bibit ：［et］crucifixus est， et mortuns sub Pontio Pilato．Sed［et se－ cundum placitum voluntatis suae］vere cruci－ fixus est，et［vere］mortuns，videntibus corlesti－ bus et terrestribus et infernis：celestibus gui－ dem，tanquam incorporalibus naturis：terrestri－ bus vero，［ut］Julaeis et Romanis，et［enteris］ hominibus，qui tune collem tempore prasto erant，quando erucilixus est Dominus：infernis autem，ut multitudine corum qui cun Domino Mat．xxvii．resurrexerumt ；Multa，inquit，corpora sanctorum dormientium resurrexeruut［de］monumentis pate－ factis．Descendit enim in infernum solus；as－ cendit vero cum multitudine：et dirupit mace－ riam，quæ erat a seculo，et medium parietem ejus dissolvit；et resurrexit tertia die，resusci－ tante eum Patre ；et post dies quibus conver－ satus est cum Apostolis，assumptus est ad Pa － lebr．i．13．trem：et sedit ad dexteram ejus；expectans donee ponantur omnes inimici ejus sub pedibus ipsius．Die ergo Parasceve，tertia hora accepit sententiam a Pilato，permittente Patre；sexta ［vero］crucifixus est；nona［autem］emisit spiri－ tum ：ante solis［vero］occasum［depositus est de cruce，et］sepultus［in monumento novo．］Sabbato ［vero］mansit sub terra in sepulchro，in quo posuit eum Joseph ab Arimathia．Dominica autem die resurrexit a mortuis；secundum quod dictum lat．xii．4o．est ab eo：Sicut fuit Jonas in ventre ceti tribus diebus et tribus noctibus；sic erit Filius hominis in corde terræ tribus diebus et tribus noctibus． Continet ergo dies Parasceve passionem［ejus］， Sabbatum［vero］sepulturam［et requiem，］Domi－ nica［autem］resurrectionem．

ヶ月いにな1：
IX．Obsurfleserite i－ gitur，quando vohis sine Jesn Christe lo ๆuitur yuis：qui ex thencre David，yui ex

Maria；qui vere natus
est，comedit et bibit；
vere persecutionem
passus est sub Pontio
Pilato；vere crucifixus
et mortuus est，adspi－ cientibus cœlestibus et terrestribus et in－ fermalibus ：qui et vere resurrexit a mortuis， resuscitante ipsum Pa－ tre ipsius；qui et se－ cundum similitudinem nos credentes ipsi sic resuscitabit Pater ip－ sius，in Christo Jesu； sine quo verum vivere non habemus．

## SHORJER。






 סомаı тоиิ Kupiou;






## LONGER.








 бтavpồ toû Kupíou. Kaì тepıtтòs ò



































## 1.0)N(ilill.

X. Quidam nutem, tincquam sine Deo, [inereduli et] infideles dicunt, eum putative [tantum] fuisse hominem, [et] non verum corpussuscepisse ; atque putative passume et mortum. Ego [vero pro passione et morte. Domini mei] vinctus sum; et opto atl bestias pugnare. [si enimputative et nom vere passus est atque mortums;] ergo ego gratis moriturus sum, mendacia de crnce Domini confingens. [Sed] et Prowhin sin to. pheta superflue [dixit:] Videhunt in quem compunxerunt: et plangent super seipsos, tanquam super dilectum; [et dolebuut dolore, tanyuam super primogenitum.] Ergo infideles illi [ct increduli] non mims sunt, quam illi qui cum crucifixerunt. Eqo autem sic devovi spem habere in eum, qui pro me vere mortuus est: quia alienum est ab eo mendacium. Vere etenim peperit Maria corpus, Deo in co habitante; et vere natus est Deus Verbum ex Virgine, corpus similiter nothis passihile [sine pectato] induens. Vere [comerphuset in utero, ef] factus est in vulva; formanset taciens sibi corpus ex Virgine, sine [remine scifict et] collocutione viri : portatusque in utero, sicut et nos tempore [portati sumus]: et vere lactatus est, et mutritus sicut nos, et cibo et potu, sicuti et nos, usus Lur. iii. 23. est : et triginta amos agens baptizatus est a Johanne, in veritate et non in phantasmate : et tribus annis predicavit Evangelium, et fecit signa et prodigia coram falsis Judecis: ef a Pilato preside Judex judicatue est, flagellatus est, colaphis cursus est, consputus est, spineam coronam purpureamque vestem portavit, condemmatus est, erucifixus est vere, [roluntaric complacens,] nou phantastice; neque fallaciter mortuus est, sed vere ; sepultus est, et resur8. xli.10. rexit a mortuis: sicut ipse alicubi orans [Patrem] dicebat: Tu autem, oh. xi. 42. Domine, resuscita me, et reddam illis : et Pater, qui semper eum exaudit, Ixxxii.s. respondens ait: Exsurge Dens, judica terram; quoniam tu hareditabis in omnibus gentibus. Qui ergo resuscitavit cum Pater, ipse etiam nos per cum resuscitaturus est, non sine vera vita, [hoc est, non sine ipso,] qui ait :
2xi.25,26. Ego sum vita : qui credit in me, licet moriatur, vivet; et omnis qui vivit, et eredit in me, non morietur in reternum. Fugite autem illas sine Deo hareses : Diaboli enim sunt adinventio, serpentis auctoris malorum, qui per mulierem seduxit Adam patrem generis nostri.
XI. Fugite vero et malas soboles cjus: Simonem [dico,] primogenitum generis ipsius, et Menandrum, et Basilidem, et totam collectionem malignitatis ipsius, et illos hominis cultores [Hebionitas ; ] quos maledictos esse Jereer. xvii.5. mias propheta asseruit. Fugite quoque et illos immundissimos falsi nominis Nicolaitas, amatores libidinis, malos calumniatores: [non enim talis fuit Apostolorum minister Nicolaus.] Fugite etiam ipsius Nequissimi nepotes, Theodotum [scilicet] et Cleobulum : qui generant froctum mortiferum ; de quo si quis degustaverit, statim morietur, non morte temporali, sed æeterna. Hi [autem omnes] non sunt plantatio Dei Patris;

## SHORTER.



 $\alpha u ̈ t o v ̀ ~ \pi \rho о \sigma к \alpha \lambda \in i ̂ \tau \alpha l ~ v ́ \mu a ̂ s, ~ o ̈ v \tau \alpha s ~ \mu \epsilon ́-~$


 è $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ aủtós.]

IB'. 'А $\sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu a ̂ s ~ a ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ \Sigma ~ \Sigma \mu u ́ \rho-~$

 $\mu \in \dot{\alpha} \nu \in ́ \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \nu, \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa i ́ ~ \tau є ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \cup ́-$
 $\mu \circ v, \dot{\alpha}$ é éveкєv 'I $\eta \sigma o v ̂ ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o u ̂ ~ \pi є \rho l-~$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \in \tau^{\prime} \alpha \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \in \cup \chi \hat{\eta}$. Прé-

廿úqєiv тòv émíкотov, eis тıѝ̀v










 $\nu \in \cup ́ \in \tau \epsilon[\hat{e v} v \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon v \chi \alpha i s] ~ \dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$




 Витері' $\varphi$ каі oi $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}{ }^{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}-$








## LONGER.





 $\sigma \tau o \hat{v}, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \dot{a} \pi о \kappa \tau \epsilon \epsilon \nu \hat{a} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \grave{\nu} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$





 $\dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i \alpha v, \delta ı \alpha ̀$ тov̂ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta$ ous $\alpha \dot{u} \tau \circ \hat{v}, \kappa a i ̀$



 $\mu \in \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \in ́ \pi \alpha \nu \sigma \alpha \nu, \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa i ́ \tau \epsilon$ каі̀ $\pi \nu \in \dot{\prime} \mu \alpha т \iota$. Паракалєî $\dot{\imath} \mu a ̂ s$






 $\tau \iota \mu \eta ̀ \nu$ тov̂ Пaтрòs, кaì єis $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ' $\eta$ $\eta$ -



 $\epsilon^{\epsilon} \chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$, $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta s$


 $\mu o s$ еи́ $\rho \in \theta \hat{\omega}$.












 ${ }_{i}{ }^{2}$ K vpí $\omega$.

## 1.ONTBFIR

Ifat. xv.13. sed progenies Maledieti. Ommis antom plantatio, inquit Dens, yuan non phantavit Pater mens colestis, eradicuhitur. Quod si fuissent mo mi Patris ; non utigue essent inimici crucis Christi: sed [smm] illormun qui oceiderunt Dominum gloria. Nuиs: mutem crucem negates, et massionem erubeseentes, oprerime Itudeorum iniquitates; [illorum seilicet,] qui et Deo rehelles extiterment, et. Dominum oecidernit. Parum est enim ens dicore Prophetarum csse interfectores. Vos ergo invitat Christus ad suam incorruptionem, per passionem suam et resurtectionem, qui estis membrat ejus.
XII. Saluto vos de Smyrna, una cum comprasentibus mihi Eeclesiis Dei; quae, et presidentes, me requieveruntinomnibus, carne et spiritu. Postulant vos vincula mea, quae propter Jesum Christum porto; rogans Deum, ut mereamini in consensu et oratione invicem permanere. Decet enim ununquemque vestrum bene deservire Presbyteris; Episcopum, et in honorem [Dei] Patris, et in honorem Jesu Christi, atque Apostolorum, venerari. Deprecor vos ex affectu audire me; ut non sit in testimonium, quod vobis scripsi. Et orate pro me, indigente misericordia Dei, ac vestra charitate ; ut merear consequi sortem, cui subjaceo, ne reprobus inveniar.
XIII. Salutat vos dilectio Smyrnæorum et Ephesiorum. Memor est vestri Ecclesia, quæ est in Syria; unde non sum dignus dici, ultimus eorum qui ibi sunt. Incolumes estote in Christo Jesu; subjecti Episcopo, similiter et Presbyteris atque Diaconis. Omnes invicem diligite corde inseparabili. Castificet vos spiritus meus; non solum nume, sed quando Deum meruero adipisci. Adhuc enim in periculo sum: sed fidelis est Pater Jesu Christi, adimplere petitionem mean et restram ; in qua inveniamur immaculati, et acquisiti in Domino. [Amen.]
si enim ussent; apparepent utigue. Fami Crucis, et esser utique fructios illorum incorruptibilis: per quem, in passione ipsins, advocat. vos, existentes membra ipsius. Noupotest igitur caput masci sime anembris; Dea unionem repromittente, yuod est ipse.
XII. Saluto vos a Smyrma, cam comprasentibus mihi Ecelesiis Dei; qui secundum omnia me quiescere Tecerunt carne et spiritu. Deprecantur vos vincula mea, quae pro Jesu Christo fero, petens Deo frui. Permanete in concordia vestra, et ea quae cum adinvicem oratione. Decet enim vos singulos, praccipue et Presbyteros, refrigerare Episcopum ; in honorem Patris, Jesu Christi, et Apostolorum. Oro vos in charitate audire me, ut non in testimonium $\operatorname{sim}$ in vobis scribens. Sed et pro me orate ea, qua a vobis, charitate indigente in misericordia Dei, ad dignificari me hæreditate qua conor potiri, ut non reprobus inveniar.
XIII. Salutat vos charitas Sinyrnacorum et Ephesiorum. Mementote in orationibus vestris ejus qux in Syria Ecclesix; unde non dignus sum dici, existens extremus illorum. Valete in Jesu Christo; subjecti Episcopo, ut Dei mandato, similiter et Presbyterio. Et singuli adinvicem diligite in impartibili corde. Castificate vestrum meum spiritum, non solum nunc, sed et quando utique Deo fruar. Adhuc enim sub periculo sum: sed fidelis Pater in Jesu Christo implere petitionem meam et vestram; in quo inveniamini incoinquinati.

# EPISTLE TO THE PHILADELPHIANS． 

## SHORTER．

ФTムA $\triangle E \triangle E Y \Sigma I N$ IГNATIOZ．
＇I $\gamma \nu \alpha ́ т ו о s, ~ o ́ ~ к \alpha i ~ \Theta є о ф о ́ р о s, ~ є ́ к к \lambda \eta \sigma i ́ q ~$ $\Theta \varepsilon o v ̂ ~ П а т \rho o ̀ s ~ к \alpha \grave{~ K ~ u p i o u ~ ' I \eta \sigma o u ̂ ~}$






 $\alpha i \prime \mu \alpha \tau \iota{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma \sigma \hat{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$, グтıs ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau i v$ $\chi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha i \omega ́ v \iota o s ~ к \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \mu о v o s^{*} \mu \dot{\alpha}-$


 $\delta \in \delta \in \iota \gamma \mu$ évols èv $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$＇I $\eta \sigma o v ̂$
 $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$ é $\sigma \tau \eta \eta_{\rho} \iota \xi \in v$ èv $\beta \in \beta \alpha \iota \omega \sigma u ́ v \eta$ ，

 éautoû，oủס̀̀ $\delta_{\imath}{ }^{\prime} \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ，кєкт $\hat{\eta}-$
 vòv ávク́коибаv，ои̉ঠè кат $\alpha$ кєvoסo－ $\xi i \alpha \nu, \alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda$＇є̀v ả $\gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta \eta ~ \Theta c o u ̂ ~ \Pi \alpha т \rho o ̀ s ~$ каиi［Kupíou］＇I $\eta \sigma o v ̂$ Xpıбтov̂，ô̂


## LONGER．

TOY AYTOY EDI Пアणミ ФIAA $\triangle E A \Phi E I \Sigma$ ．
 бía Єєov̂ Пatpòs каі̀ Kupíou＇I $\eta$－






 $\grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota$ èv $\alpha$ í $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ Xpıбтой，ク̆тıs є̇ $\sigma \tau i ̀ \chi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \alpha i ́ o ́ v i o s ~$ каі̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \mu о \nu о \varsigma^{\circ} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ èv év̀̀
 $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ те́ $\rho o t s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha к o ́ v o t s, ~ \alpha ̉ \pi о-~$

 $\sigma \tau 0 \hat{v}$ ，òs ката̀ тò ístov Sé $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha$



 ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \chi \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$ air $\eta^{\prime} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \tau \rho \in ́ \psi \alpha \iota, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \eta-$ סѐ í $\sigma \chi$ v́бєاáv тотє $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \nu \in v \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha}$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi о \nu \eta \rho i ́ \alpha s, \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \xi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu$
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega}$ ．
$\mathbf{\Lambda}^{\prime}$ ．©єa ${ }^{\prime} \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s ~ i ̂ \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ròv émíбко－








## THE

## EPISTLE TO THE PITl.ADELPIIIANS.

## 1.ON(is:R.

EJUSDEM EPISTOLA AD PHILADELPHIENSES.

> ex Troja.

Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, Eeclesix Dei Patris et Domini [nostri] Jesu Christi, quae est in Pliladelphia, misericordiam consecuta in dilectione, et confirmate in concordia et exultatione Dei, in passione Domini nostri indiscrete, et in resurrectione ejus repletr in omni misericordia: quam [et] saluto in sanguine Jesu Christi, quod est gatdium sempiternum et singulare : maxime iis qui sunt in unum cum Episcopo, et [cum] Presbyteris, et [cum] Diaconis; probatis in voluntate Dei Patris, per Dominum Jesum Christum, qui secundum suam voluntatem solidavit firmiter Ecclesiam ejus super petram, redificio spirituali non manu facto: quam flumina inundantia et flantes venti non valuerunt subvertere; nec valeant aliquando spiritus nequitiæ, sed debilitentur virtute Domini nostri Jesu Christi.
I. Videns [autem] Episcopum vesGal. i. 1. trum, cognovi quia non a semetipso, neque ab hominibus promotus est in ministerium ad communionem pertinens, neque per inanem gloriam; sed in dilectione Jesu Christi, et Dei Patris, qui resuscitavit eum a mortuis: cujus expavesco mansuetudinem,

SHORTV:

## AD PHHLADELPHICOS.

Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, Ecclesiæ Dei Patris et Jesu Christi, que est in Philadelphia Asiæ, habenti propitiationem, et firmate in concordia Dei, et exultanti in passione Domini [Jesu Christi] inseparabiliter, et in resurrectione ipsius certificate in omni misericordia: quam saluto in sanguine Jesu Christi, qui est gaudium æternum et incoinquinatum, maxime si in uno sumus cum Episcopo, et eis qui cum ipso Presbyteris et Diacońis, manifestatis in sententia Jesu Christi, quos secundum propriam voluntatem firmavit in firmitudine Sancti Spiritus ipsius.
I. Quem Episcopum cognovi, non a seipso, neque per homines, possedisse administrationem in commune convenientem, neque secundum inanem gloriam, sed in charitate Dei Patris et Domini Jesu Christi ; cujus obstupui mansuetudinem,

## SHORTER．


 $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha i ̂ s ~ e ̀ v \tau o \lambda \alpha i ̂ s, ~ \omega ́ s ~ \chi o \rho \delta \alpha i ̂ s ~ k t-~$

 $\gamma$ voùs èvápetov каì тє入єíov oû$\sigma \alpha v$ ，

 ऽढิ̀тоя．

B＇．Téкva oî̀ ф $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ òs $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta$ cias，





 $\dot{v} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ oủ $\chi$ é $\xi$ ovбル tótov．
 $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ ，«̈ $\alpha \tau ו \nu \alpha \varsigma$ oủ $\gamma \in \omega \rho \gamma \in \hat{\imath}$＇I $\eta \sigma o u{ }_{S}$ X рıттòs，סıà тò $\mu \eta$ €̀ivol aủroùs фитєíav Патро́s．Оử ӧть $\pi \alpha \rho$ ’ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \rho ı \sigma \mu \dot{o} v \in \hat{v} \rho o v, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}[\dot{\alpha} \pi о \delta i v-$ $\lambda \iota \sigma \mu$ évov．］＂Oбoı $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ Өєo兀̂ єiఠıı




 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~ \zeta \omega े \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma] ~. M \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ，
入ov $\theta \in \hat{\epsilon}, \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu$ Өєồ oủ кл $\eta \rho o-$ ขонеi．єil тıS èv $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о т \rho i ́ a, ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$

## LONGER．




 $\mu \in \mu \pi \tau o s$, ov̉ $\chi$ ग̂ттov Zaxapíov тồ $i \in-$ рє́由s．$\Delta i o ̀ ~ \mu \alpha к \alpha \rho i ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota ~ \mu o ̂ ̀ ~ \dot{\eta} \psi u \chi \grave{\eta}$ тク̀̀ єis Єeòv aùтoû $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ，è $\pi t-$
 тò $\dot{\alpha} \kappa i v \eta \tau o \nu ~ \alpha u ̉ t o v ̂, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ t o ̀ ~ \alpha ́ o ́ p \gamma \eta-~$







 фиєбне́vol，$\dot{\eta} \delta \mathbf{\nu} \hat{\imath}$ как？$\alpha i \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega т i ́-$


$\Gamma^{\prime}$ ．＇А $\pi$ é $\chi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ oî̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \kappa \omega ̂ \nu$ ßо－ $\tau \alpha \nu \omega ิ$ ，äs tıvas＇I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ s ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ o u ̉ ~$

 $\tau \rho o ̀ s, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \sigma \pi e ́ \rho \mu a ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \pi o v \eta \rho o v ̂ . ~ O u ̉ \chi ~$




 коเข $\omega v i ́ a \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi a ́ \zeta \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\nu} \nu ~ к а т \eta-$












LONCilill.
quomodo tacens amplins potest a loquente. Aptus est enim mandatis Domini et justitiee cjus, sient chorde citharas ; et est irreprehenLuc. i. 5, 6. sibilis, nom minus a Zacharia sacerdote. Propter quod beatificat anima mea illius secundum Deum dispositionem: cognoscens imnocentem, et perfectam, et immobilem, et sine ira circa ommes ejus mansuetudinem, [tanquam] Dei vivi.
II. Sicut ergo filii lucis, vere fugite divisionem unitatis, et malam doctrinam Hereticorum, ex r. xxiii.15. quibus exivit coinquinatio in omnem terram. Ubi ergo Pastor est, illuc Mat. vii. 15. sicut oves congregemini. Multi 1.veluptate. enim lupi pellibus ovium induti *voluntate mala captivant decurrentes ad Deum : sed in unitate vestra non inveniunt locum.
*1. herblis. III. Abstinete itaque vos a * verbis malis, quæ Jesus Christus non seminavit, sed hominum interfectrix bestia: propter quod non sunt plantatio Patris, sed seminarium Nequissimi. Non ergo separationem inveniens apud vos, hæe scribo : sed inveniens vos ut filios Dei. Quotquot enim sunt Christi, ipsi sunt cum Episcopo. Qui autem

## sllull'I:

gui silens phtura potest his gui vana lopuuntur. Concordes enim estis mandatis, ut chordis cithara. Propter quod beatificat mea anima cam quae in Domini ipsins sententian; cognoscens virtuosam et perfectam existentem, immobile ipsins et inirascibile in omni mansuetudine Dei viventis.
II. Filii igitur lucis [et] veritatis, fugite partitionem, et malas doctrinas: ubi autem Pastor est, illic ut oves sequimini. Multi enim lupi fide digni delectatione mala captivant in Deum cursores : sed in unitate vestra non habent locum.
III. Recedite a malis herbis, quas non colit Jesus Christus: propter non esse ipsas plantationem Patris. Non quoniam apud vos partitionem inveni; sed abstractionem. Quotquot enim Dei sunt et Jesu Christi, isti cum ipso sunt: et quotquot utique pœnitentes veniunt in unitatem Ecclesiæ, et isti Dei erunt; ut sint secundum Jesum Christum viventes. Non erretis, fratres mei. Si quis schisma facientem sequitur, regnum Dei non hæreditat: si quis in aliena sententia declinant eum, vel communionem [ejus, et] sociant se maledicis; ipsi cum illis simul abscindentur. Non enim sunt agricolæ Christi, sed Inimici seminarium : a quibus eruamini semper precibus assidentis Pastoris vestri fidelissimi et mitissimi. Rogo itaque ros in Domino; quicunque ponitentes venerint ad unitatem Ecclesir, suscipite cos cum omni mansuetudine : ut Tim. ii. 26. per utilitatem et bonam demonstrationem de [luto et] muscipula Diaboli eruti, [ct] digniJesu Christi effecti, sempiternam salutem percipiant in regno Christi. Nolite [ergo] errare fratres. Quicunque enim separatum a reritate finerit secutus, regnum Dei non hæreditabit: et qui non discesserit a falsiloquo praedicatore, in Gehennam damnabitur. Unde nee a justis discedere, neque injustis appropinquare oportet. Quicunque enim in aliena sententia

## SHORTER.

 кат $\alpha$ ті́ $е$ ет $\alpha \iota$.
$\Delta^{\prime}$. [ $\Sigma \pi$ ovס́夭́ $\sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon$ oûv] $\mu i \hat{\alpha}$ củ $\chi^{\alpha-}$ рıбтía $\chi \rho \bar{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \mu i \alpha \quad \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \rho \xi$ тoû





 $\sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$.]










































## 1, (1)N(Blle.

ambulaverit: ipse non est Chrinti, nee pussionis cjus particepps ; sed est ant. ii. 15. frandator et corruptor vime Christi. Trati ne commisceamini, ne simul mexili.6,8, cum on pereatis : nee si prater sit, vel filins, ant frater, ant dommsticus. Non enim, inquit, parcat ocmlus tuus super Qui ergo odio habent Deum, oportet etiam vos eos odire, et super inimicos ejus tabescere. Non quidem [nos] persequi eos aut perentere

## SH(1) TV:IC.

circumambulat, iste perssioni nom concordat.
IV. Studete igitur una gratiaPumatione uti. Drat enim caro Domini mostri Jesn Christi, et unus calix in unionem sangunis ipsius, umum altare, et unus Episcopus, cum Preshyterio et Diaconis conservis meis: ut quod facitis, secundum Deum faciatis.

Thes. iv.5. oportet, secumdum Gentes, quee non noverunt Denm: sed inimicos arbitrari, et separari ab eis, et monere cos, et ad ponitentiam provocare; si forte anTim. ii. 4. diant et adquieseant. Amator enim hominum est Deus noster ; ct. valt omnes homines salvos fieri, et ad agnitionem veritatis venire. Propter quod [et] Solem suum oriri facit super bonos et malos, et pluit super justos et injustos. Cujus utilitatis etiam nos Dominus volens esse imitatores, dicit: at. v. 48. Estote perfecti, sieut et l'ater vester coelestis perfectus est.
IV. Ego confido de vobis in Domino, quia nihil aliul sapictis. Propterea fiducialiter scribo Deo digne dilectioni vestre: rogans vos ut instetis uni fidei, uni praedicationi ; una gratiarum actione utentes. Una enim esi caro Domini Jesu, et unus ejus sanguis yni pro nobis effusus ces, unus etiam panis pro omnibus confiactus, et unus calix totius Ecelesiæ: et unus Episeopus, simul cum [ommi] Presbyterio, et Diaconis conser is meis. Quia et unns est ingenitns Dens Pater, et unus unigenitus Dilius, Dens Verbum et homo, et unus Paracletus, Spiritus veritatis. Una etiam prædicatio,
51. iv. j. et fides uma, et umum Baptisma, et una Ecelesia; quam fumdaverunt sancti Apostoli, a finibus usque ad fines, in sanguine Christi, propriis sudoribus et
Pet ii. a. laboribus. Et vos ergo oportet, sicut populum sacerdotalem, et gentem sanctam, in concordiam ommes in Christo consummari. Muliores [rero] riris restris subelite estote in timore Dei: Virgines Christo in ineorruptione, non excerates nuptias, sed meliora diligentes: non in eriminatione contagii, sed propter legis meditationem. Filii, subditi estote parentibus vestris: et diligite cos, tanquam cooperarios Dei ad restram generationem. Servi, subditi esfote dominis [restris] in Deo; ut Christi liberti efliciamini. Viri, diligite uxores vestras, sicut conserras in Deo, ut proprium corpus; sicut socias vita, et cooperatrices ad filiorum procreationem. Virgines, solum Christum prat oculis habete, et ejus Patrem in animabus vestris, illuminate a Spiritu [Sancto.] Memor sum sanctitatis vestre, sicut Helie, sicut Je-u Nave, sicut Mchehisedech, sicut Helismi, sicut Hicremix, sicut Johamis Bapti-tæ, sicut dilectissimi discipuli, sicut Timothei, sicut Titi, sicut Euodii, sicut Clementis; [vel] eorum qui in castitate de vita exierunt. Non detraho autem cæteris beatis qui muptiis copulati fuerunt; quorum mune memini. Opto enim Deo dignus ad restigia corum in regno ipsius inveniri; sicut Abraham ot Isaac et Jacob, sicut Joseph et Isaias, et cæteri Prophetæ, sicut Petrus et Paulus, et reliqui Apostoli, qui nuptiis fuerunt sociati: [qui] non libidinis causa, sed h. vi. 4. posteritatis subrogandæ gratia, conjuges habuerunt. Patres nutrite filios vestros in eruditione et disciplina Domini: et docete cos sacras litteras, et 3v. xxiii. artes honestas ; ut non otio gaudeant. Bene enim, inquit, nutrit pater jus24. 1us; in filio autem sapientia lætabitur cor ejus. Domini, benigne in domessxxi.13, ticos [vestros] intendite; sicut sanctus Job docuit. Una enim est natura, et 11. iii. 28. unum genus hominum. In Christo autem neque servus est, neque liber.

Principes,

## SHORTER．

Е＇．＇A $\delta \in \lambda \phi о i ́ \mu о v, \lambda i ́ \alpha \nu$ èкке́ $\chi$ v－ $\mu \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} v \dot{v} \mu \hat{\varrho} s, \kappa \alpha \dot{\imath} \dot{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha \lambda$－


 ஸ̀v $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \rho \pi \alpha \sigma \tau о \varsigma . ~ ' А \lambda \lambda \lambda$＇$\dot{\eta} \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \epsilon u \chi \grave{\eta}$






 кéval，кגì єís aủtòv è $\lambda \pi i \zeta \epsilon \iota v$ ，кגì
 $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ］è $\sigma \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ èv［évót $\eta \tau \iota$＇$\eta$－



 коぃท̂s è $\lambda \pi i ̊ 0$ ．

## LONGER．

$\tau \omega \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \hat{\iota} \mathbf{K} \alpha i \not \sigma \alpha \rho \iota^{\circ}$ oi $\sigma \tau \rho \mu \tau \iota \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \iota$ ，то̂ई


 $\pi \alpha \nu \tau i \quad \tau \hat{\omega}$ 入 $\alpha \hat{\omega}$ каi то̧̂s $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau เ \omega ́ \tau \alpha \iota s$



 ঠє̀ каì аі $\chi \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha \iota, \mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\rho} є \mu \beta о \grave{\iota}, \mu \eta ̀ \lambda i ́ \chi \nu \alpha \iota$ ，

 Tav̂та ov่ $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau о \lambda o s ~ \delta \iota \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$.


 ย̇тоф $\omega \nu \eta \tau \circ \hat{v} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota \nu$ é $\pi \in ́ \chi \omega \nu$ ．

入ó $\mu$ vvos à $\sigma \phi \alpha \lambda i \zeta о \mu \alpha \iota ~ \dot{v \mu a ̂ s ~ o u ̉ k ~}$


















1, ONGEK.
Principes, subditi estote Cosari; milites, Principihus: Dineoni, Presbyteris [et] sucerdotibus. I'reshyteri [vero] et Diaconi atgue omnis clerus, simul cum ommi populo et militibus ntque principibus, [sed] et Ciesare, [obediant] Episcopo. Episcopus [vero] Christo ; sicut Christus Patri : et ita unitas per ommia servatur. Sint autem vidure non vagre, neque guload. viii. 1,6 , ste, neque proterrae, sed pudicae et we. ii.36,37. sobrix, sient Judith et Anna. Haee autem non sicut Apostolus precipio: Sam. xviii. Quis enim sum ego? aut que do-
18. ©u.i.18. mus patris mei? ut aqualem me illis

Mil. ii. 25. dieam: sed sicut commilito vester, obedientice ordinem continens.
V. Fratres mei, valde pronus sum ad dilectionem vestram, superexsultans de unanimitate vestra. Moneo [enim] vos; non ego ; sed Dominas Jesus per me, in quo vinctus sum. [Adhuc] magis timeo, quia nondum perfectus sum: sed oratio vestra faciet me perfectum apud Deum, ut eum in quo rocatus sum, merear adipisci; confugiens ad Erangelium tanquam ad corpus Jesu Christi, et ad Apostolos tanquam ad Presbyterium Ecclesix. Et Prophetas quidem diligo, ut Christum pronunciantes; continentes ejus spiritum, sicut et Apostoli. Sicuti enim Pseudo-prophetæ et Pseudo-apostoli unum eundemque malignum, et seductorem, et populum errare facientem Spiritum assumpserunt: sic iterum [reri] Prophetre et [veri] A postoli unum cundemque sanctum, bonum, principalem et verum atque doctorem, per Christum acceperunt Spiritum. Unus enim
Tim.ii. j. Deus veteris et novi Testamenti : Unus et Mediator Dei et hominum ; ad facturam intelligibilium et sensibilium, et providentiam gerens omnium. Unus quoque et Paracletus, qui operabatur in Moyse et Prophetis et Apostolis. Omnes enim sancti in Christo salvati sunt, in ipsum sperantes, atque ipsum expectantes: et per ipsum salutem adepti sunt; qui erant digni dilectione, et digni laude, sanctificati a Christo Jesu, testificantes in Evangelio spei communis.

## SHORTER.

s'. 'Eàv סé TLs ['Iovסaïб $\mu$ òv ép $\mu \mathrm{e}$ -






 $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota \mu$ о́vov ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ब̀ $\nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$. Феи́үєтє oûv тàs какотєұvías, каі



 $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$ èv $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \varphi$ к кро̊ía. Eủ-





 $\mu \alpha \rho т$ ט́piov av̉тò ктí̄ $\omega \nu \tau \alpha$.

## LONGER.





 iovdâ̂os. 'Eáv тıs ómo入oүṇ X Xıoтòv
 vó $\mu o v, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$, ov̉к єîva८ $\lambda \epsilon ́-$
 $\rho \alpha$ тô̂ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}, \dot{o}$ тoเoûтos $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\eta}$ ả $\lambda \eta$ -

 vos тov̂ $\mu a ́ \gamma o v, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’$ ' ov̉ тov̂ 'A अíov Пขєíl$\mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma, \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \varsigma . \quad$ 'Eáv $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ \lambda e ́ \gamma \eta ~ \mu e ̀ v ~$



 каì $\sigma \omega ́ \mu a \tau o s ~ a i \tau o ̀ v ~ \mu o ́ v o v ~ є i ̀ v a \iota ~ v o \mu i ́ \zeta \eta, ~ o ́ ~$
 $\nu \eta \nu \kappa \eta \rho v ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \lambda \epsilon$ cía $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \dot{\omega} \pi \omega v^{\circ}$












 тоv̂ 'A











LIONGIER.
VI. Si guis Demm Laris et Propheturum umm pradicaverit ; Chrisfum autem negaverit Filium bese Dei: mendax est, quomodo ef Pater ejus Diabolus; et est hujusmodi inferioris circumedsionis Pseudo-judenes. Si [antem] quis confitetur Christum Je sum Dominum, negat autem Deum Legis et Prophetarum Patrem esse Christi : hie in veritate non stat, quomodo nee pater ejus. Diabolus ; et est hajusmodi Simonis Magi, et non Spiritus Sancti, discipulus. Si quis autem dicit umm Deum, confiteturgue Christum Jesum; hominem vero purum putans Dominum, et non Deum unigenitum, et sapientiam et Verbum Dei, sed ex anima et corpore eum solum esse existimans: lujusmodi serpens est seductor, errorem predieans ad perditionem hominum; hujusmodi pauper est sensu, sicuti vocatur et adinventor ipsius erroris Ebion. Si quis cnim hæe confitetur, corruptionem revo et coinquinationem rocat legitimam mixtionem et filiorum procreationem, aut aliquam

## Sllull'I:If.

VI. Si antem Judaismam interpretetur voljis, non mudiatis ipsum. Melius est enim a viro cirenmeisionem habente Christimismum andire, quam ab habente preputium Judaïsmum. Si autem utrique de Jesn Christo non loguantar, isti mihi columme sunt et sepulchra mortuorum ; in quibus scripta sunt solum nomina hominum. Fugite igitur malas artes, et insidias Principis seculi hujus: ne forte tribulati sententia ipsius, infirmemini in charitate. Sed [et] omnes in idipsum fiatis in impartibili corde. Gratias autem ago Deo meo, quoniam bonam habens conscientiam ego sum in vobis; et non habet aliquis gloriari, neque occulte neque manifeste, quoniam gravavi aliquem in parvo vel in magno. Sed et omnibus in quibus locutus sum oro, ut non in testimonium ipsum possideant. eseam execrabilem [putat :] hujusmeli cohabitatorem habet Draconem apostatam. Si cquis [enim] Patrem et Filium et Spiritum Sanctum confitelur, r creaturam laudat; simulationem vero dicit incarnationem, et passionem erubeseit [confiteri]: hujusmodi fiden abneqat, nililo minus quam interfectores Christi Judæi. Si quis autem hæe confessus fuerit, et quia Deus Verbum in humano corpore habitavit, sicut et anima in corpore ; propter quol inhabitare dicimus Deum in corpore, sed non in humana anima; dicit autem quasdam iniquas mixtiones aliquil boni esse, et finem beatitudinis roluptatem ponit ; qualis ille falso nomine Nicolaita : hic neque Dei amicus, neque Christi amator esse potest ; sed corruptor proprix carnis ; et propterea a Spiritu Sancto desertus [est,] et a Christo alienus. Hujusmodi ommes, statuæ sunt [exanimes,] et sepulchra mortuorum ; in quibus scripta sunt tantummodo nomina hominum defunctorum. Fugite ergo phes. ii. 2 . malas artes, et insidias Spiritus, qui operatur in filiis seculi hujus; me quando tribulati infirmemini in dilectione: sed omnes in idipsum estote inseparabiles corde ; et in unanimitate unum semper sentientes in idipsum; de hoc ipso glorificantes, in requie, et in periculis, et in tristitiis, et in gaudiis. Gratias ago Deo per Jesum Christum; quia bene mihi conscius sum in vobis, et non habet quis unde gloriari, neque absconse neque publice, quod gravarerim aliquem aut in modico aut in magno. Et omnes quibus locutus sum deprecor, ut non in testimonium illud possideant.

## SHORTER．

Z＇．Ei $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho[\kappa \alpha i]$ кат $\alpha ̀ \sigma \alpha ́ \rho к \alpha \mu ́$ тives $\dot{\eta} \theta \in \in ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \bar{\eta} \sigma \iota, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ тò






 $\pi \rho о є \iota \delta o ́ t \alpha$ тòv $\mu \in \rho ı \sigma \mu o ́ v ~ \tau ו \nu \omega \nu, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́-~$








 аบ๋тоиิ．］

 $\tau \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ v o s . ~ O \hat{u}$ ठ̀̀ $\mu \in \rho ı \sigma \mu o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota v$ каi о́р $\eta \grave{\eta}$ ，Єєòs ои катоıкєі．．Паिбıv



 $\alpha \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\delta \in \sigma \mu o ́ v . \pi \alpha \rho \alpha-$
 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota v, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ кат $\alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \mu \alpha-$






 бтаиро̀s аútoû каi ó 9人́vatos，каi $\dot{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i s ~ \alpha u ̉ t o u ̂, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \dot{\eta} \pi i ́ \sigma T i s ~ \dot{\eta}$



## LONGER．

$Z^{\prime}$ ．Ei $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ кат $\grave{\alpha} \sigma \alpha ́ \rho \kappa \alpha \mu \epsilon ~ \grave{\eta} \theta{ }_{\epsilon}^{\prime}-$ $\lambda \eta \sigma \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu$ тוves $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \sigma \alpha \ell, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{o}$ $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \dot{\alpha}$ 弦 oủ $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu a ̂ \tau \alpha \iota^{\circ} \pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$


 $\mu \in \tau \alpha \xi \dot{v}$ ஸेv Є่ $\lambda \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda$ ouv $\mu \in \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \eta$ $\phi \omega \nu \eta \eta^{.}$

 Buтєрị́，каi тоìs дıакóvois．Ei
 $\mu \in \rho \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu, \lambda \in ́ \gamma \in \iota \nu \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha^{*}, \mu \alpha ́ \rho-$







 тоі̀ тои̂ Xрібтой．




 oûv тoîs $\mu \in \tau \alpha \nu o o v ̂ \sigma \iota v$ ả $\phi i ́ \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ ó Đeòs， є́ $\alpha \nu$ б $v \nu \delta \rho \alpha ́ \mu \omega \sigma \iota \nu$ єis évót $\eta \tau \alpha$ X $\rho เ \sigma \tau o v$ ， каі $\sigma u v \in \delta \rho \in i ́ \alpha \nu ~ т о и ̂ ~ є ̇ \pi ı \sigma к о ́ т о и . ~ \amalg ı-~$
 $\lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \phi)^{\prime} \dot{\varphi} \mu \hat{\omega} v \pi \alpha^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \dot{v} \nu \bar{\partial} \epsilon \sigma \mu \circ v$ ádıкias．Парака入へ̂ oûv vi $\mu \hat{s^{\prime}}$ ，$\mu \eta$－








 $\sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i s ~ \alpha u ̉ t o u, ~ к \alpha i ~ \dot{\eta} \pi i \sigma \tau i s ~ \dot{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho i$ тoúт $\omega v^{\cdot}$ èv oîs 9 é $\lambda \omega$ c̀ $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon u \chi \hat{\eta}$


 ミклиррòv тò трòs кévтра лактí̧elv，



B,ONG:F:11
VII. Si enims seeundum carnem me voluermat quidam oberrare: sed spiritus mens nom oberrat: " Deo etenim cum ateeppi: novit enim Jobt. iii. 8. unde venerit et guo eat, et ocenlta arguet. Clamavi enim vece magna inter eos quibus loquebar; nom meum sermonem, sed Dei [proferens:] Episcopo intendite, et Preshyteris ot Diaconis. Hi vero despexerunt me, tanquam prohibentem divisionem quorundam [fieri.] Hee [mitem] dicenti testis est mili propter quem vinctus sum, quia de ore humano hoe non cognovi: sed Spiritus mihi praconisavit, dicens: Prater Episcopum ne feceritis. Carnem vestram sicut templum Dei servate. Unitatem Cor. xi. 1. diligite: divisionem fugite. Imitatores estote Pauli et cexterorum Apostolorum; quomodo et ipsi Christi.
VIII. Ego quidem quod meum fuit feci, ut homo in unitate perfectus : adjiciens etiam hoc, quia ubi dissensio mentis et iracundia et odium, illic Deus non habitat. Omnibus igitur ponitentibus dimittit Deus; si ad unitatem Christi concurrerint, et [ad] consensum Episcopi. Credo gratix Jesu Christi, quia solvit a nobis omne vinculum injustitir. Rogo autem vos, ut nihil secundum irritationem agatis; sed secundum Christi dimicationem. Audivi enim quosdam dicentes: Si non invenero Evangelium in antiquis, non credam. Talibus autem ego dico: quia mihi antiquitas Jesus Christus est: cui non obedire, manifestus [et irremissibilis] interitus est. Principatus ejus est crux, et mors ipsius, et resurrectio, et fides

## sill()N'TV:

VII. Si cuim et scetudum carnem me: quidam voluerunt seducere; sed spiritus nom seducitur; a Deo existens. Novit enim unde Jani. in. B. venit, et quo vadit, et oceulta redarguit. Clamavi in intermedio existens; locutus sum magna voce, [Dei voce:] Tipiscopo attendite, et Preshyterio, et Diaconis. Quidam auteni suspicati sunt me, ut prascientem divisionem quorundam, dicere haee: ; testis autem mihi in quo vinctus sum, quia a carne humana non cognovi. Spiritus autem preedicavit, dicens hace : Sine Episcopo nihil faciatis. Carnem vestram ut templum Dei servate. Unitatem diligite: divisiones fugite. Imitatores estote Jesu Christi ; ut et ipse Patris ipsius.
VIII. Ego quidem igitur proprium faciebam, ut homo in unitatem perfectus. Ubi autem divisio est et ira, Deus non habitat. Omnibus igitur penitentibus dimittit Deus; si pœniteant in unitatem Dei, et concilium Episcopi. Credo gratio Jesu Christi, qui solvet a vobis omne vinculum. Deprecor autem vos, nihil secundum contentionem facere, sed secundum Christidisciplinam. Quia audivi quosdam dicentes; Quoniam si non in veteribus invenio, in Evangelio non credo: et dicente me ipsis, Quoniam scriptum est; responderunt mihi,Quoniam præjacet. Mihi autem principium est Jesus Christus ; inapproximabilia principia crux ipsius et mors, et resurrectio ipsius, et fides quæ per ipsum : in quibus volo in oratione vestra justificari. in [ommibus] his: in quibus rolo [vos] in orationibus vestris justificari. Qui non credit Evangelio, omnibus simul non credit : quia non prejudicatur let. ix. 5. et antiquitati spiritus. Durum est enim contra stimulum calcitrare: durum xxri. 14. [etiam] Christo non credere; durum [quoque] pradicationem Apostolorum spernere.

## SHORTER.

$\Theta^{\prime}$. K $\alpha \lambda$ о̀ каі оi iepeis' крєіَ-
 vos $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ व̈ $\gamma \iota \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ á $\gamma i \omega v$, ôs póvos $\pi \epsilon \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon u \tau \alpha \iota \tau \alpha ̀ ~ к \rho u \pi \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тои $\Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v}$.
 єіб'є́рхоитає 'Аßраа̀ каі 'I $\sigma \alpha \alpha{ }^{\prime} к$



 $\pi \alpha \rho o v \sigma i \alpha \nu$ тои̂ Kupíou $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma u . \quad$ Oí $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ [ $\alpha, \gamma \alpha \pi \eta \tau o i]$

 גфp日apoías.] Па́vта ó $\mu о \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \alpha$


I'. 'Е $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} v, \kappa \alpha i[\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}] \tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \lambda \dot{\alpha} \gamma \chi^{\nu \alpha} \dot{\alpha}$ 'ै $\chi \in \tau \epsilon$ év X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$ 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}, \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \eta \gamma \gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \eta$


 $\sigma \alpha \iota$ ठıáкоvov єis тò $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta \in \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$ е̇кє̂̂ Єєoû mpeбßcíav, cís тò $\sigma u \gamma-$ $\chi \alpha \rho \hat{v \alpha \iota ~ \alpha u ̉ т o i s ~ є ́ \pi i ~ т o ̀ ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ ~ \gamma є v o-~}$ $\mu \in ́ v o l s, \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \delta \circ \xi \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \sigma \alpha l$ тò o้vо $\mu \alpha$. М $\alpha$ -
 $\omega \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \in \tau \alpha \ell$ т $\hat{S}$ тоьаúтทs $\delta \iota \alpha к о \nu i \alpha s$,
 ס̀̀ vi $\mu i v$ oủk ${ }^{\prime \prime} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ảסúvatov. vinèp

 ঠ̀̀ требßuте́роия каі ঠıако́vous.





 каі $\delta \iota \alpha$ ко́юоия.

## 1.1)N(ilill.

1X. Boni enim sunt silecopotes, et sermonis ministri: melior autem est Pontilex, cui credita sumt sanctar sanctortum; cai soli commissa sunt secereta Dei. Bona sunt etimen oflicia virtutis Dei: homus quogue spiritus Sunctus: 〔qui est super ommia sancta sumetissimus,] et verbi [minister. Sed super omthes samelos sanctissimus est summus Pontifex, et Prineeps Pontificum; yui est legatus et minister Patris, et princeps legionmm militie ceHeb. i. 2. Lestis:] per quem Dater omnia fecit, atque omnem providentiam gerit. 1oh. siv. 6. Ipse est via qua ducit ad Patrem ; oh. x. It ipse petra, maceria, clavis, pastor, \& 3 saccrdotium, Janua [scientiae et] agnitionis: per quam introiit Abraham, et Isaac, et Jacob, Moyses [quoque,] et ommis chorus Prophetarum, et columnæ mundi Apostoli, et sponsa Domini [Ecclesia; ] pro qua sanguinem summ fudit, ut cam redimeret. Omnia [igitur] hace in unitate unius et unigeniti veri Dei. Quid autem precipuum habet Evangelium? Prasentiam adventus Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi, passionem ; [sed et] ipsam resurrectionem. Quæ enim Prophetæ annunciavem. xlix.10. runt, dicentes ; Donec veniat cui repositum est, et ipse erit expectatio gentium : hæc in Evangelio comItt. xxviii. pleta sunt, [dicente Domino;] Pergite eos in nomine Patris, et Filii, et Spiri-

## SHOHTER

IX. Boni et sucecrdotes, melias ankem Princepps sace:dotum, eni eredita sumt sameta sanctorfum, cui soli ceredita sumt oceulta Dei: qui ipse est jamma Patris, per yuam ingrediuntur Abrahame et Isata et Jacol), et Propheter, et Apostoli, et Eeclesia. Ommia hase in unitatem fidei. Praceipuum iutem aliquid habet Livangelium, prasentiam [Salvatoris] Domini nostri Jesu Claristi, passionem ipsius, et resurrectionem. Dilecti enim Propheta ammunciaverunt in ipsum : Evangelium autem perfectio est incorraptionis. Ommia simul bona sunt, si in charitate creditis.
X. Quia secundum orationem vestram, et secundum viscera misericordiae quae habetis in Christo Jesu, amnunciatum est mihi, pacem habere Ecclesiam quæ est in Antiochia Syrix; decens est vos, ut Eeclesiam Dei, ordinare Diaconum ad intercedendum illic Dei intercessionem; in congaudere ipsis in idipsum factis, et glorificare nomen. Beatus in Jesu Christo, qui dignificabitur tali ministratione: et vos glorificabimini. Volentibus autem vobis non est impossibile, pro nomine Dei; ut et quædam propinquæ Ecclesiæ miserunt Episcopos, quædam autem Presbyteros et Diaconos.
tus Sancti. Omnia ergo simul bona [sunt]: Lex, Prophetæ, Apostoli, omnis congregatio quæ per ipsos credidit. Solum [autem restat,] ut nos invicem diligamus.
X. Quoniam secundum orationem vestram et viscera qua habetis in Domino Jesu, annunciatum est mihi pacificare Ecclesiam quæ est in Antiochia Syrie: decet vos, tanquam Ecclesiam Dei, ordinare Episcopum ad mittendum illuc visitationem Dei; concedere eis in idipsum constitutis, glorificare nomen Dei. Beatus est in Christo Jesu, qui dignus effectus fuerit tali ministerio: et vos quidem festinantes, glorificamini in Christo. Volunt autem robis, quod non est omnibus impossibile; pro nomine Dei,quomrodo et semper vicinæ Ecclesie [con-ucverunt; ] mittere [neblisfiniscopes]. Piestyteros, et Diaconos.

## SHOKTER

 кóvov ảmò Kı入ıкías，ảvסоòs $\mu \in \mu \alpha \rho-$








 то仑̂＇I $\eta \sigma о \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{v} . ~ ' А \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta є \tau \alpha \iota ~$

 ठià Bov́ $\rho$ ’ó


 $\pi i \zeta$ оибıv $\sigma \alpha \rho к i, \psi \cup \chi \hat{\eta}, \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota, \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha^{-}$



LONGER．
IA＇．Перì $\delta e ̀ ~ \Phi i ́ \lambda \omega v o s ~ т o v ̂ ~ \delta ı \alpha-~$ кóvov，à $\nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha ̀ \pi o ̀ ~ K ı \lambda ı к i ́ a s ~ \mu є \mu x \rho-~$


 йколоиӨєi，$\dot{\alpha} \pi о т \propto \xi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in \nu о \iota ~ т \hat{\omega}$ مị́，



 $\alpha$ ủтоѝs，$\lambda \cup \tau \rho \omega \theta \epsilon i ́ \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ẻv Tท̣̂ $\chi \alpha ́ \rho เ T \iota$ ＇Iŋбov̂ Xpiбтov̂，тoû $\mu \eta ̀ ~ \beta o v \lambda о \mu e ́ v o v ~$ тòv Ө́ávuтov тov̂ «́ $\mu \rho \tau \omega \lambda o \hat{v}, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$







 $\dot{\rho} \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon$ èv K $v \rho \dot{\prime} \dot{\omega} \omega$＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathbf{X \rho} \rho \sigma \tau \omega, \tau \hat{\eta}$


## THE EPISTLE TO THE SMYRNEANS．

## SHOR＇TER。

## EMYPNAIOIE．


 тทнćvov＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho เ \sigma \tau o \hat{v}, \dot{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \eta-$ $\mu \in ́ v \eta$＇̉̀v $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{1}$ Х $\alpha \rho i ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau!, \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta-$




 $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ Єєoû $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \tau \alpha \chi \alpha i ́ \rho \in ぃ$.

## I，ONGTRR。

TOX AYTOX ETIETOAH ПPOE $\Sigma$ MYPNAIOY ．
 бía ఆєov̂ Патрòs infí́тоv，кай тoû ク̉ $\gamma \alpha \pi \eta \mu$ évou vioû av่тov̂＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$


 тантòs хupífuатоs，9еотрєтє－


 ата $\chi$ аípсн．

## IUNCII：IC．

XI．De Phitone vero Diacono． viro religioso a Cilicia，qui mune mihi in verbo Dei ministrat，una cum Gaio et Agathopo，viro electo， yui a Syria me sequitur；［testifi－ cor］vobis：quia renunciaverunt seculo，et martyrium perpetrare assumpserunt．Et ego gratias ago Deo pro volis：［rogans］ut sus－ cipiatis eos［in Domino；］ut et vos suscipiat Jesus Christus．Qui enim cos diflimaverumt，redempti sunt in gratia Jesu Christi ；qui non vult mortem peceatoris，sed per－ nitentiam．Salutat vos dilectio fra－ trum qui sunt in Troia：unde scribo vobis per Burgum，qui mis－ sus est simul ab Ephesiis et Smyrneis，ad verbum honoris： quos redimet Dominus Jesus Chri－ stus，in quem sperant carne［et］ anima，spiritu［et］fide，dilectione ［et］concordia．Incolumes estote in Domino Jesu Christo，communi spe nostra，in Spiritu Sancto．

## SHOHTV：Iた。

XI．De Phifome rutem Diaco－ no a Cilicia，viro testimonium labente，gui et mune in verbo Dei ministrat mihi c：um Reo Agrathopode，viro electo，qui a Syria me sequitur，abrenuncians seculo ；qui et testificantur vohis： et ego Deo gratias ago pro volis． quonian recepistis ipsos；ut et vos Dominus．Qui autem inho－ noraverunt ipsos，liberentur in gratia Jesu Christi．Salutat vos charitas multorum qui in Troade： unde et scribo vobis per Burrum， missum mecum ab Ephesiis et Smyrnais in verbum honoris． Honoret ipsos Dominus Jesus Christus，in quem sperent carne， anima，［spiritu，］fide，charitate， concordia．Valete in Christo Jesu，communi spe nostra．

## THE EPISTLE TO THE SMYRNEANS．

## LONGER．

## EJUSDEM EPISTOLA AD SMYRNENSES．

ex Troja．
Ignatius，qui et Theophorus，Ec－ clesix Dei Patris altissimi，et di－ lectissimi filii ejus Jesu Christi， misericordiam consecutæ，［et］ gratia repletæ，in fide et di－ lectione fundatæ，et totius gra－ tiæ Deo decentissimæ，saucti－ fieatæ，quæ est in Smyrna，im－ maculatæ spiritu et verbo Dei； plurimam salutem．

## shorter．

AD SMIYRNeOS．
Ignatius，qui et Theophorus，Ec－ clesiæ Dei Patris et dilecti Jesu Christi，habenti propitia－ tionem in omni charismate，im－ pletæ in fide et charitate，inde－ ficienti existenti omni charis－ mate，Deo decentissimæ et sanctiferæ，existenti in Smyrna Asiæ；in incoinquinato spiritu et verbo Dei plurimum gatu－ dere．

## SHORTER．

## 

 $\Theta \epsilon o ̀ v, ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ o u ̈ T \omega \varsigma ~ ن ́ \mu a ̂ s ~ \sigma o ф i ́ \sigma \alpha v \tau \alpha$.
 Mévous èv tề $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \hat{̣}$ tô̂ Kvpíou

 $\tau \hat{\omega}$ кїдать X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{v}, \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho о ф о \rho \eta-$ $\mu$ úvous єis тòv Kúpıov $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，$\alpha^{\alpha} \lambda \eta$－
 $\sigma \alpha ́ \rho к \alpha$ ，vioov $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}[\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀$ I＇́̀ $\lambda \eta \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha i$ $\left.\delta^{\prime} \nu \alpha \mu \nu \nu \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}, \gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \in ́ v o \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha}^{\lambda} \eta \theta \hat{\omega}_{\varsigma}\right]$ èx $\pi \alpha \rho \theta^{\prime}$ vov，$\beta \in \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu$ évov ímò ＇I $\omega$ q́v $\nu=$ ，＂̈v $\alpha \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ ס̈ıкаıо－

 $\chi$ ои каӨض $\lambda \omega \mu$＇́vov ن́mè $\rho \quad \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ èv
 тои̂ $9 є о \mu \alpha к \alpha \rho i \sigma \tau т и ~ \alpha и ̉ т o ̂ ̂ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \theta o u s, ~$
 Tท今S $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ ，cis toùs á ${ }^{\prime}$ ious

 є́кк $\lambda \eta \sigma_{i ́ \alpha s} \alpha \cup ̉ \tau о$ v．
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s\left[i ้ \nu \alpha \sigma \omega \theta \hat{\omega} \mu \in \nu\right.$ ．］K $\alpha i{ }^{\imath} \lambda \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$





 viкої．］

## LONGER．

$\mathrm{A}^{\prime} . \Delta о \xi{ }^{\alpha} \zeta \omega$ тòv $\Theta$ сòv каі̀ Патє́ра



 $\mu$ úvous év tê $\sigma \tau \alpha u \rho \hat{\omega}$ тô̂ Kupiou ＇Iŋбоû Xрıбтой，баркі́ те каі̀ тлєи́－ $\mu \alpha \tau t$, каì édpaб $\mu$ évous èv ả áá－ $\pi \eta$ èv тஸ̂̀ аípatı тồ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} . ~ \pi \epsilon-$ $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \circ \phi \circ \rho \eta \mu$ évous，$\dot{\omega}$ à $\lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ ，cis
 то̂̂ Єєoû viòv，тòv трюто́токоv тá $\sigma$ ทs


 $\beta \alpha \pi \tau ו \sigma \mu$＇́vov vimò＇I $\omega$ ávvov，îv $\alpha \pi \lambda \eta$－


 Sov то̂ $\tau \in \tau \rho \alpha ́ \rho \chi о \cup, ~ к \alpha \theta \eta \lambda \omega \mu$ évov



 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$, єis тoùs à $\gamma i ́ o u s ~ к а i ~ \pi i-~$ бToùs aủtnû，cíte èv＇Iovסגíots，єïтє
 к入クбías аủtoû．




 ка̀ тòv бтаvрòv，каì aviтòv tòv Oáv $\alpha$－










 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \omega \nu$＇Iovdaí $\omega$＇，ảvé $\sigma \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon \tau \hat{i} \tau \rho i ́ \tau ?$


 бнттррі́＂แicórcor．

## ION(IV:Il

I. (itorifieo Deum, et Patrem Domini nostri Jesu Christi; (yni per seipsum vos tantum illuminavit. Agnovi emim vos perfectos [esse] in fide immobili; tanguam elavis affixos eruci Domini Jesu Christi, carne et spiritu : et confirmatos in charitate in sanguine: Christi : et vere ad plenum instructos in Domino nostro Jesn
1.i. 1s. Christo, filio Dei, primogenito totius mature; Deo Verbo, unigenito lílió; qui est ex genere David secundum carnem, [et] ex Maria virgine:
tuliii. 15. baptizatus a Johamne, ut adimpleretur in eoomnis justitia. Qui conversatus sancte sine peccato, sub Pontio Pilato, et Herode Tetrarcha, vere clavis confixus est pro nobis in carne. A quo et nos sumus, a divina et beata ejus passione ; ut tollat secum sibi conjunctos in secula per resurrectionem, ad sanctos et fideles suos, sive ad Judros sive ad Gentes, in unum corpus Ecclesix sur.
II. Hæc enim omnia passus est pro nobis. Et vere passus est; non putative, sed vere; sicuti et resurrexit. Sed non sicut quidam infidelium, erubescentes plasmationem hominis, et crucem, et ipsam mortem, dicunt; quasi putative et non vere suscepit corpus ex virgine, et putative passus est: im-
oh. i. 14. memores illius qui dixit; Verbum caro factum est, [et habitavit in nobis.]
ol. ii. 19. Et [iterum ; ] Solvite templum hoc, et ego in triduo resuscitabo illud. Et
h. xii. 32. [in alio loco;] Cum exaltatus fuero a terra, omnia traham ad meipsum. Ergo Verbum in carne habitavit.
rov.ix. 1. Sapientia enim ædificavit sibi domum. Verbum [ergo] templum suiipsius, ab adversariis resolutum, resuscitavit tertia die. Verbum [utique]
m. xxi.9. exaltata carne sua, velut illo æneo hi. iii. 14. serpente in eremo, omnes attraxit ad se ad salutem æternam.


## I. (ilorilien Jesum Christum

 Deum, qui vos sapientes fecit. Intellexi enim vos prerfectos in immobili fide; quemadmorlum chavifixos in ernce Domini [nostri] Jesu Christi, et carne et spiritu; et firmatos in charitate in sanguine Christi; certificatos in Dominum nostrum [.Jesum Christum,] vere existentem de genere David secundum carnem, filium Dei secundum voluntatem et potentiam Dei, genitum verc ex Virgine, baptizatum a Johanne, mu.iii. 15. ut impleatur omnis justitia ab ipso; vere sub Pontio Pilato et Herode Tetrarcha clavifixum pro nobis in carne; a cujus fructu nos, a divine beatissima ipsius passione; ut levet signum in secula, per resurrectionem, in sanctos et fideles ipsius, et in Judris et in Gentibus, in uno corpore Ecclesiæ ipsius.II. Hæc enim omnia passus est pro nobis, ut salvemur. Et vere passus est, ut et vere resuscitavit seipsum. Non, quemadmodum infideles quidam dicunt, secundum videri ipsum passum esse, ipsi secundum videri existentes: et quemadmodum sapiunt, et accidet ipsis, existentibus incorporeis et dæmoniacis.

## SHORTER.



 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \Pi \epsilon ́ т \rho o v ~} \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \in v$, ${ }^{\text {é } \phi \eta ~ \alpha u ̉ t o i ̂ s " ~} \Lambda \alpha^{\prime}-$




 каі̀ $9 \alpha \nu \alpha ́ т о ⿱ ~ к \alpha т є ф р о ́ v \eta \sigma \alpha \nu, ~[\eta \dot{u} \rho$ ќ-
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ $\sigma u \nu \in ́ \phi \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu$ av̉тoîs


$\Delta^{\prime} . T \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ס̀ $\grave{e} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \dot{\cup} \mu \hat{\nu}, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha-$

 Inрí $\omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} v \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \mu o ́ \rho \phi \omega v$, ov's oủ









 $\pi \hat{v} \rho, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \iota \rho \alpha \nu, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ I \eta \rho i ́ \alpha ; ~$
 $\mu \in \tau \alpha \xi \grave{\imath}$ Inpícov, $\mu \in \tau \alpha \xi \grave{v} \Theta \epsilon о$ û. $\mu$ óvov





## LONGER.


 $\mu a \tau \iota$ rerovévat нóvov, à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ к $\kappa \grave{\alpha} \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 кај̀ $\pi เ \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ย́ $\omega$ övт $\alpha$. K $\alpha \grave{\imath}$ öте $\pi \rho$ òs
 $\Lambda \alpha ́ \beta \in \tau \epsilon, \psi \eta \lambda \alpha \phi \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \tau \in ́ \mu \epsilon, \kappa \alpha i \imath^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \tau \epsilon$,








 тоиิто каı̀ $9 \alpha \nu \alpha ́$ тои катєфро́vŋ $\sigma \alpha \nu^{\prime}$











 aùròv đopevóuevov cis tòv oîpavóv. Ei





 $\dot{a} \pi \lambda o \hat{v} v \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$.
$\Delta^{\prime} . T \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \delta \grave{̀} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \dot{v} \mu \hat{v}, \alpha, \alpha \gamma \alpha-$

 Inрícv $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \pi о \mu о ́ \rho \phi \omega \nu, \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ои










## I, ()N(Ilill.

111. Ego antem nom solun natum cum of crucifixnm in corpere factum scio; sed eliam post resurectionem in carne cum novi, et eredo esse. Et quando ad eos qui emm Petro crant venit, ait illis; Palpate me, et videte, quia non: sum demonium incorporeum. Spiritus enim carnem et ossa non habet, sient me viletis hatere. Et Thoma dicit :
th. $x x .27$. Injice digitum tumm in fixuram clat vorum, et affer manum tuam, et mitte in latus meum ; [et noli esse incredulus, sed fidelis.] Et statim crediderunt, quia ipse crat Christus. Prooh. xx. 2s. pter quod et Thomas ait illi, Deus mens, et Dominus meus. Propterea ergo mortem contempseruut: parum dicentes esse, injurias et plagas, [et alia nomulla propter ipsum sustinere.] Nam et postquam ostendit se eis, quia vere et non putative resurrexisset: manducavit cum eis et bibit per dies quadraginta; et sic, videntibus eis, assumptus est cum carne ad eum qui miserat illum : in qua et iterum venturus est cum gloria [et] virtute: secundum quod dictum est [ab Angelis ad Apostolos:]
(11. i. 11. Hic Jesus, qui assumptus est a vobis in colum, sic veniet, quemadmodum vidistis eum ascendentem in cœolum. Si ergo sine corpore dicunt eum venturum esse in consummatione seculi : ac. sii. 10. quomodo visuri sunt eum illi, qui scentes, plangent inter se? Nam in-

## silloll'V:Iた。

III. Byo enim et post resurvectionem it carne ipsum vidi, et credo existentem. Et quando ad eos qui cirea P'etrum venit, ait ipsis: Apprehendite, palpate me, it videte, quomiam non sum demoniam incorporeum. Et confestim ipsum tetigermut, et crediderumt, convicti carme ipsius et spiritu. Propter hoe et mortem contempserunt ; inventi autem sunt super mortem. Post resurrectionem autem comedit cum eis et bibit, ut carnalis; quamvis spiritualiter unitus Patri.
IV. Hace autem monefacio vobis, dilecti, sciens quoniam et vos sic habetis. Prammio autem vos a bestiis anthropomorphis: quos non solum oportet vos non recipere, sed, si possibile, neque eis obviare; solum autem orare pro ipsis, si quo modo peniteant, quod difficile. Hujus autem habet potestatem Jesus Christus, verum nostrum vivere. Si autem secundum videri hæc operata sunt a Domino nostro: et ego secundum videri ligor. Quid autem et meipsum traditum dedi morti, ad ignem, ad gladium, ad bestias? Sed prope gladium, prope Deum : inter medium bestiarum, inter medium Dei. Solum in nomine Jesu Christi, ad compati ipsi, omnia sustinebo: ipso me fortificante, qui perfectus homo factus est. corporalium neque species, neque figura, sed neque effigies quidem aliqua animalis forme haberi [poterit, in qua fixura clavorum sel lancee foramen appareat,] propter simplicitatem naturæ.
IV. Hrec autem moneo vos, charissimi, sciens quia ros sic habetis. Preecustodio autem vos a bestiis hominum figuras habentibus: quas non solum devitare, sed etiam fugere vos oportet. Tantum orate pro illis; ut forte peniteant. Si enim putative in corpore fuit Dominus, et putative crucifixus cst : [crgo] et putative ego vinctus sum. Quare antem et meipsum tradidi ad mortem, ad ignem, ad gladium, ad bestias? Sed non putative, sed vere omnia sustineo propter Christum, ad compatiendum ei; ipso me confortante: quia non est mihi tanta virtus.

## SHORTER．

E＇．＂Ov teves ả $\gamma$ vooûvtes $\dot{\alpha} \rho \nu 0 \hat{v} v-$
 то仑̂，］ővtes бuvท́үороє то仑̂ 9avátou

 M $\omega \sigma$ é $\omega$ s，ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủdè $\mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho t ~ \nu v ิ \nu$ тò
 $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha ้ \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \theta \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ．K $\alpha \imath$ ү ${ }^{\prime} \rho$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \eta} \mu \omega \hat{\omega} \nu$ тò аvंтò фpovov̂ซıv．Tí $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho[\mu \epsilon]$ ỏ $\phi \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \iota \varsigma$ ，є $\mathfrak{\imath}$ є́ $\mu \in ̀ ~ \epsilon ̀ \pi \alpha เ v \epsilon \hat{l}$ ， tòv ठ̀è Kúpióv $\mu$ ou $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \mu \eta$






 ${ }_{O}^{\circ} \in \epsilon \sigma \tau t v \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} v \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma t \varsigma$ ．］
$5^{\prime}$ ．M $\eta \delta \bar{\epsilon} \epsilon i s ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ ．［K $\alpha i \tau \alpha ̀$


 єis тò аím $\mathbf{X}$ pıбтоиิ，［какєívoıs крí－ $\sigma ı s$ é $\sigma \tau i \nu$.$] ，＇О \chi \omega \rho \omega \hat{\nu} \nu \omega \rho \in i ́ \tau \omega$ ． Tótos $\mu \eta \delta$ óva фuбıoút $\omega^{\circ}$ тò үа̀ $\rho$
 оบ̉ס̀ย̀v трокє́крเт $\alpha$ ．］К $\alpha \tau \alpha \mu \alpha ́ \theta є \tau \epsilon$


 $\mu \eta$ тои̂ ఆєоиิ．Пєрi］$\dot{\alpha}, \gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta s$ où $\mu \epsilon ́-$
 ópфаvov̂，oủ $\pi \in \rho i ̀ ~ 9 \lambda i \beta o \mu e ́ v o v, ~ o u ̉ ~$



 рібтíav ба́рка єival той इwтйроs $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega ิ \nu$＇I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ ~ X \rho ı \sigma \tau o v ̂, ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ v i \pi \epsilon ̀ \rho ~ \tau \omega ि \nu ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \iota \omega ิ \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \theta \circ$ vิ $\alpha \nu \nu$ ，ìv $\tau \hat{\eta}$ хрทбто́тทть ó $\Pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$ クै $\gamma \in \iota \rho \in \nu$.

LONGER．


 ai mрофทteial，oủd ó vó $\mu$ os ò $\mathrm{M} \omega-$

 $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi \alpha \theta$ и́мата．K $\alpha i$ үа̀ $\rho$

 Kúpıóv цои $\beta \lambda \alpha \sigma \phi \eta \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath}, \mu \eta$ о́ $\mu о \lambda_{0-}$





 oî $\mu \in \tau \alpha \nu \circ \eta ́ \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$ ．

5＇．M $\eta \delta \epsilon \epsilon i s ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ ．＇E $\alpha \nu \mu \dot{\eta}$

 pòv av̉тov，каi тò $\pi \alpha ́ 0$ оs，каi тò $\alpha \hat{\mu} \mu \alpha$ ò



 ＇О $\chi \omega \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \omega \rho \in i ́ t \omega$ ，о́ а́кои́шv акои́－


 $\pi i \sigma \tau i s$ ì eis＠eòv，каi ì eis Xpuбтòv

















## 1,0)N(ilill.

V. Quem /profecto) |quidam ig-
 tiunt magis mendacio quam verilati. Quos mon persmaserunt Prophelee, neque Lex Moysi ; sed nee mune usque Evangelium, nee nostree speciales passiones. Nam et de nobis hoe ipsums sentiunt. (Quid enim [me] juvat, si me quis latudaverit, Dominum autem meum blasphemaverit ; si non eum conTessus fuerit incimatum [esse] Deum? Hoc antem qui non dixerit, perfecte eum negrat, sicut mortuum bajulans. Nomina vero eorum infidelia non est mihi nume visum seribere: sed neque contincrat mihi ut mentionem corum liaciam, donec paniteant.
VI. Nemo seipsum seducat. Si enim non crediderit Jesum Christum in carne fuisse conversatum; et confessus fuerit crucem ejus, et passionem, et sanguinem quem effindit pro mundi salute; vitam reternam non consequetur; sive rex fuerit sive sacerdos, seu princeps seu privatus, sel dominus sive servus, seu vir sive mulier. Qui capit, capiat: [et] qui audit, audiat. Locus [ergo,] et dignitas, atque divitiæ neminem inflent: ignobilitas, et paupertas nullum humiliet: sed cum his omnibus fides sit in Deum et in Christum, [et] spes fruitionis futurorum bonorum, cum dilectione quæ est
ate. s. 27. circa Deum et circa proximum. Diliges enim Dominum Deum tuum ex toto corde tuo; et proximum tuum sicut teipsum. [Sed] et Dominus di-

1. ani. 3. cit: Hæc est vita æterna, ut cognoscant [te] solum verum Deum, et quem misisti Jesum Christum. Et [illud h. xii.34. Johannis:] Mandatum novum do

## S\|llllTE:

V. (Quem quidam ignorrantoss, abmegrant; magis antem abougati sumt ab ijso ; existentes comeiobatores mortis magis, quam verifatis: quos nom persuaserunt Prophetias, neque lex Moysi; sed neque: usque nume Evangelinm, neque nostrae eorum qui secundum virum passiones. Etenim denobis idem sapiunt. Quid enim juvat me quis, si me laudat ; Dominum autem meum blasphemat, non confitens ipsum carniferum? Qui antem hoc non dicit, ipsum perPecte abnegavit, existens mortifer. Nominatatem ipsorum, existentia infidelia, non visum est mili inscribere : sed neque fiat mihi ipsorum recordari, usque quo poniteant in passionem, quae est nostra resurrectio.
VI. Nullus erret. Et supercolestia, et gloria Angelorum, et Principes visibiles et invisibiles, si non credant in sanguinem Christi, et illis judicium est. Qui capit, Mumsw.1? capiat: qualiter nullus infletur: totum enim est fides et charitas: quibus nihil præpositum est. Considerate autem aliter opinantes in gratiam Jesu Christi, eam quæ in nos venit; qualiter contrarii sunt sententiæ Dei. De charitate non est cura ipsis, non de vidua, non de orphano, non de tribulato, non de ligato vel soluto, non de esuriente vel sitiente. Ab Eucharistia et oratione recedunt; propter non confiteri Eucharistiam carnem esse Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi pro peccatis nostris passam, quam benignitate Pater resuscitavit. incornitum esse Patrem Christi [predicantes :] quomoto [etiam] infitelem inimicitiam cum invicem habent. Dilectionem [min] futurorm necgligunt, prasentia [dissimulant, quee ventura sunt] tanquam praeentia csse putant, [et tanfitam sommium et phantasian existimant :] mandata comtemmunt, viduam ct orphanum despiciunt, tribulatum respuunt, vinctum dericlent.

## SRORTER.

$Z^{\prime}$. [Oi oûv ảvti入é

 $\pi \hat{a} v$, ìv $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \sigma t \nu$.] Пр́́тоv





 $\lambda \in i ́ \omega \tau \alpha l$.]







 тウ̀̀ е̇ккләбíav. 'Екєív $\beta_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha i \alpha$

 $\psi \eta$. "Oтоu àv $\phi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta}$ ó è éíбкотоs,





 $\sigma \tau o v$, î' $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda$ ès $\bar{\eta}$ кג̀ $\beta$ é $\beta \alpha \iota o v$ $\pi \hat{\mu} \nu$ ồ $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha$.

## LONGER.

$\mathbf{Z}^{\prime}$. Tòv $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o ̀ v ~ є ́ \pi \alpha \iota \sigma \chi v ́ v o v \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ $\pi a ́ O$ оs $\chi \lambda є v a ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota, ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ к \omega-~$
 $\pi \nu \epsilon$ и́ $\mu a \tau o s, ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' \Lambda \delta a ̀ \mu ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \gamma v-~$



 є́ $\xi a \iota \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \in ́ v o v ~ \sigma \iota \nu \iota \alpha \sigma \theta \eta ิ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau o ́-$




 $\tau \hat{\omega \nu} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu$, ov̉ $\chi$ ஸ̀s $\mu \grave{\eta} \alpha \cup ̉ \tau \alpha \rho к \hat{\omega \nu}$
 Пaтрòs vime $\rho \circ \chi \hat{1}$. Прé $\pi$. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \tau о \iota о \dot{\tau} \tau \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \dot{\tau} \tau \epsilon$ $\kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ioíav $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \alpha u ̛ \tau \omega ิ \nu \lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon i v, \mu \eta \eta^{\tau} \epsilon$



 каì тoùs $\tau a ̀ ~ \sigma \chi i ́ \sigma \mu a \tau \alpha ~ \pi o o o ̄ v \nu \tau a s, ~ \phi \in u ́-~$

 $\sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ ' I \eta \sigma o u ̂ S ~ \tau \hat{̣} ~ \Pi \alpha т \rho i ' ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{̣}$













1.1)N(1:1:16.
VII. Cratem erntrescunt, passionem deludunt, resurrectionem frus. trant. [Hujusmondi ommes,] nepotes sumt illins invelerati matorums spirifus: qui [Cl] Adamper mulierem mandatum transgredi persuasit: qui [ct] Abel peer Cain oecedit: quii [et] Job de(ipere conatus est: qui [et] Jesu filio Joselech resistere tentavit: quui [et] Apostolorum fidem subvertere voluit, [et] Judroorum multitudinem adImp.it. 2. versus Dominum suscitavit: qui et mune operatur in filiis diffidentie: a quilus eruat nos Dominus Jesus Christus; qui [Patrem] deprecatus est, ut non deficeret fides Apostolorum : non quod non sufliceret custodire eam; sed tanquam gaudens de Patris eminentia, [ipsum pro eis depreeatus est.] Dignum est ergo a talibus abstinere; et neque proprium cum his, neque commune habere colloquium ; sed intendere Legi et Prophetis, et evangelizantibus vohis salutare verbum.
VIII. Nefandas vero hæreses et schismata facientes fugite; sicut principatum malorum. Omnes Episcopum sequimini, sicut Jesus Christus Patrem; Presbyteros, sicut Apostolos: Diaconos autem veneramini, sicut mandato Dei ministrantes. Nemo precter Episcopum aliquid agat eorum, que ad Ecclesiam pertinent. Firma Eucharistia reputetur, quæ ab Episcopo concessa fuerit. Ubi Episcopus prasens fuerit, illuc et plebs congregetur: sicuti [et] ubi Christus [est,] omnis militia cerlestis alest tanquam principi militio rirtutis Domini, et [ipse est] dispensator totius intelligibilis nature. [Propterea] non licet sine Episcopo neque oflerre, neque sacrificium immolare, neque Missas celehrare: sed si ei visum fuerit, secundum beneplacitum Dei; tunc demum tutum et firmum erit.

## SHORTER.

$\Theta^{\prime}$. Eử






 ย̇ $\sigma \tau \epsilon ́.] \quad К \alpha \tau \alpha ̀[\pi \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha] \mu \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \nu \in \pi \alpha u ́-$


 $\mu$ е́vovtes $\alpha u ̉ \tau ט \hat{u} \tau \epsilon u ́ \xi \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$.]





 $\sigma \alpha \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ тро́тоv. Оủס̀̀̀v
 $\dot{v} \mu \omega ิ \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \nu \epsilon v ิ \mu \dot{\alpha} \mu о v, \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \sigma \mu \alpha ́$


 Xрıттós.

## LONGER.





 б́̈́tov aủvov̂. Típa, ф $\eta \sigma i ̀ v, ~ v i e ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$


 єiкóva фороѝvта, катà $\mu$ èv тò ü $\rho \chi \in \omega$,















 тои ті̀ тоєеiv троацрои́यevos, каì т̀̀v








 баv oi діи́коvot, тоís $\pi \rho \epsilon \sigma \beta v \tau$ épots













 é $\lambda \pi i s$, 'I $\eta \sigma$ oùs ó X $\mathrm{X} ו \sigma \tau$ ós.

## LUNEFER

IX. [Brgo omnia quapennque agitis et facitis jnm mutabilian sum: "ut corrigamus nos] in Dero, com tempus habemus premitendi. Quod chim incertum est, non habel, comii.Ixii.11. fessionem. Eece onim homo, [inquit,] et opera ejus coram eo. ISient w, xxiv. seriptum est:] Homom, imquit, fili 21. Detm at Regem. Egro antem dico, Inonoratequilem Deum, ut anctorem ตmиimи et Doninm! ; Episcopum autem tanguan prineipem sacerdotum, imaçinem Dei ferentom: prineipatum quidem secundum Deum; sitecchotium vero secundum Christum. It post hume homorare oportet etiam Regem. Nemo enim potior est Deo, neque similis ci : neque Episcoןo in Eeclesia honorabilior, sacerdotium Deo gerenti pro mundi salute. Neque regi quis similis est in excrcitu, pacem et benevolentiam omnibus principibus cogitanti. Qui enim honoraverit Episcopum, a Deo honorabitur: ct qui dehonoraverit eum, a Deo damnabitur. Si enim quis contra Regem insurgens damnatione dignus est: quomodo ille evadere ultionem poterit, qui præter Episcopum aliquid egerit? Sacerdotium enim summa est omnium bonorum que in hominibus constant: quod si quis dehonoraverit, Deum dehonorat,
ns. i. 15. et [Dominum] Jesum Christum primogenitum [totius creaturæ,] et solum

## SHIIITEIR

IX. Rationabile est. dee cateron evigilare, et eum adhuc: tempus habernas, in De:um preniture. Bene habet et Demm et lipiseerpum eognoscere. Homorans Vipiseopum, a Deo honoratus est : quai oecultans ab Episeoporaliguid ope:ratur, Diabolo prastat oloserguium. Omnia ieritue vobis in crratia superabundent: digni cnim estis. Secundum [enim] omniame quiescere feceistis: et vos.Jesus Christus. Absentem me et prasentem dilexistis: retribuat robis Deus ; propter quem ommia sustinentes, ipsum adipiscemini.
X. Philonem et Reum [ct] Agathopum, qui secuti sunt me in verbum Dei, bene fecistis suscipientes ut ministros Dei Christi: qui et gratias agunt Domino pro vobis; quoniam ipsos quiescere fecistis secundum omnem modum. Nihil vobis utique deperibit. Conformis animæ vestræ spiritus meus, et vincula mea, quæ non despexistis, neque erubuistis : neque vos erubescet perfecta fides, Jesus Christus.
natura principem sacerdotum Dei. Ommia hene vobis ordinate, que mandata sunt in Christo. Laici Diaconis subliti sint; Diaconi Preshytero: Presbyteri Episcopo; Episcopus Christo, sicut ipse Patri. Secundum quod ros me refecistis, fratres; et vos [Dominus] Jesus Christus. Absentem me et prasentem dilexistis: retribuet robis Deus, propter quem tanta in vincto ejus ostendistis. Et si quidem non sum sufficiens : sed tamen devotio

1. x. 41. vestra grandis est. Si quis enim honoraverit Prophetam in nomine Prophetæ; mercedem Prophetæ accipiet. Manifeste et qui honorat vinctum Christi Jesu; mercedem martyrum accipiet.
X. Philonem, et Gaium, et Agathopum, qui me sequuntur in verbo Dci, quisunt ministri Christi; bene fecistis suscipere eos sicut ministros Dei: qui et maximas gratias agunt Domino pro vobis; quia refecistis eos ommibus modis. Nihil vobis reputabitur ad peccatum, de his quæ n. i. 18. eis impendistis. Det vobis Dominus invenire misericordiam apud Dominum in illa die. Pro animabus vestris spiritus meus et vincula mea sint: qua non sprevistis, et non erubuistis. [Hæe est] perfecta spes in Christo Jesu.

SHORTER．
IA＇．＇H $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi \grave{\eta}$ ú $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$
 ［ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ इupías＇］ö $\theta є v \delta є \delta є \mu \epsilon ́ v o s ~[9 с о-$





 $\epsilon \cup \chi \hat{\eta}$ ú $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Єєoû ė $\pi เ \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$ ．＂I $\nu \alpha$

 eis тıцウ̀̀ Єєôै $\chi \in \iota \rho о т о \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$
 тò $\gamma \in \nu$ ó $\mu \in$ vov éws इupías $\sigma u \gamma \chi \alpha \rho \hat{\eta}-$



 ษ
 айтоis үєvoućvrv єủdíav，каi őтı $\lambda_{l}$


 $\sigma \in \omega$ Єєòs étorpos єis тò mapaб $\begin{aligned} & \text { єiv．}\end{aligned}$






 pıov Єcoû ঠıaкоvías．＇A


 бuvठои́̀лous $\mu$ ои дıако́vous，каì тоѝs


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \in \iota, \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \iota \kappa$ ？$\tau є \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi{ }^{\prime} \in \cup \mu \alpha-$




## LONGER．

IA＇．Ai $\pi \rho o \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi \alpha i ̀ ~ \cup ́ \mu \omega ิ \nu ~ ク ゙ \jmath \gamma \gamma ı \sigma a v ~$ єis тウ̀v＇Avтıохє́ $\omega \nu$ є́кклクбíav，кай



 cioijacws＇i，





 ن́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ Өєотрєбßúт $\eta \nu$ ，єis тò，$\gamma \in v o ́-$








 $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \cup \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ v́ $\mu \hat{\omega} v$ ．Té $\lambda \in i o l$ oैvtes，




IB＇．＇$\ \sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \in \tau \alpha l$ v́ $\mu \hat{\alpha} s$ रे $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$



 $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu \in$ ảvé $\pi \alpha v \sigma \epsilon$ ．K 人i öфє $\lambda^{\prime \prime}$
 $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ p ı o v ~ \Theta c o u ̂ ~ \delta ı \alpha к о v i ́ a s . ~ ' А ~ \mu e i ́ \psi e-~$ тaı aútòv ì $\chi \alpha ́ \rho ı s ~ т о ิ ̂ ~ K v p i ́ o v ~ к а т \alpha ̀ ~$

 2єотрєтѐs $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ тє́ріоУ，каі тоѝs


 ＇Iクбoû kаi тク̂s барко̀s аùтои̂，каi T仓̂ $\alpha i \mu \alpha \tau \iota, ~ \tau \tau \dot{\alpha} \theta \in \iota ~ \tau \in \kappa \alpha \iota ~ \alpha ̉ v \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \in \iota$,


 Xpaт白．

## l,ONfilill.

XI. Otationes vestree appropinquarmo ad Antiochomm licelesiam: [cui] et pacifici estis. Unde [ef] vinctus omnes saluto. Unde [4t] nou sum dignus csse ultimus corum: sed seemulum Dei volontatem dignus efficetus sum; non ex mea providentia, sed ex gratia Dei. Quam opto perfoctam mihi tribui; ut per vestras orationes Deum merear adipisci : ut opus meum perfectum sit in coelo et in terra. Decet ergo [vos] in honorem Dei curam gerere Deo digne Eeclesie que est in Syria : et congratulari cis quia pacifici sunt, et pereeperunt suam magnificentiam, et restituta est cis [in] corpore eorum. [Sed] mihi visum est mittere aliquem vestrum [illuc] cum Epistola : ut glorilicent tranquillitatem quae eis secundum Deum contigit [et quietem.] Ego vero merui portum tutissimum [in]Christo, orationibus restris. Cum sitis perfecti; perfecta [cogitate,] sapite, [sentite.] Volentibus enim vobis bene agere, etiam Deus paratus est vohis prestare.
XII. Salutat vos dilectio fratrum vestrorum quæ est in Troja: unde et seribo vobis, per Burgum, quem misistis mecum una cum Ephesiis, fratribus vestris: qui me refecit in omnibus. Et debuerant [quidem] omnes eum imitari : [quia] exemplum est ministerii Dei. Retribuetur ei gratia Domini in omnibus. Saluto Deo dignum Episcopum vestrum Polycarpum, et Deo decentissimumPresbyterium, et Christiferos Diaconos conservos meos: et specialiter et generaliter omnes in nomine Jesu Christi, et in carne ejus, et in sanguine ipsius, et in passione et in resurrectione carnali et spirituali, in unitate Dei, et vestra. Gratia vobis [et] misericordia, pax [et] patientia in ommibus, in Christo.

X1. Oratio yestra premenit ad Eectesiann yuar est in Antiorhia Syriae; unde ligatus Deo decentissimis vinculis ommes saluto, nom texistens digntes inde esse, extremus ijsorum existens: secondum voluntatem antem [Dei] dignus factus sum, non ex conserientia, sed ex gratia Dei; gnam oro perfectam mihi dari, ut in oratione vestra Deo potiar. Ut igitur perfecetum vestri fiat opus, et in terra et in coolo; decet, ad honorem Dei, ordinare Ecelesiam vestram Deo vencrabilem, in factum usque Syriam congaudere ipsis, quoniam pacem habent, et acceperunt propriam magnitudinom, et restitutum est ipsis proprium corpusculum. Visum est mihi igitur Deo digna res, mittere aliquem vestrorum cum epistola: ut conglorificent eam, qua secundum Deum, ipsis factam tranquillitatem; et quoniam portu jam potita est, oratione vestra. Perfecti existentes, perfecta et sapite. Volentibus enim vobis bene facere, Deus paratus est ad tribuere.
XII. Salutat vos charitas fratrum, qui in Troade: unde et scribo vobis, per Burrum, quem misistis mecum simul Ephesiis, fratribus vestris: qui secundum omnia me quiescere fecit. Et utinam omnes ipsum imitentur, existentem exemplarium Dei ministerii. Remuneret ipsum gratia secundum omnia. Saluto Deo dignum Episcopum, et Deo decens Presbyterium, et conservos meos Diaconos; et singillatim et communiter omnes, in nomine Jesu Christi, et carne $i$ psius, et sanguine, et passione, et resurrectione, carnali et spirituali, in unitate Dei et vestri. Gratia vobis, [et] misericordia, [et] pax, [ et$]$ sustinentia semper.

## SHORTER.




 ठ̀víá Пєє Пиєи́رатоs. 'Абта́לєтаи

 $\mu \alpha \ell$ є́ठो $\rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta \alpha l$ тíбтєl каı $\alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta!$

 каi $\triangle \alpha ́ \phi v o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \alpha ́ \sigma u ́ \gamma к р ı т о \nu, ~ к \alpha ̀ ~$
 "Ep’ó $\omega \sigma \theta \epsilon$ ćv $\chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho ı \tau \iota \in \epsilon 0 \hat{u}$.

## LONGER.

IT'. 'A $\sigma \pi \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \boldsymbol{\rho} \alpha \ell$ тоѝs őkous $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$



 $\lambda \omega \nu$ ò $\sigma v \nu \delta \iota a ́ k o v o s, ~ o ̀ ~ \grave{\omega} \nu \nu \sigma u ̀ v ~ \epsilon ́ \mu o i ́ . ~ . ~$ 'A $\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta o \mu \alpha 九 ~ t o ̀ v ~ o i k o v ~ \Gamma a v i ́ a s, ~ ท ̈ v ~$
 $\sigma \alpha \rho к ⿺ \kappa \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \not \pi \nu \in \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$. 'А $\sigma \pi \alpha$ '-



 ทं $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'I $\eta \sigma \circ \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ \hat{v}, \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu$ ย́voı Пvєúpatos 'A yíov, каì боф'ías $\theta$ eías каі ípốs.

## 1,0N(im:It.

XIII. Suluto domes fratrum meorum, cum uxoribus et filiis; [sed] et virgines et viduas. Incolumes estote in virtute De Patris. Salutat vos Philon condiaconus, qui est mecum. Saluto domum Gaviee ; quam opto firmari in fide et dilectione carnali et spirituali. Saluto Alcen, desiderabile mihi nomen, et Daphnum incomparabilem et bonum filium, et omnes nominatim. Incolumes estote in gratia Dei et Domini nostri Jesu Christi ; repleti Spiritu Sancto, et sapientia sancta atque divina. [Amen.]
shomter.
XIII. Saluto domos fratrum meorum, cum uxoribus et filiis; et virgines vocatas viduas. Valete mihi in virtute Patris. Salutat vos Philon, mecum existens. Saluto domum Thavies; quam oro firmari fide et charitate carnali et spirituali. Saluto Alken, desideratum mihi nomen, et Daphnum incomparabilem, et Eutecnum, et omnes secundum nomen. Valete in gratia Dei.
(

OTHER

## IGNATIAN EPISTLES,

NOT MENTIONED IBY EUSLBMUS.

# THE EPISTLE OF MARIA CASSOBOLITA 

TO

## IGNATIUS.

ITNATI $\Omega$ MAPIA EK $K A \Sigma \Sigma O B H \Lambda \Omega N$.


 бто入ıкйS тท̂s ката̀ 'Avtiózєıav,



 'Еாєıờ̀ $2 \alpha u \mu \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \epsilon$, к $\alpha \iota \pi \alpha \rho$ ' $\dot{\eta} \mu \imath v$


 тарӨ́́vou Mapías, каі бтє́ $\rho \mu \alpha т о$ я

 $\phi \omega v \alpha \dot{s} \pi \alpha \rho \dot{\alpha}$ то仑̂ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \circ \phi \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} S$ бท̄S $\sigma v \nu$ ć $\sigma \epsilon \omega S$ Mápıv тòv étấ-





 'Е $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa є \psi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \omega \mathrm{~K} u ́ p ı o s ~ o ́ ~ \Theta c o ̀ s ~ \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ -


 $\pi о \_\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.

## MARIE

PROSELYTA CHASSAOHOLORUM

## AD IGNATIUM

EyiSCOPUM ANTIOCHIF EPISTOLA.
Maria, Proselyta Jesu Christi, Ignatio Theophoro, beatissimo, Episcopo Ecclesiæ Apostolicæ ejus quæ secundum Antiochiam; in Deo Patre et Jesu dilecto, gaudere et valere.
I. Semper tibi oramus, secundum illud quod in ipso, gaudium et sanitatem. Quia miraculis et apud nos Christus cognitus est Filius esse Dei viventis, et in posterioribus temporibus inhumanatum esse per Virginem Mariam ex semine David et Abraham ; secundum eas quæ de ipso ab ipso prodictæ sunt voces a Prophetarum choro: hujus gratia deprecamur, dignificantes mitti nobis a tuo intellectu Marim amicum nostrum, Episcopun Emelapes Neapoleos ejus que ad * Zarbo, et Eulogium, *1. Za et Sobelum Presbyterum; ut non simus desolati propositis divini verbi: quemadmodum et Moyses dicit: Provideat Dominus Deus Num. hominem, qui ducat populum 16, hunc; et non erit Synagoga Domini, ut oves quibus non est pastor.

13'. 'Ymíp ò̀ toùs víous cival




















 єîval, каì ṭ̂̀ үével'Iovõaious övtas,





 торєú $\eta$, к $\alpha \grave{\imath} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha$ ö ${ }^{\circ} \alpha \alpha$ àv







 $\mu \epsilon!\rho \alpha ́ \kappa \iota o v, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\omega} S \tau \in ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota o v \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \delta \partial \alpha$. Tà dè $\alpha i v i \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тท̂s Ai日ıóт $\omega \nu \beta \alpha-$
 тои̂ Neí̉ov $\rho \in \cup ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, oưт $\omega \mathrm{S}$ è $\pi \in \lambda и$ и́-


II. Proe en nutem, quad juvenes sunt prasscripti ; formides niliil, in Beate. Cognuseere enim te volo, guod sapiunt super carnem, ef ipsius passiones non sentiunt ipsi in seipsis ; recenti juventute siareedotii refutgentes canitie. Perscrutare autem "cogitationem tu- *ul. angniam per datum tibi a Deo per Christum Spiritum ipsius: et engrnosees quod Samuel, parvus pue- 1 Sam.ini.2n. rulus, Videns yocatus est: et choro Prophetarum comnumeratus, presbyterum Eli transgressionis redarguit; quoniam insanientes filios Deo omnium causæ prahonoravit, et ludentes in sacerdotium, et in populum luxuriantes dimisit impunitos.
III. Daniel autem sapions, juvenis existens, judicavit crudeles senes quosdam: ostendens adulteros ipsos, et non seniores esse, et genere Judæos existentes, modo Chananæos existere. Et Jeremias, propter juventutem renuens tributam ipsi a Deo prophetiam, audit: Non dicas, quoniam junior sum : quia ad omnes quoscunque mittam te, ibis; et secundum omnia, quæcunque mando tibi, loqueris; quia tecum ego sum. Salomon autem sapiens, duodecim existens annorum, intellexit magnam ignorantiæ mulierum de suis filiis quæstionem ; ut omnis populus obstupesceret de tanta pueri sapientia; et timeret, non ut puerum, sed ut perfectum virum. Enigmata autem Æthiopum Reginæ, lationem habentia quemadmodum Nili fluentia, sic solvit, ut extra seipsam fieret ipsa sic sapiens.

Jer. i. 7.

Reg. iii. et $\mathbf{x}$.


 óxous, és $\psi \in u \delta i o \lambda o ́ \gamma o l ~ к \alpha i ~ \lambda \alpha o-~$


 каì тov̀s iєршнévous aủtoís, vйтıos $\hat{\omega} \nu, \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \phi \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon 1, \beta \omega \mu \circ$ ús $\tau \in \alpha \cup ้ \tau \omega ิ v$



 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \beta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi o u s \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \rho u ́ \tau \tau \epsilon$, ,iva $\mu \eta$ -


 $\psi \in \lambda \lambda i \zeta \omega \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \gamma \lambda \omega \epsilon \tau \tau \eta . \quad \Delta \alpha \beta i \delta \delta \delta ̀ ̀$,
 тоиิ $\sigma \omega т \eta \rho i ́ o u ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \alpha ́ \rho к \alpha ~ \rho i ' \zeta \alpha, ~ \mu \epsilon ו-~$


 каì vєஸ́тєроs èv $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ оіккю то̂̂ $\pi \alpha$ т $\rho$ ós $\mu$ ои.











 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \in ́ \alpha \nu T \eta \eta_{S} \mu$ ét $\rho \alpha, \kappa \alpha \grave{~ o u ̉ ~} \sigma \nu \mu$ тарєктєív éautท̀v тоís тท入ıкоúтoıs $\dot{\nu} \mu \mathrm{i} v$. 'A $\sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta о \mu \alpha i ́ n o u ~ t o ̀ v ~$





IV. Josias autem Dei amator, inarticulate fere adhuc loquens, redarguit malo spiritu detentos, quod falsiloqui et populi seductores existerent: dæmonumque revelat deceptionem, et eos non existentes deos demonstrat, et sacratos ipsis, puer existens, interficit, delubraque ipsorum evertit, et altaria mortuis reliquiis inquinat, templaque delet, et saltus succidit, et columnas conterit, et impiorum sepulchra suffodit; ut neque signum amplius malorum existat. Sic quidem zelotes erat religionis, et impiorum punitor, adhuc balbutiens lingua. David 1 Sam autem, Propheta simul et Rex, Salvatoris secundum carnem radix, puer ungitur a Samuele in regem. Ait enim alicubi ipse: Quum parvus eram inter fratres meos, et junior in domo patris mei.
V. Et deficiet mihi tempus, si omnes investigare voluero, qui in juventute bene placuerunt Deo, prophetia et sacerdotio et regno a Deo donati. Rememorationis autem gratia sufficiunt et hæc dicta. Sed te deprecor, ne tibi quædam superba esse videar et ostentatrix. Non enim docens te, sed submemorans meum in Deo patrem, hos apposui sermones. Cognosco enim mei ipsius mensuras, et non coextendo meipsam tantis vobis. Saluto tuum sanctum Clerum, sub tua cura pastum. Omnes apud nos fideles salutant te. Samam me esse secundum Deum ora, beate Pastor.

## THE EPISTLE OF ST．IGNATIUS

TO MARIA CASGOBOLITA．

## TOY AIIOY HEPOMADTYPOE IINATIOY．

 хс́us，＇Етьбтолウ
HPOL MAPIAN KAL亡OOBOAITIN．

 точ，каі Kupiou＇1クбой Xpıбтой


 xaiper．

A․ Креittov цѐv үро́цциатоs
 $\tau \hat{\omega} v \alpha i \sigma \theta i j \sigma \epsilon \omega v$ ，ou $\mu$ óvov ois $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha-$ סıôoî $\alpha \alpha ̀ ~ \phi ı \lambda ı \kappa \alpha ̀, ~ \tau ı \mu \hat{\alpha}$ тòv $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha^{-}$


 ò т $\hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime} \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \tau \rho о ́ \pi о \varsigma^{*}$ o̊̀ ${ }^{\prime \prime} \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$








## 13．IGNATH ANTIOCILENAE Ietus Vul． Dechesise Episeopi et Martyris，

 Epistola AD MARIAM CASSOBOLITAM．Iegnatins，qui et Theophorns，mise－ ricordiam＊consecutus et gra－ -5 ．enne． tiam Dei Patris altissimi，et Do－cums． mini nostri Jesu Christi qui pro nobis mortuns est ；fidelissima， Deodigne，Christiferee filie Ma－ rix，plurimam in Deo Salutem．
I．Optima quidem figuratio lite－ re；velut pars chori sensus con－ tinens；non solum his quibus im－ pertit affectum，honorat accipien－ tem，sed et in ipsis qui suscipiunt amplius auget desiderium．Et quomodo navigantibus in tem－ pestate gratus est portus；ita et nobis literarum tuarum accepta－ bilis est modus：quem tanquam tutissimum solatium a tua fide－ lissima mente de longinquo sus－ cepimus；conspicientes in eo illud quod in te est bonum．Omnium namque bonorum，$\hat{o}$ sapientis－ sima mulierum，tuam animam purissimis fontibus assimilamus： illis videlicet，qui transeuntes etiam non sitientes visione sua

## S．IGNATII AD MARIAM EPISTOLA RESPONSORIA．

Ignatius，qui et Theophorus，habenti propitiationem in gratia Dei Vetus Ver－ Patris altissimi，et Domini Jesu Christi，qui pro nobis mortuus；sio ab Usse－ fidelissimæ，digne Deo，Christum ferenti filiæ Marix，plurimum in edita． Deo gaudere．
I．Melius quidem litera risus；quanto quidem pars melior existens chori sensumm，non solum quibus tradit amicabilia，honorat accipien－ tem；sed et quibus recipit，in melioribus desiderium ditat．Veruntamen sccundus，aiunt，portus et literarum modus：quem velut bonam applica－ tionem recepimus a tua fide a longe；velut per ipsas videntes quod in te bonum．Bonorum enim，ô omnia sapiens mulier，anime purioribus as－ similantur fontibus．Illi enim，transeuntes，etsi non sitiant，ipsa specie



 शeícu тода́т $\omega \nu$.

 vómevos, $\tau \alpha \hat{\imath} \varsigma \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} v$ ėv $\alpha \nu \tau i \omega v$






 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тov̂ vv̂v каирои̂ $\pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu$ е́ $\lambda \lambda о \nu \sigma \alpha \nu \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \nu \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \kappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\lambda} \pi$ $\tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ єis $\dot{\eta} \mu a \bar{s}$.

 $\rho \omega \sigma \alpha$ èv oủd $\varepsilon v \grave{\alpha} \mu \mu \phi \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$, $̂ v$

 $\mu \alpha \rho т v \rho i ́ \alpha \nu ~ т о i ̂ v ~ a ̉ v o ́ \rho o i ̂ v ~ \pi \varepsilon \pi o i n \sigma \theta \alpha t$,
 $\mu е \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \alpha i \quad \sigma \nu \nu \in \chi \in i s$ бou $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$





attrahunt potum haurire. Ita et tuæ prudentiæ pollicitatio constringit nos, jubens de illis sanctis liquoribus, qui in anima tua redundant, haustum sumere.
II. Ego autem, $\hat{o}$ beata, non meis tantum nunc, quantum aliorum plurimorum contrariis sententiis exagitor: quæ quidem sunt, fugæ, et carceres, ac vincula. Sed nihil horum declino. In injustitiis autem corum magis edoceor, ut Jesum merear adipisci. Lucror enim periculis mihi præparatis: quia non sunt condignæ Rom. passiones hujus temporis ad futuram gloriam quæ revelabitur in nobis.
III. Quæ autem per Epistolam a te intimata sunt, prompte adimplevi: de nullo eorum dubitans, quos tu bene habere probâsti. Agnovi enim te judicio Dei testimonium memoratis viris perhibuisse, et non gratia carnali. Non valde autem assidua fuerunt spatia, in quibus tua scripta perlegerem; neque adhuc negotium mente perceperam: nec enim habui quomodo oculis percurrerem illam ineffabilem tuæ sententiæ relationem.
attrahunt ipsos haurire potum. Tuus autem intellectus movet nos, capere jubens de his que in anima tua scaturiunt divinis aquis.
II. Ego autem, ô beata, non mei ipsius nunc tantum, quantum aliorum effectus, multorum contrariorum voluntatibus: secundum haee quidem fugis, secundum heec autem carceribus, secundum hæe vero vinculis. Sed a nullo horum avertor. In injustificationibus autem ipsorum magis disco, ut Jesu Christo potiar. Utinam fruar duris mihi preparatis: quia non digna passiones hujus temporis ad Rom.s futuram gloriam revelari in nos.
III. Qure autem a te per Epistolam jussa sunt, gratanter implevi: in nullo dubitans eormm, quax ipsa bene habere probâsti. Cognovi enim te judicio Dei testimonium viris fecisse; sed non gratia carnali. Multum enim mihi erant et continuæ tuæ scriptibilium locorum memorix: quas legens, neque usque ad intellectum dubitavi circa rem. Non enim habebam aliquibus oculis excurrere, quos habebam incontradicibilem a te factam demonstrationem.




 eipívily.












 $\sigma u \gamma \chi \omega \rho o u ̛ \sigma \alpha$ eis $\pi e ́ \rho \alpha s ~ e ̀ ~ e ̀ \theta e i v, ~ \grave{\eta}$




 $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \nu, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega}$



Pro anima tur ego colliciar: gumniam diligis Dominum Jesmm filimen Dei vivi. Propter quod et ipse tibi dixit: Egro eos, yui me Pm mal: (liligunt, dilig(); et qui quaerunt me, invenient pacem.
IV. Ohvenit itaque mihi dicere, quia verus est sermo quem audivi de te, causante Roms apud beatum Papam Anacletum; cui successit beatitudine digmus Clemens, Petri et Pauli anditor: ot nune adjecisti super eum centupliciter et adhuc adjicies tu ipsa. Valde enim desiderabam venire ad vos, et conrequiescere vobiscum : sed non milii fuit opportumum. Prepediebat enim Jer. x. 23. propositum meum, non sinens illo pergere, militaris custodia: quia nullus talia perfecit vel passus est, qualia ego. Quapropter secunda consolatio est inter amicos, conscriptis se invicem relevare. Saluto sacratissimam animam tuam ; rogans superadjicere ad propositum tuum. Præsens enim labor parvus est; merces vero quæ speratur multa est.

Conformis animx tecum fiam cgo: quoniam diligis Jesum Filium Dei viventis. Propter quod et ipse dicet tibi: Ego diligentes me Pror.viii. 17. diligo; me autem quærentes, invenient pacem.
IV. Supervenit autem mihi dicere, quoniam verus sermo, quem audivi de te, adhuc existente te in Roma apud beatum Papam Cletum; cui successit ad prasens digne beatus Clemens, Petri et Pauli auditor. Et nune apposuisti ad ipsum centupliciter; et apponas adhuc, ô dilecta. Desideravi vehementer venire ad vos, ut conquicscerem robiscum: sed non in homine via ipsius. Detinuit Jer.x. 23. enim meum propositum, non concedens ad terminum ire, militaris custodia. Sed neque in quibus sum, operari aliquid rel pati potens ego. Propter quod, secundum ejus quæ in amicis consolationis literam reputans, saluto sacram tuam animam, deprecans *opponi *1.apponi. robori. Præsens enim labor paucus; expectata vero merces multa.







 $\sigma \alpha l \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ o ́ \mu o i o u s ~ \sigma o v, ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon-$
 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$.$] ' А \sigma \pi \alpha ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha i ́ \sigma є o i \pi \rho \in \sigma \beta u$ '-





 ó Kúpıos à $\gamma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \epsilon$, каı ı'סоıці $\sigma \epsilon$

V. Fuge eos qui negant passionem Christi, et nativitatem ejus secundum carnem. Multi vero sunt modo, qui hanc sanitatem infirmare conantur. Sed tu contra hujusmodi consuesce etiam alios monere: quia in omni opere et verbo bono perfecta es; potens etiam alios erudire in Domino. Salutant te Presbyteri et Diaconi : præ omnibus vero sanctus Hyron. Salutant te Cassianus hospes meus, et soror mea conjux ejus, et dulcissimi filii eorum. Incolumem te carnali et spirituali salute Dominus sanctificet semper: et videam te in Domino consecutam coronam.
V. Fugite abnegantes passionem Christi, et secundum carnem nativitatem. Multi autem sunt nunc, secundum hane ægrotantes agritudinem. Alia autem tibi admonere facile; perfectæ quidem omni opere et sermone bono; potenti autem et aliis suadere in Christo. Saluta omnes similes tibi, retinentes sui ipsorum salutem in Christo. Salutant te Presbyteri, et Diaconi; et ante omnes sacer Eron. Salutat te Cassianus peregrinus meus, et soror mea et sponsa ipsius, et dilectissima ipsorum. Valentem, carnalem et spiritualem sanitatem, Dominus sanctificet semper: et videam te in Christo potientem corona.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE TARSLANS.

tor aytor mpos tors EN TAPEת.




 трòs, каì Kирíou 'I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ ~ X \rho ı \sigma т о v, ~$


## EJUSDEM EPISTOLA AD TARSENSES.

Scripta ex Philippis.
Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, salutem consecutæ in Christo Ecclesix, laude dignæ et memoria dignæ, et dilectione dignæ, quæ est in Tharso, misericordia et pax a Deo Patre et Domino Jesu Christo.

## EJUSDEM EPISTOLA AD TARSENSES.

Ignatius, qui et Theophorns, salvate in Christo Ecclesia, digna laude, et digna memoria, et digna dilectione, existenti in Tarso; misericordia, pax, a Deo Patre, et Domino Jesu Christo, multiplicetur semper.


 Geô 9e入j́б人ptos，í中eíravto toû






 $\pi \hat{u} \rho$ ，тро̀s $2 \eta \rho i ́ \alpha, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \xi i ́ l o s, ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ otaupór $\mu$ óvov，ǐva Xpıotòv iów
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## 1．Satiatus sum in omnilons，aSy－

 ria usque ad Romam cum bestios depugrans；non al）irrationalibus scilicet bestiis comestus：illae enim， voluntate Dei，pepereerниt Dani－ eli：sed humanam figuram haben－ tibus，qua sunt immanes bestias． Olsident enim me nocte ac dic，as devorant．Sed nalli iniquorum is－Amt xx． 24. torum faciosermonem；neque ha－ beo animam meam tam honorabi－ lem mihi，ut plus eam guam Do－ minum diligam．Propter quod paratus sum ad ignem，ad bestias， ad gladios，ad crucem：tentum ut Christum videam salvatorem me－ um et Deum，qui pro me mortuus est．Rogo ergo vos ego vinctus Christi，qui per terram et mare exagitor ：state in fide confirmati： 1 cor．xvi． 13 ． quoniam justus ex fide vivit．E－Hab，ii． 4. stote indeclinabiles，et unanimes（i．il iii． 11 ． in fide：quoniam Dominus habi－Is．ixviii． 6 ． tare facit unanimes in domo．
## II．Agnovi enim quoniam quidam

 ex ministris Sathanæ voluerunt vos conturbare：quorum quidam dicunt，quia Jesus putative natus est，et putative crucifixus；quidam vero，quia non est filius ejus qui fecit mundum；alii autem，quia ip－ se estille qui est super omnia Deus；I．A Syria usque Romam cum bestiis pugno：non ab irrationali－ bus bestiis comestus：hæ enim，ut scitis，Deo volente pepercerunt Danieli：ab his autem que humanæ formæ；inter quas immansueta bestia latitans，pungit me quotidie et vulnerat．Sed de nullo sermo－Act．xx．24． nem facio durorum，neque habeo animam pretiosam mihi ipsi；ut diligens ipsam magis，quam Dominum．Propter quod paratus sum ad ignem，ad bestias，ad gladium，ad crucem：solum Jesum Christum sciens Salvatorem meum，et Deum，pro me mortuum．Deprecor igitur vos ego vinctus Christi，per terram et mare jactatus；State in icor．xri．13． fide firmi ；quoniam justus ex fide rivet．Estote inflexibiles；quoniam Gab．iii． 11. Dominus inhabitare facit unius moris in domo．

II．Novi quoniam quidam ministrorum Sathane voluerunt vos turbare：Hi quidem，quoniam Jesus opinione natus est，et opinione crucifixus est，et opinione mortuus est：Hi autem，quoniam non est Filius Conditoris：Hi vero，quonian ipse est qui super omnia Deus：




 $\phi \theta \alpha \rho \eta \sigma о \mu$ е́voıs. Тобои́т $\omega \nu$ какढิ้


 каì $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i$, тои $\alpha$ ảò 'Iеробо入ú-
 кои̂ $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \kappa$ о́тоs тò єủarүé $\lambda ו o v$, кגì $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \tau i ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ тoû X $\mathrm{X} \iota \sigma \tau o u ̂$ ẻv

$\Gamma^{\prime} . \mathrm{O} \hat{i} \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu \dot{v} \nu o l, \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega s \gamma^{-}$

 èк $\gamma v \nu \alpha ı \kappa o ̀ s, ~ к \alpha \grave{\iota}$ à $\lambda \eta \theta$ eía è $\sigma \tau \alpha u-$





 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\prime}, ~ " \mathrm{O} \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon ́ \theta \alpha \nu \epsilon, \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i ́ \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon^{-}$




alii quoque, quia homo est purus; quidam vero quia caro ejus non resurrexit, et quia oportet fruibilem vitam habere, atque hanc esse finem bonorum, qui non post multum corrumpitur. Qui quidem illis multorum malorum agger cumulatus est. Sed vos non intendatis mendaciis eorum. Pauli Gal. enim estis cives et discipuli, qui Rom. ab Hierosolymis et in circuitu usque ad Illyricum replevit Evangelio ; etstigmata Christi in corpore Gal. suo portavit.
III. Cujus memores estote omnimodis: et cognoscite, quia Dominus Jesus Christus vere natus est de Maria, factus ex muliere, et vere crucifixus est: in qua cruce gloriatur Paulus, dicens, Mihi autem absit gloriari, nisi in Gal. cruce Domini nostri Jesu Christi. Et vere passus est, et mortuus, et resurrexit. Si enim passibilis, in- Act.x quit, Christus, si primus ex mortuis resurrexit. Et, Qui mortuus Rom. est peccato, mortuus est semel; quod autem vivit, vivit Deo. Insuper, quæ necessitas vinculorum, Christo non mortuo? Quæ necessitas tolerantiæ, aut quæ necessitas flagellorum? Quid, quod

Alii autem, quoniam nudus homo est: Alteri vero, quoniam caro haere non resurgit; et oportet voluptuosam vitam vivere et transire: hanc enim esse terminum bonorum post non multum corrumpendis. Tantorum malorum multitudo eos inebriavit. Sed vos neque ad horam Gal. veniatis sub subjectionem ipsorum. Pauli enim estis cives et discipuli, qui a Ierosolymis et circum usque Illyricum implevit Erange- Rom. lium ; stigmata Christi in carne circumtulit.
III. Cujus memores, omnino cognoscitis, quoniam Jesus Dominus vere natus est ex Maria, factus ex muliere, et veritate crucifixus est: Mi- Gal. hi enim, ait, non fiat gloriari, nisi in cruce Domini. Et veritate mortuus est, et resurrexit: Si passibilis enim, uit, Christus, si primus ex resur- Aet. rectione mortuorum. Et: (Quod nortuus est, peceato mortuus est se-Rom. mel; quod autem vivit, Deo vivit. (Quia quid opus vinculis, Christo non mortuo? Quid opus sustinentia? quid opus flagellis? Quid umquam,

 vovto; 'I wárvons òe ìpuraōevéeto èv









 $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ óóтo入os Eîs Өeòs ó $\Pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho$, è $\xi$

 $\lambda \omega$. Eîs $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ©còs, кגı̀ єìs $\mu \in \sigma i t \eta\rangle$

 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ èv oủpav(̣̂ ка̀ è $\pi i$
 Tós é $\sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \alpha ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$


Petrus crucifixus est; Pamlus et Jucobus egladiis ohtruncati sunt, Aet.xii. 2 Johannes in Pathmos relcegatus Apaci.g. est; sed et Stephamus a Domini as. vim 59. interfectoribus Judais lapidibus extinctus est? Sed nee in hoe quidem est victoria. Vere enim crucifixus est Dominus ab impiis.
IV. Et quia iste qui natus est ex muliere, filius est Dei ; et qui crucifixus est, primogenitus est omnis creaturæ et Deus Verbum; et ipse fecit omnia jussione Patris: Apostolus corroborat, dicens, Unus Deus Pater, ex quo omnia; 1 Cir. viii. if. et unus Dominus Jesus Christus, per quem omnia. Et iterum; Unus 1 Tim. ii. 5. enim Deus, unus et mediator Dei et hominum homo Jesus Christus. Qui est imago Dei invisibilis, pri- Cal. i. 15 , 16,17 . mogenitus universæ creaturæ: quoniam in ipso creata sunt omnia quæ sunt in ©œlo et quæ sunt in terra, visibilia et invisibilia; et ipse est ante omnes, et omnia in ipso constant.

Petrus crucifixus est, Paulus et Jacobus gladio cersi sunt; Johannes Act. xii. 2. vero relegatus est in Pathmo; Stephanus autem lapidibus occisus est a Apoci. 9.9. Domini occisoribus Judæis? Sed nihil horum vane. Veritate enim crucifixus est Dominus ab impiis.
IV. Et sic natus ex muliere, Filius est Dei: et crucifixus, primogenitus omnis creaturæ, et Deus Verbum : et ipse fecit omnia. Dicit enim Apostolus: Unus Deus Pater, ex quo omnia ; et unus Dominus 1 car. viii. 6. Jesus Christus, per quem omnia. Et rursus: Unus enim Deus, et 1 Tim. ii. 5. unus mediator Dei et hominum, homo Jesus Christus: et in ipso cai.is. creata sunt omnia quæ in celo et in terra, visililia et invisibilia : et ipse est ante omnia, et omnia in ipso consistunt.
$\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ ．Kà ǒтı оủk аủtós є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ ó
 viòs éкeívov oî $\lambda$ é $\gamma \in \iota^{\circ}$＇Av $\alpha \beta \alpha i v \omega$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ П а т e ́ \rho \alpha ~ \mu о v, ~ к а і ̈ ~ \Pi а т e ́ \rho \alpha ~$ ข̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, каi Єєóv $\mu$ ои каi Єєòv vi $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.


 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ，］i̋ $\nu \alpha$ 方 ó $\Theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon ́ v ~$


 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ข่тот $\alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \epsilon \alpha$ ৷．
$5^{\prime}$ ．K $\alpha i$ oüte $\psi i \lambda$ òs $\alpha ้ \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o s,\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ 0\end{array}\right]$

 ка é ёоі́єı тòv oủp $\alpha \nu o ̀ v, ~ \sigma u \mu \pi \alpha \rho \eta ́ \mu \eta \nu$


 $\theta \rho \omega \pi$ оs グкоибєv，K $\alpha$ Өov є є́к $\delta \in \xi \iota \omega \nu$
 $\grave{\alpha} \mu \gamma \in v \in ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ є̀ $\gamma \omega$ є́ $і \mu \iota ; \kappa \alpha \iota, \Delta o ́ \xi \alpha \sigma o ́ v$





V．Et quia non est ipse，ille qui est super omnia Deus，sed filius ipsius：qui et ascendere se ad eum profitetur，dicens，Ascendo ad Pa－Joh．xx． 1 trem meum et ad Patrem vestrum， ad Deum meum et ad Deum ves－ trum．Et，Quando ei fuerint om－ 1 Cor．xv． nia subjecta，tunc et ipse subjec－ tus erit illi qui ei subdidit omnia； ut sit Deus omnia in omnibus． Ergo alius est ille qui subjecit Filio omnia，et qui est omnia in omni－ bus；et alius Filius cui subjecta sunt omnia，qui et post hæc omnia subjectus erit illi qui ei subdidit omnia．

VI．Et non est homo purus ille， per quem et in quo facta sunt om－ nia．Omnia enim，inquit，per ip－ sum facta sunt：sicut et in libro Sapientiæ ipse de seipso refert， dicens：Cum faceret colum，ade－ ram illi．Et iterum：Ego eram apud eum componens ；et congau－ debat mihi quotidie．Quomodo autem homo purus audiret；Sede Heb．ı． ad dexteram meam？Aut quomo－ do diceret；Antequam Abraham Joh．viii． fieret，ego sum？Vel illud quod ait；Glorifica me illa gloria，quam Joh．xrii habui apud te prius quam mundus fieret？Quis autem homo posset Joh．ri． dicere；Descendi de cœlo，non ut facerem voluntatem meam，sed voluntatem ejus qui misit me？

V．Et quoniam non ipse est qui super omnia Deus Pater，sed Filius illius，dicit：Ascendo ad Patrem meum et Patrem vestrum，et Deum Joh xx． meum et Deum vestrum．Et：Quando subjecta ipsi crunt ommia，tunc 1 Cor．x et ipse subjicietur ei qui subjecit ei omnia；ut sit Deus omnia in om－ nibus．Igitur est alter qui subjecit，et qui est omnia in omnibus；et alter cui subjecta sunt，qui et cum omnibus subjicietur．

VI．Et neque nudus homo，per quem et in quo facta sunt omnia． Omnia enim per ipsum facta sunt．Quum fecit coelum，coaderam Joh．i． ipsi ：et illic eram aphd ipsum componens；et applaudebat mihi quo－Prov．siii tidie．Qualiter autem utique nudus homo audiret；Sede a dextris heb，i． meis？Qualiter autem et diceret；I＇riusquam Abraham fieret，ego Joh．viii． sum；et，Clarifica me claritate quam habui，antequam mundus esset，Jeh．xni a te？Qualis autem homo nudus diceret；Descendi de ceelo，non Johi．vi． ut faciam voluntatem meam，sed voluntatem ejus qui misit me？










 Ocòs ^ózos, каi Yiòs poroүevís;




 $\lambda i ́ \omega \sigma e ́ v \mu \epsilon^{*} \pi \rho o ̀ ~ \delta ̀ ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \tau \omega \nu ~ \beta o u v \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\gamma \in \nu v a ̂ \mu e$.
 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ท̀ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota^{\text {' }} \mathrm{A} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu \quad \lambda e ́-$
 тávtes of èv toís $\mu \nu \eta \mu$ eíos ảkoúбOvTג九 Tท̂s $\phi \omega u \hat{S}$ [Tô̂ vioû] toû

 тòv тои̂то $\dot{\text { év }} \boldsymbol{\partial} \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i \alpha \nu$, каì тò Ivךтòv тои̂тo évòv́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha l$

Vel de quo homine diceretur? Boat lumen verum, guad illumi- doti, i. 9,10 , tat omnem hominem venientem in hune mundum. In hoe mundo erat, et mundus per ipsum factus est; et mundus eum non cognovit. In sua venit, et sui cum nom recepermint. Quomodo ergo hujusmodi homo est purus, ex Maria demum habens initium ut esset; et non potius Deus Verbum, et Filius unigenitus? de quo dictum est: In principio crat Verbum, et Verbum erat apud Deum, et Dens erat Verbum. Omnia per ipsum facta sunt, et sine ipso factum est nihil. Lit paulo post: Vidimus gloriam cjus, gloriam tanquam unigeniti a Patre, plenum gratia et veritate. Et iterum : Unigenitus qui est in sinu Patris, ipse enarravit. Qui et per Salomonem refert, dicens; Dominus creavit me principium viarum suarum in opera sua : ante secula fundavit me; ante omnes autem colles genuit me.
VII. Et quoniam resuscitaturus est corpora nostra, dicit; Amen dico vobis, quoniam ve- Jolh. v. 25. nit hora, in qua, omnes qui in monumentis sunt audient vocem Filii Dei, et qui audierint vivent. Quod etiam Apostolus confirmat dicens: Oportet cor-1 Cor. xv.53. ruptibile hoc induere incorruptionem, et mortale hoc induere

De quali homine vero diceret; Erat lux vera, que illuminat omnem
Joh. i. 9. hominem venientem in hune mundum. In mundo erat, et mundus per ipsum factus est; et mundus eum non cognovit. In propria venit, et sui eum non receperunt? Qualiter ergo talis, nudus homo, et ex Maria habens principium essend; sed non Deus Verbum, et Filius unigenitus? In principio enim erat Verbum, et Verbum erat apud Job. i. 1, 3. Deum, et Deus erat Verbum. Et in aliis : Dominus creavit me prin- Prov.siii.22. cipium viarum suarum, in opera sua; ante seculum fundavit me, et ante omnes colles generat me.
VII. Quoniam autem et resurgunt corpora nostra, dicit : Amen dico Joh. v. 25. robis, quoniam venit hora, in qua omnes qui in monumentis sunt audient vocem Filii Dei, et qui audierint, virent. Et Apostolus: Oportet 1 Cor.xr. 53 . enim corruptibile hoc induere incorruptionem, et mortale hoc induere

 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \quad \lambda \in ́ \gamma \epsilon i{ }^{\circ}$ M̀̀ $\pi \lambda \alpha \nu \bar{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon$, oưтє
 то́pvol, oúte 入oíOopol, ou้тє $\mu$ '́ $\theta$ ббol, ойтє к $\lambda$ е́ $\pi \tau \alpha \iota, \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon i ́ \alpha \nu$ Өєov̂ [où]
 poì oủ火 é $\gamma \epsilon i ́ \rho o v \tau \alpha \iota$, oủò̀ X X





 $\tau \in \rho o \ell \pi \alpha \dot{\prime} \nu \tau \omega \nu \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ è $\sigma \mu \in ́ v$. Ei



 тоs фроитíGovtes; $\mu$ óvov тoû фаүєiv
 каì т $\omega \nu \mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{o}$ ф $\alpha \gamma \in i \nu$; $\dot{\alpha} \nu \in \pi i \sigma \tau \eta-$
 ${ }^{\text {² }} \ell \nu \delta O \theta \in \nu$.
$\mathrm{H}^{\prime}$. 'Ovai $\mu \eta \nu$ ข́p $\mu \nu$ èv Kvpị́.

 $\mu \grave{\nu}, \quad \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \iota \alpha \nu, \quad \sigma \cup к о ф \alpha \nu \tau i \alpha \nu$,

 $\alpha \nu$, фi $\lambda \alpha \rho \gamma u \rho_{i} \alpha \nu, \phi_{i} \lambda o \delta o \xi i \alpha \nu, \phi$ ó- $^{-}$

immortalitatem. Et quia oportet caste ac juste vivere, iterum dicit. Nolite errare: neque fornicarii, neque molles, neque masculorum concubitores, neque maledici, neque ebriosi, neque fures, neque rapaces, regnum Dei possidebunt. Et, Si mortui non re- 1 Cor. xv surgunt: neque Christus resur- ${ }_{19,32}^{14,17}$. rexit. Vacua est ergo prædicatio nostra, vacua et fides nostra; et adhuc estis in peccatis vestris. Ergo et qui dormierunt in Christo, perierunt. Si in hac vita tantum sperantes sumus in Christo; miserabiliores sumus omnibus hominibus. Si mortui non resurgunt: manducemus et bibamus; crastina enim moriemur. Si autem sic confidimus: quæ est differentia hominum et canum vel asinorum, qui nihil de futuro cogitant; qui comestionis tantummodo appetitores sunt, et post comestionem inscii sunt quid interius moveatur?
VIII. Adquisivi vos in Domino. Elaborate unusquisque omnem malitiam deponere, et ferocem animum, et maliloquium, et calumniam, et turpiloquium, malam conversationem, susurrationem, inflationem malignam, avaritiam, inanem gloriam, invidiam, et omnia quæ his similia sunt. immortalitatem. Et quoniam oportet temperate vivere et juste Deo; rursus: Non erretis: neque adulteri, neque molles, neque masculorum concubitores, neque fornicatores, neque maledici, neque ebriosi, neque fures, regnum Dei hæreditare poterunt. Et: Si mortui non resurgunt; neque Christus resurrexit. Inanis ergo predicatio nostra; inanis autem et fides vestra: adhue estis in peccatis vestris. Ergo qui et dormierunt in Christo, perierunt. Si in vita hac sperantes sumus in Christo solum; miserabiliores omnibus hominibus sumus. Si mortui non resurgunt; comedamus et bibamus; cras enim morimur. Sic autem dispositi, quid distahimus ab asinis et canibus, qui nilial de futuro curant; in appetitum cuntes et corum que post comedere? Inscii enim sunt moventis intus intellectus.

VIIf. Fruar sobis in Domino. Vigilate ommem unusquisque malitiam deponere, et feralem furorem, detractionem, calnmiam, turpiloquium, seurrilitatem, susurrationem, inflationem, ebrietatem, luxuriam, avaritiam, inanem floriam, invidiam, et omne lis concurrens:




 pors" ò $\lambda$ à̀s, toîs трёßutípors кגì тoís ס̀akórors. Autifuxos ério
 $\tau \alpha \xi{ }^{\prime} \nu^{\prime}$ кגi ó Kúpos cin $\mu c \tau^{\prime} \alpha u^{-}$

$\Theta^{\prime}$. Oí ävópes, $\sigma \tau$ é $\gamma$ етe $\tau \grave{\alpha} s \gamma \alpha-$
 そ̌́rous' of maídes, toùs joveis ai-

 piou. Tàs èv $\pi \alpha \rho \theta$ evía тıдâte, ès
 $\chi$ nipas, ès शuनıaбтípıon Єeoû. Oi кúpiol, $\mu \in \tau \propto ั$ фeiơoûs toîs doúloıs
 Bou тoìs кирíos è èuтпретеíte. M $\eta$ -
 Tîs èvócías ì àpría. Tauta oủk

 Kúpios $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.







Induite antem Dominum nostrum Hem.xii. 14 Jesum Christum; et carnis curam ue feceritis in concupiscentiis. Presbyteri suhditi estote Episenpo, Diaconi Preshyteris, popmus Diaconis. Pro animabres hane ordinationem custodientibus ego efficiar: et Dominus sit cum cis indesinenter.
IX. Commendo volis, of viri, diligite conjuges vestras: et vos mulicres, diligite compares vestros. Filii, honorate parentes: et vos parentes, filios nutrite in Eppl. vi. 4 . eruditione et disciplina Domini. Eas qua in virginitate sunt honorate, sicut sacras Christi: viduas padicas, ut sacrarium Dei , veneramini. Domini cum parcitate estote. Servi cum timore dominis deservite. Nemo otiosus in vobis sit. Mater enim egestatis est racuitas. Hæc autem dicens, non impero quantum oportet: et si quidem vinctus sum, tanquam fratres commemoro: et si Dominus est vobiscum.
X. Adquisivi vos. Orationibus vestris incumbite, ut Jesum merear adipisci. Commendo vobis Ecclesiam, quæ est in Antiochia. Salutant vos electre Ecclesix Philippensium: unde et scribo vobis. Salutat vos
indui Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum, et carnis providentiam Rom.xiii.14. non fieri in concupiscentiis. Presbyteri subjecti estote Episcopo, Diaconi Episcopo et Presbyteris, populus Diaconis. Consimilis ego his qui custodiunt hanc bonam ordinationem: et Dominus sit cum ipsis continue.
IX. Viri, diligite sponsas vestras; uxores, conjuges vestros. Pueri, parentes prechonorate: parentes, filios nutrite in disciplina et admo- Eplh.vi. 4. nitione Domini. Eas quer in virginitate honorate, ut sacras Christi: eas quæ in honestate viduas, ut altare Dei. Domini, cum moderamine servis precipite: servi, cum timore Dominis ministrate. NulJus in robis otiosus maneat: mater enim indigentiæ otiositas. Hoc enim non pracipio, ut existens aliquis, etsi ligor: sed, ut frater, ad memoriam revoco. Sit Dominus vobiscum.
X. Fruar vestris orationibus. Orate ut Jesu fruar. Commendo vobís cam quæ in Antiochia Ecclesiam. Salutant vos Ecelesix Philippensium ; unde et scribo vobis. Salutat vos
 $\epsilon \dot{\chi} \chi \alpha \rho \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}, \sigma \pi о \nu \delta \alpha i \omega s$ imt






 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ètı入́̀ $\theta \eta \sigma \theta \epsilon$. 'O Kúpıos $\mu \in \theta$ ' $\dot{v} \mu \omega \bar{\omega}$.

Philon diaconus vester; cui et ego gratias ago, bene mihi servienti in omnibus. Salutat vos Agathopus diaconus de Syria : qui me sequitur in Christo. Salutate invicem in osculo sancto. Saluto universos et universas qui sunt in Christo. Incolumes estote corpore et animo et spiritu: et mei ne obliviscamini. Et sit Deus vobiscum.

Philon diaconus vester ; cui et gratias ego ago, studiose ministranti mihi in ommibus. Salutat vos Diaconus, qui ex Syria sequitur me in Christo. Salutate ad invicem in sancto osculo. Saluto universos et universas in Christo. Valete anima et spiritu: et mei non obliviscamini. Dominus vobiscum.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE ANTIOCHIANS.

## TOY AXTOX MPOE ANTIOXEIE.








A'. 'E $\lambda \alpha \phi \rho \alpha ́ \alpha ~ \mu o t ~ к \alpha \grave{~ r . o v ̂ \phi \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}}$

 одоуоі́а баркєкй тє каі $\pi \nu є ч \mu \alpha-$


 дйөŋтє, фидатто́меvo九 та̀s. єібко-


## EJUSDEM EPISTOLA AD

 ANTIOCHENSES, Scripta ex Philippis.Ignatius qui et Theophorus, Ecclesiæ Antiochensi misericordiam a Christo consecutæ, habitanti in Syria, quæ prima Christi cognomen accepit, quæ est in Antiochia; in Deo Patre, et Domino Jesu Christo, Salutem.
I. Levia mili et inonerosa vincula fecit Dominus, cum didicissem vos pacificos esse, et in omni concordia carnali et spirituali vos transigere. Rogo igitur vos ego vinctus in Domino, digne ambulare vocatione qua vocati estis: custodientes vos ab introeuntibus hæresibus malignis, ad

## EPISTOLA AD ANTIOCHENOS.

Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, Ecclesir habenti propitiationem a Deo, dilecter a Christo, advenæ in Syria, et primæ Christi cognominationem accipienti in Antiochia; in Deo Patre, et Domino Jesu Christo, gaudere.
I. Levia mihi et non onerosa vincula Dominus fecit, discenti pacem habere vos, et in omni concordia carnali et spirituali conversari. Deprecor igitur ros ego vinctus in Domino, digne ambulare rocatione ryh qua voeati estis: observantes ros ab inductis heresibus Maligni, in






 veî́l)
 páx $\pi \omega y$ roû Єecô cimì̀v, Kúplos ó ©cós rou, Kúplas cis è $\sigma t i{ }^{\circ}$ kaì tòv
 $\lambda$ órnger eùdéws кà тòv Kúpiov















 рì тov̂ Kvpíou $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \hat{\nu}$ ' $\eta \eta \sigma o u ̂ ~ \mathbf{X} \rho ı \sigma \tau o v ̂ . ~$
seductionem et perditionem cemsentientium eis. Intendere nutem Apostolorum doctrinae, et Lagi atgue: Prophetis credere: omnem Judaiemm atque Paganicum errorem abjicere; et neque multiludinem Deorum admitere, neque Christum ad excusationem unius Dei negare.
II. Mloyse fideli famulo Dei add populum dicente: Dominus Deus nent. vi. 4. tuus Dens umis est. Et unum Mar, xif. 29 . atque solum pradicans Deum, confessus est statim etiam Dominum nostrum, dicens. Pluit Do- Gen. xix.21. minus super Sodomam et Gomorram ignem et sulphur a Domino decealo. Et iterum: Etdixit Deus; Gien. i26,27. faciamus hominem ad imaginem et similitudinem nostram. Et rursum: Et fecit Deus hominem; ad imaginem Dei fecit illum. Et paulo post: Ad imaginem Dei fecit hominem. Et quia nasciturus erat homo, sie ait: Prophetam Dent.xviii. suscitabit vobis Dominus Deus ${ }^{15}$.cum vester de fratribus vestris sicut me.
III. Nam et Prophetre ex persona Dei dixerunt: Ego Deus Esai. xliv.6. primus, et ego post hæe; et præter me non est Deus. Hoc autem de Patre omnium dixerunt. De Domino vero nostro Jesu Christo

Gen. v. 1. et ix. 6.

Act. iii. 22.
et vii. 37.
$\qquad$
 0
deceptione et perditione persuasorum ab ipso. Attendite autem Apostolorum doctrinæ, et Legi et Prophetis credere; omnem Gentilem et Judaicum abjicere errorem: et neque multitudinem Deorum inducere, neque Christum negare occasione unius Dei.
II. Moyses enim fidelis servus Dei, dieens; Dominus Deus tuus, Deut.ri. 4. Dominus unus est, et unum et solum predicans Deum, confessus est Mar. cui. 29 . confestim et Dominum nostrum, dicens: Pluit Dominus super Sodo- Gean xix.21. mam et Gomorram ignem a Domino et sulphur. Et rursus: Et dixit Gen.i.i6,27. Deus, facianus hominem secundum imaginem nostram et secundum
similitudinem: et, fecit Deus hominem; secundum imaginem Dei fecit ipsum. Et deinceps: quoniam in imagine Dei fecit hominem. Et quia fiet homo, ait: Prophetam vobis suscitabit Dominas ex fratribus vestris sicut me.

Gen. v. 1. et ix. 6. Deut. xviii. 15. cum
III. Prophetex autem, dicentes ut ex persona Dei; Ego Deus et riii.87. primus, et ego post hæe, et preter me non est Deus; de Patre omnium dicunt. Et de Domino nostro Jesu Christo:
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rursum Prophetæ proclamaverunt, dicentes: Ecce puer natus Esai. ix. est nobis, et filius datus est nobis, cujus initium est desuper: et vocabitur, inquiunt, nomen ejus Magni consilii Angelus, admirabilis, consiliarius, Deus fortis, potestatem habens, Princeps pacis. Nam et de incarnatione ejus ex Virgine dicunt: Ecce Virgo in Esai. vii. 1 utero concipiet, et pariet filium, et vocabitur nomen ejus Emmanuel. Et de passione ejus nihilominus adjecerunt, dicentes: Sicut ovis ad occisionem ductus est, et sicut agnus coram tondente se sine voce. Et iterum, ipse de se ipso referens, dicit: Ego autem, Jer. xi. I! sicut agnus innocens, ductus sum ad immolandum.
IV. Nam et Evangelistæ dicentes, unum solum verum Deum Jolh. svii. esse Patrem; etiam quæ ad Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum pertinent non prætermiserunt, sed potius perscripserunt, dicentes: In principio erat Verbum, et Ver- Jall.i. 1,2 bum erat apud Deum, et Deus erat Verbum. Hoc erat in principio apud Deum. Omnia per ipsum facta sunt, et sine ipso factum est nihil. Sed et de incarnatione ejus subjunxerunt, dicentes: Et Verbum caro factum est, et habitavit in nobis. Et iterum: Liber, in- Mat. i. quiunt, generationis Jesu Christi,

Filius, ait, datus est nobis, cujus principium desuper; et vocatur no- Es. ix. men ipsius Magni consilii Angelus, admirabilis, consiliarius, Deus fortis, potestativus. Et de inhumanatione ipsius; Ecce Virgo in Esai. vii utero concipiet, et pariet filium ; et vocabunt nomen ejus Emanuel. Mat: i. Et de passione; Ut ovis ad occisionem ductus est, et quasi agnus Esmiiiii coram tondente ipsum, sine voce. Et, Ego sicut agnus innocens duc- $\begin{gathered}\text { Act. } \\ \text { Jer. }\end{gathered}$ tus ad sacrificandum.
IV. Et Erangelista dicentes, unum Patrem solum verum Deum; et quæ Joh. xs secundum Dominum nostrum non dereliquerunt, sed seripserunt: In deh.i. 1 principio erat Verbum, et Verbum erat apud Deum, et Deus crat Verbum. Hoc erat in principio apud Deum. Omnia per ipsum facta sunt, et sine ipso factum est nihil. Et de inhumanatione: Verbum, ait, caro fac- Joh. i. tum est, et inhalitavit in nobis. Et, Liber generationis Jesu Christi, Mat. i
vioû $\Delta \alpha \beta$ ió，vioû＇ $\mathrm{A} \beta$ pacápl＇Ot ồ ＇A tó́धто入ol eimóvtes．öth Ecòs eis éatw，citav oi aùtoì，öth lis кeì


 Opwtos＇Iクロoûs Xpıoròs，ó ooùs iau－
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 paıтоúpevos，каì тòv $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o ̀ v ~ e ̀ m \alpha t-~$


 тós é $\sigma \tau t$ катג̀ tòv Профйт $\eta$ ，oủk



 тov̂ Xpıбтoû veє入aía，oủ бuvel－

 тク̀р $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ éavтоû тéкvа．B入є́тєтє
filii David，filii Ahrahnm．Apose toli quorue Christi，asserentes quia Deus umins est，ndjecertunt，di－ crontes：Dhus Dens，unus ei Me－cait in 20 diator Dei et hominum．It de incarmatione ejus ace passione non erubuerunt；sed potius fiducia－ liter subjunxerunt，dicentes：（1）－ 17 im．in． 5,5 ， tno Christus Jesus：qui dedit se－ metipsum pro secoli vita．

V．Omnis ergo qui unum Deum ammunciat，intercipitautem Christi divinitatem；filius est Diaboli，et inimicus omnis justitia．Qui au－ tem non confitetur Christum fi－ lium esse ejus qui fecit miundum， sed alterius cujusdam incogniti， precter cum quem praedicat Lex ct Prophete；hic organum est ipsius Diaboli．Quicunque autem incarnationem Christi recusat，et crucem ejus erubescit，propter quam ego vinctus sum；hic est Antichristus．Quicunque vero pu－ rum hominem dicit esse Christum， maledictus est，secundum dictum Prophetæ，non in Deum fidens，sed Jer．xvii． 5 ，n． in hominem tantum．Propterea et infructuosus est，quemadmodum tamariscus quæ est in deserto．

VI．Hæc scribo vobis，cum sim Christi novellum olivx ； non ignorans vos taliter sa－ pere：sed præcustodio vos，si－ cut pater filios suos．Videte
filii David，filii Abraham．Apostoli autem dicentes，quoniam Deus est； dieebant illi ipsi，quoniam unus et mediator Dei et hominum．Et in－ 1 Cor．riii． corporationem et passionem non erubuerunt．（Quid enim ait？Homo $4,6$. Jesus Christus；qui dedit semetipsum redemptionem pro mundi vita． 1 Tim．ii．, 5.6.

V．Ommis igitur qui umum ammeliat Deum，in interemptione divinitatis Christi ；filius est Diaboli，et inimicus ommis justitix．Et qui confitetur Christum，non ejus qui fecit mundum filium，sed alte－ rius cujusdam incogniti，preter quem predicavit Lex et Prophetæ， iste organum est ipsius Diaboli．Et qui inhumanationem renuit，et crucem erubescit，propter quam ligatus sum；iste est Antichristus． Et qui nudum hominem dicit Christum，maledictus est，secundum Prophetam，non in Deo confidens sed in homine．Propter quod et Jer．xxii．5．6． sine fructu est，proximus agresti myricæ．

VI．Hee scribo robis，ô Christi juventus；bon conscius vobis habere talem sensum ：sed preservans ros，ut pater proprios filios．Videte
 èx $\begin{aligned} & \text { poùs toû } \sigma \tau \alpha u \rho o u ̂ ~ t o u ̂ ~ X p ı \sigma t o u ̂, ~\end{aligned}$

 Kúvas Toùs èveoùs，tov̀s ő申eIs toùs бupo $\mu$ évous，т̀̀ фı入óסора $\delta \rho \rho \alpha к о ́ v т \iota \alpha$,




Z＇．Паú入ov каì Пéтрои $\gamma \in \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{v} \alpha-$ $\tau \in \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i{ }^{\prime} \mu \grave{\eta} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \pi о \lambda$ е́б ${ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha-$ $\rho \alpha \theta \dot{\eta} \kappa \eta v \cdot \mu \nu \eta \mu$ vveú $\alpha \alpha \epsilon$ Ev̉odíou toû

 $\sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} v$ ن́ $\mu \in \tau \in ́ \rho \rho \alpha \nu \quad \pi \rho \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma i \alpha \nu$. M $\grave{\eta}$ кат $\alpha \| \sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \omega \mu \in v$ тòv $\pi \alpha \tau \in ́ \rho \alpha$.



 oủ $\phi_{\iota} \lambda \in i ̂$ tòv Kúpıov＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \nu$ ，クैT $\boldsymbol{\omega}$


 ঠє $\sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ ．




ergo malignos operarios，inimi－ cos crucis Christi ；quorum finis interitus，quorum Deus venter est，quorum gloria in confusione eorum．Videte canes rabidos， serpentes super pectus repentes， dracones squamosos，aspides，ba－ siliscos，scorpios．Hi enim sunt thoes vulpes；sed et simix hu－ mana imitantes．

VII．Pauli et Petri facti estis discipuli ：nolite perdere deposi－ tum quod vobis commendaverunt． Mementote digne beatissimi Evo－ dii Pastoris vestri；qui primus vobis ab Apostolis antistes ordi－ natus est．Non confundamus pa－ trem：sed efficiamur certi filii， et non adulterini．Scitis qualiter conversatus sum inter vos．Quæ ergo presens dicebam vobis，hæc nunc et absens scribo．Si quis ICor：x non diligit Dominum Jesum，sit anathema．Imitatores mei estote． Pro animabus vestris ego efficiar， quando Christum meruero adi－ pisci．Mementote vinculorum Col．iv meorum．

VIII．Presbyteri，pascite gre－ 1 Pet． gem qui in vobis est ；donec os－ tendat Deus eum qui futurus est in vobis rector．Ego autem nunc festino；ut Christum lucrifaciam．
igitur in malum currentes operatores，inimicos crucis Christi；quo－ rum finis perditio，quorum Deus venter，quorum gloria in confusione ipsorum．Videte canes sine voce，serpentes surrepentes，infoveatos dracones，aspides，basiliscos，scorpiones．Isti enim sunt thoes vulpes， hominis imitatores simire．

VII．Pauli et Petri fiatis discipuli ：non perdatis depositum．Re－ cordamini Enodii digne beati Pastoris restri ；qui primus ordinatus ab Apostolis in vestram prelationem．Non erubescamus patrem ： fiamus proprii pueri，sed non nothi．Seitis qualiter conversatus sum vobiscum．Quæ præsens dicebam vobis，hæec et absens scribo：Qui icor． non amat Dominum Jesum，sit anathema．Imitatores mei estoté． Consimilis animæ vobiscum fiam ；quando utique Deo potiar．Me－Col． mentote meorum vinculorum．

VIII．Presbyteri，pascite eum qui in vobis gregem：usquequo 1 Pet ostendat Deus futurum principari vobis．Ego enim jam sacri－2 T．n ficor，et tempus resolutionis meæ instat，ut Christum lucrificiam．Phil
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Dinconi agnusemat exjus sint dignitatis: a studembt esse inculpabiles, ut sint Christi imitutores. Populus subditus sit Presbyteris et Diaconis. Virgines cognnscant eui se consecraverunt.
IX. Viri diligant conjuges suas: memores guia una uni, et non multe uni in prineipio creatura dater stut in possessioncm. Mulieres homorificent viros suos, ut carnem suan: et non audeant proprionomine ens vocare. Sciant autem solos viros suns compares esse; quibus cet conjunctie sunt secundum ordinationem Dei. Pat rentes, crudite filios eruditione sancta. Filii, honorate parentes: ut bene sit vobis, et sitis longrevi Eph. ci. 3. super terram.
X. Domini, nolite superbe servis uti : imitantes patientissimum Job, dicentem; Si prave judicavi servum meum, aut ancillam meam ; judicer ego ab eis: quid enim faciam, si interrogationem Dominus fecerit de me? et cætera, quæ sequuntur, bene nôstis. Servi, nolite ad iracundiam in aliquo provocare dominos: ne forte aliquid mali fiat vobis; et vos ipsi vobis eritis rei.
XI. Nemo otiosus manducet; ne vagus et fornicarius efficiatur.

Mal. ii. I
Mal. vis
1, 5.

Iol) $\times \times \times i$
$13,14$.

Diaconi cognoseant cujus sint dignitatis: et studeant immaculati esse, ut sint imitatores Christi. Populus subjiciatur Presbyteris et Diaconis. Virgines cognoseant cui consecraverunt seipsas.
IX. Viri diligant conjuges: recordantes quoniam una uni, non multe uni date sunt in creatione. Mulieres honorent viros, ut propriam carnem : neque ex nomine ipsos audeant vocare. Castificent autem ; solos viros conjuges esse existimantes, quibus et unitæ sunt secundum sententiam Dei. Parentes, filios erudite disciplinam sacram. Filii, honorate parentes: ut bene vobis sit.
X. Domini, non superbe servis præferamini : imitantes Job; dicentem; Si autem et depravavi judicium servi mei, vel ancilla mee, judicatis ipsis ad me. Quid enim faciam, si scrutinium mei Dominus faciat? et quæ deinceps, scitis. Servi, non irritetis dominos in ira : ut non malorum insanabilium vobismet causæ fiatis.
XI. Otiosus mullus comedat; ut mon negligens fiat et formiearius.

Mal. ii. 15
Mat. xix.
$4,5$.

Eph. vi. 3.
Job xxxi
13.14.
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 pıттòv тò $\gamma \rho \alpha ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$, óто́тє $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ каì
 Tav̂та oủ $\chi$ ஸ̀s $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ о́वтоخоs таракє-



 ס九ккóvous, каі тò тоөєıvóv pot ővo-
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Ebrietas, iracundia, invidia, maliloquium, clamor, blasphemia, non nominetur in vobis. Viduæ non in deliciis agant; ne per luxuriam abjiciant verbum. Cæsari subditi estote in his in quibus sine periculo est ipsa subjectio. Principes nolite exasperare: ne detis occasiones adversum vos quarentibus eas. De maleficiis autem et veneficiis, vel homicidio, ex abundanti est scribere vobis: quando hæc etiam in Gentibus abominabile sit agere. Нæс autem non sicut Apostolus precipio ; sed sicut conservus vester, commemorans vos.
XII. Saluto sanctum Presbyterium. Saluto sacrosanctos Diaconos, et desiderabile mihi nomen ejus quem reservavi pro me in Spiritu sancto, cum Christum meruero adipisci: pro cujus anima ego efficiar. Saluto subdiaconos, lectores, cantores, ostiarios, laborantes, exorcistas, atque confessores. Saluto custodes sanctarum portarum, Diaconissas, quæ sunt in Christo. Saluto susceptricesChristi virgines; quas ego nutrivi in Domino Jesu. Saluto pudicissimas viduas. Saluto plebem Domini, a minimo usque ad maximum, et omnes sorores meas in Domino.

Ebrictas, ira, invidia, contumelia, clamor, blasphemia, neque nomi- Eph. mentur in vobis. Viduae non delicientur; ut non aberrent a sermone. Cessari subjicimini, in quibus non periculosa subjectio. Principes non irritetis in amaricationem: ut non detis oceasionem quarentibus adversum vos occasionem. De incantatione, vel puerili desiderio, vel homicidio, superflum scribere: quum haec et Gentibus prohibita sunt fieri. Haec non ut A postolus jubeo; sed ut conservus vester, monefacio vos.
XII. Saluto sauctum Presbyterium. Saluto sacros Diaconos, et desideratum mihi nomen: quem videam pro me in Spiritu sancto, cam utique Christo fruar; cujus consimilis anmi fiam. Saluto subdiaconos, lectores, cantores, ostiarios, laborantes, exorcistas, confessores. Saluto custodes sanctarum portarum, existentes in Christo ministros. Saluto a Christo sumptas virgines: quibus fruar in Domino Jesu. Saluto venerabilissimas viduas. Saluto populum Domini, a parro usque ad magnum, et omnes sorores meas in Domino.













 коvol, oi $\sigma u v \alpha \kappa o ́ \lambda o v \theta o i ́ ~ \mu о и . ~ ' \Lambda \sigma \pi \alpha ́-~$
 Matı.


 $\nu \omega \nu \gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \nu \eta \mu \in \in v o v, \delta \iota \alpha \phi \cup \lambda \alpha \xi^{\prime} \in \iota \pi \nu \in u ́-$
 т!̣̂ тои̂ Xрıбтоиิ $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda$ cíą. 'А $\sigma \pi \alpha$ '

 $\sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$. "Е $\rho \rho \rho \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon \Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$ ка̀ $\mathbf{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega}$,

XIII. Saluto Cassiamm hospitem mesum, et conjugem cijus, et amabiles matos ejus. Silutat vos Polycarjus, digne decentissimus Episcopus ; qui et curam vestri gerit; cui et commendavi vos in Domino. Eit omnis Ecelesia Smyrnensium memor est vestri in orationibus apud Deum. Satlutat vos Onesimus, Ejphesiorum Pastor. Salutat vos Damas, Magnesiae Episcopus. Salutat vos Polybius, Trallianorum antistes. Salutat vos Philon et Agathopus diaconi ; qui me sequuntur. Sit-2Cir.xiii. 12. lutate invicem in osculo sancto.
XIV. Haec autem a Philippis scribo vobis. Incolumes vos ille qui est solus ingenitus, per illum qui est ante secula natus, spiritu et carne custodiat: et videam vos in regno Christi. Saluto eum qui post me futurus est princeps vester: quem et adquisivi in Christo. Incolumes estote Deo et Christo, illuminati Spiritu Sancto.
XIII. Saluto Cassianum, et conjugem ipsius, et filios. Salutat vos Polycarpus, digne decens Episcopus, cui et cura est de vobis: cui et commendavi vos in Domino. Sed et omnis Ecclesia Smyrnæorum memoriam habet vestri in orationibus in Domino. Salutat vos Onesimus, Ephesiorum Pastor. Salutat vos Magnesius Episcopus. Salutat ros Polybius, Trallacorum. Salutant vos Philon et Agathopus diaconi, consecutores mei. Salutate ad invicem in osculo sancto.
XIV. Hace a Philippis scribo vobis. Sanet vos qui est solus ingenitus, per ante secula genitum, custodiat spiritu et carne : et videam ros in Christi adventu. Saluto eum qui pro me futurus est principari vobis: quo fruar in Christo. Valete in Deo et Christo, illuminati Spiritu Sancto.

## THE EPISTLE TO HERO THE DEACON.

## TOY AYTOX IPOE HPSNA

$\Delta$ ©aкóvov 'Avтıo еєías.

 та́тн, хрıбтофо́ря, туєчцатофо'-





 той viồ, тои̂ dóvtos éautòv útẹ̀



 póviov.
A'. $^{\prime}$ Па $\rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon$ èv $\Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \pi \rho о \sigma-$
 бov tò $\dot{\alpha} \xi \underline{i} \omega \mu \alpha$. Tĥs $\sigma u \mu \phi \omega v i ́ \alpha s ~ \tau \eta ̂ s$ трòs тoùs ádíous фрóvтı̧̧' тoùs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon v \in \sigma \tau \in ́ p o u s \quad \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta \epsilon$, ǐv $\pi \lambda \eta$ -




 $\gamma^{\alpha} \rho \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta}_{s}, \phi \eta \sigma \grave{\imath}, \phi \alpha ́ \gamma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon^{.}$

## EJUSDEM AD HERONEM,

Ecclesiæ Antiochenæ Diaconum :
quem ei Dominus ostendit sessurum in sede ipsius. Ex Philippis.
Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, a Deo honorabili, desiderabili, pudico, Christifero, spiritifero, in fide et dilectione, Heroni diacono Christi, ministro Dei, gratia et misericordia et pax ab omnipotente Deo, et Christo Jesu Domino nostro, unigenito filio ejus ; qui dedit semetipsum pro peceatis nostris, ut redimeret nos de hoc presenti seculo maligno, et salvos faceret in regnum suum coleste.
I. Rogo te in Domino adjicere ad cursum tuum, et defendere dignitatem tuam: consonantiæ quæ est ad Sanctos curam gerere. Infirmiores suffer; ut adimpleas legem Christi. Jejuniis

Gal. vi et orationibus vaca: sed non ultra mensuram, ne teipsum dejicias. Vino et carnibus non ex toto abstineas: non enim sunt abominabiles. Bona, inquit, terræ comedetis. Esai. i.

## EPISTOLA AD HERONEM

## Diaconum Ecclesiæ Antiochenorum.

Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, a Deo honorato et desideratissimo, Christifero, spirituali filio in fide et charitate, Eroni diacono Jesu Christi et famulo Dei, gratia, misericordia et pax ab omnipotenti Deo et Jesu Christo Domino nostro, unigenito filio ipsius; qui dedit

Gal. i. semetipsum pro nobis et peceatis nostris, ut eriperct nos ex prasenti seculo nequam, et salvaret in regnum ipsius supercoeleste.
I. Deprecor te in Deo apponere cursui tuo, et justificare tuam dignitatem. Concordiamque ad Sanctos cura. Infirmiores porta ; (ial. vi ut impleas legem Christi. Jejuniis et orationibus vaca: sed non immoderate, ut teipsum prosternas. A vino et carnibus non omnino abstine : non enim sunt abominabilia. Bona enim terre comedite, ait. Esai i.










 Oúdèіs отратеvó $\mu с \mathrm{vos}$ ѐ $\mu \pi \lambda$ е́кетаи таiş rov piou $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha т е$ éars, iva $\tau \hat{\varphi}$
 $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \hat{\eta} T I S$, où $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi \alpha v \circ \hat{T} \tau \alpha l, \grave{\epsilon} \alpha{ }^{\alpha} \mu \bar{\eta}$


$B^{\prime}$. Mâs ó $\lambda \in ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi \alpha_{0} \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \delta \alpha-$













Pt, Carries ut olera mandtucabitis. Sed et, Vinum latificat cor hominis, et oleum exhilarat, et panis confirmat. Sed et mensurate et ordinate, tanguan Deo concedente. Quis chim manducat, ant E.an in. 23 quis hibit, prater cum? quoniam quicquid bomum est, ejus, et quic- Zantion, 17 . quid optimum, ipsins. Lectioni gutis is intende: ut nom solum ipse scias leges, sed ctiam aliis eas exponas, ut Dei athleta. Nemo militans 2 Tim in 1,3 Christo implicat se negotiis secularibus: ut placeat ci cui se probavit. Sed nee vir* athleta coromabitur, nisi legitime certaverit. Pro anima tua ego vinctus stim.
II. Omnis igitur qui dixerit preter illa que traditia sunt; tametsi fide dignus sit, tanetsi jejunet, tametsi virginitatem servet, tametsi signa faciat, tametsi prophetet: lupus tibi *pareat, in grege ovium, corruptionem operans. Si quis crucem negaverit; et passionem erubuerit; sit tibi tanquam adversarius: tametsi substantiam suam pauperibus tribuat, tametsi montes transferat, tametsi corpus suum combustioni tradat; sit tibi execrabilis et abominabilis. Si quis infalsaverit Legem et Prophetas, Vinulif Et, Manducate carnem ut olera. Et, Vinum lætificat cor hominis, et oleum exhilarat, et panis confirmat. Sed moderate et ordinate, ut Deo tribuente. Quis enim comedit, vel quis bibit, sine ipso? quoniam si Exel.ii. .5. quid bonum, ipsius? et si quid bonum, ab ipso. Lectioni attende: $\underset{(\text { juxth is. } 17 \text {. } 17 \text {.) }}{\text { Pa }}$ ut non solum ipse scias leges, sed et aliis ipsas enarres, ut Dei athleta. Nullus militans implicatur vita negotiis: ut ei cui militat placeat. 2 Tim, ii. 1,5 . Si autem et certet quis; non coronatur, nisi legitime certaverit. Consimilis animæ tibi ego vinctus.
II. Ommis qui dicit preter precepta; etsi dignus fide sit, etsi jejunet, etsi virginitatem servet, etsi signa faciat et prophetet: lupus tibi appareat in ovis pelle, ovium corruptionem operans. Mat.vii.15. Si quis negat crucem, et passionem erubescit; sit tibi sicut Antichristus et Adversarius: etsi distribuat in cibos quer habet pantperibus, etsi montes transferat, etsi tradat corpus in combustio-
oûs ó X Xıбт̀̀s $\pi \alpha \rho \omega ̀ \nu$ è $\pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\rho} \rho \omega \sigma \in \nu$,

 'Iovס人iốs è $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \chi$ рıбтоктóvos.

 غ̀ $\sigma \tau \iota \pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ó $\rho \phi \alpha \downarrow \omega ิ \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \kappa \rho \iota-$




 дıaкоveís, ès $\Sigma$ té́фavos ó äytos









 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \pi \hat{a} \nu$ oûv $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ т̀̀s aitias $\tau \hat{\eta}{ }^{\prime}$

 т $\tau \mu \hat{\alpha} \nu$ oûv $\chi \rho \dot{\eta}$ т $\alpha$ s $\sigma$ ouveproùs $\tau \hat{\eta} \bar{s}$


 тov̂ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{A} \dot{\alpha} \mu$ тò $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$, є̇к
quos Christus præsens adimplevit; sit tibi tanquam Antichristus. Si quis hominem tantum dixerit Dominum ; Judæus est Christi interfector.
III. Viduas honora, quæ vere vi- 1 Tim. s. duæ sunt. Pupillos tuere. Deus Ps. Ixviii enim pater est pupillorum, etjudex viduarum. Nihil sine Episcopis agas. Sacerdotes enim sunt; tu verominister sacerdotum. Illi enim baptizant, sacerdotium agunt, ordinant, manus imponunt: tu vero eis ministras, sicut sanctus Stephanus Jacobo et Presbyteris in Hierosolymis. Congregationem noli negligere : nominatim omnes require. Nemo adolescentiam tu-1 Tim.iv. am contemnat: sed forma esto fidelium in verbo et conversatione.
IV. Domesticos ne confundas: communis est enim nobis et ipsis natura. Mulieres noli execrari : ipsæ enim pariunt et nutriunt. Diligi ergo oportet eas generationis causa; tantum in Domino: quia sine muliere vir omnino generare non potest. Honorare ergo oportet eas, ut cooperarias generationis: quia neque 1 Cor. xi. vir sine muliere, neque mulier sine viro, aliquando originem sortiri potuit nisi in solis illis protoplastis: quia corpus Adx ex
quos Christus presens adimplevit; sit tibi ut Antichristus. Si quis hominem nudum dicit Dominum, Judæus est Christi occisor.
III. Viduas honora, eas que vere vidur. Orphanos protege. Deus 1 Tim. v. enim pater est orphanorum, et judex viduarum. Nihil sine Épiscopis ${ }^{\text {Ps. Ixviii }}$ operare. Sacerdotes enim sunt: tu autem Diaconus sacerdotum. Illi baptizant, sacrificant, manus imponumt: tu antem ipsis ministras, ut Stephanus sanctus, in Hierosolymis, Jacobo et Preslyyteris. Congrega- 1 Tim. iv tiones non negligas: ex nomine omnes require. Nullus tuam juventutem contemnat: sed exemplum esto fidelium in sermone et conversatione.
IV. Servos non erubesce: communis enim nobis et ipsis natura. Mulieres non abominare: ipse enim te genuerunt et enutriverunt. Diligere igitur oportet causas generationis; solum in Domino. Sine muliere autem vir non pueros faciet. Honorare igitur oportet conjuges gencrationis. Neque vir sine muliere, 1 Cor.xi neque mulier sine viro: nisi in protoplastis. Adae enim corpus ex


 Kupiou, iк цúrms Tîs mapoírou, ou









 тò 廿eûdos. ФӨóvov фu入átтou ả $\rho$ -












 Aaiportat «́ $\mu \alpha$ ртíat.

 ö' $\gamma \alpha \nu 0 \nu$ є $\mathfrak{i}$ тov̂ $\pi \nu \in \cup ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s . ~ O i ̂ ̀ \alpha s ~$

quatuor elementis factum est: Eva vero de latere Ades. Gloriosum vero habitaculum Domini ex sola Virgrine. Execrabilis quidem non videtur legitima eommixtio: sed Deo condecens est, ipsins generationis ordinatio. Decelhat enim Opifiecm, non secundum humanam eonsuetudinem nativitate uti; sed gloriose et nove, ut decebat, nasci Factorem.
V. Superbiam fuge: Superbis mim Deus resistit. Falsiloquimu execrare. Perdes enim, ait, omnes qui loqumas mendacium. Invidiam cave. A:thor enim cjus Diabolus ; et successor ipsius Cain fratri invidens, et de invidia homicidium perpetrans. Sorores meas mone Deum diligere, et solis viris suis sufficere debere. Similiter et fratres meos mone, suis conjugibus debere sufficere. Virgines custodi, tanquam Christi sacramenta. Longanimis esto, ut sis multus prudentia. Pauperibus, secundum quod habueris, benefacere ne neglexeris. Eleemo- Pror.xv. 87. syna enim et fide purgantur peccata.
VI. Teipsum castum custodi, tanquam Dei habitaculum : templum Christi constitutus, et organum Spiritus sancti existens. Scito quo modo te enutrierim. Etsi minimus

## Ja, i4 x .

 $11^{\prime}+0$Pr, v, f.
quatuor elementis; Eve autem ex costa Adre. Sed et gloriosus partus Domini ex sola Virgine, non abominabili legali mixtione, sed Deo decente generatione. Decuit enim ipsum, conditorem existentem, non consueta uti generatione, sed inopinabili et peregrina, ut conditorem.
V. Superbiam fuge. Superbis enim Deus resistit. Falsiloquium abominare. Perdes enim omnes loquentes mendacium. Ab invidia te custodi. Princeps enim ipsius Diabolus; et successor Cain, fratri

Ja. ir. 6.
1 Pet.v. 5.
Ps.v. 5.
Gea. iv.
invidens, et ex invidia homicidium operans. Sorores meas mone sufficere conjugibus. Virgines castodi, ut Christi rasa. Longanimis sis; ut sis in prudentia multus. Inopes non negligas, in quibus utique abundas. Eleemosynis enim et fide purgantur peccata.
VI. Teipsum castum serva, ut Dei habitaculum: templum Christi existis, organumque Spiritus. Nôsti qualiter te enutrivi. Etsi minimus











 карӧ́c.

 ₹os èv $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \in u \chi \hat{n} \sigma o v^{*} \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho ı o s ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho ~$


 $\mu o 九 ~ o ́ ~ \Theta c o ̀ s ~ " H \rho \omega \nu \alpha ~ e ̀ m i ̀ ~ t o u ̂ ~ I p o ́ v o u ~$


 тоs каі той 'A үío Пvєúpатоs, каì $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \circ \nu \rho \gamma \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$. фú-
 каì ó Xрıбтòs $\pi \alpha \rho \in \theta_{\epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon G \alpha ́ \alpha ~}^{\text {боt, }}$ каі





quidem sum, æmulator meus esto; et imitare conversationem meam. Non enim glorior in mundo, sed in Domino. Heronem filium meum moneo; ut qui gloriatur, in 1 Cor.i Domino glorietur: cujus et me- ${ }^{2}$ Cor. $x$ mini filii desiderabilis: cui custos fiat ille solus ingenitus Deus, et Dominus Jesus Christus. Noliomnibus credere, neque de omnibus presumere; nequis te subintret. Multi enim sunt ministriSathanæ: et qui cito credit, levis est corde. Fcc. xix
VII. Memento Dei, et nunquam peccabis. Noli esse duplex animo Ja.i. 6, in oratione tua. Beatus est enim qui non dubitaverit. Credo ergo in Patrem Domini nostri Jesu Christi, et in unigenitum ejus Filium; quia ostendet mihi Deus Heronem in sede mea. Ergo adde ad cursum tuum. Præcipio tibi coram Deo qui est super omnia, et coram Christo, præsente et Sancto Spiritu, et coram ministrantibus legionibus, custodi de- 1 Tim.v positum meum, quod ego, et Dominus Jesus Christus commendavimus tibi: et ne indignum te judicaveris eorum quæ ostensa sunt mihi de te a Domino. Commendo ergo tibi Ecclesiam Antiochensium. Commendavi vos Polycarpo in Domino Jesu Christo.
sum, zelotes mei fias: imitare meam conversationem. Non glorior mundo, sed in Domino. Eroni filio meo moneo: Qui autem gloriatur, 1 Cor. in Domino glorietur. Fruar te, puer meus desiderate: cujus custos ${ }^{2}$ Cor. fiat solus ingenitus Deus, et Dominus Jesus Christus. Non omnibus erede, non de ommibus confide : neque utique aliquis seducat te. Multi enim sunt ministri Sathana: et qui velociter credit, levis est corde.

> E. . xi
VII. Memento Dei, et non peccabis aliquaudo. Non sis duplicis Ja.i. anime in oratione tua. Beatus enim qui non dubitat. Credo enim in Patrem Domini nostri Jesu Christi, et in unigenitum ipsius Filium; quoniam ostendit mihi Deus Eronem in throno meo. Appone igitur ad cursum. Ammuncio tibi in Deo omnium, et in Christo, presente et Spiritu Sancto, et administratoriis ordinibus: custodi meum depo- 1 Tim. situm, quod ego ct Christus deposuimus tibi; et non teipsum indignum judices expectatis de te a Deo. Commendo tibi Ecclesiam Antiochenorum. Polycarpe commendavi vos in Domino Jesu Christo.






 баркі́ те кхі̀ тvси́цктт。＂＂Абтобаи



 $\beta \alpha p u ̀ ~ r o ̀ ~ \lambda e \chi \theta e ́ v ' ~ e i ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ p ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \mu u ̀ ~$






 үшүク̀ Kupiou ís $\pi \rho o ́, \beta \alpha \tau \alpha$ ois oủk еैбть тоциіри．
 vov $\mu \circ v$ ，каì тク̀v $\sigma є \mu \nu о т \alpha ́ т \eta \nu ~ \alpha u ̉ т o u ̂ ~$
 $\pi \alpha u \delta i \alpha \alpha^{\circ}$ ois dọn ó Өcòs cúpeiv eै $\lambda$ cov






VIII．Sulutunt te Episcerpi，One－ simus，Vitus，Damas，Polybius， et ommes a Philippis，in Cliristo， unde seripsi tibi．Saluta Deo de－ cens Preshyterimm．Saluta sanc－ tos condiaconos tuns：quos cygo nutrivi in Domino，in carne et spiritu．Saluta populum Domini， ＊a pusillo usque ad machum，se－－an mininu cundum＊nomina quas tibi com－maximen． mendo；sicut Moyses Jesu duci－al．onmia exereitus．It noln tibi videatur Dent．xxxi onerosum，quod dictum est de te． Et si tales non sumus，quales illi fuerunt；sed tamen oramus ue tales efficiamur：quia et Abrahae filii sumus．Confortare ergo，He－ ro，potenter，et viriliter age．Tu Num，xsvii enim introduces，et educes ex hoo nune populum Domini，qui est in Antiochia：et non erit Synagoga Domini sicut oves non habentes pastorem．

IX．Saluta Cassianum hospi－ tem meum，et illam pudicissi－ mam ejus conjugem，et dilectissi－ mos filios eorum：quibus det Deus 2 Tim．i．is． invenire misericordiam apud Do－ minum in illa die ministrationis， que est ad nos：quos et com－ mendo tibi in Christo．Saluta eos qui sunt in Laodicea fideles omnes，secundum nomina，in Christo．Eos qui sunt in Tharso

VIII．Salutant te Episcopi，Onesimus，Bitus，Damas，Polybius，et omnes qui a Philippis in Christo，unde misi tibi．Saluta sanctos con－ diaconos tuos：quibus ego fruar in Domino，carne et spiritu．Saluta populum Domini，a parvo usque ad maguum，secundum nomen：quos commendo tibi，ut Moyses Jesu post ipsum Duci．Et non tibi videa－Deut．xxxi． tur grave quod dictum est．Et si non sumus tales，quales illi；sed tamen oramus fieri：quia et Abraham sumus pueri．Fortificare igi－ tur，ô Heron，heroice et viriliter．Tu enim induces amodo et educes Num．xxrii． populum Domini，eum qui in Antiochia：et non erit Synagoga Domini sicut oves quibus non est pastor．
IX．Saluta Cassianum peregrinum meum，et conjugem ipsius venera－ bilissimam，et dilectissimos ipsorum pueros；quibus dabit Deus invenire 2 Tïm．i．is． misericordiam a Domino in illa die，ejus quze in nos administrationis gratia：quos et commendo tibi in Christo Jesu．Saluta eos qui in Laodi－ cea fideles omnes，secundum nomen，in Christo．Eos qui in Tharso

 тoùs єis тò єủarزé $\lambda$ ıov. Mápiv



 $\lambda \nu \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \eta \nu$, каі̀ т $\nu \nu$ кат' оі̂ко $\nu$



 Xpiotoû oí aútoû toû povo $\begin{gathered}\text { evoûs }\end{gathered}$
 $\epsilon i s ̧ ~ \omega ̉ ф \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu ~ т \eta ̄ s ~ т о \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ Єॄкк $\eta$ $\sigma i ́ \alpha s . " E \rho \rho \rho \omega \sigma o$ ẻv Kupí $\omega$, каì $\pi \rho о \sigma-$ єú $\chi$ ou iv
noli negligere: sed assidue eis intende, confirmans eos in Evangelio. Marium Episcopum, qui est in Neapoli secus Zarbo, saluto in Domino. Intende etiam pudicissimæ Mariæ filiæ meæ, quæ est multum doctissima; et ei quæ Col.iv. in domo ejus Ecclesiæ, quæ facta est mihi pro anima mea exemplum piissimarum mulierum. Sanum te et in omnibus probabilem, Pater Christi ævo longiore, per ipsum Unigenitum suum custodiat ad utilitatem Ecclesiæ. Incolumis in Domino, ora pro me, ut consummer.
non negligas: sed magis continue ipsos visita, confirmans ipsos secundum Evangelium. Marim, eum qui in Neapoli, ea quæ ad Zarbo, Episcopum, saluto in Domino. Saluta autem et venerabilissimam Mariam filiam meam, multimode eruditissimam, et cam quae secundum domum ipsius Ecclesiam : cui consimilis animæ fiam, exempla- Col. iv rium piarum mulierum. Sanum te et in omnibus approbatum, Pater Christi per Unigenitum custodiat in longum vivere, ad utilitatem Ecclesiæ Christi. Vale in Domino, et ora ut perficiar.

## THE EPISTLE TO THE PHILIPPIANS.

## TOY AYTOX ПPO乏 ФI $\Lambda$ IППН $\Sigma$ IO $\Upsilon \Sigma$,

$\pi \in \rho i ̀ \mathrm{Ba} \mathrm{\pi} \mathrm{\tau í} \mathrm{\sigma} \mathrm{\mu a} \mathrm{\tau os}$.
'I $\gamma \nu \alpha ́ т ı о$, ó каѝ Єеофо́роs, ѐккл $\eta$ бíą $\Theta \epsilon \circ \hat{v} \eta ̉ \lambda \epsilon \eta \mu \epsilon ́ v \eta$ èv $\pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \iota$ каı




 $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$.





EPISTOLA AD<br>PHILIPPENSES, de Baptismo.

Per Euphanium Lectorem, navim jam ascensurum.
Ignatius, qui et Theophorus, ex imperio Dei Patris misericordiam consecutæ, in fide et patientia et dilectione sine dolo, Ecclesix quæ est Philippis; misericordia et pax a Deo Patre, et Domino Jesu Christo, qui 1 Tim. est salvator omnium hominum, maxime fidelium.
I. Memores charitatis vestræ, et sollicitudinis que est in Christo, quam ostendistis in nobis; * de- * al. d center arbitrati sumus scribere ad




 тîs míatews otorðoûvies, ios Пâ̂-

















 Kúpıos $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho, \phi \eta \sigma i v, ~ o ́ ~ \Theta \epsilon o ́ s ~ \sigma o v, ~ K u ́-~$








 $\sigma i, \kappa \alpha \grave{\Pi} \Pi \varepsilon \in \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha$, è $\pi \epsilon \iota \delta \grave{\eta}$ èк $\lambda \dot{\eta} \theta \eta \mu \in v \frac{\grave{e} v}{}$



 каі̀ тò аưтò $\Pi \nu \in \hat{v} \mu \alpha$. Ойтє oûv трєîs $\pi \alpha \tau$ е́рєऽ, ойтє трєîs vioi, oüтє трєîs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \kappa \lambda \eta \tau о \iota^{\circ} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ єîis $\pi \alpha \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho$, каі̀ єî̧ viòs, кג̀ єîS $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ к \lambda \eta т о S$.
fraternam in Domino umanimitatis vestras dilectionem ; commemorms vos cursus vestri in Christo, ut idipsum dicatis ommes, thum sentientes; in hoc ipso fidei emone fixi : sicuti et Paulus erudiens vos dicit: Juns est omnium Dens Pater Christi, ex quo I Corr.vii. 6. ommia; et unus Dominus noster Jesus Christus, filius Dei migenitus, dominator universorum, per quem omnia. Unus autem etiam Spiritus Sanctus, qui operabatur in Moyse et Prophetis, et Apostolis. Unum quoque et baptisma, quod datur in morte Christi. Una itaque Eeclesia etiam esse debet, et una fides quae est in Christo: secundum dictum cjusdem Apostoli, dicentis: Unus Dominus, Epphi iv. 5,6. una fides, unum baptisma: unus Deus et Pater omnium : qui est super omnes, et per omnes, et in omnibus.
II. Unus ergo est Deus et Pater; et non duo vel tres: unus scilicet qui est et non præter eum, solus verus. Dominus enim, Deut.vi.4. inquit, Deus tuus, Dominus unus est. Et iterum: Nonne unus Mal.ii. 10. Deus creavit nos; et unus pater est omnium nostrum? Unus quoque et filius, Deus Verbum. Unigenitus, inquit, qui est in sinu Joh. i. 18 . Patris. Etrursum: Unus Dominus ICor. siii. 6 . Jesu Christus. Et alibi : Quod est Prov. xxx.4. nomen ejus; aut quod est nomen filii ejus? Scitote autem, quia unus est etiam Spiritus Sanctus Paracletus: sicut idem Paulus ait: Unus Spiritus, sicut vocati Eph.iv. 4. estis in una spe vocationis vestræ. Et iterum : Omnes, inquit, in uno 1 Cor. xii. 13 . spiritu potati sumus. Manifeste autem dona gratiarum ipse unus 1 Cor. xii.11. atque idem Spiritus operatur. Ergo neque tres sunt Patres, neque tres Filii; sed neque tres Paracleti : sed unus Pater, et unus Filius, et unus Paracletus.
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o u s ~ \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha<\grave{\alpha}$ ẻ＇もи eis tò ơvода тои̂ Патрòs，каì тoû
 $\tau \varepsilon$ єis éva трเ由́vvцоv，oüтє єis трєîs
 блоті́ноия．
$\Gamma^{\prime}$ ．Eis $\gamma$ 人̀ $\rho$ ó èvav $\theta \omega \omega \pi$ そ́ $\sigma \alpha s$ ，ouv－ тє ó Патท̆р，ои้тє ó Пара́клクтоs，




 $\mu \in \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha т о s ~ e ̀ к ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \theta e ́ v o v, ~$ ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \in v$ ópıìias $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ́ s$ ．＇H $\pi \alpha \rho \theta$ évos
 т $\alpha \iota$ viơv．＇A $\lambda \eta \theta$ ڤ̂s oûv è $\gamma \in v v \eta \eta^{\prime} \theta \eta$ ，

 $\theta \alpha \nu \varepsilon, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \alpha \nu \in ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ ．＇O $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon u ́-$

 oủ̉ $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau \tau o v ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ K u ́ p ı o v ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \nu \rho \omega-~$









 $\theta$ єías，èvท́p $\gamma \in t$ èv＇Ioúdóa，èv $\Phi \alpha \rho t-$
 таıs，èv véoıs，ẻv iepêvol．Mé入－
 $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \lambda о \nu$ є̀ $\mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \rho \sigma \delta o ́ t \eta$,

 خúvalov，êv ỏveípois aủтò кат $\alpha \tau \alpha-$ $\rho \alpha ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha$ v́ct $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha ̂ \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \sigma \tau \alpha \nu p o ̀ v, ~ o ́ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu$


Propter quod et Dominus mittens Apostolos docere omnes gentes， precepit eis；baptizare eas in nomine Patris，et Filii，et Spiritus Sancti：non in unum quendam trinomium；neque in tres unius ejusdemque honoris．

III．Quia unus est tantum，qui homo factus est；non Pater scili－ cet，neque Paracletus，sed solus Filius：non putative neque in phantasmate，sed certissima ve－ ritate．Verbum enim caro fac－ tum est，et habitavit in ea．Sa－Prov．i pientia namque ædificavit sibi domum．Et factus est sicut homo Deus Verbum，cum corpore quod suscepit ex virgine：non ex col－ locutione scilicet，aut semine viri． Virgo enim，inquit，in utero con－Esai．vi cipiet，et pariet filium．Vere ergo natus est，et vere crevit； vere manducavit，et bibit；vere crucifixus est et mortuus，et re－ surrexit．Qui hæc credit，sicut habet，quo modo natus est，beatus est．Qui autem hæe non credit， non minus est ab eis qui eum crucifixerunt．Princeps enim mundi in hoc gaudet，quando quis crucem negaverit．Interitum enim sibiipsi esse cognoscit con－ fessionem crucis．Hoc est enim trophæum contra ejus virtutem： quod videns expavescit，et audiens timet．

IV．Nam et antequam facta esset crux，festinabat facere hoc， et operavit in filiis diffidentiæ．Eph．ii Operatus est autem in Juda，in Pharisæis，in Sadduceis，in seni－ oribus，in juvenibus，et in Sacer－ dotibus．Cum autem properaret ut fieret，conturbatur；et postea desperationem immisit proditori，Mart．x： et laqueum ei ostendit，et suspen－ dium eum docuit：et mulieri im－ misit timorem in somnio ；ipse conturbans，et compescere ten－ tans patibulum crucis；ipse omnia


















 тойणаı, тò ס̀̀ ка入òv ö tı тотé





E'. Ei $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \psi_{i} \lambda$ òs ${ }^{\alpha} v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ os ó













 oîtos, ó $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \iota \mu$ èv $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu \alpha i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\pi \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon t, \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \nu$ vóбоv каі̀ $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha \kappa i \alpha \nu$ פєратєúvas;
evoents et movens in suan praw parationem; non reengnoseens: in tuntum cmim mala crant, non ormia. Malignus autem sentiebat summ perditionem. Initium enim illi fuit ad damnationem crux Christi, principium mortis, initium perditionis. Propter quod in aliquibus quidem operatur negare erucem, passionem erubescere: qui mortem putant vocare Virginis generationem, circumcidere ipsam naturam, et diffamare quasi odiosam. Judaormm auxiliator est ad negationem crucis, Paganorum ad calumniamr magie, Hareticorum ad phantasiam. Multiformis enim est malitia princeps, furans sensus, contrarius sibimet ipsi ; et alia quidem immittens, alia vero ostentans. Sapiens est enim ad malefaciendum ; quod bonum est autem nescit aliquando. Ignorantia enim repletus est per inobedientiam. Quomodo enim non sit talis, qui non sibi proponit suum sermonem?
V. Si enim homo purus est Dominus, ex anima et corpore: quid circumcidis nativitatem communem naturæ hominum ? Quid, tanquam parvam gloriam in homine factam, passionem simulationem vocas; et mortem mortalis gloriam existimas? Si Deus est et homo; quid iniquum vocas Dominum glorix; illum videlicet natura immutabilem? Quid sine lege dicis legislatorem, qui non humanam animam habuit? Verbum caro factum est : Verbum homo; sed non in homine. Quomodo igitur Magus est ille; qui in principio omnem sensibilem, et intelligibilem naturam voluntate Patris præparavit? qui, cum esset in carne; omnem infirmitatem atque languorem curavit?

1 Cor. ii. 8.

Joh. i. 14.

5＇．Mûs d̀è oủ đ oûtos ఆeòs，ó ve－ кроѝs $\dot{\alpha} \nu / \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} v, \chi \omega \lambda$ oùs $\dot{\alpha} \rho \tau i ́ o u s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi o-$ $\sigma \tau \in ́ \lambda \lambda \omega v, \lambda \epsilon \pi \rho o u ̀ s ~ к \alpha \theta \alpha \rho i \zeta \omega v, \tau v-$

 тоиs，каì тоùs dúo ix日úas，каi тò

 какіॅєıS тท̀v фи́бוv тท̂S тарӨévov，
 $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \ell ~ \tau \alpha u ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \pi о \mu \pi \epsilon \dot{v} \omega v$, каı $\gamma v \mu-$


 $\alpha i \sigma \chi \rho \alpha ́$ боı $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \alpha$ vєvó $\mu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha l$ ，каi $\sigma \epsilon \mu \nu o ̀ s ~ \epsilon i v a \ell ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \pi o เ \hat{\eta}, ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s$ торvєías $\pi \nu є \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ ，аं $\gamma \nu о \omega \hat{\omega}$ о̆ть то́тє犭iveтаl $\alpha i \sigma \chi \rho o ́ v ~ т \ell, ~ о ̈ т \alpha \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha v o-~$

 ס̀̀v ф $\alpha \hat{v} \lambda o v, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha$ ка入 $\alpha$
 $\alpha$ ט̉т $\alpha$ ；
$Z^{\prime}$ ．Пิ̂s ס̀̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ oủk étı $\sigma 0 \iota$ ठокєî ó X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau$ òs єivaı є́к тท̂S $\pi \alpha \rho$－

 тоע а́тобтєí入 $\alpha$ s，єimé тís ó toútou кирเєúตv；$\gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta$ dè тívos oûtos


 $\sigma \tau \grave{v}$ €̉ $\xi \alpha \iota \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ Tท̂s $\gamma \in \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega$ ，Tòv
 каı $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \hat{\varrho} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \lambda \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau o ̀ \nu \alpha{ }^{\alpha} v \alpha \rho-$
 єimeiv．$\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \alpha{ }^{\circ} \rho$ oủ $\lambda e ́ \lambda \eta \theta$ ós $\mu \epsilon$

 voeis ס̀è $\sigma u ̀$ тís ó $\gamma \in \nu v \eta \theta$ cis，ó $\pi \hat{\alpha} v$ cióévar трабтонoúrcvos．



 $\tau \alpha \partial^{\delta} \omega \rho \alpha$ коцицо́vт $\omega \nu$ Ма́ $\gamma \omega \nu$＇A $\rho \chi$－ $\alpha \gamma \gamma$ ச́ $\lambda$ ov $\alpha \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu$ òs $\pi \rho$ òs $\pi \alpha \rho \theta^{\prime}$ évov．$^{\text {．}}$

VI．Quomodo autem non est Mat．xi． Deus ille；qui mortuos resusci－ tavit，claudos sanavit，leprosos mundavit，cæcos illuminavit，de Joh．vi． 9 ， quinque panibus et duobus pisci－ Joh．ii． bus tot millia hominum saturavit， aquam in vinum convertit ；tu－Luc．viii． umque exercitum verbo tantum fugavit？Quid ergo pessimas na－ turam Virginis，et membra tur－ pia vocas？hæc olim præsemi－ nans，et nudari jubens masculos in facie fæminarum，fæminas ve－ ro in illicitum desiderium mascu－ lorum．Nunc omnia tibi turpia videntur；et pudicum teipsum facis，cum tu sis fornicationis spiritus．Ignoras quia tune fit aliquid turpe，quando illicite per－ ficitur？Cæterum nihil turpe est，quod sine peccato geritur， nihil pravum：sed omnia bona valde：et tu，non videns，pessi－ mas ea？

VII．Quomodo rursum non tibi videtur esse Christus ex virgine； sed ille qui est super omnia Deus， ille scilicet omnia potens？Quis ergo est，qui hunc misit，dicito； quisve，qui huic dominatur；vel cujus sententiæ subjectus fuit，aut cujus legem adimplevit？Tu qui， nullius sententiam vel potestatem habens，Christum separas a gene－ ratione；et Legislatorem ingeni－ tum esse pronuncias，et cruci affixum illum qui est sine prin－ cipio．Cujus ergo permissu hoe factum est，non habeo dicere． Non enim me latuisti tuo antiquo consilio；neque ignoro，quoniam curve et lubrice incedis．Tu au－ tem ignoras，quis est qui natus est，qui omnia scire te fingis．

VIII．Multa enim te latent： virginitas scilicet Marix，glorio－ sus partus，de cujus corpore Deus processit；stella Orientis quæ ap－ paruit munera ferentibus Magis ； Archangeli salutatio ad Virginem




































 $\pi \alpha \dot{\partial} \eta, \quad \pi є \rho \iota т о \mu \eta, \quad \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha к т о т р о ф i ́ \alpha$.





 vòv $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi \tau \circ v, \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \zeta$ '̆́ $\mu \in v o v$, каі


facta; Virginis gloriosa comerptio, et desponsatae puella praedicatio, et in utero Virgimis grestientis infuntis previsio; Angelofum hymmus gloriam ngentium, et pastorum amumeiatio; Herodis timor in extollentia regni, presceptio ad parvulorum necem; in Agyptum transmigratio, atque exinde reversio; cumabula infantilia; descriptio humana; laetis nutritio ; nomen patris non seminantis; presepe ubi positus est, eo quod non fuerat locus; mulla humana preparatio; provectus atatis; crementum corporis ; humana loquela : sed et quod esuriit, sitivit, iter ambulavit, laboravit; sacrificiorum oblatio, circumcisio; baptismum, vox Dei desuper ad baptizatum, quid vel unde fuerit testificatio Spiritus et Dei vox; Johannis prophetia significans passionem per agni appellationem ; diversorum signorum operatio, variæ curationes; imperium Domini, quo mari imperavit, et ventos sedavit, et spiritus iniquos fugavit; teipsum torquens, et de manifestatione virtutis suæ afligens.
IX. Hæc omnia videns, non habes quid facias, nisi tenebrosas vertigines. Et quia virgo peperit, ignoras: sed confundit te Angelorum laudatio, Magorum adoratio, stellæ apparitio. Ignorantiam igitur olim incurristi per contumaciam. Parva tibi videntur cunabula, passiones, circumcisio, lactis nutritio. Indigna tibi hæc Deo esse videntur. Iterumne vidisti hominem quadraginta diebus, et quadraginta noctibus ingustabilem existentem cibo humano, et Angelos ei ministrantes, quos et tu timebas? videns primo, quasi communem hominem, baptizatum, et causam ignorans. Post jejunium vero esurienti

 Ei viòs єî toû ఆeov̂, eimè iva oi $\lambda$ í-
 Ei viòs eî, ả $\gamma v o i ́ \alpha s$ érTív ei $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$



 фоита $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$ S то̀̀s трофйS $\delta \in о \mu e ́-$




















 ठєєктьติvт ; каі $\pi \rho о \sigma \pi о \iota \hat{\eta} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \rho \alpha-$



 $\pi o ́ o ́ \alpha$ नov; K $\alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda o ı \pi \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \rho o \sigma \pi o ı \eta ̂ ~$



 ঠод́когта.

IA'. Eì toívv $\sigma \grave{v} \pi \alpha ́ t \eta \mu \alpha ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 à $\pi е і ́ \rho \alpha \sigma \tau o v, ~ e ́ m ı \lambda \alpha \theta o ́ \mu e v o s ~ т о и ̆ ~ v o-~$ ноАе́тоитаракелечоне́vou" "Oтı oủк




insidiabaris ; et tentabas quasi communem hominem; ignorans quis esset : dicebas enim, si Filius Mat. iv Dei es. Ignorantiæ id est. Nam si vere cognosceres ; scires sine dubio, quia quæ impossibilia videntur ad faciendum, et difficilia ad convertendum, factori omnia possibilia sunt. Et propter ventrem tentas eum qui pascit omnes esca indigentes: et audes tentare Dominum gloriæ, oblitus per tuam malitiam, quia non in Mat. pane solo vivit homo, sed in omni verbo quod procedit ex ore Dei. Si scires quia Filius Dei erat; cognosceres utique, quia in quadraginta diebus, et quadraginta noctibus, inindigens faciens corruptibile corpus, et in continuatione hoc facere poterat. Sed idcirco esuriit, ut ostenderet quia vere suscepit corpus passibile, simile hominibus. Propterea et primo ostendit, quia Deus erat ; et in secundo, quia homo fuit.
X. Tu ergo, qui tanquam ful- Lne. gur de sublimi gloria cecidisti, audes dicere Domino; Mitte te hinc deorsum; cui ea quæ sunt æstimantur quasi quæ non sint; et ad inanem gloriam provocas eum, qui non extollitur? Et fingis te Scripturas de eo legere, dicens: Scriptum est enim, quoniam Mat. Angelis suis mandavit de te, ut in manibus tollant te, ne quando offendas ad lapidem pedem tuum? Et fingis te sequentia ignorare; furans ea quæ de te ac tuis ministris prophetavit, dicens: Super as- Ps. x pidem et basiliscum ambulabis, et conculeabis leonem et draconem?
XI. Si ergo conculcatio es pedum Domini; quomodo tentas intentabilem ; immemor legislatoris, qui dixit: Non tentabis Deut. Dominum Deum tuum? Et audes, impudentissime, opera Dei assumere, et dicere ; quia tibi traditus









 каі̀ фıлархias eis à áéßcial èqué入-
 बтári/s, ó бко入iòs öpıs, ó тоû ఆcoû






 $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \dot{S} \sigma \epsilon$, ò $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ " $\Lambda \beta \epsilon \lambda$ є̀ $\pi \alpha \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{-}$



 $\Delta 0 \hat{\lambda} \lambda$ os $\delta \rho \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \tau \eta s, \delta o u ̂ \lambda o s \mu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \gamma i \alpha s$,


 $\pi \in \sigma \grave{\omega \nu} \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa \nu \nu \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \eta \mathrm{n} \mu \mathrm{\mu}$;

IB'. 'O סè Kúpıos $\mu \alpha к о о \theta u \mu e i ́, ~$ кגì oủk єis tò $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ồ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \rho \in \imath ̂ ~ t o ̀ v ~$






 каì ímò тivos à $\pi$ é $\sigma \tau \alpha \lambda \mu \alpha l$, каì oै̀



est principatus cormm: et easum tuum extendis contra Dominum, et promittis te dare ei quas sunt ipsius, dicerns; Hace ummin tith wal iv. 9 dabo, si cadens in terram adoraveris me? Qumaodonon timuisti talem vocem contra Domimun emittere, tul qui omnium spirituum malignorum malignissimus es; et pro malitia ventre et Giem. iii. It pectore in terra repere jussus es, et per inanem gloriam inhonoratus es ; qui per avaritiam et arrogantian ad impietatem deductus es? Tu incensor, draco, apostata, serpens perplexus, a Deo discedens, a Christo separatus, a Sancto Spiritu alienatus, et a choro Angelorum exulatus; injuriator legis Dei, et legitimorum inimicus; qui super protoplastos insurrexisti, et a mandato Dei eos avertisti, qui nihil te læscrunt ; qui adversus Abel Cain parricidam excitasti ; qui in Job mala exercuisti: tu ergo hujusmodi dicis Domino; Si cadens adoraveris me? O audacia! O puniende serve fugitive, serve flagellande, exterminator bonorum! Domino dominorum, perfecto Deo omnium intelligibilium atque sensibilium dicis; Si cadens adoraveris me?
XII. Dominus autem longanimis est, qui non in præsenti interfecit eum qui per ignorantiam et audaciam talia dicit: sed mansuete respondet, dicens; Vade Satana. Mat. ir. 10. Non dixit: Revertere post me; -xvi. 23. non enim est reversurus aliquando: sed, Vade, inquit, Satana, in ea quæ tibi elegisti; vade in quibus provocatus es a tua malitia. Ego autem scio quis sum, et a quo sum missus; scio quem debeo adorare. Dominum enim, inquit, Mat. ir. 10 . Deum tuum adorabis, et illi soli neut. vi. 13 . servies. Scio enim et novi unum solum, ani non denego servire ;



 тiov, тòv Патép $\alpha$.




 тoîs трєбßutépots, тoîs дıaкóvols.







 $\dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon \cdot \tau \grave{\eta} v \tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \rho \alpha к о \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \grave{\eta}$

 тоиิ $\pi \dot{\alpha} \theta o u s ~ \dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta о \mu \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho о \rho \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau$ тєт $\rho \alpha ́ \delta \alpha$ к $\alpha \grave{~} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \cup \eta ̀ \nu, \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon u ́-$

 $\beta \alpha \tau о \nu ~ \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon ย ́ \epsilon \ell, \pi \lambda \grave{\lambda} \dot{\nu}$ ย́vòs $\sigma \alpha \beta \beta \alpha \alpha^{-}$ тои той Па́б $\chi$ 人, оі̂тоs $\chi$ рıбтокто́vos ėптiv.

I $\Delta^{\prime}$. Aí $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \nu \chi \alpha \grave{\imath} \dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ èk $\kappa \alpha-$




 тò ípòv $\pi \rho \in \sigma \beta$ тéplov, каì тoùs бuvóoúdous $\mu$ ou toùs ס̀aкóvous", ©̂v

 требßutépoıs èv Kupị́ ${ }^{\circ}$ E!t tis



 тто́̉ovs ๕ủтồ.
a quo tu apostata factus es. Non enim sum antitheus, hoc est, contrarius Deo, sed confiteor eminentianı : et non recuso adorare eum, quem novi nativitatis meæ auctorem et dominum, atque meæ perseverantix custodem. Ego Jol. vi. enim, inquit, vivo propter Pa trem.
XIII. Hæc autem, fratres, per dispositionem Dei coactus sum mittere ad vos: monens vos ad gloriam Dei, non quasi extraneus, sed sicut frater. Subjecti estote Episcopo et Presbyteris et Diaconis. Diligite invicem in Domino, sicut Dei simulachra. Videte ergo viri ; diligite uxores vestras sicut propria membra. Mulieres vero, sicut unitatis tactu vestros viros amate. Qui castus est, vel continens, non extollatur ; ne perdat mercedem suam. Dies festos nolite dehonorare. Quadragesimam vero nolite pro nihilo habere: imitationem enim continet Domini conversationis. Hebdomadam etiam passionis nolite despicere. Quarta feria et sexta jejunate: pauperibus reliquias porrigentes. Quicunque Dominicam aut Sabbatum jejunaverit, preter unum Sabbatum Paschæ, ipse est Christi interfector.
XIV. Orationes vestræ protendantur ad Ecclesiam Antiochix: unde et vinctus ducor ad Romam. Saluto sanctum Episcopum Polycarpum. Saluto sanctum Episcopum Vitalem, et sacrosanctum Presbyterium, et conservos meos Diaconos: pro quorum animabus ego efficiar. Adhuc dico Episcopis et Presbyteris in Domino: Quicunque cum Judxis Pascha egerit, aut solennia dierum festorum eorum susceperit; communis est eis, qui Dominum et Apostolos ejus occiderunt.





 $\lambda \alpha$ и́цй тайти́я цои тй үро́яцата Sıà Eúpaviou toû àvaүviootou，¿̀v－ Spòs Deotiци́тои каi титоті́тто，
 дérou iv $\pi$ 入oís．Míprnvoí pou $\tau \hat{\omega} v \delta c \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} v$, ＂̈＇$^{\prime} \tau \epsilon \lambda c t \omega \theta \hat{\omega}$ èv X $\rho \ell-$



 évסurapoúmevol èv т！ी $\chi \alpha ́ \rho i t l ~ т o u ̂ ~$


XV．Sulutant vos Philon et Agathopus diaconi．Saluto con－ gregrationem virginum；legionem viduarum，a quibus et adjutus sum．Saluto populum Domini， a minimo usque ad maximum． Transmisi vobis haee mea seripta per Euphanium lectorem，virum Deo honomahilem et fidelissimum： qui mihi oceurrit in regionem jam navem ascensuro．Memen－ tote vinculorum meorum；ut con－ summer in Christo．Incolumes estote carne，et anima，et spiritu； perfecta sentientes，devitantes operarios iniquitatis et corrup－ tores verbi veritatis，confortati in gratia Domini nostri Jesu Christi．

## EPISTLES

ATTRIBUTED TO

## S T．IG N A TIUS，

Found onty in latin．

## B．IGNATII AD S．JOHANNEM，

 afostolum et evangeitstam，epistola．Johanni Sancto Seniori，Ignatius，et qui cum eo sunt Fratres．
De tua mora dolemus graviter，allocutionibus et consolationibus tuis roborandi．Si tua absentia protendatur ；multos de nostris de－ stituet．Properes igitur venire：quia credimus expedire．Sunt et hic multæ de nostris mulieribus，Mariam Jesu videre cupientes，et quo－ tidie a nobis ad vos discurrere rolentes；ut eam contingant，et ubera ejus tractent，quæ Dominum Jesumi aluerunt，et quædam secretiora ejus percunctentur ipsam．Sed et Salome quam diligis，filia Annæ， Hierosolymis quinque mensibus apud eam commorans，et quidam alii noti referunt eam omnium gratiarum abundam，et omnium virtutum，more virginis，virtutis et gratiæ foecundam．Et，ut dicunt， in persecutionibus et afflictionibus est hilaris；in penuriis et indigen－ tiis non querula：injuriantibus grata，ad molesta lætatur：miseris et afflictis coafflicta condolet，et subrenire non pigrescit．Contra vitiorum autem pestiferos conflictus in pugna fidei disceptans eni－ tescit．Nostræ novæ religionis，et pœnitentiæ est magistra；et apud fideles omnium operum pietatis ministra．Humilibus quidem est de－ rota，et devotis devotius humiliatur：et mirum ab ommibus magnifica－
tur: cum a Scribis et Pharisecis ei detrahatur. Preterea multi multa alia referunt de eadem: tamen omnibus per omnia non audemus fidem concedere, nec tibi referre. Sed, sicut nobis a fide dignis narratur, in Maria matre Jesu, humanæ nature natura sanctitatis angelicex sociatur. Et haec talia excitaverunt viscera nostra: et cogunt valde desiderare adspectum hujus (si fas sit fari) coelestis prodigii, et sacratissimi monstri. Tu autem diligenti modo disponas, cum desiderio nostro, et valeas. Amen.

## AD EUNDEM, ALTERA. <br> Johanni Sancto Seniori, suus lgnatius.

St licitum est mihi apud te, ad Hierosolymæ partes rolo adscendere, et videre fideles Sanctos, qui ibi sunt: procipue Mariam matrem Jesu: quam dicunt universis admirandam, et cunctis desiderabilem. Quem enim non delectet videre eam et alloqui, que verum Deum de se peperit, si nostræ sit fidei et religionis amicus? Similiter et illum venerabilem Jacobum, qui cognominatur Justus: quem referunt Christo Jesu simillimum facie, vita et modo conversationis, ac si ejusdem uteri frater esset gemellus. Quem dicunt si videro, video et ipsum Jesum secundum omnia corporis ejus lineamenta. Præterea cæeteros sanctos, et sanctas. Heu, quid moror? quid detineor? Bone præceptor, properare me jubeas, et valeas. Amen.

## B. IGNATII AD S. MARIAM

VIRGINEM, MATREM DOMINI NOSTRI JESU CHRISTI, EPISTUIA.

## Christiferæ Mariæ, suus Ignatius.

Me neophytum, Johannisque tui discipulum, confortare et consolari debueras. De Jesu enim tuo percepi mira dictu, et stupefactus sum ex auditu. A te autem, quæ semper ei fuisti familiaris et conjuncta, et secretorum ejus conscia, desidero ex animo fieri certior de auditis. Scripsi tibi etiam alias, et rogavi de eisdem. Valeas : et neophyti qui mecum sunt, ex te, et per te, et in te, confortentur. Amen.

# S'T. IGNATIUS, 

AND EXTRACTS FROM THE IGNATIAN EMSTLEAS AS CITKD BY
FAROUS AUTHORS DOWN TO THE TENTH CENTURE.

SECOND CENTURY.
POLXCARPUS.
Epistola ad Pumbprinsis. e.ix. Patres Apustolici. Edit. Jacohson. 8vo. Oxon. 1838. p. 482.





Ibid. c. xiii. p. 488.
Seripsistis mihi et vos et Ignatius, ut si quis radit ad Syriam, deferat literas meas, quas fecero ad vos; si habuerimus tempus opportumum, sive ego, sen legatus, quem misero pro vobis. Epistolas sane Ignatii, qua transmisse sunt vobis ab eo, et alias, quantascmuque apud nos habuimus, transmisimus vobis secundum quod mandastis; quar sunt subjectæ huic Epistole: ex quibus magnus vobis erit profectus. Continent enim fidem, patientiam et omnem redificationem ad Dominum nostrum pertinentem. Et de ipso Ignatio, et de his qui cum eo sunt, quod certius agnoveritis, significate.

## IRENEUS.

Contra Hereses. Lib. V. c. xxviii. Edit. Massuet. fol. Par. 1710. p. 327.
Quemadmodum quidam de nostris dixit, propter martyrium in Deum adjudicatus ad bestias: "Quoniam frumentum sum Christi, et per dentes bestiarum molor, ut mundus panis Dei inveniar."-Epist. Rom. c. iv.

## THEOPHILUS ANTIOCHENUS.

Commentarius in S. Mattheum. Pearson, Vindicio Epistolarum S. Ignatii. 4to. Cantab. 1672. p. 4.

Quum esset responsata mater ejus Maria Joseph. Quare non ex simplici virgine, sed ex desponsata concipitur Christus? Primo, ut per generationem Joseph origo Mariæ monstraretur; secundo, ne lapidaretur a Judæis ut adultera: tertio, ut in Agyptum fugiens haberet solatium viri : quarto, ut partus ejus falleret diabolum, putantem Jesum de uxorata, non de virgine natum.-Epist. Ephes. c. xix.

# THIRD CENTURY． 

## ORIGENES．

Prologus in Canticum Canticorum．Opera．Edit．Car．Delaruc． 4 Voll． fol．Paris．1733－59．Vol．III．p．30．D． Versio Rufini．
Denique memini aliquem Sanctorum dixisse，Ignatium nomine，de Christo：＂Meus autem amor crucifixus est：＂nec reprehendi eum pro hoc dignum judico．－Epist．Rom．c．vii．

> Homilia vi. in Lucam. Ibid. p. 938. A.

E schedis J．E．Grabii．
 vos è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \circ \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$ र＇́ $\gamma \rho \alpha \pi \tau \alpha \iota^{\circ}$ тòv＇I $\gamma$－ vatíov $\lambda e ́ \gamma \omega$ тòv $\mu \in \tau \alpha ̀$ тòv $\mu \alpha к \alpha ́ p ı o v ~$
 ย̇ібкотоv，тòv ย่v $\tau \hat{\omega} \delta \iota \omega \gamma \mu \hat{\omega}$ ध่v ＇P＇́ $\mu \eta$ Inpiós $\mu \alpha \chi \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \in v o v . " \mathrm{~K} \alpha \iota$
 тои $\mathfrak{\eta} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \in v i \alpha$ Mapías．＂

Versio Hieronymi．
Unde eleganter in cujusdam Martyris epistola scriptum reperi： Ignatium dico，Episcopum Antio－ chiæ post Petrum secundum，qui in persecutione Romæ pugnavit ad bestias：＂Principem sæculi hujus latuit virginitas Mariæ．＂－Epist． Ephes．c．xix．

## FOURTH CENTURY．

## E U S E B I U S．

Chronica．J．Scaligeri Thesaurus Temporum．Fol．Amst． 1658.

Прө̂тоя $\Delta$ сшүио́s．p． 206.






 є́т $\hat{\omega} \nu \overline{\rho \kappa}$ ．Mє $\theta^{\prime}$ öv трítos＇I $є \rho о \sigma o-$ $\lambda \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$ є́тібкотоs＇Iov̂бтоs є́к $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota^{-}$ тонйs．То́тє кай＇I $\gamma \nu \alpha ́ т \iota o s ~ \delta є u ́ t є-~$ pos＇Avtıoхєías є̇тíбкотоs бu入入ךф－


 $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \alpha u ̉ t o ̀ v ~ т \eta ̀ \nu ~ ' A \nu t ı o \chi e i ́ a s ~ e ̀ т ı \sigma к о-~$ $\pi \grave{\nu} \nu$＂ $\mathrm{H} \rho \omega \nu$ 。

Versio Hieronymi．
Prima Persecutio．p． 162.
Antiochiæ secundus episcopus ordinatur Ignatius．

Tertia Persecutio．p． 165.
Trajano adversus Christianos persecutionem movente，Simon filius Cleopæ，qui in Ierosolymis Episcopatum tenebat，crucifigitur， cui succedit Justus．Ignatius quo－ que Antiochenæ Ecclesiæ Episco－ pus Romam perductus bestiis tra－ ditur．Post quem tertius consti－ tuitur episcopus Hero．

Hispoma Ecchesrastica. Edit. E. Burton, 8vo. Oxm. 18:38, p. 18.4. Lib. III. c. stil.
 Eủosion тр(ítou катаuт(z) тероs ì toís ùmגoupuévors 'I 子'ártios


 к $\lambda$ yןius кат $\alpha$ toútous тйи $\lambda$ сітоирरín eixev.

Persion liufini.
Sed et apud Antiochiam Eucodius primus fuerat, et secundus Ignatius: Hierosolymis quorpue Symeon secumtus post Jacobum fratrem Domini issdem temporibus gubermabat ecclesiam.

## c. xxxvi. p. 214. Thid.






 є̀ $\gamma \nu \omega$ ріॅєто Патіаs тйs èv 'Iєрато́$\lambda \in \iota$ тароькіаs каі аùtòs єті́бкотоь,

 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ \pi \lambda \epsilon$ íनtols हiनétı vv̂v סıaßón-


 é $\chi € \iota$ тоûтоע ảmò इupías èmi тク̀v
 píwv $\gamma \in v \in ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \beta o p a ̀ \nu ~ T r ̂ s ~ c i s ~ X p t-~$



 $\pi \alpha р о \iota к i \alpha s ~ \tau \alpha i s ~ \delta i \alpha ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v ~ o ́ \mu i \lambda i ́ \alpha t s ~$
 $\pi \rho \dot{\omega} т о$ ıs $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \rho \circ \phi \cup \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$

 $\nu \in \iota, \pi \rho \circ$ ưт $\rho \in \pi \in ́ \quad \tau \in \quad \alpha \pi \rho i \xi{ }^{\prime \prime} \notin \chi \in \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda \omega \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \delta \delta^{\circ} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma, \eta^{\eta} \nu$



 $\hat{\eta} v, \mu i \alpha \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ v \tau \eta ̂ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \eta \nu \nu " E \phi \in \sigma o v$





Quibus temporibus apud Asiam supererat adhuc et florebat ex Apostolorum discipulis Polycarpus, Smyrneorum ecclesiae episcopus ; et Papias similiter apud Hierapolim sacerdotium gerens. Sed et in nostra quorpue tempora famx celebritate vulgatus Ignatius apud Antiochiam post Petrum secunda successione episcopatum sortitus est. Quem sermo tradidit de Syrix partibus ad urbem Romanam transmissum, et pro martyrio Christi ad bestias datum. Quique cum per Asiam sub custodia navigaret, singulas quasque digrediens civitates, Ecclesiæ populos Evangelicis cohortationibus edocebat in fide persistere, et observare se ab hæreticorum contagiis, qui tum primum copiosius cœperant pullulare: et ut diligentius et tenacius Apostolorum traditionibus inhærerent. Quas traditiones cautelæ gratia, et ne quid apud posteros remaneret incerti, etiam scriptas se asserit reliquisse. Denique cum Smyrnam venisset, ubi Polycarpus erat, scribit inde unam epistolam ad Ephesios, eorumque pastorem, in qua meminit et Onesimi: et aliam Magnesiæ civitati, quæ supra Mrandrum jacet, in qua et Epis-

 то́тє övта По入úßıov íбтореí. Шро̀s




 ßpa才úrata eis èmiódstıv têv ciph-


 каì $9 \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s, ~ v \cup к т о ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \eta ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s, ~$

 тои́pevol, хєípous रivovtal. 'Ev dè тоîs $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \kappa \eta \mu \alpha \sigma \iota ~ \alpha u ̀ \tau \omega ิ v ~ \mu a ̀ \lambda \lambda o v ~ \mu \alpha-$












 бтаuро̀s, $2 \eta$ рі' $\omega \nu \tau є \sigma \nu \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon!\varsigma, \sigma \kappa о \rho-$


 $\sigma \alpha v, \mu o ́ v o v$ ǐva 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau о \hat{u}$ è $\pi t-$ $\tau \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$." K $\alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \alpha \bar{v} \tau \alpha \mu$ ѐ̀ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \tau \eta \hat{}$


 vómevos, à àò T Towádos toîs te êv










copi Dammei mentionem facit. Sed et ecclesix que est Trallis scribit, cujus principem tunc esse Polybium designavit. In ea vero quain ad Romanam ecclesiam scribit, deprecatur eos, ne se tanquam suppliciis suis parcentes, velint spe privare martyrii, et his post aliquanta utitur verbis: "A Syria, inquit, Romam usque cum bestiis terra marique depugno, die ac nocte connexus et colligatus decem leopardis, militibus dico, ad custodiam datis, qui ex beneficiis nostris sæviores fiunt. Sed ego nequitiis corum magis ertudior, Nec tamen in hoc justificatus sum. O salutares bestias quæ præparantur milhi. Quando venient? Quando emittentur? quando eis frui licebit carnibus meis? quas et ego opto acriores parari, et invitabo ad devorationem mei, et deprecabor ne forte, ut in nonnullis fecerunt, timeant contingere corpus meum. Quin imo et si contabuntur, ego vim faciam, ego me ingeram. Date, quæso, veniam, ego novi quid expediat mihi. Nunc incipio esse discipulus Christi. Facessat invidia vel humani affectus, vel nequitix spiritalis, ut Jesum Christum merear adipisci. Ignes, cruces, bestix, dispersiones ossium, discerptionesque membrorum, ac totius corporis pœnæ, et omnia in me unum supplicia diaboli arte quæsita cumulentur, dummodo Jesum Christum merear adipisci." Hace et multa alia his similia ad diversas ecclesias scribit. Sed et ad Polycarpum, velut apostolicum virum, datis literis, Antiochenam ei ecclesiam precipue commendat. Ad Smymaeos sane scribens, utitur








 Oîe dè aủtoû rò $\mu \alpha \rho т u ́ p r o r ~ к \alpha i ~ o ́ ~$


 Єè̀v $\mu \alpha \rho т и р і ́ \alpha и ~ к а т \alpha к р и \theta е і ̈ ́ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$ Dıрі́а, ӧтя бітоs сіци $\Theta c o v ̂, ~ к а і ~ \delta i ~$
 Oаро̀s «"ртоs єи́рєөڤ̂." Kаі ó Ho-





 ỏ $\phi \theta \alpha \lambda \mu$ oùs oú $\mu$ óvol èv toîs $\mu \alpha \kappa \alpha-$

 ن́ $\mu \omega \hat{\nu}$, каi Є̀v аút $\hat{\omega} \Pi \alpha u ́ \lambda \omega$ каì тоîs











 $\mu i \sigma!] \quad \gamma \rho \alpha ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. "O $\pi \epsilon \rho$ пон, $\sigma \omega$,

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{v} \mu \omega ิ \nu . T$ Tàs є $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \lambda \alpha{ }_{s}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \gamma \nu \alpha-$

 गो $\mu i ̂ v$, '̇ $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \psi \alpha \mu \epsilon v$ ن́ $\mu i v ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \omega ̀ s ~ e ́ v e-~$
 $\epsilon i \sigma i \tau \hat{\eta}$ Є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta \eta^{\circ} \epsilon \xi \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon-$ $\gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \omega \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda \eta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \delta u v \eta \sigma \in \sigma \theta \epsilon$. $\Pi \epsilon-$

verbis quibosdam, unde assumptis mescimus, quibus hame de Salvatore prolopuitur. "li, post resurvectionem quoxpue in carne emm scio fuisse, et eredo. Nam emm venisset ad Petrum eaterosgue, ait cis: ' Accedite et videte quia non sums diamonium incorporeum.' Qui eteontingentes eum, cerediderunt." Seit iutem et fremeus martyrimm ejus, et mentionem facit seriptorum ejus per hate verba: "Sicut dixit, inquam, quidam ex nostris, pro martyrio Christi dammatus ad bestias, Frumentum, inguit, ego sum Dei: bestiarum dentibus molor et subigor, ut panis mundus efficiar Christo." " Sed et Polycarpus horum memoriam facit in epistola quam ad Philippenses scribit per hee verba: "Deprecor, inquit, ommes vos, obedientie operam dare et meditari patientiam, quam vidistis in Ignatio et Rufo et Zosimo, beatis viris, præcipue autem in Paulo, et cæteris A postolis, qui fuerunt apud vos, scientes quod hi omnes non in vacuum, sed per fidem et justitiam cucurrerunt, usquequo pervenirent ad locum sibi a Domino præparatum : quoniam quidem passionum ejus participes extiterunt, nec dilexerunt præsens seculum, sed eum solum, qui pro ipsis et pro nobis mortuus est, et resurrexit." Et post pauca subjungit: "Scripsistis mihi et vos, et Ignatius, ut si quis vadit ad partes Syriæ deferat literas ad vos. Quod faciam, cum tempus invenero. Mittam vobis et Ignatii epistolas, et alias, si quie sunt, quæ ad nos transmissæ sunt, ex quibus utilitatem maximam caplatis. Continent enim



 тוохєіаs ѐтıбкопѝ " $\mathrm{H} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$.
de fide et patientia instructionem perfectam secundum Domini preceptum." Hactenus de Ignatio. Post hunc rexit ecclesiam civitatis Antiochenæ Heros.
c. xxxvii. p.219. Ibid.

 тотѐ ката̀ тウ̀v $\pi \rho \omega ́ т \eta \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \alpha ̆ \pi о-$


 $\tau \omega \nu$ єіко́тшs є́ $\xi$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha т о$ र $\gamma \rho \alpha ф \hat{\eta}$





 К $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu е \nu \tau \sigma s$ èv $\tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \nu \omega \mu о \lambda о \gamma \eta \mu$ év $\eta$

 ठıєтитஸ́бато.
c. xxxviii. p. 221. Ibid.




 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \grave{\alpha}$ тoîs $\pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \ldots$ îs 中épetal. Oủòè




 ка́рточ.

Sed et alia ejus opuscula non minima a nonnullis haberi perhibentur, velut Petri et Apionis disputatio, quæ in usu a veteribus habita minime reperimus, quia nec pura in eis et incorrupta Apostolicæ fidei regula mansisse deprehenditur.

Lib. V. c. viii. p. 333. Ibid.
Sed et [Irenæus] Apostolici cujusdam viri, cujus nomen reticuit, sermonum quasi memoriter meminit. Justini quoque Martyris et Ignatii memoriam facit, et ea que scripserunt, producit in medium.

Quaspuoner ad Stephanum. Neriptorum Veforum Nota Collection uht Angelo Maio. Vol. I. Ato. Romme, IRDL5, p. 2.





 Epist. Ephes. c. xix.

## ATHANASIUS.

Epreprora de Synomis Armani et Smbucta: Opera. Edit. Benedict. 2 Voll. Paris. 1698. Vol. 1. par. ii. p. 761. A.





 Orròr, 入ójos каi $\sigma$ opia toû Пatpós. Ei piv oû̀ кai mpùs toútous ivav-






## BASILIUS CTESARIENSIS.

Homilia in Sanctam Christi Generationem. Opera. Edit. Benedict.

$$
3 \text { Voll. Paris, } 1721 \text { - } 30 . \quad \text { Vol. II. p. } 598 . \text { C. }
$$


 є̇ $\pi \epsilon \nu \frac{\eta}{\theta} \forall \eta \mu \nu \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ \alpha . \quad$ Epist. Ephes. c. xix.

## HIERONYMUS.

## De viris illustribus, c. xvi. Opera. Edit. Vallarsi. 11 Voll. fol.

 Veron. 1734 42. Vol. II. p. 842.Ignatius, Antiochenæ Ecclesiæ tertius post Petrum Apostolum Episcopus, persecutionem commovente Trajano, damnatus ad bestias, Romam vinctus mittitur. Quumque navigans Smyrnam venisset, ubi Polycarpus, auditor

Versio Sophronii.
 бías трíтоs $\mu \in \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ Пе́троу то̀v à $\pi$ ó-

 $\lambda \epsilon u \sigma \theta \epsilon i s, ~ \epsilon i s ~ ' Р ' ́ \mu \mu \nu ~ \sigma \tau e ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$



Johannis，Episcopus erat ；scripsit unam Epistolam ad Ephesios，al－ teram ad Magnesianos，tertiam ad Trallenses，quartam ad Roma－ nos：et inde egrediens seripsit ad Philadelpheos，et ad Smyrnæos， et proprie ad Polycarpum，com－ mendans illi Antiochensem Ec－ clesiam：in qua et de Evangelio， quod nuper a me translatum est， super persona Christi ponit testi－ monium，dicens：＂Ego vero et post resurrectionem in carne eum vidi，et credo quia sit．Et quando venit ad Petrum，et ad eos qui cum Petro erant，dixit eis：Ecce， palpate me et videte，quia non sum Dæmonium incorporale．Et statim tetigerunt eum，et credi－ derunt．＂Dignum autem videtur， quia tanti viri fecimus mentio－ nem，et de Epistola ejus，quam ad Romanos scribit，pauca ponere： ＂De Syria usque ad Romam pug－ no ad bestias，in mari et in terra， nocte dieque，ligatus cum decem leopardis，hoc est，militibus qui me custodiunt；quibus et cum benefeceris，pejores fiunt．Ini－ quitas autem eorum mea doc－ trina est；sed non idcirco justifi－ catus sum．Utinam fruar bestiis， quæ mihi sunt præparatæ；quas et oro mihi veloces esse ad inte－ ritum，et alliciam eas ad come－ dendum me；ne sicut et alio－ rum martyrum，non audeant cor－ pus meum attingere．Quod si venire noluerint，ego vim faciam， ut devorer．Ignoscite mihi，fi－ lioli ：quid mihi prosit，ego scio． Nunc incipio Christi esse discipu－ lus，nihil de his quæ videntur de－ siderans，ut Jesum Christum in－ veniam．Ignis，crux，bestix， confractio ossium，membrorum－ que divisio，et totius corporis con－ tritio，et tota tormenta Diaboli in me veniant；tantum ut Christo fruar：＂Quumque jam daminatus
 $\pi \rho$ òs＇Eфебious èmıбтo入ウ̀̀ $\mu i \alpha \nu$ ，ä $\lambda$－
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ T \rho \rho \alpha \lambda \lambda ı \alpha ́ v o u s, ~ \tau \in \tau \alpha ́ \rho т т \eta \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~$
 Фı $\lambda \alpha \delta \in \lambda \phi \in \hat{v} \sigma \iota, \kappa \alpha \grave{ } \sum_{\mu \nu \rho v \alpha i o t s, ~ к \alpha \grave{~}}$ iòıк̂s тро̀s По入и́карто⿱，таратt－






 ӧте $\bar{\eta} \lambda \theta \in \nu$ тро̀s Пétроv，каì тоùs





 $\lambda \hat{\eta} s \alpha u ̛ T o u ̂ ~ T \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' ~ ' P \omega \mu \alpha i o u s ~ \gamma \rho \alpha-~$

 $9 a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta$ каı èv $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ ，vuктоs каі

 $\phi \cup \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma v \sigma i ́ \mu e$ ，oïtives，кај̀ $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ єùepreaíav $\chi$ eipoves rivovtal．Aí






 $\lambda \omega \nu \mu \alpha \rho \tau \dot{v} \rho \omega \nu$ ，$\mu \dot{\eta}$ то $\lambda \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega \sigma t$ той





 èmı $\theta \nu \mu \hat{\omega}$ ，ǐva тòv＇I $\eta \sigma o u ̂ \nu$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ v ~$
 $\kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \iota s$ ठ̈ $\sigma \tau \in ́ \omega \nu$ ，каі $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \in \lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \nu \iota \alpha-$ $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \mu \grave{s}$ ，каì $\pi \alpha \nu$ тòs то仑 $\sigma$ б́ $\mu \alpha т о \varsigma$
入ov，cis émè é＇$\lambda \theta \omega \sigma \sigma$ ，ǐva＇I $\eta \sigma o u ̀$

esset ad bestias, arolore pationdi, cum rugientes andiret leones, at ; "Frumentum Christi sum, dentibus bestinrum molar, 141 punis mundus inveniar." Passus est. amo umbecimo Trajani. Reliquiae corporis ejus Antiochia jacent extra portam Daphaticam in cometerio.

## Amensul Helvituma. Hoil. Vol. 11. j. 2255. C.

Numquid non possum tibi totam veterum Scriptorum scriem commovere: Ignatium, Polycarpum, Irameum, Justinum Martyrem, multosque alios apostolicos et eloquentes viros, qui adversus Ebionem et Theodotum, Byzantimm, Valentimum, liae eadem semtientes, plena napientiae volumina conseripserunt?

Commentarius in Mattifetmar. Ibid. Vol. VII.p.12. C.
Martyr Ignatius etiam quartam addidit causam, cur a desponsata conceptus sit: Ut partus, inquiens, ejus celaretur diaboso, dum com pratat, non de virgine, sed de uxore generatum.-Epist. Ephes. c. xix.

## Adversus Pelagianos, Lib. iii. c. i. Ihid. Vol. II. p. 769. 1 .

Igratius, vir Apostolicus et Martyr, scribit andacter: "Elegit Dominus Apostolos, qui super ommes homines peecatores erant." De quorum celeri conversatione Psalmista canit. Multipliente sunt infirmitates eorum, postea acceleraverunt. Quibus testimoniis, si non uteris ad auctoritatem, utere saltem ad antiquitatem, quid omnes Ecclesiastici viri senserint. From the Epistle of Barnabus, c. v. Soe Cotelerius, Patt. Apostt. Edit. Amst. 1724, p. 16.

## JOANNES CHRYSOSTOMUS.

## Homilia in S. Ignatium Martyrum. Opera. Edit. Benedict. 13 Voll. fol. Paris, 1718 - 38. Vol. II. p. 592.






























































































 $\qquad$









































 $\qquad$












 Sทрímp èкєiv








 ßабілєі́av ларє́тє $\mu \pi \epsilon$ V.






























 д̀ $\pi о \pi е ́ \mu \pi с ь$.

Homilia de Legislatore. Ibid. Vol. VI. p. 410. C.


 Epist. Polycurp. c. iv.

## Homilia de Anathemate. Ibid. Vol. I. p. 693. C.










Homilia xı. in Epistolam ad Ephesios. Ibid. Vol. XI. p. 86. C.

 $\theta \alpha \iota$ é $\xi \alpha \lambda \epsilon$ é申etv $\tau \grave{\eta} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau i \alpha v$."

## FIFTH CENTURY.

## THEODORETUS.

Epistona exxixx. Ad Florentium Patricium. Opera. Edit. Sirmond. 4 Voll. fol. Paris. 1642. Vol. III. p. 966. B.




Epistola cxix. As Momelos Comstantinompolitanos. Ibid. p. 1026. D.





 xopór.

## Dialogus i. Immutabilis. Ibid. Vol. IV. p. 33. A.




 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\omega} s$ iк $\pi \alpha \rho \theta$ ćvov, $\beta \in \beta \alpha \pi \tau \iota \sigma \mu \in ́ v o v ~ v i \pi o ̀ ~ ' I \omega \alpha ́ v v o v, ~ " ̈ \alpha ~ \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$











 vov̂vтau." Ibirl.c.iv.



 c. xviii.


 vị̣̂ тоиิ $\alpha \nu \theta$ рístov, каì vị̣̂ тои̂ Єcoû̀." Ihid. с. xx.



 livid. e. vii.






## Diabocits in. Inconvusus. Ibid. p. 8 (6. 13 .











## Dialogus inf. Impatimils. Ibid. p. 154. D.





 Epist. Smyrn. c. vi.

Epistola cli. Edit. Schulze. 5 Voll. 8vo. Halæ,1769-74. Vol. IV. p. 1312.



 eis Xpiotòv ó

## SOCRATES.

Historia Ecclesiastica, Lib. VI. c. viii. Edit. Reading, fol. Cantab. 1720. p. 322.








## JOANNES ANTIOCIENUS EPISCOPUS.

Epistola ad Proclum Constantinopolitanum. Bibliotheca Vett. Patrum. Edit. Gallandi. 13 Voll. fol. Venet. 1765-79. Vol. IX. p. 694.
Etenim apud magnum martyrem Ignatium, qui secundus post Petrum Apostolorum primum, Antiochenae sedis ordinavit Ecclesiam, et apud beatissimum Eustathium, qui sanctorum patrum qui apud Niceam congregati sunt, primus existens fidem orthodoxam confirmavit, et apud sanctissimum et beatissimum Athanasium, qui millia millium certamina pro evangelicis dogmatibus passus est; ad hæc autem apud Basilium, et utrumque Gregorium, qui ejusdem sententix fuerunt; et apud beatissimum Flavianum, Diodorum et Joannem, qui clara lumina orientis fuerunt totius: rursum autem et apud beatissimum Ambrosium, qui omnes partes Hesperias illustravit, et apud beatissimum Amphilochium, probatissimum doctorem: nec non apud beatum Atticum tuum patrem, et apud probatissimos alios decem millia, ne singulos percurramus, consona decerptis his capitulis invenimus.

## GELASIUS.

Adversus Eutychianos et Nestorianos. Bibliotheca Patrum. Edit. De la Bigne. 15 Voll. fol. Colon. 1618-22. Vol.V. Par. iii. p. 67 I. Testimonia veterum de duobus naturis in Christo.
Ignatii Episcopi et Martyris Antiocheni, ex Epistola ad Ephesios: "Unus Medicus est, carnalis et spiritualis, factus et non factus, in homine Deus, in morte vita æterna, ex Maria, et ex Deo, primum passibilis et tunc impassibilis, Dominus noster Jesus Christus." Et post pauca: "Singuli, inquit, viri communiter omnes, ex gratia, ex nomine convenite in unam fidem et in uno Jesu Christo, secundum carnem ex genere David, filio hominis, et filio Dei. Epist. Ephes. c. vii. xx.

## PSEUDO-DIONYSIUS AREOPAGITA.

[^68]
## AIXTII CENTURY.

## EPHRAMIUS PATRIARCHA 'THEOPOLITANUS.

Eipistola ad Zenobum S'ebobastheum. I'holii Bibliolhece, Corl. eexaviii. Bidit. Andr. Sichotti. Fol. Rothom. 18isis. 1. 778.




 tig ercócus.

De Sacris Antiochita legebus. Hid. Cod.cexxix. p. 870.


 civaı ёvбаркои Єсо́v.

## JOBIUS MONACHUS.

De CEconomia, sive Verbo Incarnato. Lib. VII, c. xxxi. Ibid. Cod. cexxii. p. 622.

 бтаúpwбเv." Epist.Ephes.c.xix.

## EVAGRIUS.

Historia Ecclestastica, Lib. I. c. xvi. Edit. G. Reading. fol.
Cantab. 1720. p. 270.
 èv 'Avtıoхєíq катєтéӨ $\eta$.











 раутоя.

## GILDAS SAPIENS.

Increpatio in Clerum, c. viii. Bibliotheca Vett. Patrum. Edit. Gallandi. Vol. XII. p. 211.
Quis vestrum, ut sanctus Martyr Ignatius, Antiochia urbis Episcopus, post admirabiles in Christo actus, ob testimonium ejus, leonum molis Roma confractus est? Cujus rerba, quam ad passionem duceretur, audientes, si aliquando vultus vestri rubore sulfusi essent, non solum in comparatione ejus vos non putabitis sacerdotes, sed ne medioeres quidem Christianos esse. Ait enim in epistola, quam ad Romanam Ecclesian misit: "A Syria usque Romam cum bestiis terra marique depugno, die ac nocte connexus et colligatus decem leopardis, militibus dico ad custodiam datis, qui ex beneficiis nostris seviores funt. Sed ego corum nequitiis magis erudior: nee tamen in hoc justificatus sum. O salutares bestias quæ preparantur mihi? Quando venient? Quando emittentur? Quando eis frui licebit carnibus meis? Quas ego exopto acriores parari, et invitabo ad devorationem mei, et deprecabor, ne forte ut nomullis fecerunt, timeant attingere corpus meum: quin immo, si cunctabuntur ego vim faciam, ego me ingeram. Date, queso, veniam : ego novi quid expediat mihi. Nunc incipio esse Christi discipulus. Facessat invidia vel humani affectus, vel nequitix spiritualis, ut Jesum Christum adipisci merear. Ignes, cruces, bestix, dispersiones cssium, discerptionesque membrorum, ac totius corporis pœnæ, et omnia in me unum supplicia diaboli arte quæsita compleantur, dummodo Jesum Christum merear adipisci." Quid ad hee dormitantibus animæ eculis aspicitis? Quid talia surdis sensuum auribus auseultatis? Discutite, quaso, tenebrosam atramque cordis vestri caliginem temporis, ut veritatis et humilitatis prafulgidum lumen videre possitis. Christianus non mediocris sed perfectus, sacerdos non vilis sed summus, martyr non segnis sed precipuus dicit: "Nunc incipio esse Christi discipulus." Epist. Rom. c. v.

## STEPHANUS GOBARUS. <br> Photii Bibliotheca. Cod. cexxxii. p. 901.



 Epist. Trall. c. xi.

## ANASTASIUS SINAITA.

Vis Dux, c.xii. J. Gretscri Opera. 17 Vol. fol. Ratisbonæ. 1734-41. Vol. XIV. Par. ii. p. 97.



## ANASTASIUS PATRIARCHA ANTIOCIIENUS.

Derectis veritatis Dogmatibus. Peaison, Vindicic. Par.i.c.ii. p. 16.
 aî̄vos roútou." Epist. Ephes. c. xix.

## GREGORIUS MAGNUS

Epistola ad Anastasma Episeopum Antbocuenum. Opera. Edit. Benedis: A Voll. fol. Puris. 170\%. Vol. 11. p. 76.5 .
"Amen, Gratia." Quae videlicet verbat de seriptis vestris aceepta, ideireo in meis Fipistolis pono, ut de Suncto Imatio vestra Beatitudo cognoseat: quia non solum vester est, sed etiam noster. Sient enim magistrum cjus Apostolorum Principem habemus communem, ita quoque ejusicm Principis discipulum multus mostrim hateat privatum. Epist. Ejples. c. xxi.

## SEVENTII CENTURY.

## LEONTIUS BYZ

De Sectis. Actio iii. c. i. Bibliotheca Vett. Patrum. Gallandi. Vol. XII. p. 633.





 ס́ $\chi$ оутая.

## ANTIOCHUS MONACHUS.

Homiles: Magna Bibliotheca Vett. Patrum. 17 Voll. fol. Paris. 1644. Vol. XII.
Ном. т. p. 14.






 Ephes. c. ix. Magnes. i.

$$
\text { Ibid. p. } 17 .
$$




 aî̄vos." Epist. Ephes. c. xvii.

Ном. ххх. p. 49.



## Ном. xxif. 1. 50.






 è $\gamma \gamma$ ùs aủtoû cioıv." Epist. Ephes. c. xv.

Hom. lvii. p. 104.



 $\sigma \tau 0 \hat{v} \epsilon i v \alpha \iota, \delta i \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$, ỏ $\phi \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha$." Epist. Ephes. c. xiv.

Hom. Lxxx. p. 140.







 $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha$ ن̃ா $\alpha ́ \rho \chi$ оутєs." Epist. Polycarp. c. i. Epist. Magnes. c. vii.

## Ном. lexxv. p. 151.








 Polycarp. c. iii.

Ном. хсіг. p. 162.












## 110м. ('X1. 1. 1! 17.



















 Polycarp. c. ii.

## Ном. схли. р. 199.



 каı тò áкépaıov тท̂s $\pi \in p ı \tau \epsilon \rho a \hat{s}$." Epist. Ephes. c. viii. Polycarp. c. ii.

Hom. cxxvi. p. 219.







 Ò $\gamma \dot{1} \rho$ öтои ßoú












 Pliladel.c. vii. Smyrn. c. viii. ix. Trall. c. ii. iii.

## CHRONICON PASCHALE.

## Edit. Car. Du Fresne. fol. Paris. 1688. p. 221.























## THEODORUS PRESBYTER.

De Scriptis Dionysif Areopagite. Photii Bibliotheca. Cod. i. p. 3.








## MAXIMUS.

Scholia in Dionysiumi Areopagitam. S. Dionysii Areopagito Opera. Edit. Pet. Lanssel. fol. Paris. 1615. p. 144.



















 rátios.
Locr Communes. Opera. Eilit. Combefis. 2 Voll. fol. Paris. 1675. Sermo if. Vol. II. p, 534.
'I $\begin{aligned} & \text { varióou. }\end{aligned}$
 étorнos eis тò тарé $\chi$ ен." Epist. Smyrru. c. xi.

Serno xlini. Ibid. p. 638.
Tồ à yíou 'I $\gamma v a t i o u$.

 Trall. e. iv.

## ANDREAS CRETENSIS.

Homilia if. in Nativitatem Beate Virginis. Pearson, Vindicio Epistolarum S. Ignatii, Par. i. c. ii.





## EIGHTH CENTURY.

## JOANNES DAMASCENUS.

Sacra Parallela. Opera. Edit. Mich. Lequien. 2 Voll. fol. Paris. 1712. E Parallelis Vaticanis.
Litera a. Tit.ix. p. 314. E.

 Smyrn. c. xi.

Ibid. Tit. xviii. p. 354. C. .

 Ephes. c. xiii.

Ibid．Tit．xxi．p．358．D．
＇i $\gamma$ ratiou．
 Antioch．c．xi．



$$
\text { Littera } \epsilon \text {. Tit. xvii. p. 514. D. }
$$

## ＇I $\gamma$ vaтíov．









 Epist．Smyrn．c．viii．ix．

Тои̂ $\alpha u ̉ т о \hat{u}, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ П о \lambda u ́ к \alpha \rho т о \nu ~ \Sigma \mu u ́ \rho \nu \eta s . ~$




## Toû aủтoû．

＂П⿰́口儿т $\omega v$ тàs vó




 Ibid．c．ii．

> Ibid. Tit. xxviii. p. ธ222. C.
> Tốáiov I Iqvatiou.



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Littera } \pi \text {. Tit. x. p. 642. C. } \\
& \text { 'I } \gamma v a c i o u .
\end{aligned}
$$




Ibid．Tit．xiii．p．650．B．
＇I $\gamma \nu \alpha$ тíou．
 ঠı́ß૦入os．＂Epist．Trall．c．iv．

Littera $\sigma$ ．Tit．xi．p．687．A．
＇I $\gamma^{\prime}$ ćtiou．
 тஷ̀ баркıка́．＂Epist．Ephes．c．vili．

'I 子uation".

 fipist. I'rull. e. viii.

$$
\text { Lertena x. 'Iil, iv. p. } 724 . \mathrm{E} \text {. }
$$

 Eipist. Polycurp. e. vii.

## É Parallelis Rinuef furaldinis.

Lateraa u. Til. ii. p. 747. C.
'Toû áriou 'I रratiou.



$$
\text { Tmı. Tit. xviii. p. } 750 \text {. D. }
$$

Toû á रiou 'I



## Ibin. Tit. Ixxvi. p. $772 . \mathrm{C}$.





















 $\sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$ oûv тoùs toloútous." Epist. Trall. c. vi.

$$
\text { 'Ек } \tau \hat{\eta} S \alpha \cup ๋ \tau \eta ิ s .
$$


 то仑̂ $\pi \nu$ єúpatos." Ibid. c. xi.




Littera $\gamma$ Tit. xvii. p. 777. B.
Toû á $\gamma i o u$ 'I $\gamma v a \tau i ́ o u ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \Theta \epsilon o ф o ́ \rho o v . ~$
"Móvous «̈vo̊pas toùs ónoそ̌úyous cival vour $\dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \gamma^{\nu} \omega \mu \eta \nu \Theta \epsilon \circ$ थ." Epist. Antioch. c.ix.

Littera $\delta$. Tit. xxxio p. 778. B.
'I $\gamma$ vatiou toû ఆeoфópou, $\pi$ foòs 'Eфєбíous.



Ibid. Tit. xxxiv. p. 778. C.

 $\Theta \epsilon o u ̂ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \epsilon i ̂ o v ~ \delta o u \lambda \epsilon v e ́ t \omega \sigma \alpha v$, ǐva крєítтovos è $\lambda \epsilon u \theta \epsilon \rho i ́ a s ~ i ́ \pi o ̀ ~ \Theta e o u ̂ ~$ тí $\chi \omega \sigma \iota v . "$ Epist. Polycarp. c.iv.

## Littera e. Tit. xlviii. p. 779. B.












 $\pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \omega v, \tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \iota \alpha \beta o ́ \lambda \omega \lambda \alpha \tau \rho \epsilon$ v́єı." Epist. Smyrn. c. viii. ix.

## 'Ек тท̂S поо̀s По入и́к $\alpha \rho \pi о \nu$.





## Про̀s 'Eфєбíous.




 Kúpıov $\delta є i ̂ \pi \rho о \sigma \beta \lambda \in ́ \pi \epsilon เ v . " ~ E p i s t . ~ E p h e s . c . v . ~ v i . ~$

## Toû aủtoû тןòs Maүuŋбíous.







 สeva0poi'cicoat." Lipist. Magnes. c. iii.






## Toû aủтoû.


 Trall. c.ii.

> Tô̂ גủтоû.

 Philad. c. ii.

## Tov̂ גủtov̂.




 Ibid. c. vii.

$$
\text { Littera } \pi \text {. Tit. xxv. p. } 785 . \text { B. }
$$

 еітьбто入ijs.






 $\mu \in \iota ~ \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega s$, éáv $\tau \iota s ~ \epsilon \dot{u} \rho \in \theta \hat{\eta}$ eis $\tau$ é $\lambda o s$." Epist. Ephes. c. xiv.

## Toû aủтoû.

 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \Theta \epsilon o ́ v, " ~ I b i d . ~ c . ~ i x . ~$
'Ек тท̂S $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ M \alpha \gamma \nu \eta \sigma i o u s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o u ̂ ~ e ̀ m ı \sigma \tau o \lambda \eta ̂ S . ~$



Littera v. Tif.xvii. p. 788. B.




＇Ек $\tau \hat{\eta} s \alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \hat{\eta} s$.
 та⿱亠тós．＂Ibid．c．vi．

$$
\text { Littera } \chi \text {. Tit. xxi. p. 789. B. }
$$


 Magnes．c．iv．

## ANTONIUS MELISSA．

Loci Communes．Edit．Con．Gesner．fol．Tiguri． 1546.
Lib．i．c．xiv．p． 15.

> 'I









$$
\text { Lib. ii. c. iii. p. } 82 .
$$

## 




 тòv Kúpıov סєî $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \beta \lambda \epsilon$ є́тєєv．＂Epist．Ephes．c．v．vi．

Ibid．c．xix．p． 96.

## ＇I $\gamma v \alpha$ тiou Єeофо́рои．








 бı入éc．＂Epistle of Maria Cassob．c．ii．iii．

> Lib. ii. c. xxiii. p. 98.
> 'I $9 v \alpha \tau i o v$ Өєофópov.

 Epist．Polycarp．c．iv．

> Hidl. c. xliii, p. 114. 'I $\gamma$ roxtiou.




Hhid. e Ixvii. p. 131 L.
'I ${ }^{\prime}$ 位iou.
 Ephes. c. xiii.

> Thid. c. $1 \times x \times i v . p .147$.
> 'O äzros'I 14 úátios.
 ßo入os." Epist. Trull. c. iv.

Thid. c. Ixxxix. p. 152.
'I Invatiou.



 тavtós. lbid. c. vi.

## BEDA PRESBYTER.

De Sex Etatibus Mundi. Opera. 8 Voll.fol. Colon. 1688. Vol. 11. p. 111.
Trajano adrersum Christianos persecutionem movente, Simeon qui et Simon filius Cleophæ, Hicrosolymorum Episcopus, crucifigitur : et Ignatius, Antiochiae Episcopus, Romam perductus bestiis traditur.

$$
\text { Martyrologium. Vol. III. p. } 283 .
$$

D. Calend. Febr.

Apud Antiochiam passio S. Ignatii Episcopi et martyris.
Ibid. p. 351.

> F. viii. Calend. Decemb.

Natale sancti Ignatii Episcopi et Martyris ; qui tertius post Petrum Apostolum Antiochenam rexit ecclesiam, persecutione Trajani damnatus ad bestias Romam vinctus mittitur: ubi presente Trajano, circumsedente senatu, pilis plumbeis scapulie ejus primum contusæ, deinde ungulis latera ejus dilaniata, et lapidibus asperis confricata: post expansix manus ejus et igni replete, papyro oleo infuso et incenso latera ejus adusta post super carbones pavimento aspersos, ubi sanctr plantre ejus steterunt. Post lectum flammantem, post dorsum cjus ungulis discissum et dilaceratum, post acetum et salem, quibus plagre ejus infuse sunt, post vinculis ferreis beata illius astricta, et pedes in ima carceris in ligno conclusos, ubi tribus diebus ac noctibus panem non comedens, et aquam non bibens mansit: sedente pro tribunali Trajano in amphitheatro, concurrente omni turba Romanorum, ligatus duobus objicitur leonibus. Cumque jam projectus bestias
rugientes audiret, ardore patiendi, ait: Viri Romani qui hoc certamen spectatis, non sine causa laboravi, quia non propter pravitatem hoc patior, sed propter pictatem. "Frumentum Christi sum, dentibus bestiarum molar, ut panis Dei mundus inveniar." Hæc illo dicente, accurrerunt ad eum leones, et ex utraque parte super eum incidentes, prefocaverunt eum tantummodo, et non tetigerunt carnes ejus.

$$
\text { Ibid. p. } 358 .
$$

A. xvi. Calend. Jan.

Translatio sancti Ignatii Martyris, qui tertius post Petrum Apostolum Antiochenam rexit ecclesiam. Apud urbem Romam passus est: Sed Antiochir postmodum sepultus.

Commentarius in Apocalypsif. Vol. V. p. 803.
Nam et beatus Ignatius fertur dixisse passurus: "Frumentum Dei sum, bestiarum dentibus molar, ut panis mundus efficiar."

## NINTH CENTURY.

## THEODORUS STUDITES.

Catechesis cxxvii. Bibliotheca Patrum. Paris. Vol. II. p. 727. F.


 Smyrn. c.iv.

Epistola ad Theophilum Ephesi. Sirmondi Opera. 5 Voll. fol. Paris. 1696. Vol. V. p. 627. E.


 Epist. Philadelph. c. iii.

In Iambis. Ibid. p. 766.






## MICHAEL SYNGELUS.

Encomium Dionysir Areopagite. Opera Dionysii. Edit. Balt. Corderii. 2 Voll. fol. Antverp. 1634. Vol. II. p. 233.




 रрафеv.

## NICEPHORUS PATRIARCHA.

Curonograpma. J.J. Scaligeri Thesaurus Temporrum. Fol. Amstelorl. 10inc. p. 312.


3. Пcpiooos 'I $\omega$ ánvou $\sigma \pi i \chi \chi \omega$, $\gamma \chi$.
$\gamma$. Пєрíoסos $\Theta \omega \mu \mu \alpha \sigma \tau i \chi \omega^{\prime \prime}, \alpha \chi$.





## ANASTASIUS BIBLIOTHECARIUS.

> Cimonographia Tupartita. Paris. 1649. p. 190.
> Et quæ Novi sunt Apocrifa.
> Itinerarium Pauli, versus 3600 .
> Itinerarium Petri, versus 2800 .
> Itinerarium Joannis, versus 2500 .
> Itinerarium Thomæ, versus 1600 .
> Evangelium secundum Thomam, versus 1300 .
> Doctrina Apostolorum, versus 200. Clementis Ignatii, et Polycarpi. versus 2600.

## FRECULPHUS EPISCOPUS LEXOVIENSIS.

Chronica. Magna Bibl. Vett. Patrum. 16 Voll. fol. Colon. 1618. Vol.IX. Par. i. p. 509.
Qua tempestate Ignatius Antiochenæ ecclesiæ tertius post Petrum Episcopus; persecutionem commovente Trajano, damnatus ad bestias Romam vinctus mittitur. Cumque navigans Smyrnam venisset, ubi Polycarpus auditor Johamnis Apostoli erat, scripsit unam epistolam ad Ephesios, alteram ad Magnesianos, tertiam ad Trallenses, quartam ad Romanos. Et inde egrediens scripsit ad Philadelphinos, et ad Phinerneos (Smyrneos) et proprie ad Polycarpum: commendans illi Antiochensem ecclesiam, in qua et de evangelio quod ab Hieronymo translatum est, sub persona Christi ponit testimonium, dicens: "Ego vero et post resurrectionem in carne eum fuisse scio et credo : quia scio, et quando venit ad Petrum, et ad eos qui cum Petro erant, dicens eis: Ecce palpate me et videte, quia non sum dæmonium incorporale. Et statim tetigerunt eum et crediderunt.

Dignum autem mihi videtur, quia tanti viri fecimus mentionem, de epistola ejus quam ad Romranos scripsit, pauca ponere. "De Syria
usque Romam pugnavi ad bestias in mari et in terra, nocte et die: ligatus cum decem leopardis, hoc est militibus, qui me custodiunt. Quibus et cum benefeceris, pejores fiunt. Iniquitas autem eorum mea doctrina est: sed non idcirco justificatus sum. Utinam fruar bestiis qua mihi preparate sunt. Quas et oro veloces mihi esse ad interitum, et illiciam ad comedendum me: ne sicut aliorum martyrum non audeant corpus meum attingere. Quod si venire noluerint, ego vim faciam, ego me ingeram ut devorer. Ignoscite mili filioli, quid mihi prosit ego scio: quia nunc incipio esse discipulus Christi, nihil de his que videntur desiderans, ut Christum Jesum inveniam. Ignis, crux, bestix, confractio ossium membrorumque divisio, et totius corporis contritio, et tormenta diaboli in me veniant, tamen ut Christo fruar." Cumque jam damnatus esset ad bestias, et ardore patiendi rugientes audiret leones, "Frumentum, inquit, Christi sum, dentibus bestiarum molar, ut panis mundus inveniar." Passus est anno undecimo Trajani. Reliquix corporis Antiochix jacent extra portam Daphiticam in cimeterio. Epist. Rom. c.v. vi.

## JOANNES MALALA.

Histora Chronica. Edit. Hum. Hodii. 8vo. Oxom. 1691. Par. i. p. 360.


 aùtóv.

## PHOTIUS PATRIARCHA CONSTANTINOPOLITANUS.

Bibliotheca. Edit. Andr. Schotti. fol. Rothom. 1653. Cod. cxxvi. p 306.






## MARTYRDOM OF ST. IGNATIUS.

##  <br> 'I 1ruтion той - copópov.






 $\lambda_{1 s} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \dot{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} v, \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath}$



 $\tau \hat{\omega} \pi \nu \in \cup \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega}, \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \eta े \nu ~ \zeta ॅ \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \nu ~ \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$







 $\lambda$ лías тồ $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau о \hat{u} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \in \omega s$. 'Eve-






 $\tau \dot{\gamma} \gamma \chi \alpha \nu \in \nu \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \in \cup ̉ \chi \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$.





 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathbf{X \rho \iota \sigma \tau ı \alpha \nu \omega ิ \nu} 9 \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon \beta$ ès $\sigma u ́ \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha$,


 $\lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau 0 \varsigma$, ó фо́ßos $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ s тoùs

## Martyrium saneti 1 iepromartyris Ignatii Theophori.

I. Nuper recipiente principatum Romanortum Trajano, $\Lambda_{\text {pos- }}$ toli et Evangelista Joamis discipulus Ignatius, vir in omnibus Apostolicus, gubernabat Eeclesiam Antiochenorum. Qui quondam procellas vix mitigans multarum sub Domitiano persecutionum, quemadmodum gubernator bonus, gubernaculo orationis et jejunii, continuitate doctrinæ, robore spirituali, fluctuationi adversuntis se opposuit potentix: timens, ne aliquem corum qui pusillanimes et magis simplices prosterneret. Igitur lxtabatur quidem de Ecclesiæ inconcussione, quiescente ad paucum persecutione: dubitavit autem secundum seipsum, quod nondum vere in Christum charitatem attigerat, neque perfectum discipuli ordinem. Cogitavit enim eam quæ per martyrium confessionem plus ipsum adducere ad familiaritatem Domini. Unde annis paucis adhuc permanens Ecclesiæ, et ad lucernæ modum divinæ, cujusque illuminans cor per Scripturarum enarrationem, sortitus est iis, quæ secundum votum.
II. Trajano enim, post quartum annum imperii sui, elato de victoria illa quæ adversus Scythas et Thraces et alteras multas et diversas gentes; et existimante adhuc deficere ipsi ad omnem subjectionem Christianorum Deum venerantem congregationem, nisi dæmoniacam cogeret culturam cum omnibus subintrare gentibus: persecutionem comminans, omnes


 ó $\gamma \epsilon \nu v a i ̂ o s ~ \tau o ̂ ̂ ~ X ~ \rho ı \sigma \tau o u ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \rho \alpha т i \omega ́ т \eta s, ~$

 $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \nu \nu$ 'Avтió $€ \epsilon \Omega \nu, \sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \alpha$



 ن́тєрßаívєเv, $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ тò каi є̇тє́pous
 'I I $\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \alpha \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha к о \delta \alpha i ́ \mu о \nu \alpha \quad \dot{\alpha} \phi \in \sigma \tau \eta$ -


 тойs $\delta$ аípovas $\alpha \pi о к а \lambda \epsilon i s, ~ \sigma u v о \mu о-~$
 viov $\mathrm{B} \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \alpha, \tau \alpha \dot{s}$ тои́т $\omega \nu$ к кт $\alpha \lambda u ́ \omega$


 voıs. Tpaïavòs єîmev. ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \mu \epsilon$ is , oûv
 Єeoùs, ois каi хр⿳㇒ $\mu \in \theta \alpha \sigma ч \mu \mu \alpha ́ \chi о$ о $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ t o u ̀ s ~ \pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu i o u s ; ~ ' I ~ \gamma \nu \alpha ́ \alpha т ו o s ~ \epsilon i ̂-~$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \in \cup ́ \epsilon \iota s, \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \omega ́ \mu \epsilon v \circ s$. EîS


 єis Xpıбтòs 'I $\eta \sigma 0$ र̂s, ó viòs тoû ఆ $\epsilon 0 \hat{\imath}$ ó $\mu$ ovo $\begin{aligned} & \text { evク̀s, oî Tท̂s } \beta \alpha \sigma t \lambda \epsilon i ́ a s ~ o ̉ v a i ́-~\end{aligned}$ $\mu \eta \nu$. Tpaïavòs єīmev. Tòv $\sigma \tau \alpha v \rho \omega$ -





 èv карঠía форои́vт $\omega$. Tраїavòs


 тоіे, каі̀ є̀ $\mu \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \pi \alpha \tau \eta ́ \sigma \omega$. Tраїаvòs



ipsos Dei cultores existentes vel sacrificare vel mori cogebat. Tunc igitur timens pro Antiochenorum Ecclesia virilis Christi miles, voluntarie ductus est ad Trajanum ; agentem quidem secundum illud tempus apud Antiochiam, festinantem autem ad Armeniam et Parthos. Ut autem coram facie stetit Imperatoris Trajani, Trajanus dixit: Quis est cacodæmon, nostras festinans preceptiones transcendere ; cum et alteros persuadere, ut perdantur male? Ignatius dixit: Nullus Theophorum vocat cacodæmonem : recesserunt enim longe a servis Dei dæmonia. Si autem quoniam iis gravis sum, malum me adversus dæmones vocas; confiteor. Christum enim habens supercœlestem Regem, dissolvo horum insidias. Trajanus dixit: Et quis est Theophorus? Ignatius respondit: Qui Christum habet in pectore. Trajanus dixit: Nos igitur tibi videmur non habere secundum intellectum Deos, quibus utimur compugnatoribus adversus adversarios? Ignatius dixit: Dæmonia gentium Deos appellas errans. Unus enim est Deus, qui fecit colum et terram et mare et omnia quæ in ipsis: et unus Christus Jesus, filius ipsius unigenitus, cujus amicitia fruar. Trajanus dixit: Crucifixum dicis sub Pontio Pilato? Ignatius dixit: Crucifigentem peccatum, cum ipsius inventore; et omnem condemnantem dæmoniacam malitiam sub pedibus eorum qui ipsum in corde ferunt. Trajanus dixit: Tu igitur in teipso Christum circumfers? Ignatius dixit: Etiam. Scriptum est enim: Inhabitabo in ipsis, et inambulabo. Trajanus sententiavit: Ignatium præcipimus, in seipso dicentem circumferre Crucifixum, vinctum a



 ітккойтая тїs ілторектешя，нитй
 $\sigma \pi о \tau \alpha$ ，öтı $\mu \mathrm{e}$ тe入cíç $\tau \hat{j}$ т тò̀s $\sigma \epsilon$ बं $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta \quad \tau \iota \mu \eta \jmath \sigma \alpha \iota \quad к \alpha т \eta \xi i(\omega \sigma \alpha \varsigma, \tau \hat{\omega}$











$\Gamma^{\prime}$ ．Мєт $\alpha$ то $\lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}_{S}$ тоívv $\pi \rho \circ \theta u-$
 Oous，катe入б⿳亠口冋口 àmò Avtio $\chi$ eías eis




 $\Sigma \mu \nu \rho v \alpha i \omega \prime$ ёпíккотор tòv $\sigma u v \alpha-$



 $\chi \alpha \rho ı \sigma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ，каı тoîs $\delta \epsilon \sigma \mu o i ̂ s ~ e ̀ \gamma-$











 тои̂ Xpıттoû．
 каі̀ ойтшs ঠ̀єцарти́рато тобойтоv
 $\pi \eta v$ ，ès oủpavoû $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda \in \iota \nu$ è $\pi \iota \lambda \alpha \mu$－
militibus duci in magnam Ro－ mam；cibum bestiarum，in spec－ taculum plebis，futurum．Hane audiens sanctus martyr senten－ tiam，cum gaudio exclamavit： Gratias ago tibi，Domine，quia me perfecta ad te：charitate homorare dignatus es，eum Apostolo tuo Paulo vinculis collocari ferreis． Hace dicens，et cum gaudio cir－ cumponens vincula，oransque pri－ us pro Eecelesia，et hanc cum lachrymis commendans Domino； velut aries insignis boni gregis dux，a bestiali militari duritia raptus est，bestiis cruda voranti－ bus ad Romam ad cibum addu－ cendus．

III．Cum multa igitur promp－ titudine et gaudio，ex desiderio passionis，descendens ab Antio－ chia in Seleuciam，illine habebat navigationem：et applicans post multum laborem Smyinæorum civitati，cum multo gaudio de－ scendens de navi festinabat sanc－ tum Polycarpum Episcopum co－ auditorem videre：fuerant enim quondam discipuli Joannis．Apud quem adductus，et spiritualibus cum ipso communicans charisma－ tibus，et vinculis glorians，depre－ cabatur concertare ipsius propo－ sito，maxime quidem communiter omnem Ecclesiam：（honorabant enim Sanctum per Episcopos， Presbyteros et Diaconos，Asiæ civitates et Ecclesiæ；omnibus festinantibus ad ipsum，si quo ali－ quam partem charismatis acci－ piant spiritualis：）præcipue autem sanctum Polycarpum；ut velocius， per bestias disparens mundo fac－ tus，appareat faciei Christi．

IV．Et hoe sic dixit，sic tes－ tificatus est：tamen extendens eam，quæ circa Christum，chari－ tatem，ut coelum quidem appre－
 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma v \nu \in \nu \chi \circ \mu \epsilon ́ v \omega \nu$ vimèp $\tau \hat{\eta} S$


 $\mu \in ́ v \omega v^{\prime}, \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є $\chi \chi \alpha \rho \iota \sigma \tau \omega ิ \nu$ èк－ $\pi \epsilon \mu \phi \theta^{\prime} v \tau \omega v$ ，$\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau \alpha ̀ s, ~ \pi v \epsilon v \mu \alpha-$ тוкìv $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \cup \mathfrak{\chi} \hat{\eta} S$ каі $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega S$





 pas tô̂ $\mu \alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho i o v, ~ o i ̂ \alpha ~ \pi \rho o े s ~ т \eta े \nu ~$
 ข̇тоте́т $\alpha к т \alpha$ ．
hendere per bonam confessionem et per coorantium pro certamine studium，reddi autem mercedem Ecclesiis obviantibus Christi，per præcedentes literas gratias agens， appositas ad ipsas；spiritualem cum orationibus et admonitioni－ bus amplexantes gratiam．Igi－ tur omnes videns amicabiliter dispositos ad ipsum，timens ne forte fraternitatis dilectio ad Do－ minum ipsius festinationem ab－ scindat，bona aperta ipsi porta martyrii ；talia ad Ecclesiam mit－ tit Romanorum，ut subordinata sunt．
［The Epistle to the Romans is inserted here．］
$\mathrm{E}^{\prime} . \mathrm{K} \alpha \tau \alpha \rho т i \sigma \alpha$ т тоivuv，ès $\dot{\beta} \beta$ ои́－ $\lambda \epsilon \tau о$ ，тоѝs $\grave{\epsilon} \nu$＇ $\mathrm{P} \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$


 o X $\rho ı \sigma т о$ фо́pos $\phi \theta \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha l$ тàs фıлотl－






 каі тウ̀v グ $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu$ тウ̀v $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ ' Е \pi i ́ \partial \alpha \mu-$ vov，èv тoîs $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \theta \alpha \lambda \alpha$ тTíoıs vทòs

 ขıкои̂，каı $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \mu \epsilon i \beta \omega \nu$ ข $\quad$ боия $\tau \epsilon$

 $\pi \epsilon \nu \delta \epsilon v, \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$＂$\chi$ ขvos $\beta \alpha \delta i \zeta \epsilon \epsilon \nu$ è $\theta$ é $\lambda \omega \nu$ то̂̂ $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau o ́ \lambda o v ~ \Pi \alpha u ́ \lambda o v . ~ ' ~ ' ~ \Omega \varsigma ~ \delta ̀ \epsilon ̀ ~$


 то́т $\omega$ т $\hat{\nu} \nu \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta \nu$ ，oúт $\omega$ $\pi \alpha \rho \in ́ \pi \lambda \epsilon \epsilon$ ．Тоı $\quad \alpha \rho \circ \hat{\nu} \nu$ èv $\mu i \hat{\alpha}$ ѝ $\mu \epsilon ́-$ $\rho q$ каi vuктi т رoıs $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \chi \rho \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon v o r, ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \in i ́ s ~ \mu e ̀ v ~$


V．Perficiens igitur，ut vole－ bat，eos qui in Roma fratrum ab－ sentes per epistolam，sic ductus a Smyrna；（urgebatur enim a mi－ litibus Christophorus occupare honores in magna civitate；ut in conspectu plebis Romanorum bes－ tiis feris projectus，corona justitiæ per tale certamen potiatur ；）atti－ git ad Troadem．Deinde illine ductus ad Neapolim，per Philip－ penses pertransivit Macedoniam pedes，et terram quæ ad Epidam－ nium．Cujus in juxta marinis nave potitus，navigavit Adriacum pelagus，et illine ascendens Ty－ rannicum，et transiens insulas et civitates；ostensis Sancto Pocio－ lis，ipse quidem exire festinavit， secundum vestigia ambulare vo－ lens Apostoli Pauli．Ut autem incidens violentus non concessit ventus，nave a prora repulsa；bea－ tificans illam，quæ in illo loco， fratrum charitatem，sic transna－ vigavit．Igitur in una die et nocte eadem prosperis ventis u－ tentes，nos quidem nolentes ab－ ducimur，gementes de ea quæ a nobis futura separatione：justo




 $\pi \lambda e u ́ \sigma \alpha s$ yoùv cis roùs $\lambda_{\mu} \mu i v a s$＇ $\mathrm{P} \omega$－





 тои́ калоиці＇vou По́рточ ठоитсфй－

 фоі̂s фóß $\omega$ к $\grave{\imath}$ र $\chi \rho \hat{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \eta \rho \omega \mu$ é－
 тท̂s той Өcoфópou бuıтuxias．po－ Boumévoıs dè dıótı тe érí 9áv人atov

 үovai кататаúe！



 $\pi \eta \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon$ ©́ová $\tau \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ѐ $\tau \tau \hat{\eta}$ è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ $\delta \iota \alpha \lambda \in \chi \theta \epsilon i s, \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \epsilon i \sigma \alpha s \mu \eta ̀ \quad \phi \theta \circ \nu \eta ิ \sigma \alpha \iota$

 $\phi \omega \hat{\nu,} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda$ é $\alpha_{s}$ tòv viòv tô̂

 $\tau \hat{\eta}, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \in \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ єis $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \eta^{\lambda}$ ous

 $\beta \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \alpha \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha$ тои̂ K $\alpha i \sigma \alpha \rho o s, \mu \in \lambda \lambda o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ к $\kappa \tau \alpha-$


 $\kappa \alpha \theta^{\circ} \eta \geqslant \nu \quad \sigma \pi o v \delta \alpha i ́ \omega s$ $\sigma v \nu \eta \in \sigma \alpha v^{\circ}$ ov̌－
 $\pi \alpha \rho \in \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \tau \circ$ ，$\omega s ~ \pi \alpha \rho^{\prime} \alpha u ̛ \tau \dot{\alpha}$ то $\hat{v}$
 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ тク̀v èmı $\theta \nu \mu i \alpha \nu$ ，кат $\alpha$ тò $\gamma \epsilon-$




autem fieri ipsi secundum votum accidit；festinanti citius recedere de mundo，ut attingat ad quem dilexit Dominum．Navigantes igitur in Portum Romanorum， dehente finem habere mundi ina－ ni gloria，milites quidem pro tar－ ditate oflendebantur，Episcopus autem gaudens festinantibus obe－ dicbat．

VI．Illine igitur expulsi a vo－ cato Portu，（diflamabantur enim jam quæ secundum sanctum Mar－ tyrem，obviamus fratribus，timore et graudio repletis，gaudentibus quidem in quibus dignificabantur， co quod Theophori consortio；ti－ mentibus autem，quod ad mortem talis ducebatur．Quibusdam au－ tem et annunciavit silere，ferven－ tibus et dicentibus quietare ple－ bem ad non expetere perdere justum．Quos confestim spiritu cognoscens，et omnes salutans， petensque ab ipsis veram chari－ tatem，pluraque iis que in Epis－ tola disputans，et suadens non invidere festinanti ad Domi－ num，sic（cum genuflexione om－ nium fratrum，deprecans Filium Dei pro Eeclesiis，pro persecu－ tionis quietatione，pro fratrum adinvicem charitate）subductus est cum festinatione in Amphithea－ trum．Deinde confestim projec－ tus，secundum quondam precep－ tum Cæsaris，debentibus quiescere gloriationibus，（erat enim solen－ nis，ut putabant，dicta Romana vo－ ce Tertiadecima；secundum quam studiose convenerunt：）sic bestiis crudelibus ab impiis apponebatur， ut confestim sancti martyris Ig－ natii compleretur desiderium，se－ cundum quod scriptum est：De－Prov．x． 24. siderium justi acceptabile：ut sit nulli fratrum gravis per collec－ tionem reliquiarum；secundum quod，præoccupans in Epistola，
 $\lambda \in i ́ \omega \sigma$ olv. Móva $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \grave{\alpha}$ т $\rho \alpha \chi \dot{\nu} \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha$ $\tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ à $\gamma i \omega \nu$ aủтoû $\lambda \epsilon \imath \psi \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho ı \epsilon-$



 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta^{\prime} \nu \tau \alpha$.






 $\pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \in \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \gamma o v v \kappa \lambda \iota \sigma i \alpha s ~ к \alpha \grave{\imath} \delta \in \eta ́-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega s$ тар $\alpha \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \in \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \epsilon s$ тòv Kúpıov


 $\sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ каі̀ $\pi \epsilon \rho ı \pi \tau \cup \sigma \sigma о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu \quad \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \hat{\alpha}_{S}$



 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \epsilon \nu$ о́ $\mu \in \nu \circ \nu, \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \pi \alpha \rho \in \sigma \tau \hat{\omega} \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega}$






 тòv кגıро̀v тоиิ $\mu \alpha \rho т и \rho i ́ o u ~ \sigma u v a \gamma o ́-~$ $\mu \in \nu o l, \kappa о \iota \nu \omega \nu \omega \bar{\omega} \mu \in \tau \hat{\varphi} \dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \alpha$



 $\sigma o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{~K} v \rho i \omega \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu^{\prime} \quad \delta \dot{\imath}$ ồ $\kappa \alpha \hat{\imath} \mu \in \theta^{\prime}$

 'А $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$.
propriam concupiscit fieri fruitionem. Sola enim asperiora sanctorum ossium derelicta sunt: quæ in Antiochiam reportata sunt, et in capsa reposita, sicut thesaurus inappreciabilis; ab ea quæ in Martyris gratia sanctæ Ecclesiæ relicta.
VII. Facta autem sunt hæc die ante xiI. Kalendas Januarias; presidentibus apud Romanos Syria et Senecio secundo. Horum ipsimet conspectores effecti cum lacrimis, et domi per totam noctem vigilantes, et multum cum genuflexione et oratione deprecantes Dominum certificare infirmos nos de prius factis: parum obdormitantes, hi quidem repente astantem et amplexantem nos videbant; hi autem rursus superorantem nobis videbant beatum Ignatium, quemadmodum ex labore multo advenientem, et astantem Domino in multa confidentia et ineffabili gloria. Impleti autem gaudio hæc videntes, et glorificantes Deum datorem bonorum, et beatificantes Sanctum, manifestavimus vobis diem et tempus: ut secundum tempus martyrii congregati, communicemus athletæ et virili Christi Martyri, qui conculcavit Diabolum et hujus insidias in finem prostravit; glorificantes in ipsius venerabili et sancta memoria Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum; per quem et cum quo Patri gloria et potentia cum Spiritu Sancto in sancta Eeclesia in secula seculorum. Amen.
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# ENGLISII TRANSLATION FROM THE SYRIAC, of the <br> <br> EPISTLES TO POLYCARP, THE EPHESIANS, <br> <br> EPISTLES TO POLYCARP, THE EPHESIANS, AND THE ROMANS, 

 AND THE ROMANS,}

ALSO OF THE PRECEDING EX'TRAC'TS AND MARTYRDOM.

## THE EPISTLE OF ST. IGNATIUS THE BISHOP TO POLYCARP.


#### Abstract

Igxatius, who is Theophorus, to Polycarp Bishop of Smyrna, who himself rather is visited by God the Father and by Jesus Christ our Lord, much peace.


FFor as much as thy mind, which is confirmed in God as upon a rock immoveable, is acceptable to me, I praise God the more abundantly for having been accounted worthy of thy countenance, which I long for in God. I beseech thee therefore, by the grace with which thou art clothed, to add to thy course, and pray for all men that they may be saved, and require things becoming with all diligence of flesh and of spirit. Be careful for unanimity, than which nothing is more excellent. Bear all men as our Lord beareth thee. Be patient with all men in love, as [indeed] thou art. (P. 4) Be constant in prayer. Ask more understanding than what thou [already] hast. Be watchful, for thou possessest a spirit that sleepeth not. Speak with all men according to the will of God. Bear the infirmities of all men like a perfect combatant; for where the labour is much, much also is the gain. If thou love the good disciples only, thou hast no grace: rather subdue those who are evil by gentleness. All wounds are not healed by one medicine. Allay cutting by tenderness. Be wise as the serpent in every thing, and innocent as the dove as to those things which are requisite. On this account art thou [both] of flesh and of spirit, that thou mayest allure those things which are seen before thy face, and ask respecting those things which are hidden from thee, that they may be revealed to thee, (6) that thoti mayest be lacking in nothing, and mayest abound in all gifts. The time requireth, as a pilot a ship, and as he who standeth in the tempest the haven, that thou shouldest be worthy of God. Be vigilant as a combatant of God. That which is promised to us is life eternal incorruptible, of which things thou also art persuaded. In every thing I will be instead of thy soul, and my bonds which thou hast loved. Let not those who seem to be something and teach strange doctrines, astound thee, but stand in the truth, like a combatant who is smitten: for it is [the part] of a great combatant that he should be smitten and conquer. Nore especially on God's account it behoveth us to endure every thing, that He also may endure us. Be diligent [even] more than thou art, (8). Be discerning of the times. Expeet Him who is above the times, Him to whom there are no times, Him who is unseen, Him who for our sakes was seen, Him who is impalpable, Him who is impassible, Him who for our sakes suffered, Him who endured every thing in every form for our sakes.

Let not the widows be neglected: on our Lord's account be thou their grardian, and let nothing be done without thy will; neither do
thon any thing without the will of Cood; nor indeed doest thom. Stand well. Let there be frequent ansumblies. Ask every mun by his name. Despise not slaves and handmaids; but neither let them be contemptuous; but let them serve the more, as for the glory of God, that they may be aceounted worthy of a better freedom which is of Goul. Let them not desire to be set free from the common [property], that they may not be found the slaves of lasts. (10) Fly from evil arts; but rather discourse respeeting them. Tell my sisters that they love in the Lord, and that their hosbands be sufficient for them in flesh and in spirit. Then again, charge my brethren, in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that they love their wives as our Lord his church. If any one be able in strength to continue in chastity to the honour of the flesh of our Lord, let him continue without boasting; if he boast, he is lost: if he become known apart from the Bishop, he has eorrapted himself. It is becoming, therefore to men and women who marry, that they marry by the counsel of the Bishop, that the marriage may be in our Lord, and not in lust. Let every thing, therefore, be for the honour of God.
(12) Look to the Bishop, that God also may look upon you. I will be instead of the souls of those who are subject to the Bishop, and the Presbyters, and the Deacons; with them may I have a portion near God. Labour together with one another; make the struggle together, run together, sulfer together, sleep together, rise together. As stewards of God, and his domestics and ministers, please him and serse him, that ye may receive the wages from him. Let none of you rebel. Let your baptism be to you as armour, and faith as a helmet, and love as a spear, and patience as a panoply. Let your treasures be your good works, that ye may receive the gift of God, as it is just. Let your spirit be enduring towards each other in meekness, as God towards you. I rejoice in you at all times. (14) The Christian has nọt power over himself, but is ready to be subject to God. I salute him who is accounted worthy to go to Antioch in my stead, as I charged thee.

## HIS SECOND EPISTLE TO THE EPIESIANS.

(P.16.) Ievatius, who is Theophorus, to the Church which is blessed in the greatness of God the Father, and perfected; to her who was separated from eternity to be at all times for glory that abideth and changeth not, and is perfected and chosen in the purpose of truth, by the will of the Father of Jesus Christ our God; to her who is worthy of happiness; to her who is at Ephesus in Jesus Christ in joy unblameable; much peace.
For as much as your well-beloved name is acceptable to me in God, which ye have acquired by nature by a right and just will, and also by faith and love of Jesus Christ our Sariour, and ye are imitators of God, and fervent in the blood of God, (18) and have speedily accomplished a work congenial to you ; for when ye heard that I was bound
from actions for the sake of the common name and hope-and I hope through your prayers to be devoured of beasts at Rome, that by means of this of which I am accounted worthy I may be empowered with strength to be a disciple of God-ye were diligent to come and see me. For as much, therefore, as we have received your abundance in the name of God by Onesimus, who is your Bishop in love unutterable, whom I pray that ye love in Jesus Christ our Lord, and that all of you be like him; for blessed is He who hath given you such a Bishop, as ye deserve; (20) but for as much as love suffereth me not to be silent respecting you, on this account I have been forward to entreat you to be diligent in the will of God; (24) for so long as no one lust is implanted in you which is able to torment you, lo, ye live in God. I rejoice in you, and offer supplication on account of you, Ephesians, a church renowned in all ages. (26) For those who are carnal are not able to do spiritual things, neither the spiritual carnal things; likewise neither faith those things which are foreign to faith, nor lack of faith what is faith's. For those things which ye have done in the flesh even they are spiritual, because ye have done every thing in Jesus Christ, and ye are prepared for the building of God the Father, and are raised up on high by the engine of Jesus Christ, which is the Cross, and ye are drawn by the rope, which is the Holy Ghost; and your pulley is your faith, (28) and your love is the way that leadeth up on high to God. Pray for all men, for there is hope of repentance for them, that they may be accounted worthy of God. By your works rather let them be instructed. Against their harsh words be ye conciliatory in meekness of mind and gentleness: against their blasphemies do ye pray: and against their error be ye armed with faith: and against their fierceness be ye peaceful and quiet: and be ye not astounded by them. (30) Let us then be imitators of our Lord in meekness, and [emulous] as to who shall be injured, and oppressed and defrauded more [than the rest]. (32) The work is not of promise, unless a man be found in the power of faith even to the end. It is better that a man be silent when he is something than that he should be speaking when he is not; that by those things which he speaks he should act, and by those things of which he is silent he should be known. (34) My spirit boweth down to the Cross, which is an offence to those who do not believe, but to you salvation and life eternal. (36) There was concealed from the ruler of this world the virginity of Mary, and the birth of our Lord, and the three mysteries of the shout, which were done in the quietness of God from the star. And here at the manifestation of the Son magic began to be destroyed, and all bonds were loosed, and the ancient kingdom and the error of evil was destroyed. From hence all things were moved together, and the destruction of death was devised, and there was the commencement of that which is perfected in God.

## THE THIRD EPISTLE OF THE SAME saint ignatios.

(P. 40.) Ignatins, who is Theophorus, to the Chureh which has been pitied in the greatness of the Father Most High; to her who presideth in the place of the comtry of the Romans, who is worthy of God, and worthy of life and happiness and praise and remembrance, and is worthy of prosperity, and presideth in love, and is perfected in the law of Christ blameless, much peace.
Love since have I prayed to God that I might be accounted worthy to behold your faces, which are worthy of God : now therefore being bound in Jesus Christ, I hope to meet you and salute you (42), if there be the will that I should be accounted worthy to the end. For the begiming is well disposed, if 1 be accounted worthy to attain to the end, that I may receive my portion without hindrance through suffering. For I am afraid of your love, lest it should injure me. For you, indeed, it is easy for you to do what you wish; but for me, it is difficult for me to be accounted worthy of God, if indeed you spare me not. For there is no other time like this, that I should be accounted worthy of God; neither will ye, if ye be silent, be found in a better work than this. If ye leave me I shall be the word of God; but if ye love my llesh, again am I to myself a voice. Ye will not give me any thing better than this, that I should be sacrificed to God while the altar is ready (4.4); that ye may be in one concord in love, and may praise God the Father through Jesus Christ our Lord, because he has accounted a Bishop worthy to be God's, having called him from the East to the West. It is good that I should set from the world in God, that I may rise in Him in life.

Ye have never envied any one. Ye have taught others. Pray only for strength to be given to me from within and from without, that I may not only speak, but also may be willing; and not that I may be called a Christian only, but also that I may be found to be [one]: for if I am found to be [one], I am also able to be called [so]. Then [indeed] shall I be faithful, when I am no longer seen in the world. For there is nothing which is seen that is good. The work is not [a matter] of persuasion, but Christianity is great when the world hateth it. (46) I write to all the Churches, and declare to all men that I die willingly for God, if it be that ye hinder me not. I intreat you, be not [affected] towards me by love that is unseasonable. Leave me to be the beasts', that through them I may be accounted worthy of God. I am the wheat of God, and by the teeth of the beasts I am ground, that I may be found the pure bread of God. With provoking provoke ye the beasts, that they may be a grave for me, and may leave nothing of my body, that even after I am fallen asleep I may not be a burden upon any one. Then shall I be in truth a disciple of Jesus Christ, when the world seeth not even my body. Intreat our Lord for me, that through these instruments I may be found a sacrifice to God.
(15.) I do not charge you like Peter and Paul, who are Apostles, but I am one condemned: they indeed are free, but I am a slave even until now. But if I suffer, I shall be the freedman of Jesus Christ, and I shall rise from the dead in Him frec. And now, being bound, I learn to desire nothing. From Syria, and even to Rome I am cast among beasts, by sea and by land, by night and by day, being bound between ten leopards, which are the band of soldiers, who, even while I do good to them, do evil the more to me. But I am the rather instructed by their injury, but not on this account am I justified to myself. I rejoice in the beasts that are prepared for me, and I pray that they may be quickly found for me; and I will provoke them to devour me speedily: and not as that which is afraid of some other men, and does not approach them ( 50 ) ; even should they not be willing to approach me, I will go with violence against them. Know me from myself. What is expedient for me? Let nothing envy me of those that are seen and that are not seen, that I should be accounted worthy of Jesus Christ. Fire and the cross, and the beasts that are prepared, amputation of the limbs, and scattering of the bones, and crushing of the whole body, hard torments of the devil, let these come upon me, and only may I be accounted worthy of Jesus Christ. The pains of the birth stand over me (52), and my love is crucified, and there is no fire in me for another love. I do not desire the food of corruption, neither the desires of this world. The bread of God I seek, which is the flesh of Jesus Christ, and his blood I seek, a drink which is love incorruptible (54). My spirit saluteth you, and the love of the Churches which received me as the name of Jesus Christ, for even those who were near to the way in the flesh preceded me in every city. Now therefore being about to arrive shortly at Rome, I know many things in God; but I moderate myself, that I may not perish through boasting; for now it behoveth me to fear the more, and not to regard those who puff me up. For they who say to me such things, scourge me for I love to suffer, but I do not know if I am worthy. For to many zeal is not seen; but with me it has war: I have need therefore of meekness, by which the ruler of this world is destroyed. I am able to write to you of heavenly things; but I fear lest I should do you an injury. Know me from myself. For I am cautious, lest ye should not be able to receive it (56), and should be perplexed. For even I, not because I am bound, and am able to know heavenly things, and the places of angels, and the station of the powers that are seen and that are not seen, on this account am I a disciple: for I am far short of the perfection which is worthy of God. Be ye perfectly safe in the patience of Jesus Christ our God.

Here end the Three Epistles of Ignatius, Bishop and Martyr.

## I.

(P. 197.) Sentences selected from the Eipistles of the hoty Ifmatius the disciple of the Apostles, the Good-clud and Martyr, the second Bishop of Antioeth: which have the force of Eech sinstienl Comoms.
1.

From the Epistle to the Ephesiuns.
Lat us take heed therefore that we be not opposel to the-Bishop; so that we may be subjeet to God. Because the more any one seeth the Bishop silent, let him be the more afraid of him. For every one whom the master of the house semdeth to his own administrations, it is right for us so to receive as him who sent him. It is evident, therefore, that it is right for us to look to the Bishop as to our Lord. c. v. vi.

And a little further. Be ye therefore careful to assemble together continually for the giving of thanks, and for the praise of God. For when ye are continually together, the power of Satan is destroyed, and his dominion is dissolved, by means of the unanimity of your faith. For there is nothing better than peace, by which all the wars of heavenly and earthly beings are frustrated. c. xiii.

And a little further. Better is it that a man be silent when he is something, than that he be speaking when he is not. For it is good that a man teach, if it be that he do what he says. c. xv.

## 2.

## Of the Same, from the Epistle to the Magnesians.

Those in whom there is no faith are of the ruler of this world; and those who are faithful in love are the image of God the Father, through Jesus Christ. And if we be not willing to die for his sake in his passion, his life is not in us. For as much, therefore, as I have beheld in those persons of whom I have written above, that is to say, the Bishops, the Presbyters, and the Deacons, your whole assemblage in faith and in love, I beseech you that ye take heed to do every thing in the unanimity of God; the Bishop presiding over you in the place of God, and the Presbyters in the place of the Angels of the Council, and the Deacons in the place of the Apostles. c. v. vi.

## 3.

## (P. 198.) Of the Same, from the Epistle to the Titilians (Trallians).

For when ye are subject to the Bishop as to Jesus Christ, ye seem to me not to be living in the flesh, but in Jesus Christ, who died for us; so that, believing in his death, ye may escape from dying. It is right, therefore, that ye should do nothing without the Bishop, as [indeed] ye do. And be ye subject also to the Presbyters as to the Apostles of Jesus Christ, our hope: so that we may be found living in the Same. It is becoming, therefore, to the Deacons also, who are sons of the mystery of Jesus Christ, to please all men in every manner ;
for they are not ministers of meat and drink, but of the Church of God. It is right for them to guard themselves against accusation as against fire. And in like manner, let every one be reverential towards the Deacons as towards Jesus Christ; and towards the Bishop, who is in the place of the Father; and towards the Presbyters as cowards the sons of the council of God and members of the Apostles. Apart from these the Church is not named. c. ii. iii.

And a little ufter. For even I, not because I am bound and am able to know hearenly things, also the places of angels, and the station of the powers that are seen and that are not seen, on this account be ye disciples to me, for we are far short; that we fail not frem God. I beseech you, therefore-it is not I, but the love of Jesus Christ-that ye use only the food of gratitude, and withdraw from the strange root which is of heresies, of those who mix themselves up in Jesus Clrist so that they may be trusted: like those who give the poison of death in wine and honey, so that he who is ignorant in pleasure recciveth death. Beware, therefore, of those who are such. c. v. vi.

## 4.

## Of the Same, from the Epistle to Polycurp, Bishop of the city Smyrna.

Let not those then who seem to be something, and teach strange doctrines, astound thee, but stand in the truth, like a valiant man who is smitten; for it is [the part] of a great combatant to be smitten and conquer. But more especially on God's account it behoveth us to endure every thing, so that He also may endure us. c. iii.

And ayain. Look to the Bishop, that God also may look upon you. (199.) I will be in the stead of your souls-of those who are subject to the Bishop, and the Presioyters, and the Deacons: with them may I have a portion near God. c. vi.

## 5.

## Of the Same, from the Epistle to the cily Philadelphia.

For they who are of God and of Jesus Christ, these are with the Bishop. Aud they who repent and come to the concord of the Church, these also are of God, that they may be living in Jesus Christ. Do not err, my brethren: whosoever cleaveth to him who rendeth the Church of God, shall not inherit the kingdom of God. Whosoever walketh in a strange mind, this man hath not consented to the passion of Christ. Take heed, therefore, to use one thanksgiving. For the flesh of our Lord Jesus Christ is one; and one is the cup of the concord of his blood. c. iii. iv.

And ugain. I cried, therefore, with the voice, being among you, and I spake with a loud voice, with the voice of God - attend to the Bishop, and the Presbyters, and the Deacons. And there are some who imagine respecting me, that I have said these things as though I know the divisions of some-but He in whom I am bound is wituess to us, that I have not learned these things from men;
but the Spirit cried and suid these things: "Without the Bishop do nothing." ©. vii.

Ayain. I tell you that I an informed that there is pence in the Church of Syria. It is becoming, therefore, to you, as a Church of God, to choce a Dewom, that lie may bes an ambuesalor of God is go thither and rejoiee with them being assembled tegrether, und that they should praise the mame of the Lord. Blessed is he in Jesus Christ, whosoever is aceomed worthy of this ministry. Ye also shall give praise, on aceotht of your being willing. This is mot diflicult for you for the name of God, like those Holy Churehes which have sent Bishops, and others, Presbyters and Deacons. co x.

## (6.

## Of the Sume, from the Lipislle to the Church of Asia.

There is no power without the Bishop, neither to baptize nor to make refreshments. But whatsoever he approves, this is pleasing to God, that every thing that ye do may be true and faithful. And now it is becoming that we should be vigilant while we have time to repent towards God. This is good, that we know God and the Bishop. He who honoureth the Bishop is honoured of God. But he who doeth any thing which is concealed from the Bishop seeveth Satan. Epist. Smyrn. c. viii. ix.

## 7.

(P. 200.) - Ayain of the Same, from the same lipistle to the Magnesians, which has been mentioned above.
But consent to the Bishop, and to those who preside over you for the form and doctrine of incorruption: as, therefore, our Lord does nothing without his Father, neither in his own persor:, nor through his disciples, so neither do ye any thing without the Bishop, and the Presbyters: neither do ye attempt that any thing should appear to be becoming to any one of you of himself and to himself, without the Bishop. c. vi. vii.

## 8.

## Again, from the Epistle to the Tiilians (Tralliuns), which has been mentioned above.

Take ye then upon yourselves gentleness, and refresh yourselves in faith which is in the hope and the enjoyment of the blood of Jesus Christ. c. viii.

Ayain, from the Same. Be like deaf men when any one speaketh to you apart from Jestis Chirist; who is of the race of the house of David from Mary; who in truth was born, and ate and drank, and in truth was persecuted in the time of Pontius Pilate, and in truth was crucified and died, while those who are in heaven, and those in earth, and those under the earth, beheld, and in truth he rose from the dead, whom his Fatlier raised. So that the Father of Jesus Christ will in like manner raise us also who believe in him: without whom we have not the life of truth. But if as some without God, that is, without
faith, say, that in supposition he was supposed to have suffered, as they themselves are in supposition, I then why am I bound, and why do I pray that I may be devoured by beasts? In vain then do I dic. Why do I speak falsehood respecting our Lord? Flee, therefore, from evil off-shoots, which engender the fruits of death; that is, those which he whosoever eateth immediately dies. For these are not the plants of the Father; for if they had been the plants of the Father, they would have been seen to be the branches of the Cross; and their fruit would have continued incorruptible in the passion of the Cross of your Lord, whose members ye are. c.ix. x. xi.

## 9.

Again, from that to Polycarp, which has been mentioned above.
It is becoming to thee, oh Polycarp, deserving of the happiness which is of God, that thou shouldest gather together to thee the sons of the council to a council suitable for God, and shouldest choose one that is much beloved by you (201), and is indefatigable, who might be able to be, and be called an ambassador of God. Let this man be persuaded to go to Syria: and they shall praise your love, which is unwearied for the glory of God. For the Christian has not power over himself, but is continually prepared for God. For this is God's work, and yours also, when ye shall have accomplished it. c. vii.
Here end these passages of the holy Ignatius, the God-clad and Marlyr.

## II.

From the Book of the holy Ignatius, the God-clad, Bishop of Antioch.
I wrire to all the Churches, and declare to all men that I die willingly for God. I entreat you be not in love that is unseasonable. Leave me to be the beasts', that through them I may be accounted worthy of God. I am the wheat of God, and by the teeth of the beasts I am ground, that I may be found the pure bread of God. With provoking provoke ye the beasts, that they may be a grave for me, and may leave nothing of my body. Know me from myself, as to what is expedient for me. I know that now I begin to be a disciple. Let nothing envy me of those that are seen and of those that are not seen, that I should be accounted worthy of Jesus Christ. Fire and the Cross and the beasts that are prepared for me, and division and seattering of the bones, and amputation of the limbs, and torment of the whole body, and evil crushings of the Devil; let these come upon me, and only may I be accounted worthy of Jesus Christ. The boundaries of this world do not profit me, neither its kingdoms. It is better for me to die in Jesus Christ than to reign over all the boundaries of the earth. I seek him who suffered for me. I desire him who rose from the dead. And the pains of death stand. Know me from myself, brethren. Do not hinder me from life; do not desire my death. Do not honor me in this [who am one] that does not wish to be in the world. Do not provoke me to enry by those things that are
seen. Leave me to receive the pure light: when I am gone thither I shall be perfeeted in light. ${ }^{\text {' }}$ There is nothing which is hidden from our Lord, but even our secret things are near to him. Let us, therefore, do every thing, as though he dwelt within us; and let us be the temples of (God, and he shatl be (iod in us, from which we justly love him.? In one faith in Jesus Christ, in him who was in the flesh of the seed (202) of the house of David, who is the son of man and the Son of God. ${ }^{3}$ It is not proper when Jesus Christ is spoken of, that we should conduct ourselves like Jews; for Christimity has not believed into Judaism, but Judaism into Christianity, in which whosoever believeth is assembled before God. ${ }^{4}$. If then this was done in supposition by our Lord, I also am bound in supposition : and why have I delivered up myself to death, and to mocking, and to the sword, and to the beasts? But he who is near to the sword is near to Godl ; and if he be among the beasts he is with God, only in the name of our Lord Jesus Christ who died for us: and that I may suffer with him I endure every thing. And Giod Jesus Christ strengtheneth me, whom some, not knowing him, deny; that is, he denyeth them. ${ }^{5}$ I persuade [thee] to add to thy course: be constant in fastings and in supplications to Food, but not immoderately, so that thou mayest not prostrate thyself. ${ }^{6}$

## III.

## From Eusebius' Third Book of the History of the Cluurch. Chapter the Tuenty-second, that the second Bishop in Antiveh wasTynalius.

But also in Antioch, after Evodius, who was the first Bishop there, in those times the second Bishop, Ignatius, was known. And in like manner also Simeon, the second Bishop in the Church of Jerusalem after James the brother of our Saviour, in those times held the Ministry.

## Chapter the Thirty-sixth, respecting Ignatius, and respecting his Epistles.

And Ignatius, who even to this day is renowned by many, was the second Bishop in the Church of Antioch after Peter. But an account is told respecting him, that he was sent from Syria to the city of Rome, and was devoured by beasts for the sake of the testimony for Christ. When, therefore, he was passing through Asia, and was watched with much caution by the guards who kept him, he confirmed the cities into which he entered with words of consolation and encouragement; and more especially he exhorted them that they should first of all be on their guard against heresies, because that then they were already abundant; and he entreated them not to depart from the tradition of the Apostles (203). And for the greater caution, he determined to testify to them also by writing. And when he was come to Smyrna, where Polycarp was, he wrote one

[^69]Epistle to the Church at Ephesus, and mentions that they had a Pastor, Onesimus; and another to the city Magnesia, which is on the side of the river Menaudrus, in which also he mentions that there was a Bishop there, whose name was Damas: and again another to the city Tralles; and he writes, that there was there a Chief, whose name was Polybius. And besides these, he also wrote to the Church of Rome, and presented in it a request to them, that they would not beg off his martyrdom, and deprive him of the hope which he loved. From which it is right that we should set down a few things, for a proof of what has been said. He wrote then in his words thus: "From Syria even to Rome I contend with beasts, by sea and by land, by night and by day, being bound with ten leopards, which are the band of soldiers, who, when we do good to them, they do evil: and by their evil treatment I become more a disciple; but not on this account am I justified to myself. I salute the beasts which are prepared for me, and I pray that they may be quickly found for me, and I will provoke them to devour me speedily; not as that which is afraid of others, and does not approach them: for even if they should refrain, and be not willing to approach me , I will go with violence against them. Know me from myself. What is expedient for me I know. Now I begin to be a disciple. Let nothing envy me of those that are seen and of those that are not seen, that I should be accounted worthy of Jesus Christ. Fire and the Cross and the collection of beasts, and scattering of the bones, and amputations of the limbs, and destruction of the whole body, and the torment of Satanlet all these come upon me, and only may I be accounted worthy of Jesus Christ." And these Epistles he wrote from that city of which we have spoken to those Churches which we have enumerated. When, then, they had passed Smyrna he wrote again from Troas to those who were in Philadelphia, and to the Church of Smyrna, but personally to the Bishop there, Polycarp, whom he knew to be an imitator of the Apostles; and like a good and true Pastor, he commended to him his flock in Antioch, and persuaded him to take care of it with diligence. And the same, writing to those who were at Smyrna, used these words; I know not from whence; that he said thus respecting Christ-"I also, after his resurrection in the flesh, know him; and I bear witness and believe that he is (204). And when he came to those of the house of Peter, he said to them, 'Take, feel me and see, that I am not a bodyless spirit:' and immediately they drew near to him and believed." But Irenæus also knew his martyrdom, and mentions his Epistles, and says thus: "As one of those belonging to us, who on account of the testimony for God was condemned to be thrown to beasts, said, 'I am the wheat of God, and by the teeth of beasts am I ground, that I may be found pure bread.' " Polycarp also mentions these same in the Epistle which he wrote to the Philippians, in these words: "I beseech you all to be persuaded, and continne in all that patience which ye saw with your eyes, not only in the blessed Ignatius and Rufus, and Zosimus, but also in others who were of you: also in Paul himself, and in the rest of the Apostles, being persuaded that all these have not run in vain, but in faith and
righteousness, and are now in the plawe that is due to them neme our Lord, with whom they suflered. For they loved not this [world], but Jesus Christ who died for us, und for our sakes rose again from the dead." And afterwards he said, "Ye have written to me, ye and Igrnatius, that if any one go to Syria, he should also bear your letters, which thing I will do if I have a convenient time-either I, or he whom I send to be an ambassador also for you. The Epistles which were sent to us from Ignatius, and the others which we have by us, we have sent to you, as you give charge, which are suljoined to this Epistle, from which ye may be much profited, for there is in them faith and patience, and all the edification which is recquisite for the fear of our Lord. Respecting Ignatius, then, there are such things as these. But after him Heros receives the Bishopric of Antioch.

## From Chapter the Thirly-seventh, respecting the Evangelists who flourished up to that lime.

In as much, therefore, as it is not easy for us to enumerate by name all those who received the first succession of the Apostles, who were Pastors and Evangelists in all the Charches that are in all the world, we have made mention in writing by name of those only through whose writings we have even until now the tradition of the doetrine of the Apostles, as of Ignatius in the Epistles which we have enumerated.

## (P. 205.) Chapler the Thirly-eighth, respecting the Epistles of Clement, and respecting those books which are falsely said to be his.

And of Clement, in the Epistle that he wrote, which all men acknowledge, which he wrote us from the Church of Rome to the Church of Corinth. Into this there are introduced by him many conceptions of thonght from the Epistle to the Hebrews. And again, he has also written sentences in the form of writing, as it is expressed in that; and he has shewn plainly that the writing of this Epistle to the Hebrews is not recent. And on this account it is justly reckoned among the rest of the Epistles of the Apostle. For the Apostle wrote to the Kebrews, and spake with them in the language of the fathers. There are some who say that Luke the Evangelist translated it; and there are some who say this Clement did. And it is likely that this is true; for this Epistle to the Hebrews and his Epistle have one form of expression, and the conceptions of thought which are in both of them are not far apart from one another. But it is proper to know, that a second Epistle also is said to be by Clement; but we are not plainly persuaded that it is his, like the former, because we do not know of the ancients having used it. Again, also, some persons have a short time ago produced another book of many words as his, in which is a dispute of Peter and Apion: but no one of the ancients has mentioned it at all. For neither is there in it the form of the pure and true doctrine of the Apostles. The writing, therefore, of Clement, which all men confess is well known : but we have spoken also of Ignatius and Polycarp.

## From the Eighth Chapter of the Fifth Book of the History of the Church.

And he (Irenæus) mentions again what a certain Presbyter recorded who had received from the Apostles, but whose name he has not handed down to us, and he introduces also explanations of the divine Seriptures by the same. And again, he also mentions Justin Martyr and Ignatius, and uses testimonies from their writings.

## IV.

Of my Lord John the Monk, from the Epistle which he sent to the Monks Eutropius and Eusebius, who had requested him to write to them respecting the mystery of the communion of the truth in the new life-for what reason the truth of the future has been spoken in parables, and respecting the figures (206) in which God is spoken of, and proof respecting the soul, and how it is becoming for a man to meditate in this life upon the union of the divine and human natures, together with other matters.

Love at all times knoweth how to love, but to repay, never. And this we can understand from the example of the Lord of all.
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As I said then, my brethren, it is right that we should manifest this love towards each other; more especially towards the Lord of all. For all the Saints who loved God, when their love towards him was hidden in the power of their soul, proclaimed their love by the Voice, that is, by the death of the flesh which is the Voice; because they were not able in any other way to shew their love, but by even going out of Voice, in being divested of the flesh, that they might become Word and not Voice. For whilst they were in the world of the Voice they were men of the Voice; but after they are gone out of the world of the Voice they will be men of the Word and not of the Voice.

Awake yourselves to what I say, and now more than ever be spiritual listeners to me, and if it be possible, by the senses of the spirit be moved at mysteries such as these. Not that we have risen above your knowledge, but that ye may be more confirmed in the knowledge of the hope of your calling. For to whom should we speak these things, but to him who resembles you in wisdom? But that it might be not supposed that I speak from opinion, and not from grace, respecting the man of the Voice and the Word, we will shew you evidently, by bringing, as testimony to our words, the authority of one of the Saints. And if many teachers have adduced authorities for the subjects before them from the writings of the learned Josephus, how much more just is it that the testimony of the Saints should be received-I mean of the blessed Ignatius, the glorious Martyr, who was the second Bishop after the Apostles in

Antioch of Syria, who, when he went up to Rome in the testimony for Christ, wrote Eppistles to cortain cities; and in that to Rome, when he was persuading them not to hinder him from the testimony of Christ, said, "If ye be silent from me, I shall be the Word of Ciod; but if ye love my flesh, again am I to myself a Voice (20(i). And he implored them to cease from intreating respecting him, and begreed them not to love his life of the flesh better than his life in the spirit. Were these things spoken in an ordinary way by this Saint? What, then, is this, that after his departure from this world he is to himself a Word; but if he continue he is to himself a Voice? Do you wish that we should speak or be silent? Is this mystery made known to us without grace? Or is it becoming that we should make light of his saying, and pass it over in an ordinary mamer? That be far from us. For this man of God deserves to be reckoned amongst the company of the Apostles, of whom I had almost said, that whilst he was in the flesh in the world he had immersed himself from the world with his Lord: as he also himself said, "Then am I faithful when I am not seen in the world;" and, "It is grood for me that I should set from the world in God, that I may rise in Him in life." And again he said, "Let nothing envy me of those that are seen and that are not seen." That there might be no indignity, therefore, to the greatness of this man of God through what I say, I homome him in silenee, and approach to the saying which he spake, "If ye are silent from me," and leave me to die in sacrifice, "I am to myself the Word of God;" but if not, "I am to myself a Voice." In that he says, I am to myself a Word, he wishes to shew us this, that in the world to come man is of the spirit, and that the wisdom of the truth is not known in this life of the flesh; because if the wisdom of the truth were spoken by the voice in the new life, there would be allegories and types even there, because the roice is not able to bring forth the mystery of the truth without the similitude of the flesh. And it is known that the similitude is to be done away with, according to the teaching of Paul for us-that we see now as in a mirror the similitude of the face of the truth, but at the last the image itself of its beauty, without the glass of allegories-the riches of his wisdom in the knowledge of the truth, as the vision of face to face, without any thing intermediate to shew the similitude: for the truth in the new life is made known to us openly. And this again, "If I shall continue I am to myself a Voice:" he desires to teach that the life here is the business of the flesh in a compound person; for the Word is not of the flesh, but of the spirit; but the Voice is not of the spirit, but of the fiesh, because all bodies have the Voice only, but have not the Word, inasmuch as they have not in them the soul in the person. For every beast and bird, together with cattle and creeping thing of the earth, utter the Voice only; but because man has in him a soul, and is not like the rest of the other bodies (203), he uses the Word and the Voice, that by means of the Word the nature of the soul might be known, and by means of the Voice the body of his person. For the Word belongs to the power of the intellect of the soul, but the Voice to the conformation of the flesh. . But because all bodies are of a nature without a soul,
on this accomt also they are without the Word; and because the conformation of their nature is flesh only, on this account they utter only the Voice; for the Word is apart from all bodies, because the soul is separated from them all. Because, therefore, the soul dwelleth in man only, for this reason in man only is the Word found; but the Voice proceeds from the warmth of the blood, in which consists the moving principle of the life of the flesh. And because there is no other hidden nature implanted in those orders of beasts and fowls, so that from the cleverness of their knowledge they may produce something intelligible by the Voice, on this aceount from these bodies the Voice only is heard, without any distinction of the utterance of words. For the Word is concealed in the hidden parts of the flesh, like the soul, and is enumciated by the Voice; and like as the soul is mingled with the body, the Word is mixed with the Voice. Nor again, by the mechanism of the organ are the distinctions of the voices uttered without the motion of the wind, because even this has the understanding of the distinction of the voices from the knowledge of the power of the soul; by means of this organ which it has put on giving the distinctions of the voices by the art of the conformation of the organ; because the invention of this art proceeds from the wisdom of its knowledge. The understanding, therefore, of the nature of the soul is distinct from the proofs from the Scriptures. By these things is the power of the essence of the soul known; I mean, by the versatility of its thoughts, and by the variety of opinions, and by the invention of arts, and by the speculation upon hidden things: for the hidden nature which is set within us moves within us in the contemplation of hidden things, whereas the nature of body is limited to things that are manifest. For as the car is not able to hear silence, nor the eye to see the spirit, but the hearing heareth the voice, and the sight seeth conformation; so there is nothing in the nature of the body that is able to look into hidden things, because the inspection of hidden things is a contemplation belonging to the nature of the soul, whose rision the body does not limit; nor does the wonderfil mechanism of the heavens stand in the way of its contemplation; nor is it impeded by the magnitude of their glorious vision. But the contemplation of the soul can be with power on high mountains and in secret places, whereas every thing that stands in the way of the body can impede its rision, so that it may not be carried beyond it.

But I am not alleging (209), as in a discussion, proofs respecting the soul, to require many things to be said; but I am sowing a few things into your ears, that they may be instruction for you. But more especially from the reasoning faculty of the Word do we comprehend the power of the soul which is in us; because the reasoning faculty of the Word is not found in any of the bodies, as we have said, brit in man only; for the Word of Life is not given in its intelligence to the mortal nature of the flesh, because it has only the Voice. And inasmuch as the soul is not able to speak to the flesh, that is, to another man, without the voice; more especially because the hearing faculty of the body is not able to hear without the voice: for this
reason, those things heing secret which in the midst of the covering of the flesh are set in the knowledge of the somb, tonecther with the motion of the power of the sonl desiring to bring to light its secerct things, the body also is moved, in order that it may declare through the Voiee to the hearing faculty those things which from the power of the soul were moved by the Word in the flesh: becnuse the Voiee is the interpreter of the Word; and aceording to the order of nature, the Voice is the declarer of tho Word, and by it this is made known to the hearing.

Thus, also, was it effected in this economy of Christ, that Joln the Baptist, because he was about to preach respecting God the Word, was ealled a Voice-I am the Voice of one erying in the wilderness, prepare the way. For whom? but for the Word the Lord, whom he preached that men should prepare a way in their souls for the coming of his doetrine. The Son is therefore called the Word, in order that he might shew us that he is from the Father in nature, like as the Word also is begotten from the power of the soul. Our Lord therefore put on the Alesh, like the Word the Voice: and more than is the mixture of the Word with the Voice, is the mixture of God the Word with the flesh which he put on. And as the Word is in the Voice, becamse it has put it on, not like the form of clothing, but hidden in its reasoning faculty, being unlimited, and it is made known manifestly by the hearing; so the Word God dwelt in perfiect flesh in his fulness, because in him dwelt all his fulness being unlimited. And as the Word continueth in the soul, and is sent forth from the soul that it may be known to the hearing of many, but taketh the Voice from the nature of the body, and by it is made known to the hearing, because the hearing faculties of men are not sufficient for it to be made manifest alone without the Voice; so also God the Word was with the Father: bat when he was sent forth from the Fatherhood to be manifested to men, abiding still with the Father, he took a perfect body of our nature (210), and in it became visible and was manifested to the family of men : for alone without our image men were not able to see him.

## V.

From the Third discourse of the holy Dionysius, Bishop of Athens, io Timotheus Bishop of Ephesus, upon the Divine Names.

Frum the fourth chapter on Goud, Light, Beautiful, Lore, Extncy, Zeal; and that Evil is not an existence, nor of that which exists, nor is it in those things which exist.
Axn since it pleases some men from amongst us who speak of holy things, that the name of Love is more divine than Charity, the divine Ignatius therefore has also written "My Love is crucified." Epist. Rom. c. vii.

## VI.

From the book composed by my Lord Timotheus, Bishop of Alexandria, against the Council of Chalcedon.

Of the blessed Ignatius, Bishop and Martyr, from the Epistle to the Simyrneans.

Until they repent to that passion which is our resurrection. Let no man err. Even heavenly things and the glory of angels and principalities, visible and invisible, unless they believe in the blood of Christ that it is of God, there is judgment even for them. He who can receive it let him receive it. Let not place puff up any one, for all this is faith and charity, than which nothing is more excellent. c. v. vi.

## Of the Same, from the Epistle to the Romans.

There is nothing which is seen that is becoming. For our God Jesus Christ, being in the Father, is the more seen. The work is not of persuasion, but the Christian is of greatness when he is hated by the world. I write to all the Churches (211), and charge all men that by my own will I die for God, if it be that ye hinder [me] not. c. iii. iv.

> And after a few [words].

It is better for me to die for the sake of Jesus Christ than to reign over the ends of the earth. I seek him who died for us. I desire him who rose on our account. The birth is set over me. Leave me alone, my brethren. Do not hinder me from life: do not desire that I should die. Do not give the world to him who desireth to be God's. Neither entice me by any thing material: leave me to receive the pure light. When I go thither I shall be a man. Permit ye me to be an imitator of the suffering of my God. If any one possess himself in himself, let him understand what I desire, and suffer with me, knowing those things which encompass me. c. vi.

Many proofs of the holy Fathers, which shew that the Tirgin is the mother of God, and that Jesus Christ is true Gord, and that he is one and the same Son who of God the Father was divinely confessed, and of the Holy Tiryin by taking of the flesh was uritten among the yene-rations, and that by the birth of the Word in the flesh he submilted to sulfering and death, and that Christ limself is the Hord of God.

Of the blessed Ignalius, Bishop and Martigr, from the Epistle to the Ephesians.
Where is the wise? Where is the disputer? Where is the boasting of those who are called knowing? For our God Jesus Christ was conceived of Mary in the economy of God, of the seed of David, and of the Holy Ghost: who was born and baptized, that he
might purify the passible waters. And there deceived the ruler of this world, the virginity of Mary and her child-birth, and in like manner also the death of the Lord, three mysteries of the shont, which were done in the silence of (God. c. xviii. xix.

## Of the Sume, from the Epistle to the Mugnessiuns.

There is one God, who manifested himself through Jesus Christ his Son, who is his eternal Word: he did not proceed from silence: who in every thing pleased him who sont him. c. viii.
(P. 212.) Of the Same.

Permit ge me to be an imitator of the suffering of my God. Epist. Rom. c. vi.

## Of Pulycarp, Bishop of Simyrnu and Martyr, fram the lipistle to the Philippiums.

But God and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, and the chief Priest of eternity himself, God Jesus Christ, build you up in faith and truth, and in all meekness.

## Of Clement, Bishop of Rome, from the first Epistle on Virginity.

Understandest thou then what honour chastity requires? Knowest thou then with what glory virginity has been ghorified? The womb of the Virgin bare our Lord Jesus Christ, God the Word; and when our Lord was made man by the Virgin, with this conduct did he conduct himself in the world. By this thou mayest know the glory of virginity.

## Of the Same, from the beginning of the third Epistle.

My brethren, thus it behoveth us to think concerning Jesus Christ, as concerning God, as concerning the Judge of the living and the dead. And it is not right for us to think small things concerning our salvation; for by our thinking small things concerning it, we also expect to receive small things. And when we hear as concerning small things, we sin, in that we do not know from whence we are called, and by whom, and to what place, and all those things which Jesus Christ endured to suffer for our sakes.

## Of the Same.

There is one Christ our Lord, who saved us, who was first spirit, became then in the flesh, and thus called us.

## VII.

From the book of my Lord the holy Severus, Patriurch of Antioch, against the wicked Grammaticus.

Teslimonies of the holy Futhers utho from Apostolic times delivered soundly the mystery of the right word of faith, and beheld Emmanuel one and the same in miracles and in suffering, and rejected the wicked distinction of a duality of natures after the union.
(P. 213.) Of Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch and Martyr, from the Epistle to the Romans.
Permit ye me to be an imitator of the suffering of my God. But it is found in other copies, which are rather older than these, thus:Permit ye me to be a disciple of the suffering of my God. c. vi.

## Of the Same, from the Epistle to Polycarp.

Be observant of the times. Expect Him who is above the times, Him who is without times, Him invisible, Him who for our sakes was visible, Him impalpable, Him without suffering, Him who for our sakes was subject to suffering, Him who for our sakes cndured in every manner. c.iii.

Of the Same, from the Epistle to the Ephesians.
When ye were inflamed by the blood of God, ye perfectly accomplished a deed worthy of the race. c. i.

Of the Same, from the Epistle to those who were in Magnesia.
Take care to do every thing, the Bishop sitting in the place of God, and the Presbyters in the place of the session of the Apostles, who are entrusted with the ministry of Jesus Christ; who before the worlds was with the Fatleer, and in the end was manifested. e. vi.

> Of the Same, from the same Epistle.

For the divine prophets lived in Jesus Christ: on this account, they were also persecuted, who by his grace were inspired with the Spirit, so that they who were not persuaded might be persuaded, that there is one God who revealed himself through Jesus Christ his Son, who is his Word, who proceeded from silence, who in every thing pleased him who sent him. c. viii.
"That he proceeded from silence" is, that he was ineffably begrotten of the Father, and like the word incomprehensible what it might be, or the mind. Therefore it is just that he should be honoured in silence, and not that his divine and umprecedented birth should be enquired into: who, having this exaltation, for our sakes became man, not convertibly, but truly, and in every thing pleased the Father when he fulfilled the obedience for us.

## Of the Same, from the Epistle to the Trallians.

For when ye are subject to the Bishop as to Jesus Clrist (214), ye
seem to me not to be living as men, but as Jesus Christ: who for our sakes died, that believing in his death ye may flee from this that ye are to die. c. ii.

> Of the Sume, from the same E:pistle.

If then, like men who are without God, that is, do not believe, they say that in supposition he suffered, when they themselves are in supposition, I, why am I hound? Why then do I also pray that I may contend with beasts? In vain then do I die. I belie therefore the Lord. Whee therefore from evil branches which engender fraits that bear death, which if a man taste he dies immediately, c. x. xi.

> Of the Same, from the Epistle to the Smyrneans.

I praise Jesus Christ God, who has thus made you wise. For I knew that ye were perfect in faith immoveable, as if ye were nailed to the Cross of our Lord Jesus Christ, in flesh and in spirit, and ye are confirmed in love in the blood of Christ; and it is confirmed to you that our Lord in truth is of the race of David in the flesh, but the Son of God by the will and the power of God, who was born in truth of the Virgin, who was baptized of John, in order that all righteousness might be fulfilled by him. Truly before P'ontius Pilate and Herod the Tetrarch he was nailed for us in the flesh, whose fruit we are, from his suffering dirinely blest, in order that he may raise a sign to eternity by his resurrection for his saints, and his believers. whether among the Jews or among the Gentiles, in one body of his churech. For all these things he suflered for our sakes, in order that we may be saved; and truly he sulfered, truly also he raised himself. c. i. ii.
Of Polycarp, Bishop of Smyrna and Martyr, from the Epistle to the Philippians.
In the same manner thie Deacons blameless before his righteonsness, are Deacons of God and Christ, and not of men.

## Of the Same, from the same Epistle.

But God himself and the Father of our Lord Jesus Christ, and the eternal Chief Pricst himself (215), God Jesus Christ, build you up in faith and in truth, and in all gentleness, and in all absence of wrath, and patience, and endurance of Spirit, and in perseverance, and in chastity.

Of Clement, the third Bishop of Rome after the Apostles, from the second Epistle to the Corinthians.
My brethren, thus is it right for us to think concerning Jesus Christ, as concerning God, as concerning the Judge of the living and the dead, and it is not right for us to think small things concerning our salvation: for if we think smali things concerning it, we hope also to receive small things. And when we hear as concerning small things, we sin, because we do not know from whence we are called, and by whom, and to what place; and how much Jesus Christ endured to suffer for us.

# VIII. <br> From the First Boole of the Epithronian Sermons of the holy Severus, Patriarch of Antioch. 

From the thirty-seventh sermom, on Basil the Great and on Giregory Theologus. But it was delivered in the church of the Martyr the holy Ignatius.
Thus in their will they seemed martyrs, for they were not held by their seats, neither were they bound by the pleasures of this world. Since, then, they emulated the God-clad Ignatius, they said, "It is good to set from the world and to rise in Christ." c.ii. For this reason we have assembled you in this his house, the house of prayer, for the commemoration of these Saints; and we have proceeded in the discourse to their praises, honoring the teacher through his disciples.

> From the Second Book of the Epithronian Sermons of the holy Severus, Patriarch of Antioch.

From the sixty-fifth sermon, on the holy Basil and Gregory; but a few additional words are spoken towards the end of it also respecting the God-clad Ignatius.
(215.) In the same manner, also, the God-clad Ignatius, who now has set before us this spiritual banquet in his house, which is the house of prayer, and who rejoices in the praiseworthy virtues of his disciples, was appropriately named Ignatius from facts, because he foreknew things future; for any one who is only moderately acquainted with the language of the Romans knows that Narono, that is, inflamed, as we also say, was derived from hence; for the Romans call the fire which is lighted up and in flames, Ignis.* Who then is he that has in himself the flame, that is to say, the lamp of divine love, and is inflamed by the desire to suffer for Christ? The same who also, in writing to the Romans, says: "Fire and beasts and ten thousand sorts of torments, let them come upon me, only may I be accounted worthy of Jesus Christ." c. v. And since he had this within him for him who was beloved, which is also wonderful, on this account also he erieti, "From within he saith to me, 'Come thon to my Father.'." c.vii. Not only, then, in the similarity of the name, which commencing with God, was appropriated to Basil and Gregory, did they resemble Ignatius, but also in the strenuous stand for the truth, in boldness of speech, in

[^70]contests, in suffering, in the harmony of preaching. For they knew Good, and tanght the word of God which without conversion was made flesh, and was erucified for us and suffered in the fleshl; while they little heeded the Simomian and Nestorian adrocacy, which is blinited and offemoded unholity at the stiffering of the Geithead; for they are persuaded that the suffering did not tonch that unpassable one, although by way of the ceonomy as one made flesh and made man he would be in suffering when he was astonished at the sting of death which is agninst us and of sin. And Ignatins indeed said, "Permit ye me to be an imitator of the suffering of my Gool." Epist. Rom. c. vi.
(217.) From the eighty-fourth sermon, on Busil the (ireat, and on Greyory Theologus. But it was delivered accordiny to custom in the interior of the house of proyer of the God-ctad Martyr Iynatius.
And they fixed their view towards heaven like the God-clad Ignatius, and looked for the excellent things which are above, and were steadfast, and conversed with bodyless spirits, and, were out of the flesh even when in the flesh. Take for me, as a proof of these things, the words of him who as in reality had put on God. "For I say, not because I am bound and am able to understand the heavenly things, and the places of angels, and the stations of principalities, visible and invisible, from this am I already a disciple; for many things are lacking to us, so that we may not be lacking of God." Epist. Trall. c. v.

Let us, therefore, since Christ is our head and master, and not man, as he says in the Gospels, be prepared for the kingdom of Hearen: like the saying of the Martyr Ignatius, "So that we may not be altogether lacking of God. To him to whom it is becoming, be praise for ever and ever. Amen.

Here endeth the eighty-fourth sermon.

## IX. <br> From the Book of the Proofs of the Fathers against the wicked Grammaticus.

Testimonios of the holy Fathers, ucho from the time of the - Apostles soumelly tanght the right uord of failh, and beheld Emanuel one and the same in miracles and in sufferinys, and rejected the distinction of a duality of natures after the union. Of Ignatius Bishop of Antioch and Martyr, from the Epistle to the Romans.
Permit ye me to be an initator of the suffering of my God. c. vi. Of The Same, ufter some other [uords], from the Epistle to the Ephesians.

When ye were inflamed in the blood of God, ye accomplished perfectly a deed worthy of the race. c. i.

## X.

(215.) Also I!mutius, in whom Christ duelt and spoliee even us in Paul, and from this he was named the God-clad: for he wrote to the Ephesians after this manner. Ignatius:
Ignorance was dissipated, the antient kingdom was destroyed, when God was manifested [as] man, for the renewal of life without end: and that which was perfect by God took a begiming. From hence every thing was moved as one because the destruction of death was prepared. c. xix.

But also Iynatius the God-clad and Martyr, in writing to the Ephesianss, taught that Christ, in that he was passible, that is, in the flesh, after the trial of sufferings and deall was at the last impassild, : when still in that he was always God, he was also always impassible. But he speaks thus. Ignatius:
There is one physician, carnal and spiritual, made and not made, God amongst men, true life in death, both from Mary and from God, first passible, and then impassible, Jesus Christ our Lord. c. vii.

## XI.

Of the holy Ignatins, the God-clad Martyr and Archbishop of Autiorth, from the Epistle to the Ephesians. Who taught that Christ uais first passible in the fiesh, and then impassible.
There is one physician, carnal and spiritual, made and not made, God amongst men, true life in death, from Mary and from God, first passible, and then impassible, Jesus Christ our Lord. c. vii.


## XII.

Of the holy Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch and Martyr, from the Epistle to the city Philadelphia.
Do not err, my brethren : he who cleaveth to him who rendeth the church doth not inherit the kingdom of God. c. iii.
(219.) Of the holy Ignatius Theophorus, from the Epistle which he wrote to the church which is in Asia.
Let no man err: even heavenly beings and the glory of the angels and principalities visible and invisible, unless they believe in the blood of Christ who is God, there is judgment even for them. Epist. Sinyrn. c. vi.

Again of the same, from the Epistle to the Ephesians.
For there is one physician, carmal and spiritual, made and not made,

God in man, true life in denth, from Mary and from Ciod, first pmasible and then impassible, Jesus Christ our Lord. ©. vii.

## Of the hely Ignulins, Bishup of Antineh and Martyr, fiom his Epistle to the Smyrneans.

I warn you of evil men, whor are beasts and possess only the fomm of men, that not only is it not right that you should receive them, but if it be possible ye should not even meet them, but only pray for them, that they may repent, which is difficult, but Jesus Christ has the power over this. c.iv:

## XIII.

And again the blessed Ignatius, Patriarch of Anlioch, whow was the second after Peter the Apostle, and he also spalie Unus in the Sipistle to the Ephesians. Ignatius:
But there deceived the ruler of this world the virginity of Mary and her child-birth, and in the same manner also the death of our Lord. c. xix.

Of the hoty Ignatius, Patriarch of Antioch, who was the second after the Apostles, from the Epistle to the Romans.
Permit ye me to be an imitator of the sufferings of my God. c. vi.

> And again he says,

My spirit boweth down to thy cross which is an offence to those who do not believe, but to us for salvation and for eternal life. Epist. Ephes. c. xviii.

> Fur the holy Ignatius the disciple of the Holy Apostles suid,
> He who honoretl the Priest honoreth Christ.


## XIV.

(220.) From the book called Plerophoria, or an Apology for the Orthodox and Apostolic Faith.

Chapter the third, which establishes that Gorl the Word, the only begotten of the Father, one of the Trinity, having been made man suffered and was crucified for us in the flesh; and not man a mere man urrought our salvation, as again the same Nestorians also affirm this. The holy Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch and Martyr, from the Epistle to the Romans.
Permit ye me to be an imitator of the suffering of my God. c.vi.

## XV

## From the Epistle of my Lord the holy Philoxenus, Bishop of Mabug, against the passion of the soul.

And Polycarp the disciple of John was burnt with fire, and Ignatius was devoured of beasts.

## XVI.

From the book called the Bee, which was composed by my Lord Solomon, Metropolitan of Perat Maisan.
John the son of Zebedee, he also was from Bethsaida of the tribe of Zabulon. He preached at first in Asia, and afterwards he was sent into banishment to the island of Patmos by Tiberius Cessar, and then he went up to Ephesus and built a church there. And there went up with him three disciples-Ignatius, who was afterwards Bishop of Antioch, and was thrown to beasts at Rome, and Polycarp, who was Bishop in Syria (Snyyrna) and received the crown of martyrdom by fire, and that John on whom he conferred the priesthood and the seat of the bishopric after him. He then, having lived a long time, dicd and was buried in Ephesus. For he gave charge that no man should know his sepulchre: and there are two sepulchres of the same in Ephesus: one concealed of the Evangelist himself, and the other of his disciple John, who wrote the Apocalypse, for he says that from the mouth of John the Evangelist he heard every thing that he wrote.
(221.) That child, whom our Lord called and set up and said-that unless ye be converted, become like a child, ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven-was Ignatius who was Patriarch of Antioch. And he saw the angels ministering in two bands, and instituted that they should minister in the church in the same manner. And after a time this institution was abolished, and when Diodorus went with his father in the embassy to the country of Persia, and saw them ministering in two bands, he came to his own comitry Antioch, and renewed the practice of ministering in two bands.

## XVII.

Ignatius the God-clad saw in a divine vision the hosts on high chanting in two bands, and he delivered it to the holy church of God to chant in the same manner.

## XVIII.

At that time Domitian raised a persecution against the Christians, and Johia the Evangelist was sent to l'atmos. But when Erodius had
continued 30 yenrs in Antioch, Ignatius became Bishop theme and bee first instituted that two bands should eham! in the Chureh.

And also grantins, when he had ruled 15 years, was mast to beasts at Rome, and Heron stood in his stend. In the 9th year John the Evangelist departed this wordd, having eomtinmed in the Eqiseopate seventy years: and Ignatius and Polycarp were his disciples, and the life of John was prolonged to the : 9 th year of the reign of 'Trajan.

## XIX.

In the year 419, Trajan made Armenia a province, and in the same year Ignatius, who had been the disciple of John the Evangelist, suffered Martyrdom in Antioch.

## (22e.) THE TESTIMONY OF MY LORD IGNATILS,

## BISHOP OF THE CITY OF AN'ILOCH,

## WHICH HE TESTIFIED IN THE DAYS OF TIIE

EMPEROR TRAJAN.

Ar that same time, when the wicked Emperor Trajan had receised the government of the Romans, Igmatius, who was the disciple of the Apostle John the Evangelist, a man * * * who in all actions resembled the Apostles, and like a wise sailor directed the Church in Antioch, and with difficulty passed through the former tempests from the many persecutions in the days of Domitian, and like a grood pilot, with the helm of prayer and constant fasting, and by the admonition of spiritual works stood up against the tumults of the power of the enemy, being afraid and fearful lest he should lose any of the humble and of little strength. And he therefore rejoiced at the stability of the Church, and at its rest a little from persecution: for he was subdued in himself lest he should go astray from that true love of Christ, to which he had been brought near, and should be hindered from the perfection of the rank of the Disciples. For it was set in his mind, that by the testimony of Confession, if it should happen and befal him, he would be brought very near to God. And on this account having been a few years constant in the Church, like a divine lamp, having enlightened the hearts of all men by explaining the Scriptures which were disclosed to him through prayer - .

Trajan, then, after nine years of his reign, his heart being lifted up on account of the conquest of the Scythians and the Dacians and of many other nations, and thinking that it was becoming to him, and that his victory was very imperfect unless he subdued the Christians and the people who feared God, to compel them also to worship devils, and together with all men to put on the superstition of the mations, while he threatened to persecute all the Saints,
that either they should sacrifice to devils, or should receive punishment * * * * he oppressed them. At the same time [being afraid] on account of the Churches at Antioch, that [noble] soldier of Christ, Ignatius, of his own free will * * * came even to Trajan; for he was [staying] at that time in Antioch, and was hastening next to make a descent upon Armenia and upon the Parthians. When then he stood before Trajan face to face, Trajan said to him, "What art thou, O ill-fated man! who art precipitare, and hastenest to transgress my orders, and persuadest (223) others also, to perish in an evil manner?" The holy Ignatius then said to him, " A man is not to call him ill-fated who is the habitation of God; for those devils and demons, which thon servest, are removed to a great distance from the servants of God. But if, because I am a burden upon those evil ones and against the unclean spirits, thou callest me ill-fated, even I myself confess this; because I have Christ the king who dwelleth in heaven, Him who destroys and frustrates all their inventions." Trajan said to him, "And who is he that has God clothed upon him?" Then Ignatius answered him and said to him, "He who has Christ in his heart." Trajan says to him, "With respect to us, then, dost thou not think that the gods are in our mind, since they are our helpers in the wars?" Ignatius then said to him, "The devils of the nations thou callest gods, so art thou in error; for there is one God who made all things in hearen and in earth and in the seas and in every thing that is in them, and one Jesus Christ his Son, who is the only [Son], whom I look to, that I may live in his love." Trajen says to him, "Dost thou speak of him who was crucified before Pontius Pilate?" Ignatius says to him, "Him I speak of, who has crucified sin and the inventor of it: Him who has condemned [the deceit] of devils, and subdued them under the feet of those * * * who bear him in their hearts." Trajan then said to him, "Hast thou, then, put him on and art clothed with Christ?" Ignatius said to him, "Yea: for it is written, I will dwell in them and I will walk in them." Trajau said, "We command that Ignatius who saith, that commonly in every place he beareth him who was crucified, should go in bonds and by the hands of the Romans, to Rome the great, and there be food for beasts, and that the people of the Romans may be pleased by him, when they see that which hath befallen him." When, then, the holy Martyr heard this order, he cried with great joy and said, "I thank thee, Lord, that thou hast accounted me worthy of thy perfect love, and hast deemed me worthy of the bonds of thy Apostle Paul, and hast bound me in iron." And having said these things, with great joy he received the bonds upon him; and prayed, first for the Chureh, with many tears, imitating his Lord in this, that like a notable ram of a good flock, he went at the head of it. And by the fierceness and the malice of the Romans he was snatched away, that he might be sent to Rome for the food of rapacious beasts. And with much readiness, then, and with great joy, in the desire of the sufferings of the cross, he went down from Antioch to Seleucia (2.21), and from thence he began to pass by the sea; and having with much labour arrived at the city of Smyrna,
with great joy, having descended from the ship, hee went to the holy Polyenp, Bishop of Smyrna, who was his fellow in the obedience of Christ, whom also he was desirous of seeing, for formerly they had been disciples torecher of the Apostle John. And when he was come to him, and they had communieated with one another in the gifts of the Spirit, and commmicated with one another also in bonds * * * * * * he entreated him then that he also would forward his * * * * and his alacrity. But the more because in all the churches in every phace this holy man was reeeived in a friendly manner by the Bishops, and Presbyters, and Dencons, who were in the churches of the cities, who assembled and came to him, that they also, perhaps, might have a portion and communion in his gifts, and might be reckoned worthy to receive some of his spiritual gifts, but more especially the holy Polycarp. For the blessed man looked forward, that by means of the beasts he might speedily depart from this world and might be known before the face of Christ. And thus he spake, and testified by many things of his love of Christ. Stretched out were his hands; and the hope of his heart [was], that he might obtain hearenly things by a good testimony, and by the help of the prayers of those who prayed for his struggle and his contest; and with much solicitude he besought God, that those churehes might have their reward, which came to meet him by means of their chicf persons, and conducted him with letters of thanks. When, then, he saw the love of all men towards him, and the access by means of their ministry to the love of God, he was afraid and feared lest he might go astray from his unanimity and love towards his Lord, by means of the solicitude which there was for him from many, and might wander from the door of that martyrdom to which he was sent. And he wrote and sent to the Church of the Romans, as it is written below.
"Ignatius, who has put on God, to her who is magnified in the greatness of the Most High and of Jesus Christ His only Son, the church beloved and illumined by the will of God who bindeth and holdeth all, and the love of Jesus Christ; her, who has the first seat in the place of the Romans, who is worthy of God and worthy of His splendour, and worthy of happiness and glory, and worthy of those things which she asketh, * * * and worthy of chastity, and sitteth in the seat of * * * * of love in the law of Christ; in the name of the Father I * * * *; and in the name of the Son (225), in the flesh and mixed with the Spirit, I, who am supported in all the commandments of God by His grace and His mercy, without division and purged from all strange things, more especially through Jesus Christ our God, blameless, peace and joy I give. I have prayed, and it has been granted to me, that I should behold their faces that are worthy of God, which from a long time I have asked, that I may find in the flesh; by Jesus Christ I hope to come and salute you, if there be this will, and I be accounted worthy to bear these things even to the end. For, if in the begimning, and if in the end, by the good administrations which I have begun I look forward, that I may obtain this grace evell to the end, to receive my inheritance without hindrance; but I am afraid of your love, lest it should injure
me. For I know that it is easy for you, to do all that you wish; but for me, it is difficult for me that I may find God, more especially if your sparing be upon me. But I entreat you, because I have no desire that you should please men, for lo also ye do please: for neither shall I find a time like this that I may draw near to God; nor will ye find, if it be that ye should ask, a deed like this, and will ye be more tranquil. For if ye be quict from me, I am to myself the Word of God; but if ye love my flesh, I am then a Voice

EXCERPTA IGNATIANA ETHIOPICE.
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Ionatues, Martyr, Patriarcha Antiochiae post Petrmm, Principem Apostolorum, tertius, dixit in Epistola sua:

Deus, conditor ommium creaturarum, devictor ommium ${ }^{1}$ graduum et principatus omnium ${ }^{2}$ naturarum, in tribus personis, perfectis èr cikór ка̀̀ тробс́лт $\varphi$, cum in throno glorire suse sederet Omnipótens, una e tribus personis ${ }^{3}$ in utero Domina nustran, Sancte Virginis Marize habitavit, propter unionem Deitatis, de qua hoe loco loquimur, factam in Filio; non enim loquimur de Patre et Spiritu Sancto, neque umquam diximus, illos ad assumptionem humano naturae in utero Virginis habitasse, sed potius Filium solum, unam e tribus personis, eumque natum esse ex illa. ${ }^{4}$ Ille crucifixus est in ligno crucis, et remittit culpam; ille in sepulchro, et resuscitat mortuos; ille extra sepulchrum, et relinquit sudarium in eo; ille, januis clausis, intravit ad discipulos suos, et dedit iis pacem. Credimus, esse Patrem in Filio et Spiritu Sancto, et Filium in Patre et Spiritu Sancto, et Spiritum Sanctum in Patre et Filio. Hace Trinitas xqualis (est) sine separatione et sine commutatione, in tribus personis, et una Deitas unaque Majestas ; et unum arbitrium unaque potestas ; et unum regnum, una adoratio, et una gratiarum actio, et una gloria debetur Trino Sancto. Et unum consilium unaque gubernatio, et unus honor, et unum robur, et una essentia, et una voluntas
(1) "Omnium" deest.
$\left.{ }^{( }\right)$"Omnium" deest.
$\left(^{3}\right)$ "Una e tribus personis" deest.
( ${ }^{4}$ ) "Eumque natum esse ex illa" deest.



































[^71]est Trini Sancti．Pater est Pater，nee est Filius，nee Spirites Sanctus；Filius est Filins，non est Pater，nee Spiritns Sanctus； et Spiritus Sanctus est Spiritus Sanctus，non est．Pater，nee Filins．Nec convertitur Pater in Filium et Spiritum Sanotum， nee Filius in Patremet Spiritum Sanctum，nee Spiritus Sanctus in Patrem et Filium．Hi Tres perfecti sunt in throno gloria，conjuncti vinculo unins Deitatis，qua est lumen unum， unde exuritur Trinitas，et implet ommes creaturas，et illustrat eos，qui sub）ferra sunt；sicut scriptum est：＂Ego implon coelum et ferram，et qui infra in inferno sunt，gloriam meam aspiciunt．＂（Jerem．xxiii．24．）．

Erubescas tu quoque，qui Deitatem passam et mortuam esse dicis，cum verba nostra audieris．Nos credimus，Chris－ tum Deum passum esse in carne，hominis instar，nee passum esse in Deitate，gustavisse mortem in carne，nee mortuum esse in Deitate．Et quum audieris，Deum Verbum passum esse pro nobis，et ${ }^{5}$ mortuum esse Deum Verbum ${ }^{6}$ propter redemp－ tionem nostram，intelligas，nos Deitatem cum humanitate in unam naturam unire，et uno illo nomine，quod debetur Deo， nominare ；sicut tu quoque e duabus naturis，i．e．anima et corpore，（compositus es），et uno illo nomine，quod debetur homini，nominaris．Et anima tua，quamvis non Deitas sit， immortalis est suâ ipsius naturâ，et diversa a corpore．Jam illam dignitatem，quam animis nostris，quia immortales sunt， attribuere placet，cur eandem attribuere non velis Deitati Trinitatis uni，quae subsistit in Filio Unigenito，Domino nostro Jesu Christo？Nonne scis，dicendo－＂Deitas mortua est，＂te fieri interfectorem Trini Sancti，et corpus Domini nostri in sepulchro perfecte idem a te reddi，ac cadavera mortuorum ${ }^{7}$ ，et separari a Deitate sua，quia substantia Trini－ tatis una est，scilicet una Deitas？Jam ubinam erit is，qui mortem devicit，et infernum captivum duxit？ Tu autem
 (D.J": "
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reddis cum tamquam imbecillum，numerandum com mortais， qui non moventur，viribus destituti．

Immo vero alii qroque Haretici inter Theomahos，quod significat＂Dei Inimicos，＂occurtunt，de corpore，quod Deus e corpore et sanguine Dominae nostra，Sanctae Virginis Mariee，sibi edificavit，et cujus ipsi nôrumt eum esse Crea－ torem，ita sentientes，ut dicant，corpus illud esse sine anima， ot Deitatem ei fuisse pro anima．Ergo，Deitate ex illo egressa ab eoque separata，corpus mortuum est in perpeturm？Sed nunc erubescat is，qui haec dicit et ita negat ；et audiat verbum illud Domini nostri，Jesu Clristi：＂Tristis est anima mea usque ad mortem！＂De quo，Domine？De populo，qui perditur．

Et iterum dixit hic Sanctus Ignatius，Martyr，Patriarcha Antiochier，in Epistola sua decima tertia ：
＂Vere natus est Dominus noster，Jesus Christus，vere ado－ levit，vere edit et bibit，vere crucifixus，vere passus et mortuus et sepultus est，et resurrexit a mortuis．Hoc qui ita credit，beatus est ；qui rejicit，a vita beata，quam nos spera－ mus，separatus est．Atque illi，qui Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum，Filium unigenitum，dividunt，et postquam unus factus est，in duas naturas dissecant，cum Judreis，Dei interfectoribus，numerantur，qui in injustitia sua dicunt： ＂non propter tuum opus bonum volumus lapidare te，sed propter blasphemiam tuam，qui，cum homo sis，facis te ipsum Deum．＂（Jo．x．33）．Eritque eorum hæreditas par cum illis，qui infirmitatem et diminutionem Filii Dei，Verbi， cogitant，eumque in duas naturas dissecant．

# NOTES ON THE THREE EPISTLES OF ST. IGNATIUS. 

«. 乃. r. represent the three manuscript copies of this Epistle respectively. A. indicates the Shorter, and B. the Longer Recension in both the Latin and Greek texts.

## ON THE EPISTLE TO ST. POLYCARP.



 "The Epistle of Ignatius, Bishop of Antioch." The orthography of proper names in Syriac varies much: and there is no fixed rule in the manner of writing such words as are borrowed from the Greek; neither is the same manuscript always consistent with itself. In the text the orthography of $a$. has been followed throughout this Epistle. It will be needless, therefore, to make any further observations on this subject, than merely to note the


l. 1. $\operatorname{\infty } \circ \boldsymbol{\circ} \Omega$ 12. The word $\Theta$ eoф́́pos, which is retained here, is translated in the inscription of the Epistle to the Romans, inserted in the Aets of Martyr-

 found this epithet frequently applied by Syriac writers to Athanasius and others. The term $ص \triangle$, which is employed by Ignatius himself in this Epistle, 1.7, and was also a favourite metaphor of St. Paul, seems to have been very commonly used by them. I quote the following passage from Philoxenus, not only as exhibiting the use of the word, but also as illustrating the notion


 put off the old man and put on the new ; and servitude and put on freedom; and carnality and put on spirituality ; and sin and put on righteousness;"

 folly."

1．1．H；；acyl？＂of Smyrna．＂Both the（ireek recension s have ineidyaias §uvpraicor；the Latin B．Smyprensium only，which is nearer the Syriac． And if，in this instance，Episcopo Smyrnensium be the rendering of imaroíne £ди́prys，as ELpiscopum Simyrnensium，three lines above，is of iтíroatov § $\mu$ ip ry was made，agreed entirely with the Syriac in this respect．

1．2．$\ddagger \rightarrow$ Ans＂visited．＂In employing this word the Syriac translator seems to have imitated the paronomasia of the Greek in émerкотŋ川ér（c），re－ faring it to $\lceil; a \leq \infty$ ，which has been used in the Peslito as the rendering of


 ，in chapter the 31st，which treats on the Priesthood， 8 g if ك ，there is found the following passage ：






 ＂The first order，then，of the orders of the Ministers of the Church，has in it three grades－the Patriarchal，the Metropolitan，and the Episcopal． Patrick（Patriarch）is a word adopted into the Arabic tongue，and its origin is in the Greek חarpıápरŋラs；and the meaning of it is＇Father of the Chiefs．＇Matran（Metropolitan）is also a word adopted into the Arabic， being in the Greek M M $\eta$ poo тo八ír $\boldsymbol{\eta}_{\mathrm{s}}$ ；the meaning of which is＇Chief of the city＇：it is also said that it is＇Mother of cities．＇And so，also，Uskuf （Bishop）；the origin of which word is ${ }^{3}$ Etioromos，and in the Syriac
 who visits the state of the faithful，and inspects their affairs in religion．＂ See MS．Pocock．No． 2.53 in the Bodleian Library．The $\mathfrak{\leftarrow} \subseteq \subseteq \wedge$ ，however， according to the authorities adduced by Assemani，seems to have been ranked，both by the Jacobites and Nestorians，among the Presbyters，and
 חeptodevrìs．See Diss．de Syris Monophysitis，§．x．；and Diss．de Syria Nestorianis，pp．nccxcr．and decexxxi．
( $i \leq 0$ "our Lord," as the translation of Kvpiov; and thus generally throughout these Epistles, as also in the Peshito of the New Testament.
l.5. $\beta$ and $\gamma$. $4-12 \Delta S 0$. . Tousj "God." There is no equivalent for this in either of the Greek recensions : it seems, however, to be necessary to complete the sense after $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \rho \delta o \xi{ }^{\prime} \alpha \varsigma \omega$.
1.7. $\mid$ تد

 equivalent of $\epsilon \kappa \delta \dot{\prime} \kappa \epsilon t ~ \sigma o v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \tau o ́ \pi o v . ~ I n ~ t h e ~ P e s h i t o, ~ R o m . i i . ~ 18, ~ 1 \Delta \ddot{\Delta}\rangle 0$ is the translation of т $\grave{\alpha} \delta \iota ф \epsilon \rho_{\rho o v \tau \alpha . ~ I n ~ t h e ~ i n s c r i p t i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ E p i s t l e ~ t o ~ t h e ~}^{\text {a }}$ Romans, p. 40, the word тóт $\omega$, although, indeed, not used in precisely the same sense, is rendered in this version by $\mid \triangle>0$ ?. I suspect, therefore, that when the Syriac version was made, the word here was $\dot{\alpha} \xi i \omega \mu a$, as we find in the Epistle to the Antiochians, c. viii. p. 138, oi סıáкovot $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa$ ét $\omega \sigma a v$ oíov єiб亢̀ $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota \mu \mu \dot{\tau} \tau о \varsigma ; ~ a n d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ f i r s t ~ c h a p t e r ~ o f ~ t h e ~ E p i s t l e ~ t o ~ H e r o ~ t h e ~ D e a c o n, ~$

 Smyrncans of B. we find тóтоз каì $\dot{\alpha} \xi i(\omega \mu u$ together, ch. vi. p. 107. There can be no doubt that many single words have been changed in these Epistles by design, as well as whole passages been interpolated, and entire Epistles fabricated; such as the substitution of é $\rho \iota \stackrel{\text { for }}{ } \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \theta v \mu i a$ in the Epistle to the Ephesians, p. 23. This instance seems to be one in which the true reading has been retained in the passage which has been borrowed, to give the colour of genuineness to the spurious Epistle, while a false reading of a single word has been introduced into the text of the true Epistle. In the Constitutions

 whole of that and the following chapter, which seem to be imitated from this Epistle of Ignatius to Polycarp. Bishop Pearson cites a passage from Origen, and another from Alexander of Jerusalem, writers of the third century, containing the expression of тòv тóтоv 〒 $\hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa о \pi \bar{\eta}$, and two passages of Cyprian, where 'locus' seems to be used in a similar sense; but these are long subsequent to the time of Ignatius, and probably much nearer to the period at which his Epistles were first falsified.
l.9. |LQهol "unanimity," does not quite coincide in meaning with rîs évéoccs. This word is used to translate opovoía in the Syriac extract from the Epist. to Magnes. ch. vi. at page 197, 1.24. In the Peshito, 2 Cor. xiii. $11,|2 \Omega 0|$ is used to render tò aúzò фpoveโv; and in Ephes. iv. 3. we
 had perhaps in his copy erótytes, a worl frequently foumd in the spurious

Epistles, and in the interpolated pussages of the gemnine, as in the 8 th chapter of this to Polycarp. This seems to afford good ground for the supposition that it also existed somewhere in the true text, since it appears to have been the practice of the fibricator of the Ignatian Epistles frequently to repeat words and phases which are found in the gemnine test, to give a fair colour to the spurions. The expression used in the Epistle to Hero, ch. i. p. 141, horrowed from this place, is $\tau \hat{i} s$ बvpetporius-фpoiveice. In the interpolated passages of the Epistle to the Ephessians we read, in T? ip opovoóre
 बímpora fi, p. 21. The word éveross seems to signify actual external union and conformity, as well as the concord of doctrine and opinions: such an union as the Henoticon of Zeno was intended to effect; and it therefore appears to refer to later times, when the Church was broken into sections and external divisions. The employment of so many terms relative to union in the Ignatian Epistles seems also to refer to the times when the पnestion of the union of the natures of Christ agitated the theologieal world.

$$
\beta \text { and } \gamma \cdot \text { read } \cdot \Delta \perp\} \mathbb{N}=\leq \text {. }
$$

1.10. $;$ O $\ldots-6$ "beareth," has no equivalent in the Greek, and has probably been added for the sake of perspicuity in the idiom of the Syriac, the repetition of the word $\beta a \sigma \tau \alpha \zeta_{G \iota}$ being unnecessary in the Greek.
yno's f, literally, "protract (or draw on) thy spirit," the usual idiomatic exprescion for 'patience,' corresponds accurately with aré $\begin{gathered}\text { or. As }\end{gathered}$ my perhaps too literal translation has given occasion to a misunderstanding, I have now rendered these words by "be patient." See Jacobson Patt. Apost. 3d Edit. p. 464. n. 3.
P.4.l.1. $\Gamma^{5} 0|21| 20 S_{5} \Omega$ "In prayer be constant." The same expression is used in the Peshito, Col.iv. 2. aıSc|21 120 $S_{5} د$, to translate T $\hat{n}$
 which comes nearer to these words of Ignatius, is rendered in the Peshito
 copy of the Syrian translator, seems to have been borrowed from this place of St. Paul. Compare also Ignatius' Epistle to the Ephesians, ch. x. p. 27, where a similar addition has been made. In the passage of the Epistle to Hero, borrowed from this place, ch.i.p.141, $\dot{\alpha} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon i \pi \tau o \iota s ~ h a s ~ n o t ~ b e e n ~$ added to каi $\delta \epsilon \bar{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \sigma \chi o ́ \lambda \alpha \zeta \epsilon$, which, as I have before observed, is a confirmation of the Syriac here. The words of 1 Cor. vii. 5, iva $\sigma \chi \circ \lambda \alpha \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \tau \hat{\eta}$
 $1 \angle \mathrm{~S}_{5}>0$. Several critics reject $\tau \hat{\eta} v \eta \sigma \tau \epsilon i ́ a$ каi from this passage, in which case the words of Ignatius may seem to be a citation from St. Paul.

In a Syriac translation of Gregory of Nyssa on the Lord's Prayer, the

 Edit. Par. 1638, and Brit. Mus. Cod. Add. 14,550. fol. i. col. 2.
1.2. $\beta$. and $\gamma ., \Delta$.$] U.$ in the first instance, $\beta$. only in the second.
 and 'consuctudinem' of A., rather than with Boin' $\theta$ ecav of A. and 'adjutorium' of B. Moreover, we find, in ch. vi. of the Epistle to the Magnesians, p. 65,

 again in these Epistles. Boinferav seems to have been only a mistake of the copyist, in confounding $\beta$ and $\mu$, an error very likely to occur in manuscripts of no very early date, from their similarity to each other. In this same Epistle, p.8.1.6, we find $1 \sigma \boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{l}$ ? $l_{2} \omega_{3}$ as the rendering of $\Theta \sigma o u$ rvóu ${ }^{\prime}$ s, and again in the Epistle to the Ephesians, p. 20.
 also is the gain." The words s $\dot{\rho} \rho$ and $k u$, which I have supplied from the Syriac, are also found in this passage, as cited by Antiochus, see p. 178:
 of the A postles seems to have had these passages of Ignatius before him when he wrote the eighteenth chapter of the second book: Kaì $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \pi a ́ v \tau \omega \nu} \mu \epsilon-$


 with tenderness." The Syriac translator seems either to lave read $\pi$ apá$\xi v \sigma \mu a$ for $\pi \alpha \rho o \xi v \sigma \mu o i s$, or to have misunderstood the word in rendering it by liny unless, indeed, there was another word altogether different in the text. The same sentiment is expressed in the Constitutions of the Apostles thus:
 while to compare the whole of the preceding passage, commencing кai $\sigma \dot{v}$
 passage of Ignatius ; like as many others in the same Constitutions may be referred to similar passages of the three Epistles of St. Igmatius. St. Polycarp has $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\text { úтóтоно }}$ év крі́бєь, ch. vi. Theodoretus, writing in a similar



 Fah. Lib. ii. c. 8. tom. iv. p. 334. Edit. Schulze. And again, in his letter to Flavian of Constantinople, גpض̀ rào tò iatpòv ápuroías $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \theta \eta ~$
 Compare also the passages from Jerome cited by Cotelerins in his notes on this place. The word lire as employed in this passage by the translator, is the term used by Syriac writers to express íктодй or íтотоцй. Thus 1 find in an imperfect letter respecting Abraham, Bishop of Haman, in Mus. Brit. Cod. Add. 14,60!, the following passage: $\mid$ -
 mas lineS hisaSana? "But he resembled a skilful physician, who with skill applies medicines gentle and powerful, and where it is necessary eauterizes and cuts, that he may afford health to the holy." And on the next
 like a skilful physician, who cuts with love and heals with pardoning." In a letter of Mar Jacob of Edessa to a Presbyter dom, hacofimi neman |taco, Mus. Brit. Cod. Add. 12,172. fol. 72, occurs the following passage:









"And thou again hast taken upon thyself the art of a physician, but possessest not dissecting instruments; nor dost thou know the constitution of the body, nor the variations of times and stations; neither dost thou possess roots and profitable medicines. And there is fear and great danger lest a man, while he is desiring to cure and heal a little wound, should destroy the whole man, inasmuch as he has not the strength to endure, nor to be cut and cauterized, nor to take those compounded medicines which are able to cleanse the wound and to heal it.
So also, in healing the body, we see that different medicines are requisite, and various methods of treatment, which tend to advantage : and also, again, in the spiritual healing of the soul much more."

The Syriac word lir means generally "a flock." This passage, therefore, if we make no reference to the Greek, may be equally correctly translated, "Refresh the Flock with tenderness," as alluding to the pastoral office of Polycarp.
 similarity of sound to $\beta$ pó $\chi \alpha u$, as in rendering $\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ by ${ }^{\text {Br }}$.
l. 6. $\gamma$.
l.7. $\sim \triangle \wedge .50$, $\Gamma^{S j}$ "to those things that are requisite." There is nothing in A. to correspond at all with this. Eiouei of B. seems to contain a part of what the Syriac translator read, a word probably having been omitted, $\epsilon \hat{i} \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \epsilon \ldots$, and the remainder contracted into eifaci, which the editor of the text in the Medicean manuscript afterwards left out as unnecessary. The Chev. Bunsen suggests єis ä̀ dê̂, p. 34. n. 13.

1. 8. USO loor " mayest allure," for ко入aкeing. The sense of this word in this place seems to be the same as in the Constitutions of the

 find this word applied to the Bishop, perhaps suggested by this very passage

 $\pi \alpha \rho о \rho \omega \bar{\omega}$.
U. "ask." The point under the $\circlearrowleft$, which is also found in $\gamma$., shews that this word is in the imperative mood: the corresponding Greek word is therefore aiँ $\tau \iota$ of A ., not airn̂s of B . which I have followed in the Greek text.
 similar expression to this in the Aposryphal Book, called חepoodov 'Ioavvov;
 Apoch. p. 265. In the Festal Letters of Athanasius the same expression

 quireth us also now, that we should not only speak words like these, but also in deeds should imitate the Saints."
 in B. we find $\nu \eta \dot{l}$, as well as äve $\mu$ os. Under any circumstances this passage appears to be obscure, and probably was corrupt even at the time when the Syriac version was made. Compare Antiochus, p. 178. In the Constitutions of the Apostles, Book ii. cl. 57., the Bishop is compared to a pilot, the idea having been perhaps borrowed from this place: Ц̌̀े dè é énírкoтos
 mecá $\lambda \eta / s$. In a similar passage of the Epistle of Clement to James, ch. 14., Christ is compared to the $\kappa v 3$ eprijitns, and the Bisliop to the $\pi$ peoperis. "Eouker



 ка́ros, к.т. 入.
 well as кatakiovacua. Sce p.18.1.3. p. 40.1.6. p. 42.1.2. and p. 2. 1.5. p.14.1.2. p.42. 1. 1.
1.4. $\beta$. and $\gamma$ have $\Delta_{\lambda}$ صصso $\Delta_{2}$ ?.


 In the Epistle to the Tarsians, c.ix. p. 132, we find the expression $\tau u \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ouk $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \tau \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$, $\omega \stackrel{\text { cै }}{\omega} \nu \tau$. The conjecture does not therefore seem to be improbable, that $\tau \iota$ may have fallen away after eivas, and then d $\xi$ iotıovou have been inserted, to give a meaning to the passage, by the same hand as wrote $\lambda$ úkou
 óperot in the Epistle to the Trallians, c. vi.
 A. and 13. have üкцur, which also is the reading in Antiochus, p. 177. Paral. Rupet. p. 18.t, Anton. Melissa, p.186: and both the Latin rersions have incus. The Syriac seems to be more natural. But if the Greek be correct, the translator probably mistook the meaning of üкцсv, and supposed it to be
 "combatant," as in the sentence following. The Syriac translator of the extract from the Book of Canons, p. 198, has rendered it by ha $2 \mathrm{~S}_{\Delta \Delta \mathrm{s}}$ "a valiant man." If he had the same reading in the Greek he seems to have made the same mistake. The word which he has used, however, may have been employed to render $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \quad \eta^{\prime}$; but being a different term from that which represents $\dot{\alpha} \theta \lambda \eta \tau \eta \eta_{s}$ in the next sentence it makes it appear prohable that he did not find the same word in both places in the Greek.
l. 8. $\beta$. and $\gamma$. yo $\leq \$_{0}$.
1.9. $\leq \leq$, which is omitted in $\alpha$., but which seems necessary for the sense, I had inserted in the text from $\beta$. This has been afterwards confirmed by $\%$

## P.8.l.3. 3. $\cos 2 \Delta 50$.

## l. 4. $\beta$. and $\gamma,>\sim \infty$ ?

1.5. (So " 850 "on our Lord's account," which would be the renclering of $\delta \iota \alpha$ тòv Kúpıov. Both $\alpha$. $\beta$. and $\gamma$. have, however, $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$. The Syriac translator probably gave $\mathbb{Q}$ from the similarity of the sound of the two words. The influence of the similarity of sound has been noticed above, see note, p.269. The Syriac term to correspond with $\mu \in \tau \grave{\alpha}$ should be $\dot{\mathfrak{L}}: \mathbf{\square}$; and indeed I have found this very expression in a passage in other respects
similar to this place of Ignatius, in a very ancient copy of the acts of Simeon
 "How many orphans and widows were brought up and supported, after our Lord, in the person of this blessed man." Cod. Add. 14,484, fol. 65.
1.6. Both $\beta$. and $\%$ ilel in the first instance, and $\%$ is in the second. $\beta$ reads also ${ }^{\leq} \leq$for $i=$.
 by the reading in the Hom. de Uno Legislatore, attributed to John Chrysostom, p. 170, خríu $\mu \eta \mathrm{s}$ ©eồ, which, indeed, seems to be the true order of the

 $\epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \tau a \theta \dot{\eta} s$ of A . We find this word in the Constitutions of the Apostles,


 vou tót $\omega v$. $\beta$. and $\gamma$. usi>s.

l. 10. $\left.\right|_{t}$ ح Aramaism, signifying that they do not become, and retained in the Greek in eipe $\theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu$. This expression is very common in the writers of the New Testament. Even the writings of St. Paul are not free from this idiom:

 $\kappa а \theta \hat{\omega}$ s каì $\dot{\eta \mu \epsilon i \varsigma, ~ x i . ~ 12 . ~ W e ~ f i n d ~ t h i s ~ i d i o m ~ e v e n ~ o c c u r r i n g ~ i n ~ p a s s a g e s ~}$ translated from the Greek. Thus in the Peshito, Luke xix. 17, 【ـ Saコ: csarso huabl.al "because thou hust been found faithful in a little;"
 very ancient Syriac recension which I am at this moment carrying through

 they were speaking, he was found standing among them." I transeribe here a note of Gio. Bat. Gallicciolli, on the Epistle to the Smyrneans relating to this. (4.) Furono) nel greco heurethisan, propriamente incenti sunt. Ma questo verbo per idiotismo orientale è lo stesso che essere. Come Luc.xvii. 18. Galat. ii. 17. 1 Pet. ii. 22. da Istai liii. 9. E celebre il luogo di s. Matteo i. 18. Antequam comvenirent inventa est in utero habens, senza che convenissero era gravida per virtù dello Spirito santo. See "Lettere Genuine de Sant' Ignazio: truduzione dal greco di D. Gio. Batt. Gallicciolli." 8vo. Ven. 1798.
 discourse respecting them." The obscurity of this passage seems to have
arisen from a misunderstanding of the word sukote $\chi$ vius, which appears to signify nothing more than any improper means of gaining a livelihoord, and such as are unbecoming the Christian character. I gnatins, therefore, in this passuge bids Polyearp shou and avoid these, in whomsoever they may be found; and, for the instruction of those under his spiritual chargre, to speak and teach them what they are, that they may know how to a a oid them. That this is the meaning of the word kunotíx ruc seems to be plain from the use of ré $\chi$ ron in the Constitutions of the A postles. Thns, Book i. ch. 4 , Oive "arn








 enumeration of things to be avoided, which may be included under како-


 magical arts, for which the writer of Пepodoo 'Ioaviov uses this very term :
 Birch, Auct. Cod. Apoc. p. 277. Etरe $\delta \varepsilon$ o Nontıavos $\pi \epsilon є \rho a \nu \mu a \gamma \iota \kappa \eta s$ како-


 p. 286.
1.2. 3. reads (بiol for I suspect the word $\sigma \tau \mu 3$ iots, which occurs here both for 'lhusbands' and 'wives.' The Syriac has simply earmayy their husbands" in the one case, and por.נים "their wives" in the other. In the passage imitated from this place in the Epistle to the Antiochians, ch. ix., we read oi ä ödes
 rois ópes'i rous, and similarly in that to the Tarsians, ch.ix. In that to Hero,



 lows the Greek so literally, we have 'viris' and 'uxores.' Ignatius may therefore have written simply $\dot{\alpha} \dot{\partial} \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma t$ and $\jmath$ vicikus. He was not, however,
unacquainted with words compounded with $\sigma v 1$, as we see a little further in this Epistle, p. 11. l.3. In the Greek I have supplied $\epsilon^{\prime} v$, and read $\tau \hat{\varphi} \mathrm{K} v$ pí $\varphi$, to correspond with ( i © $\triangle$, as we find in the Epistle to Hero, cl. iv.,

 lines below, and in the Ep. to Epl., p. 18, l.7, where we find ve $\Delta . \square$ for кaтà 'I $\eta$ oov̀.
 $\pi \lambda$ éov of A. as coming nearest to the Syriac. The idea of this passage, cè̀v


 also the following passage from the Constitutions of the Apostles: M $\eta \delta \dot{\delta} \nu \bar{\nu} \delta \bar{e}$


 Book ii. ch. 31.
$\beta$. and $\gamma$ read $<$ ? it., which is nearer the Greek $\delta$ e than in of $\alpha$.
l.7. 3. |room.si!, and $\gamma$. taemsl!.

- ㅇ.po poors, "that they may be married." It does not seem pro-
 although this may ultimately give the same meaning, he would hardly have used exactly the same expression to render these words as he has employed
 words seem to have been adopted here at some subsequent period for the same purpose as the term ${ }^{\circ \prime} v(\sigma \sigma \iota s$ is otherwise so frequently used in the Ignatian Epistles. See note, p. 265 above.
l.8. $\gamma$. y 50 .


l.3. lou-cooso-s "With them may there be for me a portion with God," agrees with B., Antioch. Mon. p.178., and Paral. Rupef. p.183., in the omission of $\kappa \alpha \grave{y}$, and confirms $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ of B . rather than $\begin{gathered}\text { év } \\ \text { of } \mathbf{A} \text {. I }\end{gathered}$ have retained the " $\chi \in \epsilon$ of $B$. after févouto, but the Syriac does not require it; nor is it found in Paral. Rupef., but simply mépos révooto, as in the Syriac. See p. 183.

l.6. $\beta$. \Loimg).
l. 7. $\beta$. and $\gamma$. have pas LoS for (on). Both Greek copies have

нevíte, and Latin A. 'maneat,' for the simple for L "be" of the Syriac. In Antiochus Mon., who does not, however, quote the passage literally, we read $̈$ с̈бт $\omega \sigma$. See p. 177.

 for deréproop cipec Ôj. Mr. Jacolson quotes very appropriately the following passage from Vegetius de Re Militari ii. §. 20.: Illud ab anticquis divinitus institutum est, ut ex donativo quod milites consequuntur dimidia pars sequestraretur apud sigma et ibidem ipsis militibus servaretur . . . . . Miles deinde qui sumptus suos scit apud signa depositos de desmendo nihill cogital, magis diligat signa. Halloix writes respecting this passage: "Cum autem milites in expeditionem irent, tum ipsi in civitatibus peculia sua castrensia deponelant, quar reversi recipielant. Ila igitur तeposita appellabantur al, eo qui deponebat: accepta autem ab co qui accipiebat. Confectis ergo bellis illi ileposita repetebaut, lii aceepta restituebant." See Mlust. Script. Sæc. i. p. 458.
l.9. (0) lin $^{3}$ loor 2 "Let your spirit be enduring," or "be ye patient." Both the Greek celitions add oiv, and the Latin A. 'igitur;' but this particle is omitted in Anton. Melissa and Paral. Rupef. pp. 185, 186. Both of these also read $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho о \theta \nu \mu \in i \tau \epsilon$, and add $\mu \in \theta^{\prime}$ before $\dot{\nu} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$, as in B.


 howerer, as quoted by Eusebius in the translation of the extract, p. 203.,

B. $\sim 1$ 《دつ.
 expresses more than $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \Theta \epsilon \bar{\varphi} \sigma \chi o \lambda a ́ \xi \epsilon \iota$ of the Greek, which is rendered by
 "but is constantly ready for God." Compare note 1. on p. 4. above. As this single sentence in the Greek occurs in a long interpolated passage, it is not improbable that the last word may have been altered, and $\sigma$ रoخáset have


 in that of the Epistle to the Ephesians, ch. v., we have the expression, "i\& © ©ै $\mu \mathrm{ev}$ Єєой iтотаббómevot, perhaps imitated from this passage, as it originally stood in this place of the Epistle to Poly carp. This is also translated in the extract
 1. 2. [. hadup] "to Antioch." The Greek copies have Evpíav"; but in
the interpolated passage preceding we find 'Avテıo $\chi$ cía $\tau \bar{\eta} \varsigma \Sigma v p i a s . ~ T h e ~ a d d i-~$ tion of this latter word of itself seems to betray the interpolator. It surely must have been unnecessary for Ignatius to specify to Polycarp which Antioch he meant. The same remark will apply to the Epistle to the Philadelphians, ch. x., and to the Smyrneans, ch. xi. In this latter place the author of the recension B. seems to have felt this objection, and consequently has omitted $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \Sigma v p i ́ a s$.
l.3. $y^{2}+20$ ? $y-1$, traces of this injunction, which have been remored from the Greek, still seem to remain in the Epistle to the Antiochians ch. xii. $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi a ́ \zeta o \mu a \iota$ - тò






 $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau i \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.—Book iii. ch. 36. See p. 161.
$\gamma$ reads $\mathcal{L}_{\underline{2}, 0 \text {, "as thou chargedst us;" an error which seems to have }}$ originated from the transcriber mistaking a long final Cof for a final Nun.

 p. 47, l. 1 , by $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{h}}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{S}$; it may perhaps, therefore, be more correct to sub-
 ${ }_{6} \gamma \omega$ бо $\sigma \iota \epsilon \tau \alpha \xi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu$.


## ON THE EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS.

P.16. The heading in $\gamma$ is fäng $20 \leq,<\Delta \ddot{2}$, $\mid Z_{n}$ U "Epistle the Second, which is to the Ephesians."

l.2. H.Seasoo "and perfected," which also corresponds with the Latin B. "replete." This word agrees much better with $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ дjpoфо $\rho \eta \not \mu$ ér? in the inscription of the Epistle to the Philadelphians, or with $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda$ ripoouéry and $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta p$ ouérous in those of the Epistles to the Smyrneans and Romans, than with $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \tau$, as it is found in this place. In the translation of that to the Romans this same Syriac word h-Ssesse is used. The genuine text of this place corresponding with that of the Epistle to the Romans, has been probably imitated in the inscriptions of the Epistles to the Philadelphians
 various sects of the Doceta，seems to have been substituted here and em－ ployed in the inscription of the Epistle to the Trallians probably for one and the same purpose．

The Chev．Bunsen has well remarked that Ignatins，in writing to the Ephesians，seems to have borne in mind the beginning of St．Paul＇s Eppistle





1．3．HEessoo＂and perfected．＂Here we have the same Syriac word to correspond with ìrouév ${ }^{\prime} \nu$ as with $\pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega_{\mu} \mu \iota \tau$ above．It seems rery improbable that the translator should have rendered this latter Greek term by the same word as the former．＇H $H \nu \omega \mu$ év $\eta$ v appears to have been sub－ stituted here for some other term，with the same object in view as the intro－ duction of ëreoru，\＆c．，mentioned above in the Epistle to Polycarp．See note， p． 265 above．A few lines further we find the same verb，$p \mathbf{0} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{S 0 \_}$ ，em－ ployed to represent ànทprioare：L．Ssoaso would therefore be equivalent to árท官七ศнérv，a word which does indeed occur at the end of this Epistle，or to кđттртьб $\mu$ ery，which is likewise found in the interpolated passage ch．ii．of this，and also in ch．viii．of that to the Pliladelphians．In this latter place the writer，wishing to keep up the same idea as is represented ly ipropuéryp， appears nevertheless to have retained the genuine word，which the Syriac seems to show that he found in this place，and conserquently to have used
 нéripr and éк入è equérpr，as they are found in both the Greek Recensions； but according to the Syriac，üँpentor is to be referred to סó ${ }^{\prime}$ ur，and the two following epithets should be in the dative case，to agree with éкк入ך $\sigma$ íc．I suspect also the word＂ंтperтor，which is a dogmatic term of a later period， meaning＂inconvertible．＂
 might have been an error of the copyist for $1 ; \uparrow$ ？ the truth，＂to agree with $\dot{e} v \tau \pi \dot{\theta} \theta \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta_{\Delta t}$ ｜asa is confirmed also by $\gamma$ ；and indeed it seems more probable that the Syriac，as it now stands，is correct－＂chosen，＂or＂elect in the purpose of truth．＂Compare 1 Pet．i．2．，＂to the elect ．．．．．according to the fore－ knowledge of God．＂Rom．ix．11．＂that the purpose of God according


 \＆c．；to which latter place，as it has been remarked abore，Ignatius seems
to have referred in this his own Epistle to the Ephesians. I have not altered the Greek word in the text. It might have been $\pi \rho o \theta$ éret, subsequently changed into đáधet, with the object of combatting the Docetæ, who appear to be so often opposed in the interpolated parts of these Epistles, or perhaps, indeed, the Phantasiastæ of a later period. Thus, in the inscription of the Epistle to the Trallians we have $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi$ $\pi \dot{u} \theta \epsilon \iota$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$, in that to the Philadel-

 in the Greek, with the following passage from the Preface of the Eranistes



 Let it be borne in mind that Theodoretus, if we reject the passage said to have been cited by Athanasius, is the first after Euselbius who quotes any of the interpolated passages in the genuine letters, or any of the spurious Epistles, and this also, in the very work from which I have cited the words above. Further, there is a remarkable similarity in many of the terms and phrases used by Theodoretus with those of the interpolated and spurious letters.
l. 4. pr - -arazl: " of the Father of Jesus Christ our God." Both the Greek Recensions insert kai after Пaтpòs; thus distinctly asserting the divinity of our Lord by restricting $\tau \hat{v} \Theta \in o \hat{v} i, \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ to the latter member of the sentence, "which, without the conjunction, would be undetermined, as in the Syriac. We find, however, in the Syriac at the end of the Epistle to the Romans, col hassso "ass? " of Jesus Christ our God." It is probable, therefore, that the meaning here also is the same.
1.5. ゅanolv "In Ephesus." Both the Greek Recensions add $\tau \overline{\mathrm{i}}$, 'A $\begin{gathered}\text { ias, which may have been a gloss crept into the text. We find, however, in }\end{gathered}$ the inscriptions of the Epistles to the Trallians, the Philadelphians, and the Smyrneans in A., and in B. also of the last, $\tau \hat{\eta} s^{\circ} A$ aías added in a similar manner. Such addition is certainly unnecessary, and highly improbable if Ignatius had indeed written these several Epistles from the places, and sent them by the persons mentioned in these Epistles. May not the author of the Recension B. have felt this objection, as he seems to have done tarious others, and therefore have been induced to remove rîs ' $A \sigma$ 'ias from two out of three of the places where it occurred?
 $\chi$ र́apt of A. In the 7th ch. of the Epistle to the Magnesians in A. we find also $\dot{\epsilon} v \tau \hat{n} \chi \alpha \rho a ̂ \tau \eta \hat{\alpha} \mu \mu \omega \mu \varphi$.
1.8. | L The Syriae seems to express something more than merely фíret òmcuá, the
meaning of which is at least obseure. Oa the supposition that the Greek had been translated from the Syriac, and not the Syriace from the Gireek, the omission would be easily necounted for by the similarity of fale occurring so immediately afier fano. The passage to correspond with the
 סokaic, from which the notion seems to have been borrowed in the begiming
 have added кai before кaтù mistuv, which is not found in the ordinary editions of B3, upon the authority of the Manuseript in the Borlleian Library (see Whiston's edition, p. 102), to agree with $ص$ ) of the Syriac. This, however, is oinitted in $\gamma$.
 been fervent." The two extracts from Severus, p. 213, p. 217, have

P.18.1.1. $\mathbb{W}^{5}$ " with speed," or "speedily." The Greek copies have $\tau \in \lambda$ éi $\omega$. The Syriac translator probably read $\tau \alpha \chi$ '́ $\omega$ s, which seems to agree better with the sense of the passage. "SO in the Epistle to the Romans, p. 48 , represents $\sigma v \tau$ óncos. The Syrian translator of this passage, as it is cited by Severus, p. 213, has A.his-say "perfectly," which agrees with $\tau \in \lambda$ तéus.
$\gamma$. adds $\boldsymbol{r}-\mathrm{y}^{\text {after }}{ }^{\rho}$, which corresponds with the Gireek.
l. 2. J $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}>0 \infty$ "actions." $\gamma$. $\vec{j}_{\mathrm{i}}>\propto \infty$ only, without the mark of the plural, which may be rendered "risiting." The Greek has añò Stpíus. I formerly thought that this might have been a mistake of the Syrise translator, in confounding $h_{i} \Delta Q x$ with $h_{\rho} ; \infty$, on account of the similarity of the sound of the words Sírono and Suriya. Such an error, however, would more naturally occur in translating from the Syriac into Greek, than from the Greek into Syriac. But I now think it much more probable that the Syriac is correct as it stands. In the Epistle to the Romans, p. 48
 corresponding with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ Svpías: there seems, therefore, no reason why such an error should occur in the one place rather than the other. Moreover, it agrees much better with the purport of the whole passage, that the Ephesians should have hastened to see Ignatius when they heard that he was bound in close custody so as to be unable to risit them, or in any way to be actively engaged, than merely when they heard that he was "bound from Syria." There can be no other plausible reason assigned for such a change in the Syriac, than the chance that the translator had confounded the two words; but this does not seem probable, inasmuch as we find that he has not done so in the other case; while it is evident that if Ignatius had been
strictly bound from visiting and acting, he could hardly have written all those Epistles which now go under his name, or have taken that active part during his journey which the letters themselves would lead us to infer that he must have done. It would be necessary, therefore, for the fabricator of those Epistles to remove any such expression in the true text as would contradiet his statements in the false. He seems, therefore, to have substituted ミupias from the passage in the Epistle to the Romans, "From Syria even to Rome I fight with beasts-being bound with ten leopards," p. 47, l.5.
 copies have $\theta_{\eta \rho \iota o \mu \alpha} \chi \bar{\eta} \sigma \alpha$; and in the Syriac translation of the passage from the Epistle to the Trallians, ch. x., where this word occurs, it is rendered in the same manner, p. 200, l.20, "I may be devoured of beasts." This does not quite express the same meaning as the Greek. In the
 "I am thrown among beasts;" and in the translation of the same passage, as cited by Eusebius, p. 203, it is given much more literally, 120 Oan y
 l2äm Yos "fighting with beasts," is used by the Syriac translator of Chrysostom, to represent Aŋрьomáðous. See Hom. 3乞, on 1 Cor., near the end, Mus. Brit. MS. Add. 12,160. fol. 24. rect. col. ii. In the Epistle to the Romans, Ignatius, speaking of his treatment by the soldiers who guarded him, uses the term $\theta \eta \rho o \rho \mu \chi \hat{\omega}$; but in expressing the same desire as he does here, he
 the idea expressed by the Syriac than the Greek.
 of which I am deemed worthy I may be able." The verb $|0 \wedge \boldsymbol{\Delta}|$ is always used in these Epistles to correspond with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi / \tau v \chi^{\epsilon} \hat{\iota} \nu$ as well as with $\dot{\alpha} \xi(\omega-$ $\theta \hat{\eta} v a t$. The passage, therefore, corrected according to the Syriac, is iva סià
 appears to have been a gloss crept into A., while $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau v \chi \bar{\ell} \hat{\imath}$ was afterwards rejected from the text of B . as unnecessary. The addition of $\mu$ дaptupiov seems to have been subsequent to the time when the copy was transeribed from which the Latin version $\Lambda$. was made, inasmuch as it agrees with the Syriac by reading only "ut potiri possim discipulus esse." In ch. viii. of the Epistle to the Romans the interpolator has retained the idiomatic ex-
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau^{\prime} \chi(\omega, \mathrm{p} .53$. We have a similar instance of the omission of the object


me." There is nothing to correspond with this in either of the Groek Recensions; but the Latin $A$. has also ugain retnined the true reading in "videre festinastis," and not received the interpolation тồ imèp-_hasian, which seems to have thrust the other words out of the text. It is evident, also, from this, that the Latin version $\Lambda$. has followed a manuseript transcribed before these additions had been made to the Greek text. I eopy here Bishop Pearson's note upon this place: "Hae sententia valde perplexa est. In Graecis duo vocabula deesse videntur, quae tamen in Cod. suo

 clara et perspicua." In the Martyrdom of Ignatius we read í'revode . . .

1.5. $\mathrm{S}_{\text {" "we have received." A. and B. have both the singular, }}^{\text {( }}$ which is perhaps the trme reading, as we find Ignatins using the singular number immediately before. The variation might have arisen from the particle ér, following äreiap申a, having been connected with it, and then read as though it were $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \dot{\eta} \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon v$.
l.6. $\gamma$. |-anol "your Bishop" of the Syriac, agrees with B., but A. adds $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \nu \alpha \rho \kappa \grave{\imath}$, perhaps to distinguish it from $\tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \grave{\imath}$ ' $\mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o v ̂ \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v ̂ \tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ध́ $\pi เ \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \varphi$ in the Epistle to the Magnesians, ch. iii. p. 61.

## 1.7. \% pas >aso.

G20SO, $>$ pooon2, "that ye may be in his likeness"; that is, "like him," a common Aramaism which is so exactly retained in the Greek év ópotópuть aitrô̂, that we may almost suppose the latter a translation of the former. St. Paul

 l. 8. $\gamma$. |๑aصmの\}.
P.20.1.1. pa2150 $\operatorname{co\Delta } \triangle \mid$ ? "I should be silent from you," would seem to require $\dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \omega \nu$, not $\pi \epsilon \rho \stackrel{\imath}{c}$ as in the Greek. In the Epistle to the Romans we find $\dot{\epsilon}^{\circ} \dot{\alpha} \nu \sigma \omega \pi \pi \dot{\jmath} \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{\iota}\left\langle\pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu \circ \hat{v}\right.$. The meaning of Ignatius is, that his love did not suffer him to refrain from exhorting them ; and not that it did not suffer him to refrain from speaking concerning them. The change of $\dot{a} \pi \grave{o}$ to $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ may have been caused by the long passage interpolated here, which quite breaks off and disjoints the writer's meaning, and destroys the peculiar force of the word $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$. As it is now restored by the Syriac, the continuity of the idea is kept up. Ignatius says that he had received their attentions (whatever they may have been), through Onesimus their Bishop, in love unutterable, whom he prays that they all may love and imitate, being such an one as they ought to thank God for' ; and then immediately transferring the idea to himself, he urges his own love as his excuse
for taking upon himself to offer a few words of exhortation to them. It is important to observe the modesty of Ignatius in this place. He does not intrude his admonitions and advice upon the Ephesians, but simply urges his love, which their kindness in sending to visit him in his bonds must have rendered still warmer as an excuse for offering them a few words of exhortation, after he had previously proposed their own Bishop as the example whom he prayed God that they might follow.


 by l.ta > 0 ofin "run together with one another;" which is a literal translation. In the interpolated passage immediately following, Christ is
 $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \omega \mu$ єiviv; or, if the particle év be omitted agreeably to the Latin version, Jesu Christi sententia sunt, as Dr. Hammond suggests (Dissert. ii. c. 25. §. 12; see also Dr. Smith's note on this place), ซvஸ́رך єiбí. The change,
 would seem to enforce one of the great objects which the interpolator had in view, viz. obedience and concurrence with the Bishop. Indeed, in the very
 ซvต́«!?. The original word, before this passage was corrupted by the interpolator, was perhaps $\sigma \pi$ ovoú $\sigma_{\eta \tau \varepsilon \text {. Thus we find in this same Epistle, ch.v., }}$ $\sigma \pi o v \delta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega \mu \in \nu$ ov̉v $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \hat{\varphi}$ є̇ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi \varphi$, which is rendered by the Syriac translator at p. 197, l.5, by the same word whas i.s. Again, ch. xiii.,
 Further, in the Epistle to the Magnesians, ch. vi., which is an imitation of this part of the Epistle to the Ephesians, we find $\dot{\epsilon} v \dot{\partial} \mu o v o i ́ a$ @ $\Theta e o v$ áa $v a$
 used in the Syriac extracts, ibid. l.24. It was the practice of the interpolator, as I have already observed, not merely to introduce whole sentences and chapters into these Epistles, but also, for the purpose of carrying some peculiar meaning, to alter single words, which he again reproduced in the interpolated parts, to give them an appearance of truth. From the comparison of the passages which I have cited, it seems therefore not improbable that the true reading here is $\sigma \pi o v \delta a ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$ instead of $\sigma v r \tau \rho \in \chi \eta \tau \epsilon$.
P. 24. l.1. $\gamma \cdot 1 \Delta \forall \ddot{\forall} \cdot \dot{\epsilon} \pi t \theta \nu \mu$ ía of B. agrees with the Syriac. The idea of Ignatius seems to pass on from $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \pi \eta$ "love" or "charity," the greatest of Christian virtues according to St. Paul, to émi $\theta \nu \mu \mu^{\prime}$ "lust" or "desire," the root of so many vices. The substitution of épis refers more appositely to the divisions caused by heresies mentioned in the interpolated passage preceding.

Tho Chev. Bunsen, reforing to Sto I'aul, Rom, vii. 5 , propmand to read
 author of the recension 13., who has consequently sulstituted imerpxet, and
 The Syrine agrees with A. in both.






 boweth down to the cross." It does not seem probable, therefore, that the Syriac translator read the passage exactly as it now stands. For árvícousu


 See various readings to Schaaf's edition of the Peshito New Testament.

1.3. $\mid \leq \subseteq \Delta$ por $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{\square}$ "in all ages," would require $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma_{\iota}$ to be added. The Chev. Bunsen proposes that the passage be read thus: Пepitripa ipûv
 Oi баркıкои, к.т. . $^{\text {. See his reasons in note 14, p. } 88 . ~}$
P.26.l.1, $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$, which I have added in the text to correspond with the Syriac, is also found in Antiochus, oi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \sigma \alpha \rho \kappa \kappa o \grave{,}$ к.т. $\lambda$. See p. 178.


l. 4. $\subset \mathcal{Z}_{i} \backslash \oplus$ "ye have done;" and so in the line following, as if the word had been $\epsilon \pi \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$. The Latin A. has 'operata sunt,' which also seems to indicate a different reading from the text of the Greek as it stands at present; and so, likewise, in the line following.
l.5. $\gamma .>$, S0>s.

l.7. $\gamma$ cea1. 5 soo "your pulley"; this word is not found in the Lexicons; but its meaning is plain as a noun of instrument from the root 13, "to draw," as water out of a well.
 words," dc. The Syriac in this place varies so considerably from the text
of both the Greek recensions, that it could hardly have been translated from either of them as they now stand. It has nothing to correspond with


 $\tau \eta \tau \iota$ of $\mathbf{B}$., or $\pi \rho \alpha \in \hat{\iota}$ of $\mathbf{A}$. The direct use of the imperative all through in B. corresponds more closely with the Syriac; while the employment of
 Q1.? | " be ye armed," to which no equivalent is found in A.
l. 6. pors 0 ; 50 ? 22 Ho "and be ye not astonished at them." I once supposed that this might have been a mistake of the transcriber for $0 \leq \varepsilon, 22$ Ho " and do not resemble," or "imitate," which would agree better with $\mu \eta$ 立 $\sigma \pi o v-$
 is gencrally employed to translate $0 a v \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ and $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. Thus in John v. 20 we find $0 ; 50,22,0 \lambda J\}$ ? for iva ijeis $\theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta ̧ \eta \tau \epsilon$; and v. 28, exactly as in this place, l? $\quad$ つ 0
 каì $\mu \grave{\eta} \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta є \tau \epsilon \dot{a} v \tau o v i s$, which indeed seems to suit the context. The sense of the whole passage appears to be, "Pray for all men, for there is hope that all men may repent ; and still more endeavour to induce them to become disciples, by the example of your good works. Shew yourselves to be humble, meek, pious and gentle, in true faith, against their haughty, blasphemous, and ferocious bearing, in error; and wonder not at this conduct on their
 o кó $\sigma \mu$ s, which is rendered in the Peshito by the same Syriac words

P.30.l.1. |_-Soso. This word seems rather to mean emulator, than simply imitutor. It is used once in the Peshito, Heb. vi. 12, poon2, 131


 $\tau u i \tau o \hat{v} \Theta \in o \hat{v}$, the very expression used also by Ignatius in this Epistle, p. 15, is rendered in the Peshito loisto pse, i.so. In the Epistle of the Church of Vienna respecting the Martyrs cited by Eusebius, E. II. b. v. ch. 22,
 dered in the ancient Syriac version in the British Museum, Cod. Add.14, 639,
 The sense of the passage scems, therefore, to be as I have rendered it, "Let us then be imitators," \&c. The construction of the Syriac is similar to the


 There is a passage similar to this of Ignatius in the Constitutions of the




 is the rendering to correspond with the Greek. If the Syriac stood alone, it would perhaps be more fitly translated "not that the promise is the deed." A. has inserted vip in this passage, which is rendered obscure by the long interpolation separating it from the original contest, which relates solely to practical duties. In the Syriac the meaning is clear, and runs thus: "But let us endeavour to be followers of our Lord in meekness, and in our readiness to undergo any sufferings for the sake of our faith; for the mere proferssion of it is mothing, unless we continue stedfast in the practice of it even to the last." The preceding passage, however, in A., ovideis miozıv
 i¢plijrortat expresses the same neaning, and scems to be nothing more than

 ఢor $\begin{gathered}a \\ \text { giving only the paraphrase, and omitting the original sentence. }\end{gathered}$ A similar form of expression is found in the Epistle to the Romans, p. 43, ou $\pi \in \iota \sigma \mu \nu \nu \hat{\eta} s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ ' ~ ' e p r o v . ~ I t ~ i s ~ w o r t h ~ w h i l e ~ t o ~ c o m p a r e ~ t h e ~ f o l l o w-~$ ing passages in the Constitutions of the Apostles, which seen evidently








 Gotting. 1796. p. 824.
l. 2. $\gamma$. adds $\omega$.or after $1 ; \lambda . \leq \circ$.
 cross," as the translation of $\pi \varepsilon \rho i ́ \psi \eta \mu a ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ُ \mu o ̀ v ~ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma \tau \alpha v \rho o v ̂ ~ o f ~ A ., ~ i s ~$ so different from the rendering of $\pi \epsilon \rho^{\prime} \psi \eta \mu a$ above, p .23 , that it hardly
seems probable that the translator could have read the same word in both instances. B. affords no assistance in this place, having omitted the word altogether. The Syriac extract containing this passage, p. 219, $l .20$, employs
 "to the cross." Instead of coa> "to you," it also reads $\downarrow$ "to us," agreeing with $\hat{\eta} \mu \mathrm{uv}$ of A. The Armenian translator also read $i \mu i v$. See Jahresbericht der Deutsc. Morgenl. Gesel. für 1846, p. 203. The change of $i \mu \mu \mathrm{~L} v$ to

 to have alluded to this; and the interpolator, following in his steps, procceds in the next passage to refer to, and indeed almost to quote, the following verse of
 t.w, Opera, edit. Assemani, tom. iii. p. 494.
$\gamma$ reads $\mid A \leq S_{002}$ for $12 . S 002$.
 passage in the whole of the Ignatian Epistles which appears to have been alluded to and cited so often as this ; viz. by Theophilus Antiochenus, or whoever the author may be, p. 158 ; by Origen, p. 159; Eusebius, p. 164; Basil, ibid; Jerome, p. 166; Jobius Monachus, p. 174; Timotheus of Alexandria, p. 211; and in the Syriac extract, p.219. Moreover, I find it alluded to in the preface to a Commentary on the Gospel of St. John, Mus. Brit. Cod. Add. 14,682, in the following words:
 ro Hon U.

 "Chapter 23, on how many causes were hidden from the devil. We also state that they are three; I mean, the pregnancy of the Virgin, and the birth and death. And for this reason, when at the Jordan he had heard 'this is my beloved son,' that he might not perceive that he is God, immediately after being baptized he gave him an occasion for the temptation. When there the devil saw him fasting," \&c.
$\%$ adds oZOSOO "and his death, which agrees with the Greek, omitting óoóws; but $\gamma$. agrees with $\beta$. in adding the conjunction, "and the three mysteries." Origen, the carliest who cites this passage, speaks only of the virginity of Mary escaping Satan's knowledge. In the Commentary attributed to Theophilus Antiochenus the virginity and birth only are alluded to ; and so also in Basil and Jerome, who seem to have copied from him. Moreover, in the Epistle to the Philippians, ch. viii. p. 151, where the two former are memtioned as escaping the knowledge of Satan, nothing is said
of the death of Christ heing equally hid from him. In the passage guoted by Enselines we find the death of our Lord introduced, and also in subsequent writers. The testimony of the most antient authors, so far us it gous, seems to confirm the rending of $\beta$. in omitting GZosco "and his death." And indeed this appears to be at least as matural as the addition. The virginity of Mary and the birth of our Lord esenped the knowledge of Satan; but the statement that his death did, seems to be contradicted by St. Jolin, who says that Satun put it into the heart of Judas to betray lime, John xiii. 2, and Lake xxii. 3; and thus was the chief instigator of the circumstance which led to his apprehension, condemmation, and execution. He could not, therefore, be said to be ignorant of the facts of our Lord's death in the same way as he was of his miraculous birth and conception. If, therefore, the words kai a ©arracos belong to the true text, they must refer to Satan's ignorance of the blessed results to be obtained by our Lord's death, and not to the simple fact of his crucifixion.
 easy to see the exact meaning of these words. Andreas Cretensis, or the writer from whom he borrowed, not understanding what "the mysteries of of the shout" signified, has substituted $\mu v \sigma \tau i j \log \phi \rho \iota \kappa \tau a ̀$ : see p. 180. The Chev. Bunsen proposes as the probable reading, трía $\mu \nu \sigma \tau$ ípıa évup $\gamma \hat{\eta}$ -


If the reading of $\beta$. be correct, it would appear that the "three mysteries of the shout," whatever be their meaning, ouglit to be taken in comection with the virginity of Mary, the hirth of our Lord, and the appearance of the star to the Magi. They may refer to the song of the heavenly host, "Glory to God in the highest," \&c. Allusion seems to be made to Rom. xvi. 25, 26.
l.3. Rーoos to so "from the star." The long passage relating to the star is similar to the following in the Protevangelium of James.

 Apoc. p. 115. Thilo, Cod. Apoc. p. 256. I give here also the text of this passage as it is found in a very ancient Syriac manuscript in the Bristish

 W.|inatif "The Magi said, We saw a star that was very exceeding in its magnitude, that shone among the rest of the stars. And the stars were darkened by its light, so that they were not even seen. And we knew that a king was born unto Israel." In a book called in $厶_{i}>50$ "Cave of Treasures," written by one

Ephraem (see Assemani Bibl. Or. tom. ii. p. 498, iii. p. 281), I have found




 "For two years before the birth of Christ the star appeared to the Magi; for they beheld the star in the firmament of heaven, which shone with a light, the appearance of which was greater than all the stars: and there was a girl in the midst of it holding a boy, and a crown was placed upon his head, according to the custom of the ancient kings and Magi of the Chaldeans." I have copied this passage from a manuscript in the Chaldee character, brought from the east by Col. Cheney.
$l_{i}$ ? On L. 2 "at the manifestation of the Son." In the Greek $\Theta_{e o v}$ $\dot{d} v \theta_{\rho \omega \pi i v \omega s}$ has been substituted for $\tau 0 \hat{v} v i o v ̂$; we find, however, in the next

1.3. $\gamma$ r reads ours ye.
 for this clause in the Syriac: кa0ŋpeito ought perhaps, therefore, to be removed from the text. The Chev. Bunsen compares here, 1 John iii. 8,
 forbear quoting here the following passage from Irenæus: ^́éreт












## ON TIE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS.

P.40. The inseription in $\gamma$ is $\Delta S 2$ ? $\mid Z_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{X}$ ?
 term is used to reprosent pe\%u入coívitt here, as pergéne in the inseription of the Epistle to the Ephesians, p. 16.
 try of the Romans." This confirms the reading of both the Greck recensions against chori of A . and the conjecture of Vossius. Casaubon, Exercit xvi.
 rous expression; nor indeed was it to be expected that a Syrian Bishop should write Attic Greek, or other than such as would bear evident traces of the Aramaic idiom, which inteed are manifest throughout these gemine Epistles. The expression |-scocö? $1 ; 21$ "the conntry of the Romans," is



 rómoves. In these, and in mumerons other passages which may be adduced, the idiomatic use of $|; \angle|$ is apparent, although various Greek terms are used to represent it, as $\lambda \lambda^{\omega} \rho \alpha$, , $\bar{\rho} \rho \eta, \tau o ́ \pi o l$, and $\lambda$ cópov in this place of Ignatius. The addition of the word $\tau \boldsymbol{\sigma} \pi(\varphi)$ seems to restrict the sense to the locality of Rome. It was to the church situated at Rome, and not to the general chureh of the Romans, that this letter was addressed. This is mamifest from the whole tenor of the Epistle. Bishop Pearson, although he does not seem to have been aware of the Aramaisms in which these Epistles abound, confirms my views by adducing a passage, which we know to have been origimally written in the dialect of Edessa, in the following note. "1 Cor. i. 2,
 Non infrequenter nomen civitatis aut incolarum тê $\tau$ тóncu adjungitur; ut in titulo Epistolæ, quam Abgarus Princeps Edesse apud Euseh. H. E. i. 13,
 cipue quidem Hierosolymis et locis circumvicinis." Of this the following is the representation in the ancient Syriac rersion of Euschius in the

 Chev. Bunsen makes the following remark upon this place: "Wir nehmen $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau o ́ \pi \omega$ absolut, als in dignitate, in officio suo, und lassen den Genitiv

 Genitiv，in derselben Auffassung，les Vorsitzes über etwas，in etwas，nur dass der Sinn hier geistig gewandt wird，wie er in der ersten Stelle rein örtlich gefasst ist．Für den Gebrauch von év $\tau \dot{o} \pi \omega$ in der angegebenen Bedeutung genügt es，auf den Anfang des Briefes an Polycarp zu verwiesen， wo es heisst：＇́кঠíкєє бòv đòv тóтоv，dein bischöfliches Amt，deine Bischofs－ wurde．＂P．114，not． 2.
 the Greek editions，which word is rendered in the Syriac version of this
 sufficiently accuratcly．If the Greek be the true reading，$|\because \ddot{H}\rangle$ is probably a mistake for 1 H．The expression＂worthy of life＂is similar to St．
 We may also compare Rom．i．32，oi $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o \iota \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ $\pi \rho a ́ \sigma \sigma o v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ä $\xi_{\imath}$ っь Oavá тov єí⿱亠乂口．
1．3．$\omega_{\uparrow}>O_{t}>0$＂and of remembrance．＂There is nothing similar to this in the Greek in this place．We find，however，in the inscription of the Epistle
 ceding $\dot{a} \xi \_a \gamma a \pi \dot{\eta} \tau \varphi ;$ and as this has been borrowed from the genuine Epistle，it seems probable，that at the period of the fabrication of that to the
 of the Greek：this latter word is rendered literally by｜20．o．$\rightarrow$ Lna． in the Syriac inserted in the Acts of Martyrdom at p． 224.
 the law of Christ．＂The interpolation in the Greek text has separated these words．We find them，however，retained in $\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \rho \omega \mu$ évots，and in Christi habens legem of A．，whence Vossius conjectured $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ v o \mu o s$ for $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau$ т́r vpos． The Syriac translation at p． 224 has also hasesse ounascıص＂in the law of Christ，＂as it is in this place．In the Epistle to the Magnesians，ch．ii． p．61，we find vó $\mu \omega$＇I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ ，which is probahly copied from hence．
 The punctuation of the Syriac in $\beta$ ．refers $\dot{c} \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \omega \boldsymbol{s}$ to the preceding sentence， and not，as in the Greek，to $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha$ र $\alpha$ ipeıv：$\gamma$ ．leaves it doubtful．
 $\mu$ éros，which is the rearding in Simeon Metaph．，in preference to érè cíGapéros of the Colbert and other MSS．，as being nearer to the Syriac，and also as affording a better sense．The conjecture of the Chev．Bunsen，not．8，p．115， adding màdu，which might have been the origin of $\pi \lambda$ ém in the interpola－ tion following in the Greek，would bring the text still nearer to the Syriac． Nevertheless，yor º may perhaps only serve to express more distinctly $^{\text {o }}$

The past signifieation of the participle; and further, the interpolated passage containing $\pi$ aéor is omitted in Simeon Metaphrastes.
1.7. ए? Hーの "now therefore." The word lace "nom," which is important in emabling us to determine the time, and, consequently, the place at which this Epistle was written, has been omitted, probahly by design. See note on p. $48,1.5$ below. $\sim$, seems to be the representative of riep in B., and of enim in both the Latin versions.
 expressed by eoso
 out of the text, or been omitted designedly.
P.42.1.1. |1 $\mathrm{J}_{3}$ loow of "If there be the will," agrees with éavatep Oé $\eta \mu \mu$ îi of 13., while A. adds rov̂ Єév̂́ after 0 é $\eta \mu \mu$, an addition which seems to have been made subsequently to the Latin version A., which has simply voluntas. And this reading is confirmed by the fact of the interpolator having made use of this expression in other places; it having been his practice, as I have already observed, to borrow the genuine words of Ignatius, to give a colour to his own fabrications. Thus we find in the Epistle to



$\gamma$. $\operatorname{con}_{\uparrow} ; 21$ "we have been supplied."
 emd, that I may receive my portion without hindrance through suffering." The word $\mid 0 \wedge \perp 1$, as I have observed ahove, is used to represent $\dot{\epsilon \pi} \pi \tau v \chi \in \hat{e}$

 There is nothing to correspond with o.oscasos "to arrive," in either of the Greek copies. It seems probable that the word iever, which I have adidel, formerly existed in the text, and that it became changed to civco, and was then transferred, to follow eis тélos in the preceding sentence: compare
 addition of eirat. The word $\chi$ ápros seems to have been a gloss introduced into the text, like $\mu a \rho \tau$ vpiov in the Epistle to the Ephesians, ch.i. p. 17. See note on this place, p. 279 above.
1.3. \% reads $\mathrm{A} \rightarrow$ basou "By suffering." I have added in the text $\delta i a ̀$ rov $\pi a \theta e i r$, to correspond with this from ch. vii. p. 13 of the Epistle to Polycarp, where the interpolator, evidently borrowing from this place, has these words


[^72]1.5. $S \Delta$ ind "If indeed ye do not spare me." iv here seems to stand for $\pi \epsilon \rho$ of the Greek, or perhaps $r e$ of the Cod. Nylpr. See Abp. Usher's celit. p. 32, not. 19: it is, however, omitted in $\%$. The Syriac agrees with the reading $\mu \dot{\eta}$ of B ., which is also confirmed by non of A .
 other copies, upon the anthority of Simeon Metaphrastes, to agree with the Syriac. $\gamma$. adds $\quad$. $>$ "to me," after $\mathrm{A} . \boldsymbol{S}$, and apparently correctly.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ$ гүрaф $\overline{\mathrm{y}}$ rat. This latter word is, in all probability, either an error for eipeOirvat, an Aramaism very frequent in these Epistles, or a substitution for it.
wascal il "If ye leave me." Although the meaning comes to the same, this varies much from $\dot{\epsilon} \dot{a} v \nu \sigma \omega \pi \dot{\prime} \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o \hat{v}$; but as this is found in both the Greek recensions and their corresponding Latin versions, I have retained it in the text. It is probably an error of the Syriac transcriber, from the similarity of $(a \subset \backslash . \Delta L$, which is found in the same line, to ( A. and $\tau \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ of B .
1.7. $10-|r \leq ;| a \leq s 0$ "I shall be the word of God; but if ye love ray fiesh, again am I to myself a voice;" i.e. "I shall then be only a voice." This exactly corresponds with the ancient Latin version of the Corpus Christi MS., verbum Dei: si autem desideretis carnenn meum, rursus factus sum rox. The other Syriac version of this Epistle inserted in the Acts of Martyrdom at p. 225, 1. 14, also agrees entirely with this, using even the very same terms; and so, likewise, does the citation made by John the Monk at p.206, 7.26. The testimony of these two independent Syriac versions, which vary so widely in other places, confirmed by the citation of John the Monk and the Latin version, seems to be conclusive that some word must have been omitted in the Greek corresponding with $10 \leq 50$ and verbum, and that $\tau \rho \rho^{\prime} \chi \omega \nu$ has been substituted for a term of which $\boldsymbol{H}_{\text {ond }}$ and voox are the proper representatives. In the Peshito version of the New Testament $1 \lambda S 50$ is always the corresponding term for $\lambda$ óros, as verbum is also in the Latin versions. The word omitted is therefore doubtless $\lambda$ óros; and it has probahly been removed by some one who confounded $\lambda$ ógos $\Theta e o u ̂$ with ò $\lambda$ órós Өeès, so frequently occurring in the earliest Patristical writings as an appellation peculiar to our Lord and Saviour Christ, and which ought not, therefore, to le applied to any other. This removal must have been made subsequently to the time of the transcription of the copy from which the Latin version in the MS. of Corpus Christi Col. was made. For Ho of the Syriac, and row of the Latin, we find $\tau \rho \epsilon$ ' $\chi \omega \nu$ in the Greek, which is evidently erroneous.

From the circumstance of this Datin version, which in all probatility was made long subsequently to the time of John Chrysostom, having retained the true reading, this error appears to have found its way into the text since the time of that writer; and it does not, therefore, appear improbable that it might have been taken from the following expressions of his in his enee-


 carp, ch.i. p.1. I formerly thought that $\tau$ fíicov might have been a corruption from ixx ${ }^{\text {a }}$, which may be supposed to signify the same as $\mu_{0}$ and vow; but upon reconsidering the passage, it appeared to me that Ignatius had in his mind the same idea as is expressed in the first chapter of St. John's Gospel, where the divine mature of Christ is called dóros, verthum, |ASso; while the Baptist, contrasting his own merely human nature with that of our Lord, calls himself фwor̀ $\beta$ oôvtos, H2, vox, "the voice of one crying," \&c. This view of the passage, which I had already communieated to the Cher. Bunsen, 1 found afterwards completely confirmed by an early writer, John the Monk, who cites both this passage of Igmatius and the words of the Gospel of St. John in illustration of what he advances with regard "to the men of the word and the men of the roice," in the extract which I have given from his letter to Eusehius and Eutropius. See p.242. The view which he takes in considering $\lambda$ óros to represent the spiritual man, and ф(orì the natural man, seems to have been held by many early writers. In the Constitutions of the Apostles, Book vi. ch. 30, Clirist


 oíт






 always styles Christ ò $\lambda$ óros $\Theta$ éos and ó $\Theta$ Eéos 入óros. See the reasons which he assigns why Christ is not called $\dot{o}$ خóros tô̂ $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ in the Gospel of St. John, Yol. iv. p. 54, ibid. Athanasius calls man before the fall $\dot{o}$ дogukòs. Thus, in his Treatise de Incarnatione Verbi Dei, we read, ó dè 入оүикòs каì кат eiко́ra




 $\delta v i \eta 0 \hat{\eta}$. And in his first oration against the Arians, ibid. p. 446, ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \dot{\gamma} \lambda a \tau-$

 Basil-or whoever may be the author of the IIomilia adeersus eos qui per calumniam dicunt dici a nobis Deos tres-writes: 'O 'Ioávvis, ф $\omega \nu \grave{\eta} \mu$ 它v

 p. 612, c. In the Hodegus of Anastasius the following words are put



P.44.l.1. $; \leq 0$ and may praise God the Father, through Jesus Christ our Lord." This passage as it stands, although it expresses to a certain extent the same sentiment as the Greek, does not come sufficiently near to be a translation of it. I suspect that some alteration has been made here in the Greek, to favour the notion of the practice of chaunting in the churches having been introduced by Ignatius, as we find it mentioned by Socrates in his Ecclesiastical History, Book vi. ch. 8. See p. 172. Scultetus also suspected that this passage of Socrates gave rise to some alteration in the Epistle to the Trallians. See Vedelius' Edit. p. 33. There is nothing in either of the Greek recensions to correspond with $; \leq 0$ "our Lord;" neither is the word $\Theta \epsilon \hat{\omega}$, for $\mid \sigma \Omega\langle\hat{l}$, before $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \grave{\iota}$, now found in them ; but it seems to have been in the copy from which the Latin B. was made, for this has 'Deo Patri,' conformably to the Syriac. The variation has probably arisen from some subsequent copyist mistaking the contracted form $\overline{\theta \omega}$ for the article $\tau \hat{\omega}$; and thus reading $\tau \hat{\omega}$. $\pi \alpha \tau \rho \grave{\imath}$ instead of $\theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \tau \rho \grave{\iota}$.
 the Greek: this would therefore require the insertion of $\Sigma v p i a s$ in the text. I have changed í $\Theta$ còs into $\tau o \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$, and added $\epsilon \hat{\nu}$ ar to agree with loous, to make the sentence correspond with the Syriac; and the passage then is simi-
 Greek recensions, might perhaps have been retained; but it is itself an Aramaism, being a literal translation of $\omega \Delta \backslash\}$, which is the word otherwise employed to correspond with it in these Epistles. The Colbert MS. and Simeon Metaph. invert the order, and place $\dot{\theta} \Theta \cos$ before кuтท $\xi^{\prime}(\omega \sigma$ ev: the other copies retain the order of the Syriac.
L. 3. $\gamma$ adds $\boldsymbol{C}$ after or ;-S : so also the quotation by Johin the Monk, p. 207, 1.70. . There is nothing in the Greek to agree with "in life"; but Johm the Monk had also this reading, and indeed he uses the very words of the Syriac text. See p. 207. The MS. of De Thou rends avateitapaer for arateide (see Whiston's edit. p. 2-40); and no, also, Simeon Mataph.: そwî may prolohlly have been effaced in the copy, and the next transcriber, to give a meaning to the passage, have inserted $\mu$ before the particle ir, and thus formed the plural termination. See note p. 280. The reading of the Syriac seems to be confirmed by the fact of our finding $\zeta(\omega\rangle)$ comected with avézeiतev in ch. ix. of the ' Epistle to the Magnesians, p. 67. The Syriac would suggest év aivệ for cis airior, which beth Latin versions in ipso seem to confirm; and Severus alan hy funasoz "in Christ." See p. 215, 1. 19.
l.5. صrads, "to be given." There is nothing to correspond with this in the Colbert MS., nor in the usual editions of the other recension. I have therefore inserted סoniproa from the MS. of De Thou (see Whiston's edit. p. 240 ), and simeon Metaph. (see Cotclerius), where the true reading, as in the Syriac, has been retained. The writer of the Epistle to the Smyrneans has copied this $\hat{\eta} \nu \in \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi o \mu a t \tau \epsilon \lambda e ́ a \nu \mu o t ~ \delta o \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a t$, ch. xi. p. 113.
$\gamma$ reads $\mu$, for $\Omega$,
l.6. Ho "and not." The Greek texts vary here from each other, one reading ötcos, and the other iva; while, according to the Syriac, the true reading scems to be кai. It is remarkable in this Epistle, that in the passages in which the Greek recensions agree generally so very closely with the Syriac, when they vary in a single word from the Syriac they also vary from each other. I have adopted каi $\mu \grave{\eta}$ in the text, as it is read in the Syriac.
1.7. $\gamma$. prefixes, to $1_{i} \circ 21$, and thus confirms my suggestion in my former note 17 on this Epistle. Hamase- - e? on "Then shall I be faithful, when I am not seen in the world." This passage is cited by John the Monk in the very words of this Syriac translation. Tóre ëбоرцut, which
 $\tau \grave{\jmath}$ s, p. 45 below : the Syriac expression is identical in both places.

 with the Syriac than the readings of the other MSS. which I have retained in the text.
 have inserted $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ in the Greek, as required by the Syriac, upon the authority of the MS. of De Thou (see Whiston's edit. p.240), and Simeon

Metaph. (sec Cotelerius); and it is also supported by enim of B. It has been omitted in the Colbert and other MSS., probably on account of the
 immediately following I have substituted калóv for aicuvov, to correspond with $; \leadsto$, which word represents кa入ov only five lines above. The Latin A. reads bonum, and Timotheus of Alexandria 17 " becoming," corresponding also with $\kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{o} v$. The change to aiconvov has doubtless been made in consequence of the insertion from 2 Cor. iv. 18 ; for both the Latin A. and Timotheus, which have retained the reading as it is found in the Syriac, have not this insertion. This place is very important for the history of the text of these Epistles. It shews that this passage from 2 Cor. has been introduced into the Greek text, not only subsequently to the time of Timotheus, but also to the period at which the copy was transcribed from which the Latin version A. was made. I observe, also, that B. has omitted the
 quoted by Timotheus. This likewise seems to furnish evidence that B., in its present state, is subsequent to the date of this Patriarch of Alexandria. In Simeon Metaph. the rest of the chapter after álévta is omitted.
l.9. |n.g. o "The work is not of persuasion," or, as the Syriac may be equally rendered, if we do not consider the Greek, "Not that persuasion
 the Epistle to the Ephesians. See note, p. 284 above. This agrees with the Greek B. and the Latin A., and is further supported by the citation of Timotheus of Alexandria at p. 210: $\sigma \omega \pi \bar{\eta} \varsigma$ uóvov of A. may have been only a corruption of $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \mu o \nu \hat{\eta} s$; for out of the nine letters of which this word is composed, eight are found in the eleven which ferm the other two words; or, indeed, it may have been an intentional change.

 tianus' of A. Such variations may easily occur from the practice of contracting these words in MSS. $\mid \leq \Delta>-1 \leq 0$ "when the world hateth it." The reading of the Syriac is exactly confirmed by the Armenian version: "Ail mjeds intsch ê khristonêuthiunn, horsham ateah zna asch'charh; d. i. aber etwas Grosses ist das Christenthum, wemn die Welt es haset." Sce Prof. Petermann, Ueber das Verhaltniss der Armen. Uebers. der Briefe des Ignatius, in Jahresbericht der Deutschen Morgenl. Gesellschaft für 1846, p. 202. I have retained örct $\mu \iota \sigma \hat{j} \tau \alpha \iota$ imò кór $\mu$ ov of B ., confirmed by both Latin versions. This has been omitted in the Colbert MS., probably from an oversight of the transcriber. It is rendered by Timotheus, at p.210, isods एo |rinso, wAsol "when it is hated by the world."
P. 46 . The following extract, which I have found in a MS, that came into my hands since the first part of this volume was printed, I insert here:











 "From the Epistle of the same Saint Ignatius to the Romans-'I write to all the Churches, and make known to every man that willingly for God I die, if it be that ye hinder me not. I beseech you, be not towards me in love that is not in its season. Leave me, that I may be the beasts'; that by means of them I may be worthy of God. The wheat am I of God ; and by the mouth of the beasts I am ground, that I may be found the pure bread of God. With provoking provoke the beasts, that they may be for me a grave, and may leave nothing of my body.' Again- 'Leave me to receive the pure light. When I go thither I shall be a perfect man. Permit ye me to be an imitator of the sufferings of my God.' Again, from the same Epistle-' Pray our Lord for me, that by means of these instruments I may be found a sacrifice to God. I do not command you, as Peter and Paul, who are Apostles. I am condemned; they are free, but I a slave even until now; but if I suffer I am the freedman of Jesus Christ, and I shall rise in him from the dead free.' " Mus. Brit. Cod. Add. 17,134.

1. 2. $1 L_{1} \mathrm{~S}$ - $\Omega \Delta 2$ "To all the Churches," agrees with B. of the Greek and Latin, and is supported by the quotation by Timotheus of Alexandria at p. 210, by the extract found at p. 201, 1.7 , and by that which I have given immediately above. The Colbert MS. and Simeon Metaph, omit $\pi a ́ \sigma c u s$. The origin of this omission seems to have been the apparent contradiction between this place, where Ignatius says, "I write to all the Churches," and the interpolated passage in ch. viii. p. 13 of the Epistle to Polycarp, where he is made to say that he was not able to write to all the Churches:
 thus seems to be, "I wish all congregations-not merely the one located
at Rome, to whom especially this Epistle is addressed-to know that I am willing to die for the sake of the Gospel."
$\gamma$ reads $|\lambda| \omega, 0 \leq 00$ and $\left.|\lambda|\right|_{3}$.
1.2. wesco $\Delta 274$ "Ye do not hinder me." Neither the Greek nor Latin texts acknowledge this pronoun; nor Timothens of Alexandria, who reads simply e $0 \leq 2$ "hinder." The Syriac extract which I have given immediately above supports the reading as it is found here in the Epistle.
l.3. $\sigma \perp \beth ワ$ ——000 $\angle$ "That ye be not towards me in love that is not in its season," (or in unseasonable love). Both Greek receusions have eivroota üкalpos in the nominative, which is a harsh mode of expression in the Greek, and may have originated in mistaking $\omega$ for os in a defaced copy. I have added $\dot{\epsilon} v$, as in the Syriac, to which idiom this expression seems to
 Epistle to the Ephesians, p. 17.
l.3. |20̈̈n, |oor|?, "To be the beasts'," exactly agrees with Onpícv civar of the Greek, omitting $\beta o \rho a ̀ \nu ~ o f ~ A . ~ a n d ~ \beta \rho \omega ि \mu a ~ o f ~ B . ~ T h i s ~ o m i s s i o n ~ i s ~ a l s o ~$ confirmed by the Syriac extract just cited, and also by that at p.201, 1.9., and affords another instance of the Greek recensions in this Epistle when they vary from the Syriac also differing from each other. Although this word has been introduced here, the interpolator has imitated the simple construction äфєтé $\mu \epsilon$ Өทpícv cîvau, below, in ch. vi., by тòv тov̂ Өєov̂ Өénovтa eivat, where it is plain that he had this sentence before him by his using $\ddot{\alpha} \phi \epsilon \tau \in ́ \mu \epsilon$ in the words immediately following; and again, in ch. vii., $\mu \hat{u} \lambda \lambda o v$

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{j} \theta \omega \mu a \iota$ of the Colbert MS. and molar of both the Latin versions. All the best authorities are in favour of this reading; thus Irenæus, in the Latin translation which has come down to us, has molor, see p. 158; and Eusebius, who copied from him, see p. 162; Rufinus, p. 162; the Syriac translation of Eusebius, p. 204; the extract at p. 201 ; and also that which I have given above, p. 296. Jerome has molar, see p. 166; and so, also, Bede, who copied from him, p. 187.
 the Greek and Latin, and "mundus panis Dei" of Ireneus, see p. 158, which is also confirmed by the two Syriac extracts ahove mentioned, see pp. 201, 296. Bede also has "panisWei mundus," p. 187. Eusebius, citing this passage as quoted lhy Irenæus, has only ка0apos üpтos, see p. 162; and in like mamer the Syriac version of Eusebius, p. 204. Jerome, following him, has "panis mundus" only, p.166. Rufinus reads "panis mundus efficiar Christo," p. 162, which agrees with тô̂ Xpıoтồ of the Colbert MS.

The change of ©enî to $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{x}}$ arvoî in A . may have originated in the satme motive which suggested the omission of $\lambda$ ógos in the former part of this Epistle: see p. $41,1.8$, and note p. 291. The expression äptos ©enî-which oceurs agrain, in another sense, in this Epistle, as applied to the flesh of Christ, see p. $51,1.3$, might have been considered as pecentiar to Christ, in the same mamer as $\lambda$ óros $\Theta$ (env̂ might have been deemed appropriate only to him; and thus this notion have given rise to the substitution of X pıaтoй for $\Theta e \omega \hat{v}$ in one instance, and to the omission of $\lambda$ óros in the other. We have also, in this same chapter, a similar instance of omission in the Colbert MS., where $\Theta \in \bar{\varphi}$ is left out hefore Ougiu, apparently to avoid calling Ignatius a sacrifice to God, as Christ is called by St. Paul: Eph. v. 2.
oft "vis "With provol ing provoke." The imtensitive addition of
 is used here, and below at p. 48, 1.9 , for ко৯anéve of the Greek: in the Epistle to Polycarp, p.4, 1.8, we have $\$_{\uparrow}$ © 0 for this word.

tipa. "Burden"; as if the reading had been Bápos for Bapìs.
 Latin rersions. Simeon Metaph. agrees with the Colbert MS. in reading
 confounding the contracted form $\bar{w}$, usual in MSS., with the article rov.
1.9. حكه مك 0Si agrees with tòr Kiptov of 13 ., not X $\rho \iota \sigma$ òv of the Colbert MS.; a variation which has probably arisen from confounding the contracted forms of these two words. I suspect the word Aurareírate, which seems to refer to the passage
 some alteration. Sce note p.293. It seems more probable, from the Syriac, that Ignatius should have used the expression airvodáoөe aepì (or i ime $) \vec{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{v} \hat{v}$, as we find in the interpolated passage in ch. viii. p. 53 below. A. and A . would be easily confounded in uncial MSS., and the change from the one word, therefore, to the other would be slight. The word $\lambda$ 九rávela is used by Irenæus. See Massuet's edit. p. 164.
 and the Syriac extract, p. 296. Simeon Metaph. agrees with A. in omitting $\Theta \epsilon \omega$.

$$
\text { P.48. l.2. } \gamma \text {.omits } \_ \text {¢? after esor. }
$$

1.3. |n| loor——ond "I shall be the freedman of Jesus Christ," agrees with B. The Colbert MS. omits rєч Simeon Metaph. X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$ also is not found in the Colbert MS.

10：30－＞00：10＂And I shall rise in him from the dead．＂＇Avaбті́боرаи expresses this．I have not，therefore，added in the text $\hat{\epsilon} \kappa \nu \in \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ ，or $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$ $\nu \in \kappa \rho \omega \nu$ as we find in the Epistle to the Trallians，ch．ix．
 ì $\mu$ átauov，which is probably a gloss，and is subsequent to the Latin version A．
 sentation of $0 \eta p$ юo $\alpha \chi \hat{\omega}$ ，agrees exactly with the Armenian version：＂I mêdsch gazanatz jem arkeal，smitten unten wilde Thiere bin ich geworfen．＇＂ See Petermann，in Jahresbericht，above cited，p．202．See note on the Epis－

l．5．｜$\uparrow$ ユニッロ order of the Greek，and read $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \gamma \eta ̄ s . ~ J e r o m e ~ a l s o ~ h a s ~ t h i s ~$ order，＂in mari et in terra＂；and it is further confirmed by the Syriac ver－ sion of Eusebius，p．203．If Ignatius wrote this letter from Smyrna，as the interpolator states，ch．x．，and had come from Seleucia thither，as the Acts of Martyrdom state，see ch．iii．p．192，he had indeed been sulject to the ill－treatment of his guard during a considerable voyage by sea；but he was still at the place where he disembarked，and could not，therefore，subse－ quently have received the same treatment during any long journey by land． The land journey in this case could only have been that from Antioch to Seleucia，too short a distance to seem to warrant these words of Ignatius； but the only one which could be alleged．This circumstance might have caused the change in the order of these words．Bp．Pearson saw this diffi－ culty，and offered the following explanation of it：＂Male hece suggillant qui－ dam，quæ recte explicari possunt，presertim ex Philone，p．1028．B．C． terra marique：terra scilicet ab Antiochia ad Seleuciam，et jam nunc Smyrnæ： mari à Seleucia ad Smyrnam．＂See Annot．Dr．Smith＇s edit．p． 54.
 which reading is also confirmed by Eusebius，as being nearer Syriac than ${ }^{\text {ér }} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\mu} \alpha$ of the Colbert MS．In the next line we have also

l．10．pors－fio＂And not as that which is afraid of other men， and does not approach them．＂The Syriac rendering of this passage，as it is quoted by Euseliius at p．203，agrees exactly with this，with the exception
 ＂some＂）．The Greek text of this passage，as it is given in the editions of Eusebins，agrees with the text of A．and B．But there seems evidently to be some corruption here．Rufinus renders the passage，＂deprecabor ne forte，ut in nommulis fecerunt，timeant contingere corpus meum．＂See p．161．Jerome gives it，＂ne sicut et aliorum martyrum，non audeant cor－
pus meum contingere," p. 10 核. Gildas follows Rufinus, p. 175. Freeulphas follows Jerome, p. 189. Upon the authority of the Syrime 1 have added "iAderr, which seems to have been in the text of Eusebins when the
 whence Jerome took aliorrum. It appears that the beasts not unfrequently refused to injure the vietims exposed to them. Thus, in the case of
 vov тóte тề Oppíwe uitīs: and so, likewise, in that of Maturus and Sanctus. See Epist. ab Eceles. Vien. et Lugd. ad Asia et Phryg. Eecl., in Eusebius' Hist. Eeel. Book v. ell. 1; and in Rowth's Reliç. Saer. edit. see. Vol. i. pp. 309, 310.
P. 5O. L.1. . $\$$-ol "And even if they should not be willing to approach me." The Syriac translator could hardly have read the passage as it now stands in the Greek. The discrepaney between the two texts, and the variation of Euschius from both in reading äkorтa with A . and oan with B., suggest the probability of some error here; and this not only in the text of the Epistle, but also in that of Ensebins. The quotation made by him, as translated by Rufinus, is, "Quin imo et si cunctabuntur, ego rim faciam," see p. 161, which in some degree corresponds with the Syriac version of the
 should they refrain, and not be willing to approach me"; while Jerome has "quod si venire noluerint." See p.165. The error seems to have arisen from some confusion in reading $\theta_{\dot{e} \lambda \eta \eta}$ for $\dot{e} \lambda 0 \eta$. Probably the true reading
 of Oedi, $\sigma_{n}$ in the Colbert MS. may be a corruption of $\epsilon \pi$ (ЄП), partly oblitcrated, and $\epsilon \mu \epsilon$ have been dropped before e $\gamma \omega$ the next word. Sophro-

 is an expression which I do not remember to have met with elsewhere than in these Epistles. It is evidently intended to convey the same meaning as シıว words are also used in the Syriac translation of Eusebius, p. 203, and in the extract containing this passage, p.201. The meaning of the Syriac appears to be, "I crave your indulgence to leave the knowlerge of what is expedient for me to my own conscience"; in which sense the interpolator seems to have understood it; for having borrowed the expression $\Sigma_{v \gamma \gamma \gamma^{2} \omega \tau \in ́ \mu o c}$ in the addition which he has made immediately following, he then suljoins these words:
 be simply, "Grant me your indulgence. What is expedient for me? that

intended to explain the abrupt and somewhat obscure passage as it stands otherwise．These，together with the following words，$v \hat{v} v$－ $\bar{i} i v a t$ ，of both the Greek recensions，had already heen introduced into the text before the time of Eusebius．The Syriac extract at p． 201 has also these additions，but reads
 error probably of the transcriber，caused by the similarity of the two words．
 in the extract p．201，as if the reading had been iroo $\boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime} \sigma \mu \varepsilon \nu c$ ，as above，p．47， 1．10．Both the Greek recensions and Eusebius have $\theta \eta \rho i \omega v \tau є \sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma \iota s$ ：but we find $\sigma v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma$ rendered below，in this same Epistle，by｜seos．See p．56， l．2．In the Syriac version of Eusebius we have 120as，lasoo＂and the collection（or assemblage）of beasts．＂See p．203，1．20．Rufinus，p．161，and Jerome，p．165，and，after them，Gildas and Freculphus，have＂bestiæ＂only， which agrees with the quotation made by Severus，p．216，where we have simply 1Zä̈s＂beasts．＂The following words，ảvaтоцai，סıaıрéซєıs，of both the Greek recensions are an addition，subsequent，not only to the time of Eusebins，see p．161，but also to the period when the MS．was transcribed from which the Latin version A．was made，like коб $\mu \kappa \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu$ そ̈ $\mu a \tau \alpha \iota o ̀ v$ above．
 бєıs；B．каı ко́лабts．The Greek editions of Eusebius have кола́бєts；the Syriac version，p．203，lonıュo＂and punishment，＂with B．；Rufinus， ＂ac pœnæ＂；Jerome，＂et tota tormenta＂；the Syriac extract，p．201， A ＂turment，＂which occupies the place of loas in the words immediately
 $\dagger_{t}{ }^{1 \Delta}$ ？＂and ten thousand kinds of torments，＂p．216，1．9．The probable
 ancient MSS．vary only by a slight vertical stroke，which might have been effaced，and the $\rightarrow$ and $\rightarrow$ then transposed：this would make it cor－ respond exactly with the reading of the Colbert MS．

 pains of death are set＂；but $1 \angle 050$ ，seems only to be an error of the copyist
 long interpolated passage here these words have been altogether omitted by B．In the Colbert MS．we find ì dè токетós $\mu$ оь éniксєт儿，which is lite－ rally translated in the Syriac version of Timotheus of Alexandria，p．211， 1.6 ，
 has rendered it hy "Hlle lucrum mihi aljacee"; which interpretation, although approved ly some, and amongst them by Dr. T. Smith, whe compares Phil. i. 21, кaì тò üтofaveciv, кépōns, is evidently erroneous, and has arisen from the obseurity cansed by the long passages inserted both hefore and after these words, which destroy the context of Igratius' own sentences.
P.52. 1.1. $\left|2_{i m}\right|$ — $\mid$ ssom;o "And my love is crucified, and there is not in me the fire for another love"; $\gamma$. reads |AScm; " of another love." This passage also is remulered obscure in the Greck from having been separated by the interpolations so far from its proper context. I have added in the text

 tion of fire in himself ly Ignatius seems to lave brought its opposite element, water, into the mind of the interpolator, and to have suggested to lim, in this place, the words of our Lord in his conversation with the Woman of Samaria: Jo.iv. 14. Origen refers the word $\epsilon^{\prime} \rho \omega s$ to Christ. See p. 159. It secms, howerer, from the context, to mean rather worldly affections and desires, and to be similar to the expression of St. Paul, Gal. vi. 14, épò̀
 Ignatius, bearing in mind the words of our Lord, Jo. xv. 21, "A woman when she is in travail hath sorrow because her hour is come," was desirous of signifying to the Romans that his hour of departure was at hand ; and further, to shew them, that since the great olject of his love had been crucified, he was himself anxious to undergo the ordeal that awaited him, by which he would be brought into near communion with Him who had so entirely engrossed the whole of his affections as to leave in him no warm desire for any other object that could be found on carth. The same sentiment he continues in the words following: "I have no pleasure in the food of corruption," \&c.
 are used for aicuros toítov in the two places of these Epistles. See p. 36, 1.1, and p. $54, l .8$. In this same Epistle $|\leq \infty\rangle$ occurs four times for кó $\mu \circ \varsigma$, $\mathrm{p} .44, l .3,8,9, \mathrm{p} .46, l .8$. We should therefore have been led, from the Syriac, to expect aiêos or kó $\mu o v$, rather than Biov as we find it in the Greek. Some Latin MSS. have also "Mundi hujus" in this place. See Usher, not. 81 on Epist. to Rom. p. 38. Montfaucon makes the following remark with respect to the use of the word Bios by Eusebius: "Singularis est apud Eusebium vocis Bios significatio : passim quippe pro genere hominum acci-

oias Bios; id est, hujusmodi profecto erat ante Salvatoris adventum totum
 hoc est: Nam ut Deus al hominum genus accederet. Et passim alias. See Presliminaria in Eusebii Commentaria in Psalmos, cap. x. p. xxxviii.


誡, which seems to be the most natural order, and is translated thus: "The bread of God I seek, which is the body of Christ; and his blood I seek, which is love incorruptible"; cvidently referring to the words of our Lord, Jo. vi. 54: "He that eateth my flesh and drinketh my blood," \&c. If the punctuation, as in the text printed after $\beta$., be retained, the passage will run - "I seek the bread of God, which is the flesh and blood of Christ (that is, Christ's body); and I seek a drink which is love incorruptible." The Colbert MS. and Simeon Metaph. add ©єồ after тó $\mu a$, and A. also Dei. The Augsburg and Nydpryck MSS. read $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi o ́ \mu a ~ a i ̀ \tau o v ̂ ~ f o r ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a i ̂ \mu a ~ a i ̀ \tau o ̂ ̀ . ~$. See Arehbp. Usher's Edit. not. 84, p. 38. I have arranged the words in the text in the order of the Syriac. The Latin version A. seems to have been made from a MS. transcribed before the additions äprov oípávolov, üprov
 $\zeta \omega \dot{\prime}$ had been introduced into the text. The two last words seem to have been suggested by ápөapoía каì ఢ由̀̀ aiwvios of the Epistle to Polycarp. See p.5.
 "Those who were not near," as in the Greek, ai $\mu \grave{\eta}$ т $\rho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \kappa \sigma v \sigma u$. This is in the feminine, and refers to $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i a r$; but in the Syriac the masculine is used throughout, and relates to the persons. I am inclined to think that the reading of $\beta$., without the negative, is correct; and it seems to be confirmed by the following passages of Chrysostom, apparently alluding to this place:



 тas evंधрүетíras. See pp. 168, 169. The meaning in the Syriac seems to be evidently this:-Ignatius adds to his own salutation that of the Churches which had received him; for he continues, assigning it as a reason for this addition to his salutation, "Even those who were near (or, not near) to the way which I had to pass in the flesh (that is simply his earthly journey to Rome) met me, and received me in every city." This is also the sense which the Latin translators have given. But if Ignatius wrote this Epistle from Smyrna, as it is stated in the interpolated passage immediately below,
this contradicts the account given in the Acts of his Martyrdom, that he embarked at Selucia, and went thence by sea to Suyma (sere ch. iii. 1\%. 1!2); for he could, in that mase, have passed by mo cities, nor have been received by any Churehes on his way to Smyma. Bishop Pearson, with his usual saracity, has perecived this diflieulty, and attempts to obviate it by the fol-
 spectantes; ad meam jurisdictionem non spectantes. Intorpres male putavit Ignatium per urbes illas peditem Smyrnam usque pervenisse. Sed cogrita. Hie enim locus aceuratam explicationem postulat, ut cun prassione conspiret." Hefele has given the eorrect explanation, "quee non ad viam sitce erunt," but without perceiving the dilemma in which this places him while he continues to uphold the genuineness both of the Igmatian Eipistles of the Medicean MS., and of the Aets of Martyrdom of the Colhert MS.
1.3. |Secori ——aso "Now, therefore, being about to arrive shortly at Rome." $\%$ adds , before $\left.H_{-}^{-} \leq \delta\right\}$, correctly. This sentence is not found in the Greek, where, indeed, it would be unnecessary after the passage immediately following in the Syriac had been removed to form the nucleus of another Epistle, viz. that to the Trallians. We find, however, still traces of it in the spurious chapter which has been substituted: $\pi \in \rho \bar{i}$
 the text by $\lambda$ oumò oiv, because it is the equivalent for these two words in the Peshito, 1 Thes. iv. 1, and because I find the interpolator has used $\lambda$ oumov in the Epist. to the Ephesians, ch. xi. p. 29, and in that to the Smyrneans, ch.ix. p. 111. The particle oîv should, however, be omitted. See note, p. 317 below.
P.3. |rs in——ne $\pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \phi \rho o v \omega-\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \theta \theta$. This passage is not found in the Greek in the Epistle to the Romans, but in that to the Trallians, whither it has been transferred by the author of this latter Epistle, to give a fair colour to the fabrication by introducing a part of the genuine writing of Ignatius, as we find in all the spurious Epistles that sentences and expressions have been borrowed largely from the true. The idea of transferring this considerable passage appears to have been suggested by the circumstance of the salutation in the preceding chapter seeming to form an appropriate and usual termination to the Epistle to the Romans, while the passage itself contained nothing which might not be remored without injuring the sense of what had preceded. It contains an additional argument why the Romans should accede to the wishes of St. Iguatius with respeci to the execution of the sentence pronounced against him when he should arrive at Rome, but does not otherwise affect any of those which he had urged before.


 The arranger of B . has thought to make this intelligible by substituting énaírovees for $\lambda$ céportes. Dr. Smith and Chev. Bunsen suggest that páprus or máprus ëren should be added instead of qoanita; but this rests upon the authority of the Syriac, while the other is only conjecture. It is quite plain, from the whole tenor of this Epistle, that some intimation had been conveyed to Ignatius from the Christians at Rome who had influence, that they were anxious to exert it in reversing his sentence and saving him from suffering. They appear to have urged his great spiritual knowledge as an argument why he should desire to have his life spared for the good of the Church; to which no reply could be more appropriate than that which is contained in these and the following words of this Epistle: where he affirms that they who "say such things to him scourge him." He seems to have borne in mind the circumstances of our Lord's sufferings, and to compare the flattery which some addressed to him when he was on the eve of his own execution to the scourging which preceded that of our Lord, or perhaps the scourging which the Martyrs seem to have undergone previously to their being exposed to the beasts. Thus, in the Epistle of the Church of Vienna, cited above, we read: ó $\mu$ èv oîv Mátovpos kaì ó







 Sac. Edit. ii. Vol. pp. 309, 316.
1.6. \%. |n ا
l.7. on-n - bos "But with me it has war," as in B. A. adds $\pi \lambda$ éov before $\pi о \lambda є \mu \epsilon$ i.

1.9. c "To you," agrees with $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} v$ in B., and "vobis" both in A.

 to be necessary for the sense, are omitted in the Greek. The meaning of Ignatius is - Although I may be able to write to you on heavenly subjects, I am, nevertheless, afraid of causing you mischief thereby. Grant me your inclulgence therefore, for I am cautious, lest not being able to comprehend,
 perhaps, however, the proper word should be a áoppaitopaca, as we read in ch. v. of the Epistle to the Philadelphimen, p.933, which is evidently loorrowed from this place.
 ঠе́rаныи vorî̀ of B., 'potens scive' of A., and 'possum intelligere' of B. A. reads סuráperas, probably an error from díraдat vociv, as Chev. Bunsen has observed. I would further remark, that in MSS. written in uncial letters, and without the division of the words, such a change would be most easy. The sound of c and at is very similar; and they are frequently interchanged in ancient MSS. In a very ancient Palimpsest copy of the Gospel of St. Luke which I am now collating, ch. vi. v. 2 , is read $\tau \iota$ тouet $\frac{1}{}$ o ovk aı $\xi \in \sigma \tau \iota v$ тots $\sigma a \beta \beta a \sigma t$ : but two verses below it is written $\epsilon \xi \in \sigma \tau w$. Dr: Jacolsson gives one instance of this even from the modern Medicean MS., only a few lines below this passage, where revíece is written for rev́retau. See Patt. Apostt. p. 360 , not.; and in a note at p. 483 , ibid, he observes " $\varepsilon$ et $\alpha \iota$ a librario nostro sepissime commutantur." The $\boldsymbol{\in}$ and the $\mathbf{C}$ are very similar, only varying by a slight stroke from the centre of the former, which being efficed or fated would reduce it to the form of the C : the final N is very frequently omitted, and replaced by a slight horizontal line above, thus -. With these easy changes $\triangle$ YNAMAINOEIN hecomes $\triangle$ YNAMENOCl . The Iota is the smallest letter of the alphabet, and may be easily effaced, together with the slight line over it.
1.3. $\left.\right|_{+}-\leq \Delta$, $\mu$ atl $\eta$ rifs, "A disciple;" that is, a perfect disciple, as the following words shew. Ignatius seems to allude to our Saviour's words: "Be ye perfect, even as your Father in heaven is perfect," Matth. v. 48. And again: "The disciple is not above his master; but every one that is perfect shall be as his master," Luke vi. 40. To this he thought he should attain






l.3. $10.5 \mathrm{j}-\omega$ "For I am far short of that perfection which is worthy of God." I have introduced into the text $\mu$ ot of B., which agrees with the Syriac: not $\bar{j} \mu \nu \nu$ of A. The extract at p. 198, $l .16$, reads


been added, as it is required by the Syriac: the interpolator has retained the words ${ }^{3} \xi_{\text {tot }} \tau 0 \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ in his last chapter ; and in the inscription of this Epistle we find $\dot{\alpha} \xi \iota o ́ \theta \varepsilon o s ~ a n d ~ a ́ \xi t o ́ \theta є \alpha ~ \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \sigma \pi \pi \alpha: ~ a n d ~ t h i s ~ w o r d ~ i s ~ a l s o ~ b o r-~$ rowed by the interpolator in the Epistle to the Magnesians, ch. ii., and in the inscription of that to the Trallians. To agree with the Syriac, I have adopted $\tau \subset \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega 0 \hat{\omega}$, of which $\dot{a} \pi o \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi 0 \hat{\omega}$ of B. seems to be a corruption, after which it appeared necessary to add the negative $\mu$ iो to give any meaning to



 $\gamma \epsilon v^{\prime} \sigma \theta a \iota \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \omega \sigma \iota \nu$, where the writer apparently refers to this very place, as he expressly does to another passage from this Epistle in the words immediately preceding those which I have quoted. The word tenecoi $\mu$ un is cm ployed as an euphemism for 'death' by the writers of the New Testament. Our Sariour, in his reply to the Pharisees, who said to him, "Get thee out and depart hence, for Herod will kill thee," answers, "Go ye and tell that fox, Behold, I cast out devils, and I do cures to-day and to morrow, and the
 and to-morrow, and the day following; for it cannot be that a prophet perish out of Jerusalem." Luke xiii. 31. Compare Heb. ii. 9, 10. v. 8, 9. with Phil. ii. 8. The word $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \hat{\imath} \mu \boldsymbol{\iota}$ was afterwards frequently applied to Mar-
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \theta$ ท̂vaı $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ä $\gamma \iota \circ$. See p. 169 above. In the Synodical Letter of the Council of Constantinople, given by Theodoretus, Hist. Eccl. Book r. ch. 9,
 and a few lines below, $\lambda$ í $\theta o \iota s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \rho^{\prime}$ av̉т $\omega \hat{\nu} \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota \omega \theta \in ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma \kappa a \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \mu \alpha \kappa \alpha ́ \rho \iota o \nu ~ \Sigma \tau \epsilon-$ фaror. In the Epistle of the Church of Vienna, Eusebins, Hist. Ecel.



l.5. cor "our God"- $\boldsymbol{L} 0 \hat{v} \Theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ j$\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. These words are not found in either of the Greek recensions; but they evidently belonged to the text at the time the additions were made to the Epistle to Polycarp, for the interpolator, imitating the mamer in which Ignatius closes his Epistle to the Romans, has retained them in the termination of his own adrlitions- éppō̃olat

$\gamma$ adds $\Delta S 2$, $\Delta S_{0} \Delta_{\Delta}$ "Here endeth the third."

# NOTES ON THE INTERPOLATED COPHS OF THE THREE GENUTINE LETTERS, <br> <br> AND ON THE SPURIOUS EPISTLAS, 

 <br> <br> AND ON THE SPURIOUS EPISTLAS,}

## AS BXIHIBITEL IN BOTH OF THE (EREEK RECLENSIONS

Ir is not my intention in this place to enter upon any philological questions as to the state of the text of these Recensions, but to confine my olservations prineipally to such passages as may tend to throw light upon the history of the Ignatian Eipistles. In the text A. of the seven Epistless emmerated by Euselins I have followed the accurate edtition of Dr. Jacohson, by whom the Medicean and Collhert MSS. have been collated more recently than by any other editor; except in a very few instances, where I have retained the reading of the MS. instead of adopting the emendations which he has introduced into the text. In the Latin A. I have also followed Dr. Jacohson's edition, with the slight alteration of having occasionally adopted the variations of the MS. belonging to the College of Corpus Chri-1i, which he had supplied in the margin. In the Greek and Latin text of B. I have chiefly followed the edtition of Archlp. Usher, occa-iomally substituting the reading of other MSS. which the Archbishop did not make use of in his work. I have not thought it necessary to point out separately the several alterations which I have introduced into the text, because they are unimportant to the question which I have now hefore me, and will be casily manifest to any one who will take the pains to compare this text and that of the Areluhishop with the editions of Cotelerius and Whiston, in which the variations of the different MSS, are noted.

## ON THE EPISTLE TO POLYCARP.

Upon comparing the text of this Epistle, as it is represented by the Syriac, with that of the two recensions of the Greek, it will be found to have suffered comparatively little from the hands of the interpolator. In A. the text of the six first chapters has undergone scarcely any change, in no place exceeding the alteration or insertion of a single word; and in B . only a very few unimportant insertions have been made. The united testimony, therefore, of all the three recensions shews that these six chapters remain most nearly in the original state in which they proceeded from the author's pen, and, consequently, supply the best data upon which to ground any criticism with respect to the style of his genuine writings. At the ent of the Epistle
so much additional matter has been inserted as to swell two small sentences into the same number of chapters．

The first addition to this Epistle in A．is the insertion of the word $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta-$ oias in the inscription，which，although apparently unimportant，evidently proceeds from the same intention as several other passages in the interpo－ lated parts of the genuine，and in the spurious Epistles，which bear so strongly upon the subject of Church government，and of obedience to the same．The word $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \dot{\prime} a$ ，although occurring but once in the six chapters of the genuine text of this Epistle，see ch．v．p．9，where Ignatius，appa－ rently imitating St．Paul，and partly borrowing his words，Ephes．v．28，29， bids husbands to love their wives as the Lord does His Church，is found no less than three times in the two spurious chapters which follow．See p． 13. It appears to have been introduced with the same object as the following
 ov́ калєîवаı：i．e．without a Bishop，Presbyters，and Deacons．

In the first chapter we find the epithet $\tau o \hat{a} \dot{a} \mu \dot{\omega} \mu о v$ added to $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi о v \sigma o v$ ． This word is used elsewhere by Ignatius．It may have been inadvertently omitted in the Syriac；or it may have been added in the Greek，to give greater weight to the name of Polycarp．The rest of the variations have been already mentioned in the notes upon the Syriac text．

With ch．vii．the interpolation begins，and betrays itself at once by the dissimilarity of the style．The difference of the construction of the first sentence of ch．vii．from any which precede it is immediately apparent；and

 used in the genuine passages，and such as would hardly have been em－ ployed by a Syrian，the rest of whose writings abound in Aramaisms，as I have already remarked．Several words and expressions have indeed been borrowed from the genuine Ignatius to give a cloke to the forgery；such as，


But the interpolator was evidently ignorant of the exact meaning of all these terms in their peculiar idiomatic force，as used by Ignatius；and thus， while he endeavours to imitate him by borrowing his expressions，betrays him－ self in misapplying them．We have an example of this in the employment
 Epistle，pp．1，13，and once in the simple form $\dot{a} \xi \xi_{\omega 0} \hat{j} r a t$ in that to the Romans，p．41．The meaning，in all three places is plainly that of being accounted worthy by God；or，in other words，God having granted it so． Instances of the employment of this verb in the New Testament will readily suggest themselves to the reader．Thus，Luke xx．35，＂But they which shall be accounted worthy（oi dè кaтaछً（c⿴囗十éves）to obtain that world；＂

 fer shame for his name;" 2 Thes. i. 5, "That ye may be counted worthy (ris ті̀ nataşutî̀rau) of the kingdom of God." Compare, also, 2 Thes. i. 11 , 1 Tim. v. 17, Hel. x. 2!). It is evident that the interpolator himself understood it in this sense in the Episisle to the Romans, from his adding qoû (-roû after $\theta$ ह́ $\lambda \eta \mu a, \mathrm{p} .41$. In the only example in which it oecurs in the active voice God is distinetly named as the agent, p. 43.

Now the interpolator, in inserting so much of his own matter as to amplify the two last sentences of this Epistle into two chapters, was evidently desirons of disguising the additions which he made by borrowing some of the usual expressions of Ignatius to mingle with his own; instances of which I have just entmerated. Moreover, having observed that the word kaza ${ }^{\xi}$ (oṽolau, besides being employed in the last genmine sentence of this Epistle, had been used elsewhere by Ignatius, he adopts this verb, but applies it very differently from the idiomatic sense in which it occurs in the genuine text; and thus makes Ignatius request that Polycarp should convene a council ( $\sigma v \mu \beta o i \neq \imath o v)$ to ordain ( $\chi$ є८ротov $\bar{\sigma} \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ ) a person, and "count him worthy ( $\kappa a \tau \alpha \xi \in(\omega \bar{\sigma} \alpha \iota)$ to go to Syria." He thus transfers to the council a term which, according to the peculiarity of idiom, and the example of every instance of its occurrence in the genuine Epistles, is only attributed to the Supreme Disposer of events.

In the serenth chapter the address is still maintained in the plural number, as in the genuine part of the Epistle immediately preceding, in which Ignatius is speaking to the Smyrneans, as the letter cridenily was intended for the common edification both of the Bishop of Smyrna and the flock committed to his charge.

In the eighth chapter the address again reverts to the singular number, in which respect the closing words of the genuine Epistle have been imitated, although they have been removed from the text. At the end the interpolator returns again to the plural, imitating the close of the Epistle to the Romans; and in so doing he has retained the words $\Theta \in \oplus ̣$ i $j \mu \omega \bar{\nu}$ in conjunction with 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{u} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \sigma 0 \hat{\text { y }}$, which have been lost from their proper place in
 $\tau 乡 \tau \iota \kappa a i ̀ \in \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \pi \pi ?$, agreeably to the general purport of the rest of the spurious additions.

The variations of B. from A. in this Epistle are rery slight, amounting only to a few words, which appear to have been added rather by way of explanation or illustration than for any dogmatical purpose. This will be manifest from the comparison of the two recensions. The addition of a $\mu \mathrm{i}\rangle$, ${ }_{i} \dot{\chi} \dot{\alpha} \dot{\operatorname{a}}$ is in B. at the end of the last chapter, (see also the end of the Epistle to
the Ephesians, p. 37), shews that this was the text used ly Gregory the Great. See p. 176.

At the beginning of the seventh chapter we find that the writer, contimuing his address to Ignatius and the Smyrneans, states that it had been " made known to him, that on account of their prayers the Church in Antioch of Syria was at peace; and he therefore exhorts them to ordain one who may be called a $\Theta$ eódpopos, to go to Syria; that this might evince their unwearied love. In the Epistle to the Smymeans, ch. xi. p. 113, the same thing is repeated. The person there to be ordained is called $\Theta$ єот $\rho \in \sigma$ 位 $\tau \eta v$; and the oljject of his journey is to congratulate the Antiochians upon being again at peace, "and upon having recovered their own greatness, and having had their

 Now unless there had been great dissensions and schisms in that Church -of which no account whatever has been handed down-it is impossible to understand these words with reference to any other circumstance than the persecution of the Christians by Trajan, during which Ignatius himself was condemned. Bishop Pearson, in his note upon this passage, says expressly this persecution is alluded to: "Quum esset Troade, certior factus est, finem persecntioni Antiochiæ per Trajanum impositum esse. De qua re dubitari non debet: nam à Joanne Antiocheno, Historico probato, apud Suidam, observatum est, öть Tpaïavòs тoìs $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota a v o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ v a \kappa \omega \chi \eta ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota v a ~ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a s ~$ $\pi \alpha \rho \in ́ \sigma \chi$ ev et tum subjungit Tiberiani historiam. Tiberiani autem relatio facta est ad Trajanum, quum esset Antiochiæ, ut testatur Joannes Malela (Lib. xi.) Antiochenus et ipse." See Annot., Dr. Smith's Edit. p. 32. Many of my readers will probably agree with me, that the grounds which the learned Bishop adduces do not appear sufficient to remove all doubt on this head.*
Now, these three letters profess to have been written from Troas, at which city Ignatius had arrived on his journey to Rome, to suffer according to the condemnation pronounced against liin by Trajan during his persecution of the Christians at Antioch. This to Polycarp, according to the statement made in the last chapter, was written under circumstances of such haste as to preclude the possibility of his writing to other Churches, as he wished to do. In the Acts of the Martyrdom of Ignatius it is stated, that upon his hearing the sentence of Trajan "he cried out with joy, offered thanks to God,

[^73]and, having done this, he put on the honds with graduess; mad having prayed for the Chureh, he was snatehed away by the brutish violencee of the soldiers." Proceding then from Antioch with great alacerity, he embmeked out Selencia, and tonched, afier much fitigne, at Smyrna; whence be again emharked, heing hurvied by the soldiers that they might proceed to Rome, and landed at Troas. Now all this implies that the journey of I gratius was made with all convenient speed, and that no time was umecessarily lost. How, then, it may be asked, could intelligence have overtaken Irmatius at Troas, of the persecution of the Christians at Antioch having ceased, and of the Church " having had its own magnitule restored, and having reoovered its own body." For this to have been the case, the condemmation of I gnatius by Trajan for the profession of Christianity at Antioch, and his entire inhibition of the persecution of the Christians there, so that their Church could recover its former magnitude and constitution, must have followed so quickly, that the tidings of all this could overtake Ignatius on his way to Rome, when, even umder some circumstances of urgency, he had only arrised at Troas. Moreover, Trajan must have suspended his hostility to the Christians immediately upon the departure of their Bishop, without reversing or mitigating in the slightest degree his eruel sentence against him. This seems to carry improbability, if not contradiction, upon the very face of it.

I am aware that some persons, who profess their belief in the Divine inspiration of the seven Epistles of the shorter recension which have been enumerated hy Eusebins, may allege that Ignatius, when he writes wis édr$\lambda \omega^{\prime} \theta_{V} \mu o t$, alludes to a Divine revelation made to him on this point; as we find pretensions of this kind in other places of the Ignatian Epistles: see Epist. to Ephes. ch. xx., to Philad. ch. vii., and to Trall. ch. viii. But this view of the matter can hardly be admitted in a critical investigation respecting these Epistles.

## ON THE EPISTLE TO THE EPHESIANS.

The occasion of this letter, as we gather from its contents exhibited in the text of the Syriac version, was to thank the Ephesians for their lindness in sending their Bishop, Onesimus, to greet him on his journey, and probably, also, to exhibit some other pledges of their esteem and love which might tend to alleviate the inconveniences which he was suffering as a prisoner in bonds. Having testified how acceptable and gratifying this attention on their part was to his own feelings, and having spoken in commendation of their Bishop, who had been the means of conreving it to
him; and, further, having expressed a prayer that they might all follow in the steps of their worthy Bishop, he proceeds to offer a very few words of exhortation and advice respecting their duties as disciples of Christ, urging his love as an excuse for taking upon himself to do so. I mention this, because, although the form of this Epistle has been imitated in some measure in the begimning of that to the Magnesians, the character of it is in direct opposition to the tenor of most of the spurious Epistles, in which we find that Ignatius is made to put himself forward to write upon subjects apparently irrelevant to his position as a person on his way to suffer martyrdom; and even to the latter part of the Epistle to the Ephesians, as exhibited in the shorter recension, where he is made to volunteer, upon a future occasion, " to write another little book, and make known to them the economy which he had commenced towards the new man, Jesus Christ." See ch. xx. p. 37.

The Syriac recension makes no mention of any other persons, besides Onesimus, having gone to visit Ignatius in the name of the Ephesians. To have sent a large deputation to meet this Bishop on his way to martyrdom for the profession of Christianity, while he was under a strict guard of soldiers, and during the time that a persecution was raging against the Christians, in which the emperor himself personally took a part, would certainly have been an incautious proceeding, and probably not unattended with danger to the Church at Ephesus.

But according to the Greek recensions, Onesimus was accompanied by at least four others-Crocus, Euplus, Eronto, and Burrus the Deacon ; and these went the whole distance from Ephesus to Smyrna to meet him. Compare ch.ii. xxi., and ch. xv. of the Epistle to the Magnesians. Of these he requests that Burrus should remain with him for their honour, and for that of the Bishop. See ch. ii. Upon such an occasion, however, as this, in the midst of the persecution of the Christians, when he himself was bound a prisoner among soldiers, of whose continued ill-treatment of him ly night and by day he complains, comparing them to wild beasts, and on his way to suffer death for the profession of his faith, it seems scarcely probable that Ignatius should have requested that a Deacon of the Church of Ephesus should be left with him for the honour of that Church and of their Bishop, or, as it is expressed in the Epistle to the Philadelphians, ch. xi., simply eis入órou $\tau \iota \mu \hat{\nu} ;$; and still less probable that the strict guard under whose custorly he was placed should have consented and allowed of such a proceeding.

The reason for the introduction, by the interpolator, of such a request as this into the genuine Epistle to the Ephesians, seems plainly to have been this-to afford him what would appear a plansible method for conveying the spurious Letters which he had then either already written, or formed

The intention of writing, when ho macie the additions io Ignatus' own hetter. Aeeordingly, in ugreement. with the plan thos prepured, we find that Burrus is made to aceompany Ignatins to 'Trons ; and from thence to be the bearer of the Eppistle to the Phildudphians, cho xi., and of that to the Smyrneans, ch. xii.

With respect to Burrus, in the Eipistle to the Smyrneans, cho xiio, he is said to have been sent by the Smyrneans. In this Epistle, ch. ii., he is ealled a Deacon of the Diphesians. In that to the Philadelphians, eho xi., it is stated that he was sent by the Ephesians and Smyrneans conjointly cis dórov $\tau t \mu \eta \bar{s}$. In these two latter places in B. he is ealled Boiprov; and in the former, Bippor.

In this Lpistle, as it is restored by the Syriac version, there is no mention either of the place where Onesimus met Ignatius, nor of that from which he wrote the letter. In the Acts of Martyrdom he is stated not to have disembarked before he reached Smyrna. It is not, however, improbable that the vessel might have touched at the port of Ephesus on the way; and that the Ephe-ians, having heard of his being in honds, might have sent Onesimus to risit him, and render any assistance which he might he able to supply ; and thus have afforded Ignatins the oceasion of writing this Episile. Indeed, if we were to take the recension A. as it is found in the Medicean MS., and consider the Epristle to the Ephesians, as it stands there, apart from the other Epistles, we should necessarily draw the inference that such must have been the case, and that four out of the five whom Ignatius is stated to have seen, in ch. ii., did not go to Smyrna; for at the end of the Epistle, ch. xxi., he speaks in the singular, as if one person only had been
 But the true reading seems to he $\hat{\omega} r$ of B.: and in the other Epistles, said to have been written from Smyrma, the plural form is used; while in that to the Romans Crocus is expressly mentioned. See Epist. to Rom. ch. x . p. 53; to Magnes. ch. xv. p. 73 ; to Trall. ch. xiii. p.85. We are therefore necessarily brought to the conclusion, that all the five persons mentioned in ch. ii. are supposed to have gone from Ephesus to Smyrna to meet Ignatius. The distance between these two cities is 320 stadia, or 40 miles, in a straight line; and the journey taking up thirteen or fourteen hours, would necessarily occupy a part of two days. See Dr. Chandler's Travels in Asia Minor, p. 109. Supposing, therefore, that immediately upon the arrival of Ignatius at Smyrna a messenger had been despatched with intelligence to Ephesus, we can hardly infer that an interral of less than about four days must have elapsed before the deputation from the Church of Ephesus could have reached Smyrna and visited Ignatius. If we are to take into account here the additional time which would be necessary to carry onward the intel-
ligence to Magnesia and Tralles, this period would be more than doubled. We have no information whatever as to how long or how short the sojourn of Ignatius might have been at Sinyrna; but as I have hefore observed, we have grood grounds to conclude that his journey was hurried, and that no time was umecessarily lost. There appears, therefore, to be some inconsistency between this and the hypothesis that intelligence was sent to the Ephesians of Ignatius being at Smyrna after he had arrived there. If he had touched at the port of Ephesus as he passed, the journey of the Ephesians to Smyrna would seem to have been unnecessary; but if neither of these was the case, it is difficult to understand by what means they could have obtained information respecting the journey of Ignatius from Seleucia to Smyrna, and have been able to arrange their mission so happily as to arrive at the latter city exactly at the time when he was passing.

The variations in the inscription of this Epistle in A. from the Syriac have been already noticed, pp. 275-277.

Ch. i.-Besides the variations specified above, pp. 277-280, the expression, " a disciple of God," has been modified by the introduction of the words of St. Paul, Eph. v. 2, to "a disciple of him who offered himself for us an offering and sacrifice to God," which makes it tantamount to simply "a disciple of Christ"; whereas the other expression, "a disciple of God," is not used in the Scriptures. The variations of B. from A. here seem to be of an Arian tendency. In the inscription, instead of "the Father and Jesus Christ our God," B. has "God the Father, and our Lord Jesus Christ." In ch. i., for "imitators of God," and "fervent in the blood of God," which can refer only to Christ, B. reads "imitators of the philanthropy of Gorl," and "fervent in the blood of Christ." The other additions, $\mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v}, \dot{o}$ © $\Theta o{ }_{\mathrm{o}}$, and ${ }_{\epsilon} \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \hat{\varphi}$, seem to be merely explanatory.

Ch.ii.-The Syriac shews this to be altogether due to the interpolator. It relates to the persons who are said to have accompanied Ignatius. Of this I have spoken above. It introduces mention of a Deacon, Bishop, and Presbytery, and urges the necessity of submission to them, and of unanimity, as the means of sanctification in every thing. The interpolator appears in this place to begin to expand to his own views the idea expressed by Ignatius himself towards the end of the Epistle to Polycarp: $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ é $\pi \iota \sigma o ́ \pi \varphi$ $\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ é $\chi \in \tau \varepsilon-\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ̀ ~ \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, p. 11, l.1; and in order to give a colour to his

 this chapter are unimportant, consisting of only one or two additional epithets, and a quotation from St. Paul.

Ch. iii.-Part of the true Epistle has been retained here, and some of the genuine expressions of Ignatius been borrowed from his Epistle to the
 which is altogether omitted in B., and a totally different passuge sulsstituted. Bishopps are said to be appointed, кuтà тà жépuтa, and to be iv 'lyoû̃ $\mathrm{X}_{\text {ptovê̂ }}$ práml, the precise meming of which does not seem to be very clear. If this passage existed when the recension 13. was made, the author of the latter does not seem to have understood A.; for he has entirely omitted it, and substituted, "therefore we also ought to live according to the mind of God in Christ, and be emulots, as St. Paul, dece." In the former part of the chapter B. has substituted iرpoòniñots for वvorotSurkanítus, respecting which 13 p. Pearson writes: "Mira vox: neque
 Dr. Smith's Edit. p. 35), and imo

Ch. iv.-vi. are altogether interpolated, and relate almost entirely to the duty of obelience to the Bishop, and of union with him. The Bishop is compared to a harp, the chords of which are the Presbytery, and the chorus is formed of the other individual members of the church, by whom God is praised in concert through Christ. This seems to be evidently connected with the story which became current about the fifith century respecting the institution of chaunting in churches having originated with Ignatius. See note, p. 293 above. The variations of B. in these chapters consist not only of additions, but also of omissions. The former are principally illustrative or amplificatory. Of the passages omitted three are subjunctive, commencing with the particle iva, as in ch.iv., iva í $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu-a i r o v ̂$, and iva-
 omitted is oitos- $\delta$ cókpurev, which seems to refer to the same subject as rì ádáápırov in ch. iii. In ch. v., besides the additions and omissions, the order has also been inverted. Of verbal alterations it is worth while noticing that the strange expression $\chi \rho \hat{\omega} \mu a$ Єєồ has been replaced by another, бvváфeєav $\Theta \epsilon ข \hat{v}$.

Ch. vii. is also the work of the interpolator. Having spoken, at the end of the preceding chapter, of the praise which Onesimus had bestowed upon the Ephesians on account of their good order and freedom from heresy, he procceds in this to mention certain hereties, and warn them against them. In it is found the celebrated passage, Eîs iurpós, к.т.л., said to have been quoted by Athanasius and others. In B. this has been altered considerably, apparently with an Arian tendency.

Ch. viii., ix., x., contain each a part of the genuine text mingled with interpolatel passages. The variations of B. from A. in these chapters do not seem to have any doctrinal tendency. In ch. viii. B. has retained the true reading, émıtrpía, for which épıs has been substituted in A. at a later period. The begimning of ch. ix., which contains some strange and harsh
metaphors (see Whiston's Diss. p. 24), has been extended and modified in B. Towards the end of the chapter the following words, éorè oîv kuì oivo-
 to any thing found in the text which the Syriae acknowledges as gemuine, and such as we should hardly expect to meet with in writings, the idiom of which betrays the Aramaisms of the author. The strange term, oivodoc, seems to have displeased the arranger of the recension B., and therefore to have been removed by him. With respect to the particle oîv, I observe that it only oecurs twice in the whole text of the three genuine Epistles, as I have arranged it to correspond with the Syriac; once in that to the Ephesians, p. 17, l.5: 'Eтei oîv, к. т. ..; and even in this place the particle $\sim^{\infty}$ ? shews that the translator most probably did not read oiv, but $\delta \grave{\varepsilon}$, as in the Epistle to Polycarp, p. 9, l.1,9; in this Epistle, p. 29, 1.1, p. 33, l.2, \&c.; and again in the Epistle to the Romans: $\chi$ prís oov, p. 53, 1.9, where we find, corresponding with it, WaDO, its usual equivalent in Syriac. It appears, then, from the Syriac, that this particle has not been used more than once by Ignatius. In the genuine text of the two first Epistles it does not occur at all. So soon, however, as we enter upon the province of the interpolator we find the particle oiv. Thus, in ch. vii. of the Epistle to Polycarp, with which the interpolation commences; in ch. ii. of the Epistle to the Ephesians also, where it again commences; and, further, in chapters iv., v., vi., viii., ix., xiii., xv., xvi., xix., all in passages which the Syriac shews to be spurious; and again in ch. vii. of the Epistle to the Romans. I further observe that, although this particle is found only once in the text of these three Epistles, acknowledged as genuine by the Syriac, it occurs not less than twelve times in the Epistle to the Magnesians, eleven in that to the Trallians, and five in each of those to the Pliiladelphians and Smyrneans. But besides the recurrence of this particle in the spurious passages, we find it also inserted by the interpolator into the genuine. Thus, in the Epistle to Polycarp, ch. vi. p. 11, it is added after $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho o-$
 evidently with the object of softening the abruptness of the original style, of which we find numerous instances in these three Epistles. Thus, in the Epistle to Polycarp, ch. i., the insertion of kai between wis and $\sigma \varepsilon$, and between $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho$ and $\pi o t e i s ;$ ch. iii., the addition of $\delta \grave{\delta}$ after $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$; ch.v., the insertion of the conjunction каi between the two sentences beginning with éàv, \&cc. The addition of $\mu a \rho \tau v \rho i o v ~ i n ~ c h . ~ i ., ~ o f ~ v i v v ~ i n ~$ ch. siv. of the Epistle to the Ephesians, and of тov̀ (ecov̀ and $\chi$ caíos in ch. i.,
 Romans, and others, donbtless originated in the same cause. I had substi-
 ahove), before I had been led to ohserve the peeculiarity of the spurions text with respeet to this particle.




 زùp oük cioir 中vecia Пatpós. From this it is evident that the writer regrards Batary and $\pi$ apaфvádas as heresies, with which we may compare the following sentence of Irenaus: "Jam multa propagines multarum haeresium factie sunt." Eilit. Massuct. p. 106. But putcía Hatpòs is taken as the true church. This seems to be horrowed from the Constitutions of the


 to the Egyptians. See Jones's New and Full Method, Vol.i.p. 199. Fabricius Cod. Apocr. Nov. Test. p. 336. At the end of the chapter oup$\kappa เ \kappa \omega ิ s ~ к \alpha \grave{~ \pi \nu є ข \mu a \tau เ \kappa \omega ิ s ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ o m i t t e d ~ i n ~ B . ~}$

Ch. xi., xii., xiii. are entirely spurious. The variations in B. do not secm to have any dogmatical temdency. In ch. si. we fimd another instanee of

 heen altered and modified. Ecoû éntrí $\begin{gathered}\text { co has been changed to 'Iyбoû Xporové }\end{gathered}$ $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \dot{\chi} \chi \omega$, both of which are found in the genuine text of Ignatius: see
 tion of you in every Epistle," which would not be true if $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \eta \eta_{n}$ be taken in its ordinary signification, has been changed to "who always makes mention of you in his prayers," which is an allusion to St. Paul's own words in his Epistle to the Ephesians, ch. i. v. 16.

Ch. xiv. and xy. contain each a small portion of the genuine text. The last sentence of ch. xir., which belongs to this, has been omitted from 13. The preceding interpolated passage in A . being little more than a paraphrase of this, the arranger of $B$. seems to have omitted it as unnecessary. Compare note on $l .3, \mathrm{p} .17$ above, p. 279. In ch. xv. the long passage, Eîs oûv-- $\gamma เ \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \eta \tau \alpha l$, has been omitted. The occurrence of the words $\sigma \iota \gamma \omega \nu$ and $\sigma \iota \gamma \hat{a}$ seems in some measure to have influenced this omission. In ch. vi., where $\sigma \iota \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$ occurs, it has been changed in B. to $\sigma \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha$; or, indeed, vice versâ. In the Epistle to the Romans, p. 4], we find $\sigma \omega \pi$ rionte used by Ignatius, but in the sense of refraining from speaking.

In the Epistle to the Magnesians, ch. viii. p. 67, áidıos oúk $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o} \sigma t \gamma \hat{\eta}_{S}$
 in B., in the Epistle to the Philadelphians, ch. i. p. 89.

The last sentence of ch. xv., öтє каі é $\sigma \tau \iota \nu$ — ávóv, which, to say the least, is certainly very obscure, has been omitted in B., and another, altogether different, substituted.

Chapters xvi. and xvii. are the work of the interpolator. The meaning of ch. xvi., which is obscure in A., has been rendered more intelligible by the additions in B., from which, however, the last part of this chapter, ò тotov̂тos- aủ่ov̂, has been omitted.

It is not easy to apprehend the meaning of the writer in ch. xviii. when he says: "On this account the Lord took ointment on his head, that he might breathe incorruption to his church." We find in Irenæus a similar
 $\pi \nu \circ \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i \alpha \varsigma \delta \nu v \alpha_{\mu} \mu v o v$, edit. Massuet. p. 28; with which we may
 X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau \circ$ र̂. Ibid. p. 18.

The first sentence of ch. xviii. is a passage from the genuine Epistle: the rest belongs to the interpolator. Пє $\rho^{\prime} \psi \eta \mu \alpha$ тò $\bar{\epsilon} \mu \grave{o} \nu \pi v \in \hat{\imath} \mu \alpha$ is omitted in B. See note, p. 284. In the latter part, the error of those who denied that Christ was born of Mary and of the seed of David is combatted. This is again repeated in ch. xx., and in the interpolated part of ch. vii. of the Epistle to the Romans, p. 51, and in several places of the spurious epistles. In B. we find again here an Arian tendency, in the substitution of ó زà $\rho$ тô Єeov̂
 this Epistle, ch. vii., tòv Kúpıov ทipĥv ఆєòv 'I $\eta \sigma \sigma \hat{v} \nu$ тòv X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o ́ v ;$ but it is

 to ascertain the exact meaning, have been removed from B., and another sentence supplied. Both Theodoretus and Timotheus of Alexandria read them differently from the present text. See pp. 171 and 211, 1.20.

Ch. xix. consists of a part of the genuine text mixed with interpolations. See notes, pp. 285-287.

Chapters xxi. and xxii. belong to the interpolator. In the beginning of the former the writer states that it was his intention, "if Jesus Christ should count him worthy, to make known to the Ephesians, in another little book which he was about to write, what economy he had begun towards the new man in Christ." That Ignatius, having been condemned as a culprit by the Emperor, in the close custody of ten soldiers, who, he himself informs us, harrassed him "by day and by night, by sea and by land," should have heen able to write such, and so long an Epistle as this, even as it stands in the

Medicenn text, appears in iself to bo highly improbrable; but maler the same circumstances, while the time of his departure was drawiug every day nearer at hand, and he was hurried omwards to Rome to undergo his sentence, that he should still contemplate writing a second "little loonk respecting the economy which he had begun towarls the new man Jesus Christ," and that this was to be sent to the Ephesians, with whom he seems to have had no personal comection further than having reeeived on his journey, through Onesimus, their $\pi$ ohvanjóbus (whatever this might have leeen), appears to be altogether incongruous and incredible. Moreover, it is plain, from the whole tenor of the Epistle to the Romans, that he did not wish nor hope that his life should be spared and prolonged, which alone might have afforded him time to write agrain to Ephesus. And further, it is evident from the same Epistle (see p. 53), that to the Romans, at least, he did not think it advisable to communieate his knowledge on heavenly things, and this also appears inconsistent with the promise that is here put into the month of Ignatius. All this seems to have struck the author of the recension B3., who, to give more weight to his own work, has altogether omitted this passage. The introduction of it into A. appears to have been with the design of preparing the way for other fabrications, should this pass off.successfully.

The sentence $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha-\dot{\alpha} \pi о к \alpha \lambda u ́ \psi \varepsilon \iota$, in which the writer seems to refer 10 the probability of a Divine revelation being makle to him, has also been removed from B. This likewise seems to have been intended as preparatory for some other fabrication, which was not only to have the authority of the venerable name of Ignatius attached to it, but was further to be sanctioned by Divine revelations made to him; as we find a Divine authority was assigned to the practice of chaunting alternately in churehes, by alleaging its institution by Ignatius in consequence of a rision of angels. See the passage of Socrates cited p. 172, and note, p. 293.

Towards the close of ch. xx. reference is again made to the subject of ohedience to the Bishop and Presbytery, and the "one bread" is called "the medicine of immortality, the antidote against death": this is rery different from Ignatius" own terms in the Epistle to the Romans, p. 5l, "I scek the bread of God, which is the flesh of Christ; and I seek his blood, a drink which is love incorruptible"; to which indeed the interpolator has addert. "and everlasting life," in the same spirit as in this place of the Epistle to the Ephesians. See note, p. 303.

It is not necessary to make any remark upon the last chapter, further than to notice that the interpolator has borrowed the cloke of several of

 Epistle to the Romans.

## ON THE EPISTLE TO THE ROMANS.

The entire scope of this letter of Ignatius is to entreat the Christians at Rome, who scem to have conveyed to him some intimation of their anxiety to exert themselves to save him, and of the probability of their being successful, to suffer the sentence pronounced against him to take its course, and to use no endeavours to deprive him of the crown of martyrdom which he ardently desired. The whole tenor of the Epistle is earnestly impassioneen, and written with a degree of warmilh and excitement, such as we should naturally be led to expect from one under the circumstances in which he is said to have been placed. He had boldly maintained the confession of his faith in Christ crucified before the Roman Emperor himself; he had manifested the greatest alacrity to undergo the sentence which had been decreed against him; he had already suffered many hardships and injuries in travelling a considerable distance towards the seat of the empire where it was to be executcd upon him; and his emotion would therefore necessarily become greater as he drew nearer to Rome. In the full confidence of faith he felt as St. Paul, that for himself " to depart aud to be with Christ would be far better," Phil. i. 23; and that if strength were granted to him to seal his confession with his blood, as that A postle and St. Peter had done before him in the very city to which he was then approaching, all the dangers and temptations of this world would then be over : he would no longer be subject to the infirmities of the flesh; but that he should then be (to use his own words) "the freedman of Jesus Christ, and should rise again from the dead free." See p. 47.

But we should probably be guilty of injustice towards this disciple of the A postles if we were to assert that he was moved only by the personal considerations of himself and of his own advantage, even although that was to be eternal, in urging this entreaty upon the Christians at Rome. He was doubtless influenced by the desire of strengthening their faith by shewing the steadfastness of his own, "even to the end"; and thus, by the benefit of his example, of rendering a service to the whole Christian Chureh. He does not seem to have deemed it, in his own case, as in that of St. Paul, to be more neerlful for those under his pastoral eare, and indeed for the whole Church, that he should "abide in the flesh," although the concluding part of this Epistle seems evidently to imply that some such argument, drawn from the loss which the Church would sustain in being deprived of his knowledge of spiritual and heavenly things, had been pressed upon him. See note, p. 30.5. IIe was already far advanced in years, and he could not therefore, in the course of nature, continue much longer to benefit them by his instructions on earth. As Bishop of the famous and populous city where the disciples of our Lomel were first called Christians, he had been
publicly summoned hefore the Limperor himself, und by him had been condemned to he devoured by wild heasts for having professed himself a Christian, and had been ordered to be conveyed to the seat of the empire to suffer the pumishment denounced against him in the publie amphitheatre. His case was therefore most notorions; and while he was thus exhibited as a specetacle to the heathen, the influence of his conduct would necessarily be felt by all the Christian world. To have shrunk back, then, at such a moment, by availing himself of the exertions of his friends at Rome to procure a remission of his sentence, would have tended to cast a suspicion upon the strength and efficaey of his belief; and thus would have bronght ingury and reproach upon the whole cause of Christianity. In the Epistle, therefore, hefore us Ignatius earnestly entreats the Christians at Rome to abstain from exerting themselves to procure any remission of his sentence by a variety of arguments, which will be best understood from the perusal of the Letter itself. They are all urged with a degree of personal emotion and carnestness, which could hardly be assumed by one who did not feed deeply what he was writing; and they appear to me to furnish as strong internal evidence of genuineness and truth as could possibly be wished for.
Those who have oljected to this cager desire of Ignatins to undergo martyrdom as being unworthy of a disciple of the Apostles, seem to have neglectel to take into consideration the circumstances which I have just stated, overlooking also the fact of St. Paul's own carnest wish to be offered up, and to depart and be with Christ; and to have forgotten that, although the high principles and constraining motives of Christianity can and ought to govern and rectify all our sentiments and affections, they do nct, nor were they intended entirely to suppress and extinguish those natural feelings and emotions which form an essential part in the constitution of man as lie came from the hands of his creator.
In this Epistle, as restored by the Syriac, there is no mention of the persons by whom it was sent, nor of the place where it was written. In the interpolated chapter x. of the Greek the author states that he wrote from Smyrna by some "Ephesians most worthy of happiness"; and "that he had with him Crocus, together with many others"; that he believed the Romans had been already informed respecting certain persons "who were gone before him from Syria to Rome for the glory of Gol"; and he begs them to make those persons acquainted with the fact of his being near at hand; and dates his letter on "the ninth of the Calends of September, that is, on the twenty-third of August." The Acts of Martyrdom agree with this, as to smyrna having been the place whence the letter was written (see p. 193); and they also state that his journey thence was hurried by the soldiers who had the charge of him: and that immediately upon his arrival at Rome he
was thrown to the wild beasts and devoured by them; and that this took place on the twentictin of December. Thus, if both these accounts were true, his journey from Smyrna to Rome must have occupied about four months.

Now in this there are many things which appear highly improbable, and difficult to account for, and also to be at variance with the tenor of the Epistle itself, ini which we find Ignatius complaining of the very harsh treatment of the soldiers who guarded him by sea and by land, hy night and by day ; comparing them to ten leopards, who for every act of kindness on his part-probably some admonitions and endeavours to convert them to Chris-tianity-treated him the more rigorously. Now such being the case, it seems to be very unlikely that they should have allowed him to have many Christian friends with him, or, as we read in the Epistle to the Trallians, ch. xii. p. 85, to have with him "Churches of God." And this improbability is the more striking when we compare it with St. Paul's journey to Rome. We find it mentioned in the Acts of the Apostles as a mark of kindness worth recording on the part of Julius the Centurion, that upon their touching at Sidon "he courteously entreated Paul, and gave him liberty to go to his friends and refresh himself." Acts xxvii. 3. St. Paul cujoyed the privilege of being a Roman citizen; and although he had been accused by the Jews, he had, after his defence before the Governor Festus and King Agrippa, been declared to have done "nothing worthy of death or of bonds"; and he was then on his way to Rome solely in consequence of his own appeal to Cesar. Ignatius, according to the account transmitted to us, had been condemned to death by a decree of the Emperor himself. Immediately after this sentence had been pronounced he was put into bonds; and at the time when he is said to have written these Epistles he was on his way to Rome, under strict custody, to undergo the punishment which had been decreed against him. It would seem, therefore, to be scarcely credible that Ignatins in his position should have received the same indulgence from the ten soldiers, of whose harsh treatment he complains, as St. Paul did from the humane Centurion Julius, whose kindness towards him is again mentioned in the Acts of the Apostles, ch. xxvii. 43. But this indulgence, or even greater in some respects, must have been granted to him, if these soldiers gave him permission to see, receive, and have with him so many friends and deputations from various Churches, as he must have done, both at Smyrna and Troas, if the statements made here and in the other spurious passages and Epistles were correct.

Again, St. Ignatius speaks in this Epistle of Churches having received him in the name of the Lord Jesus, and of their having preceded him (or having come to mect him), city by city; or, as we read in the Syriac, in every city. Sce p.54, and note, p.303. But if we are to take the account
firmished ly the Acts of his Martyrdom to he corveet, Smyrma was the first city at which he landed after having emburked at Seleucin. What Churehes, then, could he speak of as having reeeived him on the way-whether near or distunt. from it, if the Episisle to the Romans wus written from Smyrma, the only city whieh, as yet, according to the Acts of Martyrdom, he is said to have touched at?

Purther, in the conclusion of this Lipistle, even as it is read in the spurions additions to the Greek, he is stated to desire those to whom it was addressed to inform some persons who had gone before him from Syria to Rome that he himself was near ( $\mathrm{p}, 5 \tilde{5}$ ) ; and in the Syriae, from which this has doubtless been borrowed (see note, p. 304), we find it written, "And now I am near to arrive nt Rome," (p.54). Aud again, in another gemuine passage
 (p. 47). To me it certainly does seem very improbable that he should have written in such terms if he had not yet accomplished half of his journey, and was still four months distant from Rome, as the dates of this Epistle, and of the Acts of Martyrdom, would shew, if they were to be relied upon.

Moreover, from the whole bearing of the Letter, it appears to be quite evilemt that some intimation had been conveyed to Ignatins of the desire of the Clristians at Rome to save his life; and probahly, also, of their being possessed of some influence likely to effect this. See p. 41. Indeed, it apprears to be plain, from the purport of the Letter itself, that some knowledge of this was the chief oceasion of his writing it. If such were the case, the account of Ignatius having been condemnel to be sent to Rome to become a spectacle in the amplitheatre must have travelled all the way thither from Antioch, and an intimation of the wishes and intentions of the Roman Christians have been conveyed back to him to Smyrna, while he had only adranced thus far upon his journey from Antioch since his condemnation, although, as I have before remarked, that journey is stated to have been made under some circumstances of haste. This appears to be altogether inconsistent with the dates and accounts, such as they are, which we liare before us. Taking all these considerations together, it would seem scarcely credible that Ignatius, under these circumstances, should have written his Epistle to the Romans from Smyrna, even had we not discovered the Syriac text, in which there is no authority whatever for any such accounts.

It is needless to speculate upon the locality where this letter was written; but from the data furnished by the Epistle itself it would appear to be firom some place at which Ignatius had arrived after he had journeyed by land as well as by sea-had already passed several cities or towns in which were congregations of Christians, and where he was now no longer at any great distance from Rome. It seems not improbable that as he drew near to the
eity some of the Roman Christians, having heard of his arrival, went to meet him, as they went to meet St. Paul as far as Appii Forum and the Three Taverns (Acts xxviii. 15) ; that they informed him of the desire of the brethren to exert themselves to save so cminent a servant of Christ from the cruel punishment to which he was condemned; and that this afforded him the oceasion of writing his carnest appeal to them not to interfere on his behalf, and thus deprive him of the crown of martyrdom. After I had arrived at this conclusion from totally different premises, it was satisfactory to me to read the following words of Vossius in a note upon the beginning
 locunn hunc immutatum irent. Ett certe quis est, qui ex his verbis non colligat, Ignatium Rome fuisse, cum ista scriberet? Atqui Smyrnæ hæe sunt exarata, ut ex tota Epistola constat ; non tantum ex fine. Quod eo magis dico, ne quis putet, epilogum esse spurium." See Vossius' Edit. p. 293.

There are three distinct Greek recensions of this Epistle. One, A., which is inserted in the Acts of Martyrdom of St. Ignatius, as cxhibited in the Colbert MS. Another, B., which belongs to the Longer recension of these Epistles; and the third, the text given by Simeon Metaphrastes in his account of the Acts of Ignatius. This last, besides other variations, omits several passages which are found in A., not less than in B., and some even of those which belong to the genuine Epistle, as it will be seen by referring to the Syriac. Simeon Metaphrastes doubtless wrote subsequently to the time of the publication of both the other recensions; but so many liberties of every kind seem to have been taken with the text of this Epistle, that it would be useless to speculate whether these omissions and variations are to be referted to him or to some other editor or transcriber before or after his time.

The method which the interpolator has adopted with respect to this Epistle resembles that which he followed in the one to Polycarp, rather than that in the Letter to the Ephesians. The five first chapters are left nearly in their original state, with the exception of a very few merely verbal alterations, the insertion of a sentence of five words into chi. i., of another of twelve words into ch. ii., and of two, each containing eleven words, and a quotation from St. Paul in ch. iii. Only one word is inserted in ch. iv., and three are added at the end by way of explanation; while the whole addition to ch. v. amounts only to six words in one place, and two in another. These additions, therefore, are not such as altogether to obseure the original style of the writer, as in the case of the Epistle to the Ephesians; nor do they appear to be of any doctrinal import, except the passage in ch. iii., 'O $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ $\Theta e \grave{s} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{~} \dot{\mu} \mu \omega \nu$-- фaivecat, which seems to have been omitted in B., as unfavourable to the apparently Arian tendency of that recension.

Che vi. contains but one short sentence of the origimal Epinstle. Amongst other matier of no doctrimal import, our lored's passion, death, and resurrection are distinctly asserted, and he himself called God : a pasmare: is uhso eited from the Gospels. The few further additions in IB seem to he faronmable to the Arian views.

Ch. vii. consists of a part of the gemuine Epistle mixed up with spurions additions. In these, which otherwise have little reference to doctrine, the birth of the Son of Cod from the seed of David and Abraham is asserted, as I have already observed with respect to similar passages of the Epistle to the Ephesians.

Ch. viii. contains a part of the genuine Epistle. The author states that he had "written this, not according to the flesh, but according to the mind of God."

The first part of ch. x . is spurious: the latter part belongs to the genuine Epistle, with one or two slight variations. The remainder of the genuine Epistle has been removed from its proper place to form the nucleus of the spurions Epistle to the Trallians, and ch. x., retaining the final valediction of the true Epistle, been substituted in its place. See noter, pp. $304,807$. In all of these the interpolator has endeavoured to give a fair colour to his own additions by borrowing terms and endeavouring to imitate certain phrases of the genuine text.

## ON THE EPISTLE TO THE MAGNESIANS.

The inscription of this Epistle purports that it was addressed to the Chureh at Magnesia, on the Mreander ; and in the last chapter it is stated that it was written from Smyrna, at which place it would appear, from ch. ii., that Damas, the Bishop of Magnesia, accompanied by two Preshyters, Bassus and Apollonius, and attended by a Deacon named Sotio, had met Ignatius : and their meeting, it is evident, was not fortuitous. The road from Smyrna to Magnesia passed through Ephesus-and these two latter cities are, according to Pliny, fifteen Roman miles apart ; but Picenini makes the journey from Aiasalúck, near the site of ancient Ephesus, to Magnesia to occupy eleven hours. See Chandler's Travels in Asia Minor, p. 208. The whole distance, therefore, between Smyrna and Magnesia must have occupied about three days. See p. 314 abore. Now the question naturally suggests itself-how could the Christians of Magnesia have obtained such precise information respecting the journey of St. Ignatius as to have timed their arrangements so exactly as to meet him when he touched at Smyrna?

Are we to suppose that tidings had been convered to them from Antioch, that he had left that city at a certain time, and consequently might be expected to arrive at Smyrna at a certain period? Or are we to conclude, that after he had reached Smyrna intelligence of his arrival had been despatched to the Magnesians, and that their Bishop Damas, accompanied by two Preshyters and a Deacon, immediately set out to visit him? This would have required about five or six days. It is true, as I have already remarked, that we have no positive data from which to ascertain the lengeth of the sojourn of Ignatius at Smyrna; but if we are to follow such evidence as is supplied by the Acts of Martyrdom, the inference is, that it was not protracted longer than was ordinarily necessary for the continuance of his journey.

The Epistle to the Ephesians, with the additions made to it by the interpolator, has been to a certain extent the model after which this to the Magnesians has been fashioned; and several expressions have been borrowed, and passages imitated from the genuine Epistles, to give it the appearance of haring been written by the same hand. The address is imitated from those of the genuine Epistles to the Ephesians and Romans, with some omissions and additions, among which we find $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \dot{\sigma} \zeta \rho \mu a$, which occurs in the interpolated part of the inscription of the Epistle to the Romans.

The first sentence of ch. i., Tvous - i $\dot{\mu \nu \nu}$, is imitated from the passage of Ignatius' Epistle to the Ephesians, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda ’ \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\iota}$ - $i \mu \mu \bar{\mu}, ~ p .19$. The next,
 relative position in the Epistle to Polycarp, p. 1, as it does in this to the Magnesians. The words $\theta \in o \pi \rho \in \pi \epsilon \sigma \tau a \dot{\tau} \sigma$ occurs nowhere in the genuine text of the three Epistles, but is first introduced in the interpolated ch. vii. of that to Polycarp. At the beginning of ch.ii. we read $\dot{\epsilon \pi \epsilon \grave{\iota}-\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa o ́ \pi o v ; ~ a n d ~}$
 own words to the Ephesions. See p. 17.

But besides these imitations, we find numerous other expressions borrowed from the genuine Epistles, and inserted to give a fair colour to the spurious; such as in ch.i., $\sigma \alpha \rho к o ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \nu є i ́ \mu а т о \varsigma, ~ s e e ~ P o l . ~ p . ~ 1 ; ~ \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega ́ s ~ \tau є ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \gamma a ́ \pi \eta \jmath s, ~$


 p. 43. In ch. vi., ó ó
 'Ev Tरी $\chi \alpha \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\omega} \mu \mu$, Ephes. p. 15, \&c. \&c.

The seope and object of this Epistle agrees with that of the interpolations in the Epistle to the Ephesians. In ch. ii. of this latter Epistle, where the interpolation begins (sce p. 17), we find the three orders of the Priesthoorl bronght forward-the Bishop, the Preshyter, and the Deacon. Crocus is


 р́œ oceur.

The secome chapter of the Repistle to the Mugnemians is the exac: combterpart of this. We find memtion of a Bishop, Dreshyters, and a Deacon introduced. Damas is called aguflion. Solio the Deacom is called toin rur-




In the interpolations continned in ch. iii.-vii. of the Epistle to the Ephesians the author goes on to insist upou the duty of concurrence with the mind of the Bishop, and of mion with the Church groverned by him in hamony and concert; of the efficacy of the prayers of the Chureh in conjunction with those of the Bishop. He urges the necessity of respect to the Bishop, although he should be silent;-by which probably it is to be understood that his popularity ought not to depend upon his elorfuence; and he assigns as a reason for this, that every one whom the master of the house sendeth to his houschold ought to be received as the master who sonds him ; and, consequently, that the Bishop ought to be looked up to in the same manner as our Lord.

In ch.iii.-viii. of this Epistle to the Magnesians the same precepts are inculeated, although not in precisely the same order. The Bishop must not be despised although he be young, any more than if he be silent. Whosoever deceives the visible Bishop despises the unseer. Bishop of all menthe Father of Jesus Chist. Those who do any thing apart from the Bishop are not right in their conscience, because they do not assemble themselves according to the commandment. Every thing must be done in the concord of God, the Bi-hop sitting in the place of God, the Presbylers in the place of the assembly of the Apostles, and the Deacons being entrusted with the ministry of Christ. Nothing must divide us ; but we must all be united with the Bishop and those who preside over us for the form and doctrine of incorruption.

The rest of the passages interpolated into the Epistle to the Ephesians relate to the errors of different heretics; but at the end of those occurring at the close of the Epistle the writer again reverts to the subject of obedience to the Bishop. See ch. xx.

So also in this Epistle to the Magnesians we find the remainder of it employed in combating various heresies; and just before the close the writer again reverts to the duty of submission to the Bishop and to one another. The end of this Epistle runs almost in the very same terms as
those of the interpolated conclusions both of the Epistles to the Ephesians and the Romans.

But hesides the similarities between this Epistle and the interpolated parts of that to the Ephesians already mentioned, the following may be also

 $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v$, ch. ii., ơ $\theta \epsilon v$ $\pi \rho \in ́ \pi \epsilon \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath}$, ch.iv., of the Epistle to the Ephesians. Withont adducing numerons other similarities and coincidences of idea and expression which may be found between this Epistle and those passagres of the Greek of the three preceding Letters which the Syriac text condemns, those which have been already adranced scem to be amply sufficient to prove, either that both are the production of the same author, or, at least, that the one has been borrowed from the other, which is all that I am desirous of establishing in this place.

The chief heresies combated in this Epistle are those of the Judaizing Christians, who kept the Sabbath and observed the Law of Moses (see ch. viii.-x.) ; that of Valentinus, with respect to the procession of the $\lambda$ óros, ch. vii. viii., see Daillé, De Scriptis que sub Dionysii Areop. et Ignatii Antioch. nomine circumferuntur, Lib.ii.ch. 12; and that of the Docetæ who denied the reality of our Lord's birth, passion and resurrection, ch. xi.

In comparing the two recensions of this Epistle together, besides the additions and extensions in B., we find, as in the preceding Epistles, certain passages existing in A . which are omitted in B. Some of these appear to be unimportant; but others are deserving of notice. Of the latter are the two passages which distinctly mention the three Persons of Holy Trinity,
 $\delta \iota \grave{\iota}$ тoṽто- ఢท้̂v, ch x ., we may compare with the omissions in the Epistle
 which prove that the omission of these passages firom B., or their insertion at a later period into A., had some definite object. Dià $\pi a \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ i s ~ a l s o ~ a g a i n ~$ omitted in ch. vi., as also in the Ephesians, ch. xx. The omission of the last sentence of ch. vi. deserves notice. At the end of ch. vii., tò $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \phi^{\prime}$ evos
 sidered in connection with òs- $\pi \rho 0$ é $\lambda \theta \omega v$, also omitted in ch. viii., has been
 supposes the cause of this change to have been the desire to obviate the manifest anachronism in A. in this direct and distinct opposition to the tenets of Valentinus. See ibid. ch. xii.
Cli. ix. has not only been much extended in B., but the order has been inverted, and so much of $\mathbf{A}$. been omitted as amounts to about one third part

ferring to the Prophets mentioned immediately above, "whon being Dinciphes in the spivit, expected him as master ; und on this acceount, hee whom they righteously awaited being arived, rased them from the dead." What mophets, we may justly ask, did our lood raise from the dead! We have no aceount of his raising to life any othere than the damghtere of the Centurion, the son of the widow of Nain, and Lazarns. Moses and Elias indeed appeared to Christ at his transfiguration, lout he did not raise them firom the
 but this will hardly explain the matter. The editor of the recension 13 . seems to have felt this difficulty, and to have attempted to olviate it by removing the passarge altogether from the text, as he seems to have done in
 oere, doubtless offented by the expression, "ye shall be found out by the stink." I have retained ippŷs in the text as it is found in the MS. There can be litile doubt, however, both fiom the context and the Latin version odore, that the true reading is $\dot{\delta} \sigma \mu \eta \bar{\eta}$, which almost all editors have adopted. Compare p. 319.
 The eclitor of B. seems to have thought justly that it was quite unnecessary for Ignatius, in writing to the Magnesians, and dating his Letter from Smyrna, to tell them that Polycarp was "Bishop of the Smyrneans."

## ON THE EPIS'TLE 'TO 'THE 'TRALLIANS.

This Letter is addressed to the Church at Tralles, a town situated on the Masander, about eighteen Roman miles from Magnesia, and it is said to have been written from Smyma, where Polybins, Bishop of the Trallians, had met Ignatius. The way from Smyrna to Tralles lay through Ephesus and Magnesia: consequently the difinculties which occur sith reepect to the distance of the two former cities from Smyrna will be increased here.

I do not think it necessary to take any pains to prove that this and the two following Epistles to the Philadelphians and Smyrneans are due to the same hand as the passages in the Epistle to the Ephesians which the Syriac text condemns as spurious. They have been universally acknowledged to be the work of the same person as the Letter to the Magnesians; the same arguments, therefore, which apply to that Letter, and the deluctions which follow as the consequence of those arguments, are equally applicable to this to the Trallians and to the two succecting Epistles. I shall not, therefore, stop to point out all the words and phrases which have been borroved here
from the genuine text, but simply observe, that we find in this Epistle two contire chapters, v. and vi., which have been transferred from the Epistle to the Romans as it exists in the Syriac, to give a fair colour to this by the admixture of something of the true text with the false. I have stated what appears to me to be the probable cause why this passage was removed from the Epistle to the Romans to this in my note, p. 304 . But this transposition has not been effected with much skill; and the two chapters have been so clumsily mixed up with the rest that their incongruity and difference of style from the context was seen and pointed out by Vedelius more than two centuries before the discovery of the Syriac text disclosed the source firom which they had been taken. Respecting these two chapters he writes: "Est enim hic locus planè supposititius usque ad hæc verba iva $\Theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi 0 \hat{\omega}$. Patet hoc primo ex stylo, secundo ex materia subjecta. Quod ad stylum attinet, is ineptissimus est, et planè ab Ignatii stylo alienus. Primo enim, quàm ab æquali et plano stylo Ignatii aliena sunt ista
 docent verba hæc nullo modo ad rem facere." See Vedelius' Edition, Exercitatio viii. in Epist. ad Trallenses, p. 32.

A further cause, however, for the introduction of these chapters, in which Ignatius speaks of his knowledge of heavenly things, into this Epistle, seems to have been to give countenance to the prophetic foresight with respect to heresies, which the fabricator of this Epistle assumes to himself in ch. viii. ;-a foresight necessary, indeed, to justify the condemnation of doctrines which seem to have developed themselves after the time of Ignatius, such as those of the Docetr, or, indeed, of the Phantasiastre of much later days, whose tenets are especially condemned in ch. ix.

It is not necessary for my present object to point out in this and in the following Epistles the several passages in A. which have been omitted in B., and other peculiarities which occur. They offer, however, some curious phenomena, which may be well worthy of the attention of any one wishing to investigate thoroughly the variations of the two recensions, and the interesting questions involved in them.

## ON THE EPISTLE TO THE PHILADELPHIANS.

This Epistle is said to have been written from Troas, where Ignatius had arrived on his way towards Rome, and to have been conveyed by Burrus the Deacon to Philadelphia of Asia, as it is stated in A., which city is distant from Troas about 200 miles.

We have no tradition hamded down to ne from antignity of any eomecetion between Ignatius mud the Church of Philadelphin which might have aflorded an oreasion for his writing to them, although, from some of the expressions made use of in the Letter - such ns, orx ' ört тup' ìmu
入érontar', ch. viii-some have coneluded that Igmatins must have visited Philadelphia. See Jueohson's Edit. p. 413. Indeed, from this, and from several other circumstances, Whiston, the defender of the reeension B., hat inferred that Philudelphia of Cilicia must have been the city to which this Epistle was direeted, and not Philadelphia of Asia or Lydia. He writes: "- - still supposing, by the objection and his reply, that he had been at this Philadelphia, and there heard such things said as produced the answer there set down; which yet conld hardly be true of the Asiatic Pliladelphia at 400 miles distance from Antioch." See Diss, upon the E'pistles of Ignatius, p. 77. Of course it would be an absurdity to suppose that, on his journey to Troas, the soldiers who had charge of Ignatius allowed him time and liberty to make a deflection from the way to visit the Church at the Astiatic Philadelphia. Duisterdieck, in his defence of the Epietles of the recension A., is staggered at this difficulty, and writes thus: "Etsi quomodo factum sit, ut in oppidum illud pervenerit Ignatius, a recta ad Troadem via satis distans quidem, hee res parum liquet, neque vero ex ipsa epistola necessitate quadam consequens est ; sed cum nave veheretur Ignatius Seleucia ad Troalem, Smyrnam tantum appulsum esse eum suspicor, quo misissent legatos Ephesini, Magnesiani, Tralliani; in Troade autem recepisse videtur legatos Philadelphenorum, epistolamque rursus ad illes seripsisse." Diss. de Ignatianarum Epistolarum authentia, p. 19. Hefele has adopted this explanation in his third edition of the Apostolic Fathers, with the following supposition: "More suo Iguatius ita loquitur, ac si in legatis totam ecelesiam Philadelphensem conspexisset," p. 215. And Dr. Jacobson has copiced Hefele's note into the third edition of his work. But even if we were to allow such an explanation to be at all admissible, what feasible explanation will these learned men be able to give to cover the great improbability that the Church at Pliiladelphia should have received an account of the condemnation of the Bishop of Antioch, a city situated about 400 miles to the southeast of their own, and have so timed their embassy as to meet him at Troas, about 200 miles to the north-west, just as he was passing on his journey to Rome, whither he had been despatched immediately after his condemnation?

In the last chapter, Burrus, the Deacon of the Ephesians, is said to have been the bearer of this Letter; but Philadelphia, which is situated about 90 miles to the east of Smyrna, would lie very considerably out of his way upon his return from Troas to Ephesus, whither I conclude
he is supposed to have returned, as we find that he is made the bearer of the Letter to the Smyrneans, written also from Troas. See Epist. to Smyrn. ch. xii.

The only ground which the Epistle supplies us with, as likely to have afforded any occasion to Ignatins of writing to the Philadelphians, is the circumstance which is alleged in the first chapter, of his having been acquainted with their Bishop, whom he most highly commends and arlmires in every respect. But still, although the Philadelphians were under the charge of such an able and excellent pastor, the writer of this Epistle is represented as putting himself forward to caution them against heresy and divisions, of which, nevertheless, he states that he had found no trace among them, but the contrary. See ch. iii. Some indeed, he adds, might suspect that he had had previous knowledge of the division of some ; but Christ was his witness that no man had given him any information to this effect. But the Spirit cried, saying, without the Bishop do nothing; keep your flesh as the temple of God ; love union, avoid divisions. Ch. vii. In this place Ignatius is certainly represented as asserting that a Divine communication had been made to him by the Spirit.

The divisions, want of unanimity and union in the Church, and separation from the Bishop, as mentioned in this Epistle, would seem almost to agree better with the description of the distracted state of Christendom in the fourth or fifth century than with any other previous period of which accounts have reached us.

## ON THE EPISTLE TO THE SMYRNEANS.

This Letter is said to have been written from Troas, and to have been sent to Smyrna by Burrus the Deacon, ch. xii. None of the difficulties with respect to time and distance, which suggest themselves so stronely in the three preceding Epistles, occur here. If Ignatius had touched at Smyma on his journey, and had been kindly received by the Church of that city, and by their Bishop, it would be perfectly natural and probable that, were an opportunity afforded to him, he should have written to them from Troas, the next city at which he stopped. But that he should have written from thence, at the same time, two Epistles, so different from each other in matter and in style as the one to Polycarp and the other to the Smyrneans, is most highly improbahle. It is needless for me to point out the wide diserepancies between these Epistles, as it has been commented upon by critics more than two centuries before the new element of criticism supplied by the diecovery of the Syriac version was availahle. They rejected the Epistle to

Polyearp as being very diflement in atyle and chatacter from the oflome which hore the name of Igmatius; and they retainel that to the Smyrnemas, as being from the same land as the rest. Among them Arehty. Waluer is eonspicuons. The following passages are taken from his Diseertation De T!gnatii ad P'olyfarguem E'pistola: "AI unte: hoss ommes Augustuduncrusis ITonorius, in lihro de Iomminarilus E'relesice Épistolann ad I'ulyrarpum in censu seriptormm Igmatii plane pratermittendum esse jurlicavit," p. viii. "Nam ad illn, quas hodie Ignatii nomine ad I'olycarpum. fermotur seripta, quod attinet: in ed totd fere epistold fryjator I Imutium dessiderure se, non sine cansá pronuntiavit vir doctissimus, mihique amicissimus, Abrahamus Scultetus. Sed et ipse Jesuita Halloixius, relignarum omnium epistolarum propugnator accerimus, in hujus defensione titubanter sane lorpuitur: atrgue nommulla csse, quae nom minimame suspiciomis ansam ufferant, aliqua in cam
 in quastionem similiter vocaturus, si non obstitisset, ex jam dictorum verborum Polycarpi, Eusebii et Wieronymi minùs rectè perceptâ sententiâ, obortum illi projudicium; constitutum et fixum manere, adeoque neguri non posse, hanc epistolam saltem ex parte non parvâ, esse Ignatii," p. ix. Vossius writes respecting the Epistle to Polycarp: "Inter omnes Ignatii Epistolas nulla est, de cujus veritate magis disceptatum sit inter doctos, quam illa ad Polycarpum. Nee inficior, aliqua hic esse, quæ potuerint reddere suspectam: plura tamen, majoraque esse puto, quæ aliud iis debuerant persuadere." See Vossius' Edit. p. 264. All the objections, therefore, which these and other critics have brought against the Epistle to Polycarp, on account of its discrepancy from the other Ignatian Epion les, hecome so many arguments against that to the Smyrneans, if the genuineness of the Letter to Polycarp be once established.

In ch. iii. the passage 'Ey'̀-É $\pi$ í $\sigma \tau \varepsilon v \sigma a \nu$ has been cited by Eusebius, who says that he does not know from whence I gnatius took the words which he quotes. See p. 162. Jerome, in copying from Eusebius the account respecting Ignatius, says they were taken from the Gospel which he had translated a short time previously-"de Eveangelio quod nuper a me translatum est." The Gospel to which he alludes is the Gospel according to the Mebrews: In Erangelio, quo utuntur Nazareni ct Ebionitce quod nuper in Grœoum de Hebrœo sermone transtulimus." See Com. in Matt. xii. 13, and xxiii. 3.5. Origen, in his Treatise de Principiis, citing the same words as are found in the Epistle to the Smyrneans, "non sum dxmonium incorporeum," says that they are taken from a book called the Doctrine of Peter. Redepeming, in his note on this passage of Origen, 1.94 , writes: "Eundem ad quem in textu provocatur locum Ignatius in Ep. ad Smyrn. c. iii.

p. 108, hesumpta esse haee ex Evangelio Nazareorm. Itaque aut memoria lapkus est Origenes, aut in utroque apocrypho ealem reperiebantur." These words might indeed have esisted in both of the apocryphal works referred to ; but if not, and either of these writers has been guilty of a fault of memory, Jerome is far more likely to have erred than Origen. There is however, I think, another passage in this Epistle which proves that the writer of it had before him the book attributed to St. Peter; for in the
 -coù, which seem plainly to have been suggested by the same words attributed to St. Peter as are thus cited by Gregory of Nazianzum : кá $\mu \nu 0 v \sigma a$
 ad C'esarium, Basilii Opera, Edit. Fed Morelli, tom. i. p. 778. C.

The whole of the passage of Origen from which the words above quoted are taken bears upon the question respecting this Epistle to the Smyrneans: I therefore transcribe it. "Appellatio autem á $\sigma \omega \mu a ́ \tau o v$, id est, incorporei, non solum apud multos alios, verum etiam apud nostras scripturas est inusitata et incognita. Si vero quis velit nobis proferre ex illo libello qui Petri Doctrina appellatur, ubi Salvator videtur ad discipulos dicere: 'Non sum dæmonium incorporeum': primo respondendum est ei, quoniam ille liber inter libros ecclesiasticos non habetur; et ostendendum quia neque Petri est ipsa scriptura, ueque alterius cujusquam qui spiritu Dei, fuerit inspiratus." See de Principies, Redepenuing's Edit. p. 94. From this passage we may very justly infer that the Epistle to the Smyrneans, in which Ignatius is not only made to employ the word dóćpuatos limself, but also to cite a passage as of authority from a work which is here condemned as spurious, was either unknown to Origen, or rejected by him. In the Ignatian Epistles the writer speaks of himself as inspired. See Epist. to Philad. ch. vii. Origen must have been ignorant of this fact, or he would not have condemned as being the work of no inspired person a book quoted as anthority by one who was himself inspired; or, were he acquainted with this and the other Ignatian Epistles of the Medicean MS., he must have deemed them too palpably spurious to be deserving of any notice. Moreover, in his remarks respecting the word $\dot{\alpha} \sigma$ ©́нatos, it seems hardly probable that he should have omitted any mention of its occurrence in the writings of one who was a disciple of the Apostles, and whose authority, consequently, was secondary only to theirs, if he had known any thing respecting this Epistle to the Smyrneans, or believed it to be genuine.

But there is another oljection against this Epistle suggested by the employment of this word. The writer, in speaking against the heresy of the Docetæ, denounces them in the following terms: "And as they think, so

 St. Johm have heen ignomant of the Christian doetrine of the reatrvection of the hodly, botls of the just and the minjust-thut cerery one maty recrive thes things done in his body uccording to that he hath deme', whether it loe !pood or bud? The author of the recension B. seems to have heeen aware of this incongrnity, and has consequently removed this passage atherether fiom his text.

There are mumerons other passages in A. which are not foumd in 13. I shall only make ohservations upon it few.
 viou $\Theta$ con̂, have been removed. See Daillé, Lih. ii. cho xvi. p. $333(\%$.
 made of certain heretics abstaining firm the Encharist and prayer, beanse they did not confess that the Eucharist was the flesh of the Saviour, Lorrether with the sentence commencing the next chapter, has been entirely omitted in 13. I quote Daillés words on this passage. "Illud mitto, quod hos non modo Eneharistia, sed etiam oratione ideo abstinuisse dicit, quod non confiterentur Eucharistiam carnem esse Sulvatoris; quod ineptum est et incredibile. Cur enim oratione quisquam idcirco abstineut, quod Eucharistiam neget esse Salvatoris carnem? Ista, ut omnes vident, non cohærent. -Itaque cum nullus Ecclesiæ scriptor hæreticos Ignatianis temporibus extitisse dicat, qui Eucharistiam reprobarent, clarum est hune epistolæ auctorem qui solus id affirmat, rem dubiam et incertam, pro vera Smyrnæis suis temere renditases ; quod profecto facturus nequaquam crat sanclisaimus Martyr Ionatius: Quod probe intellexisse videtur poiserior ille Ignationorum interpolator, qui hane de hæreticis Docetis eucharistiâ abstinentibus sententiam totam ex suis codicibus erasit, veritus ne ea si retineretur, auctorem alium quam Ignatium fuisse proderet, hareticorum peregriumm of inauditum apul illius ari scriptores genus commemorans, guod ipse nusquam apud quemquam aliquid hujusmodi extare cerneret. Eo factum est ut in vulgatis codicibus neque Grecis nerque Latinis, qui omnes scilicet ex illins interpolatoris exemplari descripti ac disseminati sunt, ulla relicta sint illins sententix vestigia; sel ejus loco substituta longissima quedam ac plane diversa $\rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \varsigma_{\text {." }}$ Lib. ii. cap. xxii. pp. 366, 368.

The same arguments which are used by Daillé will also apply to the
 Catholic Church does not seem to have been known till some years after the time when Iguatius wrote his Epistles. The following are the words of De Valois in a note on Eusebius, Hist. Eccl. Lib. vii. 10. Edit. Reading, p. 333: "Cæterum Catholicæ cognomen quando primum adhæserit Ecclesiæ Dei, difficile est dicere. In Epistola quidem Smyrneorum de martyrio B. Polycarpi, mentio fit Ecclesiæe Catholicæ. Item in actis Passionis Pionii
martyris, qui passus est in principatu Decii-Apparet igitur, hoc cognomen Ecclesiæ inditum esse circa primam Apostolorum successionem.

Archbp. Usher assigns the date of Polycarp's martyrdom to A.D. 169. See notes on Polycarpi acta, p. 70. Greswell to A.D. 164. See Dissertation xxvii. Vol. iv. p. 531. A period, therefore, of full fifty years or more must have intervened between the time when Ignatius wrote and the first trace we find of the term Catholic Church. The author of the recension B. was probably aware that there was some incongruity in the use of it by one at the earlier period, and consequently suppressed it.

In ch.ix. we read, "he that doeth any thing without the knowledge of the Bishop serveth the deril." These last words have been also omitted in B. apparently as being too strong and improbable.

## ON THE OTHER IGNATIAN EPISTLES NOT MENTIONED BY EUSEBIUS.

The text of these Epistles is based upon the edition of Archbp. Usher. That of Aldrich has enabled me to point out the words and passages which are not found in the Medicean manuscript.

These Six Greek Epistles, one of which is attributed to Maria Cassobolita, and the Three which are found only in Latin, have been so generally acknowledged to be spurious since the works of Vedelius and Usher, that it would be quite unnecessary for my present purpose to attempt to adduce any further arguments to strengthen the conclusions at which they have arrived. But while I have the fullest conviction that those conclusions themselves are correct, I cannct rest satisfied with the process of reasoning by which they have been obtained. The assumption has been, that all the Epistles mentioned by Eusebius were genuine, and that those of which he has not spoken either did not exist at the time when he wrote, or were rejected by him as spurious. But there are two elements neerled to turn this into an argument of any weight or force; one, that the authority of Eusebius should be decisive as to the genuineness of these Epistles; and the other, that by not mentioning the rest of the Epistles he intended to condemn them. And not only are there good reasons to question the authority of Eusebius on many points, but, further, it is plain that he speaks even doubtingly of the seven Epistles which he does cnumerate: and if his own arguments with respect to other coclesiastical documents are to be applied 10 the Ignatian Epistles, we must reject, even upon his authority, all but the Three which the Syriar acknowledges. This is treated of more fully in the Introduction.

Agrain, unless Dusebius, or somo other ecelesiastical writer deserving of consideration, had stated that I grnatius wrote no other Ejpisiles than those enumerated-to reject the rest bearing his name, simply und solely becaune they have not been mentioned by that ecelesiastical historian, is a violation of the true rules of criticism.

The external evidence from the testimony of mannseripts in favour of the rejected Greek Lipistles, with the exception of that to the Philippians, is certainly greater than that in fivour of those which have been received. They are found in all the manuscripts, both Greek and Latin, in the same form; while the others exhibit two distinct and very different recensions, if we except the Epistle to Polycarp, in which the variations are very few. Of these two recensions the shorter has been most gencrally received: the circumstance of its being shorter seems much to have influenced its reception; and the text of the Medicean Codex and of the two copies of the corresponding Latin rersion belongime to Catus College, Cambridge, and Corpus Christi College, Oxford, has been atopted. The Mediecam manuscript is unfortu-
 of the Sth chap. of the Epistle to the Tarsians. See p. 131, and Aldrich's Edit. 1. 120. But it is evident that it corresponded entirely with the Latin version of the two manuseripts just mentioned, as Vossius allows: "Cum vero codex ille quem dixi Florentinus, ad finem esset mutilus; nullis potuissem argumentis adsequi, quenam in eo epistole desiderarentur, tam ex genuinis quam spuriis, nisi muper ad manus venisset versio velus, edita ab Reverendissimo Hibernie Primate Usserio Armachano. Simulatque enim illam videre contigit, non dubitavi, (quin easdem plane epistolas continuerint et codex iste, quo vetus Interpres usus est, et Florentinus." . See Vossius' Edit. p.116. In all these there is no distinction whatever drawn between the former and latter Epistles: all are placed upon the same basis; and there is no ground whatever to conclude either that the arranger of the Greek recension or the translator of the Latin version esteemed one to be better or more genuine than another. Nor can any prejudice result to the Epistles to the Tarsians, to the Antiochians, and to Hero, from the circumstance of their being placed after the others in the collection; for they are evidently arranged in chronological order, and rauk after the rest as haring been written from Philippi, at which place Ignatius is said to have arrived after he had despatched the previous Letters. So far, therefore, as the evidence of all the existing copies, Latin as well as Greek, of both the recensions is to be considered, it is certainly in favour of the rejected Epistles, rather than of those which have been retained.

Neither is there any thing in the matter, style, and composition of these Epistles which could warrant their condemnation as spurious by those who
receive the others as genuine; while there are many circumstances that cutitle them to a degree of consideration which the others cannot lay claim to. Tarsus was sufficiently near to Antioch for the intercourse between the Churches of both cities to be frequent. The latter was Ignatius' own flock, over which he had a long time presided; and Hero is represented as being a Deacon of his own Church, and, consequently, as his own personal friend. Surely it is more natural to expect that he should have been ready to avail himself of any opportunity which might have occurred of writing a word of affectionate remembrance to these, than that he should spend the little leisure which his harsh keepers might have afforded him in writing to other parties with whom he seems to have had little or no acruaintance, to almonish them of errors and heresies of which he himself states that he knew they were perfectly guiltless. See Epist. to Philad. ch. iii., \&c. \&c.

Moreover, there seems to be a great incongruity in admitting that Ignatius should write to two other Churches, to exhort them to send messengers and an Epistle to congratulate the Chureh at Antioch upon their being at peace, but should himself neglect to write a word to these Antiochians. The same messenger who bore the Letters of those Churches would have carried his. But this incongruity must be charged upon those who admit the Epistles to the Philadelphians (see ch. x.) and Smyrneans (see ch. xi.), and reject that to the Antiochians. I shall quote the words of a few other writers on this head. Whiston writes: "The places to which two of these Epistles are inscribed, Tarsus and Antioch, and the person to whom the third is inscribed, Hero, Ignatius' Deacon, who he earnestly desired might be his successor, are more unlikely to be forgotten by him than almost any of those to which the other Seven Epistles are directed." See Diss. on the Epist. of I!natius, p. 94.

Eman. Schelstrate writes thus: "Ex quibus patet, Ignatium litteras misisse ad Antiochenos, idrue per Philadelphienses, quas litteras Polyearpus vel per se, vel per alium quempiam se missurum promisit." Antiquitas Eccl. tom. ii. p.249. J. E. Grabe on the same head: "Ad Antiochenos pracipue eum seripsisse nullus duhito: neque enim proprii greqis oblivisei poterat, qui alias Ecclesias literis cohortabatur ac in fide confirmabat." Spicilegium SS. Patt. Vol. ii. p. 8; and Lenain de Tillemont: "Et il n'y a aucun moyen de douter qu'il n'ait écrit au moins ì son Eglise d'Antioche, sur ce que Dieu avoit appaisé la persecution. On peut mettre dans la mesme classe les trois autres qu' Usserius et Vossius ont trouvées dans les manuscrits avec celles qu'on reconnoist pour indubitables, savoir celle à Marie de Cassoboles, celles à l'Eglise de Tarse et celle à Heron diacre d'Antioche; et examiner ensuite s'il faut rejetter ces lettres par la seule
raison qu' Euseho ne les a pas commes, pareequ' elles n'estoient point te ectles que S. Polyeappe avoit envogées mux Philippiens." Memoives pour sorvir a ${ }^{2}$ llist. Licrl. Paris, 4to. 1701, tom. ii. p. 581 . Dr. Hammond themght well of the Epistle to Hero: "Et licet alias omnes, istam prasertim ad Heronem Diaconum (eni bene se velle profitetur Walo) sanctiwaimo Martyri aljudicandus esse neutiquam contendamus, statuimus tamen has tantummonto septem, ut ex Mediceo et Anglicano contice prodiermu, a nolis in hace causa defendendas proponere." Diss. Secund. de Ignatio. Ilammoond's If'orhs, Vol. iv. p. 786.
It seems plain, then, that the ground for rejecting these Epistes, simply because there is no mention of them found in Enselhins, is altogether untenable, and that these Epistles ought either to have been all reeeived or all rejected together. The real state of the case seems to have been this-that there were such strong reasons for concluding that this Corpus Epistolarum contained many things which could not have proceeded from the pen of Ignatius, that judicious critics like Velelins and Usher were willing to seize upon any circumstance which might be used as an argument to diminish the difficulties in the Ignatian question, by reducing it to narrower limits. Indeed I camot forbear expressing my own conviction that this has also contributed to the general adoption of the Shorter recension of the Seven in preference to the Longer, rather than any peculiar excellence which was discovered in the one over the other.
It is not my intention to offer any critical observations upon these Epistles. I must remark, however, that I have found that the Epistle to the Antiochians commences with the very same words as another Epistle, also addressed to them by Alexander of Jerusalem, who wrote in the first
 ó Ǩípos émoínбc. See Euseb. Hist. Eccl. lib. vi. ch.11. Routh's Reliq. Sacr. Edit. sec. tom. ii. p. 165. Now it is impossible but that one of these writers must have copied from the other. If it be assumed that Alexander of Jerusalem, in writing to the Antiochians, copied the words of Ignatius, their own Bishop, this will not only overthrow the theory of those who reject the Epistle to the Antiochians becan-e it has not been mentioned ly name by Eusebius, but it will place the authenticity of that Epistle upon a firmer footing than any other, except the Three found in the Syriac which are quoted by still more ancient authors, by sherwing that a citation of this very Epistle is preserved by Eusebius himself in an extract which he gives from an author who lived about a century before his own time. If, on the other hand, it be assumed that the Epistle to the Antiochians is spurions, and that the fabricator of it copied the words which another Bishop, also in bonds, had addressed to the same Church, we must neces-
sarily assign the date of the fabrication to a period subsequent to Alexander's time, if not, indeed, to that of Eusebius.

The Epistle to the Philippians is not found in the collection contained in the ancient Latin version. See Archbp. Usher's Diss. cap. xix. p.cxli. For other satisfactory reasons against its genuineness see ibid. cap. xii. p. lxxix. See also the same learned Prelate's refutation of the three Latin Epistles to St. John and the blessed Virgin. Ibid. cap. xix. p. cxlii.

The editions of the several works which I have followed in giving the Testimonies respecting Ignitius and Extracts from the Ignatian Epistles, as cited by various authors, down to the tenth century, contained in pages $158-189$, are all specified at the head of the several Extracts. The Martyrdom of Ignaties, occupying pages 190-195, has been printed after the accurate text of Dr. Jacobson, pp. $550-579$, of his third edition of the Apostolic Fathers, printed at the University Press, Oxford, 1847.

## on the passages fron the ignatian epistles, and extracts from various writers respecting ST. IGNATIUS, IN SYRIAC.

## No. 1, p. 197. Translation, p. 232.

This important extract, containing several passages from the Ignatian Epistles, is the only one which has not been drawn from the rich resources of the library in the British Museum. It has been copied from a manuseript in the Royal Library of Paris; and I am indebted to M. Munk, one of the most learned orientalists of Europe, not only for kindly pointing out to me its existence, but also for being at the pains of transcribing it for me, and likewise of furnishing me with an account of the manuscript from which it is taken. Knowing the labour and difficulty which this task must have imposed upon him, in consequence of the extreme weakness of his sight, it is impossible for me to express in too strong terms my sense of gratitude for his kindness in making so great an effort to oblige me hy enabling me to render my book more complete than I could have done without his assistance.
The following is his description of the manuseript which has supplied this extract:-

## MANUSCRIT SYRIAQUE DU FONDS DE: ST: (EERMAIN DES PRES, N". 38.

Tecueil de Comons d'un grand nomblue de conciles et de piecers y relatives ; petit in-folo, sur purehemin, 284 fenillets. Ce manuscrit, qui a appartemu it Remadot, a été lógué par lui ì l'abbaye de st. (iermain des Prós, dont la Bibliotheque, ì l'éporpue de la première révolution, fut réunie à la Biblio. therque nationale.

Le manuscrit, éerit en caractères Chahd. on Estranghelo, parait être tressancien, mais comme il manque quelques feuillets à la fin, la date, qui s'y trouvait probablement, a disparu. Selon une note qu'on trouve à la fin de la 1 ere pièce (fol. 85 verso) le volume a été vendu l'an 1812 des Séleucides (1501).

Voici les pièces contenues dans ce volume:
$1^{\circ}$. Didascalia Apostolorum, 26 chapitres.
2. Extraits des livres 1 à 7 des Constitutions de St. Clément. Ces Constitutions se trouvent aussi dans quelques recueils arabes et il ne faut pas les confondre aree les 8 liveres de Constitutiones dans le tome 1 . des Cimciles, par Labbe, (pag. 195 et suiv.).
$3^{\circ}$. Extrait du livre de la doctrine de l'Apôtre $\left.\mathbf{A} d i \quad \backsim ?\right\}$, (ou Thaddée) qui instruisit les habitants d'Édesse et de toute la Mésopotamie.

$5^{\circ}$. Livre VIII ${ }^{\text {e. des Constitutions de St. Clément. Sous ce titre nous }}$ trouvons ici les Canones Apostolorum (Labbe, t. i. p. 26 et suiv.), et il ne faut pas les confondre avec le livre $8^{e}$ de St. Clément (ib. p. 454).
6. Les 20 Cunons Ecrlésiustiques du concile de Nicée, précédés de la lettre de l'empereur Constantin aux 318 évêques.
$7^{\circ}$. Les 24 canons du concile d'Ancyre.
$8^{\circ}$. Les 15 canons du concile de Néocésarée.
$9^{\circ}$. Les 20 canons du concile de Gangra.
$10^{\circ}$. Les 25 canons du concile d'Antioche.
$11^{\circ}$. Lettre adressée par le synode d'Antioche à Alexandre, évêquie de Constantinople.
$12^{\circ}$. Les 59 canons du concile de Laodicée.
$13^{\circ}$. Les 4 canons du $l^{\text {er }}$ concile de Constantinople (correspondant aux 6 premiers canons du texte gree, Labbe, t.ii. p.1124-1129), suivis du symbolum fidci des 150 pères de ce concile et de l'adresse ( $\mid$;co| $\boldsymbol{\lambda} \mid$, àr aф̣opà $)$ enroyée par eux à l'empereur Théodose pour lui annoncer leur réunion et lui demander ses ordres.
$14^{\circ}$. Deux canons du concile d'É Éphèse.
$15^{\circ}$. Actes du $3^{\circ}$ concile de Carthage (ann. 258), précédés de l'Épître

Symolique (Epistola synodica) de St. Cyprien etc., aux érêques de Numidie, et suivis de deux épîtres de St. Cyprien, l'une à Quintus, l'autre à Fidus*; le tout traduit d'abord du latin en gree et ensuite du grece en syriaque l'an 998 des Séleucides (687).
$16^{\circ}$. Seize canons extraits d'une épître envoyée d'Italie aux évêques d'Orient.
$17^{\circ}$. Extratis des Épîtres de St. Ignace (fol. $173^{\circ}$ recto à fol. 175 rerso selon la pagination syriague, ou pages 3.52 à 357 selon la pagination européenne qui y a été mise par une main plus récente).
$18^{\circ}$. Épître de St. Pierre le martyr, évêque d'Alexandrie, sur coux qui sont tombés pendant la persécution.
19. Réponse de Timothée, patriarche d'Alexandrie, ì quinze questions qui lui avaient été adressées.
$20^{\circ}$. Les 20 canons du concile de Sardica, précédés de la Profession de foi.
$21^{\circ}$. Épître de St. Athanase à Ammon.
$22^{\circ}$. Épître de St. Basile à Paregorius. (La même qui, dans les diverses ćditions grecques-latines, porte l'inscription ad Gregorium. Labbe, t. ii. p. 1547).
23. Épître du même aux Écîques (ad Episcopos ipsi subjectos, ne prcuniis ordinent).
$24^{\circ}$. Épître du même à Diodore.
$25^{\circ}$. Les trois Épîtres canomques de St. Basile à Amphilochius, évêque d'Iconium.
$26^{\circ}$. Les 27 canons du concile de Chalcedoine.
$27^{\circ}$. Diverses sentences tirées des écrits de Philoxenus, évêque de Maboug (Hicrapolis), de St. Basile, de Gregorius Theologus et du pape Damasus.
$28^{\circ}$. Epître de St. Grégoire, évêque de Nysse, à Letö̈us.
$29^{\circ} .87$ canons de Mar Rubula, évêque d'Édesse.
$30^{\circ}$. 45 canons des Pères.
$31^{\circ}$. Profession de foi et choix de canons du synode des évêques de Perse, réunis à Séleucie et à Ctesiphon, dans la $11^{\text {ième }}$ année de Jezdegerd, fils de Sapor, lors de l’ambassade de Marouthu, évêque de Mifarakt, ou Miafarkîn.
$32^{\circ}$. Lettre de Jean, évêque égyptien, envoyée de Chypre (où il êtait en mission) aux abbés des monastères d'orient (à l'époque du concile de Chalcedoïne).

33². Canons ecelésiastiques, ou Reponses canoniques faite par les Pères

* Dans le manuscrit on lit. $ص$ ص.
d'Alexandrie it l'éproque de la persécution, du temps du partiurcho S'vesere. $34^{\circ}$. Extrait d'une lettre des mants Pères sux ubheis dem monasterres de Cilicie.
$35^{\circ}$. Extruit d'une lettre de Constantin, métropolitain de Laorlicée, it Marens Ismurius.
$365^{\circ}$. Extrait d'une lettre écrite par un évêque ì son ami, sur diverses règles ecelésiastiques.

37. Lettre alressée de Constantinople à Martyrins, évêque d'Antioche, sur les hérétiques qui revicmuent ì l'orthodoxie.
$38^{\circ}$. Eixtraits de diverses épîtres de St. Sévère.
$39^{\circ}$. Épître adressée par Anthimus, évêpue de Constantinople, à Jacques d'Édesse.
$40^{\circ}$. Divers canons de St. Basile, concermant les moines.
$41^{\circ}$. Une épître de St. Cyrille d'Alexandrie ad Monachos (häl LOS 1-1.än).

42․ Extraits de diverses épitres du pape Célestin.
$43^{\circ}$. Extraits des actes du concile d'Ephèse.

$45^{\circ}$. Canons de Jean, évêque de L.Sposo, Hiz (Tellu de-Mauzalt).
$46^{\circ}$. Questions proposées par un prêtre nommé Sergius au dit Jean de T'ella de-Mauzalt et les réponses de celui-ci.
$47^{\circ}$. Épître du patriarche Athanase (de l'an 995 des Séleucides).
48. Questions proposées, l'an 998 des séleucides (687), par un prétre nommé Adi, à Jacques d'Édesse, et réponses de celui-ci (incomplet).

It is evident that this is the work to which Renaudot refers in the following passages of his Liturgiarum Orientalium Collectio: "Gennina Ignatii Martyris seripta apud Syros vulgari sua lingua olim extitisse docent nos multa ex illis testimonia, qua in libris Theologicis occurrunt; sed prae cateris excerpta ex ejus genuinis Epistolis non pauca, qua Conciliorun Canonibus adjuncta reperiuntur in vetusta versione Syriaca, quam Codex Medicens membranaceus reprasentat. Addidit interpres, illas sententias vulgo inter Canones non referri, verum ex nomine tanti viri, æqualem quodammodo hahere auctoritatem. Factam esse ex optimis Codicibus interpretationem illam agnovimus, et ab autore quicumque fuerit non imperito: tum illud etiam animadversione dignum observarimus, codices illum, similes Florentino illi celeberrimo quo Latinus interpres usus fuerat, secutum fuisse, nec alias nisi veras Epistolas agnovisse." Vol. ii. p. 226. And again, "In ista primum merito locum tenet Ignatius, ut Antiochenus quondam Episcopus, cujus etiam testimoniis abuti, ad crrores sui patrocinium solent, ut ex variis Collectaneis et Tractatu de Fide Patrum intelligitur. Preeterea tantum honorem illi tribuunt, ut in antiquissa Canonum Collectione Syriaca ex ejus Epistolis
capita quaedam ad Ecelesiasticam disciplinam spectantia referantur, quia, inquiunt interpretes, ol summam Ignatii sanctitatem adpuam cum Canonibus digitatem habent."-Ibid. p. 491.

The age of this collection, which Renaulot denominates Antiquissu, cannot reach to an earlier period than the end of the seventh century; for we find included in it questions proposed by a Priest named Adi, to Mar Jacob, Bishop of Edessa in the year of our Lord 687. See No. 48. The mannseript, therefore, containing them cannot be carlier than the end of the seventh century, and although ancient, probably is considerably later.

That the genuine writings of Ignatius were anciently in use among the Syrians in their vernacular tongue is incontestably proved by the discovery of the Syriac version of the Three Epistles; but the learned Renaulot has gone too far in inferring that fact from the simple circunstance of finding these extracts from the Ignatian Epistles in a collection of canons and other ecclesiastical documents. It is plain that the whole collection has been translated from the Greek; and from the place which these Ignatian extracts occupy, it seems almost certain that they formed a part of the original Greek collection, which was afterwards translated into Syriac. There is no ground to conclude that these extracts were taken from a Syriac version of the Igratian Epistles previously existing, and were then inserted among the others when the rest was translated into that language. Moreover, the date of one of the articles in this collection shews that it must have been made subsequently to the time when the Syrian schools, abandoning the antiquity of their own literature, had acruired the taste of accommodating every thing to the Greek, even so far as to adopt fresh versions of the New Testament, and in a good measure to accommodate their venerable Peshito to the readings of the Greek copies. At any rate it is evident, from a comparison of the passage from the Epistle to Polycurp, and from that of the Epistle to the Trallians containing a part belonging to the geruine Letter to the Romans, at p. 198, that these extracts do not belong to the same version as the Three Genuine Epistles. And further, I should not omit to remark, that all the Extracts which I have given are taken from works written origimally in Greck, such as those of Scverus and Timotheus, and afterwards translated into the Syriac. They therefore supply no evidence whatever of the existence of any independent Syriae version of the Ignatian Epistles; they only shew what passages were cited from them in Greek by the several authors in whose writings they are found.

These extracts contain passages from the Epistles to the Ephesians, Magnesians, Trallians, Polycarp, Philadelphians, and Smyrneans, which are similar indeed, as Renaudot observes, to those of the Mediccan mannseript, but they are far from exactly coinciding with them, as the comparison will shew.
1.12. ouspool lidasco "and his dominion is dissolved." The: Giperts
 variation seems to have arisen from the seribe confomuling ow pmol with Ont? $\boldsymbol{0}$ " his destruetion."

1.16. iry war lisa " Form it is grood," as if the translutor had read giup atter kuàrr.-- Hhid. ch. xv. p. 31.

1. 19. | $\boldsymbol{1}$ - ousas;)? "are of the ruler of this worth, and those who are fliithful in love, are the image of God the Father." This does not correspond aceurately either with the recension A. or B., but seems almost as if it were taken from both.-E'pist. to Magnes. ch. v. p. G3.
1.22. |assoasö:0-| and Deacons." This sentence does not belong to the Epistles, and is only inserted by way of explanation.
1.25. . angels of the council, and the Deacons in the place of the Apostles."

 'Iyซov̂ $\mathrm{X} \rho เ \sigma \tau o \hat{v}$, which is common both to A. and B.-IVid. ch. vi. p. $0 \check{5}$.
 stead of "Trallians."
1.3. line "in the flesh," or "according to the flesh," for кuriu ürOpomor.
 men," which is nearer the Greek. - Epist. to Trall. ch. ii. p. 75.
 not $\dot{v} \pi$ oтá $\sigma \sigma \epsilon \theta$ ar of A.-Ibid. See note p. 306.

 èv aiт
l.8. . with òv $\tau$ as $\mu v \sigma \tau \eta \rho i \omega v$ of B., or " ministros existentes mysteriorum" of Latin A., rather than $\mu v \sigma \tau$ iptov of A.-Ibid.
1.9. |oiSl? or $z_{!}>$? 13 "but of the Church of God," simply, without any thing to correspond with imipétar of both the Greek recensions.-Ibid.
 the Father." The word lnseof seems to stand both for the Greek тómos and rímos. This passage then seems to come nearer to $\dot{o}$ ध́ $\pi i \sigma \kappa о \pi o s ~ \tau o v ̂ ~ П \alpha-~$
 of A.-Ch. iii. ibid.

1．14．$\left.\left.;_{3} \supset \backslash \Omega-\mid \lambda\right\} \subseteq\right\}$ ．This is a passage belonging to the genuine Epistle to the Romans，which has been inserted in this place of the Epistle to the Trallians．The variations between the Syriac text here and at p． 56 ， shew that they helong to two altogether different versions．－Ibid．ch．v．p． 77.


 The Greek of A．is $\mu$ óvŋn $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha v \hat{\eta} \tau \rho \circ \phi \hat{\eta} \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ．—Ibid．ch．vi．
l．19．horess－ascog＂of those who mix themselves up in Jesus Christ．＂The Greek of $\mathbf{A}$ ．is oi каıро̀̀ $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \mu \pi \lambda$ éкоубє ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \eta \sigma o v ิ \nu \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o ̀ v . ~ T h i s ~$ and the preceding are omitted in B．－Ibid．
 valent of $\dot{\eta} \delta$ é $\omega$ s of A ．－Ibid．
l．24．$\quad$ ？．There is nothing to correspond with this particle in the Greek， nor in the Syriac version，p．6．The variations in the Syriac here also shew that the two translations are altogether independent of each other．－Epist． to Polycarp，ch．iii．p． 6.
 The Syriac at p．6，$|-6 \leq 21, \rightarrow|$＂as a combatant．＂See also note，p． 270.
 were found in the Greek，and the passage had run thus：${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \tau i \notin v \chi o v ~ \in ́ \gamma \omega ̀$ $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu, \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{v} \pi \rho \tau \alpha \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon v \omega \nu .-I b i d$. ch．vi．p． 11.
 mine in reading אדּユ instead of NTーユ in M．Munk＇s copy，which he had transcribed in Hebrew characters．－Epist．to Philad．ch．vi．p． 91.
l．11．U．sor A．so＂I cried therefore，＂as if the reading had been éкраи́raбa oûv，not simply éкраúraба，as in A．Гàp is added in B．，which comes nearer．－Ibid．ch．vii．p． 97.
l．12．｜rst ，Has＂with the voice of God．＂This is omitted in A．，but exists in the Latin version A．＂Dei vocc．＂B．reads oủk є́ $\mu o ̀ s ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s, ~ \alpha ُ ~ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ $\Theta \in o \hat{v}$ ．－Ibic．

1．16． $2_{i} ニ \supseteq|?-i \leq|$＂I tell you that I am informed．＂The Greek reads

 of Syria．＂The Greek has＂the Church in Antioch of Syria．＂－Ibid．
 B．тò o้ oै $\mu \alpha$ тô̂ $\Theta \epsilon$ ой．－Ibid．

 for 1ヵニュか。
1．22．｜amp 12 ，$>20\rangle$＂To the Church of Asia．＂The extract shews that the same Epistle is meant us that addressed in the Greek＂fo Simyrmu of Asia，p． 101.
 Smyrn．ch．ix．p． 111.

P．200．1．4．． here for iprepereas îv of A．，which，with the words following，is also omitted from B．－Episto to Magnes，ch．vii．p． 65.
l．10．Fatso－Zarsoara＂In faith which is in the hope and the en－ joyment of the blood of Jesus Christ．＂This varies considerably from A．，
 ＇In
 whose members ye are．＂This is different from the Greek，$\delta \iota^{\prime}$ oi $\hat{e} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$

 Epist．to Polycarp，ch．vii．p． 13.
｜rs ？lucas＂for the glory of God，＂with．cis dob guv Өєov of B． A．reads X $\rho$ เбтoù ．－Ibid．

## II．

## P．201．Translation，p． 235.

This extract，containing passages from several of the Ignatian Epistles，is written on the vellum lining of the cover，and on the blank page of the first leaf of the volume in which it is found．It is in a large thick character， apparently of about the eleventh or twelfth century．Several other ex－ tracts in the same hand are written in the margin of the following leaves． The one immediately succeeding the Ignatian extracts commences thus：



 ＂Again，from the Book of the Covenant of our Lord：but it is the first book of the Apostles which was sent by the hand of Clement to the Gentiles． ＇And it came to pass，after our Lord was risen from the dead，and appeared to them，and was handled by Thomas，and Matthew，and John：and we were convinced that our Master was truly risen from the dead．＇＂

The volume itself is rather more ancient than the extracts in the margin.

 "In the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, we begin to write the Book of the Fathers. First then Father Esaias."

This volume was brought from the Monastery of St. Mary Deipara in Nitria, by Dr. Tattam, in the year 1842. Several additional leaves were obtained by M. Pacho in 1847. Quarto, in two columns, on vellum. Brit. Mus. Add. MS. 14,577.
 B. Háraıs is omitted in A.-Epist. to Rom. ch. iv. p. 45.
l.8. | $\mathrm{a\mid}$ L| 5 " "I die." This is followed by nothing to correspond with

l.10. |rs|, "pure bread of God," with the Syriac version, and B. The reading of A. is $\tau 0 \hat{v} \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o v .-1 b i d$.
1.12. . $>$ بon hise "what is commanded me." is probably an



l.14. . $\gg=$. me." The Syriac at p. 50 is the same, omitting $\boldsymbol{\Delta} \boldsymbol{}$ "to me." Both Greek recensions have $\begin{aligned} & \text { npícv } \tau \epsilon \\ & \tau v \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma c ı s .-I V i d . ~ I ~ h a v e ~ o b s e r v e d ~ u p o n ~\end{aligned}$ these and the variations of the following line in my notes, p. 301.
l.17. ise $\$ ? , worofl "The boundaries of the world," with qù $\pi$ épara тov̂ кór $\mu$ ov of B., not $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \rho \pi \nu \grave{\alpha}$ of A . And so, also, or $\boldsymbol{\text { ح }}$ " it is good:" as likewise Timotheus of Alexandria, p. 211, l.4, with килòr of B., not pǜд$\lambda$ ov of A.-Ibid. ch. vi.

 " of lirth," as it is in the Syriac at p. 50.
1.21. I.Sseaso-oors. This passage (see English translation, p. 235, lin. penult.) varies considerably from the Greek tòv тô̂ $\Theta \in o ̂ ̂-द ̈ \sigma о \mu u \tau .-~$ Ibid.

1. 25. |rin? H2. H" "temples of God." The Greek reads aivov̂ vaoì. There is no equivalent here for the next sentence, öँ $\bar{\pi} \rho-i j \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, of $\mathbf{A}$, which has also been omitted in B., together with the next, $\epsilon^{\prime} \xi \hat{\omega} v$ - aivóv. Epist. to Ephies. ch. xv. p. 33.
P. 202.1.3. saar-war" In which every one who believeth." A. has


 (1) s'm!ाr", ch. iv. p. 10\%).
1.8. $-\ddot{\|} \boldsymbol{U} .1$ Lase, oor "who died for us." This has mu equivalem in the Greek.-Ibid.
1.9. lor - W.as. $\$$ "and God Jesus Christ strengrthencth me." Thu
 and 13. on rup $\mu$ or тoбoitov $\sigma$ Oéves, ihid.; neither of which is found here in the Syriac.
1.10. ISO; L- maso "I persuade-ihyself." See translation, p. 2336. In the foot-note there I have referred this passaure to the lipistle to Polycarp, ch. i. It is not, however, taken thenee, but from the Epistle' to Hero the Deacon, ch.i. (sce p. 141), which, besides several of the expressions found in this passage, has otherwise borrowed largely from the Epistle to Polycarp.

## III.

## P. 202. Translation, p. 236.

These passages are taken from a Syriac translation of Euschins. The volume contains only the five first Books. The date of the transcription has been erased; but it seems evidently to belong to the sixth century. The name of Elias the scribe is still legible. On the last page there is the note common to many books of this collection, in the handwriting of Mosor , the Abbot of the monastery, stating that this was one of the two hundred aud fifty volumes which he procured during his journey to Bagrlad, and deposited in the library of the monastery upon his return in the year of the Greeks 124:3, A.1).932. The volume came to England in 1842. A few leaves had been lost, but three of them were recovered in 184\%. Quarto, written in two columns on vellum. Brit. Mus. Add. MS. No. 14,639.

I have given this extract in accordance with the plan which I had proposed to myself, to insert in this work every notice respecting I gnatins, and all the passages from the Ignatian Epistles which I have found in the Syriae manuscripts in the Briish Museum. It serves, however, rather as a specimen of the Aramaic version of Eusebius, than to supply any additional materials of criticism on the subject of the Epistles of Ignatius. I have made a few observations upon the passages cited here from the Epistle in the Romans in my notes upon that Epistle.

## IT.

## P. 205. Translation, p. 239.

The text of this extract has been printed from a manuscript obtained by

Dr. Tattam when he first visited the monastery of St. Mary Deipara, in 183!!. Brit. Mus. Add. MLs. 12, 170 (fol. 211.) A thick quarto on vellum in its present state, made up of two volumes bound into one; the former containing some ascetic works by Esaias the Monk, written in a large bold character, in three columns, and dated the year 915 of the era of Alexander, or 604 of our era. The latter comprises numerous treatises by John the Monk, is written in a somewhat rude hand, in two columns, and appears to be of about the eight century.

It has been compared with another copy procured by Dr. Tattam in 1842. Brit. Mus. Add. MS. 14,580 . fol, 17. b. This volume appears to be about two centuries later than the preceding, and contains various works by John the Monk, Philoxenus, Mar Jacob, \&c. I have sometimes preferred the reading of this latter copy in my translation, which will account for some slight variations from the printed Syriac text.

There are several volumes containing treatises by John the Monk in this Nitrian collection. Of these one manuscript, Brit. Mus. Add. MS. 17,169, containing several letters and treatises, was transcribed as carly as the year of the Greeks 892, or A.D. 581.

One of the volumes procured by M. Pacho (Brit. Mus. Add. MS. 17,172 ), contains various works of ascetic writers under this general title:
 $\left.\right|_{\Delta \rightarrow!} \ddot{\circ}|\angle \sigma \ddot{\zeta}|$ ? "In the strength of our Lord Jesus Christ, we begin to write the Book of Collections of the IIoly Futhers." Amongst these are several treatises by John the Monk, having a life prefixed, with this title:


 of the Holy Trinity we begin to write the book of the Holy John, the Monk and Seer of Thebais. But first, an account respecting him by Palladius, Bishop of Jerusalem." (Read Helenopolis.)

This account, which is the same as that given by Palladius in his Ifis-
 assigns the period at which he lived to the age of the Emperor Theorlosins:


 "Also he informed the hlessed Emperor Theodosius beforehand respecting things future. I mean, respecting his being about to vanguish the rehel Maximns, and to return from Calatia (read Gallia.) Then, again, he also foretold respecting the defeat of Eugenius."

After this notiee of John the Monk there follows "The firse Eppistle to Eno



 Mouk and Seer of Thebais, to Eurropius and Euselbins." This identifies the author of the letter with the Johm the Monk of whom the aecount preeeding it is given. But the writer of this is also the author of the Ejpistle addressed to Eutropius and Eusehins, from which our Syriae extract is taken, and of the Sermones de animi et corporis affectibus, addressed to the same Eutropius and Euselius, mentioned by Assemani, Bibliotheca Orimi, tum. i. p. 431. Hence we gather that Assmami has erred in attributing these Sermons to John of Apamen, whom he supposes to have lived in the sixth century : see ibid. p. 430; and that these are the work of Tolm the Monk, the contemprorary of Evagrius, spoken of ly Ebedjest, see ibid, tom. iii. p. 45 ; not of John of Apamea, who is, also mentioned by the same writer in his catalogue: ibid. p. 50). His having heen contemporary with Evagrius would bring the age of John the Monk to the latter half of the fourth century; and the circumstance given in the account of him above mentioned, that he foretold the defeat of Maximus, would fix his date to the same period. The army of Maximus was vancquisheel, and himself taken prisoner, A.D. 388. See C'Iinton's Fusti Romani, p. 516.

I have already made some observations upon the passages from the Epistle to the Romans, cited by this author, in my noies at p. 291.

## V.

$$
\text { P. 210. Translation, p. } 242 .
$$

This is taken from a Syriac translation of the works of the Psendo-Dionysius the Areopagite, of which there are two copies in the British Museum, both aequired by Dr. Tattam in 1839, of Quarto size, and written in two columns. The one, Brit. Mus. Add. M1s. 12,151 (fol. 70. b.,) was transcribed in the year of the Greeks, or Seleucidæ, 1115, A.D. 804 ; and the other, 12,152 (fol. 152,) in the year 1148 of the same cra, or A.D. 837.

## vI.

$$
\text { P. } 210 \text {. Translation, p. } 243
$$

This extract is taken from a work by Timotheus, Patriareh of Alexandria, against the Council of Chalcedon. The same rolume contains also the A pology of Cyril of Alexandria for the Twelve Chapters against the Oriental Bishops, a Treatise atrributed to Gregory Thaumaturgus, on the Passibility
and Impassibility of our Lord, and a Summary of Heresies, by Epiphanius. A note at the end, indicating the monastery to which the book belonged, has heen partly erased; but what remains states that it was presented in the year of the Greeks 873 , A.D. 562, about which period it seems to have been written by a scribe of Edessa. One or two leaves have been lost from the begimning; and consequently the work of Timotheus is imperfect. This volume is a large Quarto, written in three columns, and is one of those obtained in 1839. Brit. Mus. Add. MS. 12,156, fol. 1, and fol. 69.
l.17. wonod.l-orse $\boldsymbol{T}$ " In the blood of Christ, that it is of God," or "which is of God." The Greek has only єis тò aî $\alpha$ X $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \hat{v} .-E p i s t$. to Smyrn. ch. vi. p. 107.
l.21. 17.O-A. $\triangle$ "There is nothing which is seen that is becoming."Epist. to Rom. ch. iii. p. 43. See the note on this passage at p. 294.
 of B., while A. reads $\sigma \iota \omega \pi \hat{\eta} \varsigma \mu^{\prime} \nu \nu v$.-Ibid. See note at p. 295.
 Churches," with the Syriac version and B.-Ibid. See note, p. 296.
P.211. . $\Delta \underset{6}{ }$ "It is good for me," with калòv $\mu \circ \iota$ of B.-Ibid. ch. vi. p. 49. See note, p. 349.
"Qa. ". A. reads eis. The quotation from Matth. xvi. 26 immediately following in both the Greek recensions is not found in this passage as cited by Timotheus; nor does it exist in the Latin version A. It is probably a marginal addition, which has found its way into the text subsequently to the time of Timotheus, and to the transcription of the copy from which that Latin version was made.
1.8. . This is not found in the Greek recensions, but is erpuivalent to "neque per materiam seducatis," of the Latin A.-Ibid.


 A. rearls ivu тé тú0i rò v̈dup кu0apír!. The passage is altogether omitted in B.-Epist. to Ephes. ch. xviii. p. 35.
 Epistle of St. Polycarp, and the following from the Epistle attributed to St. Clement, although they do not bear upon the question of Ignatius, I have transferred to these pages, because it is interesting to know how any of the writings of the Apostolic Fathers were received and cited by early

Christian authors. 'The passare from I'olyemp is tuken from the twellit chapter, which purt hus heen lost in the Greek, and exists only in the Latin version. "Deus autem-mansuetudine." See Dr. Jaculsom, Patt, Apustl. Edit. Tert. p.527. The only variation here is, that the Syriae has, 7.5 , huaso vasa fre "God Jesus Clriss," which is also supported by the quotation mude by Severus, p. 215.1.1, while the Latin version reads, "Dei Filins, Jesus Christus." The first passage, cited as from St. Clement, is said to be from "the First Epistle, which is on Virginity," l. 6. It is taken from the first of the two Epistles on Virginity, attributed to St. Clememt, discovered in a Syriac version by Jo. Jac. Wetstein, and puldished by him, with a Latin Translation, at the end of his edition of the New Testament. 2 vols. fol. Leyden, 1752. This Latin Translation, with a French version accompanying it, was reprinted in octavo in the year 17633. Concerning these Epistles see Wetstein's Preface, and Dr. Nathaniel Lardner's Dissertation upon the two Epistles ascribed to Clement of Rome, lately published by Mr. Wetstein, in Vol. xi. p. 197, of "The works of Dr. Nathaniel Lardner." The following is the text of this passage in Wetstein's edition, p.4, and also his translation of the same into Latin:




 "An intelligis et nôsti, quantam gloriam petat sanctitas? An intelligis, quanta et quam gloriosa et excellens sit laus virginitatis? Uterus Virginitatis sanctie gestarit Dominum nostrum Jesum Christum filium Dei, et corpus quod gestavit Dominus Noster, et in eo agonem in hoc mundo fecit, ex Virgine Sancta induit. Hine ergo intellige majestatem et gloriam virginitatis."

The next passage, $l .11-16$, is taken from the first chapter of the second Epistle of St. Clement. Sce Dr. Jacobson, Patt. Apost. p. 22:4. The same quotation is also made ly Severus, see p. 215, with some slight verbal differences, due to another translator. In the inscription there it is called the "Second Epistle to the Corinthians," and here, the "Third Epistle" simply, probably from some different arrangement in the copy used by Severus of Antioch and that in the hands of Timothens of Alexandria. The Syriac runs word for word with the Greek, if we except psses po "and when we hear," 7 . 15 , for which the Greek is каì oi «̉коvovтея.

The next passage is from the ninth chapter of the same Epistle, eis


## VII.

## P. 212. Translation, p. 245.

Severus, from whose works the following extracts have been taken, succeeded Flavian as Patriarch of Alexandria A.D. 513, and was expelled A.D. 519 on account of his opposition to the Council of Chalcedon. He was a man of great learning and ability, and the author of numerous theological and polemical writings, which appear to have been so industriously suppressed by his opponents, that little more than the titles of lis works, and not even all of these, have been preserved in the Greek. See Fabricius, Bibl. Grec., Vol. ix. p. 343 . The greater portion of them, however, is now recovered in the Syriac, and forms a most important accession to the ecclesiastical documents of the early part of the sixth century.

The volume from which these passages are taken is a thick quarto, written in two columns imperfect at the begiming, and without date at the end. It can hardly have been transcribed later than the commencement of the eighth century, and might have been written about the end of the sixth. It is one of the first acquisitions made by Dr. Tattam in 1839. Brit. Mus. Add. MS. 12,157. fol. 198.
P.213. 1.5. This passage of the Epistle to Polycarp, ch. iii. p. 7, is translated here much more literally than in the Syriac version at p. 8 . There is no variation, however, in the sense.

1. 15. . ministry of Jesus Christ." The Greek inserts after Apostles, кai $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

1.21. -worod.l? or "who is his Word who procecdeth from si-

 ch. viii. p. 67. The note appended to the Syriac precludes the supposition of any error in the text from the omission of the negative; but in this passage, as cited by Timotheus of Alexandria above, p. 211. 1. 26, we find the

 honoured in silence, and not that his divine and unprecedented birth should be inquired into." I find a similar notion to this of Severus expressed in the Treatise, Adversus eos qui per calumniam dicunt dici ì nobis Deos tres,



 not tò doкeì" of A.-Epist. to Trall. ch. x. p. 83.


1.8. $h_{-1} 50$ - "So "I belie, therefore, the lard," with "ipa кати-

 ch. i. p. 103.
1. 25. This passage from the Epistle of Polycarp is from ch. v. ipacous-
 for wis before $\Theta$ coni.
1.28.' This is the same passage from Polyearp's Eppistle, ch. xii., as is cited ahove hy Timothens, with the alditional worls to castitate.
 thians." This is the same passage as that cited by Timothens above.

## VIII.

## P. 215. Translation, p. 247.

The following passages are cited from the Sermons of Severus called Epithronian, that is, sermons preached whilst he sat upon the Episcopal

 tom. ix. p. 344. The number of sermons in the whole collection amounts to 125 . They have been translated from the Greek. In cases of proper names, or of other words respecting which any difficulty may occur, the Greek terms are added in the margin. There are also a few glosses and notes in the margin, of which that printed at p. 216 may serve as a specimen. The first part of the volume, or 181 leaves, was obtained by Dr. Tattam in 1839; the remaining part, consisting of 101 leares, was procured by M. Pacho in 1847, and now renders the work nearly complete. This manuscript is a large Quarto, written in two columns, in a bold hand, ly one Adrus, a presbyter of the city of Amida, hanolo hasus w? S hadbeshaba, (son of Sunday), from the Monastery of St. Matthew :

 A note on the last leaf, relative to the original clonation of the rolume to the Monastery of $\operatorname{Losc} \backslash \mathrm{c}=$ 1, has been so far crased as to be in a great measure illegible. Another note, in a later hand, on the last page, states that the volume was presented by its owners of the monastery of $20 . \infty\rangle 0.0$, of their own good will, to the monastery of St. Mary Deipara of the Syrians
of Egypt ; and that it arrived at the monastery in the year of the Greeks $120(5$, A.D. 805. The mannseript itself seems to have been transcribed about a century or two carlier. Brit. Mus. Add. MSS. 12,159.
1.19. hasese ch. ii. p. 43. Severus seems here to allude only to the words of Ignatius, without making a direct quotation.
P.216. 1.5. . $\mid ; \alpha$, oon $\psi_{t}$ " "knows that Nurono." See Translation. This passage of Severus determines the meaning of this term. W. E. Tentzel, from the words of Gregory Abu'l-Faraj, ill, Ignatius AlNör'in', which Pocock, in his edition of the IHistoria Dymastiarum, p. 75, had translated 'Ignatius Nuraniensis,' first conjectured that Ignatius was a native of Nura, in Sardinia. See Exercitt. Selectt. Pars. i. Exercit. iii. §. 1. Others have supposed Nora in Cappadocia. Bishop Pearson rightly conjectured the signification of this term. See his note 1, on the Epistle to the Smyrneans in Dr. Smith's edition. See also Assemani, Jibl. Orient. tom. iii. p. 16, not. 4.
 Greek is какаì кола́бєเऽ то仑̂ $\delta \iota \alpha \beta$ ónov.-EPist. to Rom. ch. v. p. 49.
l.12. $\omega \boldsymbol{\jmath}$ " my Father." Both Greek copies have тòv Пaтépa only.Ibid. ch. vii. p. 51.
P. 217. 1.7. The Syriac version of this passage, cited here fiom ch. v. of the Epist. to Trall., каı̀ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ —— $\lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \omega$ ' $\mu \epsilon \theta a$, varies considerably from the translation of the same passage at p. 198, and also from the rendering of it in its true place in the Epistle to the Romans, p. 56.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { IX. } \\
\text { P. 217. Translation, p. } 248 .
\end{gathered}
$$

'This is taken from a fragment of six leaves, which contains the latter part of a work bearing, as it appears from the subscription at the end, the title of "The Book of the Demonstrations of the Father's against the wiched Grammaticus." A note following states that it belonged to Baryeshu,
 Mus. Brit. Add. MS. 14,629.
1.22. It appears most probable that, by a mistake of the copyist, the partiele? has been omitted before /ris ; and I have rendered the passage at p. 248 as if such were the case, as in the other instances where it has been cited. I have, however, translated, by an oversight, Permit ye, instead of Permit, in the singular, as it is read here. If we assume that there is no error here, the passage should be rendered, "Permit thou me to be an imitator of the passion, my Gorl." - I'pist. to IRom. ch. vi. p. 49.
 (0) Fiphles. ch. i. p. 15.

$$
\frac{\text { S. }}{\text { P. 218. Translation, p. 24!). }}
$$

These passages are taken from on imperfect volume contaning lathers of Julimaf Halicmonassus and Severus. The greater part of the volume was ohtained by M. P'acho in 1847. But one fasciculus of tem leaves, in which the second passage is foume, was brought from ligypt by Dr. 'Tatum in 1842. This fasciculus was formerly arranged with No. 14,(ie!): it is now added to the rest of the volume. Brit. Mus. Add. Mss. 17,200. It is written in two columas, and appears to be of about the eighth eentury.


 also reads fietus et non factus. See p. 173. Theodoretus, revirytos és

l.12. $l_{i \rightarrow i}-\Delta_{\Delta} \rightarrow$ "with man God, in death true life." This also agrees with the citation attributed to Athamasins-iv ù Opémew Eeis, ér foeráte. $\zeta \omega \grave{y}$ ci $\lambda \eta_{0} 0 r \grave{\eta}$, ibid., and with in homine Deus, in morte vita aternu of Ge-



## XI.

$$
\text { P. 218. Translation, p. } 249 .
$$

This extract is from a work against the heresy of Julian of Halicarnassus. The author is probably Severus. It contains the same passage from the Epistle to the Ephesians as the preceding, and in the very same terms. The manuscript in which it is found is in Quarto, written in two columns, apparently of the end of the sixth or the seventh century. Brit. Mus. Add. MS. 14,529. fol. 37. b.

## XII.

## P. 218. Translation, p. 249.

These passages are found in a controversial work written by a Monophysite, containing numerous citations from the Fathers, from the earliest period down to the time of Severus of Antioch. The volume was procured by Dr. Tattam in 1839. The first quire was then lost; but it has been recovered by Mr. Pacho, and supplies the following as the title of

"A Table of the Demonstrations of the Holy Fathers against various heresies."
It is a thick Quarto volume, in two columns. The date has been erased,

Int1 is itpears to be of about the eiphth century．Mus．Brit．Add．Ms． 12，155．foll．111，168．b．262．

1．22．玉a土？cor＂he who cleaveth，＂as if the rearling were os tis，in－ stead of $\epsilon i \not \approx$ tis of the Greek．－Epist．to Plitad．ch．iii．p． 89.

P．219．1．1．｜$\rightarrow \mid$ ？－ $20 S$＂To the Church which is in $\Lambda$ sia；＂that is；to the Smyrneans．Compare note， p .348 above．

1．3．waci．n－orse $>$＂In the blood of Christ，who is Godl，＂or，＂that is God．＂These last words are not in the Greek．－Epist．to Smyrn．ch．vi． 1）．107．Comprare this passage quoted by Timotheus of Alexandria，p． 210.
l．5．This is the same passage from the Epistle to the EJplesians，ch．vii．， as is quoterl above，although not in exactly the same words；but the varia－ tions are very slight．
 only the form of men．＂The Greek is simply ámò T $\hat{\omega} \nu$ Onpícur T $\hat{\omega} v$＇urOp $\omega \pi$ u－ $\mu o ́ \rho \phi \omega v$. －Epist．to Smyrn．ch．iv．p． 105.

## XIII．

P．219．Translation，p． 250.
From an imperfect controversial work by a Monophysite．The first passage，cited as from Ignatius，is taken from a chapter，fol．6．b． The second from ch．vi．fol． $15,|\angle \ddot{\sim} \sim|$ ？ $\mid \angle 0$ ，ت̈m
 monies from the Holy Fathers，that Gord was crucified for us in the flesh．． The third from the seventecnth chapter，$\ddagger$ ？ frezas＂that it is right to honour the Priests，＂fol．22．A manuscript in Octavo．The greater part was acquired in 1842；but several additional leaves arrived in 1847．Brit．Mus．Add．MS．14，535．

1．16．Gasof Hj ＂13ut there deceived，＂as if the reading had been cindi， not кai，as in both Greek recensions．－Epist．to Ephes．ch．xix．p． 35.

1．20．ッニー same terms are used here for $\pi \epsilon \rho i \psi \eta \mu \alpha$ as in the version，p．34．There is nothing in the Greek to represent thy．－Ibid．ch．xviii．p． 33.
l．22．$\div 0.50-50$＂He that honoureth the prient honometh Christ．＂ These precise worls are not fomed in any of the Ignatian Eipistles in their present form．

XIV．

$$
\text { P. 220. Trunslation, p. } 250 .
$$

This passage is taken from an apology for the Jacelbites agains their calumniators，bearing the title Plerophoria．The manuscript containing




[^0]:    * No. VIII. p. 309.

[^1]:    * His words are, "Minus felix in eo quod Syrum pravitatis hæreticæ insimulaverit." See Patt. Apost., edit. iii. p. liv.
    + See British Mayazine, Vol. xxx. p. 667.
    † "Vindiciæ Ignatianæ, or The Genuine Writings of St. Ignatius, as exliibited in the Ancient Syriac Version, vindicated from the Charge of Heresy." 8vo. London. Rivingtons. m deccexlvi.
    § I may perhaps venture to quote here the opinion of some writer apparently unbiassed, and certainly unknown to me, on this head. "Diese Anklage ist nun offenbar der Art, dass, gelänge es, damit durchzudringen, es bei der hohen Geltung, welche stricte Orthodoxie in England noch jetzt inner- wie ausserhalb der Kirche hat, um den Credit der Uebersetzung wenigstens im Lande ihres Erscheinens geschehen sein würde. Nun scheint es zwar nicht, als ob diess gelungen sei, da nach einer Ankündigung am Schlusse des vorliegenden Schriftecens die ganze Auflage des angeklagten Werkes hercits vergriffon ist. Indessen kam man es Hrn. Cureton keineswegs verargen, wenn er sein Möglichstes thut, um diese Anklage als völlig unbegründet darzustellen. Es ist ihm diess unseres Erachtens auch nicht missglückt, denn er hat, wo nicht die Güte seiner eigemen Sache, doch sicherlich die Schwäche des Gegners dargethan med ihm den Stachel genommen. Schritt für Schritt folgt er dessen Erörterungen und deckt soine Ungenamigkeiten, Missterständnisse, Webereilungen, Selletwidersprizehe und Perdrehungen, kurz alle die absichtliehen Kunstgriffe orler mbewnsaten Fehter ciner von einseitigen Vorurtheilen geleiteten

[^2]:    * In the same page Hefele has given the following note, which almost appears to contradict what he has stated above: "Qui breviores quoque S. Igratii epistolas interpolatas putant, novissimis diebus validissimum interpolationis ejusmodi testimonium assecuti videntur versione Syriaca, a Guil. Cureton edita."
    + Inter eos, qui pro epistolis hisce vindicias evulgarunt, Pearsonium eminere nemo nescit. Opus ejus oppugnare sunt aggressi Matiomus Larrorpuanus, Samuel Basnagius, Casimirus Oudinus, Joannes Dallacus et alii, quibus frequens Episcoporum mentio in his epistolis preter alia minime placebat. See Prolegomena, p. xv.
    $\ddagger$ See Abregé de sa Vie, by his son, prefixed to Les deux dernier's Sermons de $M^{r}$ Duillé, mrononcez à Charenton le jour de Pasques, sixieme Avril 1670, et le .Jeudy suivant. 8vo. Geneve. mdClxxi. 1). 76.

[^3]:    * "Ignatius compluribus utitur rocabulis Latinis, ex re militari desumptis, a
     p. 241.

[^4]:    * In the whole course of my inquiry respecting the Ignatian Epistles I have never met with one person who professes to have read Bishop Pearson's celcbrated book; but I was informed by one of the most learned and emi-

[^5]:    nent of the present Bench of Bishops, that Porson, after having perused the Findicic, had expressed to him his opinion that it was a "very unsatisfactory work."

[^6]:    * Die Ignatianischen Briefe und ihr neuester Kritiher. Wine Streitschrift gegen Herrn Bunsen. 8vo. Tübingen, 1848.

[^7]:    * Orlandi speaks of an edition of six Epistles published seventeen years earlier. "S. Ignatius Martyr Episcopus Antiochenus, A.D. 71 florebat. Epistolæ ejus Sex. Coloniæ 1478." See Origine e Progressi della stampa o sia dell' Arte Impressoria e notizie dell' opere stampate dall' anno m.cccc.Lvir. sino all' amno mn. Bologna, 1722, 4to. p. 349. Maittaire copies from Orlandi. See Annall. Typograph. tom. v. par. i. p. 515 . Fabricius speaks of three Epistles only bearing that date. "Latina trium Epistolarum, 1. ad Mariam Virginem, et 2 ac 3 ad Johannem Apost. Colon. 1478." See Bibliotheca Grreca, vol. v. p. 42. Harles has the following note upon this passage of Fabricius: "Hanc editionem Colon. Maittaire A. T. in ind. ex Orlando, p. 349, memorat; sed dubia esse videtur et cl. Pañerus ejus mentionem omisit. Vol. vii. p. 40 ." None of the writers who have discussed the subject of the Ignatian Epistles in the seventeenth century seem ever to have referred to or mentioned any edition previous to that of 1495 ; and it appears, therefore, most probable that no such edition existed.
    $\dagger$ The ancient Syriac version of the Epistles of St. Ignatius to St. Polycarp, the Ephesians, and the Romans, \&c., edited, with an English translation and notes, by William Cureton, M.A. London, mencecilv.

[^8]:    * Vita et processus sancti Thome cantuariensis martyris super libertate ecclesiastica. At the end: "Explicit quadripertita hystoria continens passionem sanctissimi thome martyris archipresulis cantuariensis et primatis anglie una cum processu ejusdem super ecelesiastica libertate. que impressa fuit Parisius (sir) per magistrum Johannem Philippi : commorantem in vico sancti Jacohi ad intersignium sancte barbare. Et completa Anno domini Millesimo quadringentesimo nonagesimo quinto. vicesimaseptima mensis Martii." The Epistles attributed to Ignatius occupy the first page of the last leaf: "Hee sunt quattuor epistole de quibus due prime mittuntur beato iohanni euuangeliste : ex parte beati ignatii. tertia mittitur beate virgini marie: ex parte ejusdem. quartam vero mittit beata virgo eidem ignatio."
    †Dionysii Celestis hierarchia. Ecclesiastica hierarchia. Divina nomina. Mystica theologia. Undecim Epistolæ. Ignatii Undecim epistole. Polycarpi Epistola una. 4to. The preface of J. Faber Stapulensis to the Ignatian Epistles commences on fol. 103, b., and the Epistles themselves on fol. 104, b. At the end of the volume is the following eolophon:-"Operum Beatissimi Dionysii et Undecim Epistolarum divini Ignatii Autiochensis ecelesic Episcopi, et unius beati Polycarpi Smymeorum antistitis: discipulorum sanctorum Apostolorum, et martyrum Ihesu salvatoris mundi felicissimorum: ad ipsius ihesu salvatoris, sapientie sapientium, et regis martyrum omnium honorem finis. In alma Parhisiorum schola per Joannem Higmanum et Wolfgangum IIopylium artis formularie socios. Anmo ab incamatione ejusdem domini nostri Jhesu Christi 1498 die sexta Februarii."
    $\ddagger$ See Mart. Mrstræus' Note, pp. 18, 90.
    S See Dissertution prefixed to Archhp. Usher's Edition of the Ignatian Epistles, p. cxl.
    || Argentinæ 1502. Paris. 1515. Basil. 1520. Argentor. 1527. August. Vindel. 1529. See Fabricius, Bibl. Grac. Vol. v. p. 42. Du Pin, Nouvelle Bibl. des Auteurs Eccles., Vol. i. p. 40.

[^9]:    * Colon. 1557, 1569. Antverp. 1540. Complut. 1541. Venet. 1546. Paris. 1569, besides others in the Patrum Bibliothece. See Fabricius, ibid. Several other editions are mentioned by Du Pin. Ibid.
    $\dagger$ TOY MAKAPIOY, EN TOIE ALIOIE, IEPORIAPTYPOE IRNATIOY, ös kai OEOФOPOE, APXIEMIEKOחOY OEOYПOAE
     Beati inter Sanctos Christi defunctos, Hieronalrtyris Jgnatif : cul etiam nomen Theophoro: Archepiscopi divinæ civitatis Antiochêæ, opuscula, quæ quidem extant, omnia, idque, certè in originali, qua ab ipso primùm perscripta sunt, lingua Grecea, cura et opera Vabentini Pacei. Cum privilegio Magistratus utriusque summi, ne quis nostra, invitis aut clam nobis usurpet, néve falcem in messem mittat alienam, Ne quis dicat sibi non pradictum, caveto. 4to. At the end is the following.
    
    
    入aí. Sancti Martyris Ignatii Antiochie archiepiscopi, Epistola. Baoinei
     typographum Regium. 12mo. The edition of 1562 exactly agrees with this in size, type, and pagination. There is some variation in the notes; and the title-page is printed in Roman letters instead of Italics.
    §Sancti Martyris Ignatif, Antiochie Archiepiscopi, Epistolæ. De Grrecis in Latinas denuo conversa. Parisiis, м.d.Lviin. Apud Guil. Morelium, in Gracis typographum Regirm. Pirivilegio Regis. 12 mo .

[^10]:    * TOY ATIOY IEPOMAPTYPO乏 INNATIOY APXIETIIKOHOY ӨEOHOAE $\Omega$ antioxelas, emistoani $\Delta \Omega \Delta$ eka. Ignatii Beatissimi Martyris, et Archiepiscopi Antiocieni, Epistole Duonecim. Interprete Ioanne Brumnero Tigurino. Per Andreum Gesnerum. F. m.d.tix. fol. This edition is comprised in a collection, called, "Theologorum Aliquot Græcorum veterum orthodoxorum libri Grecci et iidem Latinitate donati: quorum plerumque partim Latinè, partim Græcè antehac non sunt editi." In the preface to the volume, which is dated 1559 , the editor writes: "Ignatium verò cum ille Latine tantum ediderit ego Græcum exemplar manuscriptum nactus ex bibliotheca CL.V. pie memoriæ D. Gaspari à Nydprugck existimari nerque Ilemrico Petri molestum, studiosis autem plerisque gratum fore, si ea quæ hactenus Latinè tantum circumferebantur, Græcè simul et Latinè à me fuissent edita, presertim denuo nuper translata, et id cum rerum Indice copiosissimo." p. 4.
    † D. Ignatio Archiepiscopi Antiochiæ, et Martyris Epistolæ, prorsus Apostolicæ: Hieronymo Vairlenio Syluio interprete, cuin breuiss. in easdem scholiis. Antverpice, Ex officina Christophori Plantini, Prototypographi Regii. Anvo m.d.lxxiri. 12 mo . It is printed in the same size as a companion to the following edition.

    TOY EN ATIOIS IEPOMAPTYPOE ITNATIOY APXIEMIKKOHOY ANTIOXEIAE, emistoant. Sancti Martyris Ignatif, Antiocmie Archiepiscopi, Epistole. Antverpir, Ex officina Christophori Plantini, M.D.Lxxif. On the last leaf it is said to have been printed a year later. "Antverpiæ excudebat Christophorus Plantinus Prototypographus Regius, Anno m.d.laxim."
    $\ddagger$ TOY EN ACIOIZ IEPOMAPTYPO乏 IFNATIOY, APXIEHIEKOHOX 'Avtioxéas F:شiotonaí. Sancti Martyris Ignatit, Antiochie Archiepiscopi Epistole. Nune demìm, cum Latinu interpretatione è regione Grrecis apposita, in lucem edita, recognite, et Notis illustrate. l'er Martialem Mestriwum, Doctorem Theologum. Parishs, apud Marcum Orby, via lacobea, ad insigne Leonis salientis. mbevin. 12 mo .

[^11]:    Baronium et Bellarminum, Auctore Nicolao Venelio Professore in Academiâ Geneuensi et Verhi Diuini ministro. Accessit versio Latina ab eodem emendata cum ejusdem Apologia pro Ignatio et Appendice notarum Criticarum, ac Indice quatruplici. Geneve, m.dc.xxiif. 4to.

[^12]:    * Sie Archhp. Esher's Dissertation. p. exli.
    + See ihid. vii. See p. 165.

[^13]:    * See Preface to his Annotationes.
    $\dagger$ Ut ex eâ solâ integritati sure restitui posse Ignatium, polliceri non ausim : nisi alterius exemplaris subsidium accesserit ; vel Gracei, cujus ex Bibliothecâ Florentini obtinendi spes mihi nuper est injecta non exigua; vel saltem Syriaci, quod Rome reperiri adhue posse non despero. Ibi enim, ni fallor, Ignatius recentior Patriarcha Antiochenus (qui sub Gregorio xiri Reformationi Calendarii interfuit) vitam finiens, Chaldaicos et Arabicos suos libros reliquit: inter quos Ignatii etiam nostri l:pistolus Chaldaica sive Syriaci linguâ exaratas extitisee, ex Catalogo corum didici, quem inde in Anyliam secum adduxit Vir Clarissimus, et mihi dum vixit conjunetissi mus, patrie sue immortale decus, D. Henricus Savilius. See Dissertatio, p. xxvi.
    $\ddagger$ Integri quoque Ignatii deinceps prime sue simplicitati ex Florentino exemplari restituendi expectationem movi: eamoque ut explerem, summâ serenissimi Prineipis Ferdinandi benignitate (1). Americi Salveti, Magni 1)ucis apud Regem nostrum residentis, interventu) corlicis illins ex Bibliotheca Mediceâ, in comobios. Laurentii repositâ, describendi potestatem impetravi. See Appendix Ignatiana : in Lectori. p. 1.

[^14]:    ＊See p．cxxxviii．

    + In Polycarpinam Epistolarum Ignatianarum Syllogen Annotationes； Numeris ad Marginem interiorem appositis respondentes：in quibus Gracorum Ygnatii exemplarium，\＆inter se，\＆cum utrâque vetere Latinả interpretatione，compu－ ratio continetur：Oxonife，Excudebat Henricus Hall， $16 \not 44$.
    $\ddagger$ Epistole Genvine S．Igsatir Martyris；quæ nunc primum lucem vident ex bibliotheca Florentina．Adduntur S．Ignatir Epistolie，quales vulgo circumferun－ tur．Adhce S．Balinabe Epistola．Accessit universis translatio vetus．Edidit， \＆notas addidit，Isaacus Vossius．Austel．cio ioc xlyi．
    § In Explanat．in Clement．Constitut．Apost．，lib．ix．cap．17．Id．pro Epist． Pontif．，lib．ii．c．10．See Usher＇s Preface to Appendix Iynatiuna．
    ｜｜＂Cod．vii．Epistolæ incerti auctoris，seu potius S．Maximi，Athanasii，Basilii Magni，Gregorii Nazianzeni，et Ignatii Epistolæ．－No．xxxi．p．242．тov̂ áríou＇Izva－ тíov étıfтo入aî．S．Ignatii Epistolæ ix．Prima est ad Smyrnæos，ultima ad Tar－
     Codex Græc．Membr．MS．in 4to．Majori，Sæc．xi ：initio et fine mutilus，in cajus primo folio indiculus manu Lucæ Holstenii conscriptus legitur．Constat foliis scriptis 252．＂Sce Cutaloyus Codd．Grac．Bibliothecre Laurentiance，Yol．2．p．34j．

    का See Notes，pp． 280,290 ，\＆c．，below．

[^15]:    * SS. Patrum qui temporibus Apostolicis florucrunt, Barnabre, Clementis, Herma, Ignatii, Polycarpi ; opera edita et inedita, vera et suppositicia. Una cum Clementis, Ignatii, Polycarpi Aetis atque Martyriis. Johames Baptista Cotelerius Socictatis Sorbonice Theologus, Ex MSS. Corlicibus correxit, ac eruit; Versionibus, Notis et Indicibus illustravit. Luteciæ Parisiorum, м.de.lxxir. p. 847-1013.
    $\dagger$ Hæc Epistola adhuc Græcè inveniri non potuit. Consarcinata autem est ex antigua Interpretatione, et ex Epistola interpolata, neenon ex Metaphraste. P. B6G.
    \$ Acta primorum Nartyrum sincera et selecta ex libris cùm editis tum manuscriptis collecta, eruta vel emendata, notisque et observationibus illustrata. Puris, 1689. 4to. P. 700.
    § In "Spicilegium SS. Patrum, ut et Hereticorum, Seculi post Christi natum, i. ii. \& iii." Oxon. 1698-9. 2 Vol. P.9. Vol. ii.
    || In "Bibliotheca Patrum Apostolicorum Græco-Latina. Præmissa est Dissertatio de Patribus Apostolicis, autore L. Thoma Ittigio." Lipsiæ, мьсı. 12 mo .
    बा Sancti Ignatii Epistolæ, p. 1.

[^16]:    * Earundem Epistolarum Ignatii Vetus Latina Versio ; ex duobus Manuscriptis, in Anglia repertis à Jacobo Usserio, Archiepiscopo Armachano, Oxonia, 1642, primum edita, p. 93.
    if S. Ignatii Epistolæ Interpolatæ, cum Versione vulyata Latina, p. 139.
    $\ddagger$ Epistolæ S. Ignatio falso adscriptæ, tam Græcæ cum versione latina vulgata, guam Latinæ. Præmissa est Græcis Epistola Marice à Cassobelis ad Ignatium. Latinis subjuncta B. Maria Virginis Epistola. Utraque non minus spuria, p. 279.
    § Acta Martyrii S. Ignatii Græce et Latine ex editione Theodorici Ruinart, p. 355.
    || See Dissertatio de Putribus Apostolicis prefixed to this edition, p. 286.
    If S. Ignatir Epistole Genuinae, juxta Exemplar Mediceum denuo recensite, Una cum Veteri Latina Versione. Annotationibus D. Joannis Pearsoni nuper Episcopi Cestriensis, et Thomæ Smithi S. T. P. illustratæ. Accedunt Acta genuina Martyrii S. Ignatii, Epistola S. Polycarpi ad Philippenses, et Smymensis Ecclesise Epistola de S. Polycarpi Martyrio ; cum Veteribus Latinis Versionibus, et Annotationibus Thomæ Smithi. Oxonie e Theatro Sheldoniano. Ammo mdccix. 4to. ** In "Primitive Christianity Revived : in four volumes. Vol i. containing the Epistles of Ignatius, both Larger and Smaller, in Greek and English, \&c., by William Whiston, M.A." London, 1711. 8vo.
    † In Commentarius de sucris E'celesice Ordinationibus, fol. Paris, m.nc.lv. Par. iii. pp. 45, 46, he writes thus:-" Nova textus Ignatiani ex antiquo codice Florentino editio, licet ab antiquis editionibus plurimum differat, in cumdem tamen sensum hac in causâ conspirat, iisdemque nonnumquam verbis aliquando disparibus. Percontabitur forsan Lector unde tanta textus diversitas? Paucis dicam, quod censeo. Utrumare legendo non conjicio dolo id factum esse. Itaque hoc contigit aut festinatione scribie, qui multa prudens omisit, ut pensum celerius absolveret, et

[^17]:    * Sancti Martyris Ignatii Antiochensis Episcopi Epistola septem genuina, Quas nimirum collegit S. Polycarpus sureque ad Philippenses Epistole suljecit. Oxonii e Theatro Sheldoniano. An. Dom. moccviir.
    † S. Clementis Romani, S. Ignatii, S. Polycarpi, Patrum A postolicorum, qua supersunt. Accedunt S. Ignatii et S. Polycarpi Martyria. Ad fidem codicum recensuit, annotationibus variorum et suis illustravit, indicibus instruxit Guilielmus Jacobson, M.A. Oxonii, e Typographeo Academico, noccexxxvim. 2 Voll. 8vo.
    $\ddagger$ This version is assigned to the fifth century of our era by Pl. Sukias Somal in Quadro delle opere di vari autori anticamente tradotte in Armeno. Venezia, 1825. 8vo. "Epistole di S. Ignazio Martire, e Vescovo d'Antiochia, dirette 1. A que' di Smirne, 2. A. S. Policarpo, 3. A que' di Efeso, 4. di Magnesia, 5. di Filadelfia, 6. di Trallia, 7. di Roma. A queste sette Epistole genuine vamo aggiunte altre sei col seguente ordine. 1. A que' di Antiochia, 2. Una lettera di Maria proselita spedita a S. Ignazio, 3. La Riposta fattale da S. Ignazio, 4. A que' di 'larso, 5. Ad Erone diacono d'Antiochia, (j. A que' di Filippi. Queste ultime credute furono apocrife in un colle sopraccennate genuine tradotte sul testo Greco, e per la prima volta stampate in Constantinopoli nel 1783." p. 10. This account by Pl. Sukias Somal has been translated word for word, and inserted ly Carl. Fried. Neumann in Versuch ciner Geschichte der armenischen Literatur, nach den Werken der Mechitaristen frei bearbeitet. Leipzig, 1836. 8vo. p. 73.

[^18]:    * In his Apologia pro sententia Hieronymi de Episcopis et Presbyteris, Amsteledami, moocxlvi., he thus expresses his opinion: "Sic denique antiquior (sed qui prest Clementis Alexandri dormitionem emersisse videtur) $\dot{u} \pi 0,30 \lambda c u$ s, Ignatii martyris nomen septem Eusehio, Athanasio, Hieronymo, etc., laudatis epistolis appixit, quas alii recentiores cum interpolassent, animis à crimine sumptis, quincue alias veteribus ignotas, adjecerunt, suoque exemplo Latinos nostros ad binas suâ linguâ conscribendas permoverunt. (Quam primum priorum sex è vetustissime Laurentiana Bibliothecae codice suó labore exscriptarum dignus celeberrimi patre filius Isaacus Vossius copiam fecit, eas avidis oculis hausi, manu propria descripsi, cum citatis à Veteribus locis studiose contuli, seeculo nostro gratulatus quod illud ipsum exemplar quo ante 1300 annos usus erat Eusebius novam ipsi propediem affulsuram lucem sponderet. Sed postquam attentiore animô, singularum et phrasim et materiam et ordinem expendi, handientes antea spes pudibundus abjecere coactus, bonae patrum fidei impudenter illusum dolui. Quis enim Apostolorum discipulo, imò (si Chrysostomum audiamus) Apostolo, in vinculis martyrium anhelanti dictionis g. nus affectatum putrique epithetorum ad pompan compositorum fermentô turgens adeo placuisse credat ; ut qua ne semel in celeberrimis Clementis ad Corinthios, Polycarpi ad J'hilippenses, Ecclesie Smyrnensis ad Philomeliensem, Lugunensis ad Phrygias epistolis, aut in Justini, Tatiani, Irenæi, Theophili, que supersunt operilous, aut in Papie, Megesippi, Dionysii Corinthiaci, Polycratis, Melitonis, etc. Eusehiano operi insertis fragmentis occurrunt, ab antiquissimô omnium (si Clementem excipias)
    
    
     Ecclesiarum Rectores, p. 39.

[^19]:    * See "Opinions of various learned men respecting the Ignatian Epistles from the year 1650 down to the discovery of the Syxiac Version in the Appendix to my Vindicia Ignatianc. London, Rivingtons', 1846. 8vo."

[^20]:    * Fr. K. Meier, in Ullmann, Theologische Studien und Kritiken. Hamburg, 1836, p. $3 \pm 0$.
    $\dagger$ Arndt, ibid., 1839, p. 136. Huther, in Illyen, Zeitschrift für die historische Theologie. Vol. xi. Heft. 4. 1841, p. 2. Ric. Rothe, Die Anfïnge der Christlichen Kirche, p.739. Düsterdieck, Que de Ignatianarum Epistolarum authentia duorumque textuum ratione et dignitute hucusque prolata sunt sententice enarrantur et dijudicantur. Gotting. 1843. 4to.
    $\ddagger$ Neander, Kirchengeschichte, 2d edit. p.1140. English Translation by Torvey, Vol. ii. p. 443. Sclmmidt, Versuch uiber die gedoppelte Recension der Briefe des Ignatius: in Hencke, Magazin für Religions Philosophie, Vol.iii. p. 91. Netz, in Ullmann, Theol. Studien et Krit. 1835, p.881. Car. Hase, in Kirchengeschichte, p. 88. Third Edition.
    § Baur, in Tübinger Zeitschrift für Theologie, 1836, fasc. iii. p.199, et 1838, fasc. iii. p. 149., and Über den Ursprung des Episcoputs. Tï̈bingen, 1838. 8vo. pp. 148-185.
    || See above, p. x., note.

[^21]:    * See Dr. Smith's Life of Huntington prefixed to his edition of his Letters, p.ix. D. Roberti Huntingtoni Rapotensis E'pistola. Bvo. Londini, 1704.
    + IKuntingloni Epistole, p. 8.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid. p. 12.
    § Ibid. p. 15.

[^22]:    * Huntingtoni Epistola, p. 16. † Ibid. p. 20. See also pp. 18, 24, 25, 32, 34.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid. p. 68.
    § Ibid. p. 111.

[^23]:    * In Bibliotheca Orientalis Clementino-Vaticana, tom. iii. pars. 1. p. 16.
    $\dagger$ See J. S. Asscmani, Preface to Bibliotheca Orientalis, §.xi. tom. i.
    \# See IVid. p. (506. Cod. i. no. 28.
    § See Acta Sanctorum IILartyrum Orientalium et Occidentalium, Vol. ii. p. 5. no. 15.
    II See an account of this collection of mannscripts, and of the mamer in which it

[^24]:    was obtained for the British nation，in an article，headed British Nuseum－Mamu－ scripts from the Egyptiun Monasteries，in No．CLIII．of the Quarterly Review， pp．39－69．

[^25]:    * See an account of M. Pacho's acquisition in the Preface to my edition of the lestal Letters of Athanasius, p. v.-xiii.

[^26]:    * See Preface to J. S. Assemani's Bibliotheca Orientalis, §. xi.

[^27]:    * See a similar notice to this by Moses the Abbot, cited by Assemani, Bibliotheca Orientalis, Vol. ii. p. 119; and my Preface to the Festal Letters of Athanasius, p. xxv.
    $\dagger$ See an account of the cause of this journey in the Preface to my edition of the Festal Letters of Athanasius, p. xxiv.

[^28]:    * See Basilii Opera, edit. Benedict., tom. iii. p. 70.
    + This is the seventeenth book of the Treatise "de Adoration in Spiritu et Veritute." See Cyrilli Alexandriæ Archiepiscopi Opera, cura et studio Jo. Auberti. 4 roll. fol. Lutetiæ, m.dc.xxxvir. Vol. i. p. 590.

[^29]:    * See Dallæus, de Scriptis Dionysii Areopagite et Ignatii, cap. xxvi. p. 386.
    + Sce Notes, p. 277.

[^30]:    * We have an illustration of this in the history of the Creeds. In the Apostolic times, and those immediately following, a simple formula, such as that called the Apostles' (reed, was considered a sufficient profession of faith. At the beginning of the fourth century it became necessary to introduce the moxe complex formula of the Nicene Creed, subsequently the Constantinopolitan, the Chalcedonian, \&ic. At page 336 I have made some observations upon the period when the term Catholic Church is first found to be in use. I need scarcely remark, that although the copies of the Apostles' Creed generally in use in our own and other Churches. have "the holy Catholic Church," the word Catholic is a later interpolation. See Usher de Symbolis, p. 12. The Lord Chancellor King's History of the Apostles' Creed. 8vo. London, 1703, p. 338. Routh's Reliquia Saera, Vol. v. p. 333.

[^31]:    * The smaller Epistles most frequently change the other common names of our Saviour into God, \&c. What can be the meaning of so often changing the usual words, and so frequently calling Christ God-so much more frequently, I mean, than the Scriptures, or any other Remains of the Apostolic age do-but to serve the turns of the Athanasians, who were then busy in advancing the divinity of our Saviour - ? Nuw this cannot in reason be supposed to be Omission in the Larger Epistles; since they still call our Saviour God, as often and in the same manner as the first Christians did, but must be Interpclation in the Smaller: And so must, in all probability, have been designed for the purposes of the Athanasians in the Fourth Century." See Whiston's Dissrtation on the Epistles of Ignatius, p. 36. See also Meier, in Ullman, Studien und Kritik. 1836. p. $3 \pm 0$.

[^32]:    * See Notes, p. 266.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid., p. 280.
    $\dagger$ See ibid., p. 336.
    § See ibid., p. 295.

[^33]:    * See Notes, p. 299.
    $\dagger$ Ibid., p. 301.
    Ibid., p. 303.
    || Ilid., pp. 309, 320.

[^34]:    * See Daillé, de Scriptis Dionysii Areop. et Igmatii Antioch. 1. 421

[^35]:    * See Notes, p. 280, where I have also suggested the probable cause of this omission from the Greek.
    t See Notes on the Epistle to the Ephesians, pp. 312-320.
    $\ddagger$ See Notes, p. 275.

[^36]:    * See Archbishop Wake's Translation.

[^37]:    * See Notes, p. 280, and my Vindicice Ignatiance, p. 24.

[^38]:    * Sce Notes, p. 321.

[^39]:    * See above, p. viii.
    + In hac tota fere epistola desidero rvifotov Ignatium. See Medull. Theolog. p. 361.
    $\ddagger$ Respondeo nonnulla esse in ipsa epistola quæ non minimam suspicionis ansam afferant, aliqua in eam aliunde irrepsisse. See Apologia pro Scriptis S. Ignatii, ch. viii. p. 456 , in Illustt. Ecclesia Orient. Scriptt. Vite et Documentu.

[^40]:    * Inter omnes Ignatii epistolas nulla est de cujus veritate magis disceptatum sit intex doctos, quam illa ad Polycarpum. Nee inficior, aliqua hic esse, que potuerint reddere suspectam: plura tamen, majoraque esse puto, que aliud iis debuerant persuadere. Verba enim Polycarpi ex epistola ad Philippenses apud Eusebium érpa-
     neque debent. Citat quorque eam Chrysostomus, homilia de uno legislatore, tom. vi. item Antiochus, et Damascenus. Sce Vossius' Edit. p. 264.
    + Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire ecelesiastique, Vol. ii. p. 579. Edit. Par. 1701.
    $\ddagger$ De Scriptis, qua sub Dionysii Areop. et Ignatii, \&c., p. 377.
    § Ecclesiastical History. Maclaine's Translation. Lond. 1765. Vol. i. p. 51.
    II Noch hat der Brief an Polycarpus die merckliche Verschiedenheit der Schreibant, gegen die andern Briefe gehalten, wider sich: und vielen kommt es auch unnatürlich vor das in demselben eine weitlauftige Stelle an die Gemeine zu Smyma gerichtet ist, an welche Ignatius besonders geschriehen hatte. Christliche Kirchengeschichte, Vol. ii. p. 341.

[^41]:    * See Dissertation upon the Epistles of Ignatius, p. 81.
    $\dagger$ After extracting numerous passages respecting Bishops, \&c., from the other Epistles, he writes: "In Epistolâ ad Romanos de Episcopis aut Presbyteris nihil occurrit." See Diss. de Ignativ ejusque testimoniis, cap. xxv.
    $\ddagger$ Quæ cùm in aliis tum in illa præsertim ad Romanos epistolâ verbis pio fervore plenissimis expressit. Vindicic, part ii. p. 9.
    § Der Brief an die römische Gemeinde trägt am meisten cin eigenthümliches Gepräge. Allgemeine Geschichte der Christlichen Religion. Second Edit. Vol. i. p. 1140. Torrey's Translation, Vol. ii. p. 444.
    || See the passage cited at p. 331 below.

[^42]:    * Sce Notes, pp. 271, 280, 288.
    $\dagger$ In my notes upon this word at page 270 I have observed that it does not accurately correspond with the Syriac. The translator seems to have read another word in this place.

[^43]:    * See Hoffimann Gram. Syriac. p. 2 อ̄2.
    $\dagger$ See Schleusner, Lexicon in Novum Testamentum, at this word.

[^44]:    * Archbishop Usher conceives that this appellation of وró申opos has also given rise to the story, which afterwards became current, that Ignatius was the child which our Saviour took up in his arms. See Notes below, p. 360.
    $\dagger$ Bishop Pearson has the following curious passage:-"Si gentem et Ecclesiam spectes cui prafuit, viro apud Syros tot annos morato, si non apud cosdem nato educatoque, maxime convenit plurium Epithetorum congestio." See Vindicice, part. ii. p.9. Had the learned Bishop been better acquainted with the genius of the Syrians and their language he would hardly have ventured to make such an assertion. "Aliquando bonus dormitat Homerus."

[^45]:    ＊See Apologia pro Ignatio．Cap．iii．
    $\dagger$＂Observarit quidem planus iste，qui et genuinas Ignatii Epistolas incustavit et totidem aliarum accessione auxit，quasdam compositionum et locutionum formulas illi familiares：que ut studiose retinerentur，è re suâ fuisse existimarit，＂\＆ic．See Dissertation，p．xxx．

[^46]:    * Sce note, p. xix. above.

[^47]:    $\dagger$ See note, p. xx. above.

[^48]:    * De Seriptis qua sub Dionysii Areop. et Ignatii, \&̌c. Lib. ii. ch. xxvii. p. 405.
    $\dagger$ Conspectus Reipublicce Literarice, edit. Hanov. 1763, p. 492.

[^49]:    * See the comparison of the Letters of Cyprian with the Ignatian Epistles on this head by Dodwell. Dissertationes Cyprianica, Dis. viii.
    $\dagger$ See Daillé, lib. ii. ch. x. xi. Oudin, Comment. de Scriptor. Eccles., cap. vi. vol. i. p. 97.
    $\ddagger$ Duæ potissimum hæreses de natura Christi eâ tempestate obtinebant, ut veritati Catholicæ ita et sibi ipsis prorsus contrariæ ; quarum altera Docetarum fuit a Simonianis ortorum, humanæ naturæ veritatem in Christi destruentium, altera Elionitarum, divinam prorsus naturam et weteram generationem denegantium legisque cæremonias urgentium. See Pearson's Vindicia Epist. S. Ignatii, part ii. p. 6.

    Etiamsi igitur auctor Epistolarum contra hanc hæresim quam tuebatur Saturninus, scripscrit, non inde tamen sequitur cum vel Saturninum novisse, vel contra vel post eum scripsisse; quia eadem fuit hæresis Menandrianorum, quorum quamplurimi Saturnino seniores erant. Ibid., p. 24.

    Et revera tam contra Artemonem quàm contra Theodotum seripsit Ignatius noster, id est, si personas hæreticorum spectes, contra neutrum ; si hæresim ipsam utrique commumem, contra sententiam utriusfue, et ideo aliquo vero sensu contra utrumque. Ibid., p. 22.

[^50]:    ${ }^{1}$ Iren. L. iii. c. 4. Theodoret, hæretic, fabul. i.

[^51]:    ${ }^{2}$ Euseb, in Chron. ${ }^{3}$ Hicron, in Catalogo.
    4 Pref. ad lector. $\quad{ }^{5}$ Lib, i. cap. 5.
    ${ }^{6}$ De Ecclesiast. Hierarch. L. v. c. 8. n. 7.
    i In natali Dom. serm. 1.

[^52]:    * The remark of Basnage on this head seems to be just: "Quæ, si verum volumus, ingens testimoniorum strues ad eruditionem Vindicis ( 1 'eurson) declarandam facere potest, ad probandum non potest. Cùm testimonia autorum, qui post tertium floruere seculum, prioribus innitantur, nec plus sit in eo suffragio virium, quam in Polycarpi, Irenei, Origenis, Eusebii ; potuisset exgo celeberrimus Vindiciarum autor, et sibi, et lectoribus tanti laboris exhauriendi dare immunitatem." Annales Politico-Ecelesiustici. Roterd. 1706. Vol. ii. p. 20.
    $\dagger$ See pp. 171, 172.
    $\ddagger$ See my Vindicia Ignatiance, p. 40, where I have enumerated these several variations. § See p. 166. || P. 170.
    If 'The other extracts which I have given from Chrysostom at p. 170, although supposed hy some to relate to Ignatius, have evidently no reference to any of the Ignatian Epistles before us.
    ** See p . 164.

[^53]:    * See Bishop Pearson's Vindicic, par. i. ch. ii. p. 9.
    $\dagger$ Sce Ibid., par. i. p. 10.
    $\ddagger$ See p. 166.
    § See Ibid., p. 29. Menard's Notes on the Epistle of Barnabas, p. 108. Cotelerius, Testimonia Veterum de Barnabe Epistola. In Patt. Apost., tom. i. p. 4.
    \| See p. 166.
    बा See p. 159.
    ** See Fabricius' Bibliotheca Greceu, Vol. v. p. 228. Grabe supposed that Jerome borrowed this from the Commentary on Matthew attributed to Theophilus of Antioch: "Et hodienum prostant libri iv. Commentariorum Allegoricorum Theophili, quos eosdem esse cum iis, quos Hieronymus se legisse ait, tam loco cito, quam in proœmio commentariorum in Mattlæum, exinde probabile redditur, quod in Comment. ad cap.i. de causis, ob quas ex desponsata virgine natus sit Christus, tractans, casdem iisdem, quibus Theophilus verbis, assignet. Unde ex Latina Theuphili versione ea descripsisse videri poterat." See Grabe, Spicilegium, Sæc. ii. p. 221. Grabe has borrowed this from Bishop Pearson, who, in order to give additional weight to his arguments (Vindicice, par. i. ch.ii. p. 5), cleverly insinuates that Jerome read these words in Theophilus, where they are cited without any mention of Ignatius; and that, having also found them in Ignatius' own Epistle, he attributed them to their true source, and mentioned the name in his own Commentary on St.

[^54]:    Matthew: "Legit Theophilum cujus sententiam ex Ignatio decerptam autori suo reddidit." Vindicice, par. ii. ch. ii. p. 21. The learned Prelate, however, well knew that these same words had been cited by Origen in his Commentary on St. Luke, with the name of Ignatius distinctly mentioned. Of the fact of Jerome having read them there he was perfectly aware ; and in another part of his book, where his argument required it, he has written to prove that Jerome had translated this part of Origen's works. Ibid., par. i. ch. vii. Surely this looks more like the work of a skilful advocate of a particular cause, than of an ingenuous inquirer after the truth.

    * See Contra Celsum, Lib. i. Vol. i. p. 378. Edit. Delarue.
    $\dagger$ He writes himself in the following terms:-"Nam quod dicunt: Origenis me volumina compilare, et contaminari non decere Veterum Scripta, quod illi maledictum vehemens esse existimant, candem laudem ego maximam duco, cum illum imitari volo, quem cunctis prudentibus et vobis placere non dubito." See Prologus in Secundum super Micheam. Erasmus' edit., Vol. vi. p. 119.
    $\ddagger$ Sce p. 166 (\%. § See Whiston's Dissertution, p. $53 . \quad| |$ See p. 164.
    If Epistolam de Synodis Ariminensi et Seleuciana sub finem anni 359, quo celebratre illæ sunt, conscriptam fuisse, ex plurimis ejusdem locis conficitur. - Quare ut jam olim advertêre viri cum primis eruditi, quæe num. 30 leguntur, тaû̃a

[^55]:    
    
    
    
    
    
     Arianos, ibid. p. 434.

    * See Cave's Life of Athanusius, Sect. xv. § v.
    + See my Preface to the Festal Letters of Athanusius, p. xlviii.

[^56]:    * Book v. ch. 3 : see p. 163. Irenæus does not, however, mention the name of Iguatius; but only speaks of one condemned to suffer martyrdom by being thrown to wild beasts. See p. 158.
    $\dagger$ See p. 16 $\ddagger$. $\quad+$ See Book iii. c. 38.

[^57]:    * See Euselius, Book iv. c. 23.
    + Step. Le Moyne, inferring that these words implied a contradiction to Eusebius' own statement respecting the Second Epistle attributed to St. Clement in the passage which I have quoted above, was led to suppose that the text of Eusebius was corrupted in this place, and proposed to read тò $\pi \rho \circ \tau \epsilon \in \rho o \nu ~ f o r ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ p a \nu . ~ S e e ~$ In Varia Sacra Nota, p. 1067. Dr. Routh agrees with the inference which Le Moyne has drawn, but sees no necessity for any change in the reading if we take tìp mpotipar, in contradistinction to the Epistle of Suter and the Romans, received by the Corinthians at a subsequent period. For my own part, I must confess that I hold with "Viri doctissimi, qui hunc locum urgent, ut duas Clementi epistolas adscribant," upon the grounds which I have stated. See Reliquice Sacre, edit. alter: Vol. i. p. 188.

[^58]:    * See my Vindicia Ignatiana, p. 35.
    $\dagger$ See p. 159.
    \# Jerome writes thus respecting a Commentary attributed to Theophilus: "Legi sub nomine cjus in Evangelium et in Proverhia Salomonis commentarios, qui mihi cum superiorum voluminum elegantia et phrasi non videntur congruere." See Cutalogus Scriptorum Ecclesiasticoram. Sce the various opinions respecting the spuriousness of the work to which I am referring in Fabricius' Biblioth. Grece., Vol. v. p. 93.
    § See Vindicire, par. i. p. 4. || Sce my Notes below, pp. 267, 269, 272, 273.

[^59]:    * "Porro autem ut hanc Valentinianam hæresim ex corruptis superiorum hæreticorum fontibus profluxisse planum faciat, explicatâ primum Rugulà veritatis, clare paucisque exponit quæ fuerint Simonis Magi, Menandri, Saturnini, Basilidis, Carpocratis, Cerinthi, Elionæorum, Nicolaitarum, Cerdonis, Marcionis, Tatiani variorumque Gnosticorum -impia commenta propudiosaque dogmata." See Le Nourry, Apparat., Lib. ii., edit. 1703. Dissert. 6, in v. Irenæi Libros.
    $\dagger$ These have been collected by the Venerable President of Magdelene College, Oxfo:d: "Plurium Anonymorum, e quibus nonnulli Apostolos cudierant, Reliquice, a S. I'enceo servatce." See Reliquice Sacree, edit. alter., Vol. i. p. 47.
    $\ddagger$ See above, p. 1xi.

[^60]:    * See Notes, p. 337.
    $\dagger$ Ibid., p. 339.
    $\ddagger$ Ibid., pp. 326, 330, 331.
    § Whiston has instituted a comparison between the passages quoted hy Euselius from the Ignatian Epistles, and the text itself of both the Greek Recensions, for the purpose of shewing that they agree hetter with the Longer than the Shorter Recension; "whereby it is evident, that if we keep strictly to the Medicean Greek and Eusebius' own text, the citations agree with the Larger copy in ten places, and with the Smaller only in three." See Dissertation on the Epist. of Ignatius, p. 54.

[^61]:    
    
    
     $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \omega v o v ̄ \sigma a$. Ilid.
    $\ddagger$ There are no data to enable us to form an accurate calculation of the age of St . Ignatius. Cave considers thint at the time of his martyrdom, fixing the date of this at A.D. 107, he was "then probably above fourscore years old." See Life of Ignatius, §. v. If the period of his martyrdom be fixed, as Bishop Pearson wishes, at A.D. 116 , he would probably have been more than ninety years old when he touched at Smyrna.
    § He suffered martyrdom A.D. 166 ; and must, therefore, at the lowest calculation, have survived Ignatius fifty years. His own words in the Acts of Martyrdom state that he had been in Christ eighty-six years. If this is to be referred to the period of his birth, and not to his baptism, as some have supposed, he could not have been more than twenty-seven years old if we take the former date for the death of Ignatius, or than thirty-six if we take the latter. See Clinton's Fusti Romani, p. 157. See, also, the Chev. Bunsen's Ignatius von Antiochien und seine Zcit, p. 23.

[^62]:    ＊See Notes，pp．281， 313.
    $\dagger$ By Scultetus，Vedelius，Blondel，\＆c．See p．li．
    $\ddagger$ See Dissertatio Secunda de Ignatio，cap．iii．§． 9 ．

[^63]:    * See Notes, p. 312.
    $\dagger$ Animadversiones ad Eusebii Chronicon, p. 207.
    $\ddagger$ In Ueber den Ursprung des Episcoputs, p. 149.
    § See Tossius' Epistoid ad And. Rivetum, appended to Bishup I'earson's Findicice.
    if See p. 168, and Cave's Life of Ignatius, §. ₹.
    \$ See Vossius' Ep. ud Rivetum.

[^64]:    * See Notes, pp. 326, 330, 331.
    $\dagger$ See Daillé de Libris Suppositis $\mathbb{E} \mathrm{c} .$, Lib. ii. ch. גviii.
    $\ddagger$ See Neander, cited at p. liii.
    §See Daillé de Libris Suppositis \&゙c., Lib. ii. ch. xxiv.

[^65]:    * This seems to be certainly evinced by the language of the Syriac Epistles. I quote here the testimony of Dr. Lee to this fact, as my own opinion may be questioned as that of one biassed by the subject before us: " Mr. Cureton generally speaks of this translation as being very close and literal. Close and literal it certainly is; but not so much so as to deserve the character of very close and literal. From all I have been able to discover in it, I think it must be of a piece in this respect with the Peshito translation of the New Testament; giving as nearly as necessary both the words and order of these in the original, and this in language as nearly approximating to that of the Peshito as well could be. Still the servile closeness of the Philoxenian version is quite another thing, as indeed the language of that version is _. Our translation of these Epistles was made at a time when a far better taste prevailed in the Church, and when an honest appeal to the plain and obvious truths of Holy Writ was the order of the day; the later, when heresy and schism had made the letter of the text all-important, and when scarcely

[^66]:    * "Mihi sufficit, jam Irenæum seivisse Epistolas Ignatii- - prodierunt sub finem saeculi 2 . aut sul) initium seculi 3 : nee potuit Irenreus aliquid ipse reperire, quod Valentiniana opinioni opponeret; quales tamen sententie plures in istis epistolis postea insunt." Paraphrusis in Epist. 2 Petri. Hala, 1784. Præfat.
    $\dagger$ "Eine sobere Kritik kömite zwar noch spätere Interpolationem annehmen, um wenigsten, den Grundstoff für den Ignatius zu retten, aber es scheint in der 'that wenig damit geholfen zu seyn, denn ich bin mit andern Gelehrten der Meinung, dass kaum noch ein Ganzes übrig bleiben dürfte, so bald man alle verdächtige stellen heraus wirft." Versuch einer mraymutischen Geschichte der kirchlichen Ferfussungsformen in den ersten sech Jahrhunderten. Lips. 1798. p. 16.
    $\ddagger$ Es ist nicht unmöglich, dass sich noch andere Recensionem der Schiften einmal vorfinden. Lehrbuch der Christlichen Dogmengeschichte. Jena, 1832. p. 83.

[^67]:    

[^68]:    De Divinis Nominibus, c. iv. Opera. Edit. Pet. Lansselii. fol. Paris. 1615. p. 198.
    
     é $\mu$ òs ${ }^{\text {ép }} \rho \omega \mathrm{s}$ é $\sigma \tau \alpha u ́ \rho \omega \tau \alpha$. Epist. Rom. c. vii.

[^69]:    ${ }^{1}$ Epist. Rom. c. iv-vi.
    ${ }^{2}$ Epist. Ephes. c. xv.
    3 Epist. Ephes. c. xx.
    1 Epist. Magnes. c. x.
    ${ }^{5}$ Epist. Smyrn. c.iv. v.
    ${ }^{6}$ Epist. Polycarp. c. i.

[^70]:    * It is right to know also here that the Romans do not call fire simply Ignis ; but those fires which are kindled on elevated places, and shew some signal which is not yet near, such and such; as those which are kindled upon hills and upon heights, and blaze and shew the approach of the enemies, according: to a compact and sign prearranged, which the Greeks call $\pi v \rho \sigma o s:$ for this reason the Teacher says, " because he foreknew things future."

[^71]:    ( ${ }^{10}$ ) ©DA. $\boldsymbol{P}^{-4}$ :
    
    ${ }^{(12)}$ (00 3 दit :
    ${ }^{(13)} \boldsymbol{\Phi} \boldsymbol{\Gamma} \boldsymbol{\Pi}$ İ : deest.
    
    ( ${ }^{15}$ ) $\Phi \boldsymbol{\Omega}$ :
    
    
    
    ${ }^{(20)}$ (D) ${ }^{2}$ ncy :
    
    

[^72]:    $\gamma$ reads ${ }^{\sim}$.2.

[^73]:    * To guard myself from the imputation of presumption, of which some have been ready to accuse me for venturing to differ from the opinion of this learned Prelate, I quote here the words of a still more learned man, J. A. Fabricius, respecting the tiwo historians mentioned by Bp. Pearson: "Joannes Antiochenus, cognomento Malelas, diversus ab altero Joanne Monacho Antiocheno, itidem chronographo atque similia passim tradente, et similibus delectato fabulis." See Bibl. Gree. Vol. VI. p. 138.

